

Decomposition of totally ordered hoops

Sebastián Buss

April 18, 2024

Abstract

We formalize a well known result in theory of hoops: every totally ordered hoop can be written as an ordinal sum of irreducible (equivalently Wajsberg) hoops. This formalization is based on the proof for BL-chains (i.e., bounded totally ordered hoops) by Busaniche [5].

Contents

1	Some order tools: posets with explicit universe	4
2	Hoops	5
2.1	Definitions	5
2.2	Basic properties	6
2.3	Multiplication monotonicity	7
2.4	Implication monotonicity and anti-monotonicity	7
2.5	(\leq^A) defines a partial order over A	7
2.6	Order properties	8
2.7	Additional multiplication properties	9
2.8	Additional implication properties	9
2.9	(\wedge^A) defines a semilattice over A	10
2.10	Properties of (\vee^{*A})	10
3	Ordinal sums	11
3.1	Tower of hoops	11
3.2	Ordinal sum universe	12
3.3	Floor function: definition and properties	12
3.4	Ordinal sum multiplication and implication	13
3.4.1	Some multiplication properties	14
3.4.2	Some implication properties	14
3.5	The ordinal sum of a tower of hoops is a hoop	15
3.5.1	S is not empty	15
3.5.2	$(*^S)$ and (\rightarrow^S) are well defined	15
3.5.3	Neutrality of 1^S	16
3.5.4	Commutativity of $(*^S)$	16
3.5.5	Associativity of $(*^S)$	16
3.5.6	Reflexivity of (\rightarrow^S)	16
3.5.7	Divisibility	17
3.5.8	Residuation	17
3.5.9	Main result	17
4	Totally ordered hoops	18
4.1	Definitions	18
4.2	Properties of F	18
4.3	Properties of $(\sim F)$	20
4.3.1	$(\sim F)$ is an equivalence relation	20
4.3.2	Equivalent definition	20
4.3.3	Properties of equivalence classes given by $(\sim F)$	20
4.4	Irreducible hoops: definition and equivalences	21
4.5	Decomposition	23
4.5.1	Definition of index set I	23

4.5.2	Definition of total partial order over I	23
4.5.3	Definition of universes	24
4.5.4	Universes are subhoops of A	25
4.5.5	Universes are irreducible hoops	25
4.5.6	Some useful results	26
4.5.7	Definition of multiplications, implications and one	26
4.5.8	Main result	27
4.5.9	Remarks on the nontrivial case	28
4.5.10	Converse of main result	28
5	BL-chains	28
5.1	Definitions	29
5.2	First element of I	29
5.3	Main result for BL-chains	29
5.4	Converse of main result for BL-chains	30

1 Some order tools: posets with explicit universe

```
theory Posets
imports Main HOL-Library.LaTeXsugar

begin

locale poset-on =
  fixes P :: 'b set
  fixes P-lesseq :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leq^P$  60)
  fixes P-less :: 'b  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $<^P$  60)
  assumes not-empty [simp]: P  $\neq$   $\emptyset$ 
  and reflex: reflp-on P ( $\leq^P$ )
  and antisymm: antisymp-on P ( $\leq^P$ )
  and trans: transp-on P ( $\leq^P$ )
  and strict-iff-order: x  $\in$  P  $\Longrightarrow$  y  $\in$  P  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $<^P$  y = (x  $\leq^P$  y  $\wedge$  x  $\neq$  y)
begin

lemma strict-trans:
  assumes a  $\in$  P b  $\in$  P c  $\in$  P a  $<^P$  b b  $<^P$  c
  shows a  $<^P$  c
  <proof>

end

locale bot-poset-on = poset-on +
  fixes bot :: 'b ( $0^P$ )
  assumes bot-closed:  $0^P \in$  P
  and bot-first: x  $\in$  P  $\Longrightarrow$   $0^P \leq^P$  x

locale top-poset-on = poset-on +
  fixes top :: 'b ( $1^P$ )
  assumes top-closed:  $1^P \in$  P
  and top-last: x  $\in$  P  $\Longrightarrow$  x  $\leq^P$   $1^P$ 

locale bounded-poset-on = bot-poset-on + top-poset-on

locale total-poset-on = poset-on +
  assumes total: totalp-on P ( $\leq^P$ )
begin

lemma trichotomy:
  assumes a  $\in$  P b  $\in$  P
  shows (a  $<^P$  b  $\wedge$   $\neg$ (a = b  $\vee$  b  $<^P$  a))  $\vee$ 
    (a = b  $\wedge$   $\neg$ (a  $<^P$  b  $\vee$  b  $<^P$  a))  $\vee$ 
    (b  $<^P$  a  $\wedge$   $\neg$ (a = b  $\vee$  a  $<^P$  b))
  <proof>

lemma strict-order-equiv-not-converse:
```

```

assumes  $a \in P \ b \in P$ 
shows  $a <^P b \iff \neg(b \leq^P a)$ 
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

end

end

2 Hoops

A *hoop* is a naturally ordered *pocrim* (i.e., a partially ordered commutative residuated integral monoid). These structures have been introduced by Büchi and Owens in [4] and constitute the algebraic counterpart of fragments without negation and falsum of some nonclassical logics.

```

theory Hoops
  imports Posets
begin

```

2.1 Definitions

locale *hoop* =

```

  fixes universe :: 'a set (A)
  and multiplication :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infix  $*^A$  60)
  and implication :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infix  $\rightarrow^A$  60)
  and one :: 'a ( $1^A$ )
  assumes mult-closed:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x *^A y \in A$ 
  and imp-closed:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \rightarrow^A y \in A$ 
  and one-closed [simp]:  $1^A \in A$ 
  and mult-comm:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x *^A y = y *^A x$ 
  and mult-assoc:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies x *^A (y *^A z) = (x *^A y) *^A z$ 
  and mult-neutr [simp]:  $x \in A \implies x *^A 1^A = x$ 
  and imp-reflex [simp]:  $x \in A \implies x \rightarrow^A x = 1^A$ 
  and divisibility:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x *^A (x \rightarrow^A y) = y *^A (y \rightarrow^A x)$ 
  and residuation:  $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies z \in A \implies$ 
     $x \rightarrow^A (y \rightarrow^A z) = (x *^A y) \rightarrow^A z$ 

```

begin

```

definition hoop-order :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $\leq^A$  60)
  where  $x \leq^A y \equiv (x \rightarrow^A y = 1^A)$ 

```

```

definition hoop-order-strict :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool (infix  $<^A$  60)
  where  $x <^A y \equiv (x \leq^A y \wedge x \neq y)$ 

```

```

definition hoop-inf :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infix  $\wedge^A$  60)
  where  $x \wedge^A y = x *^A (x \rightarrow^A y)$ 

```

```

definition hoop-pseudo-sup :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infix  $\vee^{*A}$  60)
  where  $x \vee^{*A} y = ((x \rightarrow^A y) \rightarrow^A y) \wedge^A ((y \rightarrow^A x) \rightarrow^A x)$ 

```

end

locale *wajsberg-hoop* = *hoop* +
 assumes $T: x \in A \implies y \in A \implies (x \rightarrow^A y) \rightarrow^A y = (y \rightarrow^A x) \rightarrow^A x$
begin

definition *wajsberg-hoop-sup* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infix** \vee^A 60)
 where $x \vee^A y = (x \rightarrow^A y) \rightarrow^A y$

end

2.2 Basic properties

context *hoop*
begin

lemma *mult-neutr-2* [*simp*]:
 assumes $a \in A$
 shows $1^A *^A a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-one-A*:
 assumes $a \in A$
 shows $(1^A \rightarrow^A a) \rightarrow^A 1^A = 1^A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-one-B*:
 assumes $a \in A$
 shows $(1^A \rightarrow^A a) \rightarrow^A a = 1^A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-one-C*:
 assumes $a \in A$
 shows $1^A \rightarrow^A a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-one-top*:
 assumes $a \in A$
 shows $a \rightarrow^A 1^A = 1^A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The proofs of *imp-one-A*, *imp-one-B*, *imp-one-C* and *imp-one-top* are based on proofs found in [3] (see Section 1: (4), (6), (7) and (12)).

lemma *swap*:
 assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$
 shows $a \rightarrow^A (b \rightarrow^A c) = b \rightarrow^A (a \rightarrow^A c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \rightarrow^A (b \rightarrow^A a) = 1^A$

<proof>

2.3 Multiplication monotonicity

lemma *mult-mono*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A ((a *^A c) \rightarrow^A (b *^A c)) = 1^A$

<proof>

2.4 Implication monotonicity and anti-monotonicity

lemma *imp-mono*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A ((c \rightarrow^A a) \rightarrow^A (c \rightarrow^A b)) = 1^A$

<proof>

lemma *imp-anti-mono*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A ((b \rightarrow^A c) \rightarrow^A (a \rightarrow^A c)) = 1^A$

<proof>

2.5 (\leq^A) defines a partial order over A

lemma *ord-reflex*:

assumes $a \in A$

shows $a \leq^A a$

<proof>

lemma *ord-trans*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$ $a \leq^A b$ $b \leq^A c$

shows $a \leq^A c$

<proof>

lemma *ord-antisymm*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $a \leq^A b$ $b \leq^A a$

shows $a = b$

<proof>

lemma *ord-antisymm-equiv*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $a \rightarrow^A b = 1^A$ $b \rightarrow^A a = 1^A$

shows $a = b$

<proof>

lemma *ord-top*:

assumes $a \in A$

shows $a \leq^A 1^A$

<proof>

sublocale *top-poset-on* A (\leq^A) $(<^A)$ 1^A

<proof>

2.6 Order properties

lemma *ord-mult-mono-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \leq^A ((a *^A c) \rightarrow^A (b *^A c))$

<proof>

lemma *ord-mult-mono-B*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$ $a \leq^A b$

shows $(a *^A c) \leq^A (b *^A c)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-residuation*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a *^A b) \leq^A c \longleftrightarrow a \leq^A (b \rightarrow^A c)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-imp-mono-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \leq^A ((c \rightarrow^A a) \rightarrow^A (c \rightarrow^A b))$

<proof>

lemma *ord-imp-mono-B*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$ $a \leq^A b$

shows $(c \rightarrow^A a) \leq^A (c \rightarrow^A b)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-imp-anti-mono-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$

shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \leq^A ((b \rightarrow^A c) \rightarrow^A (a \rightarrow^A c))$

<proof>

lemma *ord-imp-anti-mono-B*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$ $a \leq^A b$

shows $(b \rightarrow^A c) \leq^A (a \rightarrow^A c)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $b \leq^A (a \rightarrow^A b)$

<proof>

lemma *ord-B*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $b \leq^A ((a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-C*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $a \leq^A ((a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ord-D*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A \ a <^A b$
shows $b \rightarrow^A a \neq 1^A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.7 Additional multiplication properties

lemma *mult-lesseq-inf*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $(a *^A b) \leq^A (a \wedge^A b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-A*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $(a *^A b) \leq^A a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-B*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $(a *^A b) \leq^A b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mult-C*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\} \ b \in A - \{1^A\}$
shows $a *^A b \in A - \{1^A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.8 Additional implication properties

lemma *imp-B*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $a \rightarrow^A b = ((a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following two results can be found in [2] (see Proposition 1.7 and 2.2).

lemma *imp-C*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $(a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A (b \rightarrow^A a) = b \rightarrow^A a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *imp-D*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$

shows $((b \rightarrow^A a) \rightarrow^A a) \rightarrow^A b \rightarrow^A (b \rightarrow^A a) = b \rightarrow^A a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.9 (\wedge^A) defines a semilattice over A

lemma *inf-closed*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \wedge^A b \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-comm*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \wedge^A b = b \wedge^A a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $(a \wedge^A b) \leq^A a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-B*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $(a \wedge^A b) \leq^A b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-C*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$ $a \leq^A b$ $a \leq^A c$

shows $a \leq^A (b \wedge^A c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inf-order*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \leq^A b \iff (a \wedge^A b = a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

2.10 Properties of (\vee^{*A})

lemma *pseudo-sup-closed*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \vee^{*A} b \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pseudo-sup-comm*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \vee^{*A} b = b \vee^{*A} a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *pseudo-sup-A*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \leq^A (a \vee^{*A} b)$

<proof>

lemma *pseudo-sup-B*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $b \leq^A (a \vee^{*A} b)$

<proof>

lemma *pseudo-sup-order*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$

shows $a \leq^A b \iff a \vee^{*A} b = b$

<proof>

end

end

3 Ordinal sums

We define *tower of hoops*, a family of almost disjoint hoops indexed by a total order. This is based on the definition of *bounded tower of irreducible hoops* in [5] (see paragraph after Lemma 3.3). Parting from a tower of hoops we can define a hoop known as *ordinal sum*. Ordinal sums are a fundamental tool in the study of totally ordered hoops.

theory *Ordinal-Sums*

imports *Hoops*

begin

3.1 Tower of hoops

locale *tower-of-hoops* =

fixes *index-set* :: $'b$ set (I)

fixes *index-lesseq* :: $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** \leq^I 60)

fixes *index-less* :: $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $<^I$ 60)

fixes *universes* :: $'b \Rightarrow ('a$ set) (UNI)

fixes *multiplications* :: $'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a)$ (MUL)

fixes *implications* :: $'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a)$ (IMP)

fixes *sum-one* :: $'a$ (1^S)

assumes *index-set-total-order*: *total-poset-on* I (\leq^I) ($<^I$)

and *almost-disjoint*: $i \in I \implies j \in I \implies i \neq j \implies UNI\ i \cap UNI\ j = \{1^S\}$

and *family-of-hoops*: $i \in I \implies \text{hoop}$ ($UNI\ i$) ($MUL\ i$) ($IMP\ i$) 1^S

begin

sublocale *total-poset-on* I (\leq^I) ($<^I$)

<proof>

abbreviation (*uni-i*)

uni-i :: $[b] \Rightarrow ('a$ set) ($(\mathbf{A}(-))$ [61] 60)

where $\mathbf{A}_i \equiv UNI\ i$

abbreviation (*mult-i*)

$mult-i :: ['b] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) ((*(\bar{\ }) [61] 60)$
where $*^i \equiv MUL\ i$

abbreviation (*imp-i*)

$imp-i :: ['b] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) ((\rightarrow(\bar{\ }) [61] 60)$
where $\rightarrow^i \equiv IMP\ i$

abbreviation (*mult-i-xy*)

$mult-i-xy :: ['a, 'b, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a (((-)/ *(\bar{\ }) / (-)) [61, 50, 61] 60)$
where $x *^i y \equiv MUL\ i\ x\ y$

abbreviation (*imp-i-xy*)

$imp-i-xy :: ['a, 'b, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a (((-)/ \rightarrow(\bar{\ }) / (-)) [61, 50, 61] 60)$
where $x \rightarrow^i y \equiv IMP\ i\ x\ y$

3.2 Ordinal sum universe

definition *sum-univ* :: 'a set (S)

where $S = \{x. \exists i \in I. x \in \mathbf{A}_i\}$

lemma *sum-one-closed* [*simp*]: $1^S \in S$

<proof>

lemma *sum-subsets*:

assumes $i \in I$

shows $\mathbf{A}_i \subseteq S$

<proof>

3.3 Floor function: definition and properties

lemma *floor-unique*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$

shows $\exists! i. i \in I \wedge a \in \mathbf{A}_i$

<proof>

function *floor* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b **where**

$floor\ x = (THE\ i. i \in I \wedge x \in \mathbf{A}_i)$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\}$

| $floor\ x = undefined$ **if** $x = 1^S \vee x \notin S$

<proof>

termination *<proof>*

abbreviation (*uni-floor*)

$uni-floor :: ['a] \Rightarrow ('a\ set) ((\mathbf{A}_{floor}\ (-)) [61] 60)$

where $\mathbf{A}_{floor}\ x \equiv UNI\ (floor\ x)$

abbreviation (*mult-floor*)

$mult-floor :: ['a] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) ((*^{floor}\ (\bar{\ })) [61] 60)$

where $*^{floor}\ a \equiv MUL\ (floor\ a)$

abbreviation (*imp-floor*)

$imp\text{-}floor :: ['a] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \ ((\rightarrow^{floor} \ _) [61] \ 60)$
where $\rightarrow^{floor} \ a \equiv IMP \ (floor \ a)$

abbreviation (*mult-floor-xy*)

$mult\text{-}floor\text{-}xy :: ['a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \ \ (((-) / *^{floor} \ _) / (-)) [61, 50, 61] \ 60)$
where $x *^{floor} \ y \ z \equiv MUL \ (floor \ y) \ x \ z$

abbreviation (*imp-floor-xy*)

$imp\text{-}floor\text{-}xy :: ['a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \ \ (((-) / \rightarrow^{floor} \ _) / (-)) [61, 50, 61] \ 60)$
where $x \rightarrow^{floor} \ y \ z \equiv IMP \ (floor \ y) \ x \ z$

lemma *floor-prop*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$
shows $floor \ a \in I \wedge a \in \mathbf{A}_{floor \ a}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *floor-one-closed*:

assumes $i \in I$
shows $1^S \in \mathbf{A}_i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *floor-mult-closed*:

assumes $i \in I \ a \in \mathbf{A}_i \ b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
shows $a *^i \ b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *floor-imp-closed*:

assumes $i \in I \ a \in \mathbf{A}_i \ b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
shows $a \rightarrow^i \ b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.4 Ordinal sum multiplication and implication

function *sum-mult* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infix** $*^S$ 60) **where**

$x *^S \ y = x *^{floor} \ x \ y$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\} \ y \in S - \{1^S\} \ floor \ x = floor \ y$
 $| \ x *^S \ y = x$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\} \ y \in S - \{1^S\} \ floor \ x <^I \ floor \ y$
 $| \ x *^S \ y = y$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\} \ y \in S - \{1^S\} \ floor \ y <^I \ floor \ x$
 $| \ x *^S \ y = y$ **if** $x = 1^S \ y \in S - \{1^S\}$
 $| \ x *^S \ y = x$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\} \ y = 1^S$
 $| \ x *^S \ y = 1^S$ **if** $x = 1^S \ y = 1^S$
 $| \ x *^S \ y = \text{undefined}$ **if** $x \notin S \vee y \notin S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

termination $\langle proof \rangle$

function *sum-imp* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infix** \rightarrow^S 60) **where**

$x \rightarrow^S \ y = x \rightarrow^{floor} \ x \ y$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\} \ y \in S - \{1^S\} \ floor \ x = floor \ y$
 $| \ x \rightarrow^S \ y = 1^S$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\} \ y \in S - \{1^S\} \ floor \ x <^I \ floor \ y$

$| x \rightarrow^S y = y$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\}$ $y \in S - \{1^S\}$ $\text{floor } y <^I \text{floor } x$
 $| x \rightarrow^S y = y$ **if** $x = 1^S$ $y \in S - \{1^S\}$
 $| x \rightarrow^S y = 1^S$ **if** $x \in S - \{1^S\}$ $y = 1^S$
 $| x \rightarrow^S y = 1^S$ **if** $x = 1^S$ $y = 1^S$
 $| x \rightarrow^S y = \text{undefined}$ **if** $x \notin S \vee y \notin S$
 ⟨proof⟩
termination ⟨proof⟩

3.4.1 Some multiplication properties

lemma *sum-mult-not-one-aux*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{floor } a}$
shows $a *^S b \in (\mathbf{A}_{\text{floor } a}) - \{1^S\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *sum-mult-not-one*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{floor } a}$
shows $a *^S b \in S - \{1^S\} \wedge \text{floor } (a *^S b) = \text{floor } a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum-mult-A*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{floor } a}$
shows $a *^S b = a *^{\text{floor } a} b \wedge b *^S a = b *^{\text{floor } a} a$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.4.2 Some implication properties

lemma *sum-imp-floor*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in S - \{1^S\}$ $\text{floor } a = \text{floor } b$ $a \rightarrow^S b \in S - \{1^S\}$
shows $\text{floor } (a \rightarrow^S b) = \text{floor } a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum-imp-A*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{floor } a}$
shows $a \rightarrow^S b = a \rightarrow^{\text{floor } a} b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum-imp-B*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_{\text{floor } a}$
shows $b \rightarrow^S a = b \rightarrow^{\text{floor } a} a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sum-imp-floor-antisymm*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in S - \{1^S\}$ $\text{floor } a = \text{floor } b$
 $a \rightarrow^S b = 1^S$ $b \rightarrow^S a = 1^S$
shows $a = b$
 ⟨proof⟩

corollary *sum-imp-C*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in S - \{1^S\}$ $a \neq b$ $\text{floor } a = \text{floor } b$ $a \rightarrow^S b = 1^S$

shows $b \rightarrow^S a \neq 1^S$
<proof>

lemma *sum-imp-D*:
assumes $a \in S$
shows $1^S \rightarrow^S a = a$
<proof>

lemma *sum-imp-E*:
assumes $a \in S$
shows $a \rightarrow^S 1^S = 1^S$
<proof>

3.5 The ordinal sum of a tower of hoops is a hoop

3.5.1 S is not empty

lemma *sum-not-empty*: $S \neq \emptyset$
<proof>

3.5.2 $(*^S)$ and (\rightarrow^S) are well defined

lemma *sum-mult-closed-one*:
assumes $a \in S$ $b \in S$ $a = 1^S \vee b = 1^S$
shows $a *^S b \in S$
<proof>

lemma *sum-mult-closed-not-one*:
assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in S - \{1^S\}$
shows $a *^S b \in S - \{1^S\}$
<proof>

lemma *sum-mult-closed*:
assumes $a \in S$ $b \in S$
shows $a *^S b \in S$
<proof>

lemma *sum-imp-closed-one*:
assumes $a \in S$ $b \in S$ $a = 1^S \vee b = 1^S$
shows $a \rightarrow^S b \in S$
<proof>

lemma *sum-imp-closed-not-one*:
assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\}$ $b \in S - \{1^S\}$
shows $a \rightarrow^S b \in S$
<proof>

lemma *sum-imp-closed*:
assumes $a \in S$ $b \in S$
shows $a \rightarrow^S b \in S$

<proof>

3.5.3 Neutrality of 1^S

lemma *sum-mult-neutr*:

assumes $a \in S$

shows $a *^S 1^S = a \wedge 1^S *^S a = a$

<proof>

3.5.4 Commutativity of $(*^S)$

Now we prove $x *^S y = y *^S x$ by showing that it holds when one of the variables is equal to 1^S . Then we consider when none of them is 1^S .

lemma *sum-mult-comm-one*:

assumes $a \in S \ b \in S \ a = 1^S \vee b = 1^S$

shows $a *^S b = b *^S a$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mult-comm-not-one*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\} \ b \in S - \{1^S\}$

shows $a *^S b = b *^S a$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mult-comm*:

assumes $a \in S \ b \in S$

shows $a *^S b = b *^S a$

<proof>

3.5.5 Associativity of $(*^S)$

Next we prove $x *^S (y *^S z) = (x *^S y) *^S z$.

lemma *sum-mult-assoc-one*:

assumes $a \in S \ b \in S \ c \in S \ a = 1^S \vee b = 1^S \vee c = 1^S$

shows $a *^S (b *^S c) = (a *^S b) *^S c$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mult-assoc-not-one*:

assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\} \ b \in S - \{1^S\} \ c \in S - \{1^S\}$

shows $a *^S (b *^S c) = (a *^S b) *^S c$

<proof>

lemma *sum-mult-assoc*:

assumes $a \in S \ b \in S \ c \in S$

shows $a *^S (b *^S c) = (a *^S b) *^S c$

<proof>

3.5.6 Reflexivity of (\rightarrow^S)

lemma *sum-imp-reflex*:

assumes $a \in S$
shows $a \rightarrow^S a = 1^S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.5.7 Divisibility

We prove $x *^S (x \rightarrow^S y) = y *^S (y \rightarrow^S x)$ using the same methods as before.

lemma *sum-divisibility-one*:
assumes $a \in S \ b \in S \ a = 1^S \vee b = 1^S$
shows $a *^S (a \rightarrow^S b) = b *^S (b \rightarrow^S a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-divisibility-aux*:
assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\} \ b \in \mathbf{A}_{floor\ a}$
shows $a *^S (a \rightarrow^S b) = a *^{floor\ a} (a \rightarrow^{floor\ a} b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-divisibility-not-one*:
assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\} \ b \in S - \{1^S\}$
shows $a *^S (a \rightarrow^S b) = b *^S (b \rightarrow^S a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-divisibility*:
assumes $a \in S \ b \in S$
shows $a *^S (a \rightarrow^S b) = b *^S (b \rightarrow^S a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.5.8 Residuation

Finally we prove $(x *^S y) \rightarrow^S z = x \rightarrow^S (y \rightarrow^S z)$.

lemma *sum-residuation-one*:
assumes $a \in S \ b \in S \ c \in S \ a = 1^S \vee b = 1^S \vee c = 1^S$
shows $(a *^S b) \rightarrow^S c = a \rightarrow^S (b \rightarrow^S c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-residuation-not-one*:
assumes $a \in S - \{1^S\} \ b \in S - \{1^S\} \ c \in S - \{1^S\}$
shows $(a *^S b) \rightarrow^S c = a \rightarrow^S (b \rightarrow^S c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *sum-residuation*:
assumes $a \in S \ b \in S \ c \in S$
shows $(a *^S b) \rightarrow^S c = a \rightarrow^S (b \rightarrow^S c)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

3.5.9 Main result

sublocale *hoop* $S \ (*^S) \ (\rightarrow^S) \ 1^S$

<proof>

end

end

4 Totally ordered hoops

theory *Totally-Ordered-Hoops*

imports *Ordinal-Sums*

begin

4.1 Definitions

locale *totally-ordered-hoop* = *hoop* +

assumes *total-order*: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \leq^A y \vee y \leq^A x$

begin

function *fixed-points* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (*F*) **where**

$F\ a = \{b \in A - \{1^A\}. a \rightarrow^A b = b\}$ **if** $a \in A - \{1^A\}$

| $F\ a = \{1^A\}$ **if** $a = 1^A$

| $F\ a = \text{undefined}$ **if** $a \notin A$

<proof>

termination *<proof>*

definition *rel-F* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \sim^F 60)

where $x \sim^F y \equiv \forall z \in A. (x \rightarrow^A z = z) \longleftrightarrow (y \rightarrow^A z = z)$

definition *rel-F-canonical-map* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a set (π)

where $\pi\ x = \{b \in A. x \sim^F b\}$

end

4.2 Properties of *F*

context *totally-ordered-hoop*

begin

lemma *F-equiv*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A$

shows $b \in F\ a \longleftrightarrow (b \in A \wedge b \neq 1^A \wedge a \rightarrow^A b = b)$

<proof>

lemma *F-subset*:

assumes $a \in A$

shows $F\ a \subseteq A$

<proof>

lemma *F-of-one*:

assumes $a \in A$
shows $F a = \{1^A\} \longleftrightarrow a = 1^A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *F-of-mult*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A - \{1^A\}$
shows $F (a *^A b) = \{c \in A - \{1^A\}. (a *^A b) \rightarrow^A c = c\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *F-of-imp*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $a \rightarrow^A b \neq 1^A$
shows $F (a \rightarrow^A b) = \{c \in A - \{1^A\}. (a \rightarrow^A b) \rightarrow^A c = c\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *F-bound*:

assumes $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $a \in F b$
shows $a \leq^A b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following results can be found in Lemma 3.3 in [5].

lemma *LEMMA-3-3-1*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A$ $c \in A$ $b \in F a$ $c \leq^A b$
shows $c \in F a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LEMMA-3-3-2*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A - \{1^A\}$ $F a = F b$
shows $F a = F (a *^A b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LEMMA-3-3-3*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A - \{1^A\}$ $a \leq^A b$
shows $F a \subseteq F b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LEMMA-3-3-4*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A - \{1^A\}$ $a <^A b$ $F a \neq F b$
shows $a \in F b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LEMMA-3-3-5*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A - \{1^A\}$ $F a \neq F b$
shows $a *^A b = a \wedge^A b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *LEMMA-3-3-6*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$ $b \in A - \{1^A\}$ $a <^A b$ $F a = F b$
shows $F (b \rightarrow^A a) = F b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.3 Properties of $(\sim F)$

4.3.1 $(\sim F)$ is an equivalence relation

lemma *rel-F-reflex:*

assumes $a \in A$

shows $a \sim F a$

<proof>

lemma *rel-F-symm:*

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A \ a \sim F b$

shows $b \sim F a$

<proof>

lemma *rel-F-trans:*

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A \ c \in A \ a \sim F b \ b \sim F c$

shows $a \sim F c$

<proof>

4.3.2 Equivalent definition

lemma *rel-F-equiv:*

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$

shows $(a \sim F b) = (F a = F b)$

<proof>

4.3.3 Properties of equivalence classes given by $(\sim F)$

lemma *class-one:* $\pi 1^A = \{1^A\}$

<proof>

lemma *classes-subsets:*

assumes $a \in A$

shows $\pi a \subseteq A$

<proof>

lemma *classes-not-empty:*

assumes $a \in A$

shows $a \in \pi a$

<proof>

corollary *class-not-one:*

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$

shows $\pi a \neq \{1^A\}$

<proof>

lemma *classes-disjoint:*

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A \ \pi a \cap \pi b \neq \emptyset$

shows $\pi a = \pi b$

<proof>

lemma *classes-cover*: $A = \{x. \exists y \in A. x \in \pi y\}$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *classes-convex*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A \ c \in A \ d \in A \ b \in \pi a \ c \in \pi a \ b \leq^A d \ d \leq^A c$
shows $d \in \pi a$

\langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *related-iff-same-class*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $a \sim_F b \longleftrightarrow \pi a = \pi b$

\langle *proof* \rangle

corollary *same-F-iff-same-class*:

assumes $a \in A \ b \in A$
shows $F a = F b \longleftrightarrow \pi a = \pi b$

\langle *proof* \rangle

end

4.4 Irreducible hoops: definition and equivalences

A totally ordered hoop is *irreducible* if it cannot be written as the ordinal sum of two nontrivial totally ordered hoops.

locale *totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop* = *totally-ordered-hoop* +

assumes *irreducible*: $\nexists B C.$

$(A = B \cup C) \wedge$
 $(\{1^A\} = B \cap C) \wedge$
 $(\exists y \in B. y \neq 1^A) \wedge$
 $(\exists y \in C. y \neq 1^A) \wedge$
 $(\text{hoop } B \ (*^A) \ (\rightarrow^A) \ 1^A) \wedge$
 $(\text{hoop } C \ (*^A) \ (\rightarrow^A) \ 1^A) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in B - \{1^A\}. \forall y \in C. x *^A y = x) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in B - \{1^A\}. \forall y \in C. x \rightarrow^A y = 1^A) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in C. \forall y \in B. x \rightarrow^A y = y)$

lemma *irr-test*:

assumes *totally-ordered-hoop* $A \ PA \ RA \ a$
 \neg *totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop* $A \ PA \ RA \ a$

shows $\exists B C.$

$(A = B \cup C) \wedge$
 $(\{a\} = B \cap C) \wedge$
 $(\exists y \in B. y \neq a) \wedge$
 $(\exists y \in C. y \neq a) \wedge$
 $(\text{hoop } B \ PA \ RA \ a) \wedge$
 $(\text{hoop } C \ PA \ RA \ a) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in B - \{a\}. \forall y \in C. PA \ x \ y = x) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in B - \{a\}. \forall y \in C. RA \ x \ y = a) \wedge$

$(\forall x \in C. \forall y \in B. RA\ x\ y = y)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *totally-ordered-one-fixed-hoop* = *totally-ordered-hoop* +
assumes *one-fixed*: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies y \rightarrow^A x = x \implies x = 1^A \vee y = 1^A$

locale *totally-ordered-wajsberg-hoop* = *totally-ordered-hoop* + *wajsberg-hoop*

context *totally-ordered-hoop*

begin

The following result can be found in [1] (see Lemma 3.5).

lemma *not-one-fixed-implies-not-irreducible*:

assumes \neg *totally-ordered-one-fixed-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

shows \neg *totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Next result can be found in [2] (see Proposition 2.2).

lemma *one-fixed-implies-wajsberg*:

assumes *totally-ordered-one-fixed-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

shows *totally-ordered-wajsberg-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

The proof of the following result can be found in [1] (see Theorem 3.6).

lemma *not-irreducible-implies-not-wajsberg*:

assumes \neg *totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

shows \neg *totally-ordered-wajsberg-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Summary of all results in this subsection:

theorem *one-fixed-equivalent-to-wajsberg*:

shows *totally-ordered-one-fixed-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A \equiv$

totally-ordered-wajsberg-hoop $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *wajsberg-equivalent-to-irreducible*:

shows *totally-ordered-wajsberg-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A \equiv$

totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

theorem *irreducible-equivalent-to-one-fixed*:

shows *totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop* $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A \equiv$

totally-ordered-one-fixed-hoop $A\ (*^A)\ (\rightarrow^A)\ 1^A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

4.5 Decomposition

locale *tower-of-irr-hoops* = *tower-of-hoops* +
assumes *family-of-irr-hoops*: $i \in I \implies$
totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop $(\mathbf{A}_i) (*^i) (\rightarrow^i) 1^S$

locale *tower-of-nontrivial-irr-hoops* = *tower-of-irr-hoops* +
assumes *nontrivial*: $i \in I \implies \exists x \in \mathbf{A}_i. x \neq 1^S$

context *totally-ordered-hoop*
begin

4.5.1 Definition of index set I

definition *index-set* :: ('a set) set (I)
where $I = \{y. (\exists x \in A. \pi x = y)\}$

lemma *indexes-subsets*:
assumes $i \in I$
shows $i \subseteq A$
<proof>

lemma *indexes-not-empty*:
assumes $i \in I$
shows $i \neq \emptyset$
<proof>

lemma *indexes-disjoint*:
assumes $i \in I j \in I i \neq j$
shows $i \cap j = \emptyset$
<proof>

lemma *indexes-cover*: $A = \{x. \exists i \in I. x \in i\}$
<proof>

lemma *indexes-class-of-elements*:
assumes $i \in I a \in A a \in i$
shows $\pi a = i$
<proof>

lemma *indexes-convex*:
assumes $i \in I a \in i b \in i d \in A a \leq^A d d \leq^A b$
shows $d \in i$
<proof>

4.5.2 Definition of total partial order over I

Since each equivalence class is convex, (\leq^A) induces a total order on I .

function *index-order* :: ('a set) \Rightarrow ('a set) \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \leq^I 60) **where**

$x \leq^I y = ((x = y) \vee (\forall v \in x. \forall w \in y. v \leq^A w))$ **if** $x \in I \ y \in I$
 $| x \leq^I y = \text{undefined}$ **if** $x \notin I \vee y \notin I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

termination $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *index-order-strict* (**infix** $<^I$ 60)

where $x <^I y = (x \leq^I y \wedge x \neq y)$

lemma *index-ord-reflex*:

assumes $i \in I$

shows $i \leq^I i$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-ord-antisymm*:

assumes $i \in I \ j \in I \ i \leq^I j \ j \leq^I i$

shows $i = j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-ord-trans*:

assumes $i \in I \ j \in I \ k \in I \ i \leq^I j \ j \leq^I k$

shows $i \leq^I k$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *index-order-total* :

assumes $i \in I \ j \in I \ \neg(j \leq^I i)$

shows $i \leq^I j$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *total-poset-on* $I (\leq^I) (<^I)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

4.5.3 Definition of universes

definition *universes* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$ (UNI_A)

where $UNI_A \ x = x \cup \{1^A\}$

abbreviation (*uniA-i*)

$uniA-i :: ['a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set})$ ($(\mathbf{A}(-))$ [61] 60)

where $\mathbf{A}_i \equiv UNI_A \ i$

abbreviation (*uniA-pi*)

$uniA-pi :: ['a] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set})$ ($(\mathbf{A}_\pi (-))$ [61] 60)

where $\mathbf{A}_{\pi x} \equiv UNI_A \ (\pi \ x)$

abbreviation (*uniA-pi-one*)

$uniA-pi-one :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set})$ ($(\mathbf{A}_{\pi 1^A})$ 60)

where $\mathbf{A}_{\pi 1^A} \equiv UNI_A \ (\pi \ 1^A)$

lemma *universes-subsets*:

assumes $i \in I$ $a \in \mathbf{A}_i$
shows $a \in A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *universes-not-empty*:

assumes $i \in I$
shows $\mathbf{A}_i \neq \emptyset$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *universes-almost-disjoint*:

assumes $i \in I$ $j \in I$ $i \neq j$
shows $(\mathbf{A}_i) \cap (\mathbf{A}_j) = \{1^A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *universes-cover*: $A = \{x. \exists i \in I. x \in \mathbf{A}_i\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *universes-aux*:

assumes $i \in I$ $a \in i$
shows $\mathbf{A}_i = \pi a \cup \{1^A\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5.4 Universes are subhoops of A

lemma *universes-one-closed*:

assumes $i \in I$
shows $1^A \in \mathbf{A}_i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *universes-mult-closed*:

assumes $i \in I$ $a \in \mathbf{A}_i$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
shows $a *^A b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *universes-imp-closed*:

assumes $i \in I$ $a \in \mathbf{A}_i$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
shows $a \rightarrow^A b \in \mathbf{A}_i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

4.5.5 Universes are irreducible hoops

lemma *universes-one-fixed*:

assumes $i \in I$ $a \in \mathbf{A}_i$ $b \in \mathbf{A}_i$ $a \rightarrow^A b = b$
shows $a = 1^A \vee b = 1^A$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *universes-one-fixed-hoops*:

assumes $i \in I$
shows *totally-ordered-one-fixed-hoop* (\mathbf{A}_i) $(*^A)$ (\rightarrow^A) 1^A
 $\langle proof \rangle$

corollary *universes-irreducible-hoops*:

assumes $i \in I$

shows *totally-ordered-irreducible-hoop* $(\mathbf{A}_i) (*^A) (\rightarrow^A) 1^A$

<proof>

4.5.6 Some useful results

lemma *index-aux*:

assumes $i \in I j \in I i <^I j a \in (\mathbf{A}_i) - \{1^A\} b \in (\mathbf{A}_j) - \{1^A\}$

shows $a <^A b \wedge \neg(a \sim_F b)$

<proof>

lemma *different-indexes-mult*:

assumes $i \in I j \in I i <^I j a \in (\mathbf{A}_i) - \{1^A\} b \in (\mathbf{A}_j) - \{1^A\}$

shows $a *^A b = a$

<proof>

lemma *different-indexes-imp-1*:

assumes $i \in I j \in I i <^I j a \in (\mathbf{A}_i) - \{1^A\} b \in (\mathbf{A}_j) - \{1^A\}$

shows $a \rightarrow^A b = 1^A$

<proof>

lemma *different-indexes-imp-2* :

assumes $i \in I j \in I i <^I j a \in (\mathbf{A}_j) - \{1^A\} b \in (\mathbf{A}_i) - \{1^A\}$

shows $a \rightarrow^A b = b$

<proof>

4.5.7 Definition of multiplications, implications and one

definition *mult-map* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) (MUL_A)$

where $MUL_A x = (*^A)$

definition *imp-map* :: $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) (IMP_A)$

where $IMP_A x = (\rightarrow^A)$

definition *sum-one* :: $'a (1^S)$

where $1^S = 1^A$

abbreviation (*multA-i*)

multA-i :: $['a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) ((*(\cdot)) [50] 60)$

where $*^i \equiv MUL_A i$

abbreviation (*impA-i*)

impA-i :: $['a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) ((\rightarrow(\cdot)) [50] 60)$

where $\rightarrow^i \equiv IMP_A i$

abbreviation (*multA-i-xy*)

multA-i-xy :: $['a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a (((\cdot) / *(\cdot) / (\cdot)) [61, 50, 61] 60)$

where $x *^i y \equiv MUL_A i x y$

abbreviation (*impA-i-xy*)

impA-i-xy :: [*'a*, *'a set*, *'a*] ⇒ *'a* (((-)/ →⁽) / (-)) [61, 50, 61] 60)
where $x \rightarrow^i y \equiv \text{IMP}_A i x y$

abbreviation (*ord-i-xy*)

ord-i-xy :: [*'a*, *'a set*, *'a*] ⇒ *bool* (((-)/ ≤⁽) / (-)) [61, 50, 61] 60)
where $x \leq^i y \equiv \text{hoop.hoop-order } (\text{IMP}_A i) 1^S x y$

4.5.8 Main result

We prove the main result: a totally ordered hoop is equal to an ordinal sum of a tower of irreducible hoops.

sublocale *A-SUM*: *tower-of-irr-hoops* $I (\leq^I) (<^I) \text{UNI}_A \text{MUL}_A \text{IMP}_A 1^S$
(*proof*)

lemma *same-uni* [*simp*]: *A-SUM.sum-univ* = *A*
(*proof*)

lemma *floor-is-class*:

assumes $a \in A - \{1^A\}$
shows *A-SUM.floor* *a* = πa
(*proof*)

lemma *same-mult*:

assumes $a \in A b \in A$
shows $a *^A b = \text{A-SUM.sum-mult } a b$
(*proof*)

lemma *same-imp*:

assumes $a \in A b \in A$
shows $a \rightarrow^A b = \text{A-SUM.sum-imp } a b$
(*proof*)

lemma *ordinal-sum-is-totally-ordered-hoop*:

totally-ordered-hoop *A-SUM.sum-univ* *A-SUM.sum-mult* *A-SUM.sum-imp* 1^S
(*proof*)

theorem *totally-ordered-hoop-is-equal-to-ordinal-sum-of-tower-of-irr-hoops*:

shows *eq-universe*: $A = \text{A-SUM.sum-univ}$
and *eq-mult*: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x *^A y = \text{A-SUM.sum-mult } x y$
and *eq-imp*: $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies x \rightarrow^A y = \text{A-SUM.sum-imp } x y$
and *eq-one*: $1^A = 1^S$
(*proof*)

4.5.9 Remarks on the nontrivial case

In the nontrivial case we have that every totally ordered hoop can be written as the ordinal sum of a tower of nontrivial irreducible hoops. The proof of this fact is almost immediate. By definition, $\mathbb{A}_{\pi 1^A} = \{1^A\}$ is the only trivial hoop in our tower. Moreover, $\mathbb{A}_{\pi a}$ is non-trivial for every $a \in A - \{1^A\}$. Given that $1^A \in \mathbb{A}_i$ for every $i \in I$ we can simply remove $\pi 1^A$ from I and obtain the desired result.

lemma *nontrivial-tower*:

assumes $\exists x \in A. x \neq 1^A$

shows

tower-of-nontrivial-irr-hoops $(I - \{\pi 1^A\}) (\leq^I) (<^I) UNI_A MUL_A IMP_A 1^S$

<proof>

lemma *ordinal-sum-of-nontrivial*:

assumes $\exists x \in A. x \neq 1^A$

shows $A\text{-SUM.sum-univ} = \{x. \exists i \in I - \{\pi 1^A\}. x \in \mathbb{A}_i\}$

<proof>

end

4.5.10 Converse of main result

We show that the converse of the main result also holds, that is, the ordinal sum of a tower of irreducible hoops is a totally ordered hoop.

context *tower-of-irr-hoops*

begin

proposition *ordinal-sum-of-tower-of-irr-hoops-is-totally-ordered-hoop*:

shows *totally-ordered-hoop* $S (*^S) (\rightarrow^S) 1^S$

<proof>

end

end

5 BL-chains

BL-chains generate the variety of BL-algebras, the algebraic counterpart of the Basic Fuzzy Logic (see [6]). As mentioned in the abstract, this formalization is based on the proof for BL-chains found in [5]. We define *BL-chain* and *bounded tower of irreducible hoops* and formalize the main result on that paper (Theorem 3.4).

theory *BL-Chains*

imports *Totally-Ordered-Hoops*

begin

5.1 Definitions

locale *bl-chain* = *totally-ordered-hoop* +
 fixes *zeroA* :: 'a (0^A)
 assumes *zero-closed*: $0^A \in A$
 assumes *zero-first*: $x \in A \implies 0^A \leq^A x$

locale *bounded-tower-of-irr-hoops* = *tower-of-irr-hoops* +
 fixes *zeroI* (0^I)
 fixes *zeroS* (0^S)
 assumes *I-zero-closed* : $0^I \in I$
 and *zero-first*: $i \in I \implies 0^I \leq^I i$
 and *first-zero-closed*: $0^S \in \text{UNI } 0^I$
 and *first-bounded*: $x \in \text{UNI } 0^I \implies \text{IMP } 0^I 0^S x = 1^S$
begin

abbreviation (*uni-zero*)
 uni-zero :: 'b set (\mathbf{A}_{0I})
 where $\mathbf{A}_{0I} \equiv \text{UNI } 0^I$

abbreviation (*imp-zero*)
 imp-zero :: ['b, 'b] \Rightarrow 'b ($((-)/ \rightarrow^{0I} / (-)) [61,61] 60$)
 where $x \rightarrow^{0I} y \equiv \text{IMP } 0^I x y$

end

context *bl-chain*
begin

5.2 First element of I

definition *zeroI* :: 'a set (0^I)
 where $0^I = \pi 0^A$

lemma *I-zero-closed*: $0^I \in I$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *I-has-first-element*:
 assumes $i \in I i \neq 0^I$
 shows $0^I <^I i$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

5.3 Main result for BL-chains

definition *zeroS* :: 'a (0^S)
 where $0^S = 0^A$

abbreviation (*uniA-zero*)

uniA-zero :: 'a set ((\mathbf{A}_{0I}))
where $\mathbf{A}_{0I} \equiv \text{UNI}_A 0^I$

abbreviation (*impA-zero-xy*)
impA-zero-xy :: ['a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a (((-)/ \rightarrow^{0I} / (-)) [61, 61] 60)
where $x \rightarrow^{0I} y \equiv \text{IMP}_A 0^I x y$

lemma *tower-is-bounded*:
shows *bounded-tower-of-irr-hoops* $I (\leq^I) (<^I) \text{UNI}_A \text{MUL}_A \text{IMP}_A 1^S 0^I 0^S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ordinal-sum-is-bl-totally-ordered*:
shows *bl-chain* $A\text{-SUM.sum-univ } A\text{-SUM.sum-mult } A\text{-SUM.sum-imp } 1^S 0^S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *bl-chain-is-equal-to-ordinal-sum-of-bounded-tower-of-irr-hoops*:
shows *eq-universe*: $A = A\text{-SUM.sum-univ}$
and *eq-mult*: $x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow x *^A y = A\text{-SUM.sum-mult } x y$
and *eq-imp*: $x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in A \Longrightarrow x \rightarrow^A y = A\text{-SUM.sum-imp } x y$
and *eq-zero*: $0^A = 0^S$
and *eq-one*: $1^A = 1^S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

5.4 Converse of main result for BL-chains

context *bounded-tower-of-irr-hoops*
begin

We show that the converse of the main result holds if $0^S \neq 1^S$. If $0^S = 1^S$ then the converse may not be true. For example, take a trivial hoop A and an arbitrary not bounded Wajsberg hoop B such that $A \cap B = \{1\}$. The ordinal sum of both hoops is equal to B and therefore not bounded.

proposition *ordinal-sum-of-bounded-tower-of-irr-hoops-is-bl-chain*:
assumes $0^S \neq 1^S$
shows *bl-chain* $S (*^S) (\rightarrow^S) 1^S 0^S$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

References

- [1] P. Agliano and F. Montagna. Varieties of BL-algebras I: general properties. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 181(2):105–129, 2003.
- [2] W. J. Blok and M. A. Ferreirim. On the structure of hoops. *Algebra Universalis*, 43(2):233–257, 2000.
- [3] B. Bosbach. Komplementäre Halbgruppen. Axiomatik und Arithmetik. *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, 64:257–287, 1969.
- [4] J. R. Büchi and T. M. Owens. Complemented monoids and hoops. *unpublished manuscript*, 1975.
- [5] M. Busaniche. Decomposition of BL-chains. *Algebra Universalis*, 52(4):519–525, 2005.
- [6] P. Hájek. *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 1998.