

Interpolation Polynomials (in HOL-Algebra)

Emin Karayel

March 24, 2023

Abstract

A well known result from algebra is that, on any field, there is exactly one polynomial of degree less than n interpolating n points [1, §7].

This entry contains a formalization of the above result, as well as the following generalization in the case of finite fields F : There are $|F|^{m-n}$ polynomials of degree less than $m \geq n$ interpolating the same n points, where $|F|$ denotes the size of the domain of the field. To establish the result the entry also includes a formalization of Lagrange interpolation, which might be of independent interest.

The formalized results are defined on the algebraic structures from HOL-Algebra, which are distinct from the type-class based structures defined in HOL. Note that there is an existing formalization for polynomial interpolation and, in particular, Lagrange interpolation by Thiemann and Yamada [2] on the type-class based structures in HOL.

Contents

1 Bounded Degree Polynomials	1
2 Lagrange Interpolation	5
3 Cardinalities of Interpolation Polynomials	13

1 Bounded Degree Polynomials

This section contains a definition for the set of polynomials with a degree bound and establishes its cardinality.

```
theory Bounded-Degree-Polynomials  
  imports HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility  
begin
```

```
lemma (in ring) coeff-in-carrier:  $p \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R) \implies \text{coeff } p \ i \in \text{carrier } R$ 
```

using *poly-coeff-in-carrier carrier-is-subring* **by** (*simp add: univ-poly-carrier*)

definition *bounded-degree-polynomials*

where *bounded-degree-polynomials* $F\ n = \{x. x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } F) \wedge (\text{degree } x < n \vee x = [])\}$

Note: The definition for *bounded-degree-polynomials* includes the zero polynomial in *bounded-degree-polynomials* $F\ 0$. The reason for this adjustment is that, contrary to definition in HOL Algebra, most authors set the degree of the zero polynomial to $-\infty$ [1, §7.2.2]. That definition make some identities, such as $\text{deg}(fg) = \text{deg } f + \text{deg } g$ for polynomials f and g unconditionally true. In particular, it prevents an unnecessary corner case in the statement of the results established in this entry.

lemma *bounded-degree-polynomials-length*:

bounded-degree-polynomials $F\ n = \{x. x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } F) \wedge \text{length } x \leq n\}$

unfolding *bounded-degree-polynomials-def* **using** *leI order-less-le-trans* **by** *fast-force*

lemma (*in ring*) *fin-degree-bounded*:

assumes *finite* (*carrier* R)

shows *finite* (*bounded-degree-polynomials* $R\ n$)

proof –

have *bounded-degree-polynomials* $R\ n \subseteq \{p. \text{set } p \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{length } p \leq n\}$

unfolding *bounded-degree-polynomials-length*

using *assms polynomial-incl univ-poly-carrier* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

using *assms finite-lists-length-le finite-subset* **by** *fast*

qed

lemma (*in ring*) *non-empty-bounded-degree-polynomials*:

bounded-degree-polynomials $R\ k \neq \{\}$

proof –

have $0_{\text{poly-ring } R} \in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R\ k$

by (*simp add: bounded-degree-polynomials-def univ-poly-zero univ-poly-zero-closed*)

thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *in-image-by-witness*:

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies g\ x \in B \wedge f\ (g\ x) = x$

shows $A \subseteq f\ ' B$

by (*metis assms image-eqI subsetI*)

lemma *card-mostly-constant-maps*:

assumes $y \in B$

shows $\text{card } \{f. \text{range } f \subseteq B \wedge (\forall x. x \geq n \longrightarrow f\ x = y)\} = \text{card } B \wedge n$ (**is** *card* $?A = ?B$)

proof –

define f **where** $f = (\lambda f\ k. \text{if } k < n \text{ then } f\ k \text{ else } y)$

have $a: ?A \subseteq (f \text{ ‘ } (\{0..<n\} \rightarrow_E B))$
unfolding $f\text{-def}$
by (*rule in-image-by-witness*[**where** $g=\lambda f. \text{ restrict } f \{0..<n\}$], *auto*)

have $b:(f \text{ ‘ } (\{0..<n\} \rightarrow_E B)) \subseteq ?A$
using $f\text{-def}$ *assms* **by** *auto*

have $c: \text{inj-on } f (\{0..<n\} \rightarrow_E B)$
by (*rule inj-onI*, *metis PiE-E atLeastLessThan-iff ext f-def*)

have $\text{card } ?A = \text{card } (f \text{ ‘ } (\{0..<n\} \rightarrow_E B))$
using a b **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{card } (\{0..<n\} \rightarrow_E B)$
by (*metis c card-image*)
also have $\dots = \text{card } B \hat{\ } n$
by (*simp add: card-PiE[OF finite-atLeastLessThan]*)
finally show $?thesis$ **by** *simp*

qed

definition (*in ring*) build-poly **where**
 $\text{build-poly } f \ n = \text{normalize } (\text{rev } (\text{map } f \ [0..<n]))$

lemma (*in ring*) $\text{poly-degree-bound-from-coeff}$:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \geq n \implies \text{coeff } x \ k = \mathbf{0}$
shows $\text{degree } x < n \vee x = \mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R}$
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume $a: \neg(\text{degree } x < n \vee x = \mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R})$
hence $b: \text{lead-coeff } x \neq \mathbf{0}_R$
by (*metis assms(1) polynomial-def univ-poly-carrier univ-poly-zero*)
hence $\text{coeff } x (\text{degree } x) \neq \mathbf{0}$
by (*metis a lead-coeff-simp univ-poly-zero*)
moreover have $\text{degree } x \geq n$ **by** (*meson a not-le*)
ultimately show False **using** $\text{assms}(2)$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma (*in ring*) $\text{poly-degree-bound-from-coeff-1}$:
assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
assumes $\bigwedge k. k \geq n \implies \text{coeff } x \ k = \mathbf{0}$
shows $x \in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R \ n$
using $\text{poly-degree-bound-from-coeff}[OF \ \text{assms}]$
by (*simp add: bounded-degree-polynomials-def univ-poly-zero assms*)

lemma (*in ring*) length-build-poly :
 $\text{length } (\text{build-poly } f \ n) \leq n$
by (*metis length-map build-poly-def normalize-length-le length-rev length-upt less-imp-diff-less linorder-not-less*)

lemma (in ring) build-poly-degree:
 degree (build-poly f n) $\leq n-1$
 using length-build-poly diff-le-mono by presburger

lemma (in ring) build-poly-poly:
 assumes $\bigwedge i. i < n \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows build-poly f n $\in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
 unfolding build-poly-def univ-poly-carrier[symmetric]
 by (rule normalize-gives-polynomial, simp add:image-subset-iff Ball-def assms)

lemma (in ring) build-poly-coeff:
 coeff (build-poly f n) i = (if i < n then f i else 0)
proof –
 show coeff (build-poly f n) i = (if i < n then f i else 0)
 unfolding build-poly-def normalize-coeff[symmetric]
 by (cases i < n, (simp add:coeff-nth rev-nth coeff-length)+)
qed

lemma (in ring) build-poly-bounded:
 assumes $\bigwedge k. k < n \implies f k \in \text{carrier } R$
 shows build-poly f n $\in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R n$
 unfolding bounded-degree-polynomials-length
 using build-poly-poly[OF assms] length-build-poly by auto

The following establishes the total number of polynomials with a degree less than n . Unlike the results in the following sections, it is already possible to establish this property for polynomials with coefficients in a ring.

lemma (in ring) bounded-degree-polynomials-card:
 card (bounded-degree-polynomials R n) = card (carrier R) \wedge^n
proof –
 have a:coeff ‘ bounded-degree-polynomials R n $\subseteq \{f. \text{range } f \subseteq (\text{carrier } R) \wedge (\forall k \geq n. f k = 0)\}$
 by (rule image-subsetI, auto simp add:bounded-degree-polynomials-def coeff-length coeff-in-carrier)
 have b:{f. range f $\subseteq (\text{carrier } R) \wedge (\forall k \geq n. f k = 0)$ } $\subseteq \text{coeff ‘ bounded-degree-polynomials } R n$
 apply (rule in-image-by-witness[where g= $\lambda x. \text{build-poly } x n$])
 by (auto simp add:build-poly-coeff intro:build-poly-bounded)
 have inj-on coeff (carrier (poly-ring R))
 by (rule inj-onI, simp add:coeff-iff-polynomial-cond univ-poly-carrier)
 hence coeff-inj: inj-on coeff (bounded-degree-polynomials R n)
 using inj-on-subset bounded-degree-polynomials-def by blast
 have card (bounded-degree-polynomials R n) = card (coeff ‘ bounded-degree-polynomials R n)
 using coeff-inj card-image[symmetric] by blast

```

also have ... = card {f. range f  $\subseteq$  (carrier R)  $\wedge$  ( $\forall k \geq n. f k = \mathbf{0}$ )}
  by (rule arg-cong[where f=card], rule order-antisym[OF a b])
also have ... = card (carrier R) $^{\wedge}n$ 
  by (rule card-mostly-constant-maps, simp)
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed

end

```

2 Lagrange Interpolation

This section introduces the function *interpolate*, which constructs the Lagrange interpolation polynomials for a given set of points, followed by a theorem of its correctness.

```

theory Lagrange-Interpolation
  imports HOL-Algebra.Polynomial-Divisibility
begin

```

A finite product in a domain is 0 if and only if at least one factor is. This could be added to *HOL-Algebra.FiniteProduct* or *HOL-Algebra.Ring*.

```

lemma (in domain) finprod-zero-iff:
  assumes finite A
  assumes  $\bigwedge a. a \in A \implies f a \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows finprod R f A =  $\mathbf{0} \iff (\exists x \in A. f x = \mathbf{0})$ 
  using assms
proof (induct A rule: finite-induct)
  case empty
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case (insert y F)
  moreover have  $f \in F \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$  using insert by blast
  ultimately show ?case by (simp add:integral-iff)
qed

```

```

lemma (in ring) poly-of-const-in-carrier:
  assumes  $s \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows poly-of-const s  $\in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ 
  using poly-of-const-def assms
  by (simp add:univ-poly-carrier[symmetric] polynomial-def)

```

```

lemma (in ring) eval-poly-of-const:
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } R$ 
  shows eval (poly-of-const x) y = x
  using assms by (simp add:poly-of-const-def)

```

```

lemma (in ring) eval-in-carrier-2:
  assumes  $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ 

```

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{eval } x \ y \in \text{carrier } R$
using *eval-in-carrier univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl assms* **by** *blast*

lemma (in domain) *poly-mult-degree-le-1*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
shows $\text{degree } (x \otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) \leq \text{degree } x + \text{degree } y$

proof –

have $\text{degree } (x \otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) = (\text{if } x = [] \vee y = [] \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{degree } x + \text{degree } y)$

unfolding *univ-poly-mult*

by (*metis univ-poly-carrier assms(1,2) carrier-is-subring poly-mult-degree-eq*)

thus *?thesis* **by** (*metis nat-le-linear zero-le*)

qed

lemma (in domain) *poly-mult-degree-le*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
assumes $\text{degree } x \leq n$
assumes $\text{degree } y \leq m$
shows $\text{degree } (x \otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) \leq n + m$
using *poly-mult-degree-le-1 assms add-mono* **by** *force*

lemma (in domain) *poly-add-degree-le*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ $\text{degree } x \leq n$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ $\text{degree } y \leq n$
shows $\text{degree } (x \oplus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) \leq n$
using *assms poly-add-degree*
by (*metis dual-order.trans max.bounded-iff univ-poly-add*)

lemma (in domain) *poly-sub-degree-le*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ $\text{degree } x \leq n$
assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ $\text{degree } y \leq n$
shows $\text{degree } (x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) \leq n$

proof –

interpret *x:cring poly-ring R*

using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*

unfolding *a-minus-def*

using *assms univ-poly-a-inv-degree carrier-is-subring poly-add-degree-le x.a-inv-closed*

by *simp*

qed

lemma (in domain) *poly-sum-degree-le*:

assumes *finite A*
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies \text{degree } (f x) \leq n$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

shows $\text{degree} (\text{finsum} (\text{poly-ring } R) f A) \leq n$
using *assms*
proof (*induct A rule:finite-induct*)
case *empty*
interpret $x:\text{cring } \text{poly-ring } R$
using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*
show *?case using empty by (simp add:univ-poly-zero)*
next
case (*insert x F*)
interpret $x:\text{cring } \text{poly-ring } R$
using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*
have $a: \text{degree} (f x \oplus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{finsum} (\text{poly-ring } R) f F) \leq n$
using *insert poly-add-degree-le x.finsum-closed* **by** *auto*
show *?case using insert a by auto*
qed

definition (**in** *ring*) *lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux* **where**
lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux $S =$
 $(\bigotimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} s \in S. X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} (\text{poly-of-const } s))$

lemma (**in** *domain*) *lagrange-aux-eval*:

assumes *finite S*

assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R$

shows $(\text{eval} (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux } S) x) = (\bigotimes s \in S. x \ominus s)$

proof –

interpret $x:\text{ring-hom-cring } \text{poly-ring } R R (\lambda p. \text{eval } p x)$

by (*rule eval-cring-hom[OF carrier-is-subring assms(3)]*)

have $\bigwedge a. a \in S \implies X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const } a \in \text{carrier} (\text{poly-ring } R)$

by (*meson poly-of-const-in-carrier carrier-is-subring assms(2) cring.cring-simprules(4) domain-def subsetD univ-poly-is-domain var-closed(1)*)

moreover have $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{eval} (X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const } s) x = x \ominus s$

using *assms var-closed carrier-is-subring poly-of-const-in-carrier subsetD[OF assms(2)]*

by (*simp add:eval-var eval-poly-of-const*)

moreover have $a\text{-minus } R x \in S \rightarrow \text{carrier } R$

using *assms by blast*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*simp add:lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux-def x.hom-finprod cong:finprod-cong'*)

qed

lemma (**in** *domain*) *lagrange-aux-poly*:

assumes *finite S*

assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

shows *lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux* $S \in \text{carrier} (\text{poly-ring } R)$

proof –

have a :*subring* (*carrier* R) R
using *carrier-is-subring* *assms* **by** *blast*

have b : $\bigwedge a. a \in S \implies X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const } a \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
by (*meson* *poly-of-const-in-carrier* a *assms*(2) *cring.cring-simprules*(4) *domain-def* *subsetD*
univ-poly-is-domain *var-closed*(1))

interpret x :*cring* *poly-ring* R
using *carrier-is-subring* *domain.univ-poly-is-cring* *domain-axioms* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*
using *lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux-def* b *x.finprod-closed*[*OF* *Pi-I*] **by** *simp*
qed

lemma (*in domain*) *poly-prod-degree-le*:
assumes *finite* A
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
shows $\text{degree } (\text{finprod } (\text{poly-ring } R) f A) \leq (\sum x \in A. \text{degree } (f x))$
using *assms*

proof (*induct* A *rule:finite-induct*)
case *empty*
interpret x :*cring* *poly-ring* R
using *carrier-is-subring* *domain.univ-poly-is-cring* *domain-axioms* **by** *auto*
show *?case* **by** (*simp* *add:univ-poly-one*)

next
case (*insert* x F)
interpret x :*cring* *poly-ring* R
using *carrier-is-subring* *domain.univ-poly-is-cring* *domain-axioms* **by** *auto*
have a : $f \in F \rightarrow \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
using *insert* **by** *blast*
have b : $f x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
using *insert* **by** *blast*
have $\text{degree } (\text{finprod } (\text{poly-ring } R) f (\text{insert } x F)) = \text{degree } (f x \otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{finprod } (\text{poly-ring } R) f F)$
using a b *insert* **by** *simp*
also have $\dots \leq \text{degree } (f x) + \text{degree } (\text{finprod } (\text{poly-ring } R) f F)$
using *poly-mult-degree-le* x .*finprod-closed*[*OF* a] b **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \leq \text{degree } (f x) + (\sum y \in F. \text{degree } (f y))$
using *insert*(3) a *add-mono* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\sum y \in (\text{insert } x F). \text{degree } (f y))$ **using** *insert* **by** *simp*
finally show *?case* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma (*in domain*) *lagrange-aux-degree*:
assumes *finite* S
assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{degree } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux } S) \leq \text{card } S$

proof –

interpret x :*cring poly-ring R*

using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*

have $\text{degree } X \leq 1$ **by** (*simp add:var-def*)

moreover have $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies \text{degree } (\text{poly-of-const } y) \leq 1$ **by** (*simp add:poly-of-const-def*)

ultimately have $a: \bigwedge y. y \in S \implies \text{degree } (X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const } y) \leq 1$

by (*meson assms(2) in-mono poly-of-const-in-carrier poly-sub-degree-le var-closed[OF carrier-is-subring]*)

have $b: \bigwedge y. y \in S \implies (X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const } y) \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

by (*meson subsetD x.minus-closed var-closed(1)[OF carrier-is-subring] poly-of-const-in-carrier assms(2)*)

have $\text{degree } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux } S) \leq (\sum y \in S. \text{degree } (X \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const } y))$

using *lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux-def b poly-prod-degree-le[OF assms(1)]* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq (\sum y \in S. 1)$

using *sum-mono a* **by** *force*

also have $\dots = \text{card } S$ **by** *simp*

finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

definition (*in ring*) *lagrange-basis-polynomial* **where**

lagrange-basis-polynomial S x = lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux S

\otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} (\text{poly-of-const } (\bigotimes s \in S. x \ominus s)))

lemma (*in field*)

assumes *finite S*

assumes $S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } R - S$

shows

lagrange-one: eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) x = 1 **and**

lagrange-degree: degree (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) \leq \text{card } S **and**

lagrange-zero: \bigwedge s. s \in S \implies eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial S x) s = 0 **and**

lagrange-poly: lagrange-basis-polynomial S x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)

proof –

interpret x :*ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R* ($\lambda p. \text{eval } p x$)

using *assms carrier-is-subring eval-cring-hom* **by** *blast*

define p **where** $p = \text{lagrange-basis-polynomial-aux } S$

have $a: \text{eval } p x = (\bigotimes s \in S. x \ominus s)$

using *assms* **by** (*simp add:p-def lagrange-aux-eval*)

have $b: p \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ **using** *assms*

by (*simp add:p-def lagrange-aux-poly*)

have $\bigwedge y. y \in S \implies a - \text{minus } R x y \in \text{carrier } R$

```

using assms by blast

hence  $c:\text{finprod } R (a - \text{minus } R x) S \in \text{Units } R$ 
using finprod-closed[OF Pi-I] assms
by (auto simp add:field-Units finprod-zero-iff)

have  $\text{eval } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } S x) x =$ 
 $(\bigotimes s \in S. x \ominus s) \otimes \text{eval } (\text{poly-of-const } (\text{inv finprod } R (a - \text{minus } R x) S)) x$ 
using poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c p-def[symmetric]
by (simp add:lagrange-basis-polynomial-def x.hom-mult[OF b] a)
also have  $\dots = \mathbf{1}$ 
using poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c eval-poly-of-const by simp
finally show  $\text{eval } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } S x) x = \mathbf{1}$  by simp

have  $\text{degree } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } S x) \leq \text{degree } p + \text{degree } (\text{poly-of-const } (\text{inv finprod } R (a - \text{minus } R x) S))$ 
unfolding lagrange-basis-polynomial-def p-def[symmetric]
using poly-mult-degree-le[OF b] poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c by auto
also have  $\dots \leq \text{card } S + 0$ 
using add-mono lagrange-aux-degree[OF assms(1) assms(2)] p-def poly-of-const-def
by auto
finally show  $\text{degree } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } S x) \leq \text{card } S$  by simp

show  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{eval } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } S x) s = \mathbf{0}$ 
proof –
fix  $s$ 
assume  $d:s \in S$ 

interpret  $s:\text{ring-hom-cring poly-ring } R R (\lambda p. \text{eval } p s)$ 
using eval-cring-hom carrier-is-subring assms d by blast

have  $\text{eval } p s = \text{finprod } R (a - \text{minus } R s) S$ 
using subsetD[OF assms(2) d] assms
by (simp add:p-def lagrange-aux-eval)
also have  $\dots = \mathbf{0}$ 
using subsetD[OF assms(2)] d assms by (simp add:finprod-zero-iff)
finally have  $\text{eval } p s = \mathbf{0}_R$  by simp

moreover have  $\text{eval } (\text{poly-of-const } (\text{inv finprod } R (a - \text{minus } R x) S)) s \in \text{carrier } R$ 
using s.hom-closed poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c by blast

ultimately show  $\text{eval } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } S x) s = \mathbf{0}$ 
using poly-of-const-in-carrier Units-inv-closed c
by (simp add:lagrange-basis-polynomial-def Let-def p-def[symmetric] s.hom-mult[OF b])
qed

```

interpret $r:cring$ *poly-ring* R
using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*

show *lagrange-basis-polynomial* S $x \in carrier$ (*poly-ring* R)
using *lagrange-basis-polynomial-def p-def[symmetric] poly-of-const-in-carrier*
Units-inv-closed
 a b c **by** *simp*

qed

definition (**in** *ring*) *interpolate* **where**
interpolate S $f =$
 $(\bigoplus_{poly\text{-}ring\ R} s \in S. \text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } (S - \{s\})\ s \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring\ R} (\text{poly-of-const } (f\ s)))$

Let f be a function and S be a finite subset of the domain of the field. Then *interpolate* S f will return a polynomial with degree less than *card* S interpolating f on S .

theorem (**in** *field*)
assumes *finite* S
assumes $S \subseteq carrier$ R
assumes $f \cdot S \subseteq carrier$ R
shows
interpolate-poly: interpolate S $f \in carrier$ (*poly-ring* R) **and**
interpolate-degree: degree (*interpolate* S f) $\leq card$ $S - 1$ **and**
interpolate-eval: $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies eval$ (*interpolate* S f) $s = f\ s$

proof –

interpret $r:cring$ *poly-ring* R
using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*

have $a: \bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } (S - \{x\})\ x \in carrier$ (*poly-ring* R)
by (*meson lagrange-poly assms Diff-iff finite-Diff in-mono insertI1 subset-insertI2 subset-insert-iff*)

have $b: \bigwedge x. x \in S \implies f\ x \in carrier$ R **using** *assms* **by** *blast*

have $c: \bigwedge x. x \in S \implies degree$ (*lagrange-basis-polynomial* $(S - \{x\})\ x$) $\leq card$ $S - 1$
by (*metis (full-types) lagrange-degree DiffI Diff-insert-absorb assms(1) assms(2) card-Diff-singleton finite-insert insert-subset mk-disjoint-insert*)

have $d: \bigwedge x. x \in S \implies$
 $degree$ (*lagrange-basis-polynomial* $(S - \{x\})\ x \otimes_{poly\text{-}ring\ R} \text{poly-of-const } (f\ x))$
 $\leq (card\ S - 1) + 0$
using *poly-of-const-in-carrier[OF b] poly-mult-degree-le[OF a] c poly-of-const-def*
by *fastforce*

show *interpolate* S $f \in carrier$ (*poly-ring* R)
using *interpolate-def poly-of-const-in-carrier a b* **by** *simp*

```

show degree (interpolate S f) ≤ card S - 1
  using poly-sum-degree-le[OF assms(1) d] poly-of-const-in-carrier[OF b] inter-
  polate-def a by simp

have e:subring (carrier R) R
  using carrier-is-subring assms by blast

show  $\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{eval } (\text{interpolate } S f) s = f s$ 
proof -
  fix s
  assume f:s ∈ S
  interpret s:ring-hom-cring poly-ring R R (λp. eval p s)
  using eval-cring-hom[OF e] assms f by blast
  have g: $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies$ 
    eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - {i}) i)  $\otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R}$  poly-of-const (f i)
s =
  (if s = i then f s else 0)
proof -
  fix i
  assume i-in-S: i ∈ S
  have eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - {i}) i)  $\otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R}$  poly-of-const (f
i)) s =
  eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - {i}) i) s  $\otimes$  f i
  using b i-in-S poly-of-const-in-carrier
  by (simp add: s.hom-mult[OF a] eval-poly-of-const)
  also have ... = (if s = i then f s else 0)
  using b i-in-S poly-of-const-in-carrier assms f
  apply (cases s=i, simp, subst lagrange-one, auto)
  by (subst lagrange-zero, auto)
  finally show
    eval (lagrange-basis-polynomial (S - {i}) i)  $\otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R}$  poly-of-const (f i)
s =
  (if s = i then f s else 0) by simp
qed

have eval (interpolate S f) s =
  ( $\bigoplus_{x \in S. \text{eval } (\text{lagrange-basis-polynomial } (S - \{x\}) x) \otimes_{\text{poly-ring } R} \text{poly-of-const}$ 
(f x)) s)
  using poly-of-const-in-carrier[OF b] a e
  by (simp add: interpolate-def s.hom-finsum[OF Pi-I] comp-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigoplus_{x \in S. \text{if } s = x \text{ then } f s \text{ else } 0$ )
  using b g by (simp cong: finsum-cong)
  also have ... = f s
  using finsum-singleton[OF f assms(1)] f assms by auto
  finally show eval (interpolate S f) s = f s by simp
qed
qed

```

end

3 Cardinalities of Interpolation Polynomials

This section establishes the cardinalities of the set of polynomials with a degree bound interpolating a given set of points.

theory *Interpolation-Polynomial-Cardinalities*

imports *Bounded-Degree-Polynomials Lagrange-Interpolation*

begin

lemma (in *ring*) *poly-add-coeff*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

shows $\text{coeff } (x \oplus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) k = \text{coeff } x k \oplus \text{coeff } y k$

by (*metis assms univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl univ-poly-add poly-add-coeff*)

lemma (in *domain*) *poly-neg-coeff*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

shows $\text{coeff } (\ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} x) k = \ominus \text{coeff } x k$

proof –

interpret $x:\text{cring } \text{poly-ring } R$

using *assms cring-def carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms*

by *auto*

have $a:\mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R} = x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} x$

by (*metis x.r-right-minus-eq assms(1)*)

have $\mathbf{0} = \text{coeff } (\mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R}) k$ **by** (*simp add:univ-poly-zero*)

also have $\dots = \text{coeff } x k \oplus \text{coeff } (\ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} x) k$ **using** *a assms*

by (*simp add:a-minus-def poly-add-coeff*)

finally have $\mathbf{0} = \text{coeff } x k \oplus \text{coeff } (\ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} x) k$ **by** *simp*

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis local.minus-minus x.a-inv-closed sum-zero-eq-neg coeff-in-carrier assms*)

qed

lemma (in *domain*) *poly-subtract-coeff*:

assumes $x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

assumes $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

shows $\text{coeff } (x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) k = \text{coeff } x k \ominus \text{coeff } y k$

proof –

interpret $x:\text{cring } \text{poly-ring } R$

using *assms cring-def carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms*

by *auto*

show *?thesis*

using *assms* **by** (*simp add:a-minus-def poly-add-coeff poly-neg-coeff*)

qed

A polynomial with more zeros than its degree is the zero polynomial.

lemma (in *field*) *max-roots*:

assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

assumes $K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

assumes *finite* K

assumes $\text{degree } p < \text{card } K$

assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in K \implies \text{eval } p \ x = \mathbf{0}$

shows $p = \mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R}$

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume $p \neq \mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R}$

hence $a:p \neq []$ **by** (*simp add: univ-poly-zero*)

have $\bigwedge x. \text{count } (\text{mset-set } K) \ x \leq \text{count } (\text{roots } p) \ x$

proof –

fix x

show $\text{count } (\text{mset-set } K) \ x \leq \text{count } (\text{roots } p) \ x$

proof (*cases* $x \in K$)

case *True*

hence *is-root* $p \ x$

by (*meson a assms(2,5) is-ring is-root-def subsetD*)

hence $x \in \text{set-mset } (\text{roots } p)$

using *assms(1) roots-mem-iff-is-root field-def* **by** *force*

hence $1 \leq \text{count } (\text{roots } p) \ x$ **by** *simp*

moreover have $\text{count } (\text{mset-set } K) \ x = 1$ **using** *True assms(3)* **by** *simp*

ultimately show *?thesis* **by** *presburger*

next

case *False*

hence $\text{count } (\text{mset-set } K) \ x = 0$ **by** *simp*

then show *?thesis* **by** *presburger*

qed

qed

hence $\text{mset-set } K \subseteq \# \text{ roots } p$

by (*simp add: subseteq-mset-def*)

hence $\text{card } K \leq \text{size } (\text{roots } p)$

by (*metis size-mset-mono size-mset-set*)

moreover have $\text{size } (\text{roots } p) \leq \text{degree } p$

using *a size-roots-le-degree assms* **by** *auto*

ultimately show *False* **using** *assms(4)*

by (*meson leD less-le-trans*)

qed

definition (in *ring*) *split-poly*

where *split-poly* $K \ p = (\text{restrict } (\text{eval } p) \ K, \lambda k. \text{coeff } p \ (k + \text{card } K))$

To establish the count of the number of polynomials of degree less than n interpolating a function f on K where $|K| \leq n$, the function *split-poly* K establishes a bijection between the polynomials of degree less than n and the values of the polynomials on K in combination with the coefficients of order $|K|$ and greater.

For the injectivity: Note that the difference of two polynomials whose coefficients of order $|K|$ and larger agree must have a degree less than $|K|$ and because their values agree on k points, it must have $|K|$ zeros and hence is the zero polynomial.

For the surjectivity: Let p be a polynomial whose coefficients larger than $|K|$ are chosen, and all other coefficients be 0. Now it is possible to find a polynomial q interpolating $f - p$ on K using Lagrange interpolation. Then $p + q$ will interpolate f on K and because the degree of q is less than $|K|$ its coefficients of order $|K|$ will be the same as those of p .

A tempting question is whether it would be easier to instead establish a bijection between the polynomials of degree less than n and its values on $K \cup K'$ where K' are arbitrarily chosen $n - |K|$ points in the field. This approach is indeed easier, however, it fails for the case where the size of the field is less than n .

lemma (in field) *split-poly-inj*:

assumes *finite K*

assumes $K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

shows *inj-on (split-poly K) (carrier (poly-ring R))*

proof

fix x

fix y

assume $a1: x \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

assume $a2: y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

assume $a3: \text{split-poly } K \ x = \text{split-poly } K \ y$

interpret $x: \text{cring } \text{poly-ring } R$

using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* **by** *auto*

have $x\text{-}y\text{-carrier}: x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ **using** $a1 \ a2$ **by** *simp*

have $\bigwedge k. \text{coeff } x \ (k + \text{card } K) = \text{coeff } y \ (k + \text{card } K)$

using $a3$ **by** (*simp add:split-poly-def, meson*)

hence $\bigwedge k. \text{coeff } (x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) \ (k + \text{card } K) = \mathbf{0}$

using *coeff-in-carrier a1 a2* **by** (*simp add:poly-subtract-coeff*)

hence $\text{degree } (x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) < \text{card } K \vee (x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) = \mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R}$

by (*metis poly-degree-bound-from-coeff add.commute le-iff-add x-y-carrier*)

moreover **have** $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \text{eval } x \ k = \text{eval } y \ k$

using $a3$ **by** (*simp add:split-poly-def restrict-def, meson*)

hence $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \text{eval } x \ k \ominus \text{eval } y \ k = \mathbf{0}$

by (*metis eval-in-carrier univ-poly-carrier polynomial-incl a1 assms(2) in-mono r-right-minus-eq*)

hence $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \text{eval } (x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y) \ k = \mathbf{0}$

using $a1 \ a2$ *subsetD[OF assms(2)] carrier-is-subring*

by (*simp add: ring-hom-cring.hom-sub[OF eval-cring-hom]*)

ultimately **have** $x \ominus_{\text{poly-ring } R} y = \mathbf{0}_{\text{poly-ring } R}$

using *max-roots x-y-carrier assms* **by** *blast*

then **show** $x = y$

using $x.r\text{-right-minus-eq}[OF\ a1\ a2]$ by *simp*
qed

lemma (in *field*) *split-poly-image*:

assumes *finite K*

assumes $K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$

shows $\text{split-poly } K \text{ ' carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R) \supseteq$

$(K \rightarrow_E \text{carrier } R) \times \{f. \text{range } f \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists n. \forall k \geq n. f\ k = \mathbf{0}_R)\}$

proof (rule *subsetI*)

fix x

assume $a: x \in (K \rightarrow_E \text{carrier } R) \times \{f. \text{range } f \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists (n::\text{nat}). \forall k \geq n. f\ k = \mathbf{0})\}$

have $a1: \text{fst } x \in (K \rightarrow_E \text{carrier } R)$

using a by (*simp add: mem-Times-iff*)

obtain n where $a2: \text{snd } x \in \{f. \text{range } f \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall k \geq n. f\ k = \mathbf{0})\}$

using a *mem-Times-iff* by *force*

have $a3: \bigwedge y. \text{snd } x\ y \in \text{carrier } R$ using $a2$ by *blast*

define w where $w = \text{build-poly } (\lambda i. \text{if } i \geq \text{card } K \text{ then } (\text{snd } x\ (i - \text{card } K)) \text{ else } \mathbf{0}) (\text{card } K + n)$

have $w\text{-carr}: w \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

unfolding $w\text{-def}$ by (rule *build-poly-poly*, *simp add: a3*)

have $w\text{-eval-range}: \bigwedge x. x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{local.eval } w\ x \in \text{carrier } R$

proof –

fix x

assume $w\text{-eval-range-1}: x \in \text{carrier } R$

interpret $x: \text{ring-hom-cring } \text{poly-ring } R\ R (\lambda p. \text{eval } p\ x)$

using *eval-cring-hom*[*OF carrier-is-subring*] *assms w-eval-range-1* by *blast*

show $\text{eval } w\ x \in \text{carrier } R$

by (rule *x.hom-closed*[*OF w-carr*])

qed

interpret $r: \text{cring } \text{poly-ring } R$

using *carrier-is-subring domain.univ-poly-is-cring domain-axioms* by *auto*

define y where $y = \text{interpolate } K (\lambda k. \text{fst } x\ k \ominus \text{eval } w\ k)$

define r where $r = y \oplus_{\text{poly-ring } R} w$

have $x\text{-minus-}w\text{-in-carrier}: \bigwedge z. z \in K \implies \text{fst } x\ z \ominus \text{eval } w\ z \in \text{carrier } R$

using $a1$ *PiE-def Pi-def minus-closed subsetD*[*OF assms(2)*] $w\text{-eval-range}$ by *auto*

have $y\text{-poly}: y \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ unfolding $y\text{-def}$

using $x\text{-minus-}w\text{-in-carrier}$ *interpolate-poly*[*OF assms(1) assms(2)*] *image-subsetI* by *force*

have $y\text{-degree}: \text{degree } y \leq \text{card } K - 1$

unfolding y -def
using x -minus- w -in-carrier interpolate-degree[OF assms(1) assms(2)] image-subsetI
by force

have y -len: length $y \leq \text{card } K$
proof (cases $K = \{\}$)
 case True
 then show ?thesis
 by (simp add: y -def interpolate-def univ-poly-zero)
next
 case False
 then show ?thesis
 by (metis y -degree Suc-le-D assms(1) card-gt-0-iff diff-Suc-1 not-less-eq-eq
order.strict-iff-not)
qed

have r -poly: $r \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$
using r -def y -poly w -carr **by** simp

have coeff- r : $\bigwedge k. \text{coeff } r (k + \text{card } K) = \text{snd } x k$
proof –

fix $k :: \text{nat}$
 have y -len': length $y \leq k + \text{card } K$ **using** y -len trans-le-add2 **by** blast
 have coeff $r (k + \text{card } K) = \text{coeff } y (k + \text{card } K) \oplus \text{coeff } w (k + \text{card } K)$
 by (simp add: r -def poly-add-coeff[OF y -poly w -carr])
 also have ... = $\mathbf{0} \oplus \text{coeff } w (k + \text{card } K)$
 using coeff-length[OF y -len'] **by** simp
 also have ... = coeff $w (k + \text{card } K)$
 using coeff-in-carrier[OF w -carr] **by** simp
 also have ... = $\text{snd } x k$
 using a2 **by** (simp add: w -def build-poly-coeff not-less)
 finally show coeff $r (k + \text{card } K) = \text{snd } x k$ **by** simp
qed

have eval- r : $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \text{eval } r k = \text{fst } x k$

proof –
 fix k
 assume $b:k \in K$
 interpret s :ring-hom-cring poly-ring $R R (\lambda p. \text{eval } p k)$
 using eval-cring-hom[OF carrier-is-subring] assms b **by** blast

have k -carr: $k \in \text{carrier } R$ **using** assms(2) b **by** blast

have $\text{fst } x k$ -carr: $\bigwedge k. k \in K \implies \text{fst } x k \in \text{carrier } R$

using a1 PiE-def Pi-def **by** blast

have eval $r k = \text{eval } y k \oplus \text{eval } w k$

using y -poly w -carr **by** (simp add: r -def)

also have ... = $\text{fst } x k \oplus \text{local.eval } w k \oplus \text{local.eval } w k$

using assms b x -minus- w -in-carrier

by (simp add: y -def interpolate-eval[OF - - image-subsetI])

also have $\dots = \text{fst } x \ k \oplus (\ominus \text{local.eval } w \ k \oplus \text{local.eval } w \ k)$
using $\text{fst-x-k-carr}[OF \ b] \ \text{w-eval-range}[OF \ k\text{-carr}]$
by $(\text{simp } \text{add:a-minus-def } \text{a-assoc})$
also have $\dots = \text{fst } x \ k$
using $\text{fst-x-k-carr}[OF \ b] \ \text{w-eval-range}[OF \ k\text{-carr}]$
by $(\text{simp } \text{add:a-comm } \text{r-neg})$
finally show $\text{eval } r \ k = \text{fst } x \ k$ **by** simp
qed

have $r \in (\text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R))$
by $(\text{metis } \text{r-poly})$
moreover have $\bigwedge y. (\text{if } y \in K \text{ then } \text{eval } r \ y \text{ else undefined}) = \text{fst } x \ y$
using $\text{a1 } \text{eval-r } \text{PiE-E}$ **by** auto
hence $\text{split-poly } K \ r = x$
by $(\text{simp } \text{add:split-poly-def } \text{prod-eq-iff } \text{coeff-r } \text{restrict-def})$
ultimately show $x \in \text{split-poly } K \ ' (\text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R))$
by blast
qed

This is like *card-vimage-inj* but supports *inj-on* instead.

lemma *card-vimage-inj-on*:
assumes $\text{inj-on } f \ B$
assumes $A \subseteq f \ ' \ B$
shows $\text{card } (f \ -' \ A \cap B) = \text{card } A$
proof $-$
have $A = f \ ' (f \ -' \ A \cap B)$ **using** $\text{assms}(2)$ **by** auto
thus ?thesis **using** assms *card-image*
by $(\text{metis } \text{inf-le2 } \text{inj-on-subset})$
qed

lemma *inv-subsetI*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies f \ x \in B \implies x \in C$
shows $f \ -' \ B \cap A \subseteq C$
using assms **by** force

The following establishes the main result of this section: There are $|F|^{n-k}$ polynomials of degree less than n interpolating $k \leq n$ points.

lemma *restrict-eq-imp*:
assumes $\text{restrict } f \ A = \text{restrict } g \ A$
assumes $x \in A$
shows $f \ x = g \ x$
by $(\text{metis } \text{restrict-def } \text{assms})$

theorem *(in field) interpolating-polynomials-card*:
assumes $\text{finite } K$
assumes $K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \ ' \ K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{card } \{\omega \in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R \ (\text{card } K + n). (\forall k \in K. \text{eval } \omega \ k = f \ k)\} = \text{card } (\text{carrier } R)^{\wedge n}$

(is card ?A = ?B)

proof –

define z **where** $z = \text{restrict } f \ K$

define M **where** $M = \{f. \text{ range } f \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall k \geq n. f \ k = \mathbf{0})\}$

hence *inj-on-bounded*: *inj-on* (*split-poly* K) (*carrier* (*poly-ring* R))

using *split-poly-inj*[*OF* *assms*(1) *assms*(2)] **by** *blast*

have $?A \subseteq \text{split-poly } K - ' (\{z\} \times M)$

unfolding *split-poly-def* *z-def* *M-def* *bounded-degree-polynomials-length*

by (*rule subsetI*, *auto intro!*:*coeff-in-carrier* *coeff-length*)

moreover **have** $?A \subseteq \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

unfolding *bounded-degree-polynomials-length* **by** *blast*

ultimately **have** $a: ?A \subseteq \text{split-poly } K - ' (\{z\} \times M) \cap \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

by *blast*

have $\bigwedge x \ k. (\lambda k. \text{coeff } x \ (k + \text{card } K)) \in M \implies k \geq n + \text{card } K \implies \text{coeff } x \ k = \mathbf{0}$

by (*simp add*:*M-def*, *metis* *Nat.le-diff-conv2* *Nat.le-imp-diff-is-add* *add-leD2*)

hence *split-poly* $K - ' (\{z\} \times M) \cap \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R) \subseteq \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R \ (\text{card } K + n)$

unfolding *split-poly-def* *z-def* **using** *poly-degree-bound-from-coeff-1* *inv-subsetI*

by *force*

moreover **have** $\bigwedge \omega \ k. \omega \in \text{split-poly } K - ' (\{z\} \times M) \cap \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R) \implies k \in K \implies \text{eval } \omega \ k = f \ k$

unfolding *split-poly-def* *z-def* **using** *restrict-eq-imp* **by** *fastforce*

ultimately **have** $b: \text{split-poly } K - ' (\{z\} \times M) \cap \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R) \subseteq ?A$

by *blast*

have $z \in K \rightarrow_E \text{carrier } R$

unfolding *z-def* **using** *assms*(3) **by** *auto*

moreover **have** $M \subseteq \{f. \text{ range } f \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists n. (\forall k \geq n. f \ k = \mathbf{0}))\}$

unfolding *M-def* **by** *blast*

ultimately **have** $c: \{z\} \times M \subseteq \text{split-poly } K - ' \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$

using *split-poly-image*[*OF* *assms*(1) *assms*(2)] **by** *fast*

have $\text{card } ?A = \text{card } (\text{split-poly } K - ' (\{z\} \times M) \cap \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R))$

using *order-antisym*[*OF* *a* *b*] **by** *simp*

also **have** $\dots = \text{card } (\{z\} \times M)$

using *card-vimage-inj-on*[*OF* *inj-on-bounded*] *c* **by** *blast*

also **have** $\dots = \text{card } (\text{carrier } R)^{\hat{n}}$

by (*simp add*:*card-cartesian-product* *M-def* *card-mostly-constant-maps*)

finally **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*

qed

A corollary is the classic result [1, Theorem 7.15] that there is exactly one polynomial of degree less than n interpolating n points:

corollary (in *field*) *interpolating-polynomial-one*:
assumes *finite* K

assumes $K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
assumes $f \text{ ' } K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
shows $\text{card } \{\omega \in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R (\text{card } K). (\forall k \in K. \text{eval } \omega \ k = f \ k)\} = 1$
using *interpolating-polynomials-card*[*OF* *assms*(1) *assms*(2) *assms*(3), **where** $n=0$]
by *simp*

In the case of fields with infinite carriers, it is possible to conclude that there are infinitely many polynomials of degree less than n interpolating $k < n$ points.

corollary (*in field*) *interpolating-polynomial-inf*:
assumes *infinite* (*carrier* R)
assumes *finite* K $K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$ $f \text{ ' } K \subseteq \text{carrier } R$
assumes $n > 0$
shows *infinite* $\{\omega \in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R (\text{card } K + n). (\forall k \in K. \text{eval } \omega \ k = f \ k)\}$
(is infinite ?A)
proof –
have $\{\} \subseteq \{\omega \in \text{bounded-degree-polynomials } R (\text{card } K). (\forall k \in K. \text{eval } \omega \ k = f \ k)\}$
using *interpolating-polynomial-one*[*OF* *assms*(2) *assms*(3) *assms*(4)] **by** *fast-force*
also have $\dots \subseteq ?A$
unfolding *bounded-degree-polynomials-def* **by** *auto*
finally have $a: ?A \neq \{\}$ **by** *auto*

have $\text{card } ?A = \text{card } (\text{carrier } R) \hat{=} n$
using *interpolating-polynomials-card*[*OF* *assms*(2) *assms*(3) *assms*(4), **where** $n=n$] **by** *simp*
also have $\dots = 0$
using *assms*(1) *assms*(5) **by** *simp*
finally have $b: \text{card } ?A = 0$ **by** *simp*

show *?thesis* **using** $a \ b \ \text{card-0-eq}$ **by** *blast*
qed

The following is an additional independent result: The evaluation homomorphism is injective for degree one polynomials.

lemma (*in field*) *eval-inj-if-degree-1*:
assumes $p \in \text{carrier } (\text{poly-ring } R)$ $\text{degree } p = 1$
shows *inj-on* (*eval* p) (*carrier* R)
proof –
obtain $u \ v$ **where** $p\text{-def}: p = [u, v]$ **using** *assms*
by (*cases* p , *cases* (*tl* p), *auto*)

have $u \in \text{carrier } R - \{0\}$ **using** $p\text{-def}$ *assms* **by** *blast*
moreover have $v \in \text{carrier } R$ **using** $p\text{-def}$ *assms* **by** *blast*
ultimately show *?thesis* **by** (*simp* *add:p-def* *field-Units* *inj-on-def*)

qed

end

References

- [1] V. Shoup. *A Computational Introduction to Number theory and Algebra*. Cambridge university press, 2009.
- [2] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Polynomial interpolation. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Jan. 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Polynomial_Interpolation.html, Formal proof development.