

Imperative Insertion Sort

Christian Sternagel

May 23, 2019

Contents

1	Looping Constructs for Imperative HOL	1
1.1	While Loops	1
1.2	For Loops	3
2	Insertion Sort	4
2.1	The Algorithm	4
2.2	Partial Correctness	6
2.3	Total Correctness	7

1 Looping Constructs for Imperative HOL

```
theory Imperative-Loops
imports HOL-Imperative-HOL.Imperative-HOL
begin
```

1.1 While Loops

We would have liked to restrict to read-only loop conditions using a condition of type $heap \Rightarrow bool$ together with tap . However, this does not allow for code generation due to breaking the heap-abstraction.

```
partial-function (heap) while :: bool Heap  $\Rightarrow$  'b Heap  $\Rightarrow$  unit Heap
where
  [code]: while p f = do {
    b  $\leftarrow$  p;
    if b then f  $\gg$  while p f
    else return ()
  }
```

```
definition cond p h  $\longleftrightarrow$  fst (the (execute p h))
```

A locale that restricts to read-only loop conditions.

```
locale ro-cond =
  fixes p :: bool Heap
```

assumes *read-only*: $\text{success } p \ h \implies \text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{execute } p \ h)) = h$
begin

lemma *ro-cond*: $\text{ro-cond } p$
<proof>

lemma *cond-cases* [*execute-simps*]:
 $\text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{execute } p \ h = \text{Some } (\text{True}, h)$
 $\text{success } p \ h \implies \neg \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{execute } p \ h = \text{Some } (\text{False}, h)$
<proof>

lemma *execute-while-unfolds* [*execute-simps*]:
 $\text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{execute } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h = \text{execute } (f \gg \text{while } p \ f) \ h$
 $\text{success } p \ h \implies \neg \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{execute } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h = \text{execute } (\text{return } ()) \ h$
<proof>

lemma
success-while-cond: $\text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{effect } f \ h \ h' \ r \implies \text{success } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h' \implies$
 $\text{success } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h$ **and**
success-while-not-cond: $\text{success } p \ h \implies \neg \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{success } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h$
<proof>

lemma *success-cond-effect*:
 $\text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{effect } p \ h \ h \ \text{True}$
<proof>

lemma *success-not-cond-effect*:
 $\text{success } p \ h \implies \neg \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{effect } p \ h \ h \ \text{False}$
<proof>

end

The loop-condition does no longer hold after the loop is finished.

lemma *ro-cond-effect-while-post*:
assumes *ro-cond* p
and $\text{effect } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h \ h' \ r$
shows $\text{success } p \ h' \wedge \neg \text{cond } p \ h'$
<proof>

A rule for proving partial correctness of while loops.

lemma *ro-cond-effect-while-induct*:
assumes *ro-cond* p
assumes $\text{effect } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h \ h' \ u$
and $I \ h$
and $\bigwedge h \ h' \ u. I \ h \implies \text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{effect } f \ h \ h' \ u \implies I \ h'$
shows $I \ h'$
<proof>

lemma *effect-success-conv*:
 $(\exists h'. \text{effect } c \ h \ h' \ () \wedge I \ h') \longleftrightarrow \text{success } c \ h \wedge I \ (\text{snd } (\text{the } (\text{execute } c \ h)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

context *ro-cond*
begin

lemmas
effect-while-post = *ro-cond-effect-while-post* [*OF ro-cond*] **and**
effect-while-induct [*consumes 1, case-names base step*] = *ro-cond-effect-while-induct*
 [*OF ro-cond*]

A rule for proving total correctness of while loops.

lemma *wf-while-induct* [*consumes 1, case-names success-cond success-body base step*]:

assumes *wf R* — a well-founded relation on heaps proving termination of the loop
and *success-p*: $\bigwedge h. I \ h \implies \text{success } p \ h$ — the loop-condition terminates
and *success-f*: $\bigwedge h. I \ h \implies \text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{success } f \ h$ — the loop-body terminates
and *I h* — the invariant holds before the loop is entered
and *step*: $\bigwedge h \ h' \ r. I \ h \implies \text{success } p \ h \implies \text{cond } p \ h \implies \text{effect } f \ h \ h' \ r \implies (h', h) \in R \wedge I \ h'$
 — the invariant is preserved by iterating the loop
shows $\exists h'. \text{effect } (\text{while } p \ f) \ h \ h' \ () \wedge I \ h'$
 ⟨proof⟩

A rule for proving termination of while loops.

lemmas
success-while-induct [*consumes 1, case-names success-cond success-body base step*]
 =
wf-while-induct [*unfolded effect-success-conv, THEN conjunct1*]

end

1.2 For Loops

fun *for* :: 'a list \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b Heap) \Rightarrow unit Heap
where
for [] *f* = *return* () |
for (x # xs) *f* = *f* x \gg *for* xs *f*

A rule for proving partial correctness of for loops.

lemma *effect-for-induct* [*consumes 2, case-names base step*]:

assumes $i \leq j$
and *effect* (*for* [i ..< j] *f*) *h* *h'* *u*
and *I i h*
and $\bigwedge k \ h \ h' \ r. i \leq k \implies k < j \implies I \ k \ h \implies \text{effect } (f \ k) \ h \ h' \ r \implies I \ (\text{Suc } k) \ h'$

shows $I j h'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A rule for proving total correctness of for loops.

lemma *for-induct* [*consumes 1, case-names succeed base step*]:

assumes $i \leq j$
and $\bigwedge k h. I k h \implies i \leq k \implies k < j \implies \text{success } (f k) h$
and $I i h$
and $\bigwedge k h h' r. I k h \implies i \leq k \implies k < j \implies \text{effect } (f k) h h' r \implies I (Suc k) h'$
shows $\exists h'. \text{effect } (\text{for } [i ..< j] f) h h' () \wedge I j h' \text{ (is ?P } i h)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

A rule for proving termination of for loops.

lemmas

success-for-induct [*consumes 1, case-names succeed base step*] =
for-induct [*unfolded effect-success-conv, THEN conjunctI*]

end

2 Insertion Sort

theory *Imperative-Insertion-Sort*

imports

Imperative-Loops

HOL-Library.Multiset

begin

2.1 The Algorithm

abbreviation

array-update :: $'a::\text{heap array} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ array Heap } ((-)'(-) \leftarrow / -) [1000, 0, 13] 14)$

where

$a.(i) \leftarrow x \equiv \text{Array.upd } i x a$

abbreviation *array-nth* :: $'a::\text{heap array} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Heap } (-)'(-) [1000, 0] 14)$

where

$a.(i) \equiv \text{Array.nth } a i$

A definition of insertion sort as given by Cormen et al. in *Introduction to Algorithms*. Compared to the informal textbook version the variant below is a bit unwieldy due to explicit dereferencing of variables on the heap.

To avoid ambiguities with existing syntax we use OCaml's notation for accessing ($a.(i)$) and updating ($a.(i) \leftarrow x$) an array a at position i .

definition

insertion-sort $a = \text{do } \{$

```

l ← Array.len a;
for [1 ..< l] (λj. do {
  — Insert a[j] into the sorted subarray a[1 .. j - 1].
  key ← a.(j);
  i ← ref j;
  while (do {
    i' ← ! i;
    if i' > 0 then do {x ← a.(i' - 1); return (x > key)}
    else return False})
  (do {
    i' ← ! i;
    x ← a.(i' - 1);
    a.(i') ← x;
    i := i' - 1
  });
  i' ← ! i;
  a.(i') ← key
})
}

```

The following definitions decompose the nested loops of the algorithm into more manageable chunks.

definition *shiftr-p* a (key::'a::{heap, linorder}) i =
 (do {i' ← ! i; if i' > 0 then do {x ← a.(i' - 1); return (x > key)} else return False})

definition *shiftr-f* a i = do {
 i' ← ! i;
 x ← a.(i' - 1);
 a.(i') ← x;
 i := i' - 1
 }

definition *shiftr* a key i = while (shiftr-p a key i) (shiftr-f a i)

definition *insert-elt* a = (λj. do {
 key ← a.(j);
 i ← ref j;
 shiftr a key i;
 i' ← ! i;
 a.(i') ← key
 })

definition *sort-upto* a = (λl. for [1 ..< l] (insert-elt a))

lemma *insertion-sort-alt-def*:
insertion-sort a = (Array.len a ≫= sort-upto a)
 ⟨proof⟩

2.2 Partial Correctness

lemma *effect-shiftr-f*:

assumes *effect* (*shiftr-f a i*) *h h' u*

shows $\text{Ref.get } h' \ i = \text{Ref.get } h \ i - 1 \wedge$

$\text{Array.get } h' \ a = \text{list-update } (\text{Array.get } h \ a) \ (\text{Ref.get } h \ i) \ (\text{Array.get } h \ a \ !$
 $(\text{Ref.get } h \ i - 1))$

<proof>

lemma *success-shiftr-p*:

$\text{Ref.get } h \ i < \text{Array.length } h \ a \implies \text{success } (\text{shiftr-p } a \ \text{key } i) \ h$

<proof>

interpretation *ro-shiftr-p*: *ro-cond shiftr-p a key i for a key i*

<proof>

definition [*simp*]: $\text{ini } h \ a \ j = \text{take } j \ (\text{Array.get } h \ a)$

definition [*simp*]: $\text{left } h \ a \ i = \text{take } (\text{Ref.get } h \ i) \ (\text{Array.get } h \ a)$

definition [*simp*]: $\text{right } h \ a \ j \ i = \text{take } (j - \text{Ref.get } h \ i) \ (\text{drop } (\text{Ref.get } h \ i + 1) \ (\text{Array.get } h \ a))$

definition [*simp*]: $\text{both } h \ a \ j \ i = \text{left } h \ a \ i \ @ \ \text{right } h \ a \ j \ i$

lemma *effect-shiftr*:

assumes $\text{Ref.get } h \ i = j$ (**is** $?i \ h = -$)

and $j < \text{Array.length } h \ a$

and *sorted* ($\text{take } j \ (\text{Array.get } h \ a)$)

and *effect* (*while* (*shiftr-p a key i*) (*shiftr-f a i*)) *h h' u*

shows $\text{Array.length } h \ a = \text{Array.length } h' \ a \wedge$

$?i \ h' \leq j \wedge$

$\text{mset } (\text{list-update } (\text{Array.get } h \ a) \ j \ \text{key}) =$

$\text{mset } (\text{list-update } (\text{Array.get } h' \ a) \ (?i \ h') \ \text{key}) \wedge$

$\text{ini } h \ a \ j = \text{both } h' \ a \ j \ i \wedge$

sorted ($\text{both } h' \ a \ j \ i$) \wedge

$(\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{right } h' \ a \ j \ i). \ x > \text{key})$

<proof>

lemma *sorted-take-nth*:

assumes $0 < i$ **and** $i < \text{length } xs$ **and** $xs \ ! \ (i - 1) \leq y$

and *sorted* ($\text{take } i \ xs$)

shows $\forall x \in \text{set } (\text{take } i \ xs). \ x \leq y$

<proof>

lemma *effect-for-insert-elt*:

assumes $l \leq \text{Array.length } h \ a$

and $1 \leq l$

and *effect* (*for* [$1 \ .. < l$] (*insert-elt a*)) *h h' u*

shows $Array.length\ h\ a = Array.length\ h'\ a \wedge$
 $sorted\ (take\ l\ (Array.get\ h'\ a)) \wedge$
 $mset\ (Array.get\ h\ a) = mset\ (Array.get\ h'\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *effect-insertion-sort*:

assumes $effect\ (insertion-sort\ a)\ h\ h'\ u$
shows $mset\ (Array.get\ h\ a) = mset\ (Array.get\ h'\ a) \wedge sorted\ (Array.get\ h'\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

2.3 Total Correctness

lemma *success-shiftr-f*:

assumes $Ref.get\ h\ i < Array.length\ h\ a$
shows $success\ (shiftr-f\ a\ i)\ h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *success-shiftr*:

assumes $Ref.get\ h\ i < Array.length\ h\ a$
shows $success\ (while\ (shiftr-p\ a\ key\ i)\ (shiftr-f\ a\ i))\ h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *effect-shiftr-index*:

assumes $effect\ (shiftr\ a\ key\ i)\ h\ h'\ u$
shows $Ref.get\ h'\ i \leq Ref.get\ h\ i$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *effect-shiftr-length*:

assumes $effect\ (shiftr\ a\ key\ i)\ h\ h'\ u$
shows $Array.length\ h'\ a = Array.length\ h\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *success-insert-elt*:

assumes $k < Array.length\ h\ a$
shows $success\ (insert-elt\ a\ k)\ h$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *for-insert-elt-correct*:

assumes $l \leq Array.length\ h\ a$
and $1 \leq l$
shows $\exists h'. effect\ (for\ [1\ ..<\ l]\ (insert-elt\ a))\ h\ h'\ () \wedge$
 $Array.length\ h\ a = Array.length\ h'\ a \wedge$
 $sorted\ (take\ l\ (Array.get\ h'\ a)) \wedge$
 $mset\ (Array.get\ h\ a) = mset\ (Array.get\ h'\ a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *insertion-sort-correct*:

$\exists h'. effect\ (insertion-sort\ a)\ h\ h'\ u \wedge$
 $mset\ (Array.get\ h\ a) = mset\ (Array.get\ h'\ a) \wedge$

```
sorted (Array.get h' a)
⟨proof⟩

export-code insertion-sort in Haskell

end
```