Executable Transitive Closures*

René Thiemann

April 18, 2024

Abstract

We provide a generic work-list algorithm to compute the (reflexive-)transitive closure of relations where only successors of newly detected states are generated. In contrast to our previous work [2], the relations do not have to be finite, but each element must only have finitely many (indirect) successors. Moreover, a subsumption relation can be used instead of pure equality. An executable variant of the algorithm is available where the generic operations are instantiated with list operations.

This formalization was performed as part of the IsaFoR/CeTA project[1] [3], and it has been used to certify size-change termination proofs where large transitive closures have to be computed.

Contents

1   A work-list algorithm for reflexive-transitive closures

theory *RTrancl*
imports *Regular−Sets.Regexp-Method*
begin

In previous work [2] we described a generic work-list algorithm to compute reflexive-transitive closures for *finite* relations: given a finite relation r, it computed r^*.

In the following, we develop a similar, though different work-list algorithm for reflexive-transitive closures, it computes r^* `` *init* for a given relation r and finite set *init*. The main differences are that

---

[1]http://cl-informatik.uibk.ac.at/software/ceta

- The relation r does not have to be finite, only $\{b.\ (a,\ b) \in r^*\}$ has to be finite for each a. Moreover, it is no longer required that r is given explicitly as a list of pairs. Instead r must be provided in the form of a function which computes for each element the set of one-step successors.

- One can use a subsumption relation to indicate which elements to no longer have to be explored.

These new features have been essential to certify size-change termination proofs [1] where the transitive closure of all size-change graphs has to be computed. Here, the relation is size-change graph composition.

- Given an initial set of size-change graphs with n arguments, there are roughly $N := 3^{n^2}$ many potential size-change graphs that have to be considered as left-hand sides of the composition relation. Since the composition relation is even larger than N, an explicit representation of the composition relation would have been too expensive. However, using the new algorithm the number of generated graphs is usually far below the theoretical upper bound.

- Subsumption was useful to generate even fewer elements.

1.1 The generic case

Let r be some finite relation.

We present a standard work-list algorithm to compute all elements that are reachable from some initial set. The algorithm is generic in the sense that the underlying data structure can freely be chosen, you just have to provide certain operations like union, selection of an element.

In contrast to [2], the algorithm does not demand that r is finite and that r is explicitly provided (e.g., as a list of pairs). Instead, it suffices that for every element, only finitely many elements can be reached via r, and r can be provided as a function which computes for every element a all one-step successors w.r.t. r. Hence, r can in particular be any well-founded and finitely branching relation.

The algorithm can further be parametrized by a subsumption relation which allows for early pruning.

In the following locales, r is a relation of type $'a \Rightarrow 'a$, the successors of an element are represented by some collection type $'b$ which size can be measured using the *size* function. The selection function *sel* is used to meant to split a non-empty collection into one element and a remaining collection. The union on $'b$ is given by *un*.

locale *subsumption* =

fixes $r :: {}'a \Rightarrow {}'b$
 and *subsumes* $:: {}'a \Rightarrow {}'a \Rightarrow bool$
 and *set-of* $:: {}'b \Rightarrow {}'a\ set$
assumes
   subsumes-refl: $\bigwedge a.\ subsumes\ a\ a$
 and *subsumes-trans*: $\bigwedge a\ b\ c.\ subsumes\ a\ b \Longrightarrow subsumes\ b\ c \Longrightarrow subsumes\ a\ c$
 and *subsumes-step*: $\bigwedge a\ b\ c.\ subsumes\ a\ b \Longrightarrow c \in set\text{-}of\ (r\ b) \Longrightarrow \exists\ d \in set\text{-}of$
$(r\ a).\ subsumes\ d\ c$
begin
abbreviation R **where** $R \equiv \{\ (a,b).\ b \in set\text{-}of\ (r\ a)\ \}$
end

locale *subsumption-impl* $=$ *subsumption r subsumes set-of*
 for $r :: {}'a \Rightarrow {}'b$
 and *subsumes* $:: {}'a \Rightarrow {}'a \Rightarrow bool$
 and *set-of* $:: {}'b \Rightarrow {}'a\ set\ +$
 fixes
   $sel :: {}'b \Rightarrow {}'a \times {}'b$
 and $un :: {}'b \Rightarrow {}'b \Rightarrow {}'b$
 and $size :: {}'b \Rightarrow nat$
 assumes *set-of-fin*: $\bigwedge b.\ finite\ (set\text{-}of\ b)$
 and *sel*: $\bigwedge b\ a\ c.\ set\text{-}of\ b \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow sel\ b = (a,c) \Longrightarrow set\text{-}of\ b = insert\ a\ (set\text{-}of$
$c) \wedge size\ b > size\ c$
 and *un*: $set\text{-}of\ (un\ a\ b) = set\text{-}of\ a \cup set\text{-}of\ b$

locale *relation-subsumption-impl* $=$ *subsumption-impl r subsumes set-of sel un size*
 for *r subsumes set-of sel un size* $+$
 assumes *rtrancl-fin*: $\bigwedge a.\ finite\ \{b.\ (a,b) \in \{\ (a,b)\ .\ b \in set\text{-}of\ (r\ a)\}\widehat{\ }*\}$
begin

lemma *finite-Rs*: **assumes** *init*: *finite init*
 shows *finite* $(R\widehat{\ }*\ ``\ init)$
proof $-$
 let $?R = \lambda\ a.\ \{b\ .\ (a,b) \in R\widehat{\ }*\}$
 let $?S = \{\ ?R\ a \mid a\ .\ a \in init\}$
 have *id*: $R\widehat{\ }*\ ``\ init = \bigcup\ ?S$ **by** *auto*
 show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
 proof (*rule*)
   fix M
   assume $M \in ?S$
   then obtain a **where** M: $M = ?R\ a$ **by** *auto*
   show *finite M* **unfolding** M **by** (*rule rtrancl-fin*)
 next
   show *finite* $\{\{b.\ (a,\ b) \in R\widehat{\ }*\} \mid a.\ a \in init\}$
     using *init* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

a standard work-list algorithm with subsumption

function *mk-rtrancl-main* **where**
  mk-rtrancl-main todo fin = (if set-of todo = {} then fin
    else (let (a,tod) = sel todo
       in (if (∃ b ∈ fin. subsumes b a) then mk-rtrancl-main tod fin
          else mk-rtrancl-main (un (r a) tod) (insert a fin))))
  by *pat-completeness auto*

termination *mk-rtrancl-main*
proof −
  let *?r1 = λ (todo, fin). card (R^∗ '' (set-of todo) − fin)*
  let *?r2 = λ (todo, fin). size todo*
  show *?thesis*
  proof
    show *wf (measures [?r1,?r2])* **by** *simp*
  next
    fix *todo fin pair tod a*
    assume *nempty: set-of todo ≠ {}* **and** *pair1: pair = sel todo* **and** *pair2: (a,tod)
= pair*
      from *pair1 pair2* **have** *pair: sel todo = (a,tod)* **by** *simp*
      from *set-of-fin* **have** *fin: finite (set-of todo)* **by** *auto*
      note *sel = sel[OF nempty pair]*
      show *((tod,fin),(todo,fin)) ∈ measures [?r1,?r2]*
      proof *(rule measures-lesseq[OF - measures-less], unfold split)*
        from *sel*
        show *size tod < size todo* **by** *simp*
      next
        from *sel* **have** *subset: R^∗ '' set-of tod − fin ⊆ R^∗ '' set-of todo − fin* (**is**
?l ⊆ ?r) **by** *auto*
        show *card ?l ≤ card ?r*
          by *(rule card-mono[OF - subset], rule finite-Diff, rule finite-Rs[OF fin])*
      qed
  next
    fix *todo fin a tod pair*
    assume *nempty: set-of todo ≠ {}* **and** *pair1: pair = sel todo* **and** *pair2: (a,tod)
= pair* **and** *nmem: ¬ (∃ b ∈ fin. subsumes b a)*
      from *pair1 pair2* **have** *pair: sel todo = (a,tod)* **by** *auto*
      from *nmem subsumes-refl[of a]* **have** *nmem: a ∉ fin* **by** *auto*
      from *set-of-fin* **have** *fin: finite (set-of todo)* **by** *auto*
      note *sel = sel[OF nempty pair]*
      show *((un (r a) tod,insert a fin),(todo,fin)) ∈ measures [?r1,?r2]*
      proof *(rule measures-less, unfold split,*
        rule psubset-card-mono[OF finite-Diff[OF finite-Rs[OF fin]]])
        let *?l = R^∗ '' set-of (un (r a) tod) − insert a fin*
        let *?r = R^∗ '' set-of todo − fin*
        from *sel* **have** *at: a ∈ set-of todo* **by** *auto*
        have *ar: a ∈ ?r* **using** *nmem at* **by** *auto*
        show *?l ⊂ ?r*
        proof

4

      show *?l ≠ ?r* **using** *ar* **by** *auto*
    next
      have $R\hat{}* ``set\text{-}of\ (r\ a) \subseteq R\hat{}* ``set\text{-}of\ todo$
      proof
        fix *b*
        assume $b \in R\hat{}* ``set\text{-}of\ (r\ a)$
        then obtain *c* **where** *cb*: $(c,b) \in R\hat{}*$ **and** *ca*: $c \in set\text{-}of\ (r\ a)$ **by** *blast*
        hence *ab*: $(a,b) \in R\ O\ R\hat{}*$ **by** *auto*
        have $(a,b) \in R\hat{}*$
          by (*rule subsetD[OF - ab], regexp*)
        with *at* **show** $b \in R\hat{}* ``set\text{-}of\ todo$ **by** *auto*
      qed
      thus *?l ⊆ ?r* **using** *sel* **unfolding** *un* **by** *auto*
    qed
  qed
  qed
qed

declare *mk-rtrancl-main.simps[simp del]*

lemma *mk-rtrancl-main-sound*: $set\text{-}of\ todo \cup fin \subseteq R\hat{}* ``init \Longrightarrow mk\text{-}rtrancl\text{-}main$
todo fin $\subseteq R\hat{}* ``init$
proof (*induct todo fin rule*: *mk-rtrancl-main.induct*)
  case (*1 todo fin*)
  note *simp = mk-rtrancl-main.simps[of todo fin]*
  show *?case*
  proof (*cases set-of todo = {}*)
    case *True*
    show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simp* **using** *True 1(3)* **by** *auto*
  next
    case *False*
    hence *nempty*: (*set-of todo = {}*) *= False* **by** *auto*
    obtain *a tod* **where** *selt*: *sel todo = (a,tod)* **by** *force*
    note *sel = sel[OF False selt]*
    note *IH1 = 1(1)[OF False refl selt[symmetric]]*
    note *IH2 = 1(2)[OF False refl selt[symmetric]]*
    note *simp = simp nempty if-False Let-def selt*
    show *?thesis*
    proof (*cases ∃ b ∈ fin. subsumes b a*)
      case *True*
      hence *mk-rtrancl-main todo fin = mk-rtrancl-main tod fin*
        unfolding *simp* **by** *simp*
      with *IH1[OF True] 1(3)* **show** *?thesis* **using** *sel* **by** *auto*
    next
      case *False*
      hence *id*: *mk-rtrancl-main todo fin = mk-rtrancl-main (un (r a) tod) (insert*
a fin) **unfolding** *simp* **by** *simp*
      show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
      proof (*rule IH2[OF False]*)

from *sel 1(3)* **have** *subset: set-of todo ∪ insert a fin ⊆ R^* `` init* **by** *auto*
  {
    fix *b*
    assume *b: b ∈ set-of (r a)*
    hence *ab: (a,b) ∈ R* **by** *auto*
    from *sel 1(3)* **have** *a ∈ R^* `` init* **by** *auto*
    then obtain *c* **where** *c: c ∈ init* **and** *ca: (c,a) ∈ R^** **by** *blast*
    from *ca ab* **have** *cb: (c,b) ∈ R^* O R* **by** *auto*
    have *(c,b) ∈ R^**
      by *(rule subsetD[OF - cb], regexp)*
    with *c* **have** *b ∈ R^* `` init* **by** *auto*
  }
  with *subset*
  show *set-of (un (r a) tod) ∪ (insert a fin) ⊆ R^* `` init*
    unfolding *un* **using** *sel* **by** *auto*
  qed
 qed
qed
qed

lemma *mk-rtrancl-main-complete*:
 ⟦⋀ *a. a ∈ init ⟹ ∃ b. b ∈ set-of todo ∪ fin ∧ subsumes b a*⟧
 ⟹ ⟦ ⋀ *a b . a ∈ fin ⟹ b ∈ set-of (r a) ⟹ ∃ c. c ∈ set-of todo ∪ fin ∧*
subsumes c b⟧
 ⟹ *c ∈ R^* `` init*
 ⟹ *∃ b. b ∈ mk-rtrancl-main todo fin ∧ subsumes b c*
proof *(induct todo fin rule: mk-rtrancl-main.induct)*
 case *(1 todo fin)*
 from *1(5)* **have** *c: c ∈ R^* `` init* **.**
 note *finr = 1(4)*
 note *init = 1(3)*
 note *simp = mk-rtrancl-main.simps[of todo fin]*
 show *?case*
 proof *(cases set-of todo = {})*
  case *True*
  hence *id: mk-rtrancl-main todo fin = fin* **unfolding** *simp* **by** *simp*
  from *c* **obtain** *a* **where** *a: a ∈ init* **and** *ac: (a,c) ∈ R^** **by** *blast*
  show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id* **using** *ac*
  proof *(induct rule: rtrancl-induct)*
   case *base*
   from *init[OF a]* **show** *?case* **unfolding** *True* **by** *auto*
  next
   case *(step b c)*
   from *step(3)* **obtain** *d* **where** *d: d ∈ fin* **and** *db: subsumes d b* **by** *auto*
   from *step(2)* **have** *cb: c ∈ set-of (r b)* **by** *auto*
   from *subsumes-step[OF db cb]* **obtain** *a* **where** *a: a ∈ set-of (r d)* **and** *ac:*
subsumes a c **by** *auto*
   from *finr[OF d a]* **obtain** *e* **where** *e: e ∈ fin* **and** *ea: subsumes e a* **unfolding**
True **by** *auto*

6

from *subsumes-trans*[*OF ea ac*] *e*
         show *?case* **by** *auto*
       qed
   next
     case *False*
     hence *nempty*: (*set-of todo* = {}) = *False* **by** *simp*
     obtain *A tod* **where** *selt*: *sel todo* = (*A,tod*) **by** *force*
     note *simp* = *nempty simp if-False Let-def selt*
     note *sel* = *sel*[*OF False selt*]
     note *IH1* = *1*(*1*)[*OF False refl selt*[*symmetric*] *- - - c*]
     note *IH2* = *1*(*2*)[*OF False refl selt*[*symmetric*] *- - - c*]
     show *?thesis*
     proof (*cases* ∃ *b* ∈ *fin. subsumes b A*)
       case *True* **note** *oTrue* = *this*
       hence *id*: *mk-rtrancl-main todo fin* = *mk-rtrancl-main tod fin*
         unfolding *simp* **by** *simp*
       from *True* **obtain** *b* **where** *b*: *b* ∈ *fin* **and** *ba*: *subsumes b A* **by** *auto*
       show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
       proof (*rule IH1*[*OF True*])
         fix *a*
         assume *a*: *a* ∈ *init*
         from *init*[*OF a*] **obtain** *c* **where** *c*: *c* ∈ *set-of todo* ∪ *fin* **and** *ca*: *subsumes*
c a **by** *blast*
           show ∃ *b. b* ∈ *set-of tod* ∪ *fin* ∧ *subsumes b a*
           proof (*cases c* = *A*)
             case *False*
             thus *?thesis* **using** *c ca sel* **by** *auto*
           next
             case *True*
           show *?thesis* **using** *b subsumes-trans*[*OF ba, of a*] *ca* **unfolding** *True*[*symmetric*]
by *auto*
           qed
         next
           fix *a c*
           assume *a*: *a* ∈ *fin* **and** *c*: *c* ∈ *set-of* (*r a*)
           from *finr*[*OF a c*] **obtain** *e* **where** *e*: *e* ∈ *set-of todo* ∪ *fin* **and** *ec*: *subsumes*
e c **by** *auto*
           show ∃ *d. d* ∈ *set-of tod* ∪ *fin* ∧ *subsumes d c*
           proof (*cases A* = *e*)
             case *False*
             with *e ec* **show** *?thesis* **using** *sel* **by** *auto*
           next
             case *True*
             from *subsumes-trans*[*OF ba*[*unfolded True*] *ec*]
             show *?thesis* **using** *b* **by** *auto*
           qed
         qed
       next
         case *False*

7

hence *id*: *mk-rtrancl-main todo fin = mk-rtrancl-main (un (r A) tod) (insert A fin)* **unfolding** *simp* **by** *simp*
  show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*
  proof (*rule IH2*[*OF False*])
   fix *a*
   assume *a*: *a ∈ init*
   from *init*[*OF a*]
   show ∃ *b. b ∈ set-of (un (r A) (tod)) ∪ insert A fin ∧ subsumes b a*
    using *sel* **unfolding** *un* **by** *auto*
  next
   fix *a b*
   assume *a*: *a ∈ insert A fin* **and** *b*: *b ∈ set-of (r a)*
   show ∃ *c. c ∈ set-of (un (r A) tod) ∪ insert A fin ∧ subsumes c b*
   proof (*cases a ∈ fin*)
    case *True*
    from *finr*[*OF True b*] **show** *?thesis* **using** *sel* **unfolding** *un* **by** *auto*
   next
    case *False*
    with *a* **have** *a*: *A = a* **by** *simp*
    show *?thesis* **unfolding** *a un* **using** *b subsumes-refl*[*of b*] **by** *blast*
   qed
  qed
 qed
 qed
qed

definition *mk-rtrancl* **where** *mk-rtrancl init ≡ mk-rtrancl-main init {}*

lemma *mk-rtrancl-sound*: *mk-rtrancl init ⊆ R^⌢∗ '' set-of init*
 unfolding *mk-rtrancl-def*
 by (*rule mk-rtrancl-main-sound, auto*)

lemma *mk-rtrancl-complete*: **assumes** *a*: *a ∈ R^⌢∗ '' set-of init*
 shows ∃ *b. b ∈ mk-rtrancl init ∧ subsumes b a*
 unfolding *mk-rtrancl-def*
proof (*rule mk-rtrancl-main-complete*[*OF - - a*])
 fix *a*
 assume *a*: *a ∈ set-of init*
 thus ∃ *b. b ∈ set-of init ∪ {} ∧ subsumes b a* **using** *subsumes-refl*[*of a*] **by** *blast*
qed *auto*

lemma *mk-rtrancl-no-subsumption*: **assumes** *subsumes = (=)*
 shows *mk-rtrancl init = R^⌢∗ '' set-of init*
 using *mk-rtrancl-sound*[*of init*] *mk-rtrancl-complete*[*of - init*] *assms*
 by *auto*
end

1.2 Instantiation using list operations

It follows an implementation based on lists. Here, the working list algorithm is implemented outside the locale so that it can be used for code generation. In general, it is not terminating, therefore we use partial_function instead of function.

partial-function(*tailrec*) *mk-rtrancl-list-main* **where**
 [*code*]: *mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r todo fin* = (*case todo of* [] ⇒ *fin*
  | *Cons a tod* ⇒
      (*if* (∃ *b* ∈ *set fin. subsumes b a*) *then mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r tod fin*
      else mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r (*r a* @ *tod*) (*a* # *fin*)))

definition *mk-rtrancl-list* **where**
 mk-rtrancl-list subsumes r init ≡ *mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r init* []

locale *subsumption-list* = *subsumption r subsumes set*
 for *r* :: ′*a* ⇒ ′*a list* **and** *subsumes* :: ′*a* ⇒ ′*a* ⇒ *bool*

locale *relation-subsumption-list* = *subsumption-list r subsumes* **for** *r subsumes* +
 assumes *rtrancl-fin*: ⋀ *a. finite* {*b*. (*a,b*) ∈ { (*a,b*) . *b* ∈ *set* (*r a*)}⌢∗}

abbreviation(*input*) *sel-list* **where** *sel-list x* ≡ *case x of Cons h t* ⇒ (*h,t*)

sublocale *subsumption-list* ⊆ *subsumption-impl r subsumes set sel-list append length*

proof(*unfold-locales*, *rule finite-set*)
  fix *b a c*
  assume *set b* ≠ {} **and** *sel-list b* = (*a,c*)
  thus *set b* = *insert a* (*set c*) ∧ *length c* < *length b*
    by (*cases b*, *auto*)
qed *auto*

sublocale *relation-subsumption-list* ⊆ *relation-subsumption-impl r subsumes set sel-list append length*
  by (*unfold-locales*, *rule rtrancl-fin*)

context *relation-subsumption-list*
begin

The main equivalence proof between the generic work list algorithm and the one operating on lists

lemma *mk-rtrancl-list-main*: *fin* = *set finl* ⟹ *set* (*mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r todo finl*) = *mk-rtrancl-main todo fin*
proof (*induct todo fin arbitrary*: *finl rule*: *mk-rtrancl-main.induct*)
  case (*1 todo fin finl*)
  note *simp* = *mk-rtrancl-list-main.simps*[*of - - todo finl*] *mk-rtrancl-main.simps*[*of todo fin*]
  show *?case* (**is** *?l* = *?r*)

proof (*cases todo*)
    case *Nil*
    show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simp* **unfolding** *Nil 1(3)* **by** *simp*
  next
    case (*Cons a tod*)
    show *?thesis*
    proof (*cases ∃ b ∈ fin. subsumes b a*)
      case *True*
      from *True* **have** *l: ?l = set* (*mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r tod finl*)
        unfolding *simp* **unfolding** *Cons 1(3)* **by** *simp*
      from *True* **have** *r: ?r = mk-rtrancl-main tod fin*
        unfolding *simp* **unfolding** *Cons* **by** *auto*
      show *?thesis* **unfolding** *l r*
        by (*rule 1(1)[OF - refl - True], insert 1(3) Cons, auto*)
    next
      case *False*
      from *False* **have** *l: ?l = set* (*mk-rtrancl-list-main subsumes r* (*r a @ tod*) (*a # finl*))
        unfolding *simp* **unfolding** *Cons 1(3)* **by** *simp*
      from *False* **have** *r: ?r = mk-rtrancl-main* (*r a @ tod*) (*insert a fin*)
        unfolding *simp* **unfolding** *Cons* **by** *auto*
      show *?thesis* **unfolding** *l r*
        by (*rule 1(2)[OF - refl - False], insert 1(3) Cons, auto*)
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma *mk-rtrancl-list*: *set* (*mk-rtrancl-list subsumes r init*) *= mk-rtrancl init*
  unfolding *mk-rtrancl-list-def mk-rtrancl-def*
  by (*rule mk-rtrancl-list-main, simp*)

end

end

References

[1] C. S. Lee, N. D. Jones, and A. M. Ben-Amram. The size-change principle for program termination. In *Proc. POPL '01*, pages 81–92. ACM Press, 2001.

[2] C. Sternagel and R. Thiemann. Executable Transitive Closures of Finite Relations. In *Archive of Formal Proofs*. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Transitive-Closure.shtml, Mar. 2011. Formalization.

[3] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of termination proofs using CeTA. In *Proc. TPHOLs'09*, volume 5674 of *LNCS*, pages 452–468, 2009.