

Standard Borel Spaces

Michikazu Hirata

April 21, 2024

Abstract

This entry includes a formalization of standard Borel spaces and (a variant of) the Borel isomorphism theorem. A separable complete metrizable topological space is called a polish space and a measurable space generated from a polish space is called a standard Borel space. We formalize the notion of standard Borel spaces by establishing set-based metric spaces, and then prove (a variant of) the Borel isomorphism theorem. The theorem states that a standard Borel spaces is either a countable discrete space or isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

Contents

1 Lemmas	2
1.1 Lemmas for Abstract Topology	2
1.1.1 Generated By	2
1.1.2 Isolated Point	9
1.1.3 Perfect Set	9
1.1.4 Bases and Sub-Bases in Abstract Topology	10
1.1.5 Separable Spaces	25
1.1.6 G_δ Set	30
1.1.7 Continuous Maps on First Countable Topology	32
1.1.8 Upper-Semicontinuous Functions	35
1.1.9 Lower-Semicontinuous Functions	36
1.2 Lemmas for Measure Theory	37
1.2.1 Lemmas for Measurable Sets	37
1.2.2 Measurable Isomorphisms	43
1.2.3 Borel Spaces Generated from Abstract Topologies . .	55
1.3 Lemmas for Abstract Metric Spaces	64
1.3.1 Basic Lemmas	64
1.3.2 Dense in Metric Spaces	70
1.3.3 Separability in Metric Spaces	86
1.3.4 Compact Metric Spaces	90
1.3.5 Discrete Distance	96
1.3.6 Binary Product Metric Spaces	96

1.3.7	Sum Metric Spaces	101
1.3.8	Product Metric Spaces	110
2	Abstract Polish Spaces	130
2.1	Polish Spaces	130
2.2	Extended Reals and Non-Negative Extended Reals	132
2.3	Continuous Embddings	141
2.3.1	Embedding into Hilbert Cube	142
2.3.2	Embedding from Cantor Space	146
2.4	Borel Spaces generated from Polish Spaces	157
3	Standard Borel Spaces	163
3.1	Standard Borel Spaces	163
3.2	Isomorphism between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{H}	169
3.3	Final Results	191

We refer to the HOL-Analysis library, the textbooks by Matsuoka [2] and Srivastava [3], and the lecture note by Biskup [1].

1 Lemmas

```
theory Lemmas-StandardBorel
imports HOL-Probability.Probability
begin
```

1.1 Lemmas for Abstract Topology

1.1.1 Generated By

```
lemma topology-generated-by-sub:
assumes  $\bigwedge U$ .  $U \in \mathcal{U} \implies (\text{openin } X U)$ 
and  $\text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}) U$ 
shows  $\text{openin } X U$ 
proof -
have generate-topology-on  $\mathcal{U}$   $U$ 
by (simp add: assms(2) openin-topology-generated-by)
then show ?thesis
by induction (use assms(1) in auto)
qed
```

```
lemma topology-generated-by-open:
 $S = \text{topology-generated-by } \{U \mid U \in \text{openin } S\}$ 
unfolding topology-eq
proof standard+
fix  $U$ 
assume  $\text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } \{U \mid U \in \text{openin } S\}) U$ 
note this[simplified openin-topology-generated-by-iff]
then show  $\text{openin } S U$ 
```

```

by induction auto
qed(simp add: openin-topology-generated-by-iff generate-topology-on.Basis)

lemma topology-generated-by-eq:
assumes  $\bigwedge U. U \in \mathcal{U} \implies (\text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O}) U)$ 
and  $\bigwedge U. U \in \mathcal{O} \implies (\text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}) U)$ 
shows topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{O} = \text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}$ 
using topology-generated-by-sub[of  $\mathcal{U}$ , OF assms(1)] topology-generated-by-sub[of  $\mathcal{O}$ , OF assms(2)]
by(auto simp: topology-eq)

lemma topology-generated-by-homeomorphic-spaces:
assumes homeomorphic-map  $X Y f X = \text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O}$ 
shows  $Y = \text{topology-generated-by } ((\cdot) f \cdot \mathcal{O})$ 
unfolding topology-eq
proof
have  $f: \text{open-map } X Y f \text{ inj-on } f (\text{topspace } X)$ 
using assms(1) by (simp-all add: homeomorphic-imp-open-map perfect-injective-eq-homeomorphic-map[sym]
obtain  $g$  where  $g: \bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies g(fx) = x \wedge y. y \in \text{topspace } Y \implies$ 
 $f(gy) = y$  open-map  $Y X g \text{ inj-on } g (\text{topspace } Y)$ 
using homeomorphic-map-maps[of  $X Y f$ , simplified assms(1)] homeomorphic-imp-open-map
homeomorphic-maps-map[of  $X Y f$ ] homeomorphic-imp-injective-map[of  $Y X$ ] by
blast
show  $\bigwedge S. \text{openin } Y S = \text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } ((\cdot) f \cdot \mathcal{O})) S$ 
proof safe
fix  $S$ 
assume  $\text{openin } Y S$ 
then have  $\text{openin } X (g \cdot S)$ 
using  $g(\beta)$  by (simp add: open-map-def)
hence  $h: \text{generate-topology-on } \mathcal{O} (g \cdot S)$ 
by(simp add: assms(2) openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
have  $S = f \cdot (g \cdot S)$ 
using openin-subset[OF `openin Y S`] g(2) by(fastforce simp: image-def)
also have  $\text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } ((\cdot) f \cdot \mathcal{O})) \dots$ 
using  $h$ 
proof induction
case Empty
then show ?case by simp
next
case (Int a b)
with inj-on-image-Int[OF f(2), of a b] show ?case
by (metis assms(2) openin-Int openin-subset openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
next
case (UN K)
then show ?case
by(auto simp: image-Union)
next
case (Basis s)
then show ?case

```

```

by(auto intro!: generate-topology-on.Basis simp: openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
qed
finally show openin (topology-generated-by ((` f ` O)) S .
next
fix S
assume openin (topology-generated-by ((` f ` O)) S
then have generate-topology-on ((` f ` O)) S
  by(simp add: openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
thus openin Y S
proof induction
  case (Basis s)
  then obtain U where u:U ∈ O s = f ` U by auto
  then show ?case
  using assms(1) assms(2) homeomorphic-map-openness-eq topology-generated-by-Basis
by blast
qed auto
qed
qed

lemma open-map-generated-topo:
assumes ⋀u. u ∈ U ⟹ openin S (f ` u) inj-on f (topspace (topology-generated-by U))
shows open-map (topology-generated-by U) S f
unfolding open-map-def
proof safe
fix u
assume openin (topology-generated-by U) u
then have generate-topology-on U u
  by(simp add: openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
thus openin S (f ` u)
proof induction
  case (Int a b)
  then have [simp]:f ` (a ∩ b) = f ` a ∩ f ` b
  by(meson assms(2) inj-on-image-Int openin-subset openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
  from Int show ?case by auto
qed (simp-all add: image-Union openin-clauses(3) assms)
qed

lemma subtopology-generated-by:
subtopology (topology-generated-by O) T = topology-generated-by {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O}
unfolding topology-eq openin-subtopology openin-topology-generated-by-iff
proof safe
fix A
assume generate-topology-on O A
then show generate-topology-on {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O} (A ∩ T)
proof induction
  case Empty
  then show ?case

```

```

    by (simp add: generate-topology-on.Empty)
next
  case (Int a b)
  moreover have  $a \cap b \cap T = (a \cap T) \cap (b \cap T)$  by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    by(auto intro!: generate-topology-on.Int)
next
  case (UN K)
  moreover have  $(\bigcup K \cap T) = (\bigcup \{k \cap T \mid k. k \in K\})$  by auto
  ultimately show ?case
    by(auto intro!: generate-topology-on.UN)
next
  case (Basis s)
  then show ?case
    by(auto intro!: generate-topology-on.Basis)
qed
next
fix A
assume generate-topology-on { $T \cap U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ } A
then show  $\exists L. \text{generate-topology-on } \mathcal{O} L \wedge A = L \cap T$ 
proof induction
  case Empty
  show ?case
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x={} generate-topology-on.Empty)
next
  case ih:(Int a b)
  then obtain La Lb where
    generate-topology-on  $\mathcal{O} La a = La \cap T$  generate-topology-on  $\mathcal{O} Lb b = Lb \cap T$ 
    by auto
  thus ?case
    using ih by(auto intro!: exI[where x=La ∩ Lb] generate-topology-on.Int)
next
  case ih:(UN K)
  then obtain L where
     $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \text{generate-topology-on } \mathcal{O} (L k) \quad \bigwedge k. k \in K \implies k = (L k) \cap T$ 
    by metis
  thus ?case
    using ih by(auto intro!: exI[where x=UN k. L k] generate-topology-on.UN)
next
  case (Basis s)
  then show ?case
    using generate-topology-on.Basis by fastforce
qed
qed

lemma prod-topology-generated-by:
  topology-generated-by { $U \times V \mid U V. U \in \mathcal{O} \wedge V \in \mathcal{U}\}$ } = prod-topology
  (topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{O}$ ) (topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{U}$ )
  unfolding topology-eq

```

```

proof safe
  fix  $U$ 
  assume  $h:\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \{U \times V \mid U V. U \in \mathcal{O} \wedge V \in \mathcal{U}\}) U$ 
  show  $\text{openin}(\text{prod-topology}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O})) (\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U})$ 
 $U$ 
  by(auto simp: openin-prod-Times-iff[of topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{O}$  topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{U}$ ]
  intro!: topology-generated-by-Basis topology-generated-by-sub[OF -  $h$ ])
next
  fix  $U$ 
  assume  $\text{openin}(\text{prod-topology}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O})) (\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}) U$ 
  then have  $\forall z \in U. \exists V1 V2. \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O}) V1 \wedge \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}) V2 \wedge \text{fst } z \in V1 \wedge \text{snd } z \in V2 \wedge V1 \times V2 \subseteq U$ 
    by(auto simp: openin-prod-topology-alt)
  hence  $\exists V1. \forall z \in U. \exists V2. \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O})(V1 z) \wedge \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}) V2 \wedge \text{fst } z \in (V1 z) \wedge \text{snd } z \in V2 \wedge (V1 z) \times V2 \subseteq U$ 
    by(rule bchoice)
  then obtain  $V1$  where  $\forall z \in U. \exists V2. \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O})(V1 z) \wedge \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U}) V2 \wedge \text{fst } z \in (V1 z) \wedge \text{snd } z \in V2 \wedge (V1 z) \times V2 \subseteq U$ 
    by auto
  hence  $\exists V2. \forall z \in U. \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O})(V1 z) \wedge \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U})(V2 z) \wedge \text{fst } z \in (V1 z) \wedge \text{snd } z \in (V2 z) \wedge (V1 z) \times (V2 z) \subseteq U$ 
    by(rule bchoice)
  then obtain  $V2$  where  $hv12: \bigwedge z. z \in U \implies \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{O})(V1 z) \wedge \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \mathcal{U})(V2 z) \wedge \text{fst } z \in (V1 z) \wedge \text{snd } z \in (V2 z) \wedge (V1 z) \times (V2 z) \subseteq U$ 
    by auto
  hence  $1:U = (\bigcup_{z \in U} (V1 z) \times (V2 z))$ 
    by auto
  have  $\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \{U \times V \mid U V. U \in \mathcal{O} \wedge V \in \mathcal{U}\}) (\bigcup_{z \in U} (V1 z) \times (V2 z))$ 
  proof(rule openin-Union)
    show  $\bigwedge S. S \in (\lambda z. V1 z \times V2 z) ` U \implies \text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \{U \times V \mid U V. U \in \mathcal{O} \wedge V \in \mathcal{U}\}) S$ 
  proof safe
    fix  $x y$ 
    assume  $h:(x,y) \in U$ 
    then have generate-topology-on  $\mathcal{O}(V1(x,y))$ 
      using  $hv12$  by(auto simp: openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
      thus  $\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by } \{U \times V \mid U V. U \in \mathcal{O} \wedge V \in \mathcal{U}\})(V1(x,y) \times V2(x,y))$ 
    proof induction
      case Empty
      then show ?case by auto
    next
      case (Int a b)
      thus ?case

```

```

    by (auto simp: Sigma-Int-distrib1)
next
  case (UN K)
  then have openin (topology-generated-by {U × V | U V. U ∈ O ∧ V ∈ U})
  (UN {k × V2 (x, y) | k. k ∈ K})
    by auto
  moreover have (UN {k × V2 (x, y) | k. k ∈ K}) = (UN K × V2 (x, y))
    by blast
  ultimately show ?case by simp
next
  case ho:(Basis s)
  have generate-topology-on U (V2 (x,y))
    using h hv12 by(auto simp: openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
  thus ?case
  proof induction
    case Empty
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Int a b)
    then show ?case
      by (auto simp: Sigma-Int-distrib2)
  next
    case (UN K)
    then have openin (topology-generated-by {U × V | U V. U ∈ O ∧ V ∈
    U}) (UN {s × k | k. k ∈ K})
      by auto
    moreover have (UN {s × k | k. k ∈ K}) = s × UN K
      by blast
    ultimately show ?case by simp
  next
    case (Basis s')
    then show ?case
      using ho by(auto intro!: topology-generated-by-Basis)
  qed
  qed
  qed
thus openin (topology-generated-by {U × V | U V. U ∈ O ∧ V ∈ U}) U
  using 1 by auto
qed

```

lemma prod-topology-generated-by-open:
 $\text{prod-topology } S \text{ } S' = \text{topology-generated-by } \{U \times V \mid U V. \text{openin } S \text{ } U \wedge \text{openin } S' \text{ } V\}$
 using prod-topology-generated-by[of {U | U. openin S U} {U | U. openin S' U}]
 $\text{topology-generated-by-open}[of S, symmetric] \text{ topology-generated-by-open}[of S']$
 by auto

lemma product-topology-cong:

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies S i = K i$
shows product-topology $S I = \text{product-topology } K I$
proof –
have $1:\{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}\} \subseteq \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin } (K i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (K i)\}\}$ if $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies S i = K i$ for $S K :: - \Rightarrow 'b \text{ topology}$
proof
fix x
assume $hx:x \in \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}\}$
then obtain X where hX :
 $x = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i) \wedge i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i) \text{ finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}$
by auto
define X' where $X' \equiv (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in I \text{ then } X i \text{ else topspace } (K i))$
have $x = (\Pi_E i \in I. X' i)$
by(auto simp: $hX(1)$ X' -def PiE-def Pi-def)
moreover have $\text{finite } \{i. X' i \neq \text{topspace } (K i)\}$
using that **by**(auto intro!: finite-subset[OF - $hX(3)$] simp: X' -def)
moreover have $\text{openin } (K i) (X' i)$ **for** i
using $hX(2)[\text{of } i]$ **that**[\mathbf{of } i] **by**(auto simp: X' -def)
ultimately show $x \in \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin } (K i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (K i)\}\}$
by(auto intro!: exI[where $x=X'$])
qed
have $\{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}\} = \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin } (K i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (K i)\}\}$
using 1[of $S K$] 1[of $K S$] assms **by** auto
thus ?thesis
by(simp add: product-topology-def)
qed
lemma topology-generated-by-without-empty:
topology-generated-by $\mathcal{O} = \text{topology-generated-by } \{ U \in \mathcal{O}. U \neq \{\}\}$
proof(rule topology-generated-by-eq)
fix U
show $U \in \mathcal{O} \implies \text{openin } (\text{topology-generated-by } \{ U \in \mathcal{O}. U \neq \{\}\}) U$
by(cases $U = \{\}\} (\text{simp-all add: topology-generated-by-Basis})$
qed (simp add: topology-generated-by-Basis)
lemma topology-from-bij:
assumes bij-betw $f A$ (topspace S)
shows homeomorphic-map (pullback-topology $A f S$) $S f \text{topspace } (\text{pullback-topology } A f S) = A$
proof –
note $h = \text{bij-betw-imp-surj-on}[OF \text{ assms}]$ bij-betw-inv-into-left[$OF \text{ assms}$] bij-betw-inv-into-right[$OF \text{ assms}$]
then show [simp]:topspace (pullback-topology $A f S$) = A
by(auto simp: topspace-pullback-topology)

```

show homeomorphic-map (pullback-topology A f S) S f
  by(auto simp: homeomorphic-map-maps homeomorphic-maps-def h continuous-map-pullback[OF continuous-map-id,simplified] inv-into-into intro!: exI[where x=inv-into A f] continuous-map-pullback["where f=f"]) (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) comp-apply continuous-map-eq continuous-map-id h(3) id-apply)
qed

lemma openin-pullback-topology':
  assumes bij-betw f A (topspace S)
  shows openin (pullback-topology A f S) u  $\longleftrightarrow$  (openin S (f ` u))  $\wedge$  u  $\subseteq$  A
    unfolding openin-pullback-topology
  proof safe
    fix U
    assume h:openin S U u = f -` U  $\cap$  A
    from openin-subset[OF this(1)] assms
    have [simp]:f ` (f -` U  $\cap$  A) = U
      by(auto simp: image-def vimage-def bij-betw-def)
    show openin S (f ` (f -` U  $\cap$  A))
      by(simp add: h)
  next
    assume openin S (f ` u) u  $\subseteq$  A
    with assms show  $\exists$  U. openin S U  $\wedge$  u = f -` U  $\cap$  A
      by(auto intro!: exI[where x=f ` u] simp: bij-betw-def inj-on-def)
  qed

```

1.1.2 Isolated Point

definition isolated-points-of :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixr** isolated'-points'-of 80) **where**
 $X \text{ isolated-points-of } A \equiv \{x \in \text{topspace } X \cap A. x \notin X \text{ derived-set-of } A\}$

```

lemma isolated-points-of-eq:
  X isolated-points-of A = {x in topspace X cap A. exists U. x in U and openin X U and U cap (A - {x}) = {}}
    unfolding isolated-points-of-def by(auto simp: in-derived-set-of)

```

```

lemma in-isolated-points-of:
  x in X isolated-points-of A  $\longleftrightarrow$  x in topspace X  $\wedge$  x in A  $\wedge$  ( $\exists$  U. x in U  $\wedge$  openin X U  $\wedge$  U cap (A - {x}) = {})
    by(simp add: isolated-points-of-eq)

```

```

lemma derived-set-of-eq:
  x in X derived-set-of A  $\longleftrightarrow$  x in X closure-of (A - {x})
    by(auto simp: in-derived-set-of in-closure-of)

```

1.1.3 Perfect Set

definition perfect-set :: 'a topology \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $X \text{ perfect-set } A \longleftrightarrow \text{closedin } X A \wedge X \text{ isolated-points-of } A = \{\}$

```

abbreviation perfect-space X ≡ perfect-set X (topspace X)

lemma perfect-space-euclidean: perfect-space (euclidean :: 'a :: perfect-space topology)
  by(auto simp: isolated-points-of-def perfect-set-def derived-set-of-eq closure-interior)

lemma perfect-setI:
  assumes closedin X A
    and ∀x T. [x ∈ A; x ∈ T; openin X T] ⇒ ∃y≠x. y ∈ T ∧ y ∈ A
  shows perfect-set X A
  using assms by(simp add: perfect-set-def isolated-points-of-def in-derived-set-of)
blast

lemma perfect-spaceI:
  assumes ∀x T. [x ∈ T; openin X T] ⇒ ∃y≠x. y ∈ T
  shows perfect-space X
  using assms by(auto intro!: perfect-setI) (meson in-mono openin-subset)

lemma perfect-setD:
  assumes perfect-set X A
  shows closedin X A A ⊆ topspace X ∀x T. [x ∈ A; x ∈ T; openin X T] ⇒
  ∃y≠x. y ∈ T ∧ y ∈ A
  using assms closedin-subset[of X A] by(simp-all add: perfect-set-def isolated-points-of-def
in-derived-set-of) blast

lemma perfect-space-perfect:
  perfect-set euclidean (UNIV :: 'a :: perfect-space set)
  by(auto simp: perfect-set-def in-isolated-points-of) (metis Int-Diff inf-top.right-neutral
insert-Diff not-open-singleton)

lemma perfect-set-subtopology:
  assumes perfect-set X A
  shows perfect-space (subtopology X A)
  using perfect-setD[OF assms] by(auto intro!: perfect-setI simp: inf.absorb-iff2
openin-subtopology)

```

1.1.4 Bases and Sub-Bases in Abstract Topology

```

definition subbase-in :: ['a topology, 'a set set] ⇒ bool where
subbase-in S O ↔ S = topology-generated-by O

```

```

definition base-in :: ['a topology, 'a set set] ⇒ bool where
base-in S O ↔ (∀ U. openin S U ↔ (∃ U. U = ∪ U ∧ U ⊆ O))

```

```

lemma second-countable-base-in: second-countable S ↔ (∃ O. countable O ∧
base-in S O)
proof -
  have [simp]: ∀ B. (openin S = arbitrary union-of (λx. x ∈ B)) ↔ (∀ U. openin
S U ↔ (∃ U. U = ∪ U ∧ U ⊆ B))

```

```

by(simp add: arbitrary-def union-of-def fun-eq-iff) metis
show ?thesis
  by(auto simp: second-countable base-in-def)
qed

definition zero-dimensional :: 'a topology ⇒ bool where
zero-dimensional S ↔ (exists O. base-in S O ∧ (∀ u∈O. openin S u ∧ closedin S u))

lemma openin-base:
  assumes base-in S O U = ∪U and U ⊆ O
  shows openin S U
  using assms by(auto simp: base-in-def)

lemma base-is-subbase:
  assumes base-in S O
  shows subbase-in S O
  unfolding subbase-in-def topology-eq openin-topology-generated-by-iff
proof safe
  fix U
  assume openin S U
  then obtain U where hu:U = ∪U U ⊆ O
    using assms by(auto simp: base-in-def)
  thus generate-topology-on O U
    by(auto intro!: generate-topology-on.UN) (auto intro!: generate-topology-on.Basis)
next
  fix U
  assume generate-topology-on O U
  then show openin S U
  proof induction
    case (Basis s)
    then show ?case
      using openin-base[OF assms,of s {s}]
      by auto
  qed auto
qed

lemma subbase-in-subset:
  assumes subbase-in S O and U ∈ O
  shows U ⊆ topspace S
  using assms(1)[simplified subbase-in-def] topology-generated-by-topspace assms
  by auto

lemma subbase-in-openin:
  assumes subbase-in S O and U ∈ O
  shows openin S U
  using assms by(simp add: subbase-in-def openin-topology-generated-by-iff generate-topology-on.Basis)

lemma base-in-subset:

```

```

assumes base-in S O and U ∈ O
shows U ⊆ topspace S
using subbase-in-subset[OF base-is-subbase[OF assms(1)] assms(2)] .

lemma base-in-openin:
assumes base-in S O and U ∈ O
shows openin S U
using subbase-in-openin[OF base-is-subbase[OF assms(1)] assms(2)] .

lemma base-in-def2:
assumes ⋀ U. U ∈ O ⟹ openin S U
shows base-in S O ⟷ (⋀ U. openin S U ⟹ (⋀ x∈U. ⋀ W∈O. x ∈ W ∧ W ⊆ U))
proof
assume h:base-in S O
show ⋀ U. openin S U ⟹ (⋀ x∈U. ⋀ W∈O. x ∈ W ∧ W ⊆ U)
proof safe
fix U x
assume h':openin S U x ∈ U
then obtain U where hu: U = ⋃ U U ⊆ O
  using h by(auto simp: base-in-def)
then obtain W where x ∈ W W ∈ U
  using h'(2) by blast
thus ⋀ W∈O. x ∈ W ∧ W ⊆ U
  using hu by(auto intro!: bexI[where x=W])
qed
next
assume h:⋀ U. openin S U ⟹ (⋀ x∈U. ⋀ W∈O. x ∈ W ∧ W ⊆ U)
show base-in S O
  unfolding base-in-def
proof safe
fix U
assume openin S U
then have ⋀ x∈U. ⋀ W. W ∈ O ∧ x ∈ W ∧ W ⊆ U
  using h by blast
hence ⋀ W. ⋀ x∈U. W x ∈ O ∧ x ∈ W x ∧ W x ⊆ U
  by(rule bchoice)
then obtain W where hw:
  ⋀ x∈U. W x ∈ O ∧ x ∈ W x ∧ W x ⊆ U by auto
thus ⋀ U. U = ⋃ U ∧ U ⊆ O
  by(auto intro!: exI[where x=W ` U])
next
fix U U
show U ⊆ O ⟹ openin S (⋃ U)
  using assms by auto
qed
qed

lemma base-in-def2':

```

```

base-in S O  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall b \in \mathcal{O}$ . openin S b)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x$ . openin S x  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists B' \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ .  $\bigcup B' = x$ ))
proof
  assume h:base-in S O
  show ( $\forall b \in \mathcal{O}$ . openin S b)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x$ . openin S x  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists B' \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ .  $\bigcup B' = x$ ))
    proof(rule conjI)
      show  $\forall b \in \mathcal{O}$ . openin S b
        using openin-base[OF h,of - {-}] by auto
    next
      show  $\forall x$ . openin S x  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists B' \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ .  $\bigcup B' = x$ )
        using h by(auto simp: base-in-def)
    qed
  next
    assume h:( $\forall b \in \mathcal{O}$ . openin S b)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x$ . openin S x  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\exists B' \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ .  $\bigcup B' = x$ ))
    show base-in S O
      unfolding base-in-def
    proof safe
      fix U
      assume openin S U
      then obtain B' where B'  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}$   $\bigcup B' = U$ 
        using h by blast
      thus  $\exists \mathcal{U}$ . U =  $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ 
        by(auto intro!: exI[where x=B'])
    next
      fix U  $\mathcal{U}$ 
      show  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \implies$  openin S ( $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ )
        using h by auto
    qed
  qed

corollary base-in-in-subset:
  assumes base-in S O openin S u x  $\in$  u
  shows  $\exists v \in \mathcal{O}$ . x  $\in$  v  $\wedge$  v  $\subseteq$  u
  using assms base-in-def2 base-in-def2' by fastforce

lemma base-in-without-empty:
  assumes base-in S O
  shows base-in S {U  $\in$  O. U  $\neq$  {}}
  unfolding base-in-def2'
  proof safe
    fix x
    assume x  $\in$  O  $\neg$  openin S x
    thus  $\bigwedge y$ . y  $\in$  {}
      using base-in-openin[OF assms <x  $\in$  O>] by simp
  next
    fix x
    assume openin S x
    then obtain B' where B'  $\subseteq \mathcal{O}$   $\bigcup B' = x$ 
      using assms by(simp add: base-in-def2') metis

```

```

thus  $\exists B' \subseteq \{U \in \mathcal{O} \mid U \neq \emptyset\}. \bigcup B' = x$ 
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x="y ∈ B'. y ≠ {}"])
qed

lemma second-countable-ex-without-empty:
assumes second-countable S
shows  $\exists \mathcal{O} \text{ countable } \mathcal{O} \wedge \text{base-in } S \mathcal{O} \wedge (\forall U \in \mathcal{O}. U \neq \emptyset)$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\mathcal{O}$  where countable  $\mathcal{O}$  base-in  $S \mathcal{O}$ 
    using assms second-countable-base-in by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x="U ∈ O. U ≠ {}"] base-in-without-empty)
qed

lemma subtopology-subbase-in:
assumes subbase-in S O
shows subbase-in (subtopology S T) {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O}
using assms subtopology-generated-by
by(auto simp: subbase-in-def)

lemma subtopology-base-in:
assumes base-in S O
shows base-in (subtopology S T) {T ∩ U | U. U ∈ O}
unfolding base-in-def
proof
  fix L
  show openin (subtopology S T) L = ( $\exists \mathcal{U}. L = \bigcup \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \subseteq \{T \cap U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ )
  proof
    assume openin (subtopology S T) L
    then obtain T' where ht:
      openin S T' L = T' ∩ T
      by(auto simp: openin-subtopology)
    then obtain  $\mathcal{U}$  where hu:
       $T' = (\bigcup \mathcal{U}) \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ 
      using assms by(auto simp: base-in-def)
    show  $\exists \mathcal{U}. L = \bigcup \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \subseteq \{T \cap U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ 
      using hu ht by(auto intro!: exI[where x="T ∩ U | U. U ∈ U"]))
  next
    assume  $\exists \mathcal{U}. L = \bigcup \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \subseteq \{T \cap U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ 
    then obtain  $\mathcal{U}$  where hu:  $L = \bigcup \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \subseteq \{T \cap U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ 
      by auto
    hence  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}. \exists U' \in \mathcal{O}. U = T \cap U'$  by blast
    then obtain k where hk:  $\bigwedge U. U \in \mathcal{U} \implies k U \in \mathcal{O} \wedge U \in \mathcal{U} \implies U = T \cap k U$ 
      by metis
    hence  $L = \bigcup \{T \cap k U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ 
      using hu by auto
    also have ... =  $\bigcup \{k U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cap T$  by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

finally have 1:L =  $\bigcup \{k U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{U}\} \cap T$  .
moreover have openin S ( $\bigcup \{k U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ )
  using hu hk assms by(auto simp: base-in-def)
ultimately show openin (subtopology S T) L
  by(auto intro!: exI[where x= $\bigcup \{k U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ ] simp: openin-subtopology)
qed
qed

lemma second-countable-subtopology:
assumes second-countable S
shows second-countable (subtopology S T)
proof -
  obtain  $\mathcal{O}$  where countable  $\mathcal{O}$  base-in S  $\mathcal{O}$ 
    using assms second-countable-base-in by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x= $\{T \cap U \mid U. U \in \mathcal{O}\}$ ] simp: second-countable-base-in
Setcompr-eq-image dest: subtopology-base-in)
qed

lemma open-map-with-base:
assumes base-in S  $\mathcal{O} \bigwedge A. A \in \mathcal{O} \implies$  openin  $S' (f' A)$ 
shows open-map S  $S' f$ 
unfolding open-map-def
proof safe
  fix U
  assume openin S U
  then obtain  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $U = \bigcup \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$ 
    using assms(1) by(auto simp: base-in-def)
  hence  $f' U = \bigcup \{f' A \mid A. A \in \mathcal{U}\}$  by blast
  also have openin  $S'$  ...
    using assms(2)  $\langle \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{O} \rangle$  by auto
  finally show openin  $S' (f' U)$  .
qed

```

Construct a base from a subbase.

```

lemma finite'-intersection-of-idempot [simp]:
finite' intersection-of finite' intersection-of P = finite' intersection-of P
proof
  fix A
  show (finite' intersection-of finite' intersection-of P) A = (finite' intersection-of
P) A
  proof
    assume (finite' intersection-of finite' intersection-of P) A
    then obtain  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $\mathcal{U}: \text{finite}' \mathcal{U} \wedge \mathcal{U} \subseteq \text{Collect } (\text{finite}' \text{ intersection-of } P) \wedge$ 
 $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = A$ 
      by(auto simp: intersection-of-def)
    hence  $\forall U \in \mathcal{U}. \exists \mathcal{U}'. \text{finite}' \mathcal{U}' \wedge \mathcal{U}' \subseteq \text{Collect } P \wedge \bigcap \mathcal{U}' = U$ 
        by(auto simp: intersection-of-def)
    then obtain  $\mathcal{U}'$  where  $\mathcal{U}'$ :

```

```

 $\bigwedge U. U \in \mathcal{U} \implies \text{finite}'(\mathcal{U}' U) \quad \bigwedge U. U \in \mathcal{U} \implies \mathcal{U}' U \subseteq \text{Collect } P \quad \bigwedge U. U \in$ 
 $\mathcal{U} \implies \bigcap(\mathcal{U}' U) = U$ 
by metis
have 1:  $\bigcap (\bigcup (\mathcal{U}' \cdot \mathcal{U})) = A$ 
using  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}'(3)$  by blast
show ( $\text{finite}'$  intersection-of  $P$ )  $A$ 
unfolding intersection-of-def
using  $\mathcal{U} \mathcal{U}'(1,2)$  1 by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=\bigcup U \in \mathcal{U}. \mathcal{U}' U$ ])
qed(rule finite'-intersection-of-inc)
qed

lemma finite'-intersection-of-countable:
assumes countable  $\mathcal{O}$ 
shows countable (Collect (finite' intersection-of ( $\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$ )))
proof -
have Collect (finite' intersection-of ( $\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$ )) = ( $\bigcup i \in \{\mathcal{O}' . \mathcal{O}' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{O}' \wedge \mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}. \{\bigcap i\}$ )
by(auto simp: intersection-of-def)
also have countable ...
using countable-Collect-finite-subset[OF assms]
by(auto intro!: countable-UN[of {  $\mathcal{O}' . \mathcal{O}' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{O}' \wedge \mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}\} \lambda \mathcal{O}'.$ 
{ $\bigcap \mathcal{O}'\}]$ 
(auto intro!: countable-subset[of {  $\mathcal{O}' . \mathcal{O}' \neq \{\} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{O}' \wedge \mathcal{O}' \subseteq \mathcal{O}\} \{A.$ 
finite  $A \wedge A \subseteq \mathcal{O}\}]$ 
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma finite'-intersection-of-openin:
assumes (finite' intersection-of ( $\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$ ))  $U$ 
shows openin (topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{O}$ )  $U$ 
unfolding openin-topology-generated-by-iff
using assms by(auto simp: generate-topology-on-eq arbitrary-union-of-inc)

lemma topology-generated-by-finite-intersections:
topology-generated-by  $\mathcal{O}$  = topology-generated-by (Collect (finite' intersection-of ( $\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$ )))
unfolding topology-eq openin-topology-generated-by-iff by(simp add: generate-topology-on-eq)

lemma base-from-subbase:
assumes subbase-in  $S \mathcal{O}$ 
shows base-in  $S$  (Collect (finite' intersection-of ( $\lambda x. x \in \mathcal{O}$ )))
unfolding subbase-in-def base-in-def assms[simplified subbase-in-def] openin-topology-generated-by-iff
by(auto simp: arbitrary-def union-of-def generate-topology-on-eq)

lemma countable-base-from-countable-subbase:
assumes countable  $\mathcal{O}$  and subbase-in  $S \mathcal{O}$ 
shows second-countable  $S$ 
using finite'-intersection-of-countable[OF assms(1)] base-from-subbase[OF assms(2)]
by(auto simp: second-countable-base-in)

```

```

lemma prod-topology-second-countable:
  assumes second-countable  $S$  and second-countable  $S'$ 
  shows second-countable (prod-topology  $S S'$ )
proof -
  obtain  $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}'$  where  $ho$ :
    countable  $\mathcal{O}$  base-in  $S$   $\mathcal{O}$  countable  $\mathcal{O}'$  base-in  $S' \mathcal{O}'$ 
    using assms by(auto simp: second-countable-base-in)
    show ?thesis
    proof(rule countable-base-from-countable-subbase[where  $\mathcal{O} = \{U \times V \mid U \in \mathcal{O}, V \in \mathcal{O}'\}$ ])
      have  $\{U \times V \mid U \in \mathcal{O}, V \in \mathcal{O}'\} = (\lambda(U, V). U \times V) ` (\mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}')$ 
        by auto
      also have countable ...
        using ho(1,3) by auto
      finally show countable  $\{U \times V \mid U \in \mathcal{O}, V \in \mathcal{O}'\}$ .
    next
    show subbase-in (prod-topology  $S S'$ )  $\{U \times V \mid U \in \mathcal{O}, V \in \mathcal{O}'\}$ 
      using base-is-subbase[OF ho(2)] base-is-subbase[OF ho(4)]
        by(simp add: subbase-in-def prod-topology-generated-by)
    qed
  qed

```

Abstract version of the theorem $\exists K. \text{topological-basis } K \wedge \text{countable } K \wedge (\forall k \in K. \exists X. k = \text{Pi}_E \text{UNIV } X \wedge (\forall i. \text{open } (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{UNIV}\})$.

```

lemma product-topology-countable-base-in:
  assumes countable  $I$  and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{second-countable } (S i)$ 
  shows  $\exists \mathcal{O}'. \text{countable } \mathcal{O}' \wedge \text{base-in } (\text{product-topology } S I) \mathcal{O}' \wedge$ 
     $(\forall k \in \mathcal{O}'. \exists X. k = (\text{Pi}_E i \in I. X i) \wedge (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite}$ 
     $\{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq I)$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\mathcal{O}$  where  $ho$ :
     $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{countable } (\mathcal{O} i) \wedge \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{base-in } (S i) (\mathcal{O} i)$ 
    using assms(2)[simplified second-countable-base-in] by metis
    show ?thesis
      unfolding second-countable-base-in
      proof(intro exI[where  $x = \{\Pi_E i \in I. U i \mid U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}$ 
         $\wedge (\forall i \in \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. U i \in \mathcal{O} i)\}] conjI)
        show countable  $\{\Pi_E i \in I. U i \mid U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge (\forall i \in \{i \in I.$ 
           $U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. U i \in \mathcal{O} i)\}$ 
          (is countable ?X)
      proof -
        have ?X =  $\{\Pi_E i \in I. U i \mid U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge (\forall i \in \{i \in I.$ 
           $U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. U i \in \mathcal{O} i) \wedge (\forall i \in (\text{UNIV} - I). U i = \{\text{undefined}\})\}$ 
          (is - = ?Y)
        proof (rule set-eqI)
          show  $\bigwedge x. x \in ?X \longleftrightarrow x \in ?Y$ 
        proof$ 
```

```

fix x
assume x ∈ ?X
then obtain U where hu:
x = (ΠE i ∈ I. U i) finite {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)} (forall i ∈ {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}. U i ∈ O i)
by auto
define U' where U' i ≡ (if i ∈ I then U i else {undefined}) for i
have x = (ΠE i ∈ I. U' i)
using hu(1) by(auto simp: U'-def PiE-def extensional-def Pi-def)
moreover have finite {i ∈ I. U' i ≠ topspace (S i)} (forall i ∈ {i ∈ I. U' i ≠ topspace (S i)}. U' i ∈ O i) ∀ i ∈ (UNIV - I). U' i = {undefined}
using hu(2,3) by(auto simp: U'-def) (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Collect-cong)
ultimately show x ∈ ?Y by auto
qed auto
qed
also have ... = (λU. ΠE i ∈ I. U i) ‘ {U. finite {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}} ∧ (forall i ∈ {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ (UNIV - I). U i = {undefined})} by auto
also have countable ...
proof(rule countable-image)
have {U. finite {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}} ∧ (forall i ∈ {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined}) = {U. ∃ I'. finite I' ∧ I' ⊆ I ∧ (forall i ∈ I'. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ (I - I'). U i = topspace (S i))} ∧ (forall i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined})
(is ?A = ?B)
proof (rule set-eqI)
show ∏x. x ∈ ?A ↔ x ∈ ?B
proof
fix U
assume U ∈ {U. finite {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}} ∧ (forall i ∈ {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined})
then show U ∈ {U. ∃ I'. finite I' ∧ I' ⊆ I ∧ (forall i ∈ I'. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ (I - I'). U i = topspace (S i))} ∧ (forall i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined})}
by auto
next
fix U
assume assm: U ∈ {U. ∃ I'. finite I' ∧ I' ⊆ I ∧ (forall i ∈ I'. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ (I - I'). U i = topspace (S i))} ∧ (forall i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined})
then obtain I' where hi':
finite I' I' ⊆ I ∀ i ∈ I'. U i ∈ O i ∀ i ∈ (I - I'). U i = topspace (S i)
∀ i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined}
by auto
then have ∏i. i ∈ I ⇒ U i ≠ topspace (S i) ⇒ i ∈ I' by auto
hence {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)} ⊆ I' by auto
hence finite {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}
using hi'(1) by (simp add: rev-finite-subset)
thus U ∈ {U. finite {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}} ∧ (forall i ∈ {i ∈ I. U i ≠ topspace (S i)}. U i ∈ O i) ∧ (forall i ∈ UNIV - I. U i = {undefined})
```

```

    using hi' by auto
qed
qed
also have ... = ( $\bigcup I' \in \{I'\}. finite I' \wedge I' \subseteq I\} \cdot \{U. (\forall i \in I'. U i \in \mathcal{O} i) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall i \in I - I'. U i = topspace(S i)) \wedge (\forall i \in UNIV - I. U i = \{undefined\})\})$ )
    by auto
also have countable ...
proof(rule countable-UN[OF countable-Collect-finite-subset[OF assms(1)]])
fix I'
assume I' ∈ {I'. finite I' ∧ I' ⊆ I}
hence hi':finite I' I' ⊆ I by auto
have ( $\lambda U i. if i \in I' then U i else undefined$ ) ‘{U. ( $\forall i \in I'. U i \in \mathcal{O} i$ ) \wedge
 $(\forall i \in I - I'. U i = topspace(S i)) \wedge (\forall i \in UNIV - I. U i = \{undefined\})} \subseteq (\Pi_E$ 
i ∈ I'.  $\mathcal{O} i)$ 
    by auto
moreover have countable ...
using hi' by(auto intro!: countable-PiE ho)
ultimately have countable (( $\lambda U i. if i \in I' then U i else undefined$ ) ‘{U.
 $(\forall i \in I'. U i \in \mathcal{O} i) \wedge (\forall i \in I - I'. U i = topspace(S i)) \wedge (\forall i \in UNIV - I. U i =$ 
{undefined})})}
by(simp add: countable-subset)
moreover have inj-on ( $\lambda U i. if i \in I' then U i else undefined$ ) {U.
 $(\forall i \in I'. U i \in \mathcal{O} i) \wedge (\forall i \in I - I'. U i = topspace(S i)) \wedge (\forall i \in UNIV - I. U i =$ 
{undefined})})
(is inj-on ?f ?X)
proof
fix x y
assume hxy:  $x \in ?X y \in ?X ?f x = ?f y$ 
show x = y
proof
fix i
consider i ∈ I' | i ∈ I - I' | i ∈ UNIV - I
using hi'(2) by blast
then show x i = y i
proof cases
case i:1
then show ?thesis
using fun-cong[OF hxy(3),of i] by auto
next
case i:2
then show ?thesis
using hxy(1,2) by auto
next
case i:3
then show ?thesis
using hxy(1,2) by auto
qed
qed
qed

```



```

i ≠ topspace (S i) ∧ (∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i ∈ Uxj x i) ∧
(∀ i ∈ UNIV − {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i = topspace (S i))] conjI)
  show U = ∪ {ΠE i ∈ I. K i | K. ∃ x ∈ U. finite {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace
(S i)} ∧ (∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i ∈ Uxj x i) ∧ (∀ i ∈ UNIV − {i
∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i = topspace (S i))}

  proof safe
    fix x
    assume h xu:x ∈ U
    have ∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. Ux x i = ∪ (Uxj x i)
      using h uxj[OF h xu] by blast
    hence ∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. ∃ Uxj. Uxj ∈ Uxj x i ∧ x i ∈
Uxj
      using h ui(3)[OF h xu] by auto
    hence ∃ Uxj. ∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. Uxj i ∈ Uxj x i ∧ x i ∈
Uxj i
      by(rule bchoice)
    then obtain Uxj where h uxj':
      ∧ i. i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)} ==> Uxj i ∈ Uxj x i
      ∧ i. i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)} ==> x i ∈ Uxj i
      by auto
    define K where K ≡ (λ i. if i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)} then
Uxj i else topspace (S i))
    have x ∈ (ΠE i ∈ I. K i)
      using h uxj'(2) h ui(3,4)[OF h xu] openin-subset[OF h ui(2)[OF h xu]]
      by(auto simp: K-def PiE-def Pi-def)
    moreover have ∃ x ∈ U. finite {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)} ∧ (∀ i ∈ {i
∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i ∈ Uxj x i) ∧ (∀ i ∈ UNIV − {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠
topspace (S i)}. K i = topspace (S i))
      by(rule bexI[OF - h xu], rule conjI, simp add: h ui(1)[OF h xu]) (use h ui(2)
h xu openin-subset h uxj'(1) K-def in auto)
    ultimately show x ∈ ∪ {ΠE i ∈ I. K i | K. ∃ x ∈ U. finite {i ∈ I. Ux
x i ≠ topspace (S i)} ∧ (∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i ∈ Uxj x i) ∧
(∀ i ∈ UNIV − {i ∈ I. Ux x i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i = topspace (S i))}
      by auto
  next
    fix x X K u
    assume hu: x ∈ (ΠE i ∈ I. K i) u ∈ U finite {i ∈ I. Ux u i ≠ topspace
(S i)} ∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux u i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i ∈ Uxj u i ∀ i ∈ UNIV − {i ∈ I. Ux
u i ≠ topspace (S i)}. K i = topspace (S i)
    have ∧ i. i ∈ {i ∈ I. Ux u i ≠ topspace (S i)} ==> K i ⊆ Ux u i
      using h uxj[OF hu(2)] hu(4) by blast
    moreover have ∧ i. i ∈ I − {i ∈ I. Ux u i ≠ topspace (S i)} ==> K i =
Ux u i
      using hu(5) by auto
    ultimately have ∧ i. i ∈ I ==> K i ⊆ Ux u i
      by blast
    thus x ∈ U
      using h ui(4)[OF hu(2)] hu(1) by blast
qed

```

```

next
  show { $\Pi_E i \in I. K i \mid K. \exists x \in U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. Ux x i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge (\forall i \in \{i \in I. Ux x i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i \in \mathcal{U}xj x i) \wedge (\forall i \in \text{UNIV} - \{i \in I. Ux x i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i = \text{topspace } (S i))\} \subseteq ?X$ 
  proof
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $x \in \{\Pi_E i \in I. K i \mid K. \exists x \in U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. Ux x i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge (\forall i \in \{i \in I. Ux x i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i \in \mathcal{U}xj x i) \wedge (\forall i \in \text{UNIV} - \{i \in I. Ux x i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i = \text{topspace } (S i))\}$ 
    then obtain  $u K$  where  $hu$ :
       $x = (\Pi_E i \in I. K i) \ u \in U \text{finite } \{i \in I. Ux u i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \ \forall i \in \{i \in I. Ux u i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i \in \mathcal{U}xj u i \ \forall i \in \text{UNIV} - \{i \in I. Ux u i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i = \text{topspace } (S i)$ 
      by auto
      have  $hksubst: \{i \in I. K i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq \{i \in I. Ux u i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}$ 
      using  $hu(5)$  by fastforce
      hence  $\text{finite } \{i \in I. K i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}$ 
      using  $hu(3)$  by (simp add: finite-subset)
      moreover have  $\forall i \in \{i \in I. K i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. K i \in \mathcal{O} i$ 
      using  $huxj(1)[OF hu(2)] hu(4)$  hksubst
      by (meson subsetD)
      ultimately show  $x \in ?X$ 
      using  $hu(1)$  by auto
    qed
  qed
next
  fix  $\mathcal{U}$ 
  assume  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq ?X$ 
  have  $\text{openin } (\text{product-topology } S I) u$  if  $hu: u \in \mathcal{U}$  for  $u$ 
  proof -
    have  $hu': u \in ?X$ 
    using  $\langle \mathcal{U} \subseteq ?X \rangle hu$  by auto
    then obtain  $U$  where  $hU$ :
       $u = (\Pi_E i \in I. U i) \ \text{finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \ \forall i \in \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. U i \in \mathcal{O} i$ 
      by auto
      define  $U'$  where  $U' \equiv (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \text{ then } U i \text{ else } \text{topspace } (S i))$ 
      have  $hU': u = (\Pi_E i \in I. U' i)$ 
      by (auto simp: hU(1) U'-def PiE-def Pi-def)
      have  $hU\text{finite} : \text{finite } \{i. U' i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}$ 
      using  $hU(2)$  by (auto simp: U'-def)
      have  $hUoi: \forall i \in \{i. U' i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. U' i \in \mathcal{O} i$ 
      using  $hU(3)$  by (auto simp: U'-def)
      have  $hUi: \forall i \in \{i. U' i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}. i \in I$ 
      using  $hU(2)$  by (auto simp: U'-def)
      have  $\text{hallopen:openin } (S i) (U' i)$  for  $i$ 
    proof -

```

```

consider  $i \in \{i. U' i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \mid i \notin \{i. U' i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\}$ 
by auto
then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis
    using hUoi ho(2)[of i] base-in-openin[of S i O i U' i] hUi
    by auto
next
  case 2
  then have  $U' i = \text{topspace } (S i)$  by auto
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
show openin (product-topology S I) u
  using hallopen hUfinite by(auto intro!: product-topology-basis simp: hU')
qed
thus openin (product-topology S I) ( $\bigcup \mathcal{U}$ )
  by auto
qed
next
show  $\forall k \in \{Pi_E I U \mid U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge (\forall i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)). \exists X. k = Pi_E I X \wedge (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq I$ 
proof
  fix k
  assume  $k \in \{Pi_E I U \mid U. \text{finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge (\forall i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)). U i \in O i\}$ 
  then obtain U where hu:
     $k = (\Pi_E i \in I. U i) \text{ finite } \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge \forall i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i). U i \in O i$ 
    by auto
  define X where  $X \equiv (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \{i \in I. U i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \text{ then } U i \text{ else topspace } (S i))$ 
  have hX1:  $k = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i)$ 
    using hu(1) by(auto simp: X-def PiE-def Pi-def)
  have hX2: openin (S i) (X i) for i
    using hu(3) base-in-openin[of S i - U i, OF ho(2)]
    by(auto simp: X-def)
  have hX3: finite {i. X i  $\neq$  topspace (S i)}
    using hu(2) by(auto simp: X-def)
  have hX4: {i. X i  $\neq$  topspace (S i)}  $\subseteq I$ 
    by(auto simp: X-def)
  show  $\exists X. k = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i) \wedge (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq I$ 
    using hX1 hX2 hX3 hX4 by(auto intro!: exI[where x=X])
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma product-topology-second-countable:
  assumes countable I and  $\bigwedge i \in I \implies$  second-countable (S i)
  shows second-countable (product-topology S I)
  using product-topology-countable-base-in[OF assms(1)] assms(2)
  by(fastforce simp: second-countable-base-in)

lemma second-countable-euclidean[simp]:
  second-countable (euclidean :: 'a :: second-countable-topology topology)
  using ex-countable-basis second-countable-def topological-basis-def by fastforce

lemma Cantor-Bendixon:
  assumes second-countable X
  shows  $\exists U P.$  countable U  $\wedge$  openin X U  $\wedge$  perfect-set X P  $\wedge$  U  $\cup$  P = topspace X  $\wedge$  U  $\cap$  P = {}  $\wedge$  ( $\forall a \neq \{\}$ . openin (subtopology X P) a  $\longrightarrow$  uncountable a)
  proof -
    obtain O where o: countable O base-in X O
    using assms by(auto simp: second-countable-base-in)
    define U where U  $\equiv$   $\bigcup \{u \in O. \text{countable } u\}$ 
    define P where P  $\equiv$  topspace X - U
    have 1: countable U
    using o(1) by(auto simp: U-def intro!: countable-UN[of - id,simplified])
    have 2: openin X U
    using base-in-openin[OF o(2)] by(auto simp: U-def)
    have openin-c:countable v  $\longleftrightarrow$  v  $\subseteq$  U if openin X v for v
    proof
      assume countable v
      obtain U where v =  $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \subseteq O$ 
      using <openin X v> o(2) by(auto simp: base-in-def)
      with <countable v> have  $\bigwedge u. u \in \mathcal{U} \implies$  countable u
      by (meson Sup-upper countable-subset)
      thus v  $\subseteq$  U
      using <U  $\subseteq$  O> by(auto simp: <v =  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}\>$  U-def)
    qed(rule countable-subset[OF - 1])
    have 3: perfect-set X P
    proof(rule perfect-setI)
      fix x T
      assume h:x  $\in$  P x  $\in$  T openin X T
      have T-unc:uncountable T
      using openin-c[OF h(3)] h(1,2) by(auto simp: P-def)
      obtain U where U:T =  $\bigcup \mathcal{U} \mathcal{U} \subseteq O$ 
      using h(3) o(2) by(auto simp: base-in-def)
      then obtain u where u:u  $\in$  U uncountable u
      using T-unc U-def h(3) openin-c by auto
      hence uncountable (u - {x}) by simp
      hence  $\neg(u - \{x\} \subseteq U)$ 
      using 1 by (metis countable-subset)
      then obtain y where y  $\in$  u - {x} y  $\notin$  U
      by blast

```

```

thus  $\exists y. y \neq x \wedge y \in T \wedge y \in P$ 
  using  $U u$  base-in-subset[ $OF o(2), of u$ ] by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=y$ ]
simp:P-def)
qed(use 2 P-def in auto)
have 4 : uncountable a if openin (subtopology X P) a a ≠ {} for a
proof
  assume contable:countable a
  obtain b where b: openin X b a =  $P \cap b$ 
    using <openin (subtopology X P) a> by(auto simp: openin-subtopology)
  hence uncountable b
    using P-def openin-c that(2) by auto
  thus False
    by (metis 1 Diff-Int-distrib2 Int-absorb1 P-def b(1) b(2) contable countable-Int1
openin-subset uncountable-minus-countable)
qed
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 3 4 by(auto simp: P-def)
qed

```

1.1.5 Separable Spaces

```

definition dense-in :: ['a topology, 'a set] ⇒ bool where
dense-in S U ↔ (U ⊆ topspace S ∧ (∀ V. openin S V → V ≠ {} → U ∩ V
≠ {}))

lemma dense-in-def2:
dense-in S U ↔ (U ⊆ topspace S ∧ (S closure-of U) = topspace S)
  using dense-intersects-open by(auto simp: dense-in-def closure-of-subset-topspace
in-closure-of) auto

lemma dense-in-topspace[simp]: dense-in S (topspace S)
  by(auto simp: dense-in-def2)

lemma dense-in-subset:
assumes dense-in S U
shows U ⊆ topspace S
using assms by(simp add: dense-in-def)

lemma dense-in-nonempty:
assumes topspace S ≠ {} dense-in S U
shows U ≠ {}
using assms by(auto simp: dense-in-def)

lemma dense-inI:
assumes U ⊆ topspace S
  and ∀V. openin S V ⇒ V ≠ {} ⇒ U ∩ V ≠ {}
shows dense-in S U
using assms by(auto simp: dense-in-def)

```

```

lemma dense-in-infinite:
  assumes t1-space X infinite (topspace X) dense-in X U
  shows infinite U
proof
  assume fin: finite U
  then have closedin X U
    by (metis assms(1,3) dense-in-def t1-space-closedin-finite)
  hence X closure-of U = U
    by (simp add: closure-of-eq)
  thus False
    by (metis assms(2) assms(3) dense-in-def2 fin)
qed

lemma dense-in-prod:
  assumes dense-in S U and dense-in S' U'
  shows dense-in (prod-topology S S') (U × U')
proof(rule dense-inI)
  fix V
  assume h:openin (prod-topology S S') V V ≠ {}
  then obtain x y where hxy:(x,y) ∈ V by auto
  then obtain V1 V2 where hv12:
    openin S V1 openin S' V2 x ∈ V1 y ∈ V2 V1 × V2 ⊆ V
    using h(1) openin-prod-topology-alt[of S S' V] by blast
  hence V1 ≠ {} V2 ≠ {} by auto
  hence U ∩ V1 ≠ {} U' ∩ V2 ≠ {}
    using assms hv12 by(auto simp: dense-in-def)
  thus U × U' ∩ V ≠ {}
    using hv12 by auto
next
  show U × U' ⊆ topspace (prod-topology S S')
    using assms by(auto simp add: dense-in-def)
qed

lemma separable-space-def2:separable-space S ←→ (∃ U. countable U ∧ dense-in S U)
  by(auto simp: separable-space-def dense-in-def2)

lemma countable-space-separable-space:
  assumes countable (topspace S)
  shows separable-space S
  using assms by(auto simp: separable-space-def2 intro!: exI[where x=topspace S])

lemma separable-space-prod:
  assumes separable-space S and separable-space S'
  shows separable-space (prod-topology S S')
proof –
  obtain U U' where
    countable U dense-in S U countable U' dense-in S' U'

```

```

using assms by(auto simp: separable-space-def2)
thus ?thesis
  by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=U \times U$ ] dense-in-prod simp: separable-space-def2)
qed

lemma dense-in-product:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{dense-in } (T i) (U i)$ 
  shows  $\text{dense-in } (\text{product-topology } T I) (\prod_E i \in I. U i)$ 
  proof(rule dense-inI)
    fix  $V$ 
    assume  $h: \text{openin } (\text{product-topology } T I) V V \neq \{\}$ 
    then obtain  $x$  where  $hx: x \in V$  by auto
    then obtain  $K$  where  $hk$ :
       $\text{finite } \{i \in I. K i \neq \text{topspace } (T i)\} \forall i \in I. \text{openin } (T i) (K i) x \in (\prod_E i \in I. K i) \subseteq V$ 
      using  $h(1)$  openin-product-topology-alt[of  $T I V$ ] by auto
      hence  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies K i \neq \{\}$  by auto
      hence  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies U i \cap K i \neq \{\}$ 
        using assms  $hk$  by(auto simp: dense-in-def)
        hence  $(\prod_E i \in I. U i) \cap (\prod_E i \in I. K i) \neq \{\}$ 
          by (simp add: PiE-Int PiE-eq-empty-iff)
        thus  $(\prod_E i \in I. U i) \cap V \neq \{\}$ 
        using  $hk$  by auto
    next
      show  $(\prod_E i \in I. U i) \subseteq \text{topspace } (\text{product-topology } T I)$ 
        using assms by(auto simp: dense-in-def)
    qed

lemma separable-countable-product:
  assumes countable  $I$  and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space } (T i)$ 
  shows  $\text{separable-space } (\text{product-topology } T I)$ 
  proof –
    consider  $\exists i \in I. T i = \text{trivial-topology} \mid \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies T i \neq \text{trivial-topology}$ 
      by auto
    thus ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 1
      then obtain  $i$  where  $i: i \in I \text{ topspace } (T i) = \{\}$ 
        by auto
      show ?thesis
        unfolding separable-space-def2 dense-in-def
      proof(intro exI[where  $x=\{\}$ ] conjI)
        show  $\forall V. \text{openin } (\text{product-topology } T I) V \longrightarrow V \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{\} \cap V \neq \{\}$ 
        proof safe
          fix  $V x$ 
          assume  $h: \text{openin } (\text{product-topology } T I) V x \in V$ 
          from  $i$  have  $(\text{product-topology } T I) = \text{trivial-topology}$ 
            using product-topology-trivial-iff by auto
          with  $h(1)$  have  $V = \{\}$ 

```

```

    by simp
  thus  $x \in \{\}$ 
    using  $h(2)$  by auto
  qed
qed auto
next
case 2
then have  $\exists x. \forall i \in I. x i \in \text{topspace } (T i) \exists U. \forall i \in I. \text{countable } (U i) \wedge \text{dense-in } (T i) (U i)$ 
  using  $\text{assms}(2)$  by(auto intro!: bchoice simp: separable-space-def2 ex-in-conv)
then obtain  $x U$  where  $\text{hxa}:$ 
 $\wedge i. i \in I \implies x i \in \text{topspace } (T i) \wedge i. i \in I \implies \text{countable } (U i) \wedge i. i \in I$ 
 $\implies \text{dense-in } (T i) (U i)$ 
  by auto
define  $U'$  where  $U' \equiv (\lambda J. i. \text{if } i \in J \text{ then } U i \text{ else } \{x i\})$ 
show ?thesis
  unfolding separable-space-def2
proof(intro exI[where  $x = \bigcup \{\Pi_E i \in I. U' J i \mid J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I\}$ ] conjI)
  have  $(\bigcup \{\Pi_E i \in I. U' J i \mid J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I\}) = (\bigcup ((\lambda J. \Pi_E i \in I. U' J$ 
 $i) ' \{J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I\}))$ 
  by auto
also have countable ...
proof(rule countable-UN)
  fix  $J$ 
  assume  $hj:J \in \{J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I\}$ 
  have inj-on  $(\lambda f. (\lambda i \in J. f i, \lambda i \in (I - J). f i)) (\Pi_E i \in I. U' J i)$ 
  proof(rule inj-onI)
    fix  $f g$ 
    assume  $hf \in Pi_E I (U' J) hg \in Pi_E I (U' J)$ 
       $(\text{restrict } f J, \text{restrict } f (I - J)) = (\text{restrict } g J, \text{restrict } g (I - J))$ 
    then have  $\wedge i. i \in J \implies f i = g i \wedge i. i \in (I - J) \implies f i = g i$ 
      by(auto simp: restrict-def) meson+
    thus  $f = g$ 
      using  $h(1,2)$  by(auto simp: U'-def) (meson PiE-ext)
  qed
  moreover have countable  $((\lambda f. (\lambda i \in J. f i, \lambda i \in (I - J). f i)) ' (\Pi_E i \in I. U'$ 
 $J i))$  (is countable ?K)
  proof -
    have  $1: ?K \subseteq (\Pi_E i \in J. U i) \times (\Pi_E i \in (I - J). \{x i\})$ 
      using  $hj$  by(auto simp: U'-def PiE-def Pi-def)
    have 2:countable ...
    proof(rule countable-SIGMA)
      show countable  $(Pi_E J U)$ 
        using  $hj \text{ hxa}(2)$  by(auto intro!: countable-PiE)
    qed
  next
  have  $(\Pi_E i \in I - J. \{x i\}) = \{ \lambda i \in I - J. x i \}$ 
    by(auto simp: PiE-def extensional-def restrict-def Pi-def)
  thus countable  $(\Pi_E i \in I - J. \{x i\})$ 
    by simp

```

```

qed
show ?thesis
  by(rule countable-subset[OF 1 2])
qed
ultimately show countable ( $\prod_E i \in I. U' J i$ )
  by(simp add: countable-image-inj-eq)
qed(rule countable-Collect-finite-subset[OF assms(1)])
finally show countable ( $\bigcup \{ \prod_E i \in I. U' J i \mid J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I \}$ ) .
next
show dense-in (product-topology T I) ( $\bigcup \{ \prod_E i \in I. U' J i \mid J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I \}$ )
  proof(rule dense-inI)
    fix V
    assume h:openin (product-topology T I) V V ≠ {}
    then obtain y where hx:y ∈ V by auto
    then obtain K where hk:
      finite {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} ∧ i ∈ I ⇒ openin (T i) (K i) y ∈
      ( $\prod_E i \in I. K i$ ) ( $\prod_E i \in I. K i$ ) ⊆ V
      using h(1) openin-product-topology-alt[of T I V] by auto
      hence 3: i ∈ I ⇒ K i ≠ {} by auto
      hence 4: i ∈ {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} ⇒ K i ∩ U' {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} i ≠ {} for i
        using hxu(3)[of i] hk(2)[of i] by(auto simp: U'-def dense-in-def)
      have 3: ∃ z. ∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)}. z i ∈ K i ∩ U' {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} i
        by(rule bchoice) (use 4 in auto)
      then obtain z where hz: ∀ i ∈ {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)}. z i ∈ K i ∩ U' {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} i
        by auto
      have 5: i ∉ {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} ⇒ i ∈ I ⇒ x i ∈ K i for i
        using hxu(1)[of i] by auto
      have (λi. if i ∈ {i ∈ I. K i ≠ topspace (T i)} then z i else if i ∈ I then x i
      else undefined) ∈ ( $\prod_E i \in I. U' \{i \in I. K i \neq \text{topspace} (T i)\} i$ ) ∩ ( $\prod_E i \in I. K i$ )
        using 4 5 hz by(auto simp: U'-def)
      thus  $\bigcup \{ P_{iE} I (U' J) \mid J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I \} \cap V \neq {}$ 
        using hk(1,4) by blast
    next
    have  $\bigwedge J. J \subseteq I \Rightarrow (\prod_E i \in I. U' J i) \subseteq \text{topspace} (\text{product-topology} T I)$ 
      using hxu by(auto simp: dense-in-def U'-def PiE-def Pi-def) (metis subsetD)
    thus ( $\bigcup \{ \prod_E i \in I. U' J i \mid J. \text{finite } J \wedge J \subseteq I \}$ ) ⊆ topspace (product-topology T I)
      by auto
    qed
    qed
    qed
  qed

```

lemma separable-finite-product:

```

assumes finite I and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space } (T i)$ 
shows  $\text{separable-space } (\text{product-topology } T I)$ 
using  $\text{separable-countable-product}[\text{OF countable-finite}[\text{OF assms(1)}]] \text{ assms by}$ 
auto

```

1.1.6 G_δ Set

```

lemma gdelta-inD:
assumes gdelta-in S A
shows  $\exists \mathcal{U}. \mathcal{U} \neq \{\} \wedge \text{countable } \mathcal{U} \wedge (\forall b \in \mathcal{U}. \text{openin } S b) \wedge A = \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ 
using assms unfolding gdelta-in-def relative-to-def intersection-of-def
by (metis IntD1 Int-insert-right-if1 complete-lattice-class.Inf-insert countable-insert
empty-not-insert inf.absorb-iff2 mem-Collect-eq openin-topspace)

lemma gdelta-inD':
assumes gdelta-in S A
shows  $\exists U. (\forall n : \text{nat}. \text{openin } S (U n)) \wedge A = \bigcap (\text{range } U)$ 
proof -
obtain  $\mathcal{U}$  where  $h : \mathcal{U} \neq \{\} \text{ countable } \mathcal{U} \wedge b \in \mathcal{U} \implies \text{openin } S b \wedge A = \bigcap \mathcal{U}$ 
using gdelta-inD[OF assms] by metis
show ?thesis
using range-from-nat-into[OF h(1,2)] h(3,4)
by (auto intro!: exI[where x=from-nat-into U])
qed

lemma gdelta-in-continuous-map:
assumes continuous-map X Y f gdelta-in Y a
shows gdelta-in X (f -` a ∩ topspace X)
proof -
obtain Ua where u:
 $Ua \neq \{\} \text{ countable } Ua \wedge b \in Ua \implies \text{openin } Y b \wedge a = \bigcap Ua$ 
using gdelta-inD[OF assms(2)] by metis
then have 0:f -` a ∩ topspace X =  $\bigcap \{f -` b \cap \text{topspace } X | b \in Ua\}$ 
by auto
have 1:  $\{f -` b \cap \text{topspace } X | b \in Ua\} \neq \{\}$ 
using u(1) by simp
have 2:  $\text{countable } \{f -` b \cap \text{topspace } X | b \in Ua\}$ 
using u by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
have 3:  $\bigwedge c. c \in \{f -` b \cap \text{topspace } X | b \in Ua\} \implies \text{openin } X c$ 
using assms u(3) by blast
show ?thesis
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 0 1 2 3 gdelta-in-Inter open-imp-gdelta-in)
qed

lemma g-delta-of-inj-open-map:
assumes open-map X Y f inj-on f (topspace X) gdelta-in X a
shows gdelta-in Y (f ` a)
proof -
obtain Ua where u:

```

```

 $Ua \neq \{\} \text{ countable } Ua \wedge b \in Ua \implies \text{openin } X b \ a = \bigcap Ua$ 
  using gdelta-inD[OF assms(3)] by metis
then obtain j where  $j \in Ua$  by auto
have  $f' a = f' \bigcap Ua$  by(simp add: u(4))
also have ...  $= \bigcap ((\cdot) f' Ua)$ 
  using u openin-subset by(auto intro!: image-INT[OF assms(2) -  $\langle j \in Ua \rangle$ , of id,simplified])
also have ...  $= \bigcap \{f' u \mid u \in Ua\}$  by auto
finally have  $0: f' a = \bigcap \{f' u \mid u \in Ua\}$  .
have  $1: \{f' u \mid u \in Ua\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using u(1) by auto
have  $2: \text{countable } \{f' u \mid u \in Ua\}$ 
  using u(2) by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
have  $3: \bigwedge c. c \in \{f' u \mid u \in Ua\} \implies \text{openin } Y c$ 
  using assms(1) u(3) by(auto simp: open-map-def)
show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) 0 1 2 3 gdelta-in-Inter open-imp-gdelta-in)
qed

```

lemma gdelta-in-prod:

assumes gdelta-in X A gdelta-in Y B

shows gdelta-in (prod-topology X Y) (A × B)

proof –

obtain Ua Ub **where** hu:

$Ua \neq \{\} \text{ countable } Ua \wedge b \in Ua \implies \text{openin } X b \ A = \bigcap Ua$

$Ub \neq \{\} \text{ countable } Ub \wedge b \in Ub \implies \text{openin } Y b \ B = \bigcap Ub$

by (meson gdelta-inD assms)

then have $0: A \times B = \bigcap \{a \times b \mid a \ b. a \in Ua \wedge b \in Ub\}$ **by** blast

have $1: \{a \times b \mid a \ b. a \in Ua \wedge b \in Ub\} \neq \{\}$

using hu(1,5) **by** auto

have $2: \text{countable } \{a \times b \mid a \ b. a \in Ua \wedge b \in Ub\}$

proof –

have countable $((\lambda(x, y). x \times y) ' (Ua \times Ub))$

using hu(2,6) **by**(auto intro!: countable-image[*of Ua × Ub λ(x,y). x × y*])

moreover have ... $= \{a \times b \mid a \ b. a \in Ua \wedge b \in Ub\}$ **by** auto

ultimately show ?thesis **by** simp

qed

have $3: \bigwedge c. c \in \{a \times b \mid a \ b. a \in Ua \wedge b \in Ub\} \implies \text{openin } (\text{prod-topology } X Y) c$

using hu(3,7) **by**(auto simp: openin-prod-Times-iff)

show ?thesis

by (metis (no-types, lifting) gdelta-in-Inter open-imp-gdelta-in 0 1 2 3)

qed

corollary gdelta-in-prod1:

assumes gdelta-in X A

shows gdelta-in (prod-topology X Y) (A × topspace Y)

by(auto intro!: gdelta-in-prod assms)

```

corollary gdelta-in-prod2:
  assumes gdelta-in Y B
  shows gdelta-in (prod-topology X Y) (topspace X × B)
  by(auto intro!: gdelta-in-prod assms)

lemma continuous-map-imp-closed-graph':
  assumes continuous-map X Y f Hausdorff-space Y
  shows closedin (prod-topology Y X) ((λx. (f x,x)) ` topspace X)
  using assms closed-map-def closed-map-paired-continuous-map-left by blast

```

1.1.7 Continuous Maps on First Countable Topology

Generalized version of Metric-space ?M ?d \implies eventually ?P (atin (Metric-space.mtopology ?M ?d) ?a) = ($\forall \sigma$. range $\sigma \subseteq ?M - \{?a\}$ \wedge limitin (Metric-space.mtopology ?M ?d) σ ?a sequentially \longrightarrow ($\forall_F n$ in sequentially. ?P (σn)))

```

lemma eventually-atin-sequentially:
  assumes first-countable X
  shows eventually P (atin X a)  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \sigma$ . range  $\sigma \subseteq$  topspace X - {a}  $\wedge$  limitin X a sequentially  $\longrightarrow$  eventually ( $\lambda n$ . P ( $\sigma n$ )) sequentially)
  proof safe
    fix an
    assume h: eventually P (atin X a) range an  $\subseteq$  topspace X - {a} limitin X an a sequentially
    then obtain U where U: openin X U a  $\in$  U  $\forall x \in U - \{a\}$ . P x
      by (auto simp: eventually-atin limitin-topspace)
    with h(3) obtain N where  $\forall n \geq N$ . an n  $\in$  U
      by (meson limitin-sequentially)
    with U(3) h(2) show  $\forall_F n$  in sequentially. P (an n)
      unfolding eventually-sequentially by blast
  next
    assume h:  $\forall an$ . range an  $\subseteq$  topspace X - {a}  $\wedge$  limitin X an a sequentially  $\longrightarrow$  ( $\forall_F n$  in sequentially. P (an n))
    consider a  $\notin$  topspace X | a  $\in$  topspace X
      by blast
    then show eventually P (atin X a)
    proof cases
      assume a:a  $\in$  topspace X
      from a assms obtain B' where B': countable B'  $\wedge$  V. V  $\in$  B'  $\implies$  openin X V  $\wedge$  U. openin X U  $\implies$  a  $\in$  U  $\implies$  ( $\exists V \in B'$ . a  $\in$  V  $\wedge$  V  $\subseteq$  U)
        by(fastforce simp: first-countable-def)
      define B where B  $\equiv$  {V  $\in$  B'. a  $\in$  V}
      have B:  $\bigwedge V$ . V  $\in$  B  $\implies$  openin X V countable B B  $\neq \{\}$   $\wedge$  U. openin X U  $\implies$  a  $\in$  U  $\implies$  ( $\exists V \in B$ . a  $\in$  V  $\wedge$  V  $\subseteq$  U)
        using B' B'(3)[OF - a] by(fastforce simp: B-def)+
      define An where An  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda n$ .  $\bigcap_{i \leq n}$ . from-nat-into B i)
      have a-in-An:a  $\in$  An n for n
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) An-def B-def B(3) INT-I from-nat-into mem-Collect-eq)
      have openAn:  $\bigwedge n$ . openin X (An n)
        using B by(auto simp: An-def from-nat-into[OF B(3)] openin-Inter)

```

```

have decseq-An:decseq An
  by(fastforce simp: decseq-def An-def)
have  $\exists U. \text{openin } X U \wedge a \in U \wedge \text{Ball}(U - \{a\}) P$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\nexists U. \text{openin } X U \wedge a \in U \wedge \text{Ball}(U - \{a\}) P$ 
  then have  $\bigwedge U. \text{openin } X U \implies a \in U \implies \exists x \in U - \{a\}. \neg P x$ 
    by blast
  hence  $\exists b \in An. n - \{a\}. \neg P b$  for n
    using openAn a-in-An by auto
  then obtain an where an:  $\bigwedge n. an \in An$   $n \in An \wedge n \neq a \wedge n. \neg P(an)$ 
    by (metis Diff-iff singletonI)
  have limitin X an a sequentially
    unfolding limitin-sequentially
  proof safe
    fix U
    assume openin X U a ∈ U
    then obtain V where V:a ∈ V V ⊆ U V ∈ B
      using B by meson
    then obtain N where V = from-nat-into B N
      by (metis B(2) from-nat-into-surj)
    hence  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies an \in V$ 
      using an(1) An-def by blast
    thus  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. an \in U$ 
      using V by blast
  qed fact
  hence  $\text{1:} \forall F n \text{ in sequentially. } P(an)$ 
    using an(2) h an(1) openin-subset[OF openAn] by blast
  thus False
    using an(3) by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by(simp add: eventually-atin)
  qed(auto simp: eventually-atin)
qed

lemma continuous-map-iff-limit-seq:
assumes first-countable X
shows continuous-map X Y f  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall xn x. \text{limitin } X xn x \text{ sequentially} \longrightarrow \text{limitin } Y (\lambda n. f(xn)) (fx) \text{ sequentially})$ 
unfolding continuous-map-atin
proof safe
  fix xn x
  assume h: $\forall x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{limitin } Y f (fx) (\text{atin } X x) \text{ limitin } X xn x \text{ sequentially}$ 
  then have limfx:  $\text{limitin } Y f (fx) (\text{atin } X x)$ 
    by(simp add: limitin-topspace)
  show  $\text{limitin } Y (\lambda n. f(xn)) (fx) \text{ sequentially}$ 
    unfolding limitin-sequentially
  proof safe
    fix U

```

```

assume  $U:\text{openin } Y U f x \in U$ 
then have  $h':\bigwedge \sigma. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq \text{topspace } X - \{x\} \implies x \in \text{topspace } X \implies \text{limitin } X \sigma x \text{ sequentially} \implies (\exists N. \forall n \geq N. f(\sigma n) \in U)$ 
using limfx by (auto simp: limitin-def eventually-atin-sequentially[OF assms(1)] eventually-sequentially)
show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. f(xn n) \in U$ 
proof (cases finite {n. xn n ≠ x})
  assume finite {n. xn n ≠ x}
  then obtain N where  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies xn n = x$ 
    using infinite-nat-iff-unbounded-le by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using U by auto
next
  assume inf:infinite {n. xn n ≠ x}
  obtain n0 where  $n0:\bigwedge n. n \geq n0 \implies xn n \in \text{topspace } X$ 
    by (meson h(2) limitin-sequentially openin-topspace)
  have inf':infinite ({n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {n0..})
  proof
    have 1:( {n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {n0..}) ∪ ( {n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {.. < n0}) = {n. xn n ≠ x}
    by auto
  assume finite ({n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {n0..})
  then have finite (( {n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {n0..}) ∪ ( {n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {.. < n0}))
    by auto
  with inf show False
    unfolding 1 by blast
qed
define a where  $a \equiv \text{enumerate } (\{n. xn n \neq x\} \cap \{n0..\})$ 
have a: strict-mono a range a = ({n. xn n ≠ x} ∩ {n0..})
  using range-enumerate[OF inf'] strict-mono-enumerate[OF inf']
  by(auto simp: a-def)
have  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. f(xn(a n)) \in U$ 
  using limitin-subsequence[OF a(1) h(2)] a(2) n0
  by(auto intro!: h' limitin-topspace[OF h(2)] simp: comp-def)
then obtain N where  $N:\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies f(xn(a n)) \in U$ 
  by blast
show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. f(xn n) \in U$ 
proof (auto intro!: exI[where x=a N])
  fix n
  assume n:n ≥ a N
  show f(xn n) ∈ U
  proof (cases xn n = x)
    assume xn n ≠ x
    moreover have n0 ≤ n
      using seq-suble[OF a(1),of N] n a(2)
      by (metis Int-Collect atLeast-def dual-order.trans rangeI)
    ultimately obtain n1 where n1:n = a n1
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Int-Collect atLeast-def imageE mem-Collect-eq
a(2)))

```

```

have n1 ≥ N
  using strict-mono-less-eq[OF a(1),of N n1] n by(simp add: n1)
thus ?thesis
  by(auto intro!: N simp: n1)
qed(auto simp: U)
qed
qed
qed(auto intro!: limitin-topspace limfx)
next
fix x
assume h: ∀ xn x. limitin X xn x sequentially → limitin Y (λn. f (xn n)) (f x)
sequentially x ∈ topspace X
then have f x ∈ topspace Y
  by (meson Abstract-Limits.limitin-const-iff limitin-topspace)
thus limitin Y f (f x) (atin X x)
  using h by(auto simp: eventually-atin-sequentially[OF assms(1)] limitin-def )
qed

```

1.1.8 Upper-Semicontinuous Functions

```

definition upper-semicontinuous-map :: ['a topology, 'a ⇒ 'b :: linorder-topology]
⇒ bool where
upper-semicontinuous-map X f ↔ (∀ a. openin X {x∈topspace X. f x < a})

lemma continuous-upper-semicontinuous:
assumes continuous-map X (euclidean :: ('b :: linorder-topology) topology) f
shows upper-semicontinuous-map X f
  unfolding upper-semicontinuous-map-def
proof safe
fix a :: 'b
have *:openin euclidean U ⇒ openin X {x ∈ topspace X. f x ∈ U} for U
  using assms by(simp add: continuous-map)
have openin euclidean {..} by auto
with *[of {..}] show openin X {x ∈ topspace X. f x < a} by auto
qed

lemma upper-semicontinuous-map-iff-closed:
upper-semicontinuous-map X f ↔ (∀ a. closedin X {x∈topspace X. f x ≥ a})
proof –
have {x ∈ topspace X. f x < a} = topspace X - {x ∈ topspace X. f x ≥ a} for a
  by auto
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: closedin-def upper-semicontinuous-map-def)
qed

lemma upper-semicontinuous-map-real-iff:
fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real
shows upper-semicontinuous-map X f ↔ upper-semicontinuous-map X (λx.
```

```

 $\text{ereal } (f x))$ 
unfolding upper-semicontinuous-map-def
proof safe
  fix  $a :: \text{ereal}$ 
  assume  $h:\forall a::\text{real}. \text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x < a\}$ 
  consider  $a = -\infty \mid a = \infty \mid a \neq -\infty \wedge a \neq \infty$  by auto
  then show  $\text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{ereal } (f x) < a\}$ 
proof cases
  case  $3$ 
    then have  $\text{ereal } (f x) < a \longleftrightarrow f x < \text{real-of-ereal } a$  for  $x$ 
    by (metis ereal-less-eq(3) linorder-not-less real-of-ereal.elims)
    thus ?thesis
      using  $h$  by simp
qed simp-all
next
  fix  $a :: \text{real}$ 
  assume  $h:\forall a::\text{ereal}. \text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{ereal } (f x) < a\}$ 
  then have  $\text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. \text{ereal } (f x) < \text{ereal } a\}$ 
  by blast
  moreover have  $\text{ereal } (f x) < \text{real-of-ereal } a \longleftrightarrow f x < a$  for  $x$ 
  by auto
  ultimately show  $\text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x < a\}$  by auto
qed

```

1.1.9 Lower-Semicontinuous Functions

```

definition lower-semicontinuous-map :: [ $'a \text{ topology}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b :: \text{linorder-topology}]$ 
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool where}$ 
lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. a < f x\})$ 

```

```

lemma continuous-lower-semicontinuous:
  assumes continuous-map  $X$  (euclidean :: ( $'b :: \text{linorder-topology}$ ) topology)  $f$ 
  shows lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f$ 
unfolding lower-semicontinuous-map-def
proof safe
  fix  $a :: 'b$ 
  have  $*:\text{openin euclidean } U \implies \text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \in U\}$  for  $U$ 
    using assms by(simp add: continuous-map)
  have  $\text{openin euclidean } \{a < ..\}$  by auto
  with  $*[\{a < ..\}]$  show  $\text{openin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. a < f x\}$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lower-semicontinuous-map-iff-closed:
lower-semicontinuous-map  $X f \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{closedin } X \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \leq a\})$ 
proof -
  have  $\{x \in \text{topspace } X. a < f x\} = \text{topspace } X - \{x \in \text{topspace } X. f x \leq a\}$  for  $a$ 
  by auto
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    by (simp add: closedin-def lower-semicontinuous-map-def)
qed

lemma lower-semicontinuous-map-real-iff:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real
  shows lower-semicontinuous-map X f ←→ lower-semicontinuous-map X (λx.
  ereal (f x))
  unfolding lower-semicontinuous-map-def
proof safe
  fix a :: ereal
  assume h:∀ a::real. openin X {x ∈ topspace X. a < f x}
  consider a = -∞ | a = ∞ | a ≠ -∞ ∧ a ≠ ∞ by auto
  then show openin X {x ∈ topspace X. a < ereal (f x)}
  proof cases
    case 3
    then have a < ereal (f x) ←→ real-of-ereal a < f x for x
      by (metis ereal-less-eq(3) linorder-not-less real-of-ereal.elims)
    thus ?thesis
      using h by simp
  qed simp-all
next
fix a :: real
assume h:∀ a::ereal. openin X {x ∈ topspace X. a < ereal (f x)}
then have openin X {x ∈ topspace X. ereal (f x) > ereal a}
  by blast
moreover have ereal (f x) > real-of-ereal a ←→ a < f x for x
  by auto
ultimately show openin X {x ∈ topspace X. f x > a} by auto
qed

```

1.2 Lemmas for Measure Theory

1.2.1 Lemmas for Measurable Sets

```

lemma measurable-preserve-sigma-sets:
  assumes sets M = sigma-sets Ω S S ⊆ Pow Ω
    ∧ a. a ∈ S ⇒ f ` a ∈ sets N inj-on f (space M) f ` space M ∈ sets N
    and b ∈ sets M
  shows f ` b ∈ sets N
proof -
  have b ∈ sigma-sets Ω S
    using assms(1,6) by simp
  thus ?thesis
  proof induction
    case (Basic a)
    then show ?case by(rule assms(3))
  next
  case Empty
  then show ?case by simp
next

```

```

case (Compl a)
moreover have  $\Omega = \text{space } M$ 
  by (metis assms(1) assms(2) sets.sets-into-space sets.top sigma-sets-into-sp
sigma-sets-top subset-antisym)
  ultimately show ?case
    by (metis Diff-subset assms(2) assms(4) assms(5) inj-on-image-set-diff
sets.Diff sigma-sets-into-sp)
next
  case (Union a)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: image-UN)
qed
qed

inductive-set sigma-sets-cinter :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set
for sp :: 'a set and A :: 'a set set
where
  Basic-c[intro, simp]:  $a \in A \Rightarrow a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
  | Top-c[simp]:  $sp \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
  | Inter-c:  $(\bigwedge i:\text{nat}. a_i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A) \Rightarrow (\bigcap i. a_i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
  | Union-c:  $(\bigwedge i:\text{nat}. a_i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A) \Rightarrow (\bigcup i. a_i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 

inductive-set sigma-sets-cinter-dunion :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set set
for sp :: 'a set and A :: 'a set set
where
  Basic-cd[intro, simp]:  $a \in A \Rightarrow a \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  | Top-cd[simp]:  $sp \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  | Inter-cd:  $(\bigwedge i:\text{nat}. a_i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A) \Rightarrow (\bigcap i. a_i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  | Union-cd:  $(\bigwedge i:\text{nat}. a_i \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A) \Rightarrow \text{disjoint-family } a \Rightarrow (\bigcup i. a_i) \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-subset:  $\text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A \subseteq \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
proof safe
  fix x
  assume  $x \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion } sp A$ 
  then show  $x \in \text{sigma-sets-cinter } sp A$ 
  by induction (auto intro!: Union-c Inter-c)
qed

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-into-sp:
  assumes  $A \subseteq \text{Pow } sp$ 
  shows  $x \subseteq sp$ 
  using assms(2) by induction (use assms(1) subsetD in blast)+
lemma sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-into-sp:
```

```

assumes A ⊆ Pow sp x ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion sp A
shows x ⊆ sp
using assms(2) by induction (use assms(1) subsetD in blast)+

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-int:
assumes a ∈ sigma-sets-cinter sp A b ∈ sigma-sets-cinter sp A
shows a ∩ b ∈ sigma-sets-cinter sp A
proof -
have 1:a ∩ b = (⋂ i::nat. if i = 0 then a else b) by auto
show ?thesis
  unfolding 1 by(rule Inter-c,use assms in auto)
qed

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-int:
assumes a ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion sp A b ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion sp A
shows a ∩ b ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion sp A
proof -
have 1:a ∩ b = (⋂ i::nat. if i = 0 then a else b) by auto
show ?thesis
  unfolding 1 by(rule Inter-cd,use assms in auto)
qed

lemma sigma-sets-cinter-un:
assumes a ∈ sigma-sets-cinter sp A b ∈ sigma-sets-cinter sp A
shows a ∪ b ∈ sigma-sets-cinter sp A
proof -
have 1:a ∪ b = (⋃ i::nat. if i = 0 then a else b) by auto
show ?thesis
  unfolding 1 by(rule Union-c,use assms in auto)
qed

lemma sigma-sets-eq-cinter-dunion:
assumes metrizable-space X
shows sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U} = sigma-sets-cinter-dunion
(topspace X) {U. openin X U}
proof safe
fix a
interpret sa: sigma-algebra topspace X sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X
U}
  by(auto intro!: sigma-algebra-sigma-sets openin-subset)
assume a ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
then show a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
  by induction auto
next
have c:sigma-sets-cinter-dunion (topspace X) {U. openin X U} ⊆ {U ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion
(topspace X) {U. openin X U}. topspace X - U ∈ sigma-sets-cinter-dunion (topspace
X) {U. openin X U}}
proof
fix a

```

```

assume a:  $a \in \sigma\text{-sets-}cinter\text{-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}$ 
then show  $a \in \{ U \in \sigma\text{-sets-}cinter\text{-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}.$ 
 $\text{topspace } X - a \in \sigma\text{-sets-}cinter\text{-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \} \}$ 
proof induction
  case a:(Basic-cd a)
  then have gdelta-in X (topspace X - a)
    by(auto intro!: closed-imp-gdelta-in assms)
  from gdelta-inD'[OF this] obtain U where U:
     $\bigwedge n :: \text{nat}. \text{openin } X (U n) \text{topspace } X - a = \bigcap (\text{range } U)$  by auto
  show ?case
    using a U(1) by(auto simp: U(2) intro!: Inter-cd)
next
  case Top-cd
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case ca:(Inter-cd a)
  define b where  $b \equiv (\lambda n. (\text{topspace } X - a n) \cap (\bigcap i. \text{if } i < n \text{ then } a i \text{ else } \text{topspace } X))$ 
  have bd:disjoint-family b
    using nat-neq-iff by(fastforce simp: disjoint-family-on-def b-def)
  have bun: $\text{topspace } X - (\bigcap (\text{range } a)) = (\bigcup i. b i)$  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    { fix x
      have  $x \in ?lhs \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge x \in (\bigcup i. \text{topspace } X - a i)$ 
        by auto
      also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge (\exists n. x \in \text{topspace } X - a n)$ 
        by auto
      also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge (\exists n. x \in \text{topspace } X - a n \wedge (\forall i < n. x \in a i))$ 
    }
    proof safe
      fix n
      assume 1: $x \notin a n$   $x \in \text{topspace } X$ 
      define N where  $N \equiv \text{Min} \{ m. m \leq n \wedge x \notin a m \}$ 
      have N: $x \notin a N$   $N \leq n$ 
        using linorder-class.Min-in[of {m. m ≤ n ∧ x ∉ a m}] 1
        by(auto simp: N-def)
      have N': $x \in a i$  if  $i < N$  for i
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume x ∉ a i
        then have N ≤ i
          using linorder-class.Min-le[of {m. m ≤ n ∧ x ∉ a m} i] that N(2)
          by(auto simp: N-def)
        with that show False by auto
    
```

```

qed
show  $\exists n. x \in \text{topspace } X - a n \wedge (\forall i < n. x \in a i)$ 
    using  $N N'$  by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=N$ ] 1)
qed auto
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in ?rhs$ 
    by(auto simp: b-def)
finally have  $x \in ?lhs \longleftrightarrow x \in ?rhs . \}$ 
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
have ...  $\in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}$ 
    by(rule Union-cd) (use bin bd in auto)
thus ?case
    using Inter-cd[of a,OF ca(1)] by(auto simp: bun)
next
case ca:(Union-cd a)
have  $\text{topspace } X - (\bigcup (\text{range } a)) = (\bigcap i. (\text{topspace } X - a i))$ 
    by simp
have ...  $\in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}$ 
    by(rule Inter-cd) (use ca in auto)
then show ?case
    using Union-cd[of a,OF ca(1,2)] by auto
qed
qed
fix a
assume a  $\in \text{sigma-sets}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}$ 
then show a  $\in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}$ 
proof induction
case a:(Union a)
define b where b  $\equiv (\lambda n. a n \cap (\bigcap i. \text{if } i < n \text{ then } \text{topspace } X - a i \text{ else } \text{topspace } X))$ 
have bd:disjoint-family b
    by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def b-def) (metis Diff iff UnCI image-eqI linorder-neqE-nat mem-Collect-eq)
have bin:b i  $\in \text{sigma-sets-cinter-dunion}(\text{topspace } X) \{ U. \text{openin } X U \}$  for i
    unfolding b-def
    apply(rule sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-int)
    using a(2)[of i]
    apply auto[1]
    apply(rule Inter-cd) using c a by auto
have bun:( $\bigcup i. a i$ ) = ( $\bigcup i. b i$ ) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
{
fix x
have  $x \in ?lhs \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge x \in ?lhs$ 
    using sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-into-sp[OF - a(2)]
    by (metis UN iff subsetD subset-Pow-Union topspace-def)
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge (\exists n. x \in a n)$  by auto
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in \text{topspace } X \wedge (\exists n. x \in a n \wedge (\forall i < n. x \in \text{topspace } X - a i))$ 

```

```

proof safe
  fix n
  assume 1: $x \in \text{topspace } X$   $x \in a$  n
  define N where  $N \equiv \text{Min} \{m. m \leq n \wedge x \in a\}$ 
  have  $N:x \in a$   $N \leq n$ 
    using linorder-class.Min-in[of {m. m ≤ n ∧ x ∈ a}] 1
    by(auto simp: N-def)
  have  $N':x \notin a$  i if  $i < N$  for i
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg x \notin a$  i
    then have  $N \leq i$ 
      using linorder-class.Min-le[of {m. m ≤ n ∧ x ∈ a}] i that  $N(2)$ 
      by(auto simp: N-def)
      with that show False by auto
  qed
  show  $\exists n. x \in a$  n  $\wedge (\forall i < n. x \in \text{topspace } X - a)$ 
    using N N' 1 by(auto intro!: exI[where x=N])
  qed auto
  also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow x \in ?rhs$ 
  proof safe
    fix m
    assume  $x \in b$  m
    then show  $x \in \text{topspace } X \exists n. x \in a$  n  $\wedge (\forall i < n. x \in \text{topspace } X - a)$ 
      by(auto intro!: exI[where x=m] simp: b-def)
    qed(auto simp: b-def)
    finally have  $x \in ?lhs \longleftrightarrow x \in ?rhs .$ 
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  have ... in sigma-sets-cinter-dunion (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
    by(rule Union-cd) (use bin bd in auto)
  thus ?case
    by(auto simp: bun)
  qed(use c in auto)
qed

lemma sigma-sets-eq-cinter:
  assumes metrizable-space X
  shows sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U} = sigma-sets-cinter (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
  proof safe
    fix a
    interpret sa: sigma-algebra topspace X sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
      by(auto intro!: sigma-algebra-sigma-sets openin-subset)
    assume a in sigma-sets-cinter (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
    then show a in sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
      by induction auto
  qed (use sigma-sets-cinter-dunion-subset sigma-sets-eq-cinter-dunion[OF assms]
  in auto)

```

1.2.2 Measurable Isomorphisms

```

definition measurable-isomorphic-map::['a measure, 'b measure, 'a ⇒ 'b] ⇒ bool
where
measurable-isomorphic-map M N f ←→ bij-betw f (space M) (space N) ∧ f ∈ M
→M N ∧ the-inv-into (space M) f ∈ N →M M

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-sets-cong:
assumes sets M = sets M' sets N = sets N'
shows measurable-isomorphic-map M N f ←→ measurable-isomorphic-map M'
N' f
by(simp add: measurable-isomorphic-map-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(1)]
sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2)] measurable-cong-sets[OF assms] measurable-cong-sets[OF
assms(2,1)])

```

```

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-surj:
assumes measurable-isomorphic-map M N f
shows f ` space M = space N
using assms by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-map-def bij-betw-def)

```

```

lemma measurable-isomorphic-mapI:
assumes bij-betw f (space M) (space N) f ∈ M →M N the-inv-into (space M) f
∈ N →M M
shows measurable-isomorphic-map M N f
using assms by(simp add: measurable-isomorphic-map-def)

```

```

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness:
assumes f ∈ M →M N g ∈ N →M M ∧x. x ∈ space M ⇒ g (f x) = x ∧x. x
∈ space N ⇒ f (g x) = x
shows measurable-isomorphic-map M N f
proof –
have *:bij-betw f (space M) (space N)
using assms by(auto intro!: bij-betw-byWitness[where f'=g] dest:measurable-space)
show ?thesis
proof(rule measurable-isomorphic-mapI)
have the-inv-into (space M) f x = g x if x ∈ space N for x
by (metis * assms(2) assms(4) bij-betw-imp-inj-on measurable-space that
the-inv-into-f-f)
thus the-inv-into (space M) f ∈ N →M M
using measurable-cong assms(2) by blast
qed (simp-all add: * assms(1))
qed

```

```

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-restrict-space:
assumes f ∈ M →M N ∧A. A ∈ sets M ⇒ f ` A ∈ sets N inj-on f (space M)
shows measurable-isomorphic-map M (restrict-space N (f ` space M)) f
proof(rule measurable-isomorphic-mapI)
show bij-betw f (space M) (space (restrict-space N (f ` space M))) f
by (simp add: assms(2,3) inj-on-imp-bij-betw)
next

```

```

show  $f \in M \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space } N (f` \text{space } M)$ 
    by (simp add: assms(1) measurable-restrict-space2)
next
    show the-inv-into (space M)  $f \in \text{restrict-space } N (f` \text{space } M) \rightarrow_M M$ 
    proof(rule measurableI)
        show  $x \in \text{space} (\text{restrict-space } N (f` \text{space } M)) \implies \text{the-inv-into} (\text{space } M) f x \in \text{space } M$  for  $x$ 
            by (simp add: assms(2,3) the-inv-into-into)
    next
        fix  $A$ 
        assume  $A \in \text{sets } M$ 
        have the-inv-into (space M)  $f -` A \cap \text{space} (\text{restrict-space } N (f` \text{space } M)) = f` A$ 
            by (simp add: ‹ $A \in \text{sets } M$ › assms(2,3) sets.sets-into-space the-inv-into-vimage)
            also note assms(2)[OF ‹ $A \in \text{sets } M$ ›]
            finally show the-inv-into (space M)  $f -` A \cap \text{space} (\text{restrict-space } N (f` \text{space } M)) \in \text{sets} (\text{restrict-space } N (f` \text{space } M))$ 
                by (simp add: assms(2) sets-restrict-space-iff)
        qed
    qed

lemma measurable-isomorphic-mapD':
assumes measurable-isomorphic-map M N f
shows  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies f` A \in \text{sets } N f \in M \rightarrow_M N$ 
 $\exists g. \text{bij-betw } g (\text{space } N) (\text{space } M) \wedge g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. g(x) = x) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } N. f(g x) = x) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. g` A \in \text{sets } M)$ 
proof –
    have h:bij-betw f (space M) (space N)  $f \in M \rightarrow_M N$  the-inv-into (space M)  $f \in N \rightarrow_M M$ 
        using assms by(simp-all add: measurable-isomorphic-map-def)
    show  $f` A \in \text{sets } N$  if  $A \in \text{sets } M$  for  $A$ 
    proof –
        have  $f` A = \text{the-inv-into} (\text{space } M) f -` A \cap \text{space } N$ 
        using the-inv-into-vimage[OF bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF h(1)] sets.sets-into-space[OF that]]
            by(simp add: bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF h(1)])
        also have ...  $\in \text{sets } N$ 
        using that h(3) by auto
        finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    show  $f \in M \rightarrow_M N$ 
        using assms by(simp add: measurable-isomorphic-map-def)

    show  $\exists g. \text{bij-betw } g (\text{space } N) (\text{space } M) \wedge g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. g(f x) = x) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } N. f(g x) = x) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. g` A \in \text{sets } M)$ 
    proof(rule exI[where x=the-inv-into (space M) f])
        have *:the-inv-into (space M)  $f` A \in \text{sets } M$  if  $A \in \text{sets } N$  for  $A$ 
        proof –
            have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies \text{the-inv-into} (\text{space } N) (\text{the-inv-into} (\text{space } M) f)$ 

```

```

 $x = f x$ 
  by (metis bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-the-inv-into h(1) h(2) measurable-space
the-inv-into-f-f)
    from vimage-inter-cong[of space M - f A,OF this] the-inv-into-vimage[OF
bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF h(1)]] sets.sets-into-space[OF that]]
      bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF h(1)]] measurable-sets[OF
h(2) that]
    show ?thesis
      by fastforce
qed
show bij-betw (the-inv-into (space M) f) (space N) (space M) ∧ the-inv-into
(space M) f ∈ N →M M ∧ (∀x∈space M. the-inv-into (space M) f (f x) = x)
∧ (∀x∈space N. f (the-inv-into (space M) f x) = x) ∧ (∀A∈sets N. the-inv-into
(space M) f ` A ∈ sets M)
  using bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF h(1)]
  by (meson * bij-betw-imp-inj-on f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw h(1) h(3) the-inv-into-f-f)
qed
qed

```

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-inv:

assumes measurable-isomorphic-map M N f

shows measurable-isomorphic-map N M (the-inv-into (space M) f)

using assms[simplified measurable-isomorphic-map-def]

by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness[where g=f] bij-betw-the-inv-into
f-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw[of f] bij-betw-imp-inj-on the-inv-into-f-f)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-comp:

assumes measurable-isomorphic-map M N f **and** measurable-isomorphic-map N L g

shows measurable-isomorphic-map M L (g ∘ f)

proof –

obtain f' g' **where**

[measurable]: f' ∈ N →_M M **and** hf: ∀x. x ∈ space M ⇒ f' (f x) = x ∧ x ∈ space N ⇒ f (f' x) = x

and [measurable]: g' ∈ L →_M N **and** hg: ∀x. x ∈ space N ⇒ g' (g x) = x ∧ x ∈ space L ⇒ g (g' x) = x

using measurable-isomorphic-mapD'[OF assms(1)] measurable-isomorphic-mapD'[OF
assms(2)] by metis

have [measurable]: f ∈ M →_M N g ∈ N →_M L

using assms by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-map-def)

from hf hg measurable-space[OF ⟨f ∈ M →_M N⟩] measurable-space[OF ⟨g' ∈ L
→_M N⟩] show ?thesis

by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness[where g=f' ∘ g'])

qed

definition measurable-isomorphic::['a measure, 'b measure] ⇒ bool (**infixr** measurable'-isomorphic 50) **where**
 $M \text{ measurable-isomorphic } N \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } M N f)$

```

lemma measurable-isomorphic-sets-cong:
  assumes sets M = sets M' sets N = sets N'
  shows M measurable-isomorphic N  $\longleftrightarrow$  M' measurable-isomorphic N'
  using measurable-isomorphic-map-sets-cong[OF assms]
  by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)

lemma measurable-isomorphicD:
  assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
  shows  $\exists f. f \in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge g \in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge (\forall x \in \text{space } M. g(f x) = x) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall y \in \text{space } N. f(g y) = y) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } M. f ` A \in \text{sets } N) \wedge (\forall A \in \text{sets } N. g ` A \in \text{sets } M)$ 
  using assms measurable-isomorphic-mapD'[of M N]
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) measurable-isomorphic-def)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-cardinality-eq:
  assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
  shows space M  $\approx$  space N
  by (meson assms eqpoll-def measurable-isomorphic-def measurable-isomorphic-map-def)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-count-spaces: count-space A measurable-isomorphic
count-space B  $\longleftrightarrow$  A  $\approx$  B
proof
  assume A  $\approx$  B
  then obtain f where f:bij-betw f A B
  by(auto simp: eqpoll-def)
  then show count-space A measurable-isomorphic count-space B
  by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def measurable-isomorphic-map-def bij-betw-def
the-inv-into-into intro!: exI[where x=f])
  qed(use measurable-isomorphic-cardinality-eq in fastforce)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-byWitness:
  assumes f  $\in M \rightarrow_M N \wedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies g(f x) = x$ 
    and g  $\in N \rightarrow_M M \wedge y. y \in \text{space } N \implies f(g y) = y$ 
  shows M measurable-isomorphic N
  by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def assms intro!: exI[where x = f] measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness[where g=g])

lemma measurable-isomorphic-refl:
  M measurable-isomorphic M
  by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-byWitness[where f=id and g=id])

lemma measurable-isomorphic-sym:
  assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
  shows N measurable-isomorphic M
  using assms measurable-isomorphic-map-inv[of M N]
  by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-trans:
  assumes M measurable-isomorphic N and N measurable-isomorphic L

```

```

shows M measurable-isomorphic L
using assms measurable-isomorphic-map-comp[of M N - L]
by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-empty:
assumes space M = {} space N = {}
shows M measurable-isomorphic N
using assms by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-byWitness[where f=undefined
and g=undefined] simp: measurable-empty-iff)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-empty1:
assumes space M = {} M measurable-isomorphic N
shows space N = {}
using measurable-isomorphicD[OF assms(2)] by(auto simp: measurable-empty-iff[OF
assms(1)])

lemma measurable-isomorphic-empty2:
assumes space N = {} M measurable-isomorphic N
shows space M = {}
using measurable-isomorphic-sym[OF assms(2)] assms(1)
by(simp add: measurable-isomorphic-empty1)

lemma measurable-lift-product:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f i \in (M i) \rightarrow_M (N i)$ 
shows  $(\lambda x i. \text{if } i \in I \text{ then } f i (x i) \text{ else undefined}) \in (\prod_{i \in I} M i) \rightarrow_M (\prod_{i \in I} N i)$ 
using measurable-space[OF assms]
by(auto intro!: measurable-PiM-single' simp: assms measurable-PiM-component-rev
space-PiM PiE-iff)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-lift-product:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } (M i) (N i) (h i)$ 
shows measurable-isomorphic-map  $(\prod_{i \in I} M i) (\prod_{i \in I} N i) (\lambda x i. \text{if } i \in I \text{ then } h i (x i) \text{ else undefined})$ 
proof -
obtain h' where
 $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies h' i \in (N i) \rightarrow_M (M i) \wedge \forall x. i \in I \implies x \in \text{space } (M i) \implies h' i$ 
 $(h i x) = x \wedge \forall x. i \in I \implies x \in \text{space } (N i) \implies h i (h' i x) = x$ 
using measurable-isomorphic-mapD'(3)[OF assms] by metis
thus ?thesis
by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness[OF measurable-lift-product[of
I h M N,OF measurable-isomorphic-mapD'(2)[OF assms]] measurable-lift-product[of
I h' N M,OF <math>\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies h' i \in (N i) \rightarrow_M (M i)>>]]]
simp: space-PiM PiE-iff extensional-def)
qed

lemma measurable-isomorphic-lift-product:
assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (M i) \text{ measurable-isomorphic } (N i)$ 
shows  $(\prod_{i \in I} M i) \text{ measurable-isomorphic } (\prod_{i \in I} N i)$ 

```

proof –

```
obtain h where  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{measurable-isomorphic-map } (M i) (N i) (h i)$ 
  using assms by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def) metis
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-lift-product exI[where x= $\lambda x. i$ . if  $i \in I$  then  $h i$  (x i) else undefined] simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
qed
```

<https://math24.net/cantor-schroeder-bernstein-theorem.html>

lemma Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable':

```
assumes f '(space M) ∈ sets N g '(space N) ∈ sets M
  and measurable-isomorphic-map M (restrict-space N (f '(space M))) f and
measurable-isomorphic-map N (restrict-space M (g '(space N))) g
  shows ∃ h. measurable-isomorphic-map M N h
proof –
have hset: $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies f ' A \in \text{sets } (\text{restrict-space } N (f ' \text{space } M))$ 
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies g ' A \in \text{sets } (\text{restrict-space } M (g ' \text{space } N))$ 
and hfg[measurable]: $f \in M \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space } N (f ' \text{space } M)$ 
 $g \in N \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space } M (g ' \text{space } N)$ 
using measurable-isomorphic-mapD'(1,2)[OF assms(3)] measurable-isomorphic-mapD'(1,2)[OF
assms(4)] assms(1,2)
by auto
have hset2: $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies f ' A \in \text{sets } N \bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies g ' A \in$ 
sets M
and hfg2[measurable]: $f \in M \rightarrow_M N g \in N \rightarrow_M M$ 
using sets.Int-space-eq2[OF assms(1)] sets.Int-space-eq2[OF assms(2)] sets-restrict-space-iff[of
f ' space M N] sets-restrict-space-iff[of g ' space N M] hset
measurable-restrict-space2-iff[of f M N] measurable-restrict-space2-iff[of g
N M] hfg assms(1,2)
by auto
have bij1:bij-betw f (space M) (f '(space M)) bij-betw g (space N) (g '(space
N))
using assms(3,4) by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-map-def space-restrict-space
sets.Int-space-eq2[OF assms(1)] sets.Int-space-eq2[OF assms(2)])
obtain f' g' where
hfg1'[measurable]: $f' \in \text{restrict-space } N (f ' \text{space } M) \rightarrow_M M g' \in \text{restrict-space }$ 
M (g '(space N))  $\rightarrow_M N$ 
and hfg': $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies f' (f x) = x \bigwedge x. x \in f ' \text{space } M \implies f (f' x) = x$ 
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } N \implies g' (g x) = x \bigwedge x. x \in g ' \text{space } N \implies g (g' x) = x$ 
bij-betw f' (f ' space M) (space M) bij-betw g' (g ' space N) (space N)
using measurable-isomorphic-mapD'(3)[OF assms(3)] measurable-isomorphic-mapD'(3)[OF
assms(4)] sets.Int-space-eq2[OF assms(1)] sets.Int-space-eq2[OF assms(2)]
by (metis space-restrict-space)

have hgfa:(g o f) ' A ∈ sets M if A ∈ sets M for A
  using hset2(2)[OF hset2(1)[OF that]] by(simp add: image-comp)
define An where An ≡ ( $\lambda n. ((g \circ f) \wedge^n) ' (\text{space } M - g ' (\text{space } N))$ )
define A where A ≡ ( $\bigcup n \in \text{UNIV}. An n$ )
have An n ∈ sets M for n
```

```

proof(induction n)
  case 0
  thus ?case
    using hset2[OF sets.top] by(simp add: An-def)
next
  case ih:(Suc n)
  have An (Suc n) = (g ∘ f) ` (An n)
    by(auto simp add: An-def)
  thus ?case
    using hgfa[OF ih] by simp
qed
hence Asets:A ∈ sets M
  by(simp add: A-def)
have Acompl:space M – A ⊆ g ` space N
proof –
  have space M – A ⊆ space M – An 0
    by(auto simp: A-def)
  also have ... ⊆ g ` space N
    by(auto simp: An-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
define h where h ≡ (λx. if x ∈ A ∪ (– space M) then f x else g' x)
define h' where h' ≡ (λx. if x ∈ f ` A then f' x else g x)
have xinA-iff:x ∈ A ↔ h x ∈ f ` A if x ∈ space M for x
proof
  assume h x ∈ f ` A
  show x ∈ A
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume x ∉ A
    then have An. x ∉ An n
      by(auto simp: A-def)
    from this[of 0] have x ∈ g ` (space N)
      using that by(auto simp: An-def)
    have g' x ∈ f ` A
      using ⟨h x ∈ f ` A⟩ ⟨x ∉ A⟩
      by (simp add: h-def that)
    hence g (g' x) ∈ (g ∘ f) ` A
      by auto
    hence x ∈ (g ∘ f) ` A
      using ⟨x ∈ g ` (space N)⟩ by (simp add: hfg'(4))
    then obtain n where x ∈ (g ∘ f) ` (An n)
      by(auto simp: A-def)
    hence x ∈ An (Suc n)
      by(auto simp: An-def)
    thus False
      using ⟨An. x ∉ An n⟩ by simp
  qed
qed(simp add: h-def)

```

```

show ?thesis
proof(intro exI[where x=h] measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness[where g=h])
  have {x ∈ space M. x ∈ A ∪ (– space M)} ∈ sets M
    using sets.Int-space-eq2[OF Asets] Asets by simp
  moreover have f ∈ restrict-space M {x. x ∈ A ∪ – space M} →M N
    by (simp add: measurable-restrict-space1)
  moreover have g' ∈ restrict-space M {x. x ∉ A ∪ (– space M)} →M N
  proof –
    have sets (restrict-space (restrict-space M (g ` space N)) {x. x ∉ A ∪ – space M}) = sets (restrict-space M (g ` space N ∩ {x. x ∉ A ∪ – space M}))
      by(simp add: sets-restrict-restrict-space)
    also have ... = sets (restrict-space M (g ` space N ∩ {x. x ∈ space M – A}))
      by (metis Compl-Iff DiffE DiffI Un-Iff)
    also have ... = sets (restrict-space M {x. x ∈ space M – A})
      by (metis Acompl le-inf-Iff mem-Collect-eq subsetI subset-antisym)
    also have ... = sets (restrict-space M {x. x ∉ A ∪ (– space M)})
      by (metis Compl-Iff DiffE DiffI Un-Iff)
    finally have sets (restrict-space (restrict-space M (g ` space N)) {x. x ∉ A ∪ – space M}) = sets (restrict-space M {x. x ∉ A ∪ – space M}).
    from measurable-cong-sets[OF this refl] measurable-restrict-space1[OF hfg1'(2),of
{x. x ∉ A ∪ – space M}]
      show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately show h ∈ M →M N
    by(simp add: h-def measurable-If-restrict-space-Iff)
next
  have {x ∈ space N. x ∈ f ` A} ∈ sets N
    using sets.Int-space-eq2[OF hset2(1)[OF Asets]] hset2(1)[OF Asets] by simp
  moreover have f' ∈ restrict-space N {x. x ∈ f ` A} →M M
  proof –
    have sets (restrict-space (restrict-space N (f ` space M)) {x. x ∈ f ` A}) = sets (restrict-space N (f ` space M ∩ {x. x ∈ f ` A}))
      by(simp add: sets-restrict-restrict-space)
    also have ... = sets (restrict-space N {x. x ∈ f ` A})
    proof –
      have f ` space M ∩ {x. x ∈ f ` A} = {x. x ∈ f ` A}
        using sets.sets-into-space[OF Asets] by auto
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    finally have sets (restrict-space (restrict-space N (f ` space M)) {x. x ∈ f ` A}) = sets (restrict-space N {x. x ∈ f ` A}).
    from measurable-cong-sets[OF this refl] measurable-restrict-space1[OF hfg1'(1),of
{x. x ∈ f ` A}]
      show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  moreover have g ∈ restrict-space N {x. x ∉ f ` A} →M M
    by (simp add: measurable-restrict-space1)
  ultimately show h' ∈ N →M M
    by(simp add: h'-def measurable-If-restrict-space-Iff)

```

```

next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{space } M$ 
  then consider  $x \in A \mid x \in \text{space } M - A$  by auto
  thus  $h'(h x) = x$ 
  proof cases
    case  $xa:2$ 
    hence  $h x \notin f' A$ 
    using  $\langle x \in \text{space } M \rangle \text{ xinA-iff}$  by blast
    thus  $?thesis$ 
    using  $A\text{compl } hfg'(4) \text{ xa by}(auto \text{ simp add: h-def h'-def})$ 
  qed(simp add: h-def h'-def) (x \in \text{space } M) hfg'(1))

next
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{space } N$ 
  then consider  $x \in f' A \mid x \in \text{space } N - f' A$  by auto
  thus  $h(h' x) = x$ 
  proof cases
    case  $hx:1$ 
    hence  $x \in f' (\text{space } M)$ 
    using  $\text{image-mono}[\text{OF sets.sets-into-space}[OF \text{ Asets}], \text{of } f]$  by auto
    have  $h' x = f' x$ 
    using  $hx \text{ sets.sets-into-space}[OF \text{ Asets}] hfg'(1)$  by auto
    also have  $\dots \in A$ 
    using  $hx \text{ sets.sets-into-space}[OF \text{ Asets}] hfg'(1)$  by auto
    finally show  $?thesis$ 
    using  $hfg'(2)[OF \langle x \in f' (\text{space } M) \rangle] hx \text{ by}(auto \text{ simp: h-def h'-def})$ 
  next
    case  $hx:2$ 
    then have  $h' x = g x$ 
    by(simp add: h'-def)
    also have  $\dots \notin A$ 
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume  $\neg g x \notin A$ 
      then have  $g x \in A$  by simp
      then obtain  $n$  where  $hg:g x \in An n$  by(auto simp: A-def)
      hence  $0 < n$  using  $hx \text{ by}(auto \text{ simp: An-def})$ 
      then obtain  $n'$  where  $[simp]:n = Suc n'$ 
      using  $not0-implies-Suc$  by blast
      then have  $g x \in g' f' An n'$ 
      using  $hg \text{ by}(auto \text{ simp: An-def})$ 
      hence  $x \in f' An n'$ 
      using  $\text{inj-on-image-mem-iff}[\text{OF bij-betw-imp-inj-on}[OF \text{ bij1(2)}] \langle x \in \text{space } N, \text{of } f' An n' \rangle]$ 
      sets.sets-into-space}[OF \langle An n' \in \text{sets } M \rangle] \text{ measurable-space}[OF hfg2(1)]
      by auto
      also have  $\dots \subseteq f' A$ 
      by(auto simp: A-def)
      finally show  $False$ 

```

```

        using hx by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis
  using hx hfg'(3)[OF `x ∈ space N` measurable-space[OF hfg2(2) `x ∈ space
N`]]
    by(auto simp: h-def h'-def)
qed
qed
qed

lemma Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable:
assumes f ∈ M →M N ∧ A. A ∈ sets M ⇒ f ` A ∈ sets N inj-on f (space M)
  and g ∈ N →M M ∧ A. A ∈ sets N ⇒ g ` A ∈ sets M inj-on g (space N)
  shows ∃ h. measurable-isomorphic-map M N h
  using Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable'[OF assms(2)[OF sets.top] assms(5)[OF
sets.top] measurable-isomorphic-map-restrict-space[OF assms(1–3)] measurable-isomorphic-map-restrict-space
assms(4–6)]]
    by simp

lemma measurable-isomorphic-from-embeddings:
assumes M measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space N B) N measurable-isomorphic
(restrict-space M A)
  and A ∈ sets M B ∈ sets N
  shows M measurable-isomorphic N
proof –
  obtain f g where fg:measurable-isomorphic-map M (restrict-space N B) f measurable-isomorphic-map N (restrict-space M A) g
    using assms(1,2) by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
  have [simp]:f ` space M = B g ` space N = A
  using measurable-isomorphic-map-surj[OF fg(1)] measurable-isomorphic-map-surj[OF
fg(2)] sets.sets-into-space[OF assms(3)] sets.sets-into-space[OF assms(4)]
    by(auto simp: space-restrict-space)
  obtain h where measurable-isomorphic-map M N h
    using Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable'[of f M N g] assms(3,4) fg by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
qed

lemma measurable-isomorphic-antisym:
assumes B measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space C c) A measurable-isomorphic
(restrict-space B b)
  and c ∈ sets C b ∈ sets B C measurable-isomorphic A
  shows C measurable-isomorphic B
  by(rule measurable-isomorphic-from-embeddings[OF measurable-isomorphic-trans[OF
assms(5,2)] assms(1) assms(3,4)])]

lemma countable-infinite-isomorphic-to-nat-index:
assumes countable I and infinite I
  shows (∏M x∈I. M) measurable-isomorphic (∏M (x::nat)∈UNIV. M)

```

```

proof(rule measurable-isomorphic-byWitness[where  $f = \lambda x. n. x$  (from-nat-into I n) and  $g = \lambda x. \lambda i \in I. x$  (to-nat-on I i)])
  show  $(\lambda x. n. x$  (from-nat-into I n))  $\in (\Pi_M x \in I. M) \rightarrow_M (\Pi_M (x :: nat) \in UNIV. M)$ 
    by(auto intro!: measurable-PiM-single' measurable-component-singleton[OF from-nat-into[OF infinite-imp-nonempty[OF assms(2)]]])
      (simp add: PiE-iff infinite-imp-nonempty space-PiM from-nat-into[OF infinite-imp-nonempty[OF assms(2)]])
  next
    show  $(\lambda x. \lambda i \in I. x$  (to-nat-on I i))  $\in (\Pi_M (x :: nat) \in UNIV. M) \rightarrow_M (\Pi_M x \in I. M)$ 
      by(auto intro!: measurable-PiM-single')
  next
    show  $x \in space (\Pi_M x \in I. M) \implies (\lambda i \in I. x$  (from-nat-into I (to-nat-on I i))) = x for x
      by (simp add: assms(1) restrict-ext space-PiM)
  next
    show  $y \in space (Pi_M UNIV (\lambda x. M)) \implies (\lambda n. (\lambda i \in I. y$  (to-nat-on I i))) (from-nat-into I n) = y for y
      by (simp add: assms(1) assms(2) from-nat-into infinite-imp-nonempty)
  qed

lemma PiM-PiM-isomorphic-to-PiM:
   $(\Pi_M i \in I. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j)$  measurable-isomorphic  $(\Pi_M (i, j) \in I \times J. M i j)$ 
proof(rule measurable-isomorphic-byWitness[where  $f = \lambda x. (i, j)$ . if  $(i, j) \in I \times J$  then  $x$  i  $j$  else undefined and  $g = \lambda x. i j$ . if  $i \notin I$  then undefined  $j$  else if  $j \notin J$  then undefined else  $x (i, j)$ ])
  have [simp]:  $(\lambda \omega. \omega a b) \in (\Pi_M i \in I. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j) \rightarrow_M M a b$  if  $a \in I$   $b \in J$  for a b
    using measurable-component-singleton[OF that(1),of  $\lambda i. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j$ ]
    measurable-component-singleton[OF that(2),of  $M a$ ]
    by auto
    show  $(\lambda x. (i, j)$ . if  $(i, j) \in I \times J$  then  $x$  i  $j$  else undefined)  $\in (\Pi_M i \in I. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j) \rightarrow_M (\Pi_M (i, j) \in I \times J. M i j)$ 
    apply(rule measurable-PiM-single')
    apply auto[1]
    apply(auto simp: PiE-def Pi-def space-PiM extensional-def;meson)
    done
  next
    have [simp]:  $(\lambda \omega. \omega (i, j)) \in Pi_M (I \times J) (\lambda (i, j). M i j) \rightarrow_M M i j$  if  $i \in I$   $j \in J$  for i j
      using measurable-component-singleton[of  $(i, j) I \times J \lambda (i, j). M i j$ ] that by auto
      show  $(\lambda x. i j)$ . if  $i \notin I$  then undefined  $j$  else if  $j \notin J$  then undefined else  $x (i, j)$ 
       $\in (\Pi_M (i, j) \in I \times J. M i j) \rightarrow_M (\Pi_M i \in I. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j)$ 
      by(auto intro!: measurable-PiM-single') (simp-all add: PiE-iff space-PiM extensional-def)
  next
    show  $x \in space (\Pi_M i \in I. \Pi_M j \in J. M i j) \implies (\lambda i j. \text{if } i \notin I \text{ then undefined } j$ 

```

$\text{else if } j \notin J \text{ then undefined else case } (i, j) \text{ of } (i, j) \Rightarrow \text{if } (i, j) \in I \times J \text{ then } x \ i \ j$
 $\text{else undefined} = x \text{ for } x$
by standard+ (auto simp: space-PiM PiE-def Pi-def extensional-def)
next
show $y \in \text{space } (\Pi_M (i,j) \in I \times J. M \ i \ j) \implies (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i, j) \in I \times J \text{ then if } i \notin I \text{ then undefined } j \text{ else if } j \notin J \text{ then undefined else } y \ (i, j) \text{ else undefined}) = y \text{ for } y$
by standard+ (auto simp: space-PiM PiE-def Pi-def extensional-def)
qed

lemma measurable-isomorphic-map-sigma-sets:
assumes sets $M = \text{sigma-sets (space } M\}$ $U \text{ measurable-isomorphic-map } M N f$
shows sets $N = \text{sigma-sets (space } N\)$ $((\cdot) f \cdot U)$
proof –
from measurable-isomorphic-mapD'[OF assms(2)]
obtain g **where** $h: \bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies f \cdot A \in \text{sets } N f \in M \rightarrow_M N \text{ bij-betw } g$
 $(\text{space } N) (\text{space } M) g \in N \rightarrow_M M \bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies g(f x) = x \bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f(g x) = x \bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies g \cdot A \in \text{sets } M$
by metis
interpret $s: \text{sigma-algebra space } N \text{ sigma-sets (space } N\)$ $((\cdot) f \cdot U)$
by(auto intro!: sigma-algebra-sigma-sets) (metis assms(1) h(2) measurable-space sets.sets-into-space sigma-sets-superset-generator subsetD)
show ?thesis
proof safe
fix x
assume $x \in \text{sets } N$
from h(7)[OF this] assms(1)
have $g \cdot x \in \text{sigma-sets (space } M\}$ U **by** simp
hence $f \cdot (g \cdot x) \in \text{sigma-sets (space } N\)$ $((\cdot) f \cdot U)$
proof induction
case $h:(\text{Compl } a)$
have $f \cdot (\text{space } M - a) = f \cdot (\text{space } M) - f \cdot a$
by(rule inj-on-image-set-diff[**where** C=space M], insert assms h) (auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-map-def bij-betw-def sets.sets-into-space)
with h **show** ?case
by (metis assms(2) measurable-isomorphic-map-surj s.Diff s.top)
qed (auto simp: image-UN)
moreover have $f \cdot (g \cdot x) = x$
using sets.sets-into-space[**OF** $\langle x \in \text{sets } N \rangle$] h(6) **by**(fastforce simp: image-def)
ultimately show $x \in \text{sigma-sets (space } N\)$ $((\cdot) f \cdot U)$ **by** simp

next
interpret $s': \text{sigma-algebra space } M \text{ sigma-sets (space } M\}$ U
by(simp add: assms(1)[symmetric] sets.sigma-algebra-axioms)
have $1: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies x \subseteq \text{space } M$
by (simp add: s'.sets-into-space)
fix x
assume assm: $x \in \text{sigma-sets (space } N\)$ $((\cdot) f \cdot U)$
then show $x \in \text{sets } N$
by induction (auto simp: assms(1) h(1))

```
qed
qed
```

1.2.3 Borel Spaces Generated from Abstract Topologies

```
definition borel-of :: 'a topology ⇒ 'a measure where
borel-of X ≡ sigma (topspace X) {U. openin X U}

lemma emeasure-borel-of: emeasure (borel-of X) A = 0
  by (simp add: borel-of-def emeasure-sigma)

lemma borel-of-euclidean: borel-of euclidean = borel
  by (simp add: borel-of-def borel-def)

lemma space-borel-of: space (borel-of X) = topspace X
  by (simp add: space-measure-of-conv borel-of-def)

lemma sets-borel-of: sets (borel-of X) = sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
  by (simp add: subset-Pow-Union topspace-def borel-of-def)

lemma sets-borel-of-closed: sets (borel-of X) = sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. closedin X U}
  unfolding sets-borel-of
  proof (safe intro!: sigma-sets-eqI)
    fix a
    assume a:openin X a
    have topspace X - (topspace X - a) ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. closedin X U}
      by (rule sigma-sets.Compl) (use a in auto)
    thus a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. closedin X U}
      using openin-subset[OF a] by (simp add: Diff-Diff-Int inf.absorb-iff2)
  next
    fix b
    assume b:closedin X b
    have topspace X - (topspace X - b) ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
      by (rule sigma-sets.Compl) (use b in auto)
    thus b ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. openin X U}
      using closedin-subset[OF b] by (simp add: Diff-Diff-Int inf.absorb-iff2)
  qed

lemma borel-of-open:
  assumes openin X U
  shows U ∈ sets (borel-of X)
  using assms by (simp add: subset-Pow-Union topspace-def borel-of-def)

lemma borel-of-closed:
  assumes closedin X U
```

```

shows  $U \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X)$ 
using  $\text{assms } \text{sigma-sets}.Compl[\text{of topspace } X - U \text{ topspace } X]$ 
by (simp add: closedin-def double-diff sets-borel-of)

lemma(in Metric-space) nbh-sets[measurable]:  $(\bigcup_{a \in A} \text{mball } a e) \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } m\text{topology})$ 
by(auto intro!: borel-of-open openin-clauses(3))

lemma borel-of-gdelta-in:
assumes gdelta-in X U
shows  $U \in \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } X)$ 
using gdelta-inD[OF assms] borel-of-open
by(auto intro!: sets.countable-INT'[of - id,simplified])

lemma borel-of-subtopology:
borel-of (subtopology X U) = restrict-space (borel-of X) U
proof(rule measure-eqI)
show sets (borel-of (subtopology X U)) = sets (restrict-space (borel-of X) U)
unfolding restrict-space-eq-vimage-algebra' sets-vimage-algebra sets-borel-of
topspace-subtopology space-borel-of Int-commute[of U]
proof(rule sigma-sets-eqI)
fix a
assume a ∈ Collect (openin (subtopology X U))
then obtain T where openin X T a = T ∩ U
by(auto simp: openin-subtopology)
show a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X ∩ U) {((λx. x) -` A ∩ (topspace X ∩ U)) | A. A ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin X))}
using openin-subset[OF ⟨openin X T⟩] ⟨a = T ∩ U⟩ by(auto intro!: exI[where
x=T] ⟨openin X T⟩)
next
fix b
assume b ∈ {((λx. x) -` A ∩ (topspace X ∩ U)) | A. A ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin X))}
then obtain T where ht:b = T ∩ (topspace X ∩ U) T ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin X))
by auto
hence b = T ∩ U
proof -
have T ⊆ topspace X
by(rule sigma-sets-into-sp[OF - ht(2)]) (simp add: subset-Pow-Union
topspace-def)
thus ?thesis
by(auto simp: ht(1))
qed
with ht(2) show b ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X ∩ U) (Collect (openin (subtopology X U)))
proof(induction arbitrary: b U)
case (Basic a)
then show ?case

```

```

    by(auto simp: openin-subtopology)
next
  case Empty
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case ih:(Compl a)
  then show ?case
    by (simp add: Diff-Int-distrib2 sigma-sets.Compl)
next
  case (Union a)
  then show ?case
    by (metis UN-extend-simps(4) sigma-sets.Union)
qed
qed
qed(simp add: emeasure-borel-of restrict-space-def emeasure-measure-of-conv)

lemma sets-borel-of-discrete-topology: sets (borel-of (discrete-topology I)) = sets
(count-space I)
  by (metis Pow-UNIV UNIV-eq-I borel-of-open borel-of-subtopology inf.absorb-iff2
openin-discrete-topology sets-count-space sets-restrict-space sets-restrict-space-count-space
subtopology-discrete-topology top-greatest)

lemma continuous-map-measurable:
  assumes continuous-map X Y f
  shows f ∈ borel-of X →M borel-of Y
proof(rule measurable-sigma-sets[OF sets-borel-of[of Y]])
  show {U. openin Y U} ⊆ Pow (topspace Y)
    by (simp add: subset-Pow-Union topspace-def)
next
  show f ∈ space (borel-of X) → topspace Y
    using continuous-map-image-subset-topspace[OF assms]
    by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
next
  fix U
  assume U ∈ {U. openin Y U}
  then have openin X (f -` U ∩ topspace X)
    using continuous-map[of X Y f] assms by auto
  thus f -` U ∩ space (borel-of X) ∈ sets (borel-of X)
    by(simp add: space-borel-of sets-borel-of)
qed

lemma upper-semicontinuous-map-measurable:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {linorder-topology, second-countable-topology}
  assumes upper-semicontinuous-map X f
  shows f ∈ borel-measurable (borel-of X)
  using assms by(auto intro!: borel-measurableI-less borel-of-open simp: space-borel-of
upper-semicontinuous-map-def)

lemma lower-semicontinuous-map-measurable:

```

```

fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: {linorder-topology, second-countable-topology}
assumes lower-semicontinuous-map X f
shows f ∈ borel-measurable (borel-of X)
using assms by(auto intro!: borel-measurableI-greater borel-of-open simp: space-borel-of
lower-semicontinuous-map-def)

lemma open-map-preserves-sets:
assumes open-map S T f inj-on f (topspace S) A ∈ sets (borel-of S)
shows f ` A ∈ sets (borel-of T)
using assms(3)[simplified sets-borel-of]
proof(induction)
case (Basic a)
with assms(1) show ?case
by(auto simp: sets-borel-of open-map-def)
next
case Empty
show ?case by simp
next
case (Compl a)
moreover have f ` (topspace S - a) = f ` (topspace S) - f ` a
by (metis Diff-subset assms(2) calculation(1) inj-on-image-set-diff sigma-sets-into-sp
subset-Pow-Union topspace-def)
moreover have f ` (topspace S) ∈ sets (borel-of T)
by (meson assms(1) borel-of-open open-map-def openin-topspace)
ultimately show ?case
by auto
next
case (Union a)
then show ?case
by (simp add: image-UN)
qed

lemma open-map-preserves-sets':
assumes open-map S (subtopology T (f ` (topspace S))) f inj-on f (topspace S)
f ` (topspace S) ∈ sets (borel-of T) A ∈ sets (borel-of S)
shows f ` A ∈ sets (borel-of T)
using assms(4)[simplified sets-borel-of]
proof(induction)
case (Basic a)
then have openin (subtopology T (f ` (topspace S))) (f ` a)
using assms(1) by(auto simp: open-map-def)
hence f ` a ∈ sets (borel-of (subtopology T (f ` (topspace S))))
by(simp add: sets-borel-of)
hence f ` a ∈ sets (restrict-space (borel-of T) (f ` (topspace S)))
by(simp add: borel-of-subtopology)
thus ?case
by (metis sets-restrict-space-iff assms(3) sets.Int-space-eq2)
next
case Empty

```

```

show ?case by simp
next
  case (Compl a)
    moreover have f ` (topspace S - a) = f ` (topspace S) - f ` a
      by (metis Diff-subset assms(2) calculation(1) inj-on-image-set-diff sigma-sets-into-sp
subset-Pow-Union topspace-def)
  ultimately show ?case
    using assms(3) by auto
next
  case (Union a)
    then show ?case
      by (simp add: image-UN)
qed

Abstract topology version of open = generate-topology ?X  $\implies$  borel = sigma UNIV ?X.

lemma borel-of-second-countable':
  assumes second-countable S and subbase-in S U
  shows borel-of S = sigma (topspace S) U
  unfolding borel-of-def
proof(rule sigma-eqI)
  show {U. openin S U} ⊆ Pow (topspace S)
    by (simp add: subset-Pow-Union topspace-def)
next
  show U ⊆ Pow (topspace S)
    using subbase-in-subset[OF assms(2)] by auto
next
  interpret s: sigma-algebra topspace S sigma-sets (topspace S) U
  using subbase-in-subset[OF assms(2)] by(auto intro!: sigma-algebra-sigma-sets)
  obtain O where ho: countable O base-in S O
    using assms(1) by(auto simp: second-countable-base-in)
  show sigma-sets (topspace S) {U. openin S U} = sigma-sets (topspace S) U
  proof(rule sigma-sets-eqI)
    fix U
    assume U ∈ {U. openin S U}
    then have generate-topology-on U U
      using assms(2) by(simp add: subbase-in-def openin-topology-generated-by-iff)
    thus U ∈ sigma-sets (topspace S) U
    proof induction
      case (UN K)
        with ho(2) obtain V where hv:
           $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies V k \subseteq O \quad \bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \bigcup (V k) = k$ 
          by(simp add: base-in-def openin-topology-generated-by-iff[symmetric] assms(2)[simplified
subbase-in-def,symmetric]) metis
        define Uk where Uk = ( $\bigcup_{k \in K} V k$ )
        have 0:countable Uk
          using hv by(auto intro!: countable-subset[OF - ho(1)] simp: Uk-def)
        have  $\bigcup U_k = (\bigcup A \in U_k. A)$  by auto
        also have ... =  $\bigcup K$ 
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

unfolding  $\mathcal{U}k\text{-def } UN\text{-simps}$  by(simp add: hv(2))
finally have  $1:\bigcup \mathcal{U}k = \bigcup K$  .
have  $\forall b \in \mathcal{U}k. \exists k \in K. b \subseteq k$ 
    using hv by (auto simp:  $\mathcal{U}k\text{-def}$ )
then obtain  $V'$  where  $hv': \bigwedge b. b \in \mathcal{U}k \implies V' b \in K$  and  $\bigwedge b. b \in \mathcal{U}k \implies b \subseteq V' b$ 
    by metis
then have  $(\bigcup b \in \mathcal{U}k. V' b) \subseteq \bigcup K \bigcup \mathcal{U}k \subseteq (\bigcup b \in \mathcal{U}k. V' b)$ 
    by auto
then have  $\bigcup K = (\bigcup b \in \mathcal{U}k. V' b)$ 
    unfolding 1 by auto
also have ... ∈ sigma-sets (topspace S)  $\mathcal{U}$ 
    using hv' UN by(auto intro!: s.countable-UN' simp: 0)
finally show  $\bigcup K \in \text{sigma-sets (topspace S)} \mathcal{U}$  .
qed auto
next
fix U
assume U ∈  $\mathcal{U}$ 
from assms(2)[simplified subbase-in-def] openin-topology-generated-by-iff generate-topology-on.Basis[OF this]
show  $U \in \text{sigma-sets (topspace S)} \{U. \text{openin } S U\}$ 
    by auto
qed
qed

```

Abstract topology version $borel \otimes_M borel = borel$.

lemma borel-of-prod:
assumes second-countable S and second-countable S'
shows borel-of S \otimes_M borel-of S' = borel-of (prod-topology S S')
proof -
have borel-of S \otimes_M borel-of S' = sigma (topspace S × topspace S') {a × b | a
b. a ∈ {a. openin S a} ∧ b ∈ {b. openin S' b}}
proof -
obtain $\mathcal{O} \mathcal{O}'$ where ho:
countable \mathcal{O} base-in S \mathcal{O} countable \mathcal{O}' base-in S' \mathcal{O}'
using assms by(auto simp: second-countable-base-in)
show ?thesis
unfolding borel-of-def
apply(rule sigma-prod)
using topology-generated-by-topspace[of \mathcal{O} , simplified base-is-subbase[OF ho(2), simplified subbase-in-def, symmetric]] topology-generated-by-topspace[of \mathcal{O}' , simplified base-is-subbase[OF ho(4), simplified subbase-in-def, symmetric]]]
base-in-openin[OF ho(2)] base-in-openin[OF ho(4)]
by(auto intro!: exI[where x=O] exI[where x=O'] simp: ho subset-Pow-Union
topspace-def)
qed
also have ... = borel-of (prod-topology S S')
using borel-of-second-countable'[OF prod-topology-second-countable[OF assms], simplified subbase-in-def, OF prod-topology-generated-by-open]

```

    by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma product-borel-of-measurable:
assumes i ∈ I
shows (λx. x i) ∈ (borel-of (product-topology S I)) →M borel-of (S i)
by(auto intro!: continuous-map-measurable simp: assms)

Abstract topology version of sets (PiM UNIV (λ-. borel)) ⊆ sets borel

lemma sets-PiM-subset-borel-of:
sets (ΠM i ∈ I. borel-of (S i)) ⊆ sets (borel-of (product-topology S I))
proof -
have *: (ΠE i ∈ I. X i) ∈ sets (borel-of (product-topology S I)) if [measurable]; ∀ i.
X i ∈ sets (borel-of (S i)) finite {i. X i ≠ topspace (S i)} for X
proof -
  note [measurable] = product-borel-of-measurable
  define I' where I' = {i. X i ≠ topspace (S i)} ∩ I
  have finite I' unfolding I'-def using that by simp
  have (ΠE i ∈ I. X i) = (⋂ i ∈ I'. (λx. x i) -` (X i) ∩ space (borel-of (product-topology S I))) ∩ space (borel-of (product-topology S I))
  proof(standard;standard)
    fix x
    assume x ∈ PiE I X
    then show x ∈ (⋂ i ∈ I'. (λx. x i) -` X i ∩ space (borel-of (product-topology S I))) ∩ space (borel-of (product-topology S I))
      using sets.sets-into-space[OF that(1)] by(auto simp: PiE-def I'-def Pi-def space-borel-of)
  next
    fix x
    assume 1: x ∈ (⋂ i ∈ I'. (λx. x i) -` X i ∩ space (borel-of (product-topology S I))) ∩ space (borel-of (product-topology S I))
    have x i ∈ X i if hi:i ∈ I for i
    proof -
      consider i ∈ I' ∧ I' ≠ {} | i ∉ I' ∧ I' = {} | i ∉ I' ∧ I' ≠ {} by auto
      then show ?thesis
        apply cases
        using sets.sets-into-space[OF ⟨⋀ i. X i ∈ sets (borel-of (S i))⟩] 1 that
          by(auto simp: space-borel-of I'-def)
    qed
    then show x ∈ PiE I X
      using 1 by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
  qed
  also have ... ∈ sets (borel-of (product-topology S I))
    using that ⟨finite I'⟩ by(auto simp: I'-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  then have {PiE I X | X. (∀ i. X i ∈ sets (borel-of (S i))) ∧ finite {i. X i ≠ space (borel-of (S i))}} ⊆ sets (borel-of (product-topology S I))

```

```

by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
show ?thesis unfolding sets-PiM-finite
by(rule sets.sigma-sets-subset',fact) (simp add: borel-of-open[OF openin-topspace,
of product-topology S I,simplified] space-borel-of)
qed

Abstract topology version of sets ( $Pi_M \text{ UNIV } (\lambda i. \text{ borel}) = \text{sets borel}$ ).

lemma sets-PiM-equal-borel-of:
assumes countable I and  $\bigwedge i \in I \implies \text{second-countable } (S i)$ 
shows sets } (Pi_M i\in I. borel-of (S i)) = sets } (borel-of (product-topology S I))
proof
obtain K where hk:
countable K base-in (product-topology S I) K
 $\bigwedge k \in K \implies \exists X. (k = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i)) \wedge (\forall i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite } \{i.$ 
 $X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \wedge \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq I$ 
using product-topology-countable-base-in[OF assms(1)] assms(2)
by force
have *:k \in sets } (Pi_M i\in I. borel-of (S i)) if k \in K for k
proof -
obtain X where H: k = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i)  $\bigwedge i. \text{openin } (S i) (X i) \text{ finite } \{i. X i$ 
 $\neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace } (S i)\} \subseteq I$ 
using hk(3)[OF <k \in K>] by blast
show ?thesis unfolding H(1) sets-PiM-finite
using borel-of-open[OF H(2)] H(3) by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
qed
have **: U \in sets } (Pi_M i\in I. borel-of (S i)) if openin (product-topology S I) U
for U
proof -
obtain B where B \subseteq K U = (\bigcup B)
using <openin (product-topology S I) U> <base-in (product-topology S I) K>
by (metis base-in-def)
have countable B using <B \subseteq K> <countable K> countable-subset by blast
moreover have k \in sets } (Pi_M i\in I. borel-of (S i)) if k \in B for k
using <B \subseteq K> * that by auto
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding <U = (\bigcup B)> by auto
qed
have sigma-sets (topspace (product-topology S I)) {U. openin (product-topology
 $S I) U} \subseteq sets } (Pi_M i\in I. borel-of (S i))$ 
apply (rule sets.sigma-sets-subset') using ** by(auto intro!: sets-PiM-I-countable[OF
assms(1)] simp: borel-of-open[OF openin-topspace])
thus sets } (borel-of (product-topology S I)) \subseteq sets } (Pi_M i\in I. borel-of (S i))
by (simp add: subset-Pow-Union topspace-def borel-of-def)
qed(rule sets-PiM-subset-borel-of)

lemma homeomorphic-map-borel-isomorphic:
assumes homeomorphic-map X Y f
shows measurable-isomorphic-map (borel-of X) (borel-of Y) f
proof -
obtain g where homeomorphic-maps X Y f g

```

```

using assms by(auto simp: homeomorphic-map-maps)
hence continuous-map X Y f continuous-map Y X g
   $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{topspace } X \implies g(f x) = x$ 
   $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{topspace } Y \implies f(g y) = y$ 
  by(auto simp: homeomorphic-maps-def)
thus ?thesis
by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness dest: continuous-map-measurable
simp: space-borel-of)
qed

lemma homeomorphic-space-measurable-isomorphic:
assumes S homeomorphic-space T
shows borel-of S measurable-isomorphic borel-of T
using homeomorphic-map-borel-isomorphic[of S T] assms by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def homeomorphic-space)

lemma measurable-isomorphic-borel-map:
assumes sets M = sets (borel-of S) and f: measurable-isomorphic-map M N f
shows  $\exists S'. \text{homeomorphic-map } S S' f \wedge \text{sets } N = \text{sets} (\text{borel-of } S')$ 
proof -
obtain g where fg:f ∈ M →M N g ∈ N →M M  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } M \implies g(f x) = x$ 
 $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{space } N \implies f(g y) = y$ 
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } M \implies f`A \in \text{sets } N$ 
 $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies g`A \in \text{sets } M$ 
bij-betw g (space N) (space M)
using measurable-isomorphic-mapD'[OF f] by metis
have g:measurable-isomorphic-map N M g
by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-byWitness fg)
have g':bij-betw g (space N) (topspace S)
using fg(7) sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(1)] by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
show ?thesis
proof(intro exI[where x=pullback-topology (space N) g S] conjI
have [simp]: {U. openin (pullback-topology (space N) g S) U} = (') f ` {U.
openin S U}
unfolding openin-pullback-topology'[OF g']
proof safe
fix u
assume u:openin S u
then have 1:u ⊆ space M
by(simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(1)] space-borel-of openin-subset)
with fg(3) have g ` f ` u = u
by(fastforce simp: image-def)
with u show openin S (g ` f ` u) by simp
fix x
assume x ∈ u
with 1 fg(1) show f x ∈ space N by(auto simp: measurable-space)
next
fix u
assume openin S (g ` u) u ⊆ space N
with fg(4) show u ∈ (') f ` {U. openin S U}
by(auto simp: image-def intro!: exI[where x=g ` u]) (metis in-mono)

```

```

qed
have [simp]: $g -` \text{topspace } S \cap \text{space } N = \text{space } N$ 
  using bij-betw-imp-surj-on  $g'$  by blast
  show sets  $N = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of}(\text{pullback-topology}(\text{space } N) g S))$ 
    by(auto simp: sets-borel-of topspace-pullback-topology intro!: measurable-isomorphic-map-sigma-sets[OF
      assms(1)[simplified sets-borel-of space-borel-of[symmetric] sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF
      assms(1),symmetric]] f])
next
  show homeomorphic-map  $S (\text{pullback-topology}(\text{space } N) g S) f$ 
  proof(rule homeomorphic-maps-imp-map[where  $g=g$ ])
    obtain  $f'$  where  $f':\text{homeomorphic-maps}(\text{pullback-topology}(\text{space } N) g S) S$ 
     $g f'$ 
      using topology-from-bij(1)[OF  $g'$ ] homeomorphic-map-maps by blast
      have  $f' 2:f' y = f y$  if  $y:y \in \text{topspace } S$  for  $y$ 
      proof -
        have [simp]: $g -` \text{topspace } S \cap \text{space } N = \text{space } N$ 
          using bij-betw-imp-surj-on  $g'$  by blast
          obtain  $x$  where  $x \in \text{space } N y = g x$ 
            using  $g' y$  by(auto simp: bij-betw-def image-def)
            thus ?thesis
              using fg(4)  $f'$  by(auto simp: homeomorphic-maps-def topspace-pullback-topology)
            qed
            thus homeomorphic-maps  $S (\text{pullback-topology}(\text{space } N) g S) f g$ 
              by(auto intro!: homeomorphic-maps-eq[OF  $f'$ ] simp: homeomorphic-maps-sym[of
                 $S$ ])
            qed
            qed
            qed
            qed

lemma measurable-isomorphic-borels:
  assumes sets  $M = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S)$   $M$  measurable-isomorphic  $N$ 
  shows  $\exists S'. S$  homeomorphic-space  $S' \wedge \text{sets } N = \text{sets}(\text{borel-of } S')$ 
  using measurable-isomorphic-borel-map[OF assms(1)] assms(2) homeomorphic-map-maps
  by(fastforce simp: measurable-isomorphic-def homeomorphic-space-def )
end

```

1.3 Lemmas for Abstract Metric Spaces

```

theory Set-Based-Metric-Space
  imports Lemmas-StandardBorel
begin

```

We prove additional lemmas related to set-based metric spaces.

1.3.1 Basic Lemmas

```

lemma
  assumes Metric-space  $M d \wedge x y. x \in M \implies y \in M \implies d x y = d' x y$ 

```

and $\bigwedge x y. d' x y = d' y x \wedge x y. d' x y \geq 0$
shows Metric-space-eq: Metric-space M d'
and Metric-space-eq-mtopology: Metric-space.mtopology M d = Metric-space.mtopology M d'
proof –
interpret m1: Metric-space M d **by** fact
show Metric-space M d'
using assms by(auto simp: Metric-space-def)
then interpret m2: Metric-space M d'.
have [simp]: m1.mball x e = m2.mball x e **for** x e
using assms by(auto simp: m1.mball-def m2.mball-def)
show 1:m1.mtopology = m2.mtopology
by(auto simp: topology-eq m1.openin-mtopology m2.openin-mtopology)
show m1.mcomplete = m2.mcomplete
by(auto simp: 1 m1.mcomplete-def m2.mcomplete-def m1.MCauchy-def m2.MCauchy-def
assms(2) in-mono)
qed

context Metric-space
begin

lemma mtopology-base-in-balls: base-in mtopology {mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ M ∧ ε > 0}

proof –
have 1: $\bigwedge x. x \in \{mball a \varepsilon \mid a \varepsilon. a \in M \wedge \varepsilon > 0\} \implies openin mtopology x$
by auto
show ?thesis
unfolding base-in-def2[of {mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ M ∧ ε > 0}, OF 1, simplified]
by (metis centre-in-mball-iff in-mono openin-mtopology)

qed

lemma closedin-metric2: closedin mtopology C \longleftrightarrow C ⊆ M \wedge ($\forall x. x \in C \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. mball x \varepsilon \cap C \neq \{\})$)

proof
assume h:closedin mtopology C
have 1: C ⊆ M
using Metric-space.closedin-metric Metric-space-axioms h **by** blast
show C ⊆ M \wedge ($\forall x. x \in C \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varepsilon > 0. mball x \varepsilon \cap C \neq \{\})$)
proof safe
fix ε x
assume x ∈ C (0 :: real) < ε mball x ε ∩ C = {}
with 1 **show** False
by blast
next
fix x
assume ∀ε>0. mball x ε ∩ C ≠ {}
hence ∃xn. xn ∈ mball x (1 / real (Suc n)) ∩ C **for** n

```

by (meson all-not-in-conv divide-pos-pos of-nat-0-less-iff zero-less-Suc zero-less-one)
then obtain xn where xn:  $\bigwedge n. xn \in mball x (1 / real (Suc n)) \cap C$ 
  by metis
hence xxn:x ∈ M range xn ⊆ C
  using xn by auto
have limitin mtopology xn x sequentially
  unfolding limitin-metric eventually-sequentially
proof safe
  fix ε
  assume (0 :: real) < ε
  then obtain N where hN: 1 / real (Suc N) < ε
    using nat-approx-posE by blast
  show ∃ N. ∀ n ≥ N. xn n ∈ M ∧ d(xn n) x < ε
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
    fix n
    assume n[arith]: N ≤ n
    then have 1 / real (Suc n) < ε
    by (metis Suc-le-mono hN inverse-of-nat-le nat.distinct(1) order-le-less-trans)
    with xn[of n] show d(xn n) x < ε
      by (simp add: commute)
    qed(use xxn 1 in auto)
  qed fact
  with h 1 xxn show x ∈ C
    by(auto simp: metric-closedin-iff-sequentially-closed)
  qed(use 1 in auto)
next
  assume C ⊆ M ∧ (∀ x. (x ∈ C) ↔ (∀ ε>0. mball x ε ∩ C ≠ {}))
  hence h:C ⊆ M ∨ x. (x ∈ C) ↔ (∀ ε>0. mball x ε ∩ C ≠ {})
    by simp-all
  show closedin mtopology C
    unfolding metric-closedin-iff-sequentially-closed
  proof safe
    fix xn x
    assume h':range xn ⊆ C limitin mtopology xn x sequentially
    hence x ∈ M by (simp add: limitin-mspace)
    have mball x ε ∩ C ≠ {} if ε > 0 for ε
    proof -
      obtain N where hN:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies d(xn n) x < \varepsilon$ 
        using h'(2) ε > 0 limit-metric-sequentially by blast
      have xn N ∈ mball x ε ∩ C
        using h'(1) hN[of N] x ∈ M commute h(1) by fastforce
        thus mball x ε ∩ C ≠ {} by auto
      qed
      with h(2)[of x] show x ∈ C by simp
    qed(use h(1) in auto)
  qed
lemma openin-mtopology2:
  openin mtopology U ↔ U ⊆ M ∧ (∀ xn x. limitin mtopology xn x sequentially ∧

```

```

 $x \in U \longrightarrow (\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn\ n \in U)$ 
  unfolding openin-mtopology
  proof safe
    fix xn x
    assume h:  $\forall x. x \in U \longrightarrow (\exists r > 0. mball\ x\ r \subseteq U)$  limitin mtopology xn x
    sequentially  $x \in U$   $U \subseteq M$ 
    then obtain r where  $r: r > 0$   $mball\ x\ r \subseteq U$ 
      by auto
    with h(2) obtain N where  $N: \forall n. n \geq N \implies xn\ n \in M$   $\forall n. n \geq N \implies d(xn\ n) < r$ 
      by (metis limit-metric-sequentially)
    with h have  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn\ n \in mball\ x\ r$ 
      by (auto intro!: exI[where x=N] simp:commute)
    with r show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn\ n \in U$ 
      by blast
  next
    fix x
    assume h:  $U \subseteq M \forall xn\ x. \text{limitin mtopology } xn\ x \text{ sequentially} \wedge x \in U \longrightarrow (\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn\ n \in U) \ x \in U$ 
    show  $\exists r > 0. mball\ x\ r \subseteq U$ 
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg (\exists r > 0. mball\ x\ r \subseteq U)$ 
        then have  $\forall n. \exists xn \in mball\ x (1 / Suc\ n). xn \notin U$ 
          by (meson of-nat-0-less-iff subsetI zero-less-Suc zero-less-divide-1-iff)
        then obtain xn where  $xn: \forall n. xn\ n \in mball\ x (1 / Suc\ n) \wedge \forall n. xn\ n \notin U$ 
          by metis
        have limitin mtopology xn x sequentially
          unfolding limit-metric-sequentially
        proof safe
          fix e :: real
          assume e:  $0 < e$ 
          then obtain N where  $N: 1 / \text{real} (Suc\ N) < e$ 
            using nat-approx-pose by blast
          show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn\ n \in M \wedge d(xn\ n) < e$ 
            proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
              fix n
              assume n:  $n \geq N$ 
              then have  $1 / Suc\ n < e$ 
                by (metis N Suc-le-mono inverse-of-nat-le nat.distinct(1) order-le-less-trans)
              thus  $d(xn\ n) < e$ 
                using xn(1)[of n] by (auto simp: commute)
            qed(use xn in auto)
            qed(use h in auto)
            with h(2,3) xn(2) show False
              by auto
        qed
      qed
  qed

```

lemma closure-of-mball: mtopology closure-of mball a e \subseteq mball a e

```

by (simp add: closure-of-minimal mball-subset-mcball)

lemma interior-of-mcball: mball a e ⊆ mtopology interior-of mcball a e
  by (simp add: interior-of-maximal-eq mball-subset-mcball)

lemma isolated-points-of-mtopology:
  mtopology isolated-points-of A = {x ∈ M ∩ A. ∀ xn. range xn ⊆ A ∧ limitin mtopology
  xn x sequentially → (∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. xn n = x)}
proof safe
  fix x xn
  assume h:x ∈ mtopology isolated-points-of A limitin mtopology xn x sequentially
  range xn ⊆ A
  then have ha:x ∈ topspace mtopology x ∈ A ∃ U. x ∈ U ∧ openin mtopology U
  ∧ U ∩ (A - {x}) = {}
    by(simp-all add: in-isolated-points-of)
  then obtain U where u:x ∈ U openin mtopology U U ∩ (A - {x}) = {}
    by auto
  then obtain e where e: e > 0 mball x e ⊆ U
    by (meson openin-mtopology)
  then obtain N where ∀ n. n ≥ N ⇒ xn n ∈ mball x e
    using h(2) commute limit-metric-sequentially by fastforce
  thus ∃ no. ∀ n ≥ no. xn n = x
    using h(3) e(2) u(3) by(fastforce intro!: exI[where x=N])
qed (auto simp: derived-set-of-sequentially isolated-points-of-def, blast)

lemma perfect-set-mball-infinite:
  assumes perfect-set mtopology A a ∈ A e > 0
  shows infinite (mball a e)
proof safe
  assume h: finite (mball a e)
  have a ∈ M
    using assms perfect-setD(2)[OF assms(1)] by auto
  have ∃ e > 0. mball a e = {a}
  proof -
    consider mball a e = {a} ∣ {a} ⊂ mball a e
      using ⟨a ∈ M⟩ assms(3) by blast
    thus ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 1
        with assms show ?thesis by auto
      next
        case 2
        then have nen:{d a b ∣ b. b ∈ mball a e ∧ a ≠ b} ≠ {}
          by auto
        have fin: finite {d a b ∣ b. b ∈ mball a e ∧ a ≠ b}
          using h by (auto simp del: in-mball)
        define e' where e' ≡ Min {d a b ∣ b. b ∈ mball a e ∧ a ≠ b}
        have e' > 0
          using mdist-pos-eq[OF ⟨a ∈ M⟩] by(simp add: e'-def Min-gr-iff[OF fin nen])
    qed
  qed

```

```

del: in-mball) auto
have bd: $\bigwedge b. b \in mball a e \implies a \neq b \implies e' \leq d a b$ 
  by(auto simp: e'-def Min-le-iff[OF fin nen] simp del: in-mball)
have e'  $\leq e$ 
  unfolding e'-def Min-le-iff[OF fin nen]
  using nen by auto
show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=e'])
  fix x
  assume x:x  $\in mball a e'$ 
  then show x = a
    using e'  $\leq e$  bd by fastforce
qed (use a  $\in M$  e' > 0 in auto)
qed
then obtain e' where e':e' > 0 mball a e' = {a} by auto
show False
  using perfect-setD(3)[OF assms(1,2) centre-in-mball-iff[of a e', THEN iffD2]]
  a  $\in M$  e' > 0 e'(2) by blast
qed

lemma MCauchy-dist-Cauchy:
assumes MCauchy xn MCauchy yn
shows Cauchy ( $\lambda n. d(xn n)$ ) ( $\lambda n. d(yn n)$ )
unfolding metric-space-class.Cauchy-altdef2 dist-real-def
proof safe
have h: $\bigwedge n. xn n \in M \bigwedge n. yn n \in M$ 
  using assms by(auto simp: MCauchy-def)
fix e :: real
assume e:0 < e
with assms obtain N1 N2 where N:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N1 \implies m \geq N1 \implies d(xn n) < e / 2 \wedge \bigwedge n. n \geq N2 \implies m \geq N2 \implies d(yn n) < e / 2$ 
  by (metis MCauchy-def zero-less-divide-iff zero-less-numeral)
define N where N  $\equiv \max N1 N2$ 
then have N': N  $\geq N1 N \geq N2$  by auto
show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. |d(xn n) - d(yn n)| < e$ 
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
  fix n
  assume n:N  $\leq n$ 
  have d (xn n) (yn n)  $\leq d(xn n) + d(xn N) + d(yn N) + d(yn n)$ 
    d (xn N) (yn N)  $\leq d(xn N) + d(xn n) + d(yn N) + d(yn n)$ 
    using triangle[OF h(1)[of n] h(1)[of N] h(2)[of n]] triangle[OF h(1)[of N] h(2)[of N] h(2)[of n]]
    triangle[OF h(1)[of N] h(2)[of n] h(2)[of N]] triangle[OF h(1)[of N] h(1)[of n] h(2)[of n]] by auto
  thus |d (xn n) (yn n) - d (xn N) (yn N)| < e
  using N(1)[OF N'(1) order.trans[OF N'(1) n]] N(2)[OF N'(2) order.trans[OF N'(2) n]] N(1)[OF order.trans[OF N'(1) n] N'(1)] N(2)[OF order.trans[OF N'(2) n]] by auto

```

```

n] N'(2)]
  by auto
qed
qed

```

1.3.2 Dense in Metric Spaces

abbreviation *mdense* \equiv *dense-in mtopology*

<https://people.bath.ac.uk/mw2319/ma30252/sec-dense.html>

lemma *mdense-def*:

mdense $U \longleftrightarrow U \subseteq M \wedge (\forall x \in M. \forall \varepsilon > 0. \text{mBall } x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \{\})$

proof *safe*

assume $h: U \subseteq M (\forall x \in M. \forall \varepsilon > 0. \text{mBall } x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \{\})$

show *dense-in mtopology* U

proof(rule *dense-inI*)

fix V

assume $h': \text{openin mtopology } V V \neq \{\}$

then obtain x where $1:x \in V$ by *auto*

then obtain ε where $2:\varepsilon > 0 \text{mBall } x \varepsilon \subseteq V$

by (meson $h'(1)$ *openin-mtopology*)

have $\text{mBall } x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \{\}$

using $h 1 2 \text{ openin-subset[OF } h'(1)]$

by (auto simp del: *in-mBall*)

thus $U \cap V \neq \{\}$ using 2 by *auto*

qed(use h in *auto*)

next

fix $x \varepsilon$

assume $h:x \in M (0 :: \text{real}) < \varepsilon \text{mBall } x \varepsilon \cap U = \{\} \text{ mdense } U$

then have $\text{mBall } x \varepsilon \neq \{\} \text{ openin mtopology } (\text{mBall } x \varepsilon)$

by *auto*

with $h(4)$ have $\text{mBall } x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \{\}$

by(auto simp: *dense-in-def*)

with $h(3)$ show *False*

by *simp*

qed(auto simp: *dense-in-def*)

corollary *mdense-balls-cover*:

assumes *mdense* U and $e > 0$

shows $(\bigcup_{u \in U. \text{mBall } u e}) = M$

using *assms[simplified mdense-def]* commute by *fastforce*

lemma *mdense-empty-iff*: *mdense* $\{\} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\}$

by(auto simp: *mdense-def*) (use *zero-less-one* in *blast*)

lemma *mdense-M*: *mdense* M

by(auto simp: *mdense-def*)

lemma *mdense-def2*:

```

mdense U  $\longleftrightarrow$  U  $\subseteq M \wedge (\forall x \in M. \forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists y \in U. d(x, y) < \varepsilon)$ 
proof safe
  fix x e
  assume h: mdense U and hxe: x  $\in M$  (0 :: real)  $< e$ 
  then have x  $\in (\bigcup_{u \in U} mball u e)$ 
    by(simp add: mdense-balls-cover)
  thus  $\exists y \in U. d(x, y) < e$ 
    by (fastforce simp: commute)
  qed(fastforce simp: mdense-def)+

lemma mdense-def3:
  mdense U  $\longleftrightarrow$  U  $\subseteq M \wedge (\forall x \in M. \exists xn. range xn \subseteq U \wedge \text{limitin mtopology } xn \text{ } x \text{ sequentially})$ 
  unfolding mdense-def
proof safe
  fix x
  assume h: U  $\subseteq M \forall x \in M. \forall \varepsilon > 0. mball x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \emptyset \wedge x \in M$ 
  then have  $\bigwedge n. mball x (1 / (real n + 1)) \cap U \neq \emptyset$ 
    by auto
  hence  $\forall n. \exists k. k \in mball x (1 / (real n + 1)) \cap U$  by (auto simp del: in-mball)
  hence  $\exists a. \forall n. a \in mball x (1 / (real n + 1)) \cap U$  by(rule choice)
  then obtain xn where xn:  $\bigwedge n. xn \in mball x (1 / (real n + 1)) \cap U$ 
    by auto
  show  $\exists xn. range xn \subseteq U \wedge \text{limitin mtopology } xn \text{ } x \text{ sequentially}$ 
    unfolding limitin-metric eventually-sequentially
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=xn])
    fix ε :: real
    assume he: 0 < ε
    then obtain N where hn:  $1 / \varepsilon < real N$ 
      using reals-Archimedean2 by blast
    have hn': 0 < real N
      by(rule ccontr) (use hn he in fastforce)
    hence  $1 / real N < \varepsilon$ 
      using he hn by (metis divide-less-eq mult.commute)
    hence hn'': 1 / (real N + 1) < ε
    using hn'' by(auto intro!: order.strict-trans[OF linordered-field-class.divide-strict-left-mono[of real N real N + 1 1]])
    show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn \in M \wedge d(xn, x) < \varepsilon$ 
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
    fix n
    assume N ≤ n
    then have  $1 / (real n + 1) \leq 1 / (real N + 1)$ 
      using hn' by(auto intro!: linordered-field-class.divide-left-mono)
    show d(xn, x) < ε
      using xn[of n] order.strict-trans1[OF 1 hn''] by (auto simp: commute)
    qed(use xn in auto)
    qed(use xn h in auto)
  next
    fix x and e :: real

```

```

assume h:  $U \subseteq M \ \forall x \in M. \exists xn. range xn \subseteq U \wedge limitin mtopology xn x sequentially$ 
 $x \in M \ 0 < e \ mball x e \cap U = \{\}$ 
then obtain xn where xn:range xn  $\subseteq U$  limitin mtopology xn x sequentially
by auto
with h(4) obtain N where  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies d(xn, n) < e$ 
by (meson limit-metric-sequentially)
have xn N  $\in mball x e \cap U$ 
using N[of N] xn(1) h(1,3) by (auto simp: commute)
with h(5) show False by simp
qed

```

Diameter

```

definition mdiameter :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal where
mdiameter A  $\equiv \bigsqcup \{ennreal(d x y) \mid x, y \in A \cap M \wedge y \in A \cap M\}$ 

```

```

lemma mdiameter-empty[simp]:
mdiameter {} = 0
by(simp add: mdiameter-def bot-ennreal)

```

```

lemma mdiameter-def2:
assumes A  $\subseteq M$ 
shows mdiameter A  $= \bigsqcup \{ennreal(d x y) \mid x, y \in A \wedge y \in A\}$ 
using assms by(auto simp: mdiameter-def) (meson subset-eq)

```

```

lemma mdiameter-subset:
assumes A  $\subseteq B$ 
shows mdiameter A  $\leq$  mdiameter B
unfolding mdiameter-def using assms by(auto intro!: Sup-subset-mono)

```

```

lemma mdiameter-cball-leq: mdiameter (mcball a ε)  $\leq$  ennreal (2 * ε)
unfolding Sup-le-iff mdiameter-def
proof safe
fix x y
assume h:x  $\in$  mcball a ε y  $\in$  mcball a ε x  $\in$  M y  $\in$  M
have d x y  $\leq$  2 * ε
using h(1) h(2) triangle'' by fastforce
thus ennreal (d x y)  $\leq$  ennreal (2 * ε)
using ennreal-leI by blast
qed

```

```

lemma mdiameter-ball-leq:
mdiameter (mball a ε)  $\leq$  ennreal (2 * ε)
using mdiameter-subset[OF mball-subset-mcball[of a ε]] mdiameter-cball-leq[of a ε]
by auto

```

```

lemma mdiameter-is-sup:
assumes x  $\in$  A  $\cap$  M y  $\in$  A  $\cap$  M
shows d x y  $\leq$  mdiameter A

```

```

using assms by(auto simp: mdiameter-def intro!: Sup-upper)

lemma mdiameter-is-sup':
assumes x ∈ A ∩ M y ∈ A ∩ M mdiameter A ≤ ennreal r r ≥ 0
shows d x y ≤ r
using order.trans[OF mdiameter-is-sup[OF assms(1,2)] assms(3)] assms(4) by
simp

lemma mdiameter-le:
assumes ⋀x y. x ∈ A ⟹ y ∈ A ⟹ d x y ≤ r
shows mdiameter A ≤ r
using assms by(auto simp: mdiameter-def Sup-le-iff ennreal-leI)

lemma mdiameter-eq-closure: mdiameter (mtopology closure-of A) = mdiameter A
proof(rule antisym)
show mdiameter A ≤ mdiameter (mtopology closure-of A)
by(fastforce intro!: Sup-subset-mono simp: mdiameter-def metric-closure-of)
next
have {ennreal (d x y) |x y. x ∈ A ∩ M ∧ y ∈ A ∩ M} = ennreal ` {d x y |x y.
x ∈ A ∩ M ∧ y ∈ A ∩ M}
by auto
also have mdiameter (mtopology closure-of A) ≤ ⋄ ...
unfolding le-Sup-iff-less
proof safe
fix r
assume r < mdiameter (mtopology closure-of A)
then obtain x y where xy:x ∈ mtopology closure-of A x ∈ M y ∈ mtopology
closure-of A y ∈ M r < ennreal (d x y)
by(auto simp: mdiameter-def less-Sup-iff)
hence r < ⊤
using dual-order.strict-trans ennreal-less-top by blast
define e where e ≡ (d x y - ennreal r)/2
have e > 0
using xy(5) ⟨r < ⊤⟩ by(simp add: e-def)
then obtain x' y' where xy':x' ∈ mball x e x' ∈ A y' ∈ mball y e y' ∈ A
using xy by(fastforce simp: metric-closure-of)
show ∃ i ∈ {d x y |x y. x ∈ A ∩ M ∧ y ∈ A ∩ M}. r ≤ ennreal i
proof(safe intro!: bexI[where x=d x' y'])
have d x y ≤ d x x' + d x' y' + d y y'
using triangle[OF xy(2) - xy(4), of x'] xy' triangle[of x' y' y]
by(fastforce simp add: commute)
also have ... < d x y - ennreal r + d x' y'
using xy'(1) xy'(3) by(simp add: e-def)
finally have ennreal r < d x' y' by simp
thus r ≤ ennreal (d x' y')
by (simp add: ⟨r < ⊤⟩)
qed(use xy'(1) xy'(3) xy'(2,4) in auto)
qed

```

```

finally show mdiameter (mtopology closure-of A) ≤ mdiameter A
  by(simp add: mdiameter-def)
qed

lemma mbounded-finite-mdiameter: mbounded A ↔ A ⊆ M ∧ mdiameter A < ∞
proof safe
  assume mbounded A
  then obtain x B where A ⊆ mball x B
    by(auto simp: mbounded-def)
  then have mdiameter A ≤ mdiameter (mball x B)
    by(rule mdiameter-subset)
  also have ... ≤ ennreal (2 * B)
    by(rule mdiameter-cball-leg)
  also have ... < ∞
    by auto
  finally show mdiameter A < ∞ .
next
  assume h:mdiameter A < ∞ A ⊆ M
  consider A = {} | A ≠ {} by auto
  then show mbounded A
  proof cases
    case h2:2
    then have 1:{d x y | x y. x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A} ≠ {} by auto
    have eq:{ennreal (d x y) | x y. x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A} = ennreal ‘{d x y | x y. x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A}’
      by auto
    hence 2:mdiameter A = ⋃ (ennreal ‘{d x y | x y. x ∈ A ∧ y ∈ A}’)
      using h by(auto simp add: mdiameter-def2)
    obtain x y where hxy:
      x ∈ A y ∈ A mdiameter A < ennreal (d x y + 1)
      using SUP-approx-ennreal[OF - 1 2,of 1] h by(fastforce simp: diameter-def)
    show ?thesis
      unfolding mbounded-alt
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=d x y + 1])
      fix w z
      assume w ∈ A z ∈ A
      with SUP-lessD[OF hxy(3)[simplified 2]]
      have ennreal (d w z) < ennreal (d x y + 1)
        by blast
      thus d w z ≤ d x y + 1
        by (metis canonically-ordered-monoid-add-class.lessE ennreal-le-iff2 ennreal-neg le-iff-add not-less-zero)
      qed (use h in auto)
      qed(auto simp: mbounded-def)
      qed(auto simp: mbounded-def)

```

Distance between a point and a set.

definition d-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ real **where**

d-set A $\equiv (\lambda x. \text{ if } A \neq \{\} \wedge A \subseteq M \wedge x \in M \text{ then } \text{Inf } \{d x y \mid y. y \in A\} \text{ else } 0)$

```

lemma d-set-nonneg[simp]:
  d-set A x ≥ 0
proof –
  have {d x y |y. y ∈ A} = d x ` A by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto simp: d-set-def intro!: cINF-greatest[of - - d x])
qed

lemma d-set-bdd-below[simp]:
  bdd-below {d x y |y. y ∈ A}
  by(auto simp: bdd-below-def intro!: exI[where x=0])

lemma d-set-singleton[simp]:
  x ∈ M  $\implies$  y ∈ M  $\implies$  d-set {y} x = d x y
  by(auto simp: d-set-def)

lemma d-set-empty[simp]:
  d-set {} x = 0
  by(simp add: d-set-def)

lemma d-set-notin:
  x ∉ M  $\implies$  d-set A x = 0
  by(auto simp: d-set-def)

lemma d-set-inA:
  assumes x ∈ A
  shows d-set A x = 0
proof –
  {
    assume x ∈ M A ⊆ M
    then have 0 ∈ {d x y |y. y ∈ A}
      using assms by(auto intro: exI[where x=x])
    moreover have A ≠ {}
      using assms by auto
    ultimately have Inf {d x y |y. y ∈ A} = 0
      using cInf-lower[OF ‘0 ∈ {d x y |y. y ∈ A}’] d-set-nonneg[of A x] ‘A ⊆ M,
      x ∈ M’]
      by(auto simp: d-set-def)
  }
  thus ?thesis
    using assms by(auto simp: d-set-def)
qed

lemma d-set-nzeroD:
  assumes d-set A x ≠ 0
  shows A ⊆ M x ∉ A A ≠ {}
  by(rule ccontr, use assms d-set-inA d-set-def in auto)

```

```

lemma d-set-antimono:
  assumes  $A \subseteq B$   $A \neq \{\}$   $B \subseteq M$ 
  shows d-set  $B$   $x \leq$  d-set  $A$   $x$ 
  proof(cases  $B = \{\}$ )
    case  $h:\text{False}$ 
      with assms have  $\{d x y \mid y. y \in B\} \neq \{\}$   $\{d x y \mid y. y \in A\} \subseteq \{d x y \mid y. y \in B\}$ 
       $A \subseteq M$ 
        by auto
      with assms(3) show ?thesis
        by(simp add: d-set-def cInf-superset-mono assms(2))
  qed(use assms in simp)

lemma d-set-bounded:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies d x y < K$   $K > 0$ 
  shows d-set  $A$   $x < K$ 
  proof -
    consider  $A = \{\} \mid \neg A \subseteq M \mid x \notin M \mid A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq M$   $x \in M$ 
      by blast
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 4
      then have  $\exists \{d x y \mid y. y \in A\} \neq \{\}$  by auto
      show ?thesis
        using assms by (auto simp add: d-set-def cInf-lessD[OF 2] cInf-less-iff[OF 2])
      qed(auto simp: d-set-def assms)
    qed

lemma d-set-tr:
  assumes  $x \in M$   $y \in M$ 
  shows d-set  $A$   $x \leq d x y +$  d-set  $A$   $y$ 
  proof -
    consider  $A = \{\} \mid \neg A \subseteq M \mid A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq M$ 
      by blast
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 3
      have d-set  $A$   $x \leq \text{Inf} \{d x y + d y a \mid a. a \in A\}$ 
      proof -
        have  $\bigcap \{d x y \mid y. y \in A\} \leq \bigcap \{d x y + d y a \mid a. a \in A\}$ 
          by(rule cInf-mono) (use 3 assms triangle in fastforce) +
        thus ?thesis
          by(simp add: d-set-def assms 3)
      qed
      also have ... = ( $\bigcap a \in A. d x y + d y a$ )
        by (simp add: Setcompr-eq-image)
      also have ... =  $d x y + \bigcap (d y \setminus A)$ 
        using 3 by(auto intro!: Inf-add-eq bdd-belowI[where m=0])

```

```

also have ... = d x y + d-set A y
  using assms 3 by(auto simp: d-set-def Setcompr-eq-image)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed(auto simp: d-set-def)

lemma d-set-abs-le:
assumes x ∈ M y ∈ M
shows |d-set A x - d-set A y| ≤ d x y
using d-set-tr[OF assms,of A] d-set-tr[OF assms(2,1),of A,simplified commute[of
y x]]
by auto

lemma d-set-inA-le:
assumes y ∈ A
shows d-set A x ≤ d x y
proof -
  consider A ≠ {} A ⊆ M x ∈ M | ¬ A ⊆ M | x ∉ M
    using assms by blast
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    with assms have y ∈ M by auto
    from d-set-tr[OF 1(3) this,of A] show ?thesis
      by(simp add: d-set-inA[OF assms])
    qed(auto simp: d-set-def)
  qed

lemma d-set-ball-empty:
assumes A ≠ {} A ⊆ M e > 0 x ∈ M mball x e ∩ A = {}
shows d-set A x ≥ e
using assms by(fastforce simp: d-set-def assms(1) le-cInf-iff)

lemma d-set-closed-pos:
assumes closedin mtopology A A ≠ {} x ∈ M x ∉ A
shows d-set A x > 0
proof -
  have a:A ⊆ M openin mtopology (M - A)
    using closedin-subset[OF assms(1)] assms(1) by auto
  with assms(3,4) obtain e where e: e > 0 mball x e ⊆ M - A
    using openin-mtopology by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: order.strict-trans2[OF e(1) d-set-ball-empty[OF assms(2) a(1)
e(1) assms(3)]])
  qed

lemma gdelta-in-closed:
assumes closedin mtopology M
shows gdelta-in mtopology M

```

```
by(auto intro!: closed-imp-gdelta-in metrizable-space-mtopology)
```

Oscillation

```
definition osc-on :: ['b set, 'b topology, 'b ⇒ 'a, 'b] ⇒ ennreal where
osc-on A X f ≡ (λy. ⋂ {mdiameter (f ` (A ∩ U)) | U. y ∈ U ∧ openin X U})
```

```
abbreviation osc X ≡ osc-on (topspace X) X
```

```
lemma osc-def: osc X f = (λy. ⋂ {mdiameter (f ` U) | U. y ∈ U ∧ openin X U})
  by(standard,auto simp: osc-on-def) (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) inf.absorb2
  openin-subset)
```

```
lemma osc-on-less-iff:
```

```
osc-on A X f x < t ↔ (exists v. x ∈ v ∧ openin X v ∧ mdiameter (f ` (A ∩ v)) < t)
  by(auto simp add: osc-on-def Inf-less-iff)
```

```
lemma osc-less-iff:
```

```
osc X f x < t ↔ (exists v. x ∈ v ∧ openin X v ∧ mdiameter (f ` v) < t)
  by(auto simp add: osc-def Inf-less-iff)
```

```
end
```

```
definition mdist-set :: 'a metric ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ real where
mdist-set m ≡ Metric-space.d-set (mspace m) (mdist m)
```

```
lemma(in Metric-space) mdist-set-Self: mdist-set Self = d-set
  by(simp add: mdist-set-def)
```

```
lemma mdist-set-nonneg[simp]: mdist-set m A x ≥ 0
  by(auto simp: mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-nonneg)
```

```
lemma mdist-set-singleton[simp]:
```

```
x ∈ mspace m ⇒ y ∈ mspace m ⇒ mdist-set m {y} x = mdist m x y
  by(auto simp: mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-singleton)
```

```
lemma mdist-set-empty[simp]: mdist-set m {} x = 0
  by(auto simp: mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-empty)
```

```
lemma mdist-set-inA:
```

```
assumes x ∈ A
shows mdist-set m A x = 0
  by(auto simp: assms mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-inA)
```

```
lemma mdist-set-nzeroD:
```

```
assumes mdist-set m A x ≠ 0
shows A ⊆ mspace m x ∉ A A ≠ {}
using assms Metric-space.d-set-nzeroD[of mspace m mdist m]
  by(auto simp: mdist-set-def)
```

```

lemma mdist-set-antimono:
  assumes  $A \subseteq B$   $A \neq \{\}$   $B \subseteq \text{mspace } m$ 
  shows  $\text{mdist-set } m B x \leq \text{mdist-set } m A x$ 
  by(auto simp: assms mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-antimono)

lemma mdist-set-bounded:
  assumes  $\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies \text{mdist } m x y < K$   $K > 0$ 
  shows  $\text{mdist-set } m A x < K$ 
  by(auto simp: assms mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-bounded)

lemma mdist-set-tr:
  assumes  $x \in \text{mspace } m$   $y \in \text{mspace } m$ 
  shows  $\text{mdist-set } m A x \leq \text{mdist } m x y + \text{mdist-set } m A y$ 
  by(auto simp: assms mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-tr)

lemma mdist-set-abs-le:
  assumes  $x \in \text{mspace } m$   $y \in \text{mspace } m$ 
  shows  $|\text{mdist-set } m A x - \text{mdist-set } m A y| \leq \text{mdist } m x y$ 
  by(auto simp: assms mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-abs-le)

lemma mdist-set-inA-le:
  assumes  $y \in A$ 
  shows  $\text{mdist-set } m A x \leq \text{mdist } m x y$ 
  by(auto simp: assms mdist-set-def Metric-space.d-set-inA-le)

lemma mdist-set-ball-empty:
  assumes  $A \neq \{\}$   $A \subseteq \text{mspace } m$   $e > 0$   $x \in \text{mspace } m$   $\text{mball-of } m x e \cap A = \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{mdist-set } m A x \geq e$ 
  by (metis Metric-space.d-set-ball-empty Metric-space-mspace-mdist assms mball-of-def mdist-set-def)

lemma mdist-set-closed-pos:
  assumes closedin (mtopology-of m) A  $A \neq \{\}$   $x \in \text{mspace } m$   $x \notin A$ 
  shows  $\text{mdist-set } m A x > 0$ 
  by (metis Metric-space.d-set-closed-pos Metric-space-mspace-mdist assms mdist-set-def mtopology-of-def)

lemma mdist-set-uniformly-continuous: uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric (mdist-set m A)
  unfolding uniformly-continuous-map-def
  proof safe
    fix  $e :: \text{real}$ 
    assume  $0 < e$ 
    then show  $\exists \delta > 0. \forall x \in \text{mspace } m. \forall y \in \text{mspace } m. \text{mdist } m y x < \delta \longrightarrow \text{mdist } \text{euclidean-metric } (\text{mdist-set } m A y) (\text{mdist-set } m A x) < e$ 
    using order.strict-trans1[OF mdist-set-abs-le] by(auto intro!: exI[where x=e]
    simp: dist-real-def)
  qed simp

```

```

lemma uniformly-continuous-map-add:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b::real-normed-vector
  assumes uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f uniformly-continuous-map
  m euclidean-metric g
  shows uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric (λx. f x + g x)
  unfolding uniformly-continuous-map-def
  proof safe
    fix e :: real
    assume e > 0
    from half-gt-zero[OF this] assms obtain d1 d2 where d: d1 > 0 d2 > 0
       $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies y \in \text{mspace } m \implies \text{mdist } m x y < d1 \implies \text{dist} (f x) (f y) < e / 2$ 
       $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies y \in \text{mspace } m \implies \text{mdist } m x y < d2 \implies \text{dist} (g x) (g y) < e / 2$ 
    by(simp only: uniformly-continuous-map-def mdist-euclidean-metric) metis
    show  $\exists \delta > 0. \forall y \in \text{mspace } m. \forall x \in \text{mspace } m. \text{mdist } m x y < \delta \longrightarrow \text{mdist } \text{euclidean-metric} (f x + g x) (f y + g y) < e$ 
    using d by(fastforce intro!: exI[where x=min d1 d2] order.strict-trans1[OF dist-triangle-add])
  qed simp

lemma uniformly-continuous-map-real-divide:
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ real
  assumes uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f uniformly-continuous-map
  m euclidean-metric g
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies g x \neq 0$   $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies |g x| \geq a$  a > 0
   $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies |g x| < K_g$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies |f x| < K_f$ 
  shows uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric (λx. f x / g x)
  unfolding uniformly-continuous-map-def
  proof safe
    fix e :: real
    assume e[arith]:e > 0
    consider mspace m ≠ {} | mspace m = {} by auto
    then show  $\exists \delta > 0. \forall x \in \text{mspace } m. \forall y \in \text{mspace } m. \text{mdist } m y x < \delta \longrightarrow \text{mdist } \text{euclidean-metric} (f y / g y) (f x / g x) < e$ 
    proof cases
      case m:1
      then have Kfg-pos[arith]: Kg > 0 Kf ≥ 0
      using assms(4–7) by auto fastforce+
      define e' where e' ≡ a^2 / (Kf + Kg) * e
      have e':e' > 0
      using assms(5) by(auto simp: e'-def)
      with assms obtain d1 d2 where d: d1 > 0 d2 > 0
         $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies y \in \text{mspace } m \implies \text{mdist } m x y < d1 \implies |f x - f y| < e'$ 
         $\bigwedge x y. x \in \text{mspace } m \implies y \in \text{mspace } m \implies \text{mdist } m x y < d2 \implies |g x - g y| < e'$ 
      by(simp only: uniformly-continuous-map-def mdist-euclidean-metric dist-real-def) metis
      show ?thesis
    
```

```

unfolding mdist-euclidean-metric dist-real-def
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=min d1 d2])
fix x y
assume x:x ∈ mspace m and y:y ∈ mspace m and mdist m x y < min d1 d2
then have dist[arith]: mdist m x y < d1 mdist m x y < d2 by auto
note [arith] = assms(3,4,6,7)[OF x] assms(3,4,6,7)[OF y]
have |f x / g x - f y / g y| = |(f x * g y - f y * g x) / (g x * g y)|
by(simp add: diff-frac-eq)
also have ... = |(f x * g y - f x * g x + (f x * g x - f y * g x)) / (g x * g y)|
by simp
also have ... = |(f x - f y) * g x - f x * (g x - g y)| / (|g x| * |g y|)
by(simp add: left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib abs-mult)
also have ... ≤ (|f x - f y| * |g x| + |f x| * |g x - g y|) / (|g x| * |g y|)
by(rule divide-right-mono) (use abs-triangle-ineq4 abs-mult in metis,auto)
also have ... < (e' * Kg + Kf * e') / (|g x| * |g y|)
by(rule divide-strict-right-mono[OF add-less-le-mono]) (auto intro!: mult-mono'
mult-strict-mono, use d(3,4)[OF x y] in auto)
also have ... ≤ (e' * Kg + Kf * e') / a^2
by(auto intro!: divide-left-mono simp: power2-eq-square) (insert assms(5)
e', auto simp: ‹a ≤ |g x|› mult-mono')
also have ... = (Kf + Kg) / a^2 * e'
by (simp add: distrib-left mult.commute)
also have ... = e
using assms(5) by(auto simp: e'-def)
finally show |f x / g x - f y / g y| < e .
qed(use d in auto)
qed (auto intro!: exI[where x=1])
qed simp

```

```

lemma
assumes e > 0
shows uniformly-continuous-map-from-capped-metric:uniformly-continuous-map
(capped-metric e m1) m2 f ⟷ uniformly-continuous-map m1 m2 f (is ?g1)
and uniformly-continuous-map-to-capped-metric:uniformly-continuous-map m1
(capped-metric e m2) f ⟷ uniformly-continuous-map m1 m2 f (is ?g2)
proof -
have [simp]:(λn. min e (X n)) ⟶ 0 ⟷ X ⟶ 0 for X
proof
assume h:(λn. min e (X n)) ⟶ 0
show X ⟶ 0
unfolding LIMSEQ-def dist-real-def
proof safe
fix r :: real
assume 0 < r
then have min (e / 2) r > 0
using assms by auto
from LIMSEQ-D[OF h this] obtain N where N:¬∃n. n ≥ N ⇒ |min e (X
n)| < min (e / 2) r
by auto

```

```

have min e (X n) = X n if h:n ≥ N for n
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume min e (X n) ≠ X n
  then have min e (X n) = e
    by auto
  with N[OF h] show False
    by auto
qed
with N show ∃ no. ∀ n≥no. |X n - 0| < r
  by(auto intro!: exI[where x=N])
qed
qed(auto intro!: tendsto-eq-intros(4)[of λx. e e sequentially X - ] simp: assms)
show ?g1 ?g2
  using assms by(auto simp: uniformly-continuous-map-sequentially capped-metric-mdist)
qed

lemma Urysohn-lemma-uniform:
assumes closedin (mtopology-of m) T closedin (mtopology-of m) U T ∩ U = {}
∧ x y. x ∈ T ⇒ y ∈ U ⇒ mdist m x y ≥ e e > 0
obtains f :: 'a ⇒ real
  where uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f
    ∧ x. f x ≥ 0 ∧ x. f x ≤ 1 ∧ x. x ∈ T ⇒ f x = 1 ∧ x. x ∈ U ⇒ f x = 0
proof -
  consider T = {} | U = {} | T ≠ {} | U ≠ {} by auto
  then show ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 1
    define f where f ≡ (λx:'a. 0::real)
    with 1 have uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f ∧ x. f x ≥ 0 ∧ x.
      f x ≤ 1 ∧ x. x ∈ T ⇒ f x = 1 ∧ x. x ∈ U ⇒ f x = 0
      by auto
    then show ?thesis
      using that by auto
  next
    case 2
    define f where f ≡ (λx:'a. 1::real)
    with 2 have uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f ∧ x. f x ≥ 0 ∧ x.
      f x ≤ 1 ∧ x. x ∈ T ⇒ f x = 1 ∧ x. x ∈ U ⇒ f x = 0
      by auto
    then show ?thesis
      using that by auto
  next
  case TU:3
  then have STU:mspace m ≠ {} T ⊆ mspace m U ⊆ mspace m
    using assms(1,2) closedin-topspace-empty closedin-subset by fastforce+
  interpret m: Metric-space mspace m mdist (capped-metric e m)
    by (metis Metric-space-mspace-mdist capped-metric-mspace)
  have e:x ∈ T ⇒ y ∈ U ⇒ mdist (capped-metric e m) x y ≥ e for x y
    by (simp add: assms(4) capped-metric-mdist)

```

```

define f where f ≡ (λx. mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x / (mdist-set
(capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric e m) T x))
have uniformly-continuous-map m euclidean-metric f
  unfolding uniformly-continuous-map-from-capped-metric[OF assms(5),of
m,symmetric] f-def
  proof(rule uniformly-continuous-map-real-divide[where Kf=e + 1 and Kg=2
* e + 1 and a=e / 2])
    show uniformly-continuous-map (capped-metric e m) euclidean-metric (mdist-set
(capped-metric e m) U)
      uniformly-continuous-map (capped-metric e m) euclidean-metric (λx.
mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric e m) T x)
      by(auto intro!: mdist-set-uniformly-continuous uniformly-continuous-map-add)
next
fix x
assume x:x ∈ mspace (capped-metric e m)
then consider x ∉ T | x ∉ U
  using TU assms by auto
thus mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric e m) T
x ≠ 0
proof cases
  case 1
  with TU x assms have mdist-set (capped-metric e m) T x > 0
    by(auto intro!: mdist-set-closed-pos simp: mttopology-capped-metric)
  thus?thesis
    by (metis add-less-same-cancel2 linorder-not-less mdist-set-nonneg)
next
  case 2
  with TU x assms have mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x > 0
    by(auto intro!: mdist-set-closed-pos simp: mttopology-capped-metric)
  thus?thesis
    by (metis add-less-same-cancel1 linorder-not-less mdist-set-nonneg)
qed
next
fix x
assume x:x ∈ mspace (capped-metric e m)
consider x ∈ (⋃ a∈U. m.mball a (e / 2)) | x ∉ (⋃ a∈U. m.mball a (e / 2))
by auto
then show e / 2 ≤ |mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric
e m) T x|
proof cases
  case 1
  have m.mball x (e / 2) ∩ T = {}
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume m.mball x (e / 2) ∩ T ≠ {}
    then obtain y where y: y ∈ m.mball x (e / 2) y ∈ T
      by blast
    then obtain u where u:u ∈ U x ∈ m.mball u (e / 2)
      using 1 by auto
    have mdist (capped-metric e m) y u ≤ mdist (capped-metric e m) y x +

```

```

mdist (capped-metric e m) x u
  using STU u y x by(auto intro!: m.triangle)
  also have ... < e / 2 + e / 2
    using y u by(auto simp: m.commute)
  also have ... = e using assms(5) by linarith
  finally show False
    using e[OF y(2) u(1)] by simp
qed
from m.d-set-ball-empty[OF ---- this] TU STU x assms(1,5)
have e / 2 ≤ m.d-set T x
  using STU(2) x by auto
also have ... ≤ |mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric
e m) T x|
  by (simp add: mdist-set-def)
finally show ?thesis .

next
  case 2
  then have m.mball x (e / 2) ∩ U = {}
    using x by (auto simp: m.commute)
  hence e / 2 ≤ m.d-set U x
    by (metis STU(3) TU(2) assms(5) capped-metric-mspace half-gt-zero
m.d-set-ball-empty x)
  also have ... ≤ |mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric
e m) T x|
    by (simp add: mdist-set-def)
  finally show ?thesis .

qed
next
  fix x
  assume x ∈ mspace (capped-metric e m)
  have |mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric e m) T
x| = mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric e m) T x
    using mdist-set-nonneg by auto
  also have ... < (e + 1 / 2) + (e + 1 / 2)
    apply(intro add-strict-mono mdist-set-bounded)
    using assms(5) add-strict-increasing[of 1 / 2,OF - mdist-capped[OF
assms(5),of m x]] by (auto simp add: add.commute)
  also have ... = 2 * e + 1
    by auto
  finally show |mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x + mdist-set (capped-metric
e m) T x| < 2 * e + 1 .
  show |mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x| < e + 1
    using assms(5) add-strict-increasing[of 1,OF - mdist-capped[OF assms(5),of
m x]] by (auto simp add: add.commute intro!: mdist-set-bounded)
qed(use assms in auto)
moreover have ∀x. f x ∈ {0..1}
proof -
  fix x
  have f x ≤ mdist-set (capped-metric e m) U x / mdist-set (capped-metric e

```

```

m)  $U x$ 
proof –
  consider mdist-set (capped-metric e m)  $U x = 0 \mid$  mdist-set (capped-metric
e m)  $U x > 0$ 
    using antisym-conv1 by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  proof cases
    case 2
    show ?thesis
      unfolding f-def by(rule divide-left-mono[OF -- mult-pos-pos]) (auto
simp: 2 add-strict-increasing)
      qed (simp add: f-def)
    qed
    also have ...  $\leq 1$  by simp
    finally show  $f x \in \{0..1\}$ 
      by (auto simp: f-def)
  qed
  moreover have  $f x = 1$  if  $x:x \in T$  for  $x$ 
  proof –
    { assume h:mdist-set (capped-metric e m)  $U x = 0$ 
      then have  $x \notin U$  using assms STU x by blast
        hence False
      by (metis STU(2) TU(2) assms(2) capped-metric-mspace h mdist-set-closed-pos
mtopology-capped-metric order-less-irrefl subset-eq x)
    }
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis add.right-neutral divide-self-if f-def mdist-set-nzeroD(2) x)
  qed
  moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \in U \implies f x = 0$ 
    by (simp add: f-def m.d-set-inA mdist-set-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that by auto
  qed
qed

```

Open maps

```

lemma Metric-space-open-map-from-dist:
  assumes  $f \in \text{mspace } m1 \rightarrow \text{mspace } m2$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{mspace } m1 \implies \varepsilon > 0 \implies \exists \delta > 0. \forall y \in \text{mspace } m1. \text{mdist } m2(f x)(f y) < \delta \implies \text{mdist } m1 x y < \varepsilon$ 
  shows open-map (mtopology-of m1) (subtopology (mtopology-of m2) (f ` mspace
m1)) f
proof –
  interpret m1: Metric-space mspace m1 mdist m1 by simp
  interpret m2: Metric-space mspace m2 mdist m2 by simp
  interpret m2': Submetric mspace m2 mdist m2 f ` mspace m1
  using assms(1) by(auto simp: Submetric-def Submetric-axioms-def)
  have open-map m1.mtopology m2'.sub.mtopology f
  proof(safe intro!: open-map-with-base[OF m1.mtopology-base-in-balls])

```

```

fix a and e :: real
assume h:a ∈ mspace m1 0 < e
show openin m2'.sub.mtopology (f ` m1.mball a e)
  unfolding m2'.sub.openin-mtopology
proof safe
  fix x
  assume x:x ∈ m1.mball a e
  then have xs:x ∈ mspace m1
    by auto
  have 0 < e - mdist m1 a x
    using x by auto
  from assms(2)[OF xs this] obtain d where d:d > 0 ∧ y. y ∈ mspace m1
  ⟹ mdist m2 (f x) (f y) < d ⟹ mdist m1 x y < e - mdist m1 a x
    by auto
  show ∃ r>0. m2'.sub.mball (f x) r ⊆ f ` m1.mball a e
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=d])
    fix z
    assume z:z ∈ m2'.sub.mball (f x) d
    then obtain y where y:z = f y y ∈ mspace m1
      by auto
    hence mdist m2 (f x) (f y) < d
      using z by simp
    hence mdist m1 x y < e - mdist m1 a x
      using d y by auto
    hence mdist m1 a y < e
      using h(1) x y m1.triangle[of a x y] by auto
    with h(1) show z ∈ f ` m1.mball a e
      by(auto simp: y)
  qed fact
  qed auto
qed
thus open-map (mtopology-of m1) (subtopology (mtopology-of m2)) (f ` mspace m1)) f
  by (simp add: mtopology-of-def m2'.mtopology-submetric)
qed

```

1.3.3 Separability in Metric Spaces

```

context Metric-space
begin

```

For a metric space M , M is separable iff M is second countable.

```

lemma generated-by-countable-balls:
  assumes countable U and mdense U
  shows mtopology = topology-generated-by {mball y (1 / real n) | y n. y ∈ U}
proof -
  have hu: U ⊆ M ∧ x ε. x ∈ M ⟹ ε > 0 ⟹ mball x ε ∩ U ≠ {}
    using assms by(auto simp: mdense-def)
  show ?thesis

```

```

unfolding base-is-subbase[OF mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified subbase-in-def]
proof(rule topology-generated-by-eq)
fix K
assume K ∈ {mball y (1 / real n) | y n. y ∈ U}
then show openin (topology-generated-by {mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ M ∧ 0 < ε}) K
by(auto simp: base-is-subbase[OF mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified subbase-in-def,symmetric])
next
have h0: ∀x ε. x ∈ M ⇒ ε > 0 ⇒ ∃y ∈ U. ∃n. x ∈ mball y (1 / real n) ∧
mball y (1 / real n) ⊆ mball x ε
proof -
fix x ε
assume h: x ∈ M (0 :: real) < ε
then obtain N where hn: 1 / ε < real N
using reals-Archimedean2 by blast
have hn0: 0 < real N
by(rule ccontr) (use hn h in fastforce)
hence hn': 1 / real N < ε
using h hn by (metis divide-less-eq mult.commute)
have mball x (1 / (2 * real N)) ∩ U ≠ {}
using mdense-def[of U] assms(2) h(1) hn0 by fastforce
then obtain y where hy:
y ∈ U y ∈ M y ∈ mball x (1 / (real (2 * N)))
using hu by auto
show ∃y ∈ U. ∃n. x ∈ mball y (1 / real n) ∧ mball y (1 / real n) ⊆ mball x ε
proof(intro bexI[where x=y] exI[where x=2 * N] conjI)
show x ∈ mball y (1 / real (2 * N))
using hy(3) by (auto simp: commute)
next
show mball y (1 / real (2 * N)) ⊆ mball x ε
proof
fix y'
assume hy': y' ∈ mball y (1 / real (2 * N))
have d x y' < ε (is ?lhs < ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs ≤ d x y + d y y'
using hy(2) hy' h(1) triangle by auto
also have ... < 1 / real (2 * N) + 1 / real (2 * N)
by(rule strict-ordered-ab-semigroup-add-class.add-strict-mono) (use
hy(3) hy(2) hy' h(1) hy' in auto)
finally show ?thesis
using hn' by auto
qed
thus y' ∈ mball x ε
using hy' h(1) by auto
qed
qed fact
qed
fix K
assume hk: K ∈ {mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ M ∧ 0 < ε}

```

```

then obtain  $x \in K$  where  $hxe$ :

$$x \in M \quad 0 < \varepsilon_x \quad K = mball x \varepsilon_x$$
 by auto
have  $gh:K = (\bigcup \{mball y (1 / real n) \mid y \in U \wedge mball y (1 / real n) \subseteq K\})$ 
proof
show  $K \subseteq (\bigcup \{mball y (1 / real n) \mid y \in U \wedge mball y (1 / real n) \subseteq K\})$ 
proof
fix  $k$ 
assume  $hkink:k \in K$ 
then have  $hkinS:k \in M$ 
by(simp add:  $hxe$ )
obtain  $\varepsilon_k$  where  $hek: \varepsilon_k > 0 \quad mball k \varepsilon_k \subseteq K$ 
by (metis Metric-space.openin-mtopology Metric-space-axioms  $hxe(3)$  hkink
openin-mball)
obtain  $y \in U$  where  $hyey$ :

$$y \in U \quad k \in mball y (1 / real n) \quad mball y (1 / real n) \subseteq mball k \varepsilon_k$$

using  $h0[OF hkinS hek(1)]$  by auto
show  $k \in \bigcup \{mball y (1 / real n) \mid y \in U \wedge mball y (1 / real n) \subseteq K\}$ 
using  $hek(2)$   $hyey$  by blast
qed
qed auto
show openin (topology-generated-by {mball y (1 / real n) | y ∈ U}) K
unfolding openin-topology-generated-by-iff
apply(rule generate-topology-on.UN[of {mball y (1 / real n) | y ∈ U}])
apply(rule generate-topology-on.Basis) by auto
qed
qed
lemma separable-space-imp-second-countable:
assumes separable-space mtopology
shows second-countable mtopology
proof -
obtain  $U$  where  $hu$ :
countable  $U$  mdense  $U$ 
using assms separable-space-def2 by blast
show ?thesis
proof(rule countable-base-from-countable-subbase[where  $\mathcal{O} = \{mball y (1 / real n) \mid y \in U\}$ ])
have  $\{mball y (1 / real n) \mid y \in U\} = (\lambda(y, n). mball y (1 / real n)) \cdot (U \times UNIV)$ 
by auto
also have countable ...
using  $hu$  by auto
finally show countable {mball y (1 / real n) | y ∈ U}.
qed(use subbase-in-def generated-by-countable-balls[of  $U$ ]  $hu$  in auto)
qed

```

corollary *separable-space-iff-second-countable*:
separable-space mtopology \longleftrightarrow *second-countable mtopology*
using *second-countable-imp-separable-space separable-space-imp-second-countable*
by *auto*

lemma *Lindelof-mdiameter*:
assumes *separable-space mtopology* $0 < e$
shows $\exists U. \text{countable } U \wedge \bigcup U = M \wedge (\forall u \in U. \text{mdiameter } u < \text{ennreal } e)$
proof –
have $(\bigwedge u. u \in \{\text{mball } x (e / 3) \mid x \in M\} \implies \text{openin mtopology } u)$
by *auto*
moreover have $\bigcup \{\text{mball } x (e / 3) \mid x \in M\} = M$
using assms by auto
ultimately have $\exists U'. \text{countable } U' \wedge U' \subseteq \{\text{mball } x (e / 3) \mid x \in M\} \wedge \bigcup U' = M$
using second-countable-imp-Lindelof-space[OF assms(1)[simplified separable-space-iff-second-countable]]
by(auto simp: Lindelof-space-def)
then obtain U' **where** $U': \text{countable } U' \subseteq \{\text{mball } x (e / 3) \mid x \in M\} \cup U' = M$
by *auto*
show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: extI[where x=U'])
fix u
assume $u \in U'$
then obtain x **where** $u: u = \text{mball } x (e / 3)$
using U' **by** *auto*
have $\text{mdiameter } u \leq \text{ennreal } (2 * (e / 3))$
by(simp only: u mdiameter-ball-leq)
also have ... $< \text{ennreal } e$
by(auto intro!: ennreal-lessI assms)
finally show $\text{mdiameter } u < \text{ennreal } e$.
qed(use U' in auto)
qed
end

lemma *metrizable-space-separable-iff-second-countable*:
assumes *metrizable-space X*
shows *separable-space X* \longleftrightarrow *second-countable X*
proof –
obtain d **where** *Metric-space (topspace X) d Metric-space.mtopology (topspace X)* $d = X$
by (*metis assms(1) Metric-space.topspace-mtopology metrizable-space-def*)
thus ?thesis
using *Metric-space.separable-space-iff-second-countable* **by** *fastforce*
qed

abbreviation *mdense-of m U* \equiv *dense-in (mtopology-of m) U*

```

lemma mdense-of-def: mdense-of m U  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $U \subseteq \text{mspace } m \wedge (\forall x \in \text{mspace } m. \forall \varepsilon > 0. \text{mball-of } m x \varepsilon \cap U \neq \{\})$ )
  using Metric-space.mdense-def[of mspace m mdist m] by (simp add: mball-of-def mtopology-of-def)

lemma mdense-of-def2: mdense-of m U  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $U \subseteq \text{mspace } m \wedge (\forall x \in \text{mspace } m. \forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists y \in U. \text{mdist } m x y < \varepsilon)$ )
  using Metric-space.mdense-def2[of mspace m mdist m] by (simp add: mtopology-of-def)

lemma mdense-of-def3: mdense-of m U  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $U \subseteq \text{mspace } m \wedge (\forall x \in \text{mspace } m. \exists xn. \text{range } xn \subseteq U \wedge \text{limitin } (\text{mtopology-of } m) xn x \text{ sequentially})$ )
  using Metric-space.mdense-def3[of mspace m mdist m] by (simp add: mtopology-of-def)

```

1.3.4 Compact Metric Spaces

```

context Metric-space
begin

lemma mtotally-bounded-eq-compact-closedin:
  assumes mcomplete closedin mtopology S
  shows mtotally-bounded S  $\longleftrightarrow$  S  $\subseteq M \wedge \text{compactin } mtopology S$ 
  by (metis assms closure-of-eq mtotally-bounded-eq-compact-closure-of)

lemma mtotally-bounded-def2: mtotally-bounded S  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists K. \text{finite } K \wedge K \subseteq M \wedge S \subseteq (\bigcup x \in K. \text{mball } x \varepsilon)$ )
  unfolding mtotally-bounded-def
  proof safe
    fix e :: real
    assume h:e > 0  $\forall e > 0. \exists K. \text{finite } K \wedge K \subseteq S \wedge S \subseteq (\bigcup x \in K. \text{mball } x e)$ 
    then show  $\exists K. \text{finite } K \wedge K \subseteq M \wedge S \subseteq (\bigcup x \in K. \text{mball } x e)$ 
    by (metis Metric-space.mbounded-subset Metric-space.mtotally-bounded-imp-mbounded Metric-space-axioms mbounded-subset-mspace mtotally-bounded-def)
  next
    fix e :: real
    assume e > 0  $\forall \varepsilon > 0. \exists K. \text{finite } K \wedge K \subseteq M \wedge S \subseteq (\bigcup x \in K. \text{mball } x \varepsilon)$ 
    then obtain K where K: finite K K  $\subseteq M$  S  $\subseteq (\bigcup x \in K. \text{mball } x (e / 2))$ 
    by (meson half-gt-zero)
    define K' where K'  $\equiv \{x \in K. \text{mball } x (e / 2) \cap S \neq \{\}\}$ 
    have K' finite K' K'  $\subseteq M$ 
    using K by (auto simp: K'-def)
    have K'': S  $\subseteq (\bigcup x \in K'. \text{mball } x (e / 2))$ 
    proof
      fix x
      assume x:x  $\in S$ 
      then obtain k where k:k  $\in K$  x  $\in \text{mball } k (e / 2)$ 
      using K by auto
      with x have k  $\in K'$ 

```

```

by(auto simp: K'-def)
with k show  $x \in (\bigcup_{x \in K'}. mball x (e / 2))$ 
    by auto
qed
have  $\forall k \in K'. \exists y. y \in mball k (e / 2) \cap S$ 
    by(auto simp: K'-def)
then obtain  $xk$  where  $xk: \bigwedge k. k \in K' \implies xk k \in mball k (e / 2) \bigwedge k. k \in K'$ 
 $\implies xk k \in S$ 
    by (metis IntD2 inf-commute)
hence  $\bigwedge k. k \in K' \implies mball k (e / 2) \subseteq mball (xk k) e$ 
    using triangle commute by fastforce
hence  $(\bigcup_{x \in K'}. mball x (e / 2)) \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in xk ' K'}. mball x e)$ 
    by blast
with K'2 have  $S \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in xk ' K'}. mball x e)$ 
    by blast
thus  $\exists K. finite K \wedge K \subseteq S \wedge S \subseteq (\bigcup_{x \in K}. mball x e)$ 
    by(intro exI[where  $x=xk ' K']) (use xk(2) K'1(1) in blast)
qed$ 
```

```

lemma compact-space-imp-separable:
assumes compact-space mttopology
shows separable-space mttopology
proof -
have  $\exists A. finite A \wedge A \subseteq M \wedge M \subseteq \bigcup ((\lambda a. mball a (1 / real (Suc n))) ' A)$ 
for n
    using assms by(auto simp: compact-space-eq-mcomplete-mtotally-bounded mtotally-bounded-def)
    then obtain A where  $A: \bigwedge n. finite (A n) \bigwedge n. A n \subseteq M \bigwedge n. M \subseteq \bigcup ((\lambda a. mball a (1 / real (Suc n))) ' (A n))$ 
        by metis
    define K where  $K \equiv \bigcup (range A)$ 
    have 1: countable K
        using A(1) by(auto intro!: countable-UN[of - id,simplified] simp: K-def countable-finite)
        show separable-space mttopology
        unfolding mdense-def2 separable-space-def2
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where  $x=K$ ] 1)
        fix x and ε :: real
        assume h:  $x \in M$   $0 < \varepsilon$ 
        then obtain n where  $n: 1 / real (Suc n) \leq \varepsilon$ 
            by (meson nat-approx-posE order.strict-iff-not)
        then obtain y where  $y: y \in A n x \in mball y (1 / real (Suc n))$ 
            using h(1) A(3)[of n] by auto
        thus  $\exists y \in K. d x y < \varepsilon$ 
            using n by(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x=y$ ] simp: commute K-def)
        qed(use K-def A(2) in auto)
qed

```

lemma separable-space-cfunspace:

```

assumes separable-space mtopology mcomplete
    and metrizable-space X compact-space X
    shows separable-space (mtopology-of (cfunspace X Self))
proof -
  consider topspace X = {} | topspace X ≠ {} M = {} | topspace X ≠ {} M ≠ {}
  {} by auto
  thus ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: countable-space-separable-space)
next
  case 2
  then have [simp]: mtopology = trivial-topology
    using topspace-mtopology by auto
  have 1:topspace (mtopology-of (cfunspace X Self)) = {}
    apply simp
    using 2(1) by(auto simp: mtopology-of-def)
  show ?thesis
    by(rule countable-space-separable-space, simp only: 1) simp
next
  case XS-nem:3
  have cm: mcomplete-of (cfunspace X Self)
    by (simp add: assms(2) mcomplete-cfunspace)
  show ?thesis
proof -
  obtain dx where dx: Metric-space (topspace X) dx Metric-space.mtopology
  (topspace X) dx = X
    by (metis Metric-space.topspace-mtopology assms(3) metrizable-space-def)
  interpret dx: Metric-space topspace X dx
    by fact
  have dx-c: dx.mcomplete
    using assms by(auto intro!: dx.compact-space-imp-mcomplete simp: dx(2))
  have ∃ B. finite B ∧ B ⊆ topspace X ∧ topspace X ⊆ (∪ a∈B. dx.mball a (1 / Suc m)) for m
    using dx.compactin-imp-mtotally-bounded[of topspace X] assms(4)
    by(fastforce simp: dx(2) compact-space-def dx.mtotally-bounded-def2)
    then obtain Xm where Xm: ∀m. finite (Xm m) ∧m. (Xm m) ⊆ topspace
    X ∧m. topspace X ⊆ (∪ a∈Xm m. dx.mball a (1 / Suc m))
      by metis
    hence Xm-eq: ∀m. topspace X = (∪ a∈Xm m. dx.mball a (1 / Suc m))
      by fastforce
    have Xm-nem: ∀m. (Xm m) ≠ {}
      using XS-nem Xm-eq by blast
    define xmk where xmk ≡ (λm. from-nat-into (Xm m))
    define km where km ≡ (λm. card (Xm m))
    have km-pos: km m > 0 for m
      by(simp add: km-def card-gt-0-iff Xm Xm-nem)
    have xmk-bij: bij-betw (xmk m) {..<km m} (Xm m) for m

```

```

using bij-betw-from-nat-into-finite[OF Xm(1)] by(simp add: km-def xmk-def)
have xmk-into: xmk m i ∈ Xm m for m i
  by (simp add: Xm-nem from-nat-into xmk-def)
have ∃ U. countable U ∧ ⋃ U = M ∧ (∀ u∈U. mdiameter u < 1 / (Suc l))
for l
  by(rule Lindelof-mdiameter[OF assms(1)]) auto
  then obtain U where U: ⋀l. countable (U l) ⋀l. (⋃ (U l)) = M ⋀l u. u
    ∈ U l ⟹ mdiameter u < 1 / Suc l
    by metis
  have Ul-nem: U l ≠ {} for l
    using XS-nem U(2) by auto
  define uli where uli ≡ (λl. from-nat-into (U l))
  have uli-into: uli l i ∈ U l for l i
    by (simp add: Ul-nem from-nat-into uli-def)
  hence uli-diam: mdiameter (uli l i) < 1 / Suc l for l i
    using U(3) by auto
  have uli-un: M = (⋃ i. uli l i) for l
    by(auto simp: range-from-nat-into[OF Ul-nem[of l] U(1)] uli-def U)
    define Cmn where Cmn ≡ (λm n. {f ∈ mspace (cfunspace X Self). ∀x∈topspace X. ∀y∈topspace X. dx x y < 1 / (Suc m) → d (f x) (f y) < 1 / Suc n})
    define fmnls where fmnls ≡ (λm n l s. SOME f. f ∈ Cmn m n ∧ (∀j<km m. f (xmk m j) ∈ uli l (s j)))
    define Dmnl where Dmnl ≡ (λm n l. {fmnls m n l s | s ∈ {..<km m} →_E UNIV ∧ (∃f ∈ Cmn m n. (∀j<km m. f (xmk m j) ∈ uli l (s j))) })
    have in-Dmnl: fmnls m n l s ∈ Dmnl m n l if s ∈ {..<km m} →_E UNIV f ∈ Cmn m n ∀j<km m. f (xmk m j) ∈ uli l (s j) for m n l s f
      using Dmnl-def that by blast
    define Dmn where Dmn ≡ (λm n. ⋃ l. Dmnl m n l)
    have Dmn-subset: Dmn m n ⊆ Cmn m n for m n
    proof –
      have Dmnl m n l ⊆ Cmn m n for l
        by(auto simp: Dmnl-def fmnls-def someI[of λf. f ∈ Cmn m n ∧ (∀j<km m. f (xmk m j) ∈ uli l (- j))])
        thus ?thesis by(auto simp: Dmn-def)
    qed
    have c-Dmn: countable (Dmn m n) for m n
    proof –
      have countable (Dmnl m n l) for l
      proof –
        have 1:Dmnl m n l ⊆ (λs. fmnls m n l s) ‘ ({..<km m} →_E UNIV)
          by(auto simp: Dmnl-def)
        have countable ...
          by(auto intro!: countable-PiE)
        with 1 show ?thesis
          using countable-subset by blast
      qed
      thus ?thesis
        by(auto simp: Dmn-def)
    qed
  
```

```

qed
have claim:  $\exists g \in Dmn m n. \forall y \in Xm m. d(f y) (g y) < e$  if  $f: f \in Cmn m n$ 
and  $e: e > 0$  for  $f m n e$ 
proof -
  obtain l where  $l:1 / Suc l < e$ 
  using e nat-approx-pose by blast
  define s where  $s \equiv (\lambda i \in \{.. < km m\}. SOME j. f(xmk m i) \in uli l j)$ 
  have s1:  $s \in \{.. < km m\} \rightarrow_E UNIV$  by(simp add: s-def)
  have s2:  $\forall i < km m. f(xmk m i) \in uli l (s i)$ 
  proof -
    fix i
    have  $f(xmk m i) \in uli l (SOME j. f(xmk m i) \in uli l j)$  for i
    proof(rule someI-ex)
      have  $xmk m i \in topspace X$ 
      using Xm(2) xmk-into by auto
      hence  $f(xmk m i) \in M$ 
      using f by(auto simp: Cmn-def continuous-map-def)
      thus  $\exists x. f(xmk m i) \in uli l x$ 
      using uli-un by auto
    qed
    thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp: s-def)
  qed
  have fmnl:  $fmnl m n l s \in Cmn m n \wedge (\forall j < km m. fmnl m n l s (xmk m j) \in uli l (s j))$ 
  by(simp add: fmnl-def, rule someI[where x=f], auto simp: s2 f)
  show  $\exists g \in Dmn m n. \forall y \in Xm m. d(f y) (g y) < e$ 
  proof(safe intro!: bexI[where x=fmnl m n l s])
    fix y
    assume y:  $y \in Xm m$ 
    then obtain i where  $i: i < km m \text{ and } xmk m i = y$ 
    by (meson xmk-bij[of m] bij-btw-iff-bijections lessThan-iff)
    have fy:  $f y \in uli l (s i)$ 
    fmnl m n l s y:  $y \in uli l (s i)$ 
    using i(1) s2 fmnl by(auto simp: i(2)[symmetric])
    moreover have fy:  $f y \in M$ 
    fmnl m n l s y:  $y \in M$ 
    using ff fmnl y Xm(2)[of m] by(auto simp: Cmn-def continuous-map-def)
    ultimately have ennreal(d(f y) (fmnl m n l s y))  $\leq mdiameter(uli l (s i))$ 
    by(auto intro!: mdiameter-is-sup)
    also have ... < ennreal(1 / Suc l)
    by(rule uli-diam)
    also have ... < ennreal e
    using l e by(auto intro!: ennreal-lessI)
    finally show d(f y) (fmnl m n l s y) < e
    by(simp add: ennreal-less-iff[OF nonneg])
  qed(use in-Dmnl[OF s1 f s2] Dmn-def in auto)
qed
show separable-space (mtopology-of (cfunspace X Self))
  unfolding separable-space-def2 mdense-of-def2

```

```

proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=Union n. (Union m. Dmn m n)])
  fix f and e :: real
  assume h:f ∈ mspace (cfunspace X Self) 0 < e
  then obtain n where n:1 / Suc n < e / 4
    by (metis zero-less-divide-iff zero-less-numeral nat-approx-posE)
  have ∃ m. ∀ l ≥ m. f ∈ Cmn l n
  proof -
    have uniformly-continuous-map dx.Self Self f
      using continuous-eq-uniformly-continuous-map[of dx.Self Self f] h assms(4)
    by(auto simp: mttopology-of-def dx)
    then obtain δ where δ:δ > 0 ∧ x y. x∈topspace X ⇒ y∈topspace X ⇒
      dx x y < δ ⇒ d(f x) (f y) < 1 / (Suc n)
      by(simp only: uniformly-continuous-map-def) (metis dx.mdist-Self
      dx.mspace-Self mdist-Self of-nat-0-less-iff zero-less-Suc zero-less-divide-1-iff)
    then obtain m where m:1 / Suc m < δ
      using nat-approx-posE by blast
    have l: l ≥ m ⇒ 1 / Suc l ≤ 1 / Suc m for l
      by (simp add: frac-le)
    show ?thesis
      using δ(2)[OF -- order.strict-trans[OF - order.strict-trans1[OF l m]]] h
    by(auto simp: Cmn-def)
  qed
  then obtain m where m:f ∈ Cmn m n by auto
  obtain g where g:g∈Dmn m n ∧ y. y∈Xm m ⇒ d(f y) (g y) < e / 4
    by (metis claim[OF m] h(2) zero-less-divide-iff zero-less-numeral)
  have ∃ n m. ∃ g∈Dmn m n. mdist (cfunspace X Self) f g < e
  proof(rule exI[where x=n])
    show ∃ m. ∃ g∈Dmn m n. mdist (cfunspace X Self) f g < e
    proof(intro exI[where x=m] bexI[OF - g(1)])
      have g-cm:g ∈ mspace (cfunspace X Self)
        using g(1) Dmn-subset[of m n] by(auto simp: Cmn-def)
      have mdist (cfunspace X Self) f g ≤ 3 * e / 4
      proof(rule mdist-cfunspace-le)
        fix x
        assume x:x ∈ topspace X
        obtain y where y:y ∈ Xm m x ∈ dx.mball y (1 / real (Suc m))
          using Xm(3) x by fastforce
        hence ytop:y ∈ topspace X
          by auto
        have mdist Self (f x) (g x) ≤ d(f x) (f y) + d(f y) (g x)
          using x g-cm h(1) ytop by(auto intro!: triangle simp: continuous-map-def)
        also have ... ≤ d(f x) (f y) + d(f y) (g y) + d(g y) (g x)
          using x g-cm h(1) ytop by(auto intro!: triangle simp: continuous-map-def)
        also have ... ≤ e / 4 + e / 4 + e / 4
        proof -
          have dxy: dx x y < 1 / Suc m dx y x < 1 / Suc m
            using y(2) by(auto simp: dx.commute)

```

```

hence  $d(fx)(fy) < 1 / (\text{Suc } n)$   $d(gx)(gx) < 1 / (\text{Suc } n)$ 
      using  $m \in y$  top  $\text{Dmn-subset}[\text{of } m \in n]$  by (auto simp: Cmn-def)
hence  $d(fx)(fy) < e / 4$   $d(gx)(gx) < e / 4$ 
      using  $n$  by auto
thus ?thesis
      using  $g(2)[\text{OF } y(1)]$  by auto
qed
finally show  $\text{mdist } \text{Self } (fx)(gx) \leq 3 * e / 4$ 
      by simp
qed(use  $h$  in auto)
also have ... <  $e$ 
      using  $h$  by auto
finally show  $\text{mdist } (\text{cfunspace } X \text{ Self}) f g < e$ .
qed
qed
thus  $\exists y \in \bigcup n. \text{Dmn } m \in n. \text{mdist } (\text{cfunspace } X \text{ Self}) f y < e$ 
      by auto
qed(insert  $\text{Dmn-subset } c\text{-Dmn}, \text{unfold } Cmn\text{-def}, \text{blast}$ )+
qed
qed
qed
end

context Submetric
begin

lemma separable-sub:
assumes separable-space mtopology
shows separable-space sub.mtopology
using assms unfolding separable-space-iff-second-countable sub.separable-space-iff-second-countable
by (auto simp: second-countable-subtopology mtopology-submetric)

end

```

1.3.5 Discrete Distance

```

lemma(in discrete-metric) separable-space-iff: separable-space disc.mtopology  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
countable  $M$ 
by (simp add: mtopology-discrete-metric separable-space-discrete-topology)

```

1.3.6 Binary Product Metric Spaces

We define the L^1 -distance. L^1 -distance and L^2 distance (Euclid distance) generate the same topological space.

```

definition prod-dist-L1 ≡ λ d1 d2 (x,y) (x',y'). d1 x x' + d2 y y'

```

```

context Metric-space12
begin

```

```

lemma prod-L1-metric: Metric-space (M1 × M2) (prod-dist-L1 d1 d2)
proof
fix x y z
assume x ∈ M1 × M2 y ∈ M1 × M2 z ∈ M1 × M2
then show prod-dist-L1 d1 d2 x z ≤ prod-dist-L1 d1 d2 x y + prod-dist-L1 d1
d2 y z
by(auto simp: prod-dist-L1-def) (metis M1.commute M1.triangle'' M2.commute
M2.triangle'' ab-semigroup-add-class.add-ac(1) add.left-commute add-mono)
qed(auto simp: prod-dist-L1-def add-nonneg-eq-0-iff M1.commute M2.commute)

sublocale Prod-metric-L1: Metric-space M1 × M2 prod-dist-L1 d1 d2
by(simp add: prod-L1-metric)

lemma prod-dist-L1-geq:
shows d1 x y ≤ prod-dist-L1 d1 d2 (x,x') (y,y')
d2 x' y' ≤ prod-dist-L1 d1 d2 (x,x') (y,y')
by(auto simp: prod-dist-L1-def)

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball:
assumes (x,x') ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a,a') ε
shows x ∈ M1.mball a ε
and x' ∈ M2.mball a' ε
using assms prod-dist-L1-geq order.strict-trans1 by simp-all blast+

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball':
assumes z ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball a ε
shows fst z ∈ M1.mball (fst a) ε
and snd z ∈ M2.mball (snd a) ε
using prod-dist-L1-ball[of fst z snd z fst a snd a ε] assms by auto

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball1': Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) (min e1 e2) ⊆ M1.mball
a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2
using prod-dist-L1-geq order.strict-trans1 by auto blast+

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball1:
assumes b1 ∈ M1.mball a1 e1 b2 ∈ M2.mball a2 e2
shows ∃ e12>0. Prod-metric-L1.mball (b1,b2) e12 ⊆ M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball
a2 e2
proof -
obtain ea1 ea2 where ea: ea1 > 0 ea2 > 0 M1.mball b1 ea1 ⊆ M1.mball a1 e1
M2.mball b2 ea2 ⊆ M2.mball a2 e2
using assms by (meson M1.openin-mball M1.openin-mtopology M2.openin-mball
M2.openin-mtopology)
thus ?thesis
using prod-dist-L1-ball1'[of b1 b2 ea1 ea2] by(auto intro!: exI[where x=min
ea1 ea2])
qed

```

```

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball2':
  M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2 ⊆ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) (e1 + e2)
  by auto (auto simp: prod-dist-L1-def)

lemma prod-dist-L1-ball2:
  assumes (b1,b2) ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) e12
  shows ∃ e1>0. ∃ e2>0. M1.mball b1 e1 × M2.mball b2 e2 ⊆ Prod-metric-L1.mball
  (a1,a2) e12
  proof -
    obtain e12' where e12' > 0 Prod-metric-L1.mball (b1,b2) e12' ⊆ Prod-metric-L1.mball
  (a1,a2) e12
    by (metis assms Prod-metric-L1.openin-mball Prod-metric-L1.openin-mtopology)
    thus ?thesis
      using prod-dist-L1-ball2'[of b1 e12' / 2 b2 e12' / 2] by(auto intro!: exI[where
      x=e12' / 2])
  qed

lemma prod-dist-L1-mtopology:
  Prod-metric-L1.mtopology = prod-topology M1.mtopology M2.mtopology
  proof -
    have Prod-metric-L1.mtopology = topology-generated-by { M1.mball a1 e1 ×
    M2.mball a2 e2 | a1 a2 e1 e2. a1 ∈ M1 ∧ a2 ∈ M2 ∧ e1 > 0 ∧ e2 > 0}
    unfolding base-is-subbase[OF Prod-metric-L1.mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified
    subbase-in-def]
    proof(rule topology-generated-by-eq)
      fix U
      assume U ∈ {M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2 | a1 a2 e1 e2. a1 ∈ M1 ∧
      a2 ∈ M2 ∧ 0 < e1 ∧ 0 < e2}
      then obtain a1 e1 a2 e2 where hae:
        U = M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2 a1 ∈ M1 a2 ∈ M2 0 < e1 0 < e2
        by auto
      show openin (topology-generated-by {Prod-metric-L1.mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ M1 ×
      M2 ∧ 0 < ε}) U
        unfolding base-is-subbase[OF Prod-metric-L1.mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified
        subbase-in-def,symmetric] Prod-metric-L1.openin-mtopology hae(1)
        using prod-dist-L1-ball1[of - a1 e1 - a2 e2] by fastforce
    next
      fix U
      assume U ∈ {Prod-metric-L1.mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ M1 × M2 ∧ 0 < ε}
      then obtain a1 a2 ε where hae:
        U = Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) ε a1 ∈ M1 a2 ∈ M2 0 < ε
        by auto
      show openin (topology-generated-by {M1.mball a1 e1 × M2.mball a2 e2 | a1
      a2 e1 e2. a1 ∈ M1 ∧ a2 ∈ M2 ∧ 0 < e1 ∧ 0 < e2}) U
        unfolding openin-subopen[of - Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1,a2) ε] hae(1)
    proof safe
      fix b1 b2
      assume h:(b1, b2) ∈ Prod-metric-L1.mball (a1, a2) ε
      from prod-dist-L1-ball2[OF this] obtain e1 e2 where e1 > 0 e2 > 0 M1.mball

```

```

 $b1\ e1 \times M2.mball\ b2\ e2 \subseteq Prod\text{-}metric\text{-}L1.mball\ (a1,\ a2) \varepsilon$ 
  by metis
  with h show  $\exists T. openin (topology-generated-by \{M1.mball\ a1\ e1 \times M2.mball\ a2\ e2 \mid a1\ a2\ e1\ e2. a1 \in M1 \wedge a2 \in M2 \wedge 0 < e1 \wedge 0 < e2\}) T \wedge (b1,\ b2) \in T \wedge T \subseteq Prod\text{-}metric\text{-}L1.mball\ (a1,\ a2) \varepsilon$ 
    unfolding openin-topology-generated-by-iff
    by(auto intro!: generate-topology-on.Basis exI[where x=M1.mball b1 e1 × M2.mball b2 e2])
    qed
    qed
  also have ... = prod-topology M1.mtopology M2.mtopology
  proof -
    have  $\{M1.mball\ a \varepsilon \times M2.mball\ a' \varepsilon' \mid a\ a' \varepsilon \varepsilon'. a \in M1 \wedge a' \in M2 \wedge 0 < \varepsilon \wedge 0 < \varepsilon'\} = \{U \times V \mid U\ V. U \in \{M1.mball\ a \varepsilon \mid a \in M1 \wedge 0 < \varepsilon\} \wedge V \in \{M2.mball\ a \varepsilon \mid a \in M2 \wedge 0 < \varepsilon\}\}$ 
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
    unfolding base-is-subbase[OF M1.mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified subbase-in-def]
    base-is-subbase[OF M2.mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified subbase-in-def]
      by(simp only: prod-topology-generated-by[symmetric])
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

lemma prod-dist-L1-limitin-iff: limitin Prod-metric-L1.mtopology zn z sequentially
 $\longleftrightarrow$  limitin M1.mtopology ( $\lambda n. fst (zn\ n)$ ) (fst z) sequentially  $\wedge$  limitin M2.mtopology ( $\lambda n. snd (zn\ n)$ ) (snd z) sequentially
proof safe
  assume h:limitin Prod-metric-L1.mtopology zn z sequentially
  show limitin M1.mtopology ( $\lambda n. fst (zn\ n)$ ) (fst z) sequentially
    limitin M2.mtopology ( $\lambda n. snd (zn\ n)$ ) (snd z) sequentially
  unfolding M1.limit-metric-sequentially M2.limit-metric-sequentially
  proof safe
    fix e :: real
    assume e:  $0 < e$ 
    with h obtain N where  $N: \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies zn\ n \in M1 \times M2 \wedge \bigwedge n. n \geq N$ 
 $\implies prod\text{-}dist\text{-}L1\ d1\ d2\ (zn\ n) z < e$ 
      by(simp only: Prod-metric-L1.limit-metric-sequentially) metis
    show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. fst (zn\ n) \in M1 \wedge d1 (fst (zn\ n)) (fst z) < e$ 
       $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. snd (zn\ n) \in M2 \wedge d2 (snd (zn\ n)) (snd z) < e$ 
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
      fix n
      assume N ≤ n
      from N[OF this]
      show d1 (fst (zn n)) (fst z) < e d2 (snd (zn n)) (snd z) < e
        using order.strict-trans1[OF prod-dist-L1-geq(1)[of fst (zn n) fst z snd (zn n) snd z]] order.strict-trans1[OF prod-dist-L1-geq(2)[of snd (zn n) snd z fst (zn n) fst z]]
        by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed(use N(1)[simplified mem-Times-iff] in auto)
qed(use h Prod-metric-L1.limit-metric-sequentially in auto)
next
assume h:limitin M1.mtopology ( $\lambda n. fst(zn\ n))$  ( $fst\ z)$  sequentially
      limitin M2.mtopology ( $\lambda n. snd(zn\ n))$  ( $snd\ z)$  sequentially
show limitin Prod-metric-L1.mtopology zn z sequentially
  unfolding Prod-metric-L1.limit-metric-sequentially
proof safe
  fix e :: real
  assume e:  $0 < e$ 
  with h obtain N1 N2 where N:  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N1 \implies fst(zn\ n) \in M1 \wedge n. n \geq N1 \implies d1(fst(zn\ n)) (fst z) < e / 2$ 
     $\bigwedge n. n \geq N2 \implies snd(zn\ n) \in M2 \wedge n. n \geq N2 \implies d2(snd(zn\ n)) (snd z) < e / 2$ 
  unfolding M1.limit-metric-sequentially M2.limit-metric-sequentially
  using half-gt-zero by metis
  thus  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. zn\ n \in M1 \times M2 \wedge prod-dist-L1\ d1\ d2(zn\ n) z < e$ 
  by(fastforce intro!: exI[where x=max N1 N2] simp: prod-dist-L1-def split-beta'
mem-Times-iff)
qed(auto simp: mem-Times-iff h[simplified M1.limit-metric-sequentially M2.limit-metric-sequentially])
qed

lemma prod-dist-L1-MCauchy-iff: Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy zn  $\longleftrightarrow$  M1.MCauchy
( $\lambda n. fst(zn\ n)) \wedge M2.MCauchy(\lambda n. snd(zn\ n))$ 
proof safe
  assume h:Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy zn
  show M1.MCauchy ( $\lambda n. fst(zn\ n))$  M2.MCauchy ( $\lambda n. snd(zn\ n))$ 
  unfolding M1.MCauchy-def M2.MCauchy-def
proof safe
  fix e :: real
  assume 0 < e
  with h obtain N where N:  $\bigwedge n m. N \leq n \implies N \leq m \implies prod-dist-L1\ d1\ d2(zn\ n) (zn\ m) < e$ 
  by(fastforce simp: Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy-def)
  show  $\exists N. \forall n n'. N \leq n \implies N \leq n' \implies d1(fst(zn\ n)) (fst(zn\ n')) < e$ 
 $\exists N. \forall n n'. N \leq n \implies N \leq n' \implies d2(snd(zn\ n)) (snd(zn\ n')) < e$ 
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
    fix n m
    assume n  $\geq N$  m  $\geq N$ 
    from N[OF this]
    show d1 ( $fst(zn\ n)) (fst(zn\ m)) < e$  d2 ( $snd(zn\ n)) (snd(zn\ m)) < e$ 
    using order.strict-trans1[OF prod-dist-L1-geq(1)[of  $fst(zn\ n)$   $fst(zn\ m)$ ]
 $snd(zn\ n)$   $snd(zn\ m)]]$  order.strict-trans1[OF prod-dist-L1-geq(2)[of  $snd(zn\ n)$ 
 $snd(zn\ m)$   $fst(zn\ n)$   $fst(zn\ m)]]$ 
    by auto
  qed
next
have  $\bigwedge n. zn\ n \in M1 \times M2$ 
  using h by(auto simp: Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy-def)

```

```

thus  $\text{fst}(\text{zn } n) \in M1$   $\text{snd}(\text{zn } n) \in M2$  for  $n$ 
  by (auto simp: mem-Times-iff)
qed
next
assume  $h: M1.MCauchy (\lambda n. \text{fst}(\text{zn } n)) M2.MCauchy (\lambda n. \text{snd}(\text{zn } n))$ 
show Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy zn
  unfolding Prod-metric-L1.MCauchy-def
proof safe
  fix  $e :: \text{real}$ 
  assume  $0 < e$ 
  with  $h$  obtain  $N1 N2$  where  $\bigwedge n m. n \geq N1 \implies m \geq N1 \implies d1(\text{fst}(\text{zn } n)) (\text{fst}(\text{zn } m)) < e / 2$ 
     $\bigwedge n m. n \geq N2 \implies m \geq N2 \implies d2(\text{snd}(\text{zn } n)) (\text{snd}(\text{zn } m)) < e / 2$ 
    unfolding M1.MCauchy-def M2.MCauchy-def using half-gt-zero by metis
  thus  $\exists N. \forall n n'. N \leq n \longrightarrow N \leq n' \longrightarrow \text{prod-dist-L1 } d1 d2 (\text{zn } n) (\text{zn } n') < e$ 
    by(fastforce intro!: exI[where  $x=\max N1 N2$ ] simp: prod-dist-L1-def split-beta')
next
fix  $x y n$ 
assume  $1:(x,y) = \text{zn } n$ 
have  $\text{fst}(\text{zn } n) \in M1$   $\text{snd}(\text{zn } n) \in M2$ 
  using  $h[\text{simplified } M1.MCauchy-def M2.MCauchy-def]$  by auto
thus  $x \in M1$   $y \in M2$ 
  by(simp-all add: 1[symmetric])
qed
qed
end

```

1.3.7 Sum Metric Spaces

```

locale Sum-metric =
  fixes  $I :: 'i \text{ set}$ 
  and  $Mi :: 'i \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ 
  and  $di :: 'i \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes Mi-disj: disjoint-family-on  $Mi I$ 
    and d-nonneg:  $\bigwedge i x y. 0 \leq di i x y$ 
    and d-bounded:  $\bigwedge i x y. di i x y < 1$ 
    and Md-metric:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space } (Mi i) (di i)$ 
begin
abbreviation  $M \equiv \bigcup_{i \in I} Mi i$ 
lemma Mi-inj-on:
  assumes  $i \in I j \in I a \in Mi i a \in Mi j$ 
  shows  $i = j$ 
  using Mi-disj assms by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
definition sum-dist ::  $['a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
   $\text{sum-dist } x y \equiv (\text{if } x \in M \wedge y \in M \text{ then } (\text{if } \exists i \in I. x \in Mi i \wedge y \in Mi i \text{ then } di i x y))$ 

```

(THE i . $i \in I \wedge x \in Mi_i \wedge y \in Mi_i$) $x y$ else 1) else 0)

lemma *sum-dist-simps*:

shows $\bigwedge i. [[i \in I; x \in Mi_i; y \in Mi_i]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = di_i x y$
 and $\bigwedge i j. [[i \in I; j \in I; i \neq j; x \in Mi_i; y \in Mi_j]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$
 and $\bigwedge i. [[i \in I; y \in M; x \in Mi_i; y \notin Mi_i]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$
 and $\bigwedge i. [[i \in I; x \in M; y \in Mi_i; x \notin Mi_i]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$
 and $x \notin M \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 0$ $y \notin M \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 0$

proof –

{ fix i

assume $h: i \in I$ $x \in Mi_i$ $y \in Mi_i$

then have $\text{sum-dist } x y = di_i$ (THE i . $i \in I \wedge x \in Mi_i \wedge y \in Mi_i$) $x y$

by(auto simp: sum-dist-def)

also have ... = $di_i x y$

proof –

have (THE i . $i \in I \wedge x \in Mi_i \wedge y \in Mi_i$) = i

using *Mi-disj* h by(auto intro!: the1-equality simp: disjoint-family-on-def)

thus ?thesis by simp

qed

finally show $\text{sum-dist } x y = di_i x y . \}$

show $\bigwedge i j. [[i \in I; j \in I; i \neq j; x \in Mi_i; y \in Mi_j]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$
 $\bigwedge i. [[i \in I; y \in M; x \in Mi_i; y \notin Mi_i]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$ $\bigwedge i. [[i \in I; x \in M; y \in Mi_i; x \notin Mi_i]] \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 1$

$x \notin M \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 0$ $y \notin M \Rightarrow \text{sum-dist } x y = 0$

using *Mi-disj* by(auto simp: sum-dist-def disjoint-family-on-def dest: *Mi-inj-on*)

qed

lemma *sum-dist-if-less1*:

assumes $i \in I$ $x \in Mi_i$ $y \in M$ $\text{sum-dist } x y < 1$

shows $y \in Mi_i$

using *assms sum-dist-simps(3)* by fastforce

lemma *inM-cases*:

assumes $x \in M$ $y \in M$

and $\bigwedge i. [[i \in I; x \in Mi_i; y \in Mi_i]] \Rightarrow P x y$

and $\bigwedge i j. [[i \in I; j \in I; i \neq j; x \in Mi_i; y \in Mi_j; x \neq y]] \Rightarrow P x y$

shows $P x y$ using *assms* by auto

sublocale *Sum-metric: Metric-space M sum-dist*

proof

fix $x y$

assume $x \in M$ $y \in M$

then show $\text{sum-dist } x y = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = y$

by(rule *inM-cases, insert Md-metric*) (auto simp: sum-dist-simps Metric-space-def)

next

{ fix $i x y$

assume $h: i \in I$ $x \in Mi_i$ $y \in Mi_i$

then have $\text{sum-dist } x y = di_i x y$

$\text{sum-dist } y x = di_i x y$

```

using Md-metric by(auto simp: sum-dist-simps Metric-space-def) }
thus  $\bigwedge x y. \text{sum-dist } x y = \text{sum-dist } y x$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) sum-dist-def)
next
show 1: $\bigwedge x y. 0 \leq \text{sum-dist } x y$ 
    using d-nonneg by(simp add: sum-dist-def)
fix x y z
assume h:  $x \in M y \in M z \in M$ 
show  $\text{sum-dist } x z \leq \text{sum-dist } x y + \text{sum-dist } y z$  (is ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs)
proof(rule inM-cases[OF h(1,3)])
fix i
assume h': $i \in I x \in M_i i z \in M_i i$ 
consider y  $\in M_i i$  |  $y \notin M_i i$  by auto
thus ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs
proof cases
case 1
with h' Md-metric [OF h'(1)] show ?thesis
    by(simp add: sum-dist-simps Metric-space-def)
next
case 2
with h' h(2) d-bounded[of i x z] 1[of y z]
show ?thesis
    by(auto simp: sum-dist-simps)
qed
next
fix i j
assume h':  $i \in I j \in I i \neq j x \in M_i i z \in M_j j$ 
consider y  $\notin M_i i$  |  $y \notin M_j j$ 
using h' h(2) Mi-disj by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
thus ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs
    by (cases, insert 1[of x y] 1[of y z] h' h(2)) (auto simp: sum-dist-simps)
qed
qed

```

lemma sum-dist-le1: $\text{sum-dist } x y \leq 1$
using d-bounded[of - x y] **by**(auto simp: sum-dist-def less-eq-real-def)

lemma sum-dist-ball-eq-ball:
assumes $i \in I e \leq 1 x \in M_i i$
shows Metric-space.mball ($M_i i$) (di i) x e = Sum-metric.mball x e
proof –
interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i
 by(simp add: assms Md-metric)
show ?thesis
using assms sum-dist-simps(1)[OF assms(1) assms(3)] sum-dist-if-less1[OF assms(1,3)]
 by(fastforce simp: Sum-metric.mball-def)
qed

```

lemma ball-le-sum-dist-ball:
  assumes i ∈ I
  shows Metric-space.mball (Mi i) (di i) x e ⊆ Sum-metric.mball x e
proof –
  interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i
    by(simp add: assms Md-metric)
  show ?thesis
    using assms by(auto simp: sum-dist-simps)
qed

lemma openin-mtopology-iff:
  openin Sum-metric.mtopology U ←→ U ⊆ M ∧ (∀ i∈I. openin (Metric-space.mtopology
  (Mi i) (di i)) (U ∩ Mi i))
proof safe
  fix i
  assume h:openin Sum-metric.mtopology U i ∈ I
  then interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i
    using Md-metric by simp
  show openin m.mtopology (U ∩ Mi i)
    unfolding m.openin-mtopology
proof safe
  fix x
  assume x:x ∈ U x ∈ Mi i
  with h obtain e where e: e > 0 Sum-metric.mball x e ⊆ U
    by(auto simp: Sum-metric.openin-mtopology)
  show ∃ε>0. m.mball x ε ⊆ U ∩ Mi i
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=e])
    fix y
    assume y ∈ m.mball x e
    with h(2) have y ∈ Sum-metric.mball x e
      by(auto simp:sum-dist-simps)
    with e show y ∈ U by blast
  qed(use e in auto)
qed
next
  show ∀x. openin Sum-metric.mtopology U ⇒ x ∈ U ⇒ x ∈ M
    by(auto simp: Sum-metric.openin-mtopology)
next
  assume h: U ⊆ M ∀ i∈I. openin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) (U ∩
  Mi i)
  show openin Sum-metric.mtopology U
    unfolding Sum-metric.openin-mtopology
proof safe
  fix x
  assume x: x ∈ U
  then obtain i where i: i ∈ I x ∈ Mi i
    using h(1) by auto
  then interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i

```

```

using Md-metric by simp
obtain e where e:  $e > 0 \text{ m.mball } x \ e \subseteq U \cap Mi$ 
  using i h(2) by (meson IntI m.openin-mtopology x)
show  $\exists \varepsilon > 0. \text{Sum-metric.mball } x \ \varepsilon \subseteq U$ 
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=min e 1])
  fix y
  assume y:y  $\in \text{Sum-metric.mball } x (\min e 1)$ 
  then show y  $\in U$ 
    using sum-dist-ball-eq-ball[OF i(1) - i(2),of min e 1] e by fastforce
qed(simp add: e)
qed(use h(1) in auto)
qed

corollary openin-mtopology-Mi:
assumes i  $\in I$ 
shows openin Sum-metric.mtopology (Mi i)
unfolding openin-mtopology-iff
proof safe
  fix j
  assume j:j  $\in I$ 
  then interpret m: Metric-space Mi j di j
    by(simp add: Md-metric)
  show openin m.mtopology (Mi i ∩ Mi j)
    by (cases i = j, insert assms Mi-disj j) (auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
qed(use assms in auto)

corollary subtopology-mtopology-Mi:
assumes i  $\in I$ 
shows subtopology Sum-metric.mtopology (Mi i) = Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i)
proof -
  interpret Mi: Metric-space Mi i di i
    by (simp add: Md-metric assms)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding topology-eq openin-subtopology
  proof safe
    fix T
    assume openin Sum-metric.mtopology T
    thus openin Mi.mtopology (T ∩ Mi i)
      using assms by(auto simp: openin-mtopology-iff)
  next
    fix S
    assume h:openin Mi.mtopology S
    show  $\exists T. \text{openin Sum-metric.mtopology } T \wedge S = T \cap Mi i$ 
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=S])
      show openin Sum-metric.mtopology S
        unfolding openin-mtopology-iff
      proof safe
        fix j

```

```

assume  $j:j \in I$ 
then interpret  $Mj: Metric\text{-}space Mi j di j$ 
  using  $Md\text{-}metric$  by auto
have  $i \neq j \implies S \cap Mi j = \{\}$ 
  using  $openin\text{-}subset[OF h] Mi\text{-}disj j assms$ 
  by(auto simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
thus  $openin Mj.mtopology (S \cap Mi j)$ 
  by(cases i = j) (use  $openin\text{-}subset[OF h] h$  in auto)
qed(use  $openin\text{-}subset[OF h]$  assms in auto)
qed(use  $openin\text{-}subset[OF h]$  assms in auto)
qed
qed

lemma limitin-Mi-limitin-M:
assumes  $i \in I$   $limitin (Metric\text{-}space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) xn x$  sequentially
shows  $limitin Sum\text{-}metric.mtopology xn x$  sequentially
proof –
  interpret  $m: Metric\text{-}space Mi i di i$ 
    by(simp add: assms Md-metric)
  {
    fix  $e :: real$ 
    assume  $e > 0$ 
    then obtain  $N$  where  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies xn n \in m.mball x e$ 
      using assms(2) m.commute m.limit-metric-sequentially by fastforce
    hence  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn n \in Sum\text{-}metric.mball x e$ 
      using  $ball\text{-}le\text{-}sum\text{-}dist\text{-}ball[OF assms(1),of x e]$ 
      by(fastforce intro!: exI[where x=N])
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis Sum-metric.commute Sum-metric.in-mball Sum-metric.limit-metric-sequentially UN-I m.limitin-mspace assms)
  qed

lemma limitin-M-limitin-Mi:
assumes  $limitin Sum\text{-}metric.mtopology xn x$  sequentially
shows  $\exists i \in I. limitin (Metric\text{-}space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) xn x$  sequentially
proof –
  obtain  $i$  where  $i: i \in I x \in Mi i$ 
    using assms by (meson Sum-metric.limitin-mspace UN-E)
  then interpret  $m: Metric\text{-}space Mi i di i$ 
    by(simp add: Md-metric)
  obtain  $N$  where  $N: \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies sum\text{-}dist (xn n) x < 1 \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies (xn n) \in M$ 
    using assms by (metis d-bounded i(2) m.mdist-zero Sum-metric.limit-metric-sequentially)
    hence  $xni: n \geq N \implies xn n \in Mi i$  for  $n$ 
    using assms by(auto intro!: sum-dist-if-less1[OF i,of xn n] simp: Sum-metric.commute)
    show ?thesis
  proof(safe intro!: bexI[where x=i] i)
    show  $limitin m.mtopology xn x$  sequentially
    unfolding m.limit-metric-sequentially

```

```

proof safe
  fix e :: real
  assume e:  $0 < e$ 
  then obtain N' where  $N': \bigwedge n. n \geq N' \implies \text{sum-dist}(\text{xn } n) x < e$ 
    using assms by (meson Sum-metric.limit-metric-sequentially)
  hence  $n \geq \max N N' \implies d_i(i(n)) x < e$  for n
    using sum-dist-simps(1)[OF i(1) xni[of n] i(2),symmetric] by auto
  thus  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. \text{xn } n \in M_i \wedge d_i(i(n)) x < e$ 
    using xni by(auto intro!: exI[where x=max N N'])
  qed fact
qed
qed

lemma MCauchy-Mi-MCauchy-M:
  assumes  $i \in I$  Metric-space.MCauchy ( $M_i i$ ) ( $d_i i$ )  $\text{xn}$ 
  shows Sum-metric.MCauchy  $\text{xn}$ 
proof -
  interpret m: Metric-space  $M_i i$   $d_i i$ 
    by(simp add: assms Md-metric)
  have [simp]: $\text{sum-dist}(\text{xn } n)(\text{xn } m) = d_i(i(n))(n m)$  for n m
    using assms sum-dist-simps(1)[OF assms(1),of xn n xn m]
    by(auto simp: m.MCauchy-def)
  show ?thesis
    using assms by(auto simp: m.MCauchy-def Sum-metric.MCauchy-def)
qed

lemma MCauchy-M-MCauchy-Mi:
  assumes Sum-metric.MCauchy  $\text{xn}$ 
  shows  $\exists m. \exists i \in I. \text{Metric-space.MCauchy}(M_i i) (d_i i) (\lambda n. \text{xn}(n + m))$ 
proof -
  obtain N where  $N: \bigwedge n m. n \geq N \implies m \geq N \implies \text{sum-dist}(\text{xn } n)(\text{xn } m) < 1$ 
    using assms by(fastforce simp: Sum-metric.MCauchy-def)
  obtain i where  $i: i \in I \wedge \text{xn } N \in M_i i$ 
    by (metis assms Sum-metric.MCauchy-def UNIV-I UN-E image-eqI subsetD)
  then have  $\text{xn}: \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies \text{xn } n \in M_i i$ 
    by (metis N Sum-metric.MCauchy-def assms dual-order.refl range-subsetD
      sum-dist-if-less1)
  interpret m: Metric-space  $M_i i$   $d_i i$ 
    using i Md-metric by auto
  show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N] bexI[where x=i])
  show m.MCauchy ( $\lambda n. \text{xn}(n + N)$ )
    unfolding m.MCauchy-def
proof safe
  show 1:  $\bigwedge n. \text{xn}(n + N) \in M_i i$ 
    by(auto intro!: xn)
  fix e :: real
  assume  $0 < e$ 
  then obtain N' where  $N': \bigwedge n m. n \geq N' \implies m \geq N' \implies \text{sum-dist}(\text{xn } n)$ 

```

```

 $(xn\ m) < e$ 
  using Sum-metric.MCauchy-def assms by blast
  thus  $\exists N'. \forall n\ n'. N' \leq n \longrightarrow N' \leq n' \longrightarrow di\ i\ (xn\ (n + N))\ (xn\ (n' + N))$ 
 $< e$ 
    by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=N'$ ] simp: sum-dist-simps(1)[OF i(1) xn xn,symmetric])
    qed
    qed fact
qed

lemma separable-Mi-separable-M:
  assumes countable I  $\wedge$ i.  $i \in I \implies$  separable-space (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))
  shows separable-space Sum-metric.mtopology
proof -
  obtain  $Ui$  where  $Ui: \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies$  countable ( $Ui\ i$ )  $\wedge$ i.  $i \in I \implies$  dense-in (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) ( $Ui\ i$ )
  using assms by(simp only: separable-space-def2) metis
  define U where  $U \equiv \bigcup_{i \in I} Ui\ i$ 
  show separable-space Sum-metric.mtopology
    unfolding separable-space-def2
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where  $x=U$ ])
      show countable U
      using  $Ui(1)$  assms by(auto simp: U-def)
    next
      show Sum-metric.mdense U
      unfolding Sum-metric.mdense-def U-def
      proof safe
        fix i x
        assume  $i \in I$   $x \in Ui\ i$ 
        then show  $x \in M$ 
        using  $Ui(2)$  by(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x=i$ ] simp: Md-metric Metric-space.mdense-def2)
      next
        fix i x e
        assume  $h:i \in I$   $x \in Mi\ i$   $(0 :: real) < e$  Sum-metric.mball x e  $\cap \bigcup (Ui \setminus I) = \{ \}$ 
        then interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i
        by(simp add: Md-metric)
        have m.mball x e  $\cap Ui\ i \neq \{ \}$ 
        using  $Ui(2)[OF h(1)]\ h$  by(auto simp: m.mdense-def)
        hence m.mball x e  $\cap \bigcup (Ui \setminus I) \neq \{ \}$ 
        using h(1) by blast
        thus False
        using ball-le-sum-dist-ball[OF ‹i ∈ I›, of x e] h(4) by blast
      qed
      qed
qed

```

```

lemma separable-M-separable-Mi:
  assumes separable-space Sum-metric.mtopology  $\bigwedge i. i \in I$ 
  shows separable-space (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))
  using subtopology-mtopology-Mi[OF assms(2)] separable-space-open-subset[OF
  assms(1) openin-mtopology-Mi[OF assms(2)]]
  by simp

lemma mcomplete-Mi-mcomplete-M:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies$  Metric-space.mcomplete (Mi i) (di i)
  shows Sum-metric.mcomplete
  unfolding Sum-metric.mcomplete-def
proof safe
  fix xn
  assume Sum-metric.MCauchy xn
  from MCauchy-M-MCauchy-Mi[OF this] obtain m i where mi:
   $i \in I$  Metric-space.MCauchy (Mi i) (di i) ( $\lambda n. xn (n + m)$ )
  by metis
  then interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i
  by(simp add: Md-metric)
  from assms[OF mi(1)] mi(2) obtain x where x: limitin m.mtopology ( $\lambda n. xn (n + m)$ ) x sequentially
  by(auto simp: m.mcomplete-def)
  from limitin-Mi-limitin-M[OF mi(1) limitin-sequentially-offset-rev[OF this]]
  show  $\exists x. \text{limitin Sum-metric.mtopology } xn x \text{ sequentially}$ 
  by auto
qed

lemma mcomplete-M-mcomplete-Mi:
  assumes Sum-metric.mcomplete i  $\in I$ 
  shows Metric-space.mcomplete (Mi i) (di i)
proof -
  interpret Mi: Metric-space Mi i di i
  using assms(2) Md-metric by fastforce
  show ?thesis
  unfolding Mi.mcomplete-def
proof safe
  fix xn
  assume xn:Mi.MCauchy xn
  from MCauchy-Mi-MCauchy-M[OF assms(2) this] assms(1) obtain x where
  limitin Sum-metric.mtopology xn x sequentially
  by(auto simp: Sum-metric.mcomplete-def)
  from limitin-M-limitin-Mi[OF this] obtain j where j:j  $\in I$  limitin (Metric-space.mtopology
  (Mi j) (di j)) xn x sequentially
  by auto
  have j = i
  proof -
    obtain N where  $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies xn n \in Mi j$ 
    by (metis Md-metric Metric-space.limitin-metric-dist-null eventually-sequentially
    j)

```

```

hence  $xn \in Mi$   $i \cap Mi$   $j$ 
  using  $xn$  by(auto simp:  $Mi.MCauchy-def$ )
  with  $Mi-disj j(1)$  assms(2) show ?thesis
    by(fastforce simp: disjoint-family-on-def)
qed
thus  $\exists x. \text{limitin } Mi.mtopology xn x \text{ sequentially}$ 
  using  $j(2)$  by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=x$ ])
qed
qed

end

lemma sum-metricI:
  fixes  $Si$ 
  assumes disjoint-family-on  $Si$   $I$ 
    and  $\bigwedge i x y. i \notin I \implies 0 \leq di i x y$ 
    and  $\bigwedge i x y. di i x y < 1$ 
    and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space } (Si i) (di i)$ 
  shows Sum-metric  $I Si di$ 
  using assms by (metis Metric-space.nonneg Sum-metric-def)

```

end

1.3.8 Product Metric Spaces

```

theory Set-Based-Metric-Product
  imports Set-Based-Metric-Space
begin

lemma nsum-of-r':
  fixes  $r :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $r:0 < r r < 1$ 
  shows  $(\sum n. r^{\wedge}n * K) = r^{\wedge}k / (1 - r) * K$ 
  (is ?lhs = -)
proof -
  have ?lhs =  $(\sum n. r^{\wedge}n * K) - (\sum n \in \{.. < k\}. r^{\wedge}n * K)$ 
  using r by(auto intro!: suminf-minus-initial-segment summable-mult2)
  also have ... =  $1 / (1 - r) * K - (1 - r^{\wedge}k) / (1 - r) * K$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\sum n \in \{.. < k\}. r^{\wedge}n * K) = (1 - r^{\wedge}k) / (1 - r) * K$ 
    using one-diff-power-eq[of r k] r scale-sum-left[of λn. r^{\wedge}n {.. < k} K, symmetric]
      by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using r by(auto simp add: suminf-geometric[of r] suminf-mult2[where c=K, symmetric])
    qed
    finally show ?thesis
  qed

```

```

using r by (simp add: diff-divide-distrib left-diff-distrib)
qed

lemma nsum-of-r-leq:
fixes r :: real and a :: nat ⇒ real
assumes r:0 < r r < 1
and a: ∀n. 0 ≤ a n ∧ n. a n ≤ K
shows 0 ≤ (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l)) (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l)) ≤ r^k
/ (1 - r) * K
proof -
have [simp]: summable (λn. r^(n+k) * a (n+l))
apply(rule summable-comparison-test'[of λn. r^(n+k) * K])
using r a by(auto intro!: summable-mult2)
show 0 ≤ (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l))
using r a by(auto intro!: suminf-nonneg)
have (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l)) ≤ (∑ n. r^(n+k) * K)
using a r by(auto intro!: suminf-le summable-mult2)
also have ... = r^k / (1 - r) * K
by(rule nsum-of-r'[OF r])
finally show (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l)) ≤ r^k / (1 - r) * K .
qed

```

```

lemma nsum-of-r-le:
fixes r :: real and a :: nat ⇒ real
assumes r:0 < r r < 1
and a: ∀n. 0 ≤ a n ∧ n. a n ≤ K ∃ n' ≥ l. a n' < K
shows (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l)) < r^k / (1 - r) * K
proof -
obtain n' where hn': a (n' + l) < K
using a(3) by (metis add.commute le-iff-add)
define a' where a' = (λn. if n = n' + l then K else a n)
have a': ∀n. 0 ≤ a' n ∧ n. a' n ≤ K
using a(1,2) le-trans order.trans[OF a(1,2)[of 0]] by(auto simp: a'-def)
have [simp]: summable (λn. r^(n+k) * a (n+l))
apply(rule summable-comparison-test'[of λn. r^(n+k) * K])
using r a by(auto intro!: summable-mult2)
have [simp]: summable (λn. r^(n+k) * a' (n+l))
apply(rule summable-comparison-test'[of λn. r^(n+k) * K])
using r a' by(auto intro!: summable-mult2)
have (∑ n. r^(n+k) * a (n+l)) = (∑ n. r^(n + Suc n' + k) * a (n + Suc
n' + l)) + (∑ i < Suc n'. r^(i+k) * a (i+l))
by(rule suminf-split-initial-segment) simp
also have ... = (∑ n. r^(n + Suc n' + k) * a (n + Suc n' + l)) + (∑ i < n'. r^(i
+ k) * a (i+l)) + r^(n'+k) * a (n'+l)
by simp
also have ... < (∑ n. r^(n + Suc n' + k) * a (n + Suc n' + l)) + (∑ i < n'. r^(i
+ k) * a (i+l)) + r^(n'+k) * K
using r hn' by auto
also have ... = (∑ n. r^(n + Suc n' + k) * a' (n + Suc n' + l)) + (∑ i < Suc
n'. r^(i+k) * a' (i+l)) + r^(n'+k) * K
by simp

```

```

n'.  $r \hat{\wedge} (i + k) * a' (i + l)$ 
    by(auto simp: a'-def)
 $\text{also have } \dots = (\sum n. r \hat{\wedge} (n + k) * a' (n + l))$ 
    by(rule suminf-split-initial-segment[symmetric]) simp
 $\text{also have } \dots \leq r \hat{\wedge} k / (1 - r) * K$ 
    by(rule nsum-of-r-leq[OF r a'])
 $\text{finally show } ?thesis .$ 
qed

definition product-dist' :: [real, 'i set, nat ⇒ 'i, 'i ⇒ 'a set, 'i ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ real]
⇒ ('i ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('i ⇒ 'a) ⇒ real where
product-dist-def: product-dist' r I g Mi di ≡ (λx y. if x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) ∧ y ∈
(Π_E i∈I. Mi i) then (sum n. if g n ∈ I then r^n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) else
0) else 0)

d(x, y) = sum_{n∈N} r^n * d_{g_I(i)}(x_{g_I(i)}, y_{g_I(i)}).

locale Product-metric =
fixes r :: real
and I :: 'i set
and f :: 'i ⇒ nat
and g :: nat ⇒ 'i
and Mi :: 'i ⇒ 'a set
and di :: 'i ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ real
and K :: real
assumes r: 0 < r r < 1
and I: countable I
and gf-comp-id : ∀i. i ∈ I ⇒ g (f i) = i
and gf-if-finite: finite I ⇒ bij-betw f I {..< card I}
finite I ⇒ bij-betw g {..< card I} I
and gf-if-infinite: infinite I ⇒ bij-betw f I UNIV
infinite I ⇒ bij-betw g UNIV I
    ∀n. infinite I ⇒ f (g n) = n
and Md-metric: ∀i. i ∈ I ⇒ Metric-space (Mi i) (di i)
and di-nonneg: ∀i x y. 0 ≤ di i x y
and di-bounded: ∀i x y. di i x y ≤ K
and K-pos : 0 < K

lemma from-nat-into-to-nat-on-product-metric-pair:
assumes countable I
shows ∀i. i ∈ I ⇒ from-nat-into I (to-nat-on I i) = i
and finite I ⇒ bij-betw (to-nat-on I) I {..< card I}
and finite I ⇒ bij-betw (from-nat-into I) {..< card I} I
and infinite I ⇒ bij-betw (to-nat-on I) I UNIV
and infinite I ⇒ bij-betw (from-nat-into I) UNIV I
and ∀n. infinite I ⇒ to-nat-on I (from-nat-into I n) = n
by(simp-all add: assms to-nat-on-finite bij-betw-from-nat-into-finite to-nat-on-infinite
bij-betw-from-nat-into)

```

lemma product-metric-pair-finite-nat:

```

bij-betw id {..n} {..< card {..n}} bij-betw id {..< card {..n}} {..n}
  by(auto simp: bij-betw-def)

lemma product-metric-pair-finite-nat':
bij-betw id {..<n} {..< card {..<n}} bij-betw id {..< card {..<n}} {..<n}
  by(auto simp: bij-betw-def)

context Product-metric
begin

abbreviation product-dist ≡ product-dist' r I g Mi di

lemma nsum-of-rK: (∑ n. r^(n+k)*K) = r^k / (1 - r) * K
  by(rule nsum-of-r'[OF r])

lemma i-min:
  assumes i ∈ I g n = i
  shows f i ≤ n
proof(cases finite I)
  case h:True
  show ?thesis
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ¬ f i ≤ n
    then have h0:n < f i by simp
    have f i ∈ {..<card I}
      using bij-betwE[OF gf-if-finite(1)[OF h]] assms(1) by simp
    moreover have n ∈ {..<card I} n ≠ f i
      using h0 ⟨f i ∈ {..<card I}⟩ by auto
    ultimately have g n ≠ g (f i)
      using bij-betw-imp-inj-on[OF gf-if-finite(2)[OF h]]
      by (simp add: inj-on-contrad)
    thus False
      by(simp add: gf-comp-id[OF assms(1)] assms(2))
  qed
next
  show infinite I ==> f i ≤ n
    using assms(2) gf-if-infinite(3)[of n] by simp
qed

lemma g-surj:
  assumes i ∈ I
  shows ∃ n. g n = i
  using gf-comp-id[of i] assms by auto

lemma product-dist-summable'[simp]:
  summable (λn. r^n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)))
  apply(rule summable-comparison-test'[of λn. r^n * K])
  using r di-nonneg di-bounded K-pos by(auto intro!: summable-mult2)

```

```

lemma product-dist-summable[simp]:
  summable ( $\lambda n. \text{if } g\ n \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge}n * di(g\ n) (x(g\ n)) (y(g\ n)) \text{ else } 0$ )
  by(rule summable-comparison-test'[of  $\lambda n. r^{\wedge}n * di(g\ n) (x(g\ n)) (y(g\ n))$ ])
  (use r di-nonneg di-bounded K-pos in auto)

lemma summable-rK[simp]: summable ( $\lambda n. r^{\wedge}n * K$ )
  using r by(auto intro!: summable-mult2)

lemma Product-metric: Metric-space ( $\Pi_E i \in I. Mi\ i$ ) product-dist
proof -
  have  $h': \bigwedge i\ xi\ yi. i \in I \implies xi \in Mi\ i \implies yi \in Mi\ i \implies xi = yi \longleftrightarrow di\ i\ xi\ yi = 0$ 
     $\bigwedge i\ xi\ yi. i \in I \implies di\ i\ xi\ yi = di\ i\ yi\ xi$ 
     $\bigwedge i\ xi\ yi\ zi. i \in I \implies xi \in Mi\ i \implies yi \in Mi\ i \implies zi \in Mi\ i \implies di\ i\ xi\ zi \leq di\ i\ xi\ yi + di\ i\ yi\ zi$ 
    using Md-metric by(auto simp: Metric-space-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof
      show  $\bigwedge x\ y. 0 \leq \text{product-dist } x\ y$ 
      using di-nonneg r by(auto simp: product-dist-def intro!: suminf-nonneg product-dist-summable)
    next
      fix x y
      assume hxy: $x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi\ i)$   $y \in (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi\ i)$ 
      show (product-dist x y = 0)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (x = y)
      proof
        assume heq: $x = y$ 
        then have (if  $g\ n \in I$  then  $r^{\wedge}n * di(g\ n) (x(g\ n)) (y(g\ n)) \text{ else } 0$ ) = 0
      for n
        using hxy h'(1)[of  $g\ n\ x\ (g\ n)\ y\ (g\ n)$ ] by(auto simp: product-dist-def)
        thus product-dist x y = 0
        by(auto simp: product-dist-def)
      next
        assume h0: $\text{product-dist } x\ y = 0$ 
        have ( $\sum n. \text{if } g\ n \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge}n * di(g\ n) (x(g\ n)) (y(g\ n)) \text{ else } 0$ ) = 0
           $\longleftrightarrow (\forall n. (\text{if } g\ n \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge}n * di(g\ n) (x(g\ n)) (y(g\ n)) \text{ else } 0) = 0)$ 
        apply(rule suminf-eq-zero-iff)
        using di-nonneg r by(auto simp: product-dist-def intro!: product-dist-summable)
        hence hn0: $\bigwedge n. (\text{if } g\ n \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge}n * di(g\ n) (x(g\ n)) (y(g\ n)) \text{ else } 0) = 0$ 
        using h0 hxy by(auto simp: product-dist-def)
        show x = y
        proof
          fix i
          consider i ∈ I | i ∉ I by auto
          thus x i = y i
          proof cases
            case 1
            from g-surj[OF this] obtain n where

```

```

hn: g n = i by auto
have di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) = 0
  using hn h'(1)[OF 1,of x i y i] hxy hn0[of n] 1 r by simp
thus ?thesis
  using hn h'(1)[OF 1,of x i y i] hxy 1 by auto
next
  case 2
  then show ?thesis
    by(simp add: PiE-arb[OF hxy(1) 2] hxy PiE-arb[OF hxy(2) 2])
qed
qed
qed
next
  show product-dist x y = product-dist y x for x y
    using h'(2) by(auto simp: product-dist-def) (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
next
  fix x y z
  assume hxyz:x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) y ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) z ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i)
  have (if g n ∈ I then r ^ n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (z (g n)) else 0)
    ≤ (if g n ∈ I then r ^ n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) else 0) + (if g n ∈
    I then r ^ n * di (g n) (y (g n)) (z (g n)) else 0) for n
    using h'(3)[of g n x (g n) y (g n) z (g n)] hxyz r
    by(auto simp: distrib-left[of r ^ n,symmetric])
  thus product-dist x z ≤ product-dist x y + product-dist y z
    by(auto simp add: product-dist-def suminf-add[OF product-dist-summable[of x
    y] product-dist-summable[of y z]] hxyz intro!: suminf-le summable-add)
  qed
qed

sublocale Product-metric: Metric-space Π_E i∈I. Mi i product-dist
  by(rule Product-metric)

lemma product-dist-leqr: product-dist x y ≤ 1 / (1 - r) * K
proof -
  have product-dist x y ≤ (∑ n. if g n ∈ I then r ^ n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n))
  else 0)
  proof -
    consider x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) ∧ y ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) | ¬ (x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i)
    ∧ y ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i)) by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof cases
      case 1
      then show ?thesis by(auto simp: product-dist-def)
    next
      case 2
      then have product-dist x y = 0
        by(auto simp: product-dist-def)
      also have ... ≤ (∑ n. if g n ∈ I then r ^ n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) else
      0)

```

```

    using di-nonneg r by(auto intro!: suminf-nonneg product-dist-summable)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
also have ... ≤ (∑ n. r^n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)))
  using r di-nonneg di-bounded by(auto intro!: suminf-le)
also have ... ≤ (∑ n. r^n * K)
  using r di-bounded di-nonneg by(auto intro!: suminf-le)
also have ... = 1 / (1 - r) * K
  using r nsum-of-rK[of 0] by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma product-dist-geq:
assumes i ∈ I and g n = i x ∈ (Π_E i ∈ I. Mi i) y ∈ (Π_E i ∈ I. Mi i)
shows di i (x i) (y i) ≤ (1/r)^n * product-dist x y
(is ?lhs ≤ ?rhs)

proof -
interpret mi: Metric-space Mi i di i
  by(rule Md-metric[OF assms(1)])
have (λm. if m = f i then di (g m) (x (g m)) (y (g m)) else 0) sums di (g (f i))
(x (g (f i))) (y (g (f i)))
  by(rule sums-single)
also have ... = ?lhs
  by(simp add: gf-comp-id[OF assms(1)])
finally have 1:summable (λm. if m = f i then di (g m) (x (g m)) (y (g m)) else 0)
?lhs = (∑ m. (if m = f i then di (g m) (x (g m)) (y (g m)) else 0))
  by(auto simp: sums-iff)
note 1(2)
also have ... ≤ (∑ m. (1/r)^n * (if g m ∈ I then r^m * di (g m) (x (g m)) (y (g m)) else 0))
  proof(rule suminf-le)
    show summable (λm. (1/r)^n * (if g m ∈ I then r^m * di (g m) (x (g m)) (y (g m)) else 0))
      by(auto intro!: product-dist-summable)
  next
    fix k
    have **:1 ≤ (1/r)^n * r^(f i)
    proof -
      have (1/r)^n * (r^(f i)) = (1/r)^(n-f i) * (1/r)^(f i) * r^(f i)
        using r by(simp add: power-diff[OF - i-min[OF assms(1,2)], of 1/r, simplified])
      also have ... = (1/r)^(n-f i)
        using r by(simp add: power-one-over)
      finally show ?thesis
        using r by auto
    qed
    have *:g k ∈ I if k = f i
      using gf-comp-id[OF assms(1)] assms(1) that by auto

```

```

show (if  $k = f i$  then  $di(g k) (x(g k)) (y(g k))$  else 0)  $\leq (1/r)^{\wedge} n * (\text{if } g k \in I \text{ then } r^{\wedge} k * di(g k) (x(g k)) (y(g k)) \text{ else } 0)$ 
using *  $di\text{-nonneg}$   $r^{**} \text{mult-right-mono}[OF^{**}]$  by (auto simp: vector-space-over-itself.scale-scale[ $(1/r)^{\wedge} n$ ])
qed(simp add: 1)
also have ... = ?rhs
unfolding product-dist-def
using assms by (auto intro!: suminf-mult product-dist-summable)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma limitin-M-iff-limitin-Mi:
shows limitin Product-metric.mtopology  $xn$   $x$  sequentially  $\longleftrightarrow (\exists N. \forall n \geq N. (\forall i \in I. xn\ n\ i \in Mi\ i) \wedge (\forall i. i \notin I \longrightarrow xn\ n\ i = \text{undefined})) \wedge (\forall i \in I. \text{limitin}(Metric-space.mtopology(Mi\ i)(di\ i))(\lambda n. xn\ n\ i)(x\ i) \text{ sequentially}) \wedge x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi\ i)$ 
proof safe
fix i
assume h:limitin Product-metric.mtopology  $xn$   $x$  sequentially
then show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. (\forall i \in I. xn\ n\ i \in Mi\ i) \wedge (\forall i. i \notin I \longrightarrow xn\ n\ i = \text{undefined})$ 
by (simp only: Product-metric.limit-metric-sequentially) (metis PiE-E r(1))
then obtain N' where  $N': \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies n \geq N' \implies xn\ n\ i \in Mi\ i \wedge i \in I \implies n \geq N' \implies xn\ n\ i = \text{undefined}$ 
by blast
assume i:i  $\in I$ 
then interpret m: Metric-space Mi i di i
using Md-metric by blast
show limitin m.mtopology ( $\lambda n. xn\ n\ i$ ) (x i) sequentially
unfolding m.limitin-metric eventually-sequentially
proof safe
show  $x\ i \in Mi\ i$ 
using h i by (auto simp: Product-metric.limitin-metric)
next
fix ε :: real
assume 0 < ε
then obtain r^f i * ε > 0 using r by auto
then obtain N where  $N: \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies \text{product-dist}(xn\ n)\ x < r^f i * \varepsilon$ 
using h(1) by (fastforce simp: Product-metric.limitin-metric eventually-sequentially)
show  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. xn\ n\ i \in Mi\ i \wedge di\ i(xn\ n\ i)(x\ i) < \varepsilon$ 
proof (safe intro!: exI[where x=max N N'])
fix n
assume max N N' ≤ n
then have N ≤ n N' ≤ n
by auto
have di i (xn n i) (x i) ≤  $(1/r)^{\wedge} f i * \text{product-dist}(xn\ n)\ x$ 
thm product-dist-geq[ $OF\ i\ gf\text{-comp-id}[OF\ i]$ ]
using h i N'[ $OF - \langle N' \leq n \rangle$ ] by (auto intro!: product-dist-geq[ $OF\ i\ gf\text{-comp-id}[OF\ i]$ ] dest: Product-metric.limitin-mspace)

```

```

also have ... < (1 / r) ^ f i * r ^ f i * ε
  using N[OF < N ≤ n] r by auto
also have ... ≤ ε
  by (simp add: <0 < ε power-one-over)
finally show di i (xn n i) (x i) < ε .
qed(use N' h i in auto)
qed
next
fix N'
assume N': ∀ n≥N'. (∀ i∈I. xn n i ∈ Mi i) ∧ (∀ i. i ∉ I → xn n i = undefined)
assume h: ∀ i∈I. limitin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i)) (di i) (λn. xn n i) (x i)
sequentially x ∈ (ΠE i∈I. Mi i)
show limitin Product-metric.mtopology xn x sequentially
  unfolding Product-metric.limitin-metric eventually-sequentially
proof safe
fix ε
assume he:(0::real) < ε
then have 0 < ε*((1-r)/K) using r K-pos by auto
hence ∃ k. r ^ k < ε*((1-r)/K)
  using r(2) real-arch-pow-inv by blast
then obtain l where r ^ l < ε*((1-r)/K) by auto
hence hk:r ^ l/(1-r)*K < ε
  using mult-imp-div-pos-less[OF divide-pos-pos[OF - K-pos, of 1-r]] r(2) by
simp
hence hke: 0 < ε - r ^ l/(1-r)*K by auto
consider l = 0 | 0 < l by auto
then show ∃ N. ∀ n≥N. xn n ∈ (ΠE i∈I. Mi i) ∧ product-dist (xn n) x < ε
proof cases
case 1
then have he2: 1 / (1 - r)*K < ε using hk by auto
show ?thesis
  using order.strict-trans1[OF product-dist-leqr he2] N'
  by(auto intro!: exI[where x=N'])
next
case 2
with hke have 0 < 1 / real l * (ε - r ^ l/(1-r)*K) by auto
hence ∀ i∈I. ∃ N. ∀ n≥N. di i (xn n i) (x i) < 1 / real l * (ε - r ^ l/(1-r)*K)
  using h by (meson Md-metric Metric-space.limit-metric-sequentially)
then obtain N where hn:
  ∀ i n. i ∈ I ⇒ n ≥ N i ⇒ di i (xn n i) (x i) < 1 / real l * (ε - r ^ l/(1-r)*K)
  by metis
show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=max (Sup {N (g n) | n. n < l}) N'])
fix n
assume max (⊔ {N (g n) | n. n < l}) N' ≤ n
then have hsup: ⊔ {N (g n) | n. n < l} ≤ n and N'n: N' ≤ n
  by auto
have product-dist (xn n) x = (∑ m. if g m ∈ I then r ^ m * di (g m) (xn n (g m)) (x (g m)) else 0)

```

```

using N' N'n h by(auto simp: product-dist-def)
also have ... = (∑ m. if g (m + l) ∈ I then r ^ (m + l)* di (g (m + l))
(xn n (g (m + l))) (x (g (m + l))) else 0) + (∑ m < l. if g m ∈ I then r ^ m * di
(g m) (xn n (g m)) (x (g m)) else 0)
    by(auto intro!: suminf-split-initial-segment)
also have ... ≤ r ^ l / (1 - r) * K + (∑ m < l. if g m ∈ I then r ^ m * di (g m)
(xn n (g m)) (x (g m)) else 0)
proof -
    have (∑ m. if g (m + l) ∈ I then r ^ (m + l)* di (g (m + l)) (xn n (g
(m + l))) (x (g (m + l))) else 0) ≤ (∑ m. r ^ (m + l)* K)
    using di-bounded N' r K-pos by(auto intro!: suminf-le summable-ignore-initial-segment)
    also have ... = r ^ l / (1 - r) * K
        by(rule nsum-of-rK)
    finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
also have ... ≤ r ^ l / (1 - r) * K + (∑ m < l. if g m ∈ I then di (g m) (xn
n (g m)) (x (g m)) else 0)
proof -
    have (∑ m < l. if g m ∈ I then r ^ m * di (g m) (xn n (g m)) (x (g m))
else 0) ≤ (∑ m < l. if g m ∈ I then di (g m) (xn n (g m)) (x (g m)) else 0)
    using di-bounded di-nonneg r by(auto intro!: sum-mono simp: mult-left-le-one-le
power-le-one)
    thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... < r ^ l / (1 - r) * K + (∑ m < l. 1 / real l * (ε - r ^ l / (1 - r) * K))
proof -
    have (∑ m < l. if g m ∈ I then di (g m) (xn n (g m)) (x (g m)) else 0) <
(∑ m < l. 1 / real l * (ε - r ^ l / (1 - r) * K))
    proof(rule sum-strict-mono-ex1)
        show ∀ p ∈ {.. < l}. (if g p ∈ I then di (g p) (xn n (g p)) (x (g p)) else 0)
        ≤ 1 / real l * (ε - r ^ l / (1 - r) * K)
    proof -
        have 0 ≤ (ε - r ^ l * K / (1 - r)) / real l
            using hke by auto
        moreover {
            fix p
            assume p < l g p ∈ I
            then have N (g p) ∈ {N (g n) | n. n < l}
                by auto
            from le-cSup-finite[OF - this] hsup have N (g p) ≤ n
                by auto
            hence di (g p) (xn n (g p)) (x (g p)) ≤ (ε - r ^ l * K / (1 - r)) /
real l
                using hn[OF `g p ∈ I, of n] by simp
        }
        ultimately show ?thesis
            by auto
    qed
next

```

```

show  $\exists a \in \{.. < l\}. (\text{if } g a \in I \text{ then } di(g a) (xn n (g a)) (x(g a)) \text{ else } 0)$ 
 $< 1 / \text{real } l * (\varepsilon - r \wedge l / (1 - r) * K)$ 
proof -
  have  $0 < (\varepsilon - r \wedge l * K / (1 - r)) / \text{real } l$ 
  using hke 2 by auto
  moreover {
    assume  $g 0 \in I$ 
    have  $N(g 0) \in \{N(g n) | n. n < l\}$ 
    using 2 by auto
    from le-cSup-finite[OF - this] hsup have  $N(g 0) \leq n$ 
    by auto
    hence  $di(g 0) (xn n (g 0)) (x(g 0)) < (\varepsilon - r \wedge l * K / (1 - r)) /$ 
 $\text{real } l$ 
    using hn[OF `g 0 \in I, of n] by simp
  }
  ultimately show ?thesis
  by(auto intro!: bexI[where x=0] simp: 2)
  qed
  qed simp
  thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... =  $\varepsilon$ 
  using 2 by auto
  finally show product-dist (xn n) x <  $\varepsilon$  .
  qed(use N' in auto)
  qed
  qed (use N' h in auto)
  qed(auto simp: Product-metric.limitin-metric)

lemma Product-metric-mtopology-eq: product-topology ( $\lambda i. \text{Metric-space.mtopology}$ 
 $(Mi i) (di i)$ ) I = Product-metric.mtopology
proof -
  have htopspace: $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i)) =$ 
 $Mi i$ 
  by (simp add: Md-metric Metric-space.topspace-mtopology)
  hence htopspace':( $\prod_{i \in I. Mi i}$ . topspace (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))) =
 $(\prod_{i \in I. Mi i}$  by auto
  consider I = {} | I ≠ {} by auto
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  interpret d: discrete-metric { $\lambda x. \text{undefined}$ } .
  have product-dist = ( $\lambda x y. 0$ )
  by standard+ (auto simp: product-dist-def 1)
  hence 2:Product-metric.mtopology = d.disc.mtopology
  by (metis 1 PiE-empty-domain Product-metric.open-in-mspace Product-metric.topspace-mtopology
d.mtopology-discrete-metric discrete-topology-unique singleton-iff)
  show ?thesis
  unfolding 2 by(simp add: product-topology-empty-discrete 1 d.mtopology-discrete-metric)

```

```

next
case I':2
show ?thesis
unfolding base-is-subbase[OF Product-metric.mtopology-base-in-balls,simplified
subbase-in-def] product-topology-def
proof(rule topology-generated-by-eq)
fix U
assume U ∈ {Product-metric.mball a ε | a ε. a ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) ∧ 0 < ε}
then obtain a ε where hu:
  U = Product-metric.mball a ε a ∈ (Π_E i∈I. Mi i) 0 < ε by auto
  have ∃ X. x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. X i) ∧ (Π_E i∈I. X i) ⊆ U ∧ (∀ i. openin
  (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) (X i)) ∧ finite {i. X i ≠ topspace (Metric-space.mtopology
  (Mi i) (di i))} if x ∈ U for x
  proof –
    consider ε ≤ 1 / (1 - r) * K | 1 / (1 - r) * K < ε by fastforce
    then show ∃ X. x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. X i) ∧ (Π_E i∈I. X i) ⊆ U ∧ (∀ i.
    openin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) (X i)) ∧ finite {i. X i ≠ topspace
    (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))}

    proof cases
    case he2:1
      have 0 < (ε - product-dist a x)*((1-r)/ K) using r hu K-pos that hu
      by auto
      hence ∃ k. r^k < (ε - product-dist a x)*((1-r)/ K)
      using r(2) real-arch-pow-inv by blast
      then obtain k where r^k < (ε - product-dist a x)*((1-r)/ K) by auto
      hence hk:r^k / (1-r) * K < (ε - product-dist a x)
      using mult-imp-div-pos-less[OF divide-pos-pos[OF - K-pos,of 1-r]] r(2)
      by auto
      have hk': 0 < k apply(rule ccontr) using hk he2 Product-metric.nonneg[of
      a x] by auto
      define ε' where ε' ≡ (1/(real k))*(ε - product-dist a x - r^k / (1-r) *
      K)
      have hε': 0 < ε' using hk by(auto simp: ε'-def hk')
      define X where X ≡ (if finite I then (λi. if i ∈ I then Metric-space.mball
      (Mi i) (di i) (x i) ε' else topspace (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))) else
      (λi. if i ∈ I ∧ f i < k then Metric-space.mball (Mi i) (di i) (x i) ε' else topspace
      (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))))
      show ?thesis
      proof(intro exI[where x=X] conjI)
        have x i ∈ Metric-space.mball (Mi i) (di i) (x i) ε' if i ∈ I for i
        using hu ⟨x ∈ U⟩ by (auto simp add: PiE-mem hε' Md-metric
        Metric-space.centre-in-mball-iff that)
        thus x ∈ (Π_E i∈I. X i)
        using hu that htopspace by(auto simp: X-def)
    next
      show (Π_E i∈I. X i) ⊆ U
      proof
        fix y
        assume y ∈ (Π_E i∈I. X i)

```

```

have  $\bigwedge i. X i \subseteq topspace (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))$ 
  by (simp add: Md-metric Metric-space.mball-subset-mspace X-def
htopspace)
hence  $y \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i)$ 
  using htopspace' < $y \in (\prod_E i \in I. X i)$ > by blast
have product-dist a y < ε
proof -
  have product-dist a y ≤ product-dist a x + product-dist x y
    using Product-metric.triangle < $y \in \prod_E I Mi$ > hu(1) that by auto
  also have ... < product-dist a x + (ε - product-dist a x)
  proof -
    have product-dist x y < (ε - product-dist a x)
    proof -
      have product-dist x y = ( $\sum n. \text{if } g n \in I \text{ then } r \wedge n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) \text{ else } 0$ )
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) hu(1) that < $y \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i)$ >
Product-metric.in-mball product-dist-def suminf-cong)
      also have ... = ( $\sum n. \text{if } g (n+k) \in I \text{ then } r \wedge (n+k) * di (g (n+k)) (x (g (n+k))) (y (g (n+k))) \text{ else } 0$ ) + ( $\sum n < k. \text{if } g n \in I \text{ then } r \wedge n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) \text{ else } 0$ )
        by(rule suminf-split-initial-segment) simp
      also have ... ≤  $r \wedge k / (1 - r) * K + (\sum n < k. \text{if } g n \in I \text{ then } r \wedge n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) \text{ else } 0)$ 
      proof -
        have ( $\sum n. \text{if } g (n+k) \in I \text{ then } r \wedge (n+k) * di (g (n+k)) (x (g (n+k))) (y (g (n+k))) \text{ else } 0$ ) ≤ ( $\sum n. r \wedge (n+k) * K$ )
          using di-bounded di-nonneg r K-pos by(auto intro!: suminf-le
summable-ignore-initial-segment)
        also have ... =  $r \wedge k / (1 - r) * K$ 
          by(rule nsum-of-rK)
        finally show ?thesis by simp
      qed
      also have ... <  $r \wedge k / (1 - r) * K + (\epsilon - product-dist a x - r \wedge k) / (1 - r) * K$ 
      proof -
        have ( $\sum n < k. \text{if } g n \in I \text{ then } r \wedge n * di (g n) (x (g n)) (y (g n)) \text{ else } 0$ ) < ( $\sum n < k. \epsilon'$ )
          proof(rule sum-strict-mono-ex1)
            show  $\forall l \in \{.. < k\}. (\text{if } g l \in I \text{ then } r \wedge l * di (g l) (x (g l)) (y (g l)) \text{ else } 0) \leq \epsilon'$ 
            proof -
              {
                fix l
                assume g l ∈ I l < k
                then interpret mbd: Metric-space Mi (g l) di (g l)
                  by(auto intro!: Md-metric)
                have  $r \wedge l * di (g l) (x (g l)) (y (g l)) \leq di (g l) (x (g l))$ 
                  (y (g l))
                using r by(auto intro!: mult-right-mono[of r ∧ l 1, OF -

```

```

mbd.nonneg[of x (g l) y (g l)],simplified] simp: power-le-one)
  also have ... < ε'
  proof -
    have y (g l) ∈ mbd.mball (x (g l)) ε'
    proof(cases finite I)
      case True
      then show ?thesis
        using PiE-mem[OF ⟨y ∈ (ΠE i∈I. X i)⟩ ⟨g l ∈ I⟩]
        by(simp add: X-def ⟨g l ∈ I⟩)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
        using PiE-mem[OF ⟨y ∈ (ΠE i∈I. X i)⟩ ⟨g l ∈ I⟩]
        by(simp add: X-def ⟨g l ∈ I⟩ ⟨l < k⟩)
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by auto
    qed
    finally have r ⋂ l * di (g l) (x (g l)) (y (g l)) ≤ ε' by simp
  }
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto simp: order.strict-implies-order[OF hε'])
  qed
  next
    show ∃ a∈{..<k}. (if g a ∈ I then r ⋂ a * di (g a) (x (g a)) (y
(g a)) else 0) < ε'
    proof(rule bexI[where x=0])
    {
      assume g 0 ∈ I
      then interpret mbd: Metric-space Mi (g 0) di (g 0)
        by(auto intro!: Md-metric)
      have y (g 0) ∈ mbd.mball (x (g 0)) ε'
      proof(cases finite I)
        case True
        then show ?thesis
          using PiE-mem[OF ⟨y ∈ (ΠE i∈I. X i)⟩ ⟨g 0 ∈ I⟩]
          by(simp add: X-def ⟨g 0 ∈ I⟩)
      next
        case False
        then show ?thesis
          using PiE-mem[OF ⟨y ∈ (ΠE i∈I. X i)⟩ ⟨g 0 ∈ I⟩]
          by(simp add: X-def ⟨g 0 ∈ I⟩ ⟨0 < k⟩)
      qed
      hence r ⋂ 0 * di (g 0) (x (g 0)) (y (g 0)) < ε'
        by auto
    }
    thus (if g 0 ∈ I then r ⋂ 0 * di (g 0) (x (g 0)) (y (g 0)) else

```

```

 $\theta) < \varepsilon'$ 
    using  $h\varepsilon'$  by auto
    qed(use  $hk'$  in auto)
    qed simp
    also have ... =  $(\varepsilon - product-dist a x - r \wedge k) / (1 - r) * K$ 
        by(simp add:  $\varepsilon'$ -def  $hk'$ )
    finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    finally show ?thesis by auto
    qed
    thus  $y \in U$ 
        by(simp add:  $hu(1)$   $hu(2)$   $\langle y \in (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi i) \rangle$ )
    qed
next
    have openin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) (Metric-space.mball
(Mi i) (di i) (x i)  $\varepsilon'$ ) if  $i \in I$  for  $i$ 
        by (simp add: Md-metric Metric-space.openin-mball that)
    moreover have openin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) (topspace
(Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))) for  $i$ 
        by auto
    ultimately show  $\forall i.$  openin (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i)) ( $X$ 
 $i$ )
        by(auto simp:  $X$ -def)
next
    show finite { $i. X i \neq topspace$  (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))} proof(cases finite I)
        case True
        then show ?thesis
            by(simp add:  $X$ -def)
next
        case  $Iinf:False$ 
        have finite { $i \in I. f i < k$ } proof -
            have { $i \in I. f i < k$ } = inv-into  $If` \{.. < k\}$  proof -
                have  $*: \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies$  inv-into  $If(f i) = i$ 
                     $\bigwedge n. f(inv-into If n) = n$ 
                using bij-betw-inv-into-left[OF gf-if-infinite(1)[OF  $Iinf$ ]]
                    bij-betw-inv-into-right[OF gf-if-infinite(1)[OF  $Iinf$ ]]
                by auto
            show ?thesis
            proof
                show { $i \in I. f i < k$ }  $\subseteq$  inv-into  $If` \{.. < k\}$ 
                proof
                    show  $p \in \{i \in I. f i < k\} \implies p \in$  inv-into  $If` \{.. < k\}$  for  $p$ 

```

```

        using *(1)[of p] by (auto simp: rev-image-eqI)
qed
next
show inv-into I f ` {.. $k$ } ⊆ { $i \in I. f i < k$ }
using *(2) bij-betw-inv-into[OF gf-if-infinite(1)[OF Iinf]]
by (auto simp: bij-betw-def)
qed
qed
also have finite ... by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed
thus ?thesis
by(simp add: X-def Iinf)
qed
qed
next
case 2
then have U = ( $\Pi_E i \in I. Mi i$ )
unfolding hu(1) using order.strict-trans1[OF product-dist-leqr, of  $\varepsilon$ ]
hu(2)
by auto
also have ... = ( $\Pi_E i \in I. \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))$ )
using htopspace by auto
finally have U = ( $\Pi_E i \in I. \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))$ ) .
thus ?thesis
using that hu htopspace by(auto intro!: exI[where x= $\lambda i. \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))$ ])
qed
qed
hence  $\exists X. \forall x \in U. x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \wedge (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \subseteq U \wedge (\forall i. openin(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))(X x i)) \wedge \text{finite}\{i. X x i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))\}$ 
by(auto intro!: bchoice)
then obtain X where  $\forall x \in U. x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \wedge (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \subseteq U \wedge (\forall i. openin(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))(X x i)) \wedge \text{finite}\{i. X x i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))\}$ 
by auto
hence  $\bigwedge x. x \in U \implies x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \subseteq U \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies (\forall i. openin(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))(X x i)) \wedge \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies \text{finite}\{i. X x i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))\}$ 
by auto
hence  $\text{hXopen}: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies (\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) \in \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. openin(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))(X i)) \wedge \text{finite}\{i. X i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i)(di i))\}\}$ 
by blast
have U = ( $\bigcup \{(\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) | x. x \in U\}$ )
using hX(1,2) by blast

```

have $\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by} \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i)))\} \cup \{(\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) | x. x \in U\})$
apply(rule *openin-Union*)
using $hX[\text{open}$ **by**(auto simp: *openin-topology-generated-by-iff intro!*: generate-topology-on.Basis)
thus $\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by} \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i)))\} \cup \{(\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) | x. x \in U\})$
using $\langle U = (\cup \{(\Pi_E i \in I. X x i) | x. x \in U\}) \rangle$ **by** simp
next
fix U
assume $U \in \{\Pi_E i \in I. X i | X. (\forall i. \text{openin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i))) (X i)) \wedge \text{finite} \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i))\}\}$
then obtain X **where** hX :
 $U = (\Pi_E i \in I. X i) \wedge i. \text{openin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i)) (X i)$
 $\text{finite} \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i))\}$
by auto
have $\exists a \varepsilon. x \in \text{Product-metric.mball } a \varepsilon \wedge \text{Product-metric.mball } a \varepsilon \subseteq U$ **if**
 $x \in U$ **for** x
proof –
have $x\text{-intop}:x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi i)$
unfolding $htopspace'[\text{symmetric}]$ **using** that $hX(1)$ *openin-subset[OF hX(2)]* **by** auto
define I' **where** $I' \equiv \{i. X i \neq \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i))\} \cap I$
then have $I'\text{-finite } I' \subseteq I$ **using** $hX(3)$ **by** auto
consider $I' = \{\} \mid I' \neq \{\}$ **by** auto
then show ?thesis
proof cases
case 1
then have $\wedge i. i \in I \implies X i = \text{topspace}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i))$
by(auto simp: $I'\text{-def}$)
then have $U = (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi i)$
by (simp add: $PiE\text{-eq } hX(1) \text{ htopspace}$)
thus ?thesis
using 1 **that** **by**(auto intro!: exI[**where** $x=x$] exI[**where** $x=1$])
next
case $I'\text{-nonempty}:2$
hence $\wedge i. i \in I' \implies \text{openin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i)) (X i)$
using $hX(2)$ **by**(simp add: $I'\text{-def}$)
hence $\exists \varepsilon > 0. \text{Metric-space.mball}(Mi i) (di i) (x i) \varepsilon \subseteq (X i)$ **if** $i \in I'$ **for** i
using $I'(2)$ $Md\text{-metric Metric-space.openin-mtopology PiE-mem } \langle x \in U, hX(1) \text{ subset-eq that by blast}$
then obtain $\varepsilon i'$ **where** $hei: \wedge i. i \in I' \implies \varepsilon i' i > 0 \wedge i. i \in I' \implies \text{Metric-space.mball}(Mi i) (di i) (x i) (\varepsilon i' i) \subseteq (X i)$
by metis

```

define ε where ε ≡ Min {εi' i | i. i ∈ I'}
have εmin: ⋀i. i ∈ I' ⇒ ε ≤ εi' i
  using I' by(auto simp: ε-def intro!: Min.coboundedI)
have hε: ε > 0
  using I' I'-nonempty Min-gr-iff[of {εi' i | i. i ∈ I'} 0] hei(1)
  by(auto simp: ε-def)
define n where n ≡ Max {f i | i. i ∈ I'}
have ⋀i. i ∈ I' ⇒ f i ≤ n
  using I' by(auto intro!: Max.coboundedI[of {f i | i. i ∈ I'}] simp: n-def)
hence hn2: ⋀i. i ∈ I' ⇒ (1 / r) ^ f i ≤ (1 / r) ^ n
  using r by auto
have hε': 0 < ε*(r ^ n) using hε r by auto
show ?thesis
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=x] exI[where x=ε*(r ^ n)])
  fix y
  assume y ∈ Product-metric.mball x (ε * r ^ n)
  have y i ∈ X i if i ∈ I' for i
  proof -
    interpret mi: Metric-space Mi i di i
    using Md-metric that by(simp add: I'-def)
    have di i (x i) (y i) < εi' i
    proof -
      have di i (x i) (y i) ≤ (1 / r) ^ f i * product-dist x y
      using that ⟨y ∈ Product-metric.mball x (ε * r ^ n)⟩ by(auto intro!:
      product-dist-geq[i, OF - gf-comp-id x-intop] simp: I'-def)
      also have ... ≤ (1 / r) ^ n * product-dist x y
      by(rule mult-right-mono[OF hn2[OF that] Product-metric.nonneg])
      also have ... < ε
      using ⟨y ∈ Product-metric.mball x (ε * r ^ n)⟩ r
      by(simp add: pos-divide-less-eq power-one-over)
      also have ... ≤ εi' i
      by(rule εmin[OF that])
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    hence (y i) ∈ mi.mball (x i) (εi' i)
    using ⟨y ∈ Product-metric.mball x (ε * r ^ n)⟩ x-intop that
    by(auto simp: I'-def)
    thus ?thesis
      using hei[OF that] by auto
  qed
  moreover have y i ∈ X i if i ∈ I - I' for i
    using that htopspace ⟨y ∈ Product-metric.mball x (ε * r ^ n)⟩
    by(auto simp: I'-def)
  ultimately show y ∈ U
    using ⟨y ∈ Product-metric.mball x (ε * r ^ n)⟩
    by(auto simp: hX(1))
  qed(use x-intop hε' in auto)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

then obtain a where  $\forall x \in U. \exists \varepsilon. x \in \text{Product-metric.mball}(a x) \varepsilon \wedge$ 
 $\text{Product-metric.mball}(a x) \varepsilon \subseteq U$ 
by metis
then obtain  $\varepsilon$  where  $\text{hae}: \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies x \in \text{Product-metric.mball}(a x)$ 
 $(\varepsilon x) \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies \text{Product-metric.mball}(a x) (\varepsilon x) \subseteq U$ 
by metis
hence  $\text{hae}': \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies a x \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i) \bigwedge x. x \in U \implies 0 < \varepsilon x$ 
by auto[1] (metis Product-metric.mball-eq-empty empty-iff hae(1) linorder-not-less)
have  $\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by}\{\text{Product-metric.mball } a \varepsilon | a \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i) \wedge 0 < \varepsilon\}) (\bigcup \{\text{Product-metric.mball}(a x) (\varepsilon x) | x. x \in U\})$ 
using  $\text{Product-metric.openin-mball} \langle \text{Product-metric.mtopology} = \text{topology-generated-by}\{\text{Product-metric.mball } a \varepsilon | a \in Pi_E I Mi \wedge 0 < \varepsilon\} \rangle$  by
auto
moreover have  $U = (\bigcup \{\text{Product-metric.mball}(a x) (\varepsilon x) | x. x \in U\})$ 
using  $\text{hae}$  by (auto simp del: Product-metric.in-mball)
ultimately show  $\text{openin}(\text{topology-generated-by}\{\text{Product-metric.mball } a \varepsilon | a \in (\prod_E i \in I. Mi i) \wedge 0 < \varepsilon\}) U$ 
by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

corollary *separable-Mi-separable-M*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi i) (di i))$
shows $\text{separable-space}(\text{Product-metric.mtopology})$
by (*simp add: Product-metric-mtopology-eq[symmetric] separable-countable-product assms I*)

lemma *mcomplete-Mi-mcomplete-M*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space.mcomplete}(Mi i) (di i)$
shows $\text{Product-metric.mcomplete}$
proof (*cases I = {}*)
case 1: *True*
interpret $d: \text{discrete-metric}\{\lambda x. \text{undefined}\}$.
have $2:\text{product-dist} = (\lambda x y. 0)$
by *standard+ (auto simp: product-dist-def 1)*
show ?thesis
apply (*simp add: Product-metric.mcomplete-def Product-metric.limitin-metric eventually-sequentially Product-metric.MCauchy-def*)
apply (*simp add: 2*)
by (*auto simp: 1 intro!: exI[where x=(λi. undefined)]*)
next
assume $2: I \neq \{\}$
show ?thesis
unfolding *Product-metric.mcomplete-def*
proof safe
fix x_n
assume $h: \text{Product-metric.MCauchy } xn$
have $*:\text{Metric-space.MCauchy}(Mi i) (di i) (\lambda n. xn n i) \text{ if } hi:i \in I \text{ for } i$

```

proof -
interpret mi: Metric-space Mi i di i
  by(simp add: Md-metric hi)
show mi.MCauchy ( $\lambda n. xn\ n\ i$ )
  unfolding mi.MCauchy-def
proof safe
  show xn n i  $\in$  Mi i for n
    using h hi by(auto simp: Product-metric.MCauchy-def)
next
  fix  $\varepsilon$ 
  assume he:(0::real)  $<$   $\varepsilon$ 
  then have 0  $<$   $\varepsilon * r^\wedge(f\ i)$  using r by auto
  then obtain N where N:
     $\bigwedge n\ m. n \geq N \implies m \geq N \implies \text{product-dist}(xn\ n)\ (xn\ m) < \varepsilon * r^\wedge(f\ i)$ 
    using h Product-metric.MCauchy-def by fastforce
  show  $\exists N. \forall n\ n'. N \leq n \implies N \leq n' \implies di\ i\ (xn\ n\ i)\ (xn\ n'\ i) < \varepsilon$ 
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N])
    fix n m
    assume n:n  $\geq N$  m  $\geq N$ 
    have di i (xn n i) (xn m i)  $\leq (1 / r)^\wedge(f\ i) * \text{product-dist}(xn\ n)\ (xn\ m)$ 
      by(rule product-dist-geq) (use h[simplified Product-metric.MCauchy-def]
      hi gf-comp-id[of i] in auto)
    also have ...  $<$   $\varepsilon$ 
    using N[OF n] by (simp add: mult-imp-div-pos-less power-one-over r(1))
    finally show di i (xn n i) (xn m i)  $< \varepsilon$  .
  qed
  qed
  qed
  hence  $\forall i \in I. \exists x. \text{limitin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi\ i)\ (di\ i))\ (\lambda n. xn\ n\ i)\ x$ 
  sequentially
    using Md-metric Metric-space.mcomplete-alt assms by blast
    then obtain x where hx: $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{limitin}(\text{Metric-space.mtopology}(Mi\ i)\ (di\ i))\ (\lambda n. xn\ n\ i)\ (x\ i)$  sequentially
      by metis
    hence hx':( $\lambda i \in I. x\ i$ )  $\in (\Pi_E i \in I. Mi\ i)$ 
      by (simp add: Md-metric Metric-space.limit-metric-sequentially)
    thus  $\exists x. \text{limitin}(\text{Product-metric.mtopology}\ xn\ x)$  sequentially
      using h by(auto intro!: exI[where x= $\lambda i \in I. x\ i$ ] limitin-M-iff-limitin-Mi[THEN
      iffD2,of xn] simp: Product-metric.MCauchy-def hx) blast
    qed
  qed
end

```

lemma product-metricI:

assumes 0 $<$ r r $<$ 1 countable I $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space}(Mi\ i)\ (di\ i)$

and $\bigwedge i x y. 0 \leq di\ i\ x\ y \wedge i x y. di\ i\ x\ y \leq K$ 0 $<$ K

shows Product-metric r I (to-nat-on I) (from-nat-into I) Mi di K

using from-nat-into-to-nat-on-product-metric-pair[OF assms(3)] assms

```

by(simp add: Product-metric-def Metric-space-def)

lemma product-metric-natI:
assumes 0 < r r < 1 ∧ n. Metric-space (Mi n) (di n)
and ∏ i x y. 0 ≤ di i x y ∧ i x y. di i x y ≤ K 0 < K
shows Product-metric r UNIV id id Mi di K
using assms by(auto simp: Product-metric-def)

end

```

2 Abstract Polish Spaces

```

theory Abstract-Metrizable-Topology
imports Set-Based-Metric-Product
begin

```

2.1 Polish Spaces

```
definition Polish-space X ≡ completely-metrizable-space X ∧ separable-space X
```

```

lemma(in Metric-space) Polish-space-mtopology:
assumes mcomplete separable-space mtopology
shows Polish-space mtopology
by (simp add: assms completely-metrizable-space-mtopology Polish-space-def)

```

```

lemma
assumes Polish-space X
shows Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space: completely-metrizable-space
X
and Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space: metrizable-space X
and Polish-space-imp-second-countable: second-countable X
and Polish-space-imp-separable-space: separable-space X
using assms by(auto simp: completely-metrizable-imp-metrizable-space Polish-space-def
metrizable-space-separable-iff-second-countable)

```

```

lemma Polish-space-closedin:
assumes Polish-space X closedin X A
shows Polish-space (subtopology X A)
using assms by(auto simp: completely-metrizable-imp-metrizable-space Polish-space-def
completely-metrizable-space-closedin second-countable-subtopology metrizable-space-separable-iff-second-countable)

```

```

lemma Polish-space-gdelta-in:
assumes Polish-space X gdelta-in X A
shows Polish-space (subtopology X A)
using assms by(auto simp: completely-metrizable-imp-metrizable-space Polish-space-def
completely-metrizable-space-gdelta-in second-countable-subtopology metrizable-space-separable-iff-second-countable)

```

```

corollary Polish-space-openin:
assumes Polish-space X openin X A

```

shows Polish-space (subtopology X A)
by (simp add: open-imp-gdelta-in assms Polish-space-gdelta-in)

lemma homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux:
assumes Polish-space X X homeomorphic-space Y
shows Polish-space Y
using assms **by**(simp add: homeomorphic-completely-metrizable-space-aux homeomorphic-separable-space Polish-space-def)

corollary homeomorphic-Polish-space:
assumes X homeomorphic-space Y
shows Polish-space X \longleftrightarrow Polish-space Y
by (meson assms homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux homeomorphic-space-sym)

lemma Polish-space-euclidean[simp]: Polish-space (euclidean :: ('a :: polish-space) topology)
by (simp add: completely-metrizable-space-euclidean Polish-space-def second-countable-imp-separable-space)

lemma Polish-space-countable[simp]:
Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a :: {countable,discrete-topology} topology)
proof –
interpret discrete-metric UNIV :: 'a set .
have [simp]:euclidean = disc.mtopology
by (metis discrete-topology-class.open-discrete discrete-topology-unique istopology-open mtopology-discrete-metric topology-inverse' topspace-euclidean)
show ?thesis
by(auto simp: Polish-space-def intro!: disc.completely-metrizable-space-mtopology mcomplete-discrete-metric countable-space-separable-space)
qed

lemma Polish-space-discrete-topology: Polish-space (discrete-topology I) \longleftrightarrow countable I
by (simp add: completely-metrizable-space-discrete-topology Polish-space-def separable-space-discrete-topology)

lemma Polish-space-prod:
assumes Polish-space X and Polish-space Y
shows Polish-space (prod-topology X Y)
using assms **by** (simp add: completely-metrizable-space-prod-topology Polish-space-def separable-space-prod)

lemma Polish-space-product:
assumes countable I and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies$ Polish-space (S i)
shows Polish-space (product-topology S I)
using assms **by**(auto simp: separable-countable-product Polish-space-def completely-metrizable-space-product-topology)

lemma(in Product-metric) Polish-spaceI:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies$ separable-space (Metric-space.mtopology (Mi i) (di i))

and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space.mcomplete } (\text{Mi } i) \text{ (di } i)$
shows Polish-space Product-metric.mtopology
using assms I by(auto simp: Polish-space-def Product-metric-mtopology-eq[symmetric]
completely-metrizable-space-product-topology intro!: separable-countable-product Metric-space.completely-metrizable-space-mtopology Md-metric)

lemma(in Sum-metric) Polish-spaceI:
assumes countable I
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{separable-space } (\text{Metric-space.mtopology } (\text{Mi } i) \text{ (di } i))$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Metric-space.mcomplete } (\text{Mi } i) \text{ (di } i)$
shows Polish-space Sum-metric.mtopology
by(auto simp: Polish-space-def intro!: separable-Mi-separable-M assms mcomplete-Mi-mcomplete-M Sum-metric.completely-metrizable-space-mtopology)

lemma compact-metrizable-imp-Polish-space:
assumes metrizable-space X compact-space X
shows Polish-space X
proof –
obtain d **where** Metric-space (topspace X) d Metric-space.mtopology (topspace X) d = X
by (metis assms(1) Metric-space.topspace-mtopology metrizable-space-def)
thus ?thesis
by (metis Metric-space.compact-space-imp-separable assms(1) assms(2) compact-imp-locally-compact-space locally-compact-imp-completely-metrizable-space Polish-space-def)
qed

2.2 Extended Reals and Non-Negative Extended Reals

lemma Polish-space-ereal:Polish-space (euclidean :: ereal topology)
proof(rule homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux)
show Polish-space (subtopology euclideanreal {−1..1})
by (auto intro!: Polish-space-closedin)
next
define f :: real \Rightarrow ereal
where $f \equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r = -1 \text{ then } -\infty \text{ else if } r = 1 \text{ then } \infty \text{ else if } r \leq 0 \text{ then}$
 $\text{ereal } (1 - (1 / (1 + r))) \text{ else ereal } ((1 / (1 - r)) - 1))$
define g :: ereal \Rightarrow real
where $g \equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r \leq 0 \text{ then real-of-ereal } (\text{inverse } (1 - r)) - 1 \text{ else } 1 -$
 $\text{real-of-ereal } (\text{inverse } (1 + r)))$
show top-of-set {−1..1::real} homeomorphic-space (euclidean :: ereal topology)
unfolding homeomorphic-space-def homeomorphic-maps-def continuous-map-iff-continuous
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=f] exI[where x=g])
show continuous-on {−1..1} f
unfolding continuous-on-eq-continuous-within
proof safe
fix x :: real
assume $x \in \{-1..1\}$
then consider x = −1 | −1 < x x < 0 | x = 0 | 0 < x x < 1 | x = 1

```

by fastforce
then show continuous (at x within { - 1 .. 1 }) f
proof cases
  show - 1 < x ==> x < 0 ==> ?thesis
    by(simp add: at-within-Icc-at, intro isCont-cong[where f=λr. ereal (1 - (1 / (1 + r))) and g=f, THEN iffD1, OF - continuous-on-interior[of { - 1 <.. < 0 }]]) (auto simp: at-within-Icc-at eventually-nhds f-def intro!: exI[where x={ - 1 <.. < 0 }] continuous-on-divide continuous-on-ereal continuous-on-diff continuous-on-add)
  next
    have *:isCont (λr. if r ≤ 0 then ereal (1 - (1 / (1 + r))) else ereal ((1 / (1 - r)) - 1)) 0
      by(rule isCont-If-ge) (auto simp add: continuous-within intro!: continuous-on-Icc-at-leftD[where a=- (1 / 2) and b=0 and f=λr::real. 1 - (1 / (1 + r)), simplified] continuous-on-Icc-at-rightD[where a=0 and b=1 / 2 and f=λr::real. (1 / (1 - r)) - 1, simplified] continuous-on-diff continuous-on-divide continuous-on-add)
    show ?thesis if x:x = 0
      unfolding x at-within-Icc-at[of - 1 :: real 0 1, simplified]
      by(rule isCont-cong[THEN iffD1, OF - *]) (auto simp: eventually-nhds f-def intro!: exI[where x={ - 1 / 2 <.. < 1 / 2 }])
    next
      show 0 < x ==> x < 1 ==> ?thesis
        by(simp add: at-within-Icc-at, intro isCont-cong[where f=λr. ereal ((1 / (1 - r)) - 1) and g=f, THEN iffD1, OF - continuous-on-interior[of {0 <.. < 1 }]]) (auto simp: at-within-Icc-at eventually-nhds f-def intro!: exI[where x={0 <.. < 1 }] continuous-on-divide continuous-on-ereal continuous-on-diff continuous-on-add)
      next
        show ?thesis if x:x = -1
          unfolding x at-within-Icc-at-right[where a=- 1 :: real and b=1, simplified] continuous-within ereal-tendsto-simps(2)[symmetric]
          proof(subst tendsto-cong)
            show ∀ F r in at-right (ereal (- 1)). (f ∘ real-of-ereal) r = 1 - (1 / (1 + r))
              unfolding eventually-at-right[of ereal (- 1) 0, simplified]
              proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=ereal (- 1 / 2)])
                fix y
                assume ereal (- 1) < y y < ereal (- 1 / 2)
                then obtain y' where y':y = ereal y' - 1 < y' y' < - 1 / 2
                  by (metis ereal-real' less-ereal.simps(1) not-inftyI)
                show (f ∘ real-of-ereal) y = 1 - 1 / (1 + y)
                  using y'(2,3) by(auto simp add: y'(1) f-def one-ereal-def)
              qed simp
            next
              have ((λr. 1 - 1 / (1 + r)) —→ - ∞) (at-right (ereal (- 1)))
                unfolding tendsto-MInfty
              proof safe
                fix r :: real
                consider r ≥ 1 | r < 1
                  by argo

```

```

then show  $\forall_F x \text{ in } \text{at-right}(\text{ereal } (- 1)). 1 - 1 / (1 + x) < \text{ereal } r$ 
proof cases
  case [arith]:1
    show ?thesis
      unfolding eventually-at-right[of ereal (- 1) 0,simplified]
      proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=0])
        fix y
        assume ereal (- 1) < y y < 0
        then obtain y' where y':y = ereal y' - 1 < y' y' < 0
          using not-inftyI by force
        then have *:1 - 1 / (1 + y) < 1
          by (simp add: one-ereal-def)
        show 1 - 1 / (1 + y) < ereal r
          by(rule order.strict-trans2[OF *])(use 1 in auto)
      qed simp
    next
      case 2
      show ?thesis
        unfolding eventually-at-right[of ereal (- 1) 0,simplified]
        proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=ereal (1 / (1 - r) - 1)])
          fix y
          assume ereal (- 1) < y y < ereal (1 / (1 - r) - 1)
          then obtain y' where y':y = ereal y' - 1 < y' y' < 1 / (1 - r) - 1
            by (metis ereal-less-eq(3) ereal-real' linorder-not-le not-inftyI)
          have 1 - 1 / (1 + y) = ereal (1 - 1 / (1 + y'))
            by (metis ereal-divide ereal-minus(1) one-ereal-def order-less-irrefl
plus-ereal.simps(1) real-0-less-add-iff y'(1) y'(2))
          also have ... < ereal r
        proof -
          have 1 + y' < 1 / (1 - r)
            using y' by simp
          hence 1 - r < 1 / (1 + y')
            using y' 2 by (simp add: less-divide-eq mult.commute)
          thus ?thesis
            by simp
        qed
        finally show 1 - 1 / (1 + y) < ereal r .
      qed(use 2 in auto)
    qed
  qed
next
  thus  $((\lambda r. 1 - 1 / (1 + r)) \longrightarrow f (- 1)) (\text{at-right}(\text{ereal } (- 1)))$ 
    by(simp add: f-def)
  qed
qed
show ?thesis if x:x = 1
unfolding x at-within-Icc-at-left[where a=- 1 :: real and b=1,simplified]
continuous-within ereal-tendsto-simps(1)[symmetric]
proof(subst tendsto-cong)
  show  $\forall_F r \text{ in } \text{at-left}(\text{ereal } 1). (f \circ \text{real-of-ereal}) r = (1 / (1 - r)) - 1$ 

```

```

unfolding eventually-at-left[of 0 ereal 1,simplified]
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=ereal (1 / 2)])
  fix y
  assume ereal (1 / 2) < y y < ereal 1
  then obtain y' where y':y = ereal y' 1 / 2 < y' y' < 1
    using ereal-less-ereal-Ex by force
  show (f ∘ real-of-ereal) y = 1 / (1 - y) - 1
    using y'(2,3) by(auto simp add: y'(1) f-def one-ereal-def)
qed simp

next
  have ((λr. (1 / (1 - r)) - 1) —→ ∞) (at-left (ereal 1))
    unfolding tendsto-PInfty
  proof safe
    fix r :: real
    consider r ≤ - 1 | - 1 < r
      by argo
    then show ∀F x in at-left (ereal 1). (1 / (1 - x)) - 1 > ereal r
  proof cases
    case [arith]:1
    show ?thesis
      unfolding eventually-at-left[of 0 ereal 1,simplified]
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=0])
      fix y
      assume 0 < y y < ereal 1
      then obtain y' where y':y = ereal y' 0 < y' y' < 1
        using not-inftyI by force
      then have *:(1 / (1 - y)) - 1 > ereal (- 1)
        by (simp add: one-ereal-def)
      show ereal r < 1 / (1 - y) - 1
        by(rule order.strict-trans1[OF - *]) (use 1 in auto)
    qed simp
  next
    case 2
    show ?thesis
      unfolding eventually-at-left[of 0 ereal 1,simplified]
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=ereal (1 - 1 / (1 + r))])
      fix y
      assume ereal (1 - 1 / (1 + r)) < y y < ereal 1
      then obtain y' where y':y = ereal y' 1 - 1 / (1 + r) < y' y' < 1
        by (metis ereal-less-eq(3) ereal-real' linorder-not-le not-inftyI)
      have ereal r < ereal (1 / (1 - y') - 1)
      proof -
        have 1 - y' < 1 / (r + 1)
          using y'(2) by argo
        hence r + 1 < 1 / (1 - y')
          using y' 2 by (simp add: less-divide-eq mult.commute)
        thus ?thesis
          by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

also have ... = 1 / (1 - y) - 1
by (metis diff-gt-0-iff-gt ereal-divide ereal-minus(1) less-numeral-extra(3)
one-ereal-def y'(1) y'(3))
    finally show ereal r < 1 / (1 - y) - 1 .
qed(use 2 in auto)
qed
qed
thus ((λr. 1 / (1 - r) - 1) —→ f 1) (at-left (ereal 1))
by(simp add: f-def)
qed
qed
qed
next
show continuous-map euclidean (top-of-set {− 1..1}) g
proof(safe intro!: continuous-map-into-subtopology)
    show continuous-map euclidean euclideanreal g
    unfolding Abstract-Topology.continuous-map-iff-continuous2 continuous-on-eq-continuous-within
    proof safe
        fix x :: ereal
        consider x = − ∞ | − ∞ < x x < 0 | x = 0 | 0 < x x < ∞ | x = ∞
        by fastforce
        then show isCont g x
        proof cases
            assume x:− ∞ < x x < 0
            then obtain x' where x':x = ereal x' x' < 0
            by (metis ereal-infty-less(2) ereal-less-ereal-Ex zero-ereal-def)
            show ?thesis
            proof(subst isCont-cong)
                have [simp]:isCont ((−) 1) x
                proof −
                    have *:isCont (λx. ereal (real-of-ereal 1 − real-of-ereal x)) x
                    using x' by(auto simp add: continuous-at-iff-ereal[symmetric,simplified
comp-def] intro!: continuous-diff continuous-at-of-ereal)
                    have **: ereal (x' − 1) < x ⟹ x < 0 ⟹ ereal (1 − real-of-ereal x)
= ereal 1 − x for x
                    by (metis ereal-minus(1) less-ereal.simps(2) less-ereal.simps(3)
real-of-ereal.elims)
                    show ?thesis
                    apply(rule isCont-cong[THEN iffD1,OF - *])
                    using x'(2) ** by(auto simp: eventually-nhds x'(1) one-ereal-def
intro!: exI[where x={x-1<..<0}])
                    qed
                    have *:abs (1 − x) ≠ ∞ 1 − x ≠ 0
                    using x'(2) by(auto simp add: x'(1) one-ereal-def)
                    show isCont (λr. real-of-ereal (inverse (1 − r)) − 1) x
                    using x * by(auto intro!: continuous-diff continuous-divide isCont-o2[OF
- continuous-at-of-ereal])
                next
                show ∀ F x in nhds x. g x = real-of-ereal (inverse (1 − x)) − 1
            qed
        qed
    qed
qed

```

```

        using x(2) by(auto simp: eventually-nhds x'(1) g-def one-ereal-def
intro!: exI[where x={x-1<..<0}])
qed
next
assume x:∞ > x x > 0
then obtain x' where x':x = ereal x' x' > 0
by (metis ereal-less(2) less-ereal.elims(2) less-ereal.simps(2))
show ?thesis
proof(subst isCont-cong)
have [simp]: isCont ((+) 1) x
proof -
have *:isCont (λx. ereal (real-of-ereal 1 + real-of-ereal x)) x
using x' by(auto simp add: continuous-at-iff-ereal[symmetric,simplified
comp-def] intro!: continuous-add continuous-at-of-ereal)
have **: 0 < x ==> x < ereal (x' + 1) ==> ereal (1 + real-of-ereal x)
= ereal 1 + x for x
using ereal-less-ereal-Ex by auto
show ?thesis
apply(rule isCont-cong[THEN iffD1,OF - *])
using x'(2) ** by(auto simp: eventually-nhds x'(1) one-ereal-def
intro!: exI[where x={0<..<x+1}])
qed
have real-of-ereal (1 + x) ≠ 0
using x' by auto
thus isCont (λr. 1 - real-of-ereal (inverse (1 + r))) x
using x by(auto intro!: continuous-diff continuous-divide isCont-o2[OF
- continuous-at-of-ereal])
next
show ∀ F x in nhds x. g x = 1 - real-of-ereal (inverse (1 + x))
using x(2) by(auto simp: eventually-nhds x'(1) g-def one-ereal-def
intro!: exI[where x={0<..<x+1}])
qed
next
show isCont g x if x:x = - ∞
unfolding x
proof(safe intro!: continuous-at-sequentiallyI)
fix u :: nat ⇒ ereal
assume u:u —————> - ∞
show (λn. g (u n)) —————> g (- ∞)
unfolding LIMSEQ-def
proof safe
fix r :: real
assume r[arith]: r > 0
obtain no where no: ∀ n. n ≥ no ==> u n < ereal (min (1 - 1 / r)
0)
using u unfolding tends-to-MInfty eventually-sequentially by blast
show ∃ no. ∀ n≥no. dist (g (u n)) (g (- ∞)) < r
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=no])
fix n

```

```

assume n:n ≥ no
have r0:1 = min (ereal (1 - 1 / r)) (ereal 0) > 0
  by (simp add: ereal-diff-gr0 min.strict-coboundedI2)
have u1:1 - u n > 0
  by (metis ereal-0-less-1 ereal-diff-gr0 ereal-min linorder-not-le
min.strict-coboundedI2 n no order-le-less-trans order-less-not-sym zero-ereal-def)
have real-of-ereal (inverse (1 - u n)) < r
proof -
  have real-of-ereal (inverse (1 - u n)) < real-of-ereal (inverse (1 -
ereal (min (1 - 1 / r) 0)))
  proof(safe intro!: ereal-less-real-iff[THEN iffD2])
    have ereal (real-of-ereal (inverse (1 - u n))) = inverse (1 - u n)
      by(rule ereal-real') (use no[OF n] u1 in auto)
    also have ... < inverse (1 - ereal (min (1 - 1 / r) 0))
      apply(rule ereal-inverse-antimono-strict)
    using no[OF n] apply(simp add: ereal-diff-positive min.coboundedI2)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) no[OF n] ereal-add-uminus-conv-diff
ereal-eq-minus-iff ereal-less-minus-iff ereal-minus-less-minus ereal-times(1) ereal-times(3))
    finally show ereal (real-of-ereal (inverse (1 - u n))) < inverse
(1 - ereal (min (1 - 1 / r) 0)) .
  qed(use r0 in auto)
  also have ... ≤ r
    by(cases r ≥ 1) (auto simp add: real-of-ereal-minus)
  finally show real-of-ereal (inverse (1 - u n)) < r .
qed
thus dist (g (u n)) (g (-∞)) < r
  using u1 no[OF n] by(auto simp: g-def zero-ereal-def dist-real-def)
qed
qed
qed
next
show isCont g x if x:x = ∞
  unfolding x
  proof(safe intro!: continuous-at-sequentiallyI)
    fix u :: nat ⇒ ereal
    assume u:u → ∞
    show (λn. g (u n)) → g ∞
      unfolding LIMSEQ-def
      proof safe
        fix r :: real
        assume r[arith]: r > 0
        obtain no where no: ∀n. n ≥ no ⇒ u n > ereal (max (1 / r - 1)
0)
          using u unfolding tends-to-PInfty eventually-sequentially by blast
          show ∃no. ∀n≥no. dist (g (u n)) (g ∞) < r
          proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=no])
            fix n
            assume n:n ≥ no
            have u0: 1 + u n > 0

```

```

        using no[OF n] by simp (metis add-nonneg-pos zero-ereal-def
zero-less-one-ereal)
        have  $|-\text{real-of-ereal}(\text{inverse}(1+u\ n))| < r$ 
        proof -
            have  $|-\text{real-of-ereal}(\text{inverse}(1+u\ n))| < |-(\text{inverse}(1+\max(1/r-1)\ 0))|$ 
            unfolding abs-real-of-ereal abs-minus
            proof(safe intro!: real-less-ereal-iff[THEN iffD2])
                have  $|\text{inverse}(1+u\ n)| < \text{inverse}(1+\text{ereal}(\max(1/r-1)\ 0))$ 
                using no[OF n] u0 by (simp add: ereal-add-strict-mono
                ereal-inverse-antimono-strict inverse-ereal-ge0I le-max-iff-disj order-less-imp-le u0)
                also have ... = ereal  $|\text{inverse}(1+\max(1/r-1)\ 0)|$ 
                by(auto simp: abs-ereal.simps(1)[symmetric] ereal-max[symmetric]
                simp del: abs-ereal.simps(1) ereal-max)
                finally show  $|\text{inverse}(1+u\ n)| < \text{ereal}|\text{inverse}(1+\max(1/r-1)\ 0)|$  .
            qed auto
            also have ... =  $\text{inverse}(1+\max(1/r-1)\ 0)$ 
            by auto
            also have ...  $\leq r$ 
            by(cases  $r \leq 1$ ) auto
            finally show ?thesis .
        qed
        thus dist  $(g(u\ n))(g\ \infty) < r$ 
        using no[OF n] by(auto simp: g-def dist-real-def zero-ereal-def)
        qed
        qed
        qed
next
    show isCont g x if x:x = 0
    unfolding x g-def
    proof(safe intro!: isCont-If-ge)
        have  $((\lambda x. \text{real-of-ereal}(1-x)) \longrightarrow 1) (\text{at-left } 0)$ 
        proof(subst tendsto-cong)
            show  $((\lambda x. 1 - \text{real-of-ereal}x) \longrightarrow 1) (\text{at-left } 0)$ 
            by(auto intro!: tendsto-diff[where a=1 and b=0,simplified] simp:
            zero-ereal-def)
        next
            show  $\forall F\ x\ \text{in}\ \text{at-left } 0. \text{real-of-ereal}(1-x) = 1 - \text{real-of-ereal}x$ 
            by(auto simp: eventually-at-left[where y=-1 and x=0::ereal,simplified]
            real-of-ereal-minus ereal-uminus-eq-reorder intro!: exI[where x=-1])
        qed
        thus continuous (at-left 0)  $(\lambda x. \text{real-of-ereal}(\text{inverse}(1-x))-1)$ 
        unfolding continuous-within
        by (auto intro!: tendsto-diff[where a=1 and b=1,simplified]
        tendsto-divide[where a=1 and b=1,simplified])
    next
        have  $((\lambda x. \text{real-of-ereal}(1+x)) \longrightarrow 1) (\text{at-right } 0)$ 

```

```

proof(subst tendsto-cong)
show ((λx. 1 + real-of-ereal x) —→ 1) (at-right 0)
  by(auto intro!: tendsto-add[where a=1 and b=0,simplified] simp:
zero-ereal-def)
next
  show ∀ F x in at-right 0. real-of-ereal (1 + x) = 1 + real-of-ereal x
    by(auto simp: eventually-at-right[where y=1 and x=0::ereal,simplified]
real-of-ereal-add ereal-uminus-eq-reorder intro!: exI[where x=1])
  qed
  thus ((λx. 1 - real-of-ereal (inverse (1 + x))) —→ real-of-ereal (inverse
(1 - 0)) - 1) (at-right 0)
    by (auto intro!: tendsto-diff[where a = 1 and b=1,simplified]
tendsto-divide[where a=1 and b=1,simplified])
  qed
qed
qed
next
fix x :: ereal
consider x = -∞ | -∞ < x x ≤ 0 | 0 < x x < ∞ | x = ∞
  by fastforce
then show g x ∈ {- 1..1}
proof cases
  assume -∞ < x x ≤ 0
  then obtain x' where x = ereal x' x' ≤ 0
    by (metis dual-order.refl ereal-less-ereal-Ex order-less-le zero-ereal-def)
  then show ?thesis
    by(auto simp: g-def real-of-ereal-minus intro!: pos-divide-le-eq[THEN iffD2])
next
  assume 0 < x x < ∞
  then obtain x' where x = ereal x' x' > 0
    by (metis ereal-less(2) less-ereal.elims(2) order-less-le)
  then show ?thesis
    by(auto simp: g-def real-of-ereal-add inverse-eq-divide intro!: pos-divide-le-eq[THEN
iffD2])
  qed(auto simp: g-def)
qed
next
fix x :: ereal
consider x = -∞ | -∞ < x x ≤ 0 | 0 < x x < ∞ | x = ∞
  by fastforce
then show f (g x) = x
proof cases
  assume -∞ < x x ≤ 0
  then obtain x' where x':x = ereal x' x' ≤ 0
    by (metis dual-order.refl ereal-less-ereal-Ex order-less-le zero-ereal-def)
  then have [arith]:1 / (1 - x') - 1 ≤ 0
    by simp
  show ?thesis
    using x' by(auto simp: g-def real-of-ereal-minus f-def)

```

```

next
  assume  $0 < x \in \mathbb{R}$ 
  then obtain  $x'$  where  $x' : \text{ereal}$   $x' > 0$ 
    by (metis ereal-less(2) less-ereal.elims(2) order-less-le)
  hence [arith]:  $1 - 1 / (x' + 1) \geq 0$ 
    by simp
  show ?thesis
    using  $x'$  by(simp add: g-def inverse-eq-divide f-def)
  qed(auto simp: f-def g-def)
next
  fix  $x : \text{real}$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{topspace}(\text{top-of-set}\{-1..1\})$ 
  then consider  $x = -1 \mid -1 < x \leq 0 \mid 0 < x < 1 \mid x = 1$ 
    by fastforce
  then show  $g(f x) = x$ 
    by cases (auto simp: f-def g-def real-of-ereal-minus real-of-ereal-add)
  qed
qed

corollary Polish-space-ennreal:Polish-space (euclidean :: ennreal topology)
proof(rule homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux)
  show Polish-space (top-of-set {0::ereal..})
    using Polish-space-closedin Polish-space-ereal by fastforce
next
  show top-of-set {0::ereal..} homeomorphic-space (euclidean :: ennreal topology)
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x=e2ennreal] exI[where x=enn2ereal] simp: homeomorphic-space-def homeomorphic-maps-def enn2ereal-e2ennreal continuous-on-e2ennreal continuous-map-in-subtopology continuous-on-enn2ereal image-subset-iff)
  qed

```

2.3 Continuous Embddings

abbreviation Hilbert-cube-topology :: ($\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$) topology **where**
 $\text{Hilbert-cube-topology} \equiv (\text{product-topology } (\lambda n. \text{top-of-set } \{0..1\}) \text{ UNIV})$

lemma topspace-Hilbert-cube: topspace Hilbert-cube-topology = $(\prod_E x \in \text{UNIV}. \{0..1\})$
 by simp

lemma Polish-space-Hilbert-cube: Polish-space Hilbert-cube-topology
 by(auto intro!: Polish-space-closedin Polish-space-product)

abbreviation Cantor-space-topology :: ($\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$) topology **where**
 $\text{Cantor-space-topology} \equiv (\text{product-topology } (\lambda n. \text{top-of-set } \{0,1\}) \text{ UNIV})$

lemma topspace-Cantor-space:
 $\text{topspace Cantor-space-topology} = (\prod_E x \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$
 by simp

lemma Polish-space-Cantor-space: Polish-space Cantor-space-topology

by(auto intro!: Polish-space-closedin Polish-space-product)

corollary completely-metrizable-space-homeo-image-gdelta-in:
assumes completely-metrizable-space X completely-metrizable-space $Y B \subseteq \text{topspace } Y X$ homeomorphic-space subtopology $Y B$
shows gdelta-in $Y B$
using assms completely-metrizable-space-eq-gdelta-in homeomorphic-completely-metrizable-space
by blast

2.3.1 Embedding into Hilbert Cube

lemma embedding-into-Hilbert-cube:
assumes metrizable-space X separable-space X
shows $\exists A \subseteq \text{topspace Hilbert-cube-topology. } X \text{ homeomorphic-space (subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology } A)$
proof –
consider $X = \text{trivial-topology} \mid \text{topspace } X \neq \{\}$ **by** auto
then show ?thesis
proof cases
case 1
then show ?thesis
by(auto intro!: exI[where $x=\{\}] \text{ simp: homeomorphic-empty-space-eq})$

next

case S-ne:2
then obtain U **where** $U:\text{countable}$ $U \text{ dense-in } X$ $U \neq \{\}$
using assms(2) **by**(auto simp: separable-space-def2 dense-in-nonempty)
obtain xn **where** $xn:\bigwedge n::\text{nat. } xn \in U \text{ range } xn$
by (metis U(1) U(3) from-nat-into range-from-nat-into)
then have $xns:xn \in \text{topspace } X$ **for** n
using dense-in-subset[OF U(2)] **by** auto
obtain d' **where** $d':\text{Metric-space } (\text{topspace } X)$ $d' \text{ Metric-space.mtopology } (\text{topspace } X)$ $d' = X$
by (metis Metric-space.topspace-mtopology assms(1) metrizable-space-def)
interpret $ms':\text{Metric-space topspace } X d'$ **by** fact
define d **where** $d = ms'.capped-dist (1/2)$
have $d:\text{Metric-space.mtopology } (\text{topspace } X)$ $d = X \bigwedge x y. d x y < 1$
by(simp add: d-def ms'.mtopology-capped-metric d') (simp add: d-def ms'.capped-dist-def)
interpret $ms:\text{Metric-space topspace } X d$
by (simp add: d-def ms'.capped-dist)
define f **where** $f \equiv (\lambda x n. d x (xn n))$
have $f\text{-inj}: \text{inj-on } f (\text{topspace } X)$
proof
fix $x y$
assume $xy:x \in \text{topspace } X y \in \text{topspace } X f x = f y$
then have $\bigwedge n. d x (xn n) = d y (xn n)$ **by**(auto simp: f-def dest: fun-cong)
hence $d2:d x y \leq 2 * d x (xn n)$ **for** n
using ms.triangle[OF xy(1) - xy(2), of xn n, simplified] ms.commute[OF xn n y] dense-in-subset[OF U(2)] xn(1)[of n]
by auto

```

have  $d x y < \varepsilon$  if  $\varepsilon > 0$  for  $\varepsilon$ 
proof -
  have  $0 < \varepsilon / 2$  using that by simp
  then obtain  $n$  where  $d x (xn n) < \varepsilon / 2$ 
    using ms.mdense-def2[of  $U$ , simplified  $d(1)$ ]  $U(2)$   $xy(1)$   $xn(2)$  by blast
    with  $d2[n]$  show ?thesis by simp
qed
hence  $d x y = 0$ 
  by (metis ms.nonneg[of  $x y$ ] dual-order.irrefl order-neq-le-trans)
thus  $x = y$ 
  using  $xy$  by simp
qed
have  $f\text{-img}: f`topspace X \subseteq topspace Hilbert\text{-cube-topology}$ 
  using  $d(2)$  ms.nonneg by (auto simp: topspace-Hilbert-cube  $f\text{-def less-le-not-le}$ )
have  $f\text{-cont}: continuous\text{-map } X \text{ Hilbert-cube-topology } f$ 
  unfolding continuous-map-componentwise-UNIV  $f\text{-def continuous-map-in-subtopology}$ 
proof safe
  show continuous-map  $X$  euclideanreal ( $\lambda x. d x (xn k)$ ) for  $k$ 
  proof (rule continuous-map-eq[of _ - mdist-set ms.Self {xn k}])
    show continuous-map  $X$  euclideanreal (mdist-set ms.Self {xn k})
    by (metis d(1) mdist-set-uniformly-continuous ms.mdist-Self ms.mspace-Self
        mtopology-of-def mtopology-of-euclidean uniformly-continuous-imp-continuous-map)
  next
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $x \in topspace X$ 
    then show mdist-set ms.Self {xn k}  $x = d x (xn k)$ 
      by (auto simp: ms.mdist-set-Self xns)
  qed next
  show  $d x (xn k) \in \{0..1\}$  for  $x k$ 
    using  $d(2)$  ms.nonneg by (auto simp: less-le-not-le)
  qed
hence  $f\text{-cont}': continuous\text{-map } X \text{ (subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology } (f`topspace X)) f$ 
  using continuous-map-into-subtopology by blast
  obtain  $g$  where  $g: g` (f`topspace X) = topspace X \wedge x \in topspace X \implies$ 
 $g(f x) = x \wedge x \in f`topspace X \implies f(g x) = x$ 
    by (meson f-inj f-the-inv-into-f the-inv-into-f-eq the-inv-into-onto)
  have  $g\text{-cont}: continuous\text{-map } (subtopology Hilbert\text{-cube-topology } (f`topspace X)) X g$ 
  proof -
    interpret m01: Submetric UNIV dist {0..1::real}
      by (simp add: Submetric-def Submetric-axioms-def Met-TC.Metric-space-axioms)
    have m01-eq:  $m01\text{-sub.mtopology} = top\text{-of}\text{-set } \{0..1\}$ 
      using m01.mtopology-submetric by auto
    have m01-Polish: Polish-space m01.sub.mtopology
      by (auto simp: m01-eq intro!: Polish-space-closedin)
    interpret m01': Metric-space {0..1::real}  $\lambda x y. \text{if } 0 \leq x \wedge x \leq 1 \wedge 0 \leq y$ 
 $\wedge y \leq 1 \text{ then } dist x y \text{ else } 0$ 
      by (auto intro!: Metric-space-eq[OF m01.sub.Metric-space-axioms]) metric
  qed

```

```

have m01'-eq: m01'.mtopology = top-of-set {0..1}
by(auto intro!: Metric-space-eq-mtopology[OF m01.sub.Metric-space-axioms,simplified
m01-eq,symmetric]) metric
have dist x y ≤ 1 dist x y ≥ 0 if x ≥ 0 x ≤ 1 y ≥ 0 y ≤ 1 for x y :: real
using dist-real-def that by auto
then interpret ppm: Product-metric 1/2 UNIV :: nat set id id λ-. {0..1::real}
λ- x y. if 0 ≤ x ∧ x ≤ 1 ∧ 0 ≤ y ∧ y ≤ 1 then dist x y else 0 1
by(auto intro!: product-metric-natI Metric-space-eq[OF m01.sub.Metric-space-axioms]
simp: m01.sub.commute)

have Hilbert-cube-eq: ppm.Product-metric.mtopology = Hilbert-cube-topology
by(simp add: ppm.Product-metric-mtopology-eq[symmetric] m01'-eq)
interpret f-S: Submetric Π_E x∈UNIV. {0..1} ppm.product-dist f ` topspace
X
by(auto simp: Submetric-def ppm.Product-metric.Metric-space-axioms Sub-
metric-axioms-def f-def order.strict-implies-order[OF d(2)])
have 1:subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology (f ` topspace X) = f-S.sub.mtopology
using Hilbert-cube-eq f-S.mtopology-submetric by auto
have continuous-map f-S.sub.mtopology ms.mtopology g
unfolding continuous-map-iff-limit-seq[OF f-S.sub.first-countable-mtopology]
proof safe
fix yn y
assume h: limitin f-S.sub.mtopology yn y sequentially
have h':limitin ppm.Product-metric.mtopology yn y sequentially
using f-S.limitin-submetric-iff h by blast
hence m01-conv: ∀n. limitin m01'.mtopology (λi. yn i n) (y n) sequentially
y ∈ UNIV →_E {0..1}
by(auto simp: ppm.limitin-M-iff-limitin-Mi)
have ∃N. ∀n≥N. ∃zn. yn n = f zn ∧ zn ∈ topspace X
using h g by(simp only: f-S.sub.limit-metric-sequentially) (meson imageE
ppm.K-pos)
then obtain N' zn where zn: ∀n. n ≥ N' ⇒ f (zn n) = yn n ∧ n ≥
N' ⇒ zn n ∈ topspace X
by metis
obtain z where z:f z = y z ∈ topspace X
using h f-S.sub.limitin-mspace by blast
show limitin ms.mtopology (λn. g (yn n)) (g y) sequentially
unfolding ms.limit-metric-sequentially
proof safe
fix ε :: real
assume he: 0 < ε
then have 0 < ε / 3 by simp
then obtain m where m:d z (xn m) < ε / 3
using ms.mdense-def2[of U,simplified d(1)] U(2) z(2) xn(2) by blast
have ∀e. e>0 ⇒ ∃N. ∀n≥N. yn n m ∈ {0..1} ∧ dist (yn n m) (y m)
< e
using m01-conv(1)[of m,simplified m01'.limit-metric-sequentially]
by fastforce
from this[OF ‹0 < ε / 3›] obtain N where N: ∀n. n ≥ N ⇒ |yn n m -
```

```

 $y m| < \varepsilon / 3 \wedge n \geq N \implies yn n m \in \{0..1\}$ 
  by(auto simp: dist-real-def)
  hence  $N : \bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies yn n m < \varepsilon / 3 + y m$ 
    by (metis abs-diff-less-iff add.commute)
  have  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. zn n \in \text{topspace } X \wedge d(zn n) z < \varepsilon$ 
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=max N N'])
    fix n
    assume max N N' ≤ n
    then have N ≤ n N' ≤ n
      by auto
    then have  $d(zn n) z \leq f(zn n) m + d z(xn m)$ 
    using ms.triangle[OF zn(2)[of n] xns[of m] z(2), simplified ms.commute[of xn m z]]
      by(auto simp: f-def)
    also have ... <  $\varepsilon / 3 + y m + d z(xn m)$ 
    using N[OF <N≤n> zn(1)[of n] <N'≤n> by simp]
    also have ... =  $\varepsilon / 3 + d z(xn m) + d z(xn m)$ 
      by(simp add: z(1)[symmetric] f-def)
    also have ... <  $\varepsilon$ 
      using m by auto
    finally show  $d(zn n) z < \varepsilon$ .
  qed(use zn in auto)
  thus  $\exists N. \forall n \geq N. g(yn n) \in \text{topspace } X \wedge d(g(yn n)) (g y) < \varepsilon$ 
    by (metis dual-order.trans nle-le zn(1) z(1) g(2)[OF z(2)] g(2)[OF zn(2)])
  qed(use g z in auto)
qed
hence continuous-map f-S.sub.mtopology ms.mtopology g
  by(auto simp: mtopology-of-def)
thus ?thesis
  by(simp add: d(1) 1)
qed
show ?thesis
  using f-img g(2,3) f-cont' g-cont
  by(auto intro!: exI[where x=f ' topspace X] homeomorphic-maps-imp-homeomorphic-space[where f=f and g=g] simp: homeomorphic-maps-def)
qed
qed

corollary embedding-into-Hilbert-cube-gdelta-in:
  assumes Polish-space X
  shows  $\exists A. g\text{-delta-in Hilbert-cube-topology } A \wedge X \text{ homeomorphic-space (subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology } A)$ 
proof -
  obtain A where h:A ⊆ topspace Hilbert-cube-topology X homeomorphic-space subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology A
    using embedding-into-Hilbert-cube Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space Polish-space-imp-separable-space assms by blast
    with completely-metrizable-space-homeo-image-gdelta-in[OF Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space[O

```

```

assms] Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space[OF Polish-space-Hilbert-cube]
h(1,2)]
  show ?thesis
    by blast
qed

```

2.3.2 Embedding from Cantor Space

```

lemma embedding-from-Cantor-space:
  assumes Polish-space X uncountable (topspace X)
  shows ∃ A. gdelta-in X A ∧ Cantor-space-topology homeomorphic-space (subtopology
X A)
proof -
  obtain U P where up: countable U openin X U perfect-set X PU ∪ P = topspace
X U ∩ P = {} ∧ a. a ≠ {} ⇒ openin (subtopology X P) a ⇒ uncountable a
    using Cantor-Bendixon[OF Polish-space-imp-second-countable[OF assms(1)]]
  by auto
  have P: closedin X P P ⊆ topspace X uncountable P
    using countable-Un-iff[of U P] up(1) assms up(4)
    by(simp-all add: perfect-setD[OF up(3)])
  then have pp: Polish-space (subtopology X P)
    by (simp add: assms(1) Polish-space-closedin)
  have Ptop: topspace (subtopology X P) = P
    using P(2) by auto
  obtain U where U: countable U dense-in (subtopology X P) U
    using Polish-space-def pp separable-space-def2 by auto
  with uncountable-infinite[OF P(3)] P(2)
  have infinite U
    by (metis Metric-space.t1-space-mtopology Ptop assms(1) completely-metrizable-space-def
dense-in-infinite Polish-space-def t1-space-subtopology)
  obtain d where Metric-space P d and d:Metric-space.mtopology P d = subtopol-
ogy X P and mdc: Metric-space.mcomplete P d
    by (metis Metric-space.topspace-mtopology Ptop completely-metrizable-space-def
Polish-space-def pp)
  interpret md: Metric-space P d by fact
  define xn where xn ≡ from-nat-into U
  have xn: bij-betw xn UNIV U ∧ n m. n ≠ m ⇒ xn n ≠ xn m ∧ n. xn n ∈ U
    ∧ n. xn n ∈ P md.mdense (range xn)
    using bij-betw-from-nat-into[OF U(1) ⟨infinite U⟩] dense-in-subset[OF U(2)]
d U(2) range-from-nat-into[OF infinite-imp-nonempty[OF ⟨infinite U⟩] U(1)]
    by(auto simp add: xn-def U(1) ⟨infinite U⟩ from-nat-into[OF infinite-imp-nonempty[OF
⟨infinite U⟩]])
  have perfect:perfect-space md.mtopology
    using d perfect-set-subtopology up(3) by simp
  define jn where jn ≡ (λn. LEAST i. i > n ∧ md.mcball (xn i) ((1/2)^i) ⊆
md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)^n) − md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)^i))
  define kn where kn ≡ (λn. LEAST k. k > jn n ∧ md.mcball (xn k) ((1/2)^k) ⊆
md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)^jn n))
  have dxmxn: ∀ n n'. ∃ m. m > n ∧ m > n' ∧ (1/2)^(m-1) < d (xn n) (xn m)

```

```

 $\wedge d(xn\ n)(xn\ m) < (1/2)^{\wedge}(Suc\ n)$ 
proof safe
  fix  $n\ n'$ 
  have  $hinf\text{infinite}(\text{md.mball } x\ e \cap (\text{range } xn))$  if  $x \in P$   $e > 0$  for  $x\ e$ 
proof
  assume  $h\text{-fin:finite}(\text{md.mball } x\ e \cap \text{range } xn)$ 
  have  $h\text{-nen:md.mball } x\ e \cap \text{range } xn \neq \{\}$ 
  using  $xn(5)$  that by (auto simp: md.mdense-def)
  have  $infin:\text{infinite}(\text{md.mball } x\ e)$ 
  using md.perfect-set-mball-infinite[OF perfect] that by simp
  then obtain  $y$  where  $y:y \in \text{md.mball } x\ e \text{ } y \notin \text{range } xn$ 
    using h-fin by(metis inf.absorb-iff2 inf-commute subsetI)
  define  $e'$  where  $e' = \text{Min}\{d\ y\ xk | xk \in \text{md.mball } x\ e \cap \text{range } xn\}$ 
  have  $fin:\text{finite}\{d\ y\ xk | xk \in \text{md.mball } x\ e \cap \text{range } xn\}$ 
  using finite-imageI[OF h-fin,of d y] by (metis Setcompr-eq-image)
  have  $nen:\{d\ y\ xk | xk \in \text{md.mball } x\ e \cap \text{range } xn\} \neq \{\}$ 
  using h-nen by auto
  have  $e' > 0$ 
  unfolding  $e'\text{-def}$  Min-gr-iff[OF fin nen]
proof safe
  fix  $l$ 
  assume  $xn\ l \in \text{md.mball } x\ e$ 
  with  $y$ 
  show  $0 < d\ y\ (xn\ l)$ 
    by auto
qed
obtain  $e''$  where  $e'':e'' > 0$   $\text{md.mball } y\ e'' \subseteq \text{md.mball } x\ e$   $y \in \text{md.mball } y$ 
 $e''$ 
  by (meson md.centre-in-mball-iff md.in-mball md.openin-mball md.openin-mtopology
 $y(1))$ 
  define  $\varepsilon$  where  $\varepsilon \equiv \text{min } e' e''$ 
  have  $\varepsilon > 0$ 
    using  $e''(1) \langle e' > 0 \rangle$  by (simp add: ε-def)
  then obtain  $m$  where  $m: d\ y\ (xn\ m) < \varepsilon$ 
    using md.mdense-def2[of range xn] xn(5) y(1) by fastforce
  consider  $xn\ m \in \text{md.mball } x\ e \mid xn\ m \in P - \text{md.mball } x\ e$ 
    using xn(4) by auto
  then show False
proof cases
  case 1
  then have  $e' \leq d\ y\ (xn\ m)$ 
    using Min-le-iff[OF fin nen] by (auto simp: e'-def)
  thus ?thesis
    using  $m$  by (simp add: ε-def)
next
  case 2
  then have  $xn\ m \notin \text{md.mball } y\ e''$ 
    using  $e''(2)$  by auto
  hence  $e'' \leq d\ y\ (xn\ m)$ 

```

```

    using y e'' xn by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using m by(simp add: ε-def)
qed
qed
have hinfin:infinite (md.mball x e ∩ (xn ‘ {l<..})) if x ∈ P e > 0 for x e l
proof
  assume finite (md.mball x e ∩ xn ‘ {l<..})
  moreover have finite (md.mball x e ∩ xn ‘ {..l}) by simp
  moreover have (md.mball x e ∩ (range xn)) = (md.mball x e ∩ xn ‘ {l<..})
  ∪ (md.mball x e ∩ xn ‘ {..l})
    by fastforce
  ultimately have finite (md.mball x e ∩ (range xn))
    by auto
  with hinfin'[OF that] show False ..
qed
have infinite (md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)Suc n'))
  using md.perfect-set-mball-infinite[OF perfect] xn(4)[of n] by simp
then obtain x where x: x ∈ md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)Suc n') x ≠ xn n
  by (metis finite-insert finite-subset infinite-imp-nonempty singletonI subsetI)
then obtain e where e: e > 0 md.mball x e ⊆ md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)Suc n')
  x ∈ md.mball x e
  by (meson md.centre-in-mball-iff md.in-mball md.openin-mball md.openin-mtopology)
have d (xn n) x > 0
  using xn x by simp
then obtain m' where m': m' - 1 > 0 (1/2)Suc(m' - 1) < d (xn n) x
  by (metis One-nat-def diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero one-less-numeral-iff reals-power-lt-ex
semiring-norm(76))
define m where m ≡ max m' (max n' (Suc n))
then have m ≥ m' m ≥ n' m ≥ Suc n by simp-all
hence m: m - 1 > 0 (1/2)Suc(m - 1) < d (xn n) x m > n
  using m' less-trans[OF - m'(2), of (1 / 2) Suc(m - 1)]
  by auto (metis diff-less-mono le-eq-less-or-eq)
define ε where ε ≡ min e (d (xn n) x - (1/2)Suc(m - 1))
have ε > 0
  using e m by(simp add: ε-def)
have ball-le:md.mball x ε ⊆ md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2) Suc n')
  using e(2) by(auto simp add: ε-def)
obtain k where k: xn k ∈ md.mball x ε k > m
  using ε > 0 infinite-imp-nonempty[OF hinfin, of - ε] x(1) by fastforce
show ∃m>n. n' < m ∧ (1 / 2)Suc(m - 1) < d (xn n) (xn m) ∧ d (xn n) (xn m) < (1 / 2)Suc n'
proof(intro exI[where x=k] conjI)
  have (1 / 2)Suc(k - 1) < (1 / 2 :: real) Suc(m - 1)
    using k(2) m(3) by simp
  also have ... = d (xn n) x + ((1/2)Suc(m - 1) - d (xn n) x) by simp
  also have ... < d (xn n) x - d (xn k) x
    using k by(auto simp: ε-def md.commute)
  also have ... ≤ d (xn n) (xn k)

```

```

    using xn x md.mdist-reverse-triangle[of xn n x xn k] by(auto simp:
md.commute)
    finally show (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  (k - 1) < d (xn n) (xn k) .
    qed(use ⪻m ⪻ n' k ball-le m(3) in auto)
qed
have jn n > n  $\wedge$  md.mcball (xn (jn n)) ((1/2)  $\wedge$  (jn n))  $\subseteq$  md.mball (xn n)
((1/2)  $\wedge$  n) - md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)  $\wedge$  (jn n)) for n
unfolding jn-def
proof(rule LeastI-ex)
    obtain m where m:m > n (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  (m - 1) < d (xn n) (xn m) d (xn n)
(xn m) < (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc n
        using dxmxn by auto
        show  $\exists x > n$ . md.mcball (xn x) ((1 / 2)  $\wedge$  x)  $\subseteq$  md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2)  $\wedge$  n)
- md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2)  $\wedge$  x)
        proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=m] m(1))
            fix x
            assume h:x  $\in$  md.mcball (xn m) ((1 / 2)  $\wedge$  m)
            have 1:d (xn n) x < (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  n
            proof -
                have d (xn n) x < (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc n + (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  m
                using m(3) md.triangle[OF xn(4)[of n] xn(4)[of m],of x] h by auto
                also have ...  $\leq$  (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc n + (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc n
                by (metis Suc-lessI add-mono divide-less-eq-1-pos divide-pos-pos less-eq-real-def
m(1) one-less-numeral-iff power-strict-decreasing-iff semiring-norm(76) zero-less-numeral
zero-less-one)
                finally show ?thesis by simp
            qed
            have 2:(1 / 2)  $\wedge$  m  $\leq$  d (xn n) x
            proof -
                have (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  (m - 1) < d (xn n) x + (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  m
                using order.strict-trans2[OF m(2) md.triangle[OF xn(4)[of n] - xn(4)[of
m]]] h md.commute by fastforce
                hence (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  (m - 1) - (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  m  $\leq$  d (xn n) x
                by simp
                thus ?thesis
                using not0-implies-Suc[OF gr-implies-not0[OF m(1)]] by auto
            qed
            show x  $\in$  md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2)  $\wedge$  n)
x  $\in$  md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2)  $\wedge$  m)  $\Longrightarrow$  False
            using xn h 1 2 by auto
            qed
            qed
            hence jn:  $\bigwedge n$ . jn n > n  $\bigwedge n$ . md.mcball (xn (jn n)) ((1/2)  $\wedge$  (jn n))  $\subseteq$  md.mball
(xn n) ((1/2)  $\wedge$  n) - md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)  $\wedge$  (jn n))
            by simp-all
            have kn n > jn n  $\wedge$  md.mcball (xn (kn n)) ((1/2)  $\wedge$  (kn n))  $\subseteq$  md.mball (xn n)
((1/2)  $\wedge$  jn n) for n
            unfolding kn-def
            proof(rule LeastI-ex)

```

```

obtain m where m:m > jn n d (xn n) (xn m) < (1 / 2) ^ Suc (jn n)
  using dmxm by blast
show ∃x>jn n. md.mcball (xn x) ((1 / 2) ^ x) ⊆ md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2) ^
jn n)
proof(intro exI[where x=m] conjI)
  show md.mcball (xn m) ((1 / 2) ^ m) ⊆ md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2) ^ jn n)
  proof
    fix x
    assume h:x ∈ md.mcball (xn m) ((1 / 2) ^ m)
    have d (xn n) x < (1 / 2) ^ Suc (jn n) + (1 / 2) ^ m
      using md.triangle[OF xn(4)[of n] xn(4)[of m]] h m(2) by fastforce
    also have ... ≤ (1 / 2) ^ Suc (jn n) + (1 / 2) ^ Suc (jn n)
      by (metis Suc-le-eq add-mono dual-order.refl less-divide-eq-1-pos linorder-not-less
m(1) not-numeral-less-one power-decreasing zero-le-divide-1-iff zero-le-numeral zero-less-numeral)
    finally show x ∈ md.mball (xn n) ((1 / 2) ^ jn n)
      using xn(4) h by auto
    qed
    qed(use m(1) in auto)
  qed
  hence kn: ∀n. kn n > jn n ∧ n. md.mcball (xn (kn n)) ((1/2)^(kn n)) ⊆
md.mball (xn n) ((1/2)^(jn n))
    by simp-all
  have jnkn-pos: jn n > 0 kn n > 0 for n
    using not0-implies-Suc[OF gr-implies-not0[OF jn(1)[of n]]] kn(1)[of n] by auto

define bn :: real list ⇒ nat
  where bn ≡ rec-list 1 (λa l t. if a = 0 then jn t else kn t)
have bn-simp: bn [] = 1 bn (a # l) = (if a = 0 then jn (bn l) else kn (bn l)) for
a l
  by(simp-all add: bn-def)
define to-listn :: (nat ⇒ real) ⇒ nat ⇒ real list
  where to-listn ≡ (λx . rec-nat [] (λn t. x n # t))
have to-listn-simp: to-listn x 0 = [] to-listn x (Suc n) = x n # to-listn x n for x
n
  by(simp-all add: to-listn-def)
have to-listn-eq: (∀m. m < n ⇒ x m = y m) ⇒ to-listn x n = to-listn y n
for x y n
  by(induction n) (auto simp: to-listn-simp)
have bn-gtn: bn (to-listn x n) > n for x n
  apply(induction n arbitrary: x)
  using jn(1) kn(1) by(auto simp: bn-simp to-listn-simp) (meson Suc-le-eq le-less
less-trans-Suc)+
define rn where rn ≡ (λn. Min (range (λx. (1 / 2 :: real) ^ bn (to-listn x n)))))
have rn-fin: finite (range (λx. (1 / 2 :: real) ^ bn (to-listn x n))) for n
proof -
  have finite (range (λx. bn (to-listn x n)))
  proof(induction n)
    case ih:(Suc n)
      have (range (λx. bn (to-listn x (Suc n)))) ⊆ (range (λx. jn (bn (to-listn x

```

```

n))))  $\cup$  (range ( $\lambda x. kn (bn (to-listn x n)))$ )
      by(auto simp: to-listn-simp bn-simp)
      moreover have finite ...
        using ih finite-range-imageI by auto
        ultimately show ?case by(rule finite-subset)
qed(simp add: to-listn-simp)
thus ?thesis
  using finite-range-imageI by blast
qed
have rn-nen: (range ( $\lambda x. (1 / 2 :: real) \wedge bn (to-listn x n))) \neq \{\} \text{ for } n
  by simp
have rn-pos:  $0 < rn n$  for n
  by(simp add: Min-gr-iff[OF rn-fin rn-nen] rn-def)
have rn-less:  $rn n < (1/2)^n$  for n
  using bn-gtn[of n] by(auto simp: rn-def Min-less-iff[OF rn-fin rn-nen])
  have cball-le-ball:md.mcball (xn (bn (a#l))) ((1/2)^n(bn (a#l)))  $\subseteq$  md.mball (xn (bn l)) ((1/2)^n(bn l)) for a l
    using kn(2)[of bn l] less-imp-le[OF jn(1)] jn(2) md.mball-subset-concentric[of (1 / 2) ^ jn (bn l) (1 / 2) ^ bn l xn (bn l)]
    by(auto simp: bn-simp)
  hence cball-le:md.mcball (xn (bn (a#l))) ((1/2)^n(bn (a#l)))  $\subseteq$  md.mcball (xn (bn l)) ((1/2)^n(bn l)) for a l
    using md.mball-subset-mcball by blast
  have cball-disj: md.mcball (xn (bn (0#l))) ((1/2)^n(bn (0#l)))  $\cap$  md.mcball (xn (bn (1#l))) ((1/2)^n(bn (1#l))) = \{\} for l
    using jn(2) kn(2) by(auto simp: bn-simp)
  have  $\forall x. \exists l. l \in P \wedge (\bigcap n. md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x n))) ((1 / 2) \wedge bn (to-listn x n))) = \{l\}$ 
proof
  fix x
  show  $\exists l. l \in P \wedge (\bigcap n. md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x n))) ((1 / 2) \wedge bn (to-listn x n))) = \{l\}$ 
  proof(safe intro!: md.mcomplete-nest-sing[THEN iffD1,OF mdc,rule-format])
    show md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x n))) ((1 / 2) \wedge bn (to-listn x n)) = \{}
  ==> False for n
    using md.mcball-eq-empty xn(4) by auto
  next
    show decseq ( $\lambda n. md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x n))) ((1 / 2) \wedge bn (to-listn x n))$ )
      by(intro decseq-SucI,simp add: to-listn-simp cball-le)
  next
    fix e :: real
    assume  $0 < e$ 
    then obtain N where N:  $(1 / 2) \wedge N < e$ 
      by (meson reals-power-lt-ex rel-simps(49) rel-simps(9))
    show  $\exists n a. md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x n))) ((1 / 2) \wedge bn (to-listn x n)) \subseteq md.mcball a e$ 
      proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=N] exI[where x=xn (bn (to-listn x N))])
        fix y$ 
```

```

assume  $y \in md.mcball(xn(bn(to-listn x N)))((1/2) \wedge bn(to-listn x N))$ 
then have  $y \in md.mcball(xn(bn(to-listn x N)))((1/2) \wedge N)$ 
using  $md.mcball\text{-subset-concentric}[OF power-decreasing[OF less-imp-le[OF bn-gtn[of N x]],of 1/2]]$ 
by fastforce
thus  $y \in md.mcball(xn(bn(to-listn x N)))e$ 
using  $N \cdot 0 < e$  by auto
qed
qed
qed
then obtain  $f$  where  $f : \bigwedge x. f x \in P \wedge x. (\bigcap n. md.mcball(xn(bn(to-listn x n)))((1/2) \wedge bn(to-listn x n))) = \{f x\}$ 
by metis
hence  $f' : \bigwedge n x. f x \in md.mcball(xn(bn(to-listn x n)))((1/2) \wedge bn(to-listn x n))$ 
by blast
have  $f'' : f x \in md.mball(xn(bn(to-listn x n)))((1/2) \wedge bn(to-listn x n))$  for  $n x$ 
using  $f'[of x Suc n] cball\text{-le-ball}[of - to-listn x n]$  by(fastforce simp: to-listn-simp)
interpret  $bdmd : Submetric P d f'(\Pi_E i \in UNIV. \{0,1\})$ 
by standard (use  $f$  in auto)
have  $bdmd\text{-sub} : bdmd\text{-sub.mtopology} = subtopology X(f'(\Pi_E i \in UNIV. \{0,1\}))$ 
using  $f(1) Int\text{-absorb1}[off'(UNIV \rightarrow_E \{0,1\}) P]$  by(fastforce simp: bdmd.mtopology-submetric
 $d$  subtopology-subtopology)
let  $?d = \lambda x y. if (x = 0 \vee x = 1) \wedge (y = 0 \vee y = 1) then dist x y else 0$ 
interpret  $d01 : Metric-space \{0,1::real\} ?d$ 
by(auto simp: Metric-space-def)
have  $d01 : d01.mtopology = top-of-set \{0,1\}$ 
proof –
have  $d01.mtopology = Metric-space.mtopology \{0,1\} dist$ 
by(auto intro!: Metric-space-eq-mtopology simp: Metric-space-def metric-space-class.dist-commute)
also have  $\dots = top-of-set \{0,1\}$ 
by(auto intro!: Submetric.mtopology-submetric[of UNIV dist \{0,1::real\}, simplified]
simp: Submetric-def Metric-space-def Submetric-axioms-def dist-real-def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
interpret  $pd : Product-metric 1/2 UNIV id id \lambda-. \{0,1::real\} \lambda-. ?d 1$ 
by(auto intro!: product-metric-natI d01.Metric-space-axioms)
have  $mpd-top : pd.Product-metric.mtopology = Cantor-space-topology$ 
by(auto simp: pd.Product-metric-mtopology-eq[symmetric] d01 intro!: product-topology-cong)
define  $def\text{-at}$  where  $def\text{-at} x y \equiv LEAST n. x n \neq y n$  for  $x y :: nat \Rightarrow real$ 
have  $def\text{-atxy} : \bigwedge n. n < def\text{-at} x y \implies x n = y n$   $x (def\text{-at} x y) \neq y (def\text{-at} x y)$ 
if  $x \neq y$  for  $x y$ 
proof –
have  $\exists n. x n \neq y n$ 
using that by auto
from LeastI-ex[ $OF$  this]

```

```

show  $\bigwedge n. n < \text{def-at } x y \implies x n = y n \ x (\text{def-at } x y) \neq y (\text{def-at } x y)$ 
  using not-less-Least by(auto simp: def-at-def)
qed
have def-at-le-if:  $\text{pd.product-dist } x y \leq (1/2)^\wedge n \implies n \leq \text{def-at } x y$  if assm: $x \neq y$   $x \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$   $y \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$  for  $x y n$ 
proof -
  assume h:pd.product-dist  $x y \leq (1 / 2)^\wedge n$ 
  have  $x m = y m$  if m-less-n:  $m < n$  for  $m$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume nen:  $x m \neq y m$ 
    then have ?d (x m) (y m) = 1
      using assm(2,3) by(auto simp: submetric-def)
    hence  $1 \leq 2^\wedge m * \text{pd.product-dist } x y$ 
      using pd.product-dist-geq[of m m,simplified,OF assm(2,3)] by simp
    hence  $(1/2)^\wedge m \leq 2^\wedge m * (1/2)^\wedge m * \text{pd.product-dist } x y$  by simp
    hence  $(1/2)^\wedge m \leq \text{pd.product-dist } x y$  by (simp add: power-one-over)
    also have ...  $\leq (1 / 2)^\wedge n$ 
      by(simp add: h)
    finally show False
      using that by auto
  qed
  thus  $n \leq \text{def-at } x y$ 
    by (meson def-atxy(2) linorder-not-le that(1))
qed
have def-at-le-then:  $\text{pd.product-dist } x y \leq 2 * (1/2)^\wedge n$  if assm: $x \neq y$   $x \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$   $y \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$   $n \leq \text{def-at } x y$  for  $x y n$ 
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge m. m < n \implies x m = y m$ 
    by (metis def-atxy(1) order-less-le-trans that(4))
  hence  $1: \bigwedge m. m < n \implies ?d (x m) (y m) = 0$ 
    by (simp add: submetric-def)
  have pd.product-dist  $x y = (\sum i. (1/2)^\wedge(i + n) * (?d (x (i + n)) (y (i + n))))$ 
+  $(\sum i < n. (1/2)^\wedge i * (?d (x i) (y i)))$ 
    using assm pd.product-dist-summable'[simplified] unfolding product-dist-def
    id-apply by(auto intro!: suminf-split-initial-segment simp: product-dist-def )
  also have ... =  $(\sum i. (1/2)^\wedge(i + n) * (?d (x (i + n)) (y (i + n))))$ 
    by(simp add: 1)
  also have ...  $\leq (\sum i. (1/2)^\wedge(i + n))$ 
    using pd.product-dist-summable' unfolding id-apply by(auto intro!: suminf-le
    summable-ignore-initial-segment)
  finally show ?thesis
    using pd.nsum-of-rK[of n] by simp
qed
have d-le-def:  $d (f x) (f y) \leq (1/2)^\wedge(\text{def-at } x y)$  if assm: $x \neq y$   $x \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$   $y \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$  for  $x y$ 
proof -
  have 1:to-listn x n = to-listn y n if  $n \leq \text{def-at } x y$  for  $n$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge m. m < n \implies x m = y m$ 

```

```

    by (metis def-atxy(1) order-less-le-trans that)
  then show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: to-listn-eq)
  qed
  have f x ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x (def-at x y)))) ((1 / 2) ^ bn (to-listn
x (def-at x y)))
    f y ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x (def-at x y)))) ((1 / 2) ^ bn (to-listn x
(def-at x y)))
      using f'[of x def-at x y] f'[of y def-at x y] by(auto simp: 1[OF order-refl])
      hence d (f x) (f y) ≤ 2 * (1 / 2) ^ bn (to-listn x (def-at x y))
      using f(1) by(auto intro!: md.mdiameter-is-sup'[OF - - md.mdiameter-cball-leq])
      also have ... ≤ (1/2)^(def-at x y)
    proof -
      have Suc (def-at x y) ≤ bn (to-listn x (def-at x y))
        using bn-gtn[of def-at x y x] by simp
        hence (1 / 2) ^ bn (to-listn x (def-at x y)) ≤ (1 / 2 :: real) ^ Suc (def-at x
y)
          using power-decreasing-iff[OF pd.r] by blast
        thus ?thesis
          by simp
      qed
      finally show d (f x) (f y) ≤ (1/2)^(def-at x y) .
    qed
  have fy-in:f y ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x m))) ((1/2)^bn (to-listn x m))
    ⟹ ∀ l < m. x l = y l if assm:x ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1}) y ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1})
  for x y m
    proof(induction m)
      case ih:(Suc m)
      have f y ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x m))) ((1 / 2) ^ bn (to-listn x m))
        using ih(2) cball-le by(fastforce simp: to-listn-simp)
        with ih(1) have k:k < m ⟹ x k = y k for k by simp
        show ?case
      proof safe
        fix l
        assume l < Suc m
        then consider l < m | l = m
          using ‹l < Suc m› by fastforce
        thus x l = y l
      proof cases
        case 2
        have 3:f y ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (y l # to-listn y l))) ((1 / 2) ^ bn (y l #
to-listn y l))
          using f'[of y Suc l] by(simp add: to-listn-simp)
        have 4:f y ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (x l # to-listn y l))) ((1 / 2) ^ bn (x l #
to-listn y l))
          using ih(2) to-listn-eq[of m x y,OF k] by(simp add: to-listn-simp 2)
        show ?thesis
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume x l ≠ y l
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

then consider x l = 0 y l = 1 | x l = 1 y l = 0
  using assm(1,2) by(auto simp: PiE-def Pi-def) metis
thus False
  by cases (use cball-disj[of to-listn y l] 3 4 in auto)
qed
qed(simp add: k)
qed
qed simp
have d-le-rn-then:  $\exists e > 0. \forall y \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\}). x \neq y \longrightarrow d(fx)(fy) < e$ 
 $\longrightarrow n \leq \text{def-at } x y$  if assm:  $x \in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$  for x n
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=(1/2)^bn (to-listn x n) - d (xn (bn (to-listn x n))) (fx)])
    show  $0 < (1 / 2) \wedge bn(\text{to-listn } x n) - d(xn(bn(\text{to-listn } x n))) (fx)$ 
    using f'' by auto
next
  fix y
  assume h:y  $\in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$   $d(fx)(fy) < (1 / 2) \wedge bn(\text{to-listn } x n) - d(xn(bn(\text{to-listn } x n))) (fx) x \neq y$ 
  then have f y  $\in \text{md.mcball}(xn(bn(\text{to-listn } x n))) ((1/2)^bn(\text{to-listn } x n))$ 
    using md.triangle[OF xn(4)[of bn (to-listn x n)] f(1)[of x] f(1)[of y]]
    by(simp add: xn(4)[of bn (to-listn x n)] f(1)[of y] md.mcball-def)
  with fy-in[OF assm h(1)] have  $\forall m < n. xm = y m$ 
    by auto
  thus n  $\leq \text{def-at } x y$ 
    by (meson def-atxy(2) linorder-not-le h(3))
qed
have 0:  $f'(\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\}) \subseteq \text{topspace } X$ 
  using f(1) P(2) by auto
have 1: continuous-map pd.Product-metric.mtopology bdmd.sub.mtopology f
unfolding pd.Product-metric.metric-continuous-map[OF bdmd.sub.Metric-space-axioms]
proof safe
  fix x :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real and  $\varepsilon :: \text{real}$ 
  assume h:x  $\in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$   $0 < \varepsilon$ 
  then obtain n where n:(1/2)^n < ε
    using real-arch-pow-inv[OF - pd.r(2)] by auto
  show  $\exists \delta > 0. \forall y. y \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E \{0, 1\} \wedge \text{pd.product-dist } x y < \delta \longrightarrow d(fx)(fy) < \varepsilon$ 
  proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=(1/2)^n])
    fix y
    assume y:y  $\in (\Pi_E i \in \text{UNIV}. \{0,1\})$  pd.product-dist x y < (1 / 2) ^ n
    consider x = y | x  $\neq y$  by auto
    thus d (fx) (fy) < ε
    proof cases
      case 1
      with y(1) h md.zero[OF f(1)[of y] f(1)[of y]]
      show ?thesis by simp
    next
      case 2
      then have n  $\leq \text{def-at } x y$ 

```

```

using h(1) y by(auto intro!: def-at-le-if)
have d (f x) (f y) ≤ (1/2)^(def-at x y)
  using h(1) y(1) by(auto simp: d-le-def[OF 2 h(1) y(1)])
  also have ... ≤ (1/2)^n
    using `n ≤ def-at x y` by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    using n by simp
qed
qed simp
qed
have 2: open-map pd.Product-metric.mtopology bdmd.sub.mtopology f
proof -
  have open-map (mtopology-of pd.Product-metric.Self) (subtopology (mtopology-of
    md.Self)) (f ` mspace pd.Product-metric.Self)) f
  proof(safe intro!: Metric-space-open-map-from-dist)
    fix x :: nat ⇒ real and ε :: real
    assume h:x ∈ mspace pd.Product-metric.Self 0 < ε
    then have x:x ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1}) by simp
    from h obtain n where n: (1/2)^n < ε
      using real-arch-pow-inv[OF - pd.r(2)] by auto
    obtain e where e: e > 0 ∧ y ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1}) ⇒ x ≠ y ⇒ d (f
      x) (f y) < e ⇒ Suc n ≤ def-at x y
      using d-le-rn-then[OF x,of Suc n] by auto
    show ∃ δ>0. ∀ y∈mspace pd.Product-metric.Self. mdist md.Self (f x) (f y) <
      δ → mdist pd.Product-metric.Self x y < ε
    unfolding md.mdist-Self pd.Product-metric.mspace-Self pd.Product-metric.mdist-Self
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=e])
      fix y
      assume y:y ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1}) and d (f x) (f y) < e
      then have d':d (f x) (f y) < e
        using h(1) by simp
      consider x = y | x ≠ y by auto
      thus pd.product-dist x y < ε
        by cases (use pd.Product-metric.zero[OF y y] h(2) def-at-le-then[OF - x y
          e(2)[OF y - d']] n in auto)
      qed(use e(1) in auto)
      qed(use f in auto)
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: bdmd.mtopology-submetric mtopology-of-def)
    qed
  have 3: f ` (topspace pd.Product-metric.mtopology) = topspace bdmd.sub.mtopology
    by simp
  have 4: inj-on f (topspace pd.Product-metric.mtopology)
    unfolding pd.Product-metric.topspace-mtopology
  proof
    fix x y
    assume h:x ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1}) y ∈ (Π_E i∈UNIV. {0,1}) f x = f y
    show x = y
  proof

```

```

fix n
have f y ∈ md.mcball (xn (bn (to-listn x (Suc n)))) ((1/2) ^ bn (to-listn x
(Suc n)))
using f'[of x Suc n] by(simp add: h)
thus x n = y n
using fy-in[OF h(1,2),of Suc n] by simp
qed
qed
show ?thesis
using homeomorphic-map-imp-homeomorphic-space[OF bijective-open-imp-homeomorphic-map[OF
1 2 3 4]] 0
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) bdmd-sub completely-metrizable-space-homeo-image-gdelta-in
mpd-top Polish-space-Cantor-space Polish-space-def)
qed

```

2.4 Borel Spaces generated from Polish Spaces

lemma closedin-clopen-topology:

assumes Polish-space X closedin X a

shows ∃ X'. Polish-space X' ∧ (∀ u. openin X u → openin X' u) ∧ topspace X = topspace X' ∧ sets (borel-of X) = sets (borel-of X') ∧ openin X' a ∧ closedin X' a

proof –

have p1:Polish-space (subtopology X a)

by (simp add: assms Polish-space-closedin)

then obtain da' **where** da': Metric-space a da' subtopology X a = Metric-space.mtopology a da' Metric-space.mcomplete a da'

by (metis Metric-space.topspace-mtopology assms(2) closedin-subset completely-metrizable-space-def Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space topspace-subtopology-subset)

define da **where** da = Metric-space.capped-dist da' (1/2)

have da: subtopology X a = Metric-space.mtopology a da Metric-space.mcomplete a da

using da' **by**(auto simp: da-def Metric-space.mtopology-capped-metric Metric-space.mcomplete-capped-metric)

interpret pa: Metric-space a da

using da' **by**(simp add: Metric-space.capped-dist da-def)

have da-bounded: ∀x y. da x y < 1

using da' **by**(auto simp: da-def Metric-space.capped-dist-def)

have p2:Polish-space (subtopology X (topspace X - a))

by (meson assms(1) assms(2) closedin-def Polish-space-openin)

then obtain db' **where** db': Metric-space (topspace X - a) db' subtopology X (topspace X - a) = Metric-space.mtopology (topspace X - a) db' Metric-space.mcomplete (topspace X - a) db'

by (metis Diff-subset Metric-space.topspace-mtopology completely-metrizable-space-def Polish-space-imp-completely-metrizable-space topspace-subtopology-subset)

define db **where** db = Metric-space.capped-dist db' (1/2)

have db: subtopology X (topspace X - a) = Metric-space.mtopology (topspace X - a) db Metric-space.mcomplete (topspace X - a) db

using db' **by**(auto simp: db-def Metric-space.mtopology-capped-metric Met-

```

ric-space.mcomplete-capped-metric)
interpret pb: Metric-space topspace X - a db
  using db' by(simp add: Metric-space.capped-dist db-def)
have db-bounded:  $\bigwedge x y. db x y < 1$ 
  using db' by(auto simp: db-def Metric-space.capped-dist-def)
interpret p: Sum-metric UNIV  $\lambda b. if b then a else topspace X - a \lambda b. if b then da else db$ 
  using da db da-bounded db-bounded by(auto intro!: sum-metricI simp: disjoint-family-on-def pa.Metric-space-axioms pb.Metric-space-axioms)
have 0:  $(\bigcup i. if i then a else topspace X - a) = topspace X$ 
  using closedin-subset assms by auto

have 1: sets (borel-of X) = sets (borel-of p.Sum-metric.mtopology)
proof -
  have sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin X)) = sigma-sets (topspace X)
  (Collect (openin p.Sum-metric.mtopology))
  proof(rule sigma-sets-eqI)
    fix a
    assume a ∈ Collect (openin X)
    then have openin p.Sum-metric.mtopology a
      by(simp only: p.openin-mtopology-iff) (auto simp: 0 da(1)[symmetric]
      db(1)[symmetric] openin-subtopology dest: openin-subset)
    thus a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin p.Sum-metric.mtopology))
      by auto
  next
  interpret s: sigma-algebra topspace X sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin X))
    by(auto intro!: sigma-algebra-sigma-sets openin-subset)
    fix b
    assume b ∈ Collect (openin p.Sum-metric.mtopology)
    then have openin p.Sum-metric.mtopology b by auto
    then have b:b ⊆ topspace X openin (subtopology X a) (b ∩ a) openin
    (subtopology X (topspace X - a)) (b ∩ (topspace X - a))
      by(simp-all only: p.openin-mtopology-iff,insert 0 da(1) db(1)) (auto simp:
      all-bool-eq)
    have [simp]:  $(b \cap a) \cup (b \cap (topspace X - a)) = b$ 
      using Diff-partition b(1) by blast
    have  $(b \cap a) \cup (b \cap (topspace X - a)) \in \sigma\text{-sets} (topspace X)$  (Collect
    (openin X))
    proof(rule sigma-sets-Un)
      have [simp]: a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect (openin X))
      proof -
        have topspace X - (topspace X - a) ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) (Collect
        (openin X))
        by(rule sigma-sets.Compl) (use assms in auto)
        thus ?thesis
          using double-diff[OF closedin-subset[OF assms(2)]] by simp
      qed
      from b(2,3) obtain T T' where T:openin X T openin X T' and [simp]:b

```

```

 $\cap a = T \cap a$   $b \cap (\text{topspace } X - a) = T' \cap (\text{topspace } X - a)$ 
  by(auto simp: openin-subtopology)
  show  $b \cap a \in \text{sigma-sets}(\text{topspace } X)$  (Collect (openin X))
     $b \cap (\text{topspace } X - a) \in \text{sigma-sets}(\text{topspace } X)$  (Collect (openin X))
    using  $T$  assms by auto
qed
thus  $b \in \text{sigma-sets}(\text{topspace } X)$  (Collect (openin X))
  by simp
qed
thus ?thesis
  by(simp only: sets-borel-of p.Sum-metric.topspace-mtopology) (use 0 in auto)
qed
have 2: $\bigwedge u. \text{openin } X u \implies \text{openin } p.\text{Sum-metric.mtopology } u$ 
  by(simp only: p.openin-mtopology-iff) (auto simp: all-bool-eq da(1)[symmetric]
db(1)[symmetric] openin-subtopology dest: openin-subset)
have 3: $\text{openin } p.\text{Sum-metric.mtopology } a$ 
  by(simp only: p.openin-mtopology-iff) (auto simp: all-bool-eq)
have 4: $\text{closedin } p.\text{Sum-metric.mtopology } a$ 
  by (metis 0 2 assms(2) closedin-def p.Sum-metric.topspace-mtopology)
have 5: $\text{topspace } X = \text{topspace } p.\text{Sum-metric.mtopology}$ 
  by(simp only: p.Sum-metric.topspace-mtopology) (simp only: 0)
have 6: $\text{Polish-space } p.\text{Sum-metric.mtopology}$ 
  by(rule p.Polish-spaceI,insert da(2) db(2) p1 p2) (auto simp: da(1) db(1)
Polish-space-def)
show ?thesis
  by(rule exI[where x=p.Sum-metric.mtopology]) (insert 5 2 6, simp only: 1 3
4 ,auto)
qed

```

lemma Polish-space-union-Polish:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ topology}$

assumes $\bigwedge n. \text{Polish-space}(X n) \wedge \bigwedge n. \text{topspace}(X n) = Xt \wedge \forall x y. x \in Xt \implies y \in Xt \implies x \neq y \implies \exists O_x O_y. (\forall n. \text{openin}(X n) O_x) \wedge (\forall n. \text{openin}(X n) O_y) \wedge x \in O_x \wedge y \in O_y \wedge \text{disjnt } O_x O_y$

defines $X_{\text{un}} \equiv \text{topology-generated-by}(\bigcup n. \{u. \text{openin}(X n) u\})$

shows $\text{Polish-space } X_{\text{un}}$

proof –

have $\text{tops } X_{\text{un}} : \text{topspace } X_{\text{un}} = Xt$

using assms(2) by(auto simp: Xun-def dest:openin-subset)

define $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ where $f \equiv (\lambda x n. x)$

have continuous-map X_{un} (product-topology X UNIV) f

by(auto simp: assms(2) topsXun f-def continuous-map-componentwise, auto
simp: Xun-def openin-topology-generated-by-iff continuous-map-def assms(2) dest:openin-subset[of
 X ,simplified assms(2)])

(insert openin-subopen, fastforce intro!: generate-topology-on.Basis)

hence 1: continuous-map X_{un} (subtopology (product-topology X UNIV) (f ` (topspace X_{un}))) f

by(auto simp: continuous-map-in-subtopology)

have 2: inj-on f (topspace X_{un})

```

    by(auto simp: inj-on-def f-def dest:fun-cong)
  have 3:  $f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}}) = \text{topspace}(\text{subtopology}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})$   

 $(f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}})))$ 
    by(auto simp: topsXun assms(2) f-def)
  have 4: open-map  $X_{\text{un}}$  ( $\text{subtopology}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})$ )  $(f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}}))$ 
  proof(safe intro!: open-map-generated-topo[OF - 2[simplified Xun-def], simplified  

Xun-def[symmetric]])
    fix  $u n$ 
    assume  $u:\text{openin}(X n) u$ 
    show openin ( $\text{subtopology}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})$ )  $(f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}}))$   $(f' u)$ 
      unfolding openin-subtopology
    proof(safe intro!: exI[where  $x=\{\lambda i. \text{if } i=n \text{ then } a \text{ else } b\} | a b. a \in u \wedge b \in$   

 $\text{UNIV} \rightarrow Xt\}]])
      show openin ( $\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}$ )  $\{\lambda i. \text{if } i=n \text{ then } a \text{ else } b\} | a b. a$   

 $\in u \wedge b \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow Xt\}$ 
        by(auto simp: openin-product-topology-alt u assms(2) openin-topspace[of X  

-, simplified assms(2)] intro!: exI[where  $x=\lambda i. \text{if } i=n \text{ then } u \text{ else } Xt\}] )
          (auto simp: PiE-def Pi-def, metis openin-subset[OF u,simplified assms(2)]  

in-mono)
      next
      show  $\bigwedge y. y \in u \implies \exists a b. f y = (\lambda i. \text{if } i=n \text{ then } a \text{ else } b)$   $\wedge a \in u \wedge b \in$   

 $\text{UNIV} \rightarrow Xt$ 
        using assms(2) f-def openin-subset u by fastforce
      next
      show  $\bigwedge y. y \in u \implies f y \in f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}})$ 
        using openin-subset[OF u] by(auto simp: assms(2) topsXun)
      next
      show  $\bigwedge x xa a b. xa \in \text{topspace } X_{\text{un}} \implies f xa = (\lambda i. \text{if } i=n \text{ then } a \text{ else } b)$ 
 $\implies a \in u \implies b \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow Xt \implies f xa \in f' u$ 
        using openin-subset[OF u] by(auto simp: topsXun assms(2)) (metis f-def  

imageI)
      qed
      qed
    have 5:( $\text{subtopology}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})$ )  $(f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}}))$  homeomorphic-space  $X_{\text{un}}$ 
    using homeomorphic-map-imp-homeomorphic-space[OF bijective-open-imp-homeomorphic-map[OF  

1 4 3 2]]
    by(simp add: homeomorphic-space-sym[of Xun])
    show ?thesis
    proof(safe intro!: homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux[OF Polish-space-closedin[OF  

Polish-space-product] 5] assms)
      show closedin ( $\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}$ )  $(f'(\text{topspace } X_{\text{un}}))$ 
      proof -
        have 1: openin ( $\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}$ )  $((\text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E Xt) - f' Xt)$ 
        proof(rule openin-subopen[THEN iffD2])
          show  $\forall x \in (\text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E Xt) - f' Xt. \exists T. \text{openin}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})$   

 $T \wedge x \in T \wedge T \subseteq (\text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E Xt) - f' Xt$$$ 
```

```

proof safe
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x:x \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E \text{Xt}$   $x \notin f` \text{Xt}$ 
  have  $\exists n. x n \neq x 0$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\nexists n. x n \neq x 0$ 
    then have  $\forall n. x n = x 0$  by auto
    hence  $x = (\lambda n. x n)$  by auto
    thus False
      using  $x$  by(auto simp: f-def topsXun assms(2))
  qed
  then obtain  $n$  where  $n \neq 0$   $x n \neq x 0$ 
    by metis
  from  $\text{assms}(3)[OF\ -\ -\ this(2)]\ x$ 
  obtain  $On\ O0$  where  $h:\bigwedge n. \text{openin}(X n) On \bigwedge n. \text{openin}(X n) O0 x n$ 
 $\in On x 0 \in O0 \text{ disjoint } On O0$ 
    by fastforce
    have  $\text{openin}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) ((\lambda x. x 0) -` O0 \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}))$ 
      using  $\text{continuous-map-product-coordinates}[of 0 \text{ UNIV } X] h(2)[of 0]$  by blast
    moreover have  $\text{openin}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) ((\lambda x. x n) -` On \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}))$ 
      using  $\text{continuous-map-product-coordinates}[of n \text{ UNIV } X] h(1)[of n]$  by blast
    ultimately have  $op: \text{openin}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) ((\lambda T. T 0) -` O0 \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) \cap ((\lambda T. T n) -` On \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})))$ 
      by auto
    have  $xin:x \in (\lambda T. T 0) -` O0 \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) \cap ((\lambda T. T n) -` On \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}))$ 
      using  $x h(3,4)$  by(auto simp: assms(2))
    have  $\text{subset}:(\lambda T. T 0) -` O0 \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) \cap ((\lambda T. T n) -` On \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})) \subseteq (\text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E \text{Xt}) -` f` \text{Xt}$ 
      using  $h(5)$  by(auto simp: assms(2) disjoint-def f-def)

    show  $\exists T. \text{openin}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}) T \wedge x \in T \wedge T \subseteq (\text{UNIV} \rightarrow_E \text{Xt}) -` f` \text{Xt}$ 
      by(rule exI[where  $x=((\lambda x. x 0) -` O0 \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV})) \cap ((\lambda x. x n) -` On \cap \text{topspace}(\text{product-topology } X \text{ UNIV}))$ ]) (use op xin subset in auto)
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by(auto simp: closedin-def assms(2) topsXun f-def)
  qed
  qed(simp add: f-def)
qed

```

```

lemma sets-clopen-topology:
  assumes Polish-space X a ∈ sets (borel-of X)
  shows ∃ X'. Polish-space X' ∧ (∀ u. openin X u → openin X' u) ∧ topspace X
  = topspace X' ∧ sets (borel-of X) = sets (borel-of X') ∧ openin X' a ∧ closedin
  X' a
  proof -
    have a ∈ sigma-sets (topspace X) {U. closedin X U}
    using assms by(simp add: sets-borel-of-closed)
    thus ?thesis
    proof induction
      case (Basic a)
      then show ?case
        by(simp add: assms closedin-clopen-topology)
    next
      case Empty
      with polish-space-axioms assms show ?case
        by auto
    next
      case (Compl a)
      then obtain X' where S': Polish-space X' (∀ u. openin X u → openin X'
      u) topspace X = topspace X' sets (borel-of X) = sets (borel-of X') openin X' a
      closedin X' a
        by auto
      from closedin-clopen-topology[OF S'(1) S'(6)] S'
      show ?case by auto
    next
      case ih:(Union a)
      then obtain Si where Si:
        ∀ i. Polish-space (Si i) ∧ u i. openin X u ⇒ openin (Si i) u ∧ i::nat. topspace
        X = topspace (Si i) ∧ i. sets (borel-of X) = sets (borel-of (Si i)) ∧ i. openin (Si i)
        (a i) ∧ i. closedin (Si i) (a i)
        by metis
      define Sun where Sun ≡ topology-generated-by (⋃ n. {u. openin (Si n) u})
      have Sun1: Polish-space Sun
        unfolding Sun-def
      proof(safe intro!: Polish-space-union-Polish[where Xt=topspace X])
        fix x y
        assume xy:x ∈ topspace X y ∈ topspace X x ≠ y
        then obtain Ox Oy where Oxy: x ∈ Ox y ∈ Oy openin X Ox openin X Oy
        disjoint Ox Oy
          using metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space[OF Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space[OF
          assms(1)]]]
          by(simp only: Hausdorff-space-def) metis
          show ∃ Ox Oy. (∀ x. openin (Si x) Ox) ∧ (∀ x. openin (Si x) Oy) ∧ x ∈ Ox
          ∧ y ∈ Oy ∧ disjoint Ox Oy
            by(rule exI[where x=Ox],insert Si(2) Oxy, auto intro!: exI[where x=Oy])
        qed (use Si in auto)
        have Suntop: topspace X = topspace Sun

```

```

using Si(3) by(auto simp: Sun-def dest: openin-subset)
have Sunsets: sets (borel-of X) = sets (borel-of Sun) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = sigma-sets (topspace X) (⋃ n. {u. openin (Si n) u})
  proof
    show sets (borel-of X) ⊆ sigma-sets (topspace X) (⋃ n. {u. openin (Si n)
u})
    using Si(2) by(auto simp: sets-borel-of intro!: sigma-sets-mono')
  next
    show sigma-sets (topspace X) (⋃ n. {u. openin (Si n) u}) ⊆ sets (borel-of
X)
      by(simp add: sigma-sets-le-sets-iff[of borel-of X ⋃ n. {u. openin (Si n)
u}, simplified space-borel-of]) (use Si(4) sets-borel-of in fastforce)
  qed
  also have ... = ?rhs
  using borel-of-second-countable'[OF Polish-space-imp-second-countable[OF
Sun], of ⋃ n. {u. openin (Si n) u}]
    by (simp add: Sun-def Suntop subbase-in-def subset-Pow-Union)
  finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have Sun-open: ⋀ u i. openin (Si i) u ⟹ openin Sun u
  by(auto simp: Sun-def openin-topology-generated-by-iff intro!: generate-topology-on.Basis)
  have Sun-opena: openin Sun (⋃ i. a i)
  using Sun-open[OF Si(5), simplified Sun-def] by(auto simp: Sun-def openin-topology-generated-by-iff
intro!: generate-topology-on.UN)
  hence closedin Sun (topspace Sun - (⋃ i. a i))
    by auto
  from closedin-clopen-topology[OF Sun1 this]
  show ?case
    using Suntop Sunsets Sun-open[OF Si(2)] Sun-opena
      by (metis closedin-def openin-closedin-eq)
  qed
qed
end

```

3 Standard Borel Spaces

3.1 Standard Borel Spaces

```

theory StandardBorel
  imports Abstract-Metrizable-Topology
begin

locale standard-borel =
  fixes M :: 'a measure
  assumes Polish-space: ∃ S. Polish-space S ∧ sets M = sets (borel-of S)
begin

```

```

lemma singleton-sets:
  assumes  $x \in \text{space } M$ 
  shows  $\{x\} \in \text{sets } M$ 
proof –
  obtain  $S$  where  $s:\text{Polish-space } S \text{ sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } S)$ 
    using Polish-space by blast
  have closedin  $S \{x\}$ 
  using assms by(simp add: sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF s(2)] closedin-Hausdorff-sing-eq[OF
metrizable-imp-Hausdorff-space[OF Polish-space-imp-metrizable-space[OF s(1)]]] space-borel-of)
  thus ?thesis
    using borel-of-closed  $s$  by simp
qed

corollary countable-sets:
  assumes  $A \subseteq \text{space } M$  countable  $A$ 
  shows  $A \in \text{sets } M$ 
  using sets.countable[OF singleton-sets assms(2)] assms(1)
  by auto

lemma standard-borel-restrict-space:
  assumes  $A \in \text{sets } M$ 
  shows standard-borel (restrict-space  $M A$ )
proof –
  obtain  $S$  where  $s:\text{Polish-space } S \text{ sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } S)$ 
    using Polish-space by blast
  obtain  $S'$  where  $S':\text{Polish-space } S' \text{ sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } S') \text{ openin } S' A$ 
    using sets-clopen-topology[OF s(1),simplified s(2)[symmetric],OF assms] by
auto
  show ?thesis
    using Polish-space-openin[OF S'(1,3)] S'(2)
    by(auto simp: standard-borel-def borel-of-subtopology sets-restrict-space intro!
exI[where  $x=\text{subtopology } S' A$ ])
qed

end

locale standard-borel-ne = standard-borel +
  assumes space-ne:  $\text{space } M \neq \{\}$ 
begin

lemma standard-borel-ne-restrict-space:
  assumes  $A \in \text{sets } M$   $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows standard-borel-ne (restrict-space  $M A$ )
  using assms by(auto simp: standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def
standard-borel-restrict-space)

lemma standard-borel: standard-borel  $M$ 
  by(rule standard-borel-axioms)

```

end

lemma *standard-borel-sets*:

assumes *standard-borel M* **and** *sets M = sets N*

shows *standard-borel N*

using assms by(simp add: standard-borel-def)

lemma *standard-borel-ne-sets*:

assumes *standard-borel-ne M* **and** *sets M = sets N*

shows *standard-borel-ne N*

using assms by(simp add: standard-borel-def standard-borel-ne-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF assms(2)] standard-borel-ne-axioms-def)

lemma *pair-standard-borel*:

assumes *standard-borel M standard-borel N*

shows *standard-borel (M \otimes_M N)*

proof –

obtain S S' where hs:

Polish-space S sets M = sets (borel-of S) Polish-space S' sets N = sets (borel-of S')

using assms by(auto simp: standard-borel-def)

have sets (M \otimes_M N) = sets (borel-of (prod-topology S S'))

unfolding borel-of-prod[OF Polish-space-imp-second-countable[OF hs(1)] Polish-space-imp-second-countable[OF hs(3)],symmetric]

using sets-pair-measure-cong[OF hs(2,4)] .

thus ?thesis

unfolding standard-borel-def by(auto intro!: exI[where x=prod-topology S S'] simp: Polish-space-prod[OF hs(1,3)])

qed

lemma *pair-standard-borel-ne*:

assumes *standard-borel-ne M standard-borel-ne N*

shows *standard-borel-ne (M \otimes_M N)*

using assms by(auto simp: pair-standard-borel standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def space-pair-measure)

lemma *product-standard-borel*:

assumes *countable I*

and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{standard-borel } (M i)$

shows *standard-borel ($\Pi_M i \in I. M i$)*

proof –

obtain S where hs:

$\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{Polish-space } (S i) \quad \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{sets } (M i) = \text{sets } (\text{borel-of } (S i))$

using assms(2) by(auto simp: standard-borel-def) metis

have sets ($\Pi_M i \in I. M i$) = sets ($\Pi_M i \in I. \text{borel-of } (S i)$)

using hs(2) by(auto intro!: sets-PiM-cong)

also have ... = sets (borel-of (product-topology S I))

using assms(1) Polish-space-imp-second-countable[OF hs(1)] by(auto intro!: sets-PiM-equal-borel-of)

```

finally have 1:sets ( $\prod_M i \in I. M i$ ) = sets (borel-of (product-topology S I)).
show ?thesis
unfolding standard-borel-def
using assms(1) hs(1) by(auto intro!: exI[where x=product-topology S I] Polish-space-product simp: 1)
qed

lemma product-standard-borel-ne:
assumes countable I
and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies$  standard-borel-ne ( $M i$ )
shows standard-borel-ne ( $\prod_M i \in I. M i$ )
using assms by(auto simp: standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def product-standard-borel)

lemma closed-set-standard-borel[simp]:
fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) closed U
shows standard-borel (restrict-space borel U)
by(auto simp: standard-borel-def borel-of-euclidean borel-of-subtopology assms intro!: exI[where x=subtopology euclidean U] Polish-space-closedin)

lemma closed-set-standard-borel-ne[simp]:
fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) closed U  $U \neq \{\}$ 
shows standard-borel-ne (restrict-space borel U)
using assms by(simp add: standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def)

lemma open-set-standard-borel[simp]:
fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) open U
shows standard-borel (restrict-space borel U)
by(auto simp: standard-borel-def borel-of-euclidean borel-of-subtopology assms intro!: exI[where x=subtopology euclidean U] Polish-space-openin)

lemma open-set-standard-borel-ne[simp]:
fixes U :: 'a :: topological-space set
assumes Polish-space (euclidean :: 'a topology) open U  $U \neq \{\}$ 
shows standard-borel-ne (restrict-space borel U)
using assms by(simp add: standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def)

lemma standard-borel-ne-borel[simp]: standard-borel-ne (borel :: ('a :: polish-space) measure)
and standard-borel-ne-lborel[simp]: standard-borel-ne lborel
unfolding standard-borel-def standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def
by(auto intro!: exI[where x=euclidean] simp: borel-of-euclidean)

lemma count-space-standard'[simp]:
assumes countable I
shows standard-borel (count-space I)

```

```

by(rule standard-borel-sets[OF - sets-borel-of-discrete-topology]) (auto simp add:
assms Polish-space-discrete-topology standard-borel-def intro!: exI[where x=discrete-topology I])

lemma count-space-standard-ne[simp]: standard-borel-ne (count-space (UNIV :: (- :: countable) set))
by (simp add: standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def)

corollary measure-pmf-standard-borel-ne[simp]: standard-borel-ne (measure-pmf (p :: (- :: countable) pmf))
using count-space-standard-ne sets-measure-pmf-count-space standard-borel-ne-sets
by blast

corollary measure-spmf-standard-borel-ne[simp]: standard-borel-ne (measure-spmf (p :: (- :: countable) spmf))
using count-space-standard-ne sets-measure-spmf standard-borel-ne-sets by blast

corollary countable-standard-ne[simp]:
standard-borel-ne (borel :: 'a :: {countable,t2-space} measure)
by(simp add: standard-borel-sets[OF - sets-borel-eq-count-space[symmetric]] standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def)

lemma(in standard-borel) countable-discrete-space:
assumes countable (space M)
shows sets M = Pow (space M)
proof safe
fix A
assume A ⊆ space M
with assms have countable A
by(simp add: countable-subset)
thus A ∈ sets M
using ⟨A ⊆ space M⟩ singleton-sets
by(auto intro!: sets.countable[of A])
qed(use sets.sets-into-space in auto)

lemma(in standard-borel) measurable-isomorphic-standard:
assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
shows standard-borel N
proof -
obtain S where S:Polish-space S sets M = sets (borel-of S)
using Polish-space by auto
from measurable-isomorphic-borels[OF S(2) assms]
obtain S' where S': S homeomorphic-space S' ∧ sets N = sets (borel-of S')
by auto
thus ?thesis
by(auto simp: standard-borel-def homeomorphic-Polish-space-aux[OF S(1)] intro!: exI[where x=S'])
qed

```

```

lemma(in standard-borel-ne) measurable-isomorphic-standard-ne:
  assumes M measurable-isomorphic N
  shows standard-borel-ne N
  using measurable-isomorphic-empty2[OF - assms] by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-standard[OF
assms] standard-borel-ne-def standard-borel-ne-axioms-def space-ne)

lemma(in standard-borel) standard-borel-embed-measure:
  assumes inj-on f (space M)
  shows standard-borel (embed-measure M f)
  using measurable-embed-measure2[OF assms]
  by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-standard exI[where x=f] simp: measurable-isomorphic-def measurable-isomorphic-map-def assms in-sets-embed-measure
measurable-def sets.sets-into-space space-embed-measure the-inv-into-into the-inv-into-vimage
bij-btw-def)

corollary(in standard-borel-ne) standard-borel-ne-embed-measure:
  assumes inj-on f (space M)
  shows standard-borel-ne (embed-measure M f)
  by (simp add: assms space-embed-measure space-ne standard-borel-embed-measure
standard-borel-ne-axioms-def standard-borel-ne-def)

```

```

lemma
  shows standard-ne-ereal: standard-borel-ne (borel :: ereal measure)
  and standard-ne-ennreal: standard-borel-ne (borel :: ennreal measure)
  using Polish-space-ereal Polish-space-ennreal by(auto simp: standard-borel-ne-def
standard-borel-ne-axioms-def standard-borel-def borel-of-euclidean)

```

Cantor space \mathcal{C}

```

definition Cantor-space :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  real) measure where
  Cantor-space  $\equiv$  ( $\Pi_M i \in \text{UNIV}.$  restrict-space borel {0,1})

```

```

lemma Cantor-space-standard-ne: standard-borel-ne Cantor-space
  by(auto simp: Cantor-space-def intro!: product-standard-borel-ne)

```

```

lemma Cantor-space-borel:
  sets (borel-of Cantor-space-topology) = sets Cantor-space
  (is ?lhs = -)
proof -
  have ?lhs = sets ( $\Pi_M i \in \text{UNIV}.$  borel-of (top-of-set {0,1}))
  by(auto intro!: sets-PiM-equal-borel-of[symmetric] second-countable-subtopology)
  thus ?thesis
    by(simp add: borel-of-subtopology Cantor-space-def borel-of-euclidean)
qed

```

Hilbert cube \mathcal{H}

```

definition Hilbert-cube :: (nat  $\Rightarrow$  real) measure where
  Hilbert-cube  $\equiv$  ( $\Pi_M i \in \text{UNIV}.$  restrict-space borel {0..1})

```

```

lemma Hilbert-cube-standard-ne: standard-borel-ne Hilbert-cube

```

by(auto simp: Hilbert-cube-def intro!: product-standard-borel-ne)

lemma Hilbert-cube-borel:
 $\text{sets}(\text{borel-of Hilbert-cube-topology}) = \text{sets Hilbert-cube}$ (**is** ?lhs = -)
proof –
have ?lhs = sets (Π_M i ∈ UNIV. borel-of (top-of-set {0..1}))
by(auto intro!: sets-PiM-equal-borel-of[symmetric] second-countable-subtopology)
thus ?thesis
by(simp add: borel-of-subtopology Hilbert-cube-def borel-of-euclidean)
qed

3.2 Isomorphism between \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{H}

lemma Cantor-space-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube:
 $\text{Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic Hilbert-cube}$
proof –

Isomorphism between \mathcal{C} and $[0, 1]$

have Cantor-space-isomorphic-to-01closed: $\text{Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic}$
 $(\text{restrict-space borel } \{0..1::real\})$
proof –
have space-Cantor-space: space Cantor-space = (Π_E i ∈ UNIV. {0,1})
by(simp add: Cantor-space-def space-PiM)
have space-Cantor-space-01[simp]: $0 \leq x n x n \leq 1$ $x n \in \{0,1\}$ **if** $x \in \text{space}$
 Cantor-space **for** $x n$
using PiE-mem[OF that[simplified space-Cantor-space],of n]
by auto
have Cantor-minus-abs-cantor: $(\lambda n. |x n - y n|) \in \text{space Cantor-space}$ **if**
 $\text{assms}: x \in \text{space Cantor-space} y \in \text{space Cantor-space}$ **for** $x y$
unfolding space-Cantor-space
proof safe
fix n
assume $|x n - y n| \neq 0$
then consider $x n = 0 \wedge y n = 1 \mid x n = 1 \wedge y n = 0$
using space-Cantor-space-01[OF assms(1),of n] space-Cantor-space-01[OF
 $\text{assms}(2)$,of n]
by auto
thus $|x n - y n| = 1$
by cases auto
qed simp

define Cantor-to-01 :: $(nat \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $\text{Cantor-to-01} \equiv (\lambda x. (\sum n. (1/3)^n (\text{Suc } n) * x n))$

Cantor-to-01 is a measurable injective embedding.

have Cantor-to-01-summable'[simp]: $\text{summable } (\lambda n. (1/3)^n (\text{Suc } n) * x n)$ **if** $x \in \text{space Cantor-space}$ **for** x
proof(rule summable-comparison-test'[**where** g=λn. (1/3)ⁿ **and** N=0])
show norm ((1 / 3)ⁿ Suc n * x n) ≤ (1 / 3)ⁿ **for** n

```

    using space-Cantor-space-01[OF that,of n] by auto
qed simp

have Cantor-to-01-summable[simp]:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space Cantor-space} \implies \text{summable}$ 
 $(\lambda n. (1/3)^n * x n)$ 
    using Cantor-to-01-summable' by simp

have Cantor-to-01-subst-summable[simp]:  $\text{summable } (\lambda n. (1/3)^n * (x n - y n))$ 
if assms: $x \in \text{space Cantor-space}$   $y \in \text{space Cantor-space}$  for  $x y$ 
proof(rule summable-comparison-test'[where  $g = \lambda n. (1/3)^n$  and  $N = 0$ ])
show norm  $((1/3)^n * (x n - y n)) \leq (1/3)^n$  for  $n$ 
using space-Cantor-space-01[OF Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF assms,of n]]
by(auto simp: idom-abs-sgn-class.abs-mult)
qed simp

have Cantor-to-01-image: Cantor-to-01  $\in \text{space Cantor-space} \rightarrow \{0..1\}$ 
proof
fix  $x$ 
assume  $h:x \in \text{space Cantor-space}$ 
have Cantor-to-01  $x \leq (\sum n. (1/3)^n * (\text{Suc } n))$ 
unfolding Cantor-to-01-def
by(rule suminf-le) (use  $h$  Cantor-to-01-summable[OF h] in auto)
also have ... =  $(\sum n. (1/3)^n) - (1::\text{real})$ 
using suminf-minus-initial-segment[OF complete-algebra-summable-geometric[of 1/3::real],of 1]
by auto
finally have Cantor-to-01  $x \leq 1$ 
by(simp add: suminf-geometric[of 1/3])
moreover have  $0 \leq \text{Cantor-to-01 } x$ 
unfolding Cantor-to-01-def
by(rule suminf-nonneg) (use Cantor-to-01-summable[OF h]  $h$  in auto)
ultimately show Cantor-to-01  $x \in \{0..1\}$ 
by simp
qed

have Cantor-to-01-measurable: Cantor-to-01  $\in \text{Cantor-space} \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space borel } \{0..1\}$ 
proof(rule measurable-restrict-space2)
show Cantor-to-01  $\in \text{borel-measurable Cantor-space}$ 
unfolding Cantor-to-01-def
proof(rule borel-measurable-suminf)
fix  $n$ 
have  $(\lambda x. x n) \in \text{Cantor-space} \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space borel } \{0, 1\}$ 
by(simp add: Cantor-space-def)
hence  $(\lambda x. x n) \in \text{borel-measurable Cantor-space}$ 
by(simp add: measurable-restrict-space2-iff)
thus  $(\lambda x. (1/3)^n * (\text{Suc } n * x n)) \in \text{borel-measurable Cantor-space}$ 
by simp
qed
qed(rule Cantor-to-01-image)

```

```

have Cantor-to-01-inj: inj-on Cantor-to-01 (space Cantor-space)
  and Cantor-to-01-preserves-sets: A ∈ sets Cantor-space ==> Cantor-to-01 ` A
    ∈ sets (restrict-space borel {0..1}) for A
  proof -
    have sets-Cantor: sets Cantor-space = sets (borel-of (product-topology (λ-
      subtopology euclidean {0,1})) UNIV)
      (is ?lhs = -)
    proof -
      have ?lhs = sets (Π_M i∈ UNIV. borel-of (subtopology euclidean {0,1}))
        by (simp add: Cantor-space-def borel-of-euclidean borel-of-subtopology)
      thus ?thesis
        by(auto intro!: sets-PiM-equal-borel-of second-countable-subtopology Pol-
          ish-space-imp-second-countable[of euclideanreal])
      qed
      have s:space Cantor-space = topspace (product-topology (λ-. subtopology eu-
        clidean {0,1})) UNIV
        by(simp add: space-Cantor-space)

    let ?d = λx y::real. if (x = 0 ∨ x = 1) ∧ (y = 0 ∨ y = 1) then dist x y else
    0
    interpret d01: Metric-space {0,1::real} ?d
      by(auto simp: Metric-space-def)
    have d01: d01.mtopology = top-of-set {0,1} d01.mcomplete
    proof -
      interpret Metric-space {0,1} dist
        by (simp add: Met-TC.subspace)
      have d01.mtopology = mtopology
        by(auto intro!: Metric-space-eq-mtopology simp: Metric-space-def met-
          ric-space-class.dist-commute)
      also have ... = top-of-set {0,1}
        by(auto intro!: Submetric.mtopology-submetric[of UNIV dist {0,1::real}, simplified]
          simp: Submetric-def Metric-space-def Submetric-axioms-def dist-real-def)
      finally show d01.mtopology = top-of-set {0,1} .
      show d01.mcomplete
        using Metric-space-eq-mcomplete[OF d01.Metric-space-axioms,of dist]
      d01.compact-space-eq-Bolzano-Weierstrass d01.compact-space-imp-mcomplete finite.emptyI
      finite-subset by blast
    qed
    interpret pd: Product-metric 1/3 UNIV id id λ-. {0,1::real} λ-. ?d 1
      by(auto intro!: product-metric-natI d01.Metric-space-axioms)
    have mpd-top: pd.Product-metric.mtopology = Cantor-space-topology
      by(auto simp: pd.Product-metric-mtopology-eq[symmetric] d01.intro!: prod-
        uct-topology-cong)
    have pd-mcomplete: pd.Product-metric.mcomplete
      by(auto intro!: pd.mcomplete-Mi-mcomplete-M d01)
    interpret m01: Submetric UNIV dist {0..1::real}
      by(simp add: Submetric-def Submetric-axioms-def Met-TC.Metric-space-axioms)
    have restrict-space borel {0..1} = borel-of m01.sub.mtopology

```

```

by (simp add: borel-of-euclidean borel-of-subtopology m01.mtopology-submetric)
have pd-def: pd.product-dist x y = ( $\sum n. (1/3)^n * |x_n - y_n|$ ) if  $x \in space$ 
Cantor-space  $y \in space$  Cantor-space for  $x y$ 
using space-Cantor-space-01[OF that(1)] space-Cantor-space-01[OF that(2)]
that by(auto simp: product-dist-def dist-real-def)
have 1:  $|Cantor-to-01 x - Cantor-to-01 y| \leq pd.product-dist x y$  (is ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs) if  $x \in space$  Cantor-space  $y \in space$  Cantor-space for  $x y$ 
proof -
have ?lhs =  $|\sum n. (1/3)^n * (Suc n) * (x_n - y_n)|$ 
using that by(simp add: suminf-diff Cantor-to-01-def)
also have ... =  $|\sum n. (1/3)^n * (x_n - y_n)|$ 
by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
also have ...  $\leq (\sum n. |(1/3)^n * (x_n - y_n)|)$ 
proof(rule summable-rabs)
have  $(\lambda n. |(1/3)^n * (x_n - y_n)|) = (\lambda n. (1/3)^n * |(x_n - y_n)|)$ 
by (simp add: abs-mult-pos mult.commute)
moreover have summable ...
using Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that] by simp
ultimately show summable  $(\lambda n. |(1/3)^n * (x_n - y_n)|)$  by
simp
qed
also have ... =  $(\sum n. (1/3)^n * |x_n - y_n|)$ 
by (simp add: abs-mult-pos mult.commute)
also have ...  $\leq pd.product-dist x y$ 
unfolding pd-def[OF that]
by(rule suminf-le) (use Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that] in auto)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

have 2:  $|Cantor-to-01 x - Cantor-to-01 y| \geq 1/9 * pd.product-dist x y$  (is
?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs) if  $x \in space$  Cantor-space  $y \in space$  Cantor-space for  $x y$ 
proof(cases x = y)
case True
then show ?thesis
using pd.Product-metric.zero[of x y] that by(simp add: space-Cantor-space)
next
case False
then obtain n' where  $x_{n'} \neq y_{n'}$  by auto
define n where  $n \equiv Min\{n. n \leq n' \wedge x_n \neq y_n\}$ 
have n  $\leq n'$ 
using  $\langle x_{n'} \neq y_{n'} \rangle$  n-def by fastforce
have  $x_n \neq y_n$ 
using  $\langle x_{n'} \neq y_{n'} \rangle$  linorder-class.Min-in[of {n. n  $\leq n' \wedge x_n \neq y_n\}]$ 
by(auto simp: n-def)
have  $\forall i < n. x_i = y_i$ 
proof safe
fix i
assume i  $< n$ 

```

```

show x i = y i
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume x i ≠ y i
  then have i ∈ {n. n ≤ n' ∧ x n ≠ y n}
    using ⟨n ≤ n'⟩ ⟨i < n⟩ by auto
  thus False
    using ⟨i < n⟩ linorder-class.Min-gr-ifff[of {n. n ≤ n' ∧ x n ≠ y n} i]
    ⟨x n' ≠ y n'⟩
      by(auto simp: n-def)
    qed
  qed
  have u1: (1/3) ^ (Suc n) * (1/2) ≤ |Cantor-to-01 x - Cantor-to-01 y|
  proof -
    have (1/3) ^ (Suc n) * (1/2) ≤ |(sum m. (1/3) ^ (Suc (m + Suc n)) * (x (m + Suc n) - y (m + Suc n))) + (1 / 3) ^ Suc n * (x n - y n)|
    proof -
      have (1 / 3) ^ Suc n - (1/3) ^ (n + 2) * 3/2 ≤ (1 / 3) ^ Suc n - |(sum m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc n) - x (m + Suc n)))|
      proof -
        have |(sum m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc n) - x (m + Suc n)))| ≤ (1/3) ^ (n + 2) * 3/2
          (is ?lhs ≤ -)
        proof -
          have ?lhs ≤ (sum m. |(1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc n) - x (m + Suc n))|)
            apply(rule summable-rabs,rule summable-ignore-initial-segment[of - Suc n])
          using Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that(2,1)] by(simp add: abs-mult)
          also have ... = (sum m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * |y (m + Suc n) - x (m + Suc n)|)
            by(simp add: abs-mult)
          also have ... ≤ (sum m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n))
            apply(rule suminf-le)
            using space-Cantor-space-01[OF Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that(2,1)]]
              apply simp
              apply(rule summable-ignore-initial-segment[of - Suc n])
              using Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that(2,1)] by auto
              also have ... = (sum m. (1 / 3) ^ (m + Suc (Suc n)) * 1) by simp
              also have ... = (1/3) ^ (n + 2) * 3/(2::real)
                by(simp only: pd.nsum-of-rK[of Suc (Suc n)],simp)
              finally show ?thesis .
            qed
            thus ?thesis by simp
          qed
          also have ... = |(1 / 3) ^ Suc n * (x n - y n)| - |sum m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc n) - x (m + Suc n))|
            using ⟨x n ≠ y n⟩ space-Cantor-space-01[OF Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that],of n] by(simp add: abs-mult)

```

```

also have ... ≤ |(1 / 3) ^ Suc n * (x n - y n) - (∑ m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc
(m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc n) - x (m + Suc n)))|
by simp
also have ... = |(1 / 3) ^ Suc n * (x n - y n) + (∑ m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc
(m + Suc n) * (x (m + Suc n) - y (m + Suc n)))|
proof -
have (∑ m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (x (m + Suc n) - y (m +
Suc n))) = (∑ m. - ((1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc n) - x (m +
Suc n))))
proof -
{ fix nn :: nat
have ∀ r ra rb. - ((- (r::real) + ra) / (1 / rb)) = (- ra + r) / (1
/ rb)
by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
then have - ((y (Suc (n + nn)) + - x (Suc (n + nn))) * (1 / 3) ^
Suc (Suc (n + nn))) = (x (Suc (n + nn)) + - y (Suc (n + nn))) * (1 / 3) ^
Suc (Suc (n + nn))
by fastforce
then have - ((1 / 3) ^ Suc (nn + Suc n) * (y (nn + Suc n) - x
(nn + Suc n))) = (1 / 3) ^ Suc (nn + Suc n) * (x (nn + Suc n) - y (nn + Suc
n))
by (simp add: add.commute mult.commute) }
then show ?thesis
by presburger
qed
also have ... = - (∑ m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (y (m + Suc
n) - x (m + Suc n)))
apply(rule suminf-minus)
apply(rule summable-ignore-initial-segment[of - Suc n])
using that by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = |(∑ m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m + Suc n) * (x (m + Suc n) -
y (m + Suc n))) + (1 / 3) ^ Suc n * (x n - y n)|
using 1 by simp
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = |(∑ m. (1/3)^(Suc (m + Suc n)) * (x (m + Suc n) - y
(m + Suc n))) + (∑ m < Suc n. (1/3)^(Suc m) * (x m - y m))|
using ∀ i < n. x i = y i by auto
also have ... = |∑ n. (1/3)^(Suc n) * (x n - y n)|
proof -
have (∑ n. (1 / 3) ^ Suc n * (x n - y n)) = (∑ m. (1 / 3) ^ Suc (m
+ Suc n) * (x (m + Suc n) - y (m + Suc n))) + (∑ m < Suc n. (1 / 3) ^ Suc m
* (x m - y m))
by(rule suminf-split-initial-segment) (use that in simp)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = |(∑ n. (1/3)^(Suc n) * x n - (1/3)^(Suc n) * y n)|

```

```

    by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
  also have ... = |Cantor-to-01 x - Cantor-to-01 y|
    using that by(simp add: suminf-diff Cantor-to-01-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have u2: (1/9) * pd.product-dist x y ≤ (1/3) ^ (Suc n) * (1/2)
proof -
  have pd.product-dist x y = (∑ m. (1/3)^m * |x m - y m|)
    by(simp add: that pd-def)
  also have ... = (∑ m. (1/3)^(m + n) * |x (m + n) - y (m + n)|) +
    (∑ m < n. (1/3)^m * |x m - y m|)
    using Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that] by(auto intro!: suminf-split-initial-segment)
    also have ... = (∑ m. (1/3)^(m + n) * |x (m + n) - y (m + n)|)
      using ‹∀ i < n. x i = y i› by simp
    also have ... ≤ (∑ m. (1/3)^(m + n))
      using space-Cantor-space-01[OF Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that]]
      Cantor-minus-abs-cantor[OF that]
        by(auto intro!: suminf-le summable-ignore-initial-segment[of - n])
    also have ... = (1 / 3) ^ n * (3 / 2)
      using pd.nsum-of-rK[of n] by auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by auto
qed
from u1 u2 show ?thesis by simp
qed

have inj: inj-on Cantor-to-01 (space Cantor-space)
proof
  fix x y
  assume h:x ∈ space Cantor-space y ∈ space Cantor-space
    Cantor-to-01 x = Cantor-to-01 y
  then have pd.product-dist x y = 0
    using 2[OF h(1,2)] pd.Product-metric.nonneg[of x y]
    by simp
  thus x = y
    using pd.Product-metric.zero[of x y] h(1,2)
    by(simp add: space-Cantor-space)
qed

have closed: closedin m01.sub.mtopology (Cantor-to-01 ` (space Cantor-space))
  unfolding m01.sub.metric-closedin-iff-sequentially-closed
proof safe
  show a ∈ space Cantor-space ==> Cantor-to-01 a ∈ {0..1} for a
    using Cantor-to-01-image by auto
next
  fix xn x
  assume h:range xn ⊆ Cantor-to-01 ` space Cantor-space limitin m01.sub.mtopology
    xn x sequentially
  have ∀ n. xn n ∈ {0..1}

```

```

using h(1) measurable-space[OF Cantor-to-01-measurable]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) UNIV_I atLeastAtMost_borel image_subset_iff
space_restrict_space2 subsetD)
with h(2) have xnC:m01.sub.MCauchy xn
by(auto intro!: m01.sub.convergent_imp_MCauchy)
have ∀ n. ∃ x∈space Cantor-space. xn n = Cantor-to-01 x using h(1) by
auto
then obtain yn where hx: ∀ n. yn n ∈ space Cantor-space ∧ n. xn n =
Cantor-to-01 (yn n) by metis
have pd.Product-metric.MCauchy yn
unfolding pd.Product-metric.MCauchy-def
proof safe
fix ε
assume (0 :: real) < ε
hence 0 < ε / 9 by auto
then obtain N' where ∀ n m. n ≥ N' ⟹ m ≥ N' ⟹ |xn n - xn m| < ε / 9
using xnC m01.sub.MCauchy-def xnC unfolding dist-real-def by blast
thus ∃ N. ∀ n n'. N ≤ n ⟹ N ≤ n' ⟹ pd.product-dist (yn n) (yn n') < ε
using order.strict-trans1[OF 2[OF hx(1) hx(1)], of - - ε/9] hx(1)
by(auto intro!: exI[where x=N'] simp: hx(2) space-Cantor-space)
qed(use hx space-Cantor-space in auto)
then obtain y where y: limitin pd.Product-metric.mtopology yn y sequentially
using pd.mcomplete pd.Product-metric.mcomplete-def by blast
hence y ∈ space Cantor-space
by (simp add: pd.Product-metric.limitin-mspace space-Cantor-space)
have limitin m01.sub.mtopology xn (Cantor-to-01 y) sequentially
unfolding m01.sub.limit-metric-sequentially
proof safe
show Cantor-to-01 y ∈ {0..1}
using h(1) funcset-image[OF Cantor-to-01-image] (y ∈ space Cantor-space)
by blast
next
fix ε
assume (0 :: real) < ε
then obtain N where ∀ n. n ≥ N ⟹ pd.product-dist (yn n) y < ε ∧ n. n ≥ N ⟹ yn n ∈ UNIV →_E {0, 1}
using y by(fastforce simp: pd.Product-metric.limit-metric-sequentially)
with (∀ n. xn n ∈ {0..1}) show ∃ N. ∀ n≥N. xn n ∈ {0..1} ∧ dist (xn n) (Cantor-to-01 y) < ε
by(auto intro!: exI[where x=N] order.strict-trans1[OF 1[OF hx(1)] y ∈ space Cantor-space]] simp: submetric-def ‹0 < ε› hx(2) dist-real-def)
qed
hence Cantor-to-01 y = x
using h(2) by(auto dest: m01.sub.limitin-metric-unique)
with (y ∈ space Cantor-space) show x ∈ Cantor-to-01 ` space Cantor-space
by auto
qed

```

```

have open-map:open-map pd.Product-metric.mtopology (subtopology m01.sub.mtopology
(Cantor-to-01 ` (space Cantor-space))) Cantor-to-01
proof -
have open-map (mtopology-of pd.Product-metric.Self) (subtopology (mtopology-of
m01.sub.Self) (Cantor-to-01 ` mspace pd.Product-metric.Self)) Cantor-to-01
proof(rule Metric-space-open-map-from-dist)
fix x ε
assume (0 :: real) < ε x ∈ mspace pd.Product-metric.Self
then have x ∈ (UNIV :: nat set) →E {0, 1::real}
by simp
show ∃δ>0. ∀y∈mspace pd.Product-metric.Self. mdist m01.sub.Self
(Cantor-to-01 x) (Cantor-to-01 y) < δ → mdist pd.Product-metric.Self x y < ε
unfolding pd.Product-metric.mspace-Self pd.Product-metric.mdist-Self
m01.sub.mdist-Self
proof(safe intro!: exI[where x=ε/9])
fix y
assume h:y ∈ (UNIV :: nat set) →E {0, 1::real} dist (Cantor-to-01 x)
(Cantor-to-01 y) < ε / 9
then have sc:x ∈ space Cantor-space y ∈ space Cantor-space
using ⟨x ∈ UNIV →E {0, 1}⟩ by(simp-all add: space-Cantor-space)
have |Cantor-to-01 x - Cantor-to-01 y| < ε / 9
using h by(simp add: dist-real-def)
with 2[OF sc] show pd.product-dist x y < ε
by simp
qed (use ε > 0 in auto)
qed(use Cantor-to-01-image space-Cantor-space in auto)
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: mtopology-of-def space-Cantor-space)
qed
have Cantor-to-01 ` A ∈ sets (restrict-space borel {0..1}) if A ∈ sets Cantor-space for A
using open-map-preserves-sets'[of pd.Product-metric.mtopology m01.sub.mtopology
Cantor-to-01 A] borel-of-closed[OF closed] inj sets-Cantor open-map that mpd-top
⟨restrict-space borel {0..1} = borel-of m01.sub.mtopology⟩
by (simp add: space-Cantor-space)
with inj show inj-on Cantor-to-01 (space Cantor-space)
and A ∈ sets Cantor-space ⇒ Cantor-to-01 ` A ∈ sets (restrict-space borel
{0..1})
by simp-all
qed

```

Next, we construct measurable embedding from $[0, 1]$ to $0, 1^{\mathbb{N}}$.

```

define to-Cantor-from-01 :: real ⇒ nat ⇒ real where
  to-Cantor-from-01 ≡ (λr n. if r = 1 then 1 else real-of-int (⌊2^(Suc n) * r⌋
mod 2))

```

to-Cantor-from-01 is a measurable injective embedding into Cantor space.

```

have to-Cantor-from-01-image': to-Cantor-from-01 r n ∈ {0,1} for r n

```

```

unfolding to-Cantor-from-01-def by auto
have to-Cantor-from-01-image'':  $\bigwedge r n. 0 \leq \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r n \wedge r n.$ 
to-Cantor-from-01  $r n \leq 1$ 
by (auto simp add: to-Cantor-from-01-def)
have to-Cantor-from-01-image: to-Cantor-from-01  $\in \{0..1\} \rightarrow \text{space Cantor-space}$ 
using to-Cantor-from-01-image' by(auto simp: space-Cantor-space)
have to-Cantor-from-01-measurable:
  to-Cantor-from-01  $\in \text{restrict-space borel } \{0..1\} \rightarrow_M \text{Cantor-space}$ 
unfolding to-Cantor-from-01-def Cantor-space-def
by(auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space3 measurable-abs-UNIV)
have to-Cantor-from-01-summable[simp]:
  summable  $(\lambda n. (1/2)^n * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r n)$  for r
proof(rule summable-comparison-test'[where g= $\lambda n. (1/2)^n$ ])
  show norm  $((1/2)^n * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r n) \leq (1/2)^n$  for n
    using to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of r n] by auto
qed simp

have to-Cantor-from-summ':  $(\sum_{i < n} (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } i)} * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i) \leq$ 
r
   $r - (\sum_{i < n} (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } i)} * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i) < (1/2)^{\gamma n}$ 
  to-Cantor-from-01  $r n = 1 \longleftrightarrow (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } n)} \leq r - (\sum_{i < n} (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } i)} * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i)$ 
  to-Cantor-from-01  $r n = 0 \longleftrightarrow r - (\sum_{i < n} (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } i)} * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i) < (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } n)}$  if assms: r  $\in \{0..<1\}$  for r n
proof -
  let ?f = to-Cantor-from-01 r
  have f-simp: ?f l = real-of-int  $(\lfloor 2^{\gamma(\text{Suc } l)} * r \rfloor \bmod 2)$  for l
    using assms by(simp add: to-Cantor-from-01-def)
  define S where S =  $(\lambda n. \sum_{i < n} (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } i)} * ?f i)$ 
  have SSuc:S  $(\text{Suc } k) = S k + (1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } k)} * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r k$  for k
    by(simp add: S-def)
  have Sfloor:  $\lfloor 2^{\gamma(\text{Suc } m)} * (l - S m) \rfloor \bmod 2 = \lfloor 2^{\gamma(\text{Suc } m)} * l \rfloor \bmod 2$  for l
m
proof -
  have  $\exists z. 2^{\gamma(\text{Suc } m)} * ((1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } k)} * ?f k) = 2 * \text{real-of-int } z$  if k < m
for k
  proof -
    have 0:(2::real)  $\wedge m * (1/2)^{\gamma k} = 2 * 2^{\gamma(m-k-1)}$ 
      using that by (simp add: power-diff-conv-inverse)
    consider ?f k = 0  $\mid$  ?f k = 1
      using to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of r k] by auto
    thus ?thesis
      apply cases using that 0 by auto
  qed
  then obtain z where  $\bigwedge k. k < m \implies 2^{\gamma(\text{Suc } m)} * ((1/2)^{\gamma(\text{Suc } k)} * ?f k) = 2 * \text{real-of-int } (z k)$ 
  by metis
  hence Sm:  $2^{\gamma(\text{Suc } m)} * S m = \text{real-of-int } (2 * (\sum_{k < m} (z k)))$ 

```

```

    by(auto simp: S-def sum-distrib-left)
    have  $\lfloor 2^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } m) * (l - S m) \rfloor \bmod 2 = \lfloor 2^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } m) * l - 2^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } m) * S m \rfloor \bmod 2$ 
      by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
      also have ... =  $\lfloor 2^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } m) * l \rfloor \bmod 2$ 
        unfolding Sm
        by(simp only: floor-diff-of-int) presburger
        finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    have  $S n \leq r \wedge r - S n < (1/2)^{\lceil}n \wedge (\text{?f } n = 1 \longleftrightarrow (1/2)^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } n) \leq r - S n) \wedge (\text{?f } n = 0 \longleftrightarrow r - S n < (1/2)^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } n))$ 
    proof(induction n)
      case 0
      then show ?case
        using assms by(auto simp: S-def to-Cantor-from-01-def) linarith+
    next
      case (Suc n)
      hence ih:  $S n \leq r \wedge r - S n < (1 / 2)^{\lceil}n$ 
        ?f n = 1  $\implies (1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc n \leq r - S n$ 
        ?f n = 0  $\implies r - S n < (1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc n$ 
        by simp-all
      have SSuc': ?f n = 0  $\wedge S (\text{Suc } n) = S n \vee ?f n = 1 \wedge S (\text{Suc } n) = S n + (1 / 2)^{\lceil}(\text{Suc } n)$ 
        using to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of r n] by(simp add: SSuc)
      have goal1:  $S (\text{Suc } n) \leq r$ 
        using SSuc' ih(1) ih(3) by auto
      have goal2:  $r - S (\text{Suc } n) < (1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc n$ 
        using SSuc' ih(4) ih(2) by auto
      have goal3-1:  $(1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) \leq r - S (\text{Suc } n)$  if ?f (Suc n) = 1
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg (1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) \leq r - S (\text{Suc } n)$ 
        then have  $r - S (\text{Suc } n) < (1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n)$  by simp
        hence h:  $2^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) * (r - S (\text{Suc } n)) < 1$ 
          using mult-less-cancel-left-pos[of  $2^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n)$   $r - S (\text{Suc } n)$   $(1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n)$ ] by (simp add: power-one-over)
        moreover have  $0 \leq 2^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) * (r - S (\text{Suc } n))$ 
          using goal1 by simp
        ultimately have  $\lfloor 2^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) * (r - S (\text{Suc } n)) \rfloor = 0$ 
          by linarith
        thus False
          using that[simplified f-simp] Sfloor[of Suc n r]
          by fastforce
      qed
      have goal3-2: ?f (Suc n) = 1 if  $(1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) \leq r - S (\text{Suc } n)$ 
      proof -
        have 1 ≤  $2^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n) * (r - S (\text{Suc } n))$ 
          using that[simplified f-simp] mult-le-cancel-left-pos[of  $2^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n)$   $(1 / 2)^{\lceil}Suc (\text{Suc } n)$   $r - S (\text{Suc } n)$ ]

```

```

    by (simp add: power-one-over)
  moreover have  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n)) < 2$ 
    using mult-less-cancel-left-pos[of  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$   $r - S(\text{Suc } n)$  (1 / 2)]
 $\wedge \text{Suc } n]$  goal2
      by (simp add: power-one-over)
      ultimately have  $\lfloor 2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n)) \rfloor = 1$ 
        by linarith
        thus ?thesis
          using Sfloor[of Suc n r] by(auto simp: f-simp)
qed
have goal4-1:  $r - S(\text{Suc } n) < (1 / 2)^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$  if ?f (Suc n) = 0
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg r - S(\text{Suc } n) < (1 / 2)^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ 
  then have  $(1 / 2)^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) \leq r - S(\text{Suc } n)$  by simp
  hence  $1 \leq 2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n))$ 
    using mult-le-cancel-left-pos[of  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$  (1 / 2)  $\wedge \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$  r
 $- S(\text{Suc } n)]$ 
      by (simp add: power-one-over)
      moreover have  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n)) < 2$ 
        using mult-less-cancel-left-pos[of  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$   $r - S(\text{Suc } n)$  (1 / 2)]
 $\wedge \text{Suc } n]$  goal2
          by (simp add: power-one-over)
          ultimately have  $\lfloor 2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n)) \rfloor = 1$ 
            by linarith
            thus False
              using that Sfloor[of Suc n r] by(auto simp: f-simp)
qed
have goal4-2: ?f (Suc n) = 0 if  $r - S(\text{Suc } n) < (1 / 2)^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ 
proof -
  have h:  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n)) < 1$ 
    using mult-less-cancel-left-pos[of  $2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$   $r - S(\text{Suc } n)$  (1 / 2)]
 $\wedge \text{Suc } n]$  that
      by (simp add: power-one-over)
      moreover have  $0 \leq 2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n))$ 
        using goal1 by simp
      ultimately have  $\lfloor 2^{\wedge} \text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n) * (r - S(\text{Suc } n)) \rfloor = 0$ 
        by linarith
        thus ?thesis
          using Sfloor[of Suc n r] by(auto simp: f-simp)
qed
show ?case
  using goal1 goal2 goal3-1 goal3-2 goal4-1 goal4-2 by blast
qed
thus  $(\sum i < n. (1/2)^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } i) * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i) \leq r$ 
  and  $r - (\sum i < n. (1/2)^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } i) * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i) < (1/2)^{\wedge} n$ 
  and  $\text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r n = 1 \longleftrightarrow (1/2)^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } n) \leq r - (\sum i < n.$ 
 $(1/2)^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } i) * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r i)$ 
  and  $\text{to-Cantor-from-01 } r n = 0 \longleftrightarrow r - (\sum i < n. (1/2)^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } i) * \text{to-Cantor-from-01 }$ 
 $r i) < (1/2)^{\wedge} (\text{Suc } n)$ 

```

```

by(simp-all add: S-def)
qed
have to-Cantor-from-sumn: ( $\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i$ )  $\leq$ 
r
 $r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i) \leq (1/2) \wedge n$ 
to-Cantor-from-01 r n = 1  $\longleftrightarrow (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) \leq r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i)$ 
to-Cantor-from-01 r n = 0  $\longleftrightarrow r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i) < (1/2) \wedge (Suc n)$  if assms:r ∈ {0..1} for r n
proof -
have nsum:( $\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i)$ ) = 1 - (1 / (2::real)) ^ n
using one-diff-power-eq[of 1/(2::real) n] by(auto simp: sum-divide-distrib[symmetric])

consider r = 1 | r ∈ {0..<1} using assms by fastforce
hence ( $\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i$ )  $\leq r \wedge r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i) \leq (1/2) \wedge n \wedge (to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r n = 1 \longleftrightarrow (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) \leq r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i)) \wedge (to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r n = 0 \longleftrightarrow r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i) < (1/2) \wedge (Suc n))$ 
proof cases
case 1
then show ?thesis
using nsum by(auto simp: to-Cantor-from-01-def)
next
case 2
from to-Cantor-from-sumn'[OF this]
show ?thesis
using less-eq-real-def by blast
qed
thus ( $\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i$ )  $\leq r$ 
and  $r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i) \leq (1/2) \wedge n$ 
and to-Cantor-from-01 r n = 1  $\longleftrightarrow (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) \leq r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i)$ 
and to-Cantor-from-01 r n = 0  $\longleftrightarrow r - (\sum i < n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc i) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i) < (1/2) \wedge (Suc n)$ 
by simp-all
qed

have to-Cantor-from-sum: ( $\sum n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r n$ ) = r if
assms:r ∈ {0..1} for r
proof -
have 1:r ≤ ( $\sum n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r n$ )
proof -
have 0:r ≤ (1 / 2) ^ n + ( $\sum n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r n$ )
for n
proof -
have r ≤ (1 / 2) ^ n + ( $\sum i < n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc i * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r i$ )
using to-Cantor-from-sumn(2)[OF assms,of n] by auto
also have ... ≤ (1 / 2) ^ n + ( $\sum n. (1/2) \wedge (Suc n) * to\text{-Cantor-from-01} r n$ )

```

```

n)
  using to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of r] by(auto intro!: sum-le-suminf)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
have 00:∃ no. ∀ n≥no. (1 / 2) ^ n < r if r>0 for r :: real
proof -
  obtain n0 where (1 / 2) ^ n0 < r
    using reals-power-lt-ex[of - 2 :: real,OF ⟨r>0⟩] by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using order.strict-trans1[OF power-decreasing[of n0 - 1/2::real]]
      by(auto intro!: exI[where x=n0])
qed
show ?thesis
  apply(rule Lim-bounded2[where f=λn. (1 / 2) ^ n + (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n)*to-Cantor-from-01 r n) and N=0])
    using 0 00 by(auto simp: LIMSEQ-Iff)
qed
have 2:(∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc n)*to-Cantor-from-01 r n) ≤ r
  using to-Cantor-from-sumn[OF assms] by(auto intro!: suminf-le-const)
show ?thesis
  using 1 2 by simp
qed
have to-Cantor-from-sum': (∑ i<n. (1/2)^(Suc i)*to-Cantor-from-01 r i) = r
– (∑ m. (1/2)^(Suc (m + n)))*to-Cantor-from-01 r (m + n) if assms:r ∈ {0..1}
for r n
  using suminf-minus-initial-segment[of λn. (1 / 2) ^ Suc n * to-Cantor-from-01 r n n] to-Cantor-from-sum[OF assms]
    by auto

have to-Cantor-from-01-exist0: ∀ n. ∃ k≥n. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 0 if assms:r
∈ {0..<1} for r
proof(rule econtr)
  assume ¬ (∀ n. ∃ k≥n. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 0)
  then obtain n0 where hn0:
    ∧ k. k ≥ n0 ⇒ to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1
    using to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of r] by auto
  define n where n = Min {i. i ≤ n0 ∧ (∀ k≥i. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1)}
  have n0in: n0 ∈ {i. i ≤ n0 ∧ (∀ k≥i. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1)}
    using hn0 by auto
  have hn:n ≤ n0 ∧ k. k ≥ n ⇒ to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1
    using n0in Min-in[of {i. i ≤ n0 ∧ (∀ k≥i. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1)}]
    by(auto simp: n-def)
  show False
  proof(cases n)
    case 0
    then have r = (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc n)
      using to-Cantor-from-sum[of r] assms hn(2) by simp
    also have ... = 1
      using nsum-of-r'[of 1/2 1 1] by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

finally show ?thesis
  using assms by auto
next
  case eqn:(Suc n')
    have to-Cantor-from-01 r n' = 0
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume to-Cantor-from-01 r n' ≠ 0
      then have to-Cantor-from-01 r n' = 1
        using to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of r n'] by auto
      hence n' ∈ {i. i ≤ n0 ∧ (∀ k ≥ i. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1)}
        using hn eqn not-less-eq-eq order-antisym-conv by fastforce
      hence n ≤ n'
        using Min.coboundedI[of {i. i ≤ n0 ∧ (∀ k ≥ i. to-Cantor-from-01 r k = 1)} n']
          by(simp add: n-def)
      thus False
        using eqn by simp
    qed
    hence le1:r - (sum i < n'. (1 / 2) ^ Suc i * to-Cantor-from-01 r i) < (1 /
2) ^ n
      using to-Cantor-from-sumn'(4)[OF assms, of n] by (simp add: eqn)
      have r - (sum i < n'. (1 / 2) ^ Suc i * to-Cantor-from-01 r i) = (1 / 2) ^ n
        (is ?lhs = -)
      proof -
        have ?lhs = (sum m. (1 / 2) ^ (m + Suc n') * to-Cantor-from-01 r (m + n'))
          using to-Cantor-from-sum'[of r n'] assms by simp
        also have ... = (sum m. (1 / 2) ^ (m + Suc n') * to-Cantor-from-01 r (m + n))
        proof -
          have (sum n. (1 / 2) ^ (Suc n + Suc n') * to-Cantor-from-01 r (Suc n +
n')) = (sum m. (1 / 2) ^ (m + Suc n') * to-Cantor-from-01 r (m + n')) - (1 / 2)
            ^ (0 + Suc n') * to-Cantor-from-01 r (0 + n')
            by(rule suminf-split-head) (auto intro!: summable-ignore-initial-segment)
          thus ?thesis
            using <to-Cantor-from-01 r n' = 0> by(simp add: eqn)
        qed
        also have ... = (sum m. (1 / 2) ^ (m + Suc n))
          using hn by simp
        also have ... = (1 / 2) ^ n
          using nsum-of-r'[of 1/2 Suc n 1, simplified] by simp
        finally show ?thesis .
      qed
      with le1 show False
        by simp
    qed
    qed
    have to-Cantor-from-01-if-exist0: to-Cantor-from-01 (sum n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc n *
a n) = a if assms: ∀ n. a n ∈ {0,1} ∀ n. ∃ k ≥ n. a k = 0 for a
    proof
      fix n

```

```

have [simp]: summable (λn. (1 / 2) ^ n * a n)
proof(rule summable-comparison-test[where g=λn. (1/2)^n])
  show norm ((1 / 2) ^ n * a n) ≤ (1 / 2) ^ n for n
    using assms(1)[of n] by auto
qed simp
let ?r = ∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc n * a n
have ?r ∈ {0..1}
  using assms(1) space-Cantor-space-01[of a,simplified space-Cantor-space]
nsum-of-r-leq[of 1/2 a 1 1 0]
  by auto
show to-Cantor-from-01 ?r n = a n
proof(rule less-induct)
  fix x
  assume ih:y < x ==> to-Cantor-from-01 ?r y = a y for y
    have eq1:?r - (∑ i<x. (1/2)^(Suc i)*to-Cantor-from-01 ?r i) = (∑ n.
(1/2)^(Suc (n + x))* a (n + x))
      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof -
      have ?lhs = (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + x) * a (n + x)) + (∑ i<x.
(1/2)^(Suc i)* a i) - (∑ i<x. (1/2)^(Suc i)*to-Cantor-from-01 ?r i)
        using suminf-split-initial-segment[of λn. (1 / 2) ^ (Suc n) * a n x] by
simp
      also have ... = (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + x) * a (n + x)) + (∑ i<x.
(1/2)^(Suc i)* a i) - (∑ i<x. (1/2)^(Suc i)*a i)
        using ih by simp
      finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  define Sn where Sn = (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc (n + x))* a (n + x))
  define Sn' where Sn' = (∑ n. (1/2)^(Suc (n + (Suc x)))* a (n + (Suc
x)))
  have SnSn':Sn = (1/2)^(Suc x) * a x + Sn'
    using suminf-split-head[of λn. (1/2)^(Suc (n + x))* a (n + x),OF
summable-ignore-initial-segment]
    by(auto simp: Sn-def Sn'-def)
  have hsn:0 ≤ Sn' Sn' < (1/2)^(Suc x)
  proof -
    show 0 ≤ Sn'
    unfolding Sn'-def
    by(rule suminf-nonneg,rule summable-ignore-initial-segment) (use
assms(1) space-Cantor-space-01[of a,simplified space-Cantor-space] in fastforce)+
next
    have ∃ n' ≥ Suc x. a n' < 1
      using assms by fastforce
      thus Sn' < (1/2)^(Suc x)
        using nsum-of-r-le[of 1/2 a 1 Suc x Suc (Suc x)] assms(1) space-Cantor-space-01[of
a,simplified space-Cantor-space]
        by(auto simp: Sn'-def)
  qed
  have goal1: to-Cantor-from-01 ?r x = 1 ↔ a x = 1

```

```

proof -
have to-Cantor-from-01 ?r x = 1  $\longleftrightarrow$  (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x  $\leq$  Sn
  using to-Cantor-from-sumn(3)[OF  $\setminus$ ?r  $\in$  {0..1}] eq1
  by(fastforce simp: Sn-def)
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x  $\leq$  (1/2)  $\wedge$ (Suc x) * a x + Sn'
  by(simp add: SnSn')
also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  a x = 1
proof -
  have a x = 1 if (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x  $\leq$  (1/2)  $\wedge$ (Suc x) * a x + Sn'
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume a x  $\neq$  1
    then have a x = 0
      using assms(1) by auto
      hence (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x  $\leq$  Sn'
        using that by simp
        thus False
        using hsn by auto
      qed
      thus ?thesis
        by(auto simp: hsn)
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have goal2: to-Cantor-from-01 ?r x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  a x = 0
  proof -
    have to-Cantor-from-01 ?r x = 0  $\longleftrightarrow$  Sn < (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x
      using to-Cantor-from-sumn(4)[OF  $\setminus$ ?r  $\in$  {0..1}] eq1
      by(fastforce simp: Sn-def)
    also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  (1/2)  $\wedge$ (Suc x) * a x + Sn' < (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x
      by(simp add: SnSn')
    also have ...  $\longleftrightarrow$  a x = 0
    proof -
      have a x = 0 if (1/2)  $\wedge$ (Suc x) * a x + Sn' < (1 / 2)  $\wedge$  Suc x
      proof(rule ccontr)
        assume a x  $\neq$  0
        then have a x = 1
          using assms(1) by auto
          thus False
          using that hsn by auto
        qed
        thus ?thesis
          using hsn by auto
      qed
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    show to-Cantor-from-01 ?r x = a x
      using goal1 goal2 to-Cantor-from-01-image'[of ?r x] by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

have to-Cantor-from-01-sum-of-to-Cantor-from-01: to-Cantor-from-01 (Σ n.
(1 / 2) ^ Suc n * to-Cantor-from-01 r n) = to-Cantor-from-01 r if assms:r ∈
{0..1} for r
proof -
  consider r = 1 | r ∈ {0..<1}
  using assms by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis
    using nsum-of-r'[of 1/2 1 1]
    by(auto simp: to-Cantor-from-01-def)
next
  case 2
  from to-Cantor-from-01-if-exist0[OF to-Cantor-from-01-image' to-Cantor-from-01-exist0[OF
this]]
    show ?thesis .
qed
qed
have to-Cantor-from-01-inj: inj-on to-Cantor-from-01 (space (restrict-space
borel {0..1}))
proof
  fix x y :: real
  assume x ∈ space (restrict-space borel {0..1}) y ∈ space (restrict-space borel
{0..1})
    and h:to-Cantor-from-01 x = to-Cantor-from-01 y
  then have xyin:x ∈ {0..1} y ∈ {0..1}
    by simp-all
  show x = y
    using to-Cantor-from-sum[OF xyin(1)] to-Cantor-from-sum[OF xyin(2)] h
    by simp
qed
have to-Cantor-from-01-preserves-sets: to-Cantor-from-01 ` A ∈ sets Cantor-space if assms: A ∈ sets (restrict-space borel {0..1}) for A
proof -
  define f :: (nat ⇒ real) ⇒ real where f ≡ (λx. Σ n. (1/2)^n * Suc n * x n)
  have f-meas:f ∈ Cantor-space →_M restrict-space borel {0..1}
  proof -
    have f ∈ borel-measurable Cantor-space
      unfolding Cantor-to-01-def f-def
      proof(rule borel-measurable-suminf)
        fix n
        have (λx. x n) ∈ Cantor-space →_M restrict-space borel {0, 1}
          by(simp add: Cantor-space-def)
        hence (λx. x n) ∈ borel-measurable Cantor-space
          by(simp add: measurable-restrict-space2-iff)
        thus (λx. (1 / 2) ^ Suc n * x n) ∈ borel-measurable Cantor-space
          by simp
      qed
  qed

```

moreover have $0 \leq f x f x \leq 1$ if $x \in$ space Cantor-space for x
proof –
 have [simp]:summable ($\lambda n. (1/2)^{\wedge n} * x n$)
 proof(rule summable-comparison-test'[**where** $g = \lambda n. (1/2)^{\wedge n}$])
 show norm (($1/2$) $^{\wedge n} * x n$) $\leq (1/2)^{\wedge n}$ **for** n
 using that **by** simp
 qed simp
 show $0 \leq f x$
 using that **by**(auto intro!: suminf-nonneg simp: f-def)
 show $f x \leq 1$
 proof –
 have $f x \leq (\sum n. (1/2)^{\wedge} (Suc n))$
 using that **by**(auto intro!: suminf-le simp: f-def)
 also have ... = 1
 using nsum-of-r'[of 1/2 1 1] **by** simp
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis
 by(auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space2)
 qed
 have image-sets:to-Cantor-from-01 ` (space (restrict-space borel {0..1})) \in
 sets Cantor-space
 (**is** ?A \in -)
 proof –
 have ?A \subseteq space Cantor-space
 using to-Cantor-from-01-image **by** auto
 have comple-sets:($\prod_E i \in UNIV. \{0,1\}$) – ?A \in sets Cantor-space
 proof –
 have eq1:?A = $\{\lambda n. 1\} \cup \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0,1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)\}$
 proof
 show ?A $\subseteq \{\lambda n. 1\} \cup \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0,1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)\}$
 proof
 fix x
 assume x \in ?A
 then obtain r **where** hr:r $\in \{0..1\}$ $x =$ to-Cantor-from-01 r
 by auto
 then consider r = 1 | r $\in \{0..<1\}$ **by** fastforce
 thus x $\in \{\lambda n. 1\} \cup \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0,1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)\}$
 proof cases
 case 1
 then show ?thesis
 by(simp add: hr(2) to-Cantor-from-01-def)
 next
 case 2
 from to-Cantor-from-01-exist0[*OF this*] to-Cantor-from-01-image'
 show ?thesis **by**(auto simp: hr(2))
 qed
 qed

```

next
  show  $\{\lambda n. 1\} \cup \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)\} \subseteq ?A$ 
  proof
    fix  $x :: nat \Rightarrow real$ 
    assume  $x \in \{\lambda n. 1\} \cup \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)\}$ 
    then consider  $x = (\lambda n. 1) \mid (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)$ 
  by auto
  thus  $x \in ?A$ 
  proof cases
    case 1
      then show  $?thesis$ 
      by (auto intro!: image-eqI[where  $x=1$ ] simp: to-Cantor-from-01-def)
  next
    case 2
    hence  $\bigwedge n. 0 \leq x n \wedge \bigwedge n. x n \leq 1$ 
    by (metis dual-order.refl empty-iff insert-iff zero-less-one-class.zero-le-one) +
    with 2 to-Cantor-from-01-if-exist0[of  $x$ ] nsum-of-r-leq[of 1/2 x 1 1 0]
    show  $?thesis$ 
    by (auto intro!: image-eqI[where  $x=\sum n. (1 / 2)^n \wedge Suc n * x n$ ])
  qed
  qed
  qed
  have  $(\prod_E i \in UNIV. \{0, 1\}) - ?A = \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. x k = 1)\} - \{\lambda n. 1\}$ 
  proof
    show  $(\prod_E i \in UNIV. \{0, 1\}) - ?A \subseteq \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. x k = 1)\} - \{\lambda n. 1\}$ 
  proof
    fix  $x :: nat \Rightarrow real$ 
    assume  $x \in (\prod_E i \in UNIV. \{0, 1\}) - ?A$ 
    then have  $\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\} \wedge (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0) \wedge x \neq (\lambda n. 1)$ 
    using eq1 by blast+
    thus  $x \in \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. x k = 1)\} - \{\lambda n. 1\}$ 
    by blast
  qed
  next
    show  $(\prod_E i \in UNIV. \{0, 1\}) - ?A \supseteq \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. x k = 1)\} - \{\lambda n. 1\}$ 
  proof
    fix  $x :: nat \Rightarrow real$ 
    assume  $h : x \in \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\}) \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. x k = 1)\} - \{\lambda n. 1\}$ 
    then have  $\forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\} \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. x k = 1) \wedge x \neq (\lambda n. 1)$ 
    by blast+
    hence  $\neg (\forall n. \exists k \geq n. x k = 0)$ 
    by fastforce
    with  $\langle \forall n. x n \in \{0, 1\} \rangle \langle x \neq (\lambda n. 1) \rangle$ 
    show  $x \in (\prod_E i \in UNIV. \{0, 1\}) - ?A$ 

```

```

        using eq1 by blast
qed
qed
also have ... = ( $\bigcup ((\lambda n. \{x. (\forall n. x n \in \{0,1\}) \wedge (\forall k \geq n. x k = 1)\}))$  ` UNIV) - { $\lambda n. 1$ }
by blast
also have ... ∈ sets Cantor-space (is ?B ∈ -)
proof -
have countable ?B
proof -
have countable {x :: nat ⇒ real. ( $\forall n. x n = 0 \vee x n = 1$ )  $\wedge (\forall k \geq m. x k = 1)$ } for m :: nat
proof -
let ?C = {x::nat ⇒ real. ( $\forall n. x n = 0 \vee x n = 1$ )  $\wedge (\forall k \geq m. x k = 1)$ }
define g where g = ( $\lambda(x::nat \Rightarrow real). n. if n < m then x n else undefined$ )
have 1:g ` ?C = ( $\Pi_E i \in \{.. < m\}. \{0,1\}$ )
proof(standard; standard)
fix x
assume x ∈ g ` ?C
then show x ∈ ( $\Pi_E i \in \{.. < m\}. \{0,1\}$ )
by(auto simp: g-def PiE-def extensional-def)
next
fix x
assume h:x ∈ ( $\Pi_E i \in \{.. < m\}. \{0,1::real\}$ )
then have x = g ( $\lambda n. if n < m then x n else 1$ )
by(auto simp add: g-def PiE-def extensional-def)
moreover have ( $\lambda n. if n < m then x n else 1$ ) ∈ ?C
using h by auto
ultimately show x ∈ g ` ?C
by auto
qed
have 2:inj-on g ?C
proof
fix x y
assume hxyg:x ∈ ?C y : ?C g x = g y
show x = y
proof
fix n
consider n < m | m ≤ n by fastforce
thus x n = y n
proof cases
case 1
then show ?thesis
using fun-cong[OF hxyg(3),of n] by(simp add: g-def)
next
case 2
then show ?thesis

```

```

        using hxyg(1,2) by auto
qed
qed
qed
show countable {x::nat ⇒ real. (∀ n. x n = 0 ∨ x n = 1) ∧ (∀ k≥m.
x k = 1)}
by(rule countable-image-inj-on[OF - 2]) (auto intro!: countable-PiE
simp: 1)
qed
thus ?thesis
by auto
qed
moreover have ?B ⊆ space Cantor-space
by(auto simp: space-Cantor-space)
ultimately show ?thesis
using Cantor-space-standard-ne by(simp add: standard-borel.countable-sets
standard-borel-ne-def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have space Cantor-space − ((Π_E i∈ UNIV. {0,1}) − ?A) = ?A
using ‹?A ⊆ space Cantor-space› space-Cantor-space by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
using sets.compl-sets[OF comple-sets] by auto
qed
have to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ A = f − ‘ A ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ (space (restrict-space
borel {0..1}))
proof
show to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ A ⊆ f − ‘ A ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ space
(restrict-space borel {0..1})
proof
fix x
assume x ∈ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ A
then obtain a where ha:a ∈ A x = to-Cantor-from-01 a by auto
hence a ∈ {0..1}
using sets.sets-into-space[OF assms] by auto
have f x = a
using to-Cantor-from-sum[OF ‹a ∈ {0..1}›] by(simp add: f-def ha(2))
thus x ∈ f − ‘ A ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ space (restrict-space borel {0..1})
using sets.sets-into-space[OF assms] ha by auto
qed
next
show to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ A ⊇ f − ‘ A ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ space
(restrict-space borel {0..1})
proof
fix x
assume h:x ∈ f − ‘ A ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘ space (restrict-space borel
{0..1})
then obtain r where r ∈ {0..1} x = to-Cantor-from-01 r

```

```

    by auto
from h have f x ∈ A
    by simp
hence to-Cantor-from-01 (f x) = x
    using to-Cantor-from-01-sum-of-to-Cantor-from-01[OF ⟨r ∈ {0..1}⟩]
    by(simp add: f-def ⟨x = to-Cantor-from-01 r⟩)
with ⟨f x ∈ A⟩
show x ∈ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘A
    by (simp add: rev-image-eqI)
qed
qed
also have ... ∈ sets Cantor-space
proof –
have f – ‘A ∩ space Cantor-space ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘space (restrict-space
borel {0..1}) = f – ‘A ∩ to-Cantor-from-01 ‘(space (restrict-space borel {0..1}))
    using to-Cantor-from-01-image sets.sets-into-space[OF assms,simplified]
by auto
thus ?thesis
    using sets.Int[OF measurable-sets[OF f-meas assms] image-sets]
    by fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
show ?thesis
using Schroeder-Bernstein-measurable[OF Cantor-to-01-measurable Cantor-to-01-preserves-sets Cantor-to-01-inj to-Cantor-from-01-measurable to-Cantor-from-01-preserves-sets to-Cantor-from-01-inj]
    by(simp add: measurable-isomorphic-def)
qed
have 1:Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic (Π_M (i::nat,j::nat)∈ UNIV × UNIV.
restrict-space borel {0,1::real})
    unfolding Cantor-space-def
    by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-sym[OF countable-infinite-isomorphic-to-nat-index]
simp: split-beta' finite-prod)
have 2:(Π_M (i::nat,j::nat)∈ UNIV × UNIV. restrict-space borel {0,1::real})
measurable-isomorphic (Π_M (i::nat)∈ UNIV. Cantor-space)
    unfolding Cantor-space-def by(rule measurable-isomorphic-sym[OF PiM-PiM-isomorphic-to-PiM])
have 3:(Π_M (i::nat)∈ UNIV. Cantor-space) measurable-isomorphic Hilbert-cube
    unfolding Hilbert-cube-def by(rule measurable-isomorphic-lift-product[OF Cantor-space-isomorphic-to-01closed])
show ?thesis
    by(rule measurable-isomorphic-trans[OF measurable-isomorphic-trans[OF 1 2]
3])
qed

```

3.3 Final Results

lemma(in standard-borel) embedding-into-Hilbert-cube:
 $\exists A \in \text{sets Hilbert-cube. } M \text{ measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space Hilbert-cube } A)$

```

proof -
  obtain S where S:Polish-space S sets (borel-of S) = sets M
    using Polish-space by blast
  obtain A where A:gdelta-in Hilbert-cube-topology A S homeomorphic-space subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology A
    using embedding-into-Hilbert-cube-gdelta-in[OF S(1)] by blast
  show ?thesis
    using borel-of-gdelta-in[OF A(1)] homeomorphic-space-measurable-isomorphic[OF A(2)] measurable-isomorphic-sets-cong[OF S(2),of borel-of (subtopology Hilbert-cube-topology A) restrict-space Hilbert-cube A] Hilbert-cube-borel sets-restrict-space-cong[OF Hilbert-cube-borel]
      by(auto intro!: bexI[where x=A] simp: borel-of-subtopology)
qed

lemma(in standard-borel) embedding-from-Cantor-space:
  assumes uncountable (space M)
  shows  $\exists A \in \text{sets } M$ . Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space M A)
proof -
  obtain S where S:Polish-space S sets (borel-of S) = sets M
    using Polish-space by blast
  then obtain A where A:gdelta-in S A Cantor-space-topology homeomorphic-space subtopology S A
    using embedding-from-Cantor-space[of S] assms sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF S(2)]
      by(auto simp: space-borel-of)
  show ?thesis
    using borel-of-gdelta-in[OF A(1)] S(2) homeomorphic-space-measurable-isomorphic[OF A(2)] measurable-isomorphic-sets-cong[OF Cantor-space-borel restrict-space-sets-cong[OF refl S(2)],of A]
      by(auto intro!: bexI[where x=A] simp: borel-of-subtopology)
qed

corollary(in standard-borel) uncountable-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube:
  assumes uncountable (space M)
  shows Hilbert-cube measurable-isomorphic M
proof -
  obtain A B where AB:
    M measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space Hilbert-cube A) Cantor-space measurable-isomorphic (restrict-space M B)
    A ∈ sets Hilbert-cube B ∈ sets M
    using embedding-into-Hilbert-cube embedding-from-Cantor-space[OF assms] by auto
  show ?thesis
    by(rule measurable-isomorphic-antisym[OF AB measurable-isomorphic-sym[OF Cantor-space-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube]])
qed

corollary(in standard-borel) uncountable-isomorphic-to-real:
  assumes uncountable (space M)
  shows M measurable-isomorphic (borel :: real measure)
proof -

```

```

interpret r: standard-borel-ne borel :: real measure
  by simp
show ?thesis
  by(auto intro!: measurable-isomorphic-trans[OF measurable-isomorphic-sym[OF
uncountable-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube[OF assms]] r.uncountable-isomorphic-to-Hilbert-cube]
simp: uncountable-UNIV-real)
qed

lemma(in standard-borel) isomorphic-subset-real:
  assumes A ∈ sets (borel :: real measure) uncountable A
  obtains B where B ∈ sets borel B ⊆ A M measurable-isomorphic restrict-space
borel B
proof(cases countable (space M))
  assume count:countable (space M)
  have ∃ B⊆A. space M ≈ B
  proof(cases finite (space M))
    assume fin:finite (space M)
    then obtain B where B:card B = card (space M) finite B B ⊆ A
      by (meson assms(2) countable-finite infinite-arbitrarily-large)
    thus ?thesis
      using fin by(auto intro!: exI[where x=B] simp: eqpoll-iff-card)
  next
    assume inf:infinite (space M)
    obtain B where B: B ⊆ A countable B infinite B
      using assms(2) countable-finite infinite-countable-subset' that by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using bij-betw-from-nat-into[OF count inf] bij-betw-from-nat-into[OF B(2,3)]
        by (meson eqpoll-def eqpoll-sym eqpoll-trans)
  qed
  then obtain B where B:B ⊆ A space M ≈ B countable B
    by (metis countable-eqpoll eqpoll-sym count)
  then obtain f where f:bij-betw f (space M) B
    using eqpoll-def by blast
  have 1:C ∈ sets borel if C:C ⊆ B for C
  proof -
    have C = (∪ c∈C. {c})
      by auto
    also have ... ∈ sets borel
      using B C by(intro sets.countable-UN') (auto simp: countable-subset)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  have 2:sets M = sets (count-space (space M))
    by (simp add: countable-discrete-space count)
  have 3:sets (restrict-space borel B) = sets (count-space B)
    using 1 by(auto simp: sets-restrict-space)
  have [simp]:measurable M (restrict-space borel B) = measurable (count-space
(space M)) (count-space B)
    measurable (restrict-space borel B) M = measurable (count-space B) (count-space
(space M))

```

```

using 2 3 by(auto intro!: measurable-cong-sets)
have M measurable-isomorphic restrict-space borel B
  using bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF f] f by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def
measurable-isomorphic-map-def space-restrict-space intro!: exI[where x=f] dest:
bij-betwE)
  with 1 B that show ?thesis
    by blast
next
  assume uncountable (space M)
  then have M measurable-isomorphic (borel :: real measure)
    using uncountable-isomorphic-to-real by blast
  moreover have restrict-space borel A measurable-isomorphic (borel :: real mea-
sure)
    by(auto intro!: standard-borel.uncountable-isomorphic-to-real standard-borel.standard-borel-restrict-space[OF
standard-borel-ne.standard-borel] simp: assms space-restrict-space)
  ultimately have M measurable-isomorphic restrict-space borel A
    using measurable-isomorphic-sym measurable-isomorphic-trans by blast
  with assms(1) that show ?thesis
    by blast
qed

lemma(in standard-borel) countable-isomorphic-to-subset-real:
  assumes countable (space M)
  obtains A :: real set
  where countable A A ∈ sets borel M measurable-isomorphic restrict-space borel
A
proof -
  obtain A :: real set where A:A ∈ sets borel M measurable-isomorphic re-
strict-space borel A
    using isomorphic-subset-real[of UNIV] uncountable-UNIV-real by auto
  moreover have countable A
    using measurable-isomorphic-cardinality-eq[OF A(2)] assms(1)
    by(simp add: space-restrict-space countable-eqpoll[OF - eqpoll-sym])
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using that by blast
qed

theorem Borel-isomorphism-theorem:
  assumes standard-borel M standard-borel N
  shows space M ≈ space N ↔ M measurable-isomorphic N
proof
  assume h:space M ≈ space N
  interpret M: standard-borel M by fact
  interpret N: standard-borel N by fact
  consider countable (space M) countable (space N) | uncountable (space M) un-
countable (space N)
    by (meson countable-eqpoll eqpoll-sym h)
  thus M measurable-isomorphic N
  proof cases

```

```

case 1
then have 2:sets  $M = \text{sets}(\text{count-space}(\text{space } M))$  sets  $N = \text{sets}(\text{count-space}(\text{space } N))$ 
  by (simp-all add:  $M.\text{countable-discrete-space}$   $N.\text{countable-discrete-space}$ )
  show ?thesis
  by(simp add: measurable-isomorphic-sets-cong[OF 2] measurable-isomorphic-count-spaces
h)
next
case 2
then have  $M \text{ measurable-isomorphic } (\text{borel} :: \text{real measure})$   $N \text{ measurable-isomorphic } (\text{borel} :: \text{real measure})$ 
  by(simp-all add:  $M.\text{uncountable-isomorphic-to-real}$   $N.\text{uncountable-isomorphic-to-real}$ )
  thus ?thesis
    using measurable-isomorphic-sym measurable-isomorphic-trans by blast
  qed
qed(rule measurable-isomorphic-cardinality-eq)

definition to-real-on :: ' $a$  measure  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$   $\Rightarrow$  real where
  to-real-on  $M \equiv (\text{if uncountable } (\text{space } M) \text{ then } (\text{SOME } f. \text{ measurable-isomorphic-map } M \text{ (borel} :: \text{real measure}) f) \text{ else } (\text{real} \circ \text{to-nat-on} (\text{space } M)))$ 

definition from-real-into :: ' $a$  measure  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$  where
  from-real-into  $M \equiv (\text{if uncountable } (\text{space } M) \text{ then } \text{the-inv-into} (\text{space } M) (\text{to-real-on } M) \text{ else } (\lambda r. \text{from-nat-into} (\text{space } M) (\text{nat} \lfloor r \rfloor)))$ 

context standard-borel
begin

abbreviation to-real  $\equiv$  to-real-on  $M$ 
abbreviation from-real  $\equiv$  from-real-into  $M$ 

lemma to-real-def-countable:
  assumes countable (space  $M$ )
  shows to-real = ( $\lambda r. \text{real}(\text{to-nat-on}(\text{space } M) r)$ )
  using assms by(auto simp: to-real-on-def)

lemma from-real-def-countable:
  assumes countable (space  $M$ )
  shows from-real = ( $\lambda r. \text{from-nat-into}(\text{space } M) (\text{nat} \lfloor r \rfloor)$ )
  using assms by(simp add: from-real-into-def)

lemma from-real-to-real[simp]:
  assumes  $x \in \text{space } M$ 
  shows from-real (to-real  $x$ ) =  $x$ 
proof -
  have [simp]: space  $M \neq \{\}$ 
  using assms by auto
  consider countable (space  $M$ )  $|$  uncountable (space  $M$ ) by auto
  then show ?thesis

```

```

proof cases
  case 1
    then show ?thesis
      by(simp add: to-real-def-countable from-real-def-countable assms)
  next
    case 2
    then obtain f where f: measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure)
    f
      using uncountable-isomorphic-to-real by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
      have 1:to-real = Eps (measurable-isomorphic-map M borel) from-real = the-inv-into
      (space M) (Eps (measurable-isomorphic-map M borel))
        by(simp-all add: to-real-on-def 2 from-real-into-def)
      show ?thesis
        unfolding 1
        by(rule someI2[of measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f,OF
        f])
          (meson assms bij-btw-imp-inj-on measurable-isomorphic-map-def the-inv-into-f-f)
      qed
  qed

lemma to-real-measurable[measurable]:
  to-real ∈ M →M borel
proof(cases countable (space M))
  case 1:True
  then have sets M = Pow (space M)
    by(rule countable-discrete-space)
  then show ?thesis
    by(simp add: to-real-def-countable 1 borel-measurableI-le)
  next
  case 1:False
  then obtain f where f: measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f
    using uncountable-isomorphic-to-real by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
    have 2:to-real = Eps (measurable-isomorphic-map M borel)
      by(simp add: to-real-on-def 1 from-real-into-def)
    show ?thesis
      unfolding 2
      by(rule someI2[of measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f,OF
      f],simp add: measurable-isomorphic-map-def)
    qed

lemma from-real-measurable':
  assumes space M ≠ {}
  shows from-real ∈ borel →M M
proof(cases countable (space M))
  case 1:True
  then have 2:sets M = Pow (space M)
    by(rule countable-discrete-space)
  have [measurable]:from-nat-into (space M) ∈ count-space UNIV →M M
    using from-nat-into[OF assms] by auto

```

```

show ?thesis
  by(simp add: from-real-def-countable 1 borel-measurableI-le)
next
  case 2:False
    then obtain f where f: measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f
      using uncountable-isomorphic-to-real by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
    have 1: from-real = the-inv-into (space M) (Eps (measurable-isomorphic-map M
      borel))
      by(simp add: to-real-on-def 2 from-real-into-def)
    show ?thesis
      unfolding 1
      by(rule someI2[of measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f,OF
f],simp add: measurable-isomorphic-map-def)
qed

lemma to-real-from-real:
  assumes uncountable (space M)
  shows to-real (from-real r) = r
proof -
  obtain f where f: measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f
    using assms uncountable-isomorphic-to-real by(auto simp: measurable-isomorphic-def)
  have 1:to-real = Eps (measurable-isomorphic-map M borel) from-real = the-inv-into
    (space M) (Eps (measurable-isomorphic-map M borel))
    by(simp-all add: to-real-on-def assms from-real-into-def)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding 1
    by(rule someI2[of measurable-isomorphic-map M (borel :: real measure) f,OF
f])
    (metis UNIV-If-the-inv-into-f-bij-betw measurable-isomorphic-map-def space-borel)
qed

end

lemma(in standard-borel-ne) from-real-measurable[measurable]: from-real ∈ borel
  →M M
  by(simp add: from-real-measurable' space-ne)

end

```

References

- [1] Lecture note of math245b in UCLA. <https://web.archive.org/web/20210506130459/https://www.math.ucla.edu/~biskup/245b.1.20w/>, 2020. Accessed: June 27. 2023.
- [2] K. Matsuzaka. 集合・位相入門. Iwanami Shoten, 1968. written in Japanese.

[3] S. M. Srivastava. *A Course on Borel Sets*. Springer, 1998.