

Lambert Series

Manuel Eberl

April 12, 2026

Abstract

This entry provides a formalisation of *Lambert series*, i.e. series of the form $L(a_n, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n q^n / (1 - q^n)$ where a_n is a sequence of real or complex numbers. Proofs for all the basic properties are provided, such as

- the precise region in which $L(a_n, q)$ converges
- the functional equation $L(a_n, \frac{1}{q}) = -(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n) - L(a_n, q)$
- the power series expansion of $L(a_n, q)$ at $q = 0$
- the connection $L(a_n, q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(q^k)$ for $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ that links a Lambert series to its “corresponding” power series
- connections to various number-theoretic functions, e.g. the divisor σ function via $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\alpha}(n) q^n = L(n^{\alpha}, q)$

The formalisation mainly follows the chapter on Lambert series in Konrad Knopp’s classic textbook *Theory and Application of Infinite Series* [1] and includes all results presented therein.

Contents

1	Missing Library Material	3
1.1	Miscellaneous	3
1.2	Infinite sums	3
1.3	Convergence radius	6
1.4	Limits	9
2	Some Facts About Number-Theoretic Functions	13
3	Some Abel-Style Summation Tests	18
4	Lambert Series	22
4.1	Definition	22
4.2	Uniform convergence, continuity, holomorphicity	25
4.3	Power series expansion	41
4.3.1	Divisor σ function	45
4.3.2	Möbius μ function	46
4.3.3	Euler's totient function φ	47
4.3.4	Mangoldt's Λ function	47
4.3.5	Liouville's λ function	48
4.4	Expressing a Lambert series in terms of a power series	49
4.5	Connection to Euler's function	56
4.6	Application: Fibonacci numbers	59

1 Missing Library Material

```
theory Lambert_Series_Library
imports
  "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis"
  "HOL-Library.Landau_Symbols"
  "HOL-Real_Asymp.Real_Asymp"
begin
```

1.1 Miscellaneous

```
lemma power_less_1_iff: "x ≥ 0 ⇒ (x :: real) ^ n < 1 ↔ x < 1 ∧
n > 0"
  by (metis not_gr_zero not_less_iff_gr_or_eq power_0 real_root_lt_1_iff
real_root_pos2)
```

```
lemma fls_nth_sum: "fls_nth (∑ x∈A. f x) n = (∑ x∈A. fls_nth (f x)
n)"
  by (induction A rule: infinite_finite_induct) auto
```

```
lemma two_times_choose_two: "2 * (n choose 2) = n * (n - 1)"
  unfolding choose_two by (simp add: algebra_simps)
```

```
lemma Nats_not_empty [simp]: "N ≠ {}"
  using Nats_1 by blast
```

1.2 Infinite sums

```
lemma has_sum_diff:
  fixes f g :: "'a ⇒ 'b::{topological_ab_group_add}"
  assumes <(f has_sum a) A>
  assumes <(g has_sum b) A>
  shows <((λx. f x - g x) has_sum (a - b)) A>
  using has_sum_add[of f A a "λx. -g x" "-b"] assms by (simp add: has_sum_uminus)
```

```
lemma summable_on_diff:
  fixes f g :: "'a ⇒ 'b::{topological_ab_group_add}"
  assumes <f summable_on A>
  assumes <g summable_on A>
  shows <(λx. f x - g x) summable_on A>
  by (metis (full_types) assms summable_on_def has_sum_diff)
```

```
lemma infsum_diff:
  fixes f g :: "'a ⇒ 'b::{topological_ab_group_add, t2_space}"
  assumes <f summable_on A>
  assumes <g summable_on A>
  shows <infsum (λx. f x - g x) A = infsum f A - infsum g A>
proof -
  have <((λx. f x - g x) has_sum (infsum f A - infsum g A)) A>
  by (simp add: assms has_sum_diff)
```

```

    then show ?thesis
      using infsumI by blast
qed

lemma summable_norm_add:
  assumes "summable (λn. norm (f n))" "summable (λn. norm (g n))"
  shows "summable (λn. norm (f n + g n))"
proof (rule summable_comparison_test)
  show "summable (λn. norm (f n) + norm (g n))"
    by (intro summable_add assms)
  show "∃N. ∀n≥N. norm (norm (f n + g n)) ≤ norm (f n) + norm (g n)"
    by (intro exI[of _ 0] allI impI) (auto simp: norm_triangle_ineq)
qed

lemma summable_norm_diff:
  assumes "summable (λn. norm (f n))" "summable (λn. norm (g n))"
  shows "summable (λn. norm (f n - g n))"
  using summable_norm_add[of f "λn. -g n"] assms by simp

lemma sums_imp_has_prod_exp:
  fixes f :: "_ ⇒ 'a::{real_normed_field,banach}"
  assumes "f sums F"
  shows "(λn. exp (f n)) has_prod exp F"
proof -
  have "(λn. exp (∑ i≤n. f i)) ⟶ exp F"
    by (intro tendsto_intros) (use assms in <auto simp: sums_def' atLeast0AtMost>)
  also have "(λn. exp (∑ i≤n. f i)) = (λn. ∏ i≤n. exp (f i))"
    by (simp add: exp_sum)
  finally have "raw_has_prod (λn. exp (f n)) 0 (exp F)"
    unfolding raw_has_prod_def by auto
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding has_prod_def by blast
qed

lemma telescope_summable_iff:
  fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a::{real_normed_vector}"
  shows "summable (λn. f (Suc n) - f n) ⟷ convergent f"
proof
  assume "convergent f"
  thus "summable (λn. f (Suc n) - f n)"
    using telescope_summable[of f] by (auto simp: convergent_def)
next
  assume "summable (λn. f (Suc n) - f n)"
  hence "convergent (λn. ∑ i<n. f (Suc i) - f i)"
    by (simp add: summable_iff_convergent)
  also have "(λn. ∑ i<n. f (Suc i) - f i) = (λn. f n - f 0)"
    by (subst sum_lessThan_telescope) auto
  also have "convergent ... ⟷ convergent f"
    by (rule convergent_diff_const_right_iff)

```

```

    finally show "convergent f" .
qed

lemma telescope_summable_iff':
  fixes f :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a::{real_normed_vector}"
  shows "summable ( $\lambda n. f n - f (Suc n)$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  convergent f"
  using telescope_summable_iff[of " $\lambda n. -f n$ "] by (simp flip: convergent_minus_iff)

lemma norm_summable_mult_bounded:
  assumes "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (f n)$ )"
  assumes "g  $\in O(\lambda_. 1)$ "
  shows "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (f n * g n)$ )"
proof -
  from assms(2) obtain C where C: "C > 0" "eventually ( $\lambda n. norm (g n) \leq C$ ) at_top"
  by (auto elim!: landau_o.bigE)
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule summable_comparison_test_ev)
    show "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (f n) * C$ )"
    by (subst mult.commute) (intro summable_mult assms)
    show "eventually ( $\lambda n. norm (norm (f n * g n)) \leq norm (f n) * C$ ) at_top"
    using C(2) by eventually_elim (use C(1) in <auto intro!: mult_mono simp: norm_mult>)
  qed
qed

lemma summable_powser_comparison_test_bigo:
  fixes f g :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes "summable f" "g  $\in O(\lambda n. f n * c ^ n)$ " "norm c < 1"
  shows "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (g n)$ )"
proof (rule summable_comparison_test_bigo)
  have "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (f n * c ^ n)$ )"
  by (rule powser_insidea[of _ 1]) (use assms in auto)
  thus "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (norm (f n * c ^ n))$ )"
  by simp
  show "( $\lambda n. norm (g n) \in O(\lambda n. norm (f n * c ^ n))$ )"
  using assms(2) by simp
qed

lemma geometric_sums_gen:
  assumes "norm (x :: 'a :: real_normed_field) < 1"
  shows "( $\lambda n. x ^ (n + k)$ ) sums (x ^ k / (1 - x))"
proof -
  have "( $\lambda n. x ^ k * x ^ n$ ) sums (x ^ k * (1 / (1 - x)))"
  by (intro sums_mult geometric_sums assms)
  thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: power_add mult_ac)
qed

```

```

lemma has_sum_geometric:
  fixes x :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes "norm x < 1"
  shows  "((λn. x ^ n) has_sum (x ^ m / (1 - x))) {m..}"
proof -
  have "((λn. x ^ n) has_sum (1 / (1 - x))) UNIV"
    using assms
    by (intro norm_summable_imp_has_sum)
      (auto intro: geometric_sums summable_geometric simp: norm_power)
  hence "((λn. x ^ m * x ^ n) has_sum (x ^ m * (1 / (1 - x)))) UNIV"
    by (rule has_sum_cmult_right)
  also have "?thesis  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?thesis"
    by (rule has_sum_reindex_bij_witness[of _ "λn. n - m" "λn. n + m"])
  (auto simp: power_add)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma n_powser_sums:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows  "(λn. of_nat n * q ^ n) sums (q / (1 - q) ^ 2)"
proof -
  have "(λn. q * (of_nat (Suc n) * q ^ n)) sums (q * (1 / (1 - q)^2))"
    using q by (intro sums_mult geometric_deriv_sums)
  also have "(λn. q * (of_nat (Suc n) * q ^ n)) = (λn. of_nat (Suc n)
* q ^ Suc n)"
    by (simp add: algebra_simps)
  finally have "(λn. of_nat n * q ^ n) sums (q * (1 / (1 - q)^2) + of_nat
0 * q ^ 0)"
    by (rule sums_Suc)
  thus "(λn. of_nat n * q ^ n) sums (q / (1 - q) ^ 2)"
    by simp
qed

```

1.3 Convergence radius

```

lemma tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq:
  assumes "(λn. ereal (norm (f n) powr (1 / real n)))  $\longrightarrow$  c'" "c =
inverse c'"
  shows  "conv_radius f = c"
proof -
  have "(λn. ereal (root n (norm (f n))))  $\longrightarrow$  c'"
  proof (rule Lim_transform_eventually)
    show "(λn. ereal (norm (f n) powr (1 / real n)))  $\longrightarrow$  c'"
      using assms by simp
    show " $\forall_F$  x in sequentially. ereal (norm (f x) powr (1 / real x))
=
ereal (root x (norm (f x)))"
      using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0]

```

```

proof eventually_elim
  case (elim n)
  show ?case using elim
    by (cases "f n = 0") (simp_all add: root_powr_inverse)
qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  unfolding conv_radius_def using assms by (simp add: limsup_root_limit)
qed

lemma conv_radius_powr_real: "conv_radius (λn. real n powr a) = 1"
proof (rule tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq)
  have "(λn. ereal ((real n powr a) powr (1 / real n))) → ereal 1"
    by (rule tendsto_ereal) real_asymp
  thus "(λn. ereal (norm (real n powr a) powr (1 / real n))) → ereal
1"
    by simp
qed (simp_all add: one_ereal_def)

lemma conv_radius_one_over: "conv_radius (λn. 1 / of_nat n :: 'a :: {real_normed_field,
banach}) = 1"
proof (rule tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq)
  have "(λn. ereal ((1 / n) powr (1 / real n))) → ereal 1"
    by (rule tendsto_ereal) real_asymp
  thus "(λn. ereal (norm (1 / of_nat n :: 'a) powr (1 / real n))) →
ereal 1"
    by (simp add: norm_divide)
qed (simp_all add: one_ereal_def)

lemma conv_radius_mono:
  assumes "eventually (λn. norm (f n) ≥ norm (g n)) sequentially"
  shows "conv_radius f ≤ conv_radius g"
  unfolding conv_radius_def
proof (rule ereal_inverse_antimono[OF _ Limsup_mono])
  have "limsup (λn. 0) ≤ limsup (λn. ereal (root n (norm (g n))))"
    by (rule Limsup_mono) (auto intro!: eventually_mono[OF eventually_gt_at_top[of
0]])
  thus "limsup (λn. ereal (root n (norm (g n)))) ≥ 0"
    by (simp add: Limsup_const)
next
  show "∀F x in sequentially. ereal (root x (norm (g x))) ≤ ereal (root
x (norm (f x)))"
    using assms eventually_gt_at_top[of 0] by eventually_elim auto
qed

lemma conv_radius_const [simp]:
  assumes "c ≠ 0"
  shows "conv_radius (λ_. c) = 1"
proof (rule tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq)

```

```

    show "(λn. ereal (norm c powr (1 / real n))) → ereal 1"
      by (rule tendsto_ereal) (use assms in real_asymp)
qed auto

lemma conv_radius_bigo_polynomial:
  assumes "f ∈ O(λn. of_nat n ^ k)"
  shows "conv_radius f ≥ 1"
proof -
  from assms obtain C where ev: "C > 0" "eventually (λn. norm (f n) ≤
C * real n ^ k) at_top"
  by (elim landau_o.bigE) (auto simp: norm_power)
  have "(λx. (C * real x ^ k) powr (1 / real x)) → 1"
    using ev(1) by real_asymp
  hence "conv_radius (λn. C * real n ^ k) = inverse (ereal 1)" using ev(1)
    by (intro tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq[OF _ refl] tendsto_ereal)
      (simp add: norm_mult norm_power abs_mult)
  moreover have "conv_radius (λn. C * real n ^ k) ≤ conv_radius f"
    by (intro conv_radius_mono eventually_mono[OF ev(2)]) auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: one_ereal_def)
qed

lemma even_power_diff_commute: "even n ⇒ (x - y) ^ n = (y - x :: 'a
:: ring_1) ^ n"
  by (metis Parity.ring_1_class.power_minus_even minus_diff_eq)

lemma not_summable_power_of_nat: "¬summable (λn. of_nat n ^ k :: 'a
:: {real_normed_field,banach})"
proof -
  have "¬(λn. of_nat n ^ k :: 'a) → 0"
  proof (cases "k = 0")
    case True
      hence "(λn. of_nat n ^ k :: 'a) → 1"
        by simp
      thus ?thesis
        using LIMSEQ_unique zero_neq_one by blast
    next
      case False
      have "filterlim (λn. of_nat n ^ k :: 'a) at_infinity at_top"
      proof (rule filterlim_compose[OF filterlim_power_at_infinity])
        show "filterlim (of_nat :: nat ⇒ 'a) at_infinity sequentially"
          by (rule tendsto_of_nat)
      qed (use False in <auto intro: filterlim_ident>)
      thus ?thesis
        using not_tendsto_and_filterlim_at_infinity sequentially_bot by
blast
    qed
  thus ?thesis
    using summable_LIMSEQ_zero by blast

```

qed

lemma conv_radius_power_of_nat:

"conv_radius ($\lambda n. \text{of_nat } n \wedge k :: 'a :: \{\text{real_normed_field}, \text{banach}\}$)
= 1"

proof -

have "conv_radius ($\lambda n. \text{of_nat } n \wedge k :: 'a) \leq \text{norm } (1 :: 'a)"$

using not_summable_power_of_nat[of k, where ?'a = 'a] by (intro conv_radius_leI')

auto

moreover have "conv_radius ($\lambda n. \text{of_nat } n \wedge k :: 'a) \geq 1"$

by (rule conv_radius_bigo_polynomial[of _ k]) auto

ultimately show "conv_radius ($\lambda n. \text{of_nat } n \wedge k :: 'a) = 1"$

by (intro antisym) (auto simp: one_ereal_def)

qed

1.4 Limits

lemma oscillation_imp_not_tendsto:

assumes "eventually ($\lambda n. f (g n) \in A$) sequentially" "filterlim g F
sequentially"

assumes "eventually ($\lambda n. f (h n) \in B$) sequentially" "filterlim h F
sequentially"

assumes "closed A" "closed B" " $A \cap B = \{\}$ "

shows " $\neg \text{filterlim } f \text{ (nhds } c) F$ "

proof

assume *: "filterlim f (nhds c) F"

have "filterlim ($\lambda n. f (g n)$) (nhds c) sequentially"

using * assms(2) by (rule filterlim_compose)

with assms(1,5) have " $c \in A$ "

by (metis Lim_in_closed_set sequentially_bot)

have "filterlim ($\lambda n. f (h n)$) (nhds c) sequentially"

using * assms(4) by (rule filterlim_compose)

with assms(3,6) have " $c \in B$ "

by (metis Lim_in_closed_set sequentially_bot)

with $\langle c \in A \rangle$ and $\langle A \cap B = \{\} \rangle$ show False

by blast

qed

lemma oscillation_imp_not_convergent:

assumes "frequently ($\lambda n. f n \in A$) sequentially"

assumes "frequently ($\lambda n. f n \in B$) sequentially"

assumes "closed A" "closed B" " $A \cap B = \{\}$ "

shows " $\neg \text{convergent } f$ "

proof -

obtain g :: "nat \Rightarrow nat" where g: "strict_mono g" " $\bigwedge n. f (g n) \in A$ "

using assms(1) infinite_enumerate

unfolding cofinite_eq_sequentially [symmetric] INFM_iff_infinite

by blast

obtain h :: "nat \Rightarrow nat" where h: "strict_mono h" " $\bigwedge n. f (h n) \in B$ "

```

    using assms(2) infinite_enumerate
    unfolding cofinite_eq_sequentially [symmetric] INFM_iff_infinite
    by blast
  have "¬f  $\longrightarrow$  L" for L
  proof (rule oscillation_imp_not_tendsto)
    show " $\forall_F n$  in sequentially.  $f (g n) \in A$ " " $\forall_F n$  in sequentially.
  f (h n)  $\in B$ "
    using g h by auto
  qed (use g h assms in <auto intro: filterlim_subseq>)
  thus ?thesis
    unfolding convergent_def by blast
qed

```

```

lemma seq_bigo_1_iff:
  "g  $\in O(\lambda_. 1)$   $\longleftrightarrow$  bounded (range g)"
proof
  assume "g  $\in O(\lambda_. 1)$ "
  then obtain C where "eventually ( $\lambda n. \text{norm } (g n) \leq C$ ) at_top"
    by (elim landau_o.bigE) auto
  then obtain N where "N: " $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies \text{norm } (g n) \leq C$ "
    by (auto simp: eventually_at_top_linorder)
  hence "norm (g n)  $\leq$  Max (insert C (norm `g ` {...<N}))" for n
    by (cases "n < N") (auto simp: Max_ge_iff)
  thus "bounded (range g)"
    by (auto simp: bounded_iff)
next
  assume "bounded (range g)"
  then obtain C where "norm (g n)  $\leq C$  for n
    by (auto simp: bounded_iff)
  thus "g  $\in O(\lambda_. 1)$ "
    by (intro bigoI[where c = C]) auto
qed

```

```

lemma incseq_convergent':
  assumes "incseq (g :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  real)" "g  $\in O(\lambda_. 1)$ "
  shows "convergent g"
proof -
  from assms(2) have "bounded (range g)"
    by (simp add: seq_bigo_1_iff)
  then obtain C where C: " $|g n| \leq C$  for n
    unfolding bounded_iff by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule incseq_convergent)
    show "incseq g"
      by fact
  next
    have "g i  $\leq C$  for i :: nat
      using C[of i] by auto
    thus " $\forall i. g i \leq C$ "

```

```

    by blast
  qed (auto simp: convergent_def)
qed

lemma decseq_convergent':
  assumes "decseq (g :: nat ⇒ real)" "g ∈ O(λ_. 1)"
  shows "convergent g"
  using incseq_convergent'[of "λn. -g n "] assms
  by (simp flip: convergent_minus_iff add: decseq_eq_incseq)

lemma filterlim_of_int_iff:
  fixes c :: "'a :: real_normed_algebra_1"
  assumes "F ≠ bot"
  shows "filterlim (λx. of_int (f x)) (nhds c) F ⟷
    (∃ c'. c = of_int c' ∧ eventually (λx. f x = c') F)"

proof
  assume "∃ c'. c = of_int c' ∧ eventually (λx. f x = c') F"
  then obtain c' where c': "c = of_int c'" "eventually (λx. f x = c')
F"
  by blast
  from c'(2) have "eventually (λx. of_int (f x) = c) F"
  by eventually_elim (auto simp: c'(1))
  thus "filterlim (λx. of_int (f x)) (nhds c) F"
  by (rule tendsto_eventually)
next
  assume *: "filterlim (λx. of_int (f x)) (nhds c) F"
  show "(∃ c'. c = of_int c' ∧ eventually (λx. f x = c') F)"
  proof (cases "c ∈ ℤ")
    case False
    hence "setdist {c} ℤ > 0"
    by (subst setdist_gt_0_compact_closed) auto
    with * have "eventually (λx. dist (of_int (f x)) c < setdist {c}
ℤ) F"
    unfolding tendsto_iff by blast
    then obtain x where "dist (of_int (f x)) c < setdist {c} ℤ"
    using <F ≠ bot> eventually_happens by blast
    moreover have "dist c (of_int (f x)) ≥ setdist {c} ℤ"
    by (rule setdist_le_dist) auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
    by (simp add: dist_commute)
  next
    case True
    then obtain c' where c: "c = of_int c'"
    by (elim Ints_cases)
    have "eventually (λx. dist (of_int (f x)) c < 1) F"
    using * unfolding tendsto_iff by auto
    hence "eventually (λx. f x = c') F"
    by eventually_elim (auto simp: c dist_of_int)
    with c show ?thesis
  end
end

```

```

    by auto
  qed
qed

lemma filterlim_of_nat_iff:
  fixes c :: "'a :: real_normed_algebra_1"
  assumes "F ≠ bot"
  shows "filterlim (λx. of_nat (f x)) (nhds c) F ↔
        (∃c'. c = of_nat c' ∧ eventually (λx. f x = c') F)"
proof
  assume "∃c'. c = of_nat c' ∧ eventually (λx. f x = c') F"
  then obtain c' where c': "c = of_nat c'" "eventually (λx. f x = c')
F"
    by blast
  from c'(2) have "eventually (λx. of_nat (f x) = c) F"
    by eventually_elim (auto simp: c'(1))
  thus "filterlim (λx. of_nat (f x)) (nhds c) F"
    by (rule tendsto_eventually)
next
  assume *: "filterlim (λx. of_nat (f x)) (nhds c) F"
  show "(∃c'. c = of_nat c' ∧ eventually (λx. f x = c') F)"
  proof (cases "c ∈ ℕ")
    case False
    hence "setdist {c} ℕ > 0"
      by (subst setdist_gt_0_compact_closed) auto
    with * have "eventually (λx. dist (of_nat (f x)) c < setdist {c}
ℕ) F"
      unfolding tendsto_iff by blast
    then obtain x where "dist (of_nat (f x)) c < setdist {c} ℕ"
      using <F ≠ bot> eventually_happens by blast
    moreover have "dist c (of_nat (f x)) ≥ setdist {c} ℕ"
      by (rule setdist_le_dist) auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (simp add: dist_commute)
  next
    case True
    then obtain c' where c: "c = of_nat c'"
      by (elim Nats_cases)
    have "eventually (λx. dist (of_nat (f x)) c < 1) F"
      using * unfolding tendsto_iff by auto
    hence "eventually (λx. f x = c') F"
      by eventually_elim (auto simp: c dist_of_nat)
    with c show ?thesis
      by auto
  qed
qed
qed

lemma uniform_limit_compose:
  assumes "uniform_limit B (λx y. f x y) (λy. f' y) F" "∧y. y ∈ A ⇒

```

```

g y ∈ B"
  shows "uniform_limit A (λx y. f x (g y)) (λy. f' (g y)) F"
proof -
  have "uniform_limit (g ` A) (λx y. f x y) (λy. f' y) F"
    using assms(1) by (rule uniform_limit_on_subset) (use assms(2) in
blast)
  thus "uniform_limit A (λx y. f x (g y)) (λy. f' (g y)) F"
    unfolding uniform_limit_iff by auto
qed

lemma uniform_limit_const':
  assumes "filterlim f (nhds c) F"
  shows "uniform_limit A (λx y. f x) (λy. c) F"
proof -
  have "∀F n in F. ∀x∈A. dist (f n) c < ε" if ε: "ε > 0" for ε :: real
  proof -
    from assms and ε have "∀F n in F. dist (f n) c < ε"
    unfolding tendsto_iff by blast
    thus ?thesis
    by eventually_elim auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis
  unfolding uniform_limit_iff by blast
qed

lemma uniform_limit_singleton_iff [simp]:
  "uniform_limit {x} f g F ↔ filterlim (λy. f y x) (nhds (g x)) F"
  by (simp add: uniform_limit_iff tendsto_iff)

end

```

2 Some Facts About Number-Theoretic Functions

```

theory Number_Theoretic_Functions_Extras
imports
  "Dirichlet_Series.Dirichlet_Series_Analysis"
  "Dirichlet_Series.Divisor_Count"
  Lambert_Series_Library
begin

lemma (in nat_power_field) nat_power_minus:
  "a ≠ 0 ∨ n ≠ 0 ⇒ nat_power n (-a) = inverse (nat_power n a)"
  using nat_power_diff[of n 0 a] by (cases "n = 0") (simp_all add: field_simps)

lemma divisor_sigma_minus:
  fixes a :: "'a :: {nat_power_field, field_char_0}"
  shows "divisor_sigma (-a) n = divisor_sigma a n / nat_power n a"
proof (cases "n = 0")
  case n: False

```

```

have "divisor_sigma (-a :: 'a) n = ( $\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{nat\_power } d (-a)$ )"
  by (simp add: divisor_sigma_def)
also have "... = ( $\sum d \mid d \text{ dvd } n. \text{nat\_power } d a / \text{nat\_power } n a$ )"
  using n by (intro sum.reindex_bij_witness[of _ "\lambda d. n div d" "\lambda d.
n div d"])
      (auto elim!: dvdE simp: field_simps nat_power_minus nat_power_mult_distrib)
also have "... = divisor_sigma a n / nat_power n a"
  by (simp add: sum_divide_distrib divisor_sigma_def)
finally show ?thesis .
qed auto

```

```

lemma norm_moebius_mu:
  "norm (moebius_mu n :: 'a :: {real_normed_algebra_1, comm_ring_1}) =
ind_squarefree n"
  by (subst of_int_moebius_mu [symmetric], subst norm_of_int) (auto simp:
abs_moebius_mu)

```

```

lemma conv_radius_nat_power: "conv_radius ( $\lambda n. \text{nat\_power } n a :: 'a ::
\{\text{nat\_power\_normed\_field}, \text{banach}\}$ ) = 1"
proof (rule tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq)
  show " $(\lambda n. \text{ereal } (\text{norm } (\text{nat\_power } n a :: 'a) \text{ powr } (1 / \text{real } n))) \longrightarrow
\text{ereal } 1$ "
  proof (rule Lim_transform_eventually)
    show " $(\lambda n. \text{ereal } ((\text{real } n \text{ powr } (a \cdot 1)) \text{ powr } (1 / \text{real } n))) \longrightarrow
\text{ereal } 1$ "
    by (rule tendsto_ereal) real_asymp
    show "eventually ( $\lambda n. (\text{real } n \text{ powr } (a \cdot 1)) \text{ powr } (1 / \text{real } n) =
\text{ereal } (\text{norm } (\text{nat\_power } n a :: 'a) \text{ powr } (1 / \text{real } n))$ ) at_top"
      using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0] by eventually_elim (simp add: norm_nat_power)
  qed
qed (simp_all add: one_ereal_def)

```

```

lemma not_convergent_liouville_lambda:
  " $\neg$ convergent (liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_algebra,
comm_ring_1, semiring_char_0})"
proof -
  have " $\neg$ (liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\longrightarrow$  c" for c
  proof (rule oscillation_imp_not_tendsto)
    show "eventually ( $\lambda n. \text{liouville\_lambda } (2 \wedge (2 * n)) \in \{1 :: 'a\}$ )
sequentially"
    by auto
    show "filterlim ( $\lambda n. 2 \wedge (2 * n) :: nat$ ) at_top sequentially"
    by real_asymp
    show "eventually ( $\lambda n. \text{liouville\_lambda } (2 \wedge (2 * n + 1)) \in \{-1 ::
'a\}$ ) sequentially"
    by (subst liouville_lambda.power) auto
    show "filterlim ( $\lambda n. 2 \wedge (2 * n + 1) :: nat$ ) at_top sequentially"

```

```

    by real_asymp
  qed auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
qed

lemma conv_radius_liouville_lambda:
  "conv_radius (liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})
  = 1"
proof -
  have "\sumable (liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)"
    using not_convergent_liouville_lambda[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  hence "conv_radius (liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\leq$  norm (1 :: 'a)"
    by (intro conv_radius_leI') auto
  moreover have "conv_radius (liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\geq$  1"
  proof (rule conv_radius_bigo_polynomial)
    show "(liouville_lambda :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\in$   $O(\lambda n. \text{of\_nat } n \wedge 0)$ "
      by (intro bigoI[of _ 1] eventually_mono[OF eventually_gt_at_top[of
0]])
      (auto simp: liouville_lambda_def norm_power)
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (intro antisym) (auto simp: one_ereal_def)
qed

lemma not_convergent_mangoldt: "\convergent (mangoldt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a ::
{real_normed_algebra_1})"
proof -
  have *: "\primepow (6 * n :: nat)" for n
    by (rule not_primepowI[of 2 3]) auto
  have "\mangoldt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\longrightarrow$  c" for c
  proof (rule oscillation_imp_not_tendsto)
    show "eventually ( $\lambda n. \text{mangoldt } (2 \wedge n) \in \{\text{of\_real } (\ln 2) :: 'a\}$ )
sequentially"
      by (auto simp: mangoldt_primepow)
    show "filterlim ( $\lambda n. 2 \wedge n :: \text{nat}$ ) at_top sequentially"
      by real_asymp
    show "eventually ( $\lambda n. \text{mangoldt } (6 * n) \in \{0 :: 'a\}$ ) sequentially"
      using * by (auto simp: mangoldt_def)
    show "filterlim ( $\lambda n. 6 * n :: \text{nat}$ ) at_top sequentially"
      by real_asymp
  qed auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
qed

lemma conv_radius_mangoldt:
  "conv_radius (mangoldt :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})

```

```

= 1"
proof -
  have "¬summable (mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a)"
    using not_convergent_mangoldt[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  hence "conv_radius (mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a) ≤ norm (1 :: 'a)"
    by (intro conv_radius_leI') auto
  moreover have "conv_radius (mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a) ≥ 1"
  proof (rule conv_radius_bigo_polynomial)
    have "(mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a) ∈ O(λn. of_real (ln (real n)))"
      by (intro bigoI[of _ 1] eventually_mono[OF eventually_gt_at_top[of
0]])
      (auto simp: mangoldt_le)
    also have "(λn. of_real (ln (real n))) ∈ O(λn. of_nat n :: 'a)"
      by (subst landau_o.big.norm_iff [symmetric], unfold norm_of_real
norm_of_nat) real_asymp
    finally show "(mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a) ∈ O(λn. of_nat n ^ 1)"
      by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (intro antisym) (auto simp: one_ereal_def)
qed

lemma not_convergent_moebius_mu: "¬convergent (moebius_mu :: nat ⇒ 'a
:: real_normed_field)"
proof (rule oscillation_imp_not_convergent)
  have "infinite {p. prime (p :: nat)}"
    by (rule primes_infinite)
  hence "frequently (prime :: nat ⇒ bool) cofinite"
    by (simp add: Inf_many_def)
  hence "frequently (λn. moebius_mu n = (-1 :: 'a)) cofinite"
    by (rule frequently_elim1) (simp add: moebius_mu.prime)
  thus "frequently (λn. moebius_mu n ∈ {-1 :: 'a}) sequentially"
    using cofinite_eq_sequentially by fastforce
next
  have "infinite (range (λn. 4 * n :: nat))"
    by (subst finite_image_iff) (auto simp: inj_on_def)
  moreover {
    have "¬squarefree (2 ^ 2 :: nat)"
      by (subst squarefree_power_iff) auto
    also have "2 ^ 2 = (4 :: nat)"
      by simp
    finally have "range (λn. 4 * n :: nat) ⊆ {n::nat. ¬squarefree n}"
      by (auto dest: squarefree_multD)
  }
  ultimately have "frequently (λn::nat. ¬squarefree n) cofinite"
    unfolding INFM_iff_infinite using finite_subset by blast
  thus "∃F n in sequentially. moebius_mu n ∈ {0}"
    unfolding cofinite_eq_sequentially by (rule frequently_elim1) auto

```

qed auto

lemma conv_radius_moebius_mu:

"conv_radius (moebius_mu :: nat \Rightarrow 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})
= 1"

proof -

have " \neg summable (moebius_mu :: nat \Rightarrow 'a)"

using not_convergent_moebius_mu[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
by (auto simp: convergent_def)

hence "conv_radius (moebius_mu :: nat \Rightarrow 'a) \leq norm (1 :: 'a)"

by (intro conv_radius_leI') auto

moreover have "conv_radius (moebius_mu :: nat \Rightarrow 'a) \geq conv_radius
($\lambda_. 1$:: 'a)"

by (intro conv_radius_mono always_eventually) (auto simp: norm_moebius_mu
ind_def)

ultimately show ?thesis

by (intro antisym) (auto simp: one_ereal_def)

qed

lemma not_convergent_totient:

" \neg convergent ($\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n) :: 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})"

proof

assume "convergent ($\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n) :: 'a)"

then obtain L where L: "eventually ($\lambda n.$ totient n = L) at_top"

by (auto simp: convergent_def filterlim_of_nat_iff)

then obtain N where N: " $\bigwedge n. n \geq N \implies$ totient n = L"

unfolding eventually_at_top_linorder by blast

obtain p q where "prime p" "p > N" "prime q" "q > p"

using bigger_prime by blast

with N[of p] N[of q] show False

by (auto simp: totient_prime)

qed

lemma conv_radius_totient:

"conv_radius ($\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n) :: 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})
= 1"

proof -

have " \neg summable ($\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n) :: 'a)"

using not_convergent_totient[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
by (auto simp: convergent_def)

hence "conv_radius ($\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n) :: 'a) \leq norm (1 :: 'a)"

by (intro conv_radius_leI') auto

moreover have "conv_radius ($\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n) :: 'a) \geq 1"

proof (rule conv_radius_bigo_polynomial)

show " $(\lambda n.$ of_nat (totient n)) $\in O(\lambda n.$ of_nat n ^ 1)"

by (intro bigO[of _ 1] always_eventually) (auto simp: totient_le)

qed

ultimately show ?thesis

by (intro antisym) (auto simp: one_ereal_def)

qed

end

3 Some Abel-Style Summation Tests

theory *Summation_Tests_More*

imports "HOL-Analysis.Analysis" "HOL-Library.Landau_Symbols" Lambert_Series_Library
begin

The following five summation tests are taken from Chapter 10 of Knopp's textbook [?]. He introduces a strong variant of Abel's summation test and then deduces from it four summation tests named after Abel, Dirichlet, du Bois-Reymond, and Dedekind.

lemma *abel_partial_summation*:

```
fixes f g :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: comm_ring_1"
defines "F ≡ (λn. ∑ k≤n. f k)"
shows "(∑ r=n+1..n+k. f r * g r) =
        (∑ r=n+1..n+k. F r * (g r - g (Suc r))) -
        F n * g (Suc n) + F (n + k) * g (n + k + 1)"
by (induction k) (auto simp: F_def algebra_simps)
```

theorem *abel_summation_test_strong*:

```
fixes f g :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
defines "F ≡ (λn. ∑ k≤n. f k)"
assumes "summable (λr. F r * (g r - g (Suc r)))"
assumes "convergent (λr. F r * g (Suc r))"
shows "summable (λr. f r * g r)"
unfolding summable_iff_convergent'
proof (rule Cauchy_convergent)
show "Cauchy (λn. ∑ r≤n. f r * g r)"
unfolding Cauchy_def
proof safe
fix ε :: real assume ε: "ε > 0"
let ?A = "λn. (∑ r≤n. F r * (g r - g (Suc r)))"
from assms(2) have "Cauchy (λn. ∑ r≤n. F r * (g r - g (Suc r)))"
unfolding summable_iff_convergent' using convergent_Cauchy by blast
moreover have "ε / 2 > 0"
using ε by auto
ultimately obtain M1 where M1: "dist (?A m) (?A n) < ε / 2" if "m
≥ M1" "n ≥ M1" for m n
unfolding Cauchy_def by fast
have M1': "norm (∑ r=m..n. F r * (g r - g (Suc r))) < ε / 2" if "M1
< m" "m ≤ Suc n" for m n
proof -
have "dist (?A n) (?A (m - 1)) < ε / 2"
by (rule M1) (use that in auto)
also have "dist (?A n) (?A (m - 1)) = norm (∑ r∈{..n}-{..m-1}.
```

```

F r * (g r - g (Suc r)))"
      unfolding dist_norm using that by (subst Groups_Big.sum_diff)
auto
      also have "{..n} - {...m - 1} = {...n}"
      using that by auto
      finally show ?thesis .
qed

from ε have "ε / 4 > 0"
  by auto
from assms(3) obtain c where "(λr. F r * g (Suc r)) → c"
  by (auto simp: convergent_def)
with <ε / 4 > 0 have "eventually (λr. dist (F r * g (Suc r)) c <
ε / 4) sequentially"
  using tendstoD by blast
then obtain M2 where M2: "dist (F r * g (Suc r)) c < ε / 4" if "r
≥ M2" for r
  unfolding eventually_at_top_linorder by blast

show "∃M. ∀m≥M. ∀n≥M. dist (∑ r≤m. f r * g r) (∑ r≤n. f r * g
r) < ε"
proof (rule exI[of _ "max M1 M2"], safe)
  fix m n :: nat assume "m ≥ max M1 M2" "n ≥ max M1 M2"
  thus "dist (∑ r≤m. f r * g r) (∑ r≤n. f r * g r) < ε"
  proof (induction m n rule: linorder_wlog)
    case (le m n)
    define k where "k = n - m"
    from le have n_eq: "n = m + k"
    by (auto simp: k_def)
    have "dist (∑ r≤m. f r * g r) (∑ r≤n. f r * g r) =
      norm ((∑ r≤n. f r * g r) - (∑ r≤m. f r * g r))"
    by (simp add: dist_norm norm_minus_commute)
    also have "(∑ r≤n. f r * g r) - (∑ r≤m. f r * g r) = (∑ r∈{..n}-{..m}.
f r * g r)"
    using le by (subst Groups_Big.sum_diff) auto
    also have "{..n} - {...m} = {...m+1..m+k}"
    by (auto simp: n_eq)
    also have "(∑ r=m+1..m+k. f r * g r) =
      (∑ r=m+1..m+k. F r * (g r - g (Suc r))) -
      F m * g (Suc m) + F (m + k) * g (Suc (m + k))"
    unfolding F_def by (subst abel_partial_summation) simp_all
    also have "norm ... ≤ norm (∑ r=m+1..m+k. F r * (g r - g (Suc
r))) +
      dist (F m * g (Suc m)) c + dist (F (m + k) * g (Suc
(m + k))) c"
    by norm
    also have "... < ε / 2 + ε / 4 + ε / 4"
    using le by (intro add_strict_mono M1' M2) auto
    also have "... = ε"

```

```

    by simp
    finally show "dist (∑ r ≤ m. f r * g r) (∑ r ≤ n. f r * g r) < ε"
.
    qed (simp add: dist_commute max.commute)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

corollary abel_summation_test:
  fixes f g :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes "summable f"
  assumes "incseq g" "g ∈ O(λ_. 1)"
  shows "summable (λr. f r * g r)"
proof (rule abel_summation_test_strong)
  have "convergent g"
  by (rule incseq_convergent') fact+
  thus "convergent (λn. (∑ k ≤ n. f k) * g (Suc n))" using assms(1)
  by (intro convergent_mult) (simp_all add: convergent_Suc_iff summable_iff_convergent')
  show "summable (λn. (∑ k ≤ n. f k) * (g n - g (Suc n)))"
  proof (subst mult.commute, rule summable_norm_cancel, rule norm_summable_mult_bounded)
    have "summable (λn. g (Suc n) - g n)"
    using <convergent g> by (simp add: telescope_summable_iff)
    also have "(λn. g (Suc n) - g n) = (λn. norm (g n - g (Suc n)))"
    using <incseq g> by (auto simp: incseq_def fun_eq_iff)
    finally show "summable (λn. norm (g n - g (Suc n)))" .
  next
  have "bounded (range (λn. ∑ k < n. f k))"
  by (rule summable_imp_sums_bounded) fact
  hence "(λn. ∑ k < n. f k) ∈ O(λ_. 1)"
  by (simp add: seq_bigo_1_iff)
  hence "(λn. ∑ k < Suc n. f k) ∈ O(λ_. 1)"
  by (rule landau_o.big.compose) (rule filterlim_Suc)
  also have "(λn. {..<Suc n}) = (λn. {..n})"
  by auto
  finally show "(λn. ∑ k ≤ n. f k) ∈ O(λ_. 1)" .
  qed
  qed
qed

corollary dirichlet_summation_test:
  fixes f g :: "nat ⇒ real"
  assumes "(λn. ∑ r ≤ n. f r) ∈ O(λ_. 1)"
  assumes "decseq g" "g ∈ o(λ_. 1)"
  shows "summable (λr. f r * g r)"
proof (rule abel_summation_test_strong)
  have "(λx. g (Suc x)) ∈ o(λx. 1)"
  using assms(3) by (rule landau_o.small.compose) (rule filterlim_Suc)
  have "(λn. (∑ r ≤ n. f r) * g (Suc n)) ∈ o(λ_. 1 * 1)"
  by (rule landau_o.big_small_mult) fact+
  thus "convergent (λr. sum f {..r} * g (Suc r))"

```

```

    by (auto dest!: smalloD_tendsto simp: convergent_def)
next
  have "g  $\longrightarrow$  0"
    using assms(3) by (auto dest!: smalloD_tendsto simp: convergent_def)
  hence "convergent g"
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  show "summable ( $\lambda n. (\sum_{k \leq n} f k) * (g n - g (Suc n))$ )"
  proof (subst mult.commute, rule summable_norm_cancel, rule norm_summable_mult_bounded)
    have "summable ( $\lambda n. g n - g (Suc n)$ )"
      using <convergent g> by (simp add: telescope_summable_iff')
    also have "( $\lambda n. g n - g (Suc n) = (\lambda n. norm (g n - g (Suc n)))$ )"
      using <decseq g> by (auto simp: decseq_Suc_iff fun_eq_iff)
    finally show "summable ( $\lambda n. norm (g n - g (Suc n))$ )" .
  qed fact
qed

```

```

corollary dubois_reymond_summation_test:
  fixes f g :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes "summable f"
  assumes "summable ( $\lambda r. norm (g r - g (Suc r))$ )"
  shows "summable ( $\lambda r. f r * g r$ )"
proof (rule abel_summation_test_strong)
  have "summable ( $\lambda r. g r - g (Suc r)$ )"
    using assms(2) by (rule summable_norm_cancel)
  hence "convergent g"
    by (subst (asm) telescope_summable_iff')
  show "convergent ( $\lambda r. sum f \{..r\} * g (Suc r)$ )"
    using assms(1) <convergent g>
    by (intro convergent_mult) (auto simp: convergent_Suc_iff summable_iff_convergent')

  show "summable ( $\lambda n. (\sum_{k \leq n} f k) * (g n - g (Suc n))$ )"
  proof (subst mult.commute, rule summable_norm_cancel, rule norm_summable_mult_bounded)
    have "bounded (range ( $\lambda n. \sum_{k < n} f k$ ))"
      by (rule summable_imp_sums_bounded) fact
    hence "( $\lambda n. \sum_{k < n} f k \in O(\lambda_. 1)$ )"
      by (simp add: seq_bigo_1_iff)
    hence "( $\lambda n. \sum_{k < Suc n} f k \in O(\lambda_. 1)$ )"
      by (rule landau_o.big.compose) (rule filterlim_Suc)
    also have "( $\lambda n. \{..<Suc n\} = (\lambda n. \{..n\})$ )"
      by auto
    finally show "( $\lambda n. \sum_{k \leq n} f k \in O(\lambda_. 1)$ )" .
  qed fact
qed

```

```

corollary dedekind_summation_test:
  fixes f g :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes "( $\lambda n. \sum_{k \leq n} f k \in O(\lambda_. 1)$ )"
  assumes "summable ( $\lambda r. norm (g r - g (Suc r))$ )"
  assumes "g  $\in o(\lambda_. 1)$ "

```

```

shows "summable (λr. f r * g r)"
proof (rule abel_summation_test_strong)
  have "(λx. g (Suc x)) ∈ o(λx. 1)"
    using assms(3) by (rule landau_o.small.compose) (rule filterlim_Suc)
  have "(λn. (∑ r ≤ n. f r) * g (Suc n)) ∈ o(λ_. 1 * 1)"
    by (rule landau_o.big_small_mult) fact+
  thus "convergent (λr. sum f {..r} * g (Suc r))"
    by (auto dest!: smallO_tendsto simp: convergent_def)
  show "summable (λn. (∑ k ≤ n. f k) * (g n - g (Suc n)))"
    by (subst mult.commute, rule summable_norm_cancel, rule norm_summable_mult_bounded)
fact+
qed

end

```

4 Lambert Series

```

theory Lambert_Series
  imports
    "HOL-Complex_Analysis.Complex_Analysis"
    "HOL-Real_Asymp.Real_Asymp"
    "Dirichlet_Series.Dirichlet_Series_Analysis"
    "Dirichlet_Series.Divisor_Count"
    Polylog.Polylog
    Lambert_Series_Library
    Number_Theoretic_Functions_Extras
    Summation_Tests_More
begin

```

4.1 Definition

Given any sequence $a(n)$ for $n \geq 1$, the corresponding *Lambert series* is defined as

$$L(a, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}.$$

```

definition lambert :: "(nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}) ⇒ 'a
⇒ 'a" where
  "lambert a q =
    (let f = (λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ (Suc n) / (1 - q ^ (Suc n))) in
     if summable f then ∑ n. f n else 0)"

```

```

lemma lambert_eqI:
  assumes "(λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ (Suc n) / (1 - q ^ (Suc n))) sums x"
  shows "lambert a q = x"
  using assms unfolding lambert_def Let_def sums_iff by simp

```

```

lemma lambert_cong [cong]:

```

```

    "(\(\lambda n. n > 0 \implies a n = a' n) \implies q = q' \implies \text{lambert } a \ q = \text{lambert } a' \ q'"
    by (simp add: lambert_def)

lemma lambert_0 [simp]: "lambert a 0 = 0"
  by (simp add: lambert_def)

lemma lambert_0' [simp]: "lambert (\lambda_. 0) q = 0"
  by (simp add: lambert_def)

lemma lambert_cmult: "lambert (\lambda n. c * a n) q = c * lambert a q"
proof (cases "c = 0")
  case False
  define f where "f = (\lambda n. a (Suc n) * q ^ (Suc n) / (1 - q ^ (Suc n)))"
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "summable f")
    case True
    hence "(c * (\sum n. f n))"
      unfolding mult.assoc by (intro sums_mult) (auto simp: f_def)
    thus ?thesis using True
      by (intro lambert_eqI) (auto simp: lambert_def f_def algebra_simps)
  next
    case False
    hence "\summable (\lambda n. c * f n)"
      using <c \neq 0> by simp
    with False show ?thesis
      by (simp add: lambert_def f_def algebra_simps)
  qed
qed auto

lemma lambert_cmult': "lambert (\lambda n. a n * c) q = lambert a q * c"
  using lambert_cmult[of c a q] by (simp add: mult_ac)

lemma lambert_uminus: "lambert (\lambda n. -a n) q = -lambert a q"
  using lambert_cmult[of "-1" a q] by simp

lemma lambert_of_real:
  "lambert (\lambda n. of_real (c n)) (of_real q) =
    (of_real (lambert c q) :: 'a :: {real_normed_field,banach,real_inner})"
proof -
  define f where "f = (\lambda n. c (Suc n) * of_real q ^ Suc n / (1 - of_real
  q ^ Suc n))"
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "summable f")
    case True
    hence "summable (\lambda n. of_real (f n) :: 'a)"
      unfolding summable_of_real_iff by auto
    thus ?thesis using True

```

```

    using suminf_of_real[OF True, where ?'a = 'a] unfolding lambert_def
f_def by simp
next
  case False
  hence "lambert c q = 0"
    by (simp add: lambert_def f_def)
  moreover from False have "¬summable (λn. of_real (f n) :: 'a)"
    unfolding summable_of_real_iff by auto
  hence "lambert (λn. of_real (c n)) (of_real q :: 'a) = 0"
    unfolding f_def lambert_def by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed

```

We will later see that if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)$ exists then the Lambert series converges everywhere except on the unit circle; otherwise it has the same convergence radius as a (and that radius then has to be < 1).

```

definition lambert_conv_radius :: "(nat ⇒ 'a :: {banach, real_normed_field})
⇒ ereal"
  where "lambert_conv_radius a = (if summable a then ∞ else conv_radius
a)"

```

```

lemma lambert_conv_radius_gt_1_iff: "lambert_conv_radius a > 1 ⟷ summable
a"

```

proof

```

  assume *: "lambert_conv_radius a > 1"
  {
    assume "¬summable a"
    hence "conv_radius a > 1"
      using * by (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def)
    hence "summable (λn. a n * 1 ^ n)"
      by (intro summable_in_conv_radius) (auto simp: one_ereal_def)
    with <¬summable a> have False
      by simp
  }
  thus "summable a"
    by blast
qed (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def)

```

```

lemma lambert_conv_radius_of_real:
  "lambert_conv_radius (λn. of_real (f n) :: 'a :: {real_normed_field, real_inner, banach})
=
  lambert_conv_radius f"
  unfolding lambert_conv_radius_def conv_radius_def by (simp add: summable_of_real_iff)

```

4.2 Uniform convergence, continuity, holomorphicity

We will now show some (uniform) convergence results for $L(a, q)$, which will then give us the holomorphicity and continuity of $L(a, q)$. We will also show some absolute summability results.

context

```
fixes a :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
```

```
fixes f :: "nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a" and A :: "'a"
```

```
defines "f ≡ λk q. a k * q ^ k / (1 - q ^ k)"
```

```
defines "A ≡ (∑ n. a (Suc n))"
```

begin

Let $a(n)$ have convergence radius r . In discs of radius $\min(1, r)$, the Lambert series for $a(n)$ converges uniformly. This is a simple application of Weierstraß's M test.

lemma uniform_limit_lambert1_aux:

```
fixes r :: real
```

```
assumes "0 < r" "r < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
```

```
shows "uniform_limit (ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (λq. ∑ k. f (Suc k) q) sequentially"
```

proof -

```
from assms have r: "r > 0" "r < 1" "r < conv_radius a"
```

```
by auto
```

```
show "uniform_limit (ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (λq. ∑ k. f (Suc k) q) sequentially"
```

```
proof (rule Weierstrass_m_test_ev)
```

```
have "eventually (λk. 1 - r ^ k ≥ 1 / 2) at_top"
```

```
using r by real_asymp
```

```
hence "eventually (λk. ∀q∈ball 0 r. norm (f k q) ≤ 2 * norm (a k) * r ^ k) at_top"
```

```
using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0]
```

```
proof eventually_elim
```

```
case k: (elim k)
```

```
show "∀q∈ball 0 r. norm (f k q) ≤ 2 * norm (a k) * r ^ k"
```

```
proof
```

```
fix q :: 'a assume q: "q ∈ ball 0 r"
```

```
have "norm (f k q) = norm (a k) * norm q ^ k / norm (1 - q ^ k)"
```

```
by (simp add: f_def norm_mult norm_divide norm_power)
```

```
also {
```

```
have "1 / 2 ≤ 1 - r ^ k"
```

```
using k by simp
```

```
also have "... ≤ norm (1 :: 'a) - norm (q ^ k)"
```

```
using q by (auto simp: norm_power intro!: power_mono)
```

```
also have "... ≤ norm (1 - q ^ k)"
```

```
by norm
```

```
finally have "norm (1 - q ^ k) ≥ 1 / 2" .
```

```
}
```

```
hence "norm (a k) * norm q ^ k / norm (1 - q ^ k) ≤
```

```

      norm (a k) * r ^ k / (1 / 2)"
    using q r k
    by (intro mult_mono power_mono frac_le)
      (auto intro!: mult_pos_pos simp: power_less_1_iff norm_power
dest!: power_eq_1_iff)
    finally show "norm (f k q) ≤ 2 * norm (a k) * r ^ k"
      by simp
  qed
  qed
  thus "∀F k in sequentially. ∀q∈ball 0 r. norm (f (Suc k) q) ≤ 2
* norm (a (Suc k)) * r ^ Suc k"
    by (rule eventually_compose_filterlim[OF _ filterlim_Suc])
  next
  have "summable (λk. 2 * (norm (a (Suc k)) * of_real r ^ Suc k))"
    by (subst summable_Suc_iff, intro summable_mult abs_summable_in_conv_radius)
  (use r in auto)
  thus "summable (λk. 2 * norm (a (Suc k)) * r ^ Suc k)"
    using <r > 0> by (simp add: norm_mult norm_power mult_ac)
  qed
  qed

```

```

lemma uniform_limit_lambert1:
  fixes r :: real
  assumes "0 < r" "r < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  shows "uniform_limit (ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (lambert
a) sequentially"
  proof -
    have lim: "uniform_limit (ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (λq.
∑ k. f (Suc k) q) sequentially"
      using assms by (rule uniform_limit_lambert1_aux)
    also have "?this ↔ ?thesis"
      proof (intro uniform_limit_cong ballI allI refl always_eventually)
        fix q :: 'a assume q: "q ∈ ball 0 r"
        have *: "(λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n / (1 - q ^ Suc n)) sums (∑ k.
f (Suc k) q)"
          using tendsto_uniform_limitI[OF lim q] unfolding f_def by (simp
add: sums_def)
        show "(∑ k. f (Suc k) q) = lambert a q"
          by (rule sym, rule lambert_eqI) (fact *)
      qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

```

Since $a_n \frac{q^n}{1-q^n} = -a_n - a_n \frac{(\frac{1}{q})^n}{1-(\frac{1}{q})^n}$, we can substitute $q \mapsto \frac{1}{q}$ in the above uniform convergence result to deduce that uniform convergence also holds on any annulus $r \leq |q| \leq R$ with $1 < r < R$.

```

lemma uniform_limit_lambert2:
  fixes r R :: real

```

```

assumes r: "1 < r" "r < R"
assumes "summable a"
defines "D ≡ cball 0 R - ball 0 r"
shows "uniform_limit D (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (λq. -A - lambert
a (1 / q)) sequentially"
proof -
  define g where "g = (λn q. a n * (1 / q) ^ n / (1 - (1 / q) ^ n))"
  from r(1) obtain r' where r': "1 < r'" "r' < r"
  using dense by blast
  have "uniform_limit D (λn q. ∑ k<n. f (Suc k) (1 / q)) (λq. lambert
a (1 / q)) sequentially"
  using uniform_limit_lambert1
proof (rule uniform_limit_compose[where g = "λq. 1 / q"])
  have "conv_radius a ≥ norm (of_real 1 :: 'a)"
  by (rule conv_radius_geI) (use <summable a> in auto)
  hence "min 1 (conv_radius a) = 1"
  by (simp add: min_def one_ereal_def)
  with r' show "1 / r' > 0" "ereal (1 / r') < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  by auto
next
  show "1 / q ∈ ball 0 (1 / r')" if "q ∈ D" for q
  using that r r' by (auto simp: D_def norm_divide divide_simps not_less)
qed
moreover have "summable (λn. a (Suc n))"
  using <summable a> by (simp add: summable_Suc_iff)
hence "(λn. ∑ k<n. a (Suc k)) → A"
  unfolding A_def summable_sums_iff sums_def .
ultimately have "uniform_limit D (λn q. -(∑ k<n. a (Suc k)) - (∑ k<n.
f (Suc k) (1/q)))
  (λq. -A - lambert a (1 / q)) sequentially"
  by (intro uniform_limit_intros uniform_limit_const')
also have "?this ↔ ?thesis"
proof (intro uniform_limit_cong refl always_eventually allI ballI)
  fix n :: nat and q :: 'a
  assume q: "q ∈ D"
  hence q': "q ≠ 0"
  using r by (auto simp: D_def)
  have q'': "q ^ k ≠ 1" if "k > 0" for k
  using q r that by (auto dest!: power_eq_1_iff simp: D_def)
  have "-(∑ k<n. a (Suc k)) - (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) (1 / q)) =
  (∑ k<n. -a (Suc k) - f (Suc k) (1 / q))"
  by (simp add: sum_negf sum_subtractf)
  also have *: "-a k - f k (1 / q) = f k q" if "k > 0" for k
  using that q' q''[of k] by (auto simp: f_def field_simps)
  have "(∑ k<n. -a (Suc k) - f (Suc k) (1 / q)) = (∑ k<n. f (Suc k)
q)"
  by (intro sum.cong refl *) auto
  finally show "-(∑ k<n. a (Suc k)) - (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) (1 / q)) = (∑ k<n.
f (Suc k) q)" .

```

```

qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

With some more book-keeping, we show that the series converges uniformly in all compact sets that do not touch the unit circle and, if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)$ does not exist, lie fully within the convergence radius of $a(n)$. This is mentioned in Knopp's Theorem 259.

```

theorem uniform_limit_lambert:
  assumes "compact X" "X ⊆ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "uniform_limit X (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (lambert a) sequentially"
proof -
  from assms have norm_neq_1: "norm x ≠ 1" if "x ∈ X" for x
    using that by auto
  have 1: "uniform_limit (X ∩ cball 0 1) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (lambert a) sequentially"
  proof (cases "X ∩ cball 0 1 ⊆ {0}")
    case True
      hence "X ∩ cball 0 1 = {} ∨ X ∩ cball 0 1 = {0}"
        by auto
      thus ?thesis
        by (elim disjE) (simp_all add: f_def lambert_def)
    next
      case False
        obtain r :: real
          where r: "r > 0" "r < 1" "r < conv_radius a" "∧x. x ∈ X ∩ cball 0 1 ⇒ norm x < r"
        proof -
          define c where "c = (if lambert_conv_radius a > 1 then 1
            else real_of_ereal (lambert_conv_radius a))"
          have "compact ((ereal ∘ norm) ` (X ∩ cball 0 1))"
            by (intro compact_continuous_image continuous_intros compact_insert)
          (use assms in auto)
          hence "Sup ((ereal ∘ norm) ` (X ∩ cball 0 1)) ∈ (ereal ∘ norm) ` (X ∩ cball 0 1)"
            using False by (intro closed_contains_Sup_cl) (auto intro: compact_imp_closed)
          then obtain q where q: "q ∈ (X ∩ cball 0 1)"
            "ereal (norm q) = Sup ((ereal ∘ norm) ` (X ∩ cball 0 1))"
            unfolding o_def by force
          have q': "norm q' ≤ norm q" if "q' ∈ X ∩ cball 0 1" for q'
            using Sup_upper q that unfolding o_def by (metis ereal_less_eq(3) imageI insertCI)
          have "q ≠ 0"
            using q' False by force
          have "conv_radius a ≥ norm (1 :: 'a)" if "summable a"
            by (rule conv_radius_geI) (use that in auto)
          hence "norm q < conv_radius a" "norm q < 1"
            using q(1) assms <q ≠ 0>

```

```

      by (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def eball_def ereal_le_less
split: if_splits)
    then obtain r where r: "norm q < r" "r < 1" "r < conv_radius a"
      by (smt (verit, ccfv_SIG) ereal_dense2 ereal_less(3) less_ereal.simps(1)
linorder_not_le order_less_le_trans)
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule that[of r])
      show "r > 0"
        using r q <q ≠ 0> by (smt (verit) norm_ge_zero)
      show "r < 1" "ereal r < conv_radius a"
        using r by auto
      show "norm q' < r" if "q' ∈ X ∩ cball 0 1" for q'
        using assms q q' that <q ≠ 0> r
        by (force simp: lambert_conv_radius_def eball_def split: if_splits)
    qed
  qed
  have "uniform_limit (ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (lambert
a) sequentially"
    by (rule uniform_limit_lambert1) (use r in auto)
  thus "uniform_limit (X ∩ cball 0 1) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q))
(lambert a) sequentially"
    by (rule uniform_limit_on_subset) (use r in auto)
  qed

  have 2: "uniform_limit (X - ball 0 1) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q))
(lambert a) sequentially"
  proof (cases "∃ q ∈ X. norm q > 1")
    case False
      hence *: "X - ball 0 1 = {}"
        using norm_neq_1 by fastforce
      show ?thesis
        unfolding * by simp
    next
      case True
        then obtain q where q: "q ∈ X" "norm q > 1"
          by auto
        with assms have "lambert_conv_radius a > 1"
          by (smt (verit, ccfv_SIG) Diff_subset dist_0_norm ereal_less(3)
in_eball_iff linorder_not_le order_less_le_trans subsetD)
        hence "summable a"
          by (simp add: lambert_conv_radius_gt_1_iff)
        obtain r where r: "r > 1" "∧ x. x ∈ X - cball 0 1 ⇒ norm x > r"
        proof -
          have compact: "compact (norm ` (X - ball 0 1))"
            by (intro compact_continuous_image compact_diff continuous_intros)
          (use assms in auto)
          hence "Inf (norm ` (X - ball 0 1)) ∈ norm ` (X - ball 0 1)"
            using q by (intro closed_contains_Inf)
            (auto intro: compact_imp_closed bounded_imp_bdd_below

```

```

compact_imp_bounded)
  then obtain q' where q': "q' ∈ X - ball 0 1" "norm q' = Inf (norm
  ` (X - ball 0 1))"
    by force
  have "norm q' > 1"
    using q' assms by auto
  then obtain r where r: "1 < r" "r < norm q'"
    using dense by blast

show ?thesis
proof (rule that[of r])
  show "r > 1"
    using q' assms r by auto
  show "norm q'' > r" if "q'' ∈ X - cball 0 1" for q''
  proof -
    have "r < Inf (norm ` (X - ball 0 1))"
      using r q' by simp
    also have "... ≤ norm q''"
      by (rule cInf_lower)
    (use that assms compact in <auto intro!: bounded_imp_bdd_below
compact_imp_bounded>)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed

obtain R where R: "R > r" "∧x. x ∈ X ⇒ norm x < R"
proof -
  have "bounded X"
    using assms compact_imp_bounded by blast
  then obtain R where R: "norm x ≤ R" if "x ∈ X" for x
    unfolding bounded_iff by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule that[of "R + 1"])
    show "r < R + 1"
      using r(2)[of q] q R[of q] by auto
    show "norm x < R + 1" if "x ∈ X" for x
      using R[of x] that by auto
  qed
qed

have lim: "uniform_limit (cball 0 R - ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n. f
(Suc k) q))
  (λq. -A - lambert a (1 / q)) sequentially"
  by (rule uniform_limit_lambert2) (use r R <summable a> in auto)
  also have "?this ↔ uniform_limit (cball 0 R - ball 0 r) (λn q. (∑ k<n.
f (Suc k) q))
  (lambert a) sequentially"
proof (intro uniform_limit_cong refl always_eventually_allI ballI)

```

```

    fix q :: 'a assume q: "q ∈ cball 0 R - ball 0 r"
    with lim have "(λn. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) → -A - lambert a
(1 / q)"
      by (rule tendsto_uniform_limitI)
    hence "(λk. f (Suc k) q) sums (-A - lambert a (1 / q))"
      by (simp add: sums_def)
    thus "-A - lambert a (1 / q) = lambert a q"
      unfolding lambert_def[of a q] by (simp add: sums_iff f_def)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis
    by (rule uniform_limit_on_subset) (use r R norm_neq_1 in force)+
  qed

```

```

  have "uniform_limit ((X ∩ cball 0 1) ∪ (X - ball 0 1))
    (λn q. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) (lambert a) sequentially"
    using 1 2 by (rule uniform_limit_on_Un)
  also have "(X ∩ cball 0 1) ∪ (X - ball 0 1) = X"
    using norm_neq_1 by auto
  finally show ?thesis .
  qed

```

```

lemma sums_lambert:
  assumes "norm q < lambert_conv_radius a" "norm q ≠ 1"
  shows "(λk. f (Suc k) q) sums lambert a q"
proof -
  have "(λn. (∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)) → lambert a q"
  proof (rule tendsto_uniform_limitI[OF uniform_limit_lambert])
    show "compact {q}" "{q} ⊆ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere
0 1"
      using assms by auto
  qed auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: sums_def)
  qed

```

A side effect of this: the functional equation

$$L(a, \frac{1}{q}) = -(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)) - L(a, q),$$

which is valid for all q with $q \neq 0$ and $|q| \neq 1$ if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)$ exists.

```

theorem lambert_reciprocal:
  assumes "summable a" and "q ≠ 0" and "norm q ≠ 1"
  shows "lambert a (1 / q) = -A - lambert a q"
proof -
  have *: "lambert a (1 / q) = -A - lambert a q" if q: "norm q > 1" for
q
  proof -
    obtain r where r: "1 < r" "r < norm q"
      using q dense by blast

```

```

have "uniform_limit (cball 0 (norm q + 1) - ball 0 r)
  (\λ n q. ∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q)
  (λ q. - A - lambert a (1 / q)) sequentially"
  by (rule uniform_limit_lambert2) (use assms r in auto)
hence "(λ k. f (Suc k) q) sums (-A - lambert a (1 / q))"
  unfolding sums_def by (rule tendsto_uniform_limitI) (use r in auto)
moreover have "uniform_limit {q} (\λ n q. ∑ k<n. f (Suc k) q) (lambert
a) sequentially"
  using assms r
  by (intro uniform_limit_lambert compact_diff compact_cball)
  (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def)
hence "(λ k. f (Suc k) q) sums lambert a q"
  unfolding sums_def by (rule tendsto_uniform_limitI) (use r in auto)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: sums_iff algebra_simps)
qed

```

```

show ?thesis
proof (cases "norm q > 1")
  case False
  thus ?thesis using assms
  using *[of "1 / q"] by (auto simp: norm_divide)
qed (use *[of q] in auto)
qed

```

```

lemma summable_lambert:
  assumes "norm q < lambert_conv_radius a" "norm q ≠ 1"
  shows "summable (λ k. f k q)"
  using sums_lambert[OF assms] unfolding sums_iff by (subst (asm) summable_Suc_iff)
auto

```

We have shown that the Lambert series for $a(n)$ converges everywhere except on the unit circle if $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)$ exists, and it converges within the convergence radius of R of $a(n)$ otherwise.

We will now show that within $\min(1, R)$, this convergence is absolute.

```

lemma norm_summable_lambert:
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  shows "summable (λ k. norm (f k q))"
proof (cases "q = 0")
  case [simp]: True
  have "eventually (λ k. norm (f k q) = 0) at_top"
    using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0] by eventually_elim (auto simp: f_def)
  thus ?thesis
    using summable_cong by fastforce
next
  case False
  define R where "R = (if conv_radius a > 1 then 1 else real_of_ereal
(conv_radius a))"
  have R: "ereal R = min 1 (conv_radius a)"

```

```

    using conv_radius_nonneg[of a] by (cases "conv_radius a") (auto simp:
R_def)
  with assms have "norm q < R"
    by (metis less_ereal.simps(1))
  then obtain r where r: "norm q < r" "r < R"
    using dense by blast
  hence "r > 0"
    using norm_ge_zero le_less_trans by blast
  have "r < 1"
    using r R by (metis ereal_less(3) less_ereal.simps(1) min_less_iff_conj)
  have "r < conv_radius a"
    using r R by (metis less_ereal.simps(1) min_less_iff_conj)
  have "norm q < 1"
    using assms by auto
  note r' = <r > 0> <r < 1> <r < conv_radius a>
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule summable_powser_comparison_test_bigo)
    show "summable (λn. a n * of_real r ^ n)"
      by (rule summable_in_conv_radius) (use r r' R in auto)
  next
    have "(λn. norm (f n q)) = (λn. norm (a n) * norm q ^ n / norm (1
- q ^ n))"
      by (simp add: f_def norm_mult norm_divide norm_power)
    also have "1 - norm q ^ n ≤ norm (1 - q ^ n)" for n
      by (metis norm_one norm_power norm_triangle_ineq2)
    hence "(λn. norm (a n) * norm q ^ n / norm (1 - q ^ n)) ∈
      O(λn. norm (a n) * (norm q ^ n / (1 - norm q ^ n)))"
      using <q ≠ 0> <norm q < 1>
      by (intro bigO[of _ 1] eventually_mono[OF eventually_gt_at_top[of
0]])
      (auto intro!: mult_left_mono divide_left_mono mult_pos_pos add_pos_pos
      dest!: power_eq_1_iff simp: power_le_one power_less_1_iff)
    also have "(λn. norm (a n) * (norm q ^ n / (1 - norm q ^ n))) ∈ O(λn.
norm (a n) * norm q ^ n)"
      by (intro landau_o.big.mult_left) (use <q ≠ 0> <norm q < 1> in
real_asymp)
    also have "(λn. norm (a n) * norm q ^ n) =
      (λn. norm (a n * of_real r ^ n * (q / of_real r) ^ n))"
      using <r > 0> by (intro ext) (auto simp: norm_mult norm_divide norm_power
power_divide)
    finally show "(λn. f n q) ∈ O(λn. a n * of_real r ^ n * (q / of_real
r) ^ n)"
      by (subst (asm) landau_o.big.norm_iff)
  next
    show "norm (q / of_real r) < 1"
      using r r' by (simp add: norm_divide field_simps)
  qed
qed

```

If additionally $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a(k)$ converges absolutely, the absolute convergence of the Lambert series also holds everywhere.

```

lemma norm_summable_lambert':
  assumes "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (a \ k)$ )" and "norm q  $\neq$  1"
  shows "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (f \ k \ q)$ )"
proof -
  have *: "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (f \ k \ q)$ )" if q: "norm q < 1" for q
  proof -
    have "conv_radius a  $\geq$  norm (1 :: 'a)"
      using assms(1) by (intro conv_radius_geI) (auto dest: summable_norm_cancel)
    with q have "ereal (norm q) < conv_radius a"
      by (simp add: ereal_le_less)
    thus ?thesis
      using assms(2) q by (intro norm_summable_lambert) auto
  qed

  show ?thesis
  proof (cases "norm q < 1")
    case True
      thus ?thesis using *[of q] by simp
    next
      case False
        hence [simp]: "q  $\neq$  0"
          by auto
        have [simp]: "q ^ k = 1  $\iff$  k = 0" for k
          using assms(2) by (auto dest: power_eq_1_iff)
        have "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (a \ k + f \ k \ (\text{inverse } q))$ )"
          using False assms(2) by (intro summable_norm_add assms *) (auto
simp: norm_divide field_simps)
        moreover have "eventually ( $\lambda k. f \ k \ q = -a \ k - f \ k \ (\text{inverse } q)$ ) at_top"
          using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0]
          by eventually_elim (use False assms(2) in <auto simp: fun_eq_iff
field_simps f_def>)
        hence "eventually ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (f \ k \ q) = \text{norm } (a \ k + f \ k \ (\text{inverse } q))$ )
at_top"
          by eventually_elim (auto simp: norm_uminus_minus)
        ultimately show ?thesis
          using summable_cong by fast
      qed
  qed

lemma abs_summable_on_lambert:
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  shows " ( $\lambda k. f \ k \ q$ ) abs_summable_on {1..}"
proof -
  have "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (f \ (\text{Suc } k) \ q)$ )"
    by (subst summable_Suc_iff, rule norm_summable_lambert) (use assms
in auto)
  hence " ( $\lambda k. f \ (\text{Suc } k) \ q$ ) abs_summable_on UNIV"

```

```

    by (subst summable_on_UNIV_nonneg_real_iff) auto
  also have "?thesis  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?thesis"
    by (intro summable_on_reindex_bij_witness[of _ "λk. k - 1" Suc]) auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma abs_summable_on_lambert':
  assumes "summable (λk. norm (a k))" and "norm q  $\neq$  1"
  shows "(λk. f k q) abs_summable_on {1..}"
proof -
  have "summable (λk. norm (f (Suc k) q))"
    by (subst summable_Suc_iff, rule norm_summable_lambert') (use assms
in auto)
  hence "(λk. f (Suc k) q) abs_summable_on UNIV"
    by (subst summable_on_UNIV_nonneg_real_iff) auto
  also have "?thesis  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?thesis"
    by (intro summable_on_reindex_bij_witness[of _ "λk. k - 1" Suc]) auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma summable_on_lambert:
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  shows "(λk. f k q) summable_on {1..}"
  using abs_summable_summable[OF abs_summable_on_lambert[OF assms]] .

```

```

lemma has_sum_lambert:
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  shows "((λk. f k q) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
proof -
  have "((λk. f (Suc k) q) has_sum lambert a q) UNIV"
  proof (rule norm_summable_imp_has_sum)
    show "summable (λn. norm (f (Suc n) q))"
      using norm_summable_lambert[OF assms] by (subst summable_Suc_iff)
    show "(λk. f (Suc k) q) sums lambert a q"
      by (rule sums_lambert) (use assms in <auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def>)
  qed
  also have "?thesis  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?thesis"
    by (intro has_sum_reindex_bij_witness[of _ "λk. k - 1" Suc]) auto
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

We can also show a more precise convergence result that essentially fully reduces the question of convergence of a Lambert series to that of its “corresponding” power series: $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a(k) \frac{q^k}{1-q^k}$ converges if and only if the “corresponding” power series $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a(k)q^k$ converges or if $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a(k)$ converges. This is Theorem 259 in Knopp’s book. A key ingredient, aside from the results we have amassed so far, is the du-Bois Reymond summation test.

```

theorem summable_lambert_iff:

```

```

    assumes "norm q  $\neq$  1"
    shows "summable ( $\lambda k. f k q$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  summable a  $\vee$  summable ( $\lambda k. a k * q ^ k$ )"
  proof (cases "summable a")
    case True
    hence "summable ( $\lambda k. f k q$ )" using assms
      by (intro summable_lambert) (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def)
    with True show ?thesis
      by auto
  next
    case not_summable: False
    have [simp]: "q  $\neq$  1" if "k > 0" for k
      using that assms by (auto dest: power_eq_1_iff)
    have "summable ( $\lambda k. f k q$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  summable ( $\lambda k. a k * q ^ k$ )"
    proof (cases "norm q < 1")
      case False
      with assms have q: "norm q > 1"
        by simp
      have " $\neg$ summable ( $\lambda k. a k * q ^ k$ )"
        using q not_summable conv_radius_geI[of a q] summable_in_conv_radius[of
1 a]
        by (auto simp: ereal_le_less one_ereal_def)
      moreover have " $\neg$ summable ( $\lambda k. f k q$ )"
      proof
        assume "summable ( $\lambda k. f k q$ )"
        hence *: "summable ( $\lambda k. a k / (1 - q ^ k) * q ^ k$ )"
          by (auto simp: f_def)
        hence "summable ( $\lambda k. a k / (1 - q ^ k) * 1 ^ k$ )"
          by (rule power_inside) (use q in auto)
        hence "summable ( $\lambda k. a k / (1 - q ^ k) - a k / (1 - q ^ k) * q ^ k$ )"
          by (intro summable_diff *) auto
        moreover have "eventually ( $\lambda k. a k / (1 - q ^ k) - a k / (1 - q
^ k) * q ^ k = a k$ ) at_top"
          using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0]
          by eventually_elim (simp add: divide_simps, simp add: algebra_simps)
        ultimately have "summable a"
          using summable_cong by fast
        with not_summable show False
          by contradiction
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by simp
    next
    case q: True
    show ?thesis
    proof
      assume "summable ( $\lambda k. f k q$ )"
      hence "summable ( $\lambda k. f k q * (1 - q ^ k)$ )"

```

```

proof (rule dubois_reymond_summation_test)
  have "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (q - 1) * \text{norm } q ^ k$ )"
    using q by (intro summable_mult summable_geometric) auto
  also have " $(\lambda k. \text{norm } (q - 1) * \text{norm } q ^ k) = (\lambda k. \text{norm } ((q - 1) * q ^ k))$ "
    by (simp add: norm_mult norm_power)
  also have "... =  $(\lambda k. \text{norm } (1 - q ^ k - (1 - q ^ \text{Suc } k)))$ "
    by (simp add: algebra_simps)
  finally show "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (1 - q ^ k - (1 - q ^ \text{Suc } k)))$ "
.

qed
moreover have "eventually ( $\lambda k. f k q * (1 - q ^ k) = a k * q ^ k$ ) at_top"
  using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0] by eventually_elim (auto simp:
divide_simps f_def)
ultimately show "summable ( $\lambda k. a k * q ^ k$ )"
  using summable_cong by fast
next
assume "summable ( $\lambda k. a k * q ^ k$ )"
hence "summable ( $\lambda k. a k * q ^ k * (1 / (1 - q ^ k))$ )"
proof (rule dubois_reymond_summation_test)
  show "summable ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (1 / (1 - q ^ k) - 1 / (1 - q ^ \text{Suc } k))$ )"
  proof (rule summable_comparison_test_ev)
    show "summable ( $\lambda k. 2 / (1 - \text{norm } q) ^ 2 * \text{norm } q ^ k$ )"
      using q by (intro summable_mult summable_geometric) auto
    next
      show "eventually ( $\lambda k. \text{norm } (\text{norm } (1 / (1 - q ^ k) - 1 / (1 - q ^ \text{Suc } k))) \leq$ 
 $2 / (1 - \text{norm } q) ^ 2 * \text{norm } q ^ k$ ) at_top"
        using eventually_gt_at_top[of 0]
  proof eventually_elim
    case k: (elim k)
    have " $\text{norm } (1 - q) \leq \text{norm } (1 :: 'a) + 1$ "
      using q by norm
    hence 1: " $\text{norm } (1 - q) \leq 2$ "
      by simp
    have 2: " $\text{norm } (1 - q ^ 1) \geq 1 - \text{norm } q$ " if 1: " $1 > 0$ " for
1
  proof -
    have " $\text{norm } (1 - q ^ 1) \geq \text{norm } (1 :: 'a) - \text{norm } (q ^ 1)$ "
      by norm
    moreover have " $\text{norm } (q ^ 1) \leq \text{norm } q ^ 1$ "
      using q 1 unfolding norm_power by (intro power_decreasing)
  auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

    have "1 / (1 - q ^ k) - 1 / (1 - q ^ Suc k) =
          (q ^ k - q ^ Suc k) / ((1 - q ^ k) * (1 - q ^ Suc
k))"
      using k by (simp add: divide_simps del: power_Suc)
    also have "... = (1 - q) * q ^ k / ((1 - q ^ k) * (1 - q ^
Suc k))"
      by (simp add: algebra_simps)
    also have "norm ... = norm (1 - q) * norm q ^ k / (norm (1
- q ^ k) * norm (1 - q ^ Suc k))"
      by (simp add: norm_mult norm_divide norm_power)
    also have "... ≤ 2 * norm q ^ k / ((1 - norm q) * (1 - norm
q))" using q k
      by (intro frac_le mult_mono mult_pos_pos zero_le_power norm_ge_zero
1 2)
      (auto simp del: power_Suc)
    finally show ?case
      by (simp add: power2_eq_square)
  qed
qed
qed
thus "summable (λk. f k q)"
  by (simp add: f_def)
qed
qed
with not_summable show ?thesis
  by blast
qed
end

```

```

lemma holomorphic_lambert [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes "X ⊆ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "lambert a holomorphic_on X"
proof -
  have "lambert a holomorphic_on eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere
0 1"
  proof (rule holomorphic_uniform_sequence)
    show "open (eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere (0 :: complex)
1)"
      by (intro open_Diff) auto
  next
    fix q :: complex
    assume q: "q ∈ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1"
    have "open (eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1 :: complex
set)"
      by auto
    then obtain r where r: "r > 0" "cball q r ⊆ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius
a) - sphere 0 1"

```

```

    unfolding open_contains_cball using q by blast
    define f where "f ≡ λk q. a k * q ^ k / (1 - q ^ k)"
    show "∃d>0. cball q d ⊆ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere
0 1 ∧
    uniform_limit (cball q d) (λn q. ∑k<n. f (Suc k) q) (lambert
a) sequentially"
    proof (intro exI[of _ r] conjI)
        show "uniform_limit (cball q r) (λn q. ∑k<n. f (Suc k) q) (lambert
a) sequentially"
            unfolding f_def by (rule uniform_limit_lambert) (use r in auto)
        qed (use r in auto)
    next
        show "(λq. ∑k<n. a (Suc k) * q ^ Suc k / (1 - q ^ Suc k)) holomorphic_on
        eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1" for n :: nat
            by (intro holomorphic_intros) (auto simp del: power_Suc dest!: power_eq_1_iff)
        qed
    thus ?thesis
        by (rule holomorphic_on_subset) fact
    qed

```

```

lemma holomorphic_lambert' [holomorphic_intros]:
  assumes "f holomorphic_on A" "∧z. z ∈ A ⇒ f z ∈ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius
a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "(λz. lambert a (f z)) holomorphic_on A"
  by (rule holomorphic_on_compose_gen[OF assms(1) holomorphic_lambert[OF
order.refl],
    unfolded o_def])
    (use assms(2) in auto)

```

```

lemma analytic_lambert [analytic_intros]:
  fixes a :: "nat ⇒ complex"
  assumes "A ⊆ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "lambert a analytic_on A"
proof -
  have "open (eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1 :: complex
set)"
  by auto
  hence "lambert a analytic_on eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere
0 1"
  using holomorphic_lambert[OF order.refl, of a]
  by (auto simp: analytic_on_open)
  thus ?thesis
  by (rule analytic_on_subset) fact
qed

```

```

lemma analytic_lambert' [analytic_intros]:
  assumes "f analytic_on A" "∧z. z ∈ A ⇒ f z ∈ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius
a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "(λz. lambert a (f z)) analytic_on A"

```

```

    by (rule analytic_on_compose_gen[OF assms(1) analytic_lambert[OF order.refl],
        unfolded o_def])
      (use assms(2) in auto)

lemma continuous_on_lambert [continuous_intros]:
  fixes a :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes "A  $\subseteq$  eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "continuous_on A (lambert a)"
proof -
  have "isCont (lambert a) q" if q: "q  $\in$  eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius
a) - sphere 0 1" for q
  proof -
    have "open (eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1 :: 'a set)"
      by auto
    with q obtain r where r: "r > 0" "cball q r  $\subseteq$  eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius
a) - sphere 0 1"
    unfolding open_contains_cball by blast
    have "continuous_on (cball q r) (lambert a)"
    proof (rule uniform_limit_theorem)
      show "uniform_limit (cball q r)
        ( $\lambda n q. \sum_{k < n. a (Suc k) * q ^ Suc k / (1 - q ^ Suc k)$ ) (lambert
a) at_top"
        by (intro uniform_limit_lambert) (use r in auto)
      show " $\forall_F n$  in sequentially. continuous_on (cball q r)
        ( $\lambda q. \sum_{k < n. a (Suc k) * q ^ Suc k / (1 - q ^ Suc k)$ )" us-
ing q r
        by (auto intro!: always_eventually continuous_intros simp del:
power_Suc dest!: power_eq_1_iff)
      qed auto
      hence "continuous_on (ball q r) (lambert a)"
        by (rule continuous_on_subset) auto
      thus ?thesis using <r > 0>
        by (subst (asm) continuous_on_eq_continuous_at) auto
    qed
  with assms show ?thesis
    by (intro continuous_at_imp_continuous_on) auto
qed

lemma continuous_on_lambert' [continuous_intros]:
  fixes a :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"
  assumes "continuous_on A f" " $\bigwedge z. z \in A \implies f z \in$  eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius
a) - sphere 0 1"
  shows "continuous_on A ( $\lambda z. lambert a (f z)$ )"
  by (rule continuous_on_compose2[OF continuous_on_lambert[OF order.refl]
assms(1)])
    (use assms(2) in auto)

lemma tendsto_lambert [tendsto_intros]:
  fixes a :: "nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel}"

```

```

    assumes "(f ⟶ c) F" "c ∈ eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere
0 1"
    shows  "((λx. lambert a (f x)) ⟶ lambert a c) F"
proof -
  have "open (eball 0 (lambert_conv_radius a) - sphere 0 1 :: 'a set)"
    by (intro open_Diff closed_sphere) auto
  hence "isCont (lambert a) c"
    using continuous_on_lambert[OF order.refl]
    by (intro continuous_on_interior) (use assms in <auto simp: interior_open>)
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) by (simp add: isCont_tendsto_compose)
qed

```

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n)$ exists, the Lambert series of $a(n)$ tends to it for $q \rightarrow \infty$.

```

lemma tendsto_lambert_at_infinity:
  assumes "summable (a :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach, heine_borel})"
  shows  "(lambert a ⟶ -(∑ n. a (Suc n))) at_infinity"
proof (rule Lim_transform_eventually)
  have "((λq. -(∑ n. a (Suc n)) - lambert a (1 / q)) ⟶ -(∑ n. a (Suc
n)) - lambert a 0) at_infinity"
    by (rule tendsto_diff tendsto_lambert tendsto_intros tendsto_divide_0
filterlim_ident)+
    (use assms in <auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def>)
  thus "((λq. -(∑ n. a (Suc n)) - lambert a (1 / q)) ⟶ -(∑ n. a (Suc
n))) at_infinity"
    by simp
next
  have "eventually (λq :: 'a. norm q > 1) at_infinity"
    by (metis dual_order.strict_trans1 eventually_at_infinity gt_ex)
  thus "∀F x in at_infinity. -(∑ n. a (Suc n)) - lambert a (1 / x) =
lambert a x"
    by eventually_elim (subst lambert_reciprocal, use assms in auto)
qed

```

4.3 Power series expansion

By exchanging the order of summation, we can prove the power series expansion of $L(a, q)$ as

$$L(a, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a * 1)(n) q^n$$

where $*$ denotes the Dirichlet product, i.e. $(a * 1)(n) = \sum_{d|n} a(d)$.

This gives particularly nice results when $a(n)$ is a number-theoretic function.

```

theorem has_sum_lambert_powser:
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  assumes "dirichlet_prod a (λ_. 1) = b"
  shows  "((λn. b n * q ^ n) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
proof -

```

```

have q: "norm q < 1" "norm q < conv_radius a"
  using assms by auto
have q': "norm q < lambert_conv_radius a"
  using q by (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def)
have "((λ(n, k). a n * q ^ (k * n)) has_sum lambert a q) ({1..} × {1..})"
proof (rule has_sum_SigmaI; (unfold prod.case)?)
  show "((λn. a n * q ^ n / (1 - q ^ n)) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
    by (intro has_sum_lambert) (use assms in <auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def>)
next
show "(λ(n, k). a n * q ^ (k * n)) summable_on {1..} × {1..}"
proof (rule abs_summable_summable)
  show "(λ(n, k). a n * q ^ (k * n)) abs_summable_on {1..} × {1..}"
  proof (rule summable_on_SigmaI; (unfold prod.case)?)
    fix n :: nat
    assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
    have "((λk. norm (a n) * (norm q ^ n) ^ k) has_sum
      (norm (a n) * (norm q ^ n / (1 - norm q ^ n)))) {1..}"
      using has_sum_geometric[of "norm q ^ n" 1] q n
      by (intro has_sum_cmult_right) (auto simp: norm_power power_less_1_iff)
    thus "((λk. norm (a n * q ^ (k * n))) has_sum
      (norm (a n) * norm q ^ n / (1 - norm q ^ n))) {1..}"
      by (simp add: norm_mult norm_power norm_divide mult_ac flip:
power_mult)
  next
  show "(λn. norm (a n) * norm q ^ n / (1 - norm q ^ n)) summable_on
{1..}"
  proof (rule abs_summable_summable)
    show "(λx. norm (a x) * norm q ^ x / (1 - norm q ^ x)) abs_summable_on
{1..}"
      using abs_summable_on_lambert[of "of_real (norm q)" "λn. norm
(a n)"] q' q
      by (cases "summable (λn. norm (a n))")
      (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def split: if_splits)
    qed
  qed auto
  qed
next
fix n :: nat
assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
have "((λk. a n * (q ^ n) ^ k) has_sum a n * (q ^ n / (1 - q ^ n)))
{1..}"
  using has_sum_geometric[of "q ^ n" 1] q n
  by (intro has_sum_cmult_right) (auto simp: power_less_1_iff norm_power)
thus "((λk. a n * q ^ (k * n)) has_sum a n * q ^ n / (1 - q ^ n))
{1..}"
  by (simp add: mult_ac flip: power_mult)
qed
also have "?this ↔ ((λ(n, d). a d * q ^ n) has_sum lambert a q) (SIGMA
n:{1..}. {d. d dvd n})"

```

```

    by (rule has_sum_reindex_bij_witness[of _ "λ(m, d). (d, m div d)"
"λ(n,k). (n * k, n)"])
      (auto simp: mult_ac)
    finally have 1: "((λ(n, d). a d * q ^ n) has_sum lambert a q) (SIGMA
n:{1..}. {d. d dvd n})" .

    have 2: "((λd. a d * q ^ n) has_sum (b n * q ^ n)) {d. d dvd n}" if
n: "n > 0" for n
    proof -
      have "((λd. a d * q ^ n) has_sum ((∑ d | d dvd n. a d) * q ^ n))
{d. d dvd n}"
        by (intro has_sum_cmult_left has_sum_finite finite_divisors_nat
n)
      also have "(∑ d | d dvd n. a d) = dirichlet_prod a (λ_. 1) n"
        by (simp add: dirichlet_prod_def)
      also have "... = b n"
        using assms by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed

    show "((λn. b n * q ^ n) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
      using has_sum_SigmaD[OF 1, of "λn. b n * q ^ n"] 2 by simp
  qed

lemma sums_lambert_powser:
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  assumes "dirichlet_prod a (λ_. 1) = b"
  shows "((λn. b n * q ^ n) sums lambert a q)"
proof -
  from assms(2) have [simp]: "b 0 = 0"
    using dirichlet_prod_0 by blast
  have "((λn. b n * q ^ n) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
    by (rule has_sum_lambert_powser) fact+
  also have "?this ↔ ((λn. b n * q ^ n) has_sum lambert a q) UNIV"
    by (intro has_sum_cong_neutral) (auto simp: not_le)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (rule has_sum_imp_sums)
qed

lemma conv_radius_dirichlet_prod_1_ge:
  fixes a b :: "nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  defines "b ≡ dirichlet_prod a (λ_. 1)"
  shows "conv_radius b ≥ min 1 (conv_radius a)"
proof (rule conv_radius_geI_ex)
  fix r :: real
  assume r: "0 < r" "r < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  have "(λn. b n * of_real r ^ n) sums lambert a (of_real r)"
    using r by (intro sums_lambert_powser) (auto simp: b_def)
  thus "∃z. norm z = r ∧ summable (λn. b n * z ^ n)"

```

```

    using r(1) by (intro exI[of _ "of_real r"]) (auto simp: sums_iff)
qed

```

```

lemma sums_lambert_powser':
  assumes "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)"
  assumes "fds b = fds a * fds_zeta" "b 0 = 0"
  shows "(λn. b n * q ^ n) sums Lambert a q"
  using assms(1)
proof (rule sums_lambert_powser)
  have "fds_nth (fds a * fds_zeta) = dirichlet_prod a (λ_. 1)"
    by (auto simp: fds_nth_mult)
  also have "fds a * fds_zeta = fds b"
    using assms(2) by (simp only: )
  also have "fds_nth (fds b) = b"
    using assms(3) by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff fds_nth_fds)
  finally show "dirichlet_prod a (λ_. 1) = b" ..
qed

```

```

lemma Lambert_conv_radius_power_of_nat: "Lambert_conv_radius (λn. of_nat
n ^ k) = 1"
  by (simp add: Lambert_conv_radius_def not_summable_power_of_nat conv_radius_power_of_nat)

```

```

lemma Lambert_conv_radius_powr_real:
  "Lambert_conv_radius (λn. real n powr c) = (if c < -1 then ∞ else 1)"
proof -
  have "summable (λn. real n powr c) ⟷ c < -1"
    by (subst summable_real_powr_iff) auto
  moreover have "conv_radius (λn. real n powr c) = 1"
    by (rule conv_radius_powr_real)
  ultimately show ?thesis
    by (auto simp: Lambert_conv_radius_def)
qed

```

```

lemma Lambert_conv_radius_power_int:
  "Lambert_conv_radius (λn. of_nat n powi c :: 'a :: {real_normed_field, real_inner, banach})
=
  (if c < -1 then ∞ else 1)"
proof -
  have "Lambert_conv_radius (λn. of_nat n powi c :: 'a) =
    Lambert_conv_radius (λn. of_real (real n powi c) :: 'a)"
    by simp
  also have "... = Lambert_conv_radius (λn. real n powi c)"
    by (rule Lambert_conv_radius_of_real)
  also have "... = (if c < -1 then ∞ else 1)"
  proof -
    have "summable (λn. real n powi c) ⟷ c < -1"
      by (subst summable_power_int_real_iff) auto
    moreover have "conv_radius (λn. real n powi c) = 1"
      using conv_radius_polylog[of c] by (simp add: conv_radius_def norm_power_int)

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis
  by (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

4.3.1 Divisor σ function

For any q with $|q| < 1$ and any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{\alpha}(n) q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\alpha} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}$$

where $\sigma_{\alpha}(n)$ is the divisor σ function, i.e. $\sigma_{\alpha}(n) = \sum_{d|n} d^{\alpha}$.

```

lemma divisor_sigma_powser_conv_lambert:
  fixes  $\alpha$   $q$  :: "'a :: {nat_power_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes  $q$ : "norm  $q$  < 1"
  shows "( $\lambda n$ . divisor_sigma  $\alpha$   $n$  *  $q$  ^  $n$ ) sums_lambert ( $\lambda n$ . nat_power
 $n$   $\alpha$ )  $q$ "
proof (rule sums_lambert_powser')
  have "conv_radius ( $\lambda n$ . nat_power  $n$   $\alpha$ ) = 1"
  proof (rule tendsto_imp_conv_radius_eq)
    have "( $\lambda n$ . ereal ((real  $n$  powr ( $\alpha$  * 1)) powr (1 / real  $n$ )))  $\longrightarrow$ 
1"
      unfolding one_ereal_def by (rule tendsto_ereal) real_asymp
    also have "?this  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\lambda n$ . ereal (norm (nat_power  $n$   $\alpha$ ) powr (1 / real
 $n$ )))  $\longrightarrow$  1"
      by (intro filterlim_cong_refl eventually_mono[OF eventually_gt_at_top[of
0]])
      (simp add: norm_nat_power)
    finally show "( $\lambda n$ . ereal (norm (nat_power  $n$   $\alpha$ ) powr (1 / real  $n$ )))
 $\longrightarrow$  1"
      by (simp add: norm_nat_power)
  qed auto
  thus "ereal (norm  $q$ ) < min 1 (conv_radius ( $\lambda n$ . nat_power  $n$   $\alpha$ ))"
  using  $q$  by simp
next
  have "fds (divisor_sigma  $\alpha$ ) = fds_zeta * fds_shift  $\alpha$  fds_zeta"
  by (rule fds_divisor_sigma)
  also have "fds_shift  $\alpha$  fds_zeta = fds ( $\lambda n$ . nat_power  $n$   $\alpha$ )"
  by (simp add: fds_eq_iff)
  finally show "fds (divisor_sigma  $\alpha$ ) = fds ( $\lambda n$ . nat_power  $n$   $\alpha$ ) * fds_zeta"
  by (simp add: mult commute)
qed auto

lemma divisor_count_powser_conv_lambert:
  fixes  $q$  :: "'a :: {nat_power_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes  $q$ : "norm  $q$  < 1"

```

```

shows "(λn. of_nat (divisor_count n) * q ^ n) sums_lambert (λ_. 1)
q"
using divisor_sigma_powser_conv_lambert[OF assms, of 0]
by (simp add: divisor_sigma_0_left)

```

4.3.2 Möbius μ function

For any q with $|q| < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu(n) \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n} = q$$

where $\mu(n)$ is Möbius' μ function, which is 0 if n is not squarefree (i.e. contains the same prime factor more than once) and otherwise equal to $(-1)^k$, where k is the number of prime factors of n .

```

lemma lambert_moebius_mu:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "lambert_moebius_mu q = q"
proof -
  have "(λn. indicator {1} n * q ^ n) sums_lambert_moebius_mu q"
  proof (rule sums_lambert_powser')
    have "fds_moebius_mu * fds_zeta = (1 :: 'a fds)"
      using fds_zeta_times_moebius_mu[where ?'a = 'a] by (simp only:
mult.commute)
    also have "(1 :: 'a fds) = fds (indicator {1})"
      by (auto simp: fds_eq_iff_fds_nth_one)
    finally show "fds (indicator {1} :: nat ⇒ 'a) = fds_moebius_mu * fds_zeta"
  ..
  qed (use q in <auto simp: conv_radius_moebius_mu>)
  also have "(λn. indicator {1} n * q ^ n) = (λn. (if n = 1 then 1 else
0) * q ^ n)"
    by auto
  finally have "... sums_lambert_moebius_mu q" .
  moreover have "(λn. (if n = 1 then 1 else 0) * q ^ n) sums (q ^ 1)"
    by (rule powser_sums_if)
  ultimately show "lambert_moebius_mu q = q"
    by (simp add: sums_iff)
qed

```

```

lemma lambert_conv_radius_moebius_mu:
  "lambert_conv_radius (moebius_mu :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field,
banach}) = 1"
proof -
  have "¬summable (moebius_mu :: nat ⇒ 'a)"
    using not_convergent_moebius_mu[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  thus ?thesis

```

by (simp add: lambert_conv_radius_def conv_radius_moebius_mu)
qed

4.3.3 Euler's totient function φ

For any q with $|q| < 1$, we have

$$\frac{q}{(1-q)^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nq^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi(n) \frac{q^n}{1-q^n}$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is Euler's totient function, i.e. the number of positive integers not greater than n that are coprime to n .

```
lemma lambert_totient:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "lambert (λn. of_nat (totient n) :: 'a) q = q / (1 - q) ^ 2"
proof -
  have "(λn. of_nat n * q ^ n) sums lambert (λn. of_nat (totient n) :: 'a) q"
  proof (rule sums_lambert_powser')
    show "fds (of_nat :: nat ⇒ 'a) = fds (λn. of_nat (totient n)) *
fds_zeta"
      by (rule fds_totient_times_zeta [symmetric])
    qed (use q in <auto simp: conv_radius_totient>)
    from sums_unique2[OF this n_powser_sums[OF q]] show ?thesis .
  qed
```

```
lemma lambert_conv_radius_totient:
  "lambert_conv_radius (λn. of_nat (totient n) :: 'a :: {real_normed_field,
banach}) = 1"
proof -
  have "¬summable (λn. of_nat (totient n) :: 'a :: {real_normed_field,
banach})"
    using not_convergent_totient[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: lambert_conv_radius_def conv_radius_totient)
qed
```

4.3.4 Mangoldt's Λ function

For any q with $|q| < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ln n q^n = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Lambda(n) \frac{q^n}{1-q^n}$$

where $\Lambda(n)$ is Mangoldt's function, which is defined to be equal to $\log n$ if n is prime and 0 otherwise.

```

lemma lambert_mangoldt:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λn. of_real (ln (Suc n)) * q ^ (Suc n)) sums lambert mangoldt
q"
proof -
  have "(λn. (if n = 0 then 0 else of_real (ln n)) * q ^ n) sums lambert
mangoldt q"
    by (rule sums_lambert_powser')
    (use q fds_mangoldt_times_zeta[where ?'a = 'a] in <auto simp: conv_radius_mangoldt>)
  also have "?thesis ↔ (λn. (if Suc n = 0 then 0 else of_real (ln (Suc
n))) * q ^ Suc n) sums lambert mangoldt q"
    by (subst sums_Suc_iff) auto
  also have "... ↔ ?thesis"
    by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma lambert_conv_radius_mangoldt:
  "lambert_conv_radius (mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})
= 1"
proof -
  have "¬summable (mangoldt :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field, banach})"
    using not_convergent_mangoldt[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: lambert_conv_radius_def conv_radius_mangoldt)
qed

```

4.3.5 Liouville's λ function

For any q with $|q| < 1$, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} q^{n^2} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(n) \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}$$

where $\lambda(n)$ is Liouville's function, which is defined as the number of prime factors of n (taking multiplicity into account).

```

lemma lambert_liouville_lambda:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λn. ind is_square n * q ^ n) sums lambert liouville_lambda
q"
  by (rule sums_lambert_powser')
  (use q fds_liouville_lambda_times_zeta[where ?'a = 'a]
  in <auto simp: conv_radius_liouville_lambda mult_ac>)

```

```

lemma lambert_liouville_lambda':

```

```

fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
assumes q: "norm q < 1"
shows "(λn. q ^ ((n+1) ^ 2)) sums lambert liouville_lambda q"
proof -
  have "(λn. ind is_square ((n+1)^2) * q ^ ((n+1) ^ 2)) sums lambert liouville_lambda
q ↔
    (λn. ind is_square n * q ^ n) sums lambert liouville_lambda q"
  proof (rule sums_mono_reindex)
    show "strict_mono (λn::nat. (n + 1)^2)"
      by (intro strict_monoI power_strict_mono) auto
    show "ind is_square n * q ^ n = 0" if "n ∉ range (λn. (n + 1)^2)" for
n
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume "ind is_square n * q ^ n ≠ 0"
    hence "is_square n" "n > 0"
      by (auto simp: ind_def split: if_splits)
    then obtain m where "n = m ^ 2" "m > 0"
      by (elim is_nth_powerE) auto
    hence "n = ((m - 1) + 1) ^ 2"
      by auto
    with that show False by blast
  qed
qed
thus ?thesis
  using lambert_liouville_lambda[OF assms] by simp
qed

lemma lambert_conv_radius_liouville_lambda:
  "lambert_conv_radius (liouville_lambda :: nat ⇒ 'a :: {real_normed_field,
banach}) = 1"
proof -
  have "¬summable (liouville_lambda :: nat ⇒ 'a)"
    using not_convergent_liouville_lambda[where ?'a = 'a] summable_LIMSEQ_zero
    by (auto simp: convergent_def)
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: lambert_conv_radius_def conv_radius_liouville_lambda)
qed

```

4.4 Expressing a Lambert series in terms of a power series

Let $a(n)$ be a sequence of numbers. Then we can express the value of the Lambert series as an infinite sum in terms of the “normal” power series $f(q) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a(k)q^k$:

$$L(a, q) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(q^n)$$

The proof is quite obvious, by expanding $f(q^n)$ into its power series and then switching the order of summation.

This gives us a number of interesting relationships, including a connection between $L(n^a, q)$ and the polylogarithm function Li_{-a} .

```

theorem lambert_conv_powser_has_sum:
  assumes q: "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)" and [simp]: "a 0 = 0"
  defines "f ≡ (λq. ∑ n. a n * q ^ n)"
  shows "(λn. f (q ^ n)) has_sum lambert a q {1..}"
proof -
  have "(λ(k, n). a k * (q ^ k) ^ n) has_sum lambert a q ({1..} × {1..})"
  proof (rule has_sum_SigmaI; (unfold prod.case?))
    fix k :: nat
    assume k: "k ∈ {1..}"
    show "(λy. a k * (q ^ k) ^ y) has_sum (a k * (q ^ k / (1 - q ^ k)))"
    {1..}"
    by (intro has_sum_cmult_right has_sum_geometric_from_1)
      (use q k in <auto simp: power_less_1_iff norm_power>)
  next
    have "(λk. a k * q ^ k / (1 - q ^ k)) has_sum lambert a q {1..}"
      using q by (intro has_sum_lambert) (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def
split: if_splits)
    thus "(λk. a k * (q ^ k / (1 - q ^ k))) has_sum lambert a q {1..}"
      by (simp add: field_simps)
  next
    show "(λ(k, n). a k * (q ^ k) ^ n) summable_on {1..} × {1..}"
    proof (rule abs_summable_summable, rule summable_on_SigmaI;
      (unfold prod.case norm_divide norm_power norm_mult norm_one
norm_of_nat?))
      fix k :: nat assume k: "k ∈ {1..}"
      show "(λn. norm (a k) * (norm q ^ k) ^ n) has_sum
        (norm (a k) * (norm q ^ k / (1 - norm q ^ k))) {1..}"
      by (intro has_sum_cmult_right has_sum_geometric_from_1)
        (use k q in <auto simp: power_less_1_iff>)
    next
      show "(λn. norm (a n) * (norm q ^ n / (1 - norm q ^ n))) summable_on
{1..}"
      using summable_on_lambert[of "norm q" "λn. norm (a n)"] q
      by (auto simp: lambert_conv_radius_def split: if_splits)
    qed auto
  qed
  hence *: "(λ(n, k). a k * q ^ (k * n)) has_sum lambert a q ({1..}
× {1..})"
  by (subst (asm) has_sum_swap) (simp_all flip: power_mult add: mult.commute)

show ?thesis
proof (rule has_sum_SigmaD [OF *]; unfold prod.case)
  fix n :: nat assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
  have "ereal (norm q ^ n) ≤ ereal (norm q ^ 1)"
    unfolding ereal_less_eq using n q by (intro power_decreasing) auto
  also have "... < conv_radius a"
    using q by (simp add: less_eq_ereal_def)

```

```

    finally have norm_q_n: "norm q ^ n < conv_radius a" .

    have "((λk. a k * (q ^ n) ^ k) has_sum f (q ^ n)) UNIV"
    proof (rule norm_summable_imp_has_sum)
      from norm_q_n show "((λk. a k * (q ^ n) ^ k) sums f (q ^ n))"
        unfolding f_def by (intro summable_sums summable_in_conv_radius)
    (auto simp: norm_power)
    next
      show "summable (λk. norm (a k * (q ^ n) ^ k))"
        by (rule abs_summable_in_conv_radius) (use norm_q_n in <auto simp:
norm_power>)
    qed
    also have "?this ↔ ((λk. a k * q ^ (k * n)) has_sum f (q ^ n)) {1..}"

      by (intro has_sum_cong_neutral) (auto simp: mult.commute not_le
simp flip: power_mult)
    finally show ... .
  qed
qed

lemma lambert_conv_powser_has_sum':
  assumes "norm q < r" and "r ≤ 1"
  assumes "∧q. norm q < r ⇒ (λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums f q"
  shows "((λn. f (q ^ n)) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
proof -
  define a' where "a' = (λk. if k = 0 then 0 else a k)"
  have "norm q < r"
  by fact
  also have "r ≤ conv_radius a"
proof (rule conv_radius_geI_ex)
  fix r' assume r': "0 < r'" "ereal r' < ereal r"
  show "∃x. norm x = r' ∧ summable (λn. a n * x ^ n)"
    by (rule exI[of _ "of_real r'"], subst summable_Suc_iff [symmetric])

      (use assms(3)[of "of_real r'"] r' in <simp add: sums_iff>)
  qed
  also have "conv_radius a = conv_radius a'"
  by (intro conv_radius_cong eventually_mono[OF eventually_gt_at_top[of
0]]) (auto simp: a'_def)
  finally have "((λn. (∑k. a' k * (q ^ n) ^ k)) has_sum lambert a' q)
{1..}"
  using assms(1,2) by (intro lambert_conv_powser_has_sum) (auto simp:
a'_def)
  also have "?this ↔ ((λn. f (q ^ n)) has_sum lambert a' q) {1..}"
proof (rule has_sum_cong)
  fix n :: nat assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
  have "norm q ^ n ≤ norm q ^ 1"
  using assms(1,2) n by (intro power_decreasing) auto
  also have "... < r"

```

```

    using assms(1,2) by simp
    finally have "(λk. a (Suc k) * (q ^ n) ^ Suc k) sums f (q ^ n)"
      by (intro assms) (auto simp: norm_power)
    hence "(λk. a' (Suc k) * (q ^ n) ^ Suc k) sums f (q ^ n)"
      by (simp add: a'_def)
    hence "(λk. a' k * (q ^ n) ^ k) sums f (q ^ n)"
      by (subst (asm) sums_Suc_iff) (simp add: a'_def)
    thus "(∑ k. a' k * (q ^ n) ^ k) = f (q ^ n)"
      by (simp add: sums_iff)
  qed
  also have "lambert a' q = lambert a q"
    by (simp add: a'_def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma lambert_conv_powser_sums:
  assumes q: "norm q < min 1 (conv_radius a)" and [simp]: "a 0 = 0"
  defines "f ≡ (λq. ∑ n. a n * q ^ n)"
  shows "(λn. f (q ^ Suc n)) sums lambert a q"
proof -
  have "((λn. f (q ^ n)) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
    unfolding f_def by (rule lambert_conv_powser_has_sum) fact+
  also have "?this ⟷ ((λn. f (q ^ Suc n)) has_sum lambert a q) UNIV"
    by (rule has_sum_reindex_bij_witness[of _ Suc "λn. n - 1"]) auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by (rule has_sum_imp_sums)
qed

lemma lambert_conv_powser_sums':
  assumes "norm q < r" and "r ≤ 1"
  assumes "∧ q. norm q < r ⟹ (λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums f q"
  shows "(λn. f (q ^ Suc n)) sums lambert a q"
proof -
  have "((λn. f (q ^ n)) has_sum lambert a q) {1..}"
    by (rule lambert_conv_powser_has_sum') fact+
  also have "?this ⟷ ((λn. f (q ^ Suc n)) has_sum lambert a q) UNIV"
    by (rule has_sum_reindex_bij_witness[of _ Suc "λn. n - 1"]) auto
  finally show ?thesis
    by (rule has_sum_imp_sums)
qed

lemma lambert_mult_exp_conv_powser_has_sum:
  assumes "norm q < r" and "r ≤ 1" and c: "norm c ≤ 1"
  assumes "∧ q. norm q < r ⟹ (λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums f q"
  shows "((λn. f (c * q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. c ^ n * a n) q)
{1..}"
proof (rule lambert_conv_powser_has_sum')
  fix q :: 'a assume q: "norm q < r"
  have "norm c * norm q ≤ 1 * norm q"

```

```

    using q c by (intro mult_right_mono) auto
  hence cq: "norm c * norm q < r"
    using q by simp
  have "(λn. a (Suc n) * (c * q) ^ Suc n) sums f (c * q)"
    by (rule assms) (use cq in <simp add: norm_mult>)
  thus "(λn. c ^ Suc n * a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums f (c * q)"
    by (simp add: power_mult_distrib algebra_simps)
qed (use assms in auto)

lemma lambert_mult_exp_conv_powser_sums:
  assumes "norm q < r" and "r ≤ 1" and c: "norm c ≤ 1"
  assumes "∧q. norm q < r ⇒ (λn. a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums f q"
  shows "(λn. f (c * q ^ Suc n)) sums lambert (λn. c ^ n * a n) q"
proof (rule lambert_conv_powser_sums')
  fix q :: 'a assume q: "norm q < r"
  have "norm c * norm q ≤ 1 * norm q"
    using q c by (intro mult_right_mono) auto
  hence cq: "norm c * norm q < r"
    using q by simp
  have "(λn. a (Suc n) * (c * q) ^ Suc n) sums f (c * q)"
    by (rule assms) (use cq in <simp add: norm_mult>)
  thus "(λn. c ^ Suc n * a (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums f (c * q)"
    by (simp add: power_mult_distrib algebra_simps)
qed (use assms in auto)

lemma lambert_power_int_has_sum_polylog_gen:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1" and c: "norm c ≤ 1"
  shows "(λn. polylog (-a) (c * q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. c ^ n *
of_nat n powi a) q {1..}"
  using q
proof (rule lambert_mult_exp_conv_powser_has_sum)
  show "(λn. of_nat (Suc n) powi a * q ^ Suc n) sums polylog (-a) q"
    if "norm q < 1" for q :: complex
    using sums_polylog[of q "-a"] that by simp
qed (use assms in auto)

lemma has_sum_lambert_recip_complex_gen:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1" and c: "norm c ≤ 1"
  shows "(λk. -ln (1 - c * q ^ k)) has_sum lambert (λn. c ^ n / of_nat
n) q {1..}"
proof -
  have "(λn. polylog 1 (c * q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. c ^ n * of_nat
n powi - 1) q {1..}"
    using lambert_power_int_has_sum_polylog_gen[OF q, of c "-1"] q c by
simp
  also have "?this ↔ ((λn::nat. -ln (1 - c * q ^ n)) has_sum
lambert (λn. c ^ n * of_nat n powi -1) q) {1..}"

```

```

proof (intro has_sum_cong)
  fix n :: nat assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
  have "norm c * norm (q ^ n) ≤ 1 * norm (q ^ n)"
    using q c by (intro mult_right_mono) auto
  also have "norm (q ^ n) ≤ norm q ^ 1"
    using n q unfolding norm_power by (intro power_decreasing) auto
  also have "1 * norm q ^ 1 < 1"
    using q by simp
  finally have cq: "norm (c * q ^ n) < 1"
    by (simp_all add: norm_mult)
  thus "polylog 1 (c * q ^ n) = -ln (1 - c * q ^ n)"
    by (subst polylog_1) auto
qed
also have "(λn. c ^ n * of_nat n powi -1) = (λn. c ^ n / of_nat n ::
complex)"
  by (auto simp: field_simps)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma has_sum_lambert_recip_complex:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λk. -ln (1 - q ^ k)) has_sum lambert (λn. 1 / of_nat n)
q) {1..}"
  using has_sum_lambert_recip_complex_gen[OF assms, of 1] by simp

lemma has_sum_lambert_recip_complex':
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λk. -ln (1 + q ^ k)) has_sum lambert (λn. (-1) ^ n / of_nat
n) q) {1..}"
  using has_sum_lambert_recip_complex_gen[OF assms, of "-1"] by simp

lemma has_sum_lambert_poly_complex:
  fixes q :: complex and a :: nat
  assumes q: "norm q < 1" and a: "a > 0"
  defines "E ≡ poly (eulerian_poly a)"
  shows "(λn. E (q ^ n) * q ^ n / (1 - q ^ n) ^ (a + 1)) has_sum
lambert (λn. complex_of_nat n ^ a) q) {1..}"

proof -
  have "(λn. polylog (-a) (q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. of_nat n ^ a)
q) {1..}"
  using lambert_power_int_has_sum_polylog_gen[OF q, of 1 a] q by simp
  also have "?this ↔ ((λn. E (q ^ n) * q ^ n / (1 - q ^ n) ^ (a+1))
has_sum lambert (λn. of_nat n ^ a) q) {1..}"
  proof (rule has_sum_cong)
    fix n :: nat assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
    have "norm (q ^ n) ≤ norm q ^ 1"
      using n q unfolding norm_power by (intro power_decreasing) auto

```

```

    also have "... < 1"
      using q by simp
    finally show "polylog (-a) (q ^ n) = E (q ^ n) * q ^ n / (1 - q ^ n)
^ (a+1)"
      using a by (subst polylog_neg_int_left)
      (auto simp: E_def power_int_diff power_int_minus divide_simps)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma lambert_minus1_power_has_sum:
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "((λn. q ^ n / (1 + q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. (-1) ^ Suc
n) q) {1..}"
  using q
proof (rule lambert_conv_powser_has_sum')
  show "(λn. (-1) ^ Suc (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums (q / (1 + q))" if "norm
q < 1" for q :: 'a
  proof -
    have "(λn. (-1) ^ Suc (Suc n) * q ^ Suc n) sums (1 - 1 / (1 + q))"
      using sums_minus[OF geometric_sums[of "-q"]] that
      by (subst sums_Suc_iff) (auto simp: field_simps power_minus')
    also have "1 - 1 / (1 + q) = q / (1 + q)"
      using that by (auto simp: divide_simps add_eq_0_iff)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed auto

```

```

lemma lambert_exp_has_sum:
  fixes q :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
  assumes q: "norm q < 1" and a: "norm a ≤ 1"
  shows "((λn. a * q ^ n / (1 - a * q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. a ^ n
^ n) q) {1..}"
proof -
  have "((λn. a * q ^ n / (1 - a * q ^ n)) has_sum lambert (λn. a ^ n
* 1) q) {1..}"
    using q
  proof (rule lambert_mult_exp_conv_powser_has_sum)
    show "(λn. 1 * q ^ Suc n) sums (q / (1 - q))" if "norm q < 1" for
q :: 'a
      using geometric_sums_gen[of q 1] that by simp
  qed (use a in auto)
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
qed

```

4.5 Connection to Euler's function

In this section, we show a connection between Lambert series and Euler's function:

$$\varphi(q) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^k)$$

(not to be confused with Euler's totient function, commonly denoted with $\varphi(n)$)

For this, we apply the results from the previous section to $a(n) = \frac{1}{n}$ to obtain:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \ln(1 - q^k) = -L\left(\frac{1}{n}, q\right)$$

```

lemma sums_lambert_recip_complex:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λk. -ln (1 - q ^ Suc k)) sums lambert (λn. 1 / of_nat n)
  q)"
  using q
proof (rule lambert_conv_powser_sums')
  show "(λk. 1 / of_nat (Suc k) * q ^ Suc k) sums - Ln (1 - q)" if "norm
  q < 1" for q
    using sums_minus[OF Ln_series[of "-q"]] that
    by (subst sums_Suc_iff) (simp_all add: power_minus')
qed auto

```

```

lemma sums_lambert_recip_complex':
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λk. -ln (1 + q ^ Suc k)) sums lambert (λn. (-1)^n / of_nat
  n) q)"
  using q
proof (rule lambert_conv_powser_sums')
  show "(λk. (-1)^Suc k / of_nat (Suc k) * q ^ Suc k) sums - Ln (1 +
  q)" if "norm q < 1" for q
    using sums_minus[OF Ln_series[of "q"]] that
    by (subst sums_Suc_iff) (simp_all add: power_minus')
qed auto

```

By exponentiating this, we get:

$$\varphi(q) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^n) = \exp\left(-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}\right)$$

In other words, the Lambert sum $\sum \frac{1}{n} \frac{q^n}{1 - q^n}$ is a logarithm of Euler's function $\varphi(q)$.

Note that this does not show that this is *the* logarithm of $\varphi(q)$, but merely that it is *one* of the branches of the multi-valued logarithm of $\varphi(q)$. Nevertheless, we will – just like is typically in textbooks – ignore this in our informal explanations and write $\ln \varphi(q)$.

```

theorem euler_phi_conv_lambert_complex:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λn. 1 - q ^ Suc n) has_prod exp (-lambert (λn. 1 / of_nat n)
q)"
proof -
  have not_1: "q ^ n ≠ 1" if "n > 0" for n
    using that q by (auto dest: power_eq_1_iff)
  have "(λn. exp (-ln (1 - q ^ Suc n))) has_prod exp (lambert (λn. 1 /
of_nat n) q)"
    by (intro sums_imp_has_prod_exp sums_lambert_recip_complex q)
  also have "(λn. exp (-ln (1 - q ^ Suc n))) = (λn. inverse (1 - q ^ Suc
n))"
    using q unfolding exp_minus by (subst exp_Ln) (auto simp del: power_Suc
simp: not_1)
  finally have "(λn. inverse (inverse (1 - q ^ Suc n))) has_prod
inverse (exp (lambert (λn. 1 / complex_of_nat n) q))"
    by (intro has_prod_inverse)
  thus ?thesis
    using q by (simp del: power_Suc add: exp_minus)
qed

```

```

lemma euler_phi_conv_lambert_real:
  fixes q :: real
  assumes q: "|q| < 1"
  shows "(λn. 1 - q ^ Suc n) has_prod exp (-lambert (λn. 1 / real n)
q)"
proof -
  have "(λn. 1 - complex_of_real q ^ Suc n) has_prod exp (-lambert (λn.
1 / of_nat n) (of_real q))"
    by (rule euler_phi_conv_lambert_complex) (use q in auto)
  also have "(λn. 1 - complex_of_real q ^ Suc n) = (λn. of_real (1 - q
^ Suc n))"
    by simp
  also have "lambert (λn. 1 / of_nat n) (of_real q) = complex_of_real
(lambert (λn. 1 / real n) q)"
    by (subst lambert_of_real [symmetric]) auto
  also have "exp (-...) = of_real (exp (-lambert (λn. 1 / real n) q))"
    by (simp flip: exp_of_real)
  finally show ?thesis
    unfolding has_prod_of_real_iff .
qed

```

With our general results on Lambert series, we also know that $\ln \varphi(q)$ has

the power series expansion

$$\ln \varphi(q) = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_{-1}(n) q^n = - \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sigma_1(n)}{n} q^n .$$

```
lemma ln_euler_phi_powser:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λn. divisor_sigma (-1) n * q ^ n) sums lambert (λn. 1 / of_nat
n) q"
  using divisor_sigma_powser_conv_lambert[OF q, of "-1"]
  by (simp add: powr_minus divide_inverse)
```

```
lemma ln_euler_phi_powser':
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λn. divisor_sum n / n * q ^ n) sums lambert (λn. 1 / of_nat
n) q"
  using ln_euler_phi_powser[OF q]
  by (simp add: divisor_sigma_minus divisor_sigma_1_left mult_ac)
```

We also show the following variant of the above, also mentioned by Knopp:

```
theorem euler_phi_variant_conv_lambert:
  fixes q :: complex
  assumes q: "norm q < 1"
  shows "(λn. 1 + q ^ Suc n) has_prod exp (-lambert (λn. (-1) ^ n / of_nat
n) q)"
proof -
  have not_1: "q ^ n ≠ -1" if "n > 0" for n
  proof
    assume "q ^ n = -1"
    hence "norm q ^ n = 1"
      by (simp flip: norm_power)
    thus False
      using that q by (auto dest: power_eq_1_iff)
  qed
  have "(λn. exp (-ln (1 + q ^ Suc n))) has_prod exp (lambert (λn. (-1)^n
/ of_nat n) q)"
    by (intro sums_imp_has_prod_exp sums_lambert_recip_complex' q)
  also have "(λn. exp (-ln (1 + q ^ Suc n))) = (λn. inverse (1 + q ^ Suc
n))"
    using q unfolding exp_minus
    by (subst exp_Ln) (auto simp del: power_Suc simp: not_1 add_eq_0_iff)
  finally have "(λn. inverse (inverse (1 + q ^ Suc n))) has_prod
inverse (exp (lambert (λn. (-1)^n / complex_of_nat
n) q))"
    by (intro has_prod_inverse)
  thus ?thesis
    using q by (simp del: power_Suc add: exp_minus)
```

qed

4.6 Application: Fibonacci numbers

Lastly, we show a connection between the Fibonacci numbers and Lambert series, namely that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F_n} = \sqrt{5} \left[L\left(1, \frac{1}{2}(3 - \sqrt{5})\right) - L\left(1, \frac{1}{2}(7 - 3\sqrt{5})\right) \right]$$

lemma *fib_closed_form_alt*:

```

defines "φ ≡ (1 + sqrt 5) / 2"
shows "real (fib n) = (φ ^ n - (-1 / φ) ^ n) / sqrt 5"
proof -
  have "real (fib n) = (φ ^ n - ((1 - sqrt 5) / 2) ^ n) / sqrt 5"
    unfolding φ_def by (rule fib_closed_form)
  also have "1 + sqrt 5 > 0"
    by (intro add_pos_pos) auto
  hence "(1 - sqrt 5) / 2 = -(1 / φ)"
    by (simp add: φ_def field_simps)
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp

```

qed

theorem *sum_inv_even_fib_conv_lambert*:

```

defines "L ≡ lambert (λ_. 1)"
shows "((λn. 1 / real (fib (2*n))) has_sum
  (sqrt 5 * (L ((3 - sqrt 5) / 2) - L ((7 - 3 * sqrt 5) / 2))))"
{1..}"

```

proof -

```

define φ :: real where "φ = (1 + sqrt 5) / 2"
have "1 + sqrt 5 > 0"
  by (intro add_pos_pos) auto
hence [simp]: "1 + sqrt 5 ≠ 0"
  by auto
have pos: "φ > 1"
  by (auto simp: φ_def intro: add_pos_pos)
have [simp]: "φ ^ k = 1 ↔ k = 0" for k
  by (auto simp: φ_def dest: power_eq_1_iff)
have "((λn. 1 * (1/φ^2)^n / (1 - (1/φ^2)^n) - 1 * (1/φ^4)^n / (1 -
(1/φ^4)^n))
  has_sum (L (1/φ^2) - L(1/φ^4))) {1..}"
  unfolding L_def using pos
  by (intro has_sum_diff has_sum_lambert) (auto simp: field_simps intro!:
one_less_power)
also have "(λn. 1 * (1/φ^2)^n / (1 - (1/φ^2)^n) - 1 * (1/φ^4)^n /
(1 - (1/φ^4)^n)) =
  (λn. 1 / (φ ^ (2 * n) - (1 / φ) ^ (2 * n)))" using pos

```

```

    by (simp add: divide_simps fun_eq_iff flip: power_mult)
      (simp add: algebra_simps flip: power_add)?
  also have "... has_sum (L (1/φ2) - L(1/φ4)) {1..} ↔
    ((λn. 1 / sqrt 5 * (1 / real (fib (2 * n)))) has_sum (L (1/φ2)
- L(1/φ4)) {1..})"
  proof (intro has_sum_cong)
    fix n :: nat assume n: "n ∈ {1..}"
    have "φ2 * (1 / φ2) - (1 / φ2) * φ2 = (φ2 * (1 / φ2) - (-1 / φ2) *
(2 * n))"
      by simp
    also have "... = real (fib (2 * n)) * sqrt 5"
      by (subst fib_closed_form_alt) (simp add: φ_def)
    finally show "1 / (φ2 * (1 / φ2) - (1 / φ2) * φ2) = 1 / sqrt 5 *
(1 / real (fib (2 * n)))"
      by simp
    qed
  also have "1 / φ2 = (3 - sqrt 5) / 2"
    by (simp add: φ_def power_divide power2_eq_square divide_simps) (auto
simp: algebra_simps)?
  also have "1 / φ4 = (7 - 3 * sqrt 5) / 2"
    by (simp add: φ_def power_divide eval_nat_numeral divide_simps) (auto
simp: algebra_simps)?
  finally have "... has_sum (sqrt 5 * (1 / sqrt 5 * (1 / real (fib (2 * n))))
has_sum
      sqrt 5 * (L ((3 - sqrt 5) / 2) - L ((7 - 3 * sqrt 5)
/ 2)) {1..})"
    by (intro has_sum_cmult_right)
  thus ?thesis
    by simp
  qed
end

```

References

- [1] K. Knopp. *Theorie und Anwendung der Unendlichen Reihen*. Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2013.