Number Theoretic Transform

Thomas Ammer and Katharina Kreuzer

November 9, 2026

Abstract

This entry contains an Isabelle formalization of the *Number Theoretic Transform (NTT)* which is the analogue to a *Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)*, just over a finite field. Roots of unity in the complex numbers are replaced by those in a finite field.

First, we define both *NTT* and the inverse transform *INTT* in Isabelle and prove them to be mutually inverse.

DFT can be efficiently computed by the recursive *Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)*. In our formalization, this algorithm is adapted to the setting of the *NTT*: We implement a *Fast Number Theoretic Transform (FNTT)* based on the Butterfly scheme by Cooley and Tukey [1]. Additionally, we provide an inverse transform *IFNTT* and prove it mutually inverse to *FNTT*.

Afterwards, a recursive formalization of the *FNTT* running time is examined and the famous $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ bounds are proven.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

2 Preliminary Lemmas **4**
2.1 A little bit of Modular Arithmetic 4
2.2 General Lemmas in a Finite Field 6
2.3 Existence of n-th Roots of Unity in the Finite Field 8
2.4 Some Lemmas on Sums 10
2.5 Geometric Sums 12

3 Number Theoretic Transform and Inverse Transform **12**
3.1 Definition of NTT and $INTT$ 13
3.2 Correctness Proof of NTT and $INTT$ 14

4 Butterfly Algorithms **22**
4.1 Recursive Definition 22
4.2 Arguments on Correctness 24
4.3 Inverse Transform in Butterfly Scheme 32
4.4 An Optimization 39
4.5 Arguments on Running Time 41

1 Introduction

The *Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT)* is used to analyze a periodic signal given by equidistant samples for its frequencies. For an introduction to *DFT* one may have a look at [2]. However, one may generalize the setting and consider any algebraic structure with roots of unity. For finite fields, we call the analogue to *DFT* a *Number Theoretic Transform (NTT)*. It can be used for fast Integer multiplications and post-quantum lattice-based cryptography [3].

Starting our formalization, we provide some initial setup, namely roots of unity by an argument on generating elements in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ (Sections 2.1, 2.2, 2.3) and lemmas on summation (Section 2.4), especially geometric sums (Section 2.5).

We continue with a mathematical definition of *NTT* [4] and formalize it in Isabelle (Section 3.1). Let us consider a definition of *DFT*:

$$\mathsf{DFT}(\vec{x})_k = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_l \cdot e^{-\frac{i2\pi}{n} \cdot k \cdot l} \qquad \text{where } i = \sqrt{-1}$$

In this equation, $e^{-\frac{i2\pi}{n}}$ is a root of unity. Let ω be a n-th root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and we can state analogously:

$$\mathsf{NTT}(\vec{x})_k = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} x_l \cdot \omega^{kl}$$

Throughout the paper, we stick to this definition. An inverse tranform *INTT* is obtained by replacing ω by its field inverse μ (i.e. $\mu \cdot \omega \equiv 1 \mod p$). We prove *NTT* and *INTT* to be mutually inverse in Section 3.2.

For computing *DFT* more efficiently than $\mathcal{O}(n^2)$, a divide and conquer approach can be applied. By a smart rearranging, the sum can be split into two subproblems of size $\frac{n}{2}$ which gives an $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ algorithm. We call this the *Fast Nuber Theoretic Transform (FNTT)* [3] and *IFNTT* respectively. The corresponding procedure is treated in Section 4. We prove equality between *(I)NTT* and *(I)FNTT* and can infer that both are mutually inverse by previos results.

DFT and similar transforms like *NTT* are especially famous for algorithms with $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ running times. Thus, it is appropriate to formalize some related arguments. We loosely follow a generic approach for verifying resource bounds of functional data structures and algorithms in Isabelle [5].

During the formalization, we also present some informal arguments in order to give a better intution of what's going on in the formal proofs.

The present formalization was developed during a practical course on specification and verification at the TUM Chair of Logic and Verification.

theory *Preliminary-Lemmas*
imports *Berlekamp-Zassenhaus.Finite-Field*
HOL−Number-Theory.Number-Theory

begin

2 Preliminary Lemmas

2.1 A little bit of Modular Arithmetic

An obvious lemma. Just for simplification.

lemma *two-powrs-div*:
assumes $j < (i::nat)$
shows $((2\hat{}i)\ div\ ((2::nat)\hat{}(Suc\ j)))*2 = ((2\hat{}i)\ div\ (2\hat{}j))$
proof−
have $((2::nat)\hat{}i)\ div\ (2\hat{}(Suc\ j)) = 2\hat{}(i-1)\ div(2\hat{}\ j)$ **using** *assms*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *One-nat-def add-le-cancel-left diff-Suc-Suc div-by-Suc-0 div-if less-nat-zero-code plus-1-eq-Suc power-diff-power-eq zero-neq-numeral*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis Suc-diff-Suc Suc-leI assms less-imp-le-nat mult.commute power-Suc power-diff-power-eq zero-neq-numeral*)
qed

lemma *two-powr-div*:
assumes $j < (i::nat)$
shows $((2\hat{}i)\ div\ ((2::nat)\hat{}j)) = 2\hat{}(i-j)$
by (*simp add*: *assms less-or-eq-imp-le power-diff*)

The order of an element is the same whether we consider it as an integer or as a natural number.

lemma *ord-int*: $ord\ (int\ p)\ (int\ x) = ord\ p\ x$
proof (*cases coprime p x*)
case *False*
thus *?thesis*
by (*auto simp*: *ord-def*)
next
case *True*
have $(LEAST\ d.\ 0 < d \wedge [int\ x \hat{}\ d = 1]\ (mod\ int\ p)) = ord\ p\ x$
proof (*intro Least-equality conjI*)
show $[int\ x \hat{}\ ord\ p\ x = 1]\ (mod\ int\ p)$
using *True* **by** (*metis cong-int-iff of-nat-1 of-nat-power ord-works*)
show $ord\ p\ x \leq y$ **if** $0 < y \wedge [int\ x \hat{}\ y = 1]\ (mod\ int\ p)$ **for** y
using *that* **by** (*metis cong-int-iff int-ops*(*2*) *linorder-not-less of-nat-power ord-minimal*)
qed (*use True* **in** *auto*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*auto simp*: *ord-def*)
qed

lemma *not-residue-primroot-1*:
assumes $n > 2$
shows $\neg residue\text{-}primroot\ n\ 1$
using *assms totient-gt-1*[*of n*] **by** (*auto simp*: *residue-primroot-def*)

lemma *residue-primroot-not-cong-1*:
assumes *residue-primroot n g n > 2*
shows $[g \neq 1]$ (*mod n*)
using *residue-primroot-cong not-residue-primroot-1 assms* **by** *metis*

We want to show the existence of a generating element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ where p is prime.

Non-trivial order of an element g modulo p in a ring implies $g \neq 1$. Although this lemma applies to all rings, it's only intended to be used in connection with *nat*s or *int*s

lemma *prime-not-2-order-not-1*:
assumes *prime p*
p > 2
ord p g > 2
shows *g ≠ 1*
proof
assume *g = 1*
hence *ord p g = 1* **unfolding** *ord-def*
by (*simp add*: *Least-equality*)
then show *False* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

The same for modular arithmetic.

lemma *prime-not-2-order-not-1-mod*:
assumes *prime p*
p > 2
ord p g > 2
shows $[g \neq 1]$ (*mod p*)
proof
assume $[g = 1]$ (*mod p*)
hence *ord p g = 1* **unfolding** *ord-def*
by(*split if-split*, *metis assms*(*1*) *assms*(*2*) *assms*(*3*) *ord-cong prime-not-2-order-not-1*)
then show *False* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed

Now we formulate our lemma about generating elements in residue classes: There is an element $g \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ such that for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ there is a natural i such that $g^i \equiv x \pmod p$.

lemma *generator-exists*:
assumes *prime* (*p*::*nat*) *p > 2*
shows ∃ *g*. $[g \neq 1]$ (*mod p*) ∧ (∀ *x*. (*0*<*x* ∧ *x* < *p*)⟶ (∃ *i*. $[g\hat{}i = x]$ (*mod p*)))
proof−
obtain *g* **where** *g-prim-root*:*residue-primroot p g*
using *assms prime-gt-1-nat prime-primitive-root-exists*
by (*metis One-nat-def*)
have *g-not-1*: $[g \neq 1]$ (*mod p*)
using *residue-primroot-not-cong-1 assms g-prim-root* **by** *blast*

have ∃ *i*. $[g \hat{} i = x]$ (*mod p*) **if** *x-bounds*: *x > 0 x < p* **for** *x*
proof −

```
    have 1:coprime p x
      using assms prime-nat-iff'' x-bounds by blast
    have 2:ord p g = p−1
      by (metis assms(1) g-prim-root residue-primroot-def totient-prime)
    hence bij: bij-betw (λi. g ^ i mod p) {..<totient p} (totatives p)
      using residue-primroot-is-generator[of p g] totatives-def[of p]
        1 totient-def[of p] assms g-prim-root prime-gt-1-nat by blast
    have 3:x mod p ∈ totatives p
      by (simp add: 1 coprime-commute in-totatives-iff order-le-less x-bounds)
    have {..<totient p} ≠ {}
      by (metis assms(1) lessThan-empty-iff prime-nat-iff'' totient-0-iff)
   then obtain i where g^i mod p = x mod p
      using bij-betw-inv[of (λi. g ^ i mod p) {..<totient p} (totatives p)]
      3 bij
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) bij-betw-iff-bijections)
   then show ?thesis
     using cong-def by blast
  qed
  thus ?thesis
    using g-prim-root g-not-1 by auto
qed
```

2.2 General Lemmas in a Finite Field

We make certain assumptions: From now on, we will calculate in a finite field which is the ring of integers modulo a prime p. Let n be the length of vectors to be transformed. By Dirichlet's theorem on arithmetic progressions we can assume that there is a natural number k and a prime p with $p = k \cdot n + 1$. In order to avoid some special cases and even contradictions, we additionally assume that $p \geq 3$ and $n \geq 2$.

```
locale preliminary =
  fixes
      a-type::('a::prime-card) itself
   and p::nat
   and n::nat
   and k::nat
 assumes
      p-def: p= CARD('a) and p-lst3: p > 2  and p-fact: p = k*n +1
   and n-lst2: n ≥ 2
begin

lemma exp-rule: ((c::('a) mod-ring) * d )^e= (c^e) * (d^e)
  by (simp add: power-mult-distrib)

lemma ∃ y. x ≠ 0 ⟶ (x::(('a) mod-ring)) *  y = 1
  by (metis dvd-field-iff unit-dvdE)

lemma test: prime p
  by (simp add: p-def prime-card)
```

lemma *k-bound*: $k > 0$
using *p-fact prime-nat-iff''* *test* **by** *force*

We show some homomorphisms.

lemma *homomorphism-add*: (*of-int-mod-ring x*)+(*of-int-mod-ring y*) =
((*of-int-mod-ring* (*x*+*y*)) ::((*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*))
by (*metis of-int-hom.hom-add of-int-of-int-mod-ring*)

lemma *homomorphism-mul-on-ring*: (*of-int-mod-ring x*)∗(*of-int-mod-ring y*) =
((*of-int-mod-ring* (*x*∗*y*)) ::((*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*))
by (*metis of-int-mult of-int-of-int-mod-ring*)

lemma *exp-homo*:(*of-int-mod-ring* (*x*^*i*)) = ((*of-int-mod-ring x*)^*i* ::((*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*))
by (*induction i*) (*metis of-int-of-int-mod-ring of-int-power*)+

lemma *mod-homo*: ((*of-int-mod-ring x*)::((*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*)) = *of-int-mod-ring* (*x mod p*)
using *p-def* **unfolding** *of-int-mod-ring-def* **by** *simp*

lemma *int-exp-hom*: *int x* ^*i* = *int* (*x*^*i*)
by *simp*

lemma *coprime-nat-int*: *coprime* (*int p*) (*to-int-mod-ring pr*) ⟷ *coprime p* (*nat*(*to-int-mod-ring pr*))
unfolding *coprime-def to-int-mod-ring-def*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *Rep-mod-ring atLeastLessThan-iff dvd-trans int-dvd-int-iff int-nat-eq int-ops*(*2*) *prime-divisor-exists prime-nat-int-transfer primes-dvd-imp-eq test to-int-mod-ring.rep-eq to-int-mod-ring-def*)

lemma *nat-int-mod*:[*nat* (*to-int-mod-ring pr*) ^ *d* = *1*] (*mod p*) =
[(*to-int-mod-ring pr*) ^ *d* = *1*] (*mod* (*int p*))
unfolding *to-int-mod-ring-def*
by (*metis Rep-mod-ring atLeastLessThan-iff cong-int-iff int-exp-hom int-nat-eq int-ops*(*2*) *to-int-mod-ring.rep-eq to-int-mod-ring-def*)

Order of p doesn't change when interpreting it as an integer.

lemma *ord-lift*: *ord* (*int p*) (*to-int-mod-ring pr*) = *ord p* (*nat* (*to-int-mod-ring pr*))
proof −
have *to-int-mod-ring pr* = *int* (*nat* (*to-int-mod-ring pr*))
by (*metis Rep-mod-ring atLeastLessThan-iff int-nat-eq to-int-mod-ring.rep-eq*)
thus *?thesis*
using *ord-int* **by** *metis*
qed

A primitive root has order $p - 1$.

lemma *primroot-ord*: *residue-primroot p g* ⟹ *ord p g* = *p* −*1*
by (*simp add*: *residue-primroot-def test totient-prime*)

If $x^l = 1$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then l is an upper bound for the order of x in $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

lemma *ord-max*:
assumes *l* ≠ *0* (*x* :: ((*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*))^*l* = *1*

shows *ord p (to-int-mod-ring x) ≤ l*
proof−
have [*(to-int-mod-ring x)^l = 1*] (*mod p*)
by (*metis assms(2) cong-def exp-homo of-int-mod-ring.rep-eq of-int-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring one-mod-card-int one-mod-ring.rep-eq p-def*)
thus *?thesis* **unfolding** *ord-def* **using** *assms*
by (*smt (z3) Least-le less-imp-le-nat not-gr0*)
qed

2.3 Existence of n-th Roots of Unity in the Finite Field

We obtain an element in the finite field such that its reinterpretation as a *nat* will be a primitive root in the residue class modulo p. The difference between residue classes, their representatives in the Integers and elements of the finite field is notable. When conducting informal proofs, this distinction is usually blurred, but Isabelle enforces the explicit conversion between those structures.

lemma *primroot-ex*:
obtains *primroot*::(*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring* **where**
primroot^(p−1) = 1
primroot ≠ 1
residue-primroot p (nat (to-int-mod-ring primroot))
proof−
obtain *g* **where** *g-Def*: *residue-primroot p g ∧ g ≠ 1*
using *prime-nat-iff' prime-primitive-root-exists test*
by (*metis bigger-prime euler-theorem ord-1-right power-one-right prime-nat-iff'' residue-primroot.cases residue-primroot-cong*)
hence [*g ≠ 1*] (*mod p*) **using** *prime-not-2-order-not-1-mod*[*of p g*]
by (*metis One-nat-def p-lst3 less-numeral-extra(4) ord-eq-Suc-0-iff residue-primroot.cases totient-gt-1*)
hence [*g^(p−1) = 1*] (*mod p*) **using** *g-Def*
by (*metis coprime-commute euler-theorem residue-primroot-def test totient-prime*)
moreover hence *int (g ^ (p − 1)) mod int p = (1*::*int)*
by (*metis cong-def int-ops(2) mod-less of-nat-mod prime-gt-1-nat test*)
moreover hence *of-int-mod-ring (int (g ^ (p − 1)) mod int p) =*
((of-int-mod-ring 1) ::((′a::*prime-card) mod-ring))* **by** *simp*
ultimately have (*of-int-mod-ring (g^(p−1))) = (1 ::((′a*::*prime-card) mod-ring)*)
using *mod-homo*[*of g^(p−1)*] **by** (*metis exp-homo power-0*)
hence ((*of-int-mod-ring g*)^(*p−1*) ::((*′a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*)) = *1*
using *exp-homo*[*of int g p−1*] **by** *simp*
moreover
have ((*of-int-mod-ring g*) ::((*′a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*)) ≠ *1*
proof
assume ((*of-int-mod-ring g*) ::((*′a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*)) = *1*
hence [*int g = 1*] (*mod p*) **using** *p-def* **unfolding** *of-int-mod-ring-def*
by (*metis ‹of-int-mod-ring (int g) = 1› cong-def of-int-mod-ring.rep-eq one-mod-card-int one-mod-ring.rep-eq*)
hence [*g=1*] (*mod p*)
by (*metis cong-int-iff int-ops(2)*)
thus *False*
using ‹[*g ≠ 1*] (*mod p*)› **by** *auto*

```
  qed
  moreover have ‹residue-primroot p (g mod p)›
    using g-Def by simp
  then have ‹residue-primroot p (nat (to-int-mod-ring (of-int-mod-ring (int g) :: 'a mod-ring)))›
    by (transfer fixing: p) (simp add: p-def nat-mod-distrib)
  ultimately show thesis ..
qed
```

From this, we obtain an n-th root of unity ω in the finite field of characteristic p. Note that in this step we will use the assumption $p = k \cdot n + 1$ from locale *preliminary*: The k-th power of a primitive root pr modulo p will have the property $(pr^k)^n \equiv 1 \mod p$.

```
lemma omega-properties-ex:
  obtains ω ::(('a::prime-card) mod-ring)
  where  ω^n = 1
         ω ≠ 1
         ∀ m. ω^m = 1 ∧ m≠0 ⟶ m ≥ n
proof−
  obtain pr::(('a::prime-card) mod-ring) where a: pr^(p−1) = 1  and b: pr ≠ 1
             and c: residue-primroot p (nat( to-int-mod-ring pr))
    using primroot-ex by blast
  moreover hence (pr^k)^n =1
    by (simp add: p-fact power-mult)
  moreover have pr^k ≠ 1
  proof
    assume  pr ^ k = 1
    hence (to-int-mod-ring pr)^k mod p = 1
      by (metis exp-homo of-int-mod-ring.rep-eq of-int-mod-ring-to-int-mod-ring one-mod-ring.rep-eq
p-def)
    hence ord p (to-int-mod-ring pr) ≤ k
      by (simp add: ‹pr ^ k = 1› k-bound ord-max)
    hence ord p (nat (to-int-mod-ring pr)) ≤ k
      by (metis ord-lift)
    also have ord p (nat (to-int-mod-ring pr)) = p − 1
      using c primroot-ord[of (nat (to-int-mod-ring pr))] by blast
    also have ... = k ∗ n
      using p-fact by simp
    finally have n ≤ 1
      using k-bound by simp
    thus False
      using n-lst2 by linarith
  qed
  moreover have ∀ m. (pr^k)^m = 1 ∧ m≠0 ⟶ m ≥ n
  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  ¬ (∀ m. (pr ^ k) ^ m = 1 ∧ m≠0 ⟶ n ≤ m)
    then obtain m where (pr^k)^m = 1  ∧ m≠0 ∧ m < n by force
    hence ord p (to-int-mod-ring pr) ≤ k ∗ m using ord-max[of k∗m pr]
      by (metis calculation(5) mult-is-0 power-mult)
    moreover have ord p (nat (to-int-mod-ring pr)) = p−1 using c primroot-ord ord-lift by simp
    ultimately show False
```

by (*metis* ‹(*pr* ^ *k*) ^ *m* = *1* ∧ *m* ≠ *0* ∧ *m* < *n*› *add-diff-cancel-right'* *nat-0-less-mult-iff* *nat-mult-le-cancel-disj* *not-less* *ord-lift* *p-def* *p-fact* *prime-card* *prime-gt-1-nat* *zero-less-diff*)
qed
ultimately show *?thesis*
using *that* **by** *simp*
qed

We define an n-th root of unity ω for NTT.

theorem *omega-exists*: ∃ ω ::(('a::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*) .
ω^n = *1* ∧ ω ≠ *1* ∧ (∀ *m*. ω^m = *1* ∧ *m*≠*0* ⟶ *m* ≥ *n*)
using *omega-properties-ex* **by** *metis*

definition (*omega*::(('a::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*)) =
(*SOME* ω . (ω^n = *1* ∧ ω ≠ *1*∧ (∀ *m*. ω^m = *1* ∧ *m*≠*0* ⟶ *m* ≥ *n*)))

lemma *omega-properties*: *omega*^n = *1* *omega* ≠ *1*
(∀ *m*. *omega*^m = *1* ∧ *m*≠*0* ⟶ *m* ≥ *n*)
unfolding *omega-def* **using** *omega-exists*
by (*smt* (*verit*, *best*) *alethe-sko-ex'*)+

We define the multiplicative inverse μ of ω.

definition *mu* = *omega* ^ (*n* − *1*)

lemma *mu-properties*: *mu* ∗ *omega* = *1* *mu* ≠ *1*
proof −
have *omega* ^ (*n* − *1*) ∗ *omega* = *omega* ^ *Suc* (*n* − *1*)
by *simp*
also have *Suc* (*n* − *1*) = *n*
using *n-lst2* **by** *simp*
also have *omega* ^ *n* = *1*
using *omega-properties*(*1*) **by** *auto*
finally show *mu* ∗ *omega* = *1*
by (*simp add*: *mu-def*)
next
show *mu* ≠ *1*
using *omega-properties n-lst2* **by** (*auto simp*: *mu-def*)
qed

2.4 Some Lemmas on Sums

The following lemmas concern sums over a finite field. Most of the propositions are intuitive.

lemma *sum-in*: (∑ *i*=*0*..<(*x*::*nat*). *f i* ∗ (*y* ::('a *mod-ring*))) = (∑ *i*=*0*..<*x*. *f i*)∗ (*y*)
by(*induction x*) (*auto simp add*: *algebra-simps*)

lemma *sum-eq*: (⋀ *i*. *i* < *x* ⟹ *f i* = *g i*)
⟹ (∑ *i*=*0*..<(*x*::*nat*). *f i*) = (∑ *i*=*0*..<*x*. *g i*)
by(*induction x*) (*auto simp add*: *algebra-simps*)

lemma *sum-diff-in*: $(\sum i{=}0..{<}(x{::}nat).\ (f\ i){::}('a\ mod\text{-}ring)) - (\sum i{=}0..{<}x.\ g\ i) =$
$(\sum i{=}0..{<}x.\ f\ i - g\ i)$
by(*induction x*) (*auto simp add*: *algebra-simps*)

lemma *sum-swap*: $(\sum i{=}0..{<}(x{::}nat).\ \sum j{=}0..{<}(y{::}nat).\ f\ i\ j) =$
$(\sum j{=}0..{<}(y{::}nat).\ \sum i{=}0..{<}(x{::}nat).\ f\ i\ j\ )$
using *Groups-Big.comm-monoid-add-class.sum.swap* **by** *fast*

lemma *sum-const*: $(\sum i{=}0..{<}(x{::}nat).\ (c{::}('a{::}prime\text{-}card)\ mod\text{-}ring)) = (of\text{-}int\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ x) * c$
by(*induction x*, *simp add*: *algebra-simps*, *simp add*: *algebra-simps*)
(*metis distrib-left mult.right-neutral of-int-of-int-mod-ring of-int-of-nat-eq of-nat-Suc*)

lemma *sum-split*: $(r1{::}nat) < r2 \Longrightarrow (\sum l = 0..{<}r1.\ ((f\ l){::}(('a{::}prime\text{-}card)\ mod\text{-}ring)))$
$+(\sum l = r1..{<}r2.\ f\ l) = (\sum l = 0..{<}r2.\ f\ l)$
by (*meson less-or-eq-imp-le sum.atLeastLessThan-concat zero-le*)

lemma *sum-index-shift*: $(\sum l = (a{::}nat)..{<}\ b.\ f(l{+}c)) = (\sum l = (a{+}c)..{<}\ (b{+}c).\ f\ l\ )$
by(*induction a arbitrary*: *b c*) (*metis sum.shift-bounds-nat-ivl*)+

One may sum over even and odd indices independently. The lemma statement was taken from a formalization of FFT [6]. We give an alternative proof adapted to the finite field $\mathbb{Z}_p$.

lemma *sum-splice*:
$(\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}2{*}nn.\ f\ i) = (\sum i = 0..{<}nn.\ f\ (2{*}i)) + (\sum i = 0..{<}nn.\ f\ (2{*}i{+}1))$
proof(*induction nn*)
case (*Suc n*)
have $(\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}2{*}(n{+}1).\ f\ i) = (\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}(2{*}n).\ f\ i) + f(2{*}n{+}1) + f\ (2{*}n)$
by(*simp add*: *algebra-simps*)
also have ... $= (\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}n.\ f\ (2{*}i)) + (\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}n.\ f\ (2{*}i{+}1)) + f(2{*}n{+}1) + f\ (2{*}n)$
using *Suc* **by** *simp*
also have ... $= (\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}(Suc\ n).\ f\ (2{*}i)) + (\sum i{::}nat = 0..{<}(Suc\ n).\ f\ (2{*}i{+}1))$
by(*simp add*: *algebra-simps*)
finally show *?case* **by** *simp*
qed *simp*

lemma *sum-even-odd-split*: *even* $(a{::}nat) \Longrightarrow (\sum j{=}0..{<}(a\ div\ 2).\ f\ (2{*}j)){+}\ (\sum j{=}0..{<}(a\ div\ 2).\ f\ (2{*}j{+}1)) = (\sum j{=}0..{<}a.\ f\ j)$
by (*induction a*, *simp*)(*metis even-two-times-div-two sum-splice*)

lemma *sum-splice-other-way-round*: $(\sum j{=}(0{::}nat)..{<}i.\ f\ (2{*}j)) + (\sum j{=}0..{<}i.\ f\ (2{*}j{+}1)) =$
$(\sum j{=}(0{::}nat)..{<}2{*}i.\ f\ j\ )$
by (*metis sum-splice*)

lemma *sum-neg-in*: $-\ (\sum j = 0..{<}l.\ (f\ j){::}('a\ mod\text{-}ring)) = (\sum j = 0..{<}l.\ -\ f\ j)$
by (*simp add*: *sum-negf*)

2.5 Geometric Sums

This lemma will be important for proving properties on NTT. At first, an informal proof sketch:

$$\begin{aligned}(1-x)\cdot\sum_{l=0}^{r-1}x^l &= \sum_{l=0}^{r-1}x^l - x\cdot\sum_{l=0}^{r-1}x^l \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^{r-1}x^l - \sum_{l=1}^{r}x^l \\ &= 1-x^r\end{aligned}$$

The same lemma for integers can be found in [7]. Our version is adapted to finite fields.

```
lemma geo-sum:
  assumes x ≠ 1
  shows (1−x)∗(∑ l = 0..<r. (x::('a mod-ring))^l) = (1−x^r)
proof−
  have 0:x ∗ (∑ l = 0..<r. x^l) = (∑ l = 0..<r. x^(Suc l)) using sum-in[of λ l. x^l x r]
    by(simp add: algebra-simps)
  have 1:(∑ l = 0..<r. x^l) − (∑ l = 0..<r. x^(Suc l)) = (∑ l = 0..<r. x^l − x^(Suc l))
    by(rule sum-diff-in)
  have 2: (∑ l = 0..<r. x^l − x^(Suc l)) = 1 − x^r
    by(induction r) simp+
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: lessThan-atLeast0 one-diff-power-eq)
qed

lemmas sum-rules = sum-in sum-eq sum-diff-in sum-swap sum-const sum-split sum-index-shift

end
end


theory NTT
  imports Preliminary-Lemmas
begin
```

3 Number Theoretic Transform and Inverse Transform

```
locale ntt = preliminary TYPE ('a ::prime-card) +
fixes ω :: ('a::prime-card mod-ring)
fixes μ :: ('a mod-ring)
assumes omega-properties: ω^n = 1 ω ≠ 1 (∀ m. ω^m = 1 ∧ m≠0 ⟶ m ≥ n)
assumes mu-properties: μ ∗ ω = 1
begin

lemma mu-properties': μ ≠ 1
  using omega-properties mu-properties by auto
```

3.1 Definition of NTT and $INTT$

Now we can state an analogue to the DFT on finite fields, namely the *Number Theoretic Transform*. First, let us look at an informal definition of NTT [4]:

$$\mathsf{NTT}(\vec{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \omega^3 & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \omega^6 & \cdots & \omega^{2\cdot(n-1)} \\ 1 & \omega^3 & \omega^6 & \omega^9 & \cdots & \omega^{3\cdot(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2\cdot(n-1)} & \omega^{3\cdot(n-1)} & \ldots & \omega^{(n-1)\cdot(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \vec{x}$$

Or for single vector entries:

$$\mathsf{NTT}(\vec{x})_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \cdot \omega^{i \cdot j}$$

Formally:

definition *ntt*::(('*a* ::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*) *list* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ '*a mod-ring* **where**
ntt numbers i = (∑ *j*=*0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j*) ∗ ω^(*i*∗*j*))

definition *NTT numbers* = *map* (*ntt numbers*) [*0*..<*n*]

We define the inverse transform INTT by matrices:

$$\mathsf{INTT}(\vec{y}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \mu & \mu^2 & \mu^3 & \cdots & \mu^{n-1} \\ 1 & \mu^2 & \mu^4 & \mu^6 & \cdots & \mu^{2\cdot(n-1)} \\ 1 & \mu^3 & \mu^6 & \mu^9 & \cdots & \mu^{3\cdot(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \mu^{n-1} & \mu^{2\cdot(n-1)} & \mu^{3\cdot(n-1)} & \ldots & \mu^{(n-1)\cdot(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \vec{y}$$

Per component:

$$\mathsf{INTT}(\vec{y})_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} y_j \cdot \mu^{i \cdot j}$$

definition *intt xs i* = (∑ *j*=*0*..<*n*. (*xs* ! *j*) ∗ μ^(*i*∗*j*))

definition *INTT xs* = *map* (*intt xs*) [*0*..<*n*]

Vector length is preserved.

lemma *length-NTT*:
assumes *n-def*: *length numbers* = *n*
shows *length* (*NTT numbers*) = *n*
unfolding *NTT-def ntt-def* **using** *n-def length-map*[*of* - [*0*..<*n*]]

by *simp*

lemma *length-INTT*:
assumes *n-def*: *length numbers* = *n*
shows *length* (*INTT numbers*) = *n*
unfolding *INTT-def intt-def* **using** *n-def length-map*[*of* - [*0*..<*n*]]
by *simp*

3.2 Correctness Proof of NTT and $INTT$

We prove NTT and INTT correct: By taking $\mathsf{INTT}(\mathsf{NTT}(x))$ we obtain x scaled by n. Analogue to DFT, one can get rid of the factor n by a simple rescaling. First, consider an informal proof sketch using the matrix form:

$$\mathsf{INTT}(\mathsf{NTT}(\vec{x})) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \mu & \mu^2 & \cdots & \mu^{n-1} \\ 1 & \mu^2 & \mu^4 & \cdots & \mu^{2\cdot(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \mu^{n-1} & \mu^{2\cdot(n-1)} & \ldots & \mu^{(n-1)\cdot(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & \omega & \omega^2 & \cdots & \omega^{n-1} \\ 1 & \omega^2 & \omega^4 & \cdots & \omega^{2\cdot(n-1)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \omega^{n-1} & \omega^{2\cdot(n-1)} & \ldots & \omega^{(n-1)\cdot(n-1)} \end{pmatrix} \cdot \vec{x}$$

A resulting entry is of the following form:

$$\mathsf{INTT}(\mathsf{NTT}(x))_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu^{i\cdot k} \cdot \omega^{j\cdot k}) \cdot x_j$$

Now, we analyze the interior sum by cases on $i = j$.

Case $i = j$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu^{i\cdot k} \cdot \omega^{j\cdot k} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mu \cdot \omega)^{i\cdot k} \\ &= n \cdot (\mu \cdot \omega)^{i\cdot k} \\ &= n \cdot 1^{i\cdot k} \\ &= n \end{aligned}$$

Note that ω and μ are mutually inverse.

Case $i \neq j$. Wlog assume $i > j$, otherwise replace ω by μ and $i - j$ by $j - i$ respectively.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu^{i\cdot k} \cdot \omega^{j\cdot k} &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (\mu \cdot \omega)^{j\cdot k} \cdot \omega^{(i-j)\cdot k} \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega^{(i-j)\cdot k} \\
&= (1 - \omega^{(i-j)\cdot n}) \cdot (1 - \omega^{i-j})^{-1} && \text{by lemma on geometric sum} \\
&= (1 - 1^n) \cdot (1 - \omega^{i-j})^{-1} \\
&= 0
\end{aligned}$$

We conclude that $\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \mu^{i\cdot k} \cdot \omega^{j\cdot k}) \cdot x_j = n \cdot x_i$.

theorem *ntt-correct*:
assumes *n-def*: *length numbers* = *n*
shows *INTT* (*NTT numbers*) = *map* (λ *x*. (*of-int-mod-ring n*) ∗ *x*) *numbers*
proof−
have *0*:⋀ *i*. *i* < *n* ⟹ (*INTT* (*NTT numbers*)) ! *i* = *intt* (*NTT numbers*) *i* **using** *n-def length-NTT*
unfolding *INTT-def NTT-def intt-def* **by** *simp*

Major sublemma.

have *1*:⋀ *i*. *i* < *n* ⟹*intt* (*NTT numbers*) *i* = (*of-int-mod-ring n*)∗*numbers* ! *i*
proof−
fix *i*
assume *i-assms*:*i* < *n*

First, simplify by some chains of equations.

hence *1*:*intt* (*NTT numbers*) *i* =
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*.
(∑ *j* = *0*..<*n*. *numbers* ! *j* ∗ ω ^ (*l* ∗ *j*)) ∗ μ ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))
unfolding *NTT-def intt-def ntt-def* **using** *n-def length-map nth-map* **by** *simp*
also have *2*:... =
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*.
(∑ *j* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ ω ^ (*l* ∗ *j*)) ∗ μ ^ (*i* ∗ *l*)))
using *sum-in* **by** (*simp add*: *sum-distrib-right*)
also have *3*:... =
(∑ *j* = *0*..<*n*.
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ ω ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ μ ^ (*i* ∗ *l*)))) **using** *sum-swap* **by** *fast*

As in the informal proof, we consider three cases. First $j = i$.

have *iisj*:⋀ *j*. *j* = *i* ⟹ (∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ ω ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ μ ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))) = (*numbers* ! *j*)∗
(*of-int-mod-ring n*)
proof−
fix *j*
assume *j*=*i*
hence ⋀ *l*. *l* < *n* ⟹ (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ ω ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ μ ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))= (*numbers* ! *j*)

by (*simp add*: *left-right-inverse-power mult.commute mu-properties*(*1*))
moreover have $\bigwedge l.\ l < n \implies numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l * j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i * l) = numbers\ !\ j$
using *calculation* **by** *blast*

$\omega^{il} \cdot \omega^{jl} = 1$. Thus, we sum over 1 n times, which gives the goal.

ultimately show $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l * j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i * l))) =$
$(numbers\ !\ j) * (of\text{-}int\text{-}mod\text{-}ring\ n)$
using *n-def sum-const*[*of numbers* ! *j n*] *exp-rule*[*of* ω μ] *mu-properties*(*1*)
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *atLeastLessThan-iff mult.commute sum.cong*)

qed

Case $j < i$.

have *jlsi*:$\bigwedge j.\ j < i \implies (\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l * j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i * l))) = 0$
proof−
fix *j*
assume *j-assms*:$j < i$
hence *00*:$\bigwedge$ (*c*::(*'a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*) *a b*. $c * a\hat{}j * b\hat{}i = (a*b)\hat{}j * (c * b\hat{}(i-j))$
using *algebra-simps*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *le-less ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse power-add*)

A geometric sum over μ^l remains.

have *01*: $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l * j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i * l))) =$
$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * (\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(i-j)))$
apply(*rule sum-eq*)
using *mu-properties*(*1*) *00 algebra-simps*(*23*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *mult.commute mult.left-neutral power-mult power-one*)
have *02*:... $= numbers\ !\ j * (\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(i-j)))$
using *sum-in*[*of* λ *l*. *numbers* ! *j* $*$ (μ $\hat{}$ *l*) $\hat{}$ (*i* − *j*) *numbers* ! *j n*]
by (*simp add*: *mult-hom.hom-sum*)
moreover have *03*:$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(i-j))) =$
$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}l))$
by(*rule sum-eq*) (*metis mult.commute power-mult*)
have $\mu\hat{}(i-j) \neq 1$
proof
assume $\mu\ \hat{}\ (i - j) = 1$
hence *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* μ) $\leq i-j$
by (*simp add*: *j-assms not-le ord-max*)
moreover hence *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* ω) $\leq i-j$
by (*metis* ‹$\mu\ \hat{}\ (i - j) = 1$› *diff-is-0-eq exp-rule j-assms leD mult.comm-neutral mult.commute mu-properties*(*1*) *ord-max*)
moreover hence $i-j < n$
using *j-assms i-assms p-fact k-bound n-lst2* **by** *linarith*
moreover have *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* ω) $= n$ **using** *omega-properties n-lst2* **unfolding** *ord-def*

by (*metis* (*no-types*) ‹$\mu\ \hat{}\ (i - j) = 1$› *calculation*(*3*) *diff-is-0-eq j-assms leD left-right-inverse-power mult.comm-neutral mult-cancel-left mu-properties*(*1*) *omega-properties*(*3*) *zero-neq-one*)
ultimately show *False* **by** *simp*
qed

Application of the lemma for geometric sums.

ultimately have $(1-\mu\hat{}(i-j))*(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}l)) = (1-(\mu\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}n)$
using *geo-sum*[*of* $\mu\ \hat{}\ (i-j)\ n$] **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\mu\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}n = 1$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *left-right-inverse-power mult.commute mult.right-neutral mu-properties*(*1*) *omega-properties*(*1*) *power-mult power-one*)

The sum for the current index is 0.

ultimately have $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}l)) = 0$
by (*metis* ‹$\mu\ \hat{}\ (i-j) \neq 1$› *divisors-zero eq-iff-diff-eq-0*)
thus $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l*j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i*l)) = 0$ **using** *01 02 03* **by** *simp*
qed

Case $i < j$. We also rewrite the whole summation until the lemma for geometric sums is applicable. From this, we conclude that the term is 0.

have *ilsj*:$\bigwedge j.\ i < j \wedge j < n \Longrightarrow (\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l*j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i*l))) = 0$
proof−
fix j
assume *ij-Assm*: $i < j \wedge j < n$
hence *00*:$\bigwedge$ $(c::('a::prime\text{-}card)\ mod\text{-}ring)\ a\ b.\ (a*b)\hat{}i*(c * b\hat{}(j-i)) = c * a\hat{}i*b\hat{}j$
by (*auto simp*: *field-simps simp flip*: *power-add*)
have *01*: $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \omega\ \hat{}\ (l*j) * \mu\ \hat{}\ (i*l))) =$
$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * (\omega\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i)))$
apply(*rule sum-eq*) **subgoal for** l
using *mu-properties*(*1*) *00*[*of* $\omega\hat{}l\ \mu\hat{}l\ numbers\ !\ j$] *algebra-simps*(*23*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *00 left-right-inverse-power mult.assoc mult.commute mult.right-neutral power-mult*)
done
moreover have *02*:$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * (\omega\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i))) =$
$numbers\ !\ j * (\sum l = 0..<n.\ (\ (\omega\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i)))$
by (*simp add*: *mult-hom.hom-sum*)
moreover have *03*:$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (\ (\omega\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i))) =$
$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\ (\omega\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}l)))$
by(*rule sum-eq*) (*metis mult.commute power-mult*)
have $\omega\hat{}(j-i) \neq 1$
proof
assume $\omega\ \hat{}\ (j-i) = 1$
hence *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* ω) $\leq j-i$ **using** *ord-max*[*of* $j-i\ \omega$] *ij-Assm* **by** *simp*
moreover have *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* ω) $= p-1$
by (*meson* ‹$\omega\ \hat{}\ (j-i) = 1$› *diff-is-0-eq diff-le-self ij-Assm leD le-trans omega-properties*(*3*))
ultimately show *False*
by (*meson* ‹$\omega\ \hat{}\ (j-i) = 1$› *diff-is-0-eq diff-le-self ij-Assm leD le-trans omega-properties*(*3*))
qed

Geometric sum.

ultimately have $(1-\omega\hat{}(j-i))*\ (\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\omega\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}l)) = (1-(\omega\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}n)$
using *geo-sum*[*of* $\omega\ \hat{}\ (j-i)\ n$] **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\omega\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}n = 1$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *mult.commute omega-properties*(*1*) *power-mult power-one*)
ultimately have $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\omega\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}l)) = 0$

```
    by (metis ‹ω ^ (j − i) ≠ 1› eq-iff-diff-eq-0 no-zero-divisors)
   thus (∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * ω ^ (l * j) * μ ^ (i * l)) = 0 using 01 02 03 by simp
  qed
```

We compose the cases $j < i$, $j = i$ and $j > i$ to a complete summation over index j.

```
  have (∑ j = 0..<i. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * ω ^ (l * j) * μ ^ (i * l)) = 0 using jlsi by simp
  moreover have (∑ j = i..<i+1. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * ω ^ (l * j) * μ ^ (i * l)) =
 numbers ! i * (of-int-mod-ring n) using iisj by simp
  moreover have (∑ j = (i+1)..<n. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * ω ^ (l * j) * μ ^ (i * l)) = 0
using ilsj by simp
  ultimately have (∑ j = 0..<n. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * ω ^ (l * j) * μ ^ (i * l)) =
                  numbers ! i * (of-int-mod-ring n) using i-assms sum-split
   by (smt (z3) add.commute add.left-neutral int-ops(2) less-imp-of-nat-less of-nat-add of-nat-eq-iff
of-nat-less-imp-less)
```

Index-wise equality can be shown.

```
  thus intt (NTT numbers) i = of-int-mod-ring (int n) * numbers ! i using 1 2 3
   by (metis mult.commute)
 qed
 have 2: ⋀ i. i < n ⟹ (map ((*) (of-int-mod-ring (int n))) numbers ) ! i = (of-int-mod-ring (int
n)) * (numbers ! i)
  by (simp add: n-def)
```

We relate index-wise equality to the function definition.

```
 show ?thesis
  apply(rule nth-equalityI)
  subgoal my-subgoal
   unfolding INTT-def NTT-def
   apply (simp add: n-def)
   done
  subgoal for i
  using 0 1 2 n-def algebra-simps my-subgoal length-map
  apply auto
  done
 done
qed
```

Now we prove the converse to be true: $\mathsf{NTT}(\mathsf{INTT}(\vec{x})) = n \cdot \vec{x}$. The proof proceeds analogously with exchanged roles of ω and μ.

```
theorem inv-ntt-correct:
 assumes n-def: length numbers = n
 shows NTT (INTT numbers) = map (λ x. (of-int-mod-ring n) * x ) numbers
proof−
 have 0:⋀ i. i < n ⟹ (NTT (INTT numbers)) ! i = ntt (INTT numbers) i using n-def length-NTT
   unfolding INTT-def NTT-def intt-def by simp
 have 1:⋀ i. i < n ⟹ntt (INTT numbers) i = (of-int-mod-ring n)∗numbers ! i
 proof−
   fix i
   assume i-assms:i < n
```

hence *1*:*ntt* (*INTT numbers*) *i* =
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*.
(∑ *j* = *0*..<*n*. *numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*)) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))
unfolding *INTT-def ntt-def intt-def* **using** *n-def length-map nth-map* **by** *simp*
hence *2*:... = (∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*.
(∑ *j* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*)) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))) **using** *sum-in* **by** *simp*
have *3*: ... =(∑ *j* = *0*..<*n*.
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*)))) **using** *sum-swap* **by** *fast*
have *iisj*:⋀ *j*. *j* = *i* ⟹ (∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))) = (*numbers* ! *j*)∗
(*of-int-mod-ring n*)
proof−
fix *j*
assume *j*=*i*
hence ⋀ *l*. *l* < *n* ⟹ (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))= (*numbers* ! *j*)
by (*simp add*: *left-right-inverse-power mult.commute mu-properties*(*1*))
moreover have ⋀ *l*. *l* < *n* ⟹ *numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*) = *numbers* ! *j*
using *calculation* **by** *blast*
ultimately show (∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))) = (*numbers* ! *j*)∗
(*of-int-mod-ring n*)
using *n-def sum-const*[*of numbers* ! *j n*] *exp-rule*[*of ω μ*] *mu-properties*(*1*)
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *atLeastLessThan-iff mult.commute sum.cong*)
qed
have *jlsi*:⋀ *j*. *j* < *i* ⟹ (∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))) = *0*
proof−
fix *j*
assume *j-assms*:*j* < *i*
hence *00*:⋀ (*c*::('*a*::*prime-card*) *mod-ring*) *a b*. *c* ∗ *a*^*j*∗*b*^*i* = (*a*∗*b*)^*j*∗(*c* ∗ *b*^(*i*−*j*))
using *algebra-simps*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *le-less ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse power-add*)
have *01*: (∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*l* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*i* ∗ *l*))) =
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. (*numbers* ! *j* ∗ (*ω*^*l*)^(*i*−*j*)))
apply(*rule sum-eq*)
using *mu-properties*(*1*) *00 algebra-simps*(*23*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *mult.commute mult.left-neutral power-mult power-one*)
moreover have *02*: ... = *numbers* ! *j* ∗(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. ((*ω*^*l*)^(*i*−*j*)))
using *sum-in*[*of* λ *l*. *numbers* ! *j* ∗ (*μ* ^ *l*) ^ (*i* − *j*) *numbers* ! *j n*]
by (*simp add*: *mult-hom.hom-sum*)
moreover have *03*:(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. ((*ω*^*l*)^(*i*−*j*))) =
(∑ *l* = *0*..<*n*. ((*ω*^(*i*−*j*))^*l*))
by(*rule sum-eq*) (*metis mult.commute power-mult*)
have *ω*^(*i*−*j*) ≠ *1*
proof
assume *ω* ^ (*i* − *j*) = *1*
hence *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring ω*) ≤ *i*−*j*
by (*simp add*: *j-assms not-le ord-max*)
moreover have *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring ω*) = *n* **using** *omega-properties n-lst2* **unfolding**
ord-def
by (*meson* ‹*ω* ^ (*i* − *j*) = *1*› *diff-is-0-eq diff-le-self i-assms j-assms leD le-trans*)
ultimately show *False*

by (*metis i-assms leD less-imp-diff-less*)
qed
ultimately have $(1-\omega\hat{}(i-j))*(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\omega\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}l)) = (1-(\omega\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}n)$
using *geo-sum*[*of* $\omega\ \hat{}\ (i-j)\ n$] **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\omega\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}n = 1$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *mult.commute omega-properties*(*1*) *power-mult power-one*)
ultimately have $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\omega\hat{}(i-j))\hat{}l)) = 0$
by (*metis* ‹$\omega\ \hat{}\ (i-j) \neq 1$› *divisors-zero eq-iff-diff-eq-0*)
thus $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ numbers\ !\ j * \mu\ \hat{}\ (l*j) * \omega\ \hat{}\ (i*l)) = 0$ **using** *01 02 03* **by** *simp*
qed
have *ilsj*:$\bigwedge j.\ i < j \wedge j < n \Longrightarrow (\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \mu\ \hat{}\ (l*j) * \omega\ \hat{}\ (i*l))) = 0$
proof−
fix *j*
assume *ij-Assm*: $i < j \wedge j < n$
hence *00*:$\bigwedge$ $(c::('a::prime\text{-}card)\ mod\text{-}ring)\ a\ b.\ (a*b)\hat{}i*(c * b\hat{}(j-i)) = c * a\hat{}i*b\hat{}j$
by (*simp add*: *field-simps flip*: *power-add*)
have *01*: $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * \mu\ \hat{}\ (l*j) * \omega\ \hat{}\ (i*l))) =$
$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * (\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i)))$
apply(*rule sum-eq*) **subgoal for** *l*
using *mu-properties*(*1*) *00*[*of* $\omega\hat{}l\ \mu\hat{}l\ numbers\ !\ j$] *algebra-simps*(*23*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *00 left-right-inverse-power mult.assoc mult.commute mult.right-neutral power-mult*)
done
moreover have *02*:$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (numbers\ !\ j * (\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i))) =$
$numbers\ !\ j * (\sum l = 0..<n.\ (\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i)))$
by (*simp add*: *mult-hom.hom-sum*)
moreover have *03*:$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ (\mu\hat{}l)\hat{}(j-i))) =$
$(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}l)))$
by(*rule sum-eq*) (*metis mult.commute power-mult*)
have $\mu\hat{}(j-i) \neq 1$
proof
assume $\mu\ \hat{}\ (j-i) = 1$
hence *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* μ) $\leq j-i$
by (*simp add*: *ij-Assm not-le ord-max*)
moreover hence *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* ω) $\leq j-i$
by (*metis* ‹$\mu\ \hat{}\ (j-i) = 1$› *diff-is-0-eq exp-rule ij-Assm leD mult.comm-neutral mult.commute mu-properties*(*1*) *ord-max*)
moreover hence $j-i < n$ **using** *ij-Assm i-assms p-fact k-bound n-lst2* **by** *linarith*
moreover have *ord p* (*to-int-mod-ring* ω) $= n$ **using** *omega-properties n-lst2* **unfolding** *ord-def*
by (*metis* (*no-types*) ‹$\mu\ \hat{}\ (j-i) = 1$› *calculation*(*3*) *diff-is-0-eq ij-Assm leD left-right-inverse-power mult.comm-neutral mult-cancel-left mu-properties*(*1*) *omega-properties*(*3*) *zero-neq-one*)
ultimately show *False* **by** *simp*
qed
ultimately have $(1-\mu\hat{}(j-i))*\ (\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}l)) = (1-(\mu\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}n)$
using *geo-sum*[*of* $\mu\ \hat{}\ (j-i)\ n$] **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\mu\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}n = 1$
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *left-right-inverse-power mult.commute mult.right-neutral mu-properties*(*1*) *omega-properties*(*1*) *power-mult power-one*)
ultimately have $(\sum l = 0..<n.\ ((\mu\hat{}(j-i))\hat{}l)) = 0$
by (*metis* ‹$\mu\ \hat{}\ (j-i) \neq 1$› *eq-iff-diff-eq-0 no-zero-divisors*)

```
    thus (∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * μ ^ (l * j) * ω ^ (i * l)) = 0 using 01 02 03 by simp
  qed
  have (∑ j = 0..<i. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * μ ^ (l * j) * ω ^ (i * l)) = 0 using jlsi by simp
  moreover have (∑ j = i..<i+1. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * μ ^ (l * j) * ω ^ (i * l)) = numbers
! i * (of-int-mod-ring n) using iisj by simp
  moreover have (∑ j = (i+1)..<n. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * μ ^ (l * j) * ω ^ (i * l)) = 0
using ilsj by simp
  ultimately have (∑ j = 0..<n. ∑ l = 0..<n. numbers ! j * μ ^ (l * j) * ω ^ (i * l)) =
                  numbers ! i * (of-int-mod-ring n) using i-assms sum-split
    by (smt (z3) add.commute add.left-neutral int-ops(2) less-imp-of-nat-less of-nat-add of-nat-eq-iff
of-nat-less-imp-less)
  thus ntt (INTT numbers) i = of-int-mod-ring (int n) * numbers ! i using 1 2 3
    by (metis mult.commute)
 qed
 have 2: ⋀ i. i < n ⟹ (map ((*) (of-int-mod-ring (int n))) numbers ) ! i = (of-int-mod-ring (int
n)) * (numbers ! i)
   by (simp add: n-def)
 show ?thesis
   apply(rule nth-equalityI)
   subgoal my-little-subgoal
     unfolding INTT-def NTT-def
     apply (simp add: n-def)
     done
   subgoal for i
     using 0 1 2 n-def algebra-simps  my-little-subgoal length-map
     apply  auto
   done
 done
qed

end
end


theory Butterfly
 imports NTT HOL−Library.Discrete-Functions
begin
```

4 Butterfly Algorithms

Several recursive algorithms for FFT based on the divide and conquer principle have been developed in order to speed up the transform. A method for reducing complexity is the butterfly scheme. In this formalization, we consider the butterfly algorithm by Cooley and Tukey [1] adapted to the setting of NTT.

We additionally assume that n is power of two.

locale *butterfly* = *ntt* +
  fixes N
  assumes *n-two-pot*: $n = 2\hat{}N$
begin

4.1 Recursive Definition

Let's recall the definition of a transformed vector element:

$$\mathsf{NTT}(\vec{x})_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x_j \cdot \omega^{i \cdot j}$$

We assume $n = 2^N$ and obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{j=0}^{<2^N} x_j \cdot \omega^{i \cdot j} \\
&\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-1}} x_{2j} \cdot \omega^{i \cdot 2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-1}} x_{2j+1} \cdot \omega^{i \cdot (2j+1)} \\
&\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-1}} x_{2j} \cdot (\omega^2)^{i \cdot j} + \omega^i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-1}} x_{2j+1} \cdot (\omega^2)^{i \cdot j} \\
&\quad = (\sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-2}} x_{4j} \cdot (\omega^4)^{i \cdot j} + \omega^i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-2}} x_{4j+2} \cdot (\omega^4)^{i \cdot j}) \\
&\qquad + \omega^i \cdot (\sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-2}} x_{4j+1} \cdot (\omega^4)^{i \cdot j} + \omega^i \cdot \sum_{j=0}^{<2^{N-2}} x_{4j+3} \cdot (\omega^4)^{i \cdot j}) \text{ etc.}
\end{aligned}$$

which gives us a recursive algorithm:

- Compose vectors consisting of elements at even and odd indices respectively
- Compute a transformation of these vectors recursively where the dimensions are halved.
- Add results after scaling the second subresult by ω^i

Now we give a functional definition of the analogue to FFT adapted to finite fields. A gentle introduction to FFT can be found in [2]. For the fast implementation of Number Theoretic Transform in particular, have a look at [3].

(The following lemma is needed to obtain an automated termination proof of $FNTT$.)

lemma *FNTT-termination-aux* [*simp*]: *length* (*filter P* [*0..<l*]) < *Suc l*
by (*metis diff-zero le-imp-less-Suc length-filter-le length-upt*)

Please note that we closely adhere to the textbook definition which just talks about elements at even and odd indices. We model the informal definition by predefined functions, since this seems to be more handy during proofs. An algorithm splitting the elements smartly will be presented afterwards.

fun *FNTT*::('*a mod-ring*) *list* ⇒ ('*a mod-ring*) *list* **where**
FNTT [] = []|
FNTT [*a*] = [*a*]|
FNTT nums = (*let nn* = *length nums*;
nums1 = [*nums*!*i*. *i* ← *filter even* [*0..<nn*]];
nums2 = [*nums*!*i*. *i* ← *filter odd* [*0..<nn*]];
fntt1 = *FNTT nums1*;
fntt2 = *FNTT nums2*;
sum1 = *map2* (+) *fntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(ω^((*n div nn*) ∗ *k*))) *fntt2* [*0..<*(*nn div 2*)]);
sum2 = *map2* (−) *fntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(ω^((*n div nn*) ∗ *k*))) *fntt2* [*0..<*(*nn div 2*)])
in sum1@*sum2*)

lemmas [*simp del*] = *FNTT-termination-aux*

Finally, we want to prove correctness, i.e. $FNTT\ xs = NTT\ xs$. Since we consider a recursive algorithm, some kind of induction is appropriate: Assume the claim for $\frac{2^d}{2} = 2^{d-1}$ and prove it for 2^d, where 2^d is the vector length. This implies that we have to talk about NTTs with respect to some powers of ω. In particular, we decide to annotate NTT with a degree *degr* indicating the referred vector length. There is a correspondence to the current level l of recursion:

$$degr = 2^{N-l}$$

A generalized version of NTT keeps track of all levels during recursion:

definition *ntt-gen numbers degr i* = (∑ *j*=*0..<*(*length numbers*). (*numbers* ! *j*) ∗ ω^((*n div degr*)∗*i*∗*j*))

definition *NTT-gen degr numbers* = *map* (*ntt-gen numbers* (*degr*)) [*0..<* *length numbers*]

Whenever generalized NTT is applied to a list of full length, then its actually equal to the defined NTT.

lemma *NTT-gen-NTT-full-length*:
assumes *length numbers* =*n*

shows *NTT-gen n numbers = NTT numbers*
unfolding *NTT-gen-def ntt-gen-def NTT-def ntt-def*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

4.2 Arguments on Correctness

First some general lemmas on list operations.

lemma *length-even-filter*: *length* [*f i . i <− (filter even* [*0..<l*])] = *l−l div 2*
by(*induction l*) *auto*

lemma *length-odd-filter*: *length* [*f i . i <− (filter odd* [*0..<l*])] = *l div 2*
by(*induction l*) *auto*

lemma *map2-length*: *length* (*map2 f xs ys*) = *min* (*length xs*) (*length ys*)
by (*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys*) *auto*

lemma *map2-index*: *i < length xs ⟹ i < length ys ⟹* (*map2 f xs ys*) ! *i* = *f* (*xs* ! *i*) (*ys* ! *i*)
by (*induction xs arbitrary*: *ys i*) *auto*

lemma *filter-last-not*: ¬ *P x ⟹ filter P* (*xs*@[*x*]) = *filter P xs*
by *simp*

lemma *filter-even-map*: *filter even* [*0..<2∗*(*x*::*nat*)] = *map* ((∗) (*2*::*nat*)) [*0..<x*]
by(*induction x*) *simp+*

lemma *filter-even-nth*: *2∗j < l ⟹ 2∗x = l ⟹* (*filter even* [*0..<l*] ! *j*) = (*2∗j*)
using *filter-even-map*[*of x*] *nth-map*[*of j filter even* [*0..<l*] (∗) *2*] **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-odd-map*: *filter odd* [*0..<2∗*(*x*::*nat*)] = *map* (λ *y*. (*2*::*nat*)∗*y* +*1*) [*0..<x*]
by(*induction x*) *simp+*

lemma *filter-odd-nth*: *2∗j < l ⟹ 2∗x = l ⟹* (*filter odd* [*0..<l*] ! *j*) = (*2∗j*+*1*)
using *filter-odd-map*[*of x*] *nth-map*[*of j filter even* [*0..<l*] (∗) *2*] **by** *auto*

Lemmas by using the assumption $n = 2^N$.

(−1 denotes the additive inverse of 1 in the finite field.)

lemma *n-min1-2*: *n = 2 ⟹ ω* = *−1*
using *omega-properties*(*1*) *omega-properties*(*2*) *power2-eq-1-iff* **by** *blast*

lemma *n-min1-gr2*:
assumes *n > 2*
shows *ω*^(*n div 2*) = *−1*
proof−
have *ω*^(*n div 2*) ≠ *−1 ⟹ False*
proof−
assume *ω*^(*n div 2*) ≠ *−1*
hence *False*
proof (*cases* ‹*ω* ^ (*n div 2*) = *1*›)

case *True*
then show *?thesis* **using** *omega-properties(3) assms*
by *auto*
next
case *False*
hence $(\omega \hat{}(n\ div\ 2)) \hat{}\ (2::nat) \neq 1$
by (*smt* (*verit*, *ccfv-threshold*) *n-two-pot One-nat-def* ‹$\omega \hat{}\ (n\ div\ 2) \neq -1$› *diff-zero leD n-lst2 not-less-eq omega-properties(1) one-less-numeral-iff one-power2 power2-eq-square power-mult power-one-right power-strict-increasing-iff semiring-norm(76) square-eq-iff two-powr-div two-powrs-div*)
moreover have $(n\ div\ 2) * 2 = n$ **using** *n-two-pot n-lst2*
by (*metis One-nat-def Suc-lessD assms div-by-Suc-0 one-less-numeral-iff power-0 power-one-right power-strict-increasing-iff semiring-norm(76) two-powrs-div*)
ultimately show *?thesis* **using** *omega-properties(1)*
by (*metis power-mult*)
qed
thus *False* **by** *simp*
qed
then show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *div-exp-sub*: $2\hat{}l < n \Longrightarrow n\ div\ (2\hat{}l) = 2\hat{}(N-l)$**using** *n-two-pot*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *One-nat-def diff-is-0-eq diff-le-diff-pow div-if div-le-dividend eq-imp-le le-0-eq le-Suc-eq n-lst2 nat-less-le not-less-eq-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-0 two-powr-div*)

lemma *omega-div-exp-min1*:
assumes $2\hat{}(Suc\ l) \leq n$
shows $(\omega\ \hat{}(n\ div\ 2\hat{}(Suc\ l)))\hat{}(2\hat{}l) = -1$
proof−
have $(\omega\ \hat{}(n\ div\ 2\hat{}(Suc\ l)))\hat{}(2\hat{}l) = \omega\ \hat{}((n\ div\ 2\hat{}(Suc\ l))*2\hat{}l)$
by (*simp add*: *power-mult*)
moreover have $(n\ div\ 2\hat{}(Suc\ l)) = 2\hat{}(N - Suc\ l)$ **using** *assms div-exp-sub*
by (*metis n-two-pot eq-imp-le le-neq-implies-less one-less-numeral-iff power-diff power-inject-exp semiring-norm(76) zero-neq-numeral*)
moreover have $N \geq Suc\ l$ **using** *assms n-two-pot*
by (*metis diff-is-0-eq diff-le-diff-pow gr0I leD le-refl*)
moreover hence $(2::nat)\hat{}(N - Suc\ l)*2\hat{}l = 2\hat{}(N- 1)$
by (*metis Nat.add-diff-assoc diff-Suc-1 diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self le-add1 le-add-diff-inverse plus-1-eq-Suc power-add*)
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*metis n-two-pot One-nat-def* ‹$n\ div\ 2 \hat{}\ Suc\ l = 2 \hat{}\ (N - Suc\ l)$› *diff-Suc-1 div-exp-sub n-lst2 n-min1-2 n-min1-gr2 nat-less-le nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff one-less-numeral-iff power-inject-exp power-one-right semiring-norm(76)*)
qed

lemma *omg-n-2-min1*: $\omega\hat{}(n\ div\ 2) = -1$
by (*metis n-lst2 n-min1-2 n-min1-gr2 nat-less-le numeral-Bit0-div-2 numerals(1) power-one-right*)

lemma *neg-cong*: $-(x::('a\ mod\text{-}ring)) = -y \Longrightarrow x = y$ **by** *simp*

Generalized NTT indeed describes all recursive levels, and thus, it is actually equivalent

to the ordinary NTT definition.

theorem *FNTT-NTT-gen-eq*: *length numbers* = *2^l* $\Longrightarrow$ *2^l* $\leq$ *n* $\Longrightarrow$ *FNTT numbers* = *NTT-gen* (*length numbers*) *numbers*
proof(*induction l arbitrary*: *numbers*)
case *0*
then show *?case* **unfolding** *NTT-gen-def ntt-gen-def*
by (*auto simp*: *length-Suc-conv*)
next
case (*Suc l*)

We define some lists that are used during the recursive call.

define *numbers1* **where** *numbers1* = [*numbers*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter even* [*0*..<*length numbers*])]
define *numbers2* **where** *numbers2* = [*numbers*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter odd* [*0*..<*length numbers*])]
define *fntt1* **where** *fntt1* = *FNTT numbers1*
define *fntt2* **where** *fntt2* = *FNTT numbers2*
define *sum1* **where**
sum1 = *map2* (+) *fntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(ω^((*n div* (*length numbers*)) ∗ *k*)))
fntt2 [*0*..<((*length numbers*) *div 2*)])
define *sum2* **where**
sum2 = *map2* (−) *fntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(ω^((*n div* (*length numbers*)) ∗ *k*)))
fntt2 [*0*..<((*length numbers*) *div 2*)])
define *l1* **where** *l1* = *length numbers1*
define *l2* **where** *l2* = *length numbers2*
define *llen* **where** *llen* = *length numbers*

Properties of those lists.

have *numbers1-even*: *length numbers1* = *2^l*
using *numbers1-def length-even-filter Suc* **by** *simp*
have *numbers2-even*: *length numbers2* = *2^l*
using *numbers2-def length-odd-filter Suc* **by** *simp*
have *numbers1-fntt*: *fntt1* = *NTT-gen* (*2^l*) *numbers1*
using *fntt1-def Suc.IH*[*of numbers1*] *numbers1-even Suc*(*3*) **by** *simp*
hence *fntt1-by-index*: *fntt1* ! *i* = *ntt-gen numbers1* (*2^l*) *i* **if** *i* < *2^l* **for** *i*
unfolding *NTT-gen-def* **by** (*simp add*: *numbers1-even that*)
have *numbers2-fntt*: *fntt2* = *NTT-gen* (*2^l*) *numbers2*
using *fntt2-def Suc.IH*[*of numbers2*] *numbers2-even Suc*(*3*) **by** *simp*
hence *fntt2-by-index*: *fntt2* ! *i* = *ntt-gen numbers2* (*2^l*) *i* **if** *i* < *2^l* **for** *i*
unfolding *NTT-gen-def*
by (*simp add*: *numbers2-even that*)
have *fntt1-length*: *length fntt1* = *2^l* **unfolding** *numbers1-fntt NTT-gen-def numbers1-def*
using *numbers1-def numbers1-even* **by** *force*
have *fntt2-length*: *length fntt2* = *2^l* **unfolding** *numbers2-fntt NTT-gen-def numbers2-def*
using *numbers2-def numbers2-even* **by** *force*

We show that the list resulting from $FNTT$ is equal to the NTT list. First, we prove $FNTT$ and NTT to be equal concerning their first halves.

have *before-half*: *map* (*ntt-gen numbers llen*) [*0*..<(*llen div 2*)] = *sum1*
proof−

Length is important, since we want to use list lemmas later on.

have *00*:*length* (*map* (*ntt-gen numbers llen*) [*0*..<(*llen div 2*)]) = *length sum1*
unfolding *sum1-def llen-def*
using *Suc*(*2*) *map2-length*[*of - fntt2* [*0*..<*length numbers div 2*]]
map2-length[*of* (+) *fntt1* (*map2* (λ*x y*. *x* ∗ ω ^ (*n div length numbers* ∗ *y*)) *fntt2* [*0*..<*length numbers div 2*])]
fntt1-length fntt2-length **by** (*simp add*: *mult-2*)
have *01*:*length sum1* = *2*^*l* **unfolding** *sum1-def*
using *00 Suc.prems*(*1*) *sum1-def* **unfolding** *llen-def* **by** *auto*

We show equality by extensionality w.r.t. indices.

have *02*:(*map* (*ntt-gen numbers llen*) [*0*..<(*llen div 2*)]) ! *i* = *sum1* ! *i*
if *i* < *2*^*l* **for** *i*
proof−

First simplify this term.

have *000*:(*map* (*ntt-gen numbers llen*) [*0*..<(*llen div 2*)]) ! *i* =
ntt-gen numbers llen i
using *00 01 that* **by** *auto*

Expand the definition of *sum*1 and massage the result.

moreover have *001*:*sum1* ! *i* = (*fntt1*!*i*) + (*fntt2*!*i*) ∗ (ω^((*n div llen*) ∗ *i*))
unfolding *sum1-def* **using** *map2-index*
00 01 NTT-gen-def add.left-neutral diff-zero fntt1-length length-map length-upt map2-map-map map-nth nth-upt numbers2-even numbers2-fntt that llen-def **by** *force*
moreover have *002*:(*fntt1*!*i*) = (∑*j*=*0*..<*l1*. (*numbers1* ! *j*) ∗ ω^((*n div* (*2*^*l*))∗*i*∗*j*))
unfolding *l1-def*
using *fntt1-by-index*[*of i*] *that* **unfolding** *ntt-gen-def* **by** *simp*
have *003*:... = (∑*j*=*0*..<*l1*. (*numbers* ! (*2*∗*j*)) ∗ ω^((*n div llen*)∗*i*∗(*2*∗*j*)))
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j* **unfolding** *numbers1-def*
apply(*subst llen-def*[*symmetric*])
proof−
assume *ass*: *j* < *l1*
hence *map* ((!) *numbers*) (*filter even* [*0*..<*length numbers*]) ! *j* = *numbers* ! (*filter even* [*0*..<*length numbers*] ! *j*)
using *nth-map*[*of j filter even* [*0*..<*length numbers*] (!) *numbers*]
unfolding *l1-def numbers1-def*
by (*metis length-map*)
moreover have *filter even* [*0*..<*llen*] ! *j* = *2* ∗ *j* **using**
filter-even-nth[*of j llen 2*^*l*] *Suc*(*2*) *ass numbers1-def numbers1-even*
unfolding *llen-def l1-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover have *n div llen* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j*) = ((*n div* (*2* ^ *l*)) ∗ *j*)
using *Suc*(*2*) *two-powrs-div*[*of l N*] *n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc*(*3*) *llen-def*
by (*metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral*)
ultimately show *map* ((!) *numbers*) (*filter even* [*0*..<*llen*]) ! *j* ∗ ω ^ (*n div 2* ^ *l* ∗ *i* ∗ *j*) =
numbers ! (*2* ∗ *j*) ∗ ω ^ (*n div llen* ∗ *i* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j*))
unfolding *llen-def l1-def l2-def* **by** (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *mult.assoc mult.left-commute*)

```
        qed
        done
      moreover have 004:
        (fntt2!i) * (ω^((n div llen) * i)) =
            (∑j=0..<l2.(numbers2 ! j) * ω^((n div (2^l))*i*j+ (n div llen) * i))
          apply(rule trans[where s = (∑j = 0..<l2. numbers2 ! j * ω ^(n div 2 ^l * i * j) * ω ^
(n div llen * i))])
        subgoal
          unfolding l2-def llen-def
        using fntt2-by-index[of i] that sum-in[of - (ω^((n div llen) * i)) l2] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization
ω]
          unfolding ntt-gen-def
          using sum-rules  apply presburger
          done
         apply (rule sum-rules(2))
         subgoal for j
        using fntt2-by-index[of i] that sum-in[of - (ω^((n div llen) * i)) l2] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization
ω]
          unfolding ntt-gen-def
          apply auto
          done
         done
    have 005: ... = (∑j=0..<l2. (numbers ! (2*j+1) * ω^((n div llen)*i*(2*j+1))))
     apply (rule sum-rules(2))
      subgoal for j unfolding numbers2-def
        apply(subst llen-def[symmetric])
         proof−
         assume ass: j < l2
         hence map ((!) numbers) (filter odd [0..<llen]) ! j = numbers ! (filter odd [0..<llen] ! j)
           using  nth-map unfolding l2-def numbers2-def llen-def by (metis length-map)
         moreover have filter odd [0..<llen] ! j = 2 * j +1 using
          filter-odd-nth[of j length numbers 2^l] Suc(2)  ass numbers2-def numbers2-even
           unfolding l2-def numbers2-def llen-def by fastforce
         moreover have n div llen * (2 * j) = ((n div (2 ^ l))  * j)
           using Suc(2) two-powrs-div[of l N] n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc(3) llen-def
           by (metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff
power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral)
         ultimately show
           map ((!) numbers) (filter odd [0..<llen]) ! j * ω ^(n div 2 ^l * i * j + n div llen * i)
              = numbers ! (2 * j + 1) * ω ^(n div llen * i * (2 * j + 1)) unfolding llen-def
           by (smt (z3) Groups.mult-ac(2) distrib-left mult.right-neutral mult-2 mult-cancel-left)
        qed
        done
      then show ?thesis
        using 000 001 002 003 004 005
        unfolding sum1-def llen-def l1-def l2-def
        using sum-splice-other-way-round[of λ d.  numbers ! d  * ω ^(n div length numbers * i * d)
2^l] Suc(2)
        unfolding ntt-gen-def
```

```
    by (smt (z3) Groups.mult-ac(2) numbers1-even numbers2-even power-Suc2)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by  (metis 00 01 nth-equalityI)
qed
```

We show equality for the indices in the second halves.

```
have after-half: map (ntt-gen numbers llen) [(llen div 2)..<llen] = sum2
proof−
  have 00:length (map (ntt-gen numbers llen) [(llen div 2)..<llen]) =  length sum2
    unfolding sum2-def llen-def
    using Suc(2) map2-length map2-length fntt1-length fntt2-length by (simp add: mult-2)
  have 01:length sum2 = 2^l unfolding sum1-def
    using 00 Suc.prems(1) sum1-def llen-def  by auto
```

Equality for every index.

```
  have 02:(map (ntt-gen numbers llen)  [(llen div 2)..<llen]) ! i = sum2 ! i
    if i < 2^l for i
  proof−
   have 000:(map (ntt-gen numbers llen)  [(llen div 2)..<llen]) ! i =  ntt-gen numbers llen (2^l+i)
     unfolding llen-def by (simp add: Suc.prems(1) that)
   have 001: (map2 (λx y. x * ω ^(n div llen * y)) fntt2 [0..<llen div 2]) ! i =
            fntt2 ! i * ω ^(n div llen * i)
     using  Suc(2) that by (simp add:  fntt2-length  llen-def)
   have 003: − fntt2 ! i * ω ^(n div llen * i) =
              fntt2 ! i * ω ^(n div llen * (i+ llen div 2))
     using Suc(2) omega-div-exp-min1[of l] unfolding llen-def
       by (smt (z3) Suc.prems(2) mult.commute mult.left-commute mult-1s-ring-1(2) neq0-conv
nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left numeral-One pos2 power-Suc power-add power-mult)
   hence 004:sum2 ! i = (fntt1!i) − (fntt2!i) * (ω^((n div llen) * i))
     unfolding sum2-def llen-def
     by (simp add: Suc.prems(1) fntt1-length fntt2-length that)
   have 005:(fntt1!i) =
              (∑j=0..<l1. (numbers1 ! j) * ω^((n div (2^l))*i*j))
    using fntt1-by-index that unfolding ntt-gen-def l1-def by simp
  have 006:... =(∑j=0..<l1. (numbers ! (2*j)) * ω^((n div llen)*i*(2*j)))
     apply (rule sum-rules(2))
    subgoal for j unfolding numbers1-def
     apply(subst llen-def[symmetric])
    proof−
      assume ass: j < l1
      hence map ((!) numbers) (filter even [0..<llen]) ! j = numbers ! (filter even [0..<llen] ! j)
        using  nth-map unfolding llen-def l1-def numbers1-def by (metis length-map)
      moreover have filter even [0..<llen] ! j = 2 * j using
       filter-even-nth Suc(2)  ass numbers1-def numbers1-even llen-def l1-def by fastforce
      moreover have n div llen * (2 * j) = ((n div (2 ^ l))  * j)
        using Suc(2) two-powrs-div[of l N] n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc(3) llen-def
        by (metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff
power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral)
```

ultimately show
map ((!) *numbers*) (*filter even* [*0*..<*llen*]) ! *j* ∗ *ω* ^ (*n div 2* ^ *l* ∗ *i* ∗ *j*) =
numbers ! (*2* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*n div llen* ∗ *i* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j*))
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *mult.assoc mult.left-commute*)
qed
done
have *007*:... = (∑*j*=*0*..<*l1*. (*numbers* ! (*2*∗*j*)) ∗ *ω*^((*n div llen*)∗(*2*^*l* + *i*)∗(*2*∗*j*)))
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j*
using *Suc*(*2*) *Suc*(*3*) *omega-div-exp-min1*[*of l*] *llen-def l1-def numbers1-def*
apply(*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *add.commute minus-power-mult-self mult-2 mult-minus1-right power-add power-mult*)
done
done
moreover have *008*: (*fntt2*!*i*) ∗ (*ω*^((*n div llen*) ∗ *i*)) =
(∑*j*=*0*..<*l2*. (*numbers2* ! *j*) ∗ *ω*^((*n div* (*2*^*l*))∗*i*∗*j*+ (*n div llen*) ∗ *i*))
apply(*rule trans*[**where** *s* = (∑*j* = *0*..<*l2*. *numbers2* ! *j* ∗ *ω* ^ (*n div 2* ^ *l* ∗ *i* ∗ *j*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*n div llen* ∗ *i*))])
subgoal
using *fntt2-by-index*[*of i*] *that sum-in comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules*(*26*)[*of ω*]
unfolding *ntt-gen-def*
using *sum-rules l2-def* **apply** *presburger*
done
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j*
using *fntt2-by-index*[*of i*] *that sum-in comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules*(*26*)[*of ω*]
unfolding *ntt-gen-def*
apply *auto*
done
done
have *009*: ... = (∑*j*=*0*..<*l2*. (*numbers* ! (*2*∗*j*+*1*) ∗ *ω*^((*n div llen*)∗*i*∗(*2*∗*j*+*1*))))
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j* **unfolding** *numbers2-def*
apply(*subst llen-def*[*symmetric*])
proof−
assume *ass*: *j* < *l2*
hence *map* ((!) *numbers*) (*filter odd* [*0*..<*llen*]) ! *j* = *numbers* ! (*filter odd* [*0*..<*llen*] ! *j*)
using *nth-map llen-def l2-def numbers2-def* **by** (*metis length-map*)
moreover have *filter odd* [*0*..<*llen*] ! *j* = *2* ∗ *j* +*1* **using**
filter-odd-nth Suc(*2*) *ass numbers2-def numbers2-even llen-def l2-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover have *n div llen* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j*) = ((*n div* (*2* ^ *l*)) ∗ *j*)
using *Suc*(*2*) *two-powrs-div*[*of l N*] *n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc*(*3*) *llen-def*
by (*metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral*)
ultimately show
map ((!) *numbers*) (*filter odd* [*0*..<*llen*]) ! *j* ∗ *ω* ^ (*n div 2* ^ *l* ∗ *i* ∗ *j* + *n div llen* ∗ *i*)
= *numbers* ! (*2* ∗ *j* + *1*) ∗ *ω* ^ (*n div llen* ∗ *i* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j* + *1*))

```
        by (smt (z3) Groups.mult-ac(2) distrib-left mult.right-neutral mult-2 mult-cancel-left)
      qed
      done
    have 010: (fntt2!i) * (ω^((n div llen) * i)) = (∑j=0..<l2. (numbers ! (2*j+1) * ω^((n div
llen)*i*(2*j+1))))
      using 008 009 by presburger
    have 011: − (fntt2!i) * (ω^((n div llen) * i)) =
          (∑j=0..<l2. − (numbers ! (2*j+1) * ω^((n div llen)*i*(2*j+1))))
      apply(rule neg-cong)
      apply(rule trans[of - fntt2 ! i * ω ^(n div llen * i)])
      subgoal by simp
     apply(rule trans[where s=(∑j=0..<l2. (numbers ! (2*j+1) * ω^((n div llen)*i*(2*j+1))))])
       subgoal using 008 009 by simp
      apply(rule sym)
      using sum-neg-in[of - l2]
      apply simp
      done
    have 012: ... = (∑j=0..<l2. (numbers ! (2*j+1) * ω^((n div llen)*(2^l+i)*(2*j+1))))
      apply(rule sum-rules(2))
      subgoal for j
        using Suc(2) Suc(3) omega-div-exp-min1[of l] llen-def l2-def
       apply (smt (z3) add.commute exp-rule mult.assoc mult-minus1-right plus-1-eq-Suc power-add
power-minus1-odd power-mult)
        done
      done
    have 013:fntt1 ! i = (∑j = 0..<2 ^ l. numbers!(2*j) * ω ^(n div llen * (2^l + i) * (2*j)))
      using 005 006 007 numbers1-even llen-def l1-def by auto
    have 014: (∑j = 0..<2 ^ l. numbers ! (2*j + 1) * ω ^(n div llen* (2^l + i) * (2*j + 1))) =
          − fntt2 ! i * ω ^(n div llen * i)
   using trans[OF l2-def numbers2-even] sym[OF 012] sym[OF 011] by simp
   have ntt-gen numbers llen (2 ^ l + i) = (fntt1!i) − (fntt2!i) * (ω^((n div llen) * i))
     unfolding ntt-gen-def apply(subst Suc(2))
     using sum-splice[of λ d. numbers ! d * ω ^(n div llen * (2^l+i) * d) 2^l] sym[OF 013] 014
Suc(2) by simp
    thus ?thesis using 000 sym[OF 001] 004 sum2-def by simp
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis 00 01 list-eq-iff-nth-eq)
 qed
 obtain x y xs where xyxs: numbers = x#y#xs using Suc(2)
  by (metis FNTT.cases add.left-neutral even-Suc even-add length-Cons list.size(3) mult-2 power-Suc
power-eq-0-iff zero-neq-numeral)
 show ?case
  apply(subst xyxs)
  apply(subst FNTT.simps(3))
  apply(subst xyxs[symmetric])+
   unfolding Let-def
  using map-append[of ntt-gen numbers llen [0..<llen div 2] [llen div 2..<llen]] before-half after-half
```

unfolding *llen-def sum1-def sum2-def fntt1-def fntt2-def NTT-gen-def*
apply (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Suc.prems*(*1*) *numbers1-def length-odd-filter mult-2 numbers2-def numbers2-even power-Suc upt-add-eq-append zero-le-numeral zero-le-power*)
done
qed

Major Correctness Theorem for Butterfly Algorithm.

We have already shown:

- Generalized NTT with degree annotation 2^N equals usual NTT.
- Generalized NTT tracks all levels of recursion in $FNTT$.

Thus, $FNTT$ equals NTT.

theorem *FNTT-correct*:
assumes *length numbers* = *n*
shows *FNTT numbers* = *NTT numbers*
using *FNTT-NTT-gen-eq NTT-gen-NTT-full-length assms n-two-pot* **by** *force*

4.3 Inverse Transform in Butterfly Scheme

We also formalized the inverse transform by using the butterfly scheme. Proofs are obtained by adaption of arguments for $FNTT$.

lemmas [*simp*] = *FNTT-termination-aux*

fun *IFNTT* **where**
IFNTT [] = []|
IFNTT [*a*] = [*a*]|
IFNTT nums = (*let nn* = *length nums*;
nums1 = [*nums*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter even* [*0*..<*nn*])];
nums2 = [*nums*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter odd* [*0*..<*nn*])];
ifntt1 = *IFNTT nums1*;
ifntt2 = *IFNTT nums2*;
sum1 = *map2* (+) *ifntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(μ^((*n div nn*) ∗ *k*))) *ifntt2* [*0*..<(*nn div 2*)]);
sum2 = *map2* (−) *ifntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(μ^((*n div nn*) ∗ *k*))) *ifntt2* [*0*..<(*nn div 2*)])
in sum1@*sum2*)

lemmas [*simp del*] = *FNTT-termination-aux*

definition *intt-gen numbers degr i* = (∑ *j*=*0*..<(*length numbers*). (*numbers* ! *j*) ∗ μ ^((*n div degr*)∗*i*∗*j*))

definition *INTT-gen degr numbers* = *map* (*intt-gen numbers* (*degr*)) [*0*..< *length numbers*]

lemma *INTT-gen-INTT-full-length*:

assumes *length numbers =n*
shows *INTT-gen n numbers = INTT numbers*
unfolding *INTT-gen-def intt-gen-def INTT-def intt-def*
using *assms* **by** *simp*

lemma *my-div-exp-min1*:
assumes $2\hat{}(Suc\ l) \leq n$
shows $(\mu\ \hat{}(n\ div\ 2\hat{}(Suc\ l)))\hat{}(2\hat{}l) = -1$
by (*metis assms divide-minus1 mult-zero-right mu-properties*(*1*) *nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right omega-div-exp-min1 power-one-over zero-neq-one*)

lemma *my-n-2-min1*: $\mu\hat{}(n\ div\ 2) = -1$
by (*metis divide-minus1 mult-zero-right mu-properties*(*1*) *nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right omg-n-2-min1 power-one-over zero-neq-one*)

Correctness proof by common induction technique. Same strategies as for $FNTT$.

theorem *IFNTT-INTT-gen-eq*:
$length\ numbers = 2\hat{}l \Longrightarrow 2\hat{}l \leq n \Longrightarrow IFNTT\ numbers = INTT\text{-}gen\ (length\ numbers)\ numbers$
proof(*induction l arbitrary*: *numbers*)
case *0*
hence *local.IFNTT numbers* = [*numbers* ! *0*]
by (*metis IFNTT.simps*(*2*) *One-nat-def Suc-length-conv length-0-conv nth-Cons-0 power-0*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *INTT-gen-def intt-gen-def*
using *0* **by** *simp*
next
case (*Suc l*)

We define some lists that are used during the recursive call.

define *numbers1* **where** *numbers1* = [*numbers*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter even* [*0*..<*length numbers*])]
define *numbers2* **where** *numbers2* = [*numbers*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter odd* [*0*..<*length numbers*])]
define *ifntt1* **where** *ifntt1* = *IFNTT numbers1*
define *ifntt2* **where** *ifntt2* = *IFNTT numbers2*
define *sum1* **where**
sum1 = *map2* (+) *ifntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(μ^((*n div* (*length numbers*)) ∗ *k*)))
ifntt2 [*0*..<((*length numbers*) *div 2*)])
define *sum2* **where**
sum2 = *map2* (−) *ifntt1* (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(μ^((*n div* (*length numbers*)) ∗ *k*)))
ifntt2 [*0*..<((*length numbers*) *div 2*)])
define *l1* **where** *l1* = *length numbers1*
define *l2* **where** *l2* = *length numbers2*
define *llen* **where** *llen* = *length numbers*

Properties of those lists

have *numbers1-even*: *length numbers1* = *2*^*l*
using *numbers1-def length-even-filter Suc* **by** *simp*
have *numbers2-even*: *length numbers2* = *2*^*l*
using *numbers2-def length-odd-filter Suc* **by** *simp*
have *numbers1-ifntt*: *ifntt1* = *INTT-gen* (*2*^*l*) *numbers1*
using *ifntt1-def Suc.IH*[*of numbers1*] *numbers1-even Suc*(*3*) **by** *simp*

hence *ifntt1-by-index*: *ifntt1* ! *i* = *intt-gen numbers1* (*2^l*) *i* **if** *i* < *2^l* **for** *i*
unfolding *INTT-gen-def* **by** (*simp add*: *numbers1-even that*)
have *numbers2-ifntt*: *ifntt2* = *INTT-gen* (*2^l*) *numbers2*
using *ifntt2-def Suc.IH*[*of numbers2*] *numbers2-even Suc*(*3*) **by** *simp*
hence *ifntt2-by-index*: *ifntt2* ! *i* = *intt-gen numbers2* (*2^l*) *i* **if** *i* < *2^l* **for** *i*
unfolding *INTT-gen-def* **by** (*simp add*: *numbers2-even that*)
have *ifntt1-length*: *length ifntt1* = *2^l* **unfolding** *numbers1-ifntt INTT-gen-def numbers1-def*
using *numbers1-def numbers1-even* **by** *force*
have *ifntt2-length*: *length ifntt2* = *2^l* **unfolding** *numbers2-ifntt INTT-gen-def numbers2-def*
using *numbers2-def numbers2-even* **by** *force*

Same proof structure as for the *FNTT* proof. ωs are just replaced by μs.

have *before-half*: *map* (*intt-gen numbers llen*) [*0..<*(*llen div 2*)] = *sum1*
proof−

Length is important, since we want to use list lemmas later on.

have *00*:*length* (*map* (*intt-gen numbers llen*) [*0..<*(*llen div 2*)]) = *length sum1*
unfolding *sum1-def llen-def*
using *Suc*(*2*) *map2-length*[*of - ifntt2* [*0..<length numbers div 2*]]
map2-length[*of* (+) *ifntt1* (*map2* (λ*x y*. *x* ∗ *μ* ^ (*n div length numbers* ∗ *y*)) *ifntt2* [*0..<length numbers div 2*])]
ifntt1-length ifntt2-length **by** (*simp add*: *mult-2*)
have *01*:*length sum1* = *2^l* **unfolding** *sum1-def*
using *00 Suc.prems*(*1*) *sum1-def* **unfolding** *llen-def* **by** *auto*

We show equality by extensionality on indices.

have *02*:(*map* (*intt-gen numbers llen*) [*0..<*(*llen div 2*)]) ! *i* = *sum1* ! *i*
if *i* < *2^l* **for** *i*
proof−

First simplify this term.

have *000*:(*map* (*intt-gen numbers llen*) [*0..<*(*llen div 2*)]) ! *i* = *intt-gen numbers llen i*
using *00 01 that* **by** *auto*

Expand the definition of *sum*1 and massage the result.

moreover have *001*:*sum1* ! *i* = (*ifntt1*!*i*) + (*ifntt2*!*i*) ∗ (*μ*^((*n div llen*) ∗ *i*))
unfolding *sum1-def* **using** *map2-index*
00 01 INTT-gen-def add.left-neutral diff-zero ifntt1-length length-map length-upt map2-map-map map-nth nth-upt numbers2-even numbers2-ifntt that llen-def **by** *force*
moreover have *002*:(*ifntt1*!*i*) = (∑*j*=*0..<l1*. (*numbers1* ! *j*) ∗ *μ*^((*n div* (*2^l*))∗*i*∗*j*))
unfolding *l1-def*
using *ifntt1-by-index*[*of i*] *that* **unfolding** *intt-gen-def* **by** *simp*
have *003*:... = (∑*j*=*0..<l1*. (*numbers* ! (*2*∗*j*)) ∗ *μ*^((*n div llen*)∗*i*∗(*2*∗*j*)))
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j* **unfolding** *numbers1-def*
apply(*subst llen-def*[*symmetric*])
proof−
assume *ass*: *j* < *l1*
hence *map* ((!) *numbers*) (*filter even* [*0..<length numbers*]) ! *j* = *numbers* ! (*filter even* [*0..<length numbers*] ! *j*)

```
          using  nth-map[of j filter even [0..<length numbers] (!) numbers ]
          unfolding l1-def numbers1-def
          by (metis length-map)
        moreover have filter even [0..<llen] ! j = 2 * j using
         filter-even-nth[of j llen 2^l] Suc(2)  ass numbers1-def numbers1-even
          unfolding llen-def l1-def by fastforce
        moreover have n div llen * (2 * j) = ((n div (2 ^ l))  * j)
          using Suc(2) two-powrs-div[of l N] n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc(3) llen-def
          by (metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff
power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral)
        ultimately show map ((!) numbers) (filter even [0..<llen]) ! j * μ ^(n div 2 ^ l * i * j) =
              numbers ! (2 * j) * μ ^(n div llen * i * (2 * j))
        unfolding llen-def l1-def l2-def by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) mult.assoc mult.left-commute)
      qed
      done
    moreover have 004:
      (ifntt2!i) * (μ^((n div llen) * i)) =
          (∑j=0..<l2.(numbers2 ! j) * μ^((n div (2^l))*i*j+ (n div llen) * i))
      apply(rule trans[where s = (∑j = 0..<l2. numbers2 ! j * μ ^(n div 2 ^ l * i * j) * μ ^(n
div llen * i))])
      subgoal
        unfolding l2-def llen-def
      using ifntt2-by-index[of i] that sum-in[of - (μ^((n div llen) * i)) l2] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalizatio
μ]
        unfolding intt-gen-def
        using sum-rules apply presburger
        done
       apply (rule sum-rules(2))
       subgoal for j
       using ifntt2-by-index[of i] that sum-in[of - (μ^((n div llen) * i)) l2] comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalizatio
μ]
        unfolding intt-gen-def
        apply auto
        done
      done
  have 005: ... = (∑j=0..<l2. (numbers ! (2*j+1) * μ^((n div llen)*i*(2*j+1))))
   apply (rule sum-rules(2))
    subgoal for j unfolding numbers2-def
     apply(subst llen-def[symmetric])
      proof−
      assume ass: j < l2
      hence map ((!) numbers) (filter odd [0..<llen]) ! j = numbers ! (filter odd [0..<llen] ! j)
        using  nth-map unfolding l2-def numbers2-def llen-def by (metis length-map)
      moreover have filter odd [0..<llen] ! j = 2 * j +1 using
       filter-odd-nth[of j length numbers 2^l] Suc(2)  ass numbers2-def numbers2-even
        unfolding l2-def numbers2-def llen-def by fastforce
      moreover have n div llen * (2 * j) = ((n div (2 ^ l))  * j)
        using Suc(2) two-powrs-div[of l N] n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc(3) llen-def
        by (metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff
```

```
power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral)
        ultimately show
          map ((!) numbers) (filter odd [0..<llen]) ! j * μ ^(n div 2 ^l * i * j + n div llen * i)
            = numbers ! (2 * j + 1) * μ ^(n div llen * i * (2 * j + 1)) unfolding llen-def
          by (smt (z3) Groups.mult-ac(2) distrib-left mult.right-neutral mult-2 mult-cancel-left)
      qed
      done
    then show ?thesis
      using 000 001 002 003 004 005
      unfolding sum1-def llen-def l1-def l2-def
      using sum-splice-other-way-round[of λ d.  numbers ! d  * μ ^(n div length numbers * i * d)
2^l] Suc(2)
      unfolding intt-gen-def
      by (smt (z3) Groups.mult-ac(2) numbers1-even numbers2-even power-Suc2)
  qed
  then show ?thesis
    by  (metis 00 01 nth-equalityI)
qed
```

We show index-wise equality for the second halves

```
have after-half: map (intt-gen numbers llen) [(llen div 2)..<llen] = sum2
proof−
  have 00:length (map (intt-gen numbers llen) [(llen div 2)..<llen]) =  length sum2
    unfolding sum2-def llen-def
    using Suc(2) map2-length map2-length ifntt1-length ifntt2-length by (simp add: mult-2)
  have 01:length sum2 = 2^l unfolding sum1-def
    using 00 Suc.prems(1) sum1-def llen-def  by auto
```

Equality for every index

```
  have 02:(map (intt-gen numbers llen)  [(llen div 2)..<llen]) ! i = sum2 ! i
    if i < 2^l for i
  proof−
   have 000:(map (intt-gen numbers llen)  [(llen div 2)..<llen]) ! i =  intt-gen numbers llen (2^l+i)
     unfolding llen-def by (simp add: Suc.prems(1) that)
    have 001: (map2 (λx y. x * μ ^(n div llen * y)) ifntt2 [0..<llen div 2]) ! i =
            ifntt2 ! i * μ ^(n div llen * i)
     using  Suc(2) that by (simp add:  ifntt2-length  llen-def)
    have 003: − ifntt2 ! i * μ ^(n div llen * i) = ifntt2 ! i * μ ^(n div llen * (i+ llen div 2))
     using Suc(2) my-div-exp-min1[of l] unfolding llen-def
       by (smt (z3) Suc.prems(2) mult.commute mult.left-commute mult-1s-ring-1(2) neq0-conv
nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left numeral-One pos2 power-Suc power-add power-mult)
    hence 004:sum2 ! i = (ifntt1!i) − (ifntt2!i) * (μ^((n div llen) * i))
     unfolding sum2-def llen-def
     by (simp add: Suc.prems(1) ifntt1-length ifntt2-length that)
    have 005:(ifntt1!i) =
              (∑j=0..<l1. (numbers1 ! j) * μ^((n div (2^l))*i*j))
   using ifntt1-by-index that unfolding intt-gen-def l1-def by simp
  have 006:... =(∑j=0..<l1. (numbers ! (2*j)) * μ^((n div llen)*i*(2*j)))
    apply (rule sum-rules(2))
```

subgoal for *j* **unfolding** *numbers1-def*
apply(*subst llen-def*[*symmetric*])
proof−
assume *ass*: *j* < *l1*
hence *map* ((!) *numbers*) (*filter even* [*0*..<*llen*]) ! *j* = *numbers* ! (*filter even* [*0*..<*llen*] ! *j*)
using *nth-map* **unfolding** *llen-def l1-def numbers1-def* **by** (*metis length-map*)
moreover have *filter even* [*0*..<*llen*] ! *j* = *2* ∗ *j* **using**
filter-even-nth Suc(*2*) *ass numbers1-def numbers1-even llen-def l1-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover have *n div llen* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j*) = ((*n div* (*2* ^ *l*)) ∗ *j*)
using *Suc*(*2*) *two-powrs-div*[*of l N*] *n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc*(*3*) *llen-def*
by (*metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral*)
ultimately show
map ((!) *numbers*) (*filter even* [*0*..<*llen*]) ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*n div 2* ^ *l* ∗ *i* ∗ *j*) =
numbers ! (*2* ∗ *j*) ∗ *μ* ^ (*n div llen* ∗ *i* ∗ (*2* ∗ *j*))
by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *mult.assoc mult.left-commute*)
qed
done
have *007*:. . . = (∑*j*=*0*..<*l1*. (*numbers* ! (*2*∗*j*)) ∗ *μ* ^((*n div llen*)∗(*2*^*l* + *i*)∗(*2*∗*j*)))
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j*
using *Suc*(*2*) *Suc*(*3*) *my-div-exp-min1*[*of l*] *llen-def l1-def numbers1-def*
apply(*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *add.commute minus-power-mult-self mult-2 mult-minus1-right power-add power-mult*)
done
done
moreover have *008*: (*ifntt2*!*i*) ∗ (*μ*^((*n div llen*) ∗ *i*)) =
(∑*j*=*0*..<*l2*. (*numbers2* ! *j*) ∗ *μ*^((*n div* (*2*^*l*))∗*i*∗*j*+ (*n div llen*) ∗ *i*))
apply(*rule trans*[**where** *s* = (∑*j* = *0*..<*l2*. *numbers2* ! *j* ∗ *μ* ^ (*n div 2* ^ *l* ∗ *i* ∗ *j*) ∗ *μ* ^ (*n div llen* ∗ *i*))])
subgoal
using *ifntt2-by-index*[*of i*] *that sum-in comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules*(*26*)[*of μ*]
unfolding *intt-gen-def*
using *sum-rules l2-def* **apply** *presburger*
done
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j*
using *ifntt2-by-index*[*of i*] *that sum-in comm-semiring-1-class.semiring-normalization-rules*(*26*)[*of μ*]
unfolding *intt-gen-def*
apply *auto*
done
done
have *009*: . . . = (∑*j*=*0*..<*l2*. (*numbers* ! (*2*∗*j*+*1*) ∗ *μ*^((*n div llen*)∗*i*∗(*2*∗*j*+*1*))))
apply (*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for *j* **unfolding** *numbers2-def*
apply(*subst llen-def*[*symmetric*])
proof−

assume *ass*: $j < l2$
hence *map* ((!) *numbers*) (*filter odd* [*0..<llen*]) ! j = *numbers* ! (*filter odd* [*0..<llen*] ! j)
using *nth-map llen-def l2-def numbers2-def* **by** (*metis length-map*)
moreover have *filter odd* [*0..<llen*] ! $j = 2 * j + 1$ **using**
filter-odd-nth Suc(*2*) *ass numbers2-def numbers2-even llen-def l2-def* **by** *fastforce*
moreover have *n div llen* $*$ ($2 * j$) = ((*n div* ($2 \hat{} l$)) $* j$)
using *Suc*(*2*) *two-powrs-div*[*of l N*] *n-two-pot two-powr-div Suc*(*3*) *llen-def*
by (*metis One-nat-def div-if mult.assoc nat-less-le not-less-eq numeral-2-eq-2 power-eq-0-iff power-inject-exp zero-neq-numeral*)
ultimately show
map ((!) *numbers*) (*filter odd* [*0..<llen*]) ! $j * \mu \hat{} (n$ *div* $2 \hat{} l * i * j + n$ *div llen* $* i)$
= *numbers* ! ($2 * j + 1$) $* \mu \hat{} (n$ *div llen* $* i * (2 * j + 1))$
by (*smt* (*z3*) *Groups.mult-ac*(*2*) *distrib-left mult.right-neutral mult-2 mult-cancel-left*)
qed
done
have *010*: (*ifntt2*!i) $* (\mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*) $* i)) = (\sum j{=}0..{<}l2.$ (*numbers* ! ($2{*}j{+}1$) $* \mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*)$*i*(2*j+1))))$
using *008 009* **by** *presburger*
have *011*: $-$ (*ifntt2*!i) $* (\mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*) $* i)) =$
$(\sum j{=}0..{<}l2. -$ (*numbers* ! ($2{*}j{+}1$) $* \mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*)$*i*(2*j+1))))$
apply(*rule neg-cong*)
apply(*rule trans*[**where** $s{=}(\sum j{=}0..{<}l2.$ (*numbers* ! ($2{*}j{+}1$) $* \mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*)$*i*(2*j+1)))))$])
subgoal using *008 009* **by** *simp*
apply(*rule sym*)
using *sum-neg-in*[*of - l2*]
apply *simp*
done
have *012*: ... = $(\sum j{=}0..{<}l2.$ (*numbers* ! ($2{*}j{+}1$) $* \mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*)$*(2\hat{}l+i)*(2*j+1))))$
apply(*rule sum-rules*(*2*))
subgoal for j
using *Suc*(*2*) *Suc*(*3*) *my-div-exp-min1*[*of l*] *llen-def l2-def*
apply (*smt* (*z3*) *add.commute exp-rule mult.assoc mult-minus1-right plus-1-eq-Suc power-add power-minus1-odd power-mult*)
done
done
have *013*:*ifntt1* ! $i = (\sum j = 0..{<}2 \hat{} l.$ *numbers*!($2{*}j$) $* \mu \hat{} (n$ *div llen* $* (2\hat{}l + i) * (2{*}j)))$
using *005 006 007 numbers1-even llen-def l1-def* **by** *auto*
have *014*: $(\sum j = 0..{<}2 \hat{} l.$ *numbers* ! ($2{*}j + 1$) $* \mu \hat{} (n$ *div llen*$* (2\hat{}l + i) * (2{*}j + 1))) =$
$-$ *ifntt2* ! $i * \mu \hat{} (n$ *div llen* $* i)$
using *trans*[*OF l2-def numbers2-even*] *sym*[*OF 012*] *sym*[*OF 011*] **by** *simp*
have *intt-gen numbers llen* ($2 \hat{} l + i$) = (*ifntt1*!i) $-$ (*ifntt2*!i) $* (\mu \hat{} ((n$ *div llen*) $* i))$
unfolding *intt-gen-def*
apply(*subst Suc*(*2*))
using *sum-splice*[*of* λ *d. numbers* ! $d * \mu \hat{} (n$ *div llen* $* (2\hat{}l{+}i) * d)$ $2\hat{}l$] *sym*[*OF 013*] *014 Suc*(*2*) **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis* **using** *000 sym*[*OF 001*] *004 sum2-def* **by** *simp*
qed
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis 00 01 list-eq-iff-nth-eq*)

qed
obtain *x y xs* **where** *xyxs*: *numbers* = *x*#*y*#*xs* **using** *Suc*(*2*)
by (*metis FNTT.cases add.left-neutral even-Suc even-add length-Cons list.size*(*3*) *mult-2 power-Suc power-eq-0-iff zero-neq-numeral*)
show *?case*
apply(*subst xyxs*)
apply(*subst IFNTT.simps*(*3*))
apply(*subst xyxs*[*symmetric*])+
unfolding *Let-def*
using *map-append*[*of intt-gen numbers llen* [*0..<llen div 2*] [*llen div 2..<llen*]] *before-half after-half*

unfolding *llen-def sum1-def sum2-def ifntt1-def ifntt2-def INTT-gen-def*
apply (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Suc.prems*(*1*) *numbers1-def length-odd-filter mult-2 numbers2-def numbers2-even power-Suc upt-add-eq-append zero-le-numeral zero-le-power*)
done
qed

Correctness of the butterfly scheme for the inverse *INTT*.

theorem *IFNTT-correct*:
assumes *length numbers* = *n*
shows *IFNTT numbers* = *INTT numbers*
using *IFNTT-INTT-gen-eq INTT-gen-INTT-full-length assms n-two-pot* **by** *force*

Also $FNTT$ and $IFNTT$ are mutually inverse

theorem *IFNTT-inv-FNTT*:
assumes *length numbers* = *n*
shows *IFNTT* (*FNTT numbers*) = *map* ((∗) (*of-int-mod-ring* (*int n*))) *numbers*
by (*simp add*: *FNTT-correct IFNTT-correct assms length-NTT ntt-correct*)

The other way round:

theorem *FNTT-inv-IFNTT*:
assumes *length numbers* = *n*
shows *FNTT* (*IFNTT numbers*) = *map* ((∗) (*of-int-mod-ring* (*int n*))) *numbers*
by (*simp add*: *FNTT-correct IFNTT-correct assms inv-ntt-correct length-INTT*)

4.4 An Optimization

Currently, we extract elements on even and odd positions respectively by a list comprehension over even and odd indices. Due to the definition in Isabelle, an index access has linear time complexity. This results in quadratic running time complexity for every level in the recursion tree of the *FNTT*. In order to reach the $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time bound, we have find a better way of splitting the elements at even or odd indices respectively.

A core of this optimization is the *evens-odds* function, which splits the vectors in linear time.

fun *evens-odds*::*bool* ⇒*'b list* ⇒ *'b list* **where**
evens-odds - [] = []|
evens-odds True (*x*#*xs*)= (*x*# *evens-odds False xs*)|

```
evens-odds False (x#xs) = evens-odds True xs

lemma map-filter-shift: map f (filter even [0..<Suc g]) =
      f 0 #  map (λ x. f (x+1)) (filter odd [0..<g])
 by (induction g) auto

lemma map-filter-shift': map f (filter odd [0..<Suc g]) =
        map (λ x. f (x+1)) (filter even [0..<g])
 by (induction g) auto
```

A splitting by the *evens-odds* function is equivalent to the more textbook-like list comprehension.

```
lemma filter-compehension-evens-odds:
     [xs ! i. i <− filter even [0..<length xs]] = evens-odds True xs ∧
      [xs ! i. i <− filter odd [0..<length xs]] = evens-odds False xs
 apply(induction xs)
  apply simp
 subgoal for x xs
   apply rule
   subgoal
     apply(subst evens-odds.simps)
     apply(rule trans[of - map ((!) (x # xs)) (filter even [0..<Suc (length xs)])])
     subgoal by simp
     apply(rule trans[OF  map-filter-shift[of (!) (x # xs) length xs]])
     apply simp
     done

     apply(subst evens-odds.simps)
     apply(rule trans[of - map ((!) (x # xs)) (filter odd [0..<Suc (length xs)])])
     subgoal by simp
     apply(rule trans[OF  map-filter-shift'[of (!) (x # xs) length xs]])
     apply simp
   done
 done
```

For automated termination proof.

```
lemma [simp]: length (evens-odds True vc) < Suc (length vc)
          length (evens-odds False vc) < Suc (length vc)
 by (metis filter-compehension-evens-odds le-imp-less-Suc length-filter-le length-map map-nth)+
```

The $FNTT$ definition from above was suitable for matters of proof conduction. However, the naive decomposition into elements at odd and even indices induces a complexity of n^2 in every recursive step. As mentioned, the *evens-odds* function filters for elements on even or odd positions respectively. The list has to be traversed only once which gives *linear* complexity for every recursive step.

```
fun FNTT' where
FNTT' [] = []|
FNTT' [a] = [a]|
FNTT' nums = (let nn = length nums;
```

```
  nums1 = evens-odds  True nums;
  nums2 = evens-odds False nums;
  fntt1 = FNTT' nums1;
  fntt2 = FNTT' nums2;
  fntt2-omg =  (map2 ( λ x k.  x*(ω^( (n div nn) * k))) fntt2 [0..<(nn div 2)]);
  sum1 = map2 (+) fntt1 fntt2-omg;
  sum2 = map2 (−) fntt1 fntt2-omg
   in sum1@sum2)
```

The optimized $FNTT$ is equivalent to the naive NTT.

```
lemma FNTT'-FNTT: FNTT' xs = FNTT xs
  apply(induction xs rule: FNTT'.induct)
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal by simp
  apply(subst FNTT'.simps(3))
  apply(subst FNTT.simps(3))
  subgoal for a b xs
    unfolding Let-def
    apply (metis filter-compehension-evens-odds)
    done
  done
```

It is quite surprising that some inaccuracies in the interpretation of informal textbook definitions - even when just considering such a simple algorithm - can indeed affect time complexity.

4.5 Arguments on Running Time

FFT is especially known for its $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ running time. Unfortunately, Isabelle does not provide a built-in time formalization. Nonetheless we can reason about running time after defining some "reasonable" consumption functions by hand. Our approach loosely follows a general pattern by Nipkow et al. [5]. First, we give running times and lemmas for the auxiliary functions used during FNTT.
General ideas behind the $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ are:

- By recursively halving the problem size, we obtain a tree of depth $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$.
- For every level of that tree, we have to process all elements which gives $\mathcal{O}(n)$ time.

Time for splitting the list according to even and odd indices.

```
fun T-eo::bool ⇒ 'c list ⇒ nat where
 T-eo - [] = 1|
 T-eo True (x#xs)= (1+  T-eo False xs)|
 T-eo  False (x#xs) = (1+  T-eo True xs)

lemma T-eo-linear:  T-eo b xs = length xs + 1
  by (induction b xs rule: T-eo.induct) auto
```

Time for length.

fun T_{length} **where**
T_{length} [] = *1* |
T_{length} (*x*#*xs*) = *1*+ T_{length} *xs*

lemma *T-length-linear*: T_{length} *xs* = *length xs* +*1*
by (*induction xs*) *auto*

Time for index access.

fun T_{nth} **where**
T_{nth} [] *i* = *1* |
T_{nth} (*x*#*xs*) *0* = *1*|
T_{nth} (*x*#*xs*) (*Suc i*) = *1* + T_{nth} *xs i*

lemma *T-nth-linear*: T_{nth} *xs i* ≤ *length xs* +*1*
by (*induction xs i rule*: T_{nth}.*induct*) *auto*

Time for mapping two lists into one result.

fun T_{map2} **where**
T_{map2} *t* [] - = *1*|
T_{map2} *t* - [] = *1*|
T_{map2} *t* (*x*#*xs*) (*y*#*ys*) = (*t x y* + *1* + T_{map2} *t xs ys*)

lemma *T-map-2-linear*:
c > *0* ⟹
(⋀ *x y*. *t x y* ≤ *c*) ⟹ T_{map2} *t xs ys* ≤ *min* (*length xs*) (*length ys*) ∗ (*c*+*1*) + *1*
apply(*induction t xs ys rule*: T_{map2}.*induct*)
subgoal by *simp*
subgoal by *simp*
subgoal for *t x xs y ys*
apply(*subst* T_{map2}.*simps*, *subst length-Cons*, *subst length-Cons*)
using *min-add-distrib-right*[*of 1*]
by (*smt* (*z3*) *Suc-eq-plus1 add.assoc add.commute add-le-mono le-numeral-extra*(*4*) *min-def mult.commute mult-Suc-right*)
done

lemma *T-map-2-linear′*:
c > *0* ⟹
(⋀ *x y*. *t x y* = *c*) ⟹ T_{map2} *t xs ys* = *min* (*length xs*) (*length ys*) ∗ (*c*+*1*) + *1*
by(*induction t xs ys rule*: T_{map2}.*induct*) *simp*+

Time for append.

fun T_{app} **where**
T_{app} [] - = *1*|
T_{app} (*x*#*xs*) *ys* = *1* + T_{app} *xs ys*

lemma *T-app-linear*: T_{app} *xs ys* = *length xs* +*1*
by(*induction xs*) *auto*

Running Time of (optimized) $FNTT$.

fun T_{FNTT}::(′*a mod-ring*) *list* ⇒ *nat* **where**

```
T_FNTT [] = 1|
T_FNTT [a] = 1|
T_FNTT nums = (1 +T_length nums+ 3+

              (let nn = length nums;
               nums1 = evens-odds True nums;
               nums2 = evens-odds False nums
               in
               T-_eo True nums + T-_eo False nums + 2 +
              (let
               fntt1 = FNTT nums1;
               fntt2 = FNTT nums2
               in
               (T_FNTT nums1) + (T_FNTT nums2) +
              (let
               sum1 = map2 (+) fntt1 (map2 ( λ x k.  x*(ω^( (n div nn) * k))) fntt2 [0..<(nn div
2)]);
               sum2 = map2 (−) fntt1 (map2 ( λ x k.  x*(ω^( (n div nn) * k))) fntt2 [0..<(nn div
2)])
               in
                2* T_map2 (λ x y. 1) fntt2 [0..<(nn div 2)] +
                  2* T_map2 (λ x y. 1) fntt1 (map2 ( λ x k.  x*(ω^( (n div nn) * k))) fntt2 [0..<(nn
div 2)]) +
                T_app sum1 sum2))))
```

lemma *mono*: $((f\ x)::nat) \leq f\ y \Longrightarrow f\ y \leq fz \Longrightarrow f\ x \leq fz$ **by** *simp*

lemma *evens-odds-length*:
$length\ (evens\text{-}odds\ True\ xs) = (length\ xs+1)\ div\ 2 \wedge$
$length\ (evens\text{-}odds\ False\ xs) = (length\ xs)\ div\ 2$
by(*induction xs*) *simp*+

Length preservation during $FNTT$.

lemma *FNTT-length*: $length\ numbers = 2\hat{}l \Longrightarrow length\ (FNTT\ numbers) = length\ numbers$
proof(*induction l arbitrary*: *numbers*)
case (*Suc l*)
define *numbers1* **where** *numbers1* = [*numbers*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter even* [*0*..<*length numbers*])]
define *numbers2* **where** *numbers2* = [*numbers*!*i* . *i* <− (*filter odd* [*0*..<*length numbers*])]
define *fntt1* **where** *fntt1* = *FNTT numbers1*
define *fntt2* **where** *fntt2* = *FNTT numbers2*
define *presum* **where**
presum = (*map2* (λ *x k*. *x*∗(ω^((*n div* (*length numbers*)) ∗ *k*)))
fntt2 [*0*..<((*length numbers*) *div 2*)])
define *sum1* **where**
sum1 = *map2* (+) *fntt1 presum*
define *sum2* **where**
sum2 = *map2* (−) *fntt1 presum*
have *length numbers1* = *2*^*l*
by (*metis Suc.prems numbers1-def diff-add-inverse2 length-even-filter mult-2 nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left*

power-Suc zero-neq-numeral)
hence *length fntt1 = 2^l*
by (*simp add*: *Suc.IH fntt1-def*)
hence *length presum = 2^l* **unfolding** *presum-def*
using *map2-length Suc.IH Suc.prems fntt2-def length-odd-filter numbers2-def* **by** *force*
hence *length sum1 = 2^l*
by (*simp add*: ‹*length fntt1 = 2 ^ l*› *sum1-def*)
have *length numbers2 = 2^l*
by (*metis Suc.prems numbers2-def length-odd-filter nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left power-Suc zero-neq-numeral*)
hence *length fntt2 = 2^l*
by (*simp add*: *Suc.IH fntt2-def*)
hence *length sum2 = 2^l* **unfolding** *sum2-def*
using ‹*length sum1 = 2 ^ l*› *sum1-def* **by** *force*
hence *final*:*length* (*sum1*@*sum2*) = *2^*(*Suc l*)
by (*simp add*: ‹*length sum1 = 2 ^ l*›)
obtain *x y xs* **where** *xyxs-Def*: *numbers = x#y#xs*
by (*metis* ‹*length numbers2 = 2 ^ l*› *evens-odds.elims filter-compehension-evens-odds length-0-conv neq-Nil-conv numbers2-def power-eq-0-iff zero-neq-numeral*)
show *?case*
apply(*subst xyxs-Def*, *subst FNTT.simps*(*3*), *subst xyxs-Def*[*symmetric*])
unfolding *Let-def*
using *final*
unfolding *sum1-def sum2-def presum-def fntt1-def fntt2-def numbers1-def numbers2-def*
using *Suc* **by** (*metis xyxs-Def*)
qed (*metis FNTT.simps*(*2*) *Suc-length-conv length-0-conv nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff*)

lemma *add-cong*: (*a1*::*nat*) + *a2*+*a3* +*a4*= *b* ⟹ *a1* +*a2*+ *c* + *a3*+*a4*= *c* +*b*
by *simp*

lemma *add-mono*:*a* ≤ (*b*::*nat*) ⟹ *c* ≤ *d* ⟹ *a* + *c* ≤ *b* +*d* **by** *simp*

lemma *xyz*: *Suc* (*Suc* (*length xs*)) = *2 ^ l* ⟹ *length* (*x # evens-odds True xs*) = *2 ^* (*l − 1*)
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *Nat.add-0-right Suc-eq-plus1 div2-Suc-Suc div-mult-self2 evens-odds-length length-Cons nat.distinct*(*1*) *numeral-2-eq-2 one-div-two-eq-zero plus-1-eq-Suc power-eq-if*)

lemma *zyx*: *Suc* (*Suc* (*length xs*)) = *2 ^ l* ⟹ *length* (*y # evens-odds False xs*) = *2 ^* (*l − 1*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *One-nat-def Suc-pred diff-Suc-1 div2-Suc-Suc evens-odds-length le-numeral-extra*(*4*) *length-Cons nat-less-le neq0-conv power-0 power-diff power-one-right zero-less-diff zero-neq-numeral*)

When $length\ xs = 2^l$, then $length\ (evens\text{-}odds\ xs) = 2^{l-1}$.

lemma *evens-odds-power-2*:
fixes *x*::*'b* **and** *y*::*'b*
assumes *Suc* (*Suc* (*length* (*xs*::*'b list*))) = *2 ^ l*
shows *Suc*(*length* (*evens-odds b xs*)) = *2 ^* (*l−1*)
proof−
have *Suc*(*length* (*evens-odds b xs*)) = *length* (*evens-odds b* (*x*#*y*#*xs*))
by (*metis* (*full-types*) *evens-odds.simps*(*2*) *evens-odds.simps*(*3*) *length-Cons*)
have *length* (*x*#*y*#*xs*) = *2^l* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
have *length* (*evens-odds b* (*x*#*y*#*xs*)) = *2^*(*l−1*)

apply (*cases b*)
apply (*smt* (*z3*) *Suc-eq-plus1 Suc-pred* ‹*length* (*x # y # xs*) = *2 ^ l*› *add.commute add-diff-cancel-left' assms filter-compehension-evens-odds gr0I le-add1 le-imp-less-Suc length-even-filter mult-2 nat-less-le power-diff power-eq-if power-one-right zero-neq-numeral*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *One-nat-def Suc-inject* ‹*length* (*x # y # xs*) = *2 ^ l*› *assms evens-odds-length le-zero-eq nat.distinct*(*1*) *neq0-conv not-less-eq-eq pos2 power-Suc0-right power-diff-power-eq power-eq-if*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*metis* ‹*Suc* (*length* (*evens-odds b xs*)) = *length* (*evens-odds b* (*x # y # xs*))›)
qed

Major Lemma: We rewrite the Running time of $FNTT$ in this proof and collect constraints for the time bound. Using this, bounds are chosen in a way such that the induction goes through properly.

We define:

$$T(2^0) = 1$$

$$T(2^l) = (2^l - 1) \cdot 14apply + 15 \cdot l \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2^l$$

We want to show:

$$T_{FNTT}(2^l) = T(2^l)$$

(Note that by abuse of types, the 2^l denotes a list of length 2^l.)

First, let's informally check that T is indeed an accurate description of the running time:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{FNTT}(2^l) &= 14 + 15 \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2 \cdot T_{FNTT}(2^{l-1}) && \text{by analyzing the running time function} \\
&\overset{I.H.}{=} 14 + 15 \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2 \cdot ((2^{l-1} - 1) \cdot 14 + (l-1) \cdot 15 \cdot 2^{l-2} + 2^{l-1}) \\
&= 14 \cdot 2^l - 14 + 15 \cdot 2^{l-1} + 15 \cdot l \cdot 2^{l-1} - 15 \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2^l \\
&= (2^l - 1) \cdot 14 + 15 \cdot l \cdot 2^{l-1} + 2^l \\
&\overset{def.}{=} T(2^l)
\end{aligned}$$

The base case is trivially true.

theorem *tight-bound*:
assumes *T-def*: $\bigwedge$ *numbers l. length numbers = 2^l* $\Longrightarrow$ *l > 0* $\Longrightarrow$
*T numbers = (2^l − 1) * 14 + l *15*2^(l−1) + 2^l*
$\bigwedge$ *numbers l. l =0* $\Longrightarrow$ *length numbers = 2^l* $\Longrightarrow$ *T numbers = 1*
shows *length numbers = 2^l* $\Longrightarrow$ T_{FNTT} *numbers = T numbers*
proof(*induction numbers arbitrary*: *l rule*: T_{FNTT}.*induct*)
case (*3 x y numbers*)

Some definitions for making term rewriting simpler.

define *nn* **where** *nn = length (x # y # numbers)*
define *nums1* **where** *nums1 = evens-odds True (x # y # numbers)*
define *nums2* **where** *nums2 = evens-odds False (x # y # numbers)*
define *fntt1* **where** *fntt1 = local.FNTT nums1*
define *fntt2* **where** *fntt2 = local.FNTT nums2*
define *sum1* **where** *sum1 = map2 (+) fntt1 (map2 (λx y. x * ω ^ (n div nn * y)) fntt2 [0..<nn div 2])*
define *sum2* **where** *sum2 = map2 (−) fntt1 (map2 (λx y. x * ω ^ (n div nn * y)) fntt2 [0..<nn div 2])*

Unfolding the running time function and combining it with the definitions above.

have *TFNNT-simp*: T_{FNTT} *(x # y # numbers) =*
1 + T_{length} *(x # y # numbers) + 3 +*
T_{eo} *True (x # y # numbers) +* T_{eo} *False (x # y # numbers) + 2 +*
local.T_{FNTT} *nums1 + local.*T_{FNTT} *nums2 +*
*2 *T_{map2} *(λx y. 1) fntt2 [0..<nn div 2] +*
*2 **
T_{map2} *(λx y. 1) fntt1 (map2 (λx y. x * ω ^ (n div nn * y)) fntt2 [0..<nn div 2]) +*
T_{app} *sum1 sum2*
apply(*subst* T_{FNTT}*.simps(3)*)
unfolding *Let-def* **unfolding** *sum2-def sum1-def fntt1-def fntt2-def nums1-def nums2-def nn-def*
apply *simp*
done

Application of lemmas related to running times of auxiliary functions.

have *length-nums1*: *length nums1 = (2::nat)^(l−1)*
unfolding *nums1-def*
using *evens-odds-length[of x # y # numbers] 3(3) xyz* **by** *fastforce*
have *length-nums2*: *length nums2 = (2::nat)^(l−1)*
unfolding *nums2-def*
using *evens-odds-length[of x # y # numbers] 3(3)*
by (*metis One-nat-def le-0-eq length-Cons lessI list.size(4) neq0-conv not-add-less2 not-less-eq-eq pos2 power-Suc0-right power-diff-power-eq power-eq-if*)
have *length-simp*: T_{length} *(x # y # numbers) = (2::nat) ^l +1*
using *T-length-linear[of x#y#numbers] 3(3)* **by** *simp*
have *even-odd-simp*: T_{eo} *b (x # y # numbers) = (2::nat)^l + 1* **for** *b*
by (*metis 3.prems T-eo-linear*)+
have *02*: (*length fntt2*) = (*length [0..<nn div 2]*) **unfolding** *fntt2-def*
apply(*subst FNTT-length[of - l−1]*)
unfolding *nums2-def*
using *length-nums2 nums2-def* **apply** *fastforce*
by (*simp add*: *evens-odds-length nn-def*)
have *03*: (*length fntt1*) = (*length [0..<nn div 2]*) **unfolding** *fntt1-def*
apply(*subst FNTT-length[of - l−1]*)
unfolding *nums1-def*
using *length-nums1 nums1-def* **apply** *fastforce*
by (*metis 02 FNTT-length fntt2-def length-nums1 length-nums2 nums1-def*)
have *map21-simp*: T_{map2} *(λx y. 1) fntt2 [0..<nn div 2] = (2::nat)^l + 1*
apply(*subst T-map-2-linear'[of 1]*)

subgoal by *simp* **subgoal by** *simp*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *02 3*(*3*) *FNTT-length div-less evens-odds-length fntt2-def length-nums2 lessI less-numeral-extra*(*3*) *min.idem mult.commute nat-1-add-1 nums2-def plus-1-eq-Suc power-eq-if power-not-zero zero-power2*)
have *map22-simp*: T_{map2} (*λx y. 1*) *fntt1* (*map2* (*λx y. x * ω ^ (n div nn * y)*) *fntt2* [*0..<nn div 2*]) =
(*2*::*nat*)^*l* + *1*
apply(*subst T-map-2-linear'*[*of 1*])
subgoal by *simp* **subgoal by** *simp* **apply** *simp*
unfolding *fntt1-def fntt2-def* **unfolding** *nn-def*
apply(*subst FNTT-length*[*of - l−1*], (*rule length-nums1*)*?*, (*rule length-nums2*)*?*,
(*subst length-nums1*)*?*, (*subst length-nums2*)*?*, (*subst 3*(*3*))*?*)+
apply (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *3*(*3*) *div-less evens-odds-length length-nums2 lessI min-def mult-2 nat-1-add-1 nums2-def plus-1-eq-Suc power-eq-if power-not-zero zero-neq-numeral*)
done
have *sum1-simp*: *length sum1* = *2*^(*l−1*)
unfolding *sum1-def*
apply(*subst map2-length*)+
apply(*subst 02*, *subst 03*)
unfolding *nn-def* **using** *3*(*3*)
by (*metis 02 FNTT-length fntt2-def length-nums2 min.idem nn-def*)
have *app-simp*: T_{app} *sum1 sum2* = (*2*::*nat*)^(*l−1*) + *1*
by(*subst T-app-linear*, *subst sum1-simp*, *simp*)
let *?T1* = (*2*^(*l−1*) − *1*) * *14* + (*l−1*) *15*2^(*l−1* −*1*) + *2*^(*l−1*)

Induction hypotheses

have *IH-pluged1*: *local.*T_{FNTT} *nums1* = *?T1*
apply(*subst 3.IH*(*1*)[*of nn nums1 nums2 fntt1 fntt2 l−1*,
OF nn-def nums1-def nums2-def fntt1-def fntt2-def length-nums1])
apply(*cases l* ≤ *1*)
subgoal
apply(*subst T-def*(*2*)[*of l−1*])
subgoal by *simp*
apply(*rule length-nums1*)
apply *simp*
done
apply(*subst T-def*(*1*)[*OF length-nums1*])
subgoal by *simp*
subgoal by *simp*
done

have *IH-pluged2*: *local.*T_{FNTT} *nums2* = *?T1*
apply(*subst 3.IH*(*2*)[*of nn nums1 - fntt1 fntt2 l−1*, *OF nn-def nums1-def nums2-def fntt1-def fntt2-def length-nums2*])
apply(*cases l* ≤ *1*)
subgoal
apply(*subst T-def*(*2*)[*of l−1*])
subgoal by *simp*
apply(*rule length-nums2*)
apply *simp*

done
apply(*subst T-def*(*1*)[*OF length-nums2*])
subgoal by *simp*
subgoal by *simp*
done

have T_{FNTT} (*x # y # numbers*) =
*14 + (3 * 2 ^ l + (local.*T_{FNTT} *nums1 +*
(*local.*T_{FNTT} *nums2 + (5 * 2^(l−1) + 4 * (2 ^ l div 2)))))*
apply(*subst TFNNT-simp*, *subst map21-simp*, *subst map22-simp*, *subst length-simp*,
subst app-simp, *subst even-odd-simp*, *subst even-odd-simp*)
apply(*auto simp add*: *algebra-simps power-eq-if*[*of 2 l*])
done

Proof that the term *T-def* indeed fulfills the recursive properties, i.e. $t(2^l) = 2 \cdot t(2^{l-1}) + s$

also have ... = *14 + (3 * 2 ^ l + (?T1 + (?T1 + (5 * 2^(l−1) + 4 * (2 ^ l div 2)))))*
apply(*subst IH-pluged1*, *subst IH-pluged2*)
by *simp*
also have ... = *14 + (6 * 2 ^ (l−1) +*
2((2 ^ (l − 1) − 1) * 14 + (l − 1) * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1 − 1) + 2 ^ (l − 1)) +*
*(5 * 2 ^ (l − 1) + 4 * (2 ^ l div 2)))*
by (*smt* (*verit*) *3*(*3*) *add.assoc div-less evens-odds-length left-add-twice length-nums2 lessI mult.assoc mult-2-right nat-1-add-1 numeral-Bit0 nums2-def plus-1-eq-Suc power-eq-if power-not-zero zero-neq-numeral*)
also have ... = *14 + 15 * 2 ^ (l−1) +*
2((2 ^ (l − 1) − 1) * 14 + (l − 1) * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1 − 1) + 2 ^ (l − 1))*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *3*(*3*) *add.assoc add.commute calculation diff-diff-left distrib-left div2-Suc-Suc evens-odds-length left-add-twice length-Cons length-nums2 mult.assoc mult.commute mult-2 mult-2-right numeral-Bit0 numeral-Bit1 numeral-plus-numeral nums2-def one-add-one*)
also have ... = *14 + 15 * 2 ^ (l−1) +*
*(2 ^ l − 2) * 14 + (l − 1) * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1) + 2 ^ l*
apply(*cases l > 1*)
apply (*smt* (*verit*, *del-insts*) *add.assoc diff-is-0-eq distrib-left-numeral left-diff-distrib' less-imp-le-nat mult.assoc mult-2 mult-2-right nat-1-add-1 not-le not-one-le-zero power-eq-if*)
by (*smt* (*z3*) *3*(*3*) *add.commute add.right-neutral cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-add-inverse2 diff-is-0-eq div-less-dividend evens-odds-length length-nums2 mult-2 mult-eq-0-iff nat-1-add-1 not-le nums2-def power-eq-if*)
also have ... = *15 * 2 ^ (l − 1) + (2 ^ l − 1) * 14 + (l − 1) * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1) + 2 ^ l*
by (*smt* (*z3*) *3*(*3*) *One-nat-def add.commute combine-common-factor diff-add-inverse2 diff-diff-left list.size*(*4*) *nat-1-add-1 nat-mult-1*)
also have ... = (*2^l − 1) * 14 + l *15*2^(l−1) + 2^l*
apply(*cases l > 0*)
subgoal using *group-cancel.add1 group-cancel.add2 less-numeral-extra*(*3*) *mult.assoc mult-eq-if* **by** *auto*[*1*]
using *3*(*3*) **by** *fastforce*

By the previous proposition, we can conclude that T is indeed a suitable term for describing the running time

finally have T_{FNTT} (*x # y # numbers*) = *T* (*x # y # numbers*)
using *T-def*(*1*)[*of x#y#numbers l*]

by (*metis 3.prems bits-1-div-2 diff-is-0-eq′ evens-odds-length length-nums2 neq0-conv nums2-def power-0 zero-le-one zero-neq-one*)
thus *?case* **by** *simp*
qed (*auto simp add*: *assms*)

We can finally state that $FNTT$ has $\mathcal{O}(n \log n)$ time complexity.

theorem *log-lin-time*:
assumes *length numbers* = *2^l*
shows T_{FNTT} *numbers* ≤ *30 * l * length numbers + 1*
proof−
have *00*: T_{FNTT} *numbers* = *(2 ^ l − 1) * 14 + l * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1) + 2 ^ l*
using *tight-bound*[*of λ xs. (length xs − 1) * 14 + (floor-log (length xs)) * 15 * 2 ^ ((floor-log (length xs)) − 1) + length xs numbers l*]
assms **by** *simp*
have *l * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1) ≤ 15 * l * length numbers* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
moreover have *(2 ^ l − 1) * 14 + 2^l≤ 15 * length numbers*
using *assms* **by** *linarith*
moreover hence *(2 ^ l − 1) * 14 + 2^l ≤ 15 * l * length numbers +1* **using** *assms*
apply(*cases l*)
subgoal by *simp*
by (*metis* (*no-types*) *add.commute le-add1 mult.assoc mult.commute mult-le-mono nat-mult-1 plus-1-eq-Suc trans-le-add2*)
ultimately have *(2 ^ l − 1) * 14 + l * 15 * 2 ^ (l − 1) + 2 ^ l ≤ 30 * l * length numbers +1*
by *linarith*
then show *?thesis* **using** *00* **by** *simp*
qed

theorem *log-lin-time-explicitly*:
assumes *length numbers* = *2^l*
shows T_{FNTT} *numbers* ≤ *30 * floor-log (length numbers) * length numbers + 1*
using *log-lin-time*[*of numbers l*] *assms* **by** *simp*

end
end

References

[1] I. J. Good. "Introduction to Cooley and Tukey (1965) An Algorithm for the Machine Calculation of Complex Fourier Series". In: *Breakthroughs in Statistics*. Ed. by S. Kotz and N. L. Johnson. New York, NY: Springer New York, 1997, pp. 201–216. ISBN: 978-1-4612-0667-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-1-4612-0667-5_9.

[2] T. H. Cormen, C. E. Leiserson, R. L. Rivest, and C. Stein. *Introduction to Algorithms, Third Edition*. 3rd. The MIT Press, 2009. ISBN: 0262033844.

[3] P. Longa and M. Naehrig. *Speeding up the Number Theoretic Transform for Faster Ideal Lattice-Based Cryptography*. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2016/504. https://eprint.iacr.org/2016/504. 2016.

[4] Nayuki. *Number-theoretic transform (integer DFT)*. https://www.nayuki.io/page/number-theoretic-transform-integer-dft. 2022.

[5] Tobias Nipkow, Jasmin Blanchette, Manuel Eberl, Alejandro Gómez-Londoño, Peter Lammich, Christian Sternagel, Simon Wimmer, Bohua Zhan. *Functional Algorithms, Verified!* https://functional-algorithms-verified.org/. 2021.

[6] C. Ballarin. "Fast Fourier Transform". In: *Archive of Formal Proofs* (2005). https://isa-afp.org/entries/FFT.html, Formal proof development. ISSN: 2150-914x.

[7] M. Eberl. "Dirichlet Series". In: *Archive of Formal Proofs* (2017). https://isa-afp.org/entries/Dirichlet_Series.html, Formal proof development. ISSN: 2150-914x.