Stone-Kleene Relation Algebras

Walter Guttmann

October 13, 2025

Abstract

We develop Stone-Kleene relation algebras, which expand Stone relation algebras with a Kleene star operation to describe reachability in weighted graphs. Many properties of the Kleene star arise as a special case of a more general theory of iteration based on Conway semirings extended by simulation axioms. This includes several theorems representing complex program transformations. We formally prove the correctness of Conway's automata-based construction of the Kleene star of a matrix. We prove numerous results useful for reasoning about weighted graphs.

Contents

1	Syn	opsis and Motivation	2
2	Iter	ngs	3
	2.1	Conway Semirings	3
	2.2		11
3	Kle	ene Algebras	20
4	Kle	ene Relation Algebras	35
	4.1	Prim's Algorithm	46
		4.1.1 Preservation of Invariant	46
		4.1.2 Exchange gives Spanning Trees	53
		4.1.3 Exchange gives Minimum Spanning Trees	70
			82
	4.2		85
		4.2.1 Preservation of Invariant	85
		4.2.2 Exchange gives Spanning Trees	90
			97
	4.3	Related Structures	07
5	Sub	algebras of Kleene Relation Algebras	11

6	Matrix Kleene Algebras			
	6.1	Matrix Restrictions		112
	6.2	Matrices form a Kleene Algebra		127
	6.3	Matrices form a Stone-Kleene Relation Algebra		141

1 Synopsis and Motivation

This document describes the following five theory files:

- * Iterings describes a general iteration operation that works for many different computation models. We first consider equational axioms based on variants of Conway semirings. We expand these structures by generalised simulation axioms, which hold in total and general correctness models, not just in partial correctness models like the induction axioms. Simulation axioms are still powerful enough to prove separation theorems and Back's atomicity refinement theorem [4].
- * Kleene Algebras form a particular instance of iterings in which the iteration is implemented as a least fixpoint. We implement them based on Kozen's axioms [13], but most results are inherited from Conway semirings and iterings.
- * Kleene Relation Algebras introduces Stone-Kleene relation algebras, which combine Stone relation algebras and Kleene algebras. This is similar to relation algebras with transitive closure [16] but allows us to talk about reachability in weighted graphs. Many results in this theory are useful for verifying the correctness of Prim's and Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithms.
- * Subalgebras of Kleene Relation Algebras studies the regular elements of a Stone-Kleene relation algebra and shows that they form a Kleene relation subalgebra.
- * Matrix Kleene Algebras lifts the Kleene star to finite square matrices using Conway's automata-based construction. This involves an operation to restrict matrices to specific indices and a calculus for such restrictions. An implementation for the Kleene star of matrices was given in [3] without proof; this is the first formally verified correctness proof.

The development is based on a theory of Stone relation algebras [11, 12]. We apply Stone-Kleene relation algebras to verify Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm in Isabelle/HOL in [10].

Related libraries for Kleene algebras, regular algebras and relation algebras in the Archive of Formal Proofs are [1, 2, 8]. Kleene algebras are covered in the theory Kleene_Algebra/Kleene_Algebra.thy, but unlike

the present development it is not based on general algebras using simulation axioms, which are useful to describe various computation models. The theory Regular_Algebras/Regular_Algebras.thy compares different axiomatisations of regular algebras. The theory Kleene_Algebra/Matrix.thy covers matrices over dioids, but does not implement the Kleene star of matrices. The theory Relation_Algebra/Relation_Algebra_RTC.thy combines Kleene algebras and relation algebras, but is very limited in scope and not applicable as we need the weaker axioms of Stone relation algebras.

2 Iterings

This theory introduces algebraic structures with an operation that describes iteration in various relational computation models. An iteration describes the repeated sequential execution of a computation. This is typically modelled by fixpoints, but different computation models use different fixpoints in the refinement order. We therefore look at equational and simulation axioms rather than induction axioms. Our development is based on [9] and the proposed algebras generalise Kleene algebras.

We first consider a variant of Conway semirings [5] based on idempotent left semirings. Conway semirings expand semirings by an iteration operation satisfying Conway's sumstar and productstar axioms [7]. Many properties of iteration follow already from these equational axioms.

Next we introduce iterings, which use generalised versions of simulation axioms in addition to sumstar and productstar. Unlike the induction axioms of the Kleene star, which hold only in partial-correctness models, the simulation axioms are also valid in total and general correctness models. They are still powerful enough to prove the correctness of complex results such as separation theorems of [6] and Back's atomicity refinement theorem [4, 17].

theory Iterings

 ${\bf imports}\ Stone\text{-}Relation\text{-}Algebras. Semirings$

begin

2.1 Conway Semirings

In this section, we consider equational axioms for iteration. The algebraic structures are based on idempotent left semirings, which are expanded by a unary iteration operation. We start with an unfold property, one inequality of the sliding rule and distributivity over joins, which is similar to Conway's sumstar.

```
class circ = fixes circ :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ ( \leftarrow ) [100] \ 100 )
```

```
class left-conway-semiring = idempotent-left-semiring + circ + assumes circ-left-unfold: 1 \sqcup x * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ} assumes circ-left-slide: (x * y)^{\circ} * x \leq x * (y * x)^{\circ} assumes circ-sup-1: (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * (y * x^{\circ})^{\circ} begin

We obtain one inequality of Conway's productstar, as well as of the other unfold rule.

lemma circ-mult-sub: 1 \sqcup x * (y * x)^{\circ} * y \leq (x * y)^{\circ} by (metis \ sup-right-isotone \ circ-left-slide \ circ-left-unfold \ mult-assoc
```

lemma circ-right-unfold-sub:

$$1 \sqcup x^{\circ} * x \leq x^{\circ}$$

mult-right-isotone)

by (metis circ-mult-sub mult-1-left mult-1-right)

lemma circ-zero:

$$bot^{\circ} = 1$$

by (metis sup-monoid.add-0-right circ-left-unfold mult-left-zero)

lemma circ-increasing:

$$x < x^{\circ}$$

by (metis le-supI2 circ-left-unfold circ-right-unfold-sub mult-1-left mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left order-trans)

lemma circ-reflexive:

$$1 \leq x^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-left-divisibility circ-left-unfold)

lemma *circ-mult-increasing*:

$$x \leq x * x^{\circ}$$

by (metis circ-reflexive mult-right-isotone mult-1-right)

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ-mult-increasing-2}\colon$

$$x \leq x^{\circ} * x$$

by (metis circ-reflexive mult-left-isotone mult-1-left)

lemma *circ-transitive-equal*:

$$x^{\circ} * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-idem circ-sup-1 circ-left-unfold mult-assoc)

While iteration is not idempotent, a fixpoint is reached after applying this operation twice. Iteration is idempotent for the unit.

lemma circ-circ-circ:

```
x^{\circ\circ\circ} = x^{\circ\circ}
```

by (metis sup-idem circ-sup-1 circ-increasing circ-transitive-equal le-iff-sup)

lemma circ-one:

```
1^{\circ} = 1^{\circ \circ}
 by (metis circ-circ-circ circ-zero)
lemma circ-sup-sub:
  (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \leq (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-sup-1 circ-left-slide)
lemma circ-plus-one:
  x^{\circ} = 1 \sqcup x^{\circ}
 by (metis le-iff-sup circ-reflexive)
    Iteration satisfies a characteristic property of reflexive transitive closures.
lemma circ-rtc-2:
  1 \sqcup x \sqcup x^{\circ} * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ}
 by (metis sup-assoc circ-increasing circ-plus-one circ-transitive-equal le-iff-sup)
lemma mult-zero-circ:
  (x * bot)^{\circ} = 1 \sqcup x * bot
 by (metis circ-left-unfold mult-assoc mult-left-zero)
lemma mult-zero-sup-circ:
  (x \sqcup y * bot)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * (y * bot)^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-sup-1 mult-assoc mult-left-zero)
lemma circ-plus-sub:
  x^{\circ} * x \leq x * x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-left-slide mult-1-left mult-1-right)
lemma circ-loop-fixpoint:
  y * (y^{\circ} * z) \sqcup z = y^{\circ} * z
 by (metis sup-commute circ-left-unfold mult-assoc mult-1-left
mult-right-dist-sup)
lemma left-plus-below-circ:
 x * x^{\circ} < x^{\circ}
 by (metis sup.cobounded2 circ-left-unfold)
lemma right-plus-below-circ:
  x^{\circ} * x \leq x^{\circ}
 using circ-right-unfold-sub by auto
lemma circ-sup-upper-bound:
 x \leq z^{\circ} \Longrightarrow y \leq z^{\circ} \Longrightarrow x \mathrel{\sqcup} y \leq z^{\circ}
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
```

lemma *circ-mult-upper-bound*: $x \le z^{\circ} \Longrightarrow y \le z^{\circ} \Longrightarrow x * y \le z^{\circ}$

by (metis mult-isotone circ-transitive-equal)

```
lemma circ-sub-dist:
```

$$x^{\circ} \leq (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}$$

by (metis circ-sup-sub circ-plus-one mult-1-left mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left order-trans)

$\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{sub}\text{-}\mathit{dist}\text{-}\mathit{1}\colon$

$$x \leq (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}$$

using circ-increasing le-supE by blast

$\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{sub}\text{-}\mathit{dist}\text{-}\mathit{2}\text{:}$

$$x * y \le (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-commute circ-mult-upper-bound circ-sub-dist-1)

lemma circ-sub-dist-3:

$$x^{\circ} * y^{\circ} \leq (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-commute circ-mult-upper-bound circ-sub-dist)

lemma circ-isotone:

$$x \leq y \Longrightarrow x^{\circ} \leq y^{\circ}$$

lemma circ-sup-2:

$$(x \sqcup y)^{\circ} \leq (x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ}$$

 $\mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis sup.bounded-iff circ-increasing circ-isotone circ-reflexive mult-isotone } \\ \textit{mult-1-left mult-1-right})$

lemma circ-sup-one-left-unfold:

$$1 < x \Longrightarrow x * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ}$$

 $\textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis order.antisym le-iff-sup mult-1-left mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left left-plus-below-circ})$

lemma circ-sup-one-right-unfold:

$$1 \le x \Longrightarrow x^{\circ} * x = x^{\circ}$$

by (metis order.antisym le-iff-sup mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-1-right right-plus-below-circ)

lemma *circ-decompose-4*:

$$(x^\circ * y^\circ)^\circ = x^\circ * (y^\circ * x^\circ)^\circ$$

by (metis sup-assoc sup-commute circ-sup-1 circ-loop-fixpoint circ-plus-one circ-rtc-2 circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc)

lemma *circ-decompose-5*:

$$(x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ} = (y^{\circ} * x^{\circ})^{\circ}$$

by (metis circ-decompose-4 circ-loop-fixpoint order.antisym mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right mult-assoc)

lemma *circ-decompose-6*:

$$x^{\circ} * (y * x^{\circ})^{\circ} = y^{\circ} * (x * y^{\circ})^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-commute circ-sup-1)

```
lemma circ-decompose-7:
```

$$(x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ} * (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}$$

by (metis circ-sup-1 circ-decompose-6 circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc)

lemma circ-decompose-8:

$$(x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}$$

by (metis order.antisym eq-refl mult-assoc mult-isotone mult-1-right circ-mult-upper-bound circ-reflexive circ-sub-dist-3)

lemma circ-decompose-9:

$$(x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ} * (x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ}$$

by (metis circ-decompose-4 mult-assoc)

lemma *circ-decompose-10*:

$$(x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ} = (x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ} * x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-ge2 circ-loop-fixpoint circ-reflexive circ-sup-one-right-unfold mult-assoc order-trans)

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{circ-back-loop-prefixpoint} :$

$$(z * y^{\circ}) * y \sqcup z \leq z * y^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup.bounded-iff circ-left-unfold mult-assoc mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-right-isotone mult-1-right right-plus-below-circ)

We obtain the fixpoint and prefixpoint properties of iteration, but not least or greatest fixpoint properties.

lemma *circ-loop-is-fixpoint*:

is-fixpoint
$$(\lambda x \cdot y * x \sqcup z) (y^{\circ} * z)$$

by (metis circ-loop-fixpoint is-fixpoint-def)

lemma *circ-back-loop-is-prefixpoint*:

is-prefixpoint
$$(\lambda x \cdot x * y \sqcup z) (z * y^{\circ})$$

by (metis circ-back-loop-prefixpoint is-prefixpoint-def)

lemma circ-circ-sup:

$$(1 \sqcup x)^{\circ} = x^{\circ \circ}$$

by (metis sup-commute circ-sup-1 circ-decompose-4 circ-zero mult-1-right)

lemma circ-circ-mult-sub:

$$x^{\circ} * 1^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ \circ}$$

by (metis circ-increasing circ-isotone circ-mult-upper-bound circ-reflexive)

lemma left-plus-circ:

$$(x * x^{\circ})^{\circ} = x^{\circ}$$

 $\mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis circ-left-unfold circ-sup-1 mult-1-right mult-sub-right-one sup.absorb1} \\ \textit{mult-assoc})$

lemma right-plus-circ:

$$(x^{\circ} * x)^{\circ} = x^{\circ}$$

```
order.eq-iff left-plus-circ)
lemma circ-square:
  (x * x)^{\circ} < x^{\circ}
  by (metis circ-increasing circ-isotone left-plus-circ mult-right-isotone)
lemma circ-mult-sub-sup:
  (x * y)^{\circ} \leq (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{sup-ge1}\ \mathit{sup-ge2}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{isotone}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{square}\ \mathit{mult}\text{-}\mathit{isotone}\ \mathit{order}\text{-}\mathit{trans})
lemma circ-sup-mult-zero:
  x^{\circ} * y = (x \sqcup y * bot)^{\circ} * y
proof -
  have (x \sqcup y * bot)^{\circ} * y = x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y * bot) * y
    by (metis mult-zero-sup-circ mult-zero-circ)
  also have \dots = x^{\circ} * (y \sqcup y * bot)
    by (metis mult-assoc mult-1-left mult-left-zero mult-right-dist-sup)
  also have \dots = x^{\circ} * y
    by (metis sup-commute le-iff-sup zero-right-mult-decreasing)
  finally show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} \ simp
qed
lemma troeger-1:
  (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y * (x \sqcup y)^{\circ})
  by (metis circ-sup-1 circ-left-unfold mult-assoc)
lemma troeger-2:
  (x \mathrel{\sqcup} y)^{\circ} * z = x^{\circ} * (y * (x \mathrel{\sqcup} y)^{\circ} * z \mathrel{\sqcup} z)
  by (metis circ-sup-1 circ-loop-fixpoint mult-assoc)
lemma troeger-3:
  (x \sqcup y * bot)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y * bot)
  by (metis mult-zero-sup-circ mult-zero-circ)
lemma circ-sup-sub-sup-one-1:
  x \sqcup y < x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y)
  by (metis circ-increasing circ-left-unfold mult-1-left mult-1-right
mult-left-sub-dist-sup mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left order-trans sup-mono)
lemma circ-sup-sub-sup-one-2:
  x^{\circ} * (x \sqcup y) \leq x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y)
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis\ circ-sup-sub-sup-one-1\ circ-transitive-equal\ mult-assoc}
mult-right-isotone)
lemma circ-sup-sub-sup-one:
  x * x^{\circ} * (x \sqcup y) \leq x * x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y)
```

by (metis sup-commute circ-isotone circ-loop-fixpoint circ-plus-sub circ-sub-dist

by (metis circ-sup-sub-sup-one-2 mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)

```
lemma circ-square-2:
  (x*x)^{\circ}*(x \sqcup 1) \leq x^{\circ}
  by (metis sup.bounded-iff circ-increasing circ-mult-upper-bound circ-reflexive
circ-square)
lemma circ-extra-circ:
  (y * x^{\circ})^{\circ} = (y * y^{\circ} * x^{\circ})^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-decompose-6 circ-transitive-equal left-plus-circ mult-assoc)
lemma circ-circ-sub-mult:
  1^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ \circ}
 by (metis circ-increasing circ-isotone circ-mult-upper-bound circ-reflexive)
lemma circ-decompose-11:
  (x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ} = (x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-decompose-10 circ-decompose-4 circ-decompose-5
circ-decompose-9 left-plus-circ)
lemma circ-mult-below-circ-circ:
  (x * y)^{\circ} \leq (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
  by (metis circ-increasing circ-isotone circ-reflexive dual-order.trans
mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone mult-1-right)
lemma power-below-circ:
  power \ x \ i \leq x^{\circ}
  apply (induct rule: nat.induct)
 apply (simp add: circ-reflexive)
 by (simp add: circ-increasing circ-mult-upper-bound)
end
    The next class considers the interaction of iteration with a greatest ele-
{\bf class}\ bounded\text{-}left\text{-}conway\text{-}semiring\ =\ bounded\text{-}idempotent\text{-}left\text{-}semiring\ +\ }
left-conway-semiring
begin
lemma circ-top:
  top^{\circ} = top
 by (simp add: order.antisym circ-increasing)
lemma circ-right-top:
 x^{\circ} * top = top
 by (metis sup-right-top circ-loop-fixpoint)
lemma circ-left-top:
```

```
top * x^{\circ} = top
  by (metis circ-right-top circ-top circ-decompose-11)
lemma mult-top-circ:
  (x * top)^{\circ} = 1 \sqcup x * top
 by (metis circ-left-top circ-left-unfold mult-assoc)
end
{f class}\ left\mbox{-}zero\mbox{-}conway\mbox{-}semiring = idempotent\mbox{-}left\mbox{-}zero\mbox{-}semiring +
left-conway-semiring
begin
lemma mult-zero-sup-circ-2:
  (x \sqcup y * bot)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} \sqcup x^{\circ} * y * bot
  by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup mult-1-right troeger-3)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{unfold}\text{-}\mathit{sum}\text{:}
  (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} \sqcup x^{\circ} * y * (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}
 by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup mult-1-right troeger-1)
end
    The next class assumes the full sliding equation.
class left-conway-semiring-1 = left-conway-semiring +
 assumes circ-right-slide: x * (y * x)^{\circ} \le (x * y)^{\circ} * x
begin
lemma circ-slide-1:
 x * (y * x)^{\circ} = (x * y)^{\circ} * x
 by (metis order.antisym circ-left-slide circ-right-slide)
    This implies the full unfold rules and Conway's productstar.
lemma circ-right-unfold-1:
  1 \sqcup x^{\circ} * x = x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-left-unfold circ-slide-1 mult-1-left mult-1-right)
lemma circ-mult-1:
  (x * y)^{\circ} = 1 \sqcup x * (y * x)^{\circ} * y
 \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis circ-left-unfold circ-slide-1 mult-assoc})
lemma circ-sup-9:
  (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-sup-1 circ-slide-1)
lemma circ-plus-same:
  x^{\circ} * x = x * x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-slide-1 mult-1-left mult-1-right)
```

```
lemma circ-decompose-12:
 x^{\circ} * y^{\circ} \leq (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-sup-9 circ-sub-dist-3)
end
class left-zero-conway-semiring-1 = left-zero-conway-semiring +
left-conway-semiring-1
begin
lemma circ-back-loop-fixpoint:
  (z * y^{\circ}) * y \sqcup z = z * y^{\circ}
 by (metis sup-commute circ-left-unfold circ-plus-same mult-assoc
mult-left-dist-sup mult-1-right)
lemma circ-back-loop-is-fixpoint:
  is-fixpoint (\lambda x \cdot x * y \sqcup z) (z * y^{\circ})
  by (metis circ-back-loop-fixpoint is-fixpoint-def)
lemma circ-elimination:
  x * y = bot \Longrightarrow x * y^{\circ} \le x
  \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{sup-monoid}. \mathit{add-0-left}\ \mathit{circ-back-loop-fixpoint}\ \mathit{circ-plus-same}
mult-assoc mult-left-zero order-refl)
end
2.2
        Iterings
This section adds simulation axioms to Conway semirings. We consider
several classes with increasingly general simulation axioms.
class itering-1 = left-conway-semiring-1 +
  assumes circ-simulate: z * x \le y * z \longrightarrow z * x^{\circ} \le y^{\circ} * z
begin
lemma circ-circ-mult:
  1^{\circ} * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ \circ}
 by (metis order.antisym circ-circ-sup circ-reflexive circ-simulate circ-sub-dist-3
circ-sup-one-left-unfold circ-transitive-equal mult-1-left order-reft)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{sub-mult-one-circ} :
 x * 1^{\circ} \leq 1^{\circ} * x
 by (metis circ-simulate mult-1-left mult-1-right order-refl)
    The left simulation axioms is enough to prove a basic import property
of tests.
lemma circ-import:
  assumes p \leq p * p
     and p \leq 1
     and p * x \leq x * p
```

```
shows p * x^{\circ} = p * (p * x)^{\circ}
proof -
  have p * x \le p * (p * x * p) * p
   by (metis assms coreflexive-transitive order.eq-iff test-preserves-equation
mult-assoc)
  hence p * x^{\circ} \leq p * (p * x)^{\circ}
   by (metis (no-types) assms circ-simulate circ-slide-1 test-preserves-equation)
   by (metis assms(2) circ-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-1-left mult-right-isotone
order.antisym)
qed
end
    Including generalisations of both simulation axioms allows us to prove
separation rules.
class itering-2 = left-conway-semiring-1 +
 assumes circ-simulate-right: z * x \le y * z \sqcup w \longrightarrow z * x^{\circ} \le y^{\circ} * (z \sqcup w * x^{\circ})
  assumes circ-simulate-left: x*z \le z*y \sqcup w \longrightarrow x^{\circ}*z \le (z \sqcup x^{\circ}*w)*y^{\circ}
begin
subclass itering-1
  apply unfold-locales
 by (metis sup-monoid.add-0-right circ-simulate-right mult-left-zero)
lemma circ-simulate-left-1:
  x*z \le z*y \Longrightarrow x^{\circ}*z \le z*y^{\circ} \sqcup x^{\circ}*bot
 by (metis sup-monoid.add-0-right circ-simulate-left mult-assoc mult-left-zero
mult-right-dist-sup)
lemma circ-separate-1:
  assumes y * x \le x * y
   shows (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
proof -
  have y^{\circ} * x \leq x * y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot
   by (metis assms circ-simulate-left-1)
  hence y^{\circ} * x * y^{\circ} \leq x * y^{\circ} * y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot * y^{\circ}
   by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-isotone mult-right-dist-sup)
  also have \dots = x * y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot
   by (metis circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc mult-left-zero)
  finally have y^{\circ} * (x * y^{\circ})^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * (y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot)
   using circ-simulate-right mult-assoc by fastforce
  also have \dots = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
   by (simp add: sup-absorb1 zero-right-mult-decreasing)
  finally have (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
   by (simp add: circ-decompose-6 circ-sup-1)
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: order.antisym circ-sub-dist-3)
qed
```

```
lemma circ-circ-mult-1:
 x^{\circ} * 1^{\circ} = x^{\circ \circ}
 by (metis sup-commute circ-circ-sup circ-separate-1 mult-1-left mult-1-right
order-refl)
end
     With distributivity, we also get Back's atomicity refinement theorem.
class itering-3 = itering-2 + left-zero-conway-semiring-1
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ\text{-}simulate\text{-}1}\colon
 assumes y * x \le x * y
    shows y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
proof -
 have y * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y
    by (metis assms circ-simulate)
 hence y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot
    by (metis circ-simulate-left-1)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis sup-assoc sup-monoid.add-0-right circ-loop-fixpoint mult-assoc
mult-left-zero mult-zero-sup-circ-2)
qed
lemma atomicity-refinement:
  assumes s = s * q
      and x = q * x
      and q * b = bot
      and r * b \leq b * r
      and r * l \leq l * r
      and x * l \leq l * x
      and b * l \leq l * b
      and q * l \leq l * q
      and r^{\circ} * q \leq q * r^{\circ}
      and q < 1
   shows s*(x \sqcup b \sqcup r \sqcup l)^{\circ}*q \leq s*(x*b^{\circ}*q \sqcup r \sqcup l)^{\circ}
proof -
 have (x \sqcup b \sqcup r) * l \leq l * (x \sqcup b \sqcup r)
    using assms(5-7) mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup semiring.add-mono
by presburger
  hence s*(x \sqcup b \sqcup r \sqcup l)^{\circ}*q = s*l^{\circ}*(x \sqcup b \sqcup r)^{\circ}*q
    by (metis sup-commute circ-separate-1 mult-assoc)
  also have ... = s * l^{\circ} * b^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * q * (x * b^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * q)^{\circ}
  proof -
    have (b \sqcup r)^{\circ} = b^{\circ} * r^{\circ}
      by (simp add: assms(4) circ-separate-1)
    hence b^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * (q * (x * b^{\circ} * r^{\circ}))^{\circ} = (x \sqcup b \sqcup r)^{\circ}
     by (metis (full-types) assms(2) circ-sup-1 sup-assoc sup-commute mult-assoc)
```

```
thus ?thesis
      by (metis circ-slide-1 mult-assoc)
  qed
  also have ... \leq s * l^{\circ} * b^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * q * (x * b^{\circ} * q * r^{\circ})^{\circ}
    by (metis assms(9) circ-isotone mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... \leq s * q * l^{\circ} * b^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * (x * b^{\circ} * q * r^{\circ})^{\circ}
    by (metis assms(1,10) mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone mult-1-right)
  also have ... \leq s * l^{\circ} * q * b^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * (x * b^{\circ} * q * r^{\circ})^{\circ}
    by (metis assms(1,8) circ-simulate mult-assoc mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... \leq s * l^{\circ} * r^{\circ} * (x * b^{\circ} * q * r^{\circ})^{\circ}
    by (metis\ assms(3,10)\ sup-monoid.add-0-left\ circ-back-loop-fixpoint
circ	ext{-}plus	ext{-}same \ mult	ext{-}assoc \ mult	ext{-}left	ext{-}zero \ mult	ext{-}left	ext{-}isotone \ mult	ext{-}right	ext{-}isotone
mult-1-right)
  also have \dots \leq s * (x * b^{\circ} * q \sqcup r \sqcup l)^{\circ}
    by (metis sup-commute circ-sup-1 circ-sub-dist-3 mult-assoc
mult-right-isotone)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
end
     The following class contains the most general simulation axioms we con-
sider. They allow us to prove further separation properties.
class\ itering = idempotent-left-zero-semiring + circ +
 assumes circ-sup: (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
  assumes circ-mult: (x * y)^{\circ} = 1 \sqcup x * (y * x)^{\circ} * y
 assumes circ-simulate-right-plus: z*x \leq y*y^\circ*z \sqcup w \longrightarrow z*x^\circ \leq y^\circ*(z)
 assumes circ-simulate-left-plus: x * z \le z * y^{\circ} \sqcup w \longrightarrow x^{\circ} * z \le (z \sqcup x^{\circ} * w)
* y°
begin
lemma circ-right-unfold:
  1 \sqcup x^{\circ} * x = x^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-mult mult-1-left mult-1-right)
lemma circ-slide:
  x * (y * x)^{\circ} = (x * y)^{\circ} * x
proof -
  have x * (y * x)^{\circ} = Rf x (y * 1 \sqcup y * (x * (y * x)^{\circ} * y)) * x
    by (metis (no-types) circ-mult mult-1-left mult-1-right mult-left-dist-sup
mult-right-dist-sup mult-assoc)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) circ-mult mult-1-right mult-left-dist-sup mult-assoc)
qed
subclass itering-3
```

```
apply unfold-locales
  apply (metis circ-mult mult-1-left mult-1-right)
  apply (metis circ-slide order-refl)
  apply (metis circ-sup circ-slide)
 apply (metis circ-slide order-refl)
  apply (metis sup-left-isotone circ-right-unfold mult-left-isotone
mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-1-right order-trans circ-simulate-right-plus)
  by (metis sup-commute sup-ge1 sup-right-isotone circ-mult mult-right-isotone
mult-1-right order-trans circ-simulate-left-plus)
lemma circ-simulate-right-plus-1:
  z * x \le y * y^{\circ} * z \Longrightarrow z * x^{\circ} \le y^{\circ} * z
 by (metis sup-monoid.add-0-right circ-simulate-right-plus mult-left-zero)
lemma circ-simulate-left-plus-1:
  x*z \le z*y^{\circ} \Longrightarrow x^{\circ}*z \le z*y^{\circ} \sqcup x^{\circ}*bot
 \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ sup-monoid.add-0-right\ circ-simulate-left-plus\ mult-assoc
mult-left-zero mult-right-dist-sup)
lemma circ-simulate-2:
  y * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ} \longleftrightarrow y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
 apply (rule iffI)
  apply (metis sup-assoc sup-monoid.add-0-right circ-loop-fixpoint
circ-simulate-left-plus-1 mult-assoc mult-left-zero mult-zero-sup-circ-2)
 by (metis circ-increasing mult-left-isotone order-trans)
lemma circ-simulate-absorb:
  y * x \le x \Longrightarrow y^{\circ} * x \le x \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot
 by (metis circ-simulate-left-plus-1 circ-zero mult-1-right)
lemma circ-simulate-3:
  y \, * \, x^{\circ} \, \leq \, x^{\circ} \, \Longrightarrow \, y^{\circ} \, * \, x^{\circ} \, \leq \, x^{\circ} \, * \, y^{\circ}
 by (metis sup.bounded-iff circ-reflexive circ-simulate-2 le-iff-sup
mult-right-isotone mult-1-right)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ-separate-mult-1}:
  y * x \le x * y \Longrightarrow (x * y)^{\circ} \le x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-mult-sub-sup circ-separate-1)
lemma circ-separate-unfold:
  (y * x^{\circ})^{\circ} = y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * y * x * x^{\circ} * (y * x^{\circ})^{\circ}
  by (metis circ-back-loop-fixpoint circ-plus-same circ-unfold-sum sup-commute
mult-assoc)
lemma separation:
 assumes y * x \le x * y^{\circ}
    shows (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
proof -
 have y^{\circ} * x * y^{\circ} \leq x * y^{\circ} \sqcup y^{\circ} * bot
```

```
by (metis assms circ-simulate-left-plus-1 circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc
mult-left-isotone)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis sup-commute circ-sup-1 circ-simulate-right circ-sub-dist-3 le-iff-sup
mult-assoc mult-left-zero zero-right-mult-decreasing)
qed
lemma simulation:
  y * x \le x * y^{\circ} \Longrightarrow y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \le x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
  by (metis sup-ge2 circ-isotone circ-mult-upper-bound circ-sub-dist separation)
lemma circ-simulate-4:
  assumes y * x \le x * x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y)
    shows y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
proof -
  have x \sqcup (x * x^{\circ} * x * x \sqcup x * x) = x * x^{\circ}
    by (metis (no-types) circ-back-loop-fixpoint mult-right-dist-sup sup-commute)
  hence x \leq x * x^{\circ} * 1 \sqcup x * x^{\circ} * y
    by (metis mult-1-right sup-assoc sup-ge1)
  hence (1 \sqcup y) * x \le x * x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y)
    \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}\ \mathit{mult-left-dist-sup}\ \mathit{mult-right-dist-sup}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{force}
  hence y * x^{\circ} \leq x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
    by (metis circ-sup-upper-bound circ-increasing circ-reflexive
circ\text{-}simulate\text{-}right\text{-}plus\text{-}1 mult\text{-}right\text{-}isotone mult\text{-}right\text{-}sub\text{-}dist\text{-}sup\text{-}right
order-trans)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis circ-simulate-2)
ged
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{simulate}\text{-}\mathit{5}\colon
  y * x \le x * x^{\circ} * (x \sqcup y) \Longrightarrow y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \le x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
  by (metis circ-sup-sub-sup-one circ-simulate-4 order-trans)
lemma circ-simulate-6:
  y * x \le x * (x \sqcup y) \Longrightarrow y^{\circ} * x^{\circ} \le x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
  by (metis sup-commute circ-back-loop-fixpoint circ-simulate-5
mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left order-trans)
lemma circ-separate-4:
  assumes y * x \le x * x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y)
    shows (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
proof -
  have y * x * x^{\circ} \le x * x^{\circ} * (1 \sqcup y) * x^{\circ}
    by (simp add: assms mult-left-isotone)
  also have ... = x * x^{\circ} \sqcup x * x^{\circ} * y * x^{\circ}
    by (simp add: circ-transitive-equal mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup
mult-assoc)
  also have ... \leq x * x^{\circ} \sqcup x * x^{\circ} * x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
    by (metis assms sup-right-isotone circ-simulate-2 circ-simulate-4 mult-assoc
```

```
mult-right-isotone)
  finally have y*x*x^{\circ} \leq x*x^{\circ}*y^{\circ}
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis\ circ-reflexive\ circ-transitive-equal\ le-iff-sup\ mult-assoc}
mult-right-isotone mult-1-right)
  thus ?thesis
   by (metis circ-sup-1 left-plus-circ mult-assoc separation)
qed
lemma circ-separate-5:
  y * x \le x * x^{\circ} * (x \sqcup y) \Longrightarrow (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
 by (metis circ-sup-sub-sup-one circ-separate-4 order-trans)
lemma circ-separate-6:
  y * x \le x * (x \sqcup y) \Longrightarrow (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * y^{\circ}
 \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{sup-commute}\ \mathit{circ-back-loop-fixpoint}\ \mathit{circ-separate-5}
mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left order-trans)
end
class\ bounded-itering = bounded-idempotent-left-zero-semiring + itering
begin
subclass bounded-left-conway-semiring ...
end
    We finally expand Conway semirings and iterings by an element that
corresponds to the endless loop.
class L =
 fixes L :: 'a
class left-conway-semiring-L = left-conway-semiring + L +
 assumes one-circ-mult-split: 1^{\circ} * x = L \sqcup x
  assumes L-split-sup: x * (y \sqcup L) \le x * y \sqcup L
begin
lemma L-def:
  L = 1^{\circ} * bot
 by (metis sup-monoid.add-0-right one-circ-mult-split)
{f lemma} one-circ-split:
  1^{\circ} = L \sqcup 1
 by (metis mult-1-right one-circ-mult-split)
lemma one-circ-circ-split:
  1^{\circ \circ} = L \sqcup 1
  by (metis circ-one one-circ-split)
```

```
{f lemma} \ sub-mult-one-circ:
 x*1^{\circ} \leq 1^{\circ} * x
 by (metis L-split-sup sup-commute mult-1-right one-circ-mult-split)
lemma one-circ-mult-split-2:
  1^{\circ} * x = x * 1^{\circ} \sqcup L
proof -
 have 1: x * 1^{\circ} \leq L \sqcup x
   using one-circ-mult-split sub-mult-one-circ by presburger
 have x \sqcup x * 1^{\circ} = x * 1^{\circ}
   by (meson circ-back-loop-prefixpoint le-iff-sup sup.boundedE)
  thus ?thesis
   using 1 by (simp add: le-iff-sup one-circ-mult-split sup-assoc sup-commute)
{f lemma}\ sub	ext{-}mult	ext{-}one	ext{-}circ	ext{-}split:
 x * 1^{\circ} \leq x \sqcup L
 by (metis sup-commute one-circ-mult-split sub-mult-one-circ)
\mathbf{lemma}\ sub\text{-}mult\text{-}one\text{-}circ\text{-}split\text{-}2:
 x*1^{\circ} \leq x \sqcup 1^{\circ}
 by (metis L-def sup-right-isotone order-trans sub-mult-one-circ-split
zero-right-mult-decreasing)
lemma L-split:
  x * L \le x * bot \sqcup L
 by (metis L-split-sup sup-monoid.add-0-left)
lemma L-left-zero:
  L * x = L
 by (metis L-def mult-assoc mult-left-zero)
lemma one-circ-L:
  1^{\circ} * L = L
 by (metis L-def circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc)
lemma mult-L-circ:
  (x * L)^{\circ} = 1 \sqcup x * L
 by (metis L-left-zero circ-left-unfold mult-assoc)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{mult-L-circ-mult}\colon
  (x*L)^{\circ}*y = y \sqcup x*L
 by (metis L-left-zero mult-L-circ mult-assoc mult-1-left mult-right-dist-sup)
lemma circ-L:
  L^{\circ} = L \sqcup 1
 by (metis L-left-zero sup-commute circ-left-unfold)
```

```
lemma L-below-one-circ:
```

$$L < 1^{\circ}$$

by (metis L-def zero-right-mult-decreasing)

lemma *circ-circ-mult-1*:

$$x^{\circ} * 1^{\circ} = x^{\circ \circ}$$

 $\mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis L-left-zero sup-commute circ-sup-1 circ-circ-sup mult-zero-circ one-circ-split})$

${f lemma}$ circ-circ-mult:

$$1^{\circ} * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ \circ}$$

by (metis order.antisym circ-circ-mult-1 circ-circ-sub-mult sub-mult-one-circ)

lemma circ-circ-split:

$$x^{\circ \circ} = L \sqcup x^{\circ}$$

by (metis circ-circ-mult one-circ-mult-split)

lemma circ-sup-6:

$$L \sqcup (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = (x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-assoc sup-commute circ-sup-1 circ-circ-sup circ-circ-split circ-decompose-4)

end

class
$$itering-L = itering + L +$$

assumes
$$L$$
-def: $L = 1^{\circ} * bot$

begin

$\mathbf{lemma} \ one\text{-}circ\text{-}split\text{:}$

$$1^{\circ} = L \sqcup 1$$

by (metis L-def sup-commute order.antisym circ-sup-upper-bound circ-reflexive circ-simulate-absorb mult-1-right order-refl zero-right-mult-decreasing)

$\mathbf{lemma} \ one\text{-}circ\text{-}mult\text{-}split:$

$$1^{\circ} * x = L \sqcup x$$

 $\mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis L-def sup-commute circ-loop-fixpoint mult-assoc mult-left-zero } \\ \textit{mult-zero-circ one-circ-split})$

${f lemma}\ sub ext{-}mult ext{-}one ext{-}circ ext{-}split:$

$$x * 1^{\circ} \leq x \sqcup L$$

by (metis sup-commute one-circ-mult-split sub-mult-one-circ)

lemma sub-mult-one-circ-split-2:

$$x * 1^{\circ} \leq x \sqcup 1^{\circ}$$

 $\mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis L-def sup-right-isotone order-trans sub-mult-one-circ-split} \\ \textit{zero-right-mult-decreasing})$

lemma L-split:

$$x*L \leq x*bot \mathrel{\sqcup} L$$

```
by (metis L-def mult-assoc mult-left-isotone mult-right-dist-sup
sub-mult-one-circ-split-2)
subclass left-conway-semiring-L
  apply unfold-locales
  apply (metis L-def sup-commute circ-loop-fixpoint mult-assoc mult-left-zero
mult-zero-circ one-circ-split)
  by (metis sup-commute mult-assoc mult-left-isotone one-circ-mult-split
sub-mult-one-circ)
lemma circ-left-induct-mult-L:
  L \le x \Longrightarrow x * y \le x \Longrightarrow x * y^{\circ} \le x
 by (metis circ-one circ-simulate le-iff-sup one-circ-mult-split)
\mathbf{lemma} \mathit{circ-left-induct-mult-iff-L}:
  L < x \Longrightarrow x * y < x \longleftrightarrow x * y^{\circ} < x
 by (metis sup.bounded-iff circ-back-loop-fixpoint circ-left-induct-mult-L le-iff-sup)
lemma circ-left-induct-L:
  L \leq x \Longrightarrow x * y \mathrel{\sqcup} z \leq x \Longrightarrow z * y^{\circ} \leq x
 by (metis sup.bounded-iff circ-left-induct-mult-L le-iff-sup mult-right-dist-sup)
end
end
```

3 Kleene Algebras

Kleene algebras have been axiomatised by Kozen to describe the equational theory of regular languages [13]. Binary relations are another important model. This theory implements variants of Kleene algebras based on idempotent left semirings [15]. The weakening of some semiring axioms allows the treatment of further computation models. The presented algebras are special cases of iterings, so many results can be inherited.

theory Kleene-Algebras

imports Iterings

begin

We start with left Kleene algebras, which use the left unfold and left induction axioms of Kleene algebras.

```
class star =
fixes star :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ (\langle -^* \rangle \ [100] \ 100)
class left-kleene-algebra = idempotent-left-semiring + star +
assumes star-left-unfold : 1 \sqcup y * y^* \leq y^*
```

```
assumes star-left-induct: z \sqcup y * x \leq x \longrightarrow y^* * z \leq x
begin
unbundle no trancl-syntax
abbreviation tc \ (\langle -^+ \rangle \ [100] \ 100) where tc \ x \equiv x * x^*
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}left\text{-}unfold\text{-}equal:
  1 \sqcup x * x^* = x^*
 by (metis sup-right-isotone order.antisym mult-right-isotone mult-1-right
star-left-induct star-left-unfold)
    This means that for some properties of Kleene algebras, only one in-
equality can be derived, as exemplified by the following sliding rule.
lemma star-left-slide:
  (x * y)^* * x < x * (y * x)^*
 by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-sub-dist-sup mult-1-right star-left-induct
star-left-unfold-equal)
lemma star-isotone:
 x \le y \Longrightarrow x^* \le y^*
 by (metis sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone order-trans star-left-unfold
mult-1-right star-left-induct)
lemma star-sup-1:
 (x \sqcup y)^* = x^* * (y * x^*)^*
proof (rule order.antisym)
 have y * x^* * (y * x^*)^* \le (y * x^*)^*
   using sup-right-divisibility star-left-unfold-equal by auto
 also have \dots \leq x^{\star} * (y * x^{\star})^{\star}
   using mult-left-isotone sup-left-divisibility star-left-unfold-equal by fastforce
 finally have (x \sqcup y) * (x^* * (y * x^*)^*) \le x^* * (y * x^*)^*
   by (metis le-supI mult-right-dist-sup mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right mult-assoc
star-left-unfold-equal)
  hence 1 \sqcup (x \sqcup y) * (x^* * (y * x^*)^*) \le x^* * (y * x^*)^*
    using reflexive-mult-closed star-left-unfold by auto
 thus (x \sqcup y)^* \leq x^* * (y * x^*)^*
   using star-left-induct by force
next
 have x^* * (y * x^*)^* \le x^* * (y * (x \sqcup y)^*)^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone star-isotone)
 also have ... \leq x^* * ((x \sqcup y) * (x \sqcup y)^*)^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right star-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq x^* * (x \sqcup y)^{**}
   using mult-right-isotone star-left-unfold star-isotone by auto
  also have ... \leq (x \sqcup y)^* * (x \sqcup y)^{**}
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone star-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq (x \sqcup y)^*
```

by (metis sup.bounded-iff mult-1-right star-left-induct star-left-unfold)

```
finally show x^* * (y * x^*)^* \le (x \sqcup y)^*
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma plus-transitive:
 x^+ * x^+ < x^+
 by (metis mult-right-isotone star-left-induct sup-absorb2 sup-ge2 mult-assoc
star-left-unfold-equal)
end
    We now show that left Kleene algebras form iterings. A sublocale is used
instead of a subclass, because iterings use a different iteration operation.
{f sublocale}\ left-kleene-algebra < star:\ left-conway-semiring {f where}\ circ = star
 apply unfold-locales
 apply (rule star-left-unfold-equal)
 apply (rule star-left-slide)
 by (rule star-sup-1)
context left-kleene-algebra
begin
    A number of lemmas in this class are taken from Georg Struth's Kleene
algebra theory [2].
lemma star-sub-one:
 x \leq 1 \implies x^* = 1
 by (metis sup-right-isotone order.eq-iff le-iff-sup mult-1-right star.circ-plus-one
star-left-induct)
lemma star-one:
 1^* = 1
 by (simp add: star-sub-one)
lemma star-left-induct-mult:
 x * y \le y \Longrightarrow x^* * y \le y
 by (simp add: star-left-induct)
lemma star-left-induct-mult-iff:
 x*y \leq y \longleftrightarrow x^\star*y \leq y
 using mult-left-isotone order-trans star.circ-increasing star-left-induct-mult by
blast
lemma star-involutive:
 x^* = x^{**}
 using star.circ-circ-sup star-sup-1 star-one by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}sup\text{-}one:
 (1 \sqcup x)^* = x^*
```

using star.circ-circ-sup star-involutive by auto

```
lemma star-plus-loops:
 x^{\star} \sqcup 1 = x^{+} \sqcup 1
  using star.circ-plus-one star-left-unfold-equal sup-commute by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}left\text{-}induct\text{-}equal:
  z \sqcup x * y = y \Longrightarrow x^* * z \le y
 by (simp add: star-left-induct)
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}left\text{-}induct\text{-}mult\text{-}equal\text{:}
  x*y=y\Longrightarrow x^{\star}*y\leq y
 by (simp add: star-left-induct-mult)
lemma star-star-upper-bound:
  x^{\star} < z^{\star} \Longrightarrow x^{\star \star} < z^{\star}
 using star-involutive by auto
lemma star-simulation-left:
  assumes x * z \le z * y
   shows x^* * z \le z * y^*
proof -
  have x * z * y^* \le z * y * y^*
   by (simp add: assms mult-left-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq z * y^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ mult-assoc)
  finally have z \sqcup x * z * y^* \leq z * y^*
   using star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint by auto
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: star-left-induct mult-assoc)
qed
lemma quasicomm-1:
  y * x \le x * (x \sqcup y)^* \longleftrightarrow y^* * x \le x * (x \sqcup y)^*
 by (metis mult-isotone order-refl order-trans star.circ-increasing star-involutive
star-simulation-left)
lemma star-rtc-3:
  1 \sqcup x \sqcup y * y = y \Longrightarrow x^* \leq y
  by (metis sup.bounded-iff le-iff-sup mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-1-right
star-left-induct-mult-iff star.circ-sub-dist)
lemma star-decompose-1:
  (x \sqcup y)^{\star} = (x^{\star} * y^{\star})^{\star}
  apply (rule order.antisym)
 apply (simp add: star.circ-sup-2)
  using star.circ-sub-dist-3 star-isotone star-involutive by fastforce
lemma star-sum:
  (x \sqcup y)^* = (x^* \sqcup y^*)^*
```

```
using star-decompose-1 star-involutive by auto
```

```
lemma star-decompose-3:
 (x^* * y^*)^* = x^* * (y * x^*)^*
 using star-sup-1 star-decompose-1 by auto
    In contrast to iterings, we now obtain that the iteration operation results
in least fixpoints.
{f lemma}\ star-loop{-least-fixpoint}:
  y * x \sqcup z = x \Longrightarrow y^* * z \le x
 by (simp add: sup-commute star-left-induct-equal)
lemma star-loop-is-least-fixpoint:
  is-least-fixpoint (\lambda x \cdot y * x \sqcup z) (y^* * z)
 by (simp add: is-least-fixpoint-def star.circ-loop-fixpoint star-loop-least-fixpoint)
lemma star-loop-mu:
  \mu (\lambda x \cdot y * x \sqcup z) = y^* * z
 by (metis least-fixpoint-same star-loop-is-least-fixpoint)
lemma affine-has-least-fixpoint:
  has\text{-}least\text{-}fixpoint\ (\lambda x \ . \ y * x \sqcup z)
 by (metis has-least-fixpoint-def star-loop-is-least-fixpoint)
lemma star-outer-increasing:
 x \leq y^{\star} * x * y^{\star}
 by (metis star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup.boundedE)
```

end

We next add the right induction rule, which allows us to strengthen many inequalities of left Kleene algebras to equalities.

```
class strong-left-kleene-algebra = left-kleene-algebra + assumes star-right-induct: z \sqcup x * y \leq x \longrightarrow z * y^* \leq x begin

lemma star-plus: y^* * y = y * y^*
proof (rule\ order.antisym)
show y^* * y \leq y * y^*
by (simp\ add:\ star.circ-plus-sub)

next
have y^* * y * y \leq y^* * y
by (simp\ add:\ mult-left-isotone star.right-plus-below-circ)
hence y \sqcup y^* * y * y \leq y^* * y
by (simp\ add:\ star.circ-mult-increasing-2)
thus y * y^* \leq y^* * y
```

```
using star-right-induct by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}slide:
  (x * y)^* * x = x * (y * x)^*
proof (rule order.antisym)
 show (x * y)^* * x \le x * (y * x)^*
   by (rule star-left-slide)
next
  have x \sqcup (x * y)^* * x * y * x \le (x * y)^* * x
   by (metis (full-types) sup.commute eq-refl star.circ-loop-fixpoint mult.assoc
  thus x * (y * x)^* \le (x * y)^* * x
   by (simp add: mult-assoc star-right-induct)
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}simulation\text{-}right:
 assumes z * x \le y * z
   shows z * x^* \le y^* * z
proof -
  have y^* * z * x \le y^* * z
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis assms dual-order.trans mult-isotone mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right}
star.circ-loop-fixpoint star.circ-transitive-equal sup.cobounded1 mult-assoc)
  thus ?thesis
   by (metis le-supI star.circ-loop-fixpoint star-right-induct sup.cobounded2)
qed
end
    Again we inherit results from the itering hierarchy.
sublocale strong-left-kleene-algebra < star: itering-1 where circ = star
  apply unfold-locales
 apply (simp add: star-slide)
 by (simp add: star-simulation-right)
context strong-left-kleene-algebra
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}right\text{-}induct\text{-}mult\text{:}
  y * x \le y \Longrightarrow y * x^* \le y
 by (simp add: star-right-induct)
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}right\text{-}induct\text{-}mult\text{-}iff:
  y * x \le y \longleftrightarrow y * x^* \le y
  using mult-right-isotone order-trans star.circ-increasing star-right-induct-mult
by blast
{f lemma}\ star\text{-}simulation\text{-}right\text{-}equal:
 z * x = y * z \Longrightarrow z * x^* = y^* * z
```

```
by (metis order.eq-iff star-simulation-left star-simulation-right)
{\bf lemma}\ star\text{-}simulation\text{-}star\text{:}
  x * y \le y * x \Longrightarrow x^{\star} * y^{\star} \le y^{\star} * x^{\star}
 by (simp add: star-simulation-left star-simulation-right)
lemma star-right-induct-equal:
  z \sqcup y * x = y \Longrightarrow z * x^* \le y
 by (simp add: star-right-induct)
{\bf lemma}\ star-right-induct-mult-equal:
  y * x = y \Longrightarrow y * x^* \le y
 by (simp add: star-right-induct-mult)
lemma star-back-loop-least-fixpoint:
  x * y \sqcup z = x \Longrightarrow z * y^* \le x
 by (simp add: sup-commute star-right-induct-equal)
lemma star-back-loop-is-least-fixpoint:
  is-least-fixpoint (\lambda x \cdot x * y \sqcup z) (z * y^*)
proof (unfold is-least-fixpoint-def, rule conjI)
  have (z * y^* * y \sqcup z) * y \le z * y^* * y \sqcup z
    using le-supI1 mult-left-isotone star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint by auto
  hence z * y^* \le z * y^* * y \sqcup z
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon star\text{-}right\text{-}induct)
  thus z * y^* * y \sqcup z = z * y^*
   using order.antisym star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint by auto
next
  show \forall x. \ x * y \sqcup z = x \longrightarrow z * y^* \leq x
   by (simp add: star-back-loop-least-fixpoint)
qed
\mathbf{lemma} star-back-loop-mu:
 \mu (\lambda x \cdot x * y \sqcup z) = z * y^*
 by (metis least-fixpoint-same star-back-loop-is-least-fixpoint)
lemma star-square:
  x^* = (1 \sqcup x) * (x * x)^*
proof -
  let ?f = \lambda y \cdot y * x \sqcup 1
 have 1: isotone ?f
   by (metis sup-left-isotone isotone-def mult-left-isotone)
  have ?f \circ ?f = (\lambda y . y * (x * x) \sqcup (1 \sqcup x))
   by (simp add: sup-assoc sup-commute mult-assoc mult-right-dist-sup o-def)
  thus ?thesis
   using 1 by (metis mu-square mult-left-one star-back-loop-mu
has-least-fixpoint-def star-back-loop-is-least-fixpoint)
qed
```

```
lemma star-square-2:
 x^{\star} = (x * x)^{\star} * (x \sqcup 1)
proof -
 have (1 \sqcup x) * (x * x)^* = (x * x)^* * 1 \sqcup x * (x * x)^*
   using mult-right-dist-sup by force
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis (no-types) order.antisym mult-left-sub-dist-sup star.circ-square-2
star-slide sup-commute star-square)
qed
lemma star-circ-simulate-right-plus:
 assumes z * x \leq y * y^* * z \sqcup w
   shows z * x^* \le y^* * (z \sqcup w * x^*)
proof -
 have (z \sqcup w * x^*) * x < z * x \sqcup w * x^*
   using mult-right-dist-sup star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint sup-right-isotone by
 also have ... \leq y * y^* * z \sqcup w \sqcup w * x^*
   using assms sup-left-isotone by blast
 also have \dots \leq y * y^* * z \sqcup w * x^*
   using le-supI1 star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint sup-commute by auto
 also have \dots \leq y^* * (z \sqcup w * x^*)
   by (metis sup.bounded-iff mult-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-left-one
mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left star.circ-reflexive star.left-plus-below-circ)
  finally have y^* * (z \sqcup w * x^*) * x \leq y^* * (z \sqcup w * x^*)
   by (metis mult-assoc mult-right-isotone star.circ-transitive-equal)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis sup.bounded-iff star-right-induct mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left
star.circ-loop-fixpoint)
qed
lemma transitive-star:
 x * x \le x \Longrightarrow x^* = 1 \sqcup x
 by (metis order.antisym star.circ-mult-increasing-2 star.circ-plus-same
star-left-induct-mult star-left-unfold-equal)
lemma star-sup-2:
 assumes x * x \le x
   and x * y \leq x
 shows (x \sqcup y)^* = y^* * (x \sqcup 1)
proof -
 have (x \sqcup y)^* = y^* * (x * y^*)^*
   by (simp add: star.circ-decompose-6 star-sup-1)
 also have ... = y^* * x^*
   using assms(2) dual-order.antisym star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint
star-right-induct-mult by fastforce
 also have ... = y^* * (x \sqcup 1)
   by (simp add: assms(1) sup-commute transitive-star)
 finally show ?thesis
```

```
\overline{\mathbf{qed}}
```

end

The following class contains a generalisation of Kleene algebras, which lacks the right zero axiom.

```
{\bf class}\ {\it left-zero-kleene-algebra} = {\it idempotent-left-zero-semiring}\ +
strong-left-kleene-algebra
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}star\text{-}absorb:
 y^* * (y^* * x)^* * y^* = (y^* * x)^* * y^*
 by (metis star.circ-transitive-equal star-slide mult-assoc)
lemma star-circ-simulate-left-plus:
 assumes x * z \le z * y^* \sqcup w
   shows x^* * z \le (z \sqcup x^* * w) * y^*
proof -
 have x * (x^* * (w * y^*)) \le x^* * (w * y^*)
   by (metis (no-types) mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left star.circ-loop-fixpoint
mult-assoc)
 hence x * ((z \sqcup x^* * w) * y^*) \le x * z * y^* \sqcup x^* * w * y^*
   using mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup sup-right-isotone mult-assoc by
presburger
 also have ... \leq (z * y^* \sqcup w) * y^* \sqcup x^* * w * y^*
   using assms mult-isotone semiring.add-right-mono by blast
  also have ... = z * y^* \sqcup w * y^* \sqcup x^* * w * y^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup star.circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc)
 also have ... = (z \sqcup w \sqcup x^* * w) * y^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
  also have ... = (z \sqcup x^* * w) * y^*
   by (metis sup-assoc sup-ge2 le-iff-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (metis sup.bounded-iff mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-1-right
star.circ-right-unfold-1 star-left-induct)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}one\text{-}sup\text{-}below:
 x * y^* * (1 \sqcup z) \le x * (y \sqcup z)^*
proof -
 have y^* * z \leq (y \sqcup z)^*
   using sup-ge2 order-trans star.circ-increasing star.circ-mult-upper-bound
star.circ-sub-dist by blast
 hence y^* \sqcup y^* * z \le (y \sqcup z)^*
   by (simp add: star.circ-sup-upper-bound star.circ-sub-dist)
 hence y^* * (1 \sqcup z) \leq (y \sqcup z)^*
```

```
by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
thus ?thesis
by (metis mult-right-isotone mult-assoc)
```

The following theorem is similar to the puzzle where persons insert themselves always in the middle between two groups of people in a line. Here, however, items in the middle annihilate each other, leaving just one group of items behind.

```
lemma cancel-separate:
 assumes x * y \leq 1
   shows x^{\star} * y^{\star} \leq x^{\star} \sqcup y^{\star}
proof -
  have x * y^* = x \sqcup x * y * y^*
   by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup mult-1-right star-left-unfold-equal)
 also have ... \leq x \sqcup y^*
   by (meson assms dual-order.trans order.refl star.circ-mult-upper-bound
star.circ-reflexive sup-right-isotone)
  also have ... \leq x^* \sqcup y^*
   using star.circ-increasing sup-left-isotone by auto
 finally have 1: x * y^* \le x^* \sqcup y^*
 have x * (x^* \sqcup y^*) = x * x^* \sqcup x * y^*
   by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
 also have ... \leq x^* \sqcup y^*
   using 1 by (metis sup.bounded-iff sup-ge1 order-trans star.left-plus-below-circ)
 finally have 2: x * (x^* \sqcup y^*) \leq x^* \sqcup y^*
 have y^* \leq x^* \sqcup y^*
   by simp
 hence y^* \sqcup x * (x^* \sqcup y^*) \le x^* \sqcup y^*
   using 2 sup.bounded-iff by blast
  thus ?thesis
   by (metis star-left-induct)
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}separate\text{:}
 assumes x * y = bot
     and y * y = bot
   shows (x \sqcup y)^* = x^* \sqcup y * x^*
proof -
 have 1: y^* = 1 \sqcup y
   using assms(2) by (simp \ add: transitive-star)
 have (x \sqcup y)^* = y^* * (x * y^*)^*
   by (simp add: star.circ-decompose-6 star-sup-1)
 also have ... = y^* * (x * (1 \sqcup y * y^*))^*
   by (simp add: star-left-unfold-equal)
 also have ... = (1 \sqcup y) * x^*
   using 1 by (simp add: assms mult-left-dist-sup)
```

```
also have ... = x^* \sqcup y * x^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
end
    We can now inherit from the strongest variant of iterings.
sublocale left-zero-kleene-algebra < star: itering where circ = star
 apply unfold-locales
 apply (metis star.circ-sup-9)
 apply (metis star.circ-mult-1)
 apply (simp add: star-circ-simulate-right-plus)
 by (simp add: star-circ-simulate-left-plus)
{f context}\ left-zero-kleene-algebra
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ star\text{-}absorb:
 x*y = bot \Longrightarrow x*y^{\star} = x
 by (metis sup.bounded-iff antisym-conv star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint
star.circ-elimination)
lemma star-separate-2:
 assumes x * z^+ * y = bot
     and y * z^+ * y = bot
     and z * x = bot
   shows (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^* = z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^*
proof -
 have 1: x^* * z^+ * y = z^+ * y
   by (metis assms mult-assoc mult-1-left mult-left-zero star.circ-zero
star-simulation-right-equal)
 have 2: z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ \le z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^*
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ)
 have z^* * z^+ * y * x^* \le z^* * y * x^*
   by (metis mult-left-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ star.right-plus-circ
star-plus)
 also have \dots \leq z^{\star} * (x^{\star} \sqcup y * x^{\star})
   by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right)
 also have ... < z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^*
   using sup-right-divisibility star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint by blast
 finally have 3: z^* * z^+ * y * x^* \le z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^*
 have z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^* * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*)) = z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ \sqcup
z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ * y * x^*
   by (metis mult-1-right semiring.distrib-left star.circ-plus-same mult-assoc)
 also have ... = z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ \sqcup z^* * (1 \sqcup y) * x^* * z^+ * y * x^*
   by (simp add: semiring.distrib-right mult-assoc)
```

```
also have ... = z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ \sqcup z^* * (1 \sqcup y) * z^+ * y * x^*
   using 1 by (simp add: mult-assoc)
 also have ... = z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ \sqcup z^* * z^+ * y * x^* \sqcup z^* * y * z^+ * y *
x^{\star}
    using mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup sup-assoc by auto
  also have ... = z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^+ \sqcup z^* * z^+ * y * x^*
   by (metis assms(2) mult-left-dist-sup mult-left-zero sup-commute
sup-monoid.add-0-left mult-assoc)
  also have \dots \leq z^{\star} * (x^{\star} \sqcup y * x^{\star}) * z^{\star}
   using 2 3 by simp
  finally have (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) \sqcup z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^* * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*)) \le z^*
*(x^{\star} \sqcup y * x^{\star}) * z^{\star}
   by (simp add: star-outer-increasing)
  hence 4: (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^* \le z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^*
   by (simp add: star-right-induct)
  have 5: (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^* \le (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^*
   by (metis sup-qe1 mult-right-isotone mult-1-right star-isotone)
  have z * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) = z * x^* \sqcup z * y * x^*
   by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup)
  also have ... = z \sqcup z * y * x^*
   by (simp add: assms star-absorb)
  also have ... = z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*)
   by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup)
  also have ... \leq (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^*
   by (simp add: star.circ-increasing)
  also have ... \leq (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^*
   by (metis le-supE mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left star.circ-loop-fixpoint)
  finally have z * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) \le (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^*
 hence z * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^* \le (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^*
(x^*)
   by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-isotone star.circ-transitive-equal)
  hence z^* * (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * z^* \le (x^* \sqcup y * x^*) * (z * (1 \sqcup y * x^*))^*
   using 5 by (metis star-left-induct sup.bounded-iff mult-assoc)
  thus ?thesis
   using 4 by (simp add: antisym)
qed
lemma cancel-separate-eq:
  x*y \leq 1 \Longrightarrow x^\star*y^\star = x^\star \sqcup y^\star
  by (metis order.antisym cancel-separate star.circ-plus-one
star.circ-sup-sub-sup-one-1 star-involutive)
lemma cancel-separate-1:
  assumes x * y \le 1
   shows (x \sqcup y)^* = y^* * x^*
proof -
 have y^* * x^* * y = y^* * x^* * x * y \sqcup y^* * y
   by (metis mult-right-dist-sup star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint)
```

```
also have \dots \leq y^* * x^* \sqcup y^* * y
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis assms semiring}. \textit{add-right-mono mult-right-isotone mult-1-right})
mult-assoc)
 also have \dots \leq y^{\star} * x^{\star} \sqcup y^{\star}
   using semiring.add-left-mono star.right-plus-below-circ by simp
  also have \dots = y^* * x^*
   by (metis star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup.left-idem sup-commute)
  finally have y^* * x^* * y \leq y^* * x^*
   by simp
 hence y^* * x^* * (x \sqcup y) \le y^* * x^* * x \sqcup y^* * x^*
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{mult-left-dist-sup} \ \mathit{order-lesseq-imp} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{fastforce}
 also have ... = y^* * x^*
   by (metis star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup.left-idem)
 finally have (x \sqcup y)^* \leq y^* * x^*
   by (metis star.circ-decompose-7 star-right-induct-mult sup-commute)
  thus ?thesis
   using order.antisym star.circ-sub-dist-3 sup-commute by fastforce
qed
lemma plus-sup:
 (x \sqcup y)^+ = (x^* * y)^* * x^+ \sqcup (x^* * y)^+
 by (metis semiring.distrib-left star.circ-sup-9 star-plus mult-assoc)
lemma plus-half-bot:
 x * y * x = bot \Longrightarrow (x * y)^+ = x * y
 by (metis star-absorb star-slide mult-assoc)
lemma cancel-separate-1-sup:
  assumes x * y \leq 1
     and y * x \le 1
 shows (x \sqcup y)^* = x^* \sqcup y^*
 by (simp add: assms cancel-separate-1 cancel-separate-eq sup-commute)
    Lemma star-separate-3 was contributed by Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien.
lemma star-separate-3:
  assumes y * x^* * y \leq y
   shows (x \sqcup y)^* = x^* \sqcup x^* * y * x^*
proof (rule order.antisym)
 have x^* * y * (x^* * y)^* * x^* \le x^* * y * x^*
   by (metis assms mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-right-induct-mult
mult-assoc)
 thus (x \sqcup y)^* \leq x^* \sqcup x^* * y * x^*
   by (metis order.antisym semiring.add-left-mono star.circ-sup-2
star.circ-sup-sub star.circ-unfold-sum star-decompose-3 star-slide mult-assoc)
 show x^* \sqcup x^* * y * x^* \le (x \sqcup y)^*
   using mult-isotone star.circ-increasing star.circ-sub-dist star.circ-sup-9 by
auto
qed
```

end

A Kleene algebra is obtained by requiring an idempotent semiring.

 $class\ kleene-algebra = left-zero-kleene-algebra + idempotent-semiring$

The following classes are variants of Kleene algebras expanded by an additional iteration operation. This is useful to study the Kleene star in computation models that do not use least fixpoints in the refinement order as the semantics of recursion.

 ${\bf class}\ {\it left-kleene-conway-semiring} = {\it left-kleene-algebra} + {\it left-conway-semiring} \\ {\bf begin}$

lemma star-below-circ:

```
x^{\star} \leq x^{\circ}
```

by (metis circ-left-unfold mult-1-right order-reft star-left-induct)

 ${f lemma}\ star-zero-below-circ-mult:$

$$x^* * bot \le x^\circ * y$$

by (simp add: mult-isotone star-below-circ)

lemma star-mult-circ:

$$x^{\star} * x^{\circ} = x^{\circ}$$

by (metis sup-right-divisibility order.antisym circ-left-unfold star-left-induct-mult star.circ-loop-fixpoint)

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ} ext{-}\mathit{mult} ext{-}\mathit{star}$:

$$x^{\circ} * x^{\star} = x^{\circ}$$

 $\textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis sup-assoc sup.bounded-iff circ-left-unfold circ-rtc-2 order.eq-iff left-plus-circ star.circ-sup-sub star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint star.circ-increasing star-below-circ star-mult-circ star-sup-one)$

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{star}\text{:}$

$$x^{\circ\star} = x^{\circ}$$

by (metis order.antisym circ-reflexive circ-transitive-equal star.circ-increasing star.circ-sup-one-right-unfold star-left-induct-mult-equal)

lemma star-circ:

$$x^{\star \circ} = x^{\circ \circ}$$

by (metis order.antisym circ-circ-sup circ-sub-dist le-iff-sup star.circ-rtc-2 star-below-circ)

lemma circ-sup-3:

$$(x^{\circ} * y^{\circ})^{\star} \leq (x \sqcup y)^{\circ}$$

using circ-star circ-sub-dist-3 star-isotone by fastforce

end

 ${f class}\ left$ -zero-kleene-conway-semiring = left-zero-kleene-algebra + itering

begin

```
subclass left-kleene-conway-semiring ..
```

```
lemma circ-isolate:
  x^{\circ} = x^{\circ} * bot \sqcup x^{\star}
  by (metis sup-commute order.antisym circ-sup-upper-bound circ-mult-star
circ\mbox{-}simulate\mbox{-}absorb\mbox{\ }star.left\mbox{-}plus\mbox{-}below\mbox{-}circ\mbox{\ }star\mbox{-}below\mbox{-}circ
zero-right-mult-decreasing)
lemma circ-isolate-mult:
  x^{\circ} * y = x^{\circ} * bot \sqcup x^{\star} * y
  by (metis circ-isolate mult-assoc mult-left-zero mult-right-dist-sup)
\mathbf{lemma}\ circ	ext{-}isolate	ext{-}mult	ext{-}sub:
  x^{\circ} * y \leq x^{\circ} \sqcup x^{\star} * y
  by (metis sup-left-isotone circ-isolate-mult zero-right-mult-decreasing)
lemma circ-sub-decompose:
  (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} \leq (x^{\star} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
proof -
  have x^* * y \sqcup x^\circ * bot = x^\circ * y
    by (metis sup.commute circ-isolate-mult)
  hence (x^* * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ} = ((x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} \sqcup x^{\circ})^*
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis\ circ\text{-}star\ circ\text{-}sup\text{-}9\ circ\text{-}sup\text{-}mult\text{-}zero\ star\text{-}decompose\text{-}1})
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis circ-star le-iff-sup star.circ-decompose-7 star.circ-unfold-sum)
qed
lemma circ-sup-4:
  (x \sqcup y)^{\circ} = (x^{\star} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
  apply (rule order.antisym)
  apply (metis circ-sup circ-sub-decompose circ-transitive-equal mult-assoc
mult-left-isotone)
  by (metis circ-sup circ-isotone mult-left-isotone star-below-circ)
lemma circ-sup-5:
  (x^{\circ} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ} = (x^{\star} * y)^{\circ} * x^{\circ}
  using circ-sup-4 circ-sup-9 by auto
lemma plus-circ:
  (x^\star * x)^\circ = x^\circ
  by (metis sup-idem circ-sup-4 circ-decompose-7 circ-star star.circ-decompose-5
star.right-plus-circ)
```

end

The following classes add a greatest element.

```
{f class}\ bounded{\it -left-kleene-algebra} = bounded{\it -idempotent-left-semiring} +
left-kleene-algebra
{f sublocale}\ bounded-left-kleene-algebra < star:\ bounded-left-conway-semiring
where circ = star..
{f class}\ bounded{\it -left-zero-kleene-algebra} = bounded{\it -idempotent-left-semiring} +
left-zero-kleene-algebra
{f sublocale}\ bounded-left-zero-kleene-algebra < star:\ bounded-itering {f where}\ circ =
star ..
{\bf class}\ bounded\text{-}kleene\text{-}algebra = bounded\text{-}idempotent\text{-}semiring + kleene\text{-}algebra
{f sublocale}\ bounded	ext{-}kleene	ext{-}algebra < star:\ bounded	ext{-}itering\ {f where}\ circ = star ..
    We conclude with an alternative axiomatisation of Kleene algebras.
class kleene-algebra-var = idempotent-semiring + star +
 assumes star-left-unfold-var: 1 \sqcup y * y^* \leq y^*
 assumes star-left-induct-var: y * x \le x \longrightarrow y^* * x \le x
 assumes star-right-induct-var: x*y \leq x \longrightarrow x*y^{\star} \leq x
begin
{f subclass} kleene-algebra
  apply unfold-locales
 apply (rule star-left-unfold-var)
 apply (meson sup.bounded-iff mult-right-isotone order-trans star-left-induct-var)
 by (meson sup.bounded-iff mult-left-isotone order-trans star-right-induct-var)
end
```

4 Kleene Relation Algebras

This theory combines Kleene algebras with Stone relation algebras. Relation algebras with transitive closure have been studied by [16]. The weakening to Stone relation algebras allows us to talk about reachability in weighted graphs, for example.

Many results in this theory are used in the correctness proof of Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm. In particular, they are concerned with the exchange property, preservation of parts of the invariant and with establishing parts of the postcondition.

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it Kleene-Relation-Algebras}$

end

 ${\bf imports}\ Stone-Relation-Algebras. Relation-Algebras\ Kleene-Algebras$

begin

We first note that bounded distributive lattices can be expanded to Kleene algebras by reusing some of the operations.

```
{f sublocale}\ bounded\mbox{-}distrib\mbox{-}lattice < comp\mbox{-}inf:\ bounded\mbox{-}kleene\mbox{-}algebra\ {f where}\ star
=\lambda x. top and one = top and times = inf
 apply unfold-locales
 apply (simp add: inf.assoc)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 apply (simp add: le-infI2)
 apply (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 apply simp
 apply simp
 apply simp
 apply (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1)
 apply simp
 apply simp
 by (simp add: inf-assoc)
```

We add the Kleene star operation to each of bounded distributive allegories, pseudocomplemented distributive allegories and Stone relation algebras. We start with single-object bounded distributive allegories.

 ${\bf class}\ bounded\hbox{-} distrib\hbox{-} kleene\hbox{-} allegory = bounded\hbox{-} distrib\hbox{-} allegory + kleene\hbox{-} algebra$ ${\bf begin}$

 ${f subclass}\ bounded$ -kleene-algebra ..

lemma conv-star-commute:

proof (rule order.antisym)

 $x^{\star T} = x^{T \star}$

```
lemma conv-star-conv: x^{\star} \leq x^{T \star T} proof — have x^{T \star} * x^T \leq x^{T \star} by (simp add: star.right-plus-below-circ) hence 1: x * x^{T \star T} \leq x^{T \star T} using conv-dist-comp conv-isotone by fastforce have 1 \leq x^{T \star T} by (simp add: reflexive-conv-closed star.circ-reflexive) hence 1 \sqcup x * x^{T \star T} \leq x^{T \star T} using 1 by simp thus ?thesis using star-left-induct by fastforce qed

It follows that star and converse commute.
```

```
show x^{\star T} < x^{T\star}
   using conv-star-conv conv-isotone by fastforce
 show x^{T\star} \leq x^{\star T}
   by (metis conv-star-conv conv-involutive)
qed
lemma conv-plus-commute:
 x^{+T} = x^{T+}
 by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute star-plus)
    Lemma reflexive-inf-star was contributed by Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien.
lemma reflexive-inf-star:
 assumes reflexive y
   shows y \sqcap x^* = 1 \sqcup (y \sqcap x^+)
 by (simp add: assms star-left-unfold-equal sup.absorb2 sup-inf-distrib1)
    The following results are variants of a separation lemma of Kleene alge-
bras.
lemma cancel-separate-2:
 assumes x * y < 1
   shows ((w \sqcap x) \sqcup (z \sqcap y))^* = (z \sqcap y)^* * (w \sqcap x)^*
proof -
 have (w \sqcap x) * (z \sqcap y) \leq 1
   by (meson assms comp-isotone order.trans inf.cobounded2)
 thus ?thesis
   using cancel-separate-1 sup-commute by simp
qed
lemma cancel-separate-3:
 assumes x * y \le 1
   shows (w \sqcap x)^* * (z \sqcap y)^* = (w \sqcap x)^* \sqcup (z \sqcap y)^*
proof -
 have (w \sqcap x) * (z \sqcap y) \leq 1
   by (meson assms comp-isotone order.trans inf.cobounded2)
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: cancel-separate-eq)
qed
lemma cancel-separate-4:
 assumes z * y \leq 1
     and w \leq y \sqcup z
     and x \leq y \sqcup z
   shows w^* * x^* = (w \sqcap y)^* * ((w \sqcap z)^* \sqcup (x \sqcap y)^*) * (x \sqcap z)^*
 have w^{\star} * x^{\star} = ((w \sqcap y) \sqcup (w \sqcap z))^{\star} * ((x \sqcap y) \sqcup (x \sqcap z))^{\star}
   by (metis assms(2,3) inf.orderE inf-sup-distrib1)
 also have ... = (w \sqcap y)^* * ((w \sqcap z)^* * (x \sqcap y)^*) * (x \sqcap z)^*
   by (metis assms(1) cancel-separate-2 sup-commute mult-assoc)
```

```
finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: assms(1) cancel-separate-3)
qed
lemma cancel-separate-5:
  assumes w * z^T \leq 1
   shows w \sqcap x * (y \sqcap z) \le y
proof -
  have w \sqcap x * (y \sqcap z) \le (x \sqcap w * (y \sqcap z)^T) * (y \sqcap z)
   by (metis dedekind-2 inf-commute)
  also have ... \leq w * z^T * (y \sqcap z)
   by (simp add: conv-dist-inf inf.coboundedI2 mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq y \sqcap z
   by (metis assms mult-1-left mult-left-isotone)
  finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma cancel-separate-6:
  assumes z * y \leq 1
     and w \leq y \sqcup z
     and x \le y \sqcup z
and v * z^T \le 1
     and v \sqcap y^* = bot
   shows v \sqcap w^{\star} * x^{\star} \leq x \sqcup w
proof -
  have v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * (x \sqcap y)^* \le v \sqcap y^* * (x \sqcap y)^*
   using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone star-isotone by simp
  also have \dots \leq v \sqcap y^*
   by (simp add: inf.coboundedI2 star.circ-increasing star.circ-mult-upper-bound
star-right-induct-mult)
  finally have 1: v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * (x \sqcap y)^* = bot
   using assms(5) le-bot by simp
  have v \sqcap w^* * x^* = v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * ((w \sqcap z)^* \sqcup (x \sqcap y)^*) * (x \sqcap z)^*
   using assms(1-3) cancel-separate-4 by simp
  also have ... = (v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * ((w \sqcap z)^* \sqcup (x \sqcap y)^*) * (x \sqcap z)^* * (x \sqcap z)) \sqcup
(v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * ((w \sqcap z)^* \sqcup (x \sqcap y)^*))
   by (metis inf-sup-distrib1 star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint)
  also have ... \leq x \sqcup (v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * ((w \sqcap z)^* \sqcup (x \sqcap y)^*))
    using assms(4) cancel-separate-5 semiring.add-right-mono by simp
 also have ... = x \sqcup (v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * (w \sqcap z)^*)
   using 1 by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1 mult-left-dist-sup
sup-monoid.add-assoc)
  also have ... = x \sqcup (v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^* * (w \sqcap z)^* * (w \sqcap z)) \sqcup (v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^*)
   by (metis comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup-assoc)
  also have ... \leq x \sqcup w \sqcup (v \sqcap (w \sqcap y)^*)
   using assms(4) cancel-separate-5 sup-left-isotone sup-right-isotone by simp
  also have ... \leq x \sqcup w \sqcup (v \sqcap y^*)
```

```
using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone star-isotone sup-right-isotone by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   using assms(5) le-bot by simp
qed
    We show several results about the interaction of vectors and the Kleene
star.
lemma vector-star-1:
 assumes vector x
   shows x^T * (x * x^T)^* \le x^T
proof -
 have x^T * (x * x^T)^* = (x^T * x)^* * x^T
   by (simp add: star-slide)
 also have \dots \leq top * x^T
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
 also have ... = x^T
   using assms vector-conv-covector by auto
 finally show ?thesis
qed
lemma vector-star-2:
 vector x \Longrightarrow x^T * (x * x^T)^* < x^T * bot^*
 by (simp add: star-absorb vector-star-1)
lemma vector-vector-star:
 vector \ v \Longrightarrow (v * v^T)^* = 1 \sqcup v * v^T
 by (simp add: transitive-star vv-transitive)
lemma equivalence-star-closed:
 equivalence x \Longrightarrow equivalence (x^*)
 by (simp add: conv-star-commute star.circ-reflexive star.circ-transitive-equal)
lemma equivalence-plus-closed:
 equivalence x \Longrightarrow equivalence (x^+)
 by (simp add: conv-star-commute star.circ-reflexive star.circ-sup-one-left-unfold
star.circ-transitive-equal)
    The following equivalence relation characterises the component trees of
a forest. This is a special case of undirected reachability in a directed graph.
abbreviation forest-components f \equiv f^{T\star} * f^{\star}
lemma forest-components-equivalence:
 injective x \Longrightarrow equivalence (forest-components x)
 apply (intro\ conjI)
 apply (simp add: reflexive-mult-closed star.circ-reflexive)
 apply (metis cancel-separate-1 order.eq-iff star.circ-transitive-equal)
```

by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)

```
lemma forest-components-increasing:
```

 $x \leq forest\text{-}components \ x$

by (metis order.trans mult-left-isotone mult-left-one star.circ-increasing star.circ-reflexive)

lemma forest-components-isotone:

```
x \leq y \Longrightarrow forest\text{-}components \ x \leq forest\text{-}components \ y
by (simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}isotone\ conv\text{-}isotone\ star\text{-}isotone)
```

${f lemma}\ forest-components-idempotent:$

injective $x \Longrightarrow$ forest-components (forest-components x) = forest-components x by (metis forest-components-equivalence cancel-separate-1 star.circ-transitive-equal star-involutive)

lemma forest-components-star:

```
injective x \Longrightarrow (forest\text{-}components\ x)^* = forest\text{-}components\ x

using forest\text{-}components\text{-}equivalence\ forest\text{-}components\text{-}idempotent\ star.circ\text{-}transitive\text{-}equal\ by\ simp
```

The following lemma shows that the nodes reachable in the graph can be reached by only using edges between reachable nodes.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ reachable\text{-}restrict\text{:}
```

```
assumes vector r
        shows r^T * q^* = r^T * ((r^T * q^*)^T * (r^T * q^*) \sqcap q)^*
    have 1: r^T \le r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^*
        using mult-right-isotone mult-1-right star.circ-reflexive by fastforce
    have 2: covector (r^T * q^*)
        \mathbf{using}\ assms\ covector\text{-}mult\text{-}closed\ vector\text{-}conv\text{-}covector\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
    have r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* * g \le r^T * g^* * g
        \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ mult-left-isotone\ mult-right-isotone\ star-isotone)
    also have \dots \leq r^T * g^*
        \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ mult-assoc\ mult-right-isotone\ star.left-plus-below-circ\ star-plus)
    finally have r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* * g = r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T)^T * 
* g^*) \sqcap g)^* * g \sqcap r^T * g^*
        by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
    also have ... = r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* * (g \sqcap r^T * g^*)
        using assms covector-comp-inf covector-mult-closed vector-conv-covector by
    also have ... = (r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* \sqcap r^T * g^*) * (g \sqcap r^T * g^*)
        \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ inf.absorb2\ inf-commute\ mult-right-isotone\ star-isotone)
   also have ... = r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* * (g \sqcap r^T * g^* \sqcap (r^T * g^*)^T)
        using 2 by (metis comp-inf-vector-1)
   also have ... = r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* * ((r^T * g^*)^T \sqcap r^T * g^* \sqcap g)
    using inf-commute inf-assoc by simp also have ... = r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^* * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^*
        using 2 by (metis covector-conv-vector inf-top.right-neutral vector-inf-comp)
    also have ... \leq r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^*
```

```
by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ star-plus)
  finally have r^T * g^* \le r^T * ((r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g)^*
   using 1 star-right-induct by auto
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: order.eq-iff mult-right-isotone star-isotone)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma kruskal-acyclic-inv-1:
 assumes injective f
     and e * forest-components f * e = bot
   shows (f \sqcap top * e * f^{T\star})^T * f^{\star} * e = bot
proof -
 let ?q = top * e * f^{T*}
 let ?F = forest\text{-}components f
 have (f\sqcap ?q)^T*f^{\star}*e=?q^T\sqcap f^T*f^{\star}*e
   by (metis (mono-tags) comp-associative conv-dist-inf covector-conv-vector
inf-vector-comp vector-top-closed)
 also have ... \leq ?q^T \sqcap ?F * e
   using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone star.circ-increasing by
 also have ... = f^* * e^T * top \sqcap ?F * e
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute mult-assoc)
 also have ... \leq ?F * e^T * top \sqcap ?F * e
   by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute conv-top inf.sup-left-isotone
star.circ-right-top star-outer-increasing mult-assoc)
  also have ... = ?F * (e^T * top \sqcap ?F * e)
   by (metis assms(1) forest-components-equivalence equivalence-comp-dist-inf
mult-assoc)
 also have ... = (?F \sqcap top * e) * ?F * e
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-inf-vector-1 conv-dist-comp
inf-vector-comp)
 also have \dots \leq top * e * ?F * e
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
 also have \dots = bot
   using assms(2) mult-assoc by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: bot-unique)
qed
lemma kruskal-forest-components-inf-1:
 assumes f \leq w \sqcup w^T
     and injective w
     and f \leq forest-components g
   shows f * forest-components (forest-components g \sqcap w) \leq forest-components
(forest-components g \sqcap w)
proof -
 let ?f = forest-components q
 let ?w = forest\text{-}components (?f \sqcap w)
 have f * ?w = (f \sqcap (w \sqcup w^T)) * ?w
```

```
by (simp add: assms(1) inf.absorb1)
  also have ... = (f \sqcap w) * ?w \sqcup (f \sqcap w^T) * ?w
   by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1 semiring.distrib-right)
  also have ... \leq (?f \sqcap w) * ?w \sqcup (f \sqcap w^T) * ?w
   using assms(3) inf.sup-left-isotone mult-left-isotone sup-left-isotone by simp
 also have ... \leq (?f \sqcap w) * ?w \sqcup (?f \sqcap w^T) * ?w
   using assms(3) inf.sup-left-isotone mult-left-isotone sup-right-isotone by simp
 also have ... = (?f \sqcap w) * ?w \sqcup (?f \sqcap w)^T * ?w
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-star-commute)
 also have \dots \leq (?f \sqcap w) * ?w \sqcup ?w
   by (metis star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-ge1 sup-right-isotone)
 also have ... = ?w \sqcup (?f \sqcap w) * (?f \sqcap w)* \sqcup (?f \sqcap w) * (?f \sqcap w)^T+ * (?f \sqcap
w)^*
   by (metis comp-associative mult-left-dist-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint
sup\text{-}commute\ sup\text{-}assoc)
 also have ... \leq ?w \sqcup (?f \sqcap w)^* \sqcup (?f \sqcap w) * (?f \sqcap w)^{T+} * (?f \sqcap w)^*
   using star.left-plus-below-circ sup-left-isotone sup-right-isotone by auto
 also have ... = ?w \sqcup (?f \sqcap w) * (?f \sqcap w)^{T+} * (?f \sqcap w)^*
   by (metis star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup.right-idem)
 also have ... \leq ?w \sqcup w * w^T * ?w
   using comp-associative conv-dist-inf mult-isotone sup-right-isotone by simp
 also have \dots = ?w
   by (metis assms(2) coreflexive-comp-top-inf inf.cobounded2 sup.orderE)
  finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma kruskal-forest-components-inf:
 assumes f \leq w \sqcup w^T
     and injective w
   shows forest-components f \leq forest-components (forest-components f \cap w)
proof -
 let ?f = forest\text{-}components f
 let ?w = forest\text{-}components (?f \sqcap w)
 have 1: 1 \leq ?w
   by (simp add: reflexive-mult-closed star.circ-reflexive)
 have f * ?w < ?w
   using assms forest-components-increasing kruskal-forest-components-inf-1 by
simp
 hence 2: f^* \leq ?w
   \mathbf{using} \ 1 \ star\text{-}left\text{-}induct \ \mathbf{by} \ fastforce
 have f^T * ?w \le ?w
   apply (rule kruskal-forest-components-inf-1)
   apply (metis assms(1) conv-dist-sup conv-involutive conv-isotone
sup-commute)
   apply (simp \ add: \ assms(2))
   by (metis le-supI2 star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star.circ-increasing)
  thus ?f \leq ?w
   using 2 star-left-induct by simp
```

```
qed
```

end

```
We next add the Kleene star to single-object pseudocomplemented dis-
tributive allegories.
```

```
class\ pd-kleene-allegory = pd-allegory + bounded-distrib-kleene-allegory
begin
```

```
The following definitions and results concern acyclic graphs and forests.
abbreviation acyclic :: 'a \Rightarrow bool where acyclic x \equiv x^+ \leq -1
abbreviation forest :: 'a \Rightarrow bool where forest x \equiv injective \ x \land acyclic \ x
lemma forest-bot:
  forest bot
 by simp
{\bf lemma}\ a cyclic \hbox{-} down\hbox{-} closed:
  x \leq y \Longrightarrow acyclic \ y \Longrightarrow acyclic \ x
 using comp-isotone star-isotone by fastforce
lemma forest-down-closed:
  x \leq y \Longrightarrow forest \ y \Longrightarrow forest \ x
  using conv-isotone mult-isotone star-isotone by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ a cyclic\text{-}star\text{-}below\text{-}complement:
  acyclic \ w \longleftrightarrow w^{T\star} \le -w
 by (simp add: conv-star-commute schroeder-4-p)
lemma acyclic-star-below-complement-1:
  acyclic\ w \longleftrightarrow w^* \sqcap w^T = bot
  using pseudo-complement schroeder-5-p by force
{f lemma} acyclic-star-inf-conv:
  assumes acyclic w
  shows w^* \sqcap w^{T*} = 1
proof -
  have w^+ \sqcap w^{T\star} \leq (w \sqcap w^{T\star}) * w^{\star}
   by (metis conv-star-commute dedekind-2 star.circ-transitive-equal)
  also have \dots = bot
   by (metis assms conv-star-commute p-antitone-iff pseudo-complement
schroeder-4-p semiring.mult-not-zero star.circ-circ-mult star-involutive star-one)
 finally have w^* \sqcap w^{T*} < 1
   by (metis order.eq-iff le-bot mult-left-zero star.circ-plus-one star.circ-zero
star-left-unfold-equal\ sup-inf-distrib1)
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: order.antisym star.circ-reflexive)
qed
```

```
{f lemma}\ acyclic	ext{-}asymmetric:
  acyclic \ w \Longrightarrow asymmetric \ w
 by (simp add: dual-order.trans pseudo-complement schroeder-5-p
star.circ-increasing)
lemma forest-separate:
 assumes forest x
   shows x^{\star} * x^{T \star} \sqcap x^{T} * x \leq 1
proof -
 have x^* * 1 \le -x^T
   using assms schroeder-5-p by force
 hence 1: x^* \sqcap x^T = bot
   by (simp add: pseudo-complement)
 have x^* \sqcap x^T * x = (1 \sqcup x^* * x) \sqcap x^T * x
   using star.circ-right-unfold-1 by simp
  also have ... = (1 \sqcap x^T * x) \sqcup (x^* * x \sqcap x^T * x)
   by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
  also have \dots \leq 1 \sqcup (x^* * x \sqcap x^T * x)
   using sup-left-isotone by simp
 also have ... = 1 \sqcup (x^* \sqcap x^T) * x
   by (simp add: assms injective-comp-right-dist-inf)
  also have \dots = 1
   using 1 by simp
  finally have 2: x^* \sqcap x^T * x \leq 1
 hence \beta: x^{T\star} \sqcap x^T * x \leq 1
   by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) conv-star-commute conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf
conv-involutive coreflexive-symmetric)
 have x^* * x^{T*} \sqcap x^T * x \leq (x^* \sqcup x^{T*}) \sqcap x^T * x
   using assms cancel-separate inf.sup-left-isotone by simp
 also have \dots < 1
   using 2 3 by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
    The following definition captures the components of undirected weighted
graphs.
abbreviation components g \equiv (--g)^*
lemma components-equivalence:
  symmetric x \Longrightarrow equivalence (components x)
 by (simp add: conv-star-commute conv-complement star.circ-reflexive
star.circ-transitive-equal)
lemma components-increasing:
 x \leq components x
  using order-trans pp-increasing star.circ-increasing by blast
```

```
lemma components-isotone:
 x \leq y \Longrightarrow components \ x \leq components \ y
 by (simp add: pp-isotone star-isotone)
lemma cut-reachable:
 assumes v^T = r^T * t^*
     and t \leq g
   shows v * -v^T \sqcap g \le (r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*)
 have v * -v^T \sqcap g \leq v * top \sqcap g
   using inf.sup-left-isotone mult-right-isotone top-greatest by blast
 also have ... = (r^T * t^*)^T * top \sqcap g
   by (metis assms(1) conv-involutive)
 also have \dots \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * top \sqcap g
   using assms(2) conv-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone star-isotone by auto
 also have ... \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * ((r^T * g^*) * g)
   by (metis conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*)
   by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ star-plus)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
```

The following lemma shows that the predecessors of visited nodes in the minimum spanning tree extending the current tree have all been visited.

```
lemma predecessors-reachable:
```

```
assumes vector r
     and injective r
     and v^{\check{T}} = r^T * t^*
     and forest w
      and t \leq w
     and w \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g
and r^T * g^* \leq r^T * w^*
   shows w * v \leq v
proof -
  have w * r \le (r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) * r
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{assms}(6)\ \mathit{mult-left-isotone}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{auto}
  also have \dots \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ mult-assoc\ mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... = (r^T * q^*)^T
   by (simp add: assms(1) comp-associative conv-dist-comp)
  also have \dots \leq (r^T * w^*)^T
   by (simp add: assms(7) conv-isotone)
  also have \dots = w^{T\star} * r
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
  also have \dots \leq -w * r
   using assms(4) by (simp \ add: mult-left-isotone
```

```
acyclic-star-below-complement)
  also have \dots \leq -(w * r)
   by (simp add: assms(2) comp-injective-below-complement)
  finally have 1: w * r = bot
   by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
  have v = t^{T\star} * r
   by (metis assms(3) conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-star-commute)
  also have ... = t^T * v \sqcup r
   by (simp add: calculation star.circ-loop-fixpoint)
  also have \dots \leq w^T * v \sqcup r
   using assms(5) comp-isotone conv-isotone semiring.add-right-mono by auto
  finally have w * v \le w * w^T * v \sqcup w * r
   by (simp add: comp-left-dist-sup mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... = w * w^T * v
   using 1 by simp
  also have \dots < v
   \mathbf{using} \ assms(4) \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ star-left-induct-mult-iff \ star-sub-one)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
```

4.1 Prim's Algorithm

The following results are used for proving the correctness of Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm.

4.1.1 Preservation of Invariant

We first treat the preservation of the invariant. The following lemma shows that the while-loop preserves that v represents the nodes of the constructed tree. The remaining lemmas in this section show that t is a spanning tree. The exchange property is treated in the following two sections.

```
{\bf lemma}\ reachable\hbox{-}inv\hbox{:}
```

```
assumes vector\ v and e \le v * - v^T and e * t = bot and v^T = r^T * t^* shows (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T = r^T * (t \sqcup e)^* proof — have 1: v^T \le r^T * (t \sqcup e)^* by (simp\ add:\ assms(4)\ mult-right-isotone\ star.circ-sub-dist) have 2: (e^T * top)^T = top * e by (simp\ add:\ conv-dist-comp) also have ... = top * (v * - v^T \sqcap e) by (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ inf-absorb2) also have ... \le top * (v * top \sqcap e) using inf.sup-left-isotone\ mult-right-isotone\ top-greatest by blast also have ... = top * v^T * e
```

```
by (simp add: comp-inf-vector inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
  also have \dots = v^T * e
   using assms(1) vector-conv-covector by auto
  also have ... \leq r^T * (t \sqcup e)^* * e
   using 1 by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
  also have ... \leq r^T * (t \sqcup e)^* * (t \sqcup e)
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... \leq r^T * (t \sqcup e)^*
   by (simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone star.right-plus-below-circ)
  finally have 3: (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \leq r^T * (t \sqcup e)^*
   using 1 by (simp add: conv-dist-sup)
  have r^T \leq r^T * t^*
   using sup.bounded-iff star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint by blast
  also have \dots \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T
 by (metis\ assms(4)\ conv\text{-}isotone\ sup\text{-}ge1) finally have 4\colon r^T \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T
  have (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T * (t \sqcup e) = (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T * t \sqcup (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T * e
   by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
  also have ... \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T * t \sqcup top * e
   using comp-isotone semiring.add-left-mono by auto
  also have ... = v^T * t \sqcup top * e * t \sqcup top * e
    using 2 by (simp add: conv-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup)
  also have \dots = v^T * t \sqcup top * e
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ comp\text{-}associative)
  also have ... \leq r^T * t^* \sqcup top * e
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{assms}(4)\ \mathit{star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint}\ \mathit{sup-ge1}\ \mathit{sup-left-isotone})
  also have \dots = v^T \sqcup top * e
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(4))
  finally have 5: (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T * (t \sqcup e) \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T
   using 2 by (simp add: conv-dist-sup)
  have r^T * (t \sqcup e)^* \le (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T * (t \sqcup e)^*
   using 4 by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
  also have ... \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T
   using 5 by (simp add: star-right-induct-mult)
  finally show ?thesis
   using 3 by (simp add: order.eq-iff)
qed
    The next result is used to show that the while-loop preserves acyclicity
of the constructed tree.
lemma acyclic-inv:
  assumes acyclic t
     and vector v
     and e \leq v * -v^T
     and t \leq v * v^T
   shows acyclic (t \sqcup e)
proof -
  have t^+ * e \le t^+ * v * -v^T
```

```
by (simp add: assms(3) comp-associative mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... \leq v * v^{T} * t^{*} * v * -v^{T}
   by (simp add: assms(4) mult-left-isotone)
  also have ... \leq v * top * -v^T
   by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone top-greatest)
 also have ... = v * -v^T
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(2))
 also have \dots \leq -1
   by (simp add: pp-increasing schroeder-3-p)
  finally have 1: t^+ * e \le -1
 have 2: e * t^* = e
   using assms(2-4) et(1) star-absorb by blast
 have e^* = 1 \sqcup e \sqcup e * e * e^*
   by (metis star.circ-loop-fixpoint star-square-2 sup-commute)
 also have \dots = 1 \sqcup e
   using assms(2,3) ee comp-left-zero bot-least sup-absorb1 by simp
 finally have 3: e^* = 1 \sqcup e
 have e \leq v * -v^T
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
 also have \dots \leq -1
   by (simp add: pp-increasing schroeder-3-p)
  finally have 4: t^+ * e \sqcup e \leq -1
   using 1 by simp
 have (t \sqcup e)^+ = (t \sqcup e) * t^* * (e * t^*)^*
   using star-sup-1 mult-assoc by simp
 also have ... = (t \sqcup e) * t^* * (1 \sqcup e)
   using 2 3 by simp
 also have ... = t^+ * (1 \sqcup e) \sqcup e * t^* * (1 \sqcup e)
   by (simp add: comp-right-dist-sup)
 also have ... = t^+ * (1 \sqcup e) \sqcup e * (1 \sqcup e)
   using 2 by simp
 also have ... = t^+ * (1 \sqcup e) \sqcup e
   using 3 by (metis star-absorb assms(2,3) ee)
 also have ... = t^+ \sqcup t^+ * e \sqcup e
   by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
 also have \dots < -1
   using 4 by (metis assms(1) sup.absorb1 sup.orderI sup-assoc)
  finally show ?thesis
\mathbf{qed}
    The following lemma shows that the extended tree is in the component
reachable from the root.
lemma mst-subgraph-inv-2:
 assumes regular (v * v^T)
     and t \leq v * v^T \sqcap --g
and v^T = r^T * t^*
```

```
and e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap --g
     and vector v
     and regular ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T)
   shows t \sqcup e \leq (r^T * (--((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g))^*)^T * (r^T * top)^T \sqcap g)^*
(--((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap q))^{\star})
proof -
 let ?v = v \sqcup e^T * top
 let ?G = ?v * ?v^T \sqcap g
 let ?c = r^T * (--?G)^*
have v^T \le r^T * (--(v * v^T \sqcap g))^*
   using assms(1-3) inf-pp-commute mult-right-isotone star-isotone by auto
 also have ... \leq ?c
   using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone comp-isotone conv-isotone inf.commute
mult-right-isotone pp-isotone star-isotone sup.cobounded1 by presburger
 finally have 2: v^T < ?c \land v < ?c^T
   by (metis conv-isotone conv-involutive)
 have t < v * v^T
   using assms(2) by auto
  hence 3: t \leq ?c^T * ?c
   using 2 order-trans mult-isotone by blast
 have e \leq v * top \sqcap --g
   by (metis\ assms(4,5)\ inf.bounded-iff\ inf.sup-left-divisibility\ mult-right-isotone
top.extremum)
  hence e \leq v * top \sqcap top * e \sqcap --g
   by (simp add: top-left-mult-increasing inf.boundedI)
 hence e \leq v * top * e \sqcap --g
   by (metis comp-inf-covector inf.absorb2 mult-assoc top.extremum)
  hence t \sqcup e \leq (v * v^T \sqcap --g) \sqcup (v * top * e \sqcap --g)
   using assms(2) sup-mono by blast
 also have ... = v * ?v^T \sqcap --g
   by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2 mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup conv-dist-comp
conv-dist-sup)
 also have \dots \leq --?G
   using assms(6) comp-left-increasing-sup inf.sup-left-isotone pp-dist-inf by
 finally have 4: t \sqcup e < --?G
 have e \leq e * e^T * e
   by (simp\ add:\ ex231c)
 also have \dots \leq v * - v^T * - v * v^T * e
   by (metis assms(4) mult-left-isotone conv-isotone conv-dist-comp mult-assoc
mult-isotone conv-involutive conv-complement inf.boundedE)
 also have ... \leq v * top * v^T * e
   by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone top.extremum)
 also have ... = v * r^T * t^* * e
   using assms(3,5) by (simp \ add: \ mult-assoc)
  also have ... \leq v * r^{T} * (t \sqcup e)^{\star}
   by (simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone star.circ-mult-upper-bound
star.circ-sub-dist-1 star-isotone sup-commute)
```

```
also have \dots \leq v * ?c
   using 4 by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone star-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq ?c^T * ?c
   using 2 by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
  finally show ?thesis
   using 3 by simp
qed
lemma span-inv:
  assumes e \leq v * -v^T
     and vector v
     and arc e
     and t \leq (v * v^T) \sqcap g
     and g^T = g
and v^T = r^T * t^*
     and injective r
   and injective, and r^T \leq v^T and r^T * ((v * v^T) \sqcap g)^* \leq r^T * t^* shows r^T * (((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T) \sqcap g)^* \leq r^T * (t \sqcup e)^*
proof -
  let ?d = (v * v^T) \sqcap g
 have 1: (v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T = v * v^T \sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup e^T * v * v^T
\sqcup e^T * e
    using assms(1-3) ve-dist by simp
  have t^T \leq ?d^T
   using assms(4) conv-isotone by simp
  also have ... = (v * v^T) \sqcap g^T
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf)
  also have \dots = ?d
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(5))
  finally have 2: t^T \leq ?d
  have v * v^T = (r^T * t^*)^T * (r^T * t^*)
   by (metis \ assms(6) \ conv-involutive)
  also have ... = t^{T\star} * (r * r^T) * t^{\star}
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
 also have ... \leq t^{T\star} * 1 * t^{\star}
   by (simp add: assms(7) mult-left-isotone star-right-induct-mult-iff
star-sub-one)
  also have \dots = t^{T\star} * t^{\star}
   by simp
 also have \dots \leq ?d^* * t^*
   using 2 by (simp add: comp-left-isotone star.circ-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq ?d^* * ?d^*
   using assms(4) mult-right-isotone star-isotone by simp
  also have 3: ... = ?d^*
   by (simp add: star.circ-transitive-equal)
  finally have 4: v * v^T \leq ?d^*
```

```
have 5: r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^*
       \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}associative\ mult\text{-}right\text{-}isotone\ star.circ\text{-}plus\text{-}same
star.left-plus-below-circ)
    have r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * v * v^T * e
       by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
    also have ... \leq r^T * ?d^* * e
       using 3 4 by (metis comp-associative comp-isotone eq-refl)
    finally have 6: r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e
    have 7: \forall x . r^T * (1 \sqcup v * v^T) * e^T * x = bot
    proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ x
       have r^T * (1 \sqcup v * v^T) * e^T * x \le r^T * (1 \sqcup v * v^T) * e^T * top
            by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
       also have ... = r^T * e^T * top \sqcup r^T * v * v^T * e^T * top
            by (simp add: comp-associative mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup)
       also have ... = r^T * e^T * top
            by (metis\ assms(1,2)\ mult-assoc\ mult-right-dist-sup\ mult-right-zero
sup-bot-right \ vTeT
       also have \dots \leq v^T * e^T * top
            \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ assms(8)\ comp\text{-}isotone)
       also have \dots = bot
            using vTeT assms(1,2) by simp
       finally show r^T * (1 \sqcup v * v^T) * e^T * x = bot
            by (simp add: le-bot)
    qed
    have r^T * ?d^* * (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e^T * v * v^T
       by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
    also have ... \leq r^{T} * (1 \sqcup v * v^{T}) * e^{T} * v * v^{T}
       by (metis assms(2) star.circ-isotone vector-vector-star inf-le1
comp-associative comp-right-isotone comp-left-isotone)
    also have \dots = bot
       using 7 by simp
    finally have 8: r^T * ?d^* * (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap g) = bot
       by (simp \ add: \ le-bot)
    have r^T * ?d^* * (e^T * e \sqcap q) < r^T * ?d^* * e^T * e
       by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
    also have ... \langle r^T * (1 \sqcup v * v^T) * e^T * e
       by (metis assms(2) star.circ-isotone vector-vector-star inf-le1
comp-associative comp-right-isotone comp-left-isotone)
    also have \dots = bot
       using 7 by simp
    finally have 9: r^T * ?d^* * (e^T * e \sqcap g) = bot
       by (simp add: le-bot)
   have r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v * v^T \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v * v^T \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v * v^T \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^{\star} * ((v \sqcup e^T * top)^T
\sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup e^T * v * v^T \sqcup e^T * e) \sqcap g
       using 1 by simp
    also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * ((v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) \sqcup (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap g))
g) \sqcup (e^T * e \sqcap g)
```

```
by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
 also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T *
?d^* * (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * (e^T * e \sqcap g)
   by (simp add: comp-left-dist-sup)
 also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g)
   using 8 9 by simp
 also have \dots \leq r^T * ?d^* \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * e
   using 5 6 sup.mono by simp
 also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e)
   by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
 finally have 10: r^T * ?d^* * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) \le r^T *
?d^{\star} * (1 \sqcup e)
   \mathbf{by} \ simp
 have r^T * ?d^* * e * (v * v^T \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e * v * v^T
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
 also have \dots = bot
   by (metis\ assms(1,2)\ comp-associative\ comp-right-zero\ ev\ comp-left-zero)
 finally have 11: r^T * ?d^* * e * (v * v^T \sqcap g) = bot
   \mathbf{by} (simp add: le-bot)
 have r^T * ?d^* * e * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e * v * v^T * e
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
 also have \dots = bot
   by (metis assms(1,2) comp-associative comp-right-zero ev comp-left-zero)
 finally have 12: r^T * ?d^* * e * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) = bot
   by (simp add: le-bot)
 have r^T * ?d^* * e * (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e * e^T * v * v^T
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
 also have ... < r^T * ?d^* * 1 * v * v^T
   by (metis\ assms(3)\ arc\text{-}injective\ comp\text{-}associative\ comp\text{-}left\text{-}isotone
comp-right-isotone)
 also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * v * v^T
   by simp
 also have \dots \leq r^T * ?d^* * ?d^*
   using 4 by (simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc)
 also have ... = r^T * ?d^*
   by (simp add: star.circ-transitive-equal comp-associative)
 finally have 13: r^T * ?d^* * e * (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap q) < r^T * ?d^*
 have r^T * ?d^* * e * (e^T * e \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e * e^T * e
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq r^T * ?d^* * 1 * e
   by (metis assms(3) arc-injective comp-associative comp-left-isotone
comp-right-isotone)
 also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * e
   by simp
 finally have 14: r^T * ?d^* * e * (e^T * e \sqcap g) \le r^T * ?d^* * e
 have r^T * ?d^\star * e * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^\star * e *
((v * v^T \sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup e^T * v * v^T \sqcup e^T * e) \sqcap q)
```

```
also have \dots = r^T * ?d^* * e * ((v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup (v * v^T * e \sqcap g) \sqcup (e^T * v * v^T)
\sqcap g) \sqcup (e^T * e \sqcap g))
        by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
     also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * e * (v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * e * (v * v^T * e \sqcap g)
\sqcup r^T * ?d^* * e * (e^T * v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * e * (e^T * e \sqcap g)
        by (simp add: comp-left-dist-sup)
    also have ... = r^T * ?d^{\star} * e * (e^{T} * v * v^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^{\star} * e * (e^T * e \sqcap g)
         using 11 12 by simp
    also have ... \leq r^T * ?d^* \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * e
        using 13 14 sup-mono by simp
    also have ... = r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e)
        by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
    finally have 15: r^T * ?d^* * e * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap q) < r^T *
 ?d^{\star} * (1 \sqcup e)
        \mathbf{by} \ simp
    have r^T \leq r^T * ?d^*
        using mult-right-isotone star.circ-reflexive by fastforce
     also have \dots \leq r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e)
        by (simp add: semiring.distrib-left)
     finally have 16: r^T \leq r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e)
    have r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e) * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) = r^T * ?d^*
*((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g) \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * e * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top) * (
e^T * top)^T \sqcap g
        by (simp add: semiring.distrib-left semiring.distrib-right)
    also have ... \leq r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e)
        using 10 15 le-supI by simp
    finally have r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e) * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap q) < r^T
*?d^{*}*(1 \sqcup e)
    hence r^T \sqcup r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e) * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap q) < r^T
*?d^{*}*(1 \sqcup e)
        using 16 sup-least by simp
     hence r^T * ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g)^* \le r^T * ?d^* * (1 \sqcup e)
        by (simp add: star-right-induct)
    also have \dots \leq r^T * t^* * (1 \sqcup e)
        by (simp add: assms(9) mult-left-isotone)
    also have ... \leq r^T * (t \sqcup e)^*
        by (simp add: star-one-sup-below)
    finally show ?thesis
qed
```

4.1.2 Exchange gives Spanning Trees

The following abbreviations are used in the spanning tree application using Prim's algorithm to construct the new tree for the exchange property. It is obtained by replacing an edge with one that has minimal weight and reversing the path connecting these edges. Here, w represents a weighted graph, v represents a set of nodes and e represents an edge.

```
abbreviation prim-E :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where } prim-E \ w \ v \ e \equiv w \ \sqcap --v \ * -v^T \ \sqcap \ top \ * \ e \ * \ w^T \ * abbreviation prim-P :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where } prim-P \ w \ v \ e \equiv w \ \sqcap -v \ * -v^T \ \sqcap \ top \ * \ e \ * \ w^T \ * abbreviation prim-EP :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where } prim-EP \ w \ v \ e \equiv w \ \sqcap -v^T \ \sqcap \ top \ * \ e \ * \ w^T \ * abbreviation prim-W :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where } prim-W \ w \ v \ e \equiv (w \ \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \ \sqcup \ (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \ \sqcup \ e
```

The lemmas in this section are used to show that the relation after exchange represents a spanning tree. The results in the next section are used to show that it is a minimum spanning tree.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ exchange-injective-3:
 assumes e \leq v * -v^T
     and vector v
   shows (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * e^T = bot
proof -
 have 1: top * e \leq -v^T
   by (simp\ add: assms\ schroeder-4-p\ vTeT)
 have top * e \leq top * e * w^{T*}
   using sup-right-divisibility star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint by blast
  hence top * e \leq -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T*}
   using 1 by simp
 hence top * e \leq -(w \sqcap -prim-EP w v e)
   by (metis inf.assoc inf-import-p le-infI2 p-antitone p-antitone-iff)
 hence (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * e^T \leq bot
   using p-top schroeder-4-p by blast
 thus ?thesis
   using le-bot by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma exchange-injective-6:
 assumes arc e
     and forest w
   \mathbf{shows} \ (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e^T = bot
proof -
 have e^{T} * top * e < --1
   by (simp add: assms(1) p-antitone p-antitone-iff point-injective)
  hence 1: e * -1 * e^T \leq bot
   by (metis conv-involutive p-top triple-schroeder-p)
 have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e^T \le (w \sqcap top * e * w^{T\star})^T * e^T
   \mathbf{using}\ comp\text{-}inf.mult\text{-}left\text{-}isotone\ conv\text{-}dist\text{-}inf\ mult\text{-}left\text{-}isotone\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
  also have ... = (w^T \sqcap w^{T \star T} * e^T * top) * e^T
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf)
  also have ... = w^* * e^T * top \sqcap w^T * e^T
   by (simp add: conv-star-commute inf-vector-comp)
  also have ... < (w^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top * e) * (e^T \sqcap w^+ * e^T * top)
```

```
by (metis dedekind mult-assoc conv-involutive inf-commute)
  also have ... \leq (w^* * e^T * top * e) * (w^+ * e^T * top)
   by (simp add: mult-isotone)
  also have ... \leq (top * e) * (w^{+} * e^{T} * top)
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
 also have ... = top * e * w^+ * e^T * top
   using mult-assoc by simp
 also have \dots \leq top * e * -1 * e^T * top
   using assms(2) mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone by simp
 also have ... \leq bot
   using 1 by (metis le-bot semiring.mult-not-zero mult-assoc)
 finally show ?thesis
   using le-bot by simp
qed
    The graph after exchanging is injective.
lemma exchange-injective:
 assumes arc e
     and e \leq v * -v^T
     and forest w
     and vector v
   shows injective (prim-W \ w \ v \ e)
proof -
 have 1: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^T \le 1
   have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^T \le w * w^T
     by (simp add: comp-isotone conv-isotone)
   also have \dots \leq 1
     by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
   finally show ?thesis
 \mathbf{qed}
 have 2: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{TT} \leq 1
   have top * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T = top * (w^T \ \sqcap \ -v * \ -v^T \ \sqcap \ w^{T \star T} * \ e^T * \ top)
     by (simp add: comp-associative conv-complement conv-dist-comp
conv-dist-inf)
   also have ... = top * e * w^{T*} * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T)
     by (metis comp-inf-vector conv-dist-comp conv-involutive inf-top-left
mult-assoc)
   also have ... < top * e * w^{T*} * (w^T \sqcap top * -v^T)
     using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone by
simp
   also have ... = top * e * w^{T\star} * w^T \sqcap -v^T
   by (metis\ assms(4)\ comp\text{-}inf\text{-}covector\ vector\text{-}conv\text{-}compl) also have \dots \leq -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T\star}
     by (simp add: comp-associative comp-isotone inf.coboundedI1
star.circ-plus-same star.left-plus-below-circ)
   finally have top * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \le -(w \sqcap -prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)
```

```
by (metis inf.assoc inf-import-p le-infl2 p-antitone p-antitone-iff)
        hence (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{TT} \leq bot
            using p-top schroeder-4-p by blast
        thus ?thesis
            by (simp add: bot-unique)
    qed
    have 3: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * e^T \le 1
        by (metis assms(2,4) exchange-injective-3 bot-least)
    have 4: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^T \le 1
        \mathbf{using} \ 2 \ conv\text{-}dist\text{-}comp \ coreflexive-symmetric} \ \mathbf{by} \ fastforce
    have 5: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{TT} \le 1
       have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{TT} \le (top * e * w^{T*})^T * (top * e * w^{T*})^T 
            by (simp add: conv-dist-inf mult-isotone)
       also have ... = w^* * e^T * top * top * e * w^{T*}
            using conv-star-commute conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top mult-assoc
by presburger
        also have ... = w^* * e^T * top * e * w^{T*}
           by (simp add: comp-associative)
        also have ... \leq w^* * 1 * w^{T*}
            by (metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone mult-assoc assms(1)
point-injective)
        finally have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{TT} \le w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap w^T * w
            by (simp add: conv-isotone inf.left-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-commute
mult-isotone)
        also have \dots \leq 1
           by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ forest-separate)
        finally show ?thesis
    qed
    have 6: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e^T < 1
        using assms exchange-injective-6 bot-least by simp
    have 7: e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^T \le 1
        using 3 by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive coreflexive-symmetric)
    have 8: e * (prim-P w v e)^{TT} \le 1
        using 6 conv-dist-comp coreflexive-symmetric by fastforce
    have g: e * e^T \leq 1
        by (simp add: assms(1) arc-injective)
    have (prim - W w v e) * (prim - W w v e)^T = (w \sqcap -(prim - EP w v e)) * (w \sqcap e)^T
 \begin{array}{c} -(prim\text{-}EP\ w\ v\ e))^T\ \sqcup\ (w\ \sqcap\ -(prim\text{-}EP\ w\ v\ e))\ *\ (prim\text{-}P\ w\ v\ e)^{TT}\ \sqcup\ (w\ \sqcap\ -(prim\text{-}EP\ w\ v\ e))\ *\ (prim\text{-}P\ w\ v\ e))^T\ \sqcup\ (prim\text{-}P\ w\ v\ e))^T\ \sqcup\ (prim\text{-}P\ w\ v\ e)^T\ *\ (prim\text{-}P\ w\ e)^T\ *\ (prim\text{-}P\ w
 (prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{T} \sqcup e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{TT} \sqcup e * e^{T}
        using comp-left-dist-sup comp-right-dist-sup conv-dist-sup sup.assoc by simp
    also have \dots \leq 1
        using 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 by simp
    finally show ?thesis
```

```
qed
lemma pv:
 assumes vector v
   shows (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * v = bot
 have (prim - P \ w \ v \ e)^T * v \le (-v * -v^T)^T * v
   by (meson conv-isotone inf-le1 inf-le2 mult-left-isotone order-trans)
 also have \dots = -v * -v^T * v
   by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp)
 also have \dots = bot
   by (simp add: assms covector-vector-comp mult-assoc)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: order.antisym)
qed
lemma vector-pred-inv:
 assumes arc e
     and e \leq v * -v^T
     and forest w
     and vector v
     and w * v \leq v
   shows (prim - W w v e) * (v \sqcup e^T * top) \le v \sqcup e^T * top)
proof -
  have (prim\text{-}W \ w \ v \ e) * e^T * top = (w \sqcap -(prim\text{-}EP \ w \ v \ e)) * e^T * top \sqcup
(prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e^T * top \sqcup e * e^T * top
   by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
 also have ... = e * e^T * top
  \mathbf{using} \ assms \ exchange-injective-3 \ exchange-injective-6 \ comp\text{-}left\text{-}zero \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 also have \dots \leq v * -v^T * e^T * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ comp\text{-}isotone)
 also have ... \le v * top
   by (simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots = v
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(4))
 finally have 1: (prim - W w v e) * e^T * top \le v
 have (prim-W w v e) * v = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP w v e)) * v \sqcup (prim-P w v e)^T * v
\sqcup e * v
   by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
 also have \dots = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * v
   by (metis\ assms(2,4)\ pv\ ev\ sup-bot-right)
 also have \dots \leq w * v
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
 finally have 2: (prim-W \ w \ v \ e) * v \le v
```

have $(prim - W w v e) * (v \sqcup e^T * top) = (prim - W w v e) * v \sqcup (prim - W w v e)$

using assms(5) order-trans by blast

by (simp add: semiring.distrib-left mult-assoc)

 $* e^T * top$

```
also have \dots \leq v
   using 1\ 2 by simp
 also have ... \leq v \sqcup e^T * top
   by simp
 finally show ?thesis
\mathbf{qed}
    The graph after exchanging is acyclic.
lemma exchange-acyclic:
 assumes vector v
     and e \leq v * -v^T
     and w * v \leq v
     and acyclic w
   shows acyclic (prim-W w v e)
proof -
 have 1: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e = bot
 proof -
   have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e \leq (-v * -v^T)^T * e
     by (meson conv-order dual-order.trans inf.cobounded1 inf.cobounded2
mult-left-isotone)
   also have ... = -v * -v^T * e
     by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp)
   also have \dots \leq -v * -v^T * v * -v^T
     by (simp add: assms(2) comp-associative mult-right-isotone)
   also have \dots = bot
     by (simp add: assms(1) covector-vector-comp mult-assoc)
   finally show ?thesis
     by (simp add: bot-unique)
  qed
 have 2: e * e = bot
   using assms(1,2) ee by auto
 have 3: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T = bot
   have top * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e) \le top * (-v * -v^T \ \sqcap \ top * \ e * \ w^{T\star})
     using comp-inf.mult-semi-associative mult-right-isotone by auto
   also have ... \leq top * -v * -v^T \sqcap top * top * e * w^{T\star}
     \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{comp-inf-covector}\ \mathit{mult-assoc})
   also have ... \leq top * -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T\star}
     using mult-left-isotone top.extremum inf-mono by presburger
   also have ... = -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T*}
     by (simp add: assms(1) vector-conv-compl)
   finally have top * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e) \le -(w \sqcap -prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)
     by (metis inf.assoc inf-import-p le-infI2 p-antitone p-antitone-iff)
   hence (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \le bot
     using p-top schroeder-4-p by blast
   thus ?thesis
     using bot-unique by blast
 qed
```

```
hence 4: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} = w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)
   using star-absorb by blast
 hence 5: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T*})^+
   by (metis star-plus mult-assoc)
 hence 6: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T*})^*
(e)^{+} \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}
   by (metis star.circ-loop-fixpoint mult-assoc)
 have 7: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e \le v * top
 proof -
   have e \leq v * top
     using assms(2) dual-order.trans mult-right-isotone top-greatest by blast
   hence 8: e \sqcup w * v * top \leq v * top
     by (simp\ add:\ assms(1,3)\ comp\mbox{-}associative)
   have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e \le w^+ * e
     by (simp add: comp-isotone star-isotone)
   also have \dots \leq w^{\star} * e
     by (simp add: mult-left-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ)
   also have ... \le v * top
     using 8 by (simp add: comp-associative star-left-induct)
   finally show ?thesis
 qed
 have 9: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e = bot
 proof -
   have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e \le (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * v
     using 7 by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
   also have \dots = bot
     by (simp\ add:\ assms(1)\ pv)
   finally show ?thesis
     using bot-unique by blast
 qed
 have 10: e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{+} * e = bot
 proof -
   have e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e < e * v * top
     using 7 by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
   also have ... \langle v * -v^T * v * top \rangle
     by (simp add: assms(2) mult-left-isotone)
   also have \dots = bot
     by (simp add: assms(1) covector-vector-comp mult-assoc)
   finally show ?thesis
     using bot-unique by blast
 qed
 have 11: e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \le v * -v^T
 proof -
   have 12: -v^T * w < -v^T
     by (metis assms(3) conv-complement order-lesseq-imp pp-increasing
schroeder-6-p)
```

```
have v * -v^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \leq v * -v^T * w
               by (simp add: comp-isotone star-isotone)
          also have \dots \leq v * -v^T
               using 12 by (simp add: comp-isotone comp-associative)
          finally have 13: v * -v^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \leq v * -v^T
         have 14: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \le -v * -v^T
               by (metis conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order inf-le1
inf-le2 order-trans)
          have e * (prim - P w v e)^{T \star} \le v * -v^{T} * (prim - P w v e)^{T \star}
               \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ mult-left-isotone)
          also have ... = v * -v^{T} \sqcup v * -v^{T} * (prim - P w v e)^{T+}
               by (metis mult-assoc star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star-plus sup-commute)
          also have ... = v * -v^T \sqcup v * -v^T * (prim-P w v e)^{T*} * (prim-P w v e)^T
               by (simp add: mult-assoc star-plus)
          also have ... \leq v * -v^T \sqcup v * -v^{T^*} * (prim-P w v e)^{T*} * -v * -v^T
               using 14 mult-assoc mult-right-isotone sup-right-isotone by simp
          also have ... \leq v * -v^T \sqcup v * top * -v^T
               by (metis top-greatest mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-assoc
sup-right-isotone)
         also have ... = v * -v^T
               by (simp \ add: \ assms(1))
          finally have e * (prim - P w v e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap - (prim - EP w v e))^* \le v * -v^T *
(w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^*
               by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
          also have \dots \leq v * -v^T
               using 13 by (simp add: star-right-induct-mult)
         finally show ?thesis
     qed
    have 15: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^+ * (prim-P \ w \ e)^+ * (prim-P
(e)^* \le -1
     proof -
          have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star})^+
(e)^* = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^*
               using 5 by simp
          also have ... = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+
               \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ mult-assoc\ star.circ-transitive-equal)
          also have \dots \leq w^+
               by (simp add: comp-isotone star-isotone)
          finally show ?thesis
               using assms(4) by simp
    have 16: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (prim-P \ w \ e)^{T*} * (prim-
\sqcap -(prim - EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \leq -1
     proof -
          have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} \leq (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T+})^{T+} \leq (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} \leq (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T+})^{T+}
(e))<sup>+</sup> * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}
               by (simp add: mult-right-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ)
```

```
also have ... = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+
                using 5 by simp
           also have \dots \leq w^+
                by (simp add: comp-isotone star-isotone)
           finally have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} < -1
                using assms(4) by simp
           hence 17: (prim - P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} * (w \sqcap - (prim - EP \ w \ v \ e))^{+} \leq -1
                by (simp add: comp-commute-below-diversity)
           have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} \leq w^{T+}
                by (simp add: comp-isotone conv-dist-inf inf.left-commute
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute star-isotone)
          also have ... = w^{+T}
                by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute star-plus)
           also have \dots \leq -1
                using assms(4) conv-complement conv-isotone by force
           finally have 18: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} < -1
           have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^*)
-(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w \sqcap -(prim-P \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ v \ e)^T + ((w 
(w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star}
                using 6 by (simp add: comp-associative)
           also have ... = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ 
-(prim-EP\ w\ v\ e))^* \sqcup (prim-P\ w\ v\ e)^{T+} * (w\ \sqcap\ -(prim-EP\ w\ v\ e))^*
                by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup)
           also have ... = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^+
(e)^{T+} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^*
                by (simp add: mult-assoc star.circ-transitive-equal)
           also have ... = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)
(e)^{T+} * (1 \sqcup (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{+})
                using star-left-unfold-equal by simp
           also have ... = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^+
(e)^{T+} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{+} \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+}
                \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{mult-left-dist-sup}\ \mathit{sup.left-commute}\ \mathit{sup-commute})
           also have ... = ((prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+}) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T+})
(e))<sup>+</sup> \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+}
                by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
           also have ... = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{+} \sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T+}
e)^{T+}
                using star.circ-mult-increasing by (simp add: le-iff-sup)
           also have \dots \leq -1
                using 17 18 by simp
          finally show ?thesis
     have 19: e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T*})^*
(w \ v \ e))^* \le -1
     proof -
           have e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T*})^*
(v \ e)^* = e * ((w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ \ \sqcup \ (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ \sqcap \ -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * (w \ n \ e)^{T\star})
```

```
w \ v \ e))^*
           using 6 by (simp add: mult-assoc)
       also have ... = e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \sqcup e
* (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star}
           by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup)
       also have ... = e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP w v e))^+ \sqcup e * (prim-P w v e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap e)^{T*}
-(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^*
           by (simp add: mult-assoc star.circ-transitive-equal)
       also have ... \leq e * (prim-P w v e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP w v e))^{+} \sqcup e *
(prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star}
           by (metis mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right semiring.add-right-mono
star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint)
       also have ... \leq e * (prim - P w v e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap - (prim - EP w v e))^*
           using mult-right-isotone star.left-plus-below-circ by auto
       also have \dots \leq v * -v^T
           using 11 by simp
       also have \dots \leq -1
           by (simp add: pp-increasing schroeder-3-p)
       finally show ?thesis
   qed
   have 20: (prim-W \ w \ v \ e) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w)
\sqcap -(prim - EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \leq -1
        using 15 16 19 by (simp add: comp-right-dist-sup)
   have 21: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ 
(w \ v \ e))^* \le -1
   proof -
       have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * v * -v^T \le w * v * -v^T
           by (simp add: comp-isotone star-isotone)
       also have ... \leq v * -v^T
           by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ mult-left-isotone)
       finally have 22: (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) * v * -v^T \le v * -v^T
       have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star})^+
(v \ e))^* \le (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * v * -v^T
           using 11 by (simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc)
       also have ... \leq (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * v * -v^T
           \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{mult-left-isotone} \ \mathit{star.left-plus-below-circ} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
       also have \dots \leq v * -v^T
           using 22 by (simp add: star-left-induct-mult mult-assoc)
       also have \dots \leq -1
           by (simp add: pp-increasing schroeder-3-p)
       finally show ?thesis
   qed
   have 23: (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} *
(w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \leq -1
   proof -
       have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * e = (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * e
```

```
\sqcup (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^+ * e
            using comp-left-dist-sup mult-assoc star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute by
auto
        also have \dots = bot
            using 1 9 by simp
        finally show ?thesis
            by simp
    qed
    have 24: e * (w \sqcap -(prim - EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * e * (prim - P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap e)^{T
-(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \le -1
    proof -
        have e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP w v e))^* * e = e * e \sqcup e * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP w v e))^*)^*
            using comp-left-dist-sup mult-assoc star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute by
auto
        also have \dots = bot
            using 2 10 by simp
        finally show ?thesis
            by simp
    qed
    have 25: (prim-W \ w \ v \ e) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} *
(w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \leq -1
        using 21 23 24 by (simp add: comp-right-dist-sup)
    have (prim-W \ w \ v \ e)^* = ((prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \ \sqcup \ e)^* * ((w \ \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) *
((prim - P \ w \ v \ e)^T \sqcup e)^{\star})^{\star}
        by (metis star-sup-1 sup.left-commute sup-commute)
    also have ... = ((prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} \sqcup e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ v \ v \ e)^{T\star}))
(v \ v \ e) * ((prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} \sqcup e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}))^{\star}
        using 1 2 star-separate by auto
   also have ... = ((prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} \sqcup e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}) * ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ v \ v \ e)^{T\star}))
(w \ v \ e)) * (1 \sqcup e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T \star}))^{\star}
        using 4 mult-left-dist-sup by auto
    also have ... = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * ((prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} \sqcup e * (prim-P \ w
(v e)^{T\star}) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star}
        using 3 9 10 star-separate-2 by blast
    also have ... = (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap e)^{T*}
-(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \sqcup (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*} * (w \sqcap e)^{T*}
-(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^*
        by (simp add: semiring.distrib-left semiring.distrib-right mult-assoc)
    finally have (prim-W w v e)^+ = (prim-W w v e) * ((w \sqcap -(prim-EP w v e))^*
* (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star} \sqcup (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star} * e
* (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star})
        by simp
   also have ... = (prim-W \ w \ v \ e) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T*}
* (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* \sqcup (prim-W \ w \ v \ e) * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^* * e *
(prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star} * (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e))^{\star}
        by (simp add: comp-left-dist-sup comp-associative)
    also have \dots \leq -1
        using 20 \ 25 by simp
```

```
 \begin{array}{c} \textbf{finally show} \ \textit{?thesis} \\ \textbf{.} \\ \textbf{qed} \end{array}
```

The following lemma shows that an edge across the cut between visited nodes and unvisited nodes does not leave the component of visited nodes.

```
lemma mst-subgraph-inv:
 assumes e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap g
     and t \leq g
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
   shows e \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g
proof -
 have e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap g
   by (rule\ assms(1))
 also have ... \leq v * (-v^T \sqcap v^T * g) \sqcap g
   by (simp add: dedekind-1)
 also have \dots \leq v * v^T * g \sqcap g
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-right-isotone inf-commute le-inf12)
  also have ... = v * (r^T * t^*) * g \sqcap g
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(3))
 also have ... = (r^T * t^{\star})^T * (r^T * t^{\star}) * g \sqcap g
   by (metis\ assms(3)\ conv-involutive)
 also have ... < (r^T * t^*)^T * (r^T * q^*) * q \sqcap q
   using assms(2) comp-inf.mult-left-isotone comp-isotone star-isotone by auto
 also have \dots \leq (r^T * t^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g
   \mathbf{using}\ inf. sup-right-isotone\ inf-commute\ mult-assoc\ mult-right-isotone
star.left-plus-below-circ star-plus by presburger
 also have ... \leq (r^T * g^*)^T * (r^T * g^*) \sqcap g
   using assms(2) comp-inf.mult-left-isotone conv-dist-comp conv-isotone
mult-left-isotone star-isotone by auto
 finally show ?thesis
qed
```

The following lemmas show that the tree after exchanging contains the currently constructed and tree and its extension by the chosen edge.

```
lemma mst-extends-old-tree:

assumes t \leq w

and t \leq v * v^T

and vector v

shows t \leq prim-W w v e

proof —

have t \sqcap prim-EP w v e \leq t \sqcap -v^T

by (simp \ add: \ inf.coboundedI2 \ inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc)

also have ... \leq v * v^T \sqcap -v^T

by (simp \ add: \ assms(2) \ inf.coboundedI1)

also have ... \leq bot

by (simp \ add: \ assms(3) \ covector\text{-}vector\text{-}comp \ eq\text{-}refl \ schroeder\text{-}2)

finally have t \leq -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)
```

```
using le-bot pseudo-complement by blast
 hence t \leq w \sqcap -(prim - EP \ w \ v \ e)
   using assms(1) by simp
  thus ?thesis
   using le-supI1 by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma mst-extends-new-tree:
  t \leq w \Longrightarrow t \leq v * v^T \Longrightarrow vector v \Longrightarrow t \sqcup e \leq prim-W w v e
 using mst-extends-old-tree by auto
    Lemmas forests-bot-1, forests-bot-2, forests-bot-3 and fc-comp-eq-fc were
contributed by Nicolas Robinson-O'Brien.
lemma forests-bot-1:
 assumes equivalence e
     and forest f
   shows (-e \sqcap f) * (e \sqcap f)^T = bot
proof -
 have f * f^T \leq e
   using assms dual-order.trans by blast
 hence f * (e \sqcap f)^T \leq e
   by (metis conv-dist-inf inf.boundedE inf.cobounded2 inf.orderE
mult-right-isotone)
 hence -e \sqcap f * (e \sqcap f)^T = bot
   by (simp add: p-antitone pseudo-complement)
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis assms(1) comp-isotone conv-dist-inf
equivalence-comp-right-complement inf.boundedI inf.cobounded1 inf.cobounded2
le-bot)
qed
lemma forests-bot-2:
 assumes equivalence e
     and forest f
   \mathbf{shows} (-e \sqcap f^T) * x \sqcap (e \sqcap f^T) * y = bot
proof -
 have (-e \sqcap f) * (e \sqcap f^T) = bot
   using assms forests-bot-1 conv-dist-inf by simp
 thus ?thesis
   by (smt\ assms(1)\ comp-associative\ comp-inf.semiring.mult-not-zero
conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive dedekind-1
inf.cobounded2 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute le-bot mult-right-zero p-antitone-iff
pseudo-complement semiring.mult-not-zero symmetric-top-closed top.extremum)
qed
lemma forests-bot-3:
 assumes equivalence e
     and forest f
   shows x * (-e \sqcap f) \sqcap y * (e \sqcap f) = bot
```

```
proof -
    have (e \sqcap f) * (-e \sqcap f^T) = bot
       using assms forests-bot-1 conv-dist-inf conv-complement by (smt
conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order coreflexive-bot-closed
coreflexive-symmetric)
    hence y * (e \sqcap f) * (-e \sqcap f^T) = bot
       by (simp add: comp-associative)
    hence 1: x \sqcap y * (e \sqcap f) * (-e \sqcap f^T) = bot
        using comp-inf.semiring.mult-not-zero by blast
    hence (x \sqcap y * (e \sqcap f) * (-e \sqcap f^T)) * (-e \sqcap f) = bot
        using semiring.mult-not-zero by blast
    hence x * (-e \sqcap f^T)^T \sqcap y * (e \sqcap f) = bot
       using 1 dedekind-2 inf-commute schroeder-2 by auto
    thus ?thesis
       by (simp add: assms(1) conv-complement conv-dist-inf)
qed
lemma acyclic-plus:
    acyclic x \Longrightarrow acyclic (x^+)
    by (simp add: star.circ-transitive-equal star.left-plus-circ mult-assoc)
end
         We finally add the Kleene star to Stone relation algebras. Kleene star and
the relational operations are reasonably independent. The only additional
axiom we need in the generalisation to Stone-Kleene relation algebras is that
star distributes over double complement.
{\bf class}\ stone-kleene-relation-algebra = stone-relation-algebra + pd\text{-}kleene-allegory + pd\text{-}kl
    assumes pp\text{-}dist\text{-}star: --(x^*) = (--x)^*
begin
{f lemma}\ reachable	ext{-}without	ext{-}loops:
    x^* = (x \sqcap -1)^*
proof (rule order.antisym)
    have x * (x \sqcap -1)^* = (x \sqcap 1) * (x \sqcap -1)^* \sqcup (x \sqcap -1) * (x \sqcap -1)^*
       by (metis maddux-3-11-pp mult-right-dist-sup regular-one-closed)
    also have ... \leq (x \sqcap -1)^*
       by (metis inf.cobounded2 le-supI mult-left-isotone star.circ-circ-mult
star.left-plus-below-circ star-involutive star-one)
    finally show x^* \leq (x \sqcap -1)^*
       by (metis inf.cobounded2 maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed
star.circ-circ-mult star.circ-sup-2 star-involutive star-sub-one)
    show (x \sqcap -1)^* \leq x^*
       by (simp add: star-isotone)
{f lemma}\ plus-reachable-without-loops:
   x^+ = (x \sqcap -1)^+ \sqcup (x \sqcap 1)
```

```
by (metis comp-associative maddux-3-11-pp regular-one-closed
star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint\ star.circ-loop-fixpoint\ sup-assoc
reachable-without-loops)
lemma star-plus-without-loops:
 x^* \sqcap -1 = x^+ \sqcap -1
 by (metis maddux-3-13 star-left-unfold-equal)
lemma regular-closed-star:
  regular x \Longrightarrow regular (x^*)
 by (simp add: pp-dist-star)
lemma components-idempotent:
```

```
components (components x) = components x
using pp-dist-star star-involutive by auto
```

```
lemma fc-comp-eq-fc:
```

```
-forest-components (--f) = -forest-components f
by (metis conv-complement p-comp-pp p-pp-comp pp-dist-star)
```

The following lemma shows that the nodes reachable in the tree after exchange contain the nodes reachable in the tree before exchange.

```
lemma mst-reachable-inv:
  assumes regular (prim-EP w v e)
     and vector r
     and e \leq v * -v^T
     and vector v
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
     and t \leq w
     and t \leq v * v^T
     and w * v \leq v
   shows r^T * w^* \le r^T * (prim - W w v e)^*
proof -
 have 1: r^T \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^*
   using sup.bounded-iff star.circ-back-loop-prefixpoint by blast
 have top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* * w^T \sqcap -v^T = top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^*
-v^T
   by (simp add: assms(4) covector-comp-inf vector-conv-compl)
 also have \dots \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^*
   by (simp add: comp-isotone mult-assoc star.circ-plus-same
star.left-plus-below-circ)
 finally have 2: top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* * w^T \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T
   bv (simp add: shunting-var-p)
 have 3: --v^T * w^T \le top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T
   by (metis assms(8) conv-dist-comp conv-order mult-assoc order.trans
pp-comp-semi-commute pp-isotone sup.coboundedI1 sup-commute)
 have 4: top * e \le top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T
   using sup-right-divisibility star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint le-supI1 by blast
 have (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T) * w^T = top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* * w^T \sqcup
```

```
--v^T * w^T
           by (simp add: comp-right-dist-sup)
      also have \dots \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T
           using 2 3 by simp
      finally have top * e \sqcup (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T) * w^T < top * e *
(w^T \sqcap -v^T)^* \sqcup --v^T
            using 4 by simp
      hence 5: top * e * w^{T*} \le top * e * (w^{T} \sqcap -v^{T})^{*} \sqcup --v^{T}
           by (simp add: star-right-induct)
      have 6: top * e \le top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*
           using sup-right-divisibility star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint by blast
      have (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*)^T \le (top * e * w^{T*})^T
           by (simp add: star-isotone mult-right-isotone conv-isotone inf-assoc)
      also have ... = w^* * e^T * top
           by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute mult-assoc)
      finally have 7: (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*)^T < w^* * e^T *
     have (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*)^T < (top * e * (-v * e^T * top)^*)^T
 -v^T)^*)^T
           by (simp add: conv-isotone inf-commute mult-right-isotone star-isotone
le-infI2)
      also have ... \leq (top * v * -v^T * (-v * -v^T)^*)^T
           by (metis assms(3) conv-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone
mult-assoc)
      also have ... = (top * v * (-v^T * -v)^* * -v^T)^T
           by (simp add: mult-assoc star-slide)
      also have ... < (top * -v^T)^T
           using conv-order mult-left-isotone by auto
      also have \dots = -v
           by (simp add: assms(4) conv-complement vector-conv-compl)
      finally have 8: (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*)^T < w^* * e^T *
top \sqcap -v
           using 7 by simp
      have covector (top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*)
           by (simp add: covector-mult-closed)
     hence top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T) = top * e *
\stackrel{\cdot}{\sqcap} w^* * e^T * top)^*)^T)
           by (metis comp-inf-vector-1 inf.idem)
      also have ... \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v^T \sqcap v^T \sqcap v^T \cap w^T 
w^{\star} * e^{T} * top \sqcap -v
           using 8 mult-right-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone inf-assoc by simp
      also have ... = top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap (-v \sqcap v)^T)
-v^T) \sqcap w^* * e^T * top
           using inf-assoc inf-commute by (simp add: inf-assoc)
      also have ... = top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap -v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash v * top)^* * (w^T \vdash v * e^T \vdash 
-v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top
           using assms(4) conv-complement vector-complement-closed vector-covector by
```

```
fast force
    also have ... \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*
        \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}associative\ comp\text{-}isotone\ star.circ\text{-}plus\text{-}same
star.left-plus-below-circ)
    finally have g: top * e \sqcup top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^* * (w^T \sqcap v^* + v^T \sqcap v^* + v^T \mid v^* \mid
(1 - v^T) \le top * e * (w^T \cap -v * -v^T \cap w^* * e^T * top)^*
        using 6 by simp
    have prim-EP w \ v \ e \leq -v^T \ \sqcap \ top * e * w^{T\star}
        using inf.sup-left-isotone by auto
    also have ... \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v^T)^*
        using 5 by (metis inf-commute shunting-var-p)
    also have \dots \leq top * e * (w^T \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^*
        using 9 by (simp add: star-right-induct)
    finally have 10: prim-EP w \ v \ e \leq top * e * (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^{T\star}
        by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf
conv-star-commute mult-assoc)
    have top * e = top * (v * -v^T \sqcap e)
        by (simp add: assms(3) inf.absorb2)
    also have ... \leq top * (v * top \sqcap e)
        using inf.sup-right-isotone inf-commute mult-right-isotone top-greatest by
presburger
    also have ... = (top \sqcap (v * top)^T) * e
        using assms(4) covector-inf-comp-3 by presburger
    also have ... = top * v^T * e
        by (simp add: conv-dist-comp)
    also have ... = top * r^T * t^* * e
        by (simp\ add:\ assms(5)\ comp\-associative)
    also have ... \leq top * r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * e
        by (metis\ assms(4,6,7)\ mst-extends-old-tree\ star-isotone\ mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone)
    finally have 11: top * e \leq top * r^T * (prim-W w v e)^* * e
    have r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (prim - EP w v e) \le r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (top)^*
* e * (prim - P w v e)^{T \star})
        using 10 mult-right-isotone by blast
    also have ... = r^T * (prim-W w v e)^* * top * e * (prim-P w v e)^{T*}
        by (simp add: mult-assoc)
    also have ... \leq top * e * (prim-P w v e)^{T*}
        by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf.idem
\begin{array}{l} \textit{inf.sup-right-divisibility}) \\ \textbf{also have} \ ... \le \textit{top} * r^T * (\textit{prim-W} \ \textit{w} \ \textit{v} \ e)^\star * e * (\textit{prim-P} \ \textit{w} \ \textit{v} \ e)^{T\star} \end{array}
        using 11 by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
    also have ... = r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * e * (prim - P w v e)^{T*}
        using assms(2) vector-conv-covector by auto
    also have ... \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (prim - W w v e) * (prim - P w v e)^{T*}
        \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ mult-left-isotone\ mult-right-isotone)
    also have ... \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (prim - W w v e) * (prim - W w v e)^*
        by (meson dual-order.trans mult-right-isotone star-isotone sup-ge1 sup-ge2)
    also have ... \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^*
```

```
by (metis mult-assoc mult-right-isotone star.circ-transitive-equal
star.left-plus-below-circ)
 finally have 12: r^T * (prim-W w v e)^* * (prim-EP w v e) \le r^T * (prim-W w v e)^*
e)^*
 have r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * w \le r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (w \sqcup prim - EP w v e)
   by (simp add: inf-assoc)
 also have ... = r^T * (prim-W w v e)^* * ((w \sqcup prim-EP w v e) \sqcap (-(prim-EP w v e))^*)
v e) \sqcup prim-EP w v e))
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{assms}(1)\ \mathit{inf-top-right}\ \mathit{stone})
 also have ... = r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * ((w \sqcap -(prim - EP w v e)) \sqcup prim - EP w
   by (simp add: sup-inf-distrib2)
 also have ... = r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (w \sqcap -(prim - EP w v e)) \sqcup r^T *
(prim-W \ w \ v \ e)^* * (prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)
   by (simp add: comp-left-dist-sup)
  also have ... \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (prim - W w v e) \sqcup r^T * (prim - W w v e)
e)^* * (prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)
   using mult-right-isotone sup-left-isotone by auto
 also have ... \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* \sqcup r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * (prim - EP w v e)^*
   {\bf using} \ mult-assoc \ mult-right-isotone \ star.circ-plus-same
star.left-plus-below-circ sup-left-isotone by auto
 also have ... = r^T * (prim - W w v e)^*
   using 12 sup.absorb1 by blast
  finally have r^T \sqcup r^T * (prim - W w v e)^* * w \leq r^T * (prim - W w v e)^*
   using 1 by simp
 thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: star-right-induct)
qed
```

Some of the following lemmas already hold in pseudocomplemented distributive Kleene allegories.

4.1.3 Exchange gives Minimum Spanning Trees

The lemmas in this section are used to show that the after exchange we obtain a minimum spanning tree. The following lemmas show various interactions between the three constituents of the tree after exchange.

```
lemma epm-1: vector\ v \Longrightarrow prim\text{-}E\ w\ v\ e\ \sqcup\ prim\text{-}P\ w\ v\ e\ =\ prim\text{-}EP\ w\ v\ e by (metis inf-commute inf-sup-distrib1 mult-assoc mult-right-dist-sup regular-closed-p regular-complement-top vector-conv-compl) lemma epm-2:  \text{assumes}\ regular\ (prim\text{-}EP\ w\ v\ e)   \text{and}\ vector\ v   \text{shows}\ (w\ \sqcap\ -(prim\text{-}EP\ w\ v\ e))\ \sqcup\ prim\text{-}P\ w\ v\ e\ \sqcup\ prim\text{-}E\ w\ v\ e\ =\ w   \text{proof}\ -
```

```
have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcup prim-P \ w \ v \ e \sqcup prim-E \ w \ v \ e = (w \sqcap e)
-(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcup prim-EP \ w \ v \ e
   using epm-1 sup-assoc sup-commute assms(2) by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1)
  also have \dots = w \sqcup prim - EP w v e
   by (metis assms(1) inf-top.right-neutral regular-complement-top
sup-inf-distrib2)
 also have \dots = w
   by (simp add: sup-inf-distrib1)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
lemma epm-4:
 assumes e \leq w
     and injective w
     and w * v \leq v
     and e \le v * - v^T
   \mathbf{shows}\ top*e*w^{T+} \leq top*v^{T}
proof -
 have w^* * v \leq v
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ star-left-induct-mult)
 hence 1: v^T * w^{T\star} \leq v^T
   using conv-star-commute conv-dist-comp conv-isotone by fastforce
 have e^* * w^T \le w * w^T \sqcap e * w^T
   by (simp add: assms(1) mult-left-isotone)
 also have ... \leq 1 \sqcap e * w^T
   using assms(2) inf.sup-left-isotone by auto
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap w * e^T
   using calculation conv-dist-comp conv-involutive coreflexive-symmetric by
fast force
 also have \dots \leq w * e^T
   by simp
 also have \dots \leq w * -v * v^T
   by (metis assms(4) conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
conv-order mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... < top * v^T
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
 finally have top * e * w^{T+} \le top * v^T * w^{T*}
   by (metis order.antisym comp-associative comp-isotone dense-top-closed
mult\text{-}left\text{-}isotone\ transitive\text{-}top\text{-}closed)
 also have ... \leq top * v^T
   using 1 by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
lemma epm-5:
 assumes e \leq w
     and injective w
```

```
and w * v \leq v
     \mathbf{and}\ e \leq v * - v^T
     and vector v
   shows prim-P \ w \ v \ e = bot
proof -
 have 1: e = w \sqcap top * e
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1,2)\ epm-3)
 have 2: top * e * w^{T+} \leq top * v^{T}
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1-4)\ epm-4)
 have \beta: -v * -v^T \sqcap top * v^T = bot
   by (simp add: assms(5) comp-associative covector-vector-comp
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute schroeder-2)
 have prim-P w v e = (w \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap top * e) \sqcup (w \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap top * e *
   by (metis inf-sup-distrib1 mult-assoc star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star-plus
sup\text{-}commute)
 also have ... < (e \sqcap -v * -v^T) \sqcup (w \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T+})
   using 1 by (metis comp-inf.mult-semi-associative
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute semiring.add-right-mono)
 also have ... \leq (e \sqcap -v * -v^T) \sqcup (w \sqcap -v * -v^T \sqcap top * v^T)
   using 2 by (metis sup-right-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq (e \sqcap -v * -v^T) \sqcup (-v * -v^T \sqcap top * v^T)
   using inf.assoc le-infI2 by auto
 also have \dots \leq v * -v^T \sqcap -v * -v^T
   using 3 assms(4) inf.sup-left-isotone by auto
 also have ... \le v * top \sqcap -v * top
   using inf.sup-mono mult-right-isotone top-greatest by blast
 also have \dots = bot
   using assms(5) inf-compl-bot vector-complement-closed by auto
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
qed
lemma epm-6:
 assumes e \leq w
     and injective w
     and w * v \leq v
     \mathbf{and}\ e \leq v * - v^T
     and vector v
   shows prim-E w v e = e
proof -
 have 1: e \le --v * -v^T
   using assms(4) mult-isotone order-lesseq-imp pp-increasing by blast
 have 2: top * e * w^{T+} \leq top * v^{T}
   by (simp\ add: assms(1-4)\ epm-4)
 have 3: e = w \sqcap top * e
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1,2)\ epm-3)
 hence e \leq top * e * w^{T*}
   by (metis le-inf12 star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup.commute sup-ge1)
```

```
hence 4: e \leq prim-E w v e
    using 1 by (simp \ add: assms(1))
  have 5: --v * -v^T \sqcap top * v^T = bot
    by (simp add: assms(5) comp-associative covector-vector-comp
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute schroeder-2)
  have prim-E \ w \ v \ e = (w \ \sqcap \ --v \ * \ -v^T \ \sqcap \ top \ * \ e) \ \sqcup \ (w \ \sqcap \ --v \ * \ -v^T \ \sqcap \ top \ *
e * w^{T+}
    by (metis inf-sup-distrib1 mult-assoc star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint star-plus
sup-commute)
  also have ... \leq (e \sqcap --v * -v^T) \sqcup (w \sqcap --v * -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T+})
    using 3 by (metis comp-inf.mult-semi-associative
inf.sup-monoid.add-commute semiring.add-right-mono)
  also have ... \leq (e \sqcap --v * -v^T) \sqcup (w \sqcap --v * -v^T \sqcap top * v^T)
    using 2 by (metis sup-right-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone)
  also have ... \leq (e \sqcap --v * -v^T) \sqcup (--v * -v^T \sqcap top * v^T)
    using inf.assoc le-infI2 by auto
  also have \dots \leq e
    by (simp \ add: 5)
  finally show ?thesis
    using 4 by (simp add: order.antisym)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma epm-7:
  \textit{regular (prim-EP } \textit{w } \textit{v } \textit{e}) \Longrightarrow \textit{e} \leq \textit{w} \Longrightarrow \textit{injective } \textit{w} \Longrightarrow \textit{w} * \textit{v} \leq \textit{v} \Longrightarrow \textit{e} \leq \textit{v} *
-v^T \Longrightarrow vector \ v \Longrightarrow prim - W \ w \ v \ e = w
 by (metis conv-bot epm-2 epm-5 epm-6)
lemma epm-8:
  assumes acyclic w
   shows (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcap (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T = bot
  have (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcap (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T < w \sqcap w^T
    by (meson conv-isotone inf-le1 inf-mono order-trans)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis assms acyclic-asymmetric inf.commute le-bot)
qed
lemma epm-9:
 assumes e \leq v * -v^T
     and vector v
    shows (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcap e = bot
proof -
  have 1: e \leq -v^T
    by (metis assms complement-conv-sub vector-conv-covector ev p-antitone-iff
 have (w \sqcap -(prim - EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcap e = (w \sqcap --v^T \sqcap e) \sqcup (w \sqcap -(top * e * e))
w^{T\star}) \sqcap e)
    by (simp add: inf-commute inf-sup-distrib1)
  also have \dots \leq (--v^T \sqcap e) \sqcup (-(top * e * w^{T\star}) \sqcap e)
```

```
using comp-inf.mult-left-isotone inf.cobounded2 semiring.add-mono by blast
 also have ... = -(top * e * w^{T\star}) \sqcap e
   using 1 by (metis inf.sup-relative-same-increasing inf-commute
inf-sup-distrib1 maddux-3-13 regular-closed-p)
 also have \dots = bot
   by (metis inf.sup-relative-same-increasing inf-bot-right inf-commute inf-p
mult-left-isotone star-outer-increasing top-greatest)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma epm-10:
 assumes e \le v * -v^T
     and vector v
   shows (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \cap e = bot
proof -
 have (prim-P \ w \ v \ e)^T \le -v * -v^T
   by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf inf.absorb-iff1
inf.left-commute inf-commute)
 hence (\textit{prim-P} \ w \ v \ e)^T \ \sqcap \ e \leq -v * -v^T \ \sqcap \ v * -v^T
   using assms(1) inf-mono by blast
 also have \dots \leq -v * top \sqcap v * top
   using inf.sup-mono mult-right-isotone top-greatest by blast
 also have \dots = bot
   using assms(2) inf-compl-bot vector-complement-closed by auto
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
qed
lemma epm-11:
 assumes vector v
   shows (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcap prim-P \ w \ v \ e = bot
proof -
 have prim-P w v e \leq prim-EP w v e
   by (metis assms comp-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone
order.refl top-greatest vector-conv-compl)
 thus ?thesis
   using inf-le2 order-trans p-antitone pseudo-complement by blast
qed
lemma epm-12:
 assumes vector v
   shows (w \sqcap -(prim-EP \ w \ v \ e)) \sqcap prim-E \ w \ v \ e = bot
proof -
 have prim-E \ w \ v \ e \le prim-EP \ w \ v \ e
   by (metis assms comp-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone
order.refl top-greatest vector-conv-compl)
 thus ?thesis
   using inf-le2 order-trans p-antitone pseudo-complement by blast
```

```
qed
lemma epm-13:
 assumes vector v
   shows prim-P w v e \sqcap prim-E w v e = bot
proof -
 have prim-P w v e \sqcap prim-E w v e \leq -v * -v^T \sqcap --v * -v^T
   by (meson dual-order.trans inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-mono inf-le2)
 also have \dots \leq -v * top \sqcap --v * top
   using inf.sup-mono mult-right-isotone top-greatest by blast
 also have \dots = bot
   using assms inf-compl-bot vector-complement-closed by auto
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
qed
    The following lemmas show that the relation characterising the edge
across the cut is an arc.
lemma arc-edge-1:
 \textbf{assumes}\ e \leq v * - v^T \sqcap g
     \mathbf{and}\ vector\ v
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
    and t \leq g
and r^T * g^* \leq r^T * w^*
   shows top * e \leq v^T * w^*
proof -
 have top * e \leq top * (v * -v^T \sqcap g)
   using assms(1) mult-right-isotone by auto
 also have ... \leq top * (v * top \sqcap g)
   using inf.sup-right-isotone inf-commute mult-right-isotone top-greatest by
presburger
 also have \dots = v^T * g
   by (metis assms(2) covector-inf-comp-3 inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... = r^T * t^* * g
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
 also have \dots \leq r^T * g^* * g
by (simp\ add:\ assms(4)\ mult-left-isotone\ mult-right-isotone\ star-isotone)
  also have ... \leq r^T * g^*
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ mult-assoc\ mult-right-isotone\ star.right-plus-below-circ)
 also have ... \leq r^T * w^*
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(5))
 also have \dots \leq v^T * w^*
   by (metis\ assms(3)\ mult-left-isotone\ mult-right-isotone\ mult-1-right
star.circ-reflexive)
 finally show ?thesis
qed
lemma arc-edge-2:
```

```
assumes e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap g
     \mathbf{and}\ \mathit{vector}\ \mathit{v}
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
     \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{and} \ t \leq g \\ \mathbf{and} \ r^T * g^\star \leq r^T * w^\star \end{array}
     and w * v \leq v
     and injective w
    shows top * e * w^{T\star} \le v^T * w^{\star}
proof -
  have 1: top * e \leq v^T * w^*
    using assms(1-5) arc-edge-1 by blast
  have v^T * w^* * w^T = v^T * w^T \sqcup v^T * w^+ * w^T
    by (metis mult-assoc mult-left-dist-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute)
  also have \dots \leq v^T \sqcup v^T * w^+ * w^T
    by (metis assms(6) conv-dist-comp conv-isotone sup-left-isotone)
  also have ... = v^T \sqcup v^T * w^* * (w^* * w^T)
    by (metis mult-assoc star-plus)
  also have \dots \leq v^T \sqcup v^T * w^*
    by (metis assms(7) mult-right-isotone mult-1-right sup-right-isotone)
  also have ... = v^{T} * w^{\star}
    by (metis star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup-absorb2 sup-ge2)
  finally show ?thesis
    using 1 star-right-induct by auto
qed
lemma arc-edge-3:
  \mathbf{assumes}\ e \leq v * - v^T \sqcap g
     and vector v
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
     \begin{array}{l} \text{and} \ t \leq g \\ \text{and} \ r^T * g^\star \leq r^T * w^\star \end{array}
     and w * v \leq v
     and injective w
     and prim-E \ w \ v \ e = bot
    shows e = bot
proof -
  have bot = prim-E w v e
    by (simp\ add:\ assms(8))
  also have ... = w \sqcap --v * top \sqcap top * -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{T*}
    by (metis assms(2) comp-inf-covector inf.assoc inf-top.left-neutral
vector-conv-compl)
  also have ... = w \sqcap top * e * w^{T*} \sqcap -v^{T} \sqcap --v
    using assms(2) inf.assoc inf.commute vector-conv-compl
vector\text{-}complement\text{-}closed \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \textit{inf-assoc})
  finally have 1: w \sqcap top * e * w^{T*} \sqcap -v^{T} \leq -v
    using shunting-1-pp by force
 have w^* * e^T * top = (top * e * w^{T*})^T
    by (simp add: conv-star-commute comp-associative conv-dist-comp)
  also have \dots \leq (v^T * w^*)^T
```

```
using assms(1-7) arc-edge-2 by (simp add: conv-isotone)
 also have ... = w^{T\star} * v
   by (simp add: conv-star-commute conv-dist-comp)
 finally have 2: w^* * e^T * top \leq w^{T*} * v
 have (w^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top)^T * -v = (w \sqcap top * e * w^{T*}) * -v
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-star-commute mult-assoc)
 also have ... = (w \sqcap top * e * w^{T*} \sqcap -v^{T}) * top
   by (metis assms(2) conv-complement covector-inf-comp-3 inf-top.right-neutral
vector-complement-closed)
 also have ... \leq -v * top
   using 1 by (simp add: comp-isotone)
 also have \dots = -v
   using assms(2) vector-complement-closed by auto
 finally have (w^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top) * --v < --v
   using p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p by auto
 hence w^* * e^T * top \sqcap w^T * --v \leq --v
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon inf\text{-}vector\text{-}comp)
 hence 3: w^T * --v \le --v \sqcup -(w^* * e^T * top)
   by (simp add: inf.commute shunting-p)
 have w^T * -(w^* * e^T * top) \le -(w^* * e^T * top)
   by (metis mult-assoc p-antitone p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p
star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute sup-right-divisibility)
 also have \dots \leq --v \sqcup -(w^* * e^T * top)
   by simp
 finally have w^T * (--v \sqcup -(w^* * e^T * top)) \leq --v \sqcup -(w^* * e^T * top)
   using 3 by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
 hence w^{T*} * (--v \sqcup -(w^* * e^T * top)) \leq --v \sqcup -(w^* * e^T * top)
   using star-left-induct-mult-iff by blast
 hence w^{T\star} * --v \leq --v \sqcup -(w^{\star} * e^{T} * top)
   by (simp add: semiring.distrib-left)
 hence w^{\star} * e^{T} * top \sqcap w^{T \star} * --v \leq --v
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{inf-commute}\ \mathit{shunting-p})
 \mathbf{hence}\ w^{\star}\ *\ e^{T}\ *\ top \leq --v
   using 2 by (metis inf.absorb1 p-antitone-iff p-comp-pp vector-export-comp)
 hence 4: e^T * top < --v
   by (metis mult-assoc star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup.bounded-iff)
 have e^T * top \le (v * -v^T)^T * top
   using assms(1) comp-isotone conv-isotone by auto
 also have \dots \leq -v * top
   by (simp add: conv-complement conv-dist-comp mult-assoc mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots = -v
   using assms(2) vector-complement-closed by auto
 finally have e^T * top \leq bot
   using 4 shunting-1-pp by auto
 hence e^T = bot
   using order.antisym bot-least top-right-mult-increasing by blast
 thus ?thesis
   using conv-bot by fastforce
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma arc-edge-4:
 \textbf{assumes}\ e \leq v * - v^T \sqcap g
     and vector v
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
     \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{and} \ t \leq g \\ \mathbf{and} \ r^T * g^\star \leq r^T * w^\star \end{array}
   \mathbf{shows}\ top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e * top = top
proof -
 have --v^T * w = (--v^T * w \sqcap -v^T) \sqcup (--v^T * w \sqcap --v^T)
   by (simp add: maddux-3-11-pp)
 also have \dots \leq (--v^T * w \sqcap -v^T) \sqcup --v^T
   using sup-right-isotone by auto
  also have ... = --v^T * (w \sqcap -v^T) \sqcup --v^T
   using assms(2) covector-comp-inf covector-complement-closed
vector-conv-covector by auto
  also have \dots \leq --v^T*(w \sqcap -v^T)*w^* \sqcup --v^T
   by (metis star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint sup.cobounded2 sup-left-isotone)
  finally have 1: --v^T * w \leq --v^T * (w \sqcap -v^T) * w^* \sqcup --v^T
 have --v^T*(w\sqcap -v^T)*w^{\star}*w \leq --v^T*(w\sqcap -v^T)*w^{\star}\sqcup --v^T
   by (simp add: le-supI1 mult-assoc mult-right-isotone star.circ-plus-same
star.left-plus-below-circ)
 hence 2: (--v^T*(w \sqcap -v^T)*w^* \sqcup --v^T)*w \leq --v^T*(w \sqcap -v^T)*w^*
\sqcup --v^T
   using 1 by (simp add: inf.orderE mult-right-dist-sup)
 have v^T \le --v^T * (w \sqcap -v^T) * w^* \sqcup --v^T
   by (simp add: pp-increasing sup.coboundedI2)
  hence v^T * w^\star \le --v^T * (w \sqcap -v^T) * w^\star \sqcup --v^T
 using 2 by (simp add: star-right-induct) hence 3: -v^T \sqcap v^T * w^* \leq --v^T * (w \sqcap -v^T) * w^*
   by (metis inf-commute shunting-var-p)
 have top * e = top * e \sqcap v^T * w^*
   by (meson\ assms(1-5)\ arc\text{-}edge\text{-}1\ inf.orderE)
 also have ... \leq top * v * -v^T \sqcap v^T * w^*
   using assms(1) inf.sup-left-isotone mult-assoc mult-right-isotone by auto
  also have ... \leq top * -v^T \sqcap v^T * w^*
   using inf.sup-left-isotone mult-left-isotone top-greatest by blast
 also have ... = -v^T \sqcap v^T * w^*
   by (simp add: assms(2) vector-conv-compl)
 also have \dots \leq --v^T*(w \sqcap -v^T)*w^*
   using \beta by simp
 also have ... = (top \sqcap (--v)^T) * (w \sqcap -v^T) * w^*
   by (simp add: conv-complement)
  also have ... = top * (w \sqcap --v \sqcap -v^T) * w^*
   using assms(2) covector-inf-comp-3 inf-assoc inf-left-commute
vector-complement-closed by presburger
```

```
also have ... = top * (w \sqcap --v * -v^T) * w^*
   by (metis\ assms(2)\ vector-complement-closed\ conv-complement\ inf-assoc
vector-covector)
 finally have top * (e^T * top)^T \le top * (w \sqcap --v * -v^T) * w^*
   by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top mult-assoc top-mult-top)
 hence top \le top * (w \sqcap --v * -v^T) * w^* * (e^T * top)
   using assms(6) shunt-bijective by blast
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap --v * -v^T) * (top * e * w^{\star T})^T
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp mult-assoc)
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap --v * -v^T \sqcap top * e * w^{\star T}) * top
   by (simp add: comp-inf-vector-1 mult-assoc)
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp add: conv-star-commute top-le)
qed
lemma arc-edge-5:
 assumes vector v
     and w * v \leq v
     and injective w
     and arc e
   shows (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e \le 1
proof -
 have 1: e^T * top * e \leq 1
   by (simp add: assms(4) point-injective)
 have prim-E \ w \ v \ e \leq --v * top
   by (simp add: inf-commute le-infI2 mult-right-isotone)
 hence 2: prim-E \ w \ v \ e \leq --v
   by (simp add: assms(1) vector-complement-closed)
 have 3: w * --v \le --v
   by (simp add: assms(2) p-antitone p-antitone-iff)
 have w \sqcap top * prim-E w v e \leq w * (prim-E w v e)^T * prim-E w v e
   by (metis dedekind-2 inf.commute inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have \dots \leq w * w^T * prim-E w v e
   by (simp add: conv-isotone le-infI1 mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq prim - E w v e
   by (metis assms(3) mult-left-isotone mult-left-one)
 finally have 4: w \sqcap top * prim-E w v e \leq prim-E w v e
 have w^+ \sqcap top * prim - E w v e = w^* * (w \sqcap top * prim - E w v e)
   by (simp add: comp-inf-covector star-plus)
 also have \dots \leq w^* * prim-E w v e
   using 4 by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq --v
   using 2 3 star-left-induct sup.bounded-iff by blast
 finally have 5: w^+ \sqcap top * prim-E w v e \sqcap -v = bot
   using shunting-1-pp by blast
 hence 6: w^{+T} \sqcap (prim - E w v e)^T * top \sqcap -v^T = bot
   using conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-top conv-bot by
force
```

```
have (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e < (top * e * w^{T\star})^T * top * (top * e
* w^{T\star}
   by (simp add: conv-isotone mult-isotone)
  also have ... = w^* * e^T * top * e * w^{T*}
   by (metis conv-star-commute conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top
mult-assoc top-mult-top)
  also have \dots \leq w^* * w^{T*}
   using 1 by (metis mult-assoc mult-1-right mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone)
  also have ... = w^* \sqcup w^{T*}
   by (metis assms(3) cancel-separate order.eq-iff star.circ-sup-sub-sup-one-1
star.circ-plus-one star-involutive)
  also have ... = w^+ \sqcup w^{T+} \sqcup 1
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis star.circ-plus-one star-left-unfold-equal sup.assoc sup.commute})
  finally have 7: (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e < w^+ \sqcup w^{T+} \sqcup 1
  have prim-E \ w \ v \ e \leq --v * -v^T
   by (simp add: le-infI1)
  also have \dots \leq top * -v^T
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
  also have \dots = -v^T
   by (simp add: assms(1) vector-conv-compl)
  finally have 8: prim-E \ w \ v \ e \le -v^T
  hence 9: (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T \le -v
    by (metis conv-complement conv-involutive conv-isotone)
  have (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e = (w^+ \sqcup w^{T+} \sqcup 1) \sqcap (prim-E \ w \ v)
(e)^T * top * prim-E w v e
   using 7 by (simp add: inf.absorb-iff2)
  also have ... = (1 \sqcap (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e) \sqcup (w^+ \sqcap (prim-E \ w \ v \ e))
(w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e)
   using comp-inf.mult-right-dist-sup sup-assoc sup-commute by auto
  also have ... \leq 1 \sqcup (w^+ \sqcap (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap v)
(prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e)
   using inf-le1 sup-left-isotone by blast
  also have ... \leq 1 \sqcup (w^+ \sqcap (prim - E w v e)^T * top * prim - E w v e) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap v)
(prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * -v^T)
   using 8 inf.sup-right-isotone mult-right-isotone sup-right-isotone by blast
also have \dots \leq 1 \sqcup (w^+ \sqcap -v * top * prim-E w v e) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap (prim-E w v e)^T * top * -v^T)
    using 9 by (metis inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone sup.commute
sup-right-isotone)
  also have ... = 1 \sqcup (w^+ \sqcap -v * top \sqcap top * prim-E w v e) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap (prim-E \vee v e))
(w \ v \ e)^T * top \sqcap top * -v^T
   by (metis (no-types) vector-export-comp inf-top-right inf-assoc)
  also have ... = 1 \sqcup (w^+ \sqcap -v \sqcap top * prim-E w v e) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap (prim-E w v e))
e)^T * top \sqcap -v^T
    using assms(1) vector-complement-closed vector-conv-compl by auto
  also have \dots = 1
   using 5 6 by (simp add: conv-star-commute conv-dist-comp inf.commute
```

```
inf-assoc star.circ-plus-same)
   finally show ?thesis
qed
lemma arc-edge-6:
    assumes vector v
            and w * v \leq v
            and injective w
            and arc e
        shows prim-E w v e * top * (prim-E w v e)^T \le 1
    have prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T \le w * w^T
        using comp-isotone conv-order inf.coboundedI1 mult-one-associative by auto
    also have \dots < 1
        by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
    finally have 1: prim-E w v e * 1 * (prim-E w v e)^T \le 1
    have (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T * top * prim-E \ w \ v \ e \leq 1
       by (simp add: assms arc-edge-5)
    also have \dots \leq --1
        by (simp add: pp-increasing)
    finally have 2: prim-E \ w \ v \ e * -1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T \le bot
        by (metis conv-involutive regular-closed-bot regular-dense-top
triple-schroeder-p)
    have prim-E \ w \ v \ e * top * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w \ v \ e)^T = prim-E \ w \ v \ e * 1 * (prim-E \ w 
(e)^T \sqcup prim - E w v e * -1 * (prim - E w v e)^T
        by (metis mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup regular-complement-top
regular-one-closed)
   also have \dots \leq 1
        using 1 2 by (simp add: bot-unique)
   finally show ?thesis
qed
lemma arc-edge:
   assumes e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap g
            and vector v
           and v^T = r^T * t^*
           \begin{array}{l} \mathbf{and} \ t \leq g \\ \mathbf{and} \ r^T * g^\star \leq r^T * w^\star \end{array}
            and w * v \leq v
            and injective w
            and arc e
        shows arc (prim-E w v e)
proof (intro conjI)
    have prim-E w v e * top * (prim-E w v e)^T \leq 1
        using assms(2,6-8) arc-edge-6 by simp
    thus injective (prim-E w v e * top)
```

```
by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-top mult-assoc top-mult-top)
next
show surjective (prim-E w v e * top)
using assms(1-5,8) arc-edge-4 mult-assoc by simp
next
have (prim-E w v e)<sup>T</sup> * top * prim-E w v e \leq 1
using assms(2,6-8) arc-edge-5 by simp
thus injective ((prim-E w v e)<sup>T</sup> * top)
by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top mult-assoc top-mult-top)
next
have top * prim-E w v e * top = top
using assms(1-5,8) arc-edge-4 by simp
thus surjective ((prim-E w v e)<sup>T</sup> * top)
by (metis mult-assoc conv-dist-comp conv-top)
qed
```

4.1.4 Invariant implies Postcondition

The lemmas in this section are used to show that the invariant implies the postcondition at the end of the algorithm. The following lemma shows that the nodes reachable in the graph are the same as those reachable in the constructed tree.

```
lemma span-post:
  assumes regular v
     and vector v
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
   and v = r * t

and v * -v^T \sqcap g = bot

and t \le v * v^T \sqcap g

and r^T * (v * v^T \sqcap g)^* \le r^T * t^*

shows v^T = r^T * g^*
proof -
 \mathbf{let}~?vv = v * v^T \sqcap g
 have 1: r^T < v^T
   using assms(3) mult-right-isotone mult-1-right star.circ-reflexive by fastforce
  have v * top \sqcap g = (v * v^T \sqcup v * -v^T) \sqcap g
   by (metis assms(1) conv-complement mult-left-dist-sup
regular-complement-top)
  also have ... = ?vv \sqcup (v * -v^T \sqcap q)
   by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
  also have \dots = ?vv
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(4))
  finally have 2: v * top \sqcap g = ?vv
   by simp
  have r^T * ?vv^* \le v^T * ?vv^*
   using 1 by (simp add: comp-left-isotone)
  also have ... \leq v^T * (v * v^T)^*
   by (simp add: comp-right-isotone star.circ-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq v^T
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ vector-star-1)
```

```
finally have r^T * ?vv^* \le v^T
   by simp
 hence r^T * ?vv^* * g = (r^T * ?vv^* \sqcap v^T) * g
   by (simp add: inf.absorb1)
 also have ... = r^T * ?vv^* * (v * top \sqcap g)
   by (simp add: assms(2) covector-inf-comp-3)
 also have ... = r^T * ?vv^* * ?vv
   using 2 by simp
 also have ... \leq r^{T} * ?vv^{\star}
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}associative\ comp\text{-}right\text{-}isotone\ star.left\text{-}plus\text{-}below\text{-}circ
star-plus)
 finally have r^T \sqcup r^T * ?vv^* * g \le r^T * ?vv^*
   \mathbf{using}\ star.circ\text{-}back\text{-}loop\text{-}prefixpoint\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
 hence r^T * g^* \le r^T * ?vv^*
   using star-right-induct by blast
 hence r^T * q^{\star} = r^T * ?vv^{\star}
   by (simp add: order.antisym mult-right-isotone star-isotone)
 also have ... = r^T * t^*
   using assms(5,6) order.antisym mult-right-isotone star-isotone by auto
  also have \dots = v^T
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3))
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
    The following lemma shows that the minimum spanning tree extending
a tree is the same as the tree at the end of the algorithm.
lemma mst-post:
 assumes vector r
     and injective r
     and v^T = r^T * t^*
     and forest w
     and t \leq w
     and w \leq v * v^T
   shows w = t
proof -
 have 1: vector v
   using assms(1,3) covector-mult-closed vector-conv-covector by auto
 have w * v \le v * v^T * v
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(6)\ mult-left-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq v
   using 1 by (metis mult-assoc mult-right-isotone top-greatest)
 finally have 2: w * v \leq v
 have \beta: r \leq v
   by (metis assms(3) conv-order mult-right-isotone mult-1-right
star.circ-reflexive)
 have 4: v \sqcap -r = t^{T\star} * r \sqcap -r
```

by (metis assms(3) conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-star-commute)

```
also have ... = (r \sqcup t^{T+} * r) \sqcap -r
   using mult-assoc star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute by auto
 also have \dots \leq t^{T+} * r
   by (simp add: shunting)
 also have ... < t^T * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}isotone\ mult\text{-}assoc)
 finally have 1 \sqcap (v \sqcap -r) * (v \sqcap -r)^T \leq 1 \sqcap t^T * top * (t^T * top)^T
   using conv-order inf.sup-right-isotone mult-isotone by auto
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap t^T * top * t
   by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top mult-assoc top-mult-top)
 also have ... \leq t^T * (top * t \sqcap t * 1)
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis\ conv-involutive\ dedekind-1\ inf.commute\ mult-assoc})
 also have ... \leq t^T * t
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
 finally have 5: 1 \sqcap (v \sqcap -r) * (v \sqcap -r)^T \leq t^T * t
 have w * w^{+} \leq -1
   by (metis assms(4) mult-right-isotone order-trans star.circ-increasing
star.left-plus-circ)
 hence 6: w^{T+} \leq -w
   by (metis conv-star-commute mult-assoc mult-1-left triple-schroeder-p)
 have w * r \sqcap w^{T+} * r = (w \sqcap w^{T+}) * r
   using assms(2) by (simp add: injective-comp-right-dist-inf)
 also have \dots = bot
   using 6 p-antitone pseudo-complement-pp semiring.mult-not-zero by blast
 finally have 7: w * r \sqcap w^{T+} * r = bot
 have -1 * r \le -r
   using assms(2) dual-order.trans pp-increasing schroeder-4-p by blast
 hence -1 * r * top \leq -r
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1)\ comp\-associative)
 hence 8: r^T * -1 * r \le bot
   by (simp add: mult-assoc schroeder-6-p)
 have r^T * w * r \le r^T * w^+ * r
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star.circ-mult-increasing)
 also have ... < r^T * -1 * r
   by (simp add: assms(4) comp-isotone)
 finally have r^T * w * r \leq bot
   using 8 by simp
 hence w * r * top \le -r
   by (simp add: mult-assoc schroeder-6-p)
 hence w * r \leq -r
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1)\ comp\-associative)
 hence w * r \le -r \sqcap w * v
   using 3 by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq -r \sqcap v
   using 2 by (simp add: le-infI2)
 also have ... = -r \sqcap t^{T\star} * r
   using 4 by (simp add: inf-commute)
```

```
also have \dots \leq -r \sqcap w^{T\star} * r
   using assms(5) comp-inf.mult-right-isotone conv-isotone mult-left-isotone
star-isotone by auto
 also have \dots = -r \sqcap (r \sqcup w^{T+} * r)
   using mult-assoc star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute by auto
 also have ... \leq w^{T+} * r
   using inf.commute maddux-3-13 by auto
  finally have w * r = bot
   using 7 by (simp add: le-iff-inf)
 hence w = w \sqcap top * -r^T
   by (metis complement-conv-sub conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-bot
inf.assoc inf.orderE regular-closed-bot regular-dense-top top-left-mult-increasing)
  also have ... = w \sqcap v * v^T \sqcap top * -r^T
   by (simp add: assms(6) inf-absorb1)
 also have \dots \leq w \sqcap top * v^T \sqcap top * -r^T
   using comp-inf.mult-left-isotone comp-inf.mult-right-isotone mult-left-isotone
 also have \dots = w \sqcap top * (v^T \sqcap -r^T)
   using 1 assms(1) covector-inf-closed inf-assoc vector-conv-compl
vector-conv-covector by auto
  also have ... = w * (1 \sqcap (v \sqcap -r) * top)
   by (simp add: comp-inf-vector conv-complement conv-dist-inf)
 also have ... = w * (1 \sqcap (v \sqcap -r) * (v \sqcap -r)^T)
   by (metis conv-top dedekind-eq inf-commute inf-top-left mult-1-left
mult-1-right)
  also have \dots \leq w * t^T * t
   using 5 by (simp add: comp-isotone mult-assoc)
 also have \dots \leq w * w^T * t
   by (simp add: assms(5) comp-isotone conv-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq t
   using assms(4) mult-left-isotone mult-1-left by fastforce
 finally show ?thesis
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(5)\ order.antisym)
qed
```

4.2 Kruskal's Algorithm

The following results are used for proving the correctness of Kruskal's minimum spanning tree algorithm.

4.2.1 Preservation of Invariant

We first treat the preservation of the invariant. The following lemmas show conditions necessary for preserving that f is a forest.

```
lemma kruskal-injective-inv-2: assumes arc e and acyclic f shows top * e * f^{T*} * f^T \leq -e
```

```
proof -
 have f \leq -f^{T\star}
    using assms(2) acyclic-star-below-complement p-antitone-iff by simp
  hence e * f \leq top * e * -f^{T*}
    by (simp add: comp-isotone top-left-mult-increasing)
  also have ... = -(top * e * f^{T\star})
    \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{assms}(1)\ \mathit{comp-mapping-complement}\ \mathit{conv-dist-comp}\ \mathit{conv-involutive}
conv-top)
  finally show ?thesis
    using schroeder-4-p by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{kruskal-injective-inv-3} :
  assumes arc e
      and forest f
    shows (top * e * f^{T\star})^T * (top * e * f^{T\star}) \sqcap f^T * f \leq 1
proof
  have (top * e * f^{T*})^T * (top * e * f^{T*}) = f^* * e^T * top * e * f^{T*}
    by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-star-commute conv-top
vector-top-closed mult-assoc)
  also have ... \leq f^* * f^{T*}
    \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis}\ assms(1)\ \textit{arc-expanded}\ \textit{mult-left-isotone}\ \textit{mult-right-isotone}
mult-1-left mult-assoc)
  finally have (top * e * f^{T\star})^T * (top * e * f^{T\star}) \sqcap f^T * f \leq f^{\star} * f^{T\star} \sqcap f^T * f
    using inf.sup-left-isotone by simp
  also have \dots \leq 1
    using assms(2) forest-separate by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
lemma kruskal-acyclic-inv:
 assumes acyclic f
     and covector q
     and (f \sqcap q)^T * f^* * e = bot
     and e * f^* * e = bot
     and f^{T\star} * f^{\star} \leq -e
    shows acyclic ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e)
proof -
  have (f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap q)^T = (f \sqcap -q) * (f^T \sqcap q^T)
    by (simp add: conv-dist-inf)
  hence 1: (f \sqcap -q) * (f \sqcap q)^T = bot
    by (metis assms(2) comp-inf.semiring.mult-zero-right comp-inf-vector-1
conv\text{-}bot\text{-}covector\text{-}bot\text{-}closed\ inf.}sup\text{-}monoid.add\text{-}assoc\ p\text{-}inf)
  hence 2: (f \sqcap -q)^* * (f \sqcap q)^T = (f \sqcap q)^T
    using mult-right-zero star-absorb star-simulation-right-equal by fastforce
  hence 3: ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^+ = (f \sqcap q)^{T\star} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+}
    by (simp add: plus-sup)
  have 4: ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* = (f \sqcap q)^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^*
```

```
using 2 by (simp add: star.circ-sup-9)
       have (f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap -q)^* * e \leq (f \sqcap q)^T * f^* * e
            by (simp add: mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone)
       hence (f \sqcap q)^T * (f \sqcap -q)^* * e = bot
             using assms(3) le-bot by simp
      hence 5: (f \sqcap q)^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^* * e = (f \sqcap -q)^* * e
            by (metis comp-associative conv-bot conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
conv-star-commute star-absorb)
       have e * (f \sqcap -q)^* * e \le e * f^* * e
            by (simp add: mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone)
       hence e * (f \sqcap -q)^* * e = bot
            using assms(4) le-bot by simp
       hence 6: ((f \sqcap -q)^* * e)^+ = (f \sqcap -q)^* * e
            by (simp add: comp-associative star-absorb)
      have f^{T\star} * 1 * f^{T\star} * f^{\star} \le -e
            by (simp add: assms(5) star.circ-transitive-equal)
       hence 7: f^* * e * f^{T*} * f^* \le -1
            by (metis comp-right-one conv-involutive conv-one conv-star-commute
 triple-schroeder-p)
      have (f \sqcap -q)^{+} * (f \sqcap q)^{T+} \leq -1
              using 1 2 by (metis forest-bot mult-left-zero mult-assoc)
      hence 8: (f \sqcap q)^{T+} * (f \sqcap -q)^{+} \leq -1
              using comp-commute-below-diversity by simp
      have 9: f^{T+} \le -1
              using assms(1) acyclic-star-below-complement schroeder-5-p by force
      have ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e)^+ = (((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^* * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)^T * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap -q)^T)^T * ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap -
\sqcup (f\sqcap q)^T)^+ \sqcup (((f\sqcap -q)\sqcup (f\sqcap q)^T)^{\star}*e)^+
            by (simp add: plus-sup)
      also have ... = ((f\sqcap q)^{T\star}*(f\sqcap -q)^{\star}*e)^{\star}*((f\sqcap q)^{T\star}*(f\sqcap -q)^{+}\sqcup (f\sqcap q)^{T\star})^{T}
(q)^{T+} \sqcup ((f \sqcap q)^{T\star} * (f \sqcap -q)^{\star} * e)^{+}
             using 3 4 by simp
      also have ... = ((f \sqcap -q)^* * e)^* * ((f \sqcap q)^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+}) \sqcup ((f \sqcap q
\sqcap -q)^* * e)^+
            using 5 by simp
       also have ... = ((f \sqcap -q)^* * e \sqcup 1) * ((f \sqcap q)^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+}) \sqcup
(f \sqcap -q)^* * e
            using 6 by (metis star-left-unfold-equal sup-monoid.add-commute)
also have ... = (f \sqcap -q)^* * e \sqcup (f \sqcap -q)^* * e * (f \sqcap q)^{T+} \sqcup (f \sqcap -q)^* * e * (f \sqcap q)^{T+} \sqcup (f \sqcap -q)^* * e *
             using comp-associative mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup sup-assoc
sup\text{-}commute by simp
      also have ... = (f\sqcap -q)^**e*(f\sqcap q)^{T\star}*(f\sqcap -q)^*\sqcup (f\sqcap q)^{T\star}*(f\sqcap q)^{T\star}
-q)^+ \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+q}
            \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{star}.\mathit{circ}\text{-}\mathit{back}\text{-}loop\text{-}\mathit{fixpoint}\ \mathit{star}\text{-}\mathit{plus}\ \mathit{sup-monoid}.\mathit{add-commute}
mult-assoc)
      also have ... \leq f^* * e * f^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^* \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+}
             using mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone sup-left-isotone
conv-isotone order-trans inf-le1 by meson
       also have ... \leq f^* * e * f^{T*} * f^* \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T*} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup f^{T+}
```

```
using mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone sup-left-isotone
sup-right-isotone conv-isotone order-trans inf-le1 by meson
 also have ... = f^* * e * f^{T*} * f^* \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup f^{T+}
   by (simp add: star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-monoid.add-assoc mult-assoc)
 also have ... \leq f^* * e * f^{T*} * f^* \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^{T+} * (f \sqcap -q)^+ \sqcup f^+ \sqcup f^{T+}
   using mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone sup-left-isotone
sup-right-isotone order-trans inf-le1 by meson
 also have \dots \leq -1
   using 789 \ assms(1) by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{kruskal-exchange-acyclic-inv-1}:
 assumes acyclic f
     and covector q
   shows acyclic ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T)
 using kruskal-acyclic-inv[where e=bot] by (simp\ add:\ assms)
lemma kruskal-exchange-acyclic-inv-2:
 assumes acyclic w
     and injective w
     and d \leq w
     and bijective (d^T * top)
     and bijective (e * top)
and d \le top * e^T * w^{T\star}
     and w * e^{T} * top = bot
   shows acyclic ((w \sqcap -d) \sqcup e)
proof -
 let ?v = w \sqcap -d
 let ?w = ?v \sqcup e
 have d^T * top \leq w^* * e * top
   by (metis assms(6) comp-associative comp-inf.star.circ-decompose-9
comp-inf.star-star-absorb comp-isotone conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order
conv-star-commute conv-top inf.cobounded1 vector-top-closed)
 hence 1: e * top < w^{T\star} * d^{T} * top
   by (metis\ assms(4,5)\ bijective-reverse\ comp-associative\ conv-star-commute)
 have 2: ?v * d^T * top = bot
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(2,3)\ kruskal-exchange-acyclic-inv-3)
  have ?v * w^{T+} * d^{T} * top \le w * w^{T+} * d^{T} * top
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq w^{T\star} * d^T * top
   by (metis assms(2) mult-left-isotone mult-1-left mult-assoc)
  finally have ?v * w^{T\star} * d^T * top \le w^{T\star} * d^T * top
   using 2 by (metis bot-least comp-associative mult-right-dist-sup
star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint\ star.circ-plus-same\ sup-least)
 hence \beta: ?v^* * e * top \le w^{T*} * d^T * top
   using 1 by (simp add: comp-associative star-left-induct sup-least)
 have d * e^T \leq bot
```

```
by (metis assms(3,7) conv-bot conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top
order.trans inf.absorb2 inf.cobounded2 inf-commute le-bot p-antitone-iff p-top
schroeder-4-p top-left-mult-increasing)
 hence 4: e^T * top \leq -(d^T * top)
   by (metis (no-types) comp-associative inf.cobounded2 le-bot p-antitone-iff
schroeder-3-p semiring.mult-zero-left)
 have ?v^T * -(d^T * top) \le -(d^T * top)
   using schroeder-3-p mult-assoc 2 by simp
 hence v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top \leq -(d^{T} * top)
   using 4 by (simp add: comp-associative star-left-induct sup-least)
 hence 5: d^T * top \leq -(?v^{T*} * e^T * top)
   by (simp add: p-antitone-iff)
 have w * ?v^{T*} * e^{T} * top = w * e^{T} * top \sqcup w * ?v^{T+} * e^{T} * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ star-left-unfold-equal\ mult-right-dist-sup\ mult-left-dist-sup
mult-1-right mult-assoc)
 also have ... = w * ?v^{T+} * e^{T} * top
   using assms(7) by simp
 also have \dots \leq w * w^T * ?v^{T\star} * e^T * top
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-isotone mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq ?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top
   \mathbf{by} \ (\textit{metis assms}(2) \ \textit{mult-1-left mult-left-isotone})
 finally have w * ?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top \leq --(?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top)
   by (simp add: p-antitone p-antitone-iff)
 hence w^T * -(?v^{T\star} * e^T * top) \le -(?v^{T\star} * e^T * top)
   using comp-associative schroeder-3-p by simp
 hence 6: w^{T\star} * d^T * top \leq -(?v^{T\star} * e^T * top)
   using 5 by (simp add: comp-associative star-left-induct sup-least)
 have e * ?v^* * e \le e * ?v^* * e * top
   by (simp add: top-right-mult-increasing)
 also have ... \leq e * w^{T*} * d^T * top
   using 3 by (simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq e * -(?v^{T\star} * e^T * top)
   using 6 by (simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq bot
   by (metis conv-complement-sub-leg conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
conv-star-commute le-bot mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right p-bot regular-closed-bot
star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint)
 finally have 7: e * ?v^* * e = bot
   by (simp add: order.antisym)
 hence ?v^* * e \le -1
   by (metis bot-least comp-associative comp-commute-below-diversity ex231d
order-lesseq-imp semiring.mult-zero-left star.circ-left-top)
 hence 8: ?v^* * e * ?v^* \le -1
   {f by}\ (metis\ comp	ext{-}associative\ comp	ext{-}commute-below-diversity}
star.circ-transitive-equal)
 have 1 \sqcap ?w^+ = 1 \sqcap ?w * ?v^* * (e * ?v^*)^*
   by (simp add: star-sup-1 mult-assoc)
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap ?w * ?v^* * (e * ?v^* \sqcup 1)
```

```
using 7 by (metis star.circ-mult-1 star-absorb sup-monoid.add-commute
mult-assoc)
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap (?v^+ * e * ?v^* \sqcup ?v^+ \sqcup e * ?v^* * e * ?v^* \sqcup e * ?v^*)
   by (simp add: comp-associative mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup sup-assoc
sup-commute sup-left-commute)
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap (?v^+ * e * ?v^* \sqcup ?v^+ \sqcup e * ?v^*)
   using 7 by simp
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap (?v^* * e * ?v^* \sqcup ?v^+)
   by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) comp-associative star.circ-loop-fixpoint
sup-assoc sup-commute)
 also have ... \leq 1 \sqcap (?v^* * e * ?v^* \sqcup w^+)
   using comp-inf.mult-right-isotone comp-isotone semiring.add-right-mono
star-isotone sup-commute by simp
 also have ... = (1 \sqcap ?v^* * e * ?v^*) \sqcup (1 \sqcap w^+)
   by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1)
 also have ... = 1 \sqcap ?v^* * e * ?v^*
   by (metis assms(1) inf-commute pseudo-complement sup-bot-right)
 also have \dots = bot
   using 8 p-antitone-iff pseudo-complement by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   using le-bot p-antitone-iff pseudo-complement by auto
qed
```

4.2.2 Exchange gives Spanning Trees

The lemmas in this section are used to show that the relation after exchange represents a spanning tree.

```
lemma inf-star-import:
 assumes x \le z
     and univalent z
     and reflexive y
     and regular z
   shows x^* * y \sqcap z^* \le x^* * (y \sqcap z^*)
proof -
 have 1: y \leq x^* * (y \sqcap z^*) \sqcup -z^*
   by (metis assms(4) pp-dist-star shunting-var-p star.circ-loop-fixpoint
sup.cobounded2)
 have x * -z^* \sqcap z^+ \le x * (-z^* \sqcap x^T * z^+)
   by (simp add: dedekind-1)
  also have \dots \leq x * (-z^* \sqcap z^T * z^+)
   using assms(1) comp-inf.mult-right-isotone conv-isotone mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone by simp
 also have \dots \leq x * (-z^* \sqcap 1 * z^*)
   by (metis assms(2) comp-associative comp-inf.mult-right-isotone
mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone)
  finally have 2: x * -z^* \sqcap z^+ = bot
   by (simp add: order.antisym)
  have x * -z^* \sqcap z^* = (x * -z^* \sqcap z^+) \sqcup (x * -z^* \sqcap 1)
   by (metis comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left star-left-unfold-equal sup-commute)
```

```
also have \dots \leq x^* * (y \sqcap z^*)
   using 2 by (simp add: assms(3) inf.coboundedI2 reflexive-mult-closed
star.circ\text{-}reflexive)
  finally have x * -z^* \le x^* * (y \sqcap z^*) \sqcup -z^*
   by (metis assms(4) pp-dist-star shunting-var-p)
  hence x*(x^{\star}*(y\sqcap z^{\star})\sqcup -z^{\star})\leq x^{\star}*(y\sqcap z^{\star})\sqcup -z^{\star}
   by (metis le-sup le-sup le-sup le-sup le-sup le-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint
sup.cobounded1)
  hence x^* * y \leq x^* * (y \sqcap z^*) \sqcup -z^*
    using 1 by (simp add: star-left-induct)
  hence x^{\star} * y \sqcap --z^{\star} \leq x^{\star} * (y \sqcap z^{\star})
   using shunting-var-p by simp
  thus ?thesis
   using order.trans inf.sup-right-isotone pp-increasing by blast
lemma kruskal-exchange-forest-components-inv:
 assumes injective ((w \sqcap -d) \sqcup e)
     and regular d
     and e * top * e = e
     and d \leq top * e^T * w^{T\star}
     and w * e^T * top = bot
     and injective w
     and d \leq w
     and d \leq (w \sqcap -d)^{T\star} * e^T * top
   \mathbf{shows} \ \textit{forest-components} \ w \leq \textit{forest-components} \ ((w \sqcap -d) \sqcup e)
proof -
  let ?v = w \sqcap -d
  let ?w = ?v \sqcup e
  let ?f = forest\text{-}components ?w
  have 1: ?v * d^T * top = bot
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(6,7)\ kruskal-exchange-acyclic-inv-3)
  have 2: d * e^T \leq bot
   by (metis\ assms(5,7)\ conv-bot\ conv-dist-comp\ conv-involutive\ conv-top)
order.trans inf.absorb2 inf.cobounded2 inf-commute le-bot p-antitone-iff p-top
schroeder-4-p top-left-mult-increasing)
  have w^* * e^T * top = e^T * top
   by (metis assms(5) conv-bot conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
conv-star-commute star.circ-top star-absorb)
  hence w^* * e^T * top \le -(d^{\tilde{T}} * top)
    using 2 by (metis (no-types) comp-associative inf.cobounded2 le-bot
p\text{-}antitone\text{-}iff\ schroeder\text{-}3\text{-}p\ semiring.mult\text{-}zero\text{-}left)
  hence \beta \colon e^T * top \leq -(w^{T\star} * d^T * top)
   by (metis conv-star-commute p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p mult-assoc)
  have ?v * w^{T*} * d^{T} * top = ?v * d^{T} * top \sqcup ?v * w^{T+} * d^{T} * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{comp-associative}\ \mathit{mult-left-dist-sup}\ \mathit{star.circ-loop-fixpoint}
sup-commute)
  also have ... \leq w * w^{T+} * d^{T} * top
   using 1 by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
```

```
also have ... < w^{T\star} * d^T * top
   by (metis assms(6) mult-assoc mult-1-left mult-left-isotone)
 finally have ?v * w^{T\star} * d^T * top \leq --(w^{T\star} * d^T * top)
   using p-antitone p-antitone-iff by auto
 hence 4: ?v^T * -(w^{T*} * d^T * top) < -(w^{T*} * d^T * top)
   using comp-associative schroeder-3-p by simp
 have 5: injective ?v
   using assms(1) conv-dist-sup mult-left-dist-sup mult-right-dist-sup by simp
 have ?v * ?v^{T*} * e^{T} * top = ?v * e^{T} * top \sqcup ?v * ?v^{T+} * e^{T} * top
   by (metis comp-associative mult-left-dist-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint
sup-commute)
 also have \dots \leq w * e^T * top \sqcup ?v * ?v^{T+} * e^T * top
   using mult-left-isotone sup-left-isotone by simp
 also have ... < w * e^T * top \sqcup ?v^{T*} * e^T * top
   using 5 by (metis mult-assoc mult-1-left mult-left-isotone sup-right-isotone)
 finally have ?v * ?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top \leq ?v^{\check{T}\star} * e^{T} * top
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(5))
 hence ?v^**d*top \le ?v^{T*}*e^{T}*top
   by (metis\ assms(8)\ star-left-induct\ sup-least\ comp-associative
mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right sup.orderE vector-top-closed)
 also have \dots \leq -(w^{T\star} * d^T * top)
   using 3 4 by (simp add: comp-associative star-left-induct)
 also have \dots \leq -(d^T * top)
   by (metis p-antitone star.circ-left-top star-outer-increasing mult-assoc)
 finally have 6: ?v^* * d * top \le -(d^T * top)
   by simp
 have d^T * top \leq w^* * e * top
   by (metis assms(4) comp-associative comp-inf.star.circ-sup-2 comp-isotone
conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-order conv-star-commute conv-top
vector-top-closed)
 also have \dots \leq (?v \sqcup d)^* * e * top
   by (metis assms(2) comp-inf.semiring.distrib-left maddux-3-11-pp
mult-left-isotone star-isotone sup.cobounded2 sup-commute sup-inf-distrib1)
 also have ... = ?v^* * (d * ?v^*)^* * e * top
   by (simp add: star-sup-1)
 also have ... = ?v^* * e * top \sqcup ?v^* * d * ?v^* * (d * ?v^*)^* * e * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis semiring.distrib-right star.circ-unfold-sum\ star-decompose-1}
star-decompose-3 mult-assoc)
 also have ... \leq ?v^* * e * top \sqcup ?v^* * d * top
   by (metis comp-associative comp-isotone le-supI mult-left-dist-sup
mult-right-dist-sup\ mult-right-isotone\ star.circ-decompose-5\ star-decompose-3
sup.cobounded1 sup-commute top.extremum)
 finally have d^T * top \leq ?v^* * e * top \sqcup (d^T * top \sqcap ?v^* * d * top)
   using sup-inf-distrib2 sup-monoid.add-commute by simp
 hence d^T * top \leq ?v^* * e * top
   using 6 by (metis inf-commute pseudo-complement sup-monoid.add-0-right)
 hence 7: d < top * e^T * ?v^{T*}
   by (metis comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-isotone
conv-star-commute conv-top order.trans top-right-mult-increasing)
```

```
have 8: ?v < ?f
   using forest-components-increasing le-supE by blast
 have d \leq ?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top \sqcap top * e^{T} * ?v^{T\star}
   using 7 assms(8) by simp
  also have ... = ?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * top * e^{T} * ?v^{T\star}
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{inf-top-right}\ \mathit{vector-inf-comp}\ \mathit{vector-top-closed}\ \mathit{mult-assoc})
 also have ... = ?v^{T\star} * e^T * ?v^{T\star}
   by (metis assms(3) comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-top)
 also have \dots \leq ?v^{T\star} * e^{T} * ?f
   using 8 by (metis assms(1) forest-components-equivalence cancel-separate-1
conv-dist-comp conv-order mult-left-isotone star-involutive star-isotone)
  also have ... \leq ?v^{T\star} * ?f * ?f
   by (metis assms(1) forest-components-equivalence forest-components-increasing
conv-isotone le-supE mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq ?f * ?f * ?f
   by (metis comp-associative comp-isotone conv-dist-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint
star-isotone sup.cobounded1 sup.cobounded2)
 also have \dots = ?f
   by (simp add: assms(1) forest-components-equivalence preorder-idempotent)
  finally have w \leq ?f
   using 8 by (metis assms(2) shunting-var-p sup.orderE)
  thus ?thesis
   using assms(1) forest-components-idempotent forest-components-isotone by
fast force
qed
lemma kruskal-spanning-inv:
 assumes injective ((f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e)
     and regular q
     {\bf and} \ regular \ e
     and (-h \sqcap --g)^* \leq forest-components f
   shows components (-(h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T) \sqcap g) \leq forest-components ((f \sqcap -g) \sqcup e^T)
(f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e)
proof -
 let ?f = (f \sqcap -q) \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T \sqcup e
 let ?h = h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T
 let ?F = forest-components f
 let ?FF = forest-components ?f
 have 1: equivalence ?FF
   using assms(1) forest-components-equivalence by simp
 hence 2: ?f * ?FF \le ?FF
   using order.trans forest-components-increasing mult-left-isotone by blast
 have 3: ?f^T * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 1 by (metis forest-components-increasing mult-left-isotone conv-isotone
preorder-idempotent)
 have (f \sqcap q) * ?FF \le ?f^T * ?FF
   using conv-dist-sup conv-involutive sup-assoc sup-left-commute
mult-left-isotone by simp
 hence 4: (f \sqcap q) * ?FF \le ?FF
```

```
using 3 order.trans by blast
  have (f \sqcap -q) * ?FF \le ?f * ?FF
   using le-supI1 mult-left-isotone by simp
  hence (f \sqcap -q) * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 2 order.trans by blast
 hence ((f \sqcap q) \sqcup (f \sqcap -q)) * ?FF \leq ?FF
   using 4 mult-right-dist-sup by simp
  hence f * ?FF \le ?FF
   by (metis\ assms(2)\ maddux-3-11-pp)
 hence 5: f^* * ?FF \le ?FF
   using star-left-induct-mult-iff by simp
 have (f \sqcap -q)^T * ?FF \leq ?f^T * ?FF
   by (meson conv-isotone order.trans mult-left-isotone sup.cobounded1)
 hence \theta: (f \sqcap -q)^T * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 3 order.trans by blast
  have (f \sqcap g)^T * ?FF < ?f * ?FF
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{mult-left-isotone}\ \mathit{sup.left-commute}\ \mathit{sup-assoc})
 hence (f \sqcap q)^T * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 2 order.trans by blast
  hence ((f \sqcap -q)^T \sqcup (f \sqcap q)^T) * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 6 mult-right-dist-sup by simp
 hence f^T * ?FF \le ?FF
   by (metis assms(2) conv-dist-sup maddux-3-11-pp)
  hence 7: ?F * ?FF \leq ?FF
   using 5 star-left-induct mult-assoc by simp
 have 8: e * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 2 by (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup mult-left-isotone)
 have e^T * ?FF < ?f^T * ?FF
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone conv-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq ?FF * ?FF
   using 1 by (metis forest-components-increasing mult-left-isotone conv-isotone)
  finally have e^T * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 1 preorder-idempotent by auto
 hence 9: (?F \sqcup e \sqcup e^T) * ?FF \le ?FF
   using 7 8 mult-right-dist-sup by simp
 have components (-?h \sqcap g) \leq ((-h \sqcap --g) \sqcup e \sqcup e^T)^*
   by (metis\ assms(3)\ comp-inf.mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left\ conv-complement
p-dist-inf pp-dist-inf regular-closed-p star-isotone sup-inf-distrib2
sup-monoid.add-assoc)
 also have \dots \leq ((-h \sqcap --g)^* \sqcup e \sqcup e^T)^*
   using star.circ-increasing star-isotone sup-left-isotone by simp
 also have ... \leq (?F \sqcup e \sqcup e^T)^*
   using assms(4) sup-left-isotone star-isotone by simp
 also have \dots \leq ?FF
   using 1 9 star-left-induct by force
  finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
```

```
lemma kruskal-exchange-spanning-inv-1:
 assumes injective ((w \sqcap -q) \sqcup (w \sqcap q)^T)
     and regular (w \sqcap q)
     and components g \leq forest-components w
   shows components g \leq forest-components ((w \sqcap -q) \sqcup (w \sqcap q)^T)
proof -
 let ?p = w \sqcap q
 let ?w = (w \sqcap -q) \sqcup ?p^T
 have 1: w \sqcap -?p \leq forest\text{-}components ?w
   by (metis forest-components-increasing inf-import-p le-supE)
 have w \sqcap ?p \leq ?w^T
   by (simp add: conv-dist-sup)
 also have \dots \leq forest-components ?w
   by (metis assms(1) conv-isotone forest-components-equivalence
forest-components-increasing)
 finally have w \sqcap (?p \sqcup -?p) < forest-components ?w
   using 1 inf-sup-distrib1 by simp
 hence w \leq forest\text{-}components ?w
   by (metis \ assms(2) \ inf-top-right \ stone)
  hence 2: w^* \leq forest-components? w
   using assms(1) star-isotone forest-components-star by force
 hence 3: w^{T\star} \leq forest\text{-}components ?w
   \mathbf{using}\ assms(1)\ conv\text{-}isotone\ conv\text{-}star\text{-}commute\ forest\text{-}components\text{-}equivalence
by force
 have components g \leq forest-components w
   using assms(3) by simp
 also have ... \leq forest-components ?w * forest-components ?w
   using 2 3 mult-isotone by simp
 also have \dots = forest-components ?w
   using assms(1) forest-components-equivalence preorder-idempotent by simp
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma kruskal-exchange-spanning-inv-2:
 assumes injective w
     and w^{\star} * e^{T} = e^{T}
     and f \sqcup f^T \leq (w \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T) \sqcup (w^T \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T)
     and d \leq forest-components f * e^T * top
   shows d \leq (w \sqcap -d)^{T\star} * e^{T} * top
proof -
 have 1: (w \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T) * (w^T \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T) \leq 1
   using assms(1) comp-isotone order.trans inf.cobounded1 by blast
 have d \leq forest-components f * e^T * top
   using assms(4) by simp
 also have ... \leq (f \sqcup f^T)^* * (f \sqcup f^T)^* * e^T * top
   by (simp add: comp-isotone star-isotone)
  also have ... = (f \sqcup f^T)^* * e^T * top
   by (simp add: star.circ-transitive-equal)
```

```
also have ... \leq ((w \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T) \sqcup (w^T \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T))^* * e^T * top
   using assms(3) by (simp\ add:\ comp\mbox{-}isotone\ star\mbox{-}isotone)
  also have ... = (w^T \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T)^* * (w \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T)^* * e^T * top
   using 1 cancel-separate-1 by simp
  also have ... \leq (w^T \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T)^* * w^* * e^T * top
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{inf-assoc}\ \mathit{mult-left-isotone}\ \mathit{mult-right-isotone}\ \mathit{star-isotone})
  also have ... = (w^T \sqcap -d \sqcap -d^T)^* * e^T * top
    using assms(2) mult-assoc by simp
  also have ... \leq (w^T \sqcap -d^T)^* * e^T * top
    \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{mult-left-isotone} \ \mathit{conv-isotone} \ \mathit{star-isotone} \ \mathit{comp-inf.mult-right-isotone}
inf.cobounded2\ inf.left-commute\ inf.sup-monoid.add-commute\ \mathbf{by}\ presburger
  also have ... = (w \sqcap -d)^{T\star} * e^T * top
   using conv-complement conv-dist-inf by presburger
 finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ kruskal\text{-}spanning\text{-}inv\text{-}1:
 assumes e \leq F
     and regular e
     and components (-h \sqcap g) \leq F
     and equivalence F
   shows components (-(h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T) \sqcap g) \leq F
proof -
  have 1: F * F \leq F
   using assms(4) by simp
  hence 2: e * F \leq F
   using assms(1) mult-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp by blast
  have e^T * F \leq F
   by (metis assms(1,4) conv-isotone mult-left-isotone preorder-idempotent)
  hence \beta: (F \sqcup e \sqcup e^T) * F \leq F
   using 1 2 mult-right-dist-sup by simp
  have components (-(h \sqcap -e \sqcap -e^T) \sqcap g) \leq ((-h \sqcap --g) \sqcup e \sqcup e^T)^*
   by (metis assms(2) comp-inf.mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left conv-complement
p-dist-inf pp-dist-inf regular-closed-p star-isotone sup-inf-distrib2
sup-monoid.add-assoc)
  also have ... \leq ((-h \sqcap --g)^* \sqcup e \sqcup e^T)^*
    using sup-left-isotone star.circ-increasing star-isotone by simp
  also have ... \leq (F \sqcup e \sqcup e^T)^*
    using assms(3) sup-left-isotone star-isotone by simp
  also have \dots \leq F
   using 3 \ assms(4) \ star-left-induct by force
  finally show ?thesis
   by simp
qed
lemma kruskal-reroot-edge:
  assumes injective (e^T * top)
     and acyclic w
```

```
shows ((w \sqcap -(top * e * w^{T*})) \sqcup (w \sqcap top * e * w^{T*})^T) * e^T = bot
proof -
 let ?q = top * e * w^{T*}
 let ?p = w \sqcap ?q
 let ?w = (w \sqcap -?q) \sqcup ?p^T
 have (w \sqcap -?q) * e^T * top = w * (e^T * top \sqcap -?q^T)
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-vector-1 conv-complement
covector-complement-closed vector-top-closed)
 also have ... = w * (e^{T} * top \sqcap -(w^{*} * e^{\hat{T}} * top))
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute mult-assoc)
 also have \dots = bot
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf.semiring.mult-not-zero
inf. sup-relative-same-increasing \ inf-p \ mult-right-zero \ star. circ-loop-fix point
sup-commute sup-left-divisibility)
 finally have 1: (w \sqcap -?q) * e^T * top = bot
 have ?p^T * e^T * top = (w^T \sqcap w^* * e^T * top) * e^T * top
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute mult-assoc conv-dist-inf)
  also have ... = w^* * e^T * top \sqcap w^T * e^T * top
   by (simp add: inf-vector-comp vector-export-comp)
 also have ... = (w^* \sqcap w^T) * e^T * top
   using assms(1) injective-comp-right-dist-inf mult-assoc by simp
 also have \dots = bot
   using assms(2) acyclic-star-below-complement-1 semiring.mult-not-zero by
blast
  finally have ?w * e^T * top = bot
   using 1 mult-right-dist-sup by simp
 thus ?thesis
   by (metis star.circ-top star-absorb)
qed
```

4.2.3 Exchange gives Minimum Spanning Trees

The lemmas in this section are used to show that the after exchange we obtain a minimum spanning tree. The following lemmas show that the relation characterising the edge across the cut is an arc.

```
lemma kruskal-edge-arc:
   assumes equivalence F
   and forest w
   and arc e
   and regular F
   and F \leq forest\text{-}components \ (F \sqcap w)
   and regular w
   and w*e^T = bot
   and e*F*e = bot
   and e^T \leq w^*
   shows arc \ (w \sqcap top*e^T*w^{T*} \sqcap F*e^T*top \sqcap top*e*-F)

proof (unfold \ arc\text{-}expanded, intro \ conjI)

let ?E = top*e^T*w^{T*}
```

```
let ?F = F * e^T * top
 let ?G = top * e * -F
 let ?FF = F * e^T * e * F
 let ?GG = -F * e^T * e * -F
 let ?w = forest\text{-}components (F \sqcap w)
 have F \sqcap w^{T\star} \leq forest\text{-}components \ (F \sqcap w) \sqcap w^{T\star}
   by (simp add: assms(5) inf.coboundedI1)
 also have ... \leq (F \sqcap w)^{T\star} * ((F \sqcap w)^{\star} \sqcap w^{T\star})
   apply (rule inf-star-import)
   apply (simp add: conv-isotone)
   apply (simp \ add: \ assms(2))
   apply (simp add: star.circ-reflexive)
   by (metis assms(6) conv-complement)
 also have ... \leq (F \sqcap w)^{T\star} * (w^{\star} \sqcap w^{T\star})
   using comp-inf.mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone by simp
 also have ... = (F \sqcap w)^{T \star}
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(2)\ acyclic-star-inf-conv)
 finally have w*(F\sqcap w^{T*})*e^T*e\leq w*(F\sqcap w)^{T*}*e^T*e
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... = w * e^{T} * e \sqcup w * (F \sqcap w)^{T+} * e^{T} * e
   by (metis comp-associative mult-left-dist-sup star.circ-loop-fixpoint
sup\text{-}commute)
 also have ... = w * (F \sqcap w)^{T+} * e^{T} * e
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(7))
 also have \dots \leq w * (F \sqcap w)^{T+}
   by (metis assms(3) arc-univalent mult-assoc mult-1-right mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq w * w^T * (F \sqcap w)^{T*}
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-isotone mult-left-isotone
mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq (F \sqcap w)^{T \star}
   using assms(2) coreflexive-comp-top-inf inf.sup-right-divisibility by auto
 also have ... \leq F^{T\star}
   by (simp add: conv-dist-inf star-isotone)
 finally have 1: w * (F \sqcap w^{T*}) * e^{T} * e \leq F
   by (metis assms(1) order.antisym mult-1-left mult-left-isotone
star.circ-plus-same star.circ-reflexive star.left-plus-below-circ
star-left-induct-mult-iff)
 have F * e^T * e \leq forest-components (F \sqcap w) * e^T * e
   by (simp add: assms(5) mult-left-isotone)
 also have ... \leq forest-components w * e^T * e
   by (simp add: comp-isotone conv-dist-inf star-isotone)
 also have ... = w^{T\star} * e^T * e
   by (metis (no-types) assms(7) comp-associative conv-bot conv-dist-comp
conv-involutive conv-star-commute star-absorb)
 also have ... \leq w^{T\star}
   by (metis assms(3) arc-univalent mult-assoc mult-1-right mult-right-isotone)
 finally have 2: F * e^T * e < w^{T*}
   by simp
 have w * F * e^T * e \le w * F * e^T * e * e^T * e
```

```
using comp-associative ex231c mult-right-isotone by simp
 also have ... = w * (F * e^{T} * e \sqcap w^{T*}) * e^{T} * e
   using 2 by (simp add: comp-associative inf.absorb1)
 also have ... \leq w * (F \sqcap w^{T*}) * e^T * e
   by (metis assms(3) arc-univalent mult-assoc mult-1-right mult-right-isotone
mult-left-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq F
   using 1 by simp
 finally have \beta \colon w * F * e^T * e \leq F
   by simp
 hence e^T * e * F * w^T \leq F
   by (metis assms(1) conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.absorb-iff1
mult-assoc)
 hence e^T * e * F * w^T \le e^T * top \sqcap F
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ comp\text{-}associative\ mult\text{-}right\text{-}isotone)
 also have ... < e^T * e * F
   by (metis conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf-top-left mult-assoc)
 finally have 4: e^T * e * F * w^T \le e^T * e * F
 have (top * e)^T * (?F \sqcap w^{T*}) = e^T * top * e * F * w^{T*}
   by (metis assms(1) comp-inf.star.circ-decompose-9 comp-inf.star-star-absorb
conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top covector-inf-comp-3 vector-top-closed
mult-assoc)
 also have ... = e^T * e * F * w^{T\star}
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ arc\text{-}top\text{-}edge)
 also have \dots \leq e^T * e * F
   using 4 star-right-induct-mult by simp
 also have \dots < F
   by (metis assms(3) arc-injective conv-involutive mult-1-left mult-left-isotone)
 finally have 5: (top * e)^T * (?F \sqcap w^{T*}) \leq F
   by simp
 have (?F \sqcap w) * w^{T+} = ?F \sqcap w * w^{T+}
   by (simp add: vector-export-comp)
 also have \dots \leq ?F \sqcap w^{T\star}
   by (metis\ assms(2)\ comp\text{-}associative\ inf.sup\text{-}right\text{-}isotone\ mult\text{-}left\text{-}isotone\ }
star.circ-transitive-equal star-left-unfold-equal sup.absorb-iff2
sup-monoid.add-assoc)
 also have 6: ... \le top * e * F
   using 5 by (metis assms(3) shunt-mapping conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
conv-top)
 finally have 7: (?F \sqcap w) * w^{T+} \leq top * e * F
   by simp
 have e^T * top * e \leq 1
   by (simp add: assms(3) point-injective)
 also have \dots \leq F
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(1))
 finally have 8: e * -F * e^T \leq bot
   by (metis p-antitone p-antitone-iff p-bot regular-closed-bot schroeder-3-p
schroeder-4-p mult-assoc)
```

```
have ?FF \sqcap w * (w^{T+} \sqcap ?GG) * w^{T} \le ?F \sqcap w * (w^{T+} \sqcap ?GG) * w^{T}
   using comp-inf.mult-left-isotone mult-isotone mult-assoc by simp
 also have ... \leq ?F \sqcap w * (w^{T+} \sqcap ?G) * w^T
   by (metis assms(3) arc-top-edge comp-inf.star.circ-decompose-9
comp-inf-covector inf.sup-right-isotone inf-le2 mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone
vector-top-closed mult-assoc)
 also have ... = (?F \sqcap w) * (w^{T+} \sqcap ?G) * w^T
   by (simp add: vector-export-comp)
 also have ... = (?F \sqcap w) * w^{T+} * (?G^T \sqcap w^T)
   by (simp add: covector-comp-inf covector-comp-inf-1 covector-mult-closed)
 also have ... \leq top * e * F * (?G^T \sqcap w^T)
   using 7 mult-left-isotone by simp
 also have ... \leq top * e * F * ?G^T
   by (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... = top * e * -F * e^{T} * top
   by (metis assms(1) conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-top
equivalence-comp-left-complement mult-assoc)
 finally have 9: ?FF \sqcap w * (w^{T+} \sqcap ?GG) * w^{T} = bot
   using 8 by (metis comp-associative covector-bot-closed le-bot vector-bot-closed)
 hence 10: ?FF \sqcap w * (w^+ \sqcap ?GG) * w^T = bot
   using assms(1) comp-associative conv-bot conv-complement conv-dist-comp
conv-dist-inf conv-star-commute star.circ-plus-same by fastforce
 have (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G) * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G)^T = (?F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T)
(G)) * top * ((w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T \sqcap ?F^T)
   by (simp add: conv-dist-inf inf-commute inf-left-commute)
 also have ... = (?F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)) * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T \sqcap ?F^T
   using covector-comp-inf vector-conv-covector vector-mult-closed
vector-top-closed by simp
 also have ... = ?F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T \sqcap ?F^T
   by (simp add: vector-export-comp)
 also have ... = ?F \sqcap top * e * F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T
   by (simp add: assms(1) conv-dist-comp inf-assoc inf-commute mult-assoc)
 also have ... = ?F * e * F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * (top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T)
   using assms(3) arc-top-edge comp-associative by simp
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * (top * (?G^T \sqcap (?E^T \sqcap w^T)))
   by (simp add: conv-dist-inf inf-assoc inf-commute inf-left-commute)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * (?G * (?E^T \sqcap w^T))
   by (metis covector-comp-inf-1 covector-top-closed covector-mult-closed
inf-top-left)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G) * (?G \sqcap ?E) * w^T
   by (metis covector-comp-inf-1 covector-top-closed mult-assoc)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E) * (?G^T \sqcap ?G \sqcap ?E) * w^T
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{covector\text{-}comp\text{-}inf\text{-}1}\ \mathit{covector\text{-}mult\text{-}closed}\ \mathit{inf}. \mathit{sup\text{-}monoid}. \mathit{add\text{-}assoc}
vector-top-closed)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (?E^T \sqcap ?G^T \sqcap ?G \sqcap ?E) * w^T
   by (metis covector-comp-inf-1 covector-mult-closed inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc
vector-top-closed)
```

```
also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (?E^T \sqcap ?E \sqcap (?G^T \sqcap ?G)) * w^T
   by (simp add: inf-commute inf-left-commute)
  also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (?E^T \sqcap ?E \sqcap (-F * e^T * top \sqcap ?G)) * w^T
   by (simp add: assms(1) conv-complement conv-dist-comp mult-assoc)
  also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (?E^T \sqcap ?E \sqcap (-F * e^T * ?G)) * w^T
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (?E^T \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   by (metis assms(3) arc-top-edge comp-associative)
  also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (w^* * e * top \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
  also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * (w^* * e * ?E \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... \leq ?FF \sqcap w * (w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   by (metis assms(3) mult-assoc mult-1-right mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone
inf.sup-left-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone arc-expanded)
  also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * ((w^+ \sqcup 1 \sqcup w^{T*}) \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   by (simp add: assms(2) cancel-separate-eq star-left-unfold-equal
sup-monoid.add-commute)
 also have ... = ?FF \sqcap w * ((w^+ \sqcup 1 \sqcup w^{T+}) \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   using star.circ-plus-one star-left-unfold-equal sup-assoc by presburger
 also have ... = (?FF \sqcap w * (w^+ \sqcap ?GG) * w^T) \sqcup (?FF \sqcap w * (1 \sqcap ?GG) * w^T)
w^T) \sqcup (?FF \sqcap w * (w^{T+} \sqcap ?GG) * w^T)
   by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib1 inf-sup-distrib2 semiring.distrib-left
semiring.distrib-right)
  also have \dots \leq w * (1 \sqcap ?GG) * w^T
   using 9 10 by simp
 also have \dots \leq w * w^T
   by (metis inf.cobounded1 mult-1-right mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone)
 also have \dots \leq 1
   by (simp \ add: \ assms(2))
  finally show (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G) * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G)^T \leq 1
 have w^{T+}\sqcap -F*e^T*e*-F\sqcap w^T*F*e^T*e*F*w\leq w^{T+}\sqcap ?G\sqcap w^T*F*e^T*e*F*w
w^T*F*e^T*e*F*w
   using top-greatest inf.sup-left-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone
 also have ... \leq w^{T+} \sqcap ?G \sqcap w^{T} * ?F
   using comp-associative inf.sup-right-isotone mult-right-isotone top.extremum
by presburger
 also have ... = w^T * (w^{T*} \sqcap ?F) \sqcap ?G
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{assms}(2) \ \mathit{inf-assoc} \ \mathit{inf-commute} \ \mathit{inf-left-commute}
univalent-comp-left-dist-inf by simp
 also have ... \leq w^T * (top * e * F) \sqcap ?G
   using 6 by (metis inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf.sup-right-isotone
mult-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq top * e * F \sqcap ?G
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector mult-left-isotone top.extremum)
  also have \dots = bot
   by (metis assms(3) conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top inf-p
```

```
mult-right-zero univalent-comp-left-dist-inf)
  finally have 11: w^{T+} \sqcap -F * e^{T} * e * -F \sqcap w^{T} * F * e^{T} * e * F * w = bot
   by (simp add: order.antisym)
 hence 12: w^+ \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * F * e^T * e * F * w = bot
   using assms(1) comp-associative conv-bot conv-complement conv-dist-comp
conv-dist-inf conv-star-commute star.circ-plus-same by fastforce
  have (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G)^T * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G) = ((w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T)
\sqcap ?F^T) * top * (?F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G))
   by (simp add: conv-dist-inf inf-commute inf-left-commute)
  also have ... = (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T * ?F * (?F \sqcap (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G))
   by (simp add: covector-inf-comp-3 vector-mult-closed)
 also have ... = (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)
   using covector-comp-inf covector-inf-comp-3 vector-conv-covector
vector-mult-closed by simp
  also have ... = (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * (w \sqcap ?E) \sqcap ?G
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-inf-covector)
  also have ... = (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G)^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-inf-covector)
  also have ... = (?G^T \sqcap (?E^T \sqcap w^T)) * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G
   by (simp add: conv-dist-inf inf.left-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
 also have ... = ?G^T \sqcap (?E^T \sqcap w^T) * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G
   by (metis (no-types) comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-top
vector-export-comp)
  also have ... = ?G^T \sqcap ?E^T \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?G
   by (metis (no-types) comp-associative inf-assoc conv-dist-comp conv-top
vector-export-comp)
  also have ... = ?E^T \sqcap ?E \sqcap (?G^T \sqcap ?G) \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   by (simp add: inf-assoc inf.left-commute inf.sup-monoid.add-commute)
 also have ... = w^* * e * top \sqcap ?E \sqcap (?G^T \sqcap ?G) \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
  also have ... = w^* * e * ?E \sqcap (?G^T \sqcap ?G) \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis\ comp-associative\ comp-inf-covector\ inf-top.left-neutral})
  also have \dots \leq w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap (?G^T \sqcap ?G) \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   by (metis assms(3) mult-assoc mult-1-right mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone
inf.sup-left-isotone arc-expanded)
 also have ... = w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap (-F * e^T * top \sqcap ?G) \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   by (simp add: assms(1) conv-complement conv-dist-comp mult-assoc)
 also have ... = w^* * w^{T'} \sqcap -F * e^T * ?G \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... = w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap ?F^T) * w
   by (metis assms(3) arc-top-edge mult-assoc)
 also have ... = w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * (?F \sqcap top * e * F) * w
   by (simp add: assms(1) conv-dist-comp mult-assoc)
  also have ... = w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * (?F * e * F) * w
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-covector inf-top.left-neutral)
  also have ... = w^* * w^{T*} \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * F * e^T * e * F * w
   by (metis assms(3) arc-top-edge mult-assoc)
  also have ... = (w^+ \sqcup 1 \sqcup w^{T*}) \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * F * e^T * e * F
```

```
by (simp add: assms(2) cancel-separate-eq star-left-unfold-equal
sup-monoid.add-commute)
   also have ... = (w^+ \sqcup 1 \sqcup w^{T+}) \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * F * e^T * e * F
      using star.circ-plus-one star-left-unfold-equal sup-assoc by presburger
   also have ... = (w^+ \sqcap -F * e^T * e * -F \sqcap w^T * F * e^T * e * F * w) \sqcup (1 \sqcap e^T + e^T +
-F * e^{T} * e * -F \sqcap w^{T} * F * e^{T} * e * F * w) \sqcup (w^{T+} \sqcap -F * e^{T} * e * -F \sqcap F)
w^T * F * e^T * e * F * w
      by (simp add: inf-sup-distrib2)
   also have \dots \leq 1
      using 11 12 by (simp add: inf.coboundedI1)
   finally show (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G)^T * top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G) \leq 1
      by simp
   have (w \sqcap -F) * (F \sqcap w^T) \leq w * w^T \sqcap -F * F
      by (simp add: mult-isotone)
   also have ... \leq 1 \sqcap -F
      using assms(1,2) comp-inf.comp-isotone equivalence-comp-right-complement
\mathbf{by} auto
   also have \dots = bot
      using assms(1) bot-unique pp-isotone pseudo-complement-pp by blast
   finally have 13: (w \sqcap -F) * (F \sqcap w^T) = bot
      by (simp add: order.antisym)
   have w \sqcap ?G \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G)
      by (metis assms(1) mult-1-left mult-right-dist-sup sup.absorb-iff2)
   also have ... \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
      by (metis eq-refl le-supE star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint)
   finally have 14: w \sqcap ?G \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
      by simp
   have w \sqcap top * e * F \le w * (e * F)^T * e * F
      by (metis (no-types) comp-inf.star-slide dedekind-2 inf-left-commute
inf-top-right mult-assoc)
   also have \dots < F
      using 3 assms(1) by (metis comp-associative conv-dist-comp mult-left-isotone
preorder-idempotent)
   finally have w \sqcap -F \leq -(top * e * F)
      using order.trans p-shunting-swap pp-increasing by blast
   also have \dots = ?G
      by (metis assms(3) comp-mapping-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive
conv-top)
   finally have (w \sqcap -F) * F * (w \sqcap ?G) = (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * F * (w \sqcap ?G)
      by (simp add: inf.absorb1)
   also have \dots \leq (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * F * w
      by (simp add: comp-isotone)
   also have ... \leq (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * forest-components (F \sqcap w) * w
      by (simp add: assms(5) mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone)
   also have ... \leq (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * (F \sqcap w)^{T*} * w^* * w
      by (simp add: mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone star-isotone mult-assoc)
   also have ... \leq (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * (F \sqcap w)^{T\star} * w^{\star}
      by (simp add: comp-associative mult-right-isotone star.circ-plus-same
```

```
star.left-plus-below-circ)
  also have ... = (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * w^* \sqcup (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * (F \sqcap w)^{T+} * w^*
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{comp-associative}\ \mathit{inf.sup-monoid.add-assoc}\ \mathit{mult-left-dist-sup}
star.circ-loop-fixpoint sup-commute)
 also have ... < (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * w^* \sqcup (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * (F \sqcap w)^T * top
   by (metis mult-assoc top-greatest mult-right-isotone sup-right-isotone)
 also have ... \leq (w \sqcap -F \sqcap ?G) * w^* \sqcup (w \sqcap -F) * (F \sqcap w)^T * top
    using inf.cobounded1 mult-left-isotone sup-right-isotone by blast
 also have ... \leq (w \sqcap ?G) * w^* \sqcup (w \sqcap -F) * (F \sqcap w)^T * top
   \mathbf{using}\ inf. sup-monoid. add-assoc\ inf. sup-right-isotone\ mult-left-isotone
sup-commute sup-right-isotone by simp
  also have ... = (w \sqcap ?G) * w^* \sqcup (w \sqcap -F) * (F \sqcap w^T) * top
   by (simp add: assms(1) conv-dist-inf)
 also have \dots \leq 1 * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   using 13 by simp
  also have ... \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   using assms(1) mult-left-isotone by blast
 finally have 15: (w \sqcap -F) * F * (w \sqcap ?G) \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by simp
  have (w \sqcap F) * F * (w \sqcap ?G) \le F * F * (w \sqcap ?G)
   by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)
 also have \dots = F * (w \sqcap ?G)
   by (simp add: assms(1) preorder-idempotent)
  also have ... \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by (metis eq-refl le-supE star.circ-back-loop-fixpoint)
  finally have (w \sqcap F) * F * (w \sqcap ?G) \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   bv simp
 hence ((w \sqcap F) \sqcup (w \sqcap -F)) * F * (w \sqcap ?G) \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   using 15 by (simp add: semiring.distrib-right)
 hence w * F * (w \sqcap ?G) \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by (metis\ assms(4)\ maddux-3-11-pp)
  hence w * F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^* \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by (metis (full-types) comp-associative mult-left-isotone
star.circ-transitive-equal)
 hence w^* * (w \sqcap ?G) \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   using 14 by (simp add: mult-assoc star-left-induct)
 hence 16: w^+ \sqcap ?G < F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by (simp add: covector-comp-inf covector-mult-closed star.circ-plus-same)
 have 17: e^T * top * e^T \le -F
   using assms(8) le-bot triple-schroeder-p by simp
 hence (top * e)^{T} * e^{T} \leq -F
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp)
 hence 18: e^T \leq ?G
   by (metis assms(3) shunt-mapping conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top)
 have e^T \leq -F
   using 17 by (simp add: assms(3) arc-top-arc)
  also have \dots < -1
   by (simp add: assms(1) p-antitone)
 finally have e^T \leq w^* \sqcap -1
```

```
using assms(9) by simp
 also have \dots \leq w^+
   using shunting-var-p star-left-unfold-equal sup-commute by simp
 finally have e^T \leq w^+ \sqcap ?G
   using 18 by simp
 hence e^T \leq F * (w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   using 16 order-trans by blast
 also have ... = (F * w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ comp-associative comp-inf-covector)
 finally have e^T * top * e^T \le (F * w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(3)\ arc\ top\ arc)
 hence e^T * top * (e * top)^T \le (F * w \sqcap ?G) * w^*
   by (metis conv-dist-comp conv-top vector-top-closed mult-assoc)
 hence e^T * top \leq (F * w \sqcap ?G) * w^* * e * top
   by (metis assms(3) shunt-bijective mult-assoc)
 hence (top * e)^T * top \leq (F * w \sqcap ?G) * w^* * e * top
   by (simp add: conv-dist-comp mult-assoc)
 hence top \le top * e * (F * w \sqcap ?G) * w^* * e * top
   by (metis\ assms(3)\ shunt-mapping\ conv-dist-comp\ conv-involutive\ conv-top
mult-assoc)
 also have ... = top * e * F * w * (w^* * e * top \sqcap ?G^T)
   by (metis comp-associative comp-inf-vector-1)
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap (top * e * F)^T) * (w^* * e * top \sqcap ?G^T)
   by (metis comp-inf-vector-1 inf-top.left-neutral)
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap ?F) * (w^* * e * top \sqcap ?G^T)
   by (simp add: assms(1) conv-dist-comp mult-assoc)
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap ?F) * (?E^T \sqcap ?G^T)
   by (simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G) * ?E^T
   by (simp add: comp-associative comp-inf-vector-1)
 also have ... = top * (w \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G \sqcap ?E) * top
   using comp-inf-vector-1 mult-assoc by simp
 finally show top * (w \sqcap ?E \sqcap ?F \sqcap ?G) * top = top
   by (simp add: inf-commute inf-left-commute top-le)
qed
lemma kruskal-edge-arc-1:
 assumes e < --h
     and h \leq g
     and symmetric q
     and components g \leq forest-components w
     and w * e^T = bot
   shows e^T \leq w^*
proof -
 have w^T * top \le -(e^T * top)
   using assms(5) schroeder-3-p vector-bot-closed mult-assoc by fastforce
 hence 1: w^T * top \sqcap e^T * top = bot
   using pseudo-complement by simp
 have e^T \leq e^T * top \sqcap --h^T
```

```
using assms(1) conv-complement conv-isotone top-right-mult-increasing by
fast force
 also have ... \leq e^T * top \sqcap --g
   by (metis\ assms(2,3)\ inf.sup-right-isotone\ pp-isotone\ conv-isotone)
  also have ... \leq e^T * top \sqcap components g
   using inf.sup-right-isotone star.circ-increasing by simp
 also have ... \leq e^{T} * top \sqcap forest-components w
   using assms(4) comp-inf.mult-right-isotone by simp
  also have ... = (e^T * top \sqcap w^{T\star}) * w^{\star}
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{inf-assoc}\ \mathit{vector\text{-}export\text{-}comp})
  also have ... = (e^T * top \sqcap 1) * w^* \sqcup (e^T * top \sqcap w^{T+}) * w^*
   by (metis inf-sup-distrib1 semiring.distrib-right star-left-unfold-equal)
  also have ... \leq w^* \sqcup (e^T * top \sqcap w^{T+}) * w^*
   by (metis inf-le2 mult-1-left mult-left-isotone sup-left-isotone)
  also have ... \leq w^* \sqcup (e^T * top \sqcap w^T) * top
   using comp-associative comp-inf.mult-right-isotone sup-right-isotone
mult-right-isotone top.extremum vector-export-comp by presburger
 also have ... = w^*
   using 1 inf.sup-monoid.add-commute inf-vector-comp by simp
  finally show ?thesis
   by simp
\mathbf{qed}
lemma kruskal-edge-between-components-1:
  assumes equivalence F
     and mapping (top * e)
   shows F \leq -(w \sqcap top * e^T * w^{T\star} \sqcap F * e^T * top \sqcap top * e * -F)
proof -
 \mathbf{let} \ ?d = w \sqcap \mathit{top} * e^T * w^{T\star} \sqcap F * e^T * \mathit{top} \sqcap \mathit{top} * e * -F
 have ?d \sqcap F \leq F * e^T * top \sqcap F
   by (meson inf-le1 inf-le2 le-infI order-trans)
 also have \dots \leq (F * e^T * top)^T * F
   by (simp add: mult-assoc vector-restrict-comp-conv)
  also have \dots = top * e * F * F
   by (simp add: assms(1) comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
 also have \dots = top * e * F
   using assms(1) preorder-idempotent mult-assoc by fastforce
  finally have ?d \sqcap F < top * e * F \sqcap top * e * -F
   by (simp add: le-infI1)
 also have \dots = top * e * F \sqcap -(top * e * F)
   \mathbf{using}\ assms(2)\ conv\text{-}dist\text{-}comp\ total\text{-}conv\text{-}surjective
comp\text{-}mapping\text{-}complement \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
 finally show ?thesis
   by (metis inf-p le-bot p-antitone-iff pseudo-complement)
lemma kruskal-edge-between-components-2:
 assumes forest-components f \leq -d
     and injective f
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{and} \ f \mathrel{\sqcup} f^T \leq w \mathrel{\sqcup} w^T \\ \textbf{shows} \ f \mathrel{\sqcup} f^T \leq (w \mathrel{\sqcap} -d \mathrel{\sqcap} -d^T) \mathrel{\sqcup} (w^T \mathrel{\sqcap} -d \mathrel{\sqcap} -d^T) \end{array}
proof -
  \mathbf{let} \ ?F = \textit{forest-components} \ f
  have ?F^{T'} \leq -d^T
    using assms(1) conv-complement conv-order by fastforce
  hence 1: ?F \leq -d^T
    by (simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-star-commute)
  have equivalence ?F
    using assms(2) forest-components-equivalence by simp
  hence f \sqcup f^T \leq ?F
    by (metis conv-dist-inf forest-components-increasing inf.absorb-iff2
sup.boundedI)
  also have \dots \leq -d \sqcap -d^T
    using 1 \ assms(1) by simp
  finally have f \sqcup f^T \leq -d \sqcap -d^T
    by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis assms(3) inf-sup-distrib2 le-inf-iff)
qed
end
```

4.3 Related Structures

Stone algebras can be expanded to Stone-Kleene relation algebras by reusing some operations.

```
sublocale stone-algebra < comp-inf: stone-kleene-relation-algebra where star = \lambda x. top and one = top and times = inf and conv = id apply unfold-locales by simp
```

Every bounded linear order can be expanded to a Stone algebra, which can be expanded to a Stone relation algebra, which can be expanded to a Stone-Kleene relation algebra.

```
class linorder-stone-kleene-relation-algebra-expansion =
linorder-stone-relation-algebra-expansion + star +
   assumes star-def [simp]: x* = top
begin

subclass kleene-algebra
   apply unfold-locales
   apply simp
   apply (simp add: min.coboundedI1 min.commute)
   by (simp add: min.coboundedI1)

subclass stone-kleene-relation-algebra
   apply unfold-locales
   by simp
```

qed

A Kleene relation algebra is based on a relation algebra.

 ${\bf class}\ kleene-relation-algebra=relation-algebra+stone-kleene-relation-algebra}$ ${\bf begin}$

```
See https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.08946 for the following results scc-1-
scc-4.
lemma scc-1:
 assumes 1 \sqcap y \leq z
     and x^T * y \leq y
and y * z^T \leq y
     and (x \sqcap y) * z \leq z
   shows x^* \sqcap y \leq z
proof -
  have x * (-y \sqcup z) \sqcap y = x * z \sqcap y
  proof (rule order.antisym)
   have x * (-y \sqcup z) \sqcap y \leq x * ((-y \sqcup z) \sqcap x^T * y)
     by (simp add: dedekind-1)
   also have ... \leq x * ((-y \sqcup z) \sqcap y)
     by (simp add: assms(2) le-infI2 mult-right-isotone)
   also have ... \le x * z
     by (simp add: inf.sup-monoid.add-commute mult-right-isotone)
   finally show x*(-y \sqcup z) \sqcap y \leq x*z \sqcap y
     by simp
   \mathbf{show}\ x*z\ \sqcap\ y\leq x*(-y\sqcup z)\ \sqcap\ y
     by (simp add: inf-commute le-infI2 mult-right-isotone)
  qed
  also have \dots \leq (x \sqcap y * z^T) * z
   by (simp add: dedekind-2)
  also have ... \leq (x \sqcap y) * z
   by (simp add: assms(3) le-infI2 mult-left-isotone)
  also have \dots \leq z
   by (simp\ add:\ assms(4))
  finally have 1: x * (-y \sqcup z) \sqcap y \leq z
  have (1 \sqcup x * (-y \sqcup z)) \sqcap y = (1 \sqcap y) \sqcup (x * (-y \sqcup z) \sqcap y)
   \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon comp\text{-}inf.mult\text{-}right\text{-}dist\text{-}sup)
  also have \dots \leq z
   using 1 by (simp \ add: assms(1))
  finally have 1 \sqcup x * (-y \sqcup z) \leq -y \sqcup z
   using shunt1 by blast
  hence x^* \leq -y \sqcup z
   using star-left-induct by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
   by (simp add: shunt1)
```

```
lemma scc-2:
  assumes x^T * y \leq y
      and y * (x \sqcap y)^{\star T} \leq y
    shows x^* \sqcap y \leq (x \sqcap y)^*
proof -
  have 1: 1 \sqcap y \leq (x \sqcap y)^*
    by (simp add: inf.coboundedI1 star.circ-reflexive)
  have (x \sqcap y) * (x \sqcap y)^* \le (x \sqcap y)^*
    by (simp add: star.left-plus-below-circ)
  thus ?thesis
    using 1 assms scc-1 by blast
qed
lemma scc-\beta:
x^* \sqcap x^{T*} \le (x \sqcap x^{T*})^*
proof -
  have 1: x^T * x^{T\star} < x^{T\star}
  by (simp add: star.left-plus-below-circ) have x^{T\star}*(x\sqcap x^{T\star})^{\star T} \leq x^{T\star}*x^{\star T}
    by (simp add: star-isotone conv-isotone mult-right-isotone)
  also have ... = x^{T\star} * x^{T\star}
    by (simp add: conv-star-commute)
  finally have x^{T\star} * (x \sqcap x^{T\star})^{\star T} \leq x^{T\star}
    by (simp add: star.circ-transitive-equal)
  thus ?thesis
    using 1 scc-2 by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{scc-4}\colon
  x^* \sqcap x^{T*} \stackrel{\cdot}{=} (x \sqcap x^{T*})^*
proof (rule order.antisym)
  \mathbf{show} \ x^{\star} \sqcap x^{T\star} \leq (x \sqcap x^{T\star})^{\star}
    by (simp add: scc-3)
  have 1: (x \sqcap x^{T\star})^{\star} \leq x^{\star}
    by (simp add: star-isotone)
  have (x \sqcap x^{T\star})^{\star} < x^{T\star\star}
    by (simp add: star-isotone)
  also have \dots = x^{T\star}
    using star-involutive by auto
  finally show (x \sqcap x^{T\star})^{\star} \leq x^{\star} \sqcap x^{T\star}
    using 1 by simp
qed
end
{\bf class}\ stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski=stone-kleene-relation-algebra+
stone	ext{-}relation	ext{-}algebra	ext{-}tarski
{f class}\ kleene-relation-algebra-tarski=kleene-relation-algebra+
```

```
stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski
begin
subclass relation-algebra-tarski ..
end
{\bf class}\ stone-kleene-relation-algebra-consistent = stone-kleene-relation-algebra + {\bf class}\ stone-kleene
stone\text{-}relation\text{-}algebra\text{-}consistent
begin
lemma acyclic-reachable-different:
        assumes acyclic p bijective y \ x \le p^+ * y
       shows x \neq y
proof (rule ccontr)
       assume 1: \neg x \neq y
have x * y^T \leq p^+
               using assms(2,3) shunt-bijective by blast
        also have \dots \leq -1
              by (simp \ add: \ assms(1))
        finally show False
               using 1 by (metis assms(2) dual-order.antisym le-supI2 mult-1-left
order-char-1 point-not-bot schroeder-4-p semiring.mult-not-zero)
qed
end
{f class}\ kleene-relation-algebra-consistent=kleene-relation-algebra+
stone\text{-}kleene\text{-}relation\text{-}algebra\text{-}consistent
begin
{f subclass}\ relation-algebra-consistent ..
end
{f class}\ stone{-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent}=
stone-kleene-relation-algebra+stone-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent
begin
{f subclass}\ stone	ext{-}kleene	ext{-}relation	ext{-}algebra	ext{-}tarski ..
{f subclass}\ stone-kleene-relation-algebra-consistent ..
end
{\bf class}\ kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent = kleene-relation-algebra + kleene-relati
stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent
begin
```

```
{f subclass}\ relation-algebra-tarski-consistent ..
end
{\bf class}\ linorder\mbox{-}stone\mbox{-}kleene\mbox{-}relation\mbox{-}algebra\mbox{-}tarski\mbox{-}consistent\mbox{-}expansion =
linor der-stone-kleene-relation-algebra-expansion\ +\ non-trivial-bounded-order
begin
{\bf subclass}\ stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent
 apply unfold-locales
 by (simp-all add: bot-not-top)
end
end
     Subalgebras of Kleene Relation Algebras
5
In this theory we show that the regular elements of a Stone-Kleene relation
algebra form a Kleene relation subalgebra.
theory Kleene-Relation-Subalgebras
{\bf imports}\ Stone-Relation-Algebras. Relation-Subalgebras\ Kleene-Relation-Algebras
begin
instantiation \ regular :: (stone-kleene-relation-algebra) \ kleene-relation-algebra
begin
lift-definition star-regular :: 'a regular \Rightarrow 'a regular is star
 using regular-closed-p regular-closed-star by blast
instance
 apply intro-classes
 apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) star-regular.rep-eq less-eq-regular.rep-eq
left-kleene-algebra-class.star-left-unfold one-regular.rep-eq simp-regular
sup-regular.rep-eq times-regular.rep-eq)
 apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) less-eq-regular.rep-eq
left-kleene-algebra-class.star-left-induct\ simp-regular\ star-regular\ .rep-eq
sup-regular.rep-eq times-regular.rep-eq)
 apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) less-eq-regular.rep-eq
strong-left-kleene-algebra-class.star-right-induct\ simp-regular\ star-regular.rep-eq
sup-regular.rep-eq times-regular.rep-eq)
 by simp
```

 \mathbf{end}

end

6 Matrix Kleene Algebras

This theory gives a matrix model of Stone-Kleene relation algebras. The main result is that matrices over Kleene algebras form Kleene algebras. The automata-based construction is due to Conway [7]. An implementation of the construction in Isabelle/HOL that extends [2] was given in [3] without a correctness proof.

For specifying the size of matrices, Isabelle/HOL's type system requires the use of types, not sets. This creates two issues when trying to implement Conway's recursive construction directly. First, the matrix size changes for recursive calls, which requires dependent types. Second, some submatrices used in the construction are not square, which requires typed Kleene algebras [14], that is, categories of Kleene algebras.

Because these instruments are not available in Isabelle/HOL, we use square matrices with a constant size given by the argument of the Kleene star operation. Smaller, possibly rectangular submatrices are identified by two lists of indices: one for the rows to include and one for the columns to include. Lists are used to make recursive calls deterministic; otherwise sets would be sufficient.

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it Matrix-Kleene-Algebras}$

 ${\bf imports}\ Stone-Relation-Algebras. Matrix-Relation-Algebras}\ Kleene-Relation-Algebras$

begin

6.1 Matrix Restrictions

In this section we develop a calculus of matrix restrictions. The restriction of a matrix to specific row and column indices is implemented by the following function, which keeps the size of the matrix and sets all unused entries to bot.

The following function captures Conway's automata-based construction of the Kleene star of a matrix. An index k is chosen and s contains all other indices. The matrix is split into four submatrices a, b, c, d including/not including row/column k. Four matrices are computed containing the entries given by Conway's construction. These four matrices are added to obtain the result. All matrices involved in the function have the same size, but matrix restriction is used to set irrelevant entries to bot.

```
primrec star-matrix':: 'a\ list \Rightarrow ('a,'b::\{star,times,bounded-semilattice-sup-bot\}) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b)\ square\ \mathbf{where}
```

```
star-matrix' \ Nil \ g = mbot \ |
star-matrix'(k\#s) g = (
  let r = [k] in
  let a = r\langle g \rangle r in
  let b = r\langle g \rangle s in
  let c = s\langle g \rangle r in
  let d = s\langle g \rangle s in
  let \ as = r \langle star \ o \ a \rangle r \ in
  let ds = star-matrix' s d in
  let \ e = a \oplus b \odot ds \odot c \ in
  let \ es = r\langle star \ o \ e \rangle r \ in
  let f = d \oplus c \odot as \odot b in
  let fs = star-matrix' s f in
  es \oplus as \odot b \odot fs \oplus ds \odot c \odot es \oplus fs
```

The Kleene star of the whole matrix is obtained by taking as indices all elements of the underlying type 'a. This is conveniently supplied by the enum class.

```
\mathbf{fun}\ star-matrix::('a::enum,'b::\{star,times,bounded\text{-}semilattice\text{-}sup\text{-}bot\})\ square
\Rightarrow ('a,'b) square (\leftarrow0) [100] 100) where star-matrix f = star-matrix'
(enum-class.enum::'a list) f
```

The following lemmas deconstruct matrices with non-empty restrictions.

```
lemma restrict-empty-left:
  [\langle f \rangle ls = mbot]
  by (unfold restrict-matrix-def bot-matrix-def) auto
lemma restrict-empty-right:
  ks\langle f\rangle[] = mbot
  by (unfold restrict-matrix-def bot-matrix-def) auto
lemma restrict-nonempty-left:
  fixes f :: ('a, 'b::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot) square
  shows (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle ls = [k]\langle f\rangle ls \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls
  by (unfold restrict-matrix-def sup-matrix-def) auto
lemma restrict-nonempty-right:
  fixes f :: ('a, 'b::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot) square
  shows ks\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) = ks\langle f\rangle[l] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls
  by (unfold restrict-matrix-def sup-matrix-def) auto
lemma restrict-nonempty:
  fixes f :: ('a, 'b::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot) square
  shows (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) = [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \oplus [k]\langle f\rangle ls \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[l] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls
```

by (unfold restrict-matrix-def sup-matrix-def) auto

The following predicate captures that two index sets are disjoint. This has consequences for composition and the unit matrix.

```
abbreviation disjoint ks ls \equiv \neg (\exists x . x \in set \ ks \land x \in set \ ls)
{f lemma}\ times-disjoint:
  fixes f g :: ('a, 'b::idempotent-semiring) square
  assumes disjoint ls ms
    shows ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ms\langle g\rangle ns=mbot
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  \mathbf{fix} \ i \ j
  have (ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ms\langle g\rangle ns) \ (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k \ (ks\langle f\rangle ls) \ (i,k) * (ms\langle g\rangle ns) \ (k,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot)
    * (if k \in set \ ms \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ g \ (k,j) \ else \ bot))
    by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (| \cdot |_k \text{ if } k \in set \text{ } ms \land j \in set \text{ } ns \text{ } then \text{ } bot * g \text{ } (k,j))
    else (if i \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * bot)
    using assms by (auto intro: sup-monoid.sum.cong)
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup_i k :: 'a) \ bot)
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.neutral)
  also have \dots = bot
    by (simp add: eq-iff le-funI)
  also have ... = mbot(i,j)
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  finally show (ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ms\langle g\rangle ns) (i,j) = mbot (i,j)
qed
lemma one-disjoint:
  assumes disjoint ks ls
    shows ks\langle (mone::('a,'b::idempotent-semiring) \ square)\rangle ls = mbot
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  let ?o = mone::('a,'b) square
 fix i j
  have (ks \langle ?o \rangle ls) (i,j) = (if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ if \ i = j \ then \ 1 \ else \ bot
else bot)
    by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def one-matrix-def)
  also have \dots = bot
    using assms by auto
  also have ... = mbot(i,j)
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  finally show (ks\langle ?o\rangle ls) (i,j) = mbot (i,j)
qed
     The following predicate captures that an index set is a subset of another
index set. This has consequences for repeated restrictions.
abbreviation is-sublist ks ls \equiv set ks \subseteq set ls
lemma restrict-sublist:
  assumes is-sublist ls ks
```

```
and is-sublist ms ns
    shows ls\langle ks\langle f\rangle ns\rangle ms = ls\langle f\rangle ms
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  show (ls\langle ks\langle f\rangle ns\rangle ms) (i,j) = (ls\langle f\rangle ms) (i,j)
  proof (cases i \in set \ ls \land j \in set \ ms)
    {\bf case}\  \, True
    with assms show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
  next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
    with assms show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
  qed
qed
lemma restrict-superlist:
  assumes is-sublist ls ks
      and is-sublist ms ns
    shows ks\langle ls\langle f\rangle ms\rangle ns = ls\langle f\rangle ms
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  show (ks\langle ls\langle f\rangle ms\rangle ns) (i,j) = (ls\langle f\rangle ms) (i,j)
  proof (cases i \in set \ ls \land j \in set \ ms)
    {\bf case}\  \, True
    with assms show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
  next
    {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
    with assms show ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
  qed
qed
     The following lemmas give the sizes of the results of some matrix oper-
ations.
lemma restrict-sup:
  fixes fg :: ('a, 'b::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot) square
  shows ks\langle f \oplus g \rangle ls = ks\langle f \rangle ls \oplus ks\langle g \rangle ls
  by (unfold restrict-matrix-def sup-matrix-def) auto
lemma restrict-times:
  fixes fg :: ('a, 'b :: idempotent\text{-}semiring) \ square
  shows ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms = ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (ks\langle (ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)\rangle ms) (i,j)=(if\ i\in set\ ks \land j\in set\ ms\ then\ (\bigsqcup_k
(ks\langle f\rangle ls) (i,k) * (ls\langle g\rangle ms) (k,j)) else bot)
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def restrict-matrix-def)
```

```
also have ... = (if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ms \ then \ (\bigsqcup_k \ (if \ i \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ls))
then f(i,k) else bot) * (if k \in set\ ls \land j \in set\ ms\ then\ g(k,j)\ else\ bot)) else bot)
        by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
    also have ... = (if i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ms \ then \ (\bigsqcup_k \ if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) *
g(k,j) else bot) else bot)
        by (auto intro: sup-monoid.sum.cong)
    also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ms \ then \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) *
g(k,j) else bot) else bot)
        by auto
   also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ (i,k) \ else \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ then \ (if \ k \in set \ ls \ the
ls \wedge j \in set \ ms \ then \ g \ (k,j) \ else \ bot))
        by (auto intro: sup-monoid.sum.cong)
    also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (ks\langle f \rangle ls) (i,k) * (ls\langle g \rangle ms) (k,j))
        by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
    also have ... = (ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms) (i,j)
        by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
    finally show (ks\langle (ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)\rangle ms) (i,j) = (ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms) (i,j)
qed
lemma restrict-star:
    fixes g :: ('a, 'b::kleene-algebra) square
    shows t \langle star-matrix' \ t \ g \rangle t = star-matrix' \ t \ g
proof (induct arbitrary: g rule: list.induct)
    case Nil show ?case
        by (simp add: restrict-empty-left)
next
    case (Cons \ k \ s)
    let ?t = k \# s
    assume \bigwedge g:('a,'b) square . s\langle star-matrix' \ s \ g \rangle s = star-matrix' \ s \ g
    then have 1: ?t\langle star-matrix' \ s \ g \rangle ?t = star-matrix' \ s \ g \ for \ g :: \langle ('a, 'b) \ square \rangle
        using restrict-superlist [of \ s \ \langle k \# s \rangle \ s \ \langle k \# s \rangle \ \langle star-matrix' \ s \ g \rangle]
        by auto
    show ?t\langle star\text{-}matrix' ?t g\rangle ?t = star\text{-}matrix' ?t g
    proof -
        let ?r = [k]
        let ?a = ?r\langle g \rangle ?r
        let ?b = ?r\langle g \rangle s
       let ?c = s\langle g \rangle ?r
        let ?d = s\langle g \rangle s
        let ?as = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?a \rangle ?r
        let ?ds = star-matrix' s ?d
        let ?e = ?a \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c
        let ?es = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?e \rangle ?r
        let ?f = ?d \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b
       let ?fs = star-matrix' s ?f
        have 2: ?t\langle ?as\rangle ?t = ?as \land ?t\langle ?b\rangle ?t = ?b \land ?t\langle ?c\rangle ?t = ?c \land ?t\langle ?es\rangle ?t = ?es
            by (simp add: restrict-superlist subset-eq)
        have 3: ?t\langle ?ds\rangle ?t = ?ds \land ?t\langle ?fs\rangle ?t = ?fs
```

```
using 1 by simp
          have 4: ?t\langle ?t\langle ?as\rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle ?b\rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle ?fs\rangle ?t\rangle ?t = ?t\langle ?as\rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle ?b\rangle ?t \odot
 ?t\langle ?fs\rangle ?t
              by (metis (no-types) restrict-times)
          have 5: ?t\langle ?t\langle ?ds\rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle ?c\rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle ?es\rangle ?t\rangle ?t = ?t\langle ?ds\rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle ?c\rangle ?t \odot
 ?t\langle ?es \rangle ?t
               by (metis (no-types) restrict-times)
          have ?t\langle star-matrix' ?t q\rangle?t = ?t\langle ?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?ds \odot ?ds \odot ?es \odot ?
 ?fs\ ?t
               by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
          ?t\langle ?fs\rangle ?t
               by (simp add: restrict-sup)
         also have ... = ?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?fs
               using 2 3 4 5 by simp
          also have ... = star-matrix' ?t q
              by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
         \textbf{finally show } \textit{?thesis}
     qed
qed
lemma restrict-one:
     assumes \neg k \in set \ ks
          shows (k\#ks)\langle (mone::('a,'b::idempotent-semiring) \ square)\rangle (k\#ks) =
[k]\langle mone \rangle [k] \oplus ks \langle mone \rangle ks
     by (subst restrict-nonempty) (simp add: assms one-disjoint)
lemma restrict-one-left-unit:
     ks\langle (mone::('a::finite,'b::idempotent-semiring) \ square)\rangle ks\odot ks\langle f\rangle ls=ks\langle f\rangle ls
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
     let ?o = mone::('a,'b::idempotent-semiring) square
     fix i j
     have (ks\langle ?o\rangle ks \odot ks\langle f\rangle ls) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k (ks\langle ?o\rangle ks) (i,k) * (ks\langle f\rangle ls) (k,j))
          by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
     also have ... = (| \cdot |_k  (if i \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ks \ then ?o (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if k \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ks \ then ?o (i,k) \ else \ bot)
set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (k,j) \ else \ bot))
          by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
     also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i \in set \ ks \land k \in set \ ks \ then \ (if \ i = k \ then \ 1 \ else \ bot)
else\ bot)*(if\ k\in set\ ks\wedge j\in set\ ls\ then\ f\ (k,j)\ else\ bot))
          by (unfold one-matrix-def) auto
    also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i = k \ then \ (if \ i \in set \ ks \ then \ 1 \ else \ bot) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k
\in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (k,j) \ else \ bot))
          by (auto intro: sup-monoid.sum.cong)
     also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k if \ i = k \ then \ (if \ i \in set \ ks \ then \ 1 \ else \ bot) * (if \ i \in set \ ks
\land j \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,j) \ else \ bot) \ else \ bot)
          by (rule sup-monoid.sum.cong) simp-all
     also have ... = (if \ i \in set \ ks \ then \ 1 \ else \ bot) * (if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ f
(i,j) else bot
```

```
by simp
  also have ... = (if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ f \ (i,j) \ else \ bot)
    by simp
  also have ... = (ks\langle f\rangle ls) (i,j)
    by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
  finally show (ks\langle ?o\rangle ks \odot ks\langle f\rangle ls) (i,j) = (ks\langle f\rangle ls) (i,j)
qed
    The following lemmas consider restrictions to singleton index sets.
lemma restrict-singleton:
  ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]) (i,j) = (if \ i = k \land j = l \ then \ f \ (i,j) \ else \ bot)
  by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
lemma restrict-singleton-list:
  ([k]\langle f\rangle ls)\ (i,j) = (if\ i = k \land j \in set\ ls\ then\ f\ (i,j)\ else\ bot)
  by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
lemma restrict-list-singleton:
  (ks\langle f\rangle[l]) (i,j) = (if \ i \in set \ ks \land j = l \ then \ f \ (i,j) \ else \ bot)
  by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
\mathbf{lemma}\ restrict\text{-}singleton\text{-}product:
  fixes fg :: ('a::finite,'b::kleene-algebra) square
  shows ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[m]\langle g\rangle[n]) (i,j)=(if\ i=k\land l=m\land j=n\ then\ f\ (i,l)*g
(m,j) else bot)
proof -
  have ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[m]\langle g\rangle[n]) (i,j)=(\bigsqcup_h([k]\langle f\rangle[l]) (i,h)*([m]\langle g\rangle[n]) (h,j))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup_h (if \ i = k \land h = l \ then \ f \ (i,h) \ else \ bot) * (if \ h = m \land j = n)
then g(h,j) else bot))
    by (simp add: restrict-singleton)
  also have ... = (\bigsqcup_h if h = l then (if i = k then f (i,h) else bot) * (if h = m \land j)
= n \ then \ g \ (h,j) \ else \ bot) \ else \ bot)
    by (rule sup-monoid.sum.cong) auto
  also have ... = (if \ i = k \ then \ f \ (i,l) \ else \ bot) * (if \ l = m \land j = n \ then \ g \ (l,j)
else bot)
    by simp
  also have ... = (if \ i = k \land l = m \land j = n \ then \ f \ (i,l) * g \ (m,j) \ else \ bot)
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis
qed
     The Kleene star unfold law holds for matrices with a single entry on the
diagonal.
lemma restrict-star-unfold:
  [l]\langle (mone::('a::finite,'b::kleene-algebra) \ square)\rangle[l] \oplus [l]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star \ o \ f\rangle[l] = l
```

 $[l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l]$

```
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  let ?o = mone::('a,'b::kleene-algebra) square
  fix i j
  have ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l] \oplus [l]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,j) = ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l])\ (i,j)\ \sqcup\ ([l]\langle f\rangle[l]\ \odot
[l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,j)
     by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l]) (i,j) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_k ([l]\langle f\rangle[l]) (i,k) * ([l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l]) (k,j))
     by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l]) (i,j) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i = l \land k = l \ then \ f \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) *
(if k = l \wedge j = l then (f (k,j))^* else bot))
     by (simp add: restrict-singleton o-def)
  also have ... = ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l]) (i,j) \sqcup ([] \downarrow_k \text{ if } k = l \text{ then } (if i = l \text{ then } f (i,k) \text{ else})
bot) * (if j = l then (f (k,j))^* else bot) else bot)
     apply (rule arg-cong2[where f=sup])
     apply simp
     by (rule sup-monoid.sum.cong) auto
  also have \dots = ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l]) (i,j) \sqcup (if \ i = l \ then \ f \ (i,l) \ else \ bot) * (if \ j = l \ then
(f(l,j))^* else bot)
     by simp
   also have ... = (if \ i = l \land j = l \ then \ 1 \sqcup f \ (l,l) * (f \ (l,l))^* \ else \ bot)
     by (simp add: restrict-singleton one-matrix-def)
  also have ... = (if \ i = l \land j = l \ then \ (f \ (l,l))^* \ else \ bot)
     by (simp add: star-left-unfold-equal)
  also have ... = ([l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,j)
     by (simp add: restrict-singleton o-def)
  finally show ([l]\langle ?o\rangle[l] \oplus [l]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,j) = ([l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,j)
qed
lemma restrict-all:
   enum-class.enum\langle f \rangle enum-class.enum = f
  by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def enum-UNIV)
      The following shows the various components of a matrix product. It is
essentially a recursive implementation of the product.
lemma restrict-nonempty-product:
  fixes fg :: ('a::finite,'b::idempotent-semiring) square
  assumes \neg l \in set ls
     shows (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)=([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\oplus[k]\langle f\rangle ls
\odot \ ls\langle g\rangle[m]) \oplus ([k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot \ [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus \ [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot \ ls\langle g\rangle ms) \oplus (ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot \ [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus
ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle [m]) \oplus (ks\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle ms)
proof -
  have (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)=([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\oplus[k]\langle f\rangle ls\oplus ks\langle f\rangle[l]\oplus
ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle \odot ([l]\langle g\rangle [m] \oplus [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ls\langle g\rangle [m] \oplus ls\langle g\rangle ms)
     by (metis restrict-nonempty)
  also have ... = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot ([l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ls\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus ls\langle g \rangle ms) \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls
\odot ([l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ls\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ls\langle g\rangle ms) \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot ([l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus [l]\langle g\rangle ms
\oplus \ ls\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus \ ls\langle g\rangle ms) \oplus \ ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ([l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus \ ls\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus \ ls\langle g\rangle ms)
     by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
```

```
also have ... = ([k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]
\oplus \ [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot \ ls\langle g\rangle ms) \oplus ([k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot \ [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot \ [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot
ls\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ls\langle g \rangle[m] 
 ks\langle f \rangle[l] \odot ls\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f \rangle[l] \odot ls\langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot
[l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle [m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)
                        by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup)
             also have ... = ([k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms) \oplus ([k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls)
 ls\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms) \oplus
(ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle [m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)
                           using assms by (simp add: times-disjoint)
             also have ... = ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\oplus[k]\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle[m])\oplus([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot
 [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]) \oplus (ks \langle f \rangle [m]) \oplus (k
 (ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)
                          by (simp add: matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-assoc
 matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-left-commute)
           finally show ?thesis
qed
                            Equality of matrices is componentwise.
lemma restrict-nonempty-eq:
              (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) = (k\#ks)\langle g\rangle(l\#ls) \longleftrightarrow [k]\langle f\rangle[l] = [k]\langle g\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f\rangle ls = [k]\langle g\rangle ls
\wedge \ ks\langle f\rangle[l] = ks\langle g\rangle[l] \wedge \ ks\langle f\rangle ls = ks\langle g\rangle ls
proof
              assume 1: (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) = (k\#ks)\langle g\rangle(l\#ls)
             have 2: is-sublist [k] (k\#ks) \wedge is-sublist ks (k\#ks) \wedge is-sublist [l] (l\#ls) \wedge is-sublist [l]
 is-sublist ls (l \# ls)
                        by auto
             hence [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle ls = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle ls \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f \rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge [k[k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \wedge [k[k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] + [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] + [k]\langle f \rangle[l]
 ks\langle f\rangle[l] = ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\rangle[l] \wedge ks\langle f\rangle ls = ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\rangle ls
                        by (simp add: restrict-sublist)
              thus [k]\langle f\rangle[l] = [k]\langle g\rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f\rangle ls = [k]\langle g\rangle ls \wedge ks\langle f\rangle[l] = ks\langle g\rangle[l] \wedge ks\langle f\rangle ls =
ks\langle g\rangle ls
                        using 1 2 by (simp add: restrict-sublist)
             assume 3: [k]\langle f \rangle[l] = [k]\langle g \rangle[l] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle ls = [k]\langle g \rangle ls \wedge ks \langle f \rangle[l] = ks \langle g \rangle[l] \wedge ks \langle f \rangle ls
 = ks\langle q \rangle ls
              show (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) = (k\#ks)\langle g\rangle(l\#ls)
             proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
                        fix i j
                       have 4: f(k,l) = g(k,l)
                                     using 3 by (metis restrict-singleton)
                        have 5: j \in set \ ls \Longrightarrow f \ (k,j) = g \ (k,j)
                                     using 3 by (metis restrict-singleton-list)
                        have 6: i \in set \ ks \Longrightarrow f(i,l) = g(i,l)
                                     using 3 by (metis restrict-list-singleton)
                        have (ks\langle f\rangle ls) (i,j) = (ks\langle g\rangle ls) (i,j)
                                     using \beta by simp
                        hence 7: i \in set \ ks \Longrightarrow j \in set \ ls \Longrightarrow f(i,j) = g(i,j)
```

```
by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
            have ((k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)) (i,j)=(if\ (i=k\lor i\in set\ ks)\land (j=l\lor j\in set\ ls)
then f(i,j) else bot)
                 by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
            also have ... = (if i = k \land j = l then f(i,j) else if i = k \land j \in set ls then f
(i,j) else if i \in set \ ks \land j = l \ then f (i,j) else if i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then f (i,j)
else bot)
                 by auto
            also have ... = (if \ i = k \land j = l \ then \ g \ (i,j) \ else \ if \ i = k \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ g
(i,j) else if i \in set \ ks \land j = l \ then \ g \ (i,j) else if i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ls \ then \ g \ (i,j)
else bot)
                 using 4 5 6 7 by simp
            also have ... = (if (i = k \lor i \in set ks) \land (j = l \lor j \in set ks) then g (i,j) else
bot)
                  by auto
            also have ... = ((k\#ks)\langle q\rangle(l\#ls)) (i,j)
                 by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
            finally show ((k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)) (i,j) = ((k\#ks)\langle g\rangle(l\#ls)) (i,j)
     qed
qed
              Inequality of matrices is componentwise.
lemma restrict-nonempty-less-eq:
       fixes fg :: ('a, 'b::idempotent-semiring) square
       shows (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \leq (k\#ks)\langle g\rangle(l\#ls) \longleftrightarrow [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \leq [k]\langle g\rangle[l] \land [k]\langle f\rangle ls \leq
[k]\langle g\rangle ls \wedge ks\langle f\rangle [l] \leq ks\langle g\rangle [l] \wedge ks\langle f\rangle ls \leq ks\langle g\rangle ls
      by (unfold matrix-semilattice-sup.sup.order-iff) (metis (no-types, lifting)
restrict-nonempty-eq restrict-sup)
              The following lemmas treat repeated restrictions to disjoint index sets.
lemma restrict-disjoint-left:
      assumes disjoint ks ms
            shows ms\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle ns = mbot
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
      fix i j
      have (ms\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle ns) (i,j) = (if \ i \in set \ ms \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ i \in 
set ls then f(i,j) else bot else bot)
            by (auto simp add: restrict-matrix-def)
      thus (ms\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle ns) (i,j) = mbot (i,j)
             using assms by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
qed
lemma restrict-disjoint-right:
      assumes disjoint ls ns
            shows ms\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle ns = mbot
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
     fix i j
     have (ms\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle ns) (i,j) = (if \ i \in set \ ms \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ks \land j \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ if \ i \in set \ ns \ then \ i \in set \ ns \ t
set ls then f(i,j) else bot else bot)
```

```
using assms by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
                             The following lemma expresses the equality of a matrix and a product
of two matrices componentwise.
lemma restrict-nonempty-product-eq:
            fixes f g h :: ('a::finite,'b::idempotent-semiring) square
            assumes \neg k \in set \ ks
                                   and \neg l \in set ls
                                     and \neg m \in set ms
                        shows (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)=(k\#ks)\langle h\rangle(m\#ms)\longleftrightarrow
 [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] = [k]\langle h \rangle [m] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ls \langle g \rangle [m] \otimes ls \langle g
 [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms = [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] =
 ks\langle h\rangle[m] \wedge ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms = ks\langle h\rangle ms
proof -
            have 1: disjoint [k] ks \land disjoint [m] ms
                        by (auto simp add: assms(1,3))
            have 2: [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus
 [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]
           proof -
                        have [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = [k]\langle ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]
 \oplus \ [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot \ ls \langle g \rangle [m]) \ \oplus \ ([k]\langle f \rangle [l] \ \odot \ [l]\langle g \rangle ms \ \oplus \ [k]\langle f \rangle ls \ \odot \ ls \langle g \rangle ms) \ \oplus \ (ks \langle f \rangle [l] \ \odot
[l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]) \oplus (ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)\rangle[m]
                                   by (metis\ assms(2)\ restrict-nonempty-product)
                        also have ... = [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus
 [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle[m]\oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle[m]\oplus [k]\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot
 [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \otimes [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \otimes [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \rangle [m] \otimes [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \rangle [m
 [k]\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle [m]
                                     by (simp add: matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-assoc
 restrict-sup)
                        also have ... = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot
 [l]\langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] \odot [k] 
 [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle [l] \odot
 [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle [m]
                                     \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon restrict\text{-}times)
                        also have ... = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle[m]
                                     using 1 by (metis restrict-disjoint-left restrict-disjoint-right
 matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-right)
                        finally show ?thesis
            qed
            have 3: [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms = [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus
 [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms
            proof -
                        have [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms = [k]\langle ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\oplus
 [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]) \oplus ([k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [k]\langle g \rangle ms)
 [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]) \oplus (ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)\rangle ms
```

by (simp add: restrict-matrix-def) thus ($ms\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\rangle ns$) (i,j)=mbot (i,j)

```
by (metis assms(2) restrict-nonempty-product)
                  also have ... = [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus
[k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\oplus [k]\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot
[l]\langle q \rangle [m] \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle q \rangle [m] \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle q \rangle ms \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle ks \langle f \rangle ls
\odot ls\langle q\rangle ms\rangle ms
                            by (simp add: matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-assoc
restrict-sup)
                   also have ... = [k]\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot
ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle ms \,\oplus\, [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms \,\oplus\, [k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, ls\langle g\rangle ms \,\oplus\, [k]\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l] \,\odot\, ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle[m]
[l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms
                            by (simp add: restrict-times)
                  also have ... = [k]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms
                            using 1 by (metis restrict-disjoint-left restrict-disjoint-right
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-right
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
                  finally show ?thesis
         qed
         have 4: ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus
ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle [m]
         proof -
                   have ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m]=ks\langle ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\oplus
[k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]) \oplus ([k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [k]\langle g \rangle ms)
[l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]) \oplus (ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms)\rangle[m]
                            by (metis assms(2) restrict-nonempty-product)
                   also have ... = ks\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus
ks\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle[m]\oplus ks\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle[m]\oplus ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot
[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls
\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle[m]
                            by (simp add: matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-assoc
restrict-sup)
                  also have ... = ks\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot
ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle[m] \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle[l] \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle[m] \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, ms\rangle[m] \,
ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[m] \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[m] \oplus
[l]\langle q \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m] \oplus ks \langle ks \langle ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle q \rangle ms \rangle ms \rangle [m]
                            by (simp add: restrict-times)
                  also have ... = ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]
                            using 1 by (metis restrict-disjoint-left restrict-disjoint-right
matrix	ext{-}bounded	ext{-}semilattice	ext{-}sup	ext{-}bot.sup	ext{-}monoid.add	ext{-}0	ext{-}right
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
                  finally show ?thesis
        have 5: ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms = ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle ms\oplus
ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms
         proof -
                  have ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms = ks\langle ([k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle[m]\oplus
[k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]) \oplus ([k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms) \oplus (ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [k]\langle g \rangle ms)
```

```
[l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]) \oplus (ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l] \langle g \rangle ms \oplus ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms) \rangle ms
                                     by (metis\ assms(2)\ restrict-nonempty-product)
                         also have ... = ks\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus
 ks\langle[k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle q\rangle ms\rangle ms\oplus ks\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle q\rangle ms\rangle ms\oplus ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle q\rangle[m]\rangle ms
\oplus \ ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle q\rangle [m]\rangle ms\oplus \ ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle [l]\odot [l]\langle q\rangle ms\rangle ms\oplus \ ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle q\rangle ms\rangle ms
                                     by (simp add: matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-assoc
restrict-sup)
                         also have ... = ks\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle [k]\langle [k]\langle f\rangle ls \odot
ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle[l] \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \,\oplus\, ks\langle[k]\langle[k]\langle f\rangle ls \,\odot\, [l]\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms)
ls\langle g\rangle ms\rangle ms\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle [m]\rangle [m]\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle ks\langle ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot
ls\langle g\rangle[m]\rangle[m]\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms
                                     by (simp add: restrict-times)
                        also have ... = ks\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle ms\oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms
                                     using 1 by (metis restrict-disjoint-left restrict-disjoint-right
 matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
                        finally show ?thesis
            qed
            have (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)=(k\#ks)\langle h\rangle(m\#ms)\longleftrightarrow
 (k\#ks)\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle(m\#ms) = (k\#ks)\langle h\rangle(m\#ms)
                        by (simp add: restrict-times)
              also have ... \longleftrightarrow [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = [k]\langle h\rangle[m] \land
 [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms = [k]\langle h\rangle ms \wedge ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\rangle ms
(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = ks\langle h\rangle[m] \wedge ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms
= ks\langle h\rangle ms
                        by (meson restrict-nonempty-eq)
            also have ... \longleftrightarrow [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] = [k]\langle h \rangle [m] \wedge
 [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms = [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms = [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms = [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus 
 ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle [m] = ks\langle h \rangle [m] \wedge ks\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle ms = ks\langle h \rangle ms
                        using 2 3 4 5 by simp
            finally show ?thesis
                        by simp
qed
                             The following lemma gives a componentwise characterisation of the in-
equality of a matrix and a product of two matrices.
lemma restrict-nonempty-product-less-eq:
            fixes f g h :: ('a::finite, 'b::idempotent-semiring) square
            assumes \neg k \in set \ ks
                                   and \neg l \in set ls
                                   and \neg m \in set ms
                        shows (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms) \preceq (k\#ks)\langle h\rangle(m\#ms) \longleftrightarrow
 [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] \preceq [k]\langle h \rangle [m] \wedge [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ls \langle g \rangle [m] \otimes ls \langle g
 [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \preceq [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ks \langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] \preceq ls \langle g \rangle [m] \otimes ls \langle g \rangle
ks\langle h\rangle[m] \wedge ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle g\rangle ms \leq ks\langle h\rangle ms
proof -
            have 1: [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = [k]\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus
 [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m]
                        by (metis assms restrict-nonempty-product-eq restrict-times)
```

```
[k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms
            by (metis assms restrict-nonempty-product-eq restrict-times)
       have 3: ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] = ks\langle f\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m] \oplus
ks\langle f\rangle ls \odot ls\langle q\rangle [m]
            by (metis assms restrict-nonempty-product-eq restrict-times)
       have 4: ks \langle (k\#ks) \langle f \rangle (l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls) \langle g \rangle (m\#ms) \rangle ms = ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l] \langle g \rangle ms \oplus l
ks\langle f\rangle ls\odot ls\langle g\rangle ms
            by (metis assms restrict-nonempty-product-eq restrict-times)
       have (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\preceq(k\#ks)\langle h\rangle(m\#ms)\longleftrightarrow
(k\#ks)\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle(m\#ms)\preceq(k\#ks)\langle h\rangle(m\#ms)
            by (simp add: restrict-times)
      also have ... \longleftrightarrow [k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m]\preceq [k]\langle h\rangle[m]\wedge
[k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms \preceq [k]\langle h\rangle ms \wedge ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot
(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle[m] \leq ks\langle h\rangle[m] \wedge ks\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls) \odot (l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms
\prec ks\langle h\rangle ms
            by (meson restrict-nonempty-less-eq)
      also have ... \longleftrightarrow [k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle [m] \preceq [k]\langle h \rangle [m] \wedge
[k]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus [k]\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls \langle g \rangle ms \preceq [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \otimes ls \langle g \rangle ms \simeq [k]\langle h \rangle ms \wedge ks \langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \oplus ls \langle g \rangle ms \otimes ls \langle g \rangle 
ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle [m] \preceq ks\langle h \rangle [m] \wedge ks\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \oplus ks\langle f \rangle ls \odot ls\langle g \rangle ms \preceq ks\langle h \rangle ms
            using 1 2 3 4 by simp
       finally show ?thesis
            by simp
qed
               The Kleene star induction laws hold for matrices with a single entry on
the diagonal. The matrix g can actually contain a whole row/colum at the
appropriate index.
lemma restrict-star-left-induct:
      fixes fg :: ('a::finite,'b::kleene-algebra) square
       shows distinct ms \Longrightarrow [l]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \preceq [l]\langle g \rangle ms \Longrightarrow [l]\langle star \ o \ f \rangle[l] \odot
[l]\langle g\rangle ms \preceq [l]\langle g\rangle ms
proof (induct ms)
       case Nil thus ?case
            by (simp add: restrict-empty-right)
      case (Cons m ms)
      assume 1: distinct ms \Longrightarrow [l]\langle f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \preceq [l]\langle g \rangle ms \Longrightarrow [l]\langle star \ o \ f \rangle[l] \odot
[l]\langle g\rangle ms \preceq [l]\langle g\rangle ms
       assume 2: distinct \ (m\#ms)
       assume \beta: [l]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\preceq[l]\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)
      have 4: [l]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \preceq [l]\langle g \rangle [m] \wedge [l]\langle f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \preceq [l]\langle g \rangle ms
            using 23
            by (metis distinct.simps(2) distinct-singleton matrix-semilattice-sup.le-sup-iff
                        restrict-nonempty-product-less-eq)
      hence 5: [l]\langle star \ o \ f \rangle [l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle ms \preceq [l]\langle g \rangle ms
             using 1 2 by simp
       have f(l,l) * g(l,m) \leq g(l,m)
            using 4 by (metis restrict-singleton-product restrict-singleton
```

have 2: $[k]\langle (k\#ks)\langle f\rangle(l\#ls)\odot(l\#ls)\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\rangle ms = [k]\langle f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle ms\oplus$

```
less-eq-matrix-def)
  hence 6: (f(l,l))^* * g(l,m) \leq g(l,m)
    by (simp add: star-left-induct-mult)
  have [l]\langle star \ o \ f \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle g \rangle[m] \preceq [l]\langle g \rangle[m]
  proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
    have ([l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l]\odot [l]\langle g\rangle[m])\ (i,j)=(\bigsqcup_k\ ([l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,k)\ *
([l]\langle g\rangle[m])\ (k,j))
       by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
    also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i = l \land k = l \ then \ (f \ (i,k))^* \ else \ bot) * (if \ k = l \land j
= m \ then \ g \ (k,j) \ else \ bot)
       by (simp add: restrict-singleton o-def)
    also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k if k = l then (if i = l then (f (i,k))^* else bot) * (if j = m)
then g(k,j) else bot) else bot)
       by (rule sup-monoid.sum.conq) auto
    also have ... = (if \ i = l \ then \ (f \ (i,l))^* \ else \ bot) * (if \ j = m \ then \ g \ (l,j) \ else
bot)
       by simp
    also have ... = (if \ i = l \land j = m \ then \ (f \ (l,l))^* * g \ (l,m) \ else \ bot)
       by simp
    also have ... \leq (\lceil l \rceil \langle g \rangle \lceil m \rceil) (i,j)
       using 6 by (simp add: restrict-singleton)
    finally show ([l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l]\ \odot\ [l]\langle g\rangle[m])\ (i,j)\le ([l]\langle g\rangle[m])\ (i,j)
  qed
  thus [l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)\preceq[l]\langle g\rangle(m\#ms)
    using 2 5 by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting)
matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup matrix-semilattice-sup.sup.mono
restrict-nonempty-right)
qed
lemma restrict-star-right-induct:
  fixes fg :: ('a::finite,'b::kleene-algebra) square
  shows distinct ms \Longrightarrow ms\langle g \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle f \rangle[l] \preceq ms\langle g \rangle[l] \Longrightarrow ms\langle g \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star\ o
f\rangle[l] \leq ms\langle g\rangle[l]
proof (induct ms)
  case Nil thus ?case
    by (simp add: restrict-empty-left)
next
  case (Cons \ m \ ms)
  assume 1: distinct ms \Longrightarrow ms\langle g \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle f \rangle[l] \preceq ms\langle g \rangle[l] \Longrightarrow ms\langle g \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star
o f \rangle |l| \leq ms \langle g \rangle |l|
  assume 2: distinct (m\#ms)
  assume \beta: (m\#ms)\langle g\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle f\rangle[l] \preceq (m\#ms)\langle g\rangle[l]
  have 4: [m]\langle g \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle f \rangle[l] \preceq [m]\langle g \rangle[l] \wedge ms\langle g \rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle f \rangle[l] \preceq ms\langle g \rangle[l]
    using 23
    by (metis distinct.simps(2) distinct-singleton matrix-semilattice-sup.le-sup-iff
         restrict-nonempty-product-less-eq)
  hence 5: ms\langle g\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l] \preceq ms\langle g\rangle[l]
```

```
using 1 2 by simp
      have g(m,l) * f(l,l) \le g(m,l)
          using 4 by (metis restrict-singleton-product restrict-singleton
less-eq-matrix-def)
     hence \theta: g(m,l) * (f(l,l))^* \le g(m,l)
          by (simp add: star-right-induct-mult)
     have [m]\langle g\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l] \preceq [m]\langle g\rangle[l]
     proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
          fix i j
          \mathbf{have} \ ([m]\langle g\rangle[l] \ \odot \ [l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,j}) = (\bigsqcup_k \ ([m]\langle g\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,j}) = (l) \ ([m]\langle g\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,j}) = (l) \ ([m]\langle g\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,j}) = (l) \ ([m]\langle g\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ * \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ (\mathit{i,k}) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) \ ([l]\langle \mathit{star} \ o \ f\rangle[l]) 
f\rangle[l])\ (k,j)
                by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
          also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k (if \ i = m \land k = l \ then \ g \ (i,k) \ else \ bot) * (if \ k = l \land j = l)
l \ then \ (f \ (k,j))^* \ else \ bot))
                by (simp add: restrict-singleton o-def)
          also have ... = (\bigsqcup_k if k = l then (if i = m then g (i,k) else bot) * (if j = l)
then (f(k,j))^* else bot) else bot)
               by (rule sup-monoid.sum.cong) auto
          also have ... = (if \ i = m \ then \ g \ (i,l) \ else \ bot) * (if \ j = l \ then \ (f \ (l,j))^* \ else
bot)
          also have ... = (if \ i = m \land j = l \ then \ g \ (m,l) * (f \ (l,l))^* \ else \ bot)
                by simp
          also have ... \leq ([m]\langle g \rangle[l]) (i,j)
                using 6 by (simp add: restrict-singleton)
          finally show ([m]\langle g\rangle[l] \odot [l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l])\ (i,j) \le ([m]\langle g\rangle[l])\ (i,j)
     ged
      thus (m\#ms)\langle g\rangle[l]\odot[l]\langle star\ o\ f\rangle[l]\preceq (m\#ms)\langle g\rangle[l]
          using 25
          by (metis matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup
                      matrix-idempotent-semiring.semiring.add-mono restrict-nonempty-left)
qed
lemma restrict-pp:
     fixes f :: ('a, 'b :: p-algebra) square
     shows ks \langle \ominus \ominus f \rangle ls = \ominus \ominus (ks \langle f \rangle ls)
     by (unfold restrict-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def) auto
lemma pp-star-commute:
      fixes f :: ('a, 'b::stone-kleene-relation-algebra) square
      \mathbf{shows} \ominus \ominus (star \ o \ f) = star \ o \ominus \ominus f
     by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def o-def pp-dist-star)
```

6.2 Matrices form a Kleene Algebra

Matrices over Kleene algebras form a Kleene algebra using Conway's construction. It remains to prove one unfold and two induction axioms of the Kleene star. Each proof is by induction over the size of the matrix repre-

sented by an index list.

```
interpretation matrix-kleene-algebra: kleene-algebra-var where sup =
sup-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and less = less-matrix and bot =
bot-matrix::('a::enum,'b::kleene-algebra) square and one = one-matrix and times
= times-matrix and star = star-matrix
proof
  fix y :: ('a, 'b) square
  let ?e = enum-class.enum:'a list
  let ?o = mone :: ('a, 'b) square
  have \forall g :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ distinct \ ?e \longrightarrow (?e\langle ?o\rangle ?e \oplus ?e\langle g\rangle ?e \odot \ star-matrix'
(e \ g) = (star-matrix' \ (e \ g))
  proof (induct rule: list.induct)
    case Nil thus ?case
      by (simp add: restrict-empty-left)
    case (Cons \ k \ s)
    let ?t = k \# s
    assume 1: \forall g :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ distinct \ s \longrightarrow (s\langle ?o\rangle s \oplus s\langle g\rangle s \odot \ star-matrix'
(s, g) = (star-matrix' s g)
    show \forall g :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ distinct \ ?t \longrightarrow (?t\langle ?o\rangle ?t \oplus ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \odot \ star-matrix'
?t \ g) = (star-matrix' \ ?t \ g)
    proof (rule allI, rule impI)
      fix g :: ('a, 'b) square
      assume 2: distinct ?t
      let ?r = [k]
      let ?a = ?r\langle g\rangle?r
      let ?b = ?r\langle g \rangle s
      let ?c = s\langle g \rangle ?r
      let ?d = s\langle g \rangle s
      let ?as = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?a \rangle ?r
      let ?ds = star-matrix' s ?d
      let ?e = ?a \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c
      let ?es = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?e \rangle ?r
      let ?f = ?d \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b
      let ?fs = star-matrix' s ?f
      have s\langle ?ds\rangle s = ?ds \wedge s\langle ?fs\rangle s = ?fs
        by (simp add: restrict-star)
      hence 3: ?r\langle ?e \rangle ?r = ?e \wedge s\langle ?f \rangle s = ?f
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) restrict-one-left-unit restrict-sup restrict-times)
      have 4: disjoint s ? r \land disjoint ? r s
        using 2 by simp
      hence 5: ?t\langle ?o\rangle ?t = ?r\langle ?o\rangle ?r \oplus s\langle ?o\rangle s
        by (auto intro: restrict-one)
      have 6: ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?es = ?a \odot ?es \oplus ?c \odot ?es
      proof -
        have ?t\langle g\rangle?t\odot?es = (?a\oplus?b\oplus?c\oplus?d)\odot?es
          by (metis restrict-nonempty)
        also have ... = ?a \odot ?es \oplus ?b \odot ?es \oplus ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?d \odot ?es
          by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
```

```
also have ... = ?a \odot ?es \oplus ?c \odot ?es
          using 4 by (simp add: times-disjoint)
        finally show ?thesis
      have 7: ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs = ?a \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b
⊙ ?fs
        have ?t\langle g\rangle?t\odot?as\odot?b\odot?fs=(?a\oplus?b\oplus?c\oplus?d)\odot?as\odot?b\odot?fs
          by (metis restrict-nonempty)
        also have ... = ?a \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?b \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?as
\odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?d \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs
          by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
        also have ... = ?a \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs
          using 4 by (simp add: times-disjoint)
        finally show ?thesis
      qed
     have 8: ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es = ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?d \odot ?ds \odot ?c
⊙ ?es
      proof -
        have ?t\langle g\rangle?t\odot?ds\odot?c\odot?es=(?a\oplus?b\oplus?c\oplus?d)\odot?ds\odot?c\odot?es
          by (metis restrict-nonempty)
        also have ... = ?a \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?c \odot ?ds
\odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?d \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es
          by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
        also have ... = ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?d \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es
          using 4 by (metis (no-types, lifting) times-disjoint
matrix\-idempotent\-semiring.mult\-left\-zero restrict\-star
matrix	ext{-}bounded	ext{-}semilattice	ext{-}sup	ext{-}bot.sup	ext{-}monoid.add	ext{-}0	ext{-}right
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
        finally show ?thesis
      qed
      have 9: ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?fs = ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?d \odot ?fs
        have ?t\langle g\rangle?t\odot?fs = (?a\oplus?b\oplus?c\oplus?d)\odot?fs
          by (metis restrict-nonempty)
        also have ... = ?a \odot ?fs \oplus ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?fs \oplus ?d \odot ?fs
          by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
        also have ... = ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?d \odot ?fs
          using 4 by (metis (no-types, lifting) times-disjoint restrict-star
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-right
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
        finally show ?thesis
      qed
      have ?t\langle ?o\rangle ?t \oplus ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \odot star-matrix' ?t g = ?t\langle ?o\rangle ?t \oplus ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \odot (?es \oplus ?t)
?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?fs)
```

```
by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
                also have ... = ?t\langle ?o \rangle ?t \oplus ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?es \oplus ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus
 ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \odot ?fs
                     by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-assoc)
                also have ... = ?r\langle ?o \rangle ?r \oplus s\langle ?o \rangle s \oplus ?a \odot ?es \oplus ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?a \odot ?as \odot ?b
 \circ ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?d \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus 
 ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?d \odot ?fs
                     using 5 6 7 8 9 by (simp add: matrix-semilattice-sup.sup.assoc)
                also have ... = (?r\langle ?o \rangle?r \oplus (?a \odot ?es \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es)) \oplus (?b \odot ?es)
 ?fs \oplus ?a \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs) \oplus (?c \odot ?es \oplus ?d \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus (s\langle ?o\rangle s \oplus ?es) \oplus (s\langle 
(?d \odot ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs))
                     by (simp only: matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-assoc
matrix\hbox{-}semilattice\hbox{-}sup.sup\hbox{-}commute\ matrix\hbox{-}semilattice\hbox{-}sup.sup\hbox{-}left\hbox{-}commute)
                also have ... = (?r\langle ?o \rangle ?r \oplus (?a \odot ?es \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es)) \oplus
(?r\langle?o\rangle?r\odot?b\odot?fs\oplus?a\odot?as\odot?b\odot?fs)\oplus(s\langle?o\rangles\odot?c\odot?es\oplus?d\odot?ds
\odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus (s\langle ?o\rangle s \oplus (?d \odot ?fs \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs))
                     by (simp add: restrict-one-left-unit)
                also have ... = (?r\langle?o\rangle?r \oplus ?e \odot ?es) \oplus ((?r\langle?o\rangle?r \oplus ?a \odot ?as) \odot ?b \odot
 ?fs) \oplus ((s\langle ?o\rangle s \oplus ?d \odot ?ds) \odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus (s\langle ?o\rangle s \oplus ?f \odot ?fs)
                     by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
                also have ... = (?r\langle ?o\rangle?r \oplus ?e \odot ?es) \oplus ((?r\langle ?o\rangle?r \oplus ?a \odot ?as) \odot ?b \odot
 ?fs) \oplus ((s\langle ?o\rangle s \oplus ?d \odot ?ds) \odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus ?fs
                     using 1 2 3 by (metis\ distinct.simps(2))
                also have ... = (?r\langle ?o \rangle ?r \oplus ?e \odot ?es) \oplus ((?r\langle ?o \rangle ?r \oplus ?a \odot ?as) \odot ?b \odot
 ?fs) \oplus (?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus ?fs
                     using 12
                     by (metis distinct.simps(2) restrict-one-left-unit restrict-times)
                also have ... = ?es \oplus ((?r\langle?o\rangle?r \oplus ?a \odot ?as) \odot ?b \odot ?fs) \oplus (?ds \odot ?c \odot ?as) \otimes ?b \otimes ?fs)
 ?es) \oplus ?fs
                     using 3 by (metis restrict-star-unfold)
                also have ... = ?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?fs
                     by (metis (no-types, lifting) restrict-one-left-unit restrict-star-unfold
restrict-times)
                also have ... = star-matrix' ?t g
                     by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
                finally show ?t\langle ?o\rangle ?t \oplus ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \odot star-matrix' ?t g = star-matrix' ?t g
           qed
     qed
      thus ?o \oplus y \odot y^{\odot} \preceq y^{\odot}
          by (simp add: enum-distinct restrict-all)
     fix x y z :: ('a, 'b) square
     let ?e = enum-class.enum:'a list
     have \forall g \ h :: ('a, 'b) \ square \ . \ \forall zs \ . \ distinct \ ?e \land \ distinct \ zs \longrightarrow (?e\langle g \rangle ?e \ \odot
 ?e\langle h\rangle zs \preceq ?e\langle h\rangle zs \longrightarrow star-matrix' ?e g \odot ?e\langle h\rangle zs \preceq ?e\langle h\rangle zs)
     proof (induct rule: list.induct)
          case Nil thus ?case
```

```
by (simp add: restrict-empty-left)
     case (Cons \ k \ s)
     let ?t = k \# s
     assume 1: \forall g \ h :: ('a, 'b) \ square \ . \ \forall zs \ . \ distinct \ s \land \ distinct \ zs \longrightarrow (s\langle g \rangle s \odot )
s\langle h\rangle zs \leq s\langle h\rangle zs \longrightarrow star\text{-}matrix' \ s \ g \odot s\langle h\rangle zs \leq s\langle h\rangle zs)
     show \forall g \ h :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ \forall zs \ . \ distinct \ ?t \land \ distinct \ zs \longrightarrow (?t\langle g\rangle?t \ \odot
?t\langle h\rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h\rangle zs \longrightarrow star-matrix' ?t g \odot ?t\langle h\rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h\rangle zs)
     proof (intro allI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ g \ h :: ('a,'b) \ square
        \mathbf{fix} \ zs :: \ 'a \ list
       show distinct ?t \wedge distinct zs \longrightarrow (?t\langle g \rangle?t \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h \rangle zs \longrightarrow
star-matrix'?t g \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h \rangle zs)
       proof (cases zs)
          case Nil thus ?thesis
             by (metis restrict-empty-right restrict-star restrict-times)
        next
          case (Cons \ y \ ys)
          assume 2: zs = y \# ys
          show distinct ?t \wedge distinct zs \longrightarrow (?t\langle g \rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h \rangle zs \longrightarrow
star-matrix' ?t g \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h \rangle zs)
          proof (intro impI)
             let ?y = [y]
             assume 3: distinct ?t \land distinct zs
             hence 4: distinct s \land distinct ys \land \neg k \in set s \land \neg y \in set ys
                using 2 by simp
             let ?r = [k]
             let ?a = ?r\langle g \rangle ?r
             let ?b = ?r\langle g \rangle s
             let ?c = s\langle g \rangle ?r
             let ?d = s\langle g \rangle s
             let ?as = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?a \rangle ?r
             let ?ds = star-matrix' s ?d
             let ?e = ?a \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c
             let ?es = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?e \rangle ?r
             let ?f = ?d \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b
             let ?fs = star-matrix' s ?f
             let ?ha = ?r\langle h \rangle ?y
             let ?hb = ?r\langle h \rangle ys
             let ?hc = s\langle h \rangle ?y
             let ?hd = s\langle h \rangle ys
             assume ?t\langle g\rangle?t\odot?t\langle h\rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h\rangle zs
             hence 5: ?a \odot ?ha \oplus ?b \odot ?hc \preceq ?ha \wedge ?a \odot ?hb \oplus ?b \odot ?hd \preceq ?hb \wedge
?c \odot ?ha \oplus ?d \odot ?hc \preceq ?hc \land ?c \odot ?hb \oplus ?d \odot ?hd \preceq ?hd
                using 2 3 4 by simp
                  (meson\ matrix-semilattice-sup.le-sup-iff
restrict-nonempty-product-less-eq)
             have 6: s\langle ?ds \rangle s = ?ds \wedge s\langle ?fs \rangle s = ?fs
               by (simp add: restrict-star)
             hence 7: ?r\langle ?e \rangle ?r = ?e \wedge s\langle ?f \rangle s = ?f
```

```
by (metis (no-types, lifting) restrict-one-left-unit restrict-sup
restrict-times)
          have 8: disjoint s ? r \land disjoint ? r s
            using 3 by simp
          have 9: ?es \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs = ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?es \odot ?hb
          proof -
            have ?es \odot ?t\langle h\rangle zs = ?es \odot (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd)
              using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            also have ... = ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?es \odot ?hc \oplus ?es \odot ?hd
              by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup)
            also have ... = ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?es \odot ?hb
              using 8 by (simp add: times-disjoint)
            finally show ?thesis
          qed
          have 10: ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs = ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?as \odot ?b
⊙ ?fs ⊙ ?hd
          proof -
            have ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?t\langle h\rangle zs = ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc
\oplus ?hd)
              using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            also have ... = ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?ha \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hb \oplus ?as
\odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd
              by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup)
            also have ... = ?as \odot ?b \odot (?fs \odot ?ha) \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot (?fs \odot ?hb) \oplus
?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd
              by (simp add: matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
            also have ... = ?as \odot ?b \odot mbot \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot mbot \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs
\odot ?hc \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd
              using 6 8 by (metis (no-types) times-disjoint)
            also have ... = ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd
              by simp
            finally show ?thesis
          have 11: ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs = ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?ds \odot ?c
\odot ?es \odot ?hb
          proof -
            have ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?t\langle h\rangle zs = ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc
\oplus ?hd)
              using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            also have ... = ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?ds
\odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hc \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hd
              by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup)
            also have ... = ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?ds
\odot ?c \odot (?es \odot ?hc) \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot (?es \odot ?hd)
              by (simp add: matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
            also have ... = ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?ds
\odot ?c \odot mbot \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot mbot
```

```
using 8 by (metis times-disjoint)
           also have ... = ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb
             \mathbf{by} \ simp
           finally show ?thesis
         \mathbf{qed}
         have 12: ?fs \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs = ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?fs \odot ?hd
         proof -
           have ?fs \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs = ?fs \odot (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd)
             using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
           also have ... = ?fs \odot ?ha \oplus ?fs \odot ?hb \oplus ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?fs \odot ?hd
             by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup)
           also have ... = ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?fs \odot ?hd
             using 6 8 by (metis (no-types) times-disjoint
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
           finally show ?thesis
         qed
         have 13: ?es \odot ?ha \leq ?ha
         proof -
           have ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?ha \preceq ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?hc
             using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
           also have ... \leq ?b \odot ?hc
             using 1 3 5 by (simp add:
matrix\mbox{-}idempotent\mbox{-}semiring.mult\mbox{-}right\mbox{-}isotone\ matrix\mbox{-}monoid.mult\mbox{-}assoc
restrict-sublist)
           also have ... \leq ?ha
             using 5 by simp
           finally have ?e \odot ?ha \preceq ?ha
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
           thus ?thesis
             using 7 by (simp add: restrict-star-left-induct)
         qed
         have 14: ?es \odot ?hb \preceq ?hb
         proof -
           have ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?hb \preceq ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?hd
             using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
           also have \dots \leq ?b \odot ?hd
             using 1 4 5 by (simp add:
matrix-idempotent-semiring. mult-right-isotone\ matrix-monoid. mult-assoc
restrict-sublist)
           also have \dots \leq ?hb
             using 5 by simp
           finally have ?e \odot ?hb \preceq ?hb
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
           thus ?thesis
             using 4 7 by (simp add: restrict-star-left-induct)
```

```
qed
        have 15: ?fs \odot ?hc \preceq ?hc
        proof -
          have ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?hc \preceq ?c \odot ?as \odot ?ha
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          also have ... \leq ?c \odot ?ha
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc restrict-star-left-induct restrict-sublist)
          also have ... \leq ?hc
            using 5 by simp
          finally have ?f \odot ?hc \preceq ?hc
           using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
          \mathbf{thus}~? the sis
            using 1 3 7 by simp
        qed
        have 16: ?fs \odot ?hd \prec ?hd
        proof -
          have ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?hd \preceq ?c \odot ?as \odot ?hb
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          also have ... \leq ?c \odot ?hb
           using 4 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix{-}monoid.mult{-}assoc\ restrict{-}star{-}left{-}induct\ restrict{-}sublist)
          also have \dots \leq ?hd
            using 5 by simp
          finally have ?f \odot ?hd \preceq ?hd
           using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
          thus ?thesis
            using 1 4 7 by simp
        qed
        have 17: ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \preceq ?ha
        proof -
          have ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \preceq ?as \odot ?b \odot ?hc
           using 15 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          also have ... \leq ?as \odot ?ha
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          also have ... \leq ?ha
            using 5 by (simp add: restrict-star-left-induct restrict-sublist)
          finally show ?thesis
        qed
        have 18: ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd \preceq ?hb
        proof -
          have ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd \prec ?as \odot ?b \odot ?hd
           using 16 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
```

```
also have ... \leq ?as \odot ?hb
              \mathbf{using}\ 5\ \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ matrix-idempotent\text{-}semiring.mult\text{-}right\text{-}isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
           also have ... \leq ?hb
              using 4 5 by (simp add: restrict-star-left-induct restrict-sublist)
            finally show ?thesis
          qed
          have 19: ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \preceq ?hc
          proof -
            have ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \preceq ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?ha
             using 13 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
            also have ... \leq ?ds \odot ?hc
              \mathbf{using}\ 5\ \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add:}\ \mathit{matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone}
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
            also have ... \leq ?hc
              using 1 3 5 by (simp add: restrict-sublist)
            finally show ?thesis
          qed
          have 20: ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \preceq ?hd
          proof -
            have ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \preceq ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?hb
             using 14 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
            also have ... \leq ?ds \odot ?hd
              using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-isotone
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
           also have \dots \leq ?hd
              using 1 4 5 by (simp add: restrict-sublist)
            finally show ?thesis
          \mathbf{qed}
          have 21: ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \preceq ?ha
            using 13 17 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have 22: ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd \preceq ?hb
            using 14 18 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have 23: ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?fs \odot ?hc \preceq ?hc
            using 15 19 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have 24: ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?fs \odot ?hd \preceq ?hd
            using 16 20 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have star-matrix'?t \ g \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs = (?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot
?es \oplus ?fs) \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs
            by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
          also have ... = ?es \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot
?es \odot ?t\langle h\rangle zs \oplus ?fs \odot ?t\langle h\rangle zs
            by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
         also have ... = ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc \oplus ?as \odot
```

```
?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?fs \odot ?hc
\oplus ?fs \odot ?hd
               using 9 10 11 12 by (simp only: matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-assoc)
           also have ... = (?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hc) \oplus (?es \odot ?hb \oplus ?as
\odot ?b \odot ?fs \odot ?hd) \oplus (?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot ?ha \oplus ?fs \odot ?hc) \oplus (?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \odot
?hb \oplus ?fs \odot ?hd)
               by (simp only: matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-assoc
matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-commute matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-left-commute)
            also have ... \leq ?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd
               using 21 22 23 24 matrix-semilattice-sup.sup.mono by blast
            also have ... = ?t\langle h\rangle zs
               using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            finally show star-matrix'?t g \odot ?t\langle h \rangle zs \preceq ?t\langle h \rangle zs
          qed
       qed
     qed
  qed
  hence \forall zs. distinct zs \longrightarrow (y \odot ?e\langle x\rangle zs \preceq ?e\langle x\rangle zs \longrightarrow y^{\odot} \odot ?e\langle x\rangle zs \preceq
     by (simp add: enum-distinct restrict-all)
  thus y \odot x \leq x \longrightarrow y^{\odot} \odot x \leq x
     by (metis restrict-all enum-distinct)
next
  fix x y z :: ('a, 'b) square
  let ?e = enum-class.enum::'a list
  have \forall g \ h :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ \forall zs \ . \ distinct \ ?e \land \ distinct \ zs \longrightarrow (zs\langle h) \ ?e \odot
?e\langle g\rangle?e \leq zs\langle h\rangle?e \longrightarrow zs\langle h\rangle?e \odot star-matrix' ?e \ g \leq zs\langle h\rangle?e)
  proof (induct rule:list.induct)
     case Nil thus ?case
       by (simp add: restrict-empty-left)
     case (Cons \ k \ s)
     let ?t = k \# s
     assume 1: \forall g \ h :: ('a, 'b) \ square \ . \ \forall zs \ . \ distinct \ s \land \ distinct \ zs \longrightarrow (zs\langle h \rangle s \odot
s\langle g \rangle s \leq zs\langle h \rangle s \longrightarrow zs\langle h \rangle s \odot star-matrix' s g \leq zs\langle h \rangle s
     show \forall q \ h :: ('a, 'b) \ square . \ \forall zs . \ distinct \ ?t \land \ distinct \ zs \longrightarrow (zs\langle h\rangle ?t \odot
?t\langle g\rangle ?t \leq zs\langle h\rangle ?t \longrightarrow zs\langle h\rangle ?t \odot star-matrix' ?t g \leq zs\langle h\rangle ?t)
     proof (intro allI)
       fix g h :: ('a, 'b) square
       \mathbf{fix} \ zs :: 'a \ list
       \mathbf{show} \ \textit{distinct} \ ?t \ \land \ \textit{distinct} \ zs \longrightarrow (zs\langle h\rangle ?t \ \odot \ ?t\langle g\rangle ?t \ \preceq \ zs\langle h\rangle ?t \ \longrightarrow \ zs\langle h\rangle ?t
\odot star-matrix' ?t g \leq zs\langle h \rangle ?t)
       proof (cases zs)
          case Nil thus ?thesis
            by (metis restrict-empty-left restrict-star restrict-times)
       next
          case (Cons y ys)
          assume 2: zs = y \# ys
          show distinct ?t \wedge distinct zs \longrightarrow (zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \leq zs\langle h \rangle ?t \longrightarrow
```

```
zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot star-matrix' ?t g \leq zs\langle h \rangle ?t)
         proof (intro impI)
           let ?y = [y]
           assume 3: distinct ?t \land distinct zs
           hence 4: distinct s \land distinct \ ys \land \neg \ k \in set \ s \land \neg \ y \in set \ ys
              using 2 by simp
           let ?r = [k]
           let ?a = ?r\langle g \rangle ?r
           let ?b = ?r\langle g \rangle s
           let ?c = s\langle g \rangle ?r
           let ?d = s\langle g \rangle s
           let ?as = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?a \rangle ?r
           let ?ds = star-matrix' s ?d
           let ?e = ?a \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c
           let ?es = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?e \rangle ?r
           let ?f = ?d \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b
           let ?fs = star-matrix' s ?f
           let ?ha = ?y\langle h \rangle ?r
           let ?hb = ?y\langle h\rangle s
           let ?hc = ys\langle h \rangle ?r
           let ?hd = ys\langle h \rangle s
           assume zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?t\langle g \rangle ?t \leq zs\langle h \rangle ?t
           hence 5: ?ha \odot ?a \oplus ?hb \odot ?c \preceq ?ha \wedge ?ha \odot ?b \oplus ?hb \odot ?d \preceq ?hb \wedge
?hc \odot ?a \oplus ?hd \odot ?c \preceq ?hc \wedge ?hc \odot ?b \oplus ?hd \odot ?d \preceq ?hd
              using 2 3 4
              using restrict-nonempty-product-less-eq by blast
           have 6: s\langle ?ds \rangle s = ?ds \wedge s\langle ?fs \rangle s = ?fs
             by (simp add: restrict-star)
           hence 7: ?r\langle ?e \rangle ?r = ?e \wedge s\langle ?f \rangle s = ?f
             by (metis (no-types, lifting) restrict-one-left-unit restrict-sup
restrict-times)
           have 8: disjoint s ? r \land disjoint ? r s
              using \beta by simp
           have 9: zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?es = ?ha \odot ?es \oplus ?hc \odot ?es
           proof -
             have zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?es = (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd) \odot ?es
                using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
              also have ... = ?ha \odot ?es \oplus ?hb \odot ?es \oplus ?hc \odot ?es \oplus ?hd \odot ?es
               by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
             also have ... = ?ha \odot ?es \oplus ?hc \odot ?es
                using 8 by (simp add: times-disjoint)
              finally show ?thesis
           have 10: zs\langle h \rangle?t \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs = ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hc \odot
?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs
           proof -
              have zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs = (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd) \odot ?as \odot
?b ⊙ ?fs
```

```
using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            also have ... = ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hb \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hc
\odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hd \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs
             by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
           also have ... = ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus mbot \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hc \odot ?as
\odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus mbot \odot ?b \odot ?fs
              using 8 by (metis (no-types) times-disjoint)
            also have ... = ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hc \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs
             by simp
            finally show ?thesis
          qed
          have 11: zs\langle h \rangle?t \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es = ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?hd \odot
?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es
          proof -
            have zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es = (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd) \odot ?ds \odot
?c ⊙ ?es
             using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            also have ... = ?ha \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?hc
\odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es
             by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
           also have ... = mbot \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus mbot \odot ?c
\odot~?es \oplus~?hd \odot~?ds \odot~?c \odot~?es
              using 6 8 by (metis (no-types) times-disjoint)
            also have ... = ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es
             \mathbf{by} \ simp
            finally show ?thesis
          qed
          have 12: zs\langle h \rangle?t \odot?fs = ?hb \odot?fs \oplus?hd \odot?fs
          proof -
            have zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?fs = (?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd) \odot ?fs
              using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
            also have ... = ?ha \odot ?fs \oplus ?hb \odot ?fs \oplus ?hc \odot ?fs \oplus ?hd \odot ?fs
             by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
            also have ... = ?hb \odot ?fs \oplus ?hd \odot ?fs
             using 6 8 by (metis (no-types) times-disjoint
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-right
matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot.sup-monoid.add-0-left)
            finally show ?thesis
          qed
          have 13: ?ha \odot ?es \leq ?ha
          proof -
            have ?ha \odot ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \preceq ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c
              using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
            also have ... \leq ?hb \odot ?c
              using 1 4 5 by (simp add:
matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone restrict-sublist)
```

```
also have ... \leq ?ha
            using 5 by simp
          finally have ?ha \odot ?e \preceq ?ha
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          thus ?thesis
            using 7 by (simp add: restrict-star-right-induct)
         have 14: ?hb \odot ?fs \leq ?hb
        proof -
          have ?hb \odot ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \preceq ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b
            using 5 by (metis matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supE
matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
          also have ... \leq ?ha \odot ?b
            \mathbf{using}\ 5\ \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ matrix-idempotent\text{-}semiring.mult\text{-}left\text{-}isotone
restrict-star-right-induct restrict-sublist)
          also have \dots \leq ?hb
            using 5 by simp
          finally have ?hb \odot ?f \leq ?hb
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          thus ?thesis
            using 1 3 7 by simp
         have 15: ?hc \odot ?es \preceq ?hc
        proof -
          have ?hc \odot ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c \preceq ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
          also have \dots \preceq ?hd \odot ?c
            using 1 4 5 by (simp add:
matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone restrict-sublist)
          also have ... \leq ?hc
            using 5 by simp
          finally have ?hc \odot ?e \preceq ?hc
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          thus ?thesis
            using 4 7 by (simp add: restrict-star-right-induct)
         have 16: ?hd \odot ?fs \leq ?hd
         proof -
          have ?hd \odot ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b \preceq ?hc \odot ?as \odot ?b
            using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
          also have ... \leq ?hc \odot ?b
            using 4 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone
restrict-star-right-induct\ restrict-sublist)
          also have \dots \leq ?hd
            using 5 by simp
          finally have ?hd \odot ?f \leq ?hd
```

```
using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
           thus ?thesis
             using 1 4 7 by simp
         ged
         have 17: ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \preceq ?ha
         proof -
           have ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \preceq ?hb \odot ?c \odot ?es
             using 1 4 5 by (simp add:
matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone restrict-sublist)
           also have ... \leq ?ha \odot ?es
             using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
           also have ... \leq ?ha
             using 13 by simp
           finally show ?thesis
         qed
         have 18: ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \preceq ?hb
         proof -
           have ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \preceq ?ha \odot ?b \odot ?fs
             using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone
restrict-star-right-induct\ restrict-sublist)
           also have \dots \leq ?hb \odot ?fs
             \mathbf{using}\ 5\ \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add:\ matrix-idempotent\text{-}semiring.mult\text{-}left\text{-}isotone)
           also have ... \leq ?hb
             using 14 by simp
           finally show ?thesis
             by simp
         \mathbf{qed}
         have 19: ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \preceq ?hc
         proof -
           have ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \preceq ?hd \odot ?c \odot ?es
             using 1 4 5 by (simp add:
matrix \hbox{-} idempotent \hbox{-} semiring. mult-left-isotone \ restrict \hbox{-} sublist)
           also have ... \leq ?hc \odot ?es
             using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
           also have ... \leq ?hc
             using 15 by simp
           finally show ?thesis
             \mathbf{by} \ simp
         \mathbf{qed}
         have 20: ?hc \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \leq ?hd
           have ?hc \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \preceq ?hc \odot ?b \odot ?fs
             using 4 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone
restrict-star-right-induct\ restrict-sublist)
           also have \dots \leq ?hd \odot ?fs
             using 5 by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-isotone)
           also have \dots \leq ?hd
```

```
using 16 by simp
            finally show ?thesis
              by simp
          qed
          have 21: ?ha \odot ?es \oplus ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \prec ?ha
            using 13 17 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have 22: ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hb \odot ?fs \preceq ?hb
            using 14 18 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have 23: ?hc \odot ?es \oplus ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \preceq ?hc
            using 15 19 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have 24: ?hc \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hd \odot ?fs <math>\leq ?hd
            using 16 20 matrix-semilattice-sup.le-supI by blast
          have zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot star-matrix' ?t g = zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot (?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus
?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?fs)
            by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
          also have ... = zs\langle h \rangle?t \odot?es \oplus zs\langle h \rangle?t \odot?as \odot?b \odot?fs \oplus zs\langle h \rangle?t \odot
?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot ?fs
            by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-left-dist-sup
matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
          also have ... = ?ha \odot ?es \oplus ?hc \odot ?es \oplus ?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?hc
\oplus ?hd \odot ?fs
            using 9 10 11 12 by (simp add: matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-assoc)
         also have ... = (?ha \odot ?es \oplus ?hb \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus (?ha \odot ?as \odot ?b)
\odot ?fs \oplus ?hb \odot ?fs) \oplus (?hc \odot ?es \oplus ?hd \odot ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es) \oplus (?hc \odot ?as \odot ?b)
\odot ?fs \oplus ?hd \odot ?fs)
            using 9 10 11 12 by (simp only: matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-assoc
matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-commute matrix-semilattice-sup.sup-left-commute)
          also have ... \leq ?ha \oplus ?hb \oplus ?hc \oplus ?hd
            using 21 22 23 24 matrix-semilattice-sup.sup.mono by blast
          also have ... = zs\langle h \rangle?t
            using 2 by (metis restrict-nonempty)
          finally show zs\langle h \rangle ?t \odot star-matrix' ?t g \leq zs\langle h \rangle ?t
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  hence \forall zs. distinct zs \longrightarrow (zs\langle x \rangle?e \odot y \preceq zs\langle x \rangle?e \longrightarrow zs\langle x \rangle?e \odot y^{\odot} \preceq
zs\langle x\rangle ?e)
    by (simp add: enum-distinct restrict-all)
  thus x \odot y \leq x \longrightarrow x \odot y^{\odot} \leq x
    by (metis restrict-all enum-distinct)
qed
```

6.3 Matrices form a Stone-Kleene Relation Algebra

Matrices over Stone-Kleene relation algebras form a Stone-Kleene relation algebra. It remains to prove the axiom about the interaction of Kleene star

and double complement.

```
\textbf{interpretation} \ \ matrix{-stone-kleene-relation-algebra}: stone{-kleene-relation-algebra}
where sup = sup-matrix and inf = inf-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and
less = less-matrix and bot =
bot-matrix::('a::enum,'b::stone-kleene-relation-algebra) square and top =
top-matrix and uminus = uminus-matrix and one = one-matrix and times =
times-matrix and conv = conv-matrix and star = star-matrix
proof
  fix x :: ('a, 'b) square
  let ?e = enum-class.enum:'a list
  let ?o = mone :: ('a, 'b) square
  show \ominus\ominus(x^{\odot}) = (\ominus\ominus x)^{\odot}
  proof (rule matrix-order.order-antisym)
    have \forall g :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ distinct \ ?e \longrightarrow \ominus\ominus(star-matrix' \ ?e \ (\ominus\ominus g)) =
star-matrix' ?e (\ominus \ominus g)
    proof (induct rule: list.induct)
      case Nil thus ?case
        by simp
    \mathbf{next}
      case (Cons \ k \ s)
      let ?t = k \# s
      assume 1: \forall g :: ('a,'b) \ square \ . \ distinct \ s \longrightarrow \ominus\ominus(star-matrix' \ s \ (\ominus\ominus g)) =
star-matrix' s (\ominus \ominus g)
      show \forall g :: ('a, 'b) \ square \ . \ distinct \ ?t \longrightarrow \ominus\ominus(star-matrix' \ ?t \ (\ominus\ominus g)) =
star-matrix'?t (\ominus \ominus g)
      proof (rule allI, rule impI)
        fix g :: ('a, 'b) square
        assume 2: distinct ?t
        let ?r = [k]
        let ?a = ?r \langle \ominus \ominus g \rangle ?r
        let ?b = ?r \langle \ominus \ominus g \rangle s
        let ?c = s \langle \ominus \ominus g \rangle ?r
        let ?d = s \langle \ominus \ominus g \rangle s
        let ?as = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?a \rangle ?r
        let ?ds = star-matrix' s ?d
        let ?e = ?a \oplus ?b \odot ?ds \odot ?c
        let ?es = ?r\langle star \ o \ ?e \rangle ?r
        let ?f = ?d \oplus ?c \odot ?as \odot ?b
        let ?fs = star-matrix' s ?f
        have s\langle ?ds\rangle s = ?ds \wedge s\langle ?fs\rangle s = ?fs
          by (simp add: restrict-star)
        have 3: \ominus \ominus ?a = ?a \land \ominus \ominus ?b = ?b \land \ominus \ominus ?c = ?c \land \ominus \ominus ?d = ?d
          by (metis matrix-p-algebra.regular-closed-p restrict-pp)
        hence 4: \ominus \ominus ?as = ?as
          by (metis pp-star-commute restrict-pp)
        hence \ominus\ominus?f=?f
          using 3 by (metis matrix-stone-algebra.regular-closed-sup
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.regular-mult-closed)
        hence 5: \ominus \ominus ?fs = ?fs
```

```
using 1 2 by (metis\ distinct.simps(2))
               have 6: \ominus \ominus ?ds = ?ds
                   using 1 2 by (simp add: restrict-pp)
               hence \ominus\ominus?e = ?e
                   using 3 by (metis matrix-stone-algebra.regular-closed-sup
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.regular-mult-closed)
               hence 7: \ominus \ominus ?es = ?es
                   by (metis pp-star-commute restrict-pp)
               have \ominus\ominus(star\text{-}matrix' ?t (\ominus\ominus g)) = \ominus\ominus(?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c
                   by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
               also have ... = \ominus\ominus?es \oplus \ominus\ominus?as \odot \ominus\ominus?b \odot \ominus\ominus?fs \oplus \ominus\ominus?ds \odot \ominus\ominus?c \odot
\ominus\ominus?es \ominus\ominus?fs
                   by (simp add: matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-dist-comp)
                also have ... = ?es \oplus ?as \odot ?b \odot ?fs \oplus ?ds \odot ?c \odot ?es \oplus ?fs
                   using 3 4 5 6 7 by simp
               finally show \ominus\ominus(star-matrix' ?t (\ominus\ominus g)) = star-matrix' ?t (\ominus\ominus g)
                   by (metis\ star-matrix'.simps(2))
           qed
        qed
       hence (\ominus \ominus x)^{\odot} = \ominus \ominus ((\ominus \ominus x)^{\odot})
           by (simp add: enum-distinct restrict-all)
       thus \ominus \ominus (x^{\odot}) \preceq (\ominus \ominus x)^{\odot}
           by (metis matrix-kleene-algebra.star.circ-isotone
matrix-p-algebra.pp-increasing matrix-p-algebra.pp-isotone)
    next
       have ?o \oplus \ominus \ominus x \odot \ominus \ominus (x^{\odot}) \preceq \ominus \ominus (x^{\odot})
           by (metis matrix-kleene-algebra.star-left-unfold-equal
matrix-p-algebra.sup-pp-semi-commute matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-dist-comp)
       thus (\ominus \ominus x)^{\odot} \preceq \ominus \ominus (x^{\odot})
           using matrix-kleene-algebra.star-left-induct by fastforce
    qed
qed
\textbf{interpretation} \ \textit{matrix-stone-kleene-relation-algebra-consistent} :
stone-kleene-relation-algebra-consistent where sup = sup-matrix and inf = sup-matrix
inf-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and less = less-matrix and bot =
bot-matrix :: ('a::enum,'b::stone-kleene-relation-algebra-consistent) square and top
= top\text{-}matrix \text{ and } uminus = uminus\text{-}matrix \text{ and } one = one\text{-}matrix \text{ and } times = top\text{-}matrix \text{ and } ti
times-matrix and conv = conv-matrix and star = star-matrix
interpretation matrix-stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski:
stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski where sup = sup-matrix and inf = sup-matrix
inf-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and less = less-matrix and bot =
bot-matrix :: ('a::enum,'b::stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski) square and top =
top-matrix and uminus = uminus-matrix and one = one-matrix and times =
times-matrix and conv = conv-matrix and star = star-matrix
```

 $\textbf{interpretation} \ \textit{matrix-stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent}:$ stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent where sup = sup-matrix and inf= inf-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and less = less-matrix and bot = less-matrix bot-matrix:: ('a::enum,'b::stone-kleene-relation-algebra-tarski-consistent) square and top = top-matrix and uminus = uminus-matrix and one = one-matrix and times = times-matrix and conv = conv-matrix and star = star-matrix

 \mathbf{end}

References

- [1] A. Armstrong, S. Foster, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Relation algebra. Archive of Formal Proofs, 2016, first version 2014.
- [2] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, G. Struth, and T. Weber. algebra. Archive of Formal Proofs, 2016, first version 2013.
- [3] T. Asplund. Formalizing the Kleene star for square matrices. Bachelor Thesis IT 14 002, Uppsala Universitet, Department of Information Technology, 2014.
- [4] R. J. R. Back and J. von Wright. Reasoning algebraically about loops. Acta Inf., 36(4):295–334, 1999.
- [5] S. L. Bloom and Z. Ésik. Iteration Theories: The Equational Logic of Iterative Processes. Springer, 1993.
- [6] E. Cohen. Separation and reduction. In R. Backhouse and J. N. Oliveira, editors, Mathematics of Program Construction, volume 1837 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 45–59. Springer, 2000.
- [7] J. H. Conway. Regular Algebra and Finite Machines. Chapman and Hall, 1971.
- [8] S. Foster and G. Struth. Regular algebras. Archive of Formal Proofs, 2016, first version 2014.
- [9] W. Guttmann. Algebras for iteration and infinite computations. Acta Inf., 49(5):343–359, 2012.
- [10] W. Guttmann. Relation-algebraic verification of Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm. In A. Sampaio and F. Wang, editors, Theoretical Aspects of Computing – ICTAC 2016, volume 9965 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 51–68. Springer, 2016.
- [11] W. Guttmann. Stone relation algebras. Archive of Formal Proofs, 2017.

- [12] W. Guttmann. Stone relation algebras. In P. Höfner, D. Pous, and G. Struth, editors, Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science, volume 10226 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 127–143. Springer, 2017.
- [13] D. Kozen. A completeness theorem for Kleene algebras and the algebra of regular events. *Information and Computation*, 110(2):366–390, 1994.
- [14] D. Kozen. Typed Kleene algebra. Technical Report TR98-1669, Cornell University, 1998.
- [15] B. Möller. Kleene getting lazy. Sci. Comput. Programming, 65(2):195–214, 2007.
- [16] K. C. Ng. Relation Algebras with Transitive Closure. PhD thesis, University of California, Berkeley, 1984.
- [17] J. von Wright. Towards a refinement algebra. Sci. Comput. Programming, 51(1-2):23-45, 2004.