

Interval Arithmetic on 32-bit Words

Rose Bohrer

June 20, 2024

Abstract

This article implements conservative interval arithmetic computations, then uses this interval arithmetic to implement a simple programming language where all terms have 32-bit signed word values, with explicit infinities for terms outside the representable bounds. Our target use case is interpreters for languages that must have a well-understood low-level behavior.

We include a formalization of bounded-length strings which are used for the identifiers of our language. Bounded-length identifiers are useful in some applications, for example the `Differential_Dynamic_Logic` [1] article, where a Euclidean space indexed by identifiers demands that identifiers are finitely many.

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Interval arithmetic definitions | 5 |
| 1.1 | Syntax | 5 |
| 2 | Preliminary lemmas | 8 |
| 2.1 | Case analysis lemmas | 8 |
| 2.2 | Trivial arithmetic lemmas | 10 |
| 3 | Arithmetic operations | 11 |
| 3.1 | Addition upper bound | 11 |
| 3.2 | Addition lower bound | 12 |
| 3.3 | Max function | 12 |
| 3.4 | Multiplication upper bound | 13 |
| 3.5 | Exact multiplication | 14 |
| 3.6 | Multiplication upper bound | 14 |
| 3.7 | Minimum function | 14 |
| 3.8 | Multiplication lower bound | 15 |
| 3.9 | Negation | 17 |
| 3.10 | Comparison | 17 |
| 3.11 | Absolute value | 17 |
| 4 | Finite Strings | 18 |
| 5 | Syntax | 23 |
| 6 | Semantics | 24 |
| 7 | Soundness proofs | 28 |

theory *Interval-Word32*

imports

Complex-Main

Word-Lib. Word-Lib-Sumo

begin

abbreviation *signed-real-of-word* :: $\langle 'a::\text{len word} \Rightarrow \text{real} \rangle$

where $\langle \text{signed-real-of-word} \equiv \text{signed} \rangle$

lemma (**in** *linordered-idom*) *signed-less-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed } w < \text{numeral } n \longleftrightarrow \text{sint } w < \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma (**in** *linordered-idom*) *signed-less-neg-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed } w < - \text{numeral } n \longleftrightarrow \text{sint } w < - \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma (**in** *linordered-idom*) *numeral-less-signed-iff*:

$\langle \text{numeral } n < \text{signed } w \longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } n < \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma (**in** *linordered-idom*) *neg-numeral-less-signed-iff*:

$\langle - \text{numeral } n < \text{signed } w \longleftrightarrow - \text{numeral } n < \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma (**in** *linordered-idom*) *signed-nonnegative-iff*:

$\langle 0 \leq \text{signed } w \longleftrightarrow 0 \leq \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma *signed-real-of-word-plus-numeral-eq-signed-real-of-word-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{numeral } n = \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{numeral } n = \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w \leq \text{numeral } n$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \leq \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-neg-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w \leq - \text{numeral } n$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \leq - \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-numeral-iff*:

$\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w < \text{numeral } n$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w < \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
<proof>

lemma *signed-real-of-word-sum-less-neg-numeral-iff*:
 $\langle \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w < - \text{numeral } n$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w < - \text{numeral } n \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle \text{numeral } n \leq \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } n \leq \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle - \text{numeral } n \leq \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow - \text{numeral } n \leq \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle \text{numeral } n < \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow \text{numeral } n < \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *neg-numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum*:
 $\langle - \text{numeral } n < \text{signed-real-of-word } v + \text{signed-real-of-word } w$
 $\longleftrightarrow - \text{numeral } n < \text{sint } v + \text{sint } w \rangle$ (**is** $\langle ?P \longleftrightarrow ?Q \rangle$)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemmas *real-of-word-simps* [*simp*] = *signed-less-numeral-iff* [**where** $?'a = \text{real}$]
numeral-less-signed-iff [**where** $?'a = \text{real}$]
signed-less-neg-numeral-iff [**where** $?'a = \text{real}$]
neg-numeral-less-signed-iff [**where** $?'a = \text{real}$]
signed-nonnegative-iff [**where** $?'a = \text{real}$]

lemmas *more-real-of-word-simps* =
signed-real-of-word-plus-numeral-eq-signed-real-of-word-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-numeral-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-eq-neg-numeral-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-numeral-iff
signed-real-of-word-sum-less-neg-numeral-iff
numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum
neg-numeral-less-eq-signed-real-of-word-sum
numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum
neg-numeral-less-signed-real-of-word-sum

declare *more-real-of-word-simps* [*simp*]

Interval-Word32.thy implements conservative interval arithmetic operators on 32-bit word values, with explicit infinities for values outside the representable bounds. It is suitable for use in interpreters for languages which must have a well-understood low-level behavior (see Interpreter.thy). This

work was originally part of the paper by Bohrer *et al.* [2].

It is worth noting that this is not the first formalization of interval arithmetic in Isabelle/HOL. This article is presented regardless because it has unique goals in mind which have led to unique design decisions. Our goal is generate code which can be used to perform conservative arithmetic in implementations extracted from a proof.

The Isabelle standard library now features interval arithmetic, for example in `Approximation.thy`. Ours differs in two ways: 1) We use intervals with explicit positive and negative infinities, and with overflow checking. Such checking is often relevant in implementation-level code with unknown inputs. To promote memory-efficient implementations, we moreover use sentinel values for infinities, rather than datatype constructors. This is especially important in real-time settings where the garbage collection required for datatypes can be a concern. 2) Our goal is not to use interval arithmetic to discharge Isabelle goals, but to generate useful proven-correct implementation code, see `Interpreter.thy`. On the other hand, we are not concerned with producing interval-based automation for arithmetic goals in HOL.

In practice, much of the work in this theory comes down to sheer case-analysis. Bounds-checking requires many edge cases in arithmetic functions, which come with many cases in proofs. Where possible, we attempt to offload interesting facts about word representations of numbers into reusable lemmas, but even then main results require many subcases, each with a certain amount of arithmetic grunt work.

1 Interval arithmetic definitions

1.1 Syntax

Words are 32-bit

type-synonym `word` = `32 Word.word`

Sentinel values for infinities. Note that we leave the maximum value (2^{31}) completed unused, so that negation of $(2^{31}) - 1$ is not an edge case

definition `NEG-INF::word`

where `NEG-INF-def[simp]:NEG-INF` = $-(2^{31} - 1)$

definition `NegInf::real`

where `NegInf[simp]:NegInf` = `real-of-int (sint NEG-INF)`

definition `POS-INF::word`

where `POS-INF-def[simp]:POS-INF` = $(2^{31} - 1)$

definition `PosInf::real`

where `PosInf[simp]:PosInf` = `real-of-int (sint POS-INF)`

Subtype of words who represent a finite value.

typedef $bword = \{n::word. sint\ n \geq sint\ NEG-INTF \wedge sint\ n \leq sint\ POS-INTF\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Numeric literals

type-synonym $lit = bword$

setup-lifting $type-definition-bword$

lift-definition $bword-zero::bword$ **is** $0::32\ Word.word$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $bword-one::bword$ **is** $1::32\ Word.word$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $bword-neg-one::bword$ **is** $-1::32\ Word.word$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $word::word \Rightarrow bool$

where $word-def[simp]:word\ w \equiv w \in \{NEG-INTF..POS-INTF\}$

named-theorems $rep-simps$ *Simplifications for representation functions*

Definitions of interval containment and word representation $repe\ w\ r$ iff word w encodes real number r

inductive $repe::word \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\equiv_E\ 10$)

where

$repe_{POS-INTF}:r \geq real-of-int\ (sint\ POS-INTF) \Longrightarrow repe\ POS-INTF\ r$
 $|\ rep_{NEG-INTF}:r \leq real-of-int\ (sint\ NEG-INTF) \Longrightarrow repe\ NEG-INTF\ r$
 $| rep_{INT}:(sint\ w) < real-of-int\ (sint\ POS-INTF) \Longrightarrow (sint\ w) > real-of-int\ (sint\ NEG-INTF)$
 $\Longrightarrow repe\ w\ (sint\ w)$

inductive-simps

$repe_{Pos-simps}[rep-simps]:repe\ POS-INTF\ r$
and $repe_{Neg-simps}[rep-simps]:repe\ NEG-INTF\ r$
and $repe_{Int-simps}[rep-simps]:repe\ w\ (sint\ w)$

$repU\ w\ r$ if w represents an upper bound of r

definition $repU::word \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $\equiv_U\ 10$)

where $repU\ w\ r \equiv \exists\ r'. r' \geq r \wedge repe\ w\ r'$

lemma $repU-leq:repU\ w\ r \Longrightarrow r' \leq r \Longrightarrow repU\ w\ r'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$repL\ w\ r$ if w represents a lower bound of r

definition $\text{repL} :: \text{word} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\equiv_L 10$)
where $\text{repL } w \ r \equiv \exists \ r'. \ r' \leq r \wedge \text{repe } w \ r'$

lemma $\text{repL-geq}:\text{repL } w \ r \Longrightarrow r' \geq r \Longrightarrow \text{repL } w \ r'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

$\text{repP } (l,u) \ r$ iff l and u encode lower and upper bounds of r

definition $\text{repP} :: \text{word} * \text{word} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ (**infix** $\equiv_P 10$)
where $\text{repP } w \ r \equiv \text{let } (w1, w2) = w \ \text{in } \text{repL } w1 \ r \wedge \text{repU } w2 \ r$

lemma int-not-posinf :

assumes $b1:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF})$
assumes $b2:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF}) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $ra \neq \text{POS-INF}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma int-not-neginf :

assumes $b1:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF})$
assumes $b2:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF}) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $ra \neq \text{NEG-INF}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma int-not-undef :

assumes $b1:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF})$
assumes $b2:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF}) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $ra \neq \text{NEG-INF}-1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma sint-range :

assumes $b1:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{POS-INF})$
assumes $b2:\text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } \text{NEG-INF}) < \text{real-of-int } (\text{sint } ra)$
shows $\text{sint } ra \in \{i. \ i > -((2^{\wedge}31)-1) \wedge i < (2^{\wedge}31)-1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma word-size-neg :

fixes $w :: 32 \ \text{Word.word}$
shows $\text{size } (-w) = \text{size } w$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma uint-distinct :

fixes $w1 \ w2$
shows $w1 \neq w2 \Longrightarrow \text{uint } w1 \neq \text{uint } w2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

2 Preliminary lemmas

2.1 Case analysis lemmas

Case analysis principle for pairs of intervals, used in proofs of arithmetic operations

lemma *ivl-zero-case*:

fixes $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2 :: real$

assumes $ivl1:l1 \leq u1$

assumes $ivl2:l2 \leq u2$

shows

$(l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2)$

$\vee (l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge 0 \leq l2)$

$\vee (l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge u2 \leq 0)$

$\vee (0 \leq l1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2)$

$\vee (u1 \leq 0 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2)$

$\vee (u1 \leq 0 \wedge u2 \leq 0)$

$\vee (u1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq l2)$

$\vee (0 \leq l1 \wedge u2 \leq 0)$

$\vee (0 \leq l1 \wedge 0 \leq l2)$

<proof>

lemma *case-ivl-zero*

[*consumes 2, case-names ZeroZero ZeroPos ZeroNeg PosZero NegZero NegNeg NegPos PosNeg PosPos*]:

fixes $l1\ u1\ l2\ u2 :: real$

shows

$l1 \leq u1 \implies$

$l2 \leq u2 \implies$

$((l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2) \implies P) \implies$

$((l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge 0 \leq l2) \implies P) \implies$

$((l1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u1 \wedge u2 \leq 0) \implies P) \implies$

$((0 \leq l1 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2) \implies P) \implies$

$((u1 \leq 0 \wedge l2 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq u2) \implies P) \implies$

$((u1 \leq 0 \wedge u2 \leq 0) \implies P) \implies$

$((u1 \leq 0 \wedge 0 \leq l2) \implies P) \implies$

$((0 \leq l1 \wedge u2 \leq 0) \implies P) \implies$

$((0 \leq l1 \wedge 0 \leq l2) \implies P) \implies P$

<proof>

lemma *case-inf2*[*case-names PosPos PosNeg PosNum NegPos NegNeg NegNum NumPos NumNeg NumNum*]:

shows

$\bigwedge w1\ w2\ P.$

$(w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = POS-INF \implies w2 \neq POS-INF \implies w2 \neq NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = NEG-INF \implies w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$

$\implies (w1 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq$
 $\text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies P\ w1\ w2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *case-pu-inf*[*case-names PosAny AnyPos NegNeg NegNum NumNeg Num-*
Num]:

shows
 $\bigwedge w1\ w2\ P.$
 $(w1 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq$
 $\text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies P\ w1\ w2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *case-pl-inf*[*case-names NegAny AnyNeg PosPos PosNum NumPos Num-*
Num]:

shows
 $\bigwedge w1\ w2\ P.$
 $(w1 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 = \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 = \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 = \text{POS-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies (w1 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w1 \neq \text{NEG-INF} \implies w2 \neq \text{POS-INF} \implies w2 \neq$
 $\text{NEG-INF} \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
 $\implies P\ w1\ w2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *word-trichotomy*[*case-names Less Equal Greater*]:

fixes $w1\ w2 :: \text{word}$
shows
 $(w1 <_s w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2) \implies$
 $(w1 = w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2) \implies$
 $(w2 <_s w1 \implies P\ w1\ w2) \implies P\ w1\ w2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *case-times-inf*

[*case-names*
PosPos NegPos PosNeg NegNeg
PosLo PosHi PosZero NegLo NegHi NegZero
LoPos HiPos ZeroPos LoNeg HiNeg ZeroNeg

AllFinite]:
fixes $w1\ w2\ P$
assumes $pp:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $np:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $pn:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $nn:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $pl:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w2 <_s 0 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $ph:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 \neq POS-INF \wedge 0 <_s w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $pz:(w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = 0 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $nl:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w2 <_s 0 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $nh:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 \neq POS-INF \wedge 0 <_s w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $nz:(w1 = NEG-INF \wedge 0 = w2 \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $lp:(w1 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w1 <_s 0 \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $hp:(w1 \neq POS-INF \wedge 0 <_s w1 \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $zp:(0 = w1 \wedge w2 = POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $ln:(w1 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w1 <_s 0 \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $hn:(w1 \neq POS-INF \wedge 0 <_s w1 \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $zn:(0 = w1 \wedge w2 = NEG-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2)$
and $allFinite:w1 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w1 \neq POS-INF \wedge w2 \neq NEG-INF \wedge w2 \neq POS-INF \implies P\ w1\ w2$
shows $P\ w1\ w2$
<proof>

2.2 Trivial arithmetic lemmas

lemma *max-diff-pos*: $0 \leq 9223372034707292161 + ((-(2 \wedge 31))::real)$ *<proof>*

lemma *max-less*: $2 \wedge 31 < (9223372039002259455::int)$ *<proof>*

lemma *sints64*: $sints\ 64 = \{i. - (2 \wedge 63) \leq i \wedge i < 2 \wedge 63\}$
<proof>

lemma *sints32*: $sints\ 32 = \{i. - (2 \wedge 31) \leq i \wedge i < 2 \wedge 31\}$
<proof>

lemma *upcast-max*: $sint((scast(0x80000001::word))::64\ Word.word) = sint((0x80000001::32\ Word.word))$
<proof>

lemma *upcast-min*: $(0xFFFFFFFF80000001::64\ Word.word) = ((scast(-0xFFFFFFFF::word))::64\ Word.word)$
<proof>

lemma *min-extend-neg*: $sint((0xFFFFFFFF80000001::64\ Word.word) < 0$
<proof>

lemma *min-extend-val'*: $sint((-0xFFFFFFFF::64\ Word.word) = (-0xFFFFFFFF)$
<proof>

lemma *min-extend-val*: $(-0x7FFFFFFF::64 \text{ Word.word}) = 0xFFFFFFFF80000001$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *range2s*: $\bigwedge x::\text{int}. x \leq 2^{31} - 1 \implies x + (-2147483647) < 2147483647$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3 Arithmetic operations

This section defines operations which conservatively compute upper and lower bounds of arithmetic functions given upper and lower bounds on their arguments. Each function comes with a proof that it rounds in the advertised direction.

3.1 Addition upper bound

Upper bound of $w1 + w2$

```
fun pu :: word  $\Rightarrow$  word  $\Rightarrow$  word
where pu w1 w2 =
  (if w1 = POS-INF then POS-INF
   else if w2 = POS-INF then POS-INF
   else if w1 = NEG-INF then
     (if w2 = NEG-INF then NEG-INF
      else
        (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w2)::64 Word.word) + ((scast NEG-INF)::64
        Word.word) in
          if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
          else scast sum))
   else if w2 = NEG-INF then
     (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast NEG-INF)::64
     Word.word) in
       if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
       else scast sum)
   else
     (let sum::64 Word.word = ((scast w1)::64 Word.word) + ((scast w2)::64 Word.word)
     in
       if ((scast POS-INF)::64 Word.word) <=s (sum::64 Word.word) then POS-INF
       else if (sum::64 Word.word) <=s ((scast NEG-INF)::64 Word.word) then NEG-INF
       else scast sum))
```

lemma *scast-down-range*:
fixes *w*::'a::len *Word.word*
assumes *sint* *w* \in *sints* (*len-of* (*TYPE*('b::len)))
shows *sint* *w* = *sint* ((scast *w*)::'b *Word.word*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *pu-lemma*:
fixes *w1* *w2*

```

fixes  $r1\ r2 :: real$ 
assumes  $up1:w1 \equiv_U (r1::real)$ 
assumes  $up2:w2 \equiv_U (r2::real)$ 
shows  $pu\ w1\ w2 \equiv_U (r1 + r2)$ 
<proof>

```

Lower bound of $w1 + w2$

```

fun  $pl :: word \Rightarrow word \Rightarrow word$ 
where  $pl\ w1\ w2 =$ 
  (if  $w1 = NEG-INT$  then  $NEG-INT$ 
   else if  $w2 = NEG-INT$  then  $NEG-INT$ 
   else if  $w1 = POS-INT$  then
     (if  $w2 = POS-INT$  then  $POS-INT$ 
      else
        (let  $sum::64\ Word.word = ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word)$  in
         if  $((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s (sum::64\ Word.word)$  then  $POS-INT$ 
         else  $scast\ sum$ ))
    else if  $w2 = POS-INT$  then
      (let  $sum::64\ Word.word = ((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word)$  in
       if  $((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s (sum::64\ Word.word)$  then  $POS-INT$ 
       else  $scast\ sum$ )
    else
      (let  $sum::64\ Word.word = ((scast\ w1)::64\ Word.word) + ((scast\ w2)::64\ Word.word)$  in
       if  $((scast\ POS-INT)::64\ Word.word) \leq_s (sum::64\ Word.word)$  then  $POS-INT$ 
       else if  $(sum::64\ Word.word) \leq_s ((scast\ NEG-INT)::64\ Word.word)$  then  $NEG-INT$ 
       else  $scast\ sum$ ))

```

3.2 Addition lower bound

Correctness of lower bound of $w1 + w2$

```

lemma  $pl$ -lemma:
assumes  $lo1:w1 \equiv_L (r1::real)$ 
assumes  $lo2:w2 \equiv_L (r2::real)$ 
shows  $pl\ w1\ w2 \equiv_L (r1 + r2)$ 
<proof>

```

3.3 Max function

Maximum of $w1 + w2$ in 2s-complement

```

fun  $wmax :: word \Rightarrow word \Rightarrow word$ 
where  $wmax\ w1\ w2 = (if\ w1 <_s\ w2\ then\ w2\ else\ w1)$ 

```

Correctness of $wmax$

```

lemma  $wmax$ -lemma:
assumes  $eq1:w1 \equiv_E (r1::real)$ 

```

assumes $eq2:w2 \equiv_E (r2::real)$
shows $wmax\ w1\ w2 \equiv_E (max\ r1\ r2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-repU1*:
assumes $w1 \equiv_U x$
assumes $w2 \equiv_U y$
shows $wmax\ w1\ w2 \equiv_U x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *max-repU2*:
assumes $w1 \equiv_U y$
assumes $w2 \equiv_U x$
shows $wmax\ w1\ w2 \equiv_U x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Product of $w1 * w2$ with bounds checking

fun *wtimes* :: $word \Rightarrow word \Rightarrow word$
where *wtimes* $w1\ w2 =$
 $(if\ w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF\ then\ POS-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = POS-INF\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 = NEG-INF\ then\ POS-INF$

 $else\ if\ w1 = POS-INF \wedge w2 <_s 0\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = POS-INF \wedge 0 <_s w2\ then\ POS-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = POS-INF \wedge 0 = w2\ then\ 0$
 $else\ if\ w1 = NEG-INF \wedge w2 <_s 0\ then\ POS-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = NEG-INF \wedge 0 <_s w2\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ w1 = NEG-INF \wedge 0 = w2\ then\ 0$

 $else\ if\ w1 <_s 0 \wedge w2 = POS-INF\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ 0 <_s w1 \wedge w2 = POS-INF\ then\ POS-INF$
 $else\ if\ 0 = w1 \wedge w2 = POS-INF\ then\ 0$
 $else\ if\ w1 <_s 0 \wedge w2 = NEG-INF\ then\ POS-INF$
 $else\ if\ 0 <_s w1 \wedge w2 = NEG-INF\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ 0 = w1 \wedge w2 = NEG-INF\ then\ 0$

 $else$
 $(let\ prod::64\ Word.word = (scast\ w1) * (scast\ w2)\ in$
 $if\ prod <=_s (scast\ NEG-INF)\ then\ NEG-INF$
 $else\ if\ (scast\ POS-INF) <=_s\ prod\ then\ POS-INF$
 $else\ (scast\ prod))$

3.4 Multiplication upper bound

Product of 32-bit numbers fits in 64 bits

lemma *times-upcast-lower*:
fixes $x\ y::int$

```

assumes a1:x ≥ -2147483648
assumes a2:y ≥ -2147483648
assumes a3:x ≤ 2147483648
assumes a4:y ≤ 2147483648
shows - 4611686018427387904 ≤ x * y
⟨proof⟩

```

Product of 32-bit numbers fits in 64 bits

```

lemma times-upcast-upper:
  fixes x y ::int
  assumes a1:x ≥ -2147483648
  assumes a2:y ≥ -2147483648
  assumes a3:x ≤ 2147483648
  assumes a4:y ≤ 2147483648
  shows x * y ≤ 4611686018427387904
⟨proof⟩

```

Correctness of 32x32 bit multiplication

3.5 Exact multiplication

```

lemma wtimes-exact:
assumes eq1:w1 ≡E r1
assumes eq2:w2 ≡E r2
shows wtimes w1 w2 ≡E r1 * r2
⟨proof⟩

```

3.6 Multiplication upper bound

Upper bound of multiplication from upper and lower bounds

```

fun tu :: word ⇒ word ⇒ word ⇒ word ⇒ word
where tu w1l w1u w2l w2u =
  wmax (wmax (wtimes w1l w2l) (wtimes w1u w2l))
    (wmax (wtimes w1l w2u) (wtimes w1u w2u))

```

```

lemma tu-lemma:
  assumes u1:u1 ≡U (r1::real)
  assumes u2:u2 ≡U (r2::real)
  assumes l1:l1 ≡L (r1::real)
  assumes l2:l2 ≡L (r2::real)
  shows tu l1 u1 l2 u2 ≡U (r1 * r2)
⟨proof⟩

```

3.7 Minimum function

Minimum of 2s-complement words

```

fun wmin :: word ⇒ word ⇒ word
where wmin w1 w2 =

```

(if $w1 <_s w2$ then $w1$ else $w2$)

Correctness of `wmin`

lemma *wmin-lemma*:

assumes $eq1:w1 \equiv_E (r1::real)$

assumes $eq2:w2 \equiv_E (r2::real)$

shows $wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_E (\min\ r1\ r2)$

<proof>

lemma *min-repU1*:

assumes $w1 \equiv_L x$

assumes $w2 \equiv_L y$

shows $wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_L x$

<proof>

lemma *min-repU2*:

assumes $w1 \equiv_L y$

assumes $w2 \equiv_L x$

shows $wmin\ w1\ w2 \equiv_L x$

<proof>

3.8 Multiplication lower bound

Multiplication lower bound

fun *tl* :: *word* \Rightarrow *word* \Rightarrow *word* \Rightarrow *word* \Rightarrow *word*

where *tl* $w1\ w1u\ w2l\ w2u =$

$wmin\ (wmin\ (wtimes\ w1l\ w2l)\ (wtimes\ w1u\ w2l))$

$(wmin\ (wtimes\ w1l\ w2u)\ (wtimes\ w1u\ w2u))$

Correctness of multiplication lower bound

lemma *tl-lemma*:

assumes $u1:u_1 \equiv_U (r1::real)$

assumes $u2:u_2 \equiv_U (r2::real)$

assumes $l1:l_1 \equiv_L (r1::real)$

assumes $l2:l_2 \equiv_L (r2::real)$

shows $tl\ l_1\ u_1\ l_2\ u_2 \equiv_L (r1 * r2)$

<proof>

Most significant bit only changes under successor when all other bits are 1

lemma *msb-succ*:

fixes $w :: 32\ Word.word$

assumes $neq1:uint\ w \neq 0xFFFFFFFF$

assumes $neq2:uint\ w \neq 0x7FFFFFFF$

shows $msb\ (w + 1) = msb\ w$

<proof>

Negation commutes with `msb` except at edge cases

lemma *msb-non-min*:

```

fixes  $w :: 32 \text{ Word.word}$ 
assumes  $neg1: \text{uint } w \neq 0$ 
assumes  $neg2: \text{uint } w \neq ((2^{\wedge}(\text{len-of } (TYPE(31))))))$ 
shows  $\text{msb } (\text{uminus } w) = \text{HOL.Not}(\text{msb}(w))$ 
<proof>

```

Only 0x80000000 preserves msb=1 under negation

```

lemma msb-min-neg:
fixes  $w::\text{word}$ 
assumes  $msb1:\text{msb } (- w)$ 
assumes  $msb2:\text{msb } w$ 
shows  $\text{uint } w = ((2^{\wedge}(\text{len-of } (TYPE(31))))))$ 
<proof>

```

Only 0x00000000 preserves msb=0 under negation

```

lemma msb-zero:
fixes  $w::\text{word}$ 
assumes  $msb1:\neg \text{msb } (- w)$ 
assumes  $msb2:\neg \text{msb } w$ 
shows  $\text{uint } w = 0$ 
<proof>

```

Finite numbers alternate msb under negation

```

lemma msb-pos:
fixes  $w::\text{word}$ 
assumes  $msb1:\text{msb } (- w)$ 
assumes  $msb2:\neg \text{msb } w$ 
shows  $\text{uint } w \in \{1 .. (2^{\wedge}((\text{len-of } TYPE(32)) - 1)) - 1\}$ 
<proof>

```

```

lemma msb-neg:
fixes  $w::\text{word}$ 
assumes  $msb1:\neg \text{msb } (- w)$ 
assumes  $msb2:\text{msb } w$ 
shows  $\text{uint } w \in \{2^{\wedge}((\text{len-of } TYPE(32)) - 1) + 1 .. 2^{\wedge}((\text{len-of } TYPE(32))) - 1\}$ 
<proof>

```

2s-complement commutes with negation except edge cases

```

lemma sint-neg-hom:
fixes  $w :: 32 \text{ Word.word}$ 
shows  $\text{uint } w \neq ((2^{\wedge}(\text{len-of } (TYPE(31)))))) \implies (\text{sint}(-w) = -(\text{sint } w))$ 
<proof>

```

2s-complement encoding is injective

```

lemma sint-dist:
fixes  $x y ::\text{word}$ 
assumes  $x \neq y$ 
shows  $\text{sint } x \neq \text{sint } y$ 
<proof>

```


3.9 Negation

fun *wneg* :: *word* \Rightarrow *word*
where *wneg* *w* =
 (*if* *w* = *NEG-INF* *then POS-INF* *else if* *w* = *POS-INF* *then NEG-INF* *else* $-w$)

word negation is correct

lemma *wneg-lemma*:
 assumes *eq:w* \equiv_E (*r::real*)
 shows *wneg w* \equiv_E $-r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.10 Comparison

fun *wgreater* :: *word* \Rightarrow *word* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *wgreater w1 w2* = (*sint w1* > *sint w2*)

lemma *neg-less-contr*: $\bigwedge x. \text{Suc } x < - (\text{Suc } x) \Longrightarrow \text{False}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Comparison < is correct

lemma *wgreater-lemma*: $w1 \equiv_L (r1::real) \Longrightarrow w2 \equiv_U r2 \Longrightarrow wgreater\ w1\ w2 \Longrightarrow r1 > r2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Comparison \geq of words

fun *wgeq* :: *word* \Rightarrow *word* \Rightarrow *bool*
where *wgeq w1 w2* =
 ($\neg ((w2 = \text{NEG-INF} \wedge w1 = \text{NEG-INF})$
 $\vee (w2 = \text{POS-INF} \wedge w1 = \text{POS-INF}))$) \wedge
 (*sint w2* \leq *sint w1*)

Comparison \geq of words is correct

lemma *wgeq-lemma*: $w1 \equiv_L r1 \Longrightarrow w2 \equiv_U (r2::real) \Longrightarrow wgeq\ w1\ w2 \Longrightarrow r1 \geq r2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

3.11 Absolute value

Absolute value of word

fun *wabs* :: *word* \Rightarrow *word*
 where *wabs l1* = (*wmax l1 (wneg l1)*)

Correctness of *wmax*

lemma *wabs-lemma*:
 assumes *eq:w* \equiv_E (*r::real*)
 shows *wabs w* \equiv_E (*abs r*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

declare *more-real-of-word-simps* [*simp del*]

end

4 Finite Strings

Finite-String.thy implements a type of strings whose lengths are bounded by a constant defined at "proof-time", by taking a sub-type of the built-in string type. A finite length bound is important for applications in real analysis, specifically the Differential-Dynamic-Logic (dL) entry, because finite-string identifiers are used as the index of a real vector, only forming a Euclidean space if identifiers are finite.

We include finite strings in this AFP entry both to promote using it as the basis of future versions of the dL entry and simply in case the typeclass instances herein are useful. One could imagine using this type in file formats with fixed-length fields.

theory *Finite-String*

imports

Main

HOL-Library.Code-Target-Int

begin

This theory uses induction on pairs of lists often: give names to the cases

lemmas *list-induct2'*[*case-names BothNil LeftCons RightCons BothCons*] = *List.list-induct2'*

Set a hard-coded global maximum string length

definition *max-str:MAX-STR* = 20

Finite strings are strings whose size is within the maximum

typedef *fin-string* = {*s::string. size s ≤ MAX-STR*}

morphisms *Rep-fin-string Abs-fin-string*

<proof>

Lift definition of string length

setup-lifting *Finite-String.fin-string.type-definition-fin-string*

lift-definition *ilength::fin-string ⇒ nat is length <proof>*

Product of types never decreases cardinality

lemma *card-prod-finite:*

fixes *C:: char set and S::string set*

assumes *C:card C ≥ 1 and S:card S ≥ 0*

shows *card C * card S ≥ card S*

<proof>

```
fun cons :: ('a * 'a list) ⇒ 'a list
  where cons (x,y) = x # y
```

Finite strings are finite

```
instantiation fin-string :: finite begin
instance ⟨proof⟩
end
```

Characters are linearly ordered by their code value

```
instantiation char :: linorder begin
definition less-eq-char where
  less-eq-char[code]:less-eq-char x y ≡ int-of-char x ≤ int-of-char y
definition less-char where
  less-char[code]:less-char x y ≡ int-of-char x < int-of-char y
instance
  ⟨proof⟩
end
```

Finite strings are linearly ordered, lexicographically

```
instantiation fin-string :: linorder begin
fun lleq-charlist :: char list ⇒ char list ⇒ bool
  where
    lleq-charlist Nil Nil = True
  | lleq-charlist Nil - = True
  | lleq-charlist - Nil = False
  | lleq-charlist (x # xs)(y # ys) =
    (if x = y then lleq-charlist xs ys else x < y)
```

```
fun less-charlist :: char list ⇒ char list ⇒ bool
  where
    less-charlist Nil Nil = False
  | less-charlist Nil - = True
  | less-charlist - Nil = False
  | less-charlist (x # xs)(y # ys) =
    (if x = y then less-charlist xs ys else x < y)
```

```
lift-definition less-eq-fin-string::fin-string ⇒ fin-string ⇒ bool is lleq-charlist ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lift-definition less-fin-string::fin-string ⇒ fin-string ⇒ bool is less-charlist ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lleq-head:
  fixes L1 L2 x
  assumes a:
    (∧z. lleq-charlist L2 z ⇒ lleq-charlist L1 z)
  lleq-charlist L1 L2
  lleq-charlist (x # L2) w
  shows lleq-charlist (x # L1) w
  ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma lleq-less:
```

```

fixes x y
shows (less-charlist x y) = (llec-charlist x y ∧ ¬ llec-charlist y x)
⟨proof⟩

lemma llec-refl:
fixes x
shows llec-charlist x x
⟨proof⟩

lemma llec-trans:
fixes x y z
shows llec-charlist x y ⇒ llec-charlist y z ⇒ llec-charlist x z
⟨proof⟩

lemma llec-antisym:
fixes x y
shows llec-charlist x y ⇒ llec-charlist y x ⇒ x = y
⟨proof⟩

lemma llec-dichotomy:
fixes x y
shows llec-charlist x y ∨ llec-charlist y x
⟨proof⟩

instance
⟨proof⟩
end

fun string-expose::string ⇒ (unit + (char * string))
where string-expose Nil = Inl ()
| string-expose (c#cs) = Inr(c,cs)

fun string-cons::char ⇒ string ⇒ string
where string-cons c s = (if length s ≥ MAX-STR then s else c # s)

lift-definition fin-string-empty::fin-string is "" ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition fin-string-cons::char ⇒ fin-string ⇒ fin-string is string-cons ⟨proof⟩
lift-definition fin-string-expose::fin-string ⇒ (unit + (char*fin-string)) is string-expose
⟨proof⟩

```

Helper functions for enum typeclass instance

```

fun fin-string-upto :: nat ⇒ fin-string list
where
  fin-string-upto 0 = [fin-string-empty]
| fin-string-upto (Suc k) =
  (let r = fin-string-upto k in
   let ab = (enum-class.enum::char list) in
   fin-string-empty # concat (map (λ c. map (λs. fin-string-cons c s) r) ab))

```

lemma *mem-appL*: $List.member\ L1\ x \implies List.member\ (L1\ @\ L2)\ x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mem-appR*: $List.member\ L2\ x \implies List.member\ (L1\ @\ L2)\ x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mem-app-or*: $List.member\ (L1\ @\ L2)\ x = List.member\ L1\ x \vee List.member\ L2\ x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *fin-string-nil*:
fixes n
shows $List.member\ (fin-string-upto\ n)\ fin-string-empty$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

List of every string. Not practical for code generation but used to show strings are an enum

definition *vals-def*[code]: $vals \equiv fin-string-upto\ MAX-STR$

definition *fin-string-enum* :: $fin-string\ list$
where $fin-string-enum = vals$
definition *fin-string-enum-all* :: $(fin-string \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$
where $fin-string-enum-all = (\lambda f. list-all\ f\ vals)$
definition *fin-string-enum-ex* :: $(fin-string \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow bool$
where $fin-string-enum-ex = (\lambda f. list-ex\ f\ vals)$

Induct on the length of a bounded list, with access to index of element

lemma *length-induct*:
fixes P
assumes $len: length\ L \leq MAX-STR$
assumes $BC: P\ []\ 0$
assumes $IS: (\bigwedge k\ x\ xs. P\ xs\ k \implies P\ ((x\ \#)\ xs))\ (Suc\ k)$
shows $P\ L\ (length\ L)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

Induct on length of fin-string

lemma *ilength-induct*:
fixes P
assumes $BC: P\ fin-string-empty\ 0$
assumes $IS: (\bigwedge k\ x\ xs. P\ xs\ k \implies P\ (Abs-fin-string\ (x\ \#)\ Rep-fin-string\ xs))\ (Suc\ k)$
shows $P\ L\ (ilength\ L)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *enum-chars*: $set\ (enum-class.enum::char\ list) = UNIV$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *member-concat*: $List.member (concat LL) x = (\exists L. List.member LL L \wedge List.member L x)$

<proof>

fin-string-upto k enumerates all strings up to length $min(k, MAX_STR)$

lemma *fin-string-length*:

fixes $L::string$

assumes $len:length L \leq k$

assumes $Len:length L \leq MAX_STR$

shows $List.member (fin-string-upto k) (Abs-fin-string L)$

<proof>

lemma *fin-string-upto-length*:

shows $List.member (fin-string-upto n) L \implies ilength L \leq n$

<proof>

fin-string-upto produces no duplicate identifiers

lemma *distinct-upto*:

shows $i \leq MAX_STR \implies distinct (fin-string-upto i)$

<proof>

Finite strings are an enumeration type

instantiation *fin-string* :: *enum* **begin**

definition *enum-fin-string*

where $enum-fin-string-def[code]:enum-fin-string \equiv fin-string-enum$

definition *enum-all-fin-string*

where $enum-all-fin-string[code]:enum-all-fin-string \equiv fin-string-enum-all$

definition *enum-ex-fin-string*

where $enum-ex-fin-string[code]:enum-ex-fin-string \equiv fin-string-enum-ex$

lemma *enum-ALL*: $(UNIV::fin-string set) = set enum-class.enum$

<proof>

lemma *vals-ALL*: $set (vals::fin-string list) = UNIV$

<proof>

lemma *setA*:

assumes $set:\bigwedge y. y \in set L \implies P y$

shows $list-all P L$

<proof>

lemma *setE*:

assumes $set: y \in set L$

assumes $P:P y$

shows $list-ex P L$

<proof>

instance

<proof>

end

instantiation *fin-string* :: *equal* **begin**

definition *equal-fin-string* :: *fin-string* \Rightarrow *fin-string* \Rightarrow *bool*

where [*code*]:*equal-fin-string* *X Y* = ($X \leq Y \wedge Y \leq X$)

instance

<proof>

end

end

Interpreter.thy defines a simple programming language over interval-valued variables and executable semantics (interpreter) for that language. We then prove that the interpretation of interval terms is a sound over-approximation of a real-valued semantics of the same language.

Our language is a version of first order dynamic logic-style regular programs. We use a finite identifier space for compatibility with Differential-Dynamic-Logic, where identifier finiteness is required to treat program states as Banach spaces to enable differentiation.

theory *Interpreter*

imports

Complex-Main

Finite-String

Interval-Word32

begin

5 Syntax

Our term language supports variables, polynomial arithmetic, and extrema. This choice was made based on the needs of the original paper and could be extended if necessary.

datatype *trm* =

Var fin-string

| *Const lit*

| *Plus trm trm*

| *Times trm trm*

| *Neg trm*

| *Max trm trm*

| *Min trm trm*

| *Abs trm*

Our statement language is nondeterministic first-order regular programs. This coincides with the discrete subset of hybrid programs from the dL entry.

Our assertion language are the formulas of first-order dynamic logic

datatype *prog* =

Assign fin-string trm (**infixr** := 10)

| *AssignAny fin-string*
| *Test formula* (?)
| *Choice prog prog* (**infixl** $\cup\cup$ 10)
| *Sequence prog prog* (**infixr** $::$ 8)
| *Loop prog* (-**)

and *formula* =
Geq trm trm
| *Not formula* (!)
| *And formula formula* (**infixl** $\&\&$ 8)
| *Exists fin-string formula*
| *Diamond prog formula* ((\langle - \rangle -) 10)

Derived forms

definition *Or* :: *formula* \Rightarrow *formula* \Rightarrow *formula* (**infixl** \parallel 7)
where *or-simp[simp]:Or* P Q = *Not* (*And* (*Not* P) (*Not* Q))

definition *Equals* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *trm* \Rightarrow *formula*
where *equals-simp[simp]:Equals* ϑ ϑ' = (*And* (*Geq* ϑ ϑ') (*Geq* ϑ' ϑ))

definition *Greater* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *trm* \Rightarrow *formula*
where *greater-simp[simp]:Greater* ϑ ϑ' = *Not* (*Geq* ϑ' ϑ)

definition *Leq* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *trm* \Rightarrow *formula*
where *leq-simp[simp]:Leq* ϑ ϑ' = (*Geq* ϑ' ϑ)

definition *Less* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *trm* \Rightarrow *formula*
where *less-simp[simp]:Less* ϑ ϑ' = (*Not* (*Geq* ϑ ϑ'))

6 Semantics

States over reals vs. word intervals which contain them

type-synonym *rstate* = *fin-string* \Rightarrow *real*

type-synonym *wstate* = (*fin-string* + *fin-string*) \Rightarrow *word*

definition *wstate::wstate* \Rightarrow *prop*

where *wstate-def[simp]:wstate* ν \equiv ($\bigwedge i.$ *word* (ν (*Inl* i)) \wedge *word* (ν (*Inr* i)))

Interpretation of a term in a state

inductive *rtsem* :: *trm* \Rightarrow *rstate* \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow *bool* (($[-]$ - \downarrow -) 10)

where

rtsem-Const:Rep-bword $w \equiv_E r \Longrightarrow$ (*Const* w) $\nu \downarrow r$)

| *rtsem-Var:*(*Var* x) $\nu \downarrow \nu x$)

| *rtsem-Plus:* $\llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ (*Plus* ϑ_1 ϑ_2) $\nu \downarrow (r1 + r2)$)

| *rtsem-Times:* $\llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ (*Times* ϑ_1 ϑ_2) $\nu \downarrow (r1 * r2)$)

| *rtsem-Max:* $\llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ (*Max* ϑ_1 ϑ_2) $\nu \downarrow (max\ r1\ r2)$)

| *rtsem-Min:* $\llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ (*Min* ϑ_1 ϑ_2) $\nu \downarrow (min\ r1\ r2)$)

| *rtsem-Abs:* $\llbracket ([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$ (*Abs* ϑ_1) $\nu \downarrow (abs\ r1)$)

| $rtsem\text{-}Neg:([\vartheta]\nu \downarrow r) \implies ([Neg \vartheta]\nu \downarrow -r)$

inductive-simps

$rtsem\text{-}Const\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Const w]\nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}Var\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Var x]\nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}PlusU\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Plus \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}TimesU\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Times \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}Max\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Max \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}Min\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Min \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}Abs\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Abs \vartheta] \nu \downarrow r)$
and $rtsem\text{-}Neg\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Neg \vartheta] \nu \downarrow r)$

definition $set\text{-}less :: real\ set \Rightarrow real\ set \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** $<_S$ 10)
where $set\text{-}less\ A\ B \equiv (\forall x\ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow x < y)$

definition $set\text{-}geq :: real\ set \Rightarrow real\ set \Rightarrow bool$ (**infix** \geq_S 10)
where $set\text{-}geq\ A\ B \equiv (\forall x\ y. x \in A \wedge y \in B \longrightarrow x \geq y)$

Interpretation of an assertion in a state

inductive $rfsem :: formula \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool$ ($([-]) \downarrow - 20$)

where

$rGreaterT:([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \implies r1 > r2 \implies ([Greater \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rGreaterF:([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \implies r2 \geq r1 \implies ([Greater \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $rGeqT:([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \implies r1 \geq r2 \implies ([Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rGeqF:([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \implies r2 > r1 \implies ([Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $rEqualsT:([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \implies r1 = r2 \implies ([Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rEqualsF:([\vartheta_1]\nu \downarrow r1); ([\vartheta_2]\nu \downarrow r2) \implies r1 \neq r2 \implies ([Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $rAndT:([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True); ([\psi]\nu \downarrow True) \implies ([And \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rAndF1:([\varphi]\nu \downarrow False) \implies ([And \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $rAndF2:([\psi]\nu \downarrow False) \implies ([And \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $rOrT1:([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True) \implies ([Or \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rOrT2:([\psi]\nu \downarrow True) \implies ([Or \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rOrF:([\varphi]\nu \downarrow False); ([\psi]\nu \downarrow False) \implies ([And \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow False)$
 $rNotT:([\varphi]\nu \downarrow False) \implies ([Not \varphi] \nu \downarrow True)$
 $rNotF:([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True) \implies ([Not \varphi] \nu \downarrow False)$

inductive-simps

$rfsem\text{-}Greater\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Greater \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem\text{-}Geq\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Geq \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem\text{-}Equals\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2] \nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem\text{-}And\text{-}simps[simp] : ([And \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem\text{-}Or\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Or \varphi \psi] \nu \downarrow b)$
and $rfsem\text{-}Not\text{-}simps[simp] : ([Not \varphi] \nu \downarrow b)$

Interpretation of a program is a transition relation on states

inductive $rpsem :: prog \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow bool$ ($([-]) \downarrow - 20$)
where

$rTest[simp]: \llbracket ([\varphi]\nu \downarrow True); \nu = \omega \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([? \varphi]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rSeq[simp]: \llbracket ([\alpha]\nu \downarrow \mu); ([\beta]\mu \downarrow \omega) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([\alpha; \beta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rAssign[simp]: \llbracket ([\vartheta]\nu \downarrow r); \omega = (\nu (x := r)) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ([Assign\ x\ \vartheta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rChoice1[simp]: ([\alpha]\nu \downarrow \omega) \Longrightarrow ([Choice\ \alpha\ \beta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$
 $| rChoice2[simp]: ([\beta]\nu \downarrow \omega) \Longrightarrow ([Choice\ \alpha\ \beta]\nu \downarrow \omega)$

inductive-simps

$rpsem-Test-simps[simp]: ([? \varphi]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rpsem-Seq-simps[simp]: ([\alpha; \beta]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rpsem-Assign-simps[simp]: ([Assign\ x\ \vartheta]\nu \downarrow b)$
and $rpsem-Choice-simps[simp]: ([Choice\ \alpha\ \beta]\nu \downarrow b)$

Upper bound of arbitrary term

fun $wtsemU :: trm \Rightarrow wstate \Rightarrow word * word \ (([-]<>-) \ 20)$
where $([Const\ r]<>\nu) = (Rep-bword\ r::word, Rep-bword\ r)$
 $| wVarU: ([Var\ x]<>\nu) = (\nu (Inl\ x), \nu (Inr\ x))$
 $| wPlusU: ([Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]<>\nu) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]<>\nu\ in$
 $let\ (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2]<>\nu\ in$
 $(pl\ l1\ l2, pu\ u1\ u2))$
 $| wTimesU: ([Times\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]<>\nu) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]<>\nu\ in$
 $let\ (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2]<>\nu\ in$
 $(tl\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2, tu\ l1\ u1\ l2\ u2))$
 $| wMaxU: ([Max\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]<>\nu) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]<>\nu\ in$
 $let\ (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2]<>\nu\ in$
 $(wmax\ l1\ l2, wmax\ u1\ u2))$
 $| wMinU: ([Min\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2]<>\nu) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]<>\nu\ in$
 $let\ (l2, u2) = [\vartheta_2]<>\nu\ in$
 $(wmin\ l1\ l2, wmin\ u1\ u2))$
 $| wNegU: ([Neg\ \vartheta]<>\nu) =$
 $(let\ (l, u) = [\vartheta]<>\nu\ in$
 $(wneg\ u, wneg\ l))$
 $| wAbsU: ([Abs\ \vartheta_1]<>\nu) =$
 $(let\ (l1, u1) = [\vartheta_1]<>\nu\ in$
 $(wmax\ l1\ (wneg\ u1), wmax\ u1\ (wneg\ l1)))$

inductive $wfsem :: formula \Rightarrow wstate \Rightarrow bool \Rightarrow bool \ (([[[]]- \downarrow -] \ 20)$

where

$wGreaterT: wgreater\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_1]<>\nu))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu)) \Longrightarrow (((Greater\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\nu \downarrow True)$
 $| wGreaterF: wgeq\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_1]<>\nu)) \Longrightarrow (((Greater\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\nu \downarrow False)$
 $| wGeqT: wgeq\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_1]<>\nu))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu)) \Longrightarrow (((Geq\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\nu \downarrow True)$
 $| wGeqF: wgreater\ (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu))\ (snd\ ([\vartheta_1]<>\nu)) \Longrightarrow (((Geq\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)]\nu \downarrow False)$
 $| wEqualsT: \llbracket (fst\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu) = snd\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu)); (snd\ ([\vartheta_2]<>\nu) = snd\ ([\vartheta_1]<>\nu)) \rrbracket$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (snd ([\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu) = fst ([\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu)); (fst ([\vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu) \neq NEG-INF); \\
& (fst ([\vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu) \neq POS-INF) \\
& \implies ([[Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]] \nu \downarrow True) \\
| wEqualsF1:wgreater (fst ([\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu)) (snd ([\vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu)) \implies ([[Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]] \nu \downarrow False) \\
| wEqualsF2:wgreater (fst ([\vartheta_2] \langle \rangle \nu)) (snd ([\vartheta_1] \langle \rangle \nu)) \implies ([[Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]] \nu \downarrow False) \\
| wAndT:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow True; [[\psi]] \nu \downarrow True]) \implies ([[And \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow True) \\
| wAndF1:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow False]) \implies ([[And \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow False) \\
| wAndF2:([[[\psi]] \nu \downarrow False]) \implies ([[And \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow False) \\
| wOrT1:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow True]) \implies ([[Or \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow True) \\
| wOrT2:([[[\psi]] \nu \downarrow True]) \implies ([[Or \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow True) \\
| wOrF:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow False; [[\psi]] \nu \downarrow False]) \implies ([[And \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow False) \\
| wNotT:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow False]) \implies ([[Not \varphi]] \nu \downarrow True) \\
| wNotF:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow True]) \implies ([[Not \varphi]] \nu \downarrow False)
\end{aligned}$$

inductive-simps

wfsem-Gr-simps[simp]: ([[Le \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wfsem-And-simps*[simp]: ([[And \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wfsem-Or-simps*[simp]: ([[Or \varphi \psi]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wfsem-Not-simps*[simp]: ([[Not \varphi]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wfsem-Equals-simps*[simp]: ([[Equals \vartheta_1 \vartheta_2]] \nu \downarrow b)

Program semantics

inductive *wpsem* :: prog \Rightarrow wstate \Rightarrow wstate \Rightarrow bool ([[[-]] - \downarrow -] 20)
where
wTest:([[[\varphi]] \nu \downarrow True]) \implies $\nu = \omega \implies$ ([[? \varphi]] \nu \downarrow \omega)
wSeq:([[[\alpha]] \nu \downarrow \mu]) \implies ([[[\beta]] \mu \downarrow \omega]) \implies ([[[\alpha; \beta]] \nu \downarrow \omega)
wAssign: $\omega = ((\nu ((Inr x) := snd([\vartheta] \langle \rangle \nu))) ((Inl x) := fst([\vartheta] \langle \rangle \nu))) \implies$ ([[Assign x \vartheta]] \nu \downarrow \omega)
wChoice1[simp]:([[[\alpha]] \nu \downarrow \omega]) \implies ([[Choice \alpha \beta]] \nu \downarrow \omega)
wChoice2[simp]:([[[\beta]] \nu \downarrow \omega]) \implies ([[Choice \alpha \beta]] \nu \downarrow \omega)

inductive-simps

wpsem-Test-simps[simp]: ([[Test \varphi]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wpsem-Seq-simps*[simp]: ([[[\alpha; \beta]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wpsem-Assign-simps*[simp]: ([[Assign x \vartheta]] \nu \downarrow b)
and *wpsem-Choice-simps*[simp]: ([[Choice \alpha \beta]] \nu \downarrow b)

lemmas *real-max-mono* = Lattices.linorder-class.max.mono

lemmas *real-minus-le-minus* = Groups.ordered-ab-group-add-class.neg-le-iff-le

Interval state consists of upper and lower bounds for each real variable

inductive *represents-state*::wstate \Rightarrow rstate \Rightarrow bool (**infix** REP 10)
where *REPI*:($\bigwedge x. (\nu (Inl x) \equiv_L \nu' x) \wedge (\nu (Inr x) \equiv_U \nu' x) \implies (\nu REP \nu')$)

inductive-simps *repstate-simps*: $\nu REP \nu'$

7 Soundness proofs

Interval term valuation soundly contains real valuation

lemma *trm-sound*:

fixes $\vartheta::\text{trm}$

shows $([\vartheta]\nu' \downarrow r) \implies (\nu \text{ REP } \nu') \implies ([\vartheta]\langle \nu \rangle) \equiv_P r$

<proof>

Every word represents some real

lemma *word-rep*: $\bigwedge bw::\text{bword}. \exists r::\text{real}. \text{Rep-bword } bw \equiv_E r$

<proof>

Every term has a value

lemma *eval-tot*: $(\exists r. ([\vartheta::\text{trm}]\nu' \downarrow r))$

<proof>

Interval formula semantics soundly implies real semantics

lemma *fml-sound*:

fixes $\varphi::\text{formula}$ **and** $\nu::\text{wstate}$

shows $(\text{wfsem } \varphi \nu b) \implies (\nu \text{ REP } \nu') \implies (\text{rfsem } \varphi \nu' b)$

<proof>

lemma *rep-upd*: $\omega = (\nu(\text{Inr } x := \text{snd}([\vartheta]\langle \nu \rangle)))(\text{Inl } x := \text{fst}([\vartheta]\langle \nu \rangle))$

$\implies \nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies ([\vartheta::\text{trm}]\nu' \downarrow r) \implies \omega \text{ REP } \nu'(x := r)$

<proof>

Interval program semantics soundly contains real semantics existentially

theorem *interval-program-sound*:

fixes $\alpha::\text{prog}$

shows $([[\alpha]] \nu \downarrow \omega) \implies \nu \text{ REP } \nu' \implies (\exists \omega'. (\omega \text{ REP } \omega') \wedge ([\alpha] \nu' \downarrow \omega'))$

<proof>

end

References

- [1] R. Bohrer. Differential dynamic logic. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Feb. 2017. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Differential_Dynamic_Logic.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] R. Bohrer, Y. K. Tan, S. Mitsch, M. O. Myreen, and A. Platzer. Veri-phy: verified controller executables from verified cyber-physical system models. In J. S. Foster and D. Grossman, editors, *Proceedings of the 39th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, PLDI 2018, Philadelphia, PA, USA, June 18-22, 2018*, pages 617–630. ACM, 2018.