The Inversions of a List

Manuel Eberl

April 18, 2024

Abstract

This entry defines the set of *inversions* of a list, i. e. the pairs of indices that violate sortedness. It also proves the correctness of the well-known $O(n \log n)$ divide-and-conquer algorithm to compute the number of inversions.

Contents

1 The Inversions of a List **1**
1.1 Definition of inversions 1
1.2 Counting inversions 3
1.3 Stability of inversions between lists under permutations . . . 5
1.4 Inversions between sorted lists 6
1.5 Merge sort 8
1.6 Merge sort with inversion counting 9

1 The Inversions of a List

theory *List-Inversions*
imports
Main
HOL−Combinatorics.Permutations
begin

1.1 Definition of inversions

context *preorder*
begin

We define inversions as pair of indices w. r. t. a preorder.

inductive-set *inversions* :: *'a list* ⇒ (*nat* × *nat*) *set* **for** *xs* :: *'a list* **where**
i < *j* ⟹ *j* < *length xs* ⟹ *less* (*xs* ! *j*) (*xs* ! *i*) ⟹ (*i*, *j*) ∈ *inversions xs*

lemma *inversions-subset*: *inversions xs* ⊆ *Sigma* {..<*length xs*} (λ*i*. {*i*<..<*length xs*})

by (*auto simp*: *inversions.simps*)

lemma *finite-inversions* [*intro*]: *finite* (*inversions xs*)
by (*rule finite-subset*[*OF inversions-subset*]) *auto*

lemma *inversions-altdef*: *inversions xs* = {(*i*, *j*). *i* < *j* ∧ *j* < *length xs* ∧ *less* (*xs* ! *j*) (*xs* ! *i*)}
by (*auto simp*: *inversions.simps*)

lemma *inversions-code*:
inversions xs =
Sigma {..<*length xs*} (λ*i*. *Set.filter* (λ*j*. *less* (*xs* ! *j*) (*xs* ! *i*)) {*i*<..<*length xs*})
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef*)

lemmas (**in** −) [*code*] = *inversions-code*

lemma *inversions-trivial* [*simp*]: *length xs* ≤ *Suc 0* ⟹ *inversions xs* = {}
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef*)

lemma *inversions-imp-less*:
z ∈ *inversions xs* ⟹ *fst z* < *snd z*
z ∈ *inversions xs* ⟹ *snd z* < *length xs*
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef*)

lemma *inversions-Nil* [*simp*]: *inversions* [] = {}
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef*)

lemma *inversions-Cons*:
inversions (*x* # *xs*) =
(λ*j*. (*0*, *j* + *1*)) ‘ {*j*∈{..<*length xs*}. *less* (*xs* ! *j*) *x*} ∪
map-prod Suc Suc ‘ *inversions xs* (**is** - = *?rhs*)
proof −
have *z* ∈ *inversions* (*x* # *xs*) ⟷ *z* ∈ *?rhs* **for** *z*
by (*cases z*) (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef map-prod-def nth-Cons split*: *nat.splits*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

The following function returns the inversions between two lists, i. e. all pairs of an element in the first list with an element in the second list such that the former is greater than the latter.

definition *inversions-between* :: *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ (*nat* × *nat*) *set* **where**
inversions-between xs ys =
{(*i*, *j*) ∈ {..<*length xs*}×{..<*length ys*}. *less* (*ys* ! *j*) (*xs* ! *i*)}

lemma *finite-inversions-between* [*intro*]: *finite* (*inversions-between xs ys*)
by (*rule finite-subset*[*of* - {..<*length xs*} × {..<*length xs* + *length ys*}])
(*auto simp*: *inversions-between-def*)

lemma *inversions-between-Nil* [*simp*]:

inversions-between [] *ys* = {}
inversions-between xs [] = {}
by (*simp-all add*: *inversions-between-def*)

We can now show the following equality for the inversions of the concatenation of two lists:

proposition *inversions-append*:
fixes *xs ys*
defines *m* ≡ *length xs* **and** *n* ≡ *length ys*
shows *inversions* (*xs* @ *ys*) =
inversions xs ∪ *map-prod* ((+) *m*) ((+) *m*) ‘ *inversions ys* ∪
map-prod id ((+) *m*) ‘ *inversions-between xs ys*
(**is** - = *?rhs*)
proof −
note *defs* = *inversions-altdef inversions-between-def m-def n-def map-prod-def*
have *z* ∈ *inversions* (*xs* @ *ys*) ⟷ *z* ∈ *?rhs* **for** *z*
proof
assume *z* ∈ *inversions* (*xs* @ *ys*)
then obtain *i j* **where** [*simp*]: *z* = (*i*, *j*)
and *ij*: *i* < *j j* < *m* + *n less* ((*xs* @ *ys*) ! *j*) ((*xs* @ *ys*) ! *i*)
by (*cases z*) (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef m-def n-def*)
from *ij* **consider** *j* < *m* | *i* ≥ *m* | *i* < *m j* ≥ *m* **by** *linarith*
thus *z* ∈ *?rhs*
proof *cases*
assume *i* < *m j* ≥ *m*
define *j′* **where** *j′* = *j* − *m*
have [*simp*]: *j* = *m* + *j′*
using ‹*j* ≥ *m*› **by** (*simp add*: *j′-def*)
from *ij* **and** ‹*i* < *m*› **show** *?thesis*
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef map-prod-def inversions-between-def nth-append m-def n-def*)
next
assume *i* ≥ *m*
define *i′ j′* **where** *i′* = *i* − *m* **and** *j′* = *j* − *m*
have [*simp*]: *i* = *m* + *i′ j* = *m* + *j′*
using ‹*i* < *j*› **and** ‹*i* ≥ *m*› **by** (*simp-all add*: *i′-def j′-def*)
from *ij* **show** *?thesis*
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef map-prod-def nth-append m-def n-def*)
qed (*use ij* **in** ‹*auto simp*: *nth-append defs*›)
qed (*auto simp*: *nth-append defs*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *blast*
qed

1.2 Counting inversions

We now define versions of *inversions* and *inversions-between* that only return the *number* of inversions.

definition *inversion-number* :: *′a list* ⇒ *nat* **where**

inversion-number xs = *card* (*inversions xs*)

definition *inversion-number-between* **where**
inversion-number-between xs ys = *card* (*inversions-between xs ys*)

lemma *inversions-between-code*:
inversions-between xs ys =
Set.filter (λ(*i*,*j*). *less* (*ys* ! *j*) (*xs* ! *i*)) ({..<*length xs*}×{..<*length ys*})
by (*auto simp*: *inversions-between-def*)

lemmas (**in** −) [*code*] = *inversions-between-code*

lemma *inversion-number-Nil* [*simp*]: *inversion-number* [] = *0*
by (*simp add*: *inversion-number-def*)

lemma *inversion-number-trivial* [*simp*]: *length xs* ≤ *Suc 0* ⟹ *inversion-number xs* = *0*
by (*auto simp*: *inversion-number-def*)

lemma *inversion-number-between-Nil* [*simp*]:
inversion-number-between [] *ys* = *0*
inversion-number-between xs [] = *0*
by (*simp-all add*: *inversion-number-between-def*)

We again get the following nice equation for the number of inversions of a concatenation:

proposition *inversion-number-append*:
inversion-number (*xs* @ *ys*) =
inversion-number xs + *inversion-number ys* + *inversion-number-between xs ys*
proof −
define *m n* **where** *m* = *length xs* **and** *n* = *length ys*
let *?A* = *inversions xs*
let *?B* = *map-prod* ((+) *m*) ((+) *m*) ‘ *inversions ys*
let *?C* = *map-prod id* ((+) *m*) ‘ *inversions-between xs ys*

have *inversion-number* (*xs* @ *ys*) = *card* (*?A* ∪ *?B* ∪ *?C*)
by (*simp add*: *inversion-number-def inversions-append m-def*)
also have ... = *card* (*?A* ∪ *?B*) + *card ?C*
by (*intro card-Un-disjoint finite-inversions finite-inversions-between finite-UnI finite-imageI*)
(*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef inversions-between-def m-def n-def*)
also have *card* (*?A* ∪ *?B*) = *inversion-number xs* + *card ?B* **unfolding** *inversion-number-def*
by (*intro card-Un-disjoint finite-inversions finite-UnI finite-imageI*)
(*auto simp*: *inversions-altdef m-def n-def*)
also have *card ?B* = *inversion-number ys* **unfolding** *inversion-number-def*
by (*intro card-image*) (*auto simp*: *map-prod-def inj-on-def*)
also have *card ?C* = *inversion-number-between xs ys*
unfolding *inversion-number-between-def* **by** (*intro card-image inj-onI*) (*auto*

simp: *map-prod-def*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

1.3 Stability of inversions between lists under permutations

A crucial fact for counting list inversions with merge sort is that the number of inversions *between* two lists does not change when the lists are permuted. This is true because the set of inversions commutes with the act of permuting the list:

lemma *inversions-between-permute1*:
assumes π *permutes* {..<*length xs*}
shows *inversions-between* (*permute-list* π *xs*) *ys* =
map-prod (*inv* π) *id* ‘ *inversions-between xs ys*
proof −
from *assms* **have** [*simp*]: π *i* < *length xs* **if** *i* < *length xs* π *permutes* {..<*length xs*} **for** *i* π
using *permutes-in-image*[*OF that*(*2*)] *that* **by** *auto*
have ∗: *inv* π *permutes* {..<*length xs*}
using *assms* **by** (*rule permutes-inv*)
from *assms* ∗ **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *inversions-between-def map-prod-def*
by (*force simp*: *image-iff permute-list-nth permutes-inverses intro*: *exI*[*of* - π *i* **for** *i*])
qed

lemma *inversions-between-permute2*:
assumes π *permutes* {..<*length ys*}
shows *inversions-between xs* (*permute-list* π *ys*) =
map-prod id (*inv* π) ‘ *inversions-between xs ys*
proof −
from *assms* **have** [*simp*]: π *i* < *length ys* **if** *i* < *length ys* π *permutes* {..<*length ys*} **for** *i* π
using *permutes-in-image*[*OF that*(*2*)] *that* **by** *auto*
have ∗: *inv* π *permutes* {..<*length ys*}
using *assms* **by** (*rule permutes-inv*)
from *assms* ∗ **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *inversions-between-def map-prod-def*
by (*force simp*: *image-iff permute-list-nth permutes-inverses intro*: *exI*[*of* - π *i* **for** *i*])
qed

proposition *inversions-between-permute*:
assumes π*1 permutes* {..<*length xs*} **and** π*2 permutes* {..<*length ys*}
shows *inversions-between* (*permute-list* π*1 xs*) (*permute-list* π*2 ys*) =
map-prod (*inv* π*1*) (*inv* π*2*) ‘ *inversions-between xs ys*
by (*simp add*: *inversions-between-permute1 inversions-between-permute2 assms map-prod-def image-image case-prod-unfold*)

corollary *inversion-number-between-permute*:

assumes *π1 permutes* {..<*length xs*} **and** *π2 permutes* {..<*length ys*}
shows *inversion-number-between* (*permute-list π1 xs*) (*permute-list π2 ys*) =
inversion-number-between xs ys
proof −
have *inversion-number-between* (*permute-list π1 xs*) (*permute-list π2 ys*) =
card (*map-prod* (*inv π1*) (*inv π2*) ‘ *inversions-between xs ys*)
by (*simp add*: *inversion-number-between-def inversions-between-permute assms*)
also have ... = *inversion-number-between xs ys*
unfolding *inversion-number-between-def* **using** *assms*[*THEN permutes-inj-on*[*OF permutes-inv*]]
by (*intro card-image inj-onI*) (*auto simp*: *map-prod-def*)
finally show *?thesis* **.**
qed

The following form of the above theorem is nicer to apply since it has the form of a congruence rule.

corollary *inversion-number-between-cong-mset*:
assumes *mset xs* = *mset xs′* **and** *mset ys* = *mset ys′*
shows *inversion-number-between xs ys* = *inversion-number-between xs′ ys′*
proof −
obtain *π1 π2* **where** *π12*: *π1 permutes* {..<*length xs′*} *xs* = *permute-list π1 xs′*
π2 permutes {..<*length ys′*} *ys* = *permute-list π2 ys′*
using *assms*[*THEN mset-eq-permutation*] **by** *metis*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add*: *inversion-number-between-permute*)
qed

1.4 Inversions between sorted lists

Another fact that is crucial to the efficient computation of the inversion number is this: If we have two sorted lists, we can reduce computing the inversions by inspecting the first elements and deleting one of them.

lemma *inversions-between-Cons-Cons*:
assumes *sorted-wrt less-eq* (*x* # *xs*) **and** *sorted-wrt less-eq* (*y* # *ys*)
shows *inversions-between* (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*) =
(*if* ¬*less y x then*
map-prod Suc id ‘ *inversions-between xs* (*y* # *ys*)
else
{..<*length* (*x*#*xs*)} × {*0*} ∪
map-prod id Suc ‘ *inversions-between* (*x* # *xs*) *ys*)
using *assms* **unfolding** *inversions-between-def map-prod-def*
by (*auto*, (*auto simp*: *set-conv-nth nth-Cons less-le-not-le image-iff*
intro: *order-trans split*: *nat.splits*) *?*)

This leads to the following analogous equation for counting the inversions between two sorted lists. Note that a single step of this only takes constant time (assuming we pre-computed the lengths of the lists) so that the entire function runs in linear time.

lemma *inversion-number-between-Cons-Cons*:

```
  assumes sorted-wrt less-eq (x # xs) and sorted-wrt less-eq (y # ys)
  shows   inversion-number-between (x # xs) (y # ys) =
            (if ¬less y x then
               inversion-number-between xs (y # ys)
             else
               inversion-number-between (x # xs) ys + length (x # xs))
proof (cases less y x)
  case False
  hence inversion-number-between (x # xs) (y # ys) =
          card (map-prod Suc id ‘ inversions-between xs (y # ys))
    by (simp add: inversion-number-between-def inversions-between-Cons-Cons[OF
assms])
  also have ... = inversion-number-between xs (y # ys)
    unfolding inversion-number-between-def by (intro card-image inj-onI) (auto
simp: map-prod-def)
  finally show ?thesis using False by simp
next
  case True
  hence inversion-number-between (x # xs) (y # ys) =
          card ({..<length (x # xs)} × {0} ∪ map-prod id Suc ‘ inversions-between
(x # xs) ys)
    by (simp add: inversion-number-between-def inversions-between-Cons-Cons[OF
assms])
  also have ... = length (x # xs) + card (map-prod id Suc ‘ inversions-between
(x # xs) ys)
    by (subst card-Un-disjoint) auto
  also have card (map-prod id Suc ‘ inversions-between (x # xs) ys) =
              inversion-number-between (x # xs) ys
    unfolding inversion-number-between-def by (intro card-image inj-onI) (auto
simp: map-prod-def)
  finally show ?thesis using True by simp
qed
```

We now define a function to compute the inversion number between two lists that are assumed to be sorted using the equalities we just derived.

```
fun inversion-number-between-sorted :: 'a list ⇒ 'a list ⇒ nat where
  inversion-number-between-sorted [] ys = 0
| inversion-number-between-sorted xs [] = 0
| inversion-number-between-sorted (x # xs) (y # ys) =
            (if ¬less y x then
               inversion-number-between-sorted xs (y # ys)
             else
               inversion-number-between-sorted (x # xs) ys + length (x # xs))

theorem inversion-number-between-sorted-correct:
  sorted-wrt less-eq xs ⟹ sorted-wrt less-eq ys ⟹
    inversion-number-between-sorted xs ys = inversion-number-between xs ys
  by (induction xs ys rule: inversion-number-between-sorted.induct)
    (simp-all add: inversion-number-between-Cons-Cons)
```

end

1.5 Merge sort

For convenience, we first define a simple merge sort that does not compute the inversions. At this point, we need to start assuming a linear ordering since the merging function does not work otherwise.

context *linorder*
begin

definition *split-list*
  where *split-list xs* = (*let n* = *length xs div 2 in* (*take n xs*, *drop n xs*))

fun *merge-lists* :: *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* **where**
  merge-lists [] *ys* = *ys*
| *merge-lists xs* [] = *xs*
| *merge-lists* (*x* # *xs*) (*y* # *ys*) =
    (*if less-eq x y then x* # *merge-lists xs* (*y* # *ys*) *else y* # *merge-lists* (*x* # *xs*) *ys*)

lemma *set-merge-lists* [*simp*]: *set* (*merge-lists xs ys*) = *set xs* ∪ *set ys*
  by (*induction xs ys rule*: *merge-lists.induct*) *auto*

lemma *mset-merge-lists* [*simp*]: *mset* (*merge-lists xs ys*) = *mset xs* + *mset ys*
  by (*induction xs ys rule*: *merge-lists.induct*) *auto*

lemma *sorted-merge-lists* [*simp*, *intro*]:
  sorted xs ⟹ *sorted ys* ⟹ *sorted* (*merge-lists xs ys*)
  by (*induction xs ys rule*: *merge-lists.induct*) *auto*

fun *merge-sort* :: *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* **where**
  merge-sort xs =
    (*if length xs* ≤ *1 then*
       xs
     else
       merge-lists (*merge-sort* (*take* (*length xs div 2*) *xs*))
                     (*merge-sort* (*drop* (*length xs div 2*) *xs*)))

lemmas [*simp del*] = *merge-sort.simps*

lemma *merge-sort-trivial* [*simp*]: *length xs* ≤ *Suc 0* ⟹ *merge-sort xs* = *xs*
  by (*subst merge-sort.simps*) *auto*

theorem *mset-merge-sort* [*simp*]: *mset* (*merge-sort xs*) = *mset xs*
  by (*induction xs rule*: *merge-sort.induct*)
    (*subst merge-sort.simps*, *auto simp flip*: *mset-append*)

corollary *set-merge-sort* [*simp*]: *set* (*merge-sort xs*) = *set xs*
by (*rule mset-eq-setD*) *simp-all*

theorem *sorted-merge-sort* [*simp*, *intro*]: *sorted* (*merge-sort xs*)
by (*induction xs rule*: *merge-sort.induct*)
(*subst merge-sort.simps*, *use sorted01* **in** *auto*)

lemma *inversion-number-between-code*:
inversion-number-between xs ys = *inversion-number-between-sorted* (*sort xs*) (*sort ys*)
by (*subst inversion-number-between-sorted-correct*)
(*simp-all add*: *cong*: *inversion-number-between-cong-mset*)

lemmas (**in** −) [*code-unfold*] = *inversion-number-between-code*

1.6 Merge sort with inversion counting

Finally, we can put together all the components and define a variant of merge sort that counts the number of inversions in the original list:

function *sort-and-count-inversions* :: *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* × *nat* **where**
sort-and-count-inversions xs =
(*if length xs* ≤ *1 then*
(*xs*, *0*)
else
let (*xs1*, *xs2*) = *split-list xs*;
(*xs1'*, *m*) = *sort-and-count-inversions xs1*;
(*xs2'*, *n*) = *sort-and-count-inversions xs2*
in
(*merge-lists xs1' xs2'*, *m* + *n* + *inversion-number-between-sorted xs1' xs2'*))
by *auto*
termination by (*relation measure length*) (*auto simp*: *split-list-def Let-def*)

lemmas [*simp del*] = *sort-and-count-inversions.simps*

The projection of this function to the first component is simply the standard merge sort algorithm that we defined and proved correct before.

theorem *fst-sort-and-count-inversions* [*simp*]:
fst (*sort-and-count-inversions xs*) = *merge-sort xs*
by (*induction xs rule*: *length-induct*)
(*subst sort-and-count-inversions.simps*, *subst merge-sort.simps*,
simp-all add: *split-list-def case-prod-unfold Let-def*)

The projection to the second component is the inversion number.

theorem *snd-sort-and-count-inversions* [*simp*]:
snd (*sort-and-count-inversions xs*) = *inversion-number xs*
proof (*induction xs rule*: *length-induct*)
case (*1 xs*)

```
  show ?case
  proof (cases length xs ≤ 1)
    case False
    have xs = take (length xs div 2) xs @ drop (length xs div 2) xs by simp
    also have inversion-number ... = snd (sort-and-count-inversions xs)
      by (subst inversion-number-append, subst sort-and-count-inversions.simps)
        (use False 1 in ‹auto simp: Let-def split-list-def case-prod-unfold
                                    inversion-number-between-sorted-correct
                          cong: inversion-number-between-cong-mset›)
    finally show ?thesis ..
  qed (auto simp: sort-and-count-inversions.simps)
qed

lemmas (in -) [code-unfold] = snd-sort-and-count-inversions [symmetric]

end

end
```

References

[1] T. H. Cormen, C. Lee, and E. Lin. *Instructor's Manual to accompany Introduction to Algorithms, 2nd Edition.* MIT Press, 2002.