

# Shallow Expressions

Simon Foster  
University of York, UK

`simon.foster@york.ac.uk`

June 15, 2026

## Abstract

Most verification techniques use expressions, for example when assigning to variables or forming assertions over the state. Deep embeddings provide such expressions using a datatype, which allows queries over the syntax, such as calculating the free variables, and performing substitutions. Shallow embeddings, in contrast, model expressions as query functions over the state type, and are more amenable to automating verification tasks. The goal of this library is provide an intuitive implementation of shallow expressions, which nevertheless provides many of the benefits of a deep embedding. We harness the Optics library to provide an algebraic semantics for state variables, and use syntax translations to provide an intuitive lifted expression syntax. Furthermore, we provide a variety of meta-logic-style queries on expressions, such as dependencies on a state variable, and substitution of a variable for an expression. We also provide proof methods, based on the simplifier, to automate the associated proof tasks.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Variables as Lenses</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Constructors . . . . .	4
2.2	Syntax Translations . . . . .	7
2.3	Simplifications . . . . .	10
<b>3</b>	<b>Expressions</b>	<b>10</b>
3.1	Types and Constructs . . . . .	10
3.2	Lifting Parser and Printer . . . . .	11
3.3	Reasoning . . . . .	13
3.4	Algebraic laws . . . . .	14

<b>4</b>	<b>Unrestriction</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Substitutions</b>	<b>18</b>
5.1	Types and Constants . . . . .	18
5.2	Syntax Translations . . . . .	19
5.3	Substitution Laws . . . . .	21
5.4	Proof rules . . . . .	23
5.5	Ordering substitutions . . . . .	24
5.6	Substitution Unrestriction Laws . . . . .	24
5.7	Conditional Substitution Laws . . . . .	24
5.8	Substitution Restriction Laws . . . . .	25
5.9	Evaluation . . . . .	25
<b>6</b>	<b>Extension and Restriction</b>	<b>27</b>
6.1	Syntax . . . . .	27
6.2	Laws . . . . .	27
6.3	Substitutions . . . . .	28
6.4	Liberation . . . . .	29
6.5	Definition and Syntax . . . . .	29
6.6	Laws . . . . .	30
6.7	Quantifying Lenses . . . . .	30
6.8	Operators and Syntax . . . . .	31
6.9	Laws . . . . .	31
6.10	Cylindric Algebra . . . . .	32
<b>7</b>	<b>Collections</b>	<b>33</b>
7.1	Partial Lens Definedness . . . . .	33
7.2	Dynamic Lenses . . . . .	34
7.3	Overloaded Collection Lens . . . . .	34
7.4	Syntax for Collection Lenses . . . . .	35
<b>8</b>	<b>Named Expression Definitions</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>9</b>	<b>Local State</b>	<b>36</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Shallow Expressions Meta-Theory</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>Expression Test Cases and Examples</b>	<b>37</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Examples of Shallow Expressions</b>	<b>39</b>
12.1	Basic Expressions and Queries . . . . .	39
12.2	Hierarchical State . . . . .	41
12.3	Program Semantics . . . . .	41

# 1 Introduction

This session provides a library for expressions in shallow embeddings, based on the Optics package [5]. It provides the following key features:

1. Parse and print translations for converting between intuitive expression syntax, and state functions using lenses to model variables. The translation uses the type system to determine whether each free variable in an expression is (1) a lens (i.e. a state variable); (2) another expression; (3) a literal, and gives the correct interpretation for each. The lifting mechanism is manifested through the bracket notation,  $(\_)_e$ , but can usually be hidden behind syntax.
2. Syntax for complex state variable constructions, using the lens operators [5], such as simultaneous assignment, hierarchical state, and initial/final state variables.
3. The “unrestriction” predicate [7, 3],  $x \# e$ , which characterises whether an expression  $e$  depends on a particular variable  $x$  or not, based on the lens laws. It can often be used as a replacement for syntactically checking for free variables in a deep embedding, as needed in several verification techniques.
4. Semantic substitution of variables for expressions,  $e[v/x]$ , with support for evaluation from the simplifier. A notation is provided for expressing substitution objects as a sequence of simultaneous variable assignments,  $[x_1 \rightsquigarrow e_1, x_2 \rightsquigarrow e_2, \dots]$ .
5. Collection lenses,  $x[i]$ , which can be used to model updating a component of a larger structure, for example mutating an element of an array by its index.
6. Supporting transformations and constructors for expressions, such as state extension, state restriction, and quantifiers.

The majority of these concepts have been adapted from Isabelle/UTP [3], but have been generalised for use in other Isabelle-based verification tools. Several proof methods are provided, such as for discharging unrestricted conditions (`unrest`) and evaluating substitutions (`subst_eval`).

The Shallow Expressions library has been applied in the IsaVODEs tool [6], for verifying hybrid systems, and Isabelle/ITrees [4], for verification of process-algebraic languages.

## 2 Variables as Lenses

**theory** *Variables*

```

imports Optics.Optics
begin

```

Here, we implement foundational constructors and syntax translations for state variables, using lens manipulations, for use in shallow expressions.

## 2.1 Constructors

The following bundle allows us to override the colon operator, which we use for variable namespaces.

```

bundle Expression-Syntax
begin

```

```

no-notation

```

```

  Set.member (<'(:)>) and
  Set.member (<(<notation=<infix :>>- / : -)> [51, 51] 50)

```

```

end

```

```

unbundle Expression-Syntax

```

```

declare fst-vwb-lens [simp] and snd-vwb-lens [simp]

```

Lenses [3] can also be used to effectively define sets of variables. Here we define the the universal alphabet ( $\Sigma$ ) to be the bijective lens  $1_L$ . This characterises the whole of the source type, and thus is effectively the set of all alphabet variables.

```

definition univ-var :: (' $\alpha$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $\alpha$ ) (v) where
[lens-defs]: univ-var =  $1_L$ 

```

```

lemma univ-var-vwb [simp]: vwb-lens univ-var
  <proof>

```

```

definition univ-alpha :: ' $s$  scene where
[lens-defs]: univ-alpha =  $\top_S$ 

```

```

definition emp-alpha :: ' $s$  scene where
[lens-defs]: emp-alpha =  $\perp_S$ 

```

```

definition var-alpha :: (' $a$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $s$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ' $s$  scene where
[lens-defs]: var-alpha  $x$  = lens-scene  $x$ 

```

```

definition ns-alpha :: (' $b$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $c$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $b$ )  $\Rightarrow$  ' $a$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $c$  where
[lens-defs]: ns-alpha  $a$   $x$  =  $x ;_L a$ 

```

```

definition var-fst :: (' $a$   $\times$  ' $b$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $s$ )  $\Rightarrow$  (' $a$   $\Longrightarrow$  ' $s$ ) where
[lens-defs]: var-fst  $x$  = fst $L$  ; $L$   $x$ 

```

**definition**  $var\text{-}snd :: ('a \times 'b \implies 's) \Rightarrow ('b \implies 's)$  **where**  
 $[lens\text{-}defs]: var\text{-}snd\ x = snd_L ;_L x$

**definition**  $var\text{-}pair :: ('a \implies 's) \Rightarrow ('b \implies 's) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b \implies 's)$  **where**  
 $[lens\text{-}defs]: var\text{-}pair\ x\ y = x +_L y$

**abbreviation**  $var\text{-}member :: ('a \implies 's) \Rightarrow 's\ scene \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\in_v$  50) **where**  
 $x \in_v A \equiv var\text{-}alpha\ x \leq A$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}weak$   $[simp]: \llbracket weak\text{-}lens\ a; weak\text{-}lens\ x \rrbracket \implies weak\text{-}lens\ (ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}mwb$   $[simp]: \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ a; mwb\text{-}lens\ x \rrbracket \implies mwb\text{-}lens\ (ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}vwb$   $[simp]: \llbracket vwb\text{-}lens\ a; vwb\text{-}lens\ x \rrbracket \implies vwb\text{-}lens\ (ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}indep\text{-}1$   $[simp]: a \bowtie b \implies ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x \bowtie ns\text{-}alpha\ b\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}indep\text{-}2$   $[simp]: a \bowtie y \implies ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x \bowtie y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}indep\text{-}3$   $[simp]: x \bowtie b \implies x \bowtie ns\text{-}alpha\ b\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ns\text{-}alpha\text{-}indep\text{-}4$   $[simp]: \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ a; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x \bowtie ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $var\text{-}fst\text{-}mwb$   $[simp]: mwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies mwb\text{-}lens\ (var\text{-}fst\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $var\text{-}snd\text{-}mwb$   $[simp]: mwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies mwb\text{-}lens\ (var\text{-}snd\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $var\text{-}fst\text{-}vwb$   $[simp]: vwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies vwb\text{-}lens\ (var\text{-}fst\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $var\text{-}snd\text{-}vwb$   $[simp]: vwb\text{-}lens\ x \implies vwb\text{-}lens\ (var\text{-}snd\ x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $var\text{-}fst\text{-}indep\text{-}1$   $[simp]: x \bowtie y \implies var\text{-}fst\ x \bowtie y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *var-fst-indep-2* [simp]:  $x \bowtie y \implies x \bowtie \text{var-fst } y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *var-snd-indep-1* [simp]:  $x \bowtie y \implies \text{var-snd } x \bowtie y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *var-snd-indep-2* [simp]:  $x \bowtie y \implies x \bowtie \text{var-snd } y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *mwb-var-pair* [simp]:  $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; \text{mwb-lens } y; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies \text{mwb-lens } (\text{var-pair } x \ y)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *vwb-var-pair* [simp]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{vwb-lens } y; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies \text{vwb-lens } (\text{var-pair } x \ y)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *var-pair-pres-indep* [simp]:  
 $\llbracket x \bowtie y; x \bowtie z \rrbracket \implies x \bowtie \text{var-pair } y \ z$   
 $\llbracket x \bowtie y; x \bowtie z \rrbracket \implies \text{var-pair } y \ z \bowtie x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *res-alpha* ::  $('a \implies 'b) \Rightarrow ('c \implies 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \implies 'c$  **where**  
 [lens-defs]: *res-alpha*  $x \ a = x /_L a$

**lemma** *idem-scene-var* [simp]:  
 $\text{vwb-lens } x \implies \text{idem-scene } (\text{var-alpha } x)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *var-alpha-combine*:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{vwb-lens } y; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies \text{var-alpha } x \sqcup_S \text{var-alpha } y = \text{var-alpha } (x +_L y)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *var-alpha-indep* [simp]:  
**assumes**  $\text{vwb-lens } x \ \text{vwb-lens } y$   
**shows**  $\text{var-alpha } x \bowtie_S \text{var-alpha } y \longleftrightarrow x \bowtie y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *pre-var-indep-prod* [simp]:  $x \bowtie a \implies \text{ns-alpha } \text{fst}_L \ x \ \bowtie \ a \ \times_L \ b$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *post-var-indep-prod* [simp]:  $x \bowtie b \implies \text{ns-alpha } \text{snd}_L \ x \ \bowtie \ a \ \times_L \ b$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *lens-indep-impl-scene-indep-var* [simp]:  
 $(X \bowtie Y) \implies \text{var-alpha } X \ \bowtie_S \ \text{var-alpha } Y$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**declare** *lens-scene-override* [simp]

**declare** *uminus-scene-twice* [*simp*]

**lemma** *var-alpha-override* [*simp*]:

*mwb-lens*  $X \implies s_1 \oplus_S s_2$  on *var-alpha*  $X = s_1 \oplus_L s_2$  on  $X$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *var-alpha-indep-compl* [*simp*]:

**assumes** *vwb-lens*  $x$  *vwb-lens*  $y$   
**shows** *var-alpha*  $x \bowtie_S -$  *var-alpha*  $y \longleftrightarrow x \subseteq_L y$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *var-alpha-subset* [*simp*]:

**assumes** *vwb-lens*  $x$  *vwb-lens*  $y$   
**shows** *var-alpha*  $x \leq$  *var-alpha*  $y \longleftrightarrow x \subseteq_L y$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

## 2.2 Syntax Translations

In order to support nice syntax for variables, we here set up some translations. The first step is to introduce a collection of non-terminals.

**nonterminal** *svid* **and** *svids* **and** *salpha* **and** *sframe-enum* **and** *sframe*

These non-terminals correspond to the following syntactic entities. Non-terminal *svid* is an atomic variable identifier, and *svids* is a list of identifier. *salpha* is an alphabet or set of variables. *sframe* is a frame. Such sets can be constructed only through lens composition due to typing restrictions. Next we introduce some syntax constructors.

**syntax** — Identifiers

*-svid*        :: *id-position*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (- [999] 999)  
*-svlongid*   :: *longid-position*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (- [999] 999)  
               :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svids* (-)  
*-svid-list*   :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svids*  $\Rightarrow$  *svids* (-,/ -)  
*-svid-alpha*  :: *svid* (**v**)  
*-svid-index*  :: *id-position*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-'(-') [999] 999)  
*-svid-tuple*  :: *svids*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* ('(-'))  
*-svid-dot*    :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-:- [999,998] 998)  
*-svid-res*    :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-|- [999,998] 998)  
*-svid-pre*    :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-< [997] 997)  
*-svid-post*   :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-> [997] 997)  
*-svid-fst*    :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-.1 [997] 997)  
*-svid-snd*    :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (-.2 [997] 997)  
*-mk-svid-list* :: *svids*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic* — Helper function for summing a list of identifiers  
*-of-svid-list*  :: *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *svids* — Reverse of the above  
*-svid-view*    :: *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* ( $\mathcal{V}$ [-]) — View of a symmetric lens  
*-svid-coview*  :: *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* ( $\mathcal{C}$ [-]) — Coview of a symmetric lens  
*-svid-prod*    :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *svid* (**infixr**  $\times$  85)

`-svid-pow2` ::  $svid \Rightarrow svid$  ( $-^2$  [999] 999)

A variable can be decorated with an ampersand, to indicate it is a predicate variable, with a dollar to indicate its an unprimed relational variable, or a dollar and “acute” symbol to indicate its a primed relational variable. Isabelle’s parser is extensible so additional decorations can be and are added later.

**syntax** — Variable sets

`-salphaid` ::  $id\text{-}position \Rightarrow salpha$  ( $-$  [990] 990)  
`-salphavar` ::  $svid \Rightarrow salpha$  ( $\$-$  [990] 990)  
`-salphaparen` ::  $salpha \Rightarrow salpha$  ( $'(-')$ )  
`-salphaunion` ::  $salpha \Rightarrow salpha \Rightarrow salpha$  (**infixr**  $\cup$  75)  
`-salphainter` ::  $salpha \Rightarrow salpha \Rightarrow salpha$  (**infixr**  $\cap$  75)  
`-salphaminus` ::  $salpha \Rightarrow salpha \Rightarrow salpha$  (**infixl**  $-$  65)  
`-salphacompl` ::  $salpha \Rightarrow salpha$  ( $-$   $-$  [81] 80)  
`-salpha-all` ::  $salpha$  ( $\Sigma$ )  
`-salpha-none` ::  $salpha$  ( $\emptyset$ )

`-salphaset` ::  $svids \Rightarrow salpha$  ( $\{-\}$ )  
`-sframeid` ::  $id \Rightarrow sframe$  ( $-$ )  
`-sframeset` ::  $svids \Rightarrow sframe\text{-}enum$  ( $\{\!-\!\}$ )  
`-sframeunion` ::  $sframe \Rightarrow sframe \Rightarrow sframe$  (**infixr**  $\cup$  75)  
`-sframeinter` ::  $sframe \Rightarrow sframe \Rightarrow sframe$  (**infixr**  $\cap$  75)  
`-sframeminus` ::  $sframe \Rightarrow sframe \Rightarrow sframe$  (**infixl**  $-$  65)  
`-sframecompl` ::  $sframe \Rightarrow sframe$  ( $-$   $-$  [81] 80)  
`-sframe-all` ::  $sframe$  ( $\Sigma$ )  
`-sframe-none` ::  $sframe$  ( $\emptyset$ )  
`-sframe-pre` ::  $sframe \Rightarrow sframe$  ( $-<$  [989] 989)  
`-sframe-post` ::  $sframe \Rightarrow sframe$  ( $->$  [989] 989)  
`-sframe-enum` ::  $sframe\text{-}enum \Rightarrow sframe$  ( $-$ )  
`-sframe-alpha` ::  $sframe\text{-}enum \Rightarrow salpha$  ( $-$ )  
`-salphamk` ::  $logic \Rightarrow salpha$   
`-mk-alpha-list` ::  $svids \Rightarrow logic$   
`-mk-frame-list` ::  $svids \Rightarrow logic$

The terminals of an alphabet are either HOL identifiers or UTP variable identifiers. We support two ways of constructing alphabets; by composition of smaller alphabets using a semi-colon or by a set-style construction  $\{a, b, c\}$  with a list of UTP variables.

**syntax** — Quotations

`-svid-set` ::  $svids \Rightarrow logic$  ( $\{-\}_v$ )  
`-svid-empty` ::  $logic$  ( $\{\}_v$ )  
`-svar` ::  $svid \Rightarrow logic$  ( $'(-')_v$ )

For various reasons, the syntax constructors above all yield specific grammar categories and will not parser at the HOL top level (basically this is to do with us wanting to reuse the syntax for expressions). As a result we provide some quotation constructors above.

Next we need to construct the syntax translations rules. Finally, we set up the translations rules.

### translations

— Identifiers

$-svid\ x \rightarrow x$   
 $-svlongid\ x \rightarrow x$   
 $-svid-alpha \rightleftharpoons CONST\ univ-var$   
 $-svid-tuple\ xs \rightarrow -mk-svid-list\ xs$   
 $-svid-dot\ x\ y \rightleftharpoons CONST\ ns-alpha\ x\ y$   
 $-svid-index\ x\ i \rightarrow x\ i$   
 $-svid-res\ x\ y \rightleftharpoons x\ /_L\ y$   
 $-svid-pre\ x \rightleftharpoons -svid-dot\ fst_L\ x$   
 $-svid-post\ x \rightleftharpoons -svid-dot\ snd_L\ x$   
 $-svid-fst\ x \rightleftharpoons CONST\ var-fst\ x$   
 $-svid-snd\ x \rightleftharpoons CONST\ var-snd\ x$   
 $-svid-prod\ x\ y \rightleftharpoons x\ \times_L\ y$   
 $-svid-pow2\ x \rightarrow x\ \times_L\ x$   
 $-mk-svid-list\ (-svid-list\ x\ xs) \rightarrow CONST\ var-pair\ x\ (-mk-svid-list\ xs)$   
 $-mk-svid-list\ x \rightarrow x$   
 $-mk-alpha-list\ (-svid-list\ x\ xs) \rightarrow CONST\ var-alpha\ x\ \sqcup_S\ -mk-alpha-list\ xs$   
 $-mk-alpha-list\ x \rightarrow CONST\ var-alpha\ x$

$-svid-view\ a \Rightarrow \mathcal{V}_a$

$-svid-coview\ a \Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_a$

$-svid-list\ (-svid-tuple\ (-of-svid-list\ (CONST\ var-pair\ x\ y)))\ (-of-svid-list\ z) \leftarrow$   
 $-of-svid-list\ (CONST\ var-pair\ (CONST\ var-pair\ x\ y)\ z)$   
 $-svid-list\ x\ (-of-svid-list\ y) \leftarrow -of-svid-list\ (CONST\ var-pair\ x\ y)$   
 $x \leftarrow -of-svid-list\ x$

$-svid-tuple\ (-svid-list\ x\ y) \leftarrow CONST\ var-pair\ x\ y$

$-svid-list\ x\ ys \leftarrow -svid-list\ x\ (-svid-tuple\ ys)$

— Alphabets

$-salphaparen\ a \rightarrow a$   
 $-salphaid\ x \rightarrow x$   
 $-salphainter\ x\ y \rightarrow x\ \sqcup_S\ y$   
 $-salphainter\ x\ y \rightarrow x\ \sqcap_S\ y$   
 $-salphaminus\ x\ y \rightarrow x - y$   
 $-salphacompl\ x \rightarrow -x$

$-salphavar\ x \rightleftharpoons CONST\ var-alpha\ x$

$-salphaset\ A \rightarrow -mk-alpha-list\ A$

$-sframeid\ A \rightarrow A$

$(-svid-list\ x\ (-salphamk\ y)) \leftarrow -salphamk\ (x\ +_L\ y)$

$x \leftarrow -salphamk\ x$

$-salpha-all \rightleftharpoons CONST\ univ-alpha$

$-salpha-none \rightleftharpoons CONST\ emp-alpha$

— Quotations  
*-svid-set*  $A \mapsto$  *-mk-alpha-list*  $A$   
*-svid-empty*  $\mapsto 0_L$   
*-svar*  $x \mapsto x$

The translation rules mainly convert syntax into lens constructions, using a mixture of lens operators and the bespoke variable definitions. Notably, a colon variable identifier qualification becomes a lens composition, and variable sets are constructed using `len sum`. The translation rules are carefully crafted to ensure both parsing and pretty printing.

Finally we create the following useful utility translation function that allows us to construct a UTP variable (lens) type given a return and alphabet type.

```
syntax
  -uvar-ty    :: type  $\Rightarrow$  type  $\Rightarrow$  type
```

*<ML>*

### 2.3 Simplifications

```
lemma get-pre [simp]: get( $x<$ )v (s1, s2) = getx s1
  <proof>
```

```
lemma get-post [simp]: get( $x>$ )v (s1, s2) = getx s2
  <proof>
```

```
lemma get-prod-decomp: getx s = (getvar-fst x s, getvar-snd x s)
  <proof>
```

**end**

## 3 Expressions

```
theory Expressions
  imports Variables
  keywords expr-constructor expr-function :: thy-decl-block
begin
```

### 3.1 Types and Constructs

```
named-theorems expr-defs and named-expr-defs
```

An expression is represented simply as a function from the state space  $'s$  to the return type  $'a$ , which is the simplest shallow model for Isabelle/HOL.

The aim of this theory is to provide transparent conversion between this representation and a more intuitive expression syntax. For example, an expression  $x + y$  where  $x$  and  $y$  are both state variables, can be represented

by  $\lambda s. get_x s + get_y s$  when both variables are modelled using lenses. Rather than having to write  $\lambda$ -terms directly, it is more convenient to hide this threading of state behind a parser. We introduce the expression bracket syntax,  $(-)_e$  to support this.

**type-synonym**  $( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} = 's \Rightarrow 'a$

The following constructor is used to syntactically mark functions that actually denote expressions. It is semantically vacuous.

**definition**  $SEXP :: ( 's \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} \text{ } ([-]_e)$  **where**  
 $[expr-defs]: SEXP x = x$

**lemma**  $SEXP\text{-apply}$   $[simp]: SEXP e s = (e s) \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $SEXP\text{-idem}$   $[simp]: [[e]_e]_e = [e]_e \langle proof \rangle$

We can create the core constructs of a simple expression language as indicated below.

**abbreviation**  $(input) \text{ var} :: ( 'a \Longrightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $\text{var } x \equiv (\lambda s. get_x s)$

**abbreviation**  $(input) \text{ lit} :: 'a \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $\text{lit } k \equiv (\lambda s. k)$

**abbreviation**  $(input) \text{ uop} :: ( 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ( 'b, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $\text{uop } f e \equiv (\lambda s. f (e s))$

**abbreviation**  $(input) \text{ bop}$   
 $:: ( 'a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ( 'b, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ( 'c, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $\text{bop } f e_1 e_2 \equiv (\lambda s. f (e_1 s) (e_2 s))$

**definition**  $\text{taut} :: (bool, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $[expr-defs]: \text{taut } e = (\forall s. e s)$

**definition**  $\text{expr-select} :: ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ( 'b \Longrightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ( 'b, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $[expr-defs, code-unfold]: \text{expr-select } e x = (\lambda s. get_x (e s))$

**definition**  $\text{expr-if} :: ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ( 'a, 's) \text{ expr}$   
**where**  
 $[expr-defs, code-unfold]: \text{expr-if } P b Q = (\lambda s. \text{if } (b s) \text{ then } P s \text{ else } Q s)$

## 3.2 Lifting Parser and Printer

The lifting parser creates a parser directive that converts an expression to a  $SEXP$  boxed  $\lambda$ -term that gives it a semantics. A pretty printer converts a boxed  $\lambda$ -term back to an expression.

**nonterminal**  $\text{sexp}$

We create some syntactic constants and define parse and print translations for them.

### syntax

```

-sexp-state      :: id
-sexp-quote     :: logic ⇒ logic ('(-)'e)
— Convert the expression to a lambda term, but do not box it.
-sexp-quote-1way :: logic ⇒ logic ('(-)'e)
-sexp-lit       :: logic ⇒ logic («-»)
-sexp-var       :: svid ⇒ logic ($- [990] 990)
-sexp-evar      :: id-position ⇒ logic (@- [999] 999)
-sexp-evar      :: logic ⇒ logic (@'(-)' [999] 999)
-sexp-pqt       :: logic ⇒ sexp ([-]e)
-sexp-taut      :: logic ⇒ logic ('-')
-sexp-select    :: logic ⇒ svid ⇒ logic (-:- [1000, 999] 1000)
-sexp-if        :: logic ⇒ logic ⇒ logic ⇒ logic ((?- < - >/ -) [52,0,53] 52)

```

⟨ML⟩

We create a number of attributes for configuring the way the parser works.

```
declare [[pretty-print-exprs=true]]
```

We can toggle pretty printing of  $\lambda$  expressions using *pretty-print-exprs*.

```
declare [[literal-variables=false]]
```

Expressions, of course, can contain variables. However, a variable can denote one of three things: (1) a state variable (i.e. a lens); (2) a placeholder for a value (i.e. a HOL literal); and (3) a placeholder for another expression. The attribute *literal-variables* selects option (2) as the default behaviour when true, and option (3) when false.

```
expr-constructor expr-select
```

```
expr-constructor expr-if
```

Some constants should not be lifted, since they are effectively constructors for expressions. The command **expr-constructor** allows us to specify such constants to not be lifted. This being the case, the arguments are left unlifted, unless included in a list of numbers before the constant name. The state is passed as the final argument to expression constructors.

⟨ML⟩

### translations

```

-sexp-var x => getx -sexp-state
-sexp-taut p == CONST taut (p)e
-sexp-select e x == CONST expr-select (e)e x
-sexp-if P b Q == CONST expr-if P (b)e Q
-sexp-var (-svid-tuple (-of-svid-list (x +L y))) <= -sexp-var (x +L y)

```

The main directive is the *e* subscripted brackets, (*e*)<sub>*e*</sub>. This converts the expression *e* to a boxed  $\lambda$  term. Essentially, the behaviour is as follows:

1. a new  $\lambda$  abstraction over the state variable  $s$  is wrapped around  $e$ ;
2. every occurrence of a free lens  $get_x s$  in  $e$  is replaced by  $get_x s$ ;
3. every occurrence of an expression variable  $e$  is replaced by  $e s$ ;
4. every occurrence of any other free variable is left unchanged.

The pretty printer does this in reverse.

Below is a grammar category for lifted expressions.

**nonterminal** *sexpr*

**syntax** *-sexpr* :: *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *sexpr* (-)

$\langle ML \rangle$

### 3.3 Reasoning

**lemma** *expr-eq-iff*:  $P = Q \longleftrightarrow 'P = Q'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *refine-iff-implies*:  $P \leq Q \longleftrightarrow 'P \longrightarrow Q'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *taut-True* [*simp*]:  $'True' = True$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *taut-False* [*simp*]:  $'False' = False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tautI*:  $[[ \bigwedge s. P s ]] \Longrightarrow taut P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**named-theorems** *expr-simps*

Lemmas to help automation of expression reasoning

**lemma** *fst-case-sum* [*simp*]:  $fst (case p of Inl x \Rightarrow (a1 x, a2 x) | Inr x \Rightarrow (b1 x, b2 x)) = (case p of Inl x \Rightarrow a1 x | Inr x \Rightarrow b1 x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *snd-case-sum* [*simp*]:  $snd (case p of Inl x \Rightarrow (a1 x, a2 x) | Inr x \Rightarrow (b1 x, b2 x)) = (case p of Inl x \Rightarrow a2 x | Inr x \Rightarrow b2 x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sum-case-apply* [*simp*]:  $(case p of Inl x \Rightarrow f x | Inr x \Rightarrow g x) y = (case p of Inl x \Rightarrow f x y | Inr x \Rightarrow g x y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Proof methods for simplifying shallow expressions to HOL terms. The first retains the lens structure, and the second removes it when alphabet lenses are present.

```
method expr-lens-simp uses add =
  ((simp add: expr-simps)? — Perform any possible simplifications retaining the
  lens structure
  ;((simp add: fun-eq-iff prod.case-eq-if expr-defs named-expr-defs lens-defs add)?
  ; — Explode the rest
  (simp add: expr-defs named-expr-defs lens-defs add)?)
```

```
method expr-simp uses add = (expr-lens-simp add: alpha-splits add)
```

Methods for dealing with tautologies

```
method expr-lens-taut uses add =
  (rule tautI;
  expr-lens-simp add: add)
```

```
method expr-taut uses add =
  (rule tautI;
  expr-simp add: add;
  rename-alpha-vars?)
```

A method for simplifying shallow expressions to HOL terms and applying *auto*

```
method expr-auto uses add =
  (expr-simp add: add;
  (auto simp add: alpha-splits lens-defs add)?;
  (rename-alpha-vars)? — Rename any logical variables with v subscripts
  )
```

### 3.4 Algebraic laws

```
lemma expr-if-idem [simp]:  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright P = P$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma expr-if-sym:  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q = Q \triangleleft \neg b \triangleright P$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma expr-if-assoc:  $(P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright R = P \triangleleft b \wedge c \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R)$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma expr-if-distr:  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft c \triangleright R) = (P \triangleleft b \triangleright Q) \triangleleft c \triangleright (P \triangleleft b \triangleright R)$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma expr-if-true [simp]:  $P \triangleleft \text{True} \triangleright Q = P$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma expr-if-false [simp]:  $P \triangleleft \text{False} \triangleright Q = Q$ 
```

*<proof>*

**lemma** *expr-if-reach* [*simp*]:  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright (Q \triangleleft b \triangleright R) = P \triangleleft b \triangleright R$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *expr-if-disj* [*simp*]:  $P \triangleleft b \triangleright (P \triangleleft c \triangleright Q) = P \triangleleft b \vee c \triangleright Q$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *SEXP-expr-if*:  $[expr\text{-if } P \ b \ Q]_e = expr\text{-if } P \ b \ Q$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 4 Unrestriction

**theory** *Unrestriction*  
**imports** *Expressions*  
**begin**

Unrestriction means that an expression does not depend on the value of the state space described by the given scene (i.e. set of variables) for its valuation. It is a semantic characterisation of fresh variables.

**consts** *unrest* ::  $'s \ scene \Rightarrow 'p \Rightarrow bool$

**definition** *unrest-expr* ::  $'s \ scene \Rightarrow ('b, 's) \ expr \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
*[expr-defs]*:  $unrest\text{-expr } a \ e = (\forall \ s \ s'. \ e \ (s \oplus_S \ s' \ on \ a) = e \ s)$

**adhoc-overloading**  $unrest \equiv unrest\text{-expr}$

**syntax**  
*-unrest* ::  $salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic$  (**infix**  $\# \ 20$ )

**translations**  
*-unrest*  $x \ p == CONST \ unrest \ x \ p$

**named-theorems** *unrest*

**lemma** *unrest-empty* [*unrest*]:  $\emptyset \# P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-var-union* [*unrest*]:  
 $\llbracket A \# P; B \# P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow A \cup B \# P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-neg-union*:  
**assumes**  $A \#\#_S B - A \# P - B \# P$   
**shows**  $(- (A \cup B)) \# P$   
*<proof>*

The following two laws greatly simplify proof when reasoning about unrestricted lens, and so we add them to the expression simplification set.

**lemma** *unrest-lens* [*expr-simps*]:

*mwb-lens*  $x \implies (\$x \# e) = (\forall s v. e (put_x s v) = e s)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-compl-lens* [*expr-simps*]:

*mwb-lens*  $x \implies (- \$x \# e) = (\forall s s'. e (put_x s' (get_x s)) = e s)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-subscene*:  $\llbracket idem-scene\ a; a \# e; b \subseteq_S a \rrbracket \implies b \# e$

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-lens-comp* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket mwb-lens\ x; mwb-lens\ y; \$x \# e \rrbracket \implies \$x:y \# e$

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-expr* [*unrest*]:  $x \# e \implies x \# (e)_e$

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-lit* [*unrest*]:  $x \# (\llbracket v \rrbracket)_e$

⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-var* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket vwb-lens\ x; idem-scene\ a; var-alpha\ x \bowtie_S a \rrbracket \implies a \# (\$x)_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-var-single* [*unrest*]:

$\llbracket mwb-lens\ x; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies \$x \# (\$y)_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-sublens*:

**assumes** *mwb-lens*  $x\ \$x \# P\ y \subseteq_L x$   
**shows**  $\$y \# P$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If two lenses are equivalent, and thus they characterise the same state-space regions, then clearly unrestricted over them are equivalent.

**lemma** *unrest-equiv*:

**assumes** *mwb-lens*  $y\ x \approx_L y\ \$x \# P$   
**shows**  $\$y \# P$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

If we can show that an expression is unrestricted on a bijective lens, then is unrestricted on the entire state-space.

**lemma** *bij-lens-unrest-all*:

**assumes** *bij-lens*  $x\ \$x \# P$   
**shows**  $\Sigma \# P$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *bij-lens-unrest-all-eq*:  
**assumes** *bij-lens*  $x$   
**shows**  $(\Sigma \# P) \longleftrightarrow (\$x \# P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

If an expression is unrestricted by all variables, then it is unrestricted by any variable

**lemma** *unrest-all-var*:  
**assumes**  $\Sigma \# e$   
**shows**  $\$x \# e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-pair* [*unrest*]:  
**assumes** *mwb-lens*  $x$  *mwb-lens*  $y$   $\$x \# P$   $\$y \# P$   
**shows**  $\$(x, y) \# P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-pair-split*:  
**assumes**  $x \bowtie y$  *vwb-lens*  $x$  *vwb-lens*  $y$   
**shows**  $\$(x, y) \# P = ((\$x \# P) \wedge (\$y \# P))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-get* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x \# \text{get}_y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-conj* [*unrest*]:  
 $\llbracket x \# P; x \# Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (P \wedge Q)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-not* [*unrest*]:  
 $\llbracket x \# P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (\neg P)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-disj* [*unrest*]:  
 $\llbracket x \# P; x \# Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (P \vee Q)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-implies* [*unrest*]:  
 $\llbracket x \# P; x \# Q \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (P \longrightarrow Q)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-expr-if* [*unrest*]:  
**assumes**  $a \# P$   $a \# Q$   $a \# (e)$   
**shows**  $a \# (P \triangleleft e \triangleright Q)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-uop*:  
 $\llbracket x \# e \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (\llbracket f \rrbracket e)_e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-bop*:

$\llbracket x \# e_1; x \# e_2 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (\langle f \rangle e_1 e_2)_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-trop*:

$\llbracket x \# e_1; x \# e_2; x \# e_3 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x \# (\langle f \rangle e_1 e_2 e_3)_e$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 5 Substitutions

**theory** *Substitutions*

**imports** *Unrestriction*

**begin**

### 5.1 Types and Constants

A substitution is simply a function between two state spaces. Typically, they are used to express mappings from variables to values (e.g. assignments).

**type-synonym**  $(s_1, s_2)$  *psubst* =  $s_1 \Rightarrow s_2$

**type-synonym**  $s$  *subst* =  $s \Rightarrow s$

There are different ways of constructing an empty substitution.

**definition** *subst-id* ::  $s$  *subst* ( $\langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ )

**where** [*expr-defs*, *code-unfold*]: *subst-id* =  $(\lambda s. s)$

**definition** *subst-nil* ::  $(s_1, s_2)$  *psubst* ( $\langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ )

**where** [*expr-defs*, *code-unfold*]:  $\langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle$  =  $(\lambda s. \text{undefined})$

**definition** *subst-default* ::  $(s_1, s_2::\text{default})$  *psubst* ( $\langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle$ )

**where** [*expr-defs*, *code-unfold*]:  $\langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle$  =  $(\lambda s. \text{default})$

We can update a substitution by adding a new variable maplet.

**definition** *subst-upd* ::  $(s_1, s_2)$  *psubst*  $\Rightarrow (a \Rightarrow s_2) \Rightarrow (a, s_1)$  *expr*  $\Rightarrow (s_1,$

$s_2)$  *psubst*

**where** [*expr-defs*, *code-unfold*]: *subst-upd*  $\sigma$   $x$   $e$  =  $(\lambda s. \text{put}_x (\sigma s) (e s))$

The next two operators extend and restrict the alphabet of a substitution.

**definition** *subst-ext* ::  $(s_1 \Rightarrow s_2) \Rightarrow (s_2, s_1)$  *psubst* ( $\langle \uparrow [999] 999 \rangle$ ) **where**

[*expr-defs*, *code-unfold*]: *subst-ext*  $a$  = *get<sub>a</sub>*

**definition** *subst-res* ::  $(s_1 \Rightarrow s_2) \Rightarrow (s_1, s_2)$  *psubst* ( $\langle \downarrow [999] 999 \rangle$ ) **where**

[*expr-defs*, *code-unfold*]: *subst-res*  $a$  = *create<sub>a</sub>*

Application of a substitution to an expression is effectively function composition.

**definition**  $subst\text{-}app :: ('s_1, 's_2) psubst \Rightarrow ('a, 's_2) expr \Rightarrow ('a, 's_1) expr$   
**where**  $[expr\text{-}defs]: subst\text{-}app \sigma e = (\lambda s. e (\sigma s))$

**abbreviation**  $aext P a \equiv subst\text{-}app (a^\uparrow) P$

**abbreviation**  $ares P a \equiv subst\text{-}app (a^\downarrow) P$

We can also lookup the expression a variable is mapped to.

**definition**  $subst\text{-}lookup :: ('s_1, 's_2) psubst \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow 's_2) \Rightarrow ('a, 's_1) expr (\langle - \rangle_s)$   
**where**  $[expr\text{-}defs, code\text{-}unfold]: \langle \sigma \rangle_s x = (\lambda s. get_x (\sigma s))$

**definition**  $subst\text{-}comp :: ('s_1, 's_2) psubst \Rightarrow ('s_3, 's_1) psubst \Rightarrow ('s_3, 's_2) psubst$   
**(infixl**  $\circ_s$  **55)**  
**where**  $[expr\text{-}defs, code\text{-}unfold]: subst\text{-}comp = comp$

**definition**  $unrest\text{-}usubst :: 's scene \Rightarrow 's subst \Rightarrow bool$   
**where**  $[expr\text{-}defs]: unrest\text{-}usubst a \sigma = (\forall s s'. \sigma (s \oplus_S s' \text{ on } a) = (\sigma s) \oplus_S s' \text{ on } a)$

**definition**  $par\text{-}subst :: 's subst \Rightarrow 's scene \Rightarrow 's scene \Rightarrow 's subst \Rightarrow 's subst$   
**where**  $[expr\text{-}defs]: par\text{-}subst \sigma_1 A B \sigma_2 = (\lambda s. (s \oplus_S (\sigma_1 s) \text{ on } A) \oplus_S (\sigma_2 s) \text{ on } B)$

**definition**  $subst\text{-}restrict :: 's subst \Rightarrow 's scene \Rightarrow 's subst$  **where**  
 $[expr\text{-}defs]: subst\text{-}restrict \sigma a = (\lambda s. s \oplus_S \sigma s \text{ on } a)$

Create a substitution that copies the region from the given scene from a given state. This is used primarily in calculating unrestriction conditions.

**definition**  $sset :: 's scene \Rightarrow 's \Rightarrow 's subst$   
**where**  $[expr\text{-}defs, code\text{-}unfold]: sset a s' = (\lambda s. s \oplus_S s' \text{ on } a)$

**syntax**  $\text{-}sset :: salpha \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic (sset[-, -])$   
**translations**  $\text{-}sset a P == CONST sset a P$

## 5.2 Syntax Translations

**nonterminal**  $uexprs$  and  $smaplet$  and  $smaplets$

**syntax**

$\text{-}subst\text{-}app :: logic \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic$  **(infix**  $\dagger$  **65)**

$\text{-}smaplet :: [svid, logic] \Rightarrow smaplet (- \rightsquigarrow -)$   
 $:: smaplet \Rightarrow smaplets (-)$

$\text{-}SMaplets :: [smaplet, smaplets] \Rightarrow smaplets (-, / -)$

— A little syntax utility to extract a list of variable identifiers from a substitution

$\text{-}smaplets\text{-}svids :: smaplets \Rightarrow logic$

$\text{-}SubstUpd :: [logic, smaplets] \Rightarrow logic (-/'(-) [900,0] 900)$

$\text{-}Subst :: smaplets \Rightarrow logic ((1[-]))$

```

-PSubst      :: smaplets => logic ((1 (|-|)))
-DSubst      :: smaplets => logic ((1 (|-|)))
-psubst      :: [logic, svids, uexprs] => logic
-subst       :: logic => uexprs => svids => logic (([-'/'-]) [990,0,0] 991)
-uexprs      :: [logic, uexprs] => uexprs (-, / -)
              :: logic => uexprs (-)
-par-subst   :: logic => salpha => salpha => logic => logic (- [-|]_s - [100,0,0,101]
101)
-subst-restrict :: logic => salpha => logic (infixl |_s 85)
-unrest-usubst :: salpha => logic => logic => logic (infix #_s 20)

```

### translations

```

-subst-app σ e          == CONST subst-app σ e
-subst-app σ e         <= -subst-app σ (e)_e
-SubstUpd m (-SMaplets xy ms) == -SubstUpd (-SubstUpd m xy) ms
-SubstUpd m (-smaplet x y)   == CONST subst-upd m x (y)_e
-Subst ms                  == -SubstUpd [~>] ms
-Subst (-SMaplets ms1 ms2)   <= -SubstUpd (-Subst ms1) ms2
-PSubst ms                 == -SubstUpd (|-|) ms
-PSubst (-SMaplets ms1 ms2) <= -SubstUpd (-PSubst ms1) ms2
-DSubst ms                  == -SubstUpd (|-|) ms
-DSubst (-SMaplets ms1 ms2) <= -SubstUpd (-DSubst ms1) ms2
-SMaplets ms1 (-SMaplets ms2 ms3) <= -SMaplets (-SMaplets ms1 ms2) ms3
-smaplets-svids (-SMaplets (-smaplet x e) ms) => x +L (-smaplets-svids ms)
-smaplets-svids (-smaplet x e) => x
-subst P es vs => CONST subst-app (-psubst [~>] vs es) P
-psubst m (-svid-list x xs) (-uexprs v vs) => -psubst (-psubst m x v) xs vs
-psubst m x v => CONST subst-upd m x (v)_e
-subst P v x <= CONST subst-app (CONST subst-upd [~>] x (v)_e) P
-subst P v x <= -subst-app (-Subst (-smaplet x v)) P
-subst P v x <= -subst (-sexp-quote P) v x
-subst P v (-svid-tuple (-of-svid-list (x +L y))) <= -subst P v (x +L y)
-par-subst σ1 A B σ2 == CONST par-subst σ1 A B σ2
-subst-restrict σ a == CONST subst-restrict σ a
-unrest-usubst x p == CONST unrest-usubst x p
-unrest-usubst (-salphaset (-salphamk (x +L y))) P <= -unrest-usubst (x +L y)
P

```

**expr-constructor** *subst-app* (1) — Only the second parameter (1) should be treated as a lifted expression.

**expr-constructor** *subst-id*

**expr-constructor** *subst-nil*

**expr-constructor** *subst-default*

**expr-constructor** *subst-upd*

**expr-constructor** *subst-lookup*

(ML)

### 5.3 Substitution Laws

**named-theorems** *usubst* and *usubst-eval*

**lemma** *subst-id-apply* [*usubst*]:  $[\rightsquigarrow] \dagger P = P$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-unrest* [*usubst*]:  
 $\llbracket \text{vub-lens } x; \$x \# v \rrbracket \implies \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e) \dagger v = \sigma \dagger v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lookup-id* [*usubst*]:  $\langle [\rightsquigarrow] \rangle_s x = [\text{var } x]_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lookup-aext* [*usubst*]:  $\langle a^\dagger \rangle_s x = [\text{get}_{\text{ns-alpha } a} x]_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-id-var*:  $[\rightsquigarrow] = (\$v)_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-upd-id-lam* [*usubst*]:  $\text{subst-upd } (\$v)_e x v = \text{subst-upd } [\rightsquigarrow] x v$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-id [simp]*:  $[\rightsquigarrow] \circ_s \sigma = \sigma \sigma \circ_s [\rightsquigarrow] = \sigma$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-default-id [simp]*:  $\langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle \circ_s \sigma = \langle \rightsquigarrow \rangle$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lookup-one-lens* [*usubst*]:  $\langle \sigma \rangle_s 1_L = \sigma$   
*<proof>*

The following law can break expressions abstraction, so it is not by default a "usubst" law.

**lemma** *subst-apply-SEXP*:  $\text{subst-app } \sigma [e]_e = [\text{subst-app } \sigma e]_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-apply-twice* [*usubst*]:  
 $\varrho \dagger (\sigma \dagger e) = (\sigma \circ_s \varrho) \dagger e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-apply-twice-SEXP* [*usubst*]:  
 $\varrho \dagger [\sigma \dagger e]_e = (\sigma \circ_s \varrho) \dagger [e]_e$   
*<proof>*

**term**  $(f (\sigma \dagger e))_e$

**term**  $(\forall x. x + \$y > \$z)_e$

**term**  $(\forall k. P[\langle\langle k \rangle\rangle/x])_e$

**lemma** *subst-get* [*usubst*]:  $\sigma \dagger \text{get}_x = \langle\sigma\rangle_s x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-var* [*usubst*]:  $\sigma \dagger (\$x)_e = \langle\sigma\rangle_s x$   
*<proof>*

We can't use this as simplification unfortunately as the expression structure is too ambiguous to support automatic rewriting.

**lemma** *subst-uop*:  $\sigma \dagger (\langle\langle f \rangle\rangle e)_e = (\langle\langle f \rangle\rangle (\sigma \dagger e))_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-bop*:  $\sigma \dagger (\langle\langle f \rangle\rangle e_1 e_2)_e = (\langle\langle f \rangle\rangle (\sigma \dagger e_1) (\sigma \dagger e_2))_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lit* [*usubst*]:  $\sigma \dagger (\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle)_e = (\langle\langle v \rangle\rangle)_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas** *subst-basic-ops* [*usubst*] =  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=conj*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=disj*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=implies*]  
*subst-uop*[**where** *f=Not*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=HOL.eq*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=less*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=less-eq*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=Set.member*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=inf*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=sup*]  
*subst-bop*[**where** *f=Pair*]

A substitution update naturally yields the given expression.

**lemma** *subst-lookup-upd* [*usubst*]:  
**assumes** *weak-lens* *x*  
**shows**  $\langle\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow v)\rangle_s x = (v)_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lookup-upd-diff* [*usubst*]:  
**assumes**  $x \bowtie y$   
**shows**  $\langle\sigma(y \rightsquigarrow v)\rangle_s x = \langle\sigma\rangle_s x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lookup-pair* [*usubst*]:  
 $\langle\sigma\rangle_s (x +_L y) = ((\langle\sigma\rangle_s x, \langle\sigma\rangle_s y))_e$   
*<proof>*

Substitution update is idempotent.

**lemma** *usubst-upd-idem* [*usubst*]:  
**assumes** *mwb-lens*  $x$   
**shows**  $\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u, x \rightsquigarrow v) = \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *usubst-upd-idem-sub* [*usubst*]:  
**assumes**  $x \subseteq_L y$  *mwb-lens*  $y$   
**shows**  $\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u, y \rightsquigarrow v) = \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Substitution updates commute when the lenses are independent.

**lemma** *subst-upd-comm*:  
**assumes**  $x \bowtie y$   
**shows**  $\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u, y \rightsquigarrow v) = \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow v, x \rightsquigarrow u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-upd-comm2*:  
**assumes**  $z \bowtie y$   
**shows**  $\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u, y \rightsquigarrow v, z \rightsquigarrow s) = \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u, z \rightsquigarrow s, y \rightsquigarrow v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-upd-var-id* [*usubst*]:  
*vwb-lens*  $x \implies [x \rightsquigarrow \$x] = [\rightsquigarrow]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-upd-pair* [*usubst*]:  
 $\sigma((x, y) \rightsquigarrow (e, f)) = \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow f, x \rightsquigarrow e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-upd-comp* [*usubst*]:  
 $\varrho(x \rightsquigarrow v) \circ_s \sigma = (\varrho \circ_s \sigma)(x \rightsquigarrow \sigma \dagger v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *swap-subst-inj*:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{vwb-lens } y; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies \text{inj } \llbracket (x, y) \rightsquigarrow (\$y, \$x) \rrbracket$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.4 Proof rules

In proof, a lens can always be substituted for an arbitrary but fixed value.

**lemma** *taut-substI*:  
**assumes** *vwb-lens*  $x \wedge v$ .  $P \llbracket \langle v \rangle / x \rrbracket$   
**shows**  $P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-substI*:  
**assumes** *vwb-lens*  $x \wedge v$ .  $P \llbracket \langle v \rangle / x \rrbracket = Q \llbracket \langle v \rangle / x \rrbracket$

**shows**  $P = Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bool-eq-substI*:

**assumes**  $vwb\text{-lens } x \ P[[True/x]] = Q[[True/x]] \ P[[False/x]] = Q[[False/x]]$   
**shows**  $P = Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-eq-substI*:

**assumes**  $vwb\text{-lens } x \ \wedge \ v. \ P[\llbracket v \rrbracket/x] \leq Q[\llbracket v \rrbracket/x]$   
**shows**  $P \leq Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.5 Ordering substitutions

A simplification procedure to reorder substitutions maplets lexicographically by variable syntax

$\langle ML \rangle$

## 5.6 Substitution Unrestriction Laws

**lemma** *unrest-subst-lens* [*expr-simps*]:  $mwb\text{-lens } x \implies (\$x \#_s \sigma) = (\forall s \ v. \ \sigma \ (put_x \ s \ v) = put_x \ (\sigma \ s) \ v)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-subst-empty* [*unrest*]:  $x \#_s [\rightsquigarrow]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-subst-upd* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket vwb\text{-lens } x; \ x \bowtie y; \ \$x \# (e)_e; \ \$x \#_s \sigma \rrbracket \implies \$x \#_s \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-subst-upd-compl* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket vwb\text{-lens } x; \ y \subseteq_L x; \ -\$x \# (e)_e; \ -\$x \#_s \sigma \rrbracket \implies -\$x \#_s \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-subst-apply* [*unrest*]:  
 $\llbracket \$x \# P; \ \$x \#_s \sigma \rrbracket \implies \$x \# (\sigma \dagger P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *unrest-sset* [*unrest*]:  
 $x \bowtie y \implies \$x \#_s \text{sset}[\$y, v]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.7 Conditional Substitution Laws

**lemma** *subst-cond-upd-1* [*usubst*]:  
 $\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u) \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho(x \rightsquigarrow v) = (\sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho)(x \rightsquigarrow (u \triangleleft b \triangleright v))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-cond-upd-2* [*usubst*]:

$$\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \$x \#_s \varrho \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow u) \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho = (\sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho)(x \rightsquigarrow (u \triangleleft b \triangleright (\$x)_e))$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-cond-upd-3* [*usubst*]:

$$\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \$x \#_s \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho(x \rightsquigarrow v) = (\sigma \triangleleft b \triangleright \varrho)(x \rightsquigarrow ((\$x)_e \triangleleft b \triangleright v))$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *expr-if-bool-var-left*: *vwb-lens*  $x \Longrightarrow P[\text{True}/x] \triangleleft \$x \triangleright Q = P \triangleleft \$x \triangleright Q$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *expr-if-bool-var-right*: *vwb-lens*  $x \Longrightarrow P \triangleleft \$x \triangleright Q[\text{False}/x] = P \triangleleft \$x \triangleright Q$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-expr-if* [*usubst*]:  $\sigma \dagger (P \triangleleft B \triangleright Q) = (\sigma \dagger P) \triangleleft (\sigma \dagger B) \triangleright (\sigma \dagger Q)$

*<proof>*

## 5.8 Substitution Restriction Laws

**lemma** *subst-restrict-id* [*usubst*]: *idem-scene*  $a \Longrightarrow [\rightsquigarrow] \upharpoonright_s a = [\rightsquigarrow]$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-restrict-out* [*usubst*]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{vwb-lens } a; x \bowtie a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e)$

$$\upharpoonright_s \$a = \sigma \upharpoonright_s \$a$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-restrict-in* [*usubst*]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{vwb-lens } y; x \subseteq_L y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e)$

$$\upharpoonright_s \$y = (\sigma \upharpoonright_s \$y)(x \rightsquigarrow e)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-restrict-twice* [*simp*]:  $\sigma \upharpoonright_s a \upharpoonright_s a = \sigma \upharpoonright_s a$

*<proof>*

## 5.9 Evaluation

**lemma** *subst-SEXP* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\sigma \dagger [\lambda s. e s]_e = [\lambda s. e (\sigma s)]_e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *get-subst-id* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\text{get}_x([\rightsquigarrow] s) = \text{get}_x s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *get-subst-upd-same* [*usubst-eval*]: *weak-lens*  $x \Longrightarrow \text{get}_x((\sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e)) s) = e$

$s$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *get-subst-upd-indep* [*usubst-eval*]:

$$x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow \text{get}_x((\sigma(y \rightsquigarrow e)) s) = \text{get}_x(\sigma s)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-ssubst*:  $(a \# P) \longleftrightarrow (\forall s'. \text{sset } a \ s' \dagger P = (P)_e)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *unrest-ssubst-expr*:  $(a \# (P)_e) = (\forall s'. \text{sset}[a, s'] \dagger (P)_e = (P)_e)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *get-subst-sset-out* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{var-alpha } x \bowtie_S a \rrbracket \implies \text{get}_x$   
 $(\text{sset } a \ s' \ s) = \text{get}_x \ s$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *get-subst-sset-in* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{var-alpha } x \leq a \rrbracket \implies \text{get}_x$   
 $(\text{sset } a \ s' \ s) = \text{get}_x \ s'$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *get-subst-ext* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\text{get}_x (\text{subst-ext } a \ s) = \text{get}_{\text{ns-alpha } a \ x} \ s$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *unrest-sset-lens* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; \text{mwb-lens } y; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \implies \text{\$}x \ \#_s$   
 $\text{sset}[\text{\$}y, \ s]$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *get-subst-restrict-out* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } a; x \bowtie a \rrbracket \implies \text{get}_x ((\sigma \upharpoonright_s$   
 $\text{\$}a) \ s) = \text{get}_x \ s$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *get-subst-restrict-in* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } a; x \subseteq_L a \rrbracket \implies \text{get}_x ((\sigma \upharpoonright_s$   
 $\text{\$}a) \ s) = \text{get}_x (\sigma \ s)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

If a variable is unrestricted in a substitution then it's application has no effect.

**lemma** *subst-apply-unrest*:  
 $\llbracket \text{vwb-lens } x; \text{\$}x \ \#_s \ \sigma \rrbracket \implies \langle \sigma \rangle_s \ x = \text{var } x$   
 ⟨proof⟩

A tactic for proving unrestrictions by evaluating a special kind of substitution.

**method** *unrest uses add* = (*simp add: add unrest unrest-ssubst-expr var-alpha-combine usubst usubst-eval*)

A tactic for evaluating substitutions.

**method** *subst-eval uses add* = (*simp add: add usubst-eval usubst unrest*)

We can exercise finer grained control over substitutions with the following method.

**declare** *vwb-lens-mwb* [*lens*]  
**declare** *mwb-lens-weak* [*lens*]

```

method subst-eval' = (simp only: lens usubst-eval usubst unrest SEXP-apply)

end

```

## 6 Extension and Restriction

```

theory Extension
  imports Substitutions
begin

```

It is often necessary to coerce an expression into a different state space using a lens, for example when the state space grows to add additional variables. Extension and restriction is provided by *aext* and *ares* respectively. Here, we provide syntax translations and reasoning support for these.

### 6.1 Syntax

```

syntax
  -aext    :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  svid  $\Rightarrow$  logic (infixl  $\uparrow$  80)
  -ares    :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  svid  $\Rightarrow$  logic (infixl  $\downarrow$  80)
  -pre     :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic (-< [999] 1000)
  -post    :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic (-> [999] 1000)
  -drop-pre :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic (-< [999] 1000)
  -drop-post :: logic  $\Rightarrow$  logic (-> [999] 1000)

```

```

translations
  -aext P a == CONST aext P a
  -ares P a == CONST ares P a
  -pre P == -aext (P)e fstL
  -post P == -aext (P)e sndL
  -drop-pre P == -ares (P)e fstL
  -drop-post P == -ares (P)e sndL

```

```

expr-constructor aext
expr-constructor ares

```

```

named-theorems alpha

```

### 6.2 Laws

```

lemma aext-var [alpha]: ( $\$x$ )e  $\uparrow$  a = ( $\$a:x$ )e
  <proof>

```

```

lemma ares-aext [alpha]: weak-lens a  $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\uparrow$  a  $\downarrow$  a = P
  <proof>

```

```

lemma aext-ares [alpha]:  $\llbracket$  mwb-lens a; ( $\neg$   $\$a$ )  $\#$  P  $\rrbracket$   $\Longrightarrow$  P  $\downarrow$  a  $\uparrow$  a = P
  <proof>

```

**lemma** *expr-pre* [*simp*]:  $e^< (s_1, s_2) = (e)_e s_1$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *expr-post* [*simp*]:  $e^> (s_1, s_2) = (@e)_e s_2$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-aext-expr-lens* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; x \bowtie a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x \# (P \uparrow a)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-init-pre* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; \$x \# e \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x^< \# e^<$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-init-post* [*unrest*]:  $\text{mwb-lens } x \Longrightarrow \$x^< \# e^>$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-fin-pre* [*unrest*]:  $\text{mwb-lens } x \Longrightarrow \$x^> \# e^<$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *unrest-fin-post* [*unrest*]:  $\llbracket \text{mwb-lens } x; \$x \# e \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x^> \# e^>$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *aext-get-fst* [*usubst*]:  $\text{aext } (get_x) \text{ fst}_L = \text{get}_{ns-alpha} \text{ fst}_L x$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *aext-get-snd* [*usubst*]:  $\text{aext } (get_x) \text{ snd}_L = \text{get}_{ns-alpha} \text{ snd}_L x$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

### 6.3 Substitutions

**definition** *subst-aext* ::  $'a \text{ subst} \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ subst}$   
 where [*expr-defs*]:  $\text{subst-aext } \sigma x = (\lambda s. \text{put}_x s (\sigma (\text{get}_x s)))$

**definition** *subst-ares* ::  $'b \text{ subst} \Rightarrow ('a \Longrightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ subst}$   
 where [*expr-defs*]:  $\text{subst-ares } \sigma x = (\lambda s. \text{get}_x (\sigma (\text{create}_x s)))$

**syntax**

-*subst-aext* ::  $\text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{svid} \Rightarrow \text{logic}$  (**infixl**  $\uparrow_s$  80)

-*subst-ares* ::  $\text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{svid} \Rightarrow \text{logic}$  (**infixl**  $\downarrow_s$  80)

**translations**

-*subst-aext*  $P a == \text{CONST } \text{subst-aext } P a$

-*subst-ares*  $P a == \text{CONST } \text{subst-ares } P a$

**lemma** *unrest-subst-aext* [*unrest*]:  $x \bowtie a \Longrightarrow \$x \#_s (\sigma \uparrow_s a)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *subst-id-ext* [*usubst*]:  
 $\text{vwb-lens } x \Longrightarrow [\rightsquigarrow] \uparrow_s x = [\rightsquigarrow]$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *upd-subst-ext* [*alpha*]:

$$vwb\text{-lens } x \implies \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow e) \uparrow_s x = (\sigma \uparrow_s x)(x:y \rightsquigarrow e \uparrow x)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *apply-subst-ext* [*alpha*]:

$$vwb\text{-lens } x \implies (\sigma \dagger e) \uparrow x = (\sigma \uparrow_s x) \dagger (e \uparrow x)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-aext-compose* [*alpha*]:  $(\sigma \uparrow_s x) \uparrow_s y = \sigma \uparrow_s y:x$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-aext-comp* [*usubst*]:

$$vwb\text{-lens } a \implies (\sigma \uparrow_s a) \circ_s (\varrho \uparrow_s a) = (\sigma \circ_s \varrho) \uparrow_s a$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-id-res*:  $mwb\text{-lens } a \implies [\rightsquigarrow] \downarrow_s a = [\rightsquigarrow]$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *upd-subst-res-in*:

$$\llbracket mwb\text{-lens } a; x \subseteq_L a \rrbracket \implies \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e) \downarrow_s a = (\sigma \downarrow_s a)(x \uparrow a \rightsquigarrow e \downarrow a)$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *upd-subst-res-out*:

$$\llbracket mwb\text{-lens } a; x \bowtie a \rrbracket \implies \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e) \downarrow_s a = \sigma \downarrow_s a$$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-ext-lens-apply*:  $\llbracket mwb\text{-lens } a; -\$a \#_s \sigma \rrbracket \implies (a^\uparrow \circ_s \sigma) \dagger P = ((\sigma \downarrow_s a) \dagger P) \uparrow a$

*<proof>*

**end**

## 6.4 Liberation

**theory** *Liberation*

**imports** *Extension*

**begin**

Liberation [1] is an operator that removes dependence on a number of variables. It is similar to existential quantification, but is defined over a scene (a variable set).

## 6.5 Definition and Syntax

**definition** *liberate* ::  $('s \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \Rightarrow 's \text{ scene} \Rightarrow ('s \Rightarrow \text{bool})$  **where**

[*expr-defs*]:  $\text{liberate } P \ x = (\lambda \ s. \exists \ s'. P (s \oplus_S s' \text{ on } x))$

**syntax**

*-liberate* :: *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *salpha*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic* (**infixl** \ 80)

**translations**

*-liberate* *P x* == *CONST liberate P x*

*-liberate P x* <= *-liberate (P)<sub>e</sub> x*

**expr-constructor** *liberate* (0)

## 6.6 Laws

**lemma** *liberate-lens* [*expr-simps*]:

*mwb-lens x*  $\Longrightarrow$   $P \setminus \$x = (\lambda s. \exists s'. P (s \triangleleft_x s'))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-lens'*: *mwb-lens x*  $\Longrightarrow$   $P \setminus \$x = (\lambda s. \exists v. P (put_x s v))$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-as-subst*: *vwb-lens x*  $\Longrightarrow$   $e \setminus \$x = (\exists v. e[\langle v \rangle/x])_e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-liberate*:  $a \# P \setminus a$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *unrest-liberate-iff*:  $(a \# P) \longleftrightarrow (P \setminus a = P)$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-none* [*simp*]:  $P \setminus \emptyset = P$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-idem* [*simp*]:  $P \setminus a \setminus a = P \setminus a$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-commute* [*simp*]:  $a \bowtie_S b \Longrightarrow P \setminus a \setminus b = P \setminus b \setminus a$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-true* [*simp*]:  $(True)_e \setminus a = (True)_e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-false* [*simp*]:  $(False)_e \setminus a = (False)_e$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *liberate-disj* [*simp*]:  $(P \vee Q)_e \setminus a = (P \setminus a \vee Q \setminus a)_e$

*<proof>*

**end**

## 6.7 Quantifying Lenses

**theory** *Quantifiers*

**imports** *Liberation*  
**begin**

We define operators to existentially and universally quantify an expression over a lens.

## 6.8 Operators and Syntax

**definition**  $ex\text{-}expr :: ('a \Longrightarrow 's) \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $[expr\text{-}defs]: ex\text{-}expr\ x\ e = (\lambda\ s.\ (\exists\ v.\ e\ (put_x\ s\ v)))$

**definition**  $ex1\text{-}expr :: ('a \Longrightarrow 's) \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $[expr\text{-}defs]: ex1\text{-}expr\ x\ e = (\lambda\ s.\ (\exists!\ v.\ e\ (put_x\ s\ v)))$

**definition**  $all\text{-}expr :: ('a \Longrightarrow 's) \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow (bool, 's) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $[expr\text{-}defs]: all\text{-}expr\ x\ e = (\lambda\ s.\ (\forall\ v.\ e\ (put_x\ s\ v)))$

**expr-constructor**  $ex\text{-}expr\ (1)$

**expr-constructor**  $ex1\text{-}expr\ (1)$

**expr-constructor**  $all\text{-}expr\ (1)$

**syntax**

$-ex\text{-}expr :: svid \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic\ (\exists\ \bullet - [0, 20]\ 20)$

$-ex1\text{-}expr :: svid \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic\ (\exists_1\ \bullet - [0, 20]\ 20)$

$-all\text{-}expr :: svid \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow logic\ (\forall\ \bullet - [0, 20]\ 20)$

**translations**

$-ex\text{-}expr\ x\ P == CONST\ ex\text{-}expr\ x\ P$

$-ex1\text{-}expr\ x\ P == CONST\ ex1\text{-}expr\ x\ P$

$-all\text{-}expr\ x\ P == CONST\ all\text{-}expr\ x\ P$

## 6.9 Laws

**lemma**  $ex\text{-}is\text{-}liberation: mwb\text{-}lens\ x \Longrightarrow (\exists\ x\ \bullet\ P) = (P \setminus \$x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ex\text{-}unrest\text{-}iff: \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\$x\ \# P) \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ x\ \bullet P) = P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ex\text{-}unrest: \llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x; \$x\ \# P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (\exists\ x\ \bullet P) = P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $unrest\text{-}ex\text{-}in\ [unrest]:$   
 $\llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ y; x \subseteq_L y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x\ \# (\exists\ y\ \bullet P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $unrest\text{-}ex\text{-}out\ [unrest]:$   
 $\llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ x; \$x\ \# P; x \bowtie y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \$x\ \# (\exists\ y\ \bullet P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subst-ex-out* [*usubst*]:  $\llbracket mwb\text{-lens } x; \$x \#_s \sigma \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sigma \dagger (\exists x \bullet P) = (\exists x \bullet \sigma \dagger P)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *subst-lit-ex-indep* [*usubst*]:  
 $y \bowtie x \Longrightarrow \sigma(y \rightsquigarrow \langle v \rangle) \dagger (\exists x \bullet P) = \sigma \dagger (\exists x \bullet [y \rightsquigarrow \langle v \rangle] \dagger P)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *subst-ex-in* [*usubst*]:  
 $\llbracket vwb\text{-lens } a; x \subseteq_L a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \sigma(x \rightsquigarrow e) \dagger (\exists a \bullet P) = \sigma \dagger (\exists a \bullet P)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**declare** *lens-plus-right-sublens* [*simp*]

**lemma** *ex-as-subst*:  $vwb\text{-lens } x \Longrightarrow (\exists x \bullet e) = (\exists v. e[\langle v \rangle/x])_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-twice* [*simp*]:  $mwb\text{-lens } x \Longrightarrow (\exists x \bullet \exists x \bullet P) = (\exists x \bullet P)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-commute*:  $x \bowtie y \Longrightarrow (\exists x \bullet \exists y \bullet P) = (\exists y \bullet \exists x \bullet P)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-true* [*simp*]:  $(\exists x \bullet (True)_e) = (True)_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-false* [*simp*]:  $(\exists x \bullet (False)_e) = (False)_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-disj* [*simp*]:  $(\exists x \bullet (P \vee Q)_e) = ((\exists x \bullet P) \vee (\exists x \bullet Q))_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-plus*:  
 $(\exists (y,x) \bullet P) = (\exists x \bullet \exists y \bullet P)$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *all-as-ex*:  $(\forall x \bullet P) = (\neg (\exists x \bullet \neg P))_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-as-all*:  $(\exists x \bullet P) = (\neg (\forall x \bullet \neg P))_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

## 6.10 Cylindric Algebra

**lemma** *ex-C1*:  $(\exists x \bullet (False)_e) = (False)_e$   
 ⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** *ex-C2*:  $wb\text{-lens } x \Longrightarrow 'P \longrightarrow (\exists x \bullet P)'$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *ex-C3*:  $mwb\text{-lens } x \implies (\exists x \bullet (P \wedge (\exists x \bullet Q)))_e = ((\exists x \bullet P) \wedge (\exists x \bullet Q))_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ex-C4a*:  $x \approx_L y \implies (\exists x \bullet \exists y \bullet P) = (\exists y \bullet \exists x \bullet P)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ex-C4b*:  $x \bowtie y \implies (\exists x \bullet \exists y \bullet P) = (\exists y \bullet \exists x \bullet P)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ex-C5*:  
**fixes**  $x :: ('a \implies 'a)$   
**shows**  $(\$x = \$x)_e = (True)_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ex-C6*:  
**assumes**  $wb\text{-lens } x \bowtie y \bowtie z$   
**shows**  $(\$y = \$z)_e = (\exists x \bullet \$y = \$x \wedge \$x = \$z)_e$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ex-C7*:  
**assumes**  $weak\text{-lens } x \bowtie y$   
**shows**  $((\exists x \bullet \$x = \$y \wedge P) \wedge (\exists x \bullet \$x = \$y \wedge \neg P))_e = (False)_e$   
*<proof>*

**end**

## 7 Collections

**theory** *Collections*  
**imports** *Substitutions*  
**begin**

A lens whose source is a collection type (e.g. a list or map) can be divided into several lenses, corresponding to each of the elements in the collection. This can be used to support update of an individual collection element, such as an array update. Here, we provide the infrastructure to support such collection lenses [2].

### 7.1 Partial Lens Definedness

Partial lenses (e.g. *mwb-lens*) are only defined for certain states. For example, the list lens is defined only when the source list is of a sufficient length. Below, we define a predicate that characterises the states in a which such a lens is defined.

**definition** *lens-defined* :: ('a  $\implies$  's)  $\Rightarrow$  (bool, 's) *expr* **where**  
 [*expr-defs*]: *lens-defined* x = ( $\$v \in \mathcal{S}_{\langle x \rangle}$ )<sub>e</sub>

**syntax** *-lens-defined* :: *svid*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic* ( $\mathbf{D}'(-)$ )

**translations** *-lens-defined* x == *CONST lens-defined* x

**expr-constructor** *lens-defined*

## 7.2 Dynamic Lenses

Dynamics lenses [2] are used to model elements of a lens indexed by some type 'i. The index is typically used to select different elements of a collection. The lens is “dynamic” because the particular index is provided by an expression 's  $\Rightarrow$  'i, which can change from state to state. We normally assume that this expression does not refer to the indexed lens itself.

**definition** *dyn-lens* :: ('i  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\implies$  's))  $\Rightarrow$  ('s  $\Rightarrow$  'i)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\implies$  's) **where**  
 [*lens-defs*]: *dyn-lens* f x = ( $\llbracket$  *lens-get* = ( $\lambda$  s. *get<sub>f</sub>* (x s) s), *lens-put* = ( $\lambda$  s v. *put<sub>f</sub>* (x s) s v)  $\rrbracket$ )

**lemma** *dyn-lens-mwb* [*simp*]:  $\llbracket \bigwedge i. \text{mwb-lens } (f\ i); \bigwedge i. \$f(i) \# e \rrbracket \implies \text{mwb-lens } (dyn-lens\ f\ e)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *ind-lens-vwb* [*simp*]:  $\llbracket \bigwedge i. \text{vwb-lens } (f\ i); \bigwedge i. \$f(i) \# e \rrbracket \implies \text{vwb-lens } (dyn-lens\ f\ e)$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *src-dyn-lens*:  $\llbracket \bigwedge i. \text{mwb-lens } (f\ i); \bigwedge i. \$f(i) \# e \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{S}_{dyn-lens\ f\ e} = \{s. s \in \mathcal{S}_f\ (e\ s)\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *subst-lookup-dyn-lens* [*usubst*]:  $\llbracket \bigwedge i. f\ i \bowtie x \rrbracket \implies \langle \text{subst-upd } \sigma\ (dyn-lens\ f\ k)\ e \rangle_s\ x = \langle \sigma \rangle_s\ x$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *get-upd-dyn-lens* [*usubst-eval*]:  $\llbracket \bigwedge i. f\ i \bowtie x \rrbracket \implies \text{get}_x\ (\text{subst-upd } \sigma\ (dyn-lens\ f\ k)\ e\ s) = \text{get}_x\ (\sigma\ s)$   
*<proof>*

## 7.3 Overloaded Collection Lens

The following polymorphic constant is used to provide implementations of different collection lenses. Type 'k is the index into the collection. For the list collection lens, the index has type *nat*.

**consts** *collection-lens* :: 'k  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\implies$  's)

**definition** [*lens-defs*]: *fun-collection-lens* = *fun-lens*

**definition** [*lens-defs*]:  $list\text{-}collection\text{-}lens = list\text{-}lens$

**adhoc-overloading**

$collection\text{-}lens \equiv fun\text{-}collection\text{-}lens$  **and**  
 $collection\text{-}lens \equiv list\text{-}collection\text{-}lens$

**lemma** *vwb-fun-collection-lens* [*simp*]:  $vwb\text{-}lens (fun\text{-}collection\text{-}lens\ k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *put-fun-collection-lens* [*simp*]:  
 $put_{fun\text{-}collection\text{-}lens}\ i = (\lambda f. fun\text{-}upd\ f\ i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mwb-list-collection-lens* [*simp*]:  $mwb\text{-}lens (list\text{-}collection\text{-}lens\ i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *source-list-collection-lens*:  $\mathcal{S}_{list\text{-}collection\text{-}lens}\ i = \{xs. i < length\ xs\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *put-list-collection-lens* [*simp*]:  
 $put_{list\text{-}collection\text{-}lens}\ i = (\lambda xs. list\text{-}augment\ xs\ i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 7.4 Syntax for Collection Lenses

We add variable identifier syntax for collection lenses, which allows us to write  $x[i]$  for some collection and index.

**abbreviation** *dyn-lens-poly*  $f\ x\ i \equiv dyn\text{-}lens (\lambda k. ns\text{-}alpha\ x\ (f\ k))\ i$

**syntax**

$-svid\text{-}collection :: svid \Rightarrow logic \Rightarrow svid\ (-[-]\ [999, 0]\ 999)$

**translations**

$-svid\text{-}collection\ x\ e == CONST\ dyn\text{-}lens\text{-}poly\ CONST\ collection\text{-}lens\ x\ (e)_e$

**lemma** *source-ns-alpha*:  $\llbracket mwb\text{-}lens\ a; mwb\text{-}lens\ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathcal{S}_{ns\text{-}alpha\ a\ x} = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_a. get_a\ s \in \mathcal{S}_x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *defined-vwb-lens* [*simp*]:  $vwb\text{-}lens\ x \Longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(x) = (True)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *defined-list-collection-lens* [*simp*]:  
 $\llbracket vwb\text{-}lens\ x; \$x\ \# e \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \mathbf{D}(x[e]) = (e < length(\$x))_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lens-defined-list-code* [*code-unfold*]:  
 $vwb\text{-}lens\ x \Longrightarrow lens\text{-}defined\ (ns\text{-}alpha\ x\ (list\text{-}collection\text{-}lens\ i)) = (\llbracket i \rrbracket < length(\$x))_e$

*<proof>*

The next theorem allows the simplification of a collection lens update.

**lemma** *get-subst-upd-dyn-lens* [*simp*]:

$$\begin{aligned} mwb\text{-lens } x &\Longrightarrow get_x (subst\text{-upd } \sigma (dyn\text{-lens-poly } cl \ x \ (e)_e) \ v \ s) \\ &= lens\text{-put } (cl \ (e \ (\sigma \ s))) \ (get_x \ (\sigma \ s)) \ (v \ s) \end{aligned}$$

*<proof>*

**end**

## 8 Named Expression Definitions

**theory** *Named-Expressions*

**imports** *Expressions*

**keywords** *edefinition expression* :: *thy-decl-block* **and** *over*

**begin**

Here, we add a command that allows definition of a named expression. It provides a more concise version of **definition** and inserts the expression brackets.

*<ML>*

**end**

## 9 Local State

**theory** *Local-State*

**imports** *Expressions*

**begin**

This theory supports ad-hoc extension of an alphabet type with a tuple of lenses constructed using successive applications of  $fst_L$  and  $snd_L$ . It effectively allows local variables, since we always add a collection of new variables.

We declare a number of syntax translations to produce lens and product types, to obtain a type for the overall state space, to construct a tuple that denotes the lens vector parameter, to construct the vector itself, and finally to construct the state declaration.

**syntax**

*-lensT* :: *type*  $\Rightarrow$  *type*  $\Rightarrow$  *type* (*LENSTYPE'*(-, -'))

*-pairT* :: *type*  $\Rightarrow$  *type*  $\Rightarrow$  *type* (*PAIRTYPE'*(-, -'))

*-state-type* :: *pstrn*  $\Rightarrow$  *type*

*-state-tuple* :: *type*  $\Rightarrow$  *pstrn*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic*

*-state-lenses* :: *pstrn*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic* (*localstate* (-)/ *over* (-) [0, 10] 10)

*-lvar-abs* :: *id*  $\Rightarrow$  *type*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic*  $\Rightarrow$  *logic*

### translations

(*type*) *PAIRTYPE*('a, 'b) => (*type*) 'a × 'b  
(*type*) *LENSTYPE*('a, 'b) => (*type*) 'a ==> 'b

-*state-type* (-*constrain* x t) => t  
-*state-type* (*CONST Product-Type.Pair* (-*constrain* x t) vs) => -*pairT* t (-*state-type* vs)

-*state-tuple* st (-*constrain* x t) => -*constrain* x (-*lensT* t st)  
-*state-tuple* st (*CONST Pair* (-*constrain* x t) vs) =>  
  *CONST Product-Type.Pair* (-*constrain* x (-*lensT* t st)) (-*state-tuple* st vs)

⟨ML⟩

**term** *localstate* (x::int, y::int) over 1<sub>L</sub>

**end**

## 10 Shallow Expressions Meta-Theory

**theory** *Shallow-Expressions*

**imports**

*Variables Expressions Unrestriction Substitutions Extension Liberation Quantifiers Collections*

*Named-Expressions Local-State*

**begin end**

## 11 Expression Test Cases and Examples

**theory** *Expressions-Tests*

**imports** *Expressions Named-Expressions*

**begin**

Some examples of lifted expressions follow. For now, we turn off the pretty printer so that we can see the results of the parser.

**declare** [[*pretty-print-exprs=false*]]

**term** (f + g)<sub>e</sub> — Lift an expression and insert *-sexp-pqt* for pretty printing

**term** (f + g)<sub>e</sub> — Lift an expression and don't insert *-sexp-pqt*

The default behaviour of our parser is to recognise identifiers as expression variables. So, the above expression becomes the term [λs. f s + g s]<sub>e</sub>. We can easily change this using the attribute *literal-variables*:

**declare** [[*literal-variables*]]

**term** (f + g)<sub>e</sub>

Now,  $f$  and  $g$  are both parsed as literals, and so the term is  $[\lambda s. f + g]_e$ . Alternatively, we could have a lens in the expression, by marking a free variable with a dollar :

**term**  $(\$x + g)_e$

This gives the term  $[\lambda s. get_x s + g]_e$ . Although we have default behaviours for parsing, we can use different markup to coerce identifiers to particular variable kinds.

**term**  $(\$x + @g)_e$

This gives  $[\lambda s. get_x s + g s]_e$ , the we have requested that  $g$  is treated as an expression variable. We can do similar with literal, as show below.

**term**  $(f + \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle)_e$

Some further examples follow.

**term**  $(\langle\langle f \rangle\rangle (@e))_e$

**term**  $(@f + @g)_e$

**term**  $(@x)_e$

**term**  $(\$x:y:z)_e$

**term**  $((\$x:y):z)_e$

**term**  $(x::nat)_e$

**term**  $(\forall x::nat. x > 2)_e$

**term**  $SEXP(\lambda s. get_x s + e s + v)$

**term**  $(v \in \$xs \cup (\$f) ys \cup \{\} \wedge @e)_e$

We now turn pretty printing back on, so we can see how the user sees expressions.

**declare**  $[[pretty-print-exprs, literal-variables=false]]$

**term**  $(\$x^< = \$x^>)_e$

**term**  $(\$x.1 = \$y.2)_e$

The pretty printer works even when we don't use the parser, as shown below.

**term**  $[\lambda s. get_x s + e s + v]_e$

By default, dollars are printed next to free variables that are lenses. However, we can alter this behaviour with the attribute *mark-state-variables*:

**declare**  $[[mark-state-variables=false]]$

```
term ( $\$x + e + v$ )e
```

This way, the  $x$  variable is indistinguishable when printed from the  $e$  and  $v$ . Usually, this information can be inferred from the types of the entities:

```
alphabet st =  
  x :: int
```

```
term ( $x + e + v$ )e
```

```
expression x-is-big over st is  $x > 1000$ 
```

```
term (x-is-big  $\longrightarrow x > 0$ )e
```

Here,  $x$  is a lens defined by the **alphabet** command, and so the lifting translation treats it as a state variable. This is hidden from the user.

```
dataspace testspace =  
  variables z :: int
```

```
declare [[literal-variables]]
```

```
context testspace  
begin
```

```
edefinition z-is-bigger y = ( $z > y$ )
```

```
term (z-is-bigger ( $z + 1$ ))e
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

## 12 Examples of Shallow Expressions

```
theory Shallow-Expressions-Examples  
  imports Shallow-Expressions  
begin
```

### 12.1 Basic Expressions and Queries

We define some basic variables using the **alphabet** command, process some simple expressions, and then perform some unrestriction queries and substitution transformations.

```
declare [[literal-variables]]
```

```
alphabet st =  
  v1 :: int
```

$v2 :: int$   
 $v3 :: string$

**term**  $(v1 > a)_e$

**declare**  $[[pretty-print-exprs=false]]$

**term**  $(v1 > a)_e$

**declare**  $[[pretty-print-exprs]]$

**lemma**  $\$v2 \# (v1 > 5)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $(v1 > 5)_e[[v2/v1]] = (v2 > 5)_e$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

We sometimes would like to define “constructors” for expressions. These are functions that produce expressions, and may also have expressions as arguments. Unlike for other functions, during lifting the state is not passed to the arguments, but is passed to the constructor constant itself. An example is given below:

**definition**  $v1-greater :: int \Rightarrow (bool, st) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $v1-greater\ x = (v1 > x)_e$

**expr-constructor**  $v1-greater$

Definition  $v1-greater$  is a constructor for an expression, and so it should not be lifted. Therefore we use the command **expr-constructor** to specify this, which modifies the behaviour of the lifting parser, and means that  $(v1-greater\ 7)_e$  is correctly translated.

If it is desired that one or more of the arguments is an expression, then this can be specified using an optional list of numbers. In the example below, the first argument is an expression.

**definition**  $v1-greater' :: (int, st) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow (bool, st) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $v1-greater'\ x = (v1 > @x)_e$

**expr-constructor**  $v1-greater' (0)$

**term**  $(v1-greater' (v1 + 1))_e$

We also sometimes wish to have functions that return expressions, whose arguments should be lifted. We can achieve this using the **expr-function** command:

**definition**  $v1-less :: int \Rightarrow (bool, st) \text{ expr}$  **where**  
 $v1-less\ x = (v1 < x)_e$

**expr-function** *v1-less*

This means, we can parse terms like  $(v1-less\ \$v1 + 1)_e$  – notice that this returns an expression and takes an expression as an input. Alternatively, we can achieve the same effect with the **edefinition** command, which is like **definition**, but uses the expression parse and lifts the arguments as expressions. It is typically used for user-level functions that depend on the state.

**edefinition** *v1-less'* **where** *v1-less'*  $x = (v1 < x)$

**term**  $(v1-less'\ (v1 + 1))_e$

In addition, we can define an expression using the command below, which automatically performs expression lifting in the defining term. These constants are also set as expression constructors.

**expression** *v1-is-big* **over** *st* **is**  $v1 > 100$

**expression** *inc-v1* **over**  $st \times st$  **is**  $v1^> = v1^< + 1$

Definitional equations for named expressions are collected in the theorem attribute  $v1-less'\ ?x = (\$v1 < ?x)_e$

$v1-is-big = (100 < \$v1)_e$

$inc-v1 = ((\$v1)^> = (\$v1)^< + 1)_e$ .

**thm** *named-expr-defs*

## 12.2 Hierarchical State

**alphabet** *person* =

*name* :: *string*

*age* :: *nat*

**alphabet** *company* =

*adam* :: *person*

*bella* :: *person*

*carol* :: *person*

**term**  $(\$adam:age > \$carol:age)_e$

**term**  $(\$adam:name \neq \$bella:name)_e$

## 12.3 Program Semantics

We give a predicative semantics to a simple imperative programming language with sequence, conditional, and assignment, using lenses and shallow expressions. We then use these definitions to prove some basic laws of programming.

**declare**  $[[\text{literal-variables}=\text{false}]]$

**type-synonym**  $'s \text{ prog} = 's \times 's \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

**definition**  $\text{seq} :: 's \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 's \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 's \text{ prog} \text{ (infixr } ;; \text{ 85) where}$   
 $[\text{expr-defs}]: \text{seq } P \ Q = (\exists s. P[[\langle s \rangle / \mathbf{v}^{\rangle}] \wedge Q[[\langle s \rangle / \mathbf{v}^{\langle}]])_e$

**definition**  $\text{ifthenelse} :: (\text{bool}, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow 's \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 's \text{ prog} \Rightarrow 's \text{ prog where}$   
 $[\text{expr-defs}]: \text{ifthenelse } b \ P \ Q = (\text{if } b^{\langle} \text{ then } P \ \text{else } Q)_e$

**definition**  $\text{assign} :: ('a \Rightarrow 's) \Rightarrow ('a, 's) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow 's \text{ prog where}$   
 $[\text{expr-defs}]: \text{assign } x \ e = (\$x^{\rangle} = e^{\langle} \wedge \mathbf{v}^{\rangle} \simeq_{\langle x \rangle} \mathbf{v}^{\langle})_e$

**syntax**

$-\text{assign} :: \text{svid} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \text{ (- ::= - [86, 87] 87)}$

$-\text{ifthenelse} :: \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \Rightarrow \text{logic} \text{ (IF - THEN - ELSE - [0, 0, 84] 84)}$

The syntax translations insert the expression brackets, which means the expressions are lifted, without this being visible to the user.

**translations**

$-\text{assign } x \ e == \text{CONST assign } x \ (e)_e$

$-\text{ifthenelse } b \ P \ Q == \text{CONST ifthenelse } (b)_e \ P \ Q$

**lemma**  $\text{seq-assoc}: P ;; (Q ;; R) = (P ;; Q) ;; R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ifthenelse-seq-distr}: (\text{IF } B \ \text{THEN } P \ \text{ELSE } Q) ;; R = \text{IF } B \ \text{THEN } P ;; R$   
 $\text{ELSE } Q ;; R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{assign-twice}$ :

**assumes**  $\text{mwb-lens } x$

**shows**  $x ::= e ;; x ::= f = x ::= f[[e/x]]$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{assign-commute}$ :

**assumes**  $\text{mwb-lens } x \ \text{mwb-lens } y \ x \bowtie y \ \$x \ \# \ (e)_e \ \$x \ \# \ (f)_e$

**shows**  $(x ::= e ;; y ::= f) = (y ::= f ;; x ::= e)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{assign-combine}$ :

**assumes**  $\text{mwb-lens } x \ \text{mwb-lens } y \ x \ bowtie y \ \$x \ \# \ (f)_e$

**shows**  $(x ::= e ;; y ::= f) = (x, y) ::= (e, f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Below, we apply the assignment commutativity law in a small example:

**declare**  $[[\text{literal-variables}]]$

**lemma**  $\text{assign-commute-example}$ :

```
adam:name ::= "Adam" ;; bella:name ::= "Bella" =  
bella:name ::= "Bella" ;; adam:name ::= "Adam"  
⟨proof⟩
```

**end**

## References

- [1] B. Dongol, I. Hayes, L. Meinicke, and G. Struth. Cylindric Kleene lattices for program construction. In *MPC*, volume 11825 of *LNCS*, pages 192–225. Springer, October 2019.
- [2] S. Foster and J. Baxter. Automated algebraic reasoning for collections and local variables with lenses. In *RAMiCS*, volume 12062 of *LNCS*. Springer, 2020.
- [3] S. Foster, J. Baxter, A. Cavalcanti, J. Woodcock, and F. Zeyda. Unifying semantic foundations for automated verification tools in Isabelle/UTP. *Science of Computer Programming*, 197, October 2020.
- [4] S. Foster, C.-K. Hur, and J. Woodcock. Unifying model execution and deductive verification with Interaction Trees in Isabelle/HOL. *ACM Trans. on Software Engineering Methodology (TOSEM)*, 2024.
- [5] S. Foster, C. Pardillo-Laursen, and F. Zeyda. Optics. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2017. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/Optics.html>, Formal proof development.
- [6] J. J. Huerta y Munive, S. Foster, M. Gleirscher, G. Struth, C. P. Laursen, and T. Hickman. IsaVODEs: Interactive Verification of Cyber-Physical Systems at Scale. *Journal of Automated Reasoning*, 2024.
- [7] M. Oliveira, A. Cavalcanti, and J. Woodcock. Unifying theories in ProofPower-Z. In *UTP 2006*, volume 4010 of *LNCS*, pages 123–140. Springer, 2007.