

Linear orders as rankings

Manuel Eberl

February 10, 2026

This entry formalises the obvious isomorphism between finite linear orders and lists, where the list in question is interpreted as a *ranking*, i.e. it lists the elements in descending order without repetition.

It also provides an executable algorithm to compute topological sortings, i.e. all rankings whose linear orders are extensions of a given relation.

Contents

1 Rankings	2
1.1 Preliminaries	2
1.2 Definition	2
1.3 Transformations	5
1.4 Inverse operation and isomorphism	6
1.5 Topological sorting	6

1 Rankings

theory *Rankings*

imports

HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations

List-Index.List-Index

Randomised-Social-Choice.Order-Predicates

begin

1.1 Preliminaries

lemma *find-index-map*: $\text{find-index } P \ (\text{map } f \ xs) = \text{find-index } (\lambda x. \ P \ (f \ x)) \ xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-index-self*:
 assumes *distinct xs*
 shows $\text{map } (\text{index } xs) \ xs = [0..<\text{length } xs]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bij-betw-map-prod*:
 assumes *bij-betw f A B bij-betw g C D*
 shows $\text{bij-betw } (\text{map-prod } f \ g) \ (A \times C) \ (B \times D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *comap-relation* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ relation} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ relation}$ where
 comap-relation f R = $(\lambda x \ y. \ \exists x' \ y'. \ x = f \ x' \wedge y = f \ y' \wedge R \ x' \ y')$

lemma *is-weak-ranking-map-singleton-iff* [simp]:
 is-weak-ranking (map (\lambda x. {x}) xs) \longleftrightarrow distinct xs
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-finite-weak-ranking-map-singleton-iff* [simp]:
 is-finite-weak-ranking (map (\lambda x. {x}) xs) \longleftrightarrow distinct xs
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of-weak-ranking-altdef'*:
 assumes *is-weak-ranking xs*

shows $of\text{-weak-ranking} \ xs \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow x \in \bigcup(\text{set } xs) \wedge y \in \bigcup(\text{set } xs) \wedge \text{find-index } ((\in) \ x) \ xs \geq \text{find-index } ((\in) \ y) \ xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.2 Definition

A *ranking* is a representation of a linear order on a finite set as a list in descending order, starting with the biggest element. Clearly, this gives a bijection between the linear orders on a finite set and the permutations of that set.

inductive *of-ranking* :: 'alt list \Rightarrow 'alt relation **where**
 $i \leq j \implies i < \text{length } xs \implies j < \text{length } xs \implies xs ! i \succeq [\text{of-ranking } xs] \ xs ! j$

lemma *of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking*:
 $x \succeq [\text{of-ranking } xs] \ y \longleftrightarrow x \succeq [\text{of-weak-ranking} (\text{map } (\lambda x. \{x\}) \ xs)] \ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-imp-in-set*:
assumes *of-ranking* $xs \ a \ b$
shows $a \in \text{set } xs \ b \in \text{set } xs$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-Nil* [simp]: *of-ranking* $[] = (\lambda _ _. \text{False})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-Nil'* [code]: *of-ranking* $[] \ x \ y = \text{False}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-Cons* [code]:
 $x \succeq [\text{of-ranking} (z \# zs)] \ y \longleftrightarrow x = z \wedge y \in \text{set} (z \# zs) \vee x \succeq [\text{of-ranking} zs] \ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-Cons'*:
assumes *distinct* $(x \# xs) \ a \in \text{set} (x \# xs) \ b \in \text{set} (x \# xs)$
shows *of-ranking* $(x \# xs) \ a \ b \longleftrightarrow b = x \vee (a \neq x \wedge \text{of-ranking} xs \ a \ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-append*:
 $x \succeq [\text{of-ranking} (xs @ ys)] \ y \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{set } xs \wedge y \in \text{set } ys \vee x \succeq [\text{of-ranking } xs] \ y \vee x \succeq [\text{of-ranking } ys] \ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-strongly-preferred-Cons-iff*:
assumes *distinct* $(x \# xs)$
shows $a \succ [\text{of-ranking} (x \# xs)] \ b \longleftrightarrow x = a \wedge b \in \text{set } xs \vee a \succ [\text{of-ranking } xs] \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-strongly-preferred-append-iff*:
assumes *distinct* $(xs @ ys)$
shows $a \succ [\text{of-ranking} (xs @ ys)] \ b \longleftrightarrow$

$a \in \text{set } xs \wedge b \in \text{set } ys \vee a \succ[\text{of-ranking } xs] b \vee a \succ[\text{of-ranking } ys] b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-strongly-preferred-of-ranking-iff*:
assumes $a \in \text{set } xs \ b \in \text{set } xs$
shows $\neg a \prec[\text{of-ranking } xs] b \longleftrightarrow a \succeq[\text{of-ranking } xs] b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-refl*:
assumes $x \in \text{set } xs$
shows $x \preceq[\text{of-ranking } xs] x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-altdef*:
assumes $\text{distinct } xs \ x \in \text{set } xs \ y \in \text{set } xs$
shows $\text{of-ranking } xs \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow \text{index } xs \ x \geq \text{index } xs \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-altdef'*:
assumes $\text{distinct } xs$
shows $\text{of-ranking } xs \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow x \in \text{set } xs \wedge y \in \text{set } xs \wedge \text{index } xs \ x \geq \text{index } xs \ y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-nth-iff*:
assumes $\text{distinct } xs \ i < \text{length } xs \ j < \text{length } xs$
shows $\text{of-ranking } xs \ (xs ! i) \ (xs ! j) \longleftrightarrow i \geq j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *strongly-preferred-of-ranking-nth-iff*:
assumes $\text{distinct } xs \ i < \text{length } xs \ j < \text{length } xs$
shows $xs ! i \succ[\text{of-ranking } xs] xs ! j \longleftrightarrow i < j$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-total*: $x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{of-ranking } xs \ x \ y \vee \text{of-ranking } xs \ y \ x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-antisym*:
 $x \in \text{set } xs \implies y \in \text{set } xs \implies \text{of-ranking } xs \ x \ y \implies \text{of-ranking } xs \ y \ x \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies x = y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *finite-linorder-of-ranking*:
assumes $\text{set } xs = A \ \text{distinct } xs$
shows $\text{finite-linorder-on } A \ (\text{of-ranking } xs)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *linorder-of-ranking*:
assumes $\text{set } xs = A \ \text{distinct } xs$

shows *linorder-on A (of-ranking xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *total-preorder-of-ranking*:
assumes *set xs = A distinct xs*
shows *total-preorder-on A (of-ranking xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

1.3 Transformations

lemma *map-relation-of-ranking*:
map-relation f (of-ranking xs) = of-weak-ranking (map ($\lambda x. f -^{\langle} \{x\} \rangle$) xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-map*: *of-ranking (map f xs) = comap-relation f (of-ranking xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-permute'*:
assumes *f permutes set xs*
shows *map-relation f (of-ranking xs) = of-ranking (map (inv f) xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-permute*:
assumes *f permutes set xs*
shows *of-ranking (map f xs) = map-relation (inv f) (of-ranking xs)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-rev* [*simp*]:
of-ranking (rev xs) x y \longleftrightarrow of-ranking xs y x
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-filter*:
of-ranking (filter P xs) = restrict-relation {x. P x} (of-ranking xs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *strongly-preferred-of-ranking-conv-index*:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows *x \prec [of-ranking xs] y \longleftrightarrow x \in set xs \wedge y \in set xs \wedge index xs x > index xs y*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *restrict-relation-of-weak-ranking-Cons*:
assumes *distinct (x # xs)*
shows *restrict-relation (set xs) (of-ranking (x # xs)) = of-ranking xs*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-ranking-zero-upt-nat*:
of-ranking [0::nat..<n] = ($\lambda x y. x \geq y \wedge x < n$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma of-ranking-rev-zero-upt-nat:
  of-ranking (rev [0::nat.. $< n$ ]) = ( $\lambda x\ y\ x \leq y \wedge y < n$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma sorted-wrt-ranking: distinct xs  $\implies$  sorted-wrt (of-ranking xs) (rev xs)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

1.4 Inverse operation and isomorphism

```

lemma (in finite-linorder-on) of-ranking-ranking: of-ranking (ranking le) = le
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in finite-linorder-on) distinct-ranking: distinct (ranking le)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma ranking-of-ranking:
  assumes distinct xs
  shows ranking (of-ranking xs) = xs
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma (in finite-linorder-on) set-ranking: set (ranking le) = carrier
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on:
  bij-betw of-ranking (permutations-of-set A) {R. finite-linorder-on A R}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on':
  bij-betw ranking {R. finite-linorder-on A R} (permutations-of-set A)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma card-linorders-on:
  assumes finite A
  shows card {R. linorder-on A R} = fact (card A)
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma finite-linorders-on [intro]:
  assumes finite A
  shows finite {R. linorder-on A R}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

1.5 Topological sorting

```

theory Topological-Sortings-Rankings
  imports Rankings
begin

```

The following returns the set of all rankings of the given set A that are extensions of the

given relation R , i.e. all topological sortings of R .

Note that there are no requirements about R ; in particular it does not have to be reflexive, antisymmetric, or transitive. If it is not antisymmetric or not transitive, the result set will simply be empty.

```

function topo-sorts :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a relation  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set where
  topo-sorts A R =
    (if infinite A then {} else if A = {} then [] else
      $\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\}$ .  $\forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\}.$   $(\lambda xs. x \neq xs) \cdot$  topo-sorts  $(A - \{x\})$   $(\lambda y z. R y z \wedge$ 
     $y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ )
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 
termination
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemmas [simp del] = topo-sorts.simps

lemma topo-sorts-empty [simp]: topo-sorts {} R = []
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma topo-sorts-infinite: infinite A  $\Rightarrow$  topo-sorts A R = {}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma topo-sorts-rec:
  finite A  $\Rightarrow$  A  $\neq \{\} \Rightarrow$ 
  topo-sorts A R =  $(\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x\})$ .  $\forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\}.$ 
   $(\lambda xs. x \neq xs) \cdot$  topo-sorts  $(A - \{x\})$   $(\lambda y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma topo-sorts-cong [cong]:
  assumes A = B  $\wedge x y. x \in A \Rightarrow y \in B \Rightarrow x \neq y \Rightarrow R x y = R' x y$ 
  shows topo-sorts A R = topo-sorts B R'
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma topo-sorts-correct:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. R x y \Rightarrow x \in A \wedge y \in A$ 
  shows topo-sorts A R = {xs ∈ permutations-of-set A. R ≤ of-ranking xs}
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma topo-sorts-nonempty:
  assumes finite A  $\wedge x y. R x y \Rightarrow x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x y. R x y \Rightarrow \neg R y x$  transp R
  shows topo-sorts A R  $\neq \{\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma bij-betw-topo-sorts-linorders-on:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x y. R x y \Rightarrow x \in A \wedge y \in A$ 
  shows bij-betw of-ranking (topo-sorts A R) {R'. finite-linorder-on A R'  $\wedge R \leq R'}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

In the following, we give a more convenient formulation of this for computation.

The input is a relation represented as a list of pairs (x, ys) where ys is the set of all elements such that (x, y) is in the relation.

```

function topo-sorts-aux :: ('a × 'a set) list ⇒ 'a list list where
  topo-sorts-aux xs =
    (if xs = [] then [] else
     List.bind (map fst (filter (λ(-,ys). ys = {}) xs))
       (λx. map ((#) x) (topo-sorts-aux
         (map (map-prod id (Set.filter (λy. y ≠ x))) (filter (λ(y,-). y ≠ x) xs)))))

  ⟨proof⟩
termination
  ⟨proof⟩

lemmas [simp del] = topo-sorts-aux.simps

lemma topo-sorts-aux-Nil [simp]: topo-sorts-aux [] = []
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma topo-sorts-aux-rec:
  xs ≠ [] ⇒ topo-sorts-aux xs =
  List.bind (map fst (filter (λ(-,ys). ys = {}) xs))
    (λx. map ((#) x) (topo-sorts-aux
      (map (map-prod id (Set.filter (λy. y ≠ x))) (filter (λ(y,-). y ≠ x) xs)))))

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma topo-sorts-aux-Cons:
  topo-sorts-aux (y#xs) =
  List.bind (map fst (filter (λ(-,ys). ys = {}) (y#xs)))
    (λx. map ((#) x) (topo-sorts-aux
      (map (map-prod id (Set.filter (λy. y ≠ x))) (filter (λ(y,-). y ≠ x) (y#xs)))))

  ⟨proof⟩

lemma set-topo-sorts-aux:
  assumes distinct (map fst xs)
  assumes ⋀x ys. (x, ys) ∈ set xs ⇒ ys ⊆ set (map fst xs) – {x}
  shows set (topo-sorts-aux xs) =
    topo-sorts (set (map fst xs)) (λx y. ∃ ys. (x, ys) ∈ set xs ∧ y ∈ ys)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma topo-sorts-code [code]:
  topo-sorts (set xs) R = (let xs' = remdups xs in
    set (topo-sorts-aux (map (λx. (x, set (filter (λy. y ≠ x ∧ R x y) xs'))) xs')))

  ⟨proof⟩

end

```