

Sorted Rewriting, Conditional Rewriting, and Logically Constrained Rewriting

Akihisa Yamada

National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology,
Japan

May 8, 2026

Abstract

This entry provides various materials for sorted term rewrite systems (sorted TRSs), (sorted) conditional TRSs (CTRSs), and logically constrained TRSs (LCTRSs). For (C)TRSs we formalize the fundamental result that the rewrite steps induced by a (C)TRS is the least rewrite relation that models the (C)TRS. For LCTRSs we simply formulate logics as sorted algebras with the bool sort and logical symbols which are interpreted as expected. This allows us to define rewrite steps of LCTRSs as rewrite steps of an (infinite) TRS.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	More About Binary Relations	3
2.1	Missing Lemmas	4
2.1.1	Extending Binary Relations AFP Entry	6
2.1.2	Relation defined precisely on a set	9
2.1.3	Closed Relations	9
2.1.4	Function Space on Specific Input	10
2.2	Lists	11
2.2.1	Reflexive Closure Restricted on Set	12
2.2.2	Dual	15
3	More About Sorted Terms	16
4	Sorted Relations	19
4.1	Subject Reduction Property	19

5	Sorted Rules	22
5.1	Equation	22
5.2	Axioms – Rewrite Rules	23
5.3	Inference Rules – Conditional Rewrite Rules	23
5.4	Sortedness of Rules	24
6	Models	26
6.1	Satisfaction of Equations	26
6.2	Validity of Axioms	27
6.2.1	Models	28
7	Ordered Algebras	29
8	Monotone Algebras	31
8.1	Ordered Monotone Algebras	35
9	Sorted Rewrite Relations	36
9.1	Closure Under Substitutions	37
9.2	Rewrite Relations	39
10	Sorted Rewriting	41
10.1	Root Rewrite Steps	41
10.2	Rewrite Steps	43
10.3	Closures	46
10.4	Sorted Rewrite Systems	49
10.5	Models of Rewrite Systems	52
11	Conditional Rewriting	55
12	Logic	62
12.1	Syntax	62
12.2	Semantics	66
12.3	Propositional Logic	71
13	Logically Constrained Rewriting	72
13.1	Sorted Injections	75
14	Extension of Algebras	77
14.1	Disjoint Sum of Sorted Sets	78
14.2	Extending Signature and Interpretations	78
15	Extending Algebra into Logic	80

16 Concrete Logics	83
16.1 Bool Logic	83
16.2 Natural Arithmetic	83
16.3 Integer Arithmetic	88
17 Examples	90
17.1 Less-Than TRS	91
17.2 Addition TRS	91
17.3 Even TRS	92
17.4 Even CTRS	92
17.5 LCTRS	93

1 Introduction

This entry provides various materials needed for *logically constrained term rewrite systems* (LCTRS) [2], a term rewrite system (TRS) where rules come with constraints which are interpreted in a background logic.

Building upon the IsaFoR (Isabelle Formalization of Rewriting [4]) formalizations of unsorted terms [3] and sorted terms [6], we start with formalizing sorted TRSs. Here we formalize fundamental results such as that the rewrite relation induced by a sorted TRS is the least rewrite relation which models the TRS. We also formalize (sorted) conditional TRSs (CTRSs) and the fundamental result that the many-step reduction is the least rewrite preorder which models the CTRS.

As LCTRSs require *logics*, we provide a light-weight and rewriting-oriented formalization of logics. While it is standard to treat logical symbols and function symbols differently—cf. the IsaFoL (Isabelle Formalization of Logics) entry [1]—in this development we consider a logic just as a sorted algebra where the signature contains logic symbols and their interpretations are as expected. This became possible thanks to the formalization of sorted terms, and is crucial for LCTRSs since the formalism allows logical symbols to appear inside terms.

We also provide means to extend an algebra with another algebra, and to extend an algebra with logic. This allows us to build concrete logics modularly: we define algebras for natural numbers and integers, and obtain corresponding logics by extending these algebras with a pure Bool logic.

2 More About Binary Relations

theory *Binary-Relations-More*

imports *Complete-Non-Orders.Well-Relations Main HOL-Library.FuncSet*
Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting

begin

2.1 Missing Lemmas

lemma *relpowp-Suc'*: $r \sim \text{Suc } n = r \text{ OO } r \sim n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tranclp-greater*: $r \leq r^{++}$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *relation-ofI*:

assumes $r \ x \ y$ **and** $x \in X$ **and** $y \in X$

shows $(x,y) \in \text{relation-of } r \ X$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *relation-ofE*:

assumes $(x,y) \in \text{relation-of } r \ X \ r \ x \ y \implies x \in X \implies y \in X \implies \text{thesis}$

shows *thesis*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-relation-of-UNIV[simp]*: $(x,y) \in \text{relation-of } r \ \text{UNIV} \longleftrightarrow r \ x \ y$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *relation-of-mono*:

assumes $r \leq s \ X \subseteq Y$ **shows** $\text{relation-of } r \ X \subseteq \text{relation-of } s \ Y$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *relation-of-subrel = relation-of-mono*[OF - subset-refl]

lemmas *relation-of-subset = relation-of-mono*[OF order.refl]

lemma *relation-of-sup*: $\text{relation-of } (r \sqcup s) \ X = \text{relation-of } r \ X \cup \text{relation-of } s \ X$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *relation-of-UNIV-OO*:

$\text{relation-of } (r \text{ OO } s) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{relation-of } r \ \text{UNIV} \ O \ \text{relation-of } s \ \text{UNIV}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *relation-of-UNIV-trancl*:

$\text{relation-of } (\text{tranclp } r) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{trancl } (\text{relation-of } r \ \text{UNIV})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *relation-of-UNIV-rtrancl*:

$\text{relation-of } (\text{rtranclp } r) \ \text{UNIV} = \text{rtrancl } (\text{relation-of } r \ \text{UNIV})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtrancl-relation-of*: $(\text{relation-of } r \ \text{UNIV})^* = \text{relation-of } (\text{rtranclp } r) \ \text{UNIV}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-rel-un*: $\text{in-rel } (r \cup s) = \text{in-rel } r \sqcup \text{in-rel } s$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-rel-relcomp*: $\text{in-rel } (r \ O \ s) = \text{in-rel } r \ \text{OO} \ \text{in-rel } s$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-rel-trancl*: $in-rel (S^+) = (in-rel S)^{++}$

<proof>

lemma *in-rel-rtrancl*: $in-rel (S^*) = (in-rel S)^{**}$

<proof>

lemma *tranclpD2*: $tranclp r x y \implies \exists z. rtranclp r x z \wedge r z y$

<proof>

lemma *tranclp-mono*:

assumes *rs*: $r \leq s$ **shows** $tranclp r \leq tranclp s$

<proof>

lemma *mono-tranclp[mono]*:

$(\bigwedge x y. r x y \longrightarrow s x y) \implies tranclp r x y \longrightarrow tranclp s x y$

<proof>

lemmas *tranclp-subrel* = *tranclp-mono*[*THEN le-funD*, *THEN le-funD*, *THEN le-boolD*, *rule-format*]

lemmas *rtranclp-subrel* = *rtranclp-mono*[*THEN le-funD*, *THEN le-funD*, *THEN le-boolD*, *rule-format*]

lemma [*simp*]:

shows $tranclp\text{-}tranclp: tranclp (tranclp r) = tranclp r$

<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-iff-tranclp*: $rtranclp r s t \longleftrightarrow s = t \vee tranclp r s t$

<proof>

lemma *tranclp-imp-relpow*: $r^{++} x y \implies \exists n > 0. (r \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x y$ **for** $x y$

<proof>

lemma *relpow-imp-tranclp*:

assumes $xy: (r \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) x y$ **shows** $n = 0 \wedge x = y \vee r^{++} x y$

<proof>

lemma *tranclp-is-Sup-relpow*: $r^{++} = (\bigsqcup n \in \{0 < ..\}. r \overset{\sim}{\sim} n)$

<proof>

lemma *relpow-dual*: **fixes** $r :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **shows** $r^- \overset{\sim}{\sim} n = (r \overset{\sim}{\sim} n)^-$

<proof>

lemma *symclp-mono*: $r \leq s \implies symclp r \leq symclp s$

<proof>

lemma *wf-iff-wfP*: $wf R \longleftrightarrow wfP (in-rel R)$

<proof>

lemma *wfP-trancl*: $wfP\ r^{++} \longleftrightarrow wfP\ r$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-relcomp-rtranclp-le*:
 $(rtranclp\ r\ OO\ rtranclp\ r) \leq rtranclp\ r$
<proof>

lemma *wfP-iff-nonempty-minimal*: $wfP\ r \longleftrightarrow (\forall X. X \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists x \in X. \forall y \in X. \neg r\ y\ x))$
<proof>

lemmas *wfP-imp-nonempty-minimal = wfP-iff-nonempty-minimal* [THEN *iffD1*,
rule-format]

lemmas *nonempty-minimal-imp-wfP = wfP-iff-nonempty-minimal* [THEN *iffD2*,
rule-format]

lemma *quasi-commute-imp-SN-Un-iff*: $quasi-commute\ r\ s \implies SN\ (r \cup s) \longleftrightarrow SN\ r \wedge SN\ s$
<proof>

lemma *symclp-symmetric*: *symmetric* *A* (*symclp* *r*) *<proof>*

context *reflexive* **begin**

interpretation *tranclp*: *quasi-ordered-set* *A* *tranclp* (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

lemmas *tranclp-quasi-order = tranclp.quasi-ordered-set-axioms*
and *tranclp-reflexive = tranclp.reflexive-axioms*

interpretation *symclp*: *tolerance* *A* *symclp* (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

lemmas *symclp-tolerance = symclp.tolerance-axioms*
and *symclp-reflexive = symclp.reflexive-axioms*

end

2.1.1 Extending Binary Relations AFP Entry

lemma *sup-Restrp*: $r \sqcup s \upharpoonright A = (r \upharpoonright A) \sqcup (s \upharpoonright A)$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-Restrp-mem*[*simp*]:
assumes *tranclp* (*s* \upharpoonright *A*) *x* *y*

shows $x \in A \ y \in A$
<proof>

lemma *Restrp-dual*: $(r \upharpoonright A)^- = r^- \upharpoonright A$
<proof>

lemma *Restrp-mono*: **assumes** *rs*: $r \leq s$ **and** *AB*: $A \subseteq B$ **shows** $r \upharpoonright A \leq s \upharpoonright B$
<proof>

lemmas *Restrp-subrel* = *Restrp-mono*[*OF - subset-refl*]
lemmas *Restrp-subset* = *Restrp-mono*[*OF order.refl*]

interpretation *reflclp*: *reflexive UNIV reflclp r*
rewrites $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
<proof>

lemmas *symclp-cases*[*consumes 1, case-names fw bw, elim!*] = *symclp-def*[*unfolded*
atomize-eq, THEN iffD1, THEN disjE]

interpretation *symclp*: *symmetric UNIV symclp r*
rewrites $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
<proof>

interpretation *tranclp*: *transitive UNIV tranclp r*
rewrites $(sympartp (tranclp r))^- \equiv sympartp (tranclp r)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
<proof>

interpretation *rtranclp*: *quasi-ordered-set UNIV rtranclp r*
rewrites $(sympartp (rtranclp r))^- \equiv sympartp (rtranclp r)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
<proof>

interpretation *transymclp*: *partial-equivalence UNIV tranclp (symclp r)*
rewrites $(sympartp (rtranclp r))^- \equiv sympartp (rtranclp r)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$

and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
 <proof>

interpretation *rtransymclp: equivalence UNIV rtranclp (symclp r)*
rewrites $(sympartp (rtranclp (symclp r)))^- \equiv sympartp (rtranclp (symclp r))$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
 <proof>

lemma (in *symmetric*) *reflclp-symmetric: symmetric A (reflclp (~))*
 <proof>

locale *compatible* =
related-set + less-syntax +
assumes *strict-implies-weak*: $x \sqsubset y \implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \sqsubseteq y$
assumes *weak-strict-trans[trans]*: $x \sqsubseteq y \implies y \sqsubset z \implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies x \sqsubset z$
assumes *strict-weak-trans[trans]*: $x \sqsubset y \implies y \sqsubseteq z \implies x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies x \sqsubset z$
begin

sublocale *strict: transitive A (⊆)*
 <proof>

end

interpretation *rtranclp: compatible UNIV rtranclp r tranclp r*
rewrites $(sympartp (tranclp r))^- \equiv sympartp (tranclp r)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge X. X \subseteq UNIV \equiv True$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies PROP P1) \equiv PROP P1$
and $\bigwedge P1. (True \implies P1) \equiv Trueprop P1$
 <proof>

context *compatible-ordering begin*

sublocale *compatible*
 <proof>

end

lemma *trans-relation-of: trans (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow transitive A r*
 <proof>

2.1.2 Relation defined precisely on a set

locale *relation-on* = *related-set* +
assumes *mem-left*: $a \sqsubseteq b \implies a \in A$
and *mem-right*: $a \sqsubseteq b \implies b \in A$
begin

lemma *Restrp-eq[simp]*: $(\sqsubseteq) \upharpoonright A = (\sqsubseteq)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dual-relation-on*: *relation-on* A $(\sqsubseteq)^-$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symmetric-imp-symclp-eq*:
assumes *symmetric* A (\sqsubseteq)
shows *symclp* $(\sqsubseteq) = (\sqsubseteq)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-relation-on*: *relation-on* A $(\sqsubseteq)^{++}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symclp-relation-on*: *relation-on* A $(\text{symclp } (\sqsubseteq))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symmetric-UNIV*: *symmetric* A $(\sqsubseteq) \longleftrightarrow \text{symmetric UNIV } (\sqsubseteq)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

interpretation *Restrp*: *relation-on* A $r \upharpoonright A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Restrp.tranclp*: *relation-on* A *tranclp* $(r \upharpoonright A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relation-on-sup*:
relation-on A $(r \sqcup s) \longleftrightarrow \text{relation-on } A$ $r \wedge \text{relation-on } A$ s
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.1.3 Closed Relations

locale *relation-closed* = *related-set* +
assumes *closed*: $a \sqsubseteq b \implies a \in A \implies b \in A$
begin

interpretation *tranclp*: *relation-closed* A $(\sqsubseteq)^{++}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas *tranclp-closed* = *tranclp.relation-closed-axioms*

lemma *reflclp-closed: relation-closed* $A (\sqsubseteq)^{==}$
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-closed: relation-closed* $A (\sqsubseteq)^{**}$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-partial-equivalence:*
assumes *symmetric* $A (\sqsubseteq)$ **shows** *partial-equivalence* $A (\sqsubseteq)^{++}$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-equivalence:*
assumes *tolerance* $A (\sqsubseteq)$
shows *equivalence* $A (\text{tranclp } (\sqsubseteq))$
<proof>

end

2.1.4 Function Space on Specific Input

definition *fun-ord-on where fun-ord-on* $I (\sqsubseteq) f g \equiv \forall i \in I. f i \sqsubseteq g i$
for *le* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)

lemmas *fun-ord-onI = fun-ord-on-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD2, rule-format*]
lemmas *fun-ord-onD = fun-ord-on-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1, rule-format*]

lemma *fun-ord-on-empty*[*simp*]: *fun-ord-on* $\{\} = \top$
<proof>

lemma *fun-ord-on-UNIV*[*simp*]: *fun-ord-on* $UNIV = \text{fun-ord}$
<proof>

lemma *dual-fun-ord-on: (fun-ord-on I r)⁻ = fun-ord-on I r⁻*
<proof>

lemma (**in reflexive**) *fun-reflexive:*
reflexive $(I \rightarrow A)$ (*fun-ord-on* $I (\sqsubseteq)$)
<proof>

lemma (**in irreflexive**) *fun-irreflexive:*
assumes *I0: I ≠ {}*
shows *irreflexive* $(I \rightarrow A)$ (*fun-ord-on* $I (\sqsubseteq)$)
<proof>

lemma (**in semiattractive**) *fun-semiattractive:*
semiattractive $(I \rightarrow A)$ (*fun-ord-on* $I (\sqsubseteq)$)
<proof>

lemma (**in attractive**) *fun-attractive:*
attractive $(I \rightarrow A)$ (*fun-ord-on* $I (\sqsubseteq)$)

<proof>

lemma (*in transitive*) *fun-transitive*:
transitive ($I \rightarrow A$) (*fun-ord-on* I (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

lemma (*in symmetric*) *fun-symmetric*:
symmetric ($I \rightarrow A$) (*fun-ord-on* I (\sim))
<proof>

lemma (*in quasi-ordered-set*) *fun-quasi-order*:
quasi-ordered-set ($I \rightarrow A$) (*fun-ord-on* I (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

lemma (*in tolerance*) *fun-tolerance*:
tolerance ($I \rightarrow A$) (*fun-ord-on* I (\sim))
<proof>

lemma (*in equivalence*) *fun-equivalence*:
equivalence ($I \rightarrow A$) (*fun-ord-on* I (\sim))
<proof>

2.2 Lists

lemma *dual-list-all2*: $(\text{list-all2 } r)^- = \text{list-all2 } r^-$ *<proof>*

lemma (*in reflexive*) *lists-reflexive*: *reflexive* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

lemma (*in transitive*) *lists-transitive*: *transitive* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sqsubseteq)) (*is transitive* $?A$ $?r$)
<proof>

lemma (*in symmetric*) *lists-symmetric*: *symmetric* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sim))
<proof>

lemma (*in antisymmetric*) *lists-antisymmetric*: *antisymmetric* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

lemma (*in semiattractive*) *lists-semiattractive*: *semiattractive* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

lemma (*in attractive*) *lists-attractive*: *attractive* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

lemma (*in quasi-ordered-set*) *lists-quasi-ordered-set*: *quasi-ordered-set* (*lists* A) (*list-all2* (\sqsubseteq))

<proof>

lemma (in *near-ordered-set*) *lists-near-ordered-set: near-ordered-set (lists A) (list-all2 (⊆))*
<proof>

lemma (in *pseudo-ordered-set*) *lists-pseudo-ordered-set: pseudo-ordered-set (lists A) (list-all2 (⊆))*
<proof>

lemma (in *partially-ordered-set*) *lists-partially-ordered-set: partially-ordered-set (lists A) (list-all2 (⊆))*
<proof>

lemma (in *tolerance*) *lists-tolerance: tolerance (lists A) (list-all2 (∼))*
<proof>

lemma (in *partial-equivalence*) *lists-partial-equivalence: partial-equivalence (lists A) (list-all2 (∼))*
<proof>

lemma (in *equivalence*) *lists-equivalence: equivalence (lists A) (list-all2 (∼))*
<proof>

2.2.1 Reflexive Closure Restricted on Set

definition *id-on where id-on A x y ≡ x = y ∧ y ∈ A*

lemmas *id-onI[intro!] = id-on-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD2, rule-format]*
lemmas *id-onE[elim!] = id-on-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1, elim-format, unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp]*

lemma *id-on-relcompp[simp]: (id-on A OO r) x y ⟷ x ∈ A ∧ r x y*
and *relcompp-id-on[simp]: (r OO id-on A) x y ⟷ r x y ∧ y ∈ A*
<proof>

lemma *id-on-relcompp-id-on-simps[simp]:*
id-on A OO id-on B = id-on (A ∩ B)
r OO id-on A OO id-on B = r OO id-on (A ∩ B)
id-on A OO id-on B OO s = id-on (A ∩ B) OO s
r OO id-on A OO id-on B OO s = r OO id-on (A ∩ B) OO s
<proof>

lemma *Restrp-eq-relcomp: r|A = id-on A OO r OO id-on A* *<proof>*

definition *reflclp-on A r ≡ r ⊔ id-on A*

lemma *reflclp-onI: r x y ∨ x = y ∧ x ∈ A ⟹ reflclp-on A r x y*
<proof>

lemma *reflclp-onE*[consumes 1, case-names *refl base*]:
 $\text{reflclp-on } A \ r \ x \ y \implies (x = y \implies x \in A \implies \text{thesis}) \implies (r \ x \ y \implies \text{thesis}) \implies$
thesis
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reflclp-on-refl*[simp]: $x \in A \implies \text{reflclp-on } A \ r \ x \ x$
and *reflclp-on-base*[simp]: $r \ x \ y \implies \text{reflclp-on } A \ r \ x \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reflclp-on-mono*:
 $r \leq s \implies \text{reflclp-on } A \ r \leq \text{reflclp-on } A \ s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *reflclp-on-subrel = reflclp-on-mono*[THEN *le-funD*, THEN *le-funD*, THEN *le-boolD*, *rule-format*]

lemma *mono-reflclp-on*[mono]:
 $(\bigwedge x \ y. r \ x \ y \longrightarrow s \ x \ y) \implies \text{reflclp-on } A \ r \ x \ y \longrightarrow \text{reflclp-on } A \ s \ x \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *reflclp-on*: *reflexive* A ⟨*reflclp-on* $A \ r$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *reflexive*) *reflclp-on-id*[simp]: $\text{reflclp-on } A \ (\sqsubseteq) = (\sqsubseteq)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reflclp-on-UNIV*: $\text{reflclp-on } UNIV = \text{reflclp}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reflclp-on-Restr*: $\text{reflclp-on } A \ r \ \upharpoonright \ B = \text{reflclp-on } (A \cap B) \ (r \ \upharpoonright \ B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *transitive*) *reflclp-on-transitive*: *transitive* A (*reflclp-on* $B \ (\sqsubseteq)$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *transitive*) *reflclp-on-quasi-order*: *quasi-ordered-set* A (*reflclp-on* $A \ (\sqsubseteq)$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *symmetric*) *reflclp-on-symmetric*: *symmetric* A (*reflclp-on* $B \ (\sim)$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *symmetric*) *reflclp-on-tolerance*: *tolerance* A (*reflclp-on* $A \ (\sim)$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *partial-equivalence*) *reflclp-equivalence*: *equivalence* A (*reflclp* (\sim))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *partial-equivalence*) *reflclp-on-equivalence*: *equivalence* A (*reflclp-on* A)

(\sim)
(*proof*)

lemma *sympartp-dual*: $(\text{sympartp } r)^- = \text{sympartp } r$
(*proof*)

Restricted reflexive transitive closures should better be defined as the (unrestricted) transitive closure of restricted reflexive closure, since then facts of transitive closure can be reused.

abbreviation *rtranclp-on* $A r \equiv \text{tranclp } (\text{reflclp-on } A r)$

thm *reflclp-on.tranclp-quasi-order*

interpretation *rtranclp-on*: *reflexive* A *rtranclp-on* $A r$
(*proof*)

lemma *rtranclp-on-eq-reflclp-on*: $\text{rtranclp-on } A r = \text{reflclp-on } A (\text{tranclp } r)$
(*proof*)

lemma *rtranclp-on-induct*[*consumes 1, case-names refl base step*]:

assumes *: $\text{rtranclp-on } A r x y$

and 0: $x \in A \implies P x$

and 1: $\bigwedge y. r x y \implies P y$

and *step*: $\bigwedge y z. \text{tranclp } r x y \implies r y z \implies P y \implies P z$

shows $P y$

(*proof*)

abbreviation *rsymclp-on* $A r \equiv \text{symclp } (\text{reflclp-on } A r)$

interpretation *rsymclp-on*: *reflexive* A *rsymclp-on* $A r$
(*proof*)

lemma *rsymclp-on-eq-reflclp-on*: $\text{rsymclp-on } A r = \text{reflclp-on } A (\text{symclp } r)$
(*proof*)

abbreviation *rtransymclp-on* $A r \equiv \text{tranclp } (\text{rsymclp-on } A r)$

interpretation *rtransymclp-on*: *reflexive* A *rtransymclp-on* $A r$
(*proof*)

lemma *rtransymclp-eq-reflclp*: $\text{rtransymclp-on } A r = \text{reflclp-on } A (\text{tranclp } (\text{symclp } r))$
(*proof*)

lemma *rtransymclp-on-equivalence*: *equivalence* A (*rtransymclp-on* $A r$)
(*proof*)

context *relation-on* **begin**

interpretation *reflclp-on: relation-on A reflclp-on A* (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

lemmas *reflclp-relation-on = reflclp-on.relation-on-axioms*

lemma *relcompp-id-on[simp]:* (\sqsubseteq) *OO id-on A =* (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

lemma *relcompp-id-on-ext[simp]:* (\sqsubseteq) *OO id-on A OO r =* (\sqsubseteq) *OO r*
<proof>

lemma *id-on-relcompp[simp]:* *id-on A OO* (\sqsubseteq) *=* (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

lemma *id-on-relcompp-ext[simp]:* *id-on A OO* (\sqsubseteq) *OO r =* (\sqsubseteq) *OO r*
<proof>

lemma *reflclp-on-eq-reflclp-Restrp:*
reflclp-on A (\sqsubseteq) *= reflclp* (\sqsubseteq) *↑ A*
<proof>

end

2.2.2 Dual

lemma *dual-le-symclp:* $r^- \leq \text{symclp } r$
<proof>

lemma *list-all2-dual:* *list-all2* r^- *xs ys* \implies *list-all2* r *ys xs*
<proof>

lemma *reflclp-dual:* $(\text{reflclp } r)^- = \text{reflclp } r^-$ *<proof>*

lemma *rtranclp-dual:* $(\text{rtranclp } r)^- = \text{rtranclp } r^-$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-dual:* $(\text{tranclp } r)^- = \text{tranclp } r^-$
<proof>

lemma *reflclp-on-dual:* $(\text{reflclp-on } A \ r)^- = \text{reflclp-on } A \ r^-$
<proof>

lemma *symclp-dual:* $(\text{symclp } r)^- = \text{symclp } r$
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-le-transymclp:* $\text{tranclp } r \leq \text{tranclp } (\text{symclp } r)$
<proof>

lemmas *tranclp-imp-transymclp =*

tranclp-le-transymclp[*THEN le-funD, THEN le-funD, THEN le-boolD, rule-format*]

lemma *dual-tranclp-le-transymclp*: $(\text{tranclp } r)^- \leq \text{tranclp } (\text{symclp } r)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *dual-tranclp-imp-transymclp* =
dual-tranclp-le-transymclp[*THEN le-funD, THEN le-funD, THEN le-boolD, rule-format*]

end

3 More About Sorted Terms

theory *Sorted-Terms-More*
imports *Sorted-Terms.Sorted-Contexts*
begin

declare *domIff*[*iff del*]

lemma *grounding-subst*:
assumes $\vartheta: \vartheta :_s X \mid' XG \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, \emptyset)$ **and** *var*: $\forall x \in \text{dom } X - XG. \vartheta x = \text{Var } x$
shows $\vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, X \mid' (-XG))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Below defines an algebra where every element has a representation as a ground term. To avoid complication in the type system, the type of variables in the ground representations must be *unit*.

locale *sorted-algebra-represented* = *sorted-algebra* +
term-of-unit: *sorted-map* η *A* $\mathcal{T}(F)$ **for** η +
assumes *eval-term-of-unit*: $\bigwedge a \sigma \alpha. a : \sigma \text{ in } A \implies I[\eta a]\alpha = a$
begin

Because locales do not support polymorphic parameters, we need to extend η in polymorphic to the type of variables.

abbreviation *term-of where* *term-of* $a \equiv \eta a \cdot \text{undefined}$

sublocale *term-of*: *sorted-map* *term-of* *A* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **for** *V*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *eval-term-of*: **assumes** $a : \sigma \text{ in } A$ **shows** $I[\text{term-of } a]\alpha = a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *map-eval-term-of*: $ds :_i \sigma s \text{ in } A \implies [I[\text{term-of } d]\alpha. d \leftarrow ds] = ds$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *eval-subst-term-of*:
assumes $s : s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\alpha : \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$
shows $I[s \cdot (\text{term-of } \circ \alpha)]\beta = I[s]\alpha$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *eval-upd-as-subst*:

assumes $s: s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$

and $\alpha: \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$ **and** $a: a : \tau$ in A

shows $I[s]\alpha(v:=a) = I[s \cdot \text{Var}(v:=\text{term-of } a)]\alpha$

<proof>

lemma *term-of-actxt*:

assumes $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in *aContext* $F A$

shows $I\langle I[\text{map-args-actxt term-of } C]_c \alpha; a \rangle = I\langle C; a \rangle$

<proof>

lemma *term-of-subst*: $a : \sigma$ in $A \implies \text{term-of } a \cdot \vartheta = \text{term-of } a$

<proof>

lemma *term-of-unit-subst*: $a : \sigma$ in $A \implies \eta a \cdot \vartheta = \text{term-of } a$

<proof>

lemma *term-of-unit*: $a : \sigma$ in $A \implies \text{term-of } a = \eta a$

<proof>

lemma *map-term-of-subst*: $ds ;_i \sigma s$ in $A \implies [\text{term-of } d \cdot \vartheta. d \leftarrow ds] = \text{map term-of } ds$

<proof>

end

locale *sorted-algebra-const* = *sorted-algebra* +

const: subsignature-algebra $C F$ +

const: sorted-algebra-represented C **for** C

begin

thm *const.Term-subset*

end

Restricting range of a partial map.

definition *restrict-ran* (**infix** \uparrow^r 100) **where**

$(A \uparrow^r S) a \equiv \text{if } A a \in \text{Some } 'S \text{ then } A a \text{ else None}$

lemma *ran-restrict-ran*: $\text{ran } (A \uparrow^r S) = \text{ran } A \cap S$

<proof>

lemma *restrict-ran-le*: $A \uparrow^r S \subseteq_m A$ *<proof>*

lemma *hastype-in-restrict-ran*: $a : \sigma$ in $A \uparrow^r S \longleftrightarrow a : \sigma$ in $A \wedge \sigma \in S$

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-restrict-ranI[intro!]*: $a : \sigma$ in $A \implies \sigma \in S \implies a : \sigma$ in $A \uparrow^r S$

<proof>

Sorts of Signature

definition *sorts-ssig* $F \equiv \bigcup \{ \text{set } \sigma s \cup \{ \tau \} \mid \sigma s \tau f. f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \}$

lemma *assumes* $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$

shows *sorts-ssig-arg*: $\sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s \implies \sigma \in \text{sorts-ssig } F$

and *sorts-ssig-ret*: $\tau \in \text{sorts-ssig } F$

<proof>

lemma *nth-arg-in-sorts-ssig*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$

shows $n < \text{length } \sigma s \implies \sigma s!n \in \text{sorts-ssig } F$

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-sorts-ssig-ret*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$

shows $a : \tau \text{ in } A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F \longleftrightarrow a : \tau \text{ in } A$

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-sorts-ssig-args*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$

shows $as : \iota \sigma s \text{ in } A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F \longleftrightarrow as : \iota \sigma s \text{ in } A$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-algebra-sorts-ssig*:

sorted-algebra $F (A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F) I \longleftrightarrow \text{sorted-algebra } F A I$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-algebra-carrier-cong*:

assumes $A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F = B \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F$

shows *sorted-algebra* $F A I \longleftrightarrow \text{sorted-algebra } F B I$

<proof>

lemma (*in sorted-algebra*) *sorted-algebra-carrier*:

assumes $A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F = B \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F$

shows *sorted-algebra* $F B I$

<proof>

lemma (*in sort-preserving*) *sorted-image-restrict-ran*: $(f \text{ } ^{\text{as}} A) \uparrow^r S = f \text{ } ^{\text{as}} A \uparrow^r S$

<proof>

end

theory *Sorted-Relations*

imports *Sorted-Terms.Sorted-Sets Binary-Relations-More*

begin

declare *domIff*[*iff del*]

4 Sorted Relations

This part contains notions about binary relations over sorted sets.

4.1 Subject Reduction Property

locale *subject-reduction* =
 fixes A **and** *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)
 assumes *subject-reduction*: $a \sqsubseteq b \implies a : \sigma \text{ in } A \implies b : \sigma \text{ in } A$

lemma *eq-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A (=)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Sup-subject-reduction*:
 assumes $\forall r \in R. \text{subject-reduction } A \ r$
 shows *subject-reduction* A ($\bigsqcup R$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

context *subject-reduction* **begin**

sublocale *relation-closed dom* A
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *closed-in-dom*: $a \sqsubseteq b \implies a \in \text{dom } A \implies b \in \text{dom } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *list-all2-subject-reduction*: *list-all2* (\sqsubseteq) $as \ bs \implies as :_i \ \sigma s \text{ in } A \implies bs :_i \ \sigma s \text{ in } A$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relcompp-subject-reduction*:
 assumes *subject-reduction* $A \ r$
 shows *subject-reduction* A ($(\sqsubseteq) \circ r$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *relpowp-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A ($(\sqsubseteq)^{\sim n}$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *less-eq-symmetrize* $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reflclp-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A (*reflclp* (\sqsubseteq))
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sympartp-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A (\sim)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *equiv-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A (\simeq)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *tranclp-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A ($\text{tranclp } (\sqsubseteq)$)
<proof>

lemma *rtranclp-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A ($\text{rtranclp } (\sqsubseteq)$)
<proof>

lemma *reflclp-on-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A ($\text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } A) (\sqsubseteq)$)
<proof>

lemma *tranclp-reflclp-on-subject-reduction*: *subject-reduction* A ($\text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } A) (\text{tranclp } (\sqsubseteq))$)
<proof>

end

lemma *subject-reduction-cong*:
assumes $r: \bigwedge a b. a \in \text{dom } A \implies r a b \longleftrightarrow r' a b$
shows *subject-reduction* $A r \longleftrightarrow \text{subject-reduction } A r'$
<proof>

locale *sorted-relation = subject-reduction + dual*: *subject-reduction* A (\sqsubseteq)⁻

lemma *Sup-sorted-relation*:
assumes $\forall r \in R. \text{sorted-relation } A r$
shows *sorted-relation* $A (\bigsqcup R)$
<proof>

lemma *sup-sorted-relation*:
assumes *sorted-relation* $A r$ *sorted-relation* $A s$
shows *sorted-relation* $A (r \sqcup s)$
<proof>

context *sorted-relation* **begin**

lemma *relpowp-sorted-relation*: *sorted-relation* $A ((\sqsubseteq)^{\sim n})$
<proof>

lemma *related-imp-hastype-iff*: $a \sqsubseteq b \implies a : \sigma \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow b : \sigma \text{ in } A$
<proof>

lemma *related-imp-in-dom-iff*: **assumes** $ab: a \sqsubseteq b$ **shows** $a \in \text{dom } A \longleftrightarrow b \in \text{dom } A$
<proof>

lemma *list-all2-sorted-relation*: *list-all2* (\sqsubseteq) $as bs \implies as ;_l \sigma s \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow bs ;_l \sigma s \text{ in } A$
<proof>

lemma *dual-sorted-relation*: *sorted-relation* $A (\sqsubseteq)^{-}$ *<proof>*

lemma *reflclp-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (reflclp (□))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tranclp-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (tranclp (□))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rtranclp-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (rtranclp (□))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reflclp-on-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (reflclp-on (dom A) (□))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tranclp-reflclp-on-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (reflclp-on (dom A) (tranclp (□)))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *symclp-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (symclp (□))*
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *sorted-relation-cong:*
assumes $r1: \bigwedge a b. a \in \text{dom } A \implies r a b \longleftrightarrow r' a b$
assumes $r2: \bigwedge a b. b \in \text{dom } A \implies r a b \longleftrightarrow r' a b$
shows *sorted-relation A r* \longleftrightarrow *sorted-relation A r'*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted-relation-iff: sorted-relation A r* \longleftrightarrow $(\forall a b \sigma. r a b \longrightarrow a : \sigma \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow b : \sigma \text{ in } A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *eq: sorted-relation A (=) for A*
 ⟨proof⟩

context *subject-reduction* **begin**

interpretation *less-eq-symmetrize*⟨proof⟩

lemma *equiv-sorted-relation: sorted-relation A (\simeq)*
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory *Sorted-Rules*
imports *Sorted-Terms.Sorted-Terms*
begin

5 Sorted Rules

This part defines datatypes for sorted rewrite rules and inference rules.

declare *Ball-Pair-conv*[simp]

5.1 Equation

An *equation* is a pair of terms.

type-synonym $(f, 'v)$ *term-pair* = $(f, 'v)$ *term* × $(f, 'v)$ *term*

abbreviation *Equation* :: $(f, 'v)$ *term* ⇒ $(f, 'v)$ *term* ⇒ - (- ~> - [51,51]24)
where $s \rightsquigarrow t \equiv (s, t)$

Equation $s \rightsquigarrow t$ represents that the two terms are in relation, where the relation is specified later.

syntax

-ball-term-pair :: *pttrn* ⇒ *pttrn* ⇒ $(f, 'v)$ *term-pair set* ⇒ *bool* ⇒ *bool*
 $((\exists \forall ((- \rightsquigarrow -) / \in -) / -) [0, 0, 0, 10] 10)$
-bex-term-pair :: *pttrn* ⇒ *pttrn* ⇒ $(f, 'v)$ *term-pair set* ⇒ *bool* ⇒ *bool*
 $((\exists \exists ((- \rightsquigarrow -) / \in -) / -) [0, 0, 0, 10] 10)$

translations

$\forall (x \rightsquigarrow y) \in R. e \rightarrow \forall (x, y) \in R. e$
 $\exists (x \rightsquigarrow y) \in R. e \rightarrow \exists (x, y) \in R. e$

primrec *vars-term-pair* **where** *vars-term-pair* ($l \rightsquigarrow r$) = *vars* $l \cup$ *vars* r

adhoc-overloading *vars* ⇔ *vars-term-pair*

abbreviation *vars-term-pair-set* :: $(f, 'v)$ *term-pair set* ⇒ *'v set* **where**
vars-term-pair-set $C \equiv \bigcup (\text{vars } 'C)$

adhoc-overloading *vars* ⇔ *vars-term-pair-set*

abbreviation *vars-term-pair-list* :: $(f, 'v)$ *term-pair list* ⇒ *'v set* **where**
vars-term-pair-list $cs \equiv \text{vars } (\text{set } cs)$

adhoc-overloading *vars* ⇔ *vars-term-pair-list*

primrec *map-vars-term-pair* **where**

map-vars-term-pair f ($l \rightsquigarrow r$) = (*map-vars* f $l \rightsquigarrow$ *map-vars* f r)

adhoc-overloading *map-vars* ⇔ *map-vars-term-pair*

adhoc-overloading *map-vars* ⇔ *map* ○ *map-vars-term-pair*

5.2 Axioms – Rewrite Rules

An axiom is an equation whose variables are considered universally quantified. So the following datatype additionally specifies the sorted set of variables which it can contain. An axiom is also seen as a rewrite rule.

datatype (*dead 'f, dead 'v, dead 's*) *axiom* =
Axiom (*vars: 'v → 's*) (*lhs: ('f,'v) term*) (*rhs: ('f,'v) term*)
 (*-. - ~> - [100,51,51]21*)

hide-const (**open**) *axiom.vars axiom.lhs axiom.rhs*

declare *axiom.split[split] axiom.split-asm[split]*

abbreviation *ball-axiom* **where** *ball-axiom R P* $\equiv \forall X l r. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \longrightarrow P X l r$

abbreviation *bex-axiom* **where** *bex-axiom R P* $\equiv \exists X l r. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \wedge P X l r$

syntax *-ball-axiom* :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow (*'f,'v,'s*) *axiom set* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (($\exists \forall (('(-. - \rightsquigarrow -)/ \in -). / -) [0, 0, 0, 0, 10] 10$)
-bex-axiom :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow (*'f,'v,'s*) *axiom set* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (($\exists \exists (('(-. - \rightsquigarrow -)/ \in -). / -) [0, 0, 0, 0, 10] 10$)

translations

$\forall (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R. e \equiv \text{CONST } \textit{ball-axiom } R (\lambda X l r. e)$
 $\exists (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R. e \equiv \text{CONST } \textit{bex-axiom } R (\lambda X l r. e)$

5.3 Inference Rules – Conditional Rewrite Rules

An inference rule is an axiom extended with a list of premises. An inference rule is also seen as a conditional rewrite rule.

datatype (*dead 'f, dead 'v, dead 's*) *rule* =
Rule (*vars: 'v → 's*) (*lhs: ('f,'v) term*) (*rhs: ('f,'v) term*) (*prems: (('f,'v) term-pair)*
list)
 (*-. - ~> - \Leftarrow - [100,51,51,51]21*)

hide-const (**open**) *rule.vars rule.lhs rule.rhs rule.prems*

declare *rule.split[split] rule.split-asm[split]*

lemma *rule-split-all*: ($\forall \rho. P \rho$) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall V l r cs V. P (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs)$)
 (*proof*)

abbreviation *ball-rule* **where** *ball-rule R P* $\equiv \forall X l r cs. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R \longrightarrow P X l r cs$

abbreviation *bex-rule* **where** *bex-rule R P* $\equiv \exists X l r cs. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R \wedge P X l r cs$

syntax

-ball-rule :: pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ (f,'v,'s) rule set ⇒ bool ⇒ bool
((∃∀('(-. - ∼ - ← -')/ ∈ -)/ -) [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 10] 10)
-bex-rule :: pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ pttrn ⇒ (f,'v,'s) rule set ⇒ bool ⇒ bool
((∃∃('(-. - ∼ - ← -')/ ∈ -)/ -) [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 10] 10)

translations

∀(X. l ∼ r ← cs) ∈ R. e ⇒ CONST ball-rule R (λX l r cs. e)
∃(X. l ∼ r ← cs) ∈ R. e ⇒ CONST bex-rule R (λX l r cs. e)

primrec vars-rule where

vars-rule (V. l ∼ r ← cs) = vars l ∪ vars r ∪ vars cs

adhoc-overloading vars ⇒ vars-rule

primrec map-vars-rule where

map-vars-rule f (V. l ∼ r ← cs) = ((f ^{cs} V). map-vars f l ∼ map-vars f r ← map-vars f cs)

adhoc-overloading map-vars ⇒ map-vars-rule

lemma vars-rule-lhs: vars (rule.lhs ρ) ⊆ vars ρ
and vars-rule-rhs: vars (rule.rhs ρ) ⊆ vars ρ
⟨proof⟩

lemma

assumes (s ∼ t) ∈ set (rule.prem s ρ)
shows vars-rule-prems-left: vars s ⊆ vars ρ
and vars-rule-prems-right: vars t ⊆ vars ρ
⟨proof⟩

One can see axioms as unconditional inference rules.

definition unconditional **where** unconditional ≡ λ(V. l ∼ r) ⇒ V. l ∼ r ← []

lemma unconditional[simp]: unconditional (V. l ∼ r) = (V. l ∼ r ← []) ⟨proof⟩

lemma in-unconditional[simp]: (V. l ∼ r ← cs) ∈ unconditional ' R ↔ (V. l ∼ r) ∈ R ∧ cs = []
⟨proof⟩

5.4 Sortedness of Rules

When rules are well-typed, then the derivation is a sorted relation. Generally, we allow relating terms of different sorts.

definition sorted-rule F ≡ λ(V. l ∼ r ← cs) ⇒
l ∈ dom T(F, V) ∧ r ∈ dom T(F, V) ∧
(∀(s ∼ t) ∈ set cs. s ∈ dom T(F, V) ∧ t ∈ dom T(F, V))

lemma *sorted-rule*:

sorted-rule $F (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \longleftrightarrow l \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge r \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge$
 $(\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. s \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V))$
<proof>

lemma *sorted-ruleI*:

assumes $\varrho = (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs)$
and $l : \sigma$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $r : \sigma'$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\bigwedge s t. (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs \implies \exists \tau \tau'. s : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \tau'$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows *sorted-rule* $F \varrho$
<proof>

lemma **assumes** *sorted-rule* $F (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs)$

shows *sorted-rule-cond-domD*:
 $\bigwedge s t. (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs \implies s \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and *sorted-rule-domD*: $l \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge r \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
<proof>

Often we consider relating a term to a term of the same sort. We say a rule is *sort safe* if the conclusion relates terms of the same sort, when all conditions do so.

definition *sort-safe* **where** *sort-safe* $F \varrho \equiv$

sorted-rule $F \varrho \wedge$ (
case ϱ *of* $(V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \implies$
 $((\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. \exists \tau. s : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists \sigma. l : \sigma$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge r : \sigma$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)))$)

lemma *sort-safeI*:

assumes $\varrho = (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs)$
and $l : \sigma$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $r : \sigma'$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\bigwedge s t. (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs \implies \exists \tau \tau'. s : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \tau'$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. \exists \tau. s : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies \exists \sigma. l : \sigma$
in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge r : \sigma$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows *sort-safe* $F \varrho$
<proof>

lemma *sort-safe-imp-sorted*: *sort-safe* $F \varrho \implies$ *sorted-rule* $F \varrho$

<proof>

lemma

assumes *sort-safe* $F (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs)$
shows *sort-safeD*:
 $\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. \exists \tau. s : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \tau$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies \exists \sigma. l : \sigma$ *in*
 $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge r : \sigma$ *in* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
<proof>

definition *sort-safe-rules* $F R \equiv \forall \varrho \in R. \text{sort-safe } F \varrho$

lemma *sort-safe-rules-Un*: *sort-safe-rules* $F (R \cup S) \longleftrightarrow \text{sort-safe-rules } F R \wedge$

sort-safe-rules $F S$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *sort-safe-rules-UnI*[*intro!*] = *sort-safe-rules-Un*[*THEN iffD2, unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp*]

abbreviation *sorted-rules* $F R \equiv \forall \rho \in R. \text{sorted-rule } F \rho$

lemma *sort-safe-rules-imp-sorted*: *sort-safe-rules* $F R \implies \text{sorted-rules } F R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

6 Models

theory *Models*
imports *Sorted-Rules*
begin

Here we formalize *relational models* [5] of sets of rules. Relational models assert two objects are in specified relation where standard models assert equality.

6.1 Satisfaction of Equations

Given an interpretation of function symbols and a relation, a variable assignment *satisfies* an equation if the evaluation of the terms are in relation:

definition *satisfies* $((\mathcal{L}(-;/-/ \models)/ (- \rightsquigarrow/ -)) [51,51,3,51,51]4)$ **where**
 $I:(\sqsubseteq);\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t \equiv I[s]\alpha \sqsubseteq I[t]\alpha$ **for** *le* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)

Particularly important case is where the relation is the equality.

abbreviation *eq-satisfies* $((\mathcal{L}(-;/- \models)/ (- =/ -)) [51,3,51,51]4)$ **where**
 $I;\alpha \models s = t \equiv I:(=);\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t$

lemmas *satisfiesI* = *satisfies-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD2*]
lemmas *satisfiesD* = *satisfies-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1*]
lemmas *satisfiesE* = *satisfiesD*[*elim-format*]

lemma *satisfies-mono*:
assumes $r \leq r'$ **shows** *satisfies* $I r \leq \text{satisfies } I r'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dual-satisfies[simp]*: $(\text{satisfies } I r \alpha)^- = \text{satisfies } I r^- \alpha$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *satisfies-subst*: $(I:r;\alpha \models s \cdot \vartheta \rightsquigarrow t \cdot \vartheta) \longleftrightarrow (I:r;I[\vartheta]_s \alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Term-Var-satisfies[simp]*:
 $(Fun:(\sqsubseteq); Var \models s \rightsquigarrow t) \longleftrightarrow s \sqsubseteq t$ **for** *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *satisfies-same-vars*:
assumes $\forall x \in vars\ s \cup vars\ t. \alpha\ x = \beta\ x$
shows $(I:r;\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t) \longleftrightarrow (I:r;\beta \models s \rightsquigarrow t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *eq-satisfies: equivalence UNIV eq-satisfies I alpha*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in sorted-algebra**) *eq-satisfies-has-same-type*:
assumes $\alpha : \alpha :_s\ V \rightarrow A$ **and** $st : I;\alpha \models s = t$
and $s : s : \sigma$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $t : t : \tau$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows $\sigma = \tau$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2 Validity of Axioms

An axiom is *valid* if any assignment satisfies it.

definition *valid* $((2(-:/-/\vdash)/ -/ (-\rightsquigarrow/ -)) [51,51,51,100,51,51]_4)$ **where**
 $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t \equiv \forall \alpha :_s\ V \rightarrow A. (I:(\sqsubseteq);\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t)$ **for** *le* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)

abbreviation *valid-eq* $((2(-:/-/\vdash)/ -/ (- =/ -)) [51,51,100,51,51]_4)$ **where**
 $A:I \models V. s = t \equiv A:I:(=) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$

lemmas *validI[intro?]* = *valid-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD2, rule-format]*
lemmas *validD* = *valid-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1, rule-format, simp]*
lemmas *validE* = *valid-def[unfolded atomize-eq, THEN iffD1, elim-format, rule-format]*

interpretation *valid-eq: equivalence UNIV valid-eq A I V*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *valid-mono*:
assumes $r \leq r'$ **shows** *valid A I r* \leq *valid A I r'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *dual-valid[simp]*: $(\text{valid } A\ I\ r\ V)^{\neg} = \text{valid } A\ I\ r^{\neg}\ V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (**in sorted-algebra**) *valid-subst-closed*:
assumes $st : A:I:r \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$ **and** $\vartheta : \vartheta :_s\ V \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, W)$
shows $A:I:r \models W. s \cdot \vartheta \rightsquigarrow t \cdot \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Validity in the term algebra with respect to a relation implies the relation (and vice versa if the relation is closed under substitution).

lemma *Term-valid-relates*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:r \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t \implies r\ s\ t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.1 Models

An algebra coupled with a binary relation *models* an inference rule if all assignments that satisfy the premise satisfy the conclusion.

definition *models-rule* $((\mathcal{L}:-/- \models - / (\mathcal{L} \rightsquigarrow / - \Leftarrow / -)) [51,51,51,100,51,51,51]_4)$ **where**

$$A:I:e \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs \equiv \forall \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A. (\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. (I:e;\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t)) \longrightarrow (I:e;\alpha \models l \rightsquigarrow r)$$

abbreviation *models-rule-eq* $((\mathcal{L}:-/- \models - / (\mathcal{L} = / - \Leftarrow / -)) [51,51,100,51,51,51]_4)$ **where**

$$A:I \models V. l = r \Leftarrow cs \equiv A:I:(=) \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs$$

lemma *models-ruleI*:

assumes $\bigwedge \alpha. \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A \implies \forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. (I:e;\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t) \implies I:e;\alpha \models l \rightsquigarrow r$

shows $A:I:e \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *models-ruleD*:

$$A:I:e \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs \implies$$

$$\alpha :_s V \rightarrow A \implies (\bigwedge s t. (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs \implies (I:e;\alpha \models s \rightsquigarrow t)) \implies I:e;\alpha \models l \rightsquigarrow r$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

An algebra coupled with a binary relation *models* a set of rules, if it models all the rules.

definition *models* $((\mathcal{L}:-/- \models -) [51,51,51,51]_4)$ **where**

$$A:I:e \models R \equiv \forall (V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R. (A:I:e \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs)$$

abbreviation *models-eq* $((\mathcal{L}:-/- \models -) [51,51,51]_4)$ **where**

$$A:I \models R \equiv A:I:(=) \models R$$

lemmas *modelsI* = *models-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq*, *THEN iffD2*, *rule-format*]

lemmas *modelsD* = *models-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq*, *THEN iffD1*, *rule-format*]

lemmas *modelsE* = *models-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq*, *THEN iffD1*, *elim-format*, *rule-format*]

lemma *models-empty*[*simp*]: $A:I:e \models \{\}$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *models-Un*[*simp*]: $(A:I:e \models R \cup R') \longleftrightarrow (A:I:e \models R) \wedge (A:I:e \models R')$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *models-cmono*: $R \subseteq R' \implies A:I:e \models R' \implies A:I:e \models R$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *models-axiom*[*simp*]: $(A:I:e \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow []) \longleftrightarrow (A:I:e \models V. l \rightsquigarrow r)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *models-unconditional-iff:*

$(A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models \text{unconditional } \text{' } R) \iff (\forall (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R. \forall \alpha :_s X \rightarrow A. I[\![l]\!] \alpha \sqsubseteq I[\![r]\!] \alpha)$

for *rel* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *models-unconditionalI =*

models-unconditional-iff [THEN iffD2, rule-format]

lemmas *models-unconditionalD =*

models-unconditional-iff [THEN iffD1, rule-format]

lemma *models-unconditional-mono:*

assumes $rel \leq rel'$

shows $(A:I:rel \models \text{unconditional } \text{' } R) \implies (A:I:rel' \models \text{unconditional } \text{' } R)$

⟨proof⟩

end

7 Ordered Algebras

We formalize algebras associated with a binary relation, especially those in which properties of the binary relation is preserved in terms. Order properties are assumed only over well-typed elements ($dom A$), as we do not want to specify how ill-typed elements are related.

locale *reflexive-algebra = sorted-algebra + reflexive dom A*

begin

lemma *satisfies-reflexive:*

assumes $\alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$

shows *reflexive (dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (satisfies $I (\sqsubseteq) \alpha$)*

⟨proof⟩

sublocale *valid: reflexive dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V*

⟨proof⟩

sublocale *lists: reflexive ⟨lists (dom A)⟩ ⟨list-all2 (\sqsubseteq)⟩ ⟨proof⟩*

end

locale *attractive-algebra = sorted-algebra + attractive dom A*

begin

lemma *satisfies-attractive:*

assumes $\alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$

shows *attractive (dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (satisfies $I (\sqsubseteq) \alpha$)*

$\langle proof \rangle$
sublocale *valid: attractive dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ valid $A I (\sqsubseteq) V$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
sublocale *lists: attractive $\langle lists (dom A) \rangle \langle list-all2 (\sqsubseteq) \rangle \langle proof \rangle$*
end
locale *transitive-algebra = sorted-algebra + transitive dom A*
begin
sublocale *attractive-algebra* $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *satisfies-transitive:*
assumes $\alpha: \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$
shows *transitive (dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (satisfies $I (\sqsubseteq) \alpha$)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
sublocale *valid: transitive $\langle dom \mathcal{T}(F, V) \rangle \langle valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V \rangle$ for V*
 $\langle proof \rangle$
sublocale *lists: transitive $\langle lists (dom A) \rangle \langle list-all2 (\sqsubseteq) \rangle \langle proof \rangle$*
end
locale *quasi-ordered-algebra = sorted-algebra + quasi-ordered-set dom A*
begin
sublocale *transitive-algebra + reflexive-algebra* $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma *satisfies-quasi-order: $\alpha :_s V \rightarrow A \implies quasi-ordered-set (dom \mathcal{T}(F, V))$*
(satisfies $I (\sqsubseteq) \alpha$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$
sublocale *valid: quasi-ordered-set dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ valid $A I (\sqsubseteq) V$* $\langle proof \rangle$
sublocale *lists: quasi-ordered-set $\langle lists (dom A) \rangle \langle list-all2 (\sqsubseteq) \rangle \langle proof \rangle$*
end
We do not consider antisymmetry alone here: it is not preserved in the term algebra, because different terms may have the same evaluation. So we just define ordered algebra and derive that terms are quasi-ordered.
locale *ordered-algebra = sorted-algebra + partially-ordered-set dom A*
begin
sublocale *quasi-ordered-algebra* $\langle proof \rangle$

end

Irreflexivity is carried over to terms, if the algebra is inhabited.

context *inhabited* **begin**

lemma *Term-inhabited: inhabited* $\mathcal{T}(F,A)$

<proof>

end

locale *irreflexive-algebra = sorted-algebra + irreflexive dom A + inhabited*

begin

lemma *satisfies-irreflexive:*

assumes $\alpha: \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$

shows *irreflexive (dom $\mathcal{T}(F,V)$) (satisfies I (\sqsubset) α)*

<proof>

sublocale *valid: irreflexive dom $\mathcal{T}(F,V)$ valid A I (\sqsubset) V*

<proof>

end

Hence, strict order is carried over to terms.

locale *strict-ordered-algebra = sorted-algebra + strict-ordered-set dom A + inhabited*

begin

sublocale *transitive-algebra F A I (\sqsubset) + irreflexive-algebra**<proof>*

sublocale *valid: strict-ordered-set dom $\mathcal{T}(F,V)$ valid A I (\sqsubset) V**<proof>*

end

end

8 Monotone Algebras

For monotonicity, we only consider elements of the same type. It is possible to consider elements of different types and overloaded function symbols, but then one cannot have the nice correspondence of monotonicity and congruence under quasi-order.

locale *monotone = fixes F A I and less-eq (infix \sqsubseteq 50)*

assumes *comp-arg:*

$a \sqsubseteq b \implies$

$f : \pi s @ \sigma \# \rho s \rightarrow \tau$ *in F* \implies

$ls :_l \pi s$ *in A* $\implies a : \sigma$ *in A* $\implies b : \sigma$ *in A* $\implies rs :_l \rho s$ *in A* \implies

$I f (ls @ a \# rs) \sqsubseteq I f (ls @ b \# rs)$

lemma *eq-monotone*: *monotone F A I (=)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

locale *monotone-algebra = sorted-algebra + monotone*
begin

The compatibility of the interpretation of function symbols carries over to contexts.

lemma *ctxt-closed*:
assumes *ab*: $a \sqsubseteq b$ **and** *C*: $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ *in aContext F A*
and *a*: $a : \sigma$ *in A* **and** *b*: $b : \sigma$ *in A*
shows $I\langle C;a \rangle \sqsubseteq I\langle C;b \rangle$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ctxtI*:
assumes *ab*: $a \sqsubseteq b$ **and** *C*: $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ *in aContext F A*
and *a*: $a : \sigma$ *in A* **and** *b*: $b : \sigma$ *in A*
and *s*: $s = I\langle C;a \rangle$ **and** *t*: $t = I\langle C;b \rangle$
shows $s \sqsubseteq t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *less-eq-dualize*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *dual*: *monotone-algebra F A I (\sqsupseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *dual-monotone = dual.monotone-axioms*

sublocale *symclp*: *monotone F A I symclp (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *reflclp*: *monotone F A I reflclp (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *reflclp-on*: *monotone F A I reflclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *monotone-subalgebra*:
assumes *sub*: $A' \subseteq_m A$ **and** *alg*: *sorted-algebra F A' I*
shows *monotone-algebra F A' I (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *fixes* αV **assumes** $\alpha : \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$
begin

interpretation *satisfies*: *monotone-algebra F $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ Fun satisfies I (\sqsubseteq) α*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *satisfies-ctxt-closed = satisfies ctxt-closed*

lemmas *satisfies-monotone = satisfies.monotone-axioms*

end

interpretation *valid: monotone-algebra F T(F,V) Fun valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V*
<proof>

sublocale *valid: monotone F T(F,V) Fun valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V<proof>*

lemmas *valid-ctxt-closed = valid ctxt-closed*

lemma *dual-monotone-algebra: monotone-algebra F A I (\sqsubseteq)⁻*
<proof>

end

lemma *monotone-algebra-cong:*

assumes *r: $\bigwedge a b. a \in \text{dom } A \implies b \in \text{dom } A \implies r a b \longleftrightarrow r' a b$*

shows *monotone-algebra F A I r \longleftrightarrow monotone-algebra F A I r'*

<proof>

Monotonicity can be derived from closure under contexts.

lemma *(in sorted-algebra) monotone-iff-ctxt-closed:*

fixes *less-eq (infix \sqsubseteq 50)*

shows *monotone F A I (\sqsubseteq) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall C \sigma \tau a b.$*

C : $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in aContext F A \longrightarrow a : σ in A \longrightarrow b : σ in A \longrightarrow a \sqsubseteq b \longrightarrow I(C;a)

\sqsubseteq I(C;b))

(is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)

<proof>

lemma *(in sorted-algebra) Sup-monotone:*

assumes *$\forall r \in R. \text{monotone } F A I r$*

shows *monotone F A I ($\bigsqcup R$)*

<proof>

lemma *(in sorted-algebra) sup-monotone:*

assumes *monotone F A I r monotone F A I s*

shows *monotone F A I (r \sqcup s)*

<proof>

Monotonicity is preserved by the transitive closure, if the relation satisfies the subject reduction property.

locale *monotone-algebra-subject-reduction = sorted-algebra + monotone + subject-reduction*

begin

sublocale *monotone-algebra*⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *relcompp-monotone-algebra*:
 assumes *monotone-algebra F A I r*
 shows *monotone F A I ((\sqsubseteq) OO r)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *relpowp: monotone-algebra-subject-reduction F A I (\sqsubseteq) \sim^n*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *relpowp: monotone-algebra F A I (\sqsubseteq) \sim^n*
 + *relpowp: subject-reduction A (\sqsubseteq) \sim^n* ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *reflclp: monotone-algebra-subject-reduction F A I reflclp (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *reflclp: monotone-algebra F A I reflclp (\sqsubseteq)*
 + *reflclp: subject-reduction A reflclp (\sqsubseteq)*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *tranclp: monotone-algebra-subject-reduction F A I tranclp (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *tranclp: monotone-algebra F A I tranclp (\sqsubseteq)*
 + *tranclp: subject-reduction A tranclp (\sqsubseteq)*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *rtranclp: monotone-algebra-subject-reduction F A I (rtranclp (\sqsubseteq))*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *rtranclp: monotone-algebra F A I (rtranclp (\sqsubseteq))*
 + *rtranclp: subject-reduction A rtranclp (\sqsubseteq)*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *reflclp-on: monotone-algebra-subject-reduction F A I reflclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *reflclp-on: monotone-algebra F A I reflclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq)*
 + *reflclp-on: subject-reduction A reflclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq)*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *rtranclp-on: monotone-algebra-subject-reduction F A I (rtranclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq))*⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *rtranclp-on: monotone-algebra F A I (rtranclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq))*
 + *rtranclp-on: subject-reduction A rtranclp-on (dom A) (\sqsubseteq)*⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma (**in** *sorted-algebra-represented*) *monotone-iff-eval-ctx*:
 fixes *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)
 shows *monotone-algebra F A I (\sqsubseteq) \longleftrightarrow ($\forall C \sigma \tau a b \alpha$.*

$C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $\mathcal{C}(F, \emptyset :: \text{unit} \rightarrow -)$ $\longrightarrow a : \sigma$ in $A \longrightarrow b : \sigma$ in $A \longrightarrow a \sqsubseteq b \longrightarrow$
 $I\langle I[C]_c \alpha; a \rangle \sqsubseteq I\langle I[C]_c \alpha; b \rangle$
 (is ?l \longleftrightarrow ?r)
 <proof>

8.1 Ordered Monotone Algebras

locale *reflexive-monotone-algebra* = *reflexive-algebra* + *monotone*
begin

sublocale *monotone-algebra* <proof>

interpretation *valid: reflexive-monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun valid* $A I (\sqsubseteq)$
 V <proof>

lemmas *valid-reflexive-monotone-algebra* = *valid.reflexive-monotone-algebra-axioms*

end

locale *transitive-monotone-algebra* = *transitive-algebra* + *monotone*
begin

sublocale *monotone-algebra* <proof>

interpretation *valid: transitive-monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun valid* $A I (\sqsubseteq)$
 V <proof>

lemmas *valid-transitive-monotone-algebra* = *valid.transitive-monotone-algebra-axioms*

end

locale *quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra* = *quasi-ordered-algebra* + *monotone*
begin

sublocale *monotone-algebra* + *reflexive-monotone-algebra* + *transitive-monotone-algebra* <proof>

interpretation *valid: quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun valid* $A I$
 $(\sqsubseteq) V$ <proof>

lemmas *valid-quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra* = *valid.quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra-axioms*

interpretation *less-eq-dualize* <proof>

lemma *dual-quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra:*
quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra $F A I (\sqsupseteq)$
 <proof>

end

```

locale strict-ordered-monotone-algebra = strict-ordered-algebra + monotone F A I
( $\sqsubseteq$ )
begin

sublocale transitive-monotone-algebra F A I ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

end

```

9 Sorted Rewrite Relations

```

theory Sorted-Rewrite-Relations
  imports Monotone-Algebras
begin

```

```

named-theorems rewriting-simps

```

```

declare relpowp-Suc-I2[trans]

```

An ARS is just a set equipped with a binary relation. We introduce a locale to allow for convenient reasoning on many-step rewriting.

```

locale ars = less-eq-syntax
begin

```

Declaring some trans rules for relpowp:

```

lemma relpowp2[trans]:  $a \sqsubseteq b \implies b \sqsubseteq c \implies ((\sqsubseteq) \overset{\sim}{\sim} \text{Suc} (\text{Suc } 0)) a c$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

lemmas relpowp-Suc-I[trans] = relpowp-Suc-I[of - ( $\sqsubseteq$ )]
lemmas relpowp-Suc-I2[trans] = relpowp-Suc-I2[of - ( $\sqsubseteq$ )]

```

```

lemmas relpowp-imp-rtranclp[simp] = relpowp-imp-rtranclp[of - ( $\sqsubseteq$ )]

```

```

lemma relpowp-imp-trancl[simp]:  $((\sqsubseteq) \overset{\sim}{\sim} \text{Suc } n) s t \implies (\sqsubseteq)^{++} s t$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

declare relpowp.simps(2)[simp del]

```

```

lemma relpowp-tranclp-trans[trans]:  $((\sqsubseteq) \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) s t \implies (\sqsubseteq)^{++} t u \implies (\sqsubseteq)^{++} s u$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

lemma tranclp-relpowp-trans[trans]:  $(\sqsubseteq)^{++} s t \implies ((\sqsubseteq) \overset{\sim}{\sim} n) t u \implies (\sqsubseteq)^{++} s u$ 
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

```

```

end

```

9.1 Closure Under Substitutions

We would like to define closure under substitution so that the source and target variables can have different types, but we cannot make polymorphic assumptions in a locale. Hence, we fix the two types of variables and specify two relations. This leads to a more general notion for a term relation that implies validity in an algebra. When the algebra is the term algebra, this property is closure under substitutions.

locale *stable* =
fixes V **and** A **and** I **and** *less-eqT* (**infix** \preceq 50) **and** *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)
assumes *stable*: $s \preceq t \implies \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A \implies I[s]\alpha \sqsubseteq I[t]\alpha$

lemma *eq-stable*: *stable* $V A I$ (=) (=)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *Sup-stable*:
assumes $\forall r \in R. \text{stable } V A I r \text{ le}$
shows *stable* $V A I (\bigsqcup R) \text{ le}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

context *stable* **begin**

lemma *super-stable*:
assumes $(\sqsubseteq) \leq \text{le}$ **shows** *stable* $V A I (\preceq) \text{ le}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *sub-stable*:
assumes $\text{le} \leq (\preceq)$ **shows** *stable* $V A I \text{ le} (\sqsubseteq)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *dual-stable*: *stable* $V A I (\preceq)^- (\sqsubseteq)^-$
and *symclp-stable*: *stable* $V A I (\text{symclp } (\preceq)) (\text{symclp } (\sqsubseteq))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *relcompp-stable*:
fixes $\text{le}A'$ (**infix** \sqsubseteq'' 50) **and** $\text{le}T'$ (**infix** \preceq'' 50)
assumes *stable* $V A I (\preceq') (\sqsubseteq')$
shows *stable* $V A I ((\preceq) OO (\preceq')) ((\sqsubseteq) OO (\sqsubseteq'))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *relpowp-stable*: *stable* $V A I ((\preceq) \rightsquigarrow n) ((\sqsubseteq) \rightsquigarrow n)$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *rtranclp-stable*: *stable* $V A I (\text{rtranclp } (\preceq)) (\text{rtranclp } (\sqsubseteq))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *tranclp-stable*: *stable* $V A I (\text{tranclp } (\preceq)) (\text{tranclp } (\sqsubseteq))$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *related-imp-valid*:

assumes $s \preceq t$ **shows** $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$
<proof>

end

locale *stable-algebra* = *sorted-algebra* + *stable*
begin

lemma *reflclp-on-stable*:

stable $V A I$ (*reflclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq)) (*reflclp-on* (*dom* A) (\sqsubseteq))
<proof>

end

lemma *stable-mono*:

assumes $le \leq le'$ **shows** *stable* $V A I leT le \leq$ *stable* $V A I leT le'$
<proof>

lemma *stable-cmono*:

assumes $leT \leq leT'$ **shows** *stable* $V A I leT' \leq$ *stable* $V A I leT$
<proof>

Validity is stable under evaluation.

interpretation *valid*: *stable* $V A I$ *valid* $A I r V r$
<proof>

Closure under substitution is a special instance, where the target is a term algebra.

locale *subst-closed-general* = *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* (\preceq) (\sqsubseteq)

for F **and** V **and** W **and** *less-eqT* (**infix** \preceq 50) **and** *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)
begin

sublocale *term-target*: *sorted-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun**<proof>*

sublocale *stable-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *<proof>*

sublocale *reflclp-on*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *reflclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq) *reflclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, W)$) (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

sublocale *symclp*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *symclp* (\preceq) *symclp* (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

sublocale *rsymclp-on*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *rsymclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq) *rsymclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, W)$) (\sqsubseteq)
<proof>

sublocale *relpowp*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* $(\preceq) \sim^n (\sqsubseteq) \sim^n$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *rtranclp*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *rtranclp* (\preceq) *rtranclp* (\sqsubseteq)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *tranclp*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *tranclp* (\preceq) *tranclp* (\sqsubseteq)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *rtranclp-on*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *rtranclp-on* $(\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (\preceq)$
rtranclp-on $(\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, W)) (\sqsubseteq)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *rtransymclp-on*: *stable* $V \mathcal{T}(F, W)$ *Fun* *rtransymclp-on* $(\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V))$
 (\preceq) *rtransymclp-on* $(\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, W)) (\sqsubseteq)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *subst-closed-general-iff*:
subst-closed-general $F V W (\preceq) (\sqsubseteq) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\forall s t \vartheta. s \preceq t \longrightarrow \vartheta :_s V \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, W) \longrightarrow s \cdot \vartheta \sqsubseteq t \cdot \vartheta)$
for *le1* (**infix** \preceq 50) **and** *le2* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

locale *subst-closed* = *subst-closed-general* **where** *less-eq* = (\preceq) **and** $W = V$
begin

lemma *valid-eq-relate*: *valid* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* (\preceq) $V = (\preceq)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *reflclp-on-subst-closed*:
subst-closed $F V (\text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (\preceq))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

9.2 Rewrite Relations

A relation closed under context is where the term algebra is monotone.

locale *ctxt-closed* = *monotone* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* (\preceq) **for** $F V$ **and** *less-eqT* (**infix**
 \preceq 50)
begin

sublocale *term'*: *sorted-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun*⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* (\preceq) ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *reflclp-on: monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun reflclp-on (dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$)*
 (\preceq) *\langle proof \rangle*

sublocale *symclp: monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun symclp (\preceq) \langle proof \rangle*

thm *ctxt-closed*

end

lemma *ctxt-closed* $F V (\preceq) \longleftrightarrow (\forall f \pi s \sigma \rho s \tau ls s t rs.$
 $f : \pi s @ \sigma \# \rho s \rightarrow \tau$ in $F \rightarrow$
 $ls :_l \pi s$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \rightarrow s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \rightarrow t : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \rightarrow rs :_l \rho s$ in
 $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \rightarrow$
 $s \preceq t \rightarrow \text{Fun } f (ls @ s \# rs) \preceq \text{Fun } f (ls @ t \# rs))$
for le (**infix** $\preceq 50$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Especially, context-closed relation with subject reduction property maintains monotonicity over transitive closures.

locale *ctxt-closed-subject-reduction* =
 $ctxt-closed + subject-reduction \mathcal{T}(F, V) (\preceq)$
begin

sublocale *monotone-algebra-subject-reduction* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun (\preceq) \langle proof \rangle*

thm *tranclp ctxt-closed*

end

locale *rewrite-relation* = $ctxt-closed + subst-closed$
begin

lemma *reflclp-on-rewrite-relation:*
 $rewrite-relation F V (reflclp-on (dom \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (\preceq))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *rewrite-preorder* = $rewrite-relation + quasi-ordered-set dom \mathcal{T}(F, V) (\preceq)$
begin

sublocale *quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun (\preceq) \langle proof \rangle*

end

locale *sorted-rewrite-relation* = $ctxt-closed + subst-closed + sorted-relation \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
 (\preceq)
begin

sublocale *ctxt-closed-subject-reduction*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *symclp*: *ctxt-closed-subject-reduction* $F V$ *symclp* (\preceq)
⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *symclp*: *monotone* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* *symclp* (\preceq) ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *transymclp*: *monotone* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* *tranclp* (*symclp* (\preceq))⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *reflclp-on*: *monotone* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* *reflclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq)
+ *rsymclp-on*: *monotone* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* *rsymclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq)
+ *rtransymclp-on*: *monotone* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *Fun* *rtransymclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq)
⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *rtranclp-on*: *rewrite-preorder* $F V$ *rtranclp-on* (*dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$) (\preceq)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

10 Sorted Rewriting

theory *Sorted-Rewriting*

imports *Sorted-Rewrite-Relations*

begin

We define a *sorted term rewrite system* as a set of sorted axioms over a signature. To define the rewrite relation over terms, we also specify the sorted set of variables which the rewritten terms may contain.

10.1 Root Rewrite Steps

The *root rewrite steps* are the instances of rewrite rules.

definition *rootstepp* ($\{(-\cdot:-\cdot\rightarrow^\varepsilon)\}$ [51,51,51]1000) **where**
 $(-F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon) s t \equiv \exists (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R. \exists \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V). s = l \cdot \vartheta \wedge t = r \cdot \vartheta$

interpretation *rootstepp*: *ars* $(-F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon)$ **for** $F V R$ ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *rootstep-op* ($\{((-) / -\cdot:-\cdot\rightarrow^\varepsilon / (2-))\}$ [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon t \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon) s t$

lemma

$s -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon t \equiv \exists (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R. \exists \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V). s = l \cdot \vartheta \wedge t = r \cdot \vartheta$
⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *rootstep* ($\{(-\cdot:-\cdot\rightarrow^\varepsilon)\}$ [51,51,51]1000)

where $\{-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon\} \equiv \{(s,t). s -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t\}$

lemma *rootsteppI*: $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \implies \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies s = l \cdot \vartheta \implies t = r \cdot \vartheta \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-root*: $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \implies \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies l \cdot \vartheta -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon r \cdot \vartheta$
<proof>

lemma *rootsteppE*[*consumes 1, case-names root*]:

assumes $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
and $\bigwedge X l r \vartheta. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \implies \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies s = l \cdot \vartheta \implies t = r \cdot \vartheta \implies \textit{thesis}$
shows *thesis*
<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-un*: $(-F:V:R \cup S \rightarrow^\varepsilon) = (-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \sqcup (-F:V:S \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$
<proof>

lemma *rootstep-un*: $\{-F:V:R \cup S \rightarrow^\varepsilon\} = \{-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon\} \cup \{-F:V:S \rightarrow^\varepsilon\}$
<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-le-fun*:

assumes $FG: F \subseteq_m G$ **and** $st: s -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$ **shows** $s -G:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-mono-fun*:

assumes $FG: F \subseteq_m G$ **shows** $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \leq (-G:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$
<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-le-var*:

assumes $VW: V \subseteq_m W$ **and** $st: s -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$ **shows** $s -F:W:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-mono-var*:

assumes $VW: V \subseteq_m W$ **shows** $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \leq (-F:W:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$
<proof>

Rewrite steps are closed under substitution. Note that here the types of variables are polymorphic.

interpretation *rootstepp*: *subst-closed-general* $F V W (-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) (-F:W:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$
<proof>

thm *rootstepp.stable*

thm *rootstepp.tranclp.stable*

interpretation *rootstepp*: *subst-closed* $F V (-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$ *<proof>*

10.2 Rewrite Steps

The *rewrite steps* are their closure under sorted contexts.

inductive *stepp* ('(-:-:→) [51,51,51]1000) for $F V R$ where

root: $(-F:V:R\rightarrow) s t$ if $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
| *comp*: $(-F:V:R\rightarrow) (Fun f (ls @ s \# rs)) (Fun f (ls @ t \# rs))$
if $f : \pi s @ \sigma \# \rho s \rightarrow \tau$ in F
and $ls :_l \pi s$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $t : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $rs :_l \rho s$
in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $(-F:V:R\rightarrow) s t$
for $f \pi s \sigma \rho s \tau ls rs s t$

hide-fact(open) *stepp.root stepp.comp*

declare *stepp.root[simp]*

abbreviation *step-op* (((2-) / -:-:→ / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s -F:V:R\rightarrow t \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow) s t$

abbreviation *step* ({-:-:→} [51,51,51]1000)
where $\{-F:V:R\rightarrow\} \equiv \{(s,t). s -F:V:R\rightarrow t\}$

abbreviation(input) *dual-stepp* ('(←-:-:→) [51,51,51]1000)
where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow) \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow)^-$

abbreviation *dual-step* ({←-:-:→} [51,51,51]1000)
where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow\} \equiv \{-F:V:R\rightarrow\}^{-1}$

For reflexive closure, it is convenient to stay within well-sorted terms.

definition *step-reflclp* ('(-:-:→⁼) [51,51,51]1000)
where $(-F:V:R\rightarrow^=) \equiv \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (-F:V:R\rightarrow)$

abbreviation *step-reflcl-op* (((2-)/ -:-:→⁼ / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^= t \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow^=) s t$

abbreviation *step-reflcl* ({-:-:→⁼} [51,51,51]1000)
where $\{-F:V:R\rightarrow^=\} \equiv \{(s,t). s -F:V:R\rightarrow^= t\}$

abbreviation(input) *dual-step-reflclp* ('(←-:-:→⁼) [51,51,51]1000)
where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^=) \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow^=)^-$

abbreviation(input) *dual-step-reflcl* ({←-:-:→⁼} [51,51,51]1000)
where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^=\} \equiv \{-F:V:R\rightarrow^=\}^{-1}$

abbreviation *stepp-fold* (((2-)/ -:-:→[^] / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,1000,51]50)
where $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^{\wedge n} t \equiv ((-F:V:R\rightarrow)^{\wedge n}) s t$

abbreviation *step-trancl-op* (((2-)/ -:-:→⁺ / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^+ t \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow)^{++} s t$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rtranclp$ ($'(-\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*)'$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $(-F:V:R\rightarrow^*) \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow^=)^{++}$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rtrancl\text{-}op$ ($((2-)/-\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*/(2-))$) [51,51,51,51,51]50)

where $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^* t \equiv (-F:V:R\rightarrow^*) s t$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rtrancl$ ($\{-\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*\}$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $\{-F:V:R\rightarrow^*\} \equiv \{(s,t). s -F:V:R\rightarrow^* t\}$

abbreviation $dual\text{-}step\text{-}rtrancl$ ($\{\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*\}$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^*\} \equiv \{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^*\}^{-1}$

abbreviation $step\text{-}symclp$ ($'(\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow)'$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow) \equiv symclp (-F:V:R\rightarrow)$

abbreviation $step\text{-}symcl\text{-}op$ ($((2-)/\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow/(2-))$) [51,51,51,51,51]50)

where $s \leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow t \equiv (\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow) s t$

abbreviation $step\text{-}symcl$ ($\{\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow\}$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow\} \equiv \{(s,t). s \leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow t\}$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rsymclp$ ($'(\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^=)'$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^=) \equiv symclp (-F:V:R\rightarrow^=)$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rsymcl\text{-}op$ ($((2-)/\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^=/(2-))$) [51,51,51,51,51]50)

where $s \leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^= t \equiv (\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^=) s t$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rtransymclp$ ($'(\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*)'$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^*) \equiv (\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^=)^{++}$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rtransymcl\text{-}op$ ($((2-)/\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*/(2-))$) [51,51,51,51,51]50)

where $s \leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^* t \equiv (\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^*) s t$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rtransymcl$ ($\{\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^*\}$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^*\} \equiv \{(s,t). s \leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^* t\}$

abbreviation $step\text{-}rsymcl$ ($\{\leftarrow\cdot\cdot\cdot\rightarrow^=\}$) [51,51,51]1000)

where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^=\} \equiv \{(s,t). s \leftarrow F:V:R\rightarrow^= t\}$

interpretation $stepp: ars (-F:V:R\rightarrow)$ for $F V R\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rootstepp\text{-}le\text{-}step[rewriting\text{-}simps]: (-F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon) \leq (-F:V:R\rightarrow) \langle proof \rangle$

We first state that rewrite step is closed under substitution with heterogeneous variables.

interpretation $stepp: subst\text{-}closed\text{-}general F V W (-F:V:R\rightarrow) (-F:W:R\rightarrow)$

rewrites $\bigwedge X. reflclp\text{-}on (dom \mathcal{T}(F,X)) (-F:X:R\rightarrow) \equiv (-F:X:R\rightarrow^=)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

This gives, e.g., that transitive closures are closed under substitutions.

thm *stepp.stable*[of $F V R s t \vartheta W$]

thm *stepp.rtranclp-on.stable*

thm *stepp.rtransymclp-on.stable*

interpretation *stepp*: subst-closed $F V (-F:V:R\rightarrow)$

rewrites $\bigwedge X. \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F,X)) (-F:X:R\rightarrow) \equiv (-F:X:R\rightarrow^=)$

<proof>

Then we state that the rewrite step is a rewrite relation.

interpretation *stepp*: rewrite-relation $F V (-F:V:R\rightarrow)$

rewrites $\bigwedge X. \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F,X)) (-F:X:R\rightarrow) \equiv (-F:X:R\rightarrow^=)$

<proof>

thm *stepp.ctxt-closed*

thm *stepp.reflclp-on.ctxt-closed*

thm *stepp.symclp.ctxt-closed*

lemma *steppI-ctxt-rootstepp*:

assumes $st: s -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon t$ and $C: C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $\mathcal{C}(F,V)$

and $s: s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F,V)$ and $t: t : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F,V)$

and $u: u = C\langle s \rangle$ and $v: v = C\langle t \rangle$

shows $u -F:V:R\rightarrow v$

<proof>

lemmas *stepp-ctxt-rootstepp = steppI-ctxt-rootstepp*[OF - - - refl refl]

The rewrite step is either the root step or a typed root step under nonempty typed context. Distinguishing the first case is necessary only when the rewrite rules are not well-typed.

lemma *stepp-iff-rootstep-or*:

$s -F:V:R\rightarrow t \iff$

$s -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon t \vee$

$(\exists C \sigma \tau u v. C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(F,V) \wedge u : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F,V) \wedge v : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F,V) \wedge C \neq \square \wedge$

$u -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon v \wedge s = C\langle u \rangle \wedge t = C\langle v \rangle)$

(is $?l \iff ?m \vee ?r$ s t)

<proof>

lemma *steppE-rootstep-or*[consumes 1, case-names root ctxt]:

assumes $s -F:V:R\rightarrow t$

and $s -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon t \implies \text{thesis}$

and $\bigwedge C \sigma \tau u v. C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(F,V) \implies$

$u : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies v : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies C \neq \square \implies$

$u -F:V:R\rightarrow^\varepsilon v \implies s = C\langle u \rangle \implies t = C\langle v \rangle \implies \text{thesis}$

shows *thesis*

<proof>

lemma *stepp-un*: $(-F:V:R \cup S \rightarrow) = (-F:V:R \rightarrow) \sqcup (-F:V:S \rightarrow)$ (is ?l = ?r)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-un*: $\{-F:V:R \cup S \rightarrow\} = \{-F:V:R \rightarrow\} \cup \{-F:V:S \rightarrow\}$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Context-le-fun*:

assumes $FG: F \subseteq_m G$ **and** $C: C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $\mathcal{C}(F, V)$ **shows** $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $\mathcal{C}(G, V)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Context-mono-fun*: **assumes** $FG: F \subseteq_m G$ **shows** $\mathcal{C}(F, V) \subseteq_m \mathcal{C}(G, V)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Context-le-var*:

assumes $VW: V \subseteq_m W$ **and** $C: C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $\mathcal{C}(F, V)$ **shows** $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau$ in $\mathcal{C}(F, W)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Context-mono-var*: **assumes** $VW: V \subseteq_m W$ **shows** $\mathcal{C}(F, V) \subseteq_m \mathcal{C}(F, W)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-le-fun*: **assumes** $FG: F \subseteq_m G$ **and** $st: s -F:V:R \rightarrow t$ **shows** $s -G:V:R \rightarrow t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-mono-fun*: **assumes** $FG: F \subseteq_m G$ **shows** $(-F:V:R \rightarrow) \leq (-G:V:R \rightarrow)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-le-var*: **assumes** $VW: V \subseteq_m W$ **and** $st: s -F:V:R \rightarrow t$ **shows** $s -F:W:R \rightarrow t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-mono-var*: **assumes** $VW: V \subseteq_m W$ **shows** $(-F:V:R \rightarrow) \leq (-F:W:R \rightarrow)$
 ⟨proof⟩

10.3 Closures

interpretation *step-reflclp*: reflexive dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^=)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-reflclp-iff*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \iff s = t \wedge s \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \vee s -F:V:R \rightarrow t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-reflclpE*[consumes 1, case-names refl step]:

assumes $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= t$
and $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies s = t \implies \text{thesis}$
and $s -F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies \text{thesis}$
shows *thesis*

<proof>

lemma *step-reflclp-refl[simp]*: $s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= s$
and *step-reflclp-step[simp]*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= t$
<proof>

lemma *stepp-le-reflcl[rewriting-simps]*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow) \leq (-F:V:R \rightarrow^=)$ *<proof>*

interpretation *step-reflclp*: reflexive-monotone-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (-F:V:R \rightarrow^=)$
<proof>

interpretation *step-tranclp*: transitive-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (-F:V:R \rightarrow)^{++}$
<proof>

lemma *step-trancl[simp]*: $(s, t) \in \{-F:V:R \rightarrow\}^+ \iff s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-eq*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^*) = \text{rtranclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (-F:V:R \rightarrow)$
<proof>

interpretation *step-rtranclp*: quasi-ordered-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (-F:V:R \rightarrow^*)$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-trancl[rewriting-simps]*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t$
<proof>

lemma *step-tranclp-step[rewriting-simps]*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t$ *<proof>*

lemma *[rewriting-simps]*:
shows *step-tranclp-le-rtrancl*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow)^{++} \leq (-F:V:R \rightarrow^*)$
and *stepp-le-rtrancl*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow) \leq (-F:V:R \rightarrow^*)$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-eq-reflclp*:
 $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^*) = \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (-F:V:R \rightarrow)^{++}$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclpE[consumes 1, case-names refl trancl]*:
assumes $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t$
and $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies s = t \implies \text{thesis}$
and $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t \implies \text{thesis}$
shows *thesis* *<proof>*

lemma *stepp-transs[trans]*:
 $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \implies t -F:V:R \rightarrow u \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ u$
 $s -F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies t -F:V:R \rightarrow^= u \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ u$
 $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \implies t -F:V:R \rightarrow^= u \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t -F:V:R \rightarrow u \implies s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ u$

$$\begin{aligned}
s -F: V:R \rightarrow t &\Longrightarrow t -F: V:R \rightarrow^* u \Longrightarrow s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \\
s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ t &\Longrightarrow t -F: V:R \rightarrow^= u \Longrightarrow s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \\
s -F: V:R \rightarrow^= t &\Longrightarrow t -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \Longrightarrow s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \\
s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ t &\Longrightarrow t -F: V:R \rightarrow^* u \Longrightarrow s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \\
s -F: V:R \rightarrow^* t &\Longrightarrow t -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \Longrightarrow s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ u \\
\langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *step-rsymclp-iff*: $s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^= t \longleftrightarrow (s = t \wedge s \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) \vee s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-rsymclpE*[*consumes 1, case-names refl sym*]:
assumes $s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^= t$
and $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \Longrightarrow s = t \Longrightarrow \text{thesis}$
and $s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow t \Longrightarrow \text{thesis}$
shows *thesis*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*rewriting-simps*]:
shows *step-rsymclp-refl*: $s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \Longrightarrow s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^= s$
and *step-rsymclp-symcl*: $s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow t \Longrightarrow s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^= t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*rewriting-simps*]:
shows *step-symclp-le-rsymcl*: $(\leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow) \leq (\leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^=)$
and *stepp-le-rsymcl*: $(-F: V:R \rightarrow) \leq (\leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^=)$
and *stepp-dual-le-rsymcl*: $(\leftarrow F: V:R -) \leq (\leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^=)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *step-rsymclp-on*: *reflexive dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ $(\leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^=)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-tranclp-le-rtransymcl*[*rewriting-simps*]: $(-F: V:R \rightarrow)^{++} \leq (\leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^*)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-rtransymclp-trancl*[*rewriting-simps*]: $s -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ t \Longrightarrow s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^* t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-dual-rtransymclp-trancl*[*rewriting-simps*]: $t -F: V:R \rightarrow^+ s \Longrightarrow s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^* t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-rtransymclp-rtrancl*[*rewriting-simps*]: $s -F: V:R \rightarrow^* t \Longrightarrow s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^* t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *step-dual-rtransymclp-rtrancl*[*rewriting-simps*]: $t -F: V:R \rightarrow^* s \Longrightarrow s \leftarrow F: V:R \rightarrow^* t$

<proof>

lemma *step-rtransymclp-transs*[*trans*]:

$s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t - F:V:R \rightarrow u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies u - F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s - F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $t - F:V:R \rightarrow s \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t - F:V:R \rightarrow^= u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies u - F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s - F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $t - F:V:R \rightarrow^= s \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t - F:V:R \rightarrow^+ u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies u - F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s - F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $t - F:V:R \rightarrow^+ s \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
 $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t - F:V:R \rightarrow^* u \implies s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* u$
<proof>

10.4 Sorted Rewrite Systems

Now we demand that all rewrite rules respect sorts.

locale *sorted-trs* =

fixes $F :: ('f, 's) \text{ sig}$ **and** $R :: ('f, 'x, 's) \text{ axiom set}$

assumes *axiom-typed*:

$(X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \implies \exists \sigma. l : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X) \wedge r : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X)$

begin

lemmas *axiom-hastypeE* = *axiom-typed*[*THEN exE, unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp*]

lemma *axiom-hastype-iff*: $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \implies l : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X) \longleftrightarrow r : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X)$

<proof>

lemma

assumes $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R$

shows *lhs-in-dom*: $l \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, X)$ **and** *rhs-in-dom*: $r \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, X)$

<proof>

lemma *rootstepp-typed*: $s - F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

sublocale *rootstepp*: *relation-on dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$

<proof>

lemma *stepp-typed*: $s - F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

sublocale *stepp*: *relation-on dom* $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ $(-F:V:R \rightarrow)$

<proof>

sublocale *step-reflclp*: relation-on dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($-F:V:R \rightarrow^=$)

<proof>

sublocale *step-tranclp*: relation-on dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ *tranclp* ($-F:V:R \rightarrow$)

<proof>

sublocale *step-rtranclp*: relation-on dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($-F:V:R \rightarrow^*$)

<proof>

sublocale *step-symclp*: relation-on dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow$)

<proof>

sublocale *step-rsymclp*: relation-on dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^=$)

<proof>

sublocale *step-rtransymclp*: relation-on dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^*$)

<proof>

lemma *step-reflclp-typed*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

lemma *step-tranclp-typed*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^+ t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-typed*: $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

lemma *step-symclp-typed*: $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

lemma *step-rsymclp-typed*: $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^= t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

lemma *step-rtransymclp-typed*: $s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$

<proof>

lemma *stepp-iff-ctxt-rule*:

$s -F:V:R \rightarrow t \iff$

$(\exists C \sigma \tau X l r \vartheta.$

$C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(F, V) \wedge (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \wedge \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge l : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X)$

\wedge

$s = C(l \cdot \vartheta) \wedge t = C(r \cdot \vartheta)$ (**is** $?l \iff (\exists C \sigma. ?r C \sigma)$)

<proof>

lemma *stepp-iff-ctxt-rootstepp*:

$s -F:V:R \rightarrow t \iff$
 $(\exists C \sigma \tau u v.$
 $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(F, V) \wedge u : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge s = C\langle u \rangle \wedge t = C\langle v \rangle \wedge u -F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon$
 $v)$
<proof>

lemma *steppE-ctxt-rule*:

assumes $s -F:V:R \rightarrow t$
and $\bigwedge C \sigma \tau X l r \vartheta.$
 $C : \sigma \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{C}(F, V) \implies (X. l \rightsquigarrow r) \in R \wedge \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies l : \sigma \text{ in}$
 $\mathcal{T}(F, X) \implies$
 $s = C\langle l \cdot \vartheta \rangle \implies t = C\langle r \cdot \vartheta \rangle \implies \textit{thesis}$
shows *thesis*
<proof>

sublocale *rootstepp: sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon)$
<proof>

sublocale *stepp: sorted-rewrite-relation* $F V (-F:V:R \rightarrow)$
rewrites $\bigwedge X. \textit{reflclp-on}(\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, X)) (-F:X:R \rightarrow) \equiv (-F:X:R \rightarrow^=)$
<proof>

thm *stepp.rtranclp-on ctxt-closed*

sublocale *step-symclp: sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow)$
<proof>

sublocale *step-reflclp: sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (-F:V:R \rightarrow^=)$
<proof>

sublocale *step-rsymclp: sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^=)$
<proof>

lemma *arg-stepp-imp-ex-stepp*:

assumes *fss*: $\textit{Fun} f \textit{ss} \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $s : s \in \textit{set} \textit{ss}$
and st : $s -F:V:R \rightarrow t$ **shows** $\exists t'. \textit{Fun} f \textit{ss} -F:V:R \rightarrow t'$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-induct[consumes 1, case-names refl step]*:

assumes st : $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t$
and *Refl*: $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies P s$
and *Step*: $\bigwedge t u. s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t -F:V:R \rightarrow u \implies P t \implies P u$
shows $P t$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-induct-dual[consumes 1, case-names refl step]*:

assumes $st: s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t$
and $Refl: \bigwedge \sigma. t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies P t$
and $Step: \bigwedge s u. s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow u \implies u \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies P u \implies P s$
shows $P s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step-rtransymclp-induct*[*consumes 1, case-names refl step*]:

assumes $st: s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t$
and $Refl: \bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies P s$
and $Step: \bigwedge t u. s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies t \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow u \implies P t \implies P u$
shows $P t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *step-tranclp*: *transitive-monotone-algebra* $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (-F:V:R \rightarrow)^{++} \langle proof \rangle$

end

10.5 Models of Rewrite Systems

The term algebra coupled with rewrite steps is a model of the TRS.

lemma *rootstepp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *stepp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(-F:V:R \rightarrow) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step-tranclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(-F:V:R \rightarrow)^{++} \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
and *step-reflclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
and *step-rtranclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(-F:V:R \rightarrow^*) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
and *step-symclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
and *step-rsymclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
and *step-rtransymclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V): \text{Fun}:(\leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^*) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Moreover, root steps are valid in any models.

context *sorted-algebra* **begin**

context

fixes *less-eq* (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50) **and** R
assumes $models: A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models \text{unconditional } ' R$
begin

lemma *rootstepp-imp-valid*:

assumes $st: s \leftarrow F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
shows $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *rootstepp-le-valid*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \leq \text{valid } A I (\sqsubseteq) V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

Therefore, a root step implies validity in the term algebra.

lemmas *rootstepp-imp-valid-term = term.rootstepp-imp-valid*[*OF rootstepp-models*]

Any relation closed under substitutions contains the root steps if it models the TRS.

lemma (in *subst-closed*) *rootstepp-le*:

$\mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:(\preceq) \models \text{unconditional } \ulcorner R \implies (-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) \leq (\preceq)$
<proof>

Therefore, the root steps is the least term model which is closed under substitution.

theorem *rootstepp-eq-least*:

$(-F:V:R \rightarrow^\varepsilon) = (LEAST\ r.\ \text{subst-closed } F\ V\ r \wedge (\mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:r \models \text{unconditional } \ulcorner R))$
<proof>

The rewrite steps are valid in all monotone models.

context *monotone-algebra* **begin**

context *fixes* R

assumes *models*: $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models \text{unconditional } \ulcorner R$

begin

interpretation *valid*: *monotone-algebra* $F\ \mathcal{T}(F, V)\ Fun\ \text{valid}\ A\ I\ (\sqsubseteq)\ V$ *<proof>*

lemma *stepp-imp-valid*: $s\ -F:V:R \rightarrow t \implies A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V.\ s \rightsquigarrow t$
<proof>

lemma *stepp-le-valid*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow) \leq \text{valid}\ A\ I\ (\sqsubseteq)\ V$
<proof>

end

end

In particular, any rewrite relation that models a TRS contains the rewrite step.

lemma (in *rewrite-relation*) *stepp-le*:

$\mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:(\preceq) \models \text{unconditional } \ulcorner R \implies (-F:V:R \rightarrow) \leq (\preceq)$
<proof>

Therefore, the rewrite step is the least rewrite relation that models the TRS.

theorem *stepp-eq-least*:

$(-F:V:R\rightarrow) = (LEAST\ r.\ rewrite\ relation\ F\ V\ r\ \wedge\ (\mathcal{T}(F,V):Fun:r\ \models\ unconditional\ 'R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Similar results hold for rewrite preorders.

context *reflexive-monotone-algebra* **begin**

context **fixes** R

assumes *models*: $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models unconditional\ 'R$
begin

lemma *step-reflclp-imp-valid*:

$s\ -F:V:R\rightarrow^= t \implies A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V.\ s \rightsquigarrow t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step-reflclp-le-valid*:

$(-F:V:R\rightarrow^=) \leq valid\ A\ I\ (\sqsubseteq)\ V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

For transitivity, rewrite rule must relate a sorted term to a sorted term. Sorts need not be the same but for simplicity we assume *sorted-trs* assumption.

context *transitive-monotone-algebra* **begin**

context **fixes** R

assumes R : *sorted-trs* $F\ R$ **and** *models*: $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models unconditional\ 'R$
begin

interpretation R : *sorted-trs* $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step-tranclp-imp-valid*:

assumes *st*: $s\ -F:V:R\rightarrow^+ t$
shows $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V.\ s \rightsquigarrow t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *step-tranclp-le-valid*:

$(-F:V:R\rightarrow)^{++} \leq valid\ A\ I\ (\sqsubseteq)\ V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

context *quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra* **begin**

context **fixes** R

assumes R : sorted-trs $F R$ **and** models: $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models$ unconditional ‘ R
begin

lemma *step-rtranclp-imp-valid*:
 $s -F:V:R \rightarrow^* t \implies A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$
<proof>

lemma *step-rtranclp-le-valid*: $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^*) \leq$ valid $A I (\sqsubseteq) V$
<proof>

end

end

lemma (in *rewrite-preorder*) *step-rtranclp-le*:
 $\text{sorted-trs } F R \implies \mathcal{T}(F, V):\text{Fun}:(\preceq) \models$ unconditional ‘ $R \implies (-F:V:R \rightarrow^*) \leq$
 (\preceq)
<proof>

Finally, the rewrite relation is the least rewrite preorder.

theorem (in *sorted-trs*) *step-rtranclp-eq-least*:
 $(-F:V:R \rightarrow^*) = (\text{LEAST } r. \text{rewrite-preorder } F V r \wedge (\mathcal{T}(F, V):\text{Fun}:r \models \text{uncon-}$
ditional ‘ } R))
<proof>

end

11 Conditional Rewriting

theory *Conditional-Rewriting*
imports *Sorted-Rewrite-Relations*
begin

Here we define sorted conditional rewriting. As conditional rewrite rules we just use inference rules.

It is more convenient to define the full rewrite step inductively, as satisfaction of conditions involves full rewrite steps. Afterwards we define the root rewrite step as a subset of the full rewrite step.

inductive *cstepp* ($'(=::\rightarrow)'$ [51,51,51]1000) **for** $F V R$ **where**
root: $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow) (l.\vartheta) (r.\vartheta)$
if $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R$ **and** $\vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. (=F:V:R \Rightarrow)^{**} (s.\vartheta) (t.\vartheta)$
for $X l r cs \vartheta$
| *comp*: $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow) (\text{Fun } f (ls @ s \# rs)) (\text{Fun } f (ls @ t \# rs))$
if $f : \pi s @ \sigma \# \varrho s \rightarrow \tau$ in F
and $ls :_l \pi s$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $t : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$

and $rs :_l qs$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $(=F:V:R\Rightarrow) s t$
for $f \pi s \sigma qs \tau ls rs s t$

hide-fact(open) *cstepp.root cstepp.comp*

abbreviation *cstepp-op* (((2-)/ =-:.-:→ / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50) **where**
 $s =F:V:R\Rightarrow t \equiv (=F:V:R\Rightarrow) s t$

abbreviation *cstep* ({=-:.-:→}[51,51,51]1000) **where**
 $\{=F:V:R\Rightarrow\} \equiv \{(s,t). s =F:V:R\Rightarrow t\}$

definition *cstep-reflclp* ('(=-:.-:→=') [51,51,51]1000) **where**
 $(=F:V:R\Rightarrow^=) \equiv \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (=F:V:R\Rightarrow)$

abbreviation *cstep-reflcl-op* (((2-)/ =-:.-:→= / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50) **where**
 $s =F:V:R\Rightarrow^= t \equiv (=F:V:R\Rightarrow^=) s t$

abbreviation *cstep-reflcl* ({=-:.-:→=} [51,51,51]1000)
where $\{=F:V:R\Rightarrow^=\} \equiv \{(s,t). s =F:V:R\Rightarrow^= t\}$

abbreviation (*input*) *dual-cstepp* ('(←-:.-:→=') [51,51,51]1000)
where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\Rightarrow) \equiv (=F:V:R\Rightarrow)^-$

abbreviation *cstep-tranclp* ('(=-:.-:→+)' [51,51,51]1000) **where**
 $(=F:V:R\Rightarrow^+) \equiv \text{tranclp } (=F:V:R\Rightarrow)$

abbreviation *cstep-trancl-op* (((2-)/ =-:.-:→+ / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s =F:V:R\Rightarrow^+ t \equiv (=F:V:R\Rightarrow^+) s t$

abbreviation *cstep-rtranclp* ('(=-:.-:→*)' [51,51,51]1000) **where**
 $(=F:V:R\Rightarrow^*) \equiv \text{tranclp } (=F:V:R\Rightarrow^=)$

abbreviation *cstep-rtrancl-op* (((2-)/ =-:.-:→* / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s =F:V:R\Rightarrow^* t \equiv (=F:V:R\Rightarrow^*) s t$

abbreviation *cstep-rtrancl* ({=-:.-:→*}[51,51,51]1000)
where $\{=F:V:R\Rightarrow^*\} \equiv \{(s,t). s =F:V:R\Rightarrow^* t\}$

abbreviation *cstep-symclp* ('(←-:.-:→=') [51,51,51]1000)
where $(\leftarrow F:V:R\Rightarrow) \equiv \text{symclp } (=F:V:R\Rightarrow)$

abbreviation *cstep-symcl-op* (((2-)/ ←-:.-:→ / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s \leftarrow F:V:R\Rightarrow t \equiv (\leftarrow F:V:R\Rightarrow) s t$

abbreviation *cstep-symcl* ({←-:.-:→}[51,51,51]1000)
where $\{\leftarrow F:V:R\Rightarrow\} \equiv \{(s,t). s \leftarrow F:V:R\Rightarrow t\}$

abbreviation *cstep-rsymclp* ('(←-:.-:→=') [51,51,51]1000) **where**

$(\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^=) \equiv \text{symclp } (=F:V:R \Rightarrow^=)$

abbreviation *cstep-rsymcl-op* $((2-)/ \Leftarrow -: \Rightarrow^= / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50$ **where**
 $s \Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^= t \equiv (\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^=) s t$

abbreviation *cstep-rsymcl* $(\{\Leftarrow -: \Rightarrow^=\}) [51,51,51]1000$
where $\{\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^=\} \equiv \{(s,t). s \Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^= t\}$

abbreviation *cstep-rtransymclp* $(\prime(\Leftarrow -: \Rightarrow^*) [51,51,51]1000)$
where $(\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^*) \equiv (\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^=)^{++}$

abbreviation *cstep-rtransymcl-op* $((2-)/ \Leftarrow -: \Rightarrow^* / (2-)) [51,51,51,51,51]50$
where $s \Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t \equiv (\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^*) s t$

interpretation *ars* $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow)$ **for** $F V R(\text{proof})$

lemma *cstepp-induct*[consumes 1, case-names root comp]:

fixes P (**infix** \sqsubseteq 50)

assumes $st: s =F:V:R \Rightarrow t$

and *root*: $\bigwedge X l r cs \vartheta.$

$(X. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R \implies$

$\vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies$

$\forall s t. (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs \longrightarrow ((=F:V:R \Rightarrow) \sqcap (\sqsubseteq))^{**} (s \cdot \vartheta) (t \cdot \vartheta) \implies$

$l \cdot \vartheta \sqsubseteq r \cdot \vartheta$

and *comp*: $\bigwedge f \pi s \sigma \varrho s \tau ls rs s t.$

$f : \pi s @ \sigma \# \varrho s \rightarrow \tau$ **in** $F \implies$

$ls :_l \pi s$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies$

$s : \sigma$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies$

$t : \sigma$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies$

$rs :_l \varrho s$ **in** $\mathcal{T}(F,V) \implies$

$s =F:V:R \Rightarrow t \implies$

$s \sqsubseteq t \implies$

$\text{Fun } f (ls @ s \# rs) \sqsubseteq \text{Fun } f (ls @ t \# rs)$

shows $s \sqsubseteq t$

<proof>

Conditional rewrite step is a rewrite relation.

interpretation *cstepp*: *subst-closed-general* $F V W (=F:V:R \Rightarrow) (=F:W:R \Rightarrow)$

rewrites $\bigwedge X. \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F,X)) (=F:X:R \Rightarrow) \equiv (=F:X:R \Rightarrow^=)$

<proof>

thm *cstepp.rtranclp-on.stable*

interpretation *cstepp*: *rewrite-relation* $F V (=F:V:R \Rightarrow)$

rewrites $\bigwedge X. \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F,X)) (=F:X:R \Rightarrow) \equiv (=F:X:R \Rightarrow^=)$

<proof>

thm *cstepp.reflclp-on.ctxt-closed*

lemma *cstep-reflclpE*:

assumes $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^= t$ **and** $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies s = t \implies$ *thesis*
and $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow t \implies$ *thesis*
shows *thesis*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

interpretation *cstep-reflcp*: reflexive dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($=F:V:R \Rightarrow^=$)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

interpretation *cstep-rsymclp*: reflexive dom $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^=$)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *cstep-rtranclp-iff*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t \iff (s = t \wedge s \in \text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) \vee s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^+ t$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma [*rewriting-simps*]:
shows *cstep-rtranclp-refl*: $s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* s$
and *cstep-rtranclp-trancl*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^+ t \implies s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t$
and *cstep-rtranclp-step*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow t \implies s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *cstep-rtranclp-eq-reflcp*:
 $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow^*) = \text{reflcp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) (=F:V:R \Rightarrow)^{++}$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *cstep-rtranclpE*[*consumes 1, case-names refl trancl*]:
assumes $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t$
and $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies s = t \implies$ *thesis*
and $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^+ t \implies$ *thesis*
shows *thesis* \langle *proof* \rangle

Now we define the conditional root rewrite step.

definition *crootstepp* ($'(= \cdot \cdot \cdot \Rightarrow^\varepsilon)$ [51,51,51]1000)
where $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon) s t \equiv$
 $\exists (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R. \exists \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V). (\forall (s \rightsquigarrow t) \in \text{set } cs. s \cdot \vartheta = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t \cdot \vartheta) \wedge$
 $s = l \cdot \vartheta \wedge t = r \cdot \vartheta$

abbreviation *crootstep-op* ($((\cdot) / = \cdot \cdot \cdot \Rightarrow^\varepsilon / (\cdot))$ [51,51,51,51,51]50)
where $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon t \equiv (=F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon) s t$

lemma *crootsteppI*:
assumes $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r \Leftarrow cs) \in R$ **and** $\vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\bigwedge u v. (u \rightsquigarrow v) \in \text{set } cs \implies u \cdot \vartheta = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* v \cdot \vartheta$
and $s = l \cdot \vartheta$ **and** $t = r \cdot \vartheta$
shows $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *crootstepp-rule*:

assumes $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r \leftarrow cs) \in R$ **and** $\vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\bigwedge u v. (u \rightsquigarrow v) \in \text{set } cs \implies u \cdot \vartheta =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^*} v \cdot \vartheta$
shows $l \cdot \vartheta =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon} r \cdot \vartheta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *crootsteppE*:

assumes $s =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon} t$
and $\bigwedge X l r cs \vartheta. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \leftarrow cs) \in R \implies \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies$
 $\forall u v. (u \rightsquigarrow v) \in \text{set } cs \longrightarrow u \cdot \vartheta =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^*} v \cdot \vartheta \implies s = l \cdot \vartheta \implies t = r \cdot \vartheta \implies$

thesis

shows *thesis*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cstepp-root*: **assumes** $s =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon} t$ **shows** $s =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow} t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *crootstepp*: *subst-closed-general* $F V W (=_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon}) (=_{F:W:R \Rightarrow^\varepsilon})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

thm *crootstepp.symclp.stable*

The conditional reduction is a model of the CTRS.

lemma *cstep-rtranclp-models*: $\mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:(=_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^*}) \models R$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *sorted-ctrs* =

fixes $F :: ('f, 's) \text{ sig}$ **and** $R :: ('f, 'x, 's) \text{ rule set}$
assumes *sort-safe*: *sort-safe-rules* $F R$

begin

lemma *cstepp-typed*: $s =_{F:V:R \Rightarrow} t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cstepp*: *sorted-rewrite-relation* $F V (=_{F:V:R \Rightarrow})$
rewrites $\bigwedge X. \text{reflclp-on } (\text{dom } \mathcal{T}(F, X)) (=_{F:X:R \Rightarrow}) \equiv (=_{F:X:R \Rightarrow=})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cstep-reflclp*: *sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (=_{F:V:R \Rightarrow=})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cstep-tranclp*: *sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (=_{F:V:R \Rightarrow})^{++}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cstep-rtranclp*: *sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (=_{F:V:R \Rightarrow^*})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cstep-symclp*: *sorted-relation* $\mathcal{T}(F, V) (\Leftarrow_{F:V:R \Rightarrow})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *cstep-rsymclp*: sorted-relation $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^=$)
<proof>

sublocale *cstep-rtransymclp*: sorted-relation $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ ($\Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^*$)
<proof>

thm *cstepp.rtranclp-on.ctx-closed*

lemma *cstep-symclp-typed*: $s \Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
<proof>

lemma *cstep-tranclp-typed*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^+ t t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
<proof>

lemma *cstep-rtransymclp-typed*: $s \Leftarrow F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t \implies \exists \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
<proof>

lemma *cstep-rtranclp-induct*[consumes 1, case-names refl step]:

assumes *st*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t$

and *Refl*: $\bigwedge \sigma. s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies P s$

and *Step*: $\bigwedge t u. s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t \implies t = F:V:R \Rightarrow u \implies P t \implies P u$

shows $P t$

<proof>

end

interpretation *cstep-reflclp*: reflexive-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (=F:V:R \Rightarrow^=)$ *<proof>*

interpretation *cstep-tranclp*: transitive-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (=F:V:R \Rightarrow)^{++}$
<proof>

interpretation *cstep-rtranclp*: quasi-ordered-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (=F:V:R \Rightarrow^*)$
<proof>

interpretation *cstep-reflclp*: reflexive-monotone-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (=F:V:R \Rightarrow^=)$
<proof>

sublocale *sorted-ctrs* \subseteq *cstep-tranclp*: transitive-monotone-algebra $F \mathcal{T}(F, V) \text{ Fun } (=F:V:R \Rightarrow)^{++}$ *<proof>*

Because conditions are evaluated by full many-step reductions, models of CTRS make sense only if they are quasi-ordered monotone.

context *quasi-ordered-monotone-algebra* **begin**

context fixes R

assumes *ctrs*: *sorted-ctrs* $F R$ **and** *models*: $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models R$
begin

interpretation *sorted-ctrs* $F R$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cstepp-imp-valid*: **assumes** *st*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow t$ **shows** $A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cstepp-le-valid*: $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow) \leq valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cstep-tranclp-imp-valid*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^+ t t \Longrightarrow A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cstep-tranclp-le-valid*: $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow^+) \leq valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cstep-rtranclp-imp-valid*: $s = F:V:R \Rightarrow^* t \Longrightarrow A:I:(\sqsubseteq) \models V. s \rightsquigarrow t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cstep-rtranclp-le-valid*: $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow^*) \leq valid A I (\sqsubseteq) V$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

lemma (**in** *rewrite-preorder*) *cstep-rtranclp-le*:
 $sorted-ctrs F R \Longrightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:(\preceq) \models R \Longrightarrow (=F:V:R \Rightarrow^*) \leq (\preceq)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The many-step conditional rewriting is the least rewrite preorder.

lemma (**in** *sorted-ctrs*) *cstep-rtranclp-eq-least*:
 $(=F:V:R \Rightarrow^*) = (LEAST r. rewrite-preorder F V r \wedge (\mathcal{T}(F, V):Fun:r \models R))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Variadic-Signature*
imports *Sorted-Terms.Sorted-Terms*
begin

This theory introduces a convenient notation for variadic signatures.

definition *variadic-upd* $F f \sigma \tau r \equiv$
if $fst r = f \wedge (\forall \sigma' \in set (snd r). \sigma' = \sigma)$ *then* $Some \tau$ *else* $F r$

syntax

-variadic-upd $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow maplet (('-, -..') / \mapsto / -)$

translations

-Update $F (-variadic-upd f \sigma \tau) \equiv CONST variadic-upd F f \sigma \tau$

lemma *hastype-in-variadic*:

$f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in $F((f', \sigma..) \mapsto \tau') \longleftrightarrow$
(if $f = f' \wedge (\forall \sigma' \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma' = \sigma)$ then $\tau = \tau'$ else $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in F)
<proof>

lemma *dom-variadic-upd[simp]*: $\text{dom } (F((f, \sigma..) \mapsto \tau)) = \text{dom } F \cup \{f\} \times \text{lists } \{\sigma\}$
<proof>

end

12 Logic

We define propositional logic as a special case of equational logic, where special sort “bool” and logical operators exist.

theory *Logic*

imports *Models Variadic-Signature Sorted-Terms-More*

begin

lemma *all-set-iff-replicate*: $(\forall x \in \text{set } xs. x = y) \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. xs = \text{replicate } n \ y)$
<proof>

lemma *all-set-image-conv-ex-map*:

$(\forall fa \in \text{set } fas. fa \in f \text{ ' } A) \longleftrightarrow (\exists as \in \text{lists } A. fas = \text{map } f \ as)$
<proof>

lemma (**in** *subsignature*) *has-same-type-subsig*: $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in $F \implies f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau'$
in $G \longleftrightarrow \tau = \tau'$
<proof>

lemma *all-set-hastype*:

assumes $\forall a \in \text{set } as. a : \sigma$ in A

shows $as :_l \sigma s$ in $A \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = \text{replicate } (\text{length } as) \ \sigma$

<proof>

12.1 Syntax

The following locales introduce notations for propositional logic.

locale *truth-syntax* = **fixes** *conjF* :: 'f

begin

abbreviation *trueT* $\equiv \text{Fun } \text{conjF } []$

abbreviation *satisfies-formula* $((-;- \models -) [51,51,51,25]_4)$ **where**

$I;r;\alpha \models \varphi \equiv I;r;\alpha \models \varphi \rightsquigarrow \text{trueT}$

abbreviation *satisfies-formula-eq* $((-;- \models -) [51,51,25]_4)$ **where**

$I;\alpha \models \varphi \equiv I:(=);\alpha \models \varphi$

lemma *satisfies-formula-subst*: $(I:r;\alpha \models \varphi.\vartheta) \longleftrightarrow (I:r;I[\vartheta]_s \alpha \models \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *satisfies-formula-same-vars*:
assumes $\forall x \in vars-term \varphi. \alpha x = \beta x$
shows $(I:r;\alpha \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (I:r;\beta \models \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *valid-formula* $((:-:- \models -. -) [51,51,51,100,25]4)$ **where**
 $A:I:r \models X. \varphi \equiv A:I:r \models X. \varphi \rightsquigarrow trueT$

abbreviation *valid-formula-eq* $((:-:- \models -. -) [51,51,100,25]4)$ **where**
 $A:I \models X. \varphi \equiv A:I:(=) \models X. \varphi$

end

locale *logic-symbols-syntax* = **fixes** *conjF disjF negF* :: 'f
begin

sublocale *truth-syntax* $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *falseT* $\equiv Fun\ disjF\ []$

abbreviation *andT* (**infixl** \wedge_t 35) **where** $s \wedge_t t \equiv Fun\ conjF\ [s,t]$

abbreviation *orT* (**infixr** \vee_t 30) **where** $s \vee_t t \equiv Fun\ disjF\ [s,t]$

abbreviation *notT* (\neg_t - [40]40) **where** $\neg_t s \equiv Fun\ negF\ [s]$

definition *impT* (**infixr** \longrightarrow_t 25) **where** $s \longrightarrow_t t \equiv \neg_t s \vee_t t$

abbreviation *list-exT* $f\ ss \equiv Fun\ disjF\ (map\ f\ ss)$

abbreviation *list-allT* $f\ ss \equiv Fun\ conjF\ (map\ f\ ss)$

lemma *logic-cases*[*case-names Not Conj Disj Other*]:
assumes $f = negF \implies thesis$
and $f \neq negF \implies f = conjF \implies thesis$
and $f \neq negF \implies f \neq conjF \implies f = disjF \implies thesis$
and $f \neq negF \implies f \neq conjF \implies f \neq disjF \implies thesis$
shows *thesis*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *const-of-bool* $b \equiv if\ b\ then\ trueT\ else\ falseT$

end

The following locale defines the signature of logic symbols.

locale *logic-signature-syntax* =
fixes *boolS* :: 's **and** *conjF disjF negF* :: 'f
begin

interpretation *logic-symbols-syntax*⟨*proof*⟩

We define the (constructor) signature of logic symbols.

definition *C* **where**

$C \equiv [(conjF, []) \mapsto boolS, (disjF, []) \mapsto boolS]$

lemma *hastype-in-C*: $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *C* \longleftrightarrow
 $(f = conjF \vee f = disjF) \wedge \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = boolS$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hastype-in-C-E[elim!]*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *C*

and $f = conjF \implies \sigma s = [] \implies \tau = boolS \implies thesis$

and $f = disjF \implies \sigma s = [] \implies \tau = boolS \implies thesis$

shows *thesis*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conj-hastype-in-C-iff[simp]*: $conjF : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *C* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = boolS$
and *disj-hastype-in-C-iff[simp]*: $disjF : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *C* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = boolS$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dom-C[simp]*: $dom\ C = \{(conjF, []), (disjF, [])\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

definition *F* **where**

$F \equiv [(negF, [boolS]) \mapsto boolS, (conjF, boolS..) \mapsto boolS, (disjF, boolS..) \mapsto boolS]$

lemma *dom-F*: $dom\ F = \{(negF, [boolS])\} \cup \{conjF, disjF\} \times lists\ \{boolS\}$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hastype-in-F*: $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *F* \longleftrightarrow

$\tau = boolS \wedge ($

$(f = conjF \vee f = disjF) \wedge (\forall \sigma \in set\ \sigma s. \sigma = boolS) \vee$

$f = negF \wedge \sigma s = [boolS])$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *hastype-in-F-E*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *F*

and $\bigwedge n. \tau = boolS \implies f = conjF \implies \sigma s = replicate\ n\ boolS \implies thesis$

and $\bigwedge n. \tau = boolS \implies f = disjF \implies \sigma s = replicate\ n\ boolS \implies thesis$

and $f = negF \implies \tau = boolS \implies \sigma s = [boolS] \implies thesis$

shows *thesis*

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conj-hastype-iff[simp]*: $conjF : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ *in* *F* $\longleftrightarrow (\forall \sigma \in set\ \sigma s. \sigma = boolS)$

$\wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
and *disj-hastype-iff*[simp]: $\text{disj}F : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \longleftrightarrow (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{boolS}) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Fun-conj-hastype-iff*: $\text{Fun conj}F \varphi s : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. \varphi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
and *Fun-disj-hastype-iff*: $\text{Fun disj}F \varphi s : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. \varphi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *and-hastype-iff*[simp]: $(\varphi \wedge_t \psi) : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \varphi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \psi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
and *or-hastype-iff*[simp]: $(\varphi \vee_t \psi) : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \varphi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \psi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *const-of-bool-hastype-in-C*[simp]:
 $\text{const-of-bool } b : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, V) \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *const-of-bool-hastype-in-F*[simp]:
 $\text{const-of-bool } b : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorts-ssig-F*[simp]: $\text{sorts-ssig } F = \{\text{boolS}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorts-ssig-C*[simp]: $\text{sorts-ssig } C = \{\text{boolS}\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

locale *logic-signature* = *logic-signature-syntax* +

assumes *neg-neq-conj*[simp]: $\text{neg}F \neq \text{conj}F$

and *neg-neq-disj*[simp]: $\text{neg}F \neq \text{disj}F$

and *conj-neq-disj*[simp]: $\text{conj}F \neq \text{disj}F$

begin

lemmas *conj-neq-neg*[simp] = *neg-neq-conj*[symmetric]

lemmas *disj-neq-neg*[simp] = *neg-neq-disj*[symmetric]

lemmas *disj-neq-conj*[simp] = *conj-neq-disj*[symmetric]

interpretation *logic-symbols-syntax*⟨proof⟩

lemma *neg-hastype-iff*[simp]: $\text{neg}F : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [\text{boolS}] \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Fun-neg-hastype-iff*: $\text{Fun neg}F \varphi s : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varphi. \varphi s = [\varphi] \wedge \varphi$

: *boolS* in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-hastype-iff[simp]*: $(\neg_t \varphi) : \tau$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \varphi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \tau = \text{boolS}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *imp-hastype-iff[simp]*: $(\varphi \longrightarrow_t \psi) : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \varphi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V) \wedge \psi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

12.2 Semantics

A logic is an algebra over the logic signature, which interprets logical operators in the expected manner.

locale *truth-interpretation-syntax* =
 fixes $I :: 'f \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a$ and $\text{conj}F :: 'f$
begin

sublocale *truth-syntax*⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *true* **where** $\text{true} \equiv I \text{ conj}F$ []

lemma *satisfies-formula-iff*: $(I; (\sim); \alpha \models \varphi) \longleftrightarrow I[\varphi]\alpha \sim \text{true}$
for equiv (**infix** \sim 50) ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *satisfies-formulaI* = *satisfies-formula-iff*[*THEN iffD2*]
lemmas *satisfies-formulaD* = *satisfies-formula-iff*[*THEN iffD1*]

end

locale *logic-syntax* =
 fixes $F :: ('f, 's) \text{ ssig}$ and $A :: 'a \rightarrow 's$ and $I :: 'f \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a$
 and $\text{boolS} :: 's$ and $\text{conj}F \text{ disj}F \text{ neg}F :: 'f$
begin

sublocale *logic-symbols-syntax*⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *truth-interpretation-syntax*⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *false* **where** $\text{false} \equiv I \text{ disj}F$ []

sublocale *logic*: *logic-signature-syntax*⟨*proof*⟩

end

We introduce *quasi-logic*, where the interpretations of formulas can be multi-valued, but relates to either true or false.

locale *quasi-truth-algebra* = *sorted-algebra* + *equivalence-syntax* + *truth-interpretation-syntax*
 +
 constrains *equiv* :: -
 assumes *true-is-true*: $true \sim true$
begin

sublocale *truth-interpretation-syntax*⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-formula-subst*:
 assumes *val*: $A:I:(\sim) \models X. \varphi$ **and** $\vartheta: \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
 shows $A:I:(\sim) \models V. \varphi \cdot \vartheta$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *satisfies-true[simp]*: $I:(\sim); \alpha \models trueT$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *valid-true[simp]*: $A:I:(\sim) \models X. trueT$ ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *quasi-logic* = *sorted-algebra* +
 equivalence-syntax + *logic-syntax* +
 logic: *subsignature* *logic.F* *F* +
 constrains *equiv* :: -
 assumes *neg-is-true*: $a : boolS$ in $A \implies$
 $I \text{ neg}F [a] \sim true \iff \neg (a \sim true)$
 assumes *conj-is-true*: $\forall a \in set \ as. a : boolS$ in $A \implies$
 $I \text{ conj}F \ as \sim true \iff (\forall a \in set \ as. a \sim true)$
 assumes *disj-is-true*: $\forall a \in set \ as. a : boolS$ in $A \implies$
 $I \text{ disj}F \ as \sim true \iff (\exists a \in set \ as. a \sim true)$
begin

sublocale *quasi-truth-algebra*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *logic-part*: *subsignature-algebra* *logic.F* *F*⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *false-is-not-true*: $\neg false \sim true$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma **assumes** $\forall \sigma \in set \ \sigma s. \sigma = boolS$
 shows *conj-hastype-iff-bool[simp]*: $conjF : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in $F \iff \tau = boolS$
 and *disj-hastype-iff-bool[simp]*: $disjF : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in $F \iff \tau = boolS$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *conj-hastype-replicate*: $conjF : replicate \ n \ boolS \rightarrow boolS$ in F ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *disj-hastype-replicate*: $disjF : replicate \ n \ boolS \rightarrow boolS$ in F ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma **assumes** $\forall \varphi \in set \ \varphi s. \varphi : boolS$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$

shows *Fun-conj-hastype*: $\text{Fun conjF } \varphi s : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \tau = \text{boolS}$
and *Fun-disj-hastype*: $\text{Fun disjF } \varphi s : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \tau = \text{boolS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-hastype-in-Term-iff[simp]*: $\text{trueT} : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$
and *false-hastype-in-Term-iff[simp]*: $\text{falseT} : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *true-hastype-iff[simp]*: $\text{true} : \sigma \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$
and *false-hastype-iff[simp]*: $\text{false} : \sigma \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *logic*: *logic-signature*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-hastype*: $\text{negF} : [\text{boolS}] \rightarrow \text{boolS} \text{ in } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-hastype-in-ssig[simp]*: $\text{negF} : [\text{boolS}] \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \longleftrightarrow \tau = \text{boolS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-hastype-in-Term[simp]*: $\varphi : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V) \implies (\neg_t \varphi) : \text{boolS} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
assumes *as*: $\forall a \in \text{set as. } a : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A$
shows *intp-conj-hastype[simp]*: $I \text{ conjF } as : \tau \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow \tau = \text{boolS}$
and *intp-disj-hastype[simp]*: $I \text{ disjF } as : \tau \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow \tau = \text{boolS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *intp-neg-hastype[simp]*: $a : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A \implies I \text{ negF } [a] : \tau \text{ in } A \longleftrightarrow \tau = \text{boolS}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
assumes *a*: $a : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A$ **and** *bs*: $\forall b \in \text{set bs. } b : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A$
shows *conj-Cons*: $I \text{ conjF } (a \# bs) \sim \text{true} \longleftrightarrow a \sim \text{true} \wedge I \text{ conjF } bs \sim \text{true}$
and *disj-Cons*: $I \text{ disjF } (a \# bs) \sim \text{true} \longleftrightarrow a \sim \text{true} \vee I \text{ disjF } bs \sim \text{true}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
assumes $I[\varphi]\alpha : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A$ **and** $\forall \psi \in \text{set } \varphi s. I[\psi]\alpha : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A$
shows *satisfies-conj-Cons'*: $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun conjF } (\varphi \# \varphi s)) \longleftrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi) \wedge (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun conjF } \varphi s)$
and *satisfies-disj-Cons'*: $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun disjF } (\varphi \# \varphi s)) \longleftrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi) \vee (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun disjF } \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

assumes $\forall \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. I[\varphi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$

shows *satisfies-conj*: $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun conjF } \varphi s) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi))$

and *satisfies-disj*: $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun disjF } \varphi s) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi))$

<proof>

lemmas *satisfies-conjD* = *satisfies-conj*[*THEN iffD1, rotated -1*]

lemmas *satisfies-disjD* = *satisfies-disj*[*THEN iffD1, rotated -1*]

lemmas *satisfies-conjI* = *satisfies-conj*[*THEN iffD2, unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp*]

lemmas *satisfies-disjI* = *satisfies-disj*[*THEN iffD2*]

lemma *satisfies-and*:

assumes $I[\varphi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$ **and** $\psi: I[\psi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$

shows $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi \wedge_t \psi) \longleftrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi) \wedge (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \psi)$

<proof>

lemma *satisfies-or*:

assumes $I[\varphi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$ **and** $\psi: I[\psi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$

shows $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi \vee_t \psi) \longleftrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi) \vee (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \psi)$

<proof>

lemmas *satisfies-andD* = *satisfies-and*[*THEN iffD1, rotated -1*]

lemmas *satisfies-orD* = *satisfies-or*[*THEN iffD1, rotated -1*]

lemmas *satisfies-andI* = *satisfies-and*[*THEN iffD2, unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp*]

lemmas *satisfies-orI* = *satisfies-or*[*THEN iffD2*]

lemma *satisfies-not*:

assumes $I[\varphi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$

shows $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \neg_t \varphi) \longleftrightarrow \neg(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi)$

<proof>

lemmas *satisfies-notD* = *satisfies-not*[*THEN iffD1, rotated -1*]

lemmas *satisfies-notI* = *satisfies-not*[*THEN iffD2, unfolded not-def, rule-format*]

lemma *satisfies-imp*:

assumes $I[\varphi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$ **and** $I[\psi]\alpha : \text{boolS in } A$

shows $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi \longrightarrow_t \psi) \longleftrightarrow ((I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi) \longrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \psi))$

<proof>

lemmas *satisfies-impD* = *satisfies-imp*[*THEN iffD1, rule-format, rotated -2*]

lemmas *satisfies-impI* = *satisfies-imp*[*THEN iffD2, rule-format*]

lemma

assumes $\varphi : \text{boolS in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\forall \psi \in \text{set } \varphi s. \psi : \text{boolS in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$

shows *satisfies-conj-Cons*: $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun conjF } (\varphi \# \varphi s)) \longleftrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi) \wedge (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun conjF } \varphi s)$

and satisfies-disj-Cons: $(I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun disjF } (\varphi \# \varphi s)) \longleftrightarrow (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi)$
 $\vee (I:(\sim);\alpha \models \text{Fun disjF } \varphi s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid-and:

assumes $\varphi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\psi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows $(A:I:(\sim) \models V. \varphi \wedge_t \psi) \longleftrightarrow (A:I:(\sim) \models V. \varphi) \wedge (A:I:(\sim) \models V. \psi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid-conj:

assumes $\forall \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. \varphi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows $(A:I:(\sim) \models V. \text{Fun conjF } \varphi s) \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varphi \in \text{set } \varphi s. (A:I:(\sim) \models V. \varphi))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid-impD:

assumes $\text{imp}: A:I:(\sim) \models V. \varphi \longrightarrow_t \psi$ **and** $\text{prem}: I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi$
and $\alpha : \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$ **and** $\varphi : \varphi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\psi : \psi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows $I:(\sim);\alpha \models \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma valid-impI:

assumes $*$: $\bigwedge \alpha. \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A \implies I:(\sim);\alpha \models \varphi \implies I:(\sim);\alpha \models \psi$
and $\varphi : \varphi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\psi : \psi : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, V)$
shows $A:I:(\sim) \models V. \varphi \longrightarrow_t \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma bool-in-sorts-ssig: $\text{boolS} \in \text{sorts-ssig } F$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

lemma quasi-logic-cong:

assumes $F: F = F'$
and $A: A = A'$
and $I: \bigwedge f \sigma s \tau \text{ as. } f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F' \implies \text{as} :_l \sigma s \text{ in } A' \implies I f \text{ as} = I' f \text{ as}$
and $\text{le}: \bigwedge a. a : \text{boolS} \text{ in } A' \implies \text{le } a (I' \text{ conjF } \square) \longleftrightarrow \text{le}' a (I' \text{ conjF } \square)$
and $\text{boolS}: \text{boolS} = \text{boolS}'$
and $\text{conjF}: \text{conjF} = \text{conjF}'$
shows $\text{quasi-logic } F A I \text{ le } \text{boolS } \text{conjF } \text{disjF } \text{negF} \longleftrightarrow$
 $\text{quasi-logic } F' A' I' \text{ le}' \text{ boolS}' \text{ conjF}' \text{ disjF } \text{negF}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

A logic is a quasi-logic with equality.

locale $\text{logic} = \text{quasi-logic}$ **where** $\text{equiv} = (=)$

begin

lemma $A:I \models X. \varphi \equiv \forall \alpha :_s X \rightarrow A. I[\varphi]\alpha = \text{true}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *logic-const* = *sorted-algebra-const* + *logic*

12.3 Propositional Logic

Here we define the propositional logic.

context *logic-signature-syntax* **begin**

definition $A :: \text{bool} \rightarrow 's$ **where**

$A\ b \equiv \text{Some } \text{boolS}$

lemma *hastype-in-A[simp]*: $b : \sigma$ in $A \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{boolS}$ *<proof>*

lemma *dom-A[simp]*: $\text{dom } A = \text{UNIV}$ *<proof>*

lemma *A-restrict-ran*: $A \upharpoonright^r S = (\text{if } \text{boolS} \in S \text{ then } A \text{ else } \emptyset)$
<proof>

definition I **where** $I\ f\ bs \equiv$

if $f = \text{conjF}$ *then* $\forall b \in \text{set } bs. b$

else if $f = \text{disjF}$ *then* $\exists b \in \text{set } bs. b$

else $\neg bs!0$

sublocale *truth-interpretation-syntax* I *<proof>*

sublocale *sorted-algebra* $F\ A\ I$
<proof>

sublocale *subsignature-algebra* $F\ F\ A\ I$
<proof>

end

context *logic-signature* **begin**

lemma *I-simps[simp]*:

$I\ \text{conjF}\ bs \longleftrightarrow (\forall b \in \text{set } bs. b)$

$I\ \text{disjF}\ bs \longleftrightarrow (\exists b \in \text{set } bs. b)$

$I\ \text{negF}\ bs \longleftrightarrow \neg bs!0$

<proof>

sublocale *logic* $F\ A\ I$
<proof>

sublocale *logic-const* $F\ A\ I\ \text{const-of-bool } C$
<proof>

lemma *true-hastype-in-C*: $\text{trueT} : \text{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(C, V)$

and *false-hastype-in-C*: *falseT* : *boolS* in $\mathcal{T}(C, V)$
 {*proof*}

end

end

13 Logically Constrained Rewriting

theory *Constrained-Rewriting*
imports *Logic Sorted-Rewriting*
begin

Constrained rules extend rewrite rules with an extra term which represents the constraint.

datatype (*dead 'f*, *dead 'v*, *dead 's*) *lcrule* =
LCRule (*vars*: *'v* \rightarrow *'s*) (*lhs*: (*'f*, *'v*) *term*) (*rhs*: (*'f*, *'v*) *term*) (*constraint*: (*'f*, *'v*)
term)
 (\cdot . \rightsquigarrow \cdot | \cdot - [100,51,51,30]21)

hide-const(**open**) *lcrule.vars lcrule.lhs lcrule.rhs lcrule.constraint*

abbreviation *ball-lcrule* **where** *ball-lcrule* $R P \equiv \forall X l r c. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid c) \in R \rightarrow P X l r c$

abbreviation *bex-lcrule* **where** *bex-lcrule* $R P \equiv \exists X l r c. (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid c) \in R \wedge P X l r c$

syntax

-ball-lcrule :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow (*'f*, *'v*, *'s*) *lcrule set* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (($\exists \forall$ ((\cdot . \rightsquigarrow \cdot | \cdot -) / \in -) / -) [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 10] 10)
-bex-lcrule :: *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow *pttrn* \Rightarrow (*'f*, *'v*, *'s*) *lcrule set* \Rightarrow *bool* \Rightarrow *bool*
 (($\exists \exists$ ((\cdot . \rightsquigarrow \cdot | \cdot -) / \in -) / -) [0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 10] 10)

translations

$\forall (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid c) \in R. e \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \textit{ball-lcrule } R (\lambda X l r c. e)$
 $\exists (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid c) \in R. e \Rightarrow \text{CONST } \textit{bex-lcrule } R (\lambda X l r c. e)$

To be a well-typed constrained rewrite rule, the left- and right-hand sides must have the same type and the constraint must be of bool type.

locale *lcrule-syntax* =

fixes *F' F* :: (*'f*, *'s*) *ssig* **and** *boolS* :: *'s*

begin

definition *lcrule* $\equiv \lambda (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \Rightarrow$

($\exists \sigma. l : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F', X) \wedge r : \sigma$ in $\mathcal{T}(F', X) \wedge \varphi : \textit{boolS}$ in $\mathcal{T}(F, X)$)

lemma *lcrule-simp*:

$lcrule (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \longleftrightarrow (\exists \sigma. l : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F', X) \wedge r : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F', X) \wedge \varphi : boolS \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lcruleI*:

$\varrho = (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \implies l : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F', X) \implies r : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F', X) \implies \varphi : boolS \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, X) \implies lcrule \varrho$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lcrule-has-same-type*: $lcrule (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \implies l : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F', X) \longleftrightarrow r : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F', X)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

A well-typed LCTRS requires that all rules are well-typed and signatures satisfy expected inclusion.

locale *lctrs* = *lcrule-syntax* $F' F boolS$ **for** $F' R F boolS +$
assumes *tsig-le-sig*: $F \subseteq_m F'$
and *typed*: $\varrho \in R \implies lcrule \varrho$
begin

lemmas *tTerm-le* = *Term-mono-left*[*OF tsig-le-sig*]

end

The LCTRS semantics demands that instantiations of rules are restricted to those which map variables in constraints to *values*. Therefore we need to specify what are values: here, rather than assuming a *constant symbol* for each semantic values [2], we only require every value $v : \sigma \text{ in } A$ is represented by a ground constructor term $\eta v : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C)$.

locale *lctrs-semantics-syntax* =
fixes $F' :: ('f, 's) ssig$
and $R :: ('f, 'v, 's) lcrule \text{ set}$
and $F :: ('f, 's) ssig$
and $A :: 'a \rightarrow 's$
and $I :: 'f \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a$
and $\eta :: 'a \Rightarrow ('f, unit) \text{ term}$
and $C :: ('f, 's) ssig$
and $boolS :: 's$
and $conjF :: 'f$
begin

sublocale *truth-syntax*⟨proof⟩

We do not define constrained rewrite steps from scratch. We just define the (sorted) TRS which the LCTRS represents. That is, the instances of

constrained rules with respect to ground constructor substitutions over the variables in the constraints that satisfy the constraint.

definition *as-trs* \equiv

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(X. l \cdot \vartheta \rightsquigarrow r \cdot \vartheta) \mid X l r \varphi \vartheta. \\ & (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \in R \wedge \\ & \vartheta :_s X \mid \text{vars } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{dom } X - \text{vars } \varphi. \vartheta x = \text{Var } x) \wedge \\ & (A:I \models \emptyset. \varphi \cdot \vartheta)\} \end{aligned}$$

lemma *as-trs-iff*: $(X. l' \rightsquigarrow r') \in \text{as-trs} \iff (\exists l r \varphi \vartheta.$

$$\begin{aligned} & (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \in R \wedge \\ & \vartheta :_s X \mid \text{vars } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \wedge (\forall x \in \text{dom } X - \text{vars } \varphi. \vartheta x = \text{Var } x) \wedge (A:I \models \emptyset. \\ & \varphi \cdot \vartheta) \wedge \\ & l' = l \cdot \vartheta \wedge r' = r \cdot \vartheta) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

lemma *as-trsI*: $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \in R \implies A:I \models \emptyset. \varphi \cdot \vartheta \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & \vartheta :_s X \mid \text{vars } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \implies \forall x \in \text{dom } X - \text{vars } \varphi. \vartheta x = \text{Var } x \implies (X. l \cdot \vartheta \\ & \rightsquigarrow r \cdot \vartheta) \in \text{as-trs} \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

end

Rewriting in LCTRS requires extra rewrite steps of theory terms called “calculation step”. Below we define a one that reduces a ground basic theory term in one step to the representation of its value. We cannot just add definitions in existing locales, because the new definitions will not be imported to existing interpretations (in Isabelle 2025).

locale *sorted-algebra-calculation* = *sorted-algebra-const* +
constrains $A :: 'a \rightarrow 's$ **and** $I :: 'f \Rightarrow -$ **and** $\eta :: -$
begin

To avoid complication in types, this TRS contains ground rules whose type of variables is *unit*.

definition *calculation-trs* :: $('f, \text{unit}, 's)$ *axiom set* **where**

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{calculation-trs} \equiv \\ & \{(\emptyset. \text{Fun } f \text{ } l s \rightsquigarrow \eta (I f [I[l]] \text{undefined}. l \leftarrow l s)) \mid f \text{ } l s \sigma s \tau. \\ & f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \wedge \neg f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } C \wedge l s :_l \sigma s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C)\} \end{aligned}$$

A root step of the calculation TRS is polymorphic, as expected:

lemma *calculation-rootstepp-iff*:

$$\begin{aligned} & s - F':V:\text{calculation-trs} \rightarrow^\varepsilon t \iff (\exists f \text{ } l s \sigma s \tau. \\ & f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \wedge \neg f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } C \wedge l s :_l \sigma s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \wedge \\ & s = \text{Fun } f \text{ } l s \wedge t = \text{const.term-of } (I[s]\alpha)) \text{ (is } ?l \iff ?r) \\ & \langle \text{proof} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

sublocale *calculation-trs*: *sorted-trs* F *calculation-trs*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *lctrs-semantic* = *lctrs-semantic-syntax* +
lctrs + *sorted-algebra-calculation*
begin

sublocale *quasi-truth-algebra* *F A I* (=) \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *as-trs: sorted-trs* *F' as-trs*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *lctrs-rootstepp-iff*: $s -F':V:as-trs \rightarrow^\varepsilon t \longleftrightarrow$ (
 $\exists (X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \in R. \exists \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F', V).$
 $\vartheta :_s X \mid \text{vars } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \wedge (A:I \models \emptyset. \varphi \cdot \vartheta) \wedge s = l \cdot \vartheta \wedge t = r \cdot \vartheta)$
(**is** ?*L* \longleftrightarrow ?*R*)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *lctrs-rootsteppI*: $(X. l \rightsquigarrow r \mid \varphi) \in R \implies \vartheta :_s X \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(F', V) \implies$
 $\vartheta :_s X \mid \text{vars } \varphi \rightarrow \mathcal{T}(C, \emptyset) \implies (A:I \models \emptyset. \varphi \cdot \vartheta) \implies s = l \cdot \vartheta \implies t = r \cdot \vartheta \implies$
 $s -F':V:as-trs \rightarrow^\varepsilon t$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

end

13.1 Sorted Injections

theory *Sorted-Injections*
imports *Sorted-Terms-More*
begin

One can define the image of an algebra with respect to an injection.

definition *image-intp* $\eta A I f as \equiv \eta (I f (map (inv-into (dom A) \eta) as))$

lemma *image-intp-Nil[simp]*:
 $image-intp \eta A I g [] = \eta (I g [])$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

locale *sorted-injection* =
fixes ηA
assumes *inj-on*: *inj-on* $\eta (dom A)$
begin

lemma *inv-app[simp]*:
shows $a : \sigma \text{ in } A \implies inv-into (dom A) \eta (\eta a) = a$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *map-inv-app*: $as : \iota \ \sigma s \text{ in } A \implies \text{map } (\text{inv-into } (\text{dom } A) \ \eta \circ \eta) \ as = as$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in-dom-inj*: $a \in \text{dom } A \implies a' \in \text{dom } A \implies \eta \ a = \eta \ a' \longleftrightarrow a = a'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hastype-inj*: $a : \sigma \text{ in } A \implies a' : \sigma' \text{ in } A \implies \eta \ a = \eta \ a' \longleftrightarrow a = a'$
 ⟨proof⟩

sublocale *sort-preserving* η
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *image-intp-map*:
 assumes $as : \iota \ \sigma s \text{ in } A$ shows $\text{image-intp } \eta \ A \ I \ g \ (\text{map } \eta \ as) = \eta \ (I \ g \ as)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted-injection-subset*:
 assumes $A' \subseteq_m A$
 shows $\text{sorted-injection } \eta \ A'$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *sorted-injection-cong*:
 $(\bigwedge a. a \in \text{dom } A \implies f \ a = f' \ a) \implies \text{sorted-injection } f \ A = \text{sorted-injection } f' \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sorted-injection-o*:
 assumes $\text{sorted-injection } f \ A$ and $\text{sorted-injection } g \ (f \ ^s \ A)$
 shows $\text{sorted-injection } (g \circ f) \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *unsorted-injection[simp]*: $\text{sorted-injection } f \ (\text{unsorted } A) \longleftrightarrow \text{inj-on } f \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *Inl*: $\text{sorted-injection } \text{Inl}$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *Inr*: $\text{sorted-injection } \text{Inr}$
 ⟨proof⟩

One can define the image of an algebra with respect to an injection.

locale *sorted-algebra-injection = source*: $\text{sorted-algebra} + \text{sorted-injection}$
begin

sublocale *sorted-distributive* $F \ \eta \ A \ I \ \langle \text{image-intp } \eta \ A \ I \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas $\text{image-sorted-algebra} = \text{image.sorted-algebra-axioms}$

Therefore, one can embed an algebra in a larger carrier if the relevant sorts are preserved.

lemma *embed-sorted-algebra*:

assumes $eq: B \uparrow^r \text{ sorts-ssig } F = \eta \text{ }^s A \uparrow^r \text{ sorts-ssig } F$

shows *sorted-algebra* $F B$ (*image-intp* $\eta A I$)

<proof>

end

end

14 Extension of Algebras

theory *Algebra-Extensions*

imports *Sorted-Injections*

begin

lemma *all-set-isl*: $(\forall a \in \text{set } as. \text{isl } a) \longleftrightarrow (\exists ls. as = \text{map } \text{Inl } ls)$

<proof>

lemma *not-isl*: $\neg \text{isl } x \longleftrightarrow (\exists a. x = \text{Inr } a)$

<proof>

lemma *all-set-not-isl*: $(\forall a \in \text{set } as. \neg \text{isl } a) \longleftrightarrow (\exists ls. as = \text{map } \text{Inr } ls)$

<proof>

lemma *map-Inl-eq-map-Inr*: $\text{map } \text{Inl } xs = \text{map } \text{Inr } ys \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \wedge ys = []$

<proof>

lemma *map-Inr-eq-map-Inl*: $\text{map } \text{Inr } ys = \text{map } \text{Inl } xs \longleftrightarrow xs = [] \wedge ys = []$

<proof>

lemmas $\text{islE} = \text{isl-def}[\text{THEN } \text{iffD1}, \text{THEN } \text{exE}]$

lemma *map-le-map-add2*:

assumes $\text{dom } F \cap \text{dom } G = \{\}$ **shows** $F \subseteq_m F ++ G$

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-add*:

$a : \sigma \text{ in } A ++ B \longleftrightarrow a : \sigma \text{ in } B \vee a \notin \text{dom } B \wedge a : \sigma \text{ in } A$

<proof>

lemma *fun-hastype-in-add*:

$f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F ++ G \longleftrightarrow f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } G \vee (f, \sigma s) \notin \text{dom } G \wedge f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$

<proof>

lemma *fun-hastype-in-add-disj*:

assumes $\text{dom } F \cap \text{dom } G = \{\}$
shows $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \text{ ++ } G \longleftrightarrow f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } G \vee f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hastype-in-imageD*:
assumes $fa : \sigma \text{ in } f \text{ }^{\text{cs}} A$
shows $\exists a : \sigma \text{ in } A. fa = f a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hastype-list-in-imageD*:
assumes $fas :_{\text{l}} \sigma s \text{ in } f \text{ }^{\text{cs}} A$
shows $\exists as. as :_{\text{l}} \sigma s \text{ in } A \wedge fas = \text{map } f as$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *hastype-list-in-imageE* =
hastype-list-in-imageD[*THEN* *exE*, *unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp*]

lemma *all-set-hastype-in-imageD*:
assumes $\forall fa \in \text{set } fas. fa : \sigma \text{ in } f \text{ }^{\text{cs}} A$
shows $\exists as. (\forall a \in \text{set } as. a : \sigma \text{ in } A) \wedge fas = \text{map } f as$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *all-set-hastype-in-imageE* =
all-set-hastype-in-imageD[*THEN* *exE*, *unfolded conj-imp-eq-imp-imp*]

14.1 Disjoint Sum of Sorted Sets

The disjoint sum of two sorted sets A and B are just *case-sum* $A B$.

lemma *Inl-hastype[simp]*: $\text{Inl } a : \sigma \text{ in case-sum } A B \longleftrightarrow a : \sigma \text{ in } A$
and *Inr-hastype[simp]*: $\text{Inr } b : \sigma \text{ in case-sum } A B \longleftrightarrow b : \sigma \text{ in } B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hastype-in-case-sum*: $x : \sigma \text{ in case-sum } A B \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists a. x = \text{Inl } a \wedge a : \sigma \text{ in } A) \vee (\exists b. x = \text{Inr } b \wedge b : \sigma \text{ in } B)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

14.2 Extending Signature and Interpretations

We merge an F -interpretation I with a G -interpretation J into an $(F + G)$ -interpretation. To this end, we test if I is applicable to given function symbol and arguments, in which case apply I and otherwise apply J .

definition *interpretable* $F A f as \equiv$
case those ($\text{map } A as$) of *Some* $\sigma s \Rightarrow (f, \sigma s) \in \text{dom } F \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \text{False}$

lemma *interpretableI*:
assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$ **and** $as :_{\text{l}} \sigma s \text{ in } A$ **shows** *interpretable* $F A f as$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *interpretableE*:

assumes *interpretable F A f as*

and $\bigwedge \sigma s \tau. f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F \implies as :_l \sigma s \text{ in } A \implies thesis$

shows *thesis*

<proof>

lemma *interpretable-iff*:

assumes $as :_l \sigma s \text{ in } A$

shows $interpretable F A f as \longleftrightarrow (f, \sigma s) \in dom F$

<proof>

definition *extend-intp F A I J f as* \equiv

if interpretable F A f as then I f as else J f as

lemma *extend-intp1*:

assumes $\neg interpretable F A f as$

shows $extend-intp F A I J f as = J f as$

<proof>

lemma *extend-intp2*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$ **and** $as :_l \sigma s \text{ in } A$

shows $extend-intp F A I J f as = I f as$

<proof>

lemma *interpretable-sorts-ssig*: $interpretable F (A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F) = interpretable F A$

<proof>

lemma *extend-intp-sorts-ssig*: $extend-intp F (A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F) = extend-intp F A$

<proof>

lemma *extend-intp-cong*: $F = F' \implies A \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F' = A' \uparrow^r \text{sorts-ssig } F' \implies extend-intp F A = extend-intp F' A'$

<proof>

definition *extend-image-intp η F A I* $\equiv extend-intp F (\eta^{as} A)$ (*image-intp η A I*)

lemma *extend-image-intp1*:

assumes $\neg interpretable F (\eta^{as} A) f as$

shows $extend-image-intp \eta F A I J f as = J f as$

<proof>

lemma(*in sorted-injection*) *extend-image-intp2*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } F$ **and** $as :_l \sigma s \text{ in } A$

shows $extend-image-intp \eta F A I J f (map \eta as) = \eta (I f as)$

<proof>

context *sorted-algebra* **begin**

lemma *extend-intp-eval1*:

assumes *disj*: $\text{dom } F \cap \text{dom } G = \{\}$
and $s : s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\alpha : \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$
shows $\text{extend-intp } G \ A \ J \ I \llbracket s \rrbracket \alpha = I \llbracket s \rrbracket \alpha$
<proof>

lemma *extend-intp-eval2*:

assumes $s : s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$
and $\alpha : \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$
shows $\text{extend-intp } F \ A \ I \ J \llbracket s \rrbracket \alpha = I \llbracket s \rrbracket \alpha$
<proof>

One can extend the signature by merging interpretations.

lemma *extend-sorted-algebra*:

assumes GAJ : *sorted-algebra* $G \ A \ J$
shows *sorted-algebra* $(G++F) \ A \ (\text{extend-intp } F \ A \ I \ J)$
<proof>

end

context *sorted-algebra-injection* **begin**

lemma *extend-image-intp-eval2*:

assumes $s : s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(F, V)$ **and** $\alpha : \alpha :_s V \rightarrow A$
shows $\text{extend-image-intp } \eta \ F \ A \ I \ J \llbracket s \rrbracket (\eta \circ \alpha) = \eta \ (I \llbracket s \rrbracket \alpha)$
<proof>

In combination, one can extend algebra after injecting over a larger carrier.

lemma *extend-image-sorted-algebra*:

assumes GBJ : *sorted-algebra* $G \ B \ J$
and BA : $B \vdash^r \text{sorts-ssig } F = \eta \text{ } ^{as} \ A \ \vdash^r \text{sorts-ssig } F$
shows *sorted-algebra* $(G++F) \ B \ (\text{extend-image-intp } \eta \ F \ A \ I \ J)$
<proof>

end

end

15 Extending Algebra into Logic

theory *Logic-Extensions*

imports *Logic Algebra-Extensions*

begin

Let us define the image under f of a relation R by $\{(f \ x, f \ y) \mid (x, y) \in R\}$.

definition $image-rel\ f\ R \equiv map-prod\ f\ f\ 'R$

definition $image-relp\ f\ r\ a\ b \equiv \exists x\ y. r\ x\ y \wedge a = f\ x \wedge b = f\ y$

lemma $image-rel: (a,b) \in image-rel\ f\ R \longleftrightarrow (\exists (x,y) \in R. a = f\ x \wedge b = f\ y)$
<proof>

lemma $image-relp: image-relp\ f\ r\ a\ b \longleftrightarrow (\exists x\ y. r\ x\ y \wedge a = f\ x \wedge b = f\ y)$
<proof>

lemma $image-relp-eq-range: image-relp\ f\ (=) = (=) \upharpoonright range\ f$
<proof>

lemma $in-rel-image: in-rel\ (image-rel\ f\ R) = image-relp\ f\ (in-rel\ R)$
<proof>

context *quasi-logic* **begin**

The injective image of a quasi logic is a quasi logic with respect to the image relation.

lemma *image-quasi-logic:*

assumes $inj-on\ \eta\ (dom\ A)$

shows $quasi-logic\ F\ (\eta\ ^{as}\ A)\ (image-intp\ \eta\ A\ I)\ (image-relp\ \eta\ ((\sim) \upharpoonright dom\ A))$
 $boolS\ conjF\ disjF\ negF$

<proof>

One can extend the carrier of logic if relevant sorts are preserved.

lemma *quasi-logic-carrier:*

assumes $B \upharpoonright^r\ sorts-ssig\ F = A \upharpoonright^r\ sorts-ssig\ F$

shows $quasi-logic\ F\ B\ I\ (\sim)\ boolS\ conjF\ disjF\ negF$

<proof>

Therefore, one can embed logic by injection.

lemma *embed-quasi-logic:*

assumes $\eta: inj-on\ \eta\ (dom\ A)$

and $BA: B \upharpoonright^r\ sorts-ssig\ F = \eta\ ^{as}\ A \upharpoonright^r\ sorts-ssig\ F$

shows $quasi-logic\ F\ B\ (image-intp\ \eta\ A\ I)\ (image-relp\ \eta\ ((\sim) \upharpoonright dom\ A))\ boolS$
 $conjF\ disjF\ negF$

<proof>

One can extend the signature and interpretation just as algebras.

lemma $extend-true[simp]: extend-intp\ F\ A\ I\ J\ conjF\ [] = true$
<proof>

lemma $extend-false[simp]: extend-intp\ F\ A\ I\ J\ disjF\ [] = false$
<proof>

lemma $extend-image-intp-true[simp]: extend-image-intp\ f\ F\ A\ I\ J\ conjF\ [] = f\ true$

<proof>

lemma *extend-image-intp-false*[simp]: *extend-image-intp f F A I J disjF [] = f false*
<proof>

lemma *extend-quasi-logic*:

assumes *J: sorted-algebra G A J*

shows *quasi-logic (G++F) A (extend-intp F A I J) (~) boolS conjF disjF negF*
<proof>

Finally, one can extend the signature, interpretation and carrier after embedding.

lemma *extend-image-quasi-logic*:

assumes *J: sorted-algebra G B J*

and *η: inj-on η (dom A)*

and *BA: B \uparrow^r sorts-ssig F = η ^{as} A \uparrow^r sorts-ssig F*

shows *quasi-logic (G++F) B (extend-image-intp η F A I J) (image-relp η ((~) \uparrow dom A)) boolS conjF disjF negF*
<proof>

end

context *logic begin*

lemmas *logic-carrier = quasi-logic-carrier*[folded *logic-def*]

lemmas *extend-logic = extend-quasi-logic*[folded *logic-def*]

lemma *image-logic*:

assumes *inj-on η (dom A)*

shows *logic F (η ^{as} A) (image-intp η A I) boolS conjF disjF negF*

<proof>

lemma *extend-image-logic*:

assumes *J: sorted-algebra G B J*

and *η: inj-on η (dom A)*

and *BA: B \uparrow^r sorts-ssig F = η ^{as} A \uparrow^r sorts-ssig F*

shows *logic (G++F) B (extend-image-intp η F A I J) boolS conjF disjF negF*

<proof>

end

end

16 Concrete Logics

16.1 Bool Logic

Here we define the core logic, that can be used to “logicalize” algebras. To be able to extend easily, we fix types of symbols to strings.

theory *Core-Logic*

imports *Logic-Extensions*

begin

definition *bool-s* (*bool_s*) **where** *bool_s* \equiv "Bool"

definition *conj-f* (*conj_f*) **where** *conj_f* \equiv "and"

definition *disj-f* (*disj_f*) **where** *disj_f* \equiv "or"

definition *neg-f* (*neg_f*) **where** *neg_f* \equiv "not"

interpretation *Bool*: *logic-signature-syntax bool_s conj_f disj_f neg_f*⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *Bool*: *truth-syntax conj_f*⟨*proof*⟩

notation *Bool.trueT* (*True_t*)

interpretation *Bool*: *logic-symbols-syntax conj_f disj_f neg_f*⟨*proof*⟩

notation *Bool.falseT* (*False_t*)

interpretation *Bool*: *logic-signature bool_s conj_f disj_f neg_f*
⟨*proof*⟩

thm *Bool.sorts-ssig-F*

Any algebra over strings can be “logicalized”, if precisely truth values have bool sort.

abbreviation *logicalize-intp* \equiv *extend-image-intp Inl Bool.F Bool.A Bool.I*

thm *Bool.extend-image-logic*[*OF - inj-Inl*]

lemma *logicalize*:

assumes *FAI*: *sorted-algebra F A I* **and** *b*: $\{a. a : \text{bool}_s \text{ in } A\} = \text{range Inl}$

shows *logic* (*F++Bool.F*) *A* (*logicalize-intp I*) *bool_s conj_f disj_f neg_f*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

16.2 Natural Arithmetic

theory *Nats-Logic*

imports *Core-Logic*

begin

The natural number algebra consists of zero and the successor operator as

constructors, and some defined operators and relations.

definition *nat-s* (nat_s) **where** $nat_s \equiv "nat"$

definition *zero-f* (0_f) **where** $0_f \equiv "0"$

definition *Suc-f* (Suc_f) **where** $Suc_f \equiv "Suc"$

definition *add-f* ($+_f$) **where** $+_f \equiv "+"$

definition *mult-f* ($*_f$) **where** $*_f \equiv "*"$

definition *less-f* ($<_f$) **where** $<_f \equiv "<"$

lemma *bool-neq-nat[simp]*: $bool_s \neq nat_s$

<proof>

lemma *Nat-syms-neq[simp]*:

$0_f \neq Suc_f$ $0_f \neq +_f$ $0_f \neq *_f$ $0_f \neq <_f$ $0_f \neq conj_f$ $0_f \neq disj_f$ $0_f \neq neg_f$

$Suc_f \neq +_f$ $Suc_f \neq *_f$ $Suc_f \neq <_f$ $Suc_f \neq conj_f$ $Suc_f \neq disj_f$ $Suc_f \neq neg_f$

$+_f \neq <_f$ $+_f \neq *_f$ $+_f \neq conj_f$ $+_f \neq disj_f$ $+_f \neq neg_f$

$*_f \neq <_f$ $*_f \neq conj_f$ $*_f \neq disj_f$ $*_f \neq neg_f$

$<_f \neq conj_f$ $<_f \neq disj_f$ $<_f \neq neg_f$

<proof>

lemmas [*simp*] = *Nat-syms-neq[symmetric]*

abbreviation *zero-t* (0_t) **where** $0_t \equiv Fun\ 0_f\ []$

abbreviation *suc-t* (Suc_t) **where** $Suc_t\ s \equiv Fun\ Suc_f\ [s]$

abbreviation *add-t* (**infix** $+_t$ 70) **where** $s +_t t \equiv Fun\ +_f\ [s,t]$

abbreviation *mult-t* (**infix** $*_t$ 70) **where** $s *_t t \equiv Fun\ *_f\ [s,t]$

abbreviation *less-t* (**infix** $<_t$ 50) **where** $s <_t t \equiv Fun\ <_f\ [s,t]$

definition *NatC* $\equiv [(0_f, []) \mapsto nat_s, (Suc_f, [nat_s]) \mapsto nat_s]$

abbreviation *NatBoolC* $\equiv NatC\ ++\ Bool.C$

definition *NatD* $\equiv [(+_f, nat_s..) \mapsto nat_s, (*_f, nat_s..) \mapsto nat_s, (<_f, [nat_s, nat_s]) \mapsto bool_s]$

abbreviation *NatF* $\equiv NatC\ ++\ NatD$

abbreviation *NatBoolF* $\equiv NatF\ ++\ Bool.F$

lemma *hastype-in-NatC*: $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *NatC* \longleftrightarrow

$f = 0_f \wedge \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = nat_s \vee$

$f = Suc_f \wedge \sigma s = [nat_s] \wedge \tau = nat_s$ *<proof>*

lemma *hastype-in-NatC-E*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *NatC*

and $f = 0_f \implies \sigma s = [] \implies \tau = nat_s \implies thesis$

and $f = Suc_f \implies \sigma s = [nat_s] \implies \tau = nat_s \implies thesis$

shows *thesis*

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-NatC-simps*[simp]:

$$0_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatC} \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$$\text{Suc}_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatC} \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [\text{nat}_s] \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

<proof>

lemma *Fun-hastype-in-NatC*:

$$\text{shows } [\text{simp}]: \text{Fun } 0_f \text{ } ss : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{NatC}, V) \longleftrightarrow ss = [] \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$$\text{and } \text{Fun } \text{Suc}_f \text{ } ss : \tau \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{NatC}, V) \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\tau = \text{nat}_s \wedge (\exists s. ss = [s] \wedge s : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{NatC}, V))$$

<proof>

lemma *Suc-t-hastype-in-NatC*[simp]:

$$\text{Suc}_t \text{ } s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{NatC}, V) \longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{nat}_s \wedge s : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{NatC}, V)$$

<proof>

lemma *dom-NatC*[simp]: $\text{dom } \text{NatC} = \{(0_f, []), (\text{Suc}_f, [\text{nat}_s])\}$

<proof>

lemma *NatC-le-extended*: $\text{NatC} \subseteq_m \text{NatC} ++ \text{Bool.C}$

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-NatD*: $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatD} \longleftrightarrow$

$$(f = +_f \vee f = *_f) \wedge (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{nat}_s) \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s \vee$$

$$f = <_f \wedge \sigma s = [\text{nat}_s, \text{nat}_s] \wedge \tau = \text{bool}_s$$

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-NatD-E*:

assumes $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatD}$

$$\text{and } \bigwedge n. f = +_f \implies \sigma s = \text{replicate } n \text{ } \text{nat}_s \implies \tau = \text{nat}_s \implies \text{thesis}$$

$$\text{and } \bigwedge n. f = *_f \implies \sigma s = \text{replicate } n \text{ } \text{nat}_s \implies \tau = \text{nat}_s \implies \text{thesis}$$

$$\text{and } \bigwedge n. f = <_f \implies \sigma s = [\text{nat}_s, \text{nat}_s] \implies \tau = \text{bool}_s \implies \text{thesis}$$

shows *thesis*

<proof>

lemma *hastype-in-NatD-simps*[simp]:

$$+_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatD} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{nat}_s) \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$$*_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatD} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{nat}_s) \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$$<_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatD} \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [\text{nat}_s, \text{nat}_s] \wedge \tau = \text{bool}_s$$

<proof>

lemma *NatC-NatD*: $\text{dom } \text{NatC} \cap \text{dom } \text{NatD} = \{\}$

<proof>

lemmas *hastype-in-NatF = fun-hastype-in-add-disj*[OF *NatC-NatD*]

lemma *hastype-in-NatF-simps*[simp]:

$$0_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatF} \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$$\text{Suc}_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatF} \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [\text{nat}_s] \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$$+_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{NatF} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{nat}_s) \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$$

$*_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{Nat}F \iff (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{nat}_s) \wedge \tau = \text{nat}_s$
 $<_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau \text{ in } \text{Nat}F \iff \sigma s = [\text{nat}_s, \text{nat}_s] \wedge \tau = \text{bool}_s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zero-t-hastype-in-NatF[simp]*: $0_t : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V) \iff \sigma = \text{nat}_s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Suc-t-hastype-in-NatF[simp]*:
 $\text{Suc}_t s : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V) \iff \sigma = \text{nat}_s \wedge s : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less-t-hastype-in-NatF[simp]*:
 $(s <_t t) : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V) \iff$
 $\sigma = \text{bool}_s \wedge s : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V) \wedge t : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Fun-add-hastype-in-NatF[simp]*:
 $\text{Fun } +_f ss : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V) \iff$
 $\sigma = \text{nat}_s \wedge (\forall s \in \text{set } ss. s : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Fun-mult-hastype-in-NatF[simp]*:
 $\text{Fun } *_f ss : \sigma \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V) \iff$
 $\sigma = \text{nat}_s \wedge (\forall s \in \text{set } ss. s : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}F, V))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *NatC-BoolC*: $\text{dom } \text{Nat}C \cap \text{dom } \text{Bool}.C = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *hastype-in-NatBoolC = fun-hastype-in-add-disj[OF NatC-BoolC]*

lemma *NatF-BoolF*: $\text{dom } \text{Nat}F \cap \text{dom } \text{Bool}.F = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *hastype-in-NatBoolF = fun-hastype-in-add-disj[OF NatF-BoolF]*

fun *const-of-nat* **where**
 $\text{const-of-nat } 0 = 0_t$
 $| \text{const-of-nat } (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc}_t (\text{const-of-nat } n)$

lemma *const-of-nat-hastype*: $\text{const-of-nat } n : \text{nat}_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(\text{Nat}C, V)$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *NatBool-const* $\equiv \text{case-sum } \text{Bool}. \text{const-of-bool } \text{const-of-nat}$

definition *NatA* $(a::\text{nat}) \equiv \text{Some } \text{nat}_s$

abbreviation *NatBoolA* $\equiv \text{case-sum } \text{Bool}.A \text{NatA}$

lemma *hastype-in-NatA[simp]*: $a : s \text{ in } \text{NatA} \longleftrightarrow s = \text{nat}_s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hastype-in-NatBoolA*:
 $a : \sigma \text{ in } \text{NatBoolA} \longleftrightarrow (\sigma = \text{bool}_s \wedge a \in \text{range Inl} \vee \sigma = \text{nat}_s \wedge a \in \text{range Inr})$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *NatI f as* \equiv
 if $f = \text{Suc}_f$ then $\text{Inr} (\text{Suc} (\text{projr} (as!0)))$
 else if $f = +_f$ then $\text{Inr} (\sum a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$
 else if $f = *_f$ then $\text{Inr} (\prod a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$
 else if $f = <_f$ then $\text{Inl} (\text{projr} (as!0) < \text{projr} (as!1))$
 else $\text{Inr } 0$

abbreviation *NatBoolI* $\equiv \text{logicalize-intp } \text{NatI}$

lemma *NatI-simps*:
 $\text{NatI } 0_f \text{ as} = \text{Inr } 0$
 $\text{NatI } \text{Suc}_f \text{ as} = \text{Inr} (\text{Suc} (\text{projr} (as!0)))$
 $\text{NatI } +_f \text{ as} = \text{Inr} (\sum a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$
 $\text{NatI } *_f \text{ as} = \text{Inr} (\prod a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$
 $\text{NatI } <_f \text{ as} = \text{Inl} (\text{projr} (as!0) < \text{projr} (as!1))$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *Nat*: *sorted-algebra* *NatF* *NatBoolA* *NatI*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *Nat-const*: *sorted-algebra* *NatC* *NatBoolA* *NatI*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Nat-eval-const-of-nat[simp]*: $\text{NatI} [\text{const-of-nat } n] \alpha = \text{Inr } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *logicalize-intp-Nat[simp]*:
 $\text{logicalize-intp } I \ 0_f \text{ as} = I \ 0_f \text{ as}$
 $\text{logicalize-intp } I \ \text{Suc}_f \text{ as} = I \ \text{Suc}_f \text{ as}$
 $\text{logicalize-intp } I \ +_f \text{ as} = I \ +_f \text{ as}$
 $\text{logicalize-intp } I \ *_f \text{ as} = I \ *_f \text{ as}$
 $\text{logicalize-intp } I \ <_f \text{ as} = I \ <_f \text{ as}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *NatBool-eval-const-of-nat*: $\text{NatBoolI} [\text{const-of-nat } b] \alpha = \text{Inr } b$ ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *NatBool*: *logic* *NatBoolF* *NatBoolA* *NatBoolI* *bool_s* *conj_f* *disj_f* *neg_f*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *NatBool*: *logic-const* *NatBoolF* *NatBoolA* *NatBoolI* *NatBool-const*

```

NatBoolC
  bools conjf disjf negf
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

16.3 Integer Arithmetic

```

theory Ints-Logic
  imports Nats-Logic Show.Number-Parser
begin

```

For integer arithmetic, we allow string representations of integers to be constants, i.e., those strings that can be parsed as integers.

```

lemma isl-int-of-string-imp-show-neq:
  assumes isl (int-of-string s) shows show (i::int) ≠ s
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition int-s (ints) where ints ≡ "Int"

```

```

lemma bool-neq-int[simp]: bools ≠ ints
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

declare bool-neq-int[symmetric,simp]

```

```

lemma isl-int-of-string-less: isl (int-of-string <f)
  and isl-int-of-string-add: isl (int-of-string +f)
  and isl-int-of-string-mult: isl (int-of-string *f)
  and isl-int-of-string-conj: isl (int-of-string conjf)
  and isl-int-of-string-disj: isl (int-of-string disjf)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma show-int-neq-less[simp]: show (i::int) ≠ <f
  and show-int-neq-add[simp]: show (i::int) ≠ +f
  and show-int-neq-mult[simp]: show (i::int) ≠ *f
  and show-int-neq-conj[simp]: show (i::int) ≠ conjf
  and show-int-neq-disj[simp]: show (i::int) ≠ disjf
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition IntC ≡ λ(s,σs).
  if σs = [] ∧ int-of-string s ∈ range Inr then Some ints else None

```

```

abbreviation IntBoolC ≡ IntC ++ Bool.C

```

```

definition IntD ≡ [(+f,ints..) ↦ ints, (*f,ints..) ↦ ints, (<f,[ints,ints]) ↦ bools]

```

```

abbreviation IntF ≡ IntC ++ IntD

```

```

abbreviation IntBoolF ≡ IntF ++ Bool.F

```

lemma *hastype-in-IntC*:

$s : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *IntC* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = \text{int}_s \wedge \text{int-of-string } s \in \text{range } \text{Inr}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *IntC-BoolC*: $\text{dom } \text{IntC} \cap \text{dom } \text{Bool.C} = \{\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *hastype-in-IntBoolC* = *fun-hastype-in-add-disj*[*OF IntC-BoolC*]

lemma *hastype-in-IntD*: $f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *IntD* \longleftrightarrow

$(f = +_f \vee f = *_f) \wedge (\forall \sigma \in \text{set } \sigma s. \sigma = \text{int}_s) \wedge \tau = \text{int}_s \vee$
 $f = <_f \wedge \sigma s = [\text{int}_s, \text{int}_s] \wedge \tau = \text{bool}_s$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *IntC-IntD*: $\text{dom } \text{IntC} \cap \text{dom } \text{IntD} = \{\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *hastype-in-IntF* = *fun-hastype-in-add-disj*[*OF IntC-IntD*]

lemma *IntF-BoolF*: $\text{dom } \text{IntF} \cap \text{dom } \text{Bool.F} = \{\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemmas *hastype-in-IntBoolF* = *fun-hastype-in-add-disj*[*OF IntF-BoolF*]

definition *IntA* ($i :: \text{int}$) $\equiv \text{Some } \text{int}_s$

abbreviation *IntBoolA* $\equiv \text{case-sum } \text{Bool.A } \text{IntA}$

lemma *hastype-in-IntA*[*simp*]: $a : \sigma$ in *IntA* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma = \text{int}_s$

⟨proof⟩

definition *IntI* $:: \text{string} \Rightarrow (\text{bool} + \text{int}) \text{ list} \Rightarrow \text{bool} + \text{int}$ **where** *IntI* f $as \equiv$

if $f = +_f$ *then* $\text{Inr } (\sum a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$
else if $f = *_f$ *then* $\text{Inr } (\prod a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$
else if $f = <_f$ *then* $\text{Inl } (\text{projr } (as!0) < \text{projr } (as!1))$
else case *int-of-string* f *of* $\text{Inr } i \Rightarrow \text{Inr } i$

abbreviation *IntBoolI* $\equiv \text{logicalize-intp } \text{IntI}$

lemma *IntI-simps*:

int-of-string $s = \text{Inr } i \implies \text{IntI } s \text{ as} = \text{Inr } i$

$\text{IntI } +_f \text{ as} = \text{Inr } (\sum a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$

$\text{IntI } *_f \text{ as} = \text{Inr } (\prod a \leftarrow as. \text{projr } a)$

$\text{IntI } <_f \text{ as} = \text{Inl } (\text{projr } (as!0) < \text{projr } (as!1))$

⟨proof⟩

interpretation *Int*: *sorted-algebra* *IntF* *IntBoolA* *IntI*

⟨proof⟩

interpretation *Int.const*: sorted-algebra *IntC IntBoolA IntI*
⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *const-of-int i* \equiv *Fun (show i)* []

abbreviation *IntBool-const* \equiv case-sum *Bool.const-of-bool const-of-int*

lemma *IntBoolI-int-of-string*:
assumes *int-of-string f = Inr i*
shows *IntBoolI f* [] = *Inr i*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *IntBoolI-show[simp]*:
IntBoolI (show i) [] = *Inr i*
⟨proof⟩

interpretation *IntBool*: logic *IntBoolF IntBoolA IntBoolI bool_s conj_f disj_f neg_f*
⟨proof⟩

interpretation *IntBool*:
logic-const *IntBoolF IntBoolA IntBoolI IntBool-const IntBoolC bool_s conj_f disj_f neg_f*
⟨proof⟩

end

17 Examples

theory *Test-Sorted-Rewriting*
imports *Conditional-Rewriting Constrained-Rewriting Ints-Logic*
begin

definition *min-f (min_f)* where *min_f* \equiv "min"

definition *even-f (even_f)* where *even_f* \equiv "even"

lemma *syms-neq[simp]*: *0_f \neq min_f 0_f \neq even_f*
Suc_f \neq min_f Suc_f \neq even_f
+_f \neq min_f +_f \neq even_f
**_f \neq min_f *_f \neq even_f*
<_f \neq min_f <_f \neq even_f
min_f \neq even_f min_f \neq conj_f min_f \neq disj_f min_f \neq neg_f
even_f \neq conj_f even_f \neq disj_f even_f \neq neg_f
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [simp] = *syms-neq[symmetric]*

17.1 Less-Than TRS

lemma

$(s +_t t) : nat_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V) \longleftrightarrow$
 $s : nat_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V) \wedge t : nat_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V)$
 $(s <_t t) : bool_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V) \longleftrightarrow$
 $s : nat_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V) \wedge t : nat_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V)$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation $lt0 \equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s]. (Var\ x'' <_t 0_t) \rightsquigarrow False_t$

abbreviation $ltSuc \equiv [y'' \mapsto nat_s]. (0_t <_t Suc_t (Var\ y'')) \rightsquigarrow True_t$

abbreviation $ltSucSuc \equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s, y'' \mapsto nat_s].$
 $(Suc_t (Var\ x'') <_t Suc_t (Var\ y'')) \rightsquigarrow (Var\ x'' <_t Var\ y'')$

definition $Rlt (\mathcal{R}_<)$ **where** $\mathcal{R}_< \equiv \{lt0, ltSuc, ltSucSuc\}$

lemma Rlt : $lt0 \in \mathcal{R}_< \quad ltSuc \in \mathcal{R}_< \quad ltSucSuc \in \mathcal{R}_<$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation Rlt : *sorted-trs* $NatBoolF \ \mathcal{R}_<$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $(0_t <_t 0_t) - NatBoolF: V: \mathcal{R}_< \rightarrow^\varepsilon False_t$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $(0_t <_t Suc_t 0_t) - NatBoolF: V: \mathcal{R}_< \rightarrow^\varepsilon True_t$
 ⟨proof⟩

17.2 Addition TRS

abbreviation $add0 \equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s]. 0_t +_t Var\ x'' \rightsquigarrow Var\ x''$

abbreviation $addSuc \equiv$
 $[x'' \mapsto nat_s, y'' \mapsto nat_s].$
 $Suc_t (Var\ x'') +_t Var\ y'' \rightsquigarrow Suc_t (Var\ x'' +_t Var\ y'')$

definition $Radd (\mathcal{R}_+)$ **where** $\mathcal{R}_+ \equiv \{add0, addSuc\}$

lemma $Radd$: $add0 \in \mathcal{R}_+ \quad addSuc \in \mathcal{R}_+$
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation $Radd$: *sorted-trs* $NatF \ \mathcal{R}_+$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $Suc0-add$:

assumes $s : s : nat_s \text{ in } \mathcal{T}(NatF, V)$
shows $Suc_t 0_t +_t s - NatF: V: \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow^{\hat{2}} Suc_t s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SucSuc0-add*:

assumes $s : nat_s$ in $\mathcal{T}(NatF, V)$

shows $Suc_t (Suc_t 0_t) +_t s - NatF : V : \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \hat{\sim} 3 Suc_t (Suc_t s)$

<proof>

17.3 Even TRS

definition *Feven* \equiv [

$(conj_f, []) \mapsto bool_s,$

$(disj_f, []) \mapsto bool_s,$

$(0_f, []) \mapsto nat_s,$

$(Suc_f, [nat_s]) \mapsto nat_s,$

$(even_f, [nat_s]) \mapsto bool_s]$

lemma *Feven[simp]*:

$conj_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *Feven* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = bool_s$

$disj_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *Feven* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = bool_s$

$0_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *Feven* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [] \wedge \tau = nat_s$

$Suc_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *Feven* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [nat_s] \wedge \tau = nat_s$

$even_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in *Feven* $\longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [nat_s] \wedge \tau = bool_s$

<proof>

abbreviation *even-t* ($even_t$) **where** $even_t s \equiv Fun\ even_f [s]$

abbreviation *axiom-even0* $\equiv \emptyset. even_t 0_t \rightsquigarrow True_t$

abbreviation *axiom-even1* $\equiv \emptyset. even_t (Suc_t 0_t) \rightsquigarrow False_t$

abbreviation *axiom-evenSucSuc* $\equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s].$

$even_t (Suc_t (Suc_t (Var\ ''x''))) \rightsquigarrow even_t (Var\ ''x'')$

definition *Reven* $\equiv \{axiom-even0, axiom-even1, axiom-evenSucSuc\}$

lemma *Reven*: $axiom-even0 \in Reven\ axiom-even1 \in Reven\ axiom-evenSucSuc \in Reven$

<proof>

lemma *evenSucSucSuc*: $even_t (Suc_t (Suc_t (Suc_t 0_t))) - Feven : V : Reven \rightarrow \hat{\sim} 2 False_t$

<proof>

17.4 Even CTRS

abbreviation *rule-evenSucFalse* $\equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s].$

$even_t (Suc_t (Var\ ''x'')) \rightsquigarrow False_t \Leftarrow [even_t (Var\ ''x'') \rightsquigarrow True_t]$

abbreviation *rule-evenSucTrue* $\equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s].$

$even_t (Suc_t (Var\ ''x'')) \rightsquigarrow True_t \Leftarrow [even_t (Var\ ''x'') \rightsquigarrow False_t]$

definition $RevenC \equiv \{unconditional\ axiom\ even0, rule\ evenSucFalse, rule\ evenSucTrue\}$

lemma $RevenC$:

$unconditional\ axiom\ even0 \in RevenC$

$rule\ evenSucFalse \in RevenC$

$rule\ evenSucTrue \in RevenC$

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $RevenC$: $sorted\ ctrs\ Feven\ RevenC$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $event_t (Suc_t (Suc_t (Suc_t 0_t))) = Feven: V: RevenC \Rightarrow^\varepsilon False_t$

$\langle proof \rangle$

17.5 LCTRS

definition $Fmin \equiv NatBoolF ++ [(min_f, [nat_s, nat_s]) \mapsto nat_s]$

lemma $Fmin\ disj$: $dom (NatF ++ Bool.F) \cap dom [(min_f, [nat_s, nat_s]) \mapsto nat_s] = \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $hastype\ in\ Fmin = fun\ hastype\ in\ add\ disj [OF\ Fmin\ disj, folded\ Fmin\ def]$

lemma $min\ hastype\ in\ Fmin [simp]$: $min_f : \sigma s \rightarrow \tau$ in $Fmin \longleftrightarrow \sigma s = [nat_s, nat_s]$

$\wedge \tau = nat_s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $lcrule\ min1 \equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s, y'' \mapsto nat_s]$.

$Fun\ min_f [Var\ x'', Var\ y''] \rightsquigarrow Var\ x'' \mid Var\ x'' <_t Var\ y''$

abbreviation $lcrule\ min2 \equiv [x'' \mapsto nat_s, y'' \mapsto nat_s]$.

$Fun\ min_f [Var\ x'', Var\ y''] \rightsquigarrow Var\ y'' \mid Var\ y'' <_t Var\ x''$

definition $lctrs\ min \equiv \{lcrule\ min1, lcrule\ min2\}$

lemma $lctrs\ min$: $lcrule\ min1 \in lctrs\ min$ $lcrule\ min2 \in lctrs\ min$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Deriving calculation TRS.

interpretation $NatBool$: $sorted\ algebra\ calculation\ NatBoolF\ NatBoolA\ NatBoolI\ NatBool\ const\ NatBoolC \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $Fmin$: $lcrule\ syntax\ Fmin\ NatBoolF\ bool_s \langle proof \rangle$

interpretation $lctrs\ min$: $lctrs\ semantics\ Fmin\ lctrs\ min\ NatBoolF\ NatBoolA\ NatBoolI\ NatBool\ const\ NatBoolC\ bool_s\ conj_f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $\text{Fun } \text{min}_f \text{ [Suc}_t \ 0_t, \ 0_t] - \text{Fmin}: V:\text{ltrs-min.as-trs} \rightarrow 0_t$
<proof>

Demonstrating a calculation step:

lemma $\text{Suc}_t \ 0_t +_t \ 0_t - \text{Fmin}: V:\text{NatBool.calculation-trs} \rightarrow \text{Suc}_t \ 0_t$
<proof>

end

References

- [1] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Abstract completeness. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, April 2014. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Abstract_Completeness.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] C. Kop and N. Nishida. Term rewriting with logical constraints. In P. Fontaine, C. Ringeissen, and R. A. Schmidt, editors, *Frontiers of Combining Systems - 9th International Symposium, FroCoS 2013, Nancy, France, September 18-20, 2013. Proceedings*, volume 8152 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 343–358. Springer, 2013.
- [3] C. Sternagel and R. Thiemann. First-order terms. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, February 2018. https://isa-afp.org/entries/First_Order_Terms.html, Formal proof development.
- [4] R. Thiemann and C. Sternagel. Certification of Termination Proofs Using CeTA. In S. Berghofer, T. Nipkow, C. Urban, and M. Wenzel, editors, *Theorem Proving in Higher Order Logics, 22nd International Conference, TPHOLs 2009, Munich, Germany, August 17-20, 2009. Proceedings*, volume 5674 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 452–468. Springer, 2009.
- [5] V. van Oostrom. Sub-birkhoff. In Y. Kameyama and P. J. Stuckey, editors, *7th International Symposium on Functional and Logic Programming, FLOPS 2004*, volume 2998 of *LNCS*, pages 180–195. Springer, 2004.
- [6] A. Yamada and R. Thiemann. Sorted terms. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2024. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Sorted_Terms.html, Formal proof development.