

Quasi-Borel Spaces

Michikazu Hirata, Yasuhiko Minamide, Tetsuya Sato

September 1, 2025

Abstract

The notion of quasi-Borel spaces was introduced by Heunen et al. [1]. The theory provides a suitable denotational model for higher-order probabilistic programming languages with continuous distributions.

This entry is a formalization of the theory of quasi-Borel spaces, including construction of quasi-Borel spaces (product, coproduct, function spaces), the adjunction between the category of measurable spaces and the category of quasi-Borel spaces, and the probability monad on quasi-Borel spaces. This entry also contains the formalization of the Bayesian regression presented in the work of Heunen et al.

This work is a part of the work by same authors, *Program Logic for Higher-Order Probabilistic Programs in Isabelle/HOL*, which will be published in proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Functional and Logic Programming (FLOPS 2022).

Contents

1	Standard Borel Spaces	2
1.1	Definition	3
1.2	$\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{N}$, Boolean, $[0, \infty]$	5
1.3	$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$	9
1.4	$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$	46
2	Quasi-Borel Spaces	46
2.1	Definitions	46
2.1.1	Quasi-Borel Spaces	46
2.1.2	Morphism of Quasi-Borel Spaces	50
2.1.3	Empty Space	51
2.1.4	Unit Space	52
2.1.5	Subspaces	52
2.1.6	Image Spaces	53
2.1.7	Ordering of Quasi-Borel Spaces	55
2.2	Relation to Measurable Spaces	58
2.2.1	The Functor R	59

2.2.2	The Functor L	60
2.2.3	The Adjunction	67
2.2.4	The Adjunction w.r.t. Ordering	70
2.3	Product Spaces	74
2.3.1	Binary Product Spaces	74
2.3.2	Product Spaces	79
2.4	Coproduct Spaces	84
2.4.1	Binary Coproduct Spaces	84
2.4.2	Countable Coproduct Spaces	97
2.4.3	Lists	104
2.5	Function Spaces	108
2.5.1	Function Spaces	109
3	Probability Spaces	120
3.1	Probability Measures	120
3.1.1	Probability Measures	120
3.2	The Probability Monad	145
3.2.1	The Probability Monad P	145
3.2.2	Return	152
3.2.3	Bind	153
3.2.4	The Functorial Action $P(f)$	158
3.2.5	Join	159
3.2.6	Strength	160
3.2.7	Properties of Return and Bind	173
3.2.8	Properties of Integrals	175
3.3	Binary Product Measure	183
3.3.1	Binary Product Measure	183
3.3.2	Fubini Theorem	186
3.4	Measure as QBS Measure	196
3.5	Example of Probability Measures	200
3.5.1	Normal Distribution	201
3.5.2	Uniform Distribution	202
3.5.3	Bernoulli Distribution	205
3.6	Bayesian Linear Regression	205
3.6.1	Prior	206
3.6.2	Likelihood	207
3.6.3	Posterior	207
3.6.4	Normalizer	208

1 Standard Borel Spaces

```

theory StandardBorel
  imports HOL-Probability.Probability
begin

```

A standard Borel space is the Borel space associated with a Polish space. Here, we define standard Borel spaces in another, but equivalent, way. See [1] Proposition 5.

abbreviation $real\text{-}borel \equiv borel :: real\ measure$

abbreviation $nat\text{-}borel \equiv borel :: nat\ measure$

abbreviation $ennreal\text{-}borel \equiv borel :: ennreal\ measure$

abbreviation $bool\text{-}borel \equiv borel :: bool\ measure$

1.1 Definition

locale $standard\text{-}borel =$

fixes $M :: 'a\ measure$

assumes $exist\text{-}fg: \exists f \in M \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel. \exists g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M.$
 $\forall x \in space\ M. (g \circ f)\ x = x$

begin

abbreviation $fg \equiv (SOME\ k. (fst\ k) \in M \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel \wedge$
 $(snd\ k) \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in space\ M. ((snd\ k) \circ (fst\ k))\ x = x))$

definition $f \equiv (fst\ fg)$

definition $g \equiv (snd\ fg)$

lemma

shows $f\text{-}meas[simp,measurable] : f \in M \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel$
and $g\text{-}meas[simp,measurable] : g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M$
and $gf\text{-}comp\text{-}id[simp]: \bigwedge x. x \in space\ M \implies (g \circ f)\ x = x$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in space\ M \implies g\ (f\ x) = x$

proof –

obtain $f'\ g'$ **where** $h:$

$f' \in M \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel\ g' \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M\ \forall x \in space\ M. (g' \circ f')\ x = x$

using $exist\text{-}fg$ **by** $blast$

have $f \in borel\text{-}measurable\ M \wedge g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M \wedge (\forall x \in space\ M. (g \circ f)\ x = x)$

unfolding $f\text{-}def\ g\text{-}def$

by $(rule\ someI2[where\ a=(f',g')])\ (use\ h\ in\ auto)$

thus $f \in borel\text{-}measurable\ M\ g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M$

$\bigwedge x. x \in space\ M \implies (g \circ f)\ x = x\ \bigwedge x. x \in space\ M \implies g\ (f\ x) = x$

by $auto$

qed

lemma $standard\text{-}borel\text{-}sets[simp]:$

assumes $sets\ M = sets\ Y$

shows $standard\text{-}borel\ Y$

unfolding $standard\text{-}borel\text{-}def$

using $measurable\text{-}cong\text{-}sets[OF\ assms\ refl,of\ real\text{-}borel]\ measurable\text{-}cong\text{-}sets[OF\ refl\ assms,of\ real\text{-}borel]\ sets\text{-}eq\text{-}imp\text{-}space\text{-}eq[OF\ assms]\ exist\text{-}fg$

by $simp$

```

lemma f-inj:
  inj-on  $f$  (space  $M$ )
  by standard (use gf-comp-id(2) in fastforce)

lemma singleton-sets:
  assumes  $x \in \text{space } M$ 
  shows  $\{x\} \in \text{sets } M$ 
proof -
  let  $?y = f\ x$ 
  let  $?U = f^{-1}\ \{?y\}$ 
  have  $?U \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
    using borel-measurable-vimage f-meas by blast
  moreover have  $?U \cap \text{space } M = \{x\}$ 
    using assms f-inj by(auto simp:inj-on-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
qed

lemma countable-space-discrete:
  assumes countable (space  $M$ )
  shows  $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } (\text{count-space } (\text{space } M))$ 
proof
  show  $\text{sets } (\text{count-space } (\text{space } M)) \subseteq \text{sets } M$ 
  proof auto
    fix  $U$ 
    assume  $1: U \subseteq \text{space } M$ 
    then have  $2: \text{countable } U$ 
      using assms countable-subset by auto
    have  $3: U = (\bigcup_{x \in U}. \{x\})$  by auto
    moreover have  $\dots \in \text{sets } M$ 
      by(rule sets.countable-UN''[of U  $\lambda x. \{x\}$ ]) (use 1 2 singleton-sets in auto)
    ultimately show  $U \in \text{sets } M$ 
      by simp
  qed
qed (simp add: sets.sets-into-space subsetI)

end

lemma standard-borelI:
  assumes  $f \in Y \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
     $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M Y$ 
    and  $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{space } Y \implies (g \circ f)\ y = y$ 
  shows standard-borel  $Y$ 
  unfolding standard-borel-def
  by (intro bexI[OF - assms(1)] bexI[OF - assms(2)]) (auto dest: assms(3))

locale standard-borel-space-UNIV = standard-borel +
  assumes space-UNIV:space  $M = \text{UNIV}$ 

```

begin

lemma *gf-comp-id'[simp]*:
 $g \circ f = id \quad g (f x) = x$
using *space-UNIV gf-comp-id*
by(*simp-all add: id-def comp-def*)

lemma *f-inj'*:
 $inj \ f$
using *f-inj* **by**(*simp add: space-UNIV*)

lemma *g-surj'*:
 $surj \ g$
using *gf-comp-id'(2) surjI* **by** *blast*

end

lemma *standard-borel-space-UNIV*:
assumes $f \in Y \rightarrow_M real-borel$
 $g \in real-borel \rightarrow_M Y$
 $(g \circ f) = id$
and $space \ Y = UNIV$
shows *standard-borel-space-UNIV Y*
using *assms*
by(*auto intro!: standard-borelI simp: standard-borel-space-UNIV-def standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms-def*)

lemma *standard-borel-space-UNIV'*:
assumes *standard-borel Y*
and $space \ Y = UNIV$
shows *standard-borel-space-UNIV Y*
using *assms* **by**(*simp add: standard-borel-space-UNIV-def standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms-def*)

1.2 $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{N}$, Boolean, $[0, \infty]$

$\mathbb{R}$ is a standard Borel space.

interpretation *real* : *standard-borel-space-UNIV real-borel*
by(*auto intro!: standard-borel-space-UNIV*)

A non-empty Borel subspace of $\mathbb{R}$ is also a standard Borel space.

lemma *real-standard-borel-subset*:
assumes $U \in sets \ real-borel$
and $U \neq \{\}$
shows *standard-borel (restrict-space real-borel U)*

proof –

have *std1*: $id \in (restrict-space \ real-borel \ U) \rightarrow_M real-borel$
by (*simp add: measurable-restrict-space1*)
obtain x **where** $hx : x \in U$
using *assms(2)* **by** *auto*
define $g :: real \Rightarrow real$

```

    where  $g \equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in U \text{ then } r \text{ else } x)$ 
    have  $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
    unfolding  $g\text{-def}$  by (rule borel-measurable-continuous-on-if) (simp-all add:
    assms(1))
    hence  $\text{std2}: g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M (\text{restrict-space real-borel } U)$ 
    by (auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space2 simp:  $g\text{-def}$   $hx$ )
    have  $\text{std3}: \forall y \in \text{space } (\text{restrict-space real-borel } U). (g \circ \text{id}) y = y$ 
    by (simp add:  $g\text{-def}$  space-restrict-space)
    show ?thesis
    using  $\text{std1}$   $\text{std2}$   $\text{std3}$  standard-borel-def by blast
qed

```

A non-empty measurable subset of a standard Borel space is also a standard Borel space.

```

lemma (in standard-borel) standard-borel-subset:
  assumes  $U \in \text{sets } M$ 
     $U \neq \{\}$ 
  shows standard-borel (restrict-space  $M$   $U$ )
proof -
  let ?ginv $U = g - ' U$ 
  have  $hgu1: ?\text{ginv}U \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
    using assms(1) g-meas measurable-sets-borel by blast
  have  $hgu2: f - ' U \subseteq ?\text{ginv}U$ 
    using gf-comp-id sets.sets-into-space[OF assms(1)] by fastforce
  hence  $hgu3: ?\text{ginv}U \neq \{\}$ 
    using assms(2) by blast
  interpret r-borel-set: standard-borel restrict-space real-borel ?ginvU
    by (rule real-standard-borel-subset[OF hgu1 hgu3])

  have  $\text{std1}: r\text{-borel-set}.f \circ f \in (\text{restrict-space } M \ U) \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
    using sets.sets-into-space[OF assms(1)]
    by (auto intro!: measurable-comp [where  $N = \text{restrict-space real-borel } ?\text{ginv}U$ ]
    measurable-restrict-space3)
  have  $\text{std2}: g \circ r\text{-borel-set}.g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M (\text{restrict-space } M \ U)$ 
    by (auto intro!: measurable-comp [where  $N = \text{restrict-space real-borel } ?\text{ginv}U$ ]
    measurable-restrict-space3 [OF g-meas])
  have  $\text{std3}: \forall x \in \text{space } (\text{restrict-space } M \ U). ((g \circ r\text{-borel-set}.g) \circ (r\text{-borel-set}.f \circ f)) x = x$ 
    by (simp add: space-restrict-space)
  show ?thesis
    using  $\text{std1}$   $\text{std2}$   $\text{std3}$  standard-borel-def by blast
qed

```

$\mathbb{N}$ is a standard Borel space.

```

interpretation nat : standard-borel-space-UNIV nat-borel
proof -
  define n-to-r ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
    where  $n\text{-to-r} \equiv (\lambda n. \text{of-real } n)$ 
  define r-to-n ::  $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 

```

```

where  $r\text{-to-}n \equiv (\lambda r. \text{nat } \lfloor r \rfloor)$ 

have  $n\text{-to-}r\text{-measurable}: n\text{-to-}r \in \text{nat-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
using  $\text{borel-measurable-count-space measurable-cong-sets sets-borel-eq-count-space}$ 
by blast
have  $r\text{-to-}n\text{-measurable}: r\text{-to-}n \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{nat-borel}$ 
by (simp add: r-to-n-def)
have  $n\text{-to-}r\text{-to-}n\text{-id}: r\text{-to-}n \circ n\text{-to-}r = \text{id}$ 
by (simp add: n-to-r-def r-to-n-def comp-def id-def)
show  $\text{standard-borel-space-UNIV nat-borel}$ 
using  $\text{standard-borel-space-UNIVI}[OF\ n\text{-to-}r\text{-measurable}\ r\text{-to-}n\text{-measurable}\ n\text{-to-}r\text{-to-}n\text{-id}]$ 
by simp
qed

```

For a countable space X , X is a standard Borel space iff X is a discrete space.

```

lemma countable-standard-iff:
  assumes  $\text{space } X \neq \{\}$ 
  and  $\text{countable } (\text{space } X)$ 
  shows  $\text{standard-borel } X \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } X = \text{sets } (\text{count-space } (\text{space } X))$ 
proof
  show  $\text{standard-borel } X \implies \text{sets } X = \text{sets } (\text{count-space } (\text{space } X))$ 
  using  $\text{standard-borel.countable-space-discrete assms}$  by simp
next
  assume  $h[\text{measurable-cong}]: \text{sets } X = \text{sets } (\text{count-space } (\text{space } X))$ 
  show  $\text{standard-borel } X$ 
  proof (rule standard-borelI [where  $f = \text{nat}.f \circ \text{to-nat-on } (\text{space } X)$  and  $g = \text{from-nat-into } (\text{space } X) \circ \text{nat}.g$ ])
    show  $\text{nat}.f \circ \text{to-nat-on } (\text{space } X) \in \text{borel-measurable } X$ 
    by simp
  next
    have [simp]:  $\text{from-nat-into } (\text{space } X) \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow (\text{space } X)$ 
    using  $\text{from-nat-into}[OF\ \text{assms}(1)]$  by simp
    hence [measurable]:  $\text{from-nat-into } (\text{space } X) \in \text{nat-borel} \rightarrow_M X$ 
    using  $\text{measurable-count-space-eq1}[of\ -\ X]\ \text{measurable-cong-sets}[OF\ \text{sets-borel-eq-count-space}]$ 
    by blast
    show  $\text{from-nat-into } (\text{space } X) \circ \text{nat}.g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M X$ 
    by simp
  next
    fix  $x$ 
    assume  $x \in \text{space } X$ 
    then show  $(\text{from-nat-into } (\text{space } X) \circ \text{nat}.g \circ (\text{nat}.f \circ \text{to-nat-on } (\text{space } X)))$ 
     $x = x$ 
    using  $\text{from-nat-into-to-nat-on}[OF\ \text{assms}(2)]$  by simp
  qed
qed

```

$\mathbb{B}$ is a standard Borel space.

lemma *to-bool-measurable*:

```

    assumes  $f - \{True\} \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
    shows  $f \in M \rightarrow_M \text{bool-borel}$ 
  proof(rule measurableI)
    fix A
    assume  $h:A \in \text{sets bool-borel}$ 
    have  $h2: f - \{False\} \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
    proof -
      have  $-\{False\} = \{True\}$ 
      by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by(simp add: vimage-sets-compl-iff[where A={False}] assms)
    qed
    have  $A \subseteq \{True, False\}$ 
    by auto
    then consider  $A = \{\} \mid A = \{True\} \mid A = \{False\} \mid A = \{True, False\}$ 
    by auto
    thus  $f - A \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
    proof cases
      case 1
      then show ?thesis
        by simp
    next
      case 2
      then show ?thesis
        by(simp add: assms)
    next
      case 3
      then show ?thesis
        by(simp add: h2)
    next
      case 4
      then have  $f - A = f - \{True\} \cup f - \{False\}$ 
      by auto
      thus ?thesis
        using assms h2
        by (metis Int-Un-distrib2 sets.Un)
    qed
  qed simp

```

interpretation *bool : standard-borel-space-UNIV bool-borel*
 using countable-standard-iff[of bool-borel]
 by(auto intro!: standard-borel-space-UNIV' simp: sets-borel-eq-count-space)

$[0, \infty]$ (the set of extended non-negative real numbers) is a standard Borel space.

interpretation *ennreal : standard-borel-space-UNIV ennreal-borel*
proof -
 define *preal-to-real* :: *ennreal* $\Rightarrow$ *real*
 where *preal-to-real* $\equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r = \infty \text{ then } -1$


```

      else enn2real r)
define real-to-preal :: real  $\Rightarrow$  ennreal
  where real-to-preal  $\equiv$  ( $\lambda r$ . if  $r = -1$  then  $\infty$ 
      else ennreal r)
have preal-to-real-measurable: preal-to-real  $\in$  ennreal-borel  $\rightarrow_M$  real-borel
  unfolding preal-to-real-def by simp
have real-to-preal-measurable: real-to-preal  $\in$  real-borel  $\rightarrow_M$  ennreal-borel
  unfolding real-to-preal-def by simp
have preal-real-preal-id: real-to-preal  $\circ$  preal-to-real = id
proof
  fix r :: ennreal
  show (real-to-preal  $\circ$  preal-to-real) r = id r
    using ennreal-enn2real-if[of r] ennreal-neg
    by(auto simp add: real-to-preal-def preal-to-real-def)
qed
show standard-borel-space-UNIV ennreal-borel
  using standard-borel-space-UNIVI[OF preal-to-real-measurable real-to-preal-measurable
    preal-real-preal-id]
  by simp
qed

```

1.3 $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$

definition real-to-01open :: real $\Rightarrow$ real **where**
 real-to-01open r $\equiv$ arctan r / pi + 1 / 2

definition real-to-01open-inverse :: real $\Rightarrow$ real **where**
 real-to-01open-inverse r $\equiv$ tan (pi * r - (pi / 2))

lemma real-to-01open-inverse-correct:
 real-to-01open-inverse $\circ$ real-to-01open = id
by(auto simp add: real-to-01open-def real-to-01open-inverse-def distrib-left tan-arctan)

lemma real-to-01open-inverse-correct':
assumes 0 < r < 1
shows real-to-01open (real-to-01open-inverse r) = r
unfolding real-to-01open-def real-to-01open-inverse-def
proof –
have arctan (tan (pi * r - pi / 2)) = pi * r - pi / 2
using arctan-unique[of pi * r - pi / 2] assms
by simp
hence arctan (tan (pi * r - pi / 2)) / pi + 1 / 2 = ((pi * r) - pi / 2) / pi + 1 / 2
by simp
also have ... = r - 1/2 + 1/2
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) divide-inverse mult.left-neutral nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left pi-neq-zero right-diff-distrib)
finally show arctan (tan (pi * r - pi / 2)) / pi + 1 / 2 = r
by simp

qed

lemma *real-to-01open-01* :

$0 < \text{real-to-01open } r \wedge \text{real-to-01open } r < 1$

proof

have $-\pi / 2 < \arctan r$ **by** (*simp add: arctan-lbound*)

hence $0 < \arctan r + \pi / 2$ **by** *simp*

hence $0 < (1 / \pi) * (\arctan r + \pi / 2)$ **by** *simp*

thus $0 < \text{real-to-01open } r$

by (*simp add: add-divide-distrib real-to-01open-def*)

next

have $\arctan r < \pi / 2$ **using** *arctan-ubound* **by** *simp*

hence $\arctan r + \pi / 2 < \pi$ **by** *simp*

hence $(1 / \pi) * (\arctan r + \pi / 2) < 1$ **by** *simp*

thus $\text{real-to-01open } r < 1$

by (*simp add: real-to-01open-def add-divide-distrib*)

qed

lemma *real-to-01open-continuous*:

continuous-on UNIV real-to-01open

proof –

have *continuous-on UNIV* $((\lambda x. x / \pi + 1 / 2) \circ \arctan)$

proof (*rule continuous-on-compose*)

show *continuous-on UNIV* *arctan*

by (*simp add: continuous-on-arctan*)

next

show *continuous-on* (*range* *arctan*) $(\lambda x. x / \pi + 1 / 2)$

by (*auto intro!: continuous-on-add continuous-on-divide*)

qed

thus *?thesis*

by (*simp add: real-to-01open-def*)

qed

lemma *real-to-01open-inverse-continuous*:

continuous-on $\{0 < .. < 1\}$ *real-to-01open-inverse*

unfolding *real-to-01open-inverse-def*

proof (*rule Transcendental.continuous-on-tan*)

have [*simp*]: $(\lambda x. \pi * x - \pi / 2) = (\lambda x. x - \pi / 2) \circ (\lambda x. \pi * x)$

by *auto*

have *continuous-on* $\{0 < .. < 1\}$...

proof (*rule continuous-on-compose*)

show *continuous-on* $\{0 < .. < 1\}$ $((*) \pi)$

by *simp*

next

show *continuous-on* $((*) \pi ' \{0 < .. < 1\})$ $(\lambda x. x - \pi / 2)$

using *continuous-on-diff* [*of* $((*) \pi ' \{0 < .. < 1\})$ $\lambda x. x$]

by *simp*

qed

thus *continuous-on* $\{0 < .. < 1\}$ $(\lambda x. \pi * x - \pi / 2)$ **by** *simp*

next
have $\forall r \in \{0 < \dots < 1 :: \text{real}\}. -(pi/2) < pi * r - pi / 2 \wedge pi * r - pi / 2 < pi/2$
by *simp*
thus $\forall r \in \{0 < \dots < 1 :: \text{real}\}. \cos (pi * r - pi / 2) \neq 0$
using *cos-gt-zero-pi* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *real-to-01open-inverse-measurable*:
 $real\text{-to-}01open\text{-inverse} \in \text{restrict-space } real\text{-borel } \{0 < \dots < 1\} \rightarrow_M real\text{-borel}$
using *borel-measurable-continuous-on-restrict* *real-to-01open-inverse-continuous*
by *simp*

fun *r01-binary-expansion''* :: $real \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \times real \times real$ **where**
 $r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ 0 = (\text{if } 1/2 \leq r \text{ then } (1, 1, 1/2) \text{ else } (0, 1/2, 0)) \mid$
 $r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ (Suc \ n) = (\text{let } (-, ur, lr) = r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n;$
 $\quad k = (ur + lr)/2 \text{ in}$
 $\quad (\text{if } k \leq r \text{ then } (1, ur, k) \text{ else } (0, k, lr)))$

a_n where $r = 0.a_0a_1a_2\dots$ for $0 < r < 1$.

definition *r01-binary-expansion'* :: $real \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $r01\text{-binary-expansion'} \ r \ n \equiv \text{fst } (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)$

$a_n = 0$ or 1 .

lemma *real01-binary-expansion'-0or1*:
 $r01\text{-binary-expansion'} \ r \ n \in \{0, 1\}$
by (*cases n*) (*simp-all add: r01-binary-expansion'-def split-beta' Let-def*)

definition *r01-binary-sum* :: $(nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $r01\text{-binary-sum } a \ n \equiv (\sum i=0..n. real \ (a \ i) * ((1/2)^\wedge(Suc \ i)))$

definition *r01-binary-sum-lim* :: $(nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $r01\text{-binary-sum-lim} \equiv \text{lim} \circ r01\text{-binary-sum}$

definition *r01-binary-expression* :: $real \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $r01\text{-binary-expression} \equiv r01\text{-binary-sum} \circ r01\text{-binary-expansion'}$

lemma *r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur*:
assumes $0 < r < 1$
shows $(snd \ (snd \ (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n))) \leq r \wedge$
 $r < (\text{fst } (snd \ (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)))$
using *assms* **by** (*induction n*) (*simp-all add: split-beta' Let-def*)

$0 \leq lr \wedge lr < ur \wedge ur \leq 1$.

lemma *r01-binary-expansion-lr-ur-nn*:
shows $0 \leq snd \ (snd \ (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)) \wedge$

$\text{snd} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)) < \text{fst} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)) \wedge$
 $\text{fst} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)) \leq 1$
by (induction n) (simp-all add:split-beta' Let-def)

lemma r01-binary-expansion-diff:
shows $(\text{fst} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n))) - (\text{snd} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n))) = (1/2) \wedge (\text{Suc } n)$
proof(induction n)
case (Suc n')
then show ?case
proof(cases r01-binary-expansion'' r n')
case 1:(fields a ur lr)
assume $\text{fst} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n')) - \text{snd} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n')) = (1 / 2) \wedge (\text{Suc } n')$
then have $2:ur - lr = (1/2) \wedge (\text{Suc } n')$ **by** (simp add: 1)
show ?thesis
proof -
have [simp]: $ur * 4 - (ur * 4 + lr * 4) / 2 = (ur - lr) * 2$
by(simp add: division-ring-class.add-divide-distrib)
have $ur * 4 - (ur * 4 + lr * 4) / 2 = (1 / 2) \wedge n'$
by(simp add: 2)
moreover have $(ur * 4 + lr * 4) / 2 - lr * 4 = (1 / 2) \wedge n'$
by(simp add: division-ring-class.add-divide-distrib ring-class.right-diff-distrib[symmetric])
2)
ultimately show ?thesis
by(simp add: 1 Let-def)
qed
qed
qed simp

$lrn = Sn.$

lemma r01-binary-expression-eq-lr:
 $\text{snd} (\text{snd} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ n)) = r01\text{-binary-expression } r \ n$
proof(induction n)
case 0
then show ?case
by(simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def r01-binary-expansion'-def)
next
case 1:(Suc n')
show ?case
proof (cases r01-binary-expansion'' r n')
case 2:(fields a ur lr)
then have $ih:lr = (\sum i = 0..n'. \text{real} (\text{fst} (r01\text{-binary-expansion'' } r \ i)) * (1 /$
2) $\wedge i / 2)$
using 1 **by**(simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def r01-binary-expansion'-def)
have $3:(ur + lr) / 2 = lr + (1/2) \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n'))$
using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of r n'] 2 **by** simp
show ?thesis

by(simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def r01-binary-expansion'-def
2 Let-def 3) fact

qed
qed

lemma r01-binary-expression'-sum-range:

$\exists k::nat. (snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) = real\ k / 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n) \wedge$
 $k < 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n) \wedge$
 $((r01-binary-expansion' r n) = 0 \longrightarrow even\ k) \wedge$
 $((r01-binary-expansion' r n) = 1 \longrightarrow odd\ k)$

proof –

have [simp]:(snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) = $(\sum i=0..n. real\ (r01-binary-expansion'$
 $r\ i) * ((1/2)^{\wedge}(Suc\ i)))$

using r01-binary-expression-eq-lr[of r n] **by**(simp add: r01-binary-expression-def
r01-binary-sum-def)

have $\exists k::nat. (\sum i=0..n. real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r\ i) * ((1/2)^{\wedge}(Suc\ i))) =$
 $real\ k / 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n) \wedge$
 $k < 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n) \wedge$
 $((r01-binary-expansion' r\ n) = 0 \longrightarrow even\ k) \wedge$
 $((r01-binary-expansion' r\ n) = 1 \longrightarrow odd\ k)$

proof(induction n)

case 0

consider $r01-binary-expansion' r\ 0 = 0 \mid r01-binary-expansion' r\ 0 = 1$

using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of r 0] **by** auto

then show ?case

by cases auto

next

case (Suc n')

then obtain $k :: nat$ **where** ih:

$(\sum i = 0..n'. real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r\ i) * (1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ i) = real\ k /$
 $2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n') \wedge k < 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n')$

by auto

have $(\sum i = 0..Suc\ n'. real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r\ i) * (1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc$
 $i) = (\sum i = 0..n'. real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r\ i) * (1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ i) + real$
 $(r01-binary-expansion' r\ (Suc\ n')) * (1 / 2)^{\wedge} Suc\ (Suc\ n')$

by simp

also have $... = real\ k / 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ n') + (real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r\ (Suc\ n')))/$
 $2^{\wedge} Suc\ (Suc\ n')$

proof –

have $\bigwedge r\ ra\ n. (r::real) * (1 / ra)^{\wedge} n = r / ra^{\wedge} n$

by (simp add: power-one-over)

then show ?thesis

using ih **by** presburger

qed

also have $... = (2*real\ k) / 2^{\wedge}(Suc\ (Suc\ n')) + (real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r$
 $(Suc\ n')))/ 2^{\wedge} Suc\ (Suc\ n')$

by simp

also have $... = (2*(real\ k) + real\ (r01-binary-expansion' r\ (Suc\ n')))/2^{\wedge} Suc$
 $(Suc\ n')$

by (simp add: add-divide-distrib)
 also have ... = (real (2*k + r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n')))/2 ^ Suc (Suc n')
 by simp
 finally have (∑ i = 0..Suc n'. real (r01-binary-expansion' r i) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc i) = real (2 * k + r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n')) / 2 ^ Suc (Suc n') .
 moreover have 2 * k + r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n') < 2 ^ Suc (Suc n')
 proof -
 have k + 1 ≤ 2 ^ Suc n'
 using ih by simp
 hence 2*k + 2 ≤ 2 ^ Suc (Suc n')
 by simp
 thus ?thesis
 using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of r Suc n']
 by auto
 qed
 moreover have r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n') = 0 → even (2 * k + r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n'))
 by simp
 moreover have r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n') = 1 → odd (2 * k + r01-binary-expansion' r (Suc n'))
 by simp
 ultimately show ?case by fastforce
 qed
 thus ?thesis
 by simp
 qed

$an = bn \leftrightarrow Sn = S'n.$

lemma r01-binary-expansion'-expression-eq:

$r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r1 = r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r2 \longleftrightarrow$
 $r01\text{-binary-expression } r1 = r01\text{-binary-expression } r2$

proof

assume r01-binary-expansion' r1 = r01-binary-expansion' r2
 then show r01-binary-expression r1 = r01-binary-expression r2
 by (simp add: r01-binary-expression-def)

next

assume r01-binary-expression r1 = r01-binary-expression r2
 then have 1: $\bigwedge n. r01\text{-binary-sum } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r1) n = r01\text{-binary-sum } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r2) n$

by (simp add: r01-binary-expression-def)

show r01-binary-expansion' r1 = r01-binary-expansion' r2

proof

fix n

show r01-binary-expansion' r1 n = r01-binary-expansion' r2 n

proof (cases n)

case 0

then show ?thesis

using 1[of 0] by (simp add: r01-binary-sum-def)

```

next
  fix n'
  case (Suc n')
  have r01-binary-sum (r01-binary-expansion' r1) n - r01-binary-sum (r01-binary-expansion'
r1) n' = r01-binary-sum (r01-binary-expansion' r2) n - r01-binary-sum (r01-binary-expansion'
r2) n'
    by(simp add: 1)
  thus ?thesis
    using ⟨n = Suc n'⟩ by(simp add: r01-binary-sum-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *power2-e*:

$\bigwedge e::\text{real}. 0 < e \implies \exists n::\text{nat}. \text{real-of-rat } (1/2)^{\wedge}n < e$
 by (simp add: real-arch-pow-inv)

lemma *r01-binary-expression-converges-to-r*:

assumes $0 < r$
 and $r < 1$
 shows *LIMSEQ* (r01-binary-expression r) r

proof

```

fix e :: real
assume 0 < e
then obtain k :: nat where hk:real-of-rat (1/2)^k < e
  using power2-e by auto
show  $\forall_F x$  in sequentially. dist (r01-binary-expression r x) r < e
proof(rule eventually-sequentiallyI[of k])
  fix m
  assume k ≤ m
  have |r - r01-binary-expression r m| < e
  proof (cases r01-binary-expansion'' r m)
    case 1:(fields a ur lr)
    then have |r - r01-binary-expression r m| = |r - lr|
      by (metis r01-binary-expression-eq-lr snd-conv)
    also have ... = r - lr
      using r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[OF assms] 1
      by (metis abs-of-nonneg diff-ge-0-iff-ge snd-conv)
    also have ... < e
  proof -
    have r - lr ≤ ur - lr
      using r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[of r] assms 1
      by (metis diff-right-mono fst-conv less-imp-le snd-conv)
    also have ... = (1/2)^ $\wedge$ (Suc m)
      using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of r m]
      by(simp add: 1)
    also have ... ≤ (1/2)^ $\wedge$ (Suc k)
      using ⟨k ≤ m⟩ by simp
    also have ... < (1/2)^ $\wedge$ k by simp
  qed

```

```

    finally show ?thesis
      using hk by (simp add: of-rat-divide)
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  then show  $\text{dist } (r01\text{-binary-expression } r \ m) \ r < e$ 
    by (simp add: dist-real-def)
  qed
qed

lemma r01-binary-expression-correct:
  assumes  $0 < r$ 
    and  $r < 1$ 
  shows  $r = (\sum n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ n) * (1/2)^\wedge(Suc \ n))$ 
proof -
  have  $(\lambda n. (\lambda n. \sum i < n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ i) * (1 / 2)^\wedge Suc \ i) (Suc \ n)) = r01\text{-binary-expression } r$ 
  proof -
    have  $\bigwedge n. \{..<Suc \ n\} = \{0..n\}$  by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by (auto simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def)
  qed
  hence  $LIMSEQ (\lambda n. \sum i < n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ i) * (1 / 2)^\wedge Suc \ i)$ 
 $r$ 
  using r01-binary-expression-converges-to-r[OF assms] LIMSEQ-imp-Suc[of  $\lambda n. \sum i < n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ i) * (1 / 2)^\wedge Suc \ i \ r$ ]
  by simp
  thus ?thesis
    using suminf-eq-lim[of  $\lambda n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ n) * (1/2)^\wedge(Suc \ n)$ ]
    assms limI[of  $(\lambda n. \sum i < n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ i) * (1 / 2)^\wedge Suc \ i) \ r$ ]
    by simp
  qed

 $S0 \leq S1 \leq S2 \leq \dots$ 

lemma binary-sum-incseq:
  incseq (r01-binary-sum a)
  by (simp add: incseq-Suc-iff r01-binary-sum-def)

lemma r01-eq-iff:
  assumes  $0 < r1$   $r1 < 1$ 
     $0 < r2$   $r2 < 1$ 
  shows  $r1 = r2 \iff r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r1 = r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r2$ 
proof auto
  assume  $r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r1 = r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r2$ 
  then have  $1:r01\text{-binary-expression } r1 = r01\text{-binary-expression } r2$ 
    using r01-binary-expansion'-expression-eq[of  $r1 \ r2$ ] by simp
  have  $r1 = \lim (r01\text{-binary-expression } r1)$ 
    using limI[of  $- \ r1$ ] r01-binary-expression-converges-to-r[of  $r1$ ] assms(1,2)
    by simp

```



```

also have ... = lim (r01-binary-expression r2)
  by (simp add: 1)
also have ... = r2
  using limI[of - r2] r01-binary-expression-converges-to-r[of r2] assms(3,4)
  by simp
finally show r1 = r2 .
qed

```

```

lemma power-half-summable:
  summable (λn. ((1::real) / 2) ^ Suc n)
  using power-half-series summable-def by blast

```

```

lemma binary-expression-summable:
  assumes ∧n. a n ∈ {0,1 :: nat}
  shows summable (λn. real (a n) * (1/2)^(Suc n))
proof -
  have summable (λn::nat. |real (a n) * ((1::real) / (2::real)) ^ Suc n|)
  proof(rule summable-rabs-comparison-test[of λn. real (a n) * (1/2)^(Suc n) λn.
    (1/2)^(Suc n)])
    have ∧n. |real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n| ≤ (1 / 2)^(Suc n)
    proof -
      fix n
      have |real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n| = real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n
        using assms by simp
      also have ... ≤ (1 / 2) ^ Suc n
      proof -
        consider a n = 0 | a n = 1
        using assms by (meson insertE singleton-iff)
        then show ?thesis
          by(cases,auto)
      qed
      finally show |real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n| ≤ (1 / 2)^(Suc n) .
    qed
  thus ∃ N. ∀ n ≥ N. |real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n| ≤ (1 / 2) ^ Suc n
    by simp
next
  show summable (λn. ((1::real) / 2) ^ Suc n)
  using power-half-summable by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma binary-expression-gteq0:
  assumes ∧n. a n ∈ {0,1 :: nat}
  shows 0 ≤ (∑ n. real (a (n + k)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + k))
proof -
  have (∑ n. 0) ≤ (∑ n. real (a (n + k)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + k))
  using binary-expression-summable[of a] summable-iff-shift[of λn. real (a n) *

```

$(1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n \ k] \text{ suminf-le}[of \ \lambda n. 0 \ \lambda n. \text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k)] \text{ assms}$
 by simp
 thus ?thesis by simp
 qed

lemma *binary-expression-leeq1*:
 assumes $\bigwedge n. a \ n \in \{0,1 :: \text{nat}\}$
 shows $(\sum n. \text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k)) \leq 1$
proof –
 have $(\sum n. \text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k)) \leq (\sum n. (1/2) \wedge (\text{Suc } n))$
proof(rule suminf-le)
 fix n
 have $1:\text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k) \leq (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k)$
 using assms[of n+k] by auto
 have $2:((1::\text{real}) / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k) \leq (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n$
 by simp
 show $\text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k) \leq (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n$
 by(rule order.trans[OF 1 2])
 next
 show summable $(\lambda n. \text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k))$
 using binary-expression-summable[of a] summable-iff-shift[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a \ n)$
 $* (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n \ k]$ assms
 by simp
 next
 show summable $(\lambda n. ((1::\text{real}) / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n)$
 using power-half-summable by simp
 qed
 thus ?thesis
 using power-half-series sums-unique by fastforce
 qed

lemma *binary-expression-less-than*:
 assumes $\bigwedge n. a \ n \in \{0,1 :: \text{nat}\}$
 shows $(\sum n. \text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k)) \leq (\sum n. (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k))$
proof(rule suminf-le)
 fix n
 show $\text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k) \leq (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k)$
 using assms[of n + k] by auto
 next
 show summable $(\lambda n. \text{real } (a \ (n + k)) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k))$
 using summable-iff-shift[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a \ n) * (1 / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n \ k]$ binary-expression-summable[of
 a] assms
 by simp
 next
 show summable $(\lambda n. ((1::\text{real}) / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } (n + k))$
 using power-half-summable summable-iff-shift[of $\lambda n. ((1::\text{real}) / 2) \wedge \text{Suc } n \ k]$
 by simp

qed

lemma *lim-sum-ai*:

assumes $\bigwedge n. a\ n \in \{0,1\} :: \text{nat}\}$
shows $\lim (\lambda n. (\sum_{i=0..n} \text{real } (a\ i) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } i))) = (\sum_{n::\text{nat}} \text{real } (a\ n) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } n))$

proof –

have $\bigwedge n::\text{nat}. \{0..n\} = \{..n\}$ **by** *auto*
hence $\text{LIMSEQ } (\lambda n. \sum_{i=0..n} \text{real } (a\ i) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } i) (\sum n. \text{real } (a\ n) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } n)$

using *summable-LIMSEQ*[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ n) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } n)$] *binary-expression-summable*[of *a*] *assms*

by *simp*

thus $\lim (\lambda n. (\sum_{i=0..n} \text{real } (a\ i) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } i))) = (\sum n. \text{real } (a\ n) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } n)$

using *limI* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *half-1-minus-sum*:

$1 - (\sum_{i<k} ((1::\text{real}) / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } i) = (1/2)^k$
by(*induction k*) *auto*

lemma *half-sum*:

$(\sum n. ((1::\text{real}) / 2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + k))) = (1/2)^k$
using *suminf-split-initial-segment*[of $\lambda n. ((1::\text{real}) / 2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } n)\ k$] *half-1-minus-sum*[of *k*] *power-half-series sums-unique*[of $\lambda n. (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } n\ 1$] *power-half-summable*
by *fastforce*

lemma *ai-exists0-less-than-sum*:

assumes $\bigwedge n. a\ n \in \{0,1\}$
 $i \geq m$

and $a\ i = 0$

shows $(\sum_{n::\text{nat}} \text{real } (a\ (n + m)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + m))) < (1 / 2)^\wedge m$

proof –

have $(\sum_{n::\text{nat}} \text{real } (a\ (n + m)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + m))) = (\sum_{n<i-m} \text{real } (a\ (n + m)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + m))) + (\sum_{n::\text{nat}} \text{real } (a\ (n + i)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + i)))$

using *suminf-split-initial-segment*[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ (n + m)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + m))\ i-m$] *assms(1)* *binary-expression-summable*[of *a*] *summable-iff-shift*[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ n) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } n\ m$] *assms(2)*

by *simp*

also have $\dots < (1 / 2)^\wedge m$

proof –

have $(\sum n. \text{real } (a\ (n + i)) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } (n + i)) \leq (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } i$

proof –

have $(\sum_{n::\text{nat}} \text{real } (a\ (n + i)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + i))) = (\sum_{n::\text{nat}} \text{real } (a\ (\text{Suc } n + i)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n + i)))$

using *suminf-split-head*[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ (n + i)) * (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (n + i))$] *assms(1,3)* *binary-expression-summable*[of *a*] *summable-iff-shift*[of $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ n)$]

```

* (1 / 2) ^ Suc n i]
  by simp
  also have ... = (∑ n::nat. real (a (n + Suc i)) * (1/2) ^ (Suc n + Suc i))
  by simp
  also have ... ≤ (∑ n::nat. (1/2) ^ (Suc n + Suc i))
  using binary-expression-less-than[of a Suc i] assms(1)
  by simp
  also have ... = (1/2) ^ (Suc i)
  using half-sum[of Suc i] by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have (∑ n<i - m. real (a (n + m)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m)) ≤
(1/2) ^ m - (1/2) ^ i
proof -
  have (∑ n<i - m. real (a (n + m)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m)) ≤ (∑ n<i -
m. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m))
  proof -
    have real (a i) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc i ≤ (1 / 2) ^ Suc i for i
    using assms(1)[of i] by auto
    thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: sum-mono)
  qed
  also have ... = (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m)) - (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n +
(i - m) + m))
  using suminf-split-initial-segment[of λn. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m) i-m]
power-half-summable summable-iff-shift[of λn. ((1::real) / 2) ^ Suc n m]
  by fastforce
  also have ... = (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m)) - (∑ n. (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n +
i))
  using assms(2) by simp
  also have ... = (1/2) ^ m - (1/2) ^ i
  using half-sum by fastforce
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
ultimately have (∑ n<i - m. real (a (n + m)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + m)) +
(∑ n. real (a (n + i)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (n + i)) ≤ (1 / 2) ^ Suc i + (1 / 2) ^ m
- (1 / 2) ^ i
  by linarith
  also have ... < (1 / 2) ^ m
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma ai-exists0-less-than1:
  assumes ∧n. a n ∈ {0,1}
  and ∃ i. a i = 0
  shows (∑ n::nat. real (a n) * (1/2) ^ (Suc n)) < 1

```

```

using ai-exists0-less-than-sum[of a 0] assms
by auto

lemma ai-1-gt:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. a\ n \in \{0,1\}$ 
    and  $a\ i = 1$ 
  shows  $(1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } i) \leq (\sum n::\text{nat. } \text{real } (a\ (n+i)) * (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } (n+i)))$ 
proof -
  have  $1: (\sum n::\text{nat. } \text{real } (a\ (n+i)) * (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } (n+i))) = (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } (0 + i) + (\sum n. \text{real } (a\ (\text{Suc } n + i)) * (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n + i))$ 
  using suminf-split-head[of  $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ (n+i)) * (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } (n+i))$ ] binary-expression-summable[of a] summable-iff-shift[of  $\lambda n. \text{real } (a\ n) * (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } n\ i$ ] assms
  by simp
  show ?thesis
  using 1 binary-expression-gteq0[of a Suc i] assms(1)
  by simp
qed

lemma ai-exists1-gt0:
  assumes  $\bigwedge n. a\ n \in \{0,1\}$ 
    and  $\exists i. a\ i = 1$ 
  shows  $0 < (\sum n::\text{nat. } \text{real } (a\ n) * (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } n))$ 
proof -
  obtain k where h1:  $a\ k = 1$ 
  using assms(2) by auto
  have  $(1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k) = (\sum n::\text{nat. } (\text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k) \text{ else } (0::\text{real})))$ 
  proof -
  have  $(\lambda n. \text{if } n \in \{k\} \text{ then } (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k \text{ else } (0::\text{real})) = (\lambda n. \text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k) \text{ else } 0)$ 
  by simp
  moreover have  $(\lambda n. \text{if } n \in \{k\} \text{ then } (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k \text{ else } (0::\text{real})) \text{ sums } (\sum r \in \{k\}. (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k)$ 
  using sums-If-finite-set[of  $\{k\}$   $\lambda n. ((1::\text{real})/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k)$ ] by simp
  ultimately have  $(\lambda n. \text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k \text{ else } (0::\text{real})) \text{ sums } (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k)$ 
  by simp
  thus ?thesis
  using sums-unique[of  $\lambda n. \text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k \text{ else } (0::\text{real})$ ]  $(1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k)$ 
  by simp
qed
  also have  $(\sum n::\text{nat. } (\text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } k) \text{ else } 0)) \leq (\sum n::\text{nat. } \text{real } (a\ n) * (1/2)^\frown(\text{Suc } n))$ 
  proof(rule suminf-le)
  show  $\bigwedge n. (\text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k \text{ else } 0) \leq \text{real } (a\ n) * (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } n$ 
  proof -
  fix n
  show  $(\text{if } n = k \text{ then } (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } k \text{ else } 0) \leq \text{real } (a\ n) * (1 / 2)^\frown \text{Suc } n$ 

```

```

      by(cases n = k; simp add: h1)
    qed
  next
    show summable (λn. if n = k then (1 / 2) ^ Suc k else (0::real))
      using summable-single[of k λn. ((1::real) / 2) ^ Suc k]
      by simp
  next
    show summable (λn. real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n)
      using binary-expression-summable[of a] assms(1)
      by simp
    qed
    finally have (1 / 2) ^ Suc k ≤ (∑ n. real (a n) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n) .
    moreover have 0 < ((1::real) / 2) ^ Suc k by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
  qed

```

```

lemma r01-binary-expression-ex0:
  assumes 0 < r < 1
  shows ∃ i. r01-binary-expansion' r i = 0
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (∃ i. r01-binary-expansion' r i = 0)
  then have ∧ i. r01-binary-expansion' r i = 1
    using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of r] by blast
  hence 1:r01-binary-expression r = (λn. ∑ i=0..n. ((1/2)^(Suc i)))
    by(auto simp: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def)
  have LIMSEQ (r01-binary-expression r) 1
  proof -
    have LIMSEQ (λn. ∑ i=0..n. (((1::real)/2)^(Suc i))) 1
      using power-half-series sums-def'[of λn. ((1::real)/2)^(Suc n) 1]
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using 1 by simp
  qed
  moreover have LIMSEQ (r01-binary-expression r) r
    using r01-binary-expression-converges-to-r[of r] assms
    by simp
  ultimately have r = 1
    using LIMSEQ-unique by auto
  thus False
    using assms by simp
qed

```

```

lemma r01-binary-expression-ex1:
  assumes 0 < r < 1
  shows ∃ i. r01-binary-expansion' r i = 1
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ (∃ i. r01-binary-expansion' r i = 1)
  then have ∧ i. r01-binary-expansion' r i = 0

```

```

    using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of r] by blast
  hence 1:r01-binary-expression r = ( $\lambda n. \sum_{i=0..n.} 0$ )
    by(auto simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def)
  hence LIMSEQ (r01-binary-expression r) 0
    by simp
  moreover have LIMSEQ (r01-binary-expression r) r
    using r01-binary-expression-converges-to-r[of r] assms
    by simp
  ultimately have r = 0
    using LIMSEQ-unique by auto
  thus False
    using assms by simp
qed

```

```

lemma r01-binary-expansion'-gt1:
   $1 \leq r \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r n = 1)$ 
proof auto
  fix n
  assume h:  $1 \leq r$ 
  show r01-binary-expansion' r n = Suc 0
    unfolding r01-binary-expansion'-def
  proof(cases n)
    case 0
    then show fst (r01-binary-expansion'' r n) = Suc 0
      using h by simp
  next
    case 2:(Suc n')
    show fst (r01-binary-expansion'' r n) = Suc 0
    proof(cases r01-binary-expansion'' r n')
      case 3:(fields a ur lr)
      then have (ur + lr) / 2  $\leq 1$ 
        using r01-binary-expansion-lr-ur-nn[of r Suc n']
        by (cases ((ur + lr) / 2)  $\leq r$ ) (auto simp: Let-def)
      thus fst (r01-binary-expansion'' r n) = Suc 0
        using h by(simp add: 2 3 Let-def)
    qed
  qed
next
  assume h: $\forall n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r n = \text{Suc } 0$ 
  show  $1 \leq r$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg 1 \leq r$ 
    then consider  $r \leq 0 \mid 0 < r \wedge r < 1$ 
    by linarith
  then show False
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have r01-binary-expansion' r 0 = 0
      by(simp add: r01-binary-expansion'-def)

```

```

    then show ?thesis
      using h by simp
  next
    case 2
    then have  $\exists i. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i = 0$ 
      using r01-binary-expression-ex0[of r] by simp
    then show ?thesis
      using h by simp
  qed
qed
qed

lemma r01-binary-expansion'-lt0:
   $r \leq 0 \iff (\forall n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r n = 0)$ 
proof auto
  fix n
  assume h:  $r \leq 0$ 
  show  $\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r n = 0$ 
  proof (cases n)
    case 0
    then show ?thesis
      using h by (simp add: r01-binary-expansion'-def)
  next
    case hn: (Suc n')
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding r01-binary-expansion'-def
      proof (cases r01-binary-expansion'' r n')
        case 1: (fields a ur lr)
        then have  $0 < ((ur + lr) / 2)$ 
          using r01-binary-expansion-lr-ur-nn[of r n']
          by simp
        hence  $r < \dots$ 
          using h by linarith
        then show  $\text{fst} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}'' r n) = 0$ 
          by (simp add: 1 hn Let-def)
      qed
    qed
  next
    assume h:  $\forall n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r n = 0$ 
    show  $r \leq 0$ 
    proof (rule ccontr)
      assume  $\neg r \leq 0$ 
      then consider  $0 < r \wedge r < 1 \mid 1 \leq r$  by linarith
      thus False
    proof cases
      case 1
      then have  $\exists i. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i = 1$ 
        using r01-binary-expression-ex1[of r] by simp
      then show ?thesis

```



```

      using h by simp
    next
      case 2
      then show ?thesis
        using r01-binary-expansion'-gt1[of r] h by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

The sequence 111111... does not appear in $r = 0.a_1a_2\dots$

lemma *r01-binary-expression-ex0-strong*:

```

  assumes 0 < r & r < 1
  shows  $\exists i \geq n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i = 0$ 
proof(cases r01-binary-expansion'' r n)
  case 1:(fields a ur lr)
  show ?thesis
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg (\exists i \geq n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i = 0)$ 
  then have  $h: \forall i \geq n. \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i = 1$ 
    using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of r] by blast

```

```

  have  $r = (\sum i=0..n. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i) * ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } i))) +$ 
 $(\sum i::\text{nat}. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r (i + (\text{Suc } n))) * ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (i + (\text{Suc } n))))))$ 

```

```

proof -
  have  $r = (\sum l. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r l) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } l)$ 
    using r01-binary-expression-correct[of r] assms by simp
  also have ... =  $(\sum l. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r (l + \text{Suc } n)) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } (l + \text{Suc } n)) +$ 
 $(\sum i < \text{Suc } n. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i) * (1 / 2)^\wedge \text{Suc } i)$ 

```

```

    apply(rule suminf-split-initial-segment)
    apply(rule binary-expression-summable)
    using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of r] by simp
  also have ... =  $(\sum i=0..n. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i) * ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } i))) +$ 
 $(\sum i::\text{nat}. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r (i + (\text{Suc } n))) * ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (i + (\text{Suc } n))))))$ 

```

```

proof -
  have  $\bigwedge n. \{..<\text{Suc } n\} = \{0..n\}$  by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp

```

```

  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
  also have ... =  $(\sum i=0..n. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i) * ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } i))) +$ 
 $(\sum i::\text{nat}. ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } (i + (\text{Suc } n))))))$ 
    using h by simp
  also have ... =  $(\sum i=0..n. \text{real} (\text{r01-binary-expansion}' r i) * ((1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } i))) +$ 
 $(1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } n)$ 
    using half-sum[of Suc n] by simp
  also have ... =  $lr + (1/2)^\wedge (\text{Suc } n)$ 

```

```

    using 1 r01-binary-expression-eq-lr[of r n]
    by(simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def)
  also have ... = ur
    using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of r n]
    by(simp add: 1)
  finally have r = ur .
  moreover have r < ur
    using r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[of r n] assms 1
    by simp
  ultimately show False
    by simp
qed
qed

```

A binary expression is well-formed when 111... does not appear in the tail of the sequence

definition *biexp01-well-formed* :: (*nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat*) $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**
biexp01-well-formed a $\equiv (\forall n. a\ n \in \{0,1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists m \geq n. a\ m = 0)$

lemma *biexp01-well-formedE*:
assumes *biexp01-well-formed a*
shows $(\forall n. a\ n \in \{0,1\}) \wedge (\forall n. \exists m \geq n. a\ m = 0)$
using *assms* **by**(*simp add: biexp01-well-formed-def*)

lemma *biexp01-well-formedI*:
assumes $\bigwedge n. a\ n \in \{0,1\}$
and $\bigwedge n. \exists m \geq n. a\ m = 0$
shows *biexp01-well-formed a*
using *assms* **by**(*simp add: biexp01-well-formed-def*)

lemma *r01-binary-expansion-well-formed*:
assumes $0 < r < 1$
shows *biexp01-well-formed (r01-binary-expansion' r)*
using *r01-binary-expression-ex0-strong[*of r*]* *assms* *real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[*of r*]*
by(*simp add: biexp01-well-formed-def*)

lemma *biexp01-well-formed-comb*:
assumes *biexp01-well-formed a*
and *biexp01-well-formed b*
shows *biexp01-well-formed* ($\lambda n. \text{if even } n \text{ then } a\ (n \text{ div } 2) \text{ else } b\ ((n-1) \text{ div } 2)$)

proof(*rule biexp01-well-formedI*)
show $\bigwedge n. (\text{if even } n \text{ then } a\ (n \text{ div } 2) \text{ else } b\ ((n-1) \text{ div } 2)) \in \{0, 1\}$
using *assms* *biexp01-well-formedE* **by** *simp*
next
fix *n*
obtain *m* **where** $1:m \geq n \wedge a\ m = 0$
using *assms* *biexp01-well-formedE* **by** *blast*

then have $a ((2*m) \text{ div } 2) = 0$ by *simp*
 hence (if even $(2*m)$ then $a (2*m \text{ div } 2)$ else $b ((2*m - 1) \text{ div } 2) = 0$
 by *simp*
 moreover have $2*m \geq n$ using 1 by *simp*
 ultimately show $\exists m \geq n. (\text{if even } m \text{ then } a (m \text{ div } 2) \text{ else } b ((m - 1) \text{ div } 2)) = 0$
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *nat-complete-induction*:
 assumes $P (0 :: nat)$
 and $\bigwedge n. (\bigwedge m. m \leq n \implies P m) \implies P (Suc n)$
 shows $P n$
proof(*cases n*)
 case 0
 then show ?thesis
 using *assms(1)* by *simp*
next
 case $h:(Suc n')$
 have $P (Suc n')$
proof(*rule assms(2)*)
 show $\bigwedge m. m \leq n' \implies P m$
proof(*induction n'*)
 case 0
 then show ?case
 using *assms(1)* by *simp*
next
 case $(Suc n'')$
 then show ?case
 by (*metis assms(2) le-SucE*)
 qed
 qed
 thus ?thesis
 using *h* by *simp*
 qed

$$(\sum m. \text{real } (a m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge Suc m) n = a n.$$

lemma *biexp01-well-formed-an*:
 assumes *biexp01-well-formed a*
 shows *r01-binary-expansion'* $(\sum m. \text{real } (a m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge Suc m) n = a n$
proof(*rule nat-complete-induction[of - n]*)
 show *r01-binary-expansion'* $(\sum m. \text{real } (a m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge Suc m) 0 = a 0$
proof (*auto simp add: r01-binary-expansion'-def*)
 assume $h: 1 \leq (\sum m. \text{real } (a m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge m / 2) * 2$
 show $Suc 0 = a 0$
proof(*rule ccontr*)
 assume $Suc 0 \neq a 0$

```

    then have a 0 = 0
      using assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a] by auto
    hence  $(\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ (\text{Suc } m)) = (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (\text{Suc } m)) * (1 / 2) ^ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m)))$ 
      using suminf-split-head[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ (\text{Suc } m)$ ] binary-expression-summable[of a] assms biexp01-well-formedE
    by simp
    also have ... < 1/2
      using ai-exists0-less-than-sum[of a 1] assms biexp01-well-formedE[of a]
      by auto
    finally have  $(\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ m / 2) < 1/2$ 
      by simp
    thus False
      using h by simp
  qed
next
  assume h:  $\neg 1 \leq (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ m / 2) * 2$ 
  show a 0 = 0
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume a 0  $\neq$  0
    then have a 0 = 1
      using assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a]
      by (meson insertE singletonD)
    hence  $1/2 \leq (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ (\text{Suc } m))$ 
      using ai-1-gt[of a 0] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a]
      by auto
    thus False
      using h by simp
  qed
qed
next
  fix n :: nat
  assume ih:  $(\bigwedge m. m \leq n \implies \text{r01-binary-expansion}' (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } m) \ m = a \ m)$ 
  show  $\text{r01-binary-expansion}' (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } m) (\text{Suc } n) = a \ (\text{Suc } n)$ 
  proof(cases  $\text{r01-binary-expansion}'' (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } m) \ n$ )
    case h:(fields bn ur lr)
    then have hlr:  $lr = (\sum k=0..n. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } k)$ 
      using r01-binary-expression-eq-lr[of  $\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } m \ n$ ] ih
      by (simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def)
    have hlr2:  $(ur + lr) / 2 = lr + (1/2) ^ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
    proof -
      have  $(ur + lr) / 2 = lr + (1/2) ^ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
        using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of  $\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } m \ n$ ]
      h by simp
    show ?thesis
      by (simp add:  $\langle (ur + lr) / 2 = lr + (1 / 2) ^ \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rangle$  of-rat-add of-rat-divide of-rat-power)
  qed

```

```

qed
show ?thesis
  using h
proof(auto simp add: r01-binary-expansion'-def Let-def)
  assume h1:  $(ur + lr) \leq (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ m / 2) * 2$ 
  show  $Suc \ 0 = a \ (Suc \ n)$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $Suc \ 0 \neq a \ (Suc \ n)$ 
    then have  $a \ (Suc \ n) = 0$ 
      using assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a] by auto
    have  $(\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ m / 2) < (\sum k=0..n. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ k) + (1/2) ^ Suc \ (Suc \ n)$ 
      proof -
        have  $(\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ (Suc \ m)) = (\sum k=0..n. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ k) + (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (m+Suc \ n)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ (m + Suc \ n))$ 
          proof -
            have  $\{0..n\} = \{..<Suc \ n\}$  by auto
            thus ?thesis
              using suminf-split-initial-segment[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ (Suc \ m)$   $Suc \ n$ ] binary-expression-summable[of a] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a]
              by simp
          qed
        also have  $\dots = (\sum k=0..n. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ k) + (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (Suc \ m + Suc \ n)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ (Suc \ m + Suc \ n))$ 
          using suminf-split-head[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ (m + Suc \ n)) * (1 / 2) ^ (Suc \ (m + Suc \ n))$ ] binary-expression-summable[of a] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a]
          Series.summable-iff-shift[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ (Suc \ m)$   $Suc \ n$ ]  $\langle a \ (Suc \ n) = 0 \rangle$ 
          by simp
        also have  $\dots = (\sum k=0..n. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ k) + (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (m + Suc \ (Suc \ n))) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ (m + Suc \ (Suc \ n)))$ 
          by simp
        also have  $\dots < (\sum k=0..n. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc \ k) + (1/2) ^ Suc \ (Suc \ n)$ 
          using ai-exists0-less-than-sum[of a  $Suc \ (Suc \ n)$ ] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of a]
          by auto
      qed
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
thus False
  using h1 hlr2 hlr by simp
qed
next
  assume h2:  $\neg ur + lr \leq (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ m / 2) * 2$ 
  show  $a \ (Suc \ n) = 0$ 
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $a \ (Suc \ n) \neq 0$ 
    then have  $a \ (Suc \ n) = 1$ 
      using biexp01-well-formedE[OF assms(1)]

```

```

    by (meson insertE singletonD)
    have  $(\sum_{k=0..n}. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } k) + (1/2) ^ \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \leq$ 
 $(\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge m / 2)$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\sum m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge (\text{Suc } m)) = (\sum_{k=0..n}. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } k) + (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (m+\text{Suc } n)) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } (m + \text{Suc } n))$ 
  proof -
    have  $\{0..n\} = \{..<\text{Suc } n\}$  by auto
    thus ?thesis
      using suminf-split-initial-segment[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge (\text{Suc } m)$   $\text{Suc } n$ ] binary-expression-summable[of  $a$ ] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of  $a$ ]
      by simp
    qed
    also have ... =  $(\sum_{k=0..n}. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } k) + (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (\text{Suc } m + \text{Suc } n)) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } m + \text{Suc } n)) + (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
      using suminf-split-head[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ (m + \text{Suc } n)) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge (\text{Suc } (m + \text{Suc } n))$ ] binary-expression-summable[of  $a$ ] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of  $a$ ]
      Series.summable-iff-shift[of  $\lambda m. \text{real } (a \ m) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge (\text{Suc } m)$   $\text{Suc } n$ ]  $\langle a \ (\text{Suc } n) = 1 \rangle$ 
    by simp
    also have ... =  $(\sum_{k=0..n}. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } k) + (\sum m. \text{real } (a \ (m + \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } (m + (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)))) + (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
    by simp
    also have ...  $\geq (\sum_{k=0..n}. \text{real } (a \ k) * (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } k) + (1 / 2) ^ \wedge \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
    using binary-expression-gteq0[of  $a \ \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)$ ] assms(1) biexp01-well-formedE[of  $a$ ] by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  thus False
    using h2 hlr2 hlr by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma *f01-borel-measurable*:

```

  assumes  $f - \{0::\text{real}\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
     $f - \{1\} \in \text{sets borel}$ 
    and  $\bigwedge r::\text{real}. f \ r \in \{0,1\}$ 
  shows  $f \in \text{borel-measurable real-borel}$ 
proof(rule measurableI)
  fix  $U :: \text{real set}$ 
  assume  $U \in \text{sets borel}$ 
  consider  $1 \in U \wedge 0 \in U \mid 1 \in U \wedge 0 \notin U \mid 1 \notin U \wedge 0 \in U \mid 1 \notin U \wedge 0 \notin U$ 
  by auto
  then show  $f - U \cap \text{space real-borel} \in \text{sets borel}$ 

```

```

proof cases
  case 1
  then have  $f - ' U = UNIV$ 
    using assms(3) by auto
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case 2
  then have  $f - ' U = f - ' \{1\}$ 
    using assms(3) by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    using assms(2) by simp
next
  case 3
  then have  $f - ' U = f - ' \{0\}$ 
    using assms(3) by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    using assms(1) by simp
next
  case 4
  then have  $f - ' U = \{\}$ 
    using assms(3) by (metis all-not-in-conv insert-iff vimage-eq)
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed simp

```

lemma *r01-binary-expansion'-measurable*:

$(\lambda r. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r n)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel} :: \text{real measure})$

proof –

have $(\lambda r. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r n)) - '\{0\} \in \text{sets borel} \wedge (\lambda r. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r n)) - '\{1\} \in \text{sets borel}$

proof –

let $?A = \{..0::\text{real}\} \cup (\bigcup_{i \in \{l::\text{nat}. l < 2^{\sim}(Suc\ n) \wedge \text{even } l\}} . \{i/2^{\sim}(Suc\ n)..<(Suc\ i)/2^{\sim}(Suc\ n)\})$

let $?B = \{1::\text{real}..\} \cup (\bigcup_{i \in \{l::\text{nat}. l < 2^{\sim}(Suc\ n) \wedge \text{odd } l\}} . \{i/2^{\sim}(Suc\ n)..<(Suc\ i)/2^{\sim}(Suc\ n)\})$

have $?A \in \text{sets borel}$ **by** *simp*

have $?B \in \text{sets borel}$ **by** *simp*

have $hE: ?A \cap ?B = \{\}$

proof *auto*

fix $r :: \text{real}$

fix $l :: \text{nat}$

assume $h: r \leq 0$

odd l

$\text{real } l / (2 * 2^{\sim} n) \leq r$

then have $0 < l$ **by** (*cases l; auto*)

hence $0 < \text{real } l / (2 * 2^{\sim} n)$ **by** *simp*

thus *False*

using h **by** *simp*

```

next
  fix r :: real
  fix l :: nat
  assume h: l < 2 * 2 ^ n
    even l
    1 ≤ r
    r < (1 + real l) / (2 * 2 ^ n)
  then have 1 + real l ≤ 2 * 2 ^ n
    by (simp add: nat-less-real-le)
  moreover have 1 + real l ≠ 2 * 2 ^ n
    using h by auto
  ultimately have 1 + real l < 2 * 2 ^ n by simp
  hence (1 + real l) / (2 * 2 ^ n) < 1 by simp
  thus False using h by linarith
next
  fix r :: real
  fix l1 l2 :: nat
  assume h: even l1 odd l2
    real l1 / (2 * 2 ^ n) ≤ r r < (1 + real l1) / (2 * 2 ^ n)
    real l2 / (2 * 2 ^ n) ≤ r r < (1 + real l2) / (2 * 2 ^ n)
  then consider l1 < l2 | l2 < l1 by fastforce
  thus False
proof cases
  case 1
  then have (1 + real l1) / (2 * 2 ^ n) ≤ real l2 / (2 * 2 ^ n)
    by (simp add: frac-le)
  then show ?thesis
    using h by simp
next
  case 2
  then have (1 + real l2) / (2 * 2 ^ n) ≤ real l1 / (2 * 2 ^ n)
    by (simp add: frac-le)
  then show ?thesis
    using h by simp
qed
have hU: ?A ∪ ?B = UNIV
proof
  show ?A ∪ ?B ⊆ UNIV by simp
next
  show UNIV ⊆ ?A ∪ ?B
proof
  fix r :: real
  consider r ≤ 0 | 0 < r ∧ r < 1 | 1 ≤ r by linarith
  then show r ∈ ?A ∪ ?B
proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis by simp
next

```



```

case 2
show ?thesis
proof(cases r01-binary-expansion'' r n)
  case hc:(fields a ur lr)
  then have hlu:lr ≤ r ∧ r < ur
    using 2 r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[of r n] by simp
  obtain k :: nat where hk:
    lr = real k / 2 ^ Suc n ∧ k < 2 ^ Suc n
    using r01-binary-expression'-sum-range[of r n] hc
    by auto
  hence ur = real (Suc k) / 2 ^ Suc n
    using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of r n] hc
    by (simp add: add-divide-distrib power-one-over)
  thus ?thesis
    using hlu hk by auto
qed
next
case 3
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
qed
have hi1: - ?A = ?B
proof -
  have ?B ⊆ - ?A
    using hE by blast
  moreover have -?A ⊆ ?B
proof -
    have -(?A ∪ ?B) = {}
      using hU by simp
    hence (-?A) ∩ (-?B) = {} by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by blast
  qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
have hi2: ?A = -?B
  using hi1 by blast

let ?U0 = (λr. real (r01-binary-expansion' r n)) - {0}
let ?U1 = (λr. real (r01-binary-expansion' r n)) - {1}

have hU': ?U0 ∪ ?U1 = UNIV
proof -
  have ?U0 ∪ ?U1 = (λr. real (r01-binary-expansion' r n)) - {0,1}
    by auto
  thus ?thesis
    using real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of - n] by auto

```

```

qed
have hE': ?U0  $\cap$  ?U1 = {}
  by auto

have hiu1:- ?U0 = ?U1
  using hE' hU' by fastforce

have hiu2:- ?U1 = ?U0
  using hE' hU' by fastforce

have ?U0  $\subseteq$  ?A
proof
  fix r
  assume r  $\in$  ?U0
  then have h1:r01-binary-expansion' r n = 0
    by simp
  then consider r  $\leq$  0 | 0 < r  $\wedge$  r < 1
    using r01-binary-expansion'-gt1[of r] by fastforce
  thus r  $\in$  ?A
proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case 2
  then have 3:(snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n)))  $\leq$  r  $\wedge$ 
    r < (fst (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n)))
    using r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[of r n] by simp
  obtain k where 4:
    (snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) =
      real k / 2  $^{\wedge}$  Suc n  $\wedge$ 
      k < 2  $^{\wedge}$  Suc n  $\wedge$  even k
    using r01-binary-expansion'-sum-range[of r n] h1
    by auto
  have (fst (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) = real (Suc k) / 2  $^{\wedge}$  Suc n
proof -
  have (fst (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) = (snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion''
r n))) + (1/2)  $^{\wedge}$  Suc n
    using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of r n] by linarith
  thus ?thesis
    using 4
    by (simp add: add-divide-distrib power-one-over)
qed
thus ?thesis
  using 3 4 by auto
qed
qed

have ?U1  $\subseteq$  ?B
proof

```

```

fix r
assume r ∈ ?U1
then have h1:r01-binary-expansion' r n = 1
  by simp
then consider 1 ≤ r | 0 < r ∧ r < 1
  using r01-binary-expansion'-lt0[of r] by fastforce
thus r ∈ ?B
proof cases
  case 1
  then show ?thesis by simp
next
  case 2
  then have 3:(snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) ≤ r ∧
    r < (fst (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n)))
    using r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[of r n] by simp
  obtain k where 4:
    (snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) =
      real k / 2 ^ Suc n ∧
      k < 2 ^ Suc n ∧ odd k
    using StandardBorel.r01-binary-expression'-sum-range[of r n] h1
    by auto
  have (fst (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) = real (Suc k) / 2 ^ Suc n
  proof -
    have (fst (snd (r01-binary-expansion'' r n))) = (snd (snd (r01-binary-expansion''
r n))) + (1/2) ^ Suc n
      using r01-binary-expansion-diff[of r n] by simp
    thus ?thesis
      using 4
      by (simp add: add-divide-distrib power-one-over)
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using 3 4 by auto
qed
qed

have ?U0 = ?A
proof
  show ?U0 ⊆ ?A by fact
next
  show ?A ⊆ ?U0
    using ⟨?U1 ⊆ ?B⟩ Compl-subset-Compl-iff[of ?U0 ?A] hi1 hiu1
    by blast
qed

have ?U1 = ?B
  using ⟨?U0 = ?A⟩ hi1 hiu1 by auto
show ?thesis
  using ⟨?U0 = ?A⟩ ⟨?U1 = ?B⟩ ⟨?A ∈ sets borel⟩ ⟨?B ∈ sets borel⟩
  by simp

```

qed
thus *?thesis*
using *f01-borel-measurable*[*of* ($\lambda r. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r n)$)] *real01-binary-expansion'-0or1*[*of*
- *n*]
by *simp*
qed

definition *r01-to-r01-r01-fst'* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
r01-to-r01-r01-fst' *r n* $\equiv$ *r01-binary-expansion'* *r* ($2 * n$)

lemma *r01-to-r01-r01-fst'in01*:
 $\bigwedge n. \text{r01-to-r01-r01-fst}' r n \in \{0, 1\}$
using *real01-binary-expansion'-0or1* **by** (*simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-fst'-def*)

definition *r01-to-r01-r01-fst-sum* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
r01-to-r01-r01-fst-sum $\equiv$ *r01-binary-sum* $\circ$ *r01-to-r01-r01-fst'*

definition *r01-to-r01-r01-fst* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
r01-to-r01-r01-fst $= \text{lim} \circ \text{r01-to-r01-r01-fst-sum}$

lemma *r01-to-r01-r01-fst-def'*:
 $\text{r01-to-r01-r01-fst } r = (\sum n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r (2 * n)) * (1/2)^{\frown(n+1)})$
proof –
have *r01-to-r01-r01-fst-sum* *r* $= (\lambda n. \sum i=0..n. \text{real } (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r (2 * i)) * (1/2)^{\frown(i+1)})$
by (*auto simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-fst-sum-def r01-binary-sum-def r01-to-r01-r01-fst'-def*)
thus *?thesis*
using *lim-sum-ai real01-binary-expansion'-0or1*
by (*simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-fst-def*)
qed

lemma *r01-to-r01-r01-fst-measurable*:
r01-to-r01-r01-fst $\in$ *borel-measurable borel*
unfolding *r01-to-r01-r01-fst-def'*
using *r01-binary-expansion'-measurable* **by** *auto*

definition *r01-to-r01-r01-snd'* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* **where**
r01-to-r01-r01-snd' *r n* $= \text{r01-binary-expansion}' r (2 * n + 1)$

lemma *r01-to-r01-r01-snd'in01*:
 $\bigwedge n. \text{r01-to-r01-r01-snd}' r n \in \{0, 1\}$
using *real01-binary-expansion'-0or1* **by** (*simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-snd'-def*)

definition *r01-to-r01-r01-snd-sum* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-sum} \equiv r01\text{-binary-sum} \circ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd}'$

definition $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd} :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd} = \text{lim} \circ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-sum}$

lemma $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-def}'$:

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd} \ r = (\sum n. \text{real} \ (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ (2*n + 1)) * (1/2)^{\wedge(n+1)})$

proof –

have $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-sum} \ r = (\lambda n. \sum i=0..n. \text{real} \ (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ (2*i + 1)) * (1/2)^{\wedge(i+1)})$

by (*auto simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-snd-sum-def r01-binary-sum-def r01-to-r01-r01-snd'-def*)

thus *?thesis*

using *lim-sum-ai real01-binary-expansion'-0or1*

by (*simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-snd-def*)

qed

lemma $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-measurable}$:

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd} \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$

unfolding $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-def}'$

using $r01\text{-binary-expansion}'\text{-measurable}$ **by** *auto*

definition $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real}$ **where**

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 \ r = (r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-fst} \ r, r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd} \ r)$

lemma $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-image}$:

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 \ r \in \{0..1\} \times \{0..1\}$

using $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-fst-def}'[of \ r] \ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-def}'[of \ r] \ \text{real01-binary-expansion}'\text{-0or1}$
 $\text{binary-expression-gteq0}[of \ \lambda n. \ r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ (2*n) \ 0] \ \text{binary-expression-leeq1}[of \ \lambda n. \ r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ (2*n) \ 0] \ \text{binary-expression-gteq0}[of \ \lambda n. \ r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ (2*n+1) \ 0] \ \text{binary-expression-leeq1}[of \ \lambda n. \ r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ r \ (2*n+1) \ 0]$

by (*simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-def*)

lemma $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-measurable}$:

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}$

unfolding $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-def}$

using $\text{borel-measurable-Pair}[of \ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-fst} \ \text{borel} \ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd}] \ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-fst-measurable} \ r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd-measurable}$

by (*simp add: borel-prod*)

lemma $r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-3over4}$:

$r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 \ (3/4) = (1/2, 1/2)$

proof –

have $h0:r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ (3/4) \ 0 = 1$

by (*simp add: r01-binary-expansion'-def*)

have $h1:r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ (3/4) \ 1 = 1$

by (*simp add: r01-binary-expansion'-def Let-def of-rat-divide*)

have $hn:\bigwedge n. \ n > 1 \implies r01\text{-binary-expansion}' \ (3/4) \ n = 0$

proof –

```

fix n :: nat
assume h:1 < n
show r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) n = 0
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) n ≠ 0
  have 3/4 < (∑ i=0..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i))
i))
  proof -
    have (∑ i=0..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i))
= real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) 0) * (1/2)^(Suc 0) + (∑ i=(Suc 0)..n. real
(r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i))
    by(rule sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost) (simp add: h)
    also have ... = real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) 0) * (1/2)^(Suc 0) +
(real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) 1) * (1/2)^(Suc 1) + (∑ i=(Suc (Suc 0))..n.
real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i)))
    using sum.atLeast-Suc-atMost[OF order.strict-implies-order[OF h],of λi.
real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i)]
    by simp
    also have ... = 3/4 + (∑ i=2..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) *
(1/2)^(Suc i))
    using h0 h1 by(simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)
    also have ... > 3/4
  proof -
    have (∑ i=2..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i))
i)) = (∑ i=2..n-1. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i)) + real
(r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) n) * (1/2)^(Suc n)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) h One-nat-def Suc-pred less-2-cases-iff
less-imp-add-positive order-less-irrefl plus-1-eq-Suc sum.cl-ivl-Suc zero-less-Suc)
    hence real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) n) * (1/2)^(Suc n) ≤ (∑ i=2..n.
real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i))
    using ordered-comm-monoid-add-class.sum-nonneg[of {2..n-1} λi. real
(r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i)]
    by simp
    moreover have 0 < real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) n) * (1/2)^(Suc n)
    using ⟨r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) n ≠ 0⟩ by simp
    ultimately have 0 < (∑ i=2..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) *
(1/2)^(Suc i))
    by simp
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  finally show 3 / 4 < (∑ i = 0..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) i)
* (1 / 2) ^ Suc i) .
  qed
  moreover have (∑ i=0..n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3/4) i) * (1/2)^(Suc i))
i)) ≤ 3/4
  using r01-binary-expansion-lr-r-ur[of 3/4 n] r01-binary-expression-eq-lr[of
3/4 n]
  by(simp add: r01-binary-expression-def r01-binary-sum-def)
  ultimately show False by simp

```

```

    qed
  qed
  show ?thesis
  proof
    have fst (r01-to-r01-r01 (3 / 4)) = (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4)
    (2 * n)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n)
    by (simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-def r01-to-r01-r01-fst-def)
    also have ... = 1/2 + (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * Suc n))
    * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (Suc n))
    using suminf-split-head[of λn. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * n)) *
    (1 / 2) ^ Suc n] binary-expression-summable[of λn. r01-binary-expansion' (3/4)
    (2*n)] real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of 3/4] h0
    by simp
    also have ... = 1/2
  proof -
    have ∀ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * Suc n)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc
    (Suc n) = 0
    using hn by simp
    hence (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * Suc n)) * (1 / 2) ^
    Suc (Suc n)) = 0
    by simp
    thus ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
  finally show fst (r01-to-r01-r01 (3 / 4)) = fst (1 / 2, 1 / 2)
  by simp
next
  have snd (r01-to-r01-r01 (3 / 4)) = (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 /
  4) (2 * n + 1)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n)
  by (simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-def r01-to-r01-r01-snd-def)
  also have ... = 1/2 + (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * Suc n
  + 1)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc (Suc n))
  using suminf-split-head[of λn. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * n +
  1)) * (1 / 2) ^ Suc n] binary-expression-summable[of λn. r01-binary-expansion'
  (3/4) (2*n + 1)] real01-binary-expansion'-0or1[of 3/4] h1
  by simp
  also have ... = 1/2
  proof -
    have ∀ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * Suc n + 1)) * (1 / 2) ^
    Suc (Suc n) = 0
    using hn by simp
    hence (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (3 / 4) (2 * Suc n + 1)) * (1 / 2)
    ^ Suc (Suc n)) = 0
    by simp
    thus ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
  finally show snd (r01-to-r01-r01 (3 / 4)) = snd (1 / 2, 1 / 2)
  by simp

```

qed
qed

definition $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' :: \text{real} \times \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' \text{ rs} \equiv (\lambda n. \text{if even } n \text{ then } r01\text{-binary-expansion}' (\text{fst rs}) (n \text{ div } 2) \\ \text{else } r01\text{-binary-expansion}' (\text{snd rs}) ((n-1) \text{ div } 2))$

lemma $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01'\text{in}01$:
 $\bigwedge n. r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' \text{ rs } n \in \{0,1\}$
using $real01\text{-binary-expansion}'\text{-}0\text{or}1$ **by** ($\text{simp add: } r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01'\text{-def}$)

lemma $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01'\text{-well-formed}$:
assumes $0 < r1 \text{ } r1 < 1$
and $0 < r2 \text{ } r2 < 1$
shows $biexp01\text{-well-formed} (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' (r1, r2))$
using $biexp01\text{-well-formed-comb}[of \text{ } r01\text{-binary-expansion}' (\text{fst } (r1, r2)) \text{ } r01\text{-binary-expansion}'$
 $(\text{snd } (r1, r2))]$ $r01\text{-binary-expansion-well-formed}[of \text{ } r1]$ $r01\text{-binary-expansion-well-formed}[of$
 $r2]$ $assms$
by ($\text{auto simp add: } r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01'\text{-def}$)

definition $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-sum} :: \text{real} \times \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-sum} \equiv r01\text{-binary-sum} \circ r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01'$

definition $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 :: \text{real} \times \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 \equiv \text{lim} \circ r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-sum}$

lemma $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-def}'$:
 $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 (r1, r2) = (\sum n. \text{real } (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' (r1, r2) \text{ } n) * (1/2)^{\frown(n+1)})$
proof –
have $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-sum} (r1, r2) = (\lambda n. (\sum i = 0..n. \text{real } (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' (r1, r2) \text{ } i) * (1/2)^{\frown \text{Suc } i}))$
by($\text{auto simp add: } r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-sum-def } r01\text{-binary-sum-def}$)
thus $?thesis$
using $\text{lim-sum-ai}[of \text{ } \lambda n. r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' (r1, r2) \text{ } n]$ $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01'\text{in}01$
by($\text{simp add: } r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-def}$)
qed

lemma $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-measurable}$:
 $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$
proof –
have $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 = (\lambda x. \sum n. \text{real } (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' x \text{ } n) * (1/2)^{\frown(n+1)})$
using $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-def}'$ **by** auto
also have $\dots \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$
proof($\text{rule borel-measurable-suminf}$)
fix $n :: \text{nat}$
have $(\lambda x. \text{real } (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' x \text{ } n) * (1/2)^{\frown(n+1)}) = (\lambda r. r * (1/2)^{\frown(n+1)}) \circ (\lambda x. \text{real } (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01' x \text{ } n))$


```

    by auto
  also have ... ∈ borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$  borel)
  proof(rule measurable-comp[of - - borel])
    have (λx. real (r01-r01-to-r01' x n))
      = (λx. if even n then real (r01-binary-expansion' (fst x) (n div 2)) else
        real (r01-binary-expansion' (snd x) ((n - 1) div 2)))
    by (auto simp add: r01-r01-to-r01'-def)
    also have ... ∈ borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$  borel)
    using r01-binary-expansion'-measurable by simp
    finally show (λx. real (r01-r01-to-r01' x n)) ∈ borel-measurable (borel  $\otimes_M$ 
    borel) .
  next
    show (λr::real. r * (1 / 2) ^ (n + 1)) ∈ borel-measurable borel
    by simp
  qed
  finally show (λx. real (r01-r01-to-r01' x n) * (1 / 2) ^ (n + 1)) ∈ borel-measurable
  (borel  $\otimes_M$  borel) .
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma r01-r01-to-r01-image:
  assumes 0 < r1 r1 < 1
  shows r01-r01-to-r01 (r1, r2) ∈ {0 < .. < 1}
  proof -
    obtain i where r01-binary-expansion' r1 i = 1
    using r01-binary-expression-ex1[of r1] assms(1,2)
    by auto
    hence hi:r01-r01-to-r01' (r1, r2) (2*i) = 1
    by(simp add: r01-r01-to-r01'-def)
    obtain j where r01-binary-expansion' r1 j = 0
    using r01-binary-expression-ex0[of r1] assms(1,2)
    by auto
    hence hj:r01-r01-to-r01' (r1, r2) (2*j) = 0
    by(simp add: r01-r01-to-r01'-def)
    show ?thesis
    using ai-exists1-gt0[of r01-r01-to-r01' (r1, r2)] ai-exists0-less-than1[of r01-r01-to-r01'
    (r1, r2)] r01-r01-to-r01'in01[of (r1, r2)] r01-r01-to-r01-def[of r1 r2] hi hj
    by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma r01-r01-to-r01-image':
  assumes 0 < r2 r2 < 1
  shows r01-r01-to-r01 (r1, r2) ∈ {0 < .. < 1}
  proof -
    obtain i where r01-binary-expansion' r2 i = 1
    using r01-binary-expression-ex1[of r2] assms(1,2)
    by auto
    hence hi:r01-r01-to-r01' (r1, r2) (2*i + 1) = 1

```

by(simp add: r01-r01-to-r01'-def)
 obtain j where r01-binary-expansion' r2 j = 0
 using r01-binary-expression-ex0[of r2] assms(1,2)
 by auto
 hence hj:r01-r01-to-r01' (r1,r2) (2*j + 1) = 0
 by(simp add: r01-r01-to-r01'-def)
 show ?thesis
 using ai-exists1-gt0[of r01-r01-to-r01' (r1,r2)] ai-exists0-less-than1[of r01-r01-to-r01' (r1,r2)] r01-r01-to-r01'-in01[of (r1,r2)] r01-r01-to-r01-def'[of r1 r2] hi hj
 by auto
 qed

lemma r01-r01-to-r01-binary-nth:
 assumes 0 < r1 r1 < 1
 and 0 < r2 r2 < 1
 shows r01-binary-expansion' r1 n = r01-binary-expansion' (r01-r01-to-r01 (r1,r2)) (2*n) ∧
 r01-binary-expansion' r2 n = r01-binary-expansion' (r01-r01-to-r01 (r1,r2)) (2*n + 1)
proof –
 have ∧n. r01-binary-expansion' (r01-r01-to-r01 (r1,r2)) n = r01-r01-to-r01' (r1,r2) n
 using r01-r01-to-r01-def'[of r1 r2] biexp01-well-formed-an[of r01-r01-to-r01' (r1,r2)] r01-r01-to-r01'-well-formed[of r1 r2] assms
 by simp
 thus ?thesis
 by(simp add: r01-r01-to-r01'-def)
 qed

lemma r01-r01--r01--r01-id:
 assumes 0 < r1 r1 < 1
 0 < r2 r2 < 1
 shows (r01-to-r01-r01 ∘ r01-r01-to-r01) (r1,r2) = (r1,r2)
proof
 show fst ((r01-to-r01-r01 ∘ r01-r01-to-r01) (r1, r2)) = fst (r1, r2)
proof –
 have fst ((r01-to-r01-r01 ∘ r01-r01-to-r01) (r1, r2)) = r01-to-r01-r01-fst (r01-r01-to-r01 (r1,r2))
 by(simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-def)
 also have ... = (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' (r01-r01-to-r01 (r1, r2)) (2 * n)) * (1 / 2) ^ (n + 1))
 using r01-to-r01-r01-fst-def'[of r01-r01-to-r01 (r1,r2)] by simp
 also have ... = (∑ n. real (r01-binary-expansion' r1 n) * (1 / 2) ^ (n + 1))
 using r01-r01-to-r01-binary-nth[of r1 r2] assms by simp
 also have ... = r1
 using r01-binary-expression-correct[of r1] assms(1,2)
 by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp

```

qed
next
  show  $\text{snd} ((r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 \circ r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01) (r1, r2)) = \text{snd} (r1, r2)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{snd} ((r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01 \circ r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01) (r1, r2)) = r01\text{-to-}r01\text{-}r01\text{-snd}$ 
       $(r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 (r1, r2))$ 
    by(simp add: r01-to-r01-r01-def)
    also have  $\dots = (\sum n. \text{real} (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' (r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 (r1, r2)) (2$ 
       $* n + 1)) * (1 / 2) ^ (n + 1))$ 
    using r01-to-r01-r01-snd-def'[of r01-r01-to-r01 (r1, r2)] by simp
    also have  $\dots = (\sum n. \text{real} (r01\text{-binary-expansion}' r2 n) * (1 / 2) ^ (n + 1))$ 
    using r01-r01-to-r01-binary-nth[of r1 r2] assms by simp
    also have  $\dots = r2$ 
    using r01-binary-expression-correct[of r2] assms(3,4)
    by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed

```

We first show that $M \otimes_M N$ is a standard Borel space for standard Borel spaces M and N .

lemma *pair-measurable*[*measurable*]:

```

  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_M Y$ 
    and  $g \in X' \rightarrow_M Y'$ 
  shows  $\text{map-prod } f \, g \in X \otimes_M X' \rightarrow_M Y \otimes_M Y'$ 
  using assms by(auto simp add: measurable-pair-iff)

```

lemma *pair-standard-borel-standard*:

```

  assumes standard-borel  $M$ 
    and standard-borel  $N$ 
  shows standard-borel  $(M \otimes_M N)$ 
  proof -
    — First, define the measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
    define  $rr\text{-to-}r :: \text{real} \times \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
    where  $rr\text{-to-}r \equiv \text{real-to-01open-inverse} \circ r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 \circ (\lambda(x,y). (\text{real-to-01open}$ 
       $x, \text{real-to-01open } y))$ 
    —  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0, 1) \times (0, 1) \rightarrow (0, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .
    have 1[measurable]:  $rr\text{-to-}r \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
    proof -
      have  $(\lambda(x,y). (\text{real-to-01open } x, \text{real-to-01open } y)) \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}$ 
         $\rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}$ 
      using borel-measurable-continuous-onI[OF real-to-01open-continuous]
      by simp
      from measurable-restrict-space2[OF - this, of  $\{0 <..< 1\} \times \{0 <..< 1\}$ ]
      have [measurable]:  $(\lambda(x,y). (\text{real-to-01open } x, \text{real-to-01open } y)) \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M$ 
         $\text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space} (\text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}) (\{0 <..< 1\} \times \{0 <..< 1\})$ 
      by(simp add: split-beta' real-to-01open-01)
      have [measurable]:  $r01\text{-}r01\text{-to-}r01 \in \text{restrict-space} (\text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel})$ 
         $(\{0 <..< 1\} \times \{0 <..< 1\}) \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space } \text{real-borel } \{0 <..< 1\}$ 

```

```

    using r01-r01-to-r01-image' by(auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space3[OF
r01-r01-to-r01-measurable])
    thus ?thesis
    using borel-measurable-continuous-on-restrict[OF real-to-01open-inverse-continuous]
    by(simp add: rr-to-r-def)
qed
— Next, define the measurable function  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .
define r-to-01 :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real
  where r-to-01  $\equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in \text{real-to-01open} - ' (r01\text{-to-r01-r01} - ' (\{0 <..<1\} \times \{0 <..<1\}))$ 
then real-to-01open r else 3/4)
define r01-to-r01-r01' :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\times$  real
  where r01-to-r01-r01'  $\equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in r01\text{-to-r01-r01} - ' (\{0 <..<1\} \times \{0 <..<1\})$ 
then r01-to-r01-r01 r else (1/2, 1/2))
define r-to-rr :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\times$  real
  where r-to-rr  $\equiv (\lambda(x,y). (\text{real-to-01open-inverse } x, \text{real-to-01open-inverse } y))$ 
o r01-to-r01-r01' o r-to-01
—  $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow (0,1) \rightarrow (0,1) \times (0,1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .
have 2[measurable]: r-to-rr  $\in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}$ 
proof -
  have 1:  $\{0 <..<1\} \times \{0 <..<1\} \in \text{sets } (\text{restrict-space } (\text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel})$ 
 $(\{0..1\} \times \{0..1\}))$ 
  by(auto simp: sets-restrict-space-iff)
  have 2[measurable]: real-to-01open  $\in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space real-borel}$ 
 $\{0 <..<1\}$ 
  using measurable-restrict-space2[OF - borel-measurable-continuous-onI[OF
real-to-01open-continuous], of  $\{0 <..<1\}$ ]
  by(simp add: real-to-01open-01)
  have 3: real-to-01open - ' space (restrict-space real-borel  $\{0 <..<1\}$ ) = UNIV
  using real-to-01open-01 by auto
  have r01-to-r01-r01  $\in \text{restrict-space real-borel } \{0 <..<1\} \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space}$ 
 $(\text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}) (\{0..1\} \times \{0..1\})$ 
  using r01-to-r01-r01-image measurable-restrict-space3[OF r01-to-r01-r01-measurable]
by simp
note 4 = measurable-sets[OF this 1]
note 5 = measurable-sets[OF 2 4, simplified vimage-Int 3, simplified]
have [measurable]: r-to-01  $\in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{restrict-space real-borel } \{0 <..<1\}$ 
  unfolding r-to-01-def
  by(rule measurable-If-set) (auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space2 simp: 5)
  have [measurable]: r01-to-r01-r01'  $\in \text{restrict-space real-borel } \{0 <..<1\} \rightarrow_M$ 
 $\text{restrict-space } (\text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}) (\{0 <..<1\} \times \{0 <..<1\})$ 
  using 4 r01-to-r01-r01-measurable
  by(auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space3 simp: r01-to-r01-r01'-def)
  have [measurable]:  $(\lambda(x,y). (\text{real-to-01open-inverse } x, \text{real-to-01open-inverse } y))$ 
 $\in \text{restrict-space } (\text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel}) (\{0 <..<1\} \times \{0 <..<1\}) \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
 $\otimes_M \text{real-borel}$ 
  using borel-measurable-continuous-on-restrict[OF continuous-on-Pair[OF con-
tinuous-on-compose[of  $\{0 <..<1::\text{real}\} \times \{0 <..<1::\text{real}\}$ , OF continuous-on-fst[OF con-
tinuous-on-id'], simplified, OF real-to-01open-inverse-continuous] continuous-on-compose[of
 $\{0 <..<1::\text{real}\} \times \{0 <..<1::\text{real}\}$ , OF continuous-on-snd[OF continuous-on-id'], simplified, OF

```

```

real-to-01open-inverse-continuous]]]
  by(simp add: split-beta' borel-prod)
  show ?thesis
  by(simp add: r-to-rr-def)
qed
have  $\exists x. r\text{-to-rr } (rr\text{-to-}r\ x) = x$ 
  using r01-to-r01-r01-image r01-r01-to-r01-image r01-r01--r01--r01-r01-id real-to-01open-01
real-to-01open-inverse-correct' fun-cong[OF real-to-01open-inverse-correct]
  by(auto simp add: r01-to-r01-r01'-def r-to-01-def comp-def split-beta' r-to-rr-def
rr-to-r-def)

interpret s1: standard-borel M by fact
interpret s2: standard-borel N by fact
show ?thesis
  by(auto intro!: standard-borelI[where f=rr-to-r  $\circ$  map-prod s1.f s2.f and
g=map-prod s1.g s2.g  $\circ$  r-to-rr] simp:  $\exists$  space-pair-measure)
qed

lemma pair-standard-borel-spaceUNIV:
  assumes standard-borel-space-UNIV M
  and standard-borel-space-UNIV N
  shows standard-borel-space-UNIV ( $M \otimes_M N$ )
  apply(rule standard-borel-space-UNIV')
  using assms pair-standard-borel-standard[of M N]
  by(auto simp add: standard-borel-space-UNIV-def standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms-def
space-pair-measure)

locale pair-standard-borel = s1: standard-borel M + s2: standard-borel N
  for M :: 'a measure and N :: 'b measure
begin

sublocale standard-borel  $M \otimes_M N$ 
  by(auto intro!: pair-standard-borel-standard)

end

locale pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV = s1: standard-borel-space-UNIV M + s2:
standard-borel-space-UNIV N
  for M :: 'a measure and N :: 'b measure
begin

sublocale pair-standard-borel M N
  by standard

sublocale standard-borel-space-UNIV  $M \otimes_M N$ 
  by(auto intro!: pair-standard-borel-spaceUNIV
simp: s1.standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms s2.standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms)

```

end

$\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a standard Borel space.

interpretation *real-real : pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV real-borel real-borel*
by(*auto intro! : pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV simp : real.standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms*
pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV-def)

1.4 $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$

$\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a standard Borel space.

interpretation *nat-real : pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV nat-borel real-borel*
by(*auto intro! : pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV*
simp : real.standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms nat.standard-borel-space-UNIV-axioms
pair-standard-borel-space-UNIV-def)

end

2 Quasi-Borel Spaces

theory *QuasiBorel*
imports *StandardBorel*
begin

2.1 Definitions

We formalize quasi-Borel spaces introduced by Heunen et al. [1].

2.1.1 Quasi-Borel Spaces

definition *qbs-closed1* :: (*real* $\Rightarrow$ '*a*) *set* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *qbs-closed1* *Mx* $\equiv$ ($\forall a \in Mx. \forall f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}. a \circ f \in Mx$)

definition *qbs-closed2* :: [*a set*, (*real* $\Rightarrow$ '*a*) *set*] $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *qbs-closed2* *X Mx* $\equiv$ ($\forall x \in X. (\lambda r. x) \in Mx$)

definition *qbs-closed3* :: (*real* $\Rightarrow$ '*a*) *set* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where *qbs-closed3* *Mx* $\equiv$ ($\forall P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}. \forall Fi :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a.$
 $(\forall i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel})$
 $\longrightarrow (\forall i. Fi i \in Mx)$
 $\longrightarrow (\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in Mx$)

lemma *separate-measurable*:
fixes *P* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat*
assumes $\bigwedge i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$
shows $P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{nat-borel}$
proof –
have $P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space UNIV}$

```

    by (auto simp add: assms measurable-count-space-eq-countable)
  thus ?thesis
    using measurable-cong-sets sets-borel-eq-count-space by blast
qed

```

lemma *measurable-separate*:

```

  fixes  $P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  assumes  $P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{nat-borel}$ 
  shows  $P - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
  by(rule measurable-sets-borel[OF assms borel-singleton[OF sets.empty-sets, of i]])

```

definition *is-quasi-borel* $X Mx \longleftrightarrow Mx \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow X \wedge \text{qbs-closed1 } Mx \wedge \text{qbs-closed2 } X Mx \wedge \text{qbs-closed3 } Mx$

lemma *is-quasi-borel-intro*[simp]:

```

  assumes  $Mx \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow X$ 
    and  $\text{qbs-closed1 } Mx \text{ qbs-closed2 } X Mx \text{ qbs-closed3 } Mx$ 
  shows is-quasi-borel  $X Mx$ 
  using assms by(simp add: is-quasi-borel-def)

```

typedef $'a \text{ quasi-borel} = \{(X :: 'a \text{ set}, Mx). \text{is-quasi-borel } X Mx\}$

proof

```

  show  $(UNIV, UNIV) \in \{(X :: 'a \text{ set}, Mx). \text{is-quasi-borel } X Mx\}$ 
    by (simp add: is-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def)

```

qed

definition *qbs-space* $:: 'a \text{ quasi-borel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**

qbs-space $X \equiv \text{fst } (\text{Rep-quasi-borel } X)$

definition *qbs-Mx* $:: 'a \text{ quasi-borel} \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set}$ **where**

qbs-Mx $X \equiv \text{snd } (\text{Rep-quasi-borel } X)$

lemma *qbs-decomp* :

```

 $(\text{qbs-space } X, \text{qbs-Mx } X) \in \{(X :: 'a \text{ set}, Mx). \text{is-quasi-borel } X Mx\}$ 
  by (simp add: qbs-space-def qbs-Mx-def Rep-quasi-borel[simplified])

```

lemma *qbs-Mx-to-X*[dest]:

```

  assumes  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  shows  $\alpha \in UNIV \rightarrow \text{qbs-space } X$ 
     $\alpha \text{ } r \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 
  using qbs-decomp assms by(auto simp: is-quasi-borel-def)

```

lemma *qbs-closed1I*:

```

  assumes  $\bigwedge \alpha f. \alpha \in Mx \implies f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \implies \alpha \circ f \in Mx$ 
  shows qbs-closed1  $Mx$ 
  using assms by(simp add: qbs-closed1-def)

```

lemma *qbs-closed1-dest*[simp]:

assumes $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
and $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$
shows $\alpha \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
using *assms qbs-decomp* **by** (*auto simp add: is-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def*)

lemma *qbs-closed2I*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in X \implies (\lambda r. x) \in Mx$
shows *qbs-closed2* $X Mx$
using *assms* **by**(*simp add: qbs-closed2-def*)

lemma *qbs-closed2-dest[simp]*:
assumes $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$
shows $(\lambda r. x) \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
using *assms qbs-decomp[of X]* **by** (*auto simp add: is-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed2-def*)

lemma *qbs-closed3I*:
assumes $\bigwedge(P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) Fi. (\bigwedge i. P - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}) \implies (\bigwedge i. Fi i \in Mx)$
 $\implies (\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in Mx$
shows *qbs-closed3* Mx
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp: qbs-closed3-def*)

lemma *qbs-closed3I'*:
assumes $\bigwedge(P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}) Fi. P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{nat-borel} \implies (\bigwedge i. Fi i \in Mx)$
 $\implies (\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in Mx$
shows *qbs-closed3* Mx
using *assms* **by**(*auto intro!: qbs-closed3I simp: separate-measurable*)

lemma *qbs-closed3-dest[simp]*:
fixes $P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **and** $Fi :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$
assumes $\bigwedge i. P - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$
and $\bigwedge i. Fi i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
shows $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
using *assms qbs-decomp[of X]* **by** (*auto simp add: is-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed3-def*)

lemma *qbs-closed3-dest'*:
fixes $P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **and** $Fi :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$
assumes $P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{nat-borel}$
and $\bigwedge i. Fi i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
shows $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
using *qbs-closed3-dest[OF measurable-separate[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]* .

lemma *qbs-closed3-dest2*:
assumes *countable I*
and [*measurable*]: $P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies Fi i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
shows $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
proof –


```

have 0:I ≠ {}
  using measurable-empty-iff[of count-space I P real-borel] assms(2)
  by fastforce
define P' where P' ≡ to-nat-on I ∘ P
define Fi' where Fi' ≡ Fi ∘ (from-nat-into I)
have 1:P' ∈ real-borel →M nat-borel
  by(simp add: P'-def)
have 2:⋀i. Fi' i ∈ qbs-Mx X
  using assms(3) from-nat-into[OF 0] by(simp add: Fi'-def)
have (λr. Fi' (P' r) r) ∈ qbs-Mx X
  using 1 2 measurable-separate by auto
thus ?thesis
  using from-nat-into-to-nat-on[OF assms(1)] measurable-space[OF assms(2)]
  by(auto simp: Fi'-def P'-def)
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-closed3-dest2':
  assumes countable I
  and [measurable]: P ∈ real-borel →M count-space I
  and ⋀i. i ∈ range P ⇒ Fi i ∈ qbs-Mx X
  shows (λr. Fi (P r) r) ∈ qbs-Mx X
proof -
  have 0:range P ∩ I = range P
    using measurable-space[OF assms(2)] by auto
  have 1:P ∈ real-borel →M count-space (range P)
    using restrict-count-space[of I range P] measurable-restrict-space2[OF - assms(2), of range P]
    by(simp add: 0)
  have 2:countable (range P)
    using countable-Int2[OF assms(1), of range P]
    by(simp add: 0)
  show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: qbs-closed3-dest2[OF 2 1 assms(3)])
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-space-Mx:
  qbs-space X = {α x | x α. α ∈ qbs-Mx X}
proof auto
  fix x
  assume 1:x ∈ qbs-space X
  show ∃ xa α. x = α xa ∧ α ∈ qbs-Mx X
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x=0] exI[where x=(λr. x)] simp: 1)
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-space-eq-Mx:
  assumes qbs-Mx X = qbs-Mx Y
  shows qbs-space X = qbs-space Y
  by(simp add: qbs-space-Mx assms)

```

lemma *qbs-eqI*:
assumes $qbs-Mx\ X = qbs-Mx\ Y$
shows $X = Y$
by (*metis Rep-quasi-borel-inverse prod.exhaust-sel qbs-Mx-def qbs-space-def assms qbs-space-eq-Mx[OF assms]*)

2.1.2 Morphism of Quasi-Borel Spaces

definition *qbs-morphism* :: [*'a quasi-borel, 'b quasi-borel*] $\Rightarrow$ (*'a $\Rightarrow$ 'b*) *set* (**infixr** $\langle \rightarrow_Q \rangle$ 60) **where**
 $X \rightarrow_Q Y \equiv \{f \in qbs-space\ X \rightarrow qbs-space\ Y. \forall \alpha \in qbs-Mx\ X. f \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx\ Y\}$

lemma *qbs-morphismI*:
assumes $\bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in qbs-Mx\ X \implies f \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx\ Y$
shows $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$
proof –
have $f \in qbs-space\ X \rightarrow qbs-space\ Y$
proof
fix x
assume $x \in qbs-space\ X$
then have $(\lambda r. x) \in qbs-Mx\ X$
by *simp*
hence $f \circ (\lambda r. x) \in qbs-Mx\ Y$
using *assms* **by** *blast*
thus $f\ x \in qbs-space\ Y$
by *auto*
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: qbs-morphism-def*)
qed

lemma *qbs-morphismE[dest]*:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$
shows $f \in qbs-space\ X \rightarrow qbs-space\ Y$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in qbs-space\ X \implies f\ x \in qbs-space\ Y$
 $\bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in qbs-Mx\ X \implies f \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx\ Y$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: qbs-morphism-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-ident[simp]*:
 $id \in X \rightarrow_Q X$
by (*auto intro: qbs-morphismI*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-ident'[simp]*:
 $(\lambda x. x) \in X \rightarrow_Q X$
using *qbs-morphism-ident* **by** (*simp add: id-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-comp*:

```

assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$   $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
shows  $g \circ f \in X \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
using assms by (simp add: comp-assoc Pi-def qbs-morphism-def)

```

```

lemma qbs-morphism-cong:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies f\ x = g\ x$ 
    and  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
    shows  $g \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $1:\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  have  $g \circ \alpha = f \circ \alpha$ 
  proof
    fix  $x$ 
    have  $\alpha\ x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 
    using 1 qbs-decomp[of X] by auto
    thus  $(g \circ \alpha)\ x = (f \circ \alpha)\ x$ 
    using assms(1) by simp
  qed
  thus  $g \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  using 1 assms(2) by(simp add: qbs-morphism-def)
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-morphism-const:
  assumes  $y \in \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda \cdot. y) \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
  using assms by (auto intro: qbs-morphismI)

```

2.1.3 Empty Space

definition *empty-quasi-borel* :: 'a *quasi-borel* **where**
empty-quasi-borel $\equiv \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (\{\}, \{\})$

```

lemma eqb-correct: Rep-quasi-borel empty-quasi-borel = ( $\{\}$ ,  $\{\}$ )
  using Abs-quasi-borel-inverse
  by(auto simp add: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse empty-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def
    qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def is-quasi-borel-def)

```

```

lemma eqb-space[simp]: qbs-space empty-quasi-borel =  $\{\}$ 
  by(simp add: qbs-space-def eqb-correct)

```

```

lemma eqb-Mx[simp]: qbs-Mx empty-quasi-borel =  $\{\}$ 
  by(simp add: qbs-Mx-def eqb-correct)

```

```

lemma qbs-empty-equiv : qbs-space  $X = \{\}$   $\longleftrightarrow$  qbs-Mx  $X = \{\}$ 
proof(auto)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume qbs-Mx  $X = \{\}$ 
  and  $h:x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 

```

have $(\lambda r. x) \in qbs-Mx\ X$
using h **by** $simp$
thus $False$ **using** $\langle qbs-Mx\ X = \{\} \rangle$ **by** $simp$
qed

lemma *empty-quasi-borel-iff*:
 $qbs-space\ X = \{\} \longleftrightarrow X = empty-quasi-borel$
by(*auto intro!*: $qbs-eqI$)

2.1.4 Unit Space

definition *unit-quasi-borel* :: *unit quasi-borel* $(\langle 1_Q \rangle)$ **where**
 $unit-quasi-borel \equiv Abs-quasi-borel\ (UNIV, UNIV)$

lemma *uqb-correct*: $Rep-quasi-borel\ unit-quasi-borel = (UNIV, UNIV)$
using *Abs-quasi-borel-inverse*
by(*auto simp add: unit-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def is-quasi-borel-def*)

lemma *uqb-space[simp]*: $qbs-space\ unit-quasi-borel = \{()\}$
by(*simp add: qbs-space-def UNIV-unit uqb-correct*)

lemma *uqb-Mx[simp]*: $qbs-Mx\ unit-quasi-borel = \{\lambda r. ()\}$
by(*auto simp add: qbs-Mx-def uqb-correct*)

lemma *unit-quasi-borel-terminal*:
 $\exists! f. f \in X \rightarrow_Q unit-quasi-borel$
by(*fastforce simp: qbs-morphism-def*)

definition *to-unit-quasi-borel* :: $'a \Rightarrow unit\ (\langle 1_Q \rangle)$ **where**
 $to-unit-quasi-borel \equiv (\lambda. ())$

lemma *to-unit-quasi-borel-morphism* :
 $!_Q \in X \rightarrow_Q unit-quasi-borel$
by(*auto simp add: to-unit-quasi-borel-def qbs-morphism-def*)

2.1.5 Subspaces

definition *sub-qbs* :: $['a\ quasi-borel, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ quasi-borel$ **where**
 $sub-qbs\ X\ U \equiv Abs-quasi-borel\ (qbs-space\ X \cap U, \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs-space\ X \cap U. f \in qbs-Mx\ X\})$

lemma *sub-qbs-closed*:
 $qbs-closed1\ \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs-space\ X \cap U. f \in qbs-Mx\ X\}$
 $qbs-closed2\ (qbs-space\ X \cap U)\ \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs-space\ X \cap U. f \in qbs-Mx\ X\}$
 $qbs-closed3\ \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs-space\ X \cap U. f \in qbs-Mx\ X\}$
unfolding $qbs-closed1-def\ qbs-closed2-def\ qbs-closed3-def$ **by** *auto*

lemma *sub-qbs-correct[simp]*: $Rep-quasi-borel\ (sub-qbs\ X\ U) = (qbs-space\ X \cap U, \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs-space\ X \cap U. f \in qbs-Mx\ X\})$

by(simp add: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse sub-qbs-def sub-qbs-closed)

lemma sub-qbs-space[simp]: $qbs\text{-}space\ (sub\text{-}qbs\ X\ U) = qbs\text{-}space\ X \cap U$
by(simp add: qbs-space-def)

lemma sub-qbs-Mx[simp]: $qbs\text{-}Mx\ (sub\text{-}qbs\ X\ U) = \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs\text{-}space\ X \cap U. f \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X\}$
by(simp add: qbs-Mx-def)

lemma sub-qbs:
 assumes $U \subseteq qbs\text{-}space\ X$
 shows $(qbs\text{-}space\ (sub\text{-}qbs\ X\ U),\ qbs\text{-}Mx\ (sub\text{-}qbs\ X\ U)) = (U,\ \{f \in UNIV \rightarrow U. f \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X\})$
 using assms **by** auto

2.1.6 Image Spaces

definition map-qbs :: $['a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a\ quasi\text{-}borel \Rightarrow 'b\ quasi\text{-}borel$ **where**
 $map\text{-}qbs\ f\ X = Abs\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\ (f\ ' (qbs\text{-}space\ X), \{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\})$

lemma map-qbs-f:
 $\{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\} \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow f\ ' (qbs\text{-}space\ X)$
by fastforce

lemma map-qbs-closed1:
 $qbs\text{-}closed1\ \{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\}$
unfolding qbs-closed1-def
using qbs-closed1-dest **by**(fastforce simp: comp-def)

lemma map-qbs-closed2:
 $qbs\text{-}closed2\ (f\ ' (qbs\text{-}space\ X))\ \{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\}$
unfolding qbs-closed2-def **by** fastforce

lemma map-qbs-closed3:
 $qbs\text{-}closed3\ \{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\}$
proof(auto simp add: qbs-closed3-def)
 fix $P\ Fi$
 assume $h: \forall i::nat. P\ -'\ \{i\} \in sets\ real\text{-}borel$
 $\forall i::nat. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. Fi\ i = f \circ \alpha$
 then obtain αi
 where $ha: \forall i::nat. \alpha i\ i \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X \wedge Fi\ i = f \circ (\alpha i\ i)$
 by metis
 hence $1: (\lambda r. \alpha i\ (P\ r)\ r) \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$
 using h(1) **by** fastforce
 show $\exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) = f \circ \alpha$
 by(auto intro!: bexI[**where** $x = (\lambda r. \alpha i\ (P\ r)\ r)$] simp add: 1 ha comp-def)
qed

lemma map-qbs-correct[simp]:

$Rep\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\ (map\text{-}qbs\ f\ X) = (f\ ' (qbs\text{-}space\ X), \{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\})$

unfolding $map\text{-}qbs\text{-}def$
by($simp\ add: Abs\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\text{-}inverse\ map\text{-}qbs\text{-}f\ map\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed1\ map\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed2\ map\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed3$)

lemma $map\text{-}qbs\text{-}space[simp]$:
 $qbs\text{-}space\ (map\text{-}qbs\ f\ X) = f\ ' (qbs\text{-}space\ X)$
by($simp\ add: qbs\text{-}space\text{-}def$)

lemma $map\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx[simp]$:
 $qbs\text{-}Mx\ (map\text{-}qbs\ f\ X) = \{\beta. \exists \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \beta = f \circ \alpha\}$
by($simp\ add: qbs\text{-}Mx\text{-}def$)

inductive-set $generating\text{-}Mx :: 'a\ set \Rightarrow (real \Rightarrow 'a)\ set \Rightarrow (real \Rightarrow 'a)\ set$
for $X :: 'a\ set$ **and** $Mx :: (real \Rightarrow 'a)\ set$
where
 $Basic: \alpha \in Mx \Longrightarrow \alpha \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx$
 $| Const: x \in X \Longrightarrow (\lambda r. x) \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx$
 $| Comp : f \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel \Longrightarrow \alpha \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx \Longrightarrow \alpha \circ f \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx$
 $| Part : (\bigwedge i. Fi\ i \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx) \Longrightarrow P \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M nat\text{-}borel \Longrightarrow (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx$

lemma $generating\text{-}Mx\text{-}to\text{-}space$:
assumes $Mx \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow X$
shows $generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow X$
proof
fix α
assume $\alpha \in generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx$
then show $\alpha \in UNIV \rightarrow X$
by($induct\ rule: generating\text{-}Mx.induct$) (*use assms in auto*)
qed

lemma $generating\text{-}Mx\text{-}closed1$:
 $qbs\text{-}closed1\ (generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx)$
by ($simp\ add: generating\text{-}Mx.Comp\ qbs\text{-}closed1I$)

lemma $generating\text{-}Mx\text{-}closed2$:
 $qbs\text{-}closed2\ X\ (generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx)$
by ($simp\ add: generating\text{-}Mx.Const\ qbs\text{-}closed2I$)

lemma $generating\text{-}Mx\text{-}closed3$:
 $qbs\text{-}closed3\ (generating\text{-}Mx\ X\ Mx)$
by($simp\ add: qbs\text{-}closed3I'\ generating\text{-}Mx.Part$)

lemma $generating\text{-}Mx\text{-}Mx$:
 $generating\text{-}Mx\ (qbs\text{-}space\ X)\ (qbs\text{-}Mx\ X) = qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$

```

proof auto
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $\alpha \in \text{generating-Mx } (qbs\text{-space } X) (qbs\text{-Mx } X)$ 
  then show  $\alpha \in qbs\text{-Mx } X$ 
    by(rule generating-Mx.induct) (auto intro!: qbs-closed1-dest[simplified comp-def]
simp: qbs-closed3-dest')
  next
    fix  $\alpha$ 
    assume  $\alpha \in qbs\text{-Mx } X$ 
    then show  $\alpha \in \text{generating-Mx } (qbs\text{-space } X) (qbs\text{-Mx } X) ..$ 
qed

```

2.1.7 Ordering of Quasi-Borel Spaces

```

instantiation quasi-borel :: (type) order-bot
begin

```

```

inductive less-eq-quasi-borel :: 'a quasi-borel  $\Rightarrow$  'a quasi-borel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  qbs-space  $X \subset qbs\text{-space } Y \Longrightarrow \text{less-eq-quasi-borel } X Y$ 
| qbs-space  $X = qbs\text{-space } Y \Longrightarrow qbs\text{-Mx } Y \subseteq qbs\text{-Mx } X \Longrightarrow \text{less-eq-quasi-borel } X Y$ 

```

lemma *le-quasi-borel-iff*:

```

 $X \leq Y \longleftrightarrow (\text{if } qbs\text{-space } X = qbs\text{-space } Y \text{ then } qbs\text{-Mx } Y \subseteq qbs\text{-Mx } X \text{ else } qbs\text{-space } X \subset qbs\text{-space } Y)$ 
by(auto elim: less-eq-quasi-borel.cases intro: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros)

```

```

definition less-quasi-borel :: 'a quasi-borel  $\Rightarrow$  'a quasi-borel  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  less-quasi-borel  $X Y \longleftrightarrow (X \leq Y \wedge \neg Y \leq X)$ 

```

```

definition bot-quasi-borel :: 'a quasi-borel where
  bot-quasi-borel = empty-quasi-borel

```

instance

proof

```

  show  $\text{bot} \leq a$  for  $a :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$ 
    using qbs-empty-equiv
    by(auto simp add: le-quasi-borel-iff bot-quasi-borel-def)
qed (auto simp: le-quasi-borel-iff less-quasi-borel-def split: if-split-asm intro: qbs-eqI)
end

```

```

definition inf-quasi-borel :: [a quasi-borel, 'a quasi-borel]  $\Rightarrow$  'a quasi-borel where
  inf-quasi-borel  $X X' = \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (qbs\text{-space } X \cap qbs\text{-space } X', qbs\text{-Mx } X \cap qbs\text{-Mx } X')$ 

```

lemma *inf-quasi-borel-correct*: $\text{Rep-quasi-borel } (\text{inf-quasi-borel } X X') = (qbs\text{-space } X \cap qbs\text{-space } X', qbs\text{-Mx } X \cap qbs\text{-Mx } X')$

```

by(fastforce intro! Abs-quasi-borel-inverse
  simp: inf-quasi-borel-def is-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def)

```

lemma *inf-qbs-space[simp]: qbs-space (inf-quasi-borel X X') = qbs-space X ∩ qbs-space X'*

by (*simp add: qbs-space-def inf-quasi-borel-correct*)

lemma *inf-qbs-Mx[simp]: qbs-Mx (inf-quasi-borel X X') = qbs-Mx X ∩ qbs-Mx X'*

by(*simp add: qbs-Mx-def inf-quasi-borel-correct*)

definition *max-quasi-borel :: 'a set ⇒ 'a quasi-borel where*

max-quasi-borel X = Abs-quasi-borel (X, UNIV → X)

lemma *max-quasi-borel-correct: Rep-quasi-borel (max-quasi-borel X) = (X, UNIV → X)*

by(*fastforce intro!: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse*

simp: max-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def is-quasi-borel-def)

lemma *max-qbs-space[simp]: qbs-space (max-quasi-borel X) = X*

by(*simp add: qbs-space-def max-quasi-borel-correct*)

lemma *max-qbs-Mx[simp]: qbs-Mx (max-quasi-borel X) = UNIV → X*

by(*simp add: qbs-Mx-def max-quasi-borel-correct*)

instantiation *quasi-borel :: (type) semilattice-sup*

begin

definition *sup-quasi-borel :: 'a quasi-borel ⇒ 'a quasi-borel ⇒ 'a quasi-borel where*

sup-quasi-borel X Y ≡ (if qbs-space X = qbs-space Y then inf-quasi-borel X Y
else if qbs-space X ⊂ qbs-space Y then Y
else if qbs-space Y ⊂ qbs-space X then X
else max-quasi-borel (qbs-space X ∪ qbs-space Y))

instance

proof

fix *X Y :: 'a quasi-borel*

let *?X = qbs-space X*

let *?Y = qbs-space Y*

consider *?X = ?Y | ?X ⊂ ?Y | ?Y ⊂ ?X | ?X ⊂ ?X ∪ ?Y ∧ ?Y ⊂ ?X ∪ ?Y*

by *auto*

then show *X ≤ X ∪ Y*

proof(*cases*)

case *1*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *sup-quasi-borel-def*

by(*rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2), simp-all add: 1*)

next

case *2*

then show *?thesis*

unfolding *sup-quasi-borel-def*


```

    by (simp add: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
next
  case 3
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
    by auto
next
  case 4
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
    by(auto simp: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
qed
next
  fix X Y :: 'a quasi-borel
  let ?X = qbs-space X
  let ?Y = qbs-space Y
  consider ?X = ?Y | ?X  $\subset$  ?Y | ?Y  $\subset$  ?X | ?X  $\subset$  ?X  $\cup$  ?Y  $\wedge$  ?Y  $\subset$  ?X  $\cup$  ?Y
    by auto
  then show Y  $\leq$  X  $\sqcup$  Y
  proof(cases)
    case 1
    show ?thesis
      unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
      by(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2)) (simp-all add: 1)
  next
    case 2
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
      by auto
  next
    case 3
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
      by (auto simp add: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
  next
    case 4
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
      by(auto simp: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
  qed
next
  fix X Y Z :: 'a quasi-borel
  assume h:X  $\leq$  Z Y  $\leq$  Z
  let ?X = qbs-space X
  let ?Y = qbs-space Y
  let ?Z = qbs-space Z
  consider ?X = ?Y | ?X  $\subset$  ?Y | ?Y  $\subset$  ?X | ?X  $\subset$  ?X  $\cup$  ?Y  $\wedge$  ?Y  $\subset$  ?X  $\cup$  ?Y
    by auto
  then show sup X Y  $\leq$  Z

```

```

proof cases
  case 1
  show ?thesis
    unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
    apply(simp add: 1, rule less-eq-quasi-borel.cases[OF h(1)])
    apply(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
    apply auto[1]
    apply auto
    apply(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2))
    apply(simp add: 1)
    by(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.cases[OF h(2)]) (auto simp: 1)
  next
  case 2
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
    using h(2) by auto
  next
  case 3
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
    using h(1) by auto
  next
  case 4
  then have [simp]:  $?X \neq ?Y \sim (?X \subset ?Y) \sim (?Y \subset ?X)$ 
    by auto
  have [simp]:  $?X \subseteq ?Z \iff ?Y \subseteq ?Z$ 
    by (metis h(1) dual-order.order-iff-strict less-eq-quasi-borel.cases)
      (metis h(2) dual-order.order-iff-strict less-eq-quasi-borel.cases)
  then consider  $?X \cup ?Y = ?Z \mid ?X \cup ?Y \subset ?Z$ 
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding sup-quasi-borel-def
    apply cases
    apply simp
    apply(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2))
    apply simp
    apply auto[1]
    by(simp add: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
  qed
qed
end

end

```

2.2 Relation to Measurable Spaces

```

theory Measure-QuasiBorel-Adjunction
  imports QuasiBorel
begin

```

We construct the adjunction between **Meas** and **QBS**, where **Meas** is the category of measurable spaces and measurable functions and **QBS** is the category of quasi-Borel spaces and morphisms.

2.2.1 The Functor R

definition $measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs :: 'a\ measure \Rightarrow 'a\ quasi\text{-}borel$ **where**
 $measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X \equiv Abs\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\ (space\ X, real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X)$

lemma $R\text{-}Mx\text{-}correct: real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow space\ X$
by ($simp\ add: measurable\text{-}space\ subsetI$)

lemma $R\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed1: qbs\text{-}closed1\ (real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X)$
by ($simp\ add: qbs\text{-}closed1\text{-}def$)

lemma $R\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed2: qbs\text{-}closed2\ (space\ X)\ (real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X)$
by ($simp\ add: qbs\text{-}closed2\text{-}def$)

lemma $R\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed3: qbs\text{-}closed3\ (real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M (X :: 'a\ measure))$

proof($rule\ qbs\text{-}closed3I$)

fix $P::real \Rightarrow nat$

fix $Fi::nat \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow 'a$

assume $h:\bigwedge i. P - ' \{i\} \in sets\ real\text{-}borel$

$\bigwedge i. Fi\ i \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X$

show $(\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X$

proof($rule\ measurableI$)

fix x

assume $x \in space\ real\text{-}borel$

then show $Fi\ (P\ x)\ x \in space\ X$

using $h(2)\ measurable\text{-}space[of\ Fi\ (P\ x)\ real\text{-}borel\ X\ x]$

by $auto$

next

fix A

assume $h':A \in sets\ X$

have $(\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) - ' A = (\bigcup i::nat. ((Fi\ i - ' A) \cap (P - ' \{i\})))$

by $auto$

also have $\dots \in sets\ real\text{-}borel$

using $sets.Int\ measurable\text{-}sets[OF\ h(2)\ h']\ h(1)$

by($auto\ intro!: countable\text{-}Un\text{-}Int(1)$)

finally show $(\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) - ' A \cap space\ real\text{-}borel \in sets\ real\text{-}borel$

by $simp$

qed

qed

lemma $R\text{-}correct[simp]: Rep\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\ (measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X) = (space\ X, real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X)$

unfolding $measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\text{-}def$

by ($rule\ Abs\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\text{-}inverse$) ($simp\ add: R\text{-}Mx\text{-}correct\ R\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed1\ R\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed2\ R\text{-}qbs\text{-}closed3$)

lemma *qbs-space-R[simp]*: $qbs\text{-}space\ (measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X) = space\ X$
by (*simp add: qbs-space-def*)

lemma *qbs-Mx-R[simp]*: $qbs\text{-}Mx\ (measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X) = real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M X$
by (*simp add: qbs-Mx-def*)

The following lemma says that *measure-to-qbs* is a functor from **Meas** to **QBS**.

lemma *r-preserves-morphisms*:
 $X \rightarrow_M Y \subseteq (measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X) \rightarrow_Q (measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ Y)$
by(*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI*)

2.2.2 The Functor L

definition *sigma-Mx* :: 'a quasi-borel $\Rightarrow$ 'a set set **where**
 $sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \equiv \{U \cap qbs\text{-}space\ X \mid U. \forall \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \alpha - ' U \in sets\ real\text{-}borel\}$

definition *qbs-to-measure* :: 'a quasi-borel $\Rightarrow$ 'a measure **where**
 $qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X \equiv Abs\text{-}measure\ (qbs\text{-}space\ X, sigma\text{-}Mx\ X, \lambda A. (if\ A = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A \in -\ sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty))$

lemma *measure-space-L*: $measure\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}space\ X)\ (sigma\text{-}Mx\ X)\ (\lambda A. (if\ A = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A \in -\ sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty))$

unfolding *measure-space-def*

proof *auto*

show $sigma\text{-}algebra\ (qbs\text{-}space\ X)\ (sigma\text{-}Mx\ X)$

proof(*rule sigma-algebra.intro*)

show $algebra\ (qbs\text{-}space\ X)\ (sigma\text{-}Mx\ X)$

proof

have $\forall U \in sigma\text{-}Mx\ X. U \subseteq qbs\text{-}space\ X$

using *sigma-Mx-def subset-iff* **by** *fastforce*

thus $sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \subseteq Pow\ (qbs\text{-}space\ X)$ **by** *auto*

next

show $\{\} \in sigma\text{-}Mx\ X$

unfolding *sigma-Mx-def* **by** *auto*

next

fix A

fix B

assume $A \in sigma\text{-}Mx\ X$

$B \in sigma\text{-}Mx\ X$

then have $\exists Ua. A = Ua \cap qbs\text{-}space\ X \wedge (\forall \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \alpha - ' Ua \in sets\ real\text{-}borel)$

by (*simp add: sigma-Mx-def*)

then obtain Ua **where** $pa:A = Ua \cap qbs\text{-}space\ X \wedge (\forall \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \alpha - ' Ua \in sets\ real\text{-}borel)$ **by** *auto*

have $\exists Ub. B = Ub \cap qbs\text{-}space\ X \wedge (\forall \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \alpha - ' Ub \in sets\ real\text{-}borel)$

using $\langle B \in sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \rangle\ sigma\text{-}Mx\text{-}def$ **by** *auto*

```

    then obtain Ub where pb:B = Ub ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '
    Ub ∈ sets real-borel) by auto
    from pa pb have [simp]: ∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - ' (Ua ∩ Ub) ∈ sets real-borel
    by auto
    from this pa pb sigma-Mx-def have [simp]: (Ua ∩ Ub) ∩ qbs-space X ∈
    sigma-Mx X by blast
    from pa pb have [simp]: A ∩ B = (Ua ∩ Ub) ∩ qbs-space X by auto
    thus A ∩ B ∈ sigma-Mx X by simp
next
fix A
fix B
assume A ∈ sigma-Mx X
    B ∈ sigma-Mx X
    then have ∃ Ua. A = Ua ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - ' Ua ∈ sets
    real-borel)
    by (simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
    then obtain Ua where pa:A = Ua ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '
    Ua ∈ sets real-borel) by auto
    have ∃ Ub. B = Ub ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - ' Ub ∈ sets real-borel)
    using ⟨B ∈ sigma-Mx X⟩ sigma-Mx-def by auto
    then obtain Ub where pb:B = Ub ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '
    Ub ∈ sets real-borel) by auto
    from pa pb have [simp]: A - B = (Ua ∩ - Ub) ∩ qbs-space X by auto
    from pa pb have ∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '(Ua ∩ - Ub) ∈ sets real-borel
    by (metis Diff-Compl double-compl sets.Diff vimage-Compl vimage-Int)
    hence 1:A - B ∈ sigma-Mx X
    using sigma-Mx-def ⟨A - B = Ua ∩ - Ub ∩ qbs-space X⟩ by blast
    show ∃ C ⊆ sigma-Mx X. finite C ∧ disjoint C ∧ A - B = ⋃ C
    proof
    show {A - B} ⊆ sigma-Mx X ∧ finite {A-B} ∧ disjoint {A-B} ∧ A - B
    = ⋃ {A-B}
    using 1 by auto
    qed
next
fix A
fix B
assume A ∈ sigma-Mx X
    B ∈ sigma-Mx X
    then have ∃ Ua. A = Ua ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - ' Ua ∈ sets
    real-borel)
    by (simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
    then obtain Ua where pa:A = Ua ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '
    Ua ∈ sets real-borel) by auto
    have ∃ Ub. B = Ub ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - ' Ub ∈ sets real-borel)
    using ⟨B ∈ sigma-Mx X⟩ sigma-Mx-def by auto
    then obtain Ub where pb:B = Ub ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '
    Ub ∈ sets real-borel) by auto
    from pa pb have A ∪ B = (Ua ∪ Ub) ∩ qbs-space X by auto
    from pa pb have ∀ α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α - '(Ua ∪ Ub) ∈ sets real-borel by auto

```

```

    then show  $A \cup B \in \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
      unfolding sigma-Mx-def
      using  $\langle A \cup B = (Ua \cup Ub) \cap \text{qbs-space } X \rangle$  by blast
  next
    have  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' (UNIV) \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
      by simp
    thus  $\text{qbs-space } X \in \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
      unfolding sigma-Mx-def
      by blast
  qed
next
  show sigma-algebra-axioms (sigma-Mx  $X$ )
    unfolding sigma-algebra-axioms-def
    proof(auto)
      fix  $A :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow -$ 
      assume  $1:\text{range } A \subseteq \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
      then have  $2:\forall i. \exists Ui. A\ i = Ui \cap \text{qbs-space } X \wedge (\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' Ui \in \text{sets real-borel})$ 
        unfolding sigma-Mx-def by auto
      then have  $\exists U :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow -. \forall i. A\ i = U\ i \cap \text{qbs-space } X \wedge (\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' (U\ i) \in \text{sets real-borel})$ 
        by (rule choice)
      from this obtain  $U$  where  $pu:\forall i. A\ i = U\ i \cap \text{qbs-space } X \wedge (\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' (U\ i) \in \text{sets real-borel})$ 
        by auto
      hence  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' (\bigcup (\text{range } U)) \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
        by (simp add: countable-Un-Int(1) vimage-UN)
      from pu have  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) = (\bigcup i::\text{nat}. (U\ i \cap \text{qbs-space } X))$  by blast
      hence  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) = \bigcup (\text{range } U) \cap \text{qbs-space } X$  by auto
      thus  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
        using sigma-Mx-def  $\langle \forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' \bigcup (\text{range } U) \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle$ 
    by blast
  qed
qed
next
  show countably-additive (sigma-Mx  $X$ ) ( $\lambda A. \text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A \in - \text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty$ )
    proof(rule countably-additiveI)
      fix  $A :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow -$ 
      assume  $h:\text{range } A \subseteq \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
       $\bigcup (\text{range } A) \in \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
      consider  $\bigcup (\text{range } A) = \{\} \mid \bigcup (\text{range } A) \neq \{\}$ 
      by auto
      then show  $(\sum i. \text{if } A\ i = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ i \in - \text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty) =$ 
         $(\text{if } \bigcup (\text{range } A) = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } \bigcup (\text{range } A) \in - \text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty)$ 
        then 0 else ( $\infty :: \text{ennreal}$ ))
    proof cases
      case 1

```

```

    then have  $\bigwedge i. A\ i = \{\}$ 
      by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: 1)
  next
    case 2
    then obtain  $j$  where  $hj:A\ j \neq \{\}$ 
      by auto
    have  $(\sum i. \text{if } A\ i = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ i \in -\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty) =$ 
 $(\infty :: \text{ennreal})$ 
    proof -
      have  $hsum:\bigwedge N f. \text{sum } f\ \{..<N\} \leq (\sum n. (f\ n :: \text{ennreal}))$ 
        by (simp add: sum-le-suminf)
      have  $hsum':\bigwedge P f. (\exists j. j \in P \wedge f\ j = (\infty :: \text{ennreal})) \implies \text{finite } P \implies \text{sum}$ 
 $f\ P = \infty$ 
        by auto
      have  $h1:(\sum i<j+1. \text{if } A\ i = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ i \in -\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0$ 
 $\text{else } \infty) = (\infty :: \text{ennreal})$ 
        proof (rule hsum')
          show  $\exists ja. ja \in \{..<j+1\} \wedge (\text{if } A\ ja = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ ja \in -$ 
 $\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty) = (\infty :: \text{ennreal})$ 
            proof (rule exI[where  $x=j$ ], rule conjI)
              have  $A\ j \in \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
                using  $h(1)$  by auto
              then show  $(\text{if } A\ j = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ j \in -\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$ 
 $\infty) = (\infty :: \text{ennreal})$ 
                using  $hj$  by simp
            qed simp
          qed simp
          have  $(\sum i<j+1. \text{if } A\ i = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ i \in -\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0$ 
 $\text{else } \infty) \leq (\sum i. \text{if } A\ i = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A\ i \in -\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } (\infty ::$ 
 $\text{ennreal}))$ 
            by (rule hsum)
          thus ?thesis
            by (simp only: h1) (simp add: top.extremum-unique)
        qed
      moreover have  $(\text{if } \bigcup (\text{range } A) = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } \bigcup (\text{range } A) \in -$ 
 $\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty) = (\infty :: \text{ennreal})$ 
        using 2  $h(2)$  by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
  qed
qed (simp add: positive-def)

```

lemma $L\text{-correct}[simp]: \text{Rep-measure } (qbs\text{-to-measure } X) = (qbs\text{-space } X, \text{sigma-Mx } X, \lambda A. (\text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else if } A \in -\text{sigma-Mx } X \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty))$
unfolding $qbs\text{-to-measure-def}$

```

by(auto intro!: Abs-measure-inverse simp: measure-space-L)

lemma space-L[simp]: space (qbs-to-measure X) = qbs-space X
  by (simp add: space-def)

lemma sets-L[simp]: sets (qbs-to-measure X) = sigma-Mx X
  by (simp add: sets-def)

lemma emeasure-L[simp]: emeasure (qbs-to-measure X) = (λA. if A = {} ∨ A ∉
sigma-Mx X then 0 else ∞)
  by(auto simp: emeasure-def)

lemma qbs-Mx-sigma-Mx-contr:
  assumes qbs-space X = qbs-space Y
    and qbs-Mx X ⊆ qbs-Mx Y
  shows sigma-Mx Y ⊆ sigma-Mx X
  using assms by(auto simp: sigma-Mx-def)

The following lemma says that qbs-to-measure is a functor from QBS to Meas.

lemma l-preserves-morphisms:
  X →Q Y ⊆ (qbs-to-measure X) →M (qbs-to-measure Y)
proof(auto)
  fix f
  assume h: f ∈ X →Q Y
  show f ∈ (qbs-to-measure X) →M (qbs-to-measure Y)
  proof(rule measurableI)
    fix x
    assume x ∈ space (qbs-to-measure X)
    then show f x ∈ space (qbs-to-measure Y)
      using h by auto
  next
    fix A
    assume A ∈ sets (qbs-to-measure Y)
    then obtain Ua where pa: A = Ua ∩ qbs-space Y ∧ (∀α ∈ qbs-Mx Y. α -' Ua
∈ sets real-borel)
      by (auto simp: sigma-Mx-def)
    have ∀α ∈ qbs-Mx X. f ∘ α ∈ qbs-Mx Y
      ∀α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α -' (f -' (qbs-space Y)) = UNIV
      using h by auto
    hence ∀α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α -' (f -' A) = α -' (f -' Ua)
      by (simp add: pa)
    from pa this qbs-morphism-def have ∀α ∈ qbs-Mx X. α -' (f -' A) ∈ sets
real-borel
      by (simp add: vimage-comp ⟨∀α ∈ qbs-Mx X. f ∘ α ∈ qbs-Mx Y⟩)
    thus f -' A ∩ space (qbs-to-measure X) ∈ sets (qbs-to-measure X)
      using sigma-Mx-def by auto
  qed
qed

```


abbreviation $qbs\text{-}borel \equiv measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ borel$

declare $[[coercion\ measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs]]$

abbreviation $real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel :: real\ quasi\text{-}borel\ (\langle \mathbb{R}_Q \rangle)$ **where**

$real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \equiv qbs\text{-}borel$

abbreviation $nat\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel :: nat\ quasi\text{-}borel\ (\langle \mathbb{N}_Q \rangle)$ **where**

$nat\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \equiv qbs\text{-}borel$

abbreviation $ennreal\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel :: ennreal\ quasi\text{-}borel\ (\langle \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0} \rangle)$ **where**

$ennreal\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \equiv qbs\text{-}borel$

abbreviation $bool\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel :: bool\ quasi\text{-}borel\ (\langle \mathbb{B}_Q \rangle)$ **where**

$bool\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \equiv qbs\text{-}borel$

lemma $qbs\text{-}Mx\text{-}is\text{-}morphisms:$

$qbs\text{-}Mx\ X = real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \rightarrow_Q X$

proof(*auto*)

fix α

assume $\alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$

then have $\alpha \in UNIV \rightarrow qbs\text{-}space\ X \wedge (\forall f \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel. \alpha \circ f \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X)$

by *fastforce*

thus $\alpha \in real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \rightarrow_Q X$

by(*simp add: qbs-morphism-def*)

next

fix α

assume $\alpha \in real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \rightarrow_Q X$

have $id \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel$ **by** *simp*

then have $\alpha \circ id \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$

using $\langle \alpha \in real\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel \rightarrow_Q X \rangle$ *qbs-morphism-def[of real-quasi-borel X]*

by *blast*

then show $\alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$ **by** *simp*

qed

lemma $qbs\text{-}Mx\text{-}subset\text{-}of\text{-}measurable:$

$qbs\text{-}Mx\ X \subseteq real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X$

proof

fix α

assume $\alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$

show $\alpha \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X$

proof(*rule measurableI*)

fix x

show $\alpha\ x \in space\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)$

using *qbs-decomp* $\langle \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X \rangle$ **by** *auto*

next

fix A

assume $A \in sets\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)$

```

then have  $\alpha - \langle \text{qbs-space } X \rangle = \text{UNIV}$ 
  using  $\langle \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \rangle$  qbs-decomp by auto
then show  $\alpha - \langle A \cap \text{space real-borel} \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle$ 
  using  $\langle \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \rangle$   $\langle A \in \text{sets (qbs-to-measure } X) \rangle$ 
  by(auto simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
qed
qed

```

lemma *L-max-of-measurables:*

```

assumes space  $M = \text{qbs-space } X$ 
  and  $\text{qbs-Mx } X \subseteq \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M M$ 
  shows  $\text{sets } M \subseteq \text{sets (qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
proof
  fix  $U$ 
  assume  $U \in \text{sets } M$ 
  from  $\text{sets.sets-into-space}[OF \text{ this}]$   $\text{in-mono}[OF \text{ assms}(2)]$   $\text{measurable-sets-borel}[OF$ 
-  $\text{this}]$ 
  show  $U \in \text{sets (qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
    using  $\text{assms}(1)$ 
    by(auto intro!: exI[where x=U] simp: sigma-Mx-def)
qed

```

lemma *qbs-Mx-are-measurable[simp,measurable]:*

```

assumes  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  shows  $\alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } X$ 
  using  $\text{assms qbs-Mx-subset-of-measurable}$  by auto

```

lemma *measure-to-qbs-cong-sets:*

```

assumes  $\text{sets } M = \text{sets } N$ 
  shows  $\text{measure-to-qbs } M = \text{measure-to-qbs } N$ 
  by(rule qbs-eqI) (simp add: measurable-cong-sets[OF - assms])

```

lemma *lr-sets[simp,measurable-cong]:*

```

 $\text{sets } X \subseteq \text{sets (qbs-to-measure (measure-to-qbs } X))$ 
proof auto
  fix  $U$ 
  assume  $U \in \text{sets } X$ 
  then have  $U \cap \text{space } X = U$  by simp
  moreover have  $\forall \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M X. \alpha - \langle U \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle$ 
    using  $\langle U \in \text{sets } X \rangle$  by(auto simp add: measurable-def)
  ultimately show  $U \in \text{sigma-Mx (measure-to-qbs } X)$ 
    by(auto simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
qed

```

lemma(*in standard-borel*) *standard-borel-lr-sets-ident[simp, measurable-cong]:*

```

 $\text{sets (qbs-to-measure (measure-to-qbs } M)) = \text{sets } M$ 
proof auto
  fix  $V$ 

```

```

assume  $V \in \text{sigma-Mx } (\text{measure-to-qbs } M)$ 
then obtain  $U$  where  $H2: V = U \cap \text{space } M \wedge (\forall \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M M. \alpha - ' U \in \text{sets real-borel})$ 
by  $(\text{auto simp: sigma-Mx-def})$ 
hence  $g - ' V = g - ' (U \cap \text{space } M)$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
have  $\dots = g - ' U$  using  $g\text{-meas}$  by  $(\text{auto simp add: measurable-def})$ 
hence  $f - ' g - ' U \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
by  $(\text{meson } f\text{-meas } g\text{-meas measurable-sets } H2)$ 
moreover have  $f - ' g - ' U \cap \text{space } M = U \cap \text{space } M$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 
ultimately show  $V \in \text{sets } M$  using  $H2$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
next
fix  $U$ 
assume  $U \in \text{sets } M$ 
then show  $U \in \text{sigma-Mx } (\text{measure-to-qbs } M)$ 
using  $lr\text{-sets}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

2.2.3 The Adjunction

lemma $lr\text{-adjunction-correspondence}$:

$X \rightarrow_Q (\text{measure-to-qbs } Y) = (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \rightarrow_M Y$

proof (auto)

```

fix  $f$ 
assume  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q (\text{measure-to-qbs } Y)$ 
show  $f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M Y$ 
proof  $(\text{rule measurableI})$ 
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \in \text{space } (\text{qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
hence  $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
thus  $f x \in \text{space } Y$ 
using  $\langle f \in X \rightarrow_Q (\text{measure-to-qbs } Y) \rangle \text{ qbs-morphismE}[of f X \text{measure-to-qbs } Y]$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 
next
fix  $A$ 
assume  $A \in \text{sets } Y$ 
have  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. f \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{measure-to-qbs } Y)$ 
using  $\langle f \in X \rightarrow_Q (\text{measure-to-qbs } Y) \rangle$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
hence  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. f \circ \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M Y$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
hence  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' (f - ' A) \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
using  $\langle A \in \text{sets } Y \rangle \text{ measurable-sets-borel vimage-comp}$  by  $\text{metis}$ 
thus  $f - ' A \cap \text{space } (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \in \text{sets } (\text{qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
using  $\text{sigma-Mx-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

next

```

fix f
assume f ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M Y
show f ∈ X →Q measure-to-qbs Y
proof(rule qbs-morphismI,simp)
  fix α
  assume α ∈ qbs-Mx X
  show f ∘ α ∈ real-borel →M Y
  proof(rule measurableI)
    fix x
    assume x ∈ space real-borel
    from this ⟨α ∈ qbs-Mx X ⟩qbs-decomp have α x ∈ qbs-space X by auto
    hence α x ∈ space (qbs-to-measure X) by simp
    thus (f ∘ α) x ∈ space Y
      using ⟨f ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M Y⟩
      by (metis comp-def measurable-space)
  next
  fix A
  assume A ∈ sets Y
  from ⟨f ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M Y⟩ measurable-sets this measurable-def
  have f - ' A ∩ space (qbs-to-measure X) ∈ sets (qbs-to-measure X)
    by blast
  hence f - ' A ∩ qbs-space X ∈ sigma-Mx X by simp
  then have ∃ V. f - ' A ∩ qbs-space X = V ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ β ∈ qbs-Mx
X. β - ' V ∈ sets real-borel)
    by (simp add:sigma-Mx-def)
  then obtain V where h:f - ' A ∩ qbs-space X = V ∩ qbs-space X ∧ (∀ β ∈
qbs-Mx X. β - ' V ∈ sets real-borel) by auto
  have 1:α - ' (f - ' A) = α - ' (f - ' A ∩ qbs-space X)
    using ⟨α ∈ qbs-Mx X⟩ by blast
  have 2:α - ' (V ∩ qbs-space X) = α - ' V
    using ⟨α ∈ qbs-Mx X⟩ by blast
  from 1 2 h have (f ∘ α) - ' A = α - ' V by (simp add: vimage-comp)
  from this h ⟨α ∈ qbs-Mx X ⟩show (f ∘ α) - ' A ∩ space real-borel ∈ sets
real-borel by simp
qed
qed
qed

lemma(in standard-borel) standard-borel-r-full-faithful:
  M →M Y = measure-to-qbs M →Q measure-to-qbs Y
proof(standard;standard)
  fix h
  assume h ∈ M →M Y
  then show h ∈ measure-to-qbs M →Q measure-to-qbs Y
    using r-preserves-morphisms by auto
next
fix h
assume h:h ∈ measure-to-qbs M →Q measure-to-qbs Y
show h ∈ M →M Y

```

```

proof(rule measurableI)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{space } M$ 
  then show  $h\ x \in \text{space } Y$ 
    using  $h$  by auto
next
  fix  $U$ 
  assume  $U \in \text{sets } Y$ 
  have  $h \circ g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M Y$ 
    using  $\langle h \in \text{measure-to-qbs } M \rightarrow_Q \text{measure-to-qbs } Y \rangle$ 
    by(simp add: qbs-morphism-def)
  hence  $(h \circ g) - ' U \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
    by (simp add:  $\langle U \in \text{sets } Y \rangle \text{measurable-sets-borel}$ )
  hence  $f - ' ((h \circ g) - ' U) \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
    using f-meas in-borel-measurable-borel by blast
  moreover have  $f - ' ((h \circ g) - ' U) \cap \text{space } M = h - ' U \cap \text{space } M$ 
    using f-meas by auto
  ultimately show  $h - ' U \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-morphism-dest[dest]:
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{measure-to-qbs } Y$ 
  shows  $f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M Y$ 
  using assms lr-adjunction-correspondence by auto

```

```

lemma(in standard-borel) qbs-morphism-dest[dest]:
  assumes  $k \in \text{measure-to-qbs } M \rightarrow_Q \text{measure-to-qbs } Y$ 
  shows  $k \in M \rightarrow_M Y$ 
  using standard-borel-r-full-faithful assms by auto

```

```

lemma qbs-morphism-measurable-intro[intro!]:
  assumes  $f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M Y$ 
  shows  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{measure-to-qbs } Y$ 
  using assms lr-adjunction-correspondence by auto

```

```

lemma(in standard-borel) qbs-morphism-measurable-intro[intro!]:
  assumes  $k \in M \rightarrow_M Y$ 
  shows  $k \in \text{measure-to-qbs } M \rightarrow_Q \text{measure-to-qbs } Y$ 
  using standard-borel-r-full-faithful assms by auto

```

We can use the measurability prover when we reason about morphisms.

```

lemma
  assumes  $f \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. 2 * f\ x + (f\ x) \wedge 2) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ 
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ 

```

and $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
shows $(\lambda x. 2 * f (\alpha x) + (f (\alpha x))^{\wedge 2}) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *qbs-morphisn-from-countable*:

fixes $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$
assumes *countable* (*qbs-space* X)
 $\text{qbs-Mx } X \subseteq \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } (\text{qbs-space } X)$
and $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies f i \in \text{qbs-space } Y$
shows $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI*)
fix α
assume $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
then have [*measurable*]: $\alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } (\text{qbs-space } X)$
using *assms*(2) **..**
define $k :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$
where $k \equiv (\lambda i -. f i)$
have $f \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. k (\alpha r) r)$
by(*auto simp add: k-def*)
also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$
by(*rule qbs-closed3-dest2[OF assms(1)]*) (*use assms(3) k-def in simp-all*)
finally show $f \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$.
qed

corollary *nat-qbs-morphism*:

assumes $\bigwedge n. f n \in \text{qbs-space } Y$
shows $f \in \mathbb{N}_Q \rightarrow_Q Y$
using *assms measurable-cong-sets[OF refl sets-borel-eq-count-space, of real-borel]*
by(*auto intro!: qbs-morphisn-from-countable*)

corollary *bool-qbs-morphism*:

assumes $\bigwedge b. f b \in \text{qbs-space } Y$
shows $f \in \mathbb{B}_Q \rightarrow_Q Y$
using *assms measurable-cong-sets[OF refl sets-borel-eq-count-space, of real-borel]*
by(*auto intro!: qbs-morphisn-from-countable*)

2.2.4 The Adjunction w.r.t. Ordering

lemma *l-mono*:

mono qbs-to-measure
apply *standard*
subgoal for $X Y$
proof(*induction rule: less-eq-quasi-borel.induct*)
case (1 $X Y$)
then show ?*case*
by(*simp add: less-eq-measure.intros(1)*)
next
case (2 $X Y$)

```

then have  $\sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \subseteq \sigma\text{-}Mx\ Y$ 
  by(auto simp add:  $\sigma\text{-}Mx\text{-}def$ )
then consider  $\sigma\text{-}Mx\ X \subset \sigma\text{-}Mx\ Y \mid \sigma\text{-}Mx\ X = \sigma\text{-}Mx\ Y$ 
  by auto
then show ?case
  apply(cases)
  apply(rule less-eq-measure.intros(2))
  apply(simp-all add: 2)
  by(rule less-eq-measure.intros(3),simp-all add: 2)
qed
done

lemma r-mono:
  mono measure-to-qbs
  apply standard
  subgoal for  $M\ N$ 
  proof(induction rule: less-eq-measure.inducts)
    case (1  $M\ N$ )
    then show ?case
      by(simp add: less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1))
  next
    case (2  $M\ N$ )
    then have  $real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M N \subseteq real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M$ 
      by(simp add: measurable-mono)
    then consider  $real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M N \subset real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M \mid real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M N =$ 
 $real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M M$ 
      by auto
    then show ?case
      by(cases (rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2),simp-all add: 2))+
  next
    case (3  $M\ N$ )
    then show ?case
      apply -
      by(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2)) (simp-all add: measurable-mono)
  qed
done

lemma rl-order-adjunction:
   $X \leq qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ Y \iff measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X \leq Y$ 
proof
  assume 1:  $X \leq qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ Y$ 
  then show  $measure\text{-}to\text{-}qbs\ X \leq Y$ 
  proof(induction rule: less-eq-measure.cases)
    case (1  $M\ N$ )
    then have  $[simp]: qbs\text{-}space\ Y = space\ N$ 
      by(simp add: 1(2)[symmetric])
    show ?case
      by(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(1),simp add: 1)
  next

```

```

case (2 M N)
then have [simp]:qbs-space Y = space N
  by(simp add: 2(2)[symmetric])
show ?case
proof(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2),simp add:2,auto)
  fix α
  assume h:α ∈ qbs-Mx Y
  show α ∈ real-borel →M X
  proof(rule measurableI,simp-all)
    show  $\bigwedge x. \alpha \ x \in \text{space } X$ 
    using h by (auto simp add: 2)
  next
  fix A
  assume A ∈ sets X
  then have A ∈ sets (qbs-to-measure Y)
    using 2 by auto
  then obtain U where
    hu:A = U ∩ space N
    ( $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \alpha - ' U \in \text{sets real-borel}$ )
  by(auto simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
  have α - ' A = α - ' U
    using h qbs-decomp[of Y]
    by(auto simp add: hu)
  thus α - ' A ∈ sets borel
    using h hu(2) by simp
  qed
qed
next
case (3 M N)
then have [simp]:qbs-space Y = space N
  by(simp add: 3(2)[symmetric])
show ?case
proof(rule less-eq-quasi-borel.intros(2),simp add: 3,auto)
  fix α
  assume h:α ∈ qbs-Mx Y
  show α ∈ real-borel →M X
  proof(rule measurableI,simp-all)
    show  $\bigwedge x. \alpha \ x \in \text{space } X$ 
    using h by(auto simp: 3)
  next
  fix A
  assume A ∈ sets X
  then have A ∈ sets (qbs-to-measure Y)
    using 3 by auto
  then obtain U where
    hu:A = U ∩ space N
    ( $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \alpha - ' U \in \text{sets real-borel}$ )
  by(auto simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
  have α - ' A = α - ' U

```



```

      using h qbs-decomp[of Y]
      by(auto simp add: hu)
    thus  $\alpha - 'A \in \text{sets borel}$ 
      using h hu(2) by simp
  qed
qed
qed
next
  assume measure-to-qbs  $X \leq Y$ 
  then show  $X \leq \text{qbs-to-measure } Y$ 
  proof(induction rule: less-eq-quasi-borel.cases)
    case (1 A B)
    have [simp]:  $\text{space } X = \text{qbs-space } A$ 
      by(simp add: 1(1)[symmetric])
    show ?case
      by(rule less-eq-measure.intros(1)) (simp add: 1)
  next
    case (2 A B)
    then have hmy:  $\text{qbs-Mx } Y \subseteq \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M X$ 
      by auto
    have [simp]:  $\text{space } X = \text{qbs-space } A$ 
      by(simp add: 2(1)[symmetric])
    have sets  $X \subseteq \text{sigma-Mx } Y$ 
    proof
      fix U
      assume hu:  $U \in \text{sets } X$ 
      show  $U \in \text{sigma-Mx } Y$ 
      proof(simp add: sigma-Mx-def, rule exI[where x=U], auto)
        show  $\bigwedge x. x \in U \implies x \in \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
          using sets.sets-into-space[OF hu]
          by(auto simp add: 2)
      next
        fix  $\alpha$ 
        assume  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
        then have  $\alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M X$ 
          using hmy by(auto)
        thus  $\alpha - 'U \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
          using hu by(simp add: measurable-sets-borel)
      qed
    qed
  then consider sets  $X = \text{sigma-Mx } Y \mid \text{sets } X \subset \text{sigma-Mx } Y$ 
  by auto
  then show ?case
  proof cases
    case 1
    show ?thesis
      apply(rule less-eq-measure.intros(3), simp-all add: 1 2)
    proof(rule le-funI)
      fix U

```

```

consider  $U = \{\} \mid U \notin \text{sigma-Mx } B \mid U \neq \{\} \wedge U \in \text{sigma-Mx } B$ 
  by auto
then show  $\text{emeasure } X \ U \leq (\text{if } U = \{\} \vee U \notin \text{sigma-Mx } B \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \infty)$ 
proof cases
  case 1
    then show ?thesis by simp
  next
    case  $h:2$ 
    then have  $U \notin \text{sigma-Mx } A$ 
      using  $\text{qbs-Mx-sigma-Mx-contr}[OF \ 2(3)[\text{symmetric}] \ 2(4)]$ 
      by auto
    hence  $U \notin \text{sets } X$ 
      using  $\text{lr-sets } 2(1)$  by auto
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: h emeasure-notin-sets)
  next
    case 3
    then show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed
next
  case  $h2:2$ 
  show ?thesis
    by (rule less-eq-measure.intros(2)) (simp add: 2, simp add: h2)
  qed
qed
qed
end

```

2.3 Product Spaces

```

theory Binary-Product-QuasiBorel
imports Measure-QuasiBorel-Adjunction
begin

```

2.3.1 Binary Product Spaces

definition $\text{pair-qbs-Mx} :: ['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b) \text{ set}$
where
 $\text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y \equiv \{f. \text{fst} \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge \text{snd} \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y\}$

definition $\text{pair-qbs} :: ['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ quasi-borel}$ (**infixr** $\langle \otimes_Q \rangle$ 80) **where**
 $\text{pair-qbs } X \ Y = \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (\text{qbs-space } X \times \text{qbs-space } Y, \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y)$

lemma $\text{pair-qbs-f}[simp]: \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y \subseteq \text{UNIV} \rightarrow \text{qbs-space } X \times \text{qbs-space } Y$
unfolding *pair-qbs-Mx-def*

by (*auto simp: mem-Times-iff*[*of - qbs-space X qbs-space Y*]; *fastforce*)

lemma *pair-qbs-closed1*: *qbs-closed1* (*pair-qbs-Mx* (*X*::'a *quasi-borel*) (*Y*::'b *quasi-borel*))
unfolding *pair-qbs-Mx-def qbs-closed1-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *comp-assoc mem-Collect-eq qbs-closed1-dest*)

lemma *pair-qbs-closed2*: *qbs-closed2* (*qbs-space X* $\times$ *qbs-space Y*) (*pair-qbs-Mx X Y*)
unfolding *qbs-closed2-def pair-qbs-Mx-def*
by *auto*

lemma *pair-qbs-closed3*: *qbs-closed3* (*pair-qbs-Mx* (*X*::'a *quasi-borel*) (*Y*::'b *quasi-borel*))
proof(*auto simp add: qbs-closed3-def pair-qbs-Mx-def*)
fix *P* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat*
fix *Fi* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\times$ 'b
define *Fj* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ 'a **where** *Fj* $\equiv \lambda j. (fst \circ Fi\ j)$
assume $\forall i. fst \circ Fi\ i \in qbs-Mx\ X \wedge snd \circ Fi\ i \in qbs-Mx\ Y$
then have $\forall i. Fj\ i \in qbs-Mx\ X$ **by** (*simp add: Fj-def*)
moreover assume $\forall i. P - \{i\} \in sets\ real-borel$
ultimately have $(\lambda r. Fj\ (P\ r)\ r) \in qbs-Mx\ X$
by *auto*
moreover have $fst \circ (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) = (\lambda r. Fj\ (P\ r)\ r)$ **by** (*auto simp add: Fj-def*)
ultimately show $fst \circ (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) \in qbs-Mx\ X$ **by** *simp*
next
fix *P* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *nat*
fix *Fi* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\times$ 'b
define *Fj* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ 'b **where** *Fj* $\equiv \lambda j. (snd \circ Fi\ j)$
assume $\forall i. fst \circ Fi\ i \in qbs-Mx\ X \wedge snd \circ Fi\ i \in qbs-Mx\ Y$
then have $\forall i. Fj\ i \in qbs-Mx\ Y$ **by** (*simp add: Fj-def*)
moreover assume $\forall i. P - \{i\} \in sets\ real-borel$
ultimately have $(\lambda r. Fj\ (P\ r)\ r) \in qbs-Mx\ Y$
by *auto*
moreover have $snd \circ (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) = (\lambda r. Fj\ (P\ r)\ r)$ **by** (*auto simp add: Fj-def*)
ultimately show $snd \circ (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) \in qbs-Mx\ Y$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *pair-qbs-correct*: *Rep-quasi-borel* ($X \otimes_Q Y$) = (*qbs-space X* $\times$ *qbs-space Y*, *pair-qbs-Mx X Y*)
unfolding *pair-qbs-def*
by(*auto intro!: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse pair-qbs-f simp: pair-qbs-closed3 pair-qbs-closed2 pair-qbs-closed1*)

lemma *pair-qbs-space*[*simp*]: *qbs-space* ($X \otimes_Q Y$) = *qbs-space X* $\times$ *qbs-space Y*
by (*simp add: qbs-space-def pair-qbs-correct*)

lemma *pair-qbs-Mx*[*simp*]: *qbs-Mx* ($X \otimes_Q Y$) = *pair-qbs-Mx X Y*
by (*simp add: qbs-Mx-def pair-qbs-correct*)

lemma *pair-qbs-morphismI*:
assumes $\bigwedge \alpha \beta. \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \implies \beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$
 $\implies f \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha \ r, \beta \ r)) \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$
shows $f \in (X \otimes_Q Y) \rightarrow_Q Z$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI*)
fix α
assume $1:\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X \otimes_Q Y)$
have $f \circ \alpha = f \circ (\lambda r. ((fst \circ \alpha) \ r, (snd \circ \alpha) \ r))$
by *auto*
also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$
using $1 \text{ assms}[of \ fst \circ \alpha \ snd \circ \alpha]$
by(*simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def*)
finally show $f \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$.
qed

lemma *fst-qbs-morphism*:
 $fst \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q X$
by(*auto simp add: qbs-morphism-def pair-qbs-Mx-def*)

lemma *snd-qbs-morphism*:
 $snd \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Y$
by(*auto simp add: qbs-morphism-def pair-qbs-Mx-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-pair-iff*:
 $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y \otimes_Q Z \iff fst \circ f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y \wedge snd \circ f \in X \rightarrow_Q Z$
by(*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI qbs-morphism-comp[OF - fst-qbs-morphism, of f X Y Z] qbs-morphism-comp[OF - snd-qbs-morphism, of f X Y Z] simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-assoc[symmetric]*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-Pair1*:
assumes $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$
shows $\text{Pair } x \in Y \rightarrow_Q X \otimes_Q Y$
using *assms*
by(*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-Pair1'*:
assumes $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$
and $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
shows $(\lambda y. f \ (x, y)) \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
using *qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-Pair1[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]*
by(*simp add: comp-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-Pair2*:
assumes $y \in \text{qbs-space } Y$
shows $(\lambda x. (x, y)) \in X \rightarrow_Q X \otimes_Q Y$
using *assms*

```

by(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)

lemma qbs-morphism-Pair2':
  assumes  $y \in \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
    and  $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. f(x, y)) \in X \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
  using qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-Pair2[OF assms(1)] assms(2)]
  by(simp add: comp-def)

lemma qbs-morphism-fst'':
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda k. f(\text{fst } k)) \in X \otimes_Q Z \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
  using qbs-morphism-comp[OF fst-qbs-morphism assms, of Z]
  by(simp add: comp-def)

lemma qbs-morphism-snd'':
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda k. f(\text{snd } k)) \in Z \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
  using qbs-morphism-comp[OF snd-qbs-morphism assms, of Z]
  by(simp add: comp-def)

lemma qbs-morphism-tuple:
  assumes  $f \in Z \rightarrow_Q X$ 
    and  $g \in Z \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
  shows  $(\lambda z. (f z, g z)) \in Z \rightarrow_Q X \otimes_Q Y$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI, simp)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $h: \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$ 
  then have  $(\lambda z. (f z, g z)) \circ \alpha \in \text{UNIV} \rightarrow \text{qbs-space } X \times \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
  using assms qbs-morphismE(2)[OF assms(1)] qbs-morphismE(2)[OF assms(2)]
  by fastforce
  moreover have  $\text{fst} \circ ((\lambda z. (f z, g z)) \circ \alpha) = f \circ \alpha$  by auto
  moreover have  $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  using assms(1) h by auto
  moreover have  $\text{snd} \circ ((\lambda z. (f z, g z)) \circ \alpha) = g \circ \alpha$  by auto
  moreover have  $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  using assms(2) h by auto
  ultimately show  $(\lambda z. (f z, g z)) \circ \alpha \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X Y$ 
  by (simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
qed

lemma qbs-morphism-map-prod:
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
    and  $g \in X' \rightarrow_Q Y'$ 
  shows  $\text{map-prod } f g \in X \otimes_Q X' \rightarrow_Q Y \otimes_Q Y'$ 
proof(rule pair-qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha \beta$ 
  assume  $h: \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
     $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } X'$ 

```

have $[simp]: fst \circ (map\text{-}prod\ f\ g \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha\ r, \beta\ r))) = f \circ \alpha$ **by** *auto*
have $[simp]: snd \circ (map\text{-}prod\ f\ g \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha\ r, \beta\ r))) = g \circ \beta$ **by** *auto*
show $map\text{-}prod\ f\ g \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha\ r, \beta\ r)) \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ (Y \otimes_Q Y')$
using *h assms* **by** (*auto simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def*)
qed

lemma *qbs-morphism-pair-swap'*:
 $(\lambda(x,y). (y,x)) \in (X::'a\ quasi\text{-}borel) \otimes_Q (Y::'b\ quasi\text{-}borel) \rightarrow_Q Y \otimes_Q X$
by (*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' comp-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-pair-swap*:
assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
shows $(\lambda(x,y). f\ (y,x)) \in Y \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q Z$
proof –
have $(\lambda(x,y). f\ (y,x)) = f \circ (\lambda(x,y). (y,x))$ **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *qbs-morphism-comp* [*of* $(\lambda(x,y). (y,x))\ Y \otimes_Q X - f]$ *qbs-morphism-pair-swap'*
assms
by *auto*
qed

lemma *qbs-morphism-pair-assoc1*:
 $(\lambda((x,y),z). (x,(y,z))) \in (X \otimes_Q Y) \otimes_Q Z \rightarrow_Q X \otimes_Q (Y \otimes_Q Z)$
by (*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' comp-def*)

lemma *qbs-morphism-pair-assoc2*:
 $(\lambda(x,(y,z)). ((x,y),z)) \in X \otimes_Q (Y \otimes_Q Z) \rightarrow_Q (X \otimes_Q Y) \otimes_Q Z$
by (*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' comp-def*)

lemma *pair-qbs-fst*:
assumes $qbs\text{-}space\ Y \neq \{\}$
shows $map\text{-}qbs\ fst\ (X \otimes_Q Y) = X$
proof (*rule qbs-eqI*)
show $qbs\text{-}Mx\ (map\text{-}qbs\ fst\ (X \otimes_Q Y)) = qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$
proof *auto*
fix αx
assume $hx:\alpha x \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$
obtain αy **where** $hy:\alpha y \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y$
using *qbs-empty-equiv* [*of* *Y*] *assms*
by *auto*
show $\exists \alpha \in pair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx\ X\ Y. \alpha x = fst \circ \alpha$
by (*auto intro!: exI* [*where* $x = \lambda r. (\alpha x\ r, \alpha y\ r)$] *simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def hx hy comp-def*)
qed (*simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def*)
qed

lemma *pair-qbs-snd*:
assumes $qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\}$
shows $map\text{-}qbs\ snd\ (X \otimes_Q Y) = Y$

```

proof(rule qbs-eqI)
  show qbs-Mx (map-qbs snd (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y)) = qbs-Mx Y
proof auto
  fix  $\alpha y$ 
  assume  $hy:\alpha y \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  obtain  $\alpha x$  where  $hx:\alpha x \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  using qbs-empty-equiv[of X] assms
  by auto
  show  $\exists \alpha \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y. \alpha y = \text{snd} \circ \alpha$ 
  by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=\lambda r. (\alpha x \ r, \alpha y \ r)$ ] simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def hx hy
comp-def)
  qed (simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
qed

```

The following lemma corresponds to [1] Proposition 19(1).

```

lemma r-preserves-product :
  measure-to-qbs (X  $\otimes_M$  Y) = measure-to-qbs X  $\otimes_Q$  measure-to-qbs Y
  by(auto intro!: qbs-eqI simp: measurable-pair-iff pair-qbs-Mx-def)

lemma l-product-sets[simp,measurable-cong]:
  sets (qbs-to-measure X  $\otimes_M$  qbs-to-measure Y)  $\subseteq$  sets (qbs-to-measure (X  $\otimes_Q$ 
Y))
proof(rule sets-pair-in-sets,simp)
  fix A B
  assume  $h:A \in \text{sigma-Mx } X$ 
   $B \in \text{sigma-Mx } Y$ 
  then obtain Ua Ub where hu:
    A = Ua  $\cap$  qbs-space X  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha - ' Ua \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
    B = Ub  $\cap$  qbs-space Y  $\forall \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \alpha - ' Ub \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
    by(auto simp add: sigma-Mx-def)
  show A  $\times$  B  $\in$  sigma-Mx (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y)
  proof(simp add: sigma-Mx-def, rule exI[where x=Ua  $\times$  Ub])
    show A  $\times$  B = Ua  $\times$  Ub  $\cap$  qbs-space X  $\times$  qbs-space Y  $\wedge$ 
      ( $\forall \alpha \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y. \alpha - ' (Ua \times Ub) \in \text{sets real-borel}$ )
    using hu by(auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def vimage-Times)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma(in pair-standard-borel) l-r-r-sets[simp,measurable-cong]:
  sets (qbs-to-measure (measure-to-qbs M  $\otimes_Q$  measure-to-qbs N)) = sets (M  $\otimes_M$ 
N)
  by(simp only: r-preserves-product[symmetric]) (rule standard-borel-lr-sets-ident)

```

end

2.3.2 Product Spaces

theory *Product-QuasiBorel*

imports *Binary-Product-QuasiBorel*

begin

definition *prod-qbs-Mx* :: [*'a set, 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'b quasi-borel*] $\Rightarrow$ (*real $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'b*) *set*
where
prod-qbs-Mx I M $\equiv$ { $\alpha \mid \alpha. \forall i. (i \in I \longrightarrow (\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (M \ i)) \wedge (i \notin I \longrightarrow (\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) = (\lambda r. \text{undefined}))$ }

lemma *prod-qbs-MxI*:
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (M \ i)$
and $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies (\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) = (\lambda r. \text{undefined})$
shows $\alpha \in \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ M$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def*)

lemma *prod-qbs-MxE*:
assumes $\alpha \in \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ M$
shows $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (M \ i)$
and $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies (\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) = (\lambda r. \text{undefined})$
and $\bigwedge i \ r. i \notin I \implies \alpha \ r \ i = \text{undefined}$
using *assms* **by**(*auto simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def dest: fun-cong[where g=($\lambda r. \text{undefined}$)])*

definition *PiQ* :: *'a set $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\Rightarrow$ 'b quasi-borel) $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\Rightarrow$ 'b) quasi-borel* **where**
PiQ I M $\equiv \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (\Pi_E \ i \in I. \text{qbs-space } (M \ i), \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ M)$

syntax
 $\text{-PiQ} :: \text{pttrn} \Rightarrow 'i \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ quasi-borel} \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ quasi-borel} \ (\langle \exists \Pi_Q \text{-}\in \cdot / \text{-} \rangle \ 10)$

syntax-consts
 $\text{-PiQ} == \text{PiQ}$

translations
 $\Pi_Q \ x \in I. M == \text{CONST } \text{PiQ } I \ (\lambda x. M)$

lemma *PiQ-f*: *prod-qbs-Mx I M* $\subseteq \text{UNIV} \rightarrow (\Pi_E \ i \in I. \text{qbs-space } (M \ i))$
using *prod-qbs-MxE* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *PiQ-closed1*: *qbs-closed1 (prod-qbs-Mx I M)*

proof(*rule qbs-closed1I*)
fix $\alpha \ f$
assume $h: \alpha \in \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ M$
 $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$
show $\alpha \circ f \in \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ M$
proof(*rule prod-qbs-MxI*)
fix i
assume $i \in I$
from *prod-qbs-MxE(1)* [*OF h(1) this*]
have $(\lambda r. \alpha \ r \ i) \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } (M \ i)$


```

    using h(2) by auto
    thus  $(\lambda r. (\alpha \circ f) r i) \in qbs-Mx (M i)$ 
    by(simp add: comp-def)
next
fix i
assume  $i \notin I$ 
from prod-qbs-MxE(3)[OF h(1) this]
show  $(\lambda r. (\alpha \circ f) r i) = (\lambda r. undefined)$ 
by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma *PiQ-closed2: qbs-closed2* $(\Pi_E i \in I. qbs-space (M i)) (prod-qbs-Mx I M)$
proof(rule qbs-closed2I)

```

fix x
assume  $h: x \in (\Pi_E i \in I. qbs-space (M i))$ 
show  $(\lambda r. x) \in prod-qbs-Mx I M$ 
proof(rule prod-qbs-MxI)
fix i
assume  $hi: i \in I$ 
then have  $x i \in qbs-space (M i)$ 
using h by auto
thus  $(\lambda r. x i) \in qbs-Mx (M i)$ 
by auto
next
show  $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies (\lambda r. x i) = (\lambda r. undefined)$ 
using h by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *PiQ-closed3: qbs-closed3* $(prod-qbs-Mx I M)$
proof(rule qbs-closed3I)

```

fix P Fi
assume  $h: \bigwedge i::nat. P - \{i\} \in sets \text{ real-borel}$ 
 $\bigwedge i::nat. Fi i \in prod-qbs-Mx I M$ 
show  $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in prod-qbs-Mx I M$ 
proof(rule prod-qbs-MxI)
fix i
assume  $hi: i \in I$ 
show  $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r i) \in qbs-Mx (M i)$ 
using prod-qbs-MxE(1)[OF h(2) hi] qbs-closed3-dest[OF h(1), of  $\lambda j r. Fi j r$ 
i]
by auto
next
show  $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies$ 
 $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r i) = (\lambda r. undefined)$ 
using prod-qbs-MxE[OF h(2)] by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma PiQ-correct: Rep-quasi-borel (PiQ I M) = ( $\prod_{E \ i \in I. \text{ qbs-space } (M \ i)}$ ,
prod-qbs-Mx I M)
  by(auto intro!: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse PiQ-f is-quasi-borel-intro simp: PiQ-def
PiQ-closed1 PiQ-closed2 PiQ-closed3)

lemma PiQ-space[simp]: qbs-space (PiQ I M) = ( $\prod_{E \ i \in I. \text{ qbs-space } (M \ i)}$ )
  by(simp add: qbs-space-def PiQ-correct)

lemma PiQ-Mx[simp]: qbs-Mx (PiQ I M) = prod-qbs-Mx I M
  by(simp add: qbs-Mx-def PiQ-correct)

lemma qbs-morphism-component-singleton:
  assumes  $i \in I$ 
  shows  $(\lambda x. x \ i) \in (\prod_Q \ i \in I. (M \ i)) \rightarrow_Q M \ i$ 
  by(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def comp-def assms)

lemma product-qbs-canonical1:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f \ i \in Y \rightarrow_Q X \ i$ 
  and  $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies f \ i = (\lambda y. \text{undefined})$ 
  shows  $(\lambda y \ i. f \ i \ y) \in Y \rightarrow_Q (\prod_Q \ i \in I. X \ i)$ 
  using qbs-morphismE(3)[simplified comp-def, OF assms(1)] assms(2)
  by(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI prod-qbs-MxI)

lemma product-qbs-canonical2:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f \ i \in Y \rightarrow_Q X \ i$ 
   $\bigwedge i. i \notin I \implies f \ i = (\lambda y. \text{undefined})$ 
   $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q (\prod_Q \ i \in I. X \ i)$ 
   $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f \ i = (\lambda x. x \ i) \circ g$ 
  and  $y \in \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
  shows  $g \ y = (\lambda i. f \ i \ y)$ 
proof(rule ext)+
  fix  $i$ 
  show  $g \ y \ i = f \ i \ y$ 
  proof(cases i \in I)
  case True
  then show ?thesis
    using assms(4)[of i] by simp
  next
  case False
  moreover have  $(\lambda r. y) \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  using assms(5) by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using assms(2,3) qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(3) -]
    by(fastforce simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma merge-qbs-morphism:
   $\text{merge } I \ J \in (\Pi_Q \ i \in I. (M \ i)) \otimes_Q (\Pi_Q \ j \in J. (M \ j)) \rightarrow_Q (\Pi_Q \ i \in I \cup J. (M \ i))$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $h: \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } ((\Pi_Q \ i \in I. (M \ i)) \otimes_Q (\Pi_Q \ j \in J. (M \ j)))$ 
  show  $\text{merge } I \ J \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\Pi_Q \ i \in I \cup J. (M \ i))$ 
  proof(simp, rule prod-qbs-MxI)
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $i \in I \cup J$ 
    then consider  $i \in I \mid i \in I \wedge i \in J \mid i \notin I \wedge i \in J$ 
    by auto
    then show  $(\lambda r. (\text{merge } I \ J \circ \alpha) \ r \ i) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (M \ i)$ 
    apply cases
    using  $h$ 
    by(auto simp: merge-def pair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' dest: prod-qbs-MxE)
  next
  fix  $i$ 
  assume  $i \notin I \cup J$ 
  then show  $(\lambda r. (\text{merge } I \ J \circ \alpha) \ r \ i) = (\lambda r. \text{undefined})$ 
  using  $h$ 
  by(auto simp: merge-def pair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' dest: prod-qbs-MxE)
qed
qed

```

The following lemma corresponds to [1] Proposition 19(1).

```

lemma r-preserves-product':
   $\text{measure-to-qbs } (\Pi_M \ i \in I. M \ i) = (\Pi_Q \ i \in I. \text{measure-to-qbs } (M \ i))$ 
proof(rule qbs-eqI)
  show  $\text{qbs-Mx } (\text{measure-to-qbs } (Pi_M \ I \ M)) = \text{qbs-Mx } (\Pi_Q \ i \in I. \text{measure-to-qbs } (M \ i))$ 
  proof auto
    fix  $f$ 
    assume  $h: f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M Pi_M \ I \ M$ 
    show  $f \in \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ (\lambda i. \text{measure-to-qbs } (M \ i))$ 
    proof(rule prod-qbs-MxI)
      fix  $i$ 
      assume  $1: i \in I$ 
      show  $(\lambda r. f \ r \ i) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{measure-to-qbs } (M \ i))$ 
      using measurable-comp[OF h measurable-component-singleton[OF 1, of M]]
      by (simp add: comp-def)
    next
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $1: i \notin I$ 
    then show  $(\lambda r. f \ r \ i) = (\lambda r. \text{undefined})$ 
    using measurable-space[OF h] 1
    by(auto simp: space-PiM PiE-def extensional-def)
  qed
next
  fix  $f$ 

```

```

assume  $h:f \in \text{prod-qbs-Mx } I \ (\lambda i. \text{measure-to-qbs } (M \ i))$ 
show  $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{Pi}_M \ I \ M$ 
apply(rule measurable-PiM-single')
using  $\text{prod-qbs-MxE}(1)[OF \ h]$  apply  $\text{auto}[1]$ 
using  $\text{PiQ-f}[of \ I \ M]$   $h$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed
qed

```

$$\prod_{i=0,1} X_i \cong X_1 \times X_2.$$

lemma *product-binary-product:*

```

 $\exists f \ g. f \in (\Pi_Q \ i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y) \rightarrow_Q X \otimes_Q Y \wedge g \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q$ 
 $(\Pi_Q \ i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y) \wedge$ 
 $g \circ f = id \wedge f \circ g = id$ 
by( $\text{auto intro!} : \text{exI}[\textbf{where } x=\lambda f. (f \ \text{True}, f \ \text{False})] \text{exI}[\textbf{where } x=\lambda xy \ b. \text{if } b \text{ then}$ 
 $\text{fst } xy \text{ else snd } xy] \text{qbs-morphismI}$ 
 $\text{simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def all-bool-eq ext})$ 

```

end

2.4 Coproduct Spaces

```

theory Binary-CoProduct-QuasiBorel
imports Measure-QuasiBorel-Adjunction
begin

```

2.4.1 Binary Coproduct Spaces

definition *copair-qbs-Mx* :: $['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a + 'b) \text{ set}$
where

```

copair-qbs-Mx  $X \ Y \equiv$ 
 $\{g. \exists S \in \text{sets real-borel}.$ 
 $(S = \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r)))) \wedge$ 
 $(S = UNIV \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))) \wedge$ 
 $((S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV) \longrightarrow$ 
 $(\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X.$ 
 $\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $g = (\lambda r::\text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))))\}$ 

```

definition *copair-qbs* :: $['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow ('a + 'b) \text{ quasi-borel}$
(infixr $\langle + \rangle_Q$ **65)** **where**
 $\text{copair-qbs } X \ Y \equiv \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (\text{qbs-space } X \langle + \rangle \text{ qbs-space } Y, \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y)$

The followin is an equivalent definition of *copair-qbs-Mx*.

definition *copair-qbs-Mx2* :: $['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a + 'b) \text{ set}$
where
 $\text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y \equiv$
 $\{g. (\text{if } \text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\} \text{ then } \text{False}$

else if $qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\}$ $\wedge$ $qbs\text{-}space\ Y = \{\}$ then
 $(\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. g = (\lambda r. Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)))$
 else if $qbs\text{-}space\ X = \{\}$ $\wedge$ $qbs\text{-}space\ Y \neq \{\}$ then
 $(\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y. g = (\lambda r. Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)))$
 else
 $(\exists S \in sets\ real\text{-}borel. \exists \alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. \exists \alpha 2 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y.$
 $g = (\lambda r::real. (if\ (r \in S)\ then\ Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)\ else\ Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r))))\}$

lemma *copair-qbs-Mx-equiv* : *copair-qbs-Mx* ($X :: 'a\ quasi\text{-}borel$) ($Y :: 'b\ quasi\text{-}borel$)
 = *copair-qbs-Mx2* $X\ Y$
proof(*auto*)

fix $g :: real \Rightarrow 'a + 'b$
assume $g \in copair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx\ X\ Y$
then obtain S **where** $hs:S \in sets\ real\text{-}borel \wedge$
 $(S = \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. g = (\lambda r. Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)))) \wedge$
 $(S = UNIV \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y. g = (\lambda r. Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)))) \wedge$
 $((S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV) \longrightarrow$
 $(\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X.$
 $\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y.$
 $g = (\lambda r::real. (if\ (r \in S)\ then\ Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)\ else\ Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r))))$
by (*auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def*)
consider $S = \{\} \mid S = UNIV \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$ **by** *auto*
then show $g \in copair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx2\ X\ Y$
proof *cases*
 assume $S = \{\}$
 from hs **this have** $\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. g = (\lambda r. Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r))$ **by** *simp*
 then obtain $\alpha 1$ **where** $h1:\alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X \wedge g = (\lambda r. Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r))$ **by** *auto*
 have $qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\}$
 using *qbs-empty-equiv h1*
 by *auto*
 then have $(qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs\text{-}space\ Y = \{\}) \vee (qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge$
 $qbs\text{-}space\ Y \neq \{\})$
 by *simp*
 then show $g \in copair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx2\ X\ Y$
 proof
 assume $qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs\text{-}space\ Y = \{\}$
 then show $g \in copair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx2\ X\ Y$
 by(*simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def* $\langle \exists \alpha 1 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X. g = (\lambda r. Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)) \rangle$)
 next
 assume $qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs\text{-}space\ Y \neq \{\}$
 then obtain $\alpha 2$ **where** $\alpha 2 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y$ **using** *qbs-empty-equiv* **by** *force*
 define $S' :: real\ set$
 where $S' \equiv UNIV$
 define $g' :: real \Rightarrow 'a + 'b$
 where $g' \equiv (\lambda r::real. (if\ (r \in S')\ then\ Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)\ else\ Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)))$
 from $\langle qbs\text{-}space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs\text{-}space\ Y \neq \{\} \rangle h1\ \langle \alpha 2 \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y \rangle$
 have $g' \in copair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx2\ X\ Y$
 by(*force simp add: S'-def g'-def copair-qbs-Mx2-def*)

```

    moreover have  $g = g'$ 
      using  $h1$  by(simp add: g'-def S'-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
next
  assume  $S = UNIV$ 
  from  $hs$  this have  $\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx\ Y. g = (\lambda r. Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r))$  by simp
  then obtain  $\alpha 2$  where  $h2: \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx\ Y \wedge g = (\lambda r. Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r))$  by auto
  have  $qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ 
    using qbs-empty-equiv h2
    by auto
  then have  $(qbs-space\ X = \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}) \vee (qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\})$ 
    by simp
  then show  $g \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y$ 
  proof
    assume  $qbs-space\ X = \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ 
    then show ?thesis
      by(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def  $\langle \exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx\ Y. g = (\lambda r. Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)) \rangle$ )
  next
    assume  $qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ 
    then obtain  $\alpha 1$  where  $\alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx\ X$  using qbs-empty-equiv by force
    define  $S' :: real\ set$ 
      where  $S' \equiv \{\}$ 
    define  $g' :: real \Rightarrow 'a + 'b$ 
      where  $g' \equiv (\lambda r::real. (if\ (r \in S')\ then\ Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)\ else\ Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)))$ 
    from  $\langle qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\} \rangle h2\ \langle \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx\ X \rangle$ 
    have  $g' \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y$ 
      by(force simp add: S'-def g'-def copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
    moreover have  $g = g'$ 
      using  $h2$  by(simp add: g'-def S'-def)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
next
  assume  $S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$ 
  then have
     $h: \exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx\ X.$ 
       $\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx\ Y.$ 
         $g = (\lambda r::real. (if\ (r \in S)\ then\ Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)\ else\ Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)))$ 
    using  $hs$  by simp
  then have  $qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ 
    by (metis empty-iff qbs-empty-equiv)
  thus ?thesis
    using  $hs\ h$  by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
qed

```

```

next
  fix  $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a + 'b$ 
  assume  $g \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y$ 
  then have
    h: if  $\text{qbs-space } X = \{\}$   $\wedge$   $\text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}$  then False
      else if  $\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\}$   $\wedge$   $\text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}$  then
         $(\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r)))$ 
      else if  $\text{qbs-space } X = \{\}$   $\wedge$   $\text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\}$  then
         $(\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))$ 
      else
         $(\exists S \in \text{sets real-borel}. \exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \\ g = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))))$ 
    by(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  consider  $(\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}) \mid$ 
     $(\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}) \mid$ 
     $(\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\}) \mid$ 
     $(\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\})$  by auto
  then show  $g \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
  proof cases
    assume  $\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle g \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y \rangle$  by(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  next
    assume  $\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}$ 
    from h this have  $\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r))$  by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  next
    assume  $\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\}$ 
    from h this have  $\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$  by simp
    thus ?thesis
      unfolding copair-qbs-Mx-def
      by(force simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  next
    assume  $\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\}$ 
    from h this have
       $\exists S \in \text{sets real-borel}. \exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \\ g = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))$  by simp
    then show ?thesis
      proof(auto simp add: exE)
        fix  $S$ 
        fix  $\alpha 1$ 
        fix  $\alpha 2$ 
        assume  $S \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
           $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
           $\alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
           $g = (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \\ \text{else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
        consider  $S = \{\} \mid S = \text{UNIV} \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq \text{UNIV}$  by auto
      end
  end

```

```

    then show  $(\lambda r. \text{ if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)) \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X$ 
  Y
  proof cases
    assume  $S = \{\}$ 
    then have  $[simp]: (\lambda r. \text{ if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)) = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
    by simp
    have  $UNIV \in \text{sets real-borel}$  by simp
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \rangle$  unfolding copair-qbs-Mx-def
      by(auto intro! : beXI[where  $x=UNIV$ ])
  next
    assume  $S = UNIV$ 
    then have  $(\lambda r. \text{ if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)) = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r))$ 
    by simp
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \rangle$ 
      by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  next
    assume  $S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle S \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle \langle \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \rangle \langle \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \rangle$ 
      by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma copair-qbs-f[simp]:  $\text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y \subseteq UNIV \rightarrow \text{qbs-space } X \lt+> \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
proof
  fix g
  assume  $g \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
  then obtain S where  $hs:S \in \text{sets real-borel} \wedge$ 
     $(S = \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r)))) \wedge$ 
     $(S = UNIV \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. g = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))) \wedge$ 
     $((S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV) \longrightarrow$ 
     $(\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X.$ 
     $\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
     $g = (\lambda r::\text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))))))$ 
  by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  consider  $S = \{\} \mid S = UNIV \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$  by auto
  then show  $g \in UNIV \rightarrow \text{qbs-space } X \lt+> \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
  proof cases
    assume  $S = \{\}$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using hs by auto
  next

```



```

    assume  $S = UNIV$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using  $hs$  by auto
  next
    assume  $S \neq \{\}$   $\wedge S \neq UNIV$ 
    then have  $\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx\ X. \exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx\ Y.$ 
       $g = (\lambda r::real. (if\ (r \in S)\ then\ Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r)\ else\ Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r)))$  using  $hs$  by
simp
    then show ?thesis
      by(auto simp add: exE)
    qed
  qed

lemma copair-qbs-closed1: qbs-closed1 (copair-qbs-Mx X Y)
proof(auto simp add: qbs-closed1-def)
  fix  $g$ 
  fix  $f$ 
  assume  $g \in copair-qbs-Mx\ X\ Y$ 
     $f \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel$ 
  then have  $g \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y$  using copair-qbs-Mx-equiv by auto
  consider ( $qbs-space\ X = \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y = \{\}$ ) |
    ( $qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y = \{\}$ ) |
    ( $qbs-space\ X = \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ ) |
    ( $qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ ) by auto
  then have  $g \circ f \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y$ 
  proof cases
    assume  $qbs-space\ X = \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y = \{\}$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle g \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y \rangle qbs-empty-equiv$  by(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  next
    assume  $qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y = \{\}$ 
    then obtain  $\alpha 1$  where  $h1:\alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx\ X \wedge g = (\lambda r. Inl\ (\alpha 1\ r))$ 
      using  $\langle g \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y \rangle$  by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
    then have  $\alpha 1 \circ f \in qbs-Mx\ X$ 
      using  $\langle f \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel \rangle$  by auto
    moreover have  $g \circ f = (\lambda r. Inl\ ((\alpha 1 \circ f)\ r))$ 
      using  $h1$  by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using  $\langle qbs-space\ X \neq \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y = \{\} \rangle$  by(force simp add: co-
pair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  next
    assume ( $qbs-space\ X = \{\} \wedge qbs-space\ Y \neq \{\}$ )
    then obtain  $\alpha 2$  where  $h2:\alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx\ Y \wedge g = (\lambda r. Inr\ (\alpha 2\ r))$ 
      using  $\langle g \in copair-qbs-Mx2\ X\ Y \rangle$  by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
    then have  $\alpha 2 \circ f \in qbs-Mx\ Y$ 
      using  $\langle f \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel \rangle$  by auto
    moreover have  $g \circ f = (\lambda r. Inr\ ((\alpha 2 \circ f)\ r))$ 
      using  $h2$  by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis

```

```

using ⟨qbs-space  $X = \{\}$   $\wedge$  qbs-space  $Y \neq \{\}$ ⟩ by(force simp add: co-
pair-qbs-Mx2-def)
next
assume qbs-space  $X \neq \{\}$   $\wedge$  qbs-space  $Y \neq \{\}$ 
then have  $\exists S \in \text{sets real-borel}. \exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
   $g = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))$ 
using ⟨ $g \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y$ ⟩ by(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
then show ?thesis
proof(auto simp add: exE)
  fix  $S$ 
  fix  $\alpha 1$ 
  fix  $\alpha 2$ 
assume  $S \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
   $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
   $\alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
   $g = (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
have  $f - ' S \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
  using ⟨ $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ ⟩ ⟨ $S \in \text{sets real-borel}$ ⟩
  by (simp add: measurable-sets-borel)
moreover have  $\alpha 1 \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  using ⟨ $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ ⟩ ⟨ $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ ⟩ qbs-decomp
  by(auto simp add: qbs-closed1-def)
moreover have  $\alpha 2 \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  using ⟨ $\alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ ⟩ ⟨ $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ ⟩ qbs-decomp
  by(auto simp add: qbs-closed1-def)
moreover have
   $(\lambda r. \text{if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)) \circ f = (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in f - ' S \text{ then}$ 
 $\text{Inl } ((\alpha 1 \circ f) \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } ((\alpha 2 \circ f) \ r))$ 
  by auto
ultimately show  $(\lambda r. \text{if } r \in S \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)) \circ f \in$ 
 $\text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y$ 
using ⟨qbs-space  $X \neq \{\}$   $\wedge$  qbs-space  $Y \neq \{\}$ ⟩ by(force simp add: co-
pair-qbs-Mx2-def)
qed
qed
thus  $g \circ f \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
using copair-qbs-Mx-equiv by auto
qed

```

lemma copair-qbs-closed2: qbs-closed2 (qbs-space $X <+> \text{qbs-space } Y$) (copair-qbs-Mx $X \ Y$)

```

proof(auto simp add: qbs-closed2-def)
  fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 
define  $\alpha 1 :: \text{real} \Rightarrow -$  where  $\alpha 1 \equiv (\lambda r. x)$ 
have  $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$  using ⟨ $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ ⟩ qbs-decomp
  by(force simp add: qbs-closed2-def  $\alpha 1$ -def)
moreover have  $(\lambda r. \text{Inl } x) = (\lambda l. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ l))$  by (simp add:  $\alpha 1$ -def)
moreover have  $\{\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$  by auto

```

```

ultimately show  $(\lambda r. \text{Inl } x) \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
  by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
next
fix y
assume  $y \in \text{qbs-space } Y$ 
define  $\alpha 2 :: \text{real} \Rightarrow -$  where  $\alpha 2 \equiv (\lambda r. y)$ 
have  $\alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$  using  $\langle y \in \text{qbs-space } Y \rangle \text{ qbs-decomp}$ 
  by(force simp add: qbs-closed2-def  $\alpha 2$ -def )
moreover have  $(\lambda r. \text{Inr } y) = (\lambda l. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ l))$  by (simp add:  $\alpha 2$ -def)
moreover have  $UNIV \in \text{sets real-borel}$  by auto
ultimately show  $(\lambda r. \text{Inr } y) \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
  unfolding copair-qbs-Mx-def
  by(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x = UNIV$ ])
qed

lemma copair-qbs-closed3: qbs-closed3 (copair-qbs-Mx  $X \ Y$ )
proof(auto simp add: qbs-closed3-def)
  fix  $P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  fix  $Fi :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow - + -$ 
  assume  $\forall i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
     $\forall i. Fi \ i \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
  then have  $\forall i. Fi \ i \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y$  using copair-qbs-Mx-equiv by blast
  consider  $(\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}) \mid$ 
     $(\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}) \mid$ 
     $(\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\}) \mid$ 
     $(\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\})$  by auto
  then have  $(\lambda r. Fi \ (P \ r) \ r) \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y$ 
  proof cases
    assume  $\text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}$ 
    then show ?thesis
      using  $\langle \forall i. Fi \ i \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y \rangle \text{ qbs-empty-equiv}$ 
      by(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  next
    assume  $\text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\}$ 
    then have  $\forall i. \exists \alpha i. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge Fi \ i = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha i \ r))$ 
      using  $\langle \forall i. Fi \ i \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X \ Y \rangle$  by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
    then have  $\exists \alpha 1. \forall i. \alpha 1 \ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge Fi \ i = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ i \ r))$ 
      by(rule choice)
    then obtain  $\alpha 1 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ 
      where  $h1: \forall i. \alpha 1 \ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge Fi \ i = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ i \ r))$  by auto
    define  $\beta :: \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ 
      where  $\beta \equiv (\lambda r. \alpha 1 \ (P \ r) \ r)$ 
    from  $\langle \forall i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle h1$ 
    have  $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
      by (simp add:  $\beta$ -def)
    moreover have  $(\lambda r. Fi \ (P \ r) \ r) = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\beta \ r))$ 
      using  $h1$  by(simp add:  $\beta$ -def)
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using  $\langle \text{qbs-space } X \neq \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y = \{\} \rangle$  by (auto simp add: co-
```

```

pair-qbs-Mx2-def)
next
  assume qbs-space  $X = \{\}$   $\wedge$  qbs-space  $Y \neq \{\}$ 
  then have  $\forall i. \exists \alpha i. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \wedge Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha i\ r))$ 
  using  $\langle \forall i. Fi\ i \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X\ Y \rangle$  by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  then have  $\exists \alpha 2. \forall i. \alpha 2\ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \wedge Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2\ i\ r))$ 
  by (rule choice)
  then obtain  $\alpha 2 :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ 
  where  $h2: \forall i. \alpha 2\ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \wedge Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2\ i\ r))$  by auto
  define  $\beta :: \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ 
  where  $\beta \equiv (\lambda r. \alpha 2\ (P\ r)\ r)$ 
  from  $\langle \forall i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle$  h2 qbs-decomp
  have  $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  by (simp add:  $\beta$ -def)
  moreover have  $(\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\beta\ r))$ 
  using h2 by (simp add:  $\beta$ -def)
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using  $\langle \text{qbs-space } X = \{\} \wedge \text{qbs-space } Y \neq \{\} \rangle$  by (auto simp add: co-
pair-qbs-Mx2-def)
next
  assume qbs-space  $X \neq \{\}$   $\wedge$  qbs-space  $Y \neq \{\}$ 
  then have  $\forall i. \exists Si. Si \in \text{sets real-borel} \wedge (\exists \alpha 1 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in Si) \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1 i\ r) \text{ else Inr } (\alpha 2 i\ r))))$ 
  using  $\langle \forall i. Fi\ i \in \text{copair-qbs-Mx2 } X\ Y \rangle$  by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
  then have  $\exists S. \forall i. S\ i \in \text{sets real-borel} \wedge (\exists \alpha 1 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S\ i) \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1 i\ r) \text{ else Inr } (\alpha 2 i\ r))))$ 
  by (rule choice)
  then obtain  $S :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real set}$ 
  where  $hs : \forall i. S\ i \in \text{sets real-borel} \wedge (\exists \alpha 1 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S\ i) \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1 i\ r) \text{ else Inr } (\alpha 2 i\ r))))$ 
  by auto
  then have  $\forall i. \exists \alpha 1 i. \alpha 1 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge (\exists \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S\ i) \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1 i\ r) \text{ else Inr } (\alpha 2 i\ r))))$ 
  by blast
  then have  $\exists \alpha 1. \forall i. \alpha 1\ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge (\exists \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S\ i) \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1\ i\ r) \text{ else Inr } (\alpha 2 i\ r))))$ 
  by (rule choice)
  then obtain  $\alpha 1$ 
  where  $h1: \forall i. \alpha 1\ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge (\exists \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y.$ 
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. (\text{if } (r \in S\ i) \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1\ i\ r) \text{ else Inr } (\alpha 2 i\ r))))$ 
  by auto
  define  $\beta 1 :: \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ 
  where  $\beta 1 \equiv (\lambda r. \alpha 1\ (P\ r)\ r)$ 
  from  $\langle \forall i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel} \rangle$  h1 qbs-decomp
  have  $\beta 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  by (simp add:  $\beta 1$ -def)
  from h1 have  $\forall i. \exists \alpha 2 i. \alpha 2 i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \wedge$ 

```

```

      Fi i = (λr::real. (if (r ∈ S i) then Inl (α1 i r) else Inr (α2 i r)))
    by auto
  then have ∃ α2. ∀ i. α2 i ∈ qbs-Mx Y ∧
      Fi i = (λr::real. (if (r ∈ S i) then Inl (α1 i r) else Inr (α2 i r)))
    by(rule choice)
  then obtain α2
    where h2: ∀ i. α2 i ∈ qbs-Mx Y ∧
      Fi i = (λr::real. (if (r ∈ S i) then Inl (α1 i r) else Inr (α2 i r)))
    by auto
  define β2 :: real ⇒ -
    where β2 ≡ (λr. α2 (P r) r)
  from ⟨∀ i. P -' {i} ∈ sets real-borel⟩ h2 qbs-decomp
  have β2 ∈ qbs-Mx Y
    by(simp add: β2-def)
  define A :: nat ⇒ real set
    where A ≡ (λi. S i ∩ P -' {i})
  have ∀ i. A i ∈ sets real-borel
    using A-def ⟨∀ i. P -' {i} ∈ sets real-borel⟩ hs by blast
  define S' :: real set
    where S' ≡ {r. r ∈ S (P r)}
  have S' = (⋃ i::nat. A i)
    by(auto simp add: S'-def A-def)
  hence S' ∈ sets real-borel
    using ⟨∀ i. A i ∈ sets real-borel⟩ by auto
  from h2 have (λr. Fi (P r) r) = (λr. (if r ∈ S' then Inl (β1 r)
    else Inr (β2 r)))
    by(auto simp add: β1-def β2-def S'-def)
  thus (λr. Fi (P r) r) ∈ copair-qbs-Mx2 X Y
    using ⟨qbs-space X ≠ {} ∧ qbs-space Y ≠ {}⟩ ⟨S' ∈ sets real-borel⟩ ⟨β1 ∈
qbs-Mx X⟩ ⟨β2 ∈ qbs-Mx Y⟩
    by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx2-def)
qed
thus (λr. Fi (P r) r) ∈ copair-qbs-Mx X Y
  using copair-qbs-Mx-equiv by auto
qed

```

lemma *copair-qbs-correct*: *Rep-quasi-borel* (copair-qbs X Y) = (qbs-space X <+>
qbs-space Y, copair-qbs-Mx X Y)
unfolding *copair-qbs-def*
by(auto intro!: *Abs-quasi-borel-inverse copair-qbs-f simp: copair-qbs-closed2 co-*
pair-qbs-closed1 copair-qbs-closed3)

lemma *copair-qbs-space[simp]*: *qbs-space* (copair-qbs X Y) = *qbs-space* X <+>
qbs-space Y
by(simp add: *qbs-space-def copair-qbs-correct*)

lemma *copair-qbs-Mx[simp]*: *qbs-Mx* (copair-qbs X Y) = *copair-qbs-Mx* X Y
by(simp add: *qbs-Mx-def copair-qbs-correct*)

```

lemma Inl-qbs-morphism:
   $Inl \in X \rightarrow_Q X <+>_Q Y$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $\alpha \in qbs-Mx X$ 
  moreover have  $Inl \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha r))$  by auto
  ultimately show  $Inl \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx (X <+>_Q Y)$ 
    by(auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
qed

lemma Inr-qbs-morphism:
   $Inr \in Y \rightarrow_Q X <+>_Q Y$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $\alpha \in qbs-Mx Y$ 
  moreover have  $Inr \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. Inr (\alpha r))$  by auto
  ultimately show  $Inr \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx (X <+>_Q Y)$ 
    by(auto intro!: bexI[where x=UNIV] simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
qed

lemma case-sum-preserves-morphisms:
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
  and  $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
  shows  $case-sum f g \in X <+>_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI; auto)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $\alpha \in copair-qbs-Mx X Y$ 
  then obtain  $S$  where  $hs: S \in sets \text{ real-borel} \wedge$ 
     $(S = \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha1 \in qbs-Mx X. \alpha = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha1 r)))) \wedge$ 
     $(S = UNIV \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha2 \in qbs-Mx Y. \alpha = (\lambda r. Inr (\alpha2 r)))) \wedge$ 
     $((S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV) \longrightarrow$ 
       $(\exists \alpha1 \in qbs-Mx X.$ 
         $\exists \alpha2 \in qbs-Mx Y.$ 
         $\alpha = (\lambda r::real. (if (r \in S) then Inl (\alpha1 r) else Inr (\alpha2 r)))))$ 
  by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  consider  $S = \{\} \mid S = UNIV \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$  by auto
  then show  $case-sum f g \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx Z$ 
proof cases
  assume  $S = \{\}$ 
  then obtain  $\alpha1$  where  $h1: \alpha1 \in qbs-Mx X \wedge \alpha = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha1 r))$ 
    using  $hs$  by auto
  then have  $f \circ \alpha1 \in qbs-Mx Z$ 
    using assms by(auto simp add: qbs-morphism-def)
  moreover have  $case-sum f g \circ \alpha = f \circ \alpha1$ 
    using  $h1$  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
  assume  $S = UNIV$ 

```



```

    then show ?thesis
      using hs by (simp add: P-def borel-comp)
  next
    assume  $i \neq 0 \wedge i \neq 1$ 
    then show ?thesis by (simp add: P-def)
  qed
qed
ultimately have  $(\lambda r. F (P r) r) \in qbs-Mx Z$ 
  by simp
moreover have  $case-sum f g \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. F (P r) r)$ 
  using h by (auto simp add: F-def P-def)
ultimately show  $case-sum f g \circ \alpha \in qbs-Mx Z$  by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma *map-sum-preserves-morphisms*:

```

  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$ 
    and  $g \in X' \rightarrow_Q Y'$ 
  shows  $map-sum f g \in X <+>_Q X' \rightarrow_Q Y <+>_Q Y'$ 
proof (rule qbs-morphismI, simp)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $\alpha \in copair-qbs-Mx X X'$ 
  then obtain S where  $hs: S \in sets \text{ real-borel} \wedge$ 
    ( $S = \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X. \alpha = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha 1 r)))) \wedge$ 
    ( $S = UNIV \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx X'. \alpha = (\lambda r. Inr (\alpha 2 r)))) \wedge$ 
    ( $(S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV) \longrightarrow$ 
      ( $\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X.$ 
         $\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx X'.$ 
         $\alpha = (\lambda r::real. (if (r \in S) then Inl (\alpha 1 r) else Inr (\alpha 2 r))))))$ 
  by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  consider  $S = \{\} \mid S = UNIV \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$  by auto
  then show  $map-sum f g \circ \alpha \in copair-qbs-Mx Y Y'$ 
proof cases
  assume  $S = \{\}$ 
  then obtain  $\alpha 1$  where  $h1: \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X \wedge \alpha = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha 1 r))$ 
    using hs by auto
  define  $f' :: real \Rightarrow -$  where  $f' \equiv f \circ \alpha 1$ 
  then have  $f' \in qbs-Mx Y$ 
    using assms h1 by (simp add: qbs-morphism-def)
  moreover have  $map-sum f g \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. Inl (f' r))$ 
    using h1 by (auto simp add: f'-def)
  moreover have  $\{\} \in sets \text{ real-borel}$  by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
next
  assume  $S = UNIV$ 
  then obtain  $\alpha 2$  where  $h2: \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx X' \wedge \alpha = (\lambda r. Inr (\alpha 2 r))$ 
    using hs by auto

```



```

define g' :: real ⇒ - where g' ≡ g ∘ α2
then have g' ∈ qbs-Mx Y'
  using assms h2 by (simp add: qbs-morphism-def)
moreover have map-sum f g ∘ α = (λr. Inr (g' r))
  using h2 by (auto simp add: g'-def)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (auto intro!: bexI [where x = UNIV] simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
next
assume S ≠ {} ∧ S ≠ UNIV
then obtain α1 α2 where h: α1 ∈ qbs-Mx X ∧ α2 ∈ qbs-Mx X' ∧
  α = (λr::real. (if (r ∈ S) then Inl (α1 r) else Inr (α2 r)))
  using hs by auto
define f' :: real ⇒ - where f' ≡ f ∘ α1
define g' :: real ⇒ - where g' ≡ g ∘ α2
have f' ∈ qbs-Mx Y
  using assms h by (auto simp: f'-def)
moreover have g' ∈ qbs-Mx Y'
  using assms h by (auto simp: g'-def)
moreover have map-sum f g ∘ α = (λr::real. (if (r ∈ S) then Inl (f' r) else
Inr (g' r)))
  using h by (auto simp add: f'-def g'-def)
moreover have S ∈ sets real-borel using hs by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
  using ⟨S ≠ {} ∧ S ≠ UNIV⟩ by (auto simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
qed
qed

end

```

2.4.2 Countable Coproduct Spaces

theory *CoProduct-QuasiBorel*

imports

Product-QuasiBorel

Binary-CoProduct-QuasiBorel

begin

definition *coprod-qbs-Mx* :: [*'a set, 'a ⇒ 'b quasi-borel*] ⇒ (*real ⇒ 'a × 'b*) *set*
where
coprod-qbs-Mx I X ≡ { λr. (f r, α (f r) r) | f α. f ∈ *real-borel* →_M *count-space I*
 ∧ (∀ i ∈ range f. α i ∈ qbs-Mx (X i)) }

lemma *coprod-qbs-MxI*:

assumes f ∈ *real-borel* →_M *count-space I*

and ∧ i. i ∈ range f ⇒ α i ∈ qbs-Mx (X i)

shows (λr. (f r, α (f r) r)) ∈ *coprod-qbs-Mx I X*

using *assms* **unfolding** *coprod-qbs-Mx-def* **by** *blast*

definition $\text{coprod-qbs-Mx}' :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \times 'b) \text{ set}$
where

$\text{coprod-qbs-Mx}' I X \equiv \{ \lambda r. (f r, \alpha (f r) r) \mid f \alpha. f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I \wedge (\forall i. (i \in \text{range } f \vee \text{qbs-space } (X i) \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)) \}$

lemma $\text{coproduct-qbs-Mx-eq}$:

$\text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X = \text{coprod-qbs-Mx}' I X$

proof *auto*

fix α
assume $\alpha \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$
then obtain $f \beta$ **where** *hfb*:
 $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } f \implies \beta i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i) \quad \alpha = (\lambda r. (f r, \beta (f r) r))$
unfolding coprod-qbs-Mx-def **by** *blast*
define β' **where** $\beta' \equiv (\lambda i. \text{if } i \in \text{range } f \text{ then } \beta i$
 $\text{else if } \text{qbs-space } (X i) \neq \{\} \text{ then } (\text{SOME } \gamma. \gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx}$
 $(X i))$
 $\text{else } \beta i)$
have $1: \alpha = (\lambda r. (f r, \beta' (f r) r))$
by (*simp add: hfb(3) β' -def*)
have $2: \bigwedge i. \text{qbs-space } (X i) \neq \{\} \implies \beta' i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$
proof –
fix i
assume $\text{hne: qbs-space } (X i) \neq \{\}$
then obtain x **where** $x \in \text{qbs-space } (X i)$ **by** *auto*
hence $(\lambda r. x) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$ **by** *auto*
thus $\beta' i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$
by (*cases* $i \in \text{range } f$) (*auto simp: β' -def hfb(2) hne intro!: someI2[where*
 $a = \lambda r. x]$)
qed
show $\alpha \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx}' I X$
using *hfb(1,2) 1 2 by(auto simp: coprod-qbs-Mx'-def intro!: exI[where x=f]*
 $\text{exI[where x=}\beta']$
next
fix α
assume $\alpha \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx}' I X$
then obtain $f \beta$ **where** *hfb*:
 $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I \quad \bigwedge i. \text{qbs-space } (X i) \neq \{\} \implies \beta i \in \text{qbs-Mx}$
 $(X i)$
 $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } f \implies \beta i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i) \quad \alpha = (\lambda r. (f r, \beta (f r) r))$
unfolding $\text{coprod-qbs-Mx'-def}$ **by** *blast*
show $\alpha \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$
by (*auto simp: hfb(4) intro!: coprod-qbs-MxI[OF hfb(1) hfb(3)]*)
qed

definition $\text{coprod-qbs} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ quasi-borel}$ **where**
 $\text{coprod-qbs } I X \equiv \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (\text{SIGMA } i: I. \text{qbs-space } (X i), \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X)$

syntax

-coprod-qbs :: pttrn $\Rightarrow$ 'i set $\Rightarrow$ 'a quasi-borel $\Rightarrow$ ('i $\times$ 'a) quasi-borel ($\langle (3\Pi_Q -\in-./ -) \rangle$ 10)

syntax-consts

-coprod-qbs $\equiv$ coprod-qbs

translations

$\Pi_Q x \in I. M \equiv \text{CONST coprod-qbs } I (\lambda x. M)$

lemma coprod-qbs-f[simp]: coprod-qbs-Mx I X $\subseteq$ UNIV $\rightarrow$ (SIGMA i:I. qbs-space (X i))

by(fastforce simp: coprod-qbs-Mx-def dest: measurable-space)

lemma coprod-qbs-closed1: qbs-closed1 (coprod-qbs-Mx I X)

proof(rule qbs-closed1I)

fix α f

assume $\alpha \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$

and 1[measurable]: $f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$

then obtain β g where ha:

$\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } g \implies \beta i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i) \quad \alpha = (\lambda r. (g r, \beta (g r) r))$ and
[measurable]: $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$

by(fastforce simp: coprod-qbs-Mx-def)

then have $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } g \implies \beta i \circ f \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$

by simp

thus $\alpha \circ f \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$

by(auto intro!: coprod-qbs-MxI[where f=g $\circ$ f and $\alpha=\lambda i. \beta i \circ f$,simplified
comp-def] simp: ha(2) comp-def)

qed

lemma coprod-qbs-closed2: qbs-closed2 (SIGMA i:I. qbs-space (X i)) (coprod-qbs-Mx I X)

proof(rule qbs-closed2I,auto)

fix i x

assume $i \in I \quad x \in \text{qbs-space } (X i)$

then show $(\lambda r. (i,x)) \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$

by(auto simp: coprod-qbs-Mx-def intro!: exI[where x= $\lambda r. i$])

qed

lemma coprod-qbs-closed3:

qbs-closed3 (coprod-qbs-Mx I X)

proof(rule qbs-closed3I)

fix P Fi

assume h: $\bigwedge i :: \text{nat}. P - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$

$\bigwedge i :: \text{nat}. Fi i \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$

then have $\forall i. \exists fi \alpha i. Fi i = (\lambda r. (fi r, \alpha i (fi r) r)) \wedge fi \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I \wedge (\forall j. (j \in \text{range } fi \vee \text{qbs-space } (X j) \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \alpha i j \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X j))$

by(auto simp: coproduct-qbs-Mx-eq coprod-qbs-Mx'-def)

then obtain fi where

$\forall i. \exists \alpha i. Fi i = (\lambda r. (fi i r, \alpha i (fi i r) r)) \wedge fi i \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$

$\wedge (\forall j. (j \in \text{range } (f i) \vee \text{qbs-space } (X j) \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \alpha i j \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X j))$
by(*fastforce intro!:* *choice*)
then obtain αi **where**
 $\forall i. Fi i = (\lambda r. (f i r, \alpha i i (f i r) r)) \wedge f i i \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I \wedge$
 $(\forall j. (j \in \text{range } (f i) \vee \text{qbs-space } (X j) \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow \alpha i i j \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X j))$
by(*fastforce intro!:* *choice*)
then have *hf*:
 $\bigwedge i. Fi i = (\lambda r. (f i r, \alpha i i (f i r) r)) \bigwedge i. f i i \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$
 $\bigwedge i j. j \in \text{range } (f i) \implies \alpha i i j \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X j) \bigwedge i j. \text{qbs-space } (X j) \neq \{\} \implies \alpha i$
 $i j \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X j)$
by *auto*

define f' **where** $f' \equiv (\lambda r. f i (P r) r)$
define α' **where** $\alpha' \equiv (\lambda i r. \alpha i (P r) i r)$
have $1:(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) = (\lambda r. (f' r, \alpha' (f' r) r))$
by(*simp add:* α' -*def* f' -*def* *hf*)
have $f' \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$
proof –
note [*measurable*] = *separate-measurable*[*OF* $h(1)$]
have $(\lambda(n,r). f i n r) \in \text{count-space } UNIV \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$
by(*auto intro!:* *measurable-pair-measure-countable1 simp:* *hf*)
hence [*measurable*]: $(\lambda(n,r). f i n r) \in \text{nat-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space}$
 I
using *measurable-cong-sets*[*OF* *sets-pair-measure-cong*[*OF* *sets-borel-eq-count-space*],*of*
real-borel real-borel]
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
using *measurable-comp*[*of* $\lambda r. (P r, r) - - (\lambda(n,r). f i n r)$]
by(*simp add:* f' -*def*)
qed

moreover have $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } f' \implies \alpha' i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$
proof –
fix i
assume $hi:i \in \text{range } f'$
then obtain r **where** hr :
 $i = f i (P r) r$ **by**(*auto simp:* f' -*def*)
hence $i \in \text{range } (f i (P r))$ **by** *simp*
hence $\alpha i (P r) i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$ **by**(*simp add:* *hf*)
hence $\text{qbs-space } (X i) \neq \{\}$
by(*auto simp:* *qbs-empty-equiv*)
hence $\bigwedge j. \alpha i j i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$
by(*simp add:* $hf(4)$)
then show $\alpha' i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X i)$
by(*auto simp:* α' -*def* $h(1)$ *intro!:* *qbs-closed3-dest*[*of* $P \lambda j. \alpha i j i$])
qed

ultimately show $(\lambda r. Fi (P r) r) \in \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I X$
by(*auto intro!:* *coprod-qbs-MxI simp:* 1)
qed

lemma *coprod-qbs-correct*: $\text{Rep-quasi-borel } (\text{coprod-qbs } I \ X) = (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{qbs-space } (X \ i), \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I \ X)$
unfolding *coprod-qbs-def*
using *is-quasi-borel-intro*[*OF coprod-qbs-f coprod-qbs-closed1 coprod-qbs-closed2 coprod-qbs-closed3*]
by(*fastforce intro!*: *Abs-quasi-borel-inverse*)

lemma *coproduct-qbs-space*[*simp*]: $\text{qbs-space } (\text{coprod-qbs } I \ X) = (\text{SIGMA } i:I. \text{qbs-space } (X \ i))$
by(*simp add: coprod-qbs-correct qbs-space-def*)

lemma *coproduct-qbs-Mx*[*simp*]: $\text{qbs-Mx } (\text{coprod-qbs } I \ X) = \text{coprod-qbs-Mx } I \ X$
by(*simp add: coprod-qbs-correct qbs-Mx-def*)

lemma *ini-morphism*:
assumes $j \in I$
shows $(\lambda x. (j, x)) \in X \ j \rightarrow_Q (\coprod_Q i \in I. X \ i)$
by(*fastforce intro!*: *qbs-morphismI exI*[**where** $x = \lambda r. j$] *simp: coprod-qbs-Mx-def comp-def assms*)

lemma *coprod-qbs-canonical1*:
assumes *countable I*
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies f \ i \in X \ i \rightarrow_Q Y$
shows $(\lambda(i, x). f \ i \ x) \in (\coprod_Q i \in I. X \ i) \rightarrow_Q Y$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI*)
fix α
assume $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{coprod-qbs } I \ X)$
then obtain $\beta \ g$ **where** *ha*:
 $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } g \implies \beta \ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X \ i) \ \alpha = (\lambda r. (g \ r, \beta \ (g \ r) \ r))$ **and**
 $hg[\text{measurable}]: g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } I$
by(*fastforce simp: coprod-qbs-Mx-def*)
define f' **where** $f' \equiv (\lambda i \ r. f \ i \ (\beta \ i \ r))$
have $\text{range } g \subseteq I$
using *measurable-space*[*OF hg*] **by** *auto*
hence $1: (\bigwedge i. i \in \text{range } g \implies f' \ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y)$
using *qbs-morphismE*(*?*)[*OF assms*(*2*) *ha*(*1*), *simplified comp-def*]
by(*auto simp: f'-def*)
have $(\lambda(i, x). f \ i \ x) \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. f' \ (g \ r) \ r)$
by(*auto simp: ha*(*2*) *f'-def*)
also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$
by(*auto intro!*: *qbs-closed3-dest2'*[*OF assms*(*1*) *hg, of f', OF 1*])
finally show $(\lambda(i, x). f \ i \ x) \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$.
qed

lemma *coprod-qbs-canonical1'*:
assumes *countable I*
and $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (\lambda x. f \ (i, x)) \in X \ i \rightarrow_Q Y$
shows $f \in (\coprod_Q i \in I. X \ i) \rightarrow_Q Y$

```

using coprod-qbs-canonical1 [where f=curry f] assms by(auto simp: curry-def)

 $\coprod_{i=0,1} X_i \cong X_1 + X_2.$ 

lemma coproduct-binary-coproduct:
   $\exists f g. f \in (\coprod_Q i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y) \rightarrow_Q X <+>_Q Y \wedge g \in X <+>_Q Y \rightarrow_Q (\coprod_Q i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y) \wedge$ 
   $g \circ f = id \wedge f \circ g = id$ 
proof(auto intro!: exI[where x= $\lambda(b,z). \text{if } b \text{ then } Inl\ z \text{ else } Inr\ z$ ] exI[where x=case-sum ( $\lambda z. (True,z)$ ) ( $\lambda z. (False,z)$ )])
  show ( $\lambda(b,z). \text{if } b \text{ then } Inl\ z \text{ else } Inr\ z$ )  $\in (\coprod_Q i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y) \rightarrow_Q X <+>_Q Y$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\coprod_Q i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y)$ 
  then obtain  $f\ \beta$  where hf:
     $\alpha = (\lambda r. (f\ r, \beta\ (f\ r)\ r))\ f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{count-space } UNIV \wedge i. i \in \text{range } f \implies \beta\ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y)$ 
  by(auto simp: coprod-qbs-Mx-def)
  consider  $\text{range } f = \{True\} \mid \text{range } f = \{False\} \mid \text{range } f = \{True, False\}$ 
  by auto
  thus ( $\lambda(b,z). \text{if } b \text{ then } Inl\ z \text{ else } Inr\ z$ )  $\circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X <+>_Q Y)$ 
proof cases
  case 1
  then have  $\bigwedge r. f\ r = True$ 
  by auto
  then show ?thesis
  using hf(3)
  by(auto intro!: bexI[where x={}] bexI[where x= $\beta\ True$ ] simp: copair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' comp-def hf(1))
next
  case 2
  then have  $\bigwedge r. f\ r = False$ 
  by auto
  then show ?thesis
  using hf(3)
  by(auto intro!: bexI[where x=UNIV] bexI[where x= $\beta\ False$ ] simp: copair-qbs-Mx-def split-beta' comp-def hf(1))
next
  case 3
  then have  $4:f - \{True\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
  using measurable-sets[OF hf(2)] by simp
  have  $5:f - \{True\} \neq \{\} \wedge f - \{True\} \neq UNIV$ 
  using 3
  by (metis empty-iff imageE insertCI vimage-singleton-eq)
  have  $6:\beta\ True \in \text{qbs-Mx } X\ \beta\ False \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
  using hf(3)[of True] hf(3)[of False] by(auto simp: 3)
  show ?thesis
  apply(simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
  apply(intro bexI[OF - 4])

```

```

    apply(simp add: 5)
    apply(intro bexI[OF - 6(1)] bexI[OF - 6(2)])
    apply(auto simp add: hf(1) comp-def)
  done
qed
qed
next
  show case-sum (Pair True) (Pair False)  $\in X <+>_Q Y \rightarrow_Q (\Pi_Q i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y)$ 
  proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
    fix  $\alpha$ 
    assume  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X <+>_Q Y)$ 
    then obtain  $S$  where  $hs$ :
       $S \in \text{sets real-borel } S = \{\} \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r))) \ S = UNIV \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))$ 
       $(S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV) \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y. \alpha = (\lambda r :: \text{real}. \text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))))$ 
    by(auto simp: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
    consider  $S = \{\} \mid S = UNIV \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$  by auto
    thus case-sum (Pair True) (Pair False)  $\circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\Pi_Q i \in UNIV. \text{if } i \text{ then } X \text{ else } Y)$ 
    proof cases
      case 1
      then obtain  $\alpha 1$  where  $ha$ :
         $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \ \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r))$ 
      using  $hs(2)$  by auto
      hence case-sum (Pair True) (Pair False)  $\circ \alpha = (\lambda r. (\text{True}, \alpha 1 \ r))$ 
      by auto
      thus ?thesis
      by(auto intro!: coprod-qbs-MxI simp: ha)
    next
      case 2
      then obtain  $\alpha 2$  where  $ha$ :
         $\alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \ \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
      using  $hs(3)$  by auto
      hence case-sum (Pair True) (Pair False)  $\circ \alpha = (\lambda r. (\text{False}, \alpha 2 \ r))$ 
      by auto
      thus ?thesis
      by(auto intro!: coprod-qbs-MxI simp: ha)
    next
      case 3
      then obtain  $\alpha 1 \ \alpha 2$  where  $ha$ :
         $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \ \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \ \alpha = (\lambda r. (\text{if } (r \in S) \text{ then } \text{Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r)))$ 
      using  $hs(4)$  by auto
      define  $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where  $f \equiv (\lambda r. r \in S)$ 
      define  $\alpha'$  where  $\alpha' \equiv (\lambda i. \text{if } i \text{ then } \alpha 1 \text{ else } \alpha 2)$ 
      have case-sum (Pair True) (Pair False)  $\circ \alpha = (\lambda r. (f \ r, \alpha' (f \ r) \ r))$ 
      by(auto simp: f-def  $\alpha'$ -def ha(3))
    next

```

```

    thus ?thesis
    using hs(1)
    by(auto intro!: coprod-qbs-MxI simp: ha  $\alpha'$ -def f-def)
  qed
next
show  $(\lambda(b, z). \text{if } b \text{ then } \text{Inl } z \text{ else } \text{Inr } z) \circ \text{case-sum } (\text{Pair True}) (\text{Pair False}) = \text{id}$ 
  by(auto simp add: sum.case-eq-if )
qed

```

2.4.3 Lists

abbreviation $\text{list-of } X \equiv \Pi_Q n \in (UNIV :: \text{nat set}). (\Pi_Q i \in \{..<n\}. X)$

abbreviation $\text{list-nil} :: \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$
 $\text{list-nil} \equiv (0, \lambda n. \text{undefined})$

abbreviation $\text{list-cons} :: ['a, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$
 $\text{list-cons } x \ l \equiv (\text{Suc } (\text{fst } l), (\lambda n. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } x \text{ else } (\text{snd } l) (n - 1)))$

definition $\text{list-head} :: \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$
 $\text{list-head } l = \text{snd } l \ 0$

definition $\text{list-tail} :: \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$
 $\text{list-tail } l = (\text{fst } l - 1, \lambda m. (\text{snd } l) (\text{Suc } m))$

lemma list-simp1 :
 $\text{list-nil} \neq \text{list-cons } x \ l$
 by simp

lemma list-simp2 :
assumes $\text{list-cons } a \ al = \text{list-cons } b \ bl$
shows $a = b \ al = bl$
proof –
 have $a = \text{snd } (\text{list-cons } a \ al) \ 0$
 $b = \text{snd } (\text{list-cons } b \ bl) \ 0$
 by auto
 thus $a = b$
 by(simp add: assms)

next
 have $\text{fst } al = \text{fst } bl$
 using assms by simp
moreover have $\text{snd } al = \text{snd } bl$
proof
 fix n
 have $\text{snd } al \ n = \text{snd } (\text{list-cons } a \ al) (\text{Suc } n)$
 by simp
 also have $\dots = \text{snd } (\text{list-cons } b \ bl) (\text{Suc } n)$
 by(simp add: assms)
 also have $\dots = \text{snd } bl \ n$


```

    by simp
    finally show  $\text{snd } al \ n = \text{snd } bl \ n$  .
qed
ultimately show  $al = bl$ 
  by (simp add: prod.expand)
qed

lemma list-simp3:
  shows  $\text{list-head } (\text{list-cons } a \ l) = a$ 
  by (simp add: list-head-def)

lemma list-simp4:
  assumes  $l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$ 
  shows  $\text{list-tail } (\text{list-cons } a \ l) = l$ 
  using assms by (simp-all add: list-tail-def)

lemma list-decomp1:
  assumes  $l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$ 
  shows  $l = \text{list-nil} \vee$ 
     $(\exists a \ l'. a \in \text{qbs-space } X \wedge l' \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X) \wedge l = \text{list-cons } a \ l')$ 
proof (cases l)
  case hl: (Pair n f)
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases n)
    case 0
    then show ?thesis
      using assms hl by simp
  next
    case hn: (Suc n')
    define f' where  $f' \equiv \lambda m. f \ (\text{Suc } m)$ 
    have  $l = \text{list-cons } (f \ 0) \ (n', f')$ 
    proof (simp add: hl hn, standard)
      fix m
      show  $f \ m = (\text{if } m = 0 \text{ then } f \ 0 \text{ else } \text{snd } (n', f') \ (m - 1))$ 
        using assms hl by (cases m; fastforce simp: f'-def)
    qed
    moreover have  $(n', f') \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$ 
    proof (simp, rule PiE-I)
      show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \{..<n'\} \implies f' \ x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 
        using assms hl hn by (fastforce simp: f'-def)
    next
      fix x
      assume  $1 : x \notin \{..<n'\}$ 
      thus  $f' \ x = \text{undefined}$ 
        using hl assms hn by (auto simp: f'-def)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using hl assms
        by (auto intro!: exI [where  $x = f \ 0$ ] exI [where  $x = (n', \lambda m. \text{if } m = 0 \text{ then}$ 

```

undefined else f (Suc m))]]

qed

qed

lemma *list-simp5*:

assumes $l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$

and $l \neq \text{list-nil}$

shows $l = \text{list-cons } (\text{list-head } l) (\text{list-tail } l)$

proof –

obtain $a \ l'$ **where** hl :

$a \in \text{qbs-space } X \ l' \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X) \ l = \text{list-cons } a \ l'$

using *list-decomp1* [*OF* *assms*(1)] *assms*(2) **by** *blast*

hence $\text{list-head } l = a \ \text{list-tail } l = l'$

using *list-simp3* *list-simp4* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using $hl(3)$ *list-simp2* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *list-simp6*:

$\text{list-nil} \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$

by *simp*

lemma *list-simp7*:

assumes $a \in \text{qbs-space } X$

and $l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$

shows $\text{list-cons } a \ l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$

using *assms* **by** (*fastforce simp: PiE-def extensional-def*)

lemma *list-destruct-rule*:

assumes $l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$

$P \ \text{list-nil}$

and $\bigwedge a \ l'. \ a \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies l' \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X) \implies P \ (\text{list-cons } a \ l')$

shows $P \ l$

by (*rule disjE* [*OF* *list-decomp1* [*OF* *assms*(1)]] (*use* *assms* **in** *auto*))

lemma *list-induct-rule*:

assumes $l \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X)$

$P \ \text{list-nil}$

and $\bigwedge a \ l'. \ a \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies l' \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{list-of } X) \implies P \ l' \implies P \ (\text{list-cons } a \ l')$

shows $P \ l$

proof (*cases* l)

case $hl:(\text{Pair } n \ f)$

then show *?thesis*

using *assms*(1)

proof (*induction* n *arbitrary: f* l)

case 0

then show *?case*

```

    using assms(1,2) by simp
next
  case ih:(Suc n)
  then obtain a l' where hl:
    a ∈ qbs-space X l' ∈ qbs-space (list-of X) l = list-cons a l'
    using list-decomp1 by blast
  have P l'
    using ih hl(3)
    by(auto intro!: ih(1)[OF - hl(2), of snd l'])
  from assms(3)[OF hl(1,2) this]
  show ?case
    by(simp add: hl(3))
qed
qed

```

```

fun from-list :: 'a list ⇒ nat × (nat ⇒ 'a) where
  from-list [] = list-nil |
  from-list (a#l) = list-cons a (from-list l)

```

```

fun to-list' :: nat ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a list where
  to-list' 0 - = [] |
  to-list' (Suc n) f = f 0 # to-list' n (λn. f (Suc n))

```

```

definition to-list :: nat × (nat ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a list where
  to-list ≡ case-prod to-list'

```

```

lemma to-list-simp1:
  shows to-list list-nil = []
  by(simp add: to-list-def)

```

```

lemma to-list-simp2:
  assumes l ∈ qbs-space (list-of X)
  shows to-list (list-cons a l) = a # to-list l
  using assms by(auto simp: PiE-def to-list-def)

```

```

lemma from-list-length:
  fst (from-list l) = length l
  by(induction l, simp-all)

```

```

lemma from-list-in-list-of:
  assumes set l ⊆ qbs-space X
  shows from-list l ∈ qbs-space (list-of X)
  using assms by(induction l) (auto simp: PiE-def extensional-def Pi-def)

```

```

lemma from-list-in-list-of':
  shows from-list l ∈ qbs-space (list-of (Abs-quasi-borel (UNIV, UNIV)))
proof -
  have set l ⊆ qbs-space (Abs-quasi-borel (UNIV, UNIV))

```

```

    by(simp add: qbs-space-def Abs-quasi-borel-inverse[of (UNIV,UNIV),simplified
is-quasi-borel-def qbs-closed1-def qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def,simplified])
    thus ?thesis
    using from-list-in-list-of by blast
qed

```

```

lemma list-cons-in-list-of:
  assumes set (a#l)  $\subseteq$  qbs-space X
  shows list-cons a (from-list l)  $\in$  qbs-space (list-of X)
  using from-list-in-list-of[OF assms] by simp

```

```

lemma from-list-to-list-ident:
  (to-list  $\circ$  from-list) l = l
  by(induction l)
  (simp add: to-list-def,simp add: to-list-simp2[OF from-list-in-list-of])

```

```

lemma to-list-from-list-ident:
  assumes l  $\in$  qbs-space (list-of X)
  shows (from-list  $\circ$  to-list) l = l
proof(rule list-induct-rule[OF assms])
  fix a l'
  assume h: l'  $\in$  qbs-space (list-of X)
  and ih:(from-list  $\circ$  to-list) l' = l'
  show (from-list  $\circ$  to-list) (list-cons a l') = list-cons a l'
  by(auto simp add: to-list-simp2[OF h] ih[simplified])
qed (simp add: to-list-simp1)

```

```

definition rec-list' :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a))  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\times$  (nat
 $\Rightarrow$  'a))  $\Rightarrow$  'b where
rec-list' t0 f l  $\equiv$  (rec-list t0 ( $\lambda x l'. f x (from-list l')$ ) (to-list l))

```

```

lemma rec-list'-simp1:
  rec-list' t f list-nil = t
  by(simp add: rec-list'-def to-list-simp1)

```

```

lemma rec-list'-simp2:
  assumes l  $\in$  qbs-space (list-of X)
  shows rec-list' t f (list-cons x l) = f x l (rec-list' t f l)
  by(simp add: rec-list'-def to-list-simp2[OF assms] to-list-from-list-ident[OF assms,simplified])

```

end

2.5 Function Spaces

```

theory Exponent-QuasiBorel
  imports CoProduct-QuasiBorel
begin

```

2.5.1 Function Spaces

definition $\text{exp-qbs-Mx} :: ['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set}$
where

$\text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y \equiv \{g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b. \text{case-prod } g \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q Y\}$

definition $\text{exp-qbs} :: ['a \text{ quasi-borel}, 'b \text{ quasi-borel}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ quasi-borel}$ (**infixr** $\langle \Rightarrow_Q \rangle$ 61) **where**

$X \Rightarrow_Q Y \equiv \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (X \rightarrow_Q Y, \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y)$

lemma $\text{exp-qbs-f[simp]}: \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y \subseteq \text{UNIV} \rightarrow (X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}) \rightarrow_Q (Y :: 'b \text{ quasi-borel})$

proof(*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI*)

fix $f \ \alpha \ r$

assume $h:f \in \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$

$\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$

have $f \ r \circ \alpha = (\lambda y. \text{case-prod } f \ (r,y)) \circ \alpha$

by *auto*

also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$

using $\text{qbs-morphism-Pair1 } '[\text{of } r \ \mathbb{R}_Q \ \text{case-prod } f \ X \ Y] \ h$

by(*auto simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def*)

finally show $f \ r \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$.

qed

lemma $\text{exp-qbs-closed1}: \text{qbs-closed1 } (\text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y)$

proof(*rule qbs-closed1I*)

fix a

fix f

assume $h:a \in \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$

$f \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$

have $a \circ f = (\lambda r \ y. \text{case-prod } a \ (f \ r,y))$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{case-prod } \dots \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q Y$

proof –

have $\text{case-prod } (\lambda r \ y. \text{case-prod } a \ (f \ r,y)) = \text{case-prod } a \circ \text{map-prod } f \ \text{id}$

by *auto*

also have $\dots \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q Y$

using h

by(*auto intro!: qbs-morphism-comp qbs-morphism-map-prod simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def*)

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

ultimately show $a \circ f \in \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$

by (*simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def*)

qed

lemma $\text{exp-qbs-closed2}: \text{qbs-closed2 } (X \rightarrow_Q Y) (\text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y)$

by(*auto intro!: qbs-closed2I qbs-morphism-snd'' simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def split-beta'*)

lemma $\text{exp-qbs-closed3}: \text{qbs-closed3 } (\text{exp-qbs-Mx } X \ Y)$

proof(*rule qbs-closed3I*)

```

fix P :: real  $\Rightarrow$  nat
fix Fi :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  -
assume h:  $\bigwedge i. P - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
            $\bigwedge i. Fi\ i \in \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X\ Y$ 
show ( $\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r$ )  $\in \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X\ Y$ 
  unfolding exp-qbs-Mx-def
proof(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha\ \beta$ 
  assume h':  $\alpha \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } \mathbb{R}_Q\ X$ 
  have 1:  $\bigwedge i. (\lambda(r,x). Fi\ i\ r\ x) \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
    using qbs-morphismE(3)[OF h(2)[simplified exp-qbs-Mx-def,simplified]] h'
    by(simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
  have 2:  $\bigwedge i. (P \circ (\lambda r. fst\ (\alpha\ r))) - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
    using separate-measurable[OF h(1)] h'
    by(auto intro!: measurable-separate simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
  show ( $\lambda(r, y). Fi\ (P\ r)\ r\ y$ )  $\circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
    using qbs-closed3-dest[OF 2, of  $\lambda i. (\lambda(r,x). Fi\ i\ r\ x) \circ \alpha$ , OF 1]
    by(simp add: comp-def split-beta')
qed
qed

```

lemma exp-qbs-correct: $\text{Rep-quasi-borel } (\text{exp-qbs } X\ Y) = (X \rightarrow_Q Y, \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X\ Y)$

unfolding exp-qbs-def

by(auto intro!: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse exp-qbs-f simp: exp-qbs-closed1 exp-qbs-closed2 exp-qbs-closed3)

lemma exp-qbs-space[simp]: $\text{qbs-space } (\text{exp-qbs } X\ Y) = X \rightarrow_Q Y$

by(simp add: qbs-space-def exp-qbs-correct)

lemma exp-qbs-Mx[simp]: $\text{qbs-Mx } (\text{exp-qbs } X\ Y) = \text{exp-qbs-Mx } X\ Y$

by(simp add: qbs-Mx-def exp-qbs-correct)

lemma qbs-exp-morphismI:

assumes $\bigwedge \alpha\ \beta. \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \implies$

$\beta \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx real-quasi-borel } Y \implies$

$(\lambda(r,x). (f \circ \alpha)\ r\ x) \circ \beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$

shows $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y\ Z$

using assms

by(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)

definition qbs-eval :: $((a \Rightarrow b) \times a) \Rightarrow b$ **where**

$\text{qbs-eval } a \equiv (\text{fst } a)\ (\text{snd } a)$

lemma qbs-eval-morphism:

$\text{qbs-eval} \in (\text{exp-qbs } X\ Y) \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q Y$

proof(rule qbs-morphismI,simp)

```

fix f
assume f ∈ pair-qbs-Mx (exp-qbs X Y) X
let ?f1 = fst ∘ f
let ?f2 = snd ∘ f
define g :: real ⇒ real × -
  where g ≡ λr.(r, ?f2 r)
have g ∈ qbs-Mx (real-quasi-borel ⊗Q X)
proof(auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
  have fst ∘ g = id by(auto simp add: g-def comp-def)
  thus fst ∘ g ∈ real-borel →M real-borel by(auto simp add: measurable-ident)
next
  have snd ∘ g = ?f2 by(auto simp add: g-def)
  thus snd ∘ g ∈ qbs-Mx X
  using ⟨f ∈ pair-qbs-Mx (exp-qbs X Y) X⟩ pair-qbs-Mx-def by auto
qed
moreover have ?f1 ∈ exp-qbs-Mx X Y
  using ⟨f ∈ pair-qbs-Mx (exp-qbs X Y) X⟩
  by(simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
ultimately have (λ(r,x). (?f1 r x)) ∘ g ∈ qbs-Mx Y
  by (auto simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def qbs-morphism-def)
  (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv comp-apply cond-case-prod-eta)
moreover have (λ(r,x). (?f1 r x)) ∘ g = qbs-eval ∘ f
  by(auto simp add: case-prod-unfold g-def qbs-eval-def)
ultimately show qbs-eval ∘ f ∈ qbs-Mx Y by simp
qed

```

lemma *curry-morphism*:

```

curry ∈ exp-qbs (X ⊗Q Y) Z →Q exp-qbs X (exp-qbs Y Z)
proof(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
  fix k α α'
  assume h:(λ(r, xy). k r xy) ∈ ℝQ ⊗Q X ⊗Q Y →Q Z
  α ∈ pair-qbs-Mx ℝQ X
  α' ∈ pair-qbs-Mx ℝQ Y
  define β where
    β ≡ (λr. (fst (α (fst (α' r))), (snd (α (fst (α' r))), snd (α' r))))
  have (λ(x, y). ((λ(x, y). (curry ∘ k) x y) ∘ α) x y) ∘ α' = (λ(r, xy). k r xy) ∘ β
    by(simp add: curry-def split-beta' comp-def β-def)
  also have ... ∈ qbs-Mx Z
  proof -
    have β ∈ qbs-Mx (ℝQ ⊗Q X ⊗Q Y)
      using h(2,3) qbs-closed1-dest[of - - (λx. fst (α' x))]
      by(auto simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def β-def comp-def)
    thus ?thesis
      using h by auto
  qed
  qed
  finally show (λ(x, y). ((λ(x, y). (curry ∘ k) x y) ∘ α) x y) ∘ α' ∈ qbs-Mx Z .
qed

```

lemma *curry-preserves-morphisms*:

assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
shows $\text{curry } f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y Z$
by(*rule qbs-morphismE(2)[OF curry-morphism,simplified,OF assms]*)

lemma uncurry-morphism:
 $\text{case-prod} \in \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } Y Z) \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } (X \otimes_Q Y) Z$
proof(*auto intro!: qbs-morphismI simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def*)
fix $k \alpha$
assume $h: (\lambda(x, y). k \ x \ y) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y Z$
 $\alpha \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } \mathbb{R}_Q (X \otimes_Q Y)$
have $(\lambda(x, y). (\text{case-prod} \circ k) \ x \ y) \circ \alpha = (\lambda(r, y). k \ (\text{fst } (\alpha \ r)) \ (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\alpha \ r))))$
 $y) \circ (\lambda r. (r, \text{snd } (\text{snd } (\alpha \ r))))$
by(*simp add: split-beta' comp-def*)
also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismE(3)[where X= $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y$]*)
have $(\lambda r. k \ (\text{fst } (\alpha \ r)) \ (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\alpha \ r)))) = (\lambda(x, y). k \ x \ y) \circ (\lambda r. (\text{fst } (\alpha \ r), \text{fst } (\text{snd } (\alpha \ r))))$
by *auto*
also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{exp-qbs } Y Z)$
apply(*rule qbs-morphismE(3)[where X= $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X$]*)
using $h(2)$ **by**(*auto simp: h(1) pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def*)
finally show $(\lambda(r, y). k \ (\text{fst } (\alpha \ r)) \ (\text{fst } (\text{snd } (\alpha \ r)))) \ y \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
by(*simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def*)
next
show $(\lambda r. (r, \text{snd } (\text{snd } (\alpha \ r)))) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y)$
using $h(2)$ **by**(*simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def*)
qed
finally show $(\lambda(x, y). (\text{case-prod} \circ k) \ x \ y) \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$.
qed

lemma uncurry-preserves-morphisms:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y Z$
shows $\text{case-prod } f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
by(*rule qbs-morphismE(2)[OF uncurry-morphism,simplified,OF assms]*)

lemma arg-swap-morphism:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y Z$
shows $(\lambda y \ x. f \ x \ y) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } X Z$
using *curry-preserves-morphisms[OF qbs-morphism-pair-swap[OF uncurry-preserves-morphisms[OF assms]]]*
by *simp*

lemma exp-qbs-comp-morphism:
assumes $f \in W \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } X Y$
and $g \in W \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y Z$
shows $(\lambda w. g \ w \circ f \ w) \in W \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } X Z$
proof(*rule qbs-exp-morphismI*)
fix $\alpha \beta$
assume $h: \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } W$


```

       $\beta \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } \mathbb{R}_Q X$ 
    have  $(\lambda(r, x). ((\lambda w. g w \circ f w) \circ \alpha) r x) \circ \beta = \text{case-prod } g \circ (\lambda r. ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, \text{case-prod } f ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, (\text{snd} \circ \beta) r)))$ 
    by(simp add: split-beta' comp-def)
    also have  $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda r. ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, \text{case-prod } f ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, (\text{snd} \circ \beta) r))) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (W \otimes_Q Y)$ 
    proof(auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
      have  $\text{fst} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), f (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r))) (\text{snd} (\beta r)))) = \alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)$ 
      by(simp add: comp-def)
      also have  $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } W$ 
      using qbs-decomp[of W] h
      by(simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def qbs-closed1-def)
      finally show  $\text{fst} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), f (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r))) (\text{snd} (\beta r)))) \in \text{qbs-Mx } W$ 
    next
      have  $[\text{simp}]: \text{snd} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), f (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r))) (\text{snd} (\beta r)))) = \text{case-prod } f \circ (\lambda r. ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, (\text{snd} \circ \beta) r))$ 
      by(simp add: comp-def)
      have  $(\lambda r. ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, (\text{snd} \circ \beta) r)) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (W \otimes_Q X)$ 
      proof(auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
        have  $\text{fst} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), \text{snd} (\beta r))) = \alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)$ 
        by(simp add: comp-def)
        also have  $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } W$ 
        using qbs-decomp[of W] h
        by(simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def qbs-closed1-def)
        finally show  $\text{fst} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), \text{snd} (\beta r))) \in \text{qbs-Mx } W$ 
      next
        show  $\text{snd} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), \text{snd} (\beta r))) \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
        using h
        by(simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
      qed
      hence  $\text{case-prod } f \circ (\lambda r. ((\alpha \circ (\text{fst} \circ \beta)) r, (\text{snd} \circ \beta) r)) \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
      using uncurry-preserves-morphisms[OF assms(1)] by auto
      thus  $\text{snd} \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r)), f (\alpha (\text{fst} (\beta r))) (\text{snd} (\beta r)))) \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
      by simp
    qed
    thus ?thesis
    using uncurry-preserves-morphisms[OF assms(2)]
    by auto
  qed
  finally show  $(\lambda(r, x). ((\lambda w. g w \circ f w) \circ \alpha) r x) \circ \beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$  .
qed

```

lemma *case-sum-morphism*:

$\text{case-prod case-sum} \in \text{exp-qbs } X Z \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y Z \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } (X <+>_Q Y) Z$

proof(rule qbs-exp-morphismI)

```

fix  $\alpha \beta$ 
assume  $h0: \alpha \in qbs-Mx (exp-qbs X Z \otimes_Q exp-qbs Y Z)$ 
            $\beta \in pair-qbs-Mx \mathbb{R}_Q (X <+>_Q Y)$ 
let  $? \alpha 1 = fst \circ \alpha$ 
let  $? \alpha 2 = snd \circ \alpha$ 
let  $? \beta 1 = fst \circ \beta$ 
let  $? \beta 2 = snd \circ \beta$ 
have  $h: ? \alpha 1 \in exp-qbs-Mx X Z$ 
            $? \alpha 2 \in exp-qbs-Mx Y Z$ 
            $? \beta 1 \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel$ 
            $? \beta 2 \in copair-qbs-Mx X Y$ 
using  $h0$  by (auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def)
hence  $\exists S \in sets \ real-borel. (S = \{\} \rightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X. ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha 1 r)))) \wedge$ 
            $(S = UNIV \rightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx Y. ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. Inr (\alpha 2 r)))) \wedge$ 
            $(S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV \rightarrow$ 
            $(\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X. \exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx Y. ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. if r \in S then$ 
            $Inl (\alpha 1 r) else Inr (\alpha 2 r))))$ 
by (simp add: copair-qbs-Mx-def)
then obtain  $S :: real \ set$  where  $hs:$ 
            $S \in sets \ real-borel \wedge (S = \{\} \rightarrow (\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X. ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha 1 r)))) \wedge$ 
            $(S = UNIV \rightarrow (\exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx Y. ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. Inr (\alpha 2 r)))) \wedge$ 
            $(S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV \rightarrow$ 
            $(\exists \alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X. \exists \alpha 2 \in qbs-Mx Y. ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. if r \in S then$ 
            $Inl (\alpha 1 r) else Inr (\alpha 2 r))))$ 
by auto
show  $(\lambda(r, x). ((\lambda(x, y). case-sum x y) \circ \alpha) r x) \circ \beta \in qbs-Mx Z$ 
proof -
have  $(\lambda(r, x). ((\lambda(x, y). case-sum x y) \circ \alpha) r x) \circ \beta = (\lambda r. case-sum (? \alpha 1$ 
            $(? \beta 1 r)) (? \alpha 2 (? \beta 1 r)) (? \beta 2 r))$ 
            $(is ?lhs = ?rhs)$ 
by (auto simp: split-beta' comp-def) (metis comp-apply)
also have  $\dots \in qbs-Mx Z$ 
            $(is ?f \in -)$ 
proof -
consider  $S = \{\} \mid S = UNIV \mid S \neq \{\} \wedge S \neq UNIV$  by auto
then show ?thesis
proof cases
case 1
then obtain  $\alpha 1$  where  $h1:$ 
            $\alpha 1 \in qbs-Mx X \wedge ? \beta 2 = (\lambda r. Inl (\alpha 1 r))$ 
using  $hs$  by auto
then have  $(\lambda r. case-sum (? \alpha 1 (? \beta 1 r)) (? \alpha 2 (? \beta 1 r)) (? \beta 2 r)) = (\lambda r. ? \alpha 1$ 
            $(? \beta 1 r) (\alpha 1 r))$ 
by simp
also have  $\dots = case-prod ? \alpha 1 \circ (\lambda r. (? \beta 1 r, \alpha 1 r))$ 
by auto
also have  $\dots \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q Z$ 

```

```

    apply(rule qbs-morphism-comp[of - -  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X$ ])
    apply(rule qbs-morphism-tuple)
    using h(3)
    apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms h1
    apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms[of  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X$ ] h(1)
    by (simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
  finally show ?thesis
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms by auto
next
case 2
then obtain  $\alpha 2$  where h2:
 $\alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \wedge ?\beta 2 = (\lambda r. \text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
    using hs by auto
then have  $(\lambda r. \text{case-sum } (? \alpha 1 \ (? \beta 1 \ r)) \ (? \alpha 2 \ (? \beta 1 \ r)) \ (? \beta 2 \ r)) = (\lambda r. ? \alpha 2$ 
 $(? \beta 1 \ r) \ (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
    by simp
also have ... = case-prod  $? \alpha 2 \circ (\lambda r. (? \beta 1 \ r, \alpha 2 \ r))$ 
    by auto
also have ...  $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
    apply(rule qbs-morphism-comp[of - -  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y$ ])
    apply(rule qbs-morphism-tuple)
    using h(3)
    apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms h2
    apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms[of  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y$ ] h(2)
    by (simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
  finally show ?thesis
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms by auto
next
case 3
then obtain  $\alpha 1 \ \alpha 2$  where h3:
 $\alpha 1 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge \alpha 2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \wedge ?\beta 2 = (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in S \text{ then Inl } (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else$ 
 $\text{Inr } (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
    using hs by auto
define  $P :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ 
  where  $P \equiv (\lambda r. \text{if } r \in S \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$ 
define  $\gamma :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ 
  where  $\gamma \equiv (\lambda n \ r. \text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } ? \alpha 1 \ (? \beta 1 \ r) \ (\alpha 1 \ r) \text{ else } ? \alpha 2 \ (? \beta 1 \ r) \ (\alpha 2$ 
 $r))$ 
then have  $(\lambda r. \text{case-sum } (? \alpha 1 \ (? \beta 1 \ r)) \ (? \alpha 2 \ (? \beta 1 \ r)) \ (? \beta 2 \ r)) = (\lambda r. \gamma$ 
 $(P \ r) \ r)$ 
    by(auto simp add: P-def  $\gamma$ -def h3)
also have ...  $\in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$ 
proof -
  have  $\forall i. P - ' \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
    using hs borel-comp[of S] by(simp add: P-def)

```

```

moreover have  $\forall i. \gamma \ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$ 
proof
  fix  $i :: \text{nat}$ 
  consider  $i = 0 \mid i \neq 0$  by auto
  then show  $\gamma \ i \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z$ 
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have  $\gamma \ i = (\lambda r. \ ?\alpha 1 \ (\ ?\beta 1 \ r) \ (\alpha 1 \ r))$ 
      by (simp add:  $\gamma$ -def)
    also have  $\dots = \text{case-prod } ?\alpha 1 \circ (\lambda r. \ (\ ?\beta 1 \ r, \alpha 1 \ r))$ 
      by auto
    also have  $\dots \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
      apply (rule qbs-morphism-comp[of - -  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X$ ])
      apply (rule qbs-morphism-tuple)
      using  $h(3)$ 
      apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms h3
    apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms[of  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q X$ ]  $h(1)$ 
    by (simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
    finally show ?thesis
      using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms by auto
  next
    case 2
    then have  $\gamma \ i = (\lambda r. \ ?\alpha 2 \ (\ ?\beta 1 \ r) \ (\alpha 2 \ r))$ 
      by (simp add:  $\gamma$ -def)
    also have  $\dots = \text{case-prod } ?\alpha 2 \circ (\lambda r. \ (\ ?\beta 1 \ r, \alpha 2 \ r))$ 
      by auto
    also have  $\dots \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q Z$ 
      apply (rule qbs-morphism-comp[of - -  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y$ ])
      apply (rule qbs-morphism-tuple)
      using  $h(3)$ 
      apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms h3
    apply blast
    using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms[of  $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y$ ]  $h(2)$ 
    by (simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
    finally show ?thesis
      using qbs-Mx-is-morphisms by auto
  qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  using qbs-decomp[of Z]
  by (simp add: qbs-closed3-def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
finally show ?thesis .

```

qed
qed

lemma *not-qbs-morphism*:
 $\text{Not} \in \mathbb{B}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
by(*auto intro!*: *bool-qbs-morphism*)

lemma *or-qbs-morphism*:
 $(\vee) \in \mathbb{B}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } \mathbb{B}_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
by(*auto intro!*: *bool-qbs-morphism*)

lemma *and-qbs-morphism*:
 $(\wedge) \in \mathbb{B}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } \mathbb{B}_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
by(*auto intro!*: *bool-qbs-morphism*)

lemma *implies-qbs-morphism*:
 $(\longrightarrow) \in \mathbb{B}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{B}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
by(*auto intro!*: *bool-qbs-morphism*)

lemma *less-nat-qbs-morphism*:
 $(<) \in \mathbb{N}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } \mathbb{N}_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
by(*auto intro!*: *nat-qbs-morphism*)

lemma *less-real-qbs-morphism*:
 $(<) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } \mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
proof(*rule curry-preserves-morphisms*[**where** $f = (\lambda(z :: \text{real} \times \text{real}). \text{fst } z < \text{snd } z), \text{simplified curry-def, simplified}])
have $(\lambda z. \text{fst } z < \text{snd } z) \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{bool-borel}$
using *borel-measurable-pred-less*[*OF measurable-fst measurable-snd, simplified measurable-cong-sets*[*OF refl sets-borel-eq-count-space*[*symmetric*], *of borel* $\otimes_M \text{borel}$]]
by *simp*
thus $(\lambda z. \text{fst } z < \text{snd } z) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{B}_Q$
by *auto*
qed$

lemma *rec-list-morphism'*:
 $\text{rec-list}' \in \text{qbs-space } (\text{exp-qbs } Y (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y))) (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) Y)))$
apply(*simp, rule curry-preserves-morphisms*[**where** $f = \lambda y f. \text{rec-list}' (\text{fst } y f) (\text{snd } y f), \text{simplified curry-def, simplified}])
apply(*rule arg-swap-morphism*)
proof(*rule coprod-qbs-canonical1'*)
fix n
show $(\lambda x y. \text{rec-list}' (\text{fst } y) (\text{snd } y) (n, x)) \in (\Pi_Q i \in \{..<n\}. X) \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } (Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y))) Y$
proof(*induction n*)$

```

case 0
show ?case
proof(rule curry-preserves-morphisms[of (λ(x,y). rec-list' (fst y) (snd y) (0,
x)), simplified],rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix α
  assume h:α ∈ qbs-Mx ((ΠQ i∈{..<0::nat}. X) ⊗Q Y ⊗Q exp-qbs X (exp-qbs
(list-of X) (exp-qbs Y Y)))
  have ∧r. fst (α r) = (λn. undefined)
  proof –
    fix r
    have ∧i. (λr. fst (α r) i) = (λr. undefined)
    using h by(auto simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def prod-qbs-Mx-def pair-qbs-Mx-def
comp-def split-beta')
    thus fst (α r) = (λn. undefined)
    by(fastforce dest: fun-cong)
  qed
  hence (λ(x, y). rec-list' (fst y) (snd y) (0, x)) ∘ α = (λx. fst (snd (α x)))
    by(auto simp: rec-list'-simp1 comp-def split-beta')
  also have ... ∈ qbs-Mx Y
    using h by(auto simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
  finally show (λ(x, y). rec-list' (fst y) (snd y) (0, x)) ∘ α ∈ qbs-Mx Y .
qed
next
case ih:(Suc n)
show ?case
proof(rule qbs-morphismI)
  fix α
  assume h:α ∈ qbs-Mx (ΠQ i∈{..define α' where α' ≡ (λr. snd (list-tail (Suc n, α r)))
  define a where a ≡ (λr. α r 0)
  then have ha:a ∈ qbs-Mx X
    using h by(auto simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def)
  have 1:α' ∈ qbs-Mx (ΠQ i∈{..using h by(fastforce simp: prod-qbs-Mx-def list-tail-def α'-def)
  hence 2: ∧r. (n, α' r) ∈ qbs-space (list-of X)
    using qbs-Mx-to-X[of α'] by fastforce
  have 3: ∧r. (Suc n, α r) ∈ qbs-space (list-of X)
    using qbs-Mx-to-X[of α] h by fastforce
  have 4: ∧r. (n, α' r) = list-tail (Suc n, α r)
    by(simp add: list-tail-def α'-def)
  have 5: ∧r. (Suc n, α r) = list-cons (a r) (n, α' r)
  unfolding a-def by(simp add: list-simp5[OF 3,simplified 4[symmetric],simplified
list-head-def]) auto
  have 6: (λr. (n, α' r)) ∈ qbs-Mx (list-of X)
    using 1 by(auto intro!: coprod-qbs-MxI)

  have (λx y. rec-list' (fst y) (snd y) (Suc n, x)) ∘ α = (λr y. rec-list' (fst y)
(snd y) (Suc n, α r))
    by auto

```

also have ... = $(\lambda r y. \text{snd } y (a r) (n, \alpha' r) (\text{rec-list}' (fst y) (\text{snd } y) (n, \alpha' r)))$
by (*simp only: 5 rec-list'-simp2*[*OF 2*])
also have ... $\in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{exp-qbs } (Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y))) Y)$
proof –
have $(\lambda(r,y). \text{snd } y (a r) (n, \alpha' r) (\text{rec-list}' (fst y) (\text{snd } y) (n, \alpha' r))) =$
 $(\lambda(y,x1,x2,x3). y x1 x2 x3) \circ (\lambda(r,y). (\text{snd } y, a r, (n, \alpha' r), \text{rec-list}' (fst y) (\text{snd } y) (n, \alpha' r)))$
by *auto*
also have ... $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q (Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y))) \rightarrow_Q Y$
proof (*rule qbs-morphism-comp*[**where** $Y = \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \otimes_Q X \otimes_Q \text{list-of } X \otimes_Q Y$])
show $(\lambda(r, y). (\text{snd } y, a r, (n, \alpha' r), \text{rec-list}' (fst y) (\text{snd } y) (n, \alpha' r)))$
 $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } X$
 $(\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \otimes_Q X \otimes_Q \text{list-of } X \otimes_Q Y$
proof (*auto simp: split-beta' intro!: qbs-morphism-tuple*[*OF qbs-morphism-snd'*][*OF*
snd-qbs-morphism] *qbs-morphism-tuple*[*of* $\lambda(r, y). a r \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X$
 $(\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) X$, *OF - qbs-morphism-tuple*[*of* $\lambda(r,y). (n, \alpha'$
 $r)$, *of list-of } X \lambda(r,y). \text{rec-list}' (fst y) (\text{snd } y) (n, \alpha' r), \text{simplified split-beta'}*])
show $(\lambda x. a (fst x)) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \rightarrow_Q X$
using *ha qbs-Mx-is-morphisms*[*of X*] *qbs-morphism-fst'*[*of a* $\mathbb{R}_Q X$] **by**
auto
next
show $(\lambda x. (n, \alpha' (fst x))) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \rightarrow_Q \text{list-of } X$
using *qbs-morphism-fst'*[*of* $\lambda r. (n, \alpha' r) \mathbb{R}_Q \text{list-of } X$] *qbs-Mx-is-morphisms*[*of*
 $\text{list-of } X$] **6 by** *auto*
next
show $(\lambda x. \text{rec-list}' (fst (\text{snd } x)) (\text{snd } (\text{snd } x)) (n, \alpha' (fst x))) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q$
 $Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \rightarrow_Q Y$
using *qbs-morphismE*(3)[*OF ih 1, simplified comp-def*] *uncurry-preserves-morphisms*[*of*
 $(\lambda x y. \text{rec-list}' (fst y) (\text{snd } y) (n, \alpha' x)) \mathbb{R}_Q Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X)$
 $(\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) Y$] *qbs-Mx-is-morphisms*[*of exp-qbs } (Y \otimes_Q \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y))) Y]
by (*fastforce simp: split-beta'*)
qed
next
show $(\lambda(y, x1, x2, x3). y x1 x2 x3) \in \text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \otimes_Q X \otimes_Q \text{list-of } X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Y$
proof (*rule qbs-morphismI*)
fix β
assume $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y)) \otimes_Q$
 $X \otimes_Q \text{list-of } X \otimes_Q Y)$
then have $\exists \beta1 \beta2 \beta3 \beta4. \beta = (\lambda r. (\beta1 r, \beta2 r, \beta3 r, \beta4 r)) \wedge \beta1$
 $\in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{exp-qbs } X (\text{exp-qbs } (\text{list-of } X) (\text{exp-qbs } Y Y))) \wedge \beta2 \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge \beta3$
 $\in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{list-of } X) \wedge \beta4 \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$
by (*auto intro!: exI*[**where** $x = fst \circ \beta$] *exI*[**where** $x = fst \circ snd$])*

```

    ◦ β] exI[where x=fst ◦ snd ◦ snd ◦ β] exI[where x=snd ◦ snd ◦ snd ◦ β]
simp:pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
    then obtain β1 β2 β3 β4 where hb:
        β = (λr. (β1 r, β2 r, β3 r, β4 r)) β1 ∈ qbs-Mx (exp-qbs X (exp-qbs
(list-of X) (exp-qbs Y Y))) β2 ∈ qbs-Mx X β3 ∈ qbs-Mx (list-of X) β4 ∈ qbs-Mx
Y
    by auto
    hence hbq:(λ(((r,x1),x2),x3). β1 r x1 x2 x3) ∈ ((ℝQ ⊗Q X) ⊗Q list-of
X) ⊗Q Y →Q Y
    by(simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def) (meson uncurry-preserves-morphisms)
    have (λ(y, x1, x2, x3). y x1 x2 x3) ◦ β = (λ(((r,x1),x2),x3). β1 r x1 x2
x3) ◦ (λr. (((r,β2 r), β3 r), β4 r))
    by(auto simp: hb(1))
    also have ... ∈ ℝQ →Q Y
    using hb(2-5)
    by(auto intro!: qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-tuple[OF
qbs-morphism-tuple[OF qbs-morphism-tuple[OF qbs-morphism-ident']] hbq] simp:
qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)
    finally show (λ(y, x1, x2, x3). y x1 x2 x3) ◦ β ∈ qbs-Mx Y
    by(simp add: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)
    qed
    qed
    finally show ?thesis
    by(simp add: exp-qbs-Mx-def)
    qed
    finally show (λx y. rec-list' (fst y) (snd y) (Suc n, x)) ◦ α ∈ qbs-Mx (exp-qbs
(Y ⊗Q exp-qbs X (exp-qbs (list-of X) (exp-qbs Y Y))) Y) .
    qed
    qed
    qed simp
end

```

3 Probability Spaces

3.1 Probability Measures

```

theory Probability-Space-QuasiBorel
imports Exponent-QuasiBorel
begin

```

3.1.1 Probability Measures

```

type-synonym 'a qbs-prob-t = 'a quasi-borel * (real ⇒ 'a) * real measure

```

```

locale in-Mx =
  fixes X :: 'a quasi-borel
  and α :: real ⇒ 'a

```



```

assumes in-Mx[simp]:  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 

locale qbs-prob = in-Mx  $X \ \alpha$  + real-distribution  $\mu$ 
  for  $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\alpha$  and  $\mu$ 
begin
declare prob-space-axioms[simp]

lemma m-in-space-prob-algebra[simp]:
   $\mu \in \text{space } (\text{prob-algebra } \text{real-borel})$ 
  using space-prob-algebra[of real-borel] by simp
end

locale pair-qbs-probs = qp1:qbs-prob  $X \ \alpha \ \mu$  + qp2:qbs-prob  $Y \ \beta \ \nu$ 
  for  $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\alpha \ \mu$  and  $Y :: 'b \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\beta \ \nu$ 
begin

sublocale pair-prob-space  $\mu \ \nu$ 
  by standard

lemma ab-measurable[measurable]:
   $\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \beta \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } (X \otimes_Q Y)$ 
  using qbs-morphism-map-prod[of  $\alpha \ \mathbb{R}_Q \ X \ \beta \ \mathbb{R}_Q \ Y$ ] qp1.in-Mx qp2.in-Mx l-preserves-morphisms[of
 $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \ X \otimes_Q Y$ ]
  by(auto simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)

lemma ab-g-in-Mx[simp]:
   $\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \beta \circ \text{real-real.g} \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
  using qbs-closed1-dest[OF qp1.in-Mx] qbs-closed1-dest[OF qp2.in-Mx]
  by(auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)

sublocale qbs-prob  $X \otimes_Q Y \ \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \beta \circ \text{real-real.g} \ \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \ \text{real-borel}$ 
 $\text{real-real.f}$ 
  by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)

end

locale pair-qbs-prob = qp1:qbs-prob  $X \ \alpha \ \mu$  + qp2:qbs-prob  $Y \ \beta \ \nu$ 
  for  $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\alpha \ \mu$  and  $Y :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\beta \ \nu$ 
begin

sublocale pair-qbs-probs
  by standard

lemma same-spaces[simp]:
  assumes  $Y = X$ 
  shows  $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  by(simp add: assms[symmetric])

end

```

lemma *prob-algebra-real-prob-measure*:
 $p \in \text{space } (\text{prob-algebra } (\text{real-borel})) = \text{real-distribution } p$
proof
 assume $p \in \text{space } (\text{prob-algebra } \text{real-borel})$
 then show *real-distribution* p
 unfolding *real-distribution-def* *real-distribution-axioms-def*
 by(*simp add: space-prob-algebra sets-eq-imp-space-eq*)
next
 assume *real-distribution* p
 then interpret *rd*: *real-distribution* p .
 show $p \in \text{space } (\text{prob-algebra } \text{real-borel})$
 by (*simp add: space-prob-algebra rd.prob-space-axioms*)
qed

lemma *qbs-probI*:
 assumes $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
 and *sets* $\mu = \text{sets borel}$
 and *prob-space* μ
 shows *qbs-prob* $X \alpha \mu$
 using *assms*
 by(*auto intro!: qbs-prob.intro simp: in-Mx-def real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def*)

lemma *qbs-empty-not-qbs-prob* : $\neg \text{qbs-prob } (\text{empty-quasi-borel}) f M$
 by(*simp add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def*)

definition *qbs-prob-eq* :: $['a \text{ qbs-prob-t}, 'a \text{ qbs-prob-t}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{qbs-prob-eq } p1 \ p2 \equiv$
 $(\text{let } (qbs1, a1, m1) = p1;$
 $\quad (qbs2, a2, m2) = p2 \text{ in}$
 $\text{qbs-prob } qbs1 \ a1 \ m1 \wedge \text{qbs-prob } qbs2 \ a2 \ m2 \wedge qbs1 = qbs2 \wedge$
 $\text{distr } m1 \ (\text{qbs-to-measure } qbs1) \ a1 = \text{distr } m2 \ (\text{qbs-to-measure } qbs2) \ a2)$

definition *qbs-prob-eq2* :: $['a \text{ qbs-prob-t}, 'a \text{ qbs-prob-t}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{qbs-prob-eq2 } p1 \ p2 \equiv$
 $(\text{let } (qbs1, a1, m1) = p1;$
 $\quad (qbs2, a2, m2) = p2 \text{ in}$
 $\text{qbs-prob } qbs1 \ a1 \ m1 \wedge \text{qbs-prob } qbs2 \ a2 \ m2 \wedge qbs1 = qbs2 \wedge$
 $(\forall f \in qbs1 \rightarrow_Q \text{real-quasi-borel}.$
 $\quad (\int x. f \ (a1 \ x) \ \partial \ m1) = (\int x. f \ (a2 \ x) \ \partial \ m2)))$

definition *qbs-prob-eq3* :: $['a \text{ qbs-prob-t}, 'a \text{ qbs-prob-t}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{qbs-prob-eq3 } p1 \ p2 \equiv$
 $(\text{let } (qbs1, a1, m1) = p1;$
 $\quad (qbs2, a2, m2) = p2 \text{ in}$
 $\text{qbs-prob } qbs1 \ a1 \ m1 \wedge \text{qbs-prob } qbs2 \ a2 \ m2 \wedge qbs1 = qbs2 \wedge$
 $(\forall f \in qbs1 \rightarrow_Q \text{real-quasi-borel}.$
 $\quad (\forall k \in \text{qbs-space } qbs1. \ 0 \leq f \ k) \longrightarrow$
 $\quad (\int x. f \ (a1 \ x) \ \partial \ m1) = (\int x. f \ (a2 \ x) \ \partial \ m2))))$

definition *qbs-prob-eq4* :: [*'a qbs-prob-t, 'a qbs-prob-t*] $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**

qbs-prob-eq4 *p1 p2* $\equiv$
 (let (*qbs1, a1, m1*) = *p1*;
 (*qbs2, a2, m2*) = *p2* in
 (*qbs-prob qbs1 a1 m1* $\wedge$ *qbs-prob qbs2 a2 m2* $\wedge$ *qbs1* = *qbs2* $\wedge$
 ($\forall f \in \text{qbs1} \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}.$
 ($\int^+ x. f (a1\ x) \partial\ m1$) = ($\int^+ x. f (a2\ x) \partial\ m2$))))

lemma(in *qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq-refl*[*simp*]:

qbs-prob-eq (*X*, α , μ) (*X*, α , μ)
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def qbs-prob-axioms*)

lemma(in *qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq2-refl*[*simp*]:

qbs-prob-eq2 (*X*, α , μ) (*X*, α , μ)
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-eq2-def qbs-prob-axioms*)

lemma(in *qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq3-refl*[*simp*]:

qbs-prob-eq3 (*X*, α , μ) (*X*, α , μ)
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-eq3-def qbs-prob-axioms*)

lemma(in *qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq4-refl*[*simp*]:

qbs-prob-eq4 (*X*, α , μ) (*X*, α , μ)
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-eq4-def qbs-prob-axioms*)

lemma(in *pair-qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq-intro*:

assumes *X* = *Y*
and *distr* μ (*qbs-to-measure* *X*) α = *distr* ν (*qbs-to-measure* *X*) β
shows *qbs-prob-eq* (*X*, α , μ) (*Y*, β , ν)
using *assms qp1.qbs-prob-axioms qp2.qbs-prob-axioms*
by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def*)

lemma(in *pair-qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq2-intro*:

assumes *X* = *Y*
and $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$
 $\implies (\int x. f (\alpha\ x) \partial\ \mu) = (\int x. f (\beta\ x) \partial\ \nu)$
shows *qbs-prob-eq2* (*X*, α , μ) (*Y*, β , ν)
using *assms qp1.qbs-prob-axioms qp2.qbs-prob-axioms*
by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq2-def*)

lemma(in *pair-qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq3-intro*:

assumes *X* = *Y*
and $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \implies (\forall k \in \text{qbs-space } X. 0 \leq f\ k)$
 $\implies (\int x. f (\alpha\ x) \partial\ \mu) = (\int x. f (\beta\ x) \partial\ \nu)$
shows *qbs-prob-eq3* (*X*, α , μ) (*Y*, β , ν)
using *assms qp1.qbs-prob-axioms qp2.qbs-prob-axioms*
by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq3-def*)

lemma(in *pair-qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-eq4-intro*:
assumes $X = Y$
and $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$
 $\implies (\int^{+x}. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int^{+x}. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$
shows *qbs-prob-eq4* (X, α, μ) (Y, β, ν)
using *assms qp1.qbs-prob-axioms qp2.qbs-prob-axioms*
by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq4-def*)

lemma *qbs-prob-eq-dest*:
assumes *qbs-prob-eq* (X, α, μ) (Y, β, ν)
shows *qbs-prob* $X \alpha \mu$
qbs-prob $Y \beta \nu$
 $Y = X$
and $\text{distr } \mu (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha = \text{distr } \nu (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \beta$
using *assms by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq-def)*

lemma *qbs-prob-eq2-dest*:
assumes *qbs-prob-eq2* (X, α, μ) (Y, β, ν)
shows *qbs-prob* $X \alpha \mu$
qbs-prob $Y \beta \nu$
 $Y = X$
and $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$
 $\implies (\int x. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int x. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$
using *assms by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq2-def)*

lemma *qbs-prob-eq3-dest*:
assumes *qbs-prob-eq3* (X, α, μ) (Y, β, ν)
shows *qbs-prob* $X \alpha \mu$
qbs-prob $Y \beta \nu$
 $Y = X$
and $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \implies (\forall k \in \text{qbs-space } X. 0 \leq f k)$
 $\implies (\int x. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int x. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$
using *assms by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq3-def)*

lemma *qbs-prob-eq4-dest*:
assumes *qbs-prob-eq4* (X, α, μ) (Y, β, ν)
shows *qbs-prob* $X \alpha \mu$
qbs-prob $Y \beta \nu$
 $Y = X$
and $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$
 $\implies (\int^{+x}. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int^{+x}. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$
using *assms by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq4-def)*

definition *qbs-prob-t-ennintegral* :: [*'a qbs-prob-t, 'a $\Rightarrow$ ennreal*] $\Rightarrow$ *ennreal* **where**
qbs-prob-t-ennintegral $p f \equiv$
(if $f \in (\text{fst } p) \rightarrow_Q \text{ennreal-quasi-borel}$
then $(\int^{+x}. f (\text{fst } (\text{snd } p) x) \partial (\text{snd } (\text{snd } p)))$ *else* $0)$

definition *qbs-prob-t-integral* :: [*'a qbs-prob-t, 'a $\Rightarrow$ real*] $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**

qbs-prob-t-integral *p f* $\equiv$
 (if *f* $\in$ (*fst p*) $\rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 then ($\int x. f$ (*fst* (*snd p*) *x*) ∂ (*snd* (*snd p*)))
 else 0)

definition *qbs-prob-t-integrable* :: [*'a qbs-prob-t, 'a $\Rightarrow$ real*] $\Rightarrow$ *bool* **where**

qbs-prob-t-integrable *p f* $\equiv f \in \text{fst } p \rightarrow_Q \text{real-quasi-borel} \wedge \text{integrable } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } p))$
 (*f* $\circ$ (*fst* (*snd p*)))

definition *qbs-prob-t-measure* :: *'a qbs-prob-t $\Rightarrow$ 'a measure* **where**

qbs-prob-t-measure *p* $\equiv \text{distr } (\text{snd } (\text{snd } p)) (\text{qbs-to-measure } (\text{fst } p)) (\text{fst } (\text{snd } p))$

lemma *qbs-prob-eq-symp*:

symp qbs-prob-eq
by(*simp add: symp-def qbs-prob-eq-def*)

lemma *qbs-prob-eq-transp*:

transp qbs-prob-eq
by(*simp add: transp-def qbs-prob-eq-def*)

quotient-type *'a qbs-prob-space* = *'a qbs-prob-t / partial: qbs-prob-eq*

morphisms *rep-qbs-prob-space qbs-prob-space*

proof(*rule part-equivI*)

let *?U* = *UNIV* :: *'a set*
let *?Uf* = *UNIV* :: (*real $\Rightarrow$ 'a*) *set*
let *?f* = ($\lambda-. \text{undefined}$) :: *real $\Rightarrow$ 'a*
have *qbs-prob* (*Abs-quasi-borel* (*?U, ?Uf*)) *?f* (*return borel 0*)
proof(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def*)
have *Rep-quasi-borel* (*Abs-quasi-borel* (*?U, ?Uf*)) = (*?U, ?Uf*)
using *Abs-quasi-borel-inverse*
by (*auto simp add: qbs-closed1-def qbs-closed2-def qbs-closed3-def is-quasi-borel-def*)
thus ($\lambda-. \text{undefined}$) $\in$ *qbs-Mx* (*Abs-quasi-borel* (*?U, ?Uf*))
by(*simp add: qbs-Mx-def*)
next
show *real-distribution* (*return borel 0*)
by (*simp add: prob-space-return real-distribution-axioms-def real-distribution-def*)
qed
thus $\exists x :: 'a \text{ qbs-prob-t} . \text{qbs-prob-eq } x \ x$
unfolding *qbs-prob-eq-def*
by(*auto intro!: exI[where x=(Abs-quasi-borel (?U, ?Uf), ?f, return borel 0)]*)
qed (*simp-all add: qbs-prob-eq-symp qbs-prob-eq-transp*)

interpretation *qbs-prob-space* : *quot-type qbs-prob-eq Abs-qbs-prob-space Rep-qbs-prob-space*

using *Abs-qbs-prob-space-inverse Rep-qbs-prob-space*

by(*simp add: quot-type-def equivp-implies-part-equivp qbs-prob-space-equivp Rep-qbs-prob-space-inverse Rep-qbs-prob-space-inject*) *blast*

lemma *qbs-prob-space-induct*:

assumes $\bigwedge X \alpha \mu. \text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu \implies P (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))$

shows $P s$

apply(*rule qbs-prob-space.abs-induct*)

using *assms by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq-def)*

lemma *qbs-prob-space-induct'*:

assumes $\bigwedge X \alpha \mu. \text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu \implies s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \implies P (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))$

shows $P s$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Rep-qbs-prob-space-inverse assms case-prodE qbs-prob-eq-def qbs-prob-space.abs-def qbs-prob-space.rep-prop qbs-prob-space-def*)

lemma *rep-qbs-prob-space*:

$\exists X \alpha \mu. p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \wedge \text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$

by(*rule qbs-prob-space.abs-induct, auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def*)

lemma(**in** *qbs-prob*) *in-Rep*:

$(X, \alpha, \mu) \in \text{Rep-qbs-prob-space } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))$

by (*metis mem-Collect-eq qbs-prob-eq-refl qbs-prob-space.abs-def qbs-prob-space.abs-inverse qbs-prob-space-def*)

lemma(**in** *qbs-prob*) *if-in-Rep*:

assumes $(X', \alpha', \mu') \in \text{Rep-qbs-prob-space } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))$

shows $X' = X$

$\text{qbs-prob } X' \alpha' \mu'$

$\text{qbs-prob-eq } (X, \alpha, \mu) (X', \alpha', \mu')$

proof –

have $h: X' = X$

by (*metis assms mem-Collect-eq qbs-prob-eq-dest(3) qbs-prob-eq-refl qbs-prob-space.abs-def qbs-prob-space.abs-inverse qbs-prob-space-def*)

have [*simp*]: $\text{qbs-prob } X' \alpha' \mu'$

by (*metis assms mem-Collect-eq prod-cases3 qbs-prob-eq-dest(2) qbs-prob-space.rep-prop*)

have [*simp*]: $\text{qbs-prob-eq } (X, \alpha, \mu) (X', \alpha', \mu')$

by (*metis assms mem-Collect-eq qbs-prob-eq-refl qbs-prob-space.abs-def qbs-prob-space.abs-inverse qbs-prob-space-def*)

show $X' = X$

$\text{qbs-prob } X' \alpha' \mu'$

$\text{qbs-prob-eq } (X, \alpha, \mu) (X', \alpha', \mu')$

by *simp-all (simp add: h)*

qed

lemma(**in** *qbs-prob*) *in-Rep-induct*:

assumes $\bigwedge Y \beta \nu. (Y, \beta, \nu) \in \text{Rep-qbs-prob-space } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)) \implies P (Y, \beta, \nu)$

shows $P (\text{rep-qbs-prob-space } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)))$

unfolding *rep-qbs-prob-space-def qbs-prob-space.rep-def*

by(*rule someI2[where a=(X,α,μ)] (use in-Rep assms in auto)*)

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 :
  assumes qbs-prob-eq2 p1 p2
  shows qbs-prob-eq3 p1 p2
  using assms by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq2-def qbs-prob-eq3-def)

lemma qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1 :
  assumes qbs-prob-eq3 (p1 :: 'a qbs-prob-t) p2
  shows qbs-prob-eq p1 p2
proof(rule prod-cases3[where y=p1],rule prod-cases3[where y=p2],simp)
  fix X Y :: 'a quasi-borel
  fix  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\mu$   $\nu$ 
  assume p1 = (X, $\alpha$ , $\mu$ ) p2 = (Y, $\beta$ , $\nu$ )
  then have h:qbs-prob-eq3 (X, $\alpha$ , $\mu$ ) (Y, $\beta$ , $\nu$ )
    using assms by simp
  then interpret qp : pair-qbs-prob X  $\alpha$   $\mu$  Y  $\beta$   $\nu$ 
    by(auto intro!: pair-qbs-prob.intro simp: qbs-prob-eq3-def)
  note [simp] = qbs-prob-eq3-dest(3)[OF h]

  show qbs-prob-eq (X, $\alpha$ , $\mu$ ) (Y, $\beta$ , $\nu$ )
proof(rule qp.qbs-prob-eq-intro)
  show distr  $\mu$  (qbs-to-measure X)  $\alpha$  = distr  $\nu$  (qbs-to-measure X)  $\beta$ 
proof(rule measure-eqI)
  fix U
  assume hu:U  $\in$  sets (distr  $\mu$  (qbs-to-measure X)  $\alpha$ )
  have measure (distr  $\mu$  (qbs-to-measure X)  $\alpha$ ) U = measure (distr  $\nu$  (qbs-to-measure
X)  $\beta$ ) U
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = measure  $\mu$  ( $\alpha$  - ' U  $\cap$  space  $\mu$ )
      by(rule measure-distr) (use hu in simp-all)
    also have ... = integralL  $\mu$  (indicat-real ( $\alpha$  - ' U))
      by simp
    also have ... = ( $\int$  x. indicat-real U ( $\alpha$  x)  $\partial\mu$ )
      using indicator-vimage[of  $\alpha$  U] Bochner-Integration.integral-cong[of  $\mu$  -
indicat-real ( $\alpha$  - ' U)  $\lambda x$ . indicat-real U ( $\alpha$  x)]
      by auto
    also have ... = ( $\int$  x. indicat-real U ( $\beta$  x)  $\partial\nu$ )
      using qbs-prob-eq3-dest(4)[OF h,of indicat-real U] hu
      by simp
    also have ... = integralL  $\nu$  (indicat-real ( $\beta$  - ' U))
      using indicator-vimage[of  $\beta$  U,symmetric] Bochner-Integration.integral-cong[of
 $\nu$  -  $\lambda x$ . indicat-real U ( $\beta$  x) indicat-real ( $\beta$  - ' U)]
      by blast
    also have ... = measure  $\nu$  ( $\beta$  - ' U  $\cap$  space  $\nu$ )
      by simp
    also have ... = ?rhs
      by(rule measure-distr[symmetric]) (use hu in simp-all)
  finally show ?thesis .

```

```

    qed
    thus emeasure (distr  $\mu$  (qbs-to-measure  $X$ )  $\alpha$ )  $U$  = emeasure (distr  $\nu$ 
(qbs-to-measure  $X$ )  $\beta$ )  $U$ 
    using qp.qp2.finite-measure-distr[of  $\beta$ ] qp.qp1.finite-measure-distr[of  $\alpha$ ]
    by(simp add: finite-measure.emeasure-eq-measure)
  qed simp
qed simp
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2 :
  assumes qbs-prob-eq  $p1$  ( $p2 :: 'a$  qbs-prob-t)
  shows qbs-prob-eq2  $p1$   $p2$ 
proof(rule prod-cases3[where  $y=p1$ ],rule prod-cases3[where  $y=p2$ ],simp)
  fix  $X$   $Y :: 'a$  quasi-borel
  fix  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\mu$   $\nu$ 
  assume  $p1 = (X, \alpha, \mu)$   $p2 = (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
  then have  $h: qbs-prob-eq (X, \alpha, \mu) (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
    using assms by simp
  then interpret  $qp : pair-qbs-prob$   $X$   $\alpha$   $\mu$   $Y$   $\beta$   $\nu$ 
    by(auto intro!: pair-qbs-prob.intro simp: qbs-prob-eq-def)
  note [simp] = qbs-prob-eq-dest(3)[OF  $h$ ]

```

```

  show qbs-prob-eq2 ( $X, \alpha, \mu$ ) ( $Y, \beta, \nu$ )
proof(rule qp.qbs-prob-eq2-intro)
  fix  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow real$ 
  assume [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable (qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
  show  $(\int r. f (\alpha r) \partial \mu) = (\int r. f (\beta r) \partial \nu)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs =  $(\int x. f x \partial(\text{distr } \mu \text{ (qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha))$ 
      by(simp add: Bochner-Integration.integral-distr[symmetric])
    also have ... =  $(\int x. f x \partial(\text{distr } \nu \text{ (qbs-to-measure } X) \beta))$ 
      by(simp add: qbs-prob-eq-dest(4)[OF  $h$ ])
    also have ... = ?rhs
      by(simp add: Bochner-Integration.integral-distr)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed simp
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-4 :
  assumes qbs-prob-eq  $p1$   $p2$ 
  shows qbs-prob-eq4  $p1$   $p2$ 
proof(rule prod-cases3[where  $y=p1$ ],rule prod-cases3[where  $y=p2$ ],simp)
  fix  $X$   $Y :: 'a$  quasi-borel
  fix  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\mu$   $\nu$ 
  assume  $p1 = (X, \alpha, \mu)$   $p2 = (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
  then have  $h: qbs-prob-eq (X, \alpha, \mu) (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
    using assms by simp

```



```

then interpret  $qp : \text{pair-qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu \ Y \beta \nu$ 
  by(auto intro!:  $\text{pair-qbs-prob.intro simp: qbs-prob-eq-def}$ )
note [simp] =  $\text{qbs-prob-eq-dest}(3)[OF\ h]$ 

show  $\text{qbs-prob-eq4 } (X, \alpha, \mu) (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
proof(rule qp.qbs-prob-eq4-intro)
  fix  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{ennreal}$ 
  assume [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
  show  $(\int^+ x. f (\alpha\ x) \partial\mu) = (\int^+ x. f (\beta\ x) \partial\nu)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have  $?lhs = \text{integral}^N (\text{distr } \mu (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha) f$ 
      by(simp add: nn-integral-distr)
    also have  $\dots = \text{integral}^N (\text{distr } \nu (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \beta) f$ 
      by(simp add: qbs-prob-eq-dest(4)[OF h])
    also have  $\dots = ?rhs$ 
      by(simp add: nn-integral-distr)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed simp
qed

lemma  $\text{qbs-prob-eq-4-implies-3} :$ 
  assumes  $\text{qbs-prob-eq4 } p1\ p2$ 
  shows  $\text{qbs-prob-eq3 } p1\ p2$ 
proof(rule prod-cases3[where y=p1], rule prod-cases3[where y=p2], simp)
  fix  $X\ Y :: 'a\ \text{quasi-borel}$ 
  fix  $\alpha\ \beta\ \mu\ \nu$ 
  assume  $p1 = (X, \alpha, \mu)\ p2 = (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
  then have  $h: \text{qbs-prob-eq4 } (X, \alpha, \mu) (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
    using assms by simp
  then interpret  $qp : \text{pair-qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu \ Y \beta \nu$ 
    by(auto intro!:  $\text{pair-qbs-prob.intro simp: qbs-prob-eq4-def}$ )
  note [simp] =  $\text{qbs-prob-eq4-dest}(3)[OF\ h]$ 

  show  $\text{qbs-prob-eq3 } (X, \alpha, \mu) (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
  proof(rule qp.qbs-prob-eq3-intro)
    fix  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
    assume [measurable]:  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{qbs-to-measure } X)$ 
    and  $h': \forall k \in \text{qbs-space } X. 0 \leq f\ k$ 
    show  $(\int x. f (\alpha\ x) \partial\mu) = (\int x. f (\beta\ x) \partial\nu)$ 
      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
    proof -
      have  $?lhs = \text{enn2real } (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } (f (\alpha\ x)) \partial\mu)$ 
        using  $h'$  by(auto simp: integral-eq-nn-integral[where f=( $\lambda x. f (\alpha\ x)$ )])
      also have  $\dots = \text{enn2real } (\int^+ x. (\text{ennreal} \circ f) (\alpha\ x) \partial\mu)$ 
        by simp
      also have  $\dots = \text{enn2real } (\int^+ x. (\text{ennreal} \circ f) (\beta\ x) \partial\nu)$ 

```

```

      using qbs-prob-eq4-dest(4)[OF h, of ennreal ∘ f] by simp
    also have ... = enn2real (∫+ x. ennreal (f (β x)) ∂ν)
      by simp
    also have ... = ?rhs
      using h' by (auto simp: integral-eq-nn-integral[where f=(λx. f (β x))])
qbs-Mx-to-X(2))
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv12 :
  qbs-prob-eq = qbs-prob-eq2
  using qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2 qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv13 :
  qbs-prob-eq = qbs-prob-eq3
  using qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2 qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv14 :
  qbs-prob-eq = qbs-prob-eq4
  using qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1 qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-4 qbs-prob-eq-4-implies-3
  qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv23 :
  qbs-prob-eq2 = qbs-prob-eq3
  using qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2 qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv24 :
  qbs-prob-eq2 = qbs-prob-eq4
  using qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-4-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1 qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-4
  qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv34 :
  qbs-prob-eq3 = qbs-prob-eq4
  using qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1 qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-4 qbs-prob-eq-4-implies-3
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-eq-equiv31 :
  qbs-prob-eq = qbs-prob-eq3
  using qbs-prob-eq-1-implies-2 qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3 qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1
  by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-space-eq:

```

```

assumes qbs-prob-eq (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)
shows qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) = qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)
using Quotient3-rel[OF Quotient3-qbs-prob-space] assms
by blast

lemma(in pair-qbs-prob) qbs-prob-space-eq:
  assumes Y = X
    and distr μ (qbs-to-measure X) α = distr ν (qbs-to-measure X) β
  shows qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) = qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)
  using assms qbs-prob-eq-intro qbs-prob-space-eq by auto

lemma(in pair-qbs-prob) qbs-prob-space-eq2:
  assumes Y = X
    and  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
       $\implies (\int x. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int x. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$ 
  shows qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) = qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)
  using qbs-prob-space-eq assms qbs-prob-eq-2-implies-3[of (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)] qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1[of
(X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)] qbs-prob-eq2-intro qbs-prob-eq-dest(4)
  by blast

lemma(in pair-qbs-prob) qbs-prob-space-eq3:
  assumes Y = X
    and  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} \implies (\forall k \in \text{qbs-space } X. 0 \leq f$ 
k)
       $\implies (\int x. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int x. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$ 
  shows qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) = qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)
  using assms qbs-prob-eq-3-implies-1[of (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)] qbs-prob-eq3-intro qbs-prob-space-eq
qbs-prob-eq-dest(4)
  by blast

lemma(in pair-qbs-prob) qbs-prob-space-eq4:
  assumes Y = X
    and  $\bigwedge f. f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 
       $\implies (\int^+ x. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu) = (\int^+ x. f (\beta x) \partial \nu)$ 
  shows qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) = qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)
  using assms qbs-prob-eq-4-implies-3[of (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)] qbs-prob-space-eq3[OF
assms(1)] qbs-prob-eq3-dest(4) qbs-prob-eq4-intro
  by blast

lemma(in pair-qbs-prob) qbs-prob-space-eq-inverse:
  assumes qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) = qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)
  shows qbs-prob-eq (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)
    and qbs-prob-eq2 (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)
    and qbs-prob-eq3 (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)
    and qbs-prob-eq4 (X,α,μ) (Y,β,ν)
  using Quotient3-rel[OF Quotient3-qbs-prob-space, of (X, α, μ) (Y, β, ν), simplified]
assms qp1.qbs-prob-axioms qp2.qbs-prob-axioms
  by(simp-all add: qbs-prob-eq-equiv13[symmetric] qbs-prob-eq-equiv12[symmetric]
qbs-prob-eq-equiv14[symmetric])

```

lift-definition *qbs-prob-space-qbs* :: 'a *qbs-prob-space* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *quasi-borel*
is fst by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def*)

lemma(**in** *qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-space-qbs-computation*[*simp*]:
qbs-prob-space-qbs (*qbs-prob-space* (*X*, α , μ)) = *X*
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-space-qbs.abs-eq*)

lemma *rep-qbs-prob-space'*:
assumes *qbs-prob-space-qbs* *s* = *X*
shows $\exists \alpha \mu. s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \wedge \text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$
proof –
obtain *X'* $\alpha \mu$ **where** *hs*:
s = *qbs-prob-space* (*X'*, α , μ) *qbs-prob* *X'* $\alpha \mu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of s*] **by** *auto*
then interpret *qp:qbs-prob* *X'* $\alpha \mu$
by *simp*
show *?thesis*
using *assms hs(2)* **by**(*auto simp add: hs(1)*)
qed

lift-definition *qbs-prob-ennintegral* :: ['a *qbs-prob-space*, 'a $\Rightarrow$ *ennreal*] $\Rightarrow$ *ennreal*
is *qbs-prob-t-ennintegral*
by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def qbs-prob-eq-equiv14 qbs-prob-eq4-def*)

lift-definition *qbs-prob-integral* :: ['a *qbs-prob-space*, 'a $\Rightarrow$ *real*] $\Rightarrow$ *real*
is *qbs-prob-t-integral*
by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq-equiv12 qbs-prob-t-integral-def qbs-prob-eq2-def*)

syntax
-qbs-prob-ennintegral :: *pttrn* $\Rightarrow$ *ennreal* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *qbs-prob-space* $\Rightarrow$ *ennreal* ($\int_Q^+ ((2$
 $-./ -)/ \partial -)$ [60,61] 110)

syntax-consts
-qbs-prob-ennintegral $\equiv$ *qbs-prob-ennintegral*

translations
 $\int_Q^+ x. f \partial p \equiv \text{CONST } \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } p (\lambda x. f)$

syntax
-qbs-prob-integral :: *pttrn* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ 'a *qbs-prob-space* $\Rightarrow$ *real* ($\int_Q ((2$
 $-./ -)/ \partial -)$ [60,61] 110)

syntax-consts
-qbs-prob-integral $\equiv$ *qbs-prob-integral*

translations
 $\int_Q x. f \partial p \equiv \text{CONST } \text{qbs-prob-integral } p (\lambda x. f)$

We define the function $l_X \in L(P(X)) \rightarrow_M G(X)$.

lift-definition $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure :: 'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space \Rightarrow 'a\ measure$
is $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}t\text{-}measure$
by (*auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def qbs-prob-t-measure-def*)
declare [[*coercion qbs-prob-measure*]]

lemma(**in** $qbs\text{-}prob$) $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\text{-}computation[simp]$:
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu)) = distr\ \mu\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)\ \alpha$
by (*simp add: qbs-prob-measure.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-measure-def*)

definition $qbs\text{-}emeasure :: 'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow ennreal$ **where**
 $qbs\text{-}emeasure\ s \equiv emeasure\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ s)$

lemma(**in** $qbs\text{-}prob$) $qbs\text{-}emeasure\text{-}computation[simp]$:
assumes $U \in sets\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)$
shows $qbs\text{-}emeasure\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu))\ U = emeasure\ \mu\ (\alpha - ' U)$
by (*simp add: qbs-emeasure-def emeasure-distr[OF - assms]*)

definition $qbs\text{-}measure :: 'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $qbs\text{-}measure\ s \equiv measure\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ s)$

interpretation $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\text{-}prob\text{-}space : prob\text{-}space\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ (s :: 'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space)$ **for** s
proof(*transfer, auto*)
fix $X :: 'a\ quasi\text{-}borel$
fix $\alpha\ \mu$
assume $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}eq\ (X, \alpha, \mu)\ (X, \alpha, \mu)$
then interpret $qp: qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \alpha\ \mu$
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def*)
show $prob\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}t\text{-}measure\ (X, \alpha, \mu))$
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-t-measure-def qp.prob-space-distr*)
qed

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\text{-}space$:
 $qbs\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s) = space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ s)$
by(*transfer, simp add: qbs-prob-t-measure-def*)

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\text{-}sets[measurable\text{-}cong]$:
 $sets\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s)) = sets\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ s)$
by(*transfer, simp add: qbs-prob-t-measure-def*)

lemma(**in** $qbs\text{-}prob$) $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\text{-}def$:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu))\ f = (\int^{+x}. f\ (\alpha\ x)\ \partial\ \mu)$
by (*simp add: assms qbs-prob-ennintegral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def*)

```

lemma(in qbs-prob) qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2:
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  shows qbs-prob-ennintegral (qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu)$ )  $f = \text{integral}^N (\text{distr } \mu (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha) f$ 
  using assms by(auto simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def qbs-prob-t-measure-def nn-integral-distr)

```

```

lemma (in qbs-prob) qbs-prob-ennintegral-not-morphism:
  assumes  $f \notin X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  shows qbs-prob-ennintegral (qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu)$ )  $f = 0$ 
  by(simp add: assms qbs-prob-ennintegral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs  $s = (X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel})$ 
  and  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  shows qbs-prob-ennintegral  $s f = \text{integral}^N (\text{qbs-prob-measure } s) f$ 
  using assms
proof(transfer, auto)
  fix  $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\alpha \mu f$ 
  assume qbs-prob-eq  $(X, \alpha, \mu) (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
  and  $h: f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  then interpret qp : qbs-prob  $X \alpha \mu$ 
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def)
  show qbs-prob-t-ennintegral  $(X, \alpha, \mu) f = \text{integral}^N (\text{qbs-prob-t-measure } (X, \alpha, \mu)) f$ 
  using qp.qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2[OF h]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-measure-def)
qed

```

```

lemma(in qbs-prob) qbs-prob-integral-def:
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{real-quasi-borel}$ 
  shows qbs-prob-integral (qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu)$ )  $f = (\int x. f (\alpha x) \partial \mu)$ 
  by (simp add: assms qbs-prob-integral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-integral-def)

```

```

lemma(in qbs-prob) qbs-prob-integral-def2:
  qbs-prob-integral (qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu)$ )  $f = \text{integral}^L (\text{distr } \mu (\text{qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha) f$ 
proof -
  consider  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \mid f \notin X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$  by auto
  thus ?thesis
  proof cases
    case h:2
    then have  $\neg \text{integrable } (\text{qbs-prob-measure } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))) f$ 
    by auto
    thus ?thesis
    using h by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-integral-def not-integrable-integral-eq)
  qed (auto simp add: qbs-prob-integral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-integral-def integral-distr)
)

```

qed

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-def2*:

qbs-prob-integral (*s*::'a *qbs-prob-space*) *f* = *integral*^L (*qbs-prob-measure* *s*) *f*

proof(*transfer,auto*)

fix *X* :: 'a *quasi-borel* **and** *μ* *α* *f*

assume *qbs-prob-eq* (*X*,*α*,*μ*) (*X*,*α*,*μ*)

then interpret *qp* : *qbs-prob* *X* *α* *μ*

by(*simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def*)

show *qbs-prob-t-integral* (*X*,*α*,*μ*) *f* = *integral*^L (*qbs-prob-t-measure* (*X*,*α*,*μ*)) *f*

using *qp.qbs-prob-integral-def2*

by(*simp add: qbs-prob-t-measure-def qbs-prob-integral.abs-eq*)

qed

definition *qbs-prob-var* :: 'a *qbs-prob-space* $\Rightarrow$ ('a $\Rightarrow$ real) $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

qbs-prob-var *s* *f* $\equiv$ *qbs-prob-integral* *s* ($\lambda x. (f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } s\ f)^2$)

lemma(**in** *qbs-prob*) *qbs-prob-var-computation*:

assumes *f* $\in X \rightarrow_Q$ real-*quasi-borel*

shows *qbs-prob-var* (*qbs-prob-space* (*X*,*α*,*μ*)) *f* = ($\int x. (f\ (\alpha\ x) - (\int x. f\ (\alpha\ x) \partial\ \mu))^2 \partial\ \mu$)

proof –

have ($\lambda x. (f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))\ f)^2$) $\in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$

using *assms* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms qbs-prob-integral-def*[*of* $\lambda x. (f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))\ f)^2$]

by(*simp add: qbs-prob-var-def qbs-prob-integral-def*)

qed

lift-definition *qbs-integrable* :: ['a *qbs-prob-space*, 'a $\Rightarrow$ real] $\Rightarrow$ bool

is *qbs-prob-t-integrable*

proof *auto*

have *H*: $\bigwedge (X::'a \text{ quasi-borel})\ Y\ \alpha\ \beta\ \mu\ \nu\ f.$

qbs-prob-eq (*X*,*α*,*μ*) (*Y*,*β*,*ν*) $\implies$ *qbs-prob-t-integrable* (*X*,*α*,*μ*) *f* $\implies$

qbs-prob-t-integrable (*Y*,*β*,*ν*) *f*

proof –

fix *X* *Y* :: 'a *quasi-borel*

fix *α* *β* *μ* *ν* *f*

assume *H0*:*qbs-prob-eq* (*X*, *α*, *μ*) (*Y*, *β*, *ν*)

qbs-prob-t-integrable (*X*, *α*, *μ*) *f*

then interpret *qp*: *pair-qbs-prob* *X* *α* *μ* *Y* *β* *ν*

by(*auto intro!: pair-qbs-prob.intro simp: qbs-prob-eq-def*)

have [*measurable*]: *f* $\in$ *qbs-to-measure* *X* $\rightarrow_M$ real-*borel*

and *h*: *integrable* *μ* (*f* $\circ$ *α*)

using *H0* **by**(*auto simp: qbs-prob-t-integrable-def*)

note [*simp*] = *qbs-prob-eq-dest*(3)[*OF* *H0*(1)]

show *qbs-prob-t-integrable* (*Y*, *β*, *ν*) *f*

```

    unfolding qbs-prob-t-integrable-def
  proof auto
    have integrable (distr  $\mu$  (qbs-to-measure  $X$ )  $\alpha$ )  $f$ 
      using  $h$  by (simp add: comp-def integrable-distr-eq)
    hence integrable (distr  $\nu$  (qbs-to-measure  $X$ )  $\beta$ )  $f$ 
      using qbs-prob-eq-dest(4)[OF  $H0(1)$ ] by simp
    thus integrable  $\nu$  ( $f \circ \beta$ )
      by (simp add: comp-def integrable-distr-eq)
  qed
qed
fix  $X Y :: 'a$  quasi-borel
fix  $\alpha \beta \mu \nu$ 
assume  $H0:qbs-prob-eq (X, \alpha, \mu) (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
then have  $H1:qbs-prob-eq (Y, \beta, \nu) (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
  by (auto simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def)
show qbs-prob-t-integrable  $(X, \alpha, \mu) = qbs-prob-t-integrable (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
  using  $H[OF H0] H[OF H1]$  by auto
qed

lemma (in qbs-prob) qbs-integrable-def:
  qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu)$ )  $f = (f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \wedge integrable \mu (f \circ \alpha))$ 
  by (simp add: qbs-integrable.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-integrable-def)

lemma qbs-integrable-morphism:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs  $s = X$ 
    and qbs-integrable  $s f$ 
  shows  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ 
  using assms by (transfer, auto simp: qbs-prob-t-integrable-def)

lemma (in qbs-prob) qbs-integrable-measurable[simp, measurable]:
  assumes qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu)$ )  $f$ 
  shows  $f \in qbs-to-measure X \rightarrow_M real-borel$ 
  using assms by (auto simp add: qbs-integrable-def)

lemma qbs-integrable-iff-integrable:
  (qbs-integrable ( $s :: 'a$  qbs-prob-space)  $f$ ) = (integrable (qbs-prob-measure  $s$ )  $f$ )
  apply transfer
  subgoal for  $s f$ 
  proof (rule prod-cases3[where  $y=s$ ], simp)
    fix  $X :: 'a$  quasi-borel
    fix  $\alpha \mu$ 
    assume qbs-prob-eq  $(X, \alpha, \mu) (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
    then interpret  $qp: qbs-prob X \alpha \mu$ 
    by (simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def)

    show qbs-prob-t-integrable  $(X, \alpha, \mu) f = integrable (qbs-prob-t-measure (X, \alpha, \mu))$ 
       $f$ 
      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```



```

    using integrable-distr-eq[of  $\alpha \mu$  qbs-to-measure  $X f$ ]
    by(auto simp add: qbs-prob-t-integrable-def qbs-prob-t-measure-def comp-def)
qed
done

lemma(in qbs-prob) qbs-integrable-iff-integrable-distr:
  qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space ( $X, \alpha, \mu$ ))  $f = \text{integrable } (\text{distr } \mu \text{ (qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha) f$ 
  by(simp add: qbs-integrable-iff-integrable)

lemma(in qbs-prob) qbs-integrable-iff-integrable:
  assumes  $f \in \text{qbs-to-measure } X \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
  shows qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space ( $X, \alpha, \mu$ ))  $f = \text{integrable } \mu \text{ (}\lambda x. f \text{ (}\alpha x\text{))}$ 
  by(auto intro!: integrable-distr-eq[of  $\alpha \mu$  qbs-to-measure  $X f$ ] simp: assms qbs-integrable-iff-integrable-distr)

lemma qbs-integrable-if-integrable:
  assumes integrable (qbs-prob-measure  $s$ )  $f$ 
  shows qbs-integrable (s::'a qbs-prob-space)  $f$ 
  using assms by(simp add: qbs-integrable-iff-integrable)

lemma integrable-if-qbs-integrable:
  assumes qbs-integrable (s::'a qbs-prob-space)  $f$ 
  shows integrable (qbs-prob-measure  $s$ )  $f$ 
  using assms by(simp add: qbs-integrable-iff-integrable)

lemma qbs-integrable-iff-bounded:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs  $s = X$ 
  shows qbs-integrable  $s f \longleftrightarrow f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \wedge \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } s \text{ (}\lambda x. \text{ennreal } |f x| \text{) } < \infty$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  obtain  $\alpha \mu$  where hs:
    qbs-prob  $X \alpha \mu s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
    using rep-qbs-prob-space'[OF assms] by auto
  then interpret qp:qbs-prob  $X \alpha \mu$  by simp
  have ?lhs = integrable (distr  $\mu$  (qbs-to-measure  $X$ )  $\alpha$ )  $f$ 
    by (simp add: hs(2) qbs-integrable-iff-integrable)
  also have ... =  $(f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{distr } \mu \text{ (qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha) \wedge ((\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } (\text{norm } (f x)) \partial(\text{distr } \mu \text{ (qbs-to-measure } X) \alpha)) < \infty))$ 
    by(rule integrable-iff-bounded)
  also have ... = ?rhs
proof -
  have [simp]:  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \implies (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } |f x|) \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
    by auto
  have  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \implies \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } s \text{ (}\lambda x. \text{ennreal } |f x| \text{) } = (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal } (\text{norm } (f x)) \partial \text{qbs-prob-measure } s)$ 
    using qp.qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2[of  $\lambda x. \text{ennreal } |f x|$ ]
    by(auto simp: hs(2))
  thus ?thesis

```

```

    by(simp add: hs(2)) fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-cong:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs s = X
    and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies f\ x = g\ x$ 
    and qbs-integrable s f
  shows qbs-integrable s g
  by (metis Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong assms integrable-if-qbs-integrable
    qbs-integrable-if-integrable qbs-prob-measure-space)

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-const[simp]:
  qbs-integrable s ( $\lambda x. c$ )
  using qbs-integrable-iff-integrable[of s  $\lambda x. c$ ] by simp

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-add[simp]:
  assumes qbs-integrable s f
    and qbs-integrable s g
  shows qbs-integrable s ( $\lambda x. f\ x + g\ x$ )
  using assms qbs-integrable-iff-integrable by blast

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-diff[simp]:
  assumes qbs-integrable s f
    and qbs-integrable s g
  shows qbs-integrable s ( $\lambda x. f\ x - g\ x$ )
  by(rule qbs-integrable-if-integrable[OF Bochner-Integration.integrable-diff[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(1)] integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(2)]]])

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-mult-iff[simp]:
  ( $\text{qbs-integrable } s\ (\lambda x. c * f\ x)$ ) = ( $c = 0 \vee \text{qbs-integrable } s\ f$ )
  using qbs-integrable-iff-integrable[of s  $\lambda x. c * f\ x$ ] integrable-mult-left-iff[of qbs-prob-measure
    s c f] qbs-integrable-iff-integrable[of s f]
  by simp

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-mult[simp]:
  assumes qbs-integrable s f
  shows qbs-integrable s ( $\lambda x. c * f\ x$ )
  using assms qbs-integrable-mult-iff by auto

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-abs[simp]:
  assumes qbs-integrable s f
  shows qbs-integrable s ( $\lambda x. |f\ x|$ )
  by(rule qbs-integrable-if-integrable[OF integrable-abs[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF
    assms]]])

```

```

lemma qbs-integrable-sq[simp]:
  assumes qbs-integrable s f

```

and $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ (\lambda x. (f\ x)^2)$
shows $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ (\lambda x. (f\ x - c)^2)$
by(*simp add: comm-ring-1-class.power2-diff*,*rule qbs-integrable-diff*,*rule qbs-integrable-add*)
(simp-all add: comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(16)[of 2]
assms)

lemma *qbs-ennintegral-eq-qbs-integral*:

assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s = X$
 $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ f$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in qbs\text{-}space\ X \implies 0 \leq f\ x$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ (\lambda x. ennreal\ (f\ x)) = ennreal\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ f)$
using *nn-integral-eq-integral[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(2)]] assms*
qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2[OF assms(1) qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-integrable-morphism[OF
assms(1,2)],of ennreal $\mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$,simplified comp-def]] measurable-ennreal
by (*metis AE-I2 qbs-prob-integral-def2 qbs-prob-measure-space real.standard-borel-r-full-faithful*)

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-cong*:

assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s = X$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in qbs\text{-}space\ X \implies f\ x = g\ x$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ f = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ g$
proof –
obtain $\alpha\ \mu$ **where** *hs*:
 $s = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu)\ qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \alpha\ \mu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space'[OF assms(1)]* **by** *auto*
then interpret $qp : qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \alpha\ \mu$
by *simp*
have $H1: f \circ \alpha = g \circ \alpha$
using *assms(2)*
unfolding *comp-def* **apply** *standard*
using *assms(2)[of α -]* **by** (*simp add: qbs-Mx-to-X(2)*)
consider $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0} \mid f \notin X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ **by** *auto*
then have $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}t\text{-}ennintegral\ (X, \alpha, \mu)\ f = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}t\text{-}ennintegral\ (X, \alpha, \mu)\ g$
proof *cases*
case *h:1*
then have $g \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
using *qbs-morphism-cong[of X f g $\mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$]* *assms* **by** *simp*
then show *?thesis*
using *h H1* **by**(*simp add: qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def comp-def*)
next
case *h:2*
then have $g \notin X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
using *assms qbs-morphism-cong[of X g f $\mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$]* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using *h* **by**(*simp add: qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *hs(1)* **by** (*simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral.abs-eq*)
qed

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-const*:
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ (s::'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space)\ (\lambda x. c) = c$
using *qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*OF* - *qbs-morphism-const*[*of* $c \in \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ *qbs-prob-space-qbs*
s, simplified]]
by (*simp add: qbs-prob-measure-prob-space.emeasure-space-1*)

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-add*:
assumes *qbs-prob-space-qbs* $s = X$
 $f \in (X::'a\ quasi\text{-}borel) \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
and $g \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ (\lambda x. f\ x + g\ x) = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ f +$
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ g$
using *qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*of* $s\ X\ \lambda x. f\ x + g\ x$] *qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*OF*
assms(1,2)] *qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*OF* *assms*(1,3)] *assms nn-integral-add*[*of* f
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ s\ g$]
by *fastforce*

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-cmult*:
assumes *qbs-prob-space-qbs* $s = X$
and $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ (\lambda x. c * f\ x) = c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ f$
using *qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*OF* *assms*(1), *of* $\lambda x. c * f\ x$] *qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*OF*
assms(1,2)] *nn-integral-cmult*[*of* $f\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ s$] *assms*
by *fastforce*

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-cmult-noninfty*:
assumes $c \neq \infty$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ (\lambda x. c * f\ x) = c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}ennintegral\ s\ f$
proof –
obtain $X \alpha \mu$ **where** *hs*:
 $s = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu)\ qbs\text{-}prob\ X \alpha \mu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of* s] **by** *auto*
then interpret *qp*: $qbs\text{-}prob\ X \alpha \mu$ **by** *simp*
consider $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0} \mid f \notin X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
proof *cases*
case 1
then show *?thesis*
by(*auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-cmult*[**where** $X=X$] *simp: hs*(1))
next
case 2
consider $c = 0 \mid c \neq 0 \wedge c \neq \infty$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
proof *cases*
case 1
then show *?thesis*

```

    by(simp add: hs qbs-prob-ennintegral.abs-eq qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def)
  next
  case h:2
  have (λx. c * f x) ∉ X →Q ℝQ≥0
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume ¬ (λx. c * f x) ∉ X →Q ℝQ≥0
    hence ∃:(λx. c * f x) ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M ennreal-borel
    by auto
    have f = (λx. (1/c) * (c * f x))
    using h by(simp add: divide-eq-1-ennreal ennreal-divide-times mult.assoc
mult.commute[of c] mult-divide-eq-ennreal)
    also have ... ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M ennreal-borel
    using ∃ by simp
    finally show False
    using 2 by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  using qp.qbs-prob-ennintegral-not-morphism 2
  by(simp add: hs(1))
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-cong:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs s = X
  and ⋀x. x ∈ qbs-space X ⟹ f x = g x
  shows qbs-prob-integral s f = qbs-prob-integral s g
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2) (metis Bochner-Integration.integral-cong assms(1)
assms(2) qbs-prob-measure-space)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-nonneg:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs s = X
  and ⋀x. x ∈ qbs-space X ⟹ 0 ≤ f x
  shows 0 ≤ qbs-prob-integral s f
  using qbs-prob-measure-space[of s] assms
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-mono:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs s = X
  qbs-integrable (s :: 'a qbs-prob-space) f
  qbs-integrable s g
  and ⋀x. x ∈ qbs-space X ⟹ f x ≤ g x
  shows qbs-prob-integral s f ≤ qbs-prob-integral s g
  using integral-mono[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(2)] integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF
assms(3)] assms(4)[simplified qbs-prob-measure-space]]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2 assms(1) qbs-prob-measure-space[symmetric])

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-const:
  qbs-prob-integral (s :: 'a qbs-prob-space) (λx. c) = c

```

```

by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2 qbs-prob-measure-prob-space.prob-space)

lemma qbs-prob-integral-add:
  assumes qbs-integrable (s::'a qbs-prob-space) f
    and qbs-integrable s g
  shows qbs-prob-integral s ( $\lambda x. f x + g x$ ) = qbs-prob-integral s f + qbs-prob-integral
s g
  using Bochner-Integration.integral-add[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(1)]
integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(2)]]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2)

lemma qbs-prob-integral-diff:
  assumes qbs-integrable (s::'a qbs-prob-space) f
    and qbs-integrable s g
  shows qbs-prob-integral s ( $\lambda x. f x - g x$ ) = qbs-prob-integral s f - qbs-prob-integral
s g
  using Bochner-Integration.integral-diff[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(1)]
integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(2)]]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2)

lemma qbs-prob-integral-cmult:
  qbs-prob-integral s ( $\lambda x. c * f x$ ) = c * qbs-prob-integral s f
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2)

lemma real-qbs-prob-integral-def:
  assumes qbs-integrable (s::'a qbs-prob-space) f
  shows qbs-prob-integral s f = enn2real (qbs-prob-ennintegral s ( $\lambda x. ennreal (f
x))) - enn2real (qbs-prob-ennintegral s ( $\lambda x. ennreal (- f x)))$ )
  using assms
proof(transfer,auto)
  fix X :: 'a quasi-borel
  fix  $\alpha \mu f$ 
  assume H:qbs-prob-eq (X, $\alpha,\mu$ ) (X, $\alpha,\mu$ )
    qbs-prob-t-integrable (X, $\alpha,\mu$ ) f
  then interpret qp: qbs-prob X  $\alpha \mu$ 
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def)
  show qbs-prob-t-integral (X, $\alpha,\mu$ ) f = enn2real (qbs-prob-t-ennintegral (X, $\alpha,\mu$ )
( $\lambda x. ennreal (f x)$ )) - enn2real (qbs-prob-t-ennintegral (X, $\alpha,\mu$ ) ( $\lambda x. ennreal (- f
x)$ ))
  using H(2) real-lebesgue-integral-def[of  $\mu f \circ \alpha$ ]
  by(auto simp add: comp-def qbs-prob-t-integrable-def qbs-prob-t-integral-def
qbs-prob-t-ennintegral-def)
qed

lemma qbs-prob-var-eq:
  assumes qbs-integrable (s::'a qbs-prob-space) f
    and qbs-integrable s ( $\lambda x. (f x)^2$ )
  shows qbs-prob-var s f = qbs-prob-integral s ( $\lambda x. (f x)^2$ ) - (qbs-prob-integral s
f)2$ 
```

```

unfolding qbs-prob-var-def using assms
proof(transfer,auto)
  fix X :: 'a quasi-borel
  fix α μ f
  assume H:qbs-prob-eq (X,α,μ) (X,α,μ)
           qbs-prob-t-integrable (X,α,μ) f
           qbs-prob-t-integrable (X,α,μ) (λx. (f x)2)
  then interpret qp: qbs-prob X α μ
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def)
  show qbs-prob-t-integral (X,α,μ) (λx. (f x - qbs-prob-t-integral (X,α,μ) f)2) =
qbs-prob-t-integral (X,α,μ) (λx. (f x)2) - (qbs-prob-t-integral (X,α,μ) f)2
  using H(2,3) prob-space.variance-eq[of μ f ∘ α]
  by(auto simp add: qbs-prob-t-integral-def qbs-prob-def qbs-prob-t-integrable-def
comp-def qbs-prob-eq-def)
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-var-affine:
  assumes qbs-integrable s f
  shows qbs-prob-var s (λx. a * f x + b) = a2 * qbs-prob-var s f
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = qbs-prob-integral s (λx. (a * f x + b - (a * qbs-prob-integral s f +
b))2)
  using qbs-prob-integral-add[OF qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms,of a] qbs-integrable-const[of
s b]]
  by (simp add: qbs-prob-integral-cmult qbs-prob-integral-const qbs-prob-var-def)
  also have ... = qbs-prob-integral s (λx. (a * f x - a * qbs-prob-integral s f)2)
  by simp
  also have ... = qbs-prob-integral s (λx. a2 * (f x - qbs-prob-integral s f)2)
  by (metis power-mult-distrib right-diff-distrib)
  also have ... = ?rhs
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-var-def qbs-prob-integral-cmult[of s a2])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-Markov-inequality:
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs s = X
  and qbs-integrable s f
   $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies 0 \leq f x$ 
  and 0 < c
  shows qbs-emeasure s {x ∈ qbs-space X. c ≤ f x} ≤ ennreal (1/c * qbs-prob-integral
s f)
  using integral-Markov-inequality[OF integrable-if-qbs-integrable[OF assms(2)] -
assms(4)] assms(3)
  by(force simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2 qbs-prob-measure-space qbs-emeasure-def
assms(1) qbs-prob-measure-space[symmetric])

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-Markov-inequality':
  assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs s = X

```

$qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ f$
 $\bigwedge x. x \in qbs\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s) \implies 0 \leq f\ x$
and $0 < c$
shows $qbs\text{-}measure\ s\ \{x \in qbs\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s).\ c \leq f\ x\} \leq (1/c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ f)$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality[OF\ assms]\ ennreal\text{-}le\text{-}iff[of\ 1/c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ f\ qbs\text{-}measure\ s\ \{x \in qbs\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s).\ c \leq f\ x\}]\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}nonneg[of\ s\ X\ f, OF\ assms(1,3)]\ assms(4)$
by($simp\ add: qbs\text{-}measure\text{-}def\ qbs\text{-}emeasure\text{-}def\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\text{-}prob\text{-}space.emeasure\text{-}eq\text{-}measure\ assms(1)$)

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality\text{-}abs$:
assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s = X$
 $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ f$
and $0 < c$
shows $qbs\text{-}emeasure\ s\ \{x \in qbs\text{-}space\ X.\ c \leq |f\ x|\} \leq ennreal\ (1/c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ (\lambda x. |f\ x|))$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality[OF\ assms(1)\ qbs\text{-}integrable\text{-}abs[OF\ assms(2)]\ -\ assms(3)]$
by($simp\ add: assms(1)$)

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality\text{-}abs'$:
assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s = X$
 $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ f$
and $0 < c$
shows $qbs\text{-}measure\ s\ \{x \in qbs\text{-}space\ X.\ c \leq |f\ x|\} \leq (1/c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ (\lambda x. |f\ x|))$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality'[OF\ assms(1)\ qbs\text{-}integrable\text{-}abs[OF\ assms(2)]\ -\ assms(3)]$
by($simp\ add: assms(1)$)

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}real\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality$:
assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s = \mathbb{R}_Q$
 $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ f$
and $0 < c$
shows $qbs\text{-}emeasure\ s\ \{r. c \leq |f\ r|\} \leq ennreal\ (1/c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ (\lambda x. |f\ x|))$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality\text{-}abs[OF\ assms]$
by $simp$

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}real\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality'$:
assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ s = \mathbb{R}_Q$
 $qbs\text{-}integrable\ s\ f$
and $0 < c$
shows $qbs\text{-}measure\ s\ \{r. c \leq |f\ r|\} \leq 1/c * qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\ s\ (\lambda x. |f\ x|)$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Markov\text{-}inequality\text{-}abs'[OF\ assms]$
by $simp$

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}integral\text{-}Chebyshev\text{-}inequality$:


```

assumes qbs-prob-space-qbs  $s = X$ 
          qbs-integrable  $s\ f$ 
          qbs-integrable  $s\ (\lambda x. (f\ x)^2)$ 
and  $0 < b$ 
shows qbs-measure  $s\ \{x \in \text{qbs-space } X. b \leq |f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } s\ f|\} \leq 1$ 
/  $b^2 * \text{qbs-prob-var } s\ f$ 
proof -
  have qbs-integrable  $s\ (\lambda x. |f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } s\ f|^2)$ 
    by(simp, rule qbs-integrable-sq[OF assms(2,3)])
  moreover have  $\{x \in \text{qbs-space } X. b^2 \leq |f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } s\ f|^2\} = \{x \in$ 
 $\text{qbs-space } X. b \leq |f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } s\ f|\}$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) abs-le-square-iff abs-of-nonneg assms(4)
less-imp-le power2-abs)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    using qbs-prob-integral-Markov-inequality'[OF assms(1), of  $\lambda x. |f\ x - \text{qbs-prob-integral}$ 
 $s\ f| \wedge^2 b \wedge^2$ ] assms(4)
    by(simp add: qbs-prob-var-def assms(1))
qed

end

```

3.2 The Probability Monad

```

theory Monad-QuasiBorel
imports Probability-Space-QuasiBorel
begin

```

3.2.1 The Probability Monad P

definition $\text{monadP-qbs-Px} :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ qbs-prob-space set}$ **where**
 $\text{monadP-qbs-Px } X \equiv \{s. \text{qbs-prob-space-qbs } s = X\}$

```

locale in-Px =
  fixes  $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $s :: 'a \text{ qbs-prob-space}$ 
  assumes  $\text{in-Px}: s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
begin

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-space-X[simp]:
  qbs-prob-space-qbs  $s = X$ 
  using in-Px by(simp add: monadP-qbs-Px-def)

```

end

```

locale in-MPx =
  fixes  $X :: 'a \text{ quasi-borel}$  and  $\beta :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ qbs-prob-space}$ 
  assumes  $\text{ex}: \exists \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X. \exists g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel.}$ 
 $\forall r. \beta\ r = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, g\ r)$ 

```

begin

```

lemma rep-inMPx:

```

```

     $\exists \alpha g. \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel} \wedge$ 
     $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, g \ r))$ 
proof –
    obtain  $\alpha \ g$  where hb:
     $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \ g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, g \ r))$ 
    using ex by auto
    thus ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: exI[where  $x=\alpha$ ] exI[where  $x=g$ ] simp: hb)
qed

end

definition monadP-qbs-MPx :: 'a quasi-borel  $\Rightarrow$  (real  $\Rightarrow$  'a qbs-prob-space) set
where
monadP-qbs-MPx  $X \equiv \{\beta. \text{in-MPx } X \ \beta\}$ 

definition monadP-qbs :: 'a quasi-borel  $\Rightarrow$  'a qbs-prob-space quasi-borel where
monadP-qbs  $X \equiv \text{Abs-quasi-borel } (\text{monadP-qbs-Px } X, \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } X)$ 

lemma(in qbs-prob) qbs-prob-space-in-Px:
qbs-prob-space  $(X, \alpha, \mu) \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
using qbs-prob-axioms by(simp add: monadP-qbs-Px-def)

lemma rep-monadP-qbs-Px:
assumes  $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
shows  $\exists \alpha \mu. s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \wedge \text{qbs-prob } X \ \alpha \ \mu$ 
using rep-qbs-prob-space' assms in-Px.qbs-prob-space-X
by(auto simp: monadP-qbs-Px-def)

lemma rep-monadP-qbs-MPx:
assumes  $\beta \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } X$ 
shows  $\exists \alpha g. \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel} \wedge$ 
 $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, g \ r))$ 
using assms in-MPx.rep-inMPx
by(auto simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def)

lemma qbs-prob-MPx:
assumes  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
and  $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
shows  $\text{qbs-prob } X \ \alpha \ (g \ r)$ 
using measurable-space[OF assms(2)]
by(auto intro!: qbs-prob.intro simp: space-prob-algebra in-Mx-def real-distribution-def
real-distribution-axioms-def assms(1))

lemma monadP-qbs-f[simp]: monadP-qbs-MPx  $X \subseteq \text{UNIV} \rightarrow \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
unfolding monadP-qbs-MPx-def
proof auto
fix  $\beta \ r$ 

```

```

assume in-MPx X  $\beta$ 
then obtain  $\alpha$  g where hb:
   $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \text{ } g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
   $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, g \text{ } r))$ 
  using in-MPx.rep-inMPx by blast
then interpret qp : qbs-prob X  $\alpha$  g r
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-MPx)
show  $\beta \text{ } r \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
  by(simp add: hb(3) qp.qbs-prob-space-in-Px)
qed

```

```

lemma monadP-qbs-closed1: qbs-closed1 (monadP-qbs-MPx X)
unfolding monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def
apply(rule qbs-closed1I)
subgoal for  $\alpha$  f
  apply auto
  subgoal for  $\beta$  g
    apply(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x=\beta$ ] bexI[where  $x=g \circ f$ ])
    done
  done
done

```

```

lemma monadP-qbs-closed2: qbs-closed2 (monadP-qbs-Px X) (monadP-qbs-MPx X)
unfolding qbs-closed2-def
proof
  fix s
  assume  $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
  then obtain  $\alpha$   $\mu$  where h:
     $\text{qbs-prob } X \text{ } \alpha \text{ } \mu \text{ } s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
    using rep-qbs-prob-space'[of s X] monadP-qbs-Px-def by blast
  then interpret qp : qbs-prob X  $\alpha$   $\mu$ 
    by simp
  define g :: real  $\Rightarrow$  real measure
    where  $g \equiv (\lambda \cdot. \mu)$ 
  have  $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
    using h prob-algebra-real-prob-measure[of μ]
    by(simp add: qbs-prob-def g-def)
  thus  $(\lambda r. s) \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } X$ 
    by(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x=\alpha$ ] bexI[where  $x=g$ ] simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def
in-MPx-def g-def h)
qed

```

```

lemma monadP-qbs-closed3: qbs-closed3 (monadP-qbs-MPx (X :: 'a quasi-borel))
proof(rule qbs-closed3I)
  fix P :: real  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  fix Fi
  assume  $\bigwedge i. P - \{i\} \in \text{sets real-borel}$ 
  then have HP-mble[measurable] :  $P \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{nat-borel}$ 

```

by (*simp add: separate-measurable*)
assume $\bigwedge i :: \text{nat}. Fi\ i \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } X$
then have $\forall i. \exists \alpha i. \exists gi. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge gi \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel} \wedge$
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha i, gi\ r))$
using *in-MPx.rep-inMPx[of X]* **by** (*simp add: monadP-qbs-MPx-def*)
hence $\exists \alpha. \forall i. \exists gi. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge gi \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
 $\wedge$
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha\ i, gi\ r))$
by (*rule choice*)
then obtain $\alpha :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow -$ **where**
 $\forall i. \exists gi. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge gi \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel} \wedge$
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha\ i, gi\ r))$
by *auto*
hence $\exists g. \forall i. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge g\ i \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel} \wedge$
 $Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha\ i, g\ i\ r))$
by (*rule choice*)
then obtain $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real measure}$ **where**
 $H0: \bigwedge i. \alpha i \in \text{qbs-Mx } X \wedge \bigwedge i. g\ i \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
 $\bigwedge i. Fi\ i = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha\ i, g\ i\ r))$
by *blast*
hence $LHS: (\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha\ (P\ r), g\ (P\ r)\ r))$
by *auto*

— Since $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{R}$ is standard, we have measurable functions $\text{nat-real}.f \in \mathbb{N} \otimes_M \mathbb{R} \rightarrow_M \mathbb{R}$ and $\text{nat-real}.g \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow_M \mathbb{N} \otimes_M \mathbb{R}$ such that $\text{nat-real}.g \circ \text{nat-real}.f = \text{id}$.

— The proof is divided into 3 steps.

1. Let $\alpha'' = \text{uncurry } \alpha \circ \text{nat-real}.g$. Then $\alpha'' \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$.
2. Let $g'' = G(\text{nat-real}.f) \circ (\lambda r. \delta_{P(r)} \otimes_M g_{P(r)}\ r)$. Then g'' is $\mathbb{R}/G(\mathbb{R})$ measurable.
3. Show that $(\lambda r. Fi\ (P\ r)\ r) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha'', g''\ r))$.

— Step 1.

define $\alpha' :: \text{nat} \times \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$
where $\alpha' \equiv \text{case-prod } \alpha$
define $\alpha'' :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$
where $\alpha'' \equiv \alpha' \circ \text{nat-real}.g$

have $\alpha\text{-morp}: \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } \mathbb{R}_Q\ X$
using *qbs-Mx-is-morphisms[of X]* $H0(1)$
by (*auto intro!: nat-qbs-morphism*)
hence $\alpha'\text{-morp}: \alpha' \in \mathbb{N}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q X$
unfolding $\alpha'\text{-def}$
by (*intro uncurry-preserves-morphisms*)
hence $[\text{measurable}]: \alpha' \in \text{nat-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } X$
using *l-preserves-morphisms[of $\mathbb{N}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q\ X$]*

by(*auto simp add: r-preserves-product*)
have $H\text{-}Mx:\alpha'' \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$
unfolding $\alpha''\text{-def}$
using $qbs\text{-}morphism\text{-}comp[OF\ real.qbs\text{-}morphism\text{-}measurable\text{-}intro[OF\ nat\text{-}real.g\text{-}meas,simplified\ r\text{-}preserves\text{-}product]\ \alpha'\text{-morp}]\ qbs\text{-}Mx\text{-}is\text{-}morphisms[of\ X]$
by *simp*

— Step 2.

define $g' :: real \Rightarrow (nat \times real)\ measure$
where $g' \equiv (\lambda r. return\ nat\text{-}borel\ (P\ r) \otimes_M g\ (P\ r)\ r)$
define $g'' :: real \Rightarrow real\ measure$
where $g'' \equiv (\lambda M. distr\ M\ real\text{-}borel\ nat\text{-}real.f) \circ g'$

have $[measurable]:(\lambda nr. g\ (fst\ nr)\ (snd\ nr)) \in nat\text{-}borel \otimes_M real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
using $measurable\text{-}pair\text{-}measure\text{-}countable1[of\ UNIV :: nat\ set\ \lambda nr. g\ (fst\ nr)\ (snd\ nr),simplified,OF\ H0(2)]\ measurable\text{-}cong\text{-}sets[OF\ sets\text{-}pair\text{-}measure\text{-}cong[of\ nat\text{-}borel\ count\text{-}space\ UNIV\ real\text{-}borel\ real\text{-}borel,OF\ sets\text{-}borel\text{-}eq\text{-}count\text{-}space\ refl],of\ prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel]$
by *auto*
hence $[measurable]:(\lambda r. g\ (P\ r)\ r) \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
proof —
have $(\lambda r. g\ (P\ r)\ r) = (\lambda nr. g\ (fst\ nr)\ (snd\ nr)) \circ (\lambda r. (P\ r, r))$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $\dots \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
by *simp*
finally **show** *?thesis* .
qed
have $g'\text{-}mble[measurable]:g' \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ (nat\text{-}borel \otimes_M real\text{-}borel)$
unfolding $g'\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*
have $H\text{-}mble: g'' \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
unfolding $g''\text{-}def$ **by** *simp*

— Step 3.

have $H\text{-}equiv:$
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha\ (P\ r), g\ (P\ r)\ r) = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha'', g''\ r)$ **for** r
proof —
interpret $pqp: pair\text{-}qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \alpha\ (P\ r)\ g\ (P\ r)\ r\ X\ \alpha''\ g''\ r$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}MPx[OF\ H0(1,2)]\ measurable\text{-}space[OF\ H\text{-}mble,of\ r]\ space\text{-}prob\text{-}algebra[of\ real\text{-}borel]\ H\text{-}Mx$
by (*simp add: pair-qbs-prob.intro qbs-probI*)
interpret $pps: pair\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ return\ nat\text{-}borel\ (P\ r)\ g\ (P\ r)\ r$
using $prob\text{-}space\text{-}return[of\ P\ r\ nat\text{-}borel]$
by(*simp add: pair-prob-space-def pair-sigma-finite-def prob-space-imp-sigma-finite*)
have $[measurable\text{-}cong]: sets\ (return\ nat\text{-}borel\ (P\ r)) = sets\ nat\text{-}borel$
 $sets\ (g'\ r) = sets\ (nat\text{-}borel \otimes_M real\text{-}borel)$
using $measurable\text{-}space[OF\ g'\text{-}mble,of\ r]\ space\text{-}prob\text{-}algebra$ **by** *auto*
show $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha\ (P\ r), g\ (P\ r)\ r) = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha'', g''\ r)$
proof(*rule pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq4*)

```

fix f
assume [measurable]: f ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M ennreal-borel
show (∫+ x. f (α (P r) x) ∂g (P r) r) = (∫+ x. f (α'' x) ∂g'' r)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = (∫+ s. f (α' ((P r), s)) ∂ (g (P r) r))
    by (simp add: α'-def)
  also have ... = (∫+ s. (∫+ i. f (α' (i, s)) ∂ (return nat-borel (P r))) ∂ (g
(P r) r))
    by (auto intro!: nn-integral-cong simp: nn-integral-return[of P r nat-borel])
  also have ... = (∫+ k. (f ∘ α') k ∂ ((return nat-borel (P r)) ⊗M (g (P r)
r)))
    by (auto intro!: pps.nn-integral-snd)
  also have ... = (∫+ k. f (α' k) ∂ (g' r))
    by (simp add: g'-def)
  also have ... = (∫+ x. f x ∂ (distr (g' r) (qbs-to-measure X) α'))
    by (simp add: nn-integral-distr)
  also have ... = (∫+ x. f x ∂ (distr (g'' r) (qbs-to-measure X) α''))
    by (simp add: distr-distr comp-def g''-def α''-def)
  also have ... = ?rhs
    by (simp add: nn-integral-distr)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
qed

have ∀ r. Fi (P r) r = qbs-prob-space (X, α'', g'' r)
  by (metis H-equiv LHS)
thus (λr. Fi (P r) r) ∈ monadP-qbs-MPx X
  using H-mble H-Mx by (auto simp add: monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def)
qed

lemma monadP-qbs-correct: Rep-quasi-borel (monadP-qbs X) = (monadP-qbs-Px X, monadP-qbs-MPx X)
  by (auto intro!: Abs-quasi-borel-inverse monadP-qbs-f simp: monadP-qbs-closed2 monadP-qbs-closed1 monadP-qbs-closed3 monadP-qbs-def)

lemma monadP-qbs-space[simp] : qbs-space (monadP-qbs X) = monadP-qbs-Px X
  by (simp add: qbs-space-def monadP-qbs-correct)

lemma monadP-qbs-Mx[simp] : qbs-Mx (monadP-qbs X) = monadP-qbs-MPx X
  by (simp add: qbs-Mx-def monadP-qbs-correct)

lemma monadP-qbs-empty-iff:
  qbs-space X = {} ⟷ qbs-space (monadP-qbs X) = {}
proof auto
  fix x
  assume 1: qbs-space X = {}
    x ∈ monadP-qbs-Px X

```

```

then obtain  $\alpha \mu$  where  $qbs\text{-}prob\ X \alpha \mu$ 
using  $rep\text{-}monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Px$  by  $blast$ 
thus  $False$ 
using  $empty\text{-}quasi\text{-}borel\text{-}iff[of\ X]\ qbs\text{-}empty\text{-}not\text{-}qbs\text{-}prob[of\ \alpha\ \mu]\ 1(1)$ 
by  $auto$ 
next
fix  $x$ 
assume  $1:monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Px\ X = \{\}$ 
 $x \in qbs\text{-}space\ X$ 
then interpret  $qp: qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \lambda r. x\ return\ real\text{-}borel\ 0$ 
by( $auto\ intro!:\ qbs\text{-}probI\ prob\text{-}space\text{-}return$ )
have  $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X,\lambda r. x,\ return\ real\text{-}borel\ 0) \in monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Px\ X$ 
by( $simp\ add:\ monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Px\text{-}def$ )
thus  $False$ 
by( $simp\ add:\ 1$ )
qed

```

If $\beta \in MPx$, there exists $X \alpha g$ s.t. $\beta\ r = [X, \alpha, g\ r]$. We define a function which picks $X \alpha g$ from $\beta \in MPx$.

definition $rep\text{-}monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx :: (real \Rightarrow 'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space) \Rightarrow 'a\ quasi\text{-}borel \times (real \Rightarrow 'a) \times (real \Rightarrow real\ measure)$ **where**
 $rep\text{-}monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx\ \beta \equiv let\ X = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ (\beta\ undefined);$
 $\alpha g = (SOME\ k. (fst\ k) \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X \wedge (snd\ k) \in real\text{-}borel$
 $\rightarrow_M\ prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
 $\wedge\ \beta = (\lambda r. qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, fst\ k, snd\ k\ r)))$
 $in\ (X, \alpha g)$

lemma $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\text{-}measurable[measurable]:$
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure \in qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ (X :: 'a\ quasi\text{-}borel)) \rightarrow_M\ prob\text{-}algebra\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)$
proof($rule\ qbs\text{-}morphism\text{-}dest, rule\ qbs\text{-}morphismI, simp$)
fix β
assume $\beta \in monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx\ X$
then obtain αg **where** $hb:$
 $\alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X\ \beta = (\lambda r. qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, g\ r))$
and $g[measurable]: g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M\ prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
using $in\text{-}MPx.rep\text{-}inMPx[of\ X\ \beta]\ monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx\text{-}def$ **by** $blast$
have $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure \circ \beta = (\lambda r. distr\ (g\ r)\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)\ \alpha)$
proof
fix r
interpret $qp : qbs\text{-}prob\ X \alpha g\ r$
using $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}MPx[OF\ hb(1)\ g]$ **by** $simp$
show $(qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure \circ \beta)\ r = distr\ (g\ r)\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)\ \alpha$
by($simp\ add:\ hb(2)$)
qed
also have $\dots \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M\ prob\text{-}algebra\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)$
using hb **by** $simp$
finally show $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure \circ \beta \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M\ prob\text{-}algebra\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)$.

qed

lemma *qbs-l-inj*:

inj-on qbs-prob-measure (monadP-qbs-Px X)
apply *standard*
apply (*unfold monadP-qbs-Px-def*)
apply *simp*
apply *transfer*
apply (*auto simp: qbs-prob-eq-def qbs-prob-t-measure-def*)
done

lemma *qbs-prob-measure-measurable'*[*measurable*]:

qbs-prob-measure $\in$ *qbs-to-measure (monadP-qbs (X :: 'a quasi-borel)) $\rightarrow_M$ sub-prob-algebra (qbs-to-measure X)*
by(*auto simp: measurable-prob-algebraD*)

3.2.2 Return

definition *qbs-return* :: [*'a quasi-borel*, *'a*] $\Rightarrow$ *'a qbs-prob-space* **where**
qbs-return X x $\equiv$ *qbs-prob-space (X, $\lambda r. x, Eps$ real-distribution)*

lemma(*in real-distribution*) *qbs-return-qbs-prob*:

assumes *x* $\in$ *qbs-space X*
shows *qbs-prob X ($\lambda r. x$) M*
using *assms*
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def real-distribution-axioms*)

lemma(*in real-distribution*) *qbs-return-computation* :

assumes *x* $\in$ *qbs-space X*
shows *qbs-return X x = qbs-prob-space (X, $\lambda r. x, M$)*
unfolding *qbs-return-def*
proof(*rule someI2[where a=M]*)
fix *N*
assume *real-distribution N*
then interpret *pqp: pair-qbs-prob X $\lambda r. x$ N X $\lambda r. x$ M*
by(*simp-all add: pair-qbs-prob-def real-distribution-axioms real-distribution.qbs-return-qbs-prob[OF*
- assms])
show *qbs-prob-space (X, $\lambda r. x, N$) = qbs-prob-space (X, $\lambda r. x, M$)*
by(*auto intro!: pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq simp: distr-const[of x qbs-to-measure X]*
assms)
qed (*rule real-distribution-axioms*)

lemma *qbs-return-morphism*:

qbs-return X $\in$ *X $\rightarrow_Q$ monadP-qbs X*

proof —

interpret *rr : real-distribution return real-borel 0*

by(*simp add: real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def prob-space-return*)

show *?thesis*

proof(*rule qbs-morphismI, simp*)


```

fix α
assume h:α ∈ qbs-Mx X
then have h':Λl:: real. α l ∈ qbs-space X
  by auto
have Λl. (qbs-return X ∘ α) l = qbs-prob-space (X, α, return real-borel l)
proof -
  fix l
  interpret pqp: pair-qbs-prob X λr. α l return real-borel 0 X α return real-borel
l
  using h' by (simp add: pair-qbs-prob-def qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def h real-distribution-def
prob-space-return real-distribution-axioms-def)
  have (qbs-return X ∘ α) l = qbs-prob-space (X, λr. α l, return real-borel 0)
  using rr.qbs-return-computation[OF h'[of l]] by simp
  also have ... = qbs-prob-space (X, α, return real-borel l)
  by (auto intro!: pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq simp: distr-return)
  finally show (qbs-return X ∘ α) l = qbs-prob-space (X, α, return real-borel
l) .
qed
thus qbs-return X ∘ α ∈ monadP-qbs-MPx X
  by (auto intro!: bexI[where x=α] bexI[where x=λl. return real-borel l] simp:
h monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-return-morphism':
  assumes f ∈ X →Q Y
  shows (λx. qbs-return Y (f x)) ∈ X →Q monadP-qbs Y
  using qbs-morphism-comp[OF assms(1) qbs-return-morphism[of Y]]
  by (simp add: comp-def)

```

3.2.3 Bind

definition $qbs\text{-}bind :: 'a\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space) \Rightarrow 'b\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space$
where

$$qbs\text{-}bind\ s\ f \equiv (let\ (qbsx, \alpha, \mu) = rep\text{-}qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ s;$$

$$(qbsy, \beta, g) = rep\text{-}monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx\ (f \circ \alpha)$$

$$in\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (qbsy, \beta, \mu \ggg g))$$

adhoc-overloading $Monad\text{-}Syntax.bind \equiv qbs\text{-}bind$

```

lemma (in qbs-prob) qbs-bind-computation:
  assumes s = qbs-prob-space (X, α, μ)
  f ∈ X →Q monadP-qbs Y
  β ∈ qbs-Mx Y
  and [measurable]: g ∈ real-borel →M prob-algebra real-borel
  and (f ∘ α) = (λr. qbs-prob-space (Y, β, g r))
  shows qbs-prob Y β (μ ≫g g)
  s ≫g f = qbs-prob-space (Y, β, μ ≫g g)
proof -

```

```

interpret qp-bind: qbs-prob  $Y \beta \mu \ggg g$ 
using assms(3,4) space-prob-algebra[of real-borel] measurable-space[OF assms(4)]
events-eq-borel measurable-cong-sets[OF events-eq-borel refl, of subprob-algebra real-borel]
measurable-prob-algebraD[OF assms(4)]
by(auto intro!: prob-space-bind[of  $g$  real-borel] simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def
real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def)
show qbs-prob  $Y \beta (\mu \ggg g)$ 
by (rule qp-bind.qbs-prob-axioms)
show  $s \ggg f = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \mu \ggg g)$ 
apply(simp add: assms(1) qbs-bind-def rep-qbs-prob-space-def qbs-prob-space.rep-def)
apply(rule someI2[where  $a = (X, \alpha, \mu)$ ])
proof auto
fix  $X' \alpha' \mu'$ 
assume  $h': (X', \alpha', \mu') \in \text{Rep-qbs-prob-space } (\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu))$ 
from if-in-Rep[OF this] interpret pqp1: pair-qbs-prob  $X \alpha \mu X' \alpha' \mu'$ 
by(simp add: pair-qbs-prob-def qbs-prob-axioms)
have h-eq:  $\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X', \alpha', \mu')$ 
using if-in-Rep(3)[OF  $h'$ ] by (simp add: qbs-prob-space-eq)
note [simp] = if-in-Rep(1)[OF  $h'$ ]
then obtain  $\beta' g'$  where  $hb'$ :
 $\beta' \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y g' \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
 $f \circ \alpha' = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta', g' r))$ 
using in-MPx.rep-inMPx[of  $Y f \circ \alpha'$ ] qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(2), of  $\alpha'$ ]
ppp1.qp2.qbs-prob-axioms[simplified qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def]
by(auto simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def)
note [measurable] =  $hb'(2)$ 
have [simp]:  $\bigwedge r. \text{qbs-prob-space-qbs } (f (\alpha' r)) = Y$ 
subgoal for  $r$ 
using fun-cong[OF  $hb'(3)$ ] qbs-prob.qbs-prob-space-qbs-computation[OF
qbs-prob-MPx[OF  $hb'(1,2)$ , of  $r$ ]]
by simp
done
show (case rep-monadP-qbs-MPx  $(\lambda a. f (\alpha' a))$  of  $(\text{qbsy}, \beta, g) \Rightarrow \text{qbs-prob-space}$ 
 $(\text{qbsy}, \beta, \mu' \ggg g)) =$ 
 $\text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \mu \ggg g)$ 
unfolding rep-monadP-qbs-MPx-def Let-def
proof(rule someI2[where  $a = (\beta', g')$ ], auto simp:  $hb'$ [simplified comp-def])
fix  $\alpha'' g''$ 
assume  $h'': \alpha'' \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
 $g'' \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
 $(\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta', g' r)) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \alpha'', g''$ 
 $r))$ 
then interpret pqp2: pair-qbs-prob  $Y \alpha'' \mu' \ggg g'' Y \beta \mu \ggg g$ 
using space-prob-algebra[of real-borel] measurable-space[OF  $h''(2)$ ] events-eq-borel
measurable-cong-sets[OF events-eq-borel refl, of subprob-algebra real-borel] measur-
able-prob-algebraD[OF  $h''(2)$ ]  $h''(3)$ 
by (meson pair-qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def pqp1.qp2.real-distribution-axioms
prob-algebra-real-prob-measure prob-space-bind' qbs-probI qbs-prob-def qp-bind.qbs-prob-axioms
sets-bind')

```

```

note [measurable] = h''(2)
have [measurable]:f ∈ qbs-to-measure X →M qbs-to-measure (monadP-qbs Y)
  using assms(2) l-preserves-morphisms by auto
show qbs-prob-space (Y, α'', μ' ≧≧ g'') = qbs-prob-space (Y, β, μ ≧≧ g)
proof(rule pqp2.qbs-prob-space-eq)
show distr (μ' ≧≧ g'') (qbs-to-measure Y) α'' = distr (μ ≧≧ g) (qbs-to-measure
Y) β
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = μ' ≧≧ (λx. distr (g'' x) (qbs-to-measure Y) α'')
by(auto intro!: distr-bind[where K=real-borel] simp: measurable-prob-algebraD)
  also have ... = μ' ≧≧ (λx. qbs-prob-measure (qbs-prob-space (Y,α'',g''
x)))
by(auto intro!: bind-cong simp: qbs-prob-MPx[OF h''(1,2)] qbs-prob.qbs-prob-measure-computation)
  also have ... = μ' ≧≧ (λx. (qbs-prob-measure ((f ∘ α') x)))
  by(simp add: hb'(3) h''(3))
  also have ... = μ' ≧≧ (λx. (qbs-prob-measure ∘ f) (α' x))
  by(simp add: comp-def)
  also have ... = distr μ' (qbs-to-measure X) α' ≧≧ qbs-prob-measure ∘ f
  by(rule bind-distr[where K=qbs-to-measure Y,symmetric],auto)
  also have ... = distr μ (qbs-to-measure X) α ≧≧ qbs-prob-measure ∘ f
  using pqp1.qbs-prob-space-eq-inverse(1)[OF h-eq]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-eq-def)
  also have ... = μ ≧≧ (λx. (qbs-prob-measure ∘ f) (α x))
  by(rule bind-distr[where K=qbs-to-measure Y],auto)
  also have ... = μ ≧≧ (λx. (qbs-prob-measure ((f ∘ α) x)))
  by(simp add: comp-def)
  also have ... = μ ≧≧ (λx. qbs-prob-measure (qbs-prob-space (Y,β,g x)))
  by(auto simp: assms(5))
  also have ... = μ ≧≧ (λx. distr (g x) (qbs-to-measure Y) β)
by(auto intro!: bind-cong simp: qbs-prob-MPx[OF assms(3)] qbs-prob.qbs-prob-measure-computation)
  also have ... = ?rhs
  by(auto intro!: distr-bind[where K=real-borel,symmetric] simp: measur-
able-prob-algebraD)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
qed
qed (rule in-Rep)
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-bind-morphism':
  assumes f ∈ X →Q monadP-qbs Y
  shows (λx. x ≧≧ f) ∈ monadP-qbs X →Q monadP-qbs Y
proof(rule qbs-morphismI,simp)
  fix β
  assume β ∈ monadP-qbs-MPx X
  then obtain α g where hb:
    α ∈ qbs-Mx X g ∈ real-borel →M prob-algebra real-borel

```

```

     $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, g \ r))$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx by blast
  obtain  $\gamma \ g'$  where hc:
     $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \ g' \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $f \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \gamma, g' \ r))$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[off  $\circ \alpha \ Y$ ] qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms hb(1),simplified]
    by auto
  note [measurable] = hb(2) hc(2)
  show  $(\lambda x. x \ggg f) \circ \beta \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda x. x \ggg f) \circ \beta = (\lambda r. \beta \ r \ggg f)$ 
    by auto
    also have  $\dots \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
    unfolding monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def
    by(auto intro!: bezI[where  $x=\gamma$ ] bezI[where  $x=\lambda r. g \ r \ggg g'$ ] simp: hc(1)
    hb(3) qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation[OF qbs-prob-MPx[OF hb(1,2)] - assms hc])
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-return-comp:
  assumes  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  shows  $(\text{qbs-return } X \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \text{return real-borel } r))$ 
proof
  fix r
  interpret pqp: pair-qbs-prob  $X \ \lambda k. \alpha \ r \ \text{return real-borel } 0 \ X \ \alpha \ \text{return real-borel } r$ 
  by(simp add: assms qbs-Mx-to-X(2)[OF assms] pair-qbs-prob-def qbs-prob-def
  in-Mx-def real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def prob-space-return)
  show  $(\text{qbs-return } X \circ \alpha) \ r = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \text{return real-borel } r)$ 
  by(auto intro!: pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq simp: distr-return pqp.qp1.qbs-return-computation
  qbs-Mx-to-X(2)[OF assms])
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-bind-return':
  assumes  $x \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
  shows  $x \ggg \text{qbs-return } X = x$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\alpha \ \mu$  where h1:qbs-prob  $X \ \alpha \ \mu \ x = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
  using assms rep-monadP-qbs-Px by blast
  then interpret qp: qbs-prob  $X \ \alpha \ \mu$ 
  by simp
  show ?thesis
  using qp.qbs-bind-computation[OF h1(2) qbs-return-morphism - measurable-return-prob-space
  qbs-return-comp[OF qp.in-Mx]]
  by(simp add: h1(2) bind-return'' prob-space-return qbs-probI)
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-bind-return:
  assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 

```

```

    and  $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 
    shows  $\text{qbs-return } X \ x \ggg f = f \ x$ 
proof -
  have  $f \ x \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$ 
  using assms by auto
  then obtain  $\beta \ \mu$  where  $\text{hf} : \text{qbs-prob } Y \ \beta \ \mu \ f \ x = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \mu)$ 
  using rep-monadP-qbs-Px by blast
  then interpret rd: real-distribution return real-borel 0
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-def prob-space-return real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def)
  interpret rd': real-distribution  $\mu$ 
  using hf(1) by(simp add: qbs-prob-def)
  interpret qp: qbs-prob  $X \ \lambda r. x \text{ return real-borel } 0$ 
  using assms(2) by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def rd.real-distribution-axioms)
  show ?thesis
    by(auto intro!: qp.qbs-bind-computation(2)[OF rd.qbs-return-computation[OF
assms(2)] assms(1) - measurable-const[of  $\mu$ ], of  $\beta$ , simplified bind-const'[OF rd.prob-space-axioms
rd'.subprob-space-axioms]]
      simp: hf[simplified qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def] prob-algebra-real-prob-measure)
qed

lemma qbs-bind-assoc:
  assumes  $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
     $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
    and  $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$ 
  shows  $s \ggg (\lambda x. f \ x \ggg g) = (s \ggg f) \ggg g$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\alpha \ \mu$  where  $H0 : \text{qbs-prob } X \ \alpha \ \mu \ s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
  using assms rep-monadP-qbs-Px by blast
  then have  $f \circ \alpha \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
  using assms(2) by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)
  from rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[OF this] obtain  $\beta \ g1$  where H1:
     $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \ g1 \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $(f \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, g1 \ r))$ 
  by auto
  hence  $g \circ \beta \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Z$ 
  using assms by(simp add: qbs-morphism-def)
  from rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[OF this] obtain  $\gamma \ g2$  where H2:
     $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } Z \ g2 \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $(g \circ \beta) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, \gamma, g2 \ r))$ 
  by auto
  note [measurable] = H1(2) H2(2)
  interpret rd: real-distribution  $\mu$ 
  using H0(1) by(simp add: qbs-prob-def)
  have LHS:  $(s \ggg f) \ggg g = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, \gamma, \mu \ggg g1 \ggg g2)$ 
  by(rule qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation(2)[OF qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation[OF
H0 assms(2) H1] assms(3) H2])
  have RHS:  $s \ggg (\lambda x. f \ x \ggg g) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, \gamma, \mu \ggg (\lambda x. g1 \ x \ggg$ 
g2))
  apply(auto intro!: qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation[OF H0 qbs-morphism-comp[OF

```

```

assms(2) qbs-bind-morphism'[OF assms(3)],simplified comp-def]]
      simp: real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def qbs-prob-def
qbs-prob-MPx[OF H2(1,2),simplified qbs-prob-def] sets-bind'[OF measurable-space[OF
H1(2)] H2(2)] prob-space-bind'[OF measurable-space[OF H1(2)] H2(2)] measur-
able-space[OF H2(2)] space-prob-algebra[of real-borel] H2(1))
proof
  fix r
  show (( $\lambda x. f\ x \ggg g$ )  $\circ \alpha$ )  $r = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, \gamma, g1\ r \ggg g2)$  (is ?lhs =
?rhs) for r
    by(auto intro!: qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation(2)[of Y  $\beta$ ]
      simp: qbs-prob-MPx[OF H1(1,2),of r] assms(3) H2 fun-cong[OF
H1(3),simplified comp-def])
  qed
  have ba:  $\mu \ggg g1 \ggg g2 = \mu \ggg (\lambda x. g1\ x \ggg g2)$ 
    by(auto intro!: bind-assoc[where  $N=\text{real-borel}$  and  $R=\text{real-borel}$ ] simp: mea-
surable-prob-algebraD)
  show ?thesis
    by(simp add: LHS RHS ba)
qed

lemma qbs-bind-cong:
  assumes  $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
     $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies f\ x = g\ x$ 
    and  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
  shows  $s \ggg f = s \ggg g$ 
proof -
  obtain  $\alpha\ \mu$  where h0:
    qbs-prob  $X\ \alpha\ \mu$   $s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(1)] by auto
  then have  $f \circ \alpha \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
    using assms(3) h0(1) by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)
  from rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[OF this] obtain  $\gamma\ k$  where h1:
     $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y\ k \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $(f \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \gamma, k\ r))$ 
    by auto
  have  $hg: g \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
    using qbs-morphism-cong[OF assms(2,3)] by simp
  have  $hgs: f \circ \alpha = g \circ \alpha$ 
    using h0(1) assms(2) by(force simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)

  show ?thesis
    by(simp add: qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation(2)[OF h0 assms(3) h1]
      qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation(2)[OF h0 hg h1[simplified hgs]])
qed

```

3.2.4 The Functorial Action $P(f)$

definition $\text{monadP-qbs-Pf} :: ['a\ \text{quasi-borel}, 'b\ \text{quasi-borel}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a\ \text{qbs-prob-space}] \Rightarrow 'b\ \text{qbs-prob-space}$ **where**

$\text{monadP-qbs-Pf} \cdot Y f s x \equiv s x \gg= \text{qbs-return } Y \circ f$

lemma *monadP-qbs-Pf-morphism*:

assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$

shows $\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } X Y f \in \text{monadP-qbs } X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$

unfolding *monadP-qbs-Pf-def*

by(*rule qbs-bind-morphism'[OF qbs-morphism-comp[OF assms qbs-return-morphism]]*)

lemma(**in** *qbs-prob*) *monadP-qbs-Pf-computation*:

assumes $s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$

and $f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$

shows $\text{qbs-prob } Y (f \circ \alpha) \mu$

and $\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } X Y f s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, f \circ \alpha, \mu)$

by(*auto intro!: qbs-bind-computation[OF assms(1) qbs-morphism-comp[OF assms(2) qbs-return-morphism], of f \circ \alpha return real-borel ,simplified bind-return''[OF M-is-borel]]*)

simp: monadP-qbs-Pf-def qbs-return-comp[OF qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(2) in-Mx],simplified comp-assoc[symmetric]] qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(2) in-Mx] prob-space-return)

We show that P is a functor i.e. P preserves identity and composition.

lemma *monadP-qbs-Pf-id*:

assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$

shows $\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } X X \text{id } s = s$

using *qbs-bind-return'[OF assms]* **by**(*simp add: monadP-qbs-Pf-def*)

lemma *monadP-qbs-Pf-comp*:

assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$

$f \in X \rightarrow_Q Y$

and $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$

shows $((\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } Y Z g) \circ (\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } X Y f)) s = \text{monadP-qbs-Pf } X Z (g \circ f) s$

proof –

obtain $\alpha \mu$ **where** *h*:

$\text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$

using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(1)]* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{qbs-prob } Y (f \circ \alpha) \mu$

$\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } X Y f s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, f \circ \alpha, \mu)$

using *qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF - - assms(2)]* **by** *auto*

from *qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF this assms(3)] qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF h qbs-morphism-comp[OF assms(2,3)]]*

show *?thesis*

by(*simp add: comp-assoc*)

qed

3.2.5 Join

definition *qbs-join* :: $'a \text{ qbs-prob-space } \text{qbs-prob-space} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ qbs-prob-space}$ **where**

$\text{qbs-join} \equiv (\lambda \text{sst. sst} \gg= \text{id})$

lemma *qbs-join-morphism*:

$qbs\text{-}join \in monadP\text{-}qbs\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ X) \rightarrow_Q monadP\text{-}qbs\ X$
by(*simp add: qbs-join-def qbs-bind-morphism'[OF qbs-morphism-ident]*)

lemma *qbs-join-computation*:

assumes $qbs\text{-}prob\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ X)\ \beta\ \mu$
 $ssx = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ X, \beta, \mu)$
 $\alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ X$
 $g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$
and $\beta = (\lambda r. qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, g\ r))$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \alpha\ (\mu \ggg g)\ qbs\text{-}join\ ssx = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu \ggg g)$
using $qbs\text{-}prob.qbs\text{-}bind\text{-}computation[OF\ assms(1,2)\ qbs\text{-}morphism\text{-}ident\ assms(3,4)]$
by(*auto simp: assms(5) qbs-join-def*)

3.2.6 Strength

definition *qbs-strength* :: $['a\ quasi\text{-}borel, 'b\ quasi\text{-}borel, 'a \times 'b\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space] \Rightarrow$
 $('a \times 'b)\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space$ **where**
 $qbs\text{-}strength\ W\ X = (\lambda(w, sx). let\ (\cdot, \alpha, \mu) = rep\text{-}qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ sx$
 $in\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (W \otimes_Q X, \lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r), \mu))$

lemma(*in qbs-prob*) *qbs-strength-computation*:

assumes $w \in qbs\text{-}space\ W$
and $sx = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu)$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\ (W \otimes_Q X)\ (\lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r))\ \mu$
 $qbs\text{-}strength\ W\ X\ (w, sx) = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (W \otimes_Q X, \lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r), \mu)$

proof –

interpret *qp1*: $qbs\text{-}prob\ W \otimes_Q X\ \lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r)\ \mu$
by(*auto intro!: qbs-probI simp: assms(1) pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def*)
show $qbs\text{-}prob\ (W \otimes_Q X)\ (\lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r))\ \mu$
 $qbs\text{-}strength\ W\ X\ (w, sx) = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (W \otimes_Q X, \lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r), \mu)$
apply(*simp-all add: qp1.qbs-prob-axioms qbs-strength-def rep-qbs-prob-space-def*
 $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space.rep\text{-}def$)
apply(*rule someI2[where a=(X,α,μ)]*)
proof(*auto simp: in-Rep assms(2)*)
fix $X'\ \alpha'\ \mu'$
assume $h: (X', \alpha', \mu') \in Rep\text{-}qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu))$
from *if-in-Rep(1,2)[OF this]* **interpret** *pqp*: $pair\text{-}qbs\text{-}prob\ W \otimes_Q X\ \lambda r. (w,$
 $\alpha'\ r)\ \mu'\ W \otimes_Q X\ \lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r)\ \mu$
by(*simp add: pair-qbs-prob-def qp1.qbs-prob-axioms*)
 $(auto\ intro!: qbs\text{-}probI\ simp: pair\text{-}qbs\text{-}Mx\text{-}def\ comp\text{-}def\ assms(1)\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}def$
 $in\text{-}Mx\text{-}def)$
note [*simp*] = $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}eq2\text{-}dest[OF\ if\text{-}in\text{-}Rep(3)[OF\ h, simplified\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}eq\text{-}equiv12]]$
show $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (W \otimes_Q X, \lambda r. (w, \alpha'\ r), \mu') = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (W \otimes_Q$
 $X, \lambda r. (w, \alpha\ r), \mu)$
proof(*rule qpq.qbs-prob-space-eq2*)
fix f
assume $f \in qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ (W \otimes_Q X) \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel$
note $qbs\text{-}morphism\text{-}dest[OF\ qbs\text{-}morphismE(2)[OF\ curry\text{-}preserves\text{-}morphisms[OF$


```

qbs-morphism-measurable-intro[OF this]] assms(1),simplified]]
  show  $(\int y. f ((\lambda r. (w, \alpha' r)) y) \partial \mu') = (\int y. f ((\lambda r. (w, \alpha r)) y) \partial \mu)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs =  $(\int y. \text{curry } f \ w \ (\alpha' y) \partial \mu')$  by auto
    also have ... =  $(\int y. \text{curry } f \ w \ (\alpha y) \partial \mu)$ 
    by(rule qbs-prob-eq2-dest(4))[OF if-in-Rep(3)][OF h,simplified qbs-prob-eq-equiv12],symmetric])
fact
  also have ... = ?rhs by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
qed
qed

```

lemma *qbs-strength-natural*:

```

assumes  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q X'$ 
         $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Y'$ 
         $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$ 
  and  $sy \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$ 
  shows  $(\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } (X \otimes_Q Y) (X' \otimes_Q Y') (\text{map-prod } f \ g) \circ \text{qbs-strength } X \ Y) (x, sy) = (\text{qbs-strength } X' \ Y' \circ \text{map-prod } f \ (\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } Y \ Y' \ g)) (x, sy)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  obtain  $\beta \ \nu$  where hy:
    qbs-prob  $Y \ \beta \ \nu \ sy = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu)$ 
  using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(4)] by auto
  have qbs-prob  $(X \otimes_Q Y) (\lambda r. (x, \beta \ r)) \ \nu$ 
    qbs-strength  $X \ Y \ (x, sy) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \lambda r. (x, \beta \ r), \nu)$ 
  using qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF hy(1) assms(3) hy(2)] by auto
  hence LHS: ?lhs =  $\text{qbs-prob-space } (X' \otimes_Q Y', \text{map-prod } f \ g \circ (\lambda r. (x, \beta \ r)), \nu)$ 
  by(simp add: qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF - - qbs-morphism-map-prod[OF
    assms(1,2)]]))

```

```

  have  $\text{map-prod } f \ (\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } Y \ Y' \ g) (x, sy) = (f \ x, \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y', g \circ \beta, \nu))$ 

```

```

  qbs-prob  $Y' \ (g \circ \beta) \ \nu$ 
  by(auto simp: qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF hy assms(2)])
  hence RHS: ?rhs =  $\text{qbs-prob-space } (X' \otimes_Q Y', \lambda r. (f \ x, (g \circ \beta) \ r), \nu)$ 
  using qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF - - refl, of  $Y' \ g \circ \beta \ \nu \ f \ x \ X'$ ]
  assms(1,3)
  by auto

```

```

  show ?lhs = ?rhs
  unfolding LHS RHS
  by(simp add: comp-def)
qed

```

lemma *qbs-strength-ab-r*:

```

assumes  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
           $\beta \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
           $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$ 
and  $[\text{measurable}]: g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
      and  $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \gamma, g \ r))$ 
      shows  $\text{qbs-prob } (X \otimes_Q Y) (\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g}) (\text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$ 
           $\text{qbs-strength } X \ Y \ (\alpha \ r, \ \beta \ r) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g}, \text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$ 
proof –
      have  $[\text{measurable-cong}]: \text{sets } (g \ r) = \text{sets real-borel}$ 
           $\text{sets } (\text{return real-borel } r) = \text{sets real-borel}$ 
      using  $\text{measurable-space}[OF \ \text{assms}(4), \text{of } r]$ 
      by  $(\text{simp-all add: space-prob-algebra})$ 
interpret  $qp: \text{qbs-prob } X \otimes_Q Y \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g} \text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f}$ 
proof  $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{qbs-probI})$ 
      show  $\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g} \in \text{pair-qbs-Mx } X \ Y$ 
      using  $\text{qbs-closed1-dest}[OF \ \text{assms}(1)] \ \text{qbs-closed1-dest}[OF \ \text{assms}(3)]$ 
      by  $(\text{auto simp: comp-def qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def pair-qbs-Mx-def})$ 
next
      show  $\text{prob-space } (\text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$ 
      using  $\text{measurable-space}[OF \ \text{assms}(4), \text{of } r]$ 
      by  $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{prob-space.prob-space-distr simp: prob-algebra-real-prob-measure prob-space-pair prob-space-return real-distribution.axioms}(1))$ 
qed
interpret  $pqp: \text{pair-qbs-prob } X \otimes_Q Y \ \lambda l. (\alpha \ r, \ \gamma \ l) \ g \ r \ X \otimes_Q Y \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g} \text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f}$ 
      by  $(\text{simp add: qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation}[OF \ \text{qbs-prob-MPx}[OF \ \text{assms}(3,4)]] \text{qbs-Mx-to-X}(2)[OF \ \text{assms}(1)] \text{fun-cong}[OF \ \text{assms}(5)], \text{of } r] \text{pair-qbs-prob-def qp.qbs-prob-axioms})$ 
      have  $[\text{measurable}]: \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } (X \otimes_Q Y)$ 
proof –
      have  $\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q X \otimes_Q Y$ 
      using  $\text{assms}(1,3) \text{by} (\text{auto intro!}: \text{qbs-morphism-map-prod simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms})$ 
      hence  $\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \in \text{qbs-to-measure } (\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } (X \otimes_Q Y)$ 
using  $\text{l-preserves-morphisms by auto}$ 
thus  $?thesis$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
hence  $[\text{measurable}]: (\lambda l. (\alpha \ r, \ \gamma \ l)) \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } (X \otimes_Q Y)$ 
using  $pqp.qp1.in-Mx \text{qbs-Mx-are-measurable by blast}$ 

show  $\text{qbs-prob } (X \otimes_Q Y) (\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g}) (\text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$ 
       $\text{qbs-strength } X \ Y \ (\alpha \ r, \ \beta \ r) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g}, \text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$ 
apply  $(\text{simp-all add: qp.qbs-prob-axioms qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation}(2)[OF$ 

```

```

qbs-prob-MPx[OF assms(3,4)] qbs-Mx-to-X(2)[OF assms(1)] fun-cong[OF assms(5)], of
r])
proof(rule pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq)
  show distr (g r) (qbs-to-measure (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y)) ( $\lambda l. (\alpha r, \gamma l)$ ) = distr (distr
(return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  g r) real-borel real-real.f) (qbs-to-measure (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y))
(map-prod  $\alpha \gamma \circ$  real-real.g)
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = distr (g r) (qbs-to-measure (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y)) (map-prod  $\alpha \gamma \circ$  Pair
r)
    by(simp add: comp-def)
    also have ... = distr (distr (g r) (real-borel  $\otimes_M$  real-borel) (Pair r))
(qbs-to-measure (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y)) (map-prod  $\alpha \gamma$ )
    by(auto intro!: distr-distr[symmetric])
    also have ... = distr (return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  g r) (qbs-to-measure (X  $\otimes_Q$ 
Y)) (map-prod  $\alpha \gamma$ )
  proof -
    have return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  g r = distr (g r) (real-borel  $\otimes_M$  real-borel)
( $\lambda l. (r, l)$ )
  proof(auto intro!: measure-eqI)
    fix A
    assume h': A  $\in$  sets (real-borel  $\otimes_M$  real-borel)
    show emeasure (return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  g r) A = emeasure (distr (g r)
(real-borel  $\otimes_M$  real-borel) (Pair r)) A
    (is ?lhs' = ?rhs')
  proof -
    have ?lhs' =  $\int^+ x. \text{emeasure } (g r) (\text{Pair } x - 'A) \partial \text{return real-borel } r$ 
by(auto intro!: pqp.qp1.emeasure-pair-measure-alt simp: h')
    also have ... = emeasure (g r) (Pair r - 'A)
    by(auto intro!: nn-integral-return pqp.qp1.measurable-emeasure-Pair
simp: h')
    also have ... = ?rhs'
    by(simp add: emeasure-distr[OF - h'])
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = ?rhs
by(rule distr-distr[of map-prod  $\alpha \gamma \circ$  real-real.g real-borel qbs-to-measure (X
 $\otimes_Q$  Y) real-real.f return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  g r, simplified comp-assoc, simplified, symmetric])
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
qed

```

lemma qbs-strength-morphism:

$qbs\text{-strength } X \ Y \in X \otimes_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } (X \otimes_Q Y)$

```

proof(rule pair-qbs-morphismI,simp)
  fix  $\alpha$   $\beta$ 
  assume  $h:\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
            $\beta \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
  then obtain  $\gamma$   $g$  where  $hb$ :
     $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$   $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \gamma, g \ r))$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of  $\beta$ ] by blast
  note [measurable] = hb(2)
  show  $\text{qbs-strength } X \ Y \circ (\lambda r. (\alpha \ r, \beta \ r)) \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } (X \otimes_Q Y)$ 
    using qbs-strength-ab-r[OF h hb]
    by(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x=\text{map-prod } \alpha \ \gamma \circ \text{real-real.g}$ ] bexI[where  $x=\lambda r.$ 
     $\text{distr } (\text{return real-borel } r \otimes_M g \ r) \ \text{real-borel real-real.f}$ ]
    simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)
qed

lemma qbs-bind-morphism'':
   $(\lambda(f,x). x \ggg f) \in \text{exp-qbs } X \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) \otimes_Q (\text{monadP-qbs } X) \rightarrow_Q (\text{monadP-qbs } Y)$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphism-cong[of - qbs-join  $\circ$  (monadP-qbs-Pf (exp-qbs X (monadP-qbs Y)  $\otimes_Q$  X) (monadP-qbs Y) qbs-eval)  $\circ$  (qbs-strength (exp-qbs X (monadP-qbs Y)) X)], auto)
  fix  $f$ 
  fix  $sx$ 
  assume  $h:f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
            $sx \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
  then obtain  $\alpha$   $\mu$  where  $h0:\text{qbs-prob } X \ \alpha \ \mu \ sx = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[of  $sx \ X$ ] by auto
  hence  $f \circ \alpha \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx } Y$ 
    using h(1) by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)
  then obtain  $\beta$   $g$  where  $h1$ :
     $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y$   $g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $(f \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, g \ r))$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of  $f \circ \alpha \ Y$ ] by blast

  show  $\text{qbs-join } (\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } (\text{exp-qbs } X \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) \otimes_Q X) \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) \ \text{qbs-eval } (\text{qbs-strength } (\text{exp-qbs } X \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y)) \ X \ (f, \ sx))) =$ 
     $sx \ggg f$ 
    by(simp add: qbs-join-computation[OF qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF h0(1) - h0(2),of f exp-qbs X (monadP-qbs Y)] qbs-eval-morphism] h1(1,2),simplified qbs-eval-def comp-def,simplified,OF h(1) h1(3)[simplified comp-def]] qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation[OF h0 h(1) h1])
next
  show  $\text{qbs-join} \circ \text{monadP-qbs-Pf } (\text{exp-qbs } X \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) \otimes_Q X) \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) \ \text{qbs-eval} \circ \text{qbs-strength } (\text{exp-qbs } X \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y)) \ X \in \text{exp-qbs } X \ (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) \otimes_Q \text{monadP-qbs } X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
    using qbs-join-morphism monadP-qbs-Pf-morphism[OF qbs-eval-morphism]
    by(auto intro!: qbs-morphism-comp simp: qbs-strength-morphism)
qed

```

lemma *qbs-bind-morphism'''*:

$(\lambda f x. x \gg= f) \in \text{exp-qbs } X \text{ (monadP-qbs } Y) \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs (monadP-qbs } X)$
 $(\text{monadP-qbs } Y)$
using *qbs-bind-morphism''* *curry-preserves-morphisms*[of $\lambda(f, x). \text{qbs-bind } x f]$
by *fastforce*

lemma *qbs-bind-morphism*:

assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$
and $g \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y \text{ (monadP-qbs } Z)$
shows $(\lambda x. f x \gg= g x) \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
using *qbs-morphism-comp*[*OF qbs-morphism-tuple*[*OF assms*(2,1)] *qbs-bind-morphism''*]
by(*simp add: comp-def*)

lemma *qbs-bind-morphism''''*:

assumes $x \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
shows $(\lambda f. x \gg= f) \in \text{exp-qbs } X \text{ (monadP-qbs } Y) \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$
by(*rule qbs-morphismE*(2)[*OF arg-swap-morphism*[*OF qbs-bind-morphism'''*],*simplified*,*OF assms*])

lemma *qbs-strength-law1*:

assumes $x \in \text{qbs-space (unit-quasi-borel } \otimes_Q \text{monadP-qbs } X)$
shows $\text{snd } x = (\text{monadP-qbs-Pf (unit-quasi-borel } \otimes_Q X) X \text{ snd } \circ \text{qbs-strength unit-quasi-borel } X) x$
proof –
obtain $\alpha \mu$ **where** h :
 $\text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu (\text{snd } x) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px*[of $\text{snd } x X$] *assms* **by** *auto*
have [*simp*]: $((\text{snd } x) = x)$
using *SigmaE assms* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
using *qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation*[*OF qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation*[*OF h*(1) - *h*(2),of *fst x unit-quasi-borel,simplified*] *snd-qbs-morphism*]
by(*simp add: h*(2) *comp-def*)
qed

lemma *qbs-strength-law2*:

assumes $x \in \text{qbs-space } ((X \otimes_Q Y) \otimes_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z)$
shows $(\text{qbs-strength } X (Y \otimes_Q Z) \circ (\text{map-prod id (qbs-strength } Y Z)) \circ (\lambda((x,y),z). (x,(y,z)))) x =$
 $(\text{monadP-qbs-Pf } ((X \otimes_Q Y) \otimes_Q Z) (X \otimes_Q (Y \otimes_Q Z)) (\lambda((x,y),z). (x,(y,z)))) \circ \text{qbs-strength } (X \otimes_Q Y) Z) x$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

obtain $\alpha \mu$ **where** h :
 $\text{qbs-prob } Z \alpha \mu \text{ snd } x = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, \alpha, \mu)$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px*[of $\text{snd } x Z$] *assms* **by** *auto*
have *?lhs* = $\text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y \otimes_Q Z, \lambda r. (\text{fst } (\text{fst } x), \text{snd } (\text{fst } x), \alpha r), \mu)$

```

    using assms qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF h(1) - h(2), of snd (fst x)
Y]
    by(auto intro!: qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation)
    also have ... = ?rhs
    using qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF
h(1) - h(2), of fst x X  $\otimes_Q$  Y] qbs-morphism-pair-assoc1] assms
    by(auto simp: comp-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-strength-law3:
  assumes  $x \in \text{qbs-space } (X \otimes_Q Y)$ 
  shows  $\text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) x = (\text{qbs-strength } X Y \circ (\text{map-prod id } (\text{qbs-return } Y))) x$ 
proof -
  interpret qp: qbs-prob Y  $\lambda r.$  snd x return real-borel 0
  using assms by(auto intro!: qbs-probI simp: prob-space-return)
  show ?thesis
    using qp.qbs-strength-computation[OF - qp.qbs-return-computation[of snd x
Y], of fst x X] assms
    by(auto simp: qp.qbs-return-computation[OF assms])
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-strength-law4:
  assumes  $x \in \text{qbs-space } (X \otimes_Q \text{monadP-qbs } (\text{monadP-qbs } Y))$ 
  shows  $(\text{qbs-strength } X Y \circ \text{map-prod id } \text{qbs-join}) x = (\text{qbs-join} \circ \text{monadP-qbs-Pf } (X \otimes_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y) (\text{monadP-qbs } (X \otimes_Q Y)))(\text{qbs-strength } X Y) \circ \text{qbs-strength } X (\text{monadP-qbs } Y) x$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  obtain  $\beta \mu$  where h0:
    qbs-prob (monadP-qbs Y)  $\beta \mu$  snd x = qbs-prob-space (monadP-qbs Y,  $\beta, \mu$ )
    using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[of snd x monadP-qbs Y] assms by auto
  then obtain  $\gamma g$  where h1:
     $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $\beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \gamma, g r))$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of  $\beta$  Y] by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)
  have ?lhs = qbs-prob-space  $(X \otimes_Q Y, \lambda r. (\text{fst } x, \gamma r), \mu \gg g)$ 
    using qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF qbs-join-computation(1)[OF h0 h1]
- qbs-join-computation(2)[OF h0 h1], of fst x X] assms
    by auto
  also have ... = ?rhs
  proof -
    have  $\text{qbs-strength } X Y \circ (\lambda r. (\text{fst } x, \beta r)) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \lambda r. (\text{fst } x, \gamma r), g r))$ 
    proof
      show  $(\text{qbs-strength } X Y \circ (\lambda r. (\text{fst } x, \beta r))) r = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \lambda r. (\text{fst } x, \gamma r), g r)$  for r
        using qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation(2)[OF qbs-prob-MPx[OF h1(1,2), of

```

```

r] - fun-cong[OF h1(3)], of fst x X] assms
  by auto
qed
thus ?thesis
  using qbs-join-computation(2)[OF qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF
qbs-prob.qbs-strength-computation[OF h0(1) - h0(2), of fst x X] qbs-strength-morphism]
- h1(2), of  $\lambda r. (fst x, \gamma r), symmetric]$  assms h1(1)
  by(auto simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-return-Mxpair:
  assumes  $\alpha \in qbs-Mx X$ 
  and  $\beta \in qbs-Mx Y$ 
  shows  $qbs-return (X \otimes_Q Y) (\alpha r, \beta k) = qbs-prob-space (X \otimes_Q Y, map-prod \alpha \beta \circ real-real.g, distr (return real-borel r \otimes_M return real-borel k) real-borel real-real.f)$ 
   $qbs-prob (X \otimes_Q Y) (map-prod \alpha \beta \circ real-real.g) (distr (return real-borel r \otimes_M return real-borel k) real-borel real-real.f)$ 
proof -
  note [measurable-cong] = sets-return[of real-borel]
  interpret qp:  $qbs-prob X \otimes_Q Y map-prod \alpha \beta \circ real-real.g distr (return real-borel r \otimes_M return real-borel k) real-borel real-real.f$ 
  using qbs-closed1-dest[OF assms(1)] qbs-closed1-dest[OF assms(2)]
  by(auto intro!: qbs-probI prob-space.prob-space-distr prob-space-pair
    simp: pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def prob-space-return)
  show  $qbs-return (X \otimes_Q Y) (\alpha r, \beta k) = qbs-prob-space (X \otimes_Q Y, map-prod \alpha \beta \circ real-real.g, distr (return real-borel r \otimes_M return real-borel k) real-borel real-real.f)$ 
   $qbs-prob (X \otimes_Q Y) (map-prod \alpha \beta \circ real-real.g) (distr (return real-borel r \otimes_M return real-borel k) real-borel real-real.f)$ 
  proof -
    show  $qbs-return (X \otimes_Q Y) (\alpha r, \beta k) = qbs-prob-space (X \otimes_Q Y, map-prod \alpha \beta \circ real-real.g, distr (return real-borel r \otimes_M return real-borel k) real-borel real-real.f)$ 
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have  $1: (\lambda r. qbs-prob-space (Y, \beta, return real-borel k)) \in monadP-qbs-MPx Y$ 
    by(auto intro!: in-MPx.intro bexI[where  $x=\beta$ ] bexI[where  $x=\lambda r. return real-borel k$ ] simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def assms(2))
    have ?lhs =  $(qbs-strength X Y \circ map-prod id (qbs-return Y)) (\alpha r, \beta k)$ 
    by(intro qbs-strength-law3[of  $(\alpha r, \beta k) X Y$ ] (use assms in auto))
    also have ... =  $qbs-strength X Y (\alpha r, qbs-prob-space (Y, \beta, return real-borel k))$ 
    using fun-cong[OF qbs-return-comp[OF assms(2)]] by simp
    also have ... = ?rhs
    by(intro qbs-strength-ab-r(2)[OF assms(1) 1 assms(2) - refl, of r]) auto
    finally show ?thesis .
  proof -

```

qed
 qed(rule qp.qbs-prob-axioms)
 qed

lemma pair-return-return:
 assumes $l \in \text{space } M$
 and $r \in \text{space } N$
 shows $\text{return } M \ l \otimes_M \text{return } N \ r = \text{return } (M \otimes_M N) \ (l, r)$
proof(auto intro!: measure-eqI)
 fix A
 assume $h: A \in \text{sets } (M \otimes_M N)$
 show $\text{emeasure } (\text{return } M \ l \otimes_M \text{return } N \ r) \ A = \text{indicator } A \ (l, r)$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
 have ?lhs = $(\int^+ x. \int^+ y. \text{indicator } A \ (x, y) \ \partial \text{return } N \ r \ \partial \text{return } M \ l)$
 by(auto intro!: sigma-finite-measure.emeasure-pair-measure prob-space-imp-sigma-finite
 simp: h prob-space-return assms)
 also have ... = $(\int^+ x. \text{indicator } A \ (x, r) \ \partial \text{return } M \ l)$
 using h by(auto intro!: nn-integral-cong nn-integral-return simp: assms(2))
 also have ... = ?rhs
 using h by(auto intro!: nn-integral-return simp: assms)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed
 qed

lemma bind-bind-return-distr:
 assumes *real-distribution* μ
 and *real-distribution* ν
 shows $\mu \gg (\lambda r. \nu \gg (\lambda l. \text{distr } (\text{return } \text{real-borel } r \otimes_M \text{return } \text{real-borel } l) \text{ real-borel real-real.f}))$
 = $\text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \ \text{real-borel real-real.f}$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
 interpret *rd1*: *real-distribution* μ **by** fact
 interpret *rd2*: *real-distribution* ν **by** fact
 interpret *pp*: *pair-prob-space* $\mu \ \nu$
 by (simp add: pair-prob-space.intro pair-sigma-finite-def *rd1*.prob-space-axioms
rd1.sigma-finite-measure-axioms *rd2*.prob-space-axioms *rd2*.sigma-finite-measure-axioms)
 have ?lhs = $\mu \gg (\lambda r. \nu \gg (\lambda l. \text{distr } (\text{return } (\text{real-borel } \otimes_M \text{real-borel}) \ (r, l)) \text{ real-borel real-real.f}))$
 using pair-return-return[of - *real-borel* - *real-borel*] **by** simp
 also have ... = $\mu \gg (\lambda r. \nu \gg (\lambda l. \text{distr } (\text{return } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \ (r, l)) \text{ real-borel real-real.f}))$
proof –
 have $\text{return } (\text{real-borel } \otimes_M \text{real-borel}) = \text{return } (\mu \otimes_M \nu)$
 by(auto intro!: return-sets-cong sets-pair-measure-cong)
 thus ?thesis **by** simp
 qed

also have ... = $\mu \gg (\lambda r. \text{distr } (\nu \gg (\lambda l. (\text{return } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) (r, l)))) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})$
by(*auto intro!*: *bind-cong distr-bind[symmetric,where K= $\mu \otimes_M \nu$]*)
also have ... = *distr* ($\mu \gg (\lambda r. \nu \gg (\lambda l. \text{return } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) (r, l)))) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f}$
by(*auto intro!*: *distr-bind[symmetric,where K= $\mu \otimes_M \nu$]*)
also have ... = *?rhs*
by(*simp add: pp.pair-measure-eq-bind[symmetric]*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma(*in pair-qbs-probs*) *qbs-bind-return-qp*:

shows *qbs-prob-space* (Y, β, ν) $\gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y))) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}, \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})$
 $\text{qbs-prob } (X \otimes_Q Y) (\text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}) (\text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})$

proof –

show *qbs-prob-space* (Y, β, ν) $\gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y))) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}, \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have *?lhs* = *qbs-prob-space* ($X \otimes_Q Y, \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}, \nu \gg (\lambda l. \mu \gg (\lambda r. \text{distr } (\text{return } \text{real-borel } r \otimes_M \text{return } \text{real-borel } l) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})))$

proof(*auto intro!*: *qp2.qbs-bind-computation(2) measurable-bind-prob-space2[where N=real-borel] simp: in-Mx[simplified]*)

show $(\lambda y. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y))) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } (X \otimes_Q Y)$

using *qbs-morphism-const[of - monadP-qbs X Y, simplified, OF qp1.qbs-prob-space-in-Px] curry-preserves-morphisms[OF qbs-morphism-pair-swap[OF qbs-return-morphism[of X $\otimes_Q$ Y]]]*

by (*auto intro!*: *qbs-bind-morphism*)

next

show $(\lambda y. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y))) \circ \beta = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q Y, \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}, \mu \gg (\lambda l. \text{distr } (\text{return } \text{real-borel } l \otimes_M \text{return } \text{real-borel } r) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})))$

by *standard*

(auto intro!: *qp1.qbs-bind-computation(2) qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-Pair2[of - Y] qbs-return-morphism[of X $\otimes_Q$ Y], simplified comp-def]*

simp: in-Mx[simplified] qbs-return-Mxpair[OF qp1.in-Mx qp2.in-Mx] qbs-Mx-to-X(2))

qed

also have ... = *?rhs*

proof –

have $\nu \gg (\lambda l. \mu \gg (\lambda r. \text{distr } (\text{return } \text{real-borel } r \otimes_M \text{return } \text{real-borel } l) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f})) = \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel } \text{real-real.f}$

by(*auto intro!*: *bind-rotate[symmetric,where N=real-borel] measurable-prob-algebraD simp: bind-bind-return-distr[symmetric, OF qp1.real-distribution-axioms]*

```

qp2.real-distribution-axioms])
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
show qbs-prob (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (map-prod  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\circ$  real-real.g) (distr ( $\mu \otimes_M \nu$ )
real-borel real-real.f)
  by(rule qbs-prob-axioms)
qed

lemma(in pair-qbs-probs) qbs-bind-return-pq:
  shows qbs-prob-space (X,  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ )  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda x$ . qbs-prob-space (Y,  $\beta$ ,  $\nu$ )  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda y$ .
qbs-return (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (x,y))) = qbs-prob-space (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y, map-prod  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\circ$ 
real-real.g, distr ( $\mu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f)
  qbs-prob (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (map-prod  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\circ$  real-real.g) (distr ( $\mu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel
real-real.f)
proof(simp-all add: qbs-bind-return-qp(2))
  show qbs-prob-space (X,  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ )  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda x$ . qbs-prob-space (Y,  $\beta$ ,  $\nu$ )  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda y$ . qbs-return
(X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (x, y))) = qbs-prob-space (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y, map-prod  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\circ$  real-real.g, distr
( $\mu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f)
    (is ?lhs = -)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = qbs-prob-space (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y, map-prod  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\circ$  real-real.g,  $\mu \ggg$  ( $\lambda r$ .
 $\nu \ggg$  ( $\lambda l$ . distr (return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  return real-borel l) real-borel real-real.f)))
    proof(auto intro!: qp1.qbs-bind-computation(2) measurable-bind-prob-space2[where
N=real-borel])
      show ( $\lambda x$ . qbs-prob-space (Y,  $\beta$ ,  $\nu$ )  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda y$ . qbs-return (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (x, y)))
 $\in X \rightarrow_Q$  monadP-qbs (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y)
      using qbs-morphism-const[of - monadP-qbs Y X,simplified,OF qp2.qbs-prob-space-in-Px]
      curry-preserves-morphisms[OF qbs-return-morphism[of X  $\otimes_Q$  Y]]
      by(auto intro!: qbs-bind-morphism simp: curry-def)
    next
      show ( $\lambda x$ . qbs-prob-space (Y,  $\beta$ ,  $\nu$ )  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda y$ . qbs-return (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (x, y)))
 $\circ \alpha =$  ( $\lambda r$ . qbs-prob-space (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y, map-prod  $\alpha$   $\beta$   $\circ$  real-real.g,  $\nu \ggg$  ( $\lambda l$ . distr
(return real-borel r  $\otimes_M$  return real-borel l) real-borel real-real.f)))
      by standard
      (auto intro!: qp2.qbs-bind-computation(2) qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-Pair1[of
- X] qbs-return-morphism[of X  $\otimes_Q$  Y],simplified comp-def]
      simp: qbs-return-Mxpair[OF qp1.in-Mx qp2.in-Mx] qbs-Mx-to-X(2))
    qed
  thus ?thesis
  by(simp add: bind-bind-return-distr[OF qp1.real-distribution-axioms qp2.real-distribution-axioms])
qed
qed

lemma qbs-bind-return-rotate:
  assumes p  $\in$  monadP-qbs-Px X
  and q  $\in$  monadP-qbs-Px Y
  shows q  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda y$ . p  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda x$ . qbs-return (X  $\otimes_Q$  Y) (x,y))) = p  $\ggg$  ( $\lambda x$ . q  $\ggg$ 

```

$(\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y))$
proof –
obtain $\alpha \mu$ **where** hp :
 $\text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$
using $\text{rep-monadP-qbs-Px}[OF \text{ assms}(1)]$ **by** *auto*
obtain $\beta \nu$ **where** hq :
 $\text{qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu)$
using $\text{rep-monadP-qbs-Px}[OF \text{ assms}(2)]$ **by** *auto*
interpret pqp : $\text{pair-qbs-probs } X \alpha \mu Y \beta \nu$
by $(\text{simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def } hp \ hq)$
show *?thesis*
by $(\text{simp add: } hp(2) \ hq(2) \ pqp.\text{qbs-bind-return-pq}(1) \ pqp.\text{qbs-bind-return-qp})$
qed

lemma *qbs-pair-bind-return1*:
assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
 $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
and $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
shows $q \gg (\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. f (x,y))) = (q \gg (\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y)))) \gg f$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
note $[\text{simp}] = \text{qbs-morphism-const}[of \text{ - monadP-qbs } X, \text{simplified}, OF \text{ assms}(2)]$
 $\text{qbs-morphism-Pair1}'[OF \text{ - assms}(1)] \text{ qbs-morphism-Pair2}'[OF \text{ - assms}(1)]$
 $\text{curry-preserves-morphisms}[OF \text{ qbs-morphism-pair-swap}[OF \text{ qbs-return-morphism}[of$
 $X \otimes_Q Y]], \text{simplified } \text{curry-def}, \text{simplified}]$
 $\text{qbs-morphism-Pair2}'[OF \text{ - qbs-return-morphism}[of } X \otimes_Q Y]]$
 $\text{arg-swap-morphism}[OF \text{ curry-preserves-morphisms}[OF \text{ assms}(1)], \text{simplified}$
 $\text{curry-def}]$
 $\text{curry-preserves-morphisms}[OF \text{ qbs-morphism-comp}[OF \text{ qbs-morphism-pair-swap}[OF$
 $\text{qbs-return-morphism}[of } X \otimes_Q Y]] \text{ qbs-bind-morphism}'[OF \text{ assms}(1)], \text{simplified}$
 $\text{curry-def comp-def}, \text{simplified}]$
have $[\text{simp}]: (\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. f (x,y))) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
 $(\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y) \gg f)) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
by $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{qbs-bind-morphism}[\text{where } Y=X] \text{ simp: } \text{curry-def})$
have $?lhs = q \gg (\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y) \gg f))$
by $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{qbs-bind-cong}[OF \text{ assms}(3), \text{where } Y=Z] \text{ qbs-bind-cong}[OF \text{ assms}(2), \text{where } Y=Z] \text{ simp: } \text{qbs-bind-return}[OF \text{ assms}(1)])$
also have $\dots = q \gg (\lambda y. (p \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y))) \gg f)$
by $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{qbs-bind-cong}[OF \text{ assms}(3), \text{where } Y=Z] \text{ qbs-bind-assoc}[OF \text{ assms}(2) - \text{assms}(1)] \text{ simp: })$
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
by $(\text{auto intro!}: \text{qbs-bind-assoc}[OF \text{ assms}(3) - \text{assms}(1)] \text{ qbs-bind-morphism}[\text{where } Y=X])$
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *qbs-pair-bind-return2*:

assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
 $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
and $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
shows $p \gg (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. f (x, y))) = (p \gg (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y)))) \gg f$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
note $[\text{simp}] = \text{qbs-morphism-const}[\text{of - monadP-qbs } Y, \text{simplified}, \text{OF assms}(\mathcal{J})]$
 $\text{qbs-morphism-Pair1}'[\text{OF - assms}(1)] \text{ curry-preserves-morphisms}[\text{OF assms}(1), \text{simplified curry-def}]$
 $\text{qbs-morphism-Pair1}'[\text{OF - qbs-return-morphism}[\text{of } X \otimes_Q Y]]$
 $\text{curry-preserves-morphisms}[\text{OF qbs-morphism-comp}[\text{OF qbs-return-morphism}[\text{of } X \otimes_Q Y] \text{ qbs-bind-morphism}'[\text{OF assms}(1)]], \text{simplified curry-def comp-def, simplified}]$
 $\text{curry-preserves-morphisms}[\text{OF qbs-return-morphism}[\text{of } X \otimes_Q Y], \text{simplified curry-def}]$
have $[\text{simp}]: (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. f (x, y))) \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
 $(\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y) \gg f)) \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
by(*auto intro!*: *qbs-bind-morphism*[**where** $Y=Y$])
have $?lhs = p \gg (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y) \gg f))$
by(*auto intro!*: *qbs-bind-cong*[*OF assms*(2)], **where** $Y=Z$] *qbs-bind-cong*[*OF assms*(3)], **where** $Y=Z$] *simp*: *qbs-bind-return*[*OF assms*(1)])
also have $\dots = p \gg (\lambda x. (q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y))) \gg f)$
by(*auto intro!*: *qbs-bind-cong*[*OF assms*(2)], **where** $Y=Z$] *qbs-bind-assoc*[*OF assms*(3) - *assms*(1)])
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
by(*auto intro!*: *qbs-bind-assoc*[*OF assms*(2) - *assms*(1)] *qbs-bind-morphism*[**where** $Y=Y$])
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *qbs-bind-rotate*:

assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Z$
 $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
and $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
shows $q \gg (\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. f (x, y))) = p \gg (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. f (x, y)))$
using *qbs-pair-bind-return1*[*OF assms*(1) *assms*(2) *assms*(3)] *qbs-bind-return-rotate*[*OF assms*(2) *assms*(3)] *qbs-pair-bind-return2*[*OF assms*(1) *assms*(2) *assms*(3)]
by *simp*

lemma(**in** *pair-qbs-probs*) *qbs-bind-bind-return*:

assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
shows *qbs-prob* $Z (f \circ (\text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g})) (\text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$
and *qbs-prob-space* $(X, \alpha, \mu) \gg (\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } Z (f (x, y)))) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, f \circ (\text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}), \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel real-real.f})$

```

      (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  show qbs-prob Z (f ∘ (map-prod α β ∘ real-real.g)) (distr (μ ⊗M ν) real-borel
    real-real.f)
  using qbs-bind-return-qp(2) qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms] by(simp add: qbs-prob-def
    in-Mx-def)
next
  have ?lhs = (qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) ≫= (λx. qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν) ≫= (λy.
    qbs-return (X ⊗Q Y) (x,y)))) ≫= qbs-return Z ∘ f
  using qbs-pair-bind-return2[OF qbs-morphism-comp[OF assms qbs-return-morphism]
    qp1.qbs-prob-space-in-Px qp2.qbs-prob-space-in-Px]
  by(simp add: comp-def)
  also have ... = qbs-prob-space (X ⊗Q Y, map-prod α β ∘ real-real.g, distr (μ
    ⊗M ν) real-borel real-real.f) ≫= qbs-return Z ∘ f
  by(simp add: qbs-bind-return-pq(1))
  also have ... = ?rhs
  by(rule monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF refl assms,simplified monadP-qbs-Pf-def])
  finally show ?lhs = ?rhs .
qed

```

3.2.7 Properties of Return and Bind

lemma qbs-prob-measure-return:

```

  assumes x ∈ qbs-space X
  shows qbs-prob-measure (qbs-return X x) = return (qbs-to-measure X) x
proof -
  interpret qp: qbs-prob X λr. x return real-borel 0
  by(auto intro!: qbs-probI simp: prob-space-return assms)
  show ?thesis
  by(simp add: qp.qbs-return-computation[OF assms] distr-return)
qed

```

lemma qbs-prob-measure-bind:

```

  assumes s ∈ monadP-qbs-Px X
  and f ∈ X →Q monadP-qbs Y
  shows qbs-prob-measure (s ≫= f) = qbs-prob-measure s ≫= qbs-prob-measure
    ∘ f
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof -
  obtain α μ where hs:
    qbs-prob X α μ s = qbs-prob-space (X, α, μ)
  using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(1)] by blast
  hence f ∘ α ∈ monadP-qbs-MPx Y
  using assms(2) by(auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def)
  then obtain β g where hbg:
    β ∈ qbs-Mx Y g ∈ real-borel →M prob-algebra real-borel
    (f ∘ α) = (λr. qbs-prob-space (Y, β, g r))
  using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx by blast
  note [measurable] = hbg(2)

```

have $[measurable]: f \in qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X \rightarrow_M qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ Y)$
using $l\text{-}preserves\text{-}morphisms\ assms(2)$ **by** *auto*
interpret $qqp: pair\text{-}qbs\text{-}probs\ X\ \alpha\ \mu\ Y\ \beta\ \mu\ \ggg\ g$
by (*simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def hs(1) qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation[OF hs assms(2) hbg]*)

have $?lhs = distr\ (\mu\ \ggg\ g)\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ Y)\ \beta$
by (*simp add: qqp.qp1.qbs-bind-computation[OF hs(2) assms(2) hbg]*)
also have $\dots = \mu\ \ggg\ (\lambda x. distr\ (g\ x)\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ Y)\ \beta)$
by (*auto intro!: distr-bind[where K=real-borel] measurable-prob-algebraD*)
also have $\dots = \mu\ \ggg\ (\lambda x. qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (Y, \beta, g\ x)))$
using $measurable\text{-}space[OF\ hbg(2)]$
by (*auto intro!: bind-cong qbs-prob.qbs-prob-measure-computation[symmetric]*)
qbs-probI simp: space-prob-algebra
also have $\dots = \mu\ \ggg\ (\lambda x. qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ ((f \circ \alpha)\ x))$
by (*simp add: hbg(3)*)
also have $\dots = \mu\ \ggg\ (\lambda x. (qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure \circ f)\ (\alpha\ x))$ **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = distr\ \mu\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ X)\ \alpha\ \ggg\ qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure \circ f$
by (*intro bind-distr[symmetric, where K=qbs-to-measure Y] auto*)
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
by (*simp add: hs(2)*)
finally show $?thesis$.
qed

lemma *qbs-of-return*:

assumes $x \in qbs\text{-}space\ X$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ (qbs\text{-}return\ X\ x) = X$
using $real\text{-}distribution.qbs\text{-}return\text{-}computation[OF\ \text{-}\ assms, of\ return\ real\text{-}borel\ 0]$
 $qbs\text{-}prob.qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\text{-}computation[of\ X\ \lambda r. x\ return\ real\text{-}borel\ 0]\ assms$
by (*auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def real-distribution-def real-distribution-axioms-def prob-space-return*)

lemma *qbs-of-bind*:

assumes $s \in monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Px\ X$
and $f \in X \rightarrow_Q monadP\text{-}qbs\ Y$
shows $qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\ (s\ \ggg\ f) = Y$

proof –

obtain $\alpha\ \mu$ **where** hs :

$qbs\text{-}prob\ X\ \alpha\ \mu\ s = qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (X, \alpha, \mu)$

using $rep\text{-}monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Px[OF\ assms(1)]$ **by** *auto*

hence $f \circ \alpha \in monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx\ Y$

using $assms(2)$ **by** (*auto simp: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def*)

then obtain $\beta\ g$ **where** hbg :

$\beta \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ Y\ g \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M prob\text{-}algebra\ real\text{-}borel$

$(f \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\ (Y, \beta, g\ r))$

using $rep\text{-}monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}MPx$ **by** *blast*

show $?thesis$

using $qbs\text{-}prob.qbs\text{-}bind\text{-}computation[OF\ hs\ assms(2)\ hbg]\ qbs\text{-}prob.qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}qbs\text{-}computation$
by *simp*

qed

3.2.8 Properties of Integrals

lemma *qbs-integrable-return:*

assumes $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$
and $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
shows $\text{qbs-integrable } (\text{qbs-return } X \ x) \ f$
using $\text{assms}(2) \ \text{nn-integral-return}[of \ x \ \text{qbs-to-measure } X \ \lambda x. |f \ x|, \text{simplified}, OF \ \text{assms}(1)]$
by $(\text{auto intro!} : \text{qbs-integrable-if-integrable integrableI-bounded simp: qbs-prob-measure-return}[OF \ \text{assms}(1)])$

lemma *qbs-integrable-bind-return:*

assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
 $f \in Z \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
and $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
shows $\text{qbs-integrable } (s \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } Z \ (g \ y))) \ f = \text{qbs-integrable } s \ (f \circ g)$

proof –

obtain $\alpha \ \mu$ **where** hs :
 $\text{qbs-prob } Y \ \alpha \ \mu \ s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \alpha, \mu)$
using $\text{rep-monadP-qbs-Px}[OF \ \text{assms}(1)]$ **by** *auto*
then interpret $qp : \text{qbs-prob } Y \ \alpha \ \mu$ **by** *simp*
show *?thesis* **(is ?lhs = ?rhs)**
proof –
have $\text{qbs-return } Z \circ (g \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, g \circ \alpha, \text{return real-borel } r))$
by $(\text{rule qbs-return-comp}) \ (use \ \text{assms}(3) \ qp.in-Mx \ \text{in } \text{blast})$
hence $hb : \text{qbs-prob } Z \ (g \circ \alpha) \ \mu$
 $s \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } Z \ (g \ y)) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, g \circ \alpha, \mu)$
by $(\text{auto intro!} : \text{qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation}[OF \ hs \ \text{qbs-morphism-comp}[OF \ \text{assms}(3) \ \text{qbs-return-morphism, simplified comp-def}] \ \text{qbs-morphismE}(3)[OF \ \text{assms}(3) \ qp.in-Mx], of \ \text{return real-borel, simplified bind-return}''[of \ \mu \ \text{real-borel, simplified}]])$
 $(\text{simp-all add: comp-def})$
have $?lhs = \text{integrable } \mu \ (f \circ (g \circ \alpha))$
using $\text{assms}(2)$
by $(\text{auto intro!} : \text{qbs-prob.qbs-integrable-iff-integrable}[OF \ hb(1), \text{simplified comp-def}] \ \text{simp: } hb(2) \ \text{comp-def})$
also have $\dots = ?rhs$
using $\text{qbs-morphism-comp}[OF \ \text{assms}(3, 2)]$
by $(\text{auto intro!} : \text{qbs-prob.qbs-integrable-iff-integrable}[OF \ hs(1), \text{symmetric}] \ \text{simp: } hs(2) \ \text{comp-def})$
finally show *?thesis* .
qed
qed

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism:*

```

assumes  $L \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
and  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
shows  $(\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (L \ x) \ (f \ x)) \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
proof(rule qbs-morphismI,simp-all)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $h0:\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 
  then obtain  $\beta \ g$  where  $h$ :
     $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \ g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$ 
     $(L \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, g \ r))$ 
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of L o alpha Y] qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(1)] by
  auto
  note  $[\text{measurable}] = h(2)$ 
  have  $[\text{measurable}]: (\lambda(r, y). f \ (\alpha \ r) \ (\beta \ y)) \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M$ 
  ennreal-borel
  proof –
    have  $(\lambda(r, y). f \ (\alpha \ r) \ (\beta \ y)) = \text{case-prod } f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \ \beta$ 
    by auto
    also have  $\dots \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
    apply(rule qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-map-prod uncurry-preserves-morphisms[OF
  assms(2)]])
    using  $h0 \ h(1)$  by(auto simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)
    finally show ?thesis
    by auto
  qed
  have  $(\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (L \ x) \ (f \ x)) \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } ((L$ 
   $\circ \alpha) \ r) \ ((f \circ \alpha) \ r))$ 
  by auto
  also have  $\dots = (\lambda r. (\int^+ x. (f \circ \alpha) \ r \ (\beta \ x) \ \partial(g \ r)))$ 
  apply standard
  using  $h0$  by(auto intro!: qbs-prob.qbs-prob-ennintegral-def[OF qbs-prob-MPx[OF
  h(1,2)]] qbs-morphismE(2)[OF assms(2),simplified] simp: h(3))
  also have  $\dots \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 
  using assms(2) h0 h(1)
  by(auto intro!: nn-integral-measurable-subprob-algebra2[where N=real-borel]
  simp: measurable-prob-algebraD)
  finally show  $(\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (L \ x) \ (f \ x)) \circ \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$  .
qed

lemma qbs-morphism-ennintegral-fst:
assumes  $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$ 
and  $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
shows  $(\lambda x. \int^+_Q y. f \ (x, y) \ \partial q) \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
by(rule qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism[OF qbs-morphism-const[of - monadP-qbs
  Y,simplified,OF assms(1)] curry-preserves-morphisms[OF assms(2)],simplified curry-def)

lemma qbs-morphism-ennintegral-snd:
assumes  $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
and  $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 

```


shows $(\lambda y. \int^+_Q x. f(x, y) \partial p) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
using *qbs-morphism-ennintegral-fst*[*OF assms*(1) *qbs-morphism-pair-swap*[*OF assms*(2)]]
by *fastforce*

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism'*:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $(\lambda s. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } s \ f) \in \text{monadP-qbs } X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
apply(*rule qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism*[*of - - X*])
using *qbs-morphism-ident*[*of monadP-qbs X*]
apply (*simp add: id-def*)
using *assms qbs-morphism-const*[*of f exp-qbs X R_{Q ≥ 0}*]
by *simp*

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-return*:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
and $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$
shows $\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (\text{qbs-return } X \ x) \ f = f \ x$
using *assms*
by(*auto intro!: nn-integral-return*
simp: qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2[*OF qbs-of-return*[*OF assms*(2)] *assms*(1)]
qbs-prob-measure-return[*OF assms*(2)])

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind*:
assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
 $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$
and $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (s \gg f) \ g = \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } s \ (\lambda y. (\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (f \ y) \ g))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –
obtain $\alpha \ \mu$ **where** *hs*:
 $\text{qbs-prob } X \ \alpha \ \mu \ s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px*[*OF assms*(1)] **by** *auto*
then interpret *qp*: $\text{qbs-prob } X \ \alpha \ \mu$ **by** *simp*
obtain $\beta \ h$ **where** *hb*:
 $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y \ h \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
 $(f \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, h \ r))$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-MPx*[*OF qbs-morphismE*(3)] [*OF assms*(2) *qp.in-Mx,simplified*]
by *auto*
hence $h: \text{qbs-prob } Y \ \beta \ (\mu \gg h)$
 $s \gg f = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \mu \gg h)$
using *qp.qbs-bind-computation*[*OF hs*(2) *assms*(2) *hb*] **by** *auto*
hence *LHS*: $?lhs = (\int^+_X x. g(\beta \ x) \partial(\mu \gg h))$
using *qbs-prob.qbs-prob-ennintegral-def*[*OF h*(1) *assms*(3)]
by *simp*
note [*measurable*] = *hb*(2)

have $\bigwedge r. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (f \ (\alpha \ r)) \ g = (\int^+_Y y. g(\beta \ y) \partial(h \ r))$
using *qbs-prob.qbs-prob-ennintegral-def*[*OF qbs-prob-MPx*[*OF hb*(1,2)] *assms*(3)]

$hb(\beta)[simplified\ comp-def]$
by *metis*
hence $?rhs = (\int^+ r. (\int^+ y. (g \circ \beta) y \partial(h\ r)) \partial\mu)$
by(*auto intro!*: *nn-integral-cong*
simp: *qbs-prob.qbs-prob-ennintegral-def*[*OF* *hs*(1) *qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism*[*OF*
assms(2) *qbs-morphism-const*[*of - exp-qbs* $Y \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$, *simplified*, *OF* *assms*(3)]]] *hs*(2))
also have $\dots = (integral^N (\mu \gg h) (g \circ \beta))$
apply(*intro nn-integral-bind*[*symmetric, of - real-borel*])
using *assms*(3) *hb*(1)
by(*auto intro!*: *measurable-prob-algebraD* *hb*(2))
finally show *?thesis*
using *LHS* **by**(*simp add: comp-def*)
qed

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind-return*:
assumes $s \in monadP-qbs-Px\ Y$
 $f \in Z \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
and $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
shows $qbs-prob-ennintegral\ (s \gg (\lambda y. qbs-return\ Z\ (g\ y)))\ f = qbs-prob-ennintegral\ s\ (f \circ g)$
apply(*simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind*[*OF* *assms*(1) *qbs-return-morphism'*[*OF*
assms(3)] *assms*(2))
using *assms*(1,3)
by(*auto intro!*: *qbs-prob-ennintegral-cong* *qbs-prob-ennintegral-return*[*OF* *assms*(2)]
simp: monadP-qbs-Px-def)

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-morphism'*:
assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
shows $(\lambda s. qbs-prob-integral\ s\ f) \in monadP-qbs\ X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI; simp*)
fix α
assume $\alpha \in monadP-qbs-MPx\ X$
then obtain $\beta\ g$ **where** h :
 $\beta \in qbs-Mx\ X\ g \in real-borel \rightarrow_M prob-algebra\ real-borel$
 $\alpha = (\lambda r. qbs-prob-space\ (X, \beta, g\ r))$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-MPx*[*of* $\alpha\ X$] **by** *auto*
note [*measurable*] = *h*(2)
have [*measurable*]: $f \circ \beta \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel$
using *assms* *h*(1) **by** *auto*
have $(\lambda s. qbs-prob-integral\ s\ f) \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. \int x. f\ (\beta\ x)\ \partial g\ r)$
apply *standard*
using *assms* *qbs-prob-MPx*[*OF* *h*(1,2)] **by**(*auto intro!*: *qbs-prob.qbs-prob-integral-def*
simp: h(3))
also have $\dots = (\lambda M. integral^L\ M\ (f \circ \beta)) \circ g$
by (*simp add: comp-def*)
also have $\dots \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel$
by(*auto intro!*: *measurable-comp*[**where** $N = subprob-algebra\ real-borel$]
simp: integral-measurable-subprob-algebra measurable-prob-algebraD)
finally show $(\lambda s. qbs-prob-integral\ s\ f) \circ \alpha \in real-borel \rightarrow_M real-borel$.

qed

lemma *qbs-morphism-integral-fst*:

assumes $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
and $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
shows $(\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x, y) \partial q) \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI,simp-all*)
fix α
assume $ha:\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
obtain $\beta \nu$ **where** hq :
 $\text{qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu)$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(1)]* **by** *auto*
then interpret $qp: \text{qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$ **by** *simp*
have $(\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x, y) \partial q) \circ \alpha = (\lambda x. \int y. f(\alpha x, \beta y) \partial \nu)$
apply *standard*
using *qbs-morphism-Pair1'[OF qbs-Mx-to-X(2)][OF ha] assms(2)]*
by(*auto intro!: qp.qbs-prob-integral-def simp: hq(2)*)
also have $\dots \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$
using *qbs-morphism-comp[OF qbs-morphism-map-prod assms(2),of $\alpha \mathbb{R}_Q \beta$*
 $\mathbb{R}_Q, \text{simplified comp-def map-prod-def split-beta}']$ $ha qp.in-Mx$
by(*auto intro!: qp.borel-measurable-lebesgue-integral simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms*)
finally show $(\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x, y) \partial q) \circ \alpha \in \text{borel-measurable borel}$.
qed

lemma *qbs-morphism-integral-snd*:

assumes $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
and $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
shows $(\lambda y. \int_Q x. f(x, y) \partial p) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
using *qbs-morphism-integral-fst[OF assms(1) qbs-morphism-pair-swap[OF assms(2)]]*
by *simp*

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-morphism*:

assumes $L \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$
 $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y \mathbb{R}_Q$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{qbs-space } X \implies \text{qbs-integrable } (L x) (f x)$
shows $(\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-integral } (L x) (f x)) \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI;simp*)
fix α
assume $h0:\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
then obtain βg **where** h :
 $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
 $(L \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, g r))$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of $L \circ \alpha Y$] qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(1)]* **by**
auto
have $(\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-integral } (L x) (f x)) \circ \alpha = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-integral } ((L \circ \alpha) r))$
 $((f \circ \alpha) r))$
by *auto*

```

also have ... = ( $\lambda r. \text{enn2real } (\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } ((L \circ \alpha) r) (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } ((f \circ \alpha) r x)))$ 
  -  $\text{enn2real } (\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } ((L \circ \alpha) r) (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } (- (f \circ \alpha) r x)))$ )
using h0 assms(3) by(auto intro!: real-qbs-prob-integral-def)
also have ...  $\in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
proof -
  have h2:  $L \circ \alpha \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$ 
  using qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(1) h0] by(auto simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)
  have [measurable]:  $(\lambda x. f (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x)) \in \text{qbs-to-measure } (X \otimes_Q Y) \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel}$ 
  using uncurry-preserves-morphisms[OF assms(2)] by(auto simp: split-beta')
  have h3:  $(\lambda r x. \text{ennreal } ((f \circ \alpha) r x)) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  proof(auto intro!: curry-preserves-morphisms[of ( $\lambda(r,x). \text{ennreal } ((f \circ \alpha) r x)$ ), simplified curry-def, simplified])
  have  $(\lambda(r, y). \text{ennreal } (f (\alpha r) y)) = \text{ennreal} \circ \text{case-prod } f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \text{ id}$ 
  by auto
  also have ...  $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  apply(rule qbs-morphism-comp[where  $Y = X \otimes_Q Y$ ])
  using h0 qbs-morphism-map-prod[OF - qbs-morphism-ident, of  $\alpha \mathbb{R}_Q X Y$ ]
  by(auto simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)
  finally show  $(\lambda(r, y). \text{ennreal } (f (\alpha r) y)) \in \text{qbs-to-measure } (\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y)$ 
 $\rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 
  by auto
qed
  have h4:  $(\lambda r x. \text{ennreal } (- (f \circ \alpha) r x)) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } Y \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  proof(auto intro!: curry-preserves-morphisms[of ( $\lambda(r,x). \text{ennreal } (- (f \circ \alpha) r x)$ ), simplified curry-def, simplified])
  have  $(\lambda(r, y). \text{ennreal } (- f (\alpha r) y)) = \text{ennreal} \circ (\lambda r. - r) \circ \text{case-prod } f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \text{ id}$ 
  by auto
  also have ...  $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
  apply(rule qbs-morphism-comp[where  $Y = X \otimes_Q Y$ ])
  using h0 qbs-morphism-map-prod[OF - qbs-morphism-ident, of  $\alpha \mathbb{R}_Q X Y$ ]
  by(auto simp: qbs-Mx-is-morphisms)
  finally show  $(\lambda(r, y). \text{ennreal } (- f (\alpha r) y)) \in \text{qbs-to-measure } (\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q Y)$ 
 $\rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 
  by auto
qed
  have  $(\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } ((L \circ \alpha) r) (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } ((f \circ \alpha) r x))) \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 
   $(\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } ((L \circ \alpha) r) (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } (- (f \circ \alpha) r x))) \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 
  using qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism[OF h2 h3] qbs-prob-ennintegral-morphism[OF h2 h4]
  by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
finally show  $(\lambda x. \text{qbs-prob-integral } (L x) (f x)) \circ \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel} .$ 

```

qed

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-morphism''*:

assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 and $L \in Y \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } X$
 shows $(\lambda y. \text{qbs-prob-integral } (L y) f) \in Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 using *qbs-morphism-comp*[*OF assms*(2) *qbs-prob-integral-morphism'*[*OF assms*(1)]]
 by(*simp add: comp-def*)

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-return*:

assumes $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 and $x \in \text{qbs-space } X$
 shows $\text{qbs-prob-integral } (\text{qbs-return } X x) f = f x$
 using *assms*
 by(*auto intro!: integral-return*
simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2 qbs-prob-measure-return[*OF assms*(2)])

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-bind*:

assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
 $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } Y$
 $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 and $\exists K. \forall y \in \text{qbs-space } Y. |g y| \leq K$
 shows $\text{qbs-prob-integral } (s \ggg f) g = \text{qbs-prob-integral } s (\lambda y. (\text{qbs-prob-integral } (f y) g))$
 (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

obtain K where hK :
 $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{qbs-space } Y \implies |g y| \leq K$
 using *assms*(4) by *auto*
 obtain $\alpha \mu$ where hs :
 $\text{qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu)$
 using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px*[*OF assms*(1)] by *auto*
 then obtain βh where hb :
 $\beta \in \text{qbs-Mx } Y h \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
 $(f \circ \alpha) = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, h r))$
 using *rep-monadP-qbs-MPx*[*of f* $\circ \alpha$ Y] *qbs-morphismE*(3)[*OF assms*(2)]
 by(*auto simp add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def*)
 note [*measurable*] = *hb*(2)
 interpret *rd*: *real-distribution* μ by(*simp add: hs*(1)[*simplified qbs-prob-def*])
 have $h:\text{qbs-prob } Y \beta (\mu \ggg h)$
 $s \ggg f = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \mu \ggg h)$
 using *qbs-prob.qbs-bind-computation*[*OF hs assms*(2) *hb*] by *auto*

hence ?lhs = $(\int x. g (\beta x) \partial(\mu \ggg h))$
 by(*simp add: qbs-prob.qbs-prob-integral-def*[*OF h*(1) *assms*(3)])
 also have $\dots = (\text{integral}^L (\mu \ggg h) (g \circ \beta))$ by(*simp add: comp-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\int r. (\int y. (g \circ \beta) y \partial(h r)) \partial\mu)$
 apply(*rule integral-bind*[*of - real-borel K - - 1*])
 using *assms*(3) *hb*(1) *hK measurable-space*[*OF hb*(2)]

by(auto intro!: measurable-prob-algebraD
 simp: space-prob-algebra prob-space.emeasure-le-1)
 also have ... = ?rhs
 by(auto intro!: Bochner-Integration.integral-cong
 simp: qbs-prob.qbs-prob-integral-def[OF qbs-prob-MPx[OF hb(1,2)] assms(3)]
 fun-cong[OF hb(3),simplified comp-def] hs(2) qbs-prob.qbs-prob-integral-def[OF hs(1)
 qbs-prob-integral-morphism''[OF assms(3,2)]]])
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma qbs-prob-integral-bind-return:
 assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
 $f \in Z \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 and $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
 shows $\text{qbs-prob-integral } (s \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } Z (g y))) f = \text{qbs-prob-integral } s$
 ($f \circ g$)
proof –
 obtain $\alpha \mu$ where hs :
 $\text{qbs-prob } Y \alpha \mu s = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \alpha, \mu)$
 using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(1)] by auto
 then interpret $qp: \text{qbs-prob } Y \alpha \mu$ by simp
 have $hb: \text{qbs-prob } Z (g \circ \alpha) \mu$
 $s \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } Z (g y)) = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Z, g \circ \alpha, \mu)$
 by(auto intro!: qp.qbs-bind-computation[OF hs(2) qbs-return-morphism''[OF
 assms(3)] qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(3) qp.in-Mx],of return real-borel,simplified
 bind-return''[of μ real-borel,simplified] comp-def]
 simp: comp-def qbs-return-comp[OF qbs-morphismE(3)[OF assms(3)
 qp.in-Mx],simplified comp-def])
 thus ?thesis
 by(simp add: hb(2) qbs-prob.qbs-prob-integral-def[OF hb(1) assms(2)] hs(2)
 qbs-prob.qbs-prob-integral-def[OF hs(1) qbs-morphism-comp[OF assms(3,2)]]])
 qed

lemma qbs-prob-var-bind-return:
 assumes $s \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
 $f \in Z \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 and $g \in Y \rightarrow_Q Z$
 shows $\text{qbs-prob-var } (s \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } Z (g y))) f = \text{qbs-prob-var } s (f \circ g)$
proof –
 have $1: (\lambda x. (f x - \text{qbs-prob-integral } s (f \circ g))^2) \in Z \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 using assms(2,3) by auto
 thus ?thesis
 using qbs-prob-integral-bind-return[OF assms(1) 1 assms(3)] qbs-prob-integral-bind-return[OF
 assms]
 by(simp add: comp-def qbs-prob-var-def)
 qed
 end

```
theory Pair-QuasiBorel-Measure
  imports Monad-QuasiBorel
begin
```

3.3.1 Binary Product Measure

Special case of [1] Proposition 23 where $\Omega = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $X = X \times Y$. Let $[\alpha, \mu] \in P(X)$ and $[\beta, \nu] \in P(Y)$. $\alpha \times \beta$ is the α in Proposition 23.

definition *qbs-prob-pair-measure-t* :: [*'a qbs-prob-t, 'b qbs-prob-t*] $\Rightarrow$ (*'a* $\times$ *'b*)
qbs-prob-t where
qbs-prob-pair-measure-t p q $\equiv$ (*let* (*X,α,μ*) = *p*;
 (*Y,β,ν*) = *q* in
 (*X* $\otimes_Q$ *Y*, *map-prod α β* $\circ$ *real-real.g*, *distr (μ* $\otimes_M$
ν) *real-borel real-real.f*))

```

lift-definition qbs-prob-pair-measure :: ['a qbs-prob-space, 'b qbs-prob-space]  $\Rightarrow$  ('a
 $\times$  'b) qbs-prob-space (infix  $\langle \otimes_{Q_{mes}} \rangle$  80)
is qbs-prob-pair-measure-t
  unfolding qbs-prob-pair-measure-t-def
proof auto
fix X X' :: 'a quasi-borel
fix Y Y' :: 'b quasi-borel
fix  $\alpha \alpha' \mu \mu' \beta \beta' \nu \nu'$ 
assume h:qbs-prob-eq (X, $\alpha,\mu$ ) (X', $\alpha',\mu'$ )
  qbs-prob-eq (Y, $\beta,\nu$ ) (Y', $\beta',\nu'$ )
then have 1:  $X = X' \ Y = Y'$ 
  by(auto simp: qbs-prob-eq-def)
interpret pqp1: pair-qbs-probs X  $\alpha \ \mu$  Y  $\beta \ \nu$ 
  by(simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def qbs-prob-eq-dest(1)[OF h(1)] qbs-prob-eq-dest(1)[OF
h(2)])
interpret pqp2: pair-qbs-probs X'  $\alpha' \ \mu'$  Y'  $\beta' \ \nu'$ 
  by(simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def qbs-prob-eq-dest(2)[OF h(1)] qbs-prob-eq-dest(2)[OF
h(2)])
interpret pqp: pair-qbs-prob X  $\otimes_Q$  Y map-prod  $\alpha \ \beta \circ$  real-real.g distr ( $\mu \otimes_M$ 
 $\nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f X'  $\otimes_Q$  Y' map-prod  $\alpha' \ \beta' \circ$  real-real.g distr ( $\mu' \otimes_M$ 
 $\nu'$ ) real-borel real-real.f
  by(auto intro!: qbs-probI pqp1.P.prob-space-distr pqp2.P.prob-space-distr simp:
pair-qbs-prob-def)

```

show *qbs-prob-eq* ($X \otimes_Q Y$, *map-prod* $\alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}$, *distr* $(\mu \otimes_M \nu)$
real-borel real-real.f) ($X' \otimes_Q Y'$, *map-prod* $\alpha' \beta' \circ \text{real-real.g}$, *distr* $(\mu' \otimes_M \nu')$
real-borel real-real.f)
proof(*rule pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq-inverse*(1))
show *qbs-prob-space* ($X \otimes_Q Y$, *map-prod* $\alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g}$, *distr* $(\mu \otimes_M \nu)$
real-borel real-real.f)
= *qbs-prob-space* ($X' \otimes_Q Y'$, *map-prod* $\alpha' \beta' \circ \text{real-real.g}$, *distr* $(\mu' \otimes_M \nu')$ *real-borel real-real.f*)

```

    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  proof -
    have ?lhs = qbs-prob-space (X, α, μ) ≫= (λx. qbs-prob-space (Y, β, ν) ≫=
      (λy. qbs-return (X ⊗Q Y) (x, y)))
      by(simp add: pqp1.qbs-bind-return-pq)
    also have ... = qbs-prob-space (X', α', μ') ≫= (λx. qbs-prob-space (Y', β',
      ν') ≫= (λy. qbs-return (X' ⊗Q Y') (x, y)))
      using h by(simp add: qbs-prob-space-eq 1)
    also have ... = ?rhs
      by(simp add: pqp2.qbs-bind-return-pq)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma(in pair-qbs-probs) qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation:
  (qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ)) ⊗Qmes (qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν)) = qbs-prob-space (X
  ⊗Q Y, map-prod α β ∘ real-real.g , distr (μ ⊗M ν) real-borel real-real.f)
  qbs-prob (X ⊗Q Y) (map-prod α β ∘ real-real.g) (distr (μ ⊗M ν) real-borel
  real-real.f)
  by(simp-all add: qbs-prob-pair-measure.abs-eq qbs-prob-pair-measure-t-def qbs-bind-return-pq)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-pair-measure-qbs:
  qbs-prob-space-qbs (p ⊗Qmes q) = qbs-prob-space-qbs p ⊗Q qbs-prob-space-qbs
  q
  by(transfer,simp add: qbs-prob-pair-measure-t-def Let-def prod.case-eq-if)

```

```

lemma(in pair-qbs-probs) qbs-prob-pair-measure-measure:
  shows qbs-prob-measure (qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) ⊗Qmes qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν))
  = distr (μ ⊗M ν) (qbs-to-measure (X ⊗Q Y)) (map-prod α β)
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation distr-distr comp-assoc)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-pair-measure-morphism:
  case-prod qbs-prob-pair-measure ∈ monadP-qbs X ⊗Q monadP-qbs Y →Q mon-
  adP-qbs (X ⊗Q Y)

```

```

proof(rule pair-qbs-morphismI)
  fix βx βy
  assume h: βx ∈ qbs-Mx (monadP-qbs X) βy ∈ qbs-Mx (monadP-qbs Y)
  then obtain αx αy gx gy where ha:
    αx ∈ qbs-Mx X gx ∈ real-borel →M prob-algebra real-borel βx = (λr. qbs-prob-space
    (X, αx, gx r))
    αy ∈ qbs-Mx Y gy ∈ real-borel →M prob-algebra real-borel βy = (λr. qbs-prob-space
    (Y, αy, gy r))
    using rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of βx X] rep-monadP-qbs-MPx[of βy Y] by auto
  note [measurable] = ha(2,5)
  have (λ(x, y). x ⊗Qmes y) ∘ (λr. (βx r, βy r)) = (λr. qbs-prob-space (X ⊗Q
  Y, map-prod αx αy ∘ real-real.g, distr (gx r ⊗M gy r) real-borel real-real.f))
    apply standard
  using qbs-prob-MPx[OF ha(1,2)] qbs-prob-MPx[OF ha(4,5)] pair-qbs-probs.qbs-prob-pair-measure-computat

```


$X \alpha x - Y \alpha y]$
by (*auto simp: ha pair-qbs-probs-def*)
also have $\dots \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{monadP-qbs } (X \otimes_Q Y))$
using $\text{qbs-prob-MPx}[OF \text{ ha}(1,2)] \text{ qbs-prob-MPx}[OF \text{ ha}(4,5)] \text{ pair-qbs-probs.ab-g-in-Mx}[of$
 $X \alpha x - Y \alpha y]$
by(*auto intro!: beXI[where x=map-prod $\alpha x \alpha y \circ \text{real-real.g}$] beXI[where x= $\lambda r.$*
distr (gx r $\otimes_M$ gy r) real-borel real-real.f]
simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def pair-qbs-probs-def)
finally show $(\lambda(x, y). x \otimes_{Q_{mes}} y) \circ (\lambda r. (\beta x r, \beta y r)) \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{monadP-qbs}$
 $(X \otimes_Q Y))$.
qed

lemma(*in pair-qbs-probs*) *qbs-prob-pair-measure-nnintegral:*
assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $(\int^+_Q z. f z \partial(\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \otimes_{Q_{mes}} \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu)))$
 $= (\int^+_Q z. (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) z \partial(\mu \otimes_M \nu))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\int^+_Q x. ((f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) \circ \text{real-real.g}) x \partial \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu)$
 $\text{real-borel real-real.f})$
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral-def[OF assms] qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation*)
also have $\dots = (\int^+_Q x. ((f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) \circ \text{real-real.g}) (\text{real-real.f } x) \partial(\mu \otimes_M$
 $\nu))$
using *assms* **by**(*intro nn-integral-distr*) *auto*
also have $\dots = ?rhs$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma(*in pair-qbs-probs*) *qbs-prob-pair-measure-integral:*
assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
shows $(\int_Q z. f z \partial(\text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \otimes_{Q_{mes}} \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu)))$
 $= (\int_Q z. (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) z \partial(\mu \otimes_M \nu))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\int_Q x. ((f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) \circ \text{real-real.g}) x \partial \text{distr } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel}$
 $\text{real-real.f})$
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def[OF assms] qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation*)
also have $\dots = (\int_Q x. ((f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) \circ \text{real-real.g}) (\text{real-real.f } x) \partial(\mu \otimes_M$
 $\nu))$
using *assms* **by**(*intro integral-distr*) *auto*
also have $\dots = ?rhs$ **by** *simp*
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *qbs-prob-pair-measure-eq-bind:*
assumes $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
and $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
shows $p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q = p \gg (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y)))$
proof –

```

obtain  $\alpha \mu$  where  $hp$ :
   $p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \text{ qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$ 
  using  $\text{rep-monadP-qbs-Px}[OF \text{ assms}(1)]$  by  $auto$ 
obtain  $\beta \nu$  where  $hq$ :
   $q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \text{ qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$ 
  using  $\text{rep-monadP-qbs-Px}[OF \text{ assms}(2)]$  by  $auto$ 
interpret  $pqp$ :  $\text{pair-qbs-probs } X \alpha \mu \ Y \beta \nu$ 
  by( $\text{simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def } hp \ hq$ )
show  $?thesis$ 
  by( $\text{simp add: } hp(1) \ hq(1) \ pqp.\text{qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation}(1) \ pqp.\text{qbs-bind-return-pq}(1)$ )
qed

```

3.3.2 Fubini Theorem

lemma $\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral-Fubini-fst}$:

```

assumes  $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
   $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$ 
  and  $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
shows  $(\int^+_Q x. \int^+_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q \partial p) = (\int^+_Q z. f z \partial(p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q))$ 
  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
proof -
  note [ $\text{simp}$ ] =  $\text{qbs-bind-morphism}[OF \text{ qbs-morphism-const}[of - \text{monadP-qbs } Y, \text{simplified}, OF \text{ assms}(2)] \text{ curry-preserves-morphisms}[OF \text{ qbs-return-morphism}[of } X \otimes_Q Y], \text{simplified} \text{ curry-def, simplified}]$ 
   $\text{qbs-morphism-Pair1 } '[OF - \text{qbs-return-morphism}[of } X \otimes_Q Y]]$ 
   $\text{assms}(1)[\text{simplified monadP-qbs-Px-def, simplified}] \text{ assms}(2)[\text{simplified monadP-qbs-Px-def, simplified}]$ 
  have  $?rhs = (\int^+_Q z. f z \partial(p \gg (\lambda x. q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y))))$ 
    by( $\text{simp add: qbs-prob-pair-measure-eq-bind}[OF \text{ assms}(1,2)]$ )
  also have  $\dots = (\int^+_Q x. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (q \gg (\lambda y. \text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y))) f \partial p)$ 
    by( $\text{auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind}[OF \text{ assms}(1) - \text{assms}(3)]$ )
  also have  $\dots = (\int^+_Q x. \int^+_Q y. \text{qbs-prob-ennintegral } (\text{qbs-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x, y)) f \partial q \partial p)$ 
    by( $\text{auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-cong qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind}[OF \text{ assms}(2) - \text{assms}(3)]$ )
  also have  $\dots = ?lhs$ 
    using  $\text{assms}(3)$  by( $\text{auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-cong qbs-prob-ennintegral-return}$ )
  finally show  $?thesis$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed

```

lemma $\text{qbs-prob-ennintegral-Fubini-snd}$:

```

assumes  $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
   $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$ 
  and  $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ 
shows  $(\int^+_Q y. \int^+_Q x. f(x,y) \partial p \partial q) = (\int^+_Q x. f x \partial(p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q))$ 
  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
proof -
  note [ $\text{simp}$ ] =  $\text{qbs-bind-morphism}[OF \text{ qbs-morphism-const}[of - \text{monadP-qbs } X, \text{simplified}, OF$ 

```

```

assms(1)] curry-preserves-morphisms[OF qbs-morphism-pair-swap[OF qbs-return-morphism[of
X  $\otimes_Q$  Y]],simplified curry-def,simplified]]
      qbs-morphism-Pair2'[OF - qbs-return-morphism[of X  $\otimes_Q$  Y]]
      assms(1)[simplified monadP-qbs-Px-def,simplified] assms(2)[simplified
monadP-qbs-Px-def,simplified]
  have ?rhs = ( $\int^+_Q z. f z \partial(q \gg (\lambda y. p \gg (\lambda x. qbs\text{-return } (X \otimes_Q Y) (x,y))))$ )
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-pair-measure-eq-bind[OF assms(1,2)] qbs-bind-return-rotate[OF
assms(1,2)])
  also have ... = ( $\int^+_Q y. qbs\text{-prob-ennintegral } (p \gg (\lambda x. qbs\text{-return } (X \otimes_Q Y)
(x, y))) f \partial q$ )
  by(auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind[OF assms(2) - assms(3)])
  also have ... = ( $\int^+_Q y. \int^+_Q x. qbs\text{-prob-ennintegral } (qbs\text{-return } (X \otimes_Q Y)
(x, y)) f \partial p \partial q$ )
  by(auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-cong qbs-prob-ennintegral-bind[OF assms(1)
- assms(3)])
  also have ... = ?lhs
  using assms(3) by(auto intro!: qbs-prob-ennintegral-cong qbs-prob-ennintegral-return)
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-indep1:*

```

assumes  $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$ 
  and  $f \in X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ 
  shows ( $\int^+_Q z. f (fst z) \partial(p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q) = (\int^+_Q x. f x \partial p)$ )
  (is ?lhs = -)

```

proof –

```

obtain  $Y \beta \nu$  where  $hq$ :
   $q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \text{ qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$ 
  using rep-qbs-prob-space[of  $q$ ] by auto
  have ?lhs = ( $\int^+_Q y. \int^+_Q x. f x \partial p \partial q$ )
  using qbs-prob-ennintegral-Fubini-snd[OF assms(1) qbs-prob.qbs-prob-space-in-Px[OF
hq(2)] qbs-morphism-fst'[OF assms(2)]]
  by(simp add: hq(1))
  thus ?thesis
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral-const)
qed

```

lemma *qbs-prob-ennintegral-indep2:*

```

assumes  $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$ 
  and  $f \in Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ 
  shows ( $\int^+_Q z. f (snd z) \partial(p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q) = (\int^+_Q y. f y \partial q)$ )
  (is ?lhs = -)

```

proof –

```

obtain  $X \alpha \mu$  where  $hp$ :
   $p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \text{ qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$ 
  using rep-qbs-prob-space[of  $p$ ] by auto
  have ?lhs = ( $\int^+_Q x. \int^+_Q y. f y \partial q \partial p$ )
  using qbs-prob-ennintegral-Fubini-fst[OF qbs-prob.qbs-prob-space-in-Px[OF hp(2)]
assms(1) qbs-morphism-snd'[OF assms(2)]]

```

```

    by(simp add: hp(1))
  thus ?thesis
    by(simp add: qbs-prob-ennintegral-const)
qed

lemma qbs-ennintegral-indep-mult:
  assumes p ∈ monadP-qbs-Px X
    q ∈ monadP-qbs-Px Y
    f ∈ X →Q ℝQ≥0
    and g ∈ Y →Q ℝQ≥0
  shows (∫+Q z. f (fst z) * g (snd z) ∂(p ⊗Qmes q)) = (∫+Q x. f x ∂p) *
    (∫+Q y. g y ∂q)
    (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have h:(λz. f (fst z) * g (snd z)) ∈ X ⊗Q Y →Q ℝQ≥0
    using assms(4,3)
  by(auto intro!: borel-measurable-subalgebra[OF l-product-sets[of X Y]] simp:
    space-pair-measure lr-adjunction-correspondence)

  have ?lhs = (∫+Q x. ∫+Q y. f x * g y ∂q ∂p)
    using qbs-prob-ennintegral-Fubini-fst[OF assms(1,2) h] by simp
  also have ... = (∫+Q x. f x * ∫+Q y. g y ∂q ∂p)
    using qbs-prob-ennintegral-cmult[of q, OF - assms(4)] assms(2)
    by(simp add: monadP-qbs-Px-def)
  also have ... = ?rhs
    using qbs-prob-ennintegral-cmult[of p, OF - assms(3)] assms(1)
    by(simp add: ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[where b=qbs-prob-ennintegral
      q g] monadP-qbs-Px-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma(in pair-qbs-probs) qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable:
  assumes qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space (X,α,μ) ⊗Qmes qbs-prob-space (Y,β,ν))
  f
  shows f ∈ X ⊗Q Y →Q ℝQ
    integrable (μ ⊗M ν) (f ∘ (map-prod α β))
proof -
  show f ∈ X ⊗Q Y →Q ℝQ
    using qbs-integrable-morphism[OF qbs-prob-pair-measure-qbs assms]
    by simp
  next
    have 1:integrable (distr (μ ⊗M ν) real-borel real-real.f) (f ∘ (map-prod α β ∘
      real-real.g))
      using assms[simplified qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation] qbs-integrable-def[of
        f]
      by simp
    have integrable (μ ⊗M ν) (λx. (f ∘ (map-prod α β ∘ real-real.g)) (real-real.f x))
      by(intro integrable-distr[OF - 1]) simp

```

thus integrable $(\mu \otimes_M \nu) (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta)$
 by(simp add: comp-def)
 qed

lemma(in pair-qbs-probs) qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable':
 assumes $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 and integrable $(\mu \otimes_M \nu) (f \circ (\text{map-prod } \alpha \beta))$
 shows qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space $(X, \alpha, \mu) \otimes_{Q_{\text{mes}}} \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu)$)
 f
proof –
 have integrable (distr $(\mu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel real-real.f}$) $(f \circ (\text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g})) = \text{integrable } (\mu \otimes_M \nu) (\lambda x. (f \circ (\text{map-prod } \alpha \beta \circ \text{real-real.g})) (\text{real-real.f } x))$
 by(intro integrable-distr-eq) (use assms(1) in auto)
 thus ?thesis
 using assms qbs-integrable-def
 by(simp add: comp-def qbs-prob-pair-measure-computation)
 qed

lemma qbs-integrable-pair-swap:
 assumes qbs-integrable $(p \otimes_{Q_{\text{mes}}} q) f$
 shows qbs-integrable $(q \otimes_{Q_{\text{mes}}} p) (\lambda(x,y). f (y,x))$
proof –
 obtain $X \alpha \mu$ where hp:
 $p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \text{ qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$
 using rep-qbs-prob-space[of p] by auto
 obtain $Y \beta \nu$ where hq:
 $q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \text{ qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$
 using rep-qbs-prob-space[of q] by auto
 interpret pqp: pair-qbs-probs $X \alpha \mu Y \beta \nu$
 by(simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def hp hq)
 interpret pqp2: pair-qbs-probs $Y \beta \nu X \alpha \mu$
 by(simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def hp hq)

 have $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 integrable $(\mu \otimes_M \nu) (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta)$
 by(auto simp: pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable[OF assms[simplified hp(1) hq(1)]])
 from qbs-morphism-pair-swap[OF this(1)] pqp.integrable-product-swap[OF this(2)]
 have $(\lambda(x,y). f (y,x)) \in Y \otimes_Q X \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 integrable $(\nu \otimes_M \mu) ((\lambda(x,y). f (y,x)) \circ \text{map-prod } \beta \alpha)$
 by(simp-all add: map-prod-def comp-def split-beta')
 from pqp2.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable' [OF this]
 show ?thesis by(simp add: hp(1) hq(1))
 qed

lemma qbs-integrable-pair1:
 assumes $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
 $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$

```

      f ∈ X ⊗Q Y →Q ℝQ
      qbs-integrable p (λx. ∫Q y. |f (x,y)| ∂q)
      and ∧x. x ∈ qbs-space X ⇒ qbs-integrable q (λy. f (x,y))
      shows qbs-integrable (p ⊗Qmes q) f
proof -
  obtain α μ where hp:
    p = qbs-prob-space (X, α, μ) qbs-prob X α μ
    using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(1)] by auto
  obtain β ν where hq:
    q = qbs-prob-space (Y, β, ν) qbs-prob Y β ν
    using rep-monadP-qbs-Px[OF assms(2)] by auto
  interpret pqp: pair-qbs-probs X α μ Y β ν
  by(simp add: pair-qbs-probs-def hp hq)

  have integrable (μ ⊗M ν) (f ∘ map-prod α β)
  proof(rule pqp.Fubini-integrable)
    show f ∘ map-prod α β ∈ borel-measurable (μ ⊗M ν)
    using assms(3) by auto
  next
    have (λx. LINT y|ν. norm ((f ∘ map-prod α β) (x, y))) = (λx. ∫Q y. |f (x,y)|
    ∂q) ∘ α
    apply standard subgoal for x
    using qbs-morphism-Pair1'[OF qbs-Mx-to-X(2)[OF pqp.qp1.in-Mx,of x]
    assms(3)]
    by(auto intro!: pqp.qp2.qbs-prob-integral-def[symmetric] simp: hq(1))
    done
  moreover have integrable μ ...
  using assms(4) pqp.qp1.qbs-integrable-def
  by(simp add: hp(1))
  ultimately show integrable μ (λx. LINT y|ν. norm ((f ∘ map-prod α β) (x,
  y)))
  by simp
next
  have ∧x. integrable ν (λy. (f ∘ map-prod α β) (x, y))
  proof-
    fix x
    have (λy. (f ∘ map-prod α β) (x, y)) = (λy. f (α x,y)) ∘ β
    by auto
    moreover have qbs-integrable (qbs-prob-space (Y, β, ν)) (λy. f (α x, y))
    by(auto intro!: assms(5)[simplified hq(1)] simp: qbs-Mx-to-X)
    ultimately show integrable ν (λy. (f ∘ map-prod α β) (x, y))
    by(simp add: pqp.qp2.qbs-integrable-def)
  qed
  thus AE x in μ. integrable ν (λy. (f ∘ map-prod α β) (x, y))
  by simp
qed
thus ?thesis
using pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable'[OF assms(3)]
by(simp add: hp(1) hq(1))

```

qed

lemma *qbs-integrable-pair2*:

assumes $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X$
 $q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y$
 $f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
 $\text{qbs-integrable } q \ (\lambda y. \int_Q x. |f(x,y)| \partial p)$
and $\bigwedge y. y \in \text{qbs-space } Y \implies \text{qbs-integrable } p \ (\lambda x. f(x,y))$
shows $\text{qbs-integrable } (p \otimes_{Q_{\text{mes}}} q) f$
using *qbs-integrable-pair-swap*[*OF* *qbs-integrable-pair1*[*OF* *assms*(2,1) *qbs-morphism-pair-swap*[*OF* *assms*(3)],*simplified*,*OF* *assms*(4,5)]]
by *simp*

lemma *qbs-integrable-fst*:

assumes $\text{qbs-integrable } (p \otimes_{Q_{\text{mes}}} q) f$
shows $\text{qbs-integrable } p \ (\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q)$
proof –
obtain $X \alpha \mu$ **where** *hp*:
 $p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \text{ qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of* *p*] **by** *auto*
obtain $Y \beta \nu$ **where** *hq*:
 $q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \text{ qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of* *q*] **by** *auto*
interpret *pqp*: *pair-qbs-probs* $X \alpha \mu Y \beta \nu$
by(*simp* *add*: *hp* *hq* *pair-qbs-probs-def*)
have *h0*: $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X \ q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y \ f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
using *qbs-integrable-morphism*[*OF* - *assms*,*simplified* *qbs-prob-pair-measure-qbs*]
by(*simp*-*all* *add*: *monadP-qbs-Px-def* *hp*(1) *hq*(1))

show $\text{qbs-integrable } p \ (\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q)$
proof(*auto* *simp* *add*: *pqp.qp1.qbs-integrable-def* *hp*(1))
show $(\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{qbs-to-measure } X)$
using *qbs-morphism-integral-fst*[*OF* *h0*(2,3)] **by** *auto*
next
have *integrable* $\mu \ (\lambda x. \text{LINT } y | \nu. (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) (x, y))$
by(*intro* *pqp.integrable-fst'*) (*rule* *pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable*(2)[*OF* *assms*[*simplified* *hp*(1) *hq*(1)]]])
moreover **have** $\bigwedge x. ((\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q) \circ \alpha) x = \text{LINT } y | \nu. (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) (x, y)$
by(*auto* *intro*!: *pqp.qp2.qbs-prob-integral-def* *qbs-morphism-Pair1'*[*OF* *qbs-Mx-to-X*(2)[*OF* *pqp.qp1.in-Mx*] *h0*(3)] *simp*: *hq*)
ultimately **show** *integrable* $\mu \ ((\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q) \circ \alpha)$
using *Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong*[*of* $\mu \ (\lambda x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q) \circ \alpha$
 $(\lambda x. \text{LINT } y | \nu. (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) (x, y))]$
by *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *qbs-integrable-snd*:

```

assumes qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Q_{mes}}$  q) f
shows qbs-integrable q ( $\lambda y. \int_Q x. f(x, y) \partial p$ )
using qbs-integrable-fst[OF qbs-integrable-pair-swap[OF assms]]
by simp

lemma qbs-integrable-indep-mult:
  assumes qbs-integrable p f
    and qbs-integrable q g
  shows qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Q_{mes}}$  q) ( $\lambda x. f(fst\ x) * g(snd\ x)$ )
proof -
  obtain X  $\alpha$   $\mu$  where hp:
    p = qbs-prob-space (X,  $\alpha$ ,  $\mu$ ) qbs-prob X  $\alpha$   $\mu$ 
    using rep-qbs-prob-space[of p] by auto
  obtain Y  $\beta$   $\nu$  where hq:
    q = qbs-prob-space (Y,  $\beta$ ,  $\nu$ ) qbs-prob Y  $\beta$   $\nu$ 
    using rep-qbs-prob-space[of q] by auto
  interpret pqp: pair-qbs-probs X  $\alpha$   $\mu$  Y  $\beta$   $\nu$ 
  by(simp add: hp hq pair-qbs-probs-def)
  have h0: p  $\in$  monadP-qbs-Px X q  $\in$  monadP-qbs-Px Y f  $\in$  X  $\rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$  g  $\in$  Y
   $\rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ 
    using qbs-integrable-morphism[OF - assms(1)] qbs-integrable-morphism[OF -
  assms(2)]
    by(simp-all add: monadP-qbs-Px-def hp(1) hq(1))

  show ?thesis
  proof(rule qbs-integrable-pair1[OF h0(1,2)],simp-all add: assms(2))
    show ( $\lambda z. f(fst\ z) * g(snd\ z)$ )  $\in$  X  $\otimes_Q$  Y  $\rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ 
    using h0(3,4) by(auto intro!: borel-measurable-subalgebra[OF l-product-sets[of
  X Y]] simp: space-pair-measure lr-adjunction-correspondence)
  next
    show qbs-integrable p ( $\lambda x. \int_Q y. |f\ x * g\ y| \partial q$ )
    by(auto intro!: qbs-integrable-mult[OF qbs-integrable-abs[OF assms(1)]]
      simp only: idom-abs-sgn-class.abs-mult qbs-prob-integral-cmult ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[w
  b= $\int_Q y. |g\ y| \partial q$ ])
    qed
  qed

lemma qbs-integrable-indep1:
  assumes qbs-integrable p f
  shows qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Q_{mes}}$  q) ( $\lambda x. f(fst\ x)$ )
  using qbs-integrable-indep-mult[OF assms qbs-integrable-const[of q 1]]
  by simp

lemma qbs-integrable-indep2:
  assumes qbs-integrable q g
  shows qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Q_{mes}}$  q) ( $\lambda x. g(snd\ x)$ )
  using qbs-integrable-pair-swap[OF qbs-integrable-indep1[OF assms],of p]
  by(simp add: split-beta')

```


lemma *qbs-prob-integral-Fubini-fst*:
assumes *qbs-integrable* ($p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q$) *f*
shows $(\int_Q x. \int_Q y. f(x,y) \partial q \partial p) = (\int_Q z. f z \partial(p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
obtain $X \alpha \mu$ **where** *hp*:
 $p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \text{ qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of p*] **by** *auto*
obtain $Y \beta \nu$ **where** *hq*:
 $q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \text{ qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of q*] **by** *auto*
interpret *pqp*: *pair-qbs-probs* $X \alpha \mu Y \beta \nu$
by(*simp add: hp hq pair-qbs-probs-def*)
have *h0*: $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
using *qbs-integrable-morphism*[*OF - assms, simplified qbs-prob-pair-measure-qbs*]
by(*simp-all add: monadP-qbs-Px-def hp(1) hq(1)*)

have *?lhs* = $(\int x. \int_Q y. f(\alpha x, y) \partial q \partial \mu)$
using *qbs-morphism-integral-fst*[*OF h0(2) h0(3)*]
by(*auto intro!: pqp.qp1.qbs-prob-integral-def simp: hp(1)*)
also have ... = $(\int x. \int y. f(\alpha x, \beta y) \partial \nu \partial \mu)$
using *qbs-morphism-Pair1*'[*OF qbs-Mx-to-X(2)[OF pqp.qp1.in-Mx] h0(3)*]
by(*auto intro!: Bochner-Integration.integral-cong pqp.qp2.qbs-prob-integral-def simp: hq(1)*)
also have ... = $(\int z. (f \circ \text{map-prod } \alpha \beta) z \partial(\mu \otimes_M \nu))$
using *pqp.integral-fst*'[*OF pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable(2)[OF assms[simplified hp(1) hq(1)]]*]
by(*simp add: map-prod-def comp-def*)
also have ... = *?rhs*
by(*simp add: pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integral[OF h0(3)] hp(1) hq(1)*)
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *qbs-prob-integral-Fubini-snd*:
assumes *qbs-integrable* ($p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q$) *f*
shows $(\int_Q y. \int_Q x. f(x,y) \partial p \partial q) = (\int_Q z. f z \partial(p \otimes_{Q_{mes}} q))$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
obtain $X \alpha \mu$ **where** *hp*:
 $p = \text{qbs-prob-space } (X, \alpha, \mu) \text{ qbs-prob } X \alpha \mu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of p*] **by** *auto*
obtain $Y \beta \nu$ **where** *hq*:
 $q = \text{qbs-prob-space } (Y, \beta, \nu) \text{ qbs-prob } Y \beta \nu$
using *rep-qbs-prob-space*[*of q*] **by** *auto*
interpret *pqp*: *pair-qbs-probs* $X \alpha \mu Y \beta \nu$
by(*simp add: hp hq pair-qbs-probs-def*)
have *h0*: $p \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } X q \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } Y f \in X \otimes_Q Y \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$
using *qbs-integrable-morphism*[*OF - assms, simplified qbs-prob-pair-measure-qbs*]

```

by(simp-all add: monadP-qbs-Px-def hp(1) hq(1))

have ?lhs = (∫ y. ∫ Q x. f (x, β y) ∂p ∂ν)
  using qbs-morphism-integral-snd[OF h0(1) h0(3)]
  by(auto intro!: pqp.qp2.qbs-prob-integral-def simp: hq(1))
also have ... = (∫ y. ∫ x. f (α x, β y) ∂μ ∂ν)
  using qbs-morphism-Pair2'[OF qbs-Mx-to-X(2)[OF pqp.qp2.in-Mx] h0(3)]
  by(auto intro!: Bochner-Integration.integral-cong pqp.qp1.qbs-prob-integral-def
    simp: hp(1))
also have ... = (∫ z. (f ∘ map-prod α β) z ∂(μ ⊗M ν))
  using pqp.integral-snd[of curry (f ∘ map-prod α β)] pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integrable(2)[OF
  assms[simplified hp(1) hq(1)]]
  by(simp add: map-prod-def comp-def split-beta')
also have ... = ?rhs
  by(simp add: pqp.qbs-prob-pair-measure-integral[OF h0(3)] hp(1) hq(1))
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-indep1:
  assumes qbs-integrable p f
  shows (∫ Q z. f (fst z) ∂(p ⊗Qmes q)) = (∫ Q x. f x ∂p)
  using qbs-prob-integral-Fubini-snd[OF qbs-integrable-indep1[OF assms], of q]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-const)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-indep2:
  assumes qbs-integrable q g
  shows (∫ Q z. g (snd z) ∂(p ⊗Qmes q)) = (∫ Q y. g y ∂q)
  using qbs-prob-integral-Fubini-fst[OF qbs-integrable-indep2[OF assms], of p]
  by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-const)

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-integral-indep-mult:
  assumes qbs-integrable p f
    and qbs-integrable q g
  shows (∫ Q z. f (fst z) * g (snd z) ∂(p ⊗Qmes q)) = (∫ Q x. f x ∂p) * (∫ Q
  y. g y ∂q)
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof -
  have ?lhs = (∫ Q x. ∫ Q y. f x * g y ∂q ∂p)
    using qbs-prob-integral-Fubini-fst[OF qbs-integrable-indep-mult[OF assms]]
    by simp
  also have ... = (∫ Q x. f x * (∫ Q y. g y ∂q) ∂p)
    by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-cmult)
  also have ... = ?rhs
    by(simp add: qbs-prob-integral-cmult ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[where
  b=∫ Q y. g y ∂q])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma qbs-prob-var-indep-plus:

```

```

assumes qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f
          qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) ( $\lambda z. (f z)^2$ )
          qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g
          qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) ( $\lambda z. (g z)^2$ )
          qbs-integrable (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) ( $\lambda z. (f z) * (g z)$ )
and ( $\int_Q z. f z * g z \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ) = ( $\int_Q z. f z \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ) * ( $\int_Q$ 
z. g z  $\partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ )
shows qbs-prob-var (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) ( $\lambda z. f z + g z$ ) = qbs-prob-var (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$ 
q) f + qbs-prob-var (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g
unfolding qbs-prob-var-def
proof -
show ( $\int_Q z. (f z + g z - \int_Q w. f w + g w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q))^2 \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ )
= ( $\int_Q z. (f z - qbs-prob-integral (p \otimes_{Qmes} q) f)^2 \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ) + ( $\int_Q z. (g$ 
z - qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g)2  $\partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ )
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\int_Q z. ((f z - (\int_Q w. f w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q))) + (g z - (\int_Q w. g$ 
w  $\partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)))^2 \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ )
by (simp add: qbs-prob-integral-add[OF assms(1,3)] add-diff-add)
also have ... = ( $\int_Q z. (f z - (\int_Q w. f w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)))^2 + (g z - (\int_Q w.$ 
g w  $\partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)))^2 + (2 * f z * g z - 2 * (\int_Q w. f w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)) * g z -$ 
 $(2 * f z * (\int_Q w. g w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)) - 2 * (\int_Q w. f w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)) * (\int_Q$ 
w. g w  $\partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q))) \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ )
by (simp add: comm-semiring-1-class.power2-sum comm-semiring-1-cancel-class.left-diff-distrib'
ring-class.right-diff-distrib)
also have ... = ?rhs
using qbs-prob-integral-add[OF qbs-integrable-add[OF qbs-integrable-sq[OF
assms(1,2)] qbs-integrable-sq[OF assms(3,4)]] qbs-integrable-diff[OF qbs-integrable-diff[OF
qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(5), of 2, simplified comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)]
qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(3), of 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f]] qbs-integrable-diff[OF
qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(1), of 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g, simplified
ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult-ac(1)[where b=qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]
ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[where a=qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q)
g] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)[of - - qbs-prob-integral
(p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]] qbs-integrable-const[of - 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f *
qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]]]
          qbs-prob-integral-add[OF qbs-integrable-sq[OF assms(1,2)] qbs-integrable-sq[OF
assms(3,4)]]
          qbs-prob-integral-diff[OF qbs-integrable-diff[OF qbs-integrable-mult[OF
assms(5), of 2, simplified comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)]
qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(3), of 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f]] qbs-integrable-diff[OF
qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(1), of 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g, simplified
ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult-ac(1)[where b=qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]
ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[where a=qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q)
g] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)[of - - qbs-prob-integral
(p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]] qbs-integrable-const[of - 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f *
qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]]]
          qbs-prob-integral-diff[OF qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(5), of 2, simplified
comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)] qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(3), of

```

```

2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f]]
  qbs-prob-integral-diff[OF qbs-integrable-mult[OF assms(1), of 2 * qbs-prob-integral
(p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g, simplified ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult-ac(1)] where b=qbs-prob-integral
(p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g] ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[where a=qbs-prob-integral
(p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)[of - -
qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]] qbs-integrable-const[of - 2 * qbs-prob-integral
(p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) f * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]]
  qbs-prob-integral-cmult[of p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q 2  $\lambda z. f z * g z$ , simplified assms(6)
comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)]
  qbs-prob-integral-cmult[of p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q 2 * ( $\int_Q w. f w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ) g]
  qbs-prob-integral-cmult[of p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q 2 * ( $\int_Q w. g w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ) f, simplified semigroup-mult-class.mult.assoc[of 2  $\int_Q w. g w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ]
ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[where a=qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q)
g] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules(18)[of 2 -  $\int_Q w. g w \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ]]
  qbs-prob-integral-const[of p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q 2 * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$ 
q) f * qbs-prob-integral (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) g]
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

```

lemma *qbs-prob-var-indep-plus'*:

```

  assumes qbs-integrable p f
    qbs-integrable p ( $\lambda x. (f x)^2$ )
    qbs-integrable q g
  and qbs-integrable q ( $\lambda x. (g x)^2$ )
  shows qbs-prob-var (p  $\otimes_{Qmes}$  q) ( $\lambda z. f (fst z) + g (snd z)$ ) = qbs-prob-var p
f + qbs-prob-var q g
  using qbs-prob-var-indep-plus[OF qbs-integrable-indep1[OF assms(1)] qbs-integrable-indep1[OF
assms(2)] qbs-integrable-indep2[OF assms(3)] qbs-integrable-indep2[OF assms(4)]
qbs-integrable-indep-mult[OF assms(1) assms(3)] qbs-prob-integral-indep-mult[OF
assms(1) assms(3), simplified qbs-prob-integral-indep1[OF assms(1), of q, symmetric]
qbs-prob-integral-indep2[OF assms(3), of p, symmetric]]]
  qbs-prob-integral-indep1[OF qbs-integrable-sq[OF assms(1,2)], of q  $\int_Q z. f (fst
z) \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ] qbs-prob-integral-indep2[OF qbs-integrable-sq[OF assms(3,4)], of
p  $\int_Q z. g (snd z) \partial(p \otimes_{Qmes} q)$ ]
  by (simp add: qbs-prob-var-def qbs-prob-integral-indep1[OF assms(1)] qbs-prob-integral-indep2[OF
assms(3)])

```

end

3.4 Measure as QBS Measure

theory *Measure-as-QuasiBorel-Measure*

imports *Pair-QuasiBorel-Measure*

begin

```

lemma distr-id':
  assumes sets  $N = \text{sets } M$ 
     $f \in N \rightarrow_M N$ 
    and  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{space } N \implies f x = x$ 
  shows  $\text{distr } N M f = N$ 
proof (rule measure-eqI)
  fix  $A$ 
  assume  $0: A \in \text{sets } (\text{distr } N M f)$ 
  then have  $1: A \subseteq \text{space } N$ 
    by (auto simp: assms(1) sets.sets-into-space)

  have  $2: A \in \text{sets } M$ 
    using 0 by simp
  have  $3: f \in N \rightarrow_M M$ 
    using assms(2) by (simp add: measurable-cong-sets[OF - assms(1)])
  have  $f - ' A \cap \text{space } N = A$ 
  proof -
    have  $f - ' A = A \cup \{x. x \notin \text{space } N \wedge f x \in A\}$ 
    proof (standard; standard)
      fix  $x$ 
      assume  $h: x \in f - ' A$ 
      consider  $x \in A \mid x \notin A$ 
      by auto
      thus  $x \in A \cup \{x. x \notin \text{space } N \wedge f x \in A\}$ 
    proof cases
      case 1
      then show ?thesis
        by simp
    next
      case 2
      have  $x \notin \text{space } N$ 
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg x \notin \text{space } N$ 
        then have  $x \in \text{space } N$ 
        by simp
        hence  $f x = x$ 
        by (simp add: assms(3))
        hence  $f x \notin A$ 
        by (simp add: 2)
        thus False
        using  $h$  by simp
      qed
      thus ?thesis
        using  $h$  by simp
    qed
  next
    fix  $x$ 
    show  $x \in A \cup \{x. x \notin \text{space } N \wedge f x \in A\} \implies x \in f - ' A$ 
      using 1 assms by auto

```

```

qed
thus ?thesis
  using 1 by blast
qed
thus emeasure (distr N M f) A = emeasure N A
  by (simp add: emeasure-distr[OF 3 2])
qed (simp add: assms(1))

```

Every probability measure on a standard Borel space can be represented as a measure on a quasi-Borel space [1], Proposition 23.

```

locale standard-borel-prob-space = standard-borel P + p:prob-space P
  for P :: 'a measure
begin

```

```

sublocale qbs-prob measure-to-qbs P g distr P real-borel f
  by (auto intro!: qbs-probI p.prob-space-distr)

```

```

lift-definition as-qbs-measure :: 'a qbs-prob-space is
(measure-to-qbs P, g, distr P real-borel f)
by simp

```

```

lemma as-qbs-measure-retract:
  assumes [measurable]: a ∈ P →M real-borel
    and [measurable]: b ∈ real-borel →M P
    and [simp]: ∧x. x ∈ space P ⇒ (b ∘ a) x = x
  shows qbs-prob (measure-to-qbs P) b (distr P real-borel a)
    as-qbs-measure = qbs-prob-space (measure-to-qbs P, b, distr P real-borel a)
proof –
  interpret pqp: pair-qbs-prob measure-to-qbs P g distr P real-borel f measure-to-qbs
P b distr P real-borel a
  by (auto intro!: qbs-probI p.prob-space-distr simp: pair-qbs-prob-def)
  show qbs-prob (measure-to-qbs P) b (distr P real-borel a)
    as-qbs-measure = qbs-prob-space (measure-to-qbs P, b, distr P real-borel a)
  by (auto intro!: pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq
    simp: distr-distr distr-id'[OF standard-borel-lr-sets-ident[symmetric]]
    distr-id'[OF standard-borel-lr-sets-ident[symmetric] - assms(3)] pqp.qp2.qbs-prob-axioms
    as-qbs-measure.abs-eq)
qed

```

```

lemma measure-as-qbs-measure-qbs:
qbs-prob-space-qbs as-qbs-measure = measure-to-qbs P
by transfer auto

```

```

lemma measure-as-qbs-measure-image:
as-qbs-measure ∈ monadP-qbs-Px (measure-to-qbs P)
by (auto simp: measure-as-qbs-measure-qbs monadP-qbs-Px-def)

```

```

lemma as-qbs-measure-as-measure[simp]:
distr (distr P real-borel f) (qbs-to-measure (measure-to-qbs P)) g = P

```

by(*auto intro!*: *distr-id*'[*OF standard-borel-lr-sets-ident*[*symmetric*]] *simp* : *qbs-prob-t-measure-def*
distr-distr)

lemma *measure-as-qbs-measure-recover*:
qbs-prob-measure as-qbs-measure = *P*
by *transfer* (*simp add*: *qbs-prob-t-measure-def*)

end

lemma(**in** *standard-borel*) *qbs-prob-measure-recover*:
assumes *q* ∈ *monadP-qbs-Px* (*measure-to-qbs M*)
shows *standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure* (*qbs-prob-measure q*) = *q*
proof –
obtain α μ **where** *hq*:
q = *qbs-prob-space* (*measure-to-qbs M*, α , μ) *qbs-prob* (*measure-to-qbs M*) α μ
using *rep-monadP-qbs-Px*[*OF assms*] **by** *auto*
then interpret *qp*: *qbs-prob measure-to-qbs M* α μ **by** *simp*
interpret *sp*: *standard-borel-prob-space distr* μ (*qbs-to-measure* (*measure-to-qbs*
M)) α
using *qp.in-Mx*
by(*auto intro!*: *prob-space.prob-space-distr*
simp: *standard-borel-prob-space-def standard-borel-sets*[*OF sets-distr*[*of* μ
qbs-to-measure (*measure-to-qbs M*) α ,*simplified standard-borel-lr-sets-ident,symmetric*]])
interpret *st*: *standard-borel distr* μ *M* α
by(*auto intro!*: *standard-borel-sets*)
have [*measurable*]:*st.g* ∈ *real-borel* $\rightarrow_M$ *M*
using *measurable-distr-eq2 st.g-meas* **by** *blast*
show *?thesis*
by(*auto intro!*: *pair-qbs-prob.qbs-prob-space-eq*
simp add: *hq(1) sp.as-qbs-measure.abs-eq pair-qbs-prob-def qp.qbs-prob-axioms*
sp.qbs-prob-axioms)
(*simp-all add*: *measure-to-qbs-cong-sets*[*OF sets-distr*[*of* μ *qbs-to-measure*
(*measure-to-qbs M*) α ,*simplified standard-borel-lr-sets-ident*]])
qed

lemma(**in** *standard-borel-prob-space*) *ennintegral-as-qbs-ennintegral*:
assumes *k* ∈ *borel-measurable P*
shows ($\int^+_Q x. k\ x\ \partial as-qbs-measure$) = ($\int^+ x. k\ x\ \partial P$)
proof –
have *1*:*k* ∈ *measure-to-qbs P* $\rightarrow_Q$ $\mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
using *assms* **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis*
by(*simp add*: *as-qbs-measure.abs-eq qbs-prob-ennintegral-def2*[*OF 1*])
qed

lemma(**in** *standard-borel-prob-space*) *integral-as-qbs-integral*:
($\int_Q x. k\ x\ \partial as-qbs-measure$) = ($\int x. k\ x\ \partial P$)
by(*simp add*: *as-qbs-measure.abs-eq qbs-prob-integral-def2*)

```

lemma(in standard-borel) measure-with-args-morphism:
  assumes [measurable]:  $\mu \in X \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra } M$ 
  shows standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure  $\circ \mu \in \text{measure-to-qbs } X \rightarrow_Q$ 
monadP-qbs (measure-to-qbs  $M$ )
proof(auto intro!: qbs-morphismI)
  fix  $\alpha$ 
  assume  $h[\text{measurable}]: \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M X$ 
  have  $\forall r. (\text{standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure} \circ \mu \circ \alpha) \ r = \text{qbs-prob-space}$ 
(measure-to-qbs  $M, g, ((\lambda l. \text{distr } (\mu \ l) \ \text{real-borel } f) \circ \alpha) \ r)$ 
  proof auto
    fix  $r$ 
    interpret sp: standard-borel-prob-space  $\mu \ (\alpha \ r)$ 
    using measurable-space[OF assms measurable-space[OF  $h$ ]]
    by(simp add: standard-borel-prob-space-def space-prob-algebra)
    have  $1[\text{measurable-cong}]: \text{sets } (\mu \ (\alpha \ r)) = \text{sets } M$ 
    using measurable-space[OF assms measurable-space[OF  $h$ ]] by(simp add:
space-prob-algebra)
    have  $2: f \in \mu \ (\alpha \ r) \rightarrow_M \text{real-borel } g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \mu \ (\alpha \ r) \wedge x. x \in \text{space}$ 
( $\mu \ (\alpha \ r)$ )  $\implies (g \circ f) \ x = x$ 
    using measurable-space[OF assms measurable-space[OF  $h$ ]]
    by(simp-all add: standard-borel-prob-space-def sets-eq-imp-space-eq[OF  $1$ ])
    show standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure  $(\mu \ (\alpha \ r)) = \text{qbs-prob-space}$  (measure-to-qbs
M, g, distr  $(\mu \ (\alpha \ r)) \ \text{real-borel } f)$ 
    by(simp add: sp.as-qbs-measure-retract[OF  $2$ ] measure-to-qbs-cong-sets[OF
subprob-measurableD( $2$ )[OF measurable-prob-algebraD[OF assms] measurable-space[OF
 $h$ ]]])
  qed
thus standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure  $\circ \mu \circ \alpha \in \text{monadP-qbs-MPx}$  (measure-to-qbs
M)
by(auto intro!: bexI[where  $x=g$ ] bexI[where  $x=(\lambda l. \text{distr } (\mu \ l) \ \text{real-borel } f) \circ$ 
 $\alpha$ ] simp: monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def)
qed

```

```

lemma(in standard-borel) measure-with-args-recover:

```

```

  assumes  $\mu \in \text{space } X \rightarrow \text{space } (\text{prob-algebra } M)$ 
  and  $x \in \text{space } X$ 
  shows qbs-prob-measure (standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure  $(\mu \ x)) = \mu$ 
x
  using standard-borel-sets[of  $\mu \ x$ ] funcset-mem[OF assms]
  by(simp add: standard-borel-prob-space-def space-prob-algebra standard-borel-prob-space.measure-as-qbs-meas)

```

3.5 Example of Probability Measures

Probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ can be represented as probability measures on the quasi-Borel space $\mathbb{R}$.

3.5.1 Normal Distribution

definition *normal-distribution* :: $\text{real} \times \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real measure}$ **where**
normal-distribution $\mu\sigma = (\text{if } 0 < (\text{snd } \mu\sigma) \text{ then density lborel } (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } (\text{fst } \mu\sigma) (\text{snd } \mu\sigma) x))$
else return lborel 0)

lemma *normal-distribution-measurable*:

normal-distribution $\in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$

proof(rule measurable-prob-algebra-generated[**where** $\Omega = \text{UNIV}$ **and** $G = \text{borel}$])

fix $A :: \text{real set}$

assume $h:A \in \text{sets borel}$

have $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } (\text{normal-distribution } x) A) = (\lambda x. \text{if } 0 < (\text{snd } x) \text{ then } \text{emeasure } (\text{density lborel } (\lambda r. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) r))) A$
else emeasure

(return lborel 0) A)

by(auto simp add: normal-distribution-def)

also have $\dots \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel})$

proof(rule measurable-If)

have $[\text{simp}]: (\lambda x. \text{indicat-real } A (\text{snd } x)) \in \text{borel-measurable } ((\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel})$
 $\otimes_M \text{borel})$

proof –

have $(\lambda x. \text{indicat-real } A (\text{snd } x)) = \text{indicat-real } A \circ \text{snd}$

by auto

also have $\dots \in \text{borel-measurable } ((\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel}) \otimes_M \text{borel})$

by (meson borel-measurable-indicator h measurable-comp measurable-snd)

finally show ?thesis .

qed

have $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } (\text{density lborel } (\lambda r. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) r))) A) = (\lambda x. \text{set-nn-integral lborel } A (\lambda r. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) r)))$

using h **by**(auto intro!: emeasure-density)

also have $\dots = (\lambda x. \int^+ r. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) r * \text{indicat-real } A r) \partial \text{lborel})$

by(simp add: nn-integral-set-ennreal)

also have $\dots \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel})$

apply(auto intro!: lborel.borel-measurable-nn-integral

simp: split-beta' measurable-cong-sets[OF sets-pair-measure-cong[OF refl sets-lborel]])

unfolding normal-density-def

by(rule borel-measurable-times) simp-all

finally show $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } (\text{density lborel } (\lambda r. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } (\text{fst } x) (\text{snd } x) r))) A) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel})$.

qed simp-all

finally show $(\lambda x. \text{emeasure } (\text{normal-distribution } x) A) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel})$.

qed (auto simp add: sets.sigma-sets-eq[of borel,simplified] sets.Int-stable prob-space-normal-density normal-distribution-def prob-space-return)

definition *qbs-normal-distribution* :: $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real qbs-prob-space}$ **where**

$qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution \equiv \text{curry } (standard\text{-}borel\text{-}prob\text{-}space.as\text{-}qbs\text{-}measure \circ normal\text{-}distribution)$

lemma $qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}morphism$:

$qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution \in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp}\text{-}qbs \mathbb{R}_Q \text{ (monadP}\text{-}qbs \mathbb{R}_Q)$

unfolding $qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}def$

by($rule \text{ curry}\text{-}preserves\text{-}morphisms[OF \text{ real}\text{-}measure\text{-}with\text{-}args\text{-}morphism[OF \text{ normal}\text{-}distribution\text{-}measurable, simplified \text{ r}\text{-}preserves\text{-}product]]$)

context

fixes $\mu \sigma :: real$

assumes $sigma:\sigma > 0$

begin

interpretation $n\text{-}dist:standard\text{-}borel\text{-}prob\text{-}space \text{ normal}\text{-}distribution (\mu,\sigma)$

by($simp \text{ add: standard}\text{-}borel\text{-}prob\text{-}space\text{-}def \text{ sigma } prob\text{-}space\text{-}normal\text{-}density \text{ normal}\text{-}distribution\text{-}def$)

lemma $qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}def2$:

$qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution \mu \sigma = n\text{-}dist.as\text{-}qbs\text{-}measure$

by($simp \text{ add: } qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}def$)

lemma $qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}integral$:

$(\int_Q x. f x \partial (qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution \mu \sigma)) = (\int x. f x \partial (density \text{ lborel } (\lambda x. ennreal (normal\text{-}density \mu \sigma x))))$

by($simp \text{ add: } qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}def2 \text{ n}\text{-}dist.integral\text{-}as\text{-}qbs\text{-}integral$)

($simp \text{ add: normal}\text{-}distribution\text{-}def \text{ sigma}$)

lemma $qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}expectation$:

assumes $f \in real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel$

shows $(\int_Q x. f x \partial (qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution \mu \sigma)) = (\int x. normal\text{-}density \mu \sigma x * f x \partial \text{ lborel})$

by($simp \text{ add: } qbs\text{-}normal\text{-}distribution\text{-}integral \text{ assms } integral\text{-}real\text{-}density \text{ integral}\text{-}density$)

end

3.5.2 Uniform Distribution

definition $interval\text{-}uniform\text{-}distribution :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real \text{ measure where}$

$interval\text{-}uniform\text{-}distribution a b \equiv (if a < b \text{ then } uniform\text{-}measure \text{ lborel } \{a < .. < b\} \text{ else return } lborel 0)$

lemma $sets\text{-}interval\text{-}uniform\text{-}distribution[measurable\text{-}cong]$:

$sets (interval\text{-}uniform\text{-}distribution a b) = borel$

by($simp \text{ add: interval}\text{-}uniform\text{-}distribution\text{-}def$)

lemma $interval\text{-}uniform\text{-}distribution\text{-}measurable$:

```

( $\lambda r.$  interval-uniform-distribution (fst r) (snd r))  $\in$  real-borel  $\otimes_M$  real-borel  $\rightarrow_M$ 
prob-algebra real-borel
proof(rule measurable-prob-algebra-generated[where  $\Omega=UNIV$  and  $G=\text{range } (\lambda(a, b). \{a <..<b\})$ ])
  show sets real-borel = sigma-sets UNIV (range ( $\lambda(a, b). \{a <..<b\}$ ))
    by(simp add: borel-eq-box)
next
  show Int-stable (range ( $\lambda(a, b). \{a <..<b::\text{real}\}$ ))
    by(fastforce intro!: Int-stableI simp: split-beta' image-iff)
next
  show range ( $\lambda(a, b). \{a <..<b\}$ )  $\subseteq$  Pow UNIV
    by simp
next
  fix a
  show prob-space (interval-uniform-distribution (fst a) (snd a))
    by(simp add: interval-uniform-distribution-def prob-space-return prob-space-uniform-measure)
next
  fix a
  show sets (interval-uniform-distribution (fst a) (snd a)) = sets real-borel
    by(simp add: interval-uniform-distribution-def)
next
  fix A
  assume  $A \in \text{range } (\lambda(a, b). \{a <..<b::\text{real}\})$ 
  then obtain a b where  $ha:A = \{a <..<b\}$  by auto
  consider  $b \leq a \mid a < b$  by fastforce
  then show ( $\lambda x.$  emeasure (interval-uniform-distribution (fst x) (snd x)) A)  $\in$ 
real-borel  $\otimes_M$  real-borel  $\rightarrow_M$  ennreal-borel
    (is ?f  $\in$  ?meas)
  proof cases
    case 1
    then show ?thesis
      by(simp add: ha)
    next
    case h2:2
    have ?f = ( $\lambda x.$  if fst x < snd x then ennreal (min (snd x) b - max (fst x) a)
/ ennreal (snd x - fst x) else indicator A 0)
    proof(standard; auto simp: interval-uniform-distribution-def ha)
      fix x y :: real
      assume hxy:x < y
      consider  $b \leq x \mid a \leq x \wedge x < b \mid x < a \wedge a < y \mid y \leq a$ 
      using h2 by fastforce
      thus emeasure lborel ( $\{\max x a <..<\min y b\}$ ) / ennreal (y - x) = ennreal
(min y b - max x a) / ennreal (y - x)
      by cases (use hxy ennreal-neg h2 in auto)
    qed
  also have ...  $\in$  ?meas
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

qed

definition *qbs-interval-uniform-distribution* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *real* $\Rightarrow$ *real qbs-prob-space*
where
qbs-interval-uniform-distribution $\equiv$ *curry* (*standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure*
 $\circ (\lambda r. \text{interval-uniform-distribution } (\text{fst } r) (\text{snd } r))$)

lemma *qbs-interval-uniform-distribution-morphism*:
qbs-interval-uniform-distribution $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{exp-qbs } \mathbb{R}_Q (\text{monadP-qbs } \mathbb{R}_Q)$
unfolding *qbs-interval-uniform-distribution-def*
using *curry-preserves-morphisms*[*OF real.measure-with-args-morphism*[*OF interval-uniform-distribution-measurable,simplified r-preserves-product*]] .

context
fixes *a b* :: *real*
assumes *a-less-than-b*: *a* < *b*
begin

definition *ab-qbs-uniform-distribution* $\equiv$ *qbs-interval-uniform-distribution a b*

interpretation *ab-u-dist*: *standard-borel-prob-space interval-uniform-distribution*
a b
by(*auto intro!*: *prob-space-uniform-measure simp: interval-uniform-distribution-def*
standard-borel-prob-space-def prob-space-return)

lemma *qbs-interval-uniform-distribution-def2*:
ab-qbs-uniform-distribution = *ab-u-dist.as-qbs-measure*
by(*simp add: qbs-interval-uniform-distribution-def ab-qbs-uniform-distribution-def*)

lemma *qbs-uniform-distribution-expectation*:
assumes *f* $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$
shows $(\int^+_Q x. f \ x \ \partial \text{ab-qbs-uniform-distribution}) = (\int^+_x \in \{a < .. < b\}. f \ x \ \partial \text{lborel}) / (b - a)$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have *?lhs* = $(\int^+_x. f \ x \ \partial (\text{interval-uniform-distribution } a \ b))$
using *assms by*(*auto simp: qbs-interval-uniform-distribution-def2 intro!: ab-u-dist.ennintegral-as-qbs-enninte*
dest: ab-u-dist.qbs-morphism-dest[simplified measure-to-qbs-cong-sets[OF sets-interval-uniform-distribution]])
also have ... = *?rhs*
using *assms*
by(*auto simp: interval-uniform-distribution-def a-less-than-b intro!: nn-integral-uniform-measure*[**where**
M=lborel and S={a < .. < b},simplified emeasure-lborel-Ioo[OF order.strict-implies-order[OF
a-less-than-b]]])
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

end

3.5.3 Bernoulli Distribution

definition *qbs-bernoulli* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* *qbs-prob-space* **where**
qbs-bernoulli $\equiv$ *standard-borel-prob-space.as-qbs-measure* $\circ$ ($\lambda x.$ *measure-pmf* (*bernoulli-pmf* *x*))

lemma *bernoulli-measurable*:

($\lambda x.$ *measure-pmf* (*bernoulli-pmf* *x*)) $\in$ *real-borel* $\rightarrow_M$ *prob-algebra bool-borel*
proof(*rule measurable-prob-algebra-generated*[**where** $\Omega = \text{UNIV}$ **and** $G = \text{UNIV}$],*simp-all*)
fix *A* :: *bool set*
have $A \subseteq \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$
by *auto*
then consider $A = \{\}$ | $A = \{\text{True}\}$ | $A = \{\text{False}\}$ | $A = \{\text{False}, \text{True}\}$
by *auto*
thus ($\lambda a.$ *emeasure* (*measure-pmf* (*bernoulli-pmf* *a*)) *A*) $\in$ *borel-measurable borel*
by(*cases, simp-all add: emeasure-measure-pmf-finite bernoulli-pmf.rep-eq UNIV-bool[symmetric]*)
qed (*auto simp add: sets-borel-eq-count-space Int-stable-def measure-pmf.prob-space-axioms*)

lemma *qbs-bernoulli-morphism*:

qbs-bernoulli $\in \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-}qbs \mathbb{B}_Q$
using *bool.measure-with-args-morphism*[*OF bernoulli-measurable*]
by (*simp add: qbs-bernoulli-def*)

lemma *qbs-bernoulli-measure*:

qbs-prob-measure (*qbs-bernoulli* *p*) = *measure-pmf* (*bernoulli-pmf* *p*)
using *bool.measure-with-args-recover*[*of* $\lambda x.$ *measure-pmf* (*bernoulli-pmf* *x*) *real-borel*
p] *bernoulli-measurable*
by(*simp add: measurable-def qbs-bernoulli-def*)

context

fixes *p* :: *real*
assumes *pgeq-0*[*simp*]: $0 \leq p$ **and** *pleq-1*[*simp*]: $p \leq 1$
begin

lemma *qbs-bernoulli-expectation*:

($\int_Q x. f x \partial qbs\text{-bernoulli } p$) = $f \text{ True} * p + f \text{ False} * (1 - p)$
by(*simp add: qbs-prob-integral-def2 qbs-bernoulli-measure*)

end

end

3.6 Bayesian Linear Regression

theory *Bayesian-Linear-Regression*

imports *Measure-as-QuasiBorel-Measure*
begin

We formalize the Bayesian linear regression presented in [1] section VI.

3.6.1 Prior

abbreviation $\nu \equiv \text{density lborel } (\lambda x. \text{ennreal } (\text{normal-density } 0 \ 3 \ x))$

interpretation ν : *standard-borel-prob-space* ν

by(*simp add: standard-borel-prob-space-def prob-space-normal-density*)

term $\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure} :: \text{real qbs-prob-space}$

definition *prior* :: $(\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \text{ qbs-prob-space}$ **where**

prior $\equiv \text{do } \{ s \leftarrow \nu.\text{as-qbs-measure} ;$
 $b \leftarrow \nu.\text{as-qbs-measure} ;$
 $\text{qbs-return } (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) (\lambda r. s * r + b) \}$

lemma $\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure-eq}$:

$\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure} = \text{qbs-prob-space } (\mathbb{R}_Q, \text{id}, \nu)$

by(*simp add: $\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure-retract}$ [of *id id*] *distr-id'* *measure-to-qbs-cong-sets*[OF *sets-density*] *measure-to-qbs-cong-sets*[OF *sets-lborel*])*)

interpretation $\nu\text{-qp}$: *pair-qbs-prob* $\mathbb{R}_Q$ *id* ν $\mathbb{R}_Q$ *id* ν

by(*auto intro!: qbs-probI prob-space-normal-density simp: pair-qbs-prob-def*)

lemma $\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure-in-Pr}$:

$\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure} \in \text{monadP-qbs-Px } \mathbb{R}_Q$

by(*simp add: $\nu.\text{as-qbs-measure-eq}$ $\nu\text{-qp.qp1.qbs-prob-space-in-Px}$*)

lemma *sets-real-real-real*[*measurable-cong*]:

sets (*qbs-to-measure* $((\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q)) = \text{sets } ((\text{borel} \otimes_M \text{borel}) \otimes_M \text{borel})$

by (*metis pair-standard-borel.l-r-r-sets pair-standard-borel-def r-preserves-product real.standard-borel-axioms real-real.standard-borel-axioms*)

lemma *lin-morphism*:

$(\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$

apply(*simp add: split-beta'*)

apply(*rule curry-preserves-morphisms*[of $\lambda(x, r). \text{fst } x * r + \text{snd } x$, *simplified* *curry-def split-beta', simplified*])

by *auto*

lemma *lin-measurable*[*measurable*]:

$(\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \in \text{real-borel} \otimes_M \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{qbs-to-measure } (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q)$

using *lin-morphism l-preserves-morphisms*[of $\mathbb{R}_Q \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ *exp-qbs* $\mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$]

by *auto*

lemma *prior-computation*:

qbs-prob $(\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) ((\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ \text{real-real.g}) (\text{distr } (\nu \otimes_M \nu) \text{real-borel real-real.f})$

prior $= \text{qbs-prob-space } (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q, (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ \text{real-real.g}, \text{distr } (\nu \otimes_M \nu) \text{real-borel real-real.f})$

using $\nu\text{-qp.qbs-bind-bind-return}$ [OF *lin-morphism*]

by(simp-all add: prior-def ν -as-qbs-measure-eq map-prod-def)

The following lemma corresponds to the equation (5).

lemma prior-measure:

$qbs\text{-}prob\text{-}measure\ prior = distr\ (\nu \otimes_M \nu)\ (qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ (exp\text{-}qbs\ \mathbb{R}_Q\ \mathbb{R}_Q))$
 $(\lambda(s,b)\ r.\ s * r + b)$

by(simp add: prior-computation(2) qbs-prob.qbs-prob-measure-computation[OF prior-computation(1)]) (simp add: distr-distr comp-def)

lemma prior-in-space:

$prior \in qbs\text{-}space\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q))$

using qbs-prob.qbs-prob-space-in-Px[OF prior-computation(1)]

by(simp add: prior-computation(2))

3.6.2 Likelihood

abbreviation $d\ \mu\ x \equiv normal\text{-}density\ \mu\ (1/2)\ x$

lemma d-positive : $0 < d\ \mu\ x$

by(simp add: normal-density-pos)

definition obs :: $(real \Rightarrow real) \Rightarrow ennreal$ **where**

$obs\ f \equiv d\ (f\ 1)\ 2.5 * d\ (f\ 2)\ 3.8 * d\ (f\ 3)\ 4.5 * d\ (f\ 4)\ 6.2 * d\ (f\ 5)\ 8$

lemma obs-morphism:

$obs \in \mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$

proof(rule qbs-morphismI)

fix α

assume $\alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q)$

then have [measurable]: $(\lambda(x,y).\ \alpha\ x\ y) \in real\text{-}borel \otimes_M real\text{-}borel \rightarrow_M real\text{-}borel$

by(auto simp: exp-qbs-Mx-def)

show $obs \circ \alpha \in qbs\text{-}Mx\ \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$

by(auto simp: comp-def obs-def normal-density-def)

qed

lemma obs-measurable[measurable]:

$obs \in qbs\text{-}to\text{-}measure\ (exp\text{-}qbs\ \mathbb{R}_Q\ \mathbb{R}_Q) \rightarrow_M ennreal\text{-}borel$

using obs-morphism **by** auto

3.6.3 Posterior

lemma id-obs-morphism:

$(\lambda f.\ (f, obs\ f)) \in \mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q \rightarrow_Q (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$

by(rule qbs-morphism-tuple[OF qbs-morphism-ident' obs-morphism])

lemma push-forward-measure-in-space:

$monadP\text{-}qbs\text{-}Pf\ (\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q)\ ((\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0})\ (\lambda f.\ (f, obs\ f))\ prior \in$
 $qbs\text{-}space\ (monadP\text{-}qbs\ ((\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q) \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}))$

by(rule qbs-morphismE(2)[OF monadP-qbs-Pf-morphism[OF id-obs-morphism] prior-in-space])

lemma *push-forward-measure-computation*:

```

qbs-prob (( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )  $\otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ ) ( $\lambda l. ((\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ \text{real-real.g})$ 
 $l, ((\text{obs} \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b)) \circ \text{real-real.g}) l)) (\text{distr } (\nu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel}$ 
 $\text{real-real.f})$ 
monadP-qbs-Pf ( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ ) (( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )  $\otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ ) ( $\lambda f. (f, \text{obs } f)$ ) prior =
qbs-prob-space (( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )  $\otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ , ( $\lambda l. ((\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ \text{real-real.g})$ 
 $l, ((\text{obs} \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b)) \circ \text{real-real.g}) l)), \text{distr } (\nu \otimes_M \nu) \text{ real-borel}$ 
 $\text{real-real.f})$ 
using qbs-prob.monadP-qbs-Pf-computation[OF prior-computation id-obs-morphism]
by(auto simp: comp-def)

```

3.6.4 Normalizer

We use the unit space for an error.

definition *norm-qbs-measure* :: ('a $\times$ ennreal) qbs-prob-space $\Rightarrow$ 'a qbs-prob-space
+ unit **where**

```

norm-qbs-measure p  $\equiv$  (let (XR,  $\alpha\beta, \nu$ ) = rep-qbs-prob-space p in
  if emeasure (density  $\nu$  (snd  $\circ \alpha\beta$ )) UNIV = 0 then Inr ()
  else if emeasure (density  $\nu$  (snd  $\circ \alpha\beta$ )) UNIV =  $\infty$  then Inr ()
  else Inl (qbs-prob-space (map-qbs fst XR, fst  $\circ \alpha\beta$ , density  $\nu$ 
    ( $\lambda r. \text{snd } (\alpha\beta r) / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \nu \text{ (snd } \circ \alpha\beta)) \text{ UNIV}$ ))))

```

lemma *norm-qbs-measure-qbs-prob*:

```

assumes qbs-prob (X  $\otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$ ) ( $\lambda r. (\alpha r, \beta r)$ )  $\mu$ 
  emeasure (density  $\mu \beta$ ) UNIV  $\neq 0$ 
and emeasure (density  $\mu \beta$ ) UNIV  $\neq \infty$ 
shows qbs-prob X  $\alpha$  (density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r. (\beta r) / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV}$ ))

```

proof –

```

interpret qp: qbs-prob X  $\otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}$   $\lambda r. (\alpha r, \beta r)$   $\mu$ 

```

by fact

```

have ha[simp]:  $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$ 

```

```

and hb[measurable]:  $\beta \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$ 

```

```

using assms by(simp-all add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)

```

show ?thesis

proof(rule qbs-probI)

```

show prob-space (density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r. \beta r / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV}$ ))

```

proof(rule prob-spaceI)

```

show emeasure (density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r. \beta r / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV}$ )) (space
(density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r. \beta r / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV}$ ))) = 1
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

proof –

```

have ?lhs = emeasure (density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r. \beta r / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV}$ ))

```

UNIV

by simp

```

also have ... = ( $\int^{+r \in \text{UNIV}. (\beta r / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV}) } \partial \mu$ )

```

```

by(intro emeasure-density) auto

```

```

also have ... =  $\text{integral}^N \mu (\lambda r. \beta r / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } \mu \beta) \text{ UNIV})$ 

```



```

    by simp
  also have ... = (integralN μ β) / emeasure (density μ β) UNIV
    by (simp add: nn-integral-divide)
  also have ... = (∫+ r ∈ UNIV. β r ∂μ) / emeasure (density μ β) UNIV
    by (simp add: emeasure-density)
  also have ... = 1
    using assms(2,3) by (simp add: emeasure-density divide-eq-1-ennreal)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed simp-all
qed

lemma norm-qbs-measure-computation:
  assumes qbs-prob (X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0) (λr. (α r, β r)) μ
  shows norm-qbs-measure (qbs-prob-space (X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0, (λr. (α r, β r)), μ)) =
    (if emeasure (density μ β) UNIV = 0 then Inr ()
     else if emeasure
       (density μ β) UNIV = ∞ then Inr ()
     else Inl (qbs-prob-space
       (X, α, density μ (λr. (β r) / emeasure (density μ β) UNIV))))))
proof -
  interpret qp: qbs-prob X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0 λr. (α r, β r) μ
  by fact
  have ha: α ∈ qbs-Mx X
  and hb[measurable]: β ∈ real-borel →M ennreal-borel
  using assms by (simp-all add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
  show ?thesis
  unfolding norm-qbs-measure-def
  proof (rule qp.in-Rep-induct)
    fix XR αβ' μ'
    assume (XR, αβ', μ') ∈ Rep-qbs-prob-space (qbs-prob-space (X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0, λr.
      (α r, β r), μ))
    from qp.if-in-Rep[OF this]
    have h: XR = X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0
      qbs-prob XR αβ' μ'
      qbs-prob-eq (X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0, λr. (α r, β r), μ) (XR, αβ', μ')
    by auto
    have hint: ∧f. f ∈ X ⊗Q ℝQ≥0 →Q ℝQ≥0 ⇒ (∫+ x. f (α x, β x) ∂μ) =
      (∫+ x. f (αβ' x) ∂μ')
    using h(3)[simplified qbs-prob-eq-equiv14] by (simp add: qbs-prob-eq4-def)
    interpret qp': qbs-prob XR αβ' μ'
    by fact
    have ha': fst ∘ αβ' ∈ qbs-Mx X (λx. fst (αβ' x)) ∈ qbs-Mx X
    and hb'[measurable]: snd ∘ αβ' ∈ real-borel →M ennreal-borel (λx. snd (αβ' x))
    ∈ real-borel →M ennreal-borel (λx. fst (αβ' x)) ∈ real-borel →M qbs-to-measure X
    using h by (simp-all add: qbs-prob-def in-Mx-def pair-qbs-Mx-def comp-def)
    have fstX: map-qbs fst XR = X
    by (simp add: h(1) pair-qbs-fst)
  end
end

```

```

have he:emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV = emeasure (density  $\mu'$  (snd  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta'$ ))
UNIV
using hint[OF snd-qbs-morphism] by(simp add: emeasure-density)

show (let a = (XR, $\alpha\beta'$ , $\mu'$ ) in case a of (XR,  $\alpha\beta$ ,  $\nu'$ )  $\Rightarrow$  if emeasure (density
 $\nu'$  (snd  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta$ )) UNIV = 0 then Inr ()
else if emeasure (density  $\nu'$  (snd  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta$ ))
UNIV =  $\infty$  then Inr ()
else Inl (qbs-prob-space (map-qbs fst XR, fst
 $\circ$   $\alpha\beta$ , density  $\nu'$  ( $\lambda r$ . snd ( $\alpha\beta$  r) / emeasure (density  $\nu'$  (snd  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta$ )) UNIV))))
= (if emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV = 0 then Inr ()
else if emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV =  $\infty$  then Inr ()
else Inl (qbs-prob-space (X,  $\alpha$ , density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r$ .  $\beta$  r / emeasure (density  $\mu$ 
 $\beta$ ) UNIV))))
proof(auto simp: he[symmetric] fstX)
assume het0:emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV  $\neq$   $\top$ 
emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV  $\neq$  0
interpret pqp: pair-qbs-prob X fst  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta'$  density  $\mu'$  ( $\lambda r$ . snd ( $\alpha\beta'$  r) / emeasure
(density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV) X  $\alpha$  density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r$ .  $\beta$  r / emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV)
apply(auto intro!: norm-qbs-measure-qbs-prob simp: pair-qbs-prob-def assms
het0)
using het0
by(auto intro!: norm-qbs-measure-qbs-prob[of X fst  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta'$  snd  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta'$ ,simplified,OF
h(2)][simplified h(1)]) simp: he)

show qbs-prob-space (X, fst  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta'$ , density  $\mu'$  ( $\lambda r$ . snd ( $\alpha\beta'$  r) / emeasure
(density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV)) = qbs-prob-space (X,  $\alpha$ , density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r$ .  $\beta$  r / emeasure
(density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV))
proof(rule pqp.qbs-prob-space-eq4)
fix f
assume hf[measurable]:f  $\in$  qbs-to-measure X  $\rightarrow_M$  ennreal-borel
show ( $\int^+$  x. f ((fst  $\circ$   $\alpha\beta'$ ) x)  $\partial$ density  $\mu'$  ( $\lambda r$ . snd ( $\alpha\beta'$  r) / emeasure
(density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV)) = ( $\int^+$  x. f ( $\alpha$  x)  $\partial$ density  $\mu$  ( $\lambda r$ .  $\beta$  r / emeasure (density
 $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV))
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
have ?lhs = ( $\int^+$  x. ( $\lambda xr$ . (snd xr) / emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV * f
(fst xr)) ( $\alpha\beta'$  x)  $\partial\mu'$ )
by(auto simp: nn-integral-density)
also have ... = ( $\int^+$  x. ( $\lambda xr$ . (snd xr) / emeasure (density  $\mu$   $\beta$ ) UNIV *
f (fst xr)) ( $\alpha$  x, $\beta$  x)  $\partial\mu$ )
by(intro hint[symmetric]) (auto intro!: pair-qbs-morphismI)
also have ... = ?rhs
by(simp add: nn-integral-density)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed simp
qed
qed

```

qed

lemma *norm-qbs-measure-morphism*:

norm-qbs-measure $\in$ *monadP-qbs* $(X \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}) \rightarrow_Q \text{monadP-qbs } X <+>_Q 1_Q$
proof(*rule qbs-morphismI*)

fix γ
assume $\gamma \in \text{qbs-Mx } (\text{monadP-qbs } (X \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}))$
then obtain $\alpha \ g$ **where** *hc*:
 $\alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } (X \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}) \ g \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
 $\gamma = (\lambda r. \text{qbs-prob-space } (X \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0}, \alpha, g \ r))$
using *rep-monadP-qbs-MPx*[*of* $\gamma \ (X \otimes_Q \mathbb{R}_{Q \geq 0})$] **by** *auto*
note [*measurable*] = *hc*(2) *measurable-prob-algebraD*[*OF hc*(2)]
have *setsg*[*measurable-cong*]: $\bigwedge r. \text{sets } (g \ r) = \text{sets real-borel}$
using *measurable-space*[*OF hc*(2)] **by**(*simp add: space-prob-algebra*)
then have *ha*: $\text{fst} \circ \alpha \in \text{qbs-Mx } X$
and *hb*[*measurable*]: $\text{snd} \circ \alpha \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel } (\lambda x. \text{snd } (\alpha \ x)) \in$
 $\text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel } \bigwedge r. \text{snd} \circ \alpha \in g \ r \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel } \bigwedge r. (\lambda x. \text{snd } (\alpha \ x)) \in g \ r \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$
using *hc*(1) **by**(*auto simp add: pair-qbs-Mx-def measurable-cong-sets*[*OF setsg*
refl] *comp-def*)
have *emeas-den-meas*[*measurable*]: $\bigwedge U. U \in \text{sets real-borel} \implies (\lambda r. \text{emeasure}$
 $(\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ U) \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{ennreal-borel}$
by(*simp add: emeasure-density*)
have *S-setsc*: $\text{UNIV} - (\lambda r. \text{emeasure } (\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ \text{UNIV}) - \{0, \infty\}$
 $\in \text{sets real-borel}$
using *measurable-sets-borel*[*OF emeas-den-meas*] **by** *simp*
have *space-non-empty*: *qbs-space* (*monadP-qbs* X) $\neq \{\}$
using *ha qbs-empty-equiv monadP-qbs-empty-iff*[*of X*] **by** *auto*
have *g-meas*: $(\lambda r. \text{if } r \in (\text{UNIV} - (\lambda r. \text{emeasure } (\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ \text{UNIV}) - \{0, \infty\})$
 $\text{then } \text{density } (g \ r) \ (\lambda l. ((\text{snd} \circ \alpha) \ l) / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ \text{UNIV})$
 $\text{else return real-borel } 0) \in \text{real-borel} \rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
proof –
have *H*: $\bigwedge \Omega \ M \ N \ c \ f. \Omega \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M \implies c \in \text{space } N \implies$
 $f \in \text{measurable } (\text{restrict-space } M \ \Omega) \ N \implies (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \Omega \text{ then } f \ x \text{ else}$
 $c) \in \text{measurable } M \ N$
by(*simp add: measurable-restrict-space-iff*)
show *?thesis*
proof(*rule H*)
show $(\text{UNIV} - (\lambda r. \text{emeasure } (\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ \text{UNIV}) - \{0, \infty\})$
 $\cap \text{space real-borel} \in \text{sets real-borel}$
using *S-setsc* **by** *simp*
next
show $(\lambda r. \text{density } (g \ r) \ (\lambda l. ((\text{snd} \circ \alpha) \ l) / \text{emeasure } (\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ \text{UNIV})) \in \text{restrict-space real-borel } (\text{UNIV} - (\lambda r. \text{emeasure } (\text{density } (g \ r) (\text{snd} \circ \alpha)) \ \text{UNIV}) - \{0, \infty\})$
 $\rightarrow_M \text{prob-algebra real-borel}$
proof(*rule measurable-prob-algebra-generated*[**where** $\Omega = \text{UNIV}$ **and** $G = \text{sets real-borel}$])

fix a

```

    assume a ∈ space (restrict-space real-borel (UNIV - (λr. emeasure (density
(g r) (snd ∘ α)) UNIV) - {0, ∞}))
    then have 1:(∫+ x. snd (α x) ∂g a) ≠ 0 (∫+ x. snd (α x) ∂g a) ≠ ∞
      by(simp-all add: space-restrict-space emeasure-density)
    show prob-space (density (g a) (λl. (snd ∘ α) l / emeasure (density (g a)
(snd ∘ α)) UNIV))
      using 1
      by(auto intro!: prob-spaceI simp: emeasure-density nn-integral-divide
divide-eq-1-ennreal)
  next
  fix U
  assume 1:U ∈ sets real-borel
  then have 2:∧a. U ∈ sets (g a) by auto
    show (λa. emeasure (density (g a) (λl. (snd ∘ α) l / emeasure (density
(g a) (snd ∘ α)) UNIV)) U) ∈ (restrict-space real-borel (UNIV - (λr. emeasure
(density (g r) (snd ∘ α)) UNIV) - {0, ∞})) →M ennreal-borel
      using 1
      by(auto intro!: measurable-restrict-space1 nn-integral-measurable-subprob-algebra2[where
N=real-borel] simp: emeasure-density emeasure-density[OF - 2])
    qed (simp-all add: setsg sets.Int-stable sets.sigma-sets-eq[of real-borel,simplified])
    qed (simp add:space-prob-algebra prob-space-return)
  qed

  show norm-qbs-measure ∘ γ ∈ qbs-Mx (monadP-qbs X <+>Q unit-quasi-borel)
  apply(auto intro!: bexI[OF - S-setsc] bexI[where x=(λr. ())] bexI[where x=λr.
qbs-prob-space (X,fst ∘ α,if r ∈ (UNIV - (λr. emeasure (density (g r) (snd ∘ α))
UNIV) - {0,∞}) then density (g r) (λl. ((snd ∘ α) l) / emeasure (density (g r)
(snd ∘ α)) UNIV) else return real-borel 0)]
    simp: copair-qbs-Mx-equiv copair-qbs-Mx2-def space-non-empty[simplified])
  apply standard
  apply(simp add: hc(3) norm-qbs-measure-computation[of - fst ∘ α snd ∘
α,simplified,OF qbs-prob-MPx[OF hc(1,2)]])
  apply(simp add: monadP-qbs-MPx-def in-MPx-def)
  apply(auto intro!: bexI[OF - ha] bexI[OF - g-meas])
  done
qed

```

The following is the semantics of the entire program.

definition *program* :: (real ⇒ real) qbs-prob-space + unit **where**
program ≡ norm-qbs-measure (monadP-qbs-Pf (ℝ_Q ⇒_Q ℝ_Q) ((ℝ_Q ⇒_Q ℝ_Q) ⊗_Q ℝ_{Q≥0}) (λf. (f,obs f)) prior)

lemma *program-in-space*:

program ∈ qbs-space (monadP-qbs (ℝ_Q ⇒_Q ℝ_Q) <+>_Q 1_Q)

unfolding *program-def*

by(rule qbs-morphismE(2)[OF norm-qbs-measure-morphism push-forward-measure-in-space])

We calculate the normalizing constant.

lemma *complete-the-square*:

```

fixes  $a\ b\ c\ x :: \text{real}$ 
assumes  $a \neq 0$ 
shows  $a*x^2 + b*x + c = a*(x + (b/(2*a)))^2 - ((b^2 - 4*a*c)/(4*a))$ 
using assms by(simp add: comm-semiring-1-class.power2-sum power2-eq-square[of
 $b/(2*a)$ ] ring-class.ring-distribs(1) division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib power2-eq-square[of
 $b$ ])

```

lemma *complete-the-square2'*:

```

fixes  $a\ b\ c\ x :: \text{real}$ 
assumes  $a \neq 0$ 
shows  $a*x^2 - 2*b*x + c = a*(x - (b/a))^2 - ((b^2 - a*c)/a)$ 
using complete-the-square[OF assms,where  $b=-2*b$  and  $x=x$  and  $c=c$ ]
by(simp add: division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib assms)

```

lemma *normal-density-mu-x-swap*:

```

normal-density  $\mu\ \sigma\ x = \text{normal-density}\ x\ \sigma\ \mu$ 
by(simp add: normal-density-def power2-commute)

```

lemma *normal-density-plus-shift*:

```

normal-density  $\mu\ \sigma\ (x + y) = \text{normal-density}\ (\mu - x)\ \sigma\ y$ 
by(simp add: normal-density-def add.commute diff-diff-eq2)

```

lemma *normal-density-times*:

```

assumes  $\sigma > 0\ \sigma' > 0$ 
shows normal-density  $\mu\ \sigma\ x * \text{normal-density}\ \mu'\ \sigma'\ x = (1 / \text{sqrt}\ (2 * \pi * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))) * \exp\ (- (\mu - \mu')^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))) * \text{normal-density}\ ((\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))\ (\sigma * \sigma' / \text{sqrt}\ (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))\ x
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)$ 
```

proof -

```

have non0: 2*σ² ≠ 0 2*σ'² ≠ 0 σ² + σ'² ≠ 0
using assms by auto
have ?lhs = exp (- ((x - μ)² / (2 * σ²))) * exp (- ((x - μ')² / (2 * σ'²))) / (sqrt (2 * π * σ²) * sqrt (2 * π * σ'²))
by(simp add: normal-density-def)
also have ... = exp (- ((x - μ)² / (2 * σ²)) - ((x - μ')² / (2 * σ'²))) / (sqrt (2 * π * σ²) * sqrt (2 * π * σ'²))
by(simp add: exp-add[of  $- ((x - μ)² / (2 * σ²)) - ((x - μ')² / (2 * σ'²))$ ],simplified add-uminus-conv-diff])
also have ... = exp (- (x - (μ * σ'² + μ' * σ²) / (σ² + σ'²))² / (2 * (σ * σ' / sqrt (σ² + σ'²))²) - (μ - μ')² / (2 * (σ² + σ'²))) / (sqrt (2 * π * σ²) * sqrt (2 * π * σ'²))

```

proof -

```

have ((x - μ)² / (2 * σ²)) + ((x - μ')² / (2 * σ'²)) = (x - (μ * σ'² + μ' * σ²) / (σ² + σ'²))² / (2 * (σ * σ' / sqrt (σ² + σ'²))²) + (μ - μ')² / (2 * (σ² + σ'²))

```

(is ?lhs' = ?rhs')

proof -

```

have ?lhs' = (2 * ((x - μ)² * σ'²) + 2 * ((x - μ')² * σ²)) / (4 * (σ² * σ'²))

```

```

    by(simp add: field-class.add-frac-eq[OF non0(1,2)])
  also have ... =  $((x - \mu)^2 * \sigma'^2 + (x - \mu')^2 * \sigma^2) / (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2))$ 
    by(simp add: power2-eq-square division-ring-class.add-divide-distrib)
  also have ... =  $((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * x^2 - 2 * (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) * x + (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2 + \mu^2 * \sigma'^2)) / (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2))$ 
    by(simp add: comm-ring-1-class.power2-diff ring-class.left-diff-distrib semiring-class.distrib-right)
  also have ... =  $((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2 - ((\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2)^2 - (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2 + \mu^2 * \sigma'^2)) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) / (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2))$ 
    by(simp only: complete-the-square2'[OF non0(3), of x (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2 + \mu^2 * \sigma'^2)])
  also have ... =  $((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2) / (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2)) - (((\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2)^2 - (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2 + \mu^2 * \sigma'^2)) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) / (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2))$ 
    by(simp add: division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib)
  also have ... =  $(x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2 / (2 * ((\sigma * \sigma') / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)})^2 - (((\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2)^2 - (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2 + \mu^2 * \sigma'^2)) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) / (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2)))$ 
    by(simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square[of (\sigma * \sigma') / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)}] ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of \sigma^2 + \sigma'^2])
    (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square[of \sigma] monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square[of \sigma'])
  also have ... =  $(x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2 / (2 * (\sigma * \sigma' / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)})^2 - ((\mu * \sigma'^2)^2 + (\mu' * \sigma^2)^2 + 2 * (\mu * \sigma'^2) * (\mu' * \sigma^2) - (\sigma^2 * (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2) + \sigma'^2 * (\mu^2 * \sigma'^2) + (\sigma'^2 * (\mu'^2 * \sigma^2) + \sigma'^2 * (\mu^2 * \sigma'^2)))) / ((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2)))$ 
    by(simp add: comm-semiring-1-class.power2-sum[of \mu * \sigma'^2 \mu' * \sigma^2] semiring-class.distrib-right[of \sigma^2 \sigma'^2 \mu'^2 * \sigma^2 + \mu^2 * \sigma'^2])
    (simp add: semiring-class.distrib-left[of - \mu'^2 * \sigma^2 \mu^2 * \sigma'^2])
  also have ... =  $(x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2 / (2 * (\sigma * \sigma' / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)})^2 + ((\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2) * \mu^2 + (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2) * \mu'^2 - (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2) * 2 * (\mu * \mu')) / ((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * (2 * (\sigma^2 * \sigma'^2))))$ 
    by(simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square division-ring-class.minus-divide-left)
  also have ... =  $(x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2 / (2 * (\sigma * \sigma' / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)})^2 + (\mu^2 + \mu'^2 - 2 * (\mu * \mu')) / ((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) * 2))$ 
    using assms by(simp add: division-ring-class.add-divide-distrib division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib)
  also have ... = ?rhs'
    by(simp add: comm-ring-1-class.power2-diff ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of 2])
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed
also have ... =  $(\exp(-( \mu - \mu')^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)))) / (\sqrt{2 * \pi * \sigma^2} * \sqrt{2 * \pi * \sigma'^2}) * \sqrt{2 * \pi * (\sigma * \sigma' / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)})^2} * \text{normal-density}((\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) (\sigma * \sigma' / \sqrt{(\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)}) x$ 
    by(simp add: exp-add[of -(x - (\mu * \sigma'^2 + \mu' * \sigma^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2 / (2 * (\sigma * \sigma'

```

$/ \text{sqrt} (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2) - (\mu - \mu')^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)), \text{simplified}] \text{normal-density-def}$
also have ... = ?rhs
proof –
have $\exp (- (\mu - \mu')^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))) / (\text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * \sigma^2) * \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * \sigma'^2)) * \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * (\sigma * \sigma' / \text{sqrt} (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))^2) = 1 / \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) * \exp (- (\mu - \mu')^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)))$
using *assms* **by**(*simp add: real-sqrt-mult*)
thus ?thesis
by *simp*
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma *normal-density-times'*:

assumes $\sigma > 0 \ \sigma' > 0$
shows $a * \text{normal-density } \mu \ \sigma \ x * \text{normal-density } \mu' \ \sigma' \ x = a * (1 / \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))) * \exp (- (\mu - \mu')^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))) * \text{normal-density } ((\mu * \sigma^2 + \mu' * \sigma'^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) (\sigma * \sigma' / \text{sqrt} (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) \ x$
using *normal-density-times*[*OF assms, of \mu x \mu'*]
by (*simp add: mult.assoc*)

lemma *normal-density-times-minusx*:

assumes $\sigma > 0 \ \sigma' > 0 \ a \neq a'$
shows $\text{normal-density } (\mu - a * x) \ \sigma \ y * \text{normal-density } (\mu' - a' * x) \ \sigma' \ y = (1 / |a' - a|) * \text{normal-density } ((\mu' - \mu) / (a' - a)) (\text{sqrt} ((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) / (a' - a)^2)) \ x * \text{normal-density } (((\mu - a * x) * \sigma^2 + (\mu' - a' * x) * \sigma'^2) / (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) (\sigma * \sigma' / \text{sqrt} (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) \ y$
proof –
have *non0*: $a' - a \neq 0$
using *assms*(*3*) **by** *simp*
have $1 / \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) * \exp (- (\mu - a * x - (\mu' - a' * x))^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2))) = 1 / |a' - a| * \text{normal-density } ((\mu' - \mu) / (a' - a)) (\text{sqrt} ((\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2) / (a' - a)^2)) \ x$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof –
have ?lhs = $1 / \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) * \exp (- ((a' - a) * x - (\mu' - \mu))^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)))$
by(*simp add: ring-class.left-diff-distrib group-add-class.diff-diff-eq2 add commute add-diff-eq*)
also have ... = $1 / \text{sqrt} (2 * \pi * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)) * \exp (- ((a' - a)^2 * (x - (\mu' - \mu) / (a' - a))^2 / (2 * (\sigma^2 + \sigma'^2)))$
proof –
have $((a' - a) * x - (\mu' - \mu))^2 = ((a' - a) * (x - (\mu' - \mu) / (a' - a)))^2$
using *non0* **by**(*simp add: ring-class.right-diff-distrib*[*of a' - a x*])
also have ... = $(a' - a)^2 * (x - (\mu' - \mu) / (a' - a))^2$
by(*simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square*)
finally show ?thesis
by *simp*
qed

```

    also have ... = 1 / sqrt (2 * pi * (σ2 + σ'2)) * sqrt (2 * pi * (sqrt ((σ2 +
σ'2)/(a' - a)2))2) * normal-density ((μ' - μ) / (a' - a)) (sqrt ((σ2 + σ'2) / (a'
- a)2)) x
    using non0 by (simp add: normal-density-def)
    also have ... = ?rhs
    proof -
      have 1 / sqrt (2 * pi * (σ2 + σ'2)) * sqrt (2 * pi * (sqrt ((σ2 + σ'2)/(a' -
a)2))2) = 1 / |a' - a|
      using assms by (simp add: real-sqrt-divide[symmetric]) (simp add: real-sqrt-divide)
      thus ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: normal-density-times[OF assms(1,2), of μ - a*x y μ' - a'*x])
qed

```

The following is the normalizing constant of the program.

abbreviation $C \equiv \text{ennreal } ((4 * \text{sqrt } 2 / (\pi^2 * \text{sqrt } (66961 * \pi))) * (\exp (- (1674761 / 1674025))))$

lemma *program-normalizing-constant*:

```

  emeasure (density (distr (ν ⊗M ν) real-borel real-real.f) (obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r
+ b) ∘ real-real.g)) UNIV = C
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have ?lhs = (∫+ x. (obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b) ∘ real-real.g) x ∂ (distr (ν ⊗M
ν) real-borel real-real.f))
  by (simp add: emeasure-density)
  also have ... = (∫+ z. (obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b)) z ∂ (ν ⊗M ν))
  using nn-integral-distr[of real-real.f ν ⊗M ν real-borel obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r
+ b) ∘ real-real.g, simplified]
  by (simp add: comp-def)
  also have ... = (∫+ x. ∫+ y. (obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b)) (x, y) ∂ν ∂ν)
  by (simp only: ν-qp.nn-integral-snd[where f=(obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b)), simplified, symmetric])
  (simp add: ν-qp.Fubini[where f=(obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b)), simplified])
  also have ... = (∫+ x. 2 / 45 * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x *
normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 12)
x * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt 20) x * normal-density (5 / 3) (sqrt (181 /
180)) x ∂ν)
  proof (rule nn-integral-cong[where M=ν, simplified])
    fix x
    have [measurable]: (λy. obs (λr. x * r + y)) ∈ real-borel →M ennreal-borel
    using measurable-Pair2[of obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b)] by auto
    show (∫+ y. (obs ∘ (λ(s, b) r. s * r + b)) (x, y) ∂ν) = 2 / 45 * normal-density
(13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * normal-density
(13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 12) x * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt 20) x * normal-density
(5 / 3) (sqrt (181 / 180)) x

```



```

(is ?lhs' = ?rhs')
proof -
  have ?lhs' = (∫+ y. ennreal (d (5 / 2 - x) y * d (19 / 5 - x * 2) y * d
    (9 / 2 - x * 3) y * d (31 / 5 - x * 4) y * d (8 - x * 5) y * normal-density 0
    3 y) ∂lborel)
  by(simp add: nn-integral-density obs-def normal-density-mu-x-swap[where
    x=5/2] normal-density-mu-x-swap[where x=19/5] normal-density-mu-x-swap[where
    x=9/2] normal-density-mu-x-swap[where x=31/5] normal-density-mu-x-swap[where
    x=8] normal-density-plus-shift ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of ennreal (normal-density
    0 3 -)] ennreal-mult[symmetric])
  also have ... = (∫+ y. ennreal (2 / 45 * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt
    2) x * normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 /
    sqrt 12) x * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt 20) x * normal-density (5 / 3) (sqrt
    (181 / 180)) x * normal-density (20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * x)) (3 / (2 * sqrt 5)
    / sqrt (181 / 20)) y) ∂lborel)
  proof(rule nn-integral-cong[where M=lborel,simplified])
    fix y
    have d (5 / 2 - x) y * d (19 / 5 - x * 2) y * d (9 / 2 - x * 3) y
      * d (31 / 5 - x * 4) y * d (8 - x * 5) y * normal-density 0 3 y = 2 / 45 *
      normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6)
      x * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 12) x * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt
      20) x * normal-density (5 / 3) (sqrt (181 / 180)) x * normal-density (20 / 181
      * 9 * (5 - 3 * x)) (3 / (2 * sqrt 5) / sqrt (181 / 20)) y
      (is ?lhs'' = ?rhs'')
    proof -
      have ?lhs'' = normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * normal-density
        (63 / 20 - (3 / 2) * x) (sqrt 2 / 4) y * d (9 / 2 - x * 3) y * d (31 / 5 - x *
        4) y * d (8 - x * 5) y * normal-density 0 3 y
      proof -
        have d (5 / 2 - x) y * d (19 / 5 - x * 2) y = normal-density (13 /
        10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * normal-density (63 / 20 - (3 / 2) * x) (sqrt 2 / 4) y
        by(simp add: normal-density-times-minusx[of 1/2 1/2 1 2 5/2 x y
        19/5,simplified ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of 2 x],simplified])
        (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square real-sqrt-divide
        division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib)
      thus ?thesis
      by simp
    qed
    also have ... = normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * (2 / 3) *
      normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * normal-density (18 / 5 - 2 * x) (1 /
      (2 * sqrt 3)) y * d (31 / 5 - x * 4) y * d (8 - x * 5) y * normal-density 0 3 y
    proof -
      have 1:sqrt 2 * sqrt 8 / (8 * sqrt 3) = 1 / (2 * sqrt 3)
      by(simp add: real-sqrt-divide[symmetric] real-sqrt-mult[symmetric])
      have normal-density (63 / 20 - 3 / 2 * x) (sqrt 2 / 4) y * d (9 / 2
      - x * 3) y = (2 / 3) * normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * normal-density
      (18 / 5 - 2 * x) (1 / (2 * sqrt 3)) y
      by(simp add: normal-density-times-minusx[of sqrt 2 / 4 1 / 2 3 / 2 3 63
      / 20 x y 9 / 2,simplified ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of 3 x],simplified])

```

```

      (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square real-sqrt-divide
division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib 1)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
  also have ... = normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * (2 / 3) *
normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * (1 / 2) * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 /
sqrt 12) x * normal-density (17 / 4 - (5 / 2) * x) (1 / 4) y * d (8 - x * 5) y
* normal-density 0 3 y
  proof -
    have 1: normal-density (18 / 5 - 2 * x) (1 / (2 * sqrt 3)) y * d (31 / 5
- x * 4) y = (1 / 2) * normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 12) x * normal-density
(17 / 4 - 5 / 2 * x) (1 / 4) y
      by (simp add: normal-density-times-minusx[of 1 / (2 * sqrt 3) 1
/ 2 2 4 18 / 5 x y 31 / 5, simplified ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of 4
x], simplified])
    (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square real-sqrt-divide
division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp add: 1 mult.assoc)
  qed
  also have ... = normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * (2 / 3) *
normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * (1 / 2) * normal-density (13 / 10) (1
/ sqrt 12) x * (2 / 5) * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt 20) x * normal-density
(5 - 3 * x) (1 / (2 * sqrt 5)) y * normal-density 0 3 y
  proof -
    have 1: normal-density (17 / 4 - 5 / 2 * x) (1 / 4) y * d (8 - x * 5)
y = (2 / 5) * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt 20) x * normal-density (5 - 3 *
x) (1 / (2 * sqrt 5)) y
      by (simp add: normal-density-times-minusx[of 1 / 4 1 / 2 5 / 2 5 17
/ 4 x y 8, simplified ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute[of 5 x], simplified])
    (simp add: monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square real-sqrt-divide
division-ring-class.diff-divide-distrib)
  show ?thesis
    by (simp only: 1 mult.assoc)
  qed
  also have ... = normal-density (13 / 10) (1 / sqrt 2) x * (2 / 3) *
normal-density (9 / 10) (1 / sqrt 6) x * (1 / 2) * normal-density (13 / 10)
(1 / sqrt 12) x * (2 / 5) * normal-density (3 / 2) (1 / sqrt 20) x * (1 / 3) *
normal-density (5 / 3) (sqrt (181 / 180)) x * normal-density (20 / 181 * 9 * (5
- 3 * x)) ((3 / (2 * sqrt 5)) / sqrt (181 / 20)) y
  proof -
    have normal-density (5 - 3 * x) (1 / (2 * sqrt 5)) y * normal-density
0 3 y = (1 / 3) * normal-density (5 / 3) (sqrt (181 / 180)) x * normal-density
(20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * x)) ((3 / (2 * sqrt 5)) / sqrt (181 / 20)) y
      by (simp add: normal-density-times-minusx[of 1 / (2 * sqrt 5) 3 3 0 5
x y 0, simplified] monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square)
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp only: mult.assoc)

```

qed
also have ... = ?*rhs''*
by *simp*
finally show ?*thesis* .
qed
thus *ennreal*(*d* (5 / 2 - *x*) *y* * *d* (19 / 5 - *x* * 2) *y* * *d* (9 / 2 - *x* * 3) *y* * *d* (31 / 5 - *x* * 4) *y* * *d* (8 - *x* * 5) *y* * *normal-density* 0 3 *y*) = *ennreal* (2 / 45 * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 2) *x* * *normal-density* (9 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 6) *x* * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 12) *x* * *normal-density* (3 / 2) (1 / *sqrt* 20) *x* * *normal-density* (5 / 3) (*sqrt* (181 / 180)) *x* * *normal-density* (20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * *x*)) (3 / (2 * *sqrt* 5) / *sqrt* (181 / 20)) *y*)
by *simp*
qed
also have ... = ($\int^+$ *y*. *ennreal* (*normal-density* (20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * *x*)) (3 / (2 * *sqrt* 5) / *sqrt* (181 / 20)) *y*) * *ennreal* (2 / 45 * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 2) *x* * *normal-density* (9 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 6) *x* * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 12) *x* * *normal-density* (3 / 2) (1 / *sqrt* 20) *x* * *normal-density* (5 / 3) (*sqrt* (181 / 180)) *x*) ∂ *lborel*)
by(*simp add: ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult commute ennreal-mult'[symmetric]*)
also have ... = ($\int^+$ *y*. *ennreal* (2 / 45 * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 2) *x* * *normal-density* (9 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 6) *x* * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 12) *x* * *normal-density* (3 / 2) (1 / *sqrt* 20) *x* * *normal-density* (5 / 3) (*sqrt* (181 / 180)) *x*) ∂ (*density lborel* (λy . *ennreal* (*normal-density* (20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * *x*)) (3 / (2 * *sqrt* 5) / *sqrt* (181 / 20)) *y*))))
by(*simp add: nn-integral-density[of λy . ennreal (normal-density (20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * x)) (3 / (2 * sqrt 5) / sqrt (181 / 20)) y) lborel,simplified,symmetric]*)
also have ... = ?*rhs'*
by(*simp add: prob-space.emeasure-space-1[OF prob-space-normal-density[of 3 / (2 * sqrt 5 * sqrt (181 / 20)) 20 / 181 * 9 * (5 - 3 * x)],simplified]*)
finally show ?*thesis* .
qed
qed
also have ... = ($\int^+$ *x*. *ennreal* (2 / 45 * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 2) *x* * *normal-density* (9 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 6) *x* * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 12) *x* * *normal-density* (3 / 2) (1 / *sqrt* 20) *x* * *normal-density* (5 / 3) (*sqrt* (181 / 180)) *x* * *normal-density* 0 3 *x*) ∂ *lborel*)
by(*simp add: nn-integral-density ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult commute ennreal-mult'[symmetric]*)
also have ... = ($\int^+$ *x*. (4 * *sqrt* 2 / (π^2 * *sqrt* (66961 * π))) * *exp* (-(1674761 / 1674025)) * *normal-density* (450072 / 334805) (3 * *sqrt* 181 / *sqrt* 66961) *x* ∂ *lborel*)
proof(*rule nn-integral-cong[where M=lborel,simplified]*)
fix *x*
show *ennreal* (2 / 45 * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 2) *x* * *normal-density* (9 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 6) *x* * *normal-density* (13 / 10) (1 / *sqrt* 12) *x* * *normal-density* (3 / 2) (1 / *sqrt* 20) *x* * *normal-density* (5 / 3) (*sqrt* (181 / 180)) *x* * *normal-density* 0 3 *x*) = *ennreal* ((4 * *sqrt* 2 / (π^2 * *sqrt* (66961 * π))) * *exp* (-(1674761 / 1674025)) * *normal-density* (450072 / 334805) (3 * *sqrt* 181 / *sqrt* 66961) *x*)

proof –
have $2 / 45 * \text{normal-density } (13 / 10) (1 / \text{sqrt } 2) x * \text{normal-density } (9 / 10) (1 / \text{sqrt } 6) x * \text{normal-density } (13 / 10) (1 / \text{sqrt } 12) x * \text{normal-density } (3 / 2) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x * \text{normal-density } (5 / 3) (\text{sqrt } (181 / 180)) x * \text{normal-density } 0 \ 3 \ x = (4 * \text{sqrt } 2 / (\text{pi}^2 * \text{sqrt } (66961 * \text{pi}))) * \exp (- (1674761 / 1674025)) * \text{normal-density } (450072 / 334805) (3 * \text{sqrt } 181 / \text{sqrt } 66961) x$
(is ?lhs' = ?rhs')
proof –
have $?lhs' = 2 / 45 * \exp (- (3 / 25)) / \text{sqrt } (4 * \text{pi} / 3) * \text{normal-density } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 8) x * \text{normal-density } (13 / 10) (1 / \text{sqrt } 12) x * \text{normal-density } (3 / 2) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x * \text{normal-density } (5 / 3) (\text{sqrt } (181 / 180)) x * \text{normal-density } 0 \ 3 \ x$
by(simp add: normal-density-times' monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square real-sqrt-mult[symmetric])
also have $\dots = (2 / (15 * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } 5)) * \exp (- (42 / 125)) * \text{normal-density } (59 / 50) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x * \text{normal-density } (3 / 2) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x * \text{normal-density } (5 / 3) (\text{sqrt } (181 / 180)) x * \text{normal-density } 0 \ 3 \ x$
proof –
have $1:\text{sqrt } 8 * \text{sqrt } 12 * \text{sqrt } (5 / 24) = \text{sqrt } 20$
by(simp add:real-sqrt-mult[symmetric])
have $2:\text{sqrt } (5 * \text{pi} / 12) * (45 * \text{sqrt } (4 * \text{pi} / 3)) = 15 * (\text{pi} * \text{sqrt } 5)$
by(simp add: real-sqrt-mult[symmetric] real-sqrt-divide) (simp add: real-sqrt-mult real-sqrt-mult[of 4 5,simplified])
have $2 / 45 * \exp (- (3 / 25)) / \text{sqrt } (4 * \text{pi} / 3) * \text{normal-density } 1 (1 / \text{sqrt } 8) x * \text{normal-density } (13 / 10) (1 / \text{sqrt } 12) x = (6 / (45 * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } 5)) * \exp (- (42 / 125)) * \text{normal-density } (59 / 50) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x$
by(simp add: normal-density-times' monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square mult-exp-exp[of - (3 / 25) - (27 / 125),simplified,symmetric] 1 2)
thus ?thesis
by simp
qed
also have $\dots = 2 / (15 * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } \text{pi}) * \exp (- (106 / 125)) * \text{normal-density } (67 / 50) (\text{sqrt } 10 / 20) x * \text{normal-density } (5 / 3) (\text{sqrt } (181 / 180)) x * \text{normal-density } 0 \ 3 \ x$
proof –
have $2 / (15 * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } 5) * \exp (- (42 / 125)) * \text{normal-density } (59 / 50) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x * \text{normal-density } (3 / 2) (1 / \text{sqrt } 20) x = 2 / (15 * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } \text{pi}) * \exp (- (106 / 125)) * \text{normal-density } (67 / 50) (\text{sqrt } 10 / 20) x$
by(simp add: normal-density-times' monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square mult-exp-exp[of - (42 / 125) - (64 / 125),simplified,symmetric] real-sqrt-divide) (simp add: mult.commute)
thus ?thesis
by simp
qed
also have $\dots = ((4 * \text{sqrt } 5) / (5 * \text{pi}^2 * \text{sqrt } 371)) * \exp (- (5961 / 6625)) * \text{normal-density } (1786 / 1325) (\text{sqrt } 905 / (10 * \text{sqrt } 371)) x * \text{normal-density } 0 \ 3 \ x$
proof –
have $1:\text{sqrt } (371 * \text{pi} / 180) * (15 * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } \text{pi}) = 5 * \text{pi} * \text{pi} * \text{sqrt } 371$

```

371 / (2 * sqrt 5)
  by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult real-sqrt-divide real-sqrt-mult[of 36 5,simplified])
    have 22:10 = sqrt 5 * 2 * sqrt 5 by simp
    have 2:sqrt 10 * sqrt (181 / 180) / (20 * sqrt (371 / 360)) = sqrt 905
/ (10 * sqrt 371)
  by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult real-sqrt-divide real-sqrt-mult[of 36 5,simplified]
real-sqrt-mult[of 36 10,simplified] real-sqrt-mult[of 181 5,simplified])
    (simp add: mult.assoc[symmetric] 22)
    have 2 / (15 * pi * sqrt pi) * exp (- (106 / 125)) * normal-density (67
/ 50) (sqrt 10 / 20) x * normal-density (5 / 3) (sqrt (181 / 180)) x = 4 * sqrt
5 / (5 * pi2 * sqrt 371) * exp (- (5961 / 6625)) * normal-density (1786 / 1325)
(sqrt 905 / (10 * sqrt 371)) x
  by (simp add: normal-density-times' monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square
mult-exp-exp[of - (106 / 125) - (343 / 6625),simplified,symmetric] 1 2)
    (simp add: mult.assoc)
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed
also have ... = ?rhs'
proof -
  have 1: 4 * sqrt 5 / (sqrt (66961 * pi / 3710)) * (5 * (pi * pi) * sqrt
371)) = 4 * sqrt 2 / (pi2 * sqrt (66961 * pi))
  by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult[of 10 371,simplified] real-sqrt-mult[of 5
2,simplified] real-sqrt-divide monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square mult.assoc)
    (simp add: mult.assoc[symmetric])
  have 2: sqrt 905 * 3 / (10 * sqrt 371 * sqrt (66961 / 7420)) = 3 * sqrt
181 / sqrt 66961
  by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult[of 371 20,simplified] real-sqrt-divide real-sqrt-mult[of
4 5,simplified] real-sqrt-mult[of 181 5,simplified] mult.commute[of - 3])
    (simp add: mult.assoc)
  show ?thesis
  by (simp only: 1[symmetric]) (simp add: normal-density-times' monoid-mult-class.power2-eq-square
mult-exp-exp[of - (5961 / 6625) - (44657144 / 443616625),simplified,symmetric]
2)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
thus ?thesis
  by simp
qed
qed
also have ... = (∫+ x. ennreal (normal-density (450072 / 334805) (3 * sqrt
181 / sqrt 66961) x) * (ennreal (4 * sqrt 2 / (pi2 * sqrt (66961 * pi)))) * exp (-
(1674761 / 1674025))) ∂lborel)
  by (simp add: ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute ennreal-mult'[symmetric])
  also have ... = (∫+ x. (ennreal (4 * sqrt 2 / (pi2 * sqrt (66961 * pi)))) * exp
(- (1674761 / 1674025))) ∂(density lborel (λx. ennreal (normal-density (450072
/ 334805) (3 * sqrt 181 / sqrt 66961) x))))
  by (simp add: nn-integral-density[symmetric])

```

```

also have ... = ?rhs
by(simp add: prob-space.emeasure-space-1 [OF prob-space-normal-density,simplified]
ennreal-mult'[symmetric])
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

The program returns a probability measure, rather than error.

```

lemma program-result:
  qbs-prob ( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ ) (( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g) (density (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ )
  real-borel real-real.f) ( $\lambda r. (obs \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ real-real.g) r / C$ ))
  program = Inl (qbs-prob-space ( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ , ( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g,
  density (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f) ( $\lambda r. (obs \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ$ 
  real-real.g)  $r / C$ )))
  using norm-qbs-measure-computation[OF push-forward-measure-computation(1),simplified
  program-normalizing-constant]
  norm-qbs-measure-qbs-prob[OF push-forward-measure-computation(1),simplified
  program-normalizing-constant]
  by(simp-all add: push-forward-measure-computation program-def comp-def)

```

```

lemma program-inl:
  program  $\in$  Inl ' (qbs-space (monadP-qbs ( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )))
  using program-in-space[simplified program-result(2)]
  by(auto simp: image-def program-result(2))

```

```

lemma program-result-measure:
  qbs-prob-measure (qbs-prob-space ( $\mathbb{R}_Q \Rightarrow_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ , ( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g,
  density (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f) ( $\lambda r. (obs \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ$ 
  real-real.g)  $r / C$ )))
  = density (qbs-prob-measure prior) ( $\lambda k. obs k / C$ )
  (is ?lhs = ?rhs)

```

```

proof -
  interpret qp: qbs-prob exp-qbs  $\mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$  ( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g density
  (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f) ( $\lambda r. (obs \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ real-real.g)$ 
   $r / C$ )
  by(rule program-result(1))
  have ?lhs = distr (density (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f) ( $\lambda r. obs (((\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ real-real.g) r) / C$ )) (qbs-to-measure (exp-qbs  $\mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )) (( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g)
  using qp.qbs-prob-measure-computation by simp
  also have ... = density (distr (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f) (qbs-to-measure
  (exp-qbs  $\mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )) (( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g)) ( $\lambda k. obs k / C$ )
  by(simp add: density-distr)
  also have ... = ?rhs
  by(simp add: distr-distr comp-def prior-measure)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma program-result-measure':
  qbs-prob-measure (qbs-prob-space (exp-qbs  $\mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ , ( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )  $\circ$  real-real.g,

```

```

density (distr ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) real-borel real-real.f) ( $\lambda r. (obs \circ (\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b) \circ$ 
real-real.g)  $r / C$ )))
  = distr (density ( $\nu \otimes_M \nu$ ) ( $\lambda(s, b). obs (\lambda r. s * r + b) / C$ )) (qbs-to-measure
(exp-qbs  $\mathbb{R}_Q \mathbb{R}_Q$ )) ( $\lambda(s, b) r. s * r + b$ )
  by(simp only: program-result-measure distr-distr) (simp add: density-distr split-beta'
prior-measure)

end

```

References

- [1] C. Heunen, O. Kammar, S. Staton, and H. Yang. A convenient category for higher-order probability theory. In *Proceedings of the 32nd Annual ACM/IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, LICS '17*. IEEE Press, 2017.