

Quantum Hoare Logic

Junyi Liu, Bohua Zhan, Shuling Wang, Shenggang Ying,
Tao Liu, Yangjia Li, Mingsheng Ying, and Naijun Zhan

October 13, 2025

Abstract

We formalize quantum Hoare logic as given in [1]. In particular, we specify the syntax and denotational semantics of a simple model of quantum programs. Then, we write down the rules of quantum Hoare logic for partial correctness, and show the soundness and completeness of the resulting proof system. As an application, we verify the correctness of Grover's algorithm.

Contents

1	Complex matrices	2
1.1	Trace of a matrix	2
1.2	Conjugate of a vector	4
1.3	Inner product	4
1.4	Hermitian adjoint of a matrix	7
1.5	Algebraic manipulations on matrices	9
1.6	Hermitian matrices	11
1.7	Inverse matrices	11
1.8	Unitary matrices	12
1.9	Normalization of vectors	14
1.10	Spectral decomposition of normal complex matrices	18
1.11	Outer product	35
1.12	Semi-definite matrices	38
1.13	Löwner partial order	47
1.14	Density operators	53
2	Matrix limits	56
2.1	Definition of limit of matrices	56
2.2	Existence of least upper bound for the Löwner order	71
2.3	Finite sum of matrices	86
2.4	Measurement	95

3	Quantum programs	102
3.1	Syntax	102
3.2	Denotational semantics	102
4	Partial state	131
4.1	Encodings	141
4.2	Tensor product of vectors and matrices	144
4.3	Extension of matrices	152
4.4	Partial tensor product	154
4.5	Partial extensions	159
4.6	Commands on subset of variables	169
5	Standard gates	169
6	Partial and total correctness	171
6.1	Weakest liberal preconditions	175
6.2	Hoare triples for partial correctness	197
6.3	Consequences of completeness	208
7	Grover’s algorithm	209
7.1	Basic definitions	209
7.2	Grover operator	217
7.3	State of Grover’s algorithm	219
7.4	Grover’s algorithm	224
7.5	Correctness	231

1 Complex matrices

```

theory Complex-Matrix
  imports
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Matrix
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Conjugate
    Jordan-Normal-Form.Jordan-Normal-Form-Existence
begin

```

1.1 Trace of a matrix

```

definition trace :: 'a::ring mat  $\Rightarrow$  'a where
  trace A = ( $\sum$   $i \in \{0 \dots \dim\text{-row } A\}$ . A $$ (i,i))

```

```

lemma trace-zero [simp]:
  trace (0m n n) = 0
  by (simp add: trace-def)

```

```

lemma trace-id [simp]:
  trace (1m n) = n
  by (simp add: trace-def)

```

lemma *trace-comm*:

fixes $A\ B :: 'a::comm-ring\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier_mat\ n\ n$ **and** $B: B \in carrier_mat\ n\ n$
shows $trace\ (A * B) = trace\ (B * A)$
proof (*simp add: trace-def*)
have $(\sum i = 0..<n. (A * B)\ \$\$ (i, i)) = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, j) * B\ \$\$ (j, i))$
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. \sum i = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, j) * B\ \$\$ (j, i))$
by (*rule sum.swap*)
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. col\ A\ j \cdot row\ B\ j)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) A\ B\ atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD index-col index-row scalar-prod-def sum.cong*)
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. row\ B\ j \cdot col\ A\ j)$
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **apply** *auto*
apply (*subst comm-scalar-prod[where n=n]*) **apply** *auto*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum j = 0..<n. (B * A)\ \$\$ (j, j))$
apply (*rule sum.cong*) **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
finally show $(\sum i = 0..<dim_row\ A. (A * B)\ \$\$ (i, i)) = (\sum i = 0..<dim_row\ B. (B * A)\ \$\$ (i, i))$
using $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-add-linear*:

fixes $A\ B :: 'a::comm-ring\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier_mat\ n\ n$ **and** $B: B \in carrier_mat\ n\ n$
shows $trace\ (A + B) = trace\ A + trace\ B$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i) + B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) + (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **by** (*auto simp add: sum.distrib*)
finally have $l: ?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) + (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i)).$
have $r: ?rhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) + (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
from $l\ r$ **show** $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-minus-linear*:

fixes $A\ B :: 'a::comm-ring\ mat$
assumes $A: A \in carrier_mat\ n\ n$ **and** $B: B \in carrier_mat\ n\ n$
shows $trace\ (A - B) = trace\ A - trace\ B$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –
have $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i) - B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding** *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i, i)) - (\sum i=0..<n. B\ \$\$ (i, i))$ **by** (*auto simp add: sum-subtractf*)

finally have l : $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\$\$(i, i)) - (\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i)).$
have r : $?rhs = (\sum i=0..<n. A\$\$(i, i)) - (\sum i=0..<n. B\$\$(i, i))$ **unfolding**
trace-def using A B by auto
from l r **show** $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

lemma trace-smult:
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m A) = c * \text{trace } A$
proof –
have $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m A) = (\sum i = 0..<\text{dim-row } A. c * A \ \$\$ (i, i))$ **unfolding**
trace-def using assms by auto
also have $\dots = c * (\sum i = 0..<\text{dim-row } A. A \ \$\$ (i, i))$
by (*simp add: sum-distrib-left*)
also have $\dots = c * \text{trace } A$ **unfolding trace-def by auto**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

1.2 Conjugate of a vector

lemma conjugate-scalar-prod:
fixes $v \ w :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-ring } \text{vec}$
assumes $\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-vec } w$
shows $\text{conjugate } (v \cdot w) = \text{conjugate } v \cdot \text{conjugate } w$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-conjugate conjugate-dist-mul*)

1.3 Inner product

abbreviation $\text{inner-prod} :: 'a \ \text{vec} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{vec} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{conjugatable-ring}$
where $\text{inner-prod } v \ w \equiv w \cdot c \ v$

lemma conjugate-scalar-prod-Im [*simp*]:
 $\text{Im } (v \cdot c \ v) = 0$
by (*simp add: scalar-prod-def conjugate-vec-def sum.neutral*)

lemma conjugate-scalar-prod-Re [*simp*]:
 $\text{Re } (v \cdot c \ v) \geq 0$
by (*simp add: scalar-prod-def conjugate-vec-def sum-nonneg*)

lemma self-cscalar-prod-geq-0:
fixes $v :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-ordered-field } \text{vec}$
shows $v \cdot c \ v \geq 0$
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def, rule sum-nonneg, rule conjugate-square-positive*)

lemma inner-prod-distrib-left:
fixes $u \ v \ w :: ('a::\text{conjugatable-field}) \ \text{vec}$
assumes $\text{dim}u: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $\text{dim}v:v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $\text{dim}w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{inner-prod } (v + w) \ u = \text{inner-prod } v \ u + \text{inner-prod } w \ u$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –

```

  have dimcv: conjugate v ∈ carrier-vec n and dimcw: conjugate w ∈ carrier-vec
n using assms by auto
  have dimvw: conjugate (v + w) ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  have u • (conjugate (v + w)) = u • conjugate v + u • conjugate w
    using dimv dimw dimu dimcv dimcw
    by (metis conjugate-add-vec scalar-prod-add-distrib)
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma inner-prod-distrib-right:
  fixes u v w :: ('a::conjugatable-field) vec
  assumes dimu: u ∈ carrier-vec n and dimv:v ∈ carrier-vec n and dimw: w ∈
carrier-vec n
  shows inner-prod u (v + w) = inner-prod u v + inner-prod u w (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have dimvw: v + w ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  have dimcu: conjugate u ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  have (v + w) • (conjugate u) = v • conjugate u + w • conjugate u
    apply (simp add: comm-scalar-prod[OF dimvw dimcu])
    apply (simp add: scalar-prod-add-distrib[OF dimcu dimv dimw])
    apply (insert dimv dimw dimcu, simp add: comm-scalar-prod[of - n])
    done
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma inner-prod-minus-distrib-right:
  fixes u v w :: ('a::conjugatable-field) vec
  assumes dimu: u ∈ carrier-vec n and dimv:v ∈ carrier-vec n and dimw: w ∈
carrier-vec n
  shows inner-prod u (v - w) = inner-prod u v - inner-prod u w (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
proof -
  have dimvw: v - w ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  have dimcu: conjugate u ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  have (v - w) • (conjugate u) = v • conjugate u - w • conjugate u
    apply (simp add: comm-scalar-prod[OF dimvw dimcu])
    apply (simp add: scalar-prod-minus-distrib[OF dimcu dimv dimw])
    apply (insert dimv dimw dimcu, simp add: comm-scalar-prod[of - n])
    done
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma inner-prod-smult-right:
  fixes u v :: complex vec
  assumes dimu: u ∈ carrier-vec n and dimv:v ∈ carrier-vec n
  shows inner-prod (a •v u) v = conjugate a * inner-prod u v (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
  using assms apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def conjugate-dist-mul)
  apply (subst sum-distrib-left) by (rule sum.cong, auto)

```

```

lemma inner-prod-smult-left:

```

```

fixes  $u\ v :: \text{complex vec}$ 
assumes  $\text{dimu}: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $\text{dimv}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } u\ (a \cdot_v v) = a * \text{inner-prod } u\ v$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
using assms apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
apply (subst sum-distrib-left) by (rule sum.cong, auto)

lemma inner-prod-smult-left-right:
  fixes  $u\ v :: \text{complex vec}$ 
  assumes  $\text{dimu}: u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $\text{dimv}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $\text{inner-prod } (a \cdot_v u)\ (b \cdot_v v) = \text{conjugate } a * b * \text{inner-prod } u\ v$  (is  $?lhs = ?rhs$ )
  using assms apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  apply (subst sum-distrib-left) by (rule sum.cong, auto)

lemma inner-prod-swap:
  fixes  $x\ y :: \text{complex vec}$ 
  assumes  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $\text{inner-prod } y\ x = \text{conjugate } (\text{inner-prod } x\ y)$ 
  apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  apply (rule sum.cong) using assms by auto

  Cauchy-Schwarz theorem for complex vectors. This is analogous to
  aux_Cauchy and Cauchy_Schwarz_ineq in Generalizations2.thy in QR_De-
  composition. Consider merging and moving to Isabelle library.

lemma aux-Cauchy:
  fixes  $x\ y :: \text{complex vec}$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } x\ x + a * (\text{inner-prod } x\ y) + (\text{cnj } a) * ((\text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x\ y)) + a * (\text{inner-prod } y\ y))$ 
  proof –
    have  $(\text{inner-prod } (x + a \cdot_v y)\ (x + a \cdot_v y)) = (\text{inner-prod } (x + a \cdot_v y)\ x) + (\text{inner-prod } (x + a \cdot_v y)\ (a \cdot_v y))$ 
    apply (subst inner-prod-distrib-right) using assms by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{inner-prod } x\ x + (a) * (\text{inner-prod } x\ y) + \text{cnj } a * ((\text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x\ y)) + (a) * (\text{inner-prod } y\ y))$ 
    apply (subst (1 2) inner-prod-distrib-left[of - n]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    apply (subst (1 2) inner-prod-smult-right[of - n]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    apply (subst inner-prod-smult-left[of - n]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    apply (subst inner-prod-swap[of y n x]) apply (auto simp add: assms)
    unfolding distrib-left
    by auto
    finally show  $?thesis$  by (metis self-cscalar-prod-geq-0)
  qed

lemma Cauchy-Schwarz-complex-vec:
  fixes  $x\ y :: \text{complex vec}$ 
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and  $y \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows  $\text{inner-prod } x\ y * \text{inner-prod } y\ x \leq \text{inner-prod } x\ x * \text{inner-prod } y\ y$ 
  proof –

```

```

define cnj-a where cnj-a = - (inner-prod x y) / cnj (inner-prod y y)
define a where a = cnj (cnj-a)
have cnj-rw: (cnj a) = cnj-a
  unfolding a-def by (simp)
have rw-0: cnj (inner-prod x y) + a * (inner-prod y y) = 0
  unfolding a-def cnj-a-def using assms(1) assms(2) conjugate-square-eq-0-vec
by fastforce
  have  $0 \leq (\text{inner-prod } x x + a * (\text{inner-prod } x y) + (\text{cnj } a) * ((\text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x y)) + a * (\text{inner-prod } y y)))$ 
    using aux-Cauchy assms by auto
  also have ... = (inner-prod x x + a * (inner-prod x y)) unfolding rw-0 by auto
  also have ... = (inner-prod x x - (inner-prod x y) * cnj (inner-prod x y) / (inner-prod y y))
    unfolding a-def cnj-a-def by simp
  finally have  $0 \leq (\text{inner-prod } x x - (\text{inner-prod } x y) * \text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x y) / (\text{inner-prod } y y))$  .
  hence  $0 \leq (\text{inner-prod } x x - (\text{inner-prod } x y) * \text{cnj } (\text{inner-prod } x y) / (\text{inner-prod } y y)) * (\text{inner-prod } y y)$ 
    by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  also have ... = ((inner-prod x x)*(inner-prod y y) - (inner-prod x y) * cnj (inner-prod x y))
    by (smt (verit) add.inverse-neutral add.diff-cancel diff-0 diff-divide-eq-iff divide-cancel-right mult-eq-0-iff nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right rw-0)
  finally have (inner-prod x y) * cnj (inner-prod x y) ≤ (inner-prod x x)*(inner-prod y y) by auto
  then show ?thesis
  apply (subst inner-prod-swap[of y n x]) by (auto simp add: assms)
qed

```

1.4 Hermitian adjoint of a matrix

abbreviation *adjoint* **where** *adjoint* ≡ *mat-adjoint*

lemma *adjoint-dim-row* [*simp*]:

dim-row (*adjoint A*) = *dim-col A* **by** (*simp add: mat-adjoint-def*)

lemma *adjoint-dim-col* [*simp*]:

dim-col (*adjoint A*) = *dim-row A* **by** (*simp add: mat-adjoint-def*)

lemma *adjoint-dim*:

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \implies \text{adjoint } A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

using *adjoint-dim-col* *adjoint-dim-row* **by** *blast*

lemma *adjoint-def*:

adjoint A = *mat* (*dim-col A*) (*dim-row A*) ($\lambda(i,j). \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j,i))$)

unfolding *mat-adjoint-def* *mat-of-rows-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *adjoint-eval*:

assumes $i < \dim\text{-col } A \ j < \dim\text{-row } A$
shows $(\text{adjoint } A) \ \$\$ (i,j) = \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j,i))$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: adjoint-def*)

lemma *adjoint-row*:
assumes $i < \dim\text{-col } A$
shows $\text{row } (\text{adjoint } A) \ i = \text{conjugate } (\text{col } A \ i)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

lemma *adjoint-col*:
assumes $i < \dim\text{-row } A$
shows $\text{col } (\text{adjoint } A) \ i = \text{conjugate } (\text{row } A \ i)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

The identity $\langle v, A \ w \rangle = \langle A^* \ v, w \rangle$

lemma *adjoint-def-alter*:
fixes $v \ w :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field vec}$
and $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
assumes $\dim s: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n \ w \in \text{carrier-vec } m \ A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$
shows $\text{inner-prod } v \ (A \ *_v \ w) = \text{inner-prod } (\text{adjoint } A \ *_v \ v) \ w$ (**is** $?lhs = ?rhs$)
proof –
from *dims* **have** $?lhs = (\sum i=0..<\dim\text{-vec } v. (\sum j=0..<\dim\text{-vec } w. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * w\$j))$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, simp*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)
done
moreover from *assms* **have** $?rhs = (\sum i=0..<\dim\text{-vec } v. (\sum j=0..<\dim\text{-vec } w. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * w\$j))$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval*
 $\text{sum-conjugate conjugate-dist-mul sum-distrib-left}$)
apply (*subst sum.swap[where ?A = {0..<n}]*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, simp*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)
done
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *adjoint-one*:
shows $\text{adjoint } (1_m \ n) = (1_m \ n::\text{complex mat})$
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

lemma *adjoint-scale*:
fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
shows $\text{adjoint } (a \cdot_m A) = (\text{conjugate } a) \cdot_m \text{adjoint } A$
apply (*rule eq-matI*) **using** *conjugatable-ring-class.conjugate-dist-mul*

```

by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma adjoint-add:
  fixes A B :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n m B ∈ carrier-mat n m
  shows adjoint (A + B) = adjoint A + adjoint B
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  using assms conjugatable-ring-class.conjugate-dist-add
  by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma adjoint-minus:
  fixes A B :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n m B ∈ carrier-mat n m
  shows adjoint (A - B) = adjoint A - adjoint B
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  using assms apply (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)
  by (metis add-uminus-conv-diff conjugate-dist-add conjugate-neg)

lemma adjoint-mult:
  fixes A B :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n m B ∈ carrier-mat m l
  shows adjoint (A * B) = adjoint B * adjoint A
proof (rule eq-matI, auto simp add: adjoint-eval adjoint-row adjoint-col)
  fix i j
  assume i < dim-col B j < dim-row A
  show conjugate (row A j • col B i) = conjugate (col B i) • conjugate (row A j)
    using assms apply (simp add: conjugate-scalar-prod)
    apply (subst comm-scalar-prod[where n=dim-row B])
    by (auto simp add: carrier-vecI)
qed

lemma adjoint-adjoint:
  fixes A :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
  shows adjoint (adjoint A) = A
  by (rule eq-matI, auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma trace-adjoint-positive:
  fixes A :: complex mat
  shows trace (A * adjoint A) ≥ 0
  apply (auto simp add: trace-def adjoint-col)
  apply (rule sum-nonneg) by auto



## 1.5 Algebraic manipulations on matrices



lemma right-add-zero-mat[simp]:
  (A :: 'a :: monoid-add mat) ∈ carrier-mat nr nc ⟹ A + 0m nr nc = A
  by (intro eq-matI, auto)

lemma add-carrier-mat':

```

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies A + B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc$

by *simp*

lemma *minus-carrier-mat'*:

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc \implies A - B \in \text{carrier-mat } nr \ nc$

by *auto*

lemma *swap-plus-mat*:

fixes $A \ B \ C :: 'a::\text{semiring-1} \ \text{mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $A + B + C = A + C + B$

by (*metis assms assoc-add-mat comm-add-mat*)

lemma *uminus-mat*:

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $-A = (-1) \cdot_m A$

by *auto*

ML-file *mat-alg.ML*

method-setup *mat-assoc* = $\langle \text{mat-assoc-method} \rangle$

Normalization of expressions on matrices

lemma *mat-assoc-test*:

fixes $A \ B \ C \ D :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows

$(A * B) * (C * D) = A * B * C * D$

$\text{adjoint } (A * \text{adjoint } B) * C = B * (\text{adjoint } A * C)$

$A * 1_m \ n * 1_m \ n * B * 1_m \ n = A * B$

$(A - B) + (B - C) = A + (-B) + B + (-C)$

$A + (B - C) = A + B - C$

$A - (B + C + D) = A - B - C - D$

$(A + B) * (B + C) = A * B + B * B + A * C + B * C$

$A - B = A + (-1) \cdot_m B$

$A * (B - C) * D = A * B * D - A * C * D$

$\text{trace } (A * B * C) = \text{trace } (B * C * A)$

$\text{trace } (A * B * C * D) = \text{trace } (C * D * A * B)$

$\text{trace } (A + B * C) = \text{trace } A + \text{trace } (C * B)$

$A + B = B + A$

$A + B + C = C + B + A$

$A + B + (C + D) = A + C + (B + D)$

using *assms* **by** (*mat-assoc n*) $+$

1.6 Hermitian matrices

A Hermitian matrix is a matrix that is equal to its Hermitian adjoint.

definition *hermitian* :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
hermitian $A \longleftrightarrow (\text{adjoint } A = A)$

lemma *hermitian-one*:

shows *hermitian* $((1_m \ n)::('a::conjugatable-field \text{ mat}))$

unfolding *hermitian-def*

proof –

have *conjugate* $(1::'a) = 1$

apply (*subst mult-1-right*[*symmetric*, *of conjugate 1*])

apply (*subst conjugate-id*[*symmetric*, *of conjugate 1 * 1*])

apply (*subst conjugate-dist-mul*)

apply *auto*

done

then show *adjoint* $((1_m \ n)::('a::conjugatable-field \text{ mat})) = (1_m \ n)$

by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

qed

1.7 Inverse matrices

lemma *inverts-mat-symm*:

fixes $A \ B :: 'a::field \text{ mat}$

assumes *dim*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and AB : *inverts-mat* $A \ B$

shows *inverts-mat* $B \ A$

proof –

have $A * B = 1_m \ n$ **using** *dim AB* **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

with *dim* **have** $B * A = 1_m \ n$ **by** (*rule mat-mult-left-right-inverse*)

then show *inverts-mat* $B \ A$ **using** *dim inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *inverts-mat-unique*:

fixes $A \ B \ C :: 'a::field \text{ mat}$

assumes *dim*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and AB : *inverts-mat* $A \ B$ **and** AC : *inverts-mat* $A \ C$

shows $B = C$

proof –

have $AB1$: $A * B = 1_m \ n$ **using** AB *dim* **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

have $A * C = 1_m \ n$ **using** AC *dim* **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then have $CA1$: $C * A = 1_m \ n$ **using** *mat-mult-left-right-inverse*[*of A n C*] *dim*

by *auto*

then have $C = C * 1_m \ n$ **using** *dim* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = C * (A * B)$ **using** $AB1$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = (C * A) * B$ **using** *dim* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * B$ **using** $CA1$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = B$ **using** *dim* **by** *auto*

finally show $B = C$..

qed

1.8 Unitary matrices

A unitary matrix is a matrix whose Hermitian adjoint is also its inverse.

definition *unitary* :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
unitary $A \iff A \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{dim-row } A) (\text{dim-row } A) \wedge \text{inverts-mat } A (\text{adjoint } A)$

lemma *unitaryD2*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

shows $\text{unitary } A \implies \text{inverts-mat } (\text{adjoint } A) \ A$

using *assms adjoint-dim inverts-mat-symm unitary-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *unitary-simps* [*simp*]:

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \implies \text{unitary } A \implies \text{adjoint } A * A = 1_m \ n$

$A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \implies \text{unitary } A \implies A * \text{adjoint } A = 1_m \ n$

apply (*metis adjoint-dim-row carrier-matD(2) inverts-mat-def unitaryD2*)

by (*simp add: inverts-mat-def unitary-def*)

lemma *unitary-adjoint* [*simp*]:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ *unitary* A

shows *unitary* ($\text{adjoint } A$)

unfolding *unitary-def*

using *adjoint-dim[OF assms(1)] assms* **by** (*auto simp add: unitaryD2[OF assms] adjoint-adjoint*)

lemma *unitary-one*:

shows *unitary* $((1_m \ n)::('a::conjugatable-field \text{mat}))$

unfolding *unitary-def*

proof –

define I **where** $I\text{-def}[simp]: I \equiv ((1_m \ n)::('a::conjugatable-field \text{mat}))$

have $\text{dim}: I \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **by** *auto*

have *hermitian* I **using** *hermitian-one* **by** *auto*

hence $\text{adjoint } I = I$ **using** *hermitian-def* **by** *auto*

with dim **show** $I \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{dim-row } I) (\text{dim-row } I) \wedge \text{inverts-mat } I (\text{adjoint } I)$

unfolding *inverts-mat-def* **using** dim **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *unitary-zero*:

fixes $A :: 'a::conjugatable-field \text{mat}$

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } 0 \ 0$

shows *unitary* A

unfolding *unitary-def inverts-mat-def Let-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *unitary-elim*:

assumes $\text{dims}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$

and $\text{uP}: \text{unitary } P$ **and** $\text{eq}: P * A * \text{adjoint } P = P * B * \text{adjoint } P$

shows $A = B$
proof –
 have $\text{dima}P$: $\text{adjoint } P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dims **by** auto
 have iv : $\text{inverts-mat } P (\text{adjoint } P)$ **using** uP unitary-def **by** auto
 then have $P * (\text{adjoint } P) = 1_m \ n$ **using** $\text{inverts-mat-def dims}$ **by** auto
 then have aPP : $\text{adjoint } P * P = 1_m \ n$ **using** $\text{mat-mult-left-right-inverse}[OF \text{ dims}(3) \text{ dima}P]$ **by** auto
 have $\text{adjoint } P * (P * A * \text{adjoint } P) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * A * (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using $\text{dims dima}P$ **by** $(\text{mat-assoc } n)$
 also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * A * 1_m \ n$ **using** aPP **by** auto
 also have $\dots = A$ **using** dims **by** auto
 finally have eqA : $A = \text{adjoint } P * (P * A * \text{adjoint } P) * P$..
 have $\text{adjoint } P * (P * B * \text{adjoint } P) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * B * (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using $\text{dims dima}P$ **by** $(\text{mat-assoc } n)$
 also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * B * 1_m \ n$ **using** aPP **by** auto
 also have $\dots = B$ **using** dims **by** auto
 finally have eqB : $B = \text{adjoint } P * (P * B * \text{adjoint } P) * P$..
 then show $?thesis$ **using** eqA eqB eq **by** auto
qed

lemma *unitary-is-corthogonal*:
 fixes $U :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$
 assumes dim : $U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
 and U : *unitary* U
 shows *corthogonal-mat* U
 unfolding *corthogonal-mat-def* *Let-def*
proof (*rule conjI*)
 have dima : $\text{adjoint } U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dim **by** auto
 have aUU : $\text{mat-adjoint } U * U = (1_m \ n)$
apply ($\text{insert } U[\text{unfolded unitary-def}] \text{ dim dima, drule conjunct2}$)
apply ($\text{drule inverts-mat-symm}[of \ U, OF \ \text{dim dima}], \text{unfold inverts-mat-def, auto}$)
 done
 then show *diagonal-mat* $(\text{mat-adjoint } U * U)$
by (*simp add: diagonal-mat-def*)
 show $\forall i < \text{dim-col } U. (\text{mat-adjoint } U * U) \$\$ (i, i) \neq 0$ **using** dim **by** (*simp add: aUU*)
qed

lemma *unitary-times-unitary*:
 fixes $P \ Q :: 'a:: \text{conjugatable-field mat}$
 assumes dim : $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \ Q \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
 and uP : *unitary* P and uQ : *unitary* Q
 shows *unitary* $(P * Q)$
proof –
 have dim-pq : $P * Q \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dim **by** auto
 have $(P * Q) * \text{adjoint } (P * Q) = P * (Q * \text{adjoint } Q) * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** dim

by (mat-assoc n)
 also have ... = $P * (1_m \ n) * \text{adjoint } P$ using uQ dim by auto
 also have ... = $P * \text{adjoint } P$ using dim by (mat-assoc n)
 also have ... = $1_m \ n$ using uP dim by simp
 finally have $(P * Q) * \text{adjoint } (P * Q) = 1_m \ n$ by auto
 hence $\text{inverts-mat } (P * Q) (\text{adjoint } (P * Q))$
 using $\text{inverts-mat-def dim-pq}$ by auto
 thus $\text{unitary } (P * Q)$ using $\text{unitary-def dim-pq}$ by auto
 qed

lemma unitary-operator-keep-trace:

fixes $U \ A :: \text{complex mat}$
 assumes $dU: U \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ and $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ and $u: \text{unitary } U$
 shows $\text{trace } A = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * A * U)$
 proof –
 have $u': U * \text{adjoint } U = 1_m \ n$ using u unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def
 using dU by auto
 have $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * A * U) = \text{trace } (U * \text{adjoint } U * A)$ using dU dA by
 (mat-assoc n)
 also have ... = $\text{trace } A$ using u' dA by auto
 finally show ?thesis by auto
 qed

1.9 Normalization of vectors

definition vec-norm :: $\text{complex vec} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ where

$\text{vec-norm } v \equiv \text{csqrt } (v \cdot c \ v)$

lemma vec-norm-geq-0:

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$
 shows $\text{vec-norm } v \geq 0$
 unfolding vec-norm-def by (insert self-cscalar-prod-geq-0[of v], simp add: less-eq-complex-def)

lemma vec-norm-zero:

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$
 assumes $\text{dim}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
 shows $\text{vec-norm } v = 0 \longleftrightarrow v = 0_v \ n$
 unfolding vec-norm-def
 by (subst conjugate-square-eq-0-vec[OF dim, symmetric], rule csqrt-eq-0)

lemma vec-norm-ge-0:

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$
 assumes $\text{dim-v}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ and $\text{neq0}: v \neq 0_v \ n$
 shows $\text{vec-norm } v > 0$

proof –

have $\text{geq}: \text{vec-norm } v \geq 0$ using vec-norm-geq-0 by auto
 have $\text{neq}: \text{vec-norm } v \neq 0$
 apply (insert dim-v neq0)

apply (drule vec-norm-zero, auto)
 done
 show ?thesis using neq geq by (rule dual-order.not-eq-order-implies-strict)
 qed

definition vec-normalize :: complex vec $\Rightarrow$ complex vec **where**
 vec-normalize v = (if (v = 0_v (dim-vec v)) then v else 1 / (vec-norm v) ·_v v)

lemma normalized-vec-dim[simp]:
 assumes (v::complex vec) ∈ carrier-vec n
 shows vec-normalize v ∈ carrier-vec n
 unfolding vec-normalize-def using assms by auto

lemma vec-eq-norm-smult-normalized:
 shows v = vec-norm v ·_v vec-normalize v
proof (cases v = 0_v (dim-vec v))
 define n **where** n = dim-vec v
 then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n **by** auto
 then have dimnv: vec-normalize v ∈ carrier-vec n **by** auto
 {
 case True
 then have v0: v = 0_v n **using** n-def **by** auto
 then have n0: vec-norm v = 0 **using** vec-norm-def **by** auto
 have vec-norm v ·_v vec-normalize v = 0_v n
 unfolding smult-vec-def **by** (auto simp add: n0 carrier-vecD[OF dimnv])
 then show ?thesis **using** v0 **by** auto
 next
 case False
 then have v: v ≠ 0_v n **using** n-def **by** auto
 then have ge0: vec-norm v > 0 **using** vec-norm-ge-0 dimv **by** auto
 have vec-normalize v = (1 / vec-norm v) ·_v v **using** False vec-normalize-def
by auto
 then have vec-norm v ·_v vec-normalize v = (vec-norm v * (1 / vec-norm v))
 ·_v v
 using smult-smult-assoc **by** auto
 also have ... = v **using** ge0 **by** auto
 finally have v = vec-norm v ·_v vec-normalize v..
 then show v = vec-norm v ·_v vec-normalize v **using** v **by** auto
 }
 qed

lemma normalized-cscalar-prod:
 fixes v w :: complex vec
 assumes dim-v: v ∈ carrier-vec n **and** dim-w: w ∈ carrier-vec n
 shows v · c w = (vec-norm v * vec-norm w) * (vec-normalize v · c vec-normalize w)
 unfolding vec-normalize-def apply (split if-split, split if-split)
proof (intro conjI impI)
 note dim0 = dim-v dim-w

```

have dim: dim-vec v = n dim-vec w = n using dim0 by auto
{
  assume w = 0_v n v = 0_v n
  then have lhs: v · c w = 0 by auto
  then moreover have rhs: vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c w) = 0 by auto
  ultimately have v · c w = vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c w) by auto
}
with dim show w = 0_v (dim-vec w)  $\implies$  v = 0_v (dim-vec v)  $\implies$  v · c w =
vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c w) by auto
{
  assume asm: w = 0_v n v  $\neq$  0_v n
  then have w0: conjugate w = 0_v n by auto
  with dim0 have (1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c w = 0 by auto
  then moreover have rhs: vec-norm v * vec-norm w * ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v)
· c w) = 0 by auto
  moreover have v · c w = 0 using w0 dim0 by auto
  ultimately have v · c w = vec-norm v * vec-norm w * ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v)
· c w) by auto
}
with dim show w = 0_v (dim-vec w)  $\implies$  v  $\neq$  0_v (dim-vec v)  $\implies$  v · c w =
vec-norm v * vec-norm w * ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c w) by auto
{
  assume asm: w  $\neq$  0_v n v = 0_v n
  with dim0 have v · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w) = 0 by auto
  then moreover have rhs: vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c (1 / vec-norm w
·_v w)) = 0 by auto
  moreover have v · c w = 0 using asm dim0 by auto
  ultimately have v · c w = vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c (1 / vec-norm w
·_v w)) by auto
}
with dim show w  $\neq$  0_v (dim-vec w)  $\implies$  v = 0_v (dim-vec v)  $\implies$  v · c w =
vec-norm v * vec-norm w * (v · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w)) by auto
{
  assume asmw: w  $\neq$  0_v n and asmv: v  $\neq$  0_v n
  have vec-norm w > 0 by (insert asmw dim0, rule vec-norm-ge-0, auto)
  then have cw: conjugate (1 / vec-norm w) = 1 / vec-norm w
  by (simp add: complex-eq-iff complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def)
  from dim0 have
    ((1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w)) = 1 / vec-norm v * (v · c
(1 / vec-norm w ·_v w)) by auto
  also have ... = 1 / vec-norm v * (v · (conjugate (1 / vec-norm w) ·_v conjugate
w))
  by (subst conjugate-smult-vec, auto)
  also have ... = 1 / vec-norm v * conjugate (1 / vec-norm w) * (v · conjugate
w) using dim by auto
  also have ... = 1 / vec-norm v * (1 / vec-norm w) * (v · c w) using
vec-norm-ge-0 cw by auto
  finally have eq1: (1 / vec-norm v ·_v v) · c (1 / vec-norm w ·_v w) = 1 /
vec-norm v * (1 / vec-norm w) * (v · c w) .

```

then have $\text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } w * ((1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } w \cdot_v w)) = (v \cdot c w)$
by (*subst eq1*, *insert vec-norm-ge-0[of v n, OF dim-v asmv] vec-norm-ge-0[of w n, OF dim-w asmw]*, *auto*)
}
with dim show $w \neq 0_v (\text{dim-vec } w) \implies v \neq 0_v (\text{dim-vec } v) \implies v \cdot c w = \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } w * ((1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } w \cdot_v w))$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normalized-vec-norm* :

fixes $v :: \text{complex vec}$
assumes $\text{dim-v}: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $\text{neg0}: v \neq 0_v n$
shows $\text{vec-normalize } v \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } v = 1$
unfolding *vec-normalize-def*
proof (*simp*, *rule conjI*)
show $v = 0_v (\text{dim-vec } v) \longrightarrow v \cdot c v = 1$ **using** *neg0 dim-v* **by** *auto*
have $\text{dim-a}: (\text{vec-normalize } v) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **conjugate** $(\text{vec-normalize } v) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** *dim-v vec-normalize-def* **by** *auto*
note $\text{dim} = \text{dim-v dim-a}$
have $\text{nvge0}: \text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **using** *vec-norm-ge-0 neg0 dim-v* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{vvvv}: v \cdot c v = (\text{vec-norm } v) * (\text{vec-norm } v)$ **unfolding** *vec-norm-def*
by (*metis power2-csqrt power2-eq-square*)
from *nvge0* **have** $\text{conjugate } (\text{vec-norm } v) = \text{vec-norm } v$
by (*simp add: complex-eq-iff complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def*)
then have $v \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) = 1 / \text{vec-norm } v * (v \cdot c v)$
by (*subst conjugate-smult-vec*, *auto*)
also have $\dots = 1 / \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } v$ **using** *vvvv* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{vec-norm } v$ **by** *auto*
finally have $v \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) = \text{vec-norm } v$
then show $v \neq 0_v (\text{dim-vec } v) \longrightarrow \text{vec-norm } v \neq 0 \wedge v \cdot c (1 / \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) = \text{vec-norm } v$
using *neg0 nvge0* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normalize-zero*:

assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$
shows $\text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v n \longleftrightarrow v = 0_v n$
proof
show $v = 0_v n \implies \text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v n$ **unfolding** *vec-normalize-def* **by** *auto*
next
have $v \neq 0_v n \implies \text{vec-normalize } v \neq 0_v n$ **unfolding** *vec-normalize-def*
proof (*simp*, *rule impI*)
assume $\text{asm}: v \neq 0_v n$
then have $\text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **using** *vec-norm-ge-0 assms* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{nvge0}: 1 / \text{vec-norm } v > 0$ **by** (*simp add: complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def*)

```

have  $\exists k < n. v \ \$ \ k \neq 0$  using asm assms by auto
then obtain k where kn:  $k < n$  and vkneq0:  $v \ \$ \ k \neq 0$  by auto
then have  $(1 \ / \ \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \ \$ \ k = (1 \ / \ \text{vec-norm } v) * (v \ \$ \ k)$ 
  using assms carrier-vecD index-smult-vec(1) by blast
with nvge0 vkneq0 have  $(1 \ / \ \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v) \ \$ \ k \neq 0$  by auto
then show  $1 \ / \ \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v v \neq 0_v \ n$  using assms kn by fastforce
qed
then show  $\text{vec-normalize } v = 0_v \ n \implies v = 0_v \ n$  by auto
qed

```

```

lemma normalize-normalize[simp]:
   $\text{vec-normalize } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = \text{vec-normalize } v$ 
proof (rule disjE[of  $v = 0_v \ (\text{dim-vec } v) \ v \neq 0_v \ (\text{dim-vec } v)$ ], auto)
  let ?n =  $\text{dim-vec } v$ 
  {
    assume  $v = 0_v \ ?n$ 
    then have  $\text{vec-normalize } v = v$  unfolding vec-normalize-def by auto
    then show  $\text{vec-normalize } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = \text{vec-normalize } v$  by auto
  }
  assume neq0:  $v \neq 0_v \ ?n$ 
  have dim:  $v \in \text{carrier-vec } ?n$  by auto
  have  $\text{vec-norm } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = 1$  unfolding vec-norm-def
    using normalized-vec-norm[OF dim neq0] by auto
  then show  $\text{vec-normalize } (\text{vec-normalize } v) = \text{vec-normalize } v$ 
    by (subst (1) vec-normalize-def, simp)
qed

```

1.10 Spectral decomposition of normal complex matrices

```

lemma normalize-keep-corthogonal:
  fixes vs :: complex vec list
  assumes cor: corthogonal vs and dims:  $\text{set } vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
  shows corthogonal (map vec-normalize vs)
  unfolding corthogonal-def
proof (rule allI, rule impI, rule allI, rule impI, goal-cases)
  case c:  $(1 \ i \ j)$ 
  let ?m =  $\text{length } vs$ 
  have len:  $\text{length } (\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs) = ?m$  by auto
  have dim:  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies (vs \ ! \ k) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  using dims by auto
  have map:  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies \text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs \ ! \ k = \text{vec-normalize } (vs \ ! \ k)$ 
  by auto

  have eq1:  $\bigwedge j \ k. j < ?m \implies k < ?m \implies ((vs \ ! \ j) \cdot_c (vs \ ! \ k) = 0) = (j \neq k)$ 
  using assms unfolding corthogonal-def by auto
  then have  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies (vs \ ! \ k) \cdot_c (vs \ ! \ k) \neq 0$  by auto
  then have  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies (vs \ ! \ k) \neq (0_v \ n)$  using dim
    by (auto simp add: conjugate-square-eq-0-vec[of  $- \ n, \ OF \ dim$ ])
  then have vnneq0:  $\bigwedge k. k < ?m \implies \text{vec-norm } (vs \ ! \ k) \neq 0$  using vec-norm-zero[OF dim] by auto

```

then have $i0$: $\text{vec-norm } (vs ! i) \neq 0$ **and** $j0$: $\text{vec-norm } (vs ! j) \neq 0$ **using** c **by** *auto*
have $(vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = \text{vec-norm } (vs ! i) * \text{vec-norm } (vs ! j) * (\text{vec-normalize } (vs ! i) \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } (vs ! j))$
by (*subst normalized-cscalar-prod*[*of* $vs ! i$ n $vs ! j$], *auto*, *insert dim c*, *auto*)
with $i0$ $j0$ **have** $(\text{vec-normalize } (vs ! i) \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } (vs ! j) = 0) = ((vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = 0)$ **by** *auto*
with $eq1$ c **have** $(\text{vec-normalize } (vs ! i) \cdot c \text{vec-normalize } (vs ! j) = 0) = (i \neq j)$ **by** *auto*
with *map c* **show** $(\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs ! i \cdot c \text{map } \text{vec-normalize } vs ! j = 0) = (i \neq j)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normalized-corthogonal-mat-is-unitary*:

assumes W : $\text{set } ws \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$
and $orth$: *corthogonal* ws
and len : $\text{length } ws = n$
shows *unitary* $(\text{mat-of-cols } n (\text{map } \text{vec-normalize } ws))$ (*is unitary ?W*)
proof –
define vs **where** $vs = \text{map } \text{vec-normalize } ws$
define W **where** $W = \text{mat-of-cols } n vs$
have W' : $\text{set } vs \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** *assms vs-def* **by** *auto*
then have W'' : $\bigwedge k. k < \text{length } vs \implies vs ! k \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*
have $orth'$: *corthogonal* vs **using** *assms normalize-keep-corthogonal vs-def* **by** *auto*
have $len'[simp]$: $\text{length } vs = n$ **using** *assms vs-def* **by** *auto*
have $dimW$: $W \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ **using** *W-def len* **by** *auto*
have $adjoint$ $W \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ **using** $dimW$ **by** *auto*
then have $dimaW$: $\text{mat-adjoint } W \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ **by** *auto*
{
fix i j **assume** $i: i < n$ **and** $j: j < n$
have $dimws$: $(ws ! i) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ $(ws ! j) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** W len i j
by *auto*
have $(ws ! i) \cdot c (ws ! i) \neq 0$ $(ws ! j) \cdot c (ws ! j) \neq 0$ **using** $orth$ *corthogonal-def*[*of* ws] len i j **by** *auto*
then have $neq0$: $(ws ! i) \neq 0_v$ $(ws ! j) \neq 0_v$ n
by (*auto simp add: conjugate-square-eq-0-vec*[*of* $ws ! i$ n])
then have $\text{vec-norm } (ws ! i) > 0$ $\text{vec-norm } (ws ! j) > 0$ **using** *vec-norm-ge-0* $dimws$ **by** *auto*
then have $ge0$: $\text{vec-norm } (ws ! i) * \text{vec-norm } (ws ! j) > 0$ **by** (*auto simp: less-complex-def*)
have ws' : $vs ! i = \text{vec-normalize } (ws ! i)$
 $vs ! j = \text{vec-normalize } (ws ! j)$
using len i j vs -*def* **by** *auto*
have $ii1$: $(vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! i) = 1$
apply (*simp add: ws'*)
apply (*rule normalized-vec-norm*[*of* $ws ! i$], *rule dimws*, *rule neq0*)
done
have $ij0$: $i \neq j \implies (ws ! i) \cdot c (ws ! j) = 0$ **using** i j

```

    by (insert orth, auto simp add: corthogonal-def[of ws] len)
    have  $i \neq j \implies (ws ! i) \cdot c (ws ! j) = (vec\text{-}norm (ws ! i) * vec\text{-}norm (ws ! j))$ 
    *  $((ws ! i) \cdot c (ws ! j))$ 
    apply (auto simp add: ws')
    apply (rule normalized-cscalar-prod)
    apply (rule dimws, rule dimws)
    done
  with ij0 have  $ij0': i \neq j \implies (vs ! i) \cdot c (vs ! j) = 0$  using ge0 by auto
  have cWk:  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies col\ W\ k = vs ! k$  unfolding W-def
  apply (subst col-mat-of-cols)
  apply (auto simp add: W'')
  done
  have (mat-adjoint W * W) $$ (j, i) = row (mat-adjoint W) j · col W i
    by (insert dimW i j dimaW, auto)
  also have ... = conjugate (col W j) · col W i
    by (insert dimW i j dimaW, auto simp add: mat-adjoint-def)
  also have ... = col W i · conjugate (col W j) using comm-scalar-prod[of col
W i n] dimW by auto
  also have ... = (vs ! i) · c (vs ! j) using W-def col-mat-of-cols i j len cWk by
auto
  finally have (mat-adjoint W * W) $$ (j, i) = (vs ! i) · c (vs ! j).
  then have (mat-adjoint W * W) $$ (j, i) = (if (j = i) then 1 else 0)
    by (auto simp add: ii1 ij0')
}
note maWW = this
then have mat-adjoint W * W =  $1_m\ n$  unfolding one-mat-def using dimW
dimaW
  by (auto simp add: maWW adjoint-def)
then have iv0: adjoint W * W =  $1_m\ n$  by auto
have dimaW: adjoint W ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimaW by auto
then have iv1: W * adjoint W =  $1_m\ n$  using mat-mult-left-right-inverse dimW
iv0 by auto
then show unitary W unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def using dimW di-
maW iv0 iv1 by auto
qed

```

lemma normalize-keep-eigenvector:

```

  assumes ev: eigenvector A v e
    and dim:  $A \in carrier\text{-}mat\ n\ n$   $v \in carrier\text{-}vec\ n$ 
  shows eigenvector A (vec-normalize v) e
    unfolding eigenvector-def
  proof
    show vec-normalize v ∈ carrier-vec (dim-row A) using dim by auto
    have eg:  $A *_{\cdot_v} v = e \cdot_v v$  using ev dim eigenvector-def by auto
    have vneq0:  $v \neq 0_v\ n$  using ev dim unfolding eigenvector-def by auto
    then have s0: vec-normalize v  $\neq 0_v\ n$ 
      by (insert dim, subst normalize-zero[of v], auto)
    from vneq0 have vge0: vec-norm v > 0 using vec-norm-ge-0 dim by auto
    have s1:  $A *_{\cdot_v} vec\text{-}normalize\ v = e \cdot_v vec\text{-}normalize\ v$  unfolding vec-normalize-def

```

```

using vneq0 dim
apply (auto, simp add: mult-mat-vec)
apply (subst eg, auto)
done
with s0 dim show vec-normalize v  $\neq$  0v (dim-row A)  $\wedge$  A *v vec-normalize v =
e ·v vec-normalize v by auto
qed

```

lemma four-block-mat-adjoint:

```

fixes A B C D :: 'a::conjugatable-field mat
assumes dim: A ∈ carrier-mat nr1 nc1 B ∈ carrier-mat nr1 nc2
C ∈ carrier-mat nr2 nc1 D ∈ carrier-mat nr2 nc2
shows adjoint (four-block-mat A B C D)
= four-block-mat (adjoint A) (adjoint C) (adjoint B) (adjoint D)
by (rule eq-matI, insert dim, auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

```

fun unitary-schur-decomposition :: complex mat $\Rightarrow$ complex list $\Rightarrow$ complex mat $\times$ complex mat $\times$ complex mat **where**

```

unitary-schur-decomposition A [] = (A, 1m (dim-row A), 1m (dim-row A))
| unitary-schur-decomposition A (e # es) = (let
  n = dim-row A;
  n1 = n - 1;
  v' = find-eigenvector A e;
  v = vec-normalize v';
  ws0 = gram-schmidt n (basis-completion v);
  ws = map vec-normalize ws0;
  W = mat-of-cols n ws;
  W' = corthogonal-inv W;
  A' = W' * A * W;
  (A1,A2,A0,A3) = split-block A' 1 1;
  (B,P,Q) = unitary-schur-decomposition A3 es;
  z-row = (0m 1 n1);
  z-col = (0m n1 1);
  one-1 = 1m 1
  in (four-block-mat A1 (A2 * P) A0 B,
    W * four-block-mat one-1 z-row z-col P,
    four-block-mat one-1 z-row z-col Q * W'))

```

theorem unitary-schur-decomposition:

```

assumes A: (A::complex mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
and c: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [- e, 1:])
and B: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
shows similar-mat-wit A B P Q  $\wedge$  upper-triangular B  $\wedge$  diag-mat B = es  $\wedge$ 
unitary P  $\wedge$  (Q = adjoint P)
using assms
proof (induct es arbitrary: n A B P Q)
case Nil
with degree-monic-char-poly[of A n]

```

```

show ?case by (auto intro: similar-mat-wit-refl simp: diag-mat-def unitary-zero)
next
case (Cons e es n A C P Q)
let ?n1 = n - 1
from Cons have A:  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$  and dim:  $\text{dim-row } A = n$  by auto
let ?cp = char-poly A
from Cons(3)
have cp: ?cp =  $[: -e, 1 :] * (\prod e \leftarrow \text{es}. [: -e, 1 :])$  by auto
have mon: monic  $(\prod e \leftarrow \text{es}. [: -e, 1 :])$  by (rule monic-prod-list, auto)
have deg:  $\text{degree } ?cp = \text{Suc } (\text{degree } (\prod e \leftarrow \text{es}. [: -e, 1 :]))$  unfolding cp
by (subst degree-mult-eq, insert mon, auto)
with degree-monic-char-poly[OF A] have n:  $n \neq 0$  by auto
define v' where v' = find-eigenvector A e
define v where v = vec-normalize v'
define b where b = basis-completion v
define ws0 where ws0 = gram-schmidt n b
define ws where ws = map vec-normalize ws0
define W where W = mat-of-cols n ws
define W' where W' = corthogonal-inv W
define A' where A' = W' * A * W
obtain A1 A2 A0 A3 where splitA': split-block A' 1 1 = (A1, A2, A0, A3)
by (cases split-block A' 1 1, auto)
obtain B P' Q' where schur: unitary-schur-decomposition A3 es = (B, P', Q')
by (cases unitary-schur-decomposition A3 es, auto)
let ?P' = four-block-mat (1m 1) (0m 1 ?n1) (0m ?n1 1) P'
let ?Q' = four-block-mat (1m 1) (0m 1 ?n1) (0m ?n1 1) Q'
have C: C = four-block-mat A1 (A2 * P') A0 B and P: P = W * ?P' and Q:
Q = ?Q' * W'
using Cons(4) unfolding unitary-schur-decomposition.simps
Let-def list.sel dim
v'-def[symmetric] v-def[symmetric] b-def[symmetric] ws0-def[symmetric] ws-def[symmetric]
W'-def[symmetric] W-def[symmetric]
A'-def[symmetric] split splitA' schur by auto
have e: eigenvalue A e
unfolding eigenvalue-root-char-poly[OF A] cp by simp
from find-eigenvector[OF A e] have ev': eigenvector A v' e unfolding v'-def .
then have v'  $\in \text{carrier-vec } n$  unfolding eigenvector-def using A by auto
with ev' have ev: eigenvector A v e unfolding v-def using A dim normalize-keep-eigenvector by auto
from this[unfolded eigenvector-def]
have v[simp]: v  $\in \text{carrier-vec } n$  and v0: v  $\neq 0_v$  n using A by auto
interpret cof-vec-space n TYPE(complex) .
from basis-completion[OF v v0, folded b-def]
have span-b: span (set b) = carrier-vec n and dist-b: distinct b
and indep:  $\neg \text{lin-dep } (\text{set } b)$  and b: set b  $\subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$  and hdb: hd b = v
and len-b: length b = n by auto
from hdb len-b n obtain vs where bv: b = v # vs by (cases b, auto)
from gram-schmidt-result[OF b dist-b indep refl, folded ws0-def]
have ws0: set ws0  $\subseteq \text{carrier-vec } n$  corthogonal ws0 length ws0 = n

```

```

    by (auto simp: len-b)
  then have ws: set ws  $\subseteq$  carrier-vec n corthogonal ws length ws = n unfolding
ws-def
    using normalize-keep-corthogonal by auto
  have ws0ne: ws0  $\neq$  [] using ⟨length ws0 = n⟩ n by auto
  from gram-schmidt-hd[OF v, of vs, folded bv] have hdws0: hd ws0 = (vec-normalize
v') unfolding ws0-def v-def .
  have hd ws = vec-normalize (hd ws0) unfolding ws-def using hd-map[OF
ws0ne] by auto
  then have hdws: hd ws = v unfolding v-def using normalize-normalize[of v]
hdws0 by auto
  have orth-W: corthogonal-mat W using orthogonal-mat-of-cols ws unfolding
W-def.
  have W: W  $\in$  carrier-mat n n
    using ws unfolding W-def using mat-of-cols-carrier(1)[of n ws] by auto
  have W': W'  $\in$  carrier-mat n n unfolding W'-def corthogonal-inv-def using
W
    by (auto simp: mat-of-rows-def)
  from corthogonal-inv-result[OF orth-W]
  have W'W: inverts-mat W' W unfolding W'-def .
  hence WW': inverts-mat W W' using mat-mult-left-right-inverse[OF W' W]
W' W
    unfolding inverts-mat-def by auto
  have A': A'  $\in$  carrier-mat n n using W W' A unfolding A'-def by auto
  have A'A-wit: similar-mat-wit A' A W' W
    by (rule similar-mat-witI[of - - n], insert W W' A A' W'W WW', auto simp:
A'-def
inverts-mat-def)
  hence A'A: similar-mat A' A unfolding similar-mat-def by blast
  from similar-mat-wit-sym[OF A'A-wit] have simAA': similar-mat-wit A A' W
W' by auto
  have eigen[simp]: A  $\cdot_v$  v = e  $\cdot_v$  v and v0: v  $\neq$  0_v n
    using v-def v'-def find-eigenvector[OF A e] A normalize-keep-eigenvector
    unfolding eigenvector-def by auto
  let ?f = ( $\lambda$  i. if i = 0 then e else 0)
  have col0: col A' 0 = vec n ?f
    unfolding A'-def W'-def W-def
    using corthogonal-col-ev-0[OF A v v0 eigen n hdws ws].
  from A' n have dim-row A' = 1 + ?n1 dim-col A' = 1 + ?n1 by auto
  from split-block[OF splitA' this] have A2: A2  $\in$  carrier-mat 1 ?n1
    and A3: A3  $\in$  carrier-mat ?n1 ?n1
    and A'block: A' = four-block-mat A1 A2 A0 A3 by auto
  have A1id: A1 = mat 1 1 ( $\lambda$  -. e)
    using splitA'[unfolded split-block-def Let-def] arg-cong[OF col0, of  $\lambda$  v. v $ 0]
A' n
    by (auto simp: col-def)
  have A1: A1  $\in$  carrier-mat 1 1 unfolding A1id by auto
  {
    fix i

```

```

    assume  $i < ?n1$ 
    with  $\text{arg-cong}[OF\ col0, \text{ of } \lambda v. v \$ Suc\ i]\ A'$ 
    have  $A' \$\$ (Suc\ i, 0) = 0$  by auto
  } note  $A'0 = \text{this}$ 
  have  $A0id: A0 = 0_m\ ?n1\ 1$ 
    using  $\text{splitA}'[\text{unfolded split-block-def Let-def}]\ A'0\ A'$  by auto
  have  $A0: A0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ ?n1\ 1$  unfolding  $A0id$  by auto
  from  $cp\ \text{char-poly-similar}[OF\ A'A]$ 
  have  $cp: \text{char-poly}\ A' = [: -e, 1 :] * (\prod e \leftarrow es. [: -e, 1 :])$  by simp
  also have  $\text{char-poly}\ A' = \text{char-poly}\ A1 * \text{char-poly}\ A3$ 
    unfolding  $A'block\ A0id$ 
    by (rule  $\text{char-poly-four-block-zeros-col}[OF\ A1\ A2\ A3]$ )
  also have  $\text{char-poly}\ A1 = [: -e, 1 :]$ 
    by (simp add:  $A1id\ \text{char-poly-defs det-def}$ )
  finally have  $cp: \text{char-poly}\ A3 = (\prod e \leftarrow es. [: -e, 1 :])$ 
    by (metis  $\text{mult-cancel-left pCons-eq-0-iff zero-neq-one}$ )
  from  $\text{Cons}(1)[OF\ A3\ cp\ \text{schur}]$ 
  have  $\text{simIH}: \text{similar-mat-wit}\ A3\ B\ P'\ Q'$  and  $ut: \text{upper-triangular}\ B$  and  $\text{diag: diag-mat}\ B = es$ 
    and  $uP': \text{unitary}\ P'$  and  $Q'P': Q' = \text{adjoint}\ P'$ 
    by auto
  from  $\text{similar-mat-witD2}[OF\ A3\ \text{simIH}]$ 
  have  $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat}\ ?n1\ ?n1$  and  $P': P' \in \text{carrier-mat}\ ?n1\ ?n1$  and  $Q': Q' \in \text{carrier-mat}\ ?n1\ ?n1$ 
    and  $PQ': P' * Q' = 1_m\ ?n1$  by auto
  have  $A0eq: A0 = P' * A0 * 1_m\ 1$  unfolding  $A0id$  using  $P'$  by auto
  have  $\text{simA'C}: \text{similar-mat-wit}\ A'\ C\ ?P'\ ?Q'$  unfolding  $A'block\ C$ 
    by (rule  $\text{similar-mat-wit-four-block}[OF\ \text{similar-mat-wit-refl}[OF\ A1]\ \text{simIH} - A0eq\ A1\ A3\ A0]$ ,
    insert  $PQ'\ A2\ P'\ Q', \text{ auto}$ )
  have  $ut1: \text{upper-triangular}\ A1$  unfolding  $A1id$  by auto
  have  $ut: \text{upper-triangular}\ C$  unfolding  $C\ A0id$ 
    by (intro  $\text{upper-triangular-four-block}[OF - B\ ut1\ ut]$ , auto simp:  $A1id$ )
  from  $A1id$  have  $\text{diagA1}: \text{diag-mat}\ A1 = [e]$  unfolding  $\text{diag-mat-def}$  by auto
  from  $\text{diag-four-block-mat}[OF\ A1\ B]$  have  $\text{diag: diag-mat}\ C = e \# es$  unfolding
 $\text{diag diagA1}\ C$  by simp

  have  $aW: \text{adjoint}\ W \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$  using  $W$  by auto
  have  $aW': \text{adjoint}\ W' \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$  using  $W'$  by auto
  have  $\text{unitary}\ W$  using  $W\text{-def}\ ws\text{-def}\ ws0\ \text{normalized-corthogonal-mat-is-unitary}$ 
  by auto
  then have  $ivWaW: \text{inverts-mat}\ W\ (\text{adjoint}\ W)$  using  $\text{unitary-def}\ W\ aW$  by
  auto
  with  $WW'$  have  $W'aW: W' = (\text{adjoint}\ W)$  using  $\text{inverts-mat-unique}\ W\ W'$ 
 $aW$  by auto
  then have  $\text{adjoint}\ W' = W$  using  $\text{adjoint-adjoint}$  by auto
  with  $ivWaW$  have  $\text{inverts-mat}\ W' (\text{adjoint}\ W')$  using  $\text{inverts-mat-symm}\ W$ 
 $aW\ W'aW$  by auto
  then have  $\text{unitary}\ W'$  using  $\text{unitary-def}\ W'$  by auto

```

```

have newP':  $P' \in \text{carrier-mat } (n - \text{Suc } 0) (n - \text{Suc } 0)$  using P' by auto
have rl:  $\bigwedge x1\ x2\ x3\ x4\ y1\ y2\ y3\ y4\ f. x1 = y1 \implies x2 = y2 \implies x3 = y3 \implies$ 
 $x4 = y4 \implies f\ x1\ x2\ x3\ x4 = f\ y1\ y2\ y3\ y4$  by simp
have Q'aP':  $?Q' = \text{adjoint } ?P'$ 
  apply (subst four-block-mat-adjoint, auto simp add: newP')
  apply (rule rl[where f2 = four-block-mat])
  apply (auto simp add: eq-matI adjoint-eval Q'P')
done
have adjoint P = adjoint ?P' * adjoint W using W newP' n
  apply (simp add: P)
  apply (subst adjoint-mult[of W, symmetric])
  apply (auto simp add: W P' carrier-matD[of W n n])
done
also have ... = ?Q' * W' using Q'aP' W'aW by auto
also have ... = Q using Q by auto
finally have QaP:  $Q = \text{adjoint } P$  ..

from similar-mat-wit-trans[OF simAA' simA'C, folded P Q] have smw: similar-mat-wit A C P Q by blast
then have dimP:  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$  and dimQ:  $Q \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def using A by auto
from smw have  $P * Q = 1_m\ n$  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def using A by auto
then have inverts-mat P Q using inverts-mat-def dimP by auto
then have uP: unitary P using QaP unitary-def dimP by auto

from ut similar-mat-wit-trans[OF simAA' simA'C, folded P Q] diag uP QaP
show ?case by blast
qed

lemma complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable:
  fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes A  $\in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$ 
  shows  $\exists as. \text{char-poly } A = (\prod a \leftarrow as. [: - a, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } as = n$ 
proof -
  let ?ca = char-poly A
  have ex0:  $\exists bs. \text{Polynomial.smult } (\text{lead-coeff } ?ca) (\prod b \leftarrow bs. [: - b, 1:]) = ?ca \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } bs = \text{degree } ?ca$ 
  by (simp add: fundamental-theorem-algebra-factorized)
  then obtain bs where  $\text{Polynomial.smult } (\text{lead-coeff } ?ca) (\prod b \leftarrow bs. [: - b, 1:])$ 
 $= ?ca \wedge$ 
 $\text{length } bs = \text{degree } ?ca$  by auto
  moreover have lead-coeff ?ca = (1::complex)
  using assms degree-monic-char-poly by blast
  ultimately have ex1:  $?ca = (\prod b \leftarrow bs. [: - b, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } bs = \text{degree } ?ca$  by auto
  moreover have degree ?ca = n
  by (simp add: assms degree-monic-char-poly)

```

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *complex-mat-has-unitary-schur-decomposition:*

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\exists B \ P \ es. \text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{unitary } P$
 $\wedge \text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- \ e, \ 1:]) \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = es$
proof $-$
have $\exists es. \text{char-poly } A = (\prod e \leftarrow es. [- \ e, \ 1:]) \wedge \text{length } es = n$
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable*)
then obtain es **where** $es: \text{char-poly } A = (\prod e \leftarrow es. [- \ e, \ 1:]) \wedge \text{length } es = n$ **by** *auto*
obtain $B \ P \ Q$ **where** $B: \text{unitary-schur-decomposition } A \ es = (B, P, Q)$ **by** (*cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto*)

have $\text{similar-mat-wit } A \ B \ P \ Q \wedge \text{upper-triangular } B \wedge \text{unitary } P \wedge (Q = \text{adjoint } P) \wedge$
 $\text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [- \ e, \ 1:]) \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = es$ **using** *assms* $es \ B$
by (*auto simp add: unitary-schur-decomposition*)
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *normal-upper-triangular-matrix-is-diagonal:*

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-ordered-field mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and *tri: upper-triangular A*
and *norm: A * adjoint A = adjoint A * A*
shows *diagonal-mat A*
proof (*rule disjE[of n = 0 n > 0], blast*)
have *dim: dim-row A = n dim-col A = n* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
from *norm* **have** *eq0: $\bigwedge i \ j. (A * \text{adjoint } A) \$(i, j) = (\text{adjoint } A * A) \(i, j)* **by** *auto*
have *nat-induct-strong:*
 $\bigwedge P. (P :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}) \ 0 \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i < n \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < i \Rightarrow P \ k) \Rightarrow P \ i) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge i. i < n \Rightarrow P \ i)$
by (*metis dual-order.strict-trans infinite-descent0 linorder-neqE-nat*)
show $n = 0 \Rightarrow ?thesis$ **using** *dim* **unfolding** *diagonal-mat-def* **by** *auto*
show $n > 0 \Rightarrow ?thesis$ **unfolding** *diagonal-mat-def* *dim*
apply (*rule allI, rule impI*)
apply (*rule nat-induct-strong*)
proof (*rule allI, rule impI, rule impI*)
assume *asm: n > 0*
from *tri* *upper-triangularD[of A 0 j]* *dim* **have** $z0: \bigwedge j. 0 < j \Rightarrow j < n \Rightarrow A \$(j, 0) = 0$
by *auto*
then have *ada00: $(\text{adjoint } A * A) \$(0, 0) = \text{conjugate } (A \$(0, 0)) * A \$(0, 0)$*
using *asm dim* **by** (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan*)

```

have aad00:  $(A * \text{adjoint } A) \$\$ (0, 0) = (\sum k=0..<n. A \$\$ (0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, k)))$ 
using asm dim by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval)
moreover have
  ... =  $A \$\$ (0, 0) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, 0))$ 
  +  $(\sum k=1..<n. A \$\$ (0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, k)))$ 
  using dim asm by (subst sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan[of 0 n  $\lambda k. A \$\$ (0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, k))$ ], auto)
  ultimately have f1tneq0:  $(\sum k=(\text{Suc } 0)..<n. A \$\$ (0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, k))) = 0$ 
  using eq0 ada00 by (simp)
have geq0:  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies A \$\$ (0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, k)) \geq 0$ 
  using conjugate-square-positive by auto
have  $\bigwedge k. 1 \leq k \implies k < n \implies A \$\$ (0, k) * \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (0, k)) = 0$ 
  by (rule sum-nonneg-0[of {1..<n}], auto, rule geq0, auto, rule f1tneq0)
with dim asm show
  case0:  $\bigwedge j. 0 < n \implies j < n \implies 0 \neq j \implies A \$\$ (0, j) = 0$ 
  by auto
{
  fix i
  assume asm:  $n > 0 \ i < n \ i > 0$ 
  and ih:  $\bigwedge k. k < i \implies \forall j < n. k \neq j \longrightarrow A \$\$ (k, j) = 0$ 
  then have  $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies i \neq j \implies A \$\$ (i, j) = 0$ 
  proof -
    have inter-part:  $\bigwedge b \ m \ e. (b::\text{nat}) < e \implies b < m \implies m < e \implies \{b..<m\} \cup \{m..<e\} = \{b..<e\}$  by auto
    then have
       $\bigwedge b \ m \ e \ f. (b::\text{nat}) < e \implies b < m \implies m < e$ 
       $\implies (\sum k=b..<e. f \ k) = (\sum k \in \{b..<m\} \cup \{m..<e\}. f \ k)$ 
      using sum.union-disjoint by auto
    then have sum-part:
       $\bigwedge b \ m \ e \ f. (b::\text{nat}) < e \implies b < m \implies m < e$ 
       $\implies (\sum k=b..<e. f \ k) = (\sum k=b..<m. f \ k) + (\sum k=m..<e. f \ k)$ 
      by (auto simp add: sum.union-disjoint)
    from tri upper-triangularD[of A j i] asm dim have
      zsi0:  $\bigwedge j. j < i \implies A \$\$ (i, j) = 0$  by auto
    from tri upper-triangularD[of A j i] asm dim have
      zsi1:  $\bigwedge k. i < k \implies k < n \implies A \$\$ (k, i) = 0$  by auto
    have
       $(A * \text{adjoint } A) \$\$ (i, i)$ 
       $= (\sum k=0..<n. \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (i, k)) * A \$\$ (i, k))$  using asm dim
      apply (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval)
      apply (rule sum.cong, auto)
      done
    also have
      ... =  $(\sum k=0..<i. \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (i, k)) * A \$\$ (i, k))$ 
      +  $(\sum k=i..<n. \text{conjugate } (A \$\$ (i, k)) * A \$\$ (i, k))$ 
      using asm
      by (auto simp add: sum-part[of 0 n i])

```

```

also have
  ... = (∑ k=i..n. conjugate (A$$(i, k)) * A$$(i, k))
  using zsi0
  by auto
also have
  ... = conjugate (A$$(i, i)) * A$$(i, i)
    + (∑ k=(Suc i)..n. conjugate (A$$(i, k)) * A$$(i, k))
  using asm
  by (auto simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan)
finally have
  adaii: (A * adjoint A)$$(i, i)
    = conjugate (A$$(i, i)) * A$$(i, i)
    + (∑ k=(Suc i)..n. conjugate (A$$(i, k)) * A$$(i, k)) .
have
  (adjoint A * A)$$(i, i) = (∑ k=0..n. conjugate (A$$(k, i)) * A$$(k, i))
  using asm dim by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval)
also have
  ... = (∑ k=0..i. conjugate (A$$(k, i)) * A$$(k, i))
    + (∑ k=i..n. conjugate (A$$(k, i)) * A$$(k, i))
  using asm by (auto simp add: sum-part[of 0 n i])
also have
  ... = (∑ k=i..n. conjugate (A$$(k, i)) * A$$(k, i))
  using asm ih by auto
also have
  ... = conjugate (A$$(i, i)) * A$$(i, i)
  using asm zsi1 by (auto simp add: sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan)
finally have (adjoint A * A)$$(i, i) = conjugate (A$$(i, i)) * A$$(i, i) .
with adaii eq0 have
  fsitoneq0: (∑ k=(Suc i)..n. conjugate (A$$(i, k)) * A$$(i, k)) = 0 by
auto
have ∧k. k < n ⇒ i < k ⇒ conjugate (A$$(i, k)) * A$$(i, k) = 0
  by (rule sum-nonneg-0[of {(Suc i)..n}], auto, subst mult.commute,
    rule conjugate-square-positive, rule fsitoneq0)
then have ∧k. k < n ⇒ i < k ⇒ A $$ (i, k) = 0 by auto
with zsi0 show ∧j. j < n ⇒ i ≠ j ⇒ A $$ (i, j) = 0
  by (metis linorder-neqE-nat)
qed
}
with case0 show ∧i ia.
  0 < n ⇒
  i < n ⇒
  ia < n ⇒
  (∧k. k < ia ⇒ ∀j < n. k ≠ j ⇒ A $$ (k, j) = 0) ⇒
  ∀j < n. ia ≠ j ⇒ A $$ (ia, j) = 0 by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *normal-complex-mat-has-spectral-decomposition*:
assumes *A*: (*A*::complex mat) ∈ carrier-mat *n* *n*

and *normal*: $A * \text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } A * A$
and *c*: *char-poly* $A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow \text{es. } [:- e, 1:])$
and *B*: *unitary-schur-decomposition* $A \text{ es} = (B, P, Q)$
shows *similar-mat-wit* $A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{diag-mat } B = \text{es}$
 $\wedge \text{unitary } P$
proof –
have *smw*: *similar-mat-wit* $A \ B \ P \ (\text{adjoint } P)$
and *ut*: *upper-triangular* B
and *uP*: *unitary* P
and *dB*: *diag-mat* $B = \text{es}$
and $(Q = \text{adjoint } P)$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: unitary-schur-decomposition*)
from *smw* **have** *dimP*: $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** *dimB*: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and *dimaP*: *adjoint* $P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def* **using** A **by** *auto*
have *dimaB*: *adjoint* $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *dimB* **by** *auto*
note *dims* = *dimP dimB dimaP dimaB*

have *inverts-mat* $P \ (\text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *unitary-def uP dims* **by** *auto*
then have *iaPP*: *inverts-mat* $(\text{adjoint } P) \ P$ **using** *inverts-mat-symm* **using** *dims*
by *auto*
have *aPP*: *adjoint* $P * P = 1_m \ n$ **using** *dims iaPP* **unfolding** *inverts-mat-def*
by *auto*
from *smw* **have** $A: A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **unfolding** *similar-mat-wit-def*
Let-def **by** *auto*
then have *aA*: *adjoint* $A = P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$
by (*insert A dimP dimB dimaP, auto simp add: adjoint-mult[of - n n - n]*
adjoint-adjoint)
have $A * \text{adjoint } A = (P * B * \text{adjoint } P) * (P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using**
 $A \ aA$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P * P) * (\text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims*
by (*mat-assoc n*)
also have $\dots = P * B * 1_m \ n * (\text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims aPP* **by**
(auto)
also have $\dots = P * B * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$ **using** *dims* **by** (*mat-assoc n*)
finally have $A * \text{adjoint } A = P * B * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P$.
then have *adjoint* $P * (A * \text{adjoint } A) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * B * \text{adjoint } B$
 $* (\text{adjoint } P * P)$
using *dims* **by** (*simp add: assoc-mult-mat[of - n n - n - n]*)
also have $\dots = 1_m \ n * B * \text{adjoint } B * 1_m \ n$ **using** *aPP* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = B * \text{adjoint } B$ **using** *dims* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq0*: *adjoint* $P * (A * \text{adjoint } A) * P = B * \text{adjoint } B$.

have *adjoint* $A * A = (P * \text{adjoint } B * \text{adjoint } P) * (P * B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using**
 $A \ aA$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } B * (\text{adjoint } P * P) * (B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims*
by (*mat-assoc n*)
also have $\dots = P * \text{adjoint } B * 1_m \ n * (B * \text{adjoint } P)$ **using** *dims aPP* **by**
(auto)

```

also have ... =  $P * \text{adjoint } B * B * \text{adjoint } P$  using dims by (mat-assoc n)
finally have  $\text{adjoint } A * A = P * \text{adjoint } B * B * \text{adjoint } P$  by auto
then have  $\text{adjoint } P * (\text{adjoint } A * A) * P = (\text{adjoint } P * P) * \text{adjoint } B * B$ 
 $* (\text{adjoint } P * P)$ 
using dims by (simp add: assoc-mult-mat[of - n n - n - n])
also have ... =  $1_m \ n * \text{adjoint } B * B * 1_m \ n$  using aPP by auto
also have ... =  $\text{adjoint } B * B$  using dims by auto
finally have eq1:  $\text{adjoint } P * (\text{adjoint } A * A) * P = \text{adjoint } B * B$ .

from normal have  $\text{adjoint } P * (\text{adjoint } A * A) * P = \text{adjoint } P * (A * \text{adjoint } A) * P$  by auto
with eq0 eq1 have  $B * \text{adjoint } B = \text{adjoint } B * B$  by auto
with ut dims have diagonal-mat B using normal-upper-triangular-matrix-is-diagonal
by auto
with smw uP dB show similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P)  $\wedge$  diagonal-mat B  $\wedge$ 
diag-mat B = es  $\wedge$  unitary P by auto
qed

lemma complex-mat-has-jordan-nf:
  fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes A  $\in$  carrier-mat n n
  shows  $\exists n\text{-as. jordan-nf } A \ n\text{-as}$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists as. \text{char-poly } A = (\prod a \leftarrow as. [: - a, 1:]) \wedge \text{length } as = n$ 
    using assms by (simp add: complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable)
  then show ?thesis using assms
    by (auto simp add: jordan-nf-iff-linear-factorization)
qed

lemma hermitian-is-normal:
  assumes hermitian A
  shows  $A * \text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } A * A$ 
  using assms by (auto simp add: hermitian-def)

lemma hermitian-eigenvalue-real:
  assumes dim: (A::complex mat)  $\in$  carrier-mat n n
  and hA: hermitian A
  and c: char-poly A =  $(\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [: - e, 1:])$ 
  and B: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
  shows similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P)  $\wedge$  diagonal-mat B  $\wedge$  diag-mat B = es
     $\wedge$  unitary P  $\wedge$   $(\forall i < n. B\$\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals})$ 
proof -
  have normal:  $A * \text{adjoint } A = \text{adjoint } A * A$  using hA hermitian-is-normal by auto
  then have schur: similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P)  $\wedge$  diagonal-mat B  $\wedge$ 
diag-mat B = es  $\wedge$  unitary P
  using normal-complex-mat-has-spectral-decomposition[OF dim normal c B] by
(simp)
  then have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P)

```

```

    and uP: unitary P and dB: diag-mat B = es
    using assms by auto
  then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P)
    and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n
    unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def using dim by auto
  then have dimaB: adjoint B ∈ carrier-mat n n by auto
  have adjoint A = adjoint (adjoint P) * adjoint (P * B)
    apply (subst A)
    apply (subst adjoint-mult[of P * B n n adjoint P n])
    apply (insert dimB dimP, auto)
  done
  also have ... = P * adjoint (P * B) by (auto simp add: adjoint-adjoint)
  also have ... = P * (adjoint B * adjoint P) using dimB dimP by (auto simp
add: adjoint-mult)
  also have ... = P * adjoint B * adjoint P using dimB dimP by (subst as-
soc-mult-mat[symmetric, of P n n adjoint B n adjoint P n], auto)
  finally have aA: adjoint A = P * adjoint B * adjoint P .
  have A = adjoint A using hA hermitian-def[of A] by auto
  then have P * B * adjoint P = P * adjoint B * adjoint P using A aA by auto
  then have BaB: B = adjoint B using unitary-elim[OF dimB dimaB dimP] uP
by auto
{
  fix i
  assume i < n
  then have B$$$(i, i) = conjugate (B$$$(i, i))
    apply (subst BaB)
    by (insert dimB, simp add: adjoint-eval)
  then have B$$$(i, i) ∈ Reals unfolding conjugate-complex-def
    using Reals-cnj-iff by auto
}
then have ∀ i < n. B$$$(i, i) ∈ Reals by auto
with schur show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma hermitian-inner-prod-real:
  assumes dimA: (A::complex mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n
  and hA: hermitian A
  shows inner-prod v (A *v v) ∈ Reals
proof -
  obtain es where es: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [:− e, 1:])
    using complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA by auto
  obtain B P Q where unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
    by (cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto)
  then have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B
= es
  ∧ unitary P ∧ (∀ i < n. B$$$(i, i) ∈ Reals)
    using hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA by auto
  then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P) and dB: diagonal-mat B

```

and $Bii: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$(i, i) \in \text{Reals}$
and $dimB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dimP: P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dimA: adjoint \ P \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def* *Let-def* **using** $dimA$ **by** *auto*
define w **where** $w = (adjoint \ P) *_v v$
then have $dimw: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **using** $dimA \ dimv$ **by** *auto*
from A **have** $inner-prod \ v \ (A *_v v) = inner-prod \ v \ ((P * B * (adjoint \ P)) *_v v)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = inner-prod \ v \ ((P * B) *_v ((adjoint \ P) *_v v))$ **using** $dimP \ dimB \ dimv$
by (*subst assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n adjoint P n], auto*)
also have $\dots = inner-prod \ v \ (P *_v (B *_v ((adjoint \ P) *_v v)))$ **using** $dimP \ dimB \ dimv \ dimA$
by (*subst assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n B n], auto*)
also have $\dots = inner-prod \ w \ (B *_v w)$ **unfolding** $w\text{-def}$
apply (*rule adjoint-def-alter[OF - - dimP]*)
apply (*insert mult-mat-vec-carrier[OF dimB mult-mat-vec-carrier[OF dimA dimv]], auto simp add: dimv*)
done

also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<n. conjugate \ (w\$i) * B\$(i, j) * w\$j)))$ **unfolding** *scalar-prod-def* **using** $dimw \ dimB$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)
apply (*rule sum.cong, auto, rule sum.cong, auto*)
done
also have $\dots = (\sum i=0..<n. B\$(i, i) * conjugate \ (w\$i) * w\$i)$
apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)
apply (*simp add: sum.remove*)
apply (*insert dB[unfolded diagonal-mat-def] dimB, auto*)
done
finally have $sum: inner-prod \ v \ (A *_v v) = (\sum i=0..<n. B\$(i, i) * conjugate \ (w\$i) * w\$i) .$
have $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$(i, i) * conjugate \ (w\$i) * w\$i \in \text{Reals}$ **using** Bii **by** (*simp add: Reals-cnj-iff*)
then have $(\sum i=0..<n. B\$(i, i) * conjugate \ (w\$i) * w\$i) \in \text{Reals}$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** sum **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *unit-vec-bracket:*

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $dimA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $i: i < n$
shows $inner-prod \ (unit-vec \ n \ i) \ (A *_v (unit-vec \ n \ i)) = A\(i, i)
proof –
define w **where** $(w::\text{complex vec}) = unit-vec \ n \ i$
have $A *_v w = col \ A \ i$ **using** $i \ dimA$ $w\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
then have $1: inner-prod \ w \ (A *_v w) = inner-prod \ w \ (col \ A \ i)$ **using** $w\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $conjugate \ w = w$ **unfolding** $w\text{-def}$ *unit-vec-def* *conjugate-vec-def* **using** i

by auto
 then have 2: inner-prod w (col A i) = A\$\$\$(i, i) using i dimA w-def by auto
 from 1 2 show inner-prod w (A *_v w) = A\$\$\$\$(i, i) by auto
 qed

lemma spectral-decomposition-extract-diag:

fixes P B :: complex mat
 assumes dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n
 and uP: unitary P and dB: diagonal-mat B and i: i < n
 shows inner-prod (col P i) (P * B * (adjoint P) *_v (col P i)) = B\$\$\$\$(i, i)
 proof –
 have dimaP: adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimP by auto
 have uaP: unitary (adjoint P) using unitary-adjoint uP dimP by auto
 then have inverts-mat (adjoint P) P by (simp add: unitary-def adjoint-adjoint)
 then have iv: (adjoint P) * P = 1_m n using dimaP inverts-mat-def by auto
 define v where v = col P i
 then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using dimP by auto
 define w where (w::complex vec) = unit-vec n i
 then have dimw: w ∈ carrier-vec n by auto
 have BaPv: B *_v (adjoint P *_v v) ∈ carrier-vec n using dimB dimaP dimv by
 auto
 have (adjoint P) *_v v = (col (adjoint P * P) i)
 by (simp add: col-mult2[OF dimaP dimP i, symmetric] v-def)
 then have aPv: (adjoint P) *_v v = w
 by (auto simp add: iv i w-def)
 have inner-prod v (P * B * (adjoint P) *_v v) = inner-prod v ((P * B) *_v ((adjoint
 P) *_v v)) using dimP dimB dimv
 by (subst assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n adjoint P n], auto)
 also have ... = inner-prod v (P *_v (B *_v ((adjoint P) *_v v))) using dimP dimB
 dimv dimaP
 by (subst assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n B n], auto)
 also have ... = inner-prod (adjoint P *_v v) (B *_v (adjoint P *_v v))
 by (simp add: adjoint-def-alter[OF dimv BaPv dimP])
 also have ... = inner-prod w (B *_v w) using aPv by auto
 also have ... = B\$\$\$\$(i, i) using w-def unit-vec-bracket dimB i by auto
 finally show inner-prod v (P * B * (adjoint P) *_v v) = B\$\$\$\$(i, i).
 qed

lemma hermitian-inner-prod-zero:

fixes A :: complex mat
 assumes dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and hA: hermitian A
 and zero: ∀ v ∈ carrier-vec n. inner-prod v (A *_v v) = 0
 shows A = 0_m n n
 proof –
 obtain es where es: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [:- e, 1:])
 using complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA by auto
 obtain B P Q where unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B, P, Q)
 by (cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto)
 then have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B

```

= es
  ∧ unitary P ∧ (∀ i < n. B$(i, i) ∈ Reals)
  using hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA by auto
  then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P) and dB: diagonal-mat B
  and Bii: ∧ i. i < n ⇒ B$(i, i) ∈ Reals
  and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimaP:
adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and uP: unitary P
  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def unitary-def using dimA by auto
  then have uaP: unitary (adjoint P) using unitary-adjoint by auto
  then have inverts-mat (adjoint P) P by (simp add: unitary-def adjoint-adjoint)
  then have iv: adjoint P * P = 1m n using dimaP inverts-mat-def by auto
  have B = 0m n n
  proof-
  {
    fix i assume i: i < n
    define v where v = col P i
    then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using v-def dimP by auto
    have inner-prod v (A *v v) = B$(i, i) unfolding A v-def
    using spectral-decomposition-extract-diag[OF dimP dimB uP dB i] by auto
    moreover have inner-prod v (A *v v) = 0 using dimv zero by auto
    ultimately have B$(i, i) = 0 by auto
  }
  note zB = this
  show B = 0m n n by (insert zB dB dimB, rule eq-matI, auto simp add:
diagonal-mat-def)
  qed
  then show A = 0m n n using A dimB dimP dimaP by auto
  qed

```

lemma *complex-mat-decomposition-to-hermitian:*

```

  fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes dim: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows ∃ B C. hermitian B ∧ hermitian C ∧ A = B + i ·m C ∧ B ∈ carrier-mat
n n ∧ C ∈ carrier-mat n n
  proof -
    obtain B C where B: B = (1 / 2) ·m (A + adjoint A)
    and C: C = (-i / 2) ·m (A - adjoint A) by auto
    then have dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimC: C ∈ carrier-mat n n using
dim by auto
    have hermitian B unfolding B hermitian-def using dim
    by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)
    moreover have hermitian C unfolding C hermitian-def using dim
    apply (subst eq-matI)
    apply (auto simp add: adjoint-eval algebra-simps)
    done
    moreover have A = B + i ·m C using dim B C
    apply (subst eq-matI)
    apply (auto simp add: adjoint-eval algebra-simps)

```

```

done
ultimately show ?thesis using dimB dimC by auto
qed

```

1.11 Outer product

definition *outer-prod* :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec $\Rightarrow$ 'a vec $\Rightarrow$ 'a mat **where**
outer-prod v w = mat (dim-vec v) 1 ($\lambda(i, j). v \$ i$) * mat 1 (dim-vec w) ($\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ w) \$ j$)

lemma *outer-prod-dim[simp]*:
fixes v w :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
assumes v: v $\in$ carrier-vec n **and** w: w $\in$ carrier-vec m
shows outer-prod v w $\in$ carrier-mat n m
unfolding outer-prod-def **using** assms mat-of-cols-carrier mat-of-rows-carrier
by auto

lemma *mat-of-vec-mult-eq-scalar-prod*:
fixes v w :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
assumes v $\in$ carrier-vec n **and** w $\in$ carrier-vec n
shows mat 1 (dim-vec v) ($\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ v) \$ j$) * mat (dim-vec w) 1 ($\lambda(i, j). w \$ i$)
= mat 1 1 ($\lambda k. inner-prod\ v\ w$)
apply (rule eq-matI) **using** assms **apply** (simp add: scalar-prod-def) **apply** (rule sum.cong) **by** auto

lemma *one-dim-mat-mult-is-scale*:
fixes A B :: ('a::conjugatable-field mat)
assumes B $\in$ carrier-mat 1 n
shows (mat 1 1 ($\lambda k. a$)) * B = a $\cdot_m$ B
apply (rule eq-matI) **using** assms **by** (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def)

lemma *outer-prod-mult-outer-prod*:
fixes a b c d :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
assumes a: a $\in$ carrier-vec d1 **and** b: b $\in$ carrier-vec d2
and c: c $\in$ carrier-vec d2 **and** d: d $\in$ carrier-vec d3
shows outer-prod a b * outer-prod c d = inner-prod b c $\cdot_m$ outer-prod a d
proof –
let ?ma = mat (dim-vec a) 1 ($\lambda(i, j). a \$ i$)
let ?mb = mat 1 (dim-vec b) ($\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ b) \$ j$)
let ?mc = mat (dim-vec c) 1 ($\lambda(i, j). c \$ i$)
let ?md = mat 1 (dim-vec d) ($\lambda(i, j). (conjugate\ d) \$ j$)
have (?ma * ?mb) * (?mc * ?md) = ?ma * (?mb * (?mc * ?md))
apply (subst assoc-mult-mat[of ?ma d1 1 ?mb d2 ?mc * ?md d3])
using assms **by** auto
also have ... = ?ma * ((?mb * ?mc) * ?md)
apply (subst assoc-mult-mat[symmetric, of ?mb 1 d2 ?mc 1 ?md d3])
using assms **by** auto
also have ... = ?ma * ((mat 1 1 ($\lambda k. inner-prod\ b\ c$)) * ?md)

apply (subst mat-of-vec-mult-eq-scalar-prod[of b d2 c]) using assms by auto
 also have ... = ?ma * (inner-prod b c ·_m ?md)
 apply (subst one-dim-mat-mult-is-scale) using assms by auto
 also have ... = (inner-prod b c) ·_m (?ma * ?md) using assms by auto
 finally show ?thesis unfolding outer-prod-def by auto
 qed

lemma index-outer-prod:
 fixes v w :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes v: v ∈ carrier-vec n and w: w ∈ carrier-vec m
 and ij: i < n j < m
 shows (outer-prod v w)\$(i, j) = v \$ i * conjugate (w \$ j)
 unfolding outer-prod-def using assms by (simp add: scalar-prod-def)

lemma mat-of-vec-mult-vec:
 fixes a b c :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes a: a ∈ carrier-vec d and b: b ∈ carrier-vec d
 shows mat 1 d (λ(i, j). (conjugate a) \$ j) *_v b = vec 1 (λk. inner-prod a b)
 apply (rule eq-vecI)
 apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-vecD[OF a] carrier-vecD[OF b])
 apply (rule sum.cong) by auto

lemma mat-of-vec-mult-one-dim-vec:
 fixes a b :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes a: a ∈ carrier-vec d
 shows mat d 1 (λ(i, j). a \$ i) *_v vec 1 (λk. c) = c ·_v a
 apply (rule eq-vecI)
 by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-vecD[OF a])

lemma outer-prod-mult-vec:
 fixes a b c :: 'a::conjugatable-field vec
 assumes a: a ∈ carrier-vec d1 and b: b ∈ carrier-vec d2
 and c: c ∈ carrier-vec d2
 shows outer-prod a b *_v c = inner-prod b c ·_v a
proof –
 have outer-prod a b *_v c
 = mat d1 1 (λ(i, j). a \$ i)
 * mat 1 d2 (λ(i, j). (conjugate b) \$ j)
 *_v c unfolding outer-prod-def using assms by auto
 also have ... = mat d1 1 (λ(i, j). a \$ i)
 *_v (mat 1 d2 (λ(i, j). (conjugate b) \$ j)
 *_v c) apply (subst assoc-mult-mat-vec) using assms by auto
 also have ... = mat d1 1 (λ(i, j). a \$ i)
 *_v vec 1 (λk. inner-prod b c) using mat-of-vec-mult-vec[of b] assms by auto
 also have ... = inner-prod b c ·_v a using mat-of-vec-mult-one-dim-vec assms
 by auto
 finally show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma *trace-outer-prod-right*:

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field mat}$ **and** $v\ w :: 'a\ \text{vec}$

assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$

and $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

shows $\text{trace } (A * \text{outer-prod } v\ w) = \text{inner-prod } w\ (A *_v v)$ **(is ?lhs = ?rhs)**

proof –

define B **where** $B = \text{outer-prod } v\ w$

then have $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{trace}(A * B) = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * B\ \$\$ (j,i))$

unfolding *trace-def* **using** $A\ B$ **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * v\ \$\ j * \text{conjugate } (w\ \$\ i))$

unfolding *B-def*

apply (*rule sum.cong, simp, rule sum.cong, simp*)

by (*insert v w, auto simp add: index-outer-prod*)

finally have $?lhs = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * v\ \$\ j * \text{conjugate } (w\ \$\ i))$ **using** *B-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $?rhs = (\sum i = 0..<n. \sum j = 0..<n. A\ \$\$ (i,j) * v\ \$\ j * \text{conjugate } (w\ \$\ i))$ **using** $A\ v\ w$

by (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *trace-outer-prod*:

fixes $v\ w :: ('a::\text{conjugatable-field vec})$

assumes $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

shows $\text{trace } (\text{outer-prod } v\ w) = \text{inner-prod } w\ v$ **(is ?lhs = ?rhs)**

proof –

have $(1_m\ n) * (\text{outer-prod } v\ w) = \text{outer-prod } v\ w$ **apply** (*subst left-mult-one-mat*)

using *outer-prod-dim* **assms** **by** *auto*

moreover have $1_m\ n *_v v = v$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *trace-outer-prod-right*[*of 1_m n n v w*] **assms** **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *inner-prod-outer-prod*:

fixes $a\ b\ c\ d :: 'a::\text{conjugatable-field vec}$

assumes $a: a \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **and** $b: b \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

and $c: c \in \text{carrier-vec } m$ **and** $d: d \in \text{carrier-vec } m$

shows $\text{inner-prod } a\ (\text{outer-prod } b\ c *_v d) = \text{inner-prod } a\ b * \text{inner-prod } c\ d$ **(is ?lhs = ?rhs)**

proof –

define P **where** $P = \text{outer-prod } b\ c$

then have $\text{dim}P: P \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ m$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{inner-prod } a\ (P *_v d) = (\sum i=0..<n. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (a\$i) * P\ \$\$ (i, j) * d\$j))$ **using** *assms* $\text{dim}P$

apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right*)

apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)

apply (*rule sum.cong, auto*)

```

done
also have ... = (∑ i=0.. $n$ . (∑ j=0.. $m$ . conjugate (ai) * bi * conjugate(cj) * dj))
using P-def b c by (simp add: index-outer-prod algebra-simps)
finally have eq: ?lhs = (∑ i=0.. $n$ . (∑ j=0.. $m$ . conjugate (ai) * bi * conjugate(cj) * dj)) using P-def by auto

have ?rhs = (∑ i=0.. $n$ . conjugate (ai) * bi) * (∑ j=0.. $m$ . conjugate(cj) * dj) using assms
by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def algebra-simps)
also have ... = (∑ i=0.. $n$ . (∑ j=0.. $m$ . conjugate (ai) * bi * conjugate(cj) * dj))
using assms by (simp add: sum-product algebra-simps)
finally show ?lhs = ?rhs using eq by auto
qed

```

1.12 Semi-definite matrices

definition *positive* :: complex mat $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**

```

positive A  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  A  $\in$  carrier-mat (dim-col A) (dim-col A)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall v$ . dim-vec v = dim-col A  $\longrightarrow$  inner-prod v (A *v v)  $\geq$  0)

```

lemma *positive-iff-normalized-vec*:

```

positive A  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
  A  $\in$  carrier-mat (dim-col A) (dim-col A)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall v$ . (dim-vec v = dim-col A  $\wedge$  vec-norm v = 1)  $\longrightarrow$  inner-prod v (A *v v)  $\geq$  0)

```

proof (rule)

assume positive A

then show A $\in$ carrier-mat (dim-col A) (dim-col A) $\wedge$

($\forall v$. dim-vec v = dim-col A $\wedge$ vec-norm v = 1 $\longrightarrow$ 0 $\leq$ inner-prod v (A *_v v))

unfolding positive-def by auto

next

define n **where** n = dim-col A

assume A $\in$ carrier-mat (dim-col A) (dim-col A) $\wedge$ ($\forall v$. dim-vec v = dim-col A $\wedge$ vec-norm v = 1 $\longrightarrow$ 0 $\leq$ inner-prod v (A *_v v))

then have A: A $\in$ carrier-mat (dim-col A) (dim-col A) **and** geq0: $\forall v$. dim-vec v = dim-col A $\wedge$ vec-norm v = 1 $\longrightarrow$ 0 $\leq$ inner-prod v (A *_v v) **by** auto

then have dimA: A $\in$ carrier-mat n n **using** n-def[symmetric] **by** auto

{

fix v **assume** dimv: (v::complex vec) $\in$ carrier-vec n

have 0 $\leq$ inner-prod v (A *_v v)

proof (cases v = 0_v n)

case True

then show 0 $\leq$ inner-prod v (A *_v v) **using** dimA **by** auto

next

case False

then have 1: vec-norm v > 0 **using** vec-norm-ge-0 dimv **by** auto

```

    then have cnv:  $\text{cnj } (\text{vec-norm } v) = \text{vec-norm } v$ 
      using Reals-cnj-iff complex-is-Real-iff less-complex-def by auto
    define w where  $w = \text{vec-normalize } v$ 
    then have dimw:  $w \in \text{carrier-vec } n$  using dimv by auto
    have nvw:  $v = \text{vec-norm } v \cdot_v w$  using w-def vec-eq-norm-smult-normalized
  by auto
  have  $\text{vec-norm } w = 1$  using normalized-vec-norm[OF dimv False] vec-norm-def
  w-def by auto
  then have 2:  $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } w (A *_v w)$  using geq0 dimw dimA by auto
  have  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) = \text{vec-norm } v * \text{vec-norm } v * \text{inner-prod } w (A *_v$ 
  w) using dimA dimv dimw
    apply (subst (1 2) nvw)
    apply (subst mult-mat-vec, simp, simp)
    apply (subst scalar-prod-smult-left[of (A *_v w) conjugate (vec-norm v \cdot_v w)
  vec-norm v], simp)
    apply (simp add: conjugate-smult-vec cnv)
  done
  also have  $\dots \geq 0$  using 1 2 by auto
  finally show  $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)$  by auto
qed
}
then have geq:  $\forall v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \longrightarrow 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)$  using
dimA by auto
show positive A unfolding positive-def
  by (rule, simp add: A, rule geq)
qed

lemma positive-is-hermitian:
  fixes  $A :: \text{complex mat}$ 
  assumes pA: positive A
  shows hermitian A
proof -
  define n where  $n = \text{dim-col } A$ 
  then have dimA:  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$  using positive-def pA by auto
  obtain B C where B: hermitian B and C: hermitian C and A:  $A = B + i \cdot_m$ 
  C
    and dimB:  $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$  and dimC:  $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$  and dimiC:
   $i \cdot_m C \in \text{carrier-mat } n n$ 
    using complex-mat-decomposition-to-hermitian[OF dimA] by auto
  {
    fix  $v :: \text{complex vec}$  assume dimv:  $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    have dimvA:  $\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A$  using dimv dimA by auto
    have  $\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v) = \text{inner-prod } v (B *_v v) + \text{inner-prod } v ((i \cdot_m C)$ 
   $*_v v)$ 
    unfolding A using dimB dimiC dimv by (simp add: add-mult-distrib-mat-vec
  inner-prod-distrib-right)
    moreover have  $\text{inner-prod } v ((i \cdot_m C) *_v v) = i * \text{inner-prod } v (C *_v v)$  using
  dimv dimC
    apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-left cong: sum.cong)

```

```

    apply (rule sum.cong, auto)
  done
  ultimately have ABC: inner-prod v (A *v v) = inner-prod v (B *v v) + i *
inner-prod v (C *v v) by auto
  moreover have inner-prod v (B *v v) ∈ Reals using B dimB dimv hermi-
tian-inner-prod-real by auto
  moreover have inner-prod v (C *v v) ∈ Reals using C dimC dimv hermi-
tian-inner-prod-real by auto
  moreover have inner-prod v (A *v v) ∈ Reals using pA unfolding positive-def

  apply (rule)
  apply (fold n-def)
  apply (simp add: complex-is-Real-iff[of inner-prod v (A *v v)])
  apply (auto simp add: dimvA less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def)
  done
  ultimately have inner-prod v (C *v v) = 0 using of-real-Re by fastforce
}
then have C = 0m n n using hermitian-inner-prod-zero dimC C by auto
then have A = B using A dimC dimB by auto
then show hermitian A using B by auto
qed

lemma positive-eigenvalue-positive:
  assumes dimA: (A::complex mat) ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and pA: positive A
  and c: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [:− e, 1:])
  and B: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
  shows ∧i. i < n ⇒ B$$ (i, i) ≥ 0
proof −
  have hA: hermitian A using positive-is-hermitian pA by auto
  have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ diag-mat B = es
  ∧ unitary P ∧ (∀ i < n. B$$ (i, i) ∈ Reals)
  using hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA hA B c by auto
  then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P) and dB: diagonal-mat B
  and Bii: ∧i. i < n ⇒ B$$ (i, i) ∈ Reals
  and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimaP:
adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and uP: unitary P
  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def unitary-def using dimA by auto
  {
    fix i assume i: i < n
    define v where v = col P i
    then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using v-def dimP by auto
    have inner-prod v (A *v v) = B$$ (i, i) unfolding A v-def
    using spectral-decomposition-extract-diag[OF dimP dimB uP dB i] by auto
    moreover have inner-prod v (A *v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pA dimA positive-def
  }
by auto
  ultimately show B$$ (i, i) ≥ 0 by auto
}

```

qed

lemma *diag-mat-mult-diag-mat*:

fixes $B\ D :: 'a::\text{semiring-0}\ \text{mat}$
assumes $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$ **and** $\text{dim}D: D \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$
and $\text{dB}: \text{diagonal-mat}\ B$ **and** $\text{dD}: \text{diagonal-mat}\ D$
shows $B * D = \text{mat}\ n\ n\ (\lambda(i,j). (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } (B\ \$\$ (i, i)) * (D\ \$\$ (i, i)) \text{ else } 0))$
proof(*rule eq-matI, auto*)
have $Bij: \bigwedge x\ y. x < n \implies y < n \implies x \neq y \implies B\ \$\$ (x, y) = 0$ **using** dB
diagonal-mat-def dimB **by** *auto*
have $Dij: \bigwedge x\ y. x < n \implies y < n \implies x \neq y \implies D\ \$\$ (x, y) = 0$ **using** dD
diagonal-mat-def dimD **by** *auto*
{
fix $i\ j$ **assume** $ij: i < n\ j < n$
have $(B * D)\ \$\$ (i, j) = (\sum_{k=0..<n}. (B\ \$\$ (i, k)) * (D\ \$\$ (k, j)))$ **using** $\text{dim}B$
dimD
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def ij*)
also have $\dots = B\ \$\$ (i, i) * D\ \$\$ (i, j)$
apply (*simp add: sum.remove[of -i] ij*)
apply (*simp add: Bij Dij ij*)
done
finally have $(B * D)\ \$\$ (i, j) = B\ \$\$ (i, i) * D\ \$\$ (i, j).$
}
note $BDij = \text{this}$
from $BDij$ **show** $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies (B * D)\ \$\$ (j, j) = B\ \$\$ (j, j) * D\ \$\$ (j, j)$ **by**
auto
from $BDij$ **show** $\bigwedge i\ j. i < n \implies j < n \implies i \neq j \implies (B * D)\ \$\$ (i, j) = 0$
using $Bij\ Dij$ **by** *auto*
from *assms* **show** $\text{dim-row } B = n\ \text{dim-col } D = n$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *positive-only-if-decomp*:

assumes $\text{dim}A: A \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$ **and** $pA: \text{positive } A$
shows $\exists M \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n. M * \text{adjoint } M = A$
proof –
from pA **have** $hA: \text{hermitian } A$ **using** *positive-is-hermitian* **by** *auto*
obtain es **where** $es: \text{char-poly } A = (\prod (e :: \text{complex}) \leftarrow es. [-\ e, 1:])$
using *complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA* **by** *auto*
obtain $B\ P\ Q$ **where** $\text{schur: unitary-schur-decomposition } A\ es = (B, P, Q)$
by (*cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto*)
then have $\text{similar-mat-wit } A\ B\ P\ (\text{adjoint } P) \wedge \text{diagonal-mat } B \wedge \text{diag-mat } B$
 $= es$
 $\wedge \text{unitary } P \wedge (\forall i < n. B\ \$\$ (i, i) \in \text{Reals})$
using *hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA* **by** *auto*
then have $A: A = P * B * (\text{adjoint } P)$ **and** $\text{dB}: \text{diagonal-mat } B$
and $Bii: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\ \$\$ (i, i) \in \text{Reals}$
and $\text{dim}B: B \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$ **and** $\text{dim}P: P \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$ **and** $\text{dim}A P:$
 $\text{adjoint } P \in \text{carrier-mat}\ n\ n$
unfolding *similar-mat-wit-def Let-def* **using** $\text{dim}A$ **by** *auto*

```

have Bii:  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$(i, i) \geq 0$  using pA dimA es schur positive-eigenvalue-positive
by auto
define D where D = mat n n ( $\lambda(i, j).$  (if (i = j) then csqrt (B$(i, i)) else 0))
then have dimD: D  $\in$  carrier-mat n n and dimaD: adjoint D  $\in$  carrier-mat n
n using dimB by auto
have dD: diagonal-mat D using dB D-def unfolding diagonal-mat-def by auto
then have daD: diagonal-mat (adjoint D) by (simp add: adjoint-eval diagonal-mat-def)
have Dii:  $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies D\$(i, i) = \text{csqrt } (B\$(i, i))$  using dimD D-def by
auto
{
  fix i assume i: i < n
  define c where c = csqrt (B$(i, i))
  have c: c  $\geq 0$  using Bii i c-def by (auto simp: less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def)
  then have conjugate c = c
  using Reals-cnj-iff complex-is-Real-iff unfolding less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def
  by auto
  then have c * cnj c = B$(i, i) using c-def c unfolding conjugate-complex-def
  by (metis power2-csqrt power2-eq-square)
}
note cBii = this
have D * adjoint D = mat n n ( $\lambda(i, j).$  (if (i = j) then B$(i, i) else 0))
  apply (simp add: diag-mat-mult-diag-mat[OF dimD dimaD dD daD])
  apply (rule eq-matI, auto simp add: D-def adjoint-eval cBii)
  done
also have ... = B using dimB dB[unfolded diagonal-mat-def] by auto
finally have DaDB: D * adjoint D = B.
define M where M = P * D
then have dimM: M  $\in$  carrier-mat n n using dimP dimD by auto
have M * adjoint M = (P * D) * (adjoint D * adjoint P) using M-def ad-
joint-mult[OF dimP dimD] by auto
  also have ... = P * (D * adjoint D) * (adjoint P) using dimP dimD by
(mat-assoc n)
  also have ... = P * B * (adjoint P) using DaDB by auto
  finally have M * adjoint M = A using A by auto
  with dimM show  $\exists M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n. M * \text{adjoint } M = A$  by auto
qed

```

lemma positive-if-decomp:

assumes dimA: A $\in$ carrier-mat n n and $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = A$
shows positive A

proof –

```

from assms obtain M where M: M * adjoint M = A by auto
define m where m = dim-col M
have dimM: M  $\in$  carrier-mat n m using M dimA m-def by auto
{
  fix v assume dimv: (v::complex vec)  $\in$  carrier-vec n
  have dimaM: adjoint M  $\in$  carrier-mat m n using dimM by auto
  have dimaMv: (adjoint M) *v v  $\in$  carrier-vec m using dimaM dimv by auto

```

```

  have inner-prod v (A *v v) = inner-prod v (M * adjoint M *v v) using M by
  auto
  also have ... = inner-prod v (M *v (adjoint M *v v)) using assoc-mult-mat-vec
  dimM dimaM dimv by auto
  also have ... = inner-prod (adjoint M *v v) (adjoint M *v v) using ad-
  joint-def-alter[OF dimv dimaMv dimM] by auto
  also have ... ≥ 0 using self-cscalar-prod-geq-0 by auto
  finally have inner-prod v (A *v v) ≥ 0.
}
note geq0 = this
from dimA geq0 show positive A using positive-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma positive-iff-decomp:
  assumes dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows positive A ⟷ (∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = A)
proof
  assume pA: positive A
  then show ∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = A using positive-only-if-decomp
  assms by auto
next
  assume ∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = A
  then obtain M where M: M * adjoint M = A by auto
  then show positive A using M positive-if-decomp assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma positive-dim-eq:
  assumes positive A
  shows dim-row A = dim-col A
  using carrier-matD(1)[of A dim-col A dim-col A] assms[unfolding positive-def]
  by simp

```

```

lemma positive-zero:
  positive (0m n n)
  by (simp add: positive-def zero-mat-def mult-mat-vec-def scalar-prod-def)

```

```

lemma positive-one:
  positive (1m n)
proof (rule positive-if-decomp)
  show 1m n ∈ carrier-mat n n by auto
  have adjoint (1m n) = 1m n using hermitian-one hermitian-def by auto
  then have 1m n * adjoint (1m n) = 1m n by auto
  then show ∃ M. M * adjoint M = 1m n by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma positive-antisym:
  assumes pA: positive A and pnA: positive (−A)
  shows A = 0m (dim-col A) (dim-col A)
proof −

```

```

define n where n = dim-col A
from pA have dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimnA: -A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  using positive-def n-def by auto
from pA have hA: hermitian A using positive-is-hermitian by auto
obtain es where es: char-poly A = (∏ (e :: complex) ← es. [- e, 1:])
  using complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable dimA by auto
obtain B P Q where schur: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q)
  by (cases unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto)
then have similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P) ∧ diagonal-mat B ∧ unitary P
  using hermitian-eigenvalue-real dimA es hA by auto
then have A: A = P * B * (adjoint P) and dB: diagonal-mat B and uP: unitary
P
  and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimnB: -B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimaP: adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n
  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def using dimA by auto
from es schur have geq0: ∧ i. i < n ⇒ B$$$(i, i) ≥ 0 using positive-eigenvalue-positive
dimA pA by auto
  from A have nA: -A = P * (-B) * (adjoint P) using mult-smult-assoc-mat
dimB dimP dimaP by auto
  from dB have dnB: diagonal-mat (-B) by (simp add: diagonal-mat-def)
  {
    fix i assume i: i < n
    define v where v = col P i
    then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using v-def dimP by auto
    have inner-prod v ((-A) *v v) = (-B)$$$$(i, i) unfolding nA v-def
      using spectral-decomposition-extract-diag[OF dimP dimnB uP dnB i] by auto
    moreover have inner-prod v ((-A) *v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pnA dimnA posi-
tive-def by auto
    ultimately have B$$$$(i, i) ≤ 0 using dimB i by auto
    moreover have B$$$$(i, i) ≥ 0 using i geq0 by auto
    ultimately have B$$$$(i, i) = 0
      by (metis antisym)
  }
then have B = 0m n n using dimB dB[unfolded diagonal-mat-def]
  by (subst eq-matI, auto)
then show A = 0m n n using A dimB dimP dimaP by auto
qed

```

lemma positive-add:

```

assumes pA: positive A and pB: positive B
  and dimA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n and dimB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n
shows positive (A + B)
  unfolding positive-def
proof
  have dimApB: A + B ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimA dimB by auto
  then show A + B ∈ carrier-mat (dim-col (A + B)) (dim-col (A + B)) using
carrier-matD[of A+B] by auto
  {
    fix v assume dimv: (v::complex vec) ∈ carrier-vec n

```

```

    have 1: inner-prod v (A *v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pA[unfolded positive-def] dimA
  by auto
    have 2: inner-prod v (B *v v) ≥ 0 using dimv pB[unfolded positive-def] dimB
  by auto
    have inner-prod v ((A + B) *v v) = inner-prod v (A *v v) + inner-prod v (B
    *v v)
    using dimA dimB dimv by (simp add: add-mult-distrib-mat-vec inner-prod-distrib-right)

    also have ... ≥ 0 using 1 2 by auto
    finally have inner-prod v ((A + B) *v v) ≥ 0.
  }
  note geq0 = this
  then have  $\bigwedge v. \dim\text{-vec } v = n \implies 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v ((A + B) *v v)$  by auto
  then show  $\forall v. \dim\text{-vec } v = \dim\text{-col } (A + B) \longrightarrow 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v ((A + B)
  *v v)$  using dimApB by auto
qed

```

lemma *positive-trace:*

```

  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n and positive A
  shows trace A ≥ 0
  using assms positive-iff-decomp trace-adjoint-positive by auto

```

lemma *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint:*

```

  fixes M A :: complex mat
  assumes dM: M ∈ carrier-mat n n and dA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and pA: positive A
  shows positive (M * A * adjoint M)
  unfolding positive-def
proof (rule, simp add: mult-carrier-mat[OF mult-carrier-mat[OF dM dA] adjoint-dim[OF
dM]] carrier-matD[OF dM], rule, rule)
  have daM: adjoint M ∈ carrier-mat n n using dM by auto
  fix v::complex vec assume dim-vec v = dim-col (M * A * adjoint M)
  then have dv: v ∈ carrier-vec n using assms by auto
  then have adjoint M *v v ∈ carrier-vec n using daM by auto
  have assoc: M * A * adjoint M *v v = M *v (A *v (adjoint M *v v))
    using dA dM daM dv by (auto simp add: assoc-mult-mat-vec[of - n n - n])
  have inner-prod v (M * A * adjoint M *v v) = inner-prod (adjoint M *v v) (A
  *v (adjoint M *v v))
  apply (subst assoc)
  apply (subst adjoint-def-alter[where ?A = M])
  by (auto simp add: dv dA daM dM carrier-matD[OF dM] mult-mat-vec-carrier[of
  - n n])
  also have ... ≥ 0 using dA dv daM pA positive-def by auto
  finally show inner-prod v (M * A * adjoint M *v v) ≥ 0 by auto
qed

```

lemma *positive-same-outer-prod:*

```

  fixes v w :: complex vec
  assumes v: v ∈ carrier-vec n

```

shows *positive* (*outer-prod* v v)
proof –
 have $d1$: *adjoint* (*mat* (*dim-vec* v) 1 ($\lambda(i, j). v \$ i$)) $\in$ *carrier-mat* 1 n **using**
assms **by** *auto*
 have $d2$: *mat* 1 (*dim-vec* v) ($\lambda(i, y). \text{conjugate } v \$ y$) $\in$ *carrier-mat* 1 n **using**
assms **by** *auto*
 have dv : *dim-vec* $v = n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
 have *mat* 1 (*dim-vec* v) ($\lambda(i, y). \text{conjugate } v \$ y$) = *adjoint* (*mat* (*dim-vec* v) 1
 ($\lambda(i, j). v \$ i$)) (**is** $?r = \text{adjoint } ?l$)
 apply (*rule eq-matI*)
 subgoal for i j **by** (*simp add: dv adjoint-eval*)
 using $d1$ $d2$ **by** *auto*
 then have *outer-prod* v $v = ?l * \text{adjoint } ?l$ **unfolding** *outer-prod-def* **by** *auto*
 then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = \text{outer-prod } v$ **by** *auto*
 then show *positive* (*outer-prod* v v) **using** *positive-if-decomp*[*OF* *outer-prod-dim*[*OF*
 v v]] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *smult-smult-mat*:
 fixes $k :: \text{complex}$ and $l :: \text{complex}$
 assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } nr$ n
 shows $k \cdot_m (l \cdot_m A) = (k * l) \cdot_m A$ **by** *auto*

lemma *positive-smult*:
 assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n
 and *positive* A
 and $c \geq 0$
 shows *positive* ($c \cdot_m A$)
proof –
 have sc : $\text{csqrt } c \geq 0$ **using** *assms*(3) **by** (*fastforce simp: less-eq-complex-def*)
 obtain M **where** $\text{dim}M$: $M \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n and A : $M * \text{adjoint } M = A$
using *assms*(1–2) *positive-iff-decomp* **by** *auto*
 have $c \cdot_m A = c \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M)$ **using** A **by** *auto*
 have $ccsq$: $\text{conjugate } (\text{csqrt } c) = (\text{csqrt } c)$ **using** *sc Reals-cnj-iff*[*of* $\text{csqrt } c$] *complex-is-Real-iff*
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)
 have MM : $(M * \text{adjoint } M) \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **using** A *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have leftd : $c \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M) \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **using** A *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have rightd : $(\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{adjoint } (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M)) \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ n **using**
 A *assms* **by** *fastforce*
 have $(\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{adjoint } (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M)) = (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * ((\text{conjugate } (\text{csqrt } c)) \cdot_m \text{adjoint } M)$
using *adjoint-scale* *assms*(1) **by** (*metis adjoint-scale*)
 also have $\dots = (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m \text{adjoint } M)$ **using** sc $ccsq$ **by**
fastforce
 also have $\dots = \text{csqrt } c \cdot_m (M * (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m \text{adjoint } M))$
using *mult-smult-assoc-mat index-smult-mat*(2,3) **by** *fastforce*
 also have $\dots = \text{csqrt } c \cdot_m ((\text{csqrt } c) \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M))$
using *mult-smult-distrib* **by** *fastforce*

also have $\dots = c \cdot_m (M * \text{adjoint } M)$
 using *smult-smult-mat*[*of* $M * \text{adjoint } M$ n n (*csqrt* c) (*csqrt* c)] *MM sc*
 by (*metis power2-csqrt power2-eq-square*)
 also have $\dots = c \cdot_m A$ using *A* by *auto*
 finally have $(\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M) * (\text{adjoint } (\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M)) = c \cdot_m A$ by *auto*
 moreover have $c \cdot_m A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ using *assms(1)* by *auto*
 moreover have $\text{csqrt } c \cdot_m M \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ using *dimM* by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* using *positive-iff-decomp* by *auto*
 qed

Version of previous theorem for real numbers

lemma *positive-scale*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$
 assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$
 and *positive* A
 and $c \geq 0$
 shows *positive* $(c \cdot_m A)$
 apply (*rule positive-smult*) using *assms* by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)

1.13 Löwner partial order

definition *lowner-le* :: *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* (**infix** $\leq_L$ 50)
 where

$A \leq_L B \iff \text{dim-row } A = \text{dim-row } B \wedge \text{dim-col } A = \text{dim-col } B \wedge \text{positive } (B - A)$

lemma *lowner-le-refl*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$
 shows $A \leq_L A$
 unfolding *lowner-le-def*
 apply (*simp add: minus-r-inv-mat[OF assms]*)
 by (*rule positive-zero*)

lemma *lowner-le-antisym*:

assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ and $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n$
 and $L1: A \leq_L B$ and $L2: B \leq_L A$
 shows $A = B$

proof –

from $L1$ have $P1: \text{positive } (B - A)$ by (*simp add: lowner-le-def*)
 from $L2$ have $P2: \text{positive } (A - B)$ by (*simp add: lowner-le-def*)
 have $A - B = -(B - A)$ using A B by *auto*
 then have $P3: \text{positive } (-(B - A))$ using $P2$ by *auto*
 have $BA: B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n$ using A B by *auto*
 have $B - A = 0_m$ n using BA by (*subst positive-antisym[OF P1 P3], auto*)
 then have $B + (-A) + A = 0_m$ n $n + A$ using A B *minus-add-uminus-mat*[*OF B A*] by *auto*
 then have $B + (-A + A) = 0_m$ n $n + A$ using A B by *auto*
 then show $A = B$ using A B BA *uminus-l-inv-mat*[*OF A*] by *auto*
 qed

```

lemma lowner-le-inner-prod-le:
  fixes  $A\ B :: \text{complex mat}$  and  $v :: \text{complex vec}$ 
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$  and  $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$ 
    and  $v: v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    and  $A \leq_L B$ 
  shows  $\text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_v v) \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (B *_v v)$ 
proof -
  from assms have  $\text{positive } (B-A)$  by (auto simp add: lowner-le-def)
  with assms have  $\text{geq: inner-prod } v\ ((B-A) *_v v) \geq 0$ 
    unfolding positive-def by auto
  have  $\text{inner-prod } v\ ((B-A) *_v v) = \text{inner-prod } v\ (B *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_v v)$ 
    unfolding minus-add-uminus-mat[OF B A]
    by (subst add-mult-distrib-mat-vec[OF B - v], insert A B v, auto simp add: inner-prod-distrib-right[OF v])
  then show ?thesis using geq by auto
qed

lemma lowner-le-trans:
  fixes  $A\ B\ C :: \text{complex mat}$ 
  assumes  $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$  and  $B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$  and  $C: C \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$ 
    and  $L1: A \leq_L B$  and  $L2: B \leq_L C$ 
  shows  $A \leq_L C$ 
  unfolding lowner-le-def
proof (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF A] carrier-matD[OF C])
  have  $\text{dim: } C - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n\ n$  using  $A\ C$  by auto
  {
    fix  $v$  assume  $v: (v :: \text{complex vec}) \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
    from  $L1$  have  $\text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_v v) \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (B *_v v)$  using lowner-le-inner-prod-le
       $A\ B\ v$  by auto
    also from  $L2$  have  $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (C *_v v)$  using lowner-le-inner-prod-le
       $B\ C\ v$  by auto
    finally have  $\text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_v v) \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (C *_v v)$ .
    then have  $\text{inner-prod } v\ (C *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_v v) \geq 0$  by auto
    then have  $\text{inner-prod } v\ ((C - A) *_v v) \geq 0$  using  $A\ C\ v$ 
      apply (subst minus-add-uminus-mat[OF C A])
      apply (subst add-mult-distrib-mat-vec[OF C - v], simp)
      apply (simp add: inner-prod-distrib-right[OF v])
      done
  }
  note  $\text{leq} = \text{this}$ 
  show  $\text{positive } (C - A)$  unfolding positive-def
    apply (rule, simp add: carrier-matD[OF A] dim)
    apply (subst carrier-matD[OF dim], insert leq, auto)
    done
qed

lemma lowner-le-imp-trace-le:

```

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$
shows $\text{trace } A \leq \text{trace } B$
proof –
have $\text{positive } (B - A)$ **using** *assms lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $\text{trace } (B - A) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-trace* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\text{trace } (B - A) = \text{trace } B - \text{trace } A$ **using** *trace-minus-linear*
assms **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $\text{trace } B - \text{trace } A \geq 0$ **by** *auto*
then show $\text{trace } A \leq \text{trace } B$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lower-le-add*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$ $C \leq_L D$
shows $A + C \leq_L B + D$
proof –
have $B + D - (A + C) = B - A + (D - C)$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{positive } (B + D - (A + C))$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *lower-le-def*
using *positive-add*
by (*metis minus-carrier-mat*)
then show $A + C \leq_L B + D$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *lower-le-swap*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$
shows $-B \leq_L -A$
proof –
have $\text{positive } (B - A)$ **using** *assms lower-le-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover **have** $B - A = (-A) - (-B)$ **using** *assms* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately **have** $\text{positive } ((-A) - (-B))$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *lower-le-def* *assms* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *lower-le-minus*:

assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $D \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $A \leq_L B$ $C \leq_L D$
shows $A - D \leq_L B - C$
proof –
have $\text{positive } (D - C)$ **using** *assms lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $-D \leq_L -C$ **using** *lower-le-swap* *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $A + (-D) \leq_L B + (-C)$ **using** *lower-le-add* [*of A n B*] *assms* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $A + (-D) = A - D$ **and** $B + (-C) = B - C$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *outer-prod-le-one:*

assumes $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$

and $\text{inner-prod } v \ v \leq 1$

shows $\text{outer-prod } v \ v \leq_L 1_m \ n$

proof –

let $?o = \text{outer-prod } v \ v$

have $\text{do: } ?o \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

{

fix $u :: \text{complex vec}$ **assume** $\text{dim-vec } u = n$

then have $\text{du: } u \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*

have $r: \text{inner-prod } u \ u \in \text{Reals}$ **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-vecD[OF du]*)

using *complex-In-mult-cnj-zero complex-is-Real-iff* **by** *blast*

have $\text{geq0: } \text{inner-prod } u \ u \geq 0$

using *self-cscalar-prod-geq-0* **by** *auto*

have $\text{inner-prod } u \ (?o *_{\text{v}} u) = \text{inner-prod } u \ v * \text{inner-prod } v \ u$

apply (*subst inner-prod-outer-prod*)

using *du assms* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } u \ u * \text{inner-prod } v \ v$ **using** *Cauchy-Schwarz-complex-vec* *du assms* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } u \ u$ **using** *assms(2) r geq0*

by (*simp add: mult-right-le-one-le less-eq-complex-def*)

finally have $\text{le: } \text{inner-prod } u \ (?o *_{\text{v}} u) \leq \text{inner-prod } u \ u.$

have $\text{inner-prod } u \ ((1_m \ n - ?o) *_{\text{v}} u) = \text{inner-prod } u \ ((1_m \ n *_{\text{v}} u) - ?o *_{\text{v}} u)$

apply (*subst minus-mult-distrib-mat-vec*) **using** *do du* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } u \ u - \text{inner-prod } u \ (?o *_{\text{v}} u)$

apply (*subst inner-prod-minus-distrib-right*)

using *du do* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \geq 0$ **using** *le* **by** *auto*

finally have $\text{inner-prod } u \ ((1_m \ n - ?o) *_{\text{v}} u) \geq 0$ **by** *auto*

}

then have *positive* $(1_m \ n - \text{outer-prod } v \ v)$

unfolding *positive-def* **using** *do* **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **using** *do* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *zero-lowner-le-positiveD:*

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $\text{dA: } A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\text{le: } 0_m \ n \ n \leq_L A$

shows *positive* A

using *assms* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** (*subgoal-tac* $A - 0_m \ n \ n = A$, *auto*)

lemma *zero-lowner-le-positiveI:*

fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $le: \text{positive } A$
shows $0_m \ n \ n \leq_L A$
using *assms* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **by** (*subgoal-tac* $A - 0_m \ n \ n = A$, *auto*)

lemma *lower-le-trans-positiveI*:
fixes $A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $pA: \text{positive } A$ **and** $le: A \leq_L B$
shows *positive* B
proof –
have $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** $le \ dA$ *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
have $0_m \ n \ n \leq_L A$ **using** *zero-lower-le-positiveI* $dA \ pA$ **by** *auto*
then have $0_m \ n \ n \leq_L B$ **using** $dA \ dB \ le$ **by** (*simp add: lower-le-trans*[*of - n A B*])
then show *?thesis* **using** dB *zero-lower-le-positiveD* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lower-le-keep-under-measurement*:
fixes $M \ A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $dM: M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and $le: A \leq_L B$
shows *adjoint* $M * A * M \leq_L \text{adjoint } M * B * M$
unfolding *lower-le-def*
proof (*rule conjI*, *fastforce*) +
have $daM: \text{adjoint } M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** dM **by** *auto*
have $dBmA: B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** $dB \ dA$ **by** *fastforce*
have *positive* $(B - A)$ **using** le *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $p: \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } M * (B - A) * M)$
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF daM dBmA*] *adjoint-adjoint*[*of M*] **by** *auto*
moreover have $e: \text{adjoint } M * (B - A) * M = \text{adjoint } M * B * M - \text{adjoint } M * A * M$ **using** $dM \ dB \ dA$ **by** (*mat-assoc* n)
ultimately show *positive* $(\text{adjoint } M * B * M - \text{adjoint } M * A * M)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *smult-distrib-left-minus-mat*:
fixes $A \ B :: 'a::\text{comm-ring-1 mat}$
assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $c \cdot_m (B - A) = c \cdot_m B - c \cdot_m A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat add-smult-distrib-left-mat*)

lemma *lower-le-smultc*:
fixes $c :: \text{complex}$
assumes $c \geq 0$ $A \leq_L B$ $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $c \cdot_m A \leq_L c \cdot_m B$
proof –
have $eqBA: c \cdot_m (B - A) = c \cdot_m B - c \cdot_m A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: smult-distrib-left-minus-mat*)

have *positive* $(B - A)$ **using** *assms*(2) **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have *positive* $(c \cdot_m (B - A))$ **using** *positive-smult*[of $B - A$ n c] *assms* **by**
fastforce
moreover have $c \cdot_m A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *index-smult-mat*(2,3) *assms*(3)
by *auto*
moreover have $c \cdot_m B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **using** *index-smult-mat*(2,3) *assms*(4)
by *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *eqBA* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *lower-le-smult*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $c \geq 0$ $A \leq_L B$ $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $c \cdot_m A \leq_L c \cdot_m B$
apply (*rule lower-le-smultc*) **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)

lemma *minus-smult-vec-distrib*:

fixes $w :: 'a::\text{comm-ring-1 vec}$
shows $(a - b) \cdot_v w = a \cdot_v w - b \cdot_v w$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def algebra-simps*)

lemma *smult-mat-mult-mat-vec-assoc*:

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{comm-ring-1 mat}$
assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ **and** $w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } m$
shows $a \cdot_m A *_v w = a \cdot_v (A *_v w)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-matD[OF A] carrier-vecD[OF w]*)
apply (*subst sum-distrib-left*) **apply** (*rule sum.cong, simp*)
by *auto*

lemma *mult-mat-vec-smult-vec-assoc*:

fixes $A :: 'a::\text{comm-ring-1 mat}$
assumes $A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ m$ **and** $w: w \in \text{carrier-vec } m$
shows $A *_v (a \cdot_v w) = a \cdot_v (A *_v w)$
apply (*rule eq-vecI*)
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def carrier-matD[OF A] carrier-vecD[OF w]*)
apply (*subst sum-distrib-left*) **apply** (*rule sum.cong, simp*)
by *auto*

lemma *outer-prod-left-right-mat*:

fixes $A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $du: u \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$ **and** $dv: v \in \text{carrier-vec } d3$
and $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d2$ **and** $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } d3 \ d4$
shows $A * (\text{outer-prod } u \ v) * B = (\text{outer-prod } (A *_v u) (\text{adjoint } B *_v v))$
unfolding *outer-prod-def*

proof –

have *eq1*: $A * (\text{mat } (\text{dim-vec } u) \ 1 \ (\lambda(i, j). u \$ i)) = \text{mat } (\text{dim-vec } (A *_v u)) \ 1$
 $(\lambda(i, j). (A *_v u) \$ i)$

```

    apply (rule eq-matI)
  by (auto simp add: dA du scalar-prod-def)
  have conj: conjugate a * b = conjugate ((a::complex) * conjugate b) for a b by
  auto
  have eq2: mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y). conjugate v $ y) * B = mat 1 (dim-vec
  (adjoint B *v v)) (λ(i, y). conjugate (adjoint B *v v) $ y)
    apply (rule eq-matI)
    apply (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF dB] carrier-vecD[OF dv] scalar-prod-def
  adjoint-def conjugate-vec-def sum-conjugate )
    apply (rule sum.cong)
    by (auto simp add: conj)
  have A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u $ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y).
  conjugate v $ y)) * B =
    (A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u $ i))) * (mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y).
  conjugate v $ y)) * B
    using dA du dv dB assoc-mult-mat[OF dA, of mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u $
  i) 1 mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i, y). conjugate v $ y)] by fastforce
    also have ... = (A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u $ i))) * ((mat 1 (dim-vec v)
  (λ(i, y). conjugate v $ y)) * B)
    using dA du dv dB assoc-mult-mat[OF - dB, of (A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i,
  j). u $ i))) d1 1] by fastforce
    finally show A * (mat (dim-vec u) 1 (λ(i, j). u $ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec v) (λ(i,
  y). conjugate v $ y)) * B =
      mat (dim-vec (A *v u)) 1 (λ(i, j). (A *v u) $ i) * mat 1 (dim-vec (adjoint B
  *v v)) (λ(i, y). conjugate (adjoint B *v v) $ y)
    using eq1 eq2 by auto
qed

```

1.14 Density operators

definition *density-operator* :: complex mat $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
density-operator A $\longleftrightarrow$ positive A $\wedge$ trace A = 1

definition *partial-density-operator* :: complex mat $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**
partial-density-operator A $\longleftrightarrow$ positive A $\wedge$ trace A $\leq$ 1

lemma *pure-state-self-outer-prod-is-partial-density-operator*:

```

  fixes v :: complex vec
  assumes dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n and nv: vec-norm v = 1
  shows partial-density-operator (outer-prod v v)
  unfolding partial-density-operator-def
proof
  have dimov: outer-prod v v ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimv by auto
  show positive (outer-prod v v) unfolding positive-def
proof (rule, simp add: carrier-matD(2)[OF dimov] dimov, rule allI, rule impI)
  fix w assume dim-vec (w::complex vec) = dim-col (outer-prod v v)
  then have dimw: w ∈ carrier-vec n using dimov carrier-vecI by auto
  then have inner-prod w ((outer-prod v v) *v w) = inner-prod w v * inner-prod
  v w

```

```

    using inner-prod-outer-prod dimw dimv by auto
  also have ... = inner-prod w v * conjugate (inner-prod w v) using dimw dimv
    apply (subst conjugate-scalar-prod[of v conjugate w], simp)
    apply (subst conjugate-vec-sprod-comm[of conjugate v - conjugate w], auto)
    apply (rule carrier-vec-conjugate[OF dimv])
    apply (rule carrier-vec-conjugate[OF dimw])
  done
  also have ... ≥ 0 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  finally show inner-prod w ((outer-prod v v) *v w) ≥ 0.
qed
have eq: trace (outer-prod v v) = (∑ i=0..

```

lemma *lowner-le-trace*:

```

  assumes A: A ∈ carrier-mat n n
    and B: B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  shows A ≤L B ⟷ (∀ ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n. partial-density-operator ρ ⟶ trace
(A * ρ) ≤ trace (B * ρ))
proof (rule iffI)
  have dimBmA: B - A ∈ carrier-mat n n using A B by auto
  {
    assume A ≤L B
    then have pBmA: positive (B - A) using lower-le-def by auto
    moreover have B - A ∈ carrier-mat n n using assms by auto
    ultimately have ∃ M ∈ carrier-mat n n. M * adjoint M = B - A using
positive-iff-decomp[of B - A] by auto
    then obtain M where dimM: M ∈ carrier-mat n n and M: M * adjoint M
= B - A by auto
    {
      fix ρ assume dimr: ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n and pdr: partial-density-operator ρ
      have eq: trace(B * ρ) - trace(A * ρ) = trace((B - A) * ρ) using A B dimr
      apply (subst minus-mult-distrib-mat, auto)
      apply (subst trace-minus-linear, auto)
      done
      have pr: positive ρ using pdr partial-density-operator-def by auto
      then have ∃ P ∈ carrier-mat n n. ρ = P * adjoint P using positive-iff-decomp
dimr by auto
      then obtain P where dimP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and P: ρ = P * adjoint
P by auto
    }
  }

```

```

    have trace((B - A) * ρ) = trace(M * adjoint M * (P * adjoint P)) using P
  M by auto
    also have ... = trace((adjoint P * M) * adjoint (adjoint P * M)) using
dimM dimP by (mat-assoc n)
    also have ... ≥ 0 using trace-adjoint-positive by auto
    finally have trace((B - A) * ρ) ≥ 0.
    with eq have trace (B * ρ) - trace (A * ρ) ≥ 0 by auto
  }
  then show ∀ ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n. partial-density-operator ρ ⟶ trace (A * ρ)
≤ trace (B * ρ) by auto
}

{
  assume asm: ∀ ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n. partial-density-operator ρ ⟶ trace (A * ρ)
≤ trace (B * ρ)
  have positive (B - A)
  proof -
    {
      fix v assume dim-vec (v::complex vec) = dim-col (B - A) ∧ vec-norm v =
1
      then have dimv: v ∈ carrier-vec n and nv: vec-norm v = 1
        using carrier-matD[OF dimBmA] by (auto intro: carrier-vecI)
      have dimov: outer-prod v v ∈ carrier-mat n n using dimv by auto
      then have partial-density-operator (outer-prod v v)
        using dimv nv pure-state-self-outer-prod-is-partial-density-operator by auto
      then have leq: trace(A * (outer-prod v v)) ≤ trace(B * (outer-prod v v))
using asm dimov by auto
      have trace((B - A) * (outer-prod v v)) = trace(B * (outer-prod v v)) -
trace(A * (outer-prod v v)) using A B dimov
      apply (subst minus-mult-distrib-mat, auto)
      apply (subst trace-minus-linear, auto)
      done
      then have trace((B - A) * (outer-prod v v)) ≥ 0 using leq by auto
      then have inner-prod v ((B - A) *v v) ≥ 0 using trace-outer-prod-right[OF
dimBmA dimv dimv] by auto
    }
    then show positive (B - A) using positive-iff-normalized-vec[of B - A]
dimBmA A by simp
  qed
  then show A ≤L B using lower-le-def A B by auto
}
qed

lemma lower-le-traceI:
  assumes A ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and B ∈ carrier-mat n n
  and ⋀ ρ. ρ ∈ carrier-mat n n ⟹ partial-density-operator ρ ⟹ trace (A * ρ)
≤ trace (B * ρ)
  shows A ≤L B

```

```

using lower-le-trace assms by auto

lemma trace-pdo-eq-imp-eq:
  assumes A:  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
    and B:  $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
    and teq:  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{trace } (A * \varrho) = \text{trace } (B * \varrho)$ 
  shows  $A = B$ 
proof -
  from teq have  $A \leq_L B$  using lower-le-trace[OF A B] teq by auto
  moreover from teq have  $B \leq_L A$  using lower-le-trace[OF B A] teq by auto
  ultimately show  $A = B$  using lower-le-antisym A B by auto
qed

lemma lower-le-traceD:
  assumes  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$   $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$   $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ 
    and  $A \leq_L B$ 
    and partial-density-operator  $\varrho$ 
  shows  $\text{trace } (A * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (B * \varrho)$ 
  using lower-le-trace assms by blast

lemma sum-only-one-neq-0:
  assumes finite A and  $j \in A$  and  $\bigwedge i. i \in A \implies i \neq j \implies g \ i = 0$ 
  shows  $\text{sum } g \ A = g \ j$ 
proof -
  have  $\{j\} \subseteq A$  using assms by auto
  moreover have  $\forall i \in A - \{j\}. g \ i = 0$  using assms by simp
  ultimately have  $\text{sum } g \ A = \text{sum } g \ \{j\}$  using assms
    by (auto simp add: comm-monoid-add-class.sum.mono-neutral-right[of A {j} g])
  moreover have  $\text{sum } g \ \{j\} = g \ j$  by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

end

```

2 Matrix limits

```

theory Matrix-Limit
  imports Complex-Matrix
begin

```

2.1 Definition of limit of matrices

```

definition limit-mat ::  $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
  limit-mat X A m  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge$ 
     $(\forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \ \$\$ (i, j))))$ 

```

```

lemma limit-mat-unique:

```

assumes $\text{limA}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ and $\text{limB}: \text{limit-mat } X \ B \ m$
 shows $A = B$
proof –
 have $\text{dim}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \ B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{limA} \ \text{limB} \ \text{limit-mat-def}$
by *auto*
 {
 fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ and $j: j < m$
 have $(\lambda \ n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \ \$\$ \ (i, j))$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-def} \ \text{limA} \ i \ j$
by *auto*
 moreover have $(\lambda \ n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (B \ \$\$ \ (i, j))$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-def} \ \text{limB} \ i \ j$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $(A \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) = (B \ \$\$ \ (i, j))$ **using** LIMSEQ-unique **by** *auto*
 }
 then show $A = B$ **using** $\text{mat-eq-iff} \ \text{dim}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma limit-mat-const :
 fixes $A :: \text{complex mat}$
 assumes $A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$
 shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. A) \ A \ m$
unfolding limit-mat-def **using** assms **by** *auto*

lemma limit-mat-scale :
 fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ and $A :: \text{complex mat}$
 assumes $\text{limX}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$
 shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m X \ n) \ (c \cdot_m A) \ m$
proof –
 have $\text{dimA}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{limX} \ \text{limit-mat-def}$ **by** *auto*
 have $\text{dimX}: \bigwedge n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{limX} \ \text{unfolding} \ \text{limit-mat-def}$
by *auto*
 have $\bigwedge i \ j. i < m \implies j < m \implies (\lambda n. (c \cdot_m X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (c \cdot_m A) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$
proof –
 fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ and $j: j < m$
 have $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **using** $\text{limX} \ \text{limit-mat-def} \ i \ j$ **by** *auto*
 moreover have $(\lambda n. c) \longrightarrow c$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $(\lambda n. c * (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow c * A \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$
 using $\text{tendsto-mult}[\text{of } \lambda n. c \ c] \ \text{limX} \ \text{limit-mat-def}$ **by** *auto*
 moreover have $(c \cdot_m X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) = c * (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **for** n
 using $\text{index-smult-mat}(1)[\text{of } i \ X \ n \ j \ c] \ i \ j \ \text{dimX}[\text{of } n]$ **by** *auto*
 moreover have $(c \cdot_m A) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) = c * A \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$
 using $\text{index-smult-mat}(1)[\text{of } i \ A \ j \ c] \ i \ j \ \text{dimA}$ **by** *auto*
 ultimately show $(\lambda n. (c \cdot_m X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (c \cdot_m A) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **by** *auto*
qed
 then show ?thesis **unfolding** limit-mat-def **using** $\text{dimA} \ \text{dimX}$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma limit-mat-add :

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$
and $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{limX}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ **and** $\text{limY}: \text{limit-mat } Y \ B \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. X \ k + Y \ k) \ (A + B) \ m$
proof –
have $\text{dimA}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*
have $\text{dimB}: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limY **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*
have $\text{dimX}: \bigwedge n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **unfolding** **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*
have $\text{dimY}: \bigwedge n. Y \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limY **unfolding** **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*
then have $\text{dimXAB}: \forall n. X \ n + Y \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A + B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** dimA dimB dimX dimY **by** (*simp*)

have $(\bigwedge i \ j. i < m \implies j < m \implies (\lambda n. (X \ n + Y \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A + B) \ \$\$ \ (i, j))$
proof –
fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$
have $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **using** limX **limit-mat-def** $i \ j$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $(\lambda n. (Y \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow B \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **using** limY **limit-mat-def** $i \ j$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) + (Y \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \ \$\$ \ (i, j) + B \ \$\$ \ (i, j))$
using *tendsto-add*[*of* $\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) \ A \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$] **by** *auto*
moreover have $(X \ n + Y \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) = (X \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) + (Y \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **for** n
using $i \ j$ dimX dimY *index-add-mat*(1)[*of* $i \ Y \ n \ j \ X \ n$] **by** *fastforce*
moreover have $(A + B) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) = A \ \$\$ \ (i, j) + B \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$
using $i \ j$ dimA dimB **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show $(\lambda n. (X \ n + Y \ n) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A + B) \ \$\$ \ (i, j)$ **by** *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis*
unfolding **limit-mat-def** **using** dimXAB **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-minus*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$
and $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{limX}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ **and** $\text{limY}: \text{limit-mat } Y \ B \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. X \ k - Y \ k) \ (A - B) \ m$
proof –
have $\text{dimA}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*
have $\text{dimB}: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limY **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*
have $\text{dimX}: \bigwedge n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **unfolding** **limit-mat-def** **by** *auto*

have $\dim Y: \bigwedge n. Y\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\lim Y$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by *auto*
have $-1 \cdot_m Y\ n = -\ Y\ n$ **for** n **using** $\dim Y$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $-1 \cdot_m B = -\ B$ **using** $\dim B$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. -\ Y\ n) (-\ B)\ m$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-scale}[OF\ \lim Y, \text{ of } -1]$ **by** *auto*
then **have** $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. X\ n + (-\ Y\ n)) (A + (-\ B))\ m$ **using** $\text{limit-mat-add}\ \lim X$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $X\ n + (-\ Y\ n) = X\ n -\ Y\ n$ **for** n **using** $\dim X\ \dim Y$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $A + (-\ B) = A -\ B$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-mult*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $Y :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$

and $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$

assumes $\lim X: \text{limit-mat } X\ A\ m$ **and** $\lim Y: \text{limit-mat } Y\ B\ m$

shows $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. X\ k * Y\ k) (A * B)\ m$

proof $-$

have $\dim A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\lim X\ \text{limit-mat-def}$ **by** *auto*

have $\dim B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\lim Y\ \text{limit-mat-def}$ **by** *auto*

have $\dim X: \bigwedge n. X\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\lim X$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by *auto*

have $\dim Y: \bigwedge n. Y\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\lim Y$ **unfolding** limit-mat-def
by *auto*

then **have** $\dim XAB: \forall n. X\ n * Y\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m \wedge A * B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **using** $\dim A\ \dim B\ \dim X\ \dim Y$

by *fastforce*

have $(\bigwedge i\ j. i < m \implies j < m \implies (\lambda n. (X\ n * Y\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A * B)\ \$\$ (i, j))$

proof $-$

fix $i\ j$ **assume** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$

have $\text{eqn}: (X\ n * Y\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j) = (\sum k=0..<m. (X\ n)\ \$\$ (i, k) * (Y\ n)\ \$\$ (k, j))$

for n

using $i\ j\ \dim X[\text{of } n]\ \dim Y[\text{of } n]$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: scalar-prod-def})$

have $\text{eq}: (A * B)\ \$\$ (i, j) = (\sum k=0..<m. A\ \$\$ (i, k) * B\ \$\$ (k, j))$

using $i\ j\ \dim B\ \dim A$ **by** $(\text{auto simp add: scalar-prod-def})$

have $(\lambda n. (X\ n)\ \$\$ (i, k)) \longrightarrow A\ \$\$ (i, k)$ **if** $k < m$ **for** k **using** $\lim X\ \text{limit-mat-def that } i$ **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $(\lambda n. (Y\ n)\ \$\$ (k, j)) \longrightarrow B\ \$\$ (k, j)$ **if** $k < m$ **for** k **using** $\lim Y\ \text{limit-mat-def that } j$ **by** *auto*

ultimately **have** $(\lambda n. (X\ n)\ \$\$ (i, k) * (Y\ n)\ \$\$ (k, j)) \longrightarrow A\ \$\$ (i, k) * B\ \$\$ (k, j)$ **if** $k < m$ **for** k

using $\text{tendsto-mult}[\text{of } \lambda n. (X\ n)\ \$\$ (i, k)\ A\ \$\$ (i, k) - \lambda n. (Y\ n)\ \$\$ (k, j)\ B\ \$\$ (k, j)]$ **that** **by** *auto*

then **have** $(\lambda n. (\sum k=0..<m. (X\ n)\ \$\$ (i, k) * (Y\ n)\ \$\$ (k, j))) \longrightarrow (\sum k=0..<m. A\ \$\$ (i, k) * B\ \$\$ (k, j))$

using *tendsto-sum*[*of* $\{0..<m\}$ $\lambda k\ n.\ (X\ n)\$(i,k) * (Y\ n)\$(k,j) \lambda k.\ A\$(i,k) * B\(k,j)] **by** *auto*
then show $(\lambda n.\ (X\ n * Y\ n)\$(i,j)) \longrightarrow (A * B)\(i,j) **using** *eqn eq*
by *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis*
unfolding *limit-mat-def* **using** *dimXAB* **by** *fastforce*
qed

Adding matrix A to the sequence X

definition *mat-add-seq* :: *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ (*nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat*) $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **where**
mat-add-seq A X = $(\lambda n.\ A + X\ n)$

lemma *mat-add-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **and** A :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat* **and** B :: *complex mat*
assumes *dimB*: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* (*mat-add-seq* B X) (B + A) m
unfolding *mat-add-seq-def* **using** *limit-mat-add* *limit-mat-const*[*OF dimB*] *limX*
by *auto*

lemma *mat-minus-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **and** A :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat* **and** B :: *complex mat*
assumes *dimB*: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* $(\lambda n.\ B - X\ n)$ (B - A) m
using *limit-mat-minus* *limit-mat-const*[*OF dimB*] *limX* **by** *auto*

Multiply matrix A by the sequence X

definition *mat-mult-seq* :: *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ (*nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat*) $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **where**
mat-mult-seq A X = $(\lambda n.\ A * X\ n)$

lemma *mat-mult-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **and** A B :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat*
assumes *dimB*: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* (*mat-mult-seq* B X) (B * A) m
unfolding *mat-mult-seq-def* **using** *limit-mat-mult* *limit-mat-const*[*OF dimB*] *limX*
by *auto*

lemma *mult-mat-limit*:

fixes X :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **and** A B :: *complex mat* **and** m :: *nat*
assumes *dimB*: $B \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$ **and** *limX*: *limit-mat* X A m
shows *limit-mat* $(\lambda k.\ X\ k * B)$ (A * B) m
unfolding *mat-mult-seq-def* **using** *limit-mat-mult* *limit-mat-const*[*OF dimB*] *limX*
by *auto*

lemma *quadratic-form-mat*:

```

fixes  $A :: \text{complex mat}$  and  $v :: \text{complex vec}$  and  $m :: \text{nat}$ 
assumes  $\text{dimv}: \text{dim-vec } v = m$  and  $\text{dimA}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ 
shows  $\text{inner-prod } v \ (A *_v v) = (\sum i=0..<m. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j))$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{inner-prod } v \ (A *_v v) = (\sum i=0..<m. (\sum j=0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j))$ 
  unfolding  $\text{scalar-prod-def}$  using  $\text{dimv dimA}$ 
  apply  $(\text{simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-distrib-right})$ 
  apply  $(\text{rule sum.cong, auto, rule sum.cong, auto})$ 
  done
  then show  $?thesis$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{sum-subtractff}$ :
  fixes  $h \ g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{ab-group-add}$ 
  shows  $(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\forall x \in A. (\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
    proof -
      {
        fix  $x$  assume  $x: x \in A$ 
        have  $(\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
        using  $\text{sum-subtractf by auto}$ 
      }
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{sum-subtractf by blast}$ 
  qed
  then have  $(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum x \in A. ((\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)))$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  also have  $\dots = (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) - (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. g \ x \ y)$ 
  by  $(\text{simp add: sum-subtractf})$ 
  finally have  $(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y - g \ x \ y) = (\sum x \in A. \text{sum } (h \ x) \ B) - (\sum x \in A. \text{sum } (g \ x) \ B)$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  then show  $?thesis$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{sum-abs-complex}$ :
  fixes  $h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ 
  shows  $\text{cmod } (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$ 
  proof -
    have  $B: \forall x \in A. \text{cmod}(\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$  using  $\text{sum-abs norm-sum by blast}$ 
    have  $\text{cmod}(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum x \in A. \text{cmod}(\sum y \in B. h \ x \ y))$  using  $\text{sum-abs norm-sum by blast}$ 
    also have  $\dots \leq (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$  using  $\text{sum-abs norm-sum B by (simp add: sum-mono)}$ 
    finally have  $\text{cmod}(\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. h \ x \ y) \leq (\sum x \in A. \sum y \in B. \text{cmod}(h \ x \ y))$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

then show *?thesis* by auto
qed

lemma *hermitian-mat-lim-is-hermitian*:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ and $A :: \text{complex mat}$ and $m :: \text{nat}$
 assumes $\text{limX}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$ and $\text{herX}: \forall n. \text{hermitian } (X \ n)$
 shows *hermitian A*
 proof –
 have $\text{dimX}: \forall n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ using *limX* unfolding *limit-mat-def* by auto
 have $\text{dimA} : A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ using *limX* unfolding *limit-mat-def* by auto

 from *herX* have $\text{herXn}: \forall n. \text{adjoint } (X \ n) = (X \ n)$ unfolding *hermitian-def* by auto
 from *limX* have $\text{limXn}: \forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ (i, j)$
 unfolding *limit-mat-def* by auto
 have $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\text{adjoint } A) \ \$\$ (i, j) = A \ \$\$ (i, j)$
 proof –
 {
 fix $i \ j$ assume $i: i < m$ and $j: j < m$
 have $\text{aij}: (\text{adjoint } A) \ \$\$ (i, j) = \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))$ using *adjoint-eval i j*
 dimA by blast
 have $\text{ij}: (\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ (i, j)$ using *limXn i j* by auto
 have $\text{ji}: (\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i)) \longrightarrow A \ \$\$ (j, i)$ using *limXn i j* by auto
 then have $\forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) (\text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$
 proof –
 {
 fix $r :: \text{real}$ assume $r : r > 0$
 have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i) - A \ \$\$ (j, i)) < r$ using *ji r*
 unfolding *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* by auto
 then obtain no where $\text{Xji}: \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i) - A \ \$\$ (j, i)) < r$ by auto
 then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i) - A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$
 using *complex-mod-cnjugate-complex-def* by presburger
 then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) (\text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$ unfolding *dist-norm* by auto
 then have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (\text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) (\text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))) < r$ by auto
 }
 }
 then show *?thesis* by auto
 qed
 then have $\text{conjX}: (\lambda n. \text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i))) \longrightarrow \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))$ unfolding *LIMSEQ-def* by auto

 from *herXn* have $\forall n. \text{conjugate } (X \ n \ \$\$ (j, i)) = X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)$ using *adjoint-eval i j dimX*
 by (*metis adjoint-dim-col carrier-matD(1)*)
 then have $(\lambda n. X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{conjugate } (A \ \$\$ (j, i))$ using *conjX*

```

by auto
  then have conjugate (A $$ (j,i)) = A$$ (i, j) using ij by (simp add:
LIMSEQ-unique)
  then have (adjoint A)$$ (i, j) = A$$ (i, j) using adjoint-eval i j by (simp
add:aij)
}
then show ?thesis by auto
qed
then have hermitian A using hermitian-def dimA
  by (metis adjoint-dim carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) eq-matI)
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma quantifier-change-order-once:
  fixes P :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool and m :: nat
  shows  $\forall j < m. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ j \implies \exists no. \forall j < m. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ j$ 
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc m)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have mm:  $\exists no. \forall j < m. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ j$  using Suc by auto
    then obtain M where MM:  $\forall j < m. \forall n \geq M. P\ n\ j$  by auto
    have sucM:  $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ m$  using Suc(2) by auto
    then obtain N where NN:  $\forall n \geq N. P\ n\ m$  by auto
    let ?N = max M N
    from MM NN have  $\forall j < Suc\ m. \forall n \geq ?N. P\ n\ j$ 
      by (metis less-antisym max.boundedE)
    then have  $\exists no. \forall j < Suc\ m. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ j$  by blast
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma quantifier-change-order-twice:
  fixes P :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool and m n :: nat
  shows  $\forall i < m. \forall j < n. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j \implies \exists no. \forall i < m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j$ 
proof -
  assume fact:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < n. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j$ 
  have one:  $\forall i < m. \exists no. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j$ 
    using fact quantifier-change-order-once by auto
  have two:  $\forall i < m. \exists no. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j \implies \exists no. \forall i < m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j$ 
  proof (induct m)
    case 0
    then show ?case by auto
  next

```

```

case (Suc m)
then show ?case
proof -
  obtain M where MM:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq M. P\ n\ i\ j$  using Suc by auto
  obtain N where NN:  $\forall j < n. \forall n \geq N. P\ n\ m\ j$  using Suc(2) by blast
  let ?N = max M N
  from MM NN have  $\forall i < \text{Suc } m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq ?N. P\ n\ i\ j$ 
    by (metis less-antisym max.boundedE)
  then have  $\exists no. \forall i < \text{Suc } m. \forall j < n. \forall n \geq no. P\ n\ i\ j$  by blast
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
with fact show ?thesis using one by auto
qed

lemma pos-mat-lim-is-pos:
  fixes X :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat and A :: complex mat and m :: nat
  assumes limX: limit-mat X A m and posX:  $\forall n. \text{positive } (X\ n)$ 
  shows positive A
proof (rule ccontr)
  have dimX :  $\forall n. X\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$  using limX unfolding limit-mat-def
  by auto
  have dimA :  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } m\ m$  using limX unfolding limit-mat-def by auto
  have herX :  $\forall n. \text{hermitian } (X\ n)$  using posX positive-is-hermitian by auto
  then have herA : hermitian A using hermitian-mat-lim-is-hermitian limX by
  auto
  then have herprod:  $\forall v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \longrightarrow \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) \in$ 
  Reals
    using hermitian-inner-prod-real dimA by auto

  assume npA:  $\neg \text{positive } A$ 
  from npA have  $\neg (A \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{dim-col } A)\ (\text{dim-col } A)) \vee \neg (\forall v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \longrightarrow 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v))$ 
  unfolding positive-def by blast
  then have evA:  $\exists v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \neg \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) \geq 0$ 
  using dimA by blast
  then have  $\exists v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) < 0$ 
  proof -
    obtain v where vA:  $\text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \neg \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) \geq 0$ 
  using evA by auto
    from vA herprod have  $\neg 0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) \wedge \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) \in$ 
  Reals by auto
    then have  $\text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) < 0$ 
    using complex-is-Real-iff by (auto simp: less-complex-def less-eq-complex-def)
    then have  $\exists v. \text{dim-vec } v = \text{dim-col } A \wedge \text{inner-prod } v\ (A *_{\text{v}} v) < 0$  using
  vA by auto
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

then obtain v **where** $neg: \dim\text{-}vec\ v = \dim\text{-}col\ A \wedge inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A *_v v) < 0$
by *auto*

have $nzero: v \neq 0_v\ m$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
 assume $nega: \neg v \neq 0_v\ m$
 have $zero: v = 0_v\ m$ **using** $nega$ **by** *auto*
 have $(A *_v v) = 0_v\ m$ **unfolding** *mult-mat-vec-def* **using** $zero$
 using $dimA$ **by** *auto*
 then have $zerov: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A *_v v) = 0$ **by** (*simp add: zero*)
 from $neg\ zerov$ **have** $\neg v \neq 0_v\ m \implies False$ **using** $dimA$ **by** *auto*
 with $nega$ **show** $False$ **by** *auto*
qed

have $invgeq: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v > 0$
proof –
 have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = vec\text{-}norm\ v * vec\text{-}norm\ v$ **unfolding** *vec-norm-def*
 by (*metis carrier-matD(2) carrier-vec-dim-vec dimA mult-cancel-left1 neg*
normalized-cscalar-prod normalized-vec-norm nzero vec-norm-def)
 moreover have $vec\text{-}norm\ v > 0$ **using** $nzero\ vec\text{-}norm\text{-}ge\text{-}0\ neg\ dimA$
 by (*metis carrier-matD(2) carrier-vec-dim-vec*)
 ultimately have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v > 0$ **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*
less-complex-def)
 then show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

have $invv: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = (\sum i = 0..<m. cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i)))$
proof –
 {
 have $\forall i < m. conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i) \geq 0$ **using** *conjugate-square-smaller-0*
 by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def*)
 then have $vi: \forall i < m. conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i) = cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i))$ **using** *cmod-eq-Re*
 by (*simp add: complex.expand*)

 have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = (\sum i = 0..<m. ((v\ \$\ i) * conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i)))$
 unfolding *scalar-prod-def conjugate-vec-def* **using** $neg\ dimA$ **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i)))$
 by (*meson mult.commute*)
 also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i)))$ **using** vi
by *auto*
 finally have $inner\text{-}prod\ v\ v = (\sum i = 0..<m. cmod\ (conjugate\ (v\ \$\ i) * (v\ \$\ i)))$ **by** *auto*
 }
 then show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

let $?r = inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A *_v v)$ **have** $rl: ?r < 0$ **using** neg **by** *auto*
have $vAv: inner\text{-}prod\ v\ (A *_v v) = (\sum i=0..<m. (\sum j=0..<m.$

$\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j))$ **using** *quadratic-form-mat dimA*
neg by auto
from *limX* **have** *limij*: $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. (\lambda n. X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A\ \$\$ (i, j)$
unfolding *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*
then have *limXv*: $(\lambda n. \text{inner-prod } v ((X\ n) *_{\text{v}} v)) \longrightarrow \text{inner-prod } v (A *_{\text{v}} v)$
proof –
have *XAless*: $\text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n *_{\text{v}} v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_{\text{v}} v)) \leq$
 $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i)) * \text{cmod } (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod } (v\ \$\ j))$ **for** *n*
proof –
have $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) * v\$j - \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j =$
 $\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\$(i, j)) * v\$j$
by (*simp add: mult.commute right-diff-distrib*)
then have *ele*: $\forall i < m. (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) * v\$j - \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j)) = (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\$(i, j)) * v\$j))$ **by** *auto*
have $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * v\ \$\ j) =$
 $\text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i)) * \text{cmod} (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v\ \$\ j)$
by (*simp add: norm-mult*)
then have *less*: $\forall i < m. (\sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * v\ \$\ j)) =$
 $(\sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i)) * \text{cmod} (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod}(v\ \$\ j))$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n *_{\text{v}} v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_{\text{v}} v) = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) * v\$j) - (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j)))$ **using** *quadratic-form-mat neg dimA*
dimX by auto
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) * v\$j - \text{conjugate } (v\$i) * A\$(i, j) * v\$j)))$
using *sum-subtractff*[*of* $\lambda i\ j. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) * v\ \$\ j \lambda i\ j. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * A\ \$\$ (i, j) * v\ \$\ j \{0..<m\}$] **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<m. (\sum j = 0..<m. (\text{conjugate } (v\$i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\$(i, j)) * v\$j)))$ **using** *ele by auto*
finally have *minusXA*: $\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n *_{\text{v}} v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_{\text{v}} v) = (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * v\ \$\ j)$ **by** *auto*

from *minusXA* **have** $\text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X\ n *_{\text{v}} v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_{\text{v}} v)) =$
 $\text{cmod } (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * v\ \$\ j)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod}(\text{conjugate } (v\ \$\ i) * (X\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - A\ \$\$ (i, j)) * v\ \$\ j))$

```

n $$ (i, j) - A $$ (i, j)) * v $ j))
  using sum-abs-complex by simp
  also have ... = (∑ i = 0..

```

```

    have sgz: ?s > 0 using ug rl
    by (smt (verit) divide-pos-pos dual-order.strict-iff-order mult-pos-pos zero-less-norm-iff
r)
    from limijm have sij:  $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s$ 
if i:  $i < m$  and j:  $j < m$  for i j
    proof -
        obtain N where Ns:  $\forall n \geq N. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s$  using
sgz limijm i j by blast
        then show ?thesis by auto
    qed
    then have  $\exists no. \forall i < m. \forall j < m. \forall n \geq no. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j))$ 
< ?s
        using quantifier-change-order-twice[of m m  $\lambda n i j. (cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) -$ 
A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s)] by auto
        then obtain N where Nno:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. \forall n \geq N. cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) -$ 
A \$\$ (i, j)) < ?s by auto
        then have mmN:  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i,$ 
j)) *  $cmod (v \$ j)$ 
             $\leq ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$ 
        if i:  $i < m$  and j:  $j < m$  and n:  $n \geq N$  for i j n
    proof -
        have geq:  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) \geq 0 \wedge cmod (v \$ j) \geq 0$  by simp
        then have  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j))$ 
 $\leq cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * ?s$  using Nno i j n
        by (smt (verit) mult-left-mono)
        then have  $cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) *$ 
 $cmod (v \$ j)$ 
             $\leq cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * ?s * cmod (v \$ j)$  using geq
mult-right-mono by blast
        also have  $\dots = ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$  by simp
        finally show ?thesis by auto
    qed
    then have  $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod$ 
 $(X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i, j)) * cmod (v \$ j)) < r$ 
        if n:  $n \geq N$  for n
    proof -
        have mmX:  $\forall i < m. \forall j < m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j)$ 
 $- A \$\$ (i, j)) * cmod (v \$ j)$ 
             $\leq ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$  using n mmN
by blast
        have  $(\sum j = 0..<m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A$ 
 $\$ \$ (i, j)) * cmod (v \$ j))$ 
             $\leq (\sum j = 0..<m. (?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))))$ 
if i:  $i < m$  for i
        proof -
            have  $\forall j < m. cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (X n \$\$ (i, j) - A \$\$ (i,$ 
j)) *  $cmod (v \$ j)$ 
                 $\leq ?s * (cmod (conjugate (v \$ i)) * cmod (v \$ j))$  using mmX i by
auto

```

then show *?thesis*
using *sum-mono*[*of* $\{0..<m\} \lambda j. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v \$ i)) * \text{cmod } (X n \$ \$ (i, j) - A \$ \$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod } (v \$ j) \lambda j. (?s * (\text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v \$ i)) * \text{cmod } (v \$ j)))$]
atLeastLessThan-iff **by** *blast*
qed
then have $(\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v \$ i)) * \text{cmod } (X n \$ \$ (i, j) - A \$ \$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod } (v \$ j))$
 $\leq (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. (?s * (\text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v \$ i)) * \text{cmod } (v \$ j))))$ **using** *sum-mono* *atLeastLessThan-iff*
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*))
also have $\dots = ?s * (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. ((\text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v \$ i)) * \text{cmod } (v \$ j))))$ **by** (*simp* *add: sum-distrib-left*)
also have $\dots = r / 2$ **using** *nonzero-mult-divide-mult-cancel-right* *sgz* **by** *fastforce*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *r* **by** *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
then have $XnAv: \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < r$ **if** *r*: $r > 0$ **for** *r*
proof –
obtain *no* **where** *nno*: $\forall n \geq no. (\sum i = 0..<m. \sum j = 0..<m. \text{cmod } (\text{conjugate } (v \$ i)) * \text{cmod } (X n \$ \$ (i, j) - A \$ \$ (i, j)) * \text{cmod } (v \$ j)) < r$
using *r cmoda neg* **by** *auto*
then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < r$ **using** *XAless neg* **by** (*smt* (*verit*))
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
then have $(\lambda n. \text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v)) \longrightarrow \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)$ **unfolding** *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

from *limXv* **have** $\forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < r$ **unfolding** *LIMSEQ-def dist-norm* **by** *auto*
then have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$ **using** *rl*
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then obtain *N* **where** *Ng*: $\forall n \geq N. \text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X n *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$ **by** *auto*
then have *XN*: $\text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$ **by** *auto*

from *posX* **have** *positive* (*X N*) **by** *auto*
then have *XNv*: $\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v) \geq 0$
by (*metis* *Complex-Matrix.positive-def carrier-matD(2) dimA dimX neg*)

from *rl XNv* **have** *XX*: $\text{cmod } (\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) < -?r$

$v)) = \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) - \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v))$
using XN *cmod-eq-Re* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have $YY: \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) - \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v))$
 $< -?r$ **using** XN **by** *auto*
then have $\text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) - \text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v)) <$
 $\text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (A *_v v))$
using *rl cmod-eq-Re* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have $\text{cmod}(\text{inner-prod } v (X N *_v v)) < 0$ **using** XNv XX YY *cmod-eq-Re*
by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
then have *False* **using** XNv **by** *simp*
with npA **show** *False* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-ignore-initial-segment*:

$\text{limit-mat } g A d \implies \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. g (n + k)) A d$

proof –

assume $asm: \text{limit-mat } g A d$

then have $\lim: \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (g n) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \$\$ (i, j))$

using *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\limk: \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (g (n + k)) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \$\$ (i, j))$

proof –

{

fix $i j$ **assume** $dims: i < d j < d$

then have $(\lambda n. (g n) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \$\$ (i, j))$ **using** $\lim$ **by** *auto*

then have $(\lambda n. (g (n + k)) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \$\$ (i, j))$ **using** *LIM-SEQ-ignore-initial-segment* **by** *auto*

}

then show $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (g (n + k)) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A \$\$ (i, j))$

by *auto*

qed

have $\forall n. g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** asm **unfolding** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

then have $\forall n. g (n + k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $A \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** asm *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

ultimately show $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. g (n + k)) A d$ **using** *limit-mat-def* $\limk$ **by**

auto

qed

lemma *mat-trace-limit*:

$\text{limit-mat } g A d \implies (\lambda n. \text{trace } (g n)) \longrightarrow \text{trace } A$

proof –

assume $\lim: \text{limit-mat } g A d$

then have $dgn: g n \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **for** n **using** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

from $\lim$ **have** $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *limit-mat-def* **by** *auto*

have $\text{trg}: \text{trace } (g n) = (\sum_{k=0..<d.} (g n) \$\$ (k, k))$ **for** n **unfolding** *trace-def*
using *carrier-matD[OF dgn]* **by** *auto*

have $\forall k < d. (\lambda n. (g n) \$\$ (k, k)) \longrightarrow A \$\$ (k, k)$ **using** *limit-mat-def* $\lim$ **by**
auto

then have $(\lambda n. (\sum_{k=0..<d.} (g n) \$\$ (k, k))) \longrightarrow (\sum_{k=0..<d.} A \$\$ (k, k))$

```

    using tendsto-sum[where ?I = {0..<d} and ?f = (λk n. (g n)$(k, k))] by
auto
    then show (λn. trace (g n)) → trace A unfolding trace-def
    using trg carrier-matD[OF dgn] carrier-matD[OF dA] by auto
qed

```

2.2 Existence of least upper bound for the Löwner order

definition *lower-is-lub* :: (nat ⇒ complex mat) ⇒ complex mat ⇒ bool **where**
lower-is-lub f M ⇔ (∀ n. f n ≤_L M) ∧ (∀ M'. (∀ n. f n ≤_L M') → M ≤_L M')

```

locale matrix-seq =
  fixes dim :: nat
  and f :: nat ⇒ complex mat
  assumes
    dim: ∧n. f n ∈ carrier-mat dim dim and
    pdo: ∧n. partial-density-operator (f n) and
    inc: ∧n. lower-le (f n) (f (Suc n))
begin

```

definition *lower-is-lub* :: complex mat ⇒ bool **where**
lower-is-lub M ⇔ (∀ n. f n ≤_L M) ∧ (∀ M'. (∀ n. f n ≤_L M') → M ≤_L M')

```

lemma lower-is-lub-dim:
  assumes lower-is-lub M
  shows M ∈ carrier-mat dim dim
proof -
  have f 0 ≤L M using asms lower-is-lub-def by auto
  then have 1: dim-row (f 0) = dim-row M ∧ dim-col (f 0) = dim-col M
    using lower-le-def by auto
  moreover have 2: f 0 ∈ carrier-mat dim dim
    using dim by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma trace-adjoint-eq-u:
  fixes A :: complex mat
  shows trace (A * adjoint A) = (∑ i ∈ {0 ..< dim-row A}. ∑ j ∈ {0 ..< dim-col A}. (norm(A $$ (i,j)))2)
proof -
  have trace (A * adjoint A) = (∑ i ∈ {0 ..< dim-row A}. row A i • conjugate (row A i))
    by (simp add: trace-def cmod-def adjoint-def scalar-prod-def)
  also have ... = (∑ i ∈ {0 ..< dim-row A}. ∑ j ∈ {0 ..< dim-col A}. (norm(A $$ (i,j)))2)
    proof (simp add: scalar-prod-def cmod-def)
    have cnjmul: ∀ i ia. A $$ (i, ia) * cnj (A $$ (i, ia)) =
      ((complex-of-real (Re (A $$ (i, ia))))2 + (complex-of-real (Im (A

```

$\$$(i, ia)))^2$
by (*simp add: complex-mult-cnj*)
then have $\forall i. (\sum ia = 0..<dim-col\ A. A\ \$$(i, ia) * cnj\ (A\ \$$(i, ia))) =$
 $(\sum ia = 0..<dim-col\ A. ((complex-of-real\ (Re\ (A\ \$$(i, ia))))^2$
 $+ (complex-of-real\ (Im\ (A\ \$$(i, ia))))^2))$
by *auto*
then show $(\sum i = 0..<dim-row\ A. \sum ia = 0..<dim-col\ A. A\ \$$(i, ia) * cnj$
 $(A\ \$$(i, ia))) =$
 $(\sum x = 0..<dim-row\ A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col\ A. (complex-of-real\ (Re\ (A\ \$$(x, xa))))^2 +$
 $(\sum x = 0..<dim-row\ A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col\ A. (complex-of-real\ (Im\ (A\ \$$(x, xa))))^2)$
by *auto*
qed
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *trace-adjoint-element-ineq:*

fixes $A :: complex\ mat$
assumes *rindex*: $i \in \{0 ..< dim-row\ A\}$
and *cindex*: $j \in \{0 ..< dim-col\ A\}$
shows $(norm(A\ \$$(i,j)))^2 \leq trace\ (A * adjoint\ A)$
proof (*simp add: trace-adjoint-eq-u less-eq-complex-def*)
have *ineqi*: $(cmod\ (A\ \$$(i, j)))^2 \leq (\sum xa = 0..<dim-col\ A. (cmod\ (A\ \$$(i, xa)))^2)$
using *cindex member-le-sum*[*of j {0 ..< dim-col A} λ x. (cmod (A \$\$(i, x)))^2*]
by *auto*
also have *ineqj*: $\dots \leq (\sum x = 0..<dim-row\ A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col\ A. (cmod\ (A\ \$$(x, xa)))^2)$
using *rindex member-le-sum*[*of i {0 ..< dim-row A} λ x. ∑ xa = 0..<dim-col A. (cmod (A \$\$(x, xa)))^2*]
by (*simp add: sum-nonneg*)
then show $(cmod\ (A\ \$$(i, j)))^2 \leq (\sum x = 0..<dim-row\ A. \sum xa = 0..<dim-col\ A. (cmod\ (A\ \$$(x, xa)))^2)$
using *ineqi by linarith*
qed

lemma *positive-is-normal:*

fixes $A :: complex\ mat$
assumes *pos*: *positive A*
shows $A * adjoint\ A = adjoint\ A * A$
proof –
have *hA*: *hermitian A* **using** *positive-is-hermitian pos* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: hA hermitian-is-normal*)
qed

lemma *diag-mat-mul-diag-diag:*

fixes $A\ B :: complex\ mat$
assumes *dimA*: $A \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$ **and** *dimB*: $B \in carrier-mat\ n\ n$

and dA : diagonal-mat A **and** dB : diagonal-mat B
shows diagonal-mat $(A * B)$
proof –
have AB : $A * B = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{if } (i = j) \text{ then } (A\$(i, i)) * (B\$(i, i)) \text{ else } 0))$
using diag-mat-mult-diag-mat[of $A \ n \ B$] $\dim A \ \dim B \ dA \ dB$ **by** auto
then have dAB : $\forall i < n. \forall j < n. i \neq j \longrightarrow (A*B) \$(i, j) = 0$
proof –
{
fix $i \ j$ **assume** $i: i < n$ **and** $j: j < n$ **and** $ij: i \neq j$
have $(A*B) \$(i, j) = 0$ **using** $AB \ i \ j \ ij$ **by** auto
}
then show ?thesis **by** auto
qed
then show ?thesis **using** diagonal-mat-def $dAB \ \dim A \ \dim B$
by (metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) index-mult-mat(2) index-mult-mat(3))
qed

lemma diag-mat-mul-diag-ele:
fixes $A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\dim A: A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** $\dim B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and dA : diagonal-mat A **and** dB : diagonal-mat B
shows $\forall i < n. (A*B) \$(i, i) = A\$(i, i) * B\$(i, i)$
proof –
have AB : $A * B = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{if } i = j \text{ then } (A\$(i, i)) * (B\$(i, i)) \text{ else } 0)$
using diag-mat-mult-diag-mat[of $A \ n \ B$] $\dim A \ \dim B \ dA \ dB$ **by** auto
then show ?thesis
using AB **by** auto
qed

lemma trace-square-less-square-trace:
fixes $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\dim B: B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and dB : diagonal-mat B **and** pB : $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies B\$(i, i) \geq 0$
shows $\text{trace } (B*B) \leq (\text{trace } B)^2$
proof –
have tB : $\text{trace } B = (\sum i \in \{0 ..<n\}. B \$(i, i))$ **using** assms trace-def[of B] carrier-mat-def **by** auto
then have $tBtB$: $(\text{trace } B)^2 = (\sum i \in \{0 ..<n\}. \sum j \in \{0 ..<n\}. B \$(i, i) * B \$(j, j))$
proof –
show ?thesis
by (metis (no-types) semiring-normalization-rules(29) sum-product tB)
qed
have BB : $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies (B*B) \$(i, i) = (B\$(i, i))^2$ **using** diag-mat-mul-diag-ele[of $B \ n \ B$] $\dim B \ dB$
by (metis numeral-1-eq-Suc-0 power-Suc0-right power-add-numeral semiring-norm(2))
have tBB : $\text{trace } (B*B) = (\sum i \in \{0 ..<n\}. (B*B) \$(i, i))$ **using** assms

```

trace-def[of B*B] carrier-mat-def by auto
also have ... = (∑ i ∈ {0 ..<n}. (B $$ (i, i))2) using BB by auto
finally have BBt: trace (B * B) = (∑ i = 0 ..<n. (B $$ (i, i))2) by auto
have lesseq: ∀ i ∈ {0 ..<n}. (B $$ (i, i))2 ≤ (∑ j ∈ {0 ..<n}. B $$ (i,i)*B $$
(j,j))
proof -
{
  fix i assume i: i < n
  have (∑ j = 0 ..<n. B $$ (i, i) * B $$ (j, j)) = (B $$ (i, i))2 + sum (λ j.
(B $$ (i, i) * B $$ (j, j))) ({0 ..<n} - {i})
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) BB atLeastLessThan-iff dB diag-mat-mul-diag-ele
dimB finite-atLeastLessThan i not-le not-less-zero sum.remove)
  moreover have (sum (λ j. (B $$ (i, i) * B $$ (j, j))) ({0 ..<n} - {i})) ≥ 0
  proof (cases {0 ..<n} - {i} ≠ {})
    case True
    then show ?thesis using pB i sum-nonneg[of {0 ..<n} - {i} λ j. (B $$ (i,
i) * B $$ (j, j))] by auto
    next
    case False
    have (∑ j ∈ {0 ..<n} - {i}. B $$ (i, i) * B $$ (j, j)) = 0 using False by
fastforce
    then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  ultimately have (∑ j = 0 ..<n. B $$ (i, i) * B $$ (j, j)) ≥ (B $$ (i, i))2 by
auto
}
then show ?thesis by auto
qed
from tBtB BBt lesseq have trace (B*B) ≤ (trace B)2
using sum-mono[of {0 ..<n} λ i. (B $$ (i, i))2 λ i. (∑ j = 0 ..<n. B $$ (i, i)
* B $$ (j, j))]
by (metis (no-types, lifting))
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma trace-positive-eq:
  fixes A :: complex mat
  assumes pos: positive A
  shows trace (A * adjoint A) ≤ (trace A)2
proof -
  from asms have normal: A * adjoint A = adjoint A * A by (rule posi-
tive-is-normal)
  moreover
  from asms positive-dim-eq obtain n where cA: A ∈ carrier-mat n n by auto
  moreover
  from asms complex-mat-char-poly-factorizable cA obtain es where charpo:
char-poly A = (∏ a ← es. [: - a, 1:]) ∧ length es = n by auto
  moreover
  obtain B P Q where B: unitary-schur-decomposition A es = (B,P,Q) by (cases

```

```

unitary-schur-decomposition A es, auto)
ultimately have
  smw: similar-mat-wit A B P (adjoint P)
  and ut: diagonal-mat B
  and uP: unitary P
  and dB: diag-mat B = es
  and QaP: Q = adjoint P
  using normal-complex-mat-has-spectral-decomposition[of A n es B P Q] unitary-schur-decomposition by auto
  from smw cA QaP uP have cB: B ∈ carrier-mat n n and cP: P ∈ carrier-mat n n and cQ: Q ∈ carrier-mat n n
  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def Let-def unitary-def by auto
  then have caP: adjoint P ∈ carrier-mat n n using adjoint-dim[of P n] by auto
  from smw QaP cA have A: A = P * B * adjoint P and traceA: trace A = trace (P * B * Q) and PB: P * Q = 1m n ∧ Q * P = 1m n
  unfolding similar-mat-wit-def by auto
  have traceAB: trace (P * B * Q) = trace ((Q*P)*B)
  using cQ cP cB by (mat-assoc n)
  also have traceelim: ... = trace B using traceAB PB cA cB cP cQ left-mult-one-mat[of P*Q n n]
  using similar-mat-wit-sym by auto
  finally have traceAB: trace A = trace B using traceA by auto
  from A cB cP have aAa: adjoint A = adjoint((P * B) * adjoint P) by auto
  have aA: adjoint A = P * adjoint B * adjoint P
  unfolding aAa using cP cB by (mat-assoc n)
  have hA: hermitian A using pos positive-is-hermitian by auto
  then have AaA: A = adjoint A using hA hermitian-def[of A] by auto
  then have PBaP: P * B * adjoint P = P * adjoint B * adjoint P using A aA by auto
  then have BaB: B = adjoint B using unitary-elim[of B n adjoint B P] uP cP cB adjoint-dim[of B n] by auto
  have aPP: adjoint P * P = 1m n using uP PB QaP by blast
  have A * A = P * B * (adjoint P * P) * B * adjoint P
  unfolding A using cP cB by (mat-assoc n)
  also have ... = P * B * B * adjoint P
  unfolding aPP using cP cB by (mat-assoc n)
  finally have AA: A * A = P * B * B * adjoint P by auto
  then have tAA: trace (A*A) = trace (P * B * B * adjoint P) by auto
  also have tBB: ... = trace (adjoint P * P * B * B) using cP cB by (mat-assoc n)
  also have ... = trace (B * B) using uP unitary-def[of P] inverts-mat-def[of P adjoint P]
  using PB QaP cB by auto
  finally have traceAABB: trace (A * A) = trace (B * B) by auto
  have BP: ∧i. i < n ⇒ B$$(i, i) ≥ 0
  proof -
  {
    fix i assume i: i < n
    then have B$$(i, i) ≥ 0 using positive-eigenvalue-positive[of A n es B P Q]
  }

```

```

i] cA pos charpo B by auto
  then show B$(i, i) ≥ 0 by auto
}
qed
have Brel: trace (B*B) ≤ (trace B)2 using trace-square-less-square-trace[of B
n] cB ut BP by auto
  from AaA traceAABB traceAB Brel have trace (A*adjoint A) ≤ (trace A)2 by
auto
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma lower-le-transitive:
  fixes m n :: nat
  assumes re: n ≥ m
  shows positive (f n - f m)
proof -
  from re show positive (f n - f m)
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    then show ?case using positive-zero
      by (metis dim le-0-eq minus-r-inv-mat)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then show ?case
    proof (cases Suc n = m)
      case True
      then show ?thesis using positive-zero
        by (metis dim minus-r-inv-mat)
    next
      case False
      then show ?thesis
      proof -
        from False Suc have nm: n ≥ m by linarith
        from Suc nm have pnm: positive (f n - f m) by auto
        from inc have positive (f (Suc n) - f n) unfolding lower-le-def by auto
        then have pf: positive ((f (Suc n) - f n) + (f n - f m)) using positive-add
dim pnm
        by (meson minus-carrier-mat)
        have (f (Suc n) - f n) + (f n - f m) = f (Suc n) + ((- f n) + f n) + (-
f m)
        using local.dim by (mat-assoc dim, auto)
        also have ... = f (Suc n) + 0m dim dim + (- f m)
        using local.dim by (subst uminus-l-inv-mat[where nc=dim and nr=dim],
auto)
        also have ... = f (Suc n) - f m
        using local.dim by (mat-assoc dim, auto)
        finally have re: f (Suc n) - f n + (f n - f m) = f (Suc n) - f m .
        from pf re have positive (f (Suc n) - f m) by auto
        then show ?thesis by auto
      end
    end
  end
end

```

qed
 qed
 qed
 qed

The sequence of matrices converges pointwise.

lemma *inc-partial-density-operator-converge*:

assumes $i: i \in \{0 \dots dim\}$ **and** $j: j \in \{0 \dots dim\}$

shows *convergent* $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j))$

proof –

have *tracefn*: $trace\ (f\ n) \geq 0 \wedge trace\ (f\ n) \leq 1$ **for** n

proof –

from *pdo* **show** *?thesis*

unfolding *partial-density-operator-def* **using** *positive-trace*[*of f n*]

using *dim* **by** *blast*

qed

from *tracefn* **have** *normf*: $norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq norm(trace\ (f\ (Suc\ n))) \wedge norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq 1$ **for** n

proof –

have *trless*: $trace\ (f\ n) \leq trace\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

using *pdo inc dim positive-trace*[*of f(Suc n) – f n*] *trace-minus-linear*[*of f (Suc n) dim f n*]

unfolding *partial-density-operator-def* *lower-le-def*

using *Complex-Matrix.positive-def* **by** *force*

moreover from *trless tracefn* **have** $norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq norm(trace\ (f\ (Suc\ n)))$ **unfolding** *cmod-def*

by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

moreover from *trless tracefn* **have** $norm(trace\ (f\ n)) \leq 1$ **using** *pdo partial-density-operator-def cmod-def*

by (*simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

then have *incseq*: $incseq\ (\lambda n. norm(trace\ (f\ n)))$ **by** (*simp add: incseq-SucI*)

then have *tr-sup*: $(\lambda n. norm(trace\ (f\ n))) \longrightarrow (SUP\ i. norm\ (trace\ (f\ i)))$

using *LIMSEQ-incseq-SUP*[*of* $\lambda n. norm(trace\ (f\ n))$] *pdo partial-density-operator-def normf* **by** (*meson bdd-aboveI2*)

then have *tr-cauchy*: $Cauchy\ (\lambda n. norm(trace\ (f\ n)))$ **using** *Cauchy-convergent-iff convergent-def* **by** *blast*

then have *tr-cauchy-def*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. dist(norm(trace\ (f\ n))) (norm(trace\ (f\ m))) < e$ **unfolding** *Cauchy-def* **by** *blast*

moreover have $\forall m\ n. dist(norm(trace\ (f\ m))) (norm(trace\ (f\ n))) = norm(trace\ (f\ m) - trace\ (f\ n))$

using *tracefn cmod-eq-Re dist-real-def* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

ultimately have *norm-trace*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. norm((trace\ (f\ n)) - (trace\ (f\ m))) < e$ **by** *auto*

have *eq-minus*: $\forall m\ n. trace\ (f\ m) - trace\ (f\ n) = trace\ (f\ m - f\ n)$ **using** *trace-minus-linear dim* **by** *metis*

from *eq-minus norm-trace* **have** *norm-trace-cauchy*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M.$

$\text{norm}((\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m))) < e$ **by** *auto*
then have *norm-trace-cauchy-iff*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}((\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m))) < e$
by (*meson order-trans-rules(23)*)
then have *norm-square*: $\forall e > 0. \exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm}((\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m))))^2 < e^2$
by (*metis abs-of-nonneg norm-ge-zero order-less-le real-sqrt-abs real-sqrt-less-iff*)

have *tr-re*: $\forall m. \forall n \geq m. \text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)) \leq ((\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m)))^2$
using *trace-positive-eq lowner-le-transitive* **by** *auto*
have *tr-re-g*: $\forall m. \forall n \geq m. \text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)) \geq 0$
using *lowner-le-transitive positive-trace trace-adjoint-positive* **by** *auto*
have *norm-trace-fmn*: $\text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m))) \leq (\text{norm}(\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m)))^2$ **if** *nm*: $n \geq m$ **for** *m n*
proof –
have *mnA*: $\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)) \leq (\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m))^2$
using *tr-re nm* **by** *auto*
have *mnB*: $\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)) \geq 0$ **using** *tr-re-g nm* **by** *auto*
from *mnA mnB* **show** *?thesis*
by (*smt (verit) cmod-eq-Re less-eq-complex-def norm-power zero-complex.sel(1) zero-complex.sel(2)*)
qed
then have *cauchy-adj*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m))) < e^2$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*
proof –
have $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{cmod } (\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m)))^2 < e^2$ **using** *norm-square e* **by** *auto*
then obtain *M* **where** $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{cmod } (\text{trace } (f \ n - f \ m)))^2 < e^2$ **by** *auto*
then have $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m))) < e^2$ **using** *norm-trace-fmn* **by** *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

have *norm-minus*: $\forall m. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f \ n - f \ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 \leq \text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m))$
using *trace-adjoint-element-ineq i j*
by (*smt (verit) adjoint-dim-row carrier-matD(1) index-minus-mat(2) index-mult-mat(2) lowner-le-transitive matrix-seq-axioms matrix-seq-def positive-is-normal*)
then have *norm-minus-le*: $(\text{norm } ((f \ n - f \ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 \leq \text{norm } (\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)))$ **if** *nm*: $n \geq m$ **for** *n m*
proof –
have $(\text{norm } ((f \ n - f \ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 \leq (\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)))$ **using** *norm-minus nm* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{norm } (\text{trace } ((f \ n - f \ m) * \text{adjoint } (f \ n - f \ m)))$ **using** *tr-re-g nm*
by (*smt (verit) Re-complex-of-real less-eq-complex-def matrix-seq.trace-adjoint-eq-u*)

matrix-seq-axioms mult-cancel-left2 norm-one norm-scaleR of-real-def of-real-hom.hom-zero)

finally show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

qed

from *norm-minus-le cauchy-adj* **have** *cauchy-ij*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*

proof –

have $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f\ n - f\ m) * \text{adjoint } (f\ n - f\ m))) < e^2$ **using** *cauchy-adj e* **by** *auto*

then obtain *M* **where** $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm}(\text{trace } ((f\ n - f\ m) * \text{adjoint } (f\ n - f\ m))) < e^2$ **by** *auto*

then have $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **using** *norm-minus-le* **by** *fastforce*

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

then have *cauchy-ij-norm*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j))) < e$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*

proof –

have $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **using** *cauchy-ij e* **by** *auto*

then obtain *M* **where** *mn*: $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. (\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **by** *auto*

have $(\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j))) < e$ **if** *m*: $m \geq M$ **and** *n*: $n \geq m$ **for** *m n*
 :: *nat*

proof –

from *m n mn* **have** $(\text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)))^2 < e^2$ **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis*

using *e power-less-imp-less-base* **by** *fastforce*

qed

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

have *cauchy-final*: $\exists M. \forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq M. \text{norm } ((f\ m) \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ n) \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **if** *e*: $e > 0$ **for** *e*

proof –

obtain *M* **where** *mn*: $\forall m \geq M. \forall n \geq m. \text{norm } ((f\ n - f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **using** *cauchy-ij-norm e* **by** *auto*

have $\text{norm } ((f\ m) \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ n) \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **if** *m*: $m \geq M$ **and** *n*: $n \geq M$ **for** *m n*

proof (*cases n ≥ m*)

case *True*

then show *?thesis*

proof –

from *mn m True* **have** $\text{norm } ((f\ n) \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ m) \$\$ (i, j)) < e$

by (*metis atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) dim i index-minus-mat(1) j*)

then have $\text{norm } ((f\ m) \$\$ (i, j) - (f\ n) \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ **by** (*simp add: norm-minus-commute*)

then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

```

qed
next
case False
then show ?thesis
proof -
  from False n mnm have norm:  $\text{norm } ((f\ m - f\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$  by auto
  have minus:  $(f\ m - f\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j) = f\ m\ \$\$ (i, j) - f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)$ 
    by (metis atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) dim i
index-minus-mat(1) j)
  also have  $\dots = - (f\ n - f\ m)\ \$\$ (i, j)$  using dim
    by (metis atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) i in-
dex-minus-mat(1) j minus-diff-eq)
  finally have fmn:  $(f\ m - f\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j) = - (f\ n - f\ m)\ \$\$ (i, j)$  by auto
  then have norm  $((- (f\ n - f\ m))\ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$  using norm
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) atLeastLessThan-iff carrier-matD(1) car-
rier-matD(2) i
index-minus-mat(2) index-minus-mat(3) index-uminus-mat(1) j ma-
trix-seq-axioms matrix-seq-def)
  then have norm  $((f\ n - f\ m))\ \$\$ (i, j) < e$  using fmn norm by auto
  then have norm  $(f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) - f\ m\ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$ 
    by (metis minus norm norm-minus-commute)
  then have norm  $(f\ m\ \$\$ (i, j) - f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) < e$  by (simp add:
norm-minus-commute)
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

from *cauchy-final* have *Cauchy* $(\lambda\ n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j))$ by (*simp* *add:* *Cauchy-def*
dist-norm)
 then show ?thesis by (*simp* *add:* *Cauchy-convergent-iff*)
 qed

definition *mat-seq-minus* :: $(\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**
mat-seq-minus *X A* = $(\lambda n. X\ n - A)$

definition *minus-mat-seq* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**
minus-mat-seq *A X* = $(\lambda n. A - X\ n)$

lemma *pos-mat-lim-is-pos-aux*:

```

fixes X ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$  and A :: complex mat and m :: nat
assumes limX: limit-mat X A m and posX:  $\exists k. \forall n \geq k. \text{positive } (X\ n)$ 
shows positive A
proof -
  from posX obtain k where posk:  $\forall n \geq k. \text{positive } (X\ n)$  by auto

```

let $?Y = \lambda n. X (n + k)$
have $posY: \forall n. \text{positive } (?Y n)$ **using** $posk$ **by** $auto$

from $limX$ **have** $dimXA: \forall n. X (n + k) \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$
unfolding $limit\text{-}mat\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$

have $(\lambda n. X (n + k) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \$\$ (i, j)$ **if** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$ **for** $i \ j$
proof –
have $(\lambda n. X n \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow A \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** $limX$ $limit\text{-}mat\text{-}def \ i \ j$ **by** $auto$
then have $limseqX: \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X n \$\$ (i, j)) (A \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** $LIMSEQ\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
then have $\exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X (n + k) \$\$ (i, j)) (A \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $r: r > 0$ **for** r
proof –
obtain no **where** $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X n \$\$ (i, j)) (A \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **using** $limseqX \ r$ **by** $auto$
then have $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X (n + k) \$\$ (i, j)) (A \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** $LIMSEQ\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
qed
then have $limXA: \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. X (n + k)) \ A \ m$ **unfolding** $limit\text{-}mat\text{-}def$ **using** $dimXA$ **by** $auto$

from $posY \ limXA$ **have** $positive \ A$ **using** $pos\text{-}mat\text{-}lim\text{-}is\text{-}pos[of \ ?Y \ A \ m]$ **by** $auto$
then show $?thesis$ **by** $auto$
qed

lemma $minus\text{-}mat\text{-}limit$:

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$ **and** $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $dimB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **and** $limX: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (mat\text{-}seq\text{-}minus \ X \ B) \ (A - B) \ m$
proof –
have $dimXAB: \forall n. X n - B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge A - B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$
using $index\text{-}minus\text{-}mat \ dimB$ **by** $auto$
have $(\lambda n. (X n - B) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A - B) \$\$ (i, j)$ **if** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$ **for** $i \ j$
proof –
from $limX \ i \ j$ **have** $(\lambda n. (X n) \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A) \$\$ (i, j)$ **unfolding** $limit\text{-}mat\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
then have $X: \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X n \$\$ (i, j)) (A \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** $LIMSEQ\text{-}def$ **by** $auto$
then have $XB: \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } ((X n - B) \$\$ (i, j)) ((A - B) \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $r: r > 0$ **for** r
proof –

obtain no where $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **using** $r \ X$
by auto
then have $\text{dist}: \forall n \geq no. \text{norm } (X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding**
 dist-norm **by auto**
then have $\text{norm } ((X \ n - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (A - B) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $n: n \geq no$
for n
proof –
have $(X \ n - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (A - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) = (X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j)$
using $\text{dimB } i \ j$ **by auto**
then have $\text{norm } ((X \ n - B) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (A - B) \ \$\$ (i, j)) = \text{norm } ((X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j))$ **by auto**
then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{dist } n$ **by auto**
qed
then show $?thesis$ **using** dist-norm **by metis**
qed
then show $?thesis$ **unfolding** LIMSEQ-def **by auto**
qed
then show $?thesis$
unfolding $\text{limit-mat-def mat-seq-minus-def}$ **using** dimXAB **by auto**
qed

lemma mat-minus-limit :

fixes $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $A :: \text{complex mat}$ **and** $m :: \text{nat}$ **and** $B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{dimA}: A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **and** $\text{limX}: \text{limit-mat } X \ A \ m$
shows $\text{limit-mat } (\text{minus-mat-seq } B \ X) (B - A) \ m$
proof –
have $\text{dimX}: \forall n. X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** limX **unfolding** limit-mat-def **by auto**
then have $\text{dimXAB}: \forall n. B - X \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge B - A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** $\text{index-minus-mat dimA}$
by $(\text{simp add: minus-carrier-mat})$

have $(\lambda n. (B - X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **if** $i: i < m$ **and** $j: j < m$ **for** $i \ j$

proof –
from $\text{limX } i \ j$ **have** $(\lambda n. (X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (A) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **unfolding**
 limit-mat-def **by auto**
then have $X: \forall r > 0. \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding** LIMSEQ-def **by auto**
then have $XB: \exists no. \forall n \geq no. \text{dist } ((B - X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j)) ((B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $r: r > 0$ **for** r
proof –
obtain no where $\forall n \geq no. \text{dist } (X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) (A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **using** $r \ X$ **by auto**
then have $\text{dist}: \forall n \geq no. \text{norm } (X \ n \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **unfolding**
 dist-norm **by auto**
then have $\text{norm } ((B - X \ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)) < r$ **if** $n: n \geq no$

```

for  $n$ 
  proof –
    have  $(B - X\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j) = - ((X\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j))$ 
    using  $\text{dim}A\ i\ j$ 
    by  $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}) \text{ cancel-ab-semigroup-add-class.diff-right-commute cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) diff-add-cancel dimX index-minus-mat(1) minus-diff-eq})$ 
    then have  $\text{norm } ((B - X\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - (B - A) \ \$\$ (i, j)) = \text{norm } ((X\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j) - A \ \$\$ (i, j))$ 
    by  $(\text{metis norm-minus-cancel})$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{dist } n$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  qed
  then show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{dist-norm}$  by  $\text{metis}$ 
  qed
  then show  $?thesis$  unfolding  $\text{LIMSEQ-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  qed
  then have  $\text{limit-mat } (\text{minus-mat-seq } B\ X) (B - A)\ m$ 
  unfolding  $\text{limit-mat-def minus-mat-seq-def}$  using  $\text{dimXAB}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  then show  $?thesis$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

lemma *lowner-lub-form*:

```

   $\text{lower-is-lub } (\text{mat dim dim } (\lambda (i, j). (\lim (\lambda n. (f\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j))))))$ 
proof –
  from  $\text{inc-partial-density-operator-converge}$ 
  have  $\text{conf: } \forall i \in \{0 \dots \text{dim}\}. \forall j \in \{0 \dots \text{dim}\}. \text{convergent } (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j))$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 
  let  $?A = \text{mat dim dim } (\lambda (i, j). (\lim (\lambda n. (f\ n) \ \$\$ (i, j))))$ 
  have  $\text{dim-A: } ?A \in \text{carrier-mat dim dim}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  have  $\text{lim-A: } (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{mat dim dim } (\lambda(i, j). \lim (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j))) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ 
  if  $i < \text{dim}$  and  $j < \text{dim}$  for  $i\ j$ 
  proof –
    from  $i\ j$  have  $ij: \text{mat dim dim } (\lambda(i, j). \lim (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j))) \ \$\$ (i, j) = \lim (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j))$ 
    by  $(\text{metis case-prod-conv index-mat(1)})$ 
    have  $\text{convergent } (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j))$  using  $\text{conf } i\ j$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    then have  $(\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \lim (\lambda n. f\ n \ \$\$ (i, j))$  using  $\text{convergent-LIMSEQ-iff}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    then show  $?thesis$  using  $ij$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  qed

```

```

from  $\text{dim dim-A lim-A}$  have  $\text{lim-mat-A: limit-mat } f\ ?A\ \text{dim}$  unfolding  $\text{limit-mat-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

```

have  $\text{is-ub: } f\ n \leq_L ?A$  for  $n$ 

```

```

proof –

```

```

  have  $\forall m \geq n. \text{positive } (f\ m - f\ n)$  using  $\text{lower-le-transitive}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

then have $le: \forall m \geq n. f\ n \leq_L f\ m$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *dim*
by (*metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2)*)
have $dimn: f\ n \in carrier\ mat\ dim\ dim$ **using** *dim* **by** *auto*
then have $limAf: limit\ mat\ (mat\ seq\ minus\ f\ (f\ n))\ (?A - f\ n)\ dim$ **using**
minus-mat-limit lim-mat-A **by** *auto*

have $\forall m \geq n. positive\ (f\ m - f\ n)$ **using** *lower-le-transitive* **by** *auto*
then have $\exists k. \forall m \geq k. positive\ (f\ m - f\ n)$ **by** *auto*
then have $posAf: \exists k. \forall m \geq k. positive\ ((mat\ seq\ minus\ f\ (f\ n))\ m)$ **unfolding**
mat-seq-minus-def **by** *auto*

from $limAf\ posAf$ **have** $positive\ (?A - f\ n)$ **using** *pos-mat-lim-is-pos-aux* **by**
auto
then have $f\ n \leq_L mat\ dim\ dim\ (\lambda(i, j). lim\ (\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)))$ **unfolding**
lower-le-def **using** *dim* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

have $is\ lub: ?A \leq_L M'$ **if** $ub: \forall n. f\ n \leq_L M'$ **for** M'
proof –
have $dim\ M: M' \in carrier\ mat\ dim\ dim$ **using** *ub* **unfolding** *lower-le-def*
using *dim*
by (*metis carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2) carrier-mat-triv*)
from *ub* **have** $posAf: \forall n. positive\ (minus\ mat\ seq\ M'\ f\ n)$ **unfolding** *mi-*
nus-mat-seq-def lower-le-def **by** *auto*
have $limAf: limit\ mat\ (minus\ mat\ seq\ M'\ f)\ (M' - ?A)\ dim$
using *mat-minus-limit dim-A lim-mat-A* **by** *auto*
from $posAf\ limAf$ **have** $positive\ (M' - ?A)$ **using** *pos-mat-lim-is-pos-aux* **by**
auto
then have $?A \leq_L M'$ **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using** *dim dim-A dim-M* **by**
auto
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

from *is-ub is-lub* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *lower-is-lub-def* **by** *auto*
qed

Lower partial order is a complete partial order.

lemma *lower-lub-exists*: $\exists M. lower\ is\ lub\ M$
using *lower-lub-form* **by** *auto*

lemma *lower-lub-unique*: $\exists! M. lower\ is\ lub\ M$

proof (*rule HOL.ex-ex1I*)
show $\exists M. lower\ is\ lub\ M$
by (*rule lower-lub-exists*)

next

fix $M\ N$

assume $M: lower\ is\ lub\ M$ **and** $N: lower\ is\ lub\ N$

have $Md: M \in carrier\ mat\ dim\ dim$ **using** M **by** (*rule lower-is-lub-dim*)

have $Nd: N \in \text{carrier-mat dim dim}$ **using** N **by** $(\text{rule lower-is-lub-dim})$
have $MN: M \leq_L N$ **using** $M N$ **by** $(\text{simp add: lower-is-lub-def})$
have $NM: N \leq_L M$ **using** $M N$ **by** $(\text{simp add: lower-is-lub-def})$
show $M = N$ **using** $MN NM$ **by** $(\text{auto intro: lower-le-antisym}[OF Md Nd])$
qed

definition $\text{lower-lub} :: \text{complex mat where}$
 $\text{lower-lub} = (\text{THE } M. \text{lower-is-lub } M)$

lemma $\text{lower-lub-prop: lower-is-lub lower-lub}$
unfolding lower-lub-def
apply (rule HOL.theI')
by $(\text{rule lower-lub-unique})$

lemma $\text{lower-lub-is-limit:}$
 $\text{limit-mat } f \text{ lower-lub dim}$

proof –
define A **where** $A = \text{lower-lub}$
then have $A = (\text{THE } M. \text{lower-is-lub } M)$ **using** lower-lub-def **by** auto
then have $Af: A = (\text{mat dim dim } (\lambda (i, j). (\text{lim } (\lambda n. (f n) \$\$ (i, j)))))$
using $\text{lower-lub-form lower-lub-unique}$ **by** auto
show $\text{limit-mat } f A \text{ dim}$ **unfolding** $Af \text{ limit-mat-def}$
apply $(\text{auto simp add: dim})$
proof –
fix $i j$ **assume** $\text{dims: } i < \text{dim } j < \text{dim}$
then have $\text{convergent } (\lambda n. f n \$\$ (i, j))$ **using** $\text{inc-partial-density-operator-converge}$
by auto
then show $(\lambda n. f n \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{lim } (\lambda n. f n \$\$ (i, j))$ **using** $\text{convergent-LIMSEQ-iff}$ **by** auto
qed
qed

lemma lower-lub-trace:

assumes $\forall n. \text{trace } (f n) \leq x$
shows $\text{trace lower-lub} \leq x$

proof –
have $\forall n. \text{trace } (f n) \geq 0$ **using** $\text{positive-trace pdo}$ **unfolding** $\text{partial-density-operator-def}$
using dim **by** blast
then have $\text{Re: } \forall n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f n)) \geq 0 \wedge \text{Im } (\text{trace } (f n)) = 0$
by $(\text{auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def})$
then have $\text{lex: } \forall n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f n)) \leq \text{Re } x \wedge \text{Im } x = 0$ **using** assms
by $(\text{auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def})$

have $\text{limit-mat } f \text{ lower-lub dim}$ **using** $\text{lower-lub-is-limit}$ **by** auto
then have $\text{conv: } (\lambda n. \text{trace } (f n)) \longrightarrow \text{trace lower-lub}$ **using** mat-trace-limit
by auto
then have $(\lambda n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f n))) \longrightarrow \text{Re } (\text{trace lower-lub})$
by $(\text{simp add: tendsto-Re})$
then have $\text{Rell: } \text{Re } (\text{trace lower-lub}) \leq \text{Re } x$

```

    using lex Lim-bounded[of ( $\lambda n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } (f \ n))$ )  $\text{Re } (\text{trace } \text{lower-lub}) \ 0 \ \text{Re } x$ ]
  by simp

  from conv have ( $\lambda n. \text{Im } (\text{trace } (f \ n))$ )  $\longrightarrow \text{Im } (\text{trace } \text{lower-lub})$ 
    by (simp add: tendsto-Im)
  then have Imll:  $\text{Im } (\text{trace } \text{lower-lub}) = 0$  using Re
    by (simp add: Lim-bounded Lim-bounded2 dual-order.antisym)

  from Rell Imll lex show ?thesis by (simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def)
qed

lemma lower-lub-is-positive:
  shows positive lower-lub
  using lower-lub-is-limit pos-mat-lim-is-pos pdo unfolding partial-density-operator-def
  by auto

end

```

2.3 Finite sum of matrices

Add f in the interval $[0, n)$

```

fun matrix-sum ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 } \text{mat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ mat}$  where
  matrix-sum  $d \ f \ 0 = 0_m \ d \ d$ 
| matrix-sum  $d \ f \ (\text{Suc } n) = f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n$ 

```

```

definition matrix-inf-sum ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$  where
  matrix-inf-sum  $d \ f = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n)$ 

```

```

lemma matrix-sum-dim:
  fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 } \text{mat}$ 
  shows  $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
proof (induct  $n$ )
  case 0
  show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc  $n$ )
  then have  $f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  by auto
  then show ?case using Suc by auto
qed

```

```

lemma matrix-sum-cong:
  fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 } \text{mat}$ 
  shows  $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f \ k = f' \ k) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n = \text{matrix-sum } d \ f' \ n$ 
proof (induct  $n$ )
  case 0
  show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc  $n$ )

```

then show ?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps by auto
qed

lemma matrix-sum-add:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$ and $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$ and $h :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies g\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies h\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies$

$(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k = g\ k + h\ k) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n = \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n + \text{matrix-sum } d\ h\ n$

proof (induct n)

case 0

then show ?case by auto

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

proof -

have $gh: \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \wedge \text{matrix-sum } d\ h\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$

using matrix-sum-dim Suc(3, 4) by (simp add: matrix-sum-dim)

have $n\text{Suc}: n < \text{Suc } n$ by auto

have $\text{sumf}: \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n = \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n + \text{matrix-sum } d\ h\ n$ using Suc by auto

have $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ (\text{Suc } n) + \text{matrix-sum } d\ h\ (\text{Suc } n)$

unfolding matrix-sum.simps Suc(5)[OF nSuc] sumf

apply (mat-assoc d) using gh Suc by auto

then show ?thesis by auto

qed

qed

lemma matrix-sum-smult:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies$

$\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. c \cdot_m f\ k)\ n = c \cdot_m \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n$

proof (induct n)

case 0

then show ?case by auto

next

case (Suc n)

then show ?case

apply auto

using add-smult-distrib-left-mat Suc matrix-sum-dim

by (metis lessI less-SucI)

qed

lemma matrix-sum-remove:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{semiring-1 mat}$

```

assumes  $j: j < n$ 
  and  $df: (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$ 
  and  $f': (\bigwedge k. f'\ k = (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } 0_m\ d\ d \text{ else } f\ k))$ 
shows  $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ n$ 
proof -
  have  $df': \bigwedge k. k < n \implies f'\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using  $f'\ df$  by auto
  have  $dsf: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$  using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[OF\ df]$  by auto
  have  $dsf': k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$  using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[OF\ df']$  by auto
  have  $flj: \bigwedge k. k < j \implies f'\ k = f\ k$  using  $j\ f'$  by auto
  then have  $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ j = \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ j$  using  $\text{matrix-sum-cong}[of\ j\ f'\ f, OF\ flj]\ df\ df'\ j$  by auto
  then have  $eqj: \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (\text{Suc } j) = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ (\text{Suc } j)$  unfolding  $\text{matrix-sum.simps}$ 
    by  $(\text{subst } (1)\ f', \text{simp add: } df\ dsf'\ j)$ 
  have  $lm: (j + 1) + l \leq n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ ((j + 1) + l) = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ ((j + 1) + l)$  for  $l$ 
    proof  $(\text{induct } l)$ 
      case  $0$ 
        show  $?case$  using  $j\ eqj$  by auto
      next
        case  $(\text{Suc } l)$  then have  $eq: \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ ((j + 1) + l) = f\ j + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ ((j + 1) + l)$  by auto
          have  $s: ((j + 1) + \text{Suc } l) = \text{Suc } ((j + 1) + l)$  by simp
          have  $eqf': f'\ (j + 1 + l) = f\ (j + 1 + l)$  using  $f'\ \text{Suc}$  by auto
          have  $\text{dims}: f\ (j + 1 + l) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d\ f\ j \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d\ \text{matrix-sum } d\ f'\ (j + 1 + l) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using  $df\ df'\ dsf'\ \text{Suc}$  by auto
          show  $?case$  apply  $(\text{subst } (1\ 2)\ s)$  unfolding  $\text{matrix-sum.simps}$ 
            apply  $(\text{subst } eq, \text{subst } eqf')$ 
            apply  $(\text{mat-assoc } d)$  using  $\text{dims}$  by auto
          qed
        have  $p: (j + 1) + (n - j - 1) \leq n$  using  $j$  by auto
        show  $?thesis$  using  $lm[OF\ p]\ j$  by auto
    qed

```

lemma *matrix-sum-Suc-remove-head:*

```

fixes  $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ 
shows  $(\bigwedge k. k < n + 1 \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies$ 
   $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (n + 1) = f\ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ (k + 1))\ n$ 
proof  $(\text{induct } n)$ 
  case  $0$ 
    then show  $?case$  by auto
  next
    case  $(\text{Suc } n)$ 
      then have  $dSS: \bigwedge k. k < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  by auto
      have  $ds: \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ (k + 1))\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[OF\ dSS, of\ n\ \lambda k. k + 1]$  by auto
      have  $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (\text{Suc } n + 1) = f\ (n + 1) + \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (n + 1)$  by

```

auto
also have $\dots = f (n + 1) + (f \ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f (k + 1))) \ n$ **using**
Suc by auto
also have $\dots = f \ 0 + (f (n + 1) + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f (k + 1))) \ n$
using *ds apply (mat-assoc d) using dSS by auto*
finally show *?case by auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-positive:*
fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow \text{positive } (f \ k))$
 $\Rightarrow \text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n)$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
show *?case using positive-zero by auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then have $\text{dfn}: f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{psn}: \text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n)$ **and**
 $\text{pn}: \text{positive } (f \ n)$ **and** $d: k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **by** *auto*
then have $\text{dsn}: \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim by auto*
show *?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps using positive-add[OF pn psn dfn dsn]*
by *auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-mult-right:*
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \Rightarrow A \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (f \ k) * A) \ n = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f \ k) \ n * A$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
then show *?case by auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then have $k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{dfn}: f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for**
 k **by** *auto*
then have $\text{dsfn}: \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim by auto*
have $(f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n) * A = f \ n * A + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n * A$
apply (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *Suc dsfn by auto*
also have $\dots = f \ n * A + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f \ k * A) \ n$ **using** *Suc by auto*
finally show *?case by auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-add-distrib:*
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow g \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (f \ k) + (g \ k)) \ n = \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d \ g \ n$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*

then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (Suc n)
 then have dfn: $f\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ and dgn: $g\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
 and dfk: $k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ and dgk: $k < n \implies g\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
 and eq: $\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ k + g\ k)\ n = \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n + \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n$ for k by auto
 have dsf: $\text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ using matrix-sum-dim dfk by auto
 have dsf: $\text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ using matrix-sum-dim dgk by auto
 show ?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps eq
 using dfn dgn dsf dsf by (mat-assoc d)
 qed

lemma matrix-sum-minus-distrib:

fixes $f\ g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
 shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies g\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (f\ k) - (g\ k))\ n = \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n - \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n$
 proof -
 have eq: $-1 \cdot_m g\ k = -\ g\ k$ for k by auto
 assume dfk: $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ and dgk: $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies (g\ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
 then have $k < n \implies (f\ k) - (g\ k) = (f\ k) + (-\ (g\ k))$ for k by auto
 then have $\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (f\ k) - (g\ k))\ n = \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (f\ k) + (-\ (g\ k)))\ n$
 using matrix-sum-cong[of n $\lambda k. (f\ k) - (g\ k)$] dfk dgk by auto
 also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n + \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. -\ (g\ k))\ n$
 using matrix-sum-add-distrib[of n f] dfk dgk by auto
 also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ n - \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n$
 apply (subgoal-tac $\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. -\ (g\ k))\ n = -\ \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n$, auto)
 apply (subgoal-tac $-1 \cdot_m \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n = -\ \text{matrix-sum } d\ g\ n$)
 by (simp add: matrix-sum-smult[of n g d -1, OF dgk, simplified], auto)
 finally show ?thesis .
 qed

lemma matrix-sum-shift-Suc:

shows $(\bigwedge k. k < (\text{Suc } n) \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ f\ (\text{Suc } n) = f\ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ (\text{Suc } k))\ n$
 proof (induct n)
 case 0
 then show ?case by auto
 next
 case (Suc n)
 have dfk: $k < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \implies f\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ for k using Suc by auto
 have dsfk: $k < \text{Suc } n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. f\ (\text{Suc } k))\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ for k using matrix-sum-dim[of - $\lambda k. f\ (\text{Suc } k)$] dfk by fastforce

have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = f \ (\text{Suc } n) + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ (\text{Suc } n)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f \ (\text{Suc } n) + f \ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f \ (\text{Suc } k)) \ n$ **using** *Suc dsSk assoc-add-mat*[*of* $f \ (\text{Suc } n) \ d \ d \ f \ 0$] **by** *fastforce*
also have $\dots = f \ 0 + (f \ (\text{Suc } n) + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f \ (\text{Suc } k)) \ n)$ **apply** (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *dsSk dfk* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = f \ 0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. f \ (\text{Suc } k)) \ (\text{Suc } n)$ **by** *auto*
finally show *?case* .
qed

lemma *lower-le-matrix-sum*:

fixes $f \ g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
shows $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow g \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
 $\Rightarrow (\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \leq_L g \ k)$
 $\Rightarrow \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ g \ n$
proof (*induct n*)
case *0*
show *?case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *lower-le-refl*[*of* $0_m \ d \ d \ d$] **by** *auto*
next
case $(\text{Suc } n)$
then have $\text{dfn}: f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{dgn}: g \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{le1}: f \ n \leq_L g \ n$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{le2}: \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ g \ n$ **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
have $k < n \Rightarrow f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{dsf}: \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by** *auto*
have $k < n \Rightarrow g \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{dsg}: \text{matrix-sum } d \ g \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *matrix-sum-dim* **by** *auto*
show *?case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *lower-le-add* dfn dsf dgn dsg le1 le2 **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lower-lub-add*:

assumes $\text{matrix-seq } d \ f \ \text{matrix-seq } d \ g \ \forall \ n. \text{trace } (f \ n + g \ n) \leq 1$
shows $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f \ n + g \ n) = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f + \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g$
proof –
have $\text{msf}: \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } f \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)$ **using** *assms(1)* *matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{limit-mat } f \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \ d$ **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit* *assms* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{lim1}: \forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. f \ n \ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *limit-mat-def* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{msg}: \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } g \ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g)$ **using** *assms(2)* *matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop* **by** *auto*

then have *limit-mat* g (*matrix-seq.lower-lub* g) d **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit* *assms* **by** *auto*

then have *lim2*: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g)\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *limit-mat-def* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\forall n. f\ n + g\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator } (f\ n + g\ n)$ **using** *assms*

unfolding *matrix-seq-def* *partial-density-operator-def* **using** *positive-add* **by** *blast*

moreover have $(f\ n + g\ n) \leq_L (f\ (\text{Suc } n) + g\ (\text{Suc } n))$ **for** n

using *assms*

unfolding *matrix-seq-def* **using** *lower-le-add*[*of* $f\ n\ d\ f\ (\text{Suc } n)\ g\ n\ g\ (\text{Suc } n)$] **by** *auto*

ultimately have *msfg*: *matrix-seq* $d\ (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

then have *mslfg*: *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-lub* $(\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)$ (*matrix-seq.lower-lub* $(\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)$)

using *matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop* **by** *auto*

then have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)$ (*matrix-seq.lower-lub* $(\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)$) d **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit* *msfg* **by** *auto*

then have *lim3*: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. (f\ n + g\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n))\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *limit-mat-def* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. \forall n. (f\ n + g\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j) = f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) + g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def*

by (*metis* *carrier-matD(1)* *carrier-matD(2)* *index-add-mat(1)*)

then have *add*: $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) + g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n))\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *lim3* **by** *auto*

have *matrix-seq.lower-lub* $f\ \$\$ (i, j) + \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g\ \$\$ (i, j) = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j)$

if $i < d$ **and** $j < d$ **for** $i\ j$

proof –

have $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *lim1* $i\ j$ **by** *auto*

moreover have $(\lambda n. g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *lim2* $i\ j$ **by** *auto*

ultimately have $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) + g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f\ \$\$ (i, j) + \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g\ \$\$ (i, j)$

using *tendsto-add*[*of* $\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)\ \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f\ \$\$ (i, j)$ *sequentially* $\lambda n. g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)\ \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g\ \$\$ (i, j)$] **by** *auto*

moreover have $(\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j) + g\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j)$ **using** *add* $i\ j$ **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *LIMSEQ-unique* **by** *auto*

qed

moreover have *matrix-seq.lower-lub* $f \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-lub-dim* *assms(1)* *msf* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *matrix-seq.lower-lub* $g \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-lub-dim* *assms(2)* *msg* **unfolding** *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. f\ n + g\ n) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim } \text{msfg mslfg}$ unfolding matrix-seq-def by auto
ultimately show $?thesis$ unfolding matrix-seq-def using mat-eq-iff by auto
qed

lemma *lower-lub-scale*:

fixes $c :: \text{real}$
assumes $\text{matrix-seq } d\ f\ \forall\ n. \text{trace } (c \cdot_m f\ n) \leq 1\ c \geq 0$
shows $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n) = c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f$
proof –
have $\text{msf}: \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } f\ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)$
using $\text{assms}(1)\ \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop}$ by auto
then have $\text{limit-mat } f\ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)\ d$
using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit } \text{assms}$ by auto
then have $\text{lim1}: \forall\ i < d. \forall\ j < d. (\lambda n. f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)\ \$\$ (i, j)$
using $\text{limit-mat-def } \text{assms}$ by auto

have $\text{dimcf}: \forall\ n. c \cdot_m f\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ using assms unfolding matrix-seq-def by fastforce
moreover have $\forall\ n. \text{partial-density-operator } (c \cdot_m f\ n)$ using assms
unfolding $\text{matrix-seq-def partial-density-operator-def}$ using positive-scale by blast
moreover have $\forall\ n. c \cdot_m f\ n \leq_L c \cdot_m f\ (\text{Suc } n)$ using $\text{lower-le-smult } \text{assms}(1,3)$
unfolding $\text{matrix-seq-def partial-density-operator-def}$ by blast
ultimately have $\text{mscf}: \text{matrix-seq } d\ (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n)$ unfolding matrix-seq-def by auto
then have $\text{mslfg}: \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n)\ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n))$
using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-prop}$ by auto
then have $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n)\ (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n))\ d$
using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit } \text{mscf}$ by auto
then have $\text{lim3}: \forall\ i < d. \forall\ j < d. (\lambda n. (c \cdot_m f\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n))\ \$\$ (i, j)$
using $\text{limit-mat-def } \text{assms}$ by auto

from mslfg mscf have $\text{dleft}: \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f\ n) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim}$ by auto
have $\text{dllf}: \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
using $\text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim } \text{assms}(1)\ \text{msf}$ unfolding matrix-seq-def by auto
then have $\text{dright}: c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ using $\text{index-smult-mat}(2,3)$ by auto
have $\forall\ i < d. \forall\ j < d. \forall\ n. (c \cdot_m f\ n)\ \$\$ (i, j) = c * f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)$
using $\text{assms}(1)$ unfolding matrix-seq-def using $\text{index-smult-mat}(1)$
by $(\text{metis } \text{carrier-matD}(1-2))$
then have $\text{smult}: \forall\ i < d. \forall\ j < d. (\lambda n. c * f\ n\ \$\$ (i, j)) \longrightarrow (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f)\ \$\$ (i, j)$

```

( $\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n$ )  $\$ \$ (i, j)$ 
  using lim3 by auto
  have ij: ( $c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f$ )  $\$ \$ (i, j) = (\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n)) \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$ 
  if i:  $i < d$  and j:  $j < d$  for i j
  proof -
    have ( $\lambda n. f n \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$ )  $\longrightarrow \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$  using lim1 i j by auto
    moreover have  $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j) = c * \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$ 
    using index-smult-mat dllf by fastforce
    ultimately have  $\forall i < d. \forall j < d. (\lambda n. c * f n \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)) \longrightarrow (c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f) \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$ 
    using tendsto-intros(18)[of  $\lambda n. c \cdot c$  sequentially  $\lambda n. f n \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$  matrix-seq.lower-lub  $f \mathbb{S} \mathbb{S} (i, j)$ ]] i j
    by (simp add: lim1 tendsto-mult-left)
    then show ?thesis using smult i j LIMSEQ-unique by metis
  qed

  from dleft dright ij show ?thesis
  using mat-eq-iff[of matrix-seq.lower-lub ( $\lambda n. c \cdot_m f n$ )  $c \cdot_m \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } f$ ]
  by (metis (mono-tags) carrier-matD(1) carrier-matD(2))
  qed

```

```

lemma trace-matrix-sum-linear:
  fixes f ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ 
  shows  $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \implies \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n) = \text{sum } (\lambda k. \text{trace } (f k)) \ \{0..<n\}$ 
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then have  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  by auto
    then have ds:  $\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using matrix-sum-dim by auto
    have  $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{trace } (f n) + \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n)$ 
    unfolding matrix-sum.simps apply (mat-assoc d) using ds Suc by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{sum } (\text{trace} \circ f) \ \{0..<n\} + (\text{trace} \circ f) \ n$  using Suc by auto
    also have  $\dots = \text{sum } (\text{trace} \circ f) \ \{0..<\text{Suc } n\}$  by auto
    finally show ?case by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma matrix-sum-distrib-left:
  fixes f ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ 
  shows  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies (\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. P * (f k)) \ n = P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n)$ 
  proof (induct n)

```

```

case 0
show ?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps using 0 by auto
next
case (Suc n)
then have  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  by auto
then have  $ds: \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using matrix-sum-dim by
auto
then have  $dPf: \bigwedge k. k < n \implies P * f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using Suc by auto
then have  $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. P * f k) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using matrix-sum-dim[OF
dPf] by auto
have  $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. P * f k) \ (\text{Suc } n) = P * f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. P * f$ 
 $k) \ n$  unfolding matrix-sum.simps using Suc(2) by auto
also have  $\dots = P * f \ n + P * \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n$  using Suc by auto
also have  $\dots = P * (f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ n)$  apply (mat-assoc d) using ds
dPf Suc by auto
finally show  $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. P * f k) \ (\text{Suc } n) = P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f \ (\text{Suc}$ 
 $n))$  by auto
qed

```

2.4 Measurement

definition *measurement* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{measurement } d \ n \ M \longleftrightarrow (\forall j < n. M \ j \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
 $\wedge \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda j. (\text{adjoint } (M \ j)) * M \ j) \ n = 1_m \ d$

lemma *measurement-dim*:

```

assumes measurement d n M
shows  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
using assms unfolding measurement-def by auto

```

lemma *measurement-id2*:

```

assumes measurement d 2 M
shows  $\text{adjoint } (M \ 0) * M \ 0 + \text{adjoint } (M \ 1) * M \ 1 = 1_m \ d$ 

```

proof –

```

have  $ssz: (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)) = 2$  by auto
have  $M \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \ M \ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using assms measurement-def
by auto
then have  $\text{adjoint } (M \ 0) * M \ 0 + \text{adjoint } (M \ 1) * M \ 1 = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda j.$ 
 $\text{adjoint } (M \ j)) * M \ j) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))$ 
by auto
also have  $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda j. (\text{adjoint } (M \ j)) * M \ j) \ (2::\text{nat})$  by (subst
ssz, auto)
also have  $\dots = 1_m \ d$  using measurement-def[of d 2 M] assms by auto
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

Result of measurement on ρ by matrix M

definition *measurement-res* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**
 $\text{measurement-res } M \ \varrho = M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M$

lemma *add-positive-le-reduce1*:

assumes dA : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dB : $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dC : $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and pB : *positive* B **and** le : $A + B \leq_L C$
shows $A \leq_L C$
unfolding *lowner-le-def positive-def*
proof (*auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF dA] carrier-matD[OF dC] simp del: less-eq-complex-def*)
have eq : $C - (A + B) = (C - A + (-B))$ **using** $dA \ dB \ dC$ **by** *auto*
have *positive* $(C - (A + B))$ **using** $le \ \text{lowner-le-def} \ dA \ dB \ dC$ **by** *auto*
with eq **have** p : *positive* $(C - A + (-B))$ **by** *auto*
fix $v :: \text{complex vec}$ **assume** $n = \text{dim-vec } v$
then have dv : $v \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ **by** *auto*
have ge : $\text{inner-prod } v \ (B *_v v) \geq 0$ **using** $pB \ dv \ dB \ \text{positive-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A + (-B)) *_v v)$ **using** $p \ \text{positive-def} \ dv \ dA \ dB$
dC by *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_v v + (-B) *_v v)$
using $dv \ dA \ dB \ dC \ \text{add-mult-distrib-mat-vec}[OF \ \text{minus-carrier-mat}[OF \ dA]]$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_v v) + \text{inner-prod } v \ ((-B) *_v v)$
apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-right*)
by (*rule dv, auto simp add: mult-mat-vec-carrier[OF minus-carrier-mat[OF dA]] mult-mat-vec-carrier[OF uminus-carrier-mat[OF dB]] dv*)
also have $\dots = \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_v v) - \text{inner-prod } v \ (B *_v v)$ **using** dB
dv by *auto*
also have $\dots \leq \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_v v)$ **using** ge **by** *auto*
finally show $0 \leq \text{inner-prod } v \ ((C - A) *_v v)$.
qed

lemma *add-positive-le-reduce2*:

assumes dA : $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dB : $B \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** dC : $C \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and pB : *positive* B **and** le : $B + A \leq_L C$
shows $A \leq_L C$
apply (*subgoal-tac* $B + A = A + B$) **using** *add-positive-le-reduce1* [*of* $A \ n \ B \ C$]
assms by auto

lemma *measurement-le-one-mat*:

assumes *measurement* $d \ n \ f$
shows $\bigwedge j. j < n \implies \text{adjoint } (f \ j) * f \ j \leq_L 1_m \ d$
proof –
fix j **assume** $j < n$
define M **where** $M = \text{adjoint } (f \ j) * f \ j$
have df : $k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **using** *assms measurement-dim*
by *auto*
have daf : $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (f \ k) * f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k
proof –
assume $k < n$
then have $f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ $\text{adjoint } (f \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** df
adjoint-dim by auto

then show $\text{adjoint } (f \ k) * f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ by auto
 qed
 have $\text{pafj}: k < n \implies \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } (f \ k) * (f \ k))$ for k
 apply (subst (2) adjoint-adjoint[of $f \ k$, symmetric])
 by (metis adjoint-adjoint daf positive-if-decomp)
 define f' where $\bigwedge k. f' \ k = (\text{if } k = j \text{ then } 0_m \ d \ d \text{ else } \text{adjoint } (f \ k) * f \ k)$
 have $\text{pf}': k < n \implies \text{positive } (f' \ k)$ for k unfolding f' -def using positive-zero
 $\text{pafj } j$ by auto
 have $\text{df}': k < n \implies f' \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k using daf j zero-carrier-mat
 f' -def by auto
 then have $\text{dsf}': \text{matrix-sum } d \ f' \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ using matrix-sum-dim[of
 $n \ f' \ d$] by auto
 have $\text{psf}': \text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ f' \ n)$ using matrix-sum-positive pafj $\text{df}' \ \text{pf}'$
 by auto
 have $M + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f' \ n = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (f \ k) * f \ k) \ n$
 using matrix-sum-remove[OF j , of $(\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (f \ k) * f \ k)$, OF daf, of f']
 f' -def unfolding M -def by auto
 also have $\dots = 1_m \ d$ using measurement-def assms by auto
 finally have $M + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f' \ n = 1_m \ d$.
 moreover have $1_m \ d \leq_L 1_m \ d$ using lower-le-refl[of d] by auto
 ultimately have $(M + \text{matrix-sum } d \ f' \ n) \leq_L 1_m \ d$ by auto
 then show $M \leq_L 1_m \ d$ unfolding M -def using add-positive-le-reduce1[OF -
 $\text{dsf}' \ \text{one-carrier-mat } \text{psf}'$] daf j by auto
 qed

lemma pdo-close-under-measurement:

fixes $M \ \varrho :: \text{complex mat}$
 assumes $\text{dM}: M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ and $\text{dr}: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
 and $\text{pdor}: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$
 and $\text{le}: \text{adjoint } M * M \leq_L 1_m \ n$
 shows $\text{partial-density-operator } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M)$
 unfolding partial-density-operator-def
 proof
 show $\text{positive } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M)$
 using positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF $\text{dM } \text{dr}$] pdor partial-density-operator-def
 by auto
 next
 have $\text{daM}: \text{adjoint } M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ using dM by auto
 then have $\text{daMM}: \text{adjoint } M * M \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ using dM by auto
 have $\text{trace } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } M * M * \varrho)$
 using $\text{dM } \text{dr}$ by (mat-assoc n)
 also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } (1_m \ n * \varrho)$
 using lower-le-trace[where $?B = 1_m \ n$ and $?A = \text{adjoint } M * M$, OF daMM
 one-carrier-mat] le dr pdor by auto
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } \varrho$ using dr by auto
 also have $\dots \leq 1$ using pdor partial-density-operator-def by auto
 finally show $\text{trace } (M * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M) \leq 1$ by auto
 qed

lemma *trace-measurement*:

assumes *m*: *measurement* *d n M* **and** *dA*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M \ k) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) \ n) = \text{trace } A$
proof –
have *dMk*: $k < n \implies (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using** *m* **unfolding**
measurement-def **by** *auto*
then have *daMk*: $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using** *m*
adjoint-dim **unfolding** *measurement-def* **by** *auto*
have *d1*: $k < n \implies M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using** *dMk*
daMk dA **by** *fastforce*
then have *ds1*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) \ k \in$
carrier-mat *d d* **for** *k*
using *matrix-sum-dim*[*of k λk. M k * A * adjoint (M k) d*] **by** *auto*
have *d2*: $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using**
daMk dMk dA **by** *fastforce*
then have *ds2*: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A) \ k \in$
carrier-mat *d d* **for** *k*
using *matrix-sum-dim*[*of k λk. adjoint (M k) * M k * A d*] **by** *auto*
have *daMMk*: $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** *k* **using**
dMk **by** *fastforce*
have $k \leq n \implies \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M \ k) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) \ k) = \text{trace}$
 $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (M \ k) * A) \ k)$ **for** *k*
proof (*induct k*)
case 0
then show ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then have *k*: $k < n$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{trace } (M \ k * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k * A)$
using *dA* **apply** (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *dMk k* **by** *auto*
then show ?*case* **unfolding** *matrix-sum.simps* **using** *ds1 ds2 d1 d2 k Suc*
daMk dMk dA
by (*subst trace-add-linear*[*of - d*], *auto*)
qed
then have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M \ k) * A * \text{adjoint } (M \ k)) \ n) = \text{trace}$
 $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (M \ k) * A) \ n)$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (M \ k)) \ n * A)$ **using**
matrix-sum-mult-right[*OF daMMk, of n id A*] *dA* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } A$ **using** *m dA* **unfolding** *measurement-def* **by** *auto*
finally show ?*thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *mat-inc-seq-positive-transform*:

assumes *dfn*: $\bigwedge n. f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and *inc*: $\bigwedge n. f \ n \leq_L f \ (Suc \ n)$
shows $\bigwedge n. f \ n - f \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\bigwedge n. (f \ n - f \ 0) \leq_L (f \ (Suc \ n) - f \ 0)$
proof –
show $\bigwedge n. f \ n - f \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *dfn* **by** *fastforce*

have $f\ 0 \leq_L f\ 0$ **using** *lower-le-refl*[of $f\ 0\ d$] *dfn* **by** *auto*
 then **show** $(f\ n - f\ 0) \leq_L (f\ (\text{Suc}\ n) - f\ 0)$ **for** n
 using *lower-le-minus*[of $f\ n\ d\ f\ (\text{Suc}\ n)\ f\ 0\ f\ 0$] *dfn inc* **by** *fastforce*
qed

lemma *mat-inc-seq-lub*:

assumes *dfn*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$
 and *inc*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n \leq_L f\ (\text{Suc}\ n)$
 and *ub*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n \leq_L A$
 shows $\exists B. \text{lower-is-lub}\ f\ B \wedge \text{limit-mat}\ f\ B\ d$
proof –
 have *dmfn0*: $\bigwedge n. f\ n - f\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **and** *incm0*: $\bigwedge n. (f\ n - f\ 0) \leq_L$
 $(f\ (\text{Suc}\ n) - f\ 0)$
 using *mat-inc-seq-positive-transform*[OF *dfn*, of *id*] *assms* **by** *auto*
 define *c* **where** $c = 1 / (\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) + 1)$
 have $f\ 0 \leq_L A$ **using** *ub* **by** *auto*
 then have *dA*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** *ub* **unfolding** *lower-le-def* **using**
dfn[of 0] **by** *fastforce*
 then have *dAmf0*: $A - f\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** *dfn*[of 0] **by** *auto*
 have *positive* $(A - f\ 0)$ **using** *ub* *lower-le-def* **by** *auto*
 then have *tgeq0*: $\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) \geq 0$ **using** *positive-trace* *dAmf0* **by** *auto*
 then have $\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) + 1 > 0$ **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
 then have *gtc*: $c > 0$ **unfolding** *c-def* **using** *complex-is-Real-iff*
 by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)
 then have *gtci*: $(1 / c) > 0$ **using** *complex-is-Real-iff*
 by (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def*)

 have $\text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0)) = c * \text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0)$
 using *trace-smult* *dAmf0* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = (1 / (\text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0) + 1)) * \text{trace}\ (A - f\ 0)$ **unfolding** *c-def*
by *auto*
 also have $\dots < 1$ **using** *tgeq0* **by** (*simp add: complex-is-Real-iff less-eq-complex-def*
less-complex-def)
 finally have *lt1*: $\text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0)) < 1$.

have *le0*: $-f\ 0 \leq_L -f\ 0$ **using** *lower-le-refl*[of $-f\ 0\ d$] *dfn* **by** *auto*

have *dmf0*: $-f\ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **using** *dfn* **by** *auto*
 have *mf0smcle*: $(c \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)) \leq_L (c \cdot_m (Y - f\ 0))$ **if** $X \leq_L Y$ **and** $X \in$
carrier-mat $d\ d$ **and** $Y \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **for** $X\ Y$
proof –
 have $(X - f\ 0) \leq_L (Y - f\ 0)$
 using *lower-le-minus*[of $X\ d\ Y\ f\ 0\ f\ 0$] *that dfn lower-le-refl* **by** *auto*
 then **show** *?thesis* **using** *lower-le-smultc*[of $c\ (X - f\ 0)\ Y - f\ 0\ d$] **using**
that dfn gtc **by** *fastforce*
qed
 have $(c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)) \leq_L (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0))$ **for** n
 using *mf0smcle* *ub* *dfn dA* **by** *auto*
 then have $\text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)) \leq \text{trace}\ (c \cdot_m (A - f\ 0))$ **for** n

```

    using lower-le-imp-trace-le[of  $c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)$   $d$ ] dmfn0 dAmf0 by auto
  then have trlt1:  $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)) < 1$  for  $n$  using lt1
    unfolding less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def
  by (metis add commute add-less-cancel-right add-mono-thms-linordered-field(3))

  have  $f\ 0 \leq_L f\ n$  for  $n$ 
  proof (induct  $n$ )
    case 0
    then show ?case using dfn lower-le-refl by auto
  next
    case (Suc  $n$ )
    then show ?case using dfn lower-le-trans[of  $f\ 0\ d\ f\ n$ ] inc by auto
  qed
  then have positive  $(f\ n - f\ 0)$  for  $n$  using lower-le-def by auto
  then have  $p$ : positive  $(c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0))$  for  $n$ 
    by (intro positive-smult, insert gtc dmfn0, auto)

  have inc':  $c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0) \leq_L c \cdot_m (f\ (Suc\ n) - f\ 0)$  for  $n$ 
    using incm0 lower-le-smultc[of  $c\ f\ n - f\ 0$ ] gtc dmfn0 by fastforce

  define  $g$  where  $g\ n = c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0)$  for  $n$ 
  then have positive  $(g\ n)$  and  $\text{trace } (g\ n) < 1$  and  $(g\ n) \leq_L (g\ (Suc\ n))$  and
  dgn:  $(g\ n) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $n$ 
    unfolding g-def using p trlt1 inc' dmfn0 by auto
  then have ms: matrix-seq  $d\ g$  unfolding matrix-seq-def partial-density-operator-def
    by (simp add: less-eq-complex-def less-complex-def dual-order.strict-iff-not)
  then have uniM:  $\exists! M. \text{matrix-seq.lower-is-lub } g\ M$  using matrix-seq.lower-lub-unique
  by auto
  then obtain  $M$  where  $M$ : matrix-seq.lower-is-lub  $g\ M$  by auto
  then have leg:  $g\ n \leq_L M$  and lubg:  $\bigwedge M'. (\forall n. g\ n \leq_L M') \longrightarrow M \leq_L M'$  for
   $n$ 
    unfolding matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-def[OF ms] by auto
  have  $M = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } g$ 
    using matrix-seq.lower-lub-def[OF ms]  $M$  uniM theI-unique[of matrix-seq.lower-is-lub
   $g$ ] by auto
  then have limg: limit-mat  $g\ M\ d$  using  $M$  matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-limit[OF ms]
  by auto
  then have dM:  $M \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  unfolding limit-mat-def by auto

  define  $B$  where  $B = f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M$ 
  have eqinv:  $f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)) = X$  if  $X \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for
   $X$ 
  proof -
    have  $f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)) = f\ 0 + (1 / c * c) \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)$ 
    apply (subgoal-tac  $(1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)) = (1 / c * c) \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)$ ,
  simp)
    using smult-smult-mat dfn that by auto
    also have  $\dots = f\ 0 + 1 \cdot_m (X - f\ 0)$  using gtc by auto
    also have  $\dots = f\ 0 + (X - f\ 0)$  by auto

```

also have $\dots = (-f\ 0) + f\ 0 + X$ **apply** (mat-assoc d) **using** that dfn **by** auto
 also have $\dots = 0_m\ d\ d + X$ **using** dfn uminus-l-inv-mat[of f 0 d d] **by** fastforce
 also have $\dots = X$ **using** that **by** auto
 finally show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed
 have limit-mat ($\lambda n. (1 / c) \cdot_m g\ n$) (($1 / c$) $\cdot_m M$) d **using** limit-mat-scale[OF limg] gtc by auto
 then have limit-mat ($\lambda n. f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m g\ n$) ($f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M$) d
using mat-add-limit[of f 0] limg dfn **unfolding** mat-add-seq-def **by** auto
 then have limf: limit-mat f B d **using** eqinv[OF dfn] **unfolding** B-def g-def **by** auto
 auto

 have f0acmcile: ($f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m X \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m Y)$) **if** $X \leq_L Y$ **and** $X \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **and** $Y \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **for** $X\ Y$
 proof -
 have (($1 / c$) $\cdot_m X \leq_L ((1 / c) \cdot_m Y$)
using lower-le-smultc[of 1/c] that gtc **by** fastforce
 then show ($f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m X \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m Y)$)
using lower-le-add[of - d - ($1 / c$) $\cdot_m X$ ($1 / c$) $\cdot_m Y$]
 that gtc dfn lower-le-refl[of f 0, OF dfn] **by** fastforce
 qed

 have ($f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m g\ n \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M)$) **for** n
using f0acmcile[OF leg dgn dM] **by** auto
 then have lubf: $f\ n \leq_L B$ **for** n **using** eqinv[OF dfn] g-def B-def **by** auto

 {
 fix B' **assume** asm: $\forall n. f\ n \leq_L B'$
 then have $f\ 0 \leq_L B'$ **by** auto
 then have dB': $B' \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ **unfolding** lower-le-def **using** dfn[of 0]
by auto
 have $f\ n \leq_L B'$ **for** n **using** asm **by** auto
 then have ($c \cdot_m (f\ n - f\ 0) \leq_L (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0))$) **for** n
using mf0smcle[of f n B'] dfn dB' **by** auto
 then have $g\ n \leq_L (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0))$ **for** n **using** g-def **by** auto
 then have $M \leq_L (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0))$ **using** lubg **by** auto
 then have ($f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m M \leq_L (f\ 0 + (1 / c) \cdot_m (c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0)))$)
using f0acmcile[of M ($c \cdot_m (B' - f\ 0)$), OF - dM] **using** dB' dfn **by** fastforce
 then have $B \leq_L B'$ **unfolding** B-def **using** eqinv[OF dB'] **by** auto
 }
 with limf lubf **have** ($(\forall n. f\ n \leq_L B) \wedge (\forall M'. (\forall n. f\ n \leq_L M') \longrightarrow B \leq_L M')$)
 $\wedge$ limit-mat f B d **by** auto
 then show ?thesis **unfolding** lower-is-lub-def **by** auto
 qed

 end

3 Quantum programs

```
theory Quantum-Program
  imports Matrix-Limit
begin
```

3.1 Syntax

Datatype for quantum programs

```
datatype com =
  SKIP
| Utrans complex mat
| Seq com com (↦-;/-> [60, 61] 60)
| Measure nat nat ⇒ complex mat com list
| While nat ⇒ complex mat com
```

A state corresponds to the density operator

```
type-synonym state = complex mat
```

List of dimensions of quantum states

```
locale state-sig =
  fixes dims :: nat list
begin
```

```
definition d :: nat where
  d = prod-list dims
```

Wellformedness of commands

```
fun well-com :: com ⇒ bool where
  well-com SKIP = True
| well-com (Utrans U) = (U ∈ carrier-mat d d ∧ unitary U)
| well-com (Seq S1 S2) = (well-com S1 ∧ well-com S2)
| well-com (Measure n M S) =
  (measurement d n M ∧ length S = n ∧ list-all well-com S)
| well-com (While M S) =
  (measurement d 2 M ∧ well-com S)
```

3.2 Denotational semantics

Denotation of going through the while loop n times

```
fun denote-while-n-iter :: complex mat ⇒ complex mat ⇒ (state ⇒ state) ⇒ nat
⇒ state ⇒ state where
  denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS 0 ρ = ρ
| denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ = denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS n (DS
(M1 * ρ * adjoint M1))
```

```
fun denote-while-n :: complex mat ⇒ complex mat ⇒ (state ⇒ state) ⇒ nat ⇒
state ⇒ state where
```

$\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho = M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0$

fun $\text{denote-while-n-comp} :: \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow (\text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{state}$ **where**
 $\text{denote-while-n-comp } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho = M1 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1$

lemma $\text{denote-while-n-iter-assoc}$:

$\text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ (\text{Suc } n) \ \varrho = DS \ (M1 * (\text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) * \text{adjoint } M1)$

proof (induct n arbitrary: ϱ)

case 0

show ?case by auto

next

case (Suc n)

show ?case

apply (subst denote-while-n-iter.simps)

apply (subst Suc, auto)

done

qed

lemma $\text{denote-while-n-iter-dim}$:

$\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \Longrightarrow \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \Longrightarrow M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \Longrightarrow \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ m$

$\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \Longrightarrow \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \Longrightarrow DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } (DS \ \varrho))$

$\Longrightarrow \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)$

proof (induct n arbitrary: ϱ)

case 0

then show ?case unfolding denote-while-n-iter.simps by auto

next

case (Suc n)

then have $dr: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ and $dM1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ by auto

have $dMr: M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ using $dr \ dM1$ by fastforce

have $pdoMr: \text{partial-density-operator } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)$ using pdo-close-under-measurement Suc by auto

from Suc $dMr \ pdoMr$ have $d: DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ and $\text{partial-density-operator } (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ by auto

then show ?case unfolding denote-while-n-iter.simps

using Suc by auto

qed

lemma $\text{pdo-denote-while-n-iter}$:

$\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \Longrightarrow \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \Longrightarrow M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \Longrightarrow \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ m$

$\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \Longrightarrow \text{partial-density-operator } (DS \ \varrho))$

$\implies (\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies DS \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m)$
 $\implies \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho)$
proof (induct n arbitrary: ρ)
 case 0
 then show ?case **unfolding** denote-while-n-iter.simps **by** auto
next
 case (Suc n)
 have partial-density-operator ($M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1$) **using** Suc pdo-close-under-measurement **by** auto
 moreover have $M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** Suc **by** auto
 ultimately have p : partial-density-operator ($DS \ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)$) **and**
 d : $DS \ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1) \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **using** Suc **by** auto
 show ?case **unfolding** denote-while-n-iter.simps **using** Suc(1)[OF $d \ p$ Suc(4) Suc(5)] Suc **by** auto
qed

Denotation of while is simply the infinite sum of denote_while_n

definition denote-while :: complex mat $\Rightarrow$ complex mat $\Rightarrow$ (state $\Rightarrow$ state) $\Rightarrow$ state
 $\Rightarrow$ state **where**
 denote-while $M0 \ M1 \ DS \ \rho = \text{matrix-inf-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho)$

lemma denote-while-n-dim:

assumes $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 partial-density-operator ρ
 $\bigwedge \rho'. \rho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho' \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \rho')$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \rho') \leq \text{trace } \rho' \wedge DS \ \rho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows denote-while-n $M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
proof (induction n arbitrary: ρ)
 case 0
 then show ?case
 proof –
 have $M0 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 using assms assoc-mult-mat **by** auto
 then show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed
next
 case (Suc n)
 then show ?case
 proof –
 have denote-while-n $M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ (DS \ (M1 * \rho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 using Suc assms **by** auto
 then show ?thesis **by** auto
 qed
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-dim:*

assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
partial-density-operator ϱ
 $\bigwedge \varrho'. \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho' \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \varrho')$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho') \leq \text{trace } \varrho' \wedge DS \ \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows *matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
proof (*induct* n)
case 0
then show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc* n)
then show *?case*
proof –
have *denote-while-n* $M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *denote-while-n-dim* *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *matrix-sum* $d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *Suc* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed

lemma *trace-decrease-mul-adj:*

assumes *pdo*: *partial-density-operator* ϱ **and** *dimr*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and *dimx*: $x \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *un*: *adjoint* $x * x \leq_L \ 1_m \ d$
shows *trace* $(x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
proof –
have *ad*: *adjoint* $x * x \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *adjoint-dim* *index-mult-mat* *dimx*
by *auto*
have *trace* $(x * \varrho * \text{adjoint } x) = \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } x * x) * \varrho)$ **using** *dimx* *dimr* **by**
(mat-assoc d)
also have $\dots \leq \text{trace } (1_m \ d * \varrho)$ **using** *lowner-le-trace* *un* *ad* *dimr* *pdo* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } \varrho$ **using** *dimr* **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-positive:*

assumes *dim0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *dim1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
un: *adjoint* $M1 * M1 \leq_L \ 1_m \ d$
and *DS*: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows *partial-density-operator* $\varrho \wedge \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{positive } (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)$
proof (*induction* n *arbitrary*: ϱ)
case 0
then show *?case* **using** *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint* *dim0* **unfold-**

ing *partial-density-operator-def* **by** *auto*
next
 case (*Suc n*)
 then show *?case*
 proof –
 have *pdoM*: *partial-density-operator* ($M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1$) **using** *pdo-close-under-measurement*
 Suc dim1 un **by** *auto*
 moreover have *cM*: $M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** *Suc dim1*
 adjoint-dim index-mult-mat **by** *auto*
 ultimately have *DSM1*: $\text{positive } (DS (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \wedge \text{trace } (DS (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \leq \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) \wedge DS (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 using *DS* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$ **using** *trace-decrease-mul-adj*
 Suc dim1 un **by** *auto*
 ultimately have *partial-density-operator* ($DS (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)$) **using**
 Suc unfolding partial-density-operator-def **by** *auto*
 then have $\text{positive } (M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ (DS (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) * \text{adjoint } M0)$ **using** *Suc DSM1* **by** *auto*
 then have $\text{positive } (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ (Suc \ n) \ \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
 qed
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-positive*:

assumes *dim0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *dim1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
un: $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L \ 1_m \ d$
and *DS*: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and *pdo*: *partial-density-operator* ϱ **and** *r*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $\text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n)$
proof –
 have $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{positive } (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ k \ \varrho)$ **using** *assms*
 denote-while-n-positive **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ k \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 using *denote-while-n-dim assms* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *matrix-sum-positive* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *trace-measure2-id*:

assumes *dM0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$ **and** *dM1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
and *id*: $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ n$
and *dA*: $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \ n$
shows $\text{trace } (M0 * A * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (M1 * A * \text{adjoint } M1) = \text{trace } A$
proof –
 have $\text{trace } (M0 * A * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (M1 * A * \text{adjoint } M1) = \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1) * A)$
 using *assms* **by** (*mat-assoc* *n*)
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (1_m \ n * A)$ **using** *id* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{trace } A$ using dA by *auto*
 finally show *?thesis*.
 qed

lemma *measurement-lowner-le-one1*:

assumes $\text{dim0}: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and $\text{dim1}: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and id :
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$

shows $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have paM0 : *positive* ($\text{adjoint } M0 * M0$)

apply (*subgoal-tac* $\text{adjoint } M0 * \text{adjoint } (\text{adjoint } M0) = \text{adjoint } M0 * M0$)

subgoal using *positive-if-decomp*[*of* $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0$] dim0 *adjoint-dim*[*OF*
 dim0] by *fastforce*

using *adjoint-adjoint*[*of* $M0$] by *auto*

have le1 : $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$ using *id* *lowner-le-refl*[*of*
 $1_m \ d$] by *fastforce*

show $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

using *add-positive-le-reduce2*[*OF* - - paM0 le1] dim0 dim1 by *fastforce*

qed

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-trace*:

assumes $\text{dim0}: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and $\text{dim1}: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and id :
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$

and DS : $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \rho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(\text{DS } \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{DS } \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge \text{DS } \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and r : $\rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and pdor : *partial-density-operator* ρ

shows $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \rho) \ n) \leq \text{trace } \rho$

proof –

have un : $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m \ d$ using *measurement-lowner-le-one1* using
 dim0 dim1 *id* by *auto*

have DS' : $(\text{DS } \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d) \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{DS } \rho)$ if $\rho \in$
 $\text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and *partial-density-operator* ρ for ρ

proof –

have res : $\text{positive } (\text{DS } \rho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{DS } \rho) \leq \text{trace } \rho \wedge \text{DS } \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 using DS that by *auto*

moreover have $\text{trace } \rho \leq 1$ using *that partial-density-operator-def* by *auto*

ultimately have $\text{trace } (\text{DS } \rho) \leq 1$ by *auto*

with res show *?thesis* *unfolding* *partial-density-operator-def* by *auto*

qed

have dWk : *denote-while-n-iter* $M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } k \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k

using *denote-while-n-iter-dim*[*OF* r pdor dim1 un] DS' dim0 dim1 by *auto*

have pdoWk : *partial-density-operator* (*denote-while-n-iter* $M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } k \ \rho$) for k

using *pdo-denote-while-n-iter*[*OF* r pdor dim1 un] DS' dim0 dim1 by *auto*

have $dW0k$: *denote-while-n* $M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } k \ \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k using
denote-while-n-dim r dim0 dim1 pdor by *auto*

then have dsW0k : $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \rho) \ k \in$
 $\text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ for k

using *matrix-sum-dim*[*of* k $\lambda k. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } k \ \rho$] by *auto*

```

have (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ) ∈ carrier-mat d d for n unfolding
denote-while-n-comp.simps using dim1 dWk by auto
moreover have
  pdoW1k: partial-density-operator (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ) for n
unfolding denote-while-n-comp.simps
  using pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dim1 dWk pdoWk un] by auto
  ultimately have trace (DS (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ)) ≤ trace
(denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ) for n
  using DS by auto
  moreover have trace (denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ) = trace (DS
(denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ)) for n
  using denote-while-n-iter-assoc[folded denote-while-n-comp.simps] by auto
  ultimately have leq3: trace (denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ) ≤ trace
(denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ) for n by auto

have mainleq: trace (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) (Suc n))
+ trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ρ) ≤ trace ρ for n
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  then show ?case unfolding matrix-sum.simps denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-comp.simps
denote-while-n-iter.simps
    apply (subgoal-tac M0 * ρ * adjoint M0 + 0m d d = M0 * ρ * adjoint M0)
    using trace-measure2-id[OF dim0 dim1 id r] dim0 apply simp
    using dim0 by auto
  next
  case (Suc n)

  have eq1: trace (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) (Suc (Suc
n)))
    = trace (denote-while-n M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ) + trace (matrix-sum d (λn.
denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) (Suc n))
    unfolding matrix-sum.simps
    using trace-add-linear dW0k[of Suc n] dsW0k[of Suc n] by auto

  have eq2: trace (denote-while-n M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ) + trace (denote-while-n-comp
M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ)
    = trace (denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ)
    unfolding denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-comp.simps using trace-measure2-id[OF
dim0 dim1 id dWk[of Suc n]] by auto

  have trace (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) (Suc (Suc n)))
+ trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ)
    = trace (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) (Suc n)) + trace
(denote-while-n M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ) + trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS
(Suc n) ρ)
    using eq1 by auto
  also have ... = trace (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ρ) (Suc
n)) + trace (denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS (Suc n) ρ)

```

using eq2 by auto
 also have ... $\leq$ trace (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) (Suc n)) + trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ϱ)
 using leq3 by auto
 also have ... $\leq$ trace ϱ using Suc by auto
 finally show ?case.
 qed

have reduce-le-complex: ($b::\text{complex}$) $\geq 0 \implies a + b \leq c \implies a \leq c$ for $a b c$
 by (simp add: less-eq-complex-def)
 have positive (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ϱ) for n using pdoW1k unfolding partial-density-operator-def by auto
 then have trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ϱ) ≥ 0 for n using positive-trace
 using $\langle \bigwedge n. \text{denote-while-n-comp } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \rangle$ by blast
 then have trace (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) (Suc n)) $\leq$ trace ϱ for n
 using mainleq reduce-le-complex[of trace (denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS n ϱ)] by auto
 moreover have trace (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) 0) $\leq$ trace ϱ
 unfolding matrix-sum.simps
 using trace-zero positive-trace pdor unfolding partial-density-operator-def
 using r by auto
 ultimately show trace (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) n) $\leq$ trace ϱ for n
 apply (induct n) by auto
 qed

lemma denote-while-n-sum-partial-density:

assumes dim0: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and dim1: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ and id: $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$

and DS: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } (DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and pdo: partial-density-operator ϱ and r: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

shows (partial-density-operator (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) n))

proof –

have trace (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) n) $\leq$ trace ϱ
 using denote-while-n-sum-trace assms by auto
 then have trace (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) n) ≤ 1
 using pdo unfolding partial-density-operator-def by auto
 moreover have positive (matrix-sum d ($\lambda n.$ denote-while-n M0 M1 DS n ϱ) n)
 using assms DS denote-while-n-sum-positive measurement-lowner-le-one1[OF dim0 dim1 id] by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding partial-density-operator-def by auto
 qed

lemma denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le:

assumes $\text{dim0}: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{dim1}: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{id}: \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$
and $\text{DS}: \bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(\text{DS } \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{DS } \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{DS } \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and $\text{pdo}: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$ **and** $\text{dimr}: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } n))$
proof *auto*
have $\text{whilenc}: \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** $\text{denote-while-n-dim}$ **assms** **by** *auto*
have $\text{sumc}: \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using $\text{denote-while-n-sum-dim}$ **assms** **by** *auto*
have $\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n$
 $= \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n + (- \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n)$
using $\text{minus-add-uminus-mat}[of \ \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n \ d \ d \ \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n]$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho + 0_m \ d \ d$
by $(\text{smt } (\text{verit}) \ \text{assoc-add-mat } \text{minus-add-uminus-mat } \text{minus-r-inv-mat } \text{sumc } \text{uminus-carrier-mat } \text{whilenc})$
also have $\dots = \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho$ **using** whilenc **by** *auto*
finally have $\text{simp}: \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n =$
 $\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{positive } (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho)$ **using** $\text{denote-while-n-positive}$ **assms** $\text{measurement-lowner-le-one1}[OF \ \text{dim0 } \text{dim1 } \text{id}]$ **by** *auto*
then have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n \leq_L (\text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n)$
unfolding lowner-le-def **using** simp **by** *auto*
then show $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) \ n \leq_L$
 $(M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. M0 * \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) \ n)$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *lowner-is-lub-matrix-sum:*

assumes $\text{dim0}: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{dim1}: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $\text{id}: \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$
and $\text{DS}: \bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(\text{DS } \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{DS } \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{DS } \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and $\text{pdo}: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$ **and** $\text{dimr}: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $\text{matrix-seq.lowner-is-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n) \ (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n))$
proof –
have $\text{sumdd}: \forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ \text{DS } n \ \varrho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

using *denote-while-n-sum-dim* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumtr*: $\forall n. \text{trace} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumpar*: $\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n)$
using *denote-while-n-sum-partial-density* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumle*: $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) (Suc \ n)$
using *denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *seqd*: $\text{matrix-seq } d (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho))$
using *matrix-seq-def* *sumdd* *sumpar* *sumle* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *matrix-seq.lowner-lub-prop*[*of* $d (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho))$] **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-while-dim-positive*:

assumes *dim0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *dim1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *id*:
 $\text{adjoint } M0 * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * M1 = 1_m \ d$
and *DS*: $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive}$
 $(DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
and *pdo*: $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$ **and** *dimr*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows
 $\text{denote-while } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \wedge \text{positive } (\text{denote-while } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote-while } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
proof –
have *sumdd*: $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *denote-while-n-sum-dim* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumtr*: $\forall n. \text{trace} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumpar*: $\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator} (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n)$
using *denote-while-n-sum-partial-density* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *sumle*: $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho) (Suc \ n)$
using *denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le* *assms* **by** *auto*
have *seqd*: $\text{matrix-seq } d (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho))$
using *matrix-seq-def* *sumdd* *sumpar* *sumle* **by** *auto*
have *matrix-seq.lowner-is-lub* $(\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)) (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)))$
using *lower-is-lub-matrix-sum* *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *matrix-seq.lowner-lub* $(\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $\wedge \text{positive } (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho)))$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (\text{matrix-seq.lowner-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ DS \ n \ \varrho))) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$

using *matrix-seq.lower-is-lub-dim seqd matrix-seq.lower-lub-is-positive matrix-seq.lower-lub-trace sumtr* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis unfolding denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *denote-measure* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ (*nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat*) $\Rightarrow$ ((*state* $\Rightarrow$ *state*) *list*)
 $\Rightarrow$ *state* $\Rightarrow$ *state* **where**
denote-measure *n M DS* $\varrho = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (DS!k) ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))\ n$

lemma *denote-measure-dim*:
assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
measurement *d n M*
 $\bigwedge \varrho' k. \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies k < n \implies (DS!k) \varrho' \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
shows
denote-measure *n M DS* $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
proof –
have *dMk*: $k < n \implies M\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** *k* **using** *assms measurement-def*
by *auto*
have *d*: $k < n \implies (M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** *k*
using *mult-carrier-mat[OF mult-carrier-mat[OF dMk assms(1)] adjoint-dim[OF dMk]]* **by** *auto*
then have $k < n \implies (DS!k) ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** *k*
using *assms(3)* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis unfolding denote-measure-def using matrix-sum-dim[of n*
 $\lambda k. (DS!k) ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))]$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *measure-well-com*:
assumes *well-com* (*Measure* *n M S*)
shows $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{well-com } (S!k)$
using *assms unfolding well-com.simps using list-all-length* **by** *auto*

Semantics of commands

fun *denote* :: *com* $\Rightarrow$ *state* $\Rightarrow$ *state* **where**
denote *SKIP* $\varrho = \varrho$
 $| \text{denote } (Utrans\ U) \varrho = U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U$
 $| \text{denote } (Seq\ S1\ S2) \varrho = \text{denote } S2\ (\text{denote } S1\ \varrho)$
 $| \text{denote } (Measure\ n\ M\ S) \varrho = \text{denote-measure } n\ M\ (\text{map } \text{denote } S)\ \varrho$
 $| \text{denote } (While\ M\ S) \varrho = \text{denote-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ (\text{denote } S)\ \varrho$

lemma *denote-measure-expand*:
assumes *m*: $m \leq n$ **and** *wc*: *well-com* (*Measure* *n M S*)
shows *denote* (*Measure* *m M S*) $\varrho = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))\ m$
unfolding *denote.simps denote-measure-def*
proof –
have $k < m \implies \text{map } \text{denote } S!k = \text{denote } (S!k)$ **for** *k* **using** *wc m* **by** *auto*

then have $k < m \implies (\text{map denote } S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)) = \text{denote } (S ! k) ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))$ **for** k **by** *auto*
then show $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. (\text{map denote } S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) m$
 $= \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))) m$
using $\text{matrix-sum-cong}[\text{of } m \lambda k. (\text{map denote } S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))$
 $\lambda k. \text{denote } (S ! k) (M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k))]$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *matrix-sum-trace-le*:

fixes $f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **and** $g :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$
assumes $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d)$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies g k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d)$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{trace } (f k) \leq \text{trace } (g k))$
shows $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d f n) \leq \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d g n)$

proof –

have $\text{sum } (\lambda k. \text{trace } (f k)) \{0..<n\} \leq \text{sum } (\lambda k. \text{trace } (g k)) \{0..<n\}$
using *assms* **by** $(\text{meson atLeastLessThan-iff sum-mono})$
then show *?thesis* **using** *trace-matrix-sum-linear assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *map-denote-positive-trace-dim*:

assumes $\text{well-com } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a)$
 $x4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } x4$
 $\bigwedge x3aa \ \varrho. \ x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d \implies$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$
 $\implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa$
 $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
shows $\forall k < x1. \text{positive } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $\wedge ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \in \text{carrier-mat}$
 $d \ d$
 $\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace}$
 $(x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$

proof –

have $x2ak: \forall k < x1. x2a \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** *assms(1)* *measurement-dim*
by *auto*

then have $x2aa: \forall k < x1. (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$
using *assms(2)* **by** *fastforce*

have *posct*: $\text{positive } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $\wedge ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \in \text{carrier-mat}$
 $d \ d$

$\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace}$
 $(x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$

if $k: k < x1$ **for** k

proof –

have *lea*: $\text{adjoint } (x2a \ k) * x2a \ k \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat*
assms(1) k **by** *auto*

have $(x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ **using** $k \ x2aa$ *assms(2)*
by *fastforce*

moreover have $(x3a ! k) \in \text{set } x3a$ **using** $k \text{ assms}(1)$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $\text{well-com } (x3a ! k)$ **using** $k \text{ assms}(1)$ **using** *measure-well-com*
by *blast*
moreover have $\text{partial-density-operator } (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$
using *pdo-close-under-measurement* $x2ak \text{ assms}(2,3)$ *lea* k **by** *blast*
ultimately have $\text{positive } (\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $\wedge (\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $\wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } (x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace } (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$
using $\text{assms}(4)$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** $\text{assms}(1)$ k **by** *auto*
qed
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-measure-positive-trace-dim:*

assumes $\text{well-com } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a)$
 $x4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } x4$
 $\wedge x3aa \ \varrho. \ x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$
 $\implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows $\text{positive } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ x4) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ x4) \leq \text{trace } x4$
 $\wedge (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ x4) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
proof –
have $x2ak: \forall k < x1. \ x2a \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** $\text{assms}(1)$ *measurement-dim*
by *auto*
then have $x2aa: \forall k < x1. \ (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using $\text{assms}(2)$ **by** *fastforce*
have $\text{posct}: \forall k < x1. \ \text{positive } ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $\wedge ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
 $\wedge \text{trace } ((\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace } (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$
using *map-denote-positive-trace-dim* *assms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))) \ x1)$
 $\leq \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))) \ x1)$
using *posct* *matrix-sum-trace-le*[*of* $x1 \ (\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \ (\lambda k. \ x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$]]
 $x2aa$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{trace } x4$ **using** *trace-measurement*[*of* $d \ x1 \ x2a \ x4$] $\text{assms}(1,2)$
by *auto*
finally have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a ! k) (x2a \ k * x4 * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))) \ x1) \leq \text{trace } x4$ **by** *auto*

then have trace (denote-measure x1 x2a (map denote x3a) x4) ≤ trace x4
 unfolding denote-measure-def by auto
 then have trace (denote (Measure x1 x2a x3a) x4) ≤ trace x4 by auto
 moreover from posct have positive (denote (Measure x1 x2a x3a) x4)
 apply auto
 unfolding denote-measure-def using matrix-sum-positive by auto
 moreover have (denote (Measure x1 x2a x3a) x4) ∈ carrier-mat d d
 apply auto
 unfolding denote-measure-def using matrix-sum-dim posct
 by (simp add: matrix-sum-dim)
 ultimately show ?thesis by auto
 qed

lemma denote-positive-trace-dim:

well-com S ⇒ ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ⇒ partial-density-operator ρ
 ⇒ (positive (denote S ρ) ∧ trace (denote S ρ) ≤ trace ρ ∧ denote S ρ ∈
 carrier-mat d d)

proof (induction arbitrary: ρ)

case SKIP

then show ?case unfolding partial-density-operator-def by auto

next

case (Utrans x)

then show ?case

proof –

assume wc: well-com (Utrans x) and r: ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and pdo: par-
 tial-density-operator ρ

show positive (denote (Utrans x) ρ) ∧ trace (denote (Utrans x) ρ) ≤ trace ρ ∧
 denote (Utrans x) ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d

proof –

have trace (x * ρ * adjoint x) = trace ((adjoint x * x) * ρ)

using r apply (mat-assoc d) using wc by auto

also have ... = trace (1_m d * ρ) using wc inverts-mat-def inverts-mat-symm
 adjoint-dim by auto

also have ... = trace ρ using r by auto

finally have fst: trace (x * ρ * adjoint x) = trace ρ by auto

moreover have positive (x * ρ * adjoint x) using positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint
 r pdo wc unfolding partial-density-operator-def by auto

moreover have x * ρ * adjoint x ∈ carrier-mat d d using r wc adjoint-dim
 index-mult-mat by auto

ultimately show ?thesis by auto

qed

qed

next

case (Seq x1 x2a)

then show ?case

proof –

assume dx1: (∧ ρ. well-com x1 ⇒ ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ⇒ partial-density-operator
 ρ ⇒ positive (denote x1 ρ) ∧ trace (denote x1 ρ) ≤ trace ρ ∧ denote x1 ρ ∈ car-
 rier-mat d d)

and $dx2a$: ($\bigwedge \rho$. $well-com\ x2a \implies \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d \implies partial_density_operator\ \rho \implies positive\ (denote\ x2a\ \rho) \wedge trace\ (denote\ x2a\ \rho) \leq trace\ \rho \wedge denote\ x2a\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$)

and wc : $well-com\ (Seq\ x1\ x2a)$ **and** r : $\rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$ **and** pdo : $partial_density_operator\ \rho$

show $positive\ (denote\ (Seq\ x1\ x2a)\ \rho) \wedge trace\ (denote\ (Seq\ x1\ x2a)\ \rho) \leq trace\ \rho \wedge denote\ (Seq\ x1\ x2a)\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$

proof –

have ptc : $positive\ (denote\ x1\ \rho) \wedge trace\ (denote\ x1\ \rho) \leq trace\ \rho \wedge denote\ x1\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$

using $wc\ r\ pdo\ dx1$ **by** *auto*

then have $partial_density_operator\ (denote\ x1\ \rho)$ **using** $pdo\ unfolding\ partial_density_operator_def$ **by** *auto*

then show $?thesis$ **using** $ptc\ dx2a\ wc\ dual_order.trans$ **by** *auto*

qed

qed

next

case ($Measure\ x1\ x2a\ x3a$)

then show $?case$ **using** $denote_measure_positive_trace_dim$ **by** *auto*

next

case ($While\ x1\ x2a$)

then show $?case$

proof –

have $adjoint\ (x1\ 0) * (x1\ 0) + adjoint\ (x1\ 1) * (x1\ 1) = 1_m\ d$

using $measurement_id2\ While$ **by** *auto*

moreover have ($\bigwedge \rho$. $\rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d \implies partial_density_operator\ \rho \implies positive\ (denote\ x2a\ \rho) \wedge trace\ (denote\ x2a\ \rho) \leq trace\ \rho \wedge denote\ x2a\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$)

using $While$ **by** *fastforce*

moreover have $x1\ 0 \in carrier_mat\ d\ d \wedge x1\ 1 \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$

using $measurement_dim\ While$ **by** *fastforce*

ultimately have $denote_while\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2a)\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$

$\wedge$

$positive\ (denote_while\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2a)\ \rho) \wedge$

$trace\ (denote_while\ (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (denote\ x2a)\ \rho) \leq trace\ \rho$

using $denote_while_dim_positive[of\ x1\ 0\ x1\ 1\ denote\ x2a\ \rho]$ $While$ **by** *fastforce*

then show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

qed

lemma $denote_dim_pdo$:

$well-com\ S \implies \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d \implies partial_density_operator\ \rho$

$\implies (denote\ S\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d) \wedge (partial_density_operator\ (denote\ S\ \rho))$

using $denote_positive_trace_dim\ unfolding\ partial_density_operator_def$ **by** *fastforce*

lemma $denote_dim$:

$well-com\ S \implies \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d \implies partial_density_operator\ \rho$

$\implies (denote\ S\ \rho \in carrier_mat\ d\ d)$

using *denote-positive-trace-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *denote-trace*:
well-com $S \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$
 $\implies \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$
using *denote-positive-trace-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *denote-partial-density-operator*:
assumes *well-com* S *partial-density-operator* ϱ $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
shows *partial-density-operator* $(\text{denote } S \ \varrho)$
using *assms* *denote-positive-trace-dim* **unfolding** *partial-density-operator-def*
using *dual-order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq*:
assumes $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
 $x1 \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
 $x1 \ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
partial-density-operator ϱ **and**
 wc : *well-com* $x2$ **and** mea : *measurement* $d \ 2 \ x1$
shows *matrix-seq* $d \ (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho))$
 $n \ \varrho)$
proof –
let $?A = x1 \ 0$ **and** $?B = x1 \ 1$
have $dx2: \bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies$
 $\text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2)$
 $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *denote-positive-trace-dim* wc **by** *auto*
have $lo1: \text{adjoint } ?A * ?A + \text{adjoint } ?B * ?B = 1_m \ d$ **using** *measurement-id2*
assms **by** *auto*
have $\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ n \in$
 $\text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *assms* $dx2$
by *(metis denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim)*
moreover **have** $(\forall n. \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n}$
 $(x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ n))$
using *assms* $dx2 \ lo1$
by *(metis denote-while-n-sum-partial-density)*
moreover **have** $(\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote}$
 $x2) \ n \ \varrho) \ n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) (x1 \ 1) (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho)$
 $(\text{Suc } n))$
using *assms* $dx2 \ lo1$
by *(metis denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le)*
ultimately **show** *?thesis*
unfolding *matrix-seq-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *denote-while-n-add*:
assumes $M0: x1 \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**

M1: $x1\ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and**
wc: *well-com* $x2$ **and** **mea:** *measurement* $d\ 2\ x1$ **and**
DS: $(\bigwedge \varrho_1\ \varrho_2. \varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \leq 1 \implies \text{denote } x2\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
 $= \text{denote } x2\ \varrho_1 + \text{denote } x2\ \varrho_2)$
shows $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \leq 1 \implies$
 $\text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ k\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ k\ \varrho_1 + \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ k\ \varrho_2$
proof (*auto*, *induct* k *arbitrary*: $\varrho_1\ \varrho_2$)
case 0
then show *?case*
apply *auto* **using** $M0$ **by** (*mat-assoc* d)
next
case (*Suc* k)
then show *?case*
proof –
let $?A = x1\ 0$ **and** $?B = x1\ 1$
have $dx2: (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
using *denote-positive-trace-dim wc* **by** *auto*
have $lo1: \text{adjoint } ?B * ?B \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat* **assms** **by** *auto*
have $dim1: x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms* Suc **by** (*metis* *adjoint-dim* *mult-carrier-mat*)
moreover **have** $pdo1: \text{partial-density-operator } (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
using *pdo-close-under-measurement* *assms*(2) $Suc(2,4)$ $lo1$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $dimr1: \text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
using $dx2$ **by** *auto*
have $dim2: x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms* Suc **by** (*metis* *adjoint-dim* *mult-carrier-mat*)
moreover **have** $pdo2: \text{partial-density-operator } (x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
using *pdo-close-under-measurement* *assms*(2) $Suc\ lo1$ **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** $dimr2: \text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$
using $dx2$ **by** *auto*
have $pдор1: \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)))$
using *denote-partial-density-operator* *assms* $dim1\ pdo1$ **by** *auto*
have $pдор2: \text{partial-density-operator } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1)))$
using *denote-partial-density-operator* *assms* $dim2\ pdo2$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{trace } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) \leq \text{trace } (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))$
using $dx2\ dim1\ pdo1$ **by** *auto*
also **have** $tr1: \dots \leq \text{trace } \varrho_1$ **using** *trace-decrease-mul-adj* *assms* $Suc\ lo1$ **by** *auto*
finally **have** $trr1: \text{trace } (\text{denote } x2\ (x1\ 1 * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x1\ 1))) \leq \text{trace } \varrho_1$

by *auto*
have *trace* (*denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))) $\leq$ *trace* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))
using *dx2 dim2 pdo2 by auto*
also have *tr2*: ... $\leq$ *trace* ϱ_2 **using** *trace-decrease-mul-adj assms Suc lo1 by auto*
finally have *trr2*: *trace* (*denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))) $\leq$ *trace* ϱ_2
by *auto*
from *tr1 tr2 Suc* **have** *trace* ((*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) + (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))) $\leq$ *trace* ($\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$)
using *trace-add-linear trace-add-linear*[*of* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) *d* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))]
trace-add-linear[*of* ϱ_1 *d* ϱ_2]
using *dim1 dim2 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)*
then have *trless1*: *trace* ((*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) + (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))) $\leq$ 1 **using** *Suc by auto*
from *trr1 trr2 Suc* **have** *trace* (*denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) + *denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))) $\leq$ *trace* ($\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$)
using *trace-add-linear*[*of* *denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) *d* *denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))]
trace-add-linear[*of* ϱ_1 *d* ϱ_2]
using *dimr1 dimr2 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)*
then have *trless2*: *trace* (*denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) + *denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))) $\leq$ 1
using *Suc by auto*
have *x1* 1 * ($\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$) * *adjoint* (*x1* 1) = (*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) + (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))
using *M1 Suc by (mat-assoc d)*
then have *deadd*: *denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ($\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$) * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) =
denote *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_1 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1)) + *denote* *x2* (*x1* 1 * ϱ_2 * *adjoint* (*x1* 1))
using *assms(5) dim1 dim2 pdo1 pdo2 trless1 by auto*
from *dimr1 dimr2 pdor1 pdor2 trless2 Suc(1) deadd* **show** *?thesis by auto*
qed
qed

lemma *denote-while-add*:

assumes *r1*: $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
r2: $\varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
M0: *x1* 0 $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
M1: *x1* 1 $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and**
pdo1: *partial-density-operator* ϱ_1 **and**
pdo2: *partial-density-operator* ϱ_2 **and** *tr12*: *trace* ($\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$) $\leq$ 1 **and**
wc: *well-com* *x2* **and** *mea*: *measurement* *d* 2 *x1* **and**
DS: ($\bigwedge \varrho_1 \varrho_2. \varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies$
partial-density-operator $\varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \leq 1 \implies \text{denote } x2 \ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
 $= \text{denote } x2 \ \varrho_1 + \text{denote } x2 \ \varrho_2$)
shows

$\text{denote-while } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote-while } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho_1 + \text{denote-while } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho_2$

proof –

let $?A = x1\ 0$ **and** $?B = x1\ 1$
have $dx2: (\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2)\ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
using *denote-positive-trace-dim wc* **by** *auto*
have $lo1: \text{adjoint } ?A * ?A + \text{adjoint } ?B * ?B = 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-id2* **assms** **by** *auto*
have $pdo12: \text{partial-density-operator } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$ **using** *pdo1 pdo2 unfolding partial-density-operator-def* **using** *tr12 positive-add* **assms** **by** *auto*
have $ms1: \text{matrix-seq } d\ (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1))$
using *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq* **assms** **by** *auto*
have $ms2: \text{matrix-seq } d\ (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2))$
using *denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq* **assms** **by** *auto*
have $dim1: (\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
using *assms dx2*
by (*metis denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim*)
have $dim2: (\forall n. \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } (x1\ 0)\ (x1\ 1)\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d)$
using *assms dx2*
by (*metis denote-while-n-dim matrix-sum-dim*)
have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n) + \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n \leq \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
for n
proof –
have $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho_1$
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace dx2 lo1* **assms** **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n) \leq \text{trace } \varrho_2$
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace dx2 lo1* **assms** **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *trace-add-linear dim1 dim2*
by (*metis add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1) r1 r2*)
qed
then have $\forall n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1)\ n) + \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2)\ n \leq 1$
using *assms(7) dual-order.trans* **by** *blast*
then have $lladd: \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1))\ n) + (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2))\ n = \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_1))\ n + \text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-n } ?A\ ?B\ (\text{denote } x2)\ n\ \varrho_2))\ n$

$n \varrho_2))$
using *lower-lub-add ms1 ms2 by auto*

have *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2))$
 $m =$
 $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ ?A \ ?B \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho_1) \ m + \text{matrix-sum } d$
 $(\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ ?A \ ?B \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho_2) \ m$
for m
proof –
have ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ k \ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) \in$
carrier-mat d d)
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 pdo12 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ k$
 $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have ($\bigwedge k. k < m \implies \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ k$
 $\varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$
using *denote-while-n-dim dx2 assms measurement-dim by auto*
moreover have ($\forall k. k < m.$
 $\text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ k \ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1$
 $0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ k \ \varrho_1 + \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ k \ \varrho_2)$
using *denote-while-n-add assms by auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *matrix-sum-add[of m* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n$
 $(\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)) \ d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho_1)$
 $(\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho_2)]$ **by auto**
qed
then have *matrix-seq.lower-lub* (*matrix-sum d* ($\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ (x1 \ 0) \ (x1$
 $1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2))) =$
 $\text{matrix-seq.lower-lub } (\lambda n. (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ ?A \ ?B \ (\text{denote}$
 $x2) \ n \ \varrho_1)) \ n + (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda n. \text{denote-while-}n \ ?A \ ?B \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho_2)) \ n)$
using *lladd by presburger*
then show *?thesis unfolding denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def using lladd*
by auto
qed

lemma *denote-add:*
 $\text{well-com } S \implies \varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies$
 $\text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_1 \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho_2 \implies \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$
 $\leq 1 \implies$
 $\text{denote } S \ (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) = \text{denote } S \ \varrho_1 + \text{denote } S \ \varrho_2$
proof (*induction arbitrary: $\varrho_1 \ \varrho_2$*)
case *SKIP*
then show *?case by auto*
next
case (*Utrans U*)
then show *?case by (clarsimp, mat-assoc d)*
next
case (*Seq x1 x2a*)

```

then show ?case
proof -
  have dim1: denote x1  $\varrho_1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using denote-positive-trace-dim
Seq by auto
  have dim2: denote x1  $\varrho_2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using denote-positive-trace-dim
Seq by auto
  have trace (denote x1  $\varrho_1$ )  $\leq$  trace  $\varrho_1$  using denote-positive-trace-dim Seq by
auto
  moreover have trace (denote x1  $\varrho_2$ )  $\leq$  trace  $\varrho_2$  using denote-positive-trace-dim
Seq by auto
  ultimately have tr: trace (denote x1  $\varrho_1 + \text{denote x1 } \varrho_2$ )  $\leq 1$  using Seq(4,5,8)
trace-add-linear dim1 dim2
  by (smt (verit) add-mono order-trans)

  have denote (Seq x1 x2a) ( $\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$ ) = denote x2a (denote x1 ( $\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$ )) by
auto
  moreover have denote x1 ( $\varrho_1 + \varrho_2$ ) = denote x1  $\varrho_1 + \text{denote x1 } \varrho_2$  using
Seq by auto
  moreover have partial-density-operator (denote x1  $\varrho_1$ ) using denote-partial-density-operator
Seq by auto
  moreover have partial-density-operator (denote x1  $\varrho_2$ ) using denote-partial-density-operator
Seq by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using Seq dim1 dim2 tr by auto
qed
next
case (Measure x1 x2a x3a)
then show ?case
proof -
  have ptc:  $\bigwedge x3aa \ \varrho. x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$ 
 $d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$ 
 $\implies \text{positive (denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace (denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa$ 
 $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using denote-positive-trace-dim Measure by auto
  then have map:  $\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \forall$ 
 $k < x1. \text{positive ((map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint (x2a } k)))$ 
 $\wedge ((\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint (x2a } k))) \in \text{carrier-mat}$ 
 $d \ d$ 
 $\wedge \text{trace ((map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint (x2a } k))) \leq \text{trace}$ 
 $(x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint (x2a } k))$ 
  using Measure map-denote-positive-trace-dim by auto

  from map have mapd1:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint (x2a } k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using Measure by auto
  from map have mapd2:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint (x2a } k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using Measure by auto
  have dim1:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies x2a \ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint (x2a } k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using well-com.simps(5) measurement-dim Measure by fastforce

```

```

have dim2:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ 
  using well-com.simps(5) measurement-dim Measure by fastforce
have  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ 
  using well-com.simps(5) measurement-dim Measure by fastforce
have lea:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k) * x2a\ k \leq_L 1_m\ d$  using measurement-
ment-le-one-mat Measure by auto
moreover have dimx:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies x2a\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  using Measure
measurement-dim by auto
ultimately have pdo12:  $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies \text{partial-density-operator } (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) \wedge \text{partial-density-operator } (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k))$ 
  using pdo-close-under-measurement Measure measurement-dim by blast

have trless:  $\text{trace } (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k) + x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) \leq 1$ 
  if k:  $k < x1$  for k
proof -
  have  $\text{trace } (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) \leq \text{trace } \varrho_1$  using trace-decrease-mul-adj
  dimx Measure lea k by auto
  moreover have  $\text{trace } (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) \leq \text{trace } \varrho_2$  using
  trace-decrease-mul-adj dimx Measure lea k by auto
  ultimately have  $\text{trace } (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k) + x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) \leq \text{trace } (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2)$ 
    using trace-add-linear dim1 dim2 Measure k
    by (metis add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring(1))
  then show ?thesis using Measure(7) by auto
qed

have dist:  $(x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) = (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) + (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k))$ 
  if k:  $k < x1$  for k
proof -
  have  $(x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) = ((x2a\ k * \varrho_1 + x2a\ k * \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k))$ 
    using mult-add-distrib-mat Measure well-com.simps(4) measurement-dim
  by (metis k)
  also have  $\dots = (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) + (x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k))$ 
    apply (mat-assoc d) using Measure k well-com.simps(4) measurement-dim
  by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

have mapadd:  $(\text{map denote } x3a\ !\ k) (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) =$ 
   $(\text{map denote } x3a\ !\ k) (x2a\ k * \varrho_1 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) + (\text{map denote } x3a\ !\ k)$ 
 $(x2a\ k * \varrho_2 * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k))$ 
  if k:  $k < x1$  for k
proof -
  have  $(\text{map denote } x3a\ !\ k) (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k)) = \text{denote } (x3a\ !\ k) (x2a\ k * (\varrho_1 + \varrho_2) * \text{adjoint } (x2a\ k))$ 

```

```

    using Measure.premis(1) k by auto
    then have mapx: (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2) * adjoint (x2a k))
= denote (x3a ! k) ((x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k)) + (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a
k)))
    using dist k by auto
    have denote (x3a ! k) ((x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k)) + (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint
(x2a k)))
      = denote (x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k)) + denote (x3a ! k) (x2a
k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a k))
    using Measure(1,2) dim1 dim2 pdo12 trless k
    by (simp add: list-all-length)
    then show ?thesis
    using Measure.premis(1) mapx k by auto
qed
    then have mapd12:( $\bigwedge k. k < x1 \implies$  (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2)
* adjoint (x2a k))  $\in$  carrier-mat d d)
    using mapd1 mapd2 by auto

    have matrix-sum d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2) * adjoint (x2a
k))) x1 =
      matrix-sum d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k))) x1
+
      matrix-sum d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a k))) x1
    using matrix-sum-add[of x1 (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * (ρ1 + ρ2) *
adjoint (x2a k))) d (λk. (map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ1 * adjoint (x2a k))) (λk.
(map denote x3a ! k) (x2a k * ρ2 * adjoint (x2a k)))]
    using mapd12 mapd1 mapd2 mapadd by auto
    then show ?thesis using denote.simps(4) unfolding denote-measure-def by
auto
qed
next
case (While x1 x2)
then show ?case
  apply auto using denote-while-add measurement-dim by auto
qed

```

lemma *multfact*:

```

  fixes c:: real and a:: complex and b:: complex
  assumes  $c \geq 0$   $a \leq b$ 
  shows  $c * a \leq c * b$ 
  using assms mult-le-cancel-left-pos unfolding less-eq-complex-def by force

```

lemma *denote-while-n-scale*:

```

  fixes c:: real
  assumes  $c \geq 0$ 
    measurement d 2 x1 well-com x2
    ( $\bigwedge \rho. \rho \in$  carrier-mat d d  $\implies$  partial-density-operator  $\rho \implies$  trace (c  $\cdot_m$   $\rho$ )  $\leq 1$ 
 $\implies$ 

```

$\text{denote } x2 \ (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m \text{denote } x2 \ \varrho$
shows $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{trace } (c \cdot_m \varrho) \leq 1 \implies$
 $\text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m$
 $(\text{denote-while-n } (x1 \ 0) \ (x1 \ 1) \ (\text{denote } x2) \ n \ \varrho)$
proof (*auto, induct n arbitrary: ϱ*)
case 0
then show ?case
apply *auto* **apply** (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *assms measurement-dim* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc n*)
then show ?case
proof –
let ?A = *x1 0* **and** ?B = *x1 1*
have *dx2: ($\bigwedge \varrho. \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho \implies \text{positive } ((\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } ((\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge (\text{denote } x2) \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$)*
using *denote-positive-trace-dim assms* **by** *auto*
have *lo1: adjoint ?B * ?B $\leq_L 1_m \ d$* **using** *measurement-le-one-mat assms* **by** *auto*
have *dim1: $x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$* **using** *assms(2) Suc(2) measurement-dim*
by (*meson adjoint-dim mult-carrier-mat one-less-numeral-iff semiring-norm(76)*)
moreover have *pdo1: partial-density-operator ($x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)$)*
using *pdo-close-under-measurement assms Suc lo1 measurement-dim*
by (*metis One-nat-def lessI numeral-2-eq-2*)
ultimately have *dimr: $\text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$*
using *dx2* **by** *auto*
have *pdor: partial-density-operator ($\text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1))$)*
using *denote-partial-density-operator assms dim1 pdo1* **by** *auto*
have *trace ($\text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)) \leq \text{trace } (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1))$)*
using *dx2 dim1 pdo1* **by** *auto*
also have *trr1: $\dots \leq \text{trace } \varrho$* **using** *trace-decrease-mul-adj assms Suc lo1 measurement-dim* **by** *auto*
finally have *trr: $\text{trace } (\text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1))) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$* **by** *auto*
moreover have *trace ($c \cdot_m \text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)) = c * \text{trace } (\text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)))$)*
using *trace-smult dimr* **by** *auto*
moreover have *trcr: $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c * \text{trace } \varrho$* **using** *trace-smult Suc* **by** *auto*
ultimately have *trace ($c \cdot_m \text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)) \leq \text{trace } (c \cdot_m \varrho)$)*
using *assms(1) state-sig.mulfact* **by** *auto*
then have *trrc: $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m \text{denote } x2 \ (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1))) \leq 1$* **using** *Suc* **by** *auto*
have *trace ($c \cdot_m (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1)) = c * \text{trace } (x1 \ 1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x1 \ 1))$)*

```

(x1 1))
  using trace-smult dim1 by auto
  then have trace (c ·m (x1 1 * ρ * adjoint (x1 1))) ≤ trace (c ·m ρ) using
trcr trr1 assms(1)
  using state-sig.mulfact by auto
  then have trrle: trace (c ·m (x1 1 * ρ * adjoint (x1 1))) ≤ 1 using Suc by
auto
  have x1 1 * (complex-of-real c ·m ρ) * adjoint (x1 1) = complex-of-real c ·m
(x1 1 * ρ * adjoint (x1 1))
  apply (mat-assoc d) using Suc.prem(1) assms measurement-dim by auto
  then have denote x2 (x1 1 * (complex-of-real c ·m ρ) * adjoint (x1 1)) =
(denote x2 (c ·m (x1 1 * (ρ) * adjoint (x1 1))))
  by auto
  moreover have denote x2 (c ·m (x1 1 * ρ * adjoint (x1 1))) = c ·m denote
x2 (x1 1 * ρ * adjoint (x1 1))
  using assms(4) dim1 pdo1 trrle by auto
  ultimately have denote x2 (x1 1 * (complex-of-real c ·m ρ) * adjoint (x1 1))
= c ·m denote x2 (x1 1 * ρ * adjoint (x1 1))
  using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using Suc dimr pdor trrc by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma denote-while-scale:

```

fixes c:: real
assumes ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d
  partial-density-operator ρ
  trace (c ·m ρ) ≤ 1 c ≥ 0
  measurement d 2 x1 well-com x2
(⋀ ρ. ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ⇒ partial-density-operator ρ ⇒ trace (c ·m ρ) ≤ 1
⇒
  denote x2 (c ·m ρ) = c ·m denote x2 ρ)
shows denote-while (x1 0) (x1 1) (denote x2) (c ·m ρ) = c ·m denote-while (x1
0) (x1 1) (denote x2) ρ
proof -
  let ?A = x1 0 and ?B = x1 1
  have dx2:(⋀ ρ. ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ⇒ partial-density-operator ρ ⇒ positive
((denote x2) ρ) ∧ trace ((denote x2) ρ) ≤ trace ρ ∧ (denote x2) ρ ∈ carrier-mat d
d)
  using denote-positive-trace-dim assms by auto
  have lo1: adjoint ?A * ?A + adjoint ?B * ?B = 1m d using measurement-id2
assms by auto
  have ms: matrix-seq d (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n ?A ?B (denote x2) n
ρ))
  using denote-while-n-sum-mat-seq assms measurement-dim by auto

  have trcless: trace (c ·m matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (x1 0) (x1 1) (denote
x2) n ρ) n) ≤ 1 for n
  proof -

```

have *dimr*: *matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ)
 $n \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$
using *assms* *dx2* *denote-while-n-dim* *matrix-sum-dim*
using *matrix-seq.dim* *ms* **by** *auto*
have *trace* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*) $\leq$
trace ϱ
using *denote-while-n-sum-trace* *dx2* *lo1* *assms* *measurement-dim* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *trace* (*c* $\cdot_m$ *matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1)
(*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*) = *c* * *trace* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1)
(*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*)
using *trace-smult* *dimr* **by** *auto*
moreover **have** *trace* (*c* $\cdot_m$ ϱ) = *c* * *trace* ϱ **using** *trace-smult* *assms* **by** *auto*

ultimately **have** *trace* (*c* $\cdot_m$ *matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1)
(*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*) $\leq$ *trace* (*c* $\cdot_m$ ϱ)
using *assms*(4) **by** (*simp* *add*: *ordered-comm-semiring-class.comm-mult-left-mono*
less-eq-complex-def)
then **show** ?*thesis*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

have *llscale*: *matrix-seq.lowner-lub* ($\lambda n.$ *c* $\cdot_m$ (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n*
?*A* ?*B* (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *n*)
= *c* $\cdot_m$ *matrix-seq.lowner-lub* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote*
x2) *n* ϱ)
using *lower-lub-scale*[*of* *d* (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1)
(*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *c*] *ms* *trcless* *assms*(4) **by** *auto*
have *matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *n* (*complex-of-real*
c $\cdot_m$ ϱ)) *m*
= *c* $\cdot_m$ (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ) *m*
for *m*
proof –
have *dim*: ($\bigwedge k.$ *k* < *m* $\implies$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *k* $\varrho \in$
carrier-mat *d* *d*)
using *denote-while-n-dim* *dx2* *assms* *measurement-dim* **by** *auto*
then **have** *dimr*: ($\bigwedge k.$ *k* < *m* $\implies$ *c* $\cdot_m$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote*
x2) *k* $\varrho \in$ *carrier-mat* *d* *d*)
using *smult-carrier-mat* **by** *auto*
have $\forall n < m.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *n* (*complex-of-real* *c* $\cdot_m$
 ϱ) = *c* $\cdot_m$ (*denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ)
using *denote-while-n-scale* *assms* **by** *auto*
then **have** (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *c* $\cdot_m$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ))
m =
matrix-sum *d* ($\lambda n.$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0) (*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *n* (*complex-of-real*
c $\cdot_m$ ϱ)) *m*
using *matrix-sum-cong*[*of* *m* $\lambda n.$ *complex-of-real* *c* $\cdot_m$ *denote-while-n* (*x1* 0)
(*x1* 1) (*denote* *x2*) *n* ϱ] *dimr*
by *fastforce*
moreover **have** (*matrix-sum* *d* ($\lambda n.$ *c* $\cdot_m$ *denote-while-n* ?*A* ?*B* (*denote* *x2*) *n*

```

    ρ)) m = c ·m (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n ?A ?B (denote x2) n ρ)) m
      using matrix-sum-smult[of m (λn. denote-while-n (x1 0) (x1 1) (denote x2)
n ρ) d c] dim by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
    then have matrix-seq.lower-lub (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n (x1 0) (x1
1) (denote x2) n (complex-of-real c ·m ρ))) =
      matrix-seq.lower-lub (λn. c ·m (matrix-sum d (λn. denote-while-n ?A ?B
(denote x2) n ρ)) n)
      by meson
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def using llscale by auto
    qed

lemma denote-scale:
  fixes c :: real
  assumes c ≥ 0
  shows well-com S ⇒ ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ⇒ partial-density-operator ρ ⇒
    trace (c ·m ρ) ≤ 1 ⇒ denote S (c ·m ρ) = c ·m denote S ρ
proof (induction arbitrary: ρ)
  case SKIP
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Utrans x)
  then show ?case
    unfolding denote.simps apply (mat-assoc d) using Utrans by auto
next
  case (Seq x1 x2a)
  then show ?case
  proof -
    have cd: denote x1 (c ·m ρ) = c ·m denote x1 ρ using Seq by auto
    have x1: denote x1 ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d ∧ partial-density-operator (denote x1
ρ) ∧ trace (denote x1 ρ) ≤ trace ρ
      using denote-positive-trace-dim Seq denote-partial-density-operator by auto
    have trace (c ·m denote x1 ρ) = c * trace (denote x1 ρ) using trace-smult x1
by auto
    also have ... ≤ c * trace ρ using x1 assms
      by (metis Seq.premis cd denote-positive-trace-dim partial-density-operator-def
positive-scale smult-carrier-mat trace-smult well-com.simps(3))
    also have ... ≤ 1 using Seq(6) trace-smult Seq(4)
      by (simp add: trace-smult)
    finally have trace (c ·m denote x1 ρ) ≤ 1 by auto
    then have denote x2a (c ·m denote x1 ρ) = c ·m denote x2a (denote x1 ρ)
using x1 Seq by auto
    then show ?thesis using denote.simps(4) cd by auto
  qed
next
  case (Measure x1 x2a x3a)
  then show ?case

```

```

proof –
  have ptc:  $\bigwedge x3aa \ \varrho. \ x3aa \in \text{set } x3a \implies \text{well-com } x3aa \implies \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$ 
 $d \implies \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$ 
 $\implies \text{positive } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } x3aa \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } x3aa$ 
 $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using denote-positive-trace-dim Measure by auto
  have cad:  $x2a \ k * (c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k) = c \cdot_m (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a$ 
 $k))$ 
  if k:  $k < x1$  for k
    apply (mat-assoc d) using well-com.simps Measure measurement-dim k by
auto
    have  $\forall k < x1. \ x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
    using Measure(2) measurement-dim Measure(3) by fastforce
    have lea:  $\forall k < x1. \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k) * x2a \ k \leq_L 1_m \ d$  using measurement-le-one-mat
Measure(2) by auto
    then have pdox:  $\forall \ k < x1. \ \text{partial-density-operator } (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a$ 
 $k))$ 
    using pdo-close-under-measurement Measure(2,3,4) measurement-dim
by (meson state-sig.well-com.simps(4))
    have x2aa:  $\forall \ k < x1. \ (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using
Measure(2,3) measurement-dim by fastforce
    have dimm:  $(\bigwedge k. \ k < x1 \implies (\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a$ 
 $k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$ 
    using map-denote-positive-trace-dim Measure(2,3,4) ptc by auto
    then have dimcm:  $(\bigwedge k. \ k < x1 \implies c \cdot_m (\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d)$ 
using smult-carrier-mat by auto

  have tra:  $\forall \ k < x1. \ \text{trace } ((x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$ 
using trace-decrease-mul-adj Measure lea measurement-dim by auto

  have tra1:  $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \leq 1$  if k:  $k < x1$  for k
  proof –
    have trle:  $\text{trace } (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) \leq \text{trace } \varrho$  using tra k by auto
    have  $\text{trace } (c \cdot_m (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) = c * \text{trace } ((x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$ 
using trace-smult x2aa k by auto
    also have  $\dots \leq c * \text{trace } \varrho$ 
    using trle assms mulfact by auto
    also have  $\dots \leq 1$  using Measure(3,5) trace-smult by metis
    finally show ?thesis by auto
  qed

  have  $(\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * (c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$ 
 $= c \cdot_m (\text{map denote } x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$  if k:  $k < x1$  for k
  proof –
    have  $\text{denote } (x3a \ ! \ k) (x2a \ k * (c \cdot_m \varrho) * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) = \text{denote } (x3a \ ! \ k)$ 
 $(c \cdot_m (x2a \ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$ 
using cad k by auto

```

also have $\dots = c \cdot_m \text{denote } (x3a \ ! \ k) \ (\ (x2a \ k \ * \ \varrho \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
using *Measure*(1,2) *pdor* *x2aa* *tra1* *k* **using** *measure-well-com* **by** *auto*
finally have $\text{denote } (x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)) = \text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \text{denote } (x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ \varrho \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))$
by *auto*
then show *?thesis* **using** *Measure.prem*s(1) *k* **by** *auto*
qed

then have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ c \cdot_m \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ \varrho \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \ x1 =$
 $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ (c \cdot_m \varrho) \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $x1$
using *matrix-sum-cong*[of *x1* ($\lambda k. \ \text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ \varrho \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $(\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ (\text{complex-of-real } c \cdot_m \varrho) \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$]
dimcm **by** *auto*
then have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ (c \cdot_m \varrho) \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k))) \ x1 =$
 $c \cdot_m \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ \varrho \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$
 $x1$
using *matrix-sum-smult*[of *x1* ($\lambda k. \ (\text{map } \text{denote } x3a \ ! \ k) \ (x2a \ k \ * \ \varrho \ * \ \text{adjoint } (x2a \ k)))$]
d *c*] *dim* **by** *auto*
then have $\text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ (c \cdot_m \varrho) = c \cdot_m \text{denote } (\text{Measure } x1 \ x2a \ x3a) \ \varrho$
using *denote.simps*(4)[of *x1* *x2a* *x3a* $c \cdot_m \varrho$]
using *denote.simps*(4)[of *x1* *x2a* *x3a* ϱ] **unfolding** *denote-measure-def* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case (*While* *x1* *x2a*)
then show *?case*
apply *auto*
using *denote-while-scale* *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *limit-mat-denote-while-n*:

assumes *wc*: *well-com* (*While* *M* *S*) **and** *dr*: $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *pdor*: *partial-density-operator* ϱ

shows $\text{limit-mat } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \ \text{denote-while-n } (M \ 0) \ (M \ 1) \ (\text{denote } S) \ k) \ \varrho)) \ (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho) \ d$

proof –

have *m*: *measurement* $d \ 2 \ M$ **using** *wc* **by** *auto*

then have *dM0*: $M \ 0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *dM1*: $M \ 1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *id*: $\text{adjoint } (M \ 0) \ * \ (M \ 0) + \text{adjoint } (M \ 1) \ * \ (M \ 1) = 1_m \ d$

using *measurement-id2* *m* *measurement-def* **by** *auto*

have *wcs*: *well-com* *S* **using** *wc* **by** *auto*

have *DS*: $\text{positive } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho) \wedge \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } \varrho \wedge \text{denote } S \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

if $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** *partial-density-operator* ϱ **for** ϱ

```

using wcs that denote-positive-trace-dim by auto

have sumdd: ( $\forall n.$  matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote  $S$ )  $n$ 
 $\varrho$ )  $n \in \text{carrier-mat } d$   $d$ )
  if  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$   $d$  and partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 
  using denote-while-n-sum-dim  $dM0$   $dM1$   $DS$  that by auto
  have sumtr:  $\forall n.$  trace (matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote
 $S$ )  $n$   $\varrho$ )  $n$ )  $\leq \text{trace } \varrho$ 
  if  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$   $d$  and partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 
  using denote-while-n-sum-trace[OF  $dM0$   $dM1$  id  $DS$ ] that by auto
  have sumpar: ( $\forall n.$  partial-density-operator (matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n
( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote  $S$ )  $n$   $\varrho$ )  $n$ ))
  if  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$   $d$  and partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 
  using denote-while-n-sum-partial-density[OF  $dM0$   $dM1$  id  $DS$ ] that by auto
  have sumle: ( $\forall n.$  matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote  $S$ )  $n$ 
 $\varrho$ )  $n \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote  $S$ )  $n$   $\varrho$ ) (Suc  $n$ ))
  if  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$   $d$  and partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 
  using denote-while-n-sum-lowner-le[OF  $dM0$   $dM1$  id  $DS$ ] that by auto
  have seqd: matrix-seq  $d$  (matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote
 $S$ )  $n$   $\varrho$ ))
  if  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d$   $d$  and partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 
  using matrix-seq-def sumdd sumpar sumle that by auto

  have matrix-seq.lowner-is-lub (matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1)
(denote  $S$ )  $n$   $\varrho$ ))
  (matrix-seq.lowner-lub (matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda n.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote
 $S$ )  $n$   $\varrho$ )))
  using  $DS$  lowner-is-lub-matrix-sum  $dM0$   $dM1$  id  $pdor$   $dr$  by auto
  then show limit-mat (matrix-sum  $d$  ( $\lambda k.$  denote-while-n ( $M$  0) ( $M$  1) (denote
 $S$ )  $k$   $\varrho$ )) (denote (While  $M$   $S$ )  $\varrho$ )  $d$ 
  unfolding denote.simps denote-while-def matrix-inf-sum-def using matrix-seq.lowner-lub-is-limit[OF
seqd[OF  $dr$   $pdor$ ]] by auto
qed

end

end

```

4 Partial state

theory *Partial-State*

imports *Quantum-Program Deep-Learning.Tensor-Matricization*
begin

lemma *nths-intersection-eq*:

assumes $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq A$

shows $nths \ xs \ B = nths \ xs \ (A \cap B)$

proof –

have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x < \text{length } xs$

by (metis atLeastLessThan-iff atLeastLessThan-upt in-set-zip nth-mem)
 then have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in A$ using *assms* by
auto
 then have *eqp*: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in B = (\text{snd } x \in (A \cap B))$ by *simp*
 then have *filter* $(\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in B) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) = \text{filter } (\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in (A \cap B)) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$
 using *filter-cong*[*of* $(\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]), OF - \text{eqp}$]
 by *auto*
 then show $\text{nths } xs \ B = \text{nths } xs \ (A \cap B)$ unfolding *nths-def* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *nths-minus-eq*:

assumes $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq A$
 shows $\text{nths } xs \ (-B) = \text{nths } xs \ (A - B)$
 proof -
 have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x < \text{length } xs$
 by (metis atLeastLessThan-iff atLeastLessThan-upt in-set-zip nth-mem)
 then have $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in A$ using *assms* by
auto
 then have *eqp*: $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set } (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) \implies \text{snd } x \in (-B) = (\text{snd } x \in (A - B))$ by *simp*
 then have *filter* $(\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in (-B)) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) = \text{filter } (\lambda p. \text{snd } p \in (A - B)) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs])$
 using *filter-cong*[*of* $(\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]) (\text{zip } xs \ [0..<\text{length } xs]), OF - \text{eqp}$]
 by *auto*
 then show $\text{nths } xs \ (-B) = \text{nths } xs \ (A - B)$ unfolding *nths-def* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *nths-split-complement-eq*:

assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
 and $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq A \cup B$
 shows $\text{nths } xs \ A = \text{nths } xs \ (-B)$
 proof -
 have $\text{nths } xs \ (-B) = \text{nths } xs \ (A \cup B - B)$ using *nths-minus-eq* *assms* by *auto*
 moreover have $A \cup B - B = A$ using *assms* by *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *lt-set-card-lt*:

fixes $A :: \text{nat set}$
 assumes *finite* A and $x \in A$
 shows $\text{card } \{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} < \text{card } A$
 proof -
 have $x \notin \{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\}$ by *auto*
 then have $\{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} \subseteq A - \{x\}$ by *auto*
 then have $\text{card } \{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} \leq \text{card } (A - \{x\})$
 using *card-mono* *finite-Diff*[*OF* *assms*(1)] by *auto*
 also have $\dots < \text{card } A$ using *card-Diff1-less*[*OF* *assms*] by *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed

definition *ind-in-set* **where**
ind-in-set $A\ x = \text{card } \{i. i \in A \wedge i < x\}$

lemma *bij-ind-in-set-bound*:

fixes $M :: \text{nat}$ **and** $v0 :: \text{nat set}$
assumes $\bigwedge x. f\ x = \text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x\}$
shows *bij-betw* $f\ (\{0..<M\} \cap v0)\ \{0..<\text{card } (\{0..<M\} \cap v0)\}$
unfolding *bij-betw-def*
proof
let $?dom = \{0..<M\} \cap v0$
let $?ran = \{0..<\text{card } (\{0..<M\} \cap v0)\}$
{
fix $x1\ x2 :: \text{nat}$ **assume** $x1: x1 \in ?dom$ **and** $x2: x2 \in ?dom$ **and** $f\ x1 = f\ x2$
then have $\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x1\} = \text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x2\}$ **using**
assms **by auto**
then have $\text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x1\}) = \text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x2\})$ **by auto**
moreover have $\text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x1\}) = x1$ **using** *pick-card-in-set*
 $x1$ **by auto**
moreover have $\text{pick } v0\ (\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x2\}) = x2$ **using** *pick-card-in-set*
 $x2$ **by auto**
ultimately have $x1 = x2$ **by auto**
}
then show *inj-on* $f\ ?dom$ **unfolding** *inj-on-def* **by auto**
{
fix x **assume** $x: x \in ?dom$
then have $(y \in v0 \wedge y < x) = (y \in ?dom \wedge y < x)$ **for** y **using** x **by auto**
then have $\text{card } \{y. y \in v0 \wedge y < x\} = \text{card } \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\}$ **by auto**
moreover have $\text{card } \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\} < \text{card } ?dom$ **using** x *lt-set-card-lt*[*of*
 $?dom$] **by auto**
ultimately have $f\ x \in ?ran$ **using** *assms* **by auto**
}
then have $\text{sub}: (f\ ` ?dom) \subseteq ?ran$ **by auto**
{
fix y **assume** $y: y \in ?ran$
then have $y \leq \text{card } ?dom$ **by auto**
then have $\text{pick } ?dom\ y \in ?dom$ **using** *pick-in-set-le*[*of* $y\ ?dom$] **by**
auto
then have $\text{pick } ?dom\ y < M$ **by auto**
then have $\bigwedge z. (z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y \implies z \in v0 = (z \in ?dom))$ **by auto**
then have $\{z. z \in v0 \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\} = \{z. z \in ?dom \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\}$ **by auto**
then have $\text{card } \{z. z \in v0 \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\} = \text{card } \{z. z \in ?dom \wedge z < \text{pick } ?dom\ y\}$ **by auto**
then have $f\ (\text{pick } ?dom\ y) = y$ **using** *card-pick-le*[*OF* $y \leq$] *assms* **by auto**

```

    with pyindom have  $\exists x \in ?dom. f x = y$  by auto
  }
  then have  $sup: ?ran \subseteq (f \text{ `` } ?dom)$  by fastforce
  show  $(f \text{ `` } ?dom) = ?ran$  using sub sup by auto
qed

```

lemma *ind-in-set-bound*:

```

  fixes A :: nat set and M N :: nat
  assumes  $N \geq M$ 
  shows  $ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ N \notin (ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A))$ 
proof -
  have  $\{0..<M\} \cap A \subseteq \{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$  using assms by auto
  then have  $card\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A) \leq card\ \{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$ 
    using card-mono[of  $\{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$ ] by auto
  moreover have  $ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ N = card\ \{i. i \in A \wedge i < N\}$  unfolding ind-in-set-def
  by auto
  ultimately have  $ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ N \geq card\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A)$  by auto

  moreover have  $y \in ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ (A \cap \{0..<M\}) \implies y < card\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A)$ 
  for y
  proof -
    let ?dom =  $\{0..<M\} \cap A$ 
    assume  $y \in ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ (A \cap \{0..<M\})$ 
    then obtain x where  $x \in ?dom$  and  $y: ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ x = y$  by auto
    then have  $(y \in A \wedge y < x) = (y \in ?dom \wedge y < x)$  for y using x by auto
    then have  $card\ \{y. y \in A \wedge y < x\} = card\ \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\}$  by auto
    moreover have  $card\ \{y. y \in ?dom \wedge y < x\} < card\ ?dom$  using x lt-set-card-lt[of
    ?dom] by auto
    ultimately show  $y < card\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A)$  using y unfolding ind-in-set-def
  by auto
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

```

lemma *bij-minus-subset*:

```

  bij-betw f A B  $\implies C \subseteq A \implies (f \text{ `` } A) - (f \text{ `` } C) = f \text{ `` } (A - C)$ 
  by (metis Diff-subset bij-betw-imp-inj-on bij-betw-imp-surj-on inj-on-image-set-diff)

```

lemma *ind-in-set-minus-subset-bound*:

```

  fixes A B :: nat set and M :: nat
  assumes  $B \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $(ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A)) - (ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ B) = (ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A)) \cap (ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A\ \text{``}\ (A - B))$ 
proof -
  let ?dom =  $\{0..<M\} \cap A$ 
  let ?ran =  $\{0..<card\ (\{0..<M\} \cap A)\}$ 
  let ?f =  $ind\text{-}in\text{-}set\ A$ 
  let ?C =  $A - B$ 
  have bij:  $bij\text{-}betw\ ?f\ ?dom\ ?ran$ 

```

```

    using bij-ind-in-set-bound[of ?f A M] unfolding ind-in-set-def by auto
    then have eq: ?f ' ?dom = ?ran using bij-betw-imp-surj-on by fastforce

    have (?f ' B) = (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ B)) ∪ (?f ' ({n. n ≥ M} ∩ B))
      by fastforce
    then have (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' B)
      = (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' ({n. n ≥ M} ∩ B)) - (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ B))
      by fastforce
    moreover have (?f ' ({n. n ≥ M} ∩ B)) ∩ (?f ' ?dom) = {} using ind-in-set-bound[of
M - A] by auto
    ultimately have eq1: (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' B) = (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' ({0..<M}
∩ B)) by auto
    have {0..<M} ∩ B ⊆ ?dom using assms by auto
    then have (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ B)) = ?f ' (?dom - ({0..<M} ∩
B))
      using bij-bij-minus-subset[of ?f] by auto
    also have ... = ?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ ?C) by auto
    finally have eq2: (?f ' ?dom) - (?f ' B) = ?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ ?C) using eq1 by
auto

    have (?f ' ?C) = (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ ?C)) ∪ (?f ' ({n. n ≥ M} ∩ ?C)) by fastforce
    moreover have (?f ' ({n. n ≥ M} ∩ ?C)) ∩ (?f ' ?dom) = {} using ind-in-set-bound[of
M - A] by auto
    ultimately have eq3: (ind-in-set A ' ?dom) ∩ (?f ' ?C) = (ind-in-set A ' ?dom)
∩ (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ ?C)) by auto

    have {0..<M} ∩ ?C ⊆ ?dom using assms by auto
    then have (ind-in-set A ' ?dom) ∩ (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩ ?C)) = (?f ' ({0..<M} ∩
?C)) using bij by fastforce
    then show ?thesis using eq2 eq3 by auto
qed

lemma ind-in-set-iff:
  fixes A B :: nat set
  assumes x ∈ A and B ⊆ A
  shows ind-in-set A x ∈ (ind-in-set A ' B) = (x ∈ B)
proof
  have lemm: card {i. i ∈ A ∧ i < (x::nat)} = card {i. i ∈ A ∧ i < (y::nat)}
  ⇒ x ∈ A ⇒ y ∈ A ⇒ x = y for A x y
  by (metis (full-types) pick-card-in-set)
  {
    assume ind-in-set A x ∈ (ind-in-set A ' B)
    then have ∃ y ∈ B. card {i ∈ A. i < x} = card {i ∈ A. i < y} unfolding
ind-in-set-def by auto
    then obtain y where y1: y ∈ B and ceq: card {i ∈ A. i < x} = card {i ∈
A. i < y} by auto
    with y1 assms have y0: y ∈ A by auto
    then have x = y using lemm[OF ceq] y0 assms by auto
    then show x ∈ B using y1 by auto
  }

```

```

}
qed (simp add: ind-in-set-def)

lemma nth-reencode-eq:
  assumes  $B \subseteq A$ 
  shows  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B) = \text{nths } xs \ B$ 
proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (snoc x xs)
  have seteq:  $\{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\} = \{i. i \in A \wedge i < \text{length } xs\}$  by auto

  show ?case
  proof (cases  $\text{length } xs \in B$ )
    case True
    have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ B = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ \text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in B\}$  using
    nth-append[of xs] by auto
    moreover have  $\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in B\} = [x]$  using nth-singleton True
    by auto
    ultimately have eqT1:  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ B = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ [x]$  by auto

    then have  $\text{length } xs \in A$  using True assms by auto
    then have  $\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in A\} = [x]$  using nth-singleton by auto
    moreover have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ A = \text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ \text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in A\}$ 
    A} using nth-append[of xs] by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ A = \text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]$  by auto
    then have eqT2:  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ A) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B) = \text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B)$  by auto
    have eqT3:  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B) = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ (\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B)\})$ 
    using nth-append[of nth xs A] snoc by auto

    have  $\text{ind-in-set } A \ (\text{length } xs) = \text{card } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\}$  using
    ind-in-set-def seteq by auto
    moreover have  $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) = \text{card } \{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\}$  using
    length-nths by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in \text{ind-in-set } A \ (\text{length } xs)$  by auto
    moreover have  $\text{ind-in-set } A \ (\text{length } xs) \in \text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B$  using True by
    auto
    ultimately have  $\text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in \text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B$  by auto
    then have  $(\text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } (\text{nths } xs \ A) \in (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B)\}) = [x]$ 
    using nth-singleton by auto
    then have  $\text{nths } (\text{nths } xs \ A \ @ \ [x]) \ (\text{ind-in-set } A \ ' B) = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ [x]$  using
    eqT3 by auto
    then show ?thesis using eqT1 eqT2 by auto
  next
  case False
  have  $\text{nths } (xs \ @ \ [x]) \ B = \text{nths } xs \ B \ @ \ \text{nths } [x] \ \{l. l + \text{length } xs \in B\}$  using

```

```

nths-append[of xs] by auto
  moreover have nths [x] {l. l + length xs ∈ B} = [] using nths-singleton False
by auto
  ultimately have eqT1: nths (xs @ [x]) B = nths xs B by auto

  have nths (nths (xs @ [x]) A) (ind-in-set A ‘ B) = nths xs B
  proof (cases length xs ∈ A)
    case True
      then have nths [x] {l. l + length xs ∈ A} = [x] using nths-singleton by auto
      moreover have nths (xs @ [x]) A = nths xs A @ nths [x] {l. l + length xs ∈
A} using nths-append[of xs] by auto
      ultimately have nths (xs @ [x]) A = nths xs A @ [x] by auto
      then have nths (nths (xs @ [x]) A) (ind-in-set A ‘ B) = nths (nths xs A @
[x]) (ind-in-set A ‘ B) by auto
      then have eqT2: nths (nths (xs @ [x]) A) (ind-in-set A ‘ B)
        = nths xs B @ (nths [x] {l. l + length (nths xs A) ∈ (ind-in-set A ‘ B)})
        using nths-append[of nths xs A] snoc by auto

      have length (nths xs A) ∈ (ind-in-set A ‘ B) ⇒ length xs ∈ B
      proof -
        assume length (nths xs A) ∈ (ind-in-set A ‘ B)
        moreover have length (nths xs A) = card {i. i ∈ A ∧ i < length xs}
          using length-nths[of xs] seteq by auto
        ultimately have card {i. i ∈ A ∧ i < length xs} ∈ (ind-in-set A ‘ B)
      unfolding ind-in-set-def by auto
      then show length xs ∈ B using ind-in-set-iff True assms unfolding
ind-in-set-def by auto
      qed
      then have length (nths xs A) ∉ (ind-in-set A ‘ B) using False by auto
      then have nths [x] {l. l + length (nths xs A) ∈ (ind-in-set A ‘ B)} = [] using
nths-singleton by auto
      then show nths (nths (xs @ [x]) A) (ind-in-set A ‘ B) = nths xs B using
eqT2 by auto
    next
      case False
      then have nths [x] {l. l + length xs ∈ A} = [] using nths-singleton by auto
      moreover have nths (xs @ [x]) A = nths xs A @ nths [x] {l. l + length xs ∈
A} using nths-append[of xs] by auto
      ultimately have nths (xs @ [x]) A = nths xs A by auto
      then show ?thesis using snoc by auto
    qed
  with eqT1 show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed

lemma nths-reencode-eq-comp:
  assumes B ⊆ A
  shows nths (nths xs A) (– ind-in-set A ‘ B) = nths xs (A – B)
  proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)

```

```

    case Nil
    then show ?case by auto
next
case (snoc x xs)
have sub20:  $A - B \subseteq A$  using assms by auto
have seteq:  $\{i. i < \text{length } xs \wedge i \in A\} = \{i. i \in A \wedge i < \text{length } xs\}$  by auto
show ?case
proof (cases  $\text{length } xs \in (A - B)$ )
  case True
  have nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B) @ nth [x] {l. l + length xs
    ∈ (A - B)} using nth-append[of xs] by auto
  moreover have nth [x] {l. l + length xs ∈ (A - B)} = [x] using nth-singleton
    True by auto
  ultimately have eqT1: nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B) @ [x] by
    auto

  then have length xs ∈ A using True sub20 by auto
  then have nth [x] {l. l + length xs ∈ A} = [x] using nth-singleton by auto
  moreover have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ nth [x] {l. l + length xs ∈
    A} using nth-append[of xs] by auto
  ultimately have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ [x] by auto
  then have nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (¬ (ind-in-set A) ‘ B) = nth (nth xs A
    @ [x]) (¬ (ind-in-set A) ‘ B) by auto
  then have eqT2: nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (¬ (ind-in-set A) ‘ B)
    = nth xs (A - B) @ (nth [x] {l. l + length (nth xs A) ∈ (¬ (ind-in-set A)
    ‘ B)})
    using nth-append[of nth xs A] snoc by auto

  have length (nth xs A) ∈ ((ind-in-set A) ‘ B) ⇒ length xs ∈ B
  proof -
    assume length (nth xs A) ∈ ((ind-in-set A) ‘ B)
    moreover have length (nth xs A) = card {i. i ∈ A ∧ i < length xs}
      using length-nth[of xs] seteq by auto
    ultimately have ind-in-set A (length xs) ∈ (ind-in-set A ‘ B) unfolding
      ind-in-set-def by auto
    then show length xs ∈ B using ind-in-set-iff True assms by auto
  qed
  moreover have length xs ∉ B using True by auto
  ultimately have length (nth xs A) ∈ (¬ (ind-in-set A) ‘ B) by auto
  then have nth [x] {l. l + length (nth xs A) ∈ (¬ (ind-in-set A) ‘ B)} = [x]
    using nth-singleton by auto
  then have nth (nth (xs @ [x]) A) (¬ (ind-in-set A) ‘ B) = nth xs (A - B)
    @ [x] using eqT2 by auto
  then show ?thesis using eqT1 by auto
next
case False
  have nth (xs @ [x]) (A - B) = nth xs (A - B) @ nth [x] {l. l + length xs
    ∈ (A - B)} using nth-append[of xs] by auto
  moreover have nth [x] {l. l + length xs ∈ (A - B)} = [] using nth-singleton

```

False by auto
ultimately have $eqT1: nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ (A - B) = nth\ xs\ (A - B)$ **by auto**

have $nth\ (nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A)\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B) = nth\ xs\ (A - B)$
proof (*cases length xs ∈ A*)
 case True
 then have $True1: length\ xs\ ∈\ B$ **using False by auto**
 then have $nth\ [x]\ \{l.\ l + length\ xs\ ∈\ A\} = [x]$ **using nth-singleton True**
by auto
 moreover have $nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A = nth\ xs\ A\ @\ nth\ [x]\ \{l.\ l + length\ xs\ ∈\ A\}$ **using nth-append[of xs] by auto**
 ultimately have $nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A = nth\ xs\ A\ @\ [x]$ **by auto**
 then have $nth\ (nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A)\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B) = nth\ (nth\ xs\ A\ @\ [x])\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B)$ **by auto**
 then have $eqT2: nth\ (nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A)\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B) = nth\ xs\ (A - B)\ @\ (nth\ [x]\ \{l.\ l + length\ (nth\ xs\ A)\ ∈\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B)\})$
 using nth-append[of nth xs A] snoc by auto

 have $length\ (nth\ xs\ A)\ ∈\ ((ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B)$
 proof -
 have $length\ (nth\ xs\ A) = card\ \{i.\ i\ ∈\ A\ \wedge\ i < length\ xs\}$
 using length-nth[of xs] seteq by auto
 moreover have $card\ \{i.\ i\ ∈\ A\ \wedge\ i < length\ xs\} ∈\ (ind-in-set\ A\ 'B)$
 unfolding ind-in-set-def using True ind-in-set-iff[of length xs] True1 by auto

 ultimately show $length\ (nth\ xs\ A)\ ∈\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B$ **by auto**
 qed
 then have $nth\ [x]\ \{l.\ l + length\ (nth\ xs\ A)\ ∈\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B)\} = []$
using nth-singleton by auto
 then show $nth\ (nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A)\ (-\ (ind-in-set\ A)\ 'B) = nth\ xs\ (A - B)$ **using eqT2 by auto**
 next
 case False
 then have $nth\ [x]\ \{l.\ l + length\ xs\ ∈\ A\} = []$ **using nth-singleton by auto**
 moreover have $nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A = nth\ xs\ A\ @\ nth\ [x]\ \{l.\ l + length\ xs\ ∈\ A\}$ **using nth-append[of xs] by auto**
 ultimately have $nth\ (xs\ @\ [x])\ A = nth\ xs\ A$ **by auto**
 then show *?thesis* **using snoc by auto**
 qed
 with eqT1 show *?thesis* **by auto**
qed
qed

lemma *nths-prod-list-split*:
 fixes $A :: nat\ set$ **and** $xs :: nat\ list$
 assumes $B ⊆ A$
 shows $prod-list\ (nth\ xs\ A) = (prod-list\ (nth\ xs\ B)) * (prod-list\ (nth\ xs\ (A - B)))$

```

proof (induction xs rule: rev-induct)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by auto
next
  let ?C = A - B
  case (snoc x xs)
  have SA: nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ nth [x] {j. j + length xs ∈ A} using
nth-append[of xs] by auto
  have SB: nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B @ nth [x] {j. j + length xs ∈ B} using
nth-append[of xs] by auto
  have SC: nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C @ nth [x] {j. j + length xs ∈ ?C}
using nth-append[of xs] by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases length xs ∈ A)
    case True
    then have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A @ [x] using SA by auto
    then have eqA: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) A) = prod-list (nth xs A) * x by
auto
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases length xs ∈ B)
      case True
      then have nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B @ [x] using SB by auto
      then have eqB: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) B) = prod-list (nth xs B) * x by
auto
      have length xs ∉ ?C using True assms by auto
      then have nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C using SC by auto
      then have eqC: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) ?C) = prod-list (nth xs ?C) * x
auto
      then show ?thesis using snoc eqA eqB eqC by auto
    next
    case False
    then have nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B using SB by auto
    then have eqB: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) B) = prod-list (nth xs B) by auto

    then have length xs ∈ ?C using True False assms by auto
    then have nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C @ [x] using SC by auto
    then have eqC: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) ?C) = prod-list (nth xs ?C) * x
by auto
    then show ?thesis using snoc eqA eqB eqC by auto
  qed
next
  case False
  then have ninB: length xs ∉ B and ninC: length xs ∉ ?C using assms by
auto

  have nth (xs @ [x]) A = nth xs A using SA False nth-singleton by auto
  then have eqA: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) A) = prod-list (nth xs A) by auto
  have nth (xs @ [x]) B = nth xs B using SB ninB nth-singleton by auto
  then have eqB: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) B) = prod-list (nth xs B) by auto

```

```

    have nth (xs @ [x]) ?C = nth xs ?C using SC ninC nth-singleton by auto
    then have eqC: prod-list (nth (xs @ [x]) ?C) = prod-list (nth xs ?C) by auto
    then show ?thesis using eqA eqB eqC snoc by auto
  qed
qed

```

4.1 Encodings

```

lemma digit-encode-take:
  take n (digit-encode ds a) = digit-encode (take n ds) a
proof (induct n arbitrary: ds a)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases ds)
    case Nil
    then show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (Cons d ds')
    then show ?thesis by (auto simp add: Suc)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma nth-minus-upt-eq-drop:
  nth l (-{.. $n$ }) = drop n l
  apply (induct l rule: rev-induct)
  by (auto simp add: nth-append)

```

```

lemma digit-encode-drop:
  drop n (digit-encode ds a) = digit-encode (drop n ds) (a div (prod-list (take n ds)))
proof (induct n arbitrary: ds a)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then show ?case
  proof (cases ds)
    case Nil
    then show ?thesis by auto
  next
    case (Cons d ds')
    then show ?thesis by (auto simp add: Suc div-mult2-eq)
  qed
qed

```

List of active variables in the partial state

```

locale partial-state = state-sig +

```

```

fixes vars :: nat set

context partial-state
begin

  Dimensions of active variables

abbreviation avars :: nat set where
  avars  $\equiv \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\}$ 

definition dims1 :: nat list where
  dims1 = nths dims vars

definition dims2 :: nat list where
  dims2 = nths dims (−vars)

lemma dims1-alter:
  assumes avars  $\subseteq A$ 
  shows dims1 = nths dims (A  $\cap$  vars)
  using nths-intersection-eq assms unfolding dims1-def by auto

lemma dims2-alter:
  assumes avars  $\subseteq A$ 
  shows dims2 = nths dims (A − vars)
  using nths-minus-eq assms unfolding dims2-def by auto

  Total dimension for the active variables

definition d1 :: nat where
  d1 = prod-list dims1

  Total dimension for the non-active variables

definition d2 :: nat where
  d2 = prod-list dims2

  Translating dimension in d to dimension in d1

definition encode1 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  encode1 i = digit-decode dims1 (nths (digit-encode dims i) vars)

lemma encode1-alter:
  assumes avars  $\subseteq A$ 
  shows encode1 i = digit-decode dims1 (nths (digit-encode dims i) (A  $\cap$  vars))
  using length-digit-encode[of dims i] nths-intersection-eq[of digit-encode dims i A
vars] assms unfolding encode1-def
  by (subgoal-tac nths (digit-encode dims i) (vars) = nths (digit-encode dims i) (A
 $\cap$  vars), auto)

  Translating dimension in d to dimension in d2

definition encode2 :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  encode2 i = digit-decode dims2 (nths (digit-encode dims i) (−vars))

```

```

lemma encode2-alter:
  assumes avars  $\subseteq A$ 
  shows encode2 i = digit-decode dims2 (nths (digit-encode dims i) (A - vars))
  using length-digit-encode[of dims i] nths-minus-eq[of digit-encode dims i A] assms
unfolding encode2-def
  by (subgoal-tac nths (digit-encode dims i) (- vars) = nths (digit-encode dims i)
```

```

(A - vars), auto)

lemma encode1-lt [simp]:
  assumes i < d
  shows encode1 i < d1
  unfolding d1-def encode1-def apply (rule digit-decode-lt)
  using dims1-def assms d-def digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-nths by auto
```

```

lemma encode2-lt [simp]:
  assumes i < d
  shows encode2 i < d2
  unfolding d2-def encode2-def apply (rule digit-decode-lt)
  using dims2-def assms d-def digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-nths by auto
```

Given dimensions in *d1* and *d2*, form dimension in *d*

```

fun encode12 :: nat  $\times$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  encode12 (i, j) = digit-decode dims (weave vars (digit-encode dims1 i) (digit-encode
dims2 j))
declare encode12.simps [simp del]
```

```

lemma encode12-inv:
  assumes k < d
  shows encode12 (encode1 k, encode2 k) = k
  unfolding encode12.simps encode1-def encode2-def
  using assms d-def digit-encode-valid-index dims1-def dims2-def valid-index-nths
by auto
```

```

lemma encode12-inv1:
  assumes i < d1 j < d2
  shows encode1 (encode12 (i, j)) = i
  unfolding encode12.simps encode1-def
  using assms unfolding d1-def d2-def dims1-def dims2-def
by (metis digit-decode-encode-lt digit-encode-decode digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-weave(1,2))
```

```

lemma encode12-inv2:
  assumes i < d1 j < d2
  shows encode2 (encode12 (i, j)) = j
  unfolding encode12.simps encode2-def
  using assms unfolding d1-def d2-def dims1-def dims2-def
by (metis digit-decode-encode-lt digit-encode-decode digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-weave(1,3))
```

```

lemma encode12-lt:
  assumes i < d1 j < d2
```

shows $\text{encode12 } (i, j) < d$
using *assms* **unfolding** *encode12.simps d-def d1-def d2-def dims1-def dims2-def*
by (*simp add: digit-decode-lt digit-encode-valid-index valid-index-weave(1)*)

lemma *sum-encode*: $(\sum i = 0..<d1. \sum j = 0..<d2. f \ i \ j) = \text{sum } (\lambda k. f \ (\text{encode1 } k) \ (\text{encode2 } k)) \ \{0..<d\}$
apply (*subst sum.cartesian-product*)
apply (*rule sum.reindex-bij-witness*[**where** $i = \lambda k. (\text{encode1 } k, \text{encode2 } k)$ **and** $j = \text{encode12}$])
by (*auto simp: encode12-inv1 encode12-inv2 encode12-inv encode12-lt*)

4.2 Tensor product of vectors and matrices

Given vector $v1$ of dimension $d1$, and vector $v2$ of dimension $d2$, form the tensor vector of dimension $d1 * d2 = d$

definition *tensor-vec* :: $'a::\text{times } \text{vec} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{vec} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{vec}$ **where**
 $\text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2 = \text{Matrix.vec } d \ (\lambda i. v1 \ \$ \ \text{encode1 } i * v2 \ \$ \ \text{encode2 } i)$

lemma *tensor-vec-dim* [*simp*]:
 $\text{dim-vec } (\text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2) = d$
unfolding *tensor-vec-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-vec-carrier*:
 $\text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d$
unfolding *tensor-vec-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-vec-eval*:
assumes $i < d$
shows $\text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2 \ \$ \ i = v1 \ \$ \ \text{encode1 } i * v2 \ \$ \ \text{encode2 } i$
unfolding *tensor-vec-def* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *tensor-vec-add1*:
fixes $v1 \ v2 \ v3 :: 'a::\text{comm-ring } \text{vec}$
assumes $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $v3 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$
shows $\text{tensor-vec } (v1 + v2) \ v3 = \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v3 + \text{tensor-vec } v2 \ v3$
apply (*rule eq-vecI, auto*)
unfolding *tensor-vec-eval*
using *assms(2) comm-semiring-class.distrib* **by** *force*

lemma *tensor-vec-add2*:
fixes $v1 \ v2 \ v3 :: 'a::\text{comm-ring } \text{vec}$
assumes $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$
and $v3 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$
shows $\text{tensor-vec } v1 \ (v2 + v3) = \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2 + \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v3$
apply (*rule eq-vecI, auto*)
unfolding *tensor-vec-eval*

using *assms*(3) *semiring-class.distrib-left* **by** *force*

Given d1-by-d1 matrix m1, and d2-by-d2 matrix m2, form d-by-d matrix

definition *tensor-mat* :: 'a::comm-ring-1 mat $\Rightarrow$ 'a mat $\Rightarrow$ 'a mat **where**
tensor-mat m1 m2 = *Matrix.mat* d d ($\lambda(i,j).$
m1 \$\$ (*encode1* i, *encode1* j) * m2 \$\$ (*encode2* i, *encode2* j))

lemma *tensor-mat-dim-row* [*simp*]:
dim-row (*tensor-mat* m1 m2) = d
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-mat-dim-col* [*simp*]:
dim-col (*tensor-mat* m1 m2) = d
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-mat-carrier*:
tensor-mat m1 m2 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d d
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *tensor-mat-eval*:
assumes $i < d$ $j < d$
shows *tensor-mat* m1 m2 \$\$ (i, j) = m1 \$\$ (*encode1* i, *encode1* j) * m2 \$\$
(*encode2* i, *encode2* j)
unfolding *tensor-mat-def* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *tensor-mat-zero1*:
shows *tensor-mat* (*0_m* d1 d1) m1 = *0_m* d d
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-eval*)

lemma *tensor-mat-zero2*:
shows *tensor-mat* m1 (*0_m* d2 d2) = *0_m* d d
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-eval*)

lemma *tensor-mat-add1*:
assumes m1 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d1 d1
and m2 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d1 d1
and m3 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d2 d2
shows *tensor-mat* (m1 + m2) m3 = *tensor-mat* m1 m3 + *tensor-mat* m2 m3
apply (*rule eq-matI, auto*)
unfolding *tensor-mat-eval*
using *assms*(2) *comm-semiring-class.distrib* **by** *force*

lemma *tensor-mat-add2*:
assumes m1 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d1 d1
and m2 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d2 d2
and m3 $\in$ *carrier-mat* d2 d2
shows *tensor-mat* m1 (m2 + m3) = *tensor-mat* m1 m2 + *tensor-mat* m1 m3

```

apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
unfolding tensor-mat-eval
using assms(3) semiring-class.distrib-left by force

lemma tensor-mat-minus1:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-mat } (m1 - m2) \ m3 = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m3 - \text{tensor-mat } m2 \ m3$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
  unfolding tensor-mat-eval
  apply (subst index-minus-mat)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal using assms by auto
  using assms(2) ring-class.left-diff-distrib by force

lemma tensor-mat-matrix-sum2:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
  shows  $(\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2)$ 
     $\implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ k)) \ n = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n)$ 
  proof (induct n)
  case 0
    then show ?case apply simp using tensor-mat-zero2[of m1] by auto
  next
    case (Suc n)
    then have  $k < n \implies f \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$  for k by auto
    then have ds:  $\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$  using matrix-sum-dim
  by auto
  have dn:  $f \ n \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$  using Suc by auto
  have  $\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ (\text{Suc } n) = f \ n + \text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n$  by simp
  then have eq:  $\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ (\text{Suc } n))$ 
     $= \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ n) + \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n)$ 
    using tensor-mat-add2 dn ds assms by auto

  have  $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ k)) \ (\text{Suc } n)$ 
     $= \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ n) + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ k)) \ n$  by simp
  also have  $\dots = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (f \ n) + \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (\text{matrix-sum } d2 \ f \ n)$ 
    using Suc by auto
  finally show ?case using eq by auto
qed

lemma tensor-mat-scale1:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-mat } (a \cdot_m m1) \ m2 = a \cdot_m \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
  unfolding tensor-mat-eval
  using assms comm-semiring-class.distrib by force

```

```

lemma tensor-mat-scale2:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
  and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ (a \cdot_m m2) = a \cdot_m \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
  unfolding tensor-mat-eval
  using assms comm-semiring-class.distrib by force

lemma tensor-mat-trace:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
  and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  shows  $\text{trace } (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2) = \text{trace } m1 * \text{trace } m2$ 
  apply (auto simp add: tensor-mat-carrier trace-def tensor-mat-eval)
  apply (subst Groups-Big.sum-product)
  apply (subst sum-encode[symmetric])
  using assms by auto

lemma tensor-mat-id:
   $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) = 1_m \ d$ 
proof (rule eq-matI, auto)
  show  $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) \ \$\$ \ (i, i) = 1 \text{ if } i < d \text{ for } i$ 
  using that by (simp add: tensor-mat-eval)
next
  show  $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) \ \$\$ \ (i, j) = 0 \text{ if } i < d \ j < d \ i \neq j \text{ for } i \ j$ 
  using that apply (simp add: tensor-mat-eval)
  by (metis encode12-inv)
qed

lemma tensor-mat-mult-vec:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
  and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
  and  $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$ 
  and  $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-vec } (m1 *_v v1) \ (m2 *_v v2) = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 *_v \text{tensor-vec } v1 \ v2$ 
proof (rule eq-vecI, auto)
  fix  $i \ j :: \text{nat}$ 
  assume  $i: i < d$ 
  let  $?i1 = \text{encode1 } i$  and  $?i2 = \text{encode2 } i$ 
  have  $\text{tensor-vec } (m1 *_v v1) \ (m2 *_v v2) \ \$ \ i = (m1 *_v v1) \ \$ \ ?i1 * (m2 *_v v2) \ \$ \ ?i2$ 
  using  $i$  by (simp add: tensor-vec-eval)
  also have  $\dots = (\text{row } m1 \ ?i1 \cdot v1) * (\text{row } m2 \ ?i2 \cdot v2)$ 
  using assms  $i$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d1. m1 \ \$\$ \ (?i1, i) * v1 \ \$ \ i) * (\sum j = 0..<d2. m2 \ \$\$ \ (?i2, j) * v2 \ \$ \ j)$ 
  using assms  $i$  by (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\sum i = 0..<d1. \sum j = 0..<d2. (m1 \ \$\$ \ (?i1, i) * v1 \ \$ \ i) * (m2$ 

```

$\\$\\$ (?i2, j) * v2 \\$ j)$
 by (rule Groups-Big.sum-product)
 also have ... = $(\\sum i = 0..<d. (m1 \\$\\$ (?i1, encode1 i) * v1 \\$ (encode1 i)) * (m2 \\$\\$ (?i2, encode2 i) * v2 \\$ (encode2 i)))$
 by (rule sum-encode)
 also have ... = row (tensor-mat m1 m2) i $\cdot$ tensor-vec v1 v2
 apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def tensor-mat-eval tensor-vec-eval i)
 by (rule sum.cong, auto)
 finally show tensor-vec (m1 $\ast_v$ v1) (m2 $\ast_v$ v2) $\\$ i$ = row (tensor-mat m1 m2) i $\cdot$ tensor-vec v1 v2 by auto
 qed

lemma tensor-mat-mult:

assumes m1 $\in$ carrier-mat d1 d1
 and m2 $\in$ carrier-mat d1 d1
 and m3 $\in$ carrier-mat d2 d2
 and m4 $\in$ carrier-mat d2 d2
 shows tensor-mat (m1 $\ast$ m2) (m3 $\ast$ m4) = tensor-mat m1 m3 $\ast$ tensor-mat m2 m4
 proof (rule eq-matI, auto)
 fix i j :: nat
 assume i: i < d and j: j < d
 let ?i1 = encode1 i and ?i2 = encode2 i and ?j1 = encode1 j and ?j2 = encode2 j
 have tensor-mat (m1 $\ast$ m2) (m3 $\ast$ m4) $\\$\\$ (i, j)$ = (m1 $\ast$ m2) $\\$\\$ (?i1, ?j1) \ast (m3 \ast m4) \\$\\$ (?i2, ?j2)$
 using i j by (simp add: tensor-mat-eval)
 also have ... = (row m1 ?i1 $\cdot$ col m2 ?j1) $\ast$ (row m3 ?i2 $\cdot$ col m4 ?j2)
 using assms i j by auto
 also have ... = $(\\sum i = 0..<d1. m1 \\$\\$ (?i1, i) \ast m2 \\$\\$ (i, ?j1)) \ast (\\sum j = 0..<d2. m3 \\$\\$ (?i2, j) \ast m4 \\$\\$ (j, ?j2))$
 using assms i j by (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
 also have ... = $(\\sum i = 0..<d1. \\sum j = 0..<d2. (m1 \\$\\$ (?i1, i) \ast m2 \\$\\$ (i, ?j1)) \ast (m3 \\$\\$ (?i2, j) \ast m4 \\$\\$ (j, ?j2)))$
 by (rule Groups-Big.sum-product)
 also have ... = $(\\sum i = 0..<d. (m1 \\$\\$ (?i1, encode1 i) \ast m2 \\$\\$ (encode1 i, ?j1)) \ast (m3 \\$\\$ (?i2, encode2 i) \ast m4 \\$\\$ (encode2 i, ?j2)))$
 by (rule sum-encode)
 also have ... = row (tensor-mat m1 m3) i $\cdot$ col (tensor-mat m2 m4) j
 apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def tensor-mat-eval i j)
 by (rule sum.cong, auto)
 finally show tensor-mat (m1 $\ast$ m2) (m3 $\ast$ m4) $\\$\\$ (i, j)$ = row (tensor-mat m1 m3) i $\cdot$ col (tensor-mat m2 m4) j .
 qed

lemma tensor-mat-adjoint:

assumes m1 $\in$ carrier-mat d1 d1
 and m2 $\in$ carrier-mat d2 d2
 shows adjoint (tensor-mat m1 m2) = tensor-mat (adjoint m1) (adjoint m2)

```

apply (rule eq-matI, auto)
unfolding tensor-mat-def adjoint-def
using assms by (simp add: conjugate-dist-mul)

lemma tensor-mat-hermitian:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
    and hermitian  $m1$ 
    and hermitian  $m2$ 
  shows hermitian (tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ )
  using assms by (metis hermitian-def tensor-mat-adjoint)

lemma tensor-mat-unitary:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
    and unitary  $m1$ 
    and unitary  $m2$ 
  shows unitary (tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ )
  using assms apply (auto simp add: unitary-def tensor-mat-adjoint)
  using assms unfolding inverts-mat-def
  apply (subst tensor-mat-mult[symmetric], auto)
  by (rule tensor-mat-id)

lemma tensor-mat-positive:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
    and positive  $m1$ 
    and positive  $m2$ 
  shows positive (tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ )
proof –
  obtain  $M1$  where  $M1: m1 = M1 * \text{adjoint } M1$  and  $dM1:M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$  using positive-only-if-decomp assms by auto
  obtain  $M2$  where  $M2: m2 = M2 * \text{adjoint } M2$  and  $dM2:M2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$  using positive-only-if-decomp assms by auto
  have (adjoint (tensor-mat  $M1 \ M2$ )) = tensor-mat (adjoint  $M1$ ) (adjoint  $M2$ )
using tensor-mat-adjoint  $dM1 \ dM2$  by auto
  then have tensor-mat  $M1 \ M2 * (\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-mat } M1 \ M2)) = \text{tensor-mat}$ 
    ( $M1 * \text{adjoint } M1$ ) ( $M2 * \text{adjoint } M2$ )
    using  $dM1 \ dM2$  adjoint-dim[OF  $dM1$ ] adjoint-dim[OF  $dM2$ ] by (auto simp
      add: tensor-mat-mult)
  also have ... = tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$  using  $M1 \ M2$  by auto
  finally have tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } M1 \ M2 * (\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-mat } M1 \ M2))$ ..
  then have  $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$  by auto
  moreover have tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using tensor-mat-carrier
by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using positive-if-decomp[of tensor-mat  $m1 \ m2$ ] by auto
qed

```

```

lemma tensor-mat-positive-le:
  assumes  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$ 
    and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$ 
    and positive  $m1$ 
    and positive  $m2$ 
    and  $m1 \leq_L A$ 
    and  $m2 \leq_L B$ 
  shows  $\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } A \ B$ 
proof -
  have  $dA: A \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$  using assms lower-le-def by auto
  have  $pA: \text{positive } A$  using assms dA lower-le-trans-positiveI[of  $m1$ ] by auto
  have  $dB: B \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$  using assms lower-le-def by auto
  have  $pB: \text{positive } B$  using assms dB lower-le-trans-positiveI[of  $m2$ ] by auto
  have  $A - m1 = A + (- m1)$  using assms by (auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat)
  then have positive  $(A + (- m1))$  using assms unfolding lower-le-def by auto
  then have  $p1: \text{positive } (\text{tensor-mat } (A + (- m1)) \ m2)$  using assms tensor-mat-positive by auto
  moreover have  $\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2 = - \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$  using assms
apply (subgoal-tac  $- m1 = -1 \cdot_m m1$ )
  by (auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale1)
  moreover have  $\text{tensor-mat } (A + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2 + (\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2)$  using
assms by (auto simp add: tensor-mat-add1 dA)
  ultimately have  $\text{tensor-mat } (A + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2 - (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2)$  by auto
  with  $p1$  have  $le1: \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2$  unfolding lower-le-def by auto

  have  $B - m2 = B + (- m2)$  using assms by (auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat)
  then have positive  $(B + (- m2))$  using assms unfolding lower-le-def by auto
  then have  $p2: \text{positive } (\text{tensor-mat } A \ (B + (- m2)))$ 
    using assms tensor-mat-positive positive-one dA dB pA by auto
  moreover have  $\text{tensor-mat } A \ (-m2) = - \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2$ 
    using assms apply (subgoal-tac  $- m2 = -1 \cdot_m m2$ )
    by (auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale2 dA)
  moreover have  $\text{tensor-mat } A \ (B + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } A \ B + \text{tensor-mat } A \ (- m2)$ 
    using assms by (auto simp add: tensor-mat-add2 dA dB)
  ultimately have  $\text{tensor-mat } A \ (B + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } A \ B - \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2$  by auto
  with  $p2$  have  $le20: \text{tensor-mat } A \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } A \ B$  unfolding lower-le-def by auto

  show ?thesis apply (subst lower-le-trans[of  $- d \ \text{tensor-mat } (A) \ m2$ ])
    subgoal using tensor-mat-carrier by auto
    subgoal using tensor-mat-carrier by auto
    using  $le1 \ le20$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *tensor-mat-le-one*:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and *positive* $m1$
and *positive* $m2$
and $m1 \leq_L 1_m \ d1$
and $m2 \leq_L 1_m \ d2$
shows $\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have $1_m \ d1 - m1 = 1_m \ d1 + (- m1)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat*)

then have *positive* $(1_m \ d1 + (- m1))$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $p1: \text{positive } (\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1 + (- m1)) \ m2)$ **using** *assms* *tensor-mat-positive* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2 = - \text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2$ **using** *assms* **apply** (*subgoal-tac* $- m1 = -1 \cdot_m m1$)

by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale1*)

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1 + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 + (\text{tensor-mat } (- m1) \ m2)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-add1*)

ultimately have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1 + (- m1)) \ m2 = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 - (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2)$ **by** *auto*

with $p1$ **have** $le1: (\text{tensor-mat } m1 \ m2) \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2$ **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

have $1_m \ d2 - m2 = 1_m \ d2 + (- m2)$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: minus-add-uminus-mat*)

then have *positive* $(1_m \ d2 + (- m2))$ **using** *assms* **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $p2: \text{positive } (\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2 + (- m2)))$ **using** *assms* *tensor-mat-positive* *positive-one* **by** *auto*

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (-m2) = - \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2$ **using** *assms* **apply** (*subgoal-tac* $- m2 = -1 \cdot_m m2$)

by (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-scale2*)

moreover have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2 + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) + (\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (- m2))$ **using** *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: tensor-mat-add2*)

ultimately have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2 + (- m2)) = \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) - (\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2)$ **by** *auto*

with $p2$ **have** $le20: \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 \leq_L \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2)$ **unfolding** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*

then have $le2: \text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ m2 \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **apply** (*subst tensor-mat-id[symmetric]*) **by** *auto*

have $\text{tensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) = 1_m \ d$ **using** *tensor-mat-id* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis* **apply** (*subst lowner-le-trans[of - d tensor-mat (1_m d1) m2]*)

subgoal using *tensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*

subgoal using *tensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*

using *le1 le2* by *auto*
qed

4.3 Extension of matrices

definition *mat-extension* :: '*a*::comm-ring-1 *mat* $\Rightarrow$ '*a* *mat* **where**
mat-extension *m* = *tensor-mat* *m* (*1_m* *d2*)

lemma *mat-extension-carrier*:
mat-extension *m* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d* *d*
by (*simp add: mat-extension-def tensor-mat-carrier*)

lemma *mat-extension-add*:
assumes *m1* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
and *m2* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
shows *mat-extension* (*m1* + *m2*) = *mat-extension* *m1* + *mat-extension* *m2*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: mat-extension-def tensor-mat-add1*)

lemma *mat-extension-trace*:
assumes *m* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
shows *trace* (*mat-extension* *m*) = *d2* * *trace* *m*
unfolding *mat-extension-def*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: tensor-mat-trace*)

lemma *mat-extension-id*:
mat-extension (*1_m* *d1*) = *1_m* *d*
unfolding *mat-extension-def* **by** (*rule tensor-mat-id*)

lemma *mat-extension-mult*:
assumes *m1* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
and *m2* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
shows *mat-extension* (*m1* * *m2*) = *mat-extension* *m1* * *mat-extension* *m2*
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: mat-extension-def tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)

lemma *mat-extension-hermitian*:
assumes *m* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
and *hermitian* *m*
shows *hermitian* (*mat-extension* *m*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: hermitian-one mat-extension-def tensor-mat-hermitian*)

lemma *mat-extension-unitary*:
assumes *m* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
and *unitary* *m*
shows *unitary* (*mat-extension* *m*)
using *assms* **by** (*simp add: mat-extension-def tensor-mat-unitary unitary-one*)

end

abbreviation *tensor-mat* $\equiv$ *partial-state.tensor-mat*

abbreviation *mat-extension* $\equiv$ *partial-state.mat-extension*

context *state-sig*
begin

Swapping the order of matrices, as well as switching vars, should have no effect

lemma *tensor-mat-comm*:

```

assumes vars1  $\cap$  vars2 = {}
  and  $\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \subseteq \text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2}$ 
  and  $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{prod-list } (\text{nths } \text{dims } \text{vars1})) (\text{prod-list } (\text{nths } \text{dims } \text{vars1}))$ 
  and  $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } (\text{prod-list } (\text{nths } \text{dims } \text{vars2})) (\text{prod-list } (\text{nths } \text{dims } \text{vars2}))$ 
shows tensor-mat dims vars1 m1 m2 = tensor-mat dims vars2 m2 m1
proof -
{
  fix i
  have nths dims ( $- \text{vars2}$ ) = nths dims vars1 using nths-split-complement-eq[symmetric]
assms by auto
  then have eq2211: partial-state.dims2 dims vars2 = partial-state.dims1 dims
vars1
    unfolding partial-state.dims2-def partial-state.dims1-def by auto
    have nths dims ( $- \text{vars1}$ ) = nths dims vars2 using nths-split-complement-eq[symmetric,
of vars2] assms by auto
    then have eq2112: partial-state.dims2 dims vars1 = partial-state.dims1 dims
vars2
      unfolding partial-state.dims2-def partial-state.dims1-def by auto

  have  $\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2} - \text{vars2} = \text{vars1}$  using assms by auto
  then have e1: partial-state.encode2 dims vars2 i = partial-state.encode1 dims
(vars1) i
    using assms(2) partial-state.encode2-alter[of dims vars1  $\cup$  vars2 vars2]
    unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.encode1-def apply (subst eq2211[symmetric])
by auto

  have  $\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2} - \text{vars1} = \text{vars2}$  using assms by auto
  then have e2: partial-state.encode2 dims vars1 i = partial-state.encode1 dims
(vars2) i
    using assms(2) partial-state.encode2-alter[of dims vars1  $\cup$  vars2 vars1]
    unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.encode1-def apply (subst eq2112[symmetric])
by auto

  note e1 e2
}
note e = this
show ?thesis
unfolding partial-state.tensor-mat-def apply (rule cong-mat, simp-all)
unfolding partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def
using e by auto
qed

```

end

4.4 Partial tensor product

In this context, we assume two disjoint sets of variables, and define the tensor product of two matrices on these variables

locale *partial-state2* = *state-sig* +
fixes *vars1* :: *nat set*
and *vars2* :: *nat set*
assumes *disjoint*: $\text{vars1} \cap \text{vars2} = \{\}$

begin

definition *vars0* :: *nat set* **where**
vars0 = *vars1* $\cup$ *vars2*

definition *dims0* :: *nat list* **where**
dims0 = *nths dims vars0*

definition *dims1* :: *nat list* **where**
dims1 = *nths dims vars1*

definition *dims2* :: *nat list* **where**
dims2 = *nths dims vars2*

definition *d0* :: *nat* **where**
d0 = *prod-list dims0*

definition *d1* :: *nat* **where**
d1 = *prod-list dims1*

definition *d2* :: *nat* **where**
d2 = *prod-list dims2*

lemma *dims-product*:
d0 = *d1* * *d2*
unfolding *d0-def d1-def d2-def dims0-def dims1-def dims2-def vars0-def*
using *disjoint nths-prod-list-split[of vars1 vars1 $\cup$ vars2 dims]*
apply (*subgoal-tac vars1 $\cup$ vars2 - vars1 = vars2*)
by *auto*

Locations of variables in *vars1* relative to *vars0*. For example: if *vars0* = 0,1,2,4,5,6,9 and *vars1* = 1,4,6,9, then *vars1'* should be 1,3,5,6.

definition *vars1'* :: *nat set* **where**
vars1' = (*ind-in-set vars0*) ' *vars1*

definition *vars2'* :: *nat set* **where**
vars2' = (*ind-in-set vars0*) ' *vars2*

lemma *vars1'I*:

$x \in \text{vars1} \implies \text{card } \{y \in \text{vars0}. y < x\} \in \text{vars1}'$

unfolding *vars1'-def ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *vars1'D*:

$i \in \text{vars1}' \implies \exists x \in \text{vars1}. \text{card } \{y \in \text{vars0}. y < x\} = i$

unfolding *vars1'-def ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*

Main property of *vars1'*

lemma *ind-in-set-bij*:

bij-betw (*ind-in-set vars0*) ($\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0}$) ($\{0..<\text{card } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})\}$)

using *bij-ind-in-set-bound* **unfolding** *ind-in-set-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *length-dims0*:

$\text{length } \text{dims0} = \text{card } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})$

unfolding *dims0-def* **using** *length-nths[of dims vars0]*

apply (*subgoal-tac* $\{i. i < \text{length } \text{dims} \wedge i \in \text{vars0}\} = \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0}$)
by *auto*

lemma *length-dims0-minus-vars2'-is-vars1'*:

$\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} - \text{vars2}' = \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} \cap \text{vars1}'$

proof –

have *sub20*: $\text{vars2} \subseteq \text{vars0}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **by** *auto*

have *sub1*: $\text{vars1} = \text{vars0} - \text{vars2}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **using** *disjoint* **by** *auto*

have *eq*: $\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} = \text{ind-in-set } \text{vars0} \text{ ' } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})$

using *ind-in-set-bij length-dims0 bij-betw-imp-surj-on[of ind-in-set vars0]* **by**

auto

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *vars2'-def vars1'-def eq* **using** *ind-in-set-minus-subset-bound[OF sub20]* *sub1* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *length-dims0-minus-vars1'-is-vars2'*:

$\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} - \text{vars1}' = \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} \cap \text{vars2}'$

proof –

have *sub10*: $\text{vars1} \subseteq \text{vars0}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **by** *auto*

have *sub2*: $\text{vars2} = \text{vars0} - \text{vars1}$ **unfolding** *vars0-def* **using** *disjoint* **by** *auto*

have *eq*: $\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} = \text{ind-in-set } \text{vars0} \text{ ' } (\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\} \cap \text{vars0})$

using *ind-in-set-bij length-dims0 bij-betw-imp-surj-on[of ind-in-set vars0]* **by**

auto

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *vars2'-def vars1'-def eq* **using** *ind-in-set-minus-subset-bound[OF sub10]* *sub2* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *nths-vars1'*:

$\text{nths } \text{dims0} \text{ vars1}' = \text{dims1}$

using *nths-reencode-eq[of vars1 vars0 dims]*

using *nths-reencode-eq-comp[of vars1 vars0 dims]*

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars1'-def dims1-def dims0-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-vars1'-comp*:

nths dims0 (-vars2') = dims1

using *nths-reencode-eq-comp*[of vars2 vars0 dims] *disjoint*

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars2'-def dims1-def dims0-def*

apply (*subgoal-tac* (*vars1* $\cup$ *vars2* - *vars2*) = *vars1*) **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-vars2'*:

nths dims0 (-vars1') = dims2

using *nths-reencode-eq-comp*[of vars1 vars0 dims] *disjoint*

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars1'-def dims2-def dims0-def*

apply (*subgoal-tac* (*vars1* $\cup$ *vars2* - *vars1*) = *vars2*) **by** *auto*

lemma *nths-vars2'-comp*:

nths dims0 (vars2') = dims2

using *nths-reencode-eq*[of vars2 vars0 dims]

unfolding *vars0-def ind-in-set-def vars2'-def dims2-def dims0-def*

by *auto*

lemma *ptensor-encode1-encode2*:

partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars1' = partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars2'

proof -

have *partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars1' i*

= *digit-decode* (*partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars1'*) (*nths* (*digit-encode dims0 i*)

($\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} \cap \text{vars1}'$)) **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode1-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars2' i*

= *digit-decode* (*partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars2'*) (*nths* (*digit-encode dims0 i*)

($\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} - \text{vars2}'$)) **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode2-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars1' = partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars2'*

unfolding *partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def* **using** *nths-vars1'*

nths-vars1'-comp **by** *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *length-dims0-minus-vars2'-is-vars1'* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *ptensor-encode2-encode1*:

partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars2' = partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars1'

proof -

have *partial-state.encode1 dims0 vars2' i*

= *digit-decode* (*partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars2'*) (*nths* (*digit-encode dims0 i*)

($\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} \cap \text{vars2}'$)) **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode1-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.encode2 dims0 vars1' i*

= *digit-decode* (*partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars1'*) (*nths* (*digit-encode dims0 i*)

($\{0..<\text{length } \text{dims0}\} - \text{vars1}'$)) **for** *i*

using *partial-state.encode2-alter* **by** *auto*

moreover have *partial-state.dims1 dims0 vars2' = partial-state.dims2 dims0 vars1'*

```

vars1'
  unfolding partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def using nth-vars2'
nth-vars2'-comp by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using length-dims0-minus-vars1'-is-vars2' by auto
qed

```

Given vector $v1$ of dimension $d1$, and vector $v2$ of dimension $d2$, form the tensor vector of dimension $d1 * d2 = d0$

definition *ptensor-vec* :: 'a::times vec $\Rightarrow$ 'a vec $\Rightarrow$ 'a vec **where**
ptensor-vec $v1\ v2 = \text{partial-state.tensor-vec } \text{dims0 } \text{vars1}'\ v1\ v2$

lemma *ptensor-vec-dim* [simp]:
 $\text{dim-vec } (\text{ptensor-vec } v1\ v2) = d0$
by (simp add: *ptensor-vec-def* partial-state.tensor-vec-dim state-sig.d-def d0-def)

lemma *ptensor-vec-carrier*:
 $\text{ptensor-vec } v1\ v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d0$
by (simp add: carrier-dim-vec)

lemma *ptensor-vec-add*:
fixes $v1\ v2\ v3 :: 'a::\text{comm-ring } \text{vec}$
assumes $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $v3 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-vec } (v1 + v2)\ v3 = \text{ptensor-vec } v1\ v3 + \text{ptensor-vec } v2\ v3$
unfolding *ptensor-vec-def*
apply (rule partial-state.tensor-vec-add1)
unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.d2-def
partial-state.dims1-def partial-state.dims2-def nth-vars1' nth-vars2'
using *assms* **unfolding** d1-def d2-def **by** auto

definition *ptensor-mat* :: 'a::comm-ring-1 mat $\Rightarrow$ 'a mat $\Rightarrow$ 'a mat **where**
ptensor-mat $m1\ m2 = \text{partial-state.tensor-mat } \text{dims0 } \text{vars1}'\ m1\ m2$

lemma *ptensor-mat-dim-row* [simp]:
 $\text{dim-row } (\text{ptensor-mat } m1\ m2) = d0$
by (simp add: *ptensor-mat-def* partial-state.tensor-mat-dim-row d0-def state-sig.d-def)

lemma *ptensor-mat-dim-col* [simp]:
 $\text{dim-col } (\text{ptensor-mat } m1\ m2) = d0$
by (simp add: *ptensor-mat-def* partial-state.tensor-mat-dim-col d0-def state-sig.d-def)

lemma *ptensor-mat-carrier*:
 $\text{ptensor-mat } m1\ m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d0\ d0$
by (simp add: carrier-matI)

lemma *ptensor-mat-add*:
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1\ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1\ d1$

and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-mat } (m1 + m2) \ m3 = \text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m3 + \text{ptensor-mat } m2 \ m3$
unfolding ptensor-mat-def
apply $(\text{rule } \text{partial-state.tensor-mat-add1})$
unfolding $\text{partial-state.d1-def } \text{partial-state.d2-def}$
 $\text{partial-state.dims1-def } \text{partial-state.dims2-def } \text{nths-vars1'}$
 nths-vars2'
using assms **unfolding** $d1\text{-def } d2\text{-def}$ **by** auto

lemma ptensor-mat-trace :
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{trace } (\text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m2) = \text{trace } m1 * \text{trace } m2$
unfolding ptensor-mat-def
apply $(\text{rule } \text{partial-state.tensor-mat-trace})$
unfolding $\text{partial-state.d1-def } \text{partial-state.d2-def}$
 $\text{partial-state.dims1-def } \text{partial-state.dims2-def } \text{nths-vars1' } \text{nths-vars2'}$
using assms **unfolding** $d1\text{-def } d2\text{-def}$ **by** auto

lemma ptensor-mat-id :
 $\text{ptensor-mat } (1_m \ d1) \ (1_m \ d2) = 1_m \ d0$
unfolding ptensor-mat-def
by $(\text{metis } d0\text{-def } d1\text{-def } d2\text{-def } \text{nths-vars1' } \text{nths-vars2'}$
 $\text{partial-state.d1-def } \text{partial-state.d2-def } \text{partial-state.dims1-def}$
 $\text{partial-state.dims2-def } \text{partial-state.tensor-mat-id } \text{state-sig.d-def})$

lemma ptensor-mat-mult :
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-mat } (m1 * m2) \ (m3 * m4) = \text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m3 * \text{ptensor-mat } m2 \ m4$
proof –
interpret $st: \text{partial-state } \text{dims0 } \text{vars1'}$.
have $st.d1 = d1$ **unfolding** $st.d1\text{-def } st.dims1-def \ d1\text{-def } \text{nths-vars1'}$ **by** auto
moreover **have** $st.d2 = d2$ **unfolding** $st.d2\text{-def } st.dims2-def \ d2\text{-def } \text{nths-vars2'}$
by auto
ultimately show $?thesis$ **unfolding** ptensor-mat-def
using $st.\text{tensor-mat-mult}$ assms **by** auto
qed

lemma $\text{ptensor-mat-mult-vec}$:
assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d1 \ d1$
and $v1 \in \text{carrier-vec } d1$
and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d2 \ d2$
and $v2 \in \text{carrier-vec } d2$
shows $\text{ptensor-vec } (m1 *_v \ v1) \ (m2 *_v \ v2) = \text{ptensor-mat } m1 \ m2 *_v \ \text{ptensor-vec}$

```

v1 v2
proof -
  interpret st: partial-state dims0 vars1'.
  have st.d1 = d1 unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def d1-def nth-vars1' by auto
  moreover have st.d2 = d2 unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def d2-def nth-vars2'
  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ptensor-mat-def ptensor-vec-def
    using st.tensor-mat-mult-vec assms by auto
qed

```

4.5 Partial extensions

definition *pmat-extension* :: '*a::comm-ring-1* mat $\Rightarrow$ '*a* mat **where**
pmat-extension *m* = *ptensor-mat* *m* (*1_m* *d2*)

lemma *pmat-extension-carrier*:
pmat-extension *m* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d0* *d0*
by (*simp* *add*: *pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-carrier*)

lemma *pmat-extension-add*:
assumes *m1* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
and *m2* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
shows *pmat-extension* (*m1* + *m2*) = *pmat-extension* *m1* + *pmat-extension* *m2*
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-add*)

lemma *pmat-extension-trace*:
assumes *m* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
shows *trace* (*pmat-extension* *m*) = *d2* * *trace* *m*
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-trace*)

lemma *pmat-extension-id*:
pmat-extension (*1_m* *d1*) = *1_m* *d0*
by (*simp* *add*: *pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-id*)

lemma *pmat-extension-mult*:
assumes *m1* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
and *m2* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d1* *d1*
shows *pmat-extension* (*m1* * *m2*) = *pmat-extension* *m1* * *pmat-extension* *m2*
using *assms* **by** (*simp* *add*: *pmat-extension-def ptensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)

end

context *state-sig*
begin

abbreviation *ptensor-vec* $\equiv$ *partial-state2.ptensor-vec*
abbreviation *ptensor-mat* $\equiv$ *partial-state2.ptensor-mat*
abbreviation *pmat-extension* $\equiv$ *partial-state2.pmat-extension*

Key property: commutativity of tensor product

```

lemma ptensor-mat-comm:
  fixes m1 m2 :: complex mat
  assumes vars1  $\cap$  vars2 = {}
  shows ptensor-mat dims vars1 vars2 m1 m2 = ptensor-mat dims vars2 vars1 m2 m1
proof –
  interpret st1: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2
  apply unfold-locales using assms by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims vars2 vars1
  apply unfold-locales using assms by auto

  have eq1: partial-state.encode1 st1.dims0 st1.vars1' = partial-state.encode2 st2.dims0 st2.vars1'
  apply (subst st1.ptensor-encode1-encode2)
  unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st1.vars2'-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def st2.vars1'-def
  by (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)
  have eq2: partial-state.encode2 st1.dims0 st1.vars1' = partial-state.encode1 st2.dims0 st2.vars1'
  apply (subst st1.ptensor-encode2-encode1[symmetric])
  unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st1.vars2'-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def st2.vars1'-def
  by (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)

  show ?thesis unfolding st1.ptensor-mat-def st2.ptensor-mat-def partial-state.tensor-mat-def
  apply (rule cong-mat, auto)
  subgoal unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def by
  (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)
  subgoal unfolding st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def by
  (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = vars2  $\cup$  vars1, auto)
  using eq1 eq2 by auto
qed

```

Key property: associativity of tensor product

```

lemma ind-in-set-mono:
  fixes a b :: nat and A :: nat set
  assumes a  $\in$  A b  $\in$  A a < b
  shows ind-in-set A a < ind-in-set A b
  unfolding ind-in-set-def
  apply (rule psubset-card-mono)
  subgoal by auto
proof –
  have x  $\in$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} if x  $\in$  {i  $\in$  A. i < a} for x
  using assms that by auto
  moreover have a  $\in$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} using assms by auto
  moreover have b  $\notin$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} by auto
  ultimately show {i  $\in$  A. i < a}  $\subset$  {i  $\in$  A. i < b} by blast
qed

```

```

lemma ind-in-set-inj:
  fixes  $a\ b :: \text{nat}$  and  $A :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes  $a \in A\ b \in A$  ind-in-set  $A\ a = \text{ind-in-set } A\ b$ 
  shows  $a = b$ 
proof –
  have ind-in-set  $A\ a < \text{ind-in-set } A\ b$  if  $a < b$ 
    by (rule ind-in-set-mono[OF assms(1) assms(2) that])
  moreover have ind-in-set  $A\ b < \text{ind-in-set } A\ a$  if  $b < a$ 
    by (rule ind-in-set-mono[OF assms(2) assms(1) that])
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms(3) by arith
qed

lemma ind-in-set-mono2:
  fixes  $a\ b :: \text{nat}$  and  $A :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes  $a \in A\ b \in A$  ind-in-set  $A\ a < \text{ind-in-set } A\ b$ 
  shows  $a < b$ 
  using ind-in-set-mono ind-in-set-inj
  by (metis assms not-less-iff-gr-or-eq)

lemma ind-in-set-bij-betw:
  fixes  $A\ B :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes  $B \subseteq A\ c \in B$ 
  shows bij-betw (ind-in-set  $A$ )  $\{i \in B. i < c\}$   $\{i \in \text{ind-in-set } A\ ' B. i < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c\}$ 
  unfolding bij-betw-def apply auto
proof –
  show inj-on (ind-in-set  $A$ )  $\{i \in B. i < c\}$ 
    unfolding inj-on-def apply auto
    using assms(1) ind-in-set-inj by blast
  show ind-in-set  $A\ x < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c$  if  $x \in B\ x < c$  for  $x$ 
    by (meson assms that ind-in-set-mono subsetCE)
  show ind-in-set  $A\ x \in \text{ind-in-set } A\ ' \{i \in B. i < c\}$  if ind-in-set  $A\ x < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c\ x \in B$  for  $x$ 
    using that ind-in-set-mono2 assms by blast
qed

lemma ind-in-set-assoc:
  fixes  $A\ B\ C :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes  $C \subseteq B\ B \subseteq A$ 
  shows ind-in-set (ind-in-set  $A\ ' B$ )  $'$  (ind-in-set  $A\ ' C$ ) = ind-in-set  $B\ ' C$ 
proof –
  have  $x \in \text{ind-in-set } (\text{ind-in-set } A\ ' B)\ ' (\text{ind-in-set } A\ ' C)$  if  $x: x \in \text{ind-in-set } B\ ' C$  for  $x$ 
  proof –
  obtain  $c$  where  $c: c \in C$  and x-eq:  $x = \text{card } \{i \in B. i < c\}$ 
    using  $x$  by (auto simp add: ind-in-set-def)
  have  $\text{card } \{i \in B. i < c\} = \text{card } \{i \in \text{ind-in-set } A\ ' B. i < \text{ind-in-set } A\ c\}$ 
    apply (rule bij-betw-same-card)
    using  $c$  assms by (auto intro: ind-in-set-bij-betw)
  qed

```

```

    then have ind-in-set (ind-in-set A ‘ B) (ind-in-set A c) = x
    apply (subst ind-in-set-def) using x-eq by auto
    then show ?thesis
    using ⟨c ∈ C⟩ by blast
qed
moreover have x ∈ ind-in-set B ‘ C if x: x ∈ ind-in-set (ind-in-set A ‘ B) ‘
(ind-in-set A ‘ C) for x
proof -
  obtain c where c: c ∈ C and x-eq: x = card {i ∈ ind-in-set A ‘ B. i <
ind-in-set A c}
  using x by (auto simp add: ind-in-set-def)
  have card {i ∈ B. i < c} = card {i ∈ ind-in-set A ‘ B. i < ind-in-set A c}
  apply (rule bij-betw-same-card)
  using c assms by (auto intro: ind-in-set-bij-betw)
  then have ind-in-set B c = x
  apply (subst ind-in-set-def) using x-eq by auto
  then show ?thesis
  using ⟨c ∈ C⟩ by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma nths-reencode-eq3:
  fixes A B C :: nat set
  assumes C ⊆ B B ⊆ A
  shows nths (nths xs (ind-in-set A ‘ B)) (ind-in-set B ‘ C) = nths xs (ind-in-set
A ‘ C)
  apply (subst ind-in-set-assoc[OF assms, symmetric])
  apply (rule nths-reencode-eq)
  using assms by blast

```

```

lemma nths-assoc-three-A:
  fixes A B C :: nat set
  assumes A ∩ B = {}
  and (A ∪ B) ∩ C = {}
  shows nths (nths xs (ind-in-set (A ∪ B ∪ C) ‘ (A ∪ B))) (ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘
A)
  = nths xs (ind-in-set (A ∪ B ∪ C) ‘ A)
  apply (rule nths-reencode-eq3) by auto

```

```

lemma nths-assoc-three-B:
  fixes A B C :: nat set
  assumes A ∩ B = {}
  and (A ∪ B) ∩ C = {}
  shows nths (nths xs (ind-in-set (A ∪ B ∪ C) ‘ (A ∪ B))) (ind-in-set (A ∪ B) ‘
B)
  = nths (nths xs (ind-in-set (A ∪ B ∪ C) ‘ (B ∪ C))) (ind-in-set (B ∪ C) ‘
B)
proof -

```

have $nths\ (nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } (A \cup B)))\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B) \text{ ‘ } B) = nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } B)$
using $nths-assoc-three-A[of\ B\ A\ C\ xs]\ assms$ **by** ($simp\ add:\ inf-commute\ sup-commute$)
moreover have $nths\ (nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } (B \cup C)))\ (ind-in-set\ (B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } B) = nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } B)$
using $nths-assoc-three-A[of\ B\ C\ A\ xs]\ assms$ **by** ($smt\ (verit)\ Un-empty\ inf-commute\ inf-sup-distrib2\ sup-assoc\ sup-commute$)
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $nths-assoc-three-C$:
fixes $A\ B\ C :: nat\ set$
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
and $(A \cup B) \cap C = \{\}$
shows $nths\ (nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } (B \cup C)))\ (ind-in-set\ (B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } C) = nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B \cup C) \text{ ‘ } C)$
using $nths-assoc-three-A[of\ C\ B\ A\ xs]\ assms$
by ($smt\ (verit)\ Un-empty\ inf-commute\ inf-sup-distrib2\ sup-assoc\ sup-commute$)

lemma $valid-index-ind-in-set$:
assumes $is \triangleleft nths\ dims\ A\ B \subseteq A$
shows $nths\ is\ (ind-in-set\ A \text{ ‘ } B) \triangleleft nths\ dims\ B$
apply ($subst\ nths-reencode-eq[OF\ assms(2),\ symmetric]$)
apply ($rule\ valid-index-nths$)
by ($rule\ assms(1)$)

lemma $ind-in-set-id$:
fixes $A :: nat\ set$
assumes $finite\ A$
shows $ind-in-set\ A \text{ ‘ } A = \{0..< card\ A\}$
unfolding $ind-in-set-def$ **apply** *auto*
subgoal using $assms\ lt-set-card-lt$ **by** *auto*
proof –
fix x **assume** $x < card\ A$
have $*$: $card\ \{i \in A.\ i < pick\ A\ x\} = x$
apply ($rule\ card-pick-le$) **by** ($rule\ x$)
show $x \in (\lambda x.\ card\ \{i \in A.\ i < x\}) \text{ ‘ } A$
apply ($subst\ *[symmetric]$)
apply ($rule\ imageI$)
apply ($rule\ pick-in-set-le$) **by** ($rule\ x$)
qed

lemma $nths-complement-ind-in-set$:
fixes $A\ B :: nat\ set$
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$
 $card\ (A \cup B) = length\ xs$
shows $nths\ xs\ (-\ ind-in-set\ (A \cup B) \text{ ‘ } A) = nths\ xs\ (ind-in-set\ (A \cup B) \text{ ‘ } B)$

```

apply (rule nths-split-complement-eq[symmetric])
subgoal apply auto using assms(1) ind-in-set-inj
  by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal subsetCE sup-ge1 sup-ge2)
proof –
  have *: ind-in-set ( $A \cup B$ ) ‘  $B \cup \text{ind-in-set } (A \cup B)$  ‘  $A = \text{ind-in-set } (A \cup B)$  ‘
( $A \cup B$ )
  by auto
  show  $\{0..<\text{length } xs\} \subseteq \text{ind-in-set } (A \cup B)$  ‘  $B \cup \text{ind-in-set } (A \cup B)$  ‘  $A$ 
  apply (auto simp add: * assms(2))
  using ind-in-set-id
  by (metis assms(2) atLeastLessThan-iff card.infinite not-le-imp-less not-less-zero)
qed

```

```

lemma ind-in-set-inj':
  fixes  $A B :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes  $B \subseteq A$ 
  shows inj-on (ind-in-set  $A$ )  $B$ 
proof (rule inj-onI)
  fix  $x y$  assume  $x \in B$  and  $y \in B$  and eq: ind-in-set  $A$   $x = \text{ind-in-set } A$   $y$ 
  have  $x' : x \in A$  using  $x$  assms by auto
  have  $y' : y \in A$  using  $y$  assms by auto
  show  $x = y$  by (rule ind-in-set-inj[OF x' y' eq])
qed

```

```

lemma ind-in-set-less:
  fixes  $x :: \text{nat}$  and  $A :: \text{nat set}$ 
  assumes finite  $A$   $x \in A$ 
  shows ind-in-set  $A$   $x < \text{card } A$ 
  unfolding ind-in-set-def
  apply (rule psubset-card-mono) using assms by auto

```

```

lemma ptensor-mat-assoc:
  assumes  $\text{vars1} \cap \text{vars2} = \{\}$ 
  and  $(\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2}) \cap \text{vars3} = \{\}$ 
  and  $\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3} \subseteq \{0..<\text{length } \text{dims}\}$ 
  shows ptensor-mat dims  $(\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2})$   $\text{vars3}$  (ptensor-mat dims  $\text{vars1}$   $\text{vars2}$ 
 $m1$   $m2$ )  $m3 =$ 
  ptensor-mat dims  $\text{vars1}$   $(\text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3})$   $m1$  (ptensor-mat dims  $\text{vars2}$   $\text{vars3}$ 
 $m2$   $m3$ )
proof –
  interpret a: partial-state2 dims  $\text{vars1}$   $\text{vars2}$ 
  by (unfold-locales, rule assms(1))
  interpret b: partial-state2 dims  $\text{vars1} \cup \text{vars2}$   $\text{vars3}$ 
  by (unfold-locales, rule assms(2))
  interpret c: partial-state2 dims  $\text{vars2}$   $\text{vars3}$ 
  apply unfold-locales using assms(2) by auto
  interpret d: partial-state2 dims  $\text{vars1}$   $\text{vars2} \cup \text{vars3}$ 
  apply unfold-locales using assms by auto

```

```

have uassoc: vars1  $\cup$  (vars2  $\cup$  vars3) = vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3
by auto

have **: {i. i < length dims  $\wedge$  (i  $\in$  vars1  $\vee$  i  $\in$  vars2  $\vee$  i  $\in$  vars3)} = vars1  $\cup$ 
vars2  $\cup$  vars3
using assms(3) by auto

have finite-union: finite (vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3)
using assms(3)
using subset-eq-atLeast0-lessThan-finite by blast

have m1eq: digit-encode a.dims0 (digit-decode b.dims1 (nth (digit-encode b.dims0
i) b.vars1'))
= nth (digit-encode b.dims0 i) b.vars1' if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
unfolding a.dims0-def a.vars0-def b.dims1-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def b.vars1'-def
apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def by auto
have m1index: partial-state.encode1 a.dims0 a.vars1' (partial-state.encode1 b.dims0
b.vars1' i)
= partial-state.encode1 d.dims0 d.vars1' i if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
unfolding partial-state.encode1-def partial-state.dims1-def a.nths-vars1' d.nths-vars1'
b.nths-vars1'
apply (rule arg-cong[where f=digit-decode d.dims1])
apply (subst m1eq[OF that])
unfolding a.vars0-def a.vars1'-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def b.vars1'-def d.dims0-def
d.vars0-def d.vars1'-def
using nths-assoc-three-A[OF assms(1-2)] using uassoc by auto

have m2eq1: digit-encode a.dims0 (digit-decode (nth b.dims0 b.vars1') (nth
(digit-encode b.dims0 i) b.vars1'))
= nth (digit-encode b.dims0 i) b.vars1'
if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
unfolding a.dims0-def a.vars0-def b.nths-vars1' b.dims1-def
apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
unfolding b.vars1'-def
apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
unfolding b.dims0-def
apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def by auto

have m2eq2: digit-encode c.dims0 (digit-decode (nth d.dims0 (- d.vars1')) (nth
(digit-encode d.dims0 i) (- d.vars1')))
= nth (digit-encode d.dims0 i) (- d.vars1')
if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
unfolding c.dims0-def c.vars0-def d.nths-vars2' d.dims2-def
apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
unfolding d.vars1'-def d.vars0-def

```

```

    apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode d.dims0-def d.vars0-def
length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
  unfolding d.dims0-def d.vars0-def
  apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
  using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def using uassoc by
auto

  have m2index: partial-state.encode2 a.dims0 a.vars1' (partial-state.encode1 b.dims0
b.vars1' i) =
    partial-state.encode1 c.dims0 c.vars1' (partial-state.encode2 d.dims0 d.vars1' i)
  if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
  unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.encode1-def
    partial-state.dims2-def a.nths-vars2' partial-state.dims1-def c.nths-vars1'
    a.dims2-def c.dims1-def
  apply (rule arg-cong[where f=digit-decode (nths dims vars2)])
  apply (subst m2eq1[OF that])
  apply (subst m2eq2[OF that])
  unfolding b.dims0-def b.vars0-def b.vars1'-def a.vars1'-def a.vars0-def
    d.dims0-def d.vars0-def d.vars1'-def c.vars1'-def c.vars0-def
  apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-nths length-digit-encode)
    apply (rule bij-betw-same-card[where f=ind-in-set (vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3)])
      unfolding bij-betw-def apply (rule conjI)
      subgoal apply (rule ind-in-set-inj') by auto
      apply auto using finite-union by (auto simp add: ** intro: ind-in-set-less)
    apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  using nth-assoc-three-B[OF assms(1-2)] uassoc by auto

  have m3eq: digit-encode c.dims0 (digit-decode d.dims2 (nths (digit-encode d.dims0
i) (- d.vars1')))
    = nths (digit-encode d.dims0 i) (- d.vars1') if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
  unfolding c.dims0-def c.vars0-def d.dims2-def d.dims0-def d.vars1'-def d.vars0-def
  apply (subst digit-encode-decode)
  apply (subst nth-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  apply (rule valid-index-ind-in-set)
  apply (rule digit-encode-valid-index)
  using that unfolding state-sig.d-def b.dims0-def b.vars0-def using uassoc by
auto

```

```

have m3index: partial-state.encode2 c.dims0 c.vars1' (partial-state.encode2 d.dims0
d.vars1' i) =
  partial-state.encode2 b.dims0 b.vars1' i
  if i < state-sig.d b.dims0 for i
  unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.dims2-def c.nths-vars2' d.nths-vars2'
b.nths-vars2'
  apply (rule arg-cong[where f=digit-decode c.dims2])
  apply (subst m3eq[OF that])
  unfolding d.dims0-def d.vars0-def d.vars1'-def c.vars1'-def b.dims0-def b.vars1'-def
b.vars0-def c.vars0-def
  apply (subst nths-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-digit-encode length-nths)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  apply (subst nths-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-nths length-digit-encode)
    apply (rule bij-betw-same-card[where f=ind-in-set (vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3)])
    unfolding bij-betw-def apply (rule conjI)
    subgoal apply (rule ind-in-set-inj') by auto
    apply (auto simp add: uassoc) using finite-union
    by (auto simp add: ** intro: ind-in-set-less)
  apply (subst nths-complement-ind-in-set)
  subgoal using assms by auto
  subgoal apply (auto simp only: length-nths length-digit-encode)
    by (auto simp add: ** uassoc)
  using nths-assoc-three-C[OF assms(1-2)] uassoc by auto

show ?thesis
  unfolding a.ptensor-mat-def b.ptensor-mat-def c.ptensor-mat-def d.ptensor-mat-def
partial-state.tensor-mat-def
  apply (rule cong-mat)
  subgoal unfolding b.dims0-def d.dims0-def b.vars0-def d.vars0-def
  apply (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3 = vars1  $\cup$  (vars2  $\cup$  vars3)) by
auto
  subgoal unfolding b.dims0-def d.dims0-def b.vars0-def d.vars0-def
  apply (subgoal-tac vars1  $\cup$  vars2  $\cup$  vars3 = vars1  $\cup$  (vars2  $\cup$  vars3)) by
auto
  subgoal for i j
  proof –
    assume lti: i < state-sig.d b.dims0 and ltj: j < state-sig.d b.dims0
    have lti': i < state-sig.d d.dims0 using  $\langle$ state-sig.d b.dims0 = state-sig.d
d.dims0 $\rangle$  lti by auto
    have ltj': j < state-sig.d d.dims0 using  $\langle$ state-sig.d b.dims0 = state-sig.d
d.dims0 $\rangle$  ltj by auto
    have eq1: partial-state.d2 d.dims0 d.vars1' = state-sig.d c.dims0
    unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def d.nths-vars2'
d.dims2-def state-sig.d-def c.dims0-def c.vars0-def by auto

```

```

have eq2: partial-state.d1 b.dims0 b.vars1' = state-sig.d a.dims0
  unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def b.nths-vars1'
    b.dims1-def state-sig.d-def a.dims0-def a.vars0-def by auto
have lt1: partial-state.encode2 d.dims0 d.vars1' i < state-sig.d c.dims0
  using partial-state.encode2-lt[OF lti', where vars=d.vars1'] eq1 by auto
have lt2: partial-state.encode2 d.dims0 d.vars1' j < state-sig.d c.dims0
  using partial-state.encode2-lt[OF ltj', where vars=d.vars1'] eq1 by auto
have lt3: partial-state.encode1 b.dims0 b.vars1' i < state-sig.d a.dims0
  using partial-state.encode1-lt[OF lti, where vars=b.vars1'] eq2 by auto
have lt4: partial-state.encode1 b.dims0 b.vars1' j < state-sig.d a.dims0
  using partial-state.encode1-lt[OF ltj, where vars=b.vars1'] eq2 by auto
show ?thesis
  apply (auto simp add: lt1 lt2 lt3 lt4)
  apply (simp only: m1index[OF lti] m1index[OF ltj] m2index[OF lti] m2in-
dex[OF ltj] m3index[OF lti] m3index[OF ltj])
  by auto
qed
done
qed

```

Some simple consequences of associativity

lemma *pmat-extension-assoc*:

```

assumes vars1 ∩ vars2 = {}
  and (vars1 ∪ vars2) ∩ vars3 = {}
  and vars1 ∪ vars2 ∪ vars3 ⊆ {0..length dims}
shows pmat-extension dims vars1 (vars2 ∪ vars3) m =
      pmat-extension dims (vars1 ∪ vars2) vars3 (pmat-extension dims vars1
vars2 m)
proof -
  interpret a: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2
    by (unfold-locales, rule assms(1))
  interpret b: partial-state2 dims vars1 ∪ vars2 vars3
    by (unfold-locales, rule assms(2))
  interpret c: partial-state2 dims vars2 vars3
    apply unfold-locales using assms(2) by auto
  interpret d: partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2 ∪ vars3
    apply unfold-locales using assms by auto
  have a.d2 = c.d1
    by (simp add: c.d1-def a.d2-def c.dims1-def a.dims2-def)
  have c.d0 = d.d2
    by (simp add: c.d0-def d.d2-def c.dims0-def d.dims2-def c.vars0-def)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding a.pmat-extension-def b.pmat-extension-def d.pmat-extension-def
    apply (simp add: ptensor-mat-assoc[OF assms])
    apply (simp add: ⟨a.d2 = c.d1⟩ c.ptensor-mat-id)
    by (simp add: ⟨c.d0 = d.d2⟩)
qed
end

```

4.6 Commands on subset of variables

context *state-sig*
begin

definition *Utrans-P* :: *nat set* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *com* **where**
Utrans-P *vars U* = *Utrans* (*mat-extension* *dims vars U*)

lemma *well-com-Utrans-P*:
assumes *U* $\in$ *carrier-mat* (*prod-list* (*nths dims vars*)) (*prod-list* (*nths dims vars*))
and *unitary U*
shows *well-com* (*Utrans-P* *vars U*)
proof –
have 1: *mat-extension dims vars U* $\in$ *carrier-mat* *d d*
by (*rule partial-state.mat-extension-carrier*)
have 2: *unitary* (*mat-extension dims vars U*)
apply (*rule partial-state.mat-extension-unitary*)
unfolding *partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
show *well-com* (*Utrans-P* *vars U*)
using 1 2 *Utrans-P-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *Measure-P* :: *nat set* $\Rightarrow$ *nat* $\Rightarrow$ (*nat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat*) $\Rightarrow$ *com list* $\Rightarrow$ *com* **where**
Measure-P *vars n Ps Cs* = *Measure* *n* ($\lambda n.$ *mat-extension dims vars* (*Ps n*)) *Cs*

definition *While-P* :: *nat set* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *com* $\Rightarrow$ *com*
where
While-P *vars M0 M1 C* = *While* ($\lambda n.$
if *n* = 0 *then mat-extension dims vars M0*
else if *n* = 1 *then mat-extension dims vars M1*
else undefined) *C*

end

end

5 Standard gates

theory *Gates*
imports *Complex-Matrix*
begin

Pauli matrices

definition *sigma-x* :: *complex mat* **where**
sigma-x = *mat-of-rows-list* 2 $[[0, 1], [1, 0]]$

definition *sigma-y* :: *complex mat* **where**
sigma-y = *mat-of-rows-list* 2 $[[0, -i], [i, 0]]$

definition *sigma-z* :: complex mat **where**
sigma-z = mat-of-rows-list 2 [[1, 0], [0, -1]]

Hadamard matrices

definition *hadamard* :: complex mat **where**
hadamard = mat 2 2 ($\lambda(i, j).$ if ($i = 0 \vee j = 0$) then $1 / \text{csqrt } 2$ else $- 1 / \text{sqrt } 2$)

lemma *hadamard-dim*:
hadamard $\in$ carrier-mat 2 2
unfolding *hadamard-def* mat-of-rows-list-def **by** auto

lemma *hermitian-hadamard*:
hermitian hadamard
unfolding *hermitian-def hadamard-def*
apply (rule eq-matI) **by** (auto simp add: adjoint-eval adjoint-dim)

lemma *csqrt-2-sq*:
 $\text{complex-of-real } (\text{sqrt } 2) * \text{complex-of-real } (\text{sqrt } 2) = 2$
by (smt (verit) of-real-add of-real-hom.hom-one of-real-power one-add-one power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2)

lemma *sum-le-2*:
 $\bigwedge(f :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex}). \text{sum } f \{0..<2\} = f \ 0 + f \ 1$
by (simp add: numeral-2-eq-2)

lemma *unitary-hadamard*:
unitary hadamard
unfolding *unitary-def* **apply** (rule)
subgoal using carrier-matD[OF *hadamard-dim*] *hadamard-def* **by** auto
apply (subst *hermitian-hadamard*[*unfolded hermitian-def*])
unfolding *inverts-mat-def*
apply (rule eq-matI) **unfolding** *hadamard-def*
apply (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF *hadamard-dim*] scalar-prod-def)
by (auto simp add: sum-le-2 csqrt-2-sq)

The matrix [0 0 .. 0 1 1 0 .. 0 0 0 1 .. 0 0 0 0 .. 1 0] implements $i := i + 1$ in the last variable.

definition *mat-incr* :: nat $\Rightarrow$ complex mat **where**
mat-incr $n = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i, j).$ if $i = 0$ then (if $j = n - 1$ then 1 else 0) else (if $i = j + 1$ then 1 else 0))

lemma *mat-incr-dim*:
mat-incr $n \in$ carrier-mat $n \ n$
unfolding *mat-incr-def* **by** auto

lemma *adjoint-mat-incr*:
 $\text{adjoint } (\text{mat-incr } n) = \text{mat } n \ n \ (\lambda(i, j).$ if $j = 0$ then (if $i = n - 1$ then 1 else 0) else (if $j = i + 1$ then 1 else 0))

```

apply (rule eq-matI) unfolding mat-incr-def
by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

lemma mat-incr-mult-adjoint-mat-incr:
  shows mat-incr  $n$  * (adjoint (mat-incr  $n$ )) =  $1_m$   $n$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI, simp)
    apply (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF mat-incr-dim] scalar-prod-def)
  unfolding adjoint-mat-incr unfolding mat-incr-def
  apply (simp-all)
  apply (case-tac  $j = 0$ )
  subgoal for  $j$  by (simp add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of -  $n$  - Suc 0])
  subgoal for  $j$  by (simp add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of -  $j$  - 1])
  done

lemma unitary-mat-incr:
  unitary (mat-incr  $n$ )
  unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def
  using carrier-matD[OF mat-incr-dim] mat-incr-mult-adjoint-mat-incr by auto

end

```

6 Partial and total correctness

```

theory Quantum-Hoare
  imports Quantum-Program
begin

```

```

context state-sig
begin

```

```

definition density-states :: state set where
  density-states = { $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \text{ d. partial-density-operator } \varrho$ }

```

```

lemma denote-density-states:
   $\varrho \in \text{density-states} \implies \text{well-com } S \implies \text{denote } S \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  by (simp add: denote-dim-pdo density-states-def)

```

```

definition is-quantum-predicate :: complex mat  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-quantum-predicate  $P \longleftrightarrow P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \text{ d} \wedge \text{positive } P \wedge P \leq_L 1_m \text{ } d$ 

```

```

lemma trace-measurement2:
  assumes  $m$ : measurement  $n$  2  $M$  and  $dA$ :  $A \in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$ 
  shows trace (( $M$  0) *  $A$  * adjoint ( $M$  0)) + trace (( $M$  1) *  $A$  * adjoint ( $M$  1))
    = trace  $A$ 
  proof -
    from  $m$  have  $dM0$ :  $M$  0  $\in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$  and  $dM1$ :  $M$  1  $\in \text{carrier-mat } n \text{ } n$ 
  and  $id$ : adjoint ( $M$  0) * ( $M$  0) + adjoint ( $M$  1) * ( $M$  1) =  $1_m$   $n$ 
    using measurement-def measurement-id2 by auto
    have trace ( $M$  1 *  $A$  * adjoint ( $M$  1)) + trace ( $M$  0 *  $A$  * adjoint ( $M$  0))

```

```

    = trace ((adjoint (M 0) * M 0 + adjoint (M 1) * M 1) * A)
    using dM0 dM1 dA by (mat-assoc n)
    also have ... = trace (1m n * A) using id by auto
    also have ... = trace A using dA by auto
    finally show ?thesis
      using dA dM0 dM1 local.id state-sig.trace-measure2-id by blast
qed

lemma qp-close-under-unitary-operator:
  fixes U P :: complex mat
  assumes dU: U ∈ carrier-mat d d
  and u: unitary U
  and qp: is-quantum-predicate P
  shows is-quantum-predicate (adjoint U * P * U)
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (auto)
  have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d using qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  show adjoint U * P * U ∈ carrier-mat d d using dU dP by fastforce
  have positive P using qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  then show positive (adjoint U * P * U)
    using positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dU] dP,
simplified adjoint-adjoint] by fastforce
  have adjoint U * U = 1m d apply (subgoal-tac inverts-mat (adjoint U) U)
    subgoal unfolding inverts-mat-def using dU by auto
  using u unfolding unitary-def using inverts-mat-symm[OF dU adjoint-dim[OF
dU]] by auto
  then have u': adjoint U * 1m d * U = 1m d using dU by auto
  have le: P ≤L 1m d using qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  show adjoint U * P * U ≤L 1m d
    using lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF dU dP one-carrier-mat le] u' by
auto
qed

lemma gps-after-measure-is-qp:
  assumes m: measurement d n M and qpk:  $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } (P\ k)$ 
  shows is-quantum-predicate (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n)
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (auto)
  have dMk:  $k < n \implies M\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for k using m measurement-def
  by auto
  moreover have dPk:  $k < n \implies P\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for k using qpk
  is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  ultimately have dk:  $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ 
  for k by fastforce
  then show d: matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n ∈ carrier-mat
  d d
    using matrix-sum-dim[of n λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k] by auto
  have  $k < n \implies \text{positive } (P\ k)$  for k using qpk is-quantum-predicate-def by auto

```

then have $k < n \implies \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \text{ for } k$
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF adjoint-dim*[*OF dMk*] *dPk*,
simplified adjoint-adjoint] **by** *fastforce*
then show *positive (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n)* **using**
matrix-sum-positive dk **by** *auto*
have $k < n \implies P \ k \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **for** k **using** *qpk is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*
then have $k < n \implies \text{positive } (1_m \ d - P \ k)$ **for** k **using** *lowner-le-def* **by** *auto*
then have $p: k < n \implies \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (1_m \ d - P \ k) * M \ k)$ **for** k
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint*[*OF adjoint-dim*[*OF dMk*], *simplified adjoint-adjoint*, *of - 1_m d - P k*] *dPk* **by** *fastforce*
{
 fix k **assume** $k: k < n$
 have $\text{adjoint } (M \ k) * (1_m \ d - P \ k) * M \ k = \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k - \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k$
 apply (*mat-assoc d*) **using** *dMk dPk k* **by** *auto*
}
note *split = this*
have $dk': k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k - \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **using** *dMk dPk* **by** *fastforce*
have $k < n \implies \text{positive } (\text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k - \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k)$
for k **using** *p split* **by** *auto*
then have $p': \text{positive } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k - \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \ n)$
using *matrix-sum-positive*[*OF dk'*, *of n id*, *simplified*] **by** *auto*
have $daMMk: k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k **using**
dMk **by** *fastforce*
have $daMPMk: k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **for** k
using *dMk dPk* **by** *fastforce*
have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k - \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \ n$
 $= \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k) \ n - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \ n$
using *matrix-sum-minus-distrib*[*OF daMMk daMPMk*] **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = 1_m \ d - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \ n$ **using**
m measurement-def **by** *auto*
finally have $\text{positive } (1_m \ d - \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \ n)$ **using** *p'* **by** *auto*
then show $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * P \ k * M \ k) \ n \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **using**
lowner-le-def d **by** *auto*
qed

definition *hoare-total-correct* :: *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *com* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* ($\langle \models_t$
 $\{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\} \rangle \ 50$) **where**
 $\models_t \{P\} \ S \ \{Q\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varrho \in \text{density-states. } \text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S \ \varrho))$

definition *hoare-partial-correct* :: *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *com* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
 $(\langle \models_p \{(1-)\} / (-) / \{(1-)\} \rangle \ 50)$ **where**
 $\models_p \{P\} \ S \ \{Q\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall \varrho \in \text{density-states. } \text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S \ \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho)))$

```

lemma total-implies-partial:
  assumes S: well-com S
    and total:  $\models_t \{P\} S \{Q\}$ 
  shows  $\models_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$ 
proof -
  have trace (P *  $\varrho$ )  $\leq$  trace (Q * denote S  $\varrho$ ) + (trace  $\varrho$  - trace (denote S  $\varrho$ )) if
 $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$ 
  proof -
    have trace (P *  $\varrho$ )  $\leq$  trace (Q * denote S  $\varrho$ )
      using total hoare-total-correct-def  $\varrho$  by auto
    moreover have trace (denote S  $\varrho$ )  $\leq$  trace  $\varrho$ 
      using denote-trace[OF S]  $\varrho$  density-states-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    using hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
qed

lemma predicate-prob-positive:
  assumes  $0_m \ d \ d \leq_L P$ 
    and  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  shows  $0 \leq \text{trace} (P * \varrho)$ 
proof -
  have trace ( $0_m \ d \ d * \varrho$ )  $\leq$  trace (P *  $\varrho$ )
    apply (rule lower-le-traceD)
    using assms unfolding lower-le-def density-states-def by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using assms(2) density-states-def by auto
qed

lemma total-pre-zero:
  assumes S: well-com S
    and Q: is-quantum-predicate Q
  shows  $\models_t \{0_m \ d \ d\} S \{Q\}$ 
proof -
  have trace ( $0_m \ d \ d * \varrho$ )  $\leq$  trace (Q * denote S  $\varrho$ ) if  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$ 
  proof -
    have 1: trace ( $0_m \ d \ d * \varrho$ ) = 0
      using  $\varrho$  unfolding density-states-def by auto
    show ?thesis
      apply (subst 1)
      apply (rule predicate-prob-positive)
      subgoal apply (simp add: lower-le-def, subgoal-tac Q -  $0_m \ d \ d = Q$ ) using
Q is-quantum-predicate-def[of Q] by auto
      subgoal using denote-density-states  $\varrho$  S by auto
    done
  qed
qed

```

```

then show ?thesis
using hoare-total-correct-def by auto
qed

lemma partial-post-identity:
  assumes  $S$ : well-com  $S$ 
  and  $P$ : is-quantum-predicate  $P$ 
  shows  $\models_P \{P\} S \{1_m \ d\}$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (1_m \ d * \text{denote } S \ \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho))$ 
  if  $\varrho$ :  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{denote } S \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
    using  $S$  denote-dim  $\varrho$  density-states-def by auto
    then have  $\text{trace } (1_m \ d * \text{denote } S \ \varrho) = \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \ \varrho)$ 
    by auto
    moreover have  $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (1_m \ d * \varrho)$ 
    apply (rule lowner-le-traceD)
    using  $\varrho$  unfolding density-states-def apply auto
    using  $P$  is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using density-states-def that by auto
  qed
then show ?thesis
using hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
qed

```

6.1 Weakest liberal preconditions

definition *is-weakest-liberal-precondition* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{com} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\text{is-weakest-liberal-precondition } W \ S \ P \longleftrightarrow$$

$$\text{is-quantum-predicate } W \wedge \models_P \{W\} S \{P\} \wedge (\forall Q. \text{is-quantum-predicate } Q \longrightarrow \models_P \{Q\} S \{P\} \longrightarrow Q \leq_L W)$$

definition *wlp-measure* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow ((\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \text{ list}) \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**

$$\text{wlp-measure } n \ M \ WS \ P = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * ((WS!k) \ P) * (M \ k)) \ n$$

fun *wlp-while-n* :: $\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow (\text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**

$$\text{wlp-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ WS \ 0 \ P = 1_m \ d$$

$$| \text{wlp-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ WS \ (\text{Suc } n) \ P = \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * (WS \ (\text{wlp-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ WS \ n \ P)) * M1$$

lemma *measurement2-leq-one-mat*:

assumes dP : $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** dQ : $Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

and $leP: P \leq_L 1_m d$ **and** $leQ: Q \leq_L 1_m d$ **and** $m: \text{measurement } d \ 2 \ M$
shows $(\text{adjoint } (M \ 0) * P * (M \ 0) + \text{adjoint } (M \ 1) * Q * (M \ 1)) \leq_L 1_m d$
proof –
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M \ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M \ 1$
have $dM0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $dM1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** m
 $M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def measurement-def}$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M1 * 1_m d * M1$
using $\text{lower-le-keep-under-measurement}[OF \ dM1 \ dQ - leQ]$ **by** *auto*
then have $le1: \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M1 * M1$ **using** $dM1 \ dQ$ **by**
fastforce
have $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * 1_m d * M0$
using $\text{lower-le-keep-under-measurement}[OF \ dM0 \ dP - leP]$ **by** *auto*
then have $le0: \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * M0$
using $dM0 \ dP$ **by** *fastforce*
have $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 +$
 $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1$
apply $(\text{rule lower-le-add}[of \ \text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 \ d \ \text{adjoint } M0 * M0 \ \text{adjoint}$
 $M1 * Q * M1 \ \text{adjoint } M1 * M1])$
using $dM0 \ dP \ dM1 \ dQ \ le0 \ le1$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = 1_m d$ **using** $m \ M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def measurement-id2}$ **by** *auto*
finally show $\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1 \leq_L 1_m d.$
qed

lemma *wlp-while-n-close*:

assumes *close*: $\bigwedge P. \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } (WS \ P)$
and $m: \text{measurement } d \ 2 \ M$ **and** $qpP: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P$
shows $\text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp-while-n } (M \ 0) \ (M \ 1) \ WS \ k \ P)$
proof (*induct k*)
case 0
then show *?case*
unfolding $\text{wlp-while-n.simps is-quantum-predicate-def}$ **using** $\text{positive-one}[of \ d]$
 $\text{lower-le-refl}[of \ 1_m \ d]$ **by** *fastforce*
next
case (*Suc k*)
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M \ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M \ 1$
define W **where** $W \ k = \text{wlp-while-n } M0 \ M1 \ WS \ k \ P$ **for** k
show *?case* **unfolding** $\text{wlp-while-n.simps is-quantum-predicate-def}$
proof ($\text{fold } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def, fold } W\text{-def, auto}$)
have $dM0: M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **and** $dM1: M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** m
 $M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def measurement-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $dP: P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** $qpP \ \text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $qpWk: \text{is-quantum-predicate } (W \ k)$ **using** $\text{Suc } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def } W\text{-def}$ **by**
auto
then have $qpWWk: \text{is-quantum-predicate } (WS \ (W \ k))$ **using** *close* **by** *auto*
from $qpWk$ **have** $dWk: W \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **using** $\text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$
by *auto*

```

from  $qpWWk$  have  $dWWk$ :  $WS (W k) \in carrier\_mat\ d\ d$  using  $is\_quantum\_predicate\_def$ 
by  $auto$ 
  show  $adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * WS (W k) * M1 \in carrier\_mat\ d$ 
using  $dM0\ dP\ dM1\ dWWk$  by  $auto$ 

  have  $pP$ :  $positive\ P$  using  $qpP\ is\_quantum\_predicate\_def$  by  $auto$ 
  then have  $pM0P$ :  $positive\ (adjoint\ M0 * P * M0)$ 
    using  $positive\_close\_under\_left\_right\_mult\_adjoint[OF\ adjoint\_dim[OF\ dM0]]$ 
 $dM0\ dP\ adjoint\_adjoint[of\ M0]$  by  $auto$ 
  have  $pWWk$ :  $positive\ (WS (W k))$  using  $qpWWk\ is\_quantum\_predicate\_def$  by
 $auto$ 
  then have  $pM1WWk$ :  $positive\ (adjoint\ M1 * WS (W k) * M1)$ 
    using  $positive\_close\_under\_left\_right\_mult\_adjoint[OF\ adjoint\_dim[OF\ dM1]]$ 
 $dM1\ dWWk\ adjoint\_adjoint[of\ M1]$  by  $auto$ 
  then show  $positive\ (adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * WS (W k) * M1)$ 
    using  $positive\_add[OF\ pM0P\ pM1WWk]\ dM0\ dP\ dM1\ dWWk$  by  $fastforce$ 

  have  $leWWk$ :  $WS (W k) \leq_L 1_m\ d$  using  $qpWWk\ is\_quantum\_predicate\_def$  by
 $auto$ 
  have  $leP$ :  $P \leq_L 1_m\ d$  using  $qpP\ is\_quantum\_predicate\_def$  by  $auto$ 
  show  $(adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * WS (W k) * M1) \leq_L 1_m\ d$ 
    using  $measurement2\_leq\_one\_mat[OF\ dP\ dWWk\ leP\ leWWk\ m]\ M0\_def\ M1\_def$ 
by  $auto$ 
  qed
qed

lemma  $wlp\_while\_n\_mono$ :
  assumes  $\bigwedge P. is\_quantum\_predicate\ P \implies is\_quantum\_predicate\ (WS\ P)$ 
  and  $\bigwedge P\ Q. is\_quantum\_predicate\ P \implies is\_quantum\_predicate\ Q \implies P \leq_L Q$ 
 $\implies WS\ P \leq_L WS\ Q$ 
  and  $measurement\ d\ 2\ M$ 
  and  $is\_quantum\_predicate\ P$ 
  and  $is\_quantum\_predicate\ Q$ 
  and  $P \leq_L Q$ 
  shows  $(wlp\_while\_n\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ k\ P) \leq_L (wlp\_while\_n\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ k\ Q)$ 
proof  $(induct\ k)$ 
  case  $0$ 
    then show  $?case$  unfolding  $wlp\_while\_n.simps$  using  $lower\_le\_refl[of\ 1_m\ d]$  by
 $fastforce$ 
  next
    case  $(Suc\ k)$ 
    define  $M0$  where  $M0 = M\ 0$ 
    define  $M1$  where  $M1 = M\ 1$ 
    have  $dM0$ :  $M0 \in carrier\_mat\ d\ d$  and  $dM1$ :  $M1 \in carrier\_mat\ d\ d$  using  $assms$ 
 $M0\_def\ M1\_def\ measurement\_def$  by  $auto$ 
    define  $W$  where  $W\ P\ k = wlp\_while\_n\ M0\ M1\ WS\ k\ P$  for  $k\ P$ 

    have  $dP$ :  $P \in carrier\_mat\ d\ d$  and  $dQ$ :  $Q \in carrier\_mat\ d\ d$  using  $assms$ 

```

is-quantum-predicate-def **by** *auto*

have *eq1*: $W\ P\ (Suc\ k) = adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * (WS\ (W\ P\ k))$
 $*\ M1$ **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps* **by** *auto*
have *eq2*: $W\ Q\ (Suc\ k) = adjoint\ M0 * Q * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * (WS\ (W\ Q\ k))$
 $*\ M1$ **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps* **by** *auto*
have *le1*: $adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 \leq_L adjoint\ M0 * Q * M0$ **using** *lowner-le-keep-under-measurement dM0 dP dQ assms* **by** *auto*
have *leWk*: $(W\ P\ k) \leq_L (W\ Q\ k)$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def* **using** *Suc*
by *auto*
have *qpWPk*: *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ P\ k)$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have *is-quantum-predicate* $(WS\ (W\ P\ k))$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *dWWPk*: $(WS\ (W\ P\ k)) \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
have *qpWQk*: *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ Q\ k)$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have *is-quantum-predicate* $(WS\ (W\ Q\ k))$ **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def*
using *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *dWWQk*: $(WS\ (W\ Q\ k)) \in carrier_mat\ d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*

have $(WS\ (W\ P\ k)) \leq_L (WS\ (W\ Q\ k))$ **using** *qpWPk qpWQk leWk assms* **by**
auto
then have *le2*: $adjoint\ M1 * (WS\ (W\ P\ k)) * M1 \leq_L adjoint\ M1 * (WS\ (W\ Q\ k)) * M1$
using *lowner-le-keep-under-measurement dM1 dWWPk dWWQk* **by** *auto*

have $(adjoint\ M0 * P * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * (WS\ (W\ P\ k)) * M1) \leq_L (adjoint\ M0 * Q * M0 + adjoint\ M1 * (WS\ (W\ Q\ k)) * M1)$
using *lowner-le-add[OF - - - le1 le2]* *dM0 dP dM1 dQ dWWPk dWWQk le1 le2* **by** *fastforce*

then have $W\ P\ (Suc\ k) \leq_L W\ Q\ (Suc\ k)$ **using** *eq1 eq2* **by** *auto*
then show *?case* **unfolding** *W-def M0-def M1-def* **by** *auto*
qed

definition *wlp-while* :: *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ (*complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat*) $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **where**

wlp-while *M0 M1 WS P* = (*THE* *Q. limit-mat* $(\lambda n. wlp_while_n\ M0\ M1\ WS\ n\ P)\ Q\ d$)

lemma *wlp-while-exists*:

assumes $\bigwedge P. is_quantum_predicate\ P \Longrightarrow is_quantum_predicate\ (WS\ P)$
and $\bigwedge P\ Q. is_quantum_predicate\ P \Longrightarrow is_quantum_predicate\ Q \Longrightarrow P \leq_L Q$
 $\Longrightarrow WS\ P \leq_L WS\ Q$
and *m*: *measurement* *d* 2 *M*
and *qpP*: *is-quantum-predicate* *P*

shows *is-quantum-predicate* (*wlp-while* (*M 0*) (*M 1*) *WS P*)
 $\wedge (\forall n. (\text{wlp-while } (M\ 0) (M\ 1) \text{ WS } P) \leq_L (\text{wlp-while-n } (M\ 0) (M\ 1) \text{ WS } n\ P))$
 $\wedge (\forall W'. (\forall n. W' \leq_L (\text{wlp-while-n } (M\ 0) (M\ 1) \text{ WS } n\ P)) \longrightarrow W' \leq_L (\text{wlp-while } (M\ 0) (M\ 1) \text{ WS } P))$
 $\wedge \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-n } (M\ 0) (M\ 1) \text{ WS } n\ P) (\text{wlp-while } (M\ 0) (M\ 1) \text{ WS } P)\ d$
proof (*auto*)
define *M0* **where** *M0* = *M 0*
define *M1* **where** *M1* = *M 1*
have *dM0*: *M0* $\in$ *carrier-mat d d* **and** *dM1*: *M1* $\in$ *carrier-mat d d* **using** *assms*
M0-def M1-def measurement-def **by** *auto*
define *W* **where** *W k* = *wlp-while-n M0 M1 WS k P* **for** *k*
have *leP*: *P* $\leq_L 1_m\ d$ **and** *dP*: *P* $\in$ *carrier-mat d d* **and** *pP*: *positive P* **using**
qpP is-quantum-predicate-def **by** *auto*
have *pM0P*: *positive (adjoint M0 * P * M0)*
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dM0]] adj-*
joint-adjoint[of M0] dP pP **by** *auto*

have *le-qp*: *W (Suc k)* $\leq_L W\ k \wedge$ *is-quantum-predicate (W k)* **for** *k*
proof (*induct k*)
case *0*
have *is-quantum-predicate (1_m d)*
unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def* **using** *positive-one lower-le-refl[of 1_m*
d] **by** *fastforce*
then have *is-quantum-predicate (WS (1_m d))* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have (*WS (1_m d)*) $\in$ *carrier-mat d d* **and** (*WS (1_m d)*) $\leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using**
is-quantum-predicate-def **by** *auto*
then have *W 1* $\leq_L W\ 0$ **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps M0-def M1-def*
using *measurement2-leq-one-mat[OF dP - leP - m]* **by** *auto*
moreover have *is-quantum-predicate (W 0)* **unfolding** *W-def wlp-while-n.simps*
is-quantum-predicate-def
using *positive-one lower-le-refl[of 1_m d]* **by** *fastforce*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Suc k*)
then have *leWSk*: *W (Suc k)* $\leq_L W\ k$ **and** *qpWk*: *is-quantum-predicate (W*
k) **by** *auto*
then have *is-quantum-predicate (WS (W k))* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
then have *dWWk*: *WS (W k)* $\in$ *carrier-mat d d* **and** *leWWk1*: (*WS (W k)*)
 $\leq_L 1_m\ d$ **and** *pWWk*: *positive (WS (W k))*
using *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*
then have *leWSk1*: *W (Suc k)* $\leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement2-leq-one-mat[OF*
dP dWWk leP leWWk1 m]
unfolding *W-def wlp-while-n.simps M0-def M1-def* **by** *auto*
then have *dWSk*: *W (Suc k)* $\in$ *carrier-mat d d* **using** *lower-le-def* **by** *fastforce*
have *pM1WWk*: *positive (adjoint M1 * (WS (W k)) * M1)*
using *positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF adjoint-dim[OF dM1]*
dWWk pWWk] adjoint-adjoint[of M1] **by** *auto*

```

    have pWSk: positive (W (Suc k)) unfolding W-def wlp-while-n.simps apply
(fold W-def)
    using positive-add[OF pM0P pM1WWk] dM0 dP dM1 by fastforce
    have qpWSk: is-quantum-predicate (W (Suc k)) unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
using dWSk pWSk leWSk1 by auto
    then have qpWWSk: is-quantum-predicate (WS (W (Suc k))) using assms(1)
by auto
    then have dWWSk: (WS (W (Suc k))) ∈ carrier-mat d d using is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto

    have WS (W (Suc k)) ≤L WS (W k) using assms(2)[OF qpWSk qpWk] leWSk
by auto
    then have adjoint M1 * WS (W (Suc k)) * M1 ≤L adjoint M1 * WS (W k)
* M1
    using lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF dM1 dWWSk dWWk] by auto
    then have (adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * WS (W (Suc k)) * M1)
≤L (adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * WS (W k) * M1)
    using lower-le-add[of - d - adjoint M1 * WS (W (Suc k)) * M1 adjoint M1
* WS (W k) * M1,
OF - - - lower-le-refl[of adjoint M0 * P * M0]] dM0 dM1 dP dWWSk
dWWk by fastforce
    then have W (Suc (Suc k)) ≤L W (Suc k) unfolding W-def wlp-while-n.simps
by auto
    with qpWSk show ?case by auto
qed
    then have dWk: W k ∈ carrier-mat d d for k using is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto
    then have dmWk: - W k ∈ carrier-mat d d for k by auto
    have incmWk: - (W k) ≤L - (W (Suc k)) for k using lower-le-swap[of W
(Suc k) d W k] dWk le-qp by auto
    have pWk: positive (W k) for k using le-qp is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
    have ubmWk: - W k ≤L 0m d d for k
    proof -
    have 0m d d ≤L W k for k using zero-lower-le-positiveI dWk pWk by auto
    then have - W k ≤L - 0m d d for k using lower-le-swap[of 0m d d d W k]
dWk by auto
    moreover have (- 0m d d :: complex mat) = (0m d d) by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

    have ∃ B. lower-is-lub (λk. - W k) B ∧ limit-mat (λk. - W k) B d
    using mat-inc-seq-lub[of λk. - W k d 0m d d] dmWk incmWk ubmWk by auto
    then obtain B where lubB: lower-is-lub (λk. - W k) B and limB: limit-mat
(λk. - W k) B d by auto
    then have dB: B ∈ carrier-mat d d using limit-mat-def by auto
    define A where A = - B
    then have dA: A ∈ carrier-mat d d using dB by auto
    have limit-mat (λk. (-1) ·m (- W k)) (-1 ·m B) d using limit-mat-scale[OF
limB] by auto

```

moreover have $W\ k = -1 \cdot_m (-\ W\ k)$ for k using dWk by auto
 moreover have $-1 \cdot_m B = -\ B$ by auto
 ultimately have $\text{lim}A$: $\text{limit-mat}\ W\ A\ d$ using $A\text{-def}$ by auto
 moreover have $(\text{limit-mat}\ W\ A'\ d \implies A' = A)$ for A' using $\text{limit-mat-unique}[of\ W\ A\ d]$ $\text{lim}A$ by auto
 ultimately have $\text{eq}A$: $(\text{wlp-while}\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P) = A$ unfolding $\text{wlp-while-def}\ W\text{-def}\ M0\text{-def}\ M1\text{-def}$
 using $\text{the-equality}[of\ \lambda X. \text{limit-mat}\ (\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-}n\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ n\ P)\ X\ d\ A]$ by fastforce

show $\text{limit-mat}\ (\lambda n. \text{wlp-while-}n\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ n\ P)\ (\text{wlp-while}\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ P)\ d$
 using $\text{lim}A\ \text{eq}A$ unfolding $W\text{-def}\ M0\text{-def}\ M1\text{-def}$ by auto

have $-\ W\ k \leq_L B$ for k using $\text{lub}B\ \text{lower-is-lub-def}$ by auto
 then have $\text{glb}A$: $A \leq_L W\ k$ for k unfolding $A\text{-def}$ using $\text{lower-le-swap}[of\ -\ W\ k\ d]\ dB\ dWk$ by fastforce
 then show $\bigwedge n. \text{wlp-while}\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ P \leq_L \text{wlp-while-}n\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ n\ P$ using $\text{eq}A$ unfolding $W\text{-def}\ M0\text{-def}\ M1\text{-def}$ by auto

have $W\ k \leq_L 1_m\ d$ for k using $\text{le-qp}\ \text{unfolding}\ \text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$ by auto
 then have $\text{positive}\ (1_m\ d - W\ k)$ for k using lower-le-def by auto
 moreover have $\text{limit-mat}\ (\lambda k. 1_m\ d - W\ k)\ (1_m\ d - A)\ d$ using $\text{mat-minus-limit}\ \text{lim}A$ by auto
 ultimately have $\text{positive}\ (1_m\ d - A)$ using $\text{pos-mat-lim-is-pos}$ by auto
 then have $\text{le}A1$: $A \leq_L 1_m\ d$ using $dA\ \text{lower-le-def}$ by auto

have pA : $\text{positive}\ A$ using $\text{pos-mat-lim-is-pos}\ \text{lim}A\ pWk$ by auto

show $\text{is-quantum-predicate}\ (\text{wlp-while}\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ P)$ unfolding $\text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$ using $pA\ dA\ \text{le}A1\ \text{eq}A$ by auto

{
 fix W' assume $\text{asm}W'$: $\forall k. W' \leq_L W\ k$
 then have dW' : $W' \in \text{carrier-mat}\ d\ d$ unfolding lower-le-def using $\text{carrier-matD}[OF\ dWk]$ by auto
 then have $-\ W\ k \leq_L -\ W'$ for k using $\text{lower-le-swap}\ dWk\ \text{asm}W'$ by auto
 then have $B \leq_L -\ W'$ using $\text{lub}B\ \text{unfolding}\ \text{lower-is-lub-def}$ by auto
 then have $W' \leq_L A$ unfolding $A\text{-def}$
 using $\text{lower-le-swap}[of\ B\ d - W']\ dB\ dW'$ by auto
 then have $W' \leq_L \text{wlp-while}\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P$ using $\text{eq}A$ by auto
 }
 then show $\bigwedge W'. \forall n. W' \leq_L \text{wlp-while-}n\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ n\ P \implies W' \leq_L \text{wlp-while}\ (M\ 0)\ (M\ (\text{Suc}\ 0))\ WS\ P$
 unfolding $W\text{-def}\ M0\text{-def}\ M1\text{-def}$ by auto
 qed

lemma wlp-while-mono :

assumes $\bigwedge P. \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \Rightarrow \text{is-quantum-predicate } (WS\ P)$
and $\bigwedge P\ Q. \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \Rightarrow \text{is-quantum-predicate } Q \Rightarrow P \leq_L Q$
 $\Rightarrow WS\ P \leq_L WS\ Q$
and *measurement* $d\ 2\ M$
and *is-quantum-predicate* P
and *is-quantum-predicate* Q
and $P \leq_L Q$
shows *wlp-while* $(M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ P \leq_L \text{wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ WS\ Q$
proof –
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M\ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M\ 1$
have $dM0$: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and** $dM1$: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *assms*
M0-def M1-def measurement-def **by** *auto*
define Wn **where** $Wn\ P\ k = \text{wlp-while-}n\ M0\ M1\ WS\ k\ P$ **for** $P\ k$
define W **where** $W\ P = \text{wlp-while } M0\ M1\ WS\ P$ **for** P
have $lePQk$: $Wn\ P\ k \leq_L Wn\ Q\ k$ **for** k **unfolding** *Wn-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-mono assms* **by** *auto*
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(Wn\ P\ k)$ **for** k **unfolding** *Wn-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have $dWPk$: $Wn\ P\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(Wn\ Q\ k)$ **for** k **unfolding** *Wn-def M0-def M1-def*
using *wlp-while-n-close assms* **by** *auto*
then have $dWQk$: $Wn\ Q\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def*
by *auto*
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ P)$ **and** $lePk$: $(W\ P) \leq_L (Wn\ P\ k)$ **and** *limit-mat*
 $(Wn\ P)\ (W\ P)\ d$ **for** k
unfolding *W-def Wn-def M0-def M1-def* **using** *wlp-while-exists assms* **by** *auto*
then have dWP : $W\ P \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by**
auto
have *is-quantum-predicate* $(W\ Q)$ **and** $(W\ Q) \leq_L (Wn\ Q\ k)$
and glb : $(\forall k. W' \leq_L (Wn\ Q\ k)) \longrightarrow W' \leq_L (W\ Q)$ **and** *limit-mat* $(Wn\ Q)\ (W\ Q)\ d$ **for** $k\ W'$
unfolding *W-def Wn-def M0-def M1-def* **using** *wlp-while-exists assms* **by** *auto*
have $W\ P \leq_L Wn\ Q\ k$ **for** k **using** *lower-le-trans[of W P d Wn P k Wn Q k]*
 $lePk\ lePQk\ dWPk\ dWQk\ dWP$ **by** *auto*
then show $W\ P \leq_L W\ Q$ **using** *glb* **by** *auto*
qed

fun *wlp* :: *com* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **where**
wlp *SKIP* $P = P$
 $| \text{wlp } (Utrans\ U)\ P = adjoint\ U * P * U$
 $| \text{wlp } (Seq\ S1\ S2)\ P = \text{wlp } S1\ (\text{wlp } S2\ P)$
 $| \text{wlp } (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P = \text{wlp-measure } n\ M\ (\text{map } \text{wlp } S)\ P$
 $| \text{wlp } (While\ M\ S)\ P = \text{wlp-while } (M\ 0)\ (M\ 1)\ (\text{wlp } S)\ P$

lemma *wlp-measure-expand-m*:
assumes m : $m \leq n$ **and** wc : *well-com* $(Measure\ n\ M\ S)$

shows $wlp \ (Measure \ m \ M \ S) \ P = matrix-sum \ d \ (\lambda k. adjoint \ (M \ k) * (wlp \ (S!k) \ P) * (M \ k)) \ m$
unfolding $wlp.simps \ wlp-measure-def$
proof –
have $k < m \implies map \ wlp \ S \ ! \ k = wlp \ (S!k) \text{ for } k \text{ using } wc \ m \text{ by auto}$
then have $k < m \implies (map \ wlp \ S \ ! \ k) \ P = wlp \ (S!k) \ P \text{ for } k \text{ by auto}$
then show $matrix-sum \ d \ (\lambda k. adjoint \ (M \ k) * ((map \ wlp \ S \ ! \ k) \ P) * (M \ k)) \ m$
 $= matrix-sum \ d \ (\lambda k. adjoint \ (M \ k) * (wlp \ (S!k) \ P) * (M \ k)) \ m$
using $matrix-sum-cong[of \ m \ \lambda k. adjoint \ (M \ k) * ((map \ wlp \ S \ ! \ k) \ P) * (M \ k)]$
 $\lambda k. adjoint \ (M \ k) * (wlp \ (S!k) \ P) * (M \ k)] \text{ by auto}$
qed

lemma $wlp-measure-expand$:

assumes $wc: well-com \ (Measure \ n \ M \ S)$
shows $wlp \ (Measure \ n \ M \ S) \ P = matrix-sum \ d \ (\lambda k. adjoint \ (M \ k) * (wlp \ (S!k) \ P) * (M \ k)) \ n$
using $wlp-measure-expand-m[OF \ Nat.le-refl[of \ n]] \ wc \text{ by auto}$

lemma $wlp-mono-and-close$:

shows $well-com \ S \implies is-quantum-predicate \ P \implies is-quantum-predicate \ Q \implies P \leq_L Q$

$\implies is-quantum-predicate \ (wlp \ S \ P) \wedge wlp \ S \ P \leq_L wlp \ S \ Q$

proof ($induct \ S \text{ arbitrary: } P \ Q$)

case $SKIP$

then show $?case \text{ by auto}$

next

case $(Utrans \ U)$

then have $dU: U \in carrier-mat \ d \ d \text{ and } u: unitary \ U \text{ and } qp: is-quantum-predicate \ P \text{ and } le: P \leq_L Q$

and $dP: P \in carrier-mat \ d \ d \text{ and } dQ: Q \in carrier-mat \ d \ d \text{ using } is-quantum-predicate-def$
by auto

then have $qp': is-quantum-predicate \ (wlp \ (Utrans \ U) \ P) \text{ using } qp-close-under-unitary-operator$
by auto

moreover have $adjoint \ U * P * U \leq_L adjoint \ U * Q * U \text{ using } lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF \ dU \ dP \ dQ \ le] \text{ by auto}$

ultimately show $?case \text{ by auto}$

next

case $(Seq \ S1 \ S2)$

then have $qpP: is-quantum-predicate \ P \text{ and } qpQ: is-quantum-predicate \ Q \text{ and } wc1: well-com \ S1 \text{ and } wc2: well-com \ S2$

and $dP: P \in carrier-mat \ d \ d \text{ and } dQ: Q \in carrier-mat \ d \ d \text{ and } le: P \leq_L Q$
using $is-quantum-predicate-def \text{ by auto}$

have $qpP2: is-quantum-predicate \ (wlp \ S2 \ P) \text{ using } Seq \ qpP \ wc2 \text{ by auto}$

have $qpQ2: is-quantum-predicate \ (wlp \ S2 \ Q) \text{ using } Seq(2)[OF \ wc2 \ qpQ \ qpQ]$
 $lower-le-refl \ dQ \text{ by blast}$

have $qpP1: is-quantum-predicate \ (wlp \ S1 \ (wlp \ S2 \ P))$

using $Seq(1)[OF \ wc1 \ qpP2 \ qpP2] \ qpP2 \text{ is-quantum-predicate-def}[of \ wlp \ S2 \ P]$
 $lower-le-refl \text{ by auto}$

have $wlp \ S2 \ P \leq_L wlp \ S2 \ Q \text{ using } Seq(2) \ wc2 \ qpP \ qpQ \ le \text{ by auto}$

then have $wlp\ S1\ (wlp\ S2\ P) \leq_L wlp\ S1\ (wlp\ S2\ Q)$ using $Seq(1)\ wc1\ qpP2$
 $qpQ2$ by auto
 then show $?case$ using $qpP1$ by auto
 next
 case $(Measure\ n\ M\ S)$
 then have $wc: well-com\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)$ and $wck: k < n \implies well-com\ (S!k)$
 and $l: length\ S = n$
 and $m: measurement\ d\ n\ M$ and $le: P \leq_L Q$
 and $qpP: is-quantum-predicate\ P$ and $dP: P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
 and $qpQ: is-quantum-predicate\ Q$ and $dQ: Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
 for k using $measure-well-com\ is-quantum-predicate-def$ by auto
 have $dMk: k < n \implies M\ k \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ for k using $m\ measurement-def$
 by auto
 have $set: k < n \implies S!k \in set\ S$ for k using l by auto
 have $qpk: k < n \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (S!k)\ P)$ for k
 using $Measure(1)[OF\ set\ wck\ qpP\ qpP]\ lower-le-refl[of\ P]\ dP$ by auto
 then have $dWkP: k < n \implies wlp\ (S!k)\ P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ for k using
 $is-quantum-predicate-def$ by auto
 then have $dMkP: k < n \implies adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k) \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
 for k using dMk by fastforce
 have $k < n \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (S!k)\ Q)$ for k
 using $Measure(1)[OF\ set\ wck\ qpQ\ qpQ]\ lower-le-refl[of\ Q]\ dQ$ by auto
 then have $dWkQ: k < n \implies wlp\ (S!k)\ Q \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ for k using
 $is-quantum-predicate-def$ by auto
 then have $dMkQ: k < n \implies adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ Q) * (M\ k) \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$
 for k using dMk by fastforce
 have $k < n \implies wlp\ (S!k)\ P \leq_L wlp\ (S!k)\ Q$ for k
 using $Measure(1)[OF\ set\ wck\ qpP\ qpQ\ le]$ by auto
 then have $k < n \implies adjoint\ (M\ k) * (wlp\ (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k) \leq_L adjoint\ (M\ k)$
 $* (wlp\ (S!k)\ Q) * (M\ k)$ for k
 using $lower-le-keep-under-measurement[OF\ dMk\ dWkP\ dWkQ]$ by auto
 then have $le': wlp\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P \leq_L wlp\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ Q$ unfolding
 $wlp-measure-expand[OF\ wc]$
 using $lower-le-matrix-sum\ dMkP\ dMkQ$ by auto
 have $qp': is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ (Measure\ n\ M\ S)\ P)$ unfolding $wlp-measure-expand[OF\ wc]$
 using $qps-after-measure-is-qp[OF\ m]\ qpk$ by auto
 show $?case$ using $le'\ qp'$ by auto
 next
 case $(While\ M\ S)$
 then have $m: measurement\ d\ 2\ M$ and $wcs: well-com\ S$
 and $qpP: is-quantum-predicate\ P$
 by auto
 have $closeWS: is-quantum-predicate\ P \implies is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S\ P)$ for
 P
 proof –
 assume $asm: is-quantum-predicate\ P$
 then have $dP: P \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ using $is-quantum-predicate-def$ by auto
 then show $is-quantum-predicate\ (wlp\ S\ P)$ using $While(1)[OF\ wcs\ asm\ asm]$

```

lower-le-refl] dP by auto
qed
have monoWS: is-quantum-predicate  $P \implies$  is-quantum-predicate  $Q \implies P \leq_L$ 
 $Q \implies \text{wlp } S P \leq_L \text{wlp } S Q$  for  $P Q$ 
using While(1)[OF wcs] by auto
have is-quantum-predicate (wlp (While M S) P)
using wlp-while-exists[of wlp S M P] closeWS monoWS m qpP by auto
moreover have wlp (While M S)  $P \leq_L \text{wlp } (While M S) Q$ 
using wlp-while-mono[of wlp S M P Q] closeWS monoWS m While by auto
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma wlp-close:
assumes wc: well-com S and qp: is-quantum-predicate P
shows is-quantum-predicate (wlp S P)
using wlp-mono-and-close[OF wc qp qp] is-quantum-predicate-def[of P] qp lower-le-refl
by auto

lemma wlp-soundness:
well-com S  $\implies$ 
( $\bigwedge P. (is-quantum-predicate P \implies$ 
 $(\forall \varrho \in \text{density-states. trace (wlp S P * } \varrho) = \text{trace (P * (denote S } \varrho)) + \text{trace}$ 
 $\varrho - \text{trace (denote S } \varrho))))$ )
proof (induct S)
case SKIP
then show ?case by auto
next
case (Utrans U)
then have dU:  $U \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  and u: unitary U and wc: well-com (Utrans
U)
and qp: is-quantum-predicate P and dP:  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  using is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto
have qp': is-quantum-predicate (wlp (Utrans U) P) using wlp-close[OF wc qp]
by auto
have eq1:  $\text{trace (adjoint U * P * U * } \varrho) = \text{trace (P * (U * } \varrho * \text{adjoint U))}$  if
dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  for  $\varrho$ 
using dr dP apply (mat-assoc d) using wc by auto
have eq2:  $\text{trace (U * } \varrho * \text{adjoint U}) = \text{trace } \varrho$  if dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  for  $\varrho$ 
using unitary-operator-keep-trace[OF adjoint-dim[OF dU] dr unitary-adjoint[OF
dU u]] adjoint-adjoint[of U] by auto
show ?case using qp' eq1 eq2 density-states-def by auto
next
case (Seq S1 S2)
then have qp: is-quantum-predicate P and wc1: well-com S1 and wc2: well-com
S2 by auto
then have qp2: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S2 P) using wlp-close by auto
then have qp1: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P)) using wlp-close wc1
by auto
have eq1:  $\text{trace (wlp S2 P * } \varrho) = \text{trace (P * denote S2 } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace}$ 

```

```

(denote S2  $\varrho$ )
  if ds:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$  using Seq(2) wc2 qp ds by auto
  have eq2: trace (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P) *  $\varrho$ ) = trace ((wlp S2 P) * denote S1  $\varrho$ ) +
trace  $\varrho$  - trace (denote S1  $\varrho$ )
  if ds:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$  using Seq(1) wc1 qp2 ds by auto
  have eq3: trace (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P) *  $\varrho$ ) = trace (P * (denote S2 (denote S1
 $\varrho$ ))) + trace  $\varrho$  - trace (denote S2 (denote S1  $\varrho$ ))
  if ds:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$ 
  proof -
    have denote S1  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
    using ds denote-density-states wc1 by auto
    then have trace ((wlp S2 P) * denote S1  $\varrho$ ) = trace (P * denote S2 (denote
S1  $\varrho$ )) + trace (denote S1  $\varrho$ ) - trace (denote S2 (denote S1  $\varrho$ ))
    using eq1 by auto
    then show trace (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P) *  $\varrho$ ) = trace (P * (denote S2 (denote S1
 $\varrho$ ))) + trace  $\varrho$  - trace (denote S2 (denote S1  $\varrho$ ))
    using eq2 ds by auto
  qed
  then show ?case using qp1 by auto
next
case (Measure n M S)
then have wc: well-com (Measure n M S)
and wck:  $k < n \implies \text{well-com } (S!k)$ 
and qpP: is-quantum-predicate P
and dP:  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
and qpWk:  $k < n \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } (wlp (S!k) P)$ 
and dWk:  $k < n \implies (wlp (S!k) P) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
and c:  $k < n \implies \varrho \in \text{density-states} \implies \text{trace } (wlp (S!k) P * \varrho) = \text{trace } (P * \text{denote } (S!k) \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k) \varrho)$ 
and m: measurement d n M
and aMMkleq:  $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M k) * M k \leq_L 1_m \ d$ 
and dMk:  $k < n \implies M k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
for k  $\varrho$  using is-quantum-predicate-def measurement-def measure-well-com mea-
surement-le-one-mat wlp-close by auto
{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho: \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
  have dsr:  $k < n \implies (M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k) \in \text{density-states}$  for k unfolding
density-states-def
  using dMk pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dMk dr pdor aMMkleq] dr by
fastforce
  then have leqk:  $k < n \implies \text{trace } (wlp (S!k) P * ((M k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k)))$ 
=
  trace (P * (denote (S!k) ((M k) *  $\varrho$  * adjoint (M k)))) +
(trace ((M k) *  $\varrho$  * adjoint (M k)) - trace (denote (S!k) ((M k) *  $\varrho$  * adjoint
(M k)))) for k
  using c by auto
  have  $k < n \implies M k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for k using dMk

```

dr **by** *fastforce*
then have $dsMrk$: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k
using $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of\ k\ \lambda k. (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))\ d]$ **by** *fastforce*
have $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k
using dMk **by** *fastforce*
then have $dsMW$: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k)\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k
using $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of\ k\ \lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k\ d]$ **by** *fastforce*
have $dsMrk$: $k < n \implies \text{denote } (S!k)\ (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k
using $\text{denote-dim}[OF\ wck, of\ k\ M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)]\ dsr\ \text{density-states-def}$ **by** *fastforce*
have $dsMrk$: $k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k)\ (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **for** k
using $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of\ k\ \lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k)\ (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))\ d, OF\ dsMrk]$ **by** *fastforce*
have $k \leq n \implies$
 $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k)\ k * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + (\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))\ k) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k\ M\ S)\ \varrho))$ **for** k
unfolding $\text{denote-measure-expand}[OF - wc]$
proof (*induct k*)
case 0
then show ?case **unfolding** matrix-sum.simps **using** $dP\ dr$ **by** *auto*
next
case ($Suc\ k$)
then have k : $k < n$ **by** *auto*
have $eq1$: $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k)\ (Suc\ k) * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k * \varrho) + \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k)\ k * \varrho)$
unfolding matrix-sum.simps
using $dMk[OF\ k]\ dWk[OF\ k]\ dr\ dsMW[OF\ k]$ **by** ($\text{mat-assoc } d$)

have $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k * \varrho) = \text{trace } ((\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))$
using $dMk[OF\ k]\ dWk[OF\ k]\ dr$ **by** ($\text{mat-assoc } d$)
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (S!k)\ ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))) +$
 $(\text{trace } ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k)\ ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))))$ **using** $\text{leqk } k$ **by** *auto*
finally have $eq2$: $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } (M\ k) * (\text{wlp } (S!k)\ P) * M\ k * \varrho) = \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (S!k)\ ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))) +$
 $(\text{trace } ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k)\ ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))))$.

have $eq3$: $\text{trace } (P * \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k)\ (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))\ (Suc\ k))$

```

      = trace (P * (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)))) + trace (P *
matrix-sum d (λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
    unfolding matrix-sum.simps
    using dP dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

    have eq4: trace (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) + matrix-sum d
(λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
      = trace (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) + trace (matrix-sum d
(λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
      using dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

    show ?case
    apply (subst eq1) apply (subst eq3)
    apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def)
    apply (subst trace-add-linear[of M k * ρ * adjoint (M k) d matrix-sum d
(λk. M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) k])
    apply (simp add: dMk adjoint-dim[OF dMk] dr mult-carrier-mat[of - d d
- d] k)
    apply (simp add: dsMrk k)
    apply (subst eq4)
    apply (insert eq2 Suc(1) k, fastforce)
    done
  qed
  then have leq: trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * (wlp (S!k) P) * M k)
n * ρ)
    = trace (P * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) +
      (trace (matrix-sum d (λk. (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) n) - trace (denote
(Measure n M S) ρ))
    by auto
  have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) n) = trace ρ using
trace-measurement m dr by auto

  with leq have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * (wlp (S!k) P) * M k)
n * ρ)
    = trace (P * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) + (trace ρ - trace (denote (Measure
n M S) ρ))
    unfolding denote-measure-def by auto
  }
  then show ?case unfolding wlp-measure-expand[OF wc] by auto
next
case (While M S)
  then have qpP: is-quantum-predicate P and dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d
    and wcS: well-com S and m: measurement d 2 M and wc: well-com (While M
S)
    using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  define M0 where M0 = M 0
  define M1 where M1 = M 1
  have dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d using m
measurement-def M0-def M1-def by auto

```

```

have leM1: adjoint  $M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m d$  using measurement-le-one-mat  $m$   $M1$ -def
by auto
define  $W$  where  $W k = wlp\text{-}while\text{-}n\ M0\ M1\ (wlp\ S)\ k\ P$  for  $k$ 
define  $DS$  where  $DS = denote\ S$ 
define  $D0$  where  $D0 = denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\ M0\ M1\ DS$ 
define  $D1$  where  $D1 = denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}comp\ M0\ M1\ DS$ 
define  $D$  where  $D = denote\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}iter\ M0\ M1\ DS$ 

have eqk:  $\varrho \in density\text{-}states \implies trace\ ((W\ k) * \varrho) = (\sum_{k=0..<k}. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + trace\ \varrho - (\sum_{k=0..<k}. trace\ (D0\ k\ \varrho))$  for  $k\ \varrho$ 
proof (induct  $k$  arbitrary:  $\varrho$ )
  case 0
    then have dsr:  $\varrho \in density\text{-}states$  by auto
    show ?case unfolding  $W$ -def  $wlp\text{-}while\text{-}n.simps$  using  $dsr\ density\text{-}states\text{-}def$ 
by auto
  next
    case ( $Suc\ k$ )
      then have dsr:  $\varrho \in density\text{-}states$  and  $dr: \varrho \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$  and  $pdor:$ 
partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using  $density\text{-}states\text{-}def$  by auto
      then have dsM1r:  $M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1 \in density\text{-}states$  unfolding  $den-$ 
density-states-def using  $pdo\text{-}close\text{-}under\text{-}measurement[OF\ dM1\ dr\ pdor\ leM1]$   $dr\ dM1$ 
by auto
      then have dsDSM1r:  $(DS\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1)) \in density\text{-}states$  unfolding
density-states-def  $DS$ -def
      using  $denote\text{-}dim[OF\ wcS]\ denote\text{-}partial\text{-}density\text{-}operator[OF\ wcS]\ dsM1r$ 
by auto
      have qpWk: is-quantum-predicate  $(W\ k)$ 
      using  $wlp\text{-}while\text{-}n\text{-}close[OF\ -\ m\ qpP, folded\ M0\text{-}def\ M1\text{-}def, of\ wlp\ S, folded\ W\text{-}def]\ wlp\text{-}close[OF\ wcS]$  by auto
      then have is-quantum-predicate  $(wlp\ S\ (W\ k))$  using  $wlp\text{-}close[OF\ wcS]$  by
auto
      then have dWWk:  $wlp\ S\ (W\ k) \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$  using is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto

      have  $trace\ (P * (M0 * \varrho * adjoint\ M0)) + (\sum_{k=0..<k}. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1))))$ 
       $= trace\ (P * (D0\ 0\ \varrho)) + (\sum_{k=0..<k}. trace\ (P * (D0\ (Suc\ k)\ \varrho)))$ 
      unfolding  $D0$ -def by auto
      also have ...  $= trace\ (P * (D0\ 0\ \varrho)) + (\sum_{k=1..<(Suc\ k)}. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))$ 
      using  $sum.shift\text{-}bounds\text{-}Suc\text{-}ivl[symmetric, of\ \lambda k. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))]$  by
auto
      also have ...  $= (\sum_{k=0..<(Suc\ k)}. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))$  using  $sum.atLeast\text{-}Suc\text{-}lessThan[of\ 0\ Suc\ k\ \lambda k. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))]$  by auto
      finally have eq1:  $trace\ (P * (M0 * \varrho * adjoint\ M0)) + (\sum_{k=0..<k}. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1))))$ 
       $= (\sum_{k=0..<(Suc\ k)}. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))).$ 

      have eq2:  $trace\ (M1 * \varrho * adjoint\ M1) = trace\ \varrho - trace\ (M0 * \varrho * adjoint\ M0)$ 

```

$M0)$
unfolding $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$ **using** m $\text{trace-measurement2}[OF\ m\ dr]$ dr **by**
 $(\text{simp add: algebra-simps})$

have $\text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
 $= \text{trace } (D0\ 0\ \varrho) + (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ (Suc\ k)\ \varrho))$ **unfolding** $D0\text{-def}$
by auto
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D0\ 0\ \varrho) + (\sum k=1..<(Suc\ k). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$
using $\text{sum.shift-bounds-Suc-ivl}[\text{symmetric, of } \lambda k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho)]$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = (\sum k=0..<(Suc\ k). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$
using $\text{sum.atLeast-Suc-lessThan}[\text{of } 0\ Suc\ k\ \lambda k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho)]$ **by auto**
finally have $\text{eq3: } \text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) = (\sum k=0..<(Suc\ k). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho)).$

then have $\text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
 $= \text{trace } \varrho - (\text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
by $(\text{simp add: algebra-simps eq2})$
then have $\text{eq4: } \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) = \text{trace } \varrho - (\sum k=0..<(Suc\ k). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$
by (simp add: eq3)

have $\text{trace } ((W\ (Suc\ k)) * \varrho) = \text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } ((wlp\ S\ (W\ k)) * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$
unfolding $W\text{-def}$ $wlp\text{-while-n.simps}$
apply $(\text{fold } W\text{-def})$ **using** $dM0\ dP\ dM1\ dWWk\ dr$ **by** $(\text{mat-assoc } d)$
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } ((W\ k) * (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))) + \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - \text{trace } (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$
using $\text{While}(1)[OF\ wcS, \text{ of } W\ k]\ qpWk\ dsM1r\ DS\text{-def}$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0))$
 $+ (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) - (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
 $+ \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - \text{trace } (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$
using $\text{Suc}(1)[OF\ dsDSM1r]$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
 $+ \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - (\sum k=0..<k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
by auto
also have $\dots = (\sum k=0..<(Suc\ k). \text{trace } (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + \text{trace } \varrho - (\sum k=0..<(Suc\ k). \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho))$
by $(\text{simp add: eq1 eq4})$
finally show $?case.$
qed

```

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $d_{sr}: \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have  $dr: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and  $pdor: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
  have  $\text{lim}DW: \text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)) \ (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho) \ d$ 
  using  $\text{limit-mat-denote-while-n}[OF \ wc \ dr \ pdor]$  unfolding  $D0\text{-def } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def } DS\text{-def}$  by auto
  then have  $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda n. (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)))) \ (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)) \ d$ 
  using  $\text{mat-mult-limit}[OF \ dP]$  unfolding  $\text{mat-mult-seq-def}$  by auto
  then have  $\text{limtr}Pm: (\lambda n. \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho))$ 
  using  $\text{mat-trace-limit}$  by auto

  with  $\text{lim}DW$  have  $\text{limtr}DW: (\lambda n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)$ 
  using  $\text{mat-trace-limit}$  by auto

  have  $\text{lim}m: (\lambda n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)$ 
  using  $\text{mat-trace-limit } \text{lim}DW$  by auto

  have  $\text{close}WS: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp } S \ P)$  for
 $P$ 
  proof –
    assume  $\text{asm}: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P$ 
    then have  $dP: P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using  $\text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$  by auto
    then show  $\text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp } S \ P)$  using  $\text{wlp-mono-and-close}[OF \ wcS \ \text{asm} \ \text{asm}] \ \text{lower-le-refl}$  by auto
  qed
  have  $\text{mono}WS: \text{is-quantum-predicate } P \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate } Q \implies P \leq_L Q \implies \text{wlp } S \ P \leq_L \text{wlp } S \ Q$  for  $P \ Q$ 
  using  $\text{wlp-mono-and-close}[OF \ wcS]$  by auto

  have  $\text{is-quantum-predicate } (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M \ S) \ P)$ 
  using  $\text{wlp-while-exists}[of \ \text{wlp } S \ M \ P] \ \text{close}WS \ \text{mono}WS \ m \ qpP$  by auto

  have  $\text{limit-mat } W \ (\text{wlp-while } M0 \ M1 \ (\text{wlp } S) \ P) \ d$  unfolding  $W\text{-def } M0\text{-def } M1\text{-def}$ 
  using  $\text{wlp-while-exists}[of \ \text{wlp } S \ M \ P] \ \text{close}WS \ \text{mono}WS \ m \ qpP$  by auto
  then have  $\text{limit-mat } (\lambda k. (W \ k) * \varrho) \ ((\text{wlp-while } M0 \ M1 \ (\text{wlp } S) \ P) * \varrho) \ d$ 
  using  $\text{mult-mat-limit } dr$  by auto
  then have  $\text{lim}1: (\lambda k. \text{trace } ((W \ k) * \varrho)) \longrightarrow \text{trace } ((\text{wlp-while } M0 \ M1 \ (\text{wlp } S) \ P) * \varrho)$ 
  using  $\text{mat-trace-limit}$  by auto

  have  $dD0kr: D0 \ k \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  for  $k$  unfolding  $D0\text{-def}$ 
  using  $\text{denote-while-n-dim}[OF \ dr \ dM0 \ dM1 \ pdor] \ \text{denote-positive-trace-dim}[OF$ 

```

wcS , folded DS -def] **by auto**
then have $(P * (matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (n))) = matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ n$ **for** n
using $matrix-sum-distrib-left[OF\ dP]$ **by auto**
moreover have $trace\ (matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))\ n) = (\sum k=0..<n. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))$ **for** n
using $trace-matrix-sum-linear\ dD0kr\ dP$ **by auto**
ultimately have $eqPsD0kr$: $trace\ (P * (matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (n))) = (\sum k=0..<n. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))$ **for** n **by auto**
with $limtrPm$ **have** $lim2$: $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))) \longrightarrow trace\ (P * (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho))$ **by auto**

have $trace\ (matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (n)) = (\sum k=0..<n. trace\ (D0\ k\ \varrho))$ **for** n
using $trace-matrix-sum-linear\ dD0kr$ **by auto**
with $limtrDW$ **have** $lim3$: $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (D0\ k\ \varrho))) \longrightarrow trace\ (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$ **by auto**

have $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + trace\ \varrho) \longrightarrow trace\ (P * (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + trace\ \varrho$
using $tendsto-add[of\ \lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho)))]\ lim2$ **by auto**
then have $(\lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (P * (D0\ k\ \varrho))) + trace\ \varrho - (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (D0\ k\ \varrho))) \longrightarrow trace\ (P * (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + trace\ \varrho - trace\ (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$
using $tendsto-diff[of\ -\ -\ \lambda k. (\sum k=0..<k. trace\ (D0\ k\ \varrho))]\ lim3$ **by auto**
then have $lim4$: $(\lambda k. trace\ ((W\ k) * \varrho)) \longrightarrow trace\ (P * (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + trace\ \varrho - trace\ (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$
using $eqk[OF\ dsr]$ **by auto**

then have $trace\ ((wlp\ while\ M0\ M1\ (wlp\ S)\ P) * \varrho) = trace\ (P * (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + trace\ \varrho - trace\ (denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho)$
using $eqk[OF\ dsr]\ tendsto-unique[OF\ -\ lim1\ lim4]$ **by auto**
}
then show $?case$ **unfolding** $M0$ -def $M1$ -def DS -def $wlp.simps$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $denote\ while\ split$:

assumes wc : $well-com\ (While\ M\ S)$ **and** dsr : $\varrho \in density-states$
shows $denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ \varrho = (M\ 0) * \varrho * adjoint\ (M\ 0) + denote\ (While\ M\ S)\ (denote\ S\ (M\ 1 * \varrho * adjoint\ (M\ 1)))$
proof –
have m : $measurement\ d\ 2\ M$ **using** wc **by auto**
have wcs : $well-com\ S$ **using** wc **by auto**
define $M0$ **where** $M0 = M\ 0$
define $M1$ **where** $M1 = M\ 1$
have $dM0$: $M0 \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **and** $dM1$: $M1 \in carrier-mat\ d\ d$ **using** m
measurement-def $M0$ -def $M1$ -def **by auto**
have $M1eq$: $adjoint\ M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** $measurement-le-one-mat\ m\ M1$ -def

```

by auto
define DS where DS = denote S
define D0 where D0 = denote-while-n M0 M1 DS
define D1 where D1 = denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS
define D where D = denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS
define DW where DW  $\varrho$  = denote (While M S)  $\varrho$  for  $\varrho$ 

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume dsr:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
  have pdoDkr:  $\bigwedge k. \text{partial-density-operator } (D \ k \ \varrho)$  unfolding D-def
  using pdo-denote-while-n-iter[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq]
  denote-partial-density-operator[OF wcs] denote-dim[OF wcs, folded DS-def]
  apply (fold DS-def) by auto
  then have pDkr:  $\bigwedge k. \text{positive } (D \ k \ \varrho)$  unfolding partial-density-operator-def
by auto
  have dDkr:  $\bigwedge k. D \ k \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
  using denote-while-n-iter-dim[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs,
folded DS-def], of id M0, simplified, folded D-def] by auto
  then have dD0kr:  $\bigwedge k. D0 \ k \ \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  unfolding D0-def de-
note-while-n.simps apply (fold D-def) using dM0 by auto
}
note dD0k = this
have matrix-sum  $d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  if dsr:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
for  $\varrho \ k$ 
  using matrix-sum-dim[OF dD0k, of -  $\lambda k. \varrho \ \text{id}$ , OF dsr] dsr by auto
{
  fix k
  have matrix-sum  $d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } k) = (D0 \ 0 \ \varrho) + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0$ 
(Suc k)  $\varrho) \ k$ 
  using matrix-sum-shift-Suc[of -  $\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho$ ] dD0k[OF dsr] by fastforce
  also have ... =  $M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))) \ k$ 
  unfolding D0-def by auto
  finally have matrix-sum  $d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (\text{Suc } k) = M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 +$ 
matrix-sum  $d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))) \ k.$ 
}
note eqk = this

have dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using den-
sity-states-def dsr by auto
then have  $M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and partial-density-operator
( $M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1$ )
  using dM1 dr pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dM1 dr pdor M1leq] by auto
then have dSM1r:  $(DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and pdoSM1r:
partial-density-operator  $(DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
  unfolding DS-def using denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs] by auto

```

have *limit-mat* (*matrix-sum* d ($\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho$)) ($DW\ \varrho$) d **unfolding** *M0-def* *M1-def* *D0-def* *DS-def* *DW-def*
using *limit-mat-denote-while-n*[*OF* *wc* *dr* *pdor*] **by** *auto*
then have *liml*: *limit-mat* ($\lambda k. \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ k)$) ($DW\ \varrho$) d
using *limit-mat-ignore-initial-segment*[*of* *matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ DW\ \varrho\ d$ *1*] **by** *auto*

have *dM0r*: $M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *dM0* *dr* **by** *fastforce*
have *limit-mat* (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$) ($DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))\ d$
using *limit-mat-denote-while-n*[*OF* *wc* *dSM1r* *pdoSM1r*] **unfolding** *M0-def* *M1-def* *D0-def* *DS-def* *DW-def* **by** *auto*
then have
limr: *limit-mat*
(*mat-add-seq* ($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0$) (*matrix-sum* $d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$))
($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$)
 d
using *mat-add-limit*[*OF* *dM0r*] **by** *auto*
moreover have
($\lambda k. \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ k)$)
 $= (\text{mat-add-seq } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)\ (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
using *eqk* *mat-add-seq-def* **by** *auto*
ultimately have
limit-mat
($\lambda k. \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. D0\ k\ \varrho)\ (Suc\ k)$)
($M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$)
 d **by** *auto*
with *liml* *limit-mat-unique* **have**
 $DW\ \varrho = (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (DW\ (DS\ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$ **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *DW-def* *M0-def* *M1-def* *DS-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wlp-while-split*:

assumes *wc*: *well-com* (*While* $M\ S$) **and** *qpP*: *is-quantum-predicate* P
shows $wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P = \text{adjoint } (M\ 0) * P * (M\ 0) + \text{adjoint } (M\ 1) * (wlp\ S\ (wlp\ (While\ M\ S)\ P)) * (M\ 1)$
proof –
have *m*: *measurement* $d\ 2\ M$ **using** *wc* **by** *auto*
have *wcs*: *well-com* S **using** *wc* **by** *auto*
define *M0* **where** $M0 = M\ 0$
define *M1* **where** $M1 = M\ 1$
have *dM0*: $M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **and** *dM1*: $M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ **using** *m* *measurement-def* *M0-def* *M1-def* **by** *auto*
have *M1leq*: $\text{adjoint } M1 * M1 \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** *measurement-le-one-mat* *m* *M1-def* **by** *auto*
define *DS* **where** $DS = \text{denote } S$
define *D0* **where** $D0 = \text{denote-while-n } M0\ M1\ DS$

```

define  $D1$  where  $D1 = \text{denote-while-n-comp } M0 \ M1 \ DS$ 
define  $D$  where  $D = \text{denote-while-n-iter } M0 \ M1 \ DS$ 
define  $DW$  where  $DW \ \varrho = \text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho \ \text{for } \varrho$ 

have  $dP$ :  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using  $qpP$  is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
have  $qpWP$ : is-quantum-predicate ( $wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P$ ) using  $qpP$   $wc$   $wlp\text{-close}[OF$ 
 $wc \ qpP]$  by auto
then have is-quantum-predicate ( $wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P)$ ) using  $wc$   $wlp\text{-close}[OF$ 
 $wcs]$  by auto
then have  $dWWP$ : ( $wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P)$ )  $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using
is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
have  $dWP$ : ( $wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P$ )  $\in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using  $qpWP$  is-quantum-predicate-def
by auto
{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $dSr$ :  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have  $dr$ :  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and  $pdor$ : partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
  have  $dsM1r$ :  $M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1 \in \text{density-states}$  unfolding density-states-def
using  $pdo\text{-close-under-measurement}[OF \ dM1 \ dr \ pdor]$   $M1 \leq dM1 \ dr$  by
fastforce
  then have  $dsDSM1r$ :  $DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) \in \text{density-states}$  unfolding
density-states-def  $DS\text{-def}$ 
using  $\text{denote-dim-pdo}[OF \ wcs]$  by auto
  have  $dM0r$ :  $M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using  $dM0 \ dr$  by fastforce
  have  $dDWDSM1r$ :  $DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
unfolding  $DW\text{-def}$  using  $\text{denote-dim}[OF \ wc]$   $dsDSM1r$  density-states-def by
auto

  have  $eq2$ :  $\text{trace } ((wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P) * DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
     $= \text{trace } (P * (DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)) - \text{trace } (DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$ 
unfolding  $DW\text{-def}$  using  $wlp\text{-soundness}[OF \ wc \ qpP]$   $dsDSM1r$  by auto
  have  $eq3$ :  $\text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) = \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)$ 
unfolding  $M0\text{-def}$   $M1\text{-def}$  using  $m$   $\text{trace-measurement2}[OF \ m \ dr]$   $dr$  by
(simp add: algebra-simps)

  have  $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } M1 * (wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P)) * M1 * \varrho)$ 
     $= \text{trace } ((wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P)) * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$  using
 $dWWP \ dM1 \ dr$  by (mat-assoc d)
  also have  $\dots = \text{trace } ((wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P) * DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
     $+ \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - \text{trace } (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$ 
unfolding  $DS\text{-def}$  using  $wlp\text{-soundness}[OF \ wcs \ qpWP]$   $dsM1r$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$ 
     $+ \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) - \text{trace } (DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$ 
using  $eq2$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } \varrho -$ 
    ( $\text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (DW \ (DS \ (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$ )

```

using *eq3* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq4*: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M1 * (\text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P)) * M1 * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) + \text{trace } \varrho - (\text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))).$
have $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
 $= \text{trace} (P * ((M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
using *dP dr dM0 dDWDSM1r* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
also have $\dots = \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } \varrho))$ **unfolding** *DW-def M0-def M1-def DS-def*
using *denote-while-split[OF wc dsr]* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq5*: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))) = \text{trace} (P * (\text{DW } \varrho)).$
have $\text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace} (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)))$
 $= \text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0 + (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))))$
using *dr dM0 dDWDSM1r* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
also have $\dots = \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$
unfolding *DW-def DS-def M0-def M1-def denote-while-split[OF wc dsr]* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq6*: $\text{trace} (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace} (\text{DW } (\text{DS } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))) = \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho).$
from *eq5 eq4 eq6* **have**
eq7: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1 * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace} (P * \text{DW } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
have *eq8*: $\text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 * \varrho) + \text{trace} (\text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1 * \varrho)$
 $= \text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1) * \varrho)$
using *dM0 dM1 dr dP dWWP* **by** (*mat-assoc d*)
from *eq7 eq8* **have**
eq9: $\text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1) * \varrho) = \text{trace} (P * \text{DW } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
have *eq10*: $\text{trace} ((\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * \varrho) = \text{trace} (P * \text{DW } \varrho) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace} (\text{DW } \varrho)$
unfolding *DW-def* **using** *wlp-soundness[OF wc qpP] dsr* **by** *auto*
with *eq9* **have** $\text{trace} ((\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * \varrho) = \text{trace} ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1) * \varrho)$ **by** *auto*
}
then have $(\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) = (\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * \text{wlp } S (\text{wlp } (\text{While } M S) P) * M1)$
using *trace-pdo-eq-imp-eq[OF dWP, of adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 * wlp S (wlp (While M S) P) * M1]*
dM0 dP dM1 dWWP density-states-def **by** *fastforce*
then show *?thesis* **using** *M0-def M1-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *wlp-is-weakest-liberal-precondition*:
assumes *well-com S and is-quantum-predicate P*
shows *is-weakest-liberal-precondition (wlp S P) S P*
unfolding *is-weakest-liberal-precondition-def*
proof (*auto*)
show *qpWP: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S P) using wlp-close assms by auto*
have *eq: trace (wlp S P * ϱ) = trace (P * (denote S ϱ)) + trace ϱ - trace (denote S ϱ) if dsr: $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ for ϱ*
using *wlp-soundness assms dsr by auto*
then show $\models_p \{wlp S P\} S \{P\}$ **unfolding** *hoare-partial-correct-def by auto*
fix *Q assume qpQ: is-quantum-predicate Q and p: $\models_p \{Q\} S \{P\}$*
{
fix ϱ **assume** *dsr: $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$*
then have $\text{trace } (Q * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } S \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho)$
using *hoare-partial-correct-def p by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)*
then have $\text{trace } (Q * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (wlp S P * \varrho)$ **using** *eq[symmetric] dsr by auto*
}
then show $Q \leq_L wlp S P$ **using** *lowner-le-trace density-states-def qpQ qpWP is-quantum-predicate-def by auto*
qed

6.2 Hoare triples for partial correctness

inductive *hoare-partial* :: *complex mat $\Rightarrow$ com $\Rightarrow$ complex mat $\Rightarrow$ bool* ($\vdash_p$ $\{ (1-) \} / (-) / \{ (1-) \}$) 50) **where**
is-quantum-predicate P $\Rightarrow \vdash_p \{P\} SKIP \{P\}$
*| is-quantum-predicate P $\Rightarrow \vdash_p \{ \text{adjoint } U * P * U \} Utrans U \{P\}$*
| is-quantum-predicate P $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate Q $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate R $\Rightarrow$
 $\vdash_p \{P\} S1 \{Q\} \Rightarrow \vdash_p \{Q\} S2 \{R\} \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash_p \{P\} Seq S1 S2 \{R\}$
| ($\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate (P k)) $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate Q $\Rightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge k. k < n \Rightarrow \vdash_p \{P k\} S ! k \{Q\}) \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash_p \{ \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M k) * P k * M k) n \} Measure n M S \{Q\}$
| is-quantum-predicate P $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate Q $\Rightarrow$
 $\vdash_p \{Q\} S \{ \text{adjoint } (M 0) * P * M 0 + \text{adjoint } (M 1) * Q * M 1 \} \Rightarrow$
 $\vdash_p \{ \text{adjoint } (M 0) * P * M 0 + \text{adjoint } (M 1) * Q * M 1 \} While M S \{P\}$
| is-quantum-predicate P $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate Q $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate P' $\Rightarrow$ is-quantum-predicate Q' $\Rightarrow$
 $P \leq_L P' \Rightarrow \vdash_p \{P'\} S \{Q'\} \Rightarrow Q' \leq_L Q \Rightarrow \vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$

theorem *hoare-partial-sound*:

$\vdash_p \{P\} S \{Q\} \Rightarrow well-com S \Rightarrow \models_p \{P\} S \{Q\}$

proof (*induction rule: hoare-partial.induct*)

case (*1 P*)

then show *?case*

```

    unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
next
  case (2 P U)
  then have dU:  $U \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  and unitary U and dP:  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d$ 
  d using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  then have uU:  $\text{adjoint } U * U = 1_m \ d$  using unitary-def by auto
  show ?case
    unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def denote.simps(2)
  proof
    fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
    then have dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$  using density-states-def by auto
    have e1:  $\text{trace } (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U) = \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } U * U) * \varrho)$ 
      using dr dU by (mat-assoc d)
    also have ... =  $\text{trace } \varrho$ 
      using uU dr by auto
    finally have e1:  $\text{trace } (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U) = \text{trace } \varrho$  .
    have e2:  $\text{trace } (P * (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U)) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * P * U * \varrho)$ 
      using dU dP dr by (mat-assoc d)
    with e1 have  $\text{trace } (P * (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U)) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U)) = \text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * P * U * \varrho)$ 
      using e1 by auto
    then show  $\text{trace } (\text{adjoint } U * P * U * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (P * (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U))$ 
      +  $(\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (U * \varrho * \text{adjoint } U))$  by auto
    qed
  next
    case (3 P Q R S1 S2)
    then have wc1:  $\models_P \{P\} \ S1 \ \{Q\}$  and wc2:  $\models_P \{Q\} \ S2 \ \{R\}$  by auto
    show ?case
      unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def denote.simps(3)
    proof clarify
      fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
      have 1:  $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S1 \ \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S1 \ \varrho))$ 
        using wc1 hoare-partial-correct-def  $\varrho$  by auto
      have  $\varrho' : \text{denote } S1 \ \varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
        using 3(8) denote-density-states  $\varrho$  by auto
      have 2:  $\text{trace } (Q * \text{denote } S1 \ \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (R * \text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \varrho)) + (\text{trace } (\text{denote } S1 \ \varrho) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \varrho)))$ 
        using wc2 hoare-partial-correct-def  $\varrho'$  by auto
      show  $\text{trace } (P * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } (R * \text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \varrho)) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S2 \ (\text{denote } S1 \ \varrho)))$ 
        using 1 2 by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
    qed
  next
    case (4 n P Q S M)
    then have wc:  $k < n \implies \text{well-com } (S!k)$ 
      and c:  $k < n \implies \models_P \{P \ k\} \ (S!k) \ \{Q\}$  and m:  $\text{measurement } d \ n \ M$ 
      and dMk:  $k < n \implies M \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
      and aMMkleg:  $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M \ k) * M \ k \leq_L 1_m \ d$ 

```

```

and dPk:  $k < n \implies P\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ 
and dQ:  $Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$ 
for  $k$  using is-quantum-predicate-def measurement-def measure-well-com measurement-le-one-mat by auto

{
  fix  $\varrho$  assume  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
  then have  $dr: \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  and  $pdor: \text{partial-density-operator } \varrho$  using
density-states-def by auto
    have  $dSr: k < n \implies (M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k) \in \text{density-states}$  for  $k$ 
unfolding density-states-def
    using  $dMk\ pdo\text{-close-under-measurement}[OF\ dMk\ dr\ pdor\ aMMkleq]$   $dr$  by
fastforce
    then have  $leqk: k < n \implies \text{trace } ((P\ k) * ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))) \leq$ 
       $\text{trace } (Q * (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))) +$ 
       $(\text{trace } ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (S!k) ((M\ k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))))$  for  $k$ 
    using c unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
    have  $k < n \implies M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$  using  $dMk$ 
dr by fastforce
    then have  $dsMrk: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)))$ 
 $k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$ 
    using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of\ k\ \lambda k. (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))\ d]$  by fastforce
    have  $k < n \implies \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$  using
 $dMk\ dPk$  by fastforce
    then have  $dsMP: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k)$ 
 $k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$ 
    using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of\ k\ \lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k\ d]$  by fastforce
    have  $dSMrk: k < n \implies \text{denote } (S!k) (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)) \in \text{carrier-mat}$ 
 $d\ d$  for  $k$ 
    using  $\text{denote-dim}[OF\ wc, of\ k\ M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k)]\ dSr\ \text{density-states-def}$ 
by fastforce
    have  $dsSMrk: k < n \implies \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint}$ 
 $(M\ k)))\ k \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  for  $k$ 
    using  $\text{matrix-sum-dim}[of\ k\ \lambda k. \text{denote } (S!k) (M\ k * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))\ d,$ 
 $OF\ dSMrk]$  by fastforce
    have  $k \leq n \implies$ 
       $\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k)\ k * \varrho)$ 
       $\leq \text{trace } (Q * (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k\ M\ S)\ \varrho)) + (\text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. (M$ 
 $k) * \varrho * \text{adjoint } (M\ k))\ k) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{Measure } k\ M\ S)\ \varrho))$  for  $k$ 
    unfolding denote-measure-expand[ $OF - 4(5)$ ]
    proof (induct k)
    case 0
    then show ?case using  $dQ\ dr\ pdor\ \text{partial-density-operator-def positive-trace}$ 
by auto
    next
    case (Suc k)
    then have  $k: k < n$  by auto
    have  $eq1: \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint } (M\ k) * P\ k * M\ k)\ (Suc\ k) *$ 

```

```

  ρ)
    = trace (adjoint (M k) * P k * M k * ρ) + trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint
(M k) * P k * M k) k * ρ)
    unfolding matrix-sum.simps
    using dMk[OF k] dPk[OF k] dr dsMP[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

    have trace (adjoint (M k) * P k * M k * ρ) = trace (P k * (M k * ρ *
adjoint (M k)))
    using dMk[OF k] dPk[OF k] dr by (mat-assoc d)
    also have ... ≤ trace (Q * (denote (S!k) ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)))) +
      (trace ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) - trace (denote (S ! k) ((M k) * ρ *
adjoint (M k)))) using leqk k by auto
    finally have eq2: trace (adjoint (M k) * P k * M k * ρ) ≤ trace (Q *
(denote (S!k) ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)))) +
      (trace ((M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) - trace (denote (S ! k) ((M k) * ρ *
adjoint (M k)))).

    have eq3: trace (Q * matrix-sum d (λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M
k))) (Suc k))
      = trace (Q * (denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)))) + trace (Q *
matrix-sum d (λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
    unfolding matrix-sum.simps
    using dQ dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

    have eq4: trace (denote (S ! k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) + matrix-sum d
(λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
      = trace (denote (S ! k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) + trace (matrix-sum d
(λk. denote (S!k) (M k * ρ * adjoint (M k))) k)
    using dSMrk[OF k] dsSMrk[OF k] by (mat-assoc d)

    show ?case
    apply (subst eq1) apply (subst eq3)
    apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def)
    apply (subst trace-add-linear[of M k * ρ * adjoint (M k) d matrix-sum d
(λk. M k * ρ * adjoint (M k)) k])
    apply (simp add: dMk adjoint-dim[OF dMk] dr mult-carrier-mat[of - d
d - d] k)
    apply (simp add: dsMrk k)
    apply (subst eq4)
    apply (insert eq2 Suc(1) k, fastforce simp: less-eq-complex-def)
    done
  qed
  then have leq: trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n * ρ)
    ≤ trace (Q * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) +
      (trace (matrix-sum d (λk. (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) n) - trace (denote
(Measure n M S) ρ))
    by auto
    have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. (M k) * ρ * adjoint (M k)) n) = trace ρ using
trace-measurement m dr by auto

```

```

    with leq have trace (matrix-sum d (λk. adjoint (M k) * P k * M k) n * ρ)
      ≤ trace (Q * denote (Measure n M S) ρ) + (trace ρ - trace (denote (Measure
n M S) ρ))
    unfolding denote-measure-def by auto
  }
  then show ?case unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
next
case (5 P Q S M)
define M0 where M0 = M 0
define M1 where M1 = M 1
from 5 have wcs: well-com S and c:  $\models_p \{Q\} S \{adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint
M1 * Q * M1\}$ 
and m: measurement d 2 M
and dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat d d
and dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d and dQ: Q ∈ carrier-mat d d
and qpQ: is-quantum-predicate Q
and wc: well-com (While M S)
using measurement-def is-quantum-predicate-def M0-def M1-def by auto
then have M0leq: adjoint M0 * M0 ≤L 1m d and M1leq: adjoint M1 * M1 ≤L
1m d using measurement-le-one-mat[OF m] M0-def M1-def by auto
define DS where DS = denote S

have ∀ ρ ∈ density-states. trace (Q * ρ) ≤ trace ((adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint
M1 * Q * M1) * DS ρ) + trace ρ - trace (DS ρ)
using hoare-partial-correct-def[of Q S adjoint M0 * P * M0 + adjoint M1 *
Q * M1] c DS-def
by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
define D0 where D0 = denote-while-n M0 M1 DS
define D1 where D1 = denote-while-n-comp M0 M1 DS
define D where D = denote-while-n-iter M0 M1 DS
{
  fix ρ assume dsr: ρ ∈ density-states
  then have dr: ρ ∈ carrier-mat d d and pr: positive ρ and pdor: partial-density-operator
ρ
  using density-states-def partial-density-operator-def by auto
  have pdoDkr:  $\bigwedge k. \text{partial-density-operator } (D k \rho)$  unfolding D-def
  using pdo-denote-while-n-iter[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq]
    denote-partial-density-operator[OF wcs] denote-dim[OF wcs, folded DS-def]
  apply (fold DS-def) by auto
  then have pDkr:  $\bigwedge k. \text{positive } (D k \rho)$  unfolding partial-density-operator-def
by auto
  have dDkr:  $\bigwedge k. D k \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
  using denote-while-n-iter-dim[OF dr pdor dM1 M1leq denote-dim-pdo[OF wcs,
folded DS-def], of id M0, simplified, folded D-def] by auto
  then have dD0kr:  $\bigwedge k. D0 k \rho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  unfolding D0-def de-
note-while-n.simps apply (fold D-def) using dM0 by auto
  then have dPD0kr:  $\bigwedge k. P * (D0 k \rho) \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  using dP by auto
  have  $\bigwedge k. \text{positive } (D0 k \rho)$  unfolding D0-def denote-while-n.simps

```

```

    by (fold D-def, rule positive-close-under-left-right-mult-adjoint[OF dM0 dDkr
pDkr])
    then have trge0:  $\bigwedge k. \text{trace } (D0\ k\ \varrho) \geq 0$  using positive-trace dD0kr by blast
    have DSr:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states} \implies DS\ \varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $\varrho$  unfolding DS-def
density-states-def
    using denote-partial-density-operator denote-dim wcs by auto
    have dsD1nr:  $D1\ n\ \varrho \in \text{density-states}$  for  $n$  unfolding D1-def denote-while-n-comp.simps

    apply (fold D-def) unfolding density-states-def
    apply (auto)
    apply (insert dDkr dM1 adjoint-dim[OF dM1], auto)
    apply (rule pdo-close-under-measurement[OF dM1 spec[OF allI[OF dDkr], of
 $\lambda x. n$ ] spec[OF allI[OF pdoDkr], of  $\lambda x. n$ ] M1leq])
    done

    have leQn:  $\text{trace } (Q * D1\ n\ \varrho)$ 
       $\leq \text{trace } (P * D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho) + \text{trace } (Q * D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$ 
       $+ \text{trace } (D1\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$  for  $n$ 
    proof -
      have  $(\forall \varrho \in \text{density-states}. \text{trace } (Q * \varrho) \leq \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 +$ 
adjoint M1 * Q * M1) * denote S  $\varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S\ \varrho)))$ 
      using c hoare-partial-correct-def by auto
      then have leQn':  $\text{trace } (Q * (D1\ n\ \varrho))$ 
         $\leq \text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * (DS\ (D1\ n$ 
 $\varrho)))$ 
         $+ (\text{trace } (D1\ n\ \varrho) - \text{trace } (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)))$ 
      using dsD1nr[of n] DS-def by auto
      have  $(DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) \in \text{carrier-mat } d\ d$  unfolding DS-def using denote-dim[OF
wcs] dsD1nr density-states-def by auto
      then have  $\text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) * (DS$ 
 $(D1\ n\ \varrho)))$ 
         $= \text{trace } (P * (M0 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M0))$ 
         $+ \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M1))$  using dP dQ dM0 dM1
    by (mat-assoc d)
    moreover have  $\text{trace } (P * (M0 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M0)) = \text{trace } (P$ 
 $* D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$ 
      unfolding D0-def denote-while-n.simps
      apply (subst denote-while-n-iter-assoc)
      by (fold denote-while-n-comp.simps D1-def, auto)
    moreover have  $\text{trace } (Q * (M1 * (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) * \text{adjoint } M1)) = \text{trace } (Q$ 
 $* D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$ 
      apply (subst (2) D1-def) unfolding denote-while-n-comp.simps
      apply (subst denote-while-n-iter-assoc)
      by (fold denote-while-n-comp.simps D1-def, auto)
    ultimately have  $\text{trace } ((\text{adjoint } M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1) *$ 
 $(DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)))$ 
       $= \text{trace } (P * D0\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho) + \text{trace } (Q * D1\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$  by auto
    moreover have  $\text{trace } (DS\ (D1\ n\ \varrho)) = \text{trace } (D\ (Suc\ n)\ \varrho)$ 
      unfolding D-def

```

```

    apply (subst denote-while-n-iter-assoc)
    by (fold denote-while-n-comp.simps D1-def, auto)
    ultimately show ?thesis using leQn' by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  qed

  have 12: trace (P * (M0 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint M0)) + trace (Q * (M1 *  $\varrho$  * adjoint
M1))
    ≤ (∑ k=0.. $(n+2)$ . trace (P * (D0 k  $\varrho$ ))) + trace (Q * (D1 (n+1)  $\varrho$ ))
    + (∑ k=0.. $(n+1)$ . trace (D1 k  $\varrho$ ) - trace (D (k+1)  $\varrho$ )) for n
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    show ?case apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def)
    unfolding D0-def D1-def D-def denote-while-n-comp.simps denote-while-n.simps
denote-while-n-iter.simps
      using leQn[of 0] unfolding D1-def D0-def D-def denote-while-n.simps
denote-while-n-comp.simps denote-while-n-iter.simps
      by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
    next
    case (Suc n)
    have trace (Q * D1 (n + 1)  $\varrho$ )
      ≤ trace (P * D0 (Suc (Suc n))  $\varrho$ ) + trace (Q * D1 (Suc (Suc n))  $\varrho$ )
      + trace (D1 (Suc n)  $\varrho$ ) - trace (D (Suc (Suc n))  $\varrho$ ) using leQn[of n +
1] by auto
    with Suc show ?case apply (simp del: less-eq-complex-def) by (auto simp:
less-eq-complex-def)
  qed

  have tr-measurement:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ 
     $\implies \text{trace } (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0) + \text{trace } (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1) = \text{trace } \varrho$ 
  for  $\varrho$ 
    using trace-measurement2[OF m, folded M0-def M1-def] by auto

  have 14: (∑ k=0.. $(n+1)$ . trace (D1 k  $\varrho$ ) - trace (D (k+1)  $\varrho$ )) = trace  $\varrho$  -
trace (D (n+1)  $\varrho$ ) - (∑ k=0.. $(n+1)$ . trace (D0 k  $\varrho$ )) for n
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
    show ?case apply (simp) unfolding D1-def D0-def denote-while-n-comp.simps
denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-iter.simps
      using tr-measurement[OF dr] by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
    next
    case (Suc n)
    have trace (D0 (Suc n)  $\varrho$ ) + trace (D1 (Suc n)  $\varrho$ ) = trace (D (Suc n)  $\varrho$ )
      unfolding D0-def D1-def denote-while-n.simps denote-while-n-comp.simps
    apply (fold D-def)
      using tr-measurement dDkr by auto
    then have trace (D1 (Suc n)  $\varrho$ ) = trace (D (Suc n)  $\varrho$ ) - trace (D0 (Suc n)
 $\varrho$ )
      by (auto simp add: algebra-simps)
    then show ?case using Suc by simp
  end

```

qed

have 15: $\text{trace } (Q * (D1 \ n \ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } (D \ n \ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D0 \ n \ \varrho)$ for n
proof –
 have QleId: $Q \leq_L 1_m \ d$ **using** is-quantum-predicate-def qpQ **by** auto
 then have $\text{trace } (Q * (D1 \ n \ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } (1_m \ d * (D1 \ n \ \varrho))$ **using**
 dsD1nr[of n] **unfolding** density-states-def downer-le-trace[OF dQ one-carrier-mat]
by auto
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D1 \ n \ \varrho)$ **using** dsD1nr[of n] **unfolding** density-states-def
by auto
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (M1 * (D \ n \ \varrho) * \text{adjoint } M1)$ **unfolding** D1-def
 denote-while-n-comp.simps D-def **by** auto
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D \ n \ \varrho) - \text{trace } (M0 * (D \ n \ \varrho) * \text{adjoint } M0)$
using tr-measurement[OF dDkr[of n]] **by** (simp add: algebra-simps)
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (D \ n \ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D0 \ n \ \varrho)$ **unfolding** D0-def de-
 note-while-n.simps **by** (fold D-def, auto)
 finally show ?thesis.
 qed

have tmp: $\bigwedge a \ b \ c. 0 \leq a \implies b \leq c - a \implies b \leq (c::\text{complex})$
by (simp add: less-eq-complex-def)
 then have 151: $\bigwedge n. \text{trace } (Q * (D1 \ n \ \varrho)) \leq \text{trace } (D \ n \ \varrho)$
by (auto simp add: tmp[OF trge0 15] simp del: less-eq-complex-def)

have main-leq: $\bigwedge n. \text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$
 $\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace}$
 $(\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))$
proof –
 fix n
 have $(\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (Q * (D1 \ (n+1) \ \varrho))$
 $+ (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D1 \ k \ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D \ (k+1) \ \varrho))$
 $\leq (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (Q * (D1 \ (n+1) \ \varrho))$
 $+ \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (D \ (n+1) \ \varrho) - (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D0 \ k \ \varrho))$
by (subst 14, auto)
 also have
 $\dots \leq (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (D \ (n+1) \ \varrho) - \text{trace}$
 $(D0 \ (n+1) \ \varrho)$
 $+ \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (D \ (n+1) \ \varrho) - (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D0 \ k \ \varrho))$
using 15[of n+1] **by** (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
 also have $\dots = (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho))) + \text{trace } \varrho -$
 $(\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (D0 \ k \ \varrho))$ **by** auto
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho)) \ (n+2)) + \text{trace } \varrho$
 $- (\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (D0 \ k \ \varrho))$
using trace-matrix-sum-linear[of n+2 $\lambda k. (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho)) \ d$, symmetric]
 dPD0kr **by** auto
 also have $\dots = \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho)) \ (n+2)) + \text{trace } \varrho$
 $- \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))$
using trace-matrix-sum-linear[of n+2 $\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho \ d$, symmetric] dD0kr **by**

auto

also have $\dots = \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))$
using *matrix-sum-distrib-left*[*OF dP dD0kr, of id n+2*] **by** *auto*
finally have
 $(\sum k=0..<(n+2). \text{trace } (P * (D0 \ k \ \varrho))) + \text{trace } (Q * (D1 \ (n+1) \ \varrho))$
 $+ (\sum k=0..<(n+1). \text{trace } (D1 \ k \ \varrho) - \text{trace } (D \ (k+1) \ \varrho))$
 $\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2)) .$
then show $\text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$
 $\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))$ **using** *12*[*of n*] **by** *auto*
qed

have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n)) \ (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho) \ d$
using *limit-mat-denote-while-n*[*OF wc dr pdor*] **unfolding** *D0-def M0-def M1-def DS-def* **by** *auto*
then have *limp2*: *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2)) \ (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho) \ d$
using *limit-mat-ignore-initial-segment*[*of \lambda n. matrix-sum d (\lambda k. D0 k \varrho) (n) (denote (While M S) \varrho) d 2*] **by** *auto*
then have *limit-mat* $(\lambda n. (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2)))) \ (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)) \ d$
using *mat-mult-limit*[*OF dP*] **unfolding** *mat-mult-seq-def* **by** *auto*
then have *limPm*: $(\lambda n. \text{trace } (P * (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2)))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho))$
using *mat-trace-limit* **by** *auto*

have *limm*: $(\lambda n. \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))) \longrightarrow \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)$
using *mat-trace-limit limp2* **by** *auto*

have *leq-lim*: $\text{trace } (P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)) + \text{trace } (Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1))$
 $\leq \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)) + \text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho) \ (\text{is } ?lhs \leq ?rhs)$
using *main-leq*
proof –
define *seq* **where** *seq* $n = \text{trace } (P * \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2)) - \text{trace } (\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. D0 \ k \ \varrho) \ (n+2))$ **for** n
define *seqlim* **where** *seqlim* $= \text{trace } (P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)) - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M \ S) \ \varrho)$
have *main-leq'*: $?lhs \leq \text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n$ **for** n
unfolding *seq-def* **using** *main-leq* **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)
have *limseq*: $\text{seq} \longrightarrow \text{seqlim}$
unfolding *seq-def seqlim-def* **using** *tendsto-diff*[*OF limPm limm*] **by** *auto*
have *limrs*: $(\lambda n. \text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n) \longrightarrow (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$ **using** *tendsto-add*[*OF - limseq*] **by** *auto*

```

    have limrsRe: ( $\lambda n. \text{Re } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{Re } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$  using
  tendsto-Re[OF limrs] by auto
    have main-leq-Re:  $\text{Re } ?lhs \leq \text{Re } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$  for  $n$  using main-leq'
      by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
    have Re:  $\text{Re } ?lhs \leq \text{Re } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$ 
    using Lim-bounded2[OF limrsRe] main-leq-Re by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)

    have limrsIm: ( $\lambda n. \text{Im } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{Im } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$  using
  tendsto-Im[OF limrs] by auto
    have main-leq-Im:  $\text{Im } ?lhs = \text{Im } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$  for  $n$  using main-leq'
  unfolding less-eq-complex-def by auto
    then have limIm: ( $\lambda n. \text{Im } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seq } n)$ )  $\longrightarrow$   $\text{Im } ?lhs$  using tend-
  sto-intros(1) by auto
    have Im:  $\text{Im } ?lhs = \text{Im } (\text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim})$ 
    using tendsto-unique[OF - limIm limrsIm] by auto

    have  $?lhs \leq \text{trace } \varrho + \text{seqlim}$  using Re Im by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  then show  $?lhs \leq ?rhs$  unfolding seqlim-def by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
qed

    have trace ((adjoint  $M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1$ ) *  $\varrho$ ) =
      trace ( $P * (M0 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M0)$ ) + trace ( $Q * (M1 * \varrho * \text{adjoint } M1)$ )
    using dr dM0 dM1 dP dQ by (mat-assoc d)
    then have trace ((adjoint  $M0 * P * M0 + \text{adjoint } M1 * Q * M1$ ) *  $\varrho$ )  $\leq$ 
      trace ( $P * (\text{denote } (\text{While } M S) \varrho)$ ) + (trace  $\varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } (\text{While } M S)$ 
 $\varrho)$ )
    using leq-lim by (auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)
  }
  then show ?case unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def denote.simps(5)
    apply (fold M0-def M1-def DS-def D0-def D1-def) by auto
next
  case (6  $P Q P' Q' S$ )
  then have wcs: well-com  $S$  and  $c: \models_p \{P'\} S \{Q'\}$ 
    and dP:  $P \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  and dQ:  $Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
    and dP':  $P' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$  and dQ':  $Q' \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
    using is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  show ?case unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def
  proof
    fix  $\varrho$  assume pds:  $\varrho \in \text{density-states}$ 
    then have pdor: partial-density-operator  $\varrho$  and dr:  $\varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
      using density-states-def by auto
    have pdoSr: partial-density-operator ( $\text{denote } S \varrho$ )
      using denote-partial-density-operator pdor dr wcs by auto
    have dSr:  $\text{denote } S \varrho \in \text{carrier-mat } d d$ 
      using denote-dim pdor dr wcs by auto
    have trace ( $P * \varrho$ )  $\leq \text{trace } (P' * \varrho)$  using lower-le-trace[OF dP dP'] 6 dr pdor
  by auto
    also have  $\dots \leq \text{trace } (Q' * \text{denote } S \varrho) + (\text{trace } \varrho - \text{trace } (\text{denote } S \varrho))$ 

```

```

    using c unfolding hoare-partial-correct-def using pds by auto
    also have ... ≤ trace (Q * denote S ρ) + (trace ρ - trace (denote S ρ)) using
lower-le-trace[OF dQ' dQ] 6 dSr pdoSr by auto
    finally show trace (P * ρ) ≤ trace (Q * denote S ρ) + (trace ρ - trace (denote
S ρ)) .
qed
qed

```

lemma *wlp-complete*:

```

well-com S ⇒ is-quantum-predicate P ⇒ ⊢p {wlp S P} S {P}
proof (induct S arbitrary: P)
  case SKIP
  then show ?case unfolding wlp.simps using hoare-partial.intros by auto
next
  case (Utrans U)
  then show ?case unfolding wlp.simps using hoare-partial.intros by auto
next
  case (Seq S1 S2)
  then have wc1: well-com S1 and wc2: well-com S2 and qpP: is-quantum-predicate
P
    and p2: ⊢p {wlp S2 P} S2 {P} by auto
    have qpW2P: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S2 P) using wlp-close[OF wc2 qpP] by
auto
    then have p1: ⊢p {wlp S1 (wlp S2 P)} S1 {wlp S2 P} using Seq by auto
    have qpW1W2P: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S1 (wlp S2 P)) using wlp-close[OF
wc1 qpW2P] by auto
    then show ?case unfolding wlp.simps using hoare-partial.intros qpW1W2P
qpW2P qpP p1 p2 by auto
next
  case (Measure n M S)
  then have wc: well-com (Measure n M S) and qpP: is-quantum-predicate P by
auto
    have set: k < n ⇒ (S!k) ∈ set S for k using wc by auto
    have wck: k < n ⇒ well-com (S!k) for k using wc measure-well-com by auto
    then have qpWkP: k < n ⇒ is-quantum-predicate (wlp (S!k) P) for k using
wlp-close qpP by auto
    have pk: k < n ⇒ ⊢p {(wlp (S!k) P)} (S!k) {P} for k using Measure(1) set
wck qpP by auto
    show ?case unfolding wlp-measure-expand[OF wc] using hoare-partial.intros
qpWkP qpP pk by auto
next
  case (While M S)
  then have wc: well-com (While M S) and wcS: well-com S and qpP: is-quantum-predicate
P by auto
    have qpWP: is-quantum-predicate (wlp (While M S) P) using wlp-close[OF wc
qpP] by auto
    then have qpWWP: is-quantum-predicate (wlp S (wlp (While M S) P)) using
wlp-close wcS by auto
    have ⊢p {wlp S (wlp (While M S) P)} S {wlp (While M S) P} using While(1)

```

wcS $qpWP$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** eq : $wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P = adjoint \ (M \ 0) * P * M \ 0 + adjoint \ (M \ 1) * wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P) * M \ 1$
using *wlp-while-split* wc qpP **by** *auto*
ultimately **have** p : $\vdash_p \{wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P)\} \ S \ \{adjoint \ (M \ 0) * P * M \ 0 + adjoint \ (M \ 1) * wlp \ S \ (wlp \ (While \ M \ S) \ P) * M \ 1\}$ **by** *auto*
then **show** *?case* **using** *hoare-partial.intros(5)[OF qpP qpWWP p]* eq **by** *auto*
qed

theorem *hoare-partial-complete*:

$\models_p \{P\} \ S \ \{Q\} \implies well-com \ S \implies is-quantum-predicate \ P \implies is-quantum-predicate \ Q \implies \vdash_p \{P\} \ S \ \{Q\}$

proof –

assume p : $\models_p \{P\} \ S \ \{Q\}$ **and** wc : *well-com* S **and** qpP : *is-quantum-predicate* P **and** qpQ : *is-quantum-predicate* Q

then **have** dQ : $Q \in carrier-mat \ d \ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*

have $qpWP$: *is-quantum-predicate* $(wlp \ S \ Q)$ **using** *wlp-close* wc qpQ **by** *auto*

then **have** dWP : $wlp \ S \ Q \in carrier-mat \ d \ d$ **using** *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*

have eq : $trace \ (wlp \ S \ Q * \varrho) = trace \ (Q * (denote \ S \ \varrho)) + trace \ \varrho - trace \ (denote \ S \ \varrho)$ **if** dSr : $\varrho \in density-states$ **for** ϱ

using *wlp-soundness* wc qpQ dSr **by** *auto*

then **have** $\models_p \{wlp \ S \ Q\} \ S \ \{Q\}$ **unfolding** *hoare-partial-correct-def* **by** *auto*

{

fix ϱ **assume** dSr : $\varrho \in density-states$

then **have** $trace \ (P * \varrho) \leq trace \ (Q * (denote \ S \ \varrho)) + trace \ \varrho - trace \ (denote \ S \ \varrho)$

using *hoare-partial-correct-def* p **by** *(auto simp: less-eq-complex-def)*

then **have** $trace \ (P * \varrho) \leq trace \ (wlp \ S \ Q * \varrho)$ **using** *eq[symmetric]* dSr **by** *auto*

}

then **have** le : $P \leq_L wlp \ S \ Q$ **using** *lower-le-trace density-states-def* qpP $qpWP$ *is-quantum-predicate-def* **by** *auto*

moreover **have** wlp : $\vdash_p \{wlp \ S \ Q\} \ S \ \{Q\}$ **using** *wlp-complete* wc qpQ **by** *auto*

ultimately **show** $\vdash_p \{P\} \ S \ \{Q\}$ **using** *hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qpP qpQ qpWP qpQ]* *lower-le-refl[OF dQ]* **by** *auto*

qed

6.3 Consequences of completeness

lemma *hoare-partial-seq-assoc-sem*:

shows $\models_p \{A\} \ (S1 ;; S2) ;; S3 \ \{B\} \longleftrightarrow \models_p \{A\} \ S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \ \{B\}$

unfolding *hoare-partial-correct-def* *denote.simps* **by** *auto*

lemma *hoare-partial-seq-assoc*:

assumes *well-com* $S1$ **and** *well-com* $S2$ **and** *well-com* $S3$

and *is-quantum-predicate* A **and** *is-quantum-predicate* B

shows $\vdash_p \{A\} \ (S1 ;; S2) ;; S3 \ \{B\} \longleftrightarrow \vdash_p \{A\} \ S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \ \{B\}$

proof

```

    assume  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1;; S2;; S3 \{B\}$ 
    then have  $\models_p \{A\} (S1 ;; S2) ;; S3 \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-sound assms by
    auto
    then have  $\models_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$  using hoare-patual-seq-assoc-sem by
    auto
    then show  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1 ;; (S2 ;; S3) \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-complete assms by
    auto
next
  assume  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1;; (S2;; S3) \{B\}$ 
  then have  $\models_p \{A\} S1;; (S2;; S3) \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-sound assms by auto
  then have  $\models_p \{A\} S1;; S2;; S3 \{B\}$  using hoare-patual-seq-assoc-sem by auto
  then show  $\vdash_p \{A\} S1;; S2;; S3 \{B\}$  using hoare-partial-complete assms by
  auto
qed

end

end

```

7 Grover's algorithm

```

theory Grover
  imports Partial-State Gates Quantum-Hoare
begin

```

7.1 Basic definitions

```

locale grover-state =
  fixes  $n :: nat$ 
  and  $f :: nat \Rightarrow bool$ 
  assumes  $n: n > 1$ 
  and  $dimM: card \{i. i < (2::nat) ^ n \wedge f i\} > 0$ 
  and  $card \{i. i < (2::nat) ^ n \wedge f i\} < (2::nat) ^ n$ 
begin

```

```

definition N where
   $N = (2::nat) ^ n$ 

```

```

definition M where
   $M = card \{i. i < N \wedge f i\}$ 

```

```

lemma N-ge-0 [simp]:  $0 < N$  by (simp add: N-def)

```

```

lemma M-ge-0 [simp]:  $0 < M$  by (simp add: M-def dimM N-def)

```

```

lemma M-neq-0 [simp]:  $M \neq 0$  by simp

```

```

lemma M-le-N [simp]:  $M < N$  by (simp add: M-def dimM N-def)

```

lemma *M-not-ge-N* [simp]: $\neg M \geq N$ **using** *M-le-N* **by** *arith*

definition $\psi :: \text{complex vec}$ **where**
 $\psi = \text{Matrix.vec } N (\lambda i. 1 / \text{sqrt } N)$

lemma $\psi\text{-dim}$ [simp]:
 $\psi \in \text{carrier-vec } N$
 $\text{dim-vec } \psi = N$
by (*simp add: $\psi\text{-def}$*)**+**

lemma $\psi\text{-eval}$:
 $i < N \implies \psi \$ i = 1 / \text{sqrt } N$
by (*simp add: $\psi\text{-def}$*)

lemma $\psi\text{-inner}$:
 $\text{inner-prod } \psi \psi = 1$
apply (*simp add: $\psi\text{-eval scalar-prod-def}$*)
by (*smt (verit) of-nat-less-0-iff of-real-mult of-real-of-nat-eq real-sqrt-mult-self*)

lemma $\psi\text{-norm}$:
 $\text{vec-norm } \psi = 1$
by (*simp add: $\psi\text{-eval vec-norm-def scalar-prod-def}$*)

definition $\alpha :: \text{complex vec}$ **where**
 $\alpha = \text{Matrix.vec } N (\lambda i. \text{if } f \ i \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 / \text{sqrt } (N - M))$

lemma $\alpha\text{-dim}$ [simp]:
 $\alpha \in \text{carrier-vec } N$
 $\text{dim-vec } \alpha = N$
by (*simp add: $\alpha\text{-def}$*)**+**

lemma $\alpha\text{-eval}$:
 $i < N \implies \alpha \$ i = (\text{if } f \ i \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1 / \text{sqrt } (N - M))$
by (*simp add: $\alpha\text{-def}$*)

lemma $\alpha\text{-inner}$:
 $\text{inner-prod } \alpha \alpha = 1$
apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def $\alpha\text{-eval}$*)
apply (*subst sum.mono-neutral-cong-right[of $\{0..<N\}$ $\{0..<N\} - \{i. i < N \wedge f \ i\}$]*)
apply *auto*
apply (*subgoal-tac card ($\{0..<N\} - \{i. i < N \wedge f \ i\} = N - M$)*)
subgoal by (*metis of-nat-0-le-iff of-real-of-nat-eq of-real-power power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2*)
unfolding *N-def M-def*
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) atLeastLessThan-iff card.infinite card-Diff-subset card-atLeastLessThan diff-zero dimM(1) mem-Collect-eq neq0-conv subsetI zero-order(1)*)

definition $\beta :: \text{complex vec}$ **where**

$\beta = \text{Matrix.vec } N \ (\lambda i. \text{ if } f \ i \text{ then } 1 / \text{sqrt } M \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $\beta\text{-dim}$ [simp]:

$\beta \in \text{carrier-vec } N$

$\text{dim-vec } \beta = N$

by (simp add: $\beta\text{-def}$)⁺

lemma $\beta\text{-eval}$:

$i < N \implies \beta \ \$ \ i = (\text{if } f \ i \text{ then } 1 / \text{sqrt } M \text{ else } 0)$

by (simp add: $\beta\text{-def}$)

lemma $\beta\text{-inner}$:

$\text{inner-prod } \beta \ \beta = 1$

apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def $\beta\text{-eval}$)

apply (subst sum.mono-neutral-cong-right[of $\{0..<N\}$ $\{i. i < N \wedge f \ i\}$])

apply auto

apply (fold M-def)

by (metis of-nat-0-le-iff of-real-of-nat-eq of-real-power power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2)

lemma $\alpha\text{-beta-orth}$:

$\text{inner-prod } \alpha \ \beta = 0$

unfolding $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** (simp add: scalar-prod-def)

lemma $\beta\text{-alpha-orth}$:

$\text{inner-prod } \beta \ \alpha = 0$

unfolding $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** (simp add: scalar-prod-def)

definition $\vartheta :: \text{real}$ **where**

$\vartheta = 2 * \arccos (\text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N))$

lemma $\cos\text{-theta-div-2}$:

$\cos (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N)$

proof –

have $\vartheta / 2 = \arccos (\text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N))$ **using** $\vartheta\text{-def}$ **by** simp

then show $\cos (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N)$

by (simp add: cos-arccos-abs)

qed

lemma $\sin\text{-theta-div-2}$:

$\sin (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } (M / N)$

proof –

have $a: \vartheta / 2 = \arccos (\text{sqrt } ((N - M) / N))$ **using** $\vartheta\text{-def}$ **by** simp

have $N: N > 0$ **using** $N\text{-def}$ **by** auto

have $M: M < N$ **using** $M\text{-def dimM } N\text{-def}$ **by** auto

then show $\sin (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } (M / N)$

unfolding a

apply (simp add: sin-arccos-abs)

proof –

have $eq: \text{real } (N - M) = \text{real } N - \text{real } M$ **using** $N \ M$

```

    using M-not-ge-N nat-le-linear of-nat-diff by blast
  have  $1 - \text{real } (N - M) / \text{real } N = (\text{real } N - (\text{real } N - \text{real } M)) / \text{real } N$ 
    unfolding eq using N
    by (metis diff-divide-distrib divide-self-if eq gr-implies-not0 of-nat-0-eq-iff)
  then show  $1 - \text{real } (N - M) / \text{real } N = \text{real } M / \text{real } N$  by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *var-neq-0*:

```

   $\vartheta \neq 0$ 
proof -
  {
    assume  $\vartheta = 0$ 
    then have  $\vartheta / 2 = 0$  by auto
    then have  $\sin (\vartheta / 2) = 0$  by auto
  }
  note  $z = \text{this}$ 
  have  $\sin (\vartheta / 2) = \text{sqrt } (M / N)$  using sin-theta-div-2 by auto
  moreover have  $M > 0$  unfolding M-def N-def using dimM by auto
  ultimately have  $\sin (\vartheta / 2) > 0$  by auto
  with  $z$  show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

abbreviation *ccos* **where** $\text{ccos } \varphi \equiv \text{complex-of-real } (\cos \varphi)$

abbreviation *csin* **where** $\text{csin } \varphi \equiv \text{complex-of-real } (\sin \varphi)$

lemma *psi-eq*:

```

   $\psi = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta$ 
  apply (simp add: cos-theta-div-2 sin-theta-div-2)
  apply (rule eq-vecI)
  by (auto simp add: alpha-def beta-def psi-def real-sqrt-divide)

```

lemma *psi-inner-alpha*:

```

  inner-prod  $\psi \alpha = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$ 
  unfolding psi-eq
proof -
  have inner-prod  $(\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha) \alpha = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$ 
    apply (subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N])
    using  $\alpha\text{-dim } \alpha\text{-inner}$  by auto
  moreover have inner-prod  $(\text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta) \alpha = 0$ 
    apply (subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N])
    using  $\alpha\text{-dim } \beta\text{-dim beta-alpha-orth}$  by auto
  ultimately show inner-prod  $(\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta) \alpha = \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$ 
    apply (subst inner-prod-distrib-left[of - N])
    using  $\alpha\text{-dim } \beta\text{-dim}$  by auto
qed

```

lemma *psi-inner-beta*:

```

inner-prod  $\psi \beta = \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$ 
unfolding  $\psi\text{-eq}$ 
proof -
  have inner-prod  $(\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha) \beta = 0$ 
  apply (subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N])
  using  $\alpha\text{-dim } \beta\text{-dim } \alpha\beta\text{-orth}$  by auto
  moreover have inner-prod  $(\text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta) \beta = \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$ 
  apply (subst inner-prod-smult-right[of - N])
  using  $\beta\text{-dim } \beta\text{-inner}$  by auto
  ultimately show inner-prod  $(\text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta) \beta = \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$ 
  apply (subst inner-prod-distrib-left[of - N])
  using  $\alpha\text{-dim } \beta\text{-dim}$  by auto
qed

definition alpha-l :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  alpha-l l = ccos  $((l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta)$ 

lemma alpha-l-real:
  alpha-l l  $\in$  Reals
  unfolding alpha-l-def by auto

lemma cnj-alpha-l:
  conjugate (alpha-l l) = alpha-l l
  using alpha-l-real Reals-cnj-iff by auto

definition beta-l :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex where
  beta-l l = csin  $((l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta)$ 

lemma beta-l-real:
  beta-l l  $\in$  Reals
  unfolding beta-l-def by auto

lemma cnj-beta-l:
  conjugate (beta-l l) = beta-l l
  using beta-l-real Reals-cnj-iff by auto

lemma csin-ccos-squared-add:
  ccos  $(a::\text{real}) * \text{ccos } a + \text{csin } a * \text{csin } a = 1$ 
  by (smt (verit) cos-diff cos-zero of-real-add of-real-hom.hom-one of-real-mult)

lemma alpha-l-beta-l-add-norm:
  alpha-l l * alpha-l l + beta-l l * beta-l l = 1
  using alpha-l-def beta-l-def csin-ccos-squared-add by auto

definition psi-l where
  psi-l l = (alpha-l l)  $\cdot_v \alpha + (\beta\text{-l } l) \cdot_v \beta$ 

lemma psi-l-dim:

```

$\psi-l \in \text{carrier-vec } N$
unfolding $\psi-l\text{-def } \alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma *inner-psi-l*:
 $\text{inner-prod } (\psi-l \ l) (\psi-l \ l) = 1$
proof –
have *eq0*: $\text{inner-prod } (\psi-l \ l) (\psi-l \ l)$
 $= \text{inner-prod } ((\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha) (\psi-l \ l) + \text{inner-prod } ((\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta) (\psi-l \ l)$
unfolding $\psi-l\text{-def}$
apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-left*)
using $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
have $\text{inner-prod } ((\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha) (\psi-l \ l)$
 $= \text{inner-prod } ((\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha) ((\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha) + \text{inner-prod } ((\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha)$
 $((\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta)$
unfolding $\psi-l\text{-def}$
apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-right*)
using $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{conjugate } (\alpha-l \ l)) * (\alpha-l \ l) * \text{inner-prod } \alpha \ \alpha$
 $+ (\text{conjugate } (\alpha-l \ l)) * (\beta-l \ l) * \text{inner-prod } \alpha \ \beta$
apply (*subst (1 2) inner-prod-smult-left-right*) **using** $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{conjugate } (\alpha-l \ l) * (\alpha-l \ l)$
by (*simp add: alpha-beta-orth alpha-inner*)
also have $\dots = (\alpha-l \ l) * (\alpha-l \ l)$ **using** *cnj-alpha-l* **by** *simp*
finally have *eq1*: $\text{inner-prod } (\alpha-l \ l \cdot_v \alpha) (\psi-l \ l) = \alpha-l \ l * \alpha-l \ l.$

have $\text{inner-prod } ((\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta) (\psi-l \ l)$
 $= \text{inner-prod } ((\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta) ((\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha) + \text{inner-prod } ((\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta)$
 $((\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta)$
unfolding $\psi-l\text{-def}$
apply (*subst inner-prod-distrib-right*)
using $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{conjugate } (\beta-l \ l)) * (\alpha-l \ l) * \text{inner-prod } \beta \ \alpha$
 $+ (\text{conjugate } (\beta-l \ l)) * (\beta-l \ l) * \text{inner-prod } \beta \ \beta$
apply (*subst (1 2) inner-prod-smult-left-right*) **using** $\alpha\text{-def } \beta\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\text{conjugate } (\beta-l \ l)) * (\beta-l \ l)$ **using** $\beta\text{-inner } \beta\text{-alpha-orth}$
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (\beta-l \ l) * (\beta-l \ l)$ **using** *cnj-beta-l* **by** *auto*
finally have *eq2*: $\text{inner-prod } (\beta-l \ l \cdot_v \beta) (\psi-l \ l) = \beta-l \ l * \beta-l \ l.$

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *eq0 eq1 eq2* **using** $\alpha\text{-l-beta-l-add-norm}$ **by** *auto*
qed

abbreviation *proj* :: *complex vec* $\Rightarrow$ *complex mat* **where**
 $\text{proj } v \equiv \text{outer-prod } v \ v$

definition *psi'-l* **where**
 $\psi'-l \ l = (\alpha-l \ l) \cdot_v \alpha - (\beta-l \ l) \cdot_v \beta$

lemma *psi'-l-dim*:

```

psi'-l l ∈ carrier-vec N
unfolding psi'-l-def α-def β-def by auto

definition proj-psi'-l where
  proj-psi'-l l = proj (psi'-l l)

lemma proj-psi'-dim:
  proj-psi'-l l ∈ carrier-mat N N
  unfolding proj-psi'-l-def using psi'-l-dim by auto

lemma psi-inner-psi'-l:
  inner-prod ψ (psi'-l l) = (alpha-l l * ccos (ϑ / 2) - beta-l l * csin (ϑ / 2))
proof -
  have inner-prod ψ (psi'-l l) = inner-prod ψ (alpha-l l ·v α) - inner-prod ψ (beta-l
l ·v β)
    unfolding psi'-l-def apply (subst inner-prod-minus-distrib-right[of - N]) by
auto
  also have ... = alpha-l l * (inner-prod ψ α) - beta-l l * (inner-prod ψ β)
    using ψ-dim α-dim β-dim by auto
  also have ... = alpha-l l * (ccos (ϑ / 2)) - beta-l l * (csin (ϑ / 2))
    using psi-inner-alpha psi-inner-beta by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

lemma double-ccos-square:
  2 * ccos (a::real) * ccos a = ccos (2 * a) + 1
proof -
  have eq: ccos (2 * a) = ccos a * ccos a - csin a * csin a
    using cos-add[of a a] by auto
  have csin a * csin a = 1 - ccos a * ccos a
    using csin-ccos-squared-add[of a]
    by (metis add-diff-cancel-left)
  then have ccos a * ccos a - csin a * csin a = 2 * ccos a * ccos a - 1
    by simp
  with eq show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma double-csin-square:
  2 * csin (a::real) * csin a = 1 - ccos (2 * a)
proof -
  have eq: ccos (2 * a) = ccos a * ccos a - csin a * csin a
    using cos-add[of a a] by auto
  have ccos a * ccos a = 1 - csin a * csin a
    using csin-ccos-squared-add[of a]
    by (auto intro: add-implies-diff)
  then have ccos a * ccos a - csin a * csin a = 1 - 2 * csin (a::real) * csin a
    by simp
  with eq show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

lemma *csin-double*:

$2 * \text{csin } (a::\text{real}) * \text{ccos } a = \text{csin } (2 * a)$
using *sin-add*[of a] **by** *simp*

lemma *ccos-add*:

$\text{ccos } (x + y) = \text{ccos } x * \text{ccos } y - \text{csin } x * \text{csin } y$
using *cos-add*[of x y] **by** *simp*

lemma *alpha-l-Suc-l-derive*:

$2 * (\text{alpha-l } l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - \text{beta-l } l * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - \text{alpha-l } l$
 $= \text{alpha-l } (l + 1)$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (2 * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (2 * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $* \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2))$
by (*simp add: left-diff-distrib*)

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{ccos } (\vartheta) + 1) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } \vartheta$

using *double-ccos-square csin-double* **by** *auto*

finally have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{ccos } (\vartheta) + 1) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } \vartheta.$

then have $?lhs = (\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } \vartheta$ **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } (l + 1))$

unfolding *alpha-l-def beta-l-def*

apply (*subst ccos-add*[of $(\text{real } l + 1 / 2) * \vartheta$, *symmetric*])

by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)

finally show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *csin-add*:

$\text{csin } (x + y) = \text{ccos } x * \text{csin } y + \text{csin } x * \text{ccos } y$
using *sin-add*[of x y] **by** *simp*

lemma *beta-l-Suc-l-derive*:

$2 * (\text{alpha-l } l * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) + \text{beta-l } l$
 $= \text{beta-l } (l + 1)$
(is ?lhs = ?rhs)

proof –

have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\text{alpha-l } l) * (2 * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2)) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (2 * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $* \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2))$

by (*simp add: left-diff-distrib*)

also have $\dots = (\text{alpha-l } l) * (\text{csin } \vartheta) - (\text{beta-l } l) * (1 - \text{ccos } (\vartheta))$

using *double-csin-square csin-double* **by** *auto*

finally have $2 * ((\text{alpha-l } l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\text{beta-l } l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)$
 $+ \text{beta-l } l = \text{beta-l } (l + 1)$

$(\vartheta / 2)$
 $= (\alpha - l) * (\sin \vartheta) - (\beta - l) * (1 - \cos \vartheta).$
then have $?lhs = (\alpha - l) * (\sin \vartheta) + (\beta - l) * \cos \vartheta$ **by** (*simp add: algebra-simps*)
also have $\dots = (\beta - l (l + 1))$
unfolding *alpha-l-def beta-l-def*
apply (*subst csin-add[of (real l + 1 / 2) * ϑ , symmetric]*)
by (*simp add: algebra-simps*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *psi-l-Suc-l-derive:*

$2 * (\alpha - l * \cos (\vartheta / 2) - \beta - l * \sin (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi - \psi' - l = \psi - l (l + 1)$

(*is ?lhs = ?rhs*)

proof –

let $?l = 2 * ((\alpha - l) * \cos (\vartheta / 2) - (\beta - l) * \sin (\vartheta / 2))$
have $?l \cdot_v \psi = ?l \cdot_v (\cos (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha + \sin (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta)$ **unfolding** *psi-eq* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = ?l \cdot_v (\cos (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \alpha) + ?l \cdot_v (\sin (\vartheta / 2) \cdot_v \beta)$
apply (*subst smult-add-distrib-vec[of - N]*) **using** $\alpha\text{-dim}$ $\beta\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (?l * \cos (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \alpha + (?l * \sin (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \beta$ **by** *auto*
finally have $?l \cdot_v \psi = (?l * \cos (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \alpha + (?l * \sin (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \beta.$
then have $?l \cdot_v \psi - (\psi' - l) = ((?l * \cos (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \alpha - (\alpha - l) \cdot_v \alpha) + ((?l * \sin (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \beta + (\beta - l) \cdot_v \beta)$
unfolding *psi'-l-def* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (?l * \cos (\vartheta / 2) - \alpha - l) \cdot_v \alpha + (?l * \sin (\vartheta / 2) + \beta - l) \cdot_v \beta$
apply (*subst minus-smult-vec-distrib*) **apply** (*subst add-smult-distrib-vec*) **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha - l (l + 1)) \cdot_v \alpha + (\beta - l (l + 1)) \cdot_v \beta$
using *alpha-l-Suc-l-derive beta-l-Suc-l-derive* **by** *auto*
finally have $?l \cdot_v \psi - (\psi' - l) = (\alpha - l (l + 1)) \cdot_v \alpha + (\beta - l (l + 1)) \cdot_v \beta.$
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *psi-l-def* **by** *auto*
qed

7.2 Grover operator

Oracle O

definition *proj-O* :: *complex mat* **where**

proj-O = *mat N N* ($\lambda(i, j). \text{if } i = j \text{ then (if } f \text{ then 1 else 0) else 0}$)

lemma *proj-O-dim:*

proj-O $\in$ *carrier-mat N N*

unfolding *proj-O-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-O-mult-alpha:*

proj-O $*_v \alpha = \text{zero-vec } N$

by (*auto simp add: proj-O-def alpha-def scalar-prod-def*)

lemma *proj-O-mult-beta:*

proj-O *_v β = β

by (*auto simp add: proj-O-def β-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

definition *mat-O* :: *complex mat* **where**

mat-O = *mat N N* (λ(*i,j*). *if i = j then (if f i then -1 else 1) else 0*)

lemma *mat-O-dim:*

mat-O ∈ *carrier-mat N N*

unfolding *mat-O-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *mat-O-mult-alpha:*

mat-O *_v α = α

by (*auto simp add: mat-O-def α-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *mat-O-mult-beta:*

mat-O *_v β = - β

by (*auto simp add: mat-O-def β-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma *hermitian-mat-O:*

hermitian mat-O

by (*auto simp add: hermitian-def mat-O-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma *unitary-mat-O:*

unitary mat-O

proof -

have *mat-O* ∈ *carrier-mat N N* **unfolding** *mat-O-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *mat-O* * *adjoint mat-O* = *mat-O* * *mat-O* **using** *hermitian-mat-O*

unfolding *hermitian-def* **by** *auto*

moreover have *mat-O* * *mat-O* = *1_m N*

apply (*rule eq-matI*)

unfolding *mat-O-def*

apply (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

subgoal for *i j* **apply** (*rule*)

subgoal apply (*subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of {0..*N*} *j*]*) **by** *auto*

apply (*subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of {0..*N*} *j*]*) **by** *auto*

by *auto*

ultimately show *?thesis* **unfolding** *unitary-def inverts-mat-def* **by** *auto*

qed

definition *mat-Ph* :: *complex mat* **where**

mat-Ph = *mat N N* (λ(*i,j*). *if i = j then if i = 0 then 1 else -1 else 0*)

lemma *hermitian-mat-Ph:*

hermitian mat-Ph

unfolding *hermitian-def mat-Ph-def*

apply (*rule eq-matI*)

by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

```

lemma unitary-mat-Ph:
  unitary mat-Ph
proof -
  have mat-Ph  $\in$  carrier-mat  $N\ N$  unfolding mat-Ph-def by auto
  moreover have mat-Ph * adjoint mat-Ph = mat-Ph * mat-Ph using hermi-
  tian-mat-Ph unfolding hermitian-def by auto
  moreover have mat-Ph * mat-Ph =  $1_m\ N$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  unfolding mat-Ph-def
  apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  subgoal for  $i\ j$  apply (rule)
  subgoal apply (subst sum-only-one-neg-0[of {0.. $N$ } 0]) by auto
  apply (subst sum-only-one-neg-0[of {0.. $N$ }  $j$ ]) by auto
  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def by auto
qed

```

```

definition mat-G' :: complex mat where
  mat-G' = mat  $N\ N$  ( $\lambda(i,j).$  if  $i = j$  then  $2 / N - 1$  else  $2 / N$ )

```

Geometrically, the Grover operator G is a rotation

```

definition mat-G :: complex mat where
  mat-G = mat-G' * mat-O

```

end

7.3 State of Grover's algorithm

The dimensions are $[2, 2, \dots, 2, n]$. We work with a very special case as in the paper

```

locale grover-state-sig = grover-state + state-sig +
  fixes  $R :: nat$ 
  fixes  $K :: nat$ 
  assumes dims-def:  $dims = replicate\ n\ 2\ @\ [K]$ 
  assumes  $R$ :  $R = pi / (2 * \vartheta) - 1 / 2$ 
  assumes  $K$ :  $K > R$ 

```

begin

```

lemma K-gt-0:
   $K > 0$ 
  using  $K$  by auto

```

Bits q_0 to $q_{(n-1)}$

```

definition vars1 :: nat set where
  vars1 = {0 ..<  $n$ }

```

Bit r

definition *vars2* :: *nat set* **where**
vars2 = {*n*}

lemma *length-dims*:
length dims = *n* + 1
unfolding *dims-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *dims-nth-lt-n*:
l < *n* $\implies$ *nth dims l* = 2
unfolding *dims-def* **by** (*simp add: nth-append*)

lemma *nths-Suc-n-dims*:
nths dims {0..*(Suc n)*} = *dims*
using *length-dims nths-upt-eq-take*
by (*metis add-Suc-right add-Suc-shift lessThan-atLeast0 less-add-eq-less less-numeral-extra*(4)
not-less plus-1-eq-Suc take-all)

interpretation *ps2-P*: *partial-state2 dims vars1 vars2*
apply *unfold-locales* **unfolding** *vars1-def vars2-def* **by** *auto*

interpretation *ps-P*: *partial-state ps2-P.dims0 ps2-P.vars1'*.

abbreviation *tensor-P* **where**
tensor-P A B $\equiv$ *ps2-P.ptensor-mat A B*

lemma *tensor-P-dim*:
tensor-P A B $\in$ *carrier-mat d d*
proof –
have *ps2-P.d0* = *prod-list (nths dims ({0..*n*} $\cup$ {*n*}))* **unfolding** *ps2-P.d0-def*
ps2-P.dims0-def ps2-P.vars0-def
by (*simp add: vars1-def vars2-def*)
also have ... = *prod-list (nths dims ({0..*Suc n*}))*
apply (*subgoal-tac {0..*n*} $\cup$ {*n*} = {0..*(Suc n)*}*) **by** *auto*
also have ... = *prod-list dims* **using** *nths-Suc-n-dims* **by** *auto*
also have ... = *d* **unfolding** *d-def* **by** *auto*
finally show ?*thesis* **using** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dims-nths-le-n*:
assumes *l* $\leq$ *n*
shows *nths dims* {0..*l*} = *replicate l 2*
proof (*rule nth-equalityI, auto*)
have *l* $\leq$ *n* $\implies$ (*i* < *Suc n* $\wedge$ *i* < *l*) = (*i* < *l*) **for** *i*
using *less-trans* **by** *fastforce*
then show *l*: *length (nths dims {0..*l*})* = *l* **using** *assms*
by (*auto simp add: length-nths length-dims*)

have *llt*: *l* < *length dims* **using** *length-dims assms* **by** *auto*
have *v1*: $\bigwedge i. i < l \implies \{a. a < i \wedge a \in \{0..*l*\}\} = \{0..*i*\}$ **unfolding** *vars1-def*

by *auto*
 then have $\bigwedge i. i < l \implies \text{card } \{j. j < i \wedge j \in \{0..<l\}\} = i$ by *auto*
 then have $\text{nths dims } \{0..<l\} ! i = \text{dims } ! i$ if $i < l$ for i
 using $\text{nth-nths-card[of } i \text{ dims } \{0..<l\}]$ that *llt* by *auto*
 moreover have $\text{dims } ! i = \text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i$ if $i < n$ for i unfolding *dims-def*
 by (*auto simp add: nth-append that*)
 moreover have $\text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i = \text{replicate } l \ 2 ! i$ if $i < l$ for i using *assms*
 that by *auto*
 ultimately show $\text{nths dims } \{0..<l\} ! i = \text{replicate } l \ 2 ! i$ if $i < \text{length } (\text{nths}$
 $\text{dims } \{0..<l\})$ for i
 using l that *assms* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *dims-nths-one-ll-n*:
 assumes $l < n$
 shows $\text{nths dims } \{l\} = [2]$
 proof -
 have $\{i. i < \text{length } \text{dims} \wedge i \in \{l\}\} = \{l\}$ using *assms length-dims* by *auto*
 then have $\text{nths dims } \{l\} = [\text{dims } ! l]$ using *nths-only-one[of dims } \{l\} l]* by *auto*
 moreover have $\text{dims } ! l = 2$ unfolding *dims-def* using *assms* by (*simp add:*
nth-append)
 ultimately show *?thesis* by *auto*
 qed

lemma *dims-vars1*:
 $\text{nths dims vars1} = \text{replicate } n \ 2$
 proof (rule *nth-equalityI*, *auto*)
 show $l: \text{length } (\text{nths dims vars1}) = n$
 apply (*auto simp add: length-nths vars1-def length-dims*)
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong Suc-lessD card-Collect-less-nat not-less-eq*)

 have $v1: \bigwedge i. i < n \implies \{a. a < i \wedge a \in \text{vars1}\} = \{0..<i\}$ unfolding *vars1-def*
 by *auto*
 then have $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies \text{card } \{j. j < i \wedge j \in \text{vars1}\} = i$ by *auto*
 then have $\text{nths dims vars1} ! i = \text{dims } ! i$ if $i < n$ for i
 using $\text{nth-nths-card[of } i \text{ dims vars1}]$ that *length-dims vars1-def* by *auto*
 moreover have $\text{dims } ! i = \text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i$ if $i < n$ for i unfolding *dims-def*
 by (*simp add: nth-append that*)
 ultimately show $\text{nths dims vars1} ! i = \text{replicate } n \ 2 ! i$ if $i < \text{length } (\text{nths dims}$
 $\text{vars1})$ for i
 using l that by *auto*
 qed

lemma *nths-rep-2-n*:
 $\text{nths } (\text{replicate } n \ 2) \ \{n\} = []$
 by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-empty-eq card.empty length-0-conv length-replicate*
less-Suc-eq not-less-eq nths-replicate singletonD)

lemma *dims-vars2*:

```

  nths dims vars2 = [K]
  unfolding dims-def vars2-def
  apply (subst nths-append)
  apply (subst nths-rep-2-n)
  by simp

lemma d-vars1:
  prod-list (nths dims vars1) = N
proof -
  have eq: {0.. $n$ } = {.. $n$ } by auto
  have nths (replicate n 2 @ [K]) {0.. $n$ } = (replicate n 2)
  apply (subst eq)
  using nths-upt-eq-take by simp
  then show ?thesis unfolding dims-def vars1-def N-def by auto
qed

lemma ps2-P-dims0:
  ps2-P.dims0 = dims
proof -
  have vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = {0.. $\text{Suc } n$ } unfolding vars1-def vars2-def by auto
  then have dims: nths dims (vars1  $\cup$  vars2) = dims unfolding vars1-def vars2-def
  using nths-Suc-n-dims by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding ps2-P.dims0-def ps2-P.vars0-def apply (subst
  dims) by auto
qed

lemma ps2-P-vars1':
  ps2-P.vars1' = vars1
  unfolding ps2-P.vars1'-def ps2-P.vars0-def
proof -
  have eq: vars1  $\cup$  vars2 = {0.. $(\text{Suc } n)$ } unfolding vars1-def vars2-def by auto
  have  $x < \text{Suc } n \implies \{i \in \{0.. $\text{Suc } n$ \}. i < x\} = \{i. i < x\}$  for  $x$  by auto
  then have  $x < \text{Suc } n \implies \text{ind-in-set } \{0.. $(\text{Suc } n)$ \} x = x$  for  $x$  unfolding
  ind-in-set-def by auto
  then have  $x \in \text{vars1} \implies \text{ind-in-set } \{0.. $(\text{Suc } n)$ \} x = x$  for  $x$  unfolding
  vars1-def by auto
  then have ind-in-set {0.. $(\text{Suc } n)$ } ' vars1 = vars1 by force
  with eq show ind-in-set (vars1  $\cup$  vars2) ' vars1 = vars1 by auto
qed

lemma ps2-P-d0:
  ps2-P.d0 = d
  unfolding ps2-P.d0-def using ps2-P-dims0 d-def by auto

lemma ps2-P-d1:
  ps2-P.d1 = N
  unfolding ps2-P.d1-def ps2-P.dims1-def by (simp add: dims-vars1 N-def)

lemma ps2-P-d2:

```

```

ps2-P.d2 = K
unfolding ps2-P.d2-def ps2-P.dims2-def by (simp add: dims-vars2)

lemma ps-P-d:
  ps-P.d = d
  unfolding ps-P.d-def ps2-P-dims0 by auto

lemma ps-P-d1:
  ps-P.d1 = N
  unfolding ps-P.d1-def ps-P.dims1-def ps2-P.nths-vars1' using ps2-P-d1 un-
folding ps2-P.d1-def by auto

lemma ps-P-d2:
  ps-P.d2 = K
  unfolding ps-P.d2-def ps-P.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2' using ps2-P-d2 un-
folding ps2-P.d2-def by auto

lemma nths-uminus-vars1:
  nths dims (- vars1) = nths dims vars2
  using ps2-P.nths-vars2' unfolding ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1' ps2-P.dims2-def
by auto

lemma tensor-P-mult:
  assumes m1 ∈ carrier-mat (2n) (2n)
  and m2 ∈ carrier-mat (2n) (2n)
  and m3 ∈ carrier-mat K K
  and m4 ∈ carrier-mat K K
  shows (tensor-P m1 m3) * (tensor-P m2 m4) = tensor-P (m1 * m2) (m3 *
m4)
proof -
  have eq:{0..n} = {..n} by auto
  have (nths dims vars1) = replicate n 2
    unfolding dims-def vars1-def apply (subst eq)
    by (simp add: nths-upt-eq-take[of (replicate n 2 @ [K]) n])

  have ps2-P.d1 = 2n unfolding ps2-P.d1-def ps2-P.dims1-def using d-vars1
N-def by auto
  moreover have ps2-P.d2 = K unfolding ps2-P.d2-def ps2-P.dims2-def using
dims-vars2 by auto

  ultimately show ?thesis apply (subst ps2-P.ptensor-mat-mult) using assms
by auto
qed

lemma mat-ext-vars1:
  shows mat-extension dims vars1 A = tensor-P A (1m K)
  unfolding Utrans-P-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def partial-state.mat-extension-def
partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'[simplified ps2-P-dims0
ps2-P-vars1]

```

using *ps2-P-d2* **unfolding** *ps2-P.d2-def* **using** *ps2-P-dims0* *ps2-P-vars1'* **by**
auto

lemma *Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1*:

Utrans-P vars1 A = Utrans (tensor-P A (1_m K))

unfolding *Utrans-P-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def partial-state.mat-extension-def*
partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'[simplified ps2-P-dims0
ps2-P-vars1']

using *ps2-P-d2* **unfolding** *ps2-P.d2-def* **using** *ps2-P-dims0* *ps2-P-vars1'* **by**
auto

lemma *nths-dims-uminus-vars2*:

nths dims (-vars2) = nths dims vars1

proof –

have *nths dims (-vars2) = nths dims ({0..*length* dims} – vars2)*

using *nths-minus-eq* **by** *auto*

also have *... = nths dims vars1* **unfolding** *vars1-def vars2-def length-dims*

apply (*subgoal-tac {0..*n* + 1} – {*n*} = {0..*n*})* **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mat-ext-vars2*:

assumes *A ∈ carrier-mat K K*

shows *mat-extension dims vars2 A = tensor-P (1_m N) A*

proof –

have *mat-extension dims vars2 A = tensor-mat dims vars2 A (1_m N)*

unfolding *Utrans-P-def partial-state.mat-extension-def*

partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def

nths-dims-uminus-vars2 dims-vars1 N-def **by** *auto*

also have *... = tensor-mat dims vars1 (1_m N) A*

apply (*subst tensor-mat-comm[of vars1 vars2]*)

subgoal unfolding *vars1-def vars2-def* **by** *auto*

subgoal unfolding *length-dims vars1-def vars2-def* **by** *auto*

subgoal unfolding *dims-vars1 N-def* **by** *auto*

unfolding *dims-vars2* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

finally show *mat-extension dims vars2 A = tensor-P (1_m N) A*

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *Utrans-P-is-tensor-P2*:

assumes *A ∈ carrier-mat K K*

shows *Utrans-P vars2 A = Utrans (tensor-P (1_m N) A)*

unfolding *Utrans-P-def* **using** *mat-ext-vars2 assms* **by** *auto*

7.4 Grover's algorithm

Apply hadamard operator to first *n* variables

definition *hadamard-on-i :: nat ⇒ complex mat* **where**

hadamard-on-i i = pmat-extension dims {i} (vars1 – {i}) hadamard

declare *hadamard-on-i-def* [*simp*]

fun *hadamard-n* :: *nat* $\Rightarrow$ *com* **where**

hadamard-n 0 = *SKIP*
| *hadamard-n* (*Suc i*) = *hadamard-n i* ;; *Utrans* (*tensor-P* (*hadamard-on-i i*) (*1_m*
K))

 Body of the loop

definition *D* :: *com* **where**

D = *Utrans-P vars1 mat-O* ;;
 hadamard-n n ;;
 Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph ;;
 hadamard-n n ;;
 Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K)

lemma *unitary-ex-mat-O*:

unitary (*tensor-P mat-O* (*1_m* *K*))
 unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary*)
 subgoal using *ps-P-d1 mat-O-def* **by** *auto*
 subgoal using *ps-P-d2* **by** *auto*
 subgoal using *unitary-mat-O* **by** *auto*
 using *unitary-one* **by** *auto*

lemma *unitary-ex-mat-Ph*:

unitary (*tensor-P mat-Ph* (*1_m* *K*))
 unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary*)
 subgoal using *ps-P-d1 mat-Ph-def* **by** *auto*
 subgoal using *ps-P-d2* **by** *auto*
 subgoal using *unitary-mat-Ph* **by** *auto*
 using *unitary-one* **by** *auto*

lemma *unitary-hadamard-on-i*:

assumes *k* < *n*
 shows *unitary* (*hadamard-on-i k*)
proof –
 interpret *st2*: *partial-state2 dims {k} vars1* – {*k*}
 apply *unfold-locales* **by** *auto*
 show ?*thesis* **unfolding** *hadamard-on-i-def st2.pmat-extension-def st2.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (*rule partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary*)
 subgoal unfolding *partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1'*
st2.dims1-def
 using *dims-nths-one-lt-n assms hadamard-dim* **by** *auto*
 subgoal unfolding *st2.d2-def st2.dims2-def partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def*
st2.nths-vars2' st2.dims1-def
 by *auto*
 subgoal using *unitary-hadamard* **by** *auto*
 subgoal using *unitary-one* **by** *auto*

```

    done
qed

lemma unitary-exhadamard-on-i:
  assumes  $k < n$ 
  shows unitary (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i k) ( $1_m K$ ))
proof -
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {k} vars1 - {k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have d1: st2.d0 = partial-state.d1 ps2-P.dims0 ps2-P.vars1'
  unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def ps2-P.nths-vars1' ps2-P.dims1-def
    st2.d0-def st2.dims0-def st2.vars0-def using assms
  apply (subgoal-tac {k}  $\cup$  (vars1 - {k}) = vars1) apply simp
  unfolding vars1-def by auto
  show ?thesis
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary)
  subgoal unfolding hadamard-on-i-def st2.pmat-extension-def
    using st2.ptensor-mat-carrier[of hadamard  $1_m$  st2.d2]
    using d1 by auto
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'
    ps2-P.dims2-def dims-vars2 by auto
  using unitary-hadamard-on-i unitary-one assms by auto
qed

lemma hadamard-on-i-dim:
  assumes  $k < n$ 
  shows hadamard-on-i k  $\in$  carrier-mat  $N N$ 
proof -
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims {k} (vars1 - {k})
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have vars1: {k}  $\cup$  (vars1 - {k}) = vars1 unfolding vars1-def using assms by
    auto
  show ?thesis unfolding hadamard-on-i-def N-def using st.pmat-extension-carrier
    unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def
    using vars1 dims-vars1 by auto
qed

lemma well-com-hadamard-k:
   $k \leq n \implies \text{well-com} (\text{hadamard-n } k)$ 
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  then have well-com (hadamard-n n) by auto
  then show ?case unfolding hadamard-n.simps well-com.simps using tensor-P-dim
    unitary-exhadamard-on-i Suc by auto
qed

```

lemma *well-com-hadamard-n*:
well-com (hadamard-n n)
using *well-com-hadamard-k* **by** *auto*

lemma *well-com-mat-O*:
well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-O)
apply (*subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1*)
apply *simp using tensor-P-dim unitary-ex-mat-O* **by** *auto*

lemma *well-com-mat-Ph*:
well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph)
apply (*subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1*)
apply *simp using tensor-P-dim unitary-ex-mat-Ph* **by** *auto*

lemma *unitary-exmat-incr*:
unitary (tensor-P (1_m N) (mat-incr K))
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary*)
using *unitary-mat-incr K unitary-one* **by** (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 mat-incr-def*)

lemma *well-com-mat-incr*:
well-com (Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K))
apply (*subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P2*)
apply (*simp add: mat-incr-def*) **using** *tensor-P-dim unitary-exmat-incr* **by** *auto*

lemma *well-com-D*: *well-com D*
unfolding *D-def* **apply** *auto*
using *well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-incr well-com-mat-O well-com-mat-Ph*

by *auto*

Test at while loop

definition *M0* :: *complex mat* **where**
M0 = mat K K (λ(i,j). if i = j ∧ i ≥ R then 1 else 0)

lemma *hermitian-M0*:
hermitian M0
by (*auto simp add: hermitian-def M0-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma *M0-dim*:
M0 ∈ carrier-mat K K
unfolding *M0-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *M0-mult-M0*:
*M0 * M0 = M0*
by (*auto simp add: M0-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

definition $M1 :: \text{complex mat}$ **where**

$M1 = \text{mat } K \ K \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{ if } i = j \wedge i < R \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $M1\text{-dim}$:

$M1 \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$

unfolding $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $\text{hermitian-}M1$:

hermitian $M1$

by (*auto simp add: hermitian-def M1-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma $M1\text{-mult-}M1$:

$M1 * M1 = M1$

by (*auto simp add: M1-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma $M1\text{-add-}M0$:

$M1 + M0 = 1_m \ K$

unfolding $M0\text{-def}$ $M1\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

Test at the end

definition $\text{test}N :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**

$\text{test}N \ k = \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda(i,j). \text{ if } i = k \wedge j = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $\text{hermitian-test}N$:

hermitian ($\text{test}N \ k$)

unfolding $\text{hermitian-def test}N\text{-def}$

by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def adjoint-eval*)

lemma $\text{test}N\text{-mult-test}N$:

$\text{test}N \ k * \text{test}N \ k = \text{test}N \ k$

unfolding $\text{test}N\text{-def}$

by (*auto simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0*)

lemma $\text{test}N\text{-dim}$:

$\text{test}N \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$

unfolding $\text{test}N\text{-def}$ **by** *auto*

definition $\text{test-fst-}k :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$ **where**

$\text{test-fst-}k \ k = \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{ if } (i = j \wedge i < k) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma $\text{sum-test-}k$:

assumes $m \leq N$

shows $\text{matrix-sum } N \ (\lambda k. \text{test}N \ k) \ m = \text{test-fst-}k \ m$

proof –

have $m \leq N \implies \text{matrix-sum } N \ (\lambda k. \text{test}N \ k) \ m = \text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{ if } (i = j \wedge i < m) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ **for** m

proof (*induct m*)

case 0

then show *?case* **apply** *simp apply (rule eq-matI)* **by** *auto*

```

next
  case (Suc m)
  then have m: m < N by auto
  then have m': m ≤ N by auto
  have matrix-sum N testN (Suc m) = testN m + matrix-sum N testN m by
simp
  also have ... = mat N N (λ(i, j). if (i = j ∧ i < (Suc m)) then 1 else 0)
  unfolding testN-def Suc(1)[OF m'] apply (rule eq-matI) by auto
  finally show ?case by auto
qed
then show ?thesis unfolding test-fst-k-def using assms by auto
qed

```

```

lemma test-fst-kN:
  test-fst-k N = 1_m N
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  unfolding test-fst-k-def by auto

```

```

lemma matrix-sum-tensor-P1:
  (⋀k. k < m ⇒ g k ∈ carrier-mat N N) ⇒ (A ∈ carrier-mat K K) ⇒
  matrix-sum d (λk. tensor-P (g k) A) m = tensor-P (matrix-sum N g m) A
proof (induct m)
  case 0
  show ?case apply (simp) unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
  using ps-P.tensor-mat-zero1[simplified ps-P-d ps-P-d1, of A] by auto
next
  case (Suc m)
  then have ind: matrix-sum d (λk. tensor-P (g k) A) m = tensor-P (matrix-sum
N g m) A
  and dk: ⋀k. k < m ⇒ g k ∈ carrier-mat N N and A ∈ carrier-mat K K by
auto
  have ds: matrix-sum N g m ∈ carrier-mat N N apply (subst matrix-sum-dim)
  using dk by auto
  show ?case apply simp
  apply (subst ind)
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-add1)
  unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using Suc ds by auto
qed

```

Grover's algorithm. Assume we start in the zero state

```

definition Grover :: com where
  Grover = hadamard-n n ;;
  While-P vars2 M0 M1 D ;;
  Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP)

```

```

lemma well-com-if:
  well-com (Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP))
  unfolding Measure-P-def apply auto
proof -

```

```

have eq0:  $\bigwedge n. \text{mat-extension dims vars1 } (\text{testN } n) = \text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } n) (1_m K)$ 
unfolding mat-ext-vars1 by auto
have eq1:  $\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } j) (1_m K)) * \text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } j) (1_m K) =$ 
 $\text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } j) (1_m K)$  for j
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
apply (auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 testN-dim hermitian-testN[unfolded
hermitian-def] hermitian-one[unfolded hermitian-def])
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])
by (auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 testN-dim testN-mult-testN)
have measurement d N ( $\bigwedge n. \text{tensor-P } (\text{testN } n) (1_m K)$ )
unfolding measurement-def
apply (simp add: tensor-P-dim)
apply (subst eq1)
apply (subst matrix-sum-tensor-P1)
apply (auto simp add: testN-dim)
apply (subst sum-test-k, simp)
apply (subst test-fst-kN)
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
using ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto
then show measurement d N ( $\bigwedge n. \text{mat-extension dims vars1 } (\text{testN } n)$ ) using
eq0 by auto

```

```

show list-all well-com (replicate N SKIP)
apply (subst list-all-length) by simp
qed

```

lemma well-com-while:

```

well-com (While-P vars2 M0 M1 D)
unfolding While-P-def apply auto
apply (subst (1 2) mat-ext-vars2)
apply (auto simp add: M1-dim M0-dim)
proof –
have 2:  $2 = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0)$  by auto
have ad0:  $\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M0) = (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M0)$ 
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by (auto simp add: M0-dim adjoint-one hermi-
tian-M0[unfolded hermitian-def])
have ad1:  $\text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M1) = (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M1)$ 
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by (auto simp add: M1-dim adjoint-one hermi-
tian-M1[unfolded hermitian-def])
have m0:  $\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M0 * \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M0 = \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M0$ 
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using M0-dim M0-mult-M0 by auto
have m1:  $\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M1 * \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M1 = \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) M1$ 
unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using M1-dim M1-mult-M1 by auto

```

```

have  $s$ :  $\text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M1 + \text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M0 = 1_m d$ 
unfolding  $\text{ps2-}P.\text{ptensor-mat-def}$  apply ( $\text{subst ps-}P.\text{tensor-mat-add2}[\text{symmetric}]$ )
unfolding  $\text{ps-}P\text{-}d1$   $\text{ps-}P\text{-}d2$ 
by ( $\text{auto simp add: } M1\text{-dim } M0\text{-dim } M1\text{-add-}M0 \text{ ps-}P.\text{tensor-mat-id}[\text{simplified}$ 
 $\text{ps-}P\text{-}d1 \text{ ps-}P\text{-}d2 \text{ ps-}P\text{-}d]$ )
show  $\text{measurement } d \ 2 \ (\lambda n. \text{ if } n = 0 \text{ then } \text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M0 \text{ else if } n = 1$ 
 $\text{then } \text{tensor-}P (1_m N) M1 \text{ else undefined})$ 
unfolding  $\text{measurement-def}$  apply ( $\text{auto simp add: tensor-}P\text{-dim}$ ) apply ( $\text{subst}$ 
 $2$ )
apply ( $\text{simp add: ad0 ad1 m0 m1}$ )
apply ( $\text{subst assoc-add-mat}[\text{symmetric, of - } d \ d]$ ) using  $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim } s$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
show  $\text{well-com } D$  using  $\text{well-com-}D$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{well-com-Grover}$ :
 $\text{well-com Grover}$ 
unfolding  $\text{Grover-def}$  apply  $\text{auto}$ 
using  $\text{well-com-hadamard-}n \text{ well-com-if well-com-while}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

7.5 Correctness

Pre-condition: assume in the zero state

```

definition  $\text{ket-pre} :: \text{complex vec}$  where
 $\text{ket-pre} = \text{Matrix.vec } N \ (\lambda k. \text{ if } k = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{ket-pre-dim}$ :
 $\text{ket-pre} \in \text{carrier-vec } N$  using  $\text{ket-pre-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

```

definition  $\text{pre} :: \text{complex mat}$  where
 $\text{pre} = \text{proj ket-pre}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{pre-dim}$ :
 $\text{pre} \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$ 
using  $\text{pre-def ket-pre-def}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{norm-pre}$ :
 $\text{inner-prod ket-pre ket-pre} = 1$ 
unfolding  $\text{ket-pre-def scalar-prod-def}$ 
using  $\text{sum-only-one-neq-0}[\text{of } \{0..<N\} \ 0 \ \lambda i. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * \text{cnj } (\text{if } i$ 
 $= 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)]$  by  $\text{auto}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{pre-trace}$ :
 $\text{trace pre} = 1$ 
unfolding  $\text{pre-def}$ 
apply ( $\text{subst trace-outer-prod}[\text{of - } N]$ )
subgoal unfolding ket-pre-def by  $\text{auto using norm-pre by auto}$ 

```

```

lemma  $\text{positive-pre}$ :
 $\text{positive pre}$ 

```

using *positive-same-outer-prod* **unfolding** *pre-def ket-pre-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *pre-le-one*:
 $pre \leq_L 1_m N$
unfolding *pre-def* **using** *outer-prod-le-one norm-pre ket-pre-def* **by** *auto*

Post-condition: should be in a state i with $f\ i = 1$

definition *post* :: *complex mat* **where**
 $post = mat\ N\ N\ (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge f\ i) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *post-dim*:
 $post \in carrier_mat\ N\ N$
unfolding *post-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *hermitian-post*:
hermitian post
unfolding *hermitian-def post-def*
by (*auto simp add: adjoint-eval*)

Hoare triples of initialization

definition *ket-zero* :: *complex vec* **where**
 $ket_zero = Matrix.vec\ 2\ (\lambda k. \text{if } k = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

lemma *ket-zero-dim*:
 $ket_zero \in carrier_vec\ 2$ **unfolding** *ket-zero-def* **by** *auto*

definition *proj-zero* **where**
 $proj_zero = proj\ ket_zero$

definition *ket-one* **where**
 $ket_one = Matrix.vec\ 2\ (\lambda k. \text{if } k = 1 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

definition *proj-one* **where**
 $proj_one = proj\ ket_one$

definition *ket-plus* **where**
 $ket_plus = Matrix.vec\ 2\ (\lambda k. 1 / csqrt\ 2)$

lemma *ket-plus-dim*:
 $ket_plus \in carrier_vec\ 2$ **unfolding** *ket-plus-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *ket-plus-eval* [*simp*]:
 $i < 2 \implies ket_plus\ \$\ i = 1 / csqrt\ 2$
apply (*simp only: ket-plus-def*)
using *index-vec less-2-cases* **by** *force*

lemma *csqrt-2-sq* [*simp*]:
 $complex_of_real\ (sqrt\ 2) * complex_of_real\ (sqrt\ 2) = 2$
by (*smt (verit) of-real-add of-real-hom.hom-one of-real-power one-add-one power2-eq-square real-sqrt-pow2*)

```

lemma ket-plus-tensor-n:
  partial-state.tensor-vec [2, 2] {0} ket-plus ket-plus = Matrix.vec 4 ( $\lambda k. 1 / 2$ )
  unfolding partial-state.tensor-vec-def state-sig.d-def
proof (rule eq-vecI, auto)
  fix i :: nat assume i: i < 4
  interpret st: partial-state [2, 2] {0} .
  have d1-eq: st.d1 = 2
    by (simp add: st.d1-def st.dims1-def nth-def)
  have st.encode1 i < st.d1
    by (simp add: st.d-def i)
  then have i1-lt: st.encode1 i < 2
    using d1-eq by auto
  have d2-eq: st.d2 = 2
    by (simp add: st.d2-def st.dims2-def nth-def)
  have st.encode2 i < st.d2
    by (simp add: st.d-def i)
  then have i2-lt: st.encode2 i < 2
    using d2-eq by auto
  show ket-plus $ st.encode1 i * ket-plus $ st.encode2 i * 2 = 1
    by (auto simp add: i1-lt i2-lt)
qed

```

```

definition proj-plus where
  proj-plus = proj ket-plus

```

```

lemma hadamard-on-zero:
  hadamard *_v ket-zero = ket-plus
  unfolding hadamard-def ket-zero-def ket-plus-def mat-of-rows-list-def
  apply (rule eq-vecI, auto simp add: scalar-prod-def)
  subgoal for i
    apply (drule less-2-cases)
    apply (drule disjE, auto)
    by (subst sum-le-2, auto)+.

```

```

fun exH-k :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat where
  exH-k 0 = hadamard-on-i 0
  | exH-k (Suc k) = exH-k k * hadamard-on-i (Suc k)

```

```

fun H-k :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat where
  H-k 0 = hadamard
  | H-k (Suc k) = ptensor-mat dims {0..Suc k} {Suc k} (H-k k) hadamard

```

```

lemma H-k-dim:
  k < n  $\implies$  H-k k  $\in$  carrier-mat ( $2^\wedge$ (Suc k)) ( $2^\wedge$ (Suc k))
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  then show ?case using hadamard-dim by auto
next

```

```

case (Suc k)
interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0.. $(\text{Suc } k)$ } {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
have Suc (Suc k)  $\leq n$  using Suc by auto
then have nthS dims ({0.. $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)$ }) = replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 using
dims-nthS-le-n by auto
  moreover have prod-list (replicate l 2) =  $2^l$  for l by simp
  moreover have {0.. $\text{Suc } k$ }  $\cup$  {Suc k} = {0.. $(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))$ } by auto
  ultimately have plssk: prod-list (nthS dims ({0.. $\text{Suc } k$ }  $\cup$  {Suc k})) =  $2^{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)}$  by auto
  have dim-col (H-k (Suc k)) =  $2^{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)}$  using st.ptensor-mat-dim-col
unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def using plssk by auto
  moreover have dim-row (H-k (Suc k)) =  $2^{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)}$  using st.ptensor-mat-dim-row
unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def using plssk by auto
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

lemma exH-k-eq-H-k:
  k < n  $\implies$  exH-k k = pmat-extension dims {0.. $(\text{Suc } k)$ } {(Suc k).. $n$ } (H-k k)
proof(induct k)
  case 0
  have {(Suc 0).. $n$ } = vars1 - {0.. $(\text{Suc } 0)$ } using vars1-def by fastforce
  then show ?case unfolding exH-k.simps using vars1-def by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0.. $\text{Suc } k$ } {(Suc k).. $n$ }
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st1: partial-state2 dims {Suc k} {(Suc (Suc k)).. $n$ }
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {Suc k} vars1 - {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st3: partial-state2 dims {0.. $\text{Suc } k$ } {Suc (Suc k).. $n$ }
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st4: partial-state2 dims {0.. $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)$ } {Suc (Suc k).. $n$ }
  apply unfold-locales by auto

  from Suc have eq0: exH-k (Suc k)
    = (st.pmat-extension (H-k k)) * (st2.pmat-extension hadamard) by auto
  have vars1 - {0.. $\text{Suc } k$ } = {(Suc k).. $n$ } using vars1-def by auto

  then have eql1: st.pmat-extension (H-k k) = st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st.d2)
    using st.pmat-extension-def by auto

  from dims-nthS-one-lt-n[OF Suc(2)] have st1d1: st1.d1 = 2 unfolding st1.d1-def
  st1.dims1-def by fastforce
  have {Suc k}  $\cup$  {Suc (Suc k).. $n$ } = {Suc k.. $n$ } using Suc by auto
  then have st1.d0 = st.d2 unfolding st1.d0-def st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def
  st.d2-def st.dims2-def by fastforce
  then have eql2: st1.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (1m st1.d2) = 1m st.d2

```

```

using st1.ptensor-mat-id st1d1 by auto
have eql3: st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st.d2) = st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (st1.ptensor-mat
(1m 2) (1m st1.d2))
apply (subst eql2[symmetric]) by auto

have eqr1: (st2.pmat-extension hadamard) = st2.ptensor-mat hadamard (1m
st2.d2) using st2.pmat-extension-def by auto
have splitset: {0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..n} = vars1 - {Suc k} unfolding
vars1-def using Suc(2) by auto

have Sksplit: {Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..n} = {Suc k..n} using Suc(2) by auto
have Sksplit1: {0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc k} = {0..Suc (Suc k)} by auto
have st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (st1.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (1m st1.d2))
= ptensor-mat dims ({0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc k}) {Suc (Suc k)..n} (ptensor-mat
dims {0..Suc k} {Suc k} (H-k k) (1m 2)) (1m st1.d2))
apply (subst ptensor-mat-assoc[symmetric, of {0..Suc k} {Suc k} {Suc (Suc
k)..n} H-k k 1m 2 1m st1.d2, simplified Sksplit])
using Suc length-dims by auto
also have ... = ptensor-mat dims ({0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc k}) {Suc (Suc k)..n}
(ptensor-mat dims {Suc k} {0..Suc k} (1m 2) (H-k k)) (1m st1.d2))
using ptensor-mat-comm[of {0..Suc k} {Suc k}] by auto
also have ... = ptensor-mat dims {Suc k} ({0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..n})
(1m 2)
(ptensor-mat dims {0..Suc k} {Suc (Suc k)..n} (H-k k) (1m
st1.d2))
apply (subst sup-commute)
apply (subst ptensor-mat-assoc[of {Suc k} {0..Suc k} {Suc (Suc k)..n} (1m
2) H-k k 1m st1.d2])
using Suc length-dims by auto
finally have eql4: st.pmat-extension (H-k k)
= st2.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (st3.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st3.d2)) using eql1
eql3 splitset by auto

have st2.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (st3.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st3.d2)) * st2.ptensor-mat
hadamard (1m st2.d2)
= st2.ptensor-mat ((1m 2)*hadamard) ((st3.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m
st3.d2))* (1m st2.d2))
apply (rule st2.ptensor-mat-mult[symmetric, of 1m 2 hadamard (st3.ptensor-mat
(H-k k) (1m st3.d2)) (1m st2.d2)])
subgoal unfolding st2.d1-def st2.dims1-def
by (simp add: dims-nths-one-lt-n Suc(2))
subgoal unfolding st2.d1-def st2.dims1-def
apply (simp add: dims-nths-one-lt-n Suc(2)) using hadamard-dim by auto
subgoal unfolding st2.d2-def[unfolded st2.dims2-def]
using st3.ptensor-mat-dim-col[unfolded st3.d0-def st3.dims0-def st3.vars0-def,
simplified splitset]
st3.ptensor-mat-dim-row[unfolded st3.d0-def st3.dims0-def st3.vars0-def,
simplified splitset] by auto
by auto

```

```

also have ... = st2.ptensor-mat (hadamard) (st3.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m
st3.d2))
  unfolding st2.d2-def[unfolded st2.dims2-def]
  using hadamard-dim st3.ptensor-mat-dim-col[unfolded st3.d0-def st3.dims0-def
st3.vars0-def, simplified splitset]
    st3.ptensor-mat-dim-row[unfolded st3.d0-def st3.dims0-def st3.vars0-def,
simplified splitset] by auto
  also have ... = ptensor-mat dims ( $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\}$ )  $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$ 
(ptensor-mat dims  $\{\text{Suc } k\}$   $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\}$  hadamard (H-k k) (1m st3.d2))
  apply (subst ptensor-mat-assoc[symmetric, of  $\{\text{Suc } k\}$   $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\}$   $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$ 
hadamard H-k k 1m st3.d2, simplified splitset])
  using Suc length-dims by auto
  also have ... = ptensor-mat dims ( $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\}$ )  $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$ 
(H-k (Suc k)) (1m st3.d2)
  using ptensor-mat-comm[of  $\{\text{Suc } k\}$ ] Sksplit1 by auto
  also have ... = ptensor-mat dims ( $\{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}$ )  $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$  (H-k
(Suc k) (1m st3.d2) using Sksplit1 by auto
  also have ... = pmat-extension dims  $\{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}$   $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$  (H-k
(Suc k))
  unfolding st4.pmat-extension-def by auto
  finally show ?case using eq0 eql4 eqr1 by auto
qed

```

lemma *mult-exH-k-left*:

```

  assumes Suc k < n
  shows hadamard-on-i (Suc k) * exH-k k = exH-k (Suc k)
proof –
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims  $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\}$   $\{(\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$ 
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st1: partial-state2 dims  $\{\text{Suc } k\}$   $\{(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))..<n\}$ 
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims  $\{\text{Suc } k\}$  vars1 –  $\{\text{Suc } k\}$ 
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st3: partial-state2 dims  $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\}$   $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$ 
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  interpret st4: partial-state2 dims  $\{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}$   $\{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$ 
  apply unfold-locales by auto

  from exH-k-eq-H-k assms have eq0: exH-k (Suc k)
    = (st.pmat-extension (H-k k)) * (st2.pmat-extension hadamard) by auto
  have vars1 –  $\{0..< \text{Suc } k\} = \{(\text{Suc } k)..<n\}$  using vars1-def by auto

  then have eql1: st.pmat-extension (H-k k) = st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st.d2)
    using st.pmat-extension-def by auto

```

```

from dims-nths-one-lt-n[OF assms] have st1d1: st1.d1 = 2 unfolding st1.d1-def
st1.dims1-def by fastforce
  have  $\{\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)..<n\} = \{\text{Suc } k..<n\}$  using assms by auto
  then have st1.d0 = st.d2 unfolding st1.d0-def st1.dims0-def st1.vars0-def

```

```

st.d2-def st.dims2-def by fastforce
  then have eql2: st1.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (1m st1.d2) = 1m st.d2
  using st1.ptensor-mat-id st1d1 by auto
  have eql3: st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st.d2) = st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (st1.ptensor-mat
(1m 2) (1m st1.d2))
  apply (subst eql2[symmetric]) by auto

  have eql1: (st2.pmat-extension hadamard) = st2.ptensor-mat hadamard (1m
st2.d2) using st2.pmat-extension-def by auto
  have splitset: {0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..n} = vars1 - {Suc k} unfolding
vars1-def using assms by auto

  have Sksplit: {Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..n} = {Suc k..n} using assms by auto
  have Sksplit1: {0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc k} = {0..Suc (Suc k)} by auto
  have st.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (st1.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (1m st1.d2))
    = ptensor-mat dims ({0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc k}) {Suc (Suc k)..n} (ptensor-mat
dims {0..Suc k} {Suc k} (H-k k) (1m 2)) (1m st1.d2)
  apply (subst ptensor-mat-assoc[symmetric, of {0..Suc k} {Suc k} {Suc (Suc
k)..n} H-k k 1m 2 1m st1.d2, simplified Sksplit])
  using assms length-dims by auto
  also have ... = ptensor-mat dims ({0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc k}) {Suc (Suc k)..n}
(ptensor-mat dims {Suc k} {0..Suc k} (1m 2) (H-k k)) (1m st1.d2)
  using ptensor-mat-comm[of {0..Suc k} {Suc k}] by auto
  also have ... = ptensor-mat dims {Suc k} ({0..Suc k} ∪ {Suc (Suc k)..n})
(1m 2)
    (ptensor-mat dims {0..Suc k} {Suc (Suc k)..n} (H-k k) (1m
st1.d2))
  apply (subst sup-commute)
  apply (subst ptensor-mat-assoc[of {Suc k} {0..Suc k} {Suc (Suc k)..n} (1m
2) H-k k 1m st1.d2]) using assms length-dims by auto
  finally have st.pmat-extension (H-k k)
    = st2.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (st3.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st3.d2)) using eql1
eql3 splitset by auto
  moreover have st.pmat-extension (H-k k) = exH-k k using exH-k-eq-H-k assms
by auto
  ultimately have eql4: exH-k k = st2.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (st3.ptensor-mat (H-k
k) (1m st3.d2)) by auto

  have st2.ptensor-mat hadamard (1m st2.d2) * st2.ptensor-mat (1m 2) (st3.ptensor-mat
(H-k k) (1m st3.d2))
    = st2.ptensor-mat (hadamard*(1m 2)) ((1m st2.d2)* (st3.ptensor-mat (H-k
k) (1m st3.d2)))
  apply (rule st2.ptensor-mat-mult[symmetric, of hadamard 1m 2 (1m st2.d2)
(st3.ptensor-mat (H-k k) (1m st3.d2))])
  subgoal unfolding st2.d1-def st2.dims1-def apply (simp add: dims-nths-one-lt-n
assms) using hadamard-dim by auto
  subgoal unfolding st2.d1-def st2.dims1-def by (simp add: dims-nths-one-lt-n
assms)
  subgoal by auto

```

subgoal unfolding $st2.d2\text{-def}[unfolded\ st2.dims2\text{-def}]$ **using** $st3.ptensor\text{-mat-dim-col}[unfolded\ st3.d0\text{-def}\ st3.dims0\text{-def}\ st3.vars0\text{-def},\ simplified\ splitset]$
 $st3.ptensor\text{-mat-dim-row}[unfolded\ st3.d0\text{-def}\ st3.dims0\text{-def}\ st3.vars0\text{-def},\ simplified\ splitset]$ **by auto**
done
also have $\dots = st2.ptensor\text{-mat}\ (hadamard)\ (st3.ptensor\text{-mat}\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))$
unfolding $st2.d2\text{-def}[unfolded\ st2.dims2\text{-def}]$
using $hadamard\text{-dim}\ st3.ptensor\text{-mat-dim-col}[unfolded\ st3.d0\text{-def}\ st3.dims0\text{-def}\ st3.vars0\text{-def},\ simplified\ splitset]$
 $st3.ptensor\text{-mat-dim-row}[unfolded\ st3.d0\text{-def}\ st3.dims0\text{-def}\ st3.vars0\text{-def},\ simplified\ splitset]$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = ptensor\text{-mat}\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
 $(ptensor\text{-mat}\ dims\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ hadamard\ (H\text{-}k\ k))\ (1_m\ st3.d2)$
apply $(subst\ ptensor\text{-mat-assoc}[symmetric,\ of\ \{Suc\ k\}\ \{0..<Suc\ k\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ hadamard\ H\text{-}k\ k\ 1_m\ st3.d2,\ simplified\ splitset])$
using $assms\ length\text{-dims}$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = ptensor\text{-mat}\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ k\} \cup \{Suc\ k\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}$
 $(H\text{-}k\ (Suc\ k))\ (1_m\ st3.d2)$
using $ptensor\text{-mat-comm}[of\ \{Suc\ k\}]\ Sksplit1$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = ptensor\text{-mat}\ dims\ (\{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\})\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ (H\text{-}k\ (Suc\ k))\ (1_m\ st3.d2)$ **using** $Sksplit1$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = pmat\text{-extension}\ dims\ \{0..<Suc\ (Suc\ k)\}\ \{Suc\ (Suc\ k)..<n\}\ (H\text{-}k\ (Suc\ k))$
unfolding $st4.pmat\text{-extension-def}$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = exH\text{-}k\ (Suc\ k)$ **using** $exH\text{-}k\text{-eq-}H\text{-}k[of\ Suc\ k]\ assms$ **by auto**
finally have $st2.ptensor\text{-mat}\ hadamard\ (1_m\ st2.d2) * st2.ptensor\text{-mat}\ (1_m\ 2)$
 $(st3.ptensor\text{-mat}\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ (1_m\ st3.d2))$
 $= exH\text{-}k\ (Suc\ k).$
then show $?thesis\ unfolding\ hadamard\text{-on-}i\text{-def}$
using $eql4\ eqr1$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $exH\text{-}eq\text{-}H$:

$$exH\text{-}k\ (n - 1) = H\text{-}k\ (n - 1)$$

proof –

have $\exists m. n = Suc\ (Suc\ m)$ **using** n **by presburger**
then obtain m **where** $m: n = Suc\ (Suc\ m)$ **using** n **by auto**
then have $exH\text{-}k\ m = pmat\text{-extension}\ dims\ \{0..<(Suc\ m)\}\ \{(Suc\ m)..<n\}\ (H\text{-}k\ m)$ **using** $exH\text{-}k\text{-eq-}H\text{-}k$ **by auto**
then have $exH\text{-}k\ (Suc\ m) = pmat\text{-extension}\ dims\ \{0..<(Suc\ m)\}\ \{(Suc\ m)..<n\}\ (H\text{-}k\ m)$
 $* (pmat\text{-extension}\ dims\ \{Suc\ m\}\ (vars1 - \{Suc\ m\}))$
 $hadamard)$ **by auto**
moreover have $\{(Suc\ m)..<n\} = \{Suc\ m\}$ **using** m **by auto**
moreover have $vars1 - \{Suc\ m\} = \{0..<Suc\ m\}$ **unfolding** $vars1\text{-def}$ **using** m **by auto**
ultimately have $eqSm: exH\text{-}k\ (Suc\ m) = pmat\text{-extension}\ dims\ \{0..<(Suc\ m)\}\ \{Suc\ m\}\ (H\text{-}k\ m)$

```

      * (pmat-extension dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m} hadamard)
by auto

interpret stm1: partial-state2 dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
interpret stm2: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc m} {Suc m}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
have nth1 dims {0..<Suc m} = replicate (Suc m) 2 using dims-nth1-le-n m by
auto
then have stm2d1: stm2.d1 = 2~(Suc m) unfolding stm2.d1-def stm2.dims1-def
by auto
have stm2d2: stm2.d2 = 2 unfolding stm2.d2-def stm2.dims2-def using dims-nth1-one-lt-n
m by auto

have m < n using m by auto
then have H-k m ∈ carrier-mat (2~(Suc m)) (2~(Suc m)) using H-k-dim by
auto
then have Hkm1: (H-k m) * (1m stm2.d1) = (H-k m) unfolding stm2d1 by
auto

have eqd12: stm1.d2 = stm2.d1 unfolding stm1.d2-def stm1.dims2-def stm2.d1-def
stm2.dims1-def by auto
have pmat-extension dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m} hadamard = stm1.ptensor-mat
hadamard (1m stm1.d2) using stm1.pmat-extension-def by auto
also have ... = stm2.ptensor-mat (1m stm2.d1) hadamard using ptensor-mat-comm
eqd12 by auto
finally have egr: (pmat-extension dims {Suc m} {0..<Suc m} hadamard) =
stm2.ptensor-mat (1m stm2.d1) hadamard.
then have exH-k (Suc m) = stm2.ptensor-mat (H-k m) (1m stm2.d2) * stm2.ptensor-mat
(1m stm2.d1) hadamard
  using eqSm unfolding stm2.pmat-extension-def by auto
also have ... = stm2.ptensor-mat ((H-k m) * (1m stm2.d1)) (1m stm2.d2 *
hadamard)
  apply (rule stm2.ptensor-mat-mult[symmetric, of H-k m 1m stm2.d1 1m
stm2.d2 hadamard])
  unfolding stm2d1 stm2d2 using H-k-dim m hadamard-dim by auto
also have ... = stm2.ptensor-mat (H-k m) (hadamard) using H-k-dim hadamard-dim
stm2d1 stm2d2 Hkm1 by auto
also have ... = H-k (Suc m) unfolding stm2.ptensor-mat-def H-k.simps by
auto
finally have exH-k (Suc m) = H-k (Suc m) by auto
moreover have Suc m = n - 1 using m by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

fun ket-zero-k :: nat ⇒ complex vec where
  ket-zero-k 0 = ket-zero
| ket-zero-k (Suc k) = ptensor-vec dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k} (ket-zero-k k)
ket-zero

```

```

lemma ket-zero-k-dim:
  assumes  $k < n$ 
  shows  $\text{ket-zero-k } k \in \text{carrier-vec } (2^\sim(\text{Suc } k))$ 
proof (cases k)
  case 0
    show ?thesis using ket-zero-dim 0 by auto
  next
    case (Suc k)
    interpret st: partial-state2 dims  $\{0..<(\text{Suc } k)\} \{ \text{Suc } k \}$ 
    apply unfold-locales by auto
    have  $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k) \leq n$  using assms Suc by auto
    then have  $\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}) = \text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2$  using
dims-nths-le-n by auto
    moreover have  $\text{prod-list } (\text{replicate } l \ 2) = 2^\sim l$  for  $l$  by simp
    moreover have  $\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{ \text{Suc } k \} = \{0..<(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))\}$  by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{plssk: prod-list } (\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{ \text{Suc } k \})) = 2^\sim(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))$  by auto
    show ?thesis apply (rule carrier-vecI) unfolding ket-zero-k.simps Suc
      using st.ptensor-vec-dim[of ket-zero-k k ket-zero] plssk unfolding st.d0-def
st.dims0-def st.vars0-def by auto
  qed

fun ket-plus-k where
  ket-plus-k 0 = ket-plus
| ket-plus-k (Suc k) = ptensor-vec dims  $\{0..<(\text{Suc } k)\} \{ \text{Suc } k \}$  (ket-plus-k k)
ket-plus

lemma ket-plus-k-dim:
  assumes  $k < n$ 
  shows  $\text{ket-plus-k } k \in \text{carrier-vec } (2^\sim(\text{Suc } k))$ 
proof (cases k)
  case 0
    show ?thesis using ket-plus-dim 0 by auto
  next
    case (Suc k)
    interpret st: partial-state2 dims  $\{0..<(\text{Suc } k)\} \{ \text{Suc } k \}$ 
    apply unfold-locales by auto
    have  $\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k) \leq n$  using assms Suc by auto
    then have  $\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}) = \text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2$  using
dims-nths-le-n by auto
    moreover have  $\text{prod-list } (\text{replicate } l \ 2) = 2^\sim l$  for  $l$  by simp
    moreover have  $\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{ \text{Suc } k \} = \{0..<(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))\}$  by auto
    ultimately have  $\text{plssk: prod-list } (\text{nths dims } (\{0..<\text{Suc } k\} \cup \{ \text{Suc } k \})) = 2^\sim(\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))$  by auto
    show ?thesis apply (rule carrier-vecI) unfolding ket-zero-k.simps Suc
      using st.ptensor-vec-dim plssk unfolding st.d0-def st.dims0-def st.vars0-def
by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma H-k-ket-zero-k:
   $k < n \implies (H\text{-}k\ k) *_v (\text{ket-zero-}k\ k) = (\text{ket-plus-}k\ k)$ 
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show ?case using hadamard-on-zero unfolding H-k.simps ket-zero-k.simps ket-plus-k.simps
by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  then have k: k < n by auto
  interpret st: partial-state2 dims {0..<(Suc k)} {Suc k}
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have nths dims {0..<Suc k} = replicate (Suc k) 2 using dims-nths-le-n Suc by
auto
  then have std1: st.d1 = 2(Suc k) unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def by auto
  have std2: st.d2 = 2 unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def using dims-nths-one-lt-n
Suc by auto
  have  $H\text{-}k\ (Suc\ k) *_v \text{ket-zero-}k\ (Suc\ k) = st.ptensor\text{-}mat\ (H\text{-}k\ k)\ \text{hadamard}\ *_v$ 
 $st.ptensor\text{-}vec\ (\text{ket-zero-}k\ k)\ \text{ket-zero}$  by auto
  also have  $\dots = st.ptensor\text{-}vec\ ((H\text{-}k\ k) *_v (\text{ket-zero-}k\ k))\ (\text{hadamard}\ *_v\ \text{ket-zero})$ 

  using st.ptensor-mat-mult-vec[unfolded std1 std2, OF H-k-dim[OF k] ket-zero-k-dim[OF
k] hadamard-dim ket-zero-dim] by auto
  also have  $\dots = st.ptensor\text{-}vec\ (\text{ket-plus-}k\ k)\ \text{ket-plus}$  using Suc hadamard-on-zero
by auto
  finally show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma encode1-replicate-2:
  partial-state.encode1 (replicate (Suc k) 2) {0..<k} i = i mod (2k)
proof –
  have take-Suc: take k (replicate (Suc k) 2) = replicate k 2
  apply (subst take-replicate) by auto
  have take-encode: take k (digit-encode (replicate (Suc k) 2) i) = digit-encode
(replicate k 2) i
  apply (subst digit-encode-take) using take-Suc by metis
  show ?thesis
  unfolding partial-state.encode1-def partial-state.dims1-def
  nths-upt-eq-take[simplified lessThan-atLeast0] take-Suc take-encode
  digit-decode-encode prod-list-replicate ..
qed

```

```

lemma encode2-replicate-2:
  assumes  $i < 2^{\text{Suc } k}$ 
  shows partial-state.encode2 (replicate (Suc k) 2) {0..<k} i = i div (2k)
proof –
  have drop-Suc: drop k (replicate (Suc k) 2) = [2]

```

```

    apply (subst drop-replicate) by auto
    have drop-encode: drop k (digit-encode (replicate (Suc k) 2) i) = digit-encode [2]
      (i div (2 ^ k))
    unfolding digit-encode-drop drop-Suc take-replicate prod-list-replicate
    by (metis lessI min.strict-order-iff)
    have le2: i div 2 ^ k < 2
    using assms by (auto simp add: less-mult-imp-div-less)
    have prod-list-2: prod-list [2] = 2 by simp
    show ?thesis
    unfolding partial-state.encode2-def partial-state.dims2-def
      nth-minus-upt-eq-drop[simplified lessThan-atLeast0] drop-Suc drop-encode
      digit-decode-encode prod-list-2
    using le2 by auto
  qed

lemma ket-zero-k-decode:
  k < n  $\implies$  ket-zero-k k = Matrix.vec (2 ^ (Suc k)) ( $\lambda k$ . if k = 0 then 1 else 0)
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show ?case apply (rule eq-vecI) by (auto simp add: ket-zero-def)
next
  case (Suc k)
  then have k: k < n by auto
  have kzzk: ket-zero-k k = Matrix.vec (2 ^ Suc k) ( $\lambda k$ . if (k = 0) then 1 else 0)
  using Suc(1)[OF k] by auto

  have dSk: ket-zero-k (Suc k)  $\in$  carrier-vec (2 ^ (Suc (Suc k))) using ket-zero-k-dim[OF
    Suc(2)] by auto

  interpret st: partial-state replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 {0..<Suc k}.
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {Suc k} by (unfold-locales, auto)

  have splitset: ({0..<Suc k}  $\cup$  {Suc k}) = {0..<Suc (Suc k)} by auto
  then have st2dims0: st2.dims0 = replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 unfolding st2.dims0-def
    st2.vars0-def
  using dims-nths-le-n[of Suc (Suc k)] Suc by auto
  have  $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..<Suc k\} \implies \{y \in \{0..<Suc (Suc k)\}. y < x\} = \{0..<x\})$ 
  by auto
  then have cardeg:  $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..<Suc k\} \implies \text{card } \{y \in \{0..<Suc (Suc k)\}. y < x\} = \text{card } \{0..<x\})$  by auto
  have setcong:  $\bigwedge g \ h \ I. (\bigwedge x. (x \in I \implies g \ x = h \ x)) \implies \{g \ x \mid x. x \in I\} = \{h \ x \mid x. x \in I\}$  by metis
  have {card {y  $\in$  {0..<Suc (Suc k)}. y < x} | x. x  $\in$  {0..<Suc k}} = {card {0..<x} | x. x  $\in$  {0..<Suc k}}
  using setcong[OF cardeg, of {0..<Suc k}] by auto
  also have ... = {0..<Suc k} by auto
  finally have st2vars1': st2.vars1' = {0..<Suc k} unfolding st2.vars1'-def
    st2.vars0-def splitset ind-in-set-def by fastforce
  have st2pvsttv: st2.ptensor-vec = st.tensor-vec unfolding st2.ptensor-vec-def

```

```

using st2dims0 st2vars1' by auto
  have st.encode1 0 = 0 using encode1-replicate-2[of Suc k 0] by auto
  moreover have st.encode2 0 = 0 using encode2-replicate-2[of 0 Suc k] by auto
  moreover have std: st.d = 2^(Suc (Suc k)) unfolding st.d-def by auto
  ultimately have kzk0: ket-zero-k (Suc k) $ 0 = 1
    unfolding ket-zero-k.simps st2pvsttv st.tensor-vec-def ket-zero-def using kzk
  by auto

  have kzkki: ket-zero-k (Suc k) $ i = 0 if ine0: i ≠ 0 and ile: i < 2^(Suc (Suc
k)) for i
  proof (cases i mod (2 ^ Suc k) ≠ 0)
    case True
      then have ket-zero-k $ st.encode1 i = 0 unfolding kzk using encode1-replicate-2[of
Suc k i] ile by auto
      then show ?thesis unfolding ket-zero-k.simps st2pvsttv st.tensor-vec-def ket-zero-def
std using ile by auto
    next
      case False
        have i div (2 ^ Suc k) ≠ 0 ∨ i mod (2 ^ Suc k) ≠ 0 using ine0 by fastforce
        then have i div (2 ^ Suc k) ≠ 0 using False by auto
        moreover have i div (2 ^ Suc k) < 2 using ile less-mult-imp-div-less by auto
        ultimately have i div (2 ^ Suc k) = 1 by auto
        then have st.encode2 i = 1 using encode2-replicate-2[of i Suc k] ile by auto
        then have Matrix.vec 2 (λk. if k = 0 then 1 else 0) $ st.encode2 i = 0
          unfolding kzk by fastforce
        then show ?thesis unfolding ket-zero-k.simps st2pvsttv st.tensor-vec-def ket-zero-def
std using ile by auto
      qed

  show ?case apply (rule eq-vecI)
    subgoal for i using kzk0 kzkki by auto
    using carrier-vecD[OF dSk] by auto
  qed

lemma ket-plus-k-decode:
  k < n ⟹ ket-plus-k k = Matrix.vec (2^(Suc k)) (λl. 1 / csqrt (2^(Suc k)))
proof (induct k)
  case 0
    then show ?case unfolding ket-plus-k.simps ket-plus-def by auto
  next
    case (Suc k)
      then have kpkk: ket-plus-k k = Matrix.vec (2 ^ Suc k) (λl. 1 / csqrt (2 ^ Suc
k)) by auto

      have dSk: ket-plus-k (Suc k) ∈ carrier-vec (2^(Suc (Suc k))) using ket-plus-k-dim[OF
Suc(2)] by auto

      interpret st: partial-state replicate (Suc (Suc k)) 2 {0..<Suc k}.
      interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0..<Suc k} {Suc k} by (unfold-locales, auto)

```

have *splitset*: $(\{0..< \text{Suc } k\} \cup \{\text{Suc } k\}) = \{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}$ **by** *auto*
then have *st2dims0*: $\text{st2.dims0} = \text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2$ **unfolding** *st2.dims0-def*
st2.vars0-def
using *dims-nths-le-n[of Suc (Suc k)] Suc* **by** *auto*
have $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..< \text{Suc } k\} \implies \{y \in \{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}. y < x\} = \{0..< x\})$
by *auto*
then have *cardeg*: $\bigwedge x. (x \in \{0..< \text{Suc } k\} \implies \text{card } \{y \in \{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}. y < x\} = \text{card } \{0..< x\})$ **by** *auto*
have *setcong*: $\bigwedge g \ h \ I. (\bigwedge x. (x \in I \implies g \ x = h \ x)) \implies \{g \ x \mid x. x \in I\} = \{h \ x \mid x. x \in I\}$ **by** *metis*
have $\{\text{card } \{y \in \{0..< \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)\}. y < x\} \mid x. x \in \{0..< \text{Suc } k\}\} = \{\text{card } \{0..< x\} \mid x. x \in \{0..< \text{Suc } k\}\}$
using *setcong[OF cardeg, of {0..< Suc k}]* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \{0..< \text{Suc } k\}$ **by** *auto*
finally have *st2vars1'*: $\text{st2.vars1}' = \{0..< \text{Suc } k\}$ **unfolding** *st2.vars1'-def*
st2.vars0-def splitset ind-in-set-def **by** *blast*
have *st2pvsttv*: $\text{st2.ptensor-vec} = \text{st.tensor-vec}$ **unfolding** *st2.ptensor-vec-def*
using *st2dims0 st2vars1'* **by** *auto*

have $\text{csqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k)) = \text{complex-of-real } (\text{sqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k)))$ **by** *simp*
moreover have $\text{complex-of-real } (\text{sqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k))) * \text{complex-of-real } (\text{sqrt } 2) = \text{complex-of-real } (\text{sqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))))$
by (*metis of-real-mult power-Suc power-commutes real-sqrt-power*)
ultimately have $\text{csqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k)) * \text{csqrt } 2 = \text{csqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)))$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $1 / \text{csqrt } (2 \wedge \text{Suc } k) * 1 / \text{csqrt } 2 = 1 / (\text{csqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k)))$
 $* \text{csqrt } 2$ **by** *simp*
ultimately have *csqrt2p*: $1 / \text{csqrt } (2 \wedge \text{Suc } k) * 1 / \text{csqrt } 2 = 1 / (\text{csqrt } (2 \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))))$ **by** *simp*

have *std*: $\text{st.d} = 2 \wedge (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k))$ **unfolding** *st.d-def* **by** *auto*

have *nthsSSk2*: $\text{nths } (\text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2) \ \{0..< \text{Suc } k\} = \text{replicate } (\text{Suc } k) \ 2$
unfolding *nths-replicate[of Suc (Suc k) 2 {0..< Suc k}]*
by (*smt (verit) Collect-cong <{card {0..< x} | x. x ∈ {0..< Suc k}} = {0..< Suc k}> atLeastLessThan-iff card-atLeastLessThan diff-zero less-SucI*)
then have *std1*: $\text{st.d1} = 2 \wedge (\text{Suc } k)$ **unfolding** *st.d1-def st.dims1-def nthsSSk2*
by *auto*
have $\{i. i < \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k) \wedge i \in \{\text{Suc } k..\}\} = \{\text{Suc } k\}$ **by** *auto*
then have *nths* ($\text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2$) ($\{\text{Suc } k..\}$) = $\text{replicate } 1 \ 2$ **unfolding** *nths-replicate* **by** *auto*
moreover have $(- \ \{0..< \text{Suc } k\}) = \{\text{Suc } k..\}$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have *nthsSSk2c*: $\text{nths } (\text{replicate } (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } k)) \ 2) \ (- \ \{0..< \text{Suc } k\}) = \text{replicate } 1 \ 2$ **by** *auto*
have *std2*: $\text{st.d2} = 2$ **unfolding** *st.d2-def st.dims2-def* **apply** (*subst nthsSSk2c*)
by *auto*

have $st.encode1\ i < st.d1$ **if** $i < st.d$ **for** i **using** *that* $st.encode1-lt[OF\ that]$ **by** *auto*
then have $kpkki: ket-plus-k\ k\ \$\ st.encode1\ i = 1 / csqrt\ (2^\wedge(Suc\ k))$ **if** $i < st.d$
for i **unfolding** $kpkk\ std1$ **using** *that* **by** *auto*
have $st.encode2\ i < st.d2$ **if** $i < st.d$ **for** i **using** *that* $st.encode2-lt[OF\ that]$ **by** *auto*
then have $kpi: ket-plus\ \$\ st.encode2\ i = 1 / csqrt\ 2$ **if** $i < st.d$ **for** i **unfolding** $ket-plus-def\ std2$ **using** *that* **by** *auto*
have $kzkkki: ket-plus-k\ (Suc\ k)\ \$\ i = 1 / (csqrt\ (2^\wedge(Suc\ (Suc\ k))))$ **if** $i < st.d$
for i
unfolding $ket-plus-k.simps\ st2pvsttv\ st.tensor-vec-def$ **using** $csqrt2p\ kpkki\ kpi$
that **by** *auto*
show $?case\ apply\ (rule\ eq-vecI)$
subgoal for i **using** $kzkkki$ **unfolding** std **by** *auto*
using $carrier-vecD[OF\ dSk]$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $exH-k-mult-pre-is-psi$:
 $exH-k\ (n - 1) *_{\psi} ket-pre = \psi$
proof –
have $exH-k\ (n - 1) = H-k\ (n - 1)$ **using** $exH-eq-H$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $ket-zero-k\ (n - 1) = ket-pre$ **using** $ket-zero-k-decode[of\ n - 1]$
 $ket-pre-def\ N-def\ n$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $ket-plus-k\ (n - 1) = \psi$ **using** $ket-plus-k-decode[of\ n - 1]\ \psi-def\ N-def\ n$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $H-k\ (n - 1) *_{\psi} ket-zero-k\ (n - 1) = ket-plus-k\ (n - 1)$ **using** $H-k-ket-zero-k\ n$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
qed

definition $ket-k :: nat \Rightarrow complex\ vec$ **where**
 $ket-k\ x = Matrix.vec\ K\ (\lambda k. if\ k = x\ then\ 1\ else\ 0)$

lemma $ket-k-dim$:
 $ket-k\ k \in carrier-vec\ K$
unfolding $ket-k-def$ **by** *auto*

lemma $mat-incr-mult-ket-k$:
 $k < K \implies (mat-incr\ K) *_{\psi} (ket-k\ k) = (ket-k\ ((k + 1) mod\ K))$
apply $(rule\ eq-vecI)$
unfolding $mat-incr-def\ ket-k-def$
apply $(simp\ add: scalar-prod-def)$
apply $(case-tac\ k = K - 1)$
subgoal for i **apply** *auto* **by** $(simp\ add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of\ -\ K - 1])$
subgoal for i **apply** *auto* **by** $(simp\ add: sum-only-one-neq-0[of\ -\ i - 1])$
by *auto*

definition $proj-k$ **where**
 $proj-k\ x = proj\ (ket-k\ x)$

lemma *proj-k-dim*:
proj-k $k \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$
unfolding *proj-k-def* **using** *ket-k-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-ket-k-lt-K*:
 $k < K \implies \text{inner-prod } (\text{ket-k } k) (\text{ket-k } k) = 1$
unfolding *ket-k-def* **apply** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)
using *sum-only-one-neq-0*[of $\{0..<K\}$ $k \ \lambda i. (\text{if } i = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) * \text{cnj } (\text{if } i = k \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$] **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-ket-k-ge-K*:
 $k \geq K \implies \text{inner-prod } (\text{ket-k } k) (\text{ket-k } k) = 0$
unfolding *ket-k-def* **by** (*simp add: scalar-prod-def*)

lemma *norm-ket-k*:
 $\text{inner-prod } (\text{ket-k } k) (\text{ket-k } k) \leq 1$
apply (*case-tac* $k < K$)
using *norm-ket-k-lt-K* *norm-ket-k-ge-K* **by** (*auto simp: less-eq-complex-def*)

lemma *proj-k-mat*:
assumes $k < K$
shows *proj-k* $k = \text{mat } K \ K \ (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i = k) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
apply (*rule eq-matI*)
apply (*simp add: proj-k-def ket-k-def index-outer-prod*)
using *proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *positive-proj-k*:
positive (*proj-k* k)
using *positive-same-outer-prod* **unfolding** *proj-k-def* *ket-k-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-k-le-one*:
 $(\text{proj-k } k) \leq_L 1_m \ K$
unfolding *proj-k-def* **using** *outer-prod-le-one* *norm-ket-k* *ket-k-def* **by** *auto*

definition *proj-psi* **where**
proj-psi = *proj* ψ

lemma *proj-psi-dim*:
proj-psi $\in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$
unfolding *proj-psi-def* *psi-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *norm-psi*:
 $\text{inner-prod } \psi \ \psi = 1$
apply (*simp add: psi-eval scalar-prod-def*)
by (*metis norm-of-nat norm-of-real of-real-mult of-real-of-nat-eq real-sqrt-mult-self*)

lemma *proj-psi-mat*:
proj-psi = $\text{mat } N \ N \ (\lambda k. 1 / N)$

```

unfolding proj-psi-def
apply (rule eq-matI, simp-all)
  apply (simp add:  $\psi$ -def index-outer-prod)
  apply (smt (verit) of-nat-less-0-iff of-real-of-nat-eq of-real-power power2-eq-square
real-sqrt-pow2)
  by (auto simp add: carrier-matD[OF outer-prod-dim[OF  $\psi$ -dim(1)  $\psi$ -dim(1)]])

```

```

lemma hermitian-proj-psi:
  hermitian proj-psi
  unfolding hermitian-def proj-psi-mat apply (rule eq-matI)
  by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)

```

```

lemma hermitian-exproj-psi:
  hermitian (tensor-P proj-psi (1m K))
  unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-hermitian)
  using proj-psi-dim ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 hermitian-proj-psi hermitian-one by auto

```

```

lemma proj-psi-is-projection:
  proj-psi * proj-psi = proj-psi
proof –
  have proj-psi * proj-psi = inner-prod  $\psi$   $\psi$  ·m proj-psi
    unfolding proj-psi-def
    apply (subst outer-prod-mult-outer-prod) using  $\psi$ -def by auto
  also have ... = proj-psi
    using  $\psi$ -inner by auto
  finally show ?thesis.
qed

```

```

lemma proj-psi-trace:
  trace (proj-psi) = 1
  unfolding proj-psi-def
  apply (subst trace-outer-prod[of - N])
  subgoal unfolding  $\psi$ -def by auto using norm-psi by auto

```

```

lemma positive-proj-psi:
  positive (proj-psi)
  using positive-same-outer-prod unfolding proj-psi-def  $\psi$ -def by auto

```

```

lemma proj-psi-le-one:
  (proj-psi) ≤L 1m N
  unfolding proj-psi-def using outer-prod-le-one norm-psi  $\psi$ -def by auto

```

```

lemma hermitian-hadamard-on-k:
  assumes k < n
  shows hermitian (hadamard-on-i k)
proof –
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {k} (vars1 – {k})
  apply unfold-locales by auto

```

```

have st2d1: st2.dims1 = [2] unfolding st2.dims1-def dims-def
  using assms dims-nths-one-lt-n local.dims-def st2.dims1-def by auto
show hermitian (hadamard-on-i k) unfolding hadamard-on-i-def st2.pmat-extension-def
st2.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-hermitian)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1'
hadamard-def by (simp add: st2d1)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def st2.nths-vars2'
st2.d2-def by auto
  subgoal unfolding hermitian-def hadamard-def apply (rule eq-matI) by (auto
simp add: adjoint-dim adjoint-eval)
  using hermitian-one by auto
qed

```

```

lemma hermitian-H-k:
  k < n  $\implies$  hermitian (H-k k)
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show ?case unfolding H-k.simps hermitian-def hadamard-def apply (rule eq-matI)
  by (auto simp add: adjoint-dim adjoint-eval)
next
  case (Suc k)
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0.. $\text{Suc } k$ } { $\text{Suc } k$ }
  apply unfold-locales by auto
  have st2d1: prod-list st2.dims1 = (2 $^{\text{Suc } k}$ ) unfolding st2.dims1-def dims-def
  using Suc(2)
  using dims-nths-le-n local.dims-def st2.dims1-def by auto
  have st2d2: st2.dims2 = [2] unfolding st2.dims2-def dims-def using Suc(2)
  using dims-nths-one-lt-n local.dims-def st2.dims2-def by auto
  show ?case unfolding H-k.simps st2.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-hermitian)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1'
  using st2d1 H-k-dim Suc by auto
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def st2.nths-vars2'
  st2.d2-def using st2d2 by (simp add: hadamard-def)
  subgoal using Suc by auto
  using hermitian-hadamard by auto
qed

```

```

lemma unitary-H-k:
  k < n  $\implies$  unitary (H-k k)
proof (induct k)
  case 0
  show ?case using unitary-hadamard by auto
next
  case (Suc k)
  then have k: k < n by auto
  interpret st2: partial-state2 dims {0.. $\text{Suc } k$ } { $\text{Suc } k$ } by (unfold-locales, auto)

```

```

  have st2d1: prod-list st2.dims1 = (2~(Suc k)) unfolding st2.dims1-def dims-def
using Suc(2)
    using dims-nths-le-n local.dims-def st2.dims1-def by auto
  have st2d2: st2.dims2 = [2] unfolding st2.dims2-def dims-def using Suc(2)
    using dims-nths-one-lt-n local.dims-def st2.dims2-def by auto
  show ?case unfolding H-k.simps st2.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (rule partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary[of H-k k st2.dims0 st2.vars1 ' hadamard]
)
    unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def st2.nths-vars1 ' partial-state.d2-def
partial-state.dims2-def
      st2.nths-vars2 '
      apply (auto simp add: st2d1 st2d2 )
    subgoal using H-k-dim[OF k] by auto
    subgoal using hadamard-dim by auto
    subgoal using Suc by auto
    using unitary-hadamard by auto
qed

```

```

lemma exH-k-dim:
  shows  $k < n \implies \text{exH-k } k \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$ 
  apply (induct k)
  using hadamard-on-i-dim by auto

```

```

lemma exH-n-dim:
  shows  $\text{exH-k } (n - 1) \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$ 
  using exH-k-dim n by auto

```

```

lemma unitary-exH-k:
  shows  $k < n \implies \text{unitary } (\text{exH-k } k)$ 
proof (induct k)
  case 0
    then show ?case unfolding exH-k.simps using unitary-hadamard-on-i 0 by
auto
  next
    case (Suc k)
    show ?case unfolding exH-k.simps apply (subst unitary-times-unitary[of - N])
      subgoal using exH-k-dim Suc by auto
      subgoal using hadamard-on-i-dim Suc by auto
      subgoal using Suc by auto
      using unitary-hadamard-on-i Suc by auto
qed

```

```

lemma hermitian-exH-n:
  hermitian (exH-k (n - 1))
  using hermitian-H-k exH-eq-H n by auto

```

```

lemma exH-k-mult-psi-is-pre:
   $\text{exH-k } (n - 1) *_v \psi = \text{ket-pre}$ 
proof -

```

```

let ?H = exH-k (n - 1)
have hermitian ?H using hermitian-H-k exH-eq-H n by auto
then have eqad: adjoint ?H = ?H unfolding hermitian-def by auto
have d: ?H ∈ carrier-mat N N using exH-k-dim n by auto
have unitary ?H using unitary-exH-k n by auto
then have id: ?H * ?H = 1m N
  unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def
  using d apply (subst (2) eqad[symmetric]) by auto
have ?H *v ψ = ?H *v (?H *v ket-pre)
  using exH-k-mult-pre-is-psi by auto
also have ... = (?H * ?H) *v ket-pre
  using d ket-pre-def by auto
also have ... = ket-pre
  using id ket-pre-def by auto
finally show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

fun exexH-k :: nat ⇒ complex mat where
  exexH-k k = tensor-P (exH-k k) (1m K)

```

```

lemma unitary-exexH-k:
  k < n ⇒ unitary (exexH-k k)
  unfolding exexH-k.simps ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
  apply (subst partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary)
  subgoal using exH-k-dim unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def
  ps2-P.nths-vars1' ps2-P.dims1-def dims-vars1 N-def by auto
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'
  ps2-P.dims2-def dims-vars2 by auto
  using unitary-exH-k unitary-one by auto

```

```

lemma exexH-k-dim:
  k < n ⇒ exexH-k k ∈ carrier-mat d d
  unfolding exexH-k.simps using ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier ps2-P-d0 by auto

```

```

lemma hoare-seq-utrans:
  fixes P :: complex mat
  assumes unitary U1 and unitary U2 and is-quantum-predicate P
  and dU1: U1 ∈ carrier-mat d d and dU2: U2 ∈ carrier-mat d d
  shows
    ⊢p
    {adjoint (U2 * U1) * P * (U2 * U1)}
    Utrans U1;; Utrans U2
    {P}
  proof -
    have hp0: ⊢p {adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)} Utrans U2 {P}
      using assms hoare-partial.intros by auto
    have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (U2) * P * (U2))
      using qp-close-under-unitary-operator assms by auto
    then have hp1: ⊢p {adjoint U1 * (adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)) * U1} Utrans U1

```

```

{adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)}
  using hoare-partial.intros by auto
  have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d using assms is-quantum-predicate-def by auto
  have eq: adjoint U1 * (adjoint U2 * P * U2) * U1 = adjoint (U2 * U1) * P *
(U2 * U1)
    using dU1 dU2 dP by (mat-assoc d)
  with hp1 have hp2: ⊢p {adjoint (U2 * U1) * P * (U2 * U1)} Utrans U1
{adjoint (U2) * P * (U2)} by auto

  have is-quantum-predicate (adjoint U1 * (adjoint U2 * P * U2) * U1) using
qp qp-close-under-unitary-operator assms by auto
  then have is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (U2 * U1) * P * (U2 * U1)) using
eq by auto
  then show ?thesis using hoare-partial.intros(3)[OF - qp assms(3)] hp0 hp2 by
auto
qed

```

```

lemma qp-close-after-exexH-k:
  fixes P :: complex mat
  assumes is-quantum-predicate P
  shows k < n ⟹ is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (exexH-k k) * P * exexH-k k)
  apply (subst qp-close-under-unitary-operator)
  subgoal using exexH-k-dim by auto
  subgoal using unitary-exexH-k by auto
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma hoare-hadamard-n:
  fixes P :: complex mat
  shows is-quantum-predicate P ⟹ k < n ⟹
    ⊢p
    {adjoint (exexH-k k) * P * exexH-k k}
    hadamard-n (Suc k)
    {P}
  proof (induct k arbitrary: P)
  case 0
    have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0)
      using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[OF - unitary-exhadamard-on-i[of 0]] ten-
sor-P-dim 0 by auto
    then have ⊢p {adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0} SKIP {adjoint (exexH-k
0) * P * exexH-k 0}
      using hoare-partial.intros(1) by auto
    moreover have ⊢p {adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0} Utrans (tensor-P
(hadamard-on-i 0) (1m K)) {P}
      using hoare-partial.intros(2) 0 by auto
    ultimately have ⊢p {adjoint (exexH-k 0) * P * exexH-k 0} SKIP;; Utrans
(tensor-P (hadamard-on-i 0) (1m K)) {P}
      using hoare-partial.intros(3) qp 0 by auto
    then show ?case using qp by auto
  next

```

```

case (Suc k)
have h1:  $\vdash_p$ 
  {adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i
(Suc k)) (1m K)))}
  Utrans (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K))
  {P}
using hoare-partial.intros Suc by auto
have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m
K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)))
apply (subst qp-close-under-unitary-operator)
subgoal using ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier ps2-P-d0 by auto
subgoal unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst partial-state.tensor-mat-unitary
)
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d1-def partial-state.dims1-def ps2-P.nths-vars1'
ps2-P.dims1-def d-vars1 using hadamard-on-i-dim Suc by auto
  subgoal unfolding partial-state.d2-def partial-state.dims2-def ps2-P.nths-vars2'
ps2-P.dims2-def using dims-vars2 by auto
    using unitary-hadamard-on-i unitary-one Suc by auto
    using Suc by auto
then have h2:  $\vdash_p$ 
  {adjoint (exH-k k) * (adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) *
P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K))) * exH-k k}
  hadamard-n (Suc k)
  {adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i
(Suc k)) (1m K)))}
    using Suc by auto
have (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exH-k k
  = (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k) * (exH-k k)) (1m K * (1m K)))
apply (subst ps2-P.ptensor-mat-mult)
subgoal using hadamard-on-i-dim ps2-P-d1 Suc by auto
subgoal using exH-k-dim ps2-P-d1 Suc by auto
using ps2-P-d2 by auto
also have ... = exH-k (Suc k) using mult-exH-k-left Suc by auto
finally have eq1: (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exH-k k =
exH-k (Suc k).
then have eq2: adjoint (exH-k k) * adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k))
(1m K)) = adjoint (exH-k (Suc k))
  apply (subst adjoint-mult[symmetric, of - d d - d])
  subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
  using exH-k-dim Suc by auto
have dP: P ∈ carrier-mat d d using is-quantum-predicate-def Suc by auto
moreover have dH: exH-k k ∈ carrier-mat d d using exH-k-dim Suc by
auto
moreover have dHi: tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K) ∈ carrier-mat
d d using tensor-P-dim by auto
ultimately have eq3: adjoint (exH-k k) * (adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i
(Suc k)) (1m K)) * P * tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exH-k k
  = (adjoint (exH-k k) * adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)))
* P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)) * exH-k k

```

```

    by (mat-assoc d)
  show ?case apply (subst hadamard-n.simps)
    apply (subst hoare-partial.intros(3)[of - adjoint (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc
k)) (1m K)) * P * (tensor-P (hadamard-on-i (Suc k)) (1m K)))]
    subgoal using qp-close-after-exH-k[of P Suc k] Suc by auto
    subgoal using qp by auto
    subgoal using Suc by auto
    subgoal using h2[simplified eq3 eq1 eq2] by auto
    using h1 by auto
qed

lemma qp-pre:
  is-quantum-predicate (tensor-P pre (proj-k 0))
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (intro conjI)
  show tensor-P pre (proj-k 0) ∈ carrier-mat d d using tensor-P-dim by auto
  interpret st: partial-state dims vars1 .
  have d1: st.d1 = N unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def using d-vars1 by auto
  have d2: st.d2 = K unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def nth-s-uminus-vars1 dims-vars2
  by auto
  show positive (tensor-P pre (proj-k 0))
    unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'
    apply (subst st.tensor-mat-positive)
    subgoal unfolding pre-def using outer-prod-dim ket-pre-def d1 by auto
    subgoal unfolding proj-k-def using outer-prod-dim ket-k-def d2 by auto
    subgoal using positive-pre by auto
    using positive-proj-k[of 0] K-gt-0 by auto
  show tensor-P pre (proj-k 0) ≤L 1m d
    unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'
    apply (subst st.tensor-mat-le-one)
    subgoal using pre-def ket-pre-def outer-prod-dim d1 by auto
    subgoal using proj-k-def K-gt-0 ket-k-def outer-prod-dim d2 by auto
    using d1 d2 K-gt-0 outer-prod-dim positive-pre positive-proj-k pre-le-one proj-k-le-one
  by auto
qed

lemma qp-init-post:
  is-quantum-predicate (tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0))
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (intro conjI)
  show tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) ∈ carrier-mat d d using tensor-P-dim by auto
  interpret st: partial-state dims vars1 .
  have d1: st.d1 = N unfolding st.d1-def st.dims1-def using d-vars1 by auto
  have d2: st.d2 = K unfolding st.d2-def st.dims2-def nth-s-uminus-vars1 dims-vars2
  by auto
  show positive (tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0))
    unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'
    apply (subst st.tensor-mat-positive)
    subgoal unfolding proj-psi-def using outer-prod-dim ψ-def d1 by auto

```

subgoal unfolding *proj-k-def* **using** *outer-prod-dim ket-k-def d2* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *positive-proj-psi* **by** *auto*
using *positive-proj-k[of 0] K-gt-0* **by** *auto*
show *tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) ≤_L 1_m d*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def ps2-P-dims0 ps2-P-vars1'*
apply (*subst st.tensor-mat-le-one*)
subgoal using *proj-psi-def outer-prod-dim d1* **by** *auto*
subgoal using *proj-k-def K-gt-0 ket-k-def outer-prod-dim d2* **by** *auto*
using *d1 d2 K-gt-0 outer-prod-dim positive-proj-psi positive-proj-k proj-psi-le-one*
proj-k-le-one **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *tensor-P-adjoint-left-right*:

assumes *m1 ∈ carrier-mat N N and m2 ∈ carrier-mat K K and m3 ∈ carrier-mat N N and m4 ∈ carrier-mat K K*
shows *adjoint (tensor-P m1 m2) * tensor-P m3 m4 = tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3 * m1) (adjoint m2 * m4 * m2)*
proof –
have *eq1: adjoint (tensor-P m1 m2) = tensor-P (adjoint m1) (adjoint m2)*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 assms* **by** *auto*
have *eq2: adjoint (tensor-P m1 m2) * tensor-P m3 m4 = tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3) (adjoint m2 * m4)*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult*)
using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 assms eq1* **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-dim*)
have *eq3: tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3) (adjoint m2 * m4) * (tensor-P m1 m2) = tensor-P (adjoint m1 * m3 * m1) (adjoint m2 * m4 * m2)*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[of adjoint m1 * m3]*)
using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 assms* **by** (*auto simp add: adjoint-dim*)
show *?thesis* **using** *eq1 eq2 eq3* **by** *auto*
qed

abbreviation *exH-n* **where**

exH-n ≡ exH-k (n - 1)

lemma *hoare-triple-init*:

$\vdash_p$
 $\{ \text{tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)} \}$
 $\text{hadamard-n } n$
 $\{ \text{tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0)} \}$
proof –
have *h: ⊢_p*
 $\{ \text{adjoint (exH-k (n - 1)) * (tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0)) * (exH-k (n - 1))} \}$
 $\text{hadamard-n } n$

```

{tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0)}
using hoare-hadamard-n[OF qp-init-post, of n - 1] qp-init-post n by auto
have adjoint (exH-k (n - 1)) * tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) * exH-k (n -
1) =
```

$$\text{tensor-}P \text{ (adjoint } exH\text{-}n * \text{proj-psi} * exH\text{-}n \text{) (adjoint } (1_m K) * \text{proj-k } 0 * 1_m K \text{)}$$

```

unfolding exH-k.simps
apply (subst tensor-P-adjoint-left-right)
using exH-k-dim proj-psi-def psi-def proj-k-def ket-k-def n by (auto)
moreover have adjoint exH-n * proj-psi * exH-n = pre
unfolding proj-psi-def pre-def
apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N])
subgoal using psi-def by auto
subgoal using exH-k-dim n by (simp add: adjoint-dim)
subgoal using exH-k-dim n by simp
apply (subst (1 2) hermitian-exH-n[simplified hermitian-def])
apply (subst (1 2) exH-k-mult-psi-is-pre)
by auto
moreover have adjoint (1_m K) * (proj-k 0) * (1_m K) = proj-k 0
apply (subst adjoint-one) using proj-k-dim[of 0] K-gt-0 by auto
ultimately have adjoint (exH-k (n - 1)) * tensor-P proj-psi (proj-k 0) *
exH-k (n - 1) = tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)
by auto
with h show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

Hoare triples of while loop

definition *proj-psi-l* **where**
proj-psi-l l = proj (psi-l l)

lemma *positive-psi-l*:
 $k < K \implies \text{positive } (\text{proj-psi-l } k)$
unfolding *proj-psi-l-def*
apply (*subst positive-same-outer-prod*)
using *psi-l-dim* **by** *auto*

lemma *hermitian-proj-psi-l*:
 $k < K \implies \text{hermitian } (\text{proj-psi-l } k)$
using *positive-psi-l positive-is-hermitian* **by** *auto*

definition *P'* **where**
 $P' = \text{tensor-}P \text{ (proj-psi-l } R \text{) (proj-k } R \text{)}$

lemma *proj-psi-l-dim*:
 $\text{proj-psi-l } l \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$
unfolding *proj-psi-l-def* **using** *psi-l-def* **by** *auto*

definition *Q :: complex mat* **where**
 $Q = \text{matrix-sum } d \text{ (}\lambda l. \text{ tensor-}P \text{ (proj-psi-l } l \text{) (proj-k } l \text{)) } R$

```

lemma psi-l-le-id:
  shows  $\text{proj-psi-l } l \leq_L 1_m N$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{inner-prod } (\text{psi-l } l) (\text{psi-l } l) = 1$ 
    using inner-psi-l by auto
  then show ?thesis using outer-prod-le-one psi-l-def proj-psi-l-def by auto
qed

lemma positive-proj-psi-l:
  shows positive ( $\text{proj-psi-l } l$ )
  using positive-same-outer-prod proj-psi-l-def psi-l-dim by auto

definition proj-fst-k ::  $\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{complex mat}$  where
   $\text{proj-fst-k } k = \text{mat } K K (\lambda(i, j). \text{ if } (i = j \wedge i < k) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 

lemma hermitian-proj-fst-k:
   $\text{adjoint } (\text{proj-fst-k } k) = \text{proj-fst-k } k$ 
  by (auto simp add: proj-fst-k-def adjoint-eval)

lemma proj-fst-k-is-projection:
   $\text{proj-fst-k } k * \text{proj-fst-k } k = \text{proj-fst-k } k$ 
  by (auto simp add: proj-fst-k-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma positive-proj-fst-k:
  positive ( $\text{proj-fst-k } k$ )
proof -
  have  $(\text{proj-fst-k } k) * \text{adjoint } (\text{proj-fst-k } k) = (\text{proj-fst-k } k)$ 
    using hermitian-proj-fst-k proj-fst-k-is-projection by auto
  then have  $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = (\text{proj-fst-k } k)$  by auto
  then show ?thesis apply (subst positive-if-decomp) using proj-fst-k-def by auto
qed

lemma proj-fst-k-le-one:
   $\text{proj-fst-k } k \leq_L 1_m K$ 
proof -
  define M where  $M l = \text{mat } K K (\lambda(i, j). \text{ if } (i = j \wedge i \geq l) \text{ then } (1::\text{complex}) \text{ else } 0)$  for l
  have eq:  $1_m K - \text{proj-fst-k } k = M k$  unfolding M-def proj-fst-k-def
    apply (rule eq-matI) by auto
  have  $M k * M k = M k$  unfolding M-def
    apply (rule eq-matI) apply (simp add: scalar-prod-def)
    apply (subst sum-only-one-neq-0[of - j]) by auto
  moreover have  $\text{adjoint } (M k) = M k$  unfolding M-def
    apply (rule eq-matI) by (auto simp add: adjoint-eval)
  ultimately have  $M k * \text{adjoint } (M k) = M k$  by auto
  then have  $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = 1_m K - \text{proj-fst-k } k$  using eq by auto
  then have positive ( $1_m K - \text{proj-fst-k } k$ )
    apply (subst positive-if-decomp) using proj-fst-k-def by auto

```

then show ?thesis unfolding lower-le-def using proj-fst-k-def by auto
qed

lemma sum-proj-k:

assumes $m \leq K$

shows $\text{matrix-sum } K (\lambda k. \text{proj-k } k) m = \text{proj-fst-k } m$

proof –

have $m \leq K \implies \text{matrix-sum } K (\lambda k. \text{proj-k } k) m = \text{mat } K K (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i < m) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ for m

proof (induct m)

case 0

then show ?case apply simp apply (rule eq-matI) by auto

next

case (Suc m)

then have $m: m < K$ by auto

then have $m': m \leq K$ by auto

have $\text{matrix-sum } K \text{proj-k } (\text{Suc } m) = \text{proj-k } m + \text{matrix-sum } K \text{proj-k } m$ by
simp

also have $\dots = \text{mat } K K (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } (i = j \wedge i < (\text{Suc } m)) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$

unfolding proj-k-mat[OF m] Suc(1)[OF m'] apply (rule eq-matI) by auto

finally show ?case by auto

qed

then show ?thesis unfolding proj-fst-k-def using assms by auto

qed

lemma proj-psi-proj-k-le-exproj-k:

shows $\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } k) (\text{proj-k } l) \leq_L \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{proj-k } l)$

unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def

apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le)

subgoal using proj-psi-l-def psi-l-dim ps-P-d1 by auto

subgoal using proj-k-def ket-k-def ps-P-d2 by auto

subgoal using positive-proj-psi-l by auto

subgoal using positive-same-outer-prod proj-k-def ket-k-def by auto

subgoal using psi-l-le-id by auto

apply (subst lower-le-refl[of - K]) by (auto simp add: proj-k-def ket-k-def)

definition Q1 :: complex mat where

$Q1 = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi}'\text{-l } l) (\text{proj-k } l)) R$

lemma tensor-P-left-right-partial1:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$ and $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$ and $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } K K$ and $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } N N$

shows $\text{tensor-P } m1 (1_m K) * \text{tensor-P } m2 m3 * \text{tensor-P } m4 (1_m K) = \text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2 * m4) m3$

proof –

have $\text{tensor-P } m1 (1_m K) * \text{tensor-P } m2 m3 = \text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2) m3$

unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def

apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric])

using assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto

moreover have $\text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2) \ m3 * \text{tensor-P } m4 \ (1_m \ K) = \text{tensor-P } (m1 * m2 * m4) \ m3$
unfolding $\text{ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def}$
apply $(\text{subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]})$
using $\text{assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto}$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $\text{tensor-P-left-right-partial2}$:

assumes $m1 \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$ **and** $m2 \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$ **and** $m3 \in \text{carrier-mat } N \ N$ **and** $m4 \in \text{carrier-mat } K \ K$
shows $\text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ m1 * \text{tensor-P } m3 \ m2 * \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ m4 = \text{tensor-P } m3 \ (m1 * m2 * m4)$

proof –

have $\text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ m1 * \text{tensor-P } m3 \ m2 = \text{tensor-P } m3 \ (m1 * m2)$
unfolding $\text{ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def}$
apply $(\text{subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]})$
using $\text{assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto}$
moreover have $\text{tensor-P } m3 \ (m1 * m2) * \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ m4 = \text{tensor-P } m3 \ (m1 * m2 * m4)$
unfolding $\text{ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def}$
apply $(\text{subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]})$
using $\text{assms ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 by auto}$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

lemma $\text{matrix-sum-mult-left-right}$:

fixes $A \ B :: \text{complex mat}$
assumes $\text{dg: } (\bigwedge k. k < l \implies g \ k \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m)$
and $\text{dA: } A \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$ **and** $\text{dB: } B \in \text{carrier-mat } m \ m$
shows $\text{matrix-sum } m \ (\lambda k. A * g \ k * B) \ l = A * \text{matrix-sum } m \ g \ l * B$
proof –
have $\text{eq: } A * \text{matrix-sum } m \ g \ l = \text{matrix-sum } m \ (\lambda k. A * g \ k) \ l$
using $\text{matrix-sum-distrib-left assms by auto}$
have $A * \text{matrix-sum } m \ g \ l * B = \text{matrix-sum } m \ (\lambda k. A * g \ k * B) \ l$
apply (subst eq)
using $\text{matrix-sum-mult-right[of l } \lambda k. A * g \ k] \text{ assms by auto}$
then show $?thesis$ **by auto**
qed

lemma mat-O-split :

$\text{mat-O} = 1_m \ N - 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-O}$
apply (rule eq-matI)
unfolding $\text{mat-O-def proj-O-def by auto}$

lemma mat-O-mult-psi'-l :

$\text{mat-O} *_{\nu} (\text{psi}'\text{-l } l) = \text{psi-l } l$

proof –

have $\text{mat-O} *_{\nu} (\text{psi}'\text{-l } l) = \text{mat-O} *_{\nu} ((\alpha\text{-l } l) \cdot_{\nu} \alpha) - \text{mat-O} *_{\nu} ((\beta\text{-l } l) \cdot_{\nu} \beta)$

β)
unfolding *psi'-l-def* **apply** (*subst mult-minus-distrib-mat-vec*)
using *mat-O-dim* α -*dim* β -*dim* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v (\text{mat-O } *_v\ \alpha) - (\beta\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v (\text{mat-O } *_v\ \beta)$
using *mult-mat-vec-smult-vec-assoc*[*of mat-O N N*] *mat-O-dim* α -*dim* β -*dim*
by *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v \alpha - (\beta\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v (-\ \beta)$
using *mat-O-mult-alpha* *mat-O-mult-beta* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\alpha\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v \alpha + (\beta\text{-}l\ l) \cdot_v \beta$
by *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *psi-l-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *mat-O-times-Q1*:
adjoint (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) * *Q1* * (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) = *Q*
proof –
let *?m1* = *tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)
have *eq:adjoint* *?m1* = *?m1*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
apply (*auto simp add: mat-O-dim ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*)
by (*simp add: hermitian-mat-O*[*unfolded hermitian-def*] *hermitian-one*[*unfolded hermitian-def*])
{
fix *l*
let *?m2* = *tensor-P* (*proj-psi'-l l*) (*proj-k l*)
have *?m1* * *?m2* * *?m1* = *tensor-P* (*mat-O* * (*proj-psi'-l l*) * *mat-O*) (*proj-k l*)
apply (*subst tensor-P-left-right-partial1*)
using *mat-O-dim* *proj-psi'-dim* *proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*
moreover have *mat-O* * (*proj-psi'-l l*) * *mat-O* = *outer-prod* (*psi-l l*) (*psi-l l*)
unfolding *proj-psi'-l-def* **apply** (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat*[*of - N - N - N - N*])
using *psi'-l-dim* *mat-O-dim* *mat-O-mult-psi'-l* *hermitian-mat-O*[*unfolded hermitian-def*] **by** *auto*
ultimately have *?m1* * *?m2* * *?m1* = *tensor-P* (*proj-psi-l l*) (*proj-k l*) **unfolding** *proj-psi-l-def* **by** *auto*
}
note *p1* = *this*
have *adjoint* (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) * *Q1* * (*tensor-P mat-O* ($1_m\ K$)) = *?m1* * *Q1* * *?m1*
using *eq* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d\ (\lambda l. \text{ ?m1 } * (\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi'-l } l) (\text{proj-k } l)) * \text{ ?m1})\ R$
unfolding *Q1-def*
apply (*subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = Q$
unfolding *Q-def* **using** *p1* **by** *auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

definition $Q2$ where

$Q2 = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda l. \text{tensor-}P \ (\text{proj-psi-}l \ (l + 1)) \ (\text{proj-k } l)) \ R$

lemma $Q2\text{-dim}$:

$Q2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

unfolding $Q2\text{-def}$ **apply** (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*

lemma $Q2\text{-le-one}$:

$Q2 \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have leq : $Q2 \leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R$

unfolding $Q2\text{-def}$

apply (*subst lower-le-matrix-sum*)

subgoal using $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*

subgoal using $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*

using $\text{proj-psi-proj-k-le-exproj-k}$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R$

$= \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{matrix-sum } K \ \text{proj-k } R)$

unfolding $\text{ps2-}P.\text{ptensor-mat-def}$

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-matrix-sum2[simplified ps-P-d ps-P-d2]*)

subgoal using ps-P-d1 **by** *auto*

using proj-k-dim **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-fst-k } R)$ **using** $\text{sum-proj-k } K$ **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq_L \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (1_m \ K)$ **unfolding** $\text{ps2-}P.\text{ptensor-mat-def}$

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)

subgoal using ps-P-d1 **by** *auto*

subgoal using $\text{ps-P-d2 proj-fst-k-def}$ **by** *auto*

subgoal using positive-one **by** *auto*

subgoal using $\text{positive-proj-fst-k}$ **by** *auto*

subgoal using $\text{lower-le-refl}[of \ 1_m \ N \ N]$ **by** *auto*

using proj-fst-k-le-one **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = 1_m \ d$ **unfolding** $\text{ps2-}P.\text{ptensor-mat-def}$

using $\text{ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 ps-P-d}$ **by** *auto*

finally have leq2 : $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R \leq_L 1_m \ d$

by *auto*

have ds : $\text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ R \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*

then show $?thesis$ **using** $\text{leq leq2 lower-le-trans}[OF \ Q2\text{-dim ds, of } 1_m \ d]$ **by**

auto

qed

lemma $qp\text{-}Q2$:

is-quantum-predicate $Q2$

unfolding $\text{is-quantum-predicate-def}$

proof (*intro conjI*)

show $Q2 \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$ **unfolding** $Q2\text{-def}$

apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** $\text{tensor-}P\text{-dim}$ **by** *auto*

```

next
  show positive Q2 unfolding Q2-def
    apply (subst matrix-sum-positive)
    subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
    subgoal for k unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
      apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive)
      subgoal using proj-psi-l-def psi-l-dim ps-P-d1 by auto
      subgoal using proj-k-dim ps-P-d2 K by auto
      subgoal using positive-proj-psi-l by auto
      using positive-proj-k K by auto
    by auto
next
  show  $Q2 \leq_L 1_m d$  using Q2-le-one by auto
qed

lemma pre-mat:
   $pre = mat\ N\ N\ (\lambda(i, j). \text{if } i = j \wedge i = 0 \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  subgoal for i j unfolding pre-def apply (subst index-outer-prod[OF ket-pre-dim ket-pre-dim])
    apply simp-all
    unfolding ket-pre-def by auto
    using outer-prod-dim[OF ket-pre-dim ket-pre-dim, folded pre-def] by auto

lemma mat-Ph-split:
   $mat-Ph = 2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N$ 
  unfolding mat-Ph-def pre-mat
  apply (rule eq-matI) by auto

lemma H-Ph-H:
   $exxH-k\ (n-1) * tensor-P\ mat-Ph\ (1_m\ K) * exxH-k\ (n-1) = 2 \cdot_m tensor-P$ 
   $proj-psi\ (1_m\ K) - 1_m\ d$ 
  unfolding mat-Ph-split exxH-k.simps
  apply (subst tensor-P-left-right-partial1)
  subgoal using exH-k-dim[of n - 1] n by auto
  subgoal using pre-dim by auto
  subgoal by auto
proof -
  have eq1:  $exH-n * exH-n = 1_m\ N$ 
    using unitary-exH-k[of n - 1]
    unfolding unitary-def inverts-mat-def
    using n hermitian-exH-n[simplified hermitian-def] exH-n-dim by auto
  have eq2:  $exH-n * pre * exH-n = proj-psi$ 
    unfolding pre-def proj-psi-def
    apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N])
    subgoal using ket-pre-dim by auto
    subgoal using exH-n-dim by auto
    apply (subst hermitian-exH-n[simplified hermitian-def])
    using exH-k-mult-pre-is-psi by auto

```

have eq3: $exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre) * exH-n = 2 \cdot_m (exH-n * pre * exH-n)$
using pre-dim exH-n-dim **by** (mat-assoc N)
have $exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N) * exH-n = exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre) * exH-n -$
 $exH-n * exH-n$
using pre-dim exH-n-dim **apply** (mat-assoc N) **by** auto
also have $\dots = 2 \cdot_m (exH-n * pre * exH-n) - 1_m N$
using eq1 eq3 **by** auto
finally have eq4: $exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N) * exH-n = 2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m$
 N **using** eq2 **by** auto
show $tensor-P (exH-n * (2 \cdot_m pre - 1_m N) * exH-n) (1_m K) = 2 \cdot_m tensor-P$
 $proj-psi (1_m K) - 1_m d$
unfolding eq4 **unfolding** ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-minus1)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 **apply** (auto simp add: proj-psi-dim)
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-scale1)
unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 **apply** (auto simp add: proj-psi-dim)
apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-id[simplified ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 ps-P-d]) **by** auto
qed

lemma hermitian-proj-psi-minus-1:
 $hermitian (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$
unfolding hermitian-def
apply (subst adjoint-minus[of - N N])
apply (auto simp add: proj-psi-dim)
apply (subst adjoint-scale)
using hermitian-proj-psi[simplified hermitian-def] hermitian-def adjoint-one **by**
auto

lemma unitary-proj-psi-minus-1:
 $unitary (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$
proof –
have a: $adjoint (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) = 2 \cdot_m proj-psi$
apply (subst adjoint-scale) **using** hermitian-proj-psi[simplified hermitian-def]
by simp
have eq: $adjoint (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) = 2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N$
apply (subst adjoint-minus) **using** proj-psi-dim a adjoint-one **by** auto
have $(2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) = 4 \cdot_m (proj-psi * proj-psi)$
using proj-psi-dim **by** auto
also have $\dots = 4 \cdot_m proj-psi$ **using** proj-psi-is-projection **by** auto
finally have sq: $(2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) = 4 \cdot_m proj-psi$.
have l: $(2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) = 4 \cdot_m proj-psi - (2 \cdot_m$
 $proj-psi)$
apply (subst mult-minus-distrib-mat) **using** proj-psi-dim sq **by** auto

have $(2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) * adjoint (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$
 $= (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N)$ **using** eq **by** auto
also have $\dots = (2 \cdot_m proj-psi) * (2 \cdot_m proj-psi - 1_m N) - 2 \cdot_m proj-psi +$
 $1_m N$
apply (subst minus-mult-distrib-mat[of - N N]) **using** proj-psi-dim **by** auto

also have $\dots = 4 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi}) - 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} + 1_m N$
 using l by *auto*
 also have $\dots = 1_m N$ using *proj-psi-dim* by *auto*
 finally have $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) * \text{adjoint } (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) = 1_m N$.
 then show *?thesis* unfolding *unitary-def* *inverts-mat-def* using *proj-psi-dim*
 by *auto*
 qed

lemma *proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi'-l*:

$(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l = \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1)$

proof –

have *eq1*: $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l = 2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l - \text{psi}'-l \ l$

apply (*subst minus-mult-distrib-mat-vec*)

using *psi'-l-dim* *proj-psi'-dim* *proj-psi-dim* by *auto*

have *eq2*: $2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = 2 \cdot_v (\text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l))$

apply (*subst smult-mat-mult-mat-vec-assoc*)

using *proj-psi-dim* *psi'-l-dim* by *auto*

have $\text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = \text{inner-prod } \psi \ (\text{psi}'-l \ l) \cdot_v \psi$

unfolding *proj-psi-def*

apply (*subst outer-prod-mult-vec*[*of* - $N - N$])

using ψ -*dim* *psi'-l-dim* by *auto*

also have $\dots = ((\alpha-l \ l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\beta-l \ l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi$

using *psi-inner-psi'-l* by *auto*

finally have $\text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = ((\alpha-l \ l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\beta-l \ l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi$ by *auto*

then have *eq3*: $2 \cdot_v (\text{proj-psi} *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l)) = 2 * ((\alpha-l \ l) * \text{ccos } (\vartheta / 2) - (\beta-l \ l) * \text{csin } (\vartheta / 2)) \cdot_v \psi$ by *auto*

then show $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) *_v (\text{psi}'-l \ l) = \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1)$

using *eq1* *eq2* *eq3* *psi-l-Suc-l-derive* by *simp*

qed

lemma *proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi-Suc-l*:

$(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1) = \text{psi}'-l \ l$

proof –

have *id*: $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) = 1_m N$

using *unitary-proj-psi-minus-1* unfolding *unitary-def* *hermitian-proj-psi-minus-1* [*simplified hermitian-def*]

unfolding *inverts-mat-def* by *auto*

have $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}-l \ (l + 1) = (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l)$

using *proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi'-l* by *auto*

also have $\dots = ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - 1_m N) *_v \text{psi}'-l \ l)$

apply (*subst assoc-mult-mat-vec*) using *proj-psi-dim* *psi'-l-dim* by *auto*

also have $\dots = \text{psi}'-l \ l$ using *psi'-l-dim* *id* by *auto*

finally show *?thesis* by *auto*

qed

lemma *exproj-psi-minus-1-tensor*:
 $(2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K)) - 1_m d = \text{tensor-}P (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N))$
 $(1_m K)$
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-id[symmetric, simplified ps-P-d]*)
apply (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*)
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-scale1[symmetric]*)
apply (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-psi-dim*)
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-minus1*)
by (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-psi-dim*)

lemma *unitary-exproj-psi-minus-1*:
 $\text{unitary } (2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d)$
unfolding *exproj-psi-minus-1-tensor*
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary*)
using *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 unitary-proj-psi-minus-1 unitary-one* **by** *auto*

lemma *proj-psi-minus-1-Q2*:
 $\text{adjoint } (2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d) * Q2 * (2 \cdot_m \text{tensor-}P \text{proj-psi } (1_m K) - 1_m d) = Q1$
proof –
have *eq1: adjoint (2 ·_m tensor-P proj-psi (1_m K) – 1_m d) = 2 ·_m tensor-P proj-psi (1_m K) – 1_m d*
apply (*subst adjoint-minus[of - d d]*)
subgoal using *tensor-P-dim[of proj-psi]* **by** *auto*
subgoal by *auto*
apply (*subst adjoint-one*) **apply** (*subst adjoint-scale*)
using *hermitian-exproj-psi[simplified hermitian-def]* **by** *auto*
let *?m1 = tensor-P (2 ·_m proj-psi – (1_m N)) (1_m K)*
{
fix *l*
let *?m2 = tensor-P (proj-psi-l (l + 1)) (proj-k l)*
have *l21: ?m1 * ?m2 * ?m1*
 $= \text{tensor-}P ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) * (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)))$
 $(\text{proj-k } l)$
apply (*subst tensor-P-left-right-partial1*)
using *proj-psi-dim proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim* **by** *auto*
have $(2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) * (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) * (2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N))$
 $= \text{outer-prod } ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) *_v (\text{psi-l } (l + 1))) ((2 \cdot_m \text{proj-psi} - (1_m N)) *_v (\text{psi-l } (l + 1)))$
unfolding *proj-psi-l-def* **apply** (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N]*)
using *proj-psi-dim psi-l-dim hermitian-proj-psi-minus-1[simplified hermitian-def]* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{outer-prod } (\text{psi}'\text{-l } l) (\text{psi}'\text{-l } l)$
using *proj-psi-minus-1-mult-psi-Suc-l* **by** *auto*

```

    finally have (2 ·m proj-psi - (1m N)) * (proj-psi-l (l + 1)) * (2 ·m proj-psi
- (1m N))
      = outer-prod (psi'-l l) (psi'-l l).
    then have ?m1 * ?m2 * ?m1 = tensor-P (proj-psi'-l l) (proj-k l)
      using 121 proj-psi'-l-def by auto
  }
  note p1 = this
  have adjoint (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d) * Q2 * (2 ·m tensor-P
proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d)
    = (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d) * Q2 * (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi
(1m K) - 1m d)
    using eq1 by auto
  also have ... = matrix-sum d
    (λl. (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d) * tensor-P (proj-psi-l (l + 1))
(proj-k l) * (2 ·m tensor-P proj-psi (1m K) - 1m d))
    R unfolding Q2-def apply (subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right)
    using tensor-P-dim by auto
  also have ... = matrix-sum d (λl. tensor-P (proj-psi'-l l) (proj-k l)) R
    using p1 exproj-psi-minus-1-tensor by auto
  also have ... = Q1 unfolding Q1-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis using eq1 by auto
qed

```

```

lemma qp-Q1:
  is-quantum-predicate Q1
  unfolding proj-psi-minus-1-Q2[symmetric]
  apply (subst qp-close-under-unitary-operator)
  using tensor-P-dim unitary-exproj-psi-minus-1 qp-Q2 by auto

```

```

lemma qp-Q:
  is-quantum-predicate Q
  proof -
    have u: unitary (tensor-P mat-O (1m K))
      unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
      apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-unitary)
      subgoal unfolding ps-P-d1 mat-O-def by auto
      subgoal unfolding ps-P-d2 by auto
      subgoal using unitary-mat-O by auto
      using unitary-one by auto
    then show ?thesis using tensor-P-dim qp-Q1
      using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[OF tensor-P-dim u qp-Q1]
      by (simp add: mat-O-times-Q1 )
  qed

```

```

lemma hoare-triple-D1:
  ⊢p
  {Q}
  Utrans-P vars1 mat-O
  {Q1}

```

```

unfolding Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1
  mat-O-times-Q1 [symmetric]
apply (subst hoare-partial.intros(2))
using qp-Q1 by auto

lemma hoare-triple-D2:
   $\vdash_p$ 
  {Q1}
  hadamard-n n ;;
  Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph ;;
  hadamard-n n
  {Q2}
proof –
  let ?H = exexH-k (n – 1)
  let ?Ph = tensor-P mat-Ph (1m K)
  let ?O = tensor-P mat-O (1m K)
  have h1:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H}
    hadamard-n n
    {Q2}
  using hoare-hadamard-n[OF qp-Q2, of n – 1] n by auto
  have qp1: is-quantum-predicate ((adjoint ?H) * Q2 * ?H)
    using qp-close-under-unitary-operator unitary-exexH-k n exexH-k-dim qp-Q2
by auto
  then have h2:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph}
    Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph
    {adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H}
  using qp1 Utrans-P-is-tensor-P1 hoare-partial.intros by auto
  have qp2: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph)
    using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[of tensor-P mat-Ph (1m K)] ps2-P.ptensor-mat-carrier
ps2-P-d0 unitary-ex-mat-Ph qp1 by auto
  then have h3:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H}
    hadamard-n n
    {adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph}
  using hoare-hadamard-n[OF qp2, of n – 1] n by auto
  have qp3: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2
  * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H)
    using qp-close-under-unitary-operator[of ?H] exexH-k-dim unitary-exexH-k qp2
n by auto
  have h4:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H}
    hadamard-n n ;;
    Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph
    {adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H}
  using h2 h3 qp1 qp2 qp3 hoare-partial.intros by auto
  then have h5:  $\vdash_p$ 
    {adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H}

```

```

    hadamard-n n ;;
    Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph ;;
    hadamard-n n
    {Q2}
    using h1 qp-Q2 qp3 qp1 hoare-partial.intros(3)[OF qp3 qp1 qp-Q2 h4 h1] by
auto

```

```

    have adjoint ?H * (adjoint ?Ph * (adjoint ?H * Q2 * ?H) * ?Ph) * ?H =
      adjoint (?H * ?Ph * ?H) * Q2 * (?H * ?Ph * ?H)
    apply (mat-assoc d) using exH-k-dim n tensor-P-dim Q2-dim by auto
    also have ... = Q1 using H-Ph-H proj-psi-minus-1-Q2 by auto
    finally show ?thesis using h5 by auto
qed

```

definition *exM0* where
 $exM0 = \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ M0$

lemma *M0-mult-ket-k-R*:
 $M0 *_v \text{ket-k } R = \text{ket-k } R$
apply (rule eq-vecI)
unfolding *M0-def ket-k-def*
by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma *exP0-P'*:
 $\text{adjoint } exM0 * P' * exM0 = P'$
proof –
 have eq: $\text{adjoint } exM0 = exM0$
 unfolding *exM0-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (subst *ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
 unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* using *M0-dim adjoint-one hermitian-M0[unfolded*
hermitian-def] **by** auto
 have eq2: $M0 * (\text{proj-k } R) * M0 = (\text{proj-k } R)$
 unfolding *proj-k-def*
 apply (subst *outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - K - K - K - K]*)
 unfolding *hermitian-M0[unfolded hermitian-def]* *M0-mult-ket-k-R*
 using *ket-k-dim M0-dim* **by** auto
 show ?thesis unfolding eq unfolding *exM0-def P'-def*
 apply (subst *tensor-P-left-right-partial2*)
 using *M0-dim proj-k-dim eq2 proj-psi-l-dim* **by** auto
qed

definition *exM1* where
 $exM1 = \text{tensor-}P \ (1_m \ N) \ M1$

lemma *M1-mult-ket-k*:
 assumes $k < R$
 shows $M1 *_v \text{ket-k } k = \text{ket-k } k$
apply (rule eq-vecI)
unfolding *M1-def ket-k-def*

```

    by (auto simp add: scalar-prod-def assms R sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma exP1-Q:
  adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1 = Q
proof -
  have eq: adjoint exM1 = exM1
    unfolding exM1-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint)
    unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using M1-dim adjoint-one hermitian-M1[unfolded
hermitian-def] by auto
  {
    fix k assume k: k < R
    let ?m = tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (proj-k k)
    have exM1 * ?m * exM1 = tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (M1 * (proj-k k) * M1)
      unfolding exM1-def apply (subst tensor-P-left-right-partial2)
      using M1-dim proj-k-dim proj-psi-l-dim by auto
    also have ... = tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (outer-prod (M1 *_v ket-k k) (M1 *_v
ket-k k))
      unfolding proj-k-def apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - K - K - K -
K])
      unfolding hermitian-M1[unfolded hermitian-def]
      using ket-k-dim M1-dim by auto
    finally have exM1 * ?m * exM1 = ?m unfolding proj-k-def using k M1-mult-ket-k
by auto
  }
  note p1 = this
  have adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1 = exM1 * Q * exM1 using eq by auto
  also have ... = matrix-sum d (λk. exM1 * (tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (proj-k k))
* exM1) R
    unfolding Q-def
    apply (subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right)
    using tensor-P-dim exM1-def by auto
  also have ... = matrix-sum d (λk. tensor-P (proj-psi-l k) (proj-k k)) R
    apply (subst matrix-sum-cong)
    using p1 by auto
  finally show ?thesis using Q-def by auto
qed

lemma qp-P':
  is-quantum-predicate P'
  unfolding is-quantum-predicate-def
proof (intro conjI)
  show P' ∈ carrier-mat d d unfolding P'-def using tensor-P-dim by auto
  show positive P' unfolding P'-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive)
    apply (auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-O-dim proj-k-dim)
    using proj-psi-l-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k K by auto
  show P' ≤L 1m d unfolding P'-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-le-one[simplified ps-P-d])

```

by (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 proj-psi-l-dim K proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k proj-k-le-one psi-l-le-id*)

qed

lemma *P'-add-Q*:

$P' + Q = \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } l) \ (\text{proj-k } l)) \ (R + 1)$

apply *simp unfolding P'-def Q-def by auto*

lemma *positive-Qk*:

positive (*tensor-P* (*proj-psi-l* *l*) (*proj-k* *l*))

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)

unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2*

using *proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k by auto*

lemma *P'-Q-dim*:

$P' + Q \in \text{carrier-mat } d \ d$

unfolding *P'-add-Q*

apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*)

using *tensor-P-dim by auto*

lemma *P'-add-Q-le-one*:

$P' + Q \leq_L 1_m \ d$

proof –

have *leq: matrix-sum d* ($\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } l) \ (\text{proj-k } l)) \ (R + 1)$

$\leq_L \text{matrix-sum } d \ (\lambda k. \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ (R + 1)$

unfolding *Q2-def*

apply (*subst lower-le-matrix-sum*)

subgoal using *tensor-P-dim by auto*

subgoal using *tensor-P-dim by auto*

using *proj-psi-proj-k-le-exproj-k by auto*

have *matrix-sum d* ($\lambda k. \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-k } k)) \ (R + 1)$

$= \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ (\text{matrix-sum } K \ \text{proj-k } (R + 1))$

unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-matrix-sum2[simplified ps-P-d ps-P-d2]*)

subgoal using *ps-P-d1 by auto*

using *proj-k-dim by auto*

also have $\dots = \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ (\text{proj-fst-k } (R + 1))$ **using** *sum-proj-k[of R + 1] K by auto*

also have $\dots \leq_L \text{tensor-P } (1_m \ N) \ (1_m \ K)$ **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)

subgoal using *ps-P-d1 by auto*

subgoal using *ps-P-d2 proj-fst-k-def by auto*

subgoal using *positive-one by auto*

subgoal using *positive-proj-fst-k by auto*

subgoal using *lower-le-refl[of 1_m N N] by auto*

using *proj-fst-k-le-one by auto*

also have $\dots = 1_m \ d$ **unfolding** *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*

using *ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 ps-P-d by auto*

finally have $leq2$: $matrix\text{-}sum\ d\ (\lambda k. tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (proj\text{-}k\ k))\ (R + 1) \leq_L$
 $1_m\ d$ **by** *auto*
have ds : $matrix\text{-}sum\ d\ (\lambda k. tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (proj\text{-}k\ k))\ (R + 1) \in carrier\text{-}mat$
 $d\ d$
apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
then show *?thesis*
using $leq\ leq2\ lower\text{-}le\text{-}trans[OF\ P'\text{-}Q\text{-}dim\ ds,\ of\ 1_m\ d]$ **unfolding** $P'\text{-}add\text{-}Q$
by *auto*
qed

lemma $qp\text{-}P'\text{-}Q$:
is-quantum-predicate ($P' + Q$)
unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def*
proof (*intro conjI*)
show $P' + Q \in carrier\text{-}mat\ d\ d$
unfolding $P'\text{-}add\text{-}Q$ **apply** (*subst matrix-sum-dim*)
using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
show *positive* ($P' + Q$) **unfolding** $P'\text{-}add\text{-}Q$
apply (*subst matrix-sum-positive*)
using *tensor-P-dim positive-Qk* **by** *auto*
show $P' + Q \leq_L 1_m\ d$ **using** $P'\text{-}add\text{-}Q\text{-}le\text{-}one$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma $Q2\text{-}leq\text{-}lemma$:
 $tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K) * Q2 * adjoint\ (tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K))$
 $\leq_L P' + Q$
proof –
have ad : $adjoint\ (tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K)) = tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (adjoint\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K))$
unfolding $ps2\text{-}P.ptensor\text{-}mat\text{-}def$ **apply** (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
using $ps\text{-}P\text{-}d1\ ps\text{-}P\text{-}d2\ mat\text{-}incr\text{-}dim\ adjoint\text{-}one$ **by** *auto*
let $?m1 = tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K)$
let $?m3 = tensor\text{-}P\ (1_m\ N)\ (adjoint\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K))$
{
fix l **assume** $l < R$
then have $l < K - 1$ **using** K **by** *auto*
then have m : $(mat\text{-}incr\ K) *_v (ket\text{-}k\ l) = (ket\text{-}k\ (l + 1))$
using *mat-incr-mult-ket-k* **by** *auto*
let $?m2 = tensor\text{-}P\ (proj\text{-}psi\text{-}l\ (l + 1))\ (proj\text{-}k\ l)$
have eq : $?m1 * ?m2 * ?m3 = tensor\text{-}P\ (proj\text{-}psi\text{-}l\ (l + 1))\ ((mat\text{-}incr\ K) * (proj\text{-}k\ l) * adjoint\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K))$
apply (*subst tensor-P-left-right-partial2*)
using $proj\text{-}k\text{-}dim\ proj\text{-}psi\text{-}l\text{-}dim\ mat\text{-}incr\text{-}dim\ adjoint\text{-}dim[OF\ mat\text{-}incr\text{-}dim]$
by *auto*
have $(mat\text{-}incr\ K) * (proj\text{-}k\ l) * adjoint\ (mat\text{-}incr\ K) = outer\text{-}prod\ ((mat\text{-}incr\ K) *_v (ket\text{-}k\ l))\ ((mat\text{-}incr\ K) *_v (ket\text{-}k\ l))$
unfolding *proj-k-def* **apply** (*subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - K - K - K - K]*)
using $ket\text{-}k\text{-}dim\ mat\text{-}incr\text{-}dim\ adjoint\text{-}dim[OF\ mat\text{-}incr\text{-}dim]\ adjoint\text{-}adjoint[of$

$\text{mat-incr } K]$ **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = \text{proj-k } (l + 1)$ **unfolding** *proj-k-def* **using** *m* **by** *auto*
 finally have $?m1 * ?m2 * ?m3 = \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1))$ **using** *eq* **by** *auto*
 }
 note *p1 = this*
 have $?m1 * Q2 * ?m3$
 $= \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. ?m1 * (\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } l)) * ?m3) R$
unfolding *Q2-def* **apply**(*subst matrix-sum-mult-left-right*)
using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
 also have $\dots = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1)))$
R
apply (*subst matrix-sum-cong*) **using** *p1* **by** *auto*
 finally have *eq1*: $?m1 * Q2 * ?m3 = \text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1))) R$ (**is** $= ?r$) .
 have *eq2*: $P' + Q = \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0) + ?r$
unfolding *P'-add-Q*
apply (*subst matrix-sum-Suc-remove-head*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
 have $\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0) + ?r \leq_L P' + Q$
unfolding *eq2[symmetric]* **apply** (*subst lowner-le-refl*) **using** *P'-Q-dim* **by** *auto*
 moreover have *positive* ($\text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } 0) (\text{proj-k } 0)$)
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def* **apply** (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **using** *proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l positive-proj-k* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\text{matrix-sum } d (\lambda l. \text{tensor-P } (\text{proj-psi-l } (l + 1)) (\text{proj-k } (l + 1)))$
 $R \in \text{carrier-mat } d$
apply (*subst matrix-sum-dim*) **using** *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
 ultimately have $?r \leq_L P' + Q$
apply (*subst add-positive-le-reduce2[of ?r d tensor-P (proj-psi-l 0) (proj-k 0) P' + Q]*)
using *tensor-P-dim P'-Q-dim* **by** *auto*
 then show *?thesis* **using** *eq1 ad* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *Q2-leq*:

$Q2 \leq_L \text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{mat-incr } K)) * (P' + Q) * \text{tensor-P } (1_m N)$
 $(\text{mat-incr } K)$

proof –

let $?m1 = \text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{mat-incr } K)$
 let $?m2 = \text{adjoint } (\text{tensor-P } (1_m N) (\text{mat-incr } K))$
 have $?m1 * ?m2 = 1_m d$
unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-adjoint*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **apply** (*auto simp add: mat-incr-dim adjoint-one*)
apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-mult[symmetric]*)
unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **apply** (*auto simp add: mat-incr-dim adjoint-dim mat-incr-mult-adjoint-mat-incr*)
using *ps-P.tensor-mat-id ps-P-d ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **by** *auto*

```

then have inv: ?m2 * ?m1 = 1m d
  using mat-mult-left-right-inverse[of ?m1 d ?m2]
    tensor-P-dim adjoint-dim by auto
have d: ?m1 * Q2 * ?m2 ∈ carrier-mat d d using tensor-P-dim adjoint-dim[OF
tensor-P-dim] Q2-dim by fastforce
have le: ?m2 * (?m1 * Q2 * ?m2) * ?m1 ≤L ?m2 * (P' + Q) * ?m1 (is
lower-le ?l ?r)
  apply (subst lower-le-keep-under-measurement[of - d])
  using Q2-leq-lemma tensor-P-dim P'-Q-dim d by auto
have ?l = (?m2 * ?m1) * Q2 * (?m2 * ?m1)
  apply (mat-assoc d) using tensor-P-dim Q2-dim by auto
also have ... = 1m d * Q2 * 1m d using inv by auto
also have ... = Q2 using Q2-dim by auto
finally have eq: ?l = Q2.
show ?thesis using eq le by auto
qed

```

lemma *hoare-triple-D3*:

```

  ⊢p
  {Q2}
  Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K)
  {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
  unfolding exP0-P' exP1-Q
proof –
  let ?m = tensor-P (1m N) (mat-incr K)
  have h1: ⊢p
    {adjoint ?m * (P' + Q) * ?m}
    Utrans ?m
    {P' + Q}
  using qp-P'-Q hoare-partial.intros by auto
  have qp: is-quantum-predicate (adjoint ?m * (P' + Q) * ?m)
  using qp-close-under-unitary-operator tensor-P-dim qp-P'-Q unitary-exmat-incr
by auto
  then have ⊢p
    {Q2}
    Utrans ?m
    {P' + Q}
  using hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qp-Q2 qp-P'-Q qp qp-P'-Q] Q2-leq h1 lower-le-refl[OF
P'-Q-dim] by auto
  moreover have Utrans ?m = Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K)
  apply (subst Utrans-P-is-tensor-P2) unfolding mat-incr-def by auto
  ultimately show ⊢p {Q2} Utrans-P vars2 (mat-incr K) {P' + Q} by auto
qed

```

lemma *qp-D3-post*:

```

is-quantum-predicate (adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1)
  unfolding exP0-P' exP1-Q using qp-P'-Q by auto

```

lemma *hoare-triple-D*:

$\vdash_p$
 $\{Q\}$
 D
 $\{adjoint\ exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint\ exM1 * Q * exM1\}$
proof –
have $\vdash_p \{Q1\}$ *hadamard-n n;; (Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n) {Q2}*
using *well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-Ph hoare-triple-D2 qp-Q1 qp-Q2 by*
(auto simp add: hoare-patial-seq-assoc)
then have $\vdash_p \{Q\}$ *Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; (hadamard-n n;; (Utrans-P vars1*
mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n)) {Q2}
using *hoare-triple-D1 qp-Q qp-Q1 qp-Q2 hoare-partial.intros(3) by auto*
moreover have *well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n) using well-com-hadamard-n*
well-com-mat-Ph by auto
ultimately have $\vdash_p \{Q\}$ *(Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; hadamard-n n;; (Utrans-P*
vars1 mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n) {Q2}
using *well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-O qp-Q qp-Q2 by (auto simp add:*
hoare-patial-seq-assoc)
moreover have *well-com (Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; hadamard-n n)*
using *well-com-mat-O well-com-hadamard-n by auto*
ultimately have $\vdash_p \{Q\}$ *Utrans-P vars1 mat-O;; hadamard-n n;; Utrans-P vars1*
mat-Ph;; hadamard-n n {Q2}
using *well-com-hadamard-n well-com-mat-Ph qp-Q qp-Q2 by (auto simp add:*
hoare-patial-seq-assoc)
with *qp-Q qp-Q2 qp-D3-post hoare-triple-D3 show* $\vdash_p$
 $\{Q\}$
 D
 $\{adjoint\ exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint\ exM1 * Q * exM1\}$
unfolding *D-def using hoare-partial.intros(3) by auto*
qed

lemma *psi-is-psi-l0:*
 $\psi = psi-l\ 0$
unfolding *psi-eq psi-l-def alpha-l-def beta-l-def by auto*

lemma *proj-psi-is-proj-psi-l0:*
 $proj-psi = proj-psi-l\ 0$
unfolding *proj-psi-def psi-is-psi-l0 proj-psi-l-def by auto*

lemma *lowner-le-Q:*
 $tensor-P\ proj-psi\ (proj-k\ 0) \leq_L adjoint\ exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint\ exM1 * Q$
 $*\ exM1$
proof –
let $?r = matrix-sum\ d\ (\lambda l. tensor-P\ (proj-psi-l\ l)\ (proj-k\ l))\ (R + 1)$
let $?l = tensor-P\ (proj-psi-l\ 0)\ (proj-k\ 0)$
have *eq: ?r = ?l + matrix-sum d (\lambda l. tensor-P (proj-psi-l (l + 1)) (proj-k (l + 1))) R (is - = - + ?s)*
apply *(subst matrix-sum-Suc-remove-head)*
using *tensor-P-dim by auto*
have *d: ?s ∈ carrier-mat d d*

```

    apply (subst matrix-sum-dim) using tensor-P-dim by auto
  have pt: positive (tensor-P (proj-psi-l l) (proj-k l)) for l
    unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive)
    unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 using proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim positive-proj-psi-l
positive-proj-k by auto
  have ps: positive ?s
    apply (subst matrix-sum-positive)
  subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
  using pt by auto
  have ?l ≤L ?r
    unfolding eq
  apply (subst add-positive-le-reduce1[of ?l d ?s])
  subgoal using tensor-P-dim by auto
  subgoal using d by auto
  subgoal using tensor-P-dim d by auto
  subgoal using ps by auto
    apply (subst lowner-le-refl[of - d])
  using tensor-P-dim d by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding exP0-P' exP1-Q P'-add-Q proj-psi-is-proj-psi-l0
by auto
qed

```

lemma *hoare-triple-while*:

```

  ⊢p
  {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
  While-P vars2 M0 M1 D
  {P'}
proof –
  let ?m = λ(n::nat). if n = 0 then mat-extension dims vars2 M0 else
    if n = 1 then mat-extension dims vars2 M1 else undefined
  have dM0: M0 ∈ carrier-mat K K unfolding M0-def by auto
  have dM1: M1 ∈ carrier-mat K K unfolding M1-def by auto
  have m0: ?m 0 = exM0 apply (simp) unfolding exM0-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
mat-ext-vars2[OF dM0] by auto
  have m1: ?m 1 = exM1 unfolding exM1-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def mat-ext-vars2[OF
dM1] by auto
  have ⊢p {Q} D {adjoint (?m 0) * P' * (?m 0) + adjoint (?m 1) * Q * (?m 1)}
    using hoare-triple-D m0 m1 by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding While-P-def using qp-D3-post qp-P' hoare-partial.intros(5)[OF
qp-P' qp-Q, of D ?m] m0 m1 by auto
qed

```

lemma *R-and-a-half-ϑ*:

```

(R + 1/2) * ϑ = pi / 2
using R ϑ-neq-0 by auto

```

lemma *psi-lR-is-beta*:

```

psi-l R = β
unfolding psi-l-def alpha-l-def beta-l-def R-and-a-half-ϑ by auto

```

```

lemma post-mult-beta:
  post *v  $\beta$  =  $\beta$ 
  by (auto simp add: post-def  $\beta$ -def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma post-mult-post:
  post * post = post
  by (auto simp add: post-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma post-mult-proj-psi-lR:
  post * proj-psi-l R = proj-psi-l R
proof –
  let ?R = proj-psi-l R
  have post * ?R = post * ?R * 1m N
    using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R] by auto
  also have ... = outer-prod (post *v psi-l R) ((1m N) *v psi-l R)
    unfolding proj-psi-l-def
    apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N])
    by (auto simp add: psi-l-dim post-dim adjoint-one)
  also have ... = ?R unfolding proj-psi-l-def unfolding psi-lR-is-beta unfolding
post-mult-beta
    using  $\beta$ -dim by auto
  finally show post * ?R = ?R.
qed

lemma proj-psi-lR-mult-post:
  proj-psi-l R * post = proj-psi-l R
proof –
  let ?R = proj-psi-l R
  have ?R * post = 1m N * ?R * post
    using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R] by auto
  also have ... = outer-prod ((1m N) *v psi-l R) (post *v psi-l R)
    unfolding proj-psi-l-def
    apply (subst outer-prod-left-right-mat[of - N - N - N - N])
    by (auto simp add: psi-l-dim post-dim hermitian-post[unfolded hermitian-def])
  also have ... = ?R unfolding proj-psi-l-def unfolding psi-lR-is-beta unfolding
post-mult-beta
    using  $\beta$ -dim by auto
  finally show ?R * post = ?R.
qed

lemma proj-psi-lR-mult-proj-psi-lR:
  proj-psi-l R * proj-psi-l R = proj-psi-l R
  unfolding proj-psi-l-def psi-lR-is-beta
  apply (subst outer-prod-mult-outer-prod[of - N - N - - N])
  by (auto simp add:  $\beta$ -inner)

lemma proj-psi-lR-le-post:
  proj-psi-l R ≤L post

```

```

proof –
  let ?R = proj-psi-l R
  let ?s = post – ?R
  have eq1: post * (post – ?R) = post – ?R
    apply (subst mult-minus-distrib-mat[of - N N - N])
    apply (auto simp add: post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R])
    using post-mult-post post-mult-proj-psi-lR by auto
  have eq2: ?R * (post – ?R) = 0m N N
    apply (subst mult-minus-distrib-mat[of - N N - N])
    apply (auto simp add: post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R])
    unfolding proj-psi-lR-mult-post proj-psi-lR-mult-proj-psi-lR
    using proj-psi-l-dim[of R] by auto
  have adjoint ?s = ?s
    apply (subst adjoint-minus[of - N N])
    using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim hermitian-post hermitian-proj-psi-l K by (auto
    simp add: hermitian-def)
  then have ?s * adjoint ?s = ?s * ?s by auto
  also have ... = post * (post – ?R) – ?R * (post – ?R)
    using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R] by (mat-assoc N)
  also have ... = post – ?R
    unfolding eq1 eq2 using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R] by auto
  finally have ?s * adjoint ?s = ?s.
  then have ∃ M. M * adjoint M = ?s by auto
  then have positive ?s apply (subst positive-if-decomp[of ?s N]) using post-dim
  proj-psi-l-dim[of R] by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding lower-le-def using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R]
  by auto
qed

```

lemma P'-le-post-R:

$$P' \leq_L (\text{tensor-}P \text{ post } (\text{proj-k } R))$$

```

proof –
  let ?r = tensor-P post (proj-k R)
  have ?r – P' = tensor-P (post – proj-psi-l R) (proj-k R)
    unfolding P'-def ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-minus1)
    unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2
    using post-dim proj-psi-l-dim proj-k-dim by auto
  moreover have positive (tensor-P (post – proj-psi-l R) (proj-k R))
    unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive)
    unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2
    using proj-psi-lR-le-post[unfolded lower-le-def]
    post-dim proj-psi-l-dim[of R] proj-k-dim positive-proj-k
    by auto
  ultimately show P' ≤L ?r
    unfolding lower-le-def P'-def
    using tensor-P-dim by auto
qed

```

```

lemma positive-post:
  positive post
proof -
  have ad: adjoint post = post using hermitian-post[unfolded hermitian-def] by
  auto
  then have post * adjoint post = post
    unfolding ad post-mult-post by auto
  then have  $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = \text{post}$  by auto
  then show ?thesis using positive-if-decomp post-dim by auto
qed

lemma lowner-le-P':
   $P' \leq_L \text{tensor-}P \text{ post } (1_m \ K)$ 
proof -
  let ?r = tensor-P post (1_m K)
  let ?m = tensor-P post (proj-k R)
  have ?m  $\leq_L$  ?r
    unfolding ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def
    apply (subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le)
    unfolding ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2
    using post-dim proj-k-dim positive-post positive-proj-k
      lowner-le-refl[of post] proj-k-le-one by auto
  then show  $P' \leq_L ?r$ 
    using lowner-le-trans[of P' d ?m ?r] P'-le-post-R
    unfolding P'-def using tensor-P-dim by auto
qed

lemma post-mult-testNk:
  assumes f k
  shows post * (testN k) = testN k
  using assms by (auto simp add: post-def testN-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma post-mult-testNk-neg:
  assumes  $\neg f \ k$ 
  shows post * testN k = 0_m N N
  using assms by (auto simp add: post-def testN-def scalar-prod-def sum-only-one-neq-0)

lemma testN-post1:
  f k  $\implies$  adjoint (testN k) * post * testN k = testN k
  apply (subst assoc-mult-mat[of - N N - N - N])
  apply (auto simp add: adjoint-dim testN-dim post-dim)
  apply (subst post-mult-testNk, simp)
  unfolding hermitian-testN[unfolded hermitian-def]
  using testN-mult-testN by auto

lemma testN-post2:
   $\neg f \ k \implies$  adjoint (testN k) * post * testN k = 0_m N N
  apply (subst assoc-mult-mat[of - N N - N - N])

```

```

    apply (auto simp add: adjoint-dim testN-dim post-dim)
  apply (subst post-mult-testNk-neg, simp)
  unfolding hermitian-testN[unfolded hermitian-def]
  using testN-dim[of k] by auto

definition post-fst-k :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  complex mat where
  post-fst-k k = mat N N ( $\lambda(i, j).$  if ( $i = j \wedge f\ i \wedge i < k$ ) then 1 else 0)

lemma post-fst-kN:
  post-fst-k N = post
  unfolding post-fst-k-def post-def by auto

lemma post-fst-k-Suc:
   $f\ i \implies \text{post-fst-k}\ (\text{Suc}\ i) = \text{testN}\ i + \text{post-fst-k}\ i$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  unfolding post-fst-k-def testN-def by auto

lemma post-fst-k-Suc-neg:
   $\neg f\ i \implies \text{post-fst-k}\ (\text{Suc}\ i) = \text{post-fst-k}\ i$ 
  apply (rule eq-matI)
  unfolding post-fst-k-def
  apply auto
  using less-antisym by fastforce

lemma testN-sum:
  matrix-sum N ( $\lambda k.$  adjoint (testN k) * post * testN k) N = post
proof -
  have  $m \leq N \implies \text{matrix-sum}\ N\ (\lambda k. \text{adjoint}\ (\text{testN}\ k) * \text{post} * \text{testN}\ k)\ m =$ 
  post-fst-k m for m
  proof (induct m)
  case 0
  then show ?case apply simp unfolding post-fst-k-def by auto
  next
  case (Suc m)
  then have  $m: m \leq N$  by auto
  show ?case
  proof (cases f m)
  case True
  show ?thesis apply simp
  apply (subst testN-post1[OF True])
  apply (subst Suc(1)[OF m])
  using post-fst-k-Suc True by auto
  next
  case False
  show ?thesis apply simp
  apply (subst testN-post2[OF False])
  apply (subst Suc(1)[OF m])
  using post-fst-k-Suc-neg False post-fst-k-def by auto
  qed

```

qed
 then show ?thesis using post-fst-kN by auto
 qed

lemma tensor-P-testN-sum:

matrix-sum d ($\lambda k.$ adjoint (tensor-P (testN k) (1_m K)) * tensor-P post (1_m K))
 * tensor-P (testN k) (1_m K)) N =
 tensor-P post (1_m K)

proof –

have eq: adjoint (tensor-P (testN k) (1_m K)) * tensor-P post (1_m K) * tensor-P
 (testN k) (1_m K) =

tensor-P (adjoint (testN k) * post * (testN k)) (1_m K) for k

apply (subst tensor-P-adjoint-left-right)

subgoal unfolding testN-def by auto

subgoal by auto

subgoal using post-dim by auto

using adjoint-one by auto

moreover have matrix-sum N ($\lambda k.$ adjoint (testN k) * post * testN k) N = post

using testN-sum by auto

show ?thesis unfolding eq

apply (subst matrix-sum-tensor-P1)

subgoal unfolding testN-def by auto

subgoal by auto

using testN-sum by auto

qed

lemma post-le-one:

post $\leq_L$ 1_m N

proof –

let ?s = 1_m N - post

have eq1: 1_m N * (1_m N - post) = 1_m N - post

apply (mat-assoc N) using post-dim by auto

have eq2: post * (1_m N - post) = 0_m N N

apply (subst mult-minus-distrib-mat[of - N N])

using post-dim by (auto simp add: post-mult-post)

have adjoint ?s = ?s

apply (subst adjoint-minus)

apply (auto simp add: post-dim adjoint-dim)

using adjoint-one hermitian-post[unfolded hermitian-def] by auto

then have ?s * adjoint ?s = ?s * ?s by auto

also have ... = 1_m N * (1_m N - post) - post * (1_m N - post)

apply (mat-assoc N) using post-dim by auto

also have ... = ?s unfolding eq1 eq2 using post-dim by auto

finally have ?s * adjoint ?s = ?s.

then have $\exists M. M * \text{adjoint } M = ?s$ by auto

then have positive ?s apply (subst positive-if-decomp[of ?s N]) using post-dim
 by auto

then show ?thesis unfolding lowner-le-def using post-dim by auto

qed

lemma *qp-post*:

is-quantum-predicate (*tensor-P post* ($1_m K$))
unfolding *is-quantum-predicate-def*
proof (*intro conjI*)
 show *tensor-P post* ($1_m K$) $\in$ *carrier-mat d d*
 using *tensor-P-dim* **by** *auto*
 show *positive* (*tensor-P post* ($1_m K$))
 unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive*)
 by (*auto simp add: ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2 post-dim positive-post positive-one*)
 show *tensor-P post* ($1_m K$) $\leq_L 1_m d$
 unfolding *ps-P.tensor-mat-id[symmetric, unfolded ps-P-d ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2]*
 unfolding *ps2-P.ptensor-mat-def*
 apply (*subst ps-P.tensor-mat-positive-le*)
 unfolding *ps-P-d1 ps-P-d2* **using** *post-dim positive-post positive-one post-le-one*
lower-le-refl[of 1_m K K]
by *auto*
 qed

lemma *hoare-triple-if*:

$\vdash_p$
 $\{ \text{tensor-P post } (1_m K) \}$
Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP)
 $\{ \text{tensor-P post } (1_m K) \}$
proof –
 define *M* **where** *M* = ($\lambda n. \text{mat-extension dims vars1 (testN n)}$)
 define *Post* **where** *Post* = ($\lambda(k::\text{nat}). \text{tensor-P post } (1_m K)$)
 have *M*: *M* = ($\lambda n. \text{tensor-P (testN n) } (1_m K)$)
 unfolding *M-def* **using** *mat-ext-vars1* **by** *auto*
 have *skip*: $\bigwedge k. k < N \implies (\text{replicate N SKIP}) ! k = \text{SKIP}$ **by** *simp*
 have *h*: $\bigwedge k. k < N \implies \vdash_p \{ \text{Post } k \} \text{ replicate N SKIP } ! k \{ \text{tensor-P post } (1_m K) \}$
 unfolding *Post-def skip* **using** *qp-post hoare-partial.intros* **by** *auto*
 moreover have $\bigwedge k. k < N \implies \text{is-quantum-predicate (Post } k)$ **unfolding** *Post-def*
using *qp-post* **by** *auto*
 ultimately show *?thesis*
 unfolding *Measure-P-def* **apply** (*fold M-def*)
using *hoare-partial.intros(4)[of N Post tensor-P post (1_m K) replicate N SKIP M]*
 unfolding *M Post-def* **using** *tensor-P-testN-sum qp-post* **by** *auto*
 qed

theorem *grover-partial-deduct*:

$\vdash_p$
 $\{ \text{tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)} \}$
Grover
 $\{ \text{tensor-P post } (1_m K) \}$

```

unfolding Grover-def
proof –
  have  $\vdash_p$ 
    {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
    hadamard-n n
    {adjoint exM0 * P' * exM0 + adjoint exM1 * Q * exM1}
    using hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qp-pre qp-D3-post qp-pre qp-init-post]
    hoare-triple-init lowner-le-refl[OF tensor-P-dim] lowner-le-Q by auto
  then have  $\vdash_p$ 
    {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
    hadamard-n n;
    While-P vars2 M0 M1 D
    {P'}
    using hoare-triple-while hoare-partial.intros(3) qp-pre qp-D3-post qp-P' by auto
  then have  $\vdash_p$ 
    {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
    hadamard-n n;
    While-P vars2 M0 M1 D
    {tensor-P post (1m K)}
    using lowner-le-P' hoare-partial.intros(6)[OF qp-pre qp-post qp-pre qp-P']
    lowner-le-P' lowner-le-refl[OF tensor-P-dim] by auto
  then show  $\vdash_p$ 
    {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
    hadamard-n n;
    While-P vars2 M0 M1 D;
    Measure-P vars1 N testN (replicate N SKIP)
    {tensor-P post (1m K)}
    using hoare-triple-if qp-pre qp-post hoare-partial.intros(3) by auto
qed

theorem grover-partial-correct:
   $\models_p$ 
    {tensor-P pre (proj-k 0)}
    Grover
    {tensor-P post (1m K)}
    using grover-partial-deduct well-com-Grover qp-pre qp-post hoare-partial-sound
by auto
end

end

```

References

- [1] M. Ying. Floyd–Hoare logic for quantum programs. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 33(6):19:1–19:49, 2011.