

Pseudo-hoops

George Georgescu and Laurențiu Leuştean and Viorel Preoteasa

January 20, 2021

Abstract

Pseudo-hoops are algebraic structures introduced in [1, 2] by B. Bosbach under the name of complementary semigroups. This is a formalization of the paper [4]. Following [4] we prove some properties of pseudo-hoops and we define the basic concepts of filter and normal filter. The lattice of normal filters is isomorphic with the lattice of congruences of a pseudo-hoop. We also study some important classes of pseudo-hoops. Bounded Wajsberg pseudo-hoops are equivalent to pseudo-Wajsberg algebras and bounded basic pseudo-hoops are equivalent to pseudo-BL algebras. Some examples of pseudo-hoops are given in the last section of the formalization.

Contents

1	Overview	1
2	Operations	2
3	Left Complemented Monoid	2
4	Right Complemented Monoid	4
5	Pseudo-Hoops	6
6	Filters and Congruences	17
7	Pseudo Wajsberg Algebra	25
8	Some Classes of Pseudo-Hoops	30
9	Examples of Pseudo-Hoops	38

1 Overview

Section 2 introduces some operations and their infix syntax. Section 3 and 4 introduces some facts about residuated and complemented monoids. Section

5 introduces the pseudo-hoops and some of their properties. Section 6 introduces filters and normal filters and proves that the lattice of normal filters and the lattice of congruences are isomorphic. Following [3], section 7 introduces pseudo-Waisberg algebras and some of their properties. In Section 8 we investigate some classes of pseudo-hoops. Finally section 9 presents some examples of pseudo-hoops and normal filters.

2 Operations

```

theory Operations
imports Main
begin

class left-imp =
  fixes imp-l :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixr l  $\rightarrow$  65)

class right-imp =
  fixes imp-r :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixr r  $\rightarrow$  65)

class left-uminus =
  fixes uminus-l :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (-l - [81] 80)

class right-uminus =
  fixes uminus-r :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (-r - [81] 80)

end

```

3 Left Complemented Monoid

```

theory LeftComplementedMonoid
  imports Operations LatticeProperties.Lattice-Prop
begin

class right-pordered-monoid-mult = order + monoid-mult +
  assumes mult-right-mono:  $a \leq b \implies a * c \leq b * c$ 

class one-greatest = one + ord +
  assumes one-greatest [simp]:  $a \leq 1$ 

class integral-right-pordered-monoid-mult = right-pordered-monoid-mult + one-greatest

class left-residuated = ord + times + left-imp +
  assumes left-residual:  $(x * a \leq b) = (x \leq a \mathit{l} \rightarrow b)$ 

class left-residuated-pordered-monoid = integral-right-pordered-monoid-mult + left-residuated

class left-inf = inf + times + left-imp +
  assumes inf-l-def:  $(a \sqcap b) = (a \mathit{l} \rightarrow b) * a$ 

```

```

class left-complemented-monoid = left-residuated-pordered-monoid + left-inf +
  assumes right-divisibility:  $(a \leq b) = (\exists c . a = c * b)$ 
begin
lemma lcm-D:  $a \rightarrow a = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

subclass semilattice-inf
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma left-one-inf [simp]:  $1 \sqcap a = a$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma left-one-impl [simp]:  $1 \rightarrow a = a$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma lcm-A:  $(a \rightarrow b) * a = (b \rightarrow a) * b$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma lcm-B:  $((a * b) \rightarrow c) = (a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c))$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

  lemma lcm-C:  $(a \leq b) = ((a \rightarrow b) = 1)$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

class less-def = ord +
  assumes less-def:  $(a < b) = ((a \leq b) \wedge \neg (b \leq a))$ 

class one-times = one + times +
  assumes one-left [simp]:  $1 * a = a$ 
  and one-right [simp]:  $a * 1 = a$ 

class left-complemented-monoid-algebra = left-imp + one-times + left-inf + less-def
+
  assumes left-impl-one [simp]:  $a \rightarrow a = 1$ 
  and left-impl-times:  $(a \rightarrow b) * a = (b \rightarrow a) * b$ 
  and left-impl-ded:  $((a * b) \rightarrow c) = (a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c))$ 
  and left-lesseq:  $(a \leq b) = ((a \rightarrow b) = 1)$ 
begin
lemma A:  $(1 \rightarrow a) \rightarrow 1 = 1$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

subclass order
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

lemma B: $(1 \text{ l}\rightarrow a) \leq 1$
<proof>

lemma C: $a \leq (1 \text{ l}\rightarrow a)$
<proof>

lemma D: $a \leq 1$
<proof>

lemma less-eq: $(a \leq b) = (\exists c . a = (c * b))$
<proof>

lemma F: $(a * b) * c \text{ l}\rightarrow z = a * (b * c) \text{ l}\rightarrow z$
<proof>

lemma associativity: $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c)$
<proof>

lemma H: $a * b \leq b$
<proof>

lemma I: $a * b \text{ l}\rightarrow b = 1$
<proof>

lemma K: $a \leq b \implies a * c \leq b * c$
<proof>

lemma L: $(x * a \leq b) = (x \leq a \text{ l}\rightarrow b)$
<proof>

subclass left-complemented-monoid
<proof>
end

lemma (in left-complemented-monoid) left-complemented-monoid:
class left-complemented-monoid-algebra () inf (l→) (≤) (<) 1*
<proof>

end

4 Right Complemented Monoid

theory RightComplementedMonoid

```

imports LeftComplementedMonoid
begin

class left-pordered-monoid-mult = order + monoid-mult +
  assumes mult-left-mono:  $a \leq b \implies c * a \leq c * b$ 

class integral-left-pordered-monoid-mult = left-pordered-monoid-mult + one-greatest

class right-residuated = ord + times + right-imp +
  assumes right-residual:  $(a * x \leq b) = (x \leq a \text{ r}\rightarrow b)$ 

class right-residuated-pordered-monoid = integral-left-pordered-monoid-mult + right-residuated

class right-inf = inf + times + right-imp +
  assumes inf-r-def:  $(a \sqcap b) = a * (a \text{ r}\rightarrow b)$ 

class right-complemented-monoid = right-residuated-pordered-monoid + right-inf
+
  assumes left-divisibility:  $(a \leq b) = (\exists c . a = b * c)$ 

sublocale right-complemented-monoid < dual: left-complemented-monoid  $\lambda a b .$ 
 $b * a (\sqcap) (\text{r}\rightarrow) 1 (\leq) (<)$ 
  <proof>

context right-complemented-monoid begin
lemma rcm-D:  $a \text{ r}\rightarrow a = 1$ 
  <proof>

subclass semilattice-inf
  <proof>

lemma right-semilattice-inf: class.semilattice-inf inf  $(\leq) (<)$ 
  <proof>

lemma right-one-inf [simp]:  $1 \sqcap a = a$ 
  <proof>

lemma right-one-impl [simp]:  $1 \text{ r}\rightarrow a = a$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcm-A:  $a * (a \text{ r}\rightarrow b) = b * (b \text{ r}\rightarrow a)$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcm-B:  $((b * a) \text{ r}\rightarrow c) = (a \text{ r}\rightarrow (b \text{ r}\rightarrow c))$ 
  <proof>

lemma rcm-C:  $(a \leq b) = ((a \text{ r}\rightarrow b) = 1)$ 
  <proof>
end

```

```

class right-complemented-monoid-nole-algebra = right-imp + one-times + right-inf
+ less-def +
  assumes right-impl-one [simp]:  $a \ r \rightarrow \ a = 1$ 
  and right-impl-times:  $a * (a \ r \rightarrow \ b) = b * (b \ r \rightarrow \ a)$ 
  and right-impl-ded:  $((a * b) \ r \rightarrow \ c) = (b \ r \rightarrow \ (a \ r \rightarrow \ c))$ 

class right-complemented-monoid-algebra = right-complemented-monoid-nole-algebra
+
  assumes right-lesseq:  $(a \leq b) = ((a \ r \rightarrow \ b) = 1)$ 
begin
end

sublocale right-complemented-monoid-algebra < dual-algebra: left-complemented-monoid-algebra
 $\lambda \ a \ b . b * a \ \text{inf} \ (r \rightarrow) \ (\leq) \ (<) \ 1$ 
  <proof>

context right-complemented-monoid-algebra begin

subclass right-complemented-monoid
  <proof>
end

lemma (in right-complemented-monoid) right-complemented-monoid: class.right-complemented-monoid-algebra
 $(\leq) \ (<) \ 1 \ (*) \ \text{inf} \ (r \rightarrow)$ 
  <proof>

end

```

5 Pseudo-Hoops

```

theory PseudoHoops
imports RightComplementedMonoid
begin

```

```

lemma drop-assumption:
   $p \implies \text{True}$ 
  <proof>

```

```

class pseudo-hoop-algebra = left-complemented-monoid-algebra + right-complemented-monoid-nole-algebra
+
  assumes left-right-impl-times:  $(a \ l \rightarrow \ b) * a = a * (a \ r \rightarrow \ b)$ 
begin
  definition
     $\text{inf-rr } a \ b = a * (a \ r \rightarrow \ b)$ 

  definition

```

$lesseq-r\ a\ b = (a\ r \rightarrow b = 1)$

definition

$less-r\ a\ b = (lesseq-r\ a\ b \wedge \neg lesseq-r\ b\ a)$

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *right-complemented-monoid-algebra*: *class.right-complemented-monoid-algebra*
 $lesseq-r\ less-r\ 1\ (*)\ inf-rr\ (r \rightarrow)$

<proof>

lemma *inf-rr-inf* [*simp*]: $inf-rr = (\sqcap)$

<proof>

lemma *lesseq-lesseq-r*: $lesseq-r\ a\ b = (a \leq b)$

<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: $lesseq-r = (\leq)$

<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: $less-r = (<)$

<proof>

subclass *right-complemented-monoid-algebra*

<proof>

end

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-algebra* $<$

pseudo-hoop-dual: *pseudo-hoop-algebra* $\lambda\ a\ b . b * a\ (\sqcap)\ (r \rightarrow)\ (\leq)\ (<)\ 1\ (l \rightarrow)$

<proof>

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *commutative-ps*: $(\forall\ a\ b . a * b = b * a) = ((l \rightarrow) = (r \rightarrow))$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-4-5*: $a\ l \rightarrow b \leq (c\ l \rightarrow a)\ l \rightarrow (c\ l \rightarrow b)$

<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-4-6*: $a\ r \rightarrow b \leq (c\ r \rightarrow a)\ r \rightarrow (c\ r \rightarrow b)$

<proof>

primrec

imp-power-l:: 'a => nat => 'a => 'a ((-) l-(-)→ (-) [65,0,65] 65) **where**
a l-0→ b = b |
a l-(Suc n)→ b = (a l→ (a l-n→ b))

primrec

imp-power-r:: 'a => nat => 'a => 'a ((-) r-(-)→ (-) [65,0,65] 65) **where**
a r-0→ b = b |
a r-(Suc n)→ b = (a r→ (a r-n→ b))

lemma *lemma-2-4-7-a*: a l-n→ b = a ^ n l→ b
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-4-7-b*: a r-n→ b = a ^ n r→ b
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-8-a* [*simp*]: a * b ≤ a
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-8-b* [*simp*]: a * b ≤ b
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-9-a*: a ≤ b l→ a
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-9-b*: a ≤ b r→ a
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-11*: a * b ≤ a □ b
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-12-a*: a ≤ b ⇒ c l→ a ≤ c l→ b
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-13-a*: a ≤ b ⇒ b l→ c ≤ a l→ c
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-14*: (b l→ c) * (a l→ b) ≤ a l→ c
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-16*: (a l→ b) ≤ (b l→ c) r→ (a l→ c)
{proof}

lemma *lemma-2-5-18*: (a l→ b) ≤ a * c l→ b * c
{proof}

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-5-12-b*: $a \leq b \implies c \ r \rightarrow a \leq c \ r \rightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-5-13-b*: $a \leq b \implies b \ r \rightarrow c \leq a \ r \rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-5-15*: $(a \ r \rightarrow b) * (b \ r \rightarrow c) \leq a \ r \rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-5-17*: $(a \ r \rightarrow b) \leq (b \ r \rightarrow c) \ l \rightarrow (a \ r \rightarrow c)$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-5-19*: $(a \ r \rightarrow b) \leq c * a \ r \rightarrow c * b$
<proof>

definition

lower-bound $A = \{a . \forall x \in A . a \leq x\}$

definition

infimum $A = \{a \in \text{lower-bound } A . (\forall x \in \text{lower-bound } A . x \leq a)\}$

lemma *infimum-unique*: $(\text{infimum } A = \{x\}) = (x \in \text{infimum } A)$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-6-20*:

$a \in \text{infimum } A \implies b \ l \rightarrow a \in \text{infimum } (((l \rightarrow) b) 'A)$
<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-6-21*:

$a \in \text{infimum } A \implies b \ r \rightarrow a \in \text{infimum } (((r \rightarrow) b) 'A)$
<proof>

lemma *infimum-pair*: $a \in \text{infimum } \{x . x = b \vee x = c\} = (a = b \sqcap c)$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-6-20-a*:

$a \ l \rightarrow (b \sqcap c) = (a \ l \rightarrow b) \sqcap (a \ l \rightarrow c)$
<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-6-21-a*:

$$a \rightarrow (b \sqcap c) = (a \rightarrow b) \sqcap (a \rightarrow c)$$

<proof>

lemma *mult-mono*: $a \leq b \implies c \leq d \implies a * c \leq b * d$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-7-22*: $(a \rightarrow b) * (c \rightarrow d) \leq (a \sqcap c) \rightarrow (b \sqcap d)$

<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-7-23*: $(a \rightarrow b) * (c \rightarrow d) \leq (a \sqcap c) \rightarrow (b \sqcap d)$

<proof>

definition

$$\text{upper-bound } A = \{a . \forall x \in A . x \leq a\}$$

definition

$$\text{supremum } A = \{a \in \text{upper-bound } A . (\forall x \in \text{upper-bound } A . a \leq x)\}$$

lemma *supremum-unique*:

$$a \in \text{supremum } A \implies b \in \text{supremum } A \implies a = b$$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-8-i*:

$$a \in \text{supremum } A \implies a \rightarrow b \in \text{infimum } ((\lambda x . x \rightarrow b)'A)$$

<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-8-i1*:

$$a \in \text{supremum } A \implies a \rightarrow b \in \text{infimum } ((\lambda x . x \rightarrow b)'A)$$

<proof>

definition

times-set :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** ** 70) **where**

$$(A ** B) = \{a . \exists x \in A . \exists y \in B . a = x * y\}$$

lemma *times-set-assoc*: $A ** (B ** C) = (A ** B) ** C$

<proof>

primrec *power-set* :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixr** * ^ 80) **where**

$$\text{power-set-0}: (A * ^ 0) = \{1\}$$

| *power-set-Suc*: $(A * ^{\wedge} (Suc\ n)) = (A ** (A * ^{\wedge} n))$

lemma *infimum-singleton* [*simp*]: $infimum\ \{a\} = \{a\}$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-8-ii*:
 $a \in supremum\ A \implies (a \wedge n) \text{ l}\rightarrow b \in infimum\ ((\lambda\ x.\ x \text{ l}\rightarrow b) '(A * ^{\wedge} n))$
<proof>

lemma *power-set-Suc2*:
 $A * ^{\wedge} (Suc\ n) = A * ^{\wedge} n ** A$
<proof>

lemma *power-set-add*:
 $A * ^{\wedge} (n + m) = (A * ^{\wedge} n) ** (A * ^{\wedge} m)$
<proof>
end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-8-ii1*:
 $a \in supremum\ A \implies (a \wedge n) \text{ r}\rightarrow b \in infimum\ ((\lambda\ x.\ x \text{ r}\rightarrow b) '(A * ^{\wedge} n))$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-9-i*:
 $b \in supremum\ A \implies a * b \in supremum\ ((*)\ a\ 'A)$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-9-i1*:
 $b \in supremum\ A \implies b * a \in supremum\ ((\lambda\ x.\ x * a) 'A)$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-9-ii*:
 $b \in supremum\ A \implies a \sqcap b \in supremum\ ((\sqcap)\ a\ 'A)$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-10-24*:
 $a \leq (a \text{ l}\rightarrow b) \text{ r}\rightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-10-25*:
 $a \leq (a \text{ l}\rightarrow b) \text{ r}\rightarrow a$
<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-10-26*:

$$a \leq (a \ r \rightarrow \ b) \ l \rightarrow \ b$$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-10-27*:

$$a \leq (a \ r \rightarrow \ b) \ l \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-10-28*:

$$b \ l \rightarrow \ ((a \ l \rightarrow \ b) \ r \rightarrow \ a) = b \ l \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-10-29*:

$$b \ r \rightarrow \ ((a \ r \rightarrow \ b) \ l \rightarrow \ a) = b \ r \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-10-30*:

$$((b \ l \rightarrow \ a) \ r \rightarrow \ a) \ l \rightarrow \ a = b \ l \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-10-31*:

$$((b \ r \rightarrow \ a) \ l \rightarrow \ a) \ r \rightarrow \ a = b \ r \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-10-32*:

$$(((b \ l \rightarrow \ a) \ r \rightarrow \ a) \ l \rightarrow \ b) \ l \rightarrow \ (b \ l \rightarrow \ a) = b \ l \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *lemma-2-10-33*:

$$(((b \ r \rightarrow \ a) \ l \rightarrow \ a) \ r \rightarrow \ b) \ r \rightarrow \ (b \ r \rightarrow \ a) = b \ r \rightarrow \ a$$

<proof>

end

class *pseudo-hoop-sup-algebra* = *pseudo-hoop-algebra* + *sup* +
assumes

```

    sup-comute:  $a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
    and sup-le [simp]:  $a \leq a \sqcup b$ 
    and le-sup-equiv:  $(a \leq b) = (a \sqcup b = b)$ 
begin
  lemma sup-le-2 [simp]:
     $b \leq a \sqcup b$ 
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

  lemma le-sup-equiv-r:
     $(a \sqcup b = b) = (a \leq b)$ 
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

  lemma sup-idemp [simp]:
     $a \sqcup a = a$ 
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

class pseudo-hoop-sup1-algebra = pseudo-hoop-algebra + sup +
  assumes
    sup-def:  $a \sqcup b = ((a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) \text{ r} \rightarrow b) \sqcap ((b \text{ l} \rightarrow a) \text{ r} \rightarrow a)$ 
begin

  lemma sup-comute1:  $a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

  lemma sup-le1 [simp]:  $a \leq a \sqcup b$ 
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

  lemma le-sup-equiv1:  $(a \leq b) = (a \sqcup b = b)$ 
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

  subclass pseudo-hoop-sup-algebra
     $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end

class pseudo-hoop-sup2-algebra = pseudo-hoop-algebra + sup +
  assumes
    sup-2-def:  $a \sqcup b = ((a \text{ r} \rightarrow b) \text{ l} \rightarrow b) \sqcap ((b \text{ r} \rightarrow a) \text{ l} \rightarrow a)$ 

context pseudo-hoop-sup1-algebra begin end

sublocale pseudo-hoop-sup2-algebra < sup1-dual: pseudo-hoop-sup1-algebra ( $\sqcup$ )  $\lambda$ 
   $a \ b . b * a$  ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $\text{r} \rightarrow$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )  $1$  ( $\text{l} \rightarrow$ )
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

context pseudo-hoop-sup2-algebra begin

  lemma sup-comute-2:  $a \sqcup b = b \sqcup a$ 

```

```

    <proof>

lemma sup-le2 [simp]:  $a \leq a \sqcup b$ 
  <proof>

lemma le-sup-equiv2:  $(a \leq b) = (a \sqcup b = b)$ 
  <proof>

subclass pseudo-hoop-sup-algebra
  <proof>

end

class pseudo-hoop-lattice-a = pseudo-hoop-sup-algebra +
  assumes sup-inf-le-distr:  $a \sqcup (b \sqcap c) \leq (a \sqcup b) \sqcap (a \sqcup c)$ 
begin
  lemma sup-lower-upper-bound [simp]:
     $a \leq c \implies b \leq c \implies a \sqcup b \leq c$ 
    <proof>
end

sublocale pseudo-hoop-lattice-a < lattice ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) ( $\sqcup$ )
  <proof>

class pseudo-hoop-lattice-b = pseudo-hoop-sup-algebra +
  assumes le-sup-cong:  $a \leq b \implies a \sqcup c \leq b \sqcup c$ 

begin
  lemma sup-lower-upper-bound-b [simp]:
     $a \leq c \implies b \leq c \implies a \sqcup b \leq c$ 
    <proof>

  lemma sup-inf-le-distr-b:
     $a \sqcup (b \sqcap c) \leq (a \sqcup b) \sqcap (a \sqcup c)$ 
    <proof>
end

context pseudo-hoop-lattice-a begin end

sublocale pseudo-hoop-lattice-b < pseudo-hoop-lattice-a ( $\sqcup$ ) ( $*$ ) ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $l \rightarrow$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
  1 ( $r \rightarrow$ )
  <proof>

class pseudo-hoop-lattice = pseudo-hoop-sup-algebra +
  assumes sup-assoc-1:  $a \sqcup (b \sqcup c) = (a \sqcup b) \sqcup c$ 
begin
  lemma le-sup-cong-c:
     $a \leq b \implies a \sqcup c \leq b \sqcup c$ 
    <proof>

```

end

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-lattice* < *pseudo-hoop-lattice-b* (\sqcup) ($*$) (\sqcap) ($l \rightarrow$) (\leq) ($<$)
1 ($r \rightarrow$)
<proof>

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-lattice* < *semilattice-sup* (\sqcup) (\leq) ($<$)
<proof>

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-lattice* < *lattice* (\sqcap) (\leq) ($<$) (\sqcup)
<proof>

lemma (in *pseudo-hoop-lattice-a*) *supremum-pair* [simp]:
 $\text{supremum } \{a, b\} = \{a \sqcup b\}$
<proof>

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-lattice* < *distrib-lattice* (\sqcap) (\leq) ($<$) (\sqcup)
<proof>

class *bounded-semilattice-inf-top* = *semilattice-inf* + *order-top*
begin

lemma *inf-eq-top-iff* [simp]:
 $(\text{inf } x \ y = \text{top}) = (x = \text{top} \wedge y = \text{top})$
<proof>

end

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *bounded-semilattice-inf-top* (\sqcap) (\leq) ($<$) 1
<proof>

definition (in *pseudo-hoop-algebra*)
 $\text{sup1}::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\sqcup 1$ 70) **where**
 $a \sqcup 1 \ b = ((a \ l \rightarrow \ b) \ r \rightarrow \ b) \sqcap ((b \ l \rightarrow \ a) \ r \rightarrow \ a)$

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *sup1: pseudo-hoop-sup1-algebra* ($\sqcup 1$) ($*$) (\sqcap)
($l \rightarrow$) (\leq) ($<$) 1 ($r \rightarrow$)
<proof>

definition (in *pseudo-hoop-algebra*)
 $\text{sup2}::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\sqcup 2$ 70) **where**
 $a \sqcup 2 \ b = ((a \ r \rightarrow \ b) \ l \rightarrow \ b) \sqcap ((b \ r \rightarrow \ a) \ l \rightarrow \ a)$

sublocale *pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *sup2: pseudo-hoop-sup2-algebra* ($\sqcup 2$) ($*$) (\sqcap)
($l \rightarrow$) (\leq) ($<$) 1 ($r \rightarrow$)
<proof>

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra*

begin

lemma *lemma-2-15-i*:

$1 \in \text{supremum } \{a, b\} \implies a * b = a \sqcap b$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-15-ii*:

$1 \in \text{supremum } \{a, b\} \implies a \leq c \implies b \leq d \implies 1 \in \text{supremum } \{c, d\}$
<proof>

lemma *sup-union*:

$a \in \text{supremum } A \implies b \in \text{supremum } B \implies \text{supremum } \{a, b\} = \text{supremum } (A \cup B)$
<proof>

lemma *sup-singleton [simp]*: $a \in \text{supremum } \{a\}$

<proof>

lemma *sup-union-singleton*: $a \in \text{supremum } X \implies \text{supremum } \{a, b\} = \text{supremum } (X \cup \{b\})$

<proof>

lemma *sup-le-union [simp]*: $a \leq b \implies \text{supremum } (A \cup \{a, b\}) = \text{supremum } (A \cup \{b\})$

<proof>

lemma *sup-sup-union*: $a \in \text{supremum } A \implies b \in \text{supremum } (B \cup \{a\}) \implies b \in \text{supremum } (A \cup B)$

<proof>

end

lemma *[simp]*:

$n \leq 2 \wedge n$
<proof>

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra*

begin

lemma *sup-le-union-2*:

$a \leq b \implies a \in A \implies b \in A \implies \text{supremum } A = \text{supremum } ((A - \{a\}) \cup \{b\})$
<proof>

lemma *lemma-2-15-iii-0*:
 $1 \in \text{supremum } \{a, b\} \implies 1 \in \text{supremum } \{a \wedge 2, b \wedge 2\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]: $m \leq n \implies a \wedge n \leq a \wedge m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]: $a \wedge (2 \wedge n) \leq a \wedge n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-2-15-iii-1*: $1 \in \text{supremum } \{a, b\} \implies 1 \in \text{supremum } \{a \wedge (2 \wedge n), b \wedge (2 \wedge n)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-2-15-iii*:
 $1 \in \text{supremum } \{a, b\} \implies 1 \in \text{supremum } \{a \wedge n, b \wedge n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

6 Filters and Congruences

theory *PseudoHoopFilters*
imports *PseudoHoops*
begin

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra*
begin

definition
 $\text{filters} = \{F . F \neq \{\}\} \wedge (\forall a b . a \in F \wedge b \in F \longrightarrow a * b \in F) \wedge (\forall a b . a \in F \wedge a \leq b \longrightarrow b \in F)$

definition
 $\text{properfilters} = \{F . F \in \text{filters} \wedge F \neq \text{UNIV}\}$

definition
 $\text{maximalfilters} = \{F . F \in \text{filters} \wedge (\forall A . A \in \text{filters} \wedge F \subseteq A \longrightarrow A = F \vee A = \text{UNIV})\}$

definition
 $\text{ultrafilters} = \text{properfilters} \cap \text{maximalfilters}$

lemma *filter-i*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies a \in F \implies b \in F \implies a * b \in F$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *filter-ii*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies a \in F \implies a \leq b \implies b \in F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-iii* [*simp*]: $F \in filters \implies 1 \in F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-left-impl*:
 $(F \in filters) = ((1 \in F) \wedge (\forall a b . a \in F \wedge a l \rightarrow b \in F \longrightarrow b \in F))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter-right-impl*:
 $(F \in filters) = ((1 \in F) \wedge (\forall a b . a \in F \wedge a r \rightarrow b \in F \longrightarrow b \in F))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $A \subseteq filters \implies \bigcap A \in filters$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition
 $filterof X = \bigcap \{F . F \in filters \wedge X \subseteq F\}$

lemma [*simp*]: $filterof X \in filters$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *times-le-mono* [*simp*]: $x \leq y \implies u \leq v \implies x * u \leq y * v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *prop-3-2-i*:
 $filterof X = \{a . \exists n x . x \in X * ^n \wedge x \leq a\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ultrafilter-union*:
 $ultrafilters = \{F . F \in filters \wedge F \neq UNIV \wedge (\forall x . x \notin F \longrightarrow filterof (F \cup \{x\}) = UNIV)\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filterof-sub*: $F \in filters \implies X \subseteq F \implies filterof X \subseteq F$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filterof-elem* [*simp*]: $x \in X \implies x \in filterof X$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $filterof X \in filters$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *singleton-power* [*simp*]: $\{a\} * ^n = \{b . b = a ^n\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *power-pair*: $x \in \{a, b\} * ^n \implies \exists i j . i + j = n \wedge x \leq a ^i \wedge x \leq b ^j$

j
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filterof-supremum*:

$c \in \text{supremum } \{a, b\} \implies \text{filterof } \{c\} = \text{filterof } \{a\} \cap \text{filterof } \{b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $d1$ $a b = (a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) * (b \text{ l} \rightarrow a)$

definition $d2$ $a b = (a \text{ r} \rightarrow b) * (b \text{ r} \rightarrow a)$

definition $d3$ $a b = d1 b a$

definition $d4$ $a b = d2 b a$

lemma [*simp*]: $(a * b = 1) = (a = 1 \wedge b = 1)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-i-1*: $(d1 a b = 1) = (a = b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-i-2*: $(d2 a b = 1) = (a = b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-i-3*: $(d3 a b = 1) = (a = b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-i-4*: $(d4 a b = 1) = (a = b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-ii-1* [*simp*]: $d1 a a = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-ii-2* [*simp*]: $d2 a a = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-ii-3* [*simp*]: $d3 a a = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-5-ii-4* [*simp*]: $d4 a a = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $(a \text{ l} \rightarrow 1) = 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma [simp]: $(a \ r \rightarrow 1) = 1$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iii-1 [simp]: $d1 \ a \ 1 = a$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iii-2 [simp]: $d2 \ a \ 1 = a$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iii-3 [simp]: $d3 \ a \ 1 = a$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iii-4 [simp]: $d4 \ a \ 1 = a$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iv-1: $(d1 \ b \ c) * (d1 \ a \ b) * (d1 \ b \ c) \leq d1 \ a \ c$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iv-2: $(d2 \ a \ b) * (d2 \ b \ c) * (d2 \ a \ b) \leq d2 \ a \ c$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iv-3: $(d3 \ a \ b) * (d3 \ b \ c) * (d3 \ a \ b) \leq d3 \ a \ c$
<proof>

lemma lemma-3-5-iv-4: $(d4 \ b \ c) * (d4 \ a \ b) * (d4 \ b \ c) \leq d4 \ a \ c$
<proof>

definition
 $cong-r \ F \ a \ b \equiv d1 \ a \ b \in F$

definition
 $cong-l \ F \ a \ b \equiv d2 \ a \ b \in F$

lemma cong-r-filter: $F \in filters \implies (cong-r \ F \ a \ b) = (a \ l \rightarrow b \in F \wedge b \ l \rightarrow a \in F)$
<proof>

lemma cong-r-symmetric: $F \in filters \implies (cong-r \ F \ a \ b) = (cong-r \ F \ b \ a)$
<proof>

lemma cong-r-d3: $F \in filters \implies (cong-r \ F \ a \ b) = (d3 \ a \ b \in F)$
<proof>

lemma cong-l-filter: $F \in filters \implies (cong-l \ F \ a \ b) = (a \ r \rightarrow b \in F \wedge b \ r \rightarrow a \in F)$
<proof>

lemma *cong-l-symmetric*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies (\text{cong-l } F \ a \ b) = (\text{cong-l } F \ b \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cong-l-d4*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies (\text{cong-l } F \ a \ b) = (d4 \ a \ b \in F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cong-r-reflexive*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-r } F \ a \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cong-r-transitive*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-r } F \ a \ b \implies \text{cong-r } F \ b \ c \implies \text{cong-r } F \ a \ c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cong-l-reflexive*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-l } F \ a \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cong-l-transitive*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-l } F \ a \ b \implies \text{cong-l } F \ b \ c \implies \text{cong-l } F \ a \ c$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-3-7-i*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies F = \{a \ . \ \text{cong-r } F \ a \ 1\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-3-7-ii*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies F = \{a \ . \ \text{cong-l } F \ a \ 1\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-3-8-i*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies (\text{cong-r } F \ a \ b) = (\exists \ x \ y \ . \ x \in F \wedge y \in F \wedge x * a = y * b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-3-8-ii*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies (\text{cong-l } F \ a \ b) = (\exists \ x \ y \ . \ x \in F \wedge y \in F \wedge a * x = b * y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-3-9-i*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-r } F \ a \ b \implies \text{cong-r } F \ c \ d \implies (a \ l \rightarrow c \in F) = (b \ l \rightarrow d \in F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lemma-3-9-ii*: $F \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-l } F \ a \ b \implies \text{cong-l } F \ c \ d \implies (a \ r \rightarrow c \in F) = (b \ r \rightarrow d \in F)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

normalfilters = $\{F \ . \ F \in \text{filters} \wedge (\forall \ a \ b \ . \ (a \ l \rightarrow b \in F) = (a \ r \rightarrow b \in F))\}$

lemma *normalfilter-i*:

$H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies a \text{ l} \rightarrow b \in H \implies a \text{ r} \rightarrow b \in H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *normalfilter-ii*:

$H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies a \text{ r} \rightarrow b \in H \implies a \text{ l} \rightarrow b \in H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies H \in \text{filters}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-10-i-ii*:

$H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \{a\} ** H = H ** \{a\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-10-ii-iii*:

$H \in \text{filters} \implies (\forall a . \{a\} ** H = H ** \{a\}) \implies \text{cong-r } H = \text{cong-l } H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-10-i-iii*:

$H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{cong-r } H = \text{cong-l } H$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *order-impl-l* [*simp*]: $a \leq b \implies a \text{ l} \rightarrow b = 1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

context *pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin**

lemma *impl-l-d1*: $(a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) = d1 \ a \ (a \sqcap b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *impl-r-d2*: $(a \text{ r} \rightarrow b) = d2 \ a \ (a \sqcap b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-10-iii-i*:

$H \in \text{filters} \implies \text{cong-r } H = \text{cong-l } H \implies H \in \text{normalfilters}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *lemma-3-10-ii-i*:

$H \in \text{filters} \implies (\forall a . \{a\} ** H = H ** \{a\}) \implies H \in \text{normalfilters}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition

$\text{allpowers } x \ n = \{y . \exists i . i < n \wedge y = x \wedge i\}$

lemma times-set-in: $a \in A \implies b \in B \implies c = a * b \implies c \in A ** B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma power-set-power: $a \in A \implies a \wedge n \in A * \wedge n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma normal-filter-union: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies (H \cup \{x\}) * \wedge n = (H ** (\text{allpowers } x \ n)) \cup \{x \wedge n\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-3-11-i: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{filterof } (H \cup \{x\}) = \{a . \exists n \ h . h \in H \wedge h * x \wedge n \leq a\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-3-11-ii: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{filterof } (H \cup \{x\}) = \{a . \exists n \ h . h \in H \wedge (x \wedge n) * h \leq a\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-3-12-i-ii:
 $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies H \in \text{ultrafilters} \implies x \notin H \implies (\exists n . x \wedge n \ l \rightarrow a \in H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-3-12-ii-i:
 $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies H \in \text{properfilters} \implies (\forall x \ a . x \notin H \longrightarrow (\exists n . x \wedge n \ l \rightarrow a \in H)) \implies H \in \text{maximalfilters}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-3-12-i-iii:
 $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies H \in \text{ultrafilters} \implies x \notin H \implies (\exists n . x \wedge n \ r \rightarrow a \in H)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-3-12-iii-i:
 $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies H \in \text{properfilters} \implies (\forall x \ a . x \notin H \longrightarrow (\exists n . x \wedge n \ r \rightarrow a \in H)) \implies H \in \text{maximalfilters}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
 $\text{cong } H = (\lambda x \ y . \text{cong-l } H \ x \ y \wedge \text{cong-r } H \ x \ y)$

definition
 $\text{congruences} = \{R . \text{equivp } R \wedge (\forall a \ b \ c \ d . R \ a \ b \wedge R \ c \ d \longrightarrow R \ (a * c) \ (b * d) \wedge R \ (a \ l \rightarrow c) \ (b \ l \rightarrow d) \wedge R \ (a \ r \rightarrow c) \ (b \ r \rightarrow d))\}$

lemma cong-l: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{cong } H = \text{cong-l } H$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cong-r*: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{cong } H = \text{cong-r } H$
(proof)

lemma *cong-equiv*: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{equivp } (\text{cong } H)$
(proof)

lemma *cong-trans*: $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{cong } H \ x \ y \implies \text{cong } H \ y \ z \implies \text{cong } H \ x \ z$
(proof)

lemma *lemma-3-13* [simp]:
 $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{cong } H \in \text{congruences}$
(proof)

lemma *cong-times*: $R \in \text{congruences} \implies R \ a \ b \implies R \ c \ d \implies R \ (a * c) \ (b * d)$
(proof)

lemma *cong-impl-l*: $R \in \text{congruences} \implies R \ a \ b \implies R \ c \ d \implies R \ (a \ l \rightarrow c) \ (b \ l \rightarrow d)$
(proof)

lemma *cong-impl-r*: $R \in \text{congruences} \implies R \ a \ b \implies R \ c \ d \implies R \ (a \ r \rightarrow c) \ (b \ r \rightarrow d)$
(proof)

lemma *cong-refl* [simp]: $R \in \text{congruences} \implies R \ a \ a$
(proof)

lemma *cong-trans-a*: $R \in \text{congruences} \implies R \ a \ b \implies R \ b \ c \implies R \ a \ c$
(proof)

lemma *cong-sym*: $R \in \text{congruences} \implies R \ a \ b \implies R \ b \ a$
(proof)

definition
normalfilter $R = \{a \ . \ R \ a \ 1\}$

lemma *lemma-3-14* [simp]:
 $R \in \text{congruences} \implies (\text{normalfilter } R) \in \text{normalfilters}$
(proof)

lemma *lemma-3-15-i*:
 $H \in \text{normalfilters} \implies \text{normalfilter } (\text{cong } H) = H$
(proof)

lemma *lemma-3-15-ii*:
 $R \in \text{congruences} \implies \text{cong } (\text{normalfilter } R) = R$
(proof)

lemma *lemma-3-15-iii*: $H1 \in \text{normalfilters} \implies H2 \in \text{normalfilters} \implies (H1 \subseteq H2) = (\text{cong } H1 \leq \text{cong } H2)$

<proof>

definition

$p \ x \ y \ z = ((x \ l \rightarrow y) \ r \rightarrow z) \sqcap ((z \ l \rightarrow y) \ r \rightarrow x)$

lemma *lemma-3-16-i*: $p \ x \ x \ y = y \wedge p \ x \ y \ y = x$

<proof>

definition $M \ x \ y \ z = ((y \ l \rightarrow x) \ r \rightarrow x) \sqcap ((z \ l \rightarrow y) \ r \rightarrow y) \sqcap ((x \ l \rightarrow z) \ r \rightarrow z)$

lemma $M \ x \ x \ y = x \wedge M \ x \ y \ x = x \wedge M \ y \ x \ x = x$

<proof>

end

end

7 Pseudo Waisberg Algebra

theory *PseudoWaisbergAlgebra*

imports *Operations*

begin

class *impl-lr-algebra* = *one* + *left-imp* + *right-imp* +

assumes *W1a* [*simp*]: $1 \ l \rightarrow a = a$

and *W1b* [*simp*]: $1 \ r \rightarrow a = a$

and *W2a*: $(a \ l \rightarrow b) \ r \rightarrow b = (b \ l \rightarrow a) \ r \rightarrow a$

and *W2b*: $(b \ l \rightarrow a) \ r \rightarrow a = (b \ r \rightarrow a) \ l \rightarrow a$

and *W2c*: $(b \ r \rightarrow a) \ l \rightarrow a = (a \ r \rightarrow b) \ l \rightarrow b$

and *W3a*: $(a \ l \rightarrow b) \ l \rightarrow ((b \ l \rightarrow c) \ r \rightarrow (a \ l \rightarrow c)) = 1$

and *W3b*: $(a \ r \rightarrow b) \ r \rightarrow ((b \ r \rightarrow c) \ l \rightarrow (a \ r \rightarrow c)) = 1$

begin

lemma *P1-a* [*simp*]: $x \ l \rightarrow x = 1$

<proof>

lemma *P1-b* [*simp*]: $x \ r \rightarrow x = 1$

<proof>

lemma *P2-a*: $x \ l \rightarrow y = 1 \implies y \ l \rightarrow x = 1 \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma *P2-b*: $x \ r \rightarrow y = 1 \implies y \ r \rightarrow x = 1 \implies x = y$

<proof>

lemma P2-c: $x \text{ l} \rightarrow y = 1 \implies y \text{ r} \rightarrow x = 1 \implies x = y$
<proof>

lemma P3-a: $(x \text{ l} \rightarrow 1) \text{ r} \rightarrow 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma P3-b: $(x \text{ r} \rightarrow 1) \text{ l} \rightarrow 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma P4-a [simp]: $x \text{ l} \rightarrow 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma P4-b [simp]: $x \text{ r} \rightarrow 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma P5-a: $x \text{ l} \rightarrow y = 1 \implies y \text{ l} \rightarrow z = 1 \implies x \text{ l} \rightarrow z = 1$
<proof>

lemma P5-b: $x \text{ r} \rightarrow y = 1 \implies y \text{ r} \rightarrow z = 1 \implies x \text{ r} \rightarrow z = 1$
<proof>

lemma P6-a: $x \text{ l} \rightarrow (y \text{ r} \rightarrow x) = 1$
<proof>

lemma P6-b: $x \text{ r} \rightarrow (y \text{ l} \rightarrow x) = 1$
<proof>

lemma P7: $(x \text{ l} \rightarrow (y \text{ r} \rightarrow z) = 1) = (y \text{ r} \rightarrow (x \text{ l} \rightarrow z) = 1)$
<proof>

lemma P8-a: $(x \text{ l} \rightarrow y) \text{ r} \rightarrow ((z \text{ l} \rightarrow x) \text{ l} \rightarrow (z \text{ l} \rightarrow y)) = 1$
<proof>

lemma P8-b: $(x \text{ r} \rightarrow y) \text{ l} \rightarrow ((z \text{ r} \rightarrow x) \text{ r} \rightarrow (z \text{ r} \rightarrow y)) = 1$
<proof>

lemma P9: $x \text{ l} \rightarrow (y \text{ r} \rightarrow z) = y \text{ r} \rightarrow (x \text{ l} \rightarrow z)$
<proof>

definition

$$\text{lesseq-a } a \text{ } b = (a \text{ l} \rightarrow b = 1)$$

definition

$$\text{less-a } a \text{ } b = (\text{lesseq-a } a \text{ } b \wedge \neg \text{lesseq-a } b \text{ } a)$$

definition

$$\text{lesseq-b } a \text{ } b = (a \text{ r} \rightarrow b = 1)$$

definition

$$\text{less-}b\ a\ b = (\text{lesseq-}b\ a\ b \wedge \neg \text{lesseq-}b\ b\ a)$$

definition

$$\text{sup-}a\ a\ b = (a\ l \rightarrow b)\ r \rightarrow b$$

end

sublocale *impl-lr-algebra* < *order-a:order lesseq-a less-a*
<proof>

sublocale *impl-lr-algebra* < *order-b:order lesseq-b less-b*
<proof>

sublocale *impl-lr-algebra* < *slattice-a:semilattice-sup sup-a lesseq-a less-a*
<proof>

sublocale *impl-lr-algebra* < *slattice-b:semilattice-sup sup-a lesseq-b less-b*
<proof>

context *impl-lr-algebra*

begin

lemma *lesseq-a-b: lesseq-b = lesseq-a*
<proof>

lemma *P10: (a l → b = 1) = (a r → b = 1)*
<proof>

end

class *one-ord = one + ord*

class *impl-lr-ord-algebra = impl-lr-algebra + one-ord +*
assumes

$$\text{order: } a \leq b = (a\ l \rightarrow b = 1)$$

and

$$\text{strict: } a < b = (a \leq b \wedge \neg b \leq a)$$

begin

lemma *order-l: (a ≤ b) = (a l → b = 1)*
<proof>

lemma *order-r: (a ≤ b) = (a r → b = 1)*
<proof>

lemma *P11-a: a ≤ b l → a*
<proof>

lemma *P11-b: a ≤ b r → a*
<proof>

lemma *P12*: $(a \leq b \text{ l} \rightarrow c) = (b \leq a \text{ r} \rightarrow c)$
<proof>

lemma *P13-a*: $a \leq b \implies b \text{ l} \rightarrow c \leq a \text{ l} \rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *P13-b*: $a \leq b \implies b \text{ r} \rightarrow c \leq a \text{ r} \rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *P14-a*: $a \leq b \implies c \text{ l} \rightarrow a \leq c \text{ l} \rightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *P14-b*: $a \leq b \implies c \text{ r} \rightarrow a \leq c \text{ r} \rightarrow b$
<proof>

subclass *order*
<proof>

end

class *one-zero-uminus* = *one* + *zero* + *left-uminus* + *right-uminus*

class *impl-neg-lr-algebra* = *impl-lr-ord-algebra* + *one-zero-uminus* +
assumes

W4: $-l \ 1 = -r \ 1$

and *W5a*: $(-l \ a \ \text{r} \rightarrow -l \ b) \ \text{l} \rightarrow (b \ \text{l} \rightarrow a) = 1$

and *W5b*: $(-r \ a \ \text{l} \rightarrow -r \ b) \ \text{r} \rightarrow (b \ \text{r} \rightarrow a) = 1$

and *zero-def*: $0 = -l \ 1$

begin

lemma *zero-r-def*: $0 = -r \ 1$
<proof>

lemma *C1-a* [*simp*]: $(-l \ x \ \text{r} \rightarrow 0) \ \text{l} \rightarrow x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *C1-b* [*simp*]: $(-r \ x \ \text{l} \rightarrow 0) \ \text{r} \rightarrow x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *C2-b* [*simp*]: $0 \ \text{r} \rightarrow x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *C2-a* [*simp*]: $0 \ \text{l} \rightarrow x = 1$
<proof>

lemma *C3-a*: $x \ \text{l} \rightarrow 0 = -l \ x$
<proof>

lemma *C3-b*: $x r \rightarrow 0 = -r x$
<proof>

lemma *C4-a [simp]*: $-r (-l x) = x$
<proof>

lemma *C4-b [simp]*: $-l (-r x) = x$
<proof>

lemma *C5-a*: $-r x l \rightarrow -r y = y r \rightarrow x$
<proof>

lemma *C5-b*: $-l x r \rightarrow -l y = y l \rightarrow x$
<proof>

lemma *C6*: $-r x l \rightarrow y = -l y r \rightarrow x$
<proof>

lemma *C7-a*: $(x \leq y) = (-l y \leq -l x)$
<proof>

lemma *C7-b*: $(x \leq y) = (-r y \leq -r x)$
<proof>

end

class *pseudo-wajsberg-algebra* = *impl-neg-lr-algebra* +
assumes

W6: $-r (a l \rightarrow -l b) = -l (b r \rightarrow -r a)$

begin

definition

mult $a b = -r (a l \rightarrow -l b)$

definition

inf-a $a b = -l (a r \rightarrow -r (a l \rightarrow b))$

definition

inf-b $a b = -r (b l \rightarrow -l (b r \rightarrow a))$

end

sublocale *pseudo-wajsberg-algebra* < *slattice-inf-a:semilattice-inf inf-a* (\leq) ($<$)
<proof>

sublocale *pseudo-wajsberg-algebra* < *slattice-inf-b:semilattice-inf inf-b* (\leq) ($<$)
<proof>

```

context pseudo-wajsberg-algebra
begin
lemma inf-a-b: inf-a = inf-b
  <proof>

```

```

end
end

```

8 Some Classes of Pseudo-Hoops

```

theory SpecialPseudoHoops
imports PseudoHoopFilters PseudoWajsbergAlgebra
begin

```

```

class cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra = pseudo-hoop-algebra +
  assumes mult-cancel-left: a * b = a * c  $\implies$  b = c
  and mult-cancel-right: b * a = c * a  $\implies$  b = c
begin
lemma cancel-left-a: b l $\rightarrow$  (a * b) = a
  <proof>

```

```

lemma cancel-right-a: b r $\rightarrow$  (b * a) = a
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

class cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra-2 = pseudo-hoop-algebra +
  assumes cancel-left: b l $\rightarrow$  (a * b) = a
  and cancel-right: b r $\rightarrow$  (b * a) = a

```

```

begin
subclass cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra
  <proof>

```

```

end

```

```

context cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra
begin

```

```

lemma lemma-4-2-i: a l $\rightarrow$  b = (a * c) l $\rightarrow$  (b * c)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma lemma-4-2-ii: a r $\rightarrow$  b = (c * a) r $\rightarrow$  (c * b)
  <proof>

```

```

lemma lemma-4-2-iii: (a * c  $\leq$  b * c) = (a  $\leq$  b)
  <proof>

```

lemma *lemma-4-2-iv*: $(c * a \leq c * b) = (a \leq b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

class *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra* = *pseudo-hoop-algebra* +
assumes *wajsberg1*: $(a \multimap b) \multimap b = (b \multimap a) \multimap a$
and *wajsberg2*: $(a \multimap b) \multimap b = (b \multimap a) \multimap a$

context *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
begin

lemma *lemma-4-3-i-a*: $a \sqcup 1 b = (a \multimap b) \multimap b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lemma-4-3-i-b*: $a \sqcup 1 b = (b \multimap a) \multimap a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lemma-4-3-ii-a*: $a \sqcup 2 b = (a \multimap b) \multimap b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lemma-4-3-ii-b*: $a \sqcup 2 b = (b \multimap a) \multimap a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

sublocale *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *lattice1:pseudo-hoop-lattice-b* ($\sqcup 1$) (*)
 (\sqcap) (\multimap) (\leq) ($<$) 1 (\multimap)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *zero-one* = *zero* + *one*

class *bounded-wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra* = *zero-one* + *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
 +
assumes *zero-smallest* [*simp*]: $0 \leq a$
begin
end

sublocale *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *lattice2:pseudo-hoop-lattice-b* ($\sqcup 2$) (*)
 (\sqcap) (\multimap) (\leq) ($<$) 1 (\multimap)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra*) *sup1-eq-sup2*: $(\sqcup 1) = (\sqcup 2)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *bounded-wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra*

begin

definition

negl a = a l→ 0

definition

negr a = a r→ 0

lemma [*simp*]: *0 l→ a = 1*

<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: *0 r→ a = 1*

<proof>

end

sublocale *bounded-wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra < wajsberg: pseudo-wajsberg-algebra*

1 (l→) (r→) (≤) (<) 0 negl negr

<proof>

context *pseudo-wajsberg-algebra*

begin

lemma *class.bounded-wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra mult inf-a (l→) (≤) (<) 1*

(r→) (0::'a)

<proof>

end

class *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra = pseudo-hoop-algebra +*

assumes *B1: (a l→ b) l→ c ≤ ((b l→ a) l→ c) l→ c*

and *B2: (a r→ b) r→ c ≤ ((b r→ a) r→ c) r→ c*

begin

lemma *lemma-4-5-i: (a l→ b) ⊔1 (b l→ a) = 1*

<proof>

lemma *lemma-4-5-ii: (a r→ b) ⊔2 (b r→ a) = 1*

<proof>

lemma *lemma-4-5-iii: a l→ b = (a ⊔1 b) l→ b*

<proof>

lemma *lemma-4-5-iv: a r→ b = (a ⊔2 b) r→ b*

<proof>

lemma *lemma-4-5-v: (a ⊔1 b) l→ c = (a l→ c) ⊓ (b l→ c)*

<proof>

lemma *lemma-4-5-vi*: $(a \sqcup_2 b) r \rightarrow c = (a r \rightarrow c) \sqcap (b r \rightarrow c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lemma-4-5-a*: $a \leq c \implies b \leq c \implies a \sqcup_1 b \leq c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lemma-4-5-b*: $a \leq c \implies b \leq c \implies a \sqcup_2 b \leq c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *lemma-4-5*: $a \sqcup_1 b = a \sqcup_2 b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

sublocale *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *basic-lattice:lattice* (\sqcap) (\leq) ($<$) (\sqcup_1)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

context *pseudo-hoop-lattice* **begin end**

sublocale *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *pseudo-hoop-lattice* (\sqcup_1) ($*$) (\sqcap) ($l \rightarrow$) (\leq)
 ($<$) 1 ($r \rightarrow$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra* = *pseudo-hoop-algebra* +
assumes *sup1-assoc*: $a \sqcup_1 (b \sqcup_1 c) = (a \sqcup_1 b) \sqcup_1 c$
and *sup2-assoc*: $a \sqcup_2 (b \sqcup_2 c) = (a \sqcup_2 b) \sqcup_2 c$

sublocale *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *sup1-lattice: pseudo-hoop-lattice* (\sqcup_1)
 ($*$) (\sqcap) ($l \rightarrow$) (\leq) ($<$) 1 ($r \rightarrow$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra* < *sup2-lattice: pseudo-hoop-lattice* (\sqcup_2)
 ($*$) (\sqcap) ($l \rightarrow$) (\leq) ($<$) 1 ($r \rightarrow$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra-1* = *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra* +
assumes *union-impl*: $(a l \rightarrow b) \sqcup_1 (b l \rightarrow a) = 1$
and *union-impr*: $(a r \rightarrow b) \sqcup_1 (b r \rightarrow a) = 1$

lemma (**in** *pseudo-hoop-algebra*) [*simp*]: *infimum* $\{a, b\} = \{a \sqcap b\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *pseudo-hoop-lattice*) *sup-impl-inf*:
 $(a \sqcup b) l \rightarrow c = (a l \rightarrow c) \sqcap (b l \rightarrow c)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma (**in** *pseudo-hoop-lattice*) *sup-impr-inf*:

$(a \sqcup b) r \rightarrow c = (a r \rightarrow c) \sqcap (b r \rightarrow c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *pseudo-hoop-lattice*) *sup-times*:
 $a * (b \sqcup c) = (a * b) \sqcup (a * c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma (in *pseudo-hoop-lattice*) *sup-times-right*:
 $(b \sqcup c) * a = (b * a) \sqcup (c * a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra* **begin end**

sublocale *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra-1* < *basic-1*: *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
 $(*) (\sqcap) (l \rightarrow) (\leq) (<) 1 (r \rightarrow)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
begin

lemma *lemma-4-8-i*: $a * (b \sqcap c) = (a * b) \sqcap (a * c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-4-8-ii*: $(b \sqcap c) * a = (b * a) \sqcap (c * a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-4-8-iii*: $(a l \rightarrow b) l \rightarrow (b l \rightarrow a) = b l \rightarrow a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-4-8-iv*: $(a r \rightarrow b) r \rightarrow (b r \rightarrow a) = b r \rightarrow a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
begin

subclass *sup-assoc-pseudo-hoop-algebra-1*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

class *bounded-basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra* = *zero-one* + *basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
+

assumes *zero-smallest* [*simp*]: $0 \leq a$

begin

end

class *inf-a* =

fixes *inf-a* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\sqcap 1$ 65)

```

class pseudo-bl-algebra = zero + sup + inf + monoid-mult + ord + left-imp +
right-imp +
  assumes bounded-lattice: class.bounded-lattice inf ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) sup 0 1
  and left-residual-bl:  $(x * a \leq b) = (x \leq a \text{ l}\rightarrow b)$ 
  and right-residual-bl:  $(a * x \leq b) = (x \leq a \text{ r}\rightarrow b)$ 
  and inf-l-bl-def:  $a \sqcap b = (a \text{ l}\rightarrow b) * a$ 
  and inf-r-bl-def:  $a \sqcap b = a * (a \text{ r}\rightarrow b)$ 
  and impl-sup-bl:  $(a \text{ l}\rightarrow b) \sqcup (b \text{ l}\rightarrow a) = 1$ 
  and impr-sup-bl:  $(a \text{ r}\rightarrow b) \sqcup (b \text{ r}\rightarrow a) = 1$ 
begin
end

context pseudo-bl-algebra begin end

sublocale bounded-basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra < basic:pseudo-bl-algebra 1 (*) 0 ( $\sqcap$ )
( $\sqcup 1$ ) ( $\text{l}\rightarrow$ ) ( $\text{r}\rightarrow$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
  <proof>

sublocale pseudo-bl-algebra < bounded-lattice: bounded-lattice inf ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) sup 0
1
  <proof>

context pseudo-bl-algebra
begin
  lemma impl-one-bl [simp]:  $a \text{ l}\rightarrow a = 1$ 
  <proof>

  lemma impr-one-bl [simp]:  $a \text{ r}\rightarrow a = 1$ 
  <proof>

  lemma impl-ded-bl:  $((a * b) \text{ l}\rightarrow c) = (a \text{ l}\rightarrow (b \text{ l}\rightarrow c))$ 
  <proof>

  lemma impr-ded-bl:  $(b * a \text{ r}\rightarrow c) = (a \text{ r}\rightarrow (b \text{ r}\rightarrow c))$ 
  <proof>

  lemma lesseq-impl-bl:  $(a \leq b) = (a \text{ l}\rightarrow b = 1)$ 
  <proof>

end

context pseudo-bl-algebra
begin
subclass pseudo-hoop-lattice
  <proof>

```

```

subclass bounded-basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class product-pseudo-hoop-algebra = basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra +
  assumes P1:  $b \rightarrow b * b \leq (a \sqcap (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow b$ 
  and P2:  $b \rightarrow b * b \leq (a \sqcap (a \rightarrow b)) \rightarrow b$ 
  and P3:  $((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) * (c * a \rightarrow d * a) * (c * b \rightarrow d * b) \leq c \rightarrow d$ 
  and P4:  $((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) * (a * c \rightarrow a * d) * (b * c \rightarrow b * d) \leq c \rightarrow d$ 

class cancel-basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra = basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra + cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra
begin
subclass product-pseudo-hoop-algebra
  ⟨proof⟩

end

class simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra = pseudo-hoop-algebra +
  assumes simple:  $\text{normalfilters} \cap \text{properfilters} = \{\{1\}\}$ 

class proper = one +
  assumes proper:  $\exists a . a \neq 1$ 

class strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra = pseudo-hoop-algebra +
  assumes strong-simple:  $\text{properfilters} = \{\{1\}\}$ 
begin

subclass proper
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-4-12-i-ii:  $a \neq 1 \implies \text{filterof}(\{a\}) = \text{UNIV}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-4-12-i-iii:  $a \neq 1 \implies (\exists n . a \wedge n \leq b)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-4-12-i-iv:  $a \neq 1 \implies (\exists n . a \rightarrow n \rightarrow b = 1)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma lemma-4-12-i-v:  $a \neq 1 \implies (\exists n . a \rightarrow n \rightarrow b = 1)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (in pseudo-hoop-algebra) [simp]:  $\{1\} \in \text{filters}$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

class strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra-a = pseudo-hoop-algebra + proper +

```

```

assumes strong-simple-a:  $a \neq 1 \implies \text{filterof}(\{a\}) = \text{UNIV}$ 
begin
  subclass strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra
     $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

sublocale strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra < strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra-a
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in pseudo-hoop-algebra) power-impl:  $b \text{ l} \rightarrow a = a \implies b \wedge^n \text{ l} \rightarrow a = a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma (in pseudo-hoop-algebra) power-impr:  $b \text{ r} \rightarrow a = a \implies b \wedge^n \text{ r} \rightarrow a = a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra
begin

lemma lemma-4-13-i:  $b \text{ l} \rightarrow a = a \implies a = 1 \vee b = 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma lemma-4-13-ii:  $b \text{ r} \rightarrow a = a \implies a = 1 \vee b = 1$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

class basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra-A = basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra +
  assumes left-impl-one:  $b \text{ l} \rightarrow a = a \implies a = 1 \vee b = 1$ 
  and right-impl-one:  $b \text{ r} \rightarrow a = a \implies a = 1 \vee b = 1$ 
begin
subclass linorder
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma [simp]:  $(a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) \text{ r} \rightarrow b \leq (b \text{ l} \rightarrow a) \text{ r} \rightarrow a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

context basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra-A begin

lemma [simp]:  $(a \text{ r} \rightarrow b) \text{ l} \rightarrow b \leq (b \text{ r} \rightarrow a) \text{ l} \rightarrow a$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

subclass wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

class strong-simple-basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra = strong-simple-pseudo-hoop-algebra
+ basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra

```

```

begin
subclass basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra-A
  ⟨proof⟩

subclass wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

9 Examples of Pseudo-Hoops

```

theory Examples
imports SpecialPseudoHoops LatticeProperties.Lattice-Ordered-Group
begin

```

```

declare add-uminus-conv-diff [simp del] right-minus [simp]
lemmas diff-minus = diff-conv-add-uminus

```

```

context lgroup
begin
lemma (in lgroup) less-eq-inf-2: (x ≤ y) = (inf y x = x)
  ⟨proof⟩
end

```

```

class lgroup-with-const = lgroup +
  fixes u::'a
  assumes [simp]: 0 ≤ u

```

```

definition G = {a::'a::lgroup-with-const. (0 ≤ a ∧ a ≤ u)}
typedef (overloaded) 'a G = G::'a::lgroup-with-const set
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instantiation G :: (lgroup-with-const) bounded-wajsberg-pseudo-hoop-algebra
begin

```

```

definition
  times-def: a * b ≡ Abs-G (sup (Rep-G a - u + Rep-G b) 0)

```

```

lemma [simp]: sup (Rep-G a - u + Rep-G b) 0 ∈ G
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition
  impl-def: a l→ b ≡ Abs-G ((Rep-G b - Rep-G a + u) ⊔ u)

```

lemma [simp]: $\text{inf } (\text{Rep-}G (b::'a G) - \text{Rep-}G a + u) u \in G$
<proof>

definition

impr-def: $a r \rightarrow b \equiv \text{Abs-}G (\text{inf } (u - \text{Rep-}G a + \text{Rep-}G b) u)$

lemma [simp]: $\text{inf } (u - \text{Rep-}G a + \text{Rep-}G b) u \in G$
<proof>

definition

one-def: $1 \equiv \text{Abs-}G u$

definition

zero-def: $0 \equiv \text{Abs-}G 0$

definition

order-def: $((a::'a G) \leq b) \equiv (a l \rightarrow b = 1)$

definition

strict-order-def: $(a::'a G) < b \equiv (a \leq b \wedge \neg b \leq a)$

definition

inf-def: $(a::'a G) \sqcap b = ((a l \rightarrow b) * a)$

lemma [simp]: $(u::'a) \in G$
<proof>

lemma [simp]: $(1::'a G) * a = a$
<proof>

lemma [simp]: $a * (1::'a G) = a$
<proof>

lemma [simp]: $a l \rightarrow a = (1::'a G)$
<proof>

lemma [simp]: $a r \rightarrow a = (1::'a G)$
<proof>

lemma [simp]: $a \in G \implies \text{Rep-}G (\text{Abs-}G a) = a$
<proof>

lemma *inf-def-1*: $((a::'a G) l \rightarrow b) * a = \text{Abs-}G (\text{inf } (\text{Rep-}G a) (\text{Rep-}G b))$
<proof>

lemma *inf-def-2*: $(a::'a G) * (a r \rightarrow b) = \text{Abs-}G (\text{inf } (\text{Rep-}G a) (\text{Rep-}G b))$
<proof>

lemma *Rep-G-order*: $(a \leq b) = (\text{Rep-}G a \leq \text{Rep-}G b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ded-left*: $((a::'a\ G) * b) l\rightarrow c = a l\rightarrow b l\rightarrow c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ded-right*: $((a::'a\ G) * b) r\rightarrow c = b r\rightarrow a r\rightarrow c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $0 \in G$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $0 \leq (a::'a\ G)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-W1*: $((a::'a\ G) l\rightarrow b) r\rightarrow b = (b l\rightarrow a) r\rightarrow a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *lemma-W2*: $((a::'a\ G) r\rightarrow b) l\rightarrow b = (b r\rightarrow a) l\rightarrow a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

context *order*
begin
definition
closed-interval:: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ set ($[[- , -] [0, 0] 900$) **where**
closed-interval $a\ b = \{c . a \leq c \wedge c \leq b\}$

definition
convex = $\{A . \forall a\ b . a \in A \wedge b \in A \longrightarrow [[a, b]] \subseteq A\}$

end

context *group-add*
begin
definition
subgroup = $\{A . 0 \in A \wedge (\forall a\ b . a \in A \wedge b \in A \longrightarrow a + b \in A \wedge -a \in A)\}$

lemma [*simp*]: $A \in subgroup \Longrightarrow 0 \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma [*simp*]: $A \in subgroup \Longrightarrow a \in A \Longrightarrow b \in A \Longrightarrow a + b \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minus-subgroup*: $A \in \text{subgroup} \implies -a \in A \implies a \in A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition

add-set:: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set (**infixl** +++ 70) **where**
add-set A B = {c . $\exists a \in A . \exists b \in B . c = a + b$ }

definition

normal = {A . ($\forall a . A \text{ +++ } \{a\} = \{a\} \text{ +++ } A$)}

end

context *lgroup*

begin

definition

lsubgroup = {A . $A \in \text{subgroup} \wedge (\forall a b . a \in A \wedge b \in A \longrightarrow \text{inf } a b \in A \wedge \text{sup } a b \in A)$ }

lemma *inf-lsubgroup*:

$A \in \text{lsubgroup} \implies a \in A \implies b \in A \implies \text{inf } a b \in A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sup-lsubgroup*:

$A \in \text{lsubgroup} \implies a \in A \implies b \in A \implies \text{sup } a b \in A$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

definition

$F K = \{a :: 'a G . (u :: 'a :: \text{lgroup-with-const}) - \text{Rep-G } a \in K\}$

lemma *F-def2*: $K \in \text{normal} \implies F K = \{a :: 'a G . - \text{Rep-G } a + (u :: 'a :: \text{lgroup-with-const}) \in K\}$

⟨*proof*⟩

context *lgroup* **begin**

lemma *sup-assoc-lgroup*: $a \sqcup b \sqcup c = a \sqcup (b \sqcup c)$

⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *normal-1*: $K \in \text{normal} \implies K \in \text{convex} \implies K \in \text{lsubgroup} \implies x \in \{a\}$

** $F K \implies x \in F K$ ** $\{a\}$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *normal-2*: $K \in \text{normal} \implies K \in \text{convex} \implies K \in \text{lsubgroup} \implies x \in F K$

** $\{a\} \implies x \in \{a\}$ ** $F K$

⟨*proof*⟩

lemma $K \in \text{normal} \implies K \in \text{convex} \implies K \in \text{lsubgroup} \implies F K \in \text{normalfilters}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $N = \{a :: 'a :: \text{lgroup}. a \leq 0\}$
typedef (overloaded) ($'a :: \text{lgroup}$) $N = N :: 'a :: \text{lgroup set}$
 ⟨proof⟩

class *cancel-product-pseudo-hoop-algebra* = *cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra* + *product-pseudo-hoop-algebra*

context *group-add*
begin
subclass *cancel-semigroup-add*
 ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation $N :: (\text{lgroup}) \text{pseudo-hoop-algebra}$
begin

definition
times-N-def: $a * b \equiv \text{Abs-N} (\text{Rep-N } a + \text{Rep-N } b)$

lemma [*simp*]: $\text{Rep-N } a + \text{Rep-N } b \in N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
impl-N-def: $a \text{ l} \rightarrow b \equiv \text{Abs-N} (\text{inf} (\text{Rep-N } b - \text{Rep-N } a) 0)$

definition
inf-N-def: $(a :: 'a N) \sqcap b = (a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) * a$

lemma [*simp*]: $\text{inf} (\text{Rep-N } b - \text{Rep-N } a) 0 \in N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
impr-N-def: $a \text{ r} \rightarrow b \equiv \text{Abs-N} (\text{inf} (- \text{Rep-N } a + \text{Rep-N } b) 0)$

lemma [*simp*]: $\text{inf} (- \text{Rep-N } a + \text{Rep-N } b) 0 \in N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition
one-N-def: $1 \equiv \text{Abs-N } 0$

lemma [*simp*]: $0 \in N$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

order-N-def: $((a::'a N) \leq b) \equiv (a \text{ l} \rightarrow b = 1)$

definition

strict-order-N-def: $(a::'a N) < b \equiv (a \leq b \wedge \neg b \leq a)$

lemma *order-Rep-N*:

$((a::'a N) \leq b) = (\text{Rep-N } a \leq \text{Rep-N } b)$
<proof>

lemma *order-Abs-N*:

$a \in N \implies b \in N \implies (a \leq b) = (\text{Abs-N } a \leq \text{Abs-N } b)$
<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: $(1::'a N) * a = a$
<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: $a * (1::'a N) = a$
<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: $a \text{ l} \rightarrow a = (1::'a N)$
<proof>

lemma [*simp*]: $a \text{ r} \rightarrow a = (1::'a N)$
<proof>

lemma *impl-times*: $(a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) * a = (b \text{ l} \rightarrow a) * (b::'a N)$
<proof>

lemma *impr-times*: $a * (a \text{ r} \rightarrow b) = (b::'a N) * (b \text{ r} \rightarrow a)$
<proof>

lemma *impr-impl-times*: $(a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) * a = (a::'a N) * (a \text{ r} \rightarrow b)$
<proof>

lemma *impl-ded*: $(a::'a N) * b \text{ l} \rightarrow c = a \text{ l} \rightarrow b \text{ l} \rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *impr-ded*: $(a::'a N) * b \text{ r} \rightarrow c = b \text{ r} \rightarrow a \text{ r} \rightarrow c$
<proof>

instance *<proof>*

end

lemma *Rep-N-inf*: $\text{Rep-N } ((a::'a::\text{lgroup } N) \sqcap b) = (\text{Rep-N } a) \sqcap (\text{Rep-N } b)$
<proof>

context *lgroup* **begin**

lemma *sup-inf-distrib2-lgroup*: $(b \sqcap c) \sqcup a = (b \sqcup a) \sqcap (c \sqcup a)$
<proof>

lemma *inf-sup-distrib2-lgroup*: $(b \sqcup c) \sqcap a = (b \sqcap a) \sqcup (c \sqcap a)$
<proof>

end

instantiation *N* :: (*lgroup*) *cancel-product-pseudo-hoop-algebra*
begin

lemma *cancel-times-left*: $(a::'a\ N) * b = a * c \implies b = c$
<proof>

lemma *cancel-times-right*: $b * (a::'a\ N) = c * a \implies b = c$
<proof>

lemma *prod-1*: $((a::'a\ N) \text{ l}\rightarrow b) \text{ l}\rightarrow c \leq ((b \text{ l}\rightarrow a) \text{ l}\rightarrow c) \text{ l}\rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *prod-2*: $((a::'a\ N) \text{ r}\rightarrow b) \text{ r}\rightarrow c \leq ((b \text{ r}\rightarrow a) \text{ r}\rightarrow c) \text{ r}\rightarrow c$
<proof>

lemma *prod-3*: $(b::'a\ N) \text{ l}\rightarrow b * b \leq a \sqcap (a \text{ l}\rightarrow b) \text{ l}\rightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *prod-4*: $(b::'a\ N) \text{ r}\rightarrow b * b \leq a \sqcap (a \text{ r}\rightarrow b) \text{ r}\rightarrow b$
<proof>

lemma *prod-5*: $((a::'a\ N) \text{ l}\rightarrow b) \text{ l}\rightarrow b * (c * a \text{ l}\rightarrow f * a) * (c * b \text{ l}\rightarrow f * b) \leq c \text{ l}\rightarrow f$
<proof>

lemma *prod-6*: $((a::'a\ N) \text{ r}\rightarrow b) \text{ r}\rightarrow b * (a * c \text{ r}\rightarrow a * f) * (b * c \text{ r}\rightarrow b * f) \leq c \text{ r}\rightarrow f$
<proof>

instance
<proof>

end

definition *OrdSum* =

$\{x. (\exists a::'a::\text{pseudo-hoop-algebra}. x = (a, 1::'b::\text{pseudo-hoop-algebra})) \vee (\exists b::'b. x = (1::'a, b))\}$

typedef (overloaded) ('a, 'b) *OrdSum* = *OrdSum* :: ('a::pseudo-hoop-algebra × 'b::pseudo-hoop-algebra) set
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]: (1, b) ∈ *OrdSum*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]: (a, 1) ∈ *OrdSum*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition

first x = *fst* (*Rep-OrdSum* x)

definition

second x = *snd* (*Rep-OrdSum* x)

lemma *if-unfold-left*: ((if a then b else c) = d) = ((a → b = d) ∧ (¬ a → c = d))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *if-unfold-right*: (d = (if a then b else c)) = ((a → d = b) ∧ (¬ a → d = c))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-snd-eq*: *fst* a = x ⇒ *snd* a = y ⇒ (x, y) = a
 ⟨proof⟩

instantiation *OrdSum* :: (pseudo-hoop-algebra, pseudo-hoop-algebra) pseudo-hoop-algebra
begin

definition

times-OrdSum-def: a * b ≡ (
 if *second* a = 1 ∧ *second* b = 1 then
 Abs-OrdSum (first a * first b, 1)
 else if *first* a = 1 ∧ *first* b = 1 then
 Abs-OrdSum (1, second a * second b)
 else if *first* a = 1 ∧ *second* b = 1 then
 b
 else
 a)

definition

one-OrdSum-def: 1 ≡ Abs-OrdSum (1, 1)

definition

impl-OrdSum-def: a l→ b ≡ (
 if *second* a = 1 ∧ *second* b = 1 then
 Abs-OrdSum (first a l→ first b, 1)

else if first a = 1 ∧ first b = 1 then
Abs-OrdSum (1, second a l→ second b)
else if first a = 1 ∧ second b = 1 then
b
else
1)

definition

impr-OrdSum-def: a r→ b ≡
(if second a = 1 ∧ second b = 1 then
Abs-OrdSum (first a r→ first b, 1)
else if first a = 1 ∧ first b = 1 then
Abs-OrdSum (1, second a r→ second b)
else if first a = 1 ∧ second b = 1 then
b
else
1)

definition

order-OrdSum-def: ((a::('a, 'b) OrdSum) ≤ b) ≡ (a l→ b = 1)

definition

*inf-OrdSum-def: (a::('a, 'b) OrdSum) □ b = (a l→ b) * a*

definition

strict-order-OrdSum-def: (a::('a, 'b) OrdSum) < b ≡ (a ≤ b ∧ ¬ b ≤ a)

lemma *OrdSum-first [simp]: (a, 1) ∈ OrdSum*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *OrdSum-second [simp]: (1, b) ∈ OrdSum*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Rep-OrdSum-eq: Rep-OrdSum x = Rep-OrdSum y ⇒ x = y*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *Abs-OrdSum-eq: x ∈ OrdSum ⇒ y ∈ OrdSum ⇒ Abs-OrdSum x = Abs-OrdSum y ⇒ x = y*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *[simp]: fst (Rep-OrdSum a) ≠ 1 ⇒ (snd (Rep-OrdSum a) ≠ 1 = False)*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-not-one-snd: fst (Rep-OrdSum a) ≠ 1 ⇒ (snd (Rep-OrdSum a) = 1)*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *snd-not-one-fst: snd (Rep-OrdSum a) ≠ 1 ⇒ (fst (Rep-OrdSum a) = 1)*

⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-not-one-simp* [*simp*]: $\text{fst} (\text{Rep-OrdSum } c) \neq 1 \implies \text{Abs-OrdSum} (\text{fst} (\text{Rep-OrdSum } c), 1) = c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *snd-not-one-simp* [*simp*]: $\text{snd} (\text{Rep-OrdSum } c) \neq 1 \implies \text{Abs-OrdSum} (1, \text{snd} (\text{Rep-OrdSum } c)) = c$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *A*: **fixes** $a b :: ('a, 'b) \text{OrdSum}$ **shows** $(a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) * a = a * (a \text{ r} \rightarrow b)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition
 $\text{Second} = \{x . \exists b . x = \text{Abs-OrdSum}(1 :: 'a, b :: 'b)\}$

end

lemma $\text{Second} \in \text{normalfilters}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

class *linear-pseudo-hoop-algebra* = *pseudo-hoop-algebra* + *linorder*

instantiation $\text{OrdSum} :: (\text{linear-pseudo-hoop-algebra}, \text{linear-pseudo-hoop-algebra})$
linear-pseudo-hoop-algebra

begin
instance
 ⟨*proof*⟩
end

instantiation $\text{bool} :: \text{pseudo-hoop-algebra}$

begin
definition *impl-bool-def*:
 $a \text{ l} \rightarrow b = (a \longrightarrow b)$

definition *impr-bool-def*:
 $a \text{ r} \rightarrow b = (a \longrightarrow b)$

definition *one-bool-def*:
 $1 = \text{True}$

definition *times-bool-def*:
 $a * b = (a \wedge b)$

lemma *inf-bool-def*: $(a :: \text{bool}) \sqcap b = (a \text{ l} \rightarrow b) * a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

```

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

context cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra begin end

lemma  $\neg$  class.cancel-pseudo-hoop-algebra (*) ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $l \rightarrow$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) ( $1 :: \text{bool}$ ) ( $r \rightarrow$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

context pseudo-hoop-algebra begin
lemma class.order: class.order ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ )
  ⟨proof⟩
end

lemma impl-OrdSum-first: Abs-OrdSum ( $x, 1$ )  $l \rightarrow$  Abs-OrdSum ( $y, 1$ ) = Abs-OrdSum
( $x \ l \rightarrow \ y, 1$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma impl-OrdSum-second: Abs-OrdSum ( $1, x$ )  $l \rightarrow$  Abs-OrdSum ( $1, y$ ) = Abs-OrdSum
( $1, x \ l \rightarrow \ y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma impl-OrdSum-first-second:  $x \neq 1 \implies$  Abs-OrdSum ( $x, 1$ )  $l \rightarrow$  Abs-OrdSum
( $1, y$ ) =  $1$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma Abs-OrdSum-bijective:  $x \in \text{OrdSum} \implies y \in \text{OrdSum} \implies$  (Abs-OrdSum  $x$ 
= Abs-OrdSum  $y$ ) = ( $x = y$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

context pseudo-hoop-algebra begin end

context linear-pseudo-hoop-algebra begin end
context basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra begin end

lemma class.pseudo-hoop-algebra (*) ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $l \rightarrow$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) ( $1 :: 'a :: \text{pseudo-hoop-algebra}$ )
( $r \rightarrow$ )
   $\implies \neg$  (class.linear-pseudo-hoop-algebra ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) (*) ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $l \rightarrow$ ) ( $1 :: 'a$ )
( $r \rightarrow$ ))
   $\implies \neg$  class.basic-pseudo-hoop-algebra (*) ( $\sqcap$ ) ( $l \rightarrow$ ) ( $\leq$ ) ( $<$ ) ( $1 :: ('a, \text{bool})$ 
OrdSum) ( $r \rightarrow$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```


References

- [1] B. Bosbach. Komplementäre halbgruppen. axiomatik und arithmetik. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 64:257 – 287, 1969.
- [2] B. Bosbach. Komplementäre halbgruppen. kongruenzen and quotienten. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 69:1 – 14, 1970.
- [3] R. Ceterchi. Pseudo-Wajsberg algebras. *Mult.-Valued Log.*, 6(1-2):67–88, 2001. G. C. Moisil memorial issue.
- [4] G. Georgescu, L. Leuştean, and V. Preoteasa. Pseudo-hoops. *Journal of Multiple-Valued Logic and Soft Computing*, 11(1-2):153 – 184, 2005.