

Formalization of Nested Multisets, Hereditary Multisets, and Syntactic Ordinals

Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Mathias Fleury, and Dmitriy Traytel

September 18, 2020

Abstract

This Isabelle/HOL formalization introduces a nested multiset datatype and defines Dershowitz and Manna’s nested multiset order. The order is proved well founded and linear. By removing one constructor, we transform the nested multisets into hereditary multisets. These are isomorphic to the syntactic ordinals—the ordinals can be recursively expressed in Cantor normal form. Addition, subtraction, multiplication, and linear orders are provided on this type.

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	More about Multisets	3
2.1	Basic Setup	3
2.2	Lemmas about Intersection, Union and Pointwise Inclusion	3
2.3	Lemmas about Filter and Image	4
2.4	Lemmas about Sum	5
2.5	Lemmas about Remove	5
2.6	Lemmas about Replicate	6
2.7	Multiset and Set Conversions	7
2.8	Duplicate Removal	7
2.9	Repeat Operation	9
2.10	Cartesian Product	9
2.11	Transfer Rules	12
2.12	Even More about Multisets	13
2.12.1	Multisets and Functions	13
2.12.2	Multisets and Lists	13
2.12.3	More on Multisets and Functions	14
2.12.4	More on Multiset Order	14
3	Signed (Finite) Multisets	15
3.1	Definition of Signed Multisets	15
3.2	Basic Operations on Signed Multisets	15
3.2.1	Conversion to Set and Membership	16
3.2.2	Union	18
3.2.3	Difference	18
3.2.4	Equality of Signed Multisets	18
3.3	Conversions from and to Multisets	19
3.3.1	Pointwise Ordering Induced by <i>zcount</i>	20
3.3.2	Subset is an Order	22
3.4	Replicate and Repeat Operations	22
3.4.1	Filter (with Comprehension Syntax)	22
3.5	Uncategorized	23
3.6	Image	23
3.7	Multiset Order	23

4	Nested Multisets	25
4.1	Type Definition	25
4.2	Dershowitz and Manna’s Nested Multiset Order	26
5	Hereditar(i)l(y) (Finite) Multisets	28
5.1	Type Definition	28
5.2	Restriction of Dershowitz and Manna’s Nested Multiset Order	28
5.3	Disjoint Union and Truncated Difference	29
5.4	Infimum and Supremum	30
5.5	Inequalities	30
6	Signed Hereditar(i)l(y) (Finite) Multisets	31
6.1	Type Definition	31
6.2	Multiset Order	32
6.3	Embedding and Projections of Syntactic Ordinals	32
6.4	Disjoint Union and Difference	32
6.5	Infimum and Supremum	33
7	Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form	34
7.1	Natural (Hessenberg) Product	34
7.2	Inequalities	34
7.3	Embedding of Natural Numbers	36
7.4	Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers	37
7.5	Head Omega	37
7.6	More Inequalities and Some Equalities	38
7.7	Conversions to Natural Numbers	40
7.8	An Example	41
8	Signed Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form	41
8.1	Natural (Hessenberg) Product	41
8.2	Embedding of Natural Numbers	42
8.3	Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers	42
8.4	Inequalities and Some (Dis)equalities	42
8.5	An Example	45
9	Bridge between Huffman’s Ordinal Library and the Syntactic Ordinals	45
9.1	Missing Lemmas about Huffman’s Ordinals	45
9.2	Embedding of Syntactic Ordinals into Huffman’s Ordinals	46
10	Termination of McCarthy’s 91 Function	46
11	Termination of the Hydra Battle	47
12	Termination of the Goodstein Sequence	48
12.1	Lemmas about Division	48
12.2	Hereditary and Nonhereditary Base- n Systems	48
12.3	Encoding of Natural Numbers into Ordinals	48
12.4	Decoding of Natural Numbers from Ordinals	49
12.5	The Goodstein Sequence and Goodstein’s Theorem	50
13	Towards Decidability of Behavioral Equivalence for Unary PCF	50
13.1	Preliminaries	51
13.2	Types	51
13.3	Terms	51
13.4	Substitution	54
13.5	Typing	55
13.6	Definition 10 and Lemma 11 from Schmidt-Schauß’s paper	55

1 Introduction

This Isabelle/HOL formalization introduces a nested multiset datatype and defines Dershowitz and Manna's nested multiset order. The order is proved well founded and linear. By removing one constructor, we transform the nested multisets into hereditary multisets. These are isomorphic to the syntactic ordinals—the ordinals can be recursively expressed in Cantor normal form. Addition, subtraction, multiplication, and linear orders are provided on this type.

In addition, signed (or hybrid) multisets are provided (i.e., multisets with possibly negative multiplicities), as well as signed hereditary multisets and signed ordinals (e.g., $\omega^2 - 2\omega + 1$).

We refer to the following conference paper for details:

Jasmin Christian Blanchette, Mathias Fleury, Dmitriy Traytel:
Nested Multisets, Hereditary Multisets, and Syntactic Ordinals in Isabelle/HOL.
FSCD 2017: 11:1-11:18
<https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01599176/document>

2 More about Multisets

```
theory Multiset_More
imports
  HOL-Library.Multiset_Order
  HOL-Library.Sublist
begin
```

Isabelle's theory of finite multisets is not as developed as other areas, such as lists and sets. The present theory introduces some missing concepts and lemmas. Some of it is expected to move to Isabelle's library.

2.1 Basic Setup

```
declare
  diff_single_trivial [simp]
  in_image_mset [iff]
  image_mset.compositionality [simp]

  mset_subset_eqD[dest, intro?]

  Multiset.in_multiset_in_set[simp]
  inter_add_left1[simp]
  inter_add_left2[simp]
  inter_add_right1[simp]
  inter_add_right2[simp]

  sum_mset_sum_list[simp]
```

2.2 Lemmas about Intersection, Union and Pointwise Inclusion

```
lemma subset_mset_imp_subset_add_mset:  $A \subseteq\# B \implies A \subseteq\# \text{add\_mset } x B$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma subset_add_mset_notin_subset_mset:  $\langle A \subseteq\# \text{add\_mset } b B \implies b \notin\# A \implies A \subseteq\# B \rangle$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma subset_msetE:  $\llbracket A \subseteq\# B; \llbracket A \subseteq\# B; \neg B \subseteq\# A \rrbracket \implies R \rrbracket \implies R$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma Diff_triv_mset:  $M \cap\# N = \{\#\} \implies M - N = M$ 
  <proof>
```

```
lemma diff_intersect_sym_diff:  $(A - B) \cap\# (B - A) = \{\#\}$ 
  <proof>
```

declare *subset_msetE* [elim!]

lemma *subseq_mset_subseteq_mset*: $\text{subseq } xs \ ys \implies \text{mset } xs \subseteq\# \text{mset } ys$
<proof>

2.3 Lemmas about Filter and Image

lemma *count_image_mset_ge_count*: $\text{count } (\text{image_mset } f \ A) \ (f \ b) \geq \text{count } A \ b$
<proof>

lemma *count_image_mset_inj*:
assumes <inj *f*>
shows $\text{count } (\text{image_mset } f \ M) \ (f \ x) = \text{count } M \ x$
<proof>

lemma *count_image_mset_le_count_inj_on*:
inj_on *f* (*set_mset* *M*) $\implies \text{count } (\text{image_mset } f \ M) \ y \leq \text{count } M \ (\text{inv_into } (\text{set_mset } M) \ f \ y)$
<proof>

lemma *mset_filter_compl*: $\text{mset } (\text{filter } p \ xs) + \text{mset } (\text{filter } (\text{Not } \circ \ p) \ xs) = \text{mset } xs$
<proof>

Near duplicate of *filter_eq_replicate_mset*: $\{\#y \in\# \ ?D. \ y = \ ?x\#\} = \text{replicate_mset } (\text{count } \ ?D \ \ ?x) \ \ ?x$.

lemma *filter_mset_eq*: $\text{filter_mset } ((=) \ L) \ A = \text{replicate_mset } (\text{count } A \ L) \ L$
<proof>

lemma *filter_mset_cong[fundef_cong]*:
assumes $M = M' \ \wedge \ a. \ a \in\# \ M \implies P \ a = Q \ a$
shows $\text{filter_mset } P \ M = \text{filter_mset } Q \ M$
<proof>

lemma *image_mset_filter_swap*: $\text{image_mset } f \ \{\#x \in\# \ M. \ P \ (f \ x)\#\} = \{\#x \in\# \ \text{image_mset } f \ M. \ P \ x\#\}$
<proof>

lemma *image_mset_cong2*:
 $(\wedge x. \ x \in\# \ M \implies f \ x = g \ x) \implies M = N \implies \text{image_mset } f \ M = \text{image_mset } g \ N$
<proof>

lemma *filter_mset_empty_conv*: $\langle \text{filter_mset } P \ M = \{\#\} \rangle = \langle \forall L \in\# M. \ \neg \ P \ L \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *multiset_filter_mono2*: $\langle \text{filter_mset } P \ A \subseteq\# \text{filter_mset } Q \ A \iff (\forall a \in\# A. \ P \ a \implies Q \ a) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *image_filter_cong*:
assumes $\langle \wedge C. \ C \in\# \ M \implies P \ C \implies f \ C = g \ C \rangle$
shows $\langle \{\#f \ C. \ C \in\# \ \{\#C \in\# \ M. \ P \ C\#\}\#\} = \{\#g \ C \mid C \in\# \ M. \ P \ C\#\} \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *image_mset_filter_swap2*: $\langle \{\#C \in\# \ \{\#P \ x. \ x \in\# \ D\#\}. \ Q \ C \ \#\} = \{\#P \ x. \ x \in\# \ \{\#C \mid C \in\# \ D. \ Q \ (P \ C)\#\}\#\} \rangle$
<proof>

declare *image_mset_cong2* [cong]

lemma *filter_mset_empty_if_finite_and_filter_set_empty*:
assumes
 $\{x \in X. \ P \ x\} = \{\}$ **and**
 finite *X*
shows $\{\#x \in\# \ \text{mset_set } X. \ P \ x\#\} = \{\#\}$
<proof>

2.4 Lemmas about Sum

lemma *sum_image_mset_sum_map*[simp]: $\text{sum_mset } (\text{image_mset } f \text{ (mset } xs)) = \text{sum_list } (\text{map } f \text{ } xs)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_image_mset_mono*:
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{canonically_ordered_monoid_add}$
assumes $sub: A \subseteq\# B$
shows $(\sum m \in\# A. f \ m) \leq (\sum m \in\# B. f \ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_image_mset_mono_mem*:
 $n \in\# M \implies f \ n \leq (\sum m \in\# M. f \ m)$ **for** $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\text{canonically_ordered_monoid_add}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_sum_mset_if_1_0*: $\langle \text{count } M \ a = (\sum x \in\# M. \text{if } x = a \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_mset_dvd*:
fixes $k :: 'a::\text{comm_semiring_1_cancel}$
assumes $\forall m \in\# M. k \ \text{dvd} \ f \ m$
shows $k \ \text{dvd} \ (\sum m \in\# M. f \ m)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_mset_distrib_div_if_dvd*:
fixes $k :: 'a::\text{unique_euclidean_semiring}$
assumes $\forall m \in\# M. k \ \text{dvd} \ f \ m$
shows $(\sum m \in\# M. f \ m) \ \text{div} \ k = (\sum m \in\# M. f \ m \ \text{div} \ k)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.5 Lemmas about Remove

lemma *set_mset_minus_replicate_mset*[simp]:
 $n \geq \text{count } A \ a \implies \text{set_mset } (A - \text{replicate_mset } n \ a) = \text{set_mset } A - \{a\}$
 $n < \text{count } A \ a \implies \text{set_mset } (A - \text{replicate_mset } n \ a) = \text{set_mset } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *removeAll_mset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset}$ **where**
 $\text{removeAll_mset } C \ M \equiv M - \text{replicate_mset } (\text{count } M \ C) \ C$

lemma *mset_removeAll*[simp, code]: $\text{removeAll_mset } C \ (\text{mset } L) = \text{mset } (\text{removeAll } C \ L)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *removeAll_mset_filter_mset*: $\text{removeAll_mset } C \ M = \text{filter_mset } ((\neq) \ C) \ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *remove1_mset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset} \Rightarrow 'a \ \text{multiset}$ **where**
 $\text{remove1_mset } C \ M \equiv M - \{\#C\# \}$

lemma *removeAll_subseteq_remove1_mset*: $\text{removeAll_mset } x \ M \subseteq\# \text{remove1_mset } x \ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *in_remove1_mset_neq*:
assumes $ab: a \neq b$
shows $a \in\# \text{remove1_mset } b \ C \longleftrightarrow a \in\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size_mset_removeAll_mset_le_iff*: $\text{size } (\text{removeAll_mset } x \ M) < \text{size } M \longleftrightarrow x \in\# M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size_remove1_mset_If*: $\langle \text{size } (\text{remove1_mset } x \ M) = \text{size } M - (\text{if } x \in\# M \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *size_mset_remove1_mset_le_iff*: $\text{size } (\text{remove1_mset } x \ M) < \text{size } M \longleftrightarrow x \in\# M$

<proof>

lemma *remove_1_mset_id_iff_notin*: $\text{remove1_mset } a \ M = M \longleftrightarrow a \notin\# \ M$
<proof>

lemma *id_remove_1_mset_iff_notin*: $M = \text{remove1_mset } a \ M \longleftrightarrow a \notin\# \ M$
<proof>

lemma *remove1_mset_eqE*:
 $\text{remove1_mset } L \ x1 = M \implies$
 $(L \in\# \ x1 \implies x1 = M + \{\#L\# \} \implies P) \implies$
 $(L \notin\# \ x1 \implies x1 = M \implies P) \implies$
 P
<proof>

lemma *image_filter_ne_mset[simp]*:
 $\text{image_mset } f \ \{\#x \in\# \ M. f \ x \neq y\# \} = \text{removeAll_mset } y \ (\text{image_mset } f \ M)$
<proof>

lemma *image_mset_remove1_mset_if*:
 $\text{image_mset } f \ (\text{remove1_mset } a \ M) =$
 $(\text{if } a \in\# \ M \text{ then } \text{remove1_mset } (f \ a) \ (\text{image_mset } f \ M) \text{ else } \text{image_mset } f \ M)$
<proof>

lemma *filter_mset_neq*: $\{\#x \in\# \ M. x \neq y\# \} = \text{removeAll_mset } y \ M$
<proof>

lemma *filter_mset_neq_cond*: $\{\#x \in\# \ M. P \ x \wedge x \neq y\# \} = \text{removeAll_mset } y \ \{\#x \in\# \ M. P \ x\# \}$
<proof>

lemma *remove1_mset_add_mset>If*:
 $\text{remove1_mset } L \ (\text{add_mset } L' \ C) = (\text{if } L = L' \text{ then } C \text{ else } \text{remove1_mset } L \ C + \{\#L'\# \})$
<proof>

lemma *minus_remove1_mset_if*:
 $A - \text{remove1_mset } b \ B = (\text{if } b \in\# \ B \wedge b \in\# \ A \wedge \text{count } A \ b \geq \text{count } B \ b \text{ then } \{\#b\# \} + (A - B) \text{ else } A - B)$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_eq_add_mset_ne*:
 $a \neq b \implies \text{add_mset } a \ A = \text{add_mset } b \ B \longleftrightarrow a \in\# \ B \wedge b \in\# \ A \wedge A = \text{add_mset } b \ (B - \{\#a\# \})$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_eq_add_mset*: $\langle \text{add_mset } a \ M = \text{add_mset } b \ M' \longleftrightarrow$
 $(a = b \wedge M = M') \vee (a \neq b \wedge b \in\# \ M \wedge \text{add_mset } a \ (M - \{\#b\# \}) = M' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_remove_trivial_iff*: $\langle N = \text{add_mset } a \ (N - \{\#b\# \}) \longleftrightarrow a \in\# \ N \wedge a = b \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *trivial_add_mset_remove_iff*: $\langle \text{add_mset } a \ (N - \{\#b\# \}) = N \longleftrightarrow a \in\# \ N \wedge a = b \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *remove1_single_empty_iff[simp]*: $\langle \text{remove1_mset } L \ \{\#L'\# \} = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow L = L' \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *add_mset_less_imp_less_remove1_mset*:
assumes xM_lt_N : $\text{add_mset } x \ M < N$
shows $M < \text{remove1_mset } x \ N$
<proof>

2.6 Lemmas about Replicate

lemma *replicate_mset_minus_replicate_mset_same[simp]*:

$\text{replicate_mset } m \ x - \text{replicate_mset } n \ x = \text{replicate_mset } (m - n) \ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{replicate_mset_subset_iff_lt}[simp]$: $\text{replicate_mset } m \ x \subset\# \text{replicate_mset } n \ x \longleftrightarrow m < n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{replicate_mset_subseq_iff_le}[simp]$: $\text{replicate_mset } m \ x \subseteq\# \text{replicate_mset } n \ x \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{replicate_mset_lt_iff_lt}[simp]$: $\text{replicate_mset } m \ x < \text{replicate_mset } n \ x \longleftrightarrow m < n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{replicate_mset_le_iff_le}[simp]$: $\text{replicate_mset } m \ x \leq \text{replicate_mset } n \ x \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{replicate_mset_eq_iff}[simp]$:
 $\text{replicate_mset } m \ x = \text{replicate_mset } n \ y \longleftrightarrow m = n \wedge (m \neq 0 \longrightarrow x = y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{replicate_mset_plus}$: $\text{replicate_mset } (a + b) \ C = \text{replicate_mset } a \ C + \text{replicate_mset } b \ C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{mset_replicate_replicate_mset}$: $\text{mset } (\text{replicate } n \ L) = \text{replicate_mset } n \ L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{set_mset_single_iff_replicate_mset}$: $\text{set_mset } U = \{a\} \longleftrightarrow (\exists n > 0. U = \text{replicate_mset } n \ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{ex_replicate_mset_if_all_elems_eq}$:
assumes $\forall x \in\# M. x = y$
shows $\exists n. M = \text{replicate_mset } n \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.7 Multiset and Set Conversions

lemma count_mset_set_if : $\text{count } (\text{mset_set } A) \ a = (\text{if } a \in A \wedge \text{finite } A \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{mset_set_set_mset_empty_mempty}[iff]$: $\text{mset_set } (\text{set_mset } D) = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{count_mset_set_le_one}$: $\text{count } (\text{mset_set } A) \ x \leq 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{mset_set_set_mset_subseq}[simp]$: $\text{mset_set } (\text{set_mset } A) \subseteq\# A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{mset_sorted_list_of_set}[simp]$: $\text{mset } (\text{sorted_list_of_set } A) = \text{mset_set } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{sorted_sorted_list_of_multiset}[simp]$:
 $\text{sorted } (\text{sorted_list_of_multiset } (M :: 'a::\text{linorder multiset}))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma mset_take_subseq : $\text{mset } (\text{take } n \ xs) \subseteq\# \text{mset } xs$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $\text{sorted_list_of_multiset_eq_Nil}[simp]$: $\text{sorted_list_of_multiset } M = [] \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.8 Duplicate Removal

definition $\text{remdups_mset} :: 'v \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ multiset}$ **where**
 $\text{remdups_mset } S = \text{mset_set } (\text{set_mset } S)$

lemma *set_mset_remdups_mset*[simp]: $\langle \text{set_mset} (\text{remdups_mset } A) = \text{set_mset } A \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_remdups_mset_eq_1*: $a \in \# \text{remdups_mset } A \longleftrightarrow \text{count} (\text{remdups_mset } A) a = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_empty*[simp]: $\text{remdups_mset } \{\#\} = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_singleton*[simp]: $\text{remdups_mset } \{\#a\# \} = \{\#a\# \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_eq_empty*[iff]: $\text{remdups_mset } D = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow D = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *remdups_mset_singleton_sum*[simp]:
 $\text{remdups_mset} (\text{add_mset } a A) = (\text{if } a \in \# A \text{ then } \text{remdups_mset } A \text{ else } \text{add_mset } a (\text{remdups_mset } A))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset_remdups_remdups_mset*[simp]: $\text{mset} (\text{remdups } D) = \text{remdups_mset} (\text{mset } D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *mset_remdups_remdups_mset*[symmetric, code]

definition *distinct_mset* :: 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{distinct_mset } S \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. a \in \# S \longrightarrow \text{count } S a = 1)$

lemma *distinct_mset_count_less_1*: $\text{distinct_mset } S \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{count } S a \leq 1)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_empty*[simp]: $\text{distinct_mset } \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_singleton*: $\text{distinct_mset } \{\#a\# \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_union*:
assumes *dist*: $\text{distinct_mset} (A + B)$
shows $\text{distinct_mset } A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_minus*[simp]: $\text{distinct_mset } A \Longrightarrow \text{distinct_mset} (A - B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_remdups_mset>If*: $\langle \text{count} (\text{remdups_mset } A) a = (\text{if } a \in \# A \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0) \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_remdups_union_mset*:
assumes $\text{distinct_mset } A$ **and** $\text{distinct_mset } B$
shows $A \cup \# B = \text{remdups_mset} (A + B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_add_mset*[simp]: $\text{distinct_mset} (\text{add_mset } a L) \longleftrightarrow a \notin \# L \wedge \text{distinct_mset } L$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_size_eq_card*: $\text{distinct_mset } C \Longrightarrow \text{size } C = \text{card} (\text{set_mset } C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_add*:
 $\text{distinct_mset} (L + L') \longleftrightarrow \text{distinct_mset } L \wedge \text{distinct_mset } L' \wedge L \cap \# L' = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_set_mset_ident*[simp]: $\text{distinct_mset } M \implies \text{mset_set } (\text{set_mset } M) = M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_finite_set_mset_subseteq_iff*[iff]:
assumes *distinct_mset* M *finite* N
shows $\text{set_mset } M \subseteq N \iff M \subseteq\# \text{mset_set } N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mem_diff_mset*:
assumes *dist*: *distinct_mset* M **and** *mem*: $x \in \text{set_mset } (M - N)$
shows $x \notin \text{set_mset } N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_set_mset_eq*:
assumes *distinct_mset* M *distinct_mset* N $\text{set_mset } M = \text{set_mset } N$
shows $M = N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_union_mset*[simp]:
 ⟨*distinct_mset* $(D \cup\# C) \iff \text{distinct_mset } D \wedge \text{distinct_mset } C$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_inter_mset*:
distinct_mset $C \implies \text{distinct_mset } (C \cap\# D)$
distinct_mset $D \implies \text{distinct_mset } (C \cap\# D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_remove1_All*: *distinct_mset* $C \implies \text{remove1_mset } L C = \text{removeAll_mset } L C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_size_2*: *distinct_mset* $\{\#a, b\} \iff a \neq b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_filter*: *distinct_mset* $M \implies \text{distinct_mset } \{\# L \in\# M. P L\#$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_mset_mset_distinct*[simp]: ⟨*distinct_mset* $(\text{mset } xs) = \text{distinct } xs$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *distinct_image_mset_inj*:
 ⟨*inj_on* f $(\text{set_mset } M) \implies \text{distinct_mset } (\text{image_mset } f M) \iff \text{distinct_mset } M$ ⟩
 ⟨proof⟩

2.9 Repeat Operation

lemma *repeat_mset_compower*: $\text{repeat_mset } n A = (((+) A) \wedge\wedge n) \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_mset_prod*: $\text{repeat_mset } (m * n) A = (((+) (\text{repeat_mset } n A)) \wedge\wedge m) \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.10 Cartesian Product

Definition of the cartesian products over multisets. The construction mimics of the cartesian product on sets and use the same theorem names (adding only the suffix *_mset* to *Sigma* and *Times*). See file `~/src/HOL/Product_Type.thy`

definition *Sigma_mset* :: $'a$ multiset $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b$ multiset) $\Rightarrow ('a \times 'b)$ multiset **where**
 $\text{Sigma_mset } A B \equiv \bigcup\# \{\#\{\#(a, b). b \in\# B a\#\}. a \in\# A \#\}$

abbreviation *Times_mset* :: $'a$ multiset $\Rightarrow 'b$ multiset $\Rightarrow ('a \times 'b)$ multiset (**infixr** $\times\#$ 80) **where**
 $\text{Times_mset } A B \equiv \text{Sigma_mset } A (\lambda_. B)$

hide-const (open) *Times_mset*

Contrary to the set version $A \times B$, we use the non-ASCII symbol $\in\#$.

syntax

$_Sigma_mset :: [ptrn, 'a multiset, 'b multiset] => ('a * 'b) multiset$
 $((3SIGMAMSET _ \in\# _ / _) [0, 0, 10] 10)$

translations

$SIGMAMSET x \in\# A. B == CONST Sigma_mset A (\lambda x. B)$

Link between the multiset and the set cartesian product:

lemma $Times_mset_Times: set_mset (A \times\# B) = set_mset A \times set_mset B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetI [intro!]: \llbracket a \in\# A; b \in\# B \ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset A B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetE [elim!]: \llbracket c \in\# Sigma_mset A B; \bigwedge x y. \llbracket x \in\# A; y \in\# B \ x; c = (x, y) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Elimination of $(a, b) \in\# A \times\# B$ – introduces no eigenvariables.

lemma $Sigma_msetD1: (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset A B \Longrightarrow a \in\# A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetD2: (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset A B \Longrightarrow b \in\# B \ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_msetE2: \llbracket (a, b) \in\# Sigma_mset A B; \llbracket a \in\# A; b \in\# B \ a \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_cong:$

$\llbracket A = B; \bigwedge x. x \in\# B \Longrightarrow C \ x = D \ x \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (SIGMAMSET x \in\# A. C \ x) = (SIGMAMSET x \in\# B. D \ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $count_sum_mset: count (\bigcup\# M) b = (\sum P \in\# M. count P \ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_plus_distrib1 [simp]: Sigma_mset (A + B) C = Sigma_mset A C + Sigma_mset B C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_plus_distrib2 [simp]:$

$Sigma_mset A (\lambda i. B \ i + C \ i) = Sigma_mset A B + Sigma_mset A C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Times_mset_single_left: \{\#a\# \} \times\# B = image_mset (Pair \ a) B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Times_mset_single_right: A \times\# \{\#b\# \} = image_mset (\lambda a. Pair \ a \ b) A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Times_mset_single_single [simp]: \{\#a\# \} \times\# \{\#b\# \} = \{\#(a, b)\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $count_image_mset_Pair:$

$count (image_mset (Pair \ a) B) (x, b) = (if \ x = a \ then \ count \ B \ b \ else \ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $count_Sigma_mset: count (Sigma_mset A B) (a, b) = count A \ a * count (B \ a) \ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_empty1 [simp]: Sigma_mset \{\#\} B = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $Sigma_mset_empty2 [simp]: A \times\# \{\#\} = \{\#\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Sigma_mset_mono*:

assumes $A \subseteq_{\#} C$ **and** $\bigwedge x. x \in_{\#} A \implies B x \subseteq_{\#} D x$

shows $\text{Sigma_mset } A B \subseteq_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } C D$

<proof>

lemma *mem_Sigma_mset_iff*[iff]: $((a,b) \in_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } A B) = (a \in_{\#} A \wedge b \in_{\#} B a)$

<proof>

lemma *mem_Times_mset_iff*: $x \in_{\#} A \times_{\#} B \longleftrightarrow \text{fst } x \in_{\#} A \wedge \text{snd } x \in_{\#} B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_empty_iff*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in_{\#} I. X i) = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in_{\#} I. X i = \{\#\})$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_subset_mset_cancel1*: $x \in_{\#} A \implies (A \times_{\#} B \subseteq_{\#} A \times_{\#} C) = (B \subseteq_{\#} C)$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_subset_mset_cancel2*: $x \in_{\#} C \implies (A \times_{\#} C \subseteq_{\#} B \times_{\#} C) = (A \subseteq_{\#} B)$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_eq_cancel2*: $x \in_{\#} C \implies (A \times_{\#} C = B \times_{\#} C) = (A = B)$

<proof>

lemma *split_paired_Ball_mset_Sigma_mset*[simp]:

$(\forall z \in_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } A B. P z) \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in_{\#} A. \forall y \in_{\#} B x. P (x, y))$

<proof>

lemma *split_paired_Bex_mset_Sigma_mset*[simp]:

$(\exists z \in_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } A B. P z) \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in_{\#} A. \exists y \in_{\#} B x. P (x, y))$

<proof>

lemma *sum_mset_if_eq_constant*:

$(\sum x \in_{\#} M. \text{if } a = x \text{ then } (f x) \text{ else } 0) = (((+) (f a)) \wedge^{\wedge} (\text{count } M a)) 0$

<proof>

lemma *iterate_op_plus*: $((+) k \wedge^{\wedge} m) 0 = k * m$

<proof>

lemma *untion_image_mset_Pair_distribute*:

$\bigcup_{\#} \{\#\text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } x) (C x). x \in_{\#} J - I\} =$

$\bigcup_{\#} \{\#\text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } x) (C x). x \in_{\#} J\} - \bigcup_{\#} \{\#\text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } x) (C x). x \in_{\#} I\}$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Un_distrib1*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (I \cup_{\#} J) C = \text{Sigma_mset } I C \cup_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } J C$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Un_distrib2*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in_{\#} I. A i \cup_{\#} B i) = \text{Sigma_mset } I A \cup_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } I B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Int_distrib1*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (I \cap_{\#} J) C = \text{Sigma_mset } I C \cap_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } J C$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Int_distrib2*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in_{\#} I. A i \cap_{\#} B i) = \text{Sigma_mset } I A \cap_{\#} \text{Sigma_mset } I B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Diff_distrib1*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (I - J) C = \text{Sigma_mset } I C - \text{Sigma_mset } J C$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Diff_distrib2*: $(\text{SIGMAMSET } i \in_{\#} I. A i - B i) = \text{Sigma_mset } I A - \text{Sigma_mset } I B$

<proof>

lemma *Sigma_mset_Union*: $\text{Sigma_mset } (\bigcup_{\#} X) B = (\bigcup_{\#} (\text{image_mset } (\lambda A. \text{Sigma_mset } A B) X))$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_Un_distrib1*: $(A \cup\# B) \times\# C = A \times\# C \cup\# B \times\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_Int_distrib1*: $(A \cap\# B) \times\# C = A \times\# C \cap\# B \times\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_Diff_distrib1*: $(A - B) \times\# C = A \times\# C - B \times\# C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_empty[simp]*: $A \times\# B = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow A = \{\#\} \vee B = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_insert_left*: $A \times\# \text{add_mset } x B = A \times\# B + \text{image_mset } (\lambda a. \text{Pair } a x) A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_insert_right*: $\text{add_mset } a A \times\# B = A \times\# B + \text{image_mset } (\text{Pair } a) B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst_image_mset_times_mset [simp]*:
 $\text{image_mset } \text{fst } (A \times\# B) = (\text{if } B = \{\#\} \text{ then } \{\#\} \text{ else } \text{repeat_mset } (\text{size } B) A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *snd_image_mset_times_mset [simp]*:
 $\text{image_mset } \text{snd } (A \times\# B) = (\text{if } A = \{\#\} \text{ then } \{\#\} \text{ else } \text{repeat_mset } (\text{size } A) B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *product_swap_mset*: $\text{image_mset } \text{prod.swap } (A \times\# B) = B \times\# A$
 ⟨proof⟩

context
begin

qualified definition *product_mset* :: $'a \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ multiset} \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \text{ multiset}$ **where**
 [code_abbrev]: $\text{product_mset } A B = A \times\# B$

lemma *member_product_mset*: $x \in\# \text{product_mset } A B \longleftrightarrow x \in\# A \times\# B$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *count_Sigma_mset_abs_def*: $\text{count } (\text{Sigma_mset } A B) = (\lambda(a, b) \Rightarrow \text{count } A a * \text{count } (B a) b)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_image_mset1*: $\text{image_mset } f A \times\# B = \text{image_mset } (\lambda(a, b). (f a, b)) (A \times\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_image_mset2*: $A \times\# \text{image_mset } f B = \text{image_mset } (\lambda(a, b). (a, f b)) (A \times\# B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum_le_singleton*: $A \subseteq \{x\} \Longrightarrow \text{sum } f A = (\text{if } x \in A \text{ then } f x \text{ else } 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_mset_assoc*: $(A \times\# B) \times\# C = \text{image_mset } (\lambda(a, b, c). ((a, b), c)) (A \times\# B \times\# C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.11 Transfer Rules

lemma *plus_multiset_transfer[transfer_rule]*:
 $(\text{rel_fun } (\text{rel_mset } R) (\text{rel_fun } (\text{rel_mset } R) (\text{rel_mset } R))) (+) (+)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *minus_multiset_transfer[transfer_rule]*:
assumes [transfer_rule]: $\text{bi_unique } R$

shows $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_mset R))) (-) (-)$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare $rel_mset_Zero[transfer_rule]$

lemma $count_transfer[transfer_rule]$:
assumes $bi_unique R$
shows $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun R (=))) count count$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $subsetq_multiset_transfer[transfer_rule]$:
assumes $[transfer_rule]: bi_unique R right_total R$
shows $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun (rel_mset R) (=)))$
 $(\lambda M N. filter_mset (Domainp R) M \subseteq\# filter_mset (Domainp R) N) (\subseteq\#)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $sum_mset_transfer[transfer_rule]$:
 $R \ 0 \ 0 \implies rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+) \implies (rel_fun (rel_mset R) R) sum_mset sum_mset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $Sigma_mset_transfer[transfer_rule]$:
 $(rel_fun (rel_mset R) (rel_fun (rel_fun R (rel_mset S)) (rel_mset (rel_prod R S))))$
 $Sigma_mset Sigma_mset$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12 Even More about Multisets

2.12.1 Multisets and Functions

lemma $range_image_mset$:
assumes $set_mset Ds \subseteq range f$
shows $Ds \in range (image_mset f)$
 ⟨proof⟩

2.12.2 Multisets and Lists

lemma $length_sorted_list_of_multiset[simp]$: $length (sorted_list_of_multiset A) = size A$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition $list_of_mset$:: 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a list **where**
 $list_of_mset m = (SOME l. m = mset l)$

lemma $list_of_mset_exi$: $\exists l. m = mset l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $mset_list_of_mset[simp]$: $mset (list_of_mset m) = m$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $length_list_of_mset[simp]$: $length (list_of_mset A) = size A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $range_mset_map$:
assumes $set_mset Ds \subseteq range f$
shows $Ds \in range (\lambda Cl. mset (map f Cl))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $list_of_mset_empty[iff]$: $list_of_mset m = [] \iff m = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $in_mset_conv_nth$: $(x \in\# mset xs) = (\exists i < length xs. xs ! i = x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $in_mset_sum_list$:
assumes $L \in\# LL$

assumes $LL \in \text{set } Ci$
shows $L \in\# \text{sum_list } Ci$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in_mset_sum_list2*:
assumes $L \in\# \text{sum_list } Ci$
obtains LL **where**
 $LL \in \text{set } Ci$
 $L \in\# LL$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *in_mset_sum_list_iff*: $a \in\# \text{sum_list } \mathcal{A} \longleftrightarrow (\exists A \in \text{set } \mathcal{A}. a \in\# A)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subseteq_list_Union_mset*:
assumes $\text{length } Ci = n$
assumes $\text{length } CAi = n$
assumes $\forall i < n. Ci ! i \subseteq\# CAi ! i$
shows $\bigcup\# (\text{mset } Ci) \subseteq\# \bigcup\# (\text{mset } CAi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.12.3 More on Multisets and Functions

lemma *subseteq_mset_size_eq*: $X \subseteq\# Y \implies \text{size } Y = \text{size } X \implies X = Y$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image_mset_of_subset_list*:
assumes $\text{image_mset } \eta \ C' = \text{mset } IC$
shows $\exists qC'. \text{map } \eta \ qC' = IC \wedge \text{mset } qC' = C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *image_mset_of_subset*:
assumes $A \subseteq\# \text{image_mset } \eta \ C'$
shows $\exists A'. \text{image_mset } \eta \ A' = A \wedge A' \subseteq\# C'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *all_the_same*: $\forall x \in\# X. x = y \implies \text{card } (\text{set_mset } X) \leq \text{Suc } 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Melem_subseteq_Union_mset[simp]*:
assumes $x \in\# T$
shows $x \subseteq\# \bigcup\# T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *Melem_subset_eq_sum_list[simp]*:
assumes $x \in\# \text{mset } T$
shows $x \subseteq\# \text{sum_list } T$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less_subset_eq_Union_mset[simp]*:
assumes $i < \text{length } CAi$
shows $CAi ! i \subseteq\# \bigcup\# (\text{mset } CAi)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less_subset_eq_sum_list[simp]*:
assumes $i < \text{length } CAi$
shows $CAi ! i \subseteq\# \text{sum_list } CAi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2.12.4 More on Multiset Order

lemma *less_multiset_doubletons*:
assumes

```

    y < t ∨ y < s
    x < t ∨ x < s
shows
    {#y, x#} < {#t, s#}
    ⟨proof⟩

```

end

3 Signed (Finite) Multisets

```

theory Signed_Multiset
imports Multiset_More
abbrevs
    !z = z
begin

```

3.1 Definition of Signed Multisets

```

definition equiv_zmset :: 'a multiset × 'a multiset ⇒ 'a multiset × 'a multiset ⇒ bool where
    equiv_zmset = (λ(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). Mp + Nn = Np + Mn)

```

```

quotient-type 'a zmset = 'a multiset × 'a multiset / equiv_zmset
    ⟨proof⟩

```

3.2 Basic Operations on Signed Multisets

```

instantiation zmset :: (type) cancel_comm_monoid_add
begin

```

```

lift-definition zero_zmset :: 'a zmset is ({#}, {#}) ⟨proof⟩

```

```

abbreviation empty_zmset :: 'a zmset ({#}_z) where
    empty_zmset ≡ 0

```

```

lift-definition minus_zmset :: 'a zmset ⇒ 'a zmset ⇒ 'a zmset is
    λ(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). (Mp + Nn, Mn + Np)
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lift-definition plus_zmset :: 'a zmset ⇒ 'a zmset ⇒ 'a zmset is
    λ(Mp, Mn) (Np, Nn). (Mp + Np, Mn + Nn)
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance
    ⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

instantiation zmset :: (type) group_add
begin

```

```

lift-definition uminus_zmset :: 'a zmset ⇒ 'a zmset is λ(Mp, Mn). (Mn, Mp)
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

instance
    ⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

lift-definition zcount :: 'a zmset ⇒ 'a ⇒ int is
    λ(Mp, Mn) x. int (count Mp x) - int (count Mn x)
    ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma zcount_inject: zcount M = zcount N ⟷ M = N

```

<proof>

lemma *zmultiset_eq_iff*: $M = N \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. \text{zcount } M \ a = \text{zcount } N \ a)$
<proof>

lemma *zmultiset_eqI*: $(\bigwedge x. \text{zcount } A \ x = \text{zcount } B \ x) \implies A = B$
<proof>

lemma *zcount_uminus[simp]*: $\text{zcount } (- A) \ x = - \text{zcount } A \ x$
<proof>

lift-definition *add_zmset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset}$ **is**
 $\lambda x \ (Mp, Mn). \ (\text{add_mset } x \ Mp, Mn)$
<proof>

syntax

zmultiset :: $\text{args} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \ (\{\#() \# \}_z)$

translations

$\{\#x, xs\# \}_z == \text{CONST } \text{add_zmset } x \ \{\#xs\# \}_z$

$\{\#x\# \}_z == \text{CONST } \text{add_zmset } x \ \{\#\}_z$

lemma *zcount_empty[simp]*: $\text{zcount } \{\#\}_z \ a = 0$
<proof>

lemma *zcount_add_zmset[simp]*:
 $\text{zcount } (\text{add_zmset } b \ A) \ a = (\text{if } b = a \ \text{then } \text{zcount } A \ a + 1 \ \text{else } \text{zcount } A \ a)$
<proof>

lemma *zcount_single*: $\text{zcount } \{\#b\# \}_z \ a = (\text{if } b = a \ \text{then } 1 \ \text{else } 0)$
<proof>

lemma *add_add_same_iff_zmset[simp]*: $\text{add_zmset } a \ A = \text{add_zmset } a \ B \longleftrightarrow A = B$
<proof>

lemma *add_zmset_commute*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ (\text{add_zmset } y \ M) = \text{add_zmset } y \ (\text{add_zmset } x \ M)$
<proof>

lemma

singleton_ne_empty_zmset[simp]: $\{\#x\# \}_z \neq \{\#\}_z$ **and**

empty_ne_singleton_zmset[simp]: $\{\#\}_z \neq \{\#x\# \}_z$

<proof>

lemma

singleton_ne_uminus_singleton_zmset[simp]: $\{\#x\# \}_z \neq - \{\#y\# \}_z$ **and**

uminus_singleton_ne_singleton_zmset[simp]: $- \{\#x\# \}_z \neq \{\#y\# \}_z$

<proof>

3.2.1 Conversion to Set and Membership

definition *set_zmset* :: $'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ **where**
 $\text{set_zmset } M = \{x. \text{zcount } M \ x \neq 0\}$

abbreviation *elem_zmset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
 $\text{elem_zmset } a \ M \equiv a \in \text{set_zmset } M$

notation

elem_zmset ($'(\in \#_z \ ')$) **and**

elem_zmset ($((_ / \in \#_z \ _) [51, 51] 50)$)

notation (*ASCII*)

elem_zmset ($'(:\#z \ ')$) **and**

elem_zmset ($((_ / :\#z \ _) [51, 51] 50)$)

abbreviation *not_elem_zmset* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ zmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$not_elem_zmset\ a\ M \equiv a \notin set_zmset\ M$

notation

$not_elem_zmset\ ('(\notin\#z'))$ and
 $not_elem_zmset\ ((_/\notin\#z\ _)\ [51, 51]\ 50)$

notation (ASCII)

$not_elem_zmset\ ('(\sim\#z'))$ and
 $not_elem_zmset\ ((_/\sim\#z\ _)\ [51, 51]\ 50)$

context

begin

qualified abbreviation $Ball :: 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $Ball\ M \equiv Set.Ball\ (set_zmset\ M)$

qualified abbreviation $Bex :: 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $Bex\ M \equiv Set.Bex\ (set_zmset\ M)$

end

syntax

$_ZMBall :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists\forall\ _ \in\#z\ _/\ _)\ [0, 0, 10]\ 10)$
 $_ZMBex :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists\exists\ _ \in\#z\ _/\ _)\ [0, 0, 10]\ 10)$

syntax (ASCII)

$_ZMBall :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists\forall\ _ :\#z\ _/\ _)\ [0, 0, 10]\ 10)$
 $_ZMBex :: pttrn \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool\ ((\exists\exists\ _ :\#z\ _/\ _)\ [0, 0, 10]\ 10)$

translations

$\forall x \in\#z\ A.\ P \equiv CONST\ Signed_Multiset.Ball\ A\ (\lambda x.\ P)$
 $\exists x \in\#z\ A.\ P \equiv CONST\ Signed_Multiset.Bex\ A\ (\lambda x.\ P)$

lemma $zcount_eq_zero_iff: zcount\ M\ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x \notin\#z\ M$
(proof)

lemma $not_in_iff_zmset: x \notin\#z\ M \longleftrightarrow zcount\ M\ x = 0$
(proof)

lemma $zcount_ne_zero_iff[simp]: zcount\ M\ x \neq 0 \longleftrightarrow x \in\#z\ M$
(proof)

lemma $zcount_inI:$
assumes $zcount\ M\ x = 0 \implies False$
shows $x \in\#z\ M$
(proof)

lemma $set_zmset_empty[simp]: set_zmset\ \{\#\}_z = \{\}$
(proof)

lemma $set_zmset_single: set_zmset\ \{\#b\#}_z = \{b\}$
(proof)

lemma $set_zmset_eq_empty_iff[simp]: set_zmset\ M = \{\} \longleftrightarrow M = \{\#\}_z$
(proof)

lemma $finite_count_ne: finite\ \{x.\ count\ M\ x \neq count\ N\ x\}$
(proof)

lemma $finite_set_zmset[iff]: finite\ (set_zmset\ M)$
(proof)

lemma $zmultiset_nonemptyE[elim]:$

assumes $A \neq \{\#\}_z$
obtains x **where** $x \in \#_z A$
 \langle proof \rangle

3.2.2 Union

lemma $zcount_union[simp]$: $zcount (M + N) a = zcount M a + zcount N a$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $union_add_left_zmultiset[simp]$: $add_zmultiset a A + B = add_zmultiset a (A + B)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $union_zmultiset_add_zmultiset_right[simp]$: $A + add_zmultiset a B = add_zmultiset a (A + B)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $add_zmultiset_add_single$: $\langle add_zmultiset a A = A + \{\#a\# \}_z \rangle$
 \langle proof \rangle

3.2.3 Difference

lemma $zcount_diff[simp]$: $zcount (M - N) a = zcount M a - zcount N a$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $add_zmultiset_diff_bothsides$: $\langle add_zmultiset a M - add_zmultiset a A = M - A \rangle$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma in_diff_zcount : $a \in \#_z M - N \longleftrightarrow zcount N a \neq zcount M a$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $diff_add_zmultiset$:
fixes $M N Q :: 'a\ zmultiset$
shows $M - (N + Q) = M - N - Q$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $insert_Diff_zmultiset[simp]$: $add_zmultiset x (M - \{\#x\# \}_z) = M$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $diff_union_swap_zmultiset$: $add_zmultiset b (M - \{\#a\# \}_z) = add_zmultiset b M - \{\#a\# \}_z$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $diff_add_zmultiset_swap[simp]$: $add_zmultiset b M - A = add_zmultiset b (M - A)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $diff_diff_add_zmultiset[simp]$: $(M :: 'a\ zmultiset) - N - P = M - (N + P)$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $zmultiset_add[elim?]$:
obtains B **where** $A = add_zmultiset a B$
 \langle proof \rangle

3.2.4 Equality of Signed Multisets

lemma $single_eq_single_zmultiset[simp]$: $\{\#a\# \}_z = \{\#b\# \}_z \longleftrightarrow a = b$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $multi_self_add_other_not_self_zmultiset[simp]$: $M = add_zmultiset x M \longleftrightarrow False$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $add_zmultiset_remove_trivial$: $\langle add_zmultiset x M - \{\#x\# \}_z = M \rangle$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma $diff_single_eq_union_zmultiset$: $M - \{\#x\# \}_z = N \longleftrightarrow M = add_zmultiset x N$
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *union_single_eq_diff_zmset*: $add_zmset\ x\ M = N \implies M = N - \{\#x\}_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add_zmset_eq_conv_diff*:
 $add_zmset\ a\ M = add_zmset\ b\ N \longleftrightarrow$
 $M = N \wedge a = b \vee M = add_zmset\ b\ (N - \{\#a\}_z) \wedge N = add_zmset\ a\ (M - \{\#b\}_z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *add_zmset_eq_conv_ex*:
 $(add_zmset\ a\ M = add_zmset\ b\ N) =$
 $(M = N \wedge a = b \vee (\exists K. M = add_zmset\ b\ K \wedge N = add_zmset\ a\ K))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multi_member_split*: $\exists A. M = add_zmset\ x\ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.3 Conversions from and to Multisets

lift-definition *zmset_of* :: 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a zmset is $\lambda f. (Abs_multiset\ f, \{\#\})$ ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_of_inject[simp]*: $zmset_of\ M = zmset_of\ N \longleftrightarrow M = N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_of_empty[simp]*: $zmset_of\ \{\#\} = \{\#\}_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_of_add_mset[simp]*: $zmset_of\ (add_mset\ x\ M) = add_zmset\ x\ (zmset_of\ M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zcount_of_mset[simp]*: $zcount\ (zmset_of\ M)\ x = int\ (count\ M\ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_of_plus*: $zmset_of\ (M + N) = zmset_of\ M + zmset_of\ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *mset_pos* :: 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a multiset is $\lambda(Mp, Mn). count\ (Mp - Mn)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *mset_neg* :: 'a zmset \Rightarrow 'a multiset is $\lambda(Mp, Mn). count\ (Mn - Mp)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
zmset_of_inverse[simp]: $mset_pos\ (zmset_of\ M) = M$ **and**
minus_zmset_of_inverse[simp]: $mset_neg\ (-\ zmset_of\ M) = M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *neg_zmset_pos[simp]*: $mset_neg\ (zmset_of\ M) = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
count_mset_pos[simp]: $count\ (mset_pos\ M)\ x = nat\ (zcount\ M\ x)$ **and**
count_mset_neg[simp]: $count\ (mset_neg\ M)\ x = nat\ (-\ zcount\ M\ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
mset_pos_empty[simp]: $mset_pos\ \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}$ **and**
mset_neg_empty[simp]: $mset_neg\ \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
mset_pos_singleton[simp]: $mset_pos\ \{\#x\}_z = \{\#x\}$ **and**
mset_neg_singleton[simp]: $mset_neg\ \{\#x\}_z = \{\#\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma

$mset_pos_neg_partition: M = zmultiset_of (mset_pos M) - zmultiset_of (mset_neg M)$ **and**
 $mset_pos_as_neg: zmultiset_of (mset_pos M) = zmultiset_of (mset_neg M) + M$ **and**
 $mset_neg_as_pos: zmultiset_of (mset_neg M) = zmultiset_of (mset_pos M) - M$
{proof}

lemma $mset_pos_uminus[simp]: mset_pos (- A) = mset_neg A$
{proof}

lemma $mset_neg_uminus[simp]: mset_neg (- A) = mset_pos A$
{proof}

lemma $mset_pos_plus[simp]:$
 $mset_pos (A + B) = (mset_pos A - mset_neg B) + (mset_pos B - mset_neg A)$
{proof}

lemma $mset_neg_plus[simp]:$
 $mset_neg (A + B) = (mset_neg A - mset_pos B) + (mset_neg B - mset_pos A)$
{proof}

lemma $mset_pos_diff[simp]:$
 $mset_pos (A - B) = (mset_pos A - mset_pos B) + (mset_neg B - mset_neg A)$
{proof}

lemma $mset_neg_diff[simp]:$
 $mset_neg (A - B) = (mset_neg A - mset_neg B) + (mset_pos B - mset_pos A)$
{proof}

lemma $mset_pos_neg_dual:$
 $mset_pos a + mset_pos b + (mset_neg a - mset_pos b) + (mset_neg b - mset_pos a) =$
 $mset_neg a + mset_neg b + (mset_pos a - mset_neg b) + (mset_pos b - mset_neg a)$
{proof}

lemma $decompose_zmultiset_of2:$

obtains $A B C$ **where**

$M = zmultiset_of A + C$ **and**

$N = zmultiset_of B + C$

{proof}

3.3.1 Pointwise Ordering Induced by $zcount$

definition $subseteq_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\subseteq\#_z$ 50) **where**
 $A \subseteq\#_z B \longleftrightarrow (\forall a. zcount A a \leq zcount B a)$

definition $subset_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\subset\#_z$ 50) **where**
 $A \subset\#_z B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq\#_z B \wedge A \neq B$

abbreviation (*input*)

$supseteq_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\supseteq\#_z$ 50)

where

$supseteq_zmultiset A B \equiv B \subseteq\#_z A$

abbreviation (*input*)

$supset_zmultiset :: 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\supset\#_z$ 50)

where

$supset_zmultiset A B \equiv B \subset\#_z A$

notation (*input*)

$subseteq_zmultiset$ (**infix** $\subseteq\#_z$ 50) **and**

$supseteq_zmultiset$ (**infix** $\supseteq\#_z$ 50)

notation (*ASCII*)

$subseteq_zmultiset$ (**infix** $\subseteq\#_z$ 50) **and**

$subset_zmultiset$ (**infix** $\subset\#_z$ 50) **and**

supseteq_zmset (**infix** $\supseteq_{\#z}$ 50) **and**
supset_zmset (**infix** $>_{\#z}$ 50)

interpretation *subset_zmset*: *ordered_ab_semigroup_add_imp_le* (+) (-) ($\subseteq_{\#z}$) ($\subset_{\#z}$)
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *subset_zmset*:
ordered_ab_semigroup_monoid_add_imp_le (+) 0 (-) ($\subseteq_{\#z}$) ($\subset_{\#z}$)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_eqI*: $(\bigwedge a. \text{zcount } A \ a \leq \text{zcount } B \ a) \implies A \subseteq_{\#z} B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_zcount*: $A \subseteq_{\#z} B \implies \text{zcount } A \ a \leq \text{zcount } B \ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_add_zmset_cancel*: $\langle \text{add_zmset } a \ A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{add_zmset } a \ B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq_{\#z} B \rangle$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_zmultiset_union_diff_commute*:
 $A - B + C = A + C - B$ **for** $A \ B \ C :: 'a \ \text{zmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_eq_insertD*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ A \subseteq_{\#z} B \implies A \subset_{\#z} B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_insertD*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ A \subset_{\#z} B \implies A \subseteq_{\#z} B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset_eq_diff_conv_zmset*: $A - C \subseteq_{\#z} B \longleftrightarrow A \subseteq_{\#z} B + C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multi_psub_of_add_self_zmset[simp]*: $A \subset_{\#z} \text{add_zmset } x \ A$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multi_psub_self_zmset*: $A \subset_{\#z} A = \text{False}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_subset_add_zmset[simp]*: $\text{add_zmset } x \ N \subset_{\#z} \text{add_zmset } x \ M \longleftrightarrow N \subset_{\#z} M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_of_subseteq_iff[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } M \subseteq_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } N \longleftrightarrow M \subseteq_{\#} N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zmset_of_subset_iff[simp]*: $\text{zmset_of } M \subset_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } N \longleftrightarrow M \subset_{\#} N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma
mset_pos_supset: $A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } (\text{mset_pos } A)$ **and**
mset_neg_supset: $- A \subseteq_{\#z} \text{zmset_of } (\text{mset_neg } A)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset_mset_zmsetE*:
assumes $M \subset_{\#z} N$
obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**
 $M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A \subset_{\#} B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subseq_mset_zmsetE*:
assumes $M \subseteq_{\#z} N$
obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**
 $M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A \subseteq_{\#} B$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.3.2 Subset is an Order

interpretation *subset_zmset*: order ($\subseteq\#_z$) ($\subset\#_z$)
 ⟨proof⟩

3.4 Replicate and Repeat Operations

definition *replicate_zmset* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* **where**
replicate_zmset n x = (add_zmset x ^^ n) {#}_z

lemma *replicate_zmset_0[simp]*: *replicate_zmset* 0 x = {#}_z
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *replicate_zmset_Suc[simp]*: *replicate_zmset* (Suc n) x = add_zmset x (*replicate_zmset* n x)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *count_replicate_zmset[simp]*:
zcount (*replicate_zmset* n x) y = (if y = x then of_nat n else 0)
 ⟨proof⟩

fun *repeat_zmset* :: nat \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* **where**
repeat_zmset 0 _ = {#}_z |
repeat_zmset (Suc n) A = A + *repeat_zmset* n A

lemma *count_repeat_zmset[simp]*: *zcount* (*repeat_zmset* i A) a = of_nat i * *zcount* A a
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_zmset_right[simp]*: *repeat_zmset* a (*repeat_zmset* b A) = *repeat_zmset* (a * b) A
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *left_diff_repeat_zmset_distrib'*:
 $i \geq j \implies \text{repeat_zmset } (i - j) u = \text{repeat_zmset } i u - \text{repeat_zmset } j u$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *left_add_mult_distrib_zmset*:
repeat_zmset i u + (*repeat_zmset* j u + k) = *repeat_zmset* (i+j) u + k
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_zmset_distrib*: *repeat_zmset* (m + n) A = *repeat_zmset* m A + *repeat_zmset* n A
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_zmset_distrib2[simp]*:
repeat_zmset n (A + B) = *repeat_zmset* n A + *repeat_zmset* n B
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_zmset_replicate_zmset[simp]*: *repeat_zmset* n {#a#}_z = *replicate_zmset* n a
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_zmset_distrib_add_zmset[simp]*:
repeat_zmset n (add_zmset a A) = *replicate_zmset* n a + *repeat_zmset* n A
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *repeat_zmset_empty[simp]*: *repeat_zmset* n {#}_z = {#}_z
 ⟨proof⟩

3.4.1 Filter (with Comprehension Syntax)

lift-definition *filter_zmset* :: ('a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* **is**
 $\lambda P (Mp, Mn). (\text{filter_mset } P Mp, \text{filter_mset } P Mn)$
 ⟨proof⟩

syntax (ASCII)
 ZMCollect :: ptnr \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a *zmultiset* ((1{# :#z _./ _#}))
syntax

$_ZMCollect :: ptrn \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset ((1\{\#_ \in\#_z _./ _ \#\})$)

translations

$\{\#x \in\#_z M. P\#\} == CONST\ filter_zmset\ (\lambda x. P)\ M$

lemma *count_filter_zmset[simp]*:

$zcount\ (filter_zmset\ P\ M)\ a = (if\ P\ a\ then\ zcount\ M\ a\ else\ 0)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_empty_zmset[simp]*: $filter_zmset\ P\ \{\#\}_z = \{\#\}_z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_single_zmset*: $filter_zmset\ P\ \{\#x\#\}_z = (if\ P\ x\ then\ \{\#x\#\}_z\ else\ \{\#\}_z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_union_zmset[simp]*: $filter_zmset\ P\ (M + N) = filter_zmset\ P\ M + filter_zmset\ P\ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_diff_zmset[simp]*: $filter_zmset\ P\ (M - N) = filter_zmset\ P\ M - filter_zmset\ P\ N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_add_zmset[simp]*:

$filter_zmset\ P\ (add_zmset\ x\ A) =$
 $(if\ P\ x\ then\ add_zmset\ x\ (filter_zmset\ P\ A)\ else\ filter_zmset\ P\ A)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmultiset_filter_mono*:

assumes $A \subseteq\#_z B$

shows $filter_zmset\ f\ A \subseteq\#_z filter_zmset\ f\ B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *filter_filter_zmset*: $filter_zmset\ P\ (filter_zmset\ Q\ M) = \{\#x \in\#_z M. Q\ x \wedge P\ x\#\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma

filter_zmset_True[simp]: $\{\#y \in\#_z M. True\#\} = M$ **and**

filter_zmset_False[simp]: $\{\#y \in\#_z M. False\#\} = \{\#\}_z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.5 Uncategorized

lemma *multi_drop_mem_not_eq_zmset*: $B - \{\#c\#\}_z \neq B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmultiset_partition*: $M = \{\#x \in\#_z M. P\ x\ \#\} + \{\#x \in\#_z M. \neg P\ x\ \#\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

3.6 Image

definition *image_zmset* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'b\ zmultiset$ **where**

$image_zmset\ f\ M =$
 $zmset_of\ (fold_mset\ (add_mset \circ f)\ \{\#\}\ (mset_pos\ M)) -$
 $zmset_of\ (fold_mset\ (add_mset \circ f)\ \{\#\}\ (mset_neg\ M))$

3.7 Multiset Order

instantiation *zmultiset* :: $(preorder)\ order$

begin

lift-definition *less_zmultiset* :: $'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ **is**

$\lambda(Mp, Mn)\ (Np, Nn). Mp + Nn < Mn + Np$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *less_eq_zmultiset* :: $'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ zmultiset \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$less_eq_zmultiset\ M'\ M \longleftrightarrow M' < M \vee M' = M$

instance

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_add

$\langle proof \rangle$

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) ordered_ab_group_add

$\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *zmultiset* :: (linorder) distrib_lattice

begin

definition *inf_zmultiset* :: 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset **where**

inf_zmultiset A B = (if A < B then A else B)

definition *sup_zmultiset* :: 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset \Rightarrow 'a zmultiset **where**

sup_zmultiset A B = (if B > A then B else A)

lemma *not_lt_iff_ge_zmset*: $\neg x < y \iff x \geq y$ **for** $x\ y :: 'a\ zmultiset$

$\langle proof \rangle$

instance

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

lemma *zmset_of_less*: *zmset_of* M < *zmset_of* N $\iff M < N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmset_of_le*: *zmset_of* M \leq *zmset_of* N $\iff M \leq N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) ordered_ab_semigroup_add

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uminus_add_conv_diff_mset*[cancelation_simproc_pre]: $\langle -a + b = b - a \rangle$ **for** $a :: 'a\ zmultiset$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *uminus_add_add_uminus*[cancelation_simproc_pre]: $\langle b - a + c = b + c - a \rangle$ **for** $a :: 'a\ zmultiset$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *add_zmset_eq_add_NO_MATCH*[cancelation_simproc_pre]:

$\langle NO_MATCH\ \{\#\}_z\ H \implies add_zmset\ a\ H = \{\#a\# \}_z + H \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *repeat_zmset_iterate_add*: $\langle repeat_zmset\ n\ M = iterate_add\ n\ M \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

declare *repeat_zmset_iterate_add*[cancelation_simproc_pre]

declare *repeat_zmset_iterate_add*[symmetric, cancelation_simproc_post]

$\langle ML \rangle$

lemma *zmset_subseteq_add_iff1*:

$\langle j \leq i \implies (repeat_zmset\ i\ u + m \subseteq\#_z\ repeat_zmset\ j\ u + n) = (repeat_zmset\ (i - j)\ u + m \subseteq\#_z\ n) \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *zmset_subseteq_add_iff2*:

$\langle i \leq j \implies (repeat_zmset\ i\ u + m \subseteq\#_z\ repeat_zmset\ j\ u + n) = (m \subseteq\#_z\ repeat_zmset\ (j - i)\ u + n) \rangle$

<proof>

lemma *zmset_subset_add_iff1*:

$\langle j \leq i \implies (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + m \subseteq_{\#z} \text{repeat_zmset } j \ u + n) = (\text{repeat_zmset } (i - j) \ u + m \subseteq_{\#z} n) \rangle$
<proof>

lemma *zmset_subset_add_iff2*:

$\langle i \leq j \implies (\text{repeat_zmset } i \ u + m \subseteq_{\#z} \text{repeat_zmset } j \ u + n) = (m \subseteq_{\#z} \text{repeat_zmset } (j - i) \ u + n) \rangle$
<proof>

<ML>

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) ordered_ab_semigroup_add_imp_le

<proof>

<ML>

instance *zmultiset* :: (linorder) linordered_cancel_ab_semigroup_add

<proof>

lemma *less_mset_zmsetE*:

assumes $M < N$

obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**

$M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A < B$

<proof>

lemma *less_eq_mset_zmsetE*:

assumes $M \leq N$

obtains $A \ B \ C$ **where**

$M = \text{zmset_of } A + C$ **and** $N = \text{zmset_of } B + C$ **and** $A \leq B$

<proof>

lemma *subset_eq_imp_le_zmset*: $M \subseteq_{\#z} N \implies M \leq N$

<proof>

lemma *subset_imp_less_zmset*: $M \subset_{\#z} N \implies M < N$

<proof>

lemma *lt_imp_ex_zcount_lt*:

assumes m_lt_n : $M < N$

shows $\exists y. \text{zcount } M \ y < \text{zcount } N \ y$

<proof>

instance *zmultiset* :: (preorder) no_top

<proof>

end

4 Nested Multisets

theory *Nested_Multiset*

imports *HOL-Library.Multiset_Order*

begin

declare *multiset.map_comp* [*simp*]

declare *multiset.map_cong* [*cong*]

4.1 Type Definition

datatype $'a \ nmultiset =$

$\text{Elem } 'a$

$| \text{MSet } 'a \ nmultiset \ multiset$

inductive *no_elem* :: 'a nmultiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $(\bigwedge X. X \in\# M \Longrightarrow \text{no_elem } X) \Longrightarrow \text{no_elem } (MSet M)$

inductive-set *sub_nmset* :: ('a nmultiset \times 'a nmultiset) set **where**
 $X \in\# M \Longrightarrow (X, MSet M) \in \text{sub_nmset}$

lemma *wf_sub_nmset[simp]*: *wf sub_nmset*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

primrec *depth_nmset* :: 'a nmultiset \Rightarrow nat ($|_$) **where**
 $|Elem a| = 0$
 $|MSet M| = (\text{let } X = \text{set_mset } (\text{image_mset } \text{depth_nmset } M) \text{ in if } X = \{\} \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{Suc } (\text{Max } X))$

lemma *depth_nmset_MSet*: $x \in\# M \Longrightarrow |x| < |MSet M|$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

declare *depth_nmset.simps(2)[simp del]*

4.2 Dershowitz and Manna's Nested Multiset Order

The Dershowitz–Manna extension:

definition *less_multiset_ext_{DM}* :: ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow 'a multiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{less_multiset_ext}_{DM} R M N \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \bar{X} Y. X \neq \{\#\} \wedge X \subseteq\# N \wedge M = (N - X) + Y \wedge (\forall k. k \in\# Y \longrightarrow (\exists a. a \in\# X \wedge R k a)))$

lemma *less_multiset_ext_{DM}_imp_mult*:
assumes
 $N_A: \text{set_mset } N \subseteq A$ **and** $M_A: \text{set_mset } M \subseteq A$ **and** *less*: *less_multiset_ext_{DM} R M N*
shows $(M, N) \in \text{mult } \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge R x y\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult_imp_less_multiset_ext_{DM}*:
assumes
 $N_A: \text{set_mset } N \subseteq A$ **and** $M_A: \text{set_mset } M \subseteq A$ **and**
trans: $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall z \in A. R x y \longrightarrow R y z \longrightarrow R x z$ **and**
in_mult: $(M, N) \in \text{mult } \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge R x y\}$
shows *less_multiset_ext_{DM} R M N*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *less_multiset_ext_{DM}_iff_mult*:
assumes
 $N_A: \text{set_mset } N \subseteq A$ **and** $M_A: \text{set_mset } M \subseteq A$ **and**
trans: $\forall x \in A. \forall y \in A. \forall z \in A. R x y \longrightarrow R y z \longrightarrow R x z$
shows *less_multiset_ext_{DM} R M N* $\longleftrightarrow (M, N) \in \text{mult } \{(x, y). x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge R x y\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation *nmultiset* :: (preorder) preorder
begin

lemma *less_multiset_ext_{DM}_cong[fundef_cong]*:
 $(\bigwedge X Y k a. X \neq \{\#\} \Longrightarrow X \subseteq\# N \Longrightarrow M = (N - X) + Y \Longrightarrow k \in\# Y \Longrightarrow R k a = S k a) \Longrightarrow$
 $\text{less_multiset_ext}_{DM} R M N = \text{less_multiset_ext}_{DM} S M N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

function *less_nmultiset* :: 'a nmultiset \Rightarrow 'a nmultiset \Rightarrow bool **where**
 $\text{less_nmultiset } (Elem a) (Elem b) \longleftrightarrow a < b$
 $\text{less_nmultiset } (Elem a) (MSet M) \longleftrightarrow \text{True}$
 $\text{less_nmultiset } (MSet M) (Elem a) \longleftrightarrow \text{False}$
 $\text{less_nmultiset } (MSet M) (MSet N) \longleftrightarrow \text{less_multiset_ext}_{DM} \text{ less_nmultiset } M N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemmas less_nmultiset_induct =
  less_nmultiset.induct[case_names Elem_Elem Elem_MSet MSet_Elem MSet_MSet]

lemmas less_nmultiset_cases =
  less_nmultiset.cases[case_names Elem_Elem Elem_MSet MSet_Elem MSet_MSet]

lemma trans_less_nmultiset:  $X < Y \implies Y < Z \implies X < Z$  for  $X Y Z :: 'a\ nmultiset$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma irrefl_less_nmultiset:
  fixes  $X :: 'a\ nmultiset$ 
  shows  $X < X \implies False$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma antisym_less_nmultiset:
  fixes  $X Y :: 'a\ nmultiset$ 
  shows  $X < Y \implies Y < X \implies False$ 
⟨proof⟩

definition less_eq_nmultiset ::  $'a\ nmultiset \Rightarrow 'a\ nmultiset \Rightarrow bool$  where
  less_eq_nmultiset  $X Y = (X < Y \vee X = Y)$ 

instance
⟨proof⟩

lemma less_multiset_extDM_less: less_multiset_extDM (<) = (<)
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation nmultiset :: (order) order
begin

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation nmultiset :: (linorder) linorder
begin

lemma total_less_nmultiset:
  fixes  $X Y :: 'a\ nmultiset$ 
  shows  $\neg X < Y \implies Y \neq X \implies Y < X$ 
⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

lemma less_depth_nmultiset_imp_less_nmultiset:  $|X| < |Y| \implies X < Y$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma less_nmultiset_imp_le_depth_nmultiset:  $X < Y \implies |X| \leq |Y|$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma eq_mlex_I:
  fixes  $f :: 'a \Rightarrow nat$  and  $R :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge X Y. f X < f Y \implies R X Y$  and antisym R
  shows  $\{(X, Y). R X Y\} = f < *mlex* \> \{(X, Y). f X = f Y \wedge R X Y\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

```

instantiation *nmultiset* :: (*wellorder*) *wellorder*
begin

lemma *depth_nmset_eq_0[simp]*: $|X| = 0 \longleftrightarrow (X = \text{MSet } \{\#\} \vee (\exists x. X = \text{Elem } x))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *depth_nmset_eq_Suc[simp]*: $|X| = \text{Suc } n \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists N. X = \text{MSet } N \wedge (\exists Y \in \# N. |Y| = n) \wedge (\forall Y \in \# N. |Y| \leq n))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wf_less_nmultiset_depth*:
 $\text{wf } \{(X :: 'a \text{ nmultiset}, Y). |X| = i \wedge |Y| = i \wedge X < Y\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *wf_less_nmultiset*: $\text{wf } \{(X :: 'a \text{ nmultiset}, Y :: 'a \text{ nmultiset}). X < Y\}$ (**is** *wf ?R*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

5 Hereditar(il)y (Finite) Multisets

theory *Hereditary_Multiset*
imports *Multiset_More Nested_Multiset*
begin

5.1 Type Definition

datatype *hmultiset* =
HMSet (*hmsetmset*: *hmultiset multiset*)

lemma *hmsetmset_inject[simp]*: $\text{hmsetmset } A = \text{hmsetmset } B \longleftrightarrow A = B$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

primrec *Rep_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *unit nmultiset* **where**
 $\text{Rep_hmultiset } (\text{HMSet } M) = \text{MSet } (\text{image_mset } \text{Rep_hmultiset } M)$

primrec (*nonexhaustive*) *Abs_hmultiset* :: *unit nmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
 $\text{Abs_hmultiset } (\text{MSet } M) = \text{HMSet } (\text{image_mset } \text{Abs_hmultiset } M)$

lemma *type_definition_hmultiset*: *type_definition* *Rep_hmultiset* *Abs_hmultiset* $\{X. \text{no_elem } X\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

setup-lifting *type_definition_hmultiset*

lemma *HMSet_alt*: $\text{HMSet} = \text{Abs_hmultiset } \circ \text{MSet } \circ \text{image_mset } \text{Rep_hmultiset}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *HMSet_transfer[transfer_rule]*: *rel_fun* (*rel_mset* *pcr_hmultiset*) *pcr_hmultiset* *MSet HMSet*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5.2 Restriction of Dershowitz and Manna's Nested Multiset Order

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *linorder*
begin

lift-definition *less_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** (*<*) ⟨*proof*⟩

lift-definition *less_eq_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** (*≤*) ⟨*proof*⟩

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *less_HMSet_iff_less_multiset_ext_DM*: $HMSet\ M < HMSet\ N \longleftrightarrow less_multiset_ext_{DM}\ (<) M\ N$
<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_less[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ M < hmsetmset\ N \longleftrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_le[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ M \leq hmsetmset\ N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$
<proof>

lemma *wf_less_hmultiset*: $wf\ \{(X :: hmultiset, Y :: hmultiset). X < Y\}$
<proof>

instance *hmultiset :: wellorder*
<proof>

lemma *HMSet_less[simp]*: $HMSet\ M < HMSet\ N \longleftrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

lemma *HMSet_le[simp]*: $HMSet\ M \leq HMSet\ N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$
<proof>

lemma *mem_imp_less_HMSet*: $k \in\# L \Longrightarrow k < HMSet\ L$
<proof>

lemma *mem_hmsetmset_imp_less*: $M \in\# hmsetmset\ N \Longrightarrow M < N$
<proof>

5.3 Disjoint Union and Truncated Difference

instantiation *hmultiset :: cancel_comm_monoid_add*
begin

definition *zero_hmultiset :: hmultiset where*
 $0 = HMSet\ \{\#\}$

lemma *hmsetmset_empty_iff[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ n = \{\#\} \longleftrightarrow n = 0$
<proof>

lemma *hmsetmset_0[simp]*: $hmsetmset\ 0 = \{\#\}$
<proof>

lemma
HMSet_eq_0_iff[simp]: $HMSet\ m = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = \{\#\}$ **and**
zero_eq_HMSet[simp]: $0 = HMSet\ m \longleftrightarrow m = \{\#\}$
<proof>

definition *plus_hmultiset :: hmultiset \Rightarrow hmultiset \Rightarrow hmultiset where*
 $A + B = HMSet\ (hmsetmset\ A + hmsetmset\ B)$

definition *minus_hmultiset :: hmultiset \Rightarrow hmultiset \Rightarrow hmultiset where*
 $A - B = HMSet\ (hmsetmset\ A - hmsetmset\ B)$

instance
<proof>

end

lemma *HMSet_plus*: $HMSet\ (A + B) = HMSet\ A + HMSet\ B$
<proof>

lemma *HMSet_diff*: $HMSet (A - B) = HMSet A - HMSet B$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmsetmset_plus*: $hmsetmset (M + N) = hmsetmset M + hmsetmset N$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmsetmset_diff*: $hmsetmset (M - N) = hmsetmset M - hmsetmset N$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *diff_diff_add_hmset[simp]*: $a - b - c = a - (b + c)$ **for** $a b c :: hmultiset$
⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *comm_monoid_diff*
⟨proof⟩

⟨ML⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_add*
⟨proof⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_ab_semigroup_add_imp_le*
⟨proof⟩

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *order_bot*
begin

definition *bot_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* **where**
bot_hmultiset = 0

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instance *hmultiset* :: *no_top*
⟨proof⟩

lemma *le_minus_plus_same_hmset*: $m \leq m - n + n$ **for** $m n :: hmultiset$
⟨proof⟩

5.4 Infimum and Supremum

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *distrib_lattice*
begin

definition *inf_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
inf_hmultiset A B = (if A < B then A else B)

definition *sup_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
sup_hmultiset A B = (if B > A then B else A)

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

5.5 Inequalities

lemma *zero_le_hmset[simp]*: $0 \leq M$ **for** $M :: hmultiset$
⟨proof⟩

lemma
le_add1_hmset: $n \leq n + m$ **and**

```

le_add2_hmset:  $n \leq m + n$  for  $n :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma le_zero_eq_hmset[simp]:  $M \leq 0 \iff M = 0$  for  $M :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma not_less_zero_hmset[simp]:  $\neg M < 0$  for  $M :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma not_gr_zero_hmset[simp]:  $\neg 0 < M \iff M = 0$  for  $M :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_less_iff_neq_zero_hmset:  $0 < M \iff M \neq 0$  for  $M :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma zero_less_HMSet_iff[simp]:  $0 < \text{HMSet } M \iff M \neq \{\#\}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma gr_zeroI_hmset:  $(M = 0 \implies \text{False}) \implies 0 < M$  for  $M :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma gr_implies_not_zero_hmset:  $M < N \implies N \neq 0$  for  $M N :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma add_eq_0_iff_both_eq_0_hmset[simp]:  $M + N = 0 \iff M = 0 \wedge N = 0$  for  $M N :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_less_add1_hmset:  $i < j \implies i < j + m$  for  $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_less_add2_hmset:  $i < j \implies i < m + j$  for  $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_le_add1_hmset:  $i \leq j \implies i \leq j + m$  for  $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma trans_le_add2_hmset:  $i \leq j \implies i \leq m + j$  for  $i j m :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma diff_le_self_hmset:  $m - n \leq m$  for  $m n :: \text{hmultiset}$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

```

6 Signed Hereditar(il)y (Finite) Multisets

```

theory Signed_Hereditary_Multiset
imports Signed_Multiset Hereditary_Multiset
begin

```

6.1 Type Definition

```

typedef zhmultiset = UNIV :: hmultiset zmultiset set
morphisms zhsetmset ZHMSet
⟨proof⟩

lemmas ZHMSet_inverse[simp] = ZHMSet_inverse[OF UNIV_I]
lemmas ZHMSet_inject[simp] = ZHMSet_inject[OF UNIV_I UNIV_I]

declare
zhsetmset_inverse [simp]
zhsetmset_inject [simp]

```

setup-lifting *type_definition_zhmultiset*

6.2 Multiset Order

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *linorder*
begin

lift-definition *less_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** ($<$) *<proof>*
lift-definition *less_eq_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** (\leq) *<proof>*

instance
<proof>

end

lemmas *ZHMSet_less[simp]* = *less_zhmultiset.abs_eq*
lemmas *ZHMSet_le[simp]* = *less_eq_zhmultiset.abs_eq*
lemmas *zhmsetmset_less[simp]* = *less_zhmultiset.rep_eq[symmetric]*
lemmas *zhmsetmset_le[simp]* = *less_eq_zhmultiset.rep_eq[symmetric]*

6.3 Embedding and Projections of Syntactic Ordinals

abbreviation *zhmset_of* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **where**
zhmset_of *M* \equiv *ZHMSet* (*zmset_of* (*hsetmset* *M*))

lemma *zhmset_of_inject[simp]*: *zhmset_of* *M* = *zhmset_of* *N* \longleftrightarrow *M* = *N*
<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_less*: *zhmset_of* *M* < *zhmset_of* *N* \longleftrightarrow *M* < *N*
<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_le*: *zhmset_of* *M* \leq *zhmset_of* *N* \longleftrightarrow *M* \leq *N*
<proof>

abbreviation *hmsset_pos* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
hmsset_pos *M* \equiv *HMSet* (*mset_pos* (*zhmsetmset* *M*))

abbreviation *hmsset_neg* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
hmsset_neg *M* \equiv *HMSet* (*mset_neg* (*zhmsetmset* *M*))

6.4 Disjoint Union and Difference

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *cancel_comm_monoid_add*
begin

lift-definition *zero_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* **is** $\{\#\}_z$ *<proof>*

lift-definition *plus_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **is**
 $\lambda A B. A + B$ *<proof>*

lift-definition *minus_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **is**
 $\lambda A B. A - B$ *<proof>*

lemmas *ZHMSet_plus* = *plus_zhmultiset.abs_eq[symmetric]*
lemmas *ZHMSet_diff* = *minus_zhmultiset.abs_eq[symmetric]*
lemmas *zhmsetmset_plus* = *plus_zhmultiset.rep_eq*
lemmas *zhmsetmset_diff* = *minus_zhmultiset.rep_eq*

lemma *zhmset_of_plus*: *zhmset_of* (*A* + *B*) = *zhmset_of* *A* + *zhmset_of* *B*
<proof>

lemma *hsetmset_0[simp]*: *hsetmset* 0 = $\{\#\}$
<proof>

instance

<proof>

end

lemma *zhmset_of_0*: $zhmset_of\ 0 = 0$

<proof>

lemma *hmset_pos_plus*:

$hmset_pos\ (A + B) = (hmset_pos\ A - hmset_neg\ B) + (hmset_pos\ B - hmset_neg\ A)$

<proof>

lemma *hmset_neg_plus*:

$hmset_neg\ (A + B) = (hmset_neg\ A - hmset_pos\ B) + (hmset_neg\ B - hmset_pos\ A)$

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_pos_neg_partition*: $M = zhmset_of\ (hmset_pos\ M) - zhmset_of\ (hmset_neg\ M)$

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_pos_as_neg*: $zhmset_of\ (hmset_pos\ M) = zhmset_of\ (hmset_neg\ M) + M$

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_neg_as_pos*: $zhmset_of\ (hmset_neg\ M) = zhmset_of\ (hmset_pos\ M) - M$

<proof>

lemma *hmset_pos_neg_dual*:

$hmset_pos\ a + hmset_pos\ b + (hmset_neg\ a - hmset_pos\ b) + (hmset_neg\ b - hmset_pos\ a) =$

$hmset_neg\ a + hmset_neg\ b + (hmset_pos\ a - hmset_neg\ b) + (hmset_pos\ b - hmset_neg\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *zhmset_of_sum_list*: $zhmset_of\ (sum_list\ Ms) = sum_list\ (map\ zhmset_of\ Ms)$

<proof>

lemma *less_hmset_zhmsetE*:

assumes m_lt_n : $M < N$

obtains $A\ B\ C$ **where** $M = zhmset_of\ A + C$ **and** $N = zhmset_of\ B + C$ **and** $A < B$

<proof>

lemma *less_eq_hmset_zhmsetE*:

assumes m_le_n : $M \leq N$

obtains $A\ B\ C$ **where** $M = zhmset_of\ A + C$ **and** $N = zhmset_of\ B + C$ **and** $A \leq B$

<proof>

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *ab_group_add*

begin

lift-definition *uminus_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **is** $\lambda A. - A$ *<proof>*

lemmas *ZHMSet_uminus* = *uminus_zhmultiset.abs_eq[symmetric]*

lemmas *zhmsetmset_uminus* = *uminus_zhmultiset.rep_eq*

instance

<proof>

end

6.5 Infimum and Supremum

instance *zhmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_monoid_add*

<proof>

instance *zhmultiset* :: *ordered_ab_group_add*

<proof>

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *distrib_lattice*
begin

definition *inf_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **where**
inf_zhmultiset *A B* = (*if* *A* < *B* *then* *A* *else* *B*)

definition *sup_zhmultiset* :: *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* \Rightarrow *zhmultiset* **where**
sup_zhmultiset *A B* = (*if* *B* > *A* *then* *B* *else* *A*)

instance
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

7 Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form

theory *Syntactic_Ordinal*
imports *Hereditary_Multiset* *HOL-Library.Product_Order* *HOL-Library.Extended_Nat*
begin

7.1 Natural (Hessenberg) Product

instantiation *hmultiset* :: *comm_semiring_1*
begin

abbreviation ω_exp :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* (ω^\wedge) **where**
 $\omega^\wedge \equiv \lambda m. HMSet \{\#m\#$

definition *one_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* **where**
 $1 = \omega^\wedge 0$

abbreviation ω :: *hmultiset* **where**
 $\omega \equiv \omega^\wedge 1$

definition *times_hmultiset* :: *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* \Rightarrow *hmultiset* **where**
 $A * B = HMSet (image_mset (case_prod (+)) (hmsetmset A \times\# hmsetmset B))$

lemma *hmsetmset_times*:
 $hmsetmset (m * n) = image_mset (case_prod (+)) (hmsetmset m \times\# hmsetmset n)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

instance
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

lemma *empty_times_left_hmset[simp]*: $HMSet \{\#\} * M = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *empty_times_right_hmset[simp]*: $M * HMSet \{\#\} = 0$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *singleton_times_left_hmset[simp]*: $\omega^\wedge M * N = HMSet (image_mset ((+) M) (hmsetmset N))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *singleton_times_right_hmset[simp]*: $N * \omega^\wedge M = HMSet (image_mset ((+) M) (hmsetmset N))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

7.2 Inequalities

definition *plus_nmultiset* :: *unit_nmultiset* \Rightarrow *unit_nmultiset* \Rightarrow *unit_nmultiset* **where**

$plus_nmultiset\ X\ Y = Rep_hmultiset\ (Abs_hmultiset\ X + Abs_hmultiset\ Y)$

lemma *plus_nmultiset_mono*:

assumes *less*: $(X, Y) < (X', Y')$ **and** *no_elem*: $no_elem\ X\ no_elem\ Y\ no_elem\ X'\ no_elem\ Y'$

shows $plus_nmultiset\ X\ Y < plus_nmultiset\ X'\ Y'$

<proof>

lemma *plus_hmultiset_transfer*[*transfer_rule*]:

$(rel_fun\ pcr_hmultiset\ (rel_fun\ pcr_hmultiset\ pcr_hmultiset))\ plus_nmultiset\ (+)$

<proof>

lemma *Times_mset_monoL*:

assumes *less*: $M < N$ **and** *Z_nemp*: $Z \neq \{\#\}$

shows $M \times\# Z < N \times\# Z$

<proof>

lemma *times_hmultiset_monoL*:

$a < b \implies 0 < c \implies a * c < b * c$ **for** $a\ b\ c :: hmultiset$

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_semiring_strict*

<proof>

lemma *mult_le_mono1_hmset*: $i \leq j \implies i * k \leq j * k$ **for** $i\ j\ k :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *mult_le_mono2_hmset*: $i \leq j \implies k * i \leq k * j$ **for** $i\ j\ k :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *mult_le_mono_hmset*: $i \leq j \implies k \leq l \implies i * k \leq j * l$ **for** $i\ j\ k\ l :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *less_iff_add1_le_hmset*: $m < n \iff m + 1 \leq n$ **for** $m\ n :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *zero_less_iff_1_le_hmset*: $0 < n \iff 1 \leq n$ **for** $n :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *less_add_1_iff_le_hmset*: $m < n + 1 \iff m \leq n$ **for** $m\ n :: hmultiset$

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *ordered_cancel_comm_semiring*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *zero_less_one*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_semiring_1_strict*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *bounded_lattice_bot*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_nonzero_semiring*

<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *semiring_no_zero_divisors*

<proof>

lemma *lt_1_iff_eq_0_hmset*: $M < 1 \iff M = 0$ **for** $M :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *zero_less_mult_iff_hmset*[*simp*]: $0 < m * n \iff 0 < m \wedge 0 < n$ **for** $m\ n :: hmultiset$

<proof>

lemma *one_le_mult_iff_hmset[simp]*: $1 \leq m * n \longleftrightarrow 1 \leq m \wedge 1 \leq n$ **for** $m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_less_cancel2_hmset[simp]*: $m * k < n * k \longleftrightarrow 0 < k \wedge m < n$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_less_cancel1_hmset[simp]*: $k * m < k * n \longleftrightarrow 0 < k \wedge m < n$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel1_hmset[simp]*: $k * m \leq k * n \longleftrightarrow (0 < k \longrightarrow m \leq n)$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel2_hmset[simp]*: $m * k \leq n * k \longleftrightarrow (0 < k \longrightarrow m \leq n)$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_left1_hmset*: $y > 0 \implies x \leq x * y$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_left2_hmset*: $y \leq 1 \implies x * y \leq x$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_right1_hmset*: $y > 0 \implies x \leq y * x$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *mult_le_cancel_right2_hmset*: $y \leq 1 \implies y * x \leq x$ **for** $x\ y :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *le_square_hmset*: $m \leq m * m$ **for** $m :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *le_cube_hmset*: $m \leq m * (m * m)$ **for** $m :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma
less_imp_minus_plus_hmset: $m < n \implies k < k - m + n$ **and**
le_imp_minus_plus_hmset: $m \leq n \implies k \leq k - m + n$ **for** $k\ m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *gt_0_lt_mult_gt_1_hmset*:
fixes $m\ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
assumes $m > 0$ **and** $n > 1$
shows $m < m * n$
<proof>

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_comm_semiring_strict*
<proof>

7.3 Embedding of Natural Numbers

lemma *of_nat_hmset*: $\text{of_nat } n = \text{HMSet } (\text{replicate_mset } n\ 0)$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_inject_hmset[simp]*: $(\text{of_nat } m :: \text{hmultiset}) = \text{of_nat } n \longleftrightarrow m = n$
<proof>

lemma *of_nat_minus_hmset*: $\text{of_nat } (m - n) = (\text{of_nat } m :: \text{hmultiset}) - \text{of_nat } n$
<proof>

lemma *plus_of_nat_plus_of_nat_hmset*:
 $k + \text{of_nat } m + \text{of_nat } n = k + \text{of_nat } (m + n)$ **for** $k :: \text{hmultiset}$
<proof>

lemma *plus_of_nat_minus_of_nat_hmset*:
fixes $k :: \text{hmultiset}$
assumes $n \leq m$
shows $k + \text{of_nat } m - \text{of_nat } n = k + \text{of_nat } (m - n)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of_nat_lt_omega[simp]*: $\text{of_nat } n < \omega$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of_nat_ne_omega[simp]*: $\text{of_nat } n \neq \omega$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of_nat_less_hmset[simp]*: $(\text{of_nat } M :: \text{hmultiset}) < \text{of_nat } N \longleftrightarrow M < N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of_nat_le_hmset[simp]*: $(\text{of_nat } M :: \text{hmultiset}) \leq \text{of_nat } N \longleftrightarrow M \leq N$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of_nat_times_omega_exp*: $\text{of_nat } n * \omega^m = \text{HMSet } (\text{replicate_mset } n m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *omega_exp_times_of_nat*: $\omega^m * \text{of_nat } n = \text{HMSet } (\text{replicate_mset } n m)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.4 Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers

primrec *hmset_of_enat* :: $\text{enat} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**
 $\text{hmset_of_enat } (\text{enat } n) = \text{of_nat } n$
 $|\ \text{hmset_of_enat } \infty = \omega$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_0[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_1[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } 1 = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_of_nat[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } (\text{of_nat } n) = \text{of_nat } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_numeral[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } (\text{numeral } n) = \text{numeral } n$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_le_omega[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } n \leq \omega$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *hmset_of_enat_eq_omega_iff[simp]*: $\text{hmset_of_enat } n = \omega \longleftrightarrow n = \infty$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

7.5 Head Omega

definition *head_omega* :: $\text{hmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset}$ **where**
 $\text{head_omega } M = (\text{if } M = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \omega^{\text{Max } (\text{set_mset } (\text{hmsetmset } M))})$

lemma *head_omega_subseteq*: $\text{hmsetmset } (\text{head_omega } M) \subseteq\# \text{hmsetmset } M$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *head_omega_eq_0_iff[simp]*: $\text{head_omega } m = 0 \longleftrightarrow m = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *head_omega_0[simp]*: $\text{head_omega } 0 = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *head_omega_1[simp]*: $\text{head_omega } 1 = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *head_ω_of_nat[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (\text{of_nat } n) = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } 1)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_numeral[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (\text{numeral } n) = 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_ω[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega \omega = \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *le_imp_head_ω_le*:
 assumes *m_le_n*: $m \leq n$
 shows $\text{head}_\omega m \leq \text{head}_\omega n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_lt_imp_lt*: $\text{head}_\omega m < \text{head}_\omega n \implies m < n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_plus[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (m + n) = \text{sup } (\text{head}_\omega m) (\text{head}_\omega n)$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *head_ω_times[simp]*: $\text{head}_\omega (m * n) = \text{head}_\omega m * \text{head}_\omega n$
⟨proof⟩

7.6 More Inequalities and Some Equalities

lemma *zero_lt_ω[simp]*: $0 < \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *one_lt_ω[simp]*: $1 < \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *numeral_lt_ω[simp]*: $\text{numeral } n < \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *one_le_ω[simp]*: $1 \leq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_le_ω[simp]*: $\text{of_nat } n \leq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *numeral_le_ω[simp]*: $\text{numeral } n \leq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_lt_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega < 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_lt_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega < \text{of_nat } n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_lt_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega < \text{numeral } n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_le_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega \leq 1$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_le_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega \leq \text{of_nat } n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *not_ω_le_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega \leq \text{numeral } n$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *zero_ne_ω[simp]*: $0 \neq \omega$
⟨proof⟩

lemma *one_ne_omega[simp]*: $1 \neq \omega$
(proof)

lemma *numeral_ne_omega[simp]*: numeral $n \neq \omega$
(proof)

lemma
omega_ne_0[simp]: $\omega \neq 0$ **and**
omega_ne_1[simp]: $\omega \neq 1$ **and**
omega_ne_of_nat[simp]: $\omega \neq \text{of_nat } m$ **and**
omega_ne_numeral[simp]: $\omega \neq \text{numeral } n$
(proof)

lemma
hmultiset_of_enat_inject[simp]: $\text{hmultiset_of_enat } m = \text{hmultiset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow m = n$ **and**
hmultiset_of_enat_less[simp]: $\text{hmultiset_of_enat } m < \text{hmultiset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow m < n$ **and**
hmultiset_of_enat_le[simp]: $\text{hmultiset_of_enat } m \leq \text{hmultiset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *lt_omega_imp_ex_of_nat*:
assumes $M < \omega$: $M < \omega$
shows $\exists n. M = \text{of_nat } n$
(proof)

lemma *le_omega_imp_ex_hmultiset_of_enat*:
assumes $M \leq \omega$: $M \leq \omega$
shows $\exists n. M = \text{hmultiset_of_enat } n$
(proof)

lemma *lt_omega_lt_omega_imp_times_lt_omega*: $M < \omega \implies N < \omega \implies M * N < \omega$
(proof)

lemma *times_omega_minus_of_nat[simp]*: $m * \omega - \text{of_nat } n = m * \omega$
(proof)

lemma *times_omega_minus_numeral[simp]*: $m * \omega - \text{numeral } n = m * \omega$
(proof)

lemma *omega_minus_of_nat[simp]*: $\omega - \text{of_nat } n = \omega$
(proof)

lemma *omega_minus_1[simp]*: $\omega - 1 = \omega$
(proof)

lemma *omega_minus_numeral[simp]*: $\omega - \text{numeral } n = \omega$
(proof)

lemma *hmultiset_of_enat_minus_enat[simp]*: $\text{hmultiset_of_enat } (m - \text{enat } n) = \text{hmultiset_of_enat } m - \text{of_nat } n$
(proof)

lemma *of_nat_lt_hmultiset_of_enat_iff*: $\text{of_nat } m < \text{hmultiset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow \text{enat } m < n$
(proof)

lemma *of_nat_le_hmultiset_of_enat_iff*: $\text{of_nat } m \leq \text{hmultiset_of_enat } n \longleftrightarrow \text{enat } m \leq n$
(proof)

lemma *hmultiset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity*: $\text{hmultiset_of_enat } x < \omega \longleftrightarrow x \neq \infty$
(proof)

lemma *minus_diff_sym_hmultiset*: $m - (m - n) = n - (n - m)$ **for** $m \ n :: \text{hmultiset}$
(proof)

lemma *diff_plus_sym_hmset*: $(c - b) + b = (b - c) + c$ **for** $b\ c :: \text{hmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_diff_plus_sym_hmset*: $a * (c - b) + a * b = a * (b - c) + a * c$ **for** $a\ b\ c :: \text{hmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_of_nat_minus_left*:
 $(\text{of_nat } m - \text{of_nat } n) * l = \text{of_nat } m * l - \text{of_nat } n * l$ **for** $l :: \text{hmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *times_of_nat_minus_right*:
 $l * (\text{of_nat } m - \text{of_nat } n) = l * \text{of_nat } m - l * \text{of_nat } n$ **for** $l :: \text{hmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lt_ω_imp_times_minus_left*: $m < \omega \implies n < \omega \implies (m - n) * l = m * l - n * l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lt_ω_imp_times_minus_right*: $m < \omega \implies n < \omega \implies l * (m - n) = l * m - l * n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_pair_decompose*:
 $\exists k\ n1\ n2. m1 = k + n1 \wedge m2 = k + n2 \wedge (\text{head}_\omega\ n1 \neq \text{head}_\omega\ n2 \vee n1 = 0 \wedge n2 = 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_pair_decompose_less*:
assumes $m1\ \text{lt}\ m2$: $m1 < m2$
shows $\exists k\ n1\ n2. m1 = k + n1 \wedge m2 = k + n2 \wedge \text{head}_\omega\ n1 < \text{head}_\omega\ n2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *hmset_pair_decompose_less_eq*:
assumes $m1 \leq m2$
shows $\exists k\ n1\ n2. m1 = k + n1 \wedge m2 = k + n2 \wedge (\text{head}_\omega\ n1 < \text{head}_\omega\ n2 \vee n1 = 0 \wedge n2 = 0)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mono_cross_mult_less_hmset*:
fixes $Aa\ A\ Ba\ B :: \text{hmultiset}$
assumes $A\ \text{lt}$: $A < Aa$ **and** $B\ \text{lt}$: $B < Ba$
shows $A * Ba + B * Aa < A * B + Aa * Ba$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *triple_cross_mult_hmset*:
 $An * (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp - (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp))$
 $+ (Cn * (An * Bp + Bn * Ap - (An * Bn + Ap * Bp)))$
 $+ (Ap * (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp - (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp)))$
 $+ Cp * (An * Bn + Ap * Bp - (An * Bp + Bn * Ap))) =$
 $An * (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp - (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp))$
 $+ (Cn * (An * Bn + Ap * Bp - (An * Bp + Bn * Ap)))$
 $+ (Ap * (Bn * Cn + Bp * Cp - (Bn * Cp + Cn * Bp)))$
 $+ Cp * (An * Bp + Bn * Ap - (An * Bn + Ap * Bp)))$
for $Ap\ An\ Bp\ Bn\ Cp\ Cn\ Dp\ Dn :: \text{hmultiset}$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.7 Conversions to Natural Numbers

definition *offset_hmset* :: $\text{hmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**
 $\text{offset_hmset } M = \text{count } (\text{hmsetmset } M) 0$

lemma *offset_hmset_of_nat[simp]*: $\text{offset_hmset } (\text{of_nat } n) = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *offset_hmset_numeral[simp]*: $\text{offset_hmset } (\text{numeral } n) = \text{numeral } n$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *sum_coefs* :: $\text{hmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$ **where**

$sum_coefs\ M = size\ (hmsetmset\ M)$

lemma $sum_coefs_distrib_plus[simp]$: $sum_coefs\ (M + N) = sum_coefs\ M + sum_coefs\ N$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $sum_coefs_gt_0$: $sum_coefs\ M > 0 \longleftrightarrow M > 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.8 An Example

The following proof is based on an informal proof by Uwe Waldmann, inspired by a similar argument by Michel Ludwig.

lemma $ludwig_waldmann_less$:
fixes $\alpha1\ \alpha2\ \beta1\ \beta2\ \gamma\ \delta :: hmultiset$
assumes
 $\alpha\beta2\gamma_lt_alpha\beta1\gamma$: $\alpha2 + \beta2 * \gamma < \alpha1 + \beta1 * \gamma$ **and**
 $\beta2_le_beta1$: $\beta2 \leq \beta1$ **and**
 γ_lt_delta : $\gamma < \delta$
shows $\alpha2 + \beta2 * \delta < \alpha1 + \beta1 * \delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

8 Signed Syntactic Ordinals in Cantor Normal Form

theory $Signed_Syntactic_Ordinal$
imports $Signed_Hereditary_Multiset\ Syntactic_Ordinal$
begin

8.1 Natural (Hessenberg) Product

instantiation $zhmultiset :: comm_ring_1$
begin

abbreviation $\omega_z_exp :: hmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset\ (\omega_z \wedge)$ **where**
 $\omega_z \wedge \equiv \lambda m. ZHMSet\ \{\#m\#}_z$

lift-definition $one_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset\ is\ \{\#0\#}_z$ ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation $\omega_z :: zhmultiset$ **where**
 $\omega_z \equiv \omega_z \wedge 1$

lemma $\omega_z_as_omega$: $\omega_z = zhmset_of\ \omega$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition $times_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset\ is$
 $\lambda M\ N.$
 $zmset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_pos\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_pos\ N)))$
 $- zmset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_pos\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_neg\ N)))$
 $+ zmset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_neg\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_neg\ N)))$
 $- zmset_of\ (hmsetmset\ (HMSet\ (mset_neg\ M) * HMSet\ (mset_pos\ N)))$ ⟨proof⟩

lemmas $zhmsetmset_times = times_zhmultiset.rep_eq$

instance
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma $zhmset_of_1$: $zhmset_of\ 1 = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_times*: $zhmset_of (A * B) = zhmset_of A * zhmset_of B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_prod_list*:
 $zhmset_of (prod_list Ms) = prod_list (map zhmset_of Ms)$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.2 Embedding of Natural Numbers

lemma *of_nat_zhmset*: $of_nat n = zhmset_of (of_nat n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_inject_zhmset[simp]*: $(of_nat m :: zhmultiset) = of_nat n \longleftrightarrow m = n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *plus_of_nat_plus_of_nat_zhmset*:
 $k + of_nat m + of_nat n = k + of_nat (m + n)$ **for** $k :: zhmultiset$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *plus_of_nat_minus_of_nat_zhmset*:
fixes $k :: zhmultiset$
assumes $n \leq m$
shows $k + of_nat m - of_nat n = k + of_nat (m - n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_lt_omega_z[simp]*: $of_nat n < \omega_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_ne_omega_z[simp]*: $of_nat n \neq \omega_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.3 Embedding of Extended Natural Numbers

primrec *zhmset_of_enat* :: $enat \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **where**
 $zhmset_of_enat (enat n) = of_nat n$
 $| zhmset_of_enat \infty = \omega_z$

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_0[simp]*: $zhmset_of_enat 0 = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_1[simp]*: $zhmset_of_enat 1 = 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_of_nat[simp]*: $zhmset_of_enat (of_nat n) = of_nat n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_numeral[simp]*: $zhmset_of_enat (numeral n) = numeral n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_le_omega_z[simp]*: $zhmset_of_enat n \leq \omega_z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_eq_omega_z_iff[simp]*: $zhmset_of_enat n = \omega_z \longleftrightarrow n = \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.4 Inequalities and Some (Dis)equalities

instance *zhmultiset* :: *zero_less_one*
 ⟨proof⟩

instantiation *zhmultiset* :: *linordered_idom*
begin

definition *sgn_zhmultiset* :: $zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **where**

$sgn_zhmultiset\ M = (if\ M = 0\ then\ 0\ else\ if\ M > 0\ then\ 1\ else\ -1)$

definition $abs_zhmultiset :: zhmultiset \Rightarrow zhmultiset$ **where**
 $abs_zhmultiset\ M = (if\ M < 0\ then\ -\ M\ else\ M)$

lemma $gt_0_times_gt_0_imp$:
fixes $a\ b :: zhmultiset$
assumes $a_gt0: a > 0$ **and** $b_gt0: b > 0$
shows $a * b > 0$
(proof)

instance
(proof)

end

lemma $le_zhmset_of_pos: M \leq zhmset_of\ (hmset_pos\ M)$
(proof)

lemma $minus_zhmset_of_pos_le: -\ zhmset_of\ (hmset_neg\ M) \leq M$
(proof)

lemma $zhmset_of_nonneg[simp]: zhmset_of\ M \geq 0$
(proof)

lemma
fixes $n :: zhmultiset$
assumes $0 \leq m$
shows
 $le_add1_hmset: n \leq n + m$ **and**
 $le_add2_hmset: n \leq m + n$
(proof)

lemma $less_iff_add1_le_zhmset: m < n \iff m + 1 \leq n$ **for** $m\ n :: zhmultiset$
(proof)

lemma $gt_0_lt_mult_gt_1_zhmset$:
fixes $m\ n :: zhmultiset$
assumes $m > 0$ **and** $n > 1$
shows $m < m * n$
(proof)

lemma $zero_less_iff_1_le_zhmset: 0 < n \iff 1 \leq n$ **for** $n :: zhmultiset$
(proof)

lemma $less_add_1_iff_le_hmset: m < n + 1 \iff m \leq n$ **for** $m\ n :: zhmultiset$
(proof)

lemma $nonneg_le_mult_right_mono_zhmset$:
fixes $x\ y\ z :: zhmultiset$
assumes $x: 0 \leq x$ **and** $y: 0 < y$ **and** $z: x \leq z$
shows $x \leq y * z$
(proof)

instance $hmultiset :: ordered_cancel_comm_semiring$
(proof)

instance $hmultiset :: linordered_semiring_1_strict$
(proof)

instance $hmultiset :: bounded_lattice_bot$
(proof)

instance *hmultiset* :: *zero_less_one*
⟨*proof*⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *linordered_nonzero_semiring*
⟨*proof*⟩

instance *hmultiset* :: *semiring_no_zero_divisors*
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero_lt_ω_z[simp]*: $0 < \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *one_lt_ω_z[simp]*: $1 < \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *numeral_lt_ω_z[simp]*: *numeral* $n < \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *one_le_ω_z[simp]*: $1 \leq \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *of_nat_le_ω_z[simp]*: *of_nat* $n \leq \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *numeral_le_ω_z[simp]*: *numeral* $n \leq \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not_ω_z_lt_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z < 1$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not_ω_z_lt_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z < \text{of_nat } n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not_ω_z_lt_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z < \text{numeral } n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not_ω_z_le_1[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z \leq 1$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not_ω_z_le_of_nat[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z \leq \text{of_nat } n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not_ω_z_le_numeral[simp]*: $\neg \omega_z \leq \text{numeral } n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *zero_ne_ω_z[simp]*: $0 \neq \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *one_ne_ω_z[simp]*: $1 \neq \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *numeral_ne_ω_z[simp]*: *numeral* $n \neq \omega_z$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
ω_z_ne_0[simp]: $\omega_z \neq 0$ **and**
ω_z_ne_1[simp]: $\omega_z \neq 1$ **and**
ω_z_ne_of_nat[simp]: $\omega_z \neq \text{of_nat } m$ **and**
ω_z_ne_numeral[simp]: $\omega_z \neq \text{numeral } n$
⟨*proof*⟩

lemma
zhmset_of_enat_inject[simp]: *zhmset_of_enat* $m = \text{zhmset_of_enat } n \iff m = n$ **and**

zhmset_of_enat_lt_iff_lt[simp]: $zhmset_of_enat\ m < zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow m < n$ **and**
zhmset_of_enat_le_iff_le[simp]: $zhmset_of_enat\ m \leq zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_lt_zhmset_of_enat_iff*: $of_nat\ m < zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow enat\ m < n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *of_nat_le_zhmset_of_enat_iff*: $of_nat\ m \leq zhmset_of_enat\ n \longleftrightarrow enat\ m \leq n$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *zhmset_of_enat_lt_iff_ne_infinity*: $zhmset_of_enat\ x < \omega_z \longleftrightarrow x \neq \infty$
 ⟨proof⟩

8.5 An Example

A new proof of $[[?α2.0 + ?β2.0 * ?γ < ?α1.0 + ?β1.0 * ?γ; ?β2.0 \leq ?β1.0; ?γ < ?δ]] \implies ?α2.0 + ?β2.0 * ?δ < ?α1.0 + ?β1.0 * ?δ$:

lemma
fixes $\alpha1\ \alpha2\ \beta1\ \beta2\ \gamma\ \delta :: hmultiset$
assumes
 $\alpha\beta2\gamma_lt_αβ1\gamma$: $\alpha2 + \beta2 * \gamma < \alpha1 + \beta1 * \gamma$ **and**
 $\beta2_le_β1$: $\beta2 \leq \beta1$ **and**
 $\gamma_lt_δ$: $\gamma < \delta$
shows $\alpha2 + \beta2 * \delta < \alpha1 + \beta1 * \delta$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Syntactic_Ordinal_Bridge*
imports *HOL-Library.Sublist Ordinal.OrdinalOmega Syntactic_Ordinal*
abbrevs
 $!h = \iota$
begin

9 Bridge between Huffman's Ordinal Library and the Syntactic Ordinals

9.1 Missing Lemmas about Huffman's Ordinals

instantiation *ordinal* :: *order_bot*
begin

definition *bot_ordinal* :: *ordinal* **where**
 $bot_ordinal = 0$

instance
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *insort_bot[simp]*: $insort\ bot\ xs = bot \# xs$ **for** $xs :: 'a::\{order_bot,linorder\}$ *list*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *insort_0_ordinal[simp]* = *insort_bot[of xs :: ordinal list for xs, unfolded bot_ordinal_def]*

lemma *from_cnf_less_ω_exp*:
assumes $\forall k \in set\ ks. k < l$
shows $from_cnf\ ks < \omega ** l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *from_cnf_0_iff[simp]*: $from_cnf\ ks = 0 \longleftrightarrow ks = []$

<proof>

lemma *from_cnf_append[simp]*: $\text{from_cnf } (ks @ ls) = \text{from_cnf } ks + \text{from_cnf } ls$
<proof>

lemma *subseq_from_cnf_less_eq*: $\text{Sublist.subseq } ks \ ls \implies \text{from_cnf } ks \leq \text{from_cnf } ls$
<proof>

9.2 Embedding of Syntactic Ordinals into Huffman's Ordinals

abbreviation $\omega_h :: \text{hmultiset where}$
 $\omega_h \equiv \text{Syntactic_Ordinal}.\omega$

abbreviation $\omega_h_exp :: \text{hmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{hmultiset } (\omega_h \wedge)$ **where**
 $\omega_h \wedge \equiv \text{Syntactic_Ordinal}.\omega_exp$

primrec *ordinal_of_hmset* :: $\text{hmultiset} \Rightarrow \text{ordinal where}$
 $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } (\text{HMSet } M) =$
 $\text{from_cnf } (\text{rev } (\text{sorted_list_of_multiset } (\text{image_mset } \text{ordinal_of_hmset } M)))$

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_0[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } 0 = 0$
<proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_suc[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } (k + 1) = \text{ordinal_of_hmset } k + 1$
<proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_1[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } 1 = 1$
<proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_omega[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } \omega_h = \omega$
<proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_singleton[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } (\omega \wedge k) = \omega ** \text{ordinal_of_hmset } k$
<proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_iff[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } k = 0 \iff k = 0$
<proof>

lemma *less_imp_ordinal_of_hmset_less*: $k < l \implies \text{ordinal_of_hmset } k < \text{ordinal_of_hmset } l$
<proof>

lemma *ordinal_of_hmset_less[simp]*: $\text{ordinal_of_hmset } k < \text{ordinal_of_hmset } l \iff k < l$
<proof>

end

10 Termination of McCarthy's 91 Function

theory *McCarthy_91*
imports *HOL-Library.Multiset_Order*
begin

lemma *funpow_rec*: $f \wedge n = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } id \text{ else } f \circ f \wedge (n - 1))$
<proof>

The f function captures the semantics of McCarthy's 91 function. The g function is a tail-recursive implementation of the function, whose termination is established using the multiset order. The definitions follow Dershowitz and Manna.

definition $f :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int where}$
 $f \ x = (\text{if } x > 100 \text{ then } x - 10 \text{ else } 91)$

definition $\tau :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int multiset where}$

```
 $\tau n z = mset (map (\lambda i. (f \wedge \wedge nat i) z) [0..int n - 1])$ 
```

```
function  $g :: nat \Rightarrow int \Rightarrow int$  where
   $g n z = (if n = 0 then z else if z > 100 then g (n - 1) (z - 10) else g (n + 1) (z + 11))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
declare  $g.simps [simp del]$ 
```

```
end
```

11 Termination of the Hydra Battle

```
theory Hydra_Battle
imports Syntactic_Ordinal
begin
```

```
hide-const (open) Nil Cons
```

The h function and its auxiliaries f and d represent the hydra battle. The $encode$ function converts a hydra (represented as a Lisp-like tree) to a syntactic ordinal. The definitions follow Dershowitz and Moser.

```
datatype lisp =
  Nil
| Cons (car: lisp) (cdr: lisp)
where
   $car\ Nil = Nil$ 
|  $cdr\ Nil = Nil$ 
```

```
primrec  $encode :: lisp \Rightarrow hmultiset$  where
   $encode\ Nil = 0$ 
|  $encode\ (Cons\ l\ r) = \omega^{(encode\ l)} + encode\ r$ 
```

```
primrec  $f :: nat \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp$  where
   $f\ 0\ y\ x = x$ 
|  $f\ (Suc\ m)\ y\ x = Cons\ y\ (f\ m\ y\ x)$ 
```

```
lemma  $encode\_f: encode\ (f\ n\ y\ x) = of\_nat\ n * \omega^{(encode\ y)} + encode\ x$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
function  $d :: nat \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp$  where
   $d\ n\ x =$ 
  ( $if\ car\ x = Nil\ then\ cdr\ x$ 
   $else\ if\ car\ (car\ x) = Nil\ then\ f\ n\ (cdr\ (car\ x))\ (cdr\ x)$ 
   $else\ Cons\ (d\ n\ (car\ x))\ (cdr\ x)$ )
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
declare  $d.simps [simp del]$ 
```

```
function  $h :: nat \Rightarrow lisp \Rightarrow lisp$  where
   $h\ n\ x = (if\ x = Nil\ then\ Nil\ else\ h\ (n + 1)\ (d\ n\ x))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
termination
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
declare  $h.simps [simp del]$ 
```

```
end
```

12 Termination of the Goodstein Sequence

```
theory Goodstein_Sequence
imports Multiset_More Syntactic_Ordinal
begin
```

The *goodstein* function returns the successive values of the Goodstein sequence. It is defined in terms of *encode* and *decode* functions, which convert between natural numbers and ordinals. The development culminates with a proof of Goodstein's theorem.

12.1 Lemmas about Division

```
lemma div_mult_le: m div n * n ≤ m for m n :: nat
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma power_div_same_base:
  b ^ y ≠ 0 ⇒ x ≥ y ⇒ b ^ x div b ^ y = b ^ (x - y) for b :: 'a::semidom_divide
⟨proof⟩
```

12.2 Hereditary and Nonhereditary Base-*n* Systems

```
context
  fixes base :: nat
  assumes base_ge_2: base ≥ 2
begin
```

```
inductive well_base :: 'a multiset ⇒ bool where
  (∀ n. count M n < base) ⇒ well_base M
```

```
lemma well_base_filter: well_base M ⇒ well_base {#m ∈# M. p m#}
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma well_base_image_inj: well_base M ⇒ inj_on f (set_mset M) ⇒ well_base (image_mset f M)
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma well_base_bound:
  assumes
    well_base M and
    ∀ m ∈# M. m < n
  shows (∑ m ∈# M. base ^ m) < base ^ n
⟨proof⟩
```

```
inductive well_base_h :: hmultiset ⇒ bool where
  (∀ N ∈# hmsetmset M. well_base_h N) ⇒ well_base (hmsetmset M) ⇒ well_base_h M
```

```
lemma well_base_h_mono_hmset: well_base_h M ⇒ hmsetmset N ⊆# hmsetmset M ⇒ well_base_h N
⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma well_base_h_imp_well_base: well_base_h M ⇒ well_base (hmsetmset M)
⟨proof⟩
```

12.3 Encoding of Natural Numbers into Ordinals

```
function encode :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ hmultiset where
  encode e n =
    (if n = 0 then 0 else of_nat (n mod base) * ω^(encode 0 e) + encode (e + 1) (n div base))
⟨proof⟩
```

```
termination
⟨proof⟩
```

```
declare encode.simps[simp del]
```

```
lemma encode_0[simp]: encode e 0 = 0
⟨proof⟩
```


lemma *encode_Suc*:
 $encode\ e\ (Suc\ n) = of_nat\ (Suc\ n\ mod\ base) * \omega^{(encode\ 0\ e)} + encode\ (e + 1)\ (Suc\ n\ div\ base)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *encode_0_iff*: $encode\ e\ n = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *encode_Suc_exp*: $encode\ (Suc\ e)\ n = encode\ e\ (base * n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *encode_exp_0*: $encode\ e\ n = encode\ 0\ (base \wedge e * n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem_hmsetmset_encodeD*: $M \in\# hmsetmset\ (encode\ e\ n) \implies \exists e' \geq e. M = encode\ 0\ e'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *less_imp_encode_less*: $n < p \implies encode\ e\ n < encode\ e\ p$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *aligned_e* :: $nat \Rightarrow hmsetmset \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $(\forall m \in\# hmsetmset\ M. m \geq encode\ 0\ e) \implies aligned_e\ e\ M$

lemma *aligned_e_encode*: $aligned_e\ e\ (encode\ e\ M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *well_base_h_encode*: $well_base_h\ (encode\ e\ n)$
 ⟨proof⟩

12.4 Decoding of Natural Numbers from Ordinals

primrec *decode* :: $nat \Rightarrow hmsetmset \Rightarrow nat$ **where**
 $decode\ e\ (HMSet\ M) = (\sum m \in\# M. base \wedge decode\ 0\ m) div\ base \wedge e$

lemma *decode_unfold*: $decode\ e\ M = (\sum m \in\# hmsetmset\ M. base \wedge decode\ 0\ m) div\ base \wedge e$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *decode_0[simp]*: $decode\ e\ 0 = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *aligned_d* :: $nat \Rightarrow hmsetmset \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $(\forall m \in\# hmsetmset\ M. decode\ 0\ m \geq e) \implies aligned_d\ e\ M$

lemma *aligned_d_0[simp]*: $aligned_d\ 0\ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aligned_d_mono_exp_Suc*: $aligned_d\ (Suc\ e)\ M \implies aligned_d\ e\ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *aligned_d_mono_hmset*:
assumes $aligned_d\ e\ M$ **and** $hmsetmset\ M' \subseteq\# hmsetmset\ M$
shows $aligned_d\ e\ M'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *decode_exp_shift_Suc*:
assumes $aligned_d\ (Suc\ e)\ M$
shows $decode\ e\ M = base * decode\ (Suc\ e)\ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *decode_exp_shift*:
assumes $aligned_d\ e\ M$
shows $decode\ 0\ M = base \wedge e * decode\ e\ M$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *decode_plus*:
assumes *align_d_M*: *aligned_d e M*
shows *decode e (M + N) = decode e M + decode e N*
<proof>

lemma *less_imp_decode_less*:
assumes
well_base_h M **and**
aligned_d e M **and**
aligned_d e N **and**
M < N
shows *decode e M < decode e N*
<proof>

lemma *inj_decode*: *inj_on (decode e) {M. well_base_h M ∧ aligned_d e M}*
<proof>

lemma *decode_0_iff*: *well_base_h M ⇒ aligned_d e M ⇒ decode e M = 0 ↔ M = 0*
<proof>

lemma *decode_encode*: *decode e (encode e n) = n*
<proof>

lemma *encode_decode_exp_0*: *well_base_h M ⇒ encode 0 (decode 0 M) = M*
<proof>

end

lemma *well_base_h_mono_base*:
assumes
well_h: well_base_h base M **and**
two: 2 ≤ base **and**
bases: base ≤ base'
shows *well_base_h base' M*
<proof>

12.5 The Goodstein Sequence and Goodstein's Theorem

context

fixes *start* :: *nat*

begin

primrec *goodstein* :: *nat ⇒ nat* **where**

goodstein 0 = start

| *goodstein (Suc i) = decode (i + 3) 0 (encode (i + 2) 0 (goodstein i)) - 1*

lemma *goodstein_step*:

assumes *gi_gt_0*: *goodstein i > 0*

shows *encode (i + 2) 0 (goodstein i) > encode (i + 3) 0 (goodstein (i + 1))*

<proof>

theorem *goodsteins_theorem*: $\exists i. \text{goodstein } i = 0$

<proof>

end

end

13 Towards Decidability of Behavioral Equivalence for Unary PCF

theory *Unary_PCF*

imports

HOL-Library.FSet

HOL-Library.Countable_Set_Type
HOL-Library.Nat_Bijection
Hereditary_Multiset
List-Index.List_Index

begin

13.1 Preliminaries

lemma *prod_UNIV*: $UNIV = UNIV \times UNIV$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *infinite_cartesian_productI1*: $infinite\ A \implies B \neq \{\} \implies infinite\ (A \times B)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

13.2 Types

datatype *type* = $\mathcal{B}\ (B) \mid Fun\ type\ type\ (infixr\ \rightarrow\ 65)$

definition *mk_fun* (*infixr* $\rightarrow\rightarrow\ 65$) **where**
 $Ts\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ T = fold\ (\rightarrow)\ (rev\ Ts)\ T$

primrec *dest_fun* **where**
 $dest_fun\ \mathcal{B} = []$
 $\mid\ dest_fun\ (T\ \rightarrow\ U) = T\ \#\ dest_fun\ U$

definition *arity* **where**
 $arity\ T = length\ (dest_fun\ T)$

lemma *mk_fun_dest_fun[simp]*: $dest_fun\ T\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ \mathcal{B} = T$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *dest_fun_mk_fun[simp]*: $dest_fun\ (Ts\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ T) = Ts\ @\ dest_fun\ T$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

primrec δ **where**
 $\delta\ \mathcal{B} = HMSet\ \{\#\}$
 $\mid\ \delta\ (T\ \rightarrow\ U) = HMSet\ (add_mset\ (\delta\ T)\ (hmsetmset\ (\delta\ U)))$

lemma *δ_mk_fun* : $\delta\ (Ts\ \rightarrow\rightarrow\ T) = HMSet\ (hmsetmset\ (\delta\ T)\ +\ mset\ (map\ \delta\ Ts))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *type_induct* [*case_names Fun*]:
assumes
 $(\bigwedge T. (\bigwedge T1\ T2. T = T1\ \rightarrow\ T2 \implies P\ T1) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge T1\ T2. T = T1\ \rightarrow\ T2 \implies P\ T2) \implies P\ T)$
shows $P\ T$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

13.3 Terms

type-synonym *name* = *string*

type-synonym *idx* = *nat*

datatype *expr* =
 $Var\ name\ * type\ (\langle_ \rangle) \mid Bound\ idx \mid B\ bool$
 $\mid Seq\ expr\ expr\ (infixr\ ?\ 75) \mid App\ expr\ expr\ (infixl\ \cdot\ 75)$
 $\mid Abs\ type\ expr\ (\bigwedge\ _)\ _ [100, 100]\ 800)$

declare [[*coercion_enabled*]]
declare [[*coercion B*]]
declare [[*coercion Bound*]]

notation (**output**) $B\ (_)$

notation (**output**) $Bound\ (_)$

primrec $open :: idx \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow expr$ **where**

$open\ i\ t\ (j :: idx) = (if\ i = j\ then\ t\ else\ j)$
| $open\ i\ t\ \langle yU \rangle = \langle yU \rangle$
| $open\ i\ t\ (b :: bool) = b$
| $open\ i\ t\ (e1\ ?\ e2) = open\ i\ t\ e1\ ?\ open\ i\ t\ e2$
| $open\ i\ t\ (e1 \cdot e2) = open\ i\ t\ e1 \cdot open\ i\ t\ e2$
| $open\ i\ t\ (\Lambda\langle U \rangle\ e) = \Lambda\langle U \rangle\ (open\ (i + 1)\ t\ e)$

abbreviation $open0 \equiv open\ 0$

abbreviation $open_Var\ i\ xT \equiv open\ i\ \langle xT \rangle$

abbreviation $open0_Var\ xT \equiv open\ 0\ \langle xT \rangle$

primrec $close_Var :: idx \Rightarrow name \times type \Rightarrow expr \Rightarrow expr$ **where**

$close_Var\ i\ xT\ (j :: idx) = j$
| $close_Var\ i\ xT\ \langle yU \rangle = (if\ xT = yU\ then\ i\ else\ \langle yU \rangle)$
| $close_Var\ i\ xT\ (b :: bool) = b$
| $close_Var\ i\ xT\ (e1\ ?\ e2) = close_Var\ i\ xT\ e1\ ?\ close_Var\ i\ xT\ e2$
| $close_Var\ i\ xT\ (e1 \cdot e2) = close_Var\ i\ xT\ e1 \cdot close_Var\ i\ xT\ e2$
| $close_Var\ i\ xT\ (\Lambda\langle U \rangle\ e) = \Lambda\langle U \rangle\ (close_Var\ (i + 1)\ xT\ e)$

abbreviation $close0_Var \equiv close_Var\ 0$

primrec $fv :: expr \Rightarrow (name \times type)\ fset$ **where**

$fv\ (j :: idx) = \{\|\}$
| $fv\ \langle yU \rangle = \{\|yU\|\}$
| $fv\ (b :: bool) = \{\|\}$
| $fv\ (e1\ ?\ e2) = fv\ e1\ \cup\ fv\ e2$
| $fv\ (e1 \cdot e2) = fv\ e1\ \cup\ fv\ e2$
| $fv\ (\Lambda\langle U \rangle\ e) = fv\ e$

abbreviation $fresh\ x\ e \equiv x \notin fv\ e$

lemma $ex_fresh: \exists x. (x :: char\ list, T) \notin A$

<proof>

inductive lc **where**

$lc_Var[simp]: lc\ \langle xT \rangle$
| $lc_B[simp]: lc\ (b :: bool)$
| $lc_Seq: lc\ e1 \implies lc\ e2 \implies lc\ (e1\ ?\ e2)$
| $lc_App: lc\ e1 \implies lc\ e2 \implies lc\ (e1 \cdot e2)$
| $lc_Abs: (\forall x. (x, T) \notin X \longrightarrow lc\ (open0_Var\ (x, T)\ e)) \implies lc\ (\Lambda\langle T \rangle\ e)$

declare $lc.intros[intro]$

definition $body\ T\ t \equiv (\exists X. \forall x. (x, T) \notin X \longrightarrow lc\ (open0_Var\ (x, T)\ t))$

lemma $lc_Abs_iff_body: lc\ (\Lambda\langle T \rangle\ t) \longleftrightarrow body\ T\ t$

<proof>

lemma $fv_open_Var: fresh\ xT\ t \implies fv\ (open_Var\ i\ xT\ t) \subseteq\ finsert\ xT\ (fv\ t)$

<proof>

lemma $fv_close_Var[simp]: fv\ (close_Var\ i\ xT\ t) = fv\ t\ -\ \{xT\}$

<proof>

lemma $close_Var_open_Var[simp]: fresh\ xT\ t \implies close_Var\ i\ xT\ (open_Var\ i\ xT\ t) = t$

<proof>

lemma $open_Var_inj: fresh\ xT\ t \implies fresh\ xT\ u \implies open_Var\ i\ xT\ t = open_Var\ i\ xT\ u \implies t = u$

<proof>

context **begin**

private lemma *open_Var_open_Var_close_Var*: $i \neq j \implies xT \neq yU \implies \text{fresh } yU \ t \implies$
 $\text{open_Var } i \ yU \ (\text{open_Var } j \ zV \ (\text{close_Var } j \ xT \ t)) = \text{open_Var } j \ zV \ (\text{close_Var } j \ xT \ (\text{open_Var } i \ yU \ t))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *open_Var_close_Var[simp]*: $lc \ t \implies \text{open_Var } i \ xT \ (\text{close_Var } i \ xT \ t) = t$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma *close_Var_inj*: $lc \ t \implies lc \ u \implies \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ t = \text{close_Var } i \ xT \ u \implies t = u$
 ⟨proof⟩

primrec *Apps* (*infixl* · 75) **where**

$f \cdot [] = f$
 $| f \cdot (x \# xs) = f \cdot x \cdot xs$

lemma *Apps_snoc*: $f \cdot (xs \ @ \ [x]) = f \cdot xs \cdot x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_append*: $f \cdot (xs \ @ \ ys) = f \cdot xs \cdot ys$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_inj[simp]*: $f \cdot ts = g \cdot ts \iff f = g$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *eq_Apps_conv[simp]*:
fixes $i :: \text{idx}$ **and** $b :: \text{bool}$ **and** $f :: \text{expr}$ **and** $ts :: \text{expr list}$
shows

$\langle m \rangle = f \cdot ts = (\langle m \rangle = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = \langle m \rangle) = (\langle m \rangle = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(i = f \cdot ts) = (i = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = i) = (i = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(b = f \cdot ts) = (b = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = b) = (b = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(e1 \ ? \ e2 = f \cdot ts) = (e1 \ ? \ e2 = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = e1 \ ? \ e2) = (e1 \ ? \ e2 = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t = f \cdot ts) = (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t = f \wedge ts = [])$
 $(f \cdot ts = \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t) = (\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ t = f \wedge ts = [])$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Var_eq[simp]*: $\langle xT \rangle \cdot ss = \langle yU \rangle \cdot ts \iff xT = yU \wedge ss = ts$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Abs_neq_Apps[simp, symmetric, simp]*:

$\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq \langle xT \rangle \cdot ss$
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq (i :: \text{idx}) \cdot ss$
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq (b :: \text{bool}) \cdot ss$
 $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t \neq (e1 \ ? \ e2) \cdot ss$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *App_Abs_eq_Apps_Abs[simp]*: $\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot t = \Lambda \langle T' \rangle \ r' \cdot ss \iff T = T' \wedge r = r' \wedge ss = [t]$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Var_neq_Apps_Abs[simp, symmetric, simp]*: $\langle xT \rangle \cdot ss \neq \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot ts$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Apps_Var_neq_Apps_beta[simp, THEN not_sym, simp]*:

$\langle xT \rangle \cdot ss \neq \Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot s \cdot ts$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma [*simp*]:
 $(\Lambda \langle T \rangle \ r \cdot ts = \Lambda \langle T' \rangle \ r' \cdot s' \cdot ts') = (T = T' \wedge r = r' \wedge ts = s' \# ts')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fold_eq_Bool_iff*[simp]:
 $fold (\rightarrow) (rev Ts) T = \mathcal{B} \longleftrightarrow Ts = [] \wedge T = \mathcal{B}$
 $\mathcal{B} = fold (\rightarrow) (rev Ts) T \longleftrightarrow Ts = [] \wedge T = \mathcal{B}$
 <proof>

lemma *fold_eq_Fun_iff*[simp]:
 $fold (\rightarrow) (rev Ts) T = U \rightarrow V \longleftrightarrow$
 $(Ts = [] \wedge T = U \rightarrow V \vee (\exists Us. Ts = U \# Us \wedge fold (\rightarrow) (rev Us) T = V))$
 <proof>

13.4 Substitution

primrec *subst* **where**
 $subst\ xT\ t\ \langle yU \rangle = (if\ xT = yU\ then\ t\ else\ \langle yU \rangle)$
 $| subst\ xT\ t\ (i :: id\ x) = i$
 $| subst\ xT\ t\ (b :: bool) = b$
 $| subst\ xT\ t\ (e1\ ?\ e2) = subst\ xT\ t\ e1\ ?\ subst\ xT\ t\ e2$
 $| subst\ xT\ t\ (e1 \cdot e2) = subst\ xT\ t\ e1 \cdot subst\ xT\ t\ e2$
 $| subst\ xT\ t\ (\Lambda\langle T \rangle\ e) = \Lambda\langle T \rangle\ (subst\ xT\ t\ e)$

lemma *fv_subst*:
 $fv\ (subst\ xT\ t\ u) = fv\ u\ |- \{|xT|\}\ |\cup|\ (if\ xT\ |\in|\ fv\ u\ then\ fv\ t\ else\ \{||\})$
 <proof>

lemma *subst_fresh*: $fresh\ xT\ u \implies subst\ xT\ t\ u = u$
 <proof>

context begin

private lemma *open_open_id*: $i \neq j \implies open\ i\ t\ (open\ j\ t'\ u) = open\ j\ t'\ u \implies open\ i\ t\ u = u$
 <proof>

lemma *lc_open_id*: $lc\ u \implies open\ k\ t\ u = u$
 <proof>

lemma *subst_open*: $lc\ u \implies subst\ xT\ u\ (open\ i\ t\ v) = open\ i\ (subst\ xT\ u\ t)\ (subst\ xT\ u\ v)$
 <proof>

lemma *subst_open_Var*:
 $xT \neq yU \implies lc\ u \implies subst\ xT\ u\ (open_Var\ i\ yU\ v) = open_Var\ i\ yU\ (subst\ xT\ u\ v)$
 <proof>

lemma *subst_Apps*[simp]:
 $subst\ xT\ u\ (f \cdot xs) = subst\ xT\ u\ f \cdot map\ (subst\ xT\ u)\ xs$
 <proof>

end

context begin

private lemma *fresh_close_Var_id*: $fresh\ xT\ t \implies close_Var\ k\ xT\ t = t$
 <proof>

lemma *subst_close_Var*:
 $xT \neq yU \implies fresh\ yU\ u \implies subst\ xT\ u\ (close_Var\ i\ yU\ t) = close_Var\ i\ yU\ (subst\ xT\ u\ t)$
 <proof>

end

lemma *subst_intro*: $fresh\ xT\ t \implies lc\ u \implies open0\ u\ t = subst\ xT\ u\ (open0_Var\ xT\ t)$
 <proof>

lemma *lc_subst*[simp]: $lc\ u \implies lc\ t \implies lc\ (subst\ xT\ t\ u)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{body_subst}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{body } U \ u \implies \text{lc } t \implies \text{body } U \ (\text{subst } xT \ t \ u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma lc_open_Var : $\text{lc } u \implies \text{lc } (\text{open_Var } i \ xT \ u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{lc_open}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{body } U \ u \implies \text{lc } t \implies \text{lc } (\text{open0 } t \ u)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.5 Typing

inductive $\text{welltyped} :: \text{expr} \Rightarrow \text{type} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $::: 60$) **where**
 $\text{welltyped_Var}[\text{intro!}]$: $\langle (x, T) \rangle ::: T$
| $\text{welltyped_B}[\text{intro!}]$: $(b :: \text{bool}) ::: \mathcal{B}$
| $\text{welltyped_Seq}[\text{intro!}]$: $e1 ::: \mathcal{B} \implies e2 ::: \mathcal{B} \implies e1 \ ? \ e2 ::: \mathcal{B}$
| $\text{welltyped_App}[\text{intro}]$: $e1 ::: T \rightarrow U \implies e2 ::: T \implies e1 \cdot e2 ::: U$
| $\text{welltyped_Abs}[\text{intro}]$: $(\forall x. (x, T) \notin X \rightarrow \text{open0_Var } (x, T) \ e ::: U) \implies \Lambda\langle T \rangle \ e ::: T \rightarrow U$

inductive-cases $\text{welltypedE}[\text{elim}]$:

$\langle x \rangle ::: T$
 $(i :: \text{idx}) ::: T$
 $(b :: \text{bool}) ::: T$
 $e1 \ ? \ e2 ::: T$
 $e1 \cdot e2 ::: T$
 $\Lambda\langle T \rangle \ e ::: U$

lemma welltyped_unique : $t ::: T \implies t ::: U \implies T = U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{welltyped_lc}[\text{simp}]$: $t ::: T \implies \text{lc } t$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{welltyped_subst}[\text{intro}]$:
 $u ::: U \implies t ::: \text{snd } xT \implies \text{subst } xT \ t \ u ::: U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma rename_welltyped : $u ::: U \implies \text{subst } (x, T) \ (\langle (y, T) \rangle \ u) ::: U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{welltyped_Abs_fresh}$:
 assumes $\text{fresh } (x, T) \ u \ \text{open0_Var } (x, T) \ u ::: U$
 shows $\Lambda\langle T \rangle \ u ::: T \rightarrow U$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma Apps_alt : $f \cdot ts ::: T \iff$
 $(\exists Ts. f ::: \text{fold } (\rightarrow) \ (\text{rev } Ts) \ T \wedge \text{list_all2 } (::: \text{ts } Ts)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

13.6 Definition 10 and Lemma 11 from Schmidt-Schauß's paper

abbreviation $\text{closed } t \equiv \text{fv } t = \{\}\}$

primrec constant0 **where**
 $\text{constant0 } \mathcal{B} = \text{Var } (\text{"bool"}, \mathcal{B})$
| $\text{constant0 } (T \rightarrow U) = \Lambda\langle T \rangle \ (\text{constant0 } U)$

definition $\text{constant } T = \Lambda\langle \mathcal{B} \rangle \ (\text{close0_Var } (\text{"bool"}, \mathcal{B}) \ (\text{constant0 } T))$

lemma $\text{fv_constant0}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{fv } (\text{constant0 } T) = \{\text{"bool"}, \mathcal{B}\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{closed_constant}[\text{simp}]$: $\text{closed } (\text{constant } T)$

<proof>

lemma *welltyped_constant0*[simp]: *constant0 T* ::: *T*
<proof>

lemma *lc_constant0*[simp]: *lc (constant0 T)*
<proof>

lemma *welltyped_constant*[simp]: *constant T* ::: $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow T$
<proof>

definition *nth_drop* **where**
nth_drop i xs \equiv *take i xs @ drop (Suc i) xs*

definition *nth_arg* (**infixl** $!-$ 100) **where**
nth_arg T i \equiv *nth (dest_fun T) i*

abbreviation *ar* **where**
ar T \equiv *length (dest_fun T)*

lemma *size_nth_arg*[simp]: $i < ar\ T \implies size\ (T\ !-\ i) < size\ T$
<proof>

fun $\pi :: type \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow type$ **where**
 $\pi\ T\ i\ 0 = (if\ i < ar\ T\ then\ nth_drop\ i\ (dest_fun\ T) \rightarrow\ \mathcal{B}\ else\ \mathcal{B})$
 $|\ \pi\ T\ i\ (Suc\ j) = (if\ i < ar\ T \wedge j < ar\ (T\ !-\ i)$
then $\pi\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ 0 \rightarrow$
map $(\pi\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ o\ Suc)\ [0 ..< ar\ (T\ !-\ i) - j] \rightarrow\ \pi\ T\ i\ 0\ else\ \mathcal{B})$

theorem π_induct [rotated -2, consumes 2, case_names 0 Suc]:
assumes $\bigwedge T\ i.\ i < ar\ T \implies P\ T\ i\ 0$
and $\bigwedge T\ i\ j.\ i < ar\ T \implies j < ar\ (T\ !-\ i) \implies P\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ 0 \implies$
 $(\forall x < ar\ (T\ !-\ i) - j.\ P\ (T\ !-\ i)\ j\ (x + 1)) \implies P\ T\ i\ (j + 1)$
shows $i < ar\ T \implies j \leq ar\ (T\ !-\ i) \implies P\ T\ i\ j$
<proof>

definition $\varepsilon :: type \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow type$ **where**
 $\varepsilon\ T\ i = \pi\ T\ i\ 0 \rightarrow map\ (\pi\ T\ i\ o\ Suc)\ [0 ..< ar\ (T\ !-\ i)] \rightarrow\ T$

definition *Abss* ($\Lambda[_] _ [100, 100] 800$) **where**
 $\Lambda[xTs]\ b = fold\ (\lambda xT\ t.\ \Lambda(snd\ xT)\ close0_Var\ xT\ t)\ (rev\ xTs)\ b$

definition *Seqs* (**infixr** $??$ 75) **where**
ts ?? t = *fold* $(\lambda u\ t.\ u\ ?\ t)\ (rev\ ts)\ t$

definition *variant k base* = *base @ replicate k CHR "x"*

lemma *variant_inj*: *variant i base* = *variant j base* $\implies i = j$
<proof>

lemma *variant_inj2*:
 $CHR\ "x" \notin set\ b1 \implies CHR\ "x" \notin set\ b2 \implies variant\ i\ b1 = variant\ j\ b2 \implies b1 = b2$
<proof>

fun *E* :: *type* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *expr* **and** *P* :: *type* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *expr* **where**
E T i = *(if i < ar T then (let*
Ti = *T!-i;*
x = $\lambda k.\ (variant\ k\ "x",\ T!-k);$
xs = *map x [0 ..< ar T];*
xx_var = *(nth xs i);*
x_vars = *map* $(\lambda x.\ \langle x \rangle)\ (nth_drop\ i\ xs);$
yy = *("z", $\pi\ T\ i\ 0$);*
yy_var = *(yy);*


```

y = λj. (variant j "y", π T i (j + 1));
ys = map y [0 ..< ar Ti];
e = λj. ⟨y j⟩ · (P Ti j 0 · xx_var # map (λk. P Ti j (k + 1) · xx_var) [0 ..< ar (Ti!-j)]);
guards = map (λi. xx_var ·
  map (λj. constant (Ti!-j) · (if i = j then e i · x_vars else True)) [0 ..< ar Ti]
  [0 ..< ar Ti]
in Λ[(yy # ys @ xs)] (guards ?? (yy_var · x_vars)) else constant (ε T i) · False)
| P T i 0 =
  (if i < ar T then (let
    f = ("f", T);
    f_var = ⟨f⟩;
    x = λk. (variant k "x", T!-k);
    xs = nth_drop i (map x [0 ..< ar T]);
    x_vars = insert_nth i (constant (T!-i) · True) (map (λx. ⟨x⟩) xs)
  in Λ[(f # xs)] (f_var · x_vars)) else constant (T → π T i 0) · False)
| P T i (Suc j) = (if i < ar T ∧ j < ar (T!-i) then (let
  Ti = T!-i;
  Tij = Ti!-j;
  f = ("f", T);
  f_var = ⟨f⟩;
  x = λk. (variant k "x", T!-k);
  xs = nth_drop i (map x [0 ..< ar T]);
  yy = ("z", π Ti j 0);
  yy_var = ⟨yy⟩;
  y = λk. (variant k "y", π Ti j (k + 1));
  ys = map y [0 ..< ar Tij];
  y_vars = yy_var # map (λx. ⟨x⟩) ys;
  x_vars = insert_nth i (E Ti j · y_vars) (map (λx. ⟨x⟩) xs)
in Λ[(f # yy # ys @ xs)] (f_var · x_vars)) else constant (T → π T i (j + 1)) · False)

```

lemma *Abss_Nil[simp]*: $\Lambda[[]] b = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Abss_Cons[simp]*: $\Lambda[(x\#xs)] b = \Lambda\langle\text{snd } x\rangle (\text{close0_Var } x (\Lambda[xs] b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Abss*: $b :: U \implies T = \text{map snd } xTs \rightarrow\rightarrow U \implies \Lambda[xTs] b :: T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Apps*: $\text{list_all2 } (::) ts Ts \implies f :: Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow U \implies f \cdot ts :: U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_open_Var_close_Var[intro!]*:
 $t :: T \implies \text{open0_Var } xT (\text{close0_Var } xT t) :: T$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Var_iff[simp]*:
 $\langle(x, T)\rangle :: U \longleftrightarrow T = U$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_bool_iff[simp]*: $(b :: \text{bool}) :: T \longleftrightarrow T = \mathcal{B}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_constant0_iff[simp]*: $\text{constant0 } T :: U \longleftrightarrow (U = T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_constant_iff[simp]*: $\text{constant } T :: U \longleftrightarrow (U = \mathcal{B} \rightarrow T)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Seq_iff[simp]*: $e1 ? e2 :: T \longleftrightarrow (T = \mathcal{B} \wedge e1 :: \mathcal{B} \wedge e2 :: \mathcal{B})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *welltyped_Seqs_iff[simp]*: $es ?? e :: T \longleftrightarrow$

$((es \neq [] \rightarrow T = \mathcal{B}) \wedge (\forall e \in set\ es.\ e ::: \mathcal{B}) \wedge e ::: T)$
 <proof>

lemma *welltyped_App_iff[simp]*: $f \cdot t ::: U \longleftrightarrow (\exists T.\ f ::: T \rightarrow U \wedge t ::: T)$
 <proof>

lemma *welltyped_Apps_iff[simp]*: $f \cdot ts ::: U \longleftrightarrow (\exists Ts.\ f ::: Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow U \wedge list_all2\ (::) ts\ Ts)$
 <proof>

lemma *eq_mk_fun_iff[simp]*: $T = Ts \rightarrow\rightarrow \mathcal{B} \longleftrightarrow Ts = dest_fun\ T$
 <proof>

lemma *map_nth_eq_drop_take[simp]*: $j \leq length\ xs \implies map\ (nth\ xs)\ [i\ ..<\ j] = drop\ i\ (take\ j\ xs)$
 <proof>

lemma *dest_fun_pi_0*: $i < ar\ T \implies dest_fun\ (\pi\ T\ i\ 0) = nth_drop\ i\ (dest_fun\ T)$
 <proof>

lemma *welltyped_E*: $E\ T\ i ::: \varepsilon\ T\ i$ **and** *welltyped_P*: $P\ T\ i\ j ::: T \rightarrow \pi\ T\ i\ j$
 <proof>

lemma *delta_gt_0[simp]*: $T \neq \mathcal{B} \implies HMSet\ \{\#\} < \delta\ T$
 <proof>

lemma *mset_nth_drop_less*: $i < length\ xs \implies mset\ (nth_drop\ i\ xs) < mset\ xs$
 <proof>

lemma *map_nth_drop*: $i < length\ xs \implies map\ f\ (nth_drop\ i\ xs) = nth_drop\ i\ (map\ f\ xs)$
 <proof>

lemma *empty_less_mset*: $\{\#\} < mset\ xs \longleftrightarrow xs \neq []$
 <proof>

lemma *dest_fun_alt*: $dest_fun\ T = map\ (\lambda i.\ T\ !-\ i)\ [0..\ ar\ T]$
 <proof>

context notes $\pi.simps[simp\ del]$ **notes** $One_nat_def[simp\ del]$ **begin**

lemma δ_pi :
assumes $i < ar\ T\ j \leq ar\ (T\ !-\ i)$
shows $\delta\ (\pi\ T\ i\ j) < \delta\ T$
 <proof>

end

end