

Restriction_Spaces: a Fixed-Point Theory

Benoît Ballenghien Benjamin Puyobro Burkhart Wolff

September 1, 2025

Abstract

Fixed-point constructions are fundamental to defining recursive and co-recursive functions. However, a general axiom $Yf = f(Yf)$ leads to inconsistency, and definitions must therefore be based on theories guaranteeing existence under suitable conditions. In **Isabelle/HOL**, such constructions are typically based on sets, well-founded orders or domain-theoretic models such as for example **HOLCF**. In this submission we introduce **Restriction_Spaces**, a formalization of spaces equipped with a so-called restriction, denoted by \downarrow , satifying three properties:

$$\begin{aligned} x \downarrow 0 &= y \downarrow 0 \\ x \downarrow n \downarrow m &= x \downarrow \min n m \\ x \neq y \implies \exists n. &x \downarrow n \neq y \downarrow n \end{aligned}$$

They turn out to be cartesian closed and admit natural notions of constructiveness and completeness, enabling the definition of a fixed-point operator under verifiable side-conditions. This is achieved in our entry, from topological definitions to induction principles. Additionally, we configure the simplifier so that it can automatically solve both constructiveness and admissibility subgoals, as long as users write higher-order rules for their operators. Since our implementation relies on axiomatic type classes, the resulting library is a fully abstract, flexible and reusable framework.

Contents

1 Locales factorizing the proof Work	1
1.1 Basic Notions for Restriction	2
1.2 Restriction shift Maps	6
1.2.1 Definition	7
1.2.2 Three particular Cases	8
1.2.3 Properties	12
2 Class Implementation	19
2.1 Preliminaries	19
2.2 Basic Notions for Restriction	20
2.3 Definition of the Fixed-Point Operator	24

2.3.1	Preliminaries	24
2.3.2	Fixed-Point Operator	31
3	Product over Restriction Spaces	35
3.1	Restriction Space	35
3.2	Restriction shift Maps	36
3.2.1	Domain is a Product	36
3.2.2	Codomain is a Product	39
3.3	Limits and Convergence	40
3.4	Completeness	41
3.5	Fixed Point	41
4	Functions towards a Restriction Space	43
4.1	Restriction Space	43
4.2	Restriction shift Maps	44
4.3	Limits and Convergence	46
4.4	Completeness	47
5	Topological Notions	48
5.1	Continuity	48
5.2	Balls	50
5.3	Compactness	59
5.4	Properties for Function and Product	62
6	Induction in Restriction Space	66
6.1	Admissibility	66
6.1.1	Definition	66
6.1.2	Properties	66
6.2	Induction	71
7	Entry Point	73

1 Locales factorizing the proof Work

named-theorems *restriction-shift-simpset*

named-theorems *restriction-shift-introset* — Useful for future automation.

In order to factorize the proof work, we first work with locales and then with classes.

1.1 Basic Notions for Restriction

```
locale Restriction =
  fixes restriction :: "('a, nat) ⇒ 'a" (infixl ‐↓‐ 60)
        and relation :: "('a, 'a) ⇒ bool" (infixl ‐≤‐ 50)
  assumes restriction-restriction [simp] : ‐x ↓ n ↓ m = x ↓ min n m‐
begin
```

```

abbreviation restriction-related-set :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ '  

  where  $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x y \equiv \{n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n\} \rangle$ 

abbreviation restriction-not-related-set :: ' $a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat set}$ '  

  where  $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y \equiv \{n. \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n\} \rangle$ 

lemma restriction-related-set-Un-restriction-not-related-set :  

   $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x y \cup \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y = \text{UNIV} \rangle$   

by blast

lemma disjoint-restriction-related-set-restriction-not-related-set :  

   $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x y \cap \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y = \{\} \rangle$  by  

blast

lemma  $\langle \text{bdd-below } (\text{restriction-related-set } x y) \rangle$  by (fact bdd-below-bot)

lemma  $\langle \text{bdd-below } (\text{restriction-not-related-set } x y) \rangle$  by (fact bdd-below-bot)

end

locale PreorderRestrictionSpace = Restriction +  

  assumes restriction-0-related [simp] :  $\langle x \downarrow 0 \lesssim y \downarrow 0 \rangle$   

  and mono-restriction-related :  $\langle x \lesssim y \implies x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$   

  and ex-not-restriction-related :  $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \implies \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$   

  and related-trans :  $\langle x \lesssim y \implies y \lesssim z \implies x \lesssim z \rangle$   

begin

lemma exists-restriction-related [simp] :  $\langle \exists n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$   

  using restriction-0-related by blast

lemma all-restriction-related-iff-related :  $\langle (\forall n. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$   

  using mono-restriction-related ex-not-restriction-related by blast

lemma restriction-related-le :  $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$  if  $\langle n \leq m \rangle$  and  $\langle x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m \rangle$   

proof -  

  from mono-restriction-related[ $OF \langle x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m \rangle$ ] have  $\langle x \downarrow m \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow m \downarrow n \rangle$ .  

  also have  $\langle (\lambda x. x \downarrow m \downarrow n) = (\lambda x. x \downarrow n) \rangle$  by (simp add:  $\langle n \leq m \rangle$ )  

  finally show  $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$ .  

qed

corollary restriction-related-pred :  $\langle x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$ 

```

by (*metis le-add2 plus-1-eq-Suc restriction-related-le*)

lemma *all-ge-restriction-related-iff-related* : $\langle (\forall n \geq m. x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n) \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
by (*metis all-restriction-related-iff-related nle-le restriction-related-le*)

lemma *take-lemma-restriction* : $\langle x \lesssim y \rangle$
 $\text{if } \langle \bigwedge n. \bigwedge k. k \leq n \implies x \downarrow k \lesssim y \downarrow k \rangle \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
proof (*subst all-restriction-related-iff-related[symmetric], intro allI*)
show $\langle x \downarrow n \lesssim y \downarrow n \rangle$ **for** n
by (*induct n rule: full-nat-induct*)
(metis not-less-eq-eq restriction-0-related restriction-related-le that zero-induct)
qed

lemma *ex-not-restriction-related-optimized* :
 $\langle \exists !n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \rangle$ **if** $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
proof (*rule exI*)
let $?S = \langle \{n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m)\} \rangle$
let $?n = \langle \text{Inf} \{n. \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m)\} \rangle$
from *restriction-related-le*[*of - - x y*] *take-lemma-restriction*[*of x y*]
 $\langle \neg x \lesssim y \rangle$
have $\langle ?S \neq \{\} \rangle$ **by** *auto*
from *Inf-nat-def1[OF this]* **have** $\langle ?n \in ?S \rangle$.
thus $\langle \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } ?n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } ?n \wedge (\forall m \leq ?n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
thus $\langle \neg x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \lesssim y \downarrow \text{Suc } n \wedge (\forall m \leq n. x \downarrow m \lesssim y \downarrow m) \rangle \implies n = ?n$ **for** n
by (*meson not-less-eq-eq order-antisym-conv*)
qed

lemma *nonempty-restriction-related-set* : $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y \neq \{\} \rangle$
using *restriction-0-related* **by** *blast*

lemma *non-UNIV-restriction-not-related-set* : $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x \ y \neq \text{UNIV} \rangle$
using *restriction-0-related* **by** *blast*

lemma *UNIV-restriction-related-set-iff* : $\langle \text{restriction-related-set } x \ y = \text{UNIV} \longleftrightarrow x \lesssim y \rangle$
using *all-restriction-related-iff-related* **by** *blast*

```

lemma empty-restriction-not-related-set-iff: ‹restriction-not-related-set
x y = {} ⟷ x ≲ y›
  by (simp add: all-restriction-related-iff-related)

lemma finite-restriction-related-set-iff :
  ‹finite (restriction-related-set x y) ⟷ ¬ x ≲ y›
proof (rule iffI)
  assume ‹finite (restriction-related-set x y)›
  obtain n where ‹¬ x ↓ n ≲ y ↓ n›
    using ‹finite (restriction-related-set x y)› by fastforce
    with mono-restriction-related show ‹¬ x ≲ y› by blast
next
  assume ‹¬ x ≲ y›
  then obtain n where ‹∀ m > n. ¬ x ↓ m ≲ y ↓ m›
    by (meson all-restriction-related-iff-related less-le-not-le restriction-related-le)
  hence ‹restriction-related-set x y ⊆ {0..n}›
    by (simp add: subset-iff) (meson linorder-not-le)
  thus ‹finite (restriction-related-set x y)›
    by (simp add: subset-eq-atLeast0-atMost-finite)
qed

lemma infinite-restriction-not-related-set-iff :
  ‹infinite (restriction-not-related-set x y) ⟷ ¬ x ≲ y›
by (metis empty-restriction-not-related-set-iff finite-restriction-related-set-iff
      finite.emptyI finite.Collect-not infinite-UNIV-char-0)

lemma bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff :
  ‹bdd-above (restriction-related-set x y) ⟷ ¬ x ≲ y›
by (simp add: bdd-above-nat finite-restriction-related-set-iff)

context fixes x y assumes ‹¬ x ≲ y› begin

lemma Sup-in-restriction-related-set :
  ‹Sup (restriction-related-set x y) ∈ restriction-related-set x y›
  using Max-in[OF finite-restriction-related-set-iff[THEN iffD2, OF
  ‹¬ x ≲ y›]
    nonempty-restriction-related-set]
  cSup-eq-Max[OF finite-restriction-related-set-iff[THEN iffD2, OF
  ‹¬ x ≲ y›]
    nonempty-restriction-related-set]
by argo

lemma Inf-in-restriction-not-related-set :
  ‹Inf (restriction-not-related-set x y) ∈ restriction-not-related-set x y›
by (metis ‹¬ x ≲ y› Inf-nat-def1 finite.emptyI infinite-restriction-not-related-set-iff)

```

```

lemma Inf-restriction-not-related-set-eq-Suc-Sup-restriction-related-set :
  <Inf (restriction-not-related-set x y) = Suc (Sup (restriction-related-set x y))>
proof -
  let ?S-eq = <restriction-related-set x y>
  let ?S-neq = <restriction-not-related-set x y>
  from Inf-in-restriction-not-related-set have <Inf ?S-neq ∈ ?S-neq>
  by blast
  from Sup-in-restriction-related-set have <Sup ?S-eq ∈ ?S-eq> by
  blast
  hence <Suc (Sup ?S-eq) ≠ ?S-eq>
  by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n ⊢ x ≈ y bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff
  cSup-upper)
  with restriction-related-set-Un-restriction-not-related-set
  have <Suc (Sup ?S-eq) ∈ ?S-neq> by auto
  show <Inf ?S-neq = Suc (Sup ?S-eq)>
  proof (rule order-antisym)
    show <Inf ?S-neq ≤ Suc (Sup ?S-eq)>
    by (fact wellorder-Inf-le1[OF <Suc (Sup ?S-eq) ∈ ?S-neq>])
  next
    from <Inf ?S-neq ∈ ?S-neq> <Sup ?S-eq ∈ ?S-eq> show <Suc (Sup
    ?S-eq) ≤ Inf ?S-neq>
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mem-Collect-eq not-less-eq-eq re-
    striction-related-le)
  qed
qed

end

lemma restriction-related-set-is-atMost :
  <restriction-related-set x y =
  (if x ≈ y then UNIV else {..Sup (restriction-related-set x y)})>
proof (split if-split, intro conjI impI)
  show <x ≈ y ==> restriction-related-set x y = UNIV>
  by (simp add: UNIV-restriction-related-set-iff)
next
  assume ⊢ x ≈ y
  hence * : <Sup (restriction-related-set x y) ∈ restriction-related-set
  x y>
  by (fact Sup-in-restriction-related-set)
  show <restriction-related-set x y = {..Sup (restriction-related-set x
  y)}>
  proof (intro subset-antisym subsetI)
  show <n ∈ restriction-related-set x y ==> n ∈ {..Sup (restriction-related-set
  x y)}> for n
  by (simp add: ⊢ x ≈ y finite-restriction-related-set-iff le-cSup-finite)

```

```

next
  from * show  $\langle n \in \{\dots\text{Sup}(\text{restriction-related-set } x y)\} \implies$ 
     $n \in \text{restriction-related-set } x y \rangle$  for  $n$ 
    by simp (meson mem_Collect_eq restriction-related-le)
qed
qed

lemma restriction-not-related-set-is-atLeast :
   $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y =$ 
   $(\text{if } x \lesssim y \text{ then } \{\} \text{ else } \{\text{Inf}(\text{restriction-not-related-set } x y)\}) \rangle$ 
proof (split if-split, intro conjI impI)
  from empty-restriction-not-related-set-if
  show  $\langle x \lesssim y \implies \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y = \{\} \rangle$  by blast
next
  assume  $\neg x \lesssim y$ 
  have  $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y = \text{UNIV} - \text{restriction-related-set}$ 
 $x y \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\langle \dots = \text{UNIV} - \{\dots\text{Sup}(\text{restriction-related-set } x y)\} \rangle$ 
    by (subst restriction-related-set-is-atMost) (simp add:  $\neg x \lesssim y$ )
  also have  $\langle \dots = \{\text{Suc}(\text{Sup}(\text{restriction-related-set } x y))\} \rangle$  by auto
  also have  $\langle \text{Suc}(\text{Sup}(\text{restriction-related-set } x y)) = \text{Inf}(\text{restriction-not-related-set}$ 
 $x y) \rangle$ 
    by (simp add:  $\neg x \lesssim y$  flip: Inf-restriction-not-related-set-eq-Suc-Sup-restriction-related-set)
  finally show  $\langle \text{restriction-not-related-set } x y = \{\text{Inf}(\text{restriction-not-related-set}$ 
 $x y)\} \rangle$  .
qed

end

```

1.2 Restriction shift Maps

```

locale Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace =
  R1 : Restriction  $\langle (\downarrow_1) \rangle$   $\langle (\lesssim_1) \rangle$  +
  PRS2 : PreorderRestrictionSpace  $\langle (\downarrow_2) \rangle$   $\langle (\lesssim_2) \rangle$ 
  for restriction1 ::  $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \downarrow_1 \rangle$  60)
  and relation1 ::  $\langle 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \lesssim_1 \rangle$  50)
  and restriction2 ::  $\langle 'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \downarrow_2 \rangle$  60)
  and relation2 ::  $\langle 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  (infixl  $\langle \lesssim_2 \rangle$  50)
begin

```

1.2.1 Definition

This notion is a generalization of constructive map and non-destructive map.

```

definition restriction-shift-on ::  $\langle [ 'a \Rightarrow 'b, \text{int}, 'a \text{ set} ] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$ 
  where  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \equiv$ 
     $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall n. x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \longrightarrow f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n +$ 
     $k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$ 

```

definition *restriction-shift* :: $\langle [a \Rightarrow b, \text{int}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f k \text{ UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-shift-onI* :
 $\langle (\forall x y n. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)) \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-on-def*
by (*metis PRS2.all-restriction-related-iff-related*)

corollary *restriction-shiftI* :
 $\langle (\forall x y n. \llbracket \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)) \implies \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$
by (*unfold restriction-shift-def, rule restriction-shift-onI*)

lemma *restriction-shift-onD* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$
by (*unfold restriction-shift-on-def*) *blast*

lemma *restriction-shiftD* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{restriction-shift } f k; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-def* **using** *restriction-shift-onD* **by** *blast*

lemma *restriction-shift-on-subset* :
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-shift-on-def subset-iff*)

lemma *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$
unfolding *restriction-shift-def* **using** *restriction-shift-on-subset* **by**
blast

lemma *restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]
:
 $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f l A \rangle \text{ if } l \leq k \text{ and } \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$
proof (*rule restriction-shift-onI*)
fix $x y n$ **assume** $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rangle$
from $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$ [*THEN restriction-shift-onD, OF this*]
have $\langle f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$.

moreover have $\langle \text{nat } (\text{int } n + l) \leq \text{nat } (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: nat-mono* $\langle l \leq k \rangle$)

ultimately show $\langle f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + l) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + l) \rangle$

using *PRS2.restriction-related-le* **by** *blast*

qed

corollary *restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-le* [*restriction-shift-simpset*]

:

$\langle l \leq k \implies \text{restriction-shift } f k \implies \text{restriction-shift } f l \rangle$

unfolding *restriction-shift-def*

by (*fact restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le*)

lemma *restriction-shift-on-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introsset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \lambda x. P x \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (f x) k A; \lambda x. \neg P x \implies \text{restriction-shift-on } (g x) k A \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) k A \rangle$

by (*rule restriction-shift-onI*) (*auto dest: restriction-shift-onD*)

corollary *restriction-shift-if-then-else* [*restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introsset*] :

$\langle \llbracket \lambda x. P x \implies \text{restriction-shift } (f x) k; \lambda x. \neg P x \implies \text{restriction-shift } (g x) k \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) k \rangle$

unfolding *restriction-shift-def* **by** (*fact restriction-shift-on-if-then-else*)

1.2.2 Three particular Cases

The shift is most often equal to 0, 1 or -1 . We provide extra support in these three cases.

Non-too-destructive Map definition *non-too-destructive-on* ::

$\langle [a \Rightarrow b, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

where $\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f (-1) A \rangle$

definition *non-too-destructive* :: $\langle [a \Rightarrow b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$

where $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \equiv \text{non-too-destructive-on } f \text{ UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *non-too-destructive-onI* :

$\langle \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \rangle$

if $\langle \bigwedge n x y. \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 n \rangle$

proof (*unfold non-too-destructive-on-def, rule restriction-shift-onI*)

fix $x y n$

show $\langle \llbracket x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + -1) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat } (\text{int } n + -1) \rangle$

```

by (cases <n < 1>) (simp-all add: Suc-nat-eq-nat-zadd1 that)
qed

lemma non-too-destructiveI :
  <[<\forall n x y. [¬ f x ≈₂ f y; x ↓₁ Suc n ≈₁ y ↓₁ Suc n] ⇒ f x ↓₂ n ≈₂
  f y ↓₂ n]>
  ⇒ non-too-destructive f>
  by (unfold non-too-destructive-def, rule non-too-destructive-onI)

lemma non-too-destructive-onD :
  <[non-too-destructive-on f A; x ∈ A; y ∈ A; x ↓₁ Suc n ≈₁ y ↓₁ Suc
  n] ⇒ f x ↓₂ n ≈₂ f y ↓₂ n>
  unfolding non-too-destructive-on-def using restriction-shift-onD by
  fastforce

lemma non-too-destructiveD :
  <[non-too-destructive f; x ↓₁ Suc n ≈₁ y ↓₁ Suc n] ⇒ f x ↓₂ n ≈₂
  f y ↓₂ n>
  unfolding non-too-destructive-def using non-too-destructive-onD
  by simp

lemma non-too-destructive-on-subset :
  <[non-too-destructive-on f B ⇒ A ⊆ B ⇒ non-too-destructive-on f
  A]>
  by (meson non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-subset)

lemma non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
  :
  <[non-too-destructive f ⇒ non-too-destructive-on f A]>
  unfolding non-too-destructive-def using non-too-destructive-on-subset
  by auto

corollary non-too-destructive-on-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset,
restriction-shift-introset] :
  <[<\forall x. P x ⇒ non-too-destructive-on (f x) A; <\forall x. ¬ P x ⇒
  non-too-destructive-on (g x) A]>
  ⇒ non-too-destructive-on (<λy. if P x then f x y else g x y>) A>
  and non-too-destructive-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restric-
  tion-shift-introset] :
  <[<\forall x. P x ⇒ non-too-destructive (f x); <\forall x. ¬ P x ⇒ non-too-destructive
  (g x)>]
  ⇒ non-too-destructive (<λy. if P x then f x y else g x y>)
  by (auto simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-def
  intro: restriction-shift-on-if-then-else)

Non-destructive Map definition non-destructive-on :: <['a ⇒
'b, 'a set] ⇒ bool>
  where <non-destructive-on f A ≡ restriction-shift-on f 0 A>
```

```

definition non-destructive :: <['a ⇒ 'b] ⇒ bool>
  where <non-destructive f ≡ non-destructive-on f UNIV>

lemma non-destructive-onI :
  <[Λn x y. [n ≠ 0; x ∈ A; y ∈ A; ¬ f x ≈2 f y; x ↓1 n ≈1 y ↓1 n]
    ⇒ f x ↓2 n ≈2 f y ↓2 n]
    ⇒ non-destructive-on f A>
  by (unfold non-destructive-on-def, rule restriction-shift-onI)
    (metis PRS2.restriction-0-related add.right-neutral nat-int)

lemma non-destructiveI :
  <[Λn x y. [n ≠ 0; ¬ f x ≈2 f y; x ↓1 n ≈1 y ↓1 n] ⇒ f x ↓2 n ≈2 f
    y ↓2 n]
    ⇒ non-destructive f> by (unfold non-destructive-def, rule non-destructive-onI)

lemma non-destructive-onD :
  <[non-destructive-on f A; x ∈ A; y ∈ A; ¬ f x ≈2 f y; x ↓1 n ≈1 y
    ↓1 n] ⇒ f x ↓2 n ≈2 f y ↓2 n>
  by (simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-def)

lemma non-destructiveD : <[non-destructive f; x ↓1 n ≈1 y ↓1 n] ⇒
  f x ↓2 n ≈2 f y ↓2 n>
  by (simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-def)

lemma non-destructive-on-subset :
  <non-destructive-on f B ⇒ A ⊆ B ⇒ non-destructive-on f A>
  by (meson non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-subset)

lemma non-destructive-imp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
  :
  <non-destructive f ⇒ non-destructive-on f A>
  unfolding non-destructive-def using non-destructive-on-subset by
  auto

lemma non-destructive-on-imp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
  :
  <non-destructive-on f A ⇒ non-too-destructive-on f A>
  unfolding non-destructive-on-def non-too-destructive-on-def
  by (rule restriction-shift-on-imp-restriction-shift-on-le[of <- 1> 0 f
  A, simplified])

corollary non-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
  :
  <non-destructive f ⇒ non-too-destructive f>
  by (unfold non-destructive-def non-too-destructive-def)
    (fact non-destructive-on-imp-non-too-destructive-on)

```

corollary *non-destructive-on-if-then-else* [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
 $\langle \llbracket \lambda x. P x \implies \text{non-destructive-on } (f x) A; \lambda x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-destructive-on } (g x) A \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-destructive-on } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) A$
and *non-destructive-if-then-else* [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
 $\langle \llbracket \lambda x. P x \implies \text{non-destructive } (f x); \lambda x. \neg P x \implies \text{non-destructive } (g x) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y)$
by (auto simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-def intro: restriction-shift-on-if-then-else)

Constructive Map **definition** *constructive-on* :: $\langle [a \Rightarrow b, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{constructive-on } f A \equiv \text{restriction-shift-on } f 1 A \rangle$
definition *constructive* :: $\langle [a \Rightarrow b] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{constructive } f \equiv \text{constructive-on } f \text{ UNIV} \rangle$

lemma *constructive-onI* :
 $\langle \llbracket \lambda n x y. [x \in A; y \in A; \neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n] \implies f x \downarrow_2 Suc n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 Suc n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{constructive-on } f A$
by (simp add: Suc-as-int constructive-on-def restriction-shift-onI)

lemma *constructiveI* :
 $\langle \llbracket \lambda n x y. [\neg f x \lesssim_2 f y; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n] \implies f x \downarrow_2 Suc n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 Suc n \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{constructive } f \rangle$ **by** (unfold constructive-def, rule constructive-onI)

lemma *constructive-onD* :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 Suc n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 Suc n \rangle$
unfolding constructive-on-def **by** (metis Suc-as-int restriction-shift-onD)

lemma *constructiveD* : $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive } f; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \implies f x \downarrow_2 Suc n \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 Suc n \rangle$
unfolding constructive-def **using** constructive-onD **by** blast

lemma *constructive-on-subset* :
 $\langle \text{constructive-on } f B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{constructive-on } f A \rangle$
by (meson constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-subset)

lemma *constructive-imp-constructive-on* [restriction-shift-simpset] :

```

⟨constructive f ⟹ constructive-on f A⟩
unfolding constructive-def using constructive-on-subset by auto

lemma constructive-on-imp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
⟨constructive-on f A ⟹ non-destructive-on f A⟩
by (rule non-destructive-onI)
(meson PRS2.restriction-related-pred constructive-onD)

corollary constructive-imp-non-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
⟨constructive f ⟹ non-destructive f⟩
unfolding constructive-def non-destructive-def
by (fact constructive-on-imp-non-destructive-on)

corollary constructive-on-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
⟨[⟨λx. P x ⟹ constructive-on (f x) A; λx. ¬ P x ⟹ constructive-on (g x) A⟩
    ⟹ constructive-on (λy. if P x then f x y else g x y) A⟩
and constructive-if-then-else [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]
:
⟨[⟨λx. P x ⟹ constructive (f x); λx. ¬ P x ⟹ constructive (g x)⟩
    ⟹ constructive (λy. if P x then f x y else g x y)⟩
by (auto simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-def
      intro: restriction-shift-on-if-then-else)

end

```

1.2.3 Properties

```

locale PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace =
  PRS1 : PreorderRestrictionSpace ⟨(↓1)⟩ ⟨(≤1)⟩ +
  PRS2 : PreorderRestrictionSpace ⟨(↓2)⟩ ⟨(≤2)⟩
for restriction1 :: ⟨'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ (infixl ↓1 60)
and relation1 :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool⟩ (infixl ≤1 50)
and restriction2 :: ⟨'b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ (infixl ↓2 60)
and relation2 :: ⟨'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool⟩ (infixl ≤2 50)
begin

sublocale Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace by unfold-locales

lemma restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction :
  ⟨f (x ↓1 n) ↓2 nat (int n + k) ≤2 f x ↓2 nat (int n + k)⟩
  if ⟨restriction-shift-on f k A⟩ ⟨x ↓1 n ∈ A⟩ ⟨x ∈ A⟩ ⟨x ↓1 n ≤1 x ↓1 n⟩

```

— the last assumption is trivial if (\lesssim_1) is reflexive
by (rule restriction-shift-onD
[$\text{OF } \langle \text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \in A \rangle \langle x \in A \rangle]$)
(simp add: $\langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rangle$)

corollary restriction-shift-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$ **and** $\langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rangle$
by (rule restriction-shiftD[$\text{OF } \langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \rangle$])
(simp add: $\langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rangle$)

corollary constructive-on-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive-on } f A; x \downarrow_1 n \in A; x \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \Rightarrow f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rangle$
using restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction
restriction-shift-restriction-restriction Suc-as-int
unfolding constructive-on-def **by** presburger

corollary constructive-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle \text{constructive } f \Rightarrow x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \Rightarrow f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-restriction-restriction)

corollary non-destructive-on-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive-on } f A; x \downarrow_1 n \in A; x \in A; x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \rrbracket \Rightarrow f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
using restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction
restriction-shift-restriction-restriction
unfolding non-destructive-on-def **by** (metis add.commute add-0 nat-int)

corollary non-destructive-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \Rightarrow x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 n \Rightarrow f (x \downarrow_1 n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
by (simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-restriction-restriction)

corollary non-too-destructive-on-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle \llbracket \text{non-too-destructive-on } f A; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \in A; x \in A; x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \Rightarrow f (x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n \rangle$
using restriction-shift-on-restriction-restriction
restriction-shift-restriction-restriction
unfolding non-too-destructive-on-def **by** fastforce

corollary non-too-destructive-restriction-restriction :
 $\langle \text{non-too-destructive } f \Rightarrow x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \lesssim_1 x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow f (x \downarrow_1 \text{Suc } n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n \rangle$

$n) \downarrow_2 n \lesssim_2 f x \downarrow_2 n$
by (simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-restriction-restriction)

end

locale *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

=

$R2PRS1 : \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle(\downarrow_1)\rangle \langle(\lesssim_1)\rangle \langle(\downarrow_2)\rangle$

$\langle(\lesssim_2)\rangle +$

$PRS2 : \text{PreorderRestrictionSpace } \langle(\downarrow_3)\rangle \langle(\lesssim_3)\rangle$

for *restriction₁* :: $'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\langle\downarrow_1\rangle$ 60)

and *relation₁* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $\langle\lesssim_1\rangle$ 50)

and *restriction₂* :: $'b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b$ (**infixl** $\langle\downarrow_2\rangle$ 60)

and *relation₂* :: $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $\langle\lesssim_2\rangle$ 50)

and *restriction₃* :: $'c \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c$ (**infixl** $\langle\downarrow_3\rangle$ 60)

and *relation₃* :: $'c \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infixl** $\langle\lesssim_3\rangle$ 50)

begin

interpretation *R2PRS2* : *Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace* $\langle(\downarrow_1)\rangle$

$\langle(\lesssim_1)\rangle \langle(\downarrow_3)\rangle \langle(\lesssim_3)\rangle$

by unfold-locales

interpretation *PRS2PRS3* : *PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace*

$\langle(\downarrow_2)\rangle \langle(\lesssim_2)\rangle \langle(\downarrow_3)\rangle \langle(\lesssim_3)\rangle$

by unfold-locales

theorem *restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on* [restriction-shift-simpset]

:

$\langle R2PRS2.\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. g (f x)) (k + l) A \rangle$

if $f 'A \subseteq B$ $\langle PRS2PRS3.\text{restriction-shift-on } g l B \rangle \langle R2PRS1.\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$

proof (rule *R2PRS2.restriction-shift-onI*)

fix $x y n$ **assume** $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow_1 n \lesssim_1 y \downarrow_1 n \rangle$

from $\langle R2PRS1.\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \rangle$ [THEN *R2PRS1.restriction-shift-onD*, OF this]

have $\langle f x \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \lesssim_2 f y \downarrow_2 \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) \rangle$.

moreover from $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle f 'A \subseteq B \rangle$ **have** $\langle f x \in B \rangle \langle f y \in B \rangle$ by auto

ultimately have $\langle g (f x) \downarrow_3 \text{nat} (\text{int } (\text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)) + l) \lesssim_3$

$g (f y) \downarrow_3 \text{nat} (\text{int } (\text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)) + l) \rangle$

using $\langle PRS2PRS3.\text{restriction-shift-on } g l B \rangle$ [THEN *PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift-onD*] by blast

moreover have $\langle \text{nat} (\text{int } n + (k + l)) \leq \text{nat} (\text{int } (\text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)) + l) \rangle$

+ l)

by (simp add: nat-mono)

```

ultimately show ‹g (f x) ↓3 nat (int n + (k + l)) ≈3 g (f y) ↓3 nat
(int n + (k + l))›
  by (metis PRS2.restriction-related-le)
qed

corollary restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
  ‹PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift g l ==> R2PRS1.restriction-shift-on f k
A ==>
  R2PRS2.restriction-shift-on (λx. g (f x)) (k + l) A›
  using PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on
  restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on by blast

corollary restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
  ‹PRS2PRS3.restriction-shift g l ==> R2PRS1.restriction-shift f k ==>
    R2PRS2.restriction-shift (λx. g (f x)) (k + l)›
  by (simp add: R2PRS1.restriction-shift-imp-restriction-shift-on
    R2PRS2.restriction-shift-def restriction-shift-comp-restriction-shift-on)

corollary non-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
  ‹[f ' A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on g B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on
f A] ==>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A›
  by (simp add: R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def
    R2PRS2.restriction-shift-on-def R2PRS1.restriction-shift-on-def)
  (meson PRS2.mono-restriction-related PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-onD
  image-subset-iff)

corollary non-destructive-comp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
  ‹PRS2PRS3.non-destructive g ==> R2PRS1.non-destructive-on f A
==>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A›
  by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def
    R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS2.restriction-shift-on-def
    R2PRS1.restriction-shift-on-def)

corollary non-destructive-comp-non-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
  ‹PRS2PRS3.non-destructive g ==> R2PRS1.non-destructive f ==>
    R2PRS2.non-destructive (λx. g (f x))›
  by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructiveD
    R2PRS2.non-destructive-def R2PRS2.non-destructive-onI)

```

```

corollary constructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<[f ` A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.constructive-on g B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on
f A] =>
R2PRS2.constructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
by (metis PRS2PRS3.constructive-on-def R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def
R2PRS2.constructive-on-def add.commute add-cancel-left-right
restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on)

corollary constructive-comp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.constructive g => R2PRS1.non-destructive-on f A =>
R2PRS2.constructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
by (simp add: R2PRS1.Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-axioms
PRS2PRS3.constructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS2.constructive-onI
Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.restriction-shift-on-def)

corollary constructive-comp-non-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.constructive g => R2PRS1.non-destructive f =>
R2PRS2.constructive (λx. g (f x))>
by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.constructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructiveD
R2PRS2.constructiveI)

corollary non-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<[f ` A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on g B; R2PRS1.constructive-on
f A] =>
R2PRS2.constructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-onD R2PRS1.constructive-onD
R2PRS2.constructive-onI image-subset-iff)

corollary non-destructive-comp-constructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.non-destructive g => R2PRS1.constructive-on f A =>
R2PRS2.constructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
using PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on
by blast

corollary non-destructive-comp-constructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.non-destructive g => R2PRS1.constructive f =>
R2PRS2.constructive (λx. g (f x))>
using PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.constructiveD R2PRS2.constructiveI
by presburger

corollary non-too-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]

```

```

:
    ⟨[f ‘ A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on g B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on
f A] ⟩
    R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A
by (metis PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on-def R2PRS1.non-destructive-on-def
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on-def add.commute
add.right-neutral restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on)

corollary non-too-destructive-comp-non-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
    ⟨PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive g ⟩
    R2PRS1.non-destructive-on f
A ⟹
    R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A
by (metis PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on
non-too-destructive-on-comp-non-destructive-on top-greatest)

corollary non-too-destructive-comp-non-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
    ⟨PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive g ⟩
    R2PRS1.non-destructive f
⟹
    R2PRS2.non-too-destructive (λx. g (f x))
by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-destructiveD
R2PRS2.non-too-destructiveI)

corollary non-destructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
    ⟨[f ‘ A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-on g B; R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on
f A] ⟩
    R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A
by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructive-onD R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-onD
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-onI image-subset-iff)

corollary non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
    ⟨PRS2PRS3.non-destructive g ⟩
    R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on f
A ⟹
    R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A
by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-destructiveD R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-onD
R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-onI)

corollary non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
    ⟨PRS2PRS3.non-destructive g ⟩
    R2PRS1.non-too-destructive f
⟹
    R2PRS2.non-too-destructive (λx. g (f x))
using R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on R2PRS2.non-too-destructive-def
non-destructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on by blast

```

```

corollary non-too-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<[f : A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on g B; R2PRS1.constructive-on
f A] =>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
  using restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on[of f A B g ← 1]
1]
  by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-on-def
        R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def R2PRS1.constructive-on-def )

```

```

corollary non-too-destructive-comp-constructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive g => R2PRS1.constructive-on f A
=>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
  by (metis PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on
        non-too-destructive-on-comp-constructive-on top-greatest)

```

```

corollary non-too-destructive-comp-constructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructive g => R2PRS1.constructive f =>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive (λx. g (f x))
  by (simp add: PRS2PRS3.non-too-destructiveD R2PRS1.constructiveD
        R2PRS2.non-destructiveI)

```

```

corollary constructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<[f : A ⊆ B; PRS2PRS3.constructive-on g B; R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on
f A] =>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
  using restriction-shift-on-comp-restriction-shift-on[of f A B g 1 ←
1]
  by (simp add: R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on-def
        PRS2PRS3.constructive-on-def R2PRS2.non-destructive-on-def)

```

```

corollary constructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.constructive g => R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-on f A
=>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive-on (λx. g (f x)) A>
  using PRS2PRS3.constructive-imp-constructive-on constructive-on-comp-non-too-destructive-on
  by blast

```

```

corollary constructive-comp-non-too-destructive [restriction-shift-simpset]
:
<PRS2PRS3.constructive g => R2PRS1.non-too-destructive f =>
  R2PRS2.non-destructive (λx. g (f x))

```

```

by (metis R2PRS1.non-too-destructive-imp-non-too-destructive-on
R2PRS2.non-destructiveI
R2PRS2.non-destructive-onD UNIV-I constructive-comp-non-too-destructive-on)

end

```

2 Class Implementation

2.1 Preliminaries

Small lemma from `HOL-Library.Infinite_Set` to avoid dependency.

```

lemma INFM-nat-le: <(exists_infinity n :: nat. P n) <=> (forall m. exists n ≥ m. P n)>
  unfolding cofinite-eq-sequentially frequently-sequentially by simp

```

We need to be able to extract a subsequence verifying a predicate.

```

fun extraction-subseq :: <[nat ⇒ 'a, 'a ⇒ bool] ⇒ nat ⇒ nat>
  where <extraction-subseq σ P 0 = (LEAST k. P (σ k))>
    | <extraction-subseq σ P (Suc n) = (LEAST k. extraction-subseq σ
      P n < k ∧ P (σ k))>

```

```

lemma exists-extraction-subseq :
  assumes <exists_infinity k. P (σ k)>
  defines f-def : <f ≡ extraction-subseq σ P>
  shows <strict-mono f> and <P (σ (f k))>
proof -
  have <f n < f (Suc n) ∧ P (σ (f n))> for n
    by (cases n, simp-all add: f-def)
    (metis (mono-tags, lifting) <exists_infinity k. P (σ k)>[unfolded INFM-nat-le]
    LeastI-ex Suc-le-eq)+
  thus <strict-mono f> and <P (σ (f k))>
    by (simp-all add: strict-mono-Suc-iff)
qed

```

```

lemma extraction-subseqD :
  <exists f :: nat ⇒ nat. strict-mono f ∧ (forall k. P (σ (f k)))> if <exists_infinity k. P (σ k)>
proof (rule exI)
  show <strict-mono (extraction-subseq σ P) ∧ (forall k. P (σ ((extraction-subseq
    σ P) k)))>

```

```

by (simp add: ‹∃∞k. P (σ k)› exists-extraction-subseq)
qed

```

```
lemma extraction-subseqE :
```

— The idea is to abstract the concrete construction of this extraction function, we only need the fact that there is one.

```
  ‹∃∞k. P (σ k) ⟹ (Λf :: nat ⇒ nat. strict-mono f ⟹ (Λk. P (σ
(f k))) ⟹ thesis) ⟹ thesis›
```

```
by (blast dest: extraction-subseqD)
```

2.2 Basic Notions for Restriction

```
class restriction =
```

```
  fixes restriction :: ‹[‘a, nat] ⇒ ‘a› (infixl ‹↓› 60)
```

```
  assumes [simp] : ‹x ↓ n ↓ m = x ↓ min n m›
```

```
begin
```

```
sublocale Restriction ‹(↓)› ‹(=)› by unfold-locales simp
```

— Just to recover *local.restriction-related-set* and *local.restriction-not-related-set*.

```
end
```

```
class restriction-space = restriction +
```

```
  assumes [simp] : ‹x ↓ 0 = y ↓ 0›
```

```
  and ex-not-restriction-eq : ‹x ≠ y ⟹ ∃n. x ↓ n ≠ y ↓ n›
```

```
begin
```

```
sublocale PreorderRestrictionSpace ‹(↓)› ‹(=)›
```

```
  by unfold-locales (simp-all add: ex-not-restriction-eq)
```

```
lemma restriction-related-set-commute :
```

```
  ‹restriction-related-set x y = restriction-related-set y x› by auto
```

```
lemma restriction-not-related-set-commute :
```

```
  ‹restriction-not-related-set x y = restriction-not-related-set y x› by
  auto
```

```
end
```

```
context restriction-space begin
```

```
sublocale Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
```

```
  ‹(↓) :: ‘b :: restriction ⇒ nat ⇒ ‘b› ‹(=)›
```

```
  ‹(↓) :: ‘a ⇒ nat ⇒ ‘a› ‹(=)› ..
```

With this we recover constants like *local.restriction-shift-on*.

```
sublocale PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
```

```
  ‹(↓) :: ‘b :: restriction-space ⇒ nat ⇒ ‘b› ‹(=)›
```

```
 $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (=) \rangle ..$ 
```

With that we recover theorems like $\llbracket \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive} (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (=) ?f; ?x \downarrow ?n = ?x \downarrow ?n \rrbracket \implies ?f (?x \downarrow ?n) \downarrow Suc ?n = ?f ?x \downarrow Suc ?n.$

```
sublocale Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
   $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'c :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'c \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$ 
   $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'b \rangle \langle (=) \rangle$ 
   $\langle (\downarrow) :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \rangle \langle (=) \rangle ..$ 
```

And with that we recover theorems like $\llbracket ?f ' ?A \subseteq ?B; \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on} (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (=) ?g ?B; R2PRS1.non-destructive-on ?f ?A \rrbracket \implies \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive} (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (=) (\lambda x. ?g (?f x)) ?A.$

```
lemma restriction-shift-const [restriction-shift-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{restriction-shift} (\lambda x. c) k \rangle \text{ by } (\text{simp add: restriction-shiftI})$ 
```

```
lemma constructive-const [restriction-shift-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{constructive} (\lambda x. c) \rangle \text{ by } (\text{simp add: constructiveI})$ 
```

```
end
```

```
lemma restriction-shift-on-restricted [restriction-shift-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) k A \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{restriction-shift-on} f k A \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
  fix x y m assume  $\langle x \in A \rangle \langle y \in A \rangle \langle x \downarrow m = y \downarrow m \rangle$ 
  from restriction-shift-onD[OF  $\langle \text{restriction-shift-on} f k A \rangle$  this]
  have  $\langle f x \downarrow nat (\text{int } m + k) = f y \downarrow nat (\text{int } m + k) \rangle$ .
  hence  $\langle f x \downarrow nat (\text{int } m + k) \downarrow n = f y \downarrow nat (\text{int } m + k) \downarrow n \rangle$  by
  argo
  thus  $\langle f x \downarrow n \downarrow nat (\text{int } m + k) = f y \downarrow n \downarrow nat (\text{int } m + k) \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: min.commute)
  qed
```

```
lemma restriction-shift-restricted [restriction-shift-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{restriction-shift } f k \implies \text{restriction-shift} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) k \rangle$ 
  using restriction-shift-def restriction-shift-on-restricted by blast
```

```
corollary constructive-restricted [restriction-shift-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{constructive } f \implies \text{constructive} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-restricted)
```

```
corollary non-destructive-restricted [restriction-shift-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{non-destructive } f \implies \text{non-destructive} (\lambda x. f x \downarrow n) \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-restricted)
```

```

lemma non-destructive-id [restriction-shift-simpset] :
  ‹non-destructive id› ‹non-destructive ( $\lambda x. x$ )›
  by (simp-all add: id-def non-destructiveI)

```

interpretation less-eqRS : Restriction ‹(↓)› ‹(\leq)› **by** unfold-locales
— Just to recover less-eqRS.restriction-related-set and less-eqRS.restriction-not-related-set.

```

class preorder-restriction-space = restriction + preorder +
  assumes restriction-0-less-eq [simp] : ‹ $x \downarrow 0 \leq y \downarrow 0$ ›
  and mono-restriction-less-eq : ‹ $x \leq y \Rightarrow x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ ›
  and ex-not-restriction-less-eq : ‹ $\neg x \leq y \Rightarrow \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ ›
n›
begin

  sublocale less-eqRS : PreorderRestrictionSpace ‹(↓) :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒
  'a› ‹( $\leq$ )›
  proof unfold-locales
    show ‹ $x \downarrow 0 \leq y \downarrow 0$ › for x y :: 'a by simp
  next
    show ‹ $x \leq y \Rightarrow x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ › for x y :: 'a and n
      by (fact mono-restriction-less-eq)
  next
    show ‹ $\neg x \leq y \Rightarrow \exists n. \neg x \downarrow n \leq y \downarrow n$ › for x y :: 'a
      by (simp add: ex-not-restriction-less-eq)
  next
    show ‹ $x \leq y \Rightarrow y \leq z \Rightarrow x \leq z$ › for x y z :: 'a by (fact order-trans)
  qed

end

class order-restriction-space = preorder-restriction-space + order
begin

  subclass restriction-space
  proof unfold-locales
    show ‹ $x \downarrow 0 = y \downarrow 0$ › for x y :: 'a by (rule order-antisym) simp-all
  next
    show ‹ $x \neq y \Rightarrow \exists n. x \downarrow n \neq y \downarrow n$ › for x y :: 'a
      by (metis ex-not-restriction-less-eq order.eq-iff)
  qed

end

```

```

context preorder-restriction-space begin

sublocale less-eqRS : Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
  ⟨(↓) :: 'b :: {restriction, ord} ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩ ..

```

With this we recover constants like *local.less-eqRS.restriction-shift-on*.

```

sublocale less-eqRS : PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
  ⟨(↓) :: 'b :: preorder-restriction-space ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩ ..

```

With that we recover theorems like $\llbracket \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive } (\downarrow) (\leq) (\downarrow) (\leq) ?f; ?x \downarrow ?n \leq ?x \downarrow ?n \rrbracket \implies ?f (?x \downarrow ?n) \downarrow \text{Suc}$
 $?n \leq ?f ?x \downarrow \text{Suc} ?n$.

```

sublocale less-eqRS : Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace
  ⟨(↓) :: 'c :: restriction ⇒ nat ⇒ 'c⟩ ⟨(=)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'b :: preorder-restriction-space ⇒ nat ⇒ 'b⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩
  ⟨(↓) :: 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a⟩ ⟨(≤)⟩ ..

```

And with that we recover theorems like $\llbracket ?f ' ?A \subseteq ?B; \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on } (\downarrow) (\leq) (\downarrow) (\leq) ?g ?B; \text{local.less-eqRS.R2PRS1.non-destructive-on } ?f ?A \rrbracket \implies \text{Restriction-2-PreorderRestrictionSpace.constructive-on } (\downarrow) (=) (\downarrow) (\leq) (\lambda x. ?g (?f x)) ?A$.

end

```

context order-restriction-space begin

```

From $\llbracket ?x \leq ?y; ?y \leq ?x \rrbracket \implies ?x = ?y$ we can obtain stronger lemmas.

```

corollary order-restriction-shift-onI :
  ⟨(λx y n. [x ∈ A; y ∈ A; f x ≠ f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n] ⇒
    f x \downarrow nat (int n + k) ≤ f y \downarrow nat (int n + k))
  ⇒ restriction-shift-on f k A⟩
  by (simp add: order-antisym restriction-shift-onI)

```

```

corollary order-restriction-shiftI :
  ⟨(λx y n. [f x ≠ f y; x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n] ⇒
    f x \downarrow nat (int n + k) ≤ f y \downarrow nat (int n + k))
  ⇒ restriction-shift f k⟩
  by (simp add: order-antisym restriction-shiftI)

```

```

corollary order-non-too-destructive-onI :
  ⟨(λx y n. [x ∈ A; y ∈ A; f x ≠ f y; x \downarrow \text{Suc} n = y \downarrow \text{Suc} n] ⇒
    f x \downarrow n ≤ f y \downarrow n)
  ⇒ non-too-destructive-on f A⟩

```

```

by (simp add: order-antisym non-too-destructive-onI)

corollary order-non-too-destructiveI :
  ‹(∀x y n. [|f x ≠ f y; x ↓ Suc n = y ↓ Suc n|] ⇒ f x ↓ n ≤ f y ↓ n)
    ⇒ non-too-destructive f›
  by (simp add: order-antisym non-too-destructiveI)

corollary order-non-destructive-onI :
  ‹(∀x y n. [|n ≠ 0; x ∈ A; y ∈ A; f x ≠ f y; x ↓ n = y ↓ n|] ⇒ f x ↓ n ≤ f y ↓ n)
    ⇒ non-destructive-on f A›
  by (simp add: order-antisym non-destructive-onI)

corollary order-non-destructiveI :
  ‹(∀x y n. [|n ≠ 0; f x ≠ f y; x ↓ n = y ↓ n|] ⇒ f x ↓ n ≤ f y ↓ n)
    ⇒ non-destructive f›
  by (simp add: order-antisym non-destructiveI)

corollary order-constructive-onI :
  ‹(∀x y n. [|x ∈ A; y ∈ A; f x ≠ f y; x ↓ n = y ↓ n|] ⇒ f x ↓ Suc n
    ≤ f y ↓ Suc n)
    ⇒ constructive-on f A›
  by (simp add: order-antisym constructive-onI)

corollary order-constructiveI :
  ‹(∀x y n. [|f x ≠ f y; x ↓ n = y ↓ n|] ⇒ f x ↓ Suc n ≤ f y ↓ Suc n)
    ⇒ constructive f›
  by (simp add: order-antisym constructiveI)

```

end

2.3 Definition of the Fixed-Point Operator

2.3.1 Preliminaries

Chain context restriction begin

```

definition restriction-chain :: ‹[nat ⇒ 'a] ⇒ bool› (⟨chain↓⟩)
  where ⟨restriction-chain σ ≡ ∀ n. σ (Suc n) ↓ n = σ n›

lemma restriction-chainI : ‹(∀ n. σ (Suc n) ↓ n = σ n) ⇒ restriction-chain σ›
  and restriction-chainD : ‹restriction-chain σ ⇒ σ (Suc n) ↓ n = σ n›
  by (simp-all add: restriction-chain-def)

end

```

```

context restriction-space begin

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-chain-def-bis:
  ‹restriction-chain σ ↔ ( ∀ n m. n < m → σ m ↓ n = σ n )›
proof (rule iffI)
  show ‹ ∀ n m. n < m → σ m ↓ n = σ n ⇒ restriction-chain σ ›
    by (simp add: restriction-chainI)
next
  show ‹restriction-chain σ ⇒ ∀ n m. n < m → σ m ↓ n = σ n›
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix n m
    assume restriction : ‹restriction-chain σ›
    show ‹n < m ⇒ σ m ↓ n = σ n›
    proof (induct ‹m - n› arbitrary: m)
      fix m
      assume ‹0 = m - n› and ‹n < m›
      hence False by simp
      thus ‹σ m ↓ n = σ n› by simp
    next
      fix x m
      assume prems : ‹Suc x = m - n› ‹n < m›
      assume hyp : ‹x = m' - n ⇒ n < m' ⇒ σ m' ↓ n = σ n›
    for m'
      obtain m' where ‹m = Suc m'› by (meson less-imp-Suc-add
      prems(2))
      from prems(2) ‹m = Suc m'› consider ‹n = m'› | ‹n < m'› by
      linarith
      thus ‹σ m ↓ n = σ n›
      proof cases
        show ‹n = m' ⇒ σ m ↓ n = σ n›
        by (simp add: ‹m = Suc m'› restriction restriction-chainD)
      next
        assume ‹n < m'›
        have * : ‹σ (Suc m') ↓ n = σ (Suc m') ↓ m' ↓ n› by (simp
        add: ‹n < m'›)
        from ‹m = Suc m'› prems(1) have ** : ‹x = m' - n› by
        linarith
        show ‹σ m ↓ n = σ n›
        by (simp add: * ** ‹m = Suc m'› ‹n < m'› hyp restriction
        restriction-chainD)
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma restricted-restriction-chain-is :
  ‹restriction-chain σ ⇒ (λn. σ n ↓ n) = σ›
by (rule ext) (metis min.idem restriction-chainD restriction-restriction)

```

```

lemma restriction-chain-def-ter:
  ⟨restriction-chain σ ⟷ (⟨! n m. n ≤ m ⟹ σ m ↓ n = σ n⟩)
  by (metis le-eq-less-or-eq restricted-restriction-chain-is restriction-chain-def-bis)

lemma restriction-chain-restrictions : ⟨restriction-chain ((↓) x)⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-chainI)

end

Iterations The sequence of restricted images of powers of a constructive function is a chain↓.
context fixes f :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'a :: restriction-space⟩ begin

lemma restriction-chain-funpow-restricted [simp]:
  ⟨restriction-chain (λn. (f ^~ n) x ↓ n)⟩ if ⟨constructive f⟩
proof (rule restriction-chainI)
  show ⟨(f ^~ Suc n) x ↓ Suc n ↓ n = (f ^~ n) x ↓ n⟩ for n
  proof (induct n)
    show ⟨(f ^~ Suc 0) x ↓ Suc 0 ↓ 0 = (f ^~ 0) x ↓ 0⟩ by simp
  next
    fix n assume ⟨(f ^~ Suc n) x ↓ Suc n ↓ n = (f ^~ n) x ↓ n⟩
    from ⟨constructive f⟩[THEN constructiveD, OF this[simplified]]
    show ⟨(f ^~ Suc (Suc n)) x ↓ Suc (Suc n) ↓ Suc n = (f ^~ Suc n)
  x ↓ Suc n⟩ by simp
  qed
qed

lemma constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted :
  ⟨n ≤ k ⟹ n ≤ l ⟹ (f ^~ k) x ↓ n = (f ^~ l) y ↓ n⟩ if ⟨constructive f⟩
proof (induct n arbitrary: k l)
  show ⟨(f ^~ k) x ↓ 0 = (f ^~ l) y ↓ 0⟩ for k l by simp
next
  fix n k l assume hyp : ⟨n ≤ k ⟹ n ≤ l ⟹ (f ^~ k) x ↓ n = (f ^~ l) y ↓ n⟩ for k l
  assume ⟨Suc n ≤ k⟩ ⟨Suc n ≤ l⟩
  then obtain k' l' where ⟨k = Suc k'⟩ ⟨n ≤ k'⟩ ⟨l = Suc l'⟩ ⟨n ≤ l'⟩
    by (metis Suc-le-D not-less-eq-eq)
  from ⟨constructive f⟩[THEN constructiveD, OF hyp[OF ⟨n ≤ k'⟩ ⟨n ≤ l'⟩]]
  show ⟨(f ^~ k) x ↓ Suc n = (f ^~ l) y ↓ Suc n⟩
    by (simp add: ⟨k = Suc k'⟩ ⟨l = Suc l'⟩)
  qed

end

Limits and Convergence context restriction begin

```

```

definition restriction-tendsto :: <[nat ⇒ 'a, 'a] ⇒ bool> (⟨((−)/ −↓→
(−))> [59, 59] 59)
where ⟨σ −↓→ Σ ≡ ∀ n. ∃ n0. ∀ k≥n0. Σ ↓ n = σ k ↓ n⟩

lemma restriction-tendstoI : ⟨(¬¬ n. ∃ n0. ∀ k≥n0. Σ ↓ n = σ k ↓ n)
⇒ σ −↓→ Σ⟩
by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-def)

lemma restriction-tendstoD : ⟨σ −↓→ Σ ⇒ ∃ n0. ∀ k≥n0. Σ ↓ n =
σ k ↓ n⟩
by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-def)

lemma restriction-tendstoE :
⟨σ −↓→ Σ ⇒ (¬¬ n0. (¬¬ k. n0 ≤ k ⇒ Σ ↓ n = σ k ↓ n) ⇒ thesis)
⇒ thesis⟩
using restriction-tendstoD by blast

end

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-tendsto-unique :
⟨σ −↓→ Σ ⇒ σ −↓→ Σ' ⇒ Σ = Σ'⟩
by (metis ex-not-restriction-eq restriction-tendstoD nat-le-linear)

context restriction begin

lemma restriction-tendsto-const-restricted :
⟨σ −↓→ Σ ⇒ (λ n. σ n ↓ k) −↓→ Σ ↓ k⟩
unfolding restriction-tendsto-def by metis

lemma restriction-tendsto-iff-eventually-in-restriction-eq-set :
⟨σ −↓→ Σ ↔ (¬¬ n. ∃ n0. ∀ k≥n0. n ∈ restriction-related-set Σ (σ k))⟩
by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-def)

lemma restriction-tendsto-const : ⟨(λ n. Σ) −↓→ Σ⟩
by (simp add: restriction-tendstoI)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-tendsto-restrictions : ⟨(λ n. Σ
↓ n) −↓→ Σ⟩
by (metis restriction-tendstoI restriction-chain-def-ter restriction-chain-restrictions)

lemma restriction-tendsto-shift-iff : ⟨(λ n. σ (n + l)) −↓→ Σ ↔ σ
−↓→ Σ⟩
proof (rule iffI)
show ⟨(λ n. σ (n + l)) −↓→ Σ⟩ if ⟨σ −↓→ Σ⟩

```

```

proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
  from  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  [THEN restriction-tendstoD]
  show  $\langle \exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (k + l) \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $n$  by (meson
trans-le-add1)
  qed
next
  show  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  if  $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
    fix  $n$ 
    from  $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  [THEN restriction-tendstoD]
    obtain  $n_0$  where  $\langle \forall k \geq n_0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (k + l) \downarrow n \rangle ..$ 
    hence  $\langle \forall k \geq n_0 + l. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle ..$ 
      by (metis add.commute add-leD2 add-le-imp-le-left le-iff-add)
    thus  $\langle \exists n_1. \forall k \geq n_1. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle ..$ 
    qed
  qed

lemma restriction-tendsto-shiftI :  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-shift-iff)

lemma restriction-tendsto-shiftD :  $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma (n + l)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-shift-iff)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto :
   $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \iff \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
proof (rule iffI)
  assume  $* : \langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  show  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
    fix  $n$ 
    from  $* \text{ restriction-tendstoE }$  obtain  $n_0$  where  $\langle n_0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $k$  by blast
    hence  $\langle \max n_0 n \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $k$  by auto
    thus  $\langle \exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$  by blast
  qed
next
  assume  $* : \langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  show  $\langle (\lambda n. \sigma n \downarrow n) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
    fix  $n$ 
    from  $* \text{ restriction-tendstoE }$  obtain  $n_0$  where  $\langle n_0 \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $k$  by blast
    hence  $\langle \max n_0 n \leq k \implies \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow k \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $k$  by auto
    thus  $\langle \exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow k \downarrow n \rangle$  by blast

```

```

qed
qed

lemma restriction-tendsto-subseq :
  ⟨(σ ∘ f) → Σ⟩ if ⟨strict-mono f⟩ and ⟨σ → Σ⟩
proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
  fix n
  have ⟨n ≤ fn⟩ by (simp add: strict-mono-imp-increasing ⟨strict-mono f⟩)
  moreover from ⟨σ → Σ⟩ restriction-tendstoE obtain n0 where
    ⟨n0 ≤ k ==> Σ ↓ n = σ k ↓ n⟩ for k by blast
  ultimately have ⟨∀k≥n0. Σ ↓ n = σ (f k) ↓ n⟩
    by (metis le-trans strict-mono-imp-increasing that(1))
  thus ⟨∃n0. ∀k≥n0. Σ ↓ n = (σ ∘ f) k ↓ n⟩ by auto
qed

end

context restriction begin

definition restriction-convergent :: ⟨(nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool⟩ (⟨convergent↓⟩)
  where ⟨restriction-convergent σ ≡ ∃Σ. σ → Σ⟩

lemma restriction-convergentI : ⟨σ → Σ ==> restriction-convergent σ⟩
  by (auto simp add: restriction-convergent-def)

lemma restriction-convergentD' : ⟨restriction-convergent σ ==> ∃Σ. σ → Σ⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-convergent-def)

end

context restriction-space begin

lemma restriction-convergentD :
  ⟨restriction-convergent σ ==> ∃!Σ. σ → Σ⟩
  unfolding restriction-convergent-def using restriction-tendsto-unique
  by blast

lemma restriction-convergentE :
  ⟨restriction-convergent σ ==>
    (ΛΣ. σ → Σ ==> (ΛΣ'. σ → Σ' ==> Σ' = Σ) ==> thesis) ==>
  thesis⟩
  using restriction-convergentD by blast

lemma restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent :

```

```

⟨restriction-convergent σ ⟹ σ ↓→ (THE Σ. σ ↓→ Σ)⟩
by (simp add: restriction-convergentD theI2)

end

context restriction begin

lemma restriction-convergent-const [simp] : ⟨convergent↓ (λn. Σ)⟩
  unfolding restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-def by blast

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-convergent-restrictions [simp]
:
⟨convergent↓ (λn. Σ ↓ n)⟩
  using restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-restrictions by
blast

lemma restriction-convergent-shift-iff :
⟨convergent↓ (λn. σ (n + l)) ⟷ convergent↓ σ⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-shift-iff)

lemma restriction-convergent-shift-shiftI :
⟨convergent↓ σ ⟹ convergent↓ (λn. σ (n + l))⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-convergent-shift-iff)

lemma restriction-convergent-shift-shiftD :
⟨convergent↓ (λn. σ (n + l)) ⟹ convergent↓ σ⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-convergent-shift-iff)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-convergent-restricted-iff-restriction-convergent
:
⟨convergent↓ (λn. σ n ↓ n) ⟷ convergent↓ σ⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-convergent-def
restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto)

lemma restriction-convergent-subseq :
⟨strict-mono f ⟹ restriction-convergent σ ⟹ restriction-convergent
(σ ∘ f)⟩
  unfolding restriction-convergent-def using restriction-tendsto-subseq
  by blast

lemma (in restriction-space)
convergent-restriction-chain-imp-ex1 : ⟨∃!Σ. ∀ n. Σ ↓ n = σ n⟩
  and restriction-tendsto-of-convergent-restriction-chain : ⟨σ ↓→
(THE Σ. ∀ n. Σ ↓ n = σ n)⟩

```

```

if ⟨restriction-convergent σ⟩ and ⟨restriction-chain σ⟩
proof –
  from ⟨restriction-convergent σ⟩ restriction-convergentE obtain Σ
  where ⟨σ → Σ⟩ ⟨ΛΣ'. σ → Σ' ⇒ Σ' = Σ⟩ by blast

  have * : ⟨Σ ↓ n = σ n⟩ for n
  proof –
    from ⟨σ → Σ⟩ restriction-tendsE obtain n0 where ⟨n0 ≤ k
    ⇒ Σ ↓ n = σ k ↓ n⟩ for k by blast
    hence ⟨Σ ↓ n = σ (max n0 n) ↓ n⟩ by simp
    thus ⟨Σ ↓ n = σ n⟩
      by (simp add: restriction-chain-def-ter[THEN iffD1, OF ⟨restriction-chain σ⟩])
  qed
  have ** : ⟨∀ n. Σ' ↓ n = σ n ⇒ Σ' = Σ⟩ for Σ'
    by (metis * ex-not-restriction-eq)
  from * ** show ⟨∃!Σ. ∀ n. Σ ↓ n = σ n⟩ by blast
  from theI'[OF this] ** have ⟨Σ = (THE Σ. ∀ n. Σ ↓ n = σ n)⟩ by
  simp
  with ⟨σ → Σ⟩ show ⟨σ → (THE Σ. ∀ n. Σ ↓ n = σ n)⟩ by
  simp
  qed

end

```

2.3.2 Fixed-Point Operator

Our definition only makes sense if such a fixed point exists and is unique. We will therefore directly add a completeness assumption, and define the fixed-point operator within this context. It will only be valid when the function f is *constructive*.

```

class complete-restriction-space = restriction-space +
  assumes restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent : ⟨chain↓ σ ⇒
  convergent↓ σ⟩

definition (in complete-restriction-space)
  restriction-fix :: ⟨('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a⟩
  — We will use a syntax rather than a binder to be compatible with
  the product.
  where ⟨restriction-fix (λx. f x)⟩ ≡ THE Σ. (λn. (f ∘ n) undefined)
  → Σ

syntax -restriction-fix :: ⟨[pttrn, 'a ⇒ 'a] ⇒ 'a⟩
  (⟨⟨indent=3 notation=⟨binder restriction-fix⟩⟩⟩v -. / -)⟩ [0, 10] 10)
syntax-consts -restriction-fix ⇒ restriction-fix
translations v x. f ⇒ CONST restriction-fix (λx. f)
print-translation ⟨
  [(const-syntax ⟨restriction-fix⟩, fn ctxt => fn [Abs abs] =>

```

```

let val (x, t) = Syntax.Trans.atomic-abs-tr' ctxt abs
in Syntax.const syntax-const`{-restriction-fix} $ x $ t end]
› — To avoid eta-contraction of body

```

```
context complete-restriction-space begin
```

The following result is quite similar to the Banach's fixed point theorem.

```

lemma restriction-chain-imp-ex1 : <math>\exists !\Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n</math>
  and restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-chain : <math>\sigma \rightarrow (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n)</math>
  if <math>\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle</math>
  by (simp-all add: convergent-restriction-chain-imp-ex1
    restriction-tendsto-of-convergent-restriction-chain
    restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent <math>\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle</math>)

```

```

lemma restriction-chain-is :
  <math>\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (\text{THE } \Sigma. \sigma \rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle</math>
  <math>\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle</math> if <math>\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle</math>
proof -
  from restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-chain[OF <math>\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle</math>]
  have <math>\langle \sigma \rightarrow (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle .</math>
  with restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent
    restriction-convergentI restriction-tendsto-unique
  have * : <math>\langle (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) = (\text{THE } \Sigma. \sigma \rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle</math> by
blast

from theI'[OF restriction-chain-imp-ex1, OF <math>\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \rangle</math>]
show <math>\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (\text{THE } \Sigma. \forall n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma n) \rangle</math> by (intro ext) simp
with * show <math>\langle \sigma = (\downarrow) (\text{THE } \Sigma. \sigma \rightarrow \Sigma) \rangle</math> by auto
qed

end

```

```

context
  fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'a :: complete-restriction-space
  assumes <math>\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle</math>
begin

```

```

lemma ex1-restriction-fix :
  <math>\exists !\Sigma. \forall x. (\lambda n. (f \wedgeq n) x) \rightarrow \Sigma</math>
proof -
  let ?σ = <math>\langle \lambda x n. (f \wedgeq n) x \downarrow n \rangle</math>
  from constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted[OF <math>\langle \text{constructive } f \rangle</math>]

```

```

have ⟨?σ x = ?σ y⟩ for x y by blast
moreover have ⟨restriction-chain (?σ x)⟩ for x by (simp add:
⟨constructive f⟩)
ultimately obtain Σ where ⟨∀ x. ?σ x → Σ⟩
by (metis (full-types) restriction-chain-is(1) restriction-tendsto-restrictions)
with restriction-tendsto-unique have ⟨∃!Σ. ∀ x. ?σ x → Σ⟩ by
blast
thus ⟨∃!Σ. ∀ x. (λn. (f ^ n) x) → Σ⟩
by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-restricted-iff-restriction-tendsto)
qed

lemma ex1-restriction-fix-bis :
⟨∃!Σ. (λn. (f ^ n) x) → Σ⟩
using ex1-restriction-fix restriction-tendsto-unique by blast

lemma restriction-fix-def-bis :
⟨(v x. f x) = (THE Σ. (λn. (f ^ n) x) → Σ)⟩
proof –
have ⟨(λΣ. (λn. (f ^ n) undefined) → Σ) = (λΣ. (λn. (f ^ n)
x) → Σ)⟩
by (metis ex1-restriction-fix restriction-tendsto-unique)
from arg-cong[where f = The, OF this, folded restriction-fix-def]
show ⟨(v x. f x) = (THE Σ. (λn. (f ^ n) x) → Σ)⟩ .
qed

lemma funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix : ⟨(λn. (f ^ n) x)
→ (v x. f x)⟩
by (metis ex1-restriction-fix restriction-convergentI
restriction-fix-def-bis restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent)

lemma restriction-restriction-fix-is : ⟨(v x. f x) ↓ n = (f ^ n) x ↓ n⟩
proof (rule restriction-tendsto-unique)
from funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix
show ⟨(λk. (f ^ k) x ↓ n) → (v x. f x) ↓ n⟩
by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-def)
next
from restriction-tendsto-restrictions
have ⟨(λk. (f ^ k) x ↓ n ↓ k) → (f ^ n) x ↓ n⟩ .
then obtain n0 where * : ⟨∀ k ≥ n0. (f ^ n) x ↓ n = (f ^ n) x ↓
n ↓ k⟩
by (metis restriction-restriction min-def)
show ⟨(λk. (f ^ k) x ↓ n) → (f ^ n) x ↓ n⟩
proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
fix m
from * have ⟨∀ k ≥ n + n0 + m. (f ^ n) x ↓ n ↓ m = (f ^ k) x
↓ n ↓ m⟩

```

```

by (simp add: constructive f) constructive-imp-eq-funpow-restricted)
thus <math>\exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. (f^{\wedge n}) x \downarrow n \downarrow m = (f^{\wedge k}) x \downarrow n \downarrow m</math> ..
qed
qed

lemma restriction-fix-eq : <math>(v x. f x) = f (v x. f x)</math>
proof (subst restriction-fix-def, intro the1-equality restriction-tendstoI)
show <math>\exists ! \Sigma. (\lambda n. (f^{\wedge n}) undefined) \dashrightarrow \Sigma</math>
by (simp add: ex1-restriction-fix-bis)
next
have <math>n \leq k \implies f (restriction-fix f) \downarrow n = (f^{\wedge k}) undefined \downarrow n</math>
for n k
by (cases n, simp, cases k, simp-all)
(meson constructive f [THEN constructiveD] restriction-related-le
restriction-restriction-fix-is)
thus <math>\exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. f (restriction-fix f) \downarrow n = (f^{\wedge k}) undefined \downarrow n</math>
for n by blast
qed

lemma restriction-fix-unique : <math>f x = x \implies (v x. f x) = x</math>
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) restriction-fix-eq constructive f
constructiveD dual-order.refl take-lemma-restriction)

lemma restriction-fix-def-ter : <math>(v x. f x) = (\text{THE } x. f x = x)</math>
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) restriction-fix-eq restriction-fix-unique
the-equality)

end

```

3 Product over Restriction Spaces

3.1 Restriction Space

```

instantiation prod :: (restriction, restriction) restriction
begin

definition restriction-prod :: '&a × &b ⇒ nat ⇒ &a × &b'
where <math>p \downarrow n \equiv (fst p \downarrow n, snd p \downarrow n)</math>

instance by intro-classes (simp add: restriction-prod-def)

```

end

```
instance prod :: (restriction-space, restriction-space) restriction-space
proof intro-classes
  show ⟨p ↓ 0 = q ↓ 0⟩ for p q :: ⟨'a × 'b⟩
    by (simp add: restriction-prod-def)
next
  show ⟨p ≠ q ⟹ ∃n. p ↓ n ≠ q ↓ n⟩ for p q :: ⟨'a × 'b⟩
    by (simp add: restriction-prod-def)
      (meson ex-not-restriction-related prod.expand)
qed
```

```
instantiation prod :: (preorder-restriction-space, preorder-restriction-space)
preorder-restriction-space
begin
```

We might want to use lexicographic order :

- $p \leq q \equiv \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \vee \text{fst } p = \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p \leq \text{snd } q$
- $p < q \equiv \text{fst } p < \text{fst } q \vee \text{fst } p = \text{fst } q \wedge \text{snd } p < \text{snd } q$

but this is wrong since it is incompatible with $p \downarrow 0 \leq q \downarrow 0$, $\neg p \leq q \implies \exists n. \neg p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n$ and $p \leq q \implies p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n$.

```
definition less-eq-prod :: ⟨'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool⟩
  where ⟨p ≤ q ≡ fst p ≤ fst q ∧ snd p ≤ snd q⟩
```

```
definition less-prod :: ⟨'a × 'b ⇒ 'a × 'b ⇒ bool⟩
  where ⟨p < q ≡ fst p ≤ fst q ∧ snd p < snd q ∨ fst p < fst q ∧ snd p ≤ snd q⟩
```

```
instance
proof intro-classes
  show ⟨p < q ⟷ p ≤ q ∧ q ≤ p⟩ for p q :: ⟨'a × 'b⟩
    by (auto simp add: less-eq-prod-def less-prod-def less-le-not-le)
next
  show ⟨p ≤ p⟩ for p :: ⟨'a × 'b⟩
    by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def)
next
  show ⟨p ≤ q ⟹ q ≤ r ⟹ p ≤ r⟩ for p q r :: ⟨'a × 'b⟩
    by (auto simp add: less-eq-prod-def intro: order-trans)
next
  show ⟨p ↓ 0 ≤ q ↓ 0⟩ for p q :: ⟨'a × 'b⟩
    by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def restriction-prod-def)
next
```

```

show  $\langle p \leq q \implies p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $p, q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$  and  $n$ 
  by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def restriction-prod-def mono-restriction-less-eq)
next
  show  $\langle \neg p \leq q \implies \exists n. \neg p \downarrow n \leq q \downarrow n \rangle$  for  $p, q :: \langle 'a \times 'b \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: less-eq-prod-def restriction-prod-def)
      (meson ex-not-restriction-less-eq)
qed
end

instance prod :: (order-restriction-space, order-restriction-space) order-restriction-space
  by intro-classes (simp add: less-eq-prod-def order-antisym prod.expand)

```

3.2 Restriction shift Maps

3.2.1 Domain is a Product

```

lemma restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff :
  ⟨restriction-shift-on f k (A × B) ⟷ (∀ x ∈ A. restriction-shift-on
  (λy. f (x, y)) k B) ∧
    (∀ y ∈ B. restriction-shift-on (λx. f (x,
  y)) k A)⟩
proof (intro iffI conjI ballI)
  show ⟨restriction-shift-on (λy. f (x, y)) k B⟩
    if ⟨restriction-shift-on f k (A × B)⟩ and ⟨x ∈ A⟩ for x
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    show ⟨y1 ∈ B ⟹ y2 ∈ B ⟹ y1 ↓ n = y2 ↓ n ⟹
      f (x, y1) ↓ nat (int n + k) = f (x, y2) ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩ for
    y1 y2 n
    by (rule that(1)[THEN restriction-shift-onD])
      (use that(2) in ⟨simp-all add: restriction-prod-def⟩)
  qed
next
  show ⟨restriction-shift-on (λx. f (x, y)) k A⟩
    if ⟨restriction-shift-on f k (A × B)⟩ and ⟨y ∈ B⟩ for y
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    show ⟨x1 ∈ A ⟹ x2 ∈ A ⟹ x1 ↓ n = x2 ↓ n ⟹
      f (x1, y) ↓ nat (int n + k) = f (x2, y) ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩ for
    x1 x2 n
    by (rule that(1)[THEN restriction-shift-onD])
      (use that(2) in ⟨simp-all add: restriction-prod-def⟩)
  qed
next
  assume assm : ⟨(∀ x ∈ A. restriction-shift-on (λy. f (x, y)) k B) ∧
    (∀ y ∈ B. restriction-shift-on (λx. f (x, y)) k A)⟩
  show ⟨restriction-shift-on f k (A × B)⟩
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    fix p q n assume ⟨p ∈ A × B⟩ ⟨q ∈ A × B⟩ ⟨p ↓ n = q ↓ n⟩

```

```

then obtain x1 y1 x2 y2
where ⟨p = (x1, y1)⟩ ⟨q = (x2, y2)⟩ ⟨x1 ∈ A⟩ ⟨y1 ∈ B⟩
      ⟨x2 ∈ A⟩ ⟨y2 ∈ B⟩ ⟨x1 ↓ n = x2 ↓ n⟩ ⟨y1 ↓ n = y2 ↓ n⟩
      by (cases p, cases q, simp add: restriction-prod-def)
have ⟨p = (x1, y1)⟩ by (fact ⟨p = (x1, y1)⟩)
also have ⟨f (x1, y1) ↓ nat (int n + k) = f (x1, y2) ↓ nat (int n
+ k)⟩
      by (rule assm[THEN conjunct1, rule-format, OF ⟨x1 ∈ A⟩, THEN
restriction-shift-onD])
      (fact ⟨y1 ∈ B⟩ ⟨y2 ∈ B⟩ ⟨y1 ↓ n = y2 ↓ n⟩) +
      also have ⟨f (x1, y2) ↓ nat (int n + k) = f (x2, y2) ↓ nat (int n
+ k)⟩
      by (rule assm[THEN conjunct2, rule-format, OF ⟨y2 ∈ B⟩, THEN
restriction-shift-onD])
      (fact ⟨x1 ∈ A⟩ ⟨x2 ∈ A⟩ ⟨x1 ↓ n = x2 ↓ n⟩) +
      also have ⟨(x2, y2) = q⟩ by (simp add: ⟨q = (x2, y2)⟩)
      finally show ⟨f p ↓ nat (int n + k) = f q ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩ .
qed
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-shift-prod-domain-iff:
⟨restriction-shift f k ⟷ (forall x. restriction-shift (lambda y. f (x, y)) k) ∧
  (forall y. restriction-shift (lambda x. f (x, y)) k)⟩
unfolding restriction-shift-def
by (metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff)

```

```

lemma non-too-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff :
⟨non-too-destructive-on f (A × B) ⟷ (forall x ∈ A. non-too-destructive-on
  (lambda y. f (x, y)) B) ∧
  (forall y ∈ B. non-too-destructive-on (lambda x. f
  (x, y)) A)⟩
by (simp add: non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff)

```

```

lemma non-too-destructive-prod-domain-iff :
⟨non-too-destructive f ⟷ (forall x. non-too-destructive (lambda y. f (x, y)))⟩
∧
  (forall y. non-too-destructive (lambda x. f (x, y)))
unfolding non-too-destructive-def
by (metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV non-too-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff)

```

```

lemma non-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff :
⟨non-destructive-on f (A × B) ⟷ (forall x ∈ A. non-destructive-on (lambda y.
  f (x, y)) B) ∧
  (forall y ∈ B. non-destructive-on (lambda x. f (x, y))
  A)⟩
by (simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff)

```

```

lemma non-destructive-prod-domain-iff :
  ⟨non-destructive  $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \wedge$ 
                     $(\forall y. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)))\rangle$ 
  unfolding non-destructive-def
  by (metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV non-destructive-on-prod-domain-iff)

lemma constructive-on-prod-domain-iff :
  ⟨constructive-on  $f(A \times B) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda y. f(x, y)) \wedge$ 
                     $(\forall y \in B. \text{constructive-on } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) A)\rangle$ 
  by (simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-domain-iff)

lemma constructive-prod-domain-iff :
  ⟨constructive  $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall x. \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \wedge$ 
                     $(\forall y. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y)))\rangle$ 
  unfolding constructive-def
  by (metis UNIV-I UNIV-Times-UNIV constructive-on-prod-domain-iff)

lemma restriction-shift-prod-domain [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
  ⟨[ $\lambda x. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda y. f(x, y)) k;$ 
       $\lambda y. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f(x, y)) k] \implies \text{restriction-shift } f k\rangle$ 
  and non-too-destructive-prod-domain [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
  ⟨[ $\lambda x. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y));$ 
       $\lambda y. \text{non-too-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))\rangle \implies \text{non-too-destructive } f\rangle$ 
  and non-destructive-prod-domain [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
  ⟨[ $\lambda x. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y));$ 
       $\lambda y. \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))\rangle \implies \text{non-destructive } f\rangle$ 
  and constructive-prod-domain [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
  ⟨[ $\lambda x. \text{constructive } (\lambda y. f(x, y));$ 
       $\lambda y. \text{constructive } (\lambda x. f(x, y))\rangle \implies \text{constructive } f\rangle$ 
  by (simp-all add: restriction-shift-prod-domain-iff non-too-destructive-prod-domain-iff
    non-destructive-prod-domain-iff constructive-prod-domain-iff)
:
```

3.2.2 Codomain is a Product

```

lemma restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff :
  ⟨restriction-shift-on  $f k A \longleftrightarrow (\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) k$ 
                     $A) \wedge$ 
                     $(\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) k A)\rangle$ 
  by (simp add: restriction-shift-on-def restriction-prod-def) blast

```

```

lemma restriction-shift-prod-codomain-iff:
  ‹restriction-shift f k ⟷ (restriction-shift (λx. fst (f x)) k) ∧
    (restriction-shift (λx. snd (f x)) k)›
  unfolding restriction-shift-def
  by (fact restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma non-too-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff :
  ‹non-too-destructive-on f A ⟷ (non-too-destructive-on (λx. fst (f x)) A) ∧
    (non-too-destructive-on (λx. snd (f x)) A)›
  by (simp add: non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma non-too-destructive-prod-codomain-iff :
  ‹non-too-destructive f ⟷ (non-too-destructive (λx. fst (f x))) ∧
    (non-too-destructive (λx. snd (f x))))›
  by (simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma non-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff :
  ‹non-destructive-on f A ⟷ (non-destructive-on (λx. fst (f x)) A) ∧
    (non-destructive-on (λx. snd (f x)) A)›
  by (simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma non-destructive-prod-codomain-iff :
  ‹non-destructive f ⟷ (non-destructive (λx. fst (f x))) ∧
    (non-destructive (λx. snd (f x))))›
  by (simp add: non-destructive-def non-destructive-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma constructive-on-prod-codomain-iff :
  ‹constructive-on f A ⟷ (constructive-on (λx. fst (f x)) A) ∧
    (constructive-on (λx. snd (f x)) A)›
  by (simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma constructive-prod-codomain-iff :
  ‹constructive f ⟷ (constructive (λx. fst (f x))) ∧
    (constructive (λx. snd (f x))))›
  by (simp add: constructive-def constructive-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma restriction-shift-prod-codomain [restriction-shift-simpset, re-
striction-shift-introset] :
  ‹[restriction-shift f k; restriction-shift g k] ⟹
    restriction-shift (λx. (f x, g x)) k›
  and non-too-destructive-prod-codomain [restriction-shift-simpset, re-
striction-shift-introset] :
  ‹[non-too-destructive f; non-too-destructive g] ⟹ non-too-destructive

```

```

 $(\lambda x. (f x, g x))$ 
and non-destructive-prod-codomain [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
   $\langle \llbracket \text{non-destructive } f; \text{non-destructive } g \rrbracket \implies \text{non-destructive } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \rangle$ 
and constructive-prod-codomain [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset] :
   $\langle \llbracket \text{constructive } f; \text{constructive } g \rrbracket \implies \text{constructive } (\lambda x. (f x, g x)) \rangle$ 
by (simp-all add: restriction-shift-prod-codomain-iff non-too-destructive-prod-codomain-iff
      non-destructive-prod-codomain-iff constructive-prod-codomain-iff)

```

3.3 Limits and Convergence

```

lemma restriction-chain-prod-iff :
   $\langle \text{restriction-chain } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \wedge$ 
     $\text{restriction-chain } (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-chain-def restriction-prod-def)
  (metis fst-conv prod.collapse snd-conv)

lemma restriction-tendsto-prod-iff :
   $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \wedge (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-def restriction-prod-def)
  (meson nle-le order-trans)

lemma restriction-convergent-prod-iff :
   $\langle \text{restriction-convergent } \sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \wedge$ 
     $\text{restriction-convergent } (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-prod-iff)

lemma funpow-indep-prod-is :
   $\langle ((\lambda(x, y). (f x, g y)) \wedge^n) (x, y) = ((f \wedge^n) x, (g \wedge^n) y) \rangle$ 
for f g :: ' $'a \Rightarrow 'a'$ 
by (induct n) simp-all

```

3.4 Completeness

```

instance prod :: (complete-restriction-space, complete-restriction-space)
  complete-restriction-space
proof intro-classes
  fix  $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{complete-restriction-space} \rangle$ 
  assume  $\langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} \sigma \rangle$ 
  hence  $\langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \rangle \langle \text{chain}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$ 
    by (simp-all add: restriction-chain-prod-iff)
  hence  $\langle \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \rangle \langle \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \rangle$ 
    by (simp-all add: restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent)
  thus  $\langle \text{convergent}_{\downarrow} \sigma \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-convergent-prod-iff)
qed

```

3.5 Fixed Point

```

lemma restriction-fix-indep-prod-is :
  ⟨(v (x, y). (f x, g y)) = (v x. f x, v y. g y)⟩
  if constructive : ⟨constructive f⟩ ⟨constructive g⟩
  for f :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'a :: complete-restriction-space⟩
    and g :: ⟨'b ⇒ 'b :: complete-restriction-space⟩
proof (rule restriction-fix-unique)
  from constructive show ⟨constructive (λ(x, y). (f x, g y))⟩
  by (simp add: constructive-prod-domain-iff constructive-prod-codomain-iff
  constructive-const)
next
  show ⟨(case (v x. f x, v y. g y) of (x, y) ⇒ (f x, g y)) = (v x. f x,
  v y. g y)⟩
  by simp (metis restriction-fix-eq constructive)
qed

```

```

lemma non-destructive-fst : ⟨non-destructive fst⟩
  by (rule non-destructiveI) (simp add: restriction-prod-def)

lemma non-destructive-snd : ⟨non-destructive snd⟩
  by (rule non-destructiveI) (simp add: restriction-prod-def)

```

```

lemma constructive-restriction-fix-right :
  ⟨constructive (λx. v y. f (x, y))⟩ if ⟨constructive f⟩
  for f :: ⟨'a :: complete-restriction-space × 'b :: complete-restriction-space
  ⇒ 'b⟩
proof (rule constructiveI)
  fix n and x x' :: 'a assume ⟨x ↓ n = x' ↓ n⟩
  show ⟨(v y. f (x, y)) ↓ Suc n = (v y. f (x', y)) ↓ Suc n⟩
  proof (subst (1 2) restriction-restriction-fix-is)
    show ⟨constructive (λy. f (x', y))⟩ and ⟨constructive (λy. f (x,
    y))⟩
    using ⟨constructive f⟩ constructive-prod-domain-iff by blast+
  next
    from ⟨x ↓ n = x' ↓ n⟩ show ⟨((λy. f (x, y)) ^~ Suc n) undefined
    ↓ Suc n =
      ((λy. f (x', y)) ^~ Suc n) undefined ↓ Suc n⟩
    by (induct n, simp-all)
    (use ⟨constructive f⟩ constructiveD restriction-0-related in blast,
    metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨constructive f⟩ constructiveD prod.sel
    restriction-related-pred restriction-prod-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma constructive-restriction-fix-left :
  ⟨constructive (λy. v x. f (x, y))⟩ if ⟨constructive f⟩
for f :: ⟨'a :: complete-restriction-space × 'b :: complete-restriction-space
  ⇒ 'a⟩
proof (rule constructiveI)
  fix n and y y' :: 'b assume ⟨y ↓ n = y' ↓ n⟩
  show ⟨(v x. f (x, y)) ↓ Suc n = (v x. f (x, y')) ↓ Suc n⟩
  proof (subst (1 2) restriction-restriction-fix-is)
    show ⟨constructive (λx. f (x, y'))⟩ and ⟨constructive (λx. f (x,
    y))⟩
    using ⟨constructive f⟩ constructive-prod-domain-iff by blast+
  next
    from ⟨y ↓ n = y' ↓ n⟩ show ⟨((λx. f (x, y)) ≈ Suc n) undefined
    ↓ Suc n =
      ⟨(λx. f (x, y')) ≈ Suc n) undefined ↓ Suc n⟩
    by (induct n, simp-all)
    (use restriction-0-related ⟨constructive f⟩ constructiveD in blast,
     metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨constructive f⟩ constructiveD prod.sel
     restriction-related-pred restriction-prod-def)
  qed
qed

```

— “Bekic’s Theorem” in HOLCF

```

lemma restriction-fix-prod-is :
  ⟨(v p. f p) = (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y)))),
```

$$v y. snd (f (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y)))), y)))⟩$$

$$(\text{is } \langle(v p. f p) = (?x, ?y)\rangle \text{ if } \langle\text{constructive } f\rangle)$$
for f :: ⟨'a :: complete-restriction-space × 'b :: complete-restriction-space
 ⇒ 'a × 'b⟩
proof (rule restriction-fix-unique[OF ⟨constructive f⟩])
 have ⟨constructive (λp. snd (f p))⟩
 by (fact non-destructive-comp-constructive[OF non-destructive-snd
 ⟨constructive f⟩])
 hence ⟨constructive (λx. v y. snd (f (x, y)))⟩
 by (fact constructive-restriction-fix-right)
 hence ⟨non-destructive (λx. v y. snd (f (x, y)))⟩
 by (fact constructive-imp-non-destructive)
 hence ⟨non-destructive (λx. (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))⟩
 by (fact non-destructive-prod-codomain[OF non-destructive-id(2)])
 hence ⟨constructive (λx. f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))⟩
 by (fact constructive-comp-non-destructive[OF ⟨constructive f⟩])
 hence * : ⟨constructive (λx. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))⟩
 by (fact non-destructive-comp-constructive[OF non-destructive-fst])

have ⟨non-destructive (λx. v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))⟩

```

    by (fact constructive-imp-non-destructive[OF constructive-const])
  hence ⟨non-destructive (Pair (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))))⟩
    by (fact non-destructive-prod-codomain[OF - non-destructive-id(2)])
  hence ⟨constructive (λx. f (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x, y))))), x))⟩
    by (fact constructive-comp-non-destructive[OF ⟨constructive f⟩])
  hence ** : ⟨constructive (λx. snd (f (v x. fst (f (x, v y. snd (f (x,
y)))), x))))⟩
    by (fact non-destructive-comp-constructive[OF non-destructive-snd])

  have ⟨fst (f (?x, ?y)) = ?x⟩
    by (rule trans [symmetric, OF restriction-fx-eq[OF *]]) simp
  moreover have ⟨snd (f (?x, ?y)) = ?y⟩
    by (rule trans [symmetric, OF restriction-fix-eq[OF **]]) simp
  ultimately show ⟨f (?x, ?y) = (?x, ?y)⟩ by (cases ⟨f (?x, ?y)⟩)
simp
qed

```

4 Functions towards a Restriction Space

4.1 Restriction Space

```

instantiation ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, restriction) restriction
begin

definition restriction-fun :: ['a ⇒ 'b, nat, 'a] ⇒ 'b
  where ⟨f ↓ n ≡ (λx. f x ↓ n)⟩

instance by intro-classes (simp add: restriction-fun-def)

end

instance ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, restriction-space) restriction-space
proof (intro-classes, unfold restriction-fun-def)
  show ⟨(λx. f x ↓ 0) = (λx. g x ↓ 0)⟩
    for f g :: 'a ⇒ 'b by (rule ext) simp
next
  fix f g :: 'a ⇒ 'b assume ⟨f ≠ g⟩
  then obtain x where ⟨f x ≠ g x⟩ by fast
  with ex-not-restriction-related obtain n
    where ⟨f x ↓ n ≠ g x ↓ n⟩ by blast
  hence ⟨(λx. f x ↓ n) ≠ (λx. g x ↓ n)⟩ by meson
  thus ⟨∃n. (λx. f x ↓ n) ≠ (λx. g x ↓ n)⟩ ..
qed

```

```

instance <fun> :: (type, preorder-restriction-space) preorder-restriction-space
proof intro-classes
  show < $f \downarrow 0 \leq g \downarrow 0$ > for  $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: le-fun-def restriction-fun-def)
next
  show < $f \leq g \Rightarrow f \downarrow n \leq g \downarrow n$ > for  $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$  and  $n$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-fun-def le-fun-def mono-restriction-less-eq)
next
  show < $\neg f \leq g \Rightarrow \exists n. \neg f \downarrow n \leq g \downarrow n$ > for  $f g :: \langle 'a \Rightarrow 'b \rangle$ 
    by (metis ex-not-restriction-less-eq le-funD le-funI restriction-fun-def)
qed

instance <fun> :: (type, order-restriction-space) order-restriction-space
..

```

4.2 Restriction shift Maps

```

lemma restriction-shift-on-fun-iff :
  < $\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f x z) k A)$ >
proof (intro iffI allI)
  show < $\text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f x z) k A$ > if < $\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A$ > for  $z$ 
    proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
      fix  $x y n$  assume < $x \in A$ > < $y \in A$ > < $x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n$ >
      from restriction-shift-onD[OF < $\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A$ > this]
      show < $f x z \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) = f y z \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)$ >
        by (unfold restriction-fun-def) (blast dest!: fun-cong)
    qed
next
  show < $\text{restriction-shift-on } f k A$ > if < $\forall z. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f x z) k A$ >
    proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
      fix  $x y n$  assume < $x \in A$ > < $y \in A$ > < $x \downarrow n = y \downarrow n$ >
      with < $\forall z. \text{restriction-shift-on } (\lambda x. f x z) k A$ > restriction-shift-onD
      have < $f x z \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) = f y z \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)$ > for  $z$  by
        blast
      thus < $f x \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k) = f y \downarrow \text{nat} (\text{int } n + k)$ >
        by (simp add: restriction-fun-def)
    qed
qed

lemma restriction-shift-fun-iff : < $\text{restriction-shift } f k \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{restriction-shift } (\lambda x. f x z) k)$ >
by (unfold restriction-shift-def, fact restriction-shift-on-fun-iff)

lemma non-too-destructive-on-fun-iff:
  < $\text{non-too-destructive-on } f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall z. \text{non-too-destructive-on } (\lambda x. f$ 
```

```

 $x z) A)$ 
by (simp add: non-too-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-fun-iff)

lemma non-too-destructive-fun-iff:
  ‹non-too-destructive f ⟷ (forall z. non-too-destructive (lambda x. f x z))›
  by (unfold restriction-shift-def non-too-destructive-def)
    (fact non-too-destructive-on-fun-iff)

lemma non-destructive-on-fun-iff:
  ‹non-destructive-on f A ⟷ (forall z. non-destructive-on (lambda x. f x z) A)›
  by (simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-fun-iff)

lemma non-destructive-fun-iff:
  ‹non-destructive f ⟷ (forall z. non-destructive (lambda x. f x z))›
  unfolding non-destructive-def by (fact non-destructive-on-fun-iff)

lemma constructive-on-fun-iff:
  ‹constructive-on f A ⟷ (forall z. constructive-on (lambda x. f x z) A)›
  by (simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-shift-on-fun-iff)

lemma constructive-fun-iff:
  ‹constructive f ⟷ (forall z. constructive (lambda x. f x z))›
  unfolding constructive-def by (fact constructive-on-fun-iff)

lemma restriction-shift-fun [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]
:
  ‹(forall z. restriction-shift (lambda x. f x z) k) ⟹ restriction-shift f k›
  and non-too-destructive-fun [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]
:
  ‹(forall z. non-too-destructive (lambda x. f x z)) ⟹ non-too-destructive f›
  and non-destructive-fun [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]
:
  ‹(forall z. non-destructive (lambda x. f x z)) ⟹ non-destructive f›
  and constructive-fun [restriction-shift-simpset, restriction-shift-introset]
:
  ‹(forall z. constructive (lambda x. f x z)) ⟹ constructive f›
  by (simp-all add: restriction-shift-fun-iff non-too-destructive-fun-iff
    non-destructive-fun-iff constructive-fun-iff)

```

4.3 Limits and Convergence

```

lemma reached-dist-funE :
  fixes f g :: ‹'a ⇒ 'b :: restriction-space› assumes ‹f ≠ g›
  obtains x where ‹f x ≠ g x› ‹Sup (restriction-related-set f g) = Sup
    (restriction-related-set (f x) (g x))›

```

— Morally, we say here that the distance between two functions is reached. But we did not introduce the concept of distance.

proof —

```

let ?n = <Sup (restriction-related-set f g)>
from Sup-in-restriction-related-set[OF <f ≠ g>]
have <?n ∈ restriction-related-set f g> .
with restriction-related-le have <∀ m≤?n. f ↓ m = g ↓ m> by blast
moreover have <f ↓ Suc ?n ≠ g ↓ Suc ?n>
using cSup-upper[OF - bdd-above-restriction-related-set-iff[THEN
iffD2, OF <f ≠ g>], of <Suc ?n>]
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) dual-order.refl mem-Collect-eq not-less-eq-eq
restriction-related-le)
ultimately obtain x where * : <∀ m≤?n. f x ↓ m = g x ↓ m> <f x
↓ Suc ?n ≠ g x ↓ Suc ?n>
unfolding restriction-fun-def by meson
from *(2) have <f x ≠ g x> by auto
moreover from * have <?n = Sup (restriction-related-set (f x) (g
x))>
by (metis (no-types, lifting) <∀ m≤?n. f ↓ m = g ↓ m>
<f ↓ Suc ?n ≠ g ↓ Suc ?n> not-less-eq-eq restriction-related-le)
ultimately show thesis using that by blast
qed

```

```

lemma reached-restriction-related-set-funE :
fixes f g :: <'a ⇒ 'b :: restriction-space>
obtains x where <restriction-related-set f g = restriction-related-set
(f x) (g x)>
proof (cases <f = g>)
from that show <f = g ⟹ thesis> by simp
next
from that show <f ≠ g ⟹ thesis>
by (elim reached-dist-funE) (metis (full-types) restriction-related-set-is-atMost)
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-chain-fun-iff :
<restriction-chain σ ⟷ (∀ z. restriction-chain (λn. σ n z))>
proof (intro iffI allI)
show <restriction-chain σ ⟹ restriction-chain (λn. σ n z)> for z
by (auto simp add: restriction-chain-def restriction-fun-def dest!: fun-cong)
next
show <∀ z. restriction-chain (λn. σ n z) ⟹ restriction-chain σ>
by (simp add: restriction-chain-def restriction-fun-def)
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-tendsto-fun-imp : ⟨ $\sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \Rightarrow (\lambda n. \sigma n z) \rightarrow \Sigma z$ ⟩
  by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-def restriction-fun-def) meson

lemma restriction-convergent-fun-imp :
  ⟨restriction-convergent  $\sigma \Rightarrow$  restriction-convergent  $(\lambda n. \sigma n z)$ ⟩
  by (metis restriction-convergent-def restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)

```

4.4 Completeness

```

instance ⟨fun⟩ :: (type, complete-restriction-space) complete-restriction-space
proof intro-classes
  fix  $\sigma$  :: ⟨nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b :: complete-restriction-space⟩
  assume ⟨restriction-chain  $\sigma$ ⟩
  hence * : ⟨restriction-chain  $(\lambda n. \sigma n x)$ ⟩ for  $x$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-chain-fun-iff)
  from restriction-chain-imp-restriction-convergent[OF this]
  have ** : ⟨restriction-convergent  $(\lambda n. \sigma n x)$ ⟩ for  $x$  .
  then obtain  $\Sigma$  where *** : ⟨ $(\lambda n. \sigma n x) \rightarrow \Sigma x$ ⟩ for  $x$ 
    by (meson restriction-convergent-def)
  from * have **** : ⟨ $(\lambda n. \sigma n x \downarrow n) = (\lambda n. \sigma n x)$ ⟩ for  $x$ 
    by (simp add: restricted-restriction-chain-is)
  have ⟨ $\sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$ ⟩
  proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
    fix  $n$ 
    have ⟨ $\forall k \geq n. \Sigma x \downarrow n = \sigma k x \downarrow n$ ⟩ for  $x$ 
      by (metis * *** **** restriction-related-le restriction-chain-is(1)
        restriction-tendsto-of-restriction-convergent restriction-tendsto-unique)
    hence ⟨ $\forall k \geq n. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n$ ⟩ by (simp add: restriction-fun-def)
    thus ⟨ $\exists n_0. \forall k \geq n_0. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma k \downarrow n$ ⟩ by blast
  qed

  thus ⟨restriction-convergent  $\sigma$ ⟩ by (fact restriction-convergentI)
  qed

```

5 Topological Notions

named-theorems restriction-cont-simpset — For future automation.

5.1 Continuity

context restriction **begin**

```

definition restriction-cont-at :: <['b :: restriction => 'a, 'b] => bool>
  (<cont↓ (-) at (-)> [1000, 1000])
  where <cont↓ f at Σ ≡ ∀σ. σ → Σ → (λn. f (σ n)) → f Σ>

lemma restriction-cont-atI : <(Λσ. σ → Σ → (λn. f (σ n)) → f Σ) => cont↓ f at Σ>
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-at-def)

lemma restriction-cont-atD : <cont↓ f at Σ => σ → Σ → (λn. f (σ n)) → f Σ>
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-at-def)

lemma restriction-cont-at-comp [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  <cont↓ f at Σ => cont↓ g at (f Σ) => cont↓ (λx. g (f x)) at Σ>
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-at-def restriction-class.restriction-cont-at-def)

lemma restriction-cont-at-if-then-else [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  <[Λx. P x => cont↓ (f x) at Σ; Λx. ¬P x => cont↓ (g x) at Σ]
  => cont↓ (λy. if P x then f x else g x y) at Σ>
  by (auto intro!: restriction-cont-atI) (blast dest: restriction-cont-atD)+

definition restriction-open :: <'a set => bool> (<open↓>)
  where <open↓ U ≡ ∀Σ∈U. ∀σ. σ → Σ → (exists n0. ∀k≥n0. σ k ∈ U)>

lemma restriction-openI : <(ΛΣ σ. Σ ∈ U => σ → Σ => exists n0. ∀k≥n0. σ k ∈ U) => open↓ U>
  by (simp add: restriction-open-def)

lemma restriction-openD : <open↓ U => Σ ∈ U => σ → Σ => exists n0. ∀k≥n0. σ k ∈ U>
  by (simp add: restriction-open-def)

lemma restriction-openE :
  <open↓ U => Σ ∈ U => σ → Σ => (Λn0. (Λn. n0 ≤ k => σ k ∈ U) => thesis) => thesis>
  using restriction-openD by blast

lemma restriction-open-UNIV [simp] : <open↓ UNIV>
  and restriction-open-empty [simp] : <open↓ {}>
  by (simp-all add: restriction-open-def)

lemma restriction-open-union :
  <open↓ U => open↓ V => open↓ (U ∪ V)>
  by (metis Un-iff restriction-open-def)

```

```

lemma restriction-open-Union :
   $\langle (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{open}_\downarrow(U i)) \implies \text{open}_\downarrow(\bigcup_{i \in I} U i) \rangle$ 
  by (rule restriction-openI) (metis UN-iff restriction-openD)

lemma restriction-open-inter :
   $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \cap V) \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle \text{ and } \langle \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-openI)
  fix  $\Sigma \sigma$  assume  $\langle \Sigma \in U \cap V \rangle \langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle \Sigma \in U \cap V \rangle$  have  $\langle \Sigma \in U \rangle \text{ and } \langle \Sigma \in V \rangle$  by simp-all
  from  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle \langle \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  restriction-openD
  obtain n0 where  $\langle \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U \rangle$  by blast
  moreover from  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle \langle \Sigma \in V \rangle \langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  restriction-openD
  obtain n1 where  $\langle \forall k \geq n1. \sigma k \in V \rangle$  by blast
  ultimately have  $\langle \forall k \geq \max(n0, n1). \sigma k \in U \cap V \rangle$  by simp
  thus  $\exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in U \cap V$  by blast
qed

lemma restriction-open-finite-Inter :
   $\langle \text{finite } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{open}_\downarrow(U i)) \implies \text{open}_\downarrow(\bigcap_{i \in I} U i) \rangle$ 
  by (induct I rule: finite-induct)
  (simp-all add: restriction-open-inter)

definition restriction-closed ::  $\langle 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  ( $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \rangle$ )
  where  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \equiv \text{open}_\downarrow(-S) \rangle$ 

lemma restriction-closedI :  $\langle (\bigwedge \Sigma. \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma) \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: restriction-closed-def restriction-open-def)

lemma restriction-closedD :  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \notin S \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: restriction-closed-def restriction-open-def)

lemma restriction-closedE :
   $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow S \implies \Sigma \notin S \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge n. n0 \leq k \implies \sigma k \notin S) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis} \rangle$ 
  using restriction-closedD by blast

lemma restriction-closed-UNIV [simp] :  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \text{UNIV} \rangle$ 
and restriction-closed-empty [simp] :  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \{\} \rangle$ 
  by (simp-all add: restriction-closed-def)

end

```

5.2 Balls

context *restriction begin*

definition *restriction-cball* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle (\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow'(-, -') \rangle)$
where $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \equiv \{x. x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n\} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-cball-mem-iff* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$
and *restriction-cball-memI* : $\langle x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \implies x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
and *restriction-cball-memD* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cball-def*)

abbreviation (*iff*) *restriction-ball* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle$
where $\langle \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \equiv \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, \text{Suc } n) \rangle$

lemma $\langle x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
and $\langle x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \rangle$
and $\langle x \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n = a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cball-def*)

lemma $\langle a \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \rangle$
and *center-mem-restriction-cball* [*simp*] : $\langle a \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*simp-all add: restriction-cball-memI*)

lemma (**in** *restriction-space*) *restriction-cball-0-is-UNIV* [*simp*] :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, 0) = \text{UNIV} \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: restriction-cball-def*)

lemma *every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre* :
 $\langle b \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cball-def*)

lemma $\langle b \in \text{restriction-ball } a \ n \implies \text{restriction-ball } a \ n = \text{restriction-ball } b \ n \rangle$
by (*simp add: every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre*)

definition *restriction-sphere* :: $\langle 'a \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \rangle (\langle \mathcal{S}_\downarrow'(-, -') \rangle)$
where $\langle \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \equiv \{x. x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \wedge x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n\} \rangle$

lemma *restriction-sphere-mem-iff* : $\langle x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \longleftrightarrow x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \wedge x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$
and *restriction-sphere-memI* : $\langle x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow \text{Suc } n \rangle$

```

 $\downarrow \text{Suc } n \implies x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n)$ 
and restriction-sphere-memD1 :  $\langle x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow n = a \downarrow n \rangle$ 
and restriction-sphere-memD2 :  $\langle x \in \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \implies x \downarrow \text{Suc } n \neq a \downarrow n \rangle$ 
 $\downarrow \text{Suc } n$ 
by (simp-all add: restriction-sphere-def)

lemma restriction-sphere-is-diff :  $\langle \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) - \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, \text{Suc } n) \rangle$ 
by (simp add: set-eq-iff restriction-sphere-mem-iff restriction-cball-mem-iff)

lemma restriction-open-restriction-cball [simp] :  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$ 
by (metis restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-tendstoE restriction-openI)

lemma restriction-closed-restriction-cball [simp] :  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$ 
by (metis restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-closedI restriction-tendstoE)

lemma restriction-open-Compl-iff :  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (- S) \longleftrightarrow \text{closed}_\downarrow S \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-closed-def)

lemma restriction-open-restriction-sphere [simp] :  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-sphere-is-diff Diff-eq restriction-open-Compl-iff restriction-open-inter)

lemma restriction-closed-restriction-sphere :  $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow \mathcal{S}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-closed-def restriction-sphere-is-diff)
(simp add: restriction-open-union restriction-open-Compl-iff)

end

context restriction-space begin

lemma restriction-cball-anti-mono :  $\langle n \leq m \implies \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$ 
by (meson restriction-cball-memD restriction-cball-memI restriction-related-le subsetI)

lemma inside-every-cball-iff-eq :  $\langle (\forall n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \longleftrightarrow x = \Sigma \rangle$ 
by (simp add: all-restriction-related-iff-related restriction-cball-mem-iff)

lemma Inf-many-inside-cball-iff-eq :  $\langle (\exists_\infty n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \longleftrightarrow x = \Sigma \rangle$ 
by (unfold INFM-nat-le)
(meson inside-every-cball-iff-eq nle-le restriction-cball-anti-mono

```

subset-eq)

lemma *Inf-many-inside-cball-imp-eq* : $\langle \exists \infty n. x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \implies x = \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: Inf-many-inside-cball-iff-eq*)

lemma *restriction-cballs-disjoint-or-subset* :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) = \{\} \vee \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \vee \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
proof (*unfold disj-imp, intro impI*)
assume $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \neq \{\} \rangle \neg \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m)$
from $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \cap \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \neq \{\} \rangle$ **obtain** x **where** $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
 $\langle x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
with *every-point-of-restriction-cball-is-centre*
have $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(x, n) \rangle \langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(x, m) \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\neg \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m)$ **show** $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, m) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(a, n) \rangle$
by (*metis nle-le restriction-cball-anti-mono*)
qed

lemma *equal-restriction-to-cball* :
 $\langle a \notin \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \implies x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \implies y \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(b, n) \implies x \downarrow k = a \downarrow k \longleftrightarrow y \downarrow k = a \downarrow k \rangle$
by (*metis nat-le-linear restriction-cball-memD restriction-cball-memI restriction-related-le*)

end

context *restriction* **begin**

lemma *restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization* :
 $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \rangle$
by (*metis restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-tendsto-def*)

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI* : $\langle (\bigwedge n. \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n)) \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD* : $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies \exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballE* :
 $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge n0. (\bigwedge k. n0 \leq k \implies \sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n))) \implies thesis \rangle$
 $\implies thesis$

```

using restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD by blast

end

context restriction begin

theorem restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization :
  ‹closed↓ S ↔ ( ∀Σ σ. range σ ⊆ S → σ ↓→ Σ → Σ ∈ S)›
proof (intro iffI allI impI)
  show ‹restriction-closed S ⇒ range σ ⊆ S ⇒ σ ↓→ Σ ⇒ Σ ∈ S› for Σ σ
    by (meson le-add1 range-subsetD restriction-closedD)
next
  assume * : ‹ ∀Σ σ. range σ ⊆ S → σ ↓→ Σ → Σ ∈ S›
  show ‹closed↓ S›
  proof (rule restriction-closedI, rule ccontr)
    fix Σ σ assume ‹Σ ∉ S› ‹σ ↓→ Σ› ‹ ∉ n0. ∀k ≥ n0. σ k ∉ S›
    from ‹ ∉ n0. ∀k ≥ n0. σ k ∉ S› INFIM-nat-le have ‹ ∃∞k. σ k ∈ S›
    by auto
    from this[THEN extraction-subseqD[of ‹λx. x ∈ S›]]
    obtain f :: ‹nat ⇒ nat› where ‹strict-mono f› ‹ ∀k. σ (f k) ∈ S›
    by blast
    from ‹ ∀k. σ (f k) ∈ S› have ‹range (σ ∘ f) ⊆ S› by auto
    moreover from ‹strict-mono f› ‹σ ↓→ Σ› have ‹(σ ∘ f) ↓→ Σ›
      by (fact restriction-tendsto-subseq)
    ultimately have ‹Σ ∈ S› by (fact *[rule-format])
    with ‹Σ ∉ S› show False ..
  qed
qed

```

corollary restriction-closed-sequentialI :

‹(∀Σ σ. range σ ⊆ S ⇒ σ ↓→ Σ ⇒ Σ ∈ S) ⇒ closed↓ S›

by (simp add: restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization)

corollary restriction-closed-sequentialD :

‹closed↓ S ⇒ range σ ⊆ S ⇒ σ ↓→ Σ ⇒ Σ ∈ S›

by (simp add: restriction-closed-iff-sequential-characterization)

end

```

context restriction-space begin

theorem restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization :

```

```

⟨open↓ U ←→ (∀Σ∈U. ∃n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U)⟩
proof (intro iffI ballI)
  show ⟨open↓ U ⇒ Σ ∈ U ⇒ ∃n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U⟩ for Σ
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume ⟨open↓ U⟩ ⟨Σ ∈ U⟩ ⟨¬ n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U⟩
      from ⟨¬ n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U⟩ have ⟨∀n. ∃σ. σ ∈ B↓(Σ, n) ∩ − U⟩
      by auto
      then obtain σ where ⟨σ n ∈ B↓(Σ, n)⟩ ⟨σ n ∈ − U⟩ for n by
        (metis IntE)
      from ⟨∀n. σ n ∈ B↓(Σ, n)⟩ have ⟨σ → Σ⟩
        by (metis restriction-cball-memD restriction-related-le restriction-tendsI)
      moreover from ⟨open↓ U⟩ have ⟨closed↓ (− U)⟩
        by (simp add: restriction-closed-def)
      ultimately have ⟨Σ ∈ − U⟩
        using ⟨∀n. σ n ∈ − U⟩ restriction-closedD by blast
        with ⟨Σ ∈ U⟩ show False by simp
      qed
next
  show ⟨∀Σ∈U. ∃n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U ⇒ open↓ U⟩
    by (metis center-mem-restriction-cball restriction-open-def
           restriction-open-restriction-cball subset-iff)
qed

```

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballI* :
 ⟨(⟨Σ. Σ ∈ U ⇒ ∃n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U) ⇒ open↓ U⟩
by (*simp add: restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballD* :
 ⟨open↓ U ⇒ Σ ∈ U ⇒ ∃n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U⟩
by (*simp add: restriction-open-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-open-restriction-cballE* :
 ⟨open↓ U ⇒ Σ ∈ U ⇒ (⟨n. B↓(Σ, n) ⊆ U ⇒ thesis) ⇒ thesis⟩
using *restriction-open-restriction-cballD* **by** *blast*

end

context *restriction* **begin**

definition *restriction-cont-on* :: ⟨[‘b :: restriction ⇒ ‘a, ‘b set] ⇒ bool⟩
 ⟨cont↓ (-) on (-) [1000, 1000]⟩
where ⟨cont↓ f on A ≡ ∀Σ∈A. cont↓ f at Σ⟩

lemma *restriction-cont-onI* : ⟨(⟨Σ σ. Σ ∈ A ⇒ σ → Σ ⇒ (λn.
 f (σ n)) → f Σ) ⇒ cont↓ f on A⟩
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-on-def restriction-cont-atI*)

```

lemma restriction-cont-onD : < $\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \Sigma \in A \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma$ >
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-on-def restriction-cont-atD)

lemma restriction-cont-on-comp [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  < $\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow g \text{ on } B \implies f ` A \subseteq B \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x)) \text{ on } A$ >
  by (simp add: image-subset-iff restriction-cont-at-comp
    restriction-cont-on-def restriction-class.restriction-cont-on-def)

lemma restriction-cont-on-if-then-else [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  <[ $\prod x. P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x) \text{ on } A; \prod x. \neg P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x) \text{ on } A$ ]
   $\implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y) \text{ on } A$ >
  by (auto intro!: restriction-cont-onI) (blast dest: restriction-cont-onD)+

lemma restriction-cont-on-subset [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  < $\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } B \implies A \subseteq B \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A$ >
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-on-def subset-iff)

abbreviation restriction-cont :: <['b :: restriction  $\Rightarrow$  'a]  $\Rightarrow$  bool> (< $\text{cont}_\downarrow$ >)
  where < $\text{cont}_\downarrow f \equiv \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } \text{UNIV}$ >

lemma restriction-contI : <( $\prod \Sigma \sigma. \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma$ ) $\implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f$ >
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-onI)

lemma restriction-contD : < $\text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \implies (\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma$ >
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-onD)

lemma restriction-cont-comp [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  < $\text{cont}_\downarrow g \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. g (f x))$ >
  by (simp add: restriction-cont-on-comp)

lemma restriction-cont-if-then-else [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  <[ $\prod x. P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (f x); \prod x. \neg P x \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (g x)$ ]
   $\implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. \text{if } P x \text{ then } f x y \text{ else } g x y)$ >
  by (auto intro!: restriction-contI) (blast dest: restriction-contD)+

end

```

context restriction-space **begin**

theorem restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization :
 < $\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \exists k. f ` \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n))$ >

```

for  $f :: \langle'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a\rangle$ 
proof (intro iffI allI)
  show  $\langle\exists k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)\rangle$  if  $\langle\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma\rangle$  for  $n$ 
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\langle\nexists k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)\rangle$ 
    hence  $\langle\forall k. \exists \psi. \psi \in f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \wedge \psi \notin \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)\rangle$  by auto
    then obtain  $\psi$  where  $* : \langle\psi k \in f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k)\rangle \langle\psi k \notin \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)\rangle$ 
    for  $k$  by metis
      from  $*(1)$  obtain  $\sigma$  where  $** : \langle\sigma k \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k)\rangle \langle\psi k = f (\sigma k)\rangle$ 
    for  $k$ 
      by (simp add: image-iff) metis
      have  $\langle\sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma\rangle$ 
        by (rule restriction-class.restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI)
        (use **(1) restriction-space-class.restriction-cball-anti-mono in blast)
      with  $*(2)$  restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD show False by blast
    qed
  next
    show  $\langle\forall n. \exists k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma\rangle$ 
    by (intro restriction-cont-atI restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballI)
    (meson image-iff restriction-class.restriction-tendsto-restriction-cballD subset-eq)
  qed

```

corollary *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballI* :
 $\langle(\bigwedge n. \exists k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma\rangle$
for $f :: \langle'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a\rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballD* :
 $\langle\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \exists k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n)\rangle$
for $f :: \langle'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a\rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization*)

corollary *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballE* :
 $\langle\text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies (\bigwedge k. f ' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \implies \text{thesis}) \implies \text{thesis}\rangle$
for $f :: \langle'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a\rangle$
using *restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballD* **by** *blast*

theorem *restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization* :
 $\langle\text{cont}_\downarrow f \longleftrightarrow (\forall U. \text{open}_\downarrow U \longrightarrow \text{open}_\downarrow (f -' U))\rangle$
for $f :: \langle'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a\rangle$

```

proof (intro iffI allI impI)
  fix  $U :: \langle 'a \text{ set} \rangle$  assume  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ 
  show  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U) \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-space-class.restriction-open-restriction-cballI)
  fix  $\Sigma$  assume  $\langle \Sigma \in f - ' U \rangle$ 
  hence  $\langle f \Sigma \in U \rangle$  by simp
  with  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$  restriction-open-restriction-cballD
  obtain  $n$  where  $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \subseteq U \rangle$  by blast
  moreover obtain  $k$  where  $\langle f' \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f \Sigma, n) \rangle$ 
  by (meson UNIV-I cont_\downarrow f restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballE
restriction-cont-on-def)
  ultimately have  $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq f - ' U \rangle$  by blast
  thus  $\langle \exists k. \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, k) \subseteq f - ' U \rangle ..$ 
qed
next
  show  $\forall U. \text{open}_\downarrow U \longrightarrow \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f$ 
  by (unfold restriction-cont-on-def, intro ballI restriction-cont-at-restriction-cballI)
  (simp add: image-subset-iff-subset-vimage restriction-space-class.restriction-open-restriction-cballD)
qed

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-openI :
 $\langle (\bigwedge U. \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization)

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-openD :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{open}_\downarrow U \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (f - ' U) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization)

theorem restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \longleftrightarrow (\forall S. \text{closed}_\downarrow S \longrightarrow \text{closed}_\downarrow (f - ' S)) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (metis boolean-algebra-class.boolean-algebra.double-compl local.restriction-closed-def
restriction-class.restriction-closed-def restriction-cont-iff-restriction-open-characterization
vimage-Compl)

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-closedI :
 $\langle (\bigwedge U. \text{closed}_\downarrow U \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow (f - ' U)) \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow f \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization)

corollary restriction-cont-restriction-closedD :
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow U \implies \text{closed}_\downarrow (f - ' U) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$ 
by (simp add: restriction-cont-iff-restriction-closed-characterization)

```

```

theorem restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on :
  <cont↓ f on U> if <open↓ U> and <restriction-shift-on f k U>
proof (intro restriction-cont-onI restriction-tendstoI)
  fix  $\Sigma \sigma$  and  $n :: nat$  assume < $\Sigma \in U$ > < $\sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ >
  with <open↓ U> obtain n0 where < $\forall l \geq n0. \sigma l \in U$ >
    by (meson restriction-class.restriction-openD)
  moreover from < $\sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ > [THEN restriction-class.restriction-tendstoD]
  obtain n1 where < $\forall l \geq n1. \Sigma \downarrow nat (int n - k) = \sigma l \downarrow nat (int n - k)$ > ..
  ultimately have < $\forall l \geq \max n0 n1. \sigma l \in U \wedge \Sigma \downarrow nat (int n - k) = \sigma l \downarrow nat (int n - k)$ > by simp
  with < $\Sigma \in U$ > <restriction-shift-on f k U> restriction-shift-onD
  have < $\forall l \geq \max n0 n1. f \Sigma \downarrow nat (int (nat (int n - k)) + k) = f (\sigma l) \downarrow nat (int (nat (int n - k)) + k)$ > by blast
  moreover have < $n \leq nat (int (nat (int n - k)) + k)$ > by auto
  ultimately have < $\forall l \geq \max n0 n1. f \Sigma \downarrow n = f (\sigma l) \downarrow n$ > by (meson
  restriction-related-le)
  thus < $\exists n2. \forall l \geq n2. f \Sigma \downarrow n = f (\sigma l) \downarrow n$ > by blast
qed

corollary restriction-shift-imp-restriction-cont [restriction-cont-simpset]
:
<restriction-shift f k ==> cont↓ f>
by (simp add: restriction-shift-def
      restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on)

corollary non-too-destructive-imp-restriction-cont [restriction-cont-simpset]
:
<non-too-destructive f ==> cont↓ f>
by (simp add: non-too-destructive-def non-too-destructive-on-def
      restriction-shift-on-restriction-open-imp-restriction-cont-on)

end

```

5.3 Compactness

context restriction **begin**

```

definition restriction-compact :: '&a set => bool' (<compact↓>)
where <compact↓ K ≡
   $\forall \sigma. range \sigma \subseteq K \longrightarrow$ 
   $(\exists f :: nat \Rightarrow nat. \exists \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge strict-mono f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma)$ >

```

```

lemma restriction-compactI :
  <( $\bigwedge \sigma. range \sigma \subseteq K \Longrightarrow \exists f :: nat \Rightarrow nat. \exists \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge strict-mono f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ )>

```

$\implies \text{compact}_\downarrow K \text{ by } (\text{simp add: restriction-compact-def})$

lemma *restriction-compactD* :
 $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \implies \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \implies$
 $\exists f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \exists \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma$
by (*simp add: restriction-compact-def*)

lemma *restriction-compactE* :
assumes $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$
obtains $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ **and** Σ **where** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$
 $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*meson assms restriction-compactD*)

lemma *restriction-compact-empty [simp]* : $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow \{\} \rangle$
by (*simp add: restriction-compact-def*)

lemma (in *restriction-space*) *restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed* :
 $\langle \text{closed}_\downarrow K \rangle$ **if** $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$
proof (rule *restriction-closed-sequentialI*)
fix $\sigma \Sigma$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
from *restriction-compactD* $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$
obtain f **and** Σ' **where** $\langle \Sigma' \in K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma' \rangle$
by *blast*
from *restriction-tends-to-subseq* $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
have $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*
with $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma' \rangle$ **have** $\langle \Sigma' = \Sigma \rangle$ **by** (*fact restriction-tends-to-unique*)
with $\langle \Sigma' \in K \rangle$ **show** $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *restriction-compact-union* : $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow (K \cup L) \rangle$
if $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ **and** $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow L \rangle$
proof (rule *restriction-compactI*)
fix $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$ **assume** $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \cup L \rangle$
{ fix $K L$ **and** $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$
assume $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ $\langle \sigma (f n) \in K \rangle$ **for** n
from $\langle (\bigwedge n. \sigma (f n) \in K) \rangle$ **have** $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq K \rangle$ **by** *auto*
with $\langle \text{compact}_\downarrow K \rangle$ *restriction-compactD* **obtain** $g \Sigma$
where $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ $\langle \text{strict-mono } g \rangle$ $\langle (\sigma \circ f \circ g) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by** *blast*
hence $\langle \Sigma \in K \cup L \wedge \text{strict-mono } (f \circ g) \wedge (\sigma \circ (f \circ g)) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Un-Iff* $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle$ *comp-assoc*
monotone-on-o subset-UNIV)
hence $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \cup L \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ **by**
blast
} **note** * = *this*
have $\langle (\exists_\infty n. \sigma n \in K) \vee (\exists_\infty n. \sigma n \in L) \rangle$

```

proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg ((\exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n \in K) \vee (\exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n \in L))$ 
  hence  $\langle \text{finite } \{n. \sigma n \in K\} \wedge \text{finite } \{n. \sigma n \in L\} \rangle$ 
    using frequently-cofinite by blast
  then obtain n where  $\langle n \notin \{n. \sigma n \in K\} \wedge n \notin \{n. \sigma n \in L\} \rangle$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) INFM-nat-le dual-order.refl
      frequently-cofinite le-sup-iff mem-Collect-eq)
  hence  $\langle \sigma n \notin K \cup L \rangle$  by simp
  with  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \cup L \rangle$  show False by blast
qed
  thus  $\langle \exists f. \Sigma \in K \cup L \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
    by (elim disjE extraction-subseqE)
      (use * compact↓ K in blast, metis * Un-iff compact↓ L)
qed

lemma restriction-compact-finite-Union :
   $\langle \llbracket \text{finite } I; \bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{compact}_{\downarrow}(K i) \rrbracket \implies \text{compact}_{\downarrow}(\bigcup_{i \in I} K i)$ 
  by (induct I rule: finite-induct)
    (simp-all add: restriction-compact-union)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-compact-Inter :
   $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow}(\bigcap_i K i) \rangle$  if  $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow}(K i) \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-compactI)
  fix  $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \rangle$  assume  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq \bigcap (\text{range } K) \rangle$ 
  hence  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K i \rangle$  for i by blast
  with  $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow}(K i) \rangle$  restriction-compactD
  obtain f  $\Sigma$  where  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
  from  $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{compact}_{\downarrow}(K i) \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow}(K i) \rangle$  for i
    by (simp add: restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed)
  moreover from  $\langle \bigwedge i. \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K i \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq K i \rangle$ 
  for i by auto
  ultimately have  $\langle \Sigma \in K i \rangle$  for i
    by (meson  $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  restriction-closed-sequentialD)
  with  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  show  $\langle \exists f. \Sigma \in \bigcap (\text{range } K) \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  by blast
qed

lemma finite-imp-restriction-compact :  $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$  if  $\langle \text{finite } K \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-compactI)
  fix  $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool} \rangle$  assume  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$ 
  have  $\langle \exists \Sigma \in K. \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma \rangle$ 
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg (\exists \Sigma \in K. \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma)$ 
  hence  $\langle \forall \Sigma \in K. \text{finite } \{n. \sigma n = \Sigma\} \rangle$  by (simp add: frequently-cofinite)
  with  $\langle \text{finite } K \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{finite } (\bigcup \Sigma \in K. \{n. \sigma n = \Sigma\}) \rangle$  by blast
  also from  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$  have  $\langle (\bigcup \Sigma \in K. \{n. \sigma n = \Sigma\}) = \text{UNIV} \rangle$ 

```

```

by auto
  finally show False by simp
qed
then obtain  $\Sigma$  where  $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma \rangle ..$ 
from extraction-subseqD[of -  $\sigma$ , OF  $\langle \exists_{\infty} n. \sigma n = \Sigma \rangle$ ]
obtain  $f :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \rangle$  where  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle \sigma(f n) = \Sigma \rangle$  for  $n$ 
by blast
from  $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma(f n) = \Sigma \rangle$  have  $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: restriction-tendstoI)
with  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ 
show  $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in K \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-compact-restriction-closed-subset :  $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$ 
  if  $\langle L \subseteq K \rangle \langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle \langle \text{closed}_{\downarrow} L \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-compactI)
fix  $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{-} \rangle$  assume  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq L \rangle$ 
with  $\langle L \subseteq K \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq K \rangle$  by blast
with  $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$  restriction-compactD
obtain  $f \Sigma$  where  $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
from  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq L \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq L \rangle$  by auto
from restriction-closed-sequentialD[restriction-closed L]
   $\langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle \langle \text{range } (\sigma \circ f) \subseteq L \rangle$  have  $\langle \Sigma \in L \rangle$  by blast
with  $\langle \text{strict-mono } f \rangle \langle (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
show  $\langle \exists f \Sigma. \Sigma \in L \wedge \text{strict-mono } f \wedge (\sigma \circ f) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-cont-image-of-restriction-compact :
   $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} (f \upharpoonright K) \rangle$  if  $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle$  and  $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } K \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-compactI)
fix  $\sigma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{-} \rangle$  assume  $\langle \text{range } \sigma \subseteq f \upharpoonright K \rangle$ 
hence  $\langle \forall n. \exists \gamma. \gamma \in K \wedge \sigma n = f(\gamma) \rangle$  by (meson imageE range-subsetD)
then obtain  $\gamma :: \langle \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{-} \rangle$  where  $\langle \text{range } \gamma \subseteq K \rangle \langle \sigma n = f(\gamma n) \rangle$ 
for  $n$ 
  by (metis image-subsetI)
from restriction-class.restriction-compactD[OF  $\langle \text{compact}_{\downarrow} K \rangle \langle \text{range } \gamma \subseteq K \rangle$ ]
obtain  $g \Sigma$  where  $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \text{strict-mono } g \rangle \langle (\gamma \circ g) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
from  $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ on } K \rangle \langle \Sigma \in K \rangle$ 
have  $\langle \text{cont}_{\downarrow} f \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$  by (simp add: restriction-cont-on-def)
with  $\langle (\gamma \circ g) \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  restriction-cont-atD
have  $\langle (\lambda n. f((\gamma \circ g) n)) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$  by blast
also have  $\langle (\lambda n. f((\gamma \circ g) n)) = (\sigma \circ g) \rangle$ 
  by (simp add:  $\langle \bigwedge n. \sigma n = f(\gamma n) \rangle$  comp-def)
finally have  $\langle (\sigma \circ g) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma \rangle$ .

```

```

with  $\langle \Sigma \in K \rangle \langle \text{strict-mono } g \rangle$ 
show  $\exists g \Sigma. \Sigma \in f ` K \wedge \text{strict-mono } g \wedge (\sigma \circ g) \dashrightarrow \Sigma$  by blast
qed

end

```

5.4 Properties for Function and Product

```

lemma restriction-cball-fun-is :  $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f, n) = \{g. \forall x. g x \in \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(f x, n)\} \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: set-eq-iff restriction-cball-mem-iff restriction-fun-def)
metis

```

```

lemma restriction-cball-prod-is :
 $\langle \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\Sigma, n) = \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\text{fst } \Sigma, n) \times \mathcal{B}_\downarrow(\text{snd } \Sigma, n) \rangle$ 
  by (simp add: set-eq-iff restriction-cball-def restriction-prod-def)

```

```

lemma restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-fst :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(\text{fst } U) \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-openI)
  fix  $\Sigma \sigma$  assume  $\langle \Sigma \in \text{fst } U \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle \Sigma \in \text{fst } U \rangle$  obtain  $v$  where  $\langle (\Sigma, v) \in U \rangle$  by auto
  from  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  have  $\langle (\lambda n. (\sigma n, v)) \dashrightarrow (\Sigma, v) \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const)
  from restriction-openD[OF restriction-open  $\langle (\Sigma, v) \in U \rangle$  this]
  obtain  $n0$  where  $\langle \forall k \geq n0. (\sigma k, v) \in U \rangle$  ..
  thus  $\exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \text{fst } U$  by (metis fst-conv imageI)
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-snd :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(\text{snd } U) \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-openI)
  fix  $\Sigma \sigma$  assume  $\langle \Sigma \in \text{snd } U \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
  from  $\langle \Sigma \in \text{snd } U \rangle$  obtain  $u$  where  $\langle (u, \Sigma) \in U \rangle$  by auto
  from  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  have  $\langle (\lambda n. (u, \sigma n)) \dashrightarrow (u, \Sigma) \rangle$ 
    by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const)
  from restriction-openD[OF restriction-open  $\langle (u, \Sigma) \in U \rangle$  this]
  obtain  $n0$  where  $\langle \forall k \geq n0. (u, \sigma k) \in U \rangle$  ..
  thus  $\exists n0. \forall k \geq n0. \sigma k \in \text{snd } U$  by (metis snd-conv imageI)
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-open-prod-iff :
 $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \times V) \longleftrightarrow (V = \{\}) \vee \text{open}_\downarrow U \wedge (U = \{\}) \vee \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$ 
proof (intro iffI conjI)
  show  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \times V) \rangle \implies V = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow U$ 
    by (metis fst-image-times restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-fst)
next
  show  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow(U \times V) \rangle \implies U = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow V$ 
    by (metis restriction-open-prod-imp-restriction-open-image-snd snd-image-times)

```

```

next
assume  $\langle V = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow U \rangle \wedge \langle U = \{\} \vee \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$ 
then consider  $\langle U = \{\} \rangle \mid \langle V = \{\} \rangle \mid \langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \wedge \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$  by fast
thus  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V) \rangle$ 
proof cases
  show  $\langle U = \{\} \rangle \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V)$  by simp
next
  show  $\langle V = \{\} \rangle \implies \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V)$  by simp
next
  show  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow (U \times V) \rangle$  if * :  $\langle \text{open}_\downarrow U \wedge \text{open}_\downarrow V \rangle$ 
  proof (rule restriction-openI)
    fix  $\Sigma \sigma$  assume  $\langle \Sigma \in U \times V \rangle$  and  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$ 
    from  $\langle \Sigma \in U \times V \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{fst } \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle \text{snd } \Sigma \in V \rangle$  by auto
    from  $\langle \sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma \rangle$  have  $\langle (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \rangle \langle (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$ 
    by (simp-all add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff)
    from restriction-openD[OF *[THEN conjunct1]]  $\langle \text{fst } \Sigma \in U \rangle \langle (\lambda n. \text{fst } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{fst } \Sigma \rangle$ 
    obtain  $n0$  where  $\langle \forall k \geq n0. \text{fst } (\sigma k) \in U \rangle ..$ 
    moreover from restriction-openD[OF *[THEN conjunct2]]  $\langle \text{snd } \Sigma \in V \rangle \langle (\lambda n. \text{snd } (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow \text{snd } \Sigma \rangle$ 
    obtain  $n1$  where  $\langle \forall k \geq n1. \text{snd } (\sigma k) \in V \rangle ..$ 
    ultimately have  $\langle \forall k \geq \max n0 n1. \sigma k \in U \times V \rangle$  by (simp add: mem-Times-iff)
    thus  $\langle \exists n2. \forall k \geq n2. \sigma k \in U \times V \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \longleftrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$ 
by (auto simp add: restriction-cont-at-def restriction-tendsto-prod-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \longleftrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ on } A \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$ 
by (metis restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff restriction-cont-on-def)

lemma restriction-cont-prod-codomain-iff:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \longleftrightarrow \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \wedge \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd } (f x)) \rangle$ 
by (fact restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset]
 $\vdash \langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst } (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$ 

```

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd} (f x)) \text{ at } \Sigma \rangle$
by (simp-all add: restriction-cont-at-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset]

:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst} (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd} (f x)) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (simp-all add: restriction-cont-on-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-prod-codomain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset]

:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{fst} (f x)) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. \text{snd} (f x)) \rangle$
by (simp-all add: restriction-cont-prod-codomain-iff)

lemma restriction-cont-at-fun-imp [restriction-cont-simpset] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ at } A \rangle$
by (rule restriction-cont-ati)
 $(\text{metis restriction-cont-atD restriction-tends-to-fun-imp})$

lemma restriction-cont-on-fun-imp [restriction-cont-simpset] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \text{ on } A \rangle$
by (simp add: restriction-cont-at-fun-imp restriction-cont-on-def)

corollary restriction-cont-fun-imp [restriction-cont-simpset] :

$\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f x y) \rangle$
by (fact restriction-cont-on-fun-imp)

lemma restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset]

:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f (x, \text{snd } \Sigma)) \text{ at } (\text{fst } \Sigma) \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } \Sigma \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f (\text{fst } \Sigma, y)) \text{ at } (\text{snd } \Sigma) \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$
by (simp add: restriction-cball-prod-is subset-iff image-iff
restriction-cont-at-iff-restriction-cball-characterization,
meson center-mem-restriction-cball)+

lemma restriction-cont-on-prod-domain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset]

:
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f (x, y)) \text{ on } \{x. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$
 $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f (x, y)) \text{ on } \{y. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle \text{ if } \langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$
for $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$

```

proof -
  show  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \text{ on } \{x. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
  proof (unfold restriction-cont-on-def, rule ballI)
    fix  $x$  assume  $\langle x \in \{x. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
    with  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } (x, y) \rangle$ 
      unfolding restriction-cont-on-def by simp
      thus  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \text{ at } x \rangle$ 
        by (fact restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp[of f <(x, y)>, simplified])
    qed
  next
    show  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f(x, y)) \text{ on } \{y. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
    proof (unfold restriction-cont-on-def, rule ballI)
      fix  $y$  assume  $\langle y \in \{y. (x, y) \in A\} \rangle$ 
      with  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ on } A \rangle$  have  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \text{ at } (x, y) \rangle$ 
        unfolding restriction-cont-on-def by simp
        thus  $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f(x, y)) \text{ at } y \rangle$ 
          by (fact restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp[of f <(x, y)>, simplified])
      qed
    qed

lemma restriction-cont-prod-domain-imp [restriction-cont-simpset] :
   $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda x. f(x, y)) \rangle$ 
   $\langle \text{cont}_\downarrow f \implies \text{cont}_\downarrow (\lambda y. f(x, y)) \rangle$ 
for  $f :: \langle 'a :: \text{restriction-space} \times 'b :: \text{restriction-space} \Rightarrow 'c :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$ 
  by (metis UNIV-I restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp(1) restriction-cont-on-def split-pairs)
    (metis UNIV-I restriction-cont-at-prod-domain-imp(2) restriction-cont-on-def split-pairs)

```

6 Induction in Restriction Space

6.1 Admissibility

named-theorems *restriction-adm-simpset* — For future automation.

6.1.1 Definition

We start by defining the notion of admissible predicate. The idea is that if this predicates holds for each value of a convergent sequence, it also holds for its limit.

context *restriction begin*

```

definition restriction-adm :: <('a ⇒ bool) ⇒ bool> (<adm↓>)
  where <restriction-adm P ≡ ∀σ Σ. σ ↓→ Σ → (∀n. P (σ n))
        → P Σ>

lemma restriction-admI :
  <(∀σ Σ. σ ↓→ Σ ⇒ (¬ P (σ n)) ⇒ P Σ) ⇒ restriction-adm
  P>
  by (simp add: restriction-adm-def)

lemma restriction-admD :
  <[restriction-adm P; σ ↓→ Σ; ¬ P (σ n)] ⇒ P Σ>
  by (simp add: restriction-adm-def)

```

6.1.2 Properties

```

lemma restriction-adm-const [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  <adm↓ (λx. t)>
  by (simp add: restriction-admI)

lemma restriction-adm-conj [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  <adm↓ (λx. P x) ⇒ adm↓ (λx. Q x) ⇒ adm↓ (λx. P x ∧ Q x)>
  by (fast intro: restriction-admI elim: restriction-admD)

lemma restriction-adm-all [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  <(¬ P x y) ⇒ adm↓ (λx. ∀y. P x y)>
  by (fast intro: restriction-admI elim: restriction-admD)

lemma restriction-adm-ball [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  <(¬ P x y) ⇒ adm↓ (λx. ∃y. P x y)>
  by (fast intro: restriction-admI elim: restriction-admD)

lemma restriction-adm-disj [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  <adm↓ (λx. P x ∨ Q x)> if <adm↓ (λx. P x)> <adm↓ (λx. Q x)>
proof (rule restriction-admI)
  fix σ Σ
  assume * : <σ ↓→ Σ> <¬ P (σ n) ∨ Q (σ n)>
  from *(2) have ** : <(¬ P (σ n)) ∨ Q (σ n)>
  by (meson nat-le-linear)

{ fix P assume $ : <adm↓ (λx. P x)> <¬ P (σ n)>
  define f where <f i = (LEAST j. i ≤ j ∧ P (σ j))> for i
  have f1: <¬ P (σ (f i))> and f2: <P (σ (f i))>
    using LeastI-ex [OF $(2)[rule-format]] by (simp-all add: f-def)
  have f3 : <(λn. σ (f n)) ↓→ Σ>
  proof (rule restriction-tendstoI)
    fix n
    from <σ ↓→ Σ> restriction-tendstoD obtain n0 where <¬ P (σ (f n0))>
    Σ ↓ n = σ (f n0) by blast
    hence <¬ P (σ (f n0))> by (meson f1 le-trans)

```

```

max.boundedE)
  thus < $\exists n\theta. \forall k \geq n\theta. \Sigma \downarrow n = \sigma (f k) \downarrow n$ > by blast
qed
  have < $P \Sigma$ > by (fact restriction-admD[OF $(1) f3 f2$])
}

with ** < $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x)$ > < $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x)$ > show < $P \Sigma \vee Q \Sigma$ > by
blast
qed

lemma restriction-adm-imp [restriction-adm-simpset] :
< $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. \neg P x) \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x) \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x \rightarrow Q$ 
x)>
  by (subst imp-conv-disj) (rule restriction-adm-disj)

lemma restriction-adm-iff [restriction-adm-simpset] :
< $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P x \rightarrow Q x) \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x \rightarrow P x) \implies adm_{\downarrow}$ 
 $(\lambda x. P x \leftrightarrow Q x)$ >
  by (subst iff-conv-conj-imp) (rule restriction-adm-conj)

lemma restriction-adm-if-then-else [restriction-adm-simpset]:
< $[P \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. Q x); \neg P \implies adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. R x)] \implies$ 
 $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. \text{if } P \text{ then } Q x \text{ else } R x)$ >
  by (simp add: restriction-adm-def)

end

The notion of continuity is of course strongly related to the notion of admissibility.

lemma restriction-adm-eq [restriction-adm-simpset] :
< $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. f x = g x)$ > if < $cont_{\downarrow} f$ > and < $cont_{\downarrow} g$ >
for  $f g :: \langle a :: \text{restriction} \Rightarrow b :: \text{restriction-space} \rangle$ 
proof (rule restriction-admI)
  fix  $\sigma \Sigma$  assume < $\sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ > and < $\bigwedge n. f (\sigma n) = g (\sigma n)$ >
  from restriction-contD[OF cont↓ f σ → Σ] have < $(\lambda n. f (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma$ > .
  hence < $(\lambda n. g (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow f \Sigma$ > by (unfold < $\bigwedge n. f (\sigma n) = g (\sigma$ 
n)>)
  moreover from restriction-contD[OF cont↓ g σ → Σ] have
< $(\lambda n. g (\sigma n)) \dashrightarrow g \Sigma$ > .
  ultimately show < $f \Sigma = g \Sigma$ > by (fact restriction-tends-to-unique)
qed

lemma restriction-adm-subst [restriction-adm-simpset] :
< $adm_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. P (t x))$ > if < $cont_{\downarrow} (\lambda x. t x)$ > and < $adm_{\downarrow} P$ >
proof (rule restriction-admI)
  fix  $\sigma \Sigma$  assume < $\sigma \dashrightarrow \Sigma$ > < $\bigwedge n. P (t (\sigma n))$ >
  from restriction-contD[OF cont↓ (λx. t x) σ → Σ]
```

```

have ⟨(λn. t (σ n)) →↓ t Σ⟩ .
from restriction-admD[OF ⟨restriction-adm P⟩ ⟨(λn. t (σ n)) →↓ t Σ⟩ ⟨¬¬n. P (t (σ n))⟩]
show ⟨P (t Σ)⟩ .
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-adm-prod-domainD [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨adm↓ (λx. P (x, y))⟩ and ⟨adm↓ (λy. P (x, y))⟩ if ⟨adm↓ P⟩
proof -
  show ⟨adm↓ (λx. P (x, y))⟩
  proof (rule restriction-admI)
    show ⟨P (Σ, y)⟩ if ⟨σ →↓ Σ⟩ ⟨¬¬n. P (σ n, y)⟩ for σ Σ
    proof (rule restriction-admD[OF ⟨adm↓ P⟩ - ⟨¬¬n. P (σ n, y)⟩])
      show ⟨(λn. (σ n, y)) →↓ (Σ, y)⟩
      by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const
            ⟨σ →↓ Σ⟩)
    qed
  qed
next
  show ⟨adm↓ (λy. P (x, y))⟩
  proof (rule restriction-admI)
    show ⟨P (x, Σ)⟩ if ⟨σ →↓ Σ⟩ ⟨¬¬n. P (x, σ n)⟩ for σ Σ
    proof (rule restriction-admD[OF ⟨adm↓ P⟩ - ⟨¬¬n. P (x, σ n)⟩])
      show ⟨(λn. (x, σ n)) →↓ (x, Σ)⟩
      by (simp add: restriction-tendsto-prod-iff restriction-tendsto-const
            ⟨σ →↓ Σ⟩)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨adm↓ (λf. restriction-shift-on f k A)⟩
proof (rule restriction-admI)
  fix σ :: ⟨nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b⟩ and Σ :: ⟨'a ⇒ 'b⟩
  assume ⟨σ →↓ Σ⟩ and hyp : ⟨restriction-shift-on (σ n) k A⟩ for n
  show ⟨restriction-shift-on Σ k A⟩
  proof (rule restriction-shift-onI)
    fix x y n assume ⟨x ∈ A⟩ ⟨y ∈ A⟩ ⟨x ↓ n = y ↓ n⟩
    from hyp[THEN restriction-shift-onD, OF this]
    have * : ⟨σ m x ↓ nat (int n + k) = σ m y ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩ for
    m .
    show ⟨Σ x ↓ nat (int n + k) = Σ y ↓ nat (int n + k)⟩
    proof (rule restriction-tendsto-unique)
      show ⟨(λm. σ m x ↓ nat (int n + k)) →↓ Σ x ↓ nat (int n +
k)⟩

```

```

by (simp add: ‹σ → Σ› restriction-tendsto-const-restricted
restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)
next
show ‹(λm. σ m x ↓ nat (int n + k)) → Σ y ↓ nat (int n +
k)›
by (simp add: * ‹σ → Σ› restriction-tendsto-const-restricted
restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)
qed
qed
qed

lemma restriction-adm-constructive-on [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ‹adm↓ (λf. constructive-on f A)›
by (simp add: constructive-on-def restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on)

lemma restriction-adm-non-destructive-on [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ‹adm↓ (λf. non-destructive-on f A)›
by (simp add: non-destructive-on-def restriction-adm-restriction-shift-on)

lemma restriction-adm-restriction-cont-at [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ‹adm↓ (λf. cont↓ f at a)›
proof (rule restriction-admI)
  fix σ :: ‹nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'b› and Σ :: ‹'a ⇒ 'b›
  assume ‹σ → Σ› and hyp : ‹cont↓ (σ n) at a› for n
  show ‹cont↓ Σ at a›
  proof (rule restriction-cont-atI)
    fix γ assume ‹γ → a›
    from hyp[THEN restriction-cont-atD, OF this, THEN restriction-tendstoD]
    have ‹∃n0. ∀k≥n0. σ m a ↓ n = σ m (γ k) ↓ n› for m n .
    moreover from ‹σ → Σ›[THEN restriction-tendstoD]
    have ‹∃n0. ∀k≥n0. Σ ↓ n = σ k ↓ n› for n .
    ultimately show ‹(λn. Σ (γ n)) → Σ a›
    by (intro restriction-tendstoI) (metis restriction-fun-def)
  qed
qed

lemma restriction-adm-restriction-cont-on [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ‹adm↓ (λf. cont↓ f on A)›
unfolding restriction-cont-on-def
by (intro restriction-adm-ball restriction-adm-restriction-cont-at)

```

```

corollary restriction-adm-restriction-shift [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ‹adm↓ (λf. restriction-shift f k)›
and restriction-adm-constructive [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ‹adm↓ (λf. constructive f)›

```

```

and restriction-adm-non-destructive [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨adm↓ (λf. non-destructive f)⟩
and restriction-adm-restriction-cont [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨adm↓ (λf. cont↓ f)⟩
by (simp-all add: restriction-adm-simpset restriction-shift-def
  constructive-def non-destructive-def)

lemma (in restriction) restriction-adm-mem-restriction-closed [restriction-adm-simpset]
:
  ⟨closed↓ K ⟹ adm↓ (λx. x ∈ K)⟩
by (auto intro!: restriction-admI dest: restriction-closed-sequentialD)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-adm-mem-restriction-compact
[restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨compact↓ K ⟹ adm↓ (λx. x ∈ K)⟩
by (simp add: restriction-adm-mem-restriction-closed restriction-compact-imp-restriction-closed)

lemma (in restriction-space) restriction-adm-mem-finite [restriction-adm-simpset]
:
  ⟨finite S ⟹ adm↓ (λx. x ∈ S)⟩
by (simp add: finite-imp-restriction-compact restriction-adm-mem-restriction-compact)

lemma restriction-adm-restriction-tendsto [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨adm↓ (λσ. σ →Σ)⟩
by (intro restriction-admI restriction-tendstoI)
  (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) restriction-fun-def restriction-tendsto-def)

lemma restriction-adm-lim [restriction-adm-simpset] :
  ⟨adm↓ (λΣ. σ →Σ)⟩
by (metis restriction-admI restriction-openD restriction-open-restriction-cball
  restriction-tendsto-iff-restriction-cball-characterization)

lemma restriction-restriction-cont-on [restriction-cont-simpset] :
  ⟨cont↓ f on A ⟹ cont↓ (λx. f x ↓ n) on A⟩
by (rule restriction-cont-onI)
  (simp add: restriction-cont-onD restriction-tendsto-const-restricted)

lemma restriction-cont-on-id [restriction-cont-simpset] : ⟨cont↓ (λx.
  x) on A⟩
by (simp add: restriction-cont-onI)

lemma restriction-cont-on-const [restriction-cont-simpset] : ⟨cont↓ (λx.
  c) on A⟩
by (simp add: restriction-cont-onI restriction-tendstoI)

```

```

lemma restriction-cont-on-fun [restriction-cont-simpset] : <cont↓ (λf.
f x) on A>
by (rule restriction-cont-onI) (simp add: restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)

lemma restriction-cont2cont-on-fun [restriction-cont-simpset] :
<cont↓ f on A ==> cont↓ (λx. f x y) on A>
by (rule restriction-cont-onI)
(metis restriction-cont-onD restriction-tendsto-fun-imp)

```

6.2 Induction

Now that we have the concept of admissibility, we can formalize an induction rule for fixed points. Considering a *constructive* function f of type ' $a \Rightarrow a$ ' (where ' a ' is instance of the class *complete-restriction-space*) and a predicate P which is admissible, and assuming that :

- P holds for a certain element x
- for any element x , if P holds for x then it still holds for $f x$
we can have that P holds for the fixed point $v x. P x$.

```

lemma restriction-fix-ind' [case-names constructive adm steps] :
<constructive f ==> adm↓ P ==> (Λn. P ((f ^ n) x)) ==> P (v x. f
x)>
using restriction-admD funpow-restriction-tendsto-restriction-fix by
blast

```

```

lemma restriction-fix-ind [case-names constructive adm base step] :
<P (v x. f x)> if <constructive f> <adm↓ P> <P x> <Λx. P x ==> P (f
x)>
proof (induct rule: restriction-fix-ind')
show <constructive f> by (fact <constructive f>)
next
show <restriction-adm P> by (fact <restriction-adm P>)
next
show <P ((f ^ n) x)> for n
by (induct n) (simp-all add: <P x> <Λx. P x ==> P (f x)>)
qed

```

```

lemma restriction-fix-ind2 [case-names constructive adm base0 base1
step] :
<P (v x. f x)> if <constructive f> <adm↓ P> <P x> <P (f x)>
<Λx. [P x; P (f x)] ==> P (f (f x))>
proof (induct rule: restriction-fix-ind')
show <constructive f> by (fact <constructive f>)
next
show <restriction-adm P> by (fact <restriction-adm P>)
next

```

```

show ⟨P ((f  $\wedge\wedge$  n) x)⟩ for n
  by (induct n rule: induct-nat-012) (simp-all add: that(3–5))
qed

```

We can rewrite the fixed point over a product to obtain this parallel fixed point induction rule.

```

lemma parallel-restriction-fix-ind [case-names constructiveL constructiveR adm base step] :
  fixes f :: ⟨'a :: complete-restriction-space ⇒ 'a⟩
  and g :: ⟨'b :: complete-restriction-space ⇒ 'b⟩
  assumes constructive : ⟨constructive f⟩ ⟨constructive g⟩
  and adm : ⟨restriction-adm (λp. P (fst p) (snd p))⟩
  and base : ⟨P x y⟩ and step : ⟨ $\lambda x y. P x y \Rightarrow P (f x) (g y)shows ⟨P (v x. f x) (v y. g y)⟩
proof –
  define F where ⟨F ≡  $\lambda(x, y). (f x, g y)$ ⟩
  define Q where ⟨Q ≡  $\lambda(x, y). P x y$ ⟩

  have ⟨P (v x. f x) (v y. g y) = Q (v p. F p)⟩
    by (simp add: F-def Q-def constructive restriction-fix-indep-prod-is)
  also have ⟨Q (v p. F p)⟩
  proof (induct F rule : restriction-fix-ind)
    show ⟨constructive F⟩
      by (simp add: F-def constructive-prod-codomain-iff constructive-prod-domain-iff constructive constructive-const)
  next
    show ⟨restriction-adm Q⟩
      by (unfold Q-def) (metis (mono-tags, lifting) adm case-prod-beta restriction-adm-def)
  next
    show ⟨Q (x, y)⟩ by (simp add: Q-def base)
  next
    show ⟨Q p ⇒ Q (F p)⟩ for p by (simp add: Q-def F-def step split-beta)
  qed
  finally show ⟨P (v x. f x) (v y. g y)⟩ .
qed$ 
```

k-steps induction

```

lemma restriction-fix-ind-k-steps [case-names constructive adm base-k-steps step] :
  assumes ⟨constructive f⟩
  and ⟨adm↓ P⟩
  and ⟨ $\forall i < k. P ((f \wedge\wedge i) x)$ ⟩
  and ⟨ $\lambda x. \forall i < k. P ((f \wedge\wedge i) x) \Rightarrow P ((f \wedge\wedge k) x)$ ⟩
  shows ⟨P (v x. f x)⟩
proof (rule restriction-fix-ind')
  show ⟨constructive f⟩ by (fact ⟨constructive f⟩)
next

```

```

show ⟨adm↓ P⟩ by (fact ⟨adm↓ P⟩)
next
  have nat-k-induct :
    ⟨P n⟩ if ⟨∀ i<k. P i⟩ and ⟨∀ n0. (∀ i<k. P (n0 + i)) → P (n0 + k)⟩ for k n :: nat and P
    proof (induct rule: nat-less-induct)
      fix n assume ⟨∀ m<n. P m⟩
      show ⟨P n⟩
      proof (cases ⟨n < k⟩)
        from that(1) show ⟨n < k ⇒ P n⟩ by blast
      next
        from ⟨∀ m<n. P m⟩ that(2)[rule-format, of ⟨n - k⟩]
        show ⟨¬ n < k ⇒ P n⟩ by auto
      qed
    qed
    show ⟨P ((f ^ i) x)⟩ for i
    proof (induct rule: nat-k-induct)
      show ⟨∀ i<k. P ((f ^ i) x)⟩ by (simp add: assms(3))
    next
      show ⟨∀ n0. (∀ i<k. P ((f ^ (n0 + i)) x)) → P ((f ^ (n0 + k)) x)⟩
        by (smt (verit, del-insts) add.commute assms(4) funpow-add o-apply)
      qed
    qed

```

7 Entry Point

This is the file `Restriction_Spaces` should be imported from.

```

declare
  restriction-shift-introset [intro!]
  restriction-shift-simpset [simp ]
  restriction-cont-simpset [simp ]
  restriction-adm-simpset [simp ]

```

We already have *non-destructive* ($\lambda x. x$), and can easily notice *non-destructive* ($\lambda f. f x$), but also *non-destructive* ($\lambda f. f x y$), etc. We add a **simproc-setup** to enable the simplifier to automatically handle goals of this form, regardless of the number of arguments on which the function is applied.

```

simproc-setup apply-non-destructiveness (⟨non-destructive (λf. E f)⟩)
= ⟨

```

```

K (fn ctxt => fn ct =>
  let val t = Thm.term-of ct
    val foo = case t of _ $ foo => foo
      in case foo of Abs (_, _, expr) =>
        if fst (strip-comb expr) = Bound 0
          (* since <math>\lambda f. E f</math> is too permissive, we ensure that the term
is of the
          form <math>\lambda f. f \dots</math> (for example <math>\lambda f. f x</math>, or <math>\lambda f. f x y</math>,
etc.) *)
            then let val tac = Metis.Tactic.metis-tac [no-types] combs
            ctxt
              @{thms non-destructive-fun-iff
non-destructive-id(2)}
              val rwrt-ct = HOLogic.mk-judgment (Thm.apply
cterm <math>\lambda lhs. lhs = True</math> ct)
              val rwrt-thm = Goal.prove-internal ctxt [] rwrt-ct
(fn - => tac 1)
              in SOME (mk-meta-eq rwrt-thm)
              end
            else NONE
            | - => NONE
            end
  )
)

```

lemma $\lambda f. f a b c d e f' g h i j k l m n o' p q r s t u v w x y z$>
using [[simp-trace]] **by** simp — test