

Notes on Gödel’s and Scott’s Variants of the Ontological Argument (Isabelle/HOL dataset)

Christoph Benz Müller and Dana S. Scott

June 14, 2026

Abstract

Experimental studies with Isabelle/HOL on Kurt Gödel’s modal ontological argument and Dana Scott’s variant of it are presented. They implicitly answer some questions that the authors have received over the last decade(s). In addition, some new results are reported.

Our contribution is explained in full detail in [2]. This document presents the corresponding Isabelle/HOL dataset (which is only slightly modified to meet AFP requirements).

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Interactive and automated theorem proving	3
2.1	SurjectiveCantor.thy (Figure 2 of [2])	3
3	Mechanization of higher-order modal logic (HOML)	4
3.1	HOMLinHOL.thy (Figure 3 of [2])	4
3.2	TestsHOML.thy (Figure 4 of [2])	5
3.3	ModalFilter.thy (Figure 5 of [2])	6
4	Gödel’s ontological argument – 1970 manuscript	7
4.1	GoedelVariantHOML1.thy (Figure 6 of [2])	7
4.2	GoedelVariantHOML2.thy (Figure 7 of [2])	8
4.3	GoedelVariantHOML3.thy (Figure 8 of [2])	9
4.4	ThereIsNoEvil1.thy (Figure 10 of [2])	11
4.5	ThereIsNoEvil2.thy (Figure 11 of [2])	11
5	Scott’s variant	12
5.1	ScottVariantHOML.thy (Figure 12 of [2])	12
5.2	HOMLinHOLonlyK.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2]) . .	13
5.3	ScottVariantHOMLinK.thy (Figure 13 of [2])	14
5.4	ScottVariantHOMLAndersonQuant.thy (Figure 15 of [2]) . .	15

6	Appendix	16
6.1	GoedelVariantHOML1poss.thy (Figure 16 of [2])	16
6.2	GoedelVariantHOML2poss.thy (Figure 17 of [2])	17
6.3	GoedelVariantHOML3poss.thy (Figure 18 of [2])	18
6.4	ScottVariantHOMLposs.thy (Figure 19 of [2])	20
6.5	EvilDerivable.thy (Figure 20 of [2])	21
7	Further Appendices	22
7.1	GoedelVariantHOML1AndersonQuan.thy	22
7.2	GoedelVariantHOML2AndersonQuan.thy	23
7.3	GoedelVariantHOML3AndersonQuan.thy	25
7.4	HOMLinHOLonlyS4.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2])	26
7.5	TestsHOMLinS4.thy	27
7.6	GoedelVariantHOML1inS4.thy	29
7.7	GoedelVariantHOML2inS4.thy	29
7.8	GoedelVariantHOML2possInS4.thy	30
7.9	GoedelVariantHOML3inS4.thy	31
7.10	GoedelVariantHOML3possInS4.thy	32
7.11	ScottVariantHOMLinS4.thy	33
7.12	ScottVariantHOMLpossInS4.thy	34

1 Introduction

The Isabelle/HOL dataset associated with [2] is presented. Compared to previous work on Gödel’s modal ontological argument as published in the Archive of Formal Proofs (AFP) [1, 4, 3], our dataset addresses several relevant and in some cases novel aspects, which are combined here in a single publication, including:

1. For the first time, Gödel’s original manuscript [5] has been formalized as closely as possible.
2. The inconsistency of the postulates in Gödel’s original manuscript is explained in detail.
3. Two different ways of eliminating this inconsistency are presented, one of which is novel.
4. Scott’s variant [6] of Gödel’s original manuscript is presented and compared with Gödel’s original variant.
5. In addition to logics S5 and K, the above variants are also studied for logic S4.

6. The above variants are tested for various combinations of of possibilist and actualist quantifiers for individuals (which has not been done systematically in previous publications).
7. Concepts of evil are examined and the derivability of evil is critically questioned.

The purpose of this AFP publication is essentially twofold. One motivation is to make the data sources associated with [2] available in a sustainable, well-maintained way. The other motivation is to support university education in higher-order modal logic by providing a small dataset for reuse that illustrates a systematically explored philosophical argument, emphasizing in particular different notions of quantification.

Compared to [2], the Isabelle sources presented here have been slightly modified to meet some AFP requirements. This concerns the commenting out of calls to sledghemmer (to reduce computational resources) and some minor reformatting (e.g. insertion of new lines). The formalization code itself remains unchanged.

2 Interactive and automated theorem proving

2.1 SurjectiveCantor.thy (Figure 2 of [2])

The surjective Cantor theorem is used in [2] to illustrate some aspects of interactive and automated theorem proving in Isabelle/HOL as relevant for the paper. To keep the provided data material complete wrt. [2], we include these data sources also here.

```
theory SurjectiveCantor imports Main
begin
```

Surjective Cantor theorem: traditional interactive proof

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor:  $\neg(\exists G.\forall F::'a\Rightarrow bool.\exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
  <proof>
```

Avoiding proof by contradiction (Fuenmayor & Benzmüller, 2021)

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor':  $\neg(\exists G.\forall F::'a\Rightarrow bool.\exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
  <proof>
```

Surjective Cantor theorem: automated proof by some internal/external theorem provers

```
theorem SurjectiveCantor'':  $\neg(\exists G.\forall F::'a\Rightarrow bool.\exists X::'a. G X = F)$ 
  nitpick[expect=none] — no counterexample found
  — sledghemmer — most internal provers give up
  — sledghemmer[remote_leo2 remote_leo3] — proof found: leo provers succeed
  <proof>
```

Surjective Cantor theorem (wrong formalization attempt): the types are crucial

theorem *SurjectiveCantor'''*: $\neg(\exists G.\forall F::'b.\exists X::'a. G X = F)$

nitpick — counterexample found for card 'a = 1 and card 'b = 1: $G=(\lambda x. (a1 := b1))$

nitpick[*satisfy, expect=genuine*] — model found for card 'a = 1 and card 'b = 2

nitpick[*card 'a=2, card 'b=3, expect=none*] — no counterexample found

<proof>

end

3 Mechanization of higher-order modal logic (HOML)

3.1 HOMLinHOL.thy (Figure 3 of [2])

Shallow embedding of higher-order modal logic (HOML) in the classical higher-order logic (HOL) of Isabelle/HOL utilizing the logic-pluralistic LogiKEy methodology. Here logic S5 is introduced.

theory *HOMLinHOL* **imports** *Main*

begin

— Global parameters setting for the model finder nitpick and the parser; unimport for the reader

nitpick-params[*user-axioms, expect=genuine, show-all, format=2, max-genuine=3*]

declare[*[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]*]

— Type *i* is associated with possible worlds and type *e* with entities

typedecl *i* — Possible worlds

typedecl *e* — Individuals/entities

type-synonym $\sigma = i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ — World-lifted propositions

type-synonym $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$ — Modal properties

consts $R::i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**r-**) — Accessibility relation between worlds

axiomatization **where**

Rrefl: $\forall x. \mathbf{xx}$ **and**

Rsymm: $\forall x y. \mathbf{xy} \longrightarrow \mathbf{yx}$ **and**

Rtrans: $\forall x y z. \mathbf{xy} \wedge \mathbf{yz} \longrightarrow \mathbf{xz}$

— Logical connectives (operating on truth-sets)

abbreviation *Mbot*:: σ (\perp) **where** $\perp \equiv \lambda w. \text{False}$

abbreviation *Mtop*:: σ (\top) **where** $\top \equiv \lambda w. \text{True}$

abbreviation *Mneg*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\neg - [52]53) **where** $\neg\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg(\varphi w)$

abbreviation *Mand*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \wedge 50) **where** $\varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \wedge \psi w$

abbreviation *Mor*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \vee 49) **where** $\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \vee \psi w$

abbreviation *Mimp*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixr** \supset 48) **where** $\varphi \supset \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longrightarrow \psi w$

abbreviation *Mequiv*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \leftrightarrow 47) **where** $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \psi w$

abbreviation *Mbox*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\Box - [54]55) **where** $\Box\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. w \mathbf{r} v \longrightarrow \varphi v$

abbreviation *Mdia*:: $\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\Diamond - [54]55) **where** $\Diamond\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \exists v. w \mathbf{r} v \wedge \varphi v$

abbreviation $Mprimeq::'a\Rightarrow'a\Rightarrow\sigma$ ($-=-$) **where** $x=y \equiv \lambda w. x=y$
abbreviation $Mprimneg::'a\Rightarrow'a\Rightarrow\sigma$ ($-\neq-$) **where** $x\neq y \equiv \lambda w. x\neq y$
abbreviation $Mnegpred::\tau\Rightarrow\tau$ ($\sim-$) **where** $\sim\Phi \equiv \lambda x.\lambda w. \neg\Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mconpred::\tau\Rightarrow\tau\Rightarrow\tau$ (**infixl** . 50) **where** $\Phi.\Psi \equiv \lambda x.\lambda w. \Phi x w \wedge \Psi x w$
abbreviation $Mexclor::\sigma\Rightarrow\sigma\Rightarrow\sigma$ (**infixl** \vee^e 49) **where** $\varphi\vee^e\psi \equiv (\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$

— Possibilist quantifiers (polymorphic)

abbreviation $Mallposs::('a\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\forall) **where** $\forall\Phi \equiv \lambda w.\forall x. \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mallpossb$ (**binder** \forall [8]9) **where** $\forall x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall\varphi$
abbreviation $Mexiposs::('a\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\exists) **where** $\exists\Phi \equiv \lambda w.\exists x. \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mexipossb$ (**binder** \exists [8]9) **where** $\exists x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists\varphi$

— Actualist quantifiers (for individuals/entities)

consts $existsAt::e\Rightarrow\sigma$ ($-\textcircled{a}-$)
abbreviation $Mallact::(e\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\forall^E) **where** $\forall^E\Phi \equiv \lambda w.\forall x. x\textcircled{a}w \longrightarrow \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mallactb$ (**binder** \forall^E [8]9) **where** $\forall^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall^E\varphi$
abbreviation $Mexiact::(e\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\exists^E) **where** $\exists^E\Phi \equiv \lambda w.\exists x. x\textcircled{a}w \wedge \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mexiactb$ (**binder** \exists^E [8]9) **where** $\exists^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists^E\varphi$

— Leibniz equality (polymorphic)

abbreviation $Mleibeq::'a\Rightarrow'a\Rightarrow\sigma$ ($-\equiv-$) **where** $x\equiv y \equiv \forall P. P x \supset P y$

— Meta-logical predicate for global validity

abbreviation $Mvalid::\sigma\Rightarrow bool$ ($[-]$) **where** $[\psi] \equiv \forall w. \psi w$

end

3.2 TestsHOML.thy (Figure 4 of [2])

Tests and verifications of properties for the embedding of HOML (S5) in HOL.

theory *TestsHOML* **imports** *HOMLinHOL*
begin

— Test for S5 modal logic

lemma axM : $[\Box\varphi \supset \varphi]$ *<proof>*
lemma axD : $[\Box\varphi \supset \Diamond\varphi]$ *<proof>*
lemma axB : $[\varphi \supset \Box\Diamond\varphi]$ *<proof>*
lemma $ax4$: $[\Box\varphi \supset \Box\Box\varphi]$ *<proof>*
lemma $ax5$: $[\Diamond\varphi \supset \Box\Diamond\varphi]$ *<proof>*
lemma $BarcanAct$: $[(\forall^E x. \Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall^E x. (\varphi x))]$
nitpick $[expect=genuine]$ *<proof>*
lemma $ConvBarcanAct$: $[\Box(\forall^E x. (\varphi x)) \supset (\forall^E x. \Box(\varphi x))]$
nitpick $[expect=genuine]$ *<proof>*
lemma $BarcanPoss$: $[(\forall x. \Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall x. \varphi x)]$ *<proof>*
lemma $ConvBarcanPoss$: $[\Box(\forall x. (\varphi x)) \supset (\forall x. \Box(\varphi x))]$ *<proof>*
lemma $Hilbert-A1$: $[A \supset (B \supset A)]$ *<proof>*

```

lemma Hilbert-A2: [(A ⊃ (B ⊃ C)) ⊃ ((A ⊃ B) ⊃ (A ⊃ C))] <proof>
lemma Hilbert-MP: assumes [A] and [A ⊃ B] shows [B] <proof>
lemma Quant-1: assumes [A] shows [∀ x::'a. A] <proof>
lemma ExImPossibilist1: [∃ x::e. x = x] <proof>
lemma ExImPossibilist2: [∃ x::e. x ≡ x] <proof>
lemma ExImPossibilist3: [∃ x::e. x = t] <proof>
lemma ExImPossibilist4: [∃ x::'a. x ≡ t::'a] <proof>
lemma ExImPossibilist: [∃ x::'a. ⊤] <proof>
lemma Quant-2: assumes [A] shows [∀E x::e. A] <proof>
lemma ExImActualist1: [∃E x::e. x = x]
  nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] <proof>
lemma ExImActualist2: [∃E x::e. x ≡ x]
  nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] <proof>
lemma ExImActualist3: [∃E x::e. x = t]
  nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] <proof>
lemma ExImActualist: [∃E x::e. ⊤]
  nitpick[card=1,expect=genuine] <proof>
lemma EqReft: [x = x] <proof>
lemma EqSym: [(x = y) ↔ (y = x)] <proof>
lemma EqTrans: [((x = y) ∧ (y = z)) ⊃ (x = z)] <proof>
lemma EQCong: [(x = y) ⊃ ((φ x) = (φ y))] <proof>
lemma EQFuncExt: [(φ = ψ) ⊃ (∀ x. ((φ x) = (ψ x)))] <proof>
lemma EQBoolExt1: [(φ = ψ) ⊃ (φ ↔ ψ)] <proof>
lemma EQBoolExt2: [(φ ↔ ψ) ⊃ (φ = ψ)]
  nitpick[card=2] <proof>
lemma EQBoolExt3: [(φ ↔ ψ)] → [(φ = ψ)] <proof>
lemma EqPrimLeib: [(x = y) ↔ (x ≡ y)] <proof>
lemma Comprehension1: [∃ φ. ∀ x. (φ x) ↔ A] <proof>
lemma Comprehension2: [∃ φ. ∀ x. (φ x) ↔ (A x)] <proof>
lemma Comprehension3: [∃ φ. ∀ x y. (φ x y) ↔ (A x y)] <proof>
lemma ModalCollapse: [∀ φ. φ ⊃ □φ]
  nitpick[card=2,expect=genuine] <proof>
lemma TruePropertyAndSelfIdentity: [(λx::e. ⊤) = (λx. x = x)] <proof>
lemma EmptyPropertyAndSelfDifference: [(λx::e. ⊥) = (λx. x ≠ x)] <proof>
lemma EmptyProperty2: [∃ x. φ x] → [φ ≠ (λx::e. ⊥)] <proof>
lemma EmptyProperty3: [∃E x. φ x] → [φ ≠ (λx::e. ⊥)] <proof>
lemma EmptyProperty4: [φ ≠ (λx::e. ⊥)] → [∃ x. φ x]
  nitpick[expect=genuine] <proof>
lemma EmptyProperty5: [φ ≠ (λx::e. ⊥)] → [∃E x. φ x]
  nitpick[expect=genuine] <proof>

```

end

3.3 ModalFilter.thy (Figure 5 of [2])

Set filter and ultrafilter formalized for our modal logic setting.

```

theory ModalFilter imports HOMLinHOL
begin

```

type-synonym $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$
abbreviation $Element::\tau \Rightarrow (\tau \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infix** \in 90) **where** $\varphi \in S \equiv S \ \varphi$
abbreviation $EmptySet::\tau$ (\emptyset) **where** $\emptyset \equiv \lambda x. \perp$
abbreviation $UniversalSet::\tau$ (\mathbf{U}) **where** $\mathbf{U} \equiv \lambda x. \top$
abbreviation $Subset::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infix** \subseteq 80)
where $\varphi \subseteq \psi \equiv \forall x. ((\varphi \ x) \supset (\psi \ x))$
abbreviation $SubsetE::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infix** \subseteq^E 80)
where $\varphi \subseteq^E \psi \equiv \forall^E x. ((\varphi \ x) \supset (\psi \ x))$
abbreviation $Intersection::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau$ (**infix** \sqcap 91)
where $\varphi \sqcap \psi \equiv \lambda x. ((\varphi \ x) \wedge (\psi \ x))$
abbreviation $Inverse::\tau \Rightarrow \tau$ ($^{-1}$)
where $^{-1}\psi \equiv \lambda x. \neg(\psi \ x)$
abbreviation $Filter \ \Phi \equiv \mathbf{U} \in \Phi \wedge \neg(\emptyset \in \Phi) \wedge$
 $(\forall \varphi \ \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \varphi \subseteq^E \psi \supset \psi \in \Phi) \wedge (\forall \varphi \ \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \psi \in \Phi \supset \varphi \sqcap \psi \in \Phi)$
abbreviation $UFilter \ \Phi \equiv Filter \ \Phi \wedge (\forall \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \vee (^{-1}\varphi) \in \Phi)$
abbreviation $FilterP \ \Phi \equiv \mathbf{U} \in \Phi \wedge \neg(\emptyset \in \Phi) \wedge (\forall \varphi \ \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \varphi \subseteq \psi \supset \psi \in \Phi) \wedge$
 $(\forall \varphi \ \psi. \varphi \in \Phi \wedge \psi \in \Phi \supset \varphi \sqcap \psi \in \Phi)$
abbreviation $UFilterP \ \Phi \equiv FilterP \ \Phi \wedge (\forall \varphi. \varphi \in \Phi \vee (^{-1}\varphi) \in \Phi)$

end

4 Gödel's ontological argument – 1970 manuscript

4.1 GoedelVariantHOML1.thy (Figure 6 of [2])

Gödel's axioms and definitions, as presented in the 1970 manuscript, are inconsistent. Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML1* **imports** *HOMLinHOL*
begin

consts $PositiveProperty::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (P)

axiomatization where $Ax1: [P \ \varphi \wedge P \ \psi \supset P \ (\varphi \ . \ \psi)]$

axiomatization where $Ax2a: [P \ \varphi \vee^e P \ \sim \varphi]$

definition $God \ (G)$ **where** $G \ x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \ \varphi \supset \varphi \ x$

abbreviation $PropertyInclusion \ (-\supset_N-)$ **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi \ y \supset \psi \ y)$

definition $Essence \ (-Ess.-)$ **where** $\varphi \ Ess. \ x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi \ x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where $Ax2b: [P \ \varphi \supset \Box P \ \varphi]$

lemma $Ax2b'$: $[\neg P \ \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \ \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem $Th1: [G \ x \supset G \ Ess. \ x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi\ x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P\ E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G\ x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G\ y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G\ x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G\ y)]$
— sledgehammer(*Th2* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
<proof>

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P\ \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P\ \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, expect=unknown*] *<proof>*

lemma *EmptyEssL*: $[(\lambda y. \perp)\ \text{Ess.}\ x]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Inconsistency*: *False*
— sledgehammer(*Ax2a* *Ax3* *Ax4* *EmptyEssL* *NecExist_def*) — Proof found
<proof>

end

4.2 GoedelVariantHOML2.thy (Figure 7 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the definition of essence in Gödel's 1970 ontological proof, the inconsistency revealed in Figure 6 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified in modal logic S5 (indeed, as shown, only symmetry of the accessibility relation is actually needed). Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML2* **imports** *HOMLinHOL* *ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P\ \varphi \wedge P\ \psi \supset P\ (\varphi . \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P\ \varphi \vee^e P\ \sim\varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. P\ \varphi \supset \varphi\ x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N-$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi\ y \supset \psi\ y)$

definition *Essence* ($-\text{Ess.}-$) **where** $\varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \equiv \varphi\ x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi\ x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P\ \varphi \supset \Box P\ \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer(*Th2* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy,card=1,eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]*] $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(\text{PosProps } \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom } \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma *L*: $[P G]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th5*: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$
— sledgehammer(*Ax2a* *Ax2b* *Th5* *God_def* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PosProps*: $[P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P (\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ **nitpick**[*card i=1*] $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Filter*: $[Filter P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilter P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy,card=1,eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]*] $\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.3 GoedelVariantHOML3.thy (Figure 8 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the notion of necessary property inclusion in Gödel's 1970 ontological proof, the inconsistency revealed in Figure

6 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified in modal logic S5 (indeed, as shown, only symmetry of the accessibility relation is actually needed). Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML3* **imports** *HOMLinHOL* *ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.$) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G Ess. x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi Ess. x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer(*Th2* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
<proof>

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]*] *<proof>*

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma *L*: $[P G]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$
— sledgehammer[*timeout=200*](*Ax2a* *L* *Ax1Gen*) *<proof>*

axiomatization where *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$

theorem *Th5*: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$

— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
<proof>

lemma *PosProps*: $[P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Filter*: $[Filter P]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilter P]$ *<proof>*

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P(\lambda x. \top)]*] *<proof>*

end

4.4 ThereIsNoEvil1.thy (Figure 10 of [2])

Importing Gödel's modified axioms from Figure 7 we can prove that necessarily there exists no entity that possesses all non-positive (=negative) properties.

theory *ThereIsNoEvil1* **imports** *GoedelVariantHOML2*
begin

definition *Evil (Evil)* **where** $Evil x \equiv \forall \varphi. \neg P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

theorem *NecNoEvil*: $[\Box(\neg(\exists^E x. Evil x))]$

— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax4 Evil_def) — Proof found
<proof>

end

4.5 ThereIsNoEvil2.thy (Figure 11 of [2])

Importing Gödel's modified axioms from Figure 8 we can prove that necessarily there exists no entity that possesses all non-positive (=negative) properties.

theory *ThereIsNoEvil2* **imports** *GoedelVariantHOML3*
begin

definition *Evil (Evil)* **where** $Evil x \equiv \forall \varphi. \neg P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

theorem *NecNoEvil*: $[\Box(\neg(\exists^E x. Evil x))]$

— sledgehammer(Ax1Gen Ax2a Evil_def) *<proof>*

end

5 Scott's variant

5.1 ScottVariantHOML.thy (Figure 12 of [2])

Verification of Scott's variant of Gödel's ontological argument. Actualist quantifiers (avoiding existential import) are used for quantification over entities, otherwise possibilist quantifiers are used.

theory *ScottVariantHOML* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *A1*: $\lceil \neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi \rceil$

axiomatization where *A2*: $\lceil P \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi \rceil$

theorem *T1*: $\lceil P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists^E x. \varphi x) \rceil$ *<proof>*

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization where *A3*: $\lceil P G \rceil$

theorem *Coro*: $\lceil \Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \rceil$ *<proof>*

axiomatization where *A4*: $\lceil P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi \rceil$

definition *Ess* (*-Ess.-*) **where** $\varphi \text{Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

theorem *T2*: $\lceil G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x \rceil$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*NE*) **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *A5*: $\lceil P NE \rceil$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (λx. ⊤)]*] *<proof>*

theorem *T3*: $\lceil \Box(\exists^E x. G x) \rceil$
— *sledgehammer*(*A5 Coro God_def NecExist_def Rsymm T2*) — *Proof found*
<proof>

lemma *MC*: $\lceil \varphi \supset \Box \varphi \rceil$
— *sledgehammer*(*A1 A4 God_def Rsymm T3*) — *Proof found*
<proof>

lemma *PosProps*: $\lceil P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x) \rceil$ *<proof>*

```

lemma NegProps: [ $\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)$ ] <proof>
lemma UniqueEss1: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)$ ] <proof>
lemma UniqueEss2: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)$ ] nitpick[card i=1] <proof>
lemma UniqueEss3: [ $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)$ ] <proof>
lemma Monotheism: [ $G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y$ ] <proof>
lemma Filter: [Filter P] <proof>
lemma UltraFilter: [UFilter P] <proof>
lemma True nitpick[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]] <proof>

end

```

5.2 HOMLinHOLOnlyK.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2])

Shallow embedding of higher-order modal logic (HOML) in the classical higher-order logic (HOL) of Isabelle/HOL utilizing the LogiKEy methodology. Here logic K is introduced.

```

theory HOMLinHOLOnlyK imports Main
begin

```

— Global parameters setting for the model finder nitpick and the parser; unimport for the reader

```

nitpick-params[user-axioms, expect=genuine, show-all, format=2, max-genuine=3]
declare[[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]]

```

— Type *i* is associated with possible worlds and type *e* with entities

```

typedecl i — Possible worlds

```

```

typedecl e — Individuals/entities

```

```

type-synonym  $\sigma = i \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  — World-lifted propositions

```

```

type-synonym  $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$  — modal properties

```

```

consts R::i⇒i⇒bool (-r-) — Accessibility relation between worlds

```

— Logical connectives (operating on truth-sets)

```

abbreviation Mbot::σ (⊥) where  $\perp \equiv \lambda w. \text{False}$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mtop::σ (⊤) where  $\top \equiv \lambda w. \text{True}$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mneg::σ⇒σ (¬- [52]53) where  $\neg\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg(\varphi w)$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mand::σ⇒σ⇒σ (infixl ∧ 50) where  $\varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \wedge \psi w$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mor::σ⇒σ⇒σ (infixl ∨ 49) where  $\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \vee \psi w$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mimp::σ⇒σ⇒σ (infixr ⊃ 48) where  $\varphi \supset \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longrightarrow \psi w$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mequiv::σ⇒σ⇒σ (infixl ↔ 47) where  $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \psi w$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mbox::σ⇒σ (□- [54]55) where  $\Box\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. w \mathbf{r} v \longrightarrow \varphi v$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mdia::σ⇒σ (◇- [54]55) where  $\Diamond\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \exists v. w \mathbf{r} v \wedge \varphi v$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mprimeq::'a⇒'a⇒σ (-=) where  $x=y \equiv \lambda w. x=y$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mprimneg::'a⇒'a⇒σ (-≠) where  $x \neq y \equiv \lambda w. x \neq y$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mnegpred::τ⇒τ (∼-) where  $\sim\Phi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \neg\Phi x w$ 

```

```

abbreviation Mconpred::τ⇒τ⇒τ (infixl . 50) where  $\Phi. \Psi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \Phi x w \wedge \Psi$ 

```

$x w$

abbreviation $Mexclor::\sigma\Rightarrow\sigma\Rightarrow\sigma$ (**infixl** \vee^e 49) **where** $\varphi\vee^e\psi\equiv(\varphi\vee\psi)\wedge\neg(\varphi\wedge\psi)$

— Possibilist quantifiers (polymorphic)

abbreviation $Mallposs::('a\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\forall) **where** $\forall\Phi\equiv\lambda w.\forall x.\Phi x w$

abbreviation $Mallpossb$ (**binder** \forall [8]9) **where** $\forall x.\varphi(x)\equiv\forall\varphi$

abbreviation $Mexiposs::('a\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\exists) **where** $\exists\Phi\equiv\lambda w.\exists x.\Phi x w$

abbreviation $Mexipossb$ (**binder** \exists [8]9) **where** $\exists x.\varphi(x)\equiv\exists\varphi$

— Actualist quantifiers (for individuals/entities)

consts $existsAt::e\Rightarrow\sigma$ ($-\textcircled{a}$ -)

abbreviation $Mallact::(e\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\forall^E) **where** $\forall^E\Phi\equiv\lambda w.\forall x.x\textcircled{a}w\longrightarrow\Phi x w$

abbreviation $Mallactb$ (**binder** \forall^E [8]9) **where** $\forall^E x.\varphi(x)\equiv\forall^E\varphi$

abbreviation $Mexiact::(e\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (\exists^E) **where** $\exists^E\Phi\equiv\lambda w.\exists x.x\textcircled{a}w\wedge\Phi x w$

abbreviation $Mexiactb$ (**binder** \exists^E [8]9) **where** $\exists^E x.\varphi(x)\equiv\exists^E\varphi$

— Leibniz equality (polymorphic)

abbreviation $Mleibeq::'a\Rightarrow'a\Rightarrow\sigma$ ($-\equiv-$) **where** $x\equiv y\equiv\forall P.P x \supset P y$

— Meta-logical predicate for global validity

abbreviation $Mvalid::\sigma\Rightarrow bool$ ($[-]$) **where** $[\psi]\equiv\forall w.\psi w$

end

5.3 ScottVariantHOMLinK.thy (Figure 13 of [2])

Scott's variant of Gödel's argument fails for base logic K (but only it the last step).

theory *ScottVariantHOMLinK* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyK*

begin

consts $PositiveProperty::(e\Rightarrow\sigma)\Rightarrow\sigma$ (P)

axiomatization **where** $A1: [\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim\varphi]$

axiomatization **where** $A2: [P \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall^E y.\varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$

theorem $T1: [P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists^E x.\varphi x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition God (G) **where** $G x \equiv \forall\varphi.P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization **where** $A3: [P G]$

theorem $Coro: [\Diamond(\exists^E x.G x)]$ **nitpick**[*satisfy,eval=G*] $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization **where** $A4: [P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

definition Ess ($-Ess.$) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall\psi.\psi x \supset \Box(\forall^E y.\varphi y \supset \psi$

y))

theorem *T2*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*NE*) **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *A5*: $[P \text{NE}]$

lemma *True nitpick* $[\text{satisfy}, \text{card}=1, \text{eval}=[P (\lambda x. \top)]]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *T3*: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$ **nitpick** $[\text{card } e=1, \text{card } i=2, \text{eval}=G]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box \varphi]$ **nitpick** $[\text{card } e=1, \text{card } i=2, \text{eval}=G]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.4 ScottVariantHOMLAndersonQuant.thy (Figure 15 of [2])

Verification of Scott's variant of Gödel's argument with a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

theory *ScottVariantHOMLAndersonQuant* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$

axiomatization where *A1*: $[\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi]$

axiomatization where *A2*: $[P \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *T1*: $[P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists x. \varphi x)]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization where *A3*: $[P G]$

theorem *Coro*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x)]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

axiomatization where *A4*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

definition *Ess* (*-Ess.-*) **where** $\varphi \text{Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

theorem *T2*: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*NE*) **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *A5*: $[P \text{NE}]$

lemma *True nitpick* $[\text{satisfy}, \text{card}=1, \text{eval}=[P (\lambda x. \top)]]$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *T3*: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$
 — sledgehammer(A5 Coro God_def NecExist_def Rsymm T2) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$
 — sledgehammer(A1 A4 God_def Rsymm T3) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PosProps*: $[P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi = \psi)]$ **nitpick**[*card i=2*] $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Filter*: $[Filter P] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilter P] \langle proof \rangle$

lemma *True* **nitpick**[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P(\lambda x. \perp)]*] $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6 Appendix

6.1 GoedelVariantHOML1poss.thy (Figure 16 of [2])

Gödel's axioms and definitions, as presented in the 1970 manuscript, are inconsistent. In contrast to Figure 6 we here use only possibilist quantifiers and still derive falsity.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML1poss* **imports** *HOMLinHOL*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization **where** *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P(\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization **where** *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N-$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.-$) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization **where** *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)] \langle proof \rangle$

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x] \langle proof \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi\ x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P\ E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G\ x \supset \Box(\exists y. G\ y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G\ x) \supset \Box(\exists y. G\ y)]$
— sledgehammer(*Th2* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
<proof>

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P\ \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P\ \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, expect=unknown*] *<proof>*

lemma *EmptyEssL*: $[(\lambda y. \perp)\ \text{Ess.}\ x]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Inconsistency*: *False*
— sledgehammer(*Ax2a* *Ax3* *Ax4* *EmptyEssL* *NecExist_def*) — Proof found
<proof>

end

6.2 GoedelVariantHOML2poss.thy (Figure 17 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the definition of essence, the inconsistency revealed in Figure 16 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified in modal logic S5 (indeed, as shown, only the modal schema B is actually needed). In contrast to Figure 7 we here use only possibilist quantifiers to obtain these results.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML2poss* **imports** *HOMLinHOL* *ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P\ \varphi \wedge P\ \psi \supset P\ (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P\ \varphi \vee^e P\ \sim\varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. P\ \varphi \supset \varphi\ x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N-$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi\ y \supset \psi\ y)$

definition *Essence* ($-\text{Ess.}-$) **where** $\varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \equiv \varphi\ x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi\ x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P\ \varphi \supset \Box P\ \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P\ \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P\ \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G\ x \supset G\ \text{Ess.}\ x]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi\ x)$

axiomatization **where** *Ax3*: $[P\ E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G\ x \supset \Box(\exists y. G\ y)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G\ x) \supset \Box(\exists y. G\ y)]$
— sledgehammer(*Th2* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

axiomatization **where** *Ax4*: $[P\ \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P\ \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick* $[\text{satisfy}, \text{card}=1, \text{eval}=[P\ (\lambda x. \perp)]]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi\ \varphi \supset P\ \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi\ \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall z. \varphi\ z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi\ \psi \supset \psi\ z))$

axiomatization **where** *Ax1Gen*: $[(\text{PosProps}\ \Phi \wedge \text{ConjOfPropsFrom}\ \varphi\ \Phi) \supset P\ \varphi]$

lemma *L*: $[P\ G]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

theorem *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G\ x)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

theorem *Th5*: $[\Box(\exists x. G\ x)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$
— sledgehammer(*Ax2a* *Ax2b* *Th5* *God_def* *Rsymm*) — Proof found
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *PosProps*: $[P\ (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P\ (\lambda x. x = x)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \wedge \psi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi\ y \leftrightarrow \psi\ y)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \wedge \psi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ **nitpick** $[\text{card}\ i=2]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi\ \text{Ess.}\ x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi\ y \supset y \equiv x)]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G\ x \wedge G\ y \supset x \equiv y]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *Filter*: $[FilterP\ P]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilterP\ P]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *True nitpick* $[\text{satisfy}, \text{card}=1, \text{eval}=[P\ (\lambda x. \perp)]]$ $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

end

6.3 GoedelVariantHOML3poss.thy (Figure 18 of [2])

After an appropriate modification of the definition of necessary property implication, the inconsistency shown in Figure 16 is avoided, and the argument can be successfully verified. As shown here, this still holds when using

possibilist quantifiers only.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML3poss* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$ -) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.$ -) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G Ess. x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. (\varphi Ess. x) \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists y. G y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
<proof>

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]*] *<proof>*

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma *L*: $[P G]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x)]$
— sledgehammer[timeout=200](Ax2a L Ax1Gen) *<proof>*

axiomatization where *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x)]$

theorem *Th5*: $[\Box(\exists x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$

— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *PosProps*: $[P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Filter*: $[FilterP P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilterP P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *True nitpick* $[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P(\lambda x. \top)]]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

end

6.4 ScottVariantHOMLposs.thy (Figure 19 of [2])

Scott's variant of Gödel's ontological proof is still valid when using possibilist quantifiers only.

theory *ScottVariantHOMLposs* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$

axiomatization **where** *A1*: $[\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi]$

axiomatization **where** *A2*: $[P \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *T1*: $[P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists x. \varphi x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization **where** *A3*: $[P G]$

theorem *Coro*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization **where** *A4*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

definition *Ess* (*-Ess.*) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

theorem *T2*: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *NecExist* (*NE*) **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization **where** *A5*: $[P NE]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy,card=1,eval=[P (λx.⊥)]*] *<proof>*

theorem *T3*: $[\Box(\exists x. G x)]$

— sledgehammer(A5 Coro God_def NecExist_def Rsymm T2) — Proof found
<proof>

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$

— sledgehammer(A1 A4 God_def Rsymm T3) — Proof found
<proof>

lemma *PosProps*: $[P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ **nitpick**[*card i=2*] *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Filter*: $[FilterP P]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilterP P]$ *<proof>*

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy,card=1,eval=[P (λx.⊥)]*] *<proof>*

end

6.5 EvilDerivable.thy (Figure 20 of [2])

The necessary existence of an Evil-like entity proved from (controversially) modified assumptions. By rejecting Gödel’s assumptions and instead postulating corresponding negative versions of them, as shown in the Figure 20, the necessary existence of Evil becomes derivable. The non-positive properties of this Evil-like entity are however identical to the positive properties of Gödel’s God-like entity.

theory *EvilDerivable* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

definition *Evil* (*Evil*) **where** $Evil\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. \neg P\ \varphi \supset \varphi\ x$

definition *Essence* (*-Ess.-*) **where** $\varphi\ \text{Ess. } x \equiv \varphi\ x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi\ x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi\ y \supset \psi\ y))$

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E\ x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi\ \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi\ x)$

axiomatization **where** *A1*: $[\neg P\ \varphi \leftrightarrow P\ \sim\varphi]$

axiomatization **where** *A2*: $[\neg P\ \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi\ y \supset \psi\ y) \supset \neg P\ \psi]$

axiomatization **where** *A4*: $[\neg P\ Evil]$

axiomatization where $A3: [\neg P \varphi \supset \Box (\neg P \varphi)]$

axiomatization where $A5: [\neg P E]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card i=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)], eval=[P (\lambda x. \top)]*] *<proof>*

theorem $T1: [\neg P \varphi \supset \Diamond (\exists^E x. \varphi x)]$ *<proof>*

theorem $T2: [\Diamond (\exists^E x. Evil x)]$ *<proof>*

theorem $T3: [Evil x \supset Evil Ess. x]$ *<proof>*

theorem $T4: [\Diamond (\exists^E x. Evil x) \supset \Box (\exists^E y. Evil y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem $T5: [\Box (\exists^E x. Evil x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box \varphi]$

— *sledgehammer*(A1 A3 T5 Evil_def Rsymm) *<proof>*

lemma *PosProps*: $[P (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge P (\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge \neg P (\lambda x. x = x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi Ess. x \wedge \psi Ess. x \supset \Box (\forall^E y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi Ess. x \wedge \psi Ess. x \supset \Box (\varphi = \psi)]$ **nitpick**[*card i=2*] *<proof>*

lemma *Monoevilism*: $[Evil x \wedge Evil y \supset x \equiv y]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Filter*: $[Filter (\lambda \varphi. \neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UFilter (\lambda \varphi. \neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

end

7 Further Appendices

7.1 GoedelVariantHOML1AndersonQuan.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML1, but now for a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

theory *GoedelVariantHOML1AndersonQuant* **imports** *HOMLinHOL*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where $Ax1: [P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi . \psi)]$

axiomatization where $Ax2a: [P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$ -) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box (\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition *Essence* (-Ess.-) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer(*Th2 Rsymm*) — Proof found
<proof>

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy,expect=unknown*] *<proof>*

lemma *EmptyEssL*: $[(\lambda y. \perp) \text{ Ess. } x]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Inconsistency: False*
— sledgehammer(*Ax2a Ax3 Ax4 EmptyEssL NecExist_def*) — Proof found
<proof>

end

7.2 GoedelVariantHOML2AndersonQuan.thy

The same as *GoedelVariantHOML2*, but now for a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

theory *GoedelVariantHOML2AndersonQuant* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N-$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition *Essence* (-Ess.-) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where $Ax2b$: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma $Ax2b'$: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th1$: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $NecExist (E)$ **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where $Ax3$: $[P E]$

theorem $Th2$: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th3$: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer($Th2$ $Rsymm$) — Proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

axiomatization where $Ax4$: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma $True$ **nitpick** $[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

abbreviation $PosProps \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation $ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where $Ax1Gen$: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma L : $[P G]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th4$: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th5$: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma MC : $[\varphi \supset \Box \varphi]$
— sledgehammer($Ax2a$ $Ax2b$ $Th5$ God_def $Rsymm$) — proof found
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $PosProps$: $[P (\lambda x. \top) \wedge P (\lambda x. x = x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $NegProps$: $[\neg P (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P (\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $UniqueEss1$: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $UniqueEss2$: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ **nitpick** $[card i=2]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $UniqueEss3$: $[\varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Monotheism$: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Filter$: $[Filter P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $UltraFilter$: $[UltraFilter P]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $True$ **nitpick** $[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.3 GoedelVariantHOML3AndersonQuan.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML3, but now for a mixed use of actualist and possibilist quantifiers for entities; cf. Footnote 20 in [2].

theory *GoedelVariantHOML3AndersonQuant* **imports** *HOMLinHOL ModalFilter*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (P)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (G) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.$) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G Ess. x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (E) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi Ess. x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$
— sledgehammer(Th2 Rsymm) — Proof found
<proof>

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

lemma *True nitpick*[*satisfy, card=1, eval=[P (\lambda x. \perp)]*] *<proof>*

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

lemma *L*: $[P G]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$
— sledgehammer[timeout=200](Ax2a L Ax1Gen) *<proof>*

axiomatization where *Th4*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$

theorem *Th5*: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$

— sledgehammer(Ax2a Ax2b Th5 God_def Rsymm) — Proof found
<proof>

lemma *PosProps*: $[P(\lambda x. \top) \wedge P(\lambda x. x = x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *NegProps*: $[\neg P(\lambda x. \perp) \wedge \neg P(\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss1*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \leftrightarrow \psi y)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss2*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \wedge \psi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\varphi \equiv \psi)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UniqueEss3*: $[\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset y \equiv x)]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Monotheism*: $[G x \wedge G y \supset x \equiv y]$ *<proof>*

lemma *Filter*: $[Filter P]$ *<proof>*

lemma *UltraFilter*: $[UltraFilter P]$ *<proof>*

lemma *True nitpick* $[satisfy, card=1, eval=[P(\lambda x. \top)]]$ *<proof>*

end

7.4 HOMLinHOLonlyS4.thy (slight variation of Figure 3 of [2])

Shallow embedding of higher-order modal logic (HOML) in the classical higher-order logic (HOL) of Isabelle/HOL utilizing the LogiKEY methodology. Here logic S4 is introduced.

theory *HOMLinHOLonlyS4* **imports** *Main*
begin

— Global parameters setting for the model finder nitpick and the parser; unimport for the reader

nitpick-params $[user-axioms, expect=genuine, show-all, format=2, max-genuine=3]$
declare $[[syntax-ambiguity-warning=false]]$

— Type *i* is associated with possible worlds and type *e* with entities:

typedecl *i* — Possible worlds

typedecl *e* — Individuals/entities

type-synonym $\sigma = i \Rightarrow bool$ — World-lifted propositions

type-synonym $\tau = e \Rightarrow \sigma$ — modal properties

consts *R*: $i \Rightarrow i \Rightarrow bool$ (**-r**) — Accessibility relation between worlds

axiomatization where

Rrefl: $\forall x. \mathit{rx} \text{ and}$

Rtrans: $\forall x y z. \mathit{xy} \wedge \mathit{yz} \longrightarrow \mathit{xz}$

— Logical connectives (operating on truth-sets)

abbreviation *Mbot*: σ (\perp) **where** $\perp \equiv \lambda w. False$

abbreviation $Mtop::\sigma (\top)$ **where** $\top \equiv \lambda w. True$
abbreviation $Mneg::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma (\neg-$ [52]53) **where** $\neg\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \neg(\varphi w)$
abbreviation $Mand::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \wedge 50) **where** $\varphi \wedge \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \wedge \psi w$
abbreviation $Mor::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \vee 49) **where** $\varphi \vee \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \vee \psi w$
abbreviation $Mimp::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixr** \supset 48) **where** $\varphi \supset \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longrightarrow \psi w$
abbreviation $Mequiv::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \leftrightarrow 47) **where** $\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi \equiv \lambda w. \varphi w \longleftrightarrow \psi w$
abbreviation $Mbox::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($\Box-$ [54]55) **where** $\Box\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \forall v. w \mathbf{r} v \longrightarrow \varphi v$
abbreviation $Mdia::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($\Diamond-$ [54]55) **where** $\Diamond\varphi \equiv \lambda w. \exists v. w \mathbf{r} v \wedge \varphi v$
abbreviation $Mprimeq::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($-=-$) **where** $x=y \equiv \lambda w. x=w$
abbreviation $Mprimneg::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($- \neq -$) **where** $x \neq y \equiv \lambda w. x \neq y$
abbreviation $Mnegpred::\tau \Rightarrow \tau$ ($\sim-$) **where** $\sim\Phi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \neg\Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mconpred::\tau \Rightarrow \tau \Rightarrow \tau$ (**infixl** . 50) **where** $\Phi.\Psi \equiv \lambda x. \lambda w. \Phi x w \wedge \Psi x w$
abbreviation $Mexclor::\sigma \Rightarrow \sigma \Rightarrow \sigma$ (**infixl** \vee^e 49) **where** $\varphi \vee^e \psi \equiv (\varphi \vee \psi) \wedge \neg(\varphi \wedge \psi)$

— Possibilist quantifiers (polymorphic)

abbreviation $Mallposs::('a \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\forall^E) **where** $\forall^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mallpossb$ (**binder** \forall [8]9) **where** $\forall x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall \varphi$
abbreviation $Mexiposs::('a \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\exists) **where** $\exists \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mexipossb$ (**binder** \exists [8]9) **where** $\exists x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists \varphi$

— Actualist quantifiers (for individuals/entities)

consts $existsAt::e \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($-@-$)
abbreviation $Mallact::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\forall^E) **where** $\forall^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \forall x. x @ w \longrightarrow \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mallactb$ (**binder** \forall^E [8]9) **where** $\forall^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \forall^E \varphi$
abbreviation $Mexiact::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (\exists^E) **where** $\exists^E \Phi \equiv \lambda w. \exists x. x @ w \wedge \Phi x w$
abbreviation $Mexiactb$ (**binder** \exists^E [8]9) **where** $\exists^E x. \varphi(x) \equiv \exists^E \varphi$

— Leibniz equality (polymorphic)

abbreviation $Mleibeq::'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \sigma$ ($- \equiv -$) **where** $x \equiv y \equiv \forall P. P x \supset P y$

— Meta-logical predicate for global validity

abbreviation $Mvalid::\sigma \Rightarrow bool$ ($[-]$) **where** $[\psi] \equiv \forall w. \psi w$

end

7.5 TestsHOMLinS4.thy

Tests and verifications of properties for the embedding of HOML (S4) in HOL.

theory *TestsHOMLinS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

— Test for S5 modal logic

lemma axM : $[\Box\varphi \supset \varphi]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma axD : $[\Box\varphi \supset \Diamond\varphi]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
lemma axB : $[\varphi \supset \Box\Diamond\varphi]$
nitpick $[expect=genuine]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ax4*: $[\Box\varphi \supset \Box\Box\varphi]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ax5*: $[\Diamond\varphi \supset \Box\Diamond\varphi]$
nitpick*[expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *BarcanAct*: $[(\forall^E x. \Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall^E x. (\varphi x))]$
nitpick*[expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *ConvBarcanAct*: $[\Box(\forall^E x. (\varphi x)) \supset (\forall^E x. \Box(\varphi x))]$
nitpick*[expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *BarcanPoss*: $[(\forall x. \Box(\varphi x)) \supset \Box(\forall x. \varphi x)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ConvBarcanPoss*: $[\Box(\forall x. (\varphi x)) \supset (\forall x. \Box(\varphi x))]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Hilbert-A1*: $[A \supset (B \supset A)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Hilbert-A2*: $[(A \supset (B \supset C)) \supset ((A \supset B) \supset (A \supset C))]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Hilbert-MP*: **assumes** $[A]$ **and** $[A \supset B]$ **shows** $[B]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Quant-1*: **assumes** $[A]$ **shows** $[\forall x::'a. A]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ExImPossibilist1*: $[\exists x::e. x = x]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ExImPossibilist2*: $[\exists x::e. x \equiv x]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ExImPossibilist3*: $[\exists x::e. x = t]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ExImPossibilist4*: $[\exists x::'a. x \equiv t::'a]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ExImPossibilist*: $[\exists x::'a. \top]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Quant-2*: **assumes** $[A]$ **shows** $[\forall^E x::e. A]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ExImActualist1*: $[\exists^E x::e. x = x]$
nitpick*[card=1, expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *ExImActualist2*: $[\exists^E x::e. x \equiv x]$
nitpick*[card=1, expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *ExImActualist3*: $[\exists^E x::e. x = t]$
nitpick*[card=1, expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *ExImActualist*: $[\exists^E x::e. \top]$
nitpick*[card=1, expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *EqRefl*: $[x = x]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EqSym*: $[(x = y) \leftrightarrow (y = x)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EqTrans*: $[((x = y) \wedge (y = z)) \supset (x = z)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EQCong*: $[(x = y) \supset ((\varphi x) = (\varphi y))]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EQFuncExt*: $[(\varphi = \psi) \supset (\forall x. ((\varphi x) = (\psi x)))]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EQBoolExt1*: $[(\varphi = \psi) \supset (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EQBoolExt2*: $[(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi) \supset (\varphi = \psi)]$
nitpick*[card=2]* *<proof>*
lemma *EQBoolExt3*: $[(\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)] \longrightarrow [(\varphi = \psi)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EqPrimLeib*: $[(x = y) \leftrightarrow (x \equiv y)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Comprehension1*: $[\exists \varphi. \forall x. (\varphi x) \leftrightarrow A]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Comprehension2*: $[\exists \varphi. \forall x. (\varphi x) \leftrightarrow (A x)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *Comprehension3*: $[\exists \varphi. \forall x y. (\varphi x y) \leftrightarrow (A x y)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *ModalCollapse*: $[\forall \varphi. \varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$
nitpick*[card=2, expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *TruePropertyAndSelfIdentity*: $[(\lambda x::e. \top) = (\lambda x. x = x)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EmptyPropertyAndSelfDifference*: $[(\lambda x::e. \perp) = (\lambda x. x \neq x)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EmptyProperty2*: $[\exists x. \varphi x] \longrightarrow [\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EmptyProperty3*: $[\exists^E x. \varphi x] \longrightarrow [\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp)]$ *<proof>*
lemma *EmptyProperty4*: $[\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp)] \longrightarrow [\exists x. \varphi x]$
nitpick*[expect=genuine]* *<proof>*
lemma *EmptyProperty5*: $[\varphi \neq (\lambda x::e. \perp)] \longrightarrow [\exists^E x. \varphi x]$

nitpick[*expect=genuine*] *<proof>*

end

7.6 GoedelVariantHOML1inS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML1, but now in logic S4.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML1inS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \ \varphi \wedge P \ \psi \supset P \ (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \ \varphi \vee^e P \ \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G \ x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \ \varphi \supset \varphi \ x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N-$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi \ y \supset \psi \ y)$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.-$) **where** $\varphi \ Ess. \ x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi \ x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \ \varphi \supset \Box P \ \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \ \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \ \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G \ x \supset G \ Ess. \ x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E \ x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \ Ess. \ x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi \ x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P \ E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G \ x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G \ y)]$ *<proof>*

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \ \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \ \psi]$

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G \ x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G \ y)]$ *<proof>*

end

7.7 GoedelVariantHOML2inS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML2, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML2inS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where $Ax1$: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

abbreviation $PosProps \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation $ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where $Ax1Gen$: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

axiomatization where $Ax2a$: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition $God (G)$ **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation $PropertyInclusion (-\supset_N-)$ **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition $Essence (-Ess.-)$ **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where $Ax2b$: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma $Ax2b'$: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th1$: $[G x \supset G Ess. x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $NecExist (E)$ **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi Ess. x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where $Ax3$: $[P E]$

axiomatization where $Ax4$: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

theorem $Th2$: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$

theorem $Th3$: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^E y. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer $\langle proof \rangle$

end

7.8 GoedelVariantHOML2possInS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML2poss, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

theory $GoedelVariantHOML2possInS4$ **imports** $HOMLinHOLonlyS4$
begin

consts $PositiveProperty::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$

axiomatization where $Ax1$: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

abbreviation $PosProps \Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation $ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y)$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.$) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi))$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G Ess. x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (*E*) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi Ess. x \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists y. G y)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists y. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer *<proof>*

end

7.9 GoedelVariantHOML3inS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML3, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML3inS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi . \psi)]$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall^E z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall^{E y}. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.$) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where *Ax2b*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

lemma *Ax2b'*: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ *<proof>*

theorem *Th1*: $[G x \supset G Ess. x]$ *<proof>*

definition *NecExist* (E) **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi Ess. x \supset \Box(\exists^{E x}. \varphi x)$

axiomatization where *Ax3*: $[P E]$

theorem *Th2*: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists^{E y}. G y)]$ *<proof>*

axiomatization where *Ax4*: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *Th3*: $[\Diamond(\exists^{E x}. G x) \supset \Box(\exists^{E y}. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer *<proof>*

end

7.10 GoedelVariantHOML3possInS4.thy

The same as GoedelVariantHOML3poss, but now in logic S4, where the proof of theorem Th3 fails.

theory *GoedelVariantHOML3possInS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (P)

axiomatization where *Ax1*: $[P \varphi \wedge P \psi \supset P (\varphi \cdot \psi)]$

abbreviation *PosProps* $\Phi \equiv \forall \varphi. \Phi \varphi \supset P \varphi$

abbreviation *ConjOfPropsFrom* $\varphi \Phi \equiv \Box(\forall z. \varphi z \leftrightarrow (\forall \psi. \Phi \psi \supset \psi z))$

axiomatization where *Ax1Gen*: $[(PosProps \Phi \wedge ConjOfPropsFrom \varphi \Phi) \supset P \varphi]$

axiomatization where *Ax2a*: $[P \varphi \vee^e P \sim \varphi]$

definition *God* (G) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

abbreviation *PropertyInclusion* ($-\supset_N$) **where** $\varphi \supset_N \psi \equiv \Box(\varphi \neq (\lambda x. \perp) \wedge (\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

definition *Essence* ($-Ess.$) **where** $\varphi Ess. x \equiv \forall \psi. \psi x \supset (\varphi \supset_N \psi)$

axiomatization where $Ax2b$: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$
lemma $Ax2b'$: $[\neg P \varphi \supset \Box(\neg P \varphi)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
theorem $Th1$: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
definition $NecExist (E)$ **where** $E x \equiv \forall \varphi. (\varphi \text{Ess. } x) \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi x)$
axiomatization where $Ax3$: $[P E]$
axiomatization where $Ax4$: $[P \varphi \wedge (\varphi \supset_N \psi) \supset P \psi]$
theorem $Th2$: $[G x \supset \Box(\exists y. G y)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
theorem $Th3$: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x) \supset \Box(\exists y. G y)]$ — nitpick sledgehammer $\langle proof \rangle$
end

7.11 ScottVariantHOMLinS4.thy

theory $ScottVariantHOMLinS4$ **imports** $HOMLinHOLonlyS4$
begin

consts $PositiveProperty::(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma (P)$
axiomatization where $A1$: $[\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim \varphi]$
axiomatization where $A2$: $[P \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$
theorem $T1$: $[P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists^E x. \varphi x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
definition $God (G)$ **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$
axiomatization where $A3$: $[P G]$
theorem $Coro$: $[\Diamond(\exists^E x. G x)]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
axiomatization where $A4$: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$
definition $Ess (-\text{Ess.})$ **where** $\varphi \text{Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \Box(\forall^E y. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$
theorem $T2$: $[G x \supset G \text{Ess. } x]$ $\langle proof \rangle$
definition $NecExist (NE)$ **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists^E x. \varphi x)$
axiomatization where $A5$: $[P NE]$

lemma *True* **nitpick**[*satisfy,card=1,eval=[P (λx.⊤)]*] ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *T3*: $[\Box(\exists^E x. G x)]$ **nitpick**[*card e=1, card i=2*] ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$ **nitpick**[*card e=1, card i=2*] ⟨*proof*⟩

end

7.12 ScottVariantHOMLpossInS4.thy

theory *ScottVariantHOMLpossInS4* **imports** *HOMLinHOLonlyS4*
begin

consts *PositiveProperty*:: $(e \Rightarrow \sigma) \Rightarrow \sigma$ (*P*)

axiomatization **where** *A1*: $[\neg P \varphi \leftrightarrow P \sim\varphi]$

axiomatization **where** *A2*: $[P \varphi \wedge \Box(\forall y. \varphi y \supset \psi y) \supset P \psi]$

theorem *T1*: $[P \varphi \supset \Diamond(\exists x. \varphi x)]$ ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *God* (*G*) **where** $G x \equiv \forall \varphi. P \varphi \supset \varphi x$

axiomatization **where** *A3*: $[P G]$

theorem *Coro*: $[\Diamond(\exists x. G x)]$ ⟨*proof*⟩

axiomatization **where** *A4*: $[P \varphi \supset \Box P \varphi]$

definition *Ess* (*-Ess.*) **where** $\varphi \text{ Ess. } x \equiv \varphi x \wedge (\forall \psi. \psi x \supset \Box(\forall y::e. \varphi y \supset \psi y))$

theorem *T2*: $[G x \supset G \text{ Ess. } x]$ ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *NecExist* (*NE*) **where** $NE x \equiv \forall \varphi. \varphi \text{ Ess. } x \supset \Box(\exists x. \varphi x)$

axiomatization **where** *A5*: $[P NE]$

lemma *True* **nitpick**[*satisfy,card=1,eval=[P (λx.⊥)]*] ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *T3*: $[\Box(\exists x. G x)]$ **nitpick**[*card e=1, card i=2*] ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *MC*: $[\varphi \supset \Box\varphi]$ **nitpick**[*card e=1, card i=2*] ⟨*proof*⟩

end

References

- [1] C. Benzmüller. Exploring simplified variants of Gödel's ontological argument in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2021. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/SimplifiedOntologicalArgument.html>, Formal proof development.
- [2] C. Benzmüller and D. S. Scott. Notes on Gödel's and Scott's Variants of the ontological argument. *Monatshefte für Mathematik*, 2024. Forthcoming/accepted.
- [3] C. Benzmüller and B. Woltzenlogel Paleo. Gödel's God in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, November 2013. <https://isa-afp.org/entries/GoedelGod.html>, Formal proof development.
- [4] D. Fuenmayor and C. Benzmüller. Types, Tableaus and Gödel's God in Isabelle/HOL. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, May 2017. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Types_Tableaus_and_Goedels_God.html, Formal proof development.
- [5] K. Gödel. Appendix A. Notes in Kurt Gödel's Hand. In Sobel [7], pages 144–145.
- [6] D. S. Scott. Appendix B: Notes in Dana Scott's Hand. In Sobel [7], pages 145–146.
- [7] J. H. Sobel. *Logic and Theism: Arguments for and Against Beliefs in God*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.