Matroids

Jonas Keinholz

October 13, 2025

Abstract

This article defines combinatorial structures known as *Independence Systems* and *Matroids* and provides basic concepts and theorems related to them. These structures play an important role in combinatorial optimisation, e.g. greedy algorithms such as Kruskal's algorithm. The development is based on Oxley's 'What is a Matroid?' [1].

Contents

1	Ind	ependence systems	3	
	1.1	Sub-independence systems	3	
	1.2	Bases	5	
	1.3	Circuits	8	
	1.4	Relation between independence and bases	12	
	1.5	Relation between dependence and circuits	14	
	1.6	Ranks	15	
2	Matroids 17			
	2.1	Minors	18	
	2.2	Bases	19	
	2.3	Circuits	20	
	2.4	Ranks	26	
	2.5	Closure	33	

1 Independence systems

```
theory Indep-System
 imports Main
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ finite	ext{-}psubset	ext{-}inc	ext{-}induct:
 assumes finite A X \subseteq A
 assumes \bigwedge X. (\bigwedge Y. X \subset Y \Longrightarrow Y \subseteq A \Longrightarrow P Y) \Longrightarrow P X
 shows PX
proof -
  have wf: wf \{(X,Y). Y \subset X \land X \subseteq A\}
   by (rule wf-bounded-set[where ub = \lambda-. A and f = id]) (auto simp add: \( \int \) finite
A \rightarrow)
  show ?thesis
 proof (induction X rule: wf-induct[OF wf, case-names step])
   case (step X)
   then show ?case using assms(3)[of X] by blast
qed
An independence system consists of a finite ground set together with an in-
dependence predicate over the sets of this ground set. At least one set of the
carrier is independent and subsets of independent sets are also independent.
locale indep-system =
 fixes carrier :: 'a set
 fixes indep :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow bool
 assumes carrier-finite: finite carrier
 assumes indep-subset-carrier: indep X \Longrightarrow X \subseteq carrier
 assumes indep-ex: \exists X. indep X
 assumes indep-subset: indep X \Longrightarrow Y \subseteq X \Longrightarrow indep Y
lemmas\ psubset-inc-induct\ [case-names\ carrier\ step] = finite-psubset-inc-induct\ [OF]
carrier-finite]
lemmas indep-finite [simp] = finite-subset[OF indep-subset-carrier carrier-finite]
The empty set is independent.
lemma indep-empty [simp]: indep {}
 using indep-ex indep-subset by auto
1.1
        Sub-independence systems
A subset of the ground set induces an independence system.
definition indep-in where indep-in \mathcal{E} \ X \longleftrightarrow X \subseteq \mathcal{E} \ \land \ indep \ X
lemma indep-inI:
```

assumes $X \subseteq \mathcal{E}$

```
assumes indep X
  shows indep-in \ \mathcal{E} \ X
  using assms unfolding indep-in-def by auto
lemma indep-in-subI: indep-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow indep-in \mathcal{E}' (X \cap \mathcal{E}')
  using indep-subset unfolding indep-in-def by auto
lemma dep-in-subI:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}'
  shows \neg indep-in \mathcal{E}' X \Longrightarrow \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} X
  using assms unfolding indep-in-def by auto
lemma indep-in-subset-carrier: indep-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  unfolding indep-in-def by auto
lemma indep-in-subI-subset:
  assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 assumes indep-in \mathcal{E}' X
  shows indep-in \mathcal{E} X
proof -
  have indep-in \mathcal{E} (X \cap \mathcal{E}) using assms indep-in-subI by auto
  moreover have X \cap \mathcal{E} = X using assms indep-in-subset-carrier by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma indep-in-supI:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 assumes indep-in \mathcal{E} X
 shows indep-in \mathcal{E}' X
proof -
  have X \cap \mathcal{E}' = X using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms indep-in-subI[where \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}' and
X = X] by auto
qed
lemma indep-in-indep: indep-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow indep X
  unfolding indep-in-def by auto
lemmas indep-inD = indep-in-subset-carrier indep-in-indep
lemma indep-system-subset [simp, intro]:
  assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
  shows indep-system \mathcal{E} (indep-in \mathcal{E})
  unfolding indep-system-def indep-in-def
  using finite-subset [OF assms carrier-finite] indep-subset by auto
```

We will work a lot with different sub structures. Therefore, every definition 'foo' will have a counterpart 'foo_in' which has the ground set as an additional parameter. Furthermore, every result about 'foo' will have an-

other result about 'foo_in'. With this, we usually don't have to work with **interpretation** in proofs.

```
context fixes \mathcal{E} assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier begin interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E} using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto lemma indep-in-sub-cong: assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} shows \mathcal{E}.indep-in \mathcal{E}' \ X \longleftrightarrow indep-in \mathcal{E}' \ X unfolding \mathcal{E}.indep-in-def indep-in-def using assms by auto lemmas indep-in-ex = \mathcal{E}.indep-ex lemmas indep-in-subset = \mathcal{E}.indep-subset lemmas indep-in-empty = \mathcal{E}.indep-empty end
```

1.2 Bases

A basis is a maximal independent set, i. e. an independent set which becomes dependent on inserting any element of the ground set.

```
definition basis where basis X \longleftrightarrow indep \ X \land (\forall \ x \in carrier - X. \neg indep \ (insert \ x \ X))
```

```
lemma basisI:
 assumes indep X
 assumes \bigwedge x. x \in carrier - X \Longrightarrow \neg indep (insert x X)
 shows basis X
 using assms unfolding basis-def by auto
lemma basis-indep: basis X \Longrightarrow indep X
  unfolding basis-def by auto
lemma basis-max-indep: basis X \Longrightarrow x \in carrier - X \Longrightarrow \neg indep (insert x X)
 unfolding basis-def by auto
lemmas \ basisD = basis-indep \ basis-max-indep
lemmas \ basis-subset-carrier = indep-subset-carrier[OF \ basis-indep]
lemmas basis-finite [simp] = indep-finite [OF basis-indep]
lemma indep-not-basis:
 assumes indep X
 assumes \neg basis X
 shows \exists x \in carrier - X. indep (insert x X)
```

```
using assms basisI by auto
lemma basis-subset-eq:
 assumes basis B_1
 assumes basis B_2
 assumes B_1 \subseteq B_2
 shows B_1 = B_2
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume B_1 \neq B_2
 then obtain x where x: x \in B_2 - B_1 using assms by auto
 then have insert x B_1 \subseteq B_2 using assms by auto
  then have indep (insert x B_1) using assms basis-indep[of B_2] indep-subset by
auto
 moreover have x \in carrier - B_1 using assms x basis-subset-carrier by auto
 ultimately show False using assms basisD by auto
qed
definition basis-in where
  basis-in \mathcal{E} X \longleftrightarrow indep-system.basis \mathcal{E} (indep-in \mathcal{E}) X
lemma basis-iff-basis-in: basis B \longleftrightarrow basis-in carrier B
proof -
 interpret \mathcal{E}: indep-system carrier indep-in carrier
   by auto
 show basis B \longleftrightarrow basis-in carrier B
   unfolding basis-in-def
  proof (standard, goal-cases LTR RTL)
   case LTR
   show ?case
   proof (rule \ \mathcal{E}.basisI)
    show indep-in carrier B using LTR basisD indep-subset-carrier indep-inI by
auto
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in carrier - B
     then have \neg indep (insert x B) using LTR basisD by auto
     then show \neg indep-in carrier (insert x B) using indep-inD by auto
   qed
  next
   case RTL
   show ?case
   proof (rule basisI)
     show indep B using RTL \mathcal{E}.basis-indep indep-inD by blast
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in carrier - B
     then have \neg indep-in carrier (insert x B) using RTL \mathcal{E}.basisD by auto
    then show \neg indep (insert x B) using indep-subset-carrier indep-inI by blast
```

```
qed
  qed
qed
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq \mathit{carrier}
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
lemma basis-inI-aux: \mathcal{E}.basis\ X \Longrightarrow basis-in\ \mathcal{E}\ X
  unfolding basis-in-def by auto
lemma basis-inD-aux: basis-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.basis X
  unfolding basis-in-def by auto
lemma not-basis-inD-aux: \neg basis-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow \neg \mathcal{E}.basis X
  using basis-inI-aux by auto
lemmas basis-inI = basis-inI-aux[OF \mathcal{E}.basisI]
lemmas basis-in-indep-in = \mathcal{E}.basis-indep[OF basis-inD-aux]
lemmas basis-in-max-indep-in = \mathcal{E}.basis-max-indep[OF basis-inD-aux]
\mathbf{lemmas}\ \mathit{basis-inD} = \mathcal{E}.\mathit{basisD}[\mathit{OF}\ \mathit{basis-inD-aux}]
lemmas basis-in-subset-carrier = \mathcal{E}.basis-subset-carrier[OF basis-inD-aux]
lemmas basis-in-finite = \mathcal{E}.basis-finite[OF\ basis-inD-aux]
lemmas indep-in-not-basis-in = \mathcal{E}.indep-not-basis[OF - not-basis-inD-aux]
lemmas basis-in-subset-eq = \mathcal{E}.basis-subset-eq[OF\ basis-inD-aux\ basis-inD-aux]
end
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma basis-in-sub-cong:
  assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  shows \mathcal{E}.basis-in \ \mathcal{E}' \ B \longleftrightarrow basis-in \ \mathcal{E}' \ B
proof (safe, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{LTR}
  show ?case
  proof (rule basis-inI)
    show \mathcal{E}' \subseteq carrier \text{ using } assms * by auto
  next
```

```
show indep-in \mathcal{E}' B
     \mathbf{using} * assms\ LTR\ \mathcal{E}. basis-in\text{-}subset\text{-}carrier\ \mathcal{E}. basis\text{-}in\text{-}indep\text{-}in\ indep\text{-}in\text{-}sub\text{-}cong}
\mathbf{by} auto
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in \mathcal{E}' - B
    then show \neg indep-in \mathcal{E}' (insert x B)
          using * assms LTR E.basis-in-max-indep-in E.basis-in-subset-carrier in-
dep	ext{-}in	ext{-}sub	ext{-}cong by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case RTL
  show ?case
  proof (rule \ \mathcal{E}.basis-inI)
    show \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} using assms by auto
  next
    show \mathcal{E}.indep-in \ \mathcal{E}' \ B
      using * assms RTL basis-in-subset-carrier basis-in-indep-in indep-in-sub-cong
by auto
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in \mathcal{E}' - B
    then show \neg \mathcal{E}.indep-in \mathcal{E}' (insert x B)
     \mathbf{using} * assms\ RTL\ basis-in-max-indep-in\ basis-in-subset-carrier\ indep-in-sub-cong
by auto
  qed
qed
end
1.3
          Circuits
```

A circuit is a minimal dependent set, i. e. a set which becomes independent on removing any element of the ground set.

```
definition circuit where circuit X \longleftrightarrow X \subseteq carrier \land \neg indep X \land (\forall x \in X).
indep (X - \{x\}))
lemma circuitI:
  assumes X \subseteq carrier
  assumes \neg indep X
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in X \Longrightarrow indep \ (X - \{x\})
  shows circuit X
  using assms unfolding circuit-def by auto
lemma circuit-subset-carrier: circuit X \Longrightarrow X \subseteq carrier
  unfolding circuit-def by auto
\mathbf{lemmas}\ circuit\text{-}finite\ [simp] = finite\text{-}subset[OF\ circuit\text{-}subset\text{-}carrier\ carrier\text{-}finite]}
lemma circuit-dep: circuit X \Longrightarrow \neg indep X
```

```
unfolding circuit-def by auto
lemma circuit-min-dep: circuit X \Longrightarrow x \in X \Longrightarrow indep (X - \{x\})
  unfolding circuit-def by auto
lemmas \ circuit D = circuit-subset-carrier \ circuit-dep \ circuit-min-dep
lemma circuit-nonempty: circuit X \Longrightarrow X \neq \{\}
  using circuit-dep indep-empty by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{dep-not-circuit}:
  assumes X \subseteq carrier
 assumes \neg indep X
 \mathbf{assumes} \, \neg \, \mathit{circuit} \, \, X
 shows \exists x \in X. \neg indep(X - \{x\})
  using assms circuitI by auto
lemma circuit-subset-eq:
  assumes circuit C_1
 assumes circuit C_2
 assumes C_1 \subseteq C_2
  shows C_1 = C_2
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume C_1 \neq C_2
  then obtain x where x \notin C_1 x \in C_2 using assms by auto
 then have indep C_1 using indep-subset \langle C_1 \subseteq C_2 \rangle circuit-min-dep[OF \langle circuit
C_2, of x by auto
  then show False using assms circuitD by auto
qed
definition circuit-in where
  circuit-in \mathcal{E} \ X \longleftrightarrow indep-system.circuit \ \mathcal{E} \ (indep-in \mathcal{E}) \ X
context
 fixes \mathcal{E}
 assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
lemma circuit-in
I-aux: \mathcal{E}.circuit X \Longrightarrow circuit-in
 \mathcal{E} X
  unfolding circuit-in-def by auto
lemma circuit-inD-aux: circuit-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow \mathcal{E}.circuit X
  unfolding circuit-in-def by auto
lemma not-circuit-in
D-aux: \neg circuit-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow \neg \mathcal{E}.circuit X
  using circuit-inI-aux by auto
```

```
lemmas circuit-inI = circuit-inI-aux[OF \mathcal{E}.circuitI]
lemmas circuit-in-subset-carrier = \mathcal{E}.circuit-subset-carrier [OF circuit-inD-aux]
lemmas circuit-in-finite = \mathcal{E}.circuit-finite[OF\ circuit-inD-aux]
lemmas circuit-in-dep-in = \mathcal{E}.circuit-dep[OF\ circuit-inD-aux]
lemmas circuit-in-min-dep-in = \mathcal{E}.circuit-min-dep[OF circuit-inD-aux]
lemmas circuit-inD = \mathcal{E}.circuitD[OF\ circuit-inD-aux]
lemmas circuit-in-nonempty = \mathcal{E}.circuit-nonempty[OF circuit-inD-aux]
lemmas dep-in-not-circuit-in = \mathcal{E}.dep-not-circuit[OF - - not-circuit-inD-aux]
\mathbf{lemmas}\ circuit\text{-}in\text{-}subset\text{-}eq = \mathcal{E}.circuit\text{-}subset\text{-}eq[OF\ circuit\text{-}inD\text{-}aux\ circuit\text{-}inD\text{-}aux]
end
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{circuit-in-sub}I:
  assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
  assumes circuit-in \mathcal{E}' C
  shows circuit-in \mathcal{E} C
proof (rule circuit-inI)
  show \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier using assms by auto
  show C \subseteq \mathcal{E} using assms circuit-in-subset-carrier of \mathcal{E}' C by auto
\mathbf{next}
  show \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} C
    using assms
      circuit-in-dep-in[where \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' and X = C]
      circuit-in-subset-carrier dep-in-subI[where \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}' and \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}[
    by auto
\mathbf{next}
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
  assume x \in C
  then show indep-in \mathcal{E}(C - \{x\})
    using assms circuit-in-min-dep-in indep-in-subI-subset by auto
qed
lemma circuit-in-supI:
  assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier C \subseteq \mathcal{E}'
  assumes circuit-in \mathcal{E} C
  shows circuit-in \mathcal{E}' C
proof (rule circuit-inI)
  show \mathcal{E}' \subseteq carrier using assms by auto
next
  show C \subseteq \mathcal{E}' using assms by auto
  have \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} C using assms circuit-in-dep-in by auto
  then show \neg indep-in \mathcal{E}' C using assms dep-in-subI[of C \mathcal{E}] by auto
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
  assume x \in C
```

```
then have indep-in \mathcal{E} (C - \{x\}) using assms circuit-in-min-dep-in by auto
  then have indep-in \mathcal{E}' ((C - \{x\}) \cap \mathcal{E}') using indep-in-subI by auto
 moreover have (C - \{x\}) \cap \mathcal{E}' = C - \{x\} using assms circuit-in-subset-carrier
  ultimately show indep-in \mathcal{E}' (C - \{x\}) by auto
qed
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
 using * by auto
lemma circuit-in-sub-cong:
  assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 shows \mathcal{E}.circuit-in \mathcal{E}' C \longleftrightarrow circuit-in \mathcal{E}' C
proof (safe, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  case LTR
  show ?case
 proof (rule circuit-inI)
    show \mathcal{E}' \subseteq carrier \text{ using } assms * by auto
  next
   show C \subseteq \mathcal{E}'
      using assms LTR E.circuit-in-subset-carrier by auto
    show \neg indep-in \mathcal{E}' C
      using assms LTR \mathcal{E}.circuit-in-dep-in indep-in-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in C
    then show indep-in \mathcal{E}'(C - \{x\})
      using assms LTR \mathcal{E}.circuit-in-min-dep-in\ indep-in-sub-cong[OF*] by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  case RTL
 show ?case
  proof (rule \mathcal{E}.circuit-inI)
    show \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} using assms * by auto
  next
   \mathbf{show}\ C\subseteq\mathcal{E}'
      using assms * RTL circuit-in-subset-carrier by auto
  next
   \mathbf{show} \neg \mathcal{E}.indep-in \ \mathcal{E}' \ C
      using assms * RTL \ circuit-in-dep-in \ indep-in-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  next
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in C
```

```
then show \mathcal{E}.indep-in \mathcal{E}'(C-\{x\})
     using assms * RTL \ circuit-in-min-dep-in \ indep-in-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
 qed
qed
end
lemma circuit-imp-circuit-in:
 assumes circuit C
 shows circuit-in carrier C
proof (rule circuit-inI)
 show C \subseteq carrier \text{ using } circuit\text{-subset-carrier}[OF \ assms].
 show \neg indep-in carrier C using circuit-dep[OF assms] indep-in-indep by auto
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in C
 then have indep (C - \{x\}) using circuit-min-dep[OF \ assms] by auto
  then show indep-in carrier (C - \{x\}) using circuit-subset-carrier [OF \ assms]
by (auto intro: indep-inI)
qed auto
```

1.4 Relation between independence and bases

A set is independent iff it is a subset of a basis.

```
\mathbf{lemma}\ indep\text{-}imp\text{-}subset\text{-}basis:
 assumes indep X
 shows \exists B. \ basis \ B \land X \subseteq B
 using assms
proof (induction X rule: psubset-inc-induct)
 case carrier
 show ?case using indep-subset-carrier[OF assms].
next
 \mathbf{case}\ (step\ X)
  {
   assume \neg basis X
   then obtain x where x \in carrier \ x \notin X \ indep \ (insert \ x \ X)
     using step.prems indep-not-basis by auto
   then have ?case using step.IH[of insert x X] indep-subset-carrier by auto
 then show ?case by auto
qed
lemmas subset-basis-imp-indep = indep-subset[OF basis-indep]
lemma indep-iff-subset-basis: indep X \longleftrightarrow (\exists B. \ basis \ B \land X \subseteq B)
 using indep-imp-subset-basis subset-basis-imp-indep by auto
lemma basis-ex: \exists B. basis B
```

```
using indep-imp-subset-basis[OF indep-empty] by auto
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma indep-in-imp-subset-basis-in:
  assumes indep-in \mathcal{E} X
 shows \exists B. \ basis-in \ \mathcal{E} \ B \land X \subseteq B
 unfolding basis-in-def using \mathcal{E}.indep-imp-subset-basis[OF assms].
lemma indep-in-iff-subset-basis-in: indep-in \mathcal{E} \ X \longleftrightarrow (\exists B. \ basis-in \ \mathcal{E} \ B \land X \subseteq
  using indep-in-imp-subset-basis-in subset-basis-in-imp-indep-in by auto
lemma basis-in-ex: \exists B. basis-in \mathcal{E} B
  unfolding basis-in-def using \mathcal{E}.basis-ex.
lemma basis-in-subI:
  assumes \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
  assumes basis-in \mathcal{E}' B
 shows \exists B' \subseteq \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'. basis-in \mathcal{E} (B \cup B')
proof -
  have indep-in \mathcal{E} B using assms basis-in-indep-in indep-in-subI-subset by auto
  then obtain B' where B': basis-in \mathcal{E} B' B \subseteq B'
    using assms indep-in-imp-subset-basis-in[of B] by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule exI)
    have B' - B \subseteq \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}'
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ x
      assume *: x \in B' - B
      then have x \in \mathcal{E} x \notin B
        using assms \langle basis\text{-}in \ \mathcal{E} \ B' \rangle \ basis\text{-}in\text{-}subset\text{-}carrier[of \ \mathcal{E}] by auto}
      moreover {
        assume x \in \mathcal{E}'
        moreover have indep-in \mathcal{E} (insert x B)
          using * assms indep-in-subset[OF - basis-in-indep-in] B' by auto
        ultimately have indep-in \mathcal{E}' (insert x B)
          using assms basis-in-subset-carrier unfolding indep-in-def by auto
        then have False using assms * \langle x \in \mathcal{E}' \rangle basis-in-max-indep-in by auto
      }
```

```
ultimately show x \in \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}' by auto
    moreover have B \cup (B' - B) = B' using \langle B \subseteq B' \rangle by auto
    ultimately show B' - B \subseteq \mathcal{E} - \mathcal{E}' \wedge basis-in \mathcal{E} (B \cup (B' - B))
       using \langle basis-in \mathcal{E} B' \rangle by auto
  qed
qed
lemma basis-in-sup I:
  assumes B \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
  assumes basis-in \mathcal{E} B
  shows basis-in \mathcal{E}' B
proof (rule basis-inI)
  show \mathcal{E}' \subseteq carrier using assms by auto
  show indep-in \mathcal{E}' B
  proof -
    have indep-in \mathcal{E}' (B \cap \mathcal{E}')
       using assms basis-in-indep-in[of \mathcal{E} B] indep-in-subI by auto
    moreover have B \cap \mathcal{E}' = B using assms by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  show \bigwedge x. \ x \in \mathcal{E}' - B \Longrightarrow \neg \ indep-in \ \mathcal{E}' \ (insert \ x \ B)
    using assms basis-in-subset-carrier basis-in-max-indep-in dep-in-subI[of - \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E}']
by auto
qed
end
```

1.5 Relation between dependence and circuits

A set is dependent iff it contains a circuit.

```
lemma dep\text{-}imp\text{-}supset\text{-}circuit:
   assumes X \subseteq carrier
   assumes \neg indep\ X
   shows \exists\ C.\ circuit\ C \land C \subseteq X
   using assms

proof (induction\ X\ rule:\ remove\text{-}induct)
   case (remove\ X)
{
    assume \neg\ circuit\ X
    then obtain x where x \in X \neg\ indep\ (X - \{x\})
    using remove.prems\ dep\text{-}not\text{-}circuit\ by\ auto}
    then obtain C where circuit\ C\ C \subseteq X - \{x\}
    using remove.prems\ remove.IH[of\ x] by auto
    then have ?case by auto
}

then show ?case using remove.prems by auto
```

```
qed (auto simp add: carrier-finite finite-subset)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{supset-circuit-imp-dep} :
 assumes circuit C \wedge C \subseteq X
 shows \neg indep X
  using assms indep-subset circuit-dep by auto
lemma dep-iff-supset-circuit:
  assumes X \subseteq carrier
 shows \neg indep X \longleftrightarrow (\exists C. circuit C \land C \subseteq X)
 using assms dep-imp-supset-circuit supset-circuit-imp-dep by auto
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
 assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
 using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
lemma dep-in-imp-supset-circuit-in:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  assumes \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} X
 shows \exists C. circuit-in \mathcal{E} C \land C \subseteq X
  unfolding circuit-in-def using \mathcal{E}.dep-imp-supset-circuit[OF assms].
lemma supset-circuit-in-imp-dep-in:
  assumes circuit-in \mathcal{E} C \wedge C \subseteq X
  shows \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} X
 using assms E.supset-circuit-imp-dep unfolding circuit-in-def by auto
lemma dep-in-iff-supset-circuit-in:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 shows \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} X \longleftrightarrow (\exists C. circuit-in \mathcal{E} \ C \land C \subseteq X)
 using assms dep-in-imp-supset-circuit-in supset-circuit-in-imp-dep-in by auto
end
1.6
        Ranks
definition lower-rank-of :: 'a set \Rightarrow nat where
  lower-rank-of\ carrier' \equiv Min\ \{card\ B \mid B.\ basis-in\ carrier'\ B\}
definition upper-rank-of :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow nat \ \mathbf{where}
  upper-rank-of\ carrier' \equiv Max\ \{card\ B \mid B.\ basis-in\ carrier'\ B\}
lemma collect-basis-finite: finite (Collect basis)
proof -
  have Collect\ basis \subseteq \{X.\ X\subseteq carrier\}
```

```
using basis-subset-carrier by auto
  moreover have finite ...
    using carrier-finite by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using finite-subset by auto
qed
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: indep-system \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma collect-basis-in-finite: finite (Collect (basis-in \mathcal{E}))
  unfolding basis-in-def using \mathcal{E}.collect-basis-finite.
lemma lower-rank-of-le: lower-rank-of \mathcal{E} \leq card \mathcal{E}
proof -
 have \exists n \in \{ card \ B \mid B. \ basis-in \ \mathcal{E} \ B \}. \ n \leq card \ \mathcal{E}
   using card-mono[OF\ \mathcal{E}.carrier-finite basis-in-subset-carrier[OF\ *]] basis-in-ex[OF\ *]
*] by auto
  moreover have finite \{ card B \mid B. basis-in \mathcal{E} B \}
    using collect-basis-in-finite by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    unfolding lower-rank-of-def using basis-ex Min-le-iff by auto
qed
lemma upper-rank-of-le: upper-rank-of \mathcal{E} \leq card \ \mathcal{E}
proof -
  have \forall n \in \{ card \ B \mid B. \ basis-in \ \mathcal{E} \ B \}. \ n \leq card \ \mathcal{E}
    using card-mono [OF \ \mathcal{E}.carrier-finite \ basis-in-subset-carrier [OF *]] by auto
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding upper-rank-of-def using basis-in-ex[OF *] collect-basis-in-finite by
auto
qed
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}'
  assumes **: \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}'_1: indep-system \mathcal{E}' indep-in \mathcal{E}'
  using * ** by auto
interpretation \mathcal{E}'_2: indep-system \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}.indep-in \mathcal{E}'
  using * ** by auto
lemma lower-rank-of-sub-cong:
 shows \mathcal{E}.lower-rank-of \mathcal{E}' = lower-rank-of \mathcal{E}'
```

```
using ** basis-in-sub-cong[OF *, of \mathcal{E}']
   unfolding basis-in-def E.basis-in-def by auto
  then show ?thesis
   unfolding lower-rank-of-def E.lower-rank-of-def
   using basis-in-sub-cong[OF * **]
   by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma upper-rank-of-sub-cong:
 shows \mathcal{E}.upper-rank-of\ \mathcal{E}'=upper-rank-of\ \mathcal{E}'
proof -
 have \bigwedge B. \mathcal{E}'_1.basis B \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{E}'_2.basis B
   using ** basis-in-sub-cong[OF *, of \mathcal{E}']
   unfolding basis-in-def E.basis-in-def by auto
  then show ?thesis
   unfolding upper-rank-of-def \mathcal{E}.upper-rank-of-def
   using basis-in-sub-cong[OF * **]
   by auto
\mathbf{qed}
end
end
end
end
      Matroids
2
theory Matroid
 imports Indep-System
begin
\mathbf{lemma}\ card\text{-}subset\text{-}ex:
 assumes finite A \ n \leq card \ A
 shows \exists B \subseteq A. card B = n
using assms
```

proof (induction A arbitrary: n rule: finite-induct)

then show ?thesis using card.empty by blast

then have $\exists B \subseteq A$. card B = k using insert by auto

case (insert x A)
show ?case
proof (cases n)

case $(Suc\ k)$

next

have $\bigwedge B$. \mathcal{E}'_1 .basis $B \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{E}'_2$.basis B

proof -

```
then obtain B where B \subseteq A card B = k by auto
   moreover from this have finite B using insert.hyps finite-subset by auto
   ultimately have card (insert x B) = n
     using Suc insert.hyps card-insert-disjoint by fastforce
   then show ?thesis using \langle B \subseteq A \rangle by blast
  ged
qed auto
locale matroid = indep-system +
 assumes augment-aux:
    indep \ X \Longrightarrow indep \ Y \Longrightarrow card \ X = Suc \ (card \ Y) \Longrightarrow \exists \ x \in X - \ Y. \ indep
(insert \ x \ Y)
begin
lemma augment:
 assumes indep \ X \ indep \ Y \ card \ Y < card \ X
 shows \exists x \in X - Y. indep (insert x Y)
proof -
 obtain X' where X' \subseteq X card X' = Suc (card Y)
   using assms card-subset-ex[of X Suc (card Y)] indep-finite by auto
 then obtain x where x \in X' - Y indep (insert x Y)
   using assms augment-aux[of X'Y] indep-subset by auto
  then show ?thesis using \langle X' \subseteq X \rangle by auto
qed
lemma augment-psubset:
 assumes indep X indep Y Y \subset X
 shows \exists x \in X - Y. indep (insert x Y)
 using assms augment psubset-card-mono indep-finite by blast
2.1
       Minors
A subset of the ground set induces a matroid.
lemma matroid-subset [simp, intro]:
 assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
 shows matroid \mathcal{E} (indep-in \mathcal{E})
 unfolding matroid-def matroid-axioms-def
proof (safe, goal-cases indep-system augment)
 case indep-system
 then show ?case using indep-system-subset[OF assms].
  case (augment X Y)
  then show ?case using augment-aux[of X Y] unfolding indep-in-def by auto
qed
context
 fixes \mathcal{E}
 assumes \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
```

```
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
 using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
lemmas augment-aux-indep-in = \mathcal{E}.augment-aux
lemmas augment-indep-in = \mathcal{E}.augment
lemmas augment-psubset-indep-in = \mathcal{E}.augment-psubset
end
2.2
       Bases
lemma basis-card:
 assumes basis B_1
 assumes basis B_2
 shows card B_1 = card B_2
proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases False)
  then have card B_1 < card B_2 \lor card B_2 < card B_1 by auto
  moreover {
   fix B_1 B_2
   assume basis B_1 basis B_2 card B_1 < card B_2
   then obtain x where x \in B_2 - B_1 indep (insert x B_1)
     using augment basisD by blast
   then have x \in carrier - B_1
     using \langle basis\ B_1 \rangle basisD\ indep-subset-carrier\ by\ blast
   then have \neg indep (insert \ x \ B_1)  using \langle basis \ B_1 \rangle \ basisD by auto
   then have False using \langle indep \ (insert \ x \ B_1) \rangle by auto
 ultimately show ?case using assms by auto
qed
lemma basis-indep-card:
 assumes indep X
 assumes basis B
 shows card X \leq card B
proof
 obtain B' where basis B' X \subseteq B' using assms indep-imp-subset-basis by auto
  then show ?thesis using assms basis-finite basis-card[of B B'] by (auto intro:
card-mono)
qed
lemma basis-augment:
 assumes basis B_1 basis B_2 x \in B_1 - B_2
 shows \exists y \in B_2 - B_1. basis (insert y (B_1 - \{x\}))
proof -
 let ?B_1 = B_1 - \{x\}
 have card ?B_1 < card B_2
```

using assms basis-card [of B_1 B_2] card-Diff1-less [OF basis-finite, of B_1] by auto

```
moreover have indep ?B_1 using assms basis-indep[of B_1] indep-subset[of B_1
?B_1] by auto
  ultimately obtain y where y: y \in B_2 - ?B_1 indep (insert y ?B_1)
   using assms augment [of B_2 ?B_1] basis-indep by auto
  let ?B_1' = insert \ y \ ?B_1
 have basis ?B_1' using \langle indep ?B_1' \rangle
  proof (rule basisI, goal-cases insert)
   case (insert x)
   have card (insert x ?B_1') > card B_1
   proof -
     have card (insert x ?B_1') = Suc (card ?B_1')
       using insert card.insert-remove OF indep-finite, of ?B_1' y by auto
     also have ... = Suc (Suc (card ?B_1))
       using card.insert-remove [OF indep-finite, of ?B_1] \langle indep ?B_1 \rangle y by auto
     also have ... = Suc (card B_1)
       using assms basis-finite[of B_1] card.remove[of B_1] by auto
     finally show ?thesis by auto
   qed
   then have \neg indep (insert \ x \ (insert \ y \ ?B_1))
     using assms basis-indep-card of insert x (insert y ?B_1) B_1] by auto
   moreover have insert x (insert y ?B_1) \subseteq carrier
     using assms insert y basis-finite indep-subset-carrier by auto
   ultimately show ?case by auto
 qed
  then show ?thesis using assms y by auto
qed
context
 fixes \mathcal{E}
 assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
 using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
lemmas basis-in-card = \mathcal{E}.basis-card[OF\ basis-inD-aux[OF*]\ basis-inD-aux[OF
*]]
\textbf{lemmas} \ \textit{basis-in-indep-in-card} = \mathcal{E}.\textit{basis-indep-card}[\textit{OF-basis-inD-aux}[\textit{OF}*]]
lemma basis-in-augment:
 assumes basis-in \mathcal{E} B_1 basis-in \mathcal{E} B_2 x \in B_1 - B_2
 shows \exists y \in B_2 - B_1. basis-in \mathcal{E} (insert y (B_1 - \{x\}))
 using assms E.basis-augment unfolding basis-in-def by auto
```

end

2.3 Circuits

lemma circuit-elim:

```
assumes circuit C_1 circuit C_2 C_1 \neq C_2 x \in C_1 \cap C_2
 shows \exists C_3 \subseteq (C_1 \cup C_2) - \{x\}. circuit C_3
proof -
 let ?C = (C_1 \cup C_2) - \{x\}
 let ?carrier = C_1 \cup C_2
 have assms': circuit-in carrier C_1 circuit-in carrier C_2
   using assms circuit-imp-circuit-in by auto
 have ?C \subseteq carrier using assms circuit-subset-carrier by auto
 show ?thesis
 proof (cases indep ?C)
   case False
   then show ?thesis using dep-iff-supset-circuit \langle ?C \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
  next
   {f case}\ {\it True}
  then have indep-in ?carrier ?C using \langle ?C \subseteq carrier \rangle by (auto intro: indep-inI)
   have *: ?carrier \subseteq carrier using assms circuit-subset-carrier by auto
   obtain y where y: y \in C_2 y \notin C_1 using assms circuit-subset-eq by blast
   then have indep-in ?carrier (C_2 - \{y\})
       \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{assms'} \ \mathit{circuit-in-min-dep-in}[\mathit{OF} \ * \ \mathit{circuit-in-sup}I[\mathit{OF} \ *, \ \mathit{of} \ \mathit{C}_2]] \ \mathbf{by}
   then obtain B where B: basis-in ?carrier B C_2 - \{y\} \subseteq B
     using * assms indep-in-imp-subset-basis-in[of ?carrier C_2 - \{y\}] by auto
   have y \notin B
   proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases False)
     case False
     then have C_2 \subseteq B using B by auto
    moreover have circuit-in ?carrier C_2 using * assms' circuit-in-supI by auto
     ultimately have \neg indep-in ?carrier B
      using B basis-in-subset-carrier [OF *] supset-circuit-in-imp-dep-in [OF *] by
auto
     then show False using assms B basis-in-indep-in[OF *] by auto
   qed
   have C_1 - B \neq \{\}
   {\bf proof}\ ({\it rule}\ {\it ccontr},\ {\it goal\text{-}cases}\ {\it False})
     case False
     then have C_1 - (C_1 \cap B) = \{\} by auto
     then have C_1 = C_1 \cap B using assms circuit-subset-eq by auto
     moreover have indep (C_1 \cap B)
       using assms B basis-in-indep-in[OF *] indep-in-subset[OF *, of B C_1 \cap B]
indep-in-indep
       by auto
     ultimately show ?case using assms circuitD by auto
   then obtain z where z: z \in C_1 z \notin B by auto
```

```
have y \neq z using y z by auto
   have x \in C_1 x \in C_2 using assms by auto
   have finite?carrier using assms carrier-finite finite-subset by auto
   have card B \leq card (?carrier -\{y, z\})
   proof (rule card-mono)
     show finite (C_1 \cup C_2 - \{y, z\}) using \langle finite ? carrier \rangle by auto
   next
     \mathbf{show}\ B\subseteq C_1\cup C_2-\{y,\,z\}
       using B basis-in-subset-carrier[OF *, of B] \langle y \notin B \rangle \langle z \notin B \rangle by auto
   also have \dots = card ? carrier - 2
      using \langle finite\ ? carrier \rangle \langle y \in C_2 \rangle \langle z \in C_1 \rangle \langle y \neq z \rangle card-Diff-subset-Int by
auto
   also have \dots < card ? carrier - 1
   proof -
     have card ?carrier = card C_1 + card C_2 - card (C_1 \cap C_2)
       using assms (finite ?carrier) card-Un-Int[of C_1 C_2] by auto
     also have ... = card\ C_1 + (card\ C_2 - card\ (C_1 \cap C_2))
       using assms \langle finite\ ?carrier \rangle\ card\text{-mono}[of\ C_2] by auto
     also have ... = card C_1 + card (C_2 - C_1)
       have card (C_2 - C_1) = card C_2 - card (C_2 \cap C_1)
         using assms \langle finite\ ?carrier \rangle\ card\text{-}Diff\text{-}subset\text{-}Int[of\ C_2\ C_1]\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
       also have ... = card C_2 - card (C_1 \cap C_2) by (simp add: inf-commute)
       finally show ?thesis by auto
     qed
     finally have card\ (C_1\cup C_2)=card\ C_1+card\ (C_2-C_1).
     moreover have card C_1 > 0 using assms circuit-nonempty (finite ?carrier)
by auto
     moreover have card (C_2 - C_1) > 0 using assms \langle finite ?carrier \rangle \langle y \in C_2 \rangle
\langle y \notin C_1 \rangle by auto
     ultimately show ?thesis by auto
   qed
   also have \dots = card ?C
     using \langle finite\ ?carrier \rangle\ card\text{-}Diff\text{-}singleton\ \langle x \in C_1 \rangle\ \langle x \in C_2 \rangle\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
   finally have card B < card ?C.
   then have False
    using basis-in-indep-in-card OF *, of ?CB B \land indep-in ?carrier ?C \gt by auto
   then show ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
lemma min-dep-imp-supset-circuit:
  assumes indep X
  assumes circuit C
  assumes C \subseteq insert \ x \ X
  shows x \in C
```

```
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume x \notin C
 then have C \subseteq X using assms by auto
 then have indep C using assms indep-subset by auto
  then show False using assms circuitD by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma min-dep-imp-ex1-supset-circuit:
 assumes x \in carrier
 assumes indep X
 assumes \neg indep (insert x X)
 shows \exists ! C. \ circuit \ C \land C \subseteq insert \ x \ X
proof
  obtain C where C: circuit C C \subseteq insert x X
   using assms indep-subset-carrier dep-iff-supset-circuit by auto
 show ?thesis
 proof (rule ex11, goal-cases ex unique)
   show circuit C \wedge C \subseteq insert \ x \ X \ using \ C \ by \ auto
 next
     fix C'
     assume C': circuit C' C' \subseteq insert x X
     have C' = C
     proof (rule ccontr)
       assume C' \neq C
       moreover have x \in C' \cap C using C C' assms min-dep-imp-supset-circuit
by auto
       ultimately have \neg indep (C' \cup C - \{x\})
         using circuit-elim[OF\ C(1)\ C'(1),\ of\ x]\ supset-circuit-imp-dep[of\ -\ C'\ \cup\ ]
C - \{x\}] by auto
       moreover have C' \cup C - \{x\} \subseteq X using C C' by auto
       ultimately show False using assms indep-subset by auto
   then show \bigwedge C'. circuit C' \land C' \subseteq insert \ x \ X \Longrightarrow C' = C
     by auto
 qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{basis-ex1-supset-circuit}\colon
 assumes basis B
 assumes x \in carrier - B
 shows \exists ! C. \ circuit \ C \land C \subseteq insert \ x \ B
 using assms min-dep-imp-ex1-supset-circuit basisD by auto
definition fund-circuit :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set where
 fund-circuit x B \equiv (THE \ C. \ circuit \ C \land C \subseteq insert \ x \ B)
```

```
lemma circuit-iff-fund-circuit:
  circuit \ C \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \ B. \ x \in carrier - B \land basis \ B \land C = fund-circuit \ x \ B)
proof (safe, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  case LTR
  then obtain x where x \in C using circuit-nonempty by auto
  then have indep (C - \{x\}) using LTR unfolding circuit-def by auto
  then obtain B where B: basis B C - \{x\} \subseteq B using indep-imp-subset-basis
  then have x \in carrier using LTR circuit-subset-carrier \langle x \in C \rangle by auto
 moreover have x \notin B
 proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases False)
   case False
   then have C \subseteq B using \langle C - \{x\} \subseteq B \rangle by auto
   then have \neg indep B using LTR B basis-subset-carrier supset-circuit-imp-dep
   then show ?case using B basis-indep by auto
 qed
 ultimately show ?case
   unfolding fund-circuit-def
   using LTR B the I-unique [OF basis-ex1-supset-circuit [of B x], of C] by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (RTL \ x \ B)
  then have \exists ! C. \ circuit \ C \land C \subseteq insert \ x \ B
    using min-dep-imp-ex1-supset-circuit <math>basisD[of B] by auto
  then show ?case
   unfolding fund-circuit-def
   using the I [of \lambda C. circuit C \wedge C \subseteq insert \times B] by fastforce
qed
lemma fund-circuitI:
 assumes basis B
 assumes x \in carrier - B
 assumes circuit C
 assumes C \subseteq insert \ x \ B
 shows fund-circuit x B = C
 unfolding fund-circuit-def
 using assms the I-unique [OF basis-ex1-supset-circuit, of B x C] by auto
definition fund-circuit-in where fund-circuit-in \mathcal{E} x B \equiv matroid fund-circuit \mathcal{E}
(indep-in \mathcal{E}) \times B
context
 fixes \mathcal{E}
 assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
 using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
```

```
lemma fund-circuit-inI-aux: \mathcal{E}.fund-circuit x B = fund-circuit-in \mathcal{E} x B
  unfolding fund-circuit-in-def by auto
lemma circuit-in-elim:
  assumes circuit-in \mathcal{E} C_1 circuit-in \mathcal{E} C_2 C_1 \neq C_2 x \in C_1 \cap C_2
  shows \exists C_3 \subseteq (C_1 \cup C_2) - \{x\}. circuit-in \mathcal{E} C_3
  using assms E.circuit-elim unfolding circuit-in-def by auto
\mathbf{lemmas} \ \mathit{min-dep-in-imp-supset-circuit-in} = \mathcal{E}.\mathit{min-dep-imp-supset-circuit}[\mathit{OF-cir-dep-imp-supset-circuit}]
cuit-inD-aux[OF *]]
lemma min-dep-in-imp-ex1-supset-circuit-in:
  assumes x \in \mathcal{E}
  assumes indep-in \mathcal{E} X
  assumes \neg indep-in \mathcal{E} (insert x X)
  shows \exists ! C. \ circuit\text{-in } \mathcal{E} \ C \land C \subseteq insert \ x \ X
  using assms \mathcal{E}.min-dep-imp-ex1-supset-circuit unfolding circuit-in-def by auto
lemma basis-in-ex1-supset-circuit-in:
  assumes basis-in \mathcal{E} B
  assumes x \in \mathcal{E} - B
  shows \exists ! C. \ circuit-in \ \mathcal{E} \ C \land C \subseteq insert \ x \ B
  using assms E.basis-ex1-supset-circuit unfolding circuit-in-def basis-in-def by
auto
lemma fund-circuit-inI:
  assumes basis-in \mathcal{E} B
  assumes x \in \mathcal{E} - B
  assumes circuit-in \mathcal{E} C
  assumes C \subseteq insert \ x \ B
  shows fund-circuit-in \mathcal{E} \times B = C
  using assms \mathcal{E}.fund\text{-}circuitI
  unfolding basis-in-def circuit-in-def fund-circuit-in-def by auto
end
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using \langle \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier \rangle by auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{fund-circuit-in-sub-cong} :
  assumes \mathcal{E}'\subseteq\mathcal{E}
  assumes x \in \mathcal{E}' - B
  assumes basis-in \mathcal{E}' B
  shows \mathcal{E}.fund-circuit-in \mathcal{E}' \times B = \text{fund-circuit-in } \mathcal{E}' \times B
```

```
proof -
  obtain C where C: circuit-in \mathcal{E}' C C \subseteq insert x B
   using * assms basis-in-ex1-supset-circuit-in[of \mathcal{E}' B x] by auto
  then have fund-circuit-in \mathcal{E}' \times B = C
   using * assms fund-circuit-inI by auto
  also have ... = \mathcal{E}.fund-circuit-in \mathcal{E}' \times B
   using * assms \ C \ \mathcal{E}.fund-circuit-inI \ basis-in-sub-cong[of \ \mathcal{E}] \ circuit-in-sub-cong[of \ \mathcal{E}]
\mathcal{E}] by auto
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
end
2.4
        Ranks
abbreviation rank-of where rank-of \equiv lower-rank-of
lemmas rank-of-def = lower-rank-of-def
lemmas rank-of-sub-cong = lower-rank-of-sub-cong
lemmas rank-of-le = lower-rank-of-le
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma lower-rank-of-eq-upper-rank-of: lower-rank-of \mathcal{E} = upper-rank-of \mathcal{E}
proof -
  obtain B where basis-in \mathcal{E} B using basis-in-ex[OF *] by auto
  then have \{card \ B \mid B. \ basis-in \ \mathcal{E} \ B\} = \{card \ B\}
   by safe (auto dest: basis-in-card[OF *])
  then show ?thesis unfolding lower-rank-of-def upper-rank-of-def by auto
qed
lemma rank-of-eq-card-basis-in:
 assumes basis-in \mathcal{E} B
  shows rank-of \mathcal{E} = card B
proof -
  have \{card \ B \mid B. \ basis-in \ \mathcal{E} \ B\} = \{card \ B\} using assms by safe (auto dest:
basis-in-card[OF *])
  then show ?thesis unfolding rank-of-def by auto
qed
lemma rank-of-indep-in-le:
 assumes indep-in \mathcal{E} X
 shows card X \leq rank-of \mathcal{E}
```

```
proof -
 {
   \mathbf{fix} \ B
   assume basis-in \mathcal{E} B
   moreover obtain B' where basis-in \mathcal{E} B' X \subseteq B'
     using assms indep-in-imp-subset-basis-in[OF *] by auto
   ultimately have card X \leq card B
      using card-mono[OF\ basis-in-finite[OF\ *]]\ basis-in-card[OF\ *,\ of\ B\ B']\ by
auto
 }
 moreover have finite \{card B \mid B. basis-in \mathcal{E} B\}
   using collect-basis-in-finite[OF *] by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis
   unfolding rank-of-def using basis-in-ex[OF *] by auto
qed
end
lemma rank-of-mono:
 assumes X \subseteq Y
 assumes Y \subseteq carrier
 shows rank-of X \leq rank-of Y
 obtain B_X where B_X: basis-in X B_X using assms basis-in-ex[of X] by auto
 moreover obtain B_Y where B_Y: basis-in Y B_Y using assms basis-in-ex[of Y]
by auto
 moreover have card B_X \leq card B_Y
    using assms basis-in-indep-in-card [OF - B_Y] basis-in-indep-in [OF - B_X]
indep\hbox{-} in\hbox{-} subI\hbox{-} subset
   by auto
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms rank-of-eq-card-basis-in by auto
lemma rank-of-insert-le:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 assumes x \in carrier
 shows rank-of (insert \ x \ X) \le Suc \ (rank-of X)
 obtain B where B: basis-in X B using assms basis-in-ex[of X] by auto
 have basis-in (insert x X) B \vee basis-in (insert x X) (insert x B)
 proof -
   obtain B' where B': B' \subseteq insert x X - X basis-in (insert x X) (B \cup B')
     using assms B basis-in-subI[of insert x \ X \ B] by auto
   then have B' = \{\} \lor B' = \{x\} by auto
   then show ?thesis
   proof
     assume B' = \{\}
     then have basis-in (insert x X) B using B' by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
```

```
next
     assume B' = \{x\}
     then have basis-in (insert x X) (insert x B) using B' by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
   ged
 \mathbf{qed}
 then show ?thesis
 proof
   assume basis-in (insert x X) B
   then show ?thesis
     using assms B rank-of-eq-card-basis-in by auto
   assume basis-in (insert x X) (insert x B)
   then have rank-of (insert \ x \ X) = card (insert \ x \ B)
     using assms rank-of-eq-card-basis-in by auto
   also have \dots = Suc (card (B - \{x\}))
     using assms card.insert-remove[of B x] using B basis-in-finite by auto
   also have \dots \leq Suc \ (card \ B)
     using assms B basis-in-finite card-Diff1-le[of B] by auto
   also have \dots = Suc (rank-of X)
     using assms B rank-of-eq-card-basis-in by auto
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
lemma rank-of-Un-Int-le:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 assumes Y \subseteq carrier
 shows rank-of(X \cup Y) + rank-of(X \cap Y) \le rank-of(X + rank-of(Y))
proof -
 obtain B-Int where B-Int: basis-in (X \cap Y) B-Int using assms basis-in-ex[of
X \cap Y] by auto
 then have indep-in (X \cup Y) B-Int
   using assms indep-in-subI-subset[OF - basis-in-indep-in[of X \cap Y B-Int], of X
\cup Y] by auto
 then obtain B-Un where B-Un: basis-in (X \cup Y) B-Un B-Int \subseteq B-Un
   using assms indep-in-imp-subset-basis-in[of X \cup Y B-Int] by auto
 have card (B-Un \cap (X \cup Y)) + card (B-Un \cap (X \cap Y)) = card ((B-Un \cap X))
\cup (B-Un \cap Y)) + card ((B-Un \cap X) \cap (B-Un \cap Y))
   by (simp add: inf-assoc inf-left-commute inf-sup-distrib1)
 also have ... = card (B-Un \cap X) + card (B-Un \cap Y)
 proof -
   have finite (B\text{-}Un \cap X) finite (B\text{-}Un \cap Y)
     using assms finite-subset[OF - carrier-finite] by auto
   then show ?thesis using card-Un-Int[of B-Un \cap X B-Un \cap Y] by auto
 also have \dots \le rank - of X + rank - of Y
 proof -
```

```
have card (B\text{-}Un \cap X) \leq rank\text{-}of X
      proof -
          have indep-in X (B-Un \cap X) using assms basis-in-indep-in[OF - B-Un(1)]
indep-in-subI by auto
          then show ?thesis using assms rank-of-indep-in-le by auto
      moreover have card (B-Un \cap Y) \leq rank-of Y
      proof -
          have indep-in Y (B-Un \cap Y) using assms basis-in-indep-in[OF - B-Un(1)]
indep-in-subI by auto
          then show ?thesis using assms rank-of-indep-in-le by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
   qed
   finally have rank-of X + rank-of Y \ge card (B-Un \cap (X \cup Y)) + card (B-Un
\cap (X \cap Y).
  moreover have B\text{-}Un \cap (X \cup Y) = B\text{-}Un using assms basis-in-subset-carrier[OF]
- B-Un(1)] by auto
   moreover have B\text{-}Un \cap (X \cap Y) = B\text{-}Int
   proof -
      have card (B-Un \cap (X \cap Y)) \leq card B-Int
      proof -
          have indep-in (X \cap Y) (B\text{-}Un \cap (X \cap Y))
             using assms basis-in-indep-in[OF - B-Un(1)] indep-in-subI by auto
             then show ?thesis using assms basis-in-indep-in-card[of X \cap Y - B-Int]
B-Int by auto
      qed
      moreover have finite (B\text{-}Un \cap (X \cap Y))
          using assms carrier-finite finite-subset[of B-Un \cap (X \cap Y)] by auto
      moreover have B\text{-}Int \subseteq B\text{-}Un \cap (X \cap Y)
          using assms B-Un B-Int basis-in-subset-carrier of X \cap Y B-Int by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis using card-seteq by blast
    qed
    ultimately have rank-of X + rank-of Y \ge card B-Un + card B-Int by auto
   moreover have card B-Un = rank-of (X \cup Y)
      using assms rank-of-eq-card-basis-in [OF - B-Un(1)] by auto
   moreover have card B-Int = rank-of (X \cap Y)
       using assms rank-of-eq-card-basis-in[OF - B-Int] by fastforce
    ultimately show rank-of X + rank-of Y \ge rank-of (X \cup Y) + rank-of (X \cap Y) + ra
 Y) by auto
qed
lemma rank-of-Un-absorbI:
   assumes X \subseteq carrier \ Y \subseteq carrier
   assumes \bigwedge y. \ y \in Y - X \Longrightarrow rank\text{-}of \ (insert \ y \ X) = rank\text{-}of \ X
   shows rank-of (X \cup Y) = rank-of X
    have finite (Y - X) using finite-subset [OF \land Y \subseteq carrier \land] carrier-finite by
auto
```

```
then show ?thesis using assms
 \mathbf{proof} (induction Y - X arbitrary: Y rule: finite-induct)
   case empty
   then have X \cup Y = X by auto
   then show ?case by auto
 next
   case (insert y F)
   have rank-of (X \cup Y) + rank-of X \leq rank-of X + rank-of X
   proof -
     have rank-of (X \cup Y) + rank-of X = rank-of ((X \cup (Y - \{y\})) \cup (insert
(y X) + rank-of ((X \cup (Y - \{y\})) \cap (insert \ y \ X))
      have X \cup Y = (X \cup (Y - \{y\})) \cup (insert \ y \ X) \ X = (X \cup (Y - \{y\})) \cap
(insert y X) using insert by auto
      then show ?thesis by auto
     also have ... \leq rank\text{-}of (X \cup (Y - \{y\})) + rank\text{-}of (insert y X)
     proof (rule rank-of-Un-Int-le)
      show X \cup (Y - \{y\}) \subseteq carrier using insert by auto
      show insert y X \subseteq carrier using insert by auto
     also have ... = rank-of (X \cup (Y - \{y\})) + rank-of X
     proof -
      have y \in Y - X using insert by auto
      then show ?thesis using insert by auto
     also have \dots = rank-of X + rank-of X
      have F = (Y - \{y\}) - X Y - \{y\} \subseteq carrier using insert by auto
      then show ?thesis using insert insert(3)[of Y - \{y\}] by auto
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
   moreover have rank-of (X \cup Y) + rank-of X \geq rank-of X + rank-of X
     using insert rank-of-mono by auto
   ultimately show ?case by auto
 qed
qed
lemma indep-iff-rank-of:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 shows indep X \longleftrightarrow rank - of X = card X
proof (standard, goal-cases LTR RTL)
 case LTR
 then have indep-in \ X \ X by (auto intro: indep-inI)
 then have basis-in X X by (auto intro: basis-inI[OF assms])
 then show ?case using rank-of-eq-card-basis-in[OF assms] by auto
\mathbf{next}
```

```
case RTL
 obtain B where B: basis-in X B using basis-in-ex[OF assms] by auto
  then have card B = card X  using RTL  rank-of-eq-card-basis-in[OF assms] by
  then have B = X
  using basis-in-subset-carrier[OF assms B] card-seteq[OF finite-subset[OF assms
carrier-finite]
   by auto
  then show ?case using basis-in-indep-in[OF assms B] indep-in-indep by auto
\mathbf{qed}
lemma basis-iff-rank-of:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 shows basis X \longleftrightarrow rank-of X = card \ X \land rank-of X = rank-of carrier
proof (standard, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  case LTR
  then have rank-of X = card X using assms indep-iff-rank-of basis-indep by
auto
 moreover have \dots = rank-of carrier
   using LTR rank-of-eq-card-basis-in[of carrier X] basis-iff-basis-in by auto
  ultimately show ?case by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case RTL
 show ?case
 proof (rule basisI)
   show indep X using assms RTL indep-iff-rank-of by blast
  next
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x: x \in carrier - X
   show \neg indep (insert x X)
   proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases False)
     case False
     then have card (insert x X) \leq rank-of carrier
      using assms x indep-inI rank-of-indep-in-le by auto
     also have \dots = card X \text{ using } RTL \text{ by } auto
     finally show ?case using finite-subset[OF assms carrier-finite] x by auto
   qed
 qed
qed
lemma circuit-iff-rank-of:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 shows circuit X \longleftrightarrow X \neq \{\} \land (\forall x \in X. rank-of (X - \{x\}) = card (X - \{x\}))
\wedge \ card \ (X - \{x\}) = rank - of \ X)
proof (standard, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  case LTR
  then have X \neq \{\} using circuit-nonempty by auto
 moreover have indep-remove: \bigwedge x. \ x \in X \Longrightarrow rank\text{-}of \ (X - \{x\}) = card \ (X - \{x\})
\{x\})
```

```
proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in X
   then have indep(X - \{x\}) using circuit-min-dep[OF LTR] by auto
   moreover have X - \{x\} \subseteq carrier \text{ using } assms \text{ by } auto
   ultimately show rank-of (X - \{x\}) = card(X - \{x\}) using indep-iff-rank-of
by auto
 qed
  moreover have \bigwedge x. \ x \in X \Longrightarrow rank\text{-}of \ (X - \{x\}) = rank\text{-}of \ X
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume *: x \in X
   have rank-of X \leq card X using assms rank-of-le by auto
  moreover have rank-of X \neq card X using assms LTR circuitD indep-iff-rank-of [of
X] by auto
   ultimately have rank-of X < card X by auto
    then have rank-of X \leq card (X - \{x\}) using * finite-subset[OF assms]
carrier-finite by auto
   also have ... = rank-of (X - \{x\}) using indep-remove \langle x \in X \rangle by auto
   finally show rank-of (X - \{x\}) = rank-of X using assms rank-of-mono[of X
-\{x\} X] by auto
 \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?case by auto
next
  case RTL
  then have X \neq \{\}
   and indep-remove: \bigwedge x. \ x \in X \Longrightarrow rank\text{-}of \ (X - \{x\}) = card \ (X - \{x\})
   and dep: \bigwedge x. x \in X \Longrightarrow rank\text{-}of(X - \{x\}) = rank\text{-}ofX
   by auto
 show ?case using assms
  proof (rule circuitI)
   obtain x where x: x \in X using \langle X \neq \{\} \rangle by auto
   then have rank-of X = card(X - \{x\}) using dep indep-remove by auto
   also have \dots < card X using card-Diff1-less[OF finite-subset[OF assms car-
rier-finite | x | .
   finally show \neg indep X using indep-iff-rank-of [OF assms] by auto
 next
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in X
  then show indep (X - \{x\}) using assms indep-remove of x indep-iff-rank-of of
X - \{x\}
     by auto
 qed
qed
context
 fixes \mathcal{E}
 assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
```

```
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma indep-in-iff-rank-of:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  shows indep-in \ \mathcal{E} \ X \longleftrightarrow rank-of \ X = card \ X
  using assms \mathcal{E}.indep-iff-rank-of\ rank-of-sub-cong[OF* assms] by auto
lemma basis-in-iff-rank-of:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  shows basis-in \mathcal{E} X \longleftrightarrow rank-of X = card X \wedge rank-of X = rank-of \mathcal{E}
  using \mathcal{E}.basis-iff-rank-of[OF\ assms]\ rank-of-sub-cong[OF\ *]\ assms
  unfolding basis-in-def by auto
lemma circuit-in-iff-rank-of:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  shows circuit-in \mathcal{E} X \longleftrightarrow X \neq \{\} \land (\forall x \in X. rank-of (X - \{x\}) = card (X - \{x\})) = card (X - \{x\})
\{x\}) \wedge card (X - \{x\}) = rank - of X
proof -
  have circuit-in \mathcal{E} X \longleftrightarrow \mathcal{E}.circuit X unfolding circuit-in-def..
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow X \neq \{\} \land (\forall x \in X. \mathcal{E}.rank-of(X - \{x\}) = card(X - \{x\}))
\wedge \ card \ (X - \{x\}) = \mathcal{E}.rank-of \ X)
    using \mathcal{E}.circuit-iff-rank-of[OF\ assms].
  also have ... \longleftrightarrow X \neq \{\} \land (\forall x \in X. \ rank-of (X - \{x\}) = card (X - \{x\}) \land 
card (X - \{x\}) = rank - of X
  proof -
    {
      \mathbf{fix} \ x
      have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (X - \{x\}) = rank-of (X - \{x\}) \mathcal{E}.rank-of X = rank-of X
        using assms rank-of-sub-cong[OF *, of X - \{x\}] rank-of-sub-cong[OF *, of
       then have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (X - \{x\}) = card (X - \{x\}) \wedge card (X - \{x\}) =
\mathcal{E}.rank\text{-}of\ X \longleftrightarrow rank\text{-}of\ (X - \{x\}) = card\ (X - \{x\}) \wedge card\ (X - \{x\}) = rank\text{-}of
X
        by auto
    then show ?thesis
      by (auto simp: simp del: card-Diff-insert)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
2.5
         Closure
definition cl :: 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set where
  cl\ X \equiv \{x \in carrier.\ rank-of\ (insert\ x\ X) = rank-of\ X\}
```

```
lemma clI:
 assumes x \in carrier
 assumes rank-of (insert \ x \ X) = rank-of X
 shows x \in cl X
 unfolding cl-def using assms by auto
lemma cl-altdef:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 shows cl\ X = \bigcup \{Y \in Pow\ carrier.\ X \subseteq Y \land rank-of\ Y = rank-of\ X\}
proof -
  {
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   \mathbf{assume} *: x \in \mathit{cl} \; X
   have x \in \bigcup \{ Y \in Pow \ carrier. \ X \subseteq Y \land rank-of \ Y = rank-of \ X \}
     show insert x \ X \in \{ Y \in Pow \ carrier. \ X \subseteq Y \land rank-of \ Y = rank-of \ X \}
       using assms * unfolding cl-def by auto
   qed auto
 moreover {
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume *: x \in \bigcup \{Y \in Pow \ carrier. \ X \subseteq Y \land rank-of \ Y = rank-of \ X\}
   then obtain Y where Y: x \in Y Y \subseteq carrier X \subseteq Y rank-of Y = rank-of X
by auto
   have rank-of (insert \ x \ X) = rank-of X
   proof -
     have rank-of (insert x X) \leq rank-of X
     proof -
       have insert x X \subseteq Y using Y by auto
       then show ?thesis using rank-of-mono[of insert x X Y] Y by auto
     moreover have rank-of X \leq rank-of (insert x X) using Y by (auto intro:
rank-of-mono)
     ultimately show ?thesis by auto
   then have x \in cl\ X using * unfolding cl-def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma cl-rank-of: x \in cl \ X \Longrightarrow rank-of (insert x \ X) = rank-of X
 unfolding cl-def by auto
lemma cl-subset-carrier: cl X \subseteq carrier
 unfolding cl-def by auto
lemmas clD = cl-rank-of cl-subset-carrier
```

```
lemma cl-subset:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 shows X \subseteq cl X
 using assms using insert-absorb[of - X] by (auto intro!: clI)
lemma cl-mono:
 assumes X \subseteq Y
 assumes Y \subseteq carrier
 \mathbf{shows}\ cl\ X\subseteq cl\ Y
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in cl X
 then have x \in carrier using cl-subset-carrier by auto
 have insert x X \subseteq carrier
   using assms \langle x \in cl X \rangle \ cl-subset-carrier[of X] by auto
 then interpret X-insert: matroid insert x \times X indep-in (insert x \times X) by auto
 have insert x Y \subseteq carrier
   using assms \langle x \in cl X \rangle cl\text{-}subset\text{-}carrier[of X] by auto
  then interpret Y-insert: matroid insert x Y indep-in (insert x Y) by auto
 show x \in cl \ Y  using \langle x \in carrier \rangle
 proof (rule clI, cases x \in X)
   {f case} True
    then show rank-of (insert x Y) = rank-of Y using assms insert-absorb[of x
Y] by auto
 next
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   obtain B_X where B_X: basis-in X B_X using assms basis-in-ex[of X] by auto
   have basis-in (insert x X) B_X
   proof -
     have rank-of B_X = card \ B_X \wedge rank-of B_X = rank-of (insert x \ X)
       have rank-of B_X = card B_X \wedge rank-of B_X = rank-of X
         using assms B_X
           basis-in-subset-carrier[where \mathcal{E} = X and X = B_X]
           basis-in-iff-rank-of [where \mathcal{E} = X and X = B_X]
         by blast
       then show ?thesis using cl-rank-of[OF \langle x \in cl X \rangle] by auto
     qed
     then show ?thesis
     using assms basis-in-subset-carrier[OF - B_X] \langle x \in carrier \rangle basis-in-iff-rank-of[of]
insert x X B_X
       by auto
   \mathbf{qed}
```

```
have indep-in (insert x Y) B_X
     using assms basis-in-indep-in[OF - B_X] indep-in-subI-subset[of \ X \ insert \ x \ Y]
by auto
   then obtain B_Y where B_Y: basis-in (insert x Y) B_Y B_X \subseteq B_Y
      using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle indep-in-iff-subset-basis-in[of insert x Y B_X] by
auto
   have basis-in Y B_Y
   proof -
      have x \notin B_Y
      {\bf proof}\ ({\it rule}\ {\it ccontr},\ {\it goal\text{-}cases}\ {\it False})
       case False
       then have insert x B_X \subseteq B_Y using \langle B_X \subseteq B_Y \rangle by auto
       then have indep-in (insert x Y) (insert x B_X)
         using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle
            B_Y basis-in-indep-in[where \mathcal{E} = insert \ x \ Y \ and \ X = B_Y]
            indep-in-subset[where \mathcal{E}=insert\ x\ Y\ and X=B_Y\ and Y=insert\ x
B_X
         by auto
       then have indep-in (insert x X) (insert x B_X)
         using assms B_X
            basis-in-subset-carrier[where \mathcal{E} = X and X = B_X]
             indep-in-supI[where \mathcal{E}=insert\ x\ Y\ and \mathcal{E}'=insert\ x\ X\ and X=
insert x B_X
         by auto
       moreover have x \in insert \ x \ X - B_X
         using assms \langle x \notin X \rangle B<sub>X</sub> basis-in-subset-carrier[where \mathcal{E} = X and X = X
B_X] by auto
       ultimately show ?case
         using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle \langle basis-in (insert x X) B_X \rangle
            basis-in-max-indep-in[where \mathcal{E} = insert \ x \ X \ and \ X = B_X \ and \ x = x]
         by auto
      qed
      then show ?thesis
      using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle B_Y basis-in-subset-carrier of insert x \mid Y \mid B_Y
        basis-in-sup I [where \mathcal{E} = insert \ x \ Y \ and \ \mathcal{E}' = Y \ and \ B = B_Y] by auto
   qed
   show rank-of (insert \ x \ Y) = rank-of Y
   proof -
      have rank-of (insert x Y) = card B_Y
       using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle \langle basis-in (insert x Y) B_Y \rangle basis-in-subset-carrier
       using basis-in-iff-rank-of [where \mathcal{E} = insert \ x \ Y \ and \ X = B_Y]
       by auto
      also have \dots = rank - of Y
        using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle \langle basis-in \ Y \ B_Y \rangle \ basis-in-subset-carrier
       using basis-in-iff-rank-of [where \mathcal{E} = Y and X = B_Y]
       by auto
      finally show ?thesis.
```

```
qed
   qed
qed
lemma cl-insert-absorb:
   assumes X \subseteq carrier
   assumes x \in cl X
   shows cl (insert x X) = cl X
proof
   show cl (insert x X) \subseteq cl X
   proof (standard, goal-cases elem)
       case (elem\ y)
       then have *: x \in carrier \ y \in carrier \ using \ assms \ cl-subset-carrier \ by \ auto
       have rank-of (insert\ y\ X) = rank-of (insert\ y\ (insert\ x\ X))
       proof -
          have rank-of (insert\ y\ X) \le rank-of (insert\ y\ (insert\ x\ X))
              using assms * by (auto intro: rank-of-mono)
           moreover have rank-of (insert y (insert x X)) = rank-of (insert y X)
           proof -
              have insert y (insert x X) = insert x (insert y X) by auto
             then have rank-of (insert y (insert x(X)) = rank-of (insert x (insert y(X))
by auto
              also have \dots = rank - of (insert \ y \ X)
              proof -
                  \mathbf{have}\ cl\ X\subseteq\ cl\ (\mathit{insert}\ y\ X)\ \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{rule}\ \mathit{cl-mono})\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{assms}\ {\scriptstyle \checkmark} y
                  then have x \in cl (insert y X) using assms by auto
                  then show ?thesis unfolding cl-def by auto
               qed
              finally show ?thesis.
           qed
           ultimately show ?thesis by auto
       also have ... = rank-of (insert x X) using elem us
       also have \dots = rank-of X using assms cl-rank-of by auto
       finally show y \in cl\ X using * by (auto intro: clI)
    qed
\mathbf{next}
   have insert x X \subseteq carrier using assms cl-subset-carrier by auto
   moreover have X \subseteq insert \ x \ X \ using \ assms \ by \ auto
   ultimately show cl\ X \subseteq cl\ (insert\ x\ X) using assms cl-subset-carrier cl-mono
by auto
qed
lemma cl-cl-absorb:
   assumes X \subseteq carrier
   shows cl(cl X) = cl X
proof
```

```
show cl (cl X) \subseteq cl X
  proof (standard, goal-cases elem)
   case (elem \ x)
   then have x \in carrier using cl-subset-carrier by auto
   then show ?case
   proof (rule clI)
     have rank-of (insert x X) \geq rank-of X
       using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle \ rank-of-mono[of X insert x X] by auto
     moreover have rank-of (insert x X) \leq rank-of X
     proof -
      have rank-of (insert\ x\ X) \leq rank-of (insert\ x\ (cl\ X))
        using assms \langle x \in carrier \rangle cl\text{-subset-carrier } cl\text{-subset}[of X]
              rank-of-mono[of insert x \ X insert x \ (cl \ X)] by auto
       also have \dots = rank-of (cl\ X) using elem\ cl-rank-of by auto
       also have \dots = rank - of (X \cup (cl X - X))
        using Diff-partition[OF cl-subset[OF assms]] by auto
       also have \dots = rank - of X \text{ using } \langle X \subseteq carrier \rangle
       proof (rule rank-of-Un-absorbI)
        show cl\ X - X \subseteq carrier\ using\ assms\ cl\text{-subset-carrier}\ by\ auto
       next
        \mathbf{fix} \ y
        assume y \in cl X - X - X
        then show rank-of (insert y X) = rank-of X unfolding cl-def by auto
       qed
       finally show ?thesis.
     qed
     ultimately show rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of X by auto
   aed
 qed
next
 show cl\ X \subseteq cl\ (cl\ X) using cl-subset[OF cl-subset-carrier] by auto
lemma cl-augment:
 assumes X \subseteq carrier
 assumes x \in carrier
 assumes y \in cl \ (insert \ x \ X) - cl \ X
 shows x \in cl (insert y X)
  using \langle x \in carrier \rangle
proof (rule clI)
 have rank-of (insert\ y\ X) \le rank-of (insert\ x\ (insert\ y\ X))
   using assms cl-subset-carrier by (auto intro: rank-of-mono)
 moreover have rank-of (insert x (insert y X)) \leq rank-of (insert y X)
 proof -
   have rank-of (insert x (insert y X)) = rank-of (insert y (insert x X))
   proof -
     have insert x (insert y(X) = insert y (insert x(X)) by auto
     then show ?thesis by auto
   qed
```

```
also have rank-of (insert y (insert x X)) = rank-of (insert x X)
     using assms cl-def by auto
   also have \dots \leq Suc \ (rank-of \ X)
     using assms cl-subset-carrier by (auto intro: rank-of-insert-le)
   also have \dots = rank - of (insert y X)
   proof -
     have rank-of (insert y X) \leq Suc (rank-of X)
       using assms cl-subset-carrier by (auto intro: rank-of-insert-le)
     moreover have rank-of (insert y X) \neq rank-of X
       using assms cl-def by auto
     \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \mathit{rank-of} \ X \leq \mathit{rank-of} \ (\mathit{insert} \ y \ X)
      using assms cl-subset-carrier by (auto intro: rank-of-mono)
     ultimately show ?thesis by auto
   qed
   finally show ?thesis.
 ultimately show rank-of (insert x (insert y X)) = rank-of (insert y X) by auto
qed
lemma clI-insert:
 assumes x \in carrier
 assumes indep X
 assumes \neg indep (insert x X)
 shows x \in cl X
 using \langle x \in carrier \rangle
proof (rule clI)
 have *: X \subseteq carrier using assms indep-subset-carrier by auto
 then have **: insert x X \subseteq carrier using assms by auto
 have indep-in (insert x X) X using assms by (auto intro: indep-inI)
  then obtain B where B: basis-in (insert x X) B X \subseteq B
   using assms indep-in-iff-subset-basis-in[OF **] by auto
 have x \notin B
 proof (rule ccontr, goal-cases False)
   case False
   then have indep-in\ (insert\ x\ X)\ (insert\ x\ X)
     using B \ indep-in-subset[OF ** basis-in-indep-in[OF **]] by auto
   then show ?case using assms indep-in-indep by auto
 qed
 have basis-in \ X \ B \ using *
  proof (rule basis-inI, goal-cases indep max-indep)
   case indep
   show ?case
   proof (rule indep-in-supI[where \mathcal{E} = insert \ x \ X])
     show B \subseteq X using B basis-in-subset-carrier [OF **] \land x \notin B \land by auto
     show indep-in (insert x X) B using basis-in-indep-in[OF ** B(1)].
   qed auto
```

```
next
   case (max-indep y)
   then have \neg indep-in (insert x X) (insert y B)
     using B basis-in-max-indep-in[OF **] by auto
   then show ?case by (auto intro: indep-in-subI-subset)
  qed
 then show rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of X
   using B rank-of-eq-card-basis-in [OF *] rank-of-eq-card-basis-in [OF *] by auto
qed
\mathbf{lemma} \ indep\text{-}in\text{-}carrier \ [simp]: indep\text{-}in \ carrier = indep
 using indep-subset-carrier by (auto simp: indep-in-def fun-eq-iff)
context
 fixes I
 defines I \equiv (\lambda X. \ X \subset carrier \land (\forall x \in X. \ x \notin cl \ (X - \{x\})))
begin
lemma I-mono: I Y if Y \subseteq X I X for X Y :: 'a set
proof -
 have \forall x \in Y. x \notin cl (Y - \{x\})
 proof (intro ballI)
   fix x assume x: x \in Y
   with that have cl(Y - \{x\}) \subseteq cl(X - \{x\})
     by (intro cl-mono) (auto simp: I-def)
   with that and x show x \notin cl\ (Y - \{x\}) by (auto simp: I-def)
  with that show ?thesis by (auto simp: I-def)
qed
lemma clI':
 assumes I X x \in carrier \neg I (insert x X)
 shows x \in cl X
proof -
 from assms have x: x \notin X by (auto simp: insert-absorb)
 from assms obtain y where y: y \in insert \ x \ X \ y \in cl \ (insert \ x \ X - \{y\})
   by (force simp: I-def)
 show x \in cl X
 proof (cases x = y)
   case True
   thus ?thesis using assms x y by (auto simp: I-def)
  \mathbf{next}
   case False
   have y \in cl \ (insert \ x \ X - \{y\}) by fact
   also from False have insert x X - \{y\} = insert \ x \ (X - \{y\}) by auto
   finally have y \in cl \ (insert \ x \ (X - \{y\})) - cl \ (X - \{y\})
     using assms False y unfolding I-def by blast
   hence x \in cl \ (insert \ y \ (X - \{y\}))
     using cl-augment[of X - \{y\} \ x \ y] assms False y by (auto simp: I-def)
```

```
also from y and False have insert y(X - \{y\}) = X by auto
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
lemma matroid-I: matroid carrier I
proof (unfold-locales, goal-cases)
 show finite carrier by (rule carrier-finite)
\mathbf{next}
 case (4 X Y)
 have \forall x \in Y. x \notin cl (Y - \{x\})
 proof (intro ballI)
   fix x assume x: x \in Y
   with 4 have cl(Y - \{x\}) \subseteq cl(X - \{x\})
     by (intro cl-mono) (auto simp: I-def)
   with 4 and x show x \notin cl\ (Y - \{x\}) by (auto simp: I-def)
 qed
  with 4 show ?case by (auto simp: I-def)
next
  case (5 X Y)
 have {}^{\sim}(\exists X \ Y. \ I \ X \land I \ Y \land card \ X < card \ Y \land (\forall x \in Y - X. \ \neg I \ (insert \ x \ X)))
 proof
   assume *: \exists X \ Y. \ I \ X \land I \ Y \land card \ X < card \ Y \land (\forall x \in Y - X. \ \neg I \ (insert \ x))
X)) (is \exists X Y . ?P X Y)
   define n where n = Max ((\lambda(X, Y). card (X \cap Y)) ` \{(X, Y). ?P X Y\})
   have \{(X, Y). ?P X Y\} \subseteq Pow \ carrier \times Pow \ carrier
     by (auto simp: I-def)
   hence finite: finite \{(X, Y). ?P X Y\}
     by (rule finite-subset) (insert carrier-finite, auto)
   hence n \in ((\lambda(X, Y). card (X \cap Y)) ` \{(X, Y). ?P X Y\})
     unfolding n-def using * by (intro Max-in finite-imageI) auto
   then obtain X Y where XY: P X Y n = card (X \cap Y)
     by auto
   hence finite': finite X finite Y
     using finite-subset[OF - carrier-finite] XY by (auto simp: I-def)
   from XY finite' have ^{\sim}(Y \subseteq X)
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{card}\text{-}\mathit{mono}[\mathit{of}\ X\ Y] \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{auto}
   then obtain y where y: y \in Y - X by blast
   have False
   proof (cases X \subseteq cl (Y - \{y\}))
     case True
     from y XY have [simp]: y \in carrier by (auto simp: I-def)
     assume X \subseteq cl (Y - \{y\})
     hence cl \ X \subseteq cl \ (cl \ (Y - \{y\}))
       by (intro cl-mono cl-subset-carrier)
     also have \dots = cl (Y - \{y\})
       using XY by (intro cl-cl-absorb) (auto simp: I-def)
```

```
finally have \operatorname{cl} X \subseteq \operatorname{cl} (Y - \{y\}) .
     moreover have y \notin cl (Y - \{y\})
       using y \text{ I-def } XY(1) by blast
     ultimately have y \notin cl X by blast
     thus False unfolding I-def
       using XY y clI' \langle y \in carrier \rangle by blast
   \mathbf{next}
     case False
     with y XY have [simp]: y \in carrier by (auto simp: I-def)
     assume \neg(X \subseteq cl\ (Y - \{y\}))
     then obtain t where t: t \in X t \notin cl (Y - \{y\})
     with XY have [simp]: t \in carrier by (auto\ simp:\ I-def)
     have t \in X - Y
       using t \ y \ clI[of \ t \ Y - \{y\}] by (cases \ t = y) \ (auto \ simp: insert-absorb)
     moreover have I (Y - \{y\}) using XY(1) I-mono[of\ Y - \{y\}\ Y] by blast
     ultimately have *: I (insert t (Y - \{y\}))
       using clI'[of Y - \{y\} t] t by auto
     from XY have finite Y
       by (intro finite-subset[OF - carrier-finite]) (auto simp: I-def)
     moreover from y have Y \neq \{\} by auto
     ultimately have [simp]: card\ (insert\ t\ (Y - \{y\})) = card\ Y\ using\ \langle t \in X
-Y y
       by (simp add: Suc-diff-Suc card-gt-0-iff)
     have \exists x \in Y - X. I (insert x X)
     proof (rule ccontr)
       assume \neg?thesis
       hence PX (insert t (Y - \{y\})) using XY * \langle t \in X - Y \rangle
       hence card (X \cap insert\ t\ (Y - \{y\})) \le n
         unfolding n-def using finite by (intro Max-ge) auto
       also have X \cap insert\ t\ (Y - \{y\}) = insert\ t\ ((X \cap Y) - \{y\})
         using y \langle t \in X - Y \rangle by blast
       also have card \dots = Suc (card (X \cap Y))
         using y \ \langle t \in X - Y \rangle \langle finite Y \rangle by (simp \ add: \ card.insert-remove)
       finally show False using XY by simp
     qed
     with XY show False by blast
   qed
   thus False.
 qed
 with 5 show ?case by auto
qed (auto simp: I-def)
end
```

```
definition cl-in where cl-in \mathcal{E} X = matroid.cl \mathcal{E} (indep-in \mathcal{E}) X
lemma cl-eq-cl-in:
  assumes X \subseteq carrier
  shows cl X = cl-in carrier X
proof -
  interpret \mathcal{E}: matroid carrier indep-in carrier
    by (intro matroid-subset) auto
  have cl X = \{x \in carrier. \ rank-of \ (insert \ x \ X) = rank-of \ X\}
    unfolding cl-def by auto
  also have ... = \{x \in carrier. \mathcal{E}.rank-of (insert \ x \ X) = \mathcal{E}.rank-of \ X\}
    using rank-of-sub-cong[of carrier] assms by auto
  also have \dots = cl-in carrier X
    unfolding cl-in-def \mathcal{E}.cl-def by auto
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma cl-inI-aux: x \in \mathcal{E}.cl\ X \Longrightarrow x \in cl-in\ \mathcal{E}\ X
  unfolding cl-in-def by auto
lemma cl-inD-aux: x \in cl-in \mathcal{E} X \Longrightarrow x \in \mathcal{E}.cl X
  unfolding cl-in-def by auto
lemma cl-inI:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  assumes x \in \mathcal{E}
  assumes rank-of (insert \ x \ X) = rank-of X
  shows x \in cl-in \mathcal{E} X
proof -
  have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of (insert x X) \mathcal{E}.rank-of X
    using assms rank-of-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  then show ?thesis unfolding cl-in-def using assms by (auto intro: \mathcal{E}.clI)
qed
lemma cl-in-altdef:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  \mathbf{shows}\ \mathit{cl-in}\ \mathcal{E}\ X = \bigcup \left\{ \mathit{Y} \in \mathit{Pow}\ \mathcal{E}.\ \mathit{X} \subseteq \mathit{Y}\ \land\ \mathit{rank-of}\ \mathit{Y} = \mathit{rank-of}\ \mathit{X} \right\}
  unfolding cl-in-def
proof (safe, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  case (LTR \ x)
  then have x \in \bigcup \{Y \in Pow \ \mathcal{E}. \ X \subseteq Y \land \mathcal{E}.rank\text{-}of \ Y = \mathcal{E}.rank\text{-}of \ X\}
```

```
using \mathcal{E}.cl-altdef[OF assms] by auto
  then obtain Y where Y: x \in Y Y \in Pow \mathcal{E} X \subseteq Y \mathcal{E}.rank-of Y = \mathcal{E}.rank-of
X by auto
  then show ?case using rank-of-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  case (RTL \ x \ Y)
  then have x \in \bigcup \{Y \in Pow \ \mathcal{E}. \ X \subseteq Y \land \mathcal{E}.rank-of \ Y = \mathcal{E}.rank-of \ X\}
     using rank-of-sub-cong[OF *, of X] rank-of-sub-cong[OF *, of Y] by auto
  then show ?case using \mathcal{E}.cl-altdef[\mathit{OF}\ assms] by auto
qed
lemma cl-in-subset-carrier: cl-in \mathcal{E} X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  using \mathcal{E}.\mathit{cl-subset-carrier} unfolding \mathit{cl-in-def}.
lemma cl-in-rank-of:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 assumes x \in cl-in \mathcal{E} X
 shows rank-of (insert \ x \ X) = rank-of X
proof -
  have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (insert x X) = \mathcal{E}.rank-of X
    using assms E.cl-rank-of unfolding cl-in-def by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of (insert x X)
    using assms rank-of-sub-cong [OF *, of insert x X] cl-in-subset-carrier by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{E}.rank-of\ X = rank-of\ X
    using assms rank-of-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemmas cl-inD = cl-in-rank-of cl-in-subset-carrier
lemma cl-in-subset:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 shows X \subseteq cl-in \mathcal{E} X
 using \mathcal{E}.cl-subset [OF assms] unfolding cl-in-def.
lemma cl-in-mono:
  assumes X \subseteq Y
  assumes Y \subseteq \mathcal{E}
 shows cl-in \mathcal{E} X \subseteq cl-in \mathcal{E} Y
  using \mathcal{E}.cl-mono[OF assms] unfolding cl-in-def.
lemma cl-in-insert-absorb:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  assumes x \in cl-in \mathcal{E} X
 shows cl-in \mathcal{E} (insert x X) = cl-in \mathcal{E} X
  using assms \mathcal{E}.cl-insert-absorb unfolding cl-in-def by auto
lemma cl-in-augment:
 assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}
```

```
assumes x \in \mathcal{E}
  assumes y \in cl\text{-}in \mathcal{E} (insert \ x \ X) - cl\text{-}in \mathcal{E} \ X
  shows x \in cl-in \mathcal{E} (insert y X)
  using assms E.cl-augment unfolding cl-in-def by auto
lemmas cl-inI-insert = cl-inI-aux[OF \mathcal{E}.clI-insert]
end
lemma cl-in-subI:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E} \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
  shows cl-in \mathcal{E}' X \subseteq cl-in \mathcal{E} X
proof (safe, goal-cases elem)
  case (elem \ x)
  then have x \in \mathcal{E}' rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of X
    using assms cl-inD[where \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' and X = X] by auto
  then show x \in cl\text{-}in \mathcal{E} X using assms by (auto intro: cl\text{-}inI)
qed
context
  fixes \mathcal{E}
  assumes *: \mathcal{E} \subseteq carrier
begin
interpretation \mathcal{E}: matroid \mathcal{E} indep-in \mathcal{E}
  using * by auto
lemma cl-in-sub-cong:
  assumes X \subseteq \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}' \subseteq \mathcal{E}
  shows \mathcal{E}.cl-in \mathcal{E}' X = cl-in \mathcal{E}' X
proof (safe, goal-cases LTR RTL)
  case (LTR \ x)
  then have x \in \mathcal{E}' \mathcal{E}.rank\text{-}of (insert \ x \ X) = \mathcal{E}.rank\text{-}of \ X
    using assms
      \mathcal{E}.cl-in-rank-of[where \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' and X = X and x = x]
      \mathcal{E}.cl-in-subset-carrier[where \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}']
    by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{E}.rank-of X = rank-of X
    using assms rank-of-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of (insert x X)
    using assms rank-of-sub-cong[OF *, of insert x X] \langle x \in \mathcal{E}' \rangle by auto
  ultimately show ?case using assms * by (auto intro: cl-inI)
\mathbf{next}
  case (RTL x)
  then have x \in \mathcal{E}' rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of X
    using * assms cl-inD[where \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' and X = X] by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{E}.rank-of\ X = rank-of\ X
    using assms rank-of-sub-cong[OF *] by auto
  moreover have \mathcal{E}.rank-of (insert x X) = rank-of (insert x X)
```

```
using assms rank-of-sub-cong [OF*, of\ insert\ x\ X] \ \langle x \in \mathcal{E}' \rangle by auto ultimately show ?case using assms by (auto intro: \mathcal{E}.cl-inI) qed end end end end
```

References

 $[1]\,$ J. Oxley. What is a matroid?, 2003.