Transitive Union-Closed Families

Angeliki Koutsoukou-Argyraki^{1,2} and Lawrence C. Paulson²

¹Royal Holloway, University of London ²University of Cambridge

October 13, 2025

Abstract

We formalise a proof by Aaronson, Ellis and Leader showing that the Union-Closed Conjecture holds for the union-closed family generated by the cyclic translates of any fixed set.

Contents

1	Transitive Union-Closed Families	1
	1.1 Proof of the main theorem	2

1 Transitive Union-Closed Families

A family of sets is union-closed if the union of any two sets from the family is in the family. The Union-Closed Conjecture is an open problem in combinatorics posed by Frankl in 1979. It states that for every finite, union-closed family of sets (other than the family containing only the empty set) there exists an element that belongs to at least half of the sets in the family. We formalise a proof by Aaronson, Ellis and Leader showing that the Union-Closed Conjecture holds for the union-closed family generated by the cyclic translates of any fixed set [1].

 ${\bf theory} \ \, \textit{Transitive-Union-Closed-Families} \\ {\bf imports} \ \, \textit{Pluennecke-Ruzsa-Inequality.Pluennecke-Ruzsa-Inequality} \\$

begin

no-notation equivalence.Partition (infix) '/ 75)

definition union-closed:: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool where union-closed $\mathcal{F} \equiv (\forall A \in \mathcal{F}. \ \forall B \in \mathcal{F}. \ A \cup B \in \mathcal{F})$

```
abbreviation set-difference :: ['a \ set, 'a \ set] \Rightarrow 'a \ set \ (infixl \setminus 65)
  where A \setminus B \equiv A - B
locale Family = additive-abelian-group +
  fixes R
  assumes finG: finite G
 assumes RG: R \subseteq G
 assumes R-nonempty: R \neq \{\}
begin
definition union-closed-conjecture-property:: 'a set set \Rightarrow bool
  where union-closed-conjecture-property \mathcal{F}
       \equiv \exists \mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{F}. \ \exists x \in G. \ x \in \bigcap \mathcal{X} \land card \ \mathcal{X} \geq card \ \mathcal{F} \ / \ 2
definition Neighbd \equiv \lambda A. sumset A R
definition Interior \equiv \lambda A. \{x \in G \text{. sumset } \{x\} \ R \subseteq A\}
definition \mathcal{F} \equiv Neighbd ' Pow G
    the family \mathcal{F} as defined above and appears in the statement of the the-
orem [1] is finite, nonempty union-closed family.
lemma card \mathcal{F}-gt0 [simp]: card \mathcal{F} > 0 and finite \mathcal{F}: finite \mathcal{F}
  using \mathcal{F}-def finG by fastforce+
    As a remark, we note that \mathcal{F} is nontrivial.
lemma \mathcal{F} \neq \{\{\}\}
  unfolding \mathcal{F}-def image-def Neighbd-def set-eq-iff
 apply simp
 by (metis RG R-nonempty Pow-top disjoint-iff emptyE subset-eq sumset-is-empty-iff)
lemma union-closed \mathcal{F}
proof-
 \mathbf{have} *: \forall \ A \subseteq G. \ \forall \ B \subseteq G. \ (sumset \ A \ R) \cup (sumset \ B \ R) = sumset \ (A \cup B) \ R
    by (simp add: sumset-subset-Un1)
 show ?thesis using *
    by (auto simp: union-closed-def F-def Neighbd-def)
qed
lemma cardG-gt\theta: card G > \theta
 using RG R-nonempty card-0-eq finG by blast
lemma \mathcal{F}-subset: \mathcal{F} \subseteq Pow G
 by (simp add: Neighbd-def Powl F-def image-subset-iff sumset-subset-carrier)
```

1.1 Proof of the main theorem

lemma card-Interior-le:

```
assumes S \subseteq G
  shows card (Interior\ S) \le card\ S
proof -
  obtain r where r \in R
   using R-nonempty by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro card-inj-on-le)
   let ?f = (\lambda x. \ x \oplus r)
   show inj-on ?f (Interior S) ?f 'Interior S \subseteq S
     using RG \langle r \in R \rangle by (auto simp: Interior-def inj-on-def)
   show finite S
     using assms finG finite-subset by blast
 qed
qed
lemma Interior-subset-G [iff]: Interior S \subseteq G
 using Interior-def by auto
lemma Neighbd-subset-G [iff]: Neighbd S \subseteq G
 by (simp add: Neighbd-def sumset-subset-carrier)
lemma average-ge:
  shows (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}.(card\ S)) \ / \ card\ \mathcal{F} \ge card\ G \ / \ 2
proof-
  define f where f \equiv \lambda S. minusset (G \setminus Interior\ S)
    The following corresponds to (1) in the paper.
  have 1: card S + card (f S) \ge card G \text{ if } S \subseteq G \text{ for } S
  proof-
   have card (f S) = card G - card (Interior S)
     unfolding f-def
      by (metis Diff-subset Interior-subset-G card-Diff-subset card-minusset' finG
finite-subset)
   with that show ?thesis using card-Interior-le
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) add.commute diff-le-mono2 le-diff-conv)
  qed
    The following corresponds to (2) in the paper.
  have 2: fS = sumset \ (minusset \ (G \setminus S)) \ R \ \textbf{if} \ S \subseteq G \ \textbf{for} \ S
  proof-
   have *: x \in f \ S \longleftrightarrow x \in sumset \ (minuset \ (G \setminus S)) \ R \ \textbf{if} \ x \in G \ \textbf{for} \ x
   proof -
     have x \in f S \longleftrightarrow inverse \ x \notin Interior \ S
       using that minusset.simps by (fastforce simp: f-def)+
     also have ... \longleftrightarrow (sumset {inverse x} R) \cap (G\S) \neq {}
       using sumset-subset-carrier that by (auto simp: Interior-def)
     also have ... \longleftrightarrow x \in sumset \ (minuset \ (G \backslash S)) \ R
     proof
       assume L: sumset {inverse x} R \cap (G \setminus S) \neq \{\}
```

```
then obtain r where r: inverse x \oplus r \notin S and r \in R
         using \langle S \subseteq G \rangle \langle x \in G \rangle by (auto simp: sumset-eq minuset-eq)
       then have inverse (inverse x \oplus r) \in minuset (G \setminus S)
         using RG that by auto
       moreover have x = inverse (inverse \ x \oplus r) \oplus r
         using RG \langle r \in R \rangle that commutative inverse-composition-commute invert-
ible	ext{-}right	ext{-}inverse2
         by auto
       ultimately show x \in sumset \ (minuset \ (G \setminus S)) \ R
         by (metis RG \langle r \in R \rangle minusset-subset-carrier subset-eq sumset.simps)
     next
       assume R: x \in sumset (minusset (G \setminus S)) R
       then obtain g r where *: g \in G g \notin S r \in R x = inverse g \oplus r
         by (metis Diff-iff minuset.simps sumset.cases)
       show sumset {inverse x} R \cap (G \setminus S) \neq \{\}
         assume sumset {inverse x} R \cap (G \setminus S) = \{\}
         then have g \notin sumset \{inverse \ x\} R
           using \langle g \notin S \rangle sumset-subset-carrier that by fastforce
         then have g \neq local.inverse (local.inverse g \oplus r) \oplus r
           using *RG that by (auto simp: sumset-eq)
         with *RG that show False
        \mathbf{by} \; (\textit{metis commutative invertible invertible-left-inverse2 invertible-right-inverse2})
subset-eq)
       qed
     qed
     finally show ?thesis.
   ged
   show ?thesis
   proof
     show f S \subseteq sumset \ (minusset \ (G \setminus S)) \ R
     using * f-def minus set-subset-carrier by blast
   next
     show sumset (minuset (G \setminus S)) R \subseteq f S
     by (meson * subset-iff sumset-subset-carrier)
   qed
 \mathbf{qed}
  then have f 'Pow G \subseteq \mathcal{F}
   by (auto simp: Neighbd-def \mathcal{F}-def minusset-subset-carrier)
    The following corresponds to (3) in the paper.
  have 3: Neighbd (Interior (sumset A R)) = sumset A R
   if A \subseteq G for A
   using that by (force simp: sumset-eq Neighbd-def Interior-def)
    "Putting everything together":
  moreover
 have sumset X R = sumset Y R
   if X \subseteq G \ Y \subseteq G
```

```
R))
    for X Y
    using that 3
  by (metis Diff-Diff-Int Int-absorb2 Interior-subset-G inf-commute minus-minusset)
  ultimately have inj-on f \mathcal{F}
    by (auto simp: inj-on-def \mathcal{F}-def f-def Neighbd-def)
  moreover have f : \mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}
    using 2 \mathcal{F}-def \langle f : Pow \ G \subseteq \mathcal{F} \rangle by force
  moreover have \mathcal{F} \subseteq f ' \mathcal{F}
    by (metis \langle inj\text{-}on\ f\ \mathcal{F}\rangle\ \langle f\ '\mathcal{F}\subseteq\mathcal{F}\rangle\ endo\text{-}inj\text{-}surj\ finite}\mathcal{F})
  ultimately have bij-betw f \mathcal{F}
    by (simp add: bij-betw-def)
  then have sum-card-eq: (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}. \ card \ (f \ S)) = (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}. \ card \ S)
    by (simp add: sum.reindex-bij-betw)
  have card G / 2 = (1 / (2 * card \mathcal{F})) * (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}. card G)
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
  also have ... \leq (1 / (2 * card \mathcal{F})) * (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}. card S + card (f S))
    by (intro sum-mono mult-left-mono of-nat-mono 1) (auto simp: \mathcal{F}-def)
  also have ... = (1 / card \mathcal{F}) * (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}. card S)
    by (simp add: sum-card-eq sum.distrib)
  finally show ?thesis
    by argo
\mathbf{qed}
     We have thus shown that the average size of a set in the family \mathcal{F} is at
least |G|/2, proving the first part of Theorem 2 in the paper [1]. Using this,
we will now show the main statement, i.e. that the Union-Closed Conjecture
holds for the family \mathcal{F}.
theorem Aaronson-Ellis-Leader-union-closed-conjecture:
  shows union-closed-conjecture-property \mathcal{F}
proof -
    - First, quite a big calculation not mentioned in the article: counting all the
elements in two different ways.
have *: (\sum S \in \mathcal{F}.(card\ S)) = (\sum x \in G.\ card\ \{S \in \mathcal{F}.\ x \in S\})
    using finite\mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}-subset
  proof induction
    case empty
    then show ?case
      by simp
  next
    case (insert S \mathcal{G})
    then have A: \{T. (T = S \lor T \in \mathcal{G}) \land x \in T\}
                  = \{ T \in \mathcal{G}. \ x \in T \} \cup (if \ x \in S \ then \ \{S\} \ else \ \{\})
      for x
      by auto
    have B: card \{T. (T = S \lor T \in \mathcal{G}) \land x \in T\}
           = card \{ T \in \mathcal{G}. x \in T \} + (if x \in S then 1 else 0)
```

 $minusset (G \setminus Interior (sumset X R)) = minusset (G \setminus Interior (sumset Y R))$

```
for x
      by (simp add: A card-insert-if insert)
    have S = (\bigcup x \in G. if x \in S then \{x\} else \{\})
      using insert.prems by auto
    then have card S = card (\bigcup x \in G. if x \in S then \{x\} else \{\})
      by simp
    also have \ldots = (\sum i \in G. \ card \ (if \ i \in S \ then \ \{i\} \ else \ \{\}))
      by (intro card-UN-disjoint) (auto simp: finG)
    also have \dots = (\sum x \in G. \text{ if } x \in S \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)
      \mathbf{by}\ (force\ intro:\ sum.cong)
    finally have C: card S = (\sum x \in G. if x \in S then 1 else 0).
    show ?case
      using insert by (auto simp: sum.distrib B C)
  qed
  have 1/2 < (sum \ card \ \mathcal{F}) \ / \ (card \ \mathcal{F} * card \ G)
    using mult-right-mono [OF average-ge, of 1 / card G]
    using cardG-gt0 by (simp add: divide-simps split: if-splits)
  also have ... = (\sum x \in G. ((card \{S \in \mathcal{F}. x \in S\}) / (card \mathcal{F}))) / card G
    by (simp add: * sum-divide-distrib)
  finally have **: 1/2 \le (\sum x \in G. \ card \{S \in \mathcal{F}. \ x \in S\} \ / \ card \ \mathcal{F}) \ / \ card \ G.
    — There is a typo in the paper (bottom of page): instead of x \in S it says x \in S
\mathcal{F}.
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule ccontr) — Contradict the inequality proved above
    assume \neg union-closed-conjecture-property \mathcal{F}
    then have A: \bigwedge \mathcal{X} x. [\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{F}; x \in G; x \in \bigcap \mathcal{X}] \implies card \mathcal{X} < card \mathcal{F} / 2
      by (fastforce simp: union-closed-conjecture-property-def)
    have (\sum x \in G. \ real \ (card \ \{S \in \mathcal{F}. \ x \in S\})) < (\sum x \in G. \ card \ \mathcal{F} \ / \ 2)
    proof (intro sum-strict-mono)
      \mathbf{fix} \ x :: 'a
      assume x \in G
      then have card \{S \in \mathcal{F}. x \in S\} < card \mathcal{F} / 2
        by (intro A) auto
      then show real (card \{S \in \mathcal{F}. x \in S\}) < real (card \mathcal{F}) / 2
    qed (use unit-closed finG in auto)
    also have ... = card \mathcal{F} * (card G / 2)
      by simp
    finally have B: (\sum x \in G. \ real \ (card \ \{S \in \mathcal{F}. \ x \in S\})) < card \ \mathcal{F} * (card \ G \ / \ 2).
    have (\sum x \in G. \ card \ \{S \in \mathcal{F}. \ x \in S\} \ / \ card \ \mathcal{F}) \ / \ card \ G < 1/2
      using cardG-gt0 divide-strict-right-mono [OF B, of card <math>\mathcal{F} * card G]
      by (simp add: divide-simps sum-divide-distrib)
    with ** show False
      by argo
  qed
qed
end
```

 \mathbf{end}

References

[1] J. Aaronson, D. Ellis, and I. Leader. A note on transitive union-closed families. $28(2),\,2021.$ doihttps://doi.org/10.37236/9956.