Cost Analysis of QuickSort

Manuel Eberl

October 10, 2026

Abstract

We give a formal proof of the well-known results about the number of comparisons performed by two variants of QuickSort: first, the expected number of comparisons of randomised QuickSort (i. e. QuickSort with random pivot choice) is $2(n+1)H_n - 4n$, which is asymptotically equivalent to $2\,n \ln n$; second, the number of comparisons performed by the classic non-randomised QuickSort has the same distribution in the average case as the randomised one.

Contents

1 Randomised QuickSort **2**
- 1.1 Deletion by index . . . 2
- 1.2 Definition . . . 3
- 1.3 Correctness proof . . . 3
- 1.4 Cost analysis . . . 4
- 1.5 Expected cost . . . 4
- 1.6 Version for lists with repeated elements . . . 5

2 Average case analysis of deterministic QuickSort **8**
- 2.1 Definition of deterministic QuickSort . . . 8
- 2.2 Analysis . . . 9

1 Randomised QuickSort

theory *Randomised-Quick-Sort*
imports
HOL−Probability.Probability
Landau-Symbols.Landau-More
Comparison-Sort-Lower-Bound.Linorder-Relations
begin

1.1 Deletion by index

The following function deletes the n-th element of a list.

fun *delete-index* :: *nat* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* **where**
delete-index - [] = []
| *delete-index 0* (*x* # *xs*) = *xs*
| *delete-index* (*Suc n*) (*x* # *xs*) = *x* # *delete-index n xs*

lemma *delete-index-altdef*: *delete-index n xs* = *take n xs* @ *drop* (*Suc n*) *xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *delete-index-ge-length*: *n* ≥ *length xs* ⟹ *delete-index n xs* = *xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *length-delete-index* [*simp*]: *n* < *length xs* ⟹ *length* (*delete-index n xs*) = *length xs* − *1*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *delete-index-Cons*:
delete-index n (*x* # *xs*) = (*if n* = *0 then xs else x* # *delete-index* (*n* − *1*) *xs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *insert-set-delete-index*:
n < *length xs* ⟹ *insert* (*xs* ! *n*) (*set* (*delete-index n xs*)) = *set xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *add-mset-delete-index*:
i < *length xs* ⟹ *add-mset* (*xs* ! *i*) (*mset* (*delete-index i xs*)) = *mset xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *nth-delete-index*:
i < *length xs* ⟹ *n* < *length xs* ⟹
delete-index n xs ! *i* = (*if i* < *n then xs* ! *i else xs* ! *Suc i*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *set-delete-index-distinct*:
assumes *distinct xs n* < *length xs*
shows *set* (*delete-index n xs*) = *set xs* − {*xs* ! *n*}
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *distinct-delete-index* [*simp*, *intro*]:
assumes *distinct xs*
shows *distinct* (*delete-index n xs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mset-delete-index* [*simp*]:
i < *length xs* ⟹ *mset* (*delete-index i xs*) = *mset xs* − {# *xs*!*i* #}
⟨*proof*⟩

1.2 Definition

The following is a functional randomised version of QuickSort that also records the number of comparisons that were made. The randomisation is in the selection of the pivot element: In each step, the next pivot is chosen uniformly at random from all remaining list elements.

The function takes the ordering relation to use as a first argument in the form of a set of pairs.

```
function rquicksort :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list × nat) pmf where
  rquicksort R xs =
    (if xs = [] then
      return-pmf ([], 0)
     else
      do {
        i ← pmf-of-set {..<length xs};
        let x = xs ! i;
        case partition (λy. (y,x) ∈ R) (delete-index i xs) of
          (ls, rs) ⇒ do {
            (ls, n1) ← rquicksort R ls;
            (rs, n2) ← rquicksort R rs;
            return-pmf (ls @ [x] @ rs, length xs − 1 + n1 + n2)
          }
      })
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩
```

declare *rquicksort.simps* [*simp del*]

lemma *rquicksort-Nil* [*simp*]: *rquicksort R* [] = *return-pmf* ([], 0)
⟨*proof*⟩

1.3 Correctness proof

lemma *set-pmf-of-set-lessThan-length* [*simp*]:
xs ≠ [] ⟹ *set-pmf* (*pmf-of-set* {..<*length xs*}) = {..<*length xs*}
⟨*proof*⟩

We can now prove that any list that can be returned by QuickSort is sorted w. r. t. the given relation. (as long as that relation is reflexive, transitive,

and total)

theorem *rquicksort-correct*:
assumes *trans R* **and** *total-on* (*set xs*) *R* **and** ∀ *x*∈*set xs*. (*x*,*x*) ∈ *R*
assumes (*ys*, *n*) ∈ *set-pmf* (*rquicksort R xs*)
shows *sorted-wrt R ys* ∧ *mset ys* = *mset xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

1.4 Cost analysis

The following distribution describes the number of comparisons made by randomised QuickSort in terms of the list length. (This is only valid if all list elements are distinct)

A succinct explanation of this cost analysis is given by Jacek Cichoń [1].

fun *rqs-cost* :: *nat* ⇒ *nat pmf* **where**
rqs-cost 0 = *return-pmf 0*
| *rqs-cost* (*Suc n*) =
do {*i* ← *pmf-of-set* {..*n*}; *a* ← *rqs-cost i*; *b* ← *rqs-cost* (*n* − *i*); *return-pmf* (*n* + *a* + *b*)}

lemma *finite-set-pmf-rqs-cost* [*intro*!]: *finite* (*set-pmf* (*rqs-cost n*))
⟨*proof*⟩

We connect the *rqs-cost* function to the *rquicksort* function by showing that projecting out the number of comparisons from a run of *rquicksort* on a list with distinct elements yields the same distribution as *rqs-cost* for the length of that list.

theorem *snd-rquicksort*:
assumes *linorder-on A R* **and** *set xs* ⊆ *A* **and** *distinct xs*
shows *map-pmf snd* (*rquicksort R xs*) = *rqs-cost* (*length xs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

1.5 Expected cost

It is relatively straightforward to see that the following recursive function (sometimes called the 'QuickSort equation') describes the expectation of *rqs-cost*, i.e. the expected number of comparisons of QuickSort when run on a list with distinct elements.

fun *rqs-cost-exp* :: *nat* ⇒ *real* **where**
rqs-cost-exp 0 = *0*
| *rqs-cost-exp* (*Suc n*) = *real n* + (∑ *i*≤*n*. *rqs-cost-exp i* + *rqs-cost-exp* (*n* − *i*)) / *real* (*Suc n*)

lemmas *rqs-cost-exp-0* = *rqs-cost-exp.simps*(*1*)
lemmas *rqs-cost-exp-Suc* [*simp del*] = *rqs-cost-exp.simps*(*2*)
lemma *rqs-cost-exp-Suc-0* [*simp*]: *rqs-cost-exp* (*Suc 0*) = *0* ⟨*proof*⟩

The following theorem shows that *rqs-cost-exp* is indeed the expectation of *rqs-cost*.

theorem *expectation-rqs-cost*: *measure-pmf.expectation* (*rqs-cost n*) *real* = *rqs-cost-exp n*
⟨*proof*⟩

We will now obtain a closed-form solution for *rqs-cost-exp*. First of all, we can reindex the right-most sum in the recursion step and obtain:

lemma *rqs-cost-exp-Suc'*:
rqs-cost-exp (*Suc n*) = *real n* + *2* / *real* (*Suc n*) ∗ (∑ *i*≤*n*. *rqs-cost-exp i*)
⟨*proof*⟩

Next, we can apply some standard techniques to transform this equation into a simple linear recurrence, which we can then solve easily in terms of harmonic numbers:

theorem *rqs-cost-exp-eq* [*code*]: *rqs-cost-exp n* = *2* ∗ *real* (*n* + *1*) ∗ *harm n* − *4* ∗ *real n*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *asymp-equiv-harm* [*asymp-equiv-intros*]: *harm* ∼[*at-top*] (λ*n*. *ln* (*real n*))
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *rqs-cost-exp-asymp-equiv*: *rqs-cost-exp* ∼[*at-top*] (λ*n*. *2* ∗ *n* ∗ *ln n*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *harm-mono*: *m* ≤ *n* ⟹ *harm m* ≤ (*harm n* :: *real*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *harm-Suc-0* [*simp*]: *harm* (*Suc 0*) = *1*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *harm-ge-1*: *n* > *0* ⟹ *harm n* ≥ (*1*::*real*)
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mono-rqs-cost-exp*: *mono rqs-cost-exp*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *rqs-cost-exp-leI*: *m* ≤ *n* ⟹ *rqs-cost-exp m* ≤ *rqs-cost-exp n*
⟨*proof*⟩

1.6 Version for lists with repeated elements

definition *threeway-partition* **where**
threeway-partition x R xs =
(*filter* (λ*y*. (*y*,*x*) ∈ *R* ∧ (*x*,*y*) ∉ *R*) *xs*,
filter (λ*y*. (*x*,*y*) ∈ *R* ∧ (*y*,*x*) ∈ *R*) *xs*,
filter (λ*y*. (*x*,*y*) ∈ *R* ∧ (*y*,*x*) ∉ *R*) *xs*)

The following version of randomised Quicksort uses a three-way partitioning function in order to also achieve expected logarithmic running time on lists with repeated elements.

```
function rquicksort' :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ ('a list × nat) pmf where
  rquicksort' R xs =
    (if xs = [] then
      return-pmf ([], 0)
     else
      do {
        i ← pmf-of-set {..<length xs};
        let x = xs ! i;
        case threeway-partition x R (delete-index i xs) of
          (ls, es, rs) ⇒ do {
            (ls, n1) ← rquicksort' R ls;
            (rs, n2) ← rquicksort' R rs;
            return-pmf (ls @ x # es @ rs, length xs − 1 + n1 + n2)
          }
      })
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

declare rquicksort'.simps [simp del]

lemma rquicksort'-Nil [simp]: rquicksort' R [] = return-pmf ([], 0)
  ⟨proof⟩

context
begin

qualified definition lesss :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  lesss R x xs = filter (λy. (y, x) ∈ R ∧ (x, y) ∉ R) xs

qualified definition greaters :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list where
  greaters R x xs = filter (λy. (x, y) ∈ R ∧ (y, x) ∉ R) xs

qualified lemma lesss-Cons:
  lesss R x (y # ys) =
    (if (y, x) ∈ R ∧ (x, y) ∉ R then y # lesss R x ys else lesss R x ys)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma length-lesss-le [intro]: length (lesss R x xs) ≤ length xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma length-lesss-less [intro]:
  assumes x ∈ set xs
  shows   length (lesss R x xs) < length xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma greaters-Cons:
  greaters R x (y # ys) =
    (if (x, y) ∈ R ∧ (y, x) ∉ R then y # greaters R x ys else greaters R x ys)
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma length-greaters-le [intro]: length (greaters R x xs) ≤ length xs
  ⟨proof⟩ lemma length-greaters-less [intro]:
  assumes x ∈ set xs
  shows   length (greaters R x xs) < length xs
```

⟨*proof*⟩

The following function counts the comparisons made by the modified randomised Quicksort.

function *rqs′-cost* :: (′*a* × ′*a*) *set* ⇒ ′*a list* ⇒ *nat pmf* **where**
rqs′-cost R xs =
(*if xs* = [] *then*
return-pmf 0
else
do {
i ← *pmf-of-set* {..<*length xs*};
let x = *xs* ! *i*;
map-pmf (λ(*n1*,*n2*). *length xs* − *1* + *n1* + *n2*)
(*pair-pmf* (*rqs′-cost R* (*lesss R x xs*)) (*rqs′-cost R* (*greaters R x xs*)))
})
⟨*proof*⟩
termination ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *rqs′-cost.simps* [*simp del*]

lemma *rqs′-cost-nonempty*:
xs ≠ [] ⟹ *rqs′-cost R xs* =
do {
i ← *pmf-of-set* {..<*length xs*};
let x = *xs* ! *i*;
n1 ← *rqs′-cost R* (*lesss R x xs*);
n2 ← *rqs′-cost R* (*greaters R x xs*);
return-pmf (*length xs* − *1* + *n1* + *n2*)
}
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finite-set-pmf-rqs′-cost* [*simp*, *intro*]:
finite (*set-pmf* (*rqs′-cost R xs*))
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *expectation-pair-pmf-fst* [*simp*]:
fixes *f* :: ′*a* ⇒ ′*b*::{*banach*, *second-countable-topology*}
shows *measure-pmf.expectation* (*pair-pmf p q*) (λ*x*. *f* (*fst x*)) = *measure-pmf.expectation p f*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *expectation-pair-pmf-snd* [*simp*]:
fixes *f* :: ′*a* ⇒ ′*b*::{*banach*, *second-countable-topology*}
shows *measure-pmf.expectation* (*pair-pmf p q*) (λ*x*. *f* (*snd x*)) = *measure-pmf.expectation q f*
⟨*proof*⟩ **lemma** *length-lesss-le-sorted*:
assumes *sorted-wrt R xs i* < *length xs*
shows *length* (*lesss R* (*xs* ! *i*) *xs*) ≤ *i*

⟨*proof*⟩ **lemma** *length-greaters-le-sorted*:
assumes *sorted-wrt R xs i < length xs*
shows *length (greaters R (xs ! i) xs) ≤ length xs − i − 1*
⟨*proof*⟩ **lemma** *length-lesss-le′*:
assumes *i < length xs linorder-on A R set xs ⊆ A*
shows *length (lesss R (insort-wrt R xs ! i) xs) ≤ i*
⟨*proof*⟩ **lemma** *length-greaters-le′*:
assumes *i < length xs linorder-on A R set xs ⊆ A*
shows *length (greaters R (insort-wrt R xs ! i) xs) ≤ length xs − i − 1*
⟨*proof*⟩

We can show quite easily that the expected number of comparisons in this modified QuickSort is bounded above by the expected number of comparisons on a list of the same length with no repeated elements.

theorem *rqs′-cost-expectation-le*:
assumes *linorder-on A R set xs ⊆ A*
shows *measure-pmf.expectation (rqs′-cost R xs) real ≤ rqs-cost-exp (length xs)*
⟨*proof*⟩

end
end

2 Average case analysis of deterministic QuickSort

theory *Quick-Sort-Average-Case*
imports *Randomised-Quick-Sort*
begin

2.1 Definition of deterministic QuickSort

This is the functional description of the standard variant of deterministic QuickSort that always chooses the first list element as the pivot as given by Hoare in 1962 [2]. For a list that is already sorted, this leads to $n(n-1)$ comparisons, but as is well known, the average case is not that bad.

fun *quicksort* :: (′*a* × ′*a*) *set* ⇒ ′*a list* ⇒ ′*a list* **where**
quicksort - [] = []
| *quicksort R* (*x* # *xs*) =
quicksort R (*filter* (λ*y*. (*y*,*x*) ∈ *R*) *xs*) @ [*x*] @ *quicksort R* (*filter* (λ*y*. (*y*,*x*) ∉ *R*) *xs*)

We can easily show that this QuickSort is correct:

theorem *mset-quicksort* [*simp*]: *mset* (*quicksort R xs*) = *mset xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *set-quicksort* [*simp*]: *set* (*quicksort R xs*) = *set xs*
⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *sorted-wrt-quicksort*:
  assumes *trans R* **and** *total-on* (*set xs*) *R* **and** $\bigwedge x.\ x \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow (x,\ x) \in R$
  shows *sorted-wrt R* (*quicksort R xs*)
⟨*proof*⟩

corollary *sorted-wrt-quicksort'*:
  assumes *linorder-on A R* **and** *set xs* ⊆ *A*
  shows *sorted-wrt R* (*quicksort R xs*)
  ⟨*proof*⟩

We now define another version of QuickSort that is identical to the previous one but also counts the number of comparisons that were made.

```
fun quicksort' :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a list × nat where
  quicksort' - [] = ([], 0)
| quicksort' R (x # xs) = (
    let (ls, rs)  = partition (λy. (y,x) ∈ R) xs;
        (ls', n1) = quicksort' R ls;
        (rs', n2) = quicksort' R rs
    in
        (ls' @ [x] @ rs', length xs + n1 + n2))
```

For convenience, we also define a function that computes only the number of comparisons that were made and not the result list.

```
fun qs-cost :: ('a × 'a) set ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat where
  qs-cost - [] = 0
| qs-cost R (x # xs) =
    length xs + qs-cost R (filter (λy. (y,x)∈R) xs) + qs-cost R (filter (λy. (y,x)∉R)
xs)
```

It is obvious that the original QuickSort and the cost function are the projections of the cost-counting QuickSort.

lemma *fst-quicksort'* [*simp*]: *fst* (*quicksort' R xs*) = *quicksort R xs*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *snd-quicksort'* [*simp*]: *snd* (*quicksort' R xs*) = *qs-cost R xs*
  ⟨*proof*⟩

2.2 Analysis

We will reduce the average-case analysis to showing that it is essentially equivalent to the randomised QuickSort we analysed earlier. Similar, but more direct analyses are given by Hoare [2] and Sedgewick [3].

The proof is relatively straightforward – but still a bit messy. We show that the cost distribution of QuickSort run on a random permutation of a set of size n is exactly the same as that of randomised QuickSort being run on any fixed list of size n (which we analysed before):

theorem *qs-cost-average-conv-rqs-cost*:

assumes *finite A* **and** *linorder-on B R* **and** $A \subseteq B$
shows *map-pmf* (*qs-cost R*) (*pmf-of-set* (*permutations-of-set A*)) = *rqs-cost* (*card A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

We therefore have the same expectation as well. (Note that we showed *rqs-cost-exp n* = *2* ∗ *real* (*n* + *1*) ∗ *harm n* − *4* ∗ *real n* and *rqs-cost-exp* ∼[*sequentially*] (λ*x*. *2* ∗ *real x* ∗ *ln* (*real x*)) before.

corollary *expectation-qs-cost*:
assumes *finite A* **and** *linorder-on B R* **and** $A \subseteq B$
defines *random-list* ≡ *pmf-of-set* (*permutations-of-set A*)
shows *measure-pmf.expectation* (*map-pmf* (*qs-cost R*) *random-list*) *real* = *rqs-cost-exp* (*card A*)
⟨*proof*⟩

end

References

[1] J. Cichoń. Quick Sort – average complexity.

[2] C. A. R. Hoare. Quicksort. *The Computer Journal*, 5(1):10, 1962.

[3] R. Sedgewick. The analysis of Quicksort programs. *Acta Inf.*, 7(4):327–355, Dec. 1977.