

Formalizing Push-Relabel Algorithms

Peter Lammich and S. Reza Sefidgar

September 23, 2021

Abstract

We present a formalization of push-relabel algorithms for computing the maximum flow in a network. We start with Goldberg’s et al. generic push-relabel algorithm, for which we show correctness and the time complexity bound of $O(V^2E)$. We then derive the relabel-to-front and FIFO implementation. Using stepwise refinement techniques, we derive an efficient verified implementation.

Our formal proof of the abstract algorithms closely follows a standard textbook proof, and is accessible even without being an expert in Isabelle/HOL— the interactive theorem prover used for the formalization.

Contents

1	Introduction	5
2	Generic Push Relabel Algorithm	5
2.1	Labeling	5
2.2	Basic Operations	6
2.2.1	Augmentation of Edges	6
2.2.2	Push Operation	7
2.2.3	Relabel Operation	8
2.2.4	Initialization	8
2.3	Abstract Correctness	8
2.3.1	Maintenance of Invariants	9
2.3.2	Maxflow on Termination	9
2.4	Convenience Lemmas	10
2.5	Complexity	11
2.5.1	Auxiliary Lemmas	11
2.5.2	Height Bound	11
2.5.3	Formulation of the Abstract Algorithm	13
2.5.4	Saturating and Non-Saturating Push Operations	13
2.5.5	Refined Labeled Transition System	14
2.5.6	Bounding the Relabel Operations	15
2.5.7	Bounding the Saturating Push Operations	16
2.5.8	Bounding the Non-Saturating Push Operations	17
2.5.9	Assembling the Final Theorem	18
2.6	Main Theorem: Correctness and Complexity	18
2.7	Convenience Tools for Implementation	19
2.8	Gap Heuristics	20
2.8.1	Termination with Gap Heuristics	21
3	FIFO Push Relabel Algorithm	22
3.1	Implementing the Discharge Operation	22
3.2	Main Algorithm	24
4	Topological Ordering of Graphs	25
4.1	List-Before Relation	25
4.2	Topological Ordering	26
5	Relabel-to-Front Algorithm	27
5.1	Admissible Network	27
5.2	Neighbor Lists	28
5.3	Discharge Operation	29
5.4	Main Algorithm	32

6	Tools for Implementing Push-Relabel Algorithms	34
6.1	Basic Operations	34
6.1.1	Excess Map	34
6.1.2	Labeling	34
6.1.3	Label Frequency Counts for Gap Heuristics	35
6.2	Refinements to Basic Operations	36
6.2.1	Explicit Computation of the Excess	36
6.2.2	Algorithm to Compute Initial Excess and Flow	36
6.2.3	Computing the Minimal Adjacent Label	37
6.2.4	Refinement of Relabel	38
6.2.5	Refinement of Push	39
6.2.6	Adding frequency counters to labeling	39
6.2.7	Refinement of Gap-Heuristics	41
6.3	Refinement to Efficient Data Structures	43
6.3.1	Registration of Abstract Operations	43
6.3.2	Excess by Array	44
6.3.3	Labeling by Array	44
6.3.4	Label Frequency by Array	45
6.3.5	Combined Frequency Count and Labeling	45
6.3.6	Push	46
6.3.7	Relabel	46
6.3.8	Gap-Relabel	47
6.3.9	Initialization	47
7	Implementation of the FIFO Push/Relabel Algorithm	48
7.1	Basic Operations	48
7.1.1	Queue	48
7.2	Refinements to Basic Operations	49
7.2.1	Refinement of Push	49
7.2.2	Refinement of Gap-Relabel	50
7.2.3	Refinement of Discharge	51
7.2.4	Computing the Initial Queue	54
7.2.5	Refining the Main Algorithm	54
7.3	Separating out the Initialization of the Adjacency Matrix	55
7.4	Refinement To Efficient Data Structures	56
7.4.1	Registration of Abstract Operations	56
7.4.2	Queue by Two Stacks	57
7.4.3	Push	58
7.4.4	Gap-Relabel	58
7.4.5	Discharge	58
7.4.6	Computing the Initial State	59
7.4.7	Main Algorithm	59
7.5	Combining the Refinement Steps	60

7.6	Combination with Network Checker and Main Correctness Theorem	60
7.6.1	Justification of Splitting into Prepare and Run Phase	61
7.7	Usage Example: Computing Maxflow Value	62
8	Implementation of Relabel-to-Front	62
8.1	Basic Operations	63
8.1.1	Neighbor Lists	63
8.2	Refinement to Basic Operations	63
8.2.1	Discharge	63
8.2.2	Initialization of Queue	65
8.2.3	Main Algorithm	65
8.3	Refinement to Efficient Data Structures	66
8.3.1	Neighbor Lists by Array of Lists	67
8.3.2	Discharge	68
8.3.3	Initialization of Queue	68
8.3.4	Main Algorithm	68
8.4	Combination with Network Checker and Correctness	68
9	Conclusion	70

1 Introduction

Computing the maximum flow of a network is an important problem in graph theory. Many other problems, like maximum-bipartite-matching, edge-disjoint-paths, circulation-demand, as well as various scheduling and resource allocating problems can be reduced to it.

The practically most efficient algorithms to solve the maximum flow problem are push-relabel algorithms [3]. In this entry, we present a formalization of Goldberg's et al. generic push-relabel algorithm [5], and two instances: The relabel-to-front algorithm [4] and the FIFO push-relabel algorithm [5]. Using stepwise refinement techniques [9, 1, 2], we derive efficient verified implementations. Moreover, we show that the generic push-relabel algorithm has a time complexity of $O(V^2E)$.

This entry re-uses and extends theory developed for our formalization of the Edmonds-Karp maximum flow algorithm [6, 7].

While there exists another formalization of the Ford-Fulkerson method in Mizar [8], we are, to the best of our knowledge, the first that verify a polynomial maximum flow algorithm, prove a polynomial complexity bound, or provide a verified executable implementation.

2 Generic Push Relabel Algorithm

```
theory Generic-Push-Relabel
imports
  Flow-Networks.Fofu-Abs-Base
  Flow-Networks.Ford-Fulkerson
begin
```

2.1 Labeling

The central idea of the push-relabel algorithm is to add natural number labels $l : node \Rightarrow nat$ to each node, and maintain the invariant that for all edges (u,v) in the residual graph, we have $l\ u \leq l\ v + 1$.

```
type-synonym labeling = node  $\Rightarrow$  nat
```

```
locale Labeling = NPreflow +
  fixes  $l :: labeling$ 
  assumes valid:  $(u,v) \in cf.E \implies l(u) \leq l(v) + 1$ 
  assumes lab-src[simp]:  $l\ s = card\ V$ 
  assumes lab-sink[simp]:  $l\ t = 0$ 
begin
```

Generalizing validity to paths

```
lemma gen-valid:  $l(u) \leq l(x) + length\ p$  if cf.isPath  $u\ p\ x$ 
  <proof>
```

In a valid labeling, there cannot be an augmenting path [Cormen 26.17].

The proof works by contradiction, using the validity constraint to show that any augmenting path would be too long for a simple path.

theorem *no-augmenting-path*: $\neg isAugmentingPath\ p$
 ⟨proof⟩

The idea of push relabel algorithms is to maintain a valid labeling, and, ultimately, arrive at a valid flow, i.e., no nodes have excess flow. We then immediately get that the flow is maximal:

corollary *no-excess-imp-maxflow*:
assumes $\forall u \in V - \{s, t\}. excess\ f\ u = 0$
shows *isMaxFlow* f
 ⟨proof⟩

end — Labeling

2.2 Basic Operations

The operations of the push relabel algorithm are local operations on single nodes and edges.

2.2.1 Augmentation of Edges

context *Network*
begin

We define a function to augment a single edge in the residual graph.

definition *augment-edge* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow -
where *augment-edge* $f \equiv \lambda(u, v). \Delta.$
if $(u, v) \in E$ *then* $f(u, v) := f(u, v) + \Delta$)
else if $(v, u) \in E$ *then* $f(v, u) := f(v, u) - \Delta$)
else f

lemma *augment-edge-zero[simp]*: *augment-edge* $f\ e\ 0 = f$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *augment-edge-same[simp]*: $e \in E \implies \text{augment-edge } f\ e\ \Delta\ e = f\ e + \Delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *augment-edge-other[simp]*: $\llbracket e \in E; e' \neq e \rrbracket \implies \text{augment-edge } f\ e\ \Delta\ e' = f\ e'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *augment-edge-rev-same[simp]*:
 $(v, u) \in E \implies \text{augment-edge } f\ (u, v)\ \Delta\ (v, u) = f\ (v, u) - \Delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *augment-edge-rev-other*[simp]:

$\llbracket (u,v) \notin E; e' \neq (v,u) \rrbracket \implies \text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ \Delta \ e' = f \ e'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *augment-edge-cf*[simp]: $(u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1} \implies$

$\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ \Delta)$
 $= (\text{cf-of } f) \ (u,v) := \text{cf-of } f \ (u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := \text{cf-of } f \ (v,u) + \Delta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *augment-edge-cf'*: $(u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \implies$

$\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ \Delta)$
 $= (\text{cf-of } f) \ (u,v) := \text{cf-of } f \ (u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := \text{cf-of } f \ (v,u) + \Delta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The effect of augmenting an edge on the residual graph

definition (**in** $-$) *augment-edge-cf* :: $- \text{ flow} \Rightarrow - \text{ where}$

$\text{augment-edge-cf } cf$
 $\equiv \lambda(u,v) \ \Delta. (\text{cf}) \ (u,v) := \text{cf} \ (u,v) - \Delta, (v,u) := \text{cf} \ (v,u) + \Delta$

lemma *cf-of-augment-edge*:

assumes $A: (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$
shows $\text{cf-of } (\text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ \Delta) = \text{augment-edge-cf} \ (\text{cf-of } f) \ (u,v) \ \Delta$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cfE-augment-ss*:

assumes $EDGE: (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$
shows $\text{cfE-of } (\text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ \Delta) \subseteq \text{insert} \ (v,u) \ (\text{cfE-of } f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Network

context *NPreflow* **begin**

Augmenting an edge (u,v) with a flow Δ that does not exceed the available edge capacity, nor the available excess flow on the source node, preserves the preflow property.

lemma *augment-edge-preflow-preserve*: $\llbracket 0 \leq \Delta; \Delta \leq \text{cf} \ (u,v); \Delta \leq \text{excess } f \ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{Preflow } c \ s \ t \ (\text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ \Delta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Network with Preflow

2.2.2 Push Operation

context *Network*

begin

The push operation pushes as much flow as possible flow from an active node over an admissible edge.

A node is called *active* if it has positive excess, and an edge (u,v) of the residual graph is called admissible, if $l\ u = l\ v + (1::'a)$.

definition *push-precond* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow labeling \Rightarrow edge \Rightarrow bool
where *push-precond* $f\ l$
 $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{ excess } f\ u > 0 \wedge (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \wedge l\ u = l\ v + 1$

The maximum possible flow is determined by the available excess flow at the source node and the available capacity of the edge.

definition *push-effect* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow edge \Rightarrow 'capacity flow
where *push-effect* f
 $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{ augment-edge } f\ (u,v)\ (\min\ (\text{excess } f\ u)\ (\text{cf-of } f\ (u,v)))$

lemma *push-precondI*[intro?]:
 $\llbracket \text{excess } f\ u > 0; (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f; l\ u = l\ v + 1 \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{push-precond } f\ l\ (u,v)$
<proof>

2.2.3 Relabel Operation

An active node (not the sink) without any outgoing admissible edges can be relabeled.

definition *relabel-precond* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow labeling \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow bool
where *relabel-precond* $f\ l\ u$
 $\equiv u \neq t \wedge \text{ excess } f\ u > 0 \wedge (\forall v. (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \longrightarrow l\ u \neq l\ v + 1)$

The new label is computed from the neighbour's labels, to be the minimum value that will create an outgoing admissible edge.

definition *relabel-effect* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow labeling \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow labeling
where *relabel-effect* $f\ l\ u$
 $\equiv l\ (u := \text{Min } \{ l\ v \mid v. (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f \} + 1)$

2.2.4 Initialization

The initial preflow exhausts all outgoing edges of the source node.

definition *pp-init-f* $\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{ if } (u=s) \text{ then } c\ (u,v) \text{ else } 0$

The initial labeling labels the source with $|V|$, and all other nodes with 0.

definition *pp-init-l* $\equiv (\lambda x. 0)(s := \text{card } V)$

end — Network

2.3 Abstract Correctness

We formalize the abstract correctness argument of the algorithm. It consists of two parts:

1. Execution of push and relabel operations maintain a valid labeling
2. If no push or relabel operations can be executed, the preflow is actually a flow.

This section corresponds to the proof of [Cormen 26.18].

2.3.1 Maintenance of Invariants

context *Network*
begin

lemma *pp-init-invar: Labeling c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pp-init-f-preflow: NPreflow c s t pp-init-f*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end — Network

context *Labeling*
begin

Push operations preserve a valid labeling [Cormen 26.16].

theorem *push-pres-Labeling:*
assumes *push-precond f l e*
shows *Labeling c s t (push-effect f e) l*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *finite-min-cf-outgoing[simp, intro!]: finite {l v | v. (u, v) ∈ cf.E}*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Relabel operations preserve a valid labeling [Cormen 26.16]. Moreover, they increase the label of the relabeled node [Cormen 26.15].

theorem
assumes *PRE: relabel-precond f l u*
shows *relabel-increase-u: relabel-effect f l u u > l u (is ?G1)*
and *relabel-pres-Labeling: Labeling c s t f (relabel-effect f l u) (is ?G2)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *relabel-preserve-other: u ≠ v ⇒ relabel-effect f l u v = l v*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

2.3.2 Maxflow on Termination

If no push or relabel operations can be performed any more, we have arrived at a maximal flow.

theorem *push-relabel-term-imp-maxflow:*

assumes *no-push*: $\forall (u,v) \in cf.E. \neg push-precond\ f\ l\ (u,v)$
assumes *no-relabel*: $\forall u. \neg relabel-precond\ f\ l\ u$
shows *isMaxFlow* *f*
 <proof>

end — Labeling

2.4 Convenience Lemmas

We define a locale to reflect the effect of a push operation

locale *push-effect-locale* = *Labeling* +
fixes *u v*
assumes *PRE*: *push-precond* *f l (u,v)*
begin
abbreviation *f'* $\equiv push-effect\ f\ (u,v)$
sublocale *l'*: *Labeling* *c s t f' l*
 <proof>

lemma *uv-cf-edge*[*simp, intro!*]: $(u,v) \in cf.E$
 <proof>

lemma *excess-u-pos*: *excess f u* > 0
 <proof>

lemma *l-u-eq*[*simp*]: $l\ u = l\ v + 1$
 <proof>

lemma *uv-edge-cases*:
obtains (*par*) $(u,v) \in E \quad (v,u) \notin E$
 | (*rev*) $(v,u) \in E \quad (u,v) \notin E$
 <proof>

lemma *uv-nodes*[*simp, intro!*]: $u \in V \quad v \in V$
 <proof>

lemma *uv-not-eq*[*simp*]: $u \neq v \quad v \neq u$
 <proof>

definition $\Delta = \min (excess\ f\ u) (cf-of\ f\ (u,v))$

lemma Δ -*positive*: $\Delta > 0$
 <proof>

lemma *f'-alt*: $f' = augment-edge\ f\ (u,v)\ \Delta$
 <proof>

lemma *cf'-alt*: $l'.cf = augment-edge-cf\ cf\ (u,v)\ \Delta$
 <proof>

lemma *excess'-u*[*simp*]: $excess\ f'\ u = excess\ f\ u - \Delta$
 <proof>

lemma *excess'-v[simp]*: $excess\ f'\ v = excess\ f\ v + \Delta$
<proof>

lemma *excess'-other[simp]*:
assumes $x \neq u \quad x \neq v$
shows $excess\ f'\ x = excess\ f\ x$
<proof>

lemma *excess'-if*:
 $excess\ f'\ x =$ (
 if $x=u$ *then* $excess\ f\ u - \Delta$
 else if $x=v$ *then* $excess\ f\ v + \Delta$
 else $excess\ f\ x$)
<proof>

end — Push Effect Locale

2.5 Complexity

Next, we analyze the complexity of the generic push relabel algorithm. We will show that it has a complexity of $O(V^2E)$ basic operations. Here, we often trade precise estimation of constant factors for simplicity of the proof.

2.5.1 Auxiliary Lemmas

context *Network*
begin

lemma *cardE-nz-aux[simp, intro!]*:
 $card\ E \neq 0 \quad card\ E \geq Suc\ 0 \quad card\ E > 0$
<proof>

The number of nodes can be estimated by the number of edges. This estimation is done in various places to get smoother bounds.

lemma *card-V-est-E*: $card\ V \leq 2 * card\ E$
<proof>

end

2.5.2 Height Bound

A crucial idea of estimating the complexity is the insight that no label will exceed $2|V|-1$ during the algorithm.

We define a locale that states this invariant, and show that the algorithm maintains it. This corresponds to the proof of [Cormen 26.20].

locale *Height-Bounded-Labeling* = *Labeling* +
assumes *height-bound*: $\forall u \in V. l\ u \leq 2 * \text{card } V - 1$
begin
lemma *height-bound'*: $u \in V \implies l\ u \leq 2 * \text{card } V - 1$
 <proof>
end

lemma (**in** *Network*) *pp-init-height-bound*:
Height-Bounded-Labeling *c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l*
 <proof>

context *Height-Bounded-Labeling*
begin

As push does not change the labeling, it trivially preserves the height bound.

lemma *push-pres-height-bound*:
assumes *push-precond f l e*
shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling* *c s t (push-effect f e) l*
 <proof>

In a valid labeling, any active node has a (simple) path to the source node in the residual graph [Cormen 26.19].

lemma (**in** *Labeling*) *excess-imp-source-path*:
assumes *excess f u > 0*
obtains *p* **where** *cf.isSimplePath u p s*
 <proof>

Relabel operations preserve the height bound [Cormen 26.20].

lemma *relabel-pres-height-bound*:
assumes *relabel-precond f l u*
shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling* *c s t f (relabel-effect f l u)*
 <proof>

Thus, the total number of relabel operations is bounded by $O(V^2)$ [Cormen 26.21].

We express this bound by defining a measure function, and show that it is decreased by relabel operations.

definition (**in** *Network*) *sum-heights-measure* $l \equiv \sum_{v \in V}. 2 * \text{card } V - l\ v$

corollary *relabel-measure*:
assumes *relabel-precond f l u*
shows *sum-heights-measure (relabel-effect f l u) < sum-heights-measure l*
 <proof>
end — Height Bounded Labeling

lemma (**in** *Network*) *sum-height-measure-is-OV2*:
*sum-heights-measure l ≤ 2 * (card V)²*
 <proof>

2.5.3 Formulation of the Abstract Algorithm

We give a simple relational characterization of the abstract algorithm as a labeled transition system, where the labels indicate the type of operation (push or relabel) that have been executed.

context *Network*
begin

datatype *pr-operation* = *is-PUSH: PUSH* | *is-RELABEL: RELABEL*

inductive-set *pr-algo-lts*

:: (('capacity flow × labeling) × *pr-operation* × ('capacity flow × labeling)) *set*

where

push: $\llbracket \text{push-precond } f \ l \ e \rrbracket$

$\implies ((f, l), \text{PUSH}, (\text{push-effect } f \ e, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$

| *relabel*: $\llbracket \text{relabel-precond } f \ l \ u \rrbracket$

$\implies ((f, l), \text{RELABEL}, (f, \text{relabel-effect } f \ l \ u)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$

end — *Network*

We show invariant maintenance and correctness on termination

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *pr-algo-maintains-hb-labeling*:

assumes $((f, l), a, (f', l')) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}$

shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f' l'*

<proof>

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *pr-algo-term-maxflow*:

assumes $(f, l) \notin \text{Domain pr-algo-lts}$

shows *isMaxFlow f*

<proof>

2.5.4 Saturating and Non-Saturating Push Operations

context *Network*
begin

For complexity estimation, it is distinguished whether a push operation saturates the edge or not.

definition *sat-push-precond* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow labeling \Rightarrow edge \Rightarrow bool

where *sat-push-precond f l*

$\equiv \lambda(u, v). \text{excess } f \ u > 0$

$\wedge \text{excess } f \ u \geq \text{cf-of } f \ (u, v)$

$\wedge (u, v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$

$\wedge l \ u = l \ v + 1$

definition *nonsat-push-precond* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow labeling \Rightarrow edge \Rightarrow bool

where *nonsat-push-precond f l*

$\equiv \lambda(u, v). \text{excess } f \ u > 0$

$\wedge \text{excess } f \ u < \text{cf-of } f \ (u,v)$
 $\wedge (u,v) \in \text{cfE-of } f$
 $\wedge l \ u = l \ v + 1$

lemma *push-precond-eq-sat-or-nonsat*:

$\text{push-precond } f \ l \ e \longleftrightarrow \text{sat-push-precond } f \ l \ e \vee \text{nonsat-push-precond } f \ l \ e$
<proof>

lemma *sat-nonsat-push-disj*:

$\text{sat-push-precond } f \ l \ e \implies \neg \text{nonsat-push-precond } f \ l \ e$
 $\text{nonsat-push-precond } f \ l \ e \implies \neg \text{sat-push-precond } f \ l \ e$
<proof>

lemma *sat-push-alt*: $\text{sat-push-precond } f \ l \ e$

$\implies \text{push-effect } f \ e = \text{augment-edge } f \ e \ (\text{cf-of } f \ e)$
<proof>

lemma *nonsat-push-alt*: $\text{nonsat-push-precond } f \ l \ (u,v)$

$\implies \text{push-effect } f \ (u,v) = \text{augment-edge } f \ (u,v) \ (\text{excess } f \ u)$
<proof>

end — Network

context *push-effect-locale*

begin

lemma *nonsat-push-Δ*: $\text{nonsat-push-precond } f \ l \ (u,v) \implies \Delta = \text{excess } f \ u$
<proof>

lemma *sat-push-Δ*: $\text{sat-push-precond } f \ l \ (u,v) \implies \Delta = \text{cf} \ (u,v)$
<proof>

end

2.5.5 Refined Labeled Transition System

context *Network*

begin

For simpler reasoning, we make explicit the different push operations, and integrate the invariant into the LTS

datatype *pr-operation'* =

$\text{is-RELABEL}' : \text{RELABEL}'$
 $\mid \text{is-NONSAT-PUSH}' : \text{NONSAT-PUSH}'$
 $\mid \text{is-SAT-PUSH}' : \text{SAT-PUSH}' \ \text{edge}$

inductive-set *pr-algo-lts'* **where**

$\text{nonsat-push}' : \llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c \ s \ t \ f \ l ; \text{nonsat-push-precond } f \ l \ e \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((f,l), \text{NONSAT-PUSH}', (\text{push-effect } f \ e, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}'$
 $\mid \text{sat-push}' : \llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c \ s \ t \ f \ l ; \text{sat-push-precond } f \ l \ e \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((f,l), \text{SAT-PUSH}' \ e, (\text{push-effect } f \ e, l)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}'$

| *relabel'*: $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c \ s \ t \ f \ l; \text{relabel-precond } f \ l \ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((f,l), \text{RELABEL}', (f, \text{relabel-effect } f \ l \ u)) \in \text{pr-algo-lts}'$

fun *project-operation* **where**
project-operation *RELABEL'* = *RELABEL*
| *project-operation* *NONSAT-PUSH'* = *PUSH*
| *project-operation* (*SAT-PUSH'* -) = *PUSH*

lemma *is-RELABEL-project-conv[simp]*:
is-RELABEL \circ *project-operation* = *is-RELABEL'*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *is-PUSH-project-conv[simp]*:
is-PUSH \circ *project-operation* = $(\lambda x. \text{is-SAT-PUSH}' \ x \ \vee \ \text{is-NONSAT-PUSH}' \ x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Network

context *Height-Bounded-Labeling*
begin

lemma (**in** *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *xfer-run*:
assumes $((f,l), p, (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}$
obtains *p'* **where** $((f,l), p', (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}'$
and $p = \text{map } \text{project-operation } p'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *xfer-relabel-bound*:
assumes *BOUND*: $\forall p'. ((f,l), p', (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}'$
 $\implies \text{length } (\text{filter } \text{is-RELABEL}' \ p') \leq B$
assumes *RUN*: $((f,l), p, (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter } \text{is-RELABEL} \ p) \leq B$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *xfer-push-bounds*:
assumes *BOUND-SAT*: $\forall p'. ((f,l), p', (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}'$
 $\implies \text{length } (\text{filter } \text{is-SAT-PUSH}' \ p') \leq B1$
assumes *BOUND-NONSAT*: $\forall p'. ((f,l), p', (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}'$
 $\implies \text{length } (\text{filter } \text{is-NONSAT-PUSH}' \ p') \leq B2$
assumes *RUN*: $((f,l), p, (f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}$
shows $\text{length } (\text{filter } \text{is-PUSH} \ p) \leq B1 + B2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Height Bounded Labeling

2.5.6 Bounding the Relabel Operations

lemma (**in** *Network*) *relabel-action-bound'*:

assumes $A: (fxl, p, fxl') \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$
shows $\text{length}(\text{filter}(\text{is-RELABEL}') p) \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *relabel-action-bound*:

assumes $A: ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}$
shows $\text{length}(\text{filter}(\text{is-RELABEL}') p) \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.5.7 Bounding the Saturating Push Operations

context *Network*

begin

The basic idea is to estimate the saturating push operations per edge: After a saturating push, the edge disappears from the residual graph. It can only re-appear due to a push over the reverse edge, which requires relabeling of the nodes.

The estimation in [Cormen 26.22] uses the same idea. However, it invests some extra work in getting a more precise constant factor by counting the pushes for an edge and its reverse edge together.

lemma *labels-path-increasing*:

assumes $((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$
shows $l u \leq l' u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *edge-reappears-at-increased-labeling*:

assumes $((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$
assumes $l u \geq l v + 1$
assumes $(u, v) \notin \text{cfE-of } f$
assumes $E': (u, v) \in \text{cfE-of } f'$
shows $l v < l' v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat-push-edge-action-bound'*:

assumes $((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$
shows $\text{length}(\text{filter}((=) (\text{SAT-PUSH}' e)) p) \leq 2 * \text{card } V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sat-push-action-bound'*:

assumes $A: ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in \text{trcl pr-algo-lts}'$
shows $\text{length}(\text{filter is-SAT-PUSH}' p) \leq 4 * \text{card } V * \text{card } E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — *Network*

2.5.8 Bounding the Non-Saturating Push Operations

For estimating the number of non-saturating push operations, we define a potential function that is the sum of the labels of all active nodes, and examine the effect of the operations on this potential:

- A non-saturating push deactivates the source node and may activate the target node. As the source node's label is higher, the potential decreases.
- A saturating push may activate a node, thus increasing the potential by $O(V)$.
- A relabel operation may increase the potential by $O(V)$.

As there are at most $O(V^2)$ relabel and $O(VE)$ saturating push operations, the above bounds suffice to yield an $O(V^2E)$ bound for the non-saturating push operations.

This argumentation corresponds to [Cormen 26.23].

Sum of heights of all active nodes

definition (in *Network*) *nonsat-potential* $fl \equiv \text{sum } l \{v \in V. \text{ excess } f v > 0\}$

context *Height-Bounded-Labeling*

begin

The potential does not exceed $O(V^2)$.

lemma *nonsat-potential-bound*:

shows *nonsat-potential* $fl \leq 2 * (\text{card } V)^2$
<proof>

A non-saturating push decreases the potential.

lemma *nonsat-push-decr-nonsat-potential*:

assumes *nonsat-push-precond* $fl e$
shows *nonsat-potential* (*push-effect* $f e$) $l < \text{nonsat-potential } fl$
<proof>

A saturating push increases the potential by $O(V)$.

lemma *sat-push-nonsat-potential*:

assumes *PRE: sat-push-precond* $fl e$
shows *nonsat-potential* (*push-effect* $f e$) l
 $\leq \text{nonsat-potential } fl + 2 * \text{card } V$
<proof>

A relabeling increases the potential by at most $O(V)$

lemma *relabel-nonsat-potential*:

assumes *PRE: relabel-precond* $fl u$

shows *nonsat-potential* f (*relabel-effect* $f l u$)
 \leq *nonsat-potential* $f l + 2 * \text{card } V$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Height Bounded Labeling

context *Network*
begin

lemma *nonsat-push-action-bound'*:
assumes $A: ((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}'$
shows *length* (*filter is-NONSAT-PUSH'* p) $\leq 18 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Network

2.5.9 Assembling the Final Theorem

We combine the bounds for saturating and non-saturating push operations.

lemma (*in Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *push-action-bound*:
assumes $A: ((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}$
shows *length* (*filter (is-PUSH)* p) $\leq 22 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

We estimate the cost of a push by $O(1)$, and of a relabel operation by $O(V)$

fun (*in Network*) *cost-estimate* $:: \text{pr-operation} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{cost-estimate } \text{RELABEL} = \text{card } V$
 $\text{cost-estimate } \text{PUSH} = 1$

We show the complexity bound of $O(V^2E)$ when starting from any valid labeling [Cormen 26.24].

theorem (*in Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *pr-algo-cost-bound*:
assumes $A: ((f,l),p,(f',l')) \in \text{trcl } \text{pr-algo-lts}$
shows $(\sum a \leftarrow p. \text{cost-estimate } a) \leq 26 * (\text{card } V)^2 * \text{card } E$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.6 Main Theorem: Correctness and Complexity

Finally, we state the main theorem of this section: If the algorithm executes some steps from the beginning, then

1. If no further steps are possible from the reached state, we have computed a maximum flow [Cormen 26.18].
2. The cost of these steps is bounded by $O(V^2E)$ [Cormen 26.24]. Note that this also implies termination.

theorem (in *Network*) *generic-preflow-push-OV2E-and-correct*:
assumes $A: ((pp\text{-}init\text{-}f, pp\text{-}init\text{-}l), p, (f, l)) \in trcl\ pr\text{-}algo\text{-}lts$
shows $(\sum x \leftarrow p. cost\text{-}estimate\ x) \leq 26 * (card\ V)^2 * card\ E$ (is ?G1)
and $(f, l) \notin Domain\ pr\text{-}algo\text{-}lts \longrightarrow isMaxFlow\ f$ (is ?G2)
⟨proof⟩

2.7 Convenience Tools for Implementation

context *Network*
begin

In order to show termination of the algorithm, we only need a well-founded relation over push and relabel steps

inductive-set *pr-algo-rel* **where**
push: $\llbracket Height\text{-}Bounded\text{-}Labeling\ c\ s\ t\ f\ l; push\text{-}precond\ f\ l\ e \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((push\text{-}effect\ f\ e, l), (f, l)) \in pr\text{-}algo\text{-}rel$
relabel: $\llbracket Height\text{-}Bounded\text{-}Labeling\ c\ s\ t\ f\ l; relabel\text{-}precond\ f\ l\ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((f, relabel\text{-}effect\ f\ l\ u), (f, l)) \in pr\text{-}algo\text{-}rel$

lemma *pr-algo-rel-alt*: $pr\text{-}algo\text{-}rel =$
 $\{ ((push\text{-}effect\ f\ e, l), (f, l)) \mid f\ e\ l. Height\text{-}Bounded\text{-}Labeling\ c\ s\ t\ f\ l \wedge push\text{-}precond\ f\ l\ e \}$
 $\cup \{ ((f, relabel\text{-}effect\ f\ l\ u), (f, l)) \mid f\ u\ l. Height\text{-}Bounded\text{-}Labeling\ c\ s\ t\ f\ l \wedge relabel\text{-}precond\ f\ l\ u \}$
⟨proof⟩

definition *pr-algo-len-bound* $\equiv 2 * (card\ V)^2 + 22 * (card\ V)^2 * card\ E$

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *pr-algo-lts-length-bound*:
assumes $A: ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in trcl\ pr\text{-}algo\text{-}lts$
shows $length\ p \leq pr\text{-}algo\text{-}len\text{-}bound$
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *path-set-finite*:
finite $\{p. \exists f' l'. ((f, l), p, (f', l')) \in trcl\ pr\text{-}algo\text{-}lts\}$
⟨proof⟩

definition *pr-algo-measure*
 $\equiv \lambda(f, l). Max\ \{length\ p \mid p. \exists aa\ ba. ((f, l), p, aa, ba) \in trcl\ pr\text{-}algo\text{-}lts\}$

lemma *pr-algo-measure*:
assumes $(fl', fl) \in pr\text{-}algo\text{-}rel$
shows $pr\text{-}algo\text{-}measure\ fl' < pr\text{-}algo\text{-}measure\ fl$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf-pr-algo-rel[simp, intro]*: $wf\ pr\text{-}algo\text{-}rel$
⟨proof⟩

end — Network

2.8 Gap Heuristics

context *Network*

begin

If we find a label value k that is assigned to no node, we may relabel all nodes v with $k < l v < \text{card } V$ to $\text{card } V + 1$.

definition *gap-precond* $l k \equiv \forall v \in V. l v \neq k$

definition *gap-effect* $l k$

$\equiv \lambda v. \text{if } k < l v \wedge l v < \text{card } V \text{ then } \text{card } V + 1 \text{ else } l v$

The gap heuristics preserves a valid labeling.

lemma (in *Labeling*) *gap-pres-Labeling*:

assumes *PRE*: *gap-precond* $l k$

defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-effect } l k$

shows *Labeling* $c s t f l'$

<proof>

The gap heuristics also preserves the height bounds.

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *gap-pres-hb-labeling*:

assumes *PRE*: *gap-precond* $l k$

defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-effect } l k$

shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling* $c s t f l'$

<proof>

We combine the regular relabel operation with the gap heuristics: If relabeling results in a gap, the gap heuristics is applied immediately.

definition *gap-relabel-effect* $f l u \equiv \text{let } l' = \text{relabel-effect } f l u \text{ in}$

if (gap-precond } l' (l u)) \text{ then } \text{gap-effect } l' (l u) \text{ else } l'

The combined gap-relabel operation preserves a valid labeling.

lemma (in *Labeling*) *gap-relabel-pres-Labeling*:

assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$

defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u$

shows *Labeling* $c s t f l'$

<proof>

The combined gap-relabel operation preserves the height-bound.

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *gap-relabel-pres-hb-labeling*:

assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f l u$

defines $l' \equiv \text{gap-relabel-effect } f l u$

shows *Height-Bounded-Labeling* $c s t f l'$

<proof>

2.8.1 Termination with Gap Heuristics

Intuitively, the algorithm with the gap heuristics terminates because relabeling according to the gap heuristics preserves the invariant and increases some labels towards their upper bound.

Formally, the simplest way is to combine a heights measure function with the already established measure for the standard algorithm:

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *gap-measure*:
assumes *gap-precond* $l\ k$
shows $\text{sum-heights-measure } (\text{gap-effect } l\ k) \leq \text{sum-heights-measure } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (in *Height-Bounded-Labeling*) *gap-relabel-measure*:
assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond* $f\ l\ u$
shows $\text{sum-heights-measure } (\text{gap-relabel-effect } f\ l\ u) < \text{sum-heights-measure } l$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Analogously to *pr-algo-rel*, we provide a well-founded relation that overapproximates the steps of a push-relabel algorithm with gap heuristics.

inductive-set *gap-algo-rel* **where**
push: $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c\ s\ t\ f\ l; \text{push-precond } f\ l\ e \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((\text{push-effect } f\ e, l), (f, l)) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}$
| *relabel*: $\llbracket \text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c\ s\ t\ f\ l; \text{relabel-precond } f\ l\ u \rrbracket$
 $\implies ((f, \text{gap-relabel-effect } f\ l\ u), (f, l)) \in \text{gap-algo-rel}$

lemma *wf-gap-algo-rel*[*simp, intro!*]: *wf gap-algo-rel*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end — Network

end
theory *Prpu-Common-Inst*
imports
Flow-Networks.Refine-Add-Fofu
Generic-Push-Relabel
begin

context *Network*

begin
definition *relabel* $f\ l\ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\text{assert } (\text{Height-Bounded-Labeling } c\ s\ t\ f\ l);$
 $\text{assert } (\text{relabel-precond } f\ l\ u);$
 $\text{assert } (u \in V - \{s, t\});$
 $\text{return } (\text{relabel-effect } f\ l\ u)$
 $\}$

definition *gap-relabel* $f\ l\ u \equiv \text{do } \{$

```

  assert ( $u \in V - \{s, t\}$ );
  assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling  $c\ s\ t\ f\ l$ );
  assert (relabel-precond  $f\ l\ u$ );
  assert ( $l\ u < 2 * \text{card } V \wedge \text{relabel-effect } f\ l\ u\ u < 2 * \text{card } V$ );
  return (gap-relabel-effect  $f\ l\ u$ )
}

```

```

definition push  $f\ l \equiv \lambda(u, v). \text{ do } \{$ 
  assert (push-precond  $f\ l\ (u, v)$ );
  assert (Labeling  $c\ s\ t\ f\ l$ );
  return (push-effect  $f\ (u, v)$ )
}

```

end

end

3 FIFO Push Relabel Algorithm

theory *Fifo-Push-Relabel*

imports

Flow-Networks.Refine-Add-Fofu

Generic-Push-Relabel

begin

The FIFO push-relabel algorithm maintains a first-in-first-out queue of active nodes. As long as the queue is not empty, it discharges the first node of the queue.

Discharging repeatedly applied push operations from the node. If no more push operations are possible, and the node is still active, it is relabeled and enqueued.

Moreover, we implement the gap heuristics, which may accelerate relabeling if there is a gap in the label values, i.e., a label value that is assigned to no node.

3.1 Implementing the Discharge Operation

context *Network*

begin

First, we implement push and relabel operations that maintain a queue of all active nodes.

```

definition fifo-push  $f\ l\ Q \equiv \lambda(u, v). \text{ do } \{$ 
  assert (push-precond  $f\ l\ (u, v)$ );
  assert (Labeling  $c\ s\ t\ f\ l$ );
  let  $Q = (\text{if } v \neq s \wedge v \neq t \wedge \text{excess } f\ v = 0 \text{ then } Q@[v] \text{ else } Q)$ ;
  return (push-effect  $f\ (u, v), Q$ )
}

```

}

For the relabel operation, we assume that only active nodes are relabeled, and enqueue the relabeled node.

definition *fifo-gap-relabel* $f\ l\ Q\ u \equiv do \{$
 $assert\ (u \in V - \{s, t\});$
 $assert\ (Height\text{-}Bounded\text{-}Labeling\ c\ s\ t\ f\ l);$
 $let\ Q = Q@[u];$
 $assert\ (relabel\text{-}precond\ f\ l\ u);$
 $assert\ (l\ u < 2 * card\ V \wedge relabel\text{-}effect\ f\ l\ u < 2 * card\ V);$
 $let\ l = gap\text{-}relabel\text{-}effect\ f\ l\ u;$
 $return\ (l, Q)$
 $\}$

The discharge operation iterates over the edges, and pushes flow, as long as then node is active. If the node is still active after all edges have been saturated, the node is relabeled.

definition *fifo-discharge* $f_0\ l\ Q \equiv do \{$
 $assert\ (Q \neq []);$
 $let\ u = hd\ Q; let\ Q = tl\ Q;$
 $assert\ (u \in V \wedge u \neq s \wedge u \neq t);$

 $(f, l, Q) \leftarrow FOREACHc\ \{v . (u, v) \in cfE\text{-}of\ f_0\}\ (\lambda(f, l, Q). excess\ f\ u \neq 0)\ (\lambda v$
 $(f, l, Q). do \{$
 $if\ (l\ u = l\ v + 1)\ then\ do \{$
 $(f', Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-}push\ f\ l\ Q\ (u, v);$
 $assert\ (\forall v'. v' \neq v \longrightarrow cf\text{-}of\ f'\ (u, v') = cf\text{-}of\ f\ (u, v));$
 $return\ (f', l, Q)$
 $\}\ else\ return\ (f, l, Q)$
 $\})\ (f_0, l, Q);$

 $if\ excess\ f\ u \neq 0\ then\ do \{$
 $(l, Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-}gap\text{-}relabel\ f\ l\ Q\ u;$
 $return\ (f, l, Q)$
 $\}\ else\ do \{$
 $return\ (f, l, Q)$
 $\}$
 $\}$

We will show that the discharge operation maintains the invariant that the queue is disjoint and contains exactly the active nodes:

definition *Q-invar* $f\ Q \equiv distinct\ Q \wedge set\ Q = \{v \in V - \{s, t\}. excess\ f\ v \neq 0\}$

Inside the loop of the discharge operation, we will use the following version of the invariant:

definition *QD-invar* $u\ f\ Q \equiv u \in V - \{s, t\} \wedge distinct\ Q \wedge set\ Q = \{v \in V - \{s, t, u\}. excess\ f\ v \neq 0\}$

lemma *Q-invar-when-discharged1*: $\llbracket QD\text{-invar } u \text{ } f \text{ } Q; \text{ excess } f \text{ } u = 0 \rrbracket \implies Q\text{-invar } f \text{ } Q$
<proof>

lemma *Q-invar-when-discharged2*: $\llbracket QD\text{-invar } u \text{ } f \text{ } Q; \text{ excess } f \text{ } u \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies Q\text{-invar } f \text{ } (Q@[u])$
<proof>

lemma (in *Labeling*) *push-no-activate-pres-QD-invar*:
fixes v
assumes *INV*: $QD\text{-invar } u \text{ } f \text{ } Q$
assumes *PRE*: $push\text{-precond } f \text{ } l \text{ } (u, v)$
assumes *VC*: $s=v \vee t=v \vee \text{ excess } f \text{ } v \neq 0$
shows $QD\text{-invar } u \text{ } (push\text{-effect } f \text{ } (u, v)) \text{ } Q$
<proof>

lemma (in *Labeling*) *push-activate-pres-QD-invar*:
fixes v
assumes *INV*: $QD\text{-invar } u \text{ } f \text{ } Q$
assumes *PRE*: $push\text{-precond } f \text{ } l \text{ } (u, v)$
assumes *VC*: $s \neq v \quad t \neq v$ **and** [*simp*]: $\text{ excess } f \text{ } v = 0$
shows $QD\text{-invar } u \text{ } (push\text{-effect } f \text{ } (u, v)) \text{ } (Q@[v])$
<proof>

Main theorem for the discharge operation: It maintains a height bounded labeling, the invariant for the FIFO queue, and only performs valid steps due to the generic push-relabel algorithm with gap-heuristics.

theorem *fifo-discharge-correct*[*THEN order-trans, refine-vcg*]:
assumes *DINV*: $Height\text{-Bounded-Labeling } c \text{ } s \text{ } t \text{ } f \text{ } l$
assumes *QINV*: $Q\text{-invar } f \text{ } Q$ **and** *QNE*: $Q \neq []$
shows $fifo\text{-discharge } f \text{ } l \text{ } Q \leq SPEC \ (\lambda(f', l', Q').$
 $Height\text{-Bounded-Labeling } c \text{ } s \text{ } t \text{ } f' \text{ } l'$
 $\wedge Q\text{-invar } f' \text{ } Q'$
 $\wedge ((f', l'), (f, l)) \in gap\text{-algo-rel}^+$
 $)$
<proof>

end — Network

3.2 Main Algorithm

context *Network*
begin

The main algorithm initializes the flow, labeling, and the queue, and then applies the discharge operation until the queue is empty:

definition *fifo-push-relabel* $\equiv do \{$
 $let \ f = pp\text{-init-}f;$


```

let l = pp-init-l;

Q ← spec l. distinct l ∧ set l = {v ∈ V - {s,t}. excess f v ≠ 0}; — TODO: This
  is exactly E“{s} - {t}!

(f,l,-) ← while_T (λ(f,l,Q). Q ≠ []) (λ(f,l,Q). do {
  fifo-discharge f l Q
}) (f,l,Q);

assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l);
return f
}

```

Having proved correctness of the discharge operation, the correctness theorem of the main algorithm is straightforward: As the discharge operation implements the generic algorithm, the loop will terminate after finitely many steps. Upon termination, the queue that contains exactly the active nodes is empty. Thus, all nodes are inactive, and the resulting preflow is actually a maximal flow.

theorem *fifo-push-relabel-correct:*
fifo-push-relabel ≤ SPEC *isMaxFlow*
 ⟨proof⟩

end — Network

end

4 Topological Ordering of Graphs

theory *Graph-Topological-Ordering*
imports
Refine-Imperative-HOL.Sepref-Misc
List-Index.List-Index
begin

4.1 List-Before Relation

Two elements of a list are in relation if the first element comes (strictly) before the second element.

definition *list-before-rel* l ≡ { (a,b). ∃ l1 l2 l3. l=l1@a#l2@b#l3 }

list-before only relates elements of the list

lemma *list-before-rel-on-elems:* *list-before-rel* l ⊆ set l × set l
 ⟨proof⟩

Irreflexivity of *list-before* is equivalent to the elements of the list being disjoint.

lemma *list-before-irrefl-eq-distinct*: $\text{irrefl } (\text{list-before-rel } l) \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct } l$
 ⟨proof⟩

Alternative characterization via indexes

lemma *list-before-rel-alt*: $\text{list-before-rel } l = \{ (l!i, l!j) \mid i \neq j. i < j \wedge j < \text{length } l \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

list-before is a strict ordering, i.e., it is transitive and asymmetric.

lemma *list-before-trans*[*trans*]: $\text{distinct } l \implies \text{trans } (\text{list-before-rel } l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *list-before-asym*: $\text{distinct } l \implies \text{asym } (\text{list-before-rel } l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Structural properties on the list

lemma *list-before-rel-empty*[*simp*]: $\text{list-before-rel } [] = \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *list-before-rel-cons*: $\text{list-before-rel } (x\#l) = (\{x\} \times \text{set } l) \cup \text{list-before-rel } l$
 ⟨proof⟩

4.2 Topological Ordering

A topological ordering of a graph (binary relation) is an enumeration of its nodes, such that for any two nodes x, y with x being enumerated earlier than y , there is no path from y to x in the graph.

We define the predicate *is-top-sorted* to capture the sortedness criterion, but not the completeness criterion, i.e., the list needs not contain all nodes of the graph.

definition *is-top-sorted* $R \ l \equiv \text{list-before-rel } l \cap (R^*)^{-1} = \{\}$

lemma *is-top-sorted-alt*: $\text{is-top-sorted } R \ l \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \ y. (x, y) \in \text{list-before-rel } l \longrightarrow (y, x) \notin R^*)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-top-sorted-empty-rel*[*simp*]: $\text{is-top-sorted } \{\} \ l \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct } l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-top-sorted-empty-list*[*simp*]: $\text{is-top-sorted } R \ []$
 ⟨proof⟩

A topological sorted list must be distinct

lemma *is-top-sorted-distinct*:

assumes *is-top-sorted* $R \ l$

shows *distinct* l

⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-top-sorted-cons*: $is-top-sorted\ R\ (x\#\ l) \iff (\{x\} \times set\ l \cap (R^*)^{-1} = \{\})$
 $\wedge\ is-top-sorted\ R\ l$
<proof>

lemma *is-top-sorted-append*: $is-top-sorted\ R\ (l1\@\ l2)$
 $\iff (set\ l1 \times set\ l2 \cap (R^*)^{-1} = \{\}) \wedge is-top-sorted\ R\ l1 \wedge is-top-sorted\ R\ l2$
<proof>

lemma *is-top-sorted-remove-elem*: $is-top-sorted\ R\ (l1\@\ x\#\ l2) \implies is-top-sorted\ R\ (l1\@\ l2)$
<proof>

Removing edges from the graph preserves topological sorting

lemma *is-top-sorted-antimono*:
assumes $R \subseteq R'$
assumes $is-top-sorted\ R'\ l$
shows $is-top-sorted\ R\ l$
<proof>

Adding a node to the graph, which has no incoming edges preserves topological ordering.

lemma *is-top-sorted-isolated-constraint*:
assumes $R' \subseteq R \cup \{x\} \times X$ $R' \cap UNIV \times \{x\} = \{\}$
assumes $x \notin set\ l$
assumes $is-top-sorted\ R\ l$
shows $is-top-sorted\ R'\ l$
<proof>

end

5 Relabel-to-Front Algorithm

theory *Relabel-To-Front*
imports
 Prpu-Common-Inst
 Graph-Topological-Ordering
begin

As an example for an implementation, Cormen et al. discuss the relabel-to-front algorithm. It iterates over a queue of nodes, discharging each node, and putting a node to the front of the queue if it has been relabeled.

5.1 Admissible Network

The admissible network consists of those edges over which we can push flow.

context *Network*

begin

definition *adm-edges* :: 'capacity flow \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow -
where *adm-edges f l* \equiv $\{(u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f. l\ u = l\ v + 1\}$

lemma *adm-edges-inv-disj*: *adm-edges f l* \cap (*adm-edges f l*)⁻¹ = $\{\}$
<proof>

lemma *finite-adm-edges[simp, intro!]*: *finite (adm-edges f l)*
<proof>

end — *Network*

The edge of a push operation is admissible.

lemma (**in** *push-effect-locale*) *wv-adm*: $(u,v) \in \text{adm-edges } f\ l$
<proof>

A push operation will not create new admissible edges, but the edge that we pushed over may become inadmissible [Cormen 26.27].

lemma (**in** *Labeling*) *push-adm-edges*:
assumes *push-precond f l e*
shows *adm-edges f l* - $\{e\} \subseteq \text{adm-edges (push-effect } f\ e)\ l$ (**is** ?G1)
and *adm-edges (push-effect } f\ e)\ l \subseteq \text{adm-edges } f\ l (**is** ?G2)
*<proof>**

After a relabel operation, there is at least one admissible edge leaving the relabeled node, but no admissible edges do enter the relabeled node [Cormen 26.28]. Moreover, the part of the admissible network not adjacent to the relabeled node does not change.

lemma (**in** *Labeling*) *relabel-adm-edges*:
assumes *PRE: relabel-precond f l u*
defines $l' \equiv \text{relabel-effect } f\ l\ u$
shows *adm-edges f l' \cap cf.outgoing u \neq $\{\}$* (**is** ?G1)
and *adm-edges f l' \cap cf.incoming u = $\{\}$* (**is** ?G2)
and *adm-edges f l' - cf.adjacent u = adm-edges f l - cf.adjacent u* (**is** ?G3)
<proof>

5.2 Neighbor Lists

For each node, the algorithm will cycle through the adjacent edges when discharging. This cycling takes place across the boundaries of discharge operations, i.e. when a node is discharged, discharging will start at the edge where the last discharge operation stopped.

The crucial invariant for the neighbor lists is that already visited edges are not admissible.

Formally, we maintain a function $n :: node \Rightarrow node\ set$ from each node to the set of target nodes of not yet visited edges.

```

locale neighbor-invar = Height-Bounded-Labeling +
  fixes n :: node  $\Rightarrow$  node set
  assumes neighbors-adm:  $\llbracket v \in adjacent\ nodes\ u - n\ u \rrbracket \implies (u,v) \notin adm\ edges\ f\ l$ 
  assumes neighbors-adj:  $n\ u \subseteq adjacent\ nodes\ u$ 
  assumes neighbors-finite[simp, intro!]: finite (n u)
begin

```

```

lemma nbr-is-hbl: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma push-pres-nbr-invar:
  assumes PRE: push-precond f l e
  shows neighbor-invar c s t (push-effect f e) l n
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma relabel-pres-nbr-invar:
  assumes PRE: relabel-precond f l u
  shows neighbor-invar c s t f (relabel-effect f l u) (n(u:=adjacent-nodes u))
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma excess-nz-iff-gz:  $\llbracket u \in V; u \neq s \rrbracket \implies excess\ f\ u \neq 0 \iff excess\ f\ u > 0$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma no-neighbors-relabel-precond:
  assumes  $n\ u = \{ \}$   $u \neq t$   $u \neq s$   $u \in V$   $excess\ f\ u \neq 0$ 
  shows relabel-precond f l u
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

lemma remove-neighbor-pres-nbr-invar:  $(u,v) \notin adm\ edges\ f\ l$ 
 $\implies neighbor\ invar\ c\ s\ t\ f\ l\ (n\ (u := n\ u - \{v\}))$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

5.3 Discharge Operation

```

context Network
begin

```

The discharge operation performs push and relabel operations on a node until it becomes inactive. The lemmas in this section are based on the ideas described in the proof of [Cormen 26.29].

```

definition discharge f l n u  $\equiv do \{$ 
  assert  $(u \in V - \{s,t\})$ ;
  whileT  $(\lambda(f,l,n). excess\ f\ u \neq 0)$   $(\lambda(f,l,n). do \{$ 
     $v \leftarrow select\ v. v \in n\ u$ ;
    case v of

```

```

None  $\Rightarrow$  do {
  l  $\leftarrow$  relabel f l u;
  return (f,l,n(u := adjacent-nodes u))
}
| Some v  $\Rightarrow$  do {
  assert (v  $\in$  V  $\wedge$  (u,v)  $\in$  E  $\cup$  E-1);
  if ((u,v)  $\in$  cfE-of f  $\wedge$  l u = l v + 1) then do {
    f  $\leftarrow$  push f l (u,v);
    return (f,l,n)
  } else do {
    assert ( (u,v)  $\notin$  adm-edges f l );
    return (f,l,n( u := n u - {v} ))
  }
}
} (f,l,n)
}

```

end — Network

Invariant for the discharge loop

```

locale discharge-invar =
  neighbor-invar c s t f l n
  + lo: neighbor-invar c s t fo lo no
  for c s t and u :: node and fo lo no f l n +
  assumes lu-incr: lo u  $\leq$  l u
  assumes u-node: u  $\in$  V - {s,t}
  assumes no-relabel-adm-edges: lo u = l u  $\implies$  adm-edges f l  $\subseteq$  adm-edges fo lo
  assumes no-relabel-excess:
     $\llbracket$  lo u = l u; u  $\neq$  v; excess fo v  $\neq$  excess f v  $\rrbracket \implies$  (u,v)  $\in$  adm-edges fo lo
  assumes adm-edges-leaving-u: (u',v)  $\in$  adm-edges f l - adm-edges fo lo  $\implies$  u' = u
  assumes relabel-u-no-incoming-adm: lo u  $\neq$  l u  $\implies$  (v,u)  $\notin$  adm-edges f l
  assumes algo-rel: ((f,l),(fo,lo))  $\in$  pr-algo-rel*
begin

```

lemma u-node-simp1 [simp]: u \neq s u \neq t s \neq u t \neq u <proof>

lemma u-node-simp2 [simp, intro!]: u \in V <proof>

lemma dis-is-lbl: Labeling c s t f l <proof>

lemma dis-is-hbl: Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l <proof>

lemma dis-is-nbr: neighbor-invar c s t f l n <proof>

lemma new-adm-imp-relabel:

(u',v) \in adm-edges f l - adm-edges fo lo \implies lo u \neq l u
<proof>

lemma push-pres-dis-invar:

assumes PRE: push-precond f l (u,v)

shows discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no (push-effect f (u,v)) l n
<proof>

lemma *relabel-pres-dis-invar*:

assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond f l u*

shows *discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f*
(*relabel-effect f l u*) (*n(u := adjacent-nodes u)*)

<proof>

lemma *push-precondI-nz*:

$\llbracket \text{excess } f \ u \neq 0; (u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f; l \ u = l \ v + 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{push-precond } f \ l \ (u,v)$

<proof>

lemma *remove-neighbor-pres-dis-invar*:

assumes *PRE*: $(u,v) \notin adm\text{-edges } f \ l$

defines $n' \equiv n \ (u := n \ u - \{v\})$

shows *discharge-invar c s t u fo lo no f l n'*

<proof>

lemma *neighbors-in-V*: $v \in n \ u \implies v \in V$

<proof>

lemma *neighbors-in-E*: $v \in n \ u \implies (u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$

<proof>

lemma *reabeled-node-has-outgoing*:

assumes *relabel-precond f l u*

shows $\exists v. (u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f$

<proof>

end

lemma (**in** *neighbor-invar*) *discharge-invar-init*:

assumes $u \in V - \{s,t\}$

shows *discharge-invar c s t u f l n f l n*

<proof>

context *Network* **begin**

The discharge operation preserves the invariant, and discharges the node.

lemma *discharge-correct*[*THEN* *order-trans, refine-vcg*]:

assumes *DINV*: *neighbor-invar c s t f l n*

assumes *NOT-ST*: $u \neq t \quad u \neq s$ **and** *UIV*: $u \in V$

shows *discharge f l n u*

$\leq SPEC \ (\lambda(f',l',n'). \text{discharge-invar } c \ s \ t \ u \ f \ l \ n \ f' \ l' \ n' \wedge \text{excess } f' \ u = 0)$

<proof>

end — Network

5.4 Main Algorithm

We state the main algorithm and prove its termination and correctness

context *Network*

begin

Initially, all edges are unprocessed.

definition *rtf-init-n* $u \equiv \text{if } u \in V - \{s, t\} \text{ then adjacent-nodes } u \text{ else } \{\}$

lemma *rtf-init-n-finite*[*simp*, *intro!*]: *finite* (*rtf-init-n* u)
<proof>

lemma *init-no-adm-edges*[*simp*]: *adm-edges* *pp-init-f* *pp-init-l* = $\{\}$
<proof>

lemma *rtf-init-neighbor-invar*:
neighbor-invar c s t *pp-init-f* *pp-init-l* *rtf-init-n*
<proof>

definition *relabel-to-front* $\equiv \text{do } \{$
 let $f = \text{pp-init-f};$
 let $l = \text{pp-init-l};$
 let $n = \text{rtf-init-n};$

 let $L\text{-left} = [];$
 L-right $\leftarrow \text{spec } l. \text{distinct } l \wedge \text{set } l = V - \{s, t\};$

 $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}) \leftarrow \text{while}_T$
 $(\lambda(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}). L\text{-right} \neq [])$
 $(\lambda(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}). \text{do } \{$
 let $u = \text{hd } L\text{-right};$
 assert $(u \in V);$
 let $\text{old-lu} = l \ u;$

 $(f, l, n) \leftarrow \text{discharge } f \ l \ n \ u;$

 if $(l \ u \neq \text{old-lu}) \text{ then do } \{$
 — Move u to front of l , and restart scanning L
 let $(L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}) = ([u], L\text{-left} \ @ \ \text{tl } L\text{-right});$
 return $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right})$
 $\} \text{ else do } \{$
 — Goto next node in l
 let $(L\text{-left}, L\text{-right}) = (L\text{-left}@[u], \text{tl } L\text{-right});$
 return $(f, l, n, L\text{-left}, L\text{-right})$
 $\}$
 $\}$


```

    }) (f,l,n,L-left,L-right);

    assert (neighbor-invar c s t f l n);

    return f
  }

```

end — Network

Invariant for the main algorithm:

1. Nodes in the queue left of the current node are not active
2. The queue is a topological sort of the admissible network
3. All nodes except source and sink are on the queue

```

locale rtf-invar = neighbor-invar +
  fixes L-left L-right :: node list
  assumes left-no-excess:  $\forall u \in \text{set } (L\text{-left}). \text{excess } f \ u = 0$ 
  assumes L-sorted: is-top-sorted (adm-edges f l) (L-left @ L-right)
  assumes L-set: set L-left  $\cup$  set L-right =  $V - \{s,t\}$ 
begin
  lemma rtf-is-nbr: neighbor-invar c s t f l n <proof>

  lemma L-distinct: distinct (L-left @ L-right)
    <proof>

  lemma terminated-imp-maxflow:
    assumes [simp]: L-right = []
    shows isMaxFlow f
    <proof>

```

end

context Network **begin**

```

lemma rtf-init-invar:
  assumes DIS: distinct L-left and L-set: set L-left =  $V - \{s,t\}$ 
  shows rtf-invar c s t pp-init-f pp-init-l rtf-init-n [] L-left
  <proof>

```

```

theorem relabel-to-front-correct:
  relabel-to-front  $\leq$  SPEC isMaxFlow
  <proof>

```

end — Network

end

6 Tools for Implementing Push-Relabel Algorithms

```
theory Prpu-Common-Impl
imports
  Prpu-Common-Inst
  Flow-Networks.Network-Impl
  Flow-Networks.NetCheck
begin
```

6.1 Basic Operations

```
type-synonym excess-impl = node  $\Rightarrow$  capacity-impl
```

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

6.1.1 Excess Map

Obtain an excess map with all nodes mapped to zero.

```
definition x-init :: excess-impl nres where x-init  $\equiv$  return ( $\lambda$ -. 0)
```

Get the excess of a node.

```
definition x-get :: excess-impl  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  capacity-impl nres
where x-get x u  $\equiv$  do {
  assert (u  $\in$  V);
  return (x u)
}
```

Add a capacity to the excess of a node.

```
definition x-add :: excess-impl  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  capacity-impl  $\Rightarrow$  excess-impl nres
where x-add x u  $\Delta$   $\equiv$  do {
  assert (u  $\in$  V);
  return (x(u := x u +  $\Delta$ ))
}
```

6.1.2 Labeling

Obtain the initial labeling: All nodes are zero, except the source which is labeled by $|V|$. The exact cardinality of V is passed as a parameter.

```
definition l-init :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat) nres
where l-init C  $\equiv$  return (( $\lambda$ -. 0)(s := C))
```

Get the label of a node.

```
definition l-get :: (node  $\Rightarrow$  nat)  $\Rightarrow$  node  $\Rightarrow$  nat nres
```

```

where l-get l u  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $u \in V$ );
  return ( $l\ u$ )
}

```

Set the label of a node.

```

definition l-set :: ( $node \Rightarrow nat$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $node \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow nat)$  nres
where l-set l u a  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $u \in V$ );
  assert ( $a < 2 * card\ V$ );
  return ( $l(u := a)$ )
}

```

6.1.3 Label Frequency Counts for Gap Heuristics

Obtain the frequency counts for the initial labeling. Again, the cardinality of $|V|$, which is required to determine the label of the source node, is passed as an explicit parameter.

```

definition cnt-init ::  $nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$  nres
where cnt-init C  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $C < 2 * N$ );
  return ( $(\lambda-. 0)(0 := C - 1, C := 1)$ )
}

```

Get the count for a label value.

```

definition cnt-get :: ( $nat \Rightarrow nat$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $nat \Rightarrow nat$  nres
where cnt-get cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $lv < 2 * N$ );
  return ( $cnt\ lv$ )
}

```

Increment the count for a label value by one.

```

definition cnt-incr :: ( $nat \Rightarrow nat$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$  nres
where cnt-incr cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $lv < 2 * N$ );
  return ( $cnt\ (lv := cnt\ lv + 1)$ )
}

```

Decrement the count for a label value by one.

```

definition cnt-decr :: ( $nat \Rightarrow nat$ )  $\Rightarrow$   $nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$  nres
where cnt-decr cnt lv  $\equiv$  do {
  assert ( $lv < 2 * N \wedge cnt\ lv > 0$ );
  return ( $cnt\ (lv := cnt\ lv - 1)$ )
}

```

end — Network Implementation Locale

6.2 Refinements to Basic Operations

context *Network-Impl*
begin

In this section, we refine the algorithm to actually use the basic operations.

6.2.1 Explicit Computation of the Excess

definition *xf-rel* $\equiv \{ ((\text{excess } f, \text{cf-of } f), f) \mid f. \text{True} \}$

lemma *xf-rel-RELATES*[*refine-dref-RELATES*]: *RELATES* *xf-rel*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pp-init-x*

$\equiv \lambda u. (\text{if } u=s \text{ then } (\sum_{(u,v) \in \text{outgoing } s} c(u,v)) \text{ else } c(s,u))$

lemma *excess-pp-init-f[simp]*: *excess* *pp-init-f* = *pp-init-x*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *pp-init-cf*

$\equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{if } (v=s) \text{ then } c(v,u) \text{ else if } u=s \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } c(u,v)$

lemma *cf-of-pp-init-f[simp]*: *cf-of* *pp-init-f* = *pp-init-cf*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *pp-init-x-rel*: $((\text{pp-init-x}, \text{pp-init-cf}), \text{pp-init-f}) \in \text{xf-rel}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.2.2 Algorithm to Compute Initial Excess and Flow

definition *pp-init-xf2-aux* $\equiv \text{do } \{$

let $x = (\lambda-. 0);$

let $\text{cf} = c;$

foreach (*adjacent-nodes* s) $(\lambda v (x, \text{cf}). \text{do } \{$

assert $((s, v) \in E);$

assert $(s \neq v);$

let $a = \text{cf}(s, v);$

assert $(x\ v = 0);$

let $x = x(s := x\ s - a, v := a);$

let $\text{cf} = \text{cf}((s, v) := 0, (v, s) := a);$

return (x, cf)

$\}) (x, \text{cf})$

$\}$

lemma *pp-init-xf2-aux-spec*:

shows *pp-init-xf2-aux* $\leq \text{SPEC } (\lambda(x, \text{cf}). x = \text{pp-init-x} \wedge \text{cf} = \text{pp-init-cf})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

applyS (*auto intro!*: *sum.reindex-cong*[**where** $l = \text{snd}$] *intro*: *inj-onI*)

applyS (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Compl-iff* *Graph.zero-cap-simp* *insertE*
mem-Collect-eq)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *pp-init-xcf2* *am* \equiv *do* {
x \leftarrow *x-init*;
cf \leftarrow *cf-init*;

assert (*s* \in *V*);
adj \leftarrow *am-get* *am* *s*;
ifoldli *adj* (λ -. *True*) (λ *v* (*x*,*cf*). *do* {
 assert ((*s*,*v*) \in *E*);
 assert (*s* \neq *v*);
 a \leftarrow *cf-get* *cf* (*s*,*v*);
 x \leftarrow *x-add* *x* *s* ($-$ *a*);
 x \leftarrow *x-add* *x* *v* *a*;
 cf \leftarrow *cf-set* *cf* (*s*,*v*) *0*;
 cf \leftarrow *cf-set* *cf* (*v*,*s*) *a*;
 return (*x*,*cf*)
 }) (*x*,*cf*)
}

lemma *pp-init-xcf2-refine-aux*:
assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map* *am*
shows *pp-init-xcf2* *am* \leq \Downarrow *Id* (*pp-init-xcf2-aux*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pp-init-xcf2-refine[refine2]*:
assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map* *am*
shows *pp-init-xcf2* *am* \leq \Downarrow *xf-rel* (*RETURN* *pp-init-f*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

6.2.3 Computing the Minimal Adjacent Label

definition (*in Network*) *min-adj-label-aux* *cf* *l* *u* \equiv *do* {
assert (*u* \in *V*);
x \leftarrow *foreach* (*adjacent-nodes* *u*) (λ *v* *x*. *do* {
 assert ((*u*,*v*) \in *E* \cup *E*⁻¹);
 assert (*v* \in *V*);
 if (*cf* (*u*,*v*) \neq *0*) *then*
 case *x* *of*
 None \Rightarrow *return* (*Some* (*l* *v*))
 | *Some* *xx* \Rightarrow *return* (*Some* (*min* (*l* *v*) (*xx*)))
 else
 return *x*
 }) *None*;

```

  assert (x≠None);
  return (the x)
}

```

lemma (in $-$) *set-filter-xform-aux*:
 $\{ f x \mid x. (x = a \vee x \in S \wedge x \notin it) \wedge P x \}$
 $= (if P a then \{f a\} else \{\}) \cup \{f x \mid x. x \in S - it \wedge P x\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in *Labeling*) *min-adj-label-aux-spec*:
 assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond f l u*
 shows *min-adj-label-aux cf l u* \leq *SPEC* ($\lambda x. x = Min \{ l v \mid v. (u,v) \in cf.E \}$)
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *min-adj-label am cf l u* \equiv *do* {
 assert ($u \in V$);
adj \leftarrow *am-get am u*;
x \leftarrow *nfoldli adj* ($\lambda-. True$) ($\lambda v x. do$ {
 assert ($(u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$);
 assert ($v \in V$);
cfuv \leftarrow *cf-get cf (u,v)*;
 if (*cfuv* \neq 0) then *do* {
lv \leftarrow *l-get l v*;
 case *x of*
 None \Rightarrow *return (Some lv)*
 | Some *xx* \Rightarrow *return (Some (min lv xx))*
 } else
return x
 }) None;

 assert ($x \neq None$);
 return (the *x*)
}

lemma *min-adj-label-refine*[*THEN order-trans, refine-vcg*]:
 assumes *Height-Bounded-Labeling c s t f l*
 assumes *AM*: (*am, adjacent-nodes*) \in *nat-rel* \rightarrow (*nat-rel*) *list-set-rel*
 assumes *PRE*: *relabel-precond f l u*
 assumes [*simp*]: *cf = cf-of f*
 shows *min-adj-label am cf l u* \leq *SPEC* ($\lambda x. x = Min \{ l v \mid v. (u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f \}$)
 ⟨proof⟩

6.2.4 Refinement of Relabel

Utilities to Implement Relabel Operations

definition *relabel2 am cf l u* \equiv *do* {
 assert ($u \in V - \{s,t\}$);

```

    nl ← min-adj-label am cf l u;
    l ← l-set l u (nl+1);
    return l
}

```

lemma *relabel2-refine*[*refine*]:
assumes $((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}$
assumes *AM*: $(am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$
assumes [*simplified, simp*]: $(li, l) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$
shows $\text{relabel2 } am \text{ cf } li \text{ } ui \leq \Downarrow Id (\text{relabel } f \text{ } l \text{ } u)$
<proof>

6.2.5 Refinement of Push

definition *push2-aux* $x \text{ cf} \equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{ do } \{$
 $\text{ assert } ((u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1});$
 $\text{ assert } (u \neq v);$
 $\text{ let } \Delta = \text{ min } (x \text{ } u) (cf \text{ } (u,v));$
 $\text{ return } ((x \text{ } u := x \text{ } u - \Delta, v := x \text{ } v + \Delta), \text{ augment-edge-cf } cf \text{ } (u,v) \Delta)$
 $\}$

lemma *push2-aux-refine*:
 $\llbracket ((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}; (ei,e) \in Id \times_r Id \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{ push2-aux } x \text{ cf } ei \leq \Downarrow xf\text{-rel } (\text{ push } f \text{ } l \text{ } e)$
<proof>

definition *push2* $x \text{ cf} \equiv \lambda(u,v). \text{ do } \{$
 $\text{ assert } ((u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1});$
 $xu \leftarrow x\text{-get } x \text{ } u;$
 $cfw \leftarrow cf\text{-get } cf \text{ } (u,v);$
 $cfvu \leftarrow cf\text{-get } cf \text{ } (v,u);$
 $\text{ let } \Delta = \text{ min } xu \text{ } cfw;$
 $x \leftarrow x\text{-add } x \text{ } u \text{ } (-\Delta);$
 $x \leftarrow x\text{-add } x \text{ } v \text{ } \Delta;$

```

    cf ← cf-set cf (u,v) (cfw - Δ);
    cf ← cf-set cf (v,u) (cfvu + Δ);

    return (x,cf)
}

```

lemma *push2-refine*[*refine*]:
assumes $((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel} \quad (ei,e) \in Id \times_r Id$
shows $\text{ push2 } x \text{ cf } ei \leq \Downarrow xf\text{-rel } (\text{ push } f \text{ } l \text{ } e)$
<proof>

6.2.6 Adding frequency counters to labeling

definition *l-invar* $l \equiv \forall v. l \text{ } v \neq 0 \implies v \in V$

definition $clc\text{-invar} \equiv \lambda(cnt,l).$
 $(\forall lv. cnt\ lv = card \{ u \in V . l\ u = lv \})$
 $\wedge (\forall u. l\ u < 2*N) \wedge l\text{-invar}\ l$
definition $clc\text{-rel} \equiv br\ snd\ clc\text{-invar}$

definition $clc\text{-init}\ C \equiv do \{$
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-init}\ C;$
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-init}\ C;$
 $return\ (cnt,l)$
 $\}$

definition $clc\text{-get} \equiv \lambda(cnt,l)\ u.\ l\text{-get}\ l\ u$

definition $clc\text{-set} \equiv \lambda(cnt,l)\ u\ a.\ do \{$
 $assert\ (a < 2*N);$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get}\ l\ u;$
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-decr}\ cnt\ lu;$
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-set}\ l\ u\ a;$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get}\ l\ u;$
 $cnt \leftarrow cnt\text{-incr}\ cnt\ lu;$
 $return\ (cnt,l)$
 $\}$

definition $clc\text{-has-gap} \equiv \lambda(cnt,l)\ lu.\ do \{$
 $nlu \leftarrow cnt\text{-get}\ cnt\ lu;$
 $return\ (nlu = 0)$
 $\}$

lemma $cardV\text{-le-}N: card\ V \leq N$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $N\text{-not-Z}: N \neq 0$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $N\text{-ge-}2: 2 \leq N$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $clc\text{-init-refine}[refine]:$
assumes $[simplified,simp]: (Ci,C) \in nat\text{-rel}$
assumes $[simp]: C = card\ V$
shows $clc\text{-init}\ Ci \leq\Downarrow\ clc\text{-rel}\ (l\text{-init}\ C)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $clc\text{-get-refine}[refine]:$
 $\llbracket (clc,l) \in clc\text{-rel}; (ui,u) \in nat\text{-rel} \rrbracket \implies clc\text{-get}\ clc\ ui \leq\Downarrow\ Id\ (l\text{-get}\ l\ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $l\text{-get-rlx} :: (node \Rightarrow nat) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow nat\ nres$

where $l\text{-get-rlx}\ l\ u \equiv do \{$
 $assert\ (u < N);$
 $return\ (l\ u)$
 $\}$

definition $clc\text{-get-rlx} \equiv \lambda(cnt,l)\ u.\ l\text{-get-rlx}\ l\ u$

lemma *clc-get-rlx-refine*[*refine*]:
 $\llbracket (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}; (ui, u) \in nat\text{-rel} \rrbracket$
 $\implies clc\text{-get-rlx } clc \ ui \leq \Downarrow Id \ (l\text{-get-rlx } l \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *card-insert-disjointI*:
 $\llbracket finite \ Y; X = insert \ x \ Y; x \notin Y \rrbracket \implies card \ X = Suc \ (card \ Y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clc-set-refine*[*refine*]:
 $\llbracket (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}; (ui, u) \in nat\text{-rel}; (ai, a) \in nat\text{-rel} \rrbracket \implies$
 $clc\text{-set } clc \ ui \ ai \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-rel} \ (l\text{-set } l \ u \ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
applyS *auto*
applyS (*auto simp: simp: card-gt-0-iff*)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *clc-has-gap-correct*[*THEN order-trans, refine-vcg*]:
 $\llbracket (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}; k < 2 * N \rrbracket$
 $\implies clc\text{-has-gap } clc \ k \leq (spec \ r. \ r \longleftrightarrow gap\text{-precond } l \ k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.2.7 Refinement of Gap-Heuristics

Utilities to Implement Gap-Heuristics

definition *gap-aux* $C \ l \ k \equiv do \{$
 $nfoldli \ [0..<N] \ (\lambda-. \ True) \ (\lambda v \ l. \ do \{$
 $lv \leftarrow l\text{-get-rlx } l \ v;$
 $if \ (k < lv \wedge lv < C) \ then \ do \{$
 $assert \ (C+1 < 2*N);$
 $l \leftarrow l\text{-set } l \ v \ (C+1);$
 $return \ l$
 $\} \ else \ return \ l$
 $\}) \ l$
 $\}$

lemma *gap-effect-invar*[*simp*]: $l\text{-invar } l \implies l\text{-invar } (gap\text{-effect } l \ k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *relabel-effect-invar*[*simp*]: $\llbracket l\text{-invar } l; u \in V \rrbracket \implies l\text{-invar } (relabel\text{-effect } f \ l \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gap-aux-correct*[*THEN order-trans, refine-vcg*]:
 $\llbracket l\text{-invar } l; C = card \ V \rrbracket \implies gap\text{-aux } C \ l \ k \leq SPEC \ (\lambda r. \ r = gap\text{-effect } l \ k)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *gap2* $C \ clc \ k \equiv do \{$

```

nfoldli [0..<N] ( $\lambda$ -. True) ( $\lambda$ v clc. do {
  lv  $\leftarrow$  clc-get-rlx clc v;
  if ( $k < lv \wedge lv < C$ ) then do {
    clc  $\leftarrow$  clc-set clc v (C+1);
    return clc
  } else return clc
}) clc
}

```

lemma *gap2-refine*[refine]:
assumes [*simplified,simp*]: $(Ci,C) \in \text{nat-rel}$ $(ki,k) \in \text{nat-rel}$
assumes *CLC*: $(clc,l) \in \text{clc-rel}$
shows *gap2 Ci clc ki* $\leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel}$ (*gap-aux C l k*)
<proof>

definition *gap-relabel-aux C f l u* \equiv do {
 lu \leftarrow l-get l u;
 l \leftarrow relabel f l u;
 if *gap-precond l lu* then
 gap-aux C l lu
 else return l
}

lemma *gap-relabel-aux-refine*:
assumes [*simp*]: $C = \text{card } V$ *l-invar l*
shows *gap-relabel-aux C f l u* \leq *gap-relabel f l u*
<proof>

definition *min-adj-label-clc am cf clc u* \equiv case clc of $(-,l) \Rightarrow$ *min-adj-label am cf l u*

definition *clc-relabel2 am cf clc u* \equiv do {
 assert ($u \in V - \{s,t\}$);
 nl \leftarrow *min-adj-label-clc am cf clc u*;
 clc \leftarrow clc-set clc u (nl+1);
 return clc
}

lemma *clc-relabel2-refine*[refine]:
assumes *XF*: $((x,cf),f) \in \text{xf-rel}$
assumes *CLC*: $(clc,l) \in \text{clc-rel}$
assumes *AM*: $(am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$
assumes [*simplified,simp*]: $(ui,u) \in \text{Id}$
shows *clc-relabel2 am cf clc ui* $\leq \Downarrow \text{clc-rel}$ (*relabel f l u*)
<proof>

definition *gap-relabel2* C am cf clc $u \equiv do$ {
 $lu \leftarrow clc\text{-get } clc \ u;$
 $clc \leftarrow clc\text{-relabel2 } am \ cf \ clc \ u;$
 $has\text{-gap} \leftarrow clc\text{-has-gap } clc \ lu;$
if $has\text{-gap}$ *then* $gap2 \ C \ clc \ lu$
else
 $RETURN \ clc$
}

lemma *gap-relabel2-refine-aux*:
assumes $XCF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$
assumes $CLC: (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$
assumes $AM: (am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow (nat\text{-rel})list\text{-set-rel}$
assumes $[simplified, simp]: (Ci, C) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$
shows $gap\text{-relabel2 } Ci \ am \ cf \ clc \ ui \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-rel} (gap\text{-relabel-aux } C \ f \ l \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gap-relabel2-refine[refine]*:
assumes $XCF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$
assumes $CLC: (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$
assumes $AM: (am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in nat\text{-rel} \rightarrow (nat\text{-rel})list\text{-set-rel}$
assumes $[simplified, simp]: (ui, u) \in Id$
assumes $CC: C = card \ V$
shows $gap\text{-relabel2 } C \ am \ cf \ clc \ ui \leq \Downarrow clc\text{-rel} (gap\text{-relabel } f \ l \ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

6.3 Refinement to Efficient Data Structures

6.3.1 Registration of Abstract Operations

We register all abstract operations at once, auto-rewriting the capacity matrix type

context includes *Network-Impl-Sepref-Register*
begin
sepref-register $x\text{-get } x\text{-add}$

sepref-register $l\text{-init } l\text{-get } l\text{-get-rlx } l\text{-set}$

sepref-register $clc\text{-init } clc\text{-get } clc\text{-set } clc\text{-has-gap } clc\text{-get-rlx}$

sepref-register $cnt\text{-init } cnt\text{-get } cnt\text{-incr } cnt\text{-decr}$
sepref-register $gap2 \ min\text{-adj-label } min\text{-adj-label-clc}$

sepref-register $push2 \ relabel2 \ clc\text{-relabel2 } gap\text{-relabel2}$

sepref-register $pp\text{-init-xcf2}$
end — Anonymous Context

6.3.2 Excess by Array

definition $x\text{-assn} \equiv \text{is-nf } N \ (0::\text{capacity-impl})$

lemma $x\text{-init-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry0 } (\text{Array.new } N \ 0), \text{uncurry0 } x\text{-init}) \in \text{unit-assn}^k \rightarrow_a x\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $x\text{-get-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry } \text{Array.nth}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } x\text{-get}))$
 $\in x\text{-assn}^k *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{cap-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $(\text{in } -) \ x\text{-add-impl } x \ u \ \Delta \equiv \text{do } \{$

$xu \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } x \ u;$
 $x \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } u \ (xu + \Delta) \ x;$
 $\text{return } x$

$\}$

lemma $x\text{-add-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry2 } x\text{-add-impl}, \text{uncurry2 } (\text{PR-CONST } x\text{-add}))$
 $\in x\text{-assn}^d *_a \text{node-assn}^k *_a \text{cap-assn}^k \rightarrow_a x\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3.3 Labeling by Array

definition $l\text{-assn} \equiv \text{is-nf } N \ (0::\text{nat})$

definition $(\text{in } -) \ l\text{-init-impl } N \ s \ \text{cardV} \equiv \text{do } \{$

$l \leftarrow \text{Array.new } N \ (0::\text{nat});$
 $l \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } s \ \text{cardV } l;$
 $\text{return } l$

$\}$

lemma $l\text{-init-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(l\text{-init-impl } N \ s, (\text{PR-CONST } l\text{-init})) \in \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a l\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $l\text{-get-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry } \text{Array.nth}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } l\text{-get}))$
 $\in l\text{-assn}^k *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $l\text{-get-rlx-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry } \text{Array.nth}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } l\text{-get-rlx}))$
 $\in l\text{-assn}^k *_a \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{nat-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $l\text{-set-hnr}[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry2 } (\lambda a \ i \ x. \text{Array.upd } i \ x \ a), \text{uncurry2 } (\text{PR-CONST } l\text{-set}))$
 $\in l\text{-assn}^d *_a \text{node-assn}^k *_a \text{nat-assn}^k \rightarrow_a l\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

6.3.4 Label Frequency by Array

definition *cnt-assn* ($f::node \Rightarrow nat$) a

$$\equiv \exists_{A,l}. a \rightarrow_a l * \uparrow(\text{length } l = 2*N \wedge (\forall i < 2*N. ll\ i = f\ i) \wedge (\forall i \geq 2*N. f\ i = 0))$$

definition (**in** $-$) *cnt-init-impl* $N\ C \equiv do \{$

$a \leftarrow \text{Array.new } (2*N) (0::nat);$

$a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } 0 (C-1) a;$

$a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } C\ 1\ a;$

$\text{return } a$

$\}$

definition (**in** $-$) *cnt-incr-impl* $a\ k \equiv do \{$

$\text{freq} \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a\ k;$

$a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } k (freq+1) a;$

$\text{return } a$

$\}$

definition (**in** $-$) *cnt-decr-impl* $a\ k \equiv do \{$

$\text{freq} \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } a\ k;$

$a \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } k (freq-1) a;$

$\text{return } a$

$\}$

lemma *cnt-init-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]: (*cnt-init-impl* N , *PR-CONST cnt-init*) $\in nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a cnt\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cnt-get-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]: (*uncurry Array.nth*, *uncurry (PR-CONST cnt-get)*) $\in cnt\text{-assn}^k *_{a} nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cnt-incr-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]: (*uncurry cnt-incr-impl*, *uncurry (PR-CONST cnt-incr)*) $\in cnt\text{-assn}^d *_{a} nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a cnt\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *cnt-decr-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]: (*uncurry cnt-decr-impl*, *uncurry (PR-CONST cnt-decr)*) $\in cnt\text{-assn}^d *_{a} nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a cnt\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

6.3.5 Combined Frequency Count and Labeling

definition *clc-assn* $\equiv cnt\text{-assn} \times_a l\text{-assn}$

sepref-thm *clc-init-impl is PR-CONST clc-init* :: $nat\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a clc\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *clc-init-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.clc-init-impl.refine-raw*

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = clc-init-impl.refine[OF Network-Impl-axioms]

sepref-thm *clc-get-impl* **is** *uncurry* (PR-CONST *clc-get*)
 :: *clc-assn*^k *_a *node-assn*^k →_a *nat-assn*
 ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in *–*) *clc-get-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.clc-get-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry* ?f,-)∈-

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = *clc-get-impl.refine*[OF *Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *clc-get-rlx-impl* **is** *uncurry* (PR-CONST *clc-get-rlx*)
 :: *clc-assn*^k *_a *node-assn*^k →_a *nat-assn*
 ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in *–*) *clc-get-rlx-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.clc-get-rlx-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry* ?f,-)∈-

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = *clc-get-rlx-impl.refine*[OF *Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *clc-set-impl* **is** *uncurry2* (PR-CONST *clc-set*)
 :: *clc-assn*^d *_a *node-assn*^k *_a *nat-assn*^k →_a *clc-assn*
 ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in *–*) *clc-set-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.clc-set-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry2* ?f,-)∈-

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = *clc-set-impl.refine*[OF *Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *clc-has-gap-impl* **is** *uncurry* (PR-CONST *clc-has-gap*)
 :: *clc-assn*^k *_a *nat-assn*^k →_a *bool-assn*
 ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in *–*) *clc-has-gap-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.clc-has-gap-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry* ?f,-)∈-

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = *clc-has-gap-impl.refine*[OF *Network-Impl-axioms*]

6.3.6 Push

sepref-thm *push-impl* **is** *uncurry2* (PR-CONST *push2*)
 :: *x-assn*^d *_a *cf-assn*^d *_a *edge-assn*^k →_a (*x-assn* ×_a *cf-assn*)
 ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in *–*) *push-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.push-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry2* ?f,-)∈-

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = *push-impl.refine*[OF *Network-Impl-axioms*]

6.3.7 Relabel

sepref-thm *min-adj-label-impl* **is** *uncurry3* (PR-CONST *min-adj-label*)
 :: *am-assn*^k *_a *cf-assn*^k *_a *l-assn*^k *_a *node-assn*^k →_a *nat-assn*
 ⟨proof⟩

concrete-definition (in *–*) *min-adj-label-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.min-adj-label-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry3* ?f,-)∈-

lemmas [sepref-fr-rules] = *min-adj-label-impl.refine*[OF *Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *relabel-impl* **is** *uncurry3* (*PR-CONST* *relabel2*)
 $:: am\text{-}assn^k *_a cf\text{-}assn^k *_a l\text{-}assn^d *_a node\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a l\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *relabel-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.relabel-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry3* *?f,-*) $\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *relabel-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

6.3.8 Gap-Relabel

sepref-thm *gap-impl* **is** *uncurry2* (*PR-CONST* *gap2*)
 $:: nat\text{-}assn^k *_a clc\text{-}assn^d *_a nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a clc\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *gap-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.gap-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry2* *?f,-*) $\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *gap-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *min-adj-label-clc-impl* **is** *uncurry3* (*PR-CONST* *min-adj-label-clc*)
 $:: am\text{-}assn^k *_a cf\text{-}assn^k *_a clc\text{-}assn^k *_a nat\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *min-adj-label-clc-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.min-adj-label-clc-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry3* *?f,-*) $\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *min-adj-label-clc-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *clc-relabel-impl* **is** *uncurry3* (*PR-CONST* *clc-relabel2*)
 $:: am\text{-}assn^k *_a cf\text{-}assn^k *_a clc\text{-}assn^d *_a node\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a clc\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *clc-relabel-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.clc-relabel-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry3* *?f,-*) $\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *clc-relabel-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *gap-relabel-impl* **is** *uncurry4* (*PR-CONST* *gap-relabel2*)
 $:: nat\text{-}assn^k *_a am\text{-}assn^k *_a cf\text{-}assn^k *_a clc\text{-}assn^d *_a node\text{-}assn^k$
 $\rightarrow_a clc\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *gap-relabel-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.gap-relabel-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry4* *?f,-*) $\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *gap-relabel-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

6.3.9 Initialization

sepref-thm *pp-init-xf2-impl* **is** (*PR-CONST* *pp-init-xf2*)
 $:: am\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a x\text{-}assn \times_a cf\text{-}assn$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *pp-init-xf2-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.pp-init-xf2-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*?f,-*) $\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *pp-init-xf2-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

end — Network Implementation Locale

end

7 Implementation of the FIFO Push/Relabel Algorithm

```
theory Fifo-Push-Relabel-Impl
imports
  Fifo-Push-Relabel
  Prpu-Common-Impl
begin
```

7.1 Basic Operations

```
context Network-Impl
begin
```

7.1.1 Queue

Obtain the empty queue.

```
definition q-empty :: node list nres where
  q-empty  $\equiv$  return []
```

Check whether a queue is empty.

```
definition q-is-empty :: node list  $\Rightarrow$  bool nres where
  q-is-empty Q  $\equiv$  return ( Q = [] )
```

Enqueue a node.

```
definition q-enqueue :: node  $\Rightarrow$  node list  $\Rightarrow$  node list nres where
  q-enqueue v Q  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (v  $\in$  V);
    return (Q@[v])
  }
```

Dequeue a node.

```
definition q-dequeue :: node list  $\Rightarrow$  (node  $\times$  node list) nres where
  q-dequeue Q  $\equiv$  do {
    assert (Q  $\neq$  []);
    return (hd Q, tl Q)
  }
```

end — Network Implementation Locale

7.2 Refinements to Basic Operations

context *Network-Impl*
begin

In this section, we refine the algorithm to actually use the basic operations.

7.2.1 Refinement of Push

definition *fifo-push2-aux* x *cf* $Q \equiv \lambda(u,v)$. *do* {
assert ($(u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$);
assert ($u \neq v$);
let $\Delta = \min(x\ u)$ (*cf* (u,v));
let $Q =$ (*if* $v \neq s \wedge v \neq t \wedge xv = 0$ *then* $Q@[v]$ *else* Q);
return ($(x(u := x\ u - \Delta, v := x\ v + \Delta), \text{augment-edge-cf } cf(u,v)\ \Delta), Q$)
}

lemma *fifo-push2-aux-refine*:

$\llbracket ((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}; (ei,e) \in Id \times_r Id; (Qi,Q) \in Id \rrbracket$
 $\implies \text{fifo-push2-aux } x\ cf\ Qi\ ei \leq \Downarrow(xf\text{-rel} \times_r Id) (\text{fifo-push } f\ l\ Q\ e)$
<proof>

definition *fifo-push2* x *cf* $Q \equiv \lambda(u,v)$. *do* {
assert ($(u,v) \in E \cup E^{-1}$);
 $xu \leftarrow x\text{-get } x\ u$;
 $xv \leftarrow x\text{-get } x\ v$;
 $cfw \leftarrow cf\text{-get } cf(u,v)$;
 $cfvu \leftarrow cf\text{-get } cf(v,u)$;
let $\Delta = \min xu\ cfw$;
 $x \leftarrow x\text{-add } x\ u\ (-\Delta)$;
 $x \leftarrow x\text{-add } x\ v\ \Delta$;

$cf \leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf(u,v)\ (cfw - \Delta)$;
 $cf \leftarrow cf\text{-set } cf(v,u)\ (cfvu + \Delta)$;

if $v \neq s \wedge v \neq t \wedge xv = 0$ *then do* {
 $Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } v\ Q$;
return ($(x,cf), Q$)
} *else*
return ($(x,cf), Q$)
}

lemma *fifo-push2-refine[refine]*:

assumes $((x,cf),f) \in xf\text{-rel}$ $(ei,e) \in Id \times_r Id$ $(Qi,Q) \in Id$
shows $\text{fifo-push2 } x\ cf\ Qi\ ei \leq \Downarrow(xf\text{-rel} \times_r Id) (\text{fifo-push } f\ l\ Q\ e)$
<proof>

7.2.2 Refinement of Gap-Relabel

definition *fifo-gap-relabel-aux* $C\ f\ l\ Q\ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } u\ Q;$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-get } l\ u;$
 $l \leftarrow \text{relabel } f\ l\ u;$
if gap-precond $l\ lu$ *then do* $\{$
 $l \leftarrow \text{gap-aux } C\ l\ lu;$
 $\text{return } (l, Q)$
 $\}$ *else return* (l, Q)
 $\}$

lemma *fifo-gap-relabel-aux-refine*:

assumes $[simp]: C = \text{card } V \quad l\text{-invar } l$
shows $\text{fifo-gap-relabel-aux } C\ f\ l\ Q\ u \leq \text{fifo-gap-relabel } f\ l\ Q\ u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *fifo-gap-relabel2* $C\ am\ cf\ clc\ Q\ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $Q \leftarrow q\text{-enqueue } u\ Q;$
 $lu \leftarrow clc\text{-get } clc\ u;$
 $clc \leftarrow clc\text{-relabel2 } am\ cf\ clc\ u;$
 $has\text{-gap} \leftarrow clc\text{-has-gap } clc\ lu;$
if has-gap then do $\{$
 $clc \leftarrow \text{gap2 } C\ clc\ lu;$
 $\text{RETURN } (clc, Q)$
 $\}$ *else*
 $\text{RETURN } (clc, Q)$
 $\}$

lemma *fifo-gap-relabel2-refine-aux*:

assumes $XCF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$
assumes $CLC: (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$
assumes $AM: (am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$
assumes $[simplified, simp]: (Ci, C) \in Id \quad (Qi, Q) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$
shows $\text{fifo-gap-relabel2 } Ci\ am\ cf\ clc\ Qi\ ui$
 $\leq \Downarrow (clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) (\text{fifo-gap-relabel-aux } C\ f\ l\ Q\ u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fifo-gap-relabel2-refine[refine]*:

assumes $XCF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-rel}$
assumes $CLC: (clc, l) \in clc\text{-rel}$
assumes $AM: (am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$
assumes $[simplified, simp]: (Qi, Q) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$
assumes $CC: C = \text{card } V$
shows $\text{fifo-gap-relabel2 } C\ am\ cf\ clc\ Qi\ ui$
 $\leq \Downarrow (clc\text{-rel} \times_r Id) (\text{fifo-gap-relabel } f\ l\ Q\ u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.2.3 Refinement of Discharge

context begin

Some lengthy, multi-step refinement of discharge, changing the iteration to iteration over adjacent nodes with filter, and showing that we can do the filter wrt. the current state, rather than the original state before the loop.

lemma *am-nodes-as-filter*:

assumes *is-adj-map am*

shows $\{v . (u,v) \in cfE\text{-of } f\} = set (filter (\lambda v. cf\text{-of } f (u,v) \neq 0) (am\ u))$

<proof> **lemma** *adjacent-nodes-iterate-refine1*:

fixes *ff u f*

assumes *AMR*: $(am, adjacent\text{-nodes}) \in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle list\text{-set-rel}$

assumes *CR*: $\bigwedge s\ si. (si, s) \in Id \implies cci\ si \longleftrightarrow cc\ s$

assumes *FR*: $\bigwedge v\ vi\ s\ si. [(vi, v) \in Id; v \in V; (u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1}; (si, s) \in Id] \implies$

$ffi\ vi\ si \leq \Downarrow Id (do \{$
 $\quad if\ (cf\text{-of } f (u, v) \neq 0) \text{ then } ff\ v\ s \text{ else } RETURN\ s$
 $\quad \}) (is\ \bigwedge v\ vi\ s\ si. [-; -; -] \implies - \leq \Downarrow - (?ff'\ v\ s))$

assumes *SOR*: $(s0i, s0) \in Id$

assumes *UR*: $(ui, u) \in Id$

shows *nfoldli* $(am\ ui) cci\ ffi\ s0i$

$\leq \Downarrow Id (FOREACHc\ \{v . (u, v) \in cfE\text{-of } f\} cc\ ffi\ s0)$

<proof> **definition** *dis-loop-aux am f₀ l Q u* $\equiv do \{$

assert $(u \in V - \{s, t\});$

assert $(distinct\ (am\ u));$

nfoldli $(am\ u) (\lambda(f, l, Q). excess\ f\ u \neq 0) (\lambda v (f, l, Q). do \{$

assert $((u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1} \wedge v \in V);$

if $(cf\text{-of } f_0 (u, v) \neq 0) \text{ then } do \{$

if $(l\ u = l\ v + 1) \text{ then } do \{$

$(f', Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-push } f\ l\ Q (u, v);$

assert $(\forall v'. v' \neq v \longrightarrow cf\text{-of } f' (u, v') = cf\text{-of } f (u, v'));$

return (f', l, Q)

$\} \text{ else } return\ (f, l, Q)$

$\} \text{ else } return\ (f, l, Q)$

$\}) (f_0, l, Q)$

$\}$

private definition *fifo-discharge-aux am f₀ l Q* $\equiv do \{$

$(u, Q) \leftarrow q\text{-dequeue } Q;$

assert $(u \in V \wedge u \neq s \wedge u \neq t);$

$(f, l, Q) \leftarrow dis\text{-loop-aux } am\ f_0\ l\ Q\ u;$

if $excess\ f\ u \neq 0 \text{ then } do \{$

$(l, Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-gap-relabel } f\ l\ Q\ u;$

return (f, l, Q)

$\} \text{ else } do \{$

return (f, l, Q)

$\}$

}

private lemma *fifo-discharge-aux-refine*:

assumes $AM: (am, adjacent\text{-}nodes) \in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle list\text{-}set\text{-}rel$
assumes $[simplified, simp]: (fi, f) \in Id \quad (li, l) \in Id \quad (Qi, Q) \in Id$
shows $fifo\text{-}discharge\text{-}aux\ am\ fi\ li\ Qi \leq \Downarrow Id\ (fifo\text{-}discharge\ f\ l\ Q)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $dis\text{-}loop\text{-}aux2\ am\ f_0\ l\ Q\ u \equiv do \{$
 $assert\ (u \in V - \{s, t\});$
 $assert\ (distinct\ (am\ u));$
 $unfoldli\ (am\ u)\ (\lambda(f, l, Q).\ excess\ f\ u \neq 0)\ (\lambda v\ (f, l, Q).\ do \{$
 $assert\ ((u, v) \in E \cup E^{-1} \wedge v \in V);$
 $if\ (cf\text{-}of\ f\ (u, v) \neq 0)\ then\ do \{$
 $if\ (l\ u = l\ v + 1)\ then\ do \{$
 $(f', Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-}push\ f\ l\ Q\ (u, v);$
 $assert\ (\forall v'.\ v' \neq v \longrightarrow cf\text{-}of\ f'\ (u, v') = cf\text{-}of\ f\ (u, v'));$
 $return\ (f', l, Q)$
 $\} else\ return\ (f, l, Q)$
 $\} else\ return\ (f, l, Q)$
 $\}) (f_0, l, Q)$
 $\}$

private lemma *dis-loop-aux2-refine*:

shows $dis\text{-}loop\text{-}aux2\ am\ f_0\ l\ Q\ u \leq \Downarrow Id\ (dis\text{-}loop\text{-}aux\ am\ f_0\ l\ Q\ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$ **definition** $dis\text{-}loop\text{-}aux3\ am\ x\ cf\ l\ Q\ u \equiv do \{$
 $assert\ (u \in V \wedge distinct\ (am\ u));$
 $monadic\text{-}unfoldli\ (am\ u)$
 $(\lambda((x, cf), l, Q).\ do \{ xu \leftarrow x\text{-}get\ x\ u; return\ (xu \neq 0) \})$
 $(\lambda v\ ((x, cf), l, Q).\ do \{$
 $cfuv \leftarrow cf\text{-}get\ cf\ (u, v);$
 $if\ (cfuv \neq 0)\ then\ do \{$
 $lu \leftarrow l\text{-}get\ l\ u;$
 $lv \leftarrow l\text{-}get\ l\ v;$
 $if\ (lu = lv + 1)\ then\ do \{$
 $((x, cf), Q) \leftarrow fifo\text{-}push2\ x\ cf\ Q\ (u, v);$
 $return\ ((x, cf), l, Q)$
 $\} else\ return\ ((x, cf), l, Q)$
 $\} else\ return\ ((x, cf), l, Q)$
 $\}) ((x, cf), l, Q)$
 $\}$

private lemma *dis-loop-aux3-refine*:

assumes $[simplified, simp]: (ami, am) \in Id \quad (li, l) \in Id \quad (Qi, Q) \in Id \quad (ui, u) \in Id$
assumes $XF: ((x, cf), f) \in xf\text{-}rel$
shows $dis\text{-}loop\text{-}aux3\ ami\ x\ cf\ li\ Qi\ ui$
 $\leq \Downarrow (xf\text{-}rel \times_r Id \times_r Id)\ (dis\text{-}loop\text{-}aux2\ am\ f\ l\ Q\ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $dis\text{-}loop2\ am\ x\ cf\ clc\ Q\ u \equiv do \{$

$assert\ (distinct\ (am\ u));$

```

amu ← am-get am u;
monadic-nfoldli amu
  (λ((x,cf),clc,Q). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) })
  (λv ((x,cf),clc,Q). do {
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    if (cfuv ≠ 0) then do {
      lu ← clc-get clc u;
      lv ← clc-get clc v;
      if (lu = lv + 1) then do {
        ((x,cf),Q) ← fifo-push2 x cf Q (u,v);
        return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
      } else return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
    } else return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
  }) ((x,cf),clc,Q)
}

```

private lemma *dis-loop2-refine-aux*:

```

assumes [simplified,simp]: (xi,x)∈Id (cfi,cf)∈Id (ami,am)∈Id
assumes [simplified,simp]: (li,l)∈Id (Qi,Q)∈Id (ui,u)∈Id
assumes CLC: (clc,l)∈clc-rel
shows dis-loop2 ami xi cfi clc Qi ui
  ≤↓(Id ×r clc-rel ×r Id) (dis-loop-aux3 am x cf l Q u)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *dis-loop2-refine[refine]*:

```

assumes XF: ((x,cf),f)∈xf-rel
assumes CLC: (clc,l)∈clc-rel
assumes [simplified,simp]: (ami,am)∈Id (Qi,Q)∈Id (ui,u)∈Id
shows dis-loop2 ami x cf clc Qi ui
  ≤↓(xf-rel ×r clc-rel ×r Id) (dis-loop-aux am f l Q u)
⟨proof⟩

```

definition *fifo-discharge2* C am x cf clc Q ≡ do {

```

  (u,Q) ← q-dequeue Q;
  assert (u∈V ∧ u≠s ∧ u≠t);

  ((x,cf),clc,Q) ← dis-loop2 am x cf clc Q u;

  xu ← x-get x u;
  if xu ≠ 0 then do {
    (clc,Q) ← fifo-gap-relabel2 C am cf clc Q u;
    return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
  } else do {
    return ((x,cf),clc,Q)
  }
}

```

lemma *fifo-discharge2-refine[refine]*:

```

assumes AM:  $(am, adjacent-nodes) \in nat-rel \rightarrow \langle nat-rel \rangle list-set-rel$ 
assumes XCF:  $((x, cf), f) \in xf-rel$ 
assumes CLC:  $(clc, l) \in clc-rel$ 
assumes [simplified, simp]:  $(Qi, Q) \in Id$ 
assumes CC:  $C = card\ V$ 
shows fifo-discharge2 C am x cf clc Qi
       $\leq \Downarrow (xf-rel \times_r clc-rel \times_r Id) (fifo-discharge\ f\ l\ Q)$ 
<proof>
  applyS assumption
  <proof>

```

end — Anonymous Context

7.2.4 Computing the Initial Queue

```

definition q-init am  $\equiv do \{$ 
  Q  $\leftarrow q-empty;$ 
  ams  $\leftarrow am-get\ am\ s;$ 
  nfoldli ams  $(\lambda-. True) (\lambda v\ Q. do \{$ 
    if  $v \neq t$  then q-enqueue  $v\ Q$  else return  $Q$ 
   $\})\ Q$ 
 $\}$ 

```

```

lemma q-init-correct[THEN order-trans, refine-vcg]:
  assumes AM: is-adj-map am
  shows q-init am
     $\leq (spec\ l.\ distinct\ l \wedge set\ l = \{v \in V - \{s, t\}.\ excess\ pp-init-f\ v \neq 0\})$ 
  <proof>

```

7.2.5 Refining the Main Algorithm

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-aux am  $\equiv do \{$ 
  cardV  $\leftarrow init-C\ am;$ 
  assert  $(cardV = card\ V);$ 
  let  $f = pp-init-f;$ 
  l  $\leftarrow l-init\ cardV;$ 

  Q  $\leftarrow q-init\ am;$ 

   $(f, l, -) \leftarrow monadic-WHILEIT (\lambda-. True)$ 
     $(\lambda(f, l, Q). do \{qe \leftarrow q-is-empty\ Q; return\ (\neg qe)\})$ 
     $(\lambda(f, l, Q). do \{$ 
      fifo-discharge  $f\ l\ Q$ 
     $\})$ 
     $(f, l, Q);$ 

  assert (Height-Bounded-Labeling  $c\ s\ t\ f\ l$ );
  return  $f$ 
 $\}$ 

```

lemma *fifo-push-relabel-aux-refine*:
assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map am*
shows *fifo-push-relabel-aux am* $\leq \Downarrow Id$ (*fifo-push-relabel*)
<proof>

definition *fifo-push-relabel2 am* \equiv *do* {
cardV \leftarrow *init-C am*;
(x,cf) \leftarrow *pp-init-xcf2 am*;
clc \leftarrow *clc-init cardV*;
Q \leftarrow *q-init am*;

((x,cf),clc,Q) \leftarrow *monadic-WHILEIT* (λ -. *True*)
($\lambda((x,cf),clc,Q)$. *do* {*qe* \leftarrow *q-is-empty Q*; *return* ($\neg qe$)})
($\lambda((x,cf),clc,Q)$. *do* {
fifo-discharge2 cardV am x cf clc Q
})
((x,cf),clc,Q);

return cf
})

lemma *fifo-push-relabel2-refine*:
assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map am*
shows *fifo-push-relabel2 am*
 $\leq \Downarrow (br (flow-of-cf) (RPreGraph c s t))$ *fifo-push-relabel*
<proof>

end — Network Impl. Locale

7.3 Separating out the Initialization of the Adjacency Matrix

context *Network-Impl*
begin

We split the algorithm into an initialization of the adjacency matrix, and the actual algorithm. This way, the algorithm can handle pre-initialized adjacency matrices.

definition *fifo-push-relabel-init2* \equiv *cf-init*

definition *pp-init-xcf2' am cf* \equiv *do* {
x \leftarrow *x-init*;

assert (*s* \in *V*);
adj \leftarrow *am-get am s*;
nfoldli adj (λ -. *True*) ($\lambda v (x,cf)$. *do* {
assert (*(s,v)* \in *E*);
assert (*s* \neq *v*);
a \leftarrow *cf-get cf (s,v)*;
x \leftarrow *x-add x s (-a)*;

```

    x ← x-add x v a;
    cf ← cf-set cf (s,v) 0;
    cf ← cf-set cf (v,s) a;
    return (x,cf)
  }) (x,cf)
}

```

definition *fifo-push-relabel-run2* am cf \equiv do {
cardV ← *init-C* am;
 (x,cf) ← *pp-init-xcf2'* am cf;
clc ← *clc-init* cardV;
Q ← *q-init* am;

 ((x,cf),*clc*,*Q*) ← *monadic-WHILEIT* (λ -. *True*)
 (λ ((x,cf),*clc*,*Q*). do {*qe* ← *q-is-empty* *Q*; return (\neg *qe*)})
 (λ ((x,cf),*clc*,*Q*). do {
 fifo-discharge2 cardV am x cf *clc* *Q*
 })
 ((x,cf),*clc*,*Q*);

 return cf
}

lemma *fifo-push-relabel2-alt*:
fifo-push-relabel2 am = do {
 cf ← *fifo-push-relabel-init2*;
fifo-push-relabel-run2 am cf
 }
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end — Network Impl. Locale

7.4 Refinement To Efficient Data Structures

context *Network-Impl*
begin

7.4.1 Registration of Abstract Operations

We register all abstract operations at once, auto-rewriting the capacity matrix type

context includes *Network-Impl-Sepref-Register*
begin

sepref-register *q-empty q-is-empty q-enqueue q-dequeue*

sepref-register *fifo-push2*

sepref-register *fifo-gap-relabel2*

sepref-register *dis-loop2 fifo-discharge2*

sepref-register *q-init pp-init-xf2'*

sepref-register *fifo-push-relabel-run2 fifo-push-relabel-init2*

sepref-register *fifo-push-relabel2*

end — Anonymous Context

7.4.2 Queue by Two Stacks

definition (**in** $-$) $q\text{-}\alpha \equiv \lambda(L,R). L@rev R$

definition (**in** $-$) $q\text{-empty-impl} \equiv ([], [])$

definition (**in** $-$) $q\text{-is-empty-impl} \equiv \lambda(L,R). is\text{-Nil } L \wedge is\text{-Nil } R$

definition (**in** $-$) $q\text{-enqueue-impl} \equiv \lambda x (L,R). (L, x\#R)$

definition (**in** $-$) $q\text{-dequeue-impl}$

$\equiv \lambda(x\#L,R) \Rightarrow (x, (L,R)) \mid ([], R) \Rightarrow case\ rev\ R\ of\ (x\#L) \Rightarrow (x, (L, []))$

lemma $q\text{-empty-impl-correct}[simp]: q\text{-}\alpha\ q\text{-empty-impl} = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $q\text{-enqueue-impl-correct}[simp]: q\text{-}\alpha\ (q\text{-enqueue-impl } x\ Q) = q\text{-}\alpha\ Q\ @\ [x]$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $q\text{-is-empty-impl-correct}[simp]: q\text{-is-empty-impl } Q \longleftrightarrow q\text{-}\alpha\ Q = []$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $q\text{-dequeue-impl-correct-aux}$:

$\llbracket q\text{-}\alpha\ Q = x\#xs \rrbracket \implies apsnd\ q\text{-}\alpha\ (q\text{-dequeue-impl } Q) = (x, xs)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $q\text{-dequeue-impl-correct}[simp]$:

assumes $q\text{-dequeue-impl } Q = (x, Q')$

assumes $q\text{-}\alpha\ Q \neq []$

shows $x = hd\ (q\text{-}\alpha\ Q)$ **and** $q\text{-}\alpha\ Q' = tl\ (q\text{-}\alpha\ Q)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $q\text{-assn} \equiv pure\ (br\ q\text{-}\alpha\ (\lambda_. True))$

lemma $q\text{-empty-impl-hnr}[sepref-fr-rules]$:

$(uncurry0\ (return\ q\text{-empty-impl}),\ uncurry0\ q\text{-empty}) \in unit\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a\ q\text{-assn}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *q-is-empty-impl-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
 (return o *q-is-empty-impl*, *q-is-empty*) \in *q-assn*^k \rightarrow_a *bool-assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *q-enqueue-impl-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
 (uncurry (return oo *q-enqueue-impl*), uncurry (*PR-CONST* *q-enqueue*))
 \in *nat-assn*^k *_a *q-assn*^d \rightarrow_a *q-assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *q-dequeue-impl-hnr*[*sepref-fr-rules*]:
 (return o *q-dequeue-impl*, *q-dequeue*) \in *q-assn*^d \rightarrow_a *nat-assn* \times_a *q-assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

7.4.3 Push

sepref-thm *fifo-push-impl* is uncurry3 (*PR-CONST* *fifo-push2*)
 $::$ *x-assn*^d *_a *cf-assn*^d *_a *q-assn*^d *_a *edge-assn*^k
 \rightarrow_a ((*x-assn* \times_a *cf-assn*) \times_a *q-assn*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

concrete-definition (in $-$) *fifo-push-impl*
 uses *Network-Impl.fifo-push-impl.refine-raw* is (uncurry3 ?f,-) \in -
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *fifo-push-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

7.4.4 Gap-Relabel

sepref-thm *fifo-gap-relabel-impl* is uncurry5 (*PR-CONST* *fifo-gap-relabel2*)
 $::$ *nat-assn*^k *_a *am-assn*^k *_a *cf-assn*^k *_a *clc-assn*^d *_a *q-assn*^d *_a *node-assn*^k
 \rightarrow_a *clc-assn* \times_a *q-assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

concrete-definition (in $-$) *fifo-gap-relabel-impl*
 uses *Network-Impl.fifo-gap-relabel-impl.refine-raw* is (uncurry5 ?f,-) \in -
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *fifo-gap-relabel-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

7.4.5 Discharge

sepref-thm *fifo-dis-loop-impl* is uncurry5 (*PR-CONST* *dis-loop2*)
 $::$ *am-assn*^k *_a *x-assn*^d *_a *cf-assn*^d *_a *clc-assn*^d *_a *q-assn*^d *_a *node-assn*^k
 \rightarrow_a (*x-assn* \times_a *cf-assn*) \times_a *clc-assn* \times_a *q-assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

concrete-definition (in $-$) *fifo-dis-loop-impl*
 uses *Network-Impl.fifo-dis-loop-impl.refine-raw* is (uncurry5 ?f,-) \in -
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *fifo-dis-loop-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *fifo-fifo-discharge-impl* is uncurry5 (*PR-CONST* *fifo-discharge2*)
 $::$ *nat-assn*^k *_a *am-assn*^k *_a *x-assn*^d *_a *cf-assn*^d *_a *clc-assn*^d *_a *q-assn*^d
 \rightarrow_a (*x-assn* \times_a *cf-assn*) \times_a *clc-assn* \times_a *q-assn*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

concrete-definition (in $-$) *fifo-fifo-discharge-impl*
 uses *Network-Impl.fifo-fifo-discharge-impl.refine-raw* is (uncurry5 ?f,-) \in -
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] =

fifo-fifo-discharge-impl.refine[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

7.4.6 Computing the Initial State

sepref-thm *fifo-init-C-impl* **is** (*PR-CONST* *init-C*)

$:: am\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-}assn$

<proof>

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *fifo-init-C-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.fifo-init-C-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*?f,-*) $\in-$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *fifo-init-C-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *fifo-q-init-impl* **is** (*PR-CONST* *q-init*)

$:: am\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a q\text{-}assn$

<proof>

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *fifo-q-init-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.fifo-q-init-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*?f,-*) $\in-$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *fifo-q-init-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *pp-init-xf2'-impl* **is** *uncurry* (*PR-CONST* *pp-init-xf2'*)

$:: am\text{-}assn^k *_a cf\text{-}assn^d \rightarrow_a x\text{-}assn \times_a cf\text{-}assn$

<proof>

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *pp-init-xf2'-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.pp-init-xf2'-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry ?f,-*) $\in-$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *pp-init-xf2'-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

7.4.7 Main Algorithm

sepref-thm *fifo-push-relabel-run-impl*

is *uncurry* (*PR-CONST* *fifo-push-relabel-run2*)

$:: am\text{-}assn^k *_a cf\text{-}assn^d \rightarrow_a cf\text{-}assn$

<proof>

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *fifo-push-relabel-run-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-run-impl.refine-raw* **is** (*uncurry ?f,-*) $\in-$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] =

fifo-push-relabel-run-impl.refine[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *fifo-push-relabel-init-impl*

is *uncurry0* (*PR-CONST* *fifo-push-relabel-init2*)

$:: unit\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a cf\text{-}assn$

<proof>

concrete-definition (**in** $-$) *fifo-push-relabel-init-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-init-impl.refine-raw*

is (*uncurry0 ?f,-*) $\in-$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] =

fifo-push-relabel-init-impl.refine[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

sepref-thm *fifo-push-relabel-impl* **is** (*PR-CONST* *fifo-push-relabel2*)

$:: am\text{-}assn^k \rightarrow_a cf\text{-}assn$

<proof>

concrete-definition (in $-$) *fifo-push-relabel-impl*
uses *Network-Impl.fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine-raw* **is** $(?f,-)\in-$
lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *fifo-push-relabel-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

end — Network Impl. Locale

export-code *fifo-push-relabel-impl checking SML-imp*

7.5 Combining the Refinement Steps

theorem (in *Network-Impl*) *fifo-push-relabel-impl-correct*[*sep-heap-rules*]:
assumes *AM: is-adj-map am*
shows
 $\langle am-assign\ am\ ami \rangle$
 $fifo-push-relabel-impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ ami$
 $\langle \lambda cf. \exists_A cf.$
 $\quad am-assign\ am\ ami\ * \ cf-assign\ cf\ cfi$
 $\quad * \uparrow(isMaxFlow\ (flow-of-cf\ cf) \wedge RGraph-Impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ cf) \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

7.6 Combination with Network Checker and Main Correctness Theorem

definition *fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am* $c\ s\ t\ N\ am \equiv do \{$
 $\quad ami \leftarrow Array.make\ N\ am; \text{ — TODO/DUP: Called } init-ps \text{ in Edmonds-Karp}$
 $\quad impl$
 $\quad cfi \leftarrow fifo-push-relabel-impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ ami;$
 $\quad return\ (ami, cfi)$
 $\}$

theorem *fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am-correct*[*sep-heap-rules*]:
assumes *NW: Network c s t*
assumes *VN: Graph.V c $\subseteq \{0..<N\}$*
assumes *ABS-PS: Graph.is-adj-map c am*
shows
 $\langle emp \rangle$
 $fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am\ c\ s\ t\ N\ am$
 $\langle \lambda(ami, cfi). \exists_A cf.$
 $\quad am-assign\ N\ am\ ami\ * \ cf-assign\ N\ cf\ cfi$
 $\quad * \uparrow(Network.isMaxFlow\ c\ s\ t\ (Network.flow-of-cf\ c\ cf)$
 $\quad \wedge RGraph-Impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ cf$
 $\quad \rangle_t$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *fifo-push-relabel* $el\ s\ t \equiv do \{$
 $\quad case\ prepareNet\ el\ s\ t\ of$

```

  None  $\Rightarrow$  return None
| Some (c,am,N)  $\Rightarrow$  do {
  (ami,cf)  $\leftarrow$  fifo-push-relabel-impl-tab-am c s t N am;
  return (Some (c,ami,N,cf))
}
}
export-code fifo-push-relabel checking SML-imp

```

Main correctness statement:

- If *fifo-push-relabel* returns *None*, the edge list was invalid or described an invalid network.
- If it returns *Some (c,am,N,cfi)*, then the edge list is valid and describes a valid network. Moreover, *cfi* is an integer square matrix of dimension *N*, which describes a valid residual graph in the network, whose corresponding flow is maximal. Finally, *am* is a valid adjacency map of the graph, and the nodes of the graph are integers less than *N*.

theorem *fifo-push-relabel-correct*[sep-heap-rules]:

```

<emp>
fifo-push-relabel el s t
< $\lambda$ 
  None  $\Rightarrow$   $\uparrow$ ( $\neg$ ln-invar el  $\vee$   $\neg$ Network (ln- $\alpha$  el) s t)
| Some (c,ami,N,cfi)  $\Rightarrow$ 
   $\uparrow$ (c = ln- $\alpha$  el  $\wedge$  ln-invar el  $\wedge$  Network c s t)
  * ( $\exists_A$  am cf. am-assn N am ami * cf-assn N cf cfi
    *  $\uparrow$ (RGraph-Impl c s t N cf  $\wedge$  Graph.is-adj-map c am
       $\wedge$  Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf))
  )
>t
<proof>

```

7.6.1 Justification of Splitting into Prepare and Run Phase

definition *fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl* el s t \equiv do {
 case prepareNet el s t of
 None \Rightarrow return None
| Some (c,am,N) \Rightarrow do {
 ami \leftarrow Array.make N am;
 cfi \leftarrow fifo-push-relabel-init-impl c N;
 return (Some (N,ami,c,cfi))
}
}

theorem *justify-fifo-push-relabel-prep-run-split*:

```

fifo-push-relabel el s t =
do {

```

```

pr ← fifo-push-relabel-prepare-impl el s t;
case pr of
  None ⇒ return None
| Some (N,ami,c,cf) ⇒ do {
  cf ← fifo-push-relabel-run-impl s t N ami cf;
  return (Some (c,ami,N,cf))
}
}
⟨proof⟩

```

7.7 Usage Example: Computing Maxflow Value

We implement a function to compute the value of the maximum flow.

```

definition fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val el s t ≡ do {
  r ← fifo-push-relabel el s t;
  case r of
    None ⇒ return None
  | Some (c,am,N,cf) ⇒ do {
    v ← compute-flow-val-impl s N am cf;
    return (Some v)
  }
}

```

The computed flow value is correct

```

theorem fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val-correct:
  <emp>
  fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val el s t
  <λ
  None ⇒ ↑(¬ln-invar el ∨ ¬Network (ln-α el) s t)
  | Some v ⇒ ↑( ln-invar el
    ∧ (let c = ln-α el in
      Network c s t ∧ Network.is-max-flow-val c s t v
    ))
  >t
⟨proof⟩

```

```

export-code fifo-push-relabel-compute-flow-val checking SML-imp

```

```

end

```

8 Implementation of Relabel-to-Front

```

theory Relabel-To-Front-Impl
imports
  Relabel-To-Front
  Prpu-Common-Impl
begin

```

8.1 Basic Operations

context *Network-Impl*
begin

8.1.1 Neighbor Lists

definition *n-init* :: (node \Rightarrow node list) \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node list) nres
where *n-init* am \equiv return (am(s := [], t := []))

definition *n-at-end* :: (node \Rightarrow node list) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow bool nres
where *n-at-end* n u \equiv do {
 assert (u \in V - {s,t});
 return (n u = [])
}

definition *n-get-hd* :: (node \Rightarrow node list) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow node nres
where *n-get-hd* n u \equiv do {
 assert (u \in V - {s,t} \wedge n u \neq []);
 return (hd (n u))
}

definition *n-move-next*
:: (node \Rightarrow node list) \Rightarrow node \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node list) nres
where *n-move-next* n u \equiv do {
 assert (u \in V - {s,t} \wedge n u \neq []);
 return (n (u := tl (n u)))
}

definition *n-reset*
:: (node \Rightarrow node list) \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node list) \Rightarrow node
 \Rightarrow (node \Rightarrow node list) nres
where *n-reset* am n u \equiv do {
 assert (u \in V - {s,t});
 return (n (u := am u))
}

lemma *n-init-refine*[refine2]:
assumes AM: is-adj-map am
shows *n-init* am
 \leq (spec c. (c, rtf-init-n) \in (nat-rel \rightarrow \langle nat-rel \rangle list-set-rel))
<proof>

8.2 Refinement to Basic Operations

8.2.1 Discharge

definition *discharge2* am x cf l n u \equiv do {
 assert (u \in V);
 monadic-WHILEIT (λ -. True)

```

(λ((x,cf),l,n). do { xu ← x-get x u; return (xu ≠ 0) } )
(λ((x,cf),l,n). do {
  at-end ← n-at-end n u;
  if at-end then do {
    l ← relabel2 am cf l u;
    n ← n-reset am n u;
    return ((x,cf),l,n)
  } else do {
    v ← n-get-hd n u;
    cfuv ← cf-get cf (u,v);
    lu ← l-get l u;
    lv ← l-get l v;
    if (cfuv ≠ 0 ∧ lu = lv + 1) then do {
      (x,cf) ← push2 x cf (u,v);
      return ((x,cf),l,n)
    } else do {
      n ← n-move-next n u;
      return ((x,cf),l,n)
    }
  }
}) ((x,cf),l,n)
}

```

lemma *discharge-structure-refine-aux*:

assumes *SR*: $(ni,n) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$

assumes *SU*: $(ui,u) \in \text{Id}$

assumes *fNR*: $fNi \leq \Downarrow R fN$

assumes *UIV*: $u \in V - \{s,t\}$

assumes *fSR*: $\bigwedge v \text{ vi vs. } \llbracket$

$(vi,v) \in \text{Id}; v \in n \text{ u}; ni \text{ u} = v \# vs; (v \# vs, n \text{ u}) \in \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$

$\rrbracket \implies fSi \text{ vi} \leq \Downarrow R (fS \text{ v})$

shows

```

( do {
  at-end ← n-at-end ni ui;
  if at-end then fNi
  else do {
    v ← n-get-hd ni ui;
    fSi v
  }
} ) ≤ ⋓ R (

```

```

do {
  v ← select v. v ∈ n u;
  case v of
    None ⇒ fN
  | Some v ⇒ fS v
} (is ?lhs ≤ ⋓ R ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```


lemma *xf-rel-RELATES*[*refine-dref-RELATES*]: *RELATES* *xf-rel*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *discharge2-refine*[*refine*]:
assumes *A*: $((x,cf),f) \in \text{xf-rel}$
assumes *AM*: $(am, \text{adjacent-nodes}) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$
assumes [*simplified, simp*]: $(li, l) \in \text{Id} \quad (ui, u) \in \text{Id}$
assumes *NR*: $(ni, n) \in \text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel}$
shows *discharge2* *am x cf li ni ui*
 $\leq \Downarrow (\text{xf-rel} \times_r \text{Id} \times_r (\text{nat-rel} \rightarrow \langle \text{nat-rel} \rangle \text{list-set-rel})) (\text{discharge } f \ l \ n \ u)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

8.2.2 Initialization of Queue

lemma *V-is-adj-nodes*: $V = \{ v . \text{adjacent-nodes } v \neq \{\} \}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *init-CQ* *am* \equiv *do* {
let *cardV* = 0;
let *Q* = [];
nfoldli [0..*N*] ($\lambda - . \text{True}$) ($\lambda v (\text{cardV}, Q)$). *do* {
assert ($v < N$);
inV \leftarrow *am-is-in-V* *am* *v*;
if inV *then do* {
let *cardV* = *cardV* + 1;
if $v \neq s \wedge v \neq t$ *then*
return (*cardV*, $v \# Q$)
else
return (*cardV*, *Q*)
 } *else*
return (*cardV*, *Q*)
 }
 }
 }
 }
 }

lemma *init-CQ-correct*[*THEN* *order-trans*, *refine-vcg*]:
assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map* *am*
shows *init-CQ* *am* \leq *SPEC* ($\lambda (C, Q)$. $C = \text{card } V \wedge \text{distinct } Q \wedge \text{set } Q = V - \{s, t\}$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

8.2.3 Main Algorithm

definition *relabel-to-front2* *am* \equiv *do* {
 (*cardV*, *L-right*) \leftarrow *init-CQ* *am*;

xcf \leftarrow *pp-init-xcf2* *am*;
l \leftarrow *l-init* *cardV*;
n \leftarrow *n-init* *am*;

let *L-left* = [];

```

((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right) ← whileT
  (λ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right). L-right ≠ [])
  (λ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right). do {
    assert (L-right ≠ []);
    let u = hd L-right;
    old-lu ← l-get l u;

    ((x,cf),l,n) ← discharge2 am x cf l n u;

    lu ← l-get l u;
    if (lu ≠ old-lu) then do {
      — Move u to front of l, and restart scanning L. The cost for
      — rev-append is amortized by going to next node in L
      let (L-left,L-right) = ([u],rev-append L-left (tl L-right));
      return ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right)
    } else do {
      — Goto next node in L
      let (L-left,L-right) = (u#L-left, tl L-right);
      return ((x,cf),l,n,L-left,L-right)
    }
  }) (xcf,l,n,L-left,L-right);

return cf
}

```

lemma *relabel-to-front2-refine*[*refine*]:
assumes *AM*: *is-adj-map am*
shows *relabel-to-front2 am*
 $\leq \Downarrow(\text{br } (\text{flow-of-cf}) (R\text{PreGraph } c \ s \ t)) \text{ relabel-to-front}$
<proof>

8.3 Refinement to Efficient Data Structures

context includes *Network-Impl-Sepref-Register*

begin

```

sepref-register n-init
sepref-register n-at-end
sepref-register n-get-hd
sepref-register n-move-next
sepref-register n-reset
sepref-register discharge2
sepref-register init-CQ
sepref-register relabel-to-front2

```

end

8.3.1 Neighbor Lists by Array of Lists

definition $n\text{-assn} \equiv \text{is-nf } N \text{ } ([::\text{nat list}])$

definition $(\text{in } -) \text{ } n\text{-init-impl } s \ t \ am \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\quad n \leftarrow \text{array-copy } am;$
 $\quad n \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } s \ [] \ n;$
 $\quad n \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } t \ [] \ n;$
 $\quad \text{return } n$
 $\}$

lemma $[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $(n\text{-init-impl } s \ t, \text{PR-CONST } n\text{-init}) \in \text{am-assn}^k \rightarrow_a n\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $(\text{in } -) \text{ } n\text{-at-end-impl } n \ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\quad nu \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } n \ u;$
 $\quad \text{return } (\text{is-Nil } nu)$
 $\}$

lemma $[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $(\text{uncurry } n\text{-at-end-impl}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } n\text{-at-end}))$
 $\in n\text{-assn}^k *_{\text{a}} \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{bool-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $(\text{in } -) \text{ } n\text{-get-hd-impl } n \ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\quad nu \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } n \ u;$
 $\quad \text{return } (\text{hd } nu)$
 $\}$

lemma $[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $(\text{uncurry } n\text{-get-hd-impl}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } n\text{-get-hd}))$
 $\in n\text{-assn}^k *_{\text{a}} \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a \text{node-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $(\text{in } -) \text{ } n\text{-move-next-impl } n \ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\quad nu \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } n \ u;$
 $\quad n \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } u \ (\text{tl } nu) \ n;$
 $\quad \text{return } n$
 $\}$

lemma $[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:
 $(\text{uncurry } n\text{-move-next-impl}, \text{uncurry } (\text{PR-CONST } n\text{-move-next}))$
 $\in n\text{-assn}^d *_{\text{a}} \text{node-assn}^k \rightarrow_a n\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition $(\text{in } -) \text{ } n\text{-reset-impl } am \ n \ u \equiv \text{do } \{$
 $\quad nu \leftarrow \text{Array.nth } am \ u;$
 $\quad n \leftarrow \text{Array.upd } u \ nu \ n;$
 $\quad \text{return } n$
 $\}$

lemma $[\text{sepref-fr-rules}]$:

$(\text{uncurry2 } n\text{-reset-impl}, \text{uncurry2 } (PR\text{-CONST } n\text{-reset}))$
 $\in am\text{-assn}^k *_a n\text{-assn}^d *_a node\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a n\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

8.3.2 Discharge

sepref-thm *discharge-impl* **is** $\text{uncurry5 } (PR\text{-CONST } \text{discharge2})$
 $:: am\text{-assn}^k *_a x\text{-assn}^d *_a cf\text{-assn}^d *_a l\text{-assn}^d *_a n\text{-assn}^d *_a node\text{-assn}^k$
 $\rightarrow_a (x\text{-assn} \times_a cf\text{-assn}) \times_a l\text{-assn} \times_a n\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete-definition (in $-$) *discharge-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.discharge-impl.refine-raw* **is** $(\text{uncurry5 } ?f, -) \in -$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *discharge-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

8.3.3 Initialization of Queue

sepref-thm *init-CQ-impl* **is** $(PR\text{-CONST } \text{init-CQ})$
 $:: am\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a nat\text{-assn} \times_a list\text{-assn } nat\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete-definition (in $-$) *init-CQ-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.init-CQ-impl.refine-raw* **is** $(?f, -) \in -$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *init-CQ-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

8.3.4 Main Algorithm

sepref-thm *relabel-to-front-impl* **is**
 $(PR\text{-CONST } \text{relabel-to-front2}) :: am\text{-assn}^k \rightarrow_a cf\text{-assn}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

concrete-definition (in $-$) *relabel-to-front-impl*

uses *Network-Impl.relabel-to-front-impl.refine-raw* **is** $(?f, -) \in -$

lemmas [*sepref-fr-rules*] = *relabel-to-front-impl.refine*[*OF Network-Impl-axioms*]

end — Network Implementation Locale

export-code *relabel-to-front-impl* **checking** *SML-imp*

8.4 Combination with Network Checker and Correctness

context *Network-Impl* **begin**

theorem *relabel-to-front-impl-correct*[*sep-heap-rules*]:

assumes *AM: is-adj-map am*

shows

$\langle am\text{-assn } am \text{ ami} \rangle$

$relabel\text{-to-front-impl } c \ s \ t \ N \ \text{ami}$

$\langle \lambda cf. \exists_A cf. cf\text{-assn } cf \ cf_i$

$* \uparrow(isMaxFlow (flow\text{-of-cf } cf) \wedge RGraph\text{-Impl } c \ s \ t \ N \ cf) \rangle_t$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

definition *relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am* $c\ s\ t\ N\ am \equiv do \{$
 $ami \leftarrow Array.make\ N\ am;$ — TODO/DUP: Called *init-ps* in Edmonds-Karp
 $impl$
 $relabel-to-front-impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ ami$
 $\}$

theorem *relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am-correct*[*sep-heap-rules*]:

assumes *NW*: *Network* $c\ s\ t$

assumes *VN*: *Graph*. $V\ c \subseteq \{0..<N\}$

assumes *ABS-PS*: *Graph.is-adj-map* $c\ am$

shows

$\langle emp \rangle$

$relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am\ c\ s\ t\ N\ am$

$\langle \lambda cf. \exists_A cf.$

$asmtx-assn\ N\ id-assn\ cf\ cfi$

$* \uparrow (Network.isMaxFlow\ c\ s\ t\ (Network.flow-of-cf\ c\ cf)$

$\wedge\ RGraph-Impl\ c\ s\ t\ N\ cf$

$\rangle_{>t}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *relabel-to-front* $el\ s\ t \equiv do \{$

$case\ prepareNet\ el\ s\ t\ of$

$None \Rightarrow return\ None$

$| Some\ (c,am,N) \Rightarrow do \{$

$cf \leftarrow relabel-to-front-impl-tab-am\ c\ s\ t\ N\ am;$

$return\ (Some\ (c,am,N,cf))$

$\}$

$\}$

export-code *relabel-to-front* **checking** *SML-imp*

Main correctness statement:

- If *relabel-to-front* returns *None*, the edge list was invalid or described an invalid network.
- If it returns *Some* (c,am,N,cfi) , then the edge list is valid and describes a valid network. Moreover, *cfi* is an integer square matrix of dimension N , which describes a valid residual graph in the network, whose corresponding flow is maximal. Finally, *am* is a valid adjacency map of the graph, and the nodes of the graph are integers less than N .

theorem *relabel-to-front-correct*:

$\langle emp \rangle$

$relabel-to-front\ el\ s\ t$

$\langle \lambda$

$None \Rightarrow \uparrow (\neg ln-invar\ el \vee \neg Network\ (ln-\alpha\ el)\ s\ t)$

$| Some\ (c,am,N,cfi) \Rightarrow$

$\uparrow (c = ln-\alpha\ el \wedge ln-invar\ el)$

$* (\exists_A cf. asmtx-assn\ N\ int-assn\ cf\ cfi$

```

      * ↑(RGraph-Impl c s t N cf
        ∧ Network.isMaxFlow c s t (Network.flow-of-cf c cf))
    * ↑(Graph.is-adj-map c am)
  >t

  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

9 Conclusion

We have presented a verification of two push-relabel algorithms for solving the maximum flow problem. Starting with a generic push-relabel algorithm, we have used stepwise refinement techniques to derive the relabel-to-front and FIFO push-relabel algorithms. Further refinement yields verified efficient imperative implementations of the algorithms.

References

- [1] R.-J. Back. *On the correctness of refinement steps in program development*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, University of Helsinki, 1978.
- [2] R.-J. Back and J. von Wright. *Refinement Calculus — A Systematic Introduction*. Springer, 1998.
- [3] B. V. Cherkassky and A. V. Goldberg. On implementing the push—relabel method for the maximum flow problem. *Algorithmica*, 19(4):390–410, 1997.
- [4] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition*. The MIT Press, 3rd edition, 2009.
- [5] A. V. Goldberg and R. E. Tarjan. A new approach to the maximum-flow problem. *J. ACM*, 35(4), Oct. 1988.
- [6] P. Lammich and S. R. Sefidgar. Formalizing the edmonds-karp algorithm. In *Interactive Theorem Proving*. Springer, 2016. to appear.
- [7] P. Lammich and S. R. Sefidgar. Formalizing the edmonds-karp algorithm. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Aug. 2016. http://isa-afp.org/entries/EdmondsKarp_Maxflow.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [8] G. Lee. Correctness of ford-fulkersons maximum flow algorithm1. *Formalized Mathematics*, 13(2):305–314, 2005.

- [9] N. Wirth. Program development by stepwise refinement. *Commun. ACM*, 14(4), Apr. 1971.