

‘Sets’ Revisited: Working with a Large Category in Isabelle/HOL

Eugene W. Stark

February 10, 2026

Abstract

We revisit the problem of formalization of the category of sets and functions in Isabelle/HOL, regarding it as a paradigm for the formalization of other large categories. We follow a general plan in which we extend the “category” locale from our previous article [3] with a few axioms that allow us to pass back and forth between objects and arrows internal to the category and “real” sets and functions external to it. Using this setup, we prove the standard properties of the category of sets as consequences of the properties of the external notions. A key feature is the inclusion of an axiom that allows us to obtain objects internal to the category corresponding to externally given sets. To avoid inconsistency, our framework axiomatizes a notion of “smallness” and only asserts the existence of objects corresponding to small sets. We give two “top-level” interpretations of our “sets category” locale. One uses “finite” as the notion of smallness and uses only standard HOL for its construction, which results in a small category. The other uses the axiomatic extension of HOL given in [2] to construct an interpretation that incorporates infinite sets as well, resulting in a large (but locally small) category.

Contents

1	Introduction	4
2	Smallness	9
2.1	Basic Notions	10
2.2	Smallness of Finite Sets	11
2.3	Smallness of Binary Products	11
2.4	Smallness of Sums	12
2.5	Smallness of Powersets	15
2.6	Smallness of the Set of Natural Numbers	16
2.7	Smallness of Function Spaces	16
2.7.1	Small Functions	16
2.7.2	Small Funcsets	22
2.8	Smallness of Sets of Lists	23
3	Universe	26
3.1	Embeddings	26
3.2	Lifting	27
3.3	Pairing	28
3.4	Powering	29
3.5	Tupling	30
3.6	Universe	35
4	The Category of Small Sets	41
4.1	Basic Definitions and Properties	41
4.2	Categoricity	53
4.3	Well-Pointedness	62
4.4	Epis Split	62
4.5	Equalizers	65
4.5.1	Exported Notions	70
4.6	Binary Products	72
4.6.1	Exported Notions	80
4.7	Binary Coproducts	83
4.7.1	Exported Notions	91

4.8	Small Products	94
4.8.1	Exported Notions	108
4.9	Small Coproducts	110
4.9.1	Exported Notions	119
4.10	Coequalizers	120
4.10.1	Exported Notions	130
4.11	Exponentials	131
4.11.1	Exported Notions	143
4.12	Subobject Classifier	146
4.13	Natural Numbers Object	153
4.14	Sets Category with Tupling and Infinity	158
5	Interpretations of <i>universe</i>	159
5.1	Interpretation using Natural Numbers	159
5.2	Interpretation using <i>ZFC-in-HOL</i>	161
6	Interpretations of <i>sets-cat</i>	165
6.1	Category of Finite Sets	165
6.2	Category of ZFC Sets	176
	Bibliography	180

Chapter 1

Introduction

In a previous article [3] we formalized many basic notions and facts from category theory. The formalization was carried out in HOL, in spite of the fact that HOL is significantly weaker than set theories usually cited as foundations for category theory. The rationale for doing so was that most of the central concepts in category theory have significant content, even in contexts, such as small categories, that pose no foundational issues. At some point, however, one wants to be able to work with categories that are not small; the category of sets being the prototypical example. That is, we would like to have a category S that first of all can be considered as a “set category”, in the sense that there is fully faithful functorial way of mapping its objects to sets and its arrows to functions, and which in addition has “enough objects” in the sense that if we given any “real” set then there will exist a representative object of S whose elements correspond bijectively to the elements of the given set. Such a category would enjoy the small completeness and cocompleteness properties we would expect of the “real” category of sets.

Now, in standard HOL it is not possible to define a category of sets as described above, because the normal axioms of HOL do not prove the existence of a type “large enough” to provide (even up to equipollence) sets to represent the result of iterated exponentiations starting from an infinite set. However, it is possible to get around this restriction by adding additional axioms that assert the existence of such a type. This is the approach taken in the article [2], which augments HOL with additional axioms whose essence is to assert the existence of a new type V whose elements correspond to sets that can be proved to exist in ZFC. To avoid obvious inconsistency, clearly not every set of elements at type V can correspond to an element of V ; the sets that do correspond to elements of V are declared to be “small”. The notion of smallness is then extended via equipollence to obtain a notion of small sets at arbitrary types.

In the article [3] the present author used the ZFC-in-HOL axiomatization to define a “set category” whose objects are in bijective correspondence with the small sets at type V . This does produce a usable category of small sets, but there are some identifiable deficiencies. First of all, the construction is very closely tied to the ZFC-in-HOL development and the particular type V introduced there. It would be more flexible if somehow the necessary assumptions could be distilled and expressed (using Isabelle’s locale fea-

ture, for example) as assumptions about an unspecified type named by a type variable, or, more generally, as assumptions about a set of elements of such a type. Secondly, the construction given in [3] was somewhat *ad hoc*, which although it served its purpose as a proof-of-concept, did not pay much attention to the ultimate usability of the theory nor provide much guidance as to how the construction might be generalized to produce categories of sets with additional structure (a category of groups, for example).

The purpose of present article is to revisit the problem of formalizing the category of sets in Isabelle/HOL while trying to address the above deficiencies. The approach we have taken is as follows. We first attempt to decouple the underlying extensions needed to HOL from the particular development in ZFC-in-HOL and to re-express these extensions, independently of the particular type V , using Isabelle’s locale feature. This leads us to identify two main aspects that need to be addressed: (1) the notion of “smallness” of a set; and (2) and notion of a “universe”, comprising a collection of sets that is in some sense closed under the usual set-theoretic constructions.

The notion of smallness is addressed by the theory *Smallness*, which introduces several locales whose assumptions concern a function $sml :: 'V \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ which is understood as specifying a collection of sets, at some unspecified but fixed type $'V$, which are to be considered “small”. A base locale, *smallness*, assumes as a regularity condition that the function sml respects equipollence and then uses polymorphism to extend this function by equipollence to a function $small :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ at every type. (It is done this way because types mentioned in locale parameters are essentially fixed, whereas functions defined in the body of a locale can be polymorphic.) Several extensions to the *smallness* locale are then defined, corresponding to various assumptions about what sets are to be considered as small. The *small_finite* locale is satisfied by notions of smallness for which arbitrary finite sets are considered to be small. The *small_nat* locale is satisfied by notions of smallness for which the set of natural numbers is small. The *small_product* locale is satisfied by notions of smallness that are preserved under cartesian product. The *small_sum* locale is satisfied by notions of smallness that are preserved under the formation of small-indexed unions. The *small_powerset* locale is satisfied by notions of smallness for which the set of all subsets of a small set is again small. The *small_funcset* locale is satisfied by notions of smallness that are preserved by a suitable construction of function spaces (this involves some technical issues that result from the the fact that HOL requires all functions to be total).

The notion of a “universe” is addressed by the theory *Universe*. This theory introduces several locales whose assumptions concern a set $univ :: 'U \text{ set}$, at some unspecified but fixed type $'U$, which admits embeddings of various other sets; typically resulting from constructions on *univ* itself. A base locale, *embedding*, defines the notion of an injective embedding of another set into *univ*. The *lifting* locale is satisfied when the set *univ* embeds the disjoint union of itself and an additional element. The *pairing* locale is satisfied when the set *univ* embeds $univ \times univ$. The *powering* locale is satisfied when the set *univ* embeds the set of all its “small” subsets. The *tupling* locale is satisfied when the set *univ* embeds the set of all “small extensional functions” on its elements (here, again, there are some technical issues to be addressed). Finally, the *universe* locale combines the *tupling* locale with the assumption that the set of natural numbers is small.

Having defined the above locales, we proceed to defining the *sets_cat* locale, which axiomatizes the notion “category of sets and functions”. This definition follows a general plan that can be applied to construct locales that axiomatize categories of other kinds of algebraic structures. We first define the locale *sets_cat_base*, which is satisfied by an arbitrary category C with terminal object together with a notion of smallness. The *sets_cat_base* locale provides a convenient place to define correspondences, between objects of C and sets and between arrows of C and functions. Specifically, after making an arbitrary choice of terminal object, we define a function *Set* that takes each object to the set of its global elements, and a function *Fun* that takes each arrow to the function on global elements it induces by composition. Here we are exploiting the well-pointedness of a category of sets and functions to simplify things a bit. To apply the same plan to categories that are not well-pointed, we will have to use generalized elements instead, which is possible, but more cumbersome.

The *sets_cat_base* locale is then extended to the *sets_cat* locale by adding four axioms. The first axiom asserts that the set of global elements of every object is small. The second axiom asserts that the mapping *Fun* that takes arrows to functions on global elements is injective. The third axiom asserts that for every “real” function F from the set of global elements of object a to the set of global elements of object b there is an arrow $f : a \rightarrow b$ of C such that $\text{Fun } f = F$. Finally, the fourth axiom, which we call “repleteness”, asserts that for every small subset A of the set of arrows of C there exists an object a of C such that the set of global elements of a is equipollent with A . Although the restrictions imposed by Isabelle/HOL on locale definitions require that this axiom be expressed with respect to a fixed type, namely the type of arrows of C , in the body of the locale we can immediately extend the repleteness property to show the existence of objects corresponding to small sets at arbitrary types, as long as a set for which we want to obtain an object “embeds” via an injective mapping into the set of arrows of C .

The gist of the *sets_cat* axioms is to assert the existence of a “meta-functor” from C to “real sets” (of global elements of C) and “real functions” (between sets of global elements), which is full, faithful, and surjective from objects to small sets (of arrows of C). Moreover, we can obtain an object corresponding to a given small set at an arbitrary type, assuming that there is an embedding of that set into the set of arrows of C . So, the image of C under this meta-functor is a “meta-category” whose objects are sets of arrows of C and whose arrows are functions between such sets. This meta-category is in general only equivalent to C , not isomorphic to it, because when we pass from a small set A to the corresponding object $\text{mkide } A$ and then back to the set $\text{Set}(\text{mkide } A)$ of global elements of $\text{mkide } a$, we recover a set that is only equipollent to A , rather than equal to it. We therefore obtain a pair of inverse “comparison maps” between an externally given small set A and the set of global elements of the object $\text{mkide } a$ corresponding to it. The map *IN* encodes each element of A as a corresponding global element of $\text{mkide } A$; the inverse map *OUT* decodes each global element of $\text{mkide } A$ to the corresponding element of A . We use the just-outlined structure to prove a “categoricity” result which states that, a category C that satisfies the *sets_cat* locale is, up to equivalence of categories, the unique such category whose set of arrows has the same cardinality as that of C . The same overall pattern can be applied to algebraic structures more general than sets, but

note that in this case the comparison maps will end up being isomorphisms for these structures, rather than just invertible functions.

We then proceed to develop the consequences of the *sets_cat* axioms; proving a set of properties roughly patterned after those in Lawvere’s “Elementary Theory of the Category of Sets” [1]. In brief, we show that, if the collection of arrows of C forms a “universe”, then C is well-pointed, small-complete and small co-complete, cartesian closed, has a subobject classifier and a natural numbers object, and splits all epimorphisms. The fact that the correspondences, between objects and sets and between arrows and functions, have been defined in terms of structure intrinsic to the category C means that we can carry out the proofs without having to reference concrete details of the construction of a particular underlying type, such as that of the type V from *ZFC_in_HOL*. Of particular interest is the pattern we use to show the existence of limits and colimits in C . Consider the case of binary products as an example. We know that the set of global elements of the product $a \otimes b$ of objects a and b of C should be equipollent with the cartesian product $\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b$ of the set of global elements of a and that of b . Moreover, the sets of global elements of a and b are small (by the locale assumptions), so if we have available as an additional assumption about smallness that it is preserved by cartesian product, then we may conclude that the set $\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b$ is also small. If we have also assumed the existence of a pairing function, which injectively maps pairs of arrows of C to arrows of C , then we may use repleteness to prove the existence of an object $a \otimes b$ whose set of global elements is equipollent with $\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b$. Once the existence of this object has been shown, then we can prove that it is in fact a categorical product of a and b . To do this, we need to obtain the projections, but these are just the arrows of C that correspond to the “real” projection functions on $\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b$. So to summarize, to show that C admits a particular categorical construction, we first carry out a corresponding construction on sets of global elements. This will typically result in a set at a higher type than that of the arrows of C . To obtain an object of C we must show that this set is small and in addition that it “embeds” back down into the set of arrows of C .

Finally, as everything described up to this point has been carried out axiomatically (the locale assumptions are the axioms), to keep ourselves honest we have to show that the axioms are actually consistent. We do this by constructing two “top-level” interpretations of the *sets_cat* locale. One interpretation is carried out in “vanilla HOL” without the use of *ZFC_in_HOL* and takes “finite” as the notion of smallness. It shows that the category whose objects are the natural numbers and whose arrows correspond to functions between finite sets, interprets the *sets_cat_with_tupling* locale, which satisfies all the smallness and embedding assumptions we use, except for the assumption that the set of natural numbers is small. The second interpretation, which uses *ZFC_in_HOL*, shows that the category of sets we constructed in the previous article [3] interprets the *sets_cat_with_tupling* locale as well as the *small_nat* locale, which asserts also that the set of natural numbers is small.

In the end, what we achieve is a locale, *sets_cat*, which axiomatizes the notion of a category of sets and functions, and which can be used to perform reasoning internal to such a category without having to refer to details of a particular concrete construction. When required, we can pass from inside the category to the “external world” via a fully

faithful functorial mapping. Functions that exist externally can be internalized as arrows using the fullness of this mapping. In addition, sets that exist externally, at any type, can be internalized as objects of the category, provided that we establish two facts: (1) their smallness; and (2) that they can be embedded into the set of arrows of the category. We have demonstrated this procedure by using it to prove the familiar properties of a “set category”.

Chapter 2

Smallness

```
theory Smallness  
imports HOL-Library.Equipollence  
begin
```

The purpose of this theory is to axiomatize, using locales, a notion of “small set” that is polymorphic over types and that is preserved by certain set-theoretic constructions in the way we would usually expect. We first observe that we cannot simply define such a notion within normal HOL, because HOL does not permit us to quantify over types, nor does it permit us to show the existence of a single type “large enough” to admit sets of all cardinalities that would result, say, by iterating the application of the powerset operator starting with some infinite set. So any way of defining “smallness” is going to require extending HOL in some way. Note that this is exactly what is already done in the article [2], which axiomatizes a particular type V and then defines a polymorphic function *small* using the properties of that type. However, we would prefer to have a notion of smallness that is not tied to one particular type or construction.

Ideally, what we would like to do is to define a locale *smallness*, whose assumptions express closure properties that we would like to hold for a function $small :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow bool$. This does not quite work, though, because the types involved in locale assumptions are essentially fixed, so that the function *small* could not be applied polymorphically. A workaround is to have the locale assumption express closure properties of a function $sml :: 'b \Rightarrow bool$, where type $'b$ is essentially fixed, and then to define within the locale context the actually polymorphic function $small :: 'a \Rightarrow bool$, which extends *sml* by equipollence to an arbitrary type $'a$. This is essentially what is done in [2], except rather than basing the definition on a notion of smallness derived from a particular type V we are defining a locale that takes the type and associated basic notion of smallness as a parameter.

In the development here we have defined a basic *smallness* locale, along with several extensions that express various collections of closure properties. It is not yet clear how useful this level of generality might turn out to be in practice, however at the very least, this allows us to segregate the property “the set of natural number is small” from the others. This allows us to consider two interpretations for “category of small sets and functions”; one of which only has objects corresponding to finite sets and the other of

which also has objects corresponding to infinite sets.

2.1 Basic Notions

Here we define the base locale *smallness*, which takes as a parameter a function $sml :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ that defines a basic notion of smallness at some fixed type, and extends this basic notion by equipollence to arbitrary types. We assume that the basic notion of smallness sml given as a parameter already respects equipollence, so that *small* and *sml* coincide at type $'a$.

```
locale smallness =
fixes sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes lepoll-small-ax:  $\llbracket sml\ X; \text{lepoll}\ Y\ X \rrbracket \Longrightarrow sml\ Y$ 
begin
```

```
definition small :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
where small  $X \equiv \exists X_0. sml\ X_0 \wedge X \approx X_0$ 
```

```
lemma smallI:
assumes sml  $X_0$  and  $X \approx X_0$ 
shows small  $X$ 
using assms small-def by auto
```

```
lemma smallE:
assumes small  $X$ 
and  $\bigwedge X_0. \llbracket sml\ X_0; X \approx X_0 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow T$ 
shows  $T$ 
using assms small-def by blast
```

```
lemma small-iff-sml:
shows small  $X \longleftrightarrow sml\ X$ 
using eqpoll-imp-lepoll small-def lepoll-small-ax by blast
```

```
lemma lepoll-small:
assumes small  $X$  and lepoll  $Y\ X$ 
shows small  $Y$ 
by (metis assms(1,2) eqpoll-sym image-lepoll inj-on-image-eqpoll-self
lepoll-def' lepoll-small-ax lepoll-trans lepoll-trans2 small-def)
```

```
lemma smaller-than-small:
assumes small  $X$  and  $Y \subseteq X$ 
shows small  $Y$ 
using assms lepoll-small subset-imp-lepoll by blast
```

```
lemma small-image [intro, simp]:
assumes small  $X$ 
shows small  $(f\ ` X)$ 
using assms small-def image-lepoll lepoll-small by blast
```

lemma *small-image-iff* [simp]: $\text{inj-on } f \ A \implies \text{small } (f \text{ `` } A) \longleftrightarrow \text{small } A$
by (metis *small-image the-inv-into-onto*)

lemma *small-Collect* [simp]: $\text{small } X \implies \text{small } \{x \in X. P \ x\}$
by (simp add: *smaller-than-small subset-imp-lepoll*)

end

2.2 Smallness of Finite Sets

The locale *small-finite* is satisfied by notions of smallness that admit small sets of arbitrary finite cardinality.

locale *small-finite* =
smallness +
assumes *small-finite-ax*: $\exists Y. \text{sml } Y \wedge \text{eqpoll } \{1..n :: \text{nat}\} \ Y$
begin

lemma *small-finite*:
shows $\text{finite } X \implies \text{small } X$
using *small-finite-ax*
by (meson *eqpoll-def eqpoll-sym eqpoll-trans ex-bij-betw-nat-finite-1 small-def*)

lemma *small-insert*:
assumes *small* X
shows *small* (*insert* $a \ X$)
by (meson *assms eqpoll-imp-lepoll finite.insertI infinite-insert-eqpoll small-finite lepoll-small*)

lemma *small-insert-iff* [iff]: $\text{small } (\text{insert } a \ X) \longleftrightarrow \text{small } X$
by (meson *small-insert smaller-than-small subset-imp-lepoll subset-insertI*)

end

2.3 Smallness of Binary Products

The locale *small-product* is satisfied by notions of smallness that are preserved under cartesian product.

locale *small-product* =
smallness +
assumes *small-product-ax*: $\llbracket \text{sml } X; \text{sml } Y \rrbracket \implies \exists Z. \text{sml } Z \wedge \text{eqpoll } (X \times Y) \ Z$
begin

lemma *small-product* [simp]:
assumes *small* X *small* Y **shows** *small* $(X \times Y)$
by (metis *assms(1,2) eqpoll-trans small-def small-product-ax times-eqpoll-cong*)

end

2.4 Smallness of Sums

The locale *small-sum* is satisfied by notions of smallness that are preserved under the formation of small-indexed unions.

```

locale small-sum =
  small-finite +
assumes small-sum-ax:  $\llbracket \text{sml } X; \bigwedge x. x \in X \implies \text{sml } (F\ x) \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies \exists U. \text{sml } U \wedge \text{eqpoll } (\text{Sigma } X\ F)\ U$ 
begin

lemma small-binary-sum:
assumes small X and small Y
shows small  $((\{\text{False}\} \times X) \cup (\{\text{True}\} \times Y))$ 
proof –
  obtain X0 ϱ where X0: sml X0  $\wedge$  bij-betw ϱ X X0
    using assms(1) small-def eqpoll-def by blast
  obtain Y0 σ where Y0: sml Y0  $\wedge$  bij-betw σ Y Y0
    using assms(2) small-def eqpoll-def by blast
  obtain B0 β where B0: sml B0  $\wedge$ 
    bij-betw β  $\{\text{None}, \text{Some } (\{\} :: 'b\ \text{set})\}$  B0
    by (metis eqpoll-def finite.emptyI smallE small-finite.small-finite
      small-finite.small-insert-iff small-finite-axioms)
  let ?False = β None and ?True = β (Some  $\{\}$ )
  have ne: ?False  $\neq$  ?True
    by (metis B0 bij-betw-inv-into-left insertCI option.discI)
  let ?ι =  $\lambda z. \text{if } \text{fst } z = \text{False} \text{ then } (?False, \varrho (\text{snd } z)) \text{ else } (?True, \sigma (\text{snd } z))$ 
  have small  $((\{?False\} \times X_0) \cup (\{?True\} \times Y_0))$ 
proof –
  have Sigma B0  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x = ?False \text{ then } X_0 \text{ else } Y_0) =$ 
     $(\{?False\} \times X_0) \cup (\{?True\} \times Y_0)$ 
proof
  show Sigma B0  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x = ?False \text{ then } X_0 \text{ else } Y_0) \subseteq$ 
     $(\{?False\} \times X_0) \cup (\{?True\} \times Y_0)$ 
proof
  fix bx
  assume bx: bx  $\in$  Sigma B0  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x = ?False \text{ then } X_0 \text{ else } Y_0)$ 
  have fst bx = ?False  $\vee$  fst bx = ?True
    using B0 bij-betw-imp-surj-on bx by fastforce
  moreover have fst bx = ?False  $\implies$  snd bx  $\in$  X0
    using bx by force
  moreover have fst bx  $\neq$  ?False  $\implies$  snd bx  $\in$  Y0
    using bx by force
  ultimately show bx  $\in$   $(\{?False\} \times X_0) \cup (\{?True\} \times Y_0)$ 
    by (metis Un-iff insertCI mem-Times-iff)
qed
show  $(\{?False\} \times X_0) \cup (\{?True\} \times Y_0) \subseteq$ 

```

```

      Sigma B0 (λx. if x = ?False then X0 else Y0)
    using B0 bij-betw-apply ne by fastforce
  qed
  moreover have small (Sigma B0 (λx. if x = ?False then X0 else Y0))
    using X0 Y0 B0 small-sum-ax small-def by force
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover have bij-betw ?ι
  (({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y))
  (({?False} × X0) ∪ ({?True} × Y0))
proof (intro bij-betwI)
  let ?ι' = λz. if fst z = ?False then (False, inv-into X ρ (snd z))
    else (True, inv-into Y σ (snd z))
  show ?ι ∈ ({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y) → ({?False} × X0) ∪ ({?True} × Y0)
    using X0 Y0 bij-betw-def
    by (auto simp add: bij-betw-apply)
  show ?ι' ∈ ({?False} × X0) ∪ ({?True} × Y0) → ({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y)
  proof
    fix z
    assume z: z ∈ ({?False} × X0) ∪ ({?True} × Y0)
    show ?ι' z ∈ ({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y)
      using z
      by (metis Un-iff X0 Y0 bij-betw-def inv-into-into mem-Sigma-iff ne prod.collapse
        singleton-iff)
  qed
  show ∧x. x ∈ {False} × X ∪ {True} × Y ⇒ ?ι' (?ι x) = x
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ {False} × X ∪ {True} × Y
    have ?ι x ∈ ({?False} × X0) ∪ ({?True} × Y0)
      using X0 Y0 bij-betwE fst-conv mem-Times-iff x by fastforce
    thus ?ι' (?ι x) = x
      using x X0 Y0 bij-betw-inv-into-left ne
      by auto[1] fastforce+
  qed
  show ∧y. y ∈ ({?False} × X0) ∪ ({?True} × Y0) ⇒ ?ι (?ι' y) = y
    using X0 Y0 bij-betw-inv-into-right ne by fastforce
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (meson eqpoll-def eqpoll-trans small-def)
qed

```

```

lemma small-union:
  assumes X: small X and Y: small Y
  shows small (X ∪ Y)
  proof -
    have lepoll (X ∪ Y) (({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y))
  proof -
    let ?ι = λz. if z ∈ X then (False, z) else (True, z)

```

```

have ?ι ∈ X ∪ Y → ({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y) ∧ inj-on ?ι (X ∪ Y)
  by (simp add: inj-on-def)
thus ?thesis
  using lepoll-def' by blast
qed
moreover have small (({False} × X) ∪ ({True} × Y))
  using assms small-binary-sum by blast
ultimately show ?thesis
  using lepoll-small by blast
qed

lemma small-Union-spc:
assumes A₀: sml A₀ and B: ∧x. x ∈ A₀ ⇒ small (B x)
shows small (⋃x∈A₀. B x)
proof -
  have 1: ∃B₀. ∀x. x ∈ A₀ ⇒ sml (B₀ x) ∧ eqpoll (B x) (B₀ x)
    using A₀ B small-def by meson
  obtain B₀ where B₀: ∧x. x ∈ A₀ ⇒ sml (B₀ x) ∧ eqpoll (B₀ x) (B x)
    using assms 1 eqpoll-sym by blast
  have 2: ∃σ. ∀x. x ∈ A₀ ⇒ bij-betw (σ x) (B₀ x) (B x)
    using B₀ eqpoll-def
    by (meson ‹∧x. x ∈ A₀ ⇒ sml (B₀ x) ∧ B₀ x ≈ B x› eqpoll-def)
  obtain σ where σ: ∧x. x ∈ A₀ ⇒ bij-betw (σ x) (B₀ x) (B x)
    using 2 by blast
  have small (Sigma A₀ B₀)
    using assms small-sum-ax [of A₀ B₀] B₀ small-def by blast
  moreover have lepoll (⋃x∈A₀. B x) (Sigma A₀ B₀)
  proof -
    have (λz. σ (fst z) (snd z)) ' Sigma A₀ B₀ = (⋃x∈A₀. B x)
    proof
      show (λz. σ (fst z) (snd z)) ' Sigma A₀ B₀ ⊆ ⋃ (B ' A₀)
        unfolding Sigma-def
        using σ bij-betwE by fastforce
      show ⋃ (B ' A₀) ⊆ (λz. σ (fst z) (snd z)) ' Sigma A₀ B₀
    proof
      fix z
      assume z: z ∈ (⋃ (B ' A₀))
      obtain x where x: x ∈ A₀ ∧ z ∈ B x
        using z by blast
      have (x, inv-into (B₀ x) (σ x) z) ∈ Sigma A₀ B₀
        by (metis SigmaI σ bij-betw-def inv-into-into x)
      moreover have (λz. σ (fst z) (snd z)) (x, inv-into (B₀ x) (σ x) z) = z
        using σ bij-betw-inv-into-right x by fastforce
      ultimately show z ∈ (λz. σ (fst z) (snd z)) ' Sigma A₀ B₀
        by force
    qed
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  by (metis image-lepoll)

```

```

qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using lepoll-small by blast
qed

lemma small-Union [simp, intro]:
  assumes A: small A and B:  $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{small } (B\ x)$ 
  shows small  $(\bigcup_{x \in A}. B\ x)$ 
  proof -
    obtain A0  $\varrho$  where A0:  $\text{sml } A_0 \wedge \text{bij-betw } \varrho\ A_0\ A$ 
      using assms(1) small-def eqpoll-def eqpoll-sym by blast
    have eqpoll  $(\bigcup_{x \in A}. B\ x)\ (\bigcup_{x \in A_0}. (B \circ \varrho)\ x)$ 
      by (metis A0 bij-betw-def eqpoll-refl image-comp)
    moreover have small  $(\bigcup_{x \in A_0}. (B \circ \varrho)\ x)$ 
      by (metis A0 B bij-betwE comp-apply small-Union-spc)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using eqpoll-imp-lepoll lepoll-small by blast
  qed

```

The *small-sum* locale subsumes the *small-product* locale, in the sense that any notion of smallness that satisfies *small-sum* also satisfies *small-product*.

```

sublocale small-product
proof
  show  $\bigwedge X\ Y. [\text{sml } X; \text{sml } Y] \implies \exists Z. \text{sml } Z \wedge X \times Y \approx Z$ 
    by (simp add: small-sum-ax)
qed

end

```

2.5 Smallness of Powersets

The locale *small-powerset* is satisfied by notions of smallness for which the set of all subsets of a small set is again small.

```

locale small-powerset =
  smallness +
  assumes small-powerset-ax:  $\text{sml } X \implies \exists PX. \text{sml } PX \wedge \text{eqpoll } (\text{Pow } X)\ PX$ 
begin

  lemma small-powerset:
  assumes small X
  shows small (Pow X)
    using assms small-powerset-ax
    by (meson bij-betw-Pow eqpoll-def eqpoll-trans small-def)

  lemma large-UNIV:
  shows  $\neg \text{small } (\text{UNIV} :: 'a\ \text{set})$ 
    using small-powerset-ax Cantors-theorem
    by (metis Pow-UNIV UNIV-I eqpoll-iff-bijections small-iff-sml surjI)

```

end

2.6 Smallness of the Set of Natural Numbers

The locale *small-nat* is satisfied by notions of smallness for which the set of natural numbers is small.

```

locale small-nat =
  smallness +
  assumes small-nat-ax:  $\exists X. \text{sml } X \wedge \text{eqpoll } X \text{ (UNIV :: nat set)}$ 
  begin

    lemma small-nat:
    shows small (UNIV :: nat set)
    using small-nat-ax small-def eqpoll-sym by auto

  end

```

2.7 Smallness of Function Spaces

The objective of this section is to define a locale that is satisfied by notions of smallness for which “the set of functions between two small sets is small.” This is complicated in HOL by the requirement that all functions be total, which forces us to define the value of a function at points outside of what we would consider to be its domain. If we don’t impose some restriction on the values taken on by a function outside of its domain, then the set of functions between a domain and codomain set could be large, even if the domain and codomain sets themselves are small. We could limit the possible variation by restricting our consideration to “extensional” functions; *i.e.* those that take on a particular default value outside of their domain, but it becomes awkward if we have to make an *a priori* choice of what this value should be.

The approach we take here is to define the notion of a “popular value” of a function. This will be a value, in the function’s range, whose preimage is a large set. The idea here is that the default values of extensional functions will typically have their default values as popular values (though this is not necessarily the case, as a function whose domain type is small will not have any popular values according to this definition). We then define a “small function” to be a function whose range is a small set and which has at most one popular value. The “essential domain” of small function is the set of arguments on which the value of the function is not a popular value. Then we can consistently require of a smallness notion that, if A and B are small sets, that the set of functions whose essential domains are contained in A and whose ranges are contained in B , is again small.

2.7.1 Small Functions

```

context smallness

```


begin

abbreviation *popular-value* :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow bool
where *popular-value* *F* *y* $\equiv \neg$ *small* {*x*. *F* *x* = *y*}

definition *some-popular-value* :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow 'c
where *some-popular-value* *F* \equiv *SOME* *y*. *popular-value* *F* *y*

lemma *popular-value-some-popular-value*:
assumes $\exists y$. *popular-value* *F* *y*
shows *popular-value* *F* (*some-popular-value* *F*)
using *assms* *someI-ex* [of λy . *popular-value* *F* *y*] *some-popular-value-def* **by** *metis*

abbreviation *at-most-one-popular-value*
where *at-most-one-popular-value* *F* $\equiv \exists_{\leq 1} y$. *popular-value* *F* *y*

definition *small-function*
where *small-function* *F* \equiv *small* (range *F*) \wedge *at-most-one-popular-value* *F*

lemma *small-functionI* [intro]:
assumes *small* (range *f*) **and** *at-most-one-popular-value* *f*
shows *small-function* *f*
using *assms* *small-function-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *small-functionD* [dest]:
assumes *small-function* *f*
shows *small* (range *f*) **and** *at-most-one-popular-value* *f*
using *assms* *small-function-def* **by** *auto*

end

If there are small sets of arbitrarily large finite cardinality, then the preimage of a popular value of a function must be an infinite set (in particular, it must be nonempty, since the empty set must be small). We can derive various useful consequences of this fairly lax assumption.

context *small-finite*
begin

lemma *popular-value-in-range*:
assumes *popular-value* *F* *v*
shows *v* \in range *F*
using *assms* *not-finite-existsD* *small-finite* **by** *auto*

lemma *small-function-const*:
shows *small-function* (λx . *y*)
by (*auto simp add: Uniq-def small-finite*)

definition *inv-into_E*
where *inv-into_E* *X* *f* $\equiv \lambda y$. if *y* \in *f* ' *X* then *inv-into* *X* *f* *y*

else SOME x. popular-value f (f x)

```

lemma small-function-inv-intoE:
assumes small-function f and inj-on f X
shows small-function (inv-intoE X f)
proof
  show small (range (inv-intoE X f))
  proof -
    have small X
      by (meson assms(1,2) small-functionD(1) small-image-iff smaller-than-small
          subset-UNIV subset-image-iff)
    moreover have range (inv-intoE X f) ⊆ X ∪ {SOME x. popular-value f (f x)}
      unfolding inv-intoE-def
      using assms(2) inf-sup-aci(5) by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using smaller-than-small by auto
  qed
  show at-most-one-popular-value (inv-intoE X f)
  proof -
    have ∧x. popular-value (inv-intoE X f) x ⇒ x = (SOME x. popular-value f (f x))
    proof -
      fix x
      assume x: popular-value (inv-intoE X f) x
      have f x ∈ {y. y ∈ f ' X ∧ x = inv-into X f y} ∨ x = (SOME x. popular-value f (f x))
        using assms x
        unfolding inv-intoE-def
        using not-finite-existsD small-finite by fastforce
      moreover have x ≠ (SOME x. popular-value f (f x)) ⇒
        f x ∉ {y. y ∈ f ' X ∧ x = inv-into X f y}
    proof -
      assume 1: x ≠ (SOME x. popular-value f (f x))
      have small {y. y ∈ f ' X ∧ x = inv-into X f y}
        using assms
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) image-subset-iff mem-Collect-eq rangeI
            small-functionD(1) smaller-than-small subsetI)
      thus ?thesis
        using x 1
        unfolding inv-intoE-def
        by (simp add: Collect-mono smallness.smaller-than-small smallness-axioms)
    qed
    ultimately show x = (SOME x. popular-value f (f x)) by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using Uniq-def by blast
qed
qed
end

```

```

context small-sum
begin

```

```

lemma small-function-comp:
assumes small-function f and small-function g
shows small-function (g ∘ f)
proof
  show small (range (g ∘ f))
  by (metis assms(1) fun.set-map small-image small-functionD(1))
  show at-most-one-popular-value (g ∘ f)
  proof -
    have *:  $\bigwedge z. \text{popular-value } (g \circ f) \ z \implies \exists y. \text{popular-value } f \ y \wedge g \ y = z$ 
    proof -
      fix z
      assume z:  $\text{popular-value } (g \circ f) \ z$ 
      have  $\neg \text{small } \{x. g \ (f \ x) = z\}$ 
      using z by auto
      moreover have  $\{x. g \ (f \ x) = z\} = (\bigcup y \in \text{range } f \cap \{y. g \ y = z\}. \{x. f \ x = y\})$ 
      by auto
      moreover have small (range f ∩ {y. g y = z})
      using assms(1) small-functionD(1) smaller-than-small by force
      ultimately have  $\exists y. y \in \text{range } f \cap \{y. g \ y = z\} \wedge \text{popular-value } f \ y$ 
      by auto
      thus  $\exists y. \text{popular-value } f \ y \wedge g \ y = z$  by blast
    qed
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix y y'
    assume y:  $\text{popular-value } (g \circ f) \ y$  and y':  $\text{popular-value } (g \circ f) \ y'$ 
    have  $\exists x. \text{popular-value } f \ x \wedge g \ x = y$ 
    using y * by blast
    moreover have  $\exists x. \text{popular-value } f \ x \wedge g \ x = y'$ 
    using y' * by blast
    ultimately show  $y = y'$ 
    using assms(2)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) assms(1) small-functionD(2) the1-equality')
  qed
qed
qed

```

In the present context, a small function has a popular value if and only if its domain type is large. This simplifies special cases that concern whether or not a function happens to have any popular value at all.

```

lemma ex-popular-value-iff:
assumes small-function (F :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c)
shows  $(\exists v. \text{popular-value } F \ v) \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{small } (UNIV :: 'b \text{ set})$ 
proof
  show  $\exists v. \text{popular-value } F \ v \implies \neg \text{small } (UNIV :: 'b \text{ set})$ 
  using smaller-than-small by blast

```

```

have  $\neg (\exists v. \text{popular-value } F v) \implies \text{small } (UNIV :: 'b \text{ set})$ 
proof -
  assume  $\neg (\exists y. \text{popular-value } F y)$ 
  hence  $\bigwedge y. \text{small } \{x. F x = y\}$ 
  by blast
  moreover have  $UNIV = (\bigcup y \in \text{range } F. \{x. F x = y\})$ 
  by auto
  ultimately show  $\text{small } (UNIV :: 'b \text{ set})$ 
  using assms(1) small-function-def by (metis small-Union)
qed
thus  $\neg \text{small } (UNIV :: 'b \text{ set}) \implies \exists v. \text{popular-value } F v$ 
by blast
qed

```

A consequence is that the preimage of the set of all unpopular values of a function is small.

```

lemma small-preimage-unpopular:
fixes  $F :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c$ 
assumes small-function F
shows  $\text{small } \{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\}$ 
proof (cases  $\exists y. \text{popular-value } F y$ )
  assume  $1: \neg (\exists y. \text{popular-value } F y)$ 
  thus ?thesis
  using assms ex-popular-value-iff smaller-than-small by blast
next
assume  $1: \exists y. \text{popular-value } F y$ 
have  $\text{popular-value } F (\text{some-popular-value } F)$ 
  using  $1$  popular-value-some-popular-value by metis
hence  $2: \bigwedge y. y \neq \text{some-popular-value } F \implies \text{small } \{x. F x = y\}$ 
  using assms
  unfolding small-function-def
  by (meson Uniq-D)
moreover have  $\{x. F x \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\} =$ 
   $(\bigcup y \in \{y. y \in \text{range } F \wedge y \neq \text{some-popular-value } F\}. \{x. F x = y\})$ 
  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms
  unfolding small-function-def
  by auto
qed

```

Here we are working toward showing that a small function has a “small encoding”, which consists of its graph for arguments that map to non-popular values, paired with the single popular value it has on all other arguments.

```

abbreviation SF-Dom
where  $SF\text{-Dom } f \equiv \{x. \neg \text{popular-value } f (f x)\}$ 

```

```

abbreviation SF-Rng
where  $SF\text{-Rng } f \equiv f ` SF\text{-Dom } f$ 

```

abbreviation *SF-Grph*

where $SF\text{-}Grph\ f \equiv (\lambda x. (x, f\ x)) \text{ ' } SF\text{-}Dom\ f$

abbreviation *the-PV*

where $the\text{-}PV\ f \equiv THE\ y. popular\text{-}value\ f\ y$

lemma *small-SF-Dom:*

assumes *small-function* f

shows *small* ($SF\text{-}Dom\ f$)

proof –

let $?F = \lambda y. \{x. f\ x = y\}$

have $SF\text{-}Dom\ f = (\bigcup y \in SF\text{-}Rng\ f. ?F\ y)$

proof

show $SF\text{-}Dom\ f \subseteq (\bigcup y \in SF\text{-}Rng\ f. ?F\ y)$

by *blast*

show $(\bigcup y \in SF\text{-}Rng\ f. ?F\ y) \subseteq SF\text{-}Dom\ f$

proof

fix x

assume $x: x \in (\bigcup y \in SF\text{-}Rng\ f. ?F\ y)$

obtain $S\ y$ **where** $S: x \in S \wedge y \in SF\text{-}Rng\ f \wedge S = \{x. f\ x = y\}$

using x **by** *force*

show $x \in SF\text{-}Dom\ f$

using S **by** *fastforce*

qed

qed

moreover have $\bigwedge y. y \in SF\text{-}Rng\ f \implies small\ (?F\ y)$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

ultimately show *?thesis*

using *small-Union* [of $SF\text{-}Rng\ f\ ?F$]

by (*metis* *assms* *image-mono* *small-functionD*(1) *smaller-than-small* *subset-UNIV*)

qed

lemma *small-SF-Rng:*

assumes *small-function* f

shows *small* ($SF\text{-}Rng\ f$)

using *assms* *small-SF-Dom* **by** *blast*

lemma *small-SF-Grph:*

assumes *small-function* f

shows *small* ($SF\text{-}Grph\ f$)

using *assms* *small-SF-Dom* **by** *blast*

lemma *small-function-expansion:*

assumes *small-function* f

shows $f = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in fst \text{ ' } SF\text{-}Grph\ f \text{ then } (THE\ y. (x, y) \in SF\text{-}Grph\ f) \text{ else } the\text{-}PV\ f)$

proof

fix x

show $f\ x = (\text{if } x \in fst \text{ ' } SF\text{-}Grph\ f \text{ then } (THE\ y. (x, y) \in SF\text{-}Grph\ f) \text{ else } the\text{-}PV\ f)$

```

proof (cases  $x \in SF\text{-Dom } f$ )
  show  $x \notin SF\text{-Dom } f \implies ?thesis$ 
  proof –
    assume  $x \notin SF\text{-Dom } f$ 
    hence  $f\ x = \text{the-PV } f$ 
    using assms the1-equality' by fastforce
    thus  $?thesis$ 
    by (simp add: image-iff)
  qed
  show  $x \in SF\text{-Dom } f \implies ?thesis$ 
  by (simp add: image-iff)
qed
qed

end

```

2.7.2 Small Funcsets

```

locale small-funcset =
  small-sum +
  small-powerset
begin

```

For a suitable definition of “between”, the set of small functions between small sets is small.

```

lemma small-funcset:
assumes small  $X$  and small  $Y$ 
shows small  $\{f. \text{small-function } f \wedge SF\text{-Dom } f \subseteq X \wedge \text{range } f \subseteq Y\}$ 
proof –
  let  $?Rep = \lambda f. (SF\text{-Grph } f, \text{Collect } (\text{popular-value } f))$ 
  let  $?SF = \{f. \text{small-function } f \wedge SF\text{-Dom } f \subseteq X \wedge \text{range } f \subseteq Y\}$ 
  have  $*$ :  $\bigwedge f\ x. \llbracket f \in ?SF; x \notin SF\text{-Dom } f \rrbracket \implies \{f\ x\} = \text{Collect } (\text{popular-value } f)$ 
  proof –
    fix  $f\ x$ 
    assume  $f: f \in ?SF$  and  $x: x \notin SF\text{-Dom } f$ 
    show  $\{f\ x\} = \text{Collect } (\text{popular-value } f)$ 
    proof –
      have  $1$ :  $\text{popular-value } f\ (f\ x)$ 
      using  $x$  by blast
      have  $\exists! y. \text{popular-value } f\ y$ 
      proof –
        have  $\exists y. \text{popular-value } f\ y$ 
        using  $1$  by blast
        moreover have  $\bigwedge y\ y'. \llbracket \text{popular-value } f\ y; \text{popular-value } f\ y' \rrbracket \implies y = y'$ 
        using  $f$  Uniq-def small-functionD(2)
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq)
      ultimately show  $?thesis$  by blast
    qed
  thus  $?thesis$ 

```

```

      using f 1 by blast
    qed
  qed
  have small (?Rep ‘ ?SF)
  proof -
    have ?Rep ∈ ?SF → Pow (X × Y) × Pow Y
      using popular-value-in-range by fastforce
    moreover have small (Pow (X × Y) × Pow Y)
      using assms by (simp add: small-powerset)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: image-subset-iff-funcset smaller-than-small)
  qed
  moreover have inj-on ?Rep ?SF
  proof
    fix f g :: 'b ⇒ 'c
    assume f: f ∈ ?SF and g: g ∈ ?SF
    assume eq: ?Rep f = ?Rep g
    show f = g
    proof
      fix x
      show f x = g x
      proof (cases x ∈ SF-Dom f)
        show x ∉ SF-Dom f ⇒ ?thesis
        proof -
          assume x: x ∉ SF-Dom f
          have {f x} = Collect (popular-value f)
            using f x * by blast
          also have ... = Collect (popular-value g)
            using eq by force
          also have ... = {g x}
            using g x eq * [of g x] by blast
          finally show f x = g x by blast
        qed
      qed
      show x ∈ SF-Dom f ⇒ ?thesis
        using f g eq small-function-expansion by blast
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using small-image-iff by blast
  qed
end

```

2.8 Smallness of Sets of Lists

A notion of smallness that is preserved under sum and powerset, and in addition declares the set of natural numbers to be small, is sufficiently inclusive as to include any set whose

existence is provable in ZFC. So it is not a surprise that we can show, for example, that the set of lists with elements in a given small set is again small. We do not use this particular fact in the present development, but we will have a use for it in a subsequent article.

```

locale small-funcset-and-nat =
  small-funcset +
  small-nat
begin

```

```

definition list-as-fn :: 'b list  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b option
where list-as-fn l n = (if n  $\geq$  length l then None else Some (l ! n))

```

```

lemma inj-list-as-fn:

```

```

shows inj list-as-fn

```

```

proof

```

```

  fix x y :: 'b list
  have 1:  $\bigwedge l :: 'b \text{ list. } \text{list-as-fn } l \ (\text{length } l) = \text{None}$ 
    unfolding list-as-fn-def by simp
  assume eq: list-as-fn x = list-as-fn y
  have length x = length y
    using eq 1
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) list-as-fn-def nle-le not-Some-eq)
  moreover have  $\bigwedge n. n < \text{length } x \implies x ! n = y ! n$ 
    using eq list-as-fn-def
    by (metis calculation leD option.inject)
  ultimately show x = y
    using nth-equalityI by blast

```

```

qed

```

```

lemma small-function-list-as-fn:

```

```

shows small-function (list-as-fn l)

```

```

  using Uniq-def small-function-def small-nat smaller-than-small by fastforce

```

```

lemma small-listset:

```

```

assumes small Y

```

```

shows small {l. List.set l  $\subseteq$  Y}

```

```

proof –

```

```

  let ?SF =  $\lambda f. \text{small-function } f \wedge \text{SF-Dom } f \subseteq (\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set}) \wedge$ 
     $\text{range } f \subseteq \text{Some } 'Y \cup \{\text{None}\}$ 

```

```

  have list-as-fn ' {l. List.set l  $\subseteq$  Y}  $\subseteq$  Collect ?SF

```

```

proof

```

```

  fix f
  assume f: f  $\in$  list-as-fn ' {l. List.set l  $\subseteq$  Y}
  show f  $\in$  Collect ?SF
    using f small-function-list-as-fn
    unfolding list-as-fn-def
    apply auto
    by fastforce

```

```

qed

```



```

moreover have small (Collect ?SF)
  using assms small-nat small-funcset [of UNIV :: nat set Some ‘ Y  $\cup$   $\{None\}$ ]
  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  using small-image-iff [of list-as-fn {l. list.set l  $\subseteq$  Y}] inj-list-as-fn
    smaller-than-small
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) injD inj-onI)
qed

end

end

```

Chapter 3

Universe

```
theory Universe
imports Smallness
begin
```

This section defines a “universe” to be a set *univ* that admits embeddings of various other sets, typically the result of constructions on *univ* itself. These embeddings allow us to perform constructions on *univ* that result in sets at higher types, and then to encode the results of these constructions back down into *univ*. An example application is showing that a category admits products: given objects *a* and *b* in a category whose arrows form a universe *univ*, for each object *x* we may form the cartesian product $\text{hom } x \ a \times \text{hom } x \ b \subseteq \text{univ} \times \text{univ}$ and then use an embedding of $\text{univ} \times \text{univ}$ in *univ* (i.e. a pairing function) to map the result back into *univ*. Assuming we can show that the resulting set has the proper structure to be the set of arrows of an object of the category, we obtain an object $a \times b$ with $\text{hom } x \ (a \times b) \cong \text{hom } x \ a \times \text{hom } x \ b$, as required for a product object in a category.

3.1 Embeddings

Here we define some basic notions pertaining to injections into a set *univ*.

```
locale embedding =
fixes univ :: 'U set
begin

abbreviation is-embedding-of
where is-embedding-of  $\iota \ X \equiv \text{inj-on } \iota \ X \wedge \iota \ 'X \subseteq \text{univ}$ 

definition some-embedding-of
where some-embedding-of  $X \equiv \text{SOME } \iota. \text{is-embedding-of } \iota \ X$ 

abbreviation embeds
where embeds  $X \equiv \exists \iota. \text{is-embedding-of } \iota \ X$ 
```

```

lemma is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of:
assumes embeds X
shows is-embedding-of (some-embedding-of X) X
  unfolding some-embedding-of-def
  using assms someI-ex [of `λι. is-embedding-of ι X] by force

```

```

lemma embeds-subset:
assumes embeds X and Y ⊆ X
shows embeds Y
  using assms
  by (meson dual-order.trans image-mono inj-on-subset)

```

end

3.2 Lifting

The locale *lifting* axiomatizes a set *univ* that embeds itself, together with an additional element. This is equivalent to *univ* being infinite.

```

locale lifting =
  embedding univ
for univ :: 'U set +
assumes embeds-lift: embeds ({None} ∪ Some `univ)
begin

```

```

definition some-lifting :: 'U option ⇒ 'U
where some-lifting ≡ some-embedding-of ({None} ∪ Some `univ)

```

```

lemma some-lifting-is-embedding:
shows is-embedding-of some-lifting ({None} ∪ Some `univ)
  unfolding some-lifting-def
  using is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of embeds-lift by blast

```

```

lemma some-lifting-in-univ [intro, simp]:
shows some-lifting None ∈ univ
and x ∈ univ ⇒ some-lifting (Some x) ∈ univ
  using some-lifting-is-embedding by auto

```

```

lemma some-lifting-cancel:
shows  $\llbracket x \in \text{univ}; \text{some-lifting (Some } x) = \text{some-lifting None} \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$ 
and  $\llbracket x \in \text{univ}; x' \in \text{univ}; \text{some-lifting (Some } x) = \text{some-lifting (Some } x') \rrbracket \implies x = x'$ 
  using some-lifting-is-embedding
  apply (meson Un-iff imageI inj-on-contrad insertI1 option.simps(3))
  using some-lifting-is-embedding
  by (meson UnI2 imageI inj-on-contrad option.inject)

```

```

lemma infinite-univ:
shows infinite univ
  by (metis None-notin-image-Some card-image card-inj-on-le card-insert-disjoint)

```

embeds-lift finite-imageI inj-Some insert-is-Un le-imp-less-Suc linorder-neq-iff)

lemma *embeds-bool*:

shows *embeds* (*UNIV* :: *bool set*)

by (*metis comp-inj-on ex-inj image-comp image-mono infinite-univ
infinite-iff-countable-subset inj-on-subset subset-trans top-greatest*)

lemma *embeds-nat*:

shows *embeds* (*UNIV* :: *nat set*)

by (*metis infinite-univ infinite-iff-countable-subset*)

end

3.3 Pairing

The locale *pairing* axiomatizes a set *univ* that embeds $univ \times univ$.

locale *pairing* =

embedding univ

for *univ* :: '*U set* +

assumes *embeds-pairs*: *embeds* ($univ \times univ$)

begin

definition *some-pairing* :: '*U* * '*U* \Rightarrow '*U*

where *some-pairing* \equiv *some-embedding-of* ($univ \times univ$)

lemma *some-pairing-is-embedding*:

shows *is-embedding-of some-pairing* ($univ \times univ$)

unfolding *some-pairing-def*

using *embeds-pairs is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of* **by** *blast*

abbreviation *pair*

where *pair* *x y* \equiv *some-pairing* (*x*, *y*)

abbreviation *is-pair* :: '*U* \Rightarrow *bool*

where *is-pair* *x* \equiv *x* \in *some-pairing* ' ($univ \times univ$)

definition *first* :: '*U* \Rightarrow '*U*

where *first* *x* \equiv *fst* (*inv-into* ($univ \times univ$) *some-pairing* *x*)

definition *second* :: '*U* \Rightarrow '*U*

where *second* *x* \equiv *snd* (*inv-into* ($univ \times univ$) *some-pairing* *x*)

lemma *first-conv*:

assumes *x* \in *univ* **and** *y* \in *univ*

shows *first* (*pair* *x y*) = *x*

using *assms first-def some-pairing-is-embedding*

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *fst-eqD inv-into-f-f mem-Times-iff snd-eqD*)

```

lemma second-conv:
assumes  $x \in \text{univ}$  and  $y \in \text{univ}$ 
shows  $\text{second } (\text{pair } x \ y) = y$ 
  using assms second-def some-pairing-is-embedding
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) fst-eqD inv-into-f-f mem-Times-iff snd-eqD)

lemma pair-conv:
assumes is-pair  $x$ 
shows  $\text{pair } (\text{first } x) \ (\text{second } x) = x$ 
  using assms first-def second-def embeds-pairs is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of
  by (simp add: f-inv-into-f)

lemma some-pairing-in-univ [intro, simp]:
shows  $\llbracket x \in \text{univ}; y \in \text{univ} \rrbracket \implies \text{pair } x \ y \in \text{univ}$ 
  using some-pairing-is-embedding by blast

lemma some-pairing-cancel:
shows  $\llbracket x \in \text{univ}; x' \in \text{univ}; y \in \text{univ}; y' \in \text{univ}; \text{pair } x \ y = \text{pair } x' \ y' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies x = x' \wedge y = y'$ 
  using embeds-pairs
  by (metis first-conv second-conv)

end

```

3.4 Powering

The *powering* locale axiomatizes a universe that embeds the set of all its “small” subsets. Obviously, some condition on the subsets is required because (by Cantor’s Theorem) it is not possible for a set to embed the set of *all* its subsets. The concept of “smallness” used here is not fixed, but rather is taken as a parameter.

```

locale powering =
  embedding univ +
  smallness sml
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and univ :: 'U set +
assumes embeds-small-sets:  $\text{embeds } \{X. X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
begin

  abbreviation some-embedding-of-small-sets :: ('U set)  $\Rightarrow$  'U
  where some-embedding-of-small-sets  $\equiv$  some-embedding-of  $\{X. X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 

  definition emb-set :: ('U set)  $\Rightarrow$  'U
  where emb-set  $\equiv$  some-embedding-of-small-sets

  lemma emb-set-is-embedding:
shows is-embedding-of emb-set  $\{X. X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
  unfolding emb-set-def
  using embeds-small-sets is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of by blast

```

```

lemma emb-set-in-univ [intro, simp]:
shows  $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{univ}; \text{small } X \rrbracket \implies \text{emb-set } X \in \text{univ}$ 
using emb-set-is-embedding by blast

```

```

lemma emb-set-cancel:
shows  $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{univ}; \text{small } X; X' \subseteq \text{univ}; \text{small } X'; \text{emb-set } X = \text{emb-set } X' \rrbracket \implies X = X'$ 
using emb-set-is-embedding
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) inj-onD mem-Collect-eq)

```

If *univ* embeds the collection of all its small subsets, then *univ* itself must be large.

```

lemma large-univ:
shows  $\neg \text{small } \text{univ}$ 
proof –
  have small univ  $\implies$  False
  proof –
    assume small: small univ
    have embeds (Pow univ)
      using small smaller-than-small embeds-small-sets
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectI PowD embeds-subset subsetI)
    thus False
      using Cantors-theorem
      by (metis Pow-not-empty inj-on-iff-surj)
  qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

end

3.5 Tupling

The *tupling* locale axiomatizes a set *univ* that embeds the set of all “small extensional functions” on its elements. Here, the notion of “extensional function” is parametrized by the default value *null* produced by such a function when it is applied to an argument outside of *univ*. The default value *null* is neither assumed to be in *univ* nor outside of it.

```

locale tupling =
  lifting univ +
  pairing univ +
  powering sml univ +
  small-funcset sml
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and univ :: 'U set
and null :: 'U
begin

```

EF is the set of extensional functions on *univ*. These map *univ* to $\text{univ} \cup \{\text{null}\}$ and map values outside of *univ* to *null*. The default value *null* might or might not be an

element of $univ$. The set SEF is the subset of EF consisting of those functions that are “small functions”.

definition EF

where $EF \equiv \{f. f \text{ ‘ } univ \subseteq univ \cup \{null\} \wedge (\forall x. x \notin univ \longrightarrow f\ x = null)\}$

abbreviation SEF

where $SEF \equiv Collect\ small\text{-}function \cap EF$

lemma $EF\text{-}apply$:

assumes $F \in EF$

shows $x \in univ \Longrightarrow F\ x \in univ \cup \{null\}$

and $x \notin univ \Longrightarrow F\ x = null$

using $assms$

unfolding $EF\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

Since $univ$ is large, the set of all values at type $'U$ must also be large. This implies that every small extensional function having type $'U$ as its domain type must have a popular value.

lemma $SEFs\text{-}have\text{-}popular\text{-}value$:

assumes $F \in SEF$

shows $\exists v. popular\text{-}value\ F\ v$

using $assms\ ex\text{-}popular\text{-}value\text{-}iff\ large\text{-}UNIV$

by ($metis\ Int\text{-}iff\ large\text{-}univ\ mem\ Collect\text{-}eq\ smaller\text{-}than\text{-}small\ top\text{-}greatest$)

The following technical lemma uses powering to obtain an encoding of small extensional functions as elements of $univ$. The idea is that a small extensional function F mapping $univ$ to $univ \cup \{null\}$ can be canonically described by a small subset of $univ \times (univ \cup \{null\})$ consisting of all pairs $(x, F\ x) \subseteq univ \times (univ \cup \{null\})$ for which $F\ x$ is not a popular value, together with the single popular value of F taken at other arguments x not represented by such pairs.

lemma $embeds\text{-}SEF$:

shows $embeds\ SEF$

proof ($intro\ exI\ conjI$)

have $range\text{-}F$: $\bigwedge F. F \in SEF \Longrightarrow range\ F \subseteq univ \cup \{null\}$

unfolding $EF\text{-}def$ **by** $blast$

let $?lift = some\text{-}embedding\text{-}of\ (univ \cup \{null\})$

have $lift$: $is\text{-}embedding\text{-}of\ ?lift\ (univ \cup \{null\})$

using $embeds\text{-}lift\ is\text{-}embedding\text{-}of\ some\text{-}embedding\text{-}of$

by ($metis\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}imp\text{-}surj\text{-}on\ infinite\text{-}univ\ infinite\text{-}imp\text{-}bij\text{-}betw2\ inj\text{-}on\text{-}iff\text{-}surj\ insert\text{-}not\text{-}empty\ sup\text{-}bot\ neutr\text{-}eq\text{-}iff$)

have $lift\text{-}cancel\ [simp]$: $\bigwedge x\ y. \llbracket x \in univ \cup \{null\}; y \in univ \cup \{null\}; ?lift\ x = ?lift\ y \rrbracket \Longrightarrow x = y$

using $lift$ **by** ($meson\ UnI1\ inj\text{-}on\text{-}eq\text{-}iff$)

have 0 : $\bigwedge F. F \in SEF \Longrightarrow ?lift\ (some\text{-}popular\text{-}value\ F) \in univ$

using $range\text{-}F\ popular\text{-}value\text{-}in\text{-}range\ popular\text{-}value\text{-}some\text{-}popular\text{-}value\ SEFs\text{-}have\text{-}popular\text{-}value$

by ($metis\ image\text{-}subset\text{-}iff\ lift\ subset\text{-}eq$)

have 1 : $\bigwedge F. F \in SEF \Longrightarrow small\ \{x \in univ. \neg popular\text{-}value\ F\ (F\ x)\}$

```

by (metis (no-types) CollectD Collect-conj-eq IntE inf-le2 small-SF-Dom
    smaller-than-small)
have 2:  $\bigwedge F. F \in \text{SEF} \implies$ 
     $(\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\} \subseteq \text{univ}$ 
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD EF-def Un-commute image-subset-iff insert-is-Un
      lift some-pairing-in-univ)
have 3:  $\bigwedge F. F \in \text{SEF} \implies$ 
     $\text{emb-set } ((\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\})$ 
     $\in \text{univ}$ 
  using 1 2 by blast

let ?e =  $\lambda F. \text{pair } (\text{?lift } (\text{some-popular-value } F))$ 
     $(\text{emb-set } ((\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\}))$ 

show ?e  $' \text{SEF} \subseteq \text{univ}$ 
  using 0 3 some-pairing-in-univ by blast
show inj-on ?e SEF
proof (intro inj-onI)
  fix F F' :: 'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U
  assume F:  $F \in \text{SEF}$ 
  assume F':  $F' \in \text{SEF}$ 
  assume eq:  $?e \ F = ?e \ F'$ 
  have *:  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{univ} \implies$ 
     $\text{first } (\text{pair } x \ (\text{?lift } (F \ x))) = x \wedge$ 
     $\text{second } (\text{pair } x \ (\text{?lift } (F \ x))) = \text{?lift } (F \ x) \wedge$ 
     $\text{first } (\text{pair } x \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ x))) = x \wedge$ 
     $\text{second } (\text{pair } x \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ x))) = \text{?lift } (F' \ x)$ 
  by (meson F F' first-conv image-subset-iff lift range-F range-subsetD second-conv)
  have 4:  $\text{?lift } (\text{some-popular-value } F) = \text{?lift } (\text{some-popular-value } F') \wedge$ 
     $\text{emb-set } ((\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\}) =$ 
     $\text{emb-set } ((\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' \ (F' \ x)\})$ 
  using F F' 0 3 eq some-pairing-cancel by meson
  have 5:  $(\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\} =$ 
     $(\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' \ (F' \ x)\}$ 
  using F F' 1 2 4 small-preimage-unpopular smaller-than-small
    emb-set-cancel
    [of  $(\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\}$ 
     $(\lambda a. \text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ a))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' \ (F' \ x)\}]$ 
  by blast
  have 6:  $\{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\} = \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' \ (F' \ x)\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda a. \text{first } (\text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a)))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\} =$ 
       $(\lambda a. \text{first } (\text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ a)))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' \ (F' \ x)\} \wedge$ 
       $(\lambda a. \text{second } (\text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F \ a)))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F \ (F \ x)\} =$ 
       $(\lambda a. \text{second } (\text{pair } a \ (\text{?lift } (F' \ a)))) \ ' \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' \ (F' \ x)\}$ 
    using 5 by (metis image-image)
  thus ?thesis
    using * embeds-pairs is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of by auto

```



```

qed
have  $\gamma$ :  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{univ} \wedge \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x) \implies F x = F' x$ 
proof -
  fix x
  assume  $x: x \in \text{univ} \wedge \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)$ 
  have  $?lift (F x) = ?lift (F' x)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge y. ((x, y) \in (\lambda x. (x, ?lift (F x))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\} \longleftrightarrow y = ?lift (F x)) \wedge ((x, y) \in (\lambda x. (x, ?lift (F' x))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\} \longleftrightarrow y = ?lift (F' x))$ 
    using x by blast
  moreover have  $(\lambda x. (x, ?lift (F x))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\} = (\lambda x. (x, ?lift (F' x))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda x. (x, ?lift (F x))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\} = (\lambda x. (x, ?lift (F' x))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' (F' x)\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda x. (\text{first } (\text{pair } x (?lift (F x))), \text{second } (\text{pair } x (?lift (F x)))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\} = (\lambda x. (\text{first } (\text{pair } x (?lift (F' x))), \text{second } (\text{pair } x (?lift (F' x)))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' (F' x)\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda x. (\text{first } x, \text{second } x)) \text{ ' } (\lambda a. \text{pair } a (?lift (F a))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F (F x)\} = (\lambda x. (\text{first } x, \text{second } x)) \text{ ' } (\lambda a. \text{pair } a (?lift (F' a))) \text{ ' } \{x \in \text{univ}. \neg \text{popular-value } F' (F' x)\}$ 
    using 5 by argo
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis
using * some-pairing-cancel by auto
qed
thus ?thesis
using 6 by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
thus  $F x = F' x$ 
by (metis EF-apply(1) F F' Int-iff lift-cancel x)
qed
show  $F = F'$ 
proof
  fix x
  show  $F x = F' x$ 
  proof (cases  $x \in \text{univ}$ )
    case False
    show ?thesis
    using F F' False EF-def

```

```

    by (metis EF-apply(2) IntE)
  next
  assume  $x: x \in \text{univ}$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases popular-value  $F$  ( $F$   $x$ ))
    case False
    show ?thesis
    using 7 False  $x$  by blast
  next
  case True
  show ?thesis
  proof -
    have  $F$   $x = \text{some-popular-value } F$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) CollectD Collect-mono  $F$  IntE True
        small-preimage-unpopular smallness.smaller-than-small smallness-axioms)
    moreover have  $F'$   $x = \text{some-popular-value } F'$ 
    proof -
      have popular-value  $F'$  ( $F'$   $x$ )
      using True  $x$  6 by blast
      thus ?thesis
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) CollectD Collect-mono  $F'$  IntE
          small-preimage-unpopular smallness.smaller-than-small smallness-axioms)
    qed
    moreover have  $\text{some-popular-value } F = \text{some-popular-value } F'$ 
    using  $F$   $F'$  4 calculation lift-cancel range- $F$  range-subsetD
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting))
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

definition *some-embedding-of-small-functions* :: $('U \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$
where *some-embedding-of-small-functions* \equiv *some-embedding-of SEF*

lemma *some-embedding-of-small-functions-is-embedding*:
shows *is-embedding-of some-embedding-of-small-functions SEF*
 unfolding *some-embedding-of-small-functions-def*
 using *embeds-SEF is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of* by blast

lemma *some-embedding-of-small-functions-in-univ* [*intro, simp*]:
assumes $F \in \text{SEF}$
shows *some-embedding-of-small-functions* $F \in \text{univ}$
 using *assms some-embedding-of-small-functions-is-embedding* by blast

lemma *some-embedding-of-small-functions-cancel*:
assumes $F \in \text{SEF}$ **and** $F' \in \text{SEF}$

```

and some-embedding-of-small-functions  $F = \text{some-embedding-of-small-functions } F'$ 
shows  $F = F'$ 
  using assms some-embedding-of-small-functions-is-embedding
  by (meson inj-onD)

end

```

3.6 Universe

The *universe* locale axiomatizes a set that is equipped with an embedding of its own small extensional function space, and in addition the set of natural numbers is required to be small (*i.e.* there is a small infinite set).

```

locale universe =
  tupling sml univ null +
  small-nat sml
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool'
and univ :: 'U set'
and null :: 'U'
begin

```

For a fixed notion of smallness, the property of being a universe is respected by equipollence; thus it is a property of the set itself, rather than something that depends on the ambient type.

```

lemma is-respected-by-equipollence:
assumes eqpoll univ univ'
shows universe sml univ'
proof
  obtain  $\gamma$  where  $\gamma$ : bij-betw  $\gamma$  univ univ'
    using assms eqpoll-def by blast
show  $\exists \iota. \text{inj-on } \iota (\{None\} \cup \text{Some } 'univ') \wedge \iota ' (\{None\} \cup \text{Some } 'univ') \subseteq univ'$ 
proof –
  let  $? \iota = \lambda None \Rightarrow \gamma (\text{some-lifting } None)$ 
     $| \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \gamma (\text{some-lifting } (\text{Some } (\text{inv-into univ } \gamma x)))$ 
have  $? \iota ' (\{None\} \cup \text{Some } 'univ') \subseteq univ'$ 
    using  $\gamma$  is-embedding-of-some-embedding-of bij-betw-apply
    apply auto[1]
    apply fastforce
    by (simp add: bij-betw-imp-surj-on inv-into-into)
moreover have inj-on  $? \iota (\{None\} \cup \text{Some } 'univ')$ 
proof
  fix  $x y$ 
assume  $x: x \in \{None\} \cup \text{Some } 'univ'$ 
assume  $y: y \in \{None\} \cup \text{Some } 'univ'$ 
assume eq:  $? \iota x = ? \iota y$ 
show  $x = y$ 
    using  $x y \text{ eq } \gamma$  some-lifting-cancel
    apply auto[1]
    by (metis bij-betw-def inv-into-f-eq inv-into-into inv-into-injective)

```

$inv\text{-}into\text{-}into\ some\text{-}lifting\text{-}in\text{-}univ(1,2)) +$
qed
ultimately show *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $\exists \iota. inj\text{-}on\ \iota\ (univ' \times univ') \wedge \iota\ '\ (univ' \times univ') \subseteq univ'$
proof –
let $? \iota = \lambda x. \gamma\ (some\text{-}pairing\ (inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ x),\ inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ x)))$
have $? \iota\ '\ (univ' \times univ') \subseteq univ'$
proof –
have $\bigwedge x. x \in univ' \times univ' \implies ? \iota\ x \in univ'$
by *(metis $\gamma\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}def\ imageI\ inv\text{-}into\text{-}into\ mem\text{-}Times\text{-}iff\ some\text{-}pairing\text{-}in\text{-}univ$)*
thus *?thesis by blast*
qed
moreover have $inj\text{-}on\ ? \iota\ (univ' \times univ')$
proof
fix $x\ y$
assume $x: x \in univ' \times univ'$ **and** $y: y \in univ' \times univ'$
assume $eq: ? \iota\ x = ? \iota\ y$
show $x = y$
proof –
have $pair\ (inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ x))\ (inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ x)) =$
 $pair\ (inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ y))\ (inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ y))$
proof –
have $inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ x) \in univ \wedge inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ x) \in univ \wedge$
 $inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ y) \in univ \wedge inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ y) \in univ$
by *(metis $\gamma\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}imp\text{-}surj\text{-}on\ inv\text{-}into\text{-}into\ mem\text{-}Times\text{-}iff\ x\ y$)*
thus *?thesis*
by *(metis $\gamma\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}inv\text{-}into\text{-}left\ eq\ some\text{-}pairing\text{-}in\text{-}univ$)*
qed
hence $inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ x) = inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (fst\ y) \wedge$
 $inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ x) = inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ (snd\ y)$
using $x\ y\ eq\ \gamma$
by *(metis $bij\text{-}betw\text{-}imp\text{-}surj\text{-}on\ first\text{-}conv\ inv\text{-}into\text{-}into\ mem\text{-}Times\text{-}iff\ second\text{-}conv$)*
hence $fst\ x = fst\ y \wedge snd\ x = snd\ y$
by *(metis $(full\text{-}types)\ \gamma\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}inv\text{-}into\text{-}right\ mem\text{-}Times\text{-}iff\ x\ y$)*
thus $x = y$
by *(simp add: prod-eq-iff)*
qed
qed
ultimately show *?thesis by blast*
qed
show $\exists \iota. inj\text{-}on\ \iota\ \{X. X \subseteq univ' \wedge small\ X\} \wedge \iota\ '\ \{X. X \subseteq univ' \wedge small\ X\} \subseteq univ'$
proof –
let $? \iota = \lambda X. \gamma\ (emb\text{-}set\ (inv\text{-}into\ univ\ \gamma\ '\ X))$
have $? \iota\ '\ \{X. X \subseteq univ' \wedge small\ X\} \subseteq univ'$
proof
fix X'
assume $X': X' \in ? \iota\ '\ \{X. X \subseteq univ' \wedge small\ X\}$
obtain $X\ where\ X: X \subseteq univ' \wedge small\ X \wedge ? \iota\ X = X'$

```

    using X' by blast
  have ?ι X ∈ univ'
  by (metis X γ bij-betw-def bij-betw-inv-into imageI image-mono emb-set-in-univ
    small-image)
  thus X' ∈ univ'
    using X by blast
qed
moreover have inj-on ?ι {X. X ⊆ univ' ∧ small X}
proof
  fix X X'
  assume X: X ∈ {X. X ⊆ univ' ∧ small X}
  assume X': X' ∈ {X. X ⊆ univ' ∧ small X}
  assume eq: ?ι X = ?ι X'
  show X = X'
  proof -
    have emb-set (inv-into univ γ ' X) = emb-set (inv-into univ γ ' X')
    proof -
      have emb-set (inv-into univ γ ' X) ∈ univ ∧ emb-set (inv-into univ γ ' X') ∈ univ
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-Collect Int-iff X X' γ bij-betw-def
        bij-betw-inv-into powering.emb-set-in-univ powering-axioms small-image
        subset-image-iff)
      thus ?thesis
      by (metis γ bij-betw-inv-into-left eq)
    qed
  hence inv-into univ γ ' X = inv-into univ γ ' X'
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-Collect Int-iff X X' γ bij-betw-def
    bij-betw-inv-into powering.emb-set-cancel powering-axioms small-image
    subset-image-iff)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis X X' γ bij-betw-imp-surj-on image-inv-into-cancel mem-Collect-eq)
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

A universe admits an embedding of all lists formed from its elements.

sublocale *small-funcset-and-nat* ..

```

fun some-embedding-of-lists :: 'U list ⇒ 'U
where some-embedding-of-lists [] = some-lifting None
      | some-embedding-of-lists (x # l) =
        some-lifting (Some (some-pairing (x, some-embedding-of-lists l)))

```

lemma *embeds-lists*:

```

shows embeds {l. List.set l ⊆ univ}
and is-embedding-of some-embedding-of-lists {l. List.set l ⊆ univ}
proof -
  show is-embedding-of some-embedding-of-lists {l. List.set l ⊆ univ}

```

```

proof
  show *: some-embedding-of-lists ' {l. list.set l ⊆ univ} ⊆ univ
proof -
  have ∧l. List.set l ⊆ univ ⟹ some-embedding-of-lists l ∈ univ
proof -
  fix l
  show List.set l ⊆ univ ⟹ some-embedding-of-lists l ∈ univ
  by (induct l) auto
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
show inj-on some-embedding-of-lists {l. list.set l ⊆ univ}
proof -
  have ∧n l m. [l ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ n};
    m ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ n};
    some-embedding-of-lists l = some-embedding-of-lists m]
    ⟹ l = m
proof -
  fix n l m
  show [l ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ n};
    m ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ n};
    some-embedding-of-lists l = some-embedding-of-lists m]
    ⟹ l = m
proof (induct n arbitrary: l m)
  show ∧l m. [l ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ 0};
    m ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ 0};
    some-embedding-of-lists l = some-embedding-of-lists m]
    ⟹ l = m
  by auto
fix n l m
assume ind: ∧l m. [l ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ n};
  m ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ n};
  some-embedding-of-lists l = some-embedding-of-lists m]
  ⟹ l = m
assume l: l ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ Suc n}
assume m: m ∈ {l. list.set l ⊆ univ ∧ length l ≤ Suc n}
assume eq: some-embedding-of-lists l = some-embedding-of-lists m
show l = m
proof (cases l; cases m)
  show [l = []; m = []] ⟹ l = m by simp
  show ∧a m'. [l = a # m'; m = a # m'] ⟹ l = m
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) * eq image-subset-iff insert-subset
    list.simps(15) m mem-Collect-eq some-pairing-in-univ
    some-embedding-of-lists.simps(1,2) some-lifting-cancel(1))
  show ∧a l'. [l = a # l'; m = []] ⟹ l = m
  by (metis (lifting) * eq image-subset-iff l some-lifting-cancel(1)
    list.set-intros(1) mem-Collect-eq some-pairing-in-univ set-subset-Cons
    some-embedding-of-lists.simps(1,2) subset-code(1))
  show ∧a b l' m'. [l = a # l'; m = b # m'] ⟹ l = m

```

```

proof –
  fix  $a\ b\ l'\ m'$ 
  assume  $al': l = a \# l'$  and  $bm': m = b \# m'$ 
  have  $\text{some-pairing } (a, \text{some-embedding-of-lists } l') =$ 
     $\text{some-pairing } (b, \text{some-embedding-of-lists } m')$ 
  using  $l\ m\ al'\ bm'$  eq  $\text{some-lifting-is-embedding embeds-pairs}$ 
  apply  $\text{simp}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types}, \text{lifting}) * \text{image-subset-iff mem-Collect-eq}$ 
     $\text{some-lifting-cancel}(2) \text{ some-pairing-in-univ})$ 
  hence  $a = b \wedge \text{some-embedding-of-lists } l' = \text{some-embedding-of-lists } m'$ 
  using  $l\ m\ al'\ bm'$   $\text{embeds-pairs}$ 
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{lifting}) * \text{image-subset-iff insert-subset list.simps}(15)$ 
     $\text{mem-Collect-eq first-conv second-conv})$ 
  hence  $a = b \wedge l' = m'$ 
  using  $l\ m\ al'\ bm'$  ind by  $\text{auto}$ 
  thus  $l = m$ 
  using  $al'\ bm'$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed
qed
qed
qed
thus  $?thesis$ 
  using  $\text{inj-on-def } [\text{of some-embedding-of-lists } \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\}]$ 
  by  $(\text{metis } (\text{lifting}) \text{ linorder-le-cases mem-Collect-eq})$ 
qed
qed
thus  $\text{embeds } \{l. \text{List.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\}$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
qed

```

A universe also admits an embedding of all small sets of lists formed from its elements.

```

lemma  $\text{embeds-small-sets-of-lists}$ :
shows  $\text{is-embedding-of } (\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of-small-sets } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X))$ 
   $\{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
and  $\text{embeds } \{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
proof –
  show  $\text{is-embedding-of } (\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of-small-sets } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X))$ 
     $\{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
  proof
    show  $\text{inj-on } (\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of-small-sets } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X))$ 
       $\{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
    proof
      fix  $X\ Y :: 'U \text{ list set}$ 
      assume  $X: X \in \{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
      and  $Y: Y \in \{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
      assume  $\text{eq: some-embedding-of-small-sets } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X) =$ 
         $\text{some-embedding-of-small-sets } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'Y)$ 
      have  $\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X = \text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'Y$ 
      by  $(\text{metis } (\text{mono-tags}, \text{lifting}) \text{ CollectD } X\ Y \text{ emb-set-cancel emb-set-def}$ 
         $\text{embeds-lists}(2) \text{ eq image-mono small-image subset-trans})$ 
    qed
  qed

```

```

    thus  $X = Y$ 
    using  $X \ Y \text{ embeds-lists } \text{inj-on-image-eq-iff}$  by fastforce
  qed
show  $(\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of-small-sets } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X)) \ ' \{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\} \subseteq \text{univ}$ 
proof
  fix  $X'$ 
  assume  $X': X' \in (\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of } \{X. X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } X\} (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X)) \ ' \{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$ 
  obtain  $X$  where  $X: X \subseteq \{l. \text{set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X \wedge (\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of } \{X. X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } X\} (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X)) X = X'$ 
    using  $X'$  by blast
  have  $\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X)$ 
    using  $X \text{ embeds-lists small-image}$  by blast
  hence  $(\lambda X. \text{some-embedding-of } \{X. X \subseteq \text{univ} \wedge \text{small } X\} (\text{some-embedding-of-lists } 'X)) X \in \text{univ}$ 
    by (metis emb-set-def emb-set-in-univ)
  thus  $X' \in \text{univ}$ 
    using  $X$  by blast
  qed
qed
thus  $\text{embeds } \{X. X \subseteq \{l. \text{list.set } l \subseteq \text{univ}\} \wedge \text{small } X\}$  by blast
qed

end

end

```


Chapter 4

The Category of Small Sets

```
theory SetsCat
imports Category3.SetCat Category3.CategoryWithPullbacks Category3.CartesianClosedCategory
        Category3.EquivalenceOfCategories Category3.Colimit Universe
begin
```

In this section we consider the category of small sets and functions between them as an exemplifying instance of the pattern we propose for working with large categories in HOL. We define a locale *sets-cat*, which axiomatizes a category with terminal object, such that each object determines a “small” set (the set of its global elements), there is an object corresponding to any externally given small set, and such that the hom-sets between objects are in bijection with the small extensional functions between sets of global elements. We show that this locale characterizes the category of small sets and functions, in the sense that, for a fixed notion of smallness, any two interpretations of the *sets-cat* locale are equivalent as categories. We then proceed to derive various familiar properties of a category of sets; assuming in each case that the notion of “smallness” satisfies suitable conditions as defined in the theory *Smallness*, and that the collection of all arrows of the category satisfies suitable closure conditions as defined in the theory *Universe*. In particular, we show if the collection of arrows forms a “universe”, then the category is well-pointed, small-complete and small co-complete, cartesian closed, has a subobject classifier and a natural numbers object, and splits all epimorphisms.

4.1 Basic Definitions and Properties

We will describe the category of small sets and functions as a certain kind of category with terminal object, which has been equipped with a notion of “smallness” that specifies what sets will correspond to objects in the category.

```
locale sets-cat-base =
  smallness sml +
  category-with-terminal-object C
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  55)
```

begin

sublocale *embedding* $\langle \text{Collect arr} \rangle$.

Every object in the category determines a set: its set of global elements (we make an arbitrary choice of terminal object).

abbreviation *Set*
where $\text{Set} \equiv \text{hom } \mathbf{1}^?$

Every arrow in the category determines an extensional function between sets of global elements.

definition *Fun*
where $\text{Fun } f \, x \equiv \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \text{ then } f \cdot x \text{ else null}$

abbreviation *Hom*
where $\text{Hom } a \, b \equiv (\text{Set } a \rightarrow \text{Set } b) \cap \{F. \forall x. x \notin \text{Set } a \longrightarrow F \, x = \text{null}\}$

lemma *Fun-in-Hom*:
assumes $\langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle$
shows $\text{Fun } f \in \text{Hom } a \, b$
using *assms Fun-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Set-some-terminal*:
shows $\text{Set some-terminal} = \{\text{some-terminal}\}$
using *ide-in-hom terminal-def terminal-some-terminal* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fun-some-terminator*:
assumes *ide a*
shows $\text{Fun } \text{t}^?[a] = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } a \text{ then } \mathbf{1}^? \text{ else null})$
unfolding *Fun-def*
using *assms elementary-category-with-terminal-object.trm-naturality*
elementary-category-with-terminal-object.trm-one
extends-to-elementary-category-with-terminal-object
by *fastforce*

The following function will allow us to obtain an object corresponding to an externally given set. The set of global elements of the object is to be equipollent with the given set. We give the definition here, but of course it will be necessary to prove that this function actually does produce such an object under suitable conditions.

definition $\text{mkide} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{mkide } A \equiv \text{SOME } a. \text{ide } a \wedge \text{Set } a \approx A$

end

The following locale states our axioms for the category of small sets and functions. The axioms assert: (1) that the set of global elements of every object is small; (2) that the mapping from hom-sets to extensional functions between small sets of global elements is injective and surjective; and (3) that the category is “replete” in the sense that for

every small set of arrows of the category there exists an object whose set of elements is equipollent with it.

```

locale sets-cat =
  sets-cat-base sml C
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr <·> 55) +
assumes small-Set:  $\text{ide } a \Longrightarrow \text{small } (\text{Set } a)$ 
and inj-Fun:  $\llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{inj-on } \text{Fun } (\text{hom } a \ b)$ 
and surj-Fun:  $\llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{Hom } a \ b \subseteq \text{Fun } '(\text{hom } a \ b)$ 
and repleteness-ax:  $\llbracket \text{small } A; A \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge \text{Set } a \approx A$ 
begin

```

It is convenient to extend the repleteness property to apply to any small set, at any type, which happens to have an embedding into the collection of arrows of the category.

```

lemma repleteness:
assumes small A and embeds A
shows  $\exists a. \text{ide } a \wedge \text{Set } a \approx A$ 
by (metis assms(1,2) eqpoll-trans inj-on-image-eqpoll-self repleteness-ax small-image-iff)

```

We obtain a pair of inverse comparison maps between an externally given small set A and the set of global elements of the object $\text{mkide } a$ corresponding to it. The map IN encodes each element of A as a global element of $\text{mkide } A$. The inverse map OUT decodes global elements of $\text{mkide } A$ to the corresponding elements of A . We will need to pay attention to these comparison maps when relating notions internal to the category to notions external to it. However, when working completely internally to the category these maps do not appear at all.

```

definition OUT :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'a
where OUT A  $\equiv$  SOME F. bij-betw F (Set (mkide A)) A

```

```

abbreviation IN :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where IN A  $\equiv$  inv-into (Set (mkide A)) (OUT A)

```

The following is the main fact that allows us to produce objects of the category. It states that, given any small set A for which there is some embedding into the collection of arrows of the category, there exists a corresponding object $\text{mkide } A$ whose set of global elements is equipollent to A .

```

lemma ide-mkide:
assumes small A and embeds A
shows [intro]:  $\text{ide } (\text{mkide } A)$ 
and Set (mkide A)  $\approx$  A
proof –
  have  $\text{ide } (\text{mkide } A) \wedge \text{Set } (\text{mkide } A) \approx A$ 
    using assms repleteness mkide-def someI-ex
    by (metis (lifting) HOL.ext)
  thus  $\text{ide } (\text{mkide } A)$  and Set (mkide A)  $\approx$  A
    using assms by auto
qed

```

lemma *bij-OUT*:
assumes *small A and embeds A*
shows *bij-betw (OUT A) (Set (mkide A)) A*
 unfolding *OUT-def*
 using *assms ide-mkide(2) someI-ex [of $\lambda F. \text{bij-betw } F \text{ (Set (mkide A)) A}$] eqpoll-def*
 by *blast*

lemma *bij-IN*:
assumes *small A and embeds A*
shows *bij-betw (IN A) A (Set (mkide A))*
 using *assms bij-OUT bij-betw-inv-into* **by** *blast*

lemma *OUT-elem-of*:
assumes *small A and embeds A and $\langle x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{mkide } A \rangle$*
shows *OUT A $x \in A$*
 by (*metis CollectI assms(1,2,3) bij-betw-apply bij-OUT*)

lemma *IN-in-hom*:
assumes *small A and embeds A and $x \in A$ and $a = \text{mkide } A$*
shows *$\langle \text{IN } A \ x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow a \rangle$*
 by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Ball-Collect assms(1,2,3,4) bij-betw-def bij-OUT inv-into-into set-eq-subset*)

lemma *IN-OUT*:
assumes *small A and embeds A*
shows *$x \in \text{Set (mkide A)} \implies \text{IN } A \ (\text{OUT } A \ x) = x$*
 using *assms bij-OUT(1)*
 by (*metis bij-betw-inv-into-left*)

lemma *OUT-IN*:
assumes *small A and embeds A*
shows *$x \in A \implies \text{OUT } A \ (\text{IN } A \ x) = x$*
 using *assms bij-OUT(1)*
 by (*metis bij-betw-inv-into-right*)

lemma *Fun-IN*:
assumes *small A and embeds A and $y \in A$*
shows *$\text{Fun (IN } A \ y) = (\lambda x. \text{ if } x = \mathbf{1}^? \text{ then IN } A \ y \text{ else null})$*
proof
 fix *x*
 show *$\text{Fun (IN } A \ y) \ x = (\text{ if } x = \mathbf{1}^? \text{ then IN } A \ y \text{ else null})$*
 proof (*cases $x \in \text{Set } \mathbf{1}^?$*)
 case *False*
 show *?thesis*
 using *False Fun-def*
 by (*metis IN-in-hom Set-some-terminal assms(1,2,3) in-homE singleton-iff*)
 next
 case *True*

```

have  $x: x = 1^?$ 
  using True Set-some-terminal by blast
have  $\text{Fun } (IN\ A\ y)\ x = IN\ A\ y \cdot 1^?$ 
  using Fun-def dom-eqI ide-some-terminal ext x by auto
also have  $\dots = (\text{if } x = 1^? \text{ then } IN\ A\ y \text{ else null})$ 
  by (metis (lifting) HOL.ext IN-in-hom assms(1,2,3) comp-arr-dom in-homE x)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

The following function enables us to obtain an arrow of the category by specifying an extensional function between sets of global objects.

```

definition mkarr ::  $'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow ('U \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$ 
where  $\text{mkarr } a\ b\ F \equiv \text{if } \text{ide } a \wedge \text{ide } b \wedge F \in \text{Hom } a\ b$ 
   $\text{then SOME } f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{Fun } f = F$ 
   $\text{else null}$ 

```

```

lemma mkarr-in-hom [intro]:
assumes  $\text{ide } a$  and  $\text{ide } b$  and  $F \in \text{Hom } a\ b$ 
shows  $\langle \text{mkarr } a\ b\ F : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ 
proof –
  have  $\exists f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{Fun } f = F$ 
    using assms surj-Fun [of a b] by blast
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding mkarr-def
    using assms someI-ex [of  $\lambda f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{Fun } f = F$ ] by auto
qed

```

```

lemma arr-mkarr [intro, simp]:
assumes  $\text{ide } a$  and  $\text{ide } b$  and  $F \in \text{Hom } a\ b$ 
shows  $\text{arr } (\text{mkarr } a\ b\ F)$ 
  using assms mkarr-in-hom by blast

```

```

lemma arr-mkarrD [dest]:
assumes  $\text{arr } (\text{mkarr } a\ b\ F)$ 
shows  $\text{ide } a$  and  $\text{ide } b$  and  $F \in \text{Hom } a\ b$ 
  by (metis (lifting) assms mkarr-def not-arr-null)+

```

```

lemma arr-mkarrE [elim]:
assumes  $\text{arr } (\text{mkarr } a\ b\ F)$ 
and  $\llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; F \in \text{Hom } a\ b \rrbracket \implies T$ 
shows  $T$ 
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma dom-mkarr [simp]:
assumes  $\text{arr } (\text{mkarr } a\ b\ F)$ 
shows  $\text{dom } (\text{mkarr } a\ b\ F) = a$ 
  by (meson arr-mkarrE assms in-homE mkarr-in-hom)

```

```

lemma cod-mkarr [simp]:
assumes arr (mkarr a b F)
shows cod (mkarr a b F) = b
  by (meson arr-mkarrE assms in-homE mkarr-in-hom)

lemma Fun-mkarr [simp]:
assumes arr (mkarr a b F)
shows Fun (mkarr a b F) = F
proof –
  have  $\exists f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{Fun } f = F$ 
    using assms surj-Fun [of a b] by blast
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding mkarr-def
    using assms someI-ex [of  $\lambda f. \langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{Fun } f = F$ ] by auto
qed

```

```

lemma mkarr-Fun:
assumes  $\langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle$ 
shows mkarr a b (Fun f) = f
proof –
  have  $\langle \text{mkarr } a b (\text{Fun } f) : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge \text{Fun } (\text{mkarr } a b (\text{Fun } f)) = \text{Fun } f$ 
    by (metis (lifting) Fun-in-Hom Fun-mkarr assms ide-cod ide-dom in-homE mkarr-in-hom)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms inj-Fun inj-onD [of Fun hom a b mkarr a b (Fun f)]
    by blast
qed

```

The locale assumptions ensure that, for any two objects a and b , there is a bijection between the hom-set $\text{hom } a b$ and the set $\text{Hom } a b$ of extensional functions from $\text{Set } a$ to $\text{Set } b$.

```

lemma bij-Fun:
assumes ide a and ide b
shows bij-betw Fun (hom a b) (Hom a b)
and bij-betw (mkarr a b) (Hom a b) (hom a b)
proof –
  have  $1: \text{Fun} \in \text{hom } a b \rightarrow \text{Hom } a b$ 
    using Fun-in-Hom by blast
  have  $2: \text{mkarr } a b \in \text{Hom } a b \rightarrow \text{hom } a b$ 
    using assms mkarr-in-hom by auto
  have  $3: \bigwedge F. F \in \text{Hom } a b \implies \text{Fun } (\text{mkarr } a b F) = F$ 
    using Fun-mkarr assms(1,2) mkarr-in-hom by auto
  have  $4: \bigwedge f. f \in \text{hom } a b \implies \text{mkarr } a b (\text{Fun } f) = f$ 
    using assms mkarr-Fun by auto
  show bij-betw Fun (hom a b) (Hom a b)
    using  $1\ 2\ 3\ 4$ 
    by (intro bij-betwI) auto
  show bij-betw (mkarr a b) (Hom a b) (hom a b)
    using  $1\ 2\ 3\ 4$ 
    by (intro bij-betwI) auto

```

qed

lemma *arr-eqI*:
assumes *par t u and Fun t = Fun u*
shows *t = u*
using *assms* **by** (*metis (lifting) arr-iff-in-hom mkarr-Fun*)

lemma *arr-eqI'*:
assumes *in-hom f a b and in-hom g a b*
and $\bigwedge x. \text{in-hom } x \mathbf{1}^? a \implies f \cdot x = g \cdot x$
shows *f = g*
using *assms arr-eqI [of f g] in-homE Fun-def* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *Fun-arr*:
assumes $\langle f : a \rightarrow b \rangle$
shows *Fun f = ($\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } a \text{ then } f \cdot x \text{ else null}$)*
using *assms Fun-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fun-ide*:
assumes *ide a*
shows *Fun a = ($\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } a \text{ then } x \text{ else null}$)*
by (*metis (lifting) CollectD CollectI assms comp-cod-arr in-homE ide-char Fun-def*)

lemma *Fun-comp*:
assumes *seq t u*
shows *Fun (t · u) = Fun t ◦ Fun u*
unfolding *Fun-def*
using *assms comp-assoc* **by** *force*

lemma *mkarr-comp*:
assumes *seq g f*
shows *mkarr (dom f) (cod g) (Fun g ◦ Fun f) = g · f*
by (*metis (lifting) Fun-comp assms cod-comp dom-comp in-homI mkarr-Fun*)

lemma *comp-mkarr*:
assumes *arr (mkarr a b F) and arr (mkarr b c G)*
shows *mkarr b c G · mkarr a b F = mkarr a c (G ◦ F)*
using *assms Fun-mkarr mkarr-comp [of mkarr b c G mkarr a b F]* **by** *simp*

lemma *app-mkarr*:
assumes *in-hom (mkarr a b F) a b and in-hom x 1[?] a*
shows *mkarr a b F · x = F x*
using *assms Fun-mkarr*
by (*metis Fun-def in-homE mem-Collect-eq*)

lemma *ide-as-mkarr*:
assumes *ide a*
shows *mkarr a a ($\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } a \text{ then } x \text{ else null}$) = a*
using *assms Fun-ide Fun-mkarr*

by (*intro arr-eqI*) *auto*

An object a is terminal if and only if its set of global elements $Set\ a$ is a singleton set.

lemma *terminal-char*:

shows $terminal\ a \longleftrightarrow ide\ a \wedge (\exists!x. x \in Set\ a)$

proof

show $terminal\ a \implies ide\ a \wedge (\exists!x. x \in Set\ a)$

using *terminal-def terminal-some-terminal* **by** *auto*

assume $a: ide\ a \wedge (\exists!x. x \in Set\ a)$

show $terminal\ a$

proof

show $ide\ a$

using a **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge b. ide\ b \implies \exists!f. \langle f : b \rightarrow a \rangle$

proof $-$

fix b

assume $b: ide\ b$

have $\langle mkarr\ b\ a\ (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ b \text{ then } THE\ y. y \in Set\ a \text{ else null}) : b \rightarrow a \rangle$

using $a\ b\ theI$ [*of* $\lambda y. y \in Set\ a$]

by (*intro mkarr-in-hom*) *fastforce+*

moreover have $\bigwedge t\ u. \llbracket \langle t : b \rightarrow a \rangle; \langle u : b \rightarrow a \rangle \rrbracket \implies t = u$

using $a\ Fun-def$ **by** (*intro arr-eqI*) *fastforce+*

ultimately show $\exists!f. \langle f : b \rightarrow a \rangle$ **by** *blast*

qed

qed

qed

An object a is initial if and only if its set of global elements $Set\ a$ is the empty set, except in the degenerate situation in which every object is both an initial and a terminal object.

lemma *initial-char*:

shows $initial\ a \longleftrightarrow ide\ a \wedge (Set\ a = \{\} \vee (\forall b. ide\ b \longrightarrow terminal\ b))$

proof $-$

have $\forall b. ide\ b \longrightarrow terminal\ b \implies \forall b. ide\ b \longrightarrow initial\ b$

by (*simp add: initialI terminal-def*)

moreover have $\exists b. ide\ b \wedge \neg terminal\ b \implies \forall a. initial\ a \longleftrightarrow ide\ a \wedge Set\ a = \{\}$

proof $-$

assume $1: \exists b. ide\ b \wedge \neg terminal\ b$

obtain b **where** $b: ide\ b \wedge \neg terminal\ b$

using 1 **by** *blast*

show $\forall a. initial\ a \longleftrightarrow ide\ a \wedge Set\ a = \{\}$

proof (*intro allI iffI conjI*)

fix a

assume $a: initial\ a$

show $ide\ a$

using $a\ initial-def$ **by** *blast*

show $Set\ a = \{\}$

proof (*cases Set\ b = \{\}*)


```

case True
show ?thesis
  using a b True by blast
next
case False
have Set a ≠ {} ⇒ ¬ (∃!f. «f : a → b»)
proof -
  assume 2: Set a ≠ {}
  obtain x y where 3: x ∈ Set b ∧ y ∈ Set b ∧ x ≠ y
  using b False terminal-char by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof -
    have «mkarr a b (λz. if z ∈ Set a then x else null) : a → b»
    using <ide a> b 3 by auto
    moreover have «mkarr a b (λz. if z ∈ Set a then y else null) : a → b»
    using <ide a> b 3 by auto
    moreover have mkarr a b (λz. if z ∈ Set a then x else null) ≠
      mkarr a b (λz. if z ∈ Set a then y else null)
    by (metis (full-types, lifting) 2 3 Fun-mkarr arrI calculation(2) ex-in-conv)
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  using a b initial-def by auto
qed
next
fix a
assume a: ide a ∧ Set a = {}
show initial a
proof -
  have ∧b. ide b ⇒ ∃!f. «f : a → b»
  proof -
    fix b
    assume b: ide b
    have «mkarr a b (λ-. null) : a → b»
    by (simp add: a b mkarr-in-hom)
    moreover have ∧f g. [«f : a → b»; «g : a → b»] ⇒ f = g
    using a arr-eqI' by fastforce
    ultimately show ∃!f. «f : a → b» by blast
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  using a initial-def by blast
qed
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis initial-def)
qed

```

An arrow is a monomorphism if and only if the corresponding function is injective.

```

lemma mono-char:
shows  $\text{mono } f \longleftrightarrow \text{arr } f \wedge \text{inj-on } (\text{Fun } f) (\text{Set } (\text{dom } f))$ 
proof
  assume  $f: \text{mono } f$ 
  have  $\text{arr } f$ 
    using  $f \text{ mono-implies-arr}$  by simp
  moreover have  $\text{inj-on } (\text{Fun } f) (\text{Set } (\text{dom } f))$ 
    by (intro inj-onI)
    (metis Fun-def calculation f in-homE mem-Collect-eq mono-cancel seqI)
  ultimately show  $\text{arr } f \wedge \text{inj-on } (\text{Fun } f) (\text{Set } (\text{dom } f))$  by blast
next
assume  $f: \text{arr } f \wedge \text{inj-on } (\text{Fun } f) (\text{Set } (\text{dom } f))$ 
show  $\text{mono } f$ 
proof
  show  $\text{arr } f$ 
    using  $f$  by blast
  fix  $g \ h$ 
  assume  $\text{seq}: \text{seq } f \ g$  and  $\text{eq}: f \cdot g = f \cdot h$ 
  show  $g = h$ 
  proof (intro arr-eqI)
    show  $\text{par}: \text{par } g \ h$ 
      by (metis dom-comp eq seq seqE)
    show  $\text{Fun } g = \text{Fun } h$ 
    proof –
      have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } g) \implies \text{Fun } g \ x = \text{Fun } h \ x$ 
      proof –
        fix  $x$ 
        assume  $x: x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } g)$ 
        have  $f \cdot (g \cdot x) = f \cdot (h \cdot x)$ 
          using  $\text{eq}$  by (metis comp-assoc)
        moreover have  $g \cdot x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \wedge h \cdot x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$ 
          by (metis seq par comp-in-homI in-homI mem-Collect-eq seq seqE x)
        ultimately have  $g \cdot x = h \cdot x$ 
          using  $f \text{ inj-on-def [of Fun f Set (dom f)] Fun-def}$  by auto
        thus  $\text{Fun } g \ x = \text{Fun } h \ x$ 
          using  $\text{par Fun-def}$  by presburger
      qed
    thus ?thesis
      using  $\text{par Fun-def}$  by force
    qed
  qed
qed
qed

```

An arrow is a retraction if and only if the corresponding function is surjective.

```

lemma retraction-char:
shows  $\text{retraction } f \longleftrightarrow \text{arr } f \wedge \text{Fun } f \text{ ' Set } (\text{dom } f) = \text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$ 
proof (intro iffI conjI)
  assume  $f: \text{retraction } f$ 

```

```

show 1: arr f
  using f by blast
obtain g where g: f · g = cod f
  using f by blast
show Fun f ‘ Set (dom f) = Set (cod f)
proof
  show Fun f ‘ Set (dom f) ⊆ Set (cod f)
    using ⟨arr f⟩ Fun-def by auto
  show Set (cod f) ⊆ Fun f ‘ Set (dom f)
  proof –
    have Set (cod f) ⊆ Fun f ‘ Fun g ‘ Set (cod f)
    proof –
      have Set (cod f) ⊆ Fun (cod f) ‘ Set (cod f)
        using 1 Fun-ide by auto
      also have ... = (Fun f ∘ Fun g) ‘ Set (cod f)
        using 1 g Fun-comp
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) arr-cod)
      also have ... = Fun f ‘ Fun g ‘ Set (cod f)
        by (metis image-comp)
      finally show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  also have ... ⊆ Fun f ‘ Set (dom f)
  proof –
    have «g : cod f → dom f»
      using g
      by (metis 1 arr-iff-in-hom ide-cod ide-compE seqE)
    thus ?thesis
      using Fun-def by auto
    qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
next
assume f: arr f ∧ Fun f ‘ Set (dom f) = Set (cod f)
let ?G = λy. if y ∈ Set (cod f) then inv-into (Set (dom f)) (Fun f) y else null
let ?g = mkarr (cod f) (dom f) ?G
have f · ?g = cod f
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  have seq: seq f ?g
  proof
    show «f : dom f → cod f»
      using f by blast
    show «?g : cod f → dom f»
  proof (intro mkarr-in-hom)
    show ide (cod f) and ide (dom f)
      using f by auto
    show ?G ∈ (Set (cod f) → Set (dom f)) ∩ {F. ∀x. x ∉ Set (cod f) → F x = null}
  proof
    show ?G ∈ Set (cod f) → Set (dom f)

```

```

    proof
      fix x
      assume x: x ∈ Set (cod f)
      show ?G x ∈ Set (dom f)
        by (metis f inv-into-into x)
    qed
    show ?G ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ Set (cod f) ⟶ F x = null}
      using f by auto
  qed
qed
qed
thus par: par (f · ?g) (cod f) by auto
show Fun (f · ?g) = Fun (cod f)
proof -
  have Fun (f · ?g) = Fun f ∘ ?G
    using par Fun-comp Fun-mkarr by fastforce
  also have ... = Fun (cod f)
  proof
    fix y
    show (Fun f ∘ ?G) y = Fun (cod f) y
    proof (cases y ∈ Set (cod f))
      case False
      show ?thesis
        using False Fun-def dom-cod by auto
    next
      case True
      show ?thesis
      proof -
        have Fun f (inv-into (Set (dom f)) (Fun f) y) = y
          by (metis (no-types) True f f-inv-into-f)
        thus ?thesis
          using Fun-ide True f by force
      qed
    qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus retraction f
  by (metis (lifting) f ide-cod retraction-def)
qed

```

An arrow is a isomorphism if and only if the corresponding function is a bijection.

```

lemma iso-char:
shows iso f ⟷ arr f ∧ bij-betw (Fun f) (Set (dom f)) (Set (cod f))
  using retraction-char mono-char bij-betw-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) iso-iff-mono-and-retraction)

```

```

lemma isomorphic-char:

```

```

shows isomorphic  $a \longleftrightarrow ide\ a \wedge ide\ b \wedge Set\ a \approx Set\ b$ 
proof
  assume 1: isomorphic  $a\ b$ 
  show  $ide\ a \wedge ide\ b \wedge Set\ a \approx Set\ b$ 
    using 1 isomorphic-def iso-char eqpoll-def [of Set a Set b] by auto
  next
  assume 1:  $ide\ a \wedge ide\ b \wedge Set\ a \approx Set\ b$ 
  obtain  $F$  where  $F$ : bij-betw  $F\ (Set\ a)\ (Set\ b)$ 
    using 1 eqpoll-def by blast
  let  $?F' = \lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ a \text{ then } F\ x \text{ else null}$ 
  let  $?f = mkarr\ a\ b\ (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ a \text{ then } F\ x \text{ else null})$ 
  have  $f$ :  $\langle\langle ?f : a \rightarrow b \rangle\rangle$ 
  proof
    show  $ide\ a$  and  $ide\ b$ 
      using 1 by auto
    show  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ a \text{ then } F\ x \text{ else null}) \in Hom\ a\ b$ 
      using  $F$  Pi-mem bij-betw-imp-funcset by fastforce
  qed
  moreover have bij-betw  $(Fun\ ?f)\ (Set\ a)\ (Set\ b)$ 
    using  $F$  Fun-mkarr arrI bij-betw-cong f
    apply (unfold bij-betw-def)
    by (auto simp add: inj-on-def)
  ultimately have iso  $?f \wedge dom\ ?f = a \wedge cod\ ?f = b$ 
    using iso-char Fun-mkarr by auto
  thus isomorphic  $a\ b$ 
    using isomorphicI by force
qed

end

```

4.2 Categoricity

The following is a kind of “categoricity in power” result which states that, for a fixed notion of smallness, if C and D are “sets categories” whose collections of arrows are equipollent, then in fact C and D are equivalent categories.

```

lemma categoricity:
assumes sets-cat sml  $C$  and sets-cat sml  $D$ 
and Collect (partial-composition.arr C)  $\approx$  Collect (partial-composition.arr D)
shows equivalent-categories  $C\ D$ 
proof
  interpret smallness sml
    using assms(1) sets-cat-def sets-cat-base-def by blast
  interpret  $C$ : sets-cat sml C
    using assms(1) by blast
  interpret  $D$ : sets-cat sml D
    using assms(2) by blast
  have  $D.\text{embeds-}C.\text{Set} : \bigwedge a. C.\text{ide } a \implies D.\text{embeds } (C.\text{Set } a)$ 
    using assms(3) D.embeds-subset [of Collect C.arr]

```

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-mono bij-betw-def C.in-homE eqpoll-def)
  let ?Fo = λa. D.mkide (C.Set a)
  have Fo: ∧a. C.ide a ⇒ D.ide (?Fo a)
    by (simp add: C.small-Set D.ide-mkide(1) D-embeds-C-Set)
  have bij-OUT: ∧a. C.ide a ⇒ bij-betw (D.OUT (C.Set a)) (D.Set (?Fo a)) (C.Set a)
    by (simp add: C.small-Set D.bij-OUT(1) D-embeds-C-Set)
  let ?FFun = λf. λx. if x ∈ D.Set (?Fo (C.dom f))
    then (D.IN (C.Set (C.cod f)) ∘ C.Fun f ∘ D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f))) x
    else D.null
  have FFun: ∧f. C.arr f ⇒ ?FFun f ∈ D.Hom (?Fo (C.dom f)) (?Fo (C.cod f))
  proof
    fix f
    assume f: C.arr f
    show ?FFun f ∈ {F. ∀x. x ∉ D.Set (?Fo (C.dom f)) ⟶ F x = D.null}
      by simp
    show ?FFun f ∈ D.Set (?Fo (C.dom f)) → D.Set (?Fo (C.cod f))
  proof
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ D.Set (?Fo (C.dom f))
    show ?FFun f x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f)))
  proof -
    have D.in-hom (D.IN (C.Set (C.cod f)) (C f (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x)))
      D.some-terminal (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f)))
    proof -
      have «C f (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x) : 1? → C.cod f»
        using x f C.ide-dom bij-betwE bij-OUT by blast
      moreover have small (C.Set (C.cod f))
        using C.small-Set f by force
      moreover have D-embeds (C.Set (C.cod f))
        by (simp add: D-embeds-C-Set f)
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using x f D.bij-IN [of C.Set (C.cod f)] bij-betwE by auto
    qed
    moreover have «D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x : 1? → C.dom f»
      using x f C.ide-dom bij-betwE bij-OUT by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using x f C.Fun-def by force
  qed
qed
qed
let ?F = λf. if C.arr f then D.mkarr (?Fo (C.dom f)) (?Fo (C.cod f)) (?FFun f) else D.null
interpret functor C D ?F
proof
  show ∧f. ¬ C.arr f ⇒ ?F f = D.null
    by simp
  show arrF: ∧f. C.arr f ⇒ D.arr (?F f)
    using Fo FFun by auto
  show domF: ∧f. C.arr f ⇒ D.dom (?F f) = ?F (C.dom f)
  proof -

```

```

fix f
assume f: C.arr f
have D.dom (?F f) = D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f))
  using f arrF by auto
also have ... = ?F (C.dom f)
proof –
  have ?FFun (C.dom f) =
    (λx. if x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f))) then x else D.null)
  proof
    fix x
    have x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f))) ⇒
      «D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x : 1? → C.dom f»
    using f C.ide-dom bij-betwE bij-OUT by blast
    thus ?FFun (C.dom f) x =
      (if x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f))) then x else D.null)
    using f C.ide-dom bij-betwE bij-OUT arrF Fo C.Fun-ide
      D.IN-OUT [of C.Set (C.dom f) x]
    by (auto simp add: C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set)
  qed
moreover have D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f)) =
  D.mkarr (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f))) (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f)))
  (λx. if D.in-hom x D.some-terminal (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f)))
    then x else D.null)
  using f arrF Fo D.ide-as-mkarr by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using f by auto
qed
finally show D.dom (?F f) = ?F (C.dom f) by blast
qed
show codF: ⋀f. C.arr f ⇒ D.cod (?F f) = ?F (C.cod f)
proof –
  fix f
  assume f: C.arr f
  have D.cod (?F f) = D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f))
    using f arrF by auto
  also have ... = ?F (C.cod f)
  proof –
    have ?FFun (C.cod f) =
      (λx. if x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f))) then x else D.null)
    proof
      fix x
      have x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f))) ⇒
        «D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f)) x : 1? → C.cod f»
      using f C.ide-cod bij-betwE bij-OUT by blast
      thus ?FFun (C.cod f) x =
        (if x ∈ D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f))) then x else D.null)
      using f C.ide-cod bij-betwE bij-OUT arrF Fo C.Fun-ide
        D.IN-OUT [of C.Set (C.cod f) x]
      by (auto simp add: C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set)
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
moreover have  $D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f)) =$ 
   $D.mkarr (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f))) (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f)))$ 
   $(\lambda x. \text{if } D.in-hom \ x \ D.some-terminal \ (D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f)))$ 
     $\text{then } x \text{ else } D.null)$ 
  using  $f \text{ arrF } F_o \ D.ide-as-mkarr [of \ D.mkide (C.Set (C.cod f))]$  by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $f$  by auto
qed
finally show  $D.cod (?F f) = ?F (C.cod f)$  by blast
qed
fix  $f \ g$ 
assume  $seq: C.seq \ g \ f$ 
have  $f: C.arr \ f$  and  $g: C.arr \ g$ 
  using  $seq$  by auto
show  $?F (C \ g \ f) = D (?F \ g) (?F \ f)$ 
proof (intro  $D.arr-eqI [of \ ?F (C \ g \ f)]$ )
  show  $par: D.par (?F (C \ g \ f)) (D (?F \ g) (?F \ f))$ 
  proof (intro  $conjI$ )
    show  $1: D.arr (?F (C \ g \ f))$ 
      using  $seq \text{ arrF } [of \ C \ g \ f]$  by fastforce
    show  $2: D.arr (D (?F \ g) (?F \ f))$ 
      using  $seq \text{ arrF } domF \ codF$  by (intro  $D.seqI$ ) auto
    show  $D.dom (?F (C \ g \ f)) = D.dom (D (?F \ g) (?F \ f))$ 
      using  $1 \ 2$  by fastforce
    show  $D.cod (?F (C \ g \ f)) = D.cod (D (?F \ g) (?F \ f))$ 
      using  $1 \ 2$  by fastforce
  proof
    fix  $x$ 
    show  $(?F_{Fun} \ g \circ ?F_{Fun} \ f) \ x = D.Fun (?F (C \ g \ f)) \ x$ 
    proof (cases  $x \in D.Set (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f)))$ )
      case False
        show ?thesis
          using  $False \ f \ par$  by auto
      next
        case True
        have  $1: \langle D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) \ x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow C.dom \ f \rangle$ 
          using  $True \ D.OUT-elem-of [of \ C.Set (C.dom f) \ x]$ 
             $C.ide-dom \ C.small-Set \ D-embeds-C-Set \ f$ 
          by blast
        have  $(?F_{Fun} \ g \circ ?F_{Fun} \ f) \ x =$ 

```



```

      D.IN (C.Set (C.cod g))
      (C.Fun g
        (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom g))
          (D.IN (C.Set (C.cod f))
            (C.Fun f
              (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x))))))
    proof -
      have D.in-hom (D.IN (C.Set (C.cod f)) (C f (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x)))
        D.some-terminal (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom g)))
      using True f seq 1 C.ide-cod C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set
      by (intro D.IN-in-hom) auto
      thus ?thesis
        using True 1 C.Fun-def by auto
    qed
  also have ... =
    D.IN (C.Set (C.cod g))
    (C.Fun g
      (C.Fun f
        (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x)))
    using True 1 seq f g C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set C.Fun-def D.Fun-def
      D.OUT-IN [of C.Set (C.dom g) C f (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f)) x)]
    by auto[1] (metis C.comp-in-homI' C.in-homE C.seqE)
  also have ... = ?FFun (C g f) x
    using True seq 1 C.comp-assoc C.Fun-def D.Fun-def
    by auto[1] fastforce
  also have ... = D.Fun (?F (C g f)) x
    using True par seq D.Fun-mkarr D.app-mkarr D.in-homI by force
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
interpret F: fully-faithful-and-essentially-surjective-functor C D ?F
proof
  show  $\bigwedge f f'. \llbracket C.par f f'; ?F f = ?F f' \rrbracket \implies f = f'$ 
  proof -
    fix f f'
    assume par: C.par f f'
    assume eq: ?F f = ?F f'
    show f = f'
    proof (intro C.arr-eqI' [of f])
      show f: «f : C.dom f → C.cod f»
        using par by blast
      show f': «f' : C.dom f → C.cod f»
        using par by auto
      show  $\bigwedge x. \llbracket x : 1^? \rightarrow C.dom f \rrbracket \implies C f x = C f' x$ 
    proof -

```

```

fix x
assume x: «x : 1? → C.dom f»
have fx: «C f x : 1? → C.cod f» ∧ C.ide (C.dom f) ∧ C.ide (C.cod f)
  by (metis (no-types) C.arrI C.comp-in-homI C.ide-cod C.seqE f x)
have f'x: «C f' x : 1? → C.cod f'» ∧ C.ide (C.dom f') ∧ C.ide (C.cod f')
  by (metis (no-types) C.arrI C.comp-in-homI C.ide-cod C.seqE f' x par)
have 1: D.in-hom (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f)) x)
  D.some-terminal (D.mkide (C.Set (C.dom f)))
  by (metis C.ide-dom C.small-Set D.IN-in-hom D-embeds-C-Set mem-Collect-eq
    par x)
have C f x = C.Fun f x
  using C.Fun-def x by auto
also have ... = D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f))
  (D.IN (C.Set (C.cod f))
    (C.Fun f
      (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f))
        (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f)) x))))
  by (simp add: fx C.small-Set D.OUT-IN D-embeds-C-Set x C.Fun-def)
also have ... = D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f)) (?FFun f (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f)) x))
  using par 1 by auto
also have ... =
  D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f)) (D.Fun (?F f) (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f)) x))
proof -
  have D.arr (?F f)
    using f by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using x f par by auto
qed
also have ... =
  D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f)) (D.Fun (?F f') (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f)) x))
  using eq by simp
also have ... = D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f)) (?FFun f' (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f)) x))
proof -
  have D.arr (?F f')
    using f' by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using x f par by auto
qed
also have ... = D.OUT (C.Set (C.cod f'))
  (D.IN (C.Set (C.cod f'))
    (C.Fun f'
      (D.OUT (C.Set (C.dom f'))
        (D.IN (C.Set (C.dom f')) x))))
  using par 1 by auto
also have ... = C.Fun f' x
by (metis f'x C.small-Set D.OUT-IN D-embeds-C-Set mem-Collect-eq par x C.Fun-def)
also have ... = C f' x
  using C.Fun-def x par by auto
finally show C f x = C f' x by blast

```

```

    qed
  qed
  qed
  have *:  $\bigwedge a. C.id\ a \implies ?F\ a = ?F_o\ a$ 
  proof -
    fix a
    assume a:  $C.id\ a$ 
    show  $?F\ a = ?F_o\ a$ 
    proof -
      have ( $\lambda x. \text{if } D.in-hom\ x\ D.some-terminal\ (D.mkide\ (C.Set\ a))$ 
        then  $(D.IN\ (C.Set\ (C.cod\ a)) \circ C.Fun\ a \circ D.OUT\ (C.Set\ (C.dom\ a)))\ x$ 
        else  $D.null$ ) =
        ( $\lambda x. \text{if } D.in-hom\ x\ D.some-terminal\ (D.mkide\ (C.Set\ a))$  then  $x$  else  $D.null$ )
      proof
        fix x
        show ( $\text{if } D.in-hom\ x\ D.some-terminal\ (D.mkide\ (C.Set\ a))$ 
          then  $(D.IN\ (C.Set\ (C.cod\ a)) \circ C.Fun\ a \circ D.OUT\ (C.Set\ (C.dom\ a)))\ x$ 
          else  $D.null$ ) =
          ( $\text{if } D.in-hom\ x\ D.some-terminal\ (D.mkide\ (C.Set\ a))$  then  $x$  else  $D.null$ )
        using a  $C.Fun-id\ D.IN-OUT\ [of\ C.Set\ a]\ C.small-Set\ D-embeds-C-Set$ 
        apply auto[1]
        by (metis (lifting)  $D.OUT-elem-of\ mem-Collect-eq$ )
      qed
    qed
    thus  $?thesis$ 
    using a  $D.id-as-mkarr\ F_o$  by auto
  qed
  qed
  show  $\bigwedge a\ b\ g. \llbracket C.id\ a; C.id\ b; D.in-hom\ g\ (?F\ a)\ (?F\ b) \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies \exists h. \llbracket h : a \rightarrow b \rrbracket \wedge ?F\ h = g$ 
  proof -
    fix a b g
    assume a:  $C.id\ a$  and b:  $C.id\ b$  and g:  $D.in-hom\ g\ (?F\ a)\ (?F\ b)$ 
    have  $?F\ a = ?F_o\ a$ 
      using a * by blast
    have  $dom-g: D.dom\ g = ?F_o\ a$ 
      using a g * by auto
    have  $cod-g: D.cod\ g = ?F_o\ b$ 
      using b g * by auto
    have  $Fun-g: D.Fun\ g \in D.Hom\ (?F_o\ a)\ (?F_o\ b)$ 
      using g  $D.Fun-in-Hom\ dom-g\ cod-g$  by blast
    let  $?H = \lambda x. \text{if } x \in C.Set\ a$ 
      then  $(D.OUT\ (C.Set\ b) \circ D.Fun\ g \circ D.IN\ (C.Set\ a))\ x$ 
      else  $C.null$ 
    have  $H: ?H \in C.Hom\ a\ b$ 
    proof
      show  $?H \in C.Set\ a \rightarrow C.Set\ b$ 
      proof
        fix x
        assume x:  $x \in C.Set\ a$ 

```

```

show ?H x ∈ C.Set b
proof -
  have ?H x = D.OUT (C.Set b) (D.Fun g (D.IN (C.Set a) x))
    using x by simp
  moreover have ... ∈ C.Set b
  proof -
    have D.IN (C.Set a) x ∈ D.Set (?Fo a)
      by (metis (lifting) a bij-betw-iff-bijections bij-betw-inv-into bij-OUT x)
    hence D.Fun g (D.IN (C.Set a) x) ∈ D.Set (?Fo b)
      using Fun-g by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using b C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set bij-OUT bij-betw-apply D.Fun-def
      by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
show ?H ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ C.Set a ⟶ F x = C.null} by simp
qed
let ?h = C.mkarr a b ?H
have h: « ?h : a → b »
  using a b H by blast
moreover have ?F ?h = g
proof (intro D.arr-eqI)
  have Fh: D.in-hom (?F ?h) (?Fo a) (?Fo b)
  proof -
    have D.in-hom (?F ?h) (?F a) (?F b)
      using h preserves-hom by blast
    moreover have ?F a = ?Fo a ∧ ?F b = ?Fo b
      using a b * by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
show par: D.par (?F ?h) g
  using Fh h g cod-g dom-g D.in-homE by auto
show D.Fun (?F ?h) = D.Fun g
proof
  fix x
  show D.Fun (?F ?h) x = D.Fun g x
  proof (cases x ∈ D.Set (?Fo a))
    case False
    show ?thesis
      using False par D.Fun-def by auto
    next
    case True
    have D.Fun (?F ?h) x = ?FFun ?h x
      using True h Fh D.Fun-def D.app-mkarr by auto
    also have ... = (if x ∈ D.Set (?Fo a)
      then (D.IN (C.Set b) ∘ C.Fun ?h ∘ D.OUT (C.Set a)) x
      else D.null)

```

```

    using h by auto
  also have ... = D.IN (C.Set b) (?H (D.OUT (C.Set a) x))
    using True h C.app-mkarr by auto
  also have ... = D.IN (C.Set b)
    (D.OUT (C.Set b)
      (D.Fun g
        (D.IN (C.Set a)
          (D.OUT (C.Set a) x))))
  proof -
    have D.OUT (C.Set a) x ∈ C.Set a
      using True a bij-betw-apply bij-OUT by force
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = D.Fun g x
    using True a b g D.IN-OUT [of C.Set a x] D.IN-OUT [of C.Set b D.Fun g x]
      C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set dom-g cod-g D.Fun-def
    by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show  $\exists h. \langle h : a \rightarrow b \rangle \wedge ?F h = g$  by blast
  qed
show  $\bigwedge b. D.id \ b \implies \exists a. C.id \ a \wedge D.isomorphic \ (?F \ a) \ b$ 
proof -
  fix b
  assume b: D.id b
  let ?a = C.mkide (D.Set b)
  have 1: C.id ?a  $\wedge$  C.Set ?a  $\approx$  D.Set b
  proof -
    have  $\exists \iota. C.is-embedding-of \ \iota \ (D.Set \ b)$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) D.in-homE Set.basic-monos(6) assms(3)
        bij-betw-def bij-betw-inv-into eqpoll-def image-mono inj-on-subset)
    thus ?thesis
      using b C.id-mkide [of D.Set b] D.small-Set by force
  qed
  have D.Set (?F ?a)  $\approx$  D.Set b
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge a. C.id \ a \implies D.Set \ (?F \ a) \approx C.Set \ a$ 
      using * C.small-Set D-embeds-C-Set D.id-mkide(2) by fastforce
    thus ?thesis
      using 1 eqpoll-trans by blast
  qed
  moreover have  $\bigwedge a. C.id \ a \implies D.isomorphic \ (?F \ a) \ b \longleftrightarrow D.Set \ (?F \ a) \approx D.Set \ b$ 
    using D.isomorphic-char b preserves-ide by force
  ultimately show  $\exists a. C.id \ a \wedge D.isomorphic \ (?F \ a) \ b$ 
    using 1 by blast
  qed
  qed

```

```

show equivalence-functor C D ?F
  using F.is-equivalence-functor by blast
qed

```

4.3 Well-Pointedness

```

context sets-cat
begin

lemma is-well-pointed:
  assumes par f g and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \implies f \cdot x = g \cdot x$ 
  shows f = g
    by (metis CollectI arr-eqI' asms(1,2) in-homI)

end

```

4.4 Epis Split

In this section we assume that smallness encompasses sets of arbitrary finite cardinality, and that the category has at least two arrows, so that we can show the existence of an object with two global elements. If this fails to be the case, then the situation is somewhat pathological and not very interesting.

```

locale sets-cat-with-bool =
  sets-cat sml C +
  small-finite sml
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  55) +
assumes embeds-bool-ax: embeds (UNIV :: bool set)
begin

definition two (2)
where two  $\equiv$  mkide {True, False}

lemma ide-two [intro, simp]:
shows ide two
and bij-betw (IN {True, False}) UNIV (Set two)
and bij-betw (OUT {True, False}) (Set two) UNIV
  using two-def ide-mkide embeds-bool-ax small-finite UNIV-bool
    finite.simps insert-commute infinite-imp-nonempty finite.emptyI
    bij-IN [of {True, False}] bij-OUT [of {True, False}]
  by metis+

definition tt
where tt  $\equiv$  IN {True, False} True

definition ff
where ff  $\equiv$  IN {True, False} False

```

```

lemma tt-in-hom [intro]:
shows «tt :  $\mathbf{1}^?$   $\rightarrow$   $\mathbf{2}$ »
  using bij-betwE tt-def by force

lemma ff-in-hom [intro]:
shows «ff :  $\mathbf{1}^?$   $\rightarrow$   $\mathbf{2}$ »
  using bij-betwE ff-def by force

lemma tt-simps [simp]:
shows arr tt and dom tt =  $\mathbf{1}^?$  and cod tt =  $\mathbf{2}$ 
  using tt-in-hom by blast+

lemma ff-simps [simp]:
shows arr ff and dom ff =  $\mathbf{1}^?$  and cod ff =  $\mathbf{2}$ 
  using ff-in-hom by blast+

lemma Fun-tt:
shows Fun tt = ( $\lambda x.$  if  $x \in \text{Set } \mathbf{1}^?$  then tt else null)
  unfolding Fun-def
  using tt-def
  by (metis Set-some-terminal comp-arr-dom emptyE insertE tt-simps(1,2))

lemma Fun-ff:
shows Fun ff = ( $\lambda x.$  if  $x \in \text{Set } \mathbf{1}^?$  then ff else null)
  unfolding Fun-def
  using ff-def
  by (metis Set-some-terminal comp-arr-dom emptyE insertE ff-simps(1,2))

lemma mono-tt:
shows mono tt
  using Fun-tt mono-char
  by (metis point-is-mono terminal-some-terminal tt-simps(1,2))

lemma mono-ff:
shows mono ff
  using Fun-ff mono-char
  by (metis point-is-mono terminal-some-terminal ff-simps(1,2))

lemma tt-ne-ff:
shows tt  $\neq$  ff
  using tt-def ff-def two-def
  by (metis bij-betw-inv-into-right ide-two(3) iso-tuple-UNIV-I)

lemma Set-two:
shows Set 2 = {tt, ff}
proof –
  have Set 2 = IN {True, False} ‘ UNIV
    using bij-betw-imp-surj-on by blast

```

```

thus ?thesis
using tt-def ff-def
by (simp add: UNIV-bool insert-commute)
qed

```

In the present context, an arrow is epi if and only if the corresponding function is surjective. It follows that every epimorphism splits.

```

lemma epi-charSCB:
shows epi f  $\longleftrightarrow$  arr f  $\wedge$  Fun f ' Set (dom f) = Set (cod f)
proof
  show arr f  $\wedge$  Fun f ' Set (dom f) = Set (cod f)  $\implies$  epi f
    using retraction-char retraction-is-epi by presburger
  assume f: epi f
  show arr f  $\wedge$  Fun f ' Set (dom f) = Set (cod f)
  proof (intro conjI)
    show arr f
      using epi-implies-arr f by blast
    show Fun f ' Set (dom f) = Set (cod f)
  proof
    show Fun f ' Set (dom f)  $\subseteq$  Set (cod f)
      using <arr f> Fun-def by auto
    show Set (cod f)  $\subseteq$  Fun f ' Set (dom f)
  proof
    fix y
    assume y: y  $\in$  Set (cod f)
    have y  $\notin$  Fun f ' Set (dom f)  $\implies$  False
  proof -
    assume 1: y  $\notin$  Fun f ' Set (dom f)
    let ?G =  $\lambda z.$  if z  $\in$  Set (cod f) then if z = y then tt else ff else null
    let ?G' =  $\lambda z.$  if z  $\in$  Set (cod f) then ff else null
    let ?g = mkarr (cod f) 2 ?G
    let ?g' = mkarr (cod f) 2 ?G'
    have g: «?g : cod f  $\rightarrow$  2»
      using f epi-implies-arr ide-two
      by (intro mkarr-in-hom) auto
    have g': «?g' : cod f  $\rightarrow$  2»
      using f epi-implies-arr ide-two
      by (intro mkarr-in-hom) auto
    have ?g  $\neq$  ?g'
  proof -
    have ?g  $\cdot$  y  $\neq$  ?g'  $\cdot$  y
      using app-mkarr g g' tt-ne-ff y by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
moreover have ?g  $\cdot$  f = ?g'  $\cdot$  f
proof -
  have ?G  $\circ$  Fun f = ?G'  $\circ$  Fun f
proof
  fix x

```



```

    show ( $?G \circ \text{Fun } f$ )  $x = (?G' \circ \text{Fun } f) x$ 
      using 1 tt-ne-ff Fun-def by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using  $f g g' \text{ Fun-mkarr } \langle \text{arr } f \rangle \text{ in-homI Fun-comp}$ 
    by (intro arr-eqI) auto
  qed
  ultimately show False
    using  $f g g' \langle \text{arr } f \rangle \text{ epi-cancel}$  by blast
  qed
  thus  $y \in \text{Fun } f \text{ ' Set } (\text{dom } f)$  by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

corollary epis-split:
assumes epi e
shows  $\exists m. e \cdot m = \text{cod } e$ 
  using assms epi-charSCB retraction-char
  by (meson ide-compE retraction-def)

end

```

4.5 Equalizers

In this section we show that the category of small sets and functions has equalizers of parallel pairs of arrows. This is our first example of a general pattern that we will apply repeatedly in the sequel to other categorical constructions. Given a parallel pair f, g of arrows in a category of sets, we know that the global elements of the domain of the equalizer will be in bijection with the set E of global elements x of $\text{dom } f$ such that $f \cdot x = g \cdot x$. So, we obtain this set, which in this case happens already to be a small subset of the set of arrows of the category, and we obtain the corresponding object $\text{mkide } E$, which will be the domain of the equalizer. This part of the proof uses the smallness of E and the fact that it embeds in (actually, is a subset of) the set of arrows of the category. Once we have shown the existence of the object $\text{mkide } E$, we can apply mkarr to the inclusion of $\text{Set } (\text{mkide } e)$ in $\text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$ to obtain the equalizing arrow itself. Showing that this arrow has the necessary universal property requires reasoning about the comparison maps between E and $\text{Set } (\text{mkide } e)$, but once that has been accomplished we are left simply with a universal property that does not mention these maps.

The construction and proofs here are simpler than for the other constructions we will consider, because the set E to which we apply mkide is already a subset of the collection of arrows of the category – in particular it is at the same type. This means that the smallness and embedding property required for the application of mkide holds automatically, without any further assumptions. In general, though, a set to which we wish to apply mkide will not be a subset of the set of arrows, nor will it even be at the

same type, so it will be necessary to reason about an encoding that embeds the elements of this set into the set of arrows of the category.

locale *equalizers-in-sets-cat* =
sets-cat
begin

abbreviation *Dom-equ*

where *Dom-equ f g* $\equiv \{x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \wedge f \cdot x = g \cdot x\}$

definition *dom-equ*

where *dom-equ f g* $\equiv \text{mkide } (\text{Dom-equ } f g)$

abbreviation *Equ*

where *Equ f g* $\equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g) \text{ then } \text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) x \text{ else null}$

definition *equ*

where *equ f g* $\equiv \text{mkarr } (\text{dom-equ } f g) (\text{dom } f) (\text{Equ } f g)$

It is useful to include convenience facts about *OUT* and *IN* in the following, so that we can avoid having to deal with the smallness and embedding conditions elsewhere.

lemma *ide-dom-equ*:

assumes *par f g*

shows *ide (dom-equ f g)*

and *bij-betw (OUT (Dom-equ f g)) (Set (dom-equ f g)) (Dom-equ f g)*

and *bij-betw (IN (Dom-equ f g)) (Dom-equ f g) (Set (dom-equ f g))*

and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$

and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Dom-equ } f g \implies \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) y \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g)$

and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) (\text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) x) = x$

and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Dom-equ } f g \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) (\text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) y) = y$

proof –

have 1: *small (Dom-equ f g)*

by (*metis (full-types) assms ide-dom small-Collect small-Set*)

have 2: *embeds (Dom-equ f g)*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-mono arrI image-ident mem-Collect-eq subset-image-inj*)

show *ide (dom-equ f g)*

by (*unfold dom-equ-def, intro ide-mkide*) *fact+*

show 3: *bij-betw (OUT (Dom-equ f g)) (Set (dom-equ f g)) (Dom-equ f g)*

unfolding *dom-equ-def*

using *assms ide-mkide bij-OUT 1 2* **by** *auto*

show 4: *bij-betw (IN (Dom-equ f g)) (Dom-equ f g) (Set (dom-equ f g))*

unfolding *dom-equ-def*

using *assms ide-mkide bij-OUT bij-IN 1 2* **by** *fastforce*

show $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 3 CollectD bij-betw-apply*)

show $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Dom-equ } f g \implies \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) y \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g)$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 4 bij-betw-apply*)

show $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f g) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) (\text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f g) x) = x$

using 1 2 *IN-OUT dom-equ-def* **by** *auto*

```

show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Dom-equ } f \ g \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ y) = y$ 
using 1 2 OUT-IN by force
qed

```

```

lemma Equ-in-Hom [intro]:
assumes par  $f \ g$ 
shows  $\text{Equ } f \ g \in \text{Hom } (\text{dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{dom } f)$ 
proof
show  $\text{Equ } f \ g \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f \ g) \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$ 
using assms ide-dom-equ 4 by auto
show  $\text{Equ } f \ g \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f \ g) \longrightarrow F \ x = \text{null}\}$ 
by simp
qed

```

```

lemma equ-in-hom [intro, simp]:
assumes par  $f \ g$ 
shows  $\langle \text{equ } f \ g : \text{dom-equ } f \ g \rightarrow \text{dom } f \rangle$ 
using assms ide-dom-equ Equ-in-Hom
unfolding equ-def
by (intro mkarr-in-hom) auto

```

```

lemma equ-simps [simp]:
assumes par  $f \ g$ 
shows  $\text{arr } (\text{equ } f \ g) \text{ and } \text{dom } (\text{equ } f \ g) = \text{dom-equ } f \ g \text{ and } \text{cod } (\text{equ } f \ g) = \text{dom } f$ 
using assms equ-in-hom by blast+

```

```

lemma Fun-equ:
assumes par  $f \ g$ 
shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{equ } f \ g) = \text{Equ } f \ g$ 
proof –
have  $\text{arr } (\text{equ } f \ g)$ 
using assms by auto
thus ?thesis
unfolding equ-def
using assms Fun-mkarr by auto
qed

```

```

lemma equ-equalizes:
assumes par  $f \ g$ 
shows  $f \cdot \text{equ } f \ g = g \cdot \text{equ } f \ g$ 
proof (intro arr-eqI [of  $f \cdot \text{equ } f \ g$ ])
show par:  $\text{par } (f \cdot \text{equ } f \ g) \ (g \cdot \text{equ } f \ g)$ 
using assms by auto
show  $\text{Fun } (f \cdot \text{equ } f \ g) = \text{Fun } (g \cdot \text{equ } f \ g)$ 
proof
fix  $x$ 
show  $\text{Fun } (f \cdot \text{equ } f \ g) \ x = \text{Fun } (g \cdot \text{equ } f \ g) \ x$ 
proof (cases  $x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom-equ } f \ g)$ )
case False

```

```

show ?thesis
  using assms False Fun-equ Fun-def by simp
next
case True
show ?thesis
proof -
  have Fun (f · equ f g) x = Fun f (Fun (equ f g) x)
    using assms Fun-comp comp-in-homI equ-in-hom comp-assoc by auto
  also have ... = Fun f (OUT (Dom-equ f g) x)
    using assms True Fun-equ by simp
  also have ... = f · (OUT (Dom-equ f g) x)
    using Fun-def True assms ide-dom-equ(4) by simp
  also have ... = g · (OUT (Dom-equ f g) x)
    using assms True ide-dom-equ(2) [of f g] bij-betw-apply by force
  also have ... = Fun g (Fun (equ f g) x)
    using assms True Fun-def Fun-equ ide-dom-equ by simp
  also have ... = Fun (g · equ f g) x
    using assms Fun-comp comp-in-homI equ-in-hom comp-assoc by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma equ-is-equalizer:

```

assumes par f g
shows has-as-equalizer f g (equ f g)
proof
  show par f g by fact
  show 0: seq f (equ f g)
    using assms by auto
  show f · equ f g = g · equ f g
    using assms equ-equalizes by blast
  show  $\bigwedge e'. \llbracket \text{seq } f \ e'; f \cdot e' = g \cdot e' \rrbracket \implies \exists! h. \text{equ } f \ g \cdot h = e'$ 
  proof -
    fix e'
    assume seq: seq f e' and eq: f · e' = g · e'
    let ?H =  $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } e') \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) (e' \cdot x) \text{ else null}$ 
    have H: ?H ∈ Hom (dom e') (dom-equ f g)
    proof
      show ?H ∈ {F.  $\forall x. x \notin \text{Set } (\text{dom } e') \implies F \ x = \text{null}$ } by simp
      show ?H ∈ Set (dom e') → Set (dom-equ f g)
      proof
        fix x
        assume x: x ∈ Set (dom e')
        have ?H x = IN (Dom-equ f g) (e' · x)
          using x by simp
        moreover have ... ∈ Set (dom-equ f g)
          using assms seq x ide-dom-equ(5)

```

```

    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) CollectD CollectI arr-iff-in-hom
        comp-in-homI eq local.comp-assoc seqE)
  ultimately show ?H x ∈ Set (dom-equ f g) by auto
qed
qed
let ?h = mkarr (dom e') (dom-equ f g) ?H
have h: «?h : dom e' → dom-equ f g»
  using assms H seq ide-dom-equ
  by (intro mkarr-in-hom) auto
have *: equ f g · ?h = e'
proof (intro arr-eqI' [of equ f g · ?h])
  show 1: «equ f g · ?h : dom e' → dom f»
    using assms h by blast
  show e': «e' : dom e' → dom f»
    by (metis arr-iff-in-hom seq seqE)
  show ∧x. «x : 1? → dom e'» ⇒ (equ f g · ?h) · x = e' · x
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: «x : 1? → dom e'»
    have (equ f g · ?h) · x = equ f g · ?h · x
      using comp-assoc by blast
    also have ... = equ f g · ?H x
      using app-mkarr h x by presburger
    also have ... = OUT (Dom-equ f g) (IN (Dom-equ f g) (e' · x))
    proof -
      have ?H x ∈ Set (dom-equ f g)
        using 1 x by blast
      thus ?thesis
        using assms x equ-in-hom app-mkarr
        by (simp add: assms equ-def)
    qed
    also have ... = e' · x
  proof -
    have e' · x ∈ Dom-equ f g
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) e' comp-in-homI eq comp-assoc
          mem-Collect-eq x)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms ide-dom-equ(γ) [of f g e' · x] by blast
  qed
  finally show (equ f g · ?h) · x = e' · x by blast
qed
qed
moreover have ∧h'. equ f g · h' = e' ⇒ h' = ?h
proof -
  fix h'
  assume h': equ f g · h' = e'
  show h' = ?h
  proof (intro arr-eqI' [of h' - - ?h])
    show 1: «h' : dom e' → dom-equ f g»

```

```

    by (metis arr-iff-in-hom assms comp-in-homE equ-simps(2) h' in-homE seq)
  show «?h : dom e' → dom-equ f g»
    using h by blast
  show  $\bigwedge x. \llbracket x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{dom } e' \rrbracket \implies h' \cdot x = ?h \cdot x$ 
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: «x :  $\mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{dom } e'$ »
    have 3:  $h' \cdot x = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{Equ } f \ g \ (h' \cdot x))$ 
      using assms h' x 1 seq eq ide-dom-equ(6) comp-in-homI in-homI
      by auto
    also have 4:  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{Fun } (\text{equ } f \ g) \ (h' \cdot x))$ 
      using assms Fun-equ [of f g]
      by (metis (lifting))
    also have 5:  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{equ } f \ g \cdot (h' \cdot x))$ 
      using Fun-def
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) x CollectI comp-in-homI
          dom-comp h' in-homI seq seqE)
    also have  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ ((\text{equ } f \ g \cdot h') \cdot x)$ 
      using comp-assoc by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ ((\text{equ } f \ g \cdot ?h) \cdot x)$ 
      using h h' eq * by argo
    also have  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{equ } f \ g \cdot (?h \cdot x))$ 
      using comp-assoc by simp
    also have  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{Fun } (\text{equ } f \ g) \ (?h \cdot x))$ 
      using x Fun-def app-mkarr h h' comp-assoc 3 4 5 by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{IN } (\text{Dom-equ } f \ g) \ (\text{Equ } f \ g \ (?h \cdot x))$ 
      using assms Fun-equ by (metis (lifting))
    also have  $\dots = ?h \cdot x$ 
      using assms x ide-dom-equ(6) h by auto
    finally show  $h' \cdot x = ?h \cdot x$  by blast
  qed
qed
qed
ultimately show  $\exists ! h. \text{equ } f \ g \cdot h = e'$  by auto
qed
qed

lemma has-equalizers:
  assumes par f g
  shows  $\exists e. \text{has-as-equalizer } f \ g \ e$ 
    using assms equ-is-equalizer by blast
end

```

4.5.1 Exported Notions

As we don't want to clutter the *sets-cat* locale with auxiliary definitions and facts that no longer need to be used once we have completed the equalizer construction, we have carried out the construction in a separate locale and we now transfer to the *sets-cat* locale

only those definitions and facts that we would like to export. In general, we will need to export the objects and arrows mentioned by the universal property together with the associated infrastructure for establishing the types of expressions that use them. We will also need to export facts that allow us to externalize these arrows as functions between sets of global elements, and we will need facts that give the types and inverse relationship between the comparison maps.

context *sets-cat*
begin

interpretation *Equ*: *equalizers-in-sets-cat sml C ..*

abbreviation *equ*
where *equ* \equiv *Equ.equ*

abbreviation *Equ*
where *Equ f g* \equiv $\{x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \wedge f \cdot x = g \cdot x\}$

lemma *equalizer-comparison-map-props*:

assumes *par f g*
shows *bij-betw* (*OUT* (*Equ f g*)) (*Set* (*dom* (*equ f g*))) (*Equ f g*)
and *bij-betw* (*IN* (*Equ f g*)) (*Equ f g*) (*Set* (*dom* (*equ f g*)))
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } (\text{equ } f g)) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Equ } f g) x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Equ } f g \implies \text{IN } (\text{Equ } f g) y \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } (\text{equ } f g))$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } (\text{equ } f g)) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Equ } f g) (\text{OUT } (\text{Equ } f g) x) = x$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Equ } f g \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Equ } f g) (\text{IN } (\text{Equ } f g) y) = y$
using *assms Equ.ide-dom-equ [of f g] Equ.equ-simps(2) [of f g]* **by** *auto*

lemma *equ-is-equalizer*:

assumes *par f g*
shows *has-as-equalizer f g* (*equ f g*)
using *assms Equ.equ-is-equalizer* **by** *blast*

lemma *Fun-equ*:

assumes *par f g*
shows *Fun* (*equ f g*) = $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } (\text{equ } f g))$
 $\quad \text{then } \text{OUT } \{x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \wedge f \cdot x = g \cdot x\} x$
 $\quad \text{else null})$
using *assms Equ.Fun-equ* **by** *auto*

lemma *has-equalizers*:

assumes *par f g*
shows $\exists e. \text{has-as-equalizer } f g e$
using *assms Equ.has-equalizers* **by** *blast*

end

4.6 Binary Products

In this section we show that the category of small sets and functions has binary products. We follow the same pattern as for equalizers, except that now the set to which we would like to apply *mkide* to obtain a product object will consist of pairs of arrows, rather than individual arrows. This means that we will need to assume the existence of a pairing function that embeds the set of pairs of arrows of the category back into the original set of arrows. Once again, in showing that the construction makes sense we will need to reason about comparison maps, but once this is done we will be left simply with a universal property which does not mention these maps. After that, we only have to work with the comparison maps when relating notions internal to the category to notions external to it.

The following locale specializes *sets-cat* by adding the assumption that there exists a suitable pairing function. In addition, we need to assume that the smallness notion being used is respected by pairing.

```
locale sets-cat-with-pairing =
  sets-cat sml C +
  small-product sml +
  pairing ⟨Collect arr⟩
for sml :: 'V set ⇒ bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr ⟨⟩ 55)
```

As previously, we carry out the details of the construction in an auxiliary locale and later transfer to the *sets-cat* locale only those things that we want to export.

```
locale products-in-sets-cat =
  sets-cat-with-pairing sml C
for sml :: 'V set ⇒ bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr ⟨⟩ 55)
begin
```

```
lemma small-product-set:
assumes ide a and ide b
shows small (Set a × Set b)
using assms small-Set by fastforce
```

```
lemma embeds-product-sets:
assumes ide a and ide b
shows embeds (Set a × Set b)
proof –
  have Set a × Set b ⊆ Collect arr × Collect arr
    using assms small-Set by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using assms embeds-pairs
    by (meson image-mono inj-on-subset subset-trans)
qed
```

We define the product of two objects as the object determined by the cartesian

product of their sets of elements.

```

definition prodo
where prodo a b  $\equiv$  mkide (Set a  $\times$  Set b)

lemma ide-prodo:
assumes ide a and ide b
shows ide (prodo a b)
and bij-betw (OUT (Set a  $\times$  Set b)) (Set (prodo a b)) (Set a  $\times$  Set b)
and bij-betw (IN (Set a  $\times$  Set b)) (Set a  $\times$  Set b) (Set (prodo a b))
and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) x \in \text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b \implies \text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) y \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b)$ 
and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) (\text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) x) = x$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) (\text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) y) = y$ 
proof –
  have 1: small (Set a  $\times$  Set b)
    using assms ide-char small-Set small-product by metis
  moreover have 2: is-embedding-of some-pairing (Set a  $\times$  Set b)
  proof –
    have Set a  $\times$  Set b  $\subseteq$  Collect arr  $\times$  Collect arr
      using assms ide-char small-Set by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using assms some-pairing-is-embedding
      by (meson image-mono inj-on-subset subset-trans)
  qed
  ultimately show ide (prodo a b)
and 3: bij-betw (OUT (Set a  $\times$  Set b)) (Set (prodo a b)) (Set a  $\times$  Set b)
  unfolding prodo-def
  using assms ide-mkide bij-OUT by blast+
show 4: bij-betw (IN (Set a  $\times$  Set b)) (Set a  $\times$  Set b) (Set (prodo a b))
  using  $\langle \text{bij-betw } (\text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b)) (\text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b)) (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) \rangle$ 
    bij-betw-inv-into prodo-def
  by auto
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) x \in \text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b$ 
  using 3 bij-betwE by blast
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b \implies \text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) y \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b)$ 
  using 4 bij-betwE by blast
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) (\text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) x) = x$ 
  using 1 2 IN-OUT prodo-def by auto
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) (\text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) y) = y$ 
  by (metis 1 2 OUT-IN)
qed

```

We next define the projection arrows from a product object in terms of the projection functions on the underlying cartesian product of sets.

```

abbreviation P0 :: 'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where P0 a b  $\equiv$   $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b) \text{ then } \text{snd } (\text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) x) \text{ else null}$ 

```

```

abbreviation P1 :: 'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where P1 a b  $\equiv$   $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o a b) \text{ then } \text{fst } (\text{OUT } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) x) \text{ else null}$ 

```

lemma P_0 -in-Hom:
assumes $ide\ a$ **and** $ide\ b$
shows $P_0\ a\ b \in Hom\ (prod_o\ a\ b)\ b$
proof
 show $P_0\ a\ b \in Set\ (prod_o\ a\ b) \rightarrow Set\ b$
 proof
 fix x
 assume $x: x \in Set\ (prod_o\ a\ b)$
 have $OUT\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ x \in Set\ a \times Set\ b$
 using $assms\ x\ bij\ betwE\ ide\ prod_o(2)$ **by** $blast$
 thus $P_0\ a\ b\ x \in Set\ b$
 using $assms\ x$ **by** $force$
 qed
 show $P_0\ a\ b \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin Set\ (prod_o\ a\ b) \longrightarrow F\ x = null\}$
 by $simp$
qed

lemma P_1 -in-Hom:
assumes $ide\ a$ **and** $ide\ b$
shows $P_1\ a\ b \in Hom\ (prod_o\ a\ b)\ a$
proof
 show $P_1\ a\ b \in Set\ (prod_o\ a\ b) \rightarrow Set\ a$
 proof
 fix x
 assume $x: x \in Set\ (prod_o\ a\ b)$
 have $OUT\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ x \in Set\ a \times Set\ b$
 using $assms\ x\ bij\ betwE\ ide\ prod_o(2)$ **by** $blast$
 thus $P_1\ a\ b\ x \in Set\ a$
 using $assms\ x$ **by** $force$
 qed
 show $P_1\ a\ b \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin Set\ (prod_o\ a\ b) \longrightarrow F\ x = null\}$
 by $simp$
qed

definition $pr_0 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $pr_0\ a\ b \equiv mkarr\ (prod_o\ a\ b)\ b\ (P_0\ a\ b)$

definition $pr_1 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $pr_1\ a\ b \equiv mkarr\ (prod_o\ a\ b)\ a\ (P_1\ a\ b)$

lemma pr -in-hom [intro]:
assumes $ide\ a$ **and** $ide\ b$
shows $in\ hom\ (pr_1\ a\ b)\ (prod_o\ a\ b)\ a$
and $in\ hom\ (pr_0\ a\ b)\ (prod_o\ a\ b)\ b$
 using $assms\ pr_0\ def\ pr_1\ def\ mkarr\ in\ hom\ ide\ prod_o\ P_0\ in\ Hom\ P_1\ in\ Hom$ **by** $auto$

lemma pr -simps [simp]:
assumes $ide\ a$ **and** $ide\ b$

shows $\text{arr } (pr_0 \ a \ b)$ **and** $\text{dom } (pr_0 \ a \ b) = \text{prod}_o \ a \ b$ **and** $\text{cod } (pr_0 \ a \ b) = b$
and $\text{arr } (pr_1 \ a \ b)$ **and** $\text{dom } (pr_1 \ a \ b) = \text{prod}_o \ a \ b$ **and** $\text{cod } (pr_1 \ a \ b) = a$
using *assms pr-in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Fun-pr*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows $\text{Fun } (pr_1 \ a \ b) = P_1 \ a \ b$
and $\text{Fun } (pr_0 \ a \ b) = P_0 \ a \ b$
using *assms Fun-mkarr pr₀-def pr₁-def pr-simps(1,4)* **by** *presburger+*

Tupling of arrows is also defined in terms of the underlying cartesian product.

definition *Tuple* :: $'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{Tuple } f \ g \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f) \\ \text{then } \text{IN } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \times \text{Set } (\text{cod } g)) (\text{Fun } f \ x, \text{Fun } g \ x) \\ \text{else null})$

definition *tuple* :: $'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{tuple } f \ g \equiv \text{mkarr } (\text{dom } f) (\text{prod}_o (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g)) (\text{Tuple } f \ g)$

lemma *tuple-in-hom* [*intro*]:
assumes $\langle f : c \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** $\langle g : c \rightarrow b \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{tuple } f \ g : c \rightarrow \text{prod}_o \ a \ b \rangle$
proof –
have $\text{Tuple } f \ g \in \text{Set } c \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o \ a \ b)$
proof
fix x
assume $x: x \in \text{Set } c$
have $\text{bij-betw } (\text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b)) (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) (\text{Set } (\text{mkide } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b)))$
using *assms embeds-pairs ide-prod_o(2) prod_o-def*
by (*metis ide-cod ide-prod_o(3) in-homE*)
thus $\text{Tuple } f \ g \ x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod}_o \ a \ b)$
unfolding *Tuple-def prod_o-def Fun-def*
using *assms x bij-betw-apply in-homE small-Set*
by *auto fastforce*
qed
moreover **have** $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{Set } c \implies \text{Tuple } f \ g \ x = \text{null}$
unfolding *Tuple-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
unfolding *tuple-def*
using *assms mkarr-in-hom ide-prod_o(1)* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *tuple-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *span f g*
shows $\text{arr } (\text{tuple } f \ g)$
and $\text{dom } (\text{tuple } f \ g) = \text{dom } f$
and $\text{cod } (\text{tuple } f \ g) = \text{prod}_o (\text{cod } f) (\text{cod } g)$
using *assms*

by (*metis* *assms* *in-homE* *in-homI* *tuple-in-hom*) +

In verifying the equations required for a categorical product, we unfortunately do have to fuss with the comparison maps.

```

lemma comp-pr-tuple:
assumes span f g
shows  $pr_1 (cod\ f) (cod\ g) \cdot tuple\ f\ g = f$ 
and  $pr_0 (cod\ f) (cod\ g) \cdot tuple\ f\ g = g$ 
proof -
  let  $?c = dom\ f$  and  $?a = cod\ f$  and  $?b = cod\ g$ 
  show  $pr_1\ ?a\ ?b \cdot tuple\ f\ g = f$ 
  proof -
    have  $pr_1\ ?a\ ?b \cdot tuple\ f\ g =$ 
       $mkarr\ (prod_o\ ?a\ ?b)\ ?a\ (P_1\ ?a\ ?b) \cdot mkarr\ ?c\ (prod_o\ ?a\ ?b)\ (Tuple\ f\ g)$ 
    unfolding pr1-def tuple-def Tuple-def
    using assms by auto
    also have  $\dots = mkarr\ ?c\ ?a\ (P_1\ ?a\ ?b \circ Tuple\ f\ g)$ 
    using assms comp-mkarr
    by (metis (lifting) calculation ide-cod pr-simps(4,5) seqE seqI tuple-simps(1,3))
    also have  $\dots = mkarr\ ?c\ ?a$ 
       $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ ?c$ 
         $\text{then } fst\ (OUT\ (Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b)$ 
           $(IN\ (Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b)\ (Fun\ f\ x, Fun\ g\ x)))$ 
         $\text{else } null)$ 
    proof -
      have  $(P_1\ ?a\ ?b \circ Tuple\ f\ g) =$ 
         $(\lambda x. \text{if } \langle x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow ?c \rangle$ 
           $\text{then } fst\ (OUT\ (Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b)$ 
             $(IN\ (Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b)\ (Fun\ f\ x, Fun\ g\ x)))$ 
           $\text{else } null)$ 
      using assms ide-prodo(3) [of  $?a\ ?b$ ] bij-betw-apply Tuple-def Fun-def by fastforce
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have  $\dots = mkarr\ ?c\ ?a\ (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ ?c \text{ then } fst\ (Fun\ f\ x, Fun\ g\ x) \text{ else } null)$ 
    proof -
      have  $\bigwedge x. x \in Set\ ?c \implies$ 
         $OUT\ (Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b)\ (IN\ (Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b)\ (Fun\ f\ x, Fun\ g\ x)) =$ 
         $(Fun\ f\ x, Fun\ g\ x)$ 
      using assms OUT-IN [of  $Set\ ?a \times Set\ ?b$ ] small-product-set embeds-product-sets
        Fun-def
      by auto
      thus ?thesis
      by (metis (lifting))
    qed
    also have  $\dots = mkarr\ ?c\ ?a\ (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ ?c \text{ then } Fun\ f\ x \text{ else } null)$ 
    using assms by (metis (lifting) fst-eqD)
    also have  $\dots = f$ 
    proof -
      have  $Fun\ f = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ ?c \text{ then } Fun\ f\ x \text{ else } null)$ 

```

```

    unfolding Fun-def by meson
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) arr-iff-in-hom assms mkarr-Fun)
qed
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
show  $pr_0 \ ?a \ ?b \cdot tuple \ f \ g = g$ 
proof -
  have  $pr_0 \ ?a \ ?b \cdot tuple \ f \ g =$ 
     $mkarr \ (prod_o \ ?a \ ?b) \ ?b \ (P_0 \ ?a \ ?b) \cdot mkarr \ ?c \ (prod_o \ ?a \ ?b) \ (Tuple \ f \ g)$ 
    unfolding  $pr_0$ -def tuple-def Tuple-def
    using assms comp-mkarr by auto
  also have  $\dots = mkarr \ ?c \ ?b \ (P_0 \ ?a \ ?b \circ Tuple \ f \ g)$ 
    using assms comp-mkarr
    by (metis (lifting) calculation ide-cod seqE seqI pr-simps(1,2) tuple-simps(1,3))
  also have  $\dots = mkarr \ ?c \ ?b$ 
     $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set \ ?c$ 
       $\text{then } snd \ (OUT \ (Set \ ?a \times Set \ ?b)$ 
         $(IN \ (Set \ ?a \times Set \ ?b) \ (Fun \ f \ x, Fun \ g \ x)))$ 
       $\text{else } null)$ 
  proof -
    have  $(P_0 \ ?a \ ?b \circ Tuple \ f \ g) =$ 
       $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set \ ?c$ 
         $\text{then } snd \ (OUT \ (Set \ ?a \times Set \ ?b)$ 
           $(IN \ (Set \ ?a \times Set \ ?b) \ (Fun \ f \ x, Fun \ g \ x)))$ 
         $\text{else } null)$ 
      using assms ide-prodo(3) [of ?a ?b] bij-betw-apply Tuple-def Fun-def by fastforce
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have  $\dots = mkarr \ ?c \ ?b \ (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set \ ?c \text{ then } snd \ (Fun \ f \ x, Fun \ g \ x) \text{ else } null)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge x. x \in Set \ ?c \implies$ 
       $OUT \ (Set \ ?a \times Set \ ?b) \ (IN \ (Set \ ?a \times Set \ ?b) \ (Fun \ f \ x, Fun \ g \ x)) =$ 
       $(Fun \ f \ x, Fun \ g \ x)$ 
      using assms OUT-IN [of Set ?a  $\times$  Set ?b] small-product-set embeds-product-sets
        Fun-def
      by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis (lifting))
  qed
  also have  $\dots = mkarr \ ?c \ ?b \ (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set \ ?c \text{ then } Fun \ g \ x \text{ else } null)$ 
    using assms by (metis (lifting) snd-eqD)
  also have  $\dots = g$ 
  proof -
    have  $Fun \ g = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set \ ?c \text{ then } Fun \ g \ x \text{ else } null)$ 
      unfolding Fun-def by (metis assms)
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) arr-iff-in-hom assms mkarr-Fun)
  qed

```

```

    finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma Fun-tuple:
assumes span f g
shows Fun (tuple f g) =
  (λx. if x ∈ Set (dom f)
    then IN (Set (cod f) × Set (cod g)) (Fun f x, Fun g x)
    else null)
using tuple-def Tuple-def Fun-mkarr assms tuple-simps(1) by presburger

```

```

lemma binary-product-pr:
assumes ide a and ide b
shows binary-product C a b (pr1 a b) (pr0 a b)
proof
show has-as-binary-product a b (pr1 a b) (pr0 a b)
proof
show 1: span (pr1 a b) (pr0 a b)
  using assms by auto
show cod (pr1 a b) = a
  using assms by auto
show cod (pr0 a b) = b
  using assms by auto
fix x f g
assume f: «f : x → a» and g: «g : x → b»
let ?H = λz. if z ∈ Set x then IN (Set a × Set b) (Fun f z, Fun g z) else null
let ?h = mkarr x (prodo a b) ?H
have h: «?h : x → dom (pr1 a b)» ∧ C (pr1 a b) ?h = f ∧ C (pr0 a b) ?h = g
  using assms f g tuple-in-hom [of f x a g b] comp-pr-tuple [of f g]
  unfolding tuple-def Tuple-def by auto
moreover have ∧h'. «h' : x → dom (pr1 a b)» ∧ C (pr1 a b) h' = f ∧
  C (pr0 a b) h' = g
  ⇒ h' = ?h
proof –
fix h'
assume h': «h' : x → dom (pr1 a b)» ∧ C (pr1 a b) h' = f ∧ C (pr0 a b) h' = g
show h' = ?h
proof (intro arr-eqI' [of h'])
show «h' : x → dom (prodo a b)»
  using assms h' ide-prodo(1) by auto
show «?h : x → dom (prodo a b)»
  using assms h ide-prodo(1) by auto
show ∧z. «z : 1? → x» ⇒ h' · z = ?h · z
proof –
fix z
assume z: «z : 1? → x»
have h' · z = Fun h' z
  using h' z Fun-def by auto

```

```

also have ... = IN (Set a × Set b) (Fun f z, Fun g z)
proof -
  have fst (OUT (Set a × Set b) (Fun h' z)) = Fun f z
proof -
  have Fun f z = Fun (pr1 a b · h') z
  using h' by force
  also have ... = (P1 a b ∘ Fun h') z
  using assms(1-2) f h' Fun-pr(1) Fun-comp arrI by auto
  also have ... = fst (OUT (Set a × Set b) (Fun h' z))
  using assms(1,2) h' z Fun-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
moreover have snd (OUT (Set a × Set b) (Fun h' z)) = Fun g z
proof -
  have Fun g z = Fun (pr0 a b · h') z
  using h' by force
  also have ... = (P0 a b ∘ Fun h') z
  using assms(1-2) g h' Fun-pr(2) Fun-comp arrI by auto
  also have ... = snd (OUT (Set a × Set b) (Fun h' z))
  using assms(1,2) h' z Fun-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately have IN (Set a × Set b) (Fun f z, Fun g z) =
  IN (Set a × Set b) (OUT (Set a × Set b) (Fun h' z))
  by (metis split-pairs2)
also have ... = Fun h' z
  using assms h' z IN-OUT ⟨C h' z = Fun h' z⟩ prodo-def Fun-def
  small-product-set [of a b] embeds-product-sets [of a b]
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = C ?h z
  using app-mkarr assms(1,2) h z by auto
  finally show C h' z = C ?h z by blast
qed
qed
qed
ultimately show ∃!h. «h : x → dom (pr1 a b)» ∧ C (pr1 a b) h = f ∧
  C (pr0 a b) h = g
  by auto
qed
qed
lemma has-binary-products:
shows has-binary-products
  using binary-product-pr
  by (meson binary-product.has-as-binary-product has-binary-products-def)
end

```

4.6.1 Exported Notions

We now transfer to the *sets-cat-with-pairing* locale just the things we want to export. The projections are the main thing; most of the rest is inherited from the *elementary-category-with-binary-products* locale. We also need to include some infrastructure for moving in and out of the category and working with the comparison maps.

```

context sets-cat-with-pairing
begin

  interpretation Products: products-in-sets-cat ..

  abbreviation  $pr_0 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$ 
  where  $pr_0 \equiv Products.pr_0$ 

  abbreviation  $pr_1 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$ 
  where  $pr_1 \equiv Products.pr_1$ 

  sublocale elementary-category-with-binary-products  $C$   $pr_0$   $pr_1$ 
  proof
    show  $\bigwedge f g. span\ f\ g \implies \exists ! l. C\ (pr_1\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g))\ l = f \wedge C\ (pr_0\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g))\ l = g$ 
    proof –
      fix  $f\ g$ 
      assume  $fg: span\ f\ g$ 
      interpret binary-product  $C\ \langle cod\ f \rangle\ \langle cod\ g \rangle\ \langle pr_1\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g) \rangle\ \langle pr_0\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g) \rangle$ 
      using  $fg$  Products.binary-product-pr ide-cod by blast
      show  $\exists ! l. C\ (pr_1\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g))\ l = f \wedge C\ (pr_0\ (cod\ f)\ (cod\ g))\ l = g$ 
      by (metis (full-types) fg tuple-props(4,5,6))
    qed
  qed auto

  lemma bin-prod-comparison-map-props:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows  $OUT\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b) \in Set\ (prod\ a\ b) \rightarrow Set\ a \times Set\ b$ 
  and  $IN\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b) \in Set\ a \times Set\ b \rightarrow Set\ (prod\ a\ b)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in Set\ (prod\ a\ b) \implies IN\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ (OUT\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ x) = x$ 
  and  $\bigwedge y. y \in Set\ a \times Set\ b \implies OUT\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ (IN\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ y) = y$ 
  and bij-betw  $(OUT\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b))\ (Set\ (prod\ a\ b))\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)$ 
  and bij-betw  $(IN\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b))\ (Set\ a \times Set\ b)\ (Set\ (prod\ a\ b))$ 
  using assms Products.ide-prodo [of a b] pr-simps(5) by auto

  lemma Fun-pr0:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows  $Fun\ (pr_0\ a\ b) = Products.P_0\ a\ b$ 
  using assms Products.Fun-pr(2) by auto[1]

  lemma Fun-pr1:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows  $Fun\ (pr_1\ a\ b) = Products.P_1\ a\ b$ 
  using assms Products.Fun-pr(1) by auto[1]

```



```

lemma Fun-prod:
  assumes « $f : a \rightarrow b$ » and « $g : c \rightarrow d$ »
  shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{prod } f \ g) = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } a \ c)$ 
    then  $\text{tuple } (\text{Fun } f \ (C \ (\text{pr}_1 \ a \ c) \ x)) \ (\text{Fun } g \ (C \ (\text{pr}_0 \ a \ c) \ x))$ 
    else  $\text{null}$ )

proof
  fix  $x$ 
  show  $\text{Fun } (\text{prod } f \ g) \ x = (\text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } a \ c)$ 
    then  $\text{tuple } (\text{Fun } f \ (C \ (\text{pr}_1 \ a \ c) \ x)) \ (\text{Fun } g \ (C \ (\text{pr}_0 \ a \ c) \ x))$ 
    else  $\text{null}$ )
proof (cases  $x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } a \ c)$ )
  case False
  show ?thesis
    using False
    by (metis assms(1,2) in-homE prod-simps(2) Fun-def)
  next
  case True
  show ?thesis
  proof -
    have « $x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{dom } (\text{prod } f \ g)$ »
    using True assms(1,2) by fastforce
    moreover have « $\text{pr}_1 \ a \ c \cdot x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{dom } f$ »  $\wedge$  « $\text{pr}_0 \ a \ c \cdot x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{dom } g$ »
    using assms True
    by (intro conjI comp-in-homI) fastforce+
    moreover have  $\text{prod } f \ g \cdot x = \text{tuple } (f \cdot \text{pr}_1 \ a \ c \cdot x) \ (g \cdot \text{pr}_0 \ a \ c \cdot x)$ 
    using assms True prod-tuple tuple-pr-arr
    by (metis calculation(2) ide-dom in-homE seqI)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using assms True Fun-def by auto
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma prod-ide-eq:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows  $\text{prod } a \ b = \text{mkide } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b)$ 
    using assms(1,2) pr-simps(2) Products.prod_o-def by force

```

```

lemma tuple-eq:
  assumes « $f : x \rightarrow a$ » and « $g : x \rightarrow b$ »
  shows  $\text{tuple } f \ g = \text{mkarr } x \ (\text{prod } a \ b)$ 
    ( $\lambda z. \text{if } z \in \text{Set } x$ 
      then  $\text{IN } (\text{Set } a \times \text{Set } b) \ (\text{Fun } f \ z, \text{Fun } g \ z)$ 
      else  $\text{null}$ )

proof -
  have  $\text{tuple } f \ g = \text{Products.tuple } f \ g$ 
  by (metis Products.comp-pr-tuple(1,2) assms(1,2) in-homE pr-tuple(1,2) universal)
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    unfolding Products.tuple-def Products.Tuple-def
    using assms Products.prod_o-def prod-ide-eq by fastforce
qed

lemma tuple-point-eq:
  assumes « $x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow a$ » and « $y : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow b$ »
  shows tuple  $x y = IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$ 
  proof -
    have 1: tuple  $x y = mkarr \mathbf{1}^? (prod a b)$ 
      (λz. if  $z \in Set \mathbf{1}^?$  then  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$  else null)
    proof -
      have  $\bigwedge z. z \in Set \mathbf{1}^? \implies Fun x z = x \wedge Fun y z = y$ 
      unfolding Fun-def
      by (metis assms CollectD comp-arr-dom ide-dom ide-in-hom in-homE some-trm-eqI)
      hence (λz. if  $z \in Set \mathbf{1}^?$  then  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (Fun x z, Fun y z)$  else null) =
        (λz. if  $z \in Set \mathbf{1}^?$  then  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$  else null)
      by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
      using assms tuple-eq by simp
    qed
    also have ... =  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$ 
    proof -
      have  $mkarr \mathbf{1}^? (prod a b)$ 
        (λz. if  $z \in Set \mathbf{1}^?$  then  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$  else null) =
         $mkarr \mathbf{1}^? (prod a b)$ 
        (λz. if  $z \in Set \mathbf{1}^?$  then  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$  else null) ·  $\mathbf{1}^?$ 
      by (metis (lifting) assms(1,2) calculation comp-arr-dom dom-mkarr in-homE
        tuple-simps(1))
      also have ... =  $IN (Set a \times Set b) (x, y)$ 
      using app-mkarr [of  $\mathbf{1}^? prod a b - \mathbf{1}^?$ ]
      by (metis (full-types, lifting) CollectI
        assms(1,2) 1 ide-in-hom ide-some-terminal tuple-in-hom)
      finally show ?thesis by blast
    qed
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma Fun-tuple:
  assumes span  $f g$ 
  shows Fun (tuple  $f g$ ) =
    (λx. if  $x \in Set (dom f)$ 
      then  $IN (Set (cod f) \times Set (cod g)) (Fun f x, Fun g x)$ 
      else null)
  using assms Fun-mkarr tuple-eq [of  $f dom f cod f g cod g$ ]
  by (metis (lifting) in-homI tuple-simps(1))

```

end

4.7 Binary Coproducts

In this section we prove the existence of binary coproducts, following the same approach as for binary products. The required assumptions are slightly different, because here we need smallness to be preserved by union.

```

locale sets-cat-with-cotupling =
  sets-cat-with-bool sml C +
  small-sum sml +
  pairing ⟨Collect arr⟩
for sml :: 'V set ⇒ bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr ⟨⟩ 55)

locale coproducts-in-sets-cat =
  sets-cat-with-cotupling sml C
for sml :: 'V set ⇒ bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr ⟨⟩ 55)
begin

  abbreviation Coprod
  where Coprod a b ≡ ({tt} × Set a) ∪ ({ff} × Set b)

  lemma small-Coprod:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows small (Coprod a b)
    using assms small-product
    by (metis Set-two ide-two(1) small-Set small-insert-iff small-union)

  lemma embeds-Coprod:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows embeds (Coprod a b)
  proof –
    have Coprod a b ⊆ Collect arr × Collect arr
      using ff-simps(1) tt-simps(1) by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using embeds-pairs
      by (simp add: embeds-subset)
  qed

  definition coprodo
  where coprodo a b ≡ mkide (Coprod a b)

  lemma ide-coprodo:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows ide (coprodo a b)
  and bij-betw (OUT (Coprod a b)) (Set (coprodo a b)) (Coprod a b)
  and bij-betw (IN (Coprod a b)) (Coprod a b) (Set (coprodo a b))
  and ∧x. x ∈ Set (coprodo a b) ⇒ OUT (Coprod a b) x ∈ Coprod a b
  and ∧y. y ∈ Coprod a b ⇒ IN (Coprod a b) y ∈ Set (coprodo a b)
  and ∧x. x ∈ Set (coprodo a b) ⇒ IN (Coprod a b) (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = x

```

and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Coproduct } a \ b \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) (\text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ y) = y$
proof –
 show $\text{ide } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$
and 1: $\text{bij-betw } (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b)) (\text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)) (\text{Coproduct } a \ b)$
 unfolding $\text{coprod}_o\text{-def}$
 using $\text{assms ide-mkide}(1) \text{ bij-OUT small-Coproduct embeds-Coproduct by metis+}$
show 2: $\text{bij-betw } (\text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b)) (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) (\text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b))$
 using 1 $\text{bij-betw-inv-into coprod}_o\text{-def by auto}$
show $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ x \in \text{Coproduct } a \ b$
 using 1 $\text{bij-betwE by blast}$
show $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Coproduct } a \ b \implies \text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ y \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$
 using 2 $\text{bij-betwE by blast}$
show $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ x) = x$
 using $\text{assms small-Coproduct embeds-Coproduct IN-OUT coprod}_o\text{-def by metis}$
show $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Coproduct } a \ b \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) (\text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ y) = y$
 using $\text{assms small-Coproduct embeds-Coproduct coprod}_o\text{-def 1}$
 $\text{bij-betw-inv-into-right}$
 [of $\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \text{ Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b) \text{ Coproduct } a \ b]$
 by presburger
qed

abbreviation $\text{In}_0 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{In}_0 \ a \ b \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ (\text{ff}, x) \text{ else null}$

abbreviation $\text{In}_1 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{In}_1 \ a \ b \equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } a \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } a \ b) \ (\text{tt}, x) \text{ else null}$

lemma $\text{In}_0\text{-in-Hom}$:
assumes $\text{ide } a$ **and** $\text{ide } b$
shows $\text{In}_0 \ a \ b \in \text{Hom } b \ (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$
proof
 show $\text{In}_0 \ a \ b \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin \text{Set } b \longrightarrow F \ x = \text{null}\}$ **by simp**
 show $\text{In}_0 \ a \ b \in \text{Set } b \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$
proof
 fix x
 assume $x: x \in \text{Set } b$
 have $(\text{ff}, x) \in \text{Coproduct } a \ b$
 using $\text{assms } x \text{ by blast}$
 thus $\text{In}_0 \ a \ b \ x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$
 using $\text{assms } x \text{ ide-coprod}_o(3) \text{ bij-betwE ide-coprod}_o(5) \text{ by presburger}$
qed
qed

lemma $\text{In}_1\text{-in-Hom}$:
assumes $\text{ide } a$ **and** $\text{ide } b$
shows $\text{In}_1 \ a \ b \in \text{Hom } a \ (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$
proof
 show $\text{In}_1 \ a \ b \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin \text{Set } a \longrightarrow F \ x = \text{null}\}$ **by simp**
 show $\text{In}_1 \ a \ b \in \text{Set } a \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$

```

proof
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x: x \in \text{Set } a$ 
  have  $(tt, x) \in \text{Coproduct } a \ b$ 
    using  $\text{assms } x$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
  thus  $\text{In}_1 \ a \ b \ x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$ 
    using  $\text{assms } x$   $\text{ide-coproduct}_o(3)$   $\text{bij-betwE ide-coproduct}_o(5)$  by  $\text{presburger}$ 
qed
qed

definition  $\text{in}_0 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$ 
where  $\text{in}_0 \ a \ b \equiv \text{mkarr } b \ (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b) \ (\text{In}_0 \ a \ b)$ 

definition  $\text{in}_1 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$ 
where  $\text{in}_1 \ a \ b \equiv \text{mkarr } a \ (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b) \ (\text{In}_1 \ a \ b)$ 

lemma  $\text{in-in-hom}$  [ $\text{intro}$ ,  $\text{simp}$ ]:
assumes  $\text{ide } a$  and  $\text{ide } b$ 
shows  $\text{in-hom } (\text{in}_1 \ a \ b) \ a \ (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$ 
and  $\text{in-hom } (\text{in}_0 \ a \ b) \ b \ (\text{coprod}_o \ a \ b)$ 
  using  $\text{assms in}_0\text{-def in}_1\text{-def mkarr-in-hom ide-coproduct}_o \text{In}_0\text{-in-Hom In}_1\text{-in-Hom}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

lemma  $\text{in-simps}$  [ $\text{simp}$ ]:
assumes  $\text{ide } a$  and  $\text{ide } b$ 
shows  $\text{arr } (\text{in}_0 \ a \ b)$  and  $\text{dom } (\text{in}_0 \ a \ b) = b$  and  $\text{cod } (\text{in}_0 \ a \ b) = \text{coprod}_o \ a \ b$ 
and  $\text{arr } (\text{in}_1 \ a \ b)$  and  $\text{dom } (\text{in}_1 \ a \ b) = a$  and  $\text{cod } (\text{in}_1 \ a \ b) = \text{coprod}_o \ a \ b$ 
  using  $\text{assms in-in-hom}$  by  $\text{blast+}$ 

lemma  $\text{Fun-in}$ :
assumes  $\text{ide } a$  and  $\text{ide } b$ 
shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{in}_1 \ a \ b) = \text{In}_1 \ a \ b$ 
and  $\text{Fun } (\text{in}_0 \ a \ b) = \text{In}_0 \ a \ b$ 
  using  $\text{assms Fun-mkarr in}_0\text{-def in}_1\text{-def in-simps}(1,4)$  by  $\text{presburger+}$ 

definition  $\text{Cotuple} :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$ 
where  $\text{Cotuple } f \ g \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g))$ 
   $\text{then if fst } (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g)) \ x) = tt$ 
   $\text{then Fun } f \ (\text{snd } (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g)) \ x))$ 
   $\text{else if fst } (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g)) \ x) = ff$ 
   $\text{then Fun } g \ (\text{snd } (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g)) \ x))$ 
   $\text{else null}$ 
   $\text{else null})$ 

definition  $\text{cotuple} :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$ 
where  $\text{cotuple } f \ g \equiv \text{mkarr } (\text{coprod}_o \ (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g)) \ (\text{cod } f) \ (\text{Cotuple } f \ g)$ 

lemma  $\text{cotuple-in-hom}$  [ $\text{intro}$ ,  $\text{simp}$ ]:
assumes  $\langle f : a \rightarrow c \rangle$  and  $\langle g : b \rightarrow c \rangle$ 
shows  $\langle \text{cotuple } f \ g : \text{coprod}_o \ a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle$ 

```

```

proof –
  have bij: bij-betw (OUT (Coprod a b)) (Set (coprodo a b)) (Coprod a b)
    using assms ide-coprodo(2) ide-dom by blast
  have Cotuple f g ∈ Set (coprodo a b) → Set c
proof
  fix x
  assume x: x ∈ Set (coprodo a b)
  have 1: OUT (Coprod a b) x ∈ Coprod a b
    using x bij bij-betwE by blast
  have fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = tt ∨ fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = ff
    using 1 by fastforce
  moreover have fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = tt ⇒ Cotuple f g x ∈ Set c
proof –
  assume 2: fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = tt
  have snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x) ∈ Set a
    using 1 2 tt-ne-ff by auto
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding Cotuple-def
    using assms x 2 Fun-in-Hom [of f a c] tt-ne-ff
    by auto fastforce
qed
  moreover have fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = ff ⇒ Cotuple f g x ∈ Set c
proof –
  assume 2: fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = ff
  have snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x) ∈ Set b
    using 1 2 tt-ne-ff by auto
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding Cotuple-def
    using assms x 2 Fun-in-Hom [of g b c] tt-ne-ff by auto
qed
  ultimately show Cotuple f g x ∈ Set c by blast
qed
moreover have  $\bigwedge x. x \notin \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o a b) \Rightarrow \text{Cotuple } f g x = \text{null}$ 
  unfolding Cotuple-def
  using assms by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding cotuple-def
  using assms mkarr-in-hom ide-coprodo(1) by fastforce
qed

lemma cotuple-simps [simp]:
assumes cospan f g
shows arr (cotuple f g)
and dom (cotuple f g) = coprodo (dom f) (dom g)
and cod (cotuple f g) = cod f
  using assms
  by (metis assms in-homE in-homI cotuple-in-hom) +

lemma comp-cotuple-in:

```

```

assumes cospan f g
shows cotuple f g · in1 (dom f) (dom g) = f
and cotuple f g · in0 (dom f) (dom g) = g
proof –
  let ?a = dom f and ?b = dom g and ?c = cod f
  show cotuple f g · in1 (dom f) (dom g) = f
  proof –
    have cotuple f g · in1 (dom f) (dom g) =
      mkarr (coprodo ?a ?b) ?c (Cotuple f g) · mkarr ?a (coprodo ?a ?b) (In1 ?a ?b)
    unfolding in1-def cotuple-def
    using assms by auto
    also have ... = mkarr ?a ?c (Cotuple f g ∘ In1 ?a ?b)
    using assms comp-mkarr cotuple-def cotuple-simps(1) ide-dom in1-def in-simps(4)
    by presburger
    also have ... = mkarr ?a ?c
      (λx. if x ∈ Set ?a
        then Fun f (snd (OUT (Coproduct ?a ?b) (IN (Coproduct ?a ?b) (tt, x))))
        else null)
    proof –
      have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } ?a \implies$ 
        (Cotuple f g ∘ In1 ?a ?b) x =
        Fun f (snd (OUT (Coproduct ?a ?b) (IN (Coproduct ?a ?b) (tt, x))))
      unfolding Cotuple-def tt-ne-ff
      using assms tt-ne-ff ide-coproducto by auto
      hence Cotuple f g ∘ In1 ?a ?b =
        (λx. if x ∈ Set ?a
          then Fun f (snd (OUT (Coproduct ?a ?b) (IN (Coproduct ?a ?b) (tt, x))))
          else null)
      unfolding Cotuple-def
      by fastforce
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have ... = mkarr ?a ?c (λx. if x ∈ Set ?a then Fun f x else null)
    proof –
      have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } ?a \implies$ 
        Fun f (snd (OUT (Coproduct ?a ?b) (IN (Coproduct ?a ?b) (tt, x)))) = Fun f x
      using assms ide-coproducto(7) by auto
      thus ?thesis
      by meson
    qed
    also have ... = f
    proof –
      have Fun f = (λx. if x ∈ Set ?a then Fun f x else null)
      unfolding Fun-def by meson
      thus ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) arr-iff-in-hom assms mkarr-Fun)
    qed
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed

```

```

show cotuple f g · in0 (dom f) (dom g) = g
proof -
  have cotuple f g · in0 (dom f) (dom g) =
    mkarr (coprodo ?a ?b) ?c (Cotuple f g) · mkarr ?b (coprodo ?a ?b) (In0 ?a ?b)
  unfolding in0-def cotuple-def
  using assms by auto
  also have ... = mkarr ?b ?c (Cotuple f g ∘ In0 ?a ?b)
  using assms comp-mkarr cotuple-def cotuple-simps(1) ide-dom in0-def in-simps(1)
  by presburger
  also have ... = mkarr ?b ?c
    (λx. if x ∈ Set ?b
      then Fun g (snd (OUT (Coprod ?a ?b) (IN (Coprod ?a ?b) (ff, x))))
      else null)
  proof -
    have ∧x. x ∈ Set ?b ⇒
      (Cotuple f g ∘ In0 ?a ?b) x =
        Fun g (snd (OUT (Coprod ?a ?b) (IN (Coprod ?a ?b) (ff, x))))
    unfolding Cotuple-def tt-ne-ff
    using assms tt-ne-ff ide-coprodo by auto
    hence Cotuple f g ∘ In0 ?a ?b =
      (λx. if x ∈ Set ?b
        then Fun g (snd (OUT (Coprod ?a ?b) (IN (Coprod ?a ?b) (ff, x))))
        else null)
    unfolding Cotuple-def
    by fastforce
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = mkarr ?b ?c (λx. if x ∈ Set ?b then Fun g x else null)
  proof -
    have ∧x. x ∈ Set ?b ⇒
      Fun g (snd (OUT (Coprod ?a ?b) (IN (Coprod ?a ?b) (ff, x)))) = Fun g x
    using assms ide-coprodo(7) by auto
    thus ?thesis
    by meson
  qed
  also have ... = g
  proof -
    have Fun g = (λx. if x ∈ Set ?b then Fun g x else null)
    unfolding Fun-def by meson
    thus ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) arr-iff-in-hom assms mkarr-Fun)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *Fun-cotuple*:
assumes *cospan f g*
shows *Fun (cotuple f g) =*


```

    (λx. if x ∈ Set (coprodo (dom f) (dom g))
      then if fst (OUT (Coproduct (dom f) (dom g)) x) = tt
        then Fun f (snd (OUT (Coproduct (dom f) (dom g)) x))
        else if fst (OUT (Coproduct (dom f) (dom g)) x) = ff
          then Fun g (snd (OUT (Coproduct (dom f) (dom g)) x))
          else null
      else null)
  using cotuple-def Coproduct-def Fun-mkarr assms cotuple-simps(1) by presburger

lemma binary-coproduct-in:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows binary-product (dual-category.comp C) a b (in1 a b) (in0 a b)
  proof -
    have bij: bij-betw (OUT (Coproduct a b)) (Set (coprodo a b)) (Coproduct a b)
      using assms ide-coproducto(2) ide-dom by blast
    interpret Cop: dual-category C ..
    show ?thesis
  proof
    show Cop.has-as-binary-product a b (in1 a b) (in0 a b)
  proof
    show Cop.span (in1 a b) (in0 a b)
      using assms(1,2) by force
    show Cop.cod (in1 a b) = a
      using assms(1,2) by fastforce
    show Cop.cod (in0 a b) = b
      using assms(1,2) by fastforce
    fix c f g
    assume f: Cop.in-hom f c a and g: Cop.in-hom g c b
    show ∃!h. Cop.in-hom h c (Cop.dom (in1 a b)) ∧ in1 a b ·op h = f ∧ in0 a b ·op h = g
  proof
    show Cop.in-hom (cotuple f g) c (Cop.dom (in1 a b)) ∧
      in1 a b ·op (cotuple f g) = f ∧ in0 a b ·op (cotuple f g) = g
  proof (intro conjI)
    show Cop.in-hom (cotuple f g) c (Cop.dom (in1 a b))
      using assms(1,2) f g by force
    show in1 a b ·op cotuple f g = f
      using assms(1,2) f g comp-cotuple-in by auto
    show in0 a b ·op cotuple f g = g
      using assms(1,2) f g comp-cotuple-in
      by (metis Cop.comp-def Cop.hom-char in-homE)
    qed
    show ∧h. Cop.in-hom h c (Cop.dom (in1 a b)) ∧ in1 a b ·op h = f ∧ in0 a b ·op h = g
      ⇒ h = cotuple f g
  proof -
    fix h
    assume h: Cop.in-hom h c (Cop.dom (in1 a b)) ∧
      in1 a b ·op h = f ∧ in0 a b ·op h = g
    show h = cotuple f g
    proof (intro arr-eqI [of h])

```

```

show par: par h (cotuple f g)
  using assms(1,2) h by force
show Fun h = Fun (cotuple f g)
proof
  fix x
  show Fun h x = Fun (cotuple f g) x
  proof (cases x ∈ Set (coprodo a b))
    case False
      show ?thesis
      using False assms(1,2) h par Fun-cotuple [of f g] Fun-def
      by (metis (lifting) Cop.cod-char Cop.dom-char Cop.in-homE
        in-simps(6) mem-Collect-eq)
    next
    case True
      show ?thesis
      proof –
        have 2: OUT (Coprod a b) x ∈ Coprod a b
          using True bij bij-betwE by blast
        hence fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = tt ∨ fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = ff
          using True bij bij-betwE
          unfolding coprodo-def
          by auto
        moreover have fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = tt ⇒ ?thesis
        proof –
          assume 3: fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = tt
          have 4: snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x) ∈ Set a
            using True 2 3 tt-ne-ff by fastforce
          have Fun (cotuple f g) x = Fun f (snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x))
            using assms 2 3 4 coprodo-def
            apply simp
          by (metis (lifting) HOL.ext Cop.cod-char Cop.dom-char Cop.in-homE True
            Fun-cotuple [of f g] arr-dom-iff-arr f g ide-char)
          also have ... = Fun (h · in1 a b) (snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x))
            using h by auto
          also have ... = Fun h (Fun (in1 a b) (snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x)))
            using Cop.arrI Fun-comp f h by force
          also have ... = Fun h (IN (Coprod a b) (tt, snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x)))
            using assms 4 Fun-in(1) [of a b] by auto
          also have ... = Fun h (IN (Coprod a b) (OUT (Coprod a b) x))
            by (metis 3 surjective-pairing)
          also have ... = Fun h x
            using assms True ide-coprodo(6) by presburger
          finally show ?thesis by simp
        qed
        moreover have fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = ff ⇒ ?thesis
        proof –
          assume 3: fst (OUT (Coprod a b) x) = ff
          have 4: snd (OUT (Coprod a b) x) ∈ Set b
            using True 2 3 tt-ne-ff by fastforce

```

```

have Fun (cotuple f g) x = Fun g (snd (OUT (Coproduct a b) x))
  using True assms f g 2 3 4 tt-ne-ff coprod_o-def Fun-cotuple [of f g]
  apply auto[1]
  by (metis (lifting) HOL.ext fst-conv in-homE snd-conv)
also have ... = Fun (h · in₀ a b) (snd (OUT (Coproduct a b) x))
  using h by auto
also have ... = Fun h (Fun (in₀ a b) (snd (OUT (Coproduct a b) x)))
  using Cop.arrI Fun-comp g h by force
also have ... = Fun h (IN (Coproduct a b) (ff, snd (OUT (Coproduct a b) x)))
  using assms 4 Fun-in(2) [of a b] by auto
also have ... = Fun h (IN (Coproduct a b) (OUT (Coproduct a b) x))
  by (metis 3 surjective-pairing)
also have ... = Fun h x
  using assms True ide-coproduct_o(6) by presburger
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma has-binary-coproducts:
shows category.has-binary-products (dual-category.comp C)
proof -
  interpret Cop: dual-category C ..
  show Cop.has-binary-products
  proof (unfold Cop.has-binary-products-def, intro allI impI, elim conjE)
    fix a b
    assume a: Cop.ide a and b: Cop.ide b
    interpret binary-product Cop.comp a b ⟨in₁ a b⟩ ⟨in₀ a b⟩
      using a b binary-coproduct-in [of a b] Cop.ide-char by blast
    show ∃ p. Ex (Cop.has-as-binary-product a b p)
      using has-as-binary-product by blast
  qed
qed

```

end

4.7.1 Exported Notions

```

context sets-cat-with-cotupling
begin

```

interpretation *Coproducts*: *coproducts-in-sets-cat* ..

abbreviation $in_0 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $in_0 \equiv Coproducts.in_0$

abbreviation $in_1 :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $in_1 \equiv Coproducts.in_1$

abbreviation $Coprod :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow ('U \times 'U)$ *set*
where $Coprod \equiv Coproducts.Coprod$

abbreviation $coprod_o :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $coprod_o \equiv Coproducts.coprod_o$

lemma *ide-coprod_o*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *ide (coprod_o a b)*
using *assms Coproducts.ide-coprod_o* **by** *blast*

lemma *in_1-in-hom* [*intro*, *simp*]:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *in-hom (in_1 a b) a (coprod_o a b)*
using *assms Coproducts.in-in-hom* **by** *blast*

lemma *in_0-in-hom* [*intro*, *simp*]:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *in-hom (in_0 a b) b (coprod_o a b)*
using *assms Coproducts.in-in-hom* **by** *blast*

lemma *in_1-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *arr (in_1 a b)* **and** *dom (in_1 a b) = a* **and** *cod (in_1 a b) = coprod_o a b*
using *assms Coproducts.in-simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *in_0-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *arr (in_0 a b)* **and** *dom (in_0 a b) = b* **and** *cod (in_0 a b) = coprod_o a b*
using *assms Coproducts.in-simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *bin-coprod-comparison-map-props*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *bij-betw (OUT (Coprod a b)) (Set (coprod_o a b)) (Coprod a b)*
and *bij-betw (IN (Coprod a b)) (Coprod a b) (Set (coprod_o a b))*
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (coprod_o a b) \implies \text{OUT } (Coprod a b) x \in Coprod a b$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in Coprod a b \implies \text{IN } (Coprod a b) y \in \text{Set } (coprod_o a b)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (coprod_o a b) \implies \text{IN } (Coprod a b) (\text{OUT } (Coprod a b) x) = x$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in Coprod a b \implies \text{OUT } (Coprod a b) (\text{IN } (Coprod a b) y) = y$
using *assms Coproducts.ide-coprod_o* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fun-in₁*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *Fun (in₁ a b) = Coproducts.In₁ a b*
using *assms Coproducts.Fun-in(1)* **by** *auto[1]*

lemma *Fun-in₀*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *Fun (in₀ a b) = Coproducts.In₀ a b*
using *assms Coproducts.Fun-in(2)* **by** *auto[1]*

abbreviation *cotuple*
where *cotuple* \equiv *Coproducts.cotuple*

lemma *cotuple-in-hom* [*intro*, *simp*]:
assumes $\langle f : a \rightarrow c \rangle$ **and** $\langle g : b \rightarrow c \rangle$
shows $\langle \text{cotuple } f \ g : \text{coprod}_o \ a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle$
using *assms Coproducts.cotuple-in-hom* **by** *blast*

lemma *cotuple-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *cospan f g*
shows *arr (cotuple f g)*
and *dom (cotuple f g) = coprod_o (dom f) (dom g)*
and *cod (cotuple f g) = cod f*
using *assms Coproducts.cotuple-simps* **by** *auto*

abbreviation *Cotuple*
where *Cotuple f g* \equiv ($\lambda x.$ if $x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprod}_o \ (\text{dom } f) \ (\text{dom } g))$
then if *fst* (*OUT* (*Coprod* (*dom f*) (*dom g*)) *x*) = *tt*
then *Fun f* (*snd* (*OUT* (*Coprod* (*dom f*) (*dom g*)) *x*))
else if *fst* (*OUT* (*Coprod* (*dom f*) (*dom g*)) *x*) = *ff*
then *Fun g* (*snd* (*OUT* (*Coprod* (*dom f*) (*dom g*)) *x*))
else *null*
else *null*)

lemma *cotuple-eq*:
assumes $\langle f : a \rightarrow c \rangle$ **and** $\langle g : b \rightarrow c \rangle$
shows *cotuple f g = mkarr (coprod_o a b) c (Cotuple f g)*
unfolding *Coproducts.cotuple-def Coproducts.Cotuple-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fun-cotuple*:
assumes *cospan f g*
shows *Fun (cotuple f g) = Cotuple f g*
using *assms Coproducts.Fun-cotuple* **by** *blast*

lemma *binary-coproduct-in*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *binary-product (dual-category.comp C) a b (in₁ a b) (in₀ a b)*
using *assms Coproducts.binary-coproduct-in* **by** *blast*

```

lemma has-binary-coproducts:
shows category.has-binary-products (dual-category.comp C)
  using Coproducts.has-binary-coproducts by blast

end

```

4.8 Small Products

In this section we show that the category of small sets and functions has small products. For this we need to assume that smallness is preserved by the formation of function spaces.

```

locale sets-cat-with-tupling =
  sets-cat sml C +
  tupling sml  $\langle \text{Collect arr} \rangle$  null
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  55)
begin

  sublocale sets-cat-with-bool
    using embeds-bool
    by unfold-locales auto
  sublocale sets-cat-with-pairing sml C ..
  sublocale sets-cat-with-cotupling ..

end

```

```

locale small-products-in-sets-cat =
  sets-cat-with-tupling sml C
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  55)
begin

```

A product diagram is specified by an extensional function A from small index set I to *Collect ide*, using *null* as the default value. An element of the product is given by an extensional function F from I to *Collect arr*, such that $F\ i \in \text{Set } (A\ i)$ for each $i \in I$.

```

abbreviation ProdX :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  (a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  (a  $\Rightarrow$  'U) set
where ProdX I A  $\equiv \{F. \forall i. i \in I \longrightarrow F\ i \in \text{Set } (A\ i)\} \cap \{F. \forall i. i \notin I \longrightarrow F\ i = \text{null}\}$ 

```

```

lemma ProdX-empty:
shows ProdX {} A =  $\{\lambda x. \text{null}\}$ 
  by auto

```

```

definition prodX :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  (a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where prodX I A  $\equiv \text{mkide } (ProdX\ I\ A)$ 

```

```

lemma small-function-tuple:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$ 

```

```

and  $F \in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
shows small-function  $F$  and  $\text{range } F \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. \text{Set } (A \ i)) \cup \{\text{null}\}$ 
proof –
  have  $1: \text{small } ((\bigcup i \in I. \text{Set } (A \ i)) \cup \{\text{null}\})$ 
    using assms small-Set by auto
  have  $2: \bigwedge F \ v. \llbracket F \in \text{ProdX } I \ A; \text{popular-value } F \ v \rrbracket \implies v = \text{null}$ 
proof –
  fix  $F \ v$ 
  assume  $F: F \in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
  assume  $v: \text{popular-value } F \ v$ 
  have  $(\exists i. i \in I \wedge v \in \text{Set } (A \ i)) \vee v = \text{null}$ 
    using  $v \ F \text{ popular-value-in-range [of } F \ v]$  by blast
  hence  $v \neq \text{null} \implies \{i. F \ i = v\} \subseteq I$ 
    using  $F$  by blast
  hence  $v \neq \text{null} \implies \neg \text{popular-value } F \ v$ 
    using assms(1) smaller-than-small by blast
  thus  $v = \text{null}$ 
    using  $v$  by blast
qed
show  $3: \text{range } F \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. \text{Set } (A \ i)) \cup \{\text{null}\}$ 
  using assms(4) by auto
show small-function  $F$ 
proof
  show small ( $\text{range } F$ )
    using  $1 \ 3 \text{ smaller-than-small}$  by blast
  show at-most-one-popular-value  $F$ 
    using assms(4) 2 Uniq-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
qed
qed

```

```

lemma small-ProdX:
assumes small  $I$  and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$ 
shows small ( $\text{ProdX } I \ A$ )
proof (cases small (UNIV :: 'U set))
  case True
    show ?thesis
      using True small-function-tuple smaller-than-small
      by (metis large-univ subset-UNIV)
  next
  case False
    have  $\bigwedge F. F \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \implies \text{SF-Dom } F \subseteq I$ 
proof –
  fix  $F$ 
  assume  $F: F \in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
  have popular-value  $F \ \text{null}$ 
proof –
    have  $\neg \text{small } (\text{UNIV} - I)$ 
      using assms False small-union by fastforce

```

moreover have $UNIV - I \subseteq \{i. F\ i = null\}$
 using F by *blast*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *smaller-than-small* by *blast*
 qed
 thus $SF-Dom\ F \subseteq I$
 using F by *auto*
 qed
 hence $ProdX\ I\ A \subseteq \{f. small-function\ f \wedge SF-Dom\ f \subseteq I \wedge$
 $range\ f \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. Set\ (A\ i)) \cup \{null\}\}$
 using *assms small-function-tuple* by *blast*
 moreover have $1: small\ ((\bigcup i \in I. Set\ (A\ i)) \cup \{null\})$
 using *assms small-Set* by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 using *assms(1) small-Set small-funcset [of I (bigcup i in I. Set (A i)) union {null}]*
smaller-than-small
 by *blast*
 qed

lemma *embeds-ProdX*:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow Collect\ ide$ **and** $I \subseteq Collect\ arr$

shows *embeds (ProdX I A)*

proof –

obtain ι **where** ι : *is-embedding-of* ι *SEF*

using *embeds-SEF* by *blast*

have $ProdX\ I\ A \subseteq SEF$

using *assms EF-def small-function-tuple* by *auto*

hence *is-embedding-of* ι $(ProdX\ I\ A)$

using ι by (*meson dual-order.trans image-mono inj-on-subset*)

thus *?thesis* by *blast*

qed

lemma *ide-prodX*:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow Collect\ ide$ **and** $I \subseteq Collect\ arr$

shows *ide (prodX I A)*

and *bij-betw (OUT (ProdX I A)) (Set (prodX I A)) (ProdX I A)*

and *bij-betw (IN (ProdX I A)) (ProdX I A) (Set (prodX I A))*

and $\bigwedge x. x \in Set\ (prodX\ I\ A) \implies OUT\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ x \in ProdX\ I\ A$

and $\bigwedge y. y \in ProdX\ I\ A \implies IN\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ y \in Set\ (prodX\ I\ A)$

and $\bigwedge x. x \in Set\ (prodX\ I\ A) \implies IN\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ (OUT\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ x) = x$

and $\bigwedge y. y \in ProdX\ I\ A \implies OUT\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ (IN\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ y) = y$

proof –

have $2: small\ ((\bigcup i \in I. Set\ (A\ i)) \cup \{null\})$

using *assms(1-2) small-Set* by *auto*

have $*: \bigwedge F. F \in ProdX\ I\ A \implies small-function\ F \wedge range\ F \subseteq (\bigcup i \in I. Set\ (A\ i)) \cup \{null\}$

using *assms small-function-tuple* by *blast*

show *ide (prodX I A)*

unfolding *prodX-def*

using *assms small-ProdX embeds-ProdX* by *auto*


```

show 1: bij-betw (OUT (ProdX I A)) (Set (prodX I A)) (ProdX I A)
  unfolding prodX-def
  using assms small-ProdX embeds-ProdX bij-OUT [of ProdX I A] by fastforce
show 2: bij-betw (IN (ProdX I A)) (ProdX I A) (Set (prodX I A))
  unfolding prodX-def
  using assms small-ProdX embeds-ProdX bij-IN [of ProdX I A] by fastforce
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x \in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
  using 1 bij-betwE by blast
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \implies \text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ y \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)$ 
  using 2 bij-betwE by blast
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \implies \text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x) = x$ 
proof –
  fix x
  assume x:  $x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)$ 
  show  $\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x) = x$ 
  proof –
    have  $x = \text{inv-into } (\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A)) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x)$ 
    using x 1
    bij-betw-inv-into-left
    [of OUT (ProdX I A) Set (prodX I A) ProdX I A]
    by auto
    thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: prodX-def)
  qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \implies \text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ y) = y$ 
proof –
  fix y
  assume y:  $y \in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
  show  $\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ y) = y$ 
  using assms(1,2,3) y OUT-IN [of ProdX I A y] small-ProdX embeds-ProdX [of I A]
  by blast
qed
qed

lemma terminal-prodX-empty:
shows terminal (prodX  $\{\}$ ) (A ::  $'U \Rightarrow 'U$ )
proof –
  let  $?I = \{\}$  ::  $'U \text{ set}$ 
  have 1:  $\{F. \forall i. i \notin ?I \longrightarrow F \ i = \text{null}\} = \{\lambda i. \text{null}\}$ 
  by auto
  have  $\exists !x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } ?I \ A)$ 
  proof –
    have eqpoll ( $\text{Set } (\text{prodX } ?I \ A)$ )  $\{F. \forall i. i \notin ?I \longrightarrow F \ i = \text{null}\}$ 
    proof –
      have small  $\{F. \forall i. i \notin ?I \longrightarrow F \ i = \text{null}\}$ 
      using 1 small-finite by force
      moreover have  $\exists \iota. \text{is-embedding-of } \iota \ \{F. \forall i :: 'U. F \ i = \text{null}\}$ 
      proof –

```

```

    have is-embedding-of ( $\lambda \cdot 1^?$ )  $\{\lambda i. \text{null}\}$ 
      using ide-char ide-some-terminal by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using 1 by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding prodX-def
    using 1 bij-OUT [of  $\{F. \forall i. i \notin ?I \longrightarrow F i = \text{null}\}$ ] eqpoll-def
    by auto blast
  qed
  moreover have  $\exists! x. x \in \{F. \forall i. i \notin ?I \longrightarrow F i = \text{null}\}$ 
    using 1 by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) eqpoll-iff-bijections)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using terminal-char ide-prodX(1)
    by (metis Pi-I empty-subsetI ex-in-conv small-Set smaller-than-small
      terminal-some-terminal)
  qed

abbreviation PrX :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where PrX I A i  $\equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \text{ then } \text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x \ i \text{ else null}$ 

definition prX :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where prX I A i  $\equiv \text{mkarr } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \ (A \ i) \ (\text{PrX } I \ A \ i)$ 

lemma prX-in-hom [intro, simp]:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide and } I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$ 
and  $i \in I$ 
shows in-hom (prX I A i) (prodX I A) (A i)
proof (unfold prX-def, intro mkarr-in-hom)
  show ide (prodX I A)
    using assms ide-prodX by blast
  show ide (A i)
    using assms by blast
  show PrX I A i  $\in \text{Hom } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \ (A \ i)$ 
proof
  show PrX I A i  $\in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \rightarrow \text{Set } (A \ i)$ 
proof
  fix x
  assume x:  $x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)$ 
  have OUT (ProdX I A)  $x \in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
    using assms(1,2,3) x ide-prodX(2)
    bij-betwE [of OUT (ProdX I A) Set (prodX I A) ProdX I A]
    by blast
  thus PrX I A i  $x \in \text{Set } (A \ i)$ 
    using assms x by force
qed

```

```

    show  $PrX\ I\ A\ i \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin Set\ (prodX\ I\ A) \longrightarrow F\ x = null\}$ 
      by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma prX-simps [simp]:
  assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow Collect\ ide$  and  $I \subseteq Collect\ arr$ 
  and  $i \in I$ 
  shows  $arr\ (prX\ I\ A\ i)$  and  $dom\ (prX\ I\ A\ i) = prodX\ I\ A$  and  $cod\ (prX\ I\ A\ i) = A\ i$ 
    using assms prX-in-hom by blast+

```

```

lemma Fun-prX:
  assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow Collect\ ide$  and  $I \subseteq Collect\ arr$ 
  and  $i \in I$ 
  shows  $Fun\ (prX\ I\ A\ i) = PrX\ I\ A\ i$ 
  proof -
    have  $arr\ (prX\ I\ A\ i)$ 
      using assms by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using assms Fun-mkarr [of  $prodX\ I\ A\ A\ i\ PrX\ I\ A\ i$ ] prX-def by metis
  qed

```

```

definition TupleX :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  'U  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where  $TupleX\ I\ c\ A\ F \equiv (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ c \text{ then } IN\ (ProdX\ I\ A)\ (\lambda i. Fun\ (F\ i)\ x) \text{ else } null)$ 

```

```

lemma TupleX-in-Hom:
  assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow Collect\ ide$  and  $I \subseteq Collect\ arr$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \langle F\ i : c \rightarrow A\ i \rangle$  and  $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies F\ i = null$ 
  shows  $TupleX\ I\ c\ A\ F \in Hom\ c\ (prodX\ I\ A)$ 
  proof
    show  $TupleX\ I\ c\ A\ F \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin Set\ c \longrightarrow F\ x = null\}$ 
      unfolding TupleX-def
      using assms by auto
    show  $TupleX\ I\ c\ A\ F \in Set\ c \rightarrow Set\ (prodX\ I\ A)$ 
    proof (cases  $I = \{\}$ )
    case False
    show ?thesis
    proof
      fix x
      assume  $x: x \in Set\ c$ 
      have  $\forall i. i \in I \longrightarrow x \in Set\ (dom\ (F\ i))$ 
        using False assms x by blast
      moreover have  $(\lambda i. Fun\ (F\ i)\ x) \in ProdX\ I\ A$ 
        using False assms x Fun-def by auto
      ultimately show  $TupleX\ I\ c\ A\ F\ x \in Set\ (prodX\ I\ A)$ 
        unfolding TupleX-def
        using False assms x ide-prodX(3) [of  $I\ A$ ] bij-betw-apply
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting))
    qed
  qed

```

```

next
case True
show ?thesis
  unfolding TupleX-def
  using True assms ide-prodX(3) bij-betw-apply Fun-def
  by auto[1] fastforce
qed
qed

```

definition $\text{tupleX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F} \equiv \text{mkarr } c \text{ (prodX } I \text{ A) (TupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F)}$

lemma tupleX-in-hom [intro, simp]:
assumes $\text{small } I$ **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \langle F i : c \rightarrow A \ i \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \Rightarrow F i = \text{null}$ **and** $\text{ide } c$
shows $\langle \text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F} : c \rightarrow \text{prodX } I \text{ A} \rangle$
 unfolding tupleX-def
 using $\text{assms ide-prodX TupleX-in-Hom}$
 by (intro mkarr-in-hom) auto

lemma tupleX-simps [simp]:
assumes $\text{small } I$ **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \langle F i : c \rightarrow A \ i \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \Rightarrow F i = \text{null}$ **and** $\text{ide } c$
shows $\text{arr } (\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F})$
and $\text{dom } (\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F}) = c$
and $\text{cod } (\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F}) = \text{prodX } I \text{ A}$
 using $\text{assms in-homE tupleX-in-hom}$ **by** metis+

lemma comp-prX-tupleX :
assumes $\text{small } I$ **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \langle F i : c \rightarrow A \ i \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \Rightarrow F i = \text{null}$
shows $i \in I \Rightarrow C \text{ (prX } I \text{ A } i) (\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F}) = F i$
proof –
assume $i: i \in I$
have $I: I \neq \{\}$
 using i **by** blast
hence $c: \text{ide } c$
 using $\text{assms}(4) \text{ ide-dom}$ **by** blast
show $C \text{ (prX } I \text{ A } i) (\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F}) = F i$
proof –
have $C \text{ (prX } I \text{ A } i) (\text{tupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F}) =$
 $\text{mkarr } (\text{prodX } I \text{ A}) (A \ i) (\text{PrX } I \text{ A } i) \cdot \text{mkarr } c \text{ (prodX } I \text{ A) (TupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F)}$
 unfolding $\text{prX-def tupleX-def TupleX-def}$
 using $\text{assms } i \text{ I comp-mkarr}$ **by** simp
also have $\dots = \text{mkarr } c (A \ i) (\text{PrX } I \text{ A } i \circ \text{TupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F})$
proof –
have $\langle \text{mkarr } c \text{ (prodX } I \text{ A) (TupleX } I \text{ c } A \text{ F}) : c \rightarrow \text{prodX } I \text{ A} \rangle$
by ($\text{metis assms } c \text{ tupleX-def tupleX-in-hom}$)
moreover have $\langle \text{mkarr } (\text{prodX } I \text{ A}) (A \ i) (\text{PrX } I \text{ A } i) : \text{prodX } I \text{ A} \rightarrow A \ i \rangle$

```

proof –
  have «prX I A i : prodX I A → A i»
    using assms(1-3) i by blast
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: prX-def)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms i comp-mkarr [of c prodX I A TupleX I c A F A i PrX I A i]
  by auto
qed
also have ... = mkarr c (A i)
  (λx. if TupleX I c A F x ∈ Set (prodX I A)
    then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i
    else null)
  using I by (simp add: comp-def)
also have ... = mkarr c (A i)
  (λx. if x ∈ Set c then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i else null)
proof –
  have (λx. if TupleX I c A F x ∈ Set (prodX I A)
    then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i
    else null) =
    (λx. if x ∈ Set c then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i else null)
proof
  fix x
  show (if TupleX I c A F x ∈ Set (prodX I A)
    then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i
    else null) =
    (if x ∈ Set c then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i else null)
  using assms TupleX-in-Hom
  by auto blast
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = mkarr c (A i)
  (λx. if x ∈ Set c
    then OUT (ProdX I A) (IN (ProdX I A) (λi. Fun (F i) x)) i
    else null)
proof –
  have (λx. if x ∈ Set c then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i else null) =
    (λx. if x ∈ Set c
      then OUT (ProdX I A) (IN (ProdX I A) (λi. Fun (F i) x)) i
      else null)
proof
  fix x
  show (if x ∈ Set c then OUT (ProdX I A) (TupleX I c A F x) i else null) =
    (if x ∈ Set c
      then OUT (ProdX I A) (IN (ProdX I A) (λi. Fun (F i) x)) i
      else null)
  unfolding TupleX-def by argo

```

```

qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = mkarr c (A i) ( $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{Fun } (F i) x \text{ else null}$ )
proof -
  have ( $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } c$ 
    then  $\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\lambda i. \text{Fun } (F i) x)) \ i$ 
    else null) =
    ( $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{Fun } (F i) x \text{ else null}$ )
proof
  fix x
  show ( $\text{if } x \in \text{Set } c$ 
    then  $\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\lambda i. \text{Fun } (F i) x)) \ i$ 
    else null) =
    ( $\text{if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{Fun } (F i) x \text{ else null}$ )
proof (cases  $x \in \text{Set } c$ )
  case False
  show ?thesis
    using False by simp
  next
  case True
  show ?thesis
  proof -
    have ( $\lambda i. \text{Fun } (F i) x$ )  $\in \text{ProdX } I \ A$ 
    using assms(4-5) True Fun-def by auto
    hence  $\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\lambda i. \text{Fun } (F i) x)) \ i = \text{Fun } (F i) x$ 
    using assms OUT-IN [of  $\text{ProdX } I \ A \ \lambda i. \text{Fun } (F i) x$ ]
      small-ProdX embeds-ProdX
    by presburger
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = F i
proof -
  have  $\text{Fun } (F i) = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{Fun } (F i) x \text{ else null})$ 
  using assms(4) i Fun-def by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(4) i mkarr-Fun by force
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *Fun-tupleX*:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$

and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \langle F i : c \rightarrow A \ i \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies F i = \text{null}$ **and** *ide c*

```

shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{tupleX } I \ c \ A \ F) =$ 
   $(\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\lambda i. \text{ Fun } (F \ i) \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{Fun } (\text{tupleX } I \ c \ A \ F) =$ 
     $(\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{mkarr } c \ (\text{prodX } I \ A) \ (\text{TupleX } I \ c \ A \ F) \cdot x \text{ else null})$ 
    unfolding tupleX-def Fun-def
    apply simp
    by (metis ext mem-Collect-eq dom-mkarr seqE)
  also have  $\dots = (\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{TupleX } I \ c \ A \ F \ x \text{ else null})$ 
    using assms app-mkarr
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD tupleX-def tupleX-in-hom)
  also have  $\dots = (\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ (\lambda i. \text{ Fun } (F \ i) \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
    unfolding TupleX-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma product-cone-prodX:
assumes discrete-diagram J C D and  $\text{Collect } (\text{partial-composition.arr } J) = I$ 
and small I and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$ 
shows has-as-product J D (prodX I D)
and product-cone J C D (prodX I D) (prX I D)
proof –
  interpret J: category J
    using assms(1) discrete-diagram-def by blast
  interpret D: discrete-diagram J C D
    using assms(1) by blast
  let  $? \pi = \text{prX } I \ D$ 
  let  $? a = \text{prodX } I \ D$ 
  interpret A: constant-functor J C ?a
    using assms ide-prodX
    apply unfold-locales
    using D.is-discrete by auto
  interpret  $\pi$ : natural-transformation J C A.map D ?\pi
proof
  fix j
  show  $\neg J.\text{arr } j \implies \text{prX } I \ D \ j = \text{null}$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) D.as-nat-trans.extensionality ideD(1) mkarr-def not-arr-null prX-def)
  assume  $j: J.\text{arr } j$ 
  show  $1: \text{arr } (\text{prX } I \ D \ j)$ 
    using D.is-discrete assms j by force
  show  $D \ j \cdot \text{prX } I \ D \ (J.\text{dom } j) = \text{prX } I \ D \ j$ 
    by (metis (lifting) 1 D.is-discrete J.ideD(2) comp-cod-arr cod-mkarr j prX-def)
  show  $\text{prX } I \ D \ (J.\text{cod } j) \cdot A.\text{map } j = \text{prX } I \ D \ j$ 
    by (metis (lifting) 1 A.map-simp D.is-discrete J.ide-char comp-arr-dom j dom-mkarr prX-def)
qed
show product-cone J C D ?a ?\pi
proof

```

```

fix a'  $\chi'$ 
assume  $\chi'$ :  $D.\text{cone } a' \chi'$ 
interpret  $\chi'$ :  $\text{cone } J \ C \ D \ a' \ \chi'$ 
  using  $\chi'$  by blast
show  $\exists! f. \langle f : a' \rightarrow \text{prodX } I \ D \rangle \wedge D.\text{cones-map } f \ (\text{prX } I \ D) = \chi'$ 
proof –
  let  $?f = \text{tupleX } I \ a' \ D \ \chi'$ 
  have  $f: \langle ?f : a' \rightarrow \text{prodX } I \ D \rangle$ 
    using assms tupleX-in-hom
    by (metis D.is-discrete D.preserves-ide J.ide-char Pi-I'
       $\chi'.\text{component-in-hom } \chi'.\text{extensionality } \chi'.\text{ide-apex mem-Collect-eq}$ )
  moreover have  $D.\text{cones-map } ?f \ (\text{prX } I \ D) = \chi'$ 
proof
  fix  $i$ 
  show  $D.\text{cones-map } ?f \ (\text{prX } I \ D) \ i = \chi' \ i$ 
proof –
  have  $J.\text{arr } i \implies \text{prX } I \ D \ i \cdot ?f = \chi' \ i$ 
    using assms comp-prX-tupleX [of I D  $\chi'$  a' i]
    by (metis D.is-discrete D.preserves-ide J.ide-char Pi-I'
       $\chi'.\text{component-in-hom } \chi'.\text{extensionality mem-Collect-eq}$ )
  moreover have  $\neg J.\text{arr } i \implies \text{null} = \chi' \ i$ 
    using  $\chi'.\text{extensionality}$  by auto
  moreover have  $D.\text{cone } (\text{cod } ?f) \ (\text{prX } I \ D)$ 
proof –
  have  $D.\text{cone } (\text{prodX } I \ D) \ (\text{prX } I \ D) \ ..$ 
  moreover have  $\text{cod } ?f = \text{prodX } I \ D$ 
    using  $f$  by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms  $\chi'$ .cone-axioms by auto
qed
moreover have  $\bigwedge f'. \llbracket \langle f' : a' \rightarrow \text{prodX } I \ D \rangle; D.\text{cones-map } f' \ (\text{prX } I \ D) = \chi' \rrbracket$ 
   $\implies f' = ?f$ 
proof –
  fix  $f'$ 
  assume  $f': \langle f' : a' \rightarrow \text{prodX } I \ D \rangle$ 
  assume  $1: D.\text{cones-map } f' \ (\text{prX } I \ D) = \chi'$ 
  show  $f' = ?f$ 
proof (intro arr-eqI [of f'])
  show par: par  $f' \ ?f$ 
    using  $f \ f'$  by fastforce
  show  $\text{Fun } f' = \text{Fun } (\text{tupleX } I \ a' \ D \ \chi')$ 
proof
  fix  $x$ 
  show  $\text{Fun } f' \ x = \text{Fun } (\text{tupleX } I \ a' \ D \ \chi') \ x$ 
proof (cases  $x \in \text{Set } a'$ )
  case False

```



```

show ?thesis
  using False par f' Fun-def by auto
next
case True
have 2: D.cone (cod f') (prX I D)
by (metis A.constant-functor-axioms Limit.cone-def
  π.natural-transformation-axioms χ' f' in-homE)
have Fun (tupleX I a' D χ') x = IN (ProdX I D) (λi. Fun (χ' i) x)
proof -
  have dom (tupleX I a' D χ') = a'
  using f by auto
  have *: (λx. if «x : 1? → a'» then tupleX I a' D χ' · x else null) =
    (λx. if «x : 1? → a'» then IN (ProdX I D) (λi. Fun (χ' i) x) else null)
  proof -
    have D ∈ I → Collect ide
    using assms(2) D.is-discrete by force
    moreover have ∧i. i ∈ I ⇒ «χ' i : a' → D i»
    using assms(2) D.is-discrete χ'.component-in-hom by fastforce
    moreover have ∧i. i ∉ I ⇒ χ' i = null
    using assms(2) χ'.extensionality by blast
    moreover have ide a'
    using χ'.ide-apex by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms f Fun-tupleX [of I D χ' a'] Fun-arr by force
  qed
have Fun (tupleX I a' D χ') x = tupleX I a' D χ' · x
  using True ⟨dom (tupleX I a' D χ') = a'⟩ Fun-def by presburger
also have ... = (λx. if «x : 1? → a'» then tupleX I a' D χ' · x else null) x
  using True by simp
also have ... = (λx. if «x : 1? → a'»
  then IN (ProdX I D) (λi. Fun (χ' i) x)
  else null) x
  using * by meson
also have ... = IN (ProdX I D) (λi. Fun (χ' i) x)
  using True by simp
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
also have ... = IN (ProdX I D) (λi. χ' i · x)
  unfolding Fun-def
  by (metis J.dom-cod True χ'.A.map-simp χ'.cod-determines-component
    χ'.preserves-dom χ'.preserves-reflects-arr local.ext seqE)
also have ... = IN (ProdX I D) (λi. D.cones-map f' (prX I D) i · x)
  using 1 by simp
also have ... = IN (ProdX I D) (λi. (if J.arr i then prX I D i · f' else null) · x)
  using 2 by simp
also have ... = IN (ProdX I D) (λi. if J.arr i then prX I D i · (f' · x) else null)
proof -
  have (λi. (if J.arr i then prX I D i · f' else null) · x) =
    (λi. if J.arr i then prX I D i · (f' · x) else null)

```

```

proof
  fix  $i$ 
  show  $(\text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{prX } I \ D \ i \cdot f' \text{ else null}) \cdot x =$ 
     $(\text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{prX } I \ D \ i \cdot (f' \cdot x) \text{ else null})$ 
  using comp-assoc by auto
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = IN \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D)$ 
   $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{prX } I \ D \ i \cdot (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
  unfolding Fun-def
  using True f' by auto
also have  $\dots = IN \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D)$ 
   $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{Fun } (\text{prX } I \ D \ i) \ (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
proof –
  have  $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{prX } I \ D \ i \cdot (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null}) =$ 
     $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{Fun } (\text{prX } I \ D \ i) \ (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
proof
  fix  $i$ 
  show  $(\text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{prX } I \ D \ i \cdot (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null}) =$ 
     $(\text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{Fun } (\text{prX } I \ D \ i) \ (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
  using f' Fun-def by fastforce
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = IN \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D)$ 
   $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i$ 
     $\text{then } (\text{if } \text{Fun } f' \ x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ D)$ 
     $\text{then } OUT \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D) \ (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \ i \text{ else null})$ 
     $\text{else null})$ 
proof –
  have  $\bigwedge i. J.\text{arr } i \implies \text{Fun } (\text{prX } I \ D \ i) =$ 
     $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ D)$ 
     $\text{then } OUT \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D) \ x \ i \text{ else null})$ 
  using assms Fun-prX D.is-discrete by force
hence  $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } \text{Fun } (\text{prX } I \ D \ i) \ (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \text{ else null}) =$ 
   $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i$ 
     $\text{then } (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ D)$ 
     $\text{then } OUT \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D) \ x \ i \text{ else null})$ 
     $(\text{Fun } f' \ x)$ 
     $\text{else null})$ 
  by auto
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have  $\dots = IN \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D)$ 
   $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i \text{ then } OUT \ (\text{ProdX } I \ D) \ (\text{Fun } f' \ x) \ i \text{ else null})$ 
proof –
  have  $(\lambda i. \text{if } J.\text{arr } i$ 
     $\text{then } (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ D)$ 

```

```

      then OUT (ProdX I D) x i else null)
    (Fun f' x)
  else null) =
  (λi. if J.arr i then OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x) i else null)
  using True f' Fun-def Fun-arr comp-in-homI by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = IN (ProdX I D) (OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x))
proof -
  have (λi. if J.arr i then OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x) i else null) =
    OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x)
  proof
    fix i
    show (if J.arr i then OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x) i else null) =
      OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x) i
    proof (cases J.arr i)
      case True
      show ?thesis
        using True by simp
      next
      case False
      have 1: Fun f' x ∈ Set (prodX I D)
        using True f' Fun-def by auto
      moreover have small (ProdX I D) and embeds (ProdX I D)
        using assms small-ProdX [of I D] embeds-ProdX [of I D]
          D.is-discrete D.preserves-ide
      by auto
      moreover have «Fun f' x : 1? → mkide (ProdX I D)»
        using True f'
        by (metis 1 prodX-def mem-Collect-eq)
      ultimately have OUT (ProdX I D) (Fun f' x) ∈ ProdX I D
        using OUT-elem-of [of ProdX I D Fun f' x] Fun-in-Hom
        by fastforce
      thus ?thesis
        using False assms(2) by fastforce
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = Fun f' x
proof -
  have small (ProdX I D)
    using assms small-ProdX D.is-discrete by fastforce
  moreover have ∃ι. is-embedding-of ι (ProdX I D)
    using assms embeds-ProdX [of I D] D.is-discrete by auto
  moreover have Fun f' x ∈ Set (mkide (ProdX I D))
  proof -
    have Fun f' x ∈ Set (prodX I D)
      using Fun-in-Hom True f' by blast

```

```

      thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: prodX-def)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using assms IN-OUT [of ProdX I D Fun f' x] by blast
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
thus has-as-product J D (prodX I D)
  using has-as-product-def by blast
qed

lemma has-small-products:
  assumes small I and I  $\subseteq$  Collect arr
  shows has-products I
  proof (unfold has-products-def, intro conjI)
    show I  $\neq$  UNIV
      using assms not-arr-null by blast
    show  $\forall J D. \text{discrete-diagram } J (\cdot) D \wedge \text{Collect } (\text{partial-composition.arr } J) = I$ 
       $\longrightarrow (\exists a. \text{has-as-product } J D a)$ 
      using assms product-cone-prodX by blast
  qed

end

```

4.8.1 Exported Notions

```

context sets-cat-with-tupling
begin

```

interpretation *Products: small-products-in-sets-cat ..*

abbreviation $\text{ProdX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \text{ set}$
where $\text{ProdX} \equiv \text{Products.ProdX}$

abbreviation $\text{prodX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{prodX} \equiv \text{Products.prodX}$

abbreviation $\text{prX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{prX} \equiv \text{Products.prX}$

abbreviation $\text{tupleX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{tupleX} \equiv \text{Products.tupleX}$

lemma *small-prod-comparison-map-props*:
assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$
shows $\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \rightarrow \text{ProdX } I \ A$
and $\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \implies \text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x) = x$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \implies \text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ y) = y$
and *bij-betw* $(\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A)) (\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)) (\text{ProdX } I \ A)$
and *bij-betw* $(\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A)) (\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A))$
proof –
 show $\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \rightarrow \text{ProdX } I \ A$
 proof –
 have *bij-betw*
 $(\text{OUT } (\{f. \forall a. a \in I \longrightarrow f \ a \in \text{Set } (A \ a)\} \cap \{f. \forall a. a \notin I \longrightarrow f \ a = \text{null}\}))$
 $(\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A))$
 $(\{f. \forall a. a \in I \longrightarrow f \ a \in \text{Set } (A \ a)\} \cap \{f. \forall a. a \notin I \longrightarrow f \ a = \text{null}\})$
 using *Products.ide-prodX(2) assms(1-3)* **by** *blast*
 then show *?thesis*
 by *(simp add: bij-betw-imp-funcset)*
 qed
 show $\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)$
 proof –
 have *bij-betw*
 $(\text{OUT } (\{f. \forall a. a \in I \longrightarrow f \ a \in \text{Set } (A \ a)\} \cap \{f. \forall a. a \notin I \longrightarrow f \ a = \text{null}\}))$
 $(\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A))$
 $(\{f. \forall a. a \in I \longrightarrow f \ a \in \text{Set } (A \ a)\} \cap \{f. \forall a. a \notin I \longrightarrow f \ a = \text{null}\})$
 using *Products.ide-prodX(2) assms(1-3)* **by** *blast*
 then show *?thesis*
 by *(simp add: Products.prodX-def bij-betw-imp-funcset bij-betw-inv-into)*
 qed
 show $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A) \implies \text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ x) = x$
 using *assms IN-OUT [of ProdX I A] Products.small-ProdX Products.embeds-ProdX*
 by *(simp add: Products.prodX-def)*
 show $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{ProdX } I \ A \implies \text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A) \ y) = y$
 using *assms OUT-IN [of ProdX I A] Products.small-ProdX Products.embeds-ProdX*
 by *(simp add: Products.prodX-def)*
 show *bij-betw* $(\text{OUT } (\text{ProdX } I \ A)) (\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A)) (\text{ProdX } I \ A)$
 using *assms Products.ide-prodX* **by** *fastforce*
 show *bij-betw* $(\text{IN } (\text{ProdX } I \ A)) (\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\text{Set } (\text{prodX } I \ A))$
 using *assms Products.ide-prodX* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *Fun-prX*:
assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$
and $i \in I$
shows $\text{Fun } (\text{prX } I \ A \ i) = \text{Products.PrX } I \ A \ i$
 using *assms Products.Fun-prX* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fun-tupleX*:

```

assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \text{ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \langle F i : c \rightarrow A i \rangle$  and  $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies F i = \text{null}$  and  $\text{ide } c$ 
shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{tupleX } I \ c \ A \ F) =$ 
   $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } c \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{Products}.\text{ProdX } I \ A) (\lambda i. \text{Fun } (F \ i) \ x) \text{ else null})$ 
using assms Products.Fun-tupleX by auto

```

```

lemma product-cone:
assumes discrete-diagram J C D and  $\text{Collect } (\text{partial-composition}.\text{arr } J) = I$ 
and small I and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
shows has-as-product J D (prodX I D)
and product-cone J C D (prodX I D) (prX I D)
using assms Products.product-cone-prodX by auto

```

```

lemma has-small-products:
assumes small I and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
shows has-products I
using assms Products.has-small-products by blast

```

Clearly it is not required that the index set I be actually a subset of $\text{Collect } \text{arr}$ but rather only that it be embedded in it. So we are free to form products indexed by small sets at arbitrary types, as long as $\text{Collect } \text{arr}$ is large enough to embed them. We do have to satisfy the technical requirement that the index set I not exhaust the elements at its type, which we introduced in the definition of *has-products* as a convenience to avoid the use of coercion maps.

```

lemma has-small-products':
assumes small I and embeds I and  $I \neq \text{UNIV}$ 
shows has-products I
proof –
  obtain  $I'$  where  $I': I' \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr} \wedge I \approx I'$ 
  using assms inj-on-image-epoll-1 by auto
  have has-products I'
  using assms I'
  by (meson eqpoll-sym eqpoll-trans has-small-products small-def)
  thus ?thesis
  using assms(3) I' has-products-preserved-by-bijection
  by (metis eqpoll-def eqpoll-sym)
qed

```

end

4.9 Small Coproducts

In this section we show that the category of small sets and functions has small coproducts. For this we need to assume the existence of a pairing function and also that the notion of smallness is respected by small sums.

```

locale small-coproducts-in-sets-cat =
  sets-cat-with-cotupling sml C

```

```

for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr <> 55)
begin

```

The global elements of a coproduct $\text{Coproduct } I \ A$ are in bijection with $\bigcup_{i \in I}. \{i\} \times \text{Set } (A \ i)$.

```

abbreviation Coproduct :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\times$  'U) set
where Coproduct I A  $\equiv \bigcup_{i \in I}. \{i\} \times \text{Set } (A \ i)$ 

```

```

definition coprodX :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'U)  $\Rightarrow$  'U
where coprodX I A  $\equiv \text{mkide } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A)$ 

```

```

lemma small-Coproduct:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \textit{ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \textit{arr}$ 
shows small (Coproduct I A)
using assms small-Set small-Union
by (simp add: Pi-iff smaller-than-small)

```

```

lemma embeds-Coproduct:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \textit{ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \textit{arr}$ 
shows embeds (Coproduct I A)
proof
  let  $?i = (\lambda x. \text{pair } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x))$ 
  show is-embedding-of  $?i$  (Coproduct I A)
  proof
    show  $?i \text{ ` } \text{Coproduct } I \ A \subseteq \text{Collect } \textit{arr}$ 
    using arrI assms(3) some-pairing-in-univ by auto
    show inj-on  $?i$  (Coproduct I A)
    proof –
      have inj-on  $?i$  ( $\text{Collect } \textit{arr} \times \text{Collect } \textit{arr}$ )
      using some-pairing-is-embedding by auto
      moreover have  $\text{Coproduct } I \ A \subseteq \text{Collect } \textit{arr} \times \text{Collect } \textit{arr}$ 
      using arrI assms(3) by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis
      by (meson inj-on-subset)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma ide-coproduct:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \textit{ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \textit{arr}$ 
shows ide (coprodX I A)
and bij-betw (OUT (Coproduct I A)) (Set (coprodX I A)) (Coproduct I A)
and bij-betw (IN (Coproduct I A)) (Coproduct I A) (Set (coprodX I A))
and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I \ A) \Rightarrow \text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A) \ x \in \text{Coproduct } I \ A$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Coproduct } I \ A \Rightarrow \text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A) \ y \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I \ A)$ 
and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I \ A) \Rightarrow \text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A) (\text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A) \ x) = x$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Coproduct } I \ A \Rightarrow \text{OUT } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A) (\text{IN } (\text{Coproduct } I \ A) \ y) = y$ 
proof –

```

```

show ide (coprodX I A)
  unfolding coprodX-def
  by (simp add: assms(1,2,3) small-CoprodX embeds-CoprodX ide-mkide(1))
show 1: bij-betw (OUT (CoprodX I A)) (Set (coprodX I A)) (CoprodX I A)
  unfolding coprodX-def
  using assms small-CoprodX embeds-CoprodX bij-OUT [of CoprodX I A] by fastforce
show 2: bij-betw (IN (CoprodX I A)) (CoprodX I A) (Set (coprodX I A))
  unfolding coprodX-def
  using assms small-CoprodX embeds-CoprodX bij-IN [of CoprodX I A] by fastforce
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I \ A) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ x \in \text{CoprodX } I \ A$ 
  using 1 bij-betwE by blast
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{CoprodX } I \ A \implies \text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ y \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I \ A)$ 
  using 2 bij-betwE by blast
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I \ A) \implies \text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ x) = x$ 
  using 1 bij-betw-inv-into-left
  [of OUT (CoprodX I A) Set (coprodX I A) CoprodX I A]
  by (auto simp add: coprodX-def)
show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{CoprodX } I \ A \implies \text{OUT } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ (\text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ y) = y$ 
  by (simp add: OUT-IN assms(1,2,3) small-CoprodX embeds-CoprodX)
qed

```

abbreviation *InX* :: '*a set* \Rightarrow ('*a* \Rightarrow '*U*) \Rightarrow '*a* \Rightarrow '*U* \Rightarrow '*U*

where *InX I A i* $\equiv \lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (A \ i) \text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I \ A) \ (i, x) \text{ else null}$

definition *inX*

where *inX I A i* $\equiv \text{mkarr } (A \ i) \ (\text{coprodX } I \ A) \ (\text{InX } I \ A \ i)$

lemma *InX-in-Hom*:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$

and $i \in I$

shows *InX I A i* $\in \text{Hom } (A \ i) \ (\text{coprodX } I \ A)$

using *assms ide-coprodX(2-3,5)* **by** *auto*

lemma *inX-in-hom* [*intro, simp*]:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$

and $i \in I$

shows *in-hom (inX I A i) (A i) (coprodX I A)*

using *assms ide-coprodX InX-in-Hom*

by (*unfold inX-def, intro mkarr-in-hom*) *auto*

lemma *inX-simps* [*simp*]:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$

and $i \in I$

shows *arr (inX I A i)* **and** *dom (inX I A i)* $= A \ i$ **and** *cod (inX I A i)* $= \text{coprodX } I \ A$

using *assms inX-in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Fun-inX*:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$

and $i \in I$

shows $Fun\ (inX\ I\ A\ i) = InX\ I\ A\ i$

proof –

have $arr\ (inX\ I\ A\ i)$
 by $(simp\ add: assms)$
 thus $?thesis$
 by $(simp\ add: inX-def)$

qed

definition $CotupleX :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$

where $CotupleX\ I\ A\ F \equiv$

$(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in Set\ (coprodX\ I\ A)$
 $\text{then } Fun\ (F\ (fst\ (OUT\ (CoproductX\ I\ A)\ x)))\ (snd\ (OUT\ (CoproductX\ I\ A)\ x))$
 $\text{else } null)$

lemma $CotupleX-in-Hom$:

assumes $small\ I$ **and** $A \in I \rightarrow Collect\ ide$ **and** $I \subseteq Collect\ arr$

and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \langle F\ i : A\ i \rightarrow c \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \Rightarrow F\ i = null$

shows $CotupleX\ I\ A\ F \in Hom\ (coprodX\ I\ A)\ c$

proof

show $CotupleX\ I\ A\ F \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin Set\ (coprodX\ I\ A) \longrightarrow F\ x = null\}$

by $(cases\ I = \{\})\ (auto\ simp\ add: CotupleX-def)$

show $CotupleX\ I\ A\ F \in Set\ (coprodX\ I\ A) \rightarrow Set\ c$

proof $(cases\ I = \{\})$

case $False$

show $?thesis$

proof

fix x

assume $x: x \in Set\ (coprodX\ I\ A)$

have $OUT\ (CoproductX\ I\ A)\ x \in CoprodX\ I\ A$

using $assms\ x\ ide-coprodX$

by $(meson\ bij-betwE)$

hence $\bigwedge i. i = fst\ (OUT\ (CoproductX\ I\ A)\ x) \Rightarrow$

$\langle F\ i : A\ i \rightarrow c \rangle \wedge snd\ (OUT\ (CoproductX\ I\ A)\ x) \in Set\ (A\ i)$

using $assms(4)$ **by** $force$

thus $CotupleX\ I\ A\ F\ x \in Set\ c$

using $x\ CotupleX-def\ [of\ I\ A\ F]\ Fun-def$ **by** $auto$

qed

next

case $True$

show $?thesis$

by $(metis\ (no-types,\ lifting)\ Pi-I'\ True\ True\ True\ True\ UN-E\ all-not-in-conv$

$assms(1,3)\ bij-betwE\ ide-coprodX(2))$

qed

qed

definition $cotupleX$

where $cotupleX\ I\ c\ A\ F \equiv mkarr\ (coprodX\ I\ A)\ c\ (CotupleX\ I\ A\ F)$

lemma $cotupleX-in-hom$ $[intro, simp]$:

assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \text{ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \langle F i : A i \rightarrow c \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies F i = \text{null}$ **and** *ide c*
shows $\langle \text{cotupleX } I c A F : \text{coprodX } I A \rightarrow c \rangle$
using *assms ide-coprodX CotupleX-in-Hom*
unfolding *cotupleX-def CotupleX-def*
by (*intro mkarr-in-hom*) *auto*

lemma *cotupleX-simps [simp]*:
assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \text{ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \langle F i : A i \rightarrow c \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies F i = \text{null}$ **and** *ide c*
shows *arr (cotupleX I c A F)*
and *dom (cotupleX I c A F) = coprodX I A*
and *cod (cotupleX I c A F) = c*
using *assms cotupleX-in-hom in-homE* **by** *blast+*

lemma *comp-cotupleX-inX*:
assumes *small I* **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \text{ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \langle F i : A i \rightarrow c \rangle$ **and** $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies F i = \text{null}$ **and** *ide c*
shows $i \in I \implies \text{cotupleX } I c A F \cdot \text{inX } I A i = F i$
proof –
assume *i: i ∈ I*
have *I: I ≠ {}*
using *i* **by** *blast*
show *cotupleX I c A F · inX I A i = F i*
proof –
have *1: cotupleX I c A F · inX I A i =*
 $\text{mkarr } (\text{coprodX } I A) c (\text{CotupleX } I A F) \cdot \text{mkarr } (A i) (\text{coprodX } I A) (\text{inX } I A i)$
unfolding *inX-def cotupleX-def CotupleX-def*
using *assms i I comp-mkarr* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = \text{mkarr } (A i) c (\text{CotupleX } I A F \circ \text{inX } I A i)$
using *assms i comp-mkarr*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) 1 seqI cotupleX-def cotupleX-simps(1)*)
 $\text{dom-mkarr inX-simps}(1, \mathcal{B}) \text{ seqE}$
also have $\dots = \text{mkarr } (A i) c$
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (A i) \text{ then } \text{CotupleX } I A F (\text{IN } (\text{CoproductX } I A) (i, x)) \text{ else null})$
proof –
have *CotupleX I A F · inX I A i =*
 $(\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (A i) \text{ then } \text{CotupleX } I A F (\text{IN } (\text{CoproductX } I A) (i, x)) \text{ else null})$
proof
fix *x*
show $(\text{CotupleX } I A F \circ \text{inX } I A i) x =$
 $(\text{if } x \in \text{Set } (A i) \text{ then } \text{CotupleX } I A F (\text{IN } (\text{CoproductX } I A) (i, x)) \text{ else null})$
unfolding *CotupleX-def* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{mkarr } (A i) c$

```

      (λx. if x ∈ Set (A i)
        then Fun (F (fst (OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))))
                  (snd (OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))))
        else null)
    proof -
      have ∧x. x ∈ Set (A i) ⇒ IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x) ∈ Set (coproductX I A)
        using assms(1,2,3) i bij-betwE ide-coproductX(3) by blast
      hence (λx. if x ∈ Set (A i)
        then CotupleX I A F (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))
        else null) =
        (λx. if x ∈ Set (A i)
          then Fun (F (fst (OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))))
                    (snd (OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))))
          else null)
        unfolding CotupleX-def by force
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
  also have ... = mkarr (A i) c (λx. if x ∈ Set (A i) then Fun (F i) x else null)
  proof -
    have ∧x. x ∈ Set (A i) ⇒ OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x)) = (i, x)
      using assms i ide-coproductX by auto
    hence (λx. if «x : 1? → A i»
      then Fun (F (fst (OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))))
                (snd (OUT (CoproductX I A) (IN (CoproductX I A) (i, x))))
      else null) =
      (λx. if «x : 1? → A i» then Fun (F i) x else null)
    by force
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = mkarr (A i) c (Fun (F i))
    by (metis (lifting) Fun-def assms(4) category.in-homE category-axioms
        i mem-Collect-eq)
  also have ... = F i
    using assms(4) i mkarr-Fun by blast
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed

lemma Fun-cotupleX:
  assumes small I and A ∈ I → Collect ide and I ⊆ Collect arr
  and ∧i. i ∈ I ⇒ «F i : A i → c» and ∧i. i ∉ I ⇒ F i = null and ide c
  shows Fun (cotupleX I c A F) =
    (λx. if x ∈ Set (coproductX I A)
      then Fun (F (fst (OUT (CoproductX I A) x))) (snd (OUT (CoproductX I A) x))
      else null)
    using assms Fun-mkarr CotupleX-in-Hom CotupleX-def [of I A F] cotupleX-def cotu-
    pleX-simps(1)
    by (metis (lifting))

```

```

lemma coproduct-cocone-coprodX:
assumes discrete-diagram  $J\ C\ D$  and Collect (partial-composition.arr  $J$ ) =  $I$ 
and small  $I$  and  $I \subseteq$  Collect arr
shows has-as-coproduct  $J\ D$  (coprodX  $I\ D$ )
and coproduct-cocone  $J\ C\ D$  (coprodX  $I\ D$ ) (inX  $I\ D$ )
proof –
  interpret  $J$ : category  $J$ 
    using assms(1) discrete-diagram-def by blast
  interpret  $D$ : discrete-diagram  $J\ C\ D$ 
    using assms(1) by blast
  let  $? \pi =$  inX  $I\ D$ 
  let  $? a =$  coprodX  $I\ D$ 
  interpret  $A$ : constant-functor  $J\ C\ D\ ? a$ 
    using assms ide-coprodX
    using  $D.is-discrete$  by unfold-locales auto
  interpret  $\pi$ : natural-transformation  $J\ C\ D\ A.map\ ? \pi$ 
proof
  fix  $j$ 
  show  $\neg J.arr\ j \implies inX\ I\ D\ j = null$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $D.as-nat-trans.extensionality\ ideD(1)$ 
      mkarr-def not-arr-null inX-def)
  assume  $j: J.arr\ j$ 
  show  $1: arr\ (inX\ I\ D\ j)$ 
    using  $D.is-discrete$  assms  $j$  by force
  show  $inX\ I\ D\ (J.cod\ j) \cdot D\ j = inX\ I\ D\ j$ 
    by (metis (lifting) 1  $D.is-discrete\ D.preserves-ide\ D.preserves-reflects-arr$ 
       $J.ideD(3)\ comp-arr-ide\ dom-mkarr\ ideD(3)\ j\ inX-def\ seqI$ )
  show  $A.map\ j \cdot inX\ I\ D\ (J.dom\ j) = inX\ I\ D\ j$ 
    by (metis (lifting) 1  $A.map-simp\ D.is-discrete\ J.ide-char\ comp-cod-arr\ j$ 
      cod-mkarr inX-def)
qed
show coproduct-cocone  $J\ C\ D\ ? a\ ? \pi$ 
proof
  fix  $a'\ \chi'$ 
  assume  $\chi': D.cocone\ a'\ \chi'$ 
  interpret  $\chi'$ : cocone  $J\ C\ D\ a'\ \chi'$ 
    using  $\chi'$  by blast
  show  $\exists! f. \langle f : coprodX\ I\ D \rightarrow a' \rangle \wedge D.cocones-map\ f\ (inX\ I\ D) = \chi'$ 
proof –
    let  $? f =$  cotupleX  $I\ a'\ D\ \chi'$ 
    have  $f: \langle ? f : coprodX\ I\ D \rightarrow a' \rangle$ 
      using assms cotupleX-in-hom
      by (metis  $D.is-discrete\ D.preserves-ide\ J.ide-char\ Pi-I'$ 
         $\chi'.component-in-hom\ \chi'.extensionality\ \chi'.ide-apex\ mem-Collect-eq$ )
    moreover have  $D.cocones-map\ ? f\ (inX\ I\ D) = \chi'$ 
proof
  fix  $i$ 
  show  $D.cocones-map\ ? f\ (inX\ I\ D)\ i = \chi'\ i$ 
proof –

```

```

have J.arr i  $\implies$  ?f · inX I D i =  $\chi'$  i
  using assms comp-cotupleX-inX
  by (metis D.is-discrete D.preserves-ide J.ide-char Pi-I'
     $\chi'$ .component-in-hom  $\chi'$ .extensionality  $\chi'$ .ide-apex mem-Collect-eq)
moreover have  $\neg$  J.arr i  $\implies$  null =  $\chi'$  i
  using  $\chi'$ .extensionality by auto
moreover have D.cocone (dom ?f) (inX I D)
  by (metis A.constant-functor-axioms D.diagram-axioms
     $\pi$ .natural-transformation-axioms cocone-def diagram-def f in-homE)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using assms  $\chi'$ .cocone-axioms by auto
qed
qed
moreover have  $\bigwedge f'. \llbracket \langle f' : \text{coprodX } I \ D \rightarrow a' \rangle; D.\text{cocones-map } f' (inX \ I \ D) = \chi' \rrbracket$ 
 $\implies f' = ?f$ 
proof -
  fix f'
  assume f':  $\langle f' : \text{coprodX } I \ D \rightarrow a' \rangle$ 
  assume 1: D.cocones-map f' (inX I D) =  $\chi'$ 
  show f' = ?f
  proof (intro arr-eqI [of f'])
    show par: par f' ?f
      using f f' by fastforce
    show Fun f' = Fun (cotupleX I a' D  $\chi'$ )
  proof
    fix x
    show Fun f' x = Fun (cotupleX I a' D  $\chi'$ ) x
    proof (cases x  $\in$  Set (coprodX I D))
      case False
      show ?thesis
        using False par f' Fun-def by auto
      next
      case True
      have 2: D.cocone (dom f') (inX I D)
        by (metis A.constant-functor-axioms cocone-def
           $\pi$ .natural-transformation-axioms  $\chi'$  f' in-homE)
      have Fun (cotupleX I a' D  $\chi'$ ) x =
        Fun ( $\chi'$  (fst (OUT (CoprodX I D) x))) (snd (OUT (CoprodX I D) x))
      proof -
        have Fun (cotupleX I a' D  $\chi'$ ) x = cotupleX I a' D  $\chi' \cdot x$ 
          using True f Fun-def by auto
        also have ... = ( $\lambda x$ . if  $\langle x : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \text{coprodX } I \ D \rangle$ 
          then cotupleX I a' D  $\chi' \cdot x$  else null) x
          using True by simp
        also have ... =
          Fun ( $\chi'$  (fst (OUT (CoprodX I D) x))) (snd (OUT (CoprodX I D) x))
          using assms f True cotupleX-def [of I a' D  $\chi'$ ] CotupleX-def [of I D  $\chi'$ ]
            app-mkarr cotupleX-in-hom
          by auto
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

    finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
also have ... = Fun f' x
proof (cases OUT (CoproductX I D) x)
  case (Pair i x')
  have ix': (i, x') ∈ CoproductX I D
    using assms True Pair ide-coproductX(2) [of I D]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) D.is-discrete D.preserves-ide Pi-I'
        bij-betwE mem-Collect-eq)
  have Fun (χ' (fst (OUT (CoproductX I D) x))) (snd (OUT (CoproductX I D) x)) =
    Fun (χ' i) x'
    by (simp add: Pair)
  also have ... = Fun (D.cocones-map f' (inX I D) i) x'
    using 1 by simp
  also have ... = (f' · inX I D i) · x'
    using assms 2 f' ix' inX-in-hom Fun-def D.extensionality D.is-discrete
        π.extensionality
    by auto
  also have ... = f' · (inX I D i · x')
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = f' · IN (CoproductX I D) (i, x')
proof -
  have «inX I D i : D i → coproductX I D»
    using assms inX-in-hom D.is-discrete ix' by fastforce
  hence «mkarr (D i) (coproductX I D) (inX I D i) : D i → coproductX I D»
    unfolding inX-def by simp
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding inX-def
    using assms ix' app-mkarr by auto
qed
also have ... = f' · x
proof -
  have IN (CoproductX I D) (i, x') = IN (CoproductX I D) (OUT (CoproductX I D) x)
    using Pair by simp
  also have ... = x
proof -
  have small (CoproductX I D)
    using assms small-CoproductX D.is-discrete by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using assms True ide-coproductX(6) D.is-discrete D.preserves-ide
        Pi-I' coproductX-def
    by force
qed
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis
  using True f' Fun-def by force
qed
finally show ?thesis by simp

```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  thus has-as-coproduct J D (coprodX I D)
    using has-as-coproduct-def by blast
  qed

lemma has-small-coproducts:
  assumes small I and I  $\subseteq$  Collect arr
  shows has-coproducts I
  proof (unfold has-coproducts-def, intro conjI)
    show I  $\neq$  UNIV
      using assms not-arr-null by blast
    show  $\forall J D. \text{discrete-diagram } J (\cdot) D \wedge \text{Collect } (\text{partial-composition.arr } J) = I$ 
       $\longrightarrow (\exists a. \text{has-as-coproduct } J D a)$ 
      using assms coproduct-cocone-coprodX by blast
  qed

end

```

4.9.1 Exported Notions

```

context sets-cat-with-cotupling
begin

```

interpretation *Coproducts: small-coproducts-in-sets-cat ..*

abbreviation $\text{CoprodX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'U) \text{ set}$
where $\text{CoprodX} \equiv \text{Coproducts.CoprodX}$

abbreviation $\text{coprodX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{coprodX} \equiv \text{Coproducts.coprodX}$

abbreviation $\text{inX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{inX} \equiv \text{Coproducts.inX}$

abbreviation $\text{cotupleX} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\text{cotupleX} \equiv \text{Coproducts.cotupleX}$

lemma *coprod-comparison-map-props:*
assumes small I **and** $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect ide}$ **and** $I \subseteq \text{Collect arr}$
shows $\text{OUT } (\text{CoprodX } I A) \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I A) \rightarrow \text{CoprodX } I A$
and $\text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I A) \in \text{CoprodX } I A \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I A)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I A) \Longrightarrow \text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I A) (\text{OUT } (\text{CoprodX } I A) x) = x$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{CoprodX } I A \Longrightarrow \text{OUT } (\text{CoprodX } I A) (\text{IN } (\text{CoprodX } I A) y) = y$

```

and bij-betw (OUT (CoprodX I A)) (Set (coprodX I A)) (CoprodX I A)
and bij-betw (IN (CoprodX I A)) (CoprodX I A) (Set (coprodX I A))
using assms Coproducts.ide-coprodX by auto

```

```

lemma Fun-inX:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \text{ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
and  $i \in I$ 
shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{inX } I A i) = \text{Coproducts.InX } I A i$ 
using assms Coproducts.Fun-inX by auto

```

```

lemma Fun-cotupleX:
assumes small I and  $A \in I \rightarrow \text{Collect } \text{ide}$  and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
and  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \Rightarrow \langle F i : A i \rightarrow c \rangle$  and  $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \Rightarrow F i = \text{null}$  and  $\text{ide } c$ 
shows  $\text{Fun } (\text{cotupleX } I c A F) =$ 
  ( $\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{coprodX } I A)$ 
    then  $\text{Fun } (F (\text{fst } (\text{OUT } (\bigcup_{i \in I}. \{i\} \times \text{Set } (A i)) x)))$ 
      ( $\text{snd } (\text{OUT } (\bigcup_{i \in I}. \{i\} \times \text{Set } (A i)) x)$ )
    else  $\text{null}$ )
using assms Coproducts.Fun-cotupleX app-mkarr Coproducts.cotupleX-def by auto

```

```

lemma coproduct-cocone-coprodX:
assumes discrete-diagram J C D and  $\text{Collect } (\text{partial-composition.arr } J) = I$ 
and small I and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
shows has-as-coproduct J D (coprodX I D)
and coproduct-cocone J C D (coprodX I D) (inX I D)
using assms Coproducts.coproduct-cocone-coprodX by auto

```

```

lemma has-small-coproducts:
assumes small I and  $I \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}$ 
shows has-coproducts I
using assms Coproducts.has-small-coproducts by blast

```

end

4.10 Coequalizers

In this section we show that a sets category has coequalizers of parallel pairs of arrows. For this, we need to assume that the set of arrows of the category embeds the set of all its small subsets. The reason we need this assumption is to make it possible to obtain an object corresponding to the set of equivalence classes that results from the quotient construction.

```

locale sets-cat-with-powering =
  sets-cat sml C +
  powering sml  $\langle \text{Collect } \text{arr} \rangle$ 
for sml ::  $'V \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
and C ::  $'U \text{ comp}$  (infixr  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  55)

```

```

sublocale sets-cat-with-tupling  $\subseteq$  sets-cat-with-powering ..

```



```

locale coequalizers-in-sets-cat =
  sets-cat-with-powering sml C
for sml :: 'V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr <> 55)
begin

```

The following defines the “equivalence closure” of a binary relation r on a set A , and proves the characterization of it as the least equivalence relation on A that contains r . For some reason I could not find such a thing in the Isabelle distribution, though I did find a predicate version *equivclp*.

```

definition equivcl
where equivcl A r  $\equiv$  SOME r'. r  $\subseteq$  r'  $\wedge$  equiv A r'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\longrightarrow$  r'  $\subseteq$  s')

```

```

lemma equivcl-props:

```

```

assumes r  $\subseteq$  A  $\times$  A

```

```

shows  $\exists r'. r \subseteq r' \wedge$  equiv A r'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\longrightarrow$  r'  $\subseteq$  s')

```

```

and r  $\subseteq$  equivcl A r and equiv A (equivcl A r)

```

```

and  $\bigwedge s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\Longrightarrow$  equivcl A r  $\subseteq$  s'

```

```

proof -

```

```

  have 1: equiv A (A  $\times$  A)

```

```

    using refl-on-def trans-on-def

```

```

    by (intro equivI symI) auto

```

```

show 2:  $\exists r'. r \subseteq r' \wedge$  equiv A r'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\longrightarrow$  r'  $\subseteq$  s')

```

```

proof -

```

```

  let ?r' =  $\bigcap \{s. \text{equiv A } s \wedge r \subseteq s\}$ 

```

```

  have r  $\subseteq$  ?r'

```

```

    by blast

```

```

  moreover have  $\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\longrightarrow$  ?r'  $\subseteq$  s'

```

```

    by blast

```

```

  moreover have equiv A ?r'

```

```

    using assms 1

```

```

    apply (intro equivI symI transI refl-onI)

```

```

      apply auto[4]

```

```

      apply (simp add: equiv-def refl-on-def)

```

```

      apply (meson equiv-def symD)

```

```

      by (meson equivE transE)

```

```

  ultimately show ?thesis by blast

```

```

qed

```

```

have r  $\subseteq$  equivcl A r  $\wedge$  equiv A (equivcl A r)  $\wedge$ 

```

```

  ( $\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\longrightarrow$  equivcl A r  $\subseteq$  s')

```

```

  unfolding equivcl-def

```

```

  using 2 someI-ex [of  $\lambda r'. r \subseteq r' \wedge$  equiv A r'  $\wedge$  ( $\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\longrightarrow$  r'  $\subseteq$  s')]

```

```

  by fastforce

```

```

thus r  $\subseteq$  equivcl A r and equiv A (equivcl A r)

```

```

and  $\bigwedge s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge$  equiv A s'  $\Longrightarrow$  equivcl A r  $\subseteq$  s'

```

```

  by auto

```

```

qed

```

The elements of the codomain of the coequalizer of f and g are the equivalence classes

of the least equivalence relation on $\text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$ that relates $f \cdot x$ and $g \cdot x$ whenever $x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f)$.

abbreviation $\text{Cod-coeq} :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set set}$
where $\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g \equiv (\lambda y. (\text{equivcl } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f)))$
 $((\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ 'Set } (\text{dom } f)) \text{ ``\{y\}})) \text{ 'Set } (\text{cod } f)$

lemma *small-Cod-coeq*:

assumes $\text{par } f \ g$

shows $\text{small } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g)$

using *assms ide-cod small-Set* **by** *blast*

lemma *embeds-Cod-coeq*:

assumes $\text{par } f \ g$

shows $\text{embeds } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g)$

and $\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g \subseteq \text{Pow } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f))$

proof –

show $1: \text{Cod-coeq } f \ g \subseteq \text{Pow } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f))$

proof –

let $?r = (\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ 'Set } (\text{dom } f)$

have $?r \subseteq \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \times \text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{equivcl } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f)) \ ?r \subseteq \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \times \text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$

using *equivcl-props(3)*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Sigma-cong equiv-type*)

thus $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed

show $\text{embeds } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g)$

proof –

have $\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g \subseteq \{X. X \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr} \wedge \text{small } X\}$

proof –

have $\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g \subseteq \{X. X \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{arr}\}$

using 1 **by** *blast*

moreover have $\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g \subseteq \{X. \text{small } X\}$

using *assms 1 small-Set smaller-than-small*

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext Collect-mono Pow-def ide-cod subset-trans*)

ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *blast*

qed

thus $?thesis$

using *embeds-small-sets*

by (*meson image-mono inj-on-subset subset-trans*)

qed

qed

definition *cod-coeq*

where $\text{cod-coeq } f \ g \equiv \text{mkide } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g)$

lemma *ide-cod-coeq*:

assumes $\text{par } f \ g$

```

shows ide (cod-coeq f g)
and bij-betw (OUT (Cod-coeq f g)) (Set (cod-coeq f g)) (Cod-coeq f g)
and bij-betw (IN (Cod-coeq f g)) (Cod-coeq f g) (Set (cod-coeq f g))
and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ x \in \text{Cod-coeq } f g$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f g \implies \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g)$ 
and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ x) = x$ 
and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f g \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ (\text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ y) = y$ 
proof –
  have  $(\lambda x. \{f \cdot x, g \cdot x\}) \text{ ‘ Set } (\text{dom } f) \subseteq \text{Pow } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f))$ 
    using assms by auto
  show ide (cod-coeq f g)
    using small-Cod-coeq embeds-Cod-coeq assms cod-coeq-def by auto
  show 1: bij-betw (OUT (Cod-coeq f g)) (Set (cod-coeq f g)) (Cod-coeq f g)
    unfolding cod-coeq-def
    using assms ide-mkide bij-OUT small-Cod-coeq [of f g] embeds-Cod-coeq [of f g]
    by auto
  show 2: bij-betw (IN (Cod-coeq f g)) (Cod-coeq f g) (Set (cod-coeq f g))
    unfolding cod-coeq-def
    using assms ide-mkide bij-OUT bij-IN small-Cod-coeq [of f g] embeds-Cod-coeq
    by fastforce
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ x \in \text{Cod-coeq } f g$ 
    using 1 bij-betwE by blast
  show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f g \implies \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g)$ 
    using 2 bij-betwE by blast
  show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ (\text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ x) = x$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext 1 bij-betw-inv-into-left cod-coeq-def)
  show  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f g \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ (\text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g) \ y) = y$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext 1 bij-betw-inv-into-right cod-coeq-def)
qed

```

definition *Coeq*

```

where Coeq f g  $\equiv \lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$ 
       $\text{ then } \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g)$ 
       $\text{ (equivcl } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f))$ 
       $\text{ ((}\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ Set } (\text{dom } f)) \text{ “ } \{y\})$ 
       $\text{ else null}$ 

```

lemma *Coeq-in-Hom* [*intro*]:

assumes *par* *f g*

shows *Coeq* *f g* $\in \text{Hom } (\text{cod } f) \ (\text{cod-coeq } f g)$

proof

show *Coeq* *f g* $\in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \rightarrow \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f g)$

proof

fix *y*

assume *y*: *y* $\in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$

have *Coeq* *f g* *y* $= \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f g)$

$\text{ (equivcl } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f))$

$\text{ ((}\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ Set } (\text{dom } f)) \text{ “ } \{y\})$

unfolding *Coeq-def*

```

    using y by simp
  moreover have ... ∈ Set (cod-coeq f g)
    using assms ide-cod-coeq(5) y by blast
  ultimately show Coeq f g y ∈ Set (cod-coeq f g) by simp
qed
show Coeq f g ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ Set (cod f) ⟶ F x = null}
  unfolding Coeq-def by simp
qed

```

```

definition coeq
where coeq f g ≡ mkarr (cod f) (cod-coeq f g) (Coeq f g)

```

```

lemma coeq-in-hom [intro, simp]:
assumes par f g
shows «coeq f g : cod f → cod-coeq f g»
  using assms ide-cod-coeq(1) Coeq-in-Hom
  by (unfold coeq-def, intro mkarr-in-hom) auto

```

```

lemma coeq-simps [simp]:
assumes par f g
shows arr (coeq f g) and dom (coeq f g) = cod f and cod (coeq f g) = cod-coeq f g
  using assms coeq-in-hom by blast+

```

```

lemma Fun-coeq:
assumes par f g
shows Fun (coeq f g) = Coeq f g
  using assms Fun-mkarr coeq-def coeq-simps(1) by presburger

```

```

lemma coeq-coequalizes:
assumes par f g
shows coeq f g · f = coeq f g · g
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show par: par (coeq f g · f) (coeq f g · g)
    using assms by auto
  show Fun (coeq f g · f) = Fun (coeq f g · g)
proof
  fix x
  show Fun (coeq f g · f) x = Fun (coeq f g · g) x
  proof (cases x ∈ Set (dom f))
    case False
    show ?thesis
      using assms False Fun-coeq Fun-def by simp
    next
    case True
    show ?thesis
    proof –
      have Fun (coeq f g · f) x = Fun (coeq f g) (Fun f x)
        using assms Fun-comp comp-in-homI coeq-in-hom comp-assoc by auto
      also have ... = Coeq f g (Fun f x)

```

```

    using assms True Fun-coeq
    by (metis (full-types, lifting))
  also have ... = IN (Cod-coeq f g)
    (equivcl (Set (cod f))
      (( $\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)$ ) ‘ Set (dom f)) “ {f · x})
    unfolding Coeq-def
    using True assms Fun-def by auto
  also have ... = IN (Cod-coeq f g)
    (equivcl (Set (cod f))
      (( $\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)$ ) ‘ Set (dom f)) “ {g · x})
  proof -
    have equivcl (Set (cod f)) (( $\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)$ ) ‘ Set (dom f)) “ {f · x} =
      equivcl (Set (cod f)) (( $\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)$ ) ‘ Set (dom f)) “ {g · x}
    using assms True
      equivcl-props(2-3) [of ( $\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)$ ) ‘ Set (dom f) Set (cod f)]
      equiv-class-eq-iff
      [of Set (cod f)
        equivcl (Set (cod f)) (( $\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)$ ) ‘ Set (dom f))
          f · x g · x]
    by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = Coeq f g (Fun g x)
    unfolding Coeq-def
    using True assms Fun-def by auto
  also have ... = Fun (coeq f g) (Fun g x)
    using assms True Fun-coeq
    by (metis (full-types, lifting))
  also have ... = Fun (coeq f g · g) x
    using assms Fun-comp comp-in-homI coeq-in-hom comp-assoc by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *Coeq-surj*:

assumes *par f g* **and** $\text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \neq \{\}$ **and** $y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f \ g)$

shows $\exists x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \wedge \text{Coeq } f \ g \ x = y$

proof –

```

  have 1: ( $\bigcup x \in \text{Set } (\text{dom } f). \{f \cdot x, g \cdot x\}$ )  $\subseteq \text{Set } (\text{cod } f)$ 
    using assms by auto
  have y:  $\text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g) \ y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f \ g$ 
    using assms ide-cod-coeq(2) [of f g] bij-betwE by blast
  obtain x where x:  $x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \wedge$ 
     $\text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g) \ y =$ 
     $\text{equivcl } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f)) ((\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ Set } (\text{dom } f)) \text{ “ } \{x\}$ 
    using assms y by blast
  hence 2:  $x \in \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g) \ y$ 

```

```

proof –
  have  $(\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ } Set (dom f) \subseteq Set (cod f) \times Set (cod f)$ 
    using assms by auto
  hence  $x \in equivcl (Set (cod f)) ((\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ } Set (dom f)) \text{ “}\{x\}$ 
    using assms  $x$  equivcl-props(3) [of  $(\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ } Set (dom f) Set (cod f)$ ]
      equiv-class-self
    by (metis (lifting))
  thus ?thesis
    using  $x$  by argo
qed
have  $Coeq f g x = y$ 
proof –
  have  $OUT (Cod-coeq f g) (Coeq f g x) =$ 
     $OUT (Cod-coeq f g)$ 
    ( $IN (Cod-coeq f g)$ 
      ( $equivcl (Set (cod f)) ((\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ } Set (dom f)) \text{ “}\{x\}$ ))
    unfolding Coeq-def
    using  $x$  by presburger
  also have  $\dots = equivcl (Set (cod f)) ((\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ } Set (dom f)) \text{ “}\{x\}$ 
    using assms  $x y$  ide-cod-coeq(7) by (metis (lifting))
  also have  $\dots = OUT (Cod-coeq f g) y$ 
proof –
  have  $OUT (Cod-coeq f g) y \in Cod-coeq f g$ 
    using assms  $x$  by force

  thus ?thesis
    using assms  $x$  1 2 by blast
qed
finally have  $IN (Cod-coeq f g) (OUT (Cod-coeq f g) (Coeq f g x)) =$ 
   $IN (Cod-coeq f g) (OUT (Cod-coeq f g) y)$ 
  by simp
thus ?thesis
  using assms  $x y$  ide-cod-coeq(6) cod-coeq-def Coeq-def
  by (metis (lifting))
qed
thus  $\exists x. x \in Set (cod f) \wedge Coeq f g x = y$ 
  using  $x$  by blast
qed

lemma coeq-is-coequalizer:
assumes par  $f g$  and  $Set (cod f) \neq \{\}$ 
shows has-as-coequalizer  $f g (coeq f g)$ 
proof
  show par  $f g$  by fact
  show seq  $(coeq f g) f$ 
    using assms by auto
  show  $coeq f g \cdot f = coeq f g \cdot g$ 
    using assms coeq-coequalizes by blast
  show  $\bigwedge q'. \llbracket seq q' f; q' \cdot f = q' \cdot g \rrbracket \implies \exists ! h. h \cdot coeq f g = q'$ 

```

```

proof –
  fix  $q'$ 
  assume  $seq: seq\ q'\ f$  and  $eq: q' \cdot f = q' \cdot g$ 
  let  $?H = \lambda y. \text{if } y \in Set\ (cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g)$ 
     $\text{then } q' \cdot (SOME\ x. x \in Set\ (cod\ f) \wedge Coeq\ f\ g\ x = y)$ 
     $\text{else null}$ 
  have  $H: ?H \in Hom\ (cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g)\ (cod\ q')$ 
  proof
    show  $?H \in Set\ (cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g) \rightarrow Set\ (cod\ q')$ 
    proof
      fix  $y$ 
      assume  $y: y \in Set\ (cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g)$ 
      have  $?H\ y = q' \cdot (SOME\ x. x \in Set\ (cod\ f) \wedge Coeq\ f\ g\ x = y)$ 
      using  $y$  by simp
      moreover have  $\dots \in Set\ (cod\ q')$ 
      using assms  $y$  someI-ex [of  $\lambda x. x \in Set\ (cod\ f) \wedge Coeq\ f\ g\ x = y$ ]
      Coeq-surj seq in-homI
      by blast
      ultimately show  $?H\ y \in Set\ (cod\ q')$  by simp
    qed
    show  $?H \in \{F. \forall x. x \notin Set\ (cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g) \longrightarrow F\ x = null\}$ 
    by simp
  qed
  let  $?h = mkarr\ (cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g)\ (cod\ q')\ ?H$ 
  have  $h: \langle \langle ?h : cod\text{-}coeq\ f\ g \rightarrow cod\ q' \rangle \rangle$ 
    using assms  $H$  ide-cod-coeq seq
    by (intro mkarr-in-hom) auto
  have  $*$ :  $?h \cdot coeq\ f\ g = q'$ 
  proof (intro arr-eqI)
    show  $par: par\ (?h \cdot coeq\ f\ g)\ q'$ 
    using assms  $h$  seq by fastforce
    show  $Fun\ (?h \cdot coeq\ f\ g) = Fun\ q'$ 
    proof –
      have  $Fun\ (?h \cdot coeq\ f\ g) = Fun\ ?h \circ Fun\ (coeq\ f\ g)$ 
      using Fun-comp par by blast
      also have  $\dots = ?H \circ Coeq\ f\ g$ 
      using assms  $h$  Fun-coeq Fun-mkarr arrI by auto
      also have  $\dots = Fun\ q'$ 
    proof
      fix  $y$ 
      show  $(?H \circ Coeq\ f\ g)\ y = Fun\ q'\ y$ 
      proof (cases  $y \in Set\ (cod\ f)$ )
        case False
          show ?thesis
          unfolding Coeq-def
          using False seq Fun-def by auto
        next
        case True
          have  $(?H \circ Coeq\ f\ g)\ y =$ 

```

```

      q' · (SOME x'. x' ∈ Set (cod f) ∧ Coeq f g x' = Coeq f g y)
    using Coeq-in-Hom True assms(1) by auto
  also have ... = q' · y
proof -
  let ?e = (λx. (f · x, g · x)) ' Set (dom f)
  have e: ?e ⊆ Set (cod f) × Set (cod f)
    using assms by auto
  let ?E = equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e
  let ?E' = {p ∈ Set (cod f) × Set (cod f). q' · fst p = q' · snd p}
  have ?E ⊆ ?E'
proof -
  have equiv (Set (cod f)) ?E'
    by (intro equivI symI) (auto simp add: refl-on-def trans-on-def)
  moreover have (λx. (f · x, g · x)) ' Set (dom f) ⊆ ?E'
proof -
  have ∧x. x ∈ Set (dom f) ⇒ (f · x, g · x) ∈ ?E'
proof -
  fix x
  assume x: x ∈ Set (dom f)
  have (f · x, g · x) ∈ Set (cod f) × Set (cod f)
    using assms x by auto
  moreover have q' · f · x = q' · g · x
    using eq comp-assoc by metis
  ultimately show (f · x, g · x) ∈ ?E' by fastforce
qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (meson image-subsetI)
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (meson equiv-type equivcl-props(4) subset-trans)
qed
  moreover have ∧y'. y' ∈ Set (cod f) ∧ Coeq f g y' = Coeq f g y
    ⇒ (y', y) ∈ ?E
proof -
  fix y'
  assume y': y' ∈ Set (cod f) ∧ Coeq f g y' = Coeq f g y
  have eq: equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e “ {y'} =
    equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e “ {y}
    using assms(1) True y' ide-cod-coeq(7) [of f g]
    unfolding Coeq-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-eqI)
  moreover have y' ∈ equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e “ {y'} ∧
    y ∈ equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e “ {y}
proof
  have 1: equiv (Set (cod f)) (equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e)
    by (simp add: e equivcl-props(3))
  show y' ∈ equivcl (Set (cod f)) ?e “ {y'}
    by (metis (lifting) 1 equiv-class-self y')
  show y ∈ equivcl (Set (cod f)) ((λx. (f · x, g · x)) ' Set (dom f)) “ {y}

```



```

      by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 True equiv-class-self)
    qed
    ultimately show  $(y', y) \in ?\mathcal{E}$  by blast
  qed
  ultimately have  $\bigwedge y'. y' \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \wedge \text{Coeq } f \, g \, y' = \text{Coeq } f \, g \, y$ 
     $\implies (y', y) \in ?\mathcal{E}'$ 
    by (meson subsetD)
  thus ?thesis
    using True someI-ex [of  $\lambda y'. y' \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \wedge \text{Coeq } f \, g \, y' = \text{Coeq } f \, g \, y$ ]
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) fst-conv mem-Collect-eq snd-conv)
  qed
  also have  $\dots = \text{Fun } q' \, y$ 
    using True seq Fun-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have  $\bigwedge h'. h' \cdot \text{coeq } f \, g = q' \implies h' = ?h$ 
  proof -
    fix h'
    assume h':  $h' \cdot \text{coeq } f \, g = q'$ 
    show  $h' = ?h$ 
    proof (intro arr-eqI [of h'])
      show par:  $\text{par } h' \, ?h$ 
        using h h' seq
        by (metis (lifting) calculation cod-comp seqE)
      show  $\text{Fun } h' = \text{Fun } ?h$ 
    proof -
      have  $1: \text{Fun } h' \circ \text{Coeq } f \, g = \text{Fun } ?h \circ \text{Coeq } f \, g$ 
        using assms h' * Fun-coeq Fun-comp seq seqE
        by (metis (lifting))
      show ?thesis
    proof
      fix z
      show  $\text{Fun } h' \, z = \text{Fun } ?h \, z$ 
    proof (cases  $z \in \text{Set } (\text{cod-coeq } f \, g)$ )
      case False
      show ?thesis
        using assms False h' par Fun-def by auto
      next
      case True
      obtain x where  $x: x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \wedge \text{Coeq } f \, g \, x = z$ 
        using assms True Coeq-surj by blast
      show ?thesis
        using True x h' 1 * Fun-comp comp-apply
        by (metis (lifting))
    qed
  qed

```

```

      qed
    qed
  qed
  qed
  ultimately show  $\exists! h. h \cdot \text{coeq } f \, g = q'$  by auto
  qed
qed

lemma has-coequalizers:
  assumes par f g
  shows  $\exists e. \text{has-as-coequalizer } f \, g \, e$ 
  proof (cases Set (cod f) = {})
    case False
    show ?thesis
      using assms False coeq-is-coequalizer by blast
    next
    case True
    have f = g
      using assms True
      by (metis arr-eqI' comp-in-homI empty-Collect-eq in-homI)
    hence has-as-coequalizer f g (cod f)
      using assms comp-arr-dom comp-cod-arr seqE
      by (intro has-as-coequalizerI) metis+
    thus ?thesis by blast
  qed

end

```

4.10.1 Exported Notions

```

context sets-cat-with-powering
begin

```

```

  interpretation Coeq: coequalizers-in-sets-cat sml C ..

```

```

  abbreviation Cod-coeq
  where Cod-coeq  $\equiv$  Coeq.Cod-coeq

```

```

  abbreviation coeq
  where coeq  $\equiv$  Coeq.coeq

```

```

lemma coequalizer-comparison-map-props:
  assumes par f g
  shows bij-betw (OUT (Cod-coeq f g)) (Set (cod (coeq f g))) (Cod-coeq f g)
  and bij-betw (IN (Cod-coeq f g)) (Cod-coeq f g) (Set (cod (coeq f g)))
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } (\text{coeq } f \, g)) \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \, g) \, x \in \text{Cod-coeq } f \, g$ 
  and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f \, g \implies \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \, g) \, y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } (\text{coeq } f \, g))$ 
  and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } (\text{coeq } f \, g)) \implies \text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \, g) \, (\text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \, g) \, x) = x$ 
  and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Cod-coeq } f \, g \implies \text{OUT } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \, g) \, (\text{IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \, g) \, y) = y$ 

```

using *assms* *Coeq.ide-cod-coeq* **by** *auto*

lemma *coeq-is-coequalizer*:

assumes *par f g and Set (cod f) ≠ {}*

shows *has-as-coequalizer f g (coeq f g)*

using *assms Coeq.coeq-is-coequalizer* **by** *blast*

Since the fact *Fun-coeq* below is not very useful without the notions used in stating it, the function *equivcl* and characteristic fact *equivcl-props* are also exported here. It would be better if *Fun-coeq* could be expressed completely in terms of existing notions from the library.

definition *equivcl*

where *equivcl* \equiv *Coeq.equivcl*

lemma *equivcl-props*:

assumes $r \subseteq A \times A$

shows $\exists r'. r \subseteq r' \wedge \text{equiv } A \ r' \wedge (\forall s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge \text{equiv } A \ s' \longrightarrow r' \subseteq s')$

and $r \subseteq \text{equivcl } A \ r$ **and** $\text{equiv } A \ (\text{equivcl } A \ r)$

and $\bigwedge s'. r \subseteq s' \wedge \text{equiv } A \ s' \implies \text{equivcl } A \ r \subseteq s'$

using *assms Coeq.equivcl-props [of r A]*

unfolding *equivcl-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *Fun-coeq*:

assumes *par f g*

shows $\text{Fun } (\text{coeq } f \ g) = (\lambda y. \text{if } y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } f) \text{ then IN } (\text{Cod-coeq } f \ g) (\text{equivcl } (\text{Set } (\text{cod } f)) ((\lambda x. (f \cdot x, g \cdot x)) \text{ ‘ Set } (\text{dom } f)) \text{ ‘ ‘ } \{y\}) \text{ else null})$

using *assms Coeq.Fun-coeq Coeq.Coeq-def*

unfolding *equivcl-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *has-coequalizers*:

assumes *par f g*

shows $\exists e. \text{has-as-coequalizer } f \ g \ e$

using *assms Coeq.has-coequalizers* **by** *blast*

end

4.11 Exponentials

In this section we show that the category is cartesian closed.

locale *exponentials-in-sets-cat* =

sets-cat-with-tupling sml C

for *sml* :: $'V \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

and *C* :: $'U \text{ comp}$ (**infixr** $\langle \cdot \rangle$ 55)

begin

abbreviation $app :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$

where $app\ f \equiv inv_into\ SEF\ some_embedding_of_small_functions\ f$

abbreviation $Exp :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow ('U \Rightarrow 'U)\ set$

where $Exp\ a\ b \equiv \{F. F \in Set\ a \rightarrow Set\ b \wedge (\forall x. x \notin Set\ a \longrightarrow F\ x = null)\}$

definition $exp :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U$

where $exp\ a\ b \equiv mkide\ (Exp\ a\ b)$

lemma *memb-Exp-popular-value:*

assumes *ide a and ide b and* $F \in Exp\ a\ b$

and *popular-value F y*

shows $y = null$

proof –

have $y \in Set\ b \vee y = null$

using *assms popular-value-in-range [of F y] by blast*

hence $y \neq null \implies \{x. F\ x = y\} \subseteq Set\ a$

using *assms by blast*

thus $y = null$

using *assms smaller-than-small small-Set by auto*

qed

lemma *memb-Exp-imp-small-function:*

assumes *ide a and ide b and* $F \in Exp\ a\ b$

shows *small-function F*

proof

show *small (range F)*

proof –

have $range\ F \subseteq Set\ b \cup \{null\}$

using *assms by blast*

moreover **have** *small ...*

using *assms small-Set by auto*

ultimately **show** *?thesis*

using *smaller-than-small by blast*

qed

show *at-most-one-popular-value F*

using *assms memb-Exp-popular-value Uniq-def*

by *(metis (no-types, lifting))*

qed

lemma *small-Exp:*

assumes *ide a and ide b*

shows *small (Exp a b)*

proof –

show *?thesis*

proof *(cases small (UNIV :: 'U set))*

case *False*

have $Exp\ a\ b \subseteq \{F. small_function\ F \wedge SF_Dom\ F \subseteq Set\ a \wedge range\ F \subseteq Set\ b \cup \{null\}\}$

```

proof
  fix  $F$ 
  assume  $F: F \in \text{Exp } a \ b$ 
  have small-function  $F$ 
    using assms  $F$  memb-Exp-imp-small-function [of  $a \ b \ F$ ] by blast
  moreover have  $SF\text{-}Dom \ F \subseteq Set \ a$ 
  proof –
    have popular-value  $F \ null$ 
  proof –

    have  $\bigwedge^F y. F \in \text{Exp } a \ b \implies \text{popular-value } F \ y \implies y = null$ 
    using assms memb-Exp-popular-value by meson
  moreover have  $\exists y. \text{popular-value } F \ y$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext False assms(1,2) ex-popular-value-iff
       $F \text{ memb-Exp-imp-small-function}$ )
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $F$  by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using  $F$  by auto
  qed
  moreover have  $range \ F \subseteq Set \ b \cup \{null\}$ 
    using  $F$  by blast
  ultimately
  show  $F \in \{F. \text{small-function } F \wedge SF\text{-}Dom \ F \subseteq Set \ a \wedge range \ F \subseteq Set \ b \cup \{null\}\}$ 
    by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using False small-funcset [of  $Set \ a \ Set \ b \cup \{null\}$ ]
      small-Set assms(1,2) smaller-than-small
    by fastforce
  next
  case True
  have  $\text{Exp } a \ b \subseteq \{F. \text{small-function } F \wedge SF\text{-}Dom \ F \subseteq UNIV \wedge range \ F \subseteq Set \ b \cup \{null\}\}$ 
    using assms memb-Exp-imp-small-function by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using True small-funcset [of  $UNIV \ Set \ b \cup \{null\}$ ]
      small-Set assms(1,2) smaller-than-small
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) subset-UNIV)
  qed
qed

lemma embeds-Exp:
assumes ide  $a$  and ide  $b$ 
shows embeds ( $\text{Exp } a \ b$ )
proof –
  have is-embedding-of some-embedding-of-small-functions ( $\text{Exp } a \ b$ )
  proof –
    have  $\text{Exp } a \ b \subseteq SEF$ 

```

```

    unfolding EF-def
    using assms memb-Exp-imp-small-function by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using assms some-embedding-of-small-functions-is-embedding memb-Exp-popular-value
    by (meson image-mono inj-on-subset subset-trans)
qed
thus ?thesis by blast
qed

```

```

lemma ide-exp:
  assumes ide a and ide b
  shows ide (exp a b)
  and bij-betw (OUT (Exp a b)) (Set (exp a b)) (Exp a b)
  and bij-betw (IN (Exp a b)) (Exp a b) (Set (exp a b))
  proof -
    have small (Exp a b)
      using assms small-Exp by blast
    moreover have embeds (Exp a b)
      using assms embeds-Exp by blast
    ultimately show ide (exp a b) and bij-betw (OUT (Exp a b)) (Set (exp a b)) (Exp a b)
      unfolding exp-def
      using assms ide-mkide bij-OUT by blast+
    thus bij-betw (IN (Exp a b)) (Exp a b) (Set (exp a b))
      using bij-betw-inv-into exp-def by fastforce
  qed

```

```

abbreviation Eval
  where Eval b c  $\equiv (\lambda fx. \text{if } fx \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } (\text{exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b) \text{ then } \text{OUT } (\text{Exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ (Fun } (pr_1 \text{ (exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b) \text{ } fx) \text{ (Fun } (pr_0 \text{ (exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b) \text{ } fx) \text{ else null})$ 

```

```

definition eval
  where eval b c  $\equiv \text{mkarr } (\text{prod } (\text{exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b) \text{ } c \text{ (Eval } b \text{ } c)$ 

```

```

lemma eval-in-hom [intro, simp]:
  assumes ide b and ide c
  shows «eval b c : prod (exp b c) b → c»
  proof (unfold eval-def, intro mkarr-in-hom)
    show ide c by fact
    show ide (prod (exp b c) b)
      using assms ide-exp ide-prod by auto
    show Eval b c  $\in \text{Hom } (\text{prod } (\text{exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b) \text{ } c$ 
  proof
    show Eval b c  $\in \text{Set } (\text{prod } (\text{exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b) \rightarrow \text{Set } c$ 
  proof
    fix fx
    assume fx:  $fx \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } (\text{exp } b \text{ } c) \text{ } b)$ 

```

```

have Eval b c fx = OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b) fx)
      (Fun (pr0 (exp b c) b) fx)
  using fx by simp
moreover have ... ∈ Set c
proof –
  have OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b) fx) ∈ Exp b c
proof –
  have Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b) fx ∈ Set (exp b c)
    using assms fx Fun-def
    by (simp add: comp-in-homI ide-exp(1))
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1,2) bij-betwE ide-exp(2) by blast
qed
moreover have Fun (pr0 (exp b c) b) fx ∈ Set b
    using assms(1,2) fx ide-exp(1) Fun-def by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately show Eval b c fx ∈ Set c by auto
qed
show Eval b c ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ Set (prod (exp b c) b) → F x = null}
  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma eval-simps [simp]:
assumes ide b and ide c
shows arr (eval b c) and dom (eval b c) = prod (exp b c) b and cod (eval b c) = c
  using assms eval-in-hom by blast+

```

```

lemma Fun-eval:
assumes ide b and ide c
shows Fun (eval b c) = Eval b c
  using assms eval-def Fun-mkarr [of prod (exp b c) b c Eval b c]
  by (metis arrI eval-in-hom)

```

```

definition Curry
where Curry a b c ≡ λf. if «f : prod a b → c»
  then mkarr a (exp b c)
    (λx. if x ∈ Set a
      then IN (Exp b c)
        (λy. if y ∈ Set b
          then C f (tuple x y)
          else null)
      else null)
    else null

```

```

lemma Curry-in-hom [intro]:
assumes ide a and ide b and ide c
and «f : prod a b → c»

```

shows $\langle\langle \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle$
and $\text{Fun } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f) =$
 $(\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } a$
 $\quad \text{then } \text{IN } (\text{Exp } b \ c) (\lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \text{ else null})$
 $\quad \text{else null})$
proof –
have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{Set } a \implies$
 $\quad \text{IN } (\text{Exp } b \ c) (\lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \text{ else null})$
 $\quad \in \text{Set } (\text{exp } b \ c)$
proof –
fix x
assume $x: x \in \text{Set } a$
have $(\lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \text{ else null}) \in \text{Exp } b \ c$
proof –
have $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Set } b \implies C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \in \text{Set } c$
using *assms* x **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
thus $\text{IN } (\text{Exp } b \ c) (\lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \text{ else null})$
 $\quad \in \text{Set } (\text{exp } b \ c)$
using *assms* *bij-betwE ide-exp*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
qed
thus $\langle\langle \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle$
unfolding *Curry-def*
using *assms ide-exp*
by (*simp*, *intro mkarr-in-hom*, *auto*)
show $\text{Fun } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f) =$
 $(\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } a$
 $\quad \text{then } \text{IN } (\text{Exp } b \ c) (\lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \text{ else null})$
 $\quad \text{else null})$
using $\langle\langle \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle \text{ arrI } \text{assms}(4) \text{ Curry-def app-mkarr}$
by *auto*
qed

lemma *Curry-simps* [*simp*]:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** *ide c*
and $\langle f : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle$
shows $\text{arr } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f)$ **and** $\text{dom } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f) = a$ **and** $\text{cod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f) = \text{exp } b \ c$
using *assms Curry-in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Fun-Curry*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b* **and** *ide c*
and $\langle f : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle$
shows $\text{Fun } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f) =$
 $(\lambda x. \text{ if } x \in \text{Set } a$
 $\quad \text{then } \text{IN } (\text{Exp } b \ c) (\lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } b \text{ then } C \ f \ (\text{tuple } x \ y) \text{ else null})$
 $\quad \text{else null})$
using *assms Curry-in-hom*(2) **by** *blast*

interpretation *elementary-category-with-terminal-object* $C \langle 1^? \rangle$ *some-terminator*
using *extends-to-elementary-category-with-terminal-object* **by** *blast*

lemma *is-category-with-terminal-object*:

shows *elementary-category-with-terminal-object* $C \langle 1^? \rangle$ *some-terminator*
and *category-with-terminal-object* C

..

interpretation *elementary-cartesian-closed-category*

$C \text{ pr}_0 \text{ pr}_1 \langle 1^? \rangle$ *some-terminator* *exp* *eval* *Curry*

proof

show $\bigwedge b \ c. \llbracket \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c \rrbracket \implies \llbracket \text{eval } b \ c : \text{prod } (\text{exp } b \ c) \ b \rightarrow c \rrbracket$

using *eval-in-hom* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge b \ c. \llbracket \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c \rrbracket \implies \text{ide } (\text{exp } b \ c)$

using *ide-exp* **by** *blast*

show $\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ g. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c; \llbracket g : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies \llbracket \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rrbracket$

using *Curry-in-hom* **by** *simp*

show $\bigwedge a \ b \ c \ g. \llbracket \text{ide } a; \text{ide } b; \text{ide } c; \llbracket g : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rrbracket \rrbracket$

$\implies C (\text{eval } b \ c) (\text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b) = g$

proof –

fix $a \ b \ c \ g$

assume $a: \text{ide } a$ **and** $b: \text{ide } b$ **and** $c: \text{ide } c$ **and** $g: \llbracket g : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rrbracket$

show $\text{eval } b \ c \cdot \text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b = g$

proof (*intro* *arr-eqI* [*of* - *g*])

show $\text{par}: \text{par } (C (\text{eval } b \ c) (\text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b)) \ g$

using $a \ b \ c \ g$ **by** *auto*

show $\text{Fun } (\text{eval } b \ c \cdot \text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b) = \text{Fun } g$

proof

fix x

show $\text{Fun } (\text{eval } b \ c \cdot \text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b) \ x = \text{Fun } g \ x$

proof (*cases* $x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } a \ b)$)

case *False*

show *?thesis*

using *False* *Fun-def*

by (*metis* $g \text{ in-homE } \text{par}$)

next

case *True*

have $\text{Fun } (C (\text{eval } b \ c) (\text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b)) \ x =$

$\text{Fun } (\text{eval } b \ c) (\text{Fun } (\text{prod } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) \ b) \ x)$

using $\text{True } a \ b \ c \ g \text{ Fun-comp } \text{par} \text{ comp-assoc}$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (\lambda fx. \text{if } fx \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } (\text{exp } b \ c) \ b)$

$\text{then } \text{OUT } (\text{Exp } b \ c) (\text{Fun } (\text{pr}_1 (\text{exp } b \ c) \ b) \ fx)$

$(\text{Fun } (\text{pr}_0 (\text{exp } b \ c) \ b) \ fx)$

$\text{else null})$

$((\text{if } x \in \text{Set } (\text{prod } a \ b)$

then tuple

$(\text{Fun } (\text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ g) (\text{pr}_1 \ a \ b \cdot x))$

```

      (Fun b (pr0 a b · x))
    else null))
proof –
  have Fun (eval b c) = (λfx. if fx ∈ Set (prod (exp b c) b)
    then OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b) fx)
    (Fun (pr0 (exp b c) b) fx)
    else null)
  using b c Fun-eval by simp
  moreover have Fun (prod (Curry a b c g) b) =
    (λx. if x ∈ Set (prod a b)
    then tuple
      (Fun (Curry a b c g) (pr1 a b · x))
      (Fun b (pr0 a b · x))
    else null)
  using a b c g Fun-prod [of Curry a b c g a exp b c b b b] Curry-in-hom
  by (meson ide-in-hom)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c)
  (Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b)
  (tuple
    (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
    (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x))))
  (Fun (pr0 (exp b c) b)
  (tuple
    (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
    (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x))))

proof –
  have tuple
    (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
    (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x))
    ∈ Set (prod (exp b c) b)
  using a b c g True Fun-def by auto
  thus ?thesis
  using True by presburger
qed
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c)
  (pr1 (exp b c) b ·
  tuple
    (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
    (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x)))
  (pr0 (exp b c) b ·
  tuple
    (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
    (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x)))

proof –
  have tuple
    (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
    (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x))

```

```

      ∈ Set (prod (exp b c) b)
    using a b c g True Fun-def by auto
  moreover have Set (prod (exp b c) b) = Set (dom (pr1 (exp b c) b))
    using b c
    by (simp add: ide-exp(1))
  moreover have Set (prod (exp b c) b) = Set (dom (pr0 (exp b c) b))
    using b c
    by (simp add: ide-exp(1))
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding Fun-def
    using a b c g True by auto
qed
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c)
  (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
  (Fun b (C (pr0 a b) x))
  unfolding Fun-def
  using True a b c g by auto
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c)
  (Fun (Curry a b c g) (C (pr1 a b) x))
  (C (pr0 a b) x)
proof -
  have C (pr0 a b) x ∈ Set b
    using True a b by blast
  thus ?thesis
    using b Fun-ide [of b]
    by presburger
qed
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c)
  ((λx. if x ∈ Set a
    then IN (Exp b c)
      (λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple x y else null)
    else null)
    (C (pr1 a b) x))
  (C (pr0 a b) x)
  using a b c g Fun-Curry [of a b c g] by simp
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c)
  (IN (Exp b c)
    (λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null))
  (pr0 a b · x)
  using True a b c g by auto
also have ... = (λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null)
  (pr0 a b · x)
proof -
  have (λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null) ∈ Hom b c
  proof
    show (λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null) ∈ Set b → Set c
  proof
    fix y
    assume y: y ∈ Set b

```

```

    show (if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null) ∈ Set c
    using True a b c g y by auto
  qed
  show (λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null)
    ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ Set b ⟶ F x = null}
    by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using a b c g small-Exp [of b c] embeds-Exp [of b c] ide-exp(1) [of b c]
    OUT-IN
    [of Exp b c
      λy. if y ∈ Set b then g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) y else null]
    by auto
  qed
  also have ... = g · tuple (pr1 a b · x) (pr0 a b · x)
    using True a b c g by auto
  also have ... = g · tuple (pr1 a b) (pr0 a b) · x
    using True a b c g comp-tuple-arr
    by (metis CollectD in-homE pr-simps(2) span-pr)
  also have ... = g · x
    using True a b tuple-pr comp-cod-arr by fastforce
  also have ... = Fun g x
    using True g Fun-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  show ∧ a b c h. [ide a; ide b; ide c; «h : a → exp b c»]
    ⟹ Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b)) = h
  proof -
    fix a b c h
    assume a: ide a and b: ide b and c: ide c and h: «h : a → exp b c»
    show Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b)) = h
    proof (intro arr-eqI [of - h])
      show par: par (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) h
        using a b c h Curry-def Curry-simps(1) by auto
      show Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) = Fun h
    proof
      fix x
      show Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x = Fun h x
    proof (cases x ∈ Set a)
      case False
      show ?thesis
        using False a b c h
        by (metis Fun-def in-homE par)
      next
      case True
      have OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x) =

```

```

      OUT (Exp b c)
      (IN (Exp b c)
        (λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null))
    using True a b c h Fun-Curry [of a b c C (eval b c) (prod h b)]
      eval-in-hom [of b c]
    by auto
  also have ... = (λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null)
proof -
  have (λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null) ∈ Hom b c
proof
  show (λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null)
    ∈ Set b → Set c
proof
  fix y
  assume y: y ∈ Set b
  show (if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null) ∈ Set c
    using True a b c h y ide-in-hom by auto
qed
show (λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null)
  ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ Set b → F x = null}
  by simp
qed
thus ?thesis
  using True a b c h small-Exp [of b c] embeds-Exp ide-exp [of b c]
    OUT-IN
      [of Exp b c
        λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null]
  by auto
qed
also have ... = OUT (Exp b c) (Fun h x)
proof
  fix y
  show ... y = OUT (Exp b c) (Fun h x) y
proof (cases y ∈ Set b)
  assume y: y ∉ Set b
  have «Fun h x : 1? → mkide (Exp b c)»
  using True b c h
  by (metis Fun-arr[of h a cod h] arr-iff-in-hom[of h · x]
    dom-comp[of h x] cod-comp[of h x] exp-def[of b c]
    in-homE[of h a exp b c] in-homE[of x 1? a]
    mem-Collect-eq[of x λuub. «uub : 1? → a»] seqI[of x h])
  thus ?thesis
    using True b c h y OUT-elem-of [of Exp b c Fun h x] small-Exp [of b c]
      embeds-Exp [of b c] ide-exp [of b c]
  by auto
next
  assume y: y ∈ Set b
  have (λy. if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null) y =
    (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y

```

```

    using y by simp
  also have ... = eval b c · (prod h b · tuple x y)
    using comp-assoc by simp
  also have ... = eval b c · tuple (h · x) (b · y)
    using True b c h y prod-tuple
    by (metis comp-cod-arr in-homE mem-Collect-eq seqI)
  also have ... = eval b c · tuple (h · x) y
    using b y
    by (metis comp-cod-arr in-homE mem-Collect-eq)
  also have ... = Fun (eval b c) (tuple (h · x) y)
    using True b c h y Fun-def [of eval b c tuple (h · x) y] by auto
  also have ... = (λfx. if fx ∈ Set (prod (exp b c) b)
    then OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b) fx)
    (Fun (pr0 (exp b c) b) fx)
    else null)
    (tuple (h · x) y)
    using b c Fun-eval [of b c] by presburger
  also have ... = OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (pr1 (exp b c) b) (tuple (h · x) y))
    (Fun (pr0 (exp b c) b) (tuple (h · x) y))
    using True b c h y
    by (simp add: comp-in-homI tuple-in-hom)
  also have ... = OUT (Exp b c) (pr1 (exp b c) b · tuple (h · x) y)
    (pr0 (exp b c) b · tuple (h · x) y)
    using True b c h y Fun-def ide-exp(1) span-pr by auto
  also have ... = OUT (Exp b c) (h · x) y
    using True b c h y
    apply auto
    by fastforce
  also have ... = OUT (Exp b c) (Fun h x) y
    using True h Fun-def by auto
  finally show (if y ∈ Set b then (eval b c · prod h b) · tuple x y else null) =
    OUT (Exp b c) (Fun h x) y
    by blast
qed
qed
finally have *: OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x) =
  OUT (Exp b c) (Fun h x)
  by simp
show Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x = Fun h x
proof -
  have Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x =
    IN (Exp b c) (OUT (Exp b c) (Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x))
  proof -
    have Fun (Curry a b c (eval b c · prod h b)) x ∈ Set (mkide (Exp b c))
  proof -
    have «Curry a b c (eval b c · prod h b) : a → exp b c»
      using a b c h par
      Curry-in-hom [of a b c C (eval b c) (prod h b)]
      by (metis arr-iff-in-hom in-homE)

```

```

    hence Fun (Curry a b c (eval b c · prod h b)) ∈ Set a → Set (exp b c)
      using Fun-in-Hom [of Curry a b c (eval b c · prod h b) a exp b c]
      by blast
    thus ?thesis
      using True exp-def by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using True a b c h small-Exp embeds-Exp
      IN-OUT [of Exp b c Fun (Curry a b c (C (eval b c) (prod h b))) x]
    by presburger
  qed
  also have ... = IN (Exp b c) (OUT (Exp b c) (Fun h x))
    using * by simp
  also have ... = Fun h x
  proof -
    have Fun h x ∈ Set (mkide (Exp b c))
      using True b c h Fun-def exp-def by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using True b c h small-Exp embeds-Exp
        IN-OUT [of Exp b c Fun h x]
      by presburger
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *is-elementary-cartesian-closed-category*:

shows *elementary-cartesian-closed-category* *C* *pr₀* *pr₁* **1**[?] *some-terminator exp eval Curry*

..

lemma *is-cartesian-closed-category*:

shows *cartesian-closed-category* *C*

..

end

4.11.1 Exported Notions

context *sets-cat-with-tupling*

begin

sublocale *sets-cat-with-pairing* ..

interpretation *Expos*: *exponentials-in-sets-cat sml C* ..

abbreviation *Exp*
where *Exp* \equiv *Expos.Exp*

abbreviation *exp*
where *exp* \equiv *Expos.exp*

lemma *ide-exp*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows *ide (exp a b)*
using *assms Expos.ide-exp* **by** *blast*

lemma *exp-comparison-map-props*:
assumes *ide a* **and** *ide b*
shows $OUT (Exp a b) \in Set (exp a b) \rightarrow Exp a b$
and $IN (Exp a b) \in Exp a b \rightarrow Set (exp a b)$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in Set (exp a b) \implies IN (Exp a b) (OUT (Exp a b) x) = x$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in Exp a b \implies OUT (Exp a b) (IN (Exp a b) y) = y$
and *bij-betw* ($OUT (Exp a b)$) ($Set (exp a b)$) ($Exp a b$)
and *bij-betw* ($IN (Exp a b)$) ($Exp a b$) ($Set (exp a b)$)
proof –
show $OUT (Exp a b) \in Set (exp a b) \rightarrow Exp a b$
using *assms Expos.ide-exp(2)* [*of a b*] *bij-betw-def* *bij-betw-imp-funcset*
by *simp*
thus $IN (Exp a b) \in Exp a b \rightarrow Set (exp a b)$
using *assms Expos.exp-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *HOL.ext* *Expos.ide-exp(2)* *bij-betw-imp-funcset* *bij-betw-inv-into*)
show $\bigwedge x. x \in Set (exp a b) \implies IN (Exp a b) (OUT (Exp a b) x) = x$
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *HOL.ext* *Expos.exp-def* *Expos.ide-exp(2)* *bij-betw-inv-into-left*)
show $\bigwedge y. y \in Exp a b \implies OUT (Exp a b) (IN (Exp a b) y) = y$
using *assms*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *HOL.ext* *Expos.exp-def* *Expos.ide-exp(2)* *bij-betw-inv-into-right*)
show *bij-betw* ($OUT (Exp a b)$) ($Set (exp a b)$) ($Exp a b$)
using *assms Expos.exponentials-in-sets-cat-axioms* *exponentials-in-sets-cat.ide-exp(2)*
by *fastforce*
show *bij-betw* ($IN (Exp a b)$) ($Exp a b$) ($Set (exp a b)$)
using *assms Expos.exponentials-in-sets-cat-axioms* *exponentials-in-sets-cat.ide-exp(3)*
by *fastforce*
qed

abbreviation *Eval*
where *Eval* \equiv *Expos.Eval*

abbreviation *eval*
where *eval* \equiv *Expos.eval*

lemma *eval-in-hom* [*intro*, *simp*]:
assumes *ide b* **and** *ide c*
shows $\langle\langle eval b c : prod (exp b c) b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$


```

using assms Expos.eval-in-hom by blast

lemma eval-simps [simp]:
assumes ide b and ide c
shows arr (eval b c) and dom (eval b c) = prod (exp b c) b and cod (eval b c) = c
using assms Expos.eval-simps by auto

lemma Fun-eval:
assumes ide b and ide c
shows Fun (eval b c) = Eval b c
unfolding eval-def
using assms Expos.Fun-eval [of b c] by simp

abbreviation Curry
where Curry  $\equiv$  Expos.Curry

lemma Curry-in-hom [intro, simp]:
assumes ide a and ide b and ide c
and  $\langle\langle f : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$ 
shows  $\langle\langle \text{Curry } a \ b \ c \ f : a \rightarrow \text{exp } b \ c \rangle\rangle$ 
using assms Expos.Curry-in-hom by auto

lemma Curry-simps [simp]:
assumes ide a and ide b and ide c
and  $\langle\langle f : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$ 
shows arr (Curry a b c f)
and dom (Curry a b c f) = a and cod (Curry a b c f) = exp b c
using assms Expos.Curry-simps by auto

lemma Fun-Curry:
assumes ide a and ide b and ide c
and  $\langle\langle f : \text{prod } a \ b \rightarrow c \rangle\rangle$ 
shows Fun (Curry a b c f) =
  ( $\lambda x.$  if x  $\in$  Set a
    then IN (Exp b c) ( $\lambda y.$  if y  $\in$  Set b then C f (tuple x y) else null)
    else null)
using assms Expos.Fun-Curry by blast

theorem is-cartesian-closed:
shows elementary-cartesian-closed-category C pr0 pr1 1? some-terminator exp eval Curry
and cartesian-closed-category C
using Expos.is-elementary-cartesian-closed-category Expos.is-cartesian-closed-category
by auto

end

```

4.12 Subobject Classifier

In this section we show that a sets category has a subobject classifier, which is a categorical formulation of set comprehension. We give here a formal definition of subobject classifier, because we have not done that elsewhere to date, but ultimately this definition would perhaps be better placed with a development of the theory of elementary topoi, which are cartesian closed categories with subobject classifier.

context *category*
begin

A subobject classifier is a monomorphism tt from a terminal object into an object Ω , which we may regard as an “object of truth values”, such that for every monomorphism m there exists a unique arrow $\chi : \text{cod } m \rightarrow \Omega$, such that m is given by the pullback of tt along χ .

definition *subobject-classifier*
where *subobject-classifier* $tt \equiv$
 $\text{mono } tt \wedge \text{terminal } (\text{dom } tt) \wedge$
 $(\forall m. \text{mono } m \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists ! \chi. \langle \chi : \text{cod } m \rightarrow \text{cod } tt \rangle \wedge$
 $\text{has-as-pullback } tt \chi (\text{THE } f. \langle f : \text{dom } m \rightarrow \text{dom } tt \rangle) m))$

lemma *subobject-classifierI* [intro]:
assumes $\langle tt : \text{one} \rightarrow \Omega \rangle$ **and** *terminal one* **and** *mono tt*
and $\bigwedge m. \text{mono } m \implies \exists ! \chi. \langle \chi : \text{cod } m \rightarrow \Omega \rangle \wedge$
 $\text{has-as-pullback } tt \chi (\text{THE } f. \langle f : \text{dom } m \rightarrow \text{one} \rangle) m$
shows *subobject-classifier* tt
using *assms subobject-classifier-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *subobject-classifierE* [elim]:
assumes *subobject-classifier* tt
and $\llbracket \text{mono } tt; \text{terminal } (\text{dom } tt);$
 $\bigwedge m. \text{mono } m \implies \exists ! \chi. \langle \chi : \text{cod } m \rightarrow \text{cod } tt \rangle \wedge$
 $\text{has-as-pullback } tt \chi (\text{THE } f. \langle f : \text{dom } m \rightarrow \text{dom } tt \rangle) m \rrbracket$
 $\implies T$
shows T
using *assms subobject-classifier-def* **by** *force*

end

locale *category-with-subobject-classifier* =
 $\text{category} +$
assumes *has-subobject-classifier-ax*: $\exists tt. \text{subobject-classifier } tt$
begin

sublocale *category-with-terminal-object*
using *category-axioms category-with-terminal-object.intro*
 $\text{category-with-terminal-object-axioms-def } \text{has-subobject-classifier-ax}$
by *force*

end

context *sets-cat-with-bool*
begin

For a sets category, the two-point object **2** (which exists in the current context *sets-cat-with-bool*) serves as the object of truth values. The subobject classifier will be the arrow $tt : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$.

Here we define a mapping χ that takes a monomorphism m to a corresponding “predicate” $\chi\ m : \text{cod } m \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$.

abbreviation *Chi*
where $Chi\ m \equiv \lambda y. \text{ if } y \in \text{Set } (\text{cod } m)$
 then
 $\text{ if } y \in \text{Fun } m \text{ ‘ Set } (\text{dom } m) \text{ then } tt \text{ else } ff$
 else null

definition $\chi :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U$
where $\chi\ m \equiv \text{mkarr } (\text{cod } m)\ \mathbf{2}\ (Chi\ m)$

lemma $\chi\text{-in-hom}$ [*intro, simp*]:
assumes $\langle m : b \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** *mono m*
shows $\langle \chi\ m : a \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rangle$
using *assms ide-two ff-in-hom tt-in-hom χ -def mkarr-in-hom* **by** *auto*

lemma $\chi\text{-simps}$ [*simp*]:
assumes $\langle m : b \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** *mono m*
shows $\text{arr } (\chi\ m)$ **and** $\text{dom } (\chi\ m) = a$ **and** $\text{cod } (\chi\ m) = \mathbf{2}$
using *assms χ -in-hom* **by** *blast+*

lemma *Fun- χ* :
assumes $\langle m : b \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** *mono m*
shows $\text{Fun } (\chi\ m) = Chi\ m$
unfolding $\chi\text{-def}$
using *assms Fun-mkarr*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) χ -def χ -in-hom arrI*)

lemma *bij-Fun-mono*:
assumes $\langle m : b \rightarrow a \rangle$ **and** *mono m*
shows *bij-betw* ($\text{Fun } m$) ($\text{Set } b$) $\{y. y \in \text{Set } a \wedge \chi\ m \cdot y = tt\}$
proof –
 have $\{y. y \in \text{Set } a \wedge \chi\ m \cdot y = tt\} = \{y. y \in \text{Set } a \wedge Chi\ m\ y = tt\}$
 proof –
 have $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{Set } a \implies \chi\ m \cdot y = tt \longleftrightarrow Chi\ m\ y = tt$
 by (*metis Fun- χ Fun-arr χ -in-hom assms(1,2)*)
 thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
 qed
moreover **have** *bij-betw* ($\text{Fun } m$) ($\text{Set } b$) $\{y. y \in \text{Set } a \wedge Chi\ m\ y = tt\}$
unfolding *bij-betw-def*

```

    using assms mono-char tt-def ff-def tt-ne-ff Fun-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma *has-subobject-classifier*:

shows *subobject-classifier tt*

proof

show $\langle tt : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rangle$

using *tt-in-hom* by *blast*

show *terminal* $\mathbf{1}^?$

using *terminal-some-terminal* by *blast*

show *mono* *tt*

using *mono-tt* by *blast*

fix *m*

assume *m*: *mono m*

define *b* where *b-def*: $b = \text{dom } m$

define *a* where *a-def*: $a = \text{cod } m$

have *m*: $\langle m : b \rightarrow a \rangle \wedge \text{mono } m$

using *m a-def b-def mono-implies-arr* by *blast*

have *bij-Fun-m*: *bij-betw* (*Fun m*) (*Set b*) $\{y \in \text{Set } a. \chi \ m \cdot y = tt\}$

using *m bij-Fun-mono* by *presburger*

have $\exists! \chi. \langle \chi : a \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rangle \wedge \text{has-as-pullback } tt \ \chi \ t^?[b] \ m$

proof –

have *1*: $\langle \chi \ m : a \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rangle$

using *m χ -in-hom* by *blast*

moreover have *2*: *has-as-pullback* *tt* ($\chi \ m$) $t^?[b] \ m$

proof

show *cs*: *commutative-square* *tt* ($\chi \ m$) $t^?[b] \ m$

proof

show *cospan* *tt* ($\chi \ m$)

by (*metis* (*lifting*) *χ -in-hom arr-iff-in-hom m in-homE mono-char tt-simps(1,3)*)

show *span*: *span* $t^?[b] \ m$

using *m* by *auto*

show $\text{dom } tt = \text{cod } t^?[b]$

using *m* by *auto*

show $tt \cdot t^?[b] = \chi \ m \cdot m$

proof (*intro arr-eqI*)

show *par*: *par* ($tt \cdot t^?[b]$) ($\chi \ m \cdot m$)

using *m $\langle \text{span } t^?[b] \ m \rangle$ a-def b-def* by *auto*

show *Fun* ($tt \cdot t^?[b]$) = *Fun* ($\chi \ m \cdot m$)

proof

fix *x*

show *Fun* ($tt \cdot t^?[b]$) *x* = *Fun* ($\chi \ m \cdot m$) *x*

proof (*cases x $\in \text{Set } b$*)

case *False*

show *?thesis*

using *False par m Fun-def* by *auto*

next

case *True*

```

have Fun (tt · t?[b]) x = Fun tt (Fun t?[b] x)
  using Fun-comp par by auto
also have ... = (λx. if x ∈ Set 1? then tt else null)
  (if x ∈ Set b then 1? else null)
  using Fun-some-terminator Fun-tt span b-def ide-dom by auto
also have ... = tt
  using True ide-in-hom ide-some-terminal by auto
also have ... = (λx. if x ∈ Set a then tt else null) (Fun m x)
  using m True Fun-def
  by (metis CollectD CollectI in-homE comp-in-homI)
also have ... = Chi m (Fun m x)
  using app-mkarr m Fun-def by auto
also have ... = Fun (χ m) (Fun m x)
  using m Fun-χ [of m b a] by simp
also have ... = Fun (χ m · m) x
  by (metis comp-eq-dest-lhs par Fun-comp)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
show ∧h k. commutative-square tt (χ m) h k ⇒ ∃!l. t?[b] · l = h ∧ m · l = k
proof -
  fix h k
  assume hk: commutative-square tt (χ m) h k
  have inj-m: inj-on (Fun m) (Set b)
    using m mono-char by blast
  have kx: ∧x. x ∈ Set (dom h) ⇒ k · x ∈ {y ∈ Set a. χ m · y = tt}
  proof -
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ Set (dom h)
    have χ m · k · x = tt · h · x
      using hk comp-assoc
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) commutative-squareE)
  hence χ m · k · x = tt
    by (metis (lifting) IntI Int-Collect comp-arr-dom comp-in-homI' in-homE
      commutative-squareE hk ide-some-terminal ide-in-hom some-trm-eqI
      tt-simps(2) x)
  thus k · x ∈ {y ∈ Set a. χ m · y = tt}
    using hk comp-assoc
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) 1 dom-comp in-homE in-homI mem-Collect-eq
    seqE tt-simps(1,2))
qed
let ?l = mkarr (dom h) b
  (λx. if x ∈ Set (dom h) then inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x) else null)
have l: «?l : dom h → b»
proof (intro mkarr-in-hom)
  show ide (dom h)
    using hk ide-dom by blast

```

```

show ide b
  using m by auto
show (λx. if x ∈ Set (dom h) then inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x) else null)
      ∈ Hom (dom h) b
proof
  show (λx. if x ∈ Set (dom h) then inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x) else null)
      ∈ Set (dom h) → Set b
  proof
    fix x
    assume x: x ∈ Set (dom h)
    have inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x) ∈ Set b ∧
          Fun m (inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x)) = k · x
      using x bij-Fun-m kx
    by (meson bij-betw-apply bij-betw-inv-into bij-betw-inv-into-right)
    thus (if x ∈ Set (dom h) then inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x) else null)
        ∈ Set b
    using x by presburger
  qed
show (λx. if x ∈ Set (dom h) then inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x) else null)
      ∈ {F. ∀ x. x ∉ Set (dom h) → F x = null}
  by auto
qed
qed
have t?[b] · ?l = h
  by (metis (lifting) commutative-square-def comp-cod-arr
        elementary-category-with-terminal-object.trm-naturality
        elementary-category-with-terminal-object.trm-one
        extends-to-elementary-category-with-terminal-object hk in-homE l
        tt-simps(2))
moreover have m · ?l = k
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show par: par (m · ?l) k
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext χ-simps(2) m cod-comp dom-comp seqI'
        commutative-squareE hk in-homE l)
  show Fun (m · ?l) = Fun k
  proof
    fix x
    show Fun (m · ?l) x = Fun k x
    proof (cases x ∈ Set (dom h))
      case False
      show ?thesis
        using False par commutative-square-def Fun-def by auto
      next
      case True
      have Fun (m · ?l) x = Fun m (Fun ?l x)
        using True Fun-comp CollectI m comp-in-homI in-homE l comp-assoc par
        by fastforce
      also have ... = Fun m (inv-into (Set b) (Fun m) (k · x))
        using True m app-mkarr l by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

    also have ... = k · x
      using True bij-Fun-m bij-betw-inv-into-right kx by force
    also have ... = Fun k x
      using True hk Fun-def by fastforce
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
qed
ultimately have 1: t?[b] · ?l = h ∧ m · ?l = k by blast
moreover have ∧l'. t?[b] · l' = h ∧ m · l' = k ⇒ l' = ?l
  using m l
  by (metis (lifting) ⟨m · ?l = k⟩ seqI' mono-cancel)
ultimately show ∃!l. t?[b] · l = h ∧ m · l = k by auto
qed
qed
moreover have ∧χ'. «χ' : a → 2» ∧ has-as-pullback tt χ' t?[b] m ⇒ χ' = χ m
proof -
  fix χ'
  assume χ': «χ' : a → 2» ∧ has-as-pullback tt χ' t?[b] m
  show χ' = χ m
  proof (intro arr-eqI' [of χ'])
    show «χ' : a → 2»
      using χ' by simp
    show «χ m : a → 2»
      using 1 by force
    show ∧y. «y : 1? → a» ⇒ χ' · y = χ m · y
  proof -
    fix y
    assume y: «y : 1? → a»
    show χ' · y = χ m · y
    proof (cases y ∈ Set a)
      case False
      show ?thesis
        using False y by blast
      next
      case True
      show ?thesis
        proof (cases y ∈ Fun m ' Set b)
          case True
          obtain x where x: x ∈ Set b ∧ y = Fun m x
            using True by blast
          have χ' · y = χ' · m · x
            using x y Fun-def by auto
          also have ... = tt · 1?
            using χ' x Fun-def
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext Fun-some-terminator m
              commutative-square-def has-as-pullbackE ide-dom in-homE comp-assoc)
          also have ... = χ m · m · x
            using 1 2 x χ-def app-mkarr m comp-arr-dom y Fun-def by auto

```

```

also have ... =  $\chi \ m \cdot y$ 
  using  $x \ y \text{ Fun-def}$  by auto
finally show ?thesis by blast
next
case False
have  $\chi' \cdot y = \text{ff}$ 
proof -
  have  $\chi' \cdot y = \text{tt} \implies \text{False}$ 
  proof -
    assume  $\exists: \chi' \cdot y = \text{tt}$ 
    hence commutative-square  $\text{tt } \chi' \mathbf{1}^? y$ 
      by (metis « $\chi' : a \rightarrow \mathbf{2}$ » commutative-squareI comp-arr-dom ideD(1,2,3)
        ide-some-terminal in-homE tt-simps(1,2,3) y)
    hence  $\exists x. x \in \text{Set } b \wedge m \cdot x = y \wedge \text{t}^?[b] \cdot x = \mathbf{1}^?$ 
      using  $\chi' \text{ has-as-pullbackE}$  [of  $\text{tt } \chi' \text{t}^?[b] m$ ]
      by (metis arr-iff-in-hom m dom-comp in-homE mem-Collect-eq seqE y)
    thus False
      using False  $\chi' m \text{ Fun-def}$  by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using Set-two  $\chi' y$  by blast
qed
also have ... =  $\chi \ m \cdot y$ 
  using 1 False app-mkarr  $m \ y \ \chi\text{-def}$  by auto
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
ultimately show  $\exists! \chi. \langle \chi : a \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rangle \wedge \text{has-as-pullback } \text{tt } \chi \text{t}^?[b] m$ 
  by blast
qed
moreover have  $\text{t}^?[b] = (\text{THE } t. \langle t : \text{dom } m \rightarrow \mathbf{1}^? \rangle)$ 
  using terminal-some-terminal the1-equality [of  $\lambda t. \langle t : \text{dom } m \rightarrow \mathbf{1}^? \rangle$ ]
  by (simp add: b-def m mono-implies-arr some-terminator-def)
ultimately show  $\exists! \chi. \langle \chi : \text{cod } m \rightarrow \mathbf{2} \rangle \wedge$ 
      has-as-pullback  $\text{tt } \chi (\text{THE } t. \langle t : \text{dom } m \rightarrow \mathbf{1}^? \rangle) m$ 
  using  $m$  by auto
qed

sublocale category-with-subobject-classifier
  using has-subobject-classifier
  by unfold-locales auto

lemma is-category-with-subobject-classifier:
shows category-with-subobject-classifier C
..

```


end

4.13 Natural Numbers Object

In this section we show that a sets category has a natural numbers object, assuming that the smallness notion is such that the set of natural numbers is small, and assuming that the collection of arrows admits lifting, so that the category has infinitely many arrows.

```

locale sets-cat-with-infinity =
  sets-cat sml C +
  small-nat sml +
  lifting ⟨Collect arr⟩
for sml :: 'V set ⇒ bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr ⟨·⟩ 55)
begin

  abbreviation nat (N)
  where nat ≡ mkide (UNIV :: nat set)

  lemma ide-nat:
  shows ide N
  and bij-betw (OUT (UNIV :: nat set)) (Set N) (UNIV :: nat set)
  and bij-betw (IN (UNIV :: nat set)) (UNIV :: nat set) (Set N)
    using small-nat embeds-nat bij-OUT bij-IN by auto

  abbreviation Zero
  where Zero ≡ λx. if x ∈ Set 1? then IN (UNIV :: nat set) 0 else null

  lemma Zero-in-Hom:
  shows Zero ∈ Hom 1? N
    using Pi-I' bij-betwE ide-nat(3) by fastforce

  definition zero
  where zero ≡ mkarr 1? N Zero

  lemma zero-in-hom [intro, simp]:
  shows «zero : 1? → N»
    using mkarr-in-hom [of 1? N] Zero-in-Hom ide-nat(1) ide-some-terminal zero-def
    by presburger

  lemma zero-simps [simp]:
  shows arr zero and dom zero = 1? and cod zero = N
    using zero-in-hom by blast+

  lemma Fun-zero:
  shows Fun zero = Zero
    using zero-def app-mkarr zero-in-hom zero-simps(2) by auto

```

```

abbreviation Succ
where Succ  $\equiv \lambda x.$  if  $x \in \text{Set } \mathbf{N}$  then IN (UNIV :: nat set) (Suc (OUT UNIV x)) else null

lemma Succ-in-Hom:
shows Succ  $\in \text{Hom } \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{N}$ 
  using Pi-I' bij-betwE ide-nat(3) by fastforce

definition succ
where succ  $\equiv \text{mkarr } \mathbf{N} \ \mathbf{N} \ \text{Succ}$ 

lemma succ-in-hom [intro]:
shows «succ :  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{N}$ »
  using Succ-in-Hom ide-nat(1) succ-def by auto

lemma succ-simps [simp]:
shows arr succ and dom succ =  $\mathbf{N}$  and cod succ =  $\mathbf{N}$ 
  using succ-in-hom by blast+

lemma Fun-succ:
shows Fun succ = Succ
  using succ-def app-mkarr succ-in-hom succ-simps(2) by auto

lemma nat-universality:
assumes «Z :  $1^? \rightarrow a$ » and «S :  $a \rightarrow a$ »
shows  $\exists ! f. \langle f : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow a \rangle \wedge f \cdot \text{zero} = Z \wedge f \cdot \text{succ} = S \cdot f$ 
proof –
  let ?F =  $\lambda n.$  if  $n \in \text{Set } \mathbf{N}$  then  $((\cdot) \ S \ \frown \text{OUT } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set}) \ n) \ Z$  else null
  have F: ?F  $\in \text{Hom } \mathbf{N} \ a$ 
  proof
    show ?F  $\in \{F. \forall x. x \notin \text{Set } (\text{mkide } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set})) \longrightarrow F \ x = \text{null}\}$  by simp
    show ?F  $\in \text{Set } \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \text{Set } a$ 
    proof
      have 1:  $\bigwedge k. ((\cdot) \ S \ \frown k) \ Z \in \text{Set } a$ 
      proof –
        fix k
        show  $((\cdot) \ S \ \frown k) \ Z \in \text{Set } a$ 
        using assms by (induct k) auto
      qed
    fix n
    assume n:  $n \in \text{Set } \mathbf{N}$ 
    show ?F n  $\in \text{Set } a$ 
    using n 1 by auto
  qed
  qed
  let ?f = mkarr  $\mathbf{N} \ a \ ?F$ 
  have f: «?f :  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow a$ »
    using mkarr-in-hom F assms(2) ide-nat(1) by auto
  have «?f :  $\mathbf{N} \rightarrow a$ »  $\wedge ?f \cdot \text{zero} = Z \wedge ?f \cdot \text{succ} = S \cdot ?f$ 
  proof (intro conjI)

```

```

show «?f : N → a» by fact
show ?f · zero = Z
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show par: par (?f · zero) Z
    using assms(1) f by fastforce
  show Fun (?f · zero) = Fun Z
proof -
  have Fun (?f · zero) = Fun ?f ∘ Fun zero
    using Fun-comp par by blast
  also have ... = ?F ∘ Zero
    using Fun-mkarr Fun-zero par by fastforce
  also have ... = Fun Z
proof
  fix x
  show (?F ∘ Zero) x = Fun Z x
  proof (cases x ∈ Set 1?)
    case False
    show ?thesis
      using False par Fun-def by auto
    next
    case True
    have (?F ∘ Zero) x =
      ((·) S  $\frown$  OUT (UNIV :: nat set) (IN (UNIV :: nat set) 0)) Z
      using True bij-betw-imp-surj-on ide-nat(3) by fastforce
    also have ... = ((·) S  $\frown$  0) Z
      using OUT-IN [of UNIV :: nat set 0 :: nat] small-nat embeds-nat
      by simp
    also have ... = Fun Z x
      using True Fun-def
      by (metis assms(1) comp-arr-dom funpow-0 ide-in-hom ide-some-terminal
        in-homE mem-Collect-eq some-trm-eqI)
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
show ?f · succ = S · ?f
proof (intro arr-eqI)
  show par: par (?f · succ) (S · ?f)
    using assms(2) f by fastforce
  show Fun (?f · succ) = Fun (S · ?f)
proof -
  have Fun (?f · succ) = Fun ?f ∘ Fun succ
    using Fun-comp par by blast
  also have ... = Fun S ∘ Fun ?f
proof
  fix x
  show (Fun ?f ∘ Fun succ) x = (Fun S ∘ Fun ?f) x

```

```

proof (cases x ∈ Set N)
  case False
  show ?thesis
    using False f Fun-def by auto
  next
  case True
  have (Fun ?f ∘ Fun succ) x = ?F (succ · x)
    using True f app-mkarr [of N a - succ · x] Fun-def by auto
  also have ... = ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  OUT UNIV (succ · x)) Z
    using True f by auto
  also have ... = ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  Suc (OUT UNIV x)) Z
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fun-def Fun-succ True UNIV-I bij-betw-def
      bij-betw-inv-into-left ide-nat(2,3) mem-Collect-eq rangeI succ-simps(2))
  also have ... = S · ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  OUT UNIV x) Z
    by auto
  also have ... = S · ?F x
    using True by auto
  also have ... = S · Fun ?f x
    using f by auto
  also have ... = Fun S (Fun ?f x)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectD CollectI Fun-def dom-comp in-homE
      in-homI ext null-is-zero(2) seqE)
  also have ... = (Fun S ∘ Fun ?f) x
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
also have ... = Fun (S · ?f)
  using Fun-comp par by presburger
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed
qed
moreover have  $\bigwedge f'. \langle f' : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow a \rangle \wedge f' \cdot \text{zero} = Z \wedge f' \cdot \text{succ} = S \cdot f' \longrightarrow f' = ?f$ 
proof (intro impI arr-eqI)
  fix f'
  assume f':  $\langle f' : \mathbf{N} \rightarrow a \rangle \wedge f' \cdot \text{zero} = Z \wedge f' \cdot \text{succ} = S \cdot f'$ 
  show par: par f' ?f
    using f f' by fastforce
  have *:  $\bigwedge k. ((\cdot) S \mathrel{\rightsquigarrow} k) Z = \text{Fun } f' (\text{IN UNIV } k)$ 
proof –
  fix k
  show ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  k) Z = Fun f' (IN UNIV k)
proof (induct k)
  show ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  0) Z = Fun f' (IN (UNIV :: nat set) 0)
    using f' app-mkarr
  unfolding zero-def
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) CollectI Fun-zero comp-arr-dom f' funpow-0
    ide-in-hom ide-some-terminal in-homE zero-in-hom Fun-def)

```

```

fix k
assume ind: ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  k) Z = Fun f' (IN UNIV k)
have Fun f' (IN UNIV (Suc k)) = Fun f' (succ · IN UNIV k)
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge n. OUT UNIV (IN UNIV (n::nat)) = n$ 
    by (metis (no-types) bij-betw-inv-into-right ide-nat(2) iso-tuple-UNIV-I)
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) Fun-def Fun-succ bij-betwE ide-nat(3) iso-tuple-UNIV-I
        succ-simps(2))
qed
also have ... = f' · succ · IN UNIV k
  using bij-betwE f' ide-nat(3) Fun-def by fastforce
also have ... = (f' · succ) · IN UNIV k
  using comp-assoc by simp
also have ... = S · Fun f' (IN UNIV k)
  using f' bij-betw-apply ide-nat(3) comp-assoc Fun-def by fastforce
also have ... = S · ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  k) Z
  using ind by simp
also have ... = ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  Suc k) Z
  by auto
finally show ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  Suc k) Z = Fun f' (IN UNIV (Suc k))
  by simp
qed
qed
show Fun f' = Fun ?f
proof
  fix x
  show Fun f' x = Fun ?f x
  proof (cases x ∈ Set N)
    case False
    show ?thesis
      using False par Fun-def by auto
    next
    case True
    have Fun ?f x = ((·) S  $\rightsquigarrow$  OUT UNIV x) Z
      using True app-mkarr f par by force
    also have ... = Fun f' (IN (UNIV :: nat set) (OUT UNIV x))
      using * by simp
    also have ... = Fun f' x
      using True IN-OUT small-nat embeds-nat by metis
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma *has-natural-numbers-object*:

shows $\exists a \ z \ s. \llbracket z : \mathbf{1}^? \rightarrow a \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket s : a \rightarrow a \rrbracket \wedge$

```

      (∀ a' z' s'. «z' : 1? → a'» ∧ «s' : a' → a'» →
        (∃ !f. «f : a → a'» ∧ f · z = z' ∧ f · s = s' · f))
proof –
  have «zero : 1? → nat» ∧ «succ : nat → nat» ∧
    (∀ a' z' s'. «z' : 1? → a'» ∧ «s' : a' → a'» →
      (∃ !f. «f : nat → a'» ∧ f · zero = z' ∧ f · succ = s' · f))
    using nat-universality by auto
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

end

4.14 Sets Category with Tupling and Infinity

Finally, if the collection of arrows of a sets category admits embeddings of all the usual set-theoretic constructions, then the category supports all of the constructions considered; in particular it is small-complete and small-cocomplete, is cartesian closed, has a subobject classifier (so that it is an elementary topos), and validates an axiom of infinity in the form of the existence of a natural numbers object.

```

context sets-cat-with-tupling
begin

```

```

  lemmas is-well-pointed epis-split has-binary-products has-binary-coproducts
    has-small-products has-small-coproducts has-equalizers has-coequalizers
    is-cartesian-closed has-subobject-classifier

```

end

```

locale sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity =
  sets-cat-with-tupling sml C +
  sets-cat-with-infinity sml C
for sml :: 'V set ⇒ bool
and C :: 'U comp (infixr ‹·› 55)
begin

```

```

  sublocale universe sml ‹Collect arr› null ..

```

```

  lemmas has-natural-numbers-object

```

end

end

Chapter 5

Interpretations of *universe*

```
theory Universe-Interps  
imports Universe ZFC-in-HOL.ZFC-Cardinals  
begin
```

In this section we give two interpretations of locales defined in theory *Universe*. In one interpretation, “finite” is taken as the notion of smallness and the set of natural numbers is used to interpret the *tupling* locale. In the second interpretation, the notion “small” is as defined in *ZFC-in-HOL* and the set of elements of the type *V* defined in that theory is used as the universe. This interpretation interprets the *universe* locale, which augments *universe* with the assumption *small-nat* that the set of natural numbers is small. The purpose of constructing these interpretations is to show the consistency of the *universe* locale assumptions (relative, of course to the consistency of HOL itself, and of HOL as extended in *ZFC-in-HOL*), as well as to provide a starting point for the construction of large categories, such as the category of small sets which is treated in this article.

5.1 Interpretation using Natural Numbers

We first give an interpretation for the *tupling* locale, taking the set of natural numbers as the universe and taking “finite” as the meaning of “small”.

```
context  
begin
```

We first establish properties of *finite* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *bool* as our notion of smallness.

```
interpretation smallness  $\langle$ finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$   
by unfold-locales (meson finite-surj lepoll-iff)
```

The notion *small* defined by the *smallness* locale agrees with the notion *finite* given as a locale parameter.

```
lemma finset-small-iff-finite:  
shows local.small X  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite X  
by (metis eqpoll-finite-iff eqpoll-iff-finite-card local.small-def)
```

interpretation *small-finite* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 by *unfold-locales blast*

lemma *small-finite-finset*:
shows *small-finite* (*finite* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *bool*)
 ..

interpretation *small-product* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 using *eqpoll-iff-finite-card* by *unfold-locales auto*

lemma *small-product-finset*:
shows *small-product* (*finite* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *bool*)
 ..

interpretation *small-sum* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 by *unfold-locales (meson eqpoll-iff-finite-card finite-SigmaI finite-lessThan)*

lemma *small-sum-finset*:
shows *small-sum* (*finite* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *bool*)
 ..

interpretation *small-powerset* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 using *eqpoll-iff-finite-card* by *unfold-locales blast*

lemma *small-powerset-finset*:
shows *small-powerset* (*finite* :: *nat set* \Rightarrow *bool*)
 ..

interpretation *small-funcset* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$..

As expected, the assumptions of locale *small-nat* are inconsistent with the present context.

lemma *large-nat-finset*:
shows $\neg \text{local.small } (\text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set})$
 using *finset-small-iff-finite large-UNIV* by *blast*

Next, we develop embedding properties of *UNIV* :: *nat set*.

interpretation *embedding* $\langle \text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set} \rangle$.

interpretation *lifting* $\langle \text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set} \rangle$
 by *unfold-locales blast*

lemma *nat-admits-lifting*:
shows *lifting* (*UNIV* :: *nat set*)
 ..

interpretation *pairing* $\langle \text{UNIV} :: \text{nat set} \rangle$
 by *unfold-locales blast*


```

lemma nat-admits-pairing:
shows pairing (UNIV :: nat set)
..

interpretation powering ⟨finite :: nat set ⇒ bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: nat set⟩
using inj-on-set-encode small-iff-sml
by unfold-locales auto

lemma nat-admits-finite-powering:
shows powering (finite :: nat set ⇒ bool) (UNIV :: nat set)
..

interpretation tupling ⟨finite :: nat set ⇒ bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: nat set⟩ ..

lemma nat-admits-finite-tupling:
shows tupling (finite :: nat set ⇒ bool) (UNIV :: nat set)
..

end

```

Finally, we give the interpretation of the *tupling* locale, stated in the top-level context in order to make it clear that it can be established directly in HOL, without depending somehow on any underlying locale assumptions.

```

interpretation nat-tupling: tupling ⟨finite :: nat set ⇒ bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: nat set⟩ undefined
using nat-admits-finite-tupling by blast

```

5.2 Interpretation using *ZFC-in-HOL*

We now give an interpretation for the *universe* locale, taking as the universe the set of elements of type *V* defined in *ZFC-in-HOL* as the universe and using the notion *small* also defined in that theory.

```

context
begin

```

We first develop properties of *small*, which we take as our notion of smallness.

```

interpretation smallness ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set ⇒ bool⟩
using lepoll-small by unfold-locales blast

```

The notion *small* defined by the *smallness* locale agrees with the notion *ZFC-in-HOL.small* given as a locale parameter.

```

lemma small-iff-ZFC-small:
shows local.small X ⟷ ZFC-in-HOL.small X
by (metis eqpoll-sym local.small-def small-epoll small-iff)

interpretation small-finite ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set ⇒ bool⟩
by unfold-locales

```

(meson eqpoll-sym finite-atLeastAtMost finite-imp-small small-elts small-epoll)

lemma *small-finite-ZFC*:

shows *small-finite* (*ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool*)

..

interpretation *small-product* \langle *ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool* \rangle

by *unfold-locales* (*metis eqpoll-sym small-Times small-elts small-epoll*)

lemma *small-product-ZFC*:

shows *small-product* (*ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool*)

..

interpretation *small-sum* \langle *ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool* \rangle

by *unfold-locales* (*meson eqpoll-sym small-Sigma small-elts small-epoll*)

lemma *small-sum-ZFC*:

shows *small-sum* (*ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool*)

..

We need the following, which does not seem to be directly available in *ZFC-in-HOL*.

lemma *ZFC-small-implies-small-powerset*:

fixes *X*

assumes *ZFC-in-HOL.small X*

shows *ZFC-in-HOL.small* (*Pow X*)

proof –

obtain *f v* **where** *f*: *inj-on f X* \wedge *f* ‘ *X* = *elts v*

using *assms imageE ZFC-in-HOL.small-def* **by** *meson*

obtain *f'* **where** *f'*: *inj-on f' (Pow X)* \wedge *f'* ‘ (*Pow X*) = *Pow (elts v)*

using *f image-Pow-surj inj-on-image-Pow* **by** *metis*

have *ZFC-in-HOL.small* (*f'* ‘ (*Pow X*))

using *assms f' ZFC-in-HOL.small-image-iff* [of *f' Pow X*]

by (*metis Pow-iff down elts-VPow inj-onCI inj-on-image-epoll-self set-injective small-epoll*)

moreover have *eqpoll* (*f'* ‘ (*Pow X*)) (*Pow X*)

using *f' eqpoll-sym inj-on-image-epoll-self* **by** *meson*

ultimately show *ZFC-in-HOL.small* (*Pow X*)

by (*metis image-iff inj-on-image-epoll-1 ZFC-in-HOL.small-def small-epoll*)

qed

interpretation *small-powerset* \langle *ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool* \rangle

by *unfold-locales*

(*meson eqpoll-sym gc-card-epoll small-iff ZFC-small-implies-small-powerset*)

lemma *small-powerset-ZFC*:

shows *small-powerset* (*ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool*)

..

interpretation *small-funcset* \langle *ZFC-in-HOL.small* :: *V set* \Rightarrow *bool* \rangle ..

```

lemma small-funcset-ZFC:
shows small-funcset (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
..

interpretation small-nat  $\langle$ ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$ 
proof –
  have ZFC-in-HOL.small (UNIV :: nat set)
    using small-image-nat by (metis surj-id)
  thus small-nat (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
    using gcard-eqpoll by unfold-locales auto
qed

lemma small-nat-ZFC:
shows small-nat (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
..

interpretation small-funcset-and-nat  $\langle$ ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$  ..

lemma small-funcset-and-nat-ZFC:
shows small-funcset-and-nat (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
..

Next, we develop embedding properties of UNIV :: V set.

interpretation embedding  $\langle$ UNIV :: V set $\rangle$  .

interpretation lifting  $\langle$ UNIV :: V set $\rangle$ 
proof
  let  $?_{\iota} = \lambda$  None  $\Rightarrow$  ZFC-in-HOL.set {}
    | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  ZFC-in-HOL.set {x}
  have is-embedding-of  $?_{\iota}$  ({None}  $\cup$  Some ‘ UNIV)
  proof
    show  $?_{\iota}$  ‘ ({None}  $\cup$  Some ‘ UNIV)  $\subseteq$  UNIV by blast
    show inj-on  $?_{\iota}$  ({None}  $\cup$  Some ‘ UNIV)
    proof
      fix x y
      assume x: x  $\in$  {None :: V option}  $\cup$  Some ‘ UNIV
      assume y: y  $\in$  {None :: V option}  $\cup$  Some ‘ UNIV
      assume eq:  $?_{\iota}$  x =  $?_{\iota}$  y
      show x = y
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) elts-of-set eq insert-not-empty option.case-eq-if
        option.collapse range-constant singleton-eq-iff small-image-nat)
    qed
  qed
  thus  $\exists \iota$  :: V option  $\Rightarrow$  V. is-embedding-of  $\iota$  ({None}  $\cup$  Some ‘ UNIV)
    by blast
qed

lemma V-admits-lifting:

```

```

shows lifting (UNIV :: V set)
..

interpretation pairing ⟨UNIV :: V set⟩
proof
  show  $\exists \iota :: V \times V \Rightarrow V. \text{is-embedding-of } \iota \text{ } (UNIV \times UNIV)$ 
    using inj-on-vpair by blast
qed

lemma V-admits-pairing:
shows pairing (UNIV :: V set)
..

interpretation powering ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: V set⟩
proof
  show  $\exists \iota :: V \text{ set} \Rightarrow V. \text{is-embedding-of } \iota \{X. X \subseteq UNIV \wedge \text{local.small } X\}$ 
    using inj-on-set small-iff-sml by auto
qed

lemma V-admits-small-powering:
shows powering (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool) (UNIV :: V set)
..

interpretation tupling ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: V set⟩ undefined ..

lemma V-admits-small-tupling:
shows tupling (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool) (UNIV :: V set)
..

interpretation universe ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: V set⟩ undefined
..

theorem V-is-universe:
shows universe (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool) (UNIV :: V set)
..

end

Finally, we give the interpretation of the universe locale, stated in the top-level context. Note however, that this is proved not in “vanilla HOL”, but rather in HOL as extended by the axiomatization in ZFC-in-HOL.

interpretation ZFC-universe: universe ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: V set => bool⟩ ⟨UNIV :: V set⟩ undefined
  using V-is-universe by blast

end

```

Chapter 6

Interpretations of *sets-cat*

```
theory SetsCat-Interps
imports Category3.ConcreteCategory Category3.ZFC-SetCat Category3.Colimit
        SetsCat Universe-Interps
begin
```

In this section we construct two interpretations of the *sets-cat* locale: one using “finite” as the notion of smallness and one that uses *small* from the theory *ZFC-in-HOL*. These interpretations demonstrate the consistency of the variants of the *sets-cat* locale: the interpretation using finiteness validates the *sets-cat-with-tupling* locale in unextended HOL, and the interpretation in terms of *ZFC-in-HOL* validates the *sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity* locale, assuming that the axiomatization of *ZFC-in-HOL* is consistent with HOL.

6.1 Category of Finite Sets

The *finite-sets-cat* locale defines a category having as objects the natural numbers and as arrows from m to n the functions from m -element sets to n -element sets. In view of *SetsCat.categoricity*, this is the unique interpretation (up to equivalence of categories) of *sets-cat* having a countably infinite collection of arrows.

```
locale finite-sets-cat
begin

  abbreviation OBJ
  where OBJ  $\equiv$  UNIV :: nat set

  abbreviation HOM
  where HOM  $\equiv$   $\lambda m\ n. \{1..m :: nat\} \rightarrow_E \{1..n :: nat\}$ 

  abbreviation Id
  where Id  $n \equiv \lambda x :: nat. \text{if } x \in \{1..n\} \text{ then } x \text{ else undefined}$ 

  abbreviation Comp
  where Comp - -  $m \equiv \text{compose } \{1..m\}$ 
```

interpretation *Fin*: concrete-category OBJ HOM Id Comp
 by *unfold-locales fastforce+*

abbreviation *comp*
 where *comp* \equiv *Fin.COMP*

lemma *terminal-MkIde-1*:
shows *Fin.terminal* (*Fin.MkIde 1*)
proof
 show $1: \text{Fin.ide } (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1)$
 using *Fin.ide-MkIde* by *blast*
 show $\bigwedge a. \text{Fin.ide } a \implies \exists ! f. \text{Fin.in-hom } f \ a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1)$
proof –
 fix *a*
 assume *a*: *Fin.ide a*
 let $?Ta = \lambda x. \text{if } x \in \{1.. \text{Fin.Dom } a\} \text{ then } 1 \text{ else undefined}$
 have $2: \text{HOM } (\text{Fin.Dom } a) \ 1 = \{?Ta\}$
 by (*cases Fin.Dom a = 0*) *auto*
 have $\text{Fin.hom } a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1) = \{\text{Fin.MkArr } (\text{Fin.Dom } a) \ 1 \ ?Ta\}$
proof
 show $\{\text{Fin.MkArr } (\text{Fin.Dom } a) \ 1 \ ?Ta\} \subseteq \text{Fin.hom } a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1)$
 using *a 1 2 Fin.bij-betw-hom-Hom* [*of a Fin.MkIde 1*] by *fastforce*
 show $\text{Fin.hom } a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1) \subseteq \{\text{Fin.MkArr } (\text{Fin.Dom } a) \ 1 \ ?Ta\}$
 using *a 1 2 Fin.bij-betw-hom-Hom*(1–4) [*of a Fin.MkIde 1*]
 by *auto*[1] (*simp add: Pi-iff*)
 qed
 thus $\exists ! f. \text{Fin.in-hom } f \ a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1)$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq singleton-iff*)
 qed
 qed

sublocale *category-with-terminal-object comp*
 using *terminal-MkIde-1*
 by *unfold-locales auto*

notation *some-terminal* ($\mathbf{1}^?$)

sublocale *sets-cat-base* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ *comp*
 by (*unfold-locales*) (*meson finite-surj lepoll-iff*)

sublocale *small-finite* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 using *Universe-Interps.small-finite-finset* by *blast*

sublocale *small-powerset* $\langle \text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
 using *small-powerset-finset* by *auto*

lemma *finite-HOM*:
shows *finite* (*HOM m n*)

```

by (simp add: finite-PiE)

lemma card-HOM:
shows card (HOM m n) = n ^ m
  by (simp add: card-funcsetE)

lemma terminal-charFSC:
shows Fin.terminal a  $\longleftrightarrow$  a = Fin.MkIde 1
proof
  show a = Fin.MkIde 1  $\implies$  Fin.terminal a
    using terminal-MkIde-1 by blast
  assume a: Fin.terminal a
  have a = Fin.MkIde (Fin.Dom a)
    using a Fin.terminal-def Fin.MkIde-Dom' by auto
  moreover have Fin.Dom a = 1
  proof -
    have Fin.Dom a  $\neq$  1  $\implies$   $\neg$  ( $\exists !f. \text{Fin.in-hom } f \ a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1)$ )
    proof -
      assume 1: Fin.Dom a  $\neq$  1
      have card (HOM 1 (Fin.Dom a))  $\neq$  1
        using 1 card-HOM
        by (metis power-one-right)
      moreover have card (HOM 1 (Fin.Dom a)) = card (Fin.hom (Fin.MkIde 1) a)
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext Fin.Dom.simps(1) a Fin.bij-betw-hom-Hom(5)
            bij-betw-same-card terminal-MkIde-1 Fin.terminal-def)
      moreover have  $\bigwedge A. (\exists !x. x \in A) \longleftrightarrow \text{card } A = 1$ 
        by (metis card-1-singletonE ex-in-conv insert-iff is-singletonI' is-singleton-altdef)
      ultimately show  $\neg$  ( $\exists !f. \text{Fin.in-hom } f \ a \ (\text{Fin.MkIde } 1)$ )
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) a mem-Collect-eq terminal-MkIde-1 Fin.terminal-def)
    qed
  thus ?thesis
    using a Fin.terminal-def terminal-MkIde-1 by force
  qed
  ultimately show a = Fin.MkIde 1 by auto
qed

lemma MkIde-1-eq:
shows Fin.MkIde 1 = 1?
  using terminal-charFSC terminal-some-terminal by presburger

lemma finite-Set:
assumes Fin.ide a
shows finite (Set a)
  by (metis asms bij-betw-finite Fin.bij-betw-hom-Hom(5) finite-HOM ide-some-terminal)

lemma card-Set:
assumes Fin.ide a
shows card (Set a) = Fin.Dom a
proof -

```

have $Set\ a = Fin.hom\ (Fin.MkIde\ 1)\ a$
using $assms\ MkIde-1-eq$ **by** $presburger$
moreover have $eqpoll\ (Fin.hom\ (Fin.MkIde\ 1)\ a)\ (HOM\ 1\ (Fin.Dom\ a))$
using $assms\ Fin.bij-betw-hom-Hom(5)[of\ Fin.MkIde\ 1\ a]\ eqpoll-def$
 $MkIde-1-eq\ ide-some-terminal$
by $auto$
moreover have $card\ (HOM\ 1\ (Fin.Dom\ a)) = Fin.Dom\ a$
using $card-HOM$
by $(metis\ power-one-right)$
ultimately show $?thesis$
by $(metis\ (lifting)\ bij-betw-same-card\ eqpoll-def)$
qed

abbreviation $mkpoint$
where $mkpoint\ n\ k \equiv Fin.MkArr\ 1\ n\ (\lambda x. \text{if } x = 1 \text{ then } k :: nat \text{ else undefined})$

abbreviation $valof$
where $valof\ x \equiv Fin.Map\ x\ (1 :: nat)$

lemma $mkpoint-in-hom$ $[intro, simp]$:
assumes $k \in \{1..n\}$
shows $Fin.in-hom\ (mkpoint\ n\ k)\ (Fin.MkIde\ 1)\ (Fin.MkIde\ n)$
using $assms\ Fin.MkArr-in-hom\ [of\ 1\ n - Fin.MkIde\ 1\ Fin.MkIde\ n]$ **by** $fastforce$

lemma $valof-in-range$:
assumes $Fin.in-hom\ x\ 1^? \ a$
shows $valof\ x \in \{1..Fin.Dom\ a\}$
using $assms\ Fin.arr-char\ [of\ x]\ Fin.dom-char\ Fin.cod-char$
by $(metis\ (no-types, lifting)\ Fin.Dom.simps(1)\ MkIde-1-eq\ PiE-E\ atLeastAtMost-singleton'\ Fin.in-hom-char\ singletonI)$

lemma $valof-mkpoint$:
shows $valof\ (mkpoint\ n\ k) = k$
by $force$

lemma $mkpoint-valof$:
assumes $Fin.in-hom\ x\ 1^? \ a$
shows $mkpoint\ (Fin.Dom\ a)\ (valof\ x) = x$
proof $(intro\ Fin.arr-eqI)$
show $Fin.arr\ (mkpoint\ (Fin.Dom\ a)\ (valof\ x))$
using $assms\ mkpoint-in-hom\ valof-in-range$ **by** $blast$
show $1: Fin.arr\ x$
using $assms$ **by** $blast$
show $2: Fin.Dom\ (mkpoint\ (Fin.Dom\ a)\ (valof\ x)) = Fin.Dom\ x$
by $(metis\ (lifting)\ Fin.Dom.simps(1)\ MkIde-1-eq\ assms\ Fin.in-hom-char)$
show $Fin.Cod\ (mkpoint\ (Fin.Dom\ a)\ (valof\ x)) = Fin.Cod\ x$
by $(metis\ (lifting)\ Fin.Cod.simps(1)\ MkIde-1-eq\ assms\ Fin.in-hom-char)$
show $Fin.Map\ (mkpoint\ (Fin.Dom\ a)\ (valof\ x)) = Fin.Map\ x$
proof —


```

have Fin.Map (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) (valof x)) =
  (λk. if k = 1 then valof x else undefined)
  by simp
also have ... = Fin.Map x
proof
  fix k
  show (if k = 1 then valof x else undefined) = Fin.Map x k
    using 1 2 Fin.arr-char by auto
qed
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

lemma Map-arr-eq:
assumes Fin.in-hom f a b
shows Fin.Map f = (λk. if k ∈ {1..Fin.Dom a}
  then Fin.Map (Fun f (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k)) 1
  else undefined)
  (is Fin.Map f = ?F)
proof
  fix k
  show Fin.Map f k = ?F k
proof (cases k ∈ {1..Fin.Dom a})
  case False
  show ?thesis using False
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fin.Map-in-Hom PiE-arb assms Fin.in-hom-char)
  next
  case True
  have ?F k = Fin.Map (Fun f (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k)) 1
    using True by simp
  also have ... = Fin.Map (comp f (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k)) 1
    using assms True mkpoint-in-hom [of k Fin.Dom a] MkIde-1-eq Fin.in-homE
      Fin.in-hom-char Fun-def
    by auto
  also have ... = Fin.Map f (Fin.Map (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k) (1 :: nat))
    using assms True mkpoint-in-hom Fin.in-hom-char Fin.Map-comp by auto
  also have ... = Fin.Map f k
    by force
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

sublocale sets-cat ⟨finite :: nat set ⇒ bool⟩ comp
proof
  show ∧a. Fin.ide a ⇒ nat-tupling.small (Set a)
    using finite-Set finset-small-iff-finite by blast
  show ∧A. [nat-tupling.small A; A ⊆ Collect Fin.arr] ⇒ ∃ a. Fin.ide a ∧ Set a ≈ A
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fin.Dom.simps(1) card-Set eqpoll-iff-card finite-Set
      finset-small-iff-finite Fin.ide-MkIde iso-tuple-UNIV-I)

```

```

show  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket \text{Fin.ide } a; \text{Fin.ide } b \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } \text{Fun } (\text{Fin.hom } a \ b)$ 
  using Map-arr-eq Fin.in-hom-char
  by (intro inj-onI Fin.arr-eqI) auto
show  $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket \text{Fin.ide } a; \text{Fin.ide } b \rrbracket \implies \text{Hom } a \ b \subseteq \text{Fun } ' \text{Fin.hom } a \ b$ 
proof
  fix a b
  assume a: Fin.ide a and b: Fin.ide b
  fix F
  assume F: F ∈ Hom a b
  show F ∈ Fun ' Fin.hom a b
  proof
    let ?F' = λk. if k ∈ {1..Fin.Dom a}
      then valof (F (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k))
      else undefined
    let ?f = Fin.MkArr (Fin.Dom a) (Fin.Dom b) ?F'
    show f: ?f ∈ Fin.hom a b
    proof
      show Fin.in-hom ?f a b
      proof
        show Fin.Dom a ∈ UNIV by auto
        show Fin.Dom b ∈ UNIV by auto
        show a = Fin.MkIde (Fin.Dom a)
          using a Fin.MkIde-Dom' by presburger
        show b = Fin.MkIde (Fin.Dom b)
          using b Fin.MkIde-Dom' by presburger
        show ?F' ∈ HOM (Fin.Dom a) (Fin.Dom b)
        proof
          fix k
          show k ∉ {1..Fin.Dom a} ⟹ ?F' k = undefined by auto
          show k ∈ {1..Fin.Dom a} ⟹ ?F' k ∈ {1..Fin.Dom b}
          proof –
            assume k: k ∈ {1..Fin.Dom a}
            have ?F' k = valof (F (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k))
              using k by simp
            moreover have ... ∈ {1..Fin.Dom b}
            proof –
              have F (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) k) ∈ Fin.hom 1? b
                using a k F mkpoint-in-hom MkIde-1-eq ⟨a = Fin.MkIde (Fin.Dom a)⟩
                by force
              thus ?thesis
                using valof-in-range by blast
            qed
            ultimately show ?thesis by auto
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  show F = Fun ?f
  proof

```

```

fix x
show  $F x = \text{Fun } ?f x$ 
proof (cases  $x \in \text{Fin.hom } 1^? a$ )
  case False
  show ?thesis
    using False  $F f a \text{ Fin.dom-eqI Fin.ide-in-hom Fin.seqI' Fun-def}$  by auto
  next
  case True
  show ?thesis
  proof (intro  $\text{Fin.arr-eqI}$ )
    show  $1: \text{Fin.arr } (F x)$ 
      using  $F \text{ True}$  by blast
    show  $2: \text{Fin.arr } (\text{Fun } ?f x)$ 
      using  $f \text{ True } a \text{ Fin.dom-eqI Fin.ide-in-hom Fin.seqI' Fun-def}$  by auto
    show  $\text{Fin.Dom } (F x) = \text{Fin.Dom } (\text{Fun } ?f x)$ 
    proof -
      have  $\text{Fin.Dom } (F x) = \text{Fin.Dom } 1^?$ 
        using  $F \text{ True}$ 
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-def Pi-iff Fin.in-hom-char mem-Collect-eq)
      also have  $\dots = \text{Fin.Dom } (\text{Fun } ?f x)$ 
        using  $\text{True } f$ 
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) 2 Fin.Dom-comp Fun-def Fin.arrE
            Fin.in-hom-char mem-Collect-eq Fin.null-char)
      finally show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  show  $\text{Fin.Cod } (F x) = \text{Fin.Cod } (\text{Fun } ?f x)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{Fin.Cod } (F x) = \text{Fin.Dom } b$ 
      using  $F \text{ True}$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-def Pi-mem Fin.in-hom-char mem-Collect-eq)
    also have  $\dots = \text{Fin.Cod } (\text{Fun } ?f x)$ 
      using  $\text{True } f$  2
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fin.Cod.simps(1) Fin.Cod-comp Fin.arrE
          Fin.null-char Fin.seq-char Fun-def)
    finally show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  show  $\text{Fin.Map } (F x) = \text{Fin.Map } (\text{Fun } ?f x)$ 
  proof
    fix k
    show  $\text{Fin.Map } (F x) k = \text{Fin.Map } (\text{Fun } ?f x) k$ 
    proof -
      have  $k \neq 1 \implies ?thesis$ 
      proof -
        assume  $k: k \neq 1$ 
        have  $1: \text{Fin.Map } (F x) k = \text{undefined}$ 
        proof -
          have  $\text{Fin.in-hom } (F x) 1^? b$ 
            using  $F \text{ True}$  by blast
          thus ?thesis

```

```

    using F True k Map-arr-eq [of F x 1? b]
    by (metis Fin.Dom.simps(1) MkIde-1-eq atLeastAtMost-iff le-antisym)
  qed
  also have ... = Fin.Map (Fun ?f x) k
  proof -
    have Fin.Map (Fun ?f x) k = Fin.Map (comp ?f x) k
    using f True Fun-def by fastforce
    also have ... = compose {1..Fin.Dom x} (Fin.Map ?f) (Fin.Map x) k
    using f True Fin.Map-comp
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fin.in-hom-char mem-Collect-eq)
    also have ... = undefined
  proof -
    have k ∉ {1..Fin.Dom x}
    using True k
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fin.Dom.simps(1) MkIde-1-eq
        atLeastAtMost-singleton Fin.in-hom-char mem-Collect-eq
        singleton-iff)
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  moreover have k = 1 ⇒ ?thesis
  proof -
    assume k: k = 1
    have Fin.Map (Fun ?f x) k = Fin.Map (comp ?f x) k
    using 2 Fun-def Fin.arrE Fin.null-char by fastforce
    also have ... = compose {1..1} (Fin.Map ?f) (Fin.Map x) k
    using f True Fin.Map-comp
    by (metis (lifting) Fin.Dom.simps(1) IntI Int-Collect MkIde-1-eq
        Fin.in-hom-char)
    also have ... = ?F' (Fin.Map x k)
    apply auto[1]
    by (auto simp add: k)
    also have ... = valof (F (mkpoint (Fin.Dom a) (Fin.Map x k)))
    using F True k a valof-in-range by auto
    also have ... = valof (F x)
    using F True k mkpoint-valof by force
    also have ... = Fin.Map (F x) k
    using F True k by argo
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

```

    qed
  qed
qed

lemma is-sets-cat:
shows sets-cat (finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool) comp
..

sublocale small-product (finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool)
  using small-product-finset by blast

sublocale sets-cat-with-pairing (finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool) comp
proof
  show  $\exists \iota. \text{is-embedding-of } \iota \text{ (Collect Fin.arr } \times \text{ Collect Fin.arr)}$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge A. \llbracket \text{countable } A; \text{infinite } A \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \exists \iota. \iota ' (A \times A) \subseteq A \wedge \text{inj-on } \iota (A \times A)$ 
    proof -
      fix A :: 'a set
      assume countable: countable A and infinite: infinite A
      obtain  $\varrho$  where  $\varrho$ : bij-betw  $\varrho (A \times A) (UNIV :: nat \text{ set})$ 
      using countable infinite countableE-infinite
      by (metis countable-SIGMA infinite-cartesian-product)
      obtain  $\sigma$  where  $\sigma$ : bij-betw  $\sigma (UNIV :: nat \text{ set}) A$ 
      using countable infinite bij-betw-from-nat-into by blast
      have  $(\sigma \circ \varrho) ' (A \times A) \subseteq A \wedge \text{inj-on } (\sigma \circ \varrho) (A \times A)$ 
      using  $\varrho \sigma$ 
      by (metis bij-betw-def comp-inj-on-iff equalityD2 image-comp)
      thus  $\exists \iota. \iota ' (A \times A) \subseteq A \wedge \text{inj-on } \iota (A \times A)$  by blast
    qed
  moreover have countable (Collect Fin.arr)  $\wedge$  infinite (Collect Fin.arr)
  proof
    show countable (Collect Fin.arr)
    proof -
      have Collect Fin.arr =
         $(\bigcup ab \in \text{Collect Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect Fin.ide. Fin.hom (fst ab) (snd ab)})$ 
      proof
        show  $(\bigcup ab \in \text{Collect Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect Fin.ide. Fin.hom (fst ab) (snd ab)}) \subseteq \text{Collect Fin.arr}$ 
        by blast
      show Collect Fin.arr  $\subseteq (\bigcup ab \in \text{Collect Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect Fin.ide. Fin.hom (fst ab) (snd ab)})$ 
      proof
        fix f
        assume f:  $f \in \text{Collect Fin.arr}$ 
        have Fin.ide (Fin.dom f)  $\wedge$  Fin.ide (Fin.cod f)  $\wedge$ 
           $f \in \text{Fin.hom (Fin.dom f) (Fin.cod f)}$ 
        using f Fin.ide-dom Fin.ide-cod by blast
        hence  $(\text{Fin.dom } f, \text{Fin.cod } f) \in \text{Collect Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect Fin.ide} \wedge$ 
           $f \in \text{Fin.hom (fst (Fin.dom } f, \text{Fin.cod } f)) (snd (Fin.dom } f, \text{Fin.cod } f))$ 
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

      by auto
    thus  $f \in (\bigcup ab \in \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide}. \text{Fin.hom } (fst\ ab) (snd\ ab))$ 
      by blast
  qed
qed
moreover have countable  $(\text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide})$ 
  using Fin.bij-betw-ide-Obj(5) by force
moreover have  $\bigwedge ab. ab \in \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide}$ 
   $\implies \text{finite } (\text{Fin.hom } (fst\ ab) (snd\ ab)) \wedge$ 
   $\text{card } (\text{Fin.hom } (fst\ ab) (snd\ ab)) =$ 
   $\text{Fin.Dom } (snd\ ab) \wedge \text{Fin.Dom } (fst\ ab)$ 
  by (metis bij-betw-finite Fin.bij-betw-hom-Hom(5) bij-betw-same-card card-HOM
    finite-HOM mem-Collect-eq mem-Times-iff)
ultimately show ?thesis
  using countable-UN countable-finite by (metis (lifting))
qed
show infinite  $(\text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr})$ 
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge X. \forall n. (\exists Y. Y \subseteq X \wedge \text{card } Y \geq n) \implies \text{infinite } X$ 
    by (metis card-mono not-less-eq-eq)
  moreover have  $\forall n. (\exists ab. ab \in \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide} \times \text{Collect } \text{Fin.ide} \wedge$ 
     $\text{card } (\text{Fin.hom } (fst\ ab) (snd\ ab)) \geq n)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext Fin.Dom.simps(1) SigmaI card-Set
      fst-conv Fin.ide-MkIde ide-some-terminal iso-tuple-UNIV-I mem-Collect-eq
      order-refl snd-conv)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Fin.in-homE mem-Collect-eq subsetI)
  qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

```

lemma *is-sets-cat-with-pairing*:

shows *sets-cat-with-pairing* $(\text{finite} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{bool})$ *comp*

..

sublocale *lifting* $\langle \text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr} \rangle$

proof

show *embeds* $(\{None\} \cup \text{Some } \text{'Collect } \text{Fin.arr})$

proof -

have $\bigwedge n :: \text{nat}. \text{Set } (\text{Fin.MkIde } n) \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr} \wedge \text{card } (\text{Set } (\text{Fin.MkIde } n)) = n$

using *card-Set Fin.ide-MkIde* **by** *fastforce*

hence *1*: *infinite* $(\text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr})$

by (*metis (lifting) Suc-n-not-le-n card-mono*)

obtain *a* **where** *a*: $a \in \text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr}$

using *1 not-finite-existsD* **by** *auto*

have *2*: *eqpoll* $(\text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr}) (\text{Collect } \text{Fin.arr} - \{a\})$

using *1 a*

```

    by (metis (lifting) infinite-insert-epoll infinite-remove insert-Diff)
  obtain f where f: f ' Collect Fin.arr  $\subseteq$  Collect Fin.arr - {a}  $\wedge$ 
    inj-on f (Collect Fin.arr)
    using 2
    by (metis (lifting) bij-betw-def epoll-def subset-refl)
  let ? $\iota$  =  $\lambda$ None  $\Rightarrow$  a | Some x  $\Rightarrow$  f x
  have is-embedding-of ? $\iota$  ({None}  $\cup$  Some ' Collect Fin.arr)
    using a f by (auto simp add: inj-on-def)
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
qed

sublocale sets-cat-with-powering  $\langle$ finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$  comp
proof
  show embeds {X. X  $\subseteq$  Collect Fin.arr  $\wedge$  nat-tupling.small X}
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge$ X. infinite X  $\Rightarrow$  epoll (Fpow X) X
      using Fpow-infinite-bij-betw epoll-def by blast
    hence epoll {X. X  $\subseteq$  Collect Fin.arr  $\wedge$  nat-tupling.small X} (Collect Fin.arr)
      using infinite-univ finset-small-iff-finite Fpow-def
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Collect-cong)
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis (lifting) bij-betw-def epoll-def subset-refl)
  qed
qed

lemma is-sets-cat-with-powering:
shows sets-cat-with-powering (finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool) comp
..

sublocale small-sum  $\langle$ finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$ 
  using small-sum-finset by blast

sublocale sets-cat-with-tupling  $\langle$ finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$  comp
  by unfold-locales

theorem is-sets-cat-with-tupling:
shows sets-cat-with-tupling (finite :: nat set  $\Rightarrow$  bool) comp
..

end

```

Here is the final top-level interpretation. Note that this is proved in “vanilla HOL” without any additional axioms.

interpretation $SetsCat_{fin}$: finite-sets-cat .

6.2 Category of ZFC Sets

In this section we construct an interpretation of *sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity*, which includes infinite sets. As this cannot be done in “vanilla HOL”, for this construction we use *ZFC-in-HOL*, which extends HOL with axioms for a type V that models the set-theoretic universe provided by ZFC. Actually, we have previously given, in theory *Category3.ZFC-SetCat*, a construction of a category of small sets and functions based on *ZFC-in-HOL*. Since that work was already done, all we need to do here is to show that the previously constructed category interprets the *sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity* locale.

locale *ZFC-sets-cat*
begin

Here we import the previous construction from *Category3.ZFC-SetCat*.

interpretation *ZFC*: *ZFC-set-cat* .

We use the notion of “smallness” provided by *ZFC-in-HOL*.

sublocale *smallness* $\langle \text{ZFC-in-HOL.small} :: \text{ZFC-in-HOL.V set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
using *lepoll-small* **by** *unfold-locales blast*

sublocale *sets-cat-base* $\langle \text{ZFC-in-HOL.small} :: \text{ZFC-in-HOL.V set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ *ZFC.comp*
using *ZFC.terminal-unity_{SC}* **by** *unfold-locales blast*

sublocale *sets-cat* $\langle \text{ZFC-in-HOL.small} :: \text{ZFC-in-HOL.V set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ *ZFC.comp*
proof

show $\bigwedge a. \text{ZFC.ide } a \Longrightarrow \text{ZFC-universe.small } (\text{Set } a)$

unfolding *ZFC-universe.small-def*

using *ZFC.ide-char_{SC}* *ZFC.setp-def* *ZFC.small-hom*

by (*meson eqpoll-sym small-elts small-egpoll*)

show $\bigwedge A. [\text{ZFC-universe.small } A; A \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{ZFC.arr}] \Longrightarrow \exists a. \text{ZFC.ide } a \wedge \text{Set } a \approx A$

proof –

fix A

assume *small*: *ZFC-universe.small* A **and** $A: A \subseteq \text{Collect } \text{ZFC.arr}$

let $?V = \lambda f. \text{vpair}$

$(\text{vpair } (\text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.dom } f)) (\text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.cod } f)))$
 $(\text{ZFC.V-of-arr } f)$

let $?A' = \text{ZFC.UP } ' ?V ' A$

have $\text{ZFC.ide } (\text{ZFC.mkIde } ?A') \wedge \text{ZFC.set } (\text{ZFC.mkIde } ?A') = ?A'$

using *ZFC.ide-mkIde* *ZFC.setp-def*

by (*metis (lifting) ZFC.set-mkIde bij-betw-imp-surj-on image-mono replacement*
replete-setcat.bij-arr-of small small-iff-ZFC-small
subset-UNIV)

moreover have $?A' \approx A$

proof –

have *inj* *ZFC.UP*

by (*simp add: ZFC.inj-UP*)

moreover have *inj-on* $?V$ (*Collect* *ZFC.arr*)

proof (*intro inj-onI*)


```

fix f g
assume f: f ∈ Collect ZFC.arr and g: g ∈ Collect ZFC.arr
assume eq: ?V f = ?V g
have ZFC.V-of-ide (ZFC.dom f) = ZFC.V-of-ide (ZFC.dom g) ∧
      ZFC.V-of-ide (ZFC.cod f) = ZFC.V-of-ide (ZFC.cod g) ∧
      ZFC.V-of-arr f = ZFC.V-of-arr g
  using f g eq by fastforce
thus f = g
  by (metis (lifting) ZFC-set-cat.bij-betw-hom-vfun(3) ZFC-set-cat.bij-betw-ide-V(3)
      ZFC.arr-iff-in-hom f g ZFC.ide-cod ZFC.ide-dom mem-Collect-eq)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) A eqpoll-refl inj-on-image-eqpoll-2
      subset-UNIV inj-on-subset)
qed
ultimately have ZFC.ide (ZFC.mkIde ?A') ∧ Set (ZFC.mkIde ?A') ≈ A
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) HOL.ext some-terminal-def ZFC.bij-betw-points-and-set
      eqpoll-def ZFC.unity-def eqpoll-trans)
thus ∃ a. ZFC.ide a ∧ Set a ≈ A by blast
qed
show ∧ a b. [ZFC.ide a; ZFC.ide b] ⇒ inj-on Fun (ZFC.hom a b)
proof -
  fix a b
  assume a: ZFC.ide a and b: ZFC.ide b
  show inj-on Fun (ZFC.hom a b)
  proof
    fix f g
    assume f: f ∈ ZFC.hom a b and g: g ∈ ZFC.hom a b
    assume eq: Fun f = Fun g
    show f = g
    proof (intro ZFC.arr-eqI'_SC [of f g])
      show par: ZFC.par f g
      using f g by blast
      show ∧ x. ZFC.in-hom x ZFC.unity (ZFC.dom f) ⇒ ZFC.comp f x = ZFC.comp g x
      by (metis (lifting) some-terminal-def Fun-def par eq mem-Collect-eq ZFC.unity-def)
    qed
  qed
qed
show ∧ a b. [ZFC.ide a; ZFC.ide b] ⇒ Hom a b ⊆ Fun ' ZFC.hom a b
proof
  fix a b
  assume a: ZFC.ide a and b: ZFC.ide b
  fix F
  assume F: F ∈ Hom a b
  let ?f = ZFC.mkArr' a b F
  have f: ?f ∈ ZFC.hom a b
    using a b F ZFC.mkArr'-in-hom ZFC.unity-def some-terminal-def by force
  moreover have Fun ?f = F
  proof

```

```

fix x
show  $\text{Fun } ?f \ x = F \ x$ 
proof (cases  $x \in \text{Set } a$ )
  case False
    show  $?thesis$ 
    proof –
      have  $\text{Fun } ?f \ x = \text{ZFC.null}$ 
      unfolding Fun-def
      using  $f \ \text{False} \ \text{ZFC.in-homE}$  by fastforce
      also have  $\dots = F \ x$ 
      using False a F by auto
      finally show  $?thesis$  by blast
    qed
  next
    case True
    show  $?thesis$ 
    proof –
      have  $\text{ZFC.dom } ?f = a$ 
      using  $f$  by blast
      thus  $?thesis$ 
      unfolding Fun-def
      using  $a \ b \ f \ F \ \text{True} \ \text{ZFC.comp-point-mkArr}' \ \text{ZFC.unity-def} \ \text{some-terminal-def}$ 
      by force
    qed
  qed
ultimately have  $\exists f. f \in \text{ZFC.hom } a \ b \wedge \text{Fun } f = F$  by blast
thus  $F \in \text{Fun } ' \text{ZFC.hom } a \ b$  by blast
qed

```

lemma *is-sets-cat*:

shows *sets-cat* ($\text{ZFC-in-HOL.small} :: \text{ZFC-in-HOL.V set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$) ZFC.comp
 ..

Arrows of the category can be encoded as elements of V .

abbreviation *arr-to-V*

where $\text{arr-to-V } f \equiv \text{vpair} \ (\text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.dom } f)) \ (\text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.cod } f))$
 $(\text{ZFC.V-of-arr } f)$

lemma *inj-arr-to-V*:

shows *inj-on arr-to-V* ($\text{Collect } \text{ZFC.arr}$)

proof (*intro inj-onI*)

fix $f \ g$

assume $f: f \in \text{Collect } \text{ZFC.arr}$ **and** $g: g \in \text{Collect } \text{ZFC.arr}$

assume $\text{eq}: \text{arr-to-V } f = \text{arr-to-V } g$

have $\text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.dom } f) = \text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.dom } g) \wedge$
 $\text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.cod } f) = \text{ZFC.V-of-ide } (\text{ZFC.cod } g) \wedge$

```

      ZFC.V-of-arr f = ZFC.V-of-arr g
    using f g eq by fastforce
  thus f = g
  by (metis (lifting) ZFC-set-cat.bij-betw-hom-vfun(3) ZFC-set-cat.bij-betw-ide-V(3)
      ZFC.arr-iff-in-hom f g ZFC.ide-cod ZFC.ide-dom mem-Collect-eq)
qed

```

As it happens, V also embeds into the collection of arrows, so the two are equipollent. Thus, the fact that V is a universe can be transferred to the collection of arrows. So we can save ourselves some work here.

```

lemma eqpoll-Collect-arr-V:
shows Collect ZFC.arr  $\cup$  {ZFC.null}  $\approx$  (UNIV :: V set)
and Collect ZFC.arr  $\approx$  (UNIV :: V set)
proof -
  have inj-on arr-to-V (Collect ZFC.arr)
    using inj-arr-to-V by blast
  moreover have ZFC.ide-of-V  $\in$  UNIV  $\rightarrow$  Collect ZFC.arr  $\wedge$  inj ZFC.ide-of-V
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pi-iff ZFC-set-cat.bij-betw-ide-V(6) bij-betw-def
        ZFC.ide-char imageI mem-Collect-eq)
  ultimately show 1: Collect ZFC.arr  $\approx$  (UNIV :: V set)
    using Schroeder-Bernstein [of arr-to-V Collect ZFC.arr UNIV ZFC.ide-of-V ]
    by (simp add: Pi-iff eqpoll-def image-subset-iff)
  moreover have Collect ZFC.arr  $\cup$  {ZFC.null}  $\approx$  Collect ZFC.arr
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge X a. \text{infinite } X \implies \text{insert } a \text{ } X \approx X$ 
      by (simp add: infinite-insert-eqpoll)
    moreover have infinite (Collect ZFC.arr)
    proof -
      have  $\bigwedge X Y. X \approx Y \implies \text{infinite } X \longleftrightarrow \text{infinite } Y$ 
        using eqpoll-finite-iff by blast
      moreover have infinite (UNIV :: V set)
        using infinite- $\omega$  rev-finite-subset by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis
        using 1 by blast
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
  qed
  ultimately show Collect ZFC.arr  $\cup$  {ZFC.null}  $\approx$  (UNIV :: V set)
    using eqpoll-trans by blast
qed

```

```

sublocale universe  $\langle$ ZFC-in-HOL.small :: ZFC-in-HOL.V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$   $\langle$ Collect ZFC.arr $\rangle$ 
ZFC.null
proof -
  interpret V: universe  $\langle$ ZFC-in-HOL.small :: ZFC-in-HOL.V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool $\rangle$   $\langle$ UNIV :: V set $\rangle$ 
    using V-is-universe by blast
  show universe (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: ZFC-in-HOL.V set  $\Rightarrow$  bool) (Collect ZFC.arr)
    using V-is-universe eqpoll-sym V.is-respected-by-equipollence
    eqpoll-Collect-arr-V(2)

```

```

    by blast
qed

sublocale sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity
  ⟨ZFC-in-HOL.small :: ZFC-in-HOL.V set ⇒ bool⟩ ZFC.comp
..

theorem is-sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity:
shows sets-cat-with-tupling-and-infinity
  (ZFC-in-HOL.small :: ZFC-in-HOL.V set ⇒ bool) ZFC.comp
..

end

Here is the final top-level interpretation.
interpretation SetsCatZFC: ZFC-sets-cat .

end

```

Bibliography

- [1] F. W. Laver. An elementary theory of the category of sets. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the U.S.A.*, 52:1506–1511, 1964.
- [2] L. C. Paulson. Zermelo fraenkel set theory in higher-order logic. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, October 2019. https://isa-afp.org/entries/ZFC_in_HOL.html, Formal proof development.
- [3] E. W. Stark. Category theory with adjunctions and limits. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2016. <http://isa-afp.org/entries/Category3.shtml>, Formal proof development.