

# Linear orders as rankings

Manuel Eberl

February 10, 2026

This entry formalises the obvious isomorphism between finite linear orders and lists, where the list in question is interpreted as a *ranking*, i.e. it lists the elements in descending order without repetition.

It also provides an executable algorithm to compute topological sortings, i.e. all rankings whose linear orders are extensions of a given relation.

## Contents

<b>1 Rankings</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1 Preliminaries . . . . .	2
1.2 Definition . . . . .	3
1.3 Transformations . . . . .	5
1.4 Inverse operation and isomorphism . . . . .	7
1.5 Topological sorting . . . . .	8

## 1 Rankings

theory *Rankings*

imports

*HOL-Combinatorics.Multiset-Permutations*

*List-Index.List-Index*

*Randomised-Social-Choice.Order-Predicates*

begin

### 1.1 Preliminaries

lemma *find-index-map*:  $\text{find-index } P \ (\text{map } f \ xs) = \text{find-index } (\lambda x. \ P \ (f \ x)) \ xs$   
  by (induction xs) auto

lemma *map-index-self*:

  assumes *distinct xs*

  shows  $\text{map } (\text{index } xs) \ xs = [0..<\text{length } xs]$

proof –

  have  $xs = \text{map } (\lambda i. \ xs ! \ i) \ [0..<\text{length } xs]$

    by (simp add: *map-nth*)

  also have  $\text{map } (\text{index } xs) \dots = \text{map } id \ [0..<\text{length } xs]$

    unfolding *map-map* by (intro *map-cong*) (use *assms* in (simp-all add: *index-nth-id*))

  finally show ?thesis

    by simp

qed

lemma *bij-betw-map-prod*:

  assumes *bij-betw f A B bij-betw g C D*

  shows  $\text{bij-betw } (\text{map-prod } f \ g) \ (A \times C) \ (B \times D)$

  using *assms* unfolding *bij-betw-def* by (auto simp: *inj-on-def*)

definition *comap-relation* ::  $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ relation} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ relation}$  where

*comap-relation f R* =  $(\lambda x \ y. \ \exists x' \ y'. \ x = f \ x' \wedge y = f \ y' \wedge R \ x' \ y')$

lemma *is-weak-ranking-map-singleton-iff* [simp]:

*is-weak-ranking* ( $\text{map } (\lambda x. \ \{x\}) \ xs$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  *distinct xs*

  by (induction xs) (auto simp: *is-weak-ranking-Cons*)

```

lemma is-finite-weak-ranking-map-singleton-iff [simp]:
  is-finite-weak-ranking (map (λx. {x}) xs) ↔ distinct xs
  by (induction xs) (auto simp: is-finite-weak-ranking-Cons)

lemma of-weak-ranking-altdef':
  assumes is-weak-ranking xs
  shows of-weak-ranking xs x y ↔ x ∈ ∪(set xs) ∧ y ∈ ∪(set xs) ∧
    find-index ((∈) x) xs ≥ find-index ((∈) y) xs
proof (cases x ∈ ∪(set xs) ∧ y ∈ ∪(set xs))
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    using True of-weak-ranking-altdef[OF assms, of x y] by auto
  next
    case False
    interpret total-preorder-on ∪(set xs) of-weak-ranking xs
      by (rule total-preorder-of-weak-ranking) (use assms in auto)
    have ¬of-weak-ranking xs x y
      using not-outside False by blast
    thus ?thesis using False
      by blast
qed

```

## 1.2 Definition

A *ranking* is a representation of a linear order on a finite set as a list in descending order, starting with the biggest element. Clearly, this gives a bijection between the linear orders on a finite set and the permutations of that set.

```

inductive of-ranking :: 'alt list ⇒ 'alt relation where
  i ≤ j ⇒ i < length xs ⇒ j < length xs ⇒ xs ! i ≥[of-ranking xs] xs ! j

```

```

lemma of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking:
  x ≥[of-ranking xs] y ↔ x ≥[of-weak-ranking (map (λx. {x}) xs)] y
  unfolding of-ranking.simps of-weak-ranking.simps by fastforce

```

```

lemma of-ranking-imp-in-set:
  assumes of-ranking xs a b
  shows a ∈ set xs b ∈ set xs
  using assms by (fastforce elim!: of-ranking.cases) +

```

```

lemma of-ranking-Nil [simp]: of-ranking [] = (λ- -. False)
  by (auto simp: of-ranking.simps fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma of-ranking-Nil' [code]: of-ranking [] x y = False
  by simp

```

```

lemma of-ranking-Cons [code]:
  x ≥[of-ranking (z#zs)] y ↔ x = z ∧ y ∈ set (z#zs) ∨ x ≥[of-ranking zs] y
  by (auto simp: of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking of-weak-ranking-Cons)

```

```

lemma of-ranking-Cons':
  assumes distinct (x#xs) a ∈ set (x#xs) b ∈ set (x#xs)
  shows of-ranking (x#xs) a b ↔ b = x ∨ (a ≠ x ∧ of-ranking xs a b)
  using assms of-ranking-imp-in-set[of xs a b] by (auto simp: of-ranking-Cons)

lemma of-ranking-append:
  x ⊇[of-ranking (xs @ ys)] y ↔ x ∈ set xs ∧ y ∈ set ys ∨ x ⊇[of-ranking xs] y ∨ x ⊇[of-ranking ys] y
  by (induction xs) (auto simp: of-ranking-Cons)

lemma of-ranking-strongly-preferred-Cons-iff:
  assumes distinct (x # xs)
  shows a >[of-ranking (x # xs)] b ↔ x = a ∧ b ∈ set xs ∨ a >[of-ranking xs] b
  using assms of-ranking-imp-in-set[of xs]
  by (auto simp: strongly-preferred-def of-ranking-Cons)

lemma of-ranking-strongly-preferred-append-iff:
  assumes distinct (xs @ ys)
  shows a >[of-ranking (xs @ ys)] b ↔
    a ∈ set xs ∧ b ∈ set ys ∨ a >[of-ranking xs] b ∨ a >[of-ranking ys] b
  using assms of-ranking-imp-in-set[of xs a b] of-ranking-imp-in-set[of ys a b]
    of-ranking-imp-in-set[of xs b a] of-ranking-imp-in-set[of ys b a]
  unfolding strongly-preferred-def of-ranking-append distinct-append set-eq-iff Int-iff empty-iff
  by metis

lemma not-strongly-preferred-of-ranking-iff:
  assumes a ∈ set xs b ∈ set xs
  shows ¬a <[of-ranking xs] b ↔ a ⊇[of-ranking xs] b
  using assms unfolding strongly-preferred-def
  by (metis index-less-size-conv linorder-le-cases nth-index of-ranking.intros)

lemma of-ranking-refl:
  assumes x ∈ set xs
  shows x ⊑[of-ranking xs] x
  using assms by (induction xs) (auto simp: of-ranking-Cons)

lemma of-ranking-altdef:
  assumes distinct xs x ∈ set xs y ∈ set xs
  shows of-ranking xs x y ↔ index xs x ≥ index xs y
  unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking
  by (subst of-weak-ranking-altdef)
  (use assms in ⟨auto simp: index-def find-index-map eq-commute[of - y] eq-commute[of - x]⟩)

lemma of-ranking-altdef':
  assumes distinct xs
  shows of-ranking xs x y ↔ x ∈ set xs ∧ y ∈ set xs ∧ index xs x ≥ index xs y
  unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking
  by (subst of-weak-ranking-altdef')

```

```

(use assms in ⟨auto simp: index-def find-index-map eq-commute[of - y] eq-commute[of - x]⟩)

lemma of-ranking-nth-iff:
  assumes distinct xs i < length xs j < length xs
  shows of-ranking xs (xs ! i) (xs ! j) ↔ i ≥ j
  using assms by (simp add: index-nth-id of-ranking-altdef)

lemma strongly-preferred-of-ranking-nth-iff:
  assumes distinct xs i < length xs j < length xs
  shows xs ! i >[of-ranking xs] xs ! j ↔ i < j
  using assms by (auto simp: strongly-preferred-def of-ranking-nth-iff)

lemma of-ranking-total: x ∈ set xs ⇒ y ∈ set xs ⇒ of-ranking xs x y ∨ of-ranking xs y x
  by (induction xs) (auto simp: of-ranking-Cons)

lemma of-ranking-antisym:
  x ∈ set xs ⇒ y ∈ set xs ⇒ of-ranking xs x y ⇒ of-ranking xs y x ⇒ distinct xs ⇒ x =
  y
  by (simp add: of-ranking-altdef')

lemma finite-linorder-of-ranking:
  assumes set xs = A distinct xs
  shows finite-linorder-on A (of-ranking xs)
proof -
  interpret total-preorder-on A of-ranking xs
    unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking
    by (rule total-preorder-of-weak-ranking) (use assms in auto)
  show ?thesis
  proof
    fix x y assume of-ranking xs x y of-ranking xs y x
    thus x = y
      by (metis assms(1,2) index-eq-index-conv nle-le not-outside(2) of-ranking-altdef)
  qed (use assms(1) in auto)
qed

lemma linorder-of-ranking:
  assumes set xs = A distinct xs
  shows linorder-on A (of-ranking xs)
proof -
  interpret finite-linorder-on A of-ranking xs
    by (rule finite-linorder-of-ranking) fact+
  show ?thesis ..
qed

lemma total-preorder-of-ranking:
  assumes set xs = A distinct xs
  shows total-preorder-on A (of-ranking xs)
  unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking

```

by (rule total-preorder-of-weak-ranking) (use assms in auto)

### 1.3 Transformations

```

lemma map-relation-of-ranking:
  map-relation f (of-ranking xs) = of-weak-ranking (map (λx. f -` {x}) xs)
  unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking of-weak-ranking-map map-map o-def ..

lemma of-ranking-map: of-ranking (map f xs) = comap-relation f (of-ranking xs)
  by (induction xs) (auto simp: comap-relation-def of-ranking-Cons fun-eq-iff)

lemma of-ranking-permute':
  assumes f permutes set xs
  shows map-relation f (of-ranking xs) = of-ranking (map (inv f) xs)
  unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking
  by (subst of-weak-ranking-permute') (use assms in (auto simp: map-map o-def))

lemma of-ranking-permute:
  assumes f permutes set xs
  shows of-ranking (map f xs) = map-relation (inv f) (of-ranking xs)
  using of-ranking-permute'[OF permutes-inv[OF assms]] assms
  by (simp add: inv-inv-eq permutes-bij)

lemma of-ranking-rev [simp]:
  of-ranking (rev xs) x y  $\longleftrightarrow$  of-ranking xs y x
  unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking by (simp flip: rev-map)

lemma of-ranking-filter:
  of-ranking (filter P xs) = restrict-relation {x. P x} (of-ranking xs)
  by (induction xs) (auto simp: of-ranking-Cons restrict-relation-def fun-eq-iff)

lemma strongly-preferred-of-ranking-conv-index:
  assumes distinct xs
  shows x  $\prec$ [of-ranking xs] y  $\longleftrightarrow$  x  $\in$  set xs  $\wedge$  y  $\in$  set xs  $\wedge$  index xs x > index xs y
  unfolding strongly-preferred-def using of-ranking-altdef'[OF assms] by auto

lemma restrict-relation-of-weak-ranking-Cons:
  assumes distinct (x # xs)
  shows restrict-relation (set xs) (of-ranking (x # xs)) = of-ranking xs
proof -
  from assms interpret R: total-preorder-on set xs of-ranking xs
  by (intro total-preorder-of-ranking) auto
  from assms show ?thesis using R.not-outside
  by (intro ext) (auto simp: restrict-relation-def of-ranking-Cons)
qed

lemma of-ranking-zero-upt-nat:
  of-ranking [0::nat.. $< n$ ] = (λx y. x  $\geq$  y  $\wedge$  x  $<$  n)
  by (induction n) (auto simp: of-ranking-append of-ranking-Cons fun-eq-iff)

```

```

lemma of-ranking-rev-zero-upt-nat:
  of-ranking (rev [0::nat.. $n$ ]) = ( $\lambda x. y. x \leq y \wedge y < n$ )
  by (induction n) (auto simp: of-ranking-Cons fun-eq-iff)

lemma sorted-wrt-ranking: distinct xs  $\implies$  sorted-wrt (of-ranking xs) (rev xs)
  unfolding sorted-wrt-iff-nth-less by (force simp: of-ranking.simps rev-nth)

1.4 Inverse operation and isomorphism

lemma (in finite-linorder-on) of-ranking-ranking: of-ranking (ranking le) = le
proof -
  have of-ranking (ranking le) =
    of-weak-ranking (map ( $\lambda x. \{the\text{-}elem }x\}$ ) (weak-ranking le))
    unfolding of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking ranking-def by (simp add: map-map o-def)
  also have map ( $\lambda x. \{the\text{-}elem }x\}$ ) (weak-ranking le) = map ( $\lambda x. x$ ) (weak-ranking le)
    by (intro map-cong HOL.refl)
    (metis is-singleton-the-elem singleton-weak-ranking) +
  also have of-weak-ranking (map ( $\lambda x. x$ ) (weak-ranking le)) = le
    using of-weak-ranking-weak-ranking[OF finite-total-preorder-on-axioms] by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma (in finite-linorder-on) distinct-ranking: distinct (ranking le)
  using weak-ranking-ranking weak-ranking-total-preorder(1) by simp

lemma ranking-of-ranking:
  assumes distinct xs
  shows ranking (of-ranking xs) = xs
proof -
  have ranking (of-ranking xs) = map the-elem (weak-ranking (of-weak-ranking (map ( $\lambda x. \{x\}$ ) xs)))
    unfolding ranking-def of-ranking-conv-of-weak-ranking ..
  also have ... = xs
    by (subst weak-ranking-of-weak-ranking) (use assms in auto simp: o-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma (in finite-linorder-on) set-ranking: set (ranking le) = carrier
  using weak-ranking-Union weak-ranking-ranking by auto

lemma bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on:
  bij-betw of-ranking (permutations-of-set A) {R. finite-linorder-on A R}
  by (rule bij-betwI[of - - - ranking])
    (auto simp: finite-linorder-on.of-ranking-ranking ranking-of-ranking
      permutations-of-set-def finite-linorder-on.distinct-ranking
      finite-linorder-on.set-ranking intro: finite-linorder-of-ranking)

lemma bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on':

```

```

bij-betw ranking {R. finite-linorder-on A R} (permutations-of-set A)
by (rule bij-betwI[of - - - of-ranking])
  (auto simp: finite-linorder-on.of-ranking-ranking ranking-of-ranking
    permutations-of-set-def finite-linorder-on.distinct-ranking
    finite-linorder-on.set-ranking intro: finite-linorder-of-ranking)

lemma card-linorders-on:
  assumes finite A
  shows card {R. linorder-on A R} = fact (card A)
proof -
  have {R. linorder-on A R} = {R. finite-linorder-on A R}
    using assms by (simp add: finite-linorder-on-def finite-linorder-on-axioms-def)
  also have card ... = card (permutations-of-set A)
    using bij-betw-same-card[OF bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on[of A]] by simp
  also have ... = fact (card A)
    using assms by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma finite-linorders-on [intro]:
  assumes finite A
  shows finite {R. linorder-on A R}
proof -
  from assms have finite (permutations-of-set A)
    by simp
  also have finite (permutations-of-set A)  $\longleftrightarrow$  finite {R. finite-linorder-on A R}
    by (rule bij-betw-finite[OF bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on])
  also have {R. finite-linorder-on A R} = {R. linorder-on A R}
    using assms by (simp add: finite-linorder-on-axioms.intro finite-linorder-on-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

end

```

## 1.5 Topological sorting

```

theory Topological-Sortings-Rankings
  imports Rankings
begin

```

The following returns the set of all rankings of the given set  $A$  that are extensions of the given relation  $R$ , i.e. all topological sortings of  $R$ .

Note that there are no requirements about  $R$ ; in particular it does not have to be reflexive, antisymmetric, or transitive. If it is not antisymmetric or not transitive, the result set will simply be empty.

```

function topo-sorts :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a relation  $\Rightarrow$  'a list set where
  topo-sorts A R =
    (if infinite A then {} else if A = {} then [] else

```

```


$$\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\}. (\lambda xs. x \# xs) \text{ `topo-labels'} (A - \{x\}) (\lambda y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$$

by auto
termination
proof (relation Wellfounded.measure (card o fst), goal-cases)
  case (? A R x)
  show ?case
  proof (cases infinite A ∨ A = {})
    case False
    have A - {x} ⊂ A
    using 2 by auto
    with False have card (A - {x}) < card A
    by (intro psubset-card-mono) auto
    thus ?thesis
    using False 2 by simp
  qed (use 2 in auto)
qed auto

lemmas [simp del] = topo-labels.simps

lemma topo-labels-empty [simp]: topo-labels {} R = []
by (subst topo-labels.simps) auto

lemma topo-labels-infinite: infinite A  $\implies$  topo-labels A R = []
by (subst topo-labels.simps) auto

lemma topo-labels-rec:
  finite A  $\implies$  A ≠ {}  $\implies$ 
  topo-labels A R = ( $\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\}$ .
   $(\lambda xs. x \# xs) \text{ `topo-labels'} (A - \{x\}) (\lambda y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ )
by (subst topo-labels.simps) simp-all

lemma topo-labels-cong [cong]:
  assumes A = B  $\wedge$  x y. x ∈ A  $\implies$  y ∈ B  $\implies$  x ≠ y  $\implies$  R x y = R' x y
  shows topo-labels A R = topo-labels B R'
proof (cases finite A)
  case True
  from this and assms(2) show ?thesis
  unfolding assms(1)[symmetric]
proof (induction arbitrary: R R' rule: finite-psubset-induct)
  case (psubset A R R')
  show ?case
  proof (cases A = {})
    case False
    have ( $\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\}$ . (#) x `topo-labels' (A - {x})  $(\lambda y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ ) =
      ( $\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R' x z \rightarrow z = x\}$ . (#) x `topo-labels' (A - {x})  $(\lambda y z. R' y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ )
    using psubset.prem psubset.hyps

```

```

  by (intro arg-cong[of - -  $\bigcup$ ] image-cong refl psubset.IH) auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (subst (1 2) topo-labels-rec) (use False psubset.hyps in simp-all)
  qed auto
qed
qed (simp-all add: assms(1) topo-labels-infinite)

lemma topo-labels-correct:
assumes  $\bigwedge x y. R x y \implies x \in A \wedge y \in A$ 
shows topo-labels A R = {xs ∈ permutations-of-set A. R ≤ of-ranking xs}
using assms
proof (induction A R rule: topo-labels.induct)
  case (1 A R)
  note R = 1.prems

  show ?case
  proof (cases A = {} ∨ infinite A)
    case True
    thus ?thesis using R
      by (auto simp: topo-labels-infinite permutations-of-set-infinite)
  next
    case False
    define M where M = {x ∈ A. ∀ z ∈ A. R x z → z = x}
    define R' where R' =  $(\lambda x y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ 

    have IH: topo-labels (A - {x}) (R' x) = {xs ∈ permutations-of-set (A - {x}). (R' x) ≤ of-ranking xs}
      if x: x ∈ M for x
      unfolding R'-def by (rule 1.IH) (use False x R in ‹auto simp: M-def›)

    have {xs ∈ permutations-of-set A. R ≤ of-ranking xs} =
       $(\bigcup x \in A. ((\#) x) \cdot \{xs \in permutations-of-set (A - \{x\}). R \leq of-ranking (x \# xs)\})$ 
      by (subst permutations-of-set-nonempty) (use False in auto)
    also have ... =  $(\bigcup x \in A. ((\#) x) \cdot \{xs \in permutations-of-set (A - \{x\}). x \in M \wedge R' x \leq of-ranking xs\})$ 
    proof (intro arg-cong[of - -  $\bigcup$ ] image-cong Collect-cong conj-cong refl)
      fix x xs
      assume x: x ∈ A and xs: xs ∈ permutations-of-set (A - {x})
      from xs have xs': set xs = A - {x} distinct xs
      by (auto simp: permutations-of-set-def)

      have R ≤ of-ranking (x # xs) ↔  $(\forall y z. R y z \rightarrow z = x \wedge y \in set (x \# xs) \vee of-ranking xs y z)$ 
        unfolding le-fun-def of-ranking-Cons by auto
      also have  $(\lambda y z. R y z \rightarrow z = x \wedge y \in set (x \# xs) \vee of-ranking xs y z) =$ 
         $(\lambda y z. R y z \rightarrow ((y = x \rightarrow z = x) \wedge (y \neq x \wedge z \neq x \rightarrow of-ranking xs y z)))$ 
        unfolding fun-eq-iff using R of-ranking-altdef' xs'(1,2) by fastforce
      also have  $(\forall y z. \dots y z) \leftrightarrow (\forall z. R x z \rightarrow z = x) \wedge R' x \leq of-ranking xs$ 
        unfolding le-fun-def of-ranking-Cons R'-def by auto
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

also have  $(\forall z. R x z \rightarrow z = x) \leftrightarrow x \in M$ 
  unfolding M-def using x R by auto
  finally show  $(R \leq \text{of-ranking} (x \# xs)) = (x \in M \wedge R' x \leq \text{of-ranking} xs)$  .
qed
also have  $\dots = (\bigcup_{x \in M} ((\#) x) \cdot \{xs \in \text{permutations-of-set} (A - \{x\}) \mid R' x \leq \text{of-ranking} xs\})$ 
  unfolding M-def by blast
also have  $\dots = (\bigcup_{x \in M} ((\#) x) \cdot \text{topo-labels} (A - \{x\}) (R' x))$ 
  using IH by blast
also have  $\dots = \text{topo-labels} A R$ 
  unfolding R'-def M-def using False by (subst (2) topo-labels-rec) simp-all
  finally show ?thesis ..
qed
qed

lemma topo-labels-nonempty:
assumes finite A  $\bigwedge x y. R x y \Rightarrow x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x y \Rightarrow \neg R y x$  transp R
shows topo-labels A R  $\neq \{\}$ 
using assms
proof (induction A R rule: topo-labels.induct)
  case (1 A R)
  define R' where  $R' = (\lambda x y. x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge x = y \vee R x y)$ 
  interpret R': order-on A R'
  by standard (use 1.prems(2,3) in (auto simp: R'-def intro: transpD[OF transp R]))
show ?case
proof (cases A = {})
  case False
  define M where  $M = \text{Max-wrt-among } R' A$ 
  have M  $\neq \{\}$ 
    unfolding M-def by (rule R'.Max-wrt-among-nonempty) (use False finite A in simp-all)
  obtain x where  $x: x \in M$ 
    using M  $\neq \{\}$  by blast
  have M-altdef:  $M = \{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\}$ 
    unfolding M-def Max-wrt-among-def R'-def using 1.prems by blast
  define L where  $L = \text{topo-labels} (A - \{x\}) (\lambda y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ 
  have L  $\neq \{\}$ 
    unfolding L-def
  proof (rule 1.IH)
    show transp  $(\lambda a b. R a b \wedge a \neq x \wedge b \neq x)$ 
      using transp R unfolding transp-def by blast
  qed (use 1.prems(2,3) False finite A in (auto simp: M-altdef))
have topo-labels A R =
   $(\bigcup_{x \in A} \{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\} \cdot (\lambda xs. x \# xs) \cdot \text{topo-labels} (A - \{x\}) (\lambda y z. R y z \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x))$ 
  by (subst topo-labels.simps) (use False finite A in simp-all)
also have  $\{x \in A. \forall z \in A. R x z \rightarrow z = x\} = M$ 

```

```

unfolding M-altdef ..
finally show topo-sorts A R  $\neq \{\}$ 
  using ‹L  $\neq \{\}$ › ‹x  $\in M$ › unfolding L-def by blast
qed auto
qed

lemma bij-betw-topo-sorts-linorders-on:
assumes  $\bigwedge x y. R x y \implies x \in A \wedge y \in A$ 
shows bij-betw of-ranking (topo-sorts A R) {R'. finite-linorder-on A R'  $\wedge$  R  $\leq$  R'}
proof –
  have bij-betw of-ranking {xs $\in$ permutations-of-set A. R  $\leq$  of-ranking xs}
    {R' $\in$ {R'. finite-linorder-on A R'}. R  $\leq$  R'}
    using bij-betw-permutations-of-set-finite-linorders-on
    by (rule bij-betw-Collect) auto
  also have {xs $\in$ permutations-of-set A. R  $\leq$  of-ranking xs} = topo-sorts A R
    by (subst topo-sorts-correct) (use assms in auto)
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

```

In the following, we give a more convenient formulation of this for computation.

The input is a relation represented as a list of pairs  $(x, ys)$  where  $ys$  is the set of all elements such that  $(x, y)$  is in the relation.

```

function topo-sorts-aux :: ('a  $\times$  'a set) list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list list where
  topo-sorts-aux xs =
    (if xs = [] then [] else
      List.bind (map fst (filter (λ(-,ys). ys = {}) xs))
      (λx. map ((#) x) (topo-sorts-aux
        (map (map-prod id (Set.filter (λy. y  $\neq$  x))) (filter (λ(y,-). y  $\neq$  x) xs)))))  

    by auto
termination
  by (relation Wellfounded.measure length)
    (auto simp: length-filter-less)

```

**lemmas** [simp del] = topo-sorts-aux.simps

```

lemma topo-sorts-aux-Nil [simp]: topo-sorts-aux [] = []
by (subst topo-sorts-aux.simps) auto

```

```

lemma topo-sorts-aux-rec:
  xs  $\neq [] \implies$  topo-sorts-aux xs =
  List.bind (map fst (filter (λ(-,ys). ys = {}) xs))
  (λx. map ((#) x) (topo-sorts-aux
    (map (map-prod id (Set.filter (λy. y  $\neq$  x))) (filter (λ(y,-). y  $\neq$  x) xs)))))  

by (subst topo-sorts-aux.simps) auto

```

```

lemma topo-sorts-aux-Cons:
  topo-sorts-aux (y#xs) =
  List.bind (map fst (filter (λ(-,ys). ys = {}) (y#xs)))

```

```


$$(\lambda x. \text{map } ((\#) x) (\text{topo-sorts-aux} \\
\quad (\text{map } (\text{map-prod } \text{id} (\text{Set.filter } (\lambda y. y \neq x))) (\text{filter } (\lambda(y,-). y \neq x) (y \# xs)))) \\
\text{by (rule topo-sorts-aux-rec) auto}$$


lemma set-topo-sorts-aux:
  assumes distinct ( $\text{map } \text{fst } xs$ )
  assumes  $\bigwedge x ys. (x, ys) \in \text{set } xs \implies ys \subseteq \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs) - \{x\}$ 
  shows  $\text{set } (\text{topo-sorts-aux } xs) =$ 
     $\text{topo-sorts } (\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs)) (\lambda x y. \exists ys. (x, ys) \in \text{set } xs \wedge y \in ys)$ 
  using assms
proof (induction xs rule: topo-sorts-aux.induct)
  case (1 xs)
  show ?case
  proof (cases xs = [])
    case True
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: topo-sorts.simps[of {}] topo-sorts-aux.simps[of []])
  next
    case False
    define M where  $M = \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (\text{filter } (\lambda(-, ys). ys = \{\}) xs))$ 
    define xs' where  $xs' = (\lambda x. \text{map } (\text{map-prod } \text{id} (\text{Set.filter } (\lambda y. y \neq x))) (\text{filter } (\lambda(y,-). y \neq x) xs))$ 
    define R' where  $R' = (\lambda x a b. \exists ys. (a, ys) \in \text{set } (xs' x) \wedge b \in ys)$ 

    have IH:  $\text{set } (\text{topo-sorts-aux } (xs' x)) = \text{topo-sorts } (\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } (xs' x))) (R' x)$ 
      if  $x \in M$  for x
      unfolding xs'-def R'-def
      proof (rule 1.IH, goal-cases)
        case 2
        show ?case using that by (auto simp: M-def)
      next
        case 3
        thus ?case using 1.prems
          by (auto intro!: distinct-filter simp: distinct-map intro: inj-on-subset)
      next
        case 4
        thus ?case using 1.prems by fastforce
      qed fact+

    have topo-sorts ( $\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs)$ ) ( $\lambda x y. \exists ys. (x, ys) \in \text{set } xs \wedge y \in ys$ ) =
       $(\bigcup x \in \{x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs). \forall z \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs). (\exists ys. (x, ys) \in \text{set } xs \wedge z \in ys) \rightarrow z = x\}.$ 
       $(\#) x \cdot \text{topo-sorts } (\text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs) - \{x\}) (\lambda y z. (\exists ys. (y, ys) \in \text{set } xs \wedge z \in ys) \wedge y \neq x \wedge z \neq x)$ 
      by (subst topo-sorts-rec) (use False in simp-all)
      also have  $\{x \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs). \forall z \in \text{set } (\text{map } \text{fst } xs). (\exists ys. (x, ys) \in \text{set } xs \wedge z \in ys) \rightarrow z = x\} = M$ 
      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
      proof (intro equalityI subsetI)
```

```

fix x assume x ∈ ?rhs
thus x ∈ ?lhs
  using 1.prems by (fastforce simp: M-def distinct-map inj-on-def)
next
  fix x assume x ∈ ?lhs
  hence x: x ∈ set (map fst xs) ∧ z ys. z ∈ set (map fst xs) ⇒ (x, ys) ∈ set xs ∧ z ∈ ys
  ⇒ z = x
    by blast+
  from x(1) obtain ys where ys: (x, ys) ∈ set xs
    by force
  have ys ⊆ {}
  proof
    fix y assume y ∈ ys
    with ys show y ∈ {}
      using x(2)[of y ys] 1.prems by auto
  qed
  thus x ∈ ?rhs
    unfolding M-def using x(1) ys by (auto simp: image-iff)
qed
also have (λx. set (map fst xs) − {x}) = (λx. set (map fst (xs' x)))
  by (force simp: xs'-def fun-eq-iff)
also have (λx y z. (exists ys. (y, ys) ∈ set xs ∧ z ∈ ys) ∧ y ≠ x ∧ z ≠ x) = R'
  unfolding R'-def using 1.prems
  by (auto simp: fun-eq-iff distinct-map inj-on-def xs'-def map-prod-def
    case-prod-unfold image-iff)
also have (bigcup x ∈ M. (#) x ` topo-sorts (set (map fst (xs' x))) (R' x)) =
  (bigcup x ∈ M. (#) x ` set (topo-sorts-aux (xs' x)))
  using IH by blast
also have ... = set (topo-sorts-aux xs)
  by (subst (2) topo-sorts-aux-rec) (use False in (auto simp: M-def xs'-def List.bind-def))
  finally show ?thesis ..
qed
qed

```

```

lemma topo-sorts-code [code]:
topo-sorts (set xs) R = (let xs' = remdups xs in
  set (topo-sorts-aux (map (λx. (x, set (filter (λy. y ≠ x ∧ R x y) xs'))) xs')))

proof -
  define xs' where xs' = remdups xs
  have set (topo-sorts-aux (map (λx. (x, set (filter (λy. y ≠ x ∧ R x y) xs')))) xs') =
    topo-sorts (set xs) (λx y. ∃ ys. (x, ys) ∈ (λx. (x, set (filter (λy. y ≠ x ∧ R x y) xs'))))
    ` set xs' ∧ y ∈ ys
    by (subst set-topo-sorts-aux) (auto simp: o-def xs'-def)
  also have (λx y. ∃ ys. (x, ys) ∈ (λx. (x, set (filter (λy. y ≠ x ∧ R x y) xs')))) ` set xs' ∧ y ∈ ys =
    (λx y. x ∈ set xs ∧ y ∈ set xs ∧ x ≠ y ∧ R x y)
    by (auto simp: xs'-def image-iff)
  also have topo-sorts (set xs) ... = topo-sorts (set xs) R
    by (rule topo-sorts-cong) auto

```

```
finally show ?thesis
  by (simp add: Let-def xs'-def)
qed

end
```