Interpolation Polynomials (in HOL-Algebra)

Emin Karayel

April 18, 2024

Abstract

A well known result from algebra is that, on any field, there is exactly one polynomial of degree less than n interpolating n points [1, §7].

This entry contains a formalization of the above result, as well as the following generalization in the case of finite fields F: There are $|F|^{m-n}$ polynomials of degree less than $m \ge n$ interpolating the same n points, where |F| denotes the size of the domain of the field. To establish the result the entry also includes a formalization of Lagrange interpolation, which might be of independent interest.

The formalized results are defined on the algebraic structures from HOL-Algebra, which are distinct from the type-class based structures defined in HOL. Note that there is an existing formalization for polynomial interpolation and, in particular, Lagrange interpolation by Thiemann and Yamada [2] on the type-class based structures in HOL.

Contents

1	Bounded Degree Polynomials	1
2	Lagrange Interpolation	5
3	Cardinalities of Interpolation Polynomials	13

1 Bounded Degree Polynomials

This section contains a definition for the set of polynomials with a degree bound and establishes its cardinality.

theory Bounded-Degree-Polynomials imports HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility begin

lemma (in ring) coeff-in-carrier: $p \in carrier$ (poly-ring R) \Longrightarrow coeff $p \ i \in carrier R$

using poly-coeff-in-carrier carrier-is-subring by (simp add: univ-poly-carrier)

definition bounded-degree-polynomials

where bounded-degree-polynomials $F n = \{x. x \in carrier (poly-ring F) \land (degree x < n \lor x = [])\}$

Note: The definition for bounded-degree-polynomials includes the zero polynomial in bounded-degree-polynomials F 0. The reason for this adjustment is that, contrary to definition in HOL Algebra, most authors set the degree of the zero polynomial to $-\infty$ [1, §7.2.2]. That definition make some identities, such as deg $(fg) = \deg f + \deg g$ for polynomials f and g unconditionally true. In particular, it prevents an unnecessary corner case in the statement of the results established in this entry.

lemma *bounded-degree-polynomials-length*:

bounded-degree-polynomials $F n = \{x. x \in carrier (poly-ring F) \land length x \leq n\}$ unfolding bounded-degree-polynomials-def using leI order-less-le-trans by fastforce

```
lemma (in ring) fin-degree-bounded:
 assumes finite (carrier R)
  shows finite (bounded-degree-polynomials R n)
proof -
  have bounded-degree-polynomials R n \subseteq \{p. set p \subseteq carrier R \land length p \leq n\}
   unfolding bounded-degree-polynomials-length
   using assms polynomial-incl univ-poly-carrier by blast
  thus ?thesis
   using assms finite-lists-length-le finite-subset by fast
qed
lemma (in ring) non-empty-bounded-degree-polynomials:
  bounded-degree-polynomials R \ k \neq \{\}
proof
 have \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring \ R} \in bounded-degree-polynomials R \ k
  by (simp add: bounded-degree-polynomials-def univ-poly-zero univ-poly-zero-closed)
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma in-image-by-witness:
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \implies g \ x \in B \land f \ (g \ x) = x
 shows A \subseteq f' B
 by (metis assms image-eqI subsetI)
lemma card-mostly-constant-maps:
 assumes y \in B
```

shows card {f. range $f \subseteq B \land (\forall x. x \ge n \longrightarrow f x = y)$ } = card $B \land n$ (is card ?A = ?B) proof –

define f where $f = (\lambda f k. if k < n then f k else y)$

have $a: ?A \subseteq (f ` (\{0.. < n\} \rightarrow_E B))$ unfolding *f*-def by (rule in-image-by-witness [where $q = \lambda f$. restrict $f \{0... < n\}$], auto) have $b:(f ` (\{0.. < n\} \rightarrow_E B)) \subseteq ?A$ using *f*-def assms by auto have c: inj-on f ({0.. < n} $\rightarrow_E B$) by (rule inj-onI, metis PiE-E atLeastLessThan-iff ext f-def) have card $?A = card (f ` (\{0.. < n\} \rightarrow_E B))$ using a b by auto also have ... = card ($\{0.. < n\} \rightarrow_E B$) **by** (*metis* c card-image) also have $\dots = card B \cap n$ **by** (*simp add: card-PiE*[OF finite-atLeastLessThan]) finally show ?thesis by simp qed definition (in *ring*) build-poly where build-poly f n = normalize (rev (map f [0..< n]))**lemma** (in ring) poly-degree-bound-from-coeff: assumes $x \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ assumes $\bigwedge k. \ k \ge n \Longrightarrow coeff \ x \ k = \mathbf{0}$ shows degree $x < n \lor x = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}$ **proof** (*rule ccontr*) **assume** $a:\neg(degree \ x < n \lor x = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring \ R})$ hence b:lead-coeff $x \neq \mathbf{0}_R$ by (metis assms(1) polynomial-def univ-poly-carrier univ-poly-zero) hence *coeff* x (*degree* x) \neq **0 by** (*metis a lead-coeff-simp univ-poly-zero*) moreover have degree $x \ge n$ by (meson a not-le) ultimately show False using assms(2) by blast \mathbf{qed} **lemma** (in ring) poly-degree-bound-from-coeff-1: assumes $x \in carrier (poly-ring R)$

assumes $x \in carrier$ (poly-ring R) assumes $\bigwedge k. \ k \ge n \implies coeff \ x \ k = \mathbf{0}$ shows $x \in bounded$ -degree-polynomials R n using poly-degree-bound-from-coeff[OF assms] by (simp add:bounded-degree-polynomials-def univ-poly-zero assms)

lemma (in ring) length-build-poly:

 $length (build-poly f n) \le n$

by (*metis length-map build-poly-def normalize-length-le length-rev length-upt less-imp-diff-less linorder-not-less*)

lemma (in ring) build-poly-degree: degree (build-poly f n) $\leq n-1$ using length-build-poly diff-le-mono by presburger **lemma** (in ring) build-poly-poly: assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in carrier R$ shows build-poly $f n \in carrier$ (poly-ring R) unfolding build-poly-def univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] by (rule normalize-gives-polynomial, simp add:image-subset-iff Ball-def assms) **lemma** (in ring) build-poly-coeff: coeff (build-poly f n) i = (if i < n then f i else **0**) proof -

show coeff (build-poly f n) i = (if i < n then f i else 0)
unfolding build-poly-def normalize-coeff[symmetric]
by (cases i < n, (simp add:coeff-nth rev-nth coeff-length)+)
ged</pre>

lemma (in ring) build-poly-bounded:

assumes $\bigwedge k. \ k < n \implies f \ k \in carrier \ R$ shows build-poly $f \ n \in bounded$ -degree-polynomials $R \ n$ unfolding bounded-degree-polynomials-length using build-poly-poly[OF assms] length-build-poly by auto

The following establishes the total number of polynomials with a degree less than n. Unlike the results in the following sections, it is already possible to establish this property for polynomials with coefficients in a ring.

lemma (in ring) bounded-degree-polynomials-card: card (bounded-degree-polynomials R n) = card (carrier R) ^ n

 $proof \ -$

have a:coeff ' bounded-degree-polynomials $R \ n \subseteq \{f. \ range \ f \subseteq (carrier \ R) \land (\forall k \ge n. \ f \ k = \mathbf{0})\}$

by (*rule image-subsetI*, *auto simp add:bounded-degree-polynomials-def coeff-length coeff-in-carrier*)

have $b:\{f. range f \subseteq (carrier R) \land (\forall k \ge n. f k = 0)\} \subseteq coeff$ 'bounded-degree-polynomials R n

apply (rule in-image-by-witness [where $g = \lambda x$. build-poly x n]) by (auto simp add:build-poly-coeff intro:build-poly-bounded)

have inj-on coeff (carrier (poly-ring R))
by (rule inj-onI, simp add: coeff-iff-polynomial-cond univ-poly-carrier)

hence coeff-inj: inj-on coeff (bounded-degree-polynomials R n) using inj-on-subset bounded-degree-polynomials-def by blast

have card (bounded-degree-polynomials R n) = card (coeff 'bounded-degree-polynomials R n)

using coeff-inj card-image[symmetric] by blast

also have ... = card {f. range $f \subseteq (carrier R) \land (\forall k \ge n. f k = 0)$ } by (rule arg-cong[where f=card], rule order-antisym[OF a b]) also have ... = card (carrier R) ^n by (rule card-mostly-constant-maps, simp) finally show ?thesis by simp qed

end

2 Lagrange Interpolation

This section introduces the function *interpolate*, which constructs the Lagrange interpolation polynomials for a given set of points, followed by a theorem of its correctness.

```
theory Lagrange-Interpolation
imports HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility
begin
```

A finite product in a domain is 0 if and only if at least one factor is. This could be added to HOL-Algebra.FiniteProduct or HOL-Algebra.Ring.

```
lemma (in domain) finprod-zero-iff:
 assumes finite A
 assumes \bigwedge a. \ a \in A \Longrightarrow f \ a \in carrier \ R
 shows finprod R f A = \mathbf{0} \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in A, f x = \mathbf{0})
 using assms
proof (induct A rule: finite-induct)
 case empty
  then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (insert y F)
 moreover have f \in F \rightarrow carrier R using insert by blast
 ultimately show ?case by (simp add:integral-iff)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma (in ring) poly-of-const-in-carrier:
 assumes s \in carrier R
 shows poly-of-const s \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 using poly-of-const-def assms
 by (simp add:univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def)
lemma (in ring) eval-poly-of-const:
 assumes x \in carrier R
 shows eval (poly-of-const x) y = x
 using assms by (simp add:poly-of-const-def)
```

```
lemma (in ring) eval-in-carrier-2:
assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
```

```
assumes y \in carrier R
 shows eval x y \in carrier R
 using eval-in-carrier univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl assms by blast
lemma (in domain) poly-mult-degree-le-1:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows degree (x \otimes_{poly-ring R} y) \leq degree x + degree y
proof –
 have degree (x \otimes_{poly-ring R} y) = (if x = [] \lor y = [] then 0 else degree x + degree
y)
   unfolding univ-poly-mult
   by (metis univ-poly-carrier assms(1,2) carrier-is-subring poly-mult-degree-eq)
 thus ?thesis by (metis nat-le-linear zero-le)
ged
lemma (in domain) poly-mult-degree-le:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes degree x \leq n
 assumes degree y \leq m
 shows degree (x \otimes_{poly-ring R} y) \leq n + m
 using poly-mult-degree-le-1 assms add-mono by force
lemma (in domain) poly-add-degree-le:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R) degree x < n
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R) degree y \leq n
 shows degree (x \oplus_{poly-ring R} y) \leq n
 using assms poly-add-degree
 by (metis dual-order.trans max.bounded-iff univ-poly-add)
lemma (in domain) poly-sub-degree-le:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R) degree x \leq n
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R) degree y \leq n
 shows degree (x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y) \leq n
proof –
 interpret x:cring poly-ring R
   using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto
 show ?thesis
   unfolding a-minus-def
  using assms univ-poly-a-inv-degree carrier-is-subring poly-add-degree-le x.a-inv-closed
   by simp
qed
lemma (in domain) poly-sum-degree-le:
 assumes finite A
 assumes \bigwedge x. x \in A \implies degree (f x) \le n
 assumes \bigwedge x. \ x \in A \implies f \ x \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)
```

shows degree (finsum (poly-ring R) f A) $\leq n$ using assms **proof** (*induct A rule:finite-induct*) case *empty* **interpret** x:cring poly-ring R using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto **show** ?case using empty by (simp add:univ-poly-zero) \mathbf{next} case (insert x F) **interpret** x:cring poly-ring R using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto have a: degree ($f x \oplus_{poly-ring R} finsum (poly-ring R) f F$) $\leq n$ using insert poly-add-degree-le x.finsum-closed by auto show ?case using insert a by auto qed definition (in ring) lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux where lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux S = $(\bigotimes_{poly-ring R} s \in S. X \ominus_{poly-ring R} (poly-of-const s))$ **lemma** (in *domain*) *lagrange-aux-eval*: assumes finite S**assumes** $S \subseteq carrier R$ assumes $x \in carrier R$ **shows** (eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux S) x) = ($\bigotimes s \in S$. $x \ominus s$) proof **interpret** x:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (λp . eval p x) by (rule eval-cring-hom[OF carrier-is-subring assms(3)]) have $\bigwedge a. \ a \in S \Longrightarrow X \ominus_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const \ a \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ by $(meson \ poly-of-const-in-carrier \ carrier-is-subring \ assms(2) \ cring.cring-simprules(4)$ domain-def subset D univ-poly-is-domain var-closed(1)) **moreover have** $\bigwedge s. \ s \in S \Longrightarrow eval \ (X \ominus_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const s) \ x = x \ominus s$ using assms var-closed carrier-is-subring poly-of-const-in-carrier subsetD[OF assms(2)] **by** (*simp add:eval-var eval-poly-of-const*) moreover have a-minus $R \ x \in S \rightarrow carrier R$ using assms by blast ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux-def x.hom-finprod cong:finprod-cong') qed **lemma** (in *domain*) *lagrange-aux-poly*: assumes finite Sassumes $S \subset carrier R$ shows lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux $S \in carrier (poly-ring R)$

proof – have a: subring (carrier R) Rusing carrier-is-subring assms by blast have b: $\bigwedge a. \ a \in S \Longrightarrow X \ominus_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const \ a \in carrier \ (poly-ring R)$ by (meson poly-of-const-in-carrier a assms(2) cring.cring-simprules(4) domain-def subsetD univ-poly-is-domain var-closed(1))**interpret** x:cring poly-ring R using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto show ?thesis using lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux-def b x.finprod-closed[OF Pi-I] by simp qed **lemma** (in *domain*) poly-prod-degree-le: assumes finite A assumes $\bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow f \ x \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)$ **shows** degree (finprod (poly-ring R) f A) $\leq (\sum x \in A. degree (f x))$ using assms **proof** (*induct A rule:finite-induct*) case *empty* **interpret** x:cring poly-ring R using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto **show** ?case by (simp add:univ-poly-one) \mathbf{next} **case** (insert x F) **interpret** x:cring poly-ring R using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto have $a:f \in F \rightarrow carrier (poly-ring R)$ using insert by blast have $b:f x \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ using insert by blast have degree (finprod (poly-ring R) f (insert x F)) = degree (f x $\otimes_{poly-ring R}$ finprod (poly-ring R) f F) using a b insert by simp also have $\dots \leq degree (f x) + degree (finprod (poly-ring R) f F)$ using poly-mult-degree-le x.finprod-closed[OF a] b by auto also have $\dots \leq degree (f x) + (\sum y \in F. degree (f y))$ using insert(3) a add-mono by auto also have $\dots = (\sum y \in (insert \ x \ F))$. degree $(f \ y)$ using insert by simp finally show ?case by simp qed **lemma** (in *domain*) *lagrange-aux-degree*: assumes finite Sassumes $S \subseteq carrier R$

shows degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux S) \leq card S

proof -

interpret x:cring poly-ring R

using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto

have degree $X \leq 1$ by (simp add:var-def)

moreover have $\bigwedge y. y \in S \Longrightarrow degree (poly-of-const y) \leq 1$ by (simp add:poly-of-const-def) ultimately have $a: \bigwedge y. y \in S \Longrightarrow degree (X \ominus_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const y) \leq 1$

by (meson assms(2) in-mono poly-of-const-in-carrier poly-sub-degree-le var-closed[OF carrier-is-subring])

have $b: \bigwedge y. \ y \in S \implies (X \ominus_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const \ y) \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ by (meson subsetD x.minus-closed var-closed(1)[OF carrier-is-subring] poly-of-const-in-carrier assms(2))

have degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux S) $\leq (\sum y \in S. degree (X \ominus_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const y))$

using lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux-def b poly-prod-degree-le[OF <math>assms(1)] by auto

also have $... \le (\sum y \in S, 1)$ using sum-mono a by force also have ... = card S by simp finally show ?thesis by simp qed

definition (in ring) lagrange-basis-polynomial where lagrange-basis-polynomial S x = lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux S $\otimes_{poly-ring R} (poly-of-const (inv_R (\bigotimes s \in S. x \ominus s)))$

lemma (in *field*) assumes finite Sassumes $S \subseteq carrier R$ assumes $x \in carrier R - S$ shows lagrange-one: eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) x = 1 and lagrange-degree: degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) < card S and lagrange-zero: $\bigwedge s. \ s \in S \Longrightarrow$ eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) s = 0 and lagrange-poly: lagrange-basis-polynomial $S \ x \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)$ proof **interpret** x:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (λp . eval p x) using assms carrier-is-subring eval-cring-hom by blast define p where p = lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux Shave a:eval $p \ x = (\bigotimes s \in S. \ x \ominus s)$ using assms by (simp add:p-def lagrange-aux-eval) have $b:p \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ using assms **by** (*simp add:p-def lagrange-aux-poly*)

have $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies a\text{-minus } R \ x \ y \in carrier \ R$

using assms by blast

hence c:finprod R (a-minus R x) $S \in Units R$ using finprod-closed[OF Pi-I] assms **by** (*auto simp add:field-Units finprod-zero-iff*) have eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) x = $(\bigotimes s \in S. x \ominus s) \otimes eval (poly-of-const (inv finprod R (a-minus R x) S)) x$ **using** poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c p-def[symmetric] by (simp add: lagrange-basis-polynomial-def x.hom-mult[OF b] a) also have $\dots = 1$ using poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c eval-poly-of-const by simp finally show eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) x = 1 by simp have degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) \leq degree p + degree (poly-of-const (inv finprod R (a-minus R x) S))**unfolding** *lagrange-basis-polynomial-def p-def*[*symmetric*] using poly-mult-degree-le[OF b] poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c by autoalso have $\dots \leq card S + \theta$ using add-mono lagrange-aux-degree [OF assms(1) assms(2)] p-def poly-of-const-def by auto **finally show** degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial $S(x) \leq card(S)$ by simp **show** $\bigwedge s. \ s \in S \implies eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) \ s = 0$ proof fix sassume $d:s \in S$ **interpret** s:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (λp . eval p s) using eval-cring-hom carrier-is-subring assms d by blast have eval $p \ s = finprod \ R \ (a-minus \ R \ s) \ S$ using subsetD[OF assms(2) d] assms **by** (*simp add:p-def lagrange-aux-eval*) also have $\dots = 0$ using subset D[OF assms(2)] d assms by (simp add: finprod-zero-iff)finally have eval $p \ s = \mathbf{0}_R$ by simp **moreover have** eval (poly-of-const (inv finprod R (a-minus R x) S)) $s \in carrier$ Rusing s.hom-closed poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c by blast ultimately show eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) s = 0using poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c by (simp add:lagrange-basis-polynomial-def Let-def p-def [symmetric] s.hom-mult[OF b])qed

interpret r:cring poly-ring R

using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto

show lagrange-basis-polynomial $S \ x \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)$

using lagrange-basis-polynomial-def p-def[symmetric] poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed

 $a \ b \ c \ \mathbf{by} \ simp$

qed

definition (in ring) interpolate where

interpolate S f =

 $(\bigoplus_{poly-ring R} s \in S. \ lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - \{s\}) \ s \otimes_{poly-ring R} (poly-of-const (f s)))$

Let f be a function and S be a finite subset of the domain of the field. Then *interpolate* S f will return a polynomial with degree less than *card* S interpolating f on S.

theorem (in field) **assumes** finite S **assumes** $S \subseteq carrier R$ **assumes** $f \cdot S \subseteq carrier R$ **shows** *interpolate-poly: interpolate* $S f \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ and *interpolate-degree: degree (interpolate* $S f) \leq card S - 1$ and *interpolate-eval:* $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies eval (interpolate S f) s = f s$ **proof** -

interpret r:cring poly-ring R

using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto

have $a: \Lambda x. x \in S \implies lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - \{x\}) x \in carrier (poly-ring R)$

by (meson lagrange-poly assms Diff-iff finite-Diff in-mono insertI1 subset-insertI2 subset-insert-iff)

have $b: \bigwedge x. \ x \in S \Longrightarrow f \ x \in carrier \ R$ using assms by blast

have $c: \Lambda x. x \in S \implies degree \ (lagrange-basis-polynomial \ (S - \{x\}) \ x) \le card \ S - 1$

by (metis (full-types) lagrange-degree DiffI Diff-insert-absorb assms(1) assms(2) card-Diff-singleton finite-insert insert-subset mk-disjoint-insert)

have $d: \bigwedge x. \ x \in S \Longrightarrow$

degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial $(S - \{x\}) \ x \otimes_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const (f x)) \le (card \ S - 1) + 0$

using poly-of-const-in-carrier[OF b] poly-mult-degree-le[OF a] c poly-of-const-def by fastforce

show interpolate $S f \in carrier (poly-ring R)$

using interpolate-def poly-of-const-in-carrier a b by simp

show degree (interpolate S f) \leq card S - 1using poly-sum-degree-le[OF assms(1) d] poly-of-const-in-carrier[OF b] interpolate-def a by simp have e:subring (carrier R) Rusing carrier-is-subring assms by blast **show** $\bigwedge s. \ s \in S \Longrightarrow eval (interpolate S f) \ s = f s$ proof fix sassume $f:s \in S$ **interpret** s:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (λp . eval p s) using eval-cring-hom[OF e] assms f by blast have $g: \bigwedge i. i \in S \Longrightarrow$ eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial $(S - \{i\})$ i $\otimes_{poly-ring R}$ poly-of-const (f i)) s = $(if s = i then f s else \mathbf{0})$ proof fix iassume *i-in-S*: $i \in S$ have eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial $(S - \{i\})$ i $\otimes_{poly-ring R}$ poly-of-const (f i)) s =eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial $(S - \{i\})$ i) $s \otimes f i$ using b i-in-S poly-of-const-in-carrier **by** (simp add: s.hom-mult[OF a] eval-poly-of-const) also have $\dots = (if s = i then f s else \mathbf{0})$ using b i-in-S poly-of-const-in-carrier assms f **apply** (cases s=i, simp, subst lagrange-one, auto) **by** (*subst lagrange-zero*, *auto*) finally show eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial $(S - \{i\})$ i $\otimes_{poly-ring R}$ poly-of-const (f i)) s =(if s = i then f s else 0) by simpqed have eval (interpolate S f) s = $(\bigoplus x \in S. eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - \{x\}) x \otimes_{poly-ring R} poly-of-const$ (f x) s) **using** poly-of-const-in-carrier[OF b] a e by (simp add: interpolate-def s.hom-finsum[OF Pi-I] comp-def) also have $\dots = (\bigoplus x \in S. if s = x then f s else 0)$ using b g by (simp cong: finsum-cong) also have $\dots = f s$ using finsum-singleton [OF f assms(1)] f assms by auto finally show eval (interpolate S f) s = f s by simp qed qed

3 Cardinalities of Interpolation Polynomials

This section establishes the cardinalities of the set of polynomials with a degree bound interpolating a given set of points.

```
theory Interpolation-Polynomial-Cardinalities
imports Bounded-Degree-Polynomials Lagrange-Interpolation
begin
```

```
lemma (in ring) poly-add-coeff:
 assumes x \in carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows coeff (x \oplus_{poly-ring R} y) k = coeff x k \oplus coeff y k
 by (metis assms univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl univ-poly-add poly-add-coeff)
lemma (in domain) poly-neq-coeff:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows coeff (\ominus_{poly-ring R} x) k = \ominus coeff x k
proof –
  interpret x:cring poly-ring R
  using assms cring-def carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms
by auto
 have a: \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R} = x \ominus_{poly-ring R} x
   by (metis \ x.r-right-minus-eq \ assms(1))
 have \mathbf{0} = coeff (\mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}) k by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)
 also have \dots = coeff(x \ k \oplus coeff(\ominus_{poly-ring \ R} x) \ k using \ a assms
   by (simp add:a-minus-def poly-add-coeff)
 finally have \mathbf{0} = coeff \ x \ k \oplus coeff \ (\ominus_{poly-ring \ R} \ x) \ k by simp
  thus ?thesis
     by (metis local.minus-minus x.a-inv-closed sum-zero-eq-neg coeff-in-carrier
assms)
qed
lemma (in domain) poly-substract-coeff:
 assumes x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assumes y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 shows coeff (x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y) k = coeff x k \ominus coeff y k
proof -
  interpret x:cring poly-ring R
  using assms cring-def carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms
```

by *auto*

show ?thesis

 \mathbf{end}

A polynomial with more zeros than its degree is the zero polynomial.

lemma (in *field*) *max-roots*: assumes $p \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ assumes $K \subseteq carrier R$ assumes finite K assumes degree p < card Kassumes $\bigwedge x. x \in K \Longrightarrow eval p x = \mathbf{0}$ shows $p = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}$ **proof** (*rule ccontr*) assume $p \neq \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}$ hence $a:p \neq []$ by (simp add: univ-poly-zero) have $\bigwedge x$. count (mset-set K) $x \leq$ count (roots p) x proof fix x**show** count (mset-set K) $x \leq$ count (roots p) x **proof** (cases $x \in K$) case True hence is-root p xby $(meson \ a \ assms(2,5) \ is-ring \ is-root-def \ subset D)$ hence $x \in set\text{-mset} (roots p)$ using assms(1) roots-mem-iff-is-root field-def by force hence $1 \leq count (roots p) x$ by simp moreover have count (mset-set K) x = 1 using True assms(3) by simp ultimately show ?thesis by presburger \mathbf{next} case False hence count (mset-set K) x = 0 by simp then show ?thesis by presburger qed \mathbf{qed} hence mset-set $K \subseteq \#$ roots p **by** (*simp add: subseteq-mset-def*) hence card $K \leq size \ (roots \ p)$ **by** (*metis size-mset-mono size-mset-set*) **moreover have** size (roots p) \leq degree pusing a size-roots-le-degree assms by auto ultimately show False using assms(4) by (meson leD less-le-trans) qed

definition (in ring) split-poly where split-poly $K p = (restrict (eval p) K, \lambda k. coeff p (k+card K))$

To establish the count of the number of polynomials of degree less than n interpolating a function f on K where $|K| \leq n$, the function *split-poly* K establishes a bijection between the polynomials of degree less than n and the values of the polynomials on K in combination with the coefficients of order |K| and greater.

For the injectivity: Note that the difference of two polynomials whose coefficients of order |K| and larger agree must have a degree less than |K| and because their values agree on k points, it must have |K| zeros and hence is the zero polynomial.

For the surjective: Let p be a polynomial whose coefficients larger than |K| are chosen, and all other coefficients be 0. Now it is possible to find a polynomial q interpolating f - p on K using Lagrange interpolation. Then p + q will interpolate f on K and because the degree of q is less than |K| its coefficients of order |K| will be the same as those of p.

A tempting question is whether it would be easier to instead establish a bijection between the polynomials of degree less than n and its values on $K \cup K'$ where K' are arbitrarily chosen n - |K| points in the field. This approach is indeed easier, however, it fails for the case where the size of the field is less than n.

```
lemma (in field) split-poly-inj:
 assumes finite K
 assumes K \subseteq carrier R
 shows inj-on (split-poly K) (carrier (poly-ring R))
proof
 fix x
 fix y
 assume a1:x \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assume a2:y \in carrier (poly-ring R)
 assume a3:split-poly K x = split-poly K y
  interpret x:cring poly-ring R
   using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto
 have x-y-carrier: x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y \in carrier (poly-ring R) using all all by simp
  have \bigwedge k. coeff x (k+card K) = coeff y (k+card K)
   using a3 by (simp add:split-poly-def, meson)
  hence \bigwedge k. coeff (x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y) (k+card K) = 0
   using coeff-in-carrier a1 a2 by (simp add:poly-substract-coeff)
  hence degree (x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y) < card K \lor (x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y) = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}
   by (metis poly-degree-bound-from-coeff add.commute le-iff-add x-y-carrier)
  moreover have \bigwedge k. k \in K \implies eval \ x \ k = eval \ y \ k
   using a3 by (simp add:split-poly-def restrict-def, meson)
  hence \bigwedge k. \ k \in K \Longrightarrow eval \ x \ k \ominus eval \ y \ k = \mathbf{0}
   by (metis eval-in-carrier univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl a1 assms(2) in-mono
r-right-minus-eq)
  hence \bigwedge k. \ k \in K \Longrightarrow eval \ (x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y) \ k = \mathbf{0}
   using a1 a2 subsetD[OF assms(2)] carrier-is-subring
   by (simp add: ring-hom-cring.hom-sub[OF eval-cring-hom])
  ultimately have x \ominus_{poly-ring R} y = \mathbf{0}_{poly-ring R}
   using max-roots x-y-carrier assms by blast
  then show x = y
```

using x.r-right-minus-eq $[OF \ a1 \ a2]$ by simp qed **lemma** (in *field*) *split-poly-image*: assumes finite K assumes $K \subseteq carrier R$ **shows** split-poly K ' carrier (poly-ring R) \supseteq $(K \to_E \text{ carrier } R) \times \{f. \text{ range } f \subseteq \text{ carrier } R \land (\exists n. \forall k \ge n. f k = \mathbf{0}_R)\}$ **proof** (*rule subsetI*) fix x**assume** $a:x \in (K \to_E carrier R) \times \{f. range f \subseteq carrier R \land (\exists (n::nat)), \forall k \geq n \}$ n. f k = 0have a1: fst $x \in (K \to_E carrier R)$ using a by (simp add:mem-Times-iff) **obtain** *n* where *a2*: *snd* $x \in \{f. range f \subseteq carrier R \land (\forall k \ge n. f k = 0)\}$ using a mem-Times-iff by force have a3: $\bigwedge y$. snd $x y \in carrier R$ using a2 by blast define w where w = build-poly (λi . if $i \geq card K$ then (snd x (i - card K)) else **0**) (card K + n) have w-carr: $w \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ **unfolding** w-def by (rule build-poly-poly, simp add:a3) have w-eval-range: $\bigwedge x. x \in carrier R \Longrightarrow local.eval w x \in carrier R$ proof fix xassume w-eval-range-1: $x \in carrier R$ **interpret** x:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (λp . eval p x) using eval-cring-hom[OF carrier-is-subring] assms w-eval-range-1 by blast **show** eval $w \ x \in carrier \ R$ **by** (rule x.hom-closed[OF w-carr]) \mathbf{qed} **interpret** r:cring poly-ring R using carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms by auto **define** y where $y = interpolate K (\lambda k. fst x k \ominus eval w k)$ define r where $r = y \oplus_{poly-ring R} w$ have x-minus-w-in-carrier: $\bigwedge z$. $z \in K \Longrightarrow fst \ x \ z \ominus eval \ w \ z \in carrier \ R$ using a PiE-def Pi-def minus-closed subsetD[OF assms(2)] w-eval-range by autohave y-poly: $y \in carrier (poly-ring R)$ unfolding y-def using x-minus-w-in-carrier interpolate-poly [OF assms(1) assms(2)] image-subset I

by force

have y-degree: degree $y \leq card K - 1$

```
unfolding y-def
```

using x-minus-w-in-carrier interpolate-degree [OF assms(1) assms(2)] image-subset I by force

```
have y-len: length y \leq card K
 proof (cases K = \{\})
   \mathbf{case} \ True
   then show ?thesis
     by (simp add:y-def interpolate-def univ-poly-zero)
 \mathbf{next}
   case False
   then show ?thesis
      by (metis y-degree Suc-le-D assms(1) card-gt-0-iff diff-Suc-1 not-less-eq-eq
order.strict-iff-not)
 qed
 have r-poly: r \in carrier (poly-ring R)
   using r-def y-poly w-carr by simp
 have coeff-r: \bigwedge k. coeff r (k + card K) = snd x k
 proof –
   fix k :: nat
   have y-len': length y \leq k + card K using y-len trans-le-add2 by blast
   have coeff r (k + card K) = coeff y (k + card K) \oplus coeff w (k + card K)
     by (simp add:r-def poly-add-coeff[OF y-poly w-carr])
   also have \dots = \mathbf{0} \oplus coeff w (k+card K)
     using coeff-length[OF y-len'] by simp
   also have \dots = coeff w (k+card K)
     using coeff-in-carrier[OF w-carr] by simp
   also have \dots = snd x k
     using a2 by (simp add:w-def build-poly-coeff not-less)
   finally show coeff r(k + card K) = snd x k by simp
 qed
 have eval-r: \bigwedge k. k \in K \implies eval r k = fst x k
 proof –
   fix k
   assume b:k \in K
   interpret s:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (\lambda p. eval p k)
     using eval-cring-hom[OF carrier-is-subring] assms b by blast
   have k-carr: k \in carrier \ R \ using \ assms(2) \ b \ by \ blast
   have fst-x-k-carr: \bigwedge k. k \in K \Longrightarrow fst x \ k \in carrier R
     using a1 PiE-def Pi-def by blast
   have eval r k = eval y k \oplus eval w k
     using y-poly w-carr by (simp add:r-def)
   also have ... = fst x \ k \ominus local.eval \ w \ k \oplus local.eval \ w \ k
     using assms b x-minus-w-in-carrier
     by (simp add:y-def interpolate-eval[OF - - image-subsetI])
```

also have ... = $fst \ x \ k \oplus (\ominus \ local.eval \ w \ k \oplus \ local.eval \ w \ k)$ using fst-x-k- $carr[OF \ b] \ w$ -eval- $range[OF \ k$ -carr]by $(simp \ add:a$ -minus- $def \ a$ -assoc)also have ... = $fst \ x \ k$ using fst-x-k- $carr[OF \ b] \ w$ -eval- $range[OF \ k$ -carr]by $(simp \ add:a$ - $comm \ r$ -neg)finally show $eval \ r \ k = fst \ x \ k \ by \ simp$ qed

have $r \in (carrier \ (poly-ring \ R))$ by $(metis \ r-poly)$ moreover have $\bigwedge y$. $(if \ y \in K \ then \ eval \ r \ y \ else \ undefined) = fst \ x \ y$ using a1 eval-r PiE-E by auto hence $split-poly \ K \ r = x$ by $(simp \ add:split-poly-def \ prod-eq-iff \ coeff-r \ restrict-def)$ ultimately show $x \in split-poly \ K \ (carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)))$ by blastqed

This is like *card-vimage-inj* but supports *inj-on* instead.

lemma card-vimage-inj-on: **assumes** inj-on f B **assumes** $A \subseteq f \cdot B$ **shows** card $(f - \cdot A \cap B) = card A$ **proof have** $A = f \cdot (f - \cdot A \cap B)$ **using** assms(2) **by** *auto* **thus** ?thesis **using** assms card-image **by** (metis inf-le2 inj-on-subset) **qed**

lemma *inv-subsetI*: **assumes** $\bigwedge x. \ x \in A \Longrightarrow f \ x \in B \Longrightarrow x \in C$ **shows** $f - {}^{\circ}B \cap A \subseteq C$ **using** *assms* **by** *force*

The following establishes the main result of this section: There are $|F|^{n-k}$ polynomials of degree less than n interpolating $k \leq n$ points.

lemma restrict-eq-imp: **assumes** restrict f A = restrict g A **assumes** $x \in A$ **shows** f x = g x **by** (metis restrict-def assms) **theorem** (**in** field) interpolating-polynomials-card: **assumes** finite K **assumes** finite K **assumes** $K \subseteq carrier R$ **assumes** $f ` K \subseteq carrier R$ **shows** card { $\omega \in bounded$ -degree-polynomials R (card K + n). ($\forall k \in K$. eval ω k = f k)} = card (carrier R) \widehat{n}

(is card ?A = ?B) proof define z where z = restrict f K**define** M where $M = \{f. range f \subseteq carrier R \land (\forall k \ge n, f k = 0)\}$ **hence** inj-on-bounded: inj-on (split-poly K) (carrier (poly-ring R)) using split-poly-inj[OF assms(1) assms(2)] by blasthave $?A \subseteq split-poly K - `(\{z\} \times M)$ unfolding split-poly-def z-def M-def bounded-degree-polynomials-length **by** (rule subsetI, auto intro!:coeff-in-carrier coeff-length) **moreover have** $A \subseteq carrier (poly-ring R)$ unfolding bounded-degree-polynomials-length by blast ultimately have $a:?A \subseteq split-poly K - (\{z\} \times M) \cap carrier (poly-ring R)$ by blast have $\bigwedge x \ k$. $(\lambda k. \ coeff \ x \ (k + \ card \ K)) \in M \Longrightarrow k \ge n + \ card \ K \Longrightarrow \ coeff \ x \ k$ = 0by (simp add: M-def, metis Nat.le-diff-conv2 Nat.le-imp-diff-is-add add-leD2) hence split-poly $K - (\{z\} \times M) \cap carrier (poly-ring R) \subseteq bounded-degree-polynomials$ R (card K + n)unfolding split-poly-def z-def using poly-degree-bound-from-coeff-1 inv-subsetI by force **moreover have** $\bigwedge \omega \ k. \ \omega \in split-poly \ K - `(\{z\} \times M) \cap carrier \ (poly-ring \ R)$ $\implies k \in K \implies eval \ \omega \ k = f \ k$ unfolding split-poly-def z-def using restrict-eq-imp by fastforce ultimately have b:split-poly $K - (\{z\} \times M) \cap carrier (poly-ring R) \subseteq ?A$ **by** blast have $z \in K \rightarrow_E carrier R$ unfolding z-def using assms(3) by auto **moreover have** $M \subseteq \{f. range f \subseteq carrier R \land (\exists n. (\forall k \ge n. f k = 0))\}$ unfolding M-def by blast ultimately have $c:\{z\} \times M \subseteq split-poly K$ ' carrier (poly-ring R) using split-poly-image[OF assms(1) assms(2)] by fast have card ?A = card (split-poly $K - (\{z\} \times M) \cap carrier$ (poly-ring R)) using order-antisym[$OF \ a \ b$] by simp also have $\dots = card (\{z\} \times M)$ using card-vimage-inj-on[OF inj-on-bounded] c by blast also have $\dots = card (carrier R) \widehat{n}$ **by** (*simp* add:card-cartesian-product M-def card-mostly-constant-maps) finally show ?thesis by simp qed

A corollary is the classic result [1, Theorem 7.15] that there is exactly one polynomial of degree less than n interpolating n points:

corollary (in field) interpolating-polynomial-one: assumes finite K assumes $K \subseteq carrier R$ assumes $f \, K \subseteq carrier R$ shows $card \{\omega \in bounded\text{-}degree\text{-}polynomials R (card K). (\forall k \in K. eval <math>\omega k = f k\} = 1$ using interpolating-polynomials-card[OF assms(1) assms(2) assms(3), where n=0] by simp

In the case of fields with infinite carriers, it is possible to conclude that there are infinitely many polynomials of degree less than n interpolating k < n points.

```
corollary (in field) interpolating-polynomial-inf:
 assumes infinite (carrier R)
 assumes finite K K \subseteq carrier R f ` K \subseteq carrier R
 assumes n > \theta
 shows infinite \{\omega \in bounded \text{-} degree \text{-} polynomials R (card K + n). (\forall k \in K. eval)
\omega k = f k\}
   (is infinite ?A)
proof -
 have \{\} \subset \{\omega \in bounded degree-polynomials R (card K). (\forall k \in K. eval <math>\omega k = f
k)\}
   using interpolating-polynomial-one[OF assms(2) assms(3) assms(4)] by fast-
force
 also have ... \subseteq ?A
   unfolding bounded-degree-polynomials-def by auto
 finally have a:?A \neq \{\} by auto
 have card ?A = card (carrier R) \hat{n}
   using interpolating-polynomials-card [OF assms(2) assms(3) assms(4), where
n=n] by simp
 also have \dots = \theta
   using assms(1) assms(5) by simp
 finally have b: card ?A = 0 by simp
 show ?thesis using a b card-0-eq by blast
```

qed

The following is an additional independent result: The evaluation homomorphism is injective for degree one polynomials.

```
lemma (in field) eval-inj-if-degree-1:

assumes p \in carrier (poly-ring R) degree p = 1

shows inj-on (eval p) (carrier R)

proof –

obtain u v where p-def: p = [u,v] using assms

by (cases p, cases (tl p), auto)

have u \in carrier R - \{0\} using p-def assms by blast

moreover have v \in carrier R using p-def assms by blast
```

ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:p-def field-Units inj-on-def)

References

- [1] V. Shoup. A Computational Introduction to Number theory and Algebra. Cambridge university press, 2009.
- [2] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Polynomial interpolation. Archive of Formal Proofs, Jan. 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Polynomial_ Interpolation.html, Formal proof development.

qed end