

Multi-Head Monitoring of Metric Dynamic Logic

Martin Raszyk

April 18, 2024

Abstract

Runtime monitoring (or runtime verification) is an approach to checking compliance of a system’s execution with a specification (e.g., a temporal formula). The system’s execution is logged into a *trace*—a sequence of time-points, each consisting of a time-stamp and observed events. A *monitor* is an algorithm that produces *verdicts* on the satisfaction of a temporal formula on a trace.

We formalize the time-stamps as an abstract algebraic structure satisfying certain assumptions. Instances of this structure include natural numbers, real numbers, and lexicographic combinations of them. We also include the formalization of a conversion from the abstract time domain introduced by Koymans [1] to our time-stamps.

We formalize a monitoring algorithm for metric dynamic logic, an extension of metric temporal logic with regular expressions. The monitor computes whether a given formula is satisfied at every position in an input trace of time-stamped events. Our monitor follows the multi-head paradigm: it reads the input simultaneously at multiple positions and moves its reading heads asynchronously. This mode of operation results in unprecedented time and space complexity guarantees for metric dynamic logic: The monitor’s amortized time complexity to process a time-point and the monitor’s space complexity neither depends on the event-rate, i.e., the number of events within a fixed time-unit, nor on the numeric constants occurring in the quantitative temporal constraints in the given formula.

The multi-head monitoring algorithm for metric dynamic logic is reported in our paper “Multi-Head Monitoring of Metric Dynamic Logic” [2] published at ATVA 2020. We have also formalized unpublished specialized algorithms for the temporal operators of metric temporal logic.

Contents

1	Intervals	4
2	Infinite Traces	6
3	Formulas and Satisfiability	7
4	Formulas and Satisfiability	52

theory *Timestamp*

```
imports HOL-Library.Extended_Nat HOL-Library.Extended_Real
begin
```

```
class embed_nat =
  fixes  $\iota :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ 
```

```
class tfin =
  fixes  $tfin :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
```

```
class timestamp = comm_monoid_add + semilattice_sup + embed_nat + tfin +
  assumes  $\iota\_mono: \bigwedge i j. i \leq j \implies \iota i \leq \iota j$ 
```

```

and  $\iota\_tfin$ :  $\bigwedge i. \iota i \in tfin$ 
and  $\iota\_progressing$ :  $x \in tfin \implies \exists j. \neg \iota j \leq \iota i + x$ 
and  $zero\_tfin$ :  $0 \in tfin$ 
and  $tfin\_closed$ :  $c \in tfin \implies d \in tfin \implies c + d \in tfin$ 
and  $add\_mono$ :  $c \leq d \implies a + c \leq a + d$ 
and  $add\_pos$ :  $a \in tfin \implies 0 < c \implies a < a + c$ 
begin

lemma  $add\_mono\_comm$ :
  fixes  $a :: 'a$ 
  shows  $c \leq d \implies c + a \leq d + a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

instantiation  $option :: (timestamp) timestamp$ 
begin

definition  $tfin\_option :: 'a option set$  where
   $tfin\_option = Some \ `tfin$ 

definition  $\iota\_option :: nat \Rightarrow 'a option$  where
   $\iota\_option = Some \circ \iota$ 

definition  $zero\_option :: 'a option$  where
   $zero\_option = Some 0$ 

definition  $plus\_option :: 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option$  where
   $plus\_option \ x \ y = (case \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ None \ | \ Some \ x' \Rightarrow (case \ y \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ None \ | \ Some \ y' \Rightarrow \ Some \ (x' + y')))$ 

definition  $sup\_option :: 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option$  where
   $sup\_option \ x \ y = (case \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ None \ | \ Some \ x' \Rightarrow (case \ y \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ None \ | \ Some \ y' \Rightarrow \ Some \ (sup \ x' \ y')))$ 

definition  $less\_option :: 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option \Rightarrow bool$  where
   $less\_option \ x \ y = (case \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ False \ | \ Some \ x' \Rightarrow (case \ y \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ True \ | \ Some \ y' \Rightarrow \ x' < y'))$ 

definition  $less\_eq\_option :: 'a option \Rightarrow 'a option \Rightarrow bool$  where
   $less\_eq\_option \ x \ y = (case \ x \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ x = y \ | \ Some \ x' \Rightarrow (case \ y \ of \ None \Rightarrow \ True \ | \ Some \ y' \Rightarrow \ x' \leq y'))$ 

instance
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

instantiation  $enat :: timestamp$ 
begin

definition  $tfin\_enat :: enat set$  where
   $tfin\_enat = UNIV - \{\infty\}$ 

definition  $\iota\_enat :: nat \Rightarrow enat$  where
   $\iota\_enat \ n = n$ 

```

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ereal :: timestamp
begin

definition  $\iota\_ereal$  :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  ereal where
   $\iota\_ereal$  n = ereal n

definition tfin_ereal :: ereal set where
  tfin_ereal = UNIV -  $\{-\infty, \infty\}$ 

lemma ereal_add_pos:
  fixes a :: ereal
  shows  $a \in tfin \Rightarrow 0 < c \Rightarrow a < a + c$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class timestamp_total = timestamp +
  assumes timestamp_total:  $a \leq b \vee b \leq a$ 
  assumes timestamp_tfin_le_not_tfin:  $0 \leq a \Rightarrow a \in tfin \Rightarrow 0 \leq b \Rightarrow b \notin tfin \Rightarrow a \leq b$ 
begin

lemma add_not_tfin:  $0 \leq a \Rightarrow a \in tfin \Rightarrow a \leq c \Rightarrow c \in tfin \Rightarrow 0 \leq b \Rightarrow b \notin tfin \Rightarrow c < a + b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation enat :: timestamp_total
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation ereal :: timestamp_total
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class timestamp_strict = timestamp +
  assumes add_mono_strict:  $c < d \Rightarrow a + c < a + d$ 

class timestamp_total_strict = timestamp_total + timestamp_strict

instantiation nat :: timestamp_total_strict
begin

```

definition *tfin_nat* :: *nat set* **where**
tfin_nat = *UNIV*

definition *ι_nat* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat* **where**
ι_nat *n* = *n*

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

instantiation *real* :: *timestamp_total_strict*
begin

definition *tfin_real* :: *real set* **where** *tfin_real* = *UNIV*

definition *ι_real* :: *nat* ⇒ *real* **where** *ι_real* *n* = *real* *n*

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

instantiation *prod* :: (*comm_monoid_add*, *comm_monoid_add*) *comm_monoid_add*
begin

definition *zero_prod* :: '*a* × '*b* **where**
zero_prod = (*0*, *0*)

fun *plus_prod* :: '*a* × '*b* ⇒ '*a* × '*b* ⇒ '*a* × '*b* **where**
(a, b) + (c, d) = (a + c, b + d)

instance
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

1 Intervals

typedef (**overloaded**) ('*a* :: *timestamp*) *I* = {(*i* :: '*a*, *j* :: '*a*, *lei* :: *bool*, *lej* :: *bool*). $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge i \in \text{tfin} \wedge \neg(j = 0 \wedge \neg \text{lej})$ }
⟨*proof*⟩

setup_lifting *type_definition_I*

instantiation *I* :: (*timestamp*) *equal* **begin**

lift_definition *equal_I* :: '*a* *I* ⇒ '*a* *I* ⇒ *bool* **is** (=) ⟨*proof*⟩

instance ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lift_definition *right* :: '*a* :: *timestamp* *I* ⇒ '*a* **is** *fst* ∘ *snd* ⟨*proof*⟩

lift_definition *memL* :: '*a* :: *timestamp* ⇒ '*a* ⇒ '*a* *I* ⇒ *bool* **is**

$\lambda t t' (a, b, lei, lej)$. if lei then $t + a \leq t'$ else $t + a < t'$ *<proof>*

lift_definition $memR :: 'a :: timestamp \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow bool$ is
 $\lambda t t' (a, b, lei, lej)$. if lej then $t' \leq t + b$ else $t' < t + b$ *<proof>*

definition $mem :: 'a :: timestamp \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $mem\ t\ t'\ I \longleftrightarrow memL\ t\ t'\ I \wedge memR\ t\ t'\ I$

lemma $memL_mono$: $memL\ t\ t'\ I \Longrightarrow t'' \leq t \Longrightarrow memL\ t''\ t'\ I$
<proof>

lemma $memL_mono'$: $memL\ t\ t'\ I \Longrightarrow t' \leq t'' \Longrightarrow memL\ t\ t''\ I$
<proof>

lemma $memR_mono$: $memR\ t\ t'\ I \Longrightarrow t \leq t'' \Longrightarrow memR\ t''\ t'\ I$
<proof>

lemma $memR_mono'$: $memR\ t\ t'\ I \Longrightarrow t'' \leq t' \Longrightarrow memR\ t\ t''\ I$
<proof>

lemma $memR_dest$: $memR\ t\ t'\ I \Longrightarrow t' \leq t + right\ I$
<proof>

lemma $memR_tfin_refl$:
assumes fin : $t \in tfin$
shows $memR\ t\ t\ I$
<proof>

lemma $right_I_add_mono$:
fixes $x :: 'a :: timestamp$
shows $x \leq x + right\ I$
<proof>

lift_definition $interval :: 'a :: timestamp \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I}$ is
 $\lambda i\ j\ lei\ lej$. (if $0 \leq i \wedge i \leq j \wedge i \in tfin \wedge \neg(j = 0 \wedge \neg lej)$ then (i, j, lei, lej) else $Code.abort\ (STR\ "malformed\ interval")\ (\lambda _.$ $(0, 0, True, True))$)
<proof>

lemma Rep_I $I = (l, r, b1, b2) \Longrightarrow memL\ 0\ 0\ I \longleftrightarrow l = 0 \wedge b1$
<proof>

lift_definition $dropL :: 'a :: timestamp \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I}$ is
 $\lambda(l, r, b1, b2)$. $(0, r, True, b2)$
<proof>

lemma $memL_dropL$: $t \leq t' \Longrightarrow memL\ t\ t'\ (dropL\ I)$
<proof>

lemma $memR_dropL$: $memR\ t\ t'\ (dropL\ I) = memR\ t\ t'\ I$
<proof>

lift_definition $flipL :: 'a :: timestamp \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 'a \mathcal{I}$ is
 $\lambda(l, r, b1, b2)$. if $\neg(l = 0 \wedge b1)$ then $(0, l, True, \neg b1)$ else $Code.abort\ (STR\ "invalid\ flipL")\ (\lambda _.$ $(0, 0, True, True))$
<proof>

lemma $memL_flipL$: $t \leq t' \Longrightarrow memL\ t\ t'\ (flipL\ I)$
<proof>

lemma *memR_flipLD*: $\neg \text{memL } 0 \ 0 \ I \implies \text{memR } t \ t' \ (\text{flipL } I) \implies \neg \text{memL } t \ t' \ I$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *memR_flipLI*:
fixes $t :: 'a :: \text{timestamp}$
shows $(\bigwedge u \ v. (u :: 'a :: \text{timestamp}) \leq v \vee v \leq u) \implies \neg \text{memL } t \ t' \ I \implies \text{memR } t \ t' \ (\text{flipL } I)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $t \in \text{tfin} \implies \text{memL } 0 \ 0 \ I \longleftrightarrow \text{memL } t \ t \ I$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *full* $(I :: ('a :: \text{timestamp}) \mathcal{I}) \longleftrightarrow (\forall t \ t'. 0 \leq t \wedge t \leq t' \wedge t \in \text{tfin} \wedge t' \in \text{tfin} \longrightarrow \text{mem } t \ t' \ I)$

lemma *memL_0_0* $(I :: ('a :: \text{timestamp_total}) \mathcal{I}) \implies \text{right } I \notin \text{tfin} \implies \text{full } I$
 ⟨proof⟩

2 Infinite Traces

inductive *sorted_list* $:: 'a :: \text{order list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 | *intro*: *sorted_list* []
 | *intro*: *sorted_list* [x]
 | *intro*: $x \leq y \implies \text{sorted_list } (y \ \# \ ys) \implies \text{sorted_list } (x \ \# \ y \ \# \ ys)$

lemma *sorted_list_app*: $\text{sorted_list } xs \implies (\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } xs \implies x \leq y) \implies \text{sorted_list } (xs \ @ \ [y])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_list_drop*: $\text{sorted_list } xs \implies \text{sorted_list } (\text{drop } n \ xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_list_ConsD*: $\text{sorted_list } (x \ \# \ xs) \implies \text{sorted_list } xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_list_Cons_nth*: $\text{sorted_list } (x \ \# \ xs) \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies x \leq xs \ ! \ j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_list_atD*: $\text{sorted_list } xs \implies i \leq j \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies xs \ ! \ i \leq xs \ ! \ j$
 ⟨proof⟩

coinductive *ssorted* $:: 'a :: \text{order stream} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
shd $s \leq \text{shd } (stl \ s) \implies \text{ssorted } (stl \ s) \implies \text{ssorted } s$

lemma *ssorted_siterate[simp]*: $(\bigwedge n. n \leq f \ n) \implies \text{ssorted } (\text{siterate } f \ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ssortedD*: $\text{ssorted } s \implies s \ ! \ i \leq stl \ s \ ! \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ssorted_sdrop*: $\text{ssorted } s \implies \text{ssorted } (\text{sdrop } i \ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ssorted_monoD*: $\text{ssorted } s \implies i \leq j \implies s \ ! \ i \leq s \ ! \ j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted_stake*: $\text{ssorted } s \implies \text{sorted_list } (\text{stake } i \ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ssorted_monoI*: $\forall i j. i \leq j \longrightarrow s !! i \leq s !! j \implies \text{ssorted } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ssorted_iff_mono*: $\text{ssorted } s \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j. i \leq j \longrightarrow s !! i \leq s !! j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

typedef (**overloaded**) ('a, 'b :: timestamp) *trace* = {s :: ('a set × 'b) stream.
 sorted (smap snd s) ∧ (∀ x. x ∈ snd ' sset s → x ∈ tfin) ∧ (∀ i x. x ∈ tfin → (∃ j. ¬snd (s !! j) ≤
 snd (s !! i) + x))}
 ⟨proof⟩

setup_lifting *type_definition_trace*

lift_definition $\Gamma :: ('a, 'b :: \text{timestamp}) \text{ trace} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set is}$
 $\lambda s i. \text{fst } (s !! i)$ ⟨proof⟩

lift_definition $\tau :: ('a, 'b :: \text{timestamp}) \text{ trace} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ is}$
 $\lambda s i. \text{snd } (s !! i)$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\tau_mono[\text{simp}]$: $i \leq j \implies \tau s i \leq \tau s j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma τ_fin : $\tau \sigma i \in \text{tfin}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ex_lt_τ*: $x \in \text{tfin} \implies \exists j. \neg \tau s j \leq \tau s i + x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *le_τ_less*: $\tau \sigma i \leq \tau \sigma j \implies j < i \implies \tau \sigma i = \tau \sigma j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less_τD*: $\tau \sigma i < \tau \sigma j \implies i < j$
 ⟨proof⟩

theory *MDL*

imports *Interval Trace*

begin

3 Formulas and Satisfiability

declare [[*typedef_overloaded*]]

datatype ('a, 't :: timestamp) *formula* = *Bool bool* | *Atom 'a* | *Neg ('a, 't) formula* |
Bin bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ('a, 't) formula ('a, 't) formula |
Prev 't I ('a, 't) formula | *Next 't I ('a, 't) formula* |
Since ('a, 't) formula 't I ('a, 't) formula |
Until ('a, 't) formula 't I ('a, 't) formula |
MatchP 't I ('a, 't) regex | *MatchF 't I ('a, 't) regex*
and ('a, 't) *regex* = *Lookahead ('a, 't) formula* | *Symbol ('a, 't) formula* |
Plus ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex | *Times ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex* |
Star ('a, 't) regex

fun *eps* :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) *regex* ⇒ *bool* **where**

eps (*Lookahead phi*) = *True*
 | *eps* (*Symbol phi*) = *False*
 | *eps* (*Plus r s*) = (*eps r* ∨ *eps s*)
 | *eps* (*Times r s*) = (*eps r* ∧ *eps s*)
 | *eps* (*Star r*) = *True*

fun *atms* :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) *regex* ⇒ ('a, 't) *formula set* **where**

```

  atms (Lookahead phi) = {phi}
| atms (Symbol phi) = {phi}
| atms (Plus r s) = atms r ∪ atms s
| atms (Times r s) = atms r ∪ atms s
| atms (Star r) = atms r

```

lemma *size_atms*[*termination_simp*]: $\text{phi} \in \text{atms } r \implies \text{size } \text{phi} < \text{size } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

```

fun wf_fm1a :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula ⇒ bool
and wf_regex :: ('a, 't) regex ⇒ bool where
  wf_fm1a (Bool b) = True
| wf_fm1a (Atom a) = True
| wf_fm1a (Neg phi) = wf_fm1a phi
| wf_fm1a (Bin f phi psi) = (wf_fm1a phi ∧ wf_fm1a psi)
| wf_fm1a (Prev I phi) = wf_fm1a phi
| wf_fm1a (Next I phi) = wf_fm1a phi
| wf_fm1a (Since phi I psi) = (wf_fm1a phi ∧ wf_fm1a psi)
| wf_fm1a (Until phi I psi) = (wf_fm1a phi ∧ wf_fm1a psi)
| wf_fm1a (MatchP I r) = (wf_regex r ∧ (∀ phi ∈ atms r. wf_fm1a phi))
| wf_fm1a (MatchF I r) = (wf_regex r ∧ (∀ phi ∈ atms r. wf_fm1a phi))
| wf_regex (Lookahead phi) = False
| wf_regex (Symbol phi) = wf_fm1a phi
| wf_regex (Plus r s) = (wf_regex r ∧ wf_regex s)
| wf_regex (Times r s) = (wf_regex s ∧ (¬eps s ∨ wf_regex r))
| wf_regex (Star r) = wf_regex r

```

```

fun progress :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula ⇒ 't list ⇒ nat where
  progress (Bool b) ts = length ts
| progress (Atom a) ts = length ts
| progress (Neg phi) ts = progress phi ts
| progress (Bin f phi psi) ts = min (progress phi ts) (progress psi ts)
| progress (Prev I phi) ts = min (length ts) (Suc (progress phi ts))
| progress (Next I phi) ts = (case progress phi ts of 0 ⇒ 0 | Suc k ⇒ k)
| progress (Since phi I psi) ts = min (progress phi ts) (progress psi ts)
| progress (Until phi I psi) ts = (if length ts = 0 then 0 else
  (let k = min (length ts - 1) (min (progress phi ts) (progress psi ts)) in
  Min {j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j ≤ k ∧ memR (ts ! j) (ts ! k) I}))
| progress (MatchP I r) ts = Min ((λf. progress f ts) ' atms r)
| progress (MatchF I r) ts = (if length ts = 0 then 0 else
  (let k = min (length ts - 1) (Min ((λf. progress f ts) ' atms r)) in
  Min {j. 0 ≤ j ∧ j ≤ k ∧ memR (ts ! j) (ts ! k) I}))

```

```

fun bounded_future_fm1a :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula ⇒ bool
and bounded_future_regex :: ('a, 't) regex ⇒ bool where
  bounded_future_fm1a (Bool b) ↔ True
| bounded_future_fm1a (Atom a) ↔ True
| bounded_future_fm1a (Neg phi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi
| bounded_future_fm1a (Bin f phi psi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi ∧ bounded_future_fm1a psi
| bounded_future_fm1a (Prev I phi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi
| bounded_future_fm1a (Next I phi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi
| bounded_future_fm1a (Since phi I psi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi ∧ bounded_future_fm1a psi
| bounded_future_fm1a (Until phi I psi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi ∧ bounded_future_fm1a psi ∧
right I ∈ tfin
| bounded_future_fm1a (MatchP I r) ↔ bounded_future_regex r
| bounded_future_fm1a (MatchF I r) ↔ bounded_future_regex r ∧ right I ∈ tfin
| bounded_future_regex (Lookahead phi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi
| bounded_future_regex (Symbol phi) ↔ bounded_future_fm1a phi

```

| *bounded_future_regex* (*Plus r s*) \longleftrightarrow *bounded_future_regex* *r* \wedge *bounded_future_regex* *s*
| *bounded_future_regex* (*Times r s*) \longleftrightarrow *bounded_future_regex* *r* \wedge *bounded_future_regex* *s*
| *bounded_future_regex* (*Star r*) \longleftrightarrow *bounded_future_regex* *r*

lemmas *regex_induct*[*case_names Lookahead Symbol Plus Times Star, induct type: regex*] =
regex.induct[*of* $\lambda_.$ *True, simplified*]

definition *Once I φ* \equiv *Since* (*Bool True*) *I φ*

definition *Historically I φ* \equiv *Neg* (*Once I* (*Neg φ*))

definition *Eventually I φ* \equiv *Until* (*Bool True*) *I φ*

definition *Always I φ* \equiv *Neg* (*Eventually I* (*Neg φ*))

fun *rderive* :: (*'a, 't* :: *timestamp*) *regex* \Rightarrow (*'a, 't*) *regex* **where**
rderive (*Lookahead phi*) = *Lookahead* (*Bool False*)
| *rderive* (*Symbol phi*) = *Lookahead phi*
| *rderive* (*Plus r s*) = *Plus* (*rderive r*) (*rderive s*)
| *rderive* (*Times r s*) = (*if eps s then Plus* (*rderive r*) (*Times r* (*rderive s*)) *else Times r* (*rderive s*))
| *rderive* (*Star r*) = *Times* (*Star r*) (*rderive r*)

lemma *atms_rderive*: *phi* \in *atms* (*rderive r*) \Longrightarrow *phi* \in *atms r* \vee *phi* = *Bool False*
<proof>

lemma *size_formula_positive*: *size* (*phi* :: (*'a, 't* :: *timestamp*) *formula*) $>$ *0*
<proof>

lemma *size_regex_positive*: *size* (*r* :: (*'a, 't* :: *timestamp*) *regex*) $>$ *Suc 0*
<proof>

lemma *size_rderive*[*termination_simp*]: *phi* \in *atms* (*rderive r*) \Longrightarrow *size phi* $<$ *size r*
<proof>

locale *MDL* =

fixes σ :: (*'a, 't* :: *timestamp*) *trace*

begin

fun *sat* :: (*'a, 't*) *formula* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *bool*
and *match* :: (*'a, 't*) *regex* \Rightarrow (*nat* \times *nat*) *set* **where**
sat (*Bool b*) *i* = *b*
| *sat* (*Atom a*) *i* = (*a* \in Γ σ *i*)
| *sat* (*Neg φ*) *i* = (\neg *sat φ i*)
| *sat* (*Bin f φ ψ*) *i* = (*f* (*sat φ i*) (*sat ψ i*))
| *sat* (*Prev I φ*) *i* = (*case i of 0* \Rightarrow *False* | *Suc j* \Rightarrow *mem* (τ σ *j*) (τ σ *i*) *I* \wedge *sat φ j*)
| *sat* (*Next I φ*) *i* = (*mem* (τ σ *i*) (τ σ (*Suc i*)) *I* \wedge *sat φ (Suc i)*)
| *sat* (*Since φ I ψ*) *i* = ($\exists j \leq i.$ *mem* (τ σ *j*) (τ σ *i*) *I* \wedge *sat ψ j* \wedge ($\forall k \in \{j..i\}.$ *sat φ k*))
| *sat* (*Until φ I ψ*) *i* = ($\exists j \geq i.$ *mem* (τ σ *i*) (τ σ *j*) *I* \wedge *sat ψ j* \wedge ($\forall k \in \{i..j\}.$ *sat φ k*))
| *sat* (*MatchP I r*) *i* = ($\exists j \leq i.$ *mem* (τ σ *j*) (τ σ *i*) *I* \wedge (*j, Suc i*) \in *match r*)
| *sat* (*MatchF I r*) *i* = ($\exists j \geq i.$ *mem* (τ σ *i*) (τ σ *j*) *I* \wedge (*i, Suc j*) \in *match r*)
| *match* (*Lookahead φ*) = $\{(i, i) \mid i. \text{sat } \varphi \ i\}$
| *match* (*Symbol φ*) = $\{(i, \text{Suc } i) \mid i. \text{sat } \varphi \ i\}$
| *match* (*Plus r s*) = *match r* \cup *match s*
| *match* (*Times r s*) = *match r* *O* *match s*
| *match* (*Star r*) = *rtranc1* (*match r*)

lemma *sat* (*Prev I* (*Bool False*)) *i* \longleftrightarrow *sat* (*Bool False*) *i*
sat (*Next I* (*Bool False*)) *i* \longleftrightarrow *sat* (*Bool False*) *i*
sat (*Since φ I* (*Bool False*)) *i* \longleftrightarrow *sat* (*Bool False*) *i*
sat (*Until φ I* (*Bool False*)) *i* \longleftrightarrow *sat* (*Bool False*) *i*
<proof>

lemma *prev_rewrite*: $\text{sat } (\text{Prev } I \ \varphi) \ i \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } (\text{MatchP } I \ (\text{Times } (\text{Symbol } \varphi) \ (\text{Symbol } (\text{Bool True})))) \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *next_rewrite*: $\text{sat } (\text{Next } I \ \varphi) \ i \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } (\text{MatchF } I \ (\text{Times } (\text{Symbol } (\text{Bool True})) \ (\text{Symbol } \varphi))) \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *trancL_Base*: $\{(i, \text{Suc } i) \mid i. P \ i\}^* = \{(i, j). i \leq j \wedge (\forall k \in \{i..<j\}. P \ k)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Ball_atLeastLessThan_reindex*:
 $(\forall k \in \{j..<i\}. P \ (\text{Suc } k)) = (\forall k \in \{j<..i\}. P \ k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *since_rewrite*: $\text{sat } (\text{Since } \varphi \ I \ \psi) \ i \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } (\text{MatchP } I \ (\text{Times } (\text{Symbol } \psi) \ (\text{Star } (\text{Symbol } \varphi)))) \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *until_rewrite*: $\text{sat } (\text{Until } \varphi \ I \ \psi) \ i \longleftrightarrow \text{sat } (\text{MatchF } I \ (\text{Times } (\text{Star } (\text{Symbol } \varphi)) \ (\text{Symbol } \psi))) \ i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *match_le*: $(i, j) \in \text{match } r \implies i \leq j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *match_Times*: $(i, i + n) \in \text{match } (\text{Times } r \ s) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists k \leq n. (i, i + k) \in \text{match } r \wedge (i + k, i + n) \in \text{match } s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancL_unfold*: $(x, z) \in \text{rtrancL } R \implies$
 $x = z \vee (\exists y. (x, y) \in R \wedge x \neq y \wedge (y, z) \in \text{rtrancL } R)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancL_unfold'*: $(x, z) \in \text{rtrancL } R \implies$
 $x = z \vee (\exists y. (x, y) \in \text{rtrancL } R \wedge y \neq z \wedge (y, z) \in R)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *match_Star*: $(i, i + \text{Suc } n) \in \text{match } (\text{Star } r) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists k \leq n. (i, i + 1 + k) \in \text{match } r \wedge (i + 1 + k, i + \text{Suc } n) \in \text{match } (\text{Star } r))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *match_refl_eps*: $(i, i) \in \text{match } r \implies \text{eps } r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf_regex_eps_match*: $\text{wf_regex } r \implies \text{eps } r \implies (i, i) \in \text{match } r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *match_Star_unfold*: $i < j \implies (i, j) \in \text{match } (\text{Star } r) \implies \exists k \in \{i..<j\}. (i, k) \in \text{match } (\text{Star } r) \wedge (k, j) \in \text{match } r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *match_rderive*: $\text{wf_regex } r \implies i \leq j \implies (i, \text{Suc } j) \in \text{match } r \longleftrightarrow (i, j) \in \text{match } (\text{rderive } r)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *atms_nonempty*: $\text{atms } r \neq \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *atms_finite*: $\text{finite } (\text{atms } r)$

<proof>

lemma *progress_le_ts*:

assumes $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set } ts \implies t \in \text{tfin}$

shows $\text{progress } \phi \text{ } ts \leq \text{length } ts$

<proof>

end

theory *Metric_Point_Structure*

imports *Interval*

begin

class *metric_domain* = *plus* + *zero* + *ord* +

assumes $\Delta 1: x + x' = x' + x$

and $\Delta 2: (x + x') + x'' = x + (x' + x'')$

and $\Delta 3: x + 0 = x$

and $\Delta 3': x = 0 + x$

and $\Delta 4: x + x' = x + x'' \implies x' = x''$

and $\Delta 4': x + x'' = x' + x'' \implies x = x'$

and $\Delta 5: x + x' = 0 \implies x = 0$

and $\Delta 5': x + x' = 0 \implies x' = 0$

and $\Delta 6: \exists x''. x = x' + x'' \vee x' = x + x''$

and *metric_domain_le_def*: $x \leq x' \iff (\exists x''. x' = x + x'')$

and *metric_domain_lt_def*: $x < x' \iff (\exists x''. x'' \neq 0 \wedge x' = x + x'')$

begin

lemma *metric_domain_pos*: $x \geq 0$

<proof>

lemma *less_eq_le_neq*: $x < x' \iff (x \leq x' \wedge x \neq x')$

<proof>

end

class *metric_domain_timestamp* = *metric_domain* + *sup* + *embed_nat* + *tfin* +

assumes *metric_domain_sup_def*: $\text{sup } x \ x' = (\text{if } x \leq x' \text{ then } x' \text{ else } x)$

and *metric_domain_l_mono*: $\bigwedge i \ j. i \leq j \implies \iota \ i \leq \iota \ j$

and *metric_domain_l_progressing*: $\exists j. \neg \iota \ j \leq \iota \ i + x$

and *metric_domain_tfin_def*: $\text{tfin} = \text{UNIV}$

subclass (**in** *metric_domain_timestamp*) *timestamp*

<proof>

locale *metric_point_structure* =

fixes $d :: 't :: \{\text{order}\} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'd :: \text{metric_domain_timestamp}$

assumes $d1: d \ t \ t' = 0 \iff t = t'$

and $d2: d \ t \ t' = d \ t' \ t$

and $d3: t < t' \implies t' < t'' \implies d \ t \ t'' = d \ t \ t' + d \ t' \ t''$

and $d3': t < t' \implies t' < t'' \implies d \ t'' \ t = d \ t'' \ t' + d \ t' \ t$

begin

lemma *metric_point_structure_memL_aux*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x \leq d\ t\ t' \longleftrightarrow (d\ t0\ t + x \leq d\ t0\ t')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_memL_strict_aux*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x < d\ t\ t' \longleftrightarrow (d\ t0\ t + x < d\ t0\ t')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_memR_aux*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d\ t\ t' \leq x \longleftrightarrow (d\ t0\ t' \leq d\ t0\ t + x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_memR_strict_aux*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d\ t\ t' < x \longleftrightarrow (d\ t0\ t' < d\ t0\ t + x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_le_mem*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d\ t\ t' \leq x \longleftrightarrow mem\ (d\ t0\ t)\ (d\ t0\ t')$
 (*interval* 0 x True True)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_lt_mem*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies 0 < x \implies d\ t\ t' < x \longleftrightarrow mem\ (d\ t0\ t)\ (d\ t0\ t')$
 (*interval* 0 x True False)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_eq_mem*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies d\ t\ t' = x \longleftrightarrow mem\ (d\ t0\ t)\ (d\ t0\ t')$
 (*interval* x x True True)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_ge_mem*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x \leq d\ t\ t' \longleftrightarrow mem\ (Some\ (d\ t0\ t))\ (Some\ (d\ t0\ t'))$
 (*interval* (Some x) None True True)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *metric_point_structure_gt_mem*: $t0 \leq t \implies t \leq t' \implies x < d\ t\ t' \longleftrightarrow mem\ (Some\ (d\ t0\ t))\ (Some\ (d\ t0\ t'))$
 (*interval* (Some x) None False True)
 ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation *nat* :: *metric_domain_timestamp*
begin

instance
 ⟨proof⟩

end

interpretation *nat_metric_point_structure*: *metric_point_structure* $\lambda t :: nat. \lambda t'. \text{if } t \leq t' \text{ then } t' - t \text{ else } t - t'$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *NFA*
imports *HOL-Library.IArray*
begin

type_synonym *state* = *nat*

datatype *transition* = *eps_trans state nat* | *symb_trans state* | *split_trans state state*

fun *state_set* :: *transition* \Rightarrow *state set* **where**

state_set (*eps_trans* *s* _) = {*s*}
| *state_set* (*symb_trans* *s*) = {*s*}
| *state_set* (*split_trans* *s* *s'*) = {*s*, *s'*}

fun *fmla_set* :: *transition* \Rightarrow *nat set* **where**

fmla_set (*eps_trans* _ *n*) = {*n*}
| *fmla_set* _ = {}

lemma *rtranclp_closed*: $rtranclp\ R\ q\ q' \Longrightarrow X = X \cup \{q'. \exists q \in X. R\ q\ q'\} \Longrightarrow$
 $q \in X \Longrightarrow q' \in X$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp_closed_sub*: $rtranclp\ R\ q\ q' \Longrightarrow \{q'. \exists q \in X. R\ q\ q'\} \subseteq X \Longrightarrow$
 $q \in X \Longrightarrow q' \in X$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp_closed_sub'*: $rtranclp\ R\ q\ q' \Longrightarrow q' = q \vee (\exists q''. R\ q\ q'' \wedge rtranclp\ R\ q''\ q')$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp_step*: $rtranclp\ R\ q\ q'' \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge q'. R\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow q' \in X) \Longrightarrow$
 $q = q'' \vee (\exists q' \in X. R\ q\ q' \wedge rtranclp\ R\ q'\ q'')$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp_unfold*: $rtranclp\ R\ x\ z \Longrightarrow x = z \vee (\exists y. R\ x\ y \wedge rtranclp\ R\ y\ z)$
<proof>

context fixes

q0 :: *state* **and**
qf :: *state* **and**
transs :: *transition list*

begin

qualified definition *SQ* :: *state set* **where**

$SQ = \{q0..<q0 + length\ transs\}$

lemma *q_in_SQ*[*code_unfold*]: $q \in SQ \longleftrightarrow q0 \leq q \wedge q < q0 + length\ transs$
<proof>

lemma *finite_SQ*: *finite* *SQ*
<proof>

lemma *transs_q_in_set*: $q \in SQ \Longrightarrow transs\ !\ (q - q0) \in set\ transs$
<proof> **definition** *Q* :: *state set* **where**
 $Q = SQ \cup \{qf\}$

lemma *finite_Q*: *finite* *Q*
<proof>

lemma *SQ_sub_Q*: $SQ \subseteq Q$
<proof> **definition** *nfa_fmla_set* :: *nat set* **where**
 $nfa_fmla_set = \bigcup (fmla_set\ 'set\ transs)$

qualified definition $step_eps :: bool\ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $step_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow q \in SQ \wedge$
 $(case\ transs\ !\ (q - q0)\ of\ eps_trans\ p\ n \Rightarrow n < length\ bs \wedge bs\ !\ n \wedge p = q'$
 $| split_trans\ p\ p' \Rightarrow p = q' \vee p' = q' | _ \Rightarrow False)$

lemma $step_eps_dest: step_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \Longrightarrow q \in SQ$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_mono: step_eps\ []\ q\ q' \Longrightarrow step_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_eps_sucs :: bool\ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state\ set$ **where**
 $step_eps_sucs\ bs\ q = (if\ q \in SQ\ then$
 $(case\ transs\ !\ (q - q0)\ of\ eps_trans\ p\ n \Rightarrow if\ n < length\ bs \wedge bs\ !\ n\ then\ \{p\}\ else\ \{\})$
 $| split_trans\ p\ p' \Rightarrow \{p, p'\} | _ \Rightarrow \{\})\ else\ \{\})$

lemma $step_eps_sucs_sound: q' \in step_eps_sucs\ bs\ q \longleftrightarrow step_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_eps_set :: bool\ list \Rightarrow state\ set \Rightarrow state\ set$ **where**
 $step_eps_set\ bs\ R = \bigcup (step_eps_sucs\ bs\ ` R)$

lemma $step_eps_set_sound: step_eps_set\ bs\ R = \{q'. \exists q \in R. step_eps\ bs\ q\ q'\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_set_mono: R \subseteq S \Longrightarrow step_eps_set\ bs\ R \subseteq step_eps_set\ bs\ S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_eps_closure :: bool\ list \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $step_eps_closure\ bs = (step_eps\ bs)^*$

lemma $step_eps_closure_dest: step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \Longrightarrow q \neq q' \Longrightarrow q \in SQ$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_eps_closure_set :: state\ set \Rightarrow bool\ list \Rightarrow state\ set$ **where**
 $step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs = \bigcup ((\lambda q. \{q'. step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q'\}) ` R)$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_refl: R \subseteq step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_mono: R \subseteq S \Longrightarrow step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs \subseteq step_eps_closure_set\ S\ bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_empty: step_eps_closure_set\ \{\}\ bs = \{\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_mono': step_eps_closure_set\ R\ [] \subseteq step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_split: step_eps_closure_set\ (R \cup S)\ bs =$
 $step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs \cup step_eps_closure_set\ S\ bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_Un: step_eps_closure_set\ (\bigcup x \in X. R\ x)\ bs =$
 $(\bigcup x \in X. step_eps_closure_set\ (R\ x)\ bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_idem: step_eps_closure_set\ (step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs)\ bs =$
 $step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_flip:$
assumes $step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs = R \cup S$
shows $step_eps_closure_set\ S\ bs \subseteq R \cup S$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_unfold*: $(\bigwedge q'. \text{step_eps } bs \ q \ q' \longleftrightarrow q' \in X) \implies$
 $\text{step_eps_closure_set } \{q\} \ bs = \{q\} \cup \text{step_eps_closure_set } X \ bs$

<proof>

lemma *step_step_eps_closure*: $\text{step_eps } bs \ q \ q' \implies q \in R \implies q' \in \text{step_eps_closure_set } R \ bs$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_code*[code]:

$\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \ bs =$

$(\text{let } R' = R \cup \text{step_eps_set } bs \ R \text{ in if } R = R' \text{ then } R \text{ else } \text{step_eps_closure_set } R' \ bs)$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_empty*: $\text{step_eps_closure } bs \ q \ q' \implies (\bigwedge q'. \neg \text{step_eps } bs \ q \ q') \implies q = q'$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_step_id*: $(\bigwedge q \ q'. q \in R \implies \neg \text{step_eps } bs \ q \ q') \implies$

$\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \ bs = R$

<proof> **definition** *step_symb* :: $\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{step_symb } q \ q' \longleftrightarrow q \in SQ \wedge$

$(\text{case transs } ! (q - q0) \text{ of symb_trans } p \Rightarrow p = q' \mid _ \Rightarrow \text{False})$

lemma *step_symb_dest*: $\text{step_symb } q \ q' \implies q \in SQ$

<proof> **definition** *step_symb_sucs* :: $\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state set}$ **where**

$\text{step_symb_sucs } q = (\text{if } q \in SQ \text{ then}$

$(\text{case transs } ! (q - q0) \text{ of symb_trans } p \Rightarrow \{p\} \mid _ \Rightarrow \{\}) \text{ else } \{\})$

lemma *step_symb_sucs_sound*: $q' \in \text{step_symb_sucs } q \longleftrightarrow \text{step_symb } q \ q'$

<proof> **definition** *step_symb_set* :: $\text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{state set}$ **where**

$\text{step_symb_set } R = \{q'. \exists q \in R. \text{step_symb } q \ q'\}$

lemma *step_symb_set_mono*: $R \subseteq S \implies \text{step_symb_set } R \subseteq \text{step_symb_set } S$

<proof>

lemma *step_symb_set_empty*: $\text{step_symb_set } \{\} = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *step_symb_set_proj*: $\text{step_symb_set } R = \text{step_symb_set } (R \cap SQ)$

<proof>

lemma *step_symb_set_split*: $\text{step_symb_set } (R \cup S) = \text{step_symb_set } R \cup \text{step_symb_set } S$

<proof>

lemma *step_symb_set_Un*: $\text{step_symb_set } (\bigcup x \in X. R \ x) = (\bigcup x \in X. \text{step_symb_set } (R \ x))$

<proof>

lemma *step_symb_set_code*[code]: $\text{step_symb_set } R = \bigcup (\text{step_symb_sucs } 'R)$

<proof> **definition** *delta* :: $\text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{bool list} \Rightarrow \text{state set}$ **where**

$\text{delta } R \ bs = \text{step_symb_set } (\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \ bs)$

lemma *delta_eps*: $\text{delta } (\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \ bs) \ bs = \text{delta } R \ bs$

<proof>

lemma *delta_eps_split*:

assumes $\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \ bs = R \cup S$

shows $\text{delta } R \text{ bs} = \text{step_symb_set } R \cup \text{delta } S \text{ bs}$
(proof)

lemma delta_split : $\text{delta } (R \cup S) \text{ bs} = \text{delta } R \text{ bs} \cup \text{delta } S \text{ bs}$
(proof)

lemma delta_Un : $\text{delta } (\bigcup x \in X. R \ x) \text{ bs} = (\bigcup x \in X. \text{delta } (R \ x) \text{ bs})$
(proof)

lemma $\text{delta_step_symb_set_absorb}$: $\text{delta } R \text{ bs} = \text{delta } R \text{ bs} \cup \text{step_symb_set } R$
(proof)

lemma $\text{delta_sub_eps_mono}$:
assumes $S \subseteq \text{step_eps_closure_set } R \text{ bs}$
shows $\text{delta } S \text{ bs} \subseteq \text{delta } R \text{ bs}$
(proof) **definition** $\text{run} :: \text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{bool list list} \Rightarrow \text{state set}$ **where**
 $\text{run } R \text{ bss} = \text{foldl } \text{delta } R \text{ bss}$

lemma run_eps_split :
assumes $\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \text{ bs} = R \cup S \text{ step_symb_set } R = \{\}$
shows $\text{run } R \text{ (bs \# bss)} = \text{run } S \text{ (bs \# bss)}$
(proof)

lemma run_empty : $\text{run } \{\} \text{ bss} = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma run_Nil : $\text{run } R \ [] = R$
(proof)

lemma run_Cons : $\text{run } R \text{ (bs \# bss)} = \text{run } (\text{delta } R \text{ bs}) \text{ bss}$
(proof)

lemma run_split : $\text{run } (R \cup S) \text{ bss} = \text{run } R \text{ bss} \cup \text{run } S \text{ bss}$
(proof)

lemma run_Un : $\text{run } (\bigcup x \in X. R \ x) \text{ bss} = (\bigcup x \in X. \text{run } (R \ x) \text{ bss})$
(proof)

lemma run_comp : $\text{run } R \text{ (bss @ css)} = \text{run } (\text{run } R \text{ bss}) \text{ css}$
(proof) **definition** $\text{accept_eps} :: \text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{bool list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{accept_eps } R \text{ bs} \longleftrightarrow (\text{qf} \in \text{step_eps_closure_set } R \text{ bs})$

lemma $\text{step_eps_accept_eps}$: $\text{step_eps } \text{bs } \text{qf} \Longrightarrow \text{q} \in R \Longrightarrow \text{accept_eps } R \ \text{bs}$
(proof)

lemma accept_eps_empty : $\text{accept_eps } \{\} \ \text{bs} \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
(proof)

lemma accept_eps_split : $\text{accept_eps } (R \cup S) \ \text{bs} \longleftrightarrow \text{accept_eps } R \ \text{bs} \vee \text{accept_eps } S \ \text{bs}$
(proof)

lemma accept_eps_Un : $\text{accept_eps } (\bigcup x \in X. R \ x) \ \text{bs} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. \text{accept_eps } (R \ x) \ \text{bs})$
(proof) **definition** $\text{accept} :: \text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{accept } R \longleftrightarrow \text{accept_eps } R \ []$

qualified definition $\text{run_accept_eps} :: \text{state set} \Rightarrow \text{bool list list} \Rightarrow \text{bool list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$run_accept_eps\ R\ bss\ bs = accept_eps\ (run\ R\ bss)\ bs$

lemma $run_accept_eps_empty$: $\neg run_accept_eps\ \{\}\ bss\ bs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Nil$: $run_accept_eps\ R\ []\ cs \longleftrightarrow accept_eps\ R\ cs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Cons$: $run_accept_eps\ R\ (bs\ \# \ bss)\ cs \longleftrightarrow run_accept_eps\ (delta\ R\ bs)\ bss\ cs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Cons_delta_cong$: $delta\ R\ bs = delta\ S\ bs \implies run_accept_eps\ R\ (bs\ \# \ bss)\ cs \longleftrightarrow run_accept_eps\ S\ (bs\ \# \ bss)\ cs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Nil_eps$: $run_accept_eps\ (step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs)\ []\ bs \longleftrightarrow run_accept_eps\ R\ []\ bs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Cons_eps$: $run_accept_eps\ (step_eps_closure_set\ R\ cs)\ (cs\ \# \ css)\ bs \longleftrightarrow run_accept_eps\ R\ (cs\ \# \ css)\ bs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Nil_eps_split$:
assumes $step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs = R \cup S$ $step_symb_set\ R = \{\}$ $qf \notin R$
shows $run_accept_eps\ R\ []\ bs = run_accept_eps\ S\ []\ bs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Cons_eps_split$:
assumes $step_eps_closure_set\ R\ cs = R \cup S$ $step_symb_set\ R = \{\}$ $qf \notin R$
shows $run_accept_eps\ R\ (cs\ \# \ css)\ bs = run_accept_eps\ S\ (cs\ \# \ css)\ bs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_split$: $run_accept_eps\ (R \cup S)\ bss\ bs \longleftrightarrow run_accept_eps\ R\ bss\ bs \vee run_accept_eps\ S\ bss\ bs$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_Un$: $run_accept_eps\ (\bigcup x \in X. R\ x)\ bss\ bs \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. run_accept_eps\ (R\ x)\ bss\ bs)$
 <proof> **definition** $run_accept :: state\ set \Rightarrow bool\ list\ list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $run_accept\ R\ bss = accept\ (run\ R\ bss)$

end

definition $iarray_of_list\ xs = IArray\ xs$

context **fixes**

$transs :: transition\ iarray$

and $len :: nat$

begin

qualified definition $step_eps' :: bool\ iarray \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $step_eps'\ bs\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow q < len \wedge$
 (case $transs\ !!\ q$ of $eps_trans\ p\ n \Rightarrow n < IArray.length\ bs \wedge bs\ !!\ n \wedge p = q'$
 | $split_trans\ p\ p' \Rightarrow p = q' \vee p' = q'$ | $_ \Rightarrow False$)

qualified definition $step_eps_closure' :: bool\ iarray \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$step_eps_closure' bs = (step_eps' bs)^{**}$

qualified definition $step_eps_sucs' :: bool iarray \Rightarrow state \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $step_eps_sucs' bs q = (if q < len then$
 $(case transs !! q of eps_trans p n \Rightarrow if n < IArray.length bs \wedge bs !! n then \{p\} else \{}$
 $| split_trans p p' \Rightarrow \{p, p'\} | _ \Rightarrow \{ }) else \{ })$

lemma $step_eps_sucs'_sound: q' \in step_eps_sucs' bs q \longleftrightarrow step_eps' bs q q'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_eps_set' :: bool iarray \Rightarrow state set \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $step_eps_set' bs R = \bigcup (step_eps_sucs' bs ' R)$

lemma $step_eps_set'_sound: step_eps_set' bs R = \{q'. \exists q \in R. step_eps' bs q q'\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_eps_closure_set' :: state set \Rightarrow bool iarray \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $step_eps_closure_set' R bs = \bigcup ((\lambda q. \{q'. step_eps_closure' bs q q'\}) ' R)$

lemma $step_eps_closure_set'_code[code]:$
 $step_eps_closure_set' R bs =$
 $(let R' = R \cup step_eps_set' bs R in if R = R' then R else step_eps_closure_set' R' bs)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $step_symb_sucs' :: state \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $step_symb_sucs' q = (if q < len then$
 $(case transs !! q of symb_trans p \Rightarrow \{p\} | _ \Rightarrow \{ }) else \{ })$

qualified definition $step_symb_set' :: state set \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $step_symb_set' R = \bigcup (step_symb_sucs' ' R)$

qualified definition $delta' :: state set \Rightarrow bool iarray \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $delta' R bs = step_symb_set' (step_eps_closure_set' R bs)$

qualified definition $accept_eps' :: state set \Rightarrow bool iarray \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $accept_eps' R bs \longleftrightarrow (len \in step_eps_closure_set' R bs)$

qualified definition $accept' :: state set \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $accept' R \longleftrightarrow accept_eps' R (iarray_of_list [])$

qualified definition $run' :: state set \Rightarrow bool iarray list \Rightarrow state set$ **where**
 $run' R bss = foldl delta' R bss$

qualified definition $run_accept_eps' :: state set \Rightarrow bool iarray list \Rightarrow bool iarray \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $run_accept_eps' R bss bs = accept_eps' (run' R bss) bs$

qualified definition $run_accept' :: state set \Rightarrow bool iarray list \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $run_accept' R bss = accept' (run' R bss)$

end

locale $nfa_array =$
fixes $transs :: transition list$
and $transs' :: transition iarray$
and $len :: nat$
assumes $transs_eq: transs' = IArray transs$
and $len_def: len = length transs$
begin

abbreviation $step_eps \equiv NFA.step_eps 0 transs$

abbreviation $step_eps' \equiv NFA.step_eps' transs' len$

abbreviation $step_eps_closure \equiv NFA.step_eps_closure 0 transs$

abbreviation $step_eps_closure' \equiv NFA.step_eps_closure' transs' len$

abbreviation $step_eps_sucs \equiv NFA.step_eps_sucs 0 transs$

abbreviation $step_eps_sucs' \equiv NFA.step_eps_sucs' transs' len$
abbreviation $step_eps_set \equiv NFA.step_eps_set 0 transs$
abbreviation $step_eps_set' \equiv NFA.step_eps_set' transs' len$
abbreviation $step_eps_closure_set \equiv NFA.step_eps_closure_set 0 transs$
abbreviation $step_eps_closure_set' \equiv NFA.step_eps_closure_set' transs' len$
abbreviation $step_symb_sucs \equiv NFA.step_symb_sucs 0 transs$
abbreviation $step_symb_sucs' \equiv NFA.step_symb_sucs' transs' len$
abbreviation $step_symb_set \equiv NFA.step_symb_set 0 transs$
abbreviation $step_symb_set' \equiv NFA.step_symb_set' transs' len$
abbreviation $delta \equiv NFA.delta 0 transs$
abbreviation $delta' \equiv NFA.delta' transs' len$
abbreviation $accept_eps \equiv NFA.accept_eps 0 len transs$
abbreviation $accept_eps' \equiv NFA.accept_eps' transs' len$
abbreviation $accept \equiv NFA.accept 0 len transs$
abbreviation $accept' \equiv NFA.accept' transs' len$
abbreviation $run \equiv NFA.run 0 transs$
abbreviation $run' \equiv NFA.run' transs' len$
abbreviation $run_accept_eps \equiv NFA.run_accept_eps 0 len transs$
abbreviation $run_accept_eps' \equiv NFA.run_accept_eps' transs' len$
abbreviation $run_accept \equiv NFA.run_accept 0 len transs$
abbreviation $run_accept' \equiv NFA.run_accept' transs' len$

lemma $q_in_SQ: q \in NFA.SQ 0 transs \longleftrightarrow q < len$
<proof>

lemma $step_eps'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_eps bs q q' \longleftrightarrow step_eps' bs' q q'$
<proof>

lemma $step_eps_closure'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_eps_closure bs q q' \longleftrightarrow step_eps_closure' bs' q q'$
<proof>

lemma $step_eps_sucs'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_eps_sucs bs q = step_eps_sucs' bs' q$
<proof>

lemma $step_eps_set'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_eps_set bs R = step_eps_set' bs' R$
<proof>

lemma $step_eps_closure_set'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_eps_closure_set R bs = step_eps_closure_set' R bs'$
<proof>

lemma $step_symb_sucs'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_symb_sucs R = step_symb_sucs' R$
<proof>

lemma $step_symb_set'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies step_symb_set R = step_symb_set' R$
<proof>

lemma $delta'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies delta R bs = delta' R bs'$
<proof>

lemma $accept_eps'_eq: bs' = IArray bs \implies accept_eps R bs = accept_eps' R bs'$
<proof>

lemma $accept'_eq: accept R = accept' R$
<proof>

lemma $run'_eq: bss' = map IArray bss \implies run R bss = run' R bss'$

<proof>

lemma *run_accept_eps'_eq*: $bss' = \text{map } I\text{Array } bss \implies bs' = I\text{Array } bs \implies$
 $\text{run_accept_eps } R \text{ } bss \text{ } bs \longleftrightarrow \text{run_accept_eps}' R \text{ } bss' \text{ } bs'$

<proof>

lemma *run_accept'_eq*: $bss' = \text{map } I\text{Array } bss \implies$
 $\text{run_accept } R \text{ } bss \longleftrightarrow \text{run_accept}' R \text{ } bss'$

<proof>

end

locale *nfa* =

fixes *q0* :: *nat*

and *qf* :: *nat*

and *transs* :: *transition list*

assumes *state_closed*: $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set } \text{transs} \implies \text{state_set } t \subseteq \text{NFA.Q } q0 \text{ } qf \text{ } \text{transs}$

and *transs_not_Nil*: $\text{transs} \neq []$

and *qf_not_in_SQ*: $qf \notin \text{NFA.SQ } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

begin

abbreviation *SQ* $\equiv \text{NFA.SQ } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *Q* $\equiv \text{NFA.Q } q0 \text{ } qf \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *nfa_fmula_set* $\equiv \text{NFA.nfa_fmula_set } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_eps* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_eps } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_eps_sucs* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_eps_sucs } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_eps_set* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_eps_set } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_eps_closure* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_eps_closure } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_eps_closure_set* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_eps_closure_set } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_symb* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_symb } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_symb_sucs* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_symb_sucs } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *step_symb_set* $\equiv \text{NFA.step_symb_set } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *delta* $\equiv \text{NFA.delta } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *run* $\equiv \text{NFA.run } q0 \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *accept_eps* $\equiv \text{NFA.accept_eps } q0 \text{ } qf \text{ } \text{transs}$

abbreviation *run_accept_eps* $\equiv \text{NFA.run_accept_eps } q0 \text{ } qf \text{ } \text{transs}$

lemma *Q_diff_qf_SQ*: $Q - \{qf\} = SQ$

<proof>

lemma *q0_sub_SQ*: $\{q0\} \subseteq SQ$

<proof>

lemma *q0_sub_Q*: $\{q0\} \subseteq Q$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closed*: $\text{step_eps } bs \text{ } q \text{ } q' \implies q' \in Q$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_set_closed*: $\text{step_eps_set } bs \text{ } R \subseteq Q$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_closed*: $\text{step_eps_closure } bs \text{ } q \text{ } q' \implies q \neq q' \implies q' \in Q$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_closed_union*: $\text{step_eps_closure_set } R \text{ } bs \subseteq R \cup Q$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_closed*: $R \subseteq Q \implies \text{step_eps_closure_set } R \text{ } bs \subseteq Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_symb_closed*: $\text{step_symb } q \text{ } q' \implies q' \in Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_symb_set_closed*: $\text{step_symb_set } R \subseteq Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_symb_set_qf*: $\text{step_symb_set } \{qf\} = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delta_closed*: $\text{delta } R \text{ } bs \subseteq Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_closed_Cons*: $\text{run } R \text{ } (bs \# bss) \subseteq Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_closed*: $R \subseteq Q \implies \text{run } R \text{ } bss \subseteq Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_eps_qf*: $\text{step_eps } bs \text{ } qf \text{ } q \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_symb_qf*: $\text{step_symb } qf \text{ } q \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_eps_closure_qf*: $\text{step_eps_closure } bs \text{ } q \text{ } q' \implies q = qf \implies q = q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_qf*: $\text{step_eps_closure_set } \{qf\} \text{ } bs = \{qf\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delta_qf*: $\text{delta } \{qf\} \text{ } bs = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_qf_many*: $\text{run } \{qf\} \text{ } (bs \# bss) = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_accept_eps_qf_many*: $\text{run_accept_eps } \{qf\} \text{ } (bs \# bss) \text{ } cs \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_accept_eps_qf_one*: $\text{run_accept_eps } \{qf\} \text{ } [] \text{ } bs \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

locale *nfa_cong* = *nfa* *q0* *qf* *transs* + *nfa'*: *nfa* *q0'* *qf'* *transs'*
for *q0* *q0'* *qf* *qf'* *transs* *transs'* +
assumes *SQ_sub*: *nfa'*.*SQ* \subseteq *SQ* **and**
qf_eq: *qf* = *qf'* **and**
transs_eq: $\bigwedge q. q \in \text{nfa'.SQ} \implies \text{transs } ! (q - q0) = \text{transs'} ! (q - q0')$
begin

lemma *q_Q_SQ_nfa'_SQ*: $q \in \text{nfa'.Q} \implies q \in \text{SQ} \longleftrightarrow q \in \text{nfa'.SQ}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_eps_cong*: $q \in nfa'.Q \implies step_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eps_nfa'_step_eps_closure*: $step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge nfa'.step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *nfa'_eps_step_eps_closure*: $nfa'.step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_cong*: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs = nfa'.step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_symb_cong*: $q \in nfa'.Q \implies step_symb\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step_symb\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step_symb_set_cong*: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies step_symb_set\ R = nfa'.step_symb_set\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *delta_cong*: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies delta\ R\ bs = nfa'.delta\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_cong*: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies run\ R\ bss = nfa'.run\ R\ bss$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *accept_eps_cong*: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies accept_eps\ R\ bs \longleftrightarrow nfa'.accept_eps\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *run_accept_eps_cong*:
 assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$
 shows $run_accept_eps\ R\ bss\ bs \longleftrightarrow nfa'.run_accept_eps\ R\ bss\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

fun *list_split* :: 'a list \Rightarrow ('a list \times 'a list) set **where**
list_split [] = {}
 | *list_split* (x # xs) = {([], x # xs)} \cup (\bigcup (ys, zs) \in *list_split* xs. {(x # ys, zs)})

lemma *list_split_unfold*: $(\bigcup$ (ys, zs) \in *list_split* (x # xs). *f* ys zs) =
f [] (x # xs) \cup (\bigcup (ys, zs) \in *list_split* xs. *f* (x # ys) zs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *list_split_def*: $list_split\ xs = (\bigcup n < length\ xs. \{(take\ n\ xs,\ drop\ n\ xs)\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

locale *nfa_cong'* = *nfa* *q0* *qf* *transs* + *nfa'*: *nfa* *q0'* *qf'* *transs'*
for *q0* *q0'* *qf* *qf'* *transs* *transs'* +
assumes *SQ_sub*: $nfa'.SQ \subseteq SQ$ **and**
qf'_in_SQ: $qf' \in SQ$ **and**
transs_eq: $\bigwedge q. q \in nfa'.SQ \implies transs\ !\ (q - q0) = transs'\ !\ (q - q0')$
begin

lemma *nfa'_Q_sub_Q*: $nfa'.Q \subseteq Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $q_SQ_SQ_nfa'_SQ$: $q \in nfa'.SQ \implies q \in SQ \longleftrightarrow q \in nfa'.SQ$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_eps_cong_SQ$: $q \in nfa'.SQ \implies step_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_eps_cong_Q$: $q \in nfa'.Q \implies nfa'.step_eps\ bs\ q\ q' \implies step_eps\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $nfa'_step_eps_closure_cong$: $nfa'.step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $nfa'_step_eps_closure_set_sub$: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies nfa'.step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs \subseteq step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $eps_nfa'_step_eps_closure_cong$: $step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies (q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge nfa'.step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q') \vee (nfa'.step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ qf' \wedge step_eps_closure\ bs\ qf'\ q')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $nfa'_eps_step_eps_closure_cong$: $nfa'.step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q' \implies q \in nfa'.Q \implies q' \in nfa'.Q \wedge step_eps_closure\ bs\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_cong_reach$: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies qf' \in nfa'.step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs \implies step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs = nfa'.step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs \cup step_eps_closure_set\ \{qf'\}\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_cong_unreach$: $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \implies qf' \notin nfa'.step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs \implies step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs = nfa'.step_eps_closure_set\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_symb_cong_SQ$: $q \in nfa'.SQ \implies step_symb\ q\ q' \longleftrightarrow nfa'.step_symb\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_symb_cong_Q$: $nfa'.step_symb\ q\ q' \implies step_symb\ q\ q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_symb_set_cong_SQ$: $R \subseteq nfa'.SQ \implies step_symb_set\ R = nfa'.step_symb_set\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $step_symb_set_cong_Q$: $nfa'.step_symb_set\ R \subseteq step_symb_set\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $delta_cong_unreach$:
 assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q \neg nfa'.accept_eps\ R\ bs$
 shows $delta\ R\ bs = nfa'.delta\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $nfa'_delta_sub_delta$:
 assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$
 shows $nfa'.delta\ R\ bs \subseteq delta\ R\ bs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $delta_cong_reach$:

assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ $nfa'.accept_eps$ R bs
shows δR $bs = nfa'.\delta R$ $bs \cup \delta \{qf'\}$ bs
 <proof>

lemma run_cong :
assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$
shows run R $bss = nfa'.run$ R $bss \cup (\bigcup (css, css') \in list_split$ $bss.$
 if $nfa'.run_accept_eps$ R css $(hd$ $css')$ then run $\{qf'\}$ css' else $\{\}$)
 <proof>

lemma $run_cong_Cons_sub$:
assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ $\delta \{qf'\}$ $bs \subseteq nfa'.\delta R$ bs
shows run R $(bs \# bss) = nfa'.run$ R $(bs \# bss) \cup$
 $(\bigcup (css, css') \in list_split$ $bss.$
 if $nfa'.run_accept_eps$ $(nfa'.\delta R$ $bs)$ css $(hd$ $css')$ then run $\{qf'\}$ css' else $\{\}$)
 <proof>

lemma $accept_eps_nfa'_run$:
assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$
shows $accept_eps$ $(nfa'.run$ R $bss)$ $bs \longleftrightarrow$
 $nfa'.accept_eps$ $(nfa'.run$ R $bss)$ $bs \wedge accept_eps$ $(run$ $\{qf'\}$ \square) bs
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_cong$:
assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$
shows run_accept_eps R bss $bs \longleftrightarrow (nfa'.run_accept_eps$ R bss $bs \wedge run_accept_eps$ $\{qf'\}$ \square $bs) \vee$
 $(\exists (css, css') \in list_split$ $bss. nfa'.run_accept_eps$ R css $(hd$ $css')$ \wedge
 run_accept_eps $\{qf'\}$ css' $bs)$
 <proof>

lemma $run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub$:
assumes $R \subseteq nfa'.Q$ $\delta \{qf'\}$ $bs \subseteq nfa'.\delta R$ bs
shows run_accept_eps R $(bs \# bss)$ $cs \longleftrightarrow$
 $(nfa'.run_accept_eps$ R $(bs \# bss)$ $cs \wedge run_accept_eps$ $\{qf'\}$ \square $cs) \vee$
 $(\exists (css, css') \in list_split$ $bss. nfa'.run_accept_eps$ $(nfa'.\delta R$ $bs)$ css $(hd$ $css')$ \wedge
 run_accept_eps $\{qf'\}$ css' $cs)$
 <proof>

lemmas $run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub_simp =$
 $run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub[unfolding$ $list_split_def, simplified,$
 $unfolding$ $run_accept_eps_Cons[symmetric]]$ $take_Suc_Cons[symmetric]$

end

locale $nfa_cong_Plus = nfa_cong$ $q0$ $q0'$ qf qf' $trans$ $trans' +$
 $right: nfa_cong$ $q0$ $q0''$ qf qf'' $trans$ $trans''$
for $q0$ $q0'$ $q0''$ qf qf' qf'' $trans$ $trans'$ $trans'' +$
assumes $step_eps_q0: step_eps$ bs $q0$ $q \longleftrightarrow q \in \{q0', q0''\}$ **and**
 $step_symb_q0: \neg step_symb$ $q0$ q
begin

lemma $step_symb_set_q0: step_symb_set$ $\{q0\} = \{\}$
 <proof>

lemma $qf_not_q0: qf \notin \{q0\}$
 <proof>

lemma $step_eps_closure_set_q0: step_eps_closure_set$ $\{q0\}$ $bs = \{q0\} \cup$

$(nfa'.step_eps_closure_set \{q0'\} bs \cup right.nfa'.step_eps_closure_set \{q0''\} bs)$
 <proof>

lemmas *run_accept_eps_Nil_cong* =

run_accept_eps_Nil_eps_split[OF *step_eps_closure_set_q0 step_symb_set_q0 qf_not_q0*,
unfolded run_accept_eps_split
run_accept_eps_cong[OF *nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed*[OF *nfa'.q0_sub_Q*]]
right.run_accept_eps_cong[OF *right.nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed*[OF *right.nfa'.q0_sub_Q*]]
run_accept_eps_Nil_eps]

lemmas *run_accept_eps_Cons_cong* =

run_accept_eps_Cons_eps_split[OF *step_eps_closure_set_q0 step_symb_set_q0 qf_not_q0*,
unfolded run_accept_eps_split
run_accept_eps_cong[OF *nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed*[OF *nfa'.q0_sub_Q*]]
right.run_accept_eps_cong[OF *right.nfa'.step_eps_closure_set_closed*[OF *right.nfa'.q0_sub_Q*]]
run_accept_eps_Cons_eps]

lemma *run_accept_eps_cong*: *run_accept_eps* $\{q0\}$ *bss* *bs* \longleftrightarrow

$(nfa'.run_accept_eps \{q0'\} bss \, bs \vee right.nfa'.run_accept_eps \{q0''\} bss \, bs)$
 <proof>

end

locale *nfa_cong_Times* = *nfa_cong'* *q0* *q0'* *qf* *q0'* *transs* *transs'* +

right: *nfa_cong* *q0* *q0'* *qf* *qf* *transs* *transs''*

for *q0* *q0'* *qf* *transs* *transs'* *transs''*

begin

lemmas *run_accept_eps_cong* =

run_accept_eps_cong[OF *nfa'.q0_sub_Q*, *unfolded*
right.run_accept_eps_cong[OF *right.nfa'.q0_sub_Q*], *unfolded list_split_def*, *simplified*]

end

locale *nfa_cong_Star* = *nfa_cong'* *q0* *q0'* *qf* *q0* *transs* *transs'*

for *q0* *q0'* *qf* *transs* *transs'* +

assumes *step_eps_q0*: *step_eps* *bs* *q0* *q* \longleftrightarrow $q \in \{q0', qf\}$ **and**

step_symb_q0: $\neg step_symb \, q0 \, q$

begin

lemma *step_symb_set_q0*: *step_symb_set* $\{q0\} = \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *run_accept_eps_Nil*: *run_accept_eps* $\{q0\} [] \, bs$

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp_step_eps_q0_q0'*: $(step_eps \, bs)^** \, q \, q' \implies q = q0 \implies$

$q' \in \{q0, qf\} \vee (q' \in nfa'.SQ \wedge (nfa'.step_eps \, bs)^** \, q0' \, q')$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_q0*: *step_eps_closure_set* $\{q0\} \, bs \subseteq \{q0, qf\} \cup$

$(nfa'.step_eps_closure_set \{q0'\} \, bs \cap nfa'.SQ)$

<proof>

lemma *delta_sub_nfa'_delta*: *delta* $\{q0\} \, bs \subseteq nfa'.delta \, \{q0'\} \, bs$

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_q0_split*: *step_eps_closure_set* $\{q0\} \, bs = \{q0, qf\} \cup$

step_eps_closure_set {q0'} bs
 <proof>

lemma *delta_q0_q0'*: delta {q0} bs = delta {q0'} bs
 <proof>

lemmas *run_accept_eps_cong_Cons* =
 run_accept_eps_cong_Cons_sub_simp[OF nfa'.q0_sub_Q delta_sub_nfa'_delta,
 unfolded run_accept_eps_Cons_delta_cong[OF delta_q0_q0', symmetric]]

end

end

theory *Window*

imports *HOL-Library.AList HOL-Library.Mapping HOL-Library.While_Combinator Timestamp*

begin

type_synonym ('a, 'b) *mmap* = ('a × 'b) list

inductive *chain_le* :: 'd :: timestamp list ⇒ bool **where**
 chain_le_Nil: chain_le []
 | chain_le_singleton: chain_le [x]
 | chain_le_cons: chain_le (y # xs) ⇒ x ≤ y ⇒ chain_le (x # y # xs)

lemma *chain_le_app*: chain_le (zs @ [z]) ⇒ z ≤ w ⇒ chain_le ((zs @ [z]) @ [w])
 <proof>

inductive *reaches_on* :: ('e ⇒ ('e × 'f) option) ⇒ 'e ⇒ 'f list ⇒ 'e ⇒ bool
for *run* :: 'e ⇒ ('e × 'f) option **where**
 reaches_on_run_s [] s
 | run_s = Some (s', v) ⇒ reaches_on_run s' vs s'' ⇒ reaches_on_run s (v # vs) s''

lemma *reaches_on_init_Some*: reaches_on r s xs s' ⇒ r s' ≠ None ⇒ r s ≠ None
 <proof>

lemma *reaches_on_split*: reaches_on_run s vs s' ⇒ i < length vs ⇒
 ∃ s'' s'''. reaches_on_run s (take i vs) s'' ∧ run s'' = Some (s''', vs ! i) ∧ reaches_on_run s''' (drop
 (Suc i) vs) s'
 <proof>

lemma *reaches_on_split'*: reaches_on_run s vs s' ⇒ i ≤ length vs ⇒
 ∃ s''. reaches_on_run s (take i vs) s'' ∧ reaches_on_run s'' (drop i vs) s'
 <proof>

lemma *reaches_on_split_app*: reaches_on_run s (vs @ vs') s' ⇒
 ∃ s''. reaches_on_run s vs s'' ∧ reaches_on_run s'' vs' s'
 <proof>

lemma *reaches_on_inj*: reaches_on_run s vs t ⇒ reaches_on_run s vs' t' ⇒
 length vs = length vs' ⇒ vs = vs' ∧ t = t'
 <proof>

lemma *reaches_on_split_last*: reaches_on_run s (xs @ [x]) s'' ⇒
 ∃ s'. reaches_on_run s xs s' ∧ run s' = Some (s', x)
 <proof>

lemma *reaches_on_rev_induct*[consumes 1]: $\text{reaches_on run } s \text{ vs } s' \implies$
 $(\bigwedge s. P s \ \square \ s) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge s \ s' \ v \ \text{vs } s''. \text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \implies P s \ \text{vs } s' \implies \text{run } s' = \text{Some } (s'', v) \implies$
 $P s \ (\text{vs } @ [v]) \ s'') \implies$
 $P s \ \text{vs } s'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_app*: $\text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \implies \text{run } s' = \text{Some } (s'', v) \implies$
 $\text{reaches_on run } s \ (\text{vs } @ [v]) \ s''$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_trans*: $\text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \implies \text{reaches_on run } s' \ \text{vs}' \ s'' \implies$
 $\text{reaches_on run } s \ (\text{vs } @ \text{vs}') \ s''$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_onD*: $\text{reaches_on run } s \ ((t, b) \# \text{vs}) \ s' \implies$
 $\exists s''. \text{run } s = \text{Some } (s'', (t, b)) \wedge \text{reaches_on run } s'' \ \text{vs } s'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_setD*: $\text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \implies x \in \text{set } \text{vs} \implies$
 $\exists \text{vs}' \ \text{vs}'' \ s''. \text{reaches_on run } s \ (\text{vs}' @ [x]) \ s'' \wedge \text{reaches_on run } s'' \ \text{vs}'' \ s' \wedge \text{vs} = \text{vs}' @ x \# \text{vs}''$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_len*: $\exists \text{vs } s'. \text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \wedge (\text{length } \text{vs} = n \vee \text{run } s' = \text{None})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_NilD*: $\text{reaches_on run } q \ \square \ q' \implies q = q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_ConsD*: $\text{reaches_on run } q \ (x \# \text{xs}) \ q' \implies \exists q''. \text{run } q = \text{Some } (q'', x) \wedge \text{reaches_on run } q'' \ \text{xs } q'$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *reaches* :: ('e \Rightarrow ('e \times 'f) option) \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow bool
for *run* :: 'e \Rightarrow ('e \times 'f) option **where**
 $\text{reaches run } s \ 0 \ s$
 $| \text{run } s = \text{Some } (s', v) \implies \text{reaches run } s' \ n \ s'' \implies \text{reaches run } s \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s''$

lemma *reaches_Suc_split_last*: $\text{reaches run } s \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s' \implies \exists s'' \ x. \text{reaches run } s \ n \ s'' \wedge \text{run } s'' = \text{Some } (s', x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_invar*: $\text{reaches } f \ x \ n \ y \implies P \ x \implies (\bigwedge z \ z' \ v. P \ z \implies f \ z = \text{Some } (z', v) \implies P \ z') \implies$
 $P \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_cong*: $\text{reaches } f \ x \ n \ y \implies P \ x \implies (\bigwedge z \ z' \ v. P \ z \implies f \ z = \text{Some } (z', v) \implies P \ z') \implies$
 $(\bigwedge z. P \ z \implies f' (g \ z) = \text{map_option } (\text{apfst } g) (f \ z)) \implies \text{reaches } f' (g \ x) \ n \ (g \ y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_n*: $\text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \implies \text{reaches run } s \ (\text{length } \text{vs}) \ s'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on*: $\text{reaches run } s \ n \ s' \implies \exists \text{vs}. \text{reaches_on run } s \ \text{vs } s' \wedge \text{length } \text{vs} = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *ts_at* :: ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'd **where**
 $\text{ts_at } \rho \ i = \text{fst } (\rho \ ! \ i)$

definition $bs_at :: ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**
 $bs_at\ rho\ i = snd\ (rho\ !\ i)$

fun $sub_bs :: ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow nat \times nat \Rightarrow 'b\ list$ **where**
 $sub_bs\ rho\ (i, j) = map\ (bs_at\ rho)\ [i..<j]$

definition $steps :: ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow nat \times nat \Rightarrow 'c$ **where**
 $steps\ step\ rho\ q\ ij = foldl\ step\ q\ (sub_bs\ rho\ ij)$

definition $acc :: ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow nat \times nat \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ ij = accept\ (steps\ step\ rho\ q\ ij)$

definition $sup_acc :: ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('d \times nat) option$ **where**
 $sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ i\ j =$
 $(let\ L' = \{l \in \{i..<j\}. acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ (i, Suc\ l)\};\ m = Max\ L'\ in$
 $if\ L' = \{\} then\ None\ else\ Some\ (ts_at\ rho\ m, m))$

definition $sup_leadsto :: 'c \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'd option$ **where**
 $sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ i\ j\ q =$
 $(let\ L' = \{l.\ l < i \wedge steps\ step\ rho\ init\ (l, j) = q\};\ m = Max\ L'\ in$
 $if\ L' = \{\} then\ None\ else\ Some\ (ts_at\ rho\ m))$

definition $mmap_keys :: ('a, 'b) mmap \Rightarrow 'a\ set$ **where**
 $mmap_keys\ kvs = set\ (map\ fst\ kvs)$

definition $mmap_lookup :: ('a, 'b) mmap \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b option$ **where**
 $mmap_lookup = map_of$

definition $valid_s :: 'c \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('c \times 'b, 'c) mapping \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('c, 'c \times ('d \times nat) option) mmap \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $valid_s\ init\ step\ st\ accept\ rho\ u\ i\ j\ s \equiv$
 $(\forall q\ bs.\ case\ Mapping.lookup\ st\ (q, bs)\ of\ None \Rightarrow True \mid Some\ v \Rightarrow step\ q\ bs = v) \wedge$
 $(mmap_keys\ s = \{q.\ (\exists l \leq u.\ steps\ step\ rho\ init\ (l, i) = q)\} \wedge distinct\ (map\ fst\ s) \wedge$
 $(\forall q.\ case\ mmap_lookup\ s\ q\ of\ None \Rightarrow True$
 $\mid Some\ (q', tstp) \Rightarrow steps\ step\ rho\ q\ (i, j) = q' \wedge tstp = sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ i\ j))$

record $('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args =$
 $w_init :: 'c$
 $w_step :: 'c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c$
 $w_accept :: 'c \Rightarrow bool$
 $w_run_t :: 't \Rightarrow ('t \times 'd) option$
 $w_read_t :: 't \Rightarrow 'd option$
 $w_run_sub :: 'e \Rightarrow ('e \times 'b) option$

record $('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window =$
 $w_st :: ('c \times 'b, 'c) mapping$
 $w_ac :: ('c, bool) mapping$
 $w_i :: nat$
 $w_ti :: 't$
 $w_si :: 'e$
 $w_j :: nat$
 $w_tj :: 't$
 $w_sj :: 'e$
 $w_s :: ('c, 'c \times ('d \times nat) option) mmap$

$w_e :: ('c, 'd) \text{mmap}$

copy_bnf (*dead 'b, dead 'c, dead 'd, dead 't, 'e, dead 'ext*) *window_ext*

fun *reach_window* :: ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow
 ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow nat \times 't \times 'e \times nat \times 't \times 'e \Rightarrow bool **where**
reach_window args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*) $\longleftrightarrow i \leq j \wedge \text{length rho} = j \wedge$
reaches_on (*w_run_t* args) *t0* (take *i* (map fst rho)) *ti* \wedge
reaches_on (*w_run_t* args) *ti* (drop *i* (map fst rho)) *tj* \wedge
reaches_on (*w_run_sub* args) sub (take *i* (map snd rho)) *si* \wedge
reaches_on (*w_run_sub* args) *si* (drop *i* (map snd rho)) *sj*

lemma *reach_windowI*: *reaches_on* (*w_run_t* args) *t0* (take *i* (map fst rho)) *ti* \Longrightarrow
reaches_on (*w_run_sub* args) sub (take *i* (map snd rho)) *si* \Longrightarrow
reaches_on (*w_run_t* args) *t0* (map fst rho) *tj* \Longrightarrow
reaches_on (*w_run_sub* args) sub (map snd rho) *sj* \Longrightarrow
i \leq length rho \Longrightarrow length rho = *j* \Longrightarrow
reach_window args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*)
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_shift*:

assumes *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*) *i* < *j*
w_run_t args *ti* = Some (*ti'*, *t*) *w_run_sub* args *si* = Some (*si'*, *s*)
shows *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (Suc *i, ti', si', j, tj, sj*)
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_run_ti*: *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*) \Longrightarrow
i < *j* \Longrightarrow $\exists ti'$. *reaches_on* (*w_run_t* args) *t0* (take *i* (map fst rho)) *ti* \wedge
w_run_t args *ti* = Some (*ti'*, *ts_at* rho *i*) \wedge
reaches_on (*w_run_t* args) *ti'* (drop (Suc *i*) (map fst rho)) *tj*
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_run_si*: *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*) \Longrightarrow
i < *j* \Longrightarrow $\exists si'$. *reaches_on* (*w_run_sub* args) sub (take *i* (map snd rho)) *si* \wedge
w_run_sub args *si* = Some (*si'*, *bs_at* rho *i*) \wedge
reaches_on (*w_run_sub* args) *si'* (drop (Suc *i*) (map snd rho)) *sj*
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_run_tj*: *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*) \Longrightarrow
reaches_on (*w_run_t* args) *t0* (map fst rho) *tj*
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_run_sj*: *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, ti, si, j, tj, sj*) \Longrightarrow
reaches_on (*w_run_sub* args) sub (map snd rho) *sj*
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_shift_all*: *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, si, ti, j, sj, tj*) \Longrightarrow
reach_window args *t0* sub rho (*j, sj, tj, j, sj, tj*)
 <proof>

lemma *reach_window_app*: *reach_window* args *t0* sub rho (*i, si, ti, j, tj, sj*) \Longrightarrow
w_run_t args *tj* = Some (*tj'*, *x*) \Longrightarrow *w_run_sub* args *sj* = Some (*sj'*, *y*) \Longrightarrow
reach_window args *t0* sub (rho @ [(*x, y*)] (*i, si, ti, Suc j, tj', sj'*)
 <proof>

fun *init_args* :: ('c \times ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \times ('c \Rightarrow bool)) \Rightarrow
 (('t \Rightarrow ('t \times 'd) option) \times ('t \Rightarrow 'd option)) \Rightarrow
 ('e \Rightarrow ('e \times 'b) option) \Rightarrow ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args **where**

$init_args (init, step, accept) (run_t, read_t) run_sub =$
 $(\{w_init = init, w_step = step, w_accept = accept, w_run_t = run_t, w_read_t = read_t, w_run_sub$
 $= run_sub\})$

fun $init_window :: ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) args \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window$ **where**
 $init_window\ args\ t0\ sub = (\{w_st = Mapping.empty, w_ac = Mapping.empty,$
 $w_i = 0, w_ti = t0, w_si = sub, w_j = 0, w_tj = t0, w_sj = sub,$
 $w_s = [(w_init\ args, (w_init\ args, None))], w_e = []\})$

definition $valid_window :: ('b, 'c, 'd :: timestamp, 't, 'e) args \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow ('d \times 'b) list \Rightarrow$
 $('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) window \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $valid_window\ args\ t0\ sub\ rho\ w \longleftrightarrow$
 $(let\ init = w_init\ args; step = w_step\ args; accept = w_accept\ args;$
 $run_t = w_run_t\ args; run_sub = w_run_sub\ args;$
 $st = w_st\ w; ac = w_ac\ w;$
 $i = w_i\ w; ti = w_ti\ w; si = w_si\ w; j = w_j\ w; tj = w_tj\ w; sj = w_sj\ w;$
 $s = w_s\ w; e = w_e\ w\ in$
 $(reach_window\ args\ t0\ sub\ rho\ (i, ti, si, j, tj, sj) \wedge$
 $(\forall i\ j. i \leq j \wedge j < length\ rho \longrightarrow ts_at\ rho\ i \leq ts_at\ rho\ j) \wedge$
 $(\forall q. case\ Mapping.lookup\ ac\ q\ of\ None \Rightarrow True \mid Some\ v \Rightarrow accept\ q = v) \wedge$
 $(\forall q. mmap_lookup\ e\ q = sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ i\ j\ q) \wedge distinct\ (map\ fst\ e) \wedge$
 $valid_s\ init\ step\ st\ accept\ rho\ i\ i\ j\ s))$

lemma $valid_init_window: valid_window\ args\ t0\ sub\ [] (init_window\ args\ t0\ sub)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $steps_app_cong: j \leq length\ rho \Longrightarrow steps\ step\ (rho\ @\ [x])\ q\ (i, j) =$
 $steps\ step\ rho\ q\ (i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $acc_app_cong: j < length\ rho \Longrightarrow acc\ step\ accept\ (rho\ @\ [x])\ q\ (i, j) =$
 $acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ (i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sup_acc_app_cong: j \leq length\ rho \Longrightarrow sup_acc\ step\ accept\ (rho\ @\ [x])\ q\ i\ j =$
 $sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ i\ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sup_acc_concat_cong: j \leq length\ rho \Longrightarrow sup_acc\ step\ accept\ (rho\ @\ rho^{\wedge})\ q\ i\ j =$
 $sup_acc\ step\ accept\ rho\ q\ i\ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $sup_leadsto_app_cong: i \leq j \Longrightarrow j \leq length\ rho \Longrightarrow$
 $sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ (rho\ @\ [x])\ i\ j\ q = sup_leadsto\ init\ step\ rho\ i\ j\ q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $chain_le:$
fixes $xs :: 'd :: timestamp\ list$
shows $chain_le\ xs \Longrightarrow i \leq j \Longrightarrow j < length\ xs \Longrightarrow xs\ !\ i \leq xs\ !\ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $steps_refl[simp]: steps\ step\ rho\ q\ (i, i) = q$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $steps_split: i < j \Longrightarrow steps\ step\ rho\ q\ (i, j) =$
 $steps\ step\ rho\ (step\ q\ (bs_at\ rho\ i))\ (Suc\ i, j)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *steps_app*: $i \leq j \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, j + 1) =$
 $\text{step (steps step rho } q (i, j)) (\text{bs_at rho } j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *steps_appE*: $i \leq j \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, \text{Suc } j) = q' \implies$
 $\exists q''. \text{steps step rho } q (i, j) = q'' \wedge q' = \text{step } q'' (\text{bs_at rho } j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *steps_comp*: $i \leq l \implies l \leq j \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, l) = q' \implies$
 $\text{steps step rho } q' (l, j) = q'' \implies \text{steps step rho } q (i, j) = q''$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_SomeI*: $\text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } l) \implies l \in \{i..<j\} \implies$
 $\exists tp. \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some (ts_at rho } tp, tp) \wedge l \leq tp \wedge tp < j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_Some_ts*: $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some (ts, tp)} \implies \text{ts} = \text{ts_at rho } tp$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_SomeE*: $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some (ts, tp)} \implies$
 $tp \in \{i..<j\} \wedge \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } tp)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_NoneE*: $l \in \{i..<j\} \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{None} \implies$
 $\neg \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_same*: $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i i = \text{None}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_None_restrict*: $i \leq j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho (step } q (\text{bs_at rho } i)) (\text{Suc } i) j = \text{None}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_ext_idle*: $i \leq j \implies \neg \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } j) \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i (\text{Suc } j) = \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_comp_Some_ge*: $i \leq l \implies l \leq j \implies tp \geq l \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho (steps step rho } q (i, l)) l j = \text{Some (ts, tp)} \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{sup_acc step accept rho (steps step rho } q (i, l)) l j$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_comp_None*: $i \leq l \implies l \leq j \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho (steps step rho } q (i, l)) l j = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_ext*: $i \leq j \implies \text{acc step accept rho } q (i, \text{Suc } j) \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i (\text{Suc } j) = \text{Some (ts_at rho } j, j)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_None*: $i < j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{None} \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho (step } q (\text{bs_at rho } i)) (i + 1) j = \text{None}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup_acc_i*: $i < j \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q i j = \text{Some (ts, i)} \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho (step } q (\text{bs_at rho } i)) (\text{Suc } i) j = \text{None}$

<proof>

lemma *sup_acc_l*: $i < j \implies i \neq l \implies \text{sup_acc step accept rho } q \ i \ j = \text{Some } (ts, l) \implies$
 $\text{sup_acc step accept rho } q \ i \ j = \text{sup_acc step accept rho } (\text{step } q \ (\text{bs_at rho } i)) \ (\text{Suc } i) \ j$

<proof>

lemma *sup_leadsto_idle*: $i < j \implies \text{steps step rho init } (i, j) \neq q \implies$
 $\text{sup_leadsto init step rho } i \ j \ q = \text{sup_leadsto init step rho } (i + 1) \ j \ q$

<proof>

lemma *sup_leadsto_SomeI*: $l < i \implies \text{steps step rho init } (l, j) = q \implies$
 $\exists l'. \text{sup_leadsto init step rho } i \ j \ q = \text{Some } (ts_at \text{ rho } l') \wedge l \leq l' \wedge l' < i$

<proof>

lemma *sup_leadsto_SomeE*: $i \leq j \implies \text{sup_leadsto init step rho } i \ j \ q = \text{Some } ts \implies$
 $\exists l < i. \text{steps step rho init } (l, j) = q \wedge ts_at \text{ rho } l = ts$

<proof>

lemma *Mapping_keys_dest*: $x \in \text{mmap_keys } f \implies \exists y. \text{mmap_lookup } f \ x = \text{Some } y$

<proof>

lemma *Mapping_keys_intro*: $\text{mmap_lookup } f \ x \neq \text{None} \implies x \in \text{mmap_keys } f$

<proof>

lemma *Mapping_not_keys_intro*: $\text{mmap_lookup } f \ x = \text{None} \implies x \notin \text{mmap_keys } f$

<proof>

lemma *Mapping_lookup_None_intro*: $x \notin \text{mmap_keys } f \implies \text{mmap_lookup } f \ x = \text{None}$

<proof>

primrec *mmap_combine* :: $'key \Rightarrow 'val \Rightarrow ('val \Rightarrow 'val \Rightarrow 'val) \Rightarrow ('key \times 'val) \text{ list} \Rightarrow$
 $('key \times 'val) \text{ list}$

where

$\text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ [] = [(k, v)]$

$|\ \text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ (p \ \# \ ps) = (\text{case } p \ \text{of } (k', v') \Rightarrow$
 $\text{if } k = k' \ \text{then } (k, c \ v' \ v) \ \# \ ps \ \text{else } p \ \# \ \text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ ps)$

lemma *mmap_combine_distinct_set*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } r) \implies$
 $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ r)) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ r)) = \text{set } (\text{map fst } r) \cup \{k\}$

<proof>

lemma *mmap_combine_lookup*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } r) \implies \text{mmap_lookup } (\text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ r) \ z =$
 $(\text{if } k = z \ \text{then } (\text{case } \text{mmap_lookup } r \ k \ \text{of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{Some } v \ | \ \text{Some } v' \Rightarrow \text{Some } (c \ v' \ v))$
 $\text{else } \text{mmap_lookup } r \ z)$

<proof>

definition *mmap_fold* :: $('c, 'd) \text{ mmap} \Rightarrow (('c \times 'd) \Rightarrow ('c \times 'd)) \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow$
 $('c, 'd) \text{ mmap} \Rightarrow ('c, 'd) \text{ mmap}$ **where**
 $\text{mmap_fold } m \ f \ c \ r = \text{foldl } (\lambda r \ p. \text{case } f \ p \ \text{of } (k, v) \Rightarrow \text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ r) \ r \ m$

definition *mmap_fold'* :: $('c, 'd) \text{ mmap} \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow (('c \times 'd) \times 'e \Rightarrow ('c \times 'd) \times 'e) \Rightarrow$
 $('d \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow 'd) \Rightarrow ('c, 'd) \text{ mmap} \Rightarrow ('c, 'd) \text{ mmap} \times 'e$ **where**
 $\text{mmap_fold}' \ m \ e \ f \ c \ r = \text{foldl } (\lambda (r, e) \ p. \text{case } f \ (p, e) \ \text{of } ((k, v), e') \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{mmap_combine } k \ v \ c \ r, e')) \ (r, e) \ m$

lemma *mmap_fold'_eq*: $\text{mmap_fold}' \ m \ e \ f' \ c \ r = (m', e') \implies P \ e \implies$
 $(\bigwedge p \ e' \ e'. P \ e \implies f' \ (p, e) = (p', e') \implies p' = f \ p \wedge P \ e') \implies$

$m' = \text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c r} \wedge P \text{ e}'$
 <proof>

lemma *foldl_mmap_combine_distinct_set*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } r) \implies$
 $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c r})) \wedge$
 $\text{set } (\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c r})) = \text{set } (\text{map fst } r) \cup \text{set } (\text{map } (\text{fst} \circ \text{f}) \text{ m})$
 <proof>

lemma *mmap_fold_lookup_rec*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } r) \implies \text{mmap_lookup } (\text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c r}) \text{ z} =$
 $(\text{case map } (\text{snd} \circ \text{f}) (\text{filter } (\lambda(k, v). \text{fst } (\text{f } (k, v)) = \text{z}) \text{ m}) \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{mmap_lookup } r \text{ z}$
 $| v \# \text{ vs} \Rightarrow (\text{case mmap_lookup } r \text{ z of None} \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{foldl } c \text{ v vs})$
 $| \text{Some } w \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{foldl } c \text{ w } (v \# \text{ vs}))))$
 <proof>

lemma *mmap_fold_distinct*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } m) \implies \text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c } []))$
 <proof>

lemma *mmap_fold_set*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } m) \implies$
 $\text{set } (\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c } [])) = (\text{fst} \circ \text{f}) \text{ ' set } m$
 <proof>

lemma *mmap_lookup_empty*: $\text{mmap_lookup } [] \text{ z} = \text{None}$
 <proof>

lemma *mmap_fold_lookup*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } m) \implies \text{mmap_lookup } (\text{mmap_fold } m \text{ f c } []) \text{ z} =$
 $(\text{case map } (\text{snd} \circ \text{f}) (\text{filter } (\lambda(k, v). \text{fst } (\text{f } (k, v)) = \text{z}) \text{ m}) \text{ of } [] \Rightarrow \text{None}$
 $| v \# \text{ vs} \Rightarrow \text{Some } (\text{foldl } c \text{ v vs}))$
 <proof>

definition *fold_sup* :: ('c, 'd :: timestamp) mmap \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('c, 'd) mmap **where**
 $\text{fold_sup } m \text{ f} = \text{mmap_fold } m (\lambda(x, y). (\text{f } x, y)) \text{ sup } []$

lemma *mmap_lookup_distinct*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } m) \implies (k, v) \in \text{set } m \implies$
 $\text{mmap_lookup } m \text{ k} = \text{Some } v$
 <proof>

lemma *fold_sup_distinct*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } m) \implies \text{distinct } (\text{map fst } (\text{fold_sup } m \text{ f}))$
 <proof>

lemma *fold_sup*:
fixes $v :: 'd :: \text{timestamp}$
shows $\text{foldl } \text{sup } v \text{ vs} = \text{fold } \text{sup } \text{vs } v$
 <proof>

lemma *lookup_fold_sup*:
assumes *distinct*: $\text{distinct } (\text{map fst } m)$
shows $\text{mmap_lookup } (\text{fold_sup } m \text{ f}) \text{ z} =$
 $(\text{let } Z = \{x \in \text{mmap_keys } m. \text{f } x = \text{z}\} \text{ in}$
 $\text{if } Z = \{\} \text{ then None else Some } (\text{Sup_fin } ((\text{the} \circ \text{mmap_lookup } m) \text{ ' } Z)))$
 <proof>

definition *mmap_map* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) mmap \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) mmap **where**
 $\text{mmap_map } f \text{ m} = \text{map } (\lambda(k, v). (k, \text{f } k \text{ v})) \text{ m}$

lemma *mmap_map_keys*: $\text{mmap_keys } (\text{mmap_map } f \text{ m}) = \text{mmap_keys } m$
 <proof>

lemma *mmap_map_fst*: $\text{map fst } (\text{mmap_map } f \text{ m}) = \text{map fst } m$

<proof>

definition $cstep :: ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('c \times 'b, 'c) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow$
 $'c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('c \times ('c \times 'b, 'c) \text{ mapping})$ **where**
 $cstep \text{ step } st \ q \ bs = (\text{case } Mapping.lookup \ st \ (q, \ bs) \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow (\text{let } res = \text{step } q \ bs \ \text{in}$
 $(res, \ Mapping.update \ (q, \ bs) \ res \ st)) \ | \ \text{Some } v \Rightarrow (v, \ st))$

definition $cac :: ('c \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('c, \ \text{bool}) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times ('c, \ \text{bool}) \text{ mapping})$ **where**
 $cac \ \text{accept } ac \ q = (\text{case } Mapping.lookup \ ac \ q \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow (\text{let } res = \text{accept } q \ \text{in}$
 $(res, \ Mapping.update \ q \ res \ ac)) \ | \ \text{Some } v \Rightarrow (v, \ ac))$

fun $mmap_fold_s :: ('c \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('c \times 'b, 'c) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow$
 $('c \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('c, \ \text{bool}) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow$
 $'b \Rightarrow 'd \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('c, \ 'c \times ('d \times \text{nat}) \ \text{option}) \ \text{mmap} \Rightarrow$
 $((('c, \ 'c \times ('d \times \text{nat}) \ \text{option}) \ \text{mmap} \times ('c \times 'b, 'c) \ \text{mapping} \times ('c, \ \text{bool}) \ \text{mapping})$ **where**
 $mmap_fold_s \ \text{step } st \ \text{accept } ac \ bs \ t \ j \ [] = ([], \ st, \ ac)$
 $| \ mmap_fold_s \ \text{step } st \ \text{accept } ac \ bs \ t \ j \ ((q, \ (q', \ tstp)) \ \# \ qbss) =$
 $(\text{let } (q'', \ st') = cstep \ \text{step } st \ q' \ bs;$
 $(\beta, \ ac') = cac \ \text{accept } ac \ q'';$
 $(qbss', \ st'', \ ac'') = mmap_fold_s \ \text{step } st' \ \text{accept } ac' \ bs \ t \ j \ qbss \ \text{in}$
 $((q, \ (q'', \ \text{if } \beta \ \text{then } \text{Some } (t, \ j) \ \text{else } tstp)) \ \# \ qbss', \ st'', \ ac''))$

lemma $mmap_fold_s_sound: mmap_fold_s \ \text{step } st \ \text{accept } ac \ bs \ t \ j \ qbss = (qbss', \ st', \ ac') \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge q \ bs. \ \text{case } Mapping.lookup \ st \ (q, \ bs) \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow \text{True} \ | \ \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{step } q \ bs = v) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge q \ bs. \ \text{case } Mapping.lookup \ ac \ q \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow \text{True} \ | \ \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{accept } q = v) \Longrightarrow$
 $qbss' = mmap_map \ (\lambda q \ (q', \ tstp). \ (\text{step } q' \ bs, \ \text{if } \text{accept } (\text{step } q' \ bs) \ \text{then } \text{Some } (t, \ j) \ \text{else } tstp)) \ qbss \wedge$
 $(\forall q \ bs. \ \text{case } Mapping.lookup \ st' \ (q, \ bs) \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow \text{True} \ | \ \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{step } q \ bs = v) \wedge$
 $(\forall q \ bs. \ \text{case } Mapping.lookup \ ac' \ q \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow \text{True} \ | \ \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{accept } q = v)$
<proof>

definition $adv_end :: ('b, 'c, 'd :: \text{timestamp}, 't, 'e) \ \text{args} \Rightarrow$
 $('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) \ \text{window} \Rightarrow ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) \ \text{window option}$ **where**
 $adv_end \ \text{args } w = (\text{let } \text{step} = w_step \ \text{args}; \ \text{accept} = w_accept \ \text{args};$
 $\text{run_t} = w_run_t \ \text{args}; \ \text{run_sub} = w_run_sub \ \text{args}; \ \text{st} = w_st \ w; \ \text{ac} = w_ac \ w;$
 $j = w_j \ w; \ \text{tj} = w_tj \ w; \ \text{sj} = w_sj \ w; \ s = w_s \ w; \ e = w_e \ w \ \text{in}$
 $(\text{case } \text{run_t} \ \text{tj} \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow None \ | \ \text{Some } (tj', \ t) \Rightarrow (\text{case } \text{run_sub} \ \text{sj} \ \text{of } None \Rightarrow None \ | \ \text{Some } (sj', \ bs)$
 \Rightarrow
 $\text{let } (s', \ st', \ ac') = mmap_fold_s \ \text{step } st \ \text{accept } ac \ bs \ t \ j \ s;$
 $(e', \ st'') = mmap_fold' \ e \ st' \ (\lambda((x, \ y), \ st). \ \text{let } (q', \ st') = cstep \ \text{step } st \ x \ bs \ \text{in } ((q', \ y), \ st')) \ \text{sup } [] \ \text{in}$
 $\text{Some } (w \setminus w_st := st'', \ w_ac := ac', \ w_j := \text{Suc } j, \ w_tj := tj', \ w_sj := sj', \ w_s := s', \ w_e :=$
 $e'))))$

lemma $map_values_lookup: mmap_lookup \ (mmap_map \ f \ m) \ z = \text{Some } v' \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists v. \ mmap_lookup \ m \ z = \text{Some } v \wedge v' = f \ z \ v$
<proof>

lemma $acc_app:$
assumes $i \leq j \ \text{steps} \ \text{step} \ \rho \ q \ (i, \ \text{Suc } j) = q' \ \text{accept } q'$
shows $\text{sup_acc} \ \text{step} \ \text{accept} \ \rho \ q \ i \ (\text{Suc } j) = \text{Some} \ (\text{ts_at } \rho \ j, \ j)$
<proof>

lemma $acc_app_idle:$
assumes $i \leq j \ \text{steps} \ \text{step} \ \rho \ q \ (i, \ \text{Suc } j) = q' \ \neg\text{accept } q'$
shows $\text{sup_acc} \ \text{step} \ \text{accept} \ \rho \ q \ i \ (\text{Suc } j) = \text{sup_acc} \ \text{step} \ \text{accept} \ \rho \ q \ i \ j$
<proof>

lemma $\text{sup_fin_closed}: \text{finite } A \Longrightarrow A \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow \text{sup } x \ y \in \{x, \ y\}) \Longrightarrow \bigsqcup_{fin} A \in A$

<proof>

lemma *valid_adv_end*:

assumes *valid_window* *args* *t0* *sub* *rho* *w* *w_run_t* *args* (*w_tj* *w*) = *Some* (*tj'*, *t*)

w_run_sub *args* (*w_sj* *w*) = *Some* (*sj'*, *bs*)

$\bigwedge t'. t' \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } \text{rho}) \implies t' \leq t$

shows *case* *adv_end* *args* *w* *of* *None* \implies *False* | *Some* *w'* \implies *valid_window* *args* *t0* *sub* (*rho* @ [(*t*, *bs*)])

w'

<proof>

lemma *adv_end_bounds*:

assumes *w_run_t* *args* (*w_tj* *w*) = *Some* (*tj'*, *t*)

w_run_sub *args* (*w_sj* *w*) = *Some* (*sj'*, *bs*)

adv_end *args* *w* = *Some* *w'*

shows *w_i* *w'* = *w_i* *w* *w_ti* *w'* = *w_ti* *w* *w_si* *w'* = *w_si* *w*

w_j *w'* = *Suc* (*w_j* *w*) *w_tj* *w'* = *tj'* *w_sj* *w'* = *sj'*

<proof>

definition *drop_cur* :: *nat* \implies (*'c* \times (*'d* \times *nat*) *option*) \implies (*'c* \times (*'d* \times *nat*) *option*) **where**

drop_cur *i* = ($\lambda(q', \text{tstp}). (q', \text{case } \text{tstp} \text{ of } \text{Some } (ts, tp) \implies$

if *tp* = *i* *then* *None* *else* *tstp* | *None* \implies *tstp*)

definition *adv_d* :: (*'c* \implies *'b* \implies *'c*) \implies (*'c* \times *'b*, *'c*) *mapping* \implies *nat* \implies *'b* \implies

(*'c*, *'c* \times (*'d* \times *nat*) *option*) *mmap* \implies

((*'c*, *'c* \times (*'d* \times *nat*) *option*) *mmap* \times (*'c* \times *'b*, *'c*) *mapping*) **where**

adv_d *step* *st* *i* *b* *s* = (*mmap_fold'* *s* *st* ($\lambda((x, v), \text{st}). \text{case } \text{cstep } \text{step } \text{st } x \text{ b of } (x', \text{st}') \implies$

((*x'*, *drop_cur* *i* *v*), *st'*)) ($\lambda x y. x$) [])

lemma *adv_d_mmap_fold*:

assumes *inv*: $\bigwedge q \text{ bs. case } \text{Mapping.lookup } \text{st } (q, \text{bs}) \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \implies \text{step } q \text{ bs} = v$

and *fold'*: *mmap_fold'* *s* *st* ($\lambda((x, v), \text{st}). \text{case } \text{cstep } \text{step } \text{st } x \text{ bs of } (x', \text{st}') \implies$

((*x'*, *drop_cur* *i* *v*), *st'*)) ($\lambda x y. x$) *r* = (*s'*, *st'*)

shows *s'* = *mmap_fold* *s* ($\lambda(x, v). (\text{step } x \text{ bs}, \text{drop_cur } i \text{ v}))$ ($\lambda x y. x$) *r* \wedge

($\forall q \text{ bs. case } \text{Mapping.lookup } \text{st}' (q, \text{bs}) \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \implies \text{step } q \text{ bs} = v$)

<proof>

definition *keys_idem* :: (*'c* \implies *'b* \implies *'c*) \implies *nat* \implies *'b* \implies

(*'c*, *'c* \times (*'d* \times *nat*) *option*) *mmap* \implies *bool* **where**

keys_idem *step* *i* *b* *s* = ($\forall x \in \text{mmap_keys } s. \forall x' \in \text{mmap_keys } s.$

step *x* *b* = *step* *x'* *b* \longrightarrow *drop_cur* *i* (*the* (*mmap_lookup* *s* *x*)) =

drop_cur *i* (*the* (*mmap_lookup* *s* *x'*)))

lemma *adv_d_keys*:

assumes *inv*: $\bigwedge q \text{ bs. case } \text{Mapping.lookup } \text{st } (q, \text{bs}) \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \implies \text{step } q \text{ bs} = v$

and *distinct*: *distinct* (*map* *fst* *s*)

and *adv_d*: *adv_d* *step* *st* *i* *bs* *s* = (*s'*, *st'*)

shows *mmap_keys* *s'* = ($\lambda q. \text{step } q \text{ bs}$) '*(mmap_keys* *s*)

<proof>

lemma *lookup_adv_d_None*:

assumes *inv*: $\bigwedge q \text{ bs. case } \text{Mapping.lookup } \text{st } (q, \text{bs}) \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \implies \text{step } q \text{ bs} = v$

and *distinct*: *distinct* (*map* *fst* *s*)

and *adv_d*: *adv_d* *step* *st* *i* *bs* *s* = (*s'*, *st'*)

and *Z_empty*: $\{x \in \text{mmap_keys } s. \text{step } x \text{ bs} = z\} = \{\}$

shows *mmap_lookup* *s'* *z* = *None*

<proof>

lemma *lookup_adv_d_Some*:

assumes *inv*: $\bigwedge q \text{ bs. case Mapping.lookup } st \text{ (} q, \text{ bs) of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{step } q \text{ bs} = v$
and *distinct*: $\text{distinct (map fst } s)$ **and** *idem*: $\text{keys_idem step } i \text{ bs } s$
and *wit*: $x \in \text{mmap_keys } s \text{ step } x \text{ bs} = z$
and *adv_d*: $\text{adv_d step } st \text{ } i \text{ bs } s = (s', st')$
shows $\text{mmap_lookup } s' \text{ } z = \text{Some (drop_cur } i \text{ (the (mmap_lookup } s \text{ } x)))$
<proof>

definition *loop_cond* $j = (\lambda(st, ac, i, ti, si, q, s, tstp). i < j \wedge q \notin \text{mmap_keys } s)$

definition *loop_body* $\text{step accept run_t run_sub} =$
 $(\lambda(st, ac, i, ti, si, q, s, tstp). \text{case run_t } ti \text{ of Some (} ti', t) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{case run_sub } si \text{ of Some (} si', b) \Rightarrow \text{case adv_d step } st \text{ } i \text{ b } s \text{ of (} s', st') \Rightarrow$
 $\text{case cstep step } st' \text{ } q \text{ b of (} q', st'') \Rightarrow \text{case cac accept } ac \text{ } q' \text{ of (} \beta, ac') \Rightarrow$
 $(st'', ac', \text{Suc } i, ti', si', q', s', \text{if } \beta \text{ then Some (} t, i) \text{ else } tstp))$

definition *loop_inv* $\text{init step accept args } t0 \text{ sub rho } u \text{ } j \text{ } tj \text{ } sj =$
 $(\lambda(st, ac, i, ti, si, q, s, tstp). u + 1 \leq i \wedge$
 $\text{reach_window args } t0 \text{ sub rho (} i, ti, si, j, tj, sj) \wedge$
 $\text{steps step rho init (} u + 1, i) = q \wedge$
 $(\forall q. \text{case Mapping.lookup } ac \text{ } q \text{ of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \text{accept } q = v) \wedge$
 $\text{valid_s init step } st \text{ accept rho } u \text{ } i \text{ } j \text{ } s \wedge tstp = \text{sup_acc step accept rho init (} u + 1) \text{ } i)$

definition *mmap_update* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ mmap} \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) \text{ mmap}$ **where**
 $\text{mmap_update} = \text{AList.update}$

lemma *mmap_update_distinct*: $\text{distinct (map fst } m) \Longrightarrow \text{distinct (map fst (mmap_update } k \text{ } v \text{ } m))$
<proof>

definition *adv_start* $:: ('b, 'c, 'd :: \text{timestamp}, 't, 'e) \text{ args} \Rightarrow$
 $('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) \text{ window} \Rightarrow ('b, 'c, 'd, 't, 'e) \text{ window}$ **where**
 $\text{adv_start args } w = (\text{let init} = w_init \text{ args; step} = w_step \text{ args; accept} = w_accept \text{ args;}$
 $\text{run_t} = w_run_t \text{ args; run_sub} = w_run_sub \text{ args; st} = w_st \text{ } w; ac = w_ac \text{ } w;$
 $i = w_i \text{ } w; ti = w_ti \text{ } w; si = w_si \text{ } w; j = w_j \text{ } w;$
 $s = w_s \text{ } w; e = w_e \text{ } w \text{ in}$
 $(\text{case run_t } ti \text{ of Some (} ti', t) \Rightarrow (\text{case run_sub } si \text{ of Some (} si', bs) \Rightarrow$
 $\text{let (} s', st') = \text{adv_d step } st \text{ } i \text{ bs } s;$
 $e' = \text{mmap_update (fst (the (mmap_lookup } s \text{ } init))) } t \text{ } e;$
 $(st_cur, ac_cur, i_cur, ti_cur, si_cur, q_cur, s_cur, tstp_cur) =$
 $\text{while (loop_cond } j) (\text{loop_body step accept run_t run_sub})$
 $(st', ac, \text{Suc } i, ti', si', \text{init}, s', \text{None});$
 $s'' = \text{mmap_update init (case mmap_lookup } s_cur \text{ } q_cur \text{ of Some (} q', tstp') \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case } tstp' \text{ of Some (} ts, tp) \Rightarrow (q', tstp') \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (q', tstp_cur))$
 $\mid \text{None} \Rightarrow (q_cur, tstp_cur)) \text{ } s' \text{ in}$
 $w(w_st := st_cur, w_ac := ac_cur, w_i := \text{Suc } i, w_ti := ti', w_si := si',$
 $w_s := s'', w_e := e'))$

lemma *valid_adv_d*:
assumes *valid_before*: $\text{valid_s init step } st \text{ accept rho } u \text{ } i \text{ } j \text{ } s$
and *u_le_i*: $u \leq i$ **and** *i_lt_j*: $i < j$ **and** *b_def*: $b = \text{bs_at rho } i$
and *adv_d*: $\text{adv_d step } st \text{ } i \text{ b } s = (s', st')$
shows $\text{valid_s init step } st' \text{ accept rho } u \text{ (} i + 1) \text{ } j \text{ } s'$
<proof>

lemma *mmap_lookup_update'*:
 $\text{mmap_lookup (mmap_update } k \text{ } v \text{ } kvs) \text{ } z = (\text{if } k = z \text{ then Some } v \text{ else mmap_lookup } kvs \text{ } z)$
<proof>

lemma *mmap_keys_update*: $\text{mmap_keys (mmap_update } k \text{ } v \text{ } kvs) = \text{mmap_keys } kvs \cup \{k\}$
<proof>

lemma *valid_adv_start*:

assumes *valid_window* args *t0* sub rho *w* *w_i* *w* < *w_j* *w*
shows *valid_window* args *t0* sub rho (*adv_start* args *w*)

<proof>

lemma *valid_adv_start_bounds*:

assumes *valid_window* args *t0* sub rho *w* *w_i* *w* < *w_j* *w*
shows *w_i* (*adv_start* args *w*) = *Suc* (*w_i* *w*) *w_j* (*adv_start* args *w*) = *w_j* *w*
w_tj (*adv_start* args *w*) = *w_tj* *w* *w_sj* (*adv_start* args *w*) = *w_sj* *w*
<proof>

lemma *valid_adv_start_bounds'*:

assumes *valid_window* args *t0* sub rho *w* *w_run_t* args (*w_ti* *w*) = *Some* (*ti'*, *t*)
w_run_sub args (*w_si* *w*) = *Some* (*si'*, *bs*)
shows *w_ti* (*adv_start* args *w*) = *ti'* *w_si* (*adv_start* args *w*) = *si'*
<proof>

end

theory *Temporal*

imports *MDL NFA Window*

begin

fun *state_cnt* :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) regex \Rightarrow nat **where**

state_cnt (*Lookahead* *phi*) = 1
| *state_cnt* (*Symbol* *phi*) = 2
| *state_cnt* (*Plus* *r* *s*) = 1 + *state_cnt* *r* + *state_cnt* *s*
| *state_cnt* (*Times* *r* *s*) = *state_cnt* *r* + *state_cnt* *s*
| *state_cnt* (*Star* *r*) = 1 + *state_cnt* *r*

lemma *state_cnt_pos*: *state_cnt* *r* > 0

<proof>

fun *collect_subfmlas* :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) regex \Rightarrow ('a, 'b) formula list \Rightarrow

('a, 'b) formula list **where**
collect_subfmlas (*Lookahead* φ) *phis* = (if $\varphi \in$ set *phis* then *phis* else *phis* @ [φ])
| *collect_subfmlas* (*Symbol* φ) *phis* = (if $\varphi \in$ set *phis* then *phis* else *phis* @ [φ])
| *collect_subfmlas* (*Plus* *r* *s*) *phis* = *collect_subfmlas* *s* (*collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*)
| *collect_subfmlas* (*Times* *r* *s*) *phis* = *collect_subfmlas* *s* (*collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*)
| *collect_subfmlas* (*Star* *r*) *phis* = *collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*

lemma *bf_collect_subfmlas*: *bounded_future_regex* *r* \Longrightarrow *phi* \in set (*collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*) \Longrightarrow

phi \in set *phis* \vee *bounded_future_fmula* *phi*
<proof>

lemma *collect_subfmlas_atms*: set (*collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*) = set *phis* \cup *atms* *r*

<proof>

lemma *collect_subfmlas_set*: set (*collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*) = set (*collect_subfmlas* *r* []) \cup set *phis*

<proof>

lemma *collect_subfmlas_size*: *x* \in set (*collect_subfmlas* *r* []) \Longrightarrow size *x* < size *r*

<proof>

lemma *collect_subfmlas_app*: \exists *phis'*. *collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis* = *phis* @ *phis'*

<proof>

lemma *length_collect_subfmlas*: length (*collect_subfmlas* *r* *phis*) \geq length *phis*

<proof>

fun pos :: 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat option **where**
 pos a [] = None
 | pos a (x # xs) =
 (if a = x then Some 0 else (case pos a xs of Some n ⇒ Some (Suc n) | _ ⇒ None))

lemma pos_sound: pos a xs = Some i ⇒ i < length xs ∧ xs ! i = a
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma pos_complete: pos a xs = None ⇒ a ∉ set xs
 ⟨proof⟩

fun build_nfa_impl :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) regex ⇒ (state × state × ('a, 'b) formula list) ⇒ transition list **where**
 build_nfa_impl (Lookahead φ) (q0, qf,phis) = (case pos φ phis of
 Some n ⇒ [eps_trans qf n]
 | None ⇒ [eps_trans qf (length phis)])
 | build_nfa_impl (Symbol φ) (q0, qf,phis) = (case pos φ phis of
 Some n ⇒ [eps_trans (Suc q0) n, symb_trans qf]
 | None ⇒ [eps_trans (Suc q0) (length phis), symb_trans qf])
 | build_nfa_impl (Plus r s) (q0, qf,phis) = (
 let ts_r = build_nfa_impl r (q0 + 1, qf,phis);
 ts_s = build_nfa_impl s (q0 + 1 + state_cnt r, qf, collect_subfmlas r phis) in
 split_trans (q0 + 1) (q0 + 1 + state_cnt r) # ts_r @ ts_s)
 | build_nfa_impl (Times r s) (q0, qf,phis) = (
 let ts_r = build_nfa_impl r (q0, q0 + state_cnt r,phis);
 ts_s = build_nfa_impl s (q0 + state_cnt r, qf, collect_subfmlas r phis) in
 ts_r @ ts_s)
 | build_nfa_impl (Star r) (q0, qf,phis) = (
 let ts_r = build_nfa_impl r (q0 + 1, q0,phis) in
 split_trans (q0 + 1) qf # ts_r)

lemma build_nfa_impl_state_cnt: length (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis)) = state_cnt r
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma build_nfa_impl_not_Nil: build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis) ≠ []
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma build_nfa_impl_state_set: t ∈ set (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis)) ⇒
 state_set t ⊆ {q0..<q0 + length (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis))} ∪ {qf}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma build_nfa_impl_fmula_set: t ∈ set (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis)) ⇒
 n ∈ fmula_set t ⇒ n < length (collect_subfmlas r phis)
 ⟨proof⟩

context MDL
begin

definition IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i ≡
 let n = length (collect_subfmlas r phis) in
 transs = build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis) ∧ (∀ cs ∈ set bss. length cs ≥ n) ∧ length bs ≥ n ∧
 qf ∉ NFA.SQ q0 (build_nfa_impl r (q0, qf,phis)) ∧
 (∀ k < n. (bs ! k ↔ sat (collect_subfmlas r phis ! k) (i + length bss))) ∧
 (∀ j < length bss. ∀ k < n. ((bss ! j) ! k ↔ sat (collect_subfmlas r phis ! k) (i + j)))

lemma nfa_correct: IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i ⇒
 NFA.run_accept_eps q0 qf transs {q0} bss bs ↔ (i, i + length bss) ∈ match r

<proof>

lemma *step_eps_closure_set_empty_list*:

assumes *wf_regex r IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i NFA.step_eps_closure q0 transs bs q qf*
shows *NFA.step_eps_closure q0 transs [] q qf*
<proof>

lemma *accept_eps_iff_accept*:

assumes *wf_regex r IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i*
shows *NFA.accept_eps q0 qf transs R bs = NFA.accept q0 qf transs R*
<proof>

lemma *run_accept_eps_iff_run_accept*:

assumes *wf_regex r IH r q0 qf phis transs bss bs i*
shows *NFA.run_accept_eps q0 qf transs {q0} bss bs \longleftrightarrow NFA.run_accept q0 qf transs {q0} bss*
<proof>

end

definition *pred_option'* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

pred_option' P z = (case z of Some z' \Rightarrow P z' | None \Rightarrow False)

definition *map_option'* :: $('b \Rightarrow 'c \text{ option}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ option} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ option}$ **where**

map_option' f z = (case z of Some z' \Rightarrow f z' | None \Rightarrow None)

definition *while_break* :: $('a \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ option}$ **where**

while_break P f x = while (pred_option' P) (map_option' f) (Some x)

lemma *wf_while_break*:

assumes *wf {(t, s). P s \wedge b s \wedge Some t = c s}*
shows *wf {(t, s). pred_option P s \wedge pred_option' b s \wedge t = map_option' c s}*
<proof>

lemma *wf_while_break'*:

assumes *wf {(t, s). P s \wedge b s \wedge Some t = c s}*
shows *wf {(t, s). pred_option' P s \wedge pred_option' b s \wedge t = map_option' c s}*
<proof>

lemma *while_break_sound*:

assumes $\bigwedge s s'. P s \Longrightarrow b s \Longrightarrow c s = \text{Some } s' \Longrightarrow P s' \bigwedge s. P s \Longrightarrow \neg b s \Longrightarrow Q s$ *wf {(t, s). P s \wedge b s \wedge Some t = c s} P s*
shows *pred_option Q (while_break b c s)*
<proof>

lemma *while_break_complete*: $(\bigwedge s. P s \Longrightarrow b s \Longrightarrow \text{pred_option}' P (c s)) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s. P s \Longrightarrow \neg b s \Longrightarrow Q s) \Longrightarrow \text{wf } \{(t, s). P s \wedge b s \wedge \text{Some } t = c s\} \Longrightarrow P s \Longrightarrow \text{pred_option}' Q (\text{while_break } b c s)$
<proof>

context

fixes *args* :: $(\text{bool iarray}, \text{nat set}, 'd :: \text{timestamp}, 't, 'e)$ *args*

begin

abbreviation *reach_w* \equiv *reach_window args*

qualified definition *in_win* = *init_window args*

definition *valid_window_matchP* :: $'d \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow 'e \Rightarrow$

$(\text{'d} \times \text{bool iarray}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{bool iarray}, \text{nat set}, \text{'d}, \text{'t}, \text{'e}) \text{ window} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{valid_window_matchP } I \text{ t0 sub rho j w} \longleftrightarrow j = \text{w_j w} \wedge$
 $\text{valid_window args t0 sub rho w} \wedge$
 $\text{reach_w t0 sub rho (w_i w, w_ti w, w_si w, w_j w, w_tj w, w_sj w)} \wedge$
 $(\text{case w_read_t args (w_tj w) of None} \Rightarrow \text{True}$
 $| \text{Some t} \Rightarrow (\forall l < \text{w_i w. memL (ts_at rho l) t I}))$

lemma $\text{valid_window_matchP_reach_tj: valid_window_matchP } I \text{ t0 sub rho i w} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) (w_tj w)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{valid_window_matchP_reach_sj: valid_window_matchP } I \text{ t0 sub rho i w} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) (w_sj w)}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{valid_window_matchP_len_rho: valid_window_matchP } I \text{ t0 sub rho i w} \Rightarrow \text{length rho} = i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $\text{matchP_loop_cond } I \text{ t} = (\lambda w. \text{w_i w} < \text{w_j w} \wedge \text{memL (the (w_read_t args (w_ti w))) t I})$

definition $\text{matchP_loop_inv } I \text{ t0 sub rho j0 tj0 sj0 t} =$
 $(\lambda w. \text{valid_window args t0 sub rho w} \wedge$
 $\text{w_j w} = j0 \wedge \text{w_tj w} = tj0 \wedge \text{w_sj w} = sj0 \wedge (\forall l < \text{w_i w. memL (ts_at rho l) t I}))$

fun $\text{ex_key} :: (\text{'c}, \text{'d}) \text{ mmap} \Rightarrow (\text{'d} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{'c} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow (\text{'c}, \text{bool}) \text{ mapping} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} \times (\text{'c}, \text{bool}) \text{ mapping})$ **where**
 $\text{ex_key [] time accept ac} = (\text{False}, \text{ac})$
 $| \text{ex_key ((q, t) \# qts) time accept ac} = (\text{if time t then}$
 $\text{(case cac accept ac q of } (\beta, \text{ac}') \Rightarrow$
 $\text{if } \beta \text{ then (True, ac')} \text{ else ex_key qts time accept ac')}$
 $\text{else ex_key qts time accept ac})$

lemma ex_key_sound:

assumes $\text{inv: } \bigwedge q. \text{case Mapping.lookup ac q of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some v} \Rightarrow \text{accept q} = v$
and $\text{distinct: distinct (map fst qts)}$
and $\text{eval: ex_key qts time accept ac} = (b, \text{ac}')$
shows $b = (\exists q \in \text{mmap_keys qts. time (the (mmap_lookup qts q))} \wedge \text{accept q}) \wedge$
 $(\forall q. \text{case Mapping.lookup ac' q of None} \Rightarrow \text{True} \mid \text{Some v} \Rightarrow \text{accept q} = v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

fun $\text{eval_matchP} :: \text{'d } \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow (\text{bool iarray}, \text{nat set}, \text{'d}, \text{'t}, \text{'e}) \text{ window} \Rightarrow$
 $((\text{'d} \times \text{bool}) \times (\text{bool iarray}, \text{nat set}, \text{'d}, \text{'t}, \text{'e}) \text{ window}) \text{ option}$ **where**
 $\text{eval_matchP } I \text{ w} =$
 $(\text{case w_read_t args (w_tj w) of None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some t} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case adv_end args w of None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some w}' \Rightarrow$
 $\text{let w}'' = \text{while (matchP_loop_cond } I \text{ t) (adv_start args) w}';$
 $(\beta, \text{ac}') = \text{ex_key (w_e w}'') (\lambda t'. \text{memR t' t I}) (\text{w_accept args}) (\text{w_ac w}'')$ **in**
 $\text{Some ((t, } \beta), \text{w}''(\text{w_ac} := \text{ac}'))))$

definition $\text{valid_window_matchF} :: \text{'d } \mathcal{I} \Rightarrow \text{'t} \Rightarrow \text{'e} \Rightarrow (\text{'d} \times \text{bool iarray}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{bool iarray}, \text{nat set}, \text{'d}, \text{'t}, \text{'e}) \text{ window} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{valid_window_matchF } I \text{ t0 sub rho i w} \longleftrightarrow i = \text{w_i w} \wedge$
 $\text{valid_window args t0 sub rho w} \wedge$
 $\text{reach_w t0 sub rho (w_i w, w_ti w, w_si w, w_j w, w_tj w, w_sj w)} \wedge$
 $(\forall l \in \{\text{w_i w}..<\text{w_j w}\}. \text{memR (ts_at rho i) (ts_at rho l) I})$

lemma $\text{valid_window_matchF_reach_tj: valid_window_matchF } I \text{ t0 sub rho i w} \Rightarrow$
 $\text{reaches_on (w_run_t args) t0 (map fst rho) (w_tj w)}$

<proof>

lemma *valid_window_matchF_reach_sj*: *valid_window_matchF I t0 sub rho i w* \implies
reaches_on (w_run_sub args) sub (map snd rho) (w_sj w)
<proof>

definition *matchF_loop_cond I t* =
 $(\lambda w. \text{case } w_read_t \text{ args } (w_tj \ w) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid \text{Some } t' \Rightarrow \text{memR } t \ t' \ I)$

definition *matchF_loop_inv I t0 sub rho i ti si tjm sjm* =
 $(\lambda w. \text{valid_window_args } t0 \text{ sub } (\text{take } (w_j \ w) \ \text{rho}) \ w \wedge$
 $w_i \ w = i \wedge w_ti \ w = ti \wedge w_si \ w = si \wedge$
 $\text{reach_window_args } t0 \text{ sub } \text{rho } (w_j \ w, w_tj \ w, w_sj \ w, \text{length } \text{rho}, \text{tjm}, \text{sjm}) \wedge$
 $(\forall l \in \{w_i \ w..<w_j \ w\}. \text{memR } (\text{ts_at } \text{rho } i) (\text{ts_at } \text{rho } l) \ I))$

definition *matchF_loop_inv' t0 sub rho i ti si j tj sj* =
 $(\lambda w. w_i \ w = i \wedge w_ti \ w = ti \wedge w_si \ w = si \wedge$
 $(\exists \text{rho}' . \text{valid_window_args } t0 \text{ sub } (\text{rho } @ \ \text{rho}') \ w \wedge$
 $\text{reach_window_args } t0 \text{ sub } (\text{rho } @ \ \text{rho}') \ (j, \text{tj}, \text{sj}, w_j \ w, w_tj \ w, w_sj \ w)))$

fun *eval_matchF* :: *'d I* \implies *(bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window* \implies
(('d \times bool) \times (bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) window) option **where**
eval_matchF I w =
 $(\text{case } w_read_t \text{ args } (w_ti \ w) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } t \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case } \text{while_break } (\text{matchF_loop_cond } I \ t) \ (\text{adv_end } \text{args}) \ w \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } w' \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{case } w_read_t \text{ args } (w_tj \ w') \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{None} \mid \text{Some } t' \Rightarrow$
 $\text{let } \beta = (\text{case } \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{mmap_lookup } (w_s \ w') \ \{0\})) \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False}$
 $\mid \text{Some } \text{tstp} \Rightarrow \text{memL } t \ (\text{fst } \text{tstp}) \ I) \ \text{in}$
 $\text{Some } ((t, \beta), \text{adv_start } \text{args } w'))))$

end

locale *MDL_window* = *MDL* σ
for σ :: *('a, 'd :: timestamp) trace* +
fixes r :: *('a, 'd :: timestamp) regex*
and $t0$:: *'t*
and sub :: *'e*
and $args$:: *(bool iarray, nat set, 'd, 't, 'e) args*
assumes *init_def*: $w_init \text{ args} = \{0 :: \text{nat}\}$
and *step_def*: $w_step \text{ args} =$
 $\text{NFA.delta}' \ (\text{IArray } (\text{build_nfa_impl } r \ (0, \text{state_cnt } r, []))) \ (\text{state_cnt } r)$
and *accept_def*: $w_accept \text{ args} = \text{NFA.accept}' \ (\text{IArray } (\text{build_nfa_impl } r \ (0, \text{state_cnt } r, [])))$
 $(\text{state_cnt } r)$
and *run_t_sound*: $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_t \ \text{args}) \ t0 \ \text{ts } t \implies$
 $w_run_t \ \text{args } t = \text{Some } (t', x) \implies x = \tau \ \sigma \ (\text{length } \text{ts})$
and *run_sub_sound*: $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_sub \ \text{args}) \ \text{sub } \text{bs } s \implies$
 $w_run_sub \ \text{args } s = \text{Some } (s', b) \implies$
 $b = \text{IArray } (\text{map } (\lambda \text{phi}. \text{sat } \text{phi} \ (\text{length } \text{bs})) \ (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ []))$
and *run_t_read*: $w_run_t \ \text{args } t = \text{Some } (t', x) \implies w_read_t \ \text{args } t = \text{Some } x$
and *read_t_run*: $w_read_t \ \text{args } t = \text{Some } x \implies \exists t'. w_run_t \ \text{args } t = \text{Some } (t', x)$
begin

definition $qf = \text{state_cnt } r$

definition $\text{transs} = \text{build_nfa_impl } r \ (0, qf, [])$

abbreviation $\text{init} \equiv w_init \ \text{args}$

abbreviation $\text{step} \equiv w_step \ \text{args}$

abbreviation $\text{accept} \equiv w_accept \ \text{args}$

abbreviation $run \equiv NFA.run' (IArray.transs) qf$
abbreviation $wacc \equiv Window.acc (w_step\ args) (w_accept\ args)$
abbreviation $rw \equiv reach_window\ args$

abbreviation $valid_matchP \equiv valid_window_matchP\ args$
abbreviation $eval_mP \equiv eval_matchP\ args$
abbreviation $matchP_inv \equiv matchP_loop_inv\ args$
abbreviation $matchP_cond \equiv matchP_loop_cond\ args$

abbreviation $valid_matchF \equiv valid_window_matchF\ args$
abbreviation $eval_mF \equiv eval_matchF\ args$
abbreviation $matchF_inv \equiv matchF_loop_inv\ args$
abbreviation $matchF_inv' \equiv matchF_loop_inv'\ args$
abbreviation $matchF_cond \equiv matchF_loop_cond\ args$

lemma run_t_sound' :
assumes $reaches_on (w_run_t\ args) t0\ ts\ t\ i < length\ ts$
shows $ts\ !\ i = \tau\ \sigma\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma run_sub_sound' :
assumes $reaches_on (w_run_sub\ args) sub\ bs\ s\ i < length\ bs$
shows $bs\ !\ i = IArray (map (\lambda phi. sat\ phi\ i) (collect_subfmlas\ r\ []))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma run_ts : $reaches_on (w_run_t\ args) t\ ts\ t' \implies t = t0 \implies chain_le\ ts$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ts_at_tau : $reaches_on (w_run_t\ args) t0 (map\ fst\ rho) t \implies i < length\ rho \implies$
 $ts_at\ rho\ i = \tau\ \sigma\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $length_bs_at$: $reaches_on (w_run_sub\ args) sub (map\ snd\ rho) s \implies i < length\ rho \implies$
 $IArray.length (bs_at\ rho\ i) = length (collect_subfmlas\ r\ [])$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma bs_at_nth : $reaches_on (w_run_sub\ args) sub (map\ snd\ rho) s \implies i < length\ rho \implies$
 $n < IArray.length (bs_at\ rho\ i) \implies$
 $IArray.sub (bs_at\ rho\ i) n \longleftrightarrow sat (collect_subfmlas\ r\ []\ !\ n) i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma ts_at_mono : $\bigwedge i\ j. reaches_on (w_run_t\ args) t0 (map\ fst\ rho) t \implies$
 $i \leq j \implies j < length\ rho \implies ts_at\ rho\ i \leq ts_at\ rho\ j$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $steps_is_run$: $steps (w_step\ args) rho\ q\ ij = run\ q (sub_bs\ rho\ ij)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma acc_is_accept : $wacc\ rho\ q (i, j) = w_accept\ args (run\ q (sub_bs\ rho (i, j)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $iarray_list_of$: $IArray (IArray.list_of\ xs) = xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $map_iarray_list_of$: $map\ IArray (map\ IArray.list_of\ bss) = bss$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma acc_match :

fixes $ts :: 'd \text{ list}$
assumes $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_sub \text{ args}) \text{ sub } (\text{map snd } rho) \text{ s } i \leq j \text{ j } \leq \text{length } rho \text{ wf_regex } r$
shows $wacc \ rho \ \{0\} \ (i, j) \longleftrightarrow (i, j) \in \text{match } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma accept_match :
fixes $ts :: 'd \text{ list}$
shows $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_sub \text{ args}) \text{ sub } (\text{map snd } rho) \text{ s } \implies i \leq j \implies j \leq \text{length } rho \implies \text{wf_regex } r \implies$
 $w_accept \ \text{args } (\text{steps } (w_step \ \text{args}) \ rho \ \{0\} \ (i, j)) \longleftrightarrow (i, j) \in \text{match } r$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma drop_take_drop : $i \leq j \implies j \leq \text{length } rho \implies \text{drop } i \ (\text{take } j \ rho) \ @ \ \text{drop } j \ rho = \text{drop } i \ rho$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma take_Suc : $\text{drop } n \ xs = y \ \# \ ys \implies \text{take } n \ xs \ @ \ [y] = \text{take } (\text{Suc } n) \ xs$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid_init_matchP : $\text{valid_matchP } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } [] \ 0 \ (\text{init_window } \text{args } t0 \ \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid_init_matchF : $\text{valid_matchF } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } [] \ 0 \ (\text{init_window } \text{args } t0 \ \text{sub})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid_eval_matchP :
assumes $\text{valid_before}'$: $\text{valid_matchP } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } rho \ j \ w$
and before_end : $w_run_t \ \text{args } (w_tj \ w) = \text{Some } (tj''', t) \ w_run_sub \ \text{args } (w_sj \ w) = \text{Some } (sj''', b)$
and wf : $\text{wf_regex } r$
shows $\exists w'. \text{eval_mP } I \ w = \text{Some } ((\tau \ \sigma \ j, \text{sat } (\text{MatchP } I \ r) \ j), w') \wedge$
 $t = \tau \ \sigma \ j \wedge \text{valid_matchP } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } (rho \ @ \ [(t, b)]) \ (\text{Suc } j) \ w'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{valid_eval_matchF_Some}$:
assumes $\text{valid_before}'$: $\text{valid_matchF } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } rho \ i \ w$
and eval : $\text{eval_mF } I \ w = \text{Some } ((t, b), w')$
and bounded : $\text{right } I \in \text{tfin}$
shows $\exists rho' \ tm. \text{reaches_on } (w_run_t \ \text{args}) \ (w_tj \ w) \ (\text{map fst } rho') \ (w_tj \ w'') \wedge$
 $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_sub \ \text{args}) \ (w_sj \ w) \ (\text{map snd } rho') \ (w_sj \ w'') \wedge$
 $(w_read_t \ \text{args}) \ (w_ti \ w) = \text{Some } t \wedge$
 $(w_read_t \ \text{args}) \ (w_tj \ w'') = \text{Some } tm \wedge$
 $\neg \text{memR } t \ tm \ I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{valid_eval_matchF_complete}$:
assumes $\text{valid_before}'$: $\text{valid_matchF } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } rho \ i \ w$
and before_end : $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_t \ \text{args}) \ (w_tj \ w) \ (\text{map fst } rho') \ tj'''$
 $\text{reaches_on } (w_run_sub \ \text{args}) \ (w_sj \ w) \ (\text{map snd } rho') \ sj'''$
 $w_read_t \ \text{args } (w_ti \ w) = \text{Some } t \ w_read_t \ \text{args } tj''' = \text{Some } tm \ \neg \text{memR } t \ tm \ I$
and wf : $\text{wf_regex } r$
shows $\exists w'. \text{eval_mF } I \ w = \text{Some } ((\tau \ \sigma \ i, \text{sat } (\text{MatchF } I \ r) \ i), w') \wedge$
 $\text{valid_matchF } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } (\text{take } (w_j \ w') \ (rho \ @ \ rho')) \ (\text{Suc } i) \ w'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{valid_eval_matchF_sound}$:
assumes valid_before : $\text{valid_matchF } I \ t0 \ \text{sub } rho \ i \ w$
and eval : $\text{eval_mF } I \ w = \text{Some } ((t, b), w')$
and bounded : $\text{right } I \in \text{tfin}$

and *wf*: *wf_regex* *r*
shows $t = \tau \sigma i \wedge b = \text{sat} (\text{MatchF } I r) i \wedge (\exists \text{rho}'. \text{valid_matchF } I t0 \text{ sub rho}' (\text{Suc } i) w')$
<proof>

thm *valid_eval_matchP*
thm *valid_eval_matchF_sound*
thm *valid_eval_matchF_complete*

end

end

theory *Monitor*

imports *MDL Temporal*

begin

type_synonym (*'h*, *'t*) *time* = (*'h* × *'t*) *option*

datatype (*dead 'a*, *dead 't* :: *timestamp*, *dead 'h*) *vydra_aux* =
VYDRA_None
 | *VYDRA_Bool* *bool 'h*
 | *VYDRA_Atom* *'a 'h*
 | *VYDRA_Neg* (*'a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux*
 | *VYDRA_Bin* *bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux*
 | *VYDRA_Prev* *'t I ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux 'h ('t* × *bool*) *option*
 | *VYDRA_Next* *'t I ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux 'h 't option*
 | *VYDRA_Since* *'t I ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux ('h*, *'t*) *time nat nat nat option 't*
option
 | *VYDRA_Until* *'t I ('h*, *'t*) *time ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux ('a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux ('h*, *'t*) *time nat ('t* ×
bool × *bool*) *option*
 | *VYDRA_MatchP* *'t I transition iarray nat*
 (*bool iarray*, *nat set*, *'t*, (*'h*, *'t*) *time*, (*'a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux list*) *window*
 | *VYDRA_MatchF* *'t I transition iarray nat*
 (*bool iarray*, *nat set*, *'t*, (*'h*, *'t*) *time*, (*'a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux list*) *window*

type_synonym (*'a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra* = *nat* × (*'a*, *'t*, *'h*) *vydra_aux*

fun *msize_vydra* :: *nat* ⇒ (*'a*, *'t* :: *timestamp*, *'h*) *vydra_aux* ⇒ *nat* **where**

msize_vydra *n VYDRA_None* = 0
 | *msize_vydra* *n (VYDRA_Bool b e)* = 0
 | *msize_vydra* *n (VYDRA_Atom a e)* = 0
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_Bin f v1 v2*) = *msize_vydra* *n v1* + *msize_vydra* *n v2* + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_Neg v*) = *msize_vydra* *n v* + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_Prev I v e tb*) = *msize_vydra* *n v* + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_Next I v e to*) = *msize_vydra* *n v* + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_Since I vphi vpsi e cphi cpsi cpsi tpsi*) = *msize_vydra* *n vphi* +
msize_vydra *n vpsi* + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_Until I e vphi vpsi epsi c zo*) = *msize_vydra* *n vphi* + *msize_vydra* *n*
vpsi + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w*) = *size_list* (*msize_vydra* *n*) (*w_si w*) + *size_list*
(*msize_vydra* *n*) (*w_sj w*) + 1
 | *msize_vydra* (*Suc n*) (*VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w*) = *size_list* (*msize_vydra* *n*) (*w_si w*) + *size_list*
(*msize_vydra* *n*) (*w_sj w*) + 1
 | *msize_vydra* _ _ = 0

fun *next_vydra* :: (*'a*, *'t* :: *timestamp*, *'h*) *vydra_aux* ⇒ *nat* **where**

next_vydra (*VYDRA_Next I v e None*) = 1
 | *next_vydra* _ = 0

context

fixes $init_hd :: 'h$

and $run_hd :: 'h \Rightarrow ('h \times ('t :: timestamp \times 'a set)) option$

begin

definition $t0 :: ('h, 't) time$ **where**

$t0 = (case\ run_hd\ init_hd\ of\ None \Rightarrow None \mid Some\ (e',\ (t,\ X)) \Rightarrow Some\ (e',\ t))$

fun $run_t :: ('h, 't) time \Rightarrow (('h, 't) time \times 't) option$ **where**

$run_t\ None = None$

$\mid run_t\ (Some\ (e,\ t)) = (case\ run_hd\ e\ of\ None \Rightarrow Some\ (None,\ t)$

$\mid Some\ (e',\ (t',\ X)) \Rightarrow Some\ (Some\ (e',\ t'),\ t))$

fun $read_t :: ('h, 't) time \Rightarrow 't option$ **where**

$read_t\ None = None$

$\mid read_t\ (Some\ (e,\ t)) = Some\ t$

lemma $run_t_read: run_t\ x = Some\ (x',\ t) \Longrightarrow read_t\ x = Some\ t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $read_t_run: read_t\ x = Some\ t \Longrightarrow \exists x'. run_t\ x = Some\ (x',\ t)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $reach_event_t: reaches_on\ run_hd\ e\ vs\ e'' \Longrightarrow run_hd\ e = Some\ (e',\ (t,\ X)) \Longrightarrow$

$run_hd\ e'' = Some\ (e''',\ (t',\ X')) \Longrightarrow$

$reaches_on\ run_t\ (Some\ (e',\ t))\ (map\ fst\ vs)\ (Some\ (e''',\ t'))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $reach_event_t0_t:$

assumes $reaches_on\ run_hd\ init_hd\ vs\ e''\ run_hd\ e'' = Some\ (e''',\ (t',\ X'))$

shows $reaches_on\ run_t\ t0\ (map\ fst\ vs)\ (Some\ (e''',\ t'))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $reaches_on_run_hd_t:$

assumes $reaches_on\ run_hd\ init_hd\ vs\ e$

shows $\exists x. reaches_on\ run_t\ t0\ (map\ fst\ vs)\ x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $run_subs\ run = (\lambda vs. let\ vs' = map\ run\ vs\ in$

$(if\ (\exists x \in set\ vs'. Option.is_none\ x)\ then\ None$

$else\ Some\ (map\ (fst \circ the)\ vs',\ iarray_of_list\ (map\ (snd \circ snd \circ the)\ vs'))))$

lemma $run_subs_lD: run_subs\ run\ vs = Some\ (vs',\ bs) \Longrightarrow$

$length\ vs' = length\ vs \wedge IArray.length\ bs = length\ vs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $run_subs_vD: run_subs\ run\ vs = Some\ (vs',\ bs) \Longrightarrow j < length\ vs \Longrightarrow$

$\exists vj'\ tj\ bj. run\ (vs\ !\ j) = Some\ (vj',\ (tj,\ bj)) \wedge vs'\ !\ j = vj' \wedge IArray.sub\ bs\ j = bj$

$\langle proof \rangle$

fun $msize_fmla :: ('a, 'b :: timestamp) formula \Rightarrow nat$

and $msize_regex :: ('a, 'b) regex \Rightarrow nat$ **where**

$msize_fmla\ (Bool\ b) = 0$

$\mid msize_fmla\ (Atom\ a) = 0$

$\mid msize_fmla\ (Neg\ phi) = Suc\ (msize_fmla\ phi)$

$\mid msize_fmla\ (Bin\ f\ phi\ psi) = Suc\ (msize_fmla\ phi + msize_fmla\ psi)$

$\mid msize_fmla\ (Prev\ I\ phi) = Suc\ (msize_fmla\ phi)$

$\mid msize_fmla\ (Next\ I\ phi) = Suc\ (msize_fmla\ phi)$

$| \text{msize_fmla } (\text{Since } \phi \text{ I } \psi) = \text{Suc } (\max (\text{msize_fmla } \phi) (\text{msize_fmla } \psi))$
 $| \text{msize_fmla } (\text{Until } \phi \text{ I } \psi) = \text{Suc } (\max (\text{msize_fmla } \phi) (\text{msize_fmla } \psi))$
 $| \text{msize_fmla } (\text{MatchP } I \ r) = \text{Suc } (\text{msize_regex } r)$
 $| \text{msize_fmla } (\text{MatchF } I \ r) = \text{Suc } (\text{msize_regex } r)$
 $| \text{msize_regex } (\text{Lookahead } \phi) = \text{msize_fmla } \phi$
 $| \text{msize_regex } (\text{Symbol } \phi) = \text{msize_fmla } \phi$
 $| \text{msize_regex } (\text{Plus } r \ s) = \max (\text{msize_regex } r) (\text{msize_regex } s)$
 $| \text{msize_regex } (\text{Times } r \ s) = \max (\text{msize_regex } r) (\text{msize_regex } s)$
 $| \text{msize_regex } (\text{Star } r) = \text{msize_regex } r$

lemma $\text{collect_subfmlas_msize}: x \in \text{set } (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ []) \implies$
 $\text{msize_fmla } x \leq \text{msize_regex } r$
(proof)

definition $\text{until_ready } I \ t \ c \ zo = (\text{case } (c, zo) \text{ of } (\text{Suc } _, \text{Some } (t', b1, b2)) \implies (b2 \wedge \text{memL } t \ t' \ I) \vee$
 $\neg b1 \mid _ \implies \text{False})$

definition $\text{while_since_cond } I \ t = (\lambda(v\psi, e, c\psi :: \text{nat}, c\psi\psi, t\psi\psi). c\psi > 0 \wedge \text{memL } (\text{the } (\text{read_t } e)) \ t \ I)$

definition $\text{while_since_body } \text{run} =$
 $(\lambda(v\psi, e, c\psi :: \text{nat}, c\psi\psi, t\psi\psi).$
 $\text{case run } v\psi \text{ of } \text{Some } (v\psi', (t', b')) \implies$
 $\text{Some } (v\psi', \text{fst } (\text{the } (\text{run_t } e))), c\psi - 1, \text{ if } b' \text{ then } \text{Some } c\psi \text{ else } c\psi\psi, \text{ if } b' \text{ then } \text{Some } t' \text{ else}$
 $t\psi\psi)$
 $\mid _ \implies \text{None}$
 $)$

definition $\text{while_until_cond } I \ t = (\lambda(v\phi, v\psi, e\psi, c, zo). \neg \text{until_ready } I \ t \ c \ zo \wedge (\text{case read_t } e\psi$
 $\text{of } \text{Some } t' \implies \text{memR } t \ t' \ I \mid \text{None} \implies \text{False}))$

definition $\text{while_until_body } \text{run} =$
 $(\lambda(v\phi, v\psi, e\psi, c, zo). \text{case run_t } e\psi \text{ of } \text{Some } (e\psi', t') \implies$
 $(\text{case run } v\phi \text{ of } \text{Some } (v\phi', (_, b1)) \implies$
 $(\text{case run } v\psi \text{ of } \text{Some } (v\psi', (_, b2)) \implies \text{Some } (v\phi', v\psi', e\psi', \text{Suc } c, \text{Some } (t', b1, b2))$
 $\mid _ \implies \text{None})$
 $\mid _ \implies \text{None}))$

function $(\text{sequential}) \text{run} :: \text{nat} \implies ('a, 't, 'h) \text{vydra_aux} \implies (('a, 't, 'h) \text{vydra_aux} \times ('t \times \text{bool})) \text{option}$
where

$\text{run } n \ (\text{VYDRA_None}) = \text{None}$
 $| \text{run } n \ (\text{VYDRA_Bool } b \ e) = (\text{case run_hd } e \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (e', (t, _)) \implies \text{Some } (\text{VYDRA_Bool } b \ e', (t, b)))$
 $| \text{run } n \ (\text{VYDRA_Atom } a \ e) = (\text{case run_hd } e \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (e', (t, X)) \implies \text{Some } (\text{VYDRA_Atom } a \ e', (t, a \in X)))$
 $| \text{run } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{VYDRA_Neg } v) = (\text{case run } n \ v \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (v', (t, b)) \implies \text{Some } (\text{VYDRA_Neg } v', (t, \neg b)))$
 $| \text{run } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{VYDRA_Bin } f \ vl \ vr) = (\text{case run } n \ vl \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (vl', (t, bl)) \implies (\text{case run } n \ vr \text{ of } \text{None} \implies \text{None}$
 $\mid \text{Some } (vr', (_, br)) \implies \text{Some } (\text{VYDRA_Bin } f \ vl' \ vr', (t, f \ bl \ br))))$
 $| \text{run } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{VYDRA_Prev } I \ v \ e \ tb) = (\text{case run_hd } e \text{ of } \text{Some } (e', (t, _)) \implies$
 $(\text{let } \beta = (\text{case } tb \text{ of } \text{Some } (t', b') \implies b' \wedge \text{mem } t' \ t \ I \mid \text{None} \implies \text{False}) \text{ in}$
 $\text{case run } n \ v \text{ of } \text{Some } (v', _, b') \implies \text{Some } (\text{VYDRA_Prev } I \ v' \ e' \ (\text{Some } (t, b')), (t, \beta))$
 $\mid \text{None} \implies \text{Some } (\text{VYDRA_None}, (t, \beta)))$
 $\mid \text{None} \implies \text{None})$
 $| \text{run } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{VYDRA_Next } I \ v \ e \ to) = (\text{case run_hd } e \text{ of } \text{Some } (e', (t, _)) \implies$
 $(\text{case } to \text{ of } \text{None} \implies$
 $(\text{case run } n \ v \text{ of } \text{Some } (v', _, _) \implies \text{run } (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{VYDRA_Next } I \ v' \ e' \ (\text{Some } t))$
 $\mid \text{None} \implies \text{None})$
 $\mid \text{Some } t' \implies$

```

    (case run n v of Some (v', _, b) ⇒ Some (VYDRA_Next I v' e' (Some t), (t', b ∧ mem t' t I))
    | None ⇒ if mem t' t I then None else Some (VYDRA_None, (t', False)))
  | None ⇒ None)
| run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Since I vphi vpsi e cphi cpsi cppi tpsi) = (case run n vphi of
  Some (vphi', (t, b1)) ⇒
    let cphi = (if b1 then Suc cphi else 0) in
    let cpsi = Suc cpsi in
    let cppi = map_option Suc cppi in
    (case while_break (while_since_cond I t) (while_since_body (run n)) (vpsi, e, cpsi, cppi, tpsi) of
    Some (vpsi', e', cpsi', cppi', tpsi') ⇒
      (let β = (case cppi' of Some k ⇒ k - 1 ≤ cphi ∧ memR (the tpsi') t I | _ ⇒ False) in
      Some (VYDRA_Since I vphi' vpsi' e' cphi cpsi' cppi' tpsi', (t, β)))
    | _ ⇒ None)
  | _ ⇒ None)
| run (Suc n) (VYDRA_Until I e vphi vpsi epsi c zo) = (case run_t e of Some (e', t) ⇒
  (case while_break (while_until_cond I t) (while_until_body (run n)) (vphi, vpsi, epsi, c, zo) of Some
  (vphi', vpsi', epsi', c', zo') ⇒
    if c' = 0 then None else
    (case zo' of Some (t', b1, b2) ⇒
      (if b2 ∧ memL t t' I then Some (VYDRA_Until I e' vphi' vpsi' epsi' (c' - 1) zo', (t, True))
      else if ¬b1 then Some (VYDRA_Until I e' vphi' vpsi' epsi' (c' - 1) zo', (t, False))
      else (case read_t epsi' of Some t' ⇒ Some (VYDRA_Until I e' vphi' vpsi' epsi' (c' - 1) zo', (t,
      False)) | _ ⇒ None))
    | _ ⇒ None)
  | _ ⇒ None)
  | _ ⇒ None)
| run (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w) =
  (case eval_matchP (init_args {0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
  (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n))) I w of None ⇒ None
  | Some ((t, b), w') ⇒ Some (VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf w', (t, b)))
| run (Suc n) (VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w) =
  (case eval_matchF (init_args {0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
  (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n))) I w of None ⇒ None
  | Some ((t, b), w') ⇒ Some (VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf w', (t, b)))
| run _ _ = undefined
⟨proof⟩

```

termination

⟨proof⟩

lemma wf_since: wf {(t, s). while_since_cond I t s ∧ Some t = while_since_body (run n) s}
 ⟨proof⟩

definition run_vydra :: ('a, 't, 'h) vydra ⇒ (('a, 't, 'h) vydra × ('t × bool)) option **where**
 run_vydra v = (case v of (n, w) ⇒ map_option (apfst (Pair n)) (run n w))

fun sub :: nat ⇒ ('a, 't) formula ⇒ ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux **where**
 sub n (Bool b) = VYDRA_Bool b init_hd
 | sub n (Atom a) = VYDRA_Atom a init_hd
 | sub (Suc n) (Neg phi) = VYDRA_Neg (sub n phi)
 | sub (Suc n) (Bin f phi psi) = VYDRA_Bin f (sub n phi) (sub n psi)
 | sub (Suc n) (Prev I phi) = VYDRA_Prev I (sub n phi) init_hd None
 | sub (Suc n) (Next I phi) = VYDRA_Next I (sub n phi) init_hd None
 | sub (Suc n) (Since phi I psi) = VYDRA_Since I (sub n phi) (sub n psi) t0 0 0 None None
 | sub (Suc n) (Until phi I psi) = VYDRA_Until I t0 (sub n phi) (sub n psi) t0 0 None
 | sub (Suc n) (MatchP I r) = (let qf = state_cnt r;
 transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfa_impl r (0, qf, [])) in
 VYDRA_MatchP I transs qf (init_window (init_args
 {0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf))

```

      (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n)))
      t0 (map (sub n) (collect_subfmlas r [])))
| sub (Suc n) (MatchF I r) = (let qf = state_cnt r;
      transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfa_impl r (0, qf, [])) in
      VYDRA_MatchF I transs qf (init_window (init_args
        ({0}, NFA.delta' transs qf, NFA.accept' transs qf)
        (run_t, read_t) (run_subs (run n)))
      t0 (map (sub n) (collect_subfmlas r [])))
| sub _ _ = undefined

```

definition *init_vydra* :: ('a, 't) formula \Rightarrow ('a, 't, 'h) vydra **where**
init_vydra φ = (let n = msize_fmfa φ in (n, sub n φ))

end

locale *VYDRA_MDL* = *MDL* σ

for σ :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) trace +

fixes *init_hd* :: 'h

and *run_hd* :: 'h \Rightarrow ('h \times ('t \times 'a set)) option

assumes *run_hd_sound*: reaches *run_hd* *init_hd* n s \Longrightarrow *run_hd* s = Some (s', (t, X)) \Longrightarrow (t, X) = (τ σ n, Γ σ n)

begin

lemma *reaches_on_run_hd*: reaches_on *run_hd* *init_hd* es s \Longrightarrow *run_hd* s = Some (s', (t, X)) \Longrightarrow t = τ σ (length es) \wedge X = Γ σ (length es)
 <proof>

abbreviation *ru_t* \equiv *run_t* *run_hd*

abbreviation *l_t0* \equiv *t0* *init_hd* *run_hd*

abbreviation *ru* \equiv *run* *run_hd*

abbreviation *su* \equiv *sub* *init_hd* *run_hd*

lemma *ru_t_event*: reaches_on *ru_t* t ts t' \Longrightarrow t = *l_t0* \Longrightarrow *ru_t* t' = Some (t'', x) \Longrightarrow
 \exists rho e tt. t' = Some (e, tt) \wedge reaches_on *run_hd* *init_hd* rho e \wedge length rho = Suc (length ts) \wedge
 x = τ σ (length ts)
 <proof>

lemma *ru_t_tau*: reaches_on *ru_t* *l_t0* ts t' \Longrightarrow *ru_t* t' = Some (t'', x) \Longrightarrow x = τ σ (length ts)
 <proof>

lemma *ru_t_Some_tau*:

assumes reaches_on *ru_t* *l_t0* ts (Some (e, t))

shows t = τ σ (length ts)

<proof>

lemma *ru_t_tau_in*:

assumes reaches_on *ru_t* *l_t0* ts t j < length ts

shows ts ! j = τ σ j

<proof>

lemmas *run_hd_tau_in* = *ru_t_tau_in*[OF reach_event_t0_t, simplified]

fun *last_before* :: (nat \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat option **where**

last_before P 0 = None

| *last_before* P (Suc n) = (if P n then Some n else *last_before* P n)

lemma *last_before_None*: *last_before* P n = None \Longrightarrow m < n \Longrightarrow \neg P m
 <proof>

lemma *last_before_Some*: $\text{last_before } P \ n = \text{Some } m \implies m < n \wedge P \ m \wedge (\forall k \in \{m < .. < n\}. \neg P \ k)$
 {proof}

inductive *wf_vydra* :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula \implies nat \implies nat \implies ('a, 't, 'h) vydra_aux \implies bool **where**
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *w* \implies ru *n* *w* = None \implies *wf_vydra* (Prev *I phi*) (Suc *i*) (Suc *n*) VYDRA_None
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *w* \implies ru *n* *w* = None \implies *wf_vydra* (Next *I phi*) *i* (Suc *n*) VYDRA_None
 | *reaches_on* run_hd init_hd es sub' \implies length es = *i* \implies *wf_vydra* (Bool *b*) *i* *n* (VYDRA_Boolean *b* sub')
 | *reaches_on* run_hd init_hd es sub' \implies length es = *i* \implies *wf_vydra* (Atom *a*) *i* *n* (VYDRA_Atom *a* sub')
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *v* \implies *wf_vydra* (Neg *phi*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_Neg *v*)
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *v* \implies *wf_vydra* *psi* *i* *n* *v'* \implies *wf_vydra* (Bin *f phi psi*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_Bin *f v v'*)
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *v* \implies *reaches_on* run_hd init_hd es sub' \implies length es = *i* \implies
 wf_vydra (Prev *I phi*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_Prev *I v sub'* (case *i* of 0 \implies None | Suc *j* \implies Some ($\tau \sigma j$, sat *phi j*)))
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *v* \implies *reaches_on* run_hd init_hd es sub' \implies length es = *i* \implies
 wf_vydra (Next *I phi*) (*i* - 1) (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_Next *I v sub'* (case *i* of 0 \implies None | Suc *j* \implies Some ($\tau \sigma j$)))
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *i* *n* *vphi* \implies *wf_vydra* *psi* *j* *n* *vpsi* \implies *j* \leq *i* \implies
 reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es sub' \implies length es = *j* \implies ($\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set es} \implies \text{memL } t \ (\tau \sigma i) \ I$) \implies
 cphi = *i* - (case last_before ($\lambda k. \neg \text{sat } \text{phi } k$) *i* of None \implies 0 | Some *k* \implies Suc *k*) \implies *cpsi* = *i* - *j* \implies
 cppsi = (case last_before (sat *psi*) *j* of None \implies None | Some *k* \implies Some (*i* - *k*)) \implies
 tppsi = (case last_before (sat *psi*) *j* of None \implies None | Some *k* \implies Some ($\tau \sigma k$)) \implies
 wf_vydra (Since *phi I psi*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_Since *I vphi vpsi sub' cphi cpsi cppsi tppsi*)
 | *wf_vydra* *phi* *j* *n* *vphi* \implies *wf_vydra* *psi* *j* *n* *vpsi* \implies *i* \leq *j* \implies
 reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es back \implies length es = *i* \implies
 reaches_on ru_t l_t0 es' front \implies length es' = *j* \implies ($\bigwedge t. t \in \text{set es}' \implies \text{memR } (\tau \sigma i) \ t \ I$) \implies
 c = *j* - *i* \implies *z* = (case *j* of 0 \implies None | Suc *k* \implies Some ($\tau \sigma k$, sat *phi k*, sat *psi k*)) \implies
 ($\bigwedge k. k \in \{i..<j-1\} \implies \text{sat } \text{phi } k \wedge (\text{memL } (\tau \sigma i) \ (\tau \sigma k) \ I \longrightarrow \neg \text{sat } \text{psi } k)$) \implies
 wf_vydra (Until *phi I psi*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_Until *I back vphi vpsi front c z*)
 | *valid_window_matchP* args *I* l_t0 (map (su *n*) (collect_subfmlas *r* [])) *xs* *i* *w* \implies
 n \geq *m*size_regex *r* \implies *qf* = state_cnt *r* \implies
 transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfa_impl *r* (0, *qf*, [])) \implies
 args = init_args ({0}, NFA.delta' *transs* *qf*, NFA.accept' *transs* *qf*)
 (*ru_t*, *read_t*) (run_subs (ru *n*)) \implies
 wf_vydra (MatchP *I r*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_MatchP *I transs* *qf* *w*)
 | *valid_window_matchF* args *I* l_t0 (map (su *n*) (collect_subfmlas *r* [])) *xs* *i* *w* \implies
 n \geq *m*size_regex *r* \implies *qf* = state_cnt *r* \implies
 transs = iarray_of_list (build_nfa_impl *r* (0, *qf*, [])) \implies
 args = init_args ({0}, NFA.delta' *transs* *qf*, NFA.accept' *transs* *qf*)
 (*ru_t*, *read_t*) (run_subs (ru *n*)) \implies
 wf_vydra (MatchF *I r*) *i* (Suc *n*) (VYDRA_MatchF *I transs* *qf* *w*)

lemma *reach_run_subs_len*:

assumes *reaches_ons*: *reaches_on* (run_subs (ru *n*)) (map (su *n*) (collect_subfmlas *r* [])) rho vs
shows length vs = length (collect_subfmlas *r* [])
 {proof}

lemma *reach_run_subs_run*:

assumes *reaches_ons*: *reaches_on* (run_subs (ru *n*)) (map (su *n*) (collect_subfmlas *r* [])) rho vs
and *subfmla*: *j* < length (collect_subfmlas *r* []) *phi* = collect_subfmlas *r* [] ! *j*
shows \exists rho'. *reaches_on* (ru *n*) (su *n* *phi*) rho' (vs ! *j*) \wedge length rho' = length rho
 {proof}

lemma *IArray_nth_equalityI*: *IArray.length* *xs* = length *ys* \implies

($\bigwedge i. i < \text{IArray.length } xs \implies \text{IArray.sub } xs \ i = ys \ ! \ i$) \implies *xs* = *IArray* *ys*
 {proof}

lemma *bs_sat*:

assumes *IH*: $\bigwedge \text{phi } i \ v \ v' \ b. \text{phi} \in \text{set } (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ []) \implies \text{wf_vydra } \text{phi } i \ n \ v \implies \text{ru } n \ v = \text{Some } (v', b) \implies \text{snd } b = \text{sat } \text{phi } i$
and *reaches_ons*: $\bigwedge j. j < \text{length } (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ []) \implies \text{wf_vydra } (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ [] \ ! \ j) \ i \ n \ (vs \ ! \ j)$
and *run_subs*: $\text{run_subs } (\text{ru } n) \ vs = \text{Some } (vs', bs) \ \text{length } vs = \text{length } (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ [])$
shows $bs = \text{iarray_of_list } (\text{map } (\lambda \text{phi}. \text{sat } \text{phi } i) (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ []))$
<proof>

lemma *run_induct*[*case_names Bool Atom Neg Bin Prev Next Since Until MatchP MatchF, consumes 1*]:

fixes *phi* :: ('a, 't) formula
assumes *msize_fm*: $\text{msize_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \ (\bigwedge b \ n. P \ n \ (\text{Bool } b)) \ (\bigwedge a \ n. P \ n \ (\text{Atom } a))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ \text{phi}. \text{msize_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Neg } \text{phi}))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ f \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}. \text{msize_fmla } (\text{Bin } f \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}) \leq \text{Suc } n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ n \ \text{psi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Bin } f \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi}. \text{msize_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Prev } I \ \text{phi}))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi}. \text{msize_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Next } I \ \text{phi}))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}. \text{msize_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies \text{msize_fmla } \ \text{psi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ n \ \text{psi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Since } \text{phi } I \ \text{psi}))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ I \ \text{phi} \ \text{psi}. \text{msize_fmla } \text{phi} \leq n \implies \text{msize_fmla } \ \text{psi} \leq n \implies P \ n \ \text{phi} \implies P \ n \ \text{psi} \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{Until } \text{phi } I \ \text{psi}))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ I \ r. \text{msize_fmla } (\text{MatchP } I \ r) \leq \text{Suc } n \implies (\bigwedge x. \text{msize_fmla } x \leq n \implies P \ n \ x) \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{MatchP } I \ r))$
 $(\bigwedge n \ I \ r. \text{msize_fmla } (\text{MatchF } I \ r) \leq \text{Suc } n \implies (\bigwedge x. \text{msize_fmla } x \leq n \implies P \ n \ x) \implies P \ (\text{Suc } n) \ (\text{MatchF } I \ r))$
shows $P \ n \ \text{phi}$
<proof>

lemma *wf_vydra_sub*: $\text{msize_fmla } \varphi \leq n \implies \text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ 0 \ n \ (\text{su } n \ \varphi)$
<proof>

lemma *ru_t_Some*: $\exists e' \ et. \text{ru_t } e = \text{Some } (e', et)$ **if** *reaches_Suc_i*: *reaches_on* *run_hd* *init_hd* *fs* *f* *length fs = Suc i*
and *aux*: *reaches_on* *ru_t* *l_t0* *es* *e* *length es ≤ i* **for** *es* *e*
<proof>

lemma *vydra_sound_aux*:

assumes *msize_fm*: $\text{msize_fmla } \varphi \leq n$ *wf_vydra*: $\text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ i \ n \ v \ \text{ru } n \ v = \text{Some } (v', t, b)$ *bounded_future_fm*: $\text{bounded_future_fmla } \varphi \ \text{wf_fmla } \varphi$
shows $\text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ (\text{Suc } i) \ n \ v' \wedge (\exists es \ e. \text{reaches_on } \text{run_hd } \text{init_hd } es \ e \wedge \text{length } es = \text{Suc } i) \wedge t = \tau \ \sigma \ i \wedge b = \text{sat } \varphi \ i$
<proof>

lemma *reaches_ons_run_lD*: $\text{reaches_on } (\text{run_subs } (\text{ru } n)) \ vs \ ws \ vs' \implies \text{length } vs = \text{length } vs'$
<proof>

lemma *reaches_ons_run_vD*: $\text{reaches_on } (\text{run_subs } (\text{ru } n)) \ vs \ ws \ vs' \implies i < \text{length } vs \implies (\exists ys. \text{reaches_on } (\text{ru } n) \ (vs \ ! \ i) \ ys \ (vs' \ ! \ i) \wedge \text{length } ys = \text{length } ws)$
<proof>

lemma *reaches_ons_runI*:

assumes $\bigwedge \text{phi}. \text{phi} \in \text{set } (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ []) \implies \exists ws \ v. \text{reaches_on } (\text{ru } n) \ (\text{su } n \ \text{phi}) \ ws \ v \wedge \text{length } ws = i$
shows $\exists ws \ v. \text{reaches_on } (\text{run_subs } (\text{ru } n)) \ (\text{map } (\text{su } n) (\text{collect_subfmlas } r \ [])) \ ws \ v \wedge \text{length } ws = i$
<proof>

lemma *reaches_on_takeWhile*: $\text{reaches_on } r \ s \ vs \ s' \Longrightarrow r \ s' = \text{Some } (s'', v) \Longrightarrow \neg f \ v \Longrightarrow$
 $vs' = \text{takeWhile } f \ vs \Longrightarrow$
 $\exists t' \ t'' \ v'. \text{reaches_on } r \ s \ vs' \ t' \wedge r \ t' = \text{Some } (t'', v') \wedge \neg f \ v' \wedge$
 $\text{reaches_on } r \ t' \ (\text{drop } (\text{length } vs') \ vs) \ s'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_suffix*:
assumes $\text{reaches_on } r \ s \ vs \ s' \text{ reaches_on } r \ s \ vs' \ s'' \text{ length } vs' \leq \text{length } vs$
shows $\exists vs''. \text{reaches_on } r \ s'' \ vs'' \ s' \wedge vs = vs' @ vs''$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vydra_wf_reaches_on*:
assumes $\bigwedge j \ v. \ j < i \Longrightarrow \text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ j \ n \ v \Longrightarrow ru \ n \ v = \text{None} \Longrightarrow \text{False bounded_future_fmla } \varphi$
 $\text{wf_fmla } \varphi \ \text{msize_fmla } \varphi \leq n$
shows $\exists vs \ v. \text{reaches_on } (ru \ n) \ (su \ n \ \varphi) \ vs \ v \wedge \text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ i \ n \ v \wedge \text{length } vs = i$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_Some*:
assumes $\text{reaches_on } r \ s \ vs \ s' \text{ reaches_on } r \ s \ vs' \ s'' \text{ length } vs < \text{length } vs'$
shows $\exists s''' \ x. r \ s' = \text{Some } (s''', x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reaches_on_progress*:
assumes $\text{reaches_on } \text{run_hd } \text{init_hd} \ vs \ e$
shows $\text{progress } \phi \ (\text{map } \text{fst } vs) \leq \text{length } vs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vydra_complete_aux*:
assumes *prefix*: $\text{reaches_on } \text{run_hd } \text{init_hd} \ vs \ e$
and *run*: $\text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ i \ n \ v \ ru \ n \ v = \text{None } i < \text{progress } \varphi \ (\text{map } \text{fst } vs) \ \text{bounded_future_fmla } \varphi \ \text{wf_fmla}$
 φ
and *msize*: $\text{msize_fmla } \varphi \leq n$
shows *False*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $ru' \ \varphi = ru \ (\text{msize_fmla } \varphi)$
definition $su' \ \varphi = su \ (\text{msize_fmla } \varphi) \ \varphi$

lemma *vydra_wf*:
assumes $\text{reaches } (ru \ n) \ (su \ n \ \varphi) \ i \ v \ \text{bounded_future_fmla } \varphi \ \text{wf_fmla } \varphi \ \text{msize_fmla } \varphi \leq n$
shows $\text{wf_vydra } \varphi \ i \ n \ v$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vydra_sound'*:
assumes $\text{reaches } (ru' \ \varphi) \ (su' \ \varphi) \ n \ v \ ru' \ \varphi \ v = \text{Some } (v', (t, b)) \ \text{bounded_future_fmla } \varphi \ \text{wf_fmla } \varphi$
shows $(t, b) = (\tau \ \sigma \ n, \text{sat } \varphi \ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vydra_complete'*:
assumes *prefix*: $\text{reaches_on } \text{run_hd } \text{init_hd} \ vs \ e$
and *prog*: $n < \text{progress } \varphi \ (\text{map } \text{fst } vs) \ \text{bounded_future_fmla } \varphi \ \text{wf_fmla } \varphi$
shows $\exists v \ v'. \text{reaches } (ru' \ \varphi) \ (su' \ \varphi) \ n \ v \wedge ru' \ \varphi \ v = \text{Some } (v', (\tau \ \sigma \ n, \text{sat } \varphi \ n))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map_option_apfst_idle*: $\text{map_option } (\text{apfst } \text{snd}) \ (\text{map_option } (\text{apfst } (\text{Pair } n)) \ x) = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *vydra_sound*:
assumes *reaches* (*run_vydra* *run_hd*) (*init_vydra* *init_hd* *run_hd* φ) *n* *v* *run_vydra* *run_hd* *v* = *Some* (*v'*, (*t*, *b*)) *bounded_future_fm*_{la} φ *wf_fm*_{la} φ
shows (*t*, *b*) = (τ σ *n*, *sat* φ *n*)
<proof>

lemma *vydra_complete*:
assumes *prefix_reaches_on* *run_hd* *init_hd* *vs* *e*
and *prog*: *n* < *progress* φ (*map fst* *vs*) *bounded_future_fm*_{la} φ *wf_fm*_{la} φ
shows $\exists v v'. \text{reaches } (\text{run_vydra } \text{run_hd}) (\text{init_vydra } \text{init_hd } \text{run_hd } \varphi) n v \wedge \text{run_vydra } \text{run_hd } v = \text{Some } (v', (\tau \sigma n, \text{sat } \varphi n))$
<proof>

end

context *MDL*
begin

lemma *reach_elem*:
assumes *reaches* ($\lambda i. \text{if } P \text{ } i \text{ then } \text{Some } (\text{Suc } i, (\tau \sigma i, \Gamma \sigma i)) \text{ else } \text{None}$) *s* *n* *s'* *s* = 0
shows *s'* = *n*
<proof>

interpretation *default_vydra*: *VYDRA_MDL* σ 0 $\lambda i. \text{Some } (\text{Suc } i, (\tau \sigma i, \Gamma \sigma i))$
<proof>

end

lemma *reaches_inj*: *reaches* *r* *s* *i* *t* \implies *reaches* *r* *s* *i* *t'* \implies *t* = *t'*
<proof>

lemma *progress_sound*:
assumes
 $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } ts \implies ts ! n = \tau \sigma n$
 $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } ts \implies \tau \sigma n = \tau \sigma' n$
 $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } ts \implies \Gamma \sigma n = \Gamma \sigma' n$
n < *progress* *phi* *ts*
*bounded_future_fm*_{la} *phi*
*wf_fm*_{la} *phi*
shows *MDL.sat* σ *phi* *n* \longleftrightarrow *MDL.sat* σ' *phi* *n*
<proof>

end

theory *Preliminaries*
imports *MDL*
begin

4 Formulas and Satisfiability

declare *[[typedef_overloaded]]*

context
begin

qualified datatype (*'a*, *'t* :: *timestamp*) *formula* = *Bool* *bool* | *Atom* *'a* | *Neg* (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* |
Bin *bool* \implies *bool* \implies *bool* (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* |
Prev *'t* \mathcal{I} (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* | *Next* *'t* \mathcal{I} (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* |
Since (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* *'t* \mathcal{I} (*'a*, *'t*) *formula* |

```

  Until ('a, 't) formula 't  $\mathcal{I}$  ('a, 't) formula |
  MatchP 't  $\mathcal{I}$  ('a, 't) regex | MatchF 't  $\mathcal{I}$  ('a, 't) regex
and ('a, 't) regex = Test ('a, 't) formula | Wild |
  Plus ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex | Times ('a, 't) regex ('a, 't) regex |
  Star ('a, 't) regex

```

end

```

fun mdl2mdl :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) formula
and embed :: ('a, 't) Preliminaries.regex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) regex where
  mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Bool b) = Bool b
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Atom a) = Atom a
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Neg phi) = Neg (mdl2mdl phi)
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Bin f phi psi) = Bin f (mdl2mdl phi) (mdl2mdl psi)
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Prev I phi) = Prev I (mdl2mdl phi)
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Next I phi) = Next I (mdl2mdl phi)
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Since phi I psi) = Since (mdl2mdl phi) I (mdl2mdl psi)
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.Until phi I psi) = Until (mdl2mdl phi) I (mdl2mdl psi)
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.MatchP I r) = MatchP I (Times (embed r) (Symbol (Bool True)))
| mdl2mdl (Preliminaries.MatchF I r) = MatchF I (Times (embed r) (Symbol (Bool True)))
| embed (Preliminaries.Test phi) = Lookahead (mdl2mdl phi)
| embed Preliminaries.Wild = Symbol (Bool True)
| embed (Preliminaries.Plus r s) = Plus (embed r) (embed s)
| embed (Preliminaries.Times r s) = Times (embed r) (embed s)
| embed (Preliminaries.Star r) = Star (embed r)

```

lemma mdl2mdl_wf:

```

  fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula
  shows wf_fm1a (mdl2mdl phi)
  <proof>

```

```

fun embed' :: (('a, 't :: timestamp) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) Preliminaries.formula)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) regex  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't)
Preliminaries.regex where
  embed' f (Lookahead phi) = Preliminaries.Test (f phi)
| embed' f (Symbol phi) = Preliminaries.Times (Preliminaries.Test (f phi)) Preliminaries.Wild
| embed' f (Plus r s) = Preliminaries.Plus (embed' f r) (embed' f s)
| embed' f (Times r s) = Preliminaries.Times (embed' f r) (embed' f s)
| embed' f (Star r) = Preliminaries.Star (embed' f r)

```

lemma embed'_cong[fundef_cong]: $(\bigwedge phi. phi \in \text{atms } r \implies f \text{ phi} = f' \text{ phi}) \implies \text{embed}' f r = \text{embed}' f' r$
 <proof>

```

fun mdl2mdl' :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 't) Preliminaries.formula where
  mdl2mdl' (Bool b) = Preliminaries.Bool b
| mdl2mdl' (Atom a) = Preliminaries.Atom a
| mdl2mdl' (Neg phi) = Preliminaries.Neg (mdl2mdl' phi)
| mdl2mdl' (Bin f phi psi) = Preliminaries.Bin f (mdl2mdl' phi) (mdl2mdl' psi)
| mdl2mdl' (Prev I phi) = Preliminaries.Prev I (mdl2mdl' phi)
| mdl2mdl' (Next I phi) = Preliminaries.Next I (mdl2mdl' phi)
| mdl2mdl' (Since phi I psi) = Preliminaries.Since (mdl2mdl' phi) I (mdl2mdl' psi)
| mdl2mdl' (Until phi I psi) = Preliminaries.Until (mdl2mdl' phi) I (mdl2mdl' psi)
| mdl2mdl' (MatchP I r) = Preliminaries.MatchP I (embed' mdl2mdl' (rderive r))
| mdl2mdl' (MatchF I r) = Preliminaries.MatchF I (embed' mdl2mdl' (rderive r))

```

context MDL

begin

```

fun rvsat :: ('a, 't) Preliminaries.formula  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  and rvmatch :: ('a, 't) Preliminaries.regex  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  nat) set where
    rvsat (Preliminaries.Bool b) i = b
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Atom a) i = (a  $\in$   $\Gamma$   $\sigma$  i)
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Neg  $\varphi$ ) i = ( $\neg$  rvsat  $\varphi$  i)
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Bin f  $\varphi$   $\psi$ ) i = (f (rvsat  $\varphi$  i) (rvsat  $\psi$  i))
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Prev I  $\varphi$ ) i = (case i of 0  $\Rightarrow$  False | Suc j  $\Rightarrow$  mem ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  j) ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  i) I  $\wedge$  rvsat  $\varphi$  j)
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Next I  $\varphi$ ) i = (mem ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  i) ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  (Suc i)) I  $\wedge$  rvsat  $\varphi$  (Suc i))
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Since  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) i = ( $\exists j \leq i$ . mem ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  j) ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  i) I  $\wedge$  rvsat  $\psi$  j  $\wedge$  ( $\forall k \in \{j..i\}$ . rvsat  $\varphi$  k))
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.Until  $\varphi$  I  $\psi$ ) i = ( $\exists j \geq i$ . mem ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  i) ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  j) I  $\wedge$  rvsat  $\psi$  j  $\wedge$  ( $\forall k \in \{i..j\}$ . rvsat  $\varphi$  k))
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.MatchP I r) i = ( $\exists j \leq i$ . mem ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  j) ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  i) I  $\wedge$  (j, i)  $\in$  rvmatch r)
  | rvsat (Preliminaries.MatchF I r) i = ( $\exists j \geq i$ . mem ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  i) ( $\tau$   $\sigma$  j) I  $\wedge$  (i, j)  $\in$  rvmatch r)
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Test  $\varphi$ ) = {(i, i) | i. rvsat  $\varphi$  i}
  | rvmatch Preliminaries.Wild = {(i, i + 1) | i. True}
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Plus r s) = rvmatch r  $\cup$  rvmatch s
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Times r s) = rvmatch r  $O$  rvmatch s
  | rvmatch (Preliminaries.Star r) = rtrancl (rvmatch r)

```

lemma mdl2mdl_equivalent:

```

fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula
shows  $\bigwedge i$ . sat (mdl2mdl phi) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  rvsat phi i
<proof>

```

lemma mdlstar2mdl:

```

fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) Preliminaries.formula
shows wf_fm1a (mdl2mdl phi)  $\bigwedge i$ . sat (mdl2mdl phi) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  rvsat phi i
<proof>

```

lemma rvmatch_embed':

```

assumes  $\bigwedge phi$  i. phi  $\in$  atms r  $\Longrightarrow$  rvsat (mdl2mdl' phi) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat phi i
shows rvmatch (embed' mdl2mdl' r) = match r
<proof>

```

lemma mdl2mdlstar:

```

fixes phi :: ('a, 't :: timestamp) formula
assumes wf_fm1a phi
shows  $\bigwedge i$ . rvsat (mdl2mdl' phi) i  $\longleftrightarrow$  sat phi i
<proof>

```

end

end

theory Monitor_Code

```

imports HOL-Library.Code_Target_Nat Containers.Containers Monitor Preliminaries
begin

```

derive (eq) ceq enat

instantiation enat :: ccompare **begin**

definition ccompare_enat :: enat comparator option **where**

```

  ccompare_enat = Some ( $\lambda x y$ . if x = y then order.Eq else if x < y then order.Lt else order.Gt)

```

instance <proof>

end

```

code_printing
  code_module IArray → (OCaml)
⟨module IArray : sig
  val length' : 'a array -> Z.t
  val sub' : 'a array * Z.t -> 'a
end = struct

let length' xs = Z.of_int (Array.length xs);;

let sub' (xs, i) = Array.get xs (Z.to_int i);;

end⟩ for type_constructor iarray constant IArray.length' IArray.sub'

code_reserved OCaml IArray

code_printing
  type_constructor iarray → (OCaml) _ array
| constant iarray_of_list → (OCaml) Array.of'_list
| constant IArray.list_of → (OCaml) Array.to'_list
| constant IArray.length' → (OCaml) IArray.length'
| constant IArray.sub' → (OCaml) IArray.sub'

lemma iarray_list_of_inj: IArray.list_of xs = IArray.list_of ys ⇒ xs = ys
  ⟨proof⟩

instantiation iarray :: (compare) ccompare
begin

definition ccompare_iarray :: ('a iarray ⇒ 'a iarray ⇒ order) option where
  ccompare_iarray = (case ID CCOMPARE('a list) of None ⇒ None
  | Some c ⇒ Some (λxs ys. c (IArray.list_of xs) (IArray.list_of ys)))

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

derive (rbt) mapping_impl iarray

definition mk_db :: String.literal list ⇒ String.literal set where mk_db = set

definition init_vydra_string_enat :: _ ⇒ _ ⇒ _ ⇒ (String.literal, enat, 'e) vydra where
  init_vydra_string_enat = init_vydra
definition run_vydra_string_enat :: _ ⇒ (String.literal, enat, 'e) vydra ⇒ _ where
  run_vydra_string_enat = run_vydra
definition progress_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula ⇒ enat list ⇒ nat where
  progress_enat = progress
definition bounded_future_fmula_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula ⇒ bool where
  bounded_future_fmula_enat = bounded_future_fmula
definition wf_fmula_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula ⇒ bool where
  wf_fmula_enat = wf_fmula
definition mdl2mdl'_enat :: (String.literal, enat) formula ⇒ (String.literal, enat) Preliminaries.formula
where
  mdl2mdl'_enat = mdl2mdl'
definition interval_enat :: enat ⇒ enat ⇒ bool ⇒ bool ⇒ enat  $\mathcal{I}$  where
  interval_enat = interval
definition rep_interval_enat :: enat  $\mathcal{I}$  ⇒ enat × enat × bool × bool where

```

rep_interval_enat = *Rep_I*

definition *init_vydra_string_ereal* :: $_ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow _ \Rightarrow (\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal}, 'e)$ *vydra* **where**
init_vydra_string_ereal = *init_vydra*

definition *run_vydra_string_ereal* :: $_ \Rightarrow (\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal}, 'e)$ *vydra* $\Rightarrow _$ **where**
run_vydra_string_ereal = *run_vydra*

definition *progress_ereal* :: $(\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal})$ *formula* \Rightarrow *ereal list* \Rightarrow *real* **where**
progress_ereal = *progress*

definition *bounded_future_fmula_ereal* :: $(\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal})$ *formula* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
bounded_future_fmula_ereal = *bounded_future_fmula*

definition *wf_fmula_ereal* :: $(\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal})$ *formula* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
wf_fmula_ereal = *wf_fmula*

definition *mdl2mdl'_ereal* :: $(\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal})$ *formula* \Rightarrow $(\text{String.literal}, \text{ereal})$ *Preliminaries.formula*
where

mdl2mdl'_ereal = *mdl2mdl'*

definition *interval_ereal* :: *ereal* \Rightarrow *ereal* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *ereal I* **where**
interval_ereal = *interval*

definition *rep_interval_ereal* :: *ereal I* \Rightarrow *ereal* \times *ereal* \times *bool* \times *bool* **where**
rep_interval_ereal = *Rep_I*

lemma *tfin_enat_code*[*code*]: (*tfin* :: *enat set*) = *Collect_set* ($\lambda x. x \neq \infty$)
 <proof>

lemma *tfin_ereal_code*[*code*]: (*tfin* :: *ereal set*) = *Collect_set* ($\lambda x. x \neq -\infty \wedge x \neq \infty$)
 <proof>

lemma *Ball_atms*[*code_unfold*]: *Ball* (*atms r*) *P* = *list_all* *P* (*collect_subfmlas r* [])
 <proof>

lemma *MIN_fold*: (*MIN* $x \in \text{set } (z \# zs).$ *f x*) = *fold min* (*map f zs*) (*f z*)
 <proof>

declare *progress.simps*(1-8)[*code*]

lemma *progress_matchP_code*[*code*]:

progress (*MatchP I r*) *ts* = (*case collect_subfmlas r* [] of $x \# xs \Rightarrow$ *fold min* (*map* ($\lambda f. \text{progress } f \text{ } ts$) *xs*) (*progress x ts*))
 <proof>

lemma *progress_matchF_code*[*code*]:

progress (*MatchF I r*) *ts* = (*if length ts* = 0 then 0 else
 (*let k* = *min* (*length ts* - 1) (*case collect_subfmlas r* [] of $x \# xs \Rightarrow$ *fold min* (*map* ($\lambda f. \text{progress } f \text{ } ts$) *xs*) (*progress x ts*)) in
Min {*j* \in {..*k*}. *memR* (*ts* ! *j*) (*ts* ! *k*) *I*}))
 <proof>

export_code *init_vydra_string_enat* *run_vydra_string_enat* *progress_enat* *bounded_future_fmula_enat*
wf_fmula_enat *mdl2mdl'_enat*

Bool Preliminaries.Bool enat interval_enat rep_interval_enat nat_of_integer integer_of_nat mk_db
in *OCaml module_name* *VYDRA file_prefix* *verified*

end

theory *Timestamp_Lex*

imports *Timestamp*

begin

instantiation *prod* :: (*timestamp_total_strict*, *timestamp_total_strict*) *timestamp_total_strict*
begin

```

definition tfin_prod :: ('a × 'b) set where
  tfin_prod = tfin × UNIV

definition ι_prod :: nat ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  ι_prod n = (ι n, ι n)

fun sup_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  sup_prod (a, b) (c, d) = (if a < c then (c, d) else if c < a then (a, b) else (a, sup b d))

fun less_eq_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_eq_prod (a, b) (c, d) ⟷ a < c ∨ (a = c ∧ b ≤ d)

definition less_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_prod x y ⟷ x ≤ y ∧ x ≠ y

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Timestamp_Prod
  imports Timestamp
begin

instantiation prod :: (timestamp, timestamp) timestamp
begin

definition tfin_prod :: ('a × 'b) set where
  tfin_prod = tfin × tfin

definition ι_prod :: nat ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  ι_prod n = (ι n, ι n)

fun sup_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b where
  sup_prod (a, b) (c, d) = (sup a c, sup b d)

fun less_eq_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_eq_prod (a, b) (c, d) ⟷ a ≤ c ∧ b ≤ d

definition less_prod :: 'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool where
  less_prod x y ⟷ x ≤ y ∧ x ≠ y

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

References

- [1] R. Koymans. Specifying real-time properties with metric temporal logic. *Real Time Syst.*, 2(4):255–299, 1990.
- [2] M. Raszyk, D. A. Basin, and D. Traytel. Multi-head monitoring of metric dynamic logic. In

D. V. Hung and O. Sokolsky, editors, *Automated Technology for Verification and Analysis - 18th International Symposium, ATVA 2020, Hanoi, Vietnam, October 19-23, 2020, Proceedings*, volume 12302 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 233–250. Springer, 2020.