Karatsuba Multiplication for Integers

Jakob Schulz, Emin Karayel

April 18, 2024

Abstract

We give a verified implementation of the Karatsuba Multiplication on Integers [1] as well as verified runtime bounds. Integers are represented as LSBF (least significant bit first) boolean lists, on which the algorithm by Karatsuba [1] is implemented. The running time of $O\left(n^{\log_2 3}\right)$ is verified using the Time Monad defined in [2].

Contents

1	Pre	liminaries	3
2	Au	xiliary Sum Lemmas	9
	2.1	semiring-1 Sums	11
		2.1.1 Power Sums	13
	2.2	nat Sums	16
3	Sur	ns in Monoids	18
	3.1	Kronecker delta	25
	3.2	Power sums	26
		3.2.1 Algebraic operations	28
	3.3	monoid-sum-list in the context residues	30
4	The	e estimation tactic	30
5	Son	ne Automation for Root-Balanced-Tree. Time-Monad	31
6	Rui	aning Time Formalization for some functions available in	
	Mai	in	32
	6.1	Functions on bool	32
		6.1.1 Not	32
		6.1.2 disj / conj	32
		6.1.3 equal	32
	6.2	Functions involving pairs	33
	·	6.2.1 fst / snd	33
		- Jan / 100 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	

6.3	Functions on <i>nat</i>						
0.0	6.3.1 (+)						
	6.3.2 (*)						
	6.3.3 ()						
	6.3.4 (-)						
	$6.3.5 (<) \ / \ (\leq) \ldots \ldots 35$						
	6.3.6 (=)						
	6.3.7 max						
	$6.3.8 (div) \mid (mod) \dots 37$						
	$6.3.9 (dvd) \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots $						
	6.3.10 even / odd						
6.4	List functions						
0.1	6.4.1 <i>take</i>						
	6.4.2 <i>drop</i>						
	6.4.3 (@)						
	6.4.4 fold						
	6.4.5 rev						
	6.4.6 replicate						
	6.4.7 length						
	6.4.8 List.null						
	6.4.9 butlast						
	6.4.10 map						
	6.4.11 <i>foldl</i>						
	6.4.12 concat						
	6.4.13 (!)						
	6.4.14 <i>zip</i>						
	6.4.15 map2						
	$6.4.16 upt \dots \dots$						
6.5	Syntactic sugar						
0.0							
Rep	presentations 49						
7.1	Abstract Representations						
7.2	Abstract Representations 2						
•							
_	presenting nat in LSBF 54						
8.1	Type definition						
8.2	Conversions						
8.3	Truncating and filling						
8.4	Right-shifts						
8.5	Subdividing lists						
	8.5.1 Splitting a list in two blocks 67						
	8.5.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks 67						
8.6	The bitsize function						
	8.6.1 The $next$ -power-of-2 function						

	8.7	Addition	75
		8.7.1 Increment operation	75
		8.7.2 Addition with a carry bit	77
		8.7.3 Addition	83
	8.8	Comparison and subtraction	84
		8.8.1 Comparison	84
		8.8.2 Subtraction	86
	8.9	(Grid) Multiplication	89
	8.10	Syntax bundles	90
9	Run	ning time of Nat-LSBF	95
	9.1	Truncating and filling	96
	9.2	Right-shifts	97
	9.3	Subdividing lists	97
		9.3.1 Splitting a list in two blocks	97
		9.3.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks	98
	9.4	The bitsize function	99
		9.4.1 The is -power-of-2 function	99
	9.5	Addition	101
	9.6	Comparison and subtraction	.03
	9.7	(Grid) Multiplication	.06
	9.8	Syntax bundles	.07
10	Rep	resenting int in LSBF	08
	10.1	Type definition	108
	10.2	Conversions	.08
	10.3	Addition	.09
	10.4	Grid Multiplication	.10
11	Kar	atsuba Multiplication 1	10
12	Run	ning Time of Karatsuba Multiplication 1	20
13	\mathbf{Cod}	e Generation 1	32

1 Preliminaries

Some general preliminaries.

 ${\bf theory}\ {\it Karatsuba-Preliminaries}$

 $\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}\ \mathit{Expander-Graphs}. \mathit{Extra-Congruence-Method}\ \mathit{HOL-Number-Theory}. Residues\ \mathbf{begin}$

```
 \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{lemma} \ prop\text{-}ifI\colon \\ \mathbf{assumes} \ Q \Longrightarrow P \ R \\ \mathbf{assumes} \ \neg \ Q \Longrightarrow P \ S \end{array}
```

```
shows P (if Q then R else S)
 using assms by argo
lemma let-prop-cong:
 assumes T = T'
 assumes P(fT)(f'T')
 shows P (let x = T in f x) (let x = T' in f' x)
 using assms by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{set-subseteqD} :
 assumes set xs \subseteq A
 shows \bigwedge i. i < length xs \Longrightarrow xs ! i \in A
 using assms by fastforce
\mathbf{lemma}\ set\text{-}subseteqI:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < length xs \Longrightarrow xs ! i \in A
 shows set xs \subseteq A
 using assms
 by (metis\ in\text{-}set\text{-}conv\text{-}nth\ subset}I)
lemma Nat-max-le-sum: max (a :: nat) b \le a + b
 by simp
lemma upt-add-eq-append':
 assumes a \leq b \ b \leq c
 shows [a..< c] = [a..< b] @ [b..< c]
 using assms upt-add-eq-append[of a b c - b] by auto
lemma map-add-const-upt: map (\lambda j.\ j+c) [a..< b] = [a+c..< b+c]
proof (cases \ a < b)
 case True
 then have map(\lambda j. j + c)[a..< b] = map(\lambda j. j + c) (map(\lambda j. j + a) [0..< b-a])
   using map-add-upt[of\ a\ b-a] by simp
 also have ... = map (\lambda j. j + (a + c)) [0..< b-a]
   by simp
 also have ... = [a+c..< b+c]
   using map-add-upt[of\ a+c\ b-a] True by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
\mathbf{next}
 case False
 then show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma filter-even-upt-even: filter even [0..<2*n] = map((*) 2) [0..<n]
 by (induction \ n) \ simp-all
lemma filter-even-upt-odd: filter even [0..<2*n+1] = map((*) 2) [0..< n+1]
 by (simp add: filter-even-upt-even)
lemma filter-odd-upt-even: filter odd [0..<2*n] = map(\lambda i. 2*i + 1)[0..<n]
```

```
by (induction \ n) \ simp-all
lemma filter-odd-upt-odd: filter odd [0..<2*n+1] = map(\lambda i. 2*i+1)[0..<n]
 by (simp add: filter-odd-upt-even)
lemma length-filter-even: length (filter even [0...< n]) = (if even n then n div 2 else
n \ div \ 2 + 1)
 by (induction \ n) \ simp-all
lemma length-filter-odd: length (filter odd [0..< n]) = n div 2
 by (induction \ n) \ simp-all
lemma filter-even-nth:
 assumes i < length (filter even [0..< n])
 shows filter even [0..< n]! i = 2 * i
proof (cases even n)
 case True
 then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' by blast
 then show ?thesis using filter-even-upt-even[of n'] assms by auto
next
 case False
 then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' + 1 using oddE by blast
 show ?thesis
   using assms
   apply (simp only: \langle n = 2 * n' + 1 \rangle filter-even-upt-odd length-map nth-map)
   apply (intro arg-cong[where f = (*) 2])
   by (metis add-0 diff-zero length-upt nth-upt)
qed
lemma filter-odd-nth:
 assumes i < length (filter odd [0..< n])
 shows filter odd [0..< n]! i = 2 * i + 1
proof (cases even n)
 case True
 then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' by blast
 then show ?thesis using filter-odd-upt-even assms by auto
next
 {f case} False
 then obtain n' where n = 2 * n' + 1 using oddE by blast
 then show ?thesis
   using assms
   by (simp only: filter-odd-upt-odd length-map)
     (simp\ add: \langle n=2*n'+1\rangle\ length-filter-odd)
qed
fun sublist where
sublist\ 0\ n\ xs = take\ n\ xs
 sublist (Suc m) (Suc n) (a \# xs) = sublist m n xs
 sublist (Suc m) \ 0 \ xs = []
| sublist (Suc m) (Suc n) [] = []
```

```
lemma length-sublist[simp]: length (sublist m n xs) = card (\{m.. < n\} \cap \{0.. < length\})
xs
 \mathbf{by}\ (induction\ m\ n\ xs\ rule:\ sublist.induct)\ simp-all
lemma length-sublist':
 assumes m \leq n
 assumes n \leq length xs
 shows length (sublist m \ n \ xs) = n - m
 using assms by simp
{f lemma} nth-sublist:
 assumes m \leq n
 assumes n \leq length xs
 assumes i < n - m
 shows sublist m n xs ! i = xs ! (m + i)
 using assms
 by (induction m n xs arbitrary: i rule: sublist.induct) simp-all
lemma filter-map-map 2:
 assumes length b = m
 assumes length c = m
 shows [f(b!i)(c!i). i \leftarrow [0..< m]] = map2 f b c
 using assms by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all
fun map3 where
map3 f (x \# xs) (y \# ys) (z \# zs) = f x y z \# map3 f xs ys zs
| map 3 f - - - = []
lemma map3-as-map: map3 f xs ys zs = map (\lambda((x, y), z), f x y z) (zip (zip xs
 by (induction f xs ys zs rule: map3.induct; simp)
lemma filter-map-map3:
 assumes length b = m
 assumes length c = m
 shows [f(b!i)(c!i) i. i \leftarrow [0..< m]] = map3 f b c [0..< m]
 using assms
 apply (intro\ nth\text{-}equalityI)
 unfolding map3-as-map by simp-all
fun map4 where
\mathit{map4}\;f\;(x\;\#\;\mathit{xs})\;(y\;\#\;\mathit{ys})\;(z\;\#\;\mathit{zs})\;(w\;\#\;\mathit{ws}) = f\,x\;y\;z\;w\;\#\;\mathit{map4}\;f\;\mathit{xs}\;\mathit{ys}\;\mathit{zs}\;\mathit{ws}
lemma map4-as-map: map4 f xs ys zs ws = map (\lambda(((x,y),z),w), f x y z w) (zip)
(zip (zip xs ys) zs) ws)
 by (induction f xs ys zs ws rule: map4.induct; simp)
lemma nth-map2:
```

```
assumes i < length xs
 assumes i < length ys
 shows map2 f xs ys ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i)
  using assms by simp
lemma nth-map3:
 assumes i < length xs
 assumes i < length ys
 assumes i < length zs
 shows map3 f xs ys zs ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i) (zs ! i)
 using assms unfolding map3-as-map by simp
lemma nth-map4:
 assumes i < length xs
 assumes i < length ys
 \mathbf{assumes}\ i < \mathit{length}\ \mathit{zs}
 assumes i < length ws
 shows map4 f xs ys zs ws! i = f (xs! i) (ys! i) (zs! i) (ws! i)
 using assms unfolding map4-as-map by simp
lemma nth-map4':
 assumes i < l
 assumes length xs = l
 assumes length ys = l
 assumes length zs = l
 assumes length ws = l
 shows map \not = f xs ys zs ws ! i = f (xs ! i) (ys ! i) (zs ! i) (ws ! i)
 using assms unfolding map4-as-map by simp
lemma map2-of-map-r: map2 f xs (map q ys) = map2 (\lambda x y. f x (q y)) xs ys
 by (intro\ nth\text{-}equalityI)\ simp\text{-}all
lemma map2-of-map-l: map2 f (map g xs) ys = map2 (<math>\lambda x y. f (g x) y) xs ys
 by (intro\ nth\text{-}equalityI)\ simp\text{-}all
lemma map2-of-map2-r: map2 f xs (map2 g ys zs) = map3 (<math>\lambda x y z. f x (g y z))
xs \ ys \ zs
 unfolding map3-as-map by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all
lemma map-of-map3: map f (map3 g xs ys zs) = map3 (\lambda x y z. f (g x y z)) xs ys
 unfolding map3-as-map by (intro nth-equalityI) simp-all
lemma cyclic-index-lemma:
 fixes n :: nat
 assumes \sigma < n \ \rho < n \ i < n
 shows (\sigma + \varrho) \mod n = i \longleftrightarrow \varrho = (n + i - \sigma) \mod n
proof
 assume (\sigma + \rho) \mod n = i
  then have (int \ \sigma + int \ \varrho) \ mod \ (int \ n) = int \ i
   using zmod-int by fastforce
 also have ... = (int \ n + int \ i) \ mod \ int \ n
   using \langle i < n \rangle by auto
 finally have (int \sigma + int \varrho - int \sigma) mod (int n) = (int n + int i - int \sigma) mod
int n
```

```
using mod-diff-cong by blast
  then have (int \ \varrho) \ mod \ (int \ n) = (int \ n + int \ i - int \ \sigma) \ mod \ (int \ n)
   by simp
  also have ... = (int (n + i - \sigma)) \mod (int n)
   using assms by (simp \ add: int-ops(6))
 finally show \varrho = (n + i - \sigma) \mod n
   using zmod-int assms by (metis mod-less of-nat-eq-iff)
 assume \varrho = (n + i - \sigma) \mod n
 then have (\sigma + \varrho) \mod n = (\sigma + (n + i - \sigma)) \mod n
   by presburger
 also have \dots = (n + i) \mod n
   using assms by simp
 also have \dots = i
   using assms by simp
 finally show (\sigma + \varrho) \mod n = i.
qed
lemma (in residues) residues-minus-eq: x \ominus_R y = (x - y) \mod m
proof -
 have x \ominus_R y = x \oplus_R (\ominus_R y)
   using a-minus-def by fast
 also have \ominus_R y = (-y) \mod m
   using res-neg-eq[of y].
 also have x \oplus_R ((-y) \mod m) = (x + ((-y) \mod m)) \mod m
   by (simp add: R-m-def residue-ring-def)
 also have \dots = (x - y) \mod m
   by (simp add: mod-add-right-eq)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma residue-ring-carrier-eq: \{0..(n::int) - 1\} = \{0..< n\}
 by auto
context ring
begin
fun nat-embedding :: nat \Rightarrow 'a where
nat-embedding \theta = \mathbf{0}
\mid nat\text{-}embedding \ (Suc \ n) = nat\text{-}embedding \ n \oplus 1
fun int-embedding :: int \Rightarrow 'a where
int-embedding n = (if \ n \geq 0 \ then \ nat-embedding \ (nat \ n) \ else \ominus nat-embedding \ (nat \ n)
(-n)))
lemma nat-embedding-closed[simp]: nat-embedding x \in carrier R
 by (induction \ x)(simp-all)
lemma int-embedding-closed[simp]: int-embedding x \in carrier R
 by simp
```

```
apply (induction x arbitrary: y)
    using a-comm a-assoc by simp-all
lemma nat-embedding-m-hom: nat-embedding (x * y) = nat-embedding x \otimes nat-embedding
   apply (induction x arbitrary: y)
    by (simp-all add: nat-embedding-a-hom l-distr a-comm)
lemma nat-embedding-exp-hom: nat-embedding (x \hat{y}) = nat-embedding x \hat{y} = nat-embeddin
    apply (induction y)
    by (simp-all add: nat-embedding-m-hom group-commutes-pow)
lemma int-embedding-neg-hom: int-embedding (-x) = \ominus int-embedding x
end
lemma int-exp-hom: int x \hat{i} = int (x \hat{i})
   by simp
end
2
              Auxiliary Sum Lemmas
theory Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas
   {\bf imports}\ \textit{Karatsuba-Preliminaries}\ \textit{Expander-Graphs.} \textit{Extra-Congruence-Method}
lemma sum-list-eq: (\bigwedge x. \ x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ x = g \ x) \Longrightarrow sum-list \ (map \ f \ xs) =
sum-list (map \ q \ xs)
   by (rule arg-cong[OF list.map-cong0])
lemma sum-list-split-0: (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< Suc\ n].\ f\ i) = f\ 0 + (\sum i \leftarrow [1..< Suc\ n].\ f
   \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{upt-eq-Cons-conv}
proof -
   have [0..<Suc\ n] = 0 \# [1..<Suc\ n] using upt-eq-Cons-conv by auto
   then show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma sum-list-index-trafo: (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ (g \ i)) = (\sum i \leftarrow map \ g \ xs. \ f \ i)
   by (induction xs) simp-all
lemma sum-list-index-shift: (\sum i \leftarrow [a..< b]. f(i+c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow [a+c..< b+c]. f
i)
proof -
   have (\sum i \leftarrow [a..{<}b].\ f\ (i+c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow (\mathit{map}\ (\lambda j.\ j+c)\ [a..{<}b]).\ f\ i)
        by (intro sum-list-index-trafo)
   also have map (\lambda j. j + c) [a.. < b] = [a+c.. < b+c]
        using map-add-const-upt by simp
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

lemma nat-embedding-a-hom: nat-embedding (x + y) = nat-embedding $x \oplus nat$ -embedding

```
lemma list-sum-index-shift: n = j - k \Longrightarrow (\sum i \leftarrow [k+1..< j+1]. \ f \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow [k+1..< j+1]. \ f \ i)
[k..< j]. f (i + 1)
  using sum-list-index-trafo[where g = \lambda l. l + 1 and xs = [k... < j] and f = f,
symmetric]
  using map-Suc-upt by simp
lemma list-sum-index-shift': (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< m]. \ a \ (i+c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow [c..< m+c]. \ a
i)
 by (induction m arbitrary: a c) auto
lemma list-sum-index-concat: (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< m]. \ a \ i) + (\sum i \leftarrow [m..< m+c]. \ a \ i)
= (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< m+c]. \ a \ i)
proof -
  have (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < m+c]. \ a \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < m] @ [m.. < m+c]. \ a \ i)
    using upt-add-eq-append[of 0 m c] by simp
  then show ?thesis using sum-list-append by simp
qed
lemma sum-list-linear:
  assumes \bigwedge a \ b. f(a + b) = f a + f b
  \mathbf{assumes}\ f\ \theta\ =\ \theta
  shows f\left(\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i\right) = \left(\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ (g \ i)\right)
  using assms
  by (induction \ xs) \ simp-all
lemma  sum-list-int:
  shows int (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ int \ (g \ i))
  by (intro sum-list-linear int-ops(5) int-ops(1))
lemma sum-list-split-Suc:
  assumes n = Suc n'
  shows (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. fi) = (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n']. fi) + fn'
  using assms by simp
lemma sum-list-estimation-leq:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \leq B
  shows (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \leq length \ xs * B
 using assms by (induction \ xs)(simp, fastforce)
lemma sum-list-estimation-le:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i < B
  assumes xs \neq []
  shows (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) < length \ xs * B
proof -
  from \langle xs \neq [] \rangle have length xs > 0 by simp
  from \langle xs \neq [] \rangle obtain x where x \in set xs by fastforce
  then have B > 0 using assms(1) by fastforce
  then obtain B' where B = Suc B' using not0-implies-Suc by blast
  with assms(1) have \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \leq B' by fastforce
```

```
also have ... < length xs * B using \langle B = Suc B' \rangle \langle length xs > 0 \rangle by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
2.1
          semiring-1 Sums
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-mult: of-bool x * a = (if x then a else 0)
  by simp
lemma (in semiring-1-cancel) of-bool-disj: of-bool (x \lor y) = of-bool x + of-bool y
- of-bool x * of-bool y
  by simp
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-disj-excl: \neg (x \land y) \Longrightarrow of\text{-bool} (x \lor y) = of\text{-bool}
x + of\text{-}bool y
  \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-var-swap:
  (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (i=j)*fi) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (i=j)*fj)
  by (induction \ xs) \ simp-all
lemma (\sum i \leftarrow xs. of-bool (i = j) * fi) = count-list xs \ j * fj
  by (induction xs) simp-all
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-distinct:
  \textit{distinct } \textit{xs} \Longrightarrow (\sum i \leftarrow \textit{xs. of-bool } (i = j) * \textit{f } \textit{i } \textit{j}) = \textit{of-bool } (j \in \textit{set } \textit{xs}) * \textit{f } \textit{j } \textit{j}
  by (induction xs) auto
lemma (in semiring-1) of-bool-distinct-in:
  \textit{distinct } \textit{xs} \Longrightarrow \textit{j} \in \textit{set } \textit{xs} \Longrightarrow (\sum \textit{i} \leftarrow \textit{xs. of-bool } (\textit{i} = \textit{j}) * \textit{f} \textit{i} \textit{j}) = \textit{f} \textit{j} \textit{j}
  using of-bool-distinct[of xs \ j \ f] of-bool-mult by simp
lemma (in linordered-semiring-1) of-bool-sum-leg-1:
  assumes distinct xs
  assumes \bigwedge i \ j. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow j \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow P \ i \Longrightarrow P \ j \Longrightarrow i = j
  shows (\sum l \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-}bool\ (P\ l)) \leq 1
  using assms
proof (induction xs)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
  case (Cons a xs)
  consider P \ a \mid \neg P \ a \ \text{by} \ blast
  then show ?case
  proof cases
    case 1
    then have r: (\sum l \leftarrow a \# xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (P\ l)) = 1 + (\sum l \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (P\ l))
    have of-bool (P \ l) = 0 if l \in set \ xs for l
    proof -
       from that have a \neq l using Cons by auto
       then have \neg P \ l \ using \ Cons \langle l \in set \ xs \rangle \ 1 \ by \ force
```

with sum-list-estimation-leq have $(\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \leq length \ xs * B'$ by blast

```
then show of-bool (P \ l) = 0 by simp
     qed
     then have (\sum l \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (P\ l)) = (\sum l \leftarrow xs.\ \theta)
       using list.map-cong\theta[of xs] by metis
     then show ?thesis using r by simp
  next
     case 2
     then have (\sum l \leftarrow a \# xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (P\ l)) = (\sum l \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (P\ l))
     then show ?thesis using Cons by simp
  qed
qed
instantiation nat:: linordered-semiring-1
begin
  instance ..
end
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-mult-sum-list: (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) * (\sum j \leftarrow ys. \ g \ j)
= (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \sum j \leftarrow ys. f i * g j)
  by (simp add: sum-list-const-mult sum-list-mult-const)
lemma (in semiring-1) semiring-1-sum-list-eq:
(\bigwedge i.\ i \in set\ xs \Longrightarrow f\ i = g\ i) \Longrightarrow (\sum i \leftarrow xs.\ f\ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs.\ g\ i)
  using arg\text{-}cong[OF\ list.map\text{-}cong\overline{0}] by blast
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-swap:
(\sum i \leftarrow \textit{xs. } (\sum j \leftarrow \textit{ys. } \textit{f } \textit{i } \textit{j})) = (\sum j \leftarrow \textit{ys. } (\sum i \leftarrow \textit{xs. } \textit{f } \textit{i } \textit{j}))
proof (induction xs)
  case (Cons a xs)
  have (\sum i \leftarrow (a \# xs). (\sum j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i \ j)) = (\sum j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ a \ j) + (\sum i \leftarrow xs.
(\sum j \leftarrow y\overline{s}. \ f \ i \ j))
by simp
  also have ... = (\sum j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ a \ j) + (\sum j \leftarrow ys. \ (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ j))
     using Cons by simp
  also have ... = (\sum j \leftarrow ys. f \ a \ j + (\sum i \leftarrow xs. f \ i \ j))
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{sum-list-addf}[\mathit{of} \ \lambda \mathit{j}. \ \mathit{f} \ \mathit{a} \ \mathit{j} \ \textit{-} \ \mathit{ys}] \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{simp}
  also have ... = (\sum j \leftarrow ys. (\sum i \leftarrow (a \# xs). f i j)) by simp
  finally show ?case.
qed simp
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-append:
  (\sum i \leftarrow (xs @ ys). \ f \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) + (\sum i \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i) by (induction xs) (simp-all add: add.assoc)
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-append':
  assumes zs = xs @ ys
  shows (\sum i \leftarrow zs. \ f \ i) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) + (\sum i \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i)
  using assms sum-append by blast
```

2.1.1 Power Sums

```
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-filter: (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ of\text{-bool}\ (P\ i) * f\ i) =
(\sum i \leftarrow filter\ P\ xs.\ f\ i)
  by (induction \ xs; \ simp)
lemma upt-filter-less: filter (\lambda i. \ i < c) \ [a.. < b] = [a.. < min \ b \ c]
  by (induction \ b; \ simp)
lemma upt-filter-geq: filter (\lambda i. \ i \ge c) \ [a.. < b] = [max \ a \ c.. < b]
  by (induction \ b; \ simp)
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-less: (\sum i \leftarrow [a..< b]. of-bool (i < c) * fi)
= (\sum i \leftarrow [a.. < min \ b \ c]. \ f \ i)
  unfolding sum-list-of-bool-filter upt-filter-less by (rule refl)
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-geq: (\sum i \leftarrow [a..< b]. of-bool (i \geq c) * fi)
= (\sum i \leftarrow [max \ a \ c.. < b]. \ f \ i)
   {\bf unfolding} \ sum\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}of\mbox{-}bool\mbox{-}filter\ upt\mbox{-}filter\mbox{-}geq\ {\bf by}\ (rule\ refl) 
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-list-of-bool-range: (\sum i \leftarrow [a..< b]). of-bool (i \in set)
(\sum_{i}^{n} i \leftarrow [max \ a \ c... < min \ b \ d]. \ f \ i)
\mathbf{proof} \ -
  have (\sum i \leftarrow [a..< b]. \ of\text{-bool} \ (i \in set \ [c..< d]) * f \ i) =
      (\sum i \leftarrow [a..< b]. of\text{-bool} (i \geq c) * (of\text{-bool} (i < d) * f i))
    by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq; simp)
  then show ?thesis unfolding sum-list-of-bool-geq sum-list-of-bool-less.
qed
lemma (in comm-semiring-1) cauchy-product:
(\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \ f \ i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [\theta..< m]. \ g \ j) =
    (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n+m-1]. \sum l \leftarrow [k+1-m..< min (k+1) n]. fl*g(k-1)
l))
proof
  have (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \ f \ i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [\theta..< m]. \ g \ j) =
    (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \sum j \leftarrow [\theta..< m]. fi * gj)
    unfolding sum-list-mult-const[symmetric]
    unfolding sum-list-const-mult[symmetric]
    by (rule refl)
   also have ... = (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta... < n]. \sum j \leftarrow [\theta... < m]. \sum k \leftarrow [\theta... < n + m - 1].
of-bool (k = i + j) * (f i * g j)
    by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq of-bool-distinct-in[symmetric]; simp)
   also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [\theta..< n + m - 1]. \sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \sum j \leftarrow [\theta..< m].
of-bool (k = i + j) * (f i * g j))
    unfolding sum-swap[where xs = [0..< m] and ys = [0..< n + m - 1]]
    unfolding sum-swap[where xs = [0..< n] and ys = [0..< n + m - 1]]
    by (rule refl)
   also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n + m - 1]. \sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \sum j \leftarrow [0..< m].
of-bool (k \ge i \land j = k - i) * (f i * g j))
```

```
by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq; simp)
   also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [\theta..< n + m - 1]. \sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \sum j \leftarrow [\theta..< m].
of-bool (j = k - i) * (of-bool (k \ge i) * (f i * g j)))
    by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq; simp)
  also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n+m-1]. \sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. of-bool (k-i \in set
[0..< m]) * ((of-bool (k \ge i) * (f i * g (k - i)))))
    by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq of-bool-distinct distinct-upt)
  also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n+m-1]. \sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. of-bool (i \ge k+1-1)
m) * ((of\text{-}bool\ (k+1 > i) * (f i * g\ (k-i)))))
    by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq; auto)
  also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [\theta... < n+m-1]. \sum l \leftarrow [k+1-m... < min(k+1)]
[n]. f l * g (k - l)
    apply (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq)
    unfolding sum-list-of-bool-geq sum-list-of-bool-less max-0L min.commute[of n]
    by (rule refl)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-product:
  assumes m > 0
  assumes n \geq m
  \mathbf{shows}
\textstyle (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta... < n]. \ f \ i \ * \ x \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} \ i) \ * \ (\sum j \leftarrow [\theta... < m]. \ g \ j \ * \ x \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} \ j) = 0
  (\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < m]. (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < Suc \ k]. \ f \ i * g \ (k-i)) * x \ ^k) + (\sum k \leftarrow [m.. < n]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k-m.. < Suc \ k]. \ f \ i * g \ (k-i)) * x \ ^k) + (\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < n+m-1]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k-m.. < n]. \ f \ i * g \ (k-i)) * x \ ^k)
proof -
  have 1: [0..< n + m - 1] = [0..< m] @ [m..< n] @ [n..< n + m - 1]
     using upt-add-eq-append'[of 0 \ m \ n + m - 1] upt-add-eq-append'[of m \ n \ n + m - 1]
m-1 assms by simp
  have (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [0.. < m]. \ g \ j * x \ \widehat{\ } j) = (\sum k \leftarrow [0.. < n + m - 1]. \ \sum l \leftarrow [k + 1 - m.. < min \ (k + 1) \ n]. \ (f \ l * x \ \widehat{\ } i) = (f \ l * x \ \widehat{\ } i)
(l) * (g (k - l) * x ^ (k - l)))
    by (rule cauchy-product)
  also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n+m-1]. \sum l \leftarrow [k+1-m..< min (k+1)]
n]. f l * g (k - l) * x ^ k
    apply (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq)
    using mult.commute mult.assoc power-add[symmetric]
    by simp
  also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [0..< n + m - 1]. (\sum l \leftarrow [k + 1 - m..< min (k + 1)])
n]. f l * g (k - l)) * x ^k)
    by (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq sum-list-mult-const)
  also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [\theta ... < m]). (\sum i \leftarrow [k+1-m... < min(k+1)n]). f i * g(k+1)
(-i)) * x ^k + (-i)
       (\sum k \leftarrow [m.. < n]. (\sum i \leftarrow [k+1-m.. < min(k+1)n]. fi * g(k-i)) * x^{n})
       (\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < n + m - 1]. (\sum i \leftarrow [k + 1 - m.. < min (k + 1) n]. fi * g (k - m.. < min (k + 1) n]. fi * g (k - m.. < min (k + 1) n].
i)) * x ^k)
```

```
unfolding 1 sum-append add.assoc by (rule refl)
    also have ... = (\sum k \leftarrow [\theta ... < m]. (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta ... < Suc k]. fi * g(k - i)) * x^k) +
           (\sum k \leftarrow [m.. < n]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k - m.. < Suc \ k]. \ f \ i * g \ (k - i)) * x ^k) + (\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < n + m - 1]. (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k - m.. < n]. \ f \ i * g \ (k - i)) * x ^k)
     using assms by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (+)] more: semiring-1-sum-list-eq; simp)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-product-same-length:
    assumes n > 0
    shows (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta ... < n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } i) * (\sum j \leftarrow [\theta ... < n]. \ g \ j * x \ \widehat{\ } j) =
    \begin{array}{l} (\sum k \leftarrow [\overrightarrow{0}.. < n]. \ (\sum i \leftarrow [\overrightarrow{0}.. < Suc \ k]. \ f \ i * g \ (k-i)) * x \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} k) \ + \\ (\sum k \leftarrow [n.. < 2*n-1]. \ (\sum i \leftarrow [Suc \ k-n.. < n]. \ f \ i * g \ (k-i)) * x \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} k) \end{array}
    using power-sum-product of n n f x g, OF assms order.refl
    by (simp add: semiring-numeral-class.mult-2)
lemma (in semiring-1) sum-index-transformation:
    shows (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ (g \ i)) = (\sum j \leftarrow map \ g \ xs. \ f \ j)
    by (induction xs) simp-all
lemma (in comm-semiring-1) power-sum-split:
    fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a
    fixes x :: 'a
    fixes c :: nat
    assumes j \leq n
   shows (\sum_{i} (-1)^{n} (-1)
proof -
    have (\lambda i. \ i + j) = (+) \ j by fastforce
   have (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. fi*x^{(i*c)}) =
        (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < j]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{} \ (i * c)) + (\sum i \leftarrow [j.. < n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{} \ (i * c))
        apply (intro sum-append' upt-add-eq-append') using \langle j \leq n \rangle by auto
    also have (\sum i \leftarrow [j..< n]. f i * x ^ (i * c)) =
        (\sum i \leftarrow map\ ((+)\ j)\ [0..< n-j].\ f\ i*x\ ^(i*c))
        apply (intro-cong [cong-tag-1 sum-list, cong-tag-2 map] more: refl)
        using \langle j \leq n \rangle map-add-upt[of j \ n - j] \langle (\lambda i. \ i + j) = (+) \ j \rangle by simp
    also have ... = (\sum_{i} i \leftarrow [0..< n-j]. f(j+i) * x^{(j)}((j+i) * c))
        by (intro sum-index-transformation[symmetric])
    also have ... = (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n-j]. \ x \ (j*c)*(f \ (j+i)*x \ (i*c)))
        apply (intro semiring-1-sum-list-eq)
        using mult.commute mult.assoc by (simp add: power-add add-mult-distrib)
    also have ... = x \hat{\ } (j * c) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n - j]. (f (j + i) * x \hat{\ } (i * c)))
        by (intro sum-list-const-mult)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
```

2.2 nat Sums

```
lemma geo-sum-nat:
  assumes (q :: nat) > 1
  shows (q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ q \cap i) = q \cap n - 1
proof (induction \ n)
  case (Suc \ n)
 have (q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < Suc \ n]. \ q \hat{\ } i) = (q - 1) * (q \hat{\ } n + (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n].
q \cap i)
    by simp
  also have ... = (q - 1) * q ^n + (q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. q ^i)
    using add-mult-distrib mult.commute by metis
  also have ... = (q - 1) * q ^n + (q ^n - 1)
    using Suc.IH by simp
  also have ... = q * q \cap n - 1 using \langle q > 1 \rangle by (simp add: diff-mult-distrib)
  finally show ?case by simp
qed simp
lemma geo-sum-bound:
  assumes (q :: nat) > 1
  proof -
  from assms have \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow f i \le (q - 1) by fastforce
  then have (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \ f \ i * q \ \widehat{\ } i) \leq (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta..< n]. \ (q-1) * q \ \widehat{\ } i)
    apply (intro sum-list-mono mult-le-mono1)
    using assms by simp
  also have ... = (q - 1) * (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta ... < n]. \ q^{i})
    \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ sum\text{-}list\text{-}const\text{-}mult)
  also have ... = q \hat{n} - 1
    by (intro qeo-sum-nat assms)
  also have ... \langle q \cap n \text{ using } \langle q > 1 \rangle by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma power-sum-nat-split-div-mod:
  assumes x > 1
  assumes c > 0
  assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (f \ i :: nat) < x \hat{c}
  assumes j \leq n
 \begin{array}{l} \textbf{shows} (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \ div \ x \ \widehat{\ } (j * c) \\ = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n - j]. \ f \ (j + i) * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \\ (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \ mod \ x \ \widehat{\ } (j * c) \\ = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< j]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \end{array}
proof -
  define sum where sum = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. fi * x ^(i * c))
  then have sum = (\sum_{i \in [0..< j]} f_i * x^{-1}(i * c)) +
      x \hat{(j*c)} * (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n-j]. f (j+i) * x \hat{(i*c)})
    (\mathbf{is} \ sum = ?sum1 + x \hat{\ } (j * c) * ?sum2)
    using power-sum-split \langle j \leq n \rangle by blast
```

```
have ?sum1 = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< j]. f i * (x ^c) ^i)
    apply (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (*)] more: semiring-1-sum-list-eq reft)
    using power-mult mult.commute by metis
  also have ... < (x \hat{c}) \hat{j}
    apply (intro geo-sum-bound)
    subgoal using assms one-less-power by blast
    subgoal using assms by simp
  finally have ?sum1 < x \hat{\ } (j*c) by (simp\ add:\ power-mult\ mult.commute)
  then show sum mod x \hat{\ } (j*c) = ?sum1 sum div (x \hat{\ } (j*c)) = ?sum2 using
\langle sum = ?sum1 + x \hat{} (j*c) * ?sum2 \rangle
    using assms(1) by fastforce+
qed
lemma power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient:
  assumes x > 1
  assumes c > \theta
  assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (f \ i :: nat) < x \hat{c}
  assumes j < n
  shows ((\sum i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \ div \ x \ \widehat{\ } (j * c)) \ mod \ x \ \widehat{\ } c = f \ j
  have (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. \ f \ i * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \ div \ x \ \widehat{\ } (j * c) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < n-j]. \ f \ (j+i) * x \ \widehat{\ } (i * c)) \ (\mathbf{is} \ ?sum = -)
    apply (intro\ power-sum-nat-split-div-mod(1)\ assms)
    using assms by simp-all
  moreover have ... mod \ x \ \widehat{} (1 * c) = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<1]. \ f \ (j+i) * x \ \widehat{} (i * c))
    apply (intro power-sum-nat-split-div-mod(2) assms)
    using assms by simp-all
  ultimately show ?sum mod x \cap c = f j by simp
qed
lemma power-sum-nat-eq:
  assumes x > 1
  assumes c > \theta
  assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow (f \ i :: nat) < x \hat{c}
 assumes \bigwedge i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow g \ i < x \mathbin{\widehat{}} c assumes (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta... < n]. \ f \ i * x \mathbin{\widehat{}} (i * c)) = (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta... < n]. \ g \ i * x \mathbin{\widehat{}} (i * c))
    (is ?sumf = ?sumg)
  shows \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow f i = g i
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} i
  assume i < n
  then have f i = (?sumf \ div \ x \cap (i * c)) \ mod \ x \cap c
    apply (intro power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient[symmetric] assms) by assump-
tion
  also have ... = (?sumg \ div \ x \ \hat{\ } (i * c)) \ mod \ x \ \hat{\ } c
    using assms by argo
  also have \dots = g i
  apply (intro power-sum-nat-extract-coefficient assms) using \langle i < n \rangle by simp-all
```

```
finally show f i = g i. qed
```

3 Sums in Monoids

theory Monoid-Sums

 ${\bf imports}\ HOL-Algebra. Ring\ Expander-Graphs. Extra-Congruence-Method\ Karatsuba-Preliminaries\ HOL-Library. Multiset\ HOL-Number-Theory. Residues\ Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas$

begin

 \mathbf{next}

end

This section contains a version of *sum-list* for entries in some abelian monoid. Contrary to *sum-list*, which is defined for the type class *comm-monoid-add*, this version is for the locale *abelian-monoid*. After the definition, some simple lemmas about sums are proven for this sum function.

```
context abelian-monoid
begin
fun monoid-sum-list :: ['c \Rightarrow 'a, 'c \ list] \Rightarrow 'a \ \mathbf{where}
  \mid monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list \ f \ (x \# xs) = f \ x \oplus monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list \ f \ xs
lemma monoid-sum-list f xs = foldr (\oplus) (map f xs) \mathbf{0}
 by (induction xs) simp-all
end
The syntactic sugar used for finsum is adapted accordingly.
  -monoid-sum-list :: index \Rightarrow idt \Rightarrow 'c \ list \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'a
      ((3 \bigoplus --\leftarrow -. -) [1000, 0, 51, 10] 10)
translations
  \bigoplus_{G} i \leftarrow xs. \ b \rightleftharpoons CONST \ abelian-monoid.monoid-sum-list \ G \ (\lambda i. \ b) \ xs
context abelian-monoid
begin
lemma monoid-sum-list-finsum:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
 assumes distinct xs
 shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. fi) = (\bigoplus i \in set xs. fi)
  using assms
proof (induction xs)
  case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
```

```
case (Cons a xs)
  then show ?case using finsum-insert[of set xs a f] by simp
qed
lemma monoid-sum-list-cong:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i = g \ i
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i)
  using assms by (induction xs) simp-all
lemma monoid-sum-list-closed[simp]:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \in carrier \ G
  using assms by (induction xs) simp-all
lemma monoid-sum-list-add-in:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i) =
                         (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \oplus g \ i)
  using assms
proof (induction xs)
  case (Cons a xs)
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs). f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs). g i)
       = (f \ a \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i)) \oplus (g \ a \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i))
  also have ... = (f \ a \oplus g \ a) \oplus ((\bigoplus i \leftarrow \textit{xs. } f \ i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow \textit{xs. } g \ i))
     using a-comm a-assoc Cons.prems by simp
  also have ... = (f a \oplus g a) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \oplus g \ i)
     using Cons by simp
  finally show ?case by simp
qed simp
lemma monoid-sum-list-0[simp]: (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ \mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}
  by (induction xs) simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{monoid}\text{-}\mathit{sum}\text{-}\mathit{list}\text{-}\mathit{swap}\text{:}
  assumes[simp]: \land i j. i ∈ set xs \Longrightarrow j ∈ set ys \Longrightarrow f i j ∈ carrier G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i j)) =
                     (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ j))
  using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
  case (Cons\ a\ xs)
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs). (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. f i j))
       = (\bigoplus j \leftarrow \mathit{ys.} \; f \; a \; j) \; \oplus \; (\bigoplus i \leftarrow \mathit{xs.} \; (\bigoplus j \leftarrow \mathit{ys.} \; f \; i \; j))
     by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ a \ j) \oplus (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ j))
     using Cons by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ a \ j \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ j))
     using monoid-sum-list-add-in[of ys \lambda j. f a j \lambda j. (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ j)] Cons.prems
```

```
by simp
  finally show ?case by simp
\mathbf{qed}\ simp
lemma monoid-sum-list-index-transformation:
  (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (map \ g \ xs). \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ (g \ i))
  by (induction xs) simp-all
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list\text{-}index\text{-}shift\text{-}}\theta\colon
  (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [c.. < c+n]. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0.. < n]. \ f \ (c+i))
  using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation[of f \lambda i. c + i [0..< n]]
  by (simp add: add.commute map-add-upt)
lemma monoid-sum-list-index-shift:
  (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [a..<b]. f (l+c)) = (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [(a+c)..<(b+c)]. f l)
  using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation[of f \lambda i. i + c [a..<b]]
  by (simp add: map-add-const-upt)
lemma monoid-sum-list-app:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ ys. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)
  by (induction xs) (simp-all add: a-assoc)
lemma monoid-sum-list-app':
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set\ ys \Longrightarrow f\ i \in carrier\ G
  assumes xs @ ys = zs
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow zs. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i)
  using monoid-sum-list-app assms by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list\text{-}extract\text{:}
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set\ ys \Longrightarrow f\ i \in carrier\ G
  assumes f x \in carrier G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ x \# ys. f i) = f x \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (xs @ ys). f i)
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs @ x \# ys. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus f x \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)
    using assms monoid-sum-list-app[of xs f x \# ys]
    using a-assoc by auto
  also have ... = f x \oplus ((\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i))
    using assms a-assoc a-comm by auto
  finally show ?thesis using monoid-sum-list-app[of xs f ys] assms by algebra
qed
lemma monoid-sum-list-Suc:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i < Suc \ r \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [\theta..< Suc\ r].\ f\ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [\theta..< r].\ f\ i) \oplus f\ r
```

```
using assms monoid-sum-list-app[of [0..< r] f [r]]
    by simp
lemma bij-betw-diff-singleton: a \in A \Longrightarrow b \in B \Longrightarrow bij-betw f \land B \Longrightarrow f \land a = b
\implies bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (A-\{a\})\ (B-\{b\})
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) DiffE Diff-Diff-Int Diff-cancel Diff-empty Int-insert-right-if1
 Un-Diff-Int\ notIn-Un-bij-betw3\ singleton-iff)
lemma a \in A \Longrightarrow bij\text{-}betw\ f\ A\ B \Longrightarrow bij\text{-}betw\ f\ (A - \{a\})\ (B - \{f\ a\})
    using bij-betw-diff-singleton[of a A f a B f]
    by (simp \ add: bij-betwE)
lemma monoid-sum-list-multiset-eq:
    assumes mset \ xs = mset \ ys
    assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
    shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i)
    using assms
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (Cons\ a\ xs)
    then have a \in set \ ys \ using \ mset-eq-setD \ by \ fastforce
    then obtain ys1 \ ys2 where ys = ys1 \ @ \ a \ \# \ ys2 by (meson \ split-list)
    with Cons. prems have 1: mset xs = mset (ys1 @ ys2) by simp
   from Cons.prems mset-eq-setD have \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G by blast
    then have [simp]: \land i. i \in set \ ys1 \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G \ f \ a \in carrier \ G \ \land i. i \in set \ gather f \ f \ a \in carrier \ G \ \land i. i \in set \ gather f \ f \ a \in carrier \ G \ \land i. i \in set \ gather f \ f \ a \in carrier \ G \ \land i. i \in set \ gather f \ f \ gather f \ gather f \ f \ gather 
set\ ys2 \Longrightarrow f\ i \in carrier\ G
       using \langle ys = ys1 \otimes a \# ys2 \rangle by simp-all
    from 1 have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1 @ ys2). \ f \ i)
       using Cons by simp
    also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys1. fi) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys2. fi)
       by (intro monoid-sum-list-app) simp-all
    also have f a \oplus ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys1. f i) \oplus (f a \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys2. f i))
       using a-comm a-assoc monoid-sum-list-closed by simp
    also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys1. fi) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# ys2). fi)
       by simp
    also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. f i)
       unfolding \langle ys = ys1 @ a \# ys2 \rangle
       \mathbf{by}\ (intro\ monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list\text{-}app[symmetric])\ auto
    finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma monoid-sum-list-index-permutation:
    assumes distinct xs
    assumes distinct ys \lor length \ xs = length \ ys
    assumes bij-betw f (set xs) (set ys)
    assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ G
    shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. \ g \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ (f \ i))
    using assms
```

```
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
  case Nil
  then have ys = [] using bij-betw-same-card by fastforce
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons a xs)
  then have length ys = length (a \# xs) distinct ys
  by (metis bij-betw-same-card distinct-card, metis bij-betw-same-card distinct-card
card-distinct)
  have \theta: \bigwedge i. i \in set (a \# xs) \Longrightarrow g(f i) \in carrier G
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} i
   assume i \in set (a \# xs)
   then have f i \in set \ ys \ using \ Cons.prems(3) by (simp \ add: \ bij-betw-apply)
   then show q(f i) \in carrier \ G \ using \ Cons.prems(4) by blast
  qed
  define b where b = f a
  then have b \in set \ ys \ using \ Cons(4) \ bij-betw-apply by fastforce
  then obtain ys1 ys2 where ys = ys1 @ b # ys2 by (meson split-list)
  then have b \notin set \ ys1 \ b \notin set \ ys2 \ using \langle distinct \ ys \rangle \ by \ simp-all
  have bij-betw f (set xs) (set (ys1 @ ys2))
   using \langle ys = ys1 \otimes b \# ys2 \rangle Cons(4) b-def
   using bij-betw-diff-singleton[of a set (a \# xs) f a set ys f]
   using Cons.prems(1) \land distinct \ ys > by auto
 moreover have length (ys1 @ ys2) = length xs using \langle length | ys = length | (a \#
(xs) (ys = ys1 @ b \# ys2)
   by simp
  ultimately have 1: (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1@ys2). \ g \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ (f \ i)) using
Cons.IH[of\ ys1@ys2]\ Cons.prems(4)
   using Cons.prems(1) 0 \langle ys = ys1 @ b \# ys2 \rangle by auto
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs). \ g \ (f \ i)) = g \ b \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ (f \ i))
   using \langle b = f a \rangle by simp
  also have ... = g \ b \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1@ys2). \ g \ i) using 1 by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (ys1@b\#ys2). \ g \ i)
   apply (intro monoid-sum-list-extract[symmetric])
   using Cons.prems(4) \langle ys = ys1 @ b \# ys2 \rangle by simp-all
  finally show (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ys. \ g \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow (a \# xs). \ g \ (f \ i))
    using \langle ys = ys1 \otimes b \# ys2 \rangle by simp
qed
lemma monoid-sum-list-split:
  assumes[simp]: \land i. i < b + c \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G
  shows (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..< b]. f l) \oplus (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [b..< b+c]. f l) = (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0..< b+c].
  using monoid-sum-list-app[of [0...< b] f [b...< b+c], symmetric]
  using upt-add-eq-append[of 0 \ b \ c]
```

```
by simp
```

```
{\bf lemma}\ monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list\text{-}splice\text{:}
     assumes[simp]: \land i. i < 2 * n \implies f i ∈ carrier G
     shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<2*n]. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n]. f(2*i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<n].
f(2*i+1)
proof -
     let ?es = filter\ even\ [0..<2*n]
     let ?os = filter \ odd \ [0..<2*n]
     have 1: (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..<2*n]. fi) = (\bigoplus i \in \{0..<2*n\}. fi)
           using monoid-sum-list-finsum[of [0..<2*n] f] by <math>simp
     let ?E = \{i \in \{0..<2*n\}. \ even \ i\}
     let ?O = \{i \in \{0..<2*n\}.\ odd\ i\}
     have ?E \cap ?O = \{\} by blast
     moreover have ?E \cup ?O = \{0..<2*n\} by blast
     ultimately have (\bigoplus i \in \{0..<2*n\}.\ f\ i) = (\bigoplus i \in ?E.\ f\ i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \in ?O.\ f\ i)
           using finsum-Un-disjoint[of ?E ?O f] assms by auto
      moreover have ?E = set ?es ?O = set ?os by simp-all
      ultimately have (\bigoplus i \in \{0..<2*n\}, fi) = (\bigoplus i \in set ?es. fi) \oplus (\bigoplus i \in set
 ?os. fi
           by presburger
      also have (\bigoplus i \in set ?es. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ?es. fi)
           using monoid-sum-list-finsum[of ?es f] by simp
     also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f(2*i))
           using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation[of f \lambda i. 2 * i [0..< n]]
           using filter-even-upt-even
           by algebra
      also have (\bigoplus i \in set ?os. fi) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow ?os. fi)
           using monoid-sum-list-finsum[of ?os f] by simp
     also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. f(2*i + 1))
           using monoid-sum-list-index-transformation[of f \lambda i. 2 * i + 1 [0..<n]]
           \mathbf{using}\ filter\text{-}odd\text{-}upt\text{-}even
           by algebra
     finally show ?thesis using 1 by presburger
qed
{f lemma}\ monoid\mbox{-}sum\mbox{-}list\mbox{-}even\mbox{-}odd\mbox{-}split:
     assumes even (n::nat)
     assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier G
     shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n \ div \ 2]. \ f \ (2*i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ (2*i)) \oplus (i) 
n \ div \ 2|. \ f \ (2*i+1)
      using assms monoid-sum-list-splice by auto
end
context abelian-group
begin
```

```
lemma monoid-sum-list-minus-in:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ominus f i)
  using assms by (induction xs) (simp-all add: minus-add)
lemma monoid-sum-list-diff-in:
  assumes[simp]: \bigwedge i. i ∈ set xs \Longrightarrow f i ∈ carrier G
  assumes[simp]: \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ G
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i) =
                       (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ominus g \ i)
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow \mathit{xs.}\ f\ i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow \mathit{xs.}\ g\ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow \mathit{xs.}\ f\ i) \oplus (\ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow \mathit{xs.}\ g\ i)
i))
    unfolding minus-eq by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. f i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ominus g i)
    using monoid-sum-list-minus-in[of xs g] by simp
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \oplus (\ominus g \ i))
    using monoid-sum-list-add-in[of xs f] by simp
  finally show ?thesis unfolding minus-eq.
qed
end
context ring
begin
lemma monoid-sum-list-const:
  assumes[simp]: c \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ c) = (nat\text{-}embedding (length } xs)) \otimes c
  apply (induction xs)
  using a-comm l-distr by auto
lemma monoid-sum-list-in-right:
  assumes y \in carrier R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes y) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \otimes y
  using assms by (induction xs) (simp-all add: l-distr)
lemma monoid-sum-list-in-left:
  assumes y \in carrier R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ y \otimes f \ i) = y \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i)
  using assms by (induction xs) (simp-all add: r-distr)
\mathbf{lemma}\ monoid\text{-}sum\text{-}list\text{-}prod\text{:}
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ g \ j) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i \otimes g \ j))
proof -
```

```
have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ g \ j) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ g \ j))
    apply (intro monoid-sum-list-in-right[symmetric])
    using assms by simp-all
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (\bigoplus j \leftarrow ys. \ f \ i \otimes g \ j))
    apply (intro monoid-sum-list-cong monoid-sum-list-in-left[symmetric])
    using assms by simp-all
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
         Kronecker delta
3.1
definition delta where
delta \ i \ j = (if \ i = j \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0)
lemma delta-closed[simp]: delta \ i \ j \in carrier \ R
  unfolding delta-def by simp
lemma delta-sym: delta \ i \ j = delta \ j \ i
  unfolding delta-def by simp
lemma delta-refl[simp]: delta i i = 1
  unfolding delta-def by simp
lemma monoid-sum-list-delta[simp]:
  \mathbf{assumes}[simp]: \bigwedge i. \ i < n \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes[simp]: j < n
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i) = f \ j
proof -
  from assms have \theta: [\theta..< n] = [\theta..< j] @ j # [Suc j..< n]
    by (metis le-add1 le-add-same-cancel1 less-imp-add-positive upt-add-eq-append
upt-conv-Cons)
  then have [\theta..< n] = [\theta..< j] @ [j] @ [Suc j..< n]
    by simp
  moreover have 1: \bigwedge i. i \in set [0..< j] \Longrightarrow delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i \in carrier \ R
    using 0 assms delta-closed m-closed atLeastLessThan-iff
  by (metis le-add1 less-imp-add-positive linorder-le-less-linear set-upt upt-conv-Nil)
  moreover have 2: \land i. i \in set([j] @ [Suc j... < n]) \Longrightarrow delta i j \otimes f i \in carrier R
    using 0 assms delta-closed m-closed
    by auto
  ultimately have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. delta i \ j \otimes f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< j]. delta i \ j \otimes f \ i)
f(i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j] \otimes [Suc\ j... < n].\ delta\ i\ j \otimes f(i)
    using monoid-sum-list-app[of [0..< j] \lambda i. delta i j \otimes f i [j] @ [Suc j..< n]]
    by presburger
  also have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j] @ [Suc \ j... < n]. \ delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j]. \ delta \ i \ j \otimes f
i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [Suc \ j... < n]. \ delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i)
    using 2 monoid-sum-list-app[of [j] \lambda i. delta i j \otimes f i [Suc j..<n]]
    by simp
  also have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< j]. delta i j \otimes f i) = \mathbf{0}
    using monoid-sum-list-0 [of [0..< j]] monoid-sum-list-cong [of [0..< j]] \lambda i. 0 \lambda i.
```

```
delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i
    unfolding delta-def using \langle j < n \rangle by simp
  also have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [Suc \ j... < n]. \ delta \ i \ j \otimes f \ i) = \mathbf{0}
     using monoid-sum-list-0 [of [Suc j...< n]] monoid-sum-list-cong[of [Suc j...< n]
\lambda i. 0 \lambda i. delta i j \otimes f i
    unfolding delta-def by simp
  also have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [j]. delta i j \otimes f i) = f j by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma mononid-sum-list-only-delta[simp]:
  j < n \Longrightarrow (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ delta \ i \ j) = 1
  using monoid-sum-list-delta[of n \ \lambda i. \ 1 \ j] by simp
3.2
          Power sums
lemma qeo-monoid-list-sum:
  assumes[simp]: x \in carrier R
  shows (\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [\theta..< r]. \ x \ [ ] \ l) = (\mathbf{1} \ominus x \ [ ] \ r)
  using assms
proof (induction \ r)
  case \theta
  then show ?case using assms by (simp, algebra)
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc\ r)
  have (1 \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [(\theta :: nat) ... < Suc \ r]. \ x \ [ \ ] \ l) = (1 \ominus x) \otimes (x \ [ \ ] \ r \oplus (\bigoplus l)
\leftarrow [\theta..< r]. \ x \ [\widehat{\phantom{a}}] \ l))
    using monoid-sum-list-Suc[of r \ \lambda l. \ x \ ] \ a-comm
    by simp
  also have ... = (\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ r \oplus (\mathbf{1} \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [\theta..< r]. \ x \ [ \ ] \ l)
    using r-distr by auto
  also have ... = x \upharpoonright r \ominus x \upharpoonright (Suc \ r) \oplus (1 \ominus x) \otimes (\bigoplus l \leftarrow [0... < r]. \ x \upharpoonright l)
    apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = (\oplus)] refl)
    unfolding minus-eq
      l\text{-}distr[OF\ one\text{-}closed\ a\text{-}inv\text{-}closed[OF\ \ \  \  \, x\in\ carrier\ R)]\ nat\text{-}pow\text{-}closed[OF\ \ \  \  \, x
\in carrier R
    using \langle x \in carrier R \rangle
    using l-minus nat-pow-Suc2 by force
  also have ... = x \upharpoonright r \ominus x \upharpoonright (Suc \ r) \oplus (\mathbf{1} \ominus x \upharpoonright r)
    using Suc by presburger
  also have ... = \mathbf{1} \ominus x [ \widehat{\phantom{a}} [ Suc \ r)
    using one-closed minus-add assms nat-pow-closed[of x] by algebra
  finally show ?case.
rewrite ?x \in carrier R \Longrightarrow (?x ?n) ?m = ?x ?m (?n * ?m) and ?a *
?b = ?b * ?a inside power sum
```

lemma monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow:

```
assumes x \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ ((g \ i :: nat) * h \ i)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes (x \ [ \ ] \ h \ i)
[ ] g i 
  apply (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\otimes)] more: monoid-sum-list-cong reft)
  using nat-pow-pow[OF assms] by (simp add: mult.commute)
end
context cring
begin
Split a power sum at some term
lemma monoid-pow-sum-list-split:
  assumes l + k = n
  assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier R
  assumes x \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i) =
    (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\phantom{a}}] \ i) \oplus
    x \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} \ l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. \ f \ (l+i) \otimes x \ \widehat{\phantom{a}} \ i)
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i) =
    (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ ] \ i) \oplus
    (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [l..< n]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ \lceil \uparrow \ i)
   apply (intro monoid-sum-list-app' m-closed nat-pow-closed upt-add-eq-append'[symmetric])
    using assms by simp-all
  also have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [l.. < n]. f i \otimes x \cap i) =
    (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. \ f \ (l+i) \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (l+i))
    using monoid-sum-list-index-shift-0[of - l n - l] <math>\langle l + k = n \rangle
    by fastforce
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. \ x \cap l \otimes (f(l+i) \otimes x \cap i))
    apply (intro monoid-sum-list-cong)
     using assms m-comm m-assoc nat-pow-mult[symmetric, OF \langle x \in carrier R \rangle]
by simp
  also have ... = x \upharpoonright l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f(l+i) \otimes x \upharpoonright l
    apply (intro monoid-sum-list-in-left m-closed nat-pow-closed)
    using assms by simp-all
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
split power sum at term, more general
lemma monoid-pow-sum-split:
  assumes l + k = n
  assumes \bigwedge i. i < n \Longrightarrow f i \in carrier R
  assumes x \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i * c)) =
    (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i * c)) \oplus
    x \cap (l*c) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f(l+i) \otimes x \cap (i*c)
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i * c)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< n]. \ f \ i \otimes (x \ [ \ ] \ c) \ [ \ ]
```

```
by (intro monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow \langle x \in carrier R \rangle)
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. f i \otimes (x \uparrow c) \uparrow i) \oplus
     (x \upharpoonright c) \upharpoonright l \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f(l+i) \otimes (x \upharpoonright c) \upharpoonright i)
     by (intro monoid-pow-sum-list-split assms nat-pow-closed) argo
   also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< l]. f i \otimes x [\widehat{\ }] (i * c)) \oplus
     x \upharpoonright (c * l) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f(l+i) \otimes x \upharpoonright (i * c))
   \textbf{by } (\textit{intro-cong} \ [\textit{cong-tag-2} \ (\oplus), \ \textit{cong-tag-2} \ (\otimes)] \ \textit{more: monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow} [\textit{symmetric}]
nat\text{-}pow\text{-}pow \ \langle x \in carrier \ R \rangle)
   also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [\theta... < l]. f i \otimes x [\widehat{\ }] (i * c)) \oplus
     x \cap (l * c) \otimes (\bigoplus i \leftarrow [0..< k]. f (l + i) \otimes x \cap (i * c))
      by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\oplus), cong-tag-2 (\otimes), cong-tag-2 ([\uparrow)] more: refl
mult.commute)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
3.2.1
             Algebraic operations
addition
lemma monoid-pow-sum-add:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes x \in carrier R
   shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i::nat)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ i) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ i)
xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\widehat{\phantom{a}}] i)
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i) =
     (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ i) \oplus (g \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ i))
      apply (intro monoid-sum-list-add-in m-closed nat-pow-closed assms) by as-
sumption +
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x \cap i)
    apply (intro monoid-sum-list-cong l-distr[symmetric] nat-pow-closed assms) by
assumption +
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma monoid-pow-sum-add':
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes x \in carrier R
shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ ((i::nat) * c)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i * c)) =
(\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x [\widehat{\phantom{a}}] (i * c))
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ ((i::nat) * c)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i * c)) =
     (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (f \ i \otimes (x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ c) \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i)) \oplus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (g \ i \otimes (x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ c) \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i))
   by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\oplus)] more: monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow \forall x \in carrier
R \rightarrow)
   also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes (x [ \uparrow c) [ \uparrow i)
```

 ${\bf apply} \ (intro \ monoid\text{-}pow\text{-}sum\text{-}add \ nat\text{-}pow\text{-}closed) \ {\bf using} \ assms \ {\bf by} \ simp\text{-}all$

```
also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \oplus g i) \otimes x \upharpoonright (i * c))
    by (intro monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow[symmetric] \langle x \in carrier R \rangle)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
unary minus
lemma monoid-pow-sum-minus:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes x \in carrier R
  shows \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i :: nat)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (\ominus f \ i) \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ i)
proof -
  have \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i :: nat)) = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ \ominus (f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i :: nat)))
    apply (intro monoid-sum-list-minus-in m-closed nat-pow-closed assms) by as-
sumption
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (\ominus f \ i) \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i)
      apply (intro monoid-sum-list-cong l-minus[symmetric] nat-pow-closed assms)
by assumption
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
minus
lemma monoid-pow-sum-diff:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes x \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i::nat)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i::nat)) =
       \bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (f \ i \ominus g \ i) \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i)
 by (simp add: minus-eq monoid-pow-sum-add[symmetric] monoid-pow-sum-minus)
lemma monoid-pow-sum-diff':
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow f \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow g \ i \in carrier \ R
  assumes x \in carrier R
  shows (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ ((i::nat) * c)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i * c)) =
       (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ (f \ i \ominus g \ i) \otimes x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ (i * c))
proof -
  have (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ ((i::nat) * c)) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes x \ [ \ ] \ (i * c)) =
     (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \otimes (x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ c) \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i) \ominus (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. \ g \ i \otimes (x \ [\widehat{\ }] \ c) \ [\widehat{\ }] \ i)
     by (intro-cong [cong-tag-2 (\lambda i \ j. \ i \ominus j)] more: monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow
\langle x \in carrier R \rangle
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes (x [ \widehat{\ } ] c) [ \widehat{\ } ] i)
    apply (intro monoid-pow-sum-diff nat-pow-closed) using assms by simp-all
  also have ... = (\bigoplus i \leftarrow xs. (f i \ominus g i) \otimes x [\widehat{\ }] (i * c))
    by (intro monoid-pow-sum-nat-pow-pow[symmetric] \langle x \in carrier R \rangle)
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
```

3.3 monoid-sum-list in the context residues

```
context residues
begin
lemma monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list:
(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) = (\sum_i i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \ mod \ m
 apply (induction xs)
 subgoal by (simp add: zero-cong)
 subgoal for a xs by (simp add: mod-add-right-eq res-add-eq)
 done
lemma monoid-sum-list-mod-in:
(\bigoplus_{R} i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) = (\bigoplus_{R} i \leftarrow xs. \ (f \ i) \ mod \ m)
 by (induction xs) (simp-all add: mod-add-left-eq res-add-eq)
lemma monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list':
(\bigoplus_R i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i \ mod \ m) = (\sum_i i \leftarrow xs. \ f \ i) \ mod \ m
 using monoid-sum-list-eq-sum-list monoid-sum-list-mod-in by metis
end
end
      The estimation tactic
4
theory Estimation-Method
 \mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Main}\ \mathit{HOL-Eisbach}. \mathit{Eisbach-Tools}
begin
A few useful lemmas for working with inequalities.
lemma if-prop-cong:
```

```
assumes C = C'
  assumes C \Longrightarrow P A A'
  assumes \neg C \Longrightarrow P B B'
  shows P (if C then A else B) (if C' then A' else B')
 using assms by simp
lemma if-leqI:
  assumes C \Longrightarrow A \leq t
 \mathbf{assumes} \neg \ C \Longrightarrow B \le t
 shows (if C then A else B) \leq t
  using assms by simp
lemma if-le-max:
  (if \ C \ then \ (t1 :: 'a :: linorder) \ else \ t2) \leq max \ t1 \ t2
  by simp
```

Prove some inequality by showing a chain of inequalities via an intermediate

```
term.
method itrans for step :: 'a :: order =
  (match conclusion in s \le t for s \ t :: 'a \Rightarrow \langle rule \ order.trans[of \ s \ step \ t] \rangle)
A collection of monotonicity intro rules that will be automatically used by
estimation.
lemmas mono-intros =
 order.refl add-mono diff-mono mult-le-mono max.mono min.mono power-increasing
  iff D2[OF\ Suc\ le-mono]\ if-prop-cong[ where P=(\leq)]\ Nat.le0\ one-le-numeral
Try to apply a given estimation rule estimate in a forward-manner.
method estimation uses estimate =
  (match estimate in \bigwedge a. f \ a \leq h \ a \ (multi) for f \ h \Rightarrow \langle a \rangle
    match \ conclusion \ in \ g \ f \leq t \ for \ g \ and \ t :: \ nat \Rightarrow
    \langle rule\ order.trans[of\ g\ f\ g\ h\ t],\ intro\ mono-intros\ refl\ estimate 
angle 
angle
 | x \leq y \text{ for } x y \Rightarrow \langle
    match\ conclusion\ in\ g\ x \leq t\ for\ g\ and\ t::nat \Rightarrow
    \langle rule\ order.trans[of\ g\ x\ g\ y\ t],\ intro\ mono-intros\ refl\ estimate \rangle \rangle
end
theory Time-Monad-Extended
 imports Root-Balanced-Tree. Time-Monad
```

5 Some Automation for Root-Balanced-Tree. Time-Monad

A bit of automation for statements involving the *time* component.

begin

```
lemma time-bind-tm: time (s \gg f) = time \ s + time \ (f \ (val \ s)) unfolding bind-tm-def by (simp \ split: tm.splits)
lemma time-tick: time (tick \ s) = 1 by (simp \ add: \ tick-def)
lemmas tm-time-simps[simp] = time-bind-tm time-return time-tick if-distrib[of \ time]
lemma bind-tm-cong[fundef-cong]: assumes f1 = f2 assumes g1 \ (val \ f1) = g2 \ (val \ f2) shows f1 \gg g1 = f2 \gg g2 using assms unfolding bind-tm-def by (auto \ split: \ tm.splits)
```

Introduce val-simp as named theorem. The idea is to collect simplification rules for the *Time-Monad.val* component that can be unfolded on their own.

```
named-theorems val-simp
declare val-simps[val-simp]
end
theory Main-TM
imports Main Time-Monad-Extended Estimation-Method
begin
```

6 Running Time Formalization for some functions available in Main

6.1 Functions on bool

6.1.1 Not

```
fun Not-tm :: bool \Rightarrow bool tm where
Not\text{-}tm \ True = 1 \ return \ False
\mid Not\text{-tm } False = 1 return True
lemma val-Not-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (Not-tm x) = Not x
 by (cases x; simp)
lemma time-Not-tm[simp]: time\ (Not-tm\ x)=1
 by (cases x; simp)
6.1.2 disj / conj
definition disj-tm where disj-tm x y = 1 return (x \lor y)
definition conj-tm where conj-tm x y = 1 return (x \land y)
lemma val-disj-tm[simp, val-simp]: val(disj-tm x y) = (x \lor y)
 by (simp add: disj-tm-def)
lemma time-disj-tm[simp]: time(disj-tm x y) = 1
 by (simp add: disj-tm-def)
lemma val-conj-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (conj-tm x y) = (x \land y)
 by (simp add: conj-tm-def)
lemma time-conj-tm[simp]: time(conj-tm x y) = 1
 by (simp add: conj-tm-def)
```

6.1.3 equal

```
fun equal-bool-tm :: bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ where equal-bool-tm True p=1 return p | equal-bool-tm False p=1 Not-tm p | lemma val-equal-bool-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (equal-bool-tm x y) = (x=y) by (cases \ x; \ simp)
```

```
by (cases x; simp)
```

6.2 Functions involving pairs

```
6.2.1
        fst / snd
fun fst-tm :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'a \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
fst-tm(x, y) = 1 return x
fun snd-tm :: 'a \times 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
snd-tm(x, y) = 1 return y
lemma val-fst-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fst-tm p) = fst p
 by (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold fst-tm.simps, simp)
lemma time-fst-tm[simp]: time\ (fst-tm\ p)=1
 \mathbf{by}\ (subst\ prod.collapse[symmetric],\ unfold\ fst\text{-}tm.simps,\ simp)
lemma val-snd-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (snd-tm p) = snd p
  by (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold snd-tm.simps, simp)
lemma time-snd-tm[simp]: time\ (snd-tm\ p)=1
 by (subst prod.collapse[symmetric], unfold snd-tm.simps, simp)
6.3
       Functions on nat
6.3.1
        (+)
fun plus-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
plus-nat-tm (Suc m) n = 1 plus-nat-tm m (Suc n)
\mid plus-nat-tm \ 0 \ n=1 \ return \ n
lemma val-plus-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (plus-nat-tm m n) = m + n
 by (induction m n rule: plus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-plus-nat-tm[simp]: time\ (plus-nat-tm\ m\ n)=m+1
 by (induction m n rule: plus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
6.3.2 (*)
fun times-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
times-nat-tm \ 0 \ n = 1 \ return \ 0
\mid times-nat-tm \ (Suc \ m) \ n=1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow times-nat-tm \ m \ n;
   plus-nat-tm \ n \ r
lemma val-times-nat-tm[simp]: val (times-nat-tm m n) = m * n
 by (induction m n rule: times-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-times-nat-tm[simp]: time (times-nat-tm m n) = m * (n + 2) + 1
 by (induction m n rule: times-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
```

```
6.3.3 (^)
fun power-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
power-nat-tm \ a \ \theta = 1 \ return \ 1
\mid power-nat-tm \ a \ (Suc \ n) = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow power-nat-tm \ a \ n;
   times-nat-tm\ a\ r
lemma val-power-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (power-nat-tm a n) = a \hat{} n
 by (induction a n rule: power-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-power-nat-tm-aux0: time (power-nat-tm 0 n) = 2 * n + 1
 by (induction \ n) simp-all
lemma time-power-nat-tm-aux1: time (power-nat-tm 1 n) = 5 * n + 1
 by (induction \ n) simp-all
lemma time-power-nat-tm-aux2:
 assumes m \geq 2
 shows time (power-nat-tm m n) \leq (2 * n + m \hat{n}) * m + 2 * n + 1
proof (induction \ n)
 case \theta
 then have time (power-nat-tm m \theta) = 1 by simp
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc \ n)
 have time (power-nat-tm m (Suc n)) \leq time (power-nat-tm m n) + (m \hat{} n +
(2) * m + 2
   by simp
 also have ... \leq (2 * n + m \hat{n}) * m + 2 * n + 1 + (m \hat{n} + 2) * m + 2
   using Suc by simp
 also have ... = (2 * n + m \hat{n}) * m + (m \hat{n} + 2) * m + 2 * Suc n + 1
   by simp
 also have ... = (2 * Suc n + 2 * m ^n) * m + 2 * Suc n + 1
   using add-mult-distrib by simp
 also have ... \leq (2 * Suc n + m \cap Suc n) * m + 2 * Suc n + 1
   using assms by simp
 finally show ?case.
qed
lemma time-power-nat-tm-le: time (power-nat-tm m n) \leq 3 * m  Suc n + 5 * n
+1
proof -
 consider m = 0 \mid m = 1 \mid m \ge 2 by linarith
 then show ?thesis
 proof cases
   case 1
   then show ?thesis using time-power-nat-tm-aux\theta[of n] by simp
 \mathbf{next}
```

```
case 2
   then show ?thesis using time-power-nat-tm-aux1 [of n] by simp
 next
   case 3
   then have 2 \cap n \leq m \cap n using power-mono by fast
   moreover have n < 2 \hat{n} by simp
   ultimately have n-le-m-pow-n: n \le m \cap n by linarith
   have time (power-nat-tm m n) \leq (2 * m ^ n + m ^ n) * m + 2 * n + 1
     apply (estimation estimate: time-power-nat-tm-aux2[OF 3, of n])
     using n-le-m-pow-n by simp
   also have ... = 3 * m  ^{\circ} Suc n + 2 * n + 1 by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
qed
lemma time-power-nat-tm-2-le: time (power-nat-tm 2 n) < 12 * 2 ^n
proof -
 have time (power-nat-tm 2 n) \leq 3 * 2 \cap Suc \ n + 5 * n + 1
   by (fact time-power-nat-tm-le)
 also have ... \leq 3 * 2 ^Suc n + 5 * 2 ^n + 2 ^n
   apply (intro add-mono mult-le-mono order.refl)
   using less-exp[of n] by simp-all
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
6.3.4 (-)
fun minus-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
minus-nat-tm m \theta =1 return m
\mid minus-nat-tm \ 0 \ m=1 \ return \ 0
\mid minus-nat-tm \ (Suc \ m) \ (Suc \ n) = 1 \ minus-nat-tm \ m \ n
lemma val-minus-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (minus-nat-tm m n) = m - n
 by (induction m n rule: minus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-minus-nat-tm[simp]: time (minus-nat-tm m n) = min m n + 1
 by (induction m n rule: minus-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
6.3.5 (<) / (\le)
fun less-eq-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool tm and less-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool
tm where
less-eq-nat-tm \ (Suc \ m) \ n=1 \ less-nat-tm \ m \ n
 less-eq-nat-tm \ 0 \ n = 1 \ return \ True
 less-nat-tm \ m \ (Suc \ n) = 1 \ less-eq-nat-tm \ m \ n
| less-nat-tm m 0 = 1 return False |
lemma val-less-eq-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: (val (less-eq-nat-tm n m) = (n \le m))
 and val-less-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: (val (less-nat-tm m n) = (m < n))
 by (induction m and n rule: less-eq-nat-tm-less-nat-tm.induct) auto
```

```
lemma time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux: time (less-eq-nat-tm (m + k) (n + k)) = 2 * k
+ time (less-eq-nat-tm m n)
 by (induction \ k) \ simp-all
lemma time-less-nat-tm-aux: time (less-nat-tm (m + k) (n + k)) = 2 * k + time
(less-nat-tm \ m \ n)
 by (induction \ k) \ simp-all
lemma time-less-eq-nat-tm: time (less-eq-nat-tm n m) = 2 * min n m + 1 + 1
of-bool (m < n)
proof (cases m < n)
 case True
 then obtain k where n = m + Suc k by (metis add-Suc-right less-natE)
 then have time (less-eq-nat-tm n m) = 2 * m + 2
   using time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux[of Suc \ k \ m \ 0] by (simp \ add: \ add.commute)
 then show ?thesis using True by simp
next
 case False
 then obtain k where m = n + k using nat-le-iff-add[of n m] by auto
 then have time (less-eq-nat-tm n m) = 2 * n + 1
   using time-less-eq-nat-tm-aux[of\ 0\ n\ k] by (simp\ add:\ add.\ commute)
 then show ?thesis using False by simp
qed
lemma time-less-nat-tm: time (less-nat-tm m n) = 2 * min m n + 1 + min of-bool
(m < n)
proof (cases m < n)
 case True
 then obtain k where n = m + Suc k by (metis add-Suc-right less-natE)
 then have time (less-nat-tm m n) = 2 * m + 2
   using time-less-nat-tm-aux[of\ 0\ m\ Suc\ k] by (simp\ add:\ add.\ commute)
 then show ?thesis using True by simp
next
 case False
 then obtain k where m = n + k using nat-le-iff-add[of n m] by auto
 then have time (less-nat-tm m n) = 2 * n + 1
   using time-less-nat-tm-aux[of k n 0] by (simp add: add.commute)
 then show ?thesis using False by simp
qed
lemma time-less-eq-nat-tm-le: time (less-eq-nat-tm n m) \leq 2 * min n m + 2
 by (simp add: time-less-eq-nat-tm)
lemma time-less-nat-tm-le: time (less-nat-tm m n) \leq 2 * min m n + 2
 by (simp add: time-less-nat-tm)
6.3.6 (=)
fun equal-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
equal-nat-tm \ 0 \ 0 = 1 \ return \ True
\mid equal\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ (Suc \ x) \ 0 = 1 \ return \ False
```

```
equal-nat-tm \theta (Suc y) =1 return False
\mid equal\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ (Suc \ x) \ (Suc \ y) = 1 \ equal\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ x \ y
lemma val-equal-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (equal-nat-tm x y) = (x = y)
 by (induction x y rule: equal-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-equal-nat-tm: time (equal-nat-tm <math>x y) = min x y + 1
 by (induction x y rule: equal-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
6.3.7
          max
fun max-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
max-nat-tm x y = 1 do {
  b \leftarrow less\text{-}eq\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ x \ y;
  if\ b\ then\ return\ y\ else\ return\ x
lemma val-max-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (max-nat-tm x y) = max x y
lemma time-max-nat-tm: time (max-nat-tm x y) = 2 * min x y + 2 + of-bool (y)
 by (simp add: time-less-eq-nat-tm)
lemma time-max-nat-tm-le: time (max-nat-tm \ x \ y) \le 2 * min \ x \ y + 3
  unfolding time-max-nat-tm by simp
          (div) / (mod)
6.3.8
fun divmod-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \times nat) tm where
divmod-nat-tm m n = 1 do {
  n\theta \leftarrow equal-nat-tm \ n \ \theta;
  m-lt-n \leftarrow less-nat-tm m n;
  b \leftarrow disj\text{-}tm \ n0 \ m\text{-}lt\text{-}n;
  if b then return (0, m) else do \{
   m-minus-n \leftarrow minus-nat-tm m n;
   (q, r) \leftarrow div mod - nat - tm \ m - minus - n \ n;
    return (Suc q, r)
declare divmod-nat-tm.simps[simp del]
\mathbf{lemma} \ val\text{-}divmod\text{-}nat\text{-}tm [simp, val\text{-}simp]: } val \ (divmod\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ m \ n) = Euclidean\text{-}Rings. } divmod\text{-}nat
proof (induction m n rule: divmod-nat-tm.induct)
 case (1 \ m \ n)
 show ?case
 proof (cases n = 0 \lor m < n)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
```

```
then show ?thesis unfolding divmod-nat-tm.simps[of m n] by (simp add:
Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-if)
   next
        case False
       then have val (div mod-nat-tm\ m\ n)=(let\ (q,\ r)=val\ (div mod-nat-tm\ (m-n)=val\ 
n) n) in (Suc q, r))
           unfolding divmod-nat-tm.simps[of m n]
           by (simp add: Let-def split: prod.splits)
       also have ... = (let (q, r) = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat (m - n) n in (Suc q, r))
r))
           using 1 False by simp
       also have ... = Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat\ m\ n
           unfolding Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-if[of m n]
           by (simp add: False split: prod.splits)
       finally show ?thesis.
    qed
qed
lemma time-divmod-nat-tm-aux:
   assumes r < n
   assumes n > 0
     shows time (divmod-nat-tm (n * k + r) n) = 5 * k + 3 * n * k + time
(divmod-nat-tm \ r \ n)
    using assms
proof (induction k)
    case \theta
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (Suc \ k)
    then show ?case
       unfolding divmod-nat-tm.simps[of n * (Suc k) + r n]
       by (simp add: time-equal-nat-tm time-less-nat-tm split: prod.splits)
qed
lemma time-divmod-nat-tm-le: time (divmod-nat-tm m n) \leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 5
proof (cases n = 0 \lor m < n)
    case True
    have time\ (divmod-nat-tm\ m\ n)=time\ (equal-nat-tm\ n\ 0)+time\ (less-nat-tm
(m \ n) + 2
       unfolding divmod-nat-tm.simps[of m n]
       by (simp add: True)
    also have ... \leq 2 * min m n + 5
       apply (subst time-equal-nat-tm)
       apply (estimation estimate: time-less-nat-tm-le)
       by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
next
    case False
```

```
define k r where k = m \operatorname{div} n r = m \operatorname{mod} n
  then have krn: m = n * k + r by simp
 from k-r-def have r < n using False by simp
 have time (divmod-nat-tm\ m\ n)=5*k+3*n*k+time\ (divmod-nat-tm\ r
n)
   apply (subst krn, intro time-divmod-nat-tm-aux, intro \langle r < n \rangle)
   using False by simp
 also have time(divmod-nat-tm\ r\ n) = time(equal-nat-tm\ n\ \theta) + time(less-nat-tm
(r \ n) + 2
   unfolding divmod-nat-tm.simps[of r n]
   by (simp\ add: \langle r < n \rangle)
 also have ... \le 2 * min r n + 5
   apply (subst time-equal-nat-tm)
   apply (estimation estimate: time-less-nat-tm-le)
   by simp
 finally have time (divmod-nat-tm m n) \leq 5 * k + 3 * n * k + 2 * n + 5
   by simp
 also have ... \leq 5 * k + 3 * m + 2 * n + 5
   using k-r-def by simp
 also have ... \leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 5
   using k-r-def by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
definition divide-nat-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
divide-nat-tm \ m \ n = 1 \ div mod-nat-tm \ m \ n \gg fst-tm
lemma val-divide-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (divide-nat-tm m n) = m div n
 by (simp add: divide-nat-tm-def Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def)
lemma time-divide-nat-tm-le: time (divide-nat-tm m n) \leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 7
 using time-divmod-nat-tm-le[of\ m\ n] by (simp\ add:\ divide-nat-tm-def)
definition mod\text{-}nat\text{-}tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat tm \text{ where}
mod\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ m \ n = 1 \ divmod\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ m \ n \gg snd\text{-}tm
lemma val-mod-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val <math>(mod-nat-tm m n) = m mod n
 by (simp add: mod-nat-tm-def Euclidean-Rings.divmod-nat-def)
lemma time-mod-nat-tm-le: time (mod-nat-tm m n) \leq 8 * m + 2 * n + 7
  using time-divmod-nat-tm-le[of\ m\ n] by (simp\ add:\ mod-nat-tm-def)
definition dvd-tm where dvd-tm a b =1 do {
  b-mod-a \leftarrow mod-nat-tm \ b \ a;
  equal-nat-tm b-mod-a \theta
```

```
6.3.9 (dvd)
```

lemma val-dvd-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (dvd- $tm \ a \ b) = (a \ dvd \ b)$ unfolding dvd-tm- $def \ dvd$ -eq-mod-eq-0 by simp

lemma time-dvd-tm-le: time $(dvd\text{-}tm\ a\ b) \leq 8*b+2*a+9$ unfolding dvd-tm-def tm-time-simps val-mod-nat-tm time-equal-nat-tm using time-mod-nat-tm-le[of b a] by simp

6.3.10 even / odd

definition even-tm where even-tm a = dvd-tm 2 a

lemma val-even-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (even-tm a) = even a unfolding even-tm-def by simp

lemma time-even-tm-le: time (even-tm a) $\leq 8 * a + 13$ unfolding even-tm-def tm-time-simps using time-dvd-tm-le[of 2 a] by simp

definition odd-tm where odd-tm a = dvd-tm $2 \ a \gg Not$ -tm

lemma val-odd-tm[simp, val-simp]: $val (odd-tm \ a) = odd \ a$ unfolding odd-tm-def by simp

lemma time-odd-tm-le: $time\ (odd-tm\ a) \le 8*a+14$ unfolding $odd-tm-def\ tm-time-simps$ using time-dvd-tm-le [of 2 a] by simp

6.4 List functions

6.4.1 *take*

```
fun take\text{-}tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
take\text{-}tm \ n \ [] = 1 \ return \ []
\mid take\text{-}tm \ n \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ (case \ n \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow return \ [] \mid Suc \ m \Rightarrow do \ \{
r \leftarrow take\text{-}tm \ m \ xs;
return \ (x \# r)
\})
```

lemma val-take-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (take-tm n xs) = take n xs **by** (induction n xs rule: take-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)

lemma time-take-tm: time (take-tm n xs) = min n (length xs) + 1 **by** (induction n xs rule: take-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)

lemma time-take-tm-le: time (take-tm n xs) \leq n + 1 **by** (simp add: time-take-tm)

```
6.4.2
          drop
fun drop\text{-}tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
drop-tm \ n \ [] = 1 \ return \ []
| drop\text{-}tm \ n \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ (case \ n \ of \ 0 \Rightarrow return \ (x \# xs) \ | \ Suc \ m \Rightarrow
   do \{
     r \leftarrow drop\text{-}tm \ m \ xs;
     return \ r
   })
lemma val-drop-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (drop-tm n xs) = drop n xs
 by (induction n xs rule: drop-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)
lemma time-drop-tm: time (drop-tm n xs) = min n (length xs) + 1
 by (induction n xs rule: drop-tm.induct) (simp-all split: nat.splits)
lemma time-drop-tm-le: time (drop\text{-tm } n \ xs) \le n+1
 by (simp add: time-drop-tm)
6.4.3
         (@)
fun append-tm :: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list tm where
append-tm [] ys =1 return ys
\mid append-tm \ (x \# xs) \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
 r \leftarrow append-tm \ xs \ ys;
 return (x \# r)
lemma val-append-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (append-tm xs ys) = append xs ys
 by (induction xs ys rule: append-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-append-tm[simp]: time\ (append-tm\ xs\ ys) = length\ xs + 1
 by (induction xs ys rule: append-tm.induct) simp-all
6.4.4 fold
fun fold-tm where
fold-tm f [] s = 1 return s
\mid fold\text{-}tm \ f \ (x \# xs) \ s = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow f x s;
   fold-tm f xs r
lemma val-fold-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fold-tm f xs s) = fold (\lambda x y. val (f x y))
xs s
 by (induction xs s rule: fold-tm.induct; simp)
lemma time-fold-tm-Cons: time (fold-tm (\lambda x y. return (x \# y)) xs s) = length xs
```

+1

by (induction xs arbitrary: s; simp)

```
6.4.5
         rev
definition rev-tm where rev-tm xs = 1 fold-tm (\lambda x \ y. \ return \ (x \# y)) \ xs \ []
lemma val-rev-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (rev-tm xs) = rev xs
 by (induction xs; simp add: rev-tm-def fold-Cons-rev)
lemma time-rev-tm-le[simp]: time\ (rev-tm\ xs) = length\ xs + 2
 unfolding rev-tm-def using time-fold-tm-Cons by auto
6.4.6
         replicate
fun replicate-tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
replicate-tm \ 0 \ x = 1 \ return \ []
| replicate-tm (Suc n) x = 1 do {
 r \leftarrow replicate-tm \ n \ x;
 return (x \# r)
lemma val-replicate-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (replicate-tm n x) = replicate n x
 by (induction n x rule: replicate-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-replicate-tm: time (replicate-tm n(x) = n + 1
 by (induction n x rule: replicate-tm.induct) simp-all
6.4.7
         length
fun gen-length-tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow nat \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
gen-length-tm \ n \ [] = 1 \ return \ n
\mid gen\text{-length-tm } n \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ gen\text{-length-tm } (Suc \ n) \ xs
lemma val-gen-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val(gen-length-tm n xs) = List.gen-length
 by (induction n xs rule: gen-length-tm.induct) (simp-all add: List.gen-length-def)
lemma time-gen-length-tm[simp]: time (gen-length-tm n xs) = length xs + 1
 by (induction n xs rule: gen-length-tm.induct) simp-all
definition length-tm :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow nat \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
length-tm \ xs = gen-length-tm \ 0 \ xs
```

6.4.8 *List.null*

fun null- $tm :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ \mathbf{where}$

by (simp add: length-tm-def)

by (simp add: length-tm-def length-code)

lemma val-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (length-tm xs) = length xs

lemma time-length-tm[simp]: time (length-tm xs) = length xs + 1

```
null-tm [] = 1 return True
| null-tm (x \# xs) = 1 return False
lemma val-null-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (null-tm xs) = List.null xs
 by (cases xs; simp add: List.null-def)
lemma time-null-tm[simp]: time (null-tm xs) = 1
 by (cases \ xs; \ simp)
6.4.9
          butlast
fun butlast-tm :: 'a list <math>\Rightarrow 'a list tm where
butlast-tm [] = 1 return []
\mid butlast-tm \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ do \ \{
   b \leftarrow null-tm \ xs;
   if b then return [] else do {
     r \leftarrow butlast-tm \ xs;
     return (x \# r)
  }
lemma val-butlast-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (butlast-tm xs) = butlast xs
 by (induction xs rule: butlast-tm.induct) (simp-all add: List.null-def)
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{time-butlast-tm}: \ \mathit{time} \ (\mathit{butlast-tm} \ \mathit{xs}) = 2 \ * (\mathit{length} \ \mathit{xs} - 1) + 1 + \mathit{of-bool}
(length xs \ge 1)
 by (induction xs rule: butlast-tm.induct) (auto simp: List.null-def not-less-eq-eq)
lemma time-butlast-tm-le: time (butlast-tm xs) \leq 2 * length xs + 1
  unfolding time-butlast-tm by (cases xs; simp)
6.4.10
          map
fun map-tm :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \ tm) \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ list \ tm \ where
map\text{-}tm\;f\;[]=1\;return\;[]
\mid map-tm \ f \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow f x;
   rs \leftarrow map\text{-}tm \ f \ xs;
   return (r \# rs)
lemma val-map-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (map-tm f xs) = map (\lambda x. val (f x)) xs
 by (induction f xs rule: map-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-map-tm: time (map-tm f xs) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ time \ (f \ i)) + length \ xs + i
 by (induction f xs rule: map-tm.induct) (simp-all)
\mathbf{lemma}\ time\text{-}map\text{-}tm\text{-}constant:
  assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \implies time \ (f \ i) = c
```

```
shows time (map-tm f xs) = (c + 1) * length xs + 1
proof -
 have time (map\text{-}tm f xs) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. time (f i)) + length xs + 1
   by (simp add: time-map-tm)
 also have ... = (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ c) + length \ xs + 1
   using assms iffD2[OF map-eq-conv, of xs] by metis
 also have \dots = c * length xs + length xs + 1
   using sum-list-triv[of c xs] by simp
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma time-map-tm-bounded:
 assumes \bigwedge i. i \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow time \ (f \ i) \le c
 shows time (map-tm f xs) \le (c + 1) * length xs + 1
proof -
 have time (map\text{-}tm\ f\ xs) = (\sum i \leftarrow xs.\ time\ (f\ i)) + length\ xs + 1
   by (simp \ add: \ time-map-tm)
 also have ... \leq (\sum i \leftarrow xs. \ c) + length \ xs + 1
   by (intro add-mono order.refl sum-list-mono assms) argo
 also have ... = c * length xs + length xs + 1
   using sum-list-triv[of c xs] by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
6.4.11
          foldl
fun foldl-tm :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'a \ tm) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ tm where
foldl-tm f a [] = 1 return a
\mid foldl-tm f \ a \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow f \ a \ x;
   fold l-tm\ f\ r\ xs
lemma val-foldl-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (foldl-tm f a xs) = foldl (\lambda x y. val (f x y))
 by (induction f a xs rule: foldl-tm.induct; simp)
6.4.12
           concat
fun concat-tm where
concat-tm [] = 1 return []
\mid concat\text{-}tm \ (x \# xs) = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow concat\text{-}tm \ xs;
   append-tm \ x \ r
lemma val-concat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (concat-tm xs) = concat xs
 by (induction xs; simp)
```

```
lemma time-concat-tm[simp]: time (concat-tm xs) = 1 + 2 * length xs + length
(concat xs)
 by (induction \ xs; \ simp)
6.4.13 (!)
fun nth-tm where
nth-tm (x \# xs) \theta = 1 return x
\mid nth\text{-}tm \ (x \# xs) \ (Suc \ i) = 1 \ nth\text{-}tm \ xs \ i
| nth-tm [] - = 1 undefined
lemma val-nth-tm[simp, val-simp]:
 assumes i < length xs
 shows val (nth-tm \ xs \ i) = xs \ ! \ i
 using assms
proof (induction i arbitrary: xs)
 case \theta
 then show ?case using length-greater-0-conv[of xs] neg-Nil-conv[of xs] by auto
 case (Suc\ i)
 then obtain x \times x' where x \times x' \times x' \times x' by (meson Suc-lessE \ length-Suc-conv)
 then have i < length xs' using Suc.prems by simp
 from Suc. IH [OF this] show ?case unfolding xsr by simp
qed
lemma time-nth-tm[simp]:
 assumes i < length xs
 shows time(nth-tm xs i) = i + 1
 using assms
proof (induction i arbitrary: xs)
 then show ?case using length-greater-0-conv[of xs] neq-Nil-conv[of xs] by auto
next
 case (Suc\ i)
 then obtain x \, xs' where xsr: xs = x \# xs' by (meson \, Suc\text{-}lessE \, length\text{-}Suc\text{-}conv)
 then have i < length xs' using Suc.prems by simp
 from Suc.IH[OF this] show ?case unfolding xsr by simp
qed
6.4.14 zip
fun zip\text{-}tm :: 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ list \Rightarrow ('a \times 'b) \ list \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
zip-tm \ xs \ [] = 1 \ return \ []
zip-tm [] ys = 1 return []
| zip-tm (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = 1 do \{ rs \leftarrow zip\text{-tm } xs \ ys; \ return ((x, y) \# rs) \}
lemma val-zip-tm[simp, val-simp]: val(zip-tm xs ys) = zip xs ys
 by (induction xs ys rule: zip-tm.induct; simp)
lemma time-zip-tm[simp]: time (zip-tm xs ys) = min (length xs) (length ys) + 1
```

```
by (induction xs ys rule: zip-tm.induct; simp)
6.4.15
         map2
definition map2-tm where
map2-tm f xs ys = 1 do {
 xys \leftarrow zip\text{-}tm \ xs \ ys;
 map-tm (\lambda(x,y), f(x,y)) xys
lemma val-map2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (map2-tm f xs ys) = map2 (\lambda x y. val) (f
 unfolding map2-tm-def by (simp split: prod.splits)
lemma time-map2-tm-bounded:
 assumes length xs = length ys
 assumes \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow y \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow time \ (f \ x \ y) \le c
 shows time (map2\text{-}tm\ f\ xs\ ys) \le (c+2)*length\ xs+3
  have time (map2\text{-}tm\ f\ xs\ ys) = length\ xs + 2 + time\ (map\text{-}tm\ (\lambda(x,\ y).\ f\ x\ y)
(zip \ xs \ ys))
   unfolding map2-tm-def by (simp add: assms)
 also have ... \leq length \ xs + 2 + ((c + 1) * length \ (zip \ xs \ ys) + 1)
   apply (intro add-mono order.refl time-map-tm-bounded)
   using assms by (auto split: prod.splits elim: in-set-zipE)
 also have ... = (c + 2) * length xs + 3
   using assms by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
6.4.16
           upt
function upt-tm where
upt-tm \ i \ j = 1 \ do \ 
 b \leftarrow less-nat-tm \ i \ j;
 (if b then do {
   rs \leftarrow upt\text{-}tm \ (Suc \ i) \ j;
   return (i \# rs)
  } else return [] )
 by pat-completeness auto
termination by (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda(i, j), j - i)) simp-all
declare upt-tm.simps[simp \ del]
lemma val-upt-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (upt-tm i j) = [i..< j]
 apply (induction i j rule: upt-tm.induct)
 subgoal for i j
   by (cases i < j; simp add: upt-tm.simps[of i j] upt-conv-Cons)
```

lemma time-upt-tm-le: time (upt-tm i j) $\leq (j - i) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2$

```
proof (induction i j rule: upt-tm.induct)
 case (1 i j)
  then show ?case
 proof (cases i < j)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   then have time (upt\text{-}tm\ i\ j) = (2*i+3) + time\ (upt\text{-}tm\ (Suc\ i)\ j)
    unfolding upt-tm.simps[of i j] tm-time-simps by (simp add: time-less-nat-tm)
   also have ... \leq (2 * j + 3) + ((j - Suc \ i) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2)
     apply (intro add-mono mult-le-mono order.refl)
     subgoal using True by simp
     subgoal using 1 True by simp
     done
   also have ... = (j - Suc \ i + 1) * (2 * j + 3) + 2 * j + 2
     by simp
   also have j - Suc \ i + 1 = (j - i)
     using True by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
 next
   case False
   then show ?thesis by (simp add: upt-tm.simps[of i j] time-less-nat-tm)
 qed
qed
lemma time-upt-tm-le': time (upt-tm i j) \leq 2 * j * j + 5 * j + 2
 apply (intro\ order.trans[OF\ time-upt-tm-le[of\ i\ j]])
 apply (estimation estimate: diff-le-self)
 by (simp add: add-mult-distrib2)
6.5
       Syntactic sugar
consts equal-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \ tm
adhoc-overloading equal-tm equal-nat-tm
adhoc-overloading equal-tm equal-bool-tm
consts plus-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tm
{\bf adhoc\text{-}overloading}\ \mathit{plus\text{-}tm}\ \mathit{plus\text{-}nat\text{-}tm}
consts times-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tm
adhoc-overloading times-tm times-nat-tm
consts power-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \ tm
{\bf adhoc\text{-}overloading}\ power\text{-}tm\ power\text{-}nat\text{-}tm
consts minus-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tm
adhoc-overloading minus-tm minus-nat-tm
consts less-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \ tm
adhoc-overloading less-tm less-nat-tm
```

```
consts less-eq-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool \ tm
{\bf adhoc\text{-}overloading}\ \mathit{less\text{-}\mathit{eq}\text{-}\mathit{tm}}\ \mathit{less\text{-}\mathit{eq}\text{-}\mathit{nat}\text{-}\mathit{tm}}
consts divide-tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tm
adhoc-overloading divide-tm divide-nat-tm
consts mod\text{-}tm :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a tm
adhoc-overloading mod-tm mod-nat-tm
bundle main-tm-syntax
begin
 notation equal-tm (infixl =_t 51)
 notation Not-tm (\neg_t - [40] \ 40)
 notation conj-tm (infixr \wedge_t 35)
 notation disj-tm (infixr \vee_t 3\theta)
 notation append-tm (infixr @_t 65)
 notation plus-tm (infixl +_t 65)
 notation times-tm (infixl *_t 70)
 notation power-tm (infixr \hat{t} 80)
 notation minus-tm (infixl -_t 65)
 notation less-tm (infix <_t 50)
 notation less-eq-tm (infix \leq_t 50)
 notation mod-tm (infixl mod_t 70)
 notation divide-tm (infixl div_t 70)
  notation dvd-tm (infix dvd_t 50)
end
bundle no-main-tm-syntax
begin
 no-notation equal-tm (infixl =_t 51)
 no-notation Not-tm (\neg_t - [40] \ 40)
 no-notation conj-tm (infixr \wedge_t 35)
 no-notation disj-tm (infixr \vee_t 3\theta)
 no-notation append-tm (infixr @_t 65)
 no-notation plus-tm (infix1 +_t 65)
 no-notation times-tm (infixl *_t 70)
 no-notation power-tm (infixr \hat{t} 80)
 no-notation minus-tm (infixl -_t 65)
 no-notation less-tm (infix <_t 50)
 no-notation less-eq-tm (infix \leq_t 50)
 no-notation mod-tm (infixl mod_t 70)
 no-notation divide-tm (infixl div_t 70)
 no-notation dvd-tm (infix dvd_t 50)
end
{\bf unbundle}\ \textit{main-tm-syntax}
```

end

48

7 Representations

7.1 Abstract Representations

```
theory Abstract-Representations
 imports Main
begin
Idea: some type 'a is represented non-uniquely by some type 'b. The function
f produces a unique representant.
locale \ abstract-representation =
 fixes from-type :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b
 fixes to-type :: b \Rightarrow a
 fixes f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b
 assumes to-from: to-type \circ from-type = id
 assumes from-to: from-type \circ to-type = f
begin
lemma to-from-elem[simp]: to-type (from-type x) = x
 using to-from by (metis comp-apply id-apply)
lemma from-to-elem: from-type (to-type x) = f x
 using from-to by (metis comp-apply)
lemma f-idem: f \circ f = f
proof -
 have f \circ f = from\text{-}type \circ to\text{-}type \circ from\text{-}type \circ to\text{-}type
   using from-to by fastforce
 also have \dots = from\text{-}type \circ to\text{-}type
   using to-from by (simp add: rewriteR-comp-comp)
 finally show ?thesis using from-to by simp
qed
corollary f-idem-elem[simp]: f(fx) = fx
 using f-idem by (metis comp-apply)
lemma f-from: f \circ from-type = from-type
proof -
 have f \circ from\text{-}type = from\text{-}type \circ to\text{-}type \circ from\text{-}type
   using from-to by simp
 also have \dots = from\text{-}type
   using to-from by (simp add: rewriteR-comp-comp)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
corollary f-from-elem[simp]: f (from-type x) = from-type x
 using f-from by (metis comp-apply)
lemma to-f: to-type \circ f = to-type
proof -
 have to-type \circ f = to-type \circ from-type \circ to-type
```

```
using from-to by fastforce
 also have ... = to-type using to-from by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
corollary to-f-elem[simp]: to-type (f x) = to-type x
 using to-f by (metis comp-apply)
lemma f-fixed-point-iff: f x = x \longleftrightarrow (\exists y. \ x = from\text{-type } y)
proof
 assume f x = x
 then show \exists y. \ x = from\text{-type } y \text{ using } from\text{-to-elem by } met is
 assume \exists y. \ x = from\text{-}type \ y
 then obtain y where x = from-type y by blast
 then show f x = x by simp
qed
lemma f-fixed-point-iff': f x = x \longleftrightarrow x = from\text{-type } (to\text{-type } x)
 using from-to by auto
lemma range-f-range-from: range f = range from-type
proof (standard; standard)
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in range f
 then obtain x' where x = f x' by blast
 then have f x = x by simp
 then show x \in range from-type using f-fixed-point-iff by blast
next
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in range\ from\ type
 then obtain y where x = from\text{-}type y by blast
 then have f x = x using f-fixed-point-iff by simp
 then show x \in range f by (metis rangeI)
qed
lemma to-eq-iff-f-eq: to-type x = to-type y \longleftrightarrow f x = f y
proof
 show to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow f x = f y using from-to-elem[symmetric] by simp
 show f x = f y \implies to\text{-type } x = to\text{-type } y \text{ using } to\text{-}f\text{-elem by } met is
qed
lemma from-inj: inj from-type
 using to-from by (metis inj-on-id inj-on-imageI2)
end
lemma from-to-f-criterion:
```

```
assumes to-type \circ from-type = id
 \mathbf{assumes}\ f\ \circ\ \mathit{from\text{-}type}\ =\ \mathit{from\text{-}type}
 assumes \bigwedge x y. to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow f x = f y
 shows from-type \circ to-type = f
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 have to-type (from-type (to-type x)) = to-type x
   using assms(1) by (metis comp-apply id-apply)
 hence f (from-type (to-type x)) = f x
   using assms(3) by metis
 hence from-type (to-type x) = f x
   using assms(2) by (metis\ comp-apply)
 thus (from-type \circ to-type) x = f x
   by (metis comp-apply)
qed
end
7.2
       Abstract Representations 2
theory Abstract-Representations-2
 imports Main
begin
Idea: a subset represented-set of some type 'a is represented non-uniquely
by some type b.
locale abstract-representation-2 =
 fixes from-type :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b
 fixes to-type :: b \Rightarrow a
 fixes represented-set :: 'a set
 assumes to-from: \bigwedge x. x \in represented\text{-set} \Longrightarrow to\text{-type} (from\text{-type } x) = x
 assumes to-type-in-represented-set: \bigwedge y. to-type y \in represented-set
begin
definition reduce where
reduce \ x \equiv from\text{-type } (to\text{-type } x)
abbreviation reduced where
reduced x \equiv reduce x = x
lemma reduce-reduce[simp]: reduced (reduce x)
 unfolding reduce-def
 by (simp add: to-from to-type-in-represented-set)
definition representations where
representations \equiv from-type 'represented-set
lemma range-reduce: representations = range reduce
  unfolding representations-def reduce-def
```

```
image\text{-}def
 apply (intro equalityI subsetI)
 subgoal for x
 proof -
   assume x \in \{y. \exists x \in represented\text{-set}. y = from\text{-type } x\}
   then have \exists y \in represented\text{-}set. x = from\text{-}type\ y\ by\ simp
   then obtain y where x = from-type y y \in represented-set by blast
   then have to-type x = y using to-from by simp
   then have x = from\text{-type } (to\text{-type } x) \text{ using } \langle x = from\text{-type } y \rangle \text{ by } simp
   then show ?thesis by blast
  qed
 subgoal for x
   using to-type-in-represented-set by blast
 done
corollary reduced-from-type[simp]: x \in represented-set \implies reduced (from-type x)
 {\bf using} \ range-reduce \ representations-def \ reduce-reduce \ {\bf by} \ force
lemma to-type-reduce: to-type (reduce \ x) = to-type x
 unfolding reduce-def
 by (simp add: to-from to-type-in-represented-set)
lemma reduced-iff: reduced x \longleftrightarrow (\exists y \in represented\text{-set}. \ x = from\text{-type } y)
 apply standard
 subgoal
   using reduce-def to-type-in-represented-set by metis
 subgoal
   by fastforce
 done
lemma to-eq-iff-f-eq: to-type x = to-type y \longleftrightarrow reduce x = reduce y
  show to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow reduce x = reduce y unfolding reduce-def by
simp
next
  show reduce x = reduce y \implies to\text{-type } x = to\text{-type } y \text{ using } to\text{-type-reduce by}
metis
qed
lemma from-inj: inj-on from-type represented-set
 unfolding inj-on-def
 apply standard+
 subgoal for x y
   using to-from[of x, symmetric] to-from[of y] by simp
 done
corollary from-bij-betw: bij-betw from-type represented-set representations
  unfolding representations-def
 using from-inj
```

```
by (simp add: inj-on-imp-bij-betw)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{correctness-to-from}\colon
  fixes h :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a
  fixes g :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b
 assumes \bigwedge x \ y. to-type (g \ x \ y) = h \ (to\text{-type } x) \ (to\text{-type } y)
 shows \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in represented\text{-}set \Longrightarrow y \in represented\text{-}set \Longrightarrow reduce (g (from-type))
x) (from\text{-}type \ y)) = from\text{-}type \ (h \ x \ y)
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
  assume x \in represented\text{-}set \ y \in represented\text{-}set
  have reduce (g (from-type x) (from-type y)) = from-type (to-type (g (from-type y)))
x) (from\text{-}type y)))
    unfolding reduce-def by simp
  also have ... = from-type (h (to-type (from-type x)) (to-type (from-type y)))
    using assms by simp
  also have \dots = from\text{-type } (h \ x \ y)
    using to-from \langle x \in represented\text{-}set \rangle \langle y \in represented\text{-}set \rangle by simp
  finally show reduce (g (from-type x) (from-type y)) = from-type (h x y).
qed
end
lemma from-to-f-criterion:
  assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in represented\text{-}set \Longrightarrow to\text{-}type \ (from\text{-}type \ x) = x
  assumes \bigwedge x. x \in represented\text{-}set \Longrightarrow f (from\text{-}type \ x) = from\text{-}type \ x
  assumes \bigwedge x \ y. to-type x = to-type y \Longrightarrow f \ x = f \ y
  assumes \bigwedge y. to-type y \in represented-set
 shows \bigwedge x. from-type (to-type x) = f x
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
  have to-type (from\text{-}type\ (to\text{-}type\ x)) = to\text{-}type\ x
    using assms(1) assms(4) by simp
  hence f (from-type (to-type x)) = f x
    using assms(3) by metis
  thus from-type (to-type x) = f x
    using assms(2) assms(4) by simp
qed
end
theory Nat-LSBF
 imports Main ../Preliminaries/Karatsuba-Sum-Lemmas Abstract-Representations
HOL-Library.Log-Nat
begin
```

8 Representing nat in LSBF

In this theory, a representation of nat is chosen and simple algorithms implemented thereon.

```
lemma list-isolate-nth: i < length \ xs \Longrightarrow \exists xs1 \ xs2. \ xs = xs1 \ @ (xs! i) \# xs2 \land
length xs1 = i
    using id-take-nth-drop by fastforce
lemma list-is-replicate-iff: xs = replicate (length xs) x \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in \{0..< length xs\}).
xs ! i = x
proof
     assume 1: xs = replicate (length xs) x
    show \forall i \in \{0..< length \ xs\}. \ xs ! \ i = x
         using 1 nth-replicate[of - length \ xs \ x] by auto
     assume \forall i \in \{0..< length \ xs\}. \ xs ! \ i = x
     then have \forall i \in \{0..< length \ xs\}.\ xs ! i = (replicate (length \ xs) \ x) ! i
         using nth-replicate by auto
     then show xs = replicate (length xs) x
         using nth-equality I[of xs replicate (length xs) x] by simp
qed
lemma list-is-replicate-iff2: xs = replicate (length xs) x \longleftrightarrow set xs = \{x\} \lor xs
    \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis empty-replicate length-0-conv replicate-eqI set-replicate singleton-iff})
lemma set-bool-list: set xs \subseteq \{True, False\}
     by auto
lemma bool-list-is-replicate-if:
     assumes a \notin set \ xs \ shows \ xs = replicate \ (length \ xs) \ (\neg \ a)
proof (intro iffD2[OF list-is-replicate-iff2])
     from assms set-bool-list have set xs \subseteq \{\neg a\} by fastforce
     then have set xs = \{ \neg a \} \lor set xs = \{ \}  by (meson \ subset-singleton D)
     then show set xs = {\neg a} \lor xs = [] by simp
lemma bit-strong-decomp-2: \exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ a \# zs \Longrightarrow \exists ys' \ n. \ xs = ys' @ a
\# (replicate \ n \ (\neg \ a))
proof -
     assume \exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ a \# zs
     then have a \in set xs by auto
    from split-list-last[OF\ this] obtain ys\ zs where xs=ys\ @\ a\ \#\ zs\ a\ \notin\ set\ zs by
     from this(2) have zs = replicate (length zs) (\neg a)
         by (intro bool-list-is-replicate-if)
     with \langle xs = ys @ a \# zs \rangle show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma bit-strong-decomp-1: \exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys \ @ \ a \ \# \ zs \Longrightarrow \exists ys' \ n. \ xs = (replicate
```

```
n\ (\neg\ a)\ @\ a\ \#\ ys') proof - assume \exists\ ys\ zs.\ xs=ys\ @\ a\ \#\ zs then obtain ys\ zs where xs=ys\ @\ a\ \#\ zs by blast then have rev\ xs=rev\ zs\ @\ [a]\ @\ rev\ ys by simp then obtain n\ ys' where rev\ xs=ys'\ @\ [a]\ @\ replicate\ n\ (\neg\ a) using bit-strong-decomp-2[of rev\ xs\ a] by auto then have xs=replicate\ n\ (\neg\ a)\ @\ [a]\ @\ rev\ ys' by (metis\ append-assoc rev-append rev-replicate rev-rev-ident rev-singleton-conv) thus ?thesis by auto qed
```

8.1 Type definition

type-synonym nat-lsbf = bool list

8.2 Conversions

```
fun eval-bool :: bool \Rightarrow nat where
eval-bool True = 1
| eval-bool False = 0
lemma eval-bool-is-of-bool[simp]: eval-bool = of-bool
 by auto
lemma eval-bool-leq-1: eval-bool a \leq 1
 by (cases \ a) \ simp-all
lemma eval-bool-inj: eval-bool a = eval-bool b \Longrightarrow a = b
 by (cases a; cases b) simp-all
fun to-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat where
| to\text{-nat} (x \# xs) = (eval\text{-}bool \ x) + 2 * to\text{-}nat \ xs
fun from-nat :: nat \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
from-nat \theta = []
| from\text{-}nat \ x = (if \ x \ mod \ 2 = 0 \ then \ False \ else \ True) \# (from\text{-}nat \ (x \ div \ 2))
value from-nat 103
value to-nat (from-nat 103)
lemma to-nat-from-nat[simp]: to-nat (from-nat x) = x
proof (induction x rule: less-induct)
 case (less x)
 consider x = \theta \mid x > \theta by auto
 then show ?case
 proof (cases)
   case 1
   then show ?thesis by simp
```

```
next
   case 2
    then have to-nat (from\text{-}nat\ x) = eval\text{-}bool\ (if\ x\ mod\ 2 = 0\ then\ False\ else
True) + 2 * to-nat (from-nat (x div 2))
     by (metis from-nat.elims nat-less-le to-nat.simps(2))
   also have ... = (x \bmod 2) + 2 * to-nat (from-nat (x div 2))
     by simp
   also have ... = (x \mod 2) + 2 * (x \dim 2)
     using less 2 by simp
   also have \dots = x by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
lemma to-nat-explicitly: to-nat xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< length xs]. eval-bool (xs!i) * 2
proof (induction xs rule: to-nat.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by simp
next
  case (2 x xs)
 let ?xs = \lambda i. eval-bool ((x \# xs) ! i)
 have (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < length (x \# xs)]. ?xs i * 2 ^ i)
   = ?xs \ \theta + (\sum i \leftarrow [1.. < length (x \# xs)]. ?xs \ i * 2 ^ i)
   by (simp add: upt-rec)
 also have ... = ?xs \ \theta + (\sum i \leftarrow [\theta.. < length \ xs]. \ ?xs \ (i+1) * 2 \ \widehat{} \ (i+1))
   using list-sum-index-shift[of - length xs 0 \lambda i. ?xs i * 2 \hat{\ }i] by simp
 also have ... = ?xs \ 0 + 2 * (\sum i \leftarrow [0.. < length \ xs]. ?xs \ (i + 1) * 2 ^i)
   by (simp add: sum-list-const-mult mult.left-commute)
 also have ... = ?xs \theta + 2 * to-nat xs
   using 2 by simp
 also have ... = to-nat (x \# xs) by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
lemma to-nat-app: to-nat (xs @ ys) = to-nat xs + (2 ^length xs) * to-nat ys
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma to-nat-length-upper-bound: to-nat xs \leq 2 \widehat{} (length xs) - 1
proof (induction xs)
 case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons a xs)
 then have to-nat (a \# xs) = eval\text{-bool } a + 2 * to\text{-nat } xs \text{ by } simp
 also have ... \leq eval\text{-bool } a + 2 * (2 \cap (length \ xs) - 1) using Cons.IH by simp
  also have ... \leq 1 + 2 * (2 \cap (length \ xs) - 1) using eval-bool-leq-1[of a] by
simp
 also have ... = 1 + (2 \cap (length \ xs + 1) - 1 - 1) by simp
```

```
also have ... = 2 \cap (length \ xs + 1) - 1
   apply (intro add-diff-inverse-nat)
   using power-increasing[of 1 length <math>xs + 1 2::nat]
   by (simp add: add.commute)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma to-nat-length-bound: to-nat xs < 2 \widehat{} length xs
  using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of xs]
  using le-eq-less-or-eq by fastforce
lemma to-nat-length-lower-bound: to-nat (xs @ [True]) \geq 2 \cap length xs
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma to-nat-replicate-false[simp]: to-nat (replicate n False) = 0
 by (induction \ n) \ simp-all
lemma to-nat-one-bit[simp]: to-nat (replicate n False @ [True]) = 2 \widehat{\ } n
 by (simp add: to-nat-app)
lemma to-nat-replicate-true[simp]: to-nat (replicate n True) = 2 \hat{n} - 1
proof (induction \ n)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc \ n)
 have 2 \cap (Suc \ n) \geq (2 :: nat) by simp
 hence 1: 2 \cap (Suc\ n) - 1 \ge (1 :: nat) by linarith
 have to-nat (replicate (Suc n) True) = 1 + 2 * to-nat (replicate n True)
   by simp
 also have ... = 1 + 2 * (2 \hat{n} - 1)
   using Suc.IH by simp
 also have ... = 2 \cap (Suc\ n) - 1
   using le-add-diff-inverse [of 1 2 \widehat{\ } (Suc n) -1]
   using 1 by simp
 finally show ?case.
qed
lemma to-nat xs = 0 \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \ xs = replicate \ n \ False)
 show to-nat xs = 0 \Longrightarrow \exists n. \ xs = replicate \ n \ False
 proof (induction xs)
   \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Nil}
   then show ?case by simp
  next
   case (Cons a xs)
   then have a = False \ to-nat \ xs = 0 \ by \ auto
   then obtain n where xs = replicate n False using Cons.IH by auto
   hence a \# xs = replicate (Suc n) False using (a = False) by simp
   then show ?case by blast
  qed
```

```
show \exists n. \ xs = replicate \ n \ False \implies to\text{-nat} \ xs = 0
   using to-nat-replicate-false by auto
qed
lemma to-nat-app-replicate[simp]: to-nat (xs @ replicate n False) = to-nat xs
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma change-bit-ineq: length xs = length ys \implies to-nat (xs @ False # zs) <
to-nat (ys @ True \# zs)
proof -
  assume length xs = length ys
  have to-nat (xs @ False \# zs) = to-nat xs + 2 \cap (length xs + 1) * to-nat zs
   using to-nat-app-replicate[of xs 1] to-nat-app by simp
  also have ... \leq 2 \land (length \ xs) - 1 + 2 \land (length \ xs + 1) * to-nat \ zs
   using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of xs] by linarith
  also have ... < 2 \widehat{\ } (length \ xs) + 2 \widehat{\ } (length \ xs + 1) * to-nat \ zs by simp also have ... = 2 \widehat{\ } (length \ ys) + 2 \widehat{\ } (length \ ys + 1) * to-nat \ zs
   using \langle length \ xs = length \ ys \rangle by simp
  also have ... \leq to-nat (ys @ [True]) + 2 ^ (length ys + 1) * to-nat zs
   using to-nat-length-lower-bound[of ys] by simp
  also have ... = to-nat (ys @ True \# zs)
   using to-nat-app by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma to-nat-ineq-imp-False-bit: to-nat xs < 2 \widehat{} length xs - 1 \Longrightarrow \exists ys zs. xs =
ys @ False \# zs
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume \nexists ys zs. xs = ys @ False \# zs
  then have \forall i \in \{0..< length \ xs\}. \ xs ! i = True
  \mathbf{by}\ (metis(full-types)\ at Least Less Than-iff\ in-set-conv-decomp-first\ in-set-conv-nth)
  then have xs = replicate (length xs) True using list-is-replicate-iff by fast
  then have to-nat xs = 2 \hat{} length xs - 1 using to-nat-replicate-true by metis
  thus to-nat xs < 2 \widehat{} length xs - 1 \Longrightarrow False by simp
qed
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{to-nat-bound-to-length-bound: to-nat } xs \geq \textit{2} \ \widehat{\ } n \Longrightarrow \textit{length } xs \geq n+1
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume to-nat xs \geq 2 \widehat{\ } n
  assume \neg n + 1 \le length xs
  then have n \ge length \ xs \ by \ simp
  then have to-nat xs \geq 2 \widehat{} length xs using \langle to-nat xs \geq 2 \widehat{} n \rangle
   using power-increasing le-trans one-le-numeral by meson
  then show False using to-nat-length-bound[of xs] by simp
qed
lemma to-nat-drop-take: to-nat xs = to-nat (take \ k \ xs) + 2 \ k * to-nat (drop \ k \ k \ to)
xs
proof -
```

```
have xs = take \ k \ xs \ @ \ drop \ k \ xs \ by \ simp
  then have to-nat xs = to-nat (take \ k \ xs) + 2 \cap (length \ (take \ k \ xs)) * to-nat
(drop \ k \ xs)
   using to-nat-app by metis
 also have 2 \cap (length (take k xs)) * to-nat (drop k xs) = 2 \cap k * to-nat (drop k
xs
   by (cases length xs < k) simp-all
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma to-nat-take: to-nat (take k xs) = to-nat xs mod 2 \hat{k}
 have to-nat xs = to-nat (take \ k \ xs) + 2 \ \hat{k} * to-nat (drop \ k \ xs)
   by (simp add: to-nat-drop-take)
 then have to-nat xs \mod 2 \hat{k} = to-nat (take k xs) \mod 2 \hat{k} by simp
 moreover have to-nat (take k xs) < 2 \hat{k}
   using to-nat-length-bound[of take k xs] length-take[of k xs]
   by (metis add-leD1 leI min-absorb2 min-def to-nat-bound-to-length-bound)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma to-nat-drop: to-nat (drop \ k \ xs) = to-nat \ xs \ div \ 2 \ \hat{k}
proof -
 have to-nat xs = to-nat xs \mod 2 \hat{k} + 2 \hat{k} * to-nat (drop k xs)
   using to-nat-drop-take[of xs k] to-nat-take[of k xs] by argo
 then have to-nat xs \ div \ 2 \ \hat{} \ k = to\text{-nat} \ (drop \ k \ xs)
    by (metis add.right-neutral bits-mod-div-trivial div-mult-self2 power-not-zero
zero-neg-numeral)
 thus ?thesis by rule
qed
lemma to-nat-nth-True-bound:
 assumes i < length xs
 assumes xs ! i = True
 shows to-nat xs \geq 2 \hat{i}
proof -
 from assms have xs = (take \ i \ xs @ [True]) @ drop (Suc \ i) \ xs
   using id-take-nth-drop by fastforce
  then show to-nat xs \geq 2 \hat{} i
   using to-nat-app[of - drop (Suc i) xs] to-nat-length-lower-bound[of take i xs] \langle i \rangle
\langle length xs \rangle
  by (metis append-eq-conv-conj le-add1 le-eq-less-or-eq list-isolate-nth trans-less-add1)
qed
8.3
       Truncating and filling
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{truncate\text{-}reversed} :: \mathit{bool} \ \mathit{list} \Rightarrow \mathit{bool} \ \mathit{list} \ \mathbf{where}
truncate-reversed [] = []
| truncate\text{-reversed } (x\#xs) = (if x then x\#xs else truncate\text{-reversed } xs)
```

```
definition truncate :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
truncate \ xs = rev \ (truncate-reversed \ (rev \ xs))
abbreviation truncated where truncated x \equiv truncate \ x = x
lemma truncate-reversed-eqI[simp]: xs = (replicate \ n \ False) @ ys \Longrightarrow truncate-reversed
xs = truncate-reversed ys
 by (induction n arbitrary: xs ys) auto
corollary truncate-eqI[simp]: xs = ys @ (replicate n False) <math>\Longrightarrow truncate xs =
truncate ys
 by (simp add: truncate-def)
lemma replicate-truncate-reversed: \exists n. (replicate n False) @ truncate-reversed xs
proof (induction xs)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
 case (Cons\ a\ xs)
 then obtain n where 1: replicate n False @ truncate-reversed xs = xs by blast
 hence a \# xs = a \# replicate n False @ truncate-reversed xs by simp
 show ?case
 proof (cases a)
   {f case} True
   then have truncate-reversed (a \# xs) = a \# xs by simp
   also have ... = replicate 0 False @ a \# xs by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case False
   then have truncate-reversed (a \# xs) = truncate-reversed xs by simp
   hence replicate (Suc n) False @ truncate-reversed (a \# xs) = False # replicate
n False @ truncate-reversed xs
     by simp
   with 1 False have replicate (Suc n) False @ truncate-reversed (a \# xs) = a \#
xs by simp
   then show ?thesis by blast
 qed
qed
corollary truncate-replicate: \exists n. \text{ truncate } xs @ (\text{replicate } n \text{ False}) = xs
proof -
 from replicate-truncate-reversed[of rev xs]
 obtain n where replicate n False @ truncate-reversed (rev xs) = rev xs by blast
 hence rev (truncate-reversed (rev xs)) @ rev (replicate n False) = xs
   using rev-append[symmetric, of truncate-reversed (rev xs) replicate n False]
   using rev-rev-ident[of xs]
   bv simp
 hence truncate \ xs \ @ \ replicate \ n \ False = xs \ \mathbf{by} \ (simp \ add: \ truncate-def)
 thus ?thesis by blast
```

```
qed
lemma decompose-trailing-zeros: xs = truncate \ xs \ @ (replicate \ (length \ xs - length \ as - l
(truncate xs)) False)
   using truncate-replicate[of xs]
    by (metis add-diff-cancel-left' length-append length-replicate)
lemma truncate-reversed-length-ineq: length (truncate-reversed xs) \leq length xs
    by (induction xs) simp-all
\mathbf{lemma} \ truncate\text{-}length\text{-}ineq\text{:} \ length \ (truncate \ xs) \leq length \ xs
   by (metis Nat-LSBF.truncate-def length-rev truncate-reversed-length-ineq)
lemma truncate-reversed-fixed-point-iff: truncate-reversed x = x \longleftrightarrow (x = [] \lor hd
x = True
proof (induction x)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (Cons\ a\ x)
    then have (a \# x = [] \lor hd (a \# x) = True) = a by simp
    moreover have a \Longrightarrow truncate\text{-}reversed \ (a \# x) = a \# x \text{ by } simp
    moreover have \neg a \implies truncate\text{-reversed} \ (a \# x) = truncate\text{-reversed} \ x \ \text{by}
simp
    hence \neg a \Longrightarrow length (truncate-reversed (a # x)) \leq length x
       using truncate-reversed-length-ineq[of x] by simp
   hence \neg a \Longrightarrow truncate\text{-}reversed (a \# x) \neq (a \# x)
       using neq-if-length-neq[of a\#x x] by force
    ultimately show ?case by simp
qed
\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{truncated-iff: truncated} \ x \longleftrightarrow (x = [] \ \lor \ \textit{last} \ x = \ \textit{True})
proof -
    have truncated x \longleftrightarrow truncate\text{-reversed} (rev \ x) = rev \ x
       by (simp add: truncate-def rev-swap)
    also have ... \longleftrightarrow rev x = [] \lor hd (rev x) = True
       using truncate-reversed-fixed-point-iff [of rev x].
    also have ... \longleftrightarrow x = [] \lor last x = True
       by (simp add: hd-rev)
    finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma hd-truncate-reversed: truncate-reversed xs \neq [] \implies hd (truncate-reversed)
xs) = True
proof (induction xs)
    case Nil
    then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
    case (Cons a xs)
    show ?case
    proof (rule ccontr)
```

```
assume 1: hd (truncate-reversed (a \# xs)) \neq True
   then have a = False by auto
   with 1 have hd (truncate-reversed xs) \neq True by simp
   hence truncate-reversed xs = [] using Cons.IH by blast
   hence truncate-reversed (a \# xs) = [] using \langle a = False \rangle by simp
   thus False using Cons.prems by simp
  qed
qed
lemma last-truncate: truncate xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow last (truncate xs) = True
 using hd-truncate-reversed last-rev by (auto simp: truncate-def)
lemma truncate-truncate[simp]: truncate (truncate xs) = truncate xs
 using truncated-iff[of truncate xs] last-truncate by auto
lemma truncate-reversed-Nil-iff: truncate-reversed xs = [] \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \ xs = replicate
n False)
proof
 show truncate-reversed xs = [] \Longrightarrow \exists n. \ xs = replicate \ n \ False
 proof (induction xs)
   {f case} Nil
   then show ?case by simp
  next
   case (Cons a xs)
   then have a = False \ truncate-reversed \ (a\#xs) = truncate-reversed \ xs
     by (auto split: if-splits)
   then obtain n where xs = replicate n False using Cons by auto
   hence a \# xs = replicate (Suc n) False using (a = False) by simp
   thus ?case by blast
 qed
next
 show \exists n. \ xs = replicate \ n \ False \implies truncate-reversed \ xs = []
 proof (induction xs)
   case Nil
   then show ?case by simp
 next
   case (Cons a xs)
   then show ?case
     by (metis\ Cons-replicate-eq\ truncate-reversed.simps(2))
 qed
qed
lemma truncate-Nil-iff: truncate xs = [] \longleftrightarrow (\exists n. \ xs = replicate \ n \ False)
 using truncate-reversed-Nil-iff[of rev xs]
 by (auto simp: truncate-def) (metis rev-replicate rev-rev-ident)
corollary truncate-neq-Nil: truncate xs \neq [] \Longrightarrow \exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ True \# zs
 using truncate-Nil-iff[of xs]
```

```
truncate-reversed-Nil-iff)
lemma truncate-Cons: truncate (a \# xs) = (if \neg a \land (truncate xs = []) then [] else
a \# truncate xs)
proof (cases truncate xs = [])
 {f case}\ True
 then obtain n where xs = replicate n False using truncate-Nil-iff by blast
 then have truncate (a \# xs) = truncate [a] by simp
  then show ?thesis using True by (simp add: truncate-def)
next
 case False
 then obtain ys \ n where xs = ys @ True \# (replicate \ n \ False)
   using truncate-neq-Nil[of xs] bit-strong-decomp-2[of xs True] by auto
 then have truncate xs = ys @ [True] by (auto simp: truncate-def)
 moreover have truncate (a \# xs) = a \# ys @ [True]
   using \langle xs = ys \otimes True \# (replicate \ n \ False) \rangle by (auto simp: truncate-def)
 ultimately show ?thesis by simp
lemma truncate-eq-Cons: truncate xs = truncate ys \Longrightarrow truncate (a \# xs) = trun-
cate (a \# ys)
 using truncate-Cons by simp
lemma truncate-as-take: \bigwedge xs. \exists n. truncate xs = take \ n \ xs
  using truncate-replicate append-eq-conv-conj by blast
lemma to-nat-zero-iff: to-nat xs = 0 \longleftrightarrow truncate \ xs = []
proof (induction xs)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by (simp add: truncate-def)
 case (Cons a xs)
 have to-nat (a \# xs) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (eval\text{-bool } a = 0 \land to\text{-nat } xs = 0) by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (a = False \land to\text{-nat } xs = 0) using eval-bool-inj[of a False] by
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (a = False \land truncate \ xs = []) using Cons.IH by simp
 also have ... \longleftrightarrow (truncate (a # xs) = []) using truncate-Cons by simp
  finally show ?case.
qed
lemma to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq: to-nat xs = to-nat ys \Longrightarrow truncate \ xs = truncate
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
 case Nil
 then show ?case using to-nat-zero-iff by (simp add: truncate-def)
 case (Cons a xs)
 show ?case
```

by (metis (full-types) hd-Cons-tl hd-truncate-reversed replicate-truncate-reversed

```
proof (cases\ ys = [])
   {f case} True
   then have to-nat ys = 0 by simp
   hence to-nat (a \# xs) = 0 using Cons.prems by simp
   with \langle to\text{-}nat \ ys = \theta \rangle show truncate (a \# xs) = truncate \ ys
     using to-nat-zero-iff [of a \# xs] to-nat-zero-iff [of ys] by simp
 next
   case False
   then obtain b zs where ys = b \# zs by (meson neq-Nil-conv)
   then have to-nat (a \# xs) = to-nat (b \# zs) using Cons.prems by simp
   then have 1: eval-bool a + 2 * to-nat xs = eval-bool b + 2 * to-nat zs by simp
   then have eval-bool a = eval-bool b
   by (metis add-cancel-right-left double-not-eq-Suc-double eval-bool.elims plus-1-eq-Suc)
   hence a = b using eval-bool-inj by simp
   from 1 have to-nat xs = to-nat zs
     using \langle eval\text{-}bool\ a = eval\text{-}bool\ b \rangle by auto
   hence truncate xs = truncate xs using Cons.IH by simp
   hence truncate\ (a \# xs) = truncate\ (b \# zs)\ using\ (a = b)
     using truncate-eq-Cons[of xs zs a] by simp
   thus ?thesis using \langle ys = b \# zs \rangle by simp
 qed
qed
lemma truncate-from-nat[simp]: truncate\ (from-nat\ x)=from-nat\ x
 unfolding truncated-iff
 by (induction x rule: from-nat.induct) auto
lemma truncate-and-length-eq-imp-eq:
 assumes truncate \ xs = truncate \ ys \ length \ xs = length \ ys
 shows xs = ys
proof -
 obtain n where 1: xs = truncate xs @ replicate n False
   by (metis truncate-replicate)
 then have 2: length xs = length (truncate xs) + n
   by (metis length-append length-replicate)
 obtain m where \beta: ys = truncate ys @ replicate m False
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ \mathit{truncate-replicate})
 then have length ys = length (truncate ys) + m
   by (metis length-append length-replicate)
 with 2 assms have n = m by simp
 with 1 3 assms show ?thesis by algebra
qed
lemma nat-lsbf-eqI:
 assumes to-nat xs = to-nat ys
 assumes length xs = length ys
 shows xs = ys
 using assms
 using to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq truncate-and-length-eq-imp-eq by blast
```

```
interpretation nat-lsbf: abstract-representation from-nat to-nat truncate
proof
 show to-nat \circ from-nat = id
   using to-nat-from-nat comp-apply by fastforce
  show from-nat \circ to-nat = truncate
   using from-to-f-criterion[of to-nat from-nat truncate]
   using to-nat-from-nat truncate-from-nat to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq
   using comp-apply
   by fastforce
qed
lemma truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl: truncated (x \# xs) \Longrightarrow truncated xs
 using truncated-iff by fastforce
definition fill where fill n \ xs = xs \ @ \ replicate \ (n - length \ xs) False
lemma to-nat-fill[simp]: to-nat (fill n xs) = to-nat xs
 by (simp add: fill-def)
lemma length-fill[intro]: length xs \leq n \Longrightarrow length (fill n xs = n)
 by (simp add: fill-def)
lemma take-id: length xs = k \Longrightarrow take k xs = xs
lemma fill-id: length xs \ge k \Longrightarrow fill k xs = xs
 unfolding fill-def by simp
lemma length-fill': length (fill n xs) = max n (length xs)
 by (simp add: fill-def)
lemma length-fill-max[simp]:
  length (fill (max (length xs) (length ys)) xs) = max (length xs) (length ys)
  length (fill (max (length xs) (length ys)) ys) = max (length xs) (length ys)
 by (intro length-fill, simp)+
lemma truncate-fill: truncate (fill k xs) = truncate xs
 by (simp add: fill-def)
lemma fill-truncate: length xs \le k \Longrightarrow \text{fill } k \text{ (truncate } xs) = \text{fill } k \text{ } xs
proof -
 assume length xs \leq k
 obtain n where n-def: xs = truncate xs @ replicate n False
   using truncate-replicate by metis
 then have length xs = length (truncate xs) + n by (metis length-append length-replicate)
 then have length (truncate xs) + n \le k using (length xs \le k) by simp
```

```
from n-def have fill k xs = (truncate xs @ replicate n False) @ replicate <math>(k - 1)
length (truncate xs @ replicate n False)) False
   using fill-def by presburger
 also have ... = truncate xs @ replicate (n + (k - length (truncate xs @ replicate
n False))) False
   by (simp add: replicate-add)
  also have ... = truncate xs @ replicate (n + (k - (length (truncate <math>xs) + n)))
False
   by simp
 also have ... = truncate \ xs \ @ \ replicate \ (k - (length \ (truncate \ xs))) \ False
   using \langle length \ (truncate \ xs) + n \leq k \rangle by simp
 also have ... = fill\ k\ (truncate\ xs) by (simp\ add:\ fill-def)
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma fill-take-com: fill k (take k xs) = take k (fill k xs)
 using fill-def by fastforce
lemma to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated: xs \neq [] \implies truncated \ xs \implies to-nat
xs \geq 2 \cap (length \ xs - 1)
proof -
 assume xs \neq [] truncated xs
  then obtain xs' where xs = xs' @ [True]
   by (metis(full-types) append-butlast-last-id last-truncate)
  then show ?thesis using to-nat-length-lower-bound[of xs'] by simp
qed
lemma to-nat-length-bound-truncated: truncated xs \implies to-nat xs < 2 \ \hat{} \ n \implies
length xs \leq n
proof (rule ccontr)
 assume truncated xs to-nat xs < 2 \cap n - length xs \leq n
 show False
 proof (cases \ xs = [])
   {\bf case}\ {\it True}
   then show ?thesis using \langle \neg length \ xs \leq n \rangle by simp
 next
   case False
   have length xs \ge n + 1 using \langle \neg length \ xs \le n \rangle by simp
   then have to-nat xs \geq 2 \hat{n}
     using to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated [of xs]
     using False \(\lambda truncated xs\rangle\)
    by (meson add-le-imp-le-diff dual-order trans one-le-numeral power-increasing)
   then show ?thesis using \langle to\text{-}nat \ xs < 2 \ \widehat{\ } n \rangle by simp
 qed
qed
       Right-shifts
8.4
definition shift-right :: nat \Rightarrow nat-lshf \Rightarrow nat-lshf where
```

```
shift-right n xs = (replicate n False) @ xs
```

lemma to-nat-shift-right[simp]: to-nat (shift-right n xs) = $2 \hat{n} * to-nat$ xs unfolding shift-right-def using to-nat-app by simp

lemma length-shift-right[simp]: length $(shift-right\ n\ xs)=n+length\ xs$ **unfolding** shift-right-def **by** simp

8.5 Subdividing lists

8.5.1 Splitting a list in two blocks

```
fun split-at :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \times 'a \ list \ \mathbf{where} split-at \ m \ xs = (take \ m \ xs, \ drop \ m \ xs)
```

```
definition split :: nat\text{-}lsbf \Rightarrow nat\text{-}lsbf \times nat\text{-}lsbf where split xs = (let \ n = length \ xs \ div \ (2::nat) \ in \ split\text{-}at \ n \ xs)
```

lemma app-split: split $xs = (x0, x1) \Longrightarrow xs = x0 @ x1$ unfolding split-def Let-def using append-take-drop-id[of length xs div 2xs] by simp

lemma length-split: length $xs \mod 2 = 0 \Longrightarrow split \ xs = (x0, x1) \Longrightarrow length \ x0 = length \ xs \ div \ 2 \land length \ x1 = length \ xs \ div \ 2$ **unfolding** split-def **by** fastforce

```
{\bf lemma}\ length\text{-}split\text{-}le:
```

```
assumes split xs = (x0, x1)
```

shows length $x0 \le length \ xs$ and length $x1 \le length \ xs$ using $app\text{-}split[OF \ assms]$ by simp-all

8.5.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks

 $subdivide \ n \ xs \ divides \ the \ list \ xs \ into \ blocks \ of \ size \ n.$

```
fun subdivide :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ list \ where 
 <math>subdivide \ 0 \ xs = undefined
\mid subdivide \ n \ [] = []
\mid subdivide \ n \ xs = take \ n \ xs \ \# \ subdivide \ n \ (drop \ n \ xs)
```

value concat [[0..<2], [4..<7], [1..<5]]

```
value subdivide 2 [0..<6]
value subdivide 3 [0..<6]
value subdivide (2 \ 2) [0..<2 \ 6]
```

lemma concat-subdivide: $n > 0 \Longrightarrow concat$ (subdivide n xs) = xs **by** (induction n xs rule: subdivide.induct) simp-all

lemma subdivide-step:

```
assumes n > 0
 assumes xs \neq []
 assumes length xs = n * k
 obtains ys zs where xs = ys @ zs length ys = n length zs = n * (k - 1)
   subdivide \ n \ xs = ys \ \# \ subdivide \ n \ zs
proof -
  from assms obtain a xs' where xs = a \# xs' using list.exhaust by blast
  from assms have k > 0
   using zero-less-iff-neq-zero by fastforce
 then obtain k' where k = Suc \ k' using gr0-implies-Suc by auto
 then have length xs = n + n * k'  using assms(3) by simp
 define ys zs where ys = take n xs zs = drop n xs
 with \langle length \ xs = n + n * k' \rangle have xs = ys @ zs \ length \ ys = n \ length \ zs = n *
k' by simp-all
 moreover have subdivide n xs = ys \# subdivide n zs using ys-zs-def assms(1)
assms(2) Suc-diff-1 subdivide.simps(3)
   \langle xs = a \# xs' \rangle by metis
 ultimately show (\bigwedge ys \ zs.
       xs = ys @ zs \Longrightarrow
       length ys = n \Longrightarrow
       length zs = n * (k - 1) \Longrightarrow
       subdivide \ n \ xs = ys \ \# \ subdivide \ n \ zs \Longrightarrow thesis) \Longrightarrow
   by (simp\ add: \langle k = Suc\ k' \rangle)
qed
lemma subdivide-step':
 assumes n > 0
 assumes xs \neq []
 shows subdivide n \ xs = (take \ n \ xs) \# subdivide \ n \ (drop \ n \ xs)
 using assms
 by (cases n; cases xs; simp-all)
{f lemma} subdivide\text{-}correct:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes length xs = n * k
 shows length (subdivide n xs) = k \land (x \in set \ (subdivide \ n \ xs) \longrightarrow length \ x = n)
 using assms
proof (induction k arbitrary: xs \ n \ x)
 then have subdivide n \ xs = [] \ using \ \theta \ gr\theta-conv-Suc by force
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc\ k)
 then have xs \neq [] by force
  from subdivide-step[OF \langle n > 0 \rangle \ this \langle length \ xs = n * Suc \ k \rangle] obtain ys \ zs
where ys-zs:
   xs = ys @ zs
   length ys = n
```

```
length zs = n * (Suc k - 1)
   subdivide\ n\ xs=ys\ \#\ subdivide\ n\ zs
   by blast
  then have length zs = n * k  by simp
  note IH = Suc.IH[OF \langle n > 0 \rangle this]
  from IH show ?case using ys-zs by simp
qed
lemma nth-nth-subdivide:
  assumes n > 0
 assumes length xs = n * k
 assumes i < k j < n
 shows subdivide n xs! i! j = xs! (i * n + j)
 using assms
proof (induction k arbitrary: xs i)
  case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc \ k)
  then have xs \neq [] by auto
  with Suc subdivide-step obtain ys zs where xs = ys @ zs length ys = n length
zs = n * (Suc k - 1)
    subdivide \ n \ xs = ys \ \# \ subdivide \ n \ zs \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  then have length zs = n * k  by simp
  show ?case
  proof (cases i)
   case \theta
   then have subdivide n xs! i ! j = ys! (i * n + j) using \langle subdivide \ n \ xs = ys \rangle
\# subdivide n zs> by simp
   then show ?thesis using \langle xs = ys \otimes zs \rangle \ 0 \ \langle j < n \rangle \ \langle length \ ys = n \rangle
     by (simp add: nth-append)
  next
   case (Suc i')
   then have subdivide n xs! i ! j = subdivide n zs! i'! j
     using \langle subdivide\ n\ xs = ys\ \#\ subdivide\ n\ zs \rangle by simp
   also have ... = zs ! (i' * n + j)
     apply (intro Suc.IH[of zs i'])
     subgoal using \langle n > \theta \rangle.
     subgoal using \langle length \ zs = n * k \rangle.
     subgoal using \langle i < Suc \ k \rangle \ \langle i = Suc \ i' \rangle by simp
     subgoal using \langle j < n \rangle.
     done
   also have ... = xs ! (i * n + j)
     using \langle i = Suc \ i' \rangle \langle xs = ys @ zs \rangle \langle length \ ys = n \rangle
     by (metis\ ab\text{-}semigroup\text{-}add\text{-}class.add\text{-}ac(1)\ mult\text{-}Suc\ nth\text{-}append\text{-}length\text{-}plus)
   finally show ?thesis.
  ged
qed
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} subdivide\text{-}concat:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes \bigwedge i. i < length \ xs \Longrightarrow length \ (xs ! i) = n
 shows subdivide n (concat xs) = xs
proof (intro iffD1[OF concat-eq-concat-iff])
  show concat (subdivide \ n \ (concat \ xs)) = concat \ xs
   using concat-subdivide[OF \langle n > \theta \rangle].
  have map length xs = replicate (length xs) n
   apply (intro\ replicate-eqI)
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal using assms by (metis in-set-conv-nth length-map nth-map)
   done
  then have length (concat xs) = length xs * n
   by (simp add: length-concat sum-list-replicate)
  then show length (subdivide n (concat xs)) = length xs
   apply (intro conjunct1 [OF subdivide-correct] \langle n > 0 \rangle) by simp
 show \forall (x, y) \in set (zip (subdivide n (concat xs)) xs). length x = length y
 proof
   fix z
   assume a: z \in set (zip (subdivide n (concat xs)) xs)
   then obtain x y where z = (x, y) by fastforce
   from a obtain i where i < length xs z = zip (subdivide n (concat xs)) xs ! i
     using \langle length \ (subdivide \ n \ (concat \ xs)) = length \ xs \rangle
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) gen-length-def in-set-conv-nth length-code length-zip
min-0R min-add-distrib-left)
   then have subdivide n (concat xs) ! i = x xs ! i = y
     using \langle z = (x, y) \rangle \langle length (subdivide n (concat xs)) = length xs \rangle by simp-all
   then have length x = n using \langle i < length | xs \rangle \langle length | (subdivide | n | (concat | xs))
= length |xs\rangle
     using \langle length \ (concat \ xs) = length \ xs * n \rangle
     \langle n > 0 \rangle mult.commute[of n length xs]
     by (metis nth-mem subdivide-correct)
    moreover from \langle xs \mid i = y \rangle \langle i < length \ xs \rangle have length \ y = n using assms
    ultimately show case z of (x, y) \Rightarrow length x = length y using <math>\langle z = (x, y) \rangle
by simp
 qed
qed
lemma to-nat-subdivide:
 assumes n > 0
 assumes length xs = n * k
 shows to-nat xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< k]. to-nat (subdivide n \times i) * 2 \hat{i} \times i
 using assms
proof (induction k arbitrary: xs)
  case \theta
  then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Suc\ k)
```

```
then have length (take n \ xs) = n \ length \ (drop \ n \ xs) = n * k \ by \ simp-all
  from Suc have xs \neq [] by auto
  have (\sum i \leftarrow [0... < Suc \ k]. to-nat (subdivide n xs! i) * 2 ^ (i * n))
       = to-nat (subdivide n xs ! 0) * 2 \widehat{\ } (0 * n) + (\sum i \leftarrow [1... < Suc k]. to-nat
(subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 \cap (i * n))
    by (intro sum-list-split-0)
  also have subdivide\ n\ xs\ !\ \theta = take\ n\ xs
    using Suc \langle xs \neq [] \rangle subdivide-step'[OF \langle 0 < n \rangle \langle xs \neq [] \rangle] by simp
  also have (\sum i \leftarrow [1... < Suc \ k]. to-nat (subdivide n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 \ \widehat{\ } (i * n))
= (\sum i \leftarrow [0... < k]. to-nat (subdivide n \ xs \ ! \ (i + 1)) * 2 \ \widehat{\ } ((i + 1) * n))
    using sum-list-index-shift[of \lambda i. to-nat (subdivide n xs! i) * 2 ^ (i * n) 1 0 k]
  also have ... = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< k]. to-nat (subdivide n (drop n xs) ! i) * 2 ^ ((i +
(1) * n)
    using subdivide-step'[OF \langle 0 < n \rangle \langle xs \neq [] \rangle] by simp
  also have ... = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< k]. (to-nat (subdivide n (drop n xs)! i) * (2 \cap n *
2^{(i*n)}
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon power\text{-}add)
  also have ... = (\sum i \leftarrow [0..< k]. \ 2 \cap n * (to-nat (subdivide n (drop n xs)! i) * 2
    \mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon mult.left\text{-}commute)
  also have ... = 2 \hat{n} * (\sum i \leftarrow [0... < k]. \text{ to-nat (subdivide } n \text{ (drop } n \text{ xs) ! i)} * 2
 (i * n)
    by (simp add: sum-list-const-mult)
  also have ... = 2 \hat{n} * to-nat (drop n xs)
    using Suc.IH[OF \land 0 < n \land \land length (drop \ n \ xs) = n \ast k \land ] by argo
  finally have (\sum i \leftarrow [0..<Suc\ k]. to-nat (subdivide n xs! i) * 2 ^ (i * n))
    = to-nat (take n xs) + 2 ^n * to-nat (drop n xs)
    by simp
  also have ... = to-nat (take n xs @ drop n xs)
    by (simp only: to-nat-app \langle length \ (take \ n \ xs) = n \rangle)
  also have \dots = to-nat xs by simp
  finally show to-nat xs = (\sum i \leftarrow [0... < Suc \ k]. to-nat (subdivide \ n \ xs \ ! \ i) * 2 ^
(i*n)
    by simp
qed
```

8.6 The bitsize function

bitsize n calculates how many bits are needed in the LSBF encoding of n.

```
fun bitsize :: nat ⇒ nat where
bitsize 0 = 0
| bitsize n = 1 + bitsize (n div 2)

lemma bitsize-is-floorlog: bitsize = floorlog 2
apply (intro ext)
subgoal for n
apply (induction n rule: bitsize.induct)
by (auto simp add: floorlog-eq-zero-iff compute-floorlog)
```

done

```
corollary bitsize-bitlen: int (bitsize n) = bitlen (int n)
 unfolding bitsize-is-floorlog bitlen-def by simp
lemma bitsize-eq: bitsize n = length (from-nat n)
proof (induction n rule: less-induct)
 case (less n)
  then show ?case
 proof (cases n = \theta)
   case True
   then show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case False
   then have 1: bitsize n = 1 + bitsize (n \ div \ 2)
     by (metis bitsize.elims)
   from False have length (from-nat n) = length ((if n mod 2 = 0 then False else
True) \# from\text{-}nat (n \ div \ 2))
     by (metis from-nat.elims)
   also have ... = 1 + bitsize (n div 2) using less[of n div 2] False by simp
   finally show bitsize n = length (from-nat n) using 1 by simp
 qed
qed
lemma bitsize-zero-iff: bitsize n = 0 \longleftrightarrow n = 0
 by (simp add: bitsize-is-floorlog floorlog-eq-zero-iff)
lemma truncated-iff': truncated x \longleftrightarrow length \ x = bitsize \ (to-nat \ x)
proof
 assume truncated x
 then have x = from\text{-}nat \ (to\text{-}nat \ x) \ unfolding \ nat\text{-}lsbf.f-fixed\text{-}point\text{-}iff'.
  then show length x = bitsize (to-nat x) unfolding bitsize-eq by simp
next
 assume length x = bitsize (to-nat x)
 then have length x = length (from-nat (to-nat x)) unfolding bitsize-eq.
 moreover have to-nat x = to-nat (from-nat (to-nat x)) by simp
 ultimately show truncated x unfolding nat-lsbf.f-fixed-point-iff'
   by (intro nat-lsbf-eqI; argo)
qed
lemma bitsize-length: bitsize n \leq k \longleftrightarrow n < 2 \ \hat{} \ k
  unfolding bitsize-is-floorlog floorlog-le-iff by simp
lemma two-pow-bitsize-pos-bound: n > 0 \Longrightarrow 2 \hat{} bitsize n \le 2 * n
proof -
 assume n > 0
 then have 2 \cap (bitsize \ n-1) \leq n
   using bitsize-length[of n bitsize n-1] by fastforce
 then have 2 \cap (bitsize \ n-1+1) \leq 2 * n  by simp
```

```
also have bitsize n-1+1= bitsize n using bitsize-zero-iff [of n] \langle n>0 \rangle by
simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma two-pow-bitsize-bound: 2 \hat{} bitsize n \leq 2 * n + 1
  using two-pow-bitsize-pos-bound[of n] by (cases n) simp-all
lemma bitsize-mono: n1 \le n2 \Longrightarrow bitsize n1 \le bitsize n2
  unfolding bitsize-is-floorlog by (rule floorlog-mono)
8.6.1
          The next-power-of-2 function
lemma power-of-2-recursion: (\exists k. (n::nat) = 2 \land k) \longleftrightarrow (n = 1 \lor (n \mod 2 = 0))
\wedge (\exists k. \ n \ div \ 2 = 2 \ \hat{k}))
proof
  assume \exists k. \ n = 2 \hat{k}
  then obtain k where k-def: n = 2 \hat{k} by blast
 show n = 1 \lor (n \bmod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. \ n \ div \ 2 = 2 \land k))
   using k-def by (cases \ k) simp-all
\mathbf{next}
  assume n = 1 \lor (n \bmod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. n \operatorname{div} 2 = 2 \land k))
  then consider n = 1 \mid n \mod 2 = 0 \land (\exists k. \ n \ div \ 2 = 2 \ \widehat{\ } k) by argo
  then show \exists k. \ n = 2 \hat{k}
  proof cases
   case 1
   then have n = 2 \hat{0} by simp
   then show ?thesis by blast
  next
   case 2
   then obtain k where n \ div \ 2 = 2 \ \hat{k} by blast
   with 2 have n = 2 \hat{\ } Suc \ k by auto
   then show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
fun is-power-of-2 :: nat \Rightarrow bool where
is-power-of-2 0 = False
| is\text{-power-of-2} (Suc \ \theta) = True
| is\text{-power-of-2} \ n = ((n \ mod \ 2 = 0) \land is\text{-power-of-2} \ (n \ div \ 2))
lemma is-power-of-2-correct: is-power-of-2 n \longleftrightarrow (\exists k. \ n = 2 \ \hat{k})
proof (induction n rule: is-power-of-2.induct)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by (metis is-power-of-2.simps(2) nat-power-eq-Suc-0-iff)
```

```
case (3 va)
 let ?n = Suc (Suc va)
 have is-power-of-2 ?n = ((?n \mod 2 = 0) \land is\text{-power-of-2} (?n \operatorname{div} 2))
 also have ... = ((?n \mod 2 = 0) \land (\exists k. (?n \operatorname{div} 2) = 2 \land k))
   using 3 by argo
 also have ... = (\exists k. ?n = 2 \hat{k})
   using power-of-2-recursion[of ?n] by simp
 finally show ?case.
qed
fun next-power-of-2 :: nat <math>\Rightarrow nat where
next-power-of-2 n = (if is\text{-power-of-2} n then n else 2 \cap (bitsize n))
lemma next-power-of-2-lower-bound: next-power-of-2 k > k
 apply (cases is-power-of-2 k)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal premises prems
 proof -
   from prems have next-power-of-2 k-1=2 \hat{} bitsize k-1 by simp
   also have ... = 2 \widehat{} (length (from-nat k)) - 1 using bitsize-eq by simp
   also have ... \geq k using to-nat-length-upper-bound [of from-nat k] by simp
   finally show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 done
lemma next-power-of-2-upper-bound:
 assumes k \neq 0
 shows next-power-of-2 k \leq 2 * k
 apply (cases is-power-of-2 k)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal premises prems
 proof -
   have 2 \cap (length (from-nat k) - 1) \leq to-nat (from-nat k)
     apply (intro to-nat-length-lower-bound-truncated)
     subgoal using assms by (cases k; simp)
     subgoal by simp
     done
   then have 2 \cap length (from-nat k) \le 2 * to-nat (from-nat k)
     using assms by (cases k; simp)
   also have \dots = 2 * k \text{ by } simp
   also have 2 \cap length (from-nat k) = next-power-of-2 k
     using prems bitsize-eq by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
 done
lemma next-power-of-2-upper-bound': next-power-of-2 k \le 2 * k + 1
```

```
apply (cases k)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal using next-power-of-2-upper-bound[of k] by simp
lemma next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2: \exists k. next-power-of-2 n = 2 \land k
  using is-power-of-2-correct by simp
8.7
       Addition
fun bit-add-carry :: bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \times bool \times bool where
bit-add-carry False False False = (False, False)
 bit-add-carry False\ False\ True = (True,\ False)
 bit-add-carry False True False = (True, False)
 bit-add-carry False True True = (False, True)
 bit-add-carry True False False = (True, False)
 bit-add-carry True False True = (False, True)
 bit-add-carry True True False = (False, True)
 bit-add-carry True True True = (True, True)
lemma bit-add-carry-correct: bit-add-carry c \ x \ y = (a, b) \Longrightarrow eval\text{-bool} \ c + eval\text{-bool}
x + eval\text{-}bool\ y = eval\text{-}bool\ a + 2 * eval\text{-}bool\ b
 by (cases \ c; \ cases \ x; \ cases \ y) auto
8.7.1 Increment operation
fun inc-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
inc-nat [] = [True]
 inc\text{-}nat (False \# xs) = True \# xs
|inc\text{-}nat (True \# xs)| = False \# (inc\text{-}nat xs)
lemma length-inc-nat': length (inc-nat xs) = length xs + of-bool (to-nat xs + 1 \geq
2 \cap length(xs)
proof (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (2 xs)
 then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of xs] by simp
 case (3 xs)
 then show ?case by simp
qed
lemma length-inc-nat-lower: length (inc-nat xs) \geq length xs
 unfolding length-inc-nat' by simp
lemma length-inc-nat-upper: length (inc-nat xs) \le length xs + 1
  unfolding length-inc-nat' by simp
```

```
lemma inc-nat-nonempty: inc-nat xs \neq []
 by (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct) simp-all
lemma inc-nat-replicate-True: inc-nat (replicate m True) = replicate m False @
[True]
 by (induction \ m) \ simp-all
lemma inc-nat-replicate-True-2: inc-nat (replicate m True @ False # ys) = repli-
cate m False @ True # ys
 by (induction \ m) \ simp-all
lemma length-inc-nat-iff: length (inc-nat xs) = length xs \longleftrightarrow (\exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys \ @
False \# zs
proof (intro iffI, rule ccontr)
  assume \nexists ys zs. xs = ys @ False \# zs
 then have \forall i \in \{0..< length \ xs\}. \ xs!i = True
   by (metis(full-types) atLeastLessThan-iff in-set-conv-nth split-list)
 then have xs = replicate (length xs) True
   by (simp only: list-is-replicate-iff)
  then show length (inc-nat xs) = length xs \Longrightarrow False
   using inc-nat-replicate-True
   by (metis length-append-singleton length-replicate n-not-Suc-n)
\mathbf{next}
  assume \exists ys \ zs. \ xs = ys @ False \# zs
  then have \exists n \ zs'. \ xs = replicate \ n \ True @ False \# zs'
   using bit-strong-decomp-1 by fastforce
  then show length (inc-nat xs) = length xs
   using inc-nat-replicate-True-2 by fastforce
qed
lemma inc-nat-last-bit-True: length (inc-nat xs) = Suc (length xs) \Longrightarrow \exists zs. inc-nat
xs = zs @ [True]
 by (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct) auto
lemma inc-nat-truncated: truncated xs \implies truncated (inc-nat xs)
proof (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case using truncate-def by simp
next
 case (2 xs)
 then show ?case by (simp add: truncated-iff)
next
 case (3 xs)
 then show ?case by (simp add: truncated-iff inc-nat-nonempty split: if-splits)
lemma inc-nat-correct: to-nat (inc-nat xs) = to-nat xs + 1
 by (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct) simp-all
```

```
+1))
proof (induction xs rule: inc-nat.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by (simp add: compute-floorlog)
next
 case (2 xs)
 then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of False # xs]
   by (simp add: floorlog-leI)
\mathbf{next}
 case (3 xs)
 then have length (inc-nat (True \# xs)) = Suc (max (length xs) (floorlog 2 (Suc
(to\text{-}nat\ xs))))
   by simp
 also have ... = max (length (True \# xs)) (Suc (floorlog 2 (Suc (to-nat xs))))
 also have ... = max (length (True \# xs)) (floorlog 2 (2 * Suc (to-nat xs)))
   apply (intro arg-cong2[where f = max] refl)
   by (simp add: compute-floorlog)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
8.7.2
         Addition with a carry bit
fun add-carry :: bool \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
add-carry False [] y = y
 add-carry False x [] = x
 add-carry True [] y = inc-nat y
 add-carry True \ x \ [] = inc-nat x
\mid add\text{-}carry\ c\ (x\#xs)\ (y\#ys) = (let\ (a,\ b) = bit\text{-}add\text{-}carry\ c\ x\ y\ in\ a\#(add\text{-}carry\ b)
xs ys))
lemma add-carry-correct: to-nat (add-carry c x y) = eval-bool c + to-nat x +
proof (induction c x y rule: add-carry.induct)
 case (1 \ y)
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (2 v va)
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (3 y)
 then show ?case using inc-nat-correct by simp
next
 case (4 v va)
 then show ?case using inc-nat-correct by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (5 \ c \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)
 define a b where a = fst (bit-add-carry c x y) b = snd (bit-add-carry c x y)
```

lemma length-inc-nat: length (inc-nat xs) = max (length xs) (floorlog 2 (to-nat xs)

```
then have to-nat (add\text{-}carry\ c\ (x\#xs)\ (y\#ys)) = to\text{-}nat\ (a\#add\text{-}carry\ b\ xs\ ys)
   by (simp add: case-prod-beta' Let-def)
 also have ... = eval-bool a + 2 * to-nat (add-carry b xs ys) by simp
 also have ... = eval-bool a + 2 * (eval-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat ys)
   using 5 a-b-def prod.collapse[of bit-add-carry c x y] by algebra
 also have ... = eval-bool\ c + eval-bool\ x + eval-bool\ y + 2 * (to-nat\ xs + to-nat\ 
ys)
    using bit-add-carry-correct a-b-def by (simp add: prod-eq-iff)
 also have ... = eval-bool c + to-nat (x\#xs) + to-nat (y\#ys) by simp
 finally show ?case.
qed
lemma length-add-carry': length (add-carry c xs ys) = max (length xs) (length ys)
+ of-bool (to-nat xs + to-nat ys + of-bool c \ge 2 ^n max (length xs) (length ys))
proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry.induct)
 then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of y] by simp
next
  case (2 \ v \ va)
 then show ?case
   using to-nat-length-bound[of va] by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (3 y)
  then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat')
\mathbf{next}
  case (4 v va)
  then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat')
 case (5 \ c \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)
 have l: 2 \cap Suc \ a \leq 2 * b + 1 \longleftrightarrow 2 \cap Suc \ a \leq 2 * b \text{ for } a \ b :: nat
   by fastforce
 obtain a b where bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) by fastforce
 then have add-carry c (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = a \# (add-carry b \times xs ys) by simp
 then have length (add-carry c (x \# xs) (y \# ys)) = 1 + max (length xs) (length
ys) + of\text{-}bool (2 \cap max (length xs) (length ys) \le to\text{-}nat xs + to\text{-}nat ys + of\text{-}bool b)
    using 5.IH[OF \langle bit\text{-}add\text{-}carry\ c\ x\ y = (a,\ b) \rangle[symmetric] refl] by (simp only:
length-Cons)
  also have ... = max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys)) + of-bool (2 \cap max
(length \ xs) \ (length \ ys) \le to\text{-nat} \ xs + to\text{-nat} \ ys + of\text{-bool} \ b)
   by simp
  also have ... = max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys)) + of-bool (2 ^ max)
(length\ (x\ \#\ xs))\ (length\ (y\ \#\ ys)) \le to\text{-nat}\ (x\ \#\ xs) + to\text{-nat}\ (y\ \#\ ys) + of\text{-bool}
 proof (intro arg-cong2[where f = (+)] refl arg-cong[where f = of-bool])
   have to-nat (x \# xs) + to-nat (y \# ys) + of-bool c =
       2 * to-nat xs + 2 * to-nat ys + of-bool x + of-bool y + of-bool c
     by simp
```

```
also have ... = 2 * to-nat xs + 2 * to-nat ys + of-bool a + 2 * of-bool b
          using bit-add-carry-correct [OF \( bit-add-carry \) c x y = (a, b) \ ] by simp
      finally have r: to-nat (x \# xs) + to-nat (y \# ys) + of-bool c = \dots.
      show (2 \cap max (length \ xs) (length \ ys) \leq to-nat \ xs + to-nat \ ys + of-bool \ b) =
      (2 \cap max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys)) \leq to\text{-nat} (x \# xs) + to\text{-nat} (y \# xs))
ys) + of\text{-}bool c)
           unfolding r using l[of max (length xs) (length ys) to-nat xs + to-nat ys +
of-bool b
          by auto
   qed
   finally show ?case.
lemma length-add-carry: length (add-carry c xs ys) = max (max (length xs) (length
(ys)) (floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys))
proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry.induct)
   case (1 y)
   then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of y]
      by (simp add: floorlog-leI)
\mathbf{next}
   case (2 \ v \ va)
   then show ?case using to-nat-length-bound[of v \# va]
      by (simp add: floorlog-leI)
next
   case (3 y)
   then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat)
next
   case (4 v va)
   then show ?case by (simp add: length-inc-nat)
   case (5 \ c \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)
   obtain a b where bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) by fastforce
   then have add-carry c (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = a \# (add-carry b xs ys) by simp
   then have length (add-carry c (x \# xs) (y \# ys)) = Suc (max (max (length xs)
(length\ ys))\ (floorlog\ 2\ (of-bool\ b\ +\ to-nat\ xs\ +\ to-nat\ ys)))
      using 5 \langle bit\text{-}add\text{-}carry\ c\ x\ y = (a,\ b) \rangle by (simp only: length-Cons)
   also have ... = max (max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys))) (1 + floorlog 2)
(of\text{-}bool\ b+to\text{-}nat\ xs+to\text{-}nat\ ys))
      by simp
  also have ... = max (max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \# ys))) (floorlog 2 (of-bool))
c + to-nat (x \# xs) + to-nat (y \# ys))
   proof (cases of-bool a + 2 * (of\text{-bool } b + to\text{-nat } xs + to\text{-nat } ys) > 0)
      case True
      then show ?thesis
      proof (intro arg-cong2[where f = max] refl)
          have floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat (x \# xs) + to-nat (y \# ys)) =
                  floorlog 2 ((of-bool c + of-bool x + of-bool y) + 2 * (to-nat xs + to-nat xs
ys))
             by simp
```

```
also have ... = floorlog 2 ((of-bool a + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs + 2 * of-bool b) + 2 * (to-nat xs
to-nat ys))
              using bit-add-carry-correct[OF \land bit-add-carry <math>c \ x \ y = (a, b) \land ] by simp
         also have ... = floorlog 2 (of-bool a + 2 * (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat ys))
          also have ... = 1 + floorlog 2 (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat ys)
              using compute-floorlog[of 2 of-bool a + 2 * (of-bool b + to-nat xs + to-nat)
ys)] True
              by simp
          finally show ... = floorlog 2 (of-bool c + to-nat (x \# xs) + to-nat (y \# ys))
       qed
   next
       {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
        then have 01: of-bool a = 0 of-bool b = 0 to-nat xs = 0 to-nat ys = 0 by
simp-all
       then have 02: of-bool c = 0 of-bool x = 0 of-bool y = 0
           using bit-add-carry-correct[OF \land bit-add-carry \ c \ x \ y = (a, b) \land ] by simp-all
       from 01 02 show ?thesis by (simp add: floorlog-def)
   finally show ?case.
qed
lemma length-add-carry-lower: length (add-carry c \times s \times s \times s = max (length xs) (length
ys)
   unfolding length-add-carry' by simp
ys) + 1
   unfolding length-add-carry' by simp
lemma add-carry-last-bit-True: length (add-carry c xs ys) = max (length xs) (length
ys) + 1 \Longrightarrow \exists zs. \ add\text{-}carry \ c \ xs \ ys = zs \ @ [True]
proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry.induct)
   case (1 \ y)
   then show ?case by simp
next
    case (2 \ v \ va)
   then show ?case by simp
next
   case (3 y)
    then show ?case by (simp add: inc-nat-last-bit-True)
   case (4 v va)
   then show ?case by (simp add: inc-nat-last-bit-True)
next
   case (5 \ c \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)
   obtain a b where bit-add-carry c x y = (a, b) by fastforce
   then have 1: add-carry c (x \# xs) (y \# ys) = a \# (add-carry b xs ys)
```

```
by simp
 from 5 have length (add-carry b xs ys) = max (length (x \# xs)) (length (y \#
ys))
   using \langle bit\text{-}add\text{-}carry\ c\ x\ y = (a,\ b) \rangle by auto
 also have ... = max (length xs) (length ys) + 1 by simp
 finally obtain zs where add-carry b xs ys = zs @ [True]  using 5 \(\delta bit-add-carry \)
c x y = (a, b)
   by presburger
 then show ?case using 1 by simp
qed
lemma add-carry-com: add-carry c xs ys = add-carry c ys xs
 apply (intro nat-lsbf-eqI)
 subgoal by (simp add: add-carry-correct)
 subgoal by (simp only: length-add-carry' max.commute add.commute)
lemma add-carry-rNil[simp]: add-carry True y [] = inc-nat y
 by (cases y; simp)
lemma add-carry-rNil-nocarry[simp]: add-carry False y [] = y
 by (cases y; simp)
lemma add-carry-True-inc-nat:
add-carry True xs ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys) \land
add-carry True xs ys = add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys \land add
add-carry True xs ys = add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys)
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys)
 case Nil
 then show ?case
   apply (intro\ conjI)
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal
    apply (cases ys)
    subgoal by simp
    subgoal for a ys'
      by (cases a) simp-all
    done
   subgoal by simp
   done
next
 case (Cons\ a\ xs)
 then show ?case
   apply (cases a; cases ys)
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal for b ys'
    apply (cases b)
    subgoal by fastforce
    subgoal by simp
```

```
done
   subgoal by (simp add: add-carry-com)
   subgoal for b ys'
     apply (cases b)
     subgoal by fastforce
     subgoal by simp
     done
   done
qed
lemma inc-nat-add-carry:
 inc-nat \ (add-carry \ c \ xs \ ys) = add-carry \ c \ (inc-nat \ xs) \ ys \ \land
  inc-nat (add-carry \ c \ xs \ ys) = add-carry \ c \ xs \ (inc-nat \ ys)
proof (cases c)
 case True
 then have
   add-carry c (inc-nat xs) ys = inc-nat (add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys)
   add-carry c xs (inc-nat ys) = inc-nat (add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys))
   using add-carry-True-inc-nat by simp-all
 moreover have
   add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys)
   using add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by argo
  moreover have add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys) = inc-nat (add-carry False xs
ys)
   using add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by argo
 ultimately show ?thesis using add-carry-True-inc-nat True by simp
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis using add-carry-True-inc-nat[of xs ys] by auto
lemma add-carry-inc-nat-simps:
 add-carry True xs ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys)
 add-carry False (inc-nat xs) ys = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys)
 add-carry False xs (inc-nat ys) = inc-nat (add-carry False xs ys)
 using inc-nat-add-carry[of - xs \ ys] add-carry-True-inc-nat[of \ xs \ ys]
 by argo+
lemma add-carry-assoc: add-carry c2 (add-carry c1 xs ys) zs = add-carry c1 xs
(add\text{-}carry\ c2\ ys\ zs)
 apply (intro nat-lsbf-eqI)
 subgoal by (simp add: add-carry-correct)
 subgoal
 proof -
   let ?t1 = of\text{-bool } c1 + to\text{-nat } xs + to\text{-nat } ys
   let ?t2 = of\text{-}bool\ c2 + to\text{-}nat\ ys + to\text{-}nat\ zs
   let ?t3 = of\text{-}bool\ c1 + of\text{-}bool\ c2 + to\text{-}nat\ xs + to\text{-}nat\ ys + to\text{-}nat\ zs
   have length (add-carry c2 (add-carry c1 xs ys) zs) = max (max (max (max
```

```
(length \ xs) \ (length \ ys)) \ (floorlog \ 2 \ ?t1)) \ (length \ zs))
    (floorlog 2 ?t3)
    unfolding length-add-carry add-carry-correct eval-bool-is-of-bool
    by (intro arg-cong2 [where f = max] refl arg-cong2 [where f = floorlog]) simp
   also have ... = max (max (max (floorlog 2 ?t1) (floorlog 2 ?t3)) (length
(xs)) (length (xs)) (length (xs))
     using max.commute max.assoc by presburger
  also have ... = max (max (floorlog 2 ?t3) (length xs)) (length ys)) (length
zs) (is ... = ?t4)
     by (intro arg-cong2 [where f = max] refl max.absorb2 floorlog-mono) simp
   finally have 1: length (add-carry c2 (add-carry c1 xs ys) zs) = ?t4.
    have length (add\text{-}carry\ c1\ xs\ (add\text{-}carry\ c2\ ys\ zs)) = max\ (max\ (length\ xs)
(max (max (length ys) (length zs)) (floorlog 2 ?t2)))
    (floorlog 2 ?t3)
    unfolding length-add-carry add-carry-correct eval-bool-is-of-bool
    by (intro arg-cong2 [where f = max] refl arg-cong2 [where f = floorlog]) simp
   also have ... = max (max (max (floorlog 2 ?t2) (floorlog 2 ?t3)) (length
(xs)) (length (ys)) (length (zs))
     using max.commute max.assoc by presburger
  also have ... = max (max (floorlog 2 ?t3) (length xs)) (length ys)) (length
zs)
     by (intro arg-cong2 [where f = max] refl max.absorb2 floorlog-mono) simp
   finally have 2: length (add-carry c1 xs (add-carry c2 ys zs)) = ?t4.
   show ?thesis unfolding 1 2 by (rule refl)
 qed
 done
lemma truncated-add-carry:
 assumes truncated xs truncated ys
 shows truncated (add-carry c xs ys)
proof -
 have length (add\text{-}carry\ c\ xs\ ys) =
    max (max (length xs) (length ys)) (bitsize (of-bool c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys))
   unfolding length-add-carry bitsize-is-floorlog by argo
  also have ... = max (max (bitsize (to-nat xs)) (bitsize (to-nat ys))) (bitsize
(of\text{-}bool\ c + to\text{-}nat\ xs + to\text{-}nat\ ys))
   using truncated-iff' assms by algebra
 also have ... = bitsize (of-bool c + to-nat xs + to-nat ys)
   using bitsize-mono by simp
 also have ... = bitsize (to-nat (add-carry c xs ys))
   by (simp add: add-carry-correct)
 finally show ?thesis unfolding truncated-iff'.
qed
```

8.7.3 Addition

definition add-nat :: nat- $lsbf \Rightarrow nat$ - $lsbf \Rightarrow nat$ -lsbf where

```
add-nat x y = add-carry False x y
corollary length-add-nat-lower: length (add-nat xs \ ys) \geq max (length xs) (length
 unfolding add-nat-def by (simp only: length-add-carry-lower)
corollary length-add-nat-upper: length (add-nat xs ys) \leq max (length xs) (length
 unfolding add-nat-def using length-add-carry-upper of False xs ys by simp
corollary add-nat-last-bit-True: length (add-nat xs ys) = max (length xs) (length
ys) + 1 \Longrightarrow \exists zs. \ add\text{-}nat \ xs \ ys = zs @ [True]
 unfolding add-nat-def by (simp add: add-carry-last-bit-True)
lemma add-nat-correct: to-nat (add-nat x y) = to-nat x + to-nat y
 unfolding add-nat-def using add-carry-correct by simp
corollary add-nat-com: add-nat ys ys = add-nat ys xs
 unfolding add-nat-def by (simp add: add-carry-com)
corollary add-nat-assoc: add-nat xs (add-nat ys zs) = add-nat (add-nat xs ys) zs
 unfolding add-nat-def using add-carry-assoc by simp
corollary truncated-add-nat:
 assumes truncated xs truncated ys
 shows truncated (add-nat xs ys)
 unfolding add-nat-def
 by (intro truncated-add-carry assms)
```

8.8 Comparison and subtraction

8.8.1 Comparison

```
fun compare-nat-same-length-reversed :: bool list \Rightarrow bool where
compare-nat-same-length-reversed [] [] = True
| \ compare-nat-same-length-reversed \ (False\#xs) \ (False\#ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed
xs ys
 compare-nat-same-length-reversed (True\#xs) (False\#ys) = False
 compare-nat-same-length-reversed (False\#xs) (True\#ys) = True
| compare-nat-same-length-reversed (True # xs) (True # ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed
xs \ ys
| compare-nat-same-length-reversed - - = undefined
lemma compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct:
 length \ xs = length \ ys \Longrightarrow compare-nat-same-length-reversed \ xs \ ys \longleftrightarrow to-nat \ (rev
(xs) < to-nat (rev ys)
proof (induction xs ys rule: compare-nat-same-length-reversed.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by simp
next
```

```
case (2 xs ys)
  have to-nat (rev (False \# xs)) = to-nat (rev xs) to-nat (rev (False \# ys)) =
to-nat (rev ys)
   using to-nat-app by simp-all
 then have to-nat (rev (False # xs)) \leq to-nat (rev (False # ys)) \longleftrightarrow to-nat (rev
xs) \leq to-nat (rev \ ys)
   by simp
  then show ?case using 2 by simp
next
  case (3 xs ys)
 have to-nat (rev (True \# xs)) = 2 \cap (length xs) + to-nat (rev xs)
   using to-nat-app by simp
 also have ... > to-nat (rev ys)
  using 3 to-nat-length-upper-bound[of rev ys] leI le-add-diff-inverse2 by fastforce
 also have to-nat (rev \ ys) = to-nat \ (rev \ (False \# \ ys))
   using to-nat-app by simp
 finally have to-nat (rev (True \# xs)) > to-nat (rev (False \# ys)).
 thus ?case using 3 by simp
next
  case (4 xs ys)
 have to-nat (rev (False \# xs)) = to-nat (rev xs)
   using to-nat-app by simp
 also have ... \leq 2 \cap (length \ xs)
   using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of rev xs] by simp
 also have ... \leq to-nat (rev (True \# ys))
   using to-nat-app 4 by simp
 finally have to-nat (rev (False \# xs)) \leq to-nat (rev (True \# ys)).
 thus ?case using 4 by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (5 xs ys)
  have to-nat (rev \ (True \# xs)) = 2 \cap (length \ xs) + to-nat \ (rev \ xs) \ to-nat \ (rev \ xs)
(True \# ys)) = 2 \cap (length ys) + to-nat (rev ys)
   \mathbf{using}\ to\text{-}nat\text{-}app\ \mathbf{by}\ simp\text{-}all
 then have to-nat (rev \ (True \ \# \ xs)) \le to\text{-nat} \ (rev \ (True \ \# \ ys)) \longleftrightarrow to\text{-nat} \ (rev
xs) \leq to\text{-}nat \ (rev \ ys)
   using 5 by simp
 then show ?case using 5 by simp
 case (6-1 va)
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (6-2 \ v \ va)
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (6-3 v va)
 then show ?case by simp
 case (6-4 va)
 then show ?case by simp
```

```
qed
```

```
fun compare-nat-same-length :: nat-lsbf <math>\Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool where
compare-nat-same-length \ xs \ ys = compare-nat-same-length-reversed \ (rev \ xs) \ (rev \ xs)
ys)
lemma compare-nat-same-length-correct:
    length \ xs = length \ ys \Longrightarrow compare-nat-same-length \ xs \ ys = (to-nat \ xs \le to-nat \ xs \le to-na
ys)
    using compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct by simp
definition make-same-length :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf where
make-same-length xs ys = (let n = max (length xs) (length ys) in ((fill n xs), (fill n xs), (fill n xs))
n \ ys)))
lemma make-same-length-correct:
    assumes (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys
    shows length fill-ys = length fill-xs
    length \ fill-xs = max \ (length \ xs) \ (length \ ys)
    to-nat fill-xs = to-nat xs
    to-nat fill-ys = to-nat ys
    using assms by (simp-all add: Let-def make-same-length-def)
definition compare-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool where
compare-nat \ xs \ ys = (let \ (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length \ xs \ ys \ in \ compare-nat-same-length
fill-xs fill-ys)
lemma compare-nat-correct: compare-nat xs ys = (to-nat xs \le to-nat ys)
proof -
    obtain fill-xs fill-ys where fills-def: make-same-length xs ys = (fill-xs, fill-ys)
       by fastforce
    then show ?thesis unfolding compare-nat-def Let-def
       using make-same-length-correct[OF fills-def[symmetric]]
       using compare-nat-same-length-reversed-correct[of rev fill-xs rev fill-ys]
       by simp
qed
8.8.2
                    Subtraction
definition subtract-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
    subtract-nat xs ys = (if compare-nat xs ys then [] else
        let (fill-xs, fill-ys) = make-same-length xs ys in
       butlast (add-carry True fill-xs (map Not fill-ys)))
lemma add-complement: add-nat xs (map\ Not\ xs) = replicate\ (length\ xs)\ True
proof (induction xs)
    case Nil
    then show ?case unfolding add-nat-def by simp
next
```

```
case (Cons a xs)
 have add-nat (a \# xs) \pmod{Not} (a \# xs) = True \# (add-carry False xs \pmod{nap})
Not \ xs))
   unfolding add-nat-def by (cases a) simp-all
 also have ... = True # (replicate (length xs) True)
   using Cons.IH by (simp add: add-nat-def)
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma to-nat-complement: to-nat (map\ Not\ xs) = 2 \cap (length\ xs) - 1 - to-nat
 using add-complement [of xs] to-nat-replicate-true [of length xs] add-nat-correct [of length xs]
xs map Not xs
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma to-nat-butlast: zs = xs @ [True] \implies to-nat (butlast zs) = to-nat zs - 2 ^
 using to-nat-app[of xs [True]] by simp
lemma inc-nat-true-prefix[simp]: inc-nat (replicate n True @ [False] @ ys) = repli-
cate n False @ [True] @ ys
 by (induction n arbitrary: ys) simp-all
lemma length-inc-nat-aux: zs = replicate \ n \ True \ @ [False] \ @ \ ys \Longrightarrow length \ (inc-nat
zs) = length zs
 using inc-nat-true-prefix[of n ys] by simp
lemma length-inc-nat-aux-2: length (inc-nat (xs @ [False] @ ys)) = length (xs @
[False] @ ys)
proof -
 define zs where zs = xs @ [False] @ ys
 with bit-strong-decomp-1 [of zs False] obtain ys' n where zs = replicate n True
@ [False] @ ys'
   by auto
 then show ?thesis using length-inc-nat-aux zs-def by simp
qed
lemma subtract-nat-aux: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = (to-nat xs) - (to-nat ys) \wedge
length (subtract-nat \ xs \ ys) \leq max (length \ xs) (length \ ys)
proof (cases compare-nat xs ys)
 {f case}\ True
  then show ?thesis using compare-nat-correct unfolding subtract-nat-def by
simp
next
 case False
 obtain fill-xs fill-ys where fills-def: make-same-length xs ys = (fill-xs, fill-ys)
   bv fastforce
 note fills-props = make-same-length-correct[OF fills-def[symmetric]]
```

```
define n where n = max (length xs) (length ys)
  then have length fill-xs = n length fill-ys = n using fills-props by auto
  from False have to-nat fill-xs > to-nat fill-ys
   using fills-props compare-nat-correct by simp
  then have n > 0 using \langle length \ fill - xs = n \rangle by auto
 let ?add = add-carry True fill-xs (map Not fill-ys)
 have subtract-nat-xs-ys: subtract-nat xs ys = butlast ?add
   unfolding subtract-nat-def using False fills-def by simp
 have to-nat fill-ys \leq 2 \hat{} n-1 to-nat fill-ys \leq 2 \hat{} n-1 to-nat (map Not fill-ys)
\leq 2 \hat{n} - 1
   subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of fill-ys] \langle length | fill-ys = n \rangle by argo
   subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound of fill-xs \land length fill-xs = n \land by argo
    n \mapsto \mathbf{by} \ simp
   done
 then have to-nat ?add \leq (2 \hat{n} - 1) + (2 \hat{n} - 1) + 1 unfolding add-carry-correct
by simp
 also have ... = 2 \hat{(n + 1)} - 2 + 1 by simp
 also have ... = 2^{(n+1)} - 1
   using Nat.diff-diff-right[of\ 1\ 2\ 2\ \widehat{\ }(n+1)]\ Nat.diff-add-assoc2[of\ 2\ 2\ \widehat{\ }(n+1)]
1) 1]
   by simp
 finally have to-nat ?add \le ....
  from \langle to\text{-}nat \text{ fill-}xs \rangle \text{ to-}nat \text{ fill-}ys \rangle have to-nat fill-xs \geq to\text{-}nat \text{ fill-}ys + 1 by
simp
 then have to-nat fill-xs + 2 \hat{\ } n > 2 \hat{\ } n + to-nat fill-ys + 1 by simp
  then have to-nat fill-xs + (2 \hat{n} - 1 - to-nat fill-ys) \ge 2 \hat{n} by simp
  then have to-nat fill-xs + to-nat (map Not fill-ys) \geq 2 \hat{\ } n
   using to-nat-complement[of fill-ys] \langle length \ fill-ys = n \rangle by simp
  then have to-nat ?add \ge 2 \hat{n}
   using add-carry-correct fills-props by simp
 then have length ?add \ge n + 1
   using to-nat-bound-to-length-bound by simp
  then have length ?add = n + 1
   using length-add-carry-upper[of True fill-xs map Not fill-ys] <math>\langle length fill-xs = n \rangle
\langle length \ fill-ys = n \rangle
   by simp
  then obtain zs where ?add = zs @ [True] length zs = n
   using add-carry-last-bit-True[of\ True\ fill-xs\ map\ Not\ fill-ys] <math>\langle length\ fill-xs=n \rangle
\langle length \ fill-ys = n \rangle
   by auto
 then have 1: to-nat (butlast ?add) = to-nat fill-xs + to-nat (map Not fill-ys) +
1 - 2 \hat{n}
```

```
unfolding to-nat-butlast[OF \land ?add = zs @ [True] \land ]
    using add-carry-correct by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 add.assoc eval-bool.simps(1)
plus-1-eq-Suc)
 also have ... = to-nat fill-xs + (2 \hat{n} - 1 - to-nat fill-ys) + 1 - 2 \hat{n}
   unfolding to-nat-complement of fill-ys | length fill-ys | n > by (rule refl)
 also have ... = to-nat fill-xs + (2 \hat{n} - 1) - to-nat fill-ys + 1 - 2 \hat{n}
   using le-add-diff-inverse [OF \langle to\text{-nat fill-ys} \leq 2 \ \hat{} \ n-1 \rangle] by linarith
 also have ... = to-nat fill-xs - to-nat fill-ys + (2 \hat{n} - 1) - (2 \hat{n} - 1)
   using \langle to\text{-}nat \text{ fill-}xs \rangle \text{ to-}nat \text{ fill-}ys \rangle by simp
 also have \dots = to-nat fill-xs - to-nat fill-ys by simp
 finally have 2: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = to-nat xs - to-nat ys
   unfolding subtract-nat-xs-ys fills-props.
 have 3: length (butlast ?add) = n
   using \langle length ? add = n + 1 \rangle by simp
  show ?thesis
   apply (intro\ conjI)
   subgoal by (fact 2)
   subgoal using 3 unfolding subtract-nat-xs-ys n-def[symmetric] by simp
   done
\mathbf{qed}
corollary subtract-nat-correct: to-nat (subtract-nat xs ys) = (to-nat xs) - (to-nat
ys)
 using subtract-nat-aux by simp
corollary length-subtract-nat-le: length (subtract-nat xs ys) \leq max (length xs)
(length ys)
 using subtract-nat-aux by simp
8.9
       (Grid) Multiplication
fun grid-mul-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
grid-mul-nat [] - = []
| grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat (False\#xs) y = False \# (grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat xs y)
| grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat (True\#xs) y = add\text{-}nat (False \# (grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat xs y)) y
lemma grid-mul-nat-correct: to-nat (grid-mul-nat \ x \ y) = to-nat \ x * to-nat \ y
 by (induction x y rule: grid-mul-nat.induct) (simp-all add: add-nat-correct)
lemma length-grid-mul-nat: length (grid-mul-nat xs ys) \leq length xs + length ys
proof (induction xs ys rule: grid-mul-nat.induct)
  case (1 uu)
 then show ?case by simp
next
  case (2 xs y)
 then show ?case by simp
```

```
case (3 xs y)
   show ?case
   proof (rule ccontr)
      assume \neg length (grid-mul-nat (True # xs) y) \leq length (True # xs) + length
      then have l: length (grid-mul-nat (True \# xs) y) = length xs + length y + 2
          using length-add-nat-upper[of\ False\ \#\ grid-mul-nat\ xs\ y\ y]\ 3 by simp
      then have length (add-nat (False # grid-mul-nat xs y) y) = max (length (False
\# grid-mul-nat xs y)) (length y) + 1
          using length-add-nat-upper[of\ False\ \#\ grid-mul-nat\ xs\ y\ y]\ 3\ \mathbf{by}\ simp
      then obtain as where add-nat (False # grid-mul-nat xs y) y = as @ [True]
          using add-nat-last-bit-True[of False # grid-mul-nat xs y y] by auto
      then have as-def: grid-mul-nat (True \# xs) y = as @ [True] by simp
      then have length-as: length as = length xs + length y + 1 using l by simp
      from as-def have m: to-nat (True \# xs) * to-nat y = to-nat (as @ [True])
          using grid-mul-nat-correct by metis
      also have to-nat (as @ [True]) \geq 2 \cap length as
          using to-nat-length-lower-bound by simp
      also have 2 \cap length \ as = 2 \cap (length \ xs + length \ y + 1) using length-as by
simp
      also have to-nat (True \# xs) * to-nat y < 2 \(^{(length xs + 1)} * 2 \(^{(length ys + 1)} * 2 \) (^{(length ys + 1)} 
          apply (intro mult-less-le-imp-less)
          subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of True # xs] by simp
          subgoal using to-nat-length-upper-bound[of y] by simp
          subgoal by simp
          subgoal
             apply (rule ccontr)
             using m to-nat-length-lower-bound[of as] by simp
      finally show False by (simp add: power-add)
   qed
qed
8.10
                  Syntax bundles
abbreviation shift-right-flip xs \ n \equiv shift-right \ n \ xs
bundle nat-lsbf-syntax
begin
   notation add-nat (infixl +_n 65)
   notation compare-nat (infix \leq 10^{-6})
   notation subtract-nat (infixl -_n 65)
   notation grid-mul-nat (infixl *_n 70)
   notation shift-right-flip (infixl >>_n 55)
end
bundle no-nat-lsbf-syntax
begin
```

```
no-notation add-nat (infixl +_n 65)
 no-notation compare-nat (infixl \leq_n 50)
 no-notation subtract-nat (infixl -_n 65)
 no-notation grid-mul-nat (infix1 *_n 70)
 no-notation shift-right-flip (infixl >>_n 55)
end
unbundle nat-lsbf-syntax
end
theory Karatsuba-Runtime-Lemmas
 imports Complex-Main Akra-Bazzi. Akra-Bazzi-Method
begin
An explicit bound for a specific class of recursive functions.
context
 fixes a \ b \ c \ d :: nat
 fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow nat
 assumes small-bounds: f \theta \le a f (Suc \theta) \le a
 assumes recursive-bound: \bigwedge n. n > 1 \Longrightarrow f n \le c * n + d + f (n \ div \ 2)
begin
private fun g where
g \theta = a
g(Suc \theta) = a
\mid g \mid n = c * n + d + g \mid (n \mid div \mid 2)
private lemma f-g-bound: f n \leq g n
 apply (induction n rule: g.induct)
 subgoal using small-bounds by simp
 subgoal using small-bounds by simp
 subgoal for x using recursive-bound[of\ Suc\ (Suc\ x)] by auto
 done
private lemma g-mono-aux: a \leq g n
 by (induction n rule: g.induct) simp-all
private lemma g-mono: m \le n \Longrightarrow g \ m \le g \ n
proof (induction m arbitrary: n rule: g.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case using g-mono-aux by simp
next
 case 2
 then show ?case using g-mono-aux by simp
 case (3 x)
 then obtain y where n = Suc (Suc y) using Suc-le-D by blast
 have g(Suc(Suc(x))) = c * Suc(Suc(x)) + d + g(Suc(Suc(x))) div(2)
   by simp
```

```
also have ... \le c * n + d + g (n \ div \ 2)
   using \beta
  by (metis add-mono add-mono-thms-linordered-semiring (3) div-le-mono nat-mult-le-cancel-disj)
 finally show ?case using \langle n = Suc\ (Suc\ y) \rangle by simp
qed
private lemma g-powers-of-2: g(2 \hat{n}) = d * n + c * (2 \hat{n}(n+1) - 2) + a
proof (induction n)
 case (Suc\ n)
 then obtain n' where 2 \cap Suc \ n = Suc \ (Suc \ n')
   by (metis g.cases less-exp not-less-eq zero-less-Suc)
  then have g(2 \cap Suc n) = c * 2 \cap Suc n + d + g(2 \cap n)
  by (metis g.simps(3) nonzero-mult-div-cancel-right power-Suc2 zero-neq-numeral)
 also have ... = c * 2 \hat{\ } Suc \ n + d + d * n + c * (2 \hat{\ } (n+1) - 2) + a
   using Suc by simp
 also have ... = d * Suc n + c * (2 ^Suc n + (2 ^(n + 1) - 2)) + a
   using add-mult-distrib2[symmetric, of c] by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed simp
private lemma pow-ineq:
 assumes m \geq (1 :: nat)
 assumes p \geq 2
 shows p \cap m > m
 using assms
 apply (induction \ m)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal for m
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{cases}\ m)\ (\mathit{simp-all}\ \mathit{add}\colon \mathit{less-trans-Suc})
 done
private lemma next-power-of-2:
 assumes m \geq (1 :: nat)
 shows \exists n \ k. \ m = 2 \ \widehat{\ } n + k \wedge k < 2 \ \widehat{\ } n
 from ex-power-ivl1 [OF order.refl assms] obtain n where 2 \hat{n} \leq m m < 2 \hat{n}
(n + 1)
   by auto
  then have m = 2 \hat{n} + (m - 2 \hat{n}) m - 2 \hat{n} < 2 \hat{n} by simp-all
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma div-2-recursion-linear: f n \leq (2 * d + 4 * c) * n + a
proof (cases n \ge 1)
 {f case}\ True
 then obtain m k where n = 2 \hat{m} + k k < 2 \hat{m} using next-power-of-2 by
 have f n \leq g n using f-g-bound by simp
 also have ... \leq g \ (2 \ \hat{} \ m + 2 \ \hat{} \ m) using \langle n = 2 \ \hat{} \ m + k \rangle \ \langle k < 2 \ \hat{} \ m \rangle g-mono
```

```
by simp
    also have ... = d * Suc m + c * (2 \cap (Suc m + 1) - 2) + a
       using g-powers-of-2[of Suc m]
       apply (subst mult-2[symmetric])
       apply (subst power-Suc[symmetric])
   also have ... \leq d * Suc \ m + c * 2 \ \widehat{\ } (Suc \ m + 1) + a \ \text{by } simp
   also have ... \leq d * 2 \hat{\ } Suc \ m + c * 2 \hat{\ } (Suc \ m + 1) + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc \ m + 1] + a \ using \ less-exp[of Suc 
m
       by (meson add-le-mono less-or-eq-imp-le mult-le-mono)
   also have ... = (2 * d + 4 * c) * 2 ^m + a using mult.assoc add-mult-distrib
    also have ... \leq (2 * d + 4 * c) * n + a
       using \langle n = 2 \cap m + k \rangle power-increasing [of m n] by simp
    finally show ?thesis.
next
    case False
   then have n = \theta by simp
    then show ?thesis using small-bounds by simp
qed
end
General Lemmas for Landau notation.
lemma landau-o-plus-aux':
    fixes f g
    assumes f \in o[F](g)
   shows O[F](g) = O[F](\lambda x. f x + g x)
   apply (intro equalityI subsetI)
    subgoal using landau-o.big.trans[OF - landau-o.plus-aux[OF assms]] by simp
   subgoal for h
       using assms by simp
    done
lemma powr-bigo-linear-index-transformation:
    fixes fl :: nat \Rightarrow nat
    fixes f :: nat \Rightarrow real
   assumes (\lambda x. real (fl x)) \in O(\lambda n. real n)
   assumes f \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ p)
   assumes p > 0
   shows f \circ fl \in O(\lambda n. \ real \ n \ powr \ p)
proof -
    obtain c1 where c1 > 0 \forall_F x in sequentially. norm (real (fl x)) \leq c1 * norm
(real x)
       using landau-o.bigE[OF\ assms(1)] by auto
    then obtain N1 where fl-bound: \forall x. \ x \geq N1 \longrightarrow norm \ (real \ (fl \ x)) \leq c1 *
norm (real x)
       unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder by blast
    obtain c2 where c2 > 0 \ \forall_F \ x \ in \ sequentially. norm <math>(fx) \le c2 * norm \ (real \ x)
```

```
powr p
   using landau-o.bigE[OF\ assms(2)] by auto
 then obtain N2 where f-bound: \forall x. \ x \geq N2 \longrightarrow norm \ (f \ x) \leq c2 * norm \ (real
   unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder by blast
 define cf :: real where cf = Max \{ norm (f y) \mid y. y \le N2 \}
 then have cf \geq 0 using Max-in[of {norm (fy) | y. y \le N2}] norm-ge-zero by
fast force
  define c where c = c2 * c1 powr p
 then have c > \theta using \langle c1 > \theta \rangle \langle c2 > \theta \rangle by simp
 have \forall x. \ x \geq N1 \longrightarrow norm \ (f \ (fl \ x)) \leq cf + c * norm \ (real \ x) \ powr \ p
 proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x > N1
   show norm (f(f(x)) \le cf + c * norm (real x) powr p
   proof (cases fl \ x \ge N2)
     case True
     then have norm\ (f\ (fl\ x)) \le c2*norm\ (real\ (fl\ x)\ powr\ p)
       using f-bound by simp
     also have ... = c2 * norm (real (fl x)) powr p
       by simp
     also have ... \leq c2 * (c1 * norm (real x)) powr p
       apply (intro mult-mono order.refl powr-mono2 norm-ge-zero)
       subgoal using \langle p > \theta \rangle by simp
       subgoal using fl-bound \langle x \geq N1 \rangle by simp
       subgoal using \langle c2 \rangle \theta \rangle by simp
       subgoal by simp
       done
     also have ... = c2 * (c1 powr p * norm (real x) powr p)
       apply (intro arg-cong[where f = (*) c2] powr-mult norm-ge-zero)
       using \langle c1 \rangle \theta by simp
     also have \dots = c * norm (real x) powr p unfolding c-def by simp
     also have ... \leq cf + c * norm (real x) powr p using \langle cf \geq 0 \rangle by simp
     finally show ?thesis.
   next
     {f case} False
     then have norm (f (fl x)) \le cf unfolding cf-def
       by (intro Max-ge) auto
     also have ... \le cf + c * norm (real x) powr p
       using \langle c > \theta \rangle by simp
     finally show ?thesis.
   qed
  qed
  then have f \circ fl \in O(\lambda x. \ cf + c * (real \ x) \ powr \ p)
   apply (intro landau-o.big-mono)
   unfolding eventually-at-top-linorder comp-apply by fastforce
 also have ... = O(\lambda x. \ c * (real \ x) \ powr \ p)
```

```
proof (intro landau-o-plus-aux'[symmetric])
   have (\lambda x. \ cf) \in O(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ \theta) by simp
   moreover have (\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ \theta) \in o(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ p)
     using iffD2[OF powr-smallo-iff, OF filterlim-real-sequentially sequentially-bot
\langle p > \theta \rangle].
   ultimately have (\lambda x. \ cf) \in o(\lambda x. \ real \ x \ powr \ p)
      by (rule landau-o.big-small-trans)
   also have ... = o(\lambda x. \ c * (real \ x) \ powr \ p)
      using landau-o.small.cmult \langle c > \theta \rangle by simp
   finally show (\lambda x. cf) \in ....
 qed
 also have ... = O(\lambda x. (real \ x) powr \ p) using landau-o.big.cmult \langle c > 0 \rangle by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma real-mono: (a \le b) = (real \ a \le real \ b)
 by simp
lemma real-linear: real (a + b) = real \ a + real \ b
 by simp
lemma real-multiplicative: real (a * b) = real \ a * real \ b
 by simp
lemma (in landau-pair) big-1-mult-left:
  fixes f g h
  assumes f \in L F(q) h \in L F(\lambda - 1)
 shows (\lambda x. \ h \ x * f \ x) \in L \ F \ (g)
proof -
  have (\lambda x. f x * h x) \in L F(g) using assms by (rule big-1-mult)
  also have (\lambda x. f x * h x) = (\lambda x. h x * f x) by auto
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma norm-nonneg: x \ge 0 \Longrightarrow norm \ x = x by simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{landau-mono-always} :
  fixes f q
  assumes \bigwedge x. f x \ge (\theta :: real) \bigwedge x. g x \ge \theta
  assumes \bigwedge x. f x \leq g x
 shows f \in O[F](g)
  apply (intro landau-o.bigI[of 1])
  using assms by simp-all
end
```

9 Running time of Nat-LSBF

theory Nat-LSBF-TM

 $\mathbf{imports}\ \mathit{Nat-LSBF}\ ../\mathit{Karatsuba-Runtime-Lemmas}\ ../\mathit{Main-TM}\ ../\mathit{Estimation-Method}\ \mathbf{begin}$

9.1 Truncating and filling

```
fun truncate-reversed-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
truncate-reversed-tm = 1 return = 1
\mid truncate-reversed-tm (x \# xs) = 1 (if x then return (x \# xs) else truncate-reversed-tm
xs
lemma val-truncate-reversed-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (truncate-reversed-tm xs) =
truncate-reversed xs
 by (induction xs rule: truncate-reversed-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-truncate-reversed-tm-le: time (truncate-reversed-tm xs) \leq length xs +
 by (induction xs rule: truncate-reversed-tm.induct) simp-all
definition truncate-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
truncate-tm \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{
 rev-xs \leftarrow rev-tm \ xs;
 truncate-rev-xs \leftarrow truncate-reversed-tm rev-xs;
 rev-tm truncate-rev-xs
lemma val-truncate-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (truncate-tm xs) = truncate xs
 by (simp add: truncate-tm-def Nat-LSBF.truncate-def)
lemma time-truncate-tm-le: time (truncate-tm xs) \leq 3 * length xs + 6
 using add-mono[OF\ time-truncate-reversed-tm-le[of\ rev\ xs] truncate-reversed-length-ineq[of\ rev\ xs]
rev xs]]
 by (simp add: truncate-tm-def)
definition fill-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
fill-tm \ n \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{
 k \leftarrow length-tm \ xs;
 l \leftarrow n -_t k;
 zeros \leftarrow replicate-tm\ l\ False;
 xs @_t zeros
lemma val-fill-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (fill-tm n xs) = fill n xs
 by (simp add: fill-tm-def fill-def)
lemma com-f-of-min-max: f \ a \ b = f \ b \ a \Longrightarrow f \ (min \ a \ b) \ (max \ a \ b) = f \ a \ b
 by (cases a \leq b; simp add: max-def min-def)
lemma add-min-max: min (a::'a:: ordered-ab-semigroup-add) b + max a b = a +
 by (intro com-f-of-min-max add.commute)
```

```
lemma time-fill-tm: time (fill-tm n xs) = 2 * length xs + n + 5 by (simp add: fill-tm-def time-replicate-tm add-min-max)
```

lemma time-fill-tm-le: time (fill-tm n xs) $\leq 3 * max n (length xs) + 5$ unfolding time-fill-tm by simp

9.2 Right-shifts

```
definition shift-right-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where shift-right-tm n xs = 1 do { r \leftarrow replicate-tm n False; r @_t xs }
```

lemma val-shift-right-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (shift-right-tm n xs) = xs >>_n n **by** (simp add: shift-right-tm-def shift-right-def)

lemma time-shift-right-tm[simp]: time (shift-right-tm n xs) = 2 * n + 3 **by** (simp add: shift-right-tm-def time-replicate-tm)

9.3 Subdividing lists

9.3.1 Splitting a list in two blocks

```
definition split-at-tm :: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow ('a \ list \times 'a \ list) \ tm where split-at-tm k xs = 1 do { xs1 \leftarrow take-tm k xs; xs2 \leftarrow drop-tm k xs; return (xs1, xs2) }
```

lemma val-split-at-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (split-at-tm k xs) = split-at k xs unfolding split-at-tm-def by simp

lemma time-split-at-tm: time (split-at-tm k xs) = 2 * min k (length xs) + 3 unfolding split-at-tm-def tm-time-simps time-take-tm time-drop-tm by simp

```
definition split-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow (nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf) \ tm where split-tm \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{ \\ n \leftarrow length-tm \ xs; \\ n-div-2 \leftarrow n \ div_t \ 2; \\ split-at-tm \ n-div-2 \ xs \}
```

lemma val-split-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (split-tm xs) = split xs **by** (simp add: split-tm-def split-def Let-def)

lemma time-split-tm-le: time (split-tm xs) $\leq 10 * length xs + 16$ using time-divide-nat-tm-le[of length xs 2]

9.3.2 Splitting a list in multiple blocks

```
fun subdivide-tm: nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list \Rightarrow 'a \ list \ list \ tm where
subdivide-tm \ 0 \ xs = 1 \ undefined
 subdivide-tm \ n \ [] = 1 \ return \ []
\mid subdivide-tm \ n \ xs = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow take\text{-}tm \ n \ xs;
   s \leftarrow drop\text{-}tm \ n \ xs;
   rs \leftarrow subdivide\text{-}tm \ n \ s;
   return (r \# rs)
lemma val-subdivide-tm[simp, val-simp]: n > 0 \implies val \ (subdivide-tm \ n \ xs) =
subdivide\ n\ xs
 by (induction n xs rule: subdivide.induct) simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ time-subdivide-tm-le-aux:
 assumes n > 0
 shows time (subdivide-tm n xs) \leq k * (2 * n + 3) + time (subdivide-tm n (drop
(k * n) xs)
proof (induction k arbitrary: xs)
 case (Suc\ k)
 show ?case
 proof (cases xs)
   case Nil
   then show ?thesis by simp
 next
   case (Cons\ a\ l)
   then have time (subdivide-tm n (a \# l)) \leq 2 * n + 3 + time (subdivide-tm n
(drop \ n \ (a \# l)))
     using gr0-implies-Suc[OF assms] by (auto simp: time-take-tm time-drop-tm)
    also have ... \leq 2 * n + 3 + (k * (2 * n + 3) + time (subdivide-tm n (drop)))
(k * n) (drop \ n \ (a \# l))))
     by (intro add-mono order.refl Suc)
   also have ... = Suc\ k*(2*n+3) + time\ (subdivide-tm\ n\ (drop\ (Suc\ k*n)
     by (simp add: add.commute)
   finally show ?thesis using Cons by simp
 qed
qed simp
\mathbf{lemma}\ time\text{-}subdivide\text{-}tm\text{-}le\text{:}
 fixes xs :: 'a \ list
 assumes n > 0
 shows time (subdivide-tm n xs) \leq 5 * length xs + 2 * n + 4
proof -
  define k where k = length xs div n + 1
```

```
then have k * n \ge length xs using assms
   by (meson div-less-iff-less-mult less-add-one order-less-imp-le)
  then have drop-Nil: drop (k * n) xs = [] by simp
  have time (subdivide-tm n xs) \leq k * (2 * n + 3) + time (subdivide-tm n ([] ::
(a \ list)
   using time-subdivide-tm-le-aux[OF\ assms,\ of\ xs\ k] unfolding drop-Nil.
 also have ... = k * (2 * n + 3) + 1 using gr0-implies-Suc[OF assms] by auto
 also have ... = (2 * n * (length \ xs \ div \ n) + 2 * n) + 3 * (length \ xs \ div \ n) + 4
   unfolding k-def by (simp\ add:\ add-mult-distrib2)
 also have \dots \leq 5 * length xs + 2 * n + 4
    \mathbf{using} \ times-div-less-eq-dividend[of \ n \ length \ xs] \ div-le-dividend[of \ length \ xs \ n]
by linarith
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
9.4
       The bitsize function
fun bitsize-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat tm where
bitsize-tm \ \theta = 1 \ return \ \theta
\mid bitsize-tm \ n = 1 \ do \ \{
   n-div-2 \leftarrow n \ div_t \ 2;
   r \leftarrow bitsize-tm \ n-div-2;
   1 +_{t} r
lemma val-bitsize-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (bitsize-tm n) = bitsize n
 by (induction n rule: bitsize-tm.induct) simp-all
fun time-bitsize-tm-bound :: nat <math>\Rightarrow nat where
time-bitsize-tm-bound 0 = 1
| time-bitsize-tm-bound n = 14 + 8 * n + time-bitsize-tm-bound (n div 2)
lemma time-bitsize-tm-aux:
  time\ (bitsize-tm\ n) < time-bitsize-tm-bound\ n
 apply (induction n rule: bitsize-tm.induct)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal for n using time-divide-nat-tm-le[of Suc n 2] by simp
lemma time-bitsize-tm-aux2: time-bitsize-tm-bound n \le (2 * 8 + 4 * 14) * n + 14
23
 apply (intro div-2-recursion-linear)
 using less-iff-Suc-add by auto
lemma time-bitsize-tm-le: time (bitsize-tm n) \leq 72 * n + 23
  using order.trans[OF time-bitsize-tm-aux time-bitsize-tm-aux2] by simp
```

9.4.1 The *is-power-of-2* function

fun *is-power-of-2-tm* :: $nat \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ \mathbf{where}$

```
is-power-of-2-tm 0 = 1 return False
 is-power-of-2-tm (Suc \theta) =1 return True
\mid is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \ n=1 \ do \ \{
   n-mod-2 \leftarrow n \ mod_t \ 2;
   n-div-2 \leftarrow n \ div_t \ 2;
   c1 \leftarrow n\text{-}mod\text{-}2 =_t 0;
   c2 \leftarrow is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \text{ } n\text{-}div\text{-}2;
   c1 \wedge_t c2
lemma val-is-power-of-2-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (is-power-of-2-tm n) = is-power-of-2
  by (induction n rule: is-power-of-2-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-is-power-of-2-tm-le: time (is-power-of-2-tm n) \leq 114 * n + 1
proof -
  have time (is-power-of-2-tm n) \leq (2 * 25 + 4 * 16) * n + 1
   apply (intro div-2-recursion-linear)
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal by simp
   subgoal premises prems for n
   proof -
      from prems obtain n' where n = Suc (Suc n')
       by (metis Suc-diff-1 Suc-diff-Suc order-less-trans zero-less-one)
      then have time\ (is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm\ n) =
         time (n mod_t 2) +
         time (n \ div_t \ 2) +
         time\ (is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm\ (n\ div\ 2)) + 3
       by (simp add: time-equal-nat-tm)
      also have ... \leq 16 * n + time (is-power-of-2-tm (n div 2)) + 25
       apply (estimation estimate: time-mod-nat-tm-le)
       apply (estimation estimate: time-divide-nat-tm-le)
       apply simp
       done
     finally show ?thesis by simp
   qed
   done
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
definition next-power-of-2-tm :: nat \Rightarrow nat \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
next-power-of-2-tm \ n = 1 \ do \ \{
  b \leftarrow is\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm \ n;
  if b then return n else do {
   r \leftarrow bitsize\text{-}tm \ n;
   2 \hat{t} r
}
```

```
\mathbf{lemma} \ val\text{-}next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm[simp, val\text{-}simp]} \colon val\ (next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm\ n) = next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2
 by (simp add: next-power-of-2-tm-def)
lemma time-next-power-of-2-tm-le: time (next-power-of-2-tm n) \leq 208 * n + 37
proof (cases is-power-of-2 n)
 case True
  then show ?thesis
   using time-is-power-of-2-tm-le[of n]
   by (simp add: next-power-of-2-tm-def)
next
  case False
 then have time (next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm\ n) =
     time\ (is-power-of-2-tm\ n)\ +
     time\ (bitsize-tm\ n)\ +
     time\ (power-nat-tm\ 2\ (bitsize\ n))+1
   by (simp add: next-power-of-2-tm-def)
  also have ... \leq 186 * n + 6 * 2 \cap (bitsize n) + 5 * bitsize n + 26
   apply (estimation estimate: time-is-power-of-2-tm-le)
   apply (estimation estimate: time-bitsize-tm-le)
   apply (estimation estimate: time-power-nat-tm-le)
   by simp
  also have ... \leq 186 * n + 11 * 2 \hat{\ } (bitsize \ n) + 26
   by simp
 also have ... \leq 208 * n + 37
   by (estimation estimate: two-pow-bitsize-bound) simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
9.5
       Addition
fun bit-add-carry-tm :: bool \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow (bool \times bool) tm where
bit-add-carry-tm False False False = 1 return (False, False)
 bit-add-carry-tm False False True = 1 return (True, False)
 bit-add-carry-tm False True False = 1 return (True, False)
 bit-add-carry-tm False True True =1 return (False, True)
 bit-add-carry-tm True False False = 1 return (True, False)
 bit-add-carry-tm True False True = 1 return (False, True)
 bit-add-carry-tm True True False = 1 return (False, True)
 bit-add-carry-tm True True True = 1 return (True, True)
lemma val-bit-add-carry-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (bit-add-carry-tm x y z) = bit-add-carry
 by (induction x y z rule: bit-add-carry-tm.induct; simp)
lemma time-bit-add-carry-tm[simp]: time (bit-add-carry-tm x y z) = 1
 by (induction x y z rule: bit-add-carry-tm.induct; simp)
fun inc-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
```

```
inc-nat-tm [] =1 return [True]
 inc-nat-tm (False \# xs) =1 return (True \# xs)
| inc\text{-}nat\text{-}tm (True \# xs) = 1 do \{
   r \leftarrow inc\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ xs;
   return (False \# r)
lemma val-inc-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (inc-nat-tm xs) = inc-nat xs
  by (induction xs rule: inc-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma time-inc-nat-tm-le: time (inc-nat-tm xs) \leq length xs + 1
  by (induction xs rule: inc-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
fun add-carry-tm :: bool \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
add-carry-tm False [] y = 1 return y
 add-carry-tm False (x \# xs) = 1 \text{ return } (x \# xs)
\mid add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ True \ \mid \ y=1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow inc\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ y;
   return r
\mid add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ True \ (x \# xs) \ [] = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow inc\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ (x \# xs);
   return \ r
\mid add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ c \ (x \# xs) \ (y \# ys) = 1 \ do \ \{
   (a, b) \leftarrow bit\text{-}add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm\ c\ x\ y;
   r \leftarrow add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ b \ xs \ ys;
   return (a \# r)
lemma val-add-carry-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-carry-tm c xs ys) = add-carry
 by (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry-tm.induct) (simp-all split: prod.splits)
lemma time-add-carry-tm-le: time (add-carry-tm c xs ys) \leq 2 * max (length xs)
(length\ ys) + 2
proof (induction c xs ys rule: add-carry-tm.induct)
  case (3 y)
  then show ?case using time-inc-nat-tm-le[of y] by simp
next
  case (4 x xs)
  then show ?case using time-inc-nat-tm-le[of x \# xs] by simp
qed (simp-all split: prod.splits)
definition add-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
add-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
  r \leftarrow add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ False \ xs \ ys;
  return r
}
```

```
lemma val-add-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (add-nat-tm xs ys) = xs +<sub>n</sub> ys by (simp add: add-nat-tm-def add-nat-def)

lemma time-add-nat-tm-le: time (add-nat-tm xs ys) \leq 2 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 3
using time-add-carry-tm-le[of - xs ys] by (simp add: add-nat-tm-def)
```

9.6 Comparison and subtraction

```
fun compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm:: bool list \Rightarrow bool list \Rightarrow bool tm where
compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm \ [] \ [] = 1 \ return \ True
|\ compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm\ (False\ \#\ xs)\ (False\ \#\ ys)=1\ compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm\ (False\ \#\ xs)
 compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (True \# xs) (False \# ys) =1 return False
 compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm (False \# xs) (True \# ys) =1 return True
\mid compare-nat\text{-}same\text{-}length\text{-}reversed\text{-}tm (True \# xs) (True \# ys) = 1 compare-nat\text{-}same\text{-}length\text{-}reversed\text{-}tm
| compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm - - = 1 undefined
\mathbf{lemma} \ val\text{-}compare\text{-}nat\text{-}same\text{-}length\text{-}reversed\text{-}tm[simp,\ val\text{-}simp]} :
 assumes length xs = length ys
 shows val(compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm xs ys) = compare-nat-same-length-reversed
 using assms by (induction xs ys rule: compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm.induct)
simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ time\text{-}compare\text{-}nat\text{-}same\text{-}length\text{-}reversed\text{-}tm\text{-}le:
  length \ xs = length \ ys \implies time \ (compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm \ xs \ ys) \le
length xs + 1
 by (induction xs ys rule: compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm.induct) simp-all
fun compare-nat-same-length-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool tm where
compare-nat-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
  rev-xs \leftarrow rev-tm \ xs;
  rev-ys \leftarrow rev-tm \ ys;
  compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm\ rev-xs\ rev-ys
lemma val-compare-nat-same-length-tm[simp, val-simp]:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows val (compare-nat-same-length-tm\ xs\ ys) = compare-nat-same-length\ xs\ ys
  using assms by simp
lemma time-compare-nat-same-length-tm-le:
  length \ xs = length \ ys \Longrightarrow time \ (compare-nat-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys) \le 3 * length
xs + 6
  using time-compare-nat-same-length-reversed-tm-le[of rev xs rev ys]
  by simp
```

```
definition make-same-length-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow (nat-lsbf \times nat-lsbf) tm
where
make-same-length-tm xs ys = 1 do {
  len-xs \leftarrow length-tm \ xs;
  len-ys \leftarrow length-tm\ ys;
  n \leftarrow max\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ len\text{-}xs \ len\text{-}ys;
 fill-xs \leftarrow fill-tm \ n \ xs;
 fill-ys \leftarrow fill-tm \ n \ ys;
 return (fill-xs, fill-ys)
lemma val-make-same-length-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (make-same-length-tm xs ys)
= make-same-length xs ys
 by (simp add: make-same-length-tm-def make-same-length-def del: max-nat-tm.simps)
lemma time-make-same-length-tm-le: time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) \leq 10 *
max (length xs) (length ys) + 16
proof -
 have time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) = 13 + 3 * length xs + 3 * length ys +
   (time\ (max-nat-tm\ (length\ xs)\ (length\ ys)) + 2*max\ (length\ xs)\ (length\ ys))
   by (simp add: make-same-length-tm-def time-fill-tm del: max-nat-tm.simps)
  also have ... \leq 10 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 16
   using time-max-nat-tm-le[of length xs length ys] by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
definition compare-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow bool \ tm \ \mathbf{where}
compare-nat-tm xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
  (fill-xs, fill-ys) \leftarrow make-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys;
  compare-nat-same-length-tm fill-xs fill-ys
lemma val-compare-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (compare-nat-tm xs ys) = (xs \le n)
ys)
 using make-same-length-correct[where xs = xs and ys = ys]
 by (simp add: compare-nat-tm-def compare-nat-def del: compare-nat-same-length-tm.simps
compare-nat-same-length.simps split: prod.splits)
lemma time-compare-nat-tm-le: time (compare-nat-tm xs ys) \leq 13 * max (length
xs) (length ys) + 23
proof -
  obtain fill-xs fill-ys where fills-defs: make-same-length xs ys = (fill-xs, fill-ys)
by fastforce
 then have time (compare-nat-tm xs ys) = time (make-same-length-tm xs ys) +
     time\ (compare-nat-same-length-tm\ fill-xs\ fill-ys)\ +\ 1
   by (simp add: compare-nat-tm-def del: compare-nat-same-length-tm.simps)
 also have ... \leq (10 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 16) +
     (3 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 6) + 1
```

```
apply (intro add-mono order.refl time-make-same-length-tm-le)
   using time-compare-nat-same-length-tm-le[of fill-xs fill-ys]
   using make-same-length-correct[OF fills-defs[symmetric]] by argo
  finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
definition subtract-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
subtract-nat-tm \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
  b \leftarrow compare-nat-tm \ xs \ ys;
  if b then return [] else do {
   (fill-xs, fill-ys) \leftarrow make-same-length-tm \ xs \ ys;
   fill-ys-comp \leftarrow map-tm Not-tm fill-ys;
   a \leftarrow add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm \ True \ fill\text{-}xs \ fill\text{-}ys\text{-}comp;
   butlast-tm a
}
lemma val-subtract-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) = xs -_n ys
 by (simp add: subtract-nat-tm-def subtract-nat-def Let-def split: prod.splits)
lemma time-map-tm-Not-tm: time (map-tm Not-tm xs) = 2 * length xs + 1
  using time-map-tm-constant[of xs Not-tm 1] by simp
lemma time-subtract-nat-tm-le: time (subtract-nat-tm xs ys) \leq 30 * max (length
xs) (length ys) + 48
proof -
  obtain x1 x2 where x12: make-same-length xs ys = (x1, x2) by fastforce
 note x12-simps = make-same-length-correct[OF <math>x12[symmetric]]
 then have max12: max (length x1) (length x2) = max (length xs) (length ys)
   by simp
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases compare-nat xs ys)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   then show ?thesis
     using time-compare-nat-tm-le[of xs ys]
     by (simp add: subtract-nat-tm-def)
 next
   case False
   then have time\ (subtract-nat-tm\ xs\ ys) =
       Suc\ (time\ (compare-nat-tm\ xs\ ys)\ +
           (time\ (make-same-length-tm\ xs\ ys)\ +
            (time\ (map-tm\ Not-tm\ x2)\ +
             (time\ (add\text{-}carry\text{-}tm\ True\ x1\ (map\ Not\ x2))\ +
              (time (butlast-tm (add-carry True x1 (map Not x2))))))))
     by (simp add: subtract-nat-tm-def x12)
   also have ... \leq 30 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 48
     apply (subst Suc-eq-plus1)
     apply (estimation estimate: time-compare-nat-tm-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: time-make-same-length-tm-le)
```

```
apply (subst time-map-tm-Not-tm)
     apply (estimation estimate: time-add-carry-tm-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: time-butlast-tm-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: time-inc-nat-tm-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-carry-upper)
     apply (subst\ length-map)+
     apply (subst\ max12)+
     apply (subst\ x12\text{-}simps)+
     apply simp
     done
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
9.7
        (Grid) Multiplication
fun grid-mul-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
grid-mul-nat-tm [] ys = 1 return []
\mid grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ (False \# xs) \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
    r \leftarrow grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ xs \ ys;
   return (False \# r)
\mid grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ (True \ \# \ xs) \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
   r \leftarrow grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ xs \ ys;
   add-nat-tm (False # r) ys
lemma val-grid-mul-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys) = xs *_n
 by (induction xs ys rule: grid-mul-nat-tm.induct) simp-all
lemma euler-sum-bound: \sum \{..(n::nat)\} \le n * n
 by (induction \ n) simp-all
lemma time-grid-mul-nat-tm-le:
  time\ (grid-mul-nat-tm\ xs\ ys) \le 8* length\ xs* max\ (length\ xs)\ (length\ ys) + 1
  have time (grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat\text{-}tm\ xs\ ys) \le 2 * (\sum \{..length\ xs\}) + length\ xs * (2 *
length ys + 4 + 1
 proof (induction xs ys rule: grid-mul-nat-tm.induct)
   case (1 \ ys)
   then show ?case by simp
 next
   case (2 xs ys)
   then show ?case by simp
 next
   case (3 xs ys)
   then have time (grid-mul-nat-tm\ (True\ \#\ xs)\ ys) \le
       time (qrid-mul-nat-tm \ xs \ ys) +
```

```
time (add-nat-tm (False # grid-mul-nat xs ys) ys) + 1 (is ?l \le ?i + - + 1)
     by simp
   also have ... \leq ?i + 2 * max (1 + length (grid-mul-nat xs ys)) (length ys) + 4
     by (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le) simp
   also have ... \leq ?i + 2 * (length xs + length ys + 1) + 4
     apply (estimation estimate: length-grid-mul-nat[of xs ys])
     by (simp-all add: length-grid-mul-nat)
   also have ... = ?i + 2 * (length (True \# xs)) + 2 * length ys + 4
   also have ... \leq 2 * (\sum \{..length (True \# xs)\}) + length (True \# xs) * (2 *
length ys + 4) + 1
    using \beta by simp
   finally show ?case.
 qed
 also have ... \leq 2 * length xs * length xs + 2 * length xs * length ys + 4 * length
xs + 1
   by (estimation estimate: euler-sum-bound) (simp add: distrib-left)
 also have ... \leq 6 * length xs * length xs + 2 * length xs * length ys + 1
   by (simp \ add: \ leI)
 also have ... \leq 8 * length xs * max (length xs) (length ys) + 1
   by (simp add: add.commute add-mult-distrib nat-mult-max-right)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
9.8
       Syntax bundles
abbreviation shift-right-tm-flip where shift-right-tm-flip xs n \equiv shift-right-tm n \equiv shift-right-tm
bundle nat-lsbf-tm-syntax
begin
 notation add-nat-tm (infixl +_{nt} 65)
 notation compare-nat-tm (infix1 \leq_{nt} 50)
 notation subtract-nat-tm (infixl -_{nt} 65)
 notation grid-mul-nat-tm (infixl *_{nt} 70)
 notation shift-right-tm-flip (infixl >>_{nt} 55)
end
bundle no-nat-lsbf-tm-syntax
begin
 no-notation add-nat-tm (infixl +_{nt} 65)
 no-notation compare-nat-tm (infixl \leq_{nt} 50)
 no-notation subtract-nat-tm (infixl -_{nt} 65)
 no-notation grid-mul-nat-tm (infixl *_{nt} 70)
 no-notation shift-right-tm-flip (infixl >>_{nt} 55)
end
unbundle nat-lsbf-tm-syntax
```

```
end
theory Int-LSBF
imports Nat-LSBF HOL-Algebra.IntRing
begin
```

10 Representing int in LSBF

10.1 Type definition

```
datatype sign = Positive \mid Negative
type-synonym int-lsbf = sign \times nat-lsbf
```

10.2 Conversions

```
fun from-int :: int \Rightarrow int-lsbf where
from-int x = (if \ x \ge 0 \ then \ (Positive, from-nat \ (nat \ x)) \ else \ (Negative, from-nat
(nat (-x)))
fun to-int :: int-lsbf \Rightarrow int where
to\text{-}int (Positive, xs) = int (to\text{-}nat xs)
| to\text{-}int (Negative, xs) = - int (to\text{-}nat xs)
lemma to-int-from-int[simp]: to-int (from-int x) = x
 by (cases x \geq 0) simp-all
fun truncate-int :: int-lsbf <math>\Rightarrow int-lsbf where
truncate-int (Positive, xs) = (Positive, truncate xs)
| truncate-int (Negative, xs) = (let ys = truncate xs in if ys = [] then (Positive, [])
else (Negative, ys))
lemma to-int-truncate[simp]: to-int (truncate-int xs) = to-int xs
 by (induction xs rule: truncate-int.induct) (simp-all add: Let-def to-nat-zero-iff)
lemma truncate-from-int[simp]: truncate-int (from-int x) = from-int x
 apply (cases x \geq \theta)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal unfolding Let-def
 proof -
   assume \neg x \ge \theta
   then have to-nat (from\text{-}nat\ (nat\ (-x))) > 0 by simp
   then have truncate (from-nat (nat (-x))) \neq [] using to-nat-zero-iff nless-le
   then show ?thesis by simp
 qed
 done
lemma pos-and-neg-imp-zero:
 assumes to-int (Positive, x) = to-int (Negative, y)
 shows to-nat x = 0 \land to-nat y = 0
proof -
```

```
have to-int (Positive, x) \geq 0 to-int (Negative, y) \leq 0 by simp-all
 with assms have to-int (Positive, x) = 0 to-int (Negative, y) = 0 by simp-all
 thus ?thesis by simp-all
qed
lemma to-int-eq-imp-truncate-int-eq: to-int (a, x) = to-int (b, y) \Longrightarrow truncate-int
(a, x) = truncate-int (b, y)
 apply (cases a; cases b)
 subgoal by (simp\ add: to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq[of\ x\ y])
 subgoal
   using pos-and-neg-imp-zero[of x y] to-nat-zero-iff
   by fastforce
 subgoal using to-nat-zero-iff by (simp add: Let-def)
 subgoal by (simp\ add: to-nat-eq-imp-truncate-eq[of\ x\ y])
 done
lemma from-int-to-int: from-int \circ to-int = truncate-int
proof -
 have (\bigwedge x \ y. \ to\text{-int} \ x = to\text{-int} \ y \Longrightarrow truncate\text{-int} \ x = truncate\text{-int} \ y)
   using to-int-eq-imp-truncate-int-eq by auto
  thus ?thesis
   using from-to-f-criterion[of to-int from-int truncate-int]
   using truncate-from-int to-int-from-int
   using comp-apply
   by fastforce
qed
interpretation int-lsbf: abstract-representation from-int to-int truncate-int
proof
 show to-int \circ from-int = id
   using to-int-from-int comp-apply by fastforce
 show from-int \circ to-int = truncate-int
   using from-int-to-int comp-apply by fastforce
qed
10.3
         Addition
fun add-int :: int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf where
add-int (Negative, xs) (Negative, ys) = (Negative, add-nat xs ys)
 add-int (Positive, xs) (Positive, ys) = (Positive, add-nat xs ys)
 add-int (Positive, xs) (Negative, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Negative, sub-
tract-nat ys xs) else (Positive, subtract-nat xs ys))
\mid add-int (Negative, xs) (Positive, ys) = (if compare-nat xs ys then (Positive, sub-
tract-nat ys xs) else (Negative, subtract-nat xs ys))
lemma add-int-correct: to-int (add-int x y) = to-int x + to-int y
 apply (induction x y rule: add-int.induct)
 subgoal by (simp add: add-nat-correct)
```

```
subgoal by (simp add: add-nat-correct)
apply (auto simp only: add-int.simps compare-nat-correct subtract-nat-correct
to-int.simps split: if-splits)
done

fun nat-mul-to-int-mul :: (nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf) \Rightarrow int-lsbf \Rightarrow int-lsbf
\Rightarrow int-lsbf where
nat-mul-to-int-mul f (x, xs) (y, ys) = ((if x = y then Positive else Negative), f xs
ys)

lemma nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct:
assumes \bigwedge x y. to-nat (f x y) = to-nat x * to-nat y
shows \bigwedge x y xs ys. to-int (nat-mul-to-int-mul f (x, xs) (y, ys)) = to-int (x, xs) *
to-int (y, ys)
subgoal for x y xs ys
by (cases x; cases y) (simp-all add: assms)
done
```

10.4 Grid Multiplication

fun grid-mul-int **where** grid-mul-int x y = nat-mul-to-int-mul grid-mul-nat x y

```
corollary grid-mul-int-correct: to-int (grid-mul-int x y) = to-int x * to-int y using nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct[OF grid-mul-nat-correct] by (metis grid-mul-int.elims surj-pair)
```

 \mathbf{end}

11 Karatsuba Multiplication

```
{\bf theory}\ {\it Karatsuba}
```

 $\mathbf{imports} ../Binary-Representations/Nat-LSBF ../Binary-Representations/Int-LSBF ../Estimation-Method$

begin

This theory contains an implementation of the Karatsuba Multiplication on type nat-lsbf.

```
definition abs-diff :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where abs-diff x y = (x -_n y) +_n (y -_n x)
```

 $\textbf{lemma} \ \textit{abs-diff-correct:} \ \textit{int} \ (\textit{to-nat} \ (\textit{abs-diff} \ x \ y)) = \textit{abs} \ (\textit{int} \ (\textit{to-nat} \ x) - \textit{int} \ (\textit{to-nat} \ y))$

unfolding abs-diff-def by (simp add: add-nat-correct subtract-nat-correct)

```
lemma abs-diff-length: length (abs-diff xs\ ys) \leq max (length xs) (length ys) proof (cases compare-nat xs\ ys)
case True
then have xs\ -_n\ ys = [] by (simp add: subtract-nat-def)
then have abs-diff xs\ ys = ys\ -_n\ xs by (simp add: abs-diff-def add-nat-def)
```

```
then show ?thesis using length-subtract-nat-le[of ys xs] by simp next case False then have ys \leq_n xs by (simp only: compare-nat-correct) then have ys -_n xs = [] by (simp add: subtract-nat-def) then have abs-diff xs ys = xs -_n ys by (simp add: abs-diff-def add-nat-com add-nat-def) then show ?thesis using length-subtract-nat-le[of xs ys] by simp qed
```

For small inputs, implementations of Karatsuba Multiplication usually switch to grid multiplication. The threshold does not matter for the asymptotic running time, hence we will just arbitrarily choose 42.

```
definition karatsuba-lower-bound :: nat where karatsuba-lower-bound \equiv 42
```

```
lemma karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement:

karatsuba-lower-bound \geq 1

unfolding karatsuba-lower-bound-def by simp
```

A first version of the algorithm assumes the input numbers have a length which is a power of 2. The function *karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length* takes the specified length as additional first argument.

```
fun karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length :: nat <math>\Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y =
(if \ k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound
then x *_n y
else let
   (x0, x1) = split x;
   (y0, y1) = split y;
   k-div-2 = (k div 2);
   prod0 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length \ k-div-2 \ x0 \ y0;
   prod1 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length \ k-div-2 \ x1 \ y1;
   prod2 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length \ k-div-2
     (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1))
     (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1));
   add01 = prod0 +_n prod1;
   r = (if (x1 \le_n x\theta) = (y1 \le_n y\theta)
       then add01 -_n prod2
       else add01 +_n prod2)
```

declare karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[simp del]

 $in \ prod\theta +_n (r >>_n k-div-2) +_n (prod1 >>_n k))$

```
locale karatsuba\text{-}context =
fixes k \ l :: nat
fixes x \ y :: nat\text{-}lsbf
assumes k\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2 \colon k = 2 \ ^l
assumes length\text{-}x \colon length \ x = k
```

```
assumes length-y: length y = k
 assumes recursion-condition: \neg k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound
begin
definition x\theta where x\theta = fst (split x)
definition x1 where x1 = snd (split x)
definition y\theta where y\theta = fst (split y)
definition y1 where y1 = snd (split y)
definition k-div-2 where k-div-2 = k div 2
definition prod\theta where prod\theta = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 <math>x\theta y\theta
definition prod1 where prod1 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2 x1 y1
definition prod2 where prod2 = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k-div-2
     (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1))
     (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1))
definition add01 where add01 = prod0 +_n prod1
definition r where r = (if (x1 \le_n x0) = (y1 \le_n y0)
      then add01 -_n prod2
      else add01 +_n prod2)
lemma split-x: split x = (x0, x1) using x0-def x1-def by simp
lemma split-y: split y = (y0, y1) using y0-def y1-def by simp
lemmas defs1 = split-x split-y
\mathbf{lemmas}\ defs2 = prod0\text{-}def\ prod1\text{-}def\ prod2\text{-}def\ k\text{-}div\text{-}2\text{-}def\ add01\text{-}def\ r\text{-}def
lemma recursive: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y =
 prod0 +_n (r >>_n k-div-2) +_n (prod1 >>_n k)
 unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k \times y]
 using defs1 defs2 recursion-condition
 by (simp only: if-False Let-def case-prod-conv)
lemma l-qe-1: l > 1
 using karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement recursion-condition k-power-of-2
 by (cases \ l; \ simp)
lemma k-even: k \mod 2 = 0
 using k-power-of-2 l-ge-1 by simp
lemma k-div-2: k-div-2 = 2 ^ (l - 1)
 unfolding k-div-2-def using k-power-of-2 l-ge-1 by (simp add: power-diff)
lemma k-div-2-less-k: k-div-2 < k
 unfolding k-div-2-def using k-power-of-2 by simp
lemma length-x-split: length x0 = k-div-2 length x1 = k-div-2
 unfolding k-div-2-def using k-even length-split[OF - split-x] length-x by argo+
lemma length-y-split: length y0 = k-div-2 length y1 = k-div-2
 unfolding k-div-2-def using k-even length-split[OF - split-y] length-y by argo+
```

```
lemma length-abs-diff-x0-x1: length (abs-diff x0 x1) \leq k-div-2
 using abs-diff-length[of x0 x1] length-x-split by simp
lemma length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1: length (fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1)) = k-div-2
 by (intro length-fill length-abs-diff-x0-x1)
lemma length-abs-diff-y0-y1: length (abs-diff y0 y1) \leq k-div-2
  using abs-diff-length[of y0 y1] length-y-split by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1} \colon \mathit{length} \ (\mathit{fill} \ \mathit{k-div-2} \ (\mathit{abs-diff} \ \mathit{y0} \ \mathit{y1})) = \mathit{k-div-2}
 by (intro length-fill length-abs-diff-y0-y1)
lemmas IH-prems1 = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric]
refl k-div-2-def
   k-div-2 length-x-split(1) length-y-split(1)
lemmas IH-prems2 = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric]
refl k-div-2-def
   prod0-def k-div-2 length-x-split(2) length-y-split(2)
lemmas IH-prems\beta = recursion-condition split-x[symmetric] refl split-y[symmetric]
refl k-div-2-def
   prod0-def\ prod1-def\ k-div-2\ length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1\ length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1
end
\mathbf{lemma}\ karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-correct:
 assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length x = k length y = k
 shows to-nat (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) = to-nat x * to-nat y
using assms proof (induction k x y arbitrary: l rule: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.induct)
 case (1 k x y l)
 show ?case
 proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   \mathbf{case} \ \mathit{True}
   then show ?thesis
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k \times y]
     by (simp add: grid-mul-nat-correct)
  next
   case False
   then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l x y using 1.prems
     by (unfold-locales; simp)
   from r.l-ge-1 obtain l' where l = Suc \ l'
     by (metis less-eqE plus-1-eq-Suc)
   then have k \ div \ 2 = 2 \ \widehat{\ } l' \ \text{using} \ \langle k = 2 \ \widehat{\ } l \rangle \ \text{by} \ simp
   have to-nat-x: to-nat x = to-nat r.x0 + 2 \ (k \ div \ 2) * to-nat r.x1
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
    using app-split[OF r.split-x] to-nat-app[of r.x0 r.x1] r.length-x-split by algebra
```

```
have to-nat-y: to-nat y = to-nat r.y0 + 2 \cap (k \ div \ 2) * to-nat r.y1
         unfolding r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
       using app-split[OF r.split-y] to-nat-app[of r.y0 r.y1] r.length-y-split by algebra
      have 4: to-nat r.prod0 = to-nat r.x0 * to-nat r.y0
         unfolding r.prod\theta-def
         by (intro\ 1(1)[OF\ r.IH-prems1])
      have 5: to-nat r.prod1 = to-nat r.x1 * to-nat r.y1
         unfolding r.prod1-def
         by (intro\ 1(2)[OF\ r.IH-prems2])
       have to-nat r.prod2 = to-nat (fill r.k-div-2 (abs-diff r.x0 r.x1)) * to-nat (fill
r.k-div-2 (abs-diff r.y0 r.y1))
         unfolding r.prod2-def
         by (intro\ 1(3)[OF\ r.IH-prems3])
      hence int (to\text{-}nat \ r.prod2) = abs \ (int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.x0) - int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.x1)) * abs
(int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.y0) - int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.y1))
         using abs-diff-correct by simp
       then have int (to\text{-}nat \ r.prod2) = abs \ ((int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.x0) - int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.x1))
*(int(to-nat r.y0) - int(to-nat r.y1)))
         by (subst abs-mult, assumption)
       then have 6: (if (compare-nat r.x1 r.x0) = (compare-nat r.y1 r.y0) then int
(to-nat \ r.prod2) \ else - int \ (to-nat \ r.prod2)) = (int \ (to-nat \ r.x0) - int \ (to-nat \ r.x0))
(r.x1) * (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))
         apply (cases to-nat r.x0 \ge to-nat \ r.x1; cases to-nat r.y0 \ge to-nat \ r.y1)
       by (simp-all add: compare-nat-correct mult-nonneg-nonpos mult-nonneg-nonpos2
mult-nonpos-nonpos)
       have 7: int(to-nat(r.r)) = int(to-nat(r.x0)) * int(to-nat(r.y1)) + int(to-nat(r.y1
r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y0)
      proof (cases\ (r.x1 \le_n r.x\theta) = (r.y1 \le_n r.y\theta))
         case True
          then have int-p: int\ (to-nat\ r.r)=int\ (to-nat\ r.prod0+to-nat\ r.prod1-
to-nat r.prod2)
            unfolding r.r-def r.add01-def
            by (simp add: subtract-nat-correct add-nat-correct)
        have int\text{-}prod2: int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.prod2) = (int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.x0) - int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.x1))
* (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))
            using 6 True by simp
        have -(int(to-nat r.x0)*int(to-nat r.y1)) \le int(to-nat r.x1)*int(to-nat r.x1)
r.y\theta)
              apply (intro order.trans[of - (int (to-nat r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1)) 0 int
(to\text{-}nat \ r.x1) * int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.y0)])
            by simp-all
         then have to-nat r.prod0 + to-nat r.prod1 \ge to-nat r.prod2
            apply (intro iffD1 [OF zle-int])
            by (simp add: 4 5 int-prod2 left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib)
          then have int (to\text{-}nat \ r.r) = int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.prod0) + int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.prod1) -
int (to-nat r.prod2)
            using int-p by simp
```

```
then show ?thesis using int-prod2 by (simp add: left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib
45)
      \mathbf{next}
          {f case}\ {\it False}
          then have int (to-nat \ r.r) = int \ (to-nat \ r.prod0) + int \ (to-nat \ r.prod1) +
int (to-nat r.prod2)
             unfolding r.r-def
             by (simp add: add-nat-correct r.add01-def)
          moreover from False 6 have -int(to-nat r.prod2) = (int(to-nat r.x0) - int(to-nat r.x0))
int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y0) - int (to-nat r.y1))
             by simp
          then have int (to\text{-}nat \ r.prod2) = -(int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.x0) - int \ (to\text{-}nat \ r.x1))
*(int(to-nat r.y0) - int(to-nat r.y1))
             by linarith
         ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: 4 5 left-diff-distrib right-diff-distrib)
      qed
      from r.recursive have int (to-nat (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \times y)) =
          int (to-nat (r.prod0 +_n (r.r >>_n r.k-div-2) +_n (r.prod1 >>_n k))) by simp
      also have ... = int (to-nat \ r.prod\theta) + int (to-nat \ (shift-right \ r.k-div-2 \ r.r)) +
int (to-nat (shift-right k r.prod1))
          by (simp add: add-nat-correct)
      also have ... = int (to-nat \ r.prod\theta) + int (2 \ \hat{\ } (k \ div \ 2) * to-nat \ r.r) + int (2
 \hat{k} * to-nat r.prod1
          by (simp only: to-nat-shift-right r.k-div-2-def)
      also have ... = int (to-nat \ r.prod\theta) + 2 \ (k \ div \ 2) * int (to-nat \ r.r) + 2 \ k
* int (to-nat r.prod1)
          by simp
        also have ... = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta) + 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int
(to-nat\ r.x0)*int\ (to-nat\ r.y1)+int\ (to-nat\ r.x1)*int\ (to-nat\ r.y0))+2^k
* int (to-nat r.x1) * int (to-nat r.y1)
         using 7 4 5
          by simp
      also have ... = (int (to-nat r.x0) + 2 (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1)))
          * (int (to-nat r.y0) + 2 ^(k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1)))
      proof -
          have 2 * (k \operatorname{div} 2) = k
              using r.k-even by force
          have (int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.x0) + 2\ \widehat{\ }(k\ div\ 2) * (int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.x1)))
                * (int (to-nat r.y0) + 2 \cap (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1)))
             = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)
                + (2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.y0))
                + (int (to-nat r.x0)) * 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))
                 + (2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * 2 \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (k \ div \ 2) * (
r.y1)
             using distrib-left[of (int (to-nat r.x0) + 2 ^(k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.x1)))
int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.y0)\ 2\ \widehat{\ }(k\ div\ 2)*(int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.y1))]
             by (simp\ add:\ ring-class.ring-distribs(2))
```

```
also have ... = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)
          + \hspace{0.1cm} (2 :: int) \hspace{0.1cm} \widehat{\hspace{0.1cm}} \hspace{0.1cm} (k \hspace{0.1cm} div \hspace{0.1cm} 2) \hspace{0.1cm} \ast \hspace{0.1cm} (int \hspace{0.1cm} (to\text{-}nat \hspace{0.1cm} r. x1)) \hspace{0.1cm} \ast \hspace{0.1cm} (int \hspace{0.1cm} (to\text{-}nat \hspace{0.1cm} r. y0))
          + (int (to-nat r.x0)) * 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))
          + ((2::int) ^(k div 2) * 2 ^(k div 2)) * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.x1))
r.y1))
        \mathbf{bv} simp
      also have (2::int) \hat{k} (k \operatorname{div} 2) * 2 \hat{k} (k \operatorname{div} 2) = 2 \hat{k}
        using power-add [of 2::int \ k \ div \ 2 \ k \ div \ 2, symmetric]
        using \langle 2 * (k \ div \ 2) = k \rangle
        by simp
      finally have (int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.x0) + 2\ \widehat{\ }(k\ div\ 2) * (int\ (to\text{-}nat\ r.x1)))
          *(int(to-nat r.y0) + 2 \cap (k div 2) * (int(to-nat r.y1)))
        = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)
          +2 (k \operatorname{div} 2) * (\operatorname{int} (\operatorname{to-nat} r.x1)) * (\operatorname{int} (\operatorname{to-nat} r.y0))
          + (int (to-nat r.x0)) * 2 ^ (k div 2) * (int (to-nat r.y1))
          + (2::int) \cap k * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y1)) by simp
      also have ... = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)
          +((2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1)) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.y0))
          + (2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x0)) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.y1)))
          + (2::int) \hat{k} * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y1))
        bv simp
      also have ... = int (to-nat r.x\theta) * int (to-nat r.y\theta)
          +(2::int) \cap (k \ div \ 2) * (int \ (to-nat \ r.x1) * int \ (to-nat \ r.y0) + int \ (to-nat \ r.y0)
r.x0) * int (to-nat r.y1))
          + (2::int) \hat{k} * (int (to-nat r.x1)) * (int (to-nat r.y1))
        using distrib-left[of (2::int) \hat{} (k div 2)] by simp
      finally show ?thesis by simp
    ged
    also have \dots = int (to-nat x) * int (to-nat y)
      by (simp add: to-nat-x to-nat-y)
    finally have int (to-nat\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length\ k\ x\ y)) = int\ (to-nat\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length\ k\ x\ y))
x * to-nat y
      by simp
    thus ?thesis by presburger
  qed
qed
function len-kar-bound where
len-kar-bound\ l=(if\ 2\ \hat{\ }l\leq karatsuba-lower-bound\ then\ 2*karatsuba-lower-bound
else 2 \cap l + len-kar-bound (l-1) + 4)
  by pat-completeness auto
termination
  apply (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda l. \ l))
  subgoal by simp
  subgoal for l
    using karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement by (cases l; simp)
declare len-kar-bound.simps[simp del]
```

```
lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-aux:
 assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length x = k length y = k
 shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \times y) \leq len-kar-bound l
 using assms proof (induction k x y arbitrary: l rule: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.induct)
 case (1 k x y)
 then show ?case
 proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   case True
   then have karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \ x \ y = grid-mul-nat \ x \ y
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k x y] by argo
   also have length ... \le length x + length y
     by (rule length-grid-mul-nat)
   also have ... = 2 * k using 1 by linarith
   also have ... \leq len-kar-bound l
     unfolding len-kar-bound.simps[of l] using 1.prems True by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
 \mathbf{next}
   {f case} False
   then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l x y using 1.prems by unfold-locales
simp-all
   from r.recursive have length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) =
     length (r.prod0 +_n (r.r >>_n r.k-div-2) +_n
     (r.prod1 >>_n k))
     by argo
   also have \dots \leq max \ (max \ (length \ r.prod0)
         (2^{(l-1)} +
          max \ (max \ (length \ r.prod 0) \ (length \ r.prod 1) + 1) \ (length \ r.prod 2) + 1)
+ 1)
     (k + length \ r.prod1) + 1
     unfolding r.r-def r.add01-def
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     unfolding length-shift-right r.k-div-2 if-distrib[of length]
     apply (estimation estimate: if-le-max)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-subtract-nat-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     by simp
   also have ... \leq max \ (max \ (len-kar-bound \ (l-1))
        (2^{(l-1)} +
         max \ (max \ (len-kar-bound \ (l-1)) \ (len-kar-bound \ (l-1)) + 1)
         (len-kar-bound\ (l-1))+1)+1)
    (k + len-kar-bound (l - 1)) + 1
     unfolding r.prod0-def r.prod1-def r.prod2-def
     apply (estimation estimate: 1.IH(1)[OF r.IH-prems1])
     apply (estimation estimate: 1.IH(2)[OF \ r.IH-prems2])
     apply (estimation estimate: 1.IH(3)[OF\ r.IH\text{-}prems3])
```

```
by (rule order.refl)
   also have ... = max (2 (l-1) + len-kar-bound (l-1) + 3)
    (2 \cap l + len-kar-bound (l-1)) + 1
     unfolding max.idem r.k-power-of-2 by (simp del: One-nat-def)
   also have ... \leq (2 \hat{l} + len-kar-bound (l-1) + 3) + 1
     apply (intro add-mono order.refl)
     apply (intro max.boundedI)
     subgoal
      apply (intro add-mono order.refl) by simp
     subgoal by simp
     done
   also have \dots = len-kar-bound l
     unfolding len-kar-bound.simps[of l] using False r.k-power-of-2 by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
lemma len-kar-bound-le: len-kar-bound l \le 6 * 2 \ \hat{} \ l + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
proof (induction l rule: less-induct)
 case (less \ l)
  then show ?case
 proof (cases 2 \cap l \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   case True
   then show ?thesis
     unfolding len-kar-bound.simps[of l] by simp
 next
    then have l-1 < l using karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement by (cases l;
simp)
   then have l > 0 by simp
   from False have len-kar-bound l = 2 \ \hat{} \ l + len-kar-bound \ (l-1) + 4
     unfolding len-kar-bound.simps[of l] by argo
   also have ... \leq 2 \hat{l} + (6 * 2 \hat{l} - 1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound) + 4
     using less[OF \langle l-1 < l \rangle] by simp
  also have ... = 2 * (2 (l-1)) + (6 * 2 (l-1)) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound)
     unfolding power-Suc[symmetric] Suc-diff-1[OF \langle l > 0 \rangle] by (rule reft)
   also have ... = 8*2\widehat{\phantom{a}}(l-1)+4+2*karatsuba-lower-bound by simp also have ... \leq 8*2\widehat{\phantom{a}}(l-1)+4*2\widehat{\phantom{a}}(l-1)+2*karatsuba-lower-bound
   also have ... = 12 * 2 ^(l-1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound by simp
   also have ... = 6 * 2 ^l + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
    using Suc-diff-1[OF \langle l > 0 \rangle, symmetric] power-Suc[of 2::nat l-1] by simp
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
```

The following is a pretty crude estimate for the length of the result of our Karatsuba implementation, but it suffices for our purposes.

```
lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-le:
 assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length x = k length y = k
  shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \times y) \leq 6 \times k + 2 \times karat
suba-lower-bound
 using order.trans[OF length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-aux[OF assms] len-kar-bound-le]
 unfolding assms.
In order to multiply two integers of arbitrary length using Karatsuba mul-
tiplication, the input numbers can just be zero-padded.
fun karatsuba-mul-nat :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
karatsuba-mul-nat x y = (let k = next-power-of-2 (max (length x) (length y)) in
 karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k (fill k x) (fill k y))
We verify the correctness of Karatsuba multiplication:
theorem karatsuba-mul-nat-correct: to-nat (karatsuba-mul-nat x y) = to-nat x *
to-nat y
proof -
  define k where k = next-power-of-2 (max (length x) (length y))
  then obtain l where k = 2 \hat{l} using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by blast
 have 1: to-nat (fill k x) = to-nat x to-nat (fill k y) = to-nat y by simp-all
 have k \ge length \ x \ k \ge length \ y
   using next-power-of-2-lower-bound[of max (length x) (length y)] k-def
   by simp-all
 hence length (fill k x) = k length (fill k y) = k using length-fill by simp-all
 show ?thesis unfolding k-def[symmetric] karatsuba-lower-bound-def
   using karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-correct[OF \langle k=2 \ \widehat{\ } l \rangle \langle length \ (fill \ k \ x)
= k \land \langle length (fill k y) = k \rangle
   by (simp only: karatsuba-mul-nat.simps Let-def k-def[symmetric] to-nat-fill)
qed
lemma length-karatsuba-mul-nat-le: length (karatsuba-mul-nat x y) \leq 12 * max
(length\ x)\ (length\ y) + (6 + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound)
proof -
 let ?m = max (length x) (length y)
 define k where k = next-power-of-2 ?m
 then obtain l where k = 2 \ \hat{} \ l using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by auto
  from k-def have ?m \le k using next-power-of-2-lower-bound by simp
  from k-def have karatsuba-mul-nat x y = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k (fill
k x) (fill k y)
   unfolding karatsuba-mul-nat.simps Let-def by argo
  also have length ... \leq 6 * k + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
  apply (intro length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-le[OF \langle k=2 \ \widehat{} \ l \rangle] length-fill)
   subgoal using \langle ?m \leq k \rangle by simp
   \mathbf{subgoal} \ \mathbf{using} \ \langle ?m \leq k \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{simp}
   done
  also have ... \leq 6 * (2 * ?m + 1) + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound
   apply (intro add-mono mult-le-mono order.refl)
   unfolding k-def by (rule next-power-of-2-upper-bound')
```

```
also have ... = 12 * ?m + (6 + 2 * karatsuba-lower-bound)
by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
```

Formally, we only implemented Karatsuba multiplication on natural numbers (not all integers). However, this does not really matter, as the multiplication can just be lifted to the integers. This lifting has already been done on other types, but for the sake of completeness we will just add it here as well:

```
fun karatsuba-mul-int where karatsuba-mul-int x y = nat-mul-to-int-mul karatsuba-mul-nat x y
```

```
corollary karatsuba-mul-int-correct:

to-int (karatsuba-mul-int \ x \ y) = to-int \ x * to-int \ y

using nat-mul-to-int-mul-correct[of \ karatsuba-mul-nat] \ karatsuba-mul-nat-correct

by (metis \ karatsuba-mul-int.simps \ surj-pair)
```

end

12 Running Time of Karatsuba Multiplication

```
theory Karatsuba-TM
imports Karatsuba ../Binary-Representations/Nat-LSBF-TM
../Estimation-Method
begin
```

This theory contains a time monad version of Karatsuba multiplication, which is used to verify the asymptotic running time of $\mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3})$.

```
definition abs-diff-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where abs-diff-tm xs ys = 1 do {    r1 \leftarrow xs -_{nt} ys;    r2 \leftarrow ys -_{nt} xs;    r1 +_{nt} r2 }
```

lemma val-abs-diff-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (abs-diff-tm xs ys) = abs-diff xs ys **by** $(simp\ add:\ abs$ -diff-tm- $def\ abs$ -diff-def)

```
lemma time-abs-diff-tm-le: time (abs-diff-tm xs ys) \leq 62 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 100 proof – have time (abs-diff-tm xs ys) \leq time (xs -_{nt} ys) + time (ys -_{nt} xs) + time ((xs -_n ys) +_{nt} (ys -_n xs)) + 1 by (simp add: abs-diff-tm-def)
```

also have $... \le 62 * max (length xs) (length ys) + 100$ apply (estimation estimate: time-subtract-nat-tm-le) apply (estimation estimate: time-subtract-nat-tm-le)

```
apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
 using length-subtract-nat-le[of\ xs\ ys] length-subtract-nat-le[of\ ys\ xs]
 by linarith
 finally show ?thesis.
ged
context karatsuba-context
begin
definition fill-abs-diff-x where fill-abs-diff-x = fill k-div-2 (abs-diff x0 x1)
definition fill-abs-diff-y where fill-abs-diff-y = fill k-div-2 (abs-diff y0 y1)
definition sgnx where sgnx = (x1 \le_n x\theta)
definition sgny where sgny = (y1 \le_n y\theta)
definition sgnxy where sgnxy = (sgnx = sgny)
definition r' where r' = (if \ sgnxy \ then \ add01 -_n \ prod2 \ else \ add01 +_n \ prod2)
definition sr where sr = r >>_n k-div-2
definition add\theta sr where add\theta sr = prod\theta +_n sr
definition s1 where s1 = prod1 >>_n k
lemma r-r': r = r'
 unfolding r-def r'-def sgnxy-def sgnx-def sgny-def by argo
lemmas defs\beta = fill-abs-diff-x-def fill-abs-diff-y-def sgnx-def sgny-def sgnxy-def r-r'
r'-def sr-def add0sr-def s1-def
end
lemma add-nat-carry-aux:
 assumes length x \leq k
 assumes length y \leq k
 assumes length (x +_n y) = k + 1
 shows max (length x) (length y) = k Nat-LSBF.to-nat <math>x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat y
\geq 2 \hat{k}
proof -
 have length x = k \lor length y = k
 proof (rule ccontr)
   assume \neg (length x = k \lor length y = k)
   then have max (length x) (length y) < k using assms by simp
   then have length (add-nat x y) < k + 1 using length-add-nat-upper[of x y]
by linarith
   then show False using assms by simp
 qed
 then show max (length x) (length y) = k using assms by linarith
 then obtain z where add-nat x y = z @ [True]
   using add-nat-last-bit-True assms by blast
 from this[symmetric] have Nat-LSBF.to-nat x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat y \ge 2 \widehat{} length
   using add-nat-correct[of x y] to-nat-length-lower-bound[of z] by argo
 also have 2 \cap length z = 2 \cap k using \langle add\text{-}nat \ x \ y = z \ @ [True] \rangle assms by simp
```

```
finally show Nat-LSBF.to-nat x + Nat-LSBF.to-nat y \ge 2 \hat{\ } k by simp
qed
context begin
private fun f where
f k = (if k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound then 2 * k else f (k div 2) + k + 4)
declare f.simps[simp \ del]
private lemma f-linear: f k \leq 6 * k
 apply (induction k rule: f.induct)
 subgoal for k
   apply (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   subgoal by (simp \ add: f.simps[of \ k])
   subgoal premises prems
   proof (cases k \geq 5)
    {f case} True
     then show ?thesis using prems unfolding f.simps[of k] by simp
   next
     case False
   then consider k = 2 \mid k = 3 \mid k = 4 using prems karatsuba-lower-bound-requirement
by linarith
     then show ?thesis using prems unfolding f.simps[of k] by fastforce
   qed
   done
 done
private lemma f-bound:
 assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length x = k
 assumes length y = k
 shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \ x \ y) \leq f \ k
 using assms
proof (induction k \times y arbitrary: l rule: karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.induct)
 case (1 k x y)
 show ?case
 proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   case True
   then show ?thesis unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k x y]
     using length-grid-mul-nat[of x y] 1.prems f.simps[of k] by simp
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l x y
     using 1.prems by (unfold-locales; simp)
   have len\theta: length\ r.prod\theta \le f\ (k\ div\ 2)
     unfolding r.prod0-def r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
     by (intro\ 1(1)[OF\ r.IH-prems1])
   have len1: length r.prod1 \le f (k div 2)
```

```
unfolding r.prod1-def r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
     by (intro\ 1(2)[OF\ r.IH-prems2])
   have len2: length r.prod2 \le f (k div 2)
     unfolding r.prod2-def r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
     by (intro\ 1(3)[OF\ r.IH-prems3])
   have len-p01: length (r.prod0 +_n r.prod1) \le f(k \ div \ 2) + 1
     using length-add-nat-upper[of r.prod0 \ r.prod1] len0 \ len1 by linarith
   then have length (r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 +_n r.prod2) \le f(k div 2) + 2
     using length-add-nat-upper[of r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 r.prod2] len2 by linarith
   moreover have length (r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 -_n r.prod2) \le f(k div 2) + 1
     using length-subtract-nat-le[of\ r.prod0\ +_n\ r.prod1\ r.prod2]\ len-p01\ len2
     by linarith
   ultimately have lenif: length (if r.sgnxy then r.prod0 +<sub>n</sub> r.prod1 -<sub>n</sub> r.prod2
          else r.prod0 +_n r.prod1 +_n r.prod2 \le f (k div 2) + 2 (is length ?if \le f
-)
     by simp
   have length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k x y) \le max (r.k-div-2 + f (k div))
(2)) (k + f(k div 2)) + 4
     unfolding r.recursive
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (subst length-shift-right)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (subst length-shift-right)
     unfolding r.r-def r.add01-def
     apply (subst if-distrib[of length])
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-subtract-nat-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
     apply (estimation estimate: len1)
    apply (estimation estimate: len2)
     by auto
   also have ... = k + f(k \operatorname{div} 2) + 4
     using r.k-div-2-less-k by simp
   finally show ?thesis unfolding f.simps[of k] using False by simp
 qed
qed
lemma length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length:
 assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length x = k
 assumes length y = k
 shows length (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k \times y) \leq 6 \times k
 using f-bound[OF assms] f-linear[of k] by simp
end
```

```
function karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm::nat <math>\Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf
tm where
karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k \ xs \ ys = 1 \ do \ \{
  b \leftarrow k \leq_t karatsuba-lower-bound;
  (if b then grid-mul-nat-tm xs ys else do {
    (x0, x1) \leftarrow split\text{-}tm \ xs;
    (y0, y1) \leftarrow split\text{-}tm \ ys;
    k-div-2 \leftarrow k \ div_t \ 2;
    prod0 \leftarrow karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ k-div-2\ x0\ y0;
    prod1 \leftarrow karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm \ k-div-2 \ x1 \ y1;
    abs-diff-x \leftarrow (abs-diff-tm \ x0 \ x1 \gg fill-tm \ k-div-2);
    abs-diff-y \leftarrow (abs-diff-tm \ y0 \ y1 \gg fill-tm \ k-div-2);
    prod2 \leftarrow karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k-div-2 abs-diff-x abs-diff-y;
    sgnx \leftarrow x1 \leq_{nt} x0;
    sgny \leftarrow y1 \leq_{nt} y0;
    sqnxy \leftarrow sqnx =_t sqny;

    construct return value

    add01 \leftarrow prod0 +_{nt} prod1;
    r \leftarrow (if \ sgnxy \ then \ add01 \ -_{nt} \ prod2 \ else \ add01 \ +_{nt} \ prod2);
    sr \leftarrow r >>_{nt} k\text{-}div\text{-}2;
    add\theta sr \leftarrow prod\theta +_{nt} sr;
    s1 \leftarrow prod1 >>_{nt} k;
    add0sr +_{nt} s1
  })
  by pat-completeness simp
termination
 by (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda p.\ size\ (fst\ p))) simp-all
declare karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[simp del]
lemma val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[simp, val-simp]:
 assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length xs = k length ys = k
 shows val(karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ k\ xs\ ys) = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length
using assms proof (induction k arbitrary: l xs ys rule: less-induct)
  case (less k)
  show ?case
  proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
    {f case} True
    then show ?thesis
      unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
      karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of \ k \ xs \ ys]
      val\text{-}bind\text{-}tm \ val\text{-}less\text{-}eq\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ val\text{-}simps \ val\text{-}grid\text{-}mul\text{-}nat\text{-}tm
      by simp
  next
    case False
    interpret \ r: \ karatsuba-context \ k \ l \ xs \ ys
```

```
using less False by unfold-locales simp-all
  have val0: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x0 r.y0) = r.prod0
     unfolding r.prod\theta-def
   by (intro\ less.IH[OF\ r.k-div-2-less-k\ r.k-div-2\ r.length-x-split(1)\ r.length-y-split(1)])
  have val1: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.x1\ r.y1) = r.prod1
     unfolding r.prod1-def
   by (intro\ less.IH[OF\ r.k-div-2-less-k\ r.k-div-2\ r.length-x-split(2)\ r.length-y-split(2)])
  have val2: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x r.fill-abs-diff-y)
= r.prod2
   unfolding r.prod2-def r.fill-abs-diff-x-def[symmetric] r.fill-abs-diff-y-def[symmetric]
     apply (intro less.IH[OF r.k-div-2-less-k r.k-div-2])
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-x-def by (rule r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1)
     \textbf{subgoal unfolding} \ r. \textit{fill-abs-diff-y-def} \ \textbf{by} \ (\textit{rule} \ r. \textit{length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1})
     done
   have val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ k\ xs\ ys)=r.add0sr+_n\ r.s1
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
     val-bind-tm val-less-eq-nat-tm val-simps val-split-tm r.split-x r.split-y
     val-divide-nat-tm val-abs-diff-tm val-fill-tm r.k-div-2-def[symmetric]
    val-compare-nat-tm val-subtract-nat-tm val-add-nat-tm val-equal-bool-tm val-shift-right-tm
      Let-def Product-Type.prod.case r.defs2[symmetric] r.defs3[symmetric] val0
val1 val2
     using False by argo
   also have ... = karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k xs ys
     using r.recursive
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length.simps[of k xs ys]
   Let-def \ r. split-x \ r. split-y \ Product-Type.prod.case \ r. defs2[symmetric] \ r. defs3[symmetric]
by argo
   finally show ?thesis.
 qed
qed
fun h where
h \ k = (if \ k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound \ then \ 2 * k + 8 * k * k + 3
   else\ 407 + 224 * k + 3 * h (k\ div\ 2))
declare h.simps[simp \ del]
\mathbf{lemma}\ time-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm-le-h:
  assumes k = 2 \hat{l}
 assumes length xs = k length ys = k
 shows time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ k\ xs\ ys) \le h\ k
using assms proof (induction k arbitrary: xs ys l rule: less-induct)
  case (less k)
 show ?case
 proof (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
   {f case}\ {\it True}
   then have time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k xs ys) \leq
     2 * k + 8 * length xs * max (length xs) (length ys) + 3
     unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
     apply (simp add: time-less-eq-nat-tm)
```

```
apply (subst Suc-eq-plus1)+
     apply (estimation estimate: time-grid-mul-nat-tm-le)
     apply (rule order.refl)
     done
   also have ... = 2 * k + 8 * k * k + 3 unfolding less(3) less(4) by simp
   finally show ?thesis unfolding h.simps[of k] using True by simp
  next
   case False
   then interpret r: karatsuba-context k l xs ys
     by (unfold-locales; simp add: less)
  have val0: val\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.x0\ r.y0) = r.prod0
     unfolding r.prod0-def
   by (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[OF\ r.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(1)
r.length-y-split(1)
  have val1: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.x1\ r.y1) = r.prod1
     unfolding r.prod1-def
   by (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[OF r.k-div-2 r.length-x-split(2)
r.length-y-split(2)
  have val2: val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x r.fill-abs-diff-y)
= r.prod2
   unfolding r.prod2-def r.fill-abs-diff-x-def [symmetric] r.fill-abs-diff-y-def [symmetric]
     apply (intro\ val\text{-}karatsuba\text{-}on\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}length\text{-}tm[OF\ r.k\text{-}div\text{-}2]})
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-x-def by (rule\ r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1)
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-y-def by (rule\ r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1)
     done
   have len \theta: length (r.prod \theta) \leq 3 * k
     unfolding r.prod0-def
   apply (estimation estimate: length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length[OF r.k-div-2
r.length-x-split(1) r.length-y-split(1)
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def
     by simp
   have len1: length (r.prod1) \le 3 * k
     unfolding r.prod1-def
   \mathbf{apply}\ (estimation\ estimate:\ length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length[OF\ r.k-div-2])
r.length-x-split(2) r.length-y-split(2)
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def
     by simp
   have len2: length (r.prod2) \le 3 * k
     unfolding r.prod2-def
   apply (estimation estimate: length-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length[OF r.k-div-2
r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1 r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1])
     unfolding r.k-div-2-def
     by simp
   have len01: length r.add01 \le 3 * k + 1
     unfolding r.add01-def
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
```

```
apply (estimation estimate: len1)
     by simp
   have lenr: length r.r \leq 3 * k + 2
     unfolding r.r-def if-distrib[of length]
     apply (estimation estimate: length-subtract-nat-le)
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: len01)
     apply (estimation estimate: len2)
     by simp
   have lensr: length r.sr \le r.k-div-2 + 3 * k + 2
     unfolding r.sr-def
     apply (subst length-shift-right)
     apply (estimation estimate: lenr)
     by simp
   have len0sr: length \ r.add0sr < r.k-div-2 + 3 * k + 3
     unfolding r.add0sr-def
     apply (estimation estimate: length-add-nat-upper)
     apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
     apply (estimation estimate: lensr)
     by simp
   have lens1: length r.s1 \le 4 * k
     unfolding r.s1-def
     apply (subst length-shift-right)
     apply (estimation estimate: len1)
     by simp
   have time\theta: time\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.x0\ r.y0) \le h
r.k-div-2
   by (intro\ less.IH[OF\ r.k-div-2-less-k\ r.k-div-2\ r.length-x-split(1)\ r.length-y-split(1)])
   have time1: time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.x1 r.y1) \leq h
r.k-div-2
   by (intro\ less.IH[OF\ r.k-div-2-less-k\ r.k-div-2\ r.length-x-split(2)\ r.length-y-split(2)])
   have time2: time (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm r.k-div-2 r.fill-abs-diff-x
r.fill-abs-diff-y) \leq h \ r.k-div-2
     apply (intro less.IH[OF\ r.k-div-2-less-k\ r.k-div-2])
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-x-def using r.length-fill-abs-diff-x0-x1 by
assumption
     subgoal unfolding r.fill-abs-diff-y-def using r.length-fill-abs-diff-y0-y1 by
assumption \\
     done
   have time\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ k\ xs\ ys) =
       time\ (k \leq_t karatsuba-lower-bound) +
       time (split-tm \ xs) +
       time (split-tm \ ys) +
       time (k div_t 2) +
       time\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.x0\ r.y0)\ +
      time\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.x1\ r.y1)\ +
      time\ (abs-diff-tm\ r.x0\ r.x1) + time\ (fill-tm\ r.k-div-2\ (abs-diff\ r.x0\ r.x1)) +
```

```
time\ (abs-diff-tm\ r.y0\ r.y1) + time\ (fill-tm\ r.k-div-2\ (abs-diff\ r.y0\ r.y1)) +
            time\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ r.k-div-2\ r.fill-abs-diff-x\ r.fill-abs-diff-y)
                 time (r.x1 \leq_{nt} r.x\theta) +
                 time\ (r.y1 \leq_{nt} r.y0) +
                  time\ (r.sgnx =_t r.sgny) +
                  time\ (add-nat-tm\ r.prod0\ r.prod1)\ +
                 (if r.sqnxy then time (r.add01 -_{nt} r.prod2)
                                         else time (r.add01 +_{nt} r.prod2)) +
                  time\ (r.r >>_{nt} r.k-div-2) +
                 time (r.prod\theta +_{nt} r.sr) +
                 time\ (r.prod1 >>_{nt} k) +
                 time\ (r.add0sr\ +_{nt}\ r.s1)\ +\ 1
             unfolding karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm.simps[of k xs ys]
             tm-time-simps if-distrib[of time] val-less-eq-nat-tm val-split-tm r.defs1
          Product-Type.prod.case\ val-divide-nat-tm\ r.defs2[symmetric]\ r.defs3[symmetric]
             val-abs-diff-tm val-simps val-fill-tm val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm
             val\text{-}compare\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ Let\text{-}def \ val0 \ val1 \ val2 \ val\text{-}add\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ val\text{-}equal\text{-}bool\text{-}tm \ val\text{-}equal\text{-}bool\text{-}equal\text{-}bool\text{-}equal\text{-}bool\text{-}equal\text{-}bool\text{-}equal\text{-}bool\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{-}equal\text{
             val-subtract-nat-tm
             by (auto simp: False r.defs2[symmetric] r.defs3[symmetric])
        also have ... \leq 2 * k + 2 +
                 (10 * k + 16) + (10 * k + 16) +
                 (8 * k + 11) +
                 h(k div 2) +
                 h(k div 2) +
                 (31 * k + 100) +
                 (2 * k + 5) +
                 (31 * k + 100) +
                 (2 * k + 5) +
                 h(k div 2) +
                 (7 * k + 23) +
                 (7 * k + 23) +
                 2 +
                 (6 * k + 3) +
                 (90 * k + 78) +
                 (k + 3) +
                 (7 * k + 7) +
                 (2 * k + 3) +
                 (8 * k + 9) +
             apply (intro add-mono)
             subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-less-eq-nat-tm-le) simp
             subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-split-tm-le) (simp add: less)
             subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-split-tm-le) (simp add: less)
             subgoal by (estimation estimate: time-divide-nat-tm-le) simp
             subgoal by (estimation estimate: time0) (simp add: r.k-div-2-def)
             subgoal by (estimation estimate: time1) (simp add: r.k-div-2-def)
         subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-abs-diff-tm-le) unfolding r.length-x-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
```

```
subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using r.length-abs-diff-x0-x1
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   {f subgoal\ apply}\ (estimation\ estimate:\ time-abs-diff-tm-le)\ {f unfolding}\ r.length-y-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using r.length-abs-diff-y0-y1
r.k-div-2-def by simp
     subgoal by (estimation estimate: time2) (simp add: r.k-div-2-def)
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-compare-nat-tm-le) using r.length-x-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
   subgoal\ apply\ (estimation\ estimate:\ time-compare-nat-tm-le)\ using\ r.length-y-split
r.k-div-2-def by simp
    subgoal using time-equal-bool-tm-le by simp
     subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
      apply (estimation estimate: len1)
      by simp
     subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-subtract-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len01)
      apply (estimation estimate: len2)
      by simp
     subgoal using r.k-div-2-def by simp
     subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len\theta)
      apply (estimation estimate: lensr)
      using r.k-div-2-def by simp
     subgoal by simp
     subgoal
      apply (estimation estimate: time-add-nat-tm-le)
      apply (estimation estimate: len0sr)
      apply (estimation estimate: lens1)
      using r.k-div-2-less-k by presburger
     subgoal by simp
     done
   also have ... = 407 + 224 * k + 3 * h (k div 2)
   finally show ?thesis unfolding h.simps[of k] using False by simp
 qed
qed
lemma n-div-2: n div 2 = nat | real n / 2 |
 by linarith
function h-real :: nat \Rightarrow real where
x \leq karatsuba-lower-bound \Longrightarrow h-real \ x = 8 * x * x + 2 * x + 3
|x> karatsuba-lower-bound \implies h-real \ x=407+224*x+3*h-real \ (nat \ (|real \ |x|))
```

```
x / 2 |)
   by force simp-all
termination
   by (relation Wellfounded.measure (\lambda x. x)) (simp-all add: n-div-2[symmetric])
lemma h-h-real: real (h k) = h-real k
   apply (induction k rule: h.induct)
   subgoal for k
       apply (cases k \leq karatsuba-lower-bound)
       by (simp-all\ add:\ h-real.simps[of\ k]\ h.simps[of\ k]\ n-div-2\ del:\ h-real.simps)
    done
lemma h-real-bigo: h-real \in O(\lambda n. real \ n \ powr \ log \ 2 \ 3)
   by (master-theorem 1 p': 1) (auto simp: powr-divide)
definition karatsuba-mul-nat-tm :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf \Rightarrow nat-lsbf tm where
karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys = 1 do {
    lenx \leftarrow length-tm \ xs;
    leny \leftarrow length-tm \ ys;
    k \leftarrow max\text{-}nat\text{-}tm \ lenx \ leny \gg next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm;
   fillx \leftarrow fill-tm \ k \ xs;
   filly \leftarrow fill-tm \ k \ ys;
   karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k fillx filly
lemma val-karatsuba-mul-nat-tm[simp, val-simp]: val (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys)
= karatsuba-mul-nat xs ys
proof -
    define k where k = next-power-of-2 (max (length xs) (length ys))
   then obtain l where k = 2 \cap l using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by auto
   have val (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm k (fill k xs) (fill k ys)) =
          karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length k (fill k xs) (fill k ys)
       apply (intro val-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm[OF \langle k = 2 \ \hat{} \ l \rangle])
       unfolding k-def using next-power-of-2-lower-bound[of max (length xs) (length
ys)] by auto
    then show ?thesis
       unfolding karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-def karatsuba-mul-nat.simps val-simp Let-def
k-def.
qed
definition \ time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound \ where
  time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound m = 53 + 218 * (next-power-of-2 m) + h (next-po
The following two lemmas are one way to formally express the more infor-
mal statement "Karatsuba Multiplication needs \mathcal{O}(n^{\log_2 3}) bit operations
for input numbers of length n".
theorem time-karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-le:
   assumes max (length xs) (length ys) = m
```

```
shows time\ (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm\ xs\ ys) \le time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound\ m
proof -
 define k where k = next-power-of-2 m
 then obtain l where k = 2 \cap l using next-power-of-2-is-power-of-2 by auto
 have lens: length xs \le k length ys \le k
   using assms next-power-of-2-lower-bound[of m] k-def by simp-all
 have time (karatsuba-mul-nat-tm xs ys) =
   time\ (length-tm\ xs)\ +
   time\ (length-tm\ ys)\ +
   time\ (max\text{-}nat\text{-}tm\ (length\ xs)\ (length\ ys))\ +
   time\ (next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2\text{-}tm\ (max\ (length\ xs)\ (length\ ys)))\ +
   time (fill-tm k xs) +
   time\ (fill-tm\ k\ ys)\ +
   time\ (karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm\ k\ (fill\ k\ xs)\ (fill\ k\ ys))\ +\ 1
 unfolding karatsuba-mul-nat-tm-def tm-time-simps val-simp Let-def
   assms\ k-def[symmetric]\ \mathbf{by}\ presburger
 also have ... <
   (k+1) + (k+1) + (2 * k + 3) +
   (208 * k + 37) +
   (3*k+5)+
   (3*k+5)+
   h k +
   apply (intro add-mono order.refl)
   subgoal by (simp add: lens)
   subgoal by (simp add: lens)
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-max-nat-tm-le) using lens by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-next-power-of-2-tm-le) using lens
by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using lens by simp
   subgoal apply (estimation estimate: time-fill-tm-le) using lens by simp
   subgoal apply (intro time-karatsuba-on-power-of-2-length-tm-le-h[OF \land k = 2]
\hat{l}) using lens
    by auto
   done
 also have ... = 53 + 218 * k + h k by simp
 finally show ?thesis unfolding k-def time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-def[symmetric]
qed
theorem time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-bigo: time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound \in O(\lambda m).
m powr log 2 3)
proof -
 define t where t = (\lambda m. real (53 + 218 * m + h m))
 then have time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound = t \circ next-power-of-2
   unfolding time-karatsuba-mul-nat-bound-def by auto
 also have ... \in O(\lambda m. \ m \ powr \ log \ 2 \ 3)
   apply (intro powr-bigo-linear-index-transformation)
   subgoal
```

```
proof -
     have (\lambda x. real (next\text{-}power\text{-}of\text{-}2 x)) \in O(\lambda x. real (2 * x + 1))
      apply (intro landau-mono-always)
      using next-power-of-2-upper-bound' real-mono by simp-all
     moreover have (\lambda x. real (2 * x + 1)) \in O(real) by auto
     ultimately show (\lambda x. real (next-power-of-2 x)) \in O(real)
       using landau-o.big.trans by blast
   subgoal unfolding t-def real-linear real-multiplicative h-h-real
     apply (intro sum-in-bigo)
     subgoal by auto
     subgoal by auto
     subgoal using h-real-bigo.
     done
   subgoal by auto
   done
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
end
```

13 Code Generation

```
theory Karatsuba-Code-Nat
imports Main HOL-Library.Code-Binary-Nat Karatsuba
begin
```

In this theory, the Karatsuba Multiplication implemented in *Karatsuba* is used for code generation. This is not really practical (except beginning at 3000 decimal digits), but merely a nice gimmick.

```
fun from-numeral :: num \Rightarrow nat-lsbf where
    from-numeral num.One = [True]
| from-numeral (num.Bit0 \ x) = False \# from-numeral x
| from-numeral (num.Bit1 \ x) = True \# from-numeral x

lemma from-numeral-nonempty: from-numeral x \neq []
by (induction \ x \ rule: from-numeral.induct; simp)

lemma from-numeral-truncated: truncated (from-numeral x)
    unfolding truncated-iff
by (induction \ x \ rule: from-numeral.induct; simp \ add: from-numeral-nonempty)

lemma to-nat-from-numeral-neq-zero: to-nat (from-numeral x) \neq 0
    using to-nat-zero-iff from-numeral-truncated from-numeral-nonempty by simp

fun to-numeral-of-truncated :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow num where
to-numeral-of-truncated [] = num.One
| to-numeral-of-truncated [] = num.One
```

```
to-numeral-of-truncated (True \# xs) = num.Bit1 (to-numeral-of-truncated xs)
to-numeral-of-truncated (False \# xs) = num.Bit0 (to-numeral-of-truncated xs)
\mathbf{lemma}\ to\text{-}numeral\text{-}of\text{-}truncated\text{-}from\text{-}numeral\text{:}
to-numeral-of-truncated (from-numeral x) = x
 apply (induction x)
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal by simp
 subgoal for x by (cases from-numeral x; simp)
 done
lemma nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated:
 assumes truncated xs
 assumes xs \neq []
 shows nat\text{-}of\text{-}num (to-numeral-of-truncated xs) = to-nat xs
 using assms proof (induction xs rule: to-numeral-of-truncated.induct)
 case 1
 then show ?case by blast
next
 case 2
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (3 \ v \ va)
 note truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl[OF 3.prems(1)]
 from 3.IH[OF this] show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (4 xs)
 from 4.prems(1) have xs \neq []
   apply (intro ccontr[of xs \neq []])
   by (simp add: truncated-iff)
 note truncated-Cons-imp-truncated-tl[OF 4.prems(1)]
 from 4.IH[OF\ this\ \langle xs \neq [] \rangle] show ?case by simp
qed
definition to-numeral :: nat-lsbf \Rightarrow num where
 to-numeral xs = (let \ xs' = Nat\text{-}LSBF.truncate \ xs \ in \ to-numeral-of-truncated \ xs')
lemma to-numeral-from-numeral: to-numeral (from-numeral x) = x
 unfolding to-numeral-def Let-def
 using from-numeral-truncated to-numeral-of-truncated-from-numeral
 by simp
lemma nat-of-num-to-numeral:
 assumes to-nat xs \neq 0
 shows nat-of-num (to-numeral xs) = to-nat xs
 unfolding to-numeral-def Let-def
 using assms nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated of truncate xs, OF truncate-truncate
 unfolding nat-lsbf.to-f-elem
 using to-nat-zero-iff
```

```
by simp
lemma l\theta:
 assumes truncated xs
 shows to-numeral-of-truncated xs = num-of-nat (to-nat xs)
 by (metis nat-of-num-inverse nat-of-num-to-numeral-of-truncated num-of-nat.simps(1)
to-nat.simps(1) to-numeral-of-truncated.simps(1))
lemma l1: to-numeral xs = num-of-nat (to-nat xs)
 unfolding to-numeral-def Let-def
 using l0[of truncate xs] truncate-truncate[of xs] nat-lsbf.to-f-elem
 \mathbf{by} \ simp
lemma l2: to-nat (from-numeral x) = nat-of-num x
 by (metis nat-of-num-to-numeral to-nat-from-numeral-neg-zero to-numeral-from-numeral)
lemma[code]:
 (x::num) * y = to-numeral (karatsuba-mul-nat (from-numeral x) (from-numeral)
y))
 unfolding l1 karatsuba-mul-nat-correct l2 times-num-def by (rule reft)
end
```

References

- [1] A. Karatsuba and Y. Ofman. Multiplication of many-digital numbers by automatic computers. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 145:293–294, 1962. http://mi.mathnet.ru/dan26729.
- [2] T. Nipkow. Verified root-balanced trees. In B.-Y. E. Chang, editor, Asian Symposium on Programming Languages and Systems, APLAS 2017, volume 10695 of LNCS, pages 255–272. Springer, 2017. https://www21.in.tum.de/~nipkow/pubs/aplas17.pdf.