

# Optimal Binary Search Trees

Tobias Nipkow and Dániel Somogyi  
Technical University Munich

August 7, 2022

## Abstract

This article formalizes recursive algorithms for the construction of optimal binary search trees given fixed access frequencies. We follow Knuth [1], Yao [4] and Mehlhorn [2].

The algorithms are memoized with the help of an AFP entry for memoization [3], thus yielding dynamic programming algorithms.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Data Representation . . . . .	2
<b>2</b>	<b>Weighted Path Length of BST</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Optimal BSTs: The ‘Cubic’ Algorithm</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Function <i>argmin</i> . . . . .	4
3.2	The ‘Cubic’ Algorithm . . . . .	5
3.2.1	Functions <i>wpl</i> and <i>min_wpl</i> . . . . .	5
3.2.2	Function <i>opt_bst</i> . . . . .	6
3.2.3	Function <i>opt_bst_wpl</i> . . . . .	6
<b>4</b>	<b>Quadrangle Inequality</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Optimal BSTs: The ‘Quadratic’ Algorithm</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Code Generation (unmemoized)</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>Memoization</b>	<b>13</b>

## 1 Introduction

These theories formalize algorithms for the construction of optimal binary search trees from fixed access frequencies for a fixed list of items. The work

is based on the original article by Knuth [1] and the textbook by Mehlhorn [2, Part III, Chapter 4].

Initially the algorithms are expressed as naive recursive functions and have exponential complexity. Nevertheless we already refer to them as the cubic (Section 3) and the quadratic algorithm (Section 5), their running times of their fully memoized dynamic programming versions. In Section 7 the algorithms are memoized with the help of an existing framework [3].

## 1.1 Data Representation

Instead of labeling our BSTs with (ascending) keys  $x_i < \dots < x_j$  we label them with the indices of the actual keys, some interval of integers. Functions taking two integer arguments  $i$  and  $j$  construct or analyze trees such that  $\text{inorder } t = [i..j]$ .

The access frequencies are given by two tables (functions)  $a$  and  $b$ :

$a k$  ( $i \leq k \leq j + 1$ ) is the frequency of (failing) searches with a key in the interval  $(x_{k-1}, x_k)$ .

$b k$  ( $i \leq k \leq j$ ) is the frequency of (successful) searches with key  $x_k$ .

## 2 Weighted Path Length of BST

```
theory Weighted_Path_Length
imports HOL-Library.Tree
begin
```

This theory presents two definitions of the *weighted path length* of a BST, the objective function we want to minimize, and proves them equivalent. Function  $Wpl$  is the intuitive global definition that sums  $a$  over all leaves and  $b$  over all nodes, taking their depth (= number of comparisons to reach that point) into account. Function  $wpl$  is a recursive definition and thus suitable for the later dynamic programming approaches to building a BST with the minimal weighted path length.

```
lemma inorder_up_to_split:
assumes inorder ⟨l,k,r⟩ = [i..j]
shows inorder l = [i..k-1] inorder r = [k+1..j] i ≤ k k ≤ j
⟨proof⟩

fun incr2 :: int × nat ⇒ int × nat where
incr2 (x,n) = (x, n + 1)

fun leaves :: int ⇒ int tree ⇒ (int * nat) set where
leaves i Leaf = {(i,0)} |
leaves i (Node l k r) = incr2 ` (leaves i l ∪ leaves (k+1) r)

fun nodes :: int tree ⇒ (int * nat) set where
```

```

nodes Leaf = {} |
nodes (Node l k r) = {(k,1)} ∪ incr2 ` (nodes l ∪ nodes r)

lemma finite_nodes: finite (nodes t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma finite_leaves: finite (leaves i t)
⟨proof⟩

lemma notin_nodes0: (k, 0) ∉ nodes t
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_incr2: sum f (incr2 ` A) = sum (λxy. f(fst xy,snd xy+1)) A
⟨proof⟩

lemma fst_nodes: fst ` nodes t = set_tree t
⟨proof⟩

lemma fst_leaves: [inorder t = [i..j]; i ≤ j+1] ⇒ fst ` leaves i t = {i..j+1}
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_leaves: [inorder t = [i..j]; i ≤ j+1] ⇒
(∑ x∈leaves i t. (f(fst x) :: nat)) = sum f {i..j+1}
⟨proof⟩

lemma sum_nodes: inorder t = [i..j] ⇒
(∑ xy∈nodes t. (f(fst xy) :: nat)) = sum f {i..j}
⟨proof⟩

locale wpl =
fixes w :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat
begin

fun wpl :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int tree ⇒ nat where
wpl i j Leaf = 0 |
wpl i j (Node l k r) = wpl i (k−1) l + wpl (k+1) j r + w i j

end

locale Wpl =
fixes a b :: int ⇒ nat
begin

definition Wpl :: int ⇒ int tree ⇒ nat where
Wpl i t = sum (λ(k,c). c * b k) (nodes t) + sum (λ(k,c). c * a k) (leaves i t)

definition w :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat where
w i j = sum a {i..j+1} + sum b {i..j}

```

```

sublocale wpl where w = w ⟨proof⟩

lemma inorder t = [i..j] ==> wpl i j t = Wpl i t
⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

### 3 Optimal BSTs: The ‘Cubic’ Algorithm

```

theory Optimal_BST
imports Weighted_Path_Length Monad_Memo_DP.OptBST
begin

```

#### 3.1 Function argmin

Function *argmin* was moved to *Monad\_Memo\_DP.argmin*. It iterates over a list and returns the rightmost element that minimizes a given function:

```

argmin ?f (?x #?xs) =
(if ?xs = [] then ?x
else let m = argmin ?f ?xs in if ?f ?x < ?f m then ?x else m)

```

An optimized version that avoids repeated computation of  $f x$ :

```

fun argmin2 :: ('a ⇒ ('b::linorder)) ⇒ 'a list ⇒ 'a * 'b where
argmin2 f (x#xs) =
(let fx = f x
in if xs = [] then (x, fx)
else let mfm = argmin2 f xs
in if fx < snd mfm then (x,fx) else mfm)

```

```

lemma argmin2_argmin: xs ≠ [] ==> argmin2 f xs = (argmin f xs, f(argmin f xs))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma argmin_argmin2[code]: argmin f xs = (if xs = [] then undefined else fst(argmin2 f xs))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma argmin_in: xs ≠ [] ==> argmin f xs ∈ set xs
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma argmin_pairs: xs ≠ [] ==>
(argmin f xs,f (argmin f xs)) = argmin snd (map (λx. (x,f x)) xs)
⟨proof⟩

```

```
lemma argmin_map:  $xs \neq [] \implies \text{argmin } c (\text{map } f xs) = f(\text{argmin } (c \circ f) xs)$ 
⟨proof⟩
```

## 3.2 The ‘Cubic’ Algorithm

We hide the details of the access frequencies  $a$  and  $b$  by working with an abstract version of function  $w$  defined above (summing  $a$  and  $b$ ). Later we interpret  $w$  accordingly.

```
locale Optimal_BST =
fixes w :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat
begin
```

### 3.2.1 Functions $wpl$ and $min\_wpl$

```
sublocale wpl where w = w ⟨proof⟩
```

Function  $min\_wpl i j$  computes the minimal weighted path length of any tree  $t$  where  $\text{inorder } t = [i..j]$ . It simply tries all possible indices between  $i$  and  $j$  as the root. Thus it implicitly constructs all possible trees.

```
declare conj_cong [fundef_cong]
function min_wpl :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat where
  min_wpl i j =
    (if i > j then 0
     else Min ((λk. min_wpl i (k-1) + min_wpl (k+1) j) ‘ {i..j}) + w i j)
  ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩
declare min_wpl.simps[simp del]
```

Note that for efficiency reasons we have pulled  $+ w i j$  out of  $Min$ . In the lemma below this is reversed because it simplifies the proofs. Similar optimizations are possible in other functions below.

```
lemma min_wpl.simps[simp]:
  i > j ⇒ min_wpl i j = 0
  i ≤ j ⇒ min_wpl i j =
    Min ((λk. min_wpl i (k-1) + min_wpl (k+1) j + w i j) ‘ {i..j})
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma upto_split1:
  [i ≤ j; j ≤ k] ⇒ [..k] = [i..j-1] @ [j..k]
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Function  $local.\text{min\_wpl}$  returns a lower bound for all possible BSTs:

```
theorem min_wpl_is_optimal:
  inorder t = [i..j] ⇒ min_wpl i j ≤ wpl i j t
  ⟨proof⟩
```

Now we show that the lower bound computed by  $local.\text{min\_wpl}$  is the  $wpl$  of an optimal tree that can be computed in the same manner.

### 3.2.2 Function $opt\_bst$

This is the functional equivalent of the standard cubic imperative algorithm. Unless it is memoized, the complexity is again exponential. The pattern of recursion is the same as for  $local.\min\_wpl$  but instead of the minimal weight it computes a tree with the minimal weight:

```
function opt_bst :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int tree where
  opt_bst i j =
    (if i > j then Leaf
     else argmin (wpl i j) [ $\langle$  opt_bst i (k-1), k, opt_bst (k+1) j  $\rangle$ . k  $\leftarrow$  [i..j]])
    {proof}
termination {proof}
declare opt_bst.simps[simp del]

corollary opt_bst.simps[simp]:
  i > j  $\implies$  opt_bst i j = Leaf
  i  $\leq$  j  $\implies$  opt_bst i j =
    (argmin (wpl i j) [ $\langle$  opt_bst i (k-1), k, opt_bst (k+1) j  $\rangle$ . k  $\leftarrow$  [i..j]])
  {proof}
```

As promised,  $local.opt\_bst$  computes a tree with the minimal wpl:

```
theorem wpl_opt_bst: wpl i j (opt_bst i j) = min_wpl i j
{proof}
```

```
corollary opt_bst_is_optimal:
  inorder t = [i..j]  $\implies$  wpl i j (opt_bst i j)  $\leq$  wpl i j t
{proof}
```

### 3.2.3 Function $opt\_bst\_wpl$

Function  $local.opt\_bst$  is simplistic because it computes the wpl of each tree anew rather than returning it with the tree. That is what  $opt\_bst\_wpl$  does:

```
function opt_bst_wpl :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int tree  $\times$  nat where
  opt_bst_wpl i j =
    (if i > j then (Leaf, 0)
     else argmin snd [let (t1,c1) = opt_bst_wpl i (k-1);
                      (t2,c2) = opt_bst_wpl (k+1) j
                      in ( $\langle$  t1,k,t2  $\rangle$ , c1 + c2 + w i j). k  $\leftarrow$  [i..j]])
  {proof}
termination
  {proof}
declare opt_bst_wpl.simps[simp del]
```

Function  $opt\_bst\_wpl$  returns an optimal tree and its wpl:

```
lemma opt_bst_wpl_eq_pair:
  opt_bst_wpl i j = (opt_bst i j, wpl i j (opt_bst i j))
{proof}
```

```
corollary opt_bst_wpl_eq_pair': opt_bst_wpl i j = (opt_bst i j, min_wpl i j)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
end
```

## 4 Quadrangle Inequality

```
theory Quadrilateral_Inequality
```

```
imports Main
```

```
begin
```

```
definition is_arg_min_on :: ('a ⇒ ('b::linorder)) ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
is_arg_min_on f S x = (x ∈ S ∧ (∀ y ∈ S. f x ≤ f y))
```

```
definition Args_min_on :: (int ⇒ ('b::linorder)) ⇒ int set ⇒ int set where
Args_min_on f I = {k. is_arg_min_on f I k}
```

```
lemmas Args_min_simps = Args_min_on_def is_arg_min_on_def
```

```
lemma is_arg_min_on_antimono: fixes f :: _ ⇒ _::order
```

```
shows [is_arg_min_on f S x; f y ≤ f x; y ∈ S] ⇒ is_arg_min_on f S y
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma ex_is_arg_min_on_if_finite: fixes f :: 'a ⇒ 'b :: linorder
```

```
shows [finite S; S ≠ {}] ⇒ ∃ x. is_arg_min_on f S x
⟨proof⟩
```

```
locale QI =
```

```
  fixes c_k :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int ⇒ nat
```

```
  fixes c :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat
```

```
  and w :: int ⇒ int ⇒ nat
```

```
  assumes QI_w: [i ≤ i'; i' < j; j ≤ j'] ⇒
```

```
    w i j + w i' j' ≤ w i' j + w i j'
```

```
  assumes monotone_w: [i ≤ i'; i' < j; j ≤ j'] ⇒ w i' j ≤ w i j'
```

```
  assumes c_def: i < j ⇒ c i j = Min ((c_k i j) ` {i+1..j})
```

```
  assumes c_k_def: [i < j; k ∈ {i+1..j}] ⇒
```

```
    c_k i j k = w i j + c i (k-1) + c k j
```

```
begin
```

```
abbreviation mins i j ≡ Args_min_on (c_k i j) {i+1..j}
```

```
definition K i j ≡ (if i = j then i else Max (mins i j))
```

```
lemma c_def_rec:
```

```
i < j ⇒ c i j = Min ((λk. c i (k-1) + c k j + w i j) ` {i+1..j})
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mins\_subset*:  $\text{mins } i j \subseteq \{i+1..j\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mins\_nonempty*:  $i < j \implies \text{mins } i j \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *finite\_mins*:  $\text{finite}(\text{mins } i j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *is\_arg\_min\_on\_Min*:  
assumes  $\text{finite } A$  *is\_arg\_min\_on*  $f A$  **shows**  $\text{Min } (f ` A) = f a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *c\_k\_with\_K*:  $i < j \implies c_{i:j} = c_{k:i:j} (K i j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *K\_subset*: **assumes**  $i \leq j$  **shows**  $K i j \in \{i..j\}$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma\_2*:  
 $\llbracket l = \text{nat } (j' - i); i \leq i'; i' \leq j; j \leq j' \rrbracket$   
 $\implies c_{i:j} + c_{i':j'} \leq c_{i:j'} + c_{i':j}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *QI'*: **assumes**  $i < k$   $k \leq k'$   $k' \leq j$   $c_{k:i:j:k} \leq c_{k:i:j:k'}$   
**shows**  $c_{k:(j+1):k'} \leq c_{k:(j+1):k}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary** *QI''*: **assumes**  $i+1 < k$   $k \leq k'$   $k' \leq j+1$   $c_{k:(j+1):k'} \leq c_{k:(j+1):k}$   
**shows**  $c_{k:(i+1):(j+1):k'} \leq c_{k:(i+1):(j+1):k}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma\_3\_1*: **assumes**  $i \leq j$  **shows**  $K i j \leq K i (j+1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma\_3\_2*: **assumes**  $i \leq j$  **shows**  $K i (j+1) \leq K (i+1) (j+1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lemma\_3*: **assumes**  $i \leq j$   
**shows**  $K i j \leq K i (j+1)$   $K i (j+1) \leq K (i+1) (j+1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

## 5 Optimal BSTs: The ‘Quadratic’ Algorithm

```
theory Optimal_BST2
imports
  Optimal_BST
  Quadrilateral_Inequality
begin
```

Knuth presented an optimization of the previously known cubic dynamic programming algorithm to a quadratic one. A simplified proof of this optimization was found by Yao [4]. Mehlhorn follows Yao closely. The core of the optimization argument is given abstractly in theory *Optimal\_BST.Quadrilateral\_Inequality*. In addition we first need to establish some more properties of *argmin*.

An index-based specification of *argmin* expressing that the last minimal list-element is picked:

```
lemma argmin_takes_last: xs ≠ [] ==>
  argmin f xs = xs ! Max {i. i < length xs ∧ (∀x ∈ set xs. f(xs!i) ≤ f x)}
  (is _ ==> _ = _ ! Max (?M xs))
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma Min_ex: [| finite F; F ≠ {} |] ==> ∃m ∈ F. ∀n ∈ F. m ≤ (n::_::linorder)
  ⟨proof⟩
```

A consequence of *argmin\_takes\_last*:

```
lemma argmin_Max_Args_min_on: assumes [arith]: i ≤ j
  shows argmin f [i..j] = Max (Args_min_on f {i..j})
  ⟨proof⟩
```

As a consequence of *argmin\_Max\_Args\_min\_on* the following lemma allows us to justify the restriction of the index range of *argmin* used below in the optimized (quadratic) algorithm.

```
lemma argmin_red_ivl:
  assumes i ≤ i' argmin f [i..j] ∈ {i'..j'} j' ≤ j
  shows argmin f [i'..j'] = argmin f [i..j]
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
fun root:: 'a tree ⇒ 'a where
  root ⟨_,r,_⟩ = r
```

Now we can formulate and verify the improved algorithm. This requires two assumptions on the weight function *w*.

```
locale Optimal_BST2 = Optimal_BST +
  assumes monotone_w: [|i ≤ i'; i' ≤ j; j ≤ j'|] ==> w i' j ≤ w i j'
  assumes QI_w: [|i ≤ i'; i' ≤ j; j ≤ j'|] ==> w i j + w i' j' ≤ w i' j + w i j'
begin
```

When finding an optimal tree for  $[i..j]$  the optimization consists in reducing the search for the root from  $[i..j]$  to  $[root(opt\_bst2\ i\ (j - (1::'b)))..root(opt\_bst2\ (i + (1::'a))\ j)]$ :

```
function opt_bst2 :: int  $\Rightarrow$  int  $\Rightarrow$  int tree where
opt_bst2 i j =
  (if i > j then Leaf else
   if i = j then Node Leaf i Leaf else
   let left = root(opt_bst2 i (j-1)) in
   let right = root(opt_bst2 (i+1) j) in
   argmin(wpl i j) [(opt_bst2 i (k-1), k, opt_bst2 (k+1) j). k  $\leftarrow$  [left..right]])
  </proof>
```

The termination of  $opt\_bst2$  is not completely obvious. We first need to establish some functional properties of the terminating computations. We start by showing that the root of the returned tree is always between  $left$  and  $right$ . This is essentially equivalent to proving that  $left \leq right$  because otherwise  $argmin$  is applied to  $[]$ , which is undefined.

```
lemma left_le_right:
opt_bst2_dom(i,j)  $\Rightarrow$ 
(i=j  $\rightarrow$  root(opt_bst2 i j) = i)  $\wedge$ 
(i < j  $\rightarrow$  root(opt_bst2 i j)  $\in$  {root(opt_bst2 i (j-1)) .. root(opt_bst2 (i+1) j)})
</proof>
```

Now we can bound the result of  $opt\_bst2$  easily:

```
lemma root_opt_bst2_bound:
opt_bst2_dom (i,j)  $\Rightarrow$  i  $\leq$  j  $\Rightarrow$  root (opt_bst2 i j)  $\in$  {i..j}
</proof>
```

Now termination follows easily:

```
lemma opt_bst2_dom:  $\forall$  args. opt_bst2_dom args
</proof>
```

**termination** *</proof>*

```
declare opt_bst2.simps[simp del]
```

```
abbreviation min_wpl3 i j k  $\equiv$  min_wpl i (k-1) + min_wpl (k+1) j + w i j
```

The correctness proof [?] is based on a general theory of ‘quadrilateral inequalities’ developed in locale QI that we now instantiate:

```
interpretation QI
where
c =  $\lambda i\ j.\ min\_wpl\ (i+1)\ j$ 
and c_k =  $\lambda i\ j.\ min\_wpl3\ (i+1)\ j$ 
and w =  $\lambda i\ j.\ w\ (i+1)\ j$ 
</proof>
```

```
lemma K_argmin: i < j  $\Rightarrow$  K i j = argmin(min_wpl3 (i+1) j) [i+1..j]
```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem**  $opt\_bst2\_opt\_bst: opt\_bst2\ i\ j = opt\_bst\ i\ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary**  $opt\_bst2\_is\_optimal: wpl\ i\ j\ (opt\_bst2\ i\ j) = min\_wpl\ i\ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
function opt_bst_wpl2 :: int ⇒ int ⇒ int tree × nat where
opt_bst_wpl2 i j =
  (if i > j then (Leaf,0) else
   if i = j then (Node Leaf i Leaf, w i i) else
   let l = root(fst(opt_bst_wpl2 i (j-1)));
   r = root(fst(opt_bst_wpl2 (i+1) j)) in
   argmin snd
   [let (tl,wl) = opt_bst_wpl2 i (k-1); (tr,wr) = opt_bst_wpl2 (k+1) j
    in ⟨⟨tl, k, tr⟩, wl + wr + w i j) . k ← [l..r]])
⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma**  $left\_le\_right2:$   
 $opt\_bst\_wpl2\_dom(i,j) \implies$   
 $(i=j \implies root(fst(opt\_bst\_wpl2\ i\ j)) = i) \wedge$   
 $(i < j \implies root(fst(opt\_bst\_wpl2\ i\ j)) \in$   
 $\{root(fst(opt\_bst\_wpl2\ i\ (j-1))) .. root(fst(opt\_bst\_wpl2\ (i+1)\ j))\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Now we can bound the result of  $opt\_bst\_wpl2$ :

**lemma**  $root\_opt\_bst\_wpl2\_bound:$   
 $opt\_bst\_wpl2\_dom\ (i,j) \implies i \leq j \implies root\ (fst(opt\_bst\_wpl2\ i\ j)) \in \{i..j\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Now termination follows easily:

**lemma**  $opt\_bst\_wpl2\_dom: \forall args. opt\_bst\_wpl2\_dom\ args$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**termination**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare**  $opt\_bst\_wpl2.simps[simp del]$

**lemma**  $opt\_bst\_wpl2\_eq\_pair:$   
 $opt\_bst\_wpl2\ i\ j = (opt\_bst2\ i\ j, wpl\ i\ j\ (opt\_bst2\ i\ j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**corollary**  $opt\_bst\_wpl2\_eq\_pair': opt\_bst\_wpl2\ i\ j = (opt\_bst\ i\ j, min\_wpl\ i\ j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

```
end
```

```
theory Optimal_BST_Examples
imports HOL-Library.Tree
begin
```

Example by Mehlhorn:

```
definition a_ex1 :: int ⇒ nat where
a_ex1 i = [4,0,0,3,10] ! nat i
```

```
definition b_ex1 :: int ⇒ nat where
b_ex1 i = [1,3,3,0] ! nat i
```

```
definition t_opt_ex1 :: int tree where
t_opt_ex1 = ⟨⟨⟨⟩, 0, ⟨⟨⟩, 1, ⟨⟩⟩⟩, 2, ⟨⟨⟩, 3, ⟨⟩⟩⟩
```

Example by Knuth:

```
definition a_ex2 :: int ⇒ nat where
a_ex2 i = 0
```

```
definition b_ex2 :: int ⇒ nat where
b_ex2 i = [32,7,69,13,6,15,10,8,64,142,22,79,18,9] ! nat i
```

```
definition t_opt_ex2 :: int tree where
t_opt_ex2 =
⟨
⟨
⟨⟨⟩, 0, ⟨⟨⟩, 1, ⟨⟩⟩⟩,
2,
⟨
⟨
⟨⟨⟩, 3, ⟨⟨⟩, 4, ⟨⟩⟩⟩,
5,
⟨⟨⟩, 6, ⟨⟨⟩, 7, ⟨⟩⟩⟩
⟩,
8,
⟨
⟩,
9,
⟨⟨⟩, 10, ⟨⟩⟩,
11,
⟨⟨⟩, 12, ⟨⟨⟩, 13, ⟨⟩⟩
⟩
⟩
```

```
end
```

## 6 Code Generation (unmemoized)

```

theory Optimal_BST_Code
imports
  Optimal_BST2
  Optimal_BST_Examples
begin

locale Wpl_Optimal_BST = Wpl a b + Optimal_BST where w = Wpl.w a b
for a b

locale Wpl_Optimal_BST2 = Wpl a b + Optimal_BST2 where w = Wpl.w a b
for a b

global_interpretation Wpl_Optimal_BST + Wpl_Optimal_BST2
defines wpl_ab = wpl and opt_bst_ab = opt_bst and opt_bst2_ab = opt_bst2
⟨proof⟩

```

Examples:

```

lemma opt_bst_ab a_ex1 b_ex1 0 3 = t_opt_ex1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma opt_bst2_ab a_ex2 b_ex2 0 13 = t_opt_ex2
⟨proof⟩

```

end

## 7 Memoization

```

theory Optimal_BST_Memo
imports
  Optimal_BST
  Monad_Memo_DP.State_Main
  HOL-Library.Product_Lexorder
  HOL-Library.RBT_Mapping
  Optimal_BST_Examples
begin

```

This theory memoizes the recursive algorithms with the help of our generic memoization framework. Note that currently only the tree building (function *Optimal\_BST.opt\_bst*) is memoized but not the computation of *w*.

```

global_interpretation Wpl
where a = a and b = b for a b
defines w_ab = w and wpl_ab = wpl.wpl w_ab ⟨proof⟩

```

First we express *argmin* via *fold*. Primarily because we have a monadic version of *fold* already. At the same time we improve efficiency.

```

lemma fold_argmin: fold (λx (m,fm). let fx = f x in if fx ≤ fm then (x,fx) else
(m,fm)) xs (x,f x)
= (argmin f (x#xs), f(argmin f (x#xs)))
⟨proof⟩

lemma argmin_fold: argmin f xs = (case xs of [] ⇒ undefined |
x#xs ⇒ fst(fold (λx (m,fm). let fx = f x in if fx ≤ fm then (x,fx) else (m,fm))
xs (x,f x)))
⟨proof⟩

```

The actual memoization of the cubic algorithm:

```

context Optimal_BST
begin

memoize_fun opt_bstm: opt_bst with_memory dp_consistency_mapping
monadifies (state) opt_bst.simps[unfolded argmin_fold]

thm opt_bstm'.simps

memoize_correct
⟨proof⟩

lemmas [code] = opt_bstm.memoized_correct

```

**end**

Code generation:

```

global_interpretation Optimal_BST
where w = w_ab a b
rewrites wpl.wpl (w_ab a b) = wpl_ab a b for a b
defines opt_bst_ab = opt_bst and opt_bst_ab' = opt_bstm'
⟨proof⟩

```

Examples:

```

lemma opt_bst_ab a_ex1 b_ex1 0 3 = t_opt_ex1
⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma opt_bst_ab a_ex2 b_ex2 0 13 = t_opt_ex2
⟨proof⟩

```

**end**

## References

- [1] D. E. Knuth. Optimum binary search trees. *Acta Inf.*, 1:14–25, 1971.
- [2] K. Mehlhorn. *Data Structures and Algorithms 1: Sorting and Searching*, volume 1 of *EATCS Monographs on Theoretical Computer Science*. Springer, 1984.

- [3] S. Wimmer, S. Hu, and T. Nipkow. Monadification, memoization and dynamic programming. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2018. [http://isa-afp.org/entries/Monad\\_Memo\\_DP.html](http://isa-afp.org/entries/Monad_Memo_DP.html), Formal proof development.
- [4] F. F. Yao. Efficient dynamic programming using quadrangle inequalities. In *STOC*, pages 429–435. ACM, 1980.