

# The Hidden Number Problem

Sage Binder, Eric Ren, and Katherine Kosaian

September 1, 2025

## Abstract

In this entry, we formalize the Hidden Number Problem (HNP), originally introduced by Boneh and Venkatesan in 1996 [2]. Intuitively, the HNP involves demonstrating the existence of an algorithm (the “adversary”) which can compute (with high probability) a hidden number  $\alpha$  given access to a bit-leaking oracle. Originally developed to establish the security of Diffie–Hellman key exchange, the HNP has since been used not only for protocol security but also in cryptographic attacks, including notable ones on DSA and ECDSA.

Additionally, the HNP makes use of an instance of Babai’s nearest plane algorithm [1], which solves the approximate closest vector problem. Thus, building on the LLL algorithm [5] (which has already been formalized [4, 3, 6]), we formalize Babai’s algorithm, which itself is of independent interest. Our formalizations of Babai’s algorithm and the HNP adversary are executable, setting up potential future work, e.g. in developing formally verified instances of cryptographic attacks.

Note, our formalization of Babai’s algorithm here is an updated version of a previous AFP entry of ours. The updates include a tighter error bound, which is required for our HNP proof.

## Contents

<b>1 SPMF and PMF helper lemmas</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 General helper lemmas</b>	<b>14</b>
2.1 Casting lemmas . . . . .	19
<b>3 HNP adversary locale</b>	<b>26</b>
<b>4 HNP locales</b>	<b>27</b>
4.0.1 Arithmetic locale . . . . .	27
4.1 Main HNP locale . . . . .	36
4.2 Uniqueness lemma . . . . .	36
4.2.1 Lattice construction and lemmas . . . . .	37
4.2.2 dist-p definition and lemmas . . . . .	66

4.2.3	Uniqueness lemma argument . . . . .	76
4.2.4	Main uniqueness lemma statement . . . . .	86
4.3	Main theorem . . . . .	88
4.3.1	Oracle definition . . . . .	88
4.3.2	Apply Babai lemmas to adversary . . . . .	88
4.3.3	Main theorem statement . . . . .	103
<b>5</b>	<b>Some MSB instantiations and lemmas</b>	<b>104</b>
5.1	Bit-shift MSB . . . . .	104
5.2	MSB-p . . . . .	105
<b>6</b>	<b>This theory demonstrates an example of the executable adversary.</b>	<b>107</b>
<b>theory</b>	<i>Misc-PMF</i>	
<b>imports</b>		
	<i>HOL-Probability</i> . <i>Probability</i>	
	<i>LLL-Basis-Reduction</i> . <i>LLL-Impl</i>	
<b>begin</b>		

```
definition replicate-spmf :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'b pmf  $\Rightarrow$  'b list spmf where
replicate-spmf m p = spmf-of-pmf (replicate-pmf m p)
```

The preceding *replicate-spmf* definition is copied from *CRYSTALS-Kyber-Security*. We do this to avoid loading the entire library. In fact, we do not need *replicate-spmf* at all for the HNP. However, for each *replicate-pmf* result we prove here, we also prove a corresponding *replicate-spmf* result. The *replicate-spmf* results are here for completeness, but not needed for the HNP.

## 1 SPMF and PMF helper lemmas

```
lemma spmf-eq-element: spmf (p  $\gg$  ( $\lambda x$ . return-spmf (x = t))) True = spmf p t
proof-
have *: map-option ( $\lambda a$ . a = t)  $-^c \{Some\ True\} = \{Some\ t\}$  by fastforce
have (p  $\gg$  ( $\lambda x$ . return-spmf (x = t))) = map-spmf ( $\lambda a$ . a = t) p
by (simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf)
also have spmf ... True = spmf p t by (simp add: pmf-def *)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma pmf-true-false:
fixes p :: 'a pmf
fixes P Q :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
defines a  $\equiv$  p  $\gg$  ( $\lambda x$ . return-pmf (P x))
defines b  $\equiv$  p  $\gg$  ( $\lambda x$ . return-pmf ( $\neg$  P x))
shows pmf a True = pmf b False
```

```

proof-
  have  $a = \text{map-pmf } P p$  by (simp add: a-def map-pmf-def)
  moreover have  $b = \text{map-pmf } (\lambda x. \neg P x) p$  by (simp add: b-def map-pmf-def)
  moreover have  $P -` \{\text{True}\} = (\lambda x. \neg P x) -` \{\text{False}\}$  by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: pmf-def)
qed

lemma spmf-true-false:
  fixes  $p :: 'a \text{ spmf}$ 
  fixes  $P Q :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  defines  $a \equiv p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-spmf } (P x))$ 
  defines  $b \equiv p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-spmf } (\neg P x))$ 
  shows  $\text{spmf } a \text{ True} = \text{spmf } b \text{ False}$ 
proof-
  have  $a = \text{map-spmf } P p$  by (simp add: a-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf)
  moreover have  $b = \text{map-spmf } (\lambda x. \neg P x) p$  by (simp add: b-def map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf)
  moreover have  $\text{map-option } P -` \{\text{Some True}\} = \text{map-option } (\lambda x. \neg P x) -` \{\text{Some False}\}$  by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: pmf-def)
qed

lemma pmf-subset:
  fixes  $p :: 'a \text{ pmf}$ 
  fixes  $P Q :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set-pmf } p. P x \longrightarrow Q x$ 
  shows  $\text{pmf } (p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf } (P x))) \text{ True} \leq \text{pmf } (p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf } (Q x))) \text{ True}$ 
proof-
  have  $p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf } (P x)) = \text{map-pmf } P p$  by (simp add: map-pmf-def)
  moreover have  $p \gg= (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf } (Q x)) = \text{map-pmf } Q p$  by (simp add: map-pmf-def)
  moreover have  $\text{pmf } (\text{map-pmf } P p) \text{ True} \leq \text{pmf } (\text{map-pmf } Q p) \text{ True}$ 
proof-
  have  $\text{pmf } (\text{map-pmf } P p) \text{ True} = \text{prob-space.prob } p ((P -` \{\text{True}\}) \cap \text{set-pmf } p)$ 
    (is - = prob-space.prob p ?lhs)
    by (simp add: measure-Int-set-pmf pmf-def)
  moreover have  $\text{pmf } (\text{map-pmf } Q p) \text{ True} = \text{prob-space.prob } p ((Q -` \{\text{True}\}) \cap \text{set-pmf } p)$ 
    (is - = prob-space.prob p ?rhs)
    by (simp add: measure-Int-set-pmf pmf-def)
  moreover have ?lhs  $\subseteq$  ?rhs using assms in-set-spmf by fast
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: measure-pmf.finite-measure-mono)
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
qed

lemma pmf-subset':
  fixes  $p :: 'a \text{ pmf}$ 

```

```

fixes P Q :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set-pmf } p. \neg P x \longrightarrow \neg Q x$ 
shows pmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-pmf} (P x)$ )) False  $\leq$  pmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-pmf} (Q x)$ )) False
using pmf-subset[OF assms(1)] pmf-true-false[of p  $\lambda x. \neg P x$ ] pmf-true-false[of p  $\lambda x. \neg Q x$ ]
by algebra

lemma spmf-subset:
fixes p :: 'a spmf
fixes P Q :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set-spmf } p. P x \longrightarrow Q x$ 
shows spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (P x)$ )) True  $\leq$  spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (Q x)$ )) True
proof-
have p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (P x)$ ) = map-spmf P p by (simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf)
moreover have p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (Q x)$ ) = map-spmf Q p by (simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf)
moreover have spmf (map-spmf P p) True  $\leq$  spmf (map-spmf Q p) True
proof-
have spmf (map-spmf P p) True = prob-space.prob p ((map-option P -` {Some True})  $\cap$  set-pmf p)
(is - = prob-space.prob p ?lhs)
by (simp add: measure-Int-set-pmf pmf-def)
moreover have spmf (map-spmf Q p) True = prob-space.prob p ((map-option Q -` {Some True})  $\cap$  set-pmf p)
(is - = prob-space.prob p ?rhs)
by (simp add: measure-Int-set-pmf pmf-def)
moreover have ?lhs  $\subseteq$  ?rhs using assms in-set-spmf by fast
ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: measure-pmf.finite-measure-mono)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
qed

lemma spmf-subset':
fixes p :: 'a spmf
fixes P Q :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
assumes  $\forall x \in \text{set-spmf } p. \neg P x \longrightarrow \neg Q x$ 
shows spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (P x)$ )) False  $\leq$  spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-spmf} (Q x)$ )) False
using spmf-subset[OF assms(1)] spmf-true-false[of p  $\lambda x. \neg P x$ ] spmf-true-false[of p  $\lambda x. \neg Q x$ ]
by algebra

lemma pmf-in-set:
fixes A :: 'a set
fixes p :: 'a pmf
shows pmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. \text{return-pmf} (x \in A)$ )) True = prob-space.prob p A
proof-

```

```

have p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x.$  return-pmf ( $x \in A$ )) = map-pmf ( $\lambda x.$   $x \in A$ ) p by (simp add: map-pmf-def)
also have pmf ... True = prob-space.prob p A
proof-
  have ( $\lambda x.$   $x \in A$ ) -` {True} = A by blast
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: pmf-def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma pmf-of-prop:
  fixes P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  shows pmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x.$  return-pmf (P x))) True = prob-space.prob p { $x \in$  set-pmf p. P x}
  using pmf-in-set[of p { $x \in$  set-pmf p. P x}]
  by (smt (verit, best) bind-pmf-cong mem-Collect-eq)

lemma spmf-in-set:
  fixes A :: 'a set
  fixes p :: 'a spmf
  shows spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x.$  return-spmf ( $x \in A$ ))) True = prob-space.prob p (Some‘A)
proof-
  have p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x.$  return-spmf ( $x \in A$ )) = map-spmf ( $\lambda x.$   $x \in A$ ) p
  by (simp add: map-spmf-conv-bind-spmf)
  also have spmf ... True = prob-space.prob p (Some‘A)
proof-
  have map-option ( $\lambda x.$   $x \in A$ ) -` {Some True} = Some‘A by blast
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: pmf-def)
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma spmf-of-prop:
  fixes P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  fixes p :: 'a spmf
  shows spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x.$  return-spmf (P x))) True = prob-space.prob p (Some‘{ $x \in$  set-spmf p. P x})
  using spmf-in-set[of p { $x \in$  set-spmf p. P x}]
  by (smt (verit) bind-spmf-cong mem-Collect-eq)

lemma replicate-pmf-events-helper:
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes n P
  defines lhs  $\equiv$  pmf (pair-pmf p (replicate-pmf n p)  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda(x, xs).$  return-pmf (x # xs))  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda xs.$  return-pmf (P xs))) True
  defines rhs  $\equiv$  pmf (pair-pmf p (replicate-pmf n p)  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda(x, xs).$  return-pmf (P (x # xs)))) True
  shows lhs = rhs

```

```

unfolding lhs-def rhs-def pmf-def apply simp
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) bind-assoc-pmf bind-pmf-cong bind-return-pmf case-prod-beta')

lemma replicate-pmf-events:
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes  $\forall i < n. \text{pmf}(p \gg (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf}(E i x))) \text{ True} = A i$ 
  shows pmf(replicate-pmf n p  $\gg (\lambda xs. \text{return-pmf}(\forall i < n. E i (xs!i)))) \text{ True}$ 
 $= (\prod_{i < n} A i)$ 
  using assms
proof(induct n arbitrary: E A)
  case 0
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
  define ps where ps  $\equiv$  replicate-pmf(Suc n) p
  define ps' where ps'  $\equiv$  replicate-pmf n p
  define E' where E'  $\equiv$   $\lambda n. E(\text{Suc } n)$ 
  define A' where A'  $\equiv$   $(\lambda i. \text{pmf}(p \gg (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf}(E' i x))) \text{ True})$ 
  have unfold: replicate-pmf(Suc n) p = do {x  $\leftarrow$  p; xs  $\leftarrow$  replicate-pmf n p;
  return-pmf(x#xs)}
  unfolding replicate-pmf-def by force

  let ?rpfm0 = do {x  $\leftarrow$  p; xs  $\leftarrow$  ps'; return-pmf(x#xs)}
  let ?rpfm0' = do {(x, xs)  $\leftarrow$  pair-pmf p ps'; return-pmf(x#xs)}
  let ?rpfm1' = pair-pmf p ps'
  have 0: ?rpfm0 = ?rpfm0'
  by (smt (verit, best) bind-assoc-pmf bind-pmf-cong bind-return-pmf internal-case-prod-conv
internal-case-prod-def pair-pmf-def)
  have *:  $\forall xs \in \text{set-pmf} \ ?rpfm0.$ 
   $(\forall i < \text{Suc } n. E i (xs!i)) \longleftrightarrow (E 0 (\text{hd } xs) \wedge (\forall i < n. E' i ((\text{tl } xs)!i)))$ 
proof
  fix xs assume xs  $\in$  set-pmf ?rpfm0
  hence len: length xs = Suc n unfolding ps'-def using set-replicate-pmf by
fastforce
  show  $(\forall i < \text{Suc } n. E i (xs ! i)) \longleftrightarrow (E 0 (\text{hd } xs) \wedge (\forall i < n. E' i (\text{tl } xs ! i)))$ 
proof
  assume *:  $\forall i < \text{Suc } n. E i (xs ! i)$ 
  hence E 0 (hd xs)
  by (metis len Nat.nat.distinct(1) hd-conv-nth length-0-conv zero-less-Suc)
  moreover have  $(\forall i < n. E' i (\text{tl } xs ! i))$ 
  proof safe
    fix i assume **: i < n
    hence Suc i < Suc n by blast
    hence E (Suc i) (xs ! (Suc i)) using * by presburger
    thus E' i (tl xs ! i) unfolding E'-def by (simp add: ** nth-tl len)
  qed
  ultimately show E 0 (hd xs)  $\wedge (\forall i < n. E' i (\text{tl } xs ! i))$  by blast

```

```

next
assume *:  $E 0 (hd xs) \wedge (\forall i < n. E' i (tl xs ! i))$ 
show  $\forall i < Suc n. E i (xs ! i)$ 
proof safe
  fix  $i$  assume **:  $i < Suc n$ 
  show  $E i (xs ! i)$ 
  proof(cases  $i = 0$ )
    case True
      then show ?thesis by (metis * Nat.nat.distinct(1) hd-conv-nth len
length-0-conv)
    next
      case False
      hence  $E' (i - 1) = E i$  unfolding  $E'$ -def by simp
      moreover have  $i - 1 < n$  using ** False by linarith
      ultimately show ?thesis
        by (metis * ** False diff-Suc-1 len length-tl less-Suc-eq-0-disj nth-tl)
    qed
  qed
  qed
qed

have  $pmf (ps \gg= (\lambda xs. return-pmf (\forall i < Suc n. E i (xs ! i)))) True$ 
   $= pmf (?rpmf0 \gg= (\lambda xs. return-pmf (\forall i < Suc n. E i (xs ! i)))) True$ 
  by (simp add: replicate-spmf-def ps-def ps'-def)
also have ... =  $pmf (?rpmf0 \gg= (\lambda xs. return-pmf (E 0 (hd xs) \wedge (\forall i < n. E'$ 
 $i ((tl xs) ! i)))) True$ 
  unfolding pmf-def by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) * bind-pmf-cong)
also have ... =  $pmf (?rpmf0' \gg= (\lambda xs. return-pmf (E 0 (hd xs) \wedge (\forall i < n. E'$ 
 $i ((tl xs) ! i)))) True$ 
  using 0 by presburger
also have ... =  $pmf (?rpmf1' \gg= (\lambda (x,xs). return-pmf (E 0 (hd (x#xs)) \wedge (\forall i < n. E'$ 
 $i ((tl (x#xs)) ! i)))) True$ 
  using replicate-pmf-events-helper[of p n λxs. (E 0 (hd xs) ∨ (forall i < n. E' i ((tl
xs) ! i))), folded ps'-def].
also have ... =  $pmf (?rpmf1' \gg= (\lambda (x,xs). return-pmf (E 0 x \wedge (\forall i < n. E'$ 
 $i (xs ! i)))) True$ 
  by simp
also have ... =  $pmf (?rpmf1' \gg= (\lambda x. return-pmf (E 0 (fst x) \wedge (\forall i < n. E'$ 
 $i (snd x ! i)))) True$ 
proof-
  have  $(\lambda (x, xs). return-pmf (E 0 x \wedge (\forall i < n. E' i (xs ! i)))) = (\lambda x. return-pmf$ 
 $(E 0 (fst x) \wedge (\forall i < n. E' i (snd x ! i))))$ 
  by force
  thus ?thesis by presburger
qed
also have ... = (measure (measure-pmf ?rpmf1')
  { $x \in set-pmf ?rpmf1'. E 0 (fst x) \wedge (\forall i < n. E' i (snd x ! i))\}using pmf-of-prop[of ?rpmf1' λx-xs. E 0 (fst x-xs) ∨ (forall i < n. E' i ((snd x-xs) !
i))].$ 
```

```

also have ... = measure (measure-pmf ?rpf1')
  {(x,xs) ∈ set-pmf ?rpf1'. E 0 x ∧ (∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i))}

proof-
  have {x ∈ set-pmf ?rpf1'. E 0 (fst x) ∧ (∀ i < n. E' i (snd x ! i))} ⊆ {(x,xs) ∈ set-pmf ?rpf1'. E 0 x ∧ (∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i))}
    by force
  moreover have {(x,xs) ∈ set-pmf ?rpf1'. E 0 x ∧ (∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i))} ⊆ {x ∈ set-pmf ?rpf1'. E 0 (fst x) ∧ (∀ i < n. E' i (snd x ! i))}
    by force
  ultimately show ?thesis by force
qed

also have ... = measure (measure-pmf p) {x ∈ set-pmf p. E 0 x}
  * measure (measure-pmf ps') {xs ∈ set-pmf ps'. ∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i)}

proof-
  let ?A = {x ∈ set-pmf p. E 0 x}
  let ?B = {xs ∈ set-pmf ps'. ∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i)}
  have 1: countable ?A by simp
  have 2: countable ?B by simp
  have {(x,xs) ∈ set-pmf ?rpf1'. E 0 x ∧ (∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i))} = ?A × ?B by
  force
  thus ?thesis using measure-pmf-prob-product[OF 1 2, of p ps'] by presburger
qed

also have ... = A 0 * (Π i < n. A' i)

proof-
  have 1: ∀ i < n. pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (E' i x))) True = A' i
    unfolding A'-def by blast
  have measure (measure-pmf p) {x ∈ set-pmf p. E 0 x} = A 0
    using Suc.preds pmf-of-prop[of p E 0] by force
  moreover have measure (measure-pmf ps') {xs ∈ set-pmf ps'. ∀ i < n. E' i (xs!i)} = (Π i < n. A' i)
    using Suc.hyps[OF 1, folded ps'-def]
    using pmf-of-prop[of replicate-pmf n p λxs. (∀ i < n. E' i (xs ! i)), folded
    ps'-def]
    by presburger
  ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
qed

also have ... = A 0 * (Π i=1..<Suc n. A i)

proof-
  have (Π i=1..<Suc n. A i) = (Π i=1..<Suc n. A' (i - 1))
    unfolding A'-def E'-def using Suc.preds by force
  also have ... = (Π i=0..<n. A' i)
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Groups-Big.comm-monoid-mult-class.prod.cong
    Set-Interval.comm-monoid-mult-class.prod.atLeast-Suc-lessThan-Suc-shift diff-Suc-1
    numeral-nat(7) o-apply)
  finally show ?thesis using atLeast0LessThan by presburger
qed

also have ... = (Π i < Suc n. A i)
  by (simp add: prod.atLeast1-atMost-eq prod.atMost-shift atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost
  lessThan-Suc-atMost)

```

```

finally show ?case unfolding ps-def by auto
qed

lemma replicate-pmf-same-event:
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: 'a ⇒ bool
  assumes pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (E x))) True = A
  shows pmf (replicate-pmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-pmf (forall i < n. E (xs!i)))) True =
A ^n
  using replicate-pmf-events[of n p λi:nat. E λi:nat. A] assms by force

lemma replicate-pmf-same-event-leq:
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: 'a ⇒ bool
  assumes pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (E x))) True ≤ A
  shows pmf (replicate-pmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-pmf (forall i < n. E (xs!i)))) True ≤
A ^n
  by (metis replicate-pmf-same-event assms pmf-nonneg pow-mono)

lemma replicate-spmf-events:
  fixes p :: 'a spmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: nat ⇒ 'a option ⇒ bool
  assumes ∀ i < n. (spmf (p ≈ (λx. return-spmf (E i x))) True = A i)
  shows spmf (replicate-spmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-spmf (forall i < n. E i (xs!i)))) True =
(Π i < n. A i)
proof-
  have *: ∀ i < n. pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (E i x))) True
  = spmf (p ≈ (λx. return-spmf (E i x))) True
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) bind-pmf-cong spmf-of-pmf-bind spmf-of-pmf-return-pmf
  spmf-spmf-of-pmf)
  have spmf (replicate-spmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-spmf (forall i < n. E i (xs!i)))) True
  = pmf (replicate-pmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-pmf (forall i < n. E i (xs!i)))) True
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) bind-spmf-cong bind-spmf-of-pmf replicate-spmf-def
  spmf-of-pmf-bind spmf-of-pmf-return-pmf spmf-spmf-of-pmf)
  also have ... = (Π i < n. A i)
  using replicate-pmf-events[OF *] assms(1) by force
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma replicate-spmf-same-event:
  fixes p :: 'a spmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: 'a option ⇒ bool
  assumes spmf (p ≈ (λx. return-spmf (E x))) True = A
  shows spmf (replicate-spmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-spmf (forall i < n. E (xs!i)))) True =
A ^n

```

**using** replicate-spmf-events[*of n p λi:nat. E λi:nat. A*] **assms by force**

```

lemma replicate-pmf-indep':
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool
  defines rp ≡ replicate-pmf n p
  assumes ∀ i < n. pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (E i x))) True = A i
  assumes I ⊆ {..<n}
  assumes ∀ i < n. i ∉ I → A i = 1
  shows pmf (replicate-pmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-pmf (λ i < n. E i (xs!i)))) True
= (Π i∈I. A i)
proof-
  have (Π i< n. A i) = (Π i∈I. A i)
proof-
  have (Π i< n. A i) = (Π i∈{..<n}. A i) by blast
  moreover have ... = (Π i∈{..<n}−I. A i) * (Π i∈I ∩ {..<n}. A i)
  by (simp add: Groups-Big.comm-monoid-mult-class.prod.subset-diff Int-absorb2
assms(3))
  moreover have ... = (Π i∈{..<n}−I. 1) * (Π i∈I ∩ {..<n}. A i) using
assms(4) by simp
  moreover have ... = (Π i∈I. A i)
proof-
  have (Π i∈{..<n}−I. 1) = 1
  using Groups-Big.comm-monoid-mult-class.prod.neutral-const by blast
  moreover have I ∩ {..<n} = I using assms(3) by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by force
qed
  ultimately show (Π i< n. A i) = (Π i∈I. A i) by presburger
qed
  thus ?thesis using replicate-pmf-events[OF assms(2)] by presburger
qed

lemma replicate-pmf-indep'':
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: 'a ⇒ bool
  fixes i :: nat
  defines rp ≡ replicate-pmf n p
  defines A ≡ pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (E x))) True
  assumes i < n
  shows pmf (replicate-pmf n p ≈ (λxs. return-pmf (E (xs!i)))) True = A
proof-
  let ?I = {i}
  let ?E = λj x. if j = i then E x else True
  let ?A = λj. if j = i then A else 1

  have 1: ∀ i < n. (pmf (p ≈ (λx. return-pmf (?E i x))) True = ?A i) using
assms(2) by simp

```

```

have 2: ?I ⊆ {.. $n$ } using assms(3) by blast
have 3:  $\forall i < n. i \notin ?I \longrightarrow ?A i = 1$  by auto
have *:  $(\lambda xs. return\text{-}pmf (E (xs ! i)))$ 
         $= (\lambda xs. return\text{-}pmf (\forall j < n. if j = i then E (xs ! j) else True))$ 
        using assms(3) by presburger
have **:  $A = (\prod_{j \in \{i\}}. if j = i then A else 1)$  by fastforce

show ?thesis using replicate\text{-}pmf\text{-}indep'[OF 1 2 3, folded ** *] .
qed

lemma replicate\text{-}pmf\text{-}indep:
fixes p :: 'a pmf
fixes n :: nat
fixes E :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
defines rp  $\equiv$  replicate\text{-}pmf n p
shows prob-space.indep-events rp  $(\lambda i. \{xs \in rp. E i (xs!i)\})$  {.. $n$ }
proof-
define A where A  $\equiv$   $(\lambda i. pmf (p \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}pmf (E i x))) True)$ 
let ?I = {.. $n$ }
let ?S =  $\lambda i. \{xs \in rp. E i (xs!i)\}$ 

have 0: prob-space rp by unfold-locales
have 1: ?S ∙ ?I ⊆ prob-space.events rp by fastforce
have 2:  $(\forall J \subseteq ?I.$ 
 $J \neq \{\} \longrightarrow finite J$ 
 $\longrightarrow prob\text{-}space.prob rp (\bigcap_{j \in J}. ?S j) = (\prod_{j \in J}. prob\text{-}space.prob rp (?S j)))$ 
proof clarify
fix J assume *:  $J \subseteq ?I J \neq \{\} finite J$ 

define E' where E'  $\equiv$   $(\lambda i x. (if i \in J then E i x else True))$ 
define A' where A'  $\equiv$   $(\lambda i. (if i \in J then A i else 1))$ 

have E'A':  $\forall i < n. pmf (p \gg= (\lambda x. return\text{-}pmf (E' i x))) True = A' i$ 
unfolding A'\text{-}def E'\text{-}def A\text{-}def by force
have A'\text{-notin}\text{-}J:  $\forall i < n. i \notin J \longrightarrow A' i = 1$  unfolding A'\text{-def} by presburger

have  $(\bigcap_{j \in J}. ?S j) = \{xs \in rp. (\forall j \in J. E j (xs!j))\}$  using *(2) by blast
hence prob-space.prob rp  $(\bigcap_{j \in J}. ?S j) = prob\text{-}space.prob rp \{xs \in rp. (\forall j \in J. E j (xs!j))\}$ 
by presburger
also have ... = pmf  $(rp \gg= (\lambda xs. return\text{-}pmf (\forall j \in J. E' j (xs!j)))) True$ 
by (simp add: pmf-of-prop E'\text{-}def)
also have ... = pmf  $(rp \gg= (\lambda xs. return\text{-}pmf (\forall j < n. E' j (xs!j)))) True$ 
proof-
have  $(\lambda xs. return\text{-}pmf (\forall j \in J. E' j (xs!j))) = (\lambda xs. return\text{-}pmf (\forall j < n. E' j (xs!j)))$ 
unfolding E'\text{-def} using *(1) by fastforce
thus ?thesis by presburger

```

```

qed
also have ... = ( $\prod_{j \in J} A' j$ )
  using replicate-pmf-indep'[OF E'A'*(1) A'-notin-J, folded rp-def] .
also have ... = ( $\prod_{j \in J} \text{prob-space.prob } rp (?S j)$ )
proof-
  have  $\forall j \in J. A' j = \text{prob-space.prob } rp (?S j)$ 
  proof
    fix j assume **:  $j \in J$ 
    hence  $A' j = A j$  unfolding A'-def by presburger
    also have ... = pmf ( $p \gg (\lambda x. \text{return-pmf } (E j x))$ ) True
      unfolding A-def using *(1) ** by auto
    also have ... = pmf ( $(rp \gg (\lambda xs. \text{return-pmf } (E j (xs ! j))))$ ) True
      using replicate-pmf-indep''[of j n p E j, folded rp-def]*(1) ** by fastforce
    also have ... = prob-space.prob rp (?S j) by (simp add: pmf-of-prop)
    finally show  $A' j = \text{prob-space.prob } rp (?S j)$  .
  qed
  thus ?thesis by force
qed
finally show prob-space.prob rp ( $\bigcap_{j \in J} ?S j$ ) = ( $\prod_{j \in J} \text{prob-space.prob } rp (?S j)$ ) .
qed
have *:  $?S^?I \subseteq \text{prob-space.events } rp \wedge$ 
   $(\forall J \subseteq ?I. J \neq \{\})$ 
   $\longrightarrow \text{finite } J$ 
   $\longrightarrow \text{prob-space.prob } rp (\bigcap (?S ^ J)) = (\prod_{j \in J} \text{prob-space.prob } rp (?S j))$ 
using 1 2 by blast

show ?thesis using prob-space.indep-events-def[OF 0] * by blast
qed

lemma replicate-spmf-same-event-leq:
  fixes p :: 'a spmf
  fixes n :: nat
  fixes E :: 'a option  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  assumes spmf ( $p \gg (\lambda x. \text{return-spmf } (E x))$ ) True  $\leq A$ 
  shows spmf (replicate-spmf n p  $\gg (\lambda xs. \text{return-spmf } (\forall i < n. E (xs!i)))$ ) True
 $\leq A^{\wedge n}$ 
  by (metis replicate-spmf-same-event assms pmf-nonneg pow-mono)

lemma pmf-of-finite-set-event:
  fixes S :: 'a set
  fixes p :: 'a pmf
  fixes P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
  defines p  $\equiv$  pmf-of-set S
  assumes S  $\neq \{\}$ 
  assumes finite S
  shows pmf ( $p \gg (\lambda t. \text{return-pmf } (P t))$ ) True = (card ({t  $\in$  S. P t})) / card S
proof-
  have *: set-pmf p = S

```

```

    using assms by auto
have pmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda t.$  return-pmf (P t))) True = prob-space.prob p ({x  $\in$  set-pmf p. P x})
using pmf-of-prop[of p P].
also have ... = measure (measure-pmf (pmf-of-set S)) {x  $\in$  set-pmf p. P x}
by (simp add: assms(1))
also have ... = card ({x  $\in$  set-pmf p. P x}) / card (set-pmf p)
proof-
have S  $\cap$  {x  $\in$  set-pmf p. P x} = {x  $\in$  set-pmf p. P x} using * by blast
thus ?thesis using measure-pmf-of-set[OF assms(2,3)] unfolding * by pres-
burger
qed
also have ... = card ({t  $\in$  S. P t}) / card S using assms by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma spmf-of-finite-set-event:
fixes S :: 'a set
fixes p :: 'a spmf
fixes P :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool
defines p  $\equiv$  spmf-of-set S
assumes finite S
shows spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda t.$  return-spmf (P t))) True = (card ({t  $\in$  S. P t})) / card
S
proof-
have spmf (p  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda t.$  return-spmf (P t))) True = prob-space.prob p (Some{x
 $\in$  set-spmf p. P x})
using spmf-of-prop[of p P].
also have ... = measure (measure-spmf (spmf-of-set S)) {x  $\in$  set-spmf p. P x}
by (simp add: assms(1) measure-measure-spmf-conv-measure-pmf)
also have ... = card ({x  $\in$  set-spmf p. P x}) / card (set-spmf p)
using measure-spmf-of-set[of S {x  $\in$  set-spmf p. P x}]
by (simp add: Collect-conj-eq assms(1,2))
also have ... = card ({t  $\in$  S. P t}) / card S using assms by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

end
theory Hidden-Number-Problem
imports
HOL-Number-Theory.Number-Theory
Babai-Nearest-Plane.Babai-Correct
Misc-PMF

begin

hide-fact (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.mat-def
hide-const (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.mat
hide-const (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.row

```

```

hide-fact (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.row-def
hide-const (open) Determinants.det
hide-fact (open) Determinants.det-def
hide-type (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec
hide-const (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec
hide-fact (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.vec-def
hide-const (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.transpose
hide-fact (open) Finite-Cartesian-Product.transpose-def
unbundle no inner-syntax
unbundle no vec-syntax
hide-const (open) Missing-List.span
hide-const (open)
  dependent
  independent
  real-vector.representation
  real-vector.subspace
  span
  real-vector.extend-basis
  real-vector.dim
hide-const (open) orthogonal
no-notation fps-nth (infixl $ 75)

```

## 2 General helper lemmas

```

lemma uminus-sq-norm:
  fixes u v :: rat vec
  assumes dim-vec u = dim-vec v
  shows sq-norm (u - v) = sq-norm (v - u)
  using assms
proof-
  have u - v = (-1) ·v (v - u) using assms by auto
  then show ?thesis
    using sq-norm-smult-vec[of "-1 v-u"] by auto
qed

```

```

lemma smult-sub-distrib-vec:
  assumes v ∈ carrier-vec q w ∈ carrier-vec q
  shows (a::'a::ring) ·v (v - w) = a ·v v - a ·v w
  apply (rule eq-vecI)
  unfolding smult-vec-def plus-vec-def
  using assms right-diff-distrib
  apply force
  by auto

```

```

lemma set-list-subset:
  fixes l :: 'a list
  fixes S :: 'a set
  assumes ∀ i ∈ {0.. l}. l ! i ∈ S

```

```

shows set  $l \subseteq S$ 
by (metis assms atLeastLessThan-iff in-set-conv-nth le0 subset-code(1))

```

```

lemma nat-subset-inf:
  fixes  $A :: \text{int set}$ 
  assumes  $A \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ 
  assumes  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\text{Inf } A \in A$ 
proof-
  let  $?A' = \text{nat}^{\text{`}}A$ 
  have  $?A' \neq \{\}$  using assms(2) by blast
  hence  $\text{Inf } ?A' \in ?A'$  using Inf-nat-def1 by presburger
  then obtain  $z$  where  $z : z \in A \wedge \text{nat } z = \text{Inf } ?A'$  by fastforce
  moreover have  $\text{Inf } A = z$ 
proof-
  have  $* : z \geq 0$  using z assms(1) Nats-altdef2 by blast
  have  $\forall z' \in A. z \leq z'$ 
proof
  fix  $z'$  assume  $** : z' \in A$ 
  hence  $\text{nat } z' \in ?A'$  by blast
  hence  $\text{nat } z \leq \text{nat } z'$  using z wellorder-Inf-le1[of nat z' ?A'] by argo
  moreover have  $z' \geq 0$  using ** assms(1) Nats-altdef2 by fastforce
  ultimately show  $z \leq z'$  using * by linarith
qed
  thus ?thesis using z by (meson cInf-eq-minimum)
qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by argo
qed

```

```

lemma cong-set-subseteq:
  fixes  $a b m :: 'a :: \{\text{unique-euclidean-ring}, \text{abs}\}$ 
  defines  $S \equiv \{|a + z * m| \mid z. \text{True}\}$ 
  defines  $S' \equiv \{|b + z * m| \mid z. \text{True}\}$ 
  assumes  $[a = b] (\text{mod } m)$ 
  shows  $S \subseteq S'$ 
proof
  fix  $x$  assume  $x \in S$ 
  then obtain  $z$  where  $z : x = |a + z * m|$  unfolding S-def by blast
  moreover obtain  $k$  where  $k : a = b + k * m$ 
    by (metis assms(3) cong-iff-lin cong-sym mult-commute-abs)
  ultimately have  $x = |b + k * m + z * m|$ 
    by presburger
  also have ... =  $|b + (k + z) * m|$ 
    by (metis Groups.group-cancel.add1 distrib-right)
  also have ...  $\in S'$  unfolding S'-def by blast
  finally show  $x \in S'$ .
qed

```

```

lemma cong-set-eq:

```

```

fixes a b m :: 'a :: {unique-euclidean-ring,abs}
defines S ≡ {|a + z * m| | z. True}
defines S' ≡ {|b + z * m| | z. True}
assumes [a = b] (mod m)
shows S = S'
apply auto[1]
using cong-set-subseteq[of a b m] assms apply blast
using cong-set-subseteq[of b a m] assms cong-sym by blast

```

```

context vec-module
begin

```

```

lemma sumlist-distrib:
fixes ys :: ('a::comm-ring-1) vec list
assumes  $\bigwedge w. \text{List.member } ys w \implies \text{dim-vec } w = n$ 
shows  $k \cdot_v \text{sumlist } ys = \text{sumlist } (\text{map } (\lambda i. k \cdot_v i) ys)$ 
using assms
proof (induct ys)
  case Nil
    then show ?case by auto
  next
    case (Cons a ys)
      have sumlist-is:  $\text{sumlist } (a \# ys) = a + \text{sumlist } ys$ 
        by simp
      have dim-a:  $a \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
        using Cons(2) by force
      have dim-sumlist:  $\text{sumlist } ys \in \text{carrier-vec } n$ 
        using Cons(2)
        by (metis (full-types) List.member-iff carrier-vec-dim-vec dim-sumlist insert-iff
          list.set(2))
      have distrib:  $k \cdot_v (a + \text{sumlist } ys) = k \cdot_v a + k \cdot_v \text{sumlist } ys$ 
        using smult-add-distrib-vec[OF dim-a dim-sumlist]
        by auto
      have ih:  $(\bigwedge w. \text{List.member } ys w \implies \text{dim-vec } w = n)$ 
        using Cons(2) by auto
      show ?case unfolding sumlist-is distrib
        using Cons.hyps[OF ih]
        by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma smult-in-lattice-of:
fixes lattice-basis :: ('a::comm-ring-1) vec list
assumes  $\bigwedge w. \text{List.member } \text{lattice-basis } w \implies \text{dim-vec } w = n$ 
fixes init-vec :: ('a::comm-ring-1) vec
fixes k :: 'a::comm-ring-1
assumes init-vec ∈ lattice-of lattice-basis

```

```

shows  $k \cdot_v \text{init-vec} \in \text{lattice-of } ((\text{map } ((\cdot_v) k) \text{ lattice-basis}))$ 
proof -
have dims:  $\bigwedge w. \text{List.member } (\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v \text{lattice-basis} ! i)$ 
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}]) w \implies \text{dim-vec } w = n \text{ for } c$ 
using assms(1) unfolding List.member-iff
by auto
obtain c where init-vec = sumlist (map ( $\lambda i. \text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v \text{lattice-basis} ! i$ )
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])$ 
using vec-module.in-latticeE[OF assms(2)] by blast
then have  $k \cdot_v \text{init-vec} = k \cdot_v \text{sumlist } (\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v \text{lattice-basis} ! i)$ 
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])$ 
by blast
moreover have ... = sumlist (map ( $\lambda i. k \cdot_v i$ ) ((map ( $\lambda i. \text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v$ 
lattice-basis ! i)
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])))
using sumlist-distrib dims
by blast
moreover have ... = sumlist (map ( $\lambda i. k \cdot_v (\text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v \text{lattice-basis} ! i)$ )
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])$ 
by (smt (verit, best) in-set-conv-nth length-map length-upn map-eq-conv map-upn-len-conv
nth-map)
moreover have k-is: ... = sumlist (map ( $\lambda i. k \cdot_v (\text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v \text{lattice-basis} !$ 
i))
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])$ 
by argo
ultimately have k-is:  $k \cdot_v \text{init-vec} = \text{sumlist } (\text{map } (\lambda i. (\text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v (k \cdot_v$ 
lattice-basis ! i)))
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])$ 
by (simp add: mult-ac(2) smult-smult-assoc)
then have  $k \cdot_v \text{init-vec} =$ 
sumlist
(map ( $\lambda i. \text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v$ 
map (( $\cdot_v$ ) k) lattice-basis ! i)
 $[0..<\text{length lattice-basis}])$ 
by (smt (verit, del-insts) length-map map-nth map-nth-eq-conv)
then have mult-vec-is:  $k \cdot_v \text{init-vec} =$ 
sumlist
(map ( $\lambda i. \text{of-int } (c i) \cdot_v$ 
map (( $\cdot_v$ ) k) lattice-basis ! i)
 $[0..<\text{length } (\text{map } ((\cdot_v) k) \text{ lattice-basis})])$ 
by auto
then show ?thesis
using assms in-latticeI[OF mult-vec-is]
by blast
qed

end

lemma filter-distinct-sorted:$ 
```

```

fixes  $l :: ('a::linorder) list$ 
fixes  $A :: 'a set$ 
defines  $P \equiv (\lambda x. x \in A)$ 
defines  $n \equiv length l$ 
assumes  $sorted l$ 
assumes  $distinct l$ 
assumes  $A \subseteq set l$ 
shows  $filter P l = sorted-list-of-set A$ 
using  $assms$ 
proof(induct l arbitrary: n A P)
  case Nil
    thus ?case by force
  next
    case (Cons a l')
      define  $A' \text{ where } A' \equiv A - \{a\}$ 
      define  $n' \text{ where } n' \equiv length l'$ 
      define  $P' \text{ where } P' \equiv (\lambda x. x \in A')$ 
      define  $l \text{ where } l \equiv Cons a l'$ 
      define  $P \text{ where } P \equiv (\lambda x. x \in A)$ 
      have 1:  $sorted l'$  using Cons(2) by simp
      have 2:  $distinct l'$  using Cons(3) by simp
      have 3:  $A' \subseteq set l'$  using A'-def Cons(4) by auto
      have ih:  $filter P' l' = sorted-list-of-set A'$  using Cons.hyps(1)[OF 1 2 3] unfolding P'-def .
      have ?case if  $a \notin A$ 
      proof-
        have filter P l = filter P' l'
        unfolding l-def filter.simps(2) P-def P'-def A'-def using that by auto
        moreover have  $A' = A$  using that unfolding A'-def by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis unfolding l-def P-def using ih by argo
      qed
      moreover have ?case if  $a \in A$ 
      proof-
        have  $\forall x \in set l'. P x = P' x$  using Cons(3) unfolding P-def P'-def A'-def
        by fastforce
        hence *:  $filter P l = a \# (filter P' l')$ 
        using that filter-cong[of l' l' P P'] unfolding l-def filter.simps(2) P-def P'-def A'-def by presburger
        have 1:  $finite A$  using Cons(4) finite-subset by blast
        have 2:  $A \neq \{\}$  using that by blast
        hence a:  $a = Min A$ 
        using sorted-Cons-Min[OF Cons.preds(1)]
        by (metis 1 Cons(4) Lattices-Big.linorder-class.Min.boundedE List.list.simps(15) Min-antimono Min-eqI finite-set that)
        show ?thesis
        using sorted-list-of-set-nonempty[OF 1 2] ih * unfolding a A'-def P-def P'-def l-def by argo
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

qed
ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

lemma filter-or:
fixes n a b
fixes l :: nat list
defines l ≡ [0.. $n$ ]
defines P ≡ ( $\lambda x. x = a \vee x = b$ )
assumes a < b
assumes b < length l
shows filter P l = [a, b]
proof-
have 1: sorted l using l-def sorted-up by blast
have 2: distinct l using distinct-up l-def by blast
have 3: {a, b} ⊆ set l using assms(3,4) l-def length-up by auto
have P: P = ( $\lambda x. x \in \{a, b\}$ ) unfolding P-def by simp
have *: sorted-list-of-set {a, b} = [a, b]
  using sorted-list-of-set.idem-if-sorted-distinct[of [a,b]] assms(3) by force
show ?thesis using filter-distinct-sorted[OF 1 2 3] unfolding P * .
qed

```

## 2.1 Casting lemmas

```

lemma int-rat-real-casting-helper:
assumes a = rat-of-int b
shows real-of-rat a = real-of-int b
using assms by simp

```

```

lemma casting-expansion-aux:
shows int-of-rat (rat-of-int w1 + rat-of-int w2) = w1 + w2
proof -
have rat-of-int w1 + rat-of-int w2 = rat-of-int (w1+w2)
  by auto
then show ?thesis
  using int-of-rat by algebra
qed

```

```

lemma casting-expansion:
assumes dim-vec w1 = dim-vec w2
assumes  $\exists w2\text{-vec. } w2 = map\text{-vec rat-of-int } w2\text{-vec}$ 
shows map-vec int-of-rat ((map-vec rat-of-int w1) + w2) =
  map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int w1) + (map-vec int-of-rat w2)
proof -
have vec (dim-vec w1)
  ( $\lambda i. int\text{-of-rat}$ 

```

```

((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ i)) $ idx =
(vec (dim-vec w1)
  (λi. int-of-rat (vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) $ i)) +
  vec (dim-vec w2) (λi. int-of-rat (w2 $ i))) $ idx if idx-lt: idx < dim-vec w1 for
idx

proof -
have vec (dim-vec w1)
  (λi. int-of-rat
    ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ i)) $ idx =
    int-of-rat ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ idx)
    using idx-lt by simp
have vec (dim-vec w1)
  (λi. int-of-rat
    ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ i)) $ idx =
    int-of-rat ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ idx)
    using idx-lt by simp
then have vec (dim-vec w1)
  (λi. int-of-rat
    ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ i)) $ idx =
    int-of-rat (rat-of-int (w1 $ idx) + w2$idx)
    using assms idx-lt by auto
then have vec (dim-vec w1)
  (λi. int-of-rat ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ i)) $ idx =
  = int-of-rat (rat-of-int (w1 $ idx)) + int-of-rat (w2$idx)
    using assms(1) assms(2) casting-expansion-aux idx-lt by force
then show ?thesis using assms
  using idx-lt by fastforce
qed
then have vec (dim-vec w1)
  (λi. int-of-rat
    ((vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) + w2) $ i)) =
    vec (dim-vec w1)
    (λi. int-of-rat (vec (dim-vec w1) (λi. rat-of-int (w1 $ i)) $ i)) +
    vec (dim-vec w2) (λi. int-of-rat (w2 $ i))
    using assms by auto
then show ?thesis using assms
  unfolding map-vec-def
  by auto
qed

lemma casting-sum-aux:
assumes dim-vec k1 = dim-vec k2
shows (map-vec rat-of-int k1) + (map-vec rat-of-int k2) =
  map-vec rat-of-int (k1 + k2)
using assms
proof (induct k1 arbitrary: k2)
  case vNil
  then show ?case by auto
next

```

```

case (vCons h1 T1)
then obtain h2 T2 where k2-is: k2 = vCons h2 T2
  by (metis Nat.nat.simps(3) dim-vec dim-vec-vCons vec-cases)
then have map-vec rat-of-int T1 + map-vec rat-of-int T2 = map-vec rat-of-int
(T1 + T2)
  using vCons
  by auto
then show ?case
  unfolding k2-is using vCons(2) k2-is by auto
qed

```

```

lemma casting-sum-lemma:
assumes  $\bigwedge mem. List.member w mem \implies dim-vec mem = n$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge mem. List.member w mem \implies (\exists k::int vec. map-vec rat-of-int k = mem)$ 
shows  $(\exists k::int vec. (abelian-monoid.sumlist (module-vec TYPE(rat) n) w) = map-vec rat-of-int k)$ 
  using assms
proof (induct w)
  interpret vec-space TYPE(rat) n .
  case Nil
  then show ?case by (metis Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom-zero sumlist-Nil)
next
  interpret vec-space TYPE(rat) n .
  case (Cons a w)
  then obtain k1 where k1-prop: a = map-vec rat-of-int k1
    by auto
  then obtain k2 where sumlist w = map-vec rat-of-int k2
    using Cons by auto
  then have sumlist-is: sumlist (a # w) = (map-vec rat-of-int k1) + (map-vec
rat-of-int k2)
    using k1-prop sumlist-Cons by presburger
  then have sumlist (a # w) = (map-vec rat-of-int (k1 + k2))
    using Cons(2) using casting-sum-aux
    unfolding List.member-iff
    by (metis dim-sumlist index-add-vec(2) index-map-vec(2) k1-prop list.set-intros(1))
  then show ?case
    by blast
qed

```

```

lemma casting-lattice-aux:
assumes  $\exists k::int vec. map-vec rat-of-int k = v$ 
assumes map-vec int-of-rat v =
  map-vec int-of-rat (abelian-monoid.sumlist (module-vec TYPE(rat) n) w)
assumes  $\bigwedge mem. List.member w mem \implies (\exists k::int vec. map-vec rat-of-int k = mem)$ 
assumes  $\bigwedge mem. List.member w mem \implies dim-vec mem = n$ 
shows map-vec int-of-rat v =

```

```

abelian-monoid.sumlist (module-vec TYPE(int) n)
  (map (map-vec int-of-rat) w)

proof -
  interpret vec-space TYPE(rat) n .
  interpret vec-int: vec-module TYPE(int) n .
  obtain k :: int vec where k-prop: v = map-vec rat-of-int k
    using assms by auto
  then have map-k: map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int k) =
    map-vec int-of-rat (sumlist w)
    using assms(2)
    by auto

  have map-vec int-of-rat (sumlist w) = vec-int.M.sumlist (map (map-vec int-of-rat)
w)
    using assms(3) assms(4)
  proof (induct w)
    case Nil
    then show ?case
      unfolding sumlist-def local.vec-int.M.sumlist-def
      by auto
  next
    case (Cons a w)
    have foldr (+) (map (map-vec int-of-rat) (a # w)) (0_v n) =
      (map-vec int-of-rat a) + (foldr (+) (map (map-vec int-of-rat) w) (0_v n))
    by simp
    then have h1: foldr (+) (map (map-vec int-of-rat) (a # w)) (0_v n) =
      (map-vec int-of-rat a) + map-vec int-of-rat (sumlist w)
    using Cons unfolding sumlist-def vec-int.M.sumlist-def
    by (simp)

  obtain k :: int vec where k-prop: map-vec rat-of-int k = a
    using Cons(2) by auto

  then have dim-vec-k: dim-vec k = dim-vec a
    by auto
  then have dim-vec k = n
    by (simp add: Cons(3))

  have  $\exists w2\text{-vec}. \text{foldr } (+) w (0_v n) = \text{map-vec rat-of-int } w2\text{-vec}$ 
    using Cons(2,3) casting-sum-lemma unfolding List.member-iff
    by (metis insert-iff list.set(2) sumlist-def)

  then have expand: map-vec int-of-rat ((map-vec rat-of-int k) + foldr (+) w
(0_v n)) =
  map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int k) + map-vec int-of-rat (foldr (+) w (0_v
n))
  using casting-expansion[of k foldr (+) w (0_v n)] dim-vec-k

```

```

by (metis List.member_iff `dim-vec k = n` dim-sumlist index-add-vec(2)
local.Cons.prems(2) sumlist-Cons sumlist-def)

have map-vec int-of-rat (foldr (+) (a # w) (0v n)) =
map-vec int-of-rat (a + foldr (+) w (0v n))
by simp
then have map-vec int-of-rat (foldr (+) (a # w) (0v n)) = map-vec int-of-rat
((map-vec rat-of-int k) + foldr (+) w (0v n))
using k-prop by auto

then have map-vec int-of-rat (foldr (+) (a # w) (0v n)) =
map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int k) + map-vec int-of-rat (sumlist w)
using Cons(2) k-prop expand
using sumlist-def by presburger
then show ?case
using h1 k-prop
unfolding sumlist-def vec-int.M.sumlist-def
by argo
qed

then have map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int k) =
vec-int.M.sumlist
(map (map-vec int-of-rat) w)
using map-k by argo
then show ?thesis unfolding k-prop by blast
qed

lemma in-lattice-casting:
assumes  $\bigwedge \text{mem}. \text{List.member } qs \text{ mem} \implies (\exists k::int \text{ vec}. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{mem})$ 
assumes  $(\bigwedge \text{mem}. \text{List.member } qs \text{ mem} \implies \text{dim-vec mem} = n)$ 
assumes  $v \in \text{vec-module.lattice-of } n \text{ qs}$ 
shows  $\exists k. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = v$ 

proof -
let ?sumlist = abelian-monoid.sumlist (module-vec TYPE(rat) n)
obtain c where v-is:  $v = ?\text{sumlist} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v qs ! i) [0..<\text{length } qs])$ 
using assms(3) unfolding vec-module.lattice-of-def by blast
let ?w = map ( $\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v qs ! i$ ) [0..<length qs]
have dims:  $(\bigwedge \text{mem}. \text{List.member } ?w \text{ mem} \implies \text{dim-vec mem} = n)$ 
using assms(2)
by (smt (verit, del-insts) List.member_iff in-set-conv-nth index-smult-vec(2)
length-map map-nth map-nth-eq-conv)
have mem-w:  $\exists k. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{mem}$ 
if mem-is:  $\text{List.member} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v qs ! i) [0..<\text{length } qs]) \text{ mem}$ 
for mem
proof -
obtain i where i < length qs mem = rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i
using mem-is

```

```

by (smt (verit) List.member-iff add-0 in-set-conv-nth length-map map-nth
map-nth-eq-conv nth-up)
then show ?thesis using assms(1) mem-is
    by (metis Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom-smult in-set-conv-nth List.member-iff)
qed
then obtain k where v = map-vec rat-of-int k
    unfolding v-is
    using casting-sum-lemma[of ?w, OF dims - ]
    by blast
then show ?thesis
    by auto
qed

lemma casting-lattice-lemma-aux2:
assumes ⋀mem. List.member qs mem ==>
    (exists k::int vec. map-vec rat-of-int k = mem)
shows (map (map-vec int-of-rat) (map (λi. rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i)
[0..<length qs])) =
    (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v map (map-vec int-of-rat) qs ! i) [0..<length qs])
proof -
have ((map-vec int-of-rat) (rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i)) =
    of-int (c i) ·v (map-vec int-of-rat) (qs ! i) if i-lt: i < length qs for i
proof -
obtain k :: int vec where qs-i-is: qs ! i = map-vec rat-of-int k
    using assms i-lt
    by (metis List.member-iff in-set-conv-nth)
have map-vec rat-of-int ((c i) ·v k) = (rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i)
    using qs-i-is by force
then have lhs-is: (map-vec int-of-rat (rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i)) =
    (c i) ·v k
    using qs-i-is
    by (metis eq-vecI index-map-vec(1) index-map-vec(2) int-of-rat(1))
have map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int k) = k
    by force
then have rhs-is: of-int (c i) ·v map-vec int-of-rat (qs ! i) = (c i) ·v k
    unfolding qs-i-is by simp
then show ?thesis using lhs-is rhs-is by argo
qed
then show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma casting-lattice-lemma:
fixes v :: rat vec
fixes qs :: rat vec list
assumes ∃k::int vec. map-vec rat-of-int k = v
assumes ⋀mem. List.member qs mem ==>
    (exists k::int vec. map-vec rat-of-int k = mem)
assumes dim-vecs: ⋀mem. List.member qs mem ==> dim-vec mem = n

```

```

assumes  $v \in \text{vec-module.lattice-of } n \text{ qs}$ 
shows  $\text{map-vec int-of-rat } v$ 
 $\in \text{vec-module.lattice-of } n (\text{map} (\text{map-vec int-of-rat}) \text{ qs})$ 
proof -
let ?sumlist = abelian-monoid.sumlist (module-vec TYPE(rat) n)
let ?int-sumlist = abelian-monoid.sumlist (module-vec TYPE(int) n)
obtain c :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  int where  $v = ?\text{sumlist} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v \text{qs} ! i) [0..<\text{length qs}])$ 
using assms unfolding vec-module.lattice-of-def by blast
then have map-is:  $\text{map-vec int-of-rat } v$ 
=  $\text{map-vec int-of-rat} (?\text{sumlist} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v \text{qs} ! i) [0..<\text{length qs}]))$ 
by blast
have length-qs:  $\text{length qs} = \text{length} (\text{map} (\text{map-vec int-of-rat}) \text{ qs})$ 
by simp
then have map-vec-v:  $\text{map-vec int-of-rat } v$ 
=  $\text{map-vec int-of-rat} (?\text{sumlist} (\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v \text{qs} ! i) [0..<\text{length} (\text{map} (\text{map-vec int-of-rat}) \text{ qs}))))$ 
using map-is by argo

let ?w = (?sumlist (map (\lambda i. rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i) [0..<length (map (map-vec int-of-rat) qs)]))

have dim-vecs:  $(\bigwedge \text{mem}. \text{List.member}$ 
 $(\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v \text{qs} ! i)$ 
 $[0..<\text{length} (\text{map} (\text{map-vec int-of-rat}) \text{ qs}))]$ 
 $\text{mem} \implies$ 
 $\text{dim-vec mem} = n)$ 
using dim-vecs
by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) List.member-iff in-set-conv-nth index-smult-vec(2)
length-map map-nth map-nth-eq-conv)

have casting-mem:  $\exists k. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{mem} \text{ if mem-assm: List.member}$ 
 $(\text{map} (\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v \text{qs} ! i)$ 
 $[0..<\text{length} (\text{map} (\text{map-vec int-of-rat}) \text{ qs})) \text{ mem for mem}$ 
proof -
obtain i where i-prop:  $\text{mem} = \text{rat-of-int} (c i) \cdot_v \text{qs} ! i$ 
 $i < \text{length} (\text{map} (\text{map-vec int-of-rat}) \text{ qs})$ 
using mem-assm
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) List.member-iff arith-simps(49) in-set-conv-nth
length-map map-nth map-nth-eq-conv nth-up)
obtain k1 where map-vec rat-of-int k1 = qs ! i
using i-prop(2) assms(2)
by (metis List.member-iff <length qs = length (map (map-vec int-of-rat) qs)) in-set-conv-nth
then show ?thesis using i-prop(1)
by (metis Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom-smult)
qed

```

```

have map-vec-v: map-vec int-of-rat v =
  (?int-sumlist
    (map (map-vec int-of-rat) (map (λi. rat-of-int (c i) ·v qs ! i) [0..<length (map (map-vec int-of-rat) qs)])))
  using casting-lattice-aux[OF assms(1) map-vec-v casting-mem dim-vecs]
  by blast
have map-vec int-of-rat v = ?int-sumlist
  (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v map (map-vec int-of-rat) qs ! i)
    [0..<length (map (map-vec int-of-rat) qs)])
unfolding map-vec-v using casting-lattice-lemma-aux2 length-qs
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(2))
then show ?thesis unfolding vec-module.lattice-of-def by blast
qed

```

### 3 HNP adversary locale

```

locale hnp-adversary =
  fixes d p :: nat
begin

type-synonym adversary = (nat × nat) list ⇒ nat

definition int-gen-basis :: nat list ⇒ int vec list where
  int-gen-basis ts = map (λi. (p ^ 2 ·v (unit-vec (d+1) i))) [0..<d]
    @ [vec-of-list ((map (λx. (of-nat p) * x) ts) @ [1])]

fun int-to-nat-residue :: int ⇒ nat ⇒ nat
  where int-to-nat-residue I modulus = nat (I mod modulus)

definition ts-from-pairs :: (nat × nat) list ⇒ nat list where
  ts-from-pairs pairs = map fst pairs

definition scaled-uvec-from-pairs :: (nat × nat) list ⇒ int vec where
  scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs = vec-of-list ((map (λ(a,b). p*b) pairs) @ [0])

fun A-vec :: (nat × nat) list ⇒ int vec where
  A-vec pairs = (full-babai
    (int-gen-basis (ts-from-pairs pairs))
    (map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))
    (4/3))

fun A :: adversary where
  A pairs = int-to-nat-residue ((A-vec pairs)$d) p

end

```

## 4 HNP locales

### 4.0.1 Arithmetic locale

```

locale hnp-arith =
  fixes n α d p k :: nat
  assumes p: prime p
  assumes α: α ∈ {1.. $\lfloor p \rfloor$ }
  assumes d: d = 2 * ceiling (sqrt n)
  assumes n: n = ceiling (log 2 p)
  assumes k: k = ceiling (sqrt n) + ceiling (log 2 n)
  assumes n-big: 961 < n
begin

  definition μ :: nat where
    μ ≡ nat (ceiling (1/2 * (sqrt n)) + 3)

  lemma μ: μ = (ceiling (1/2 * (sqrt n)) + 3)
  proof-
    have ceiling (1/2 * (sqrt n)) + 3 ≥ 0
    proof-
      have sqrt n ≥ 0 by auto
      thus ?thesis by linarith
    qed
    thus ?thesis unfolding μ-def by presburger
  qed

  lemma int-p-prime: prime (int p) by (simp add: p)

  lemma p-geq-2: p ≥ 2 using p prime-ge-2-nat by blast

  lemma n-geq-1: n ≥ 1
  proof-
    have log 2 p > 0
    by (smt (verit) p less-imp-of-nat-less-of-nat-1 prime-gt-1-nat zero-less-log-cancel-iff)
    thus ?thesis using n by linarith
  qed

  lemma μ-le-k:
    shows μ + 1 ≤ k
  proof-
    have 144 ≤ n using n-big by simp
    then have sqrt(144) ≤ sqrt n using numeral-le-real-of-nat-iff real-sqrt-le-iff by
    blast
    then have 4 + sqrt (n) / 2 ≤ (sqrt n) - 2 by auto
    then have ceiling(sqrt(n) / 2) + 3 ≤ ceiling(sqrt n) - 1 by linarith
    moreover have 0 ≤ log 2 (n) using n-big by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using μ k by linarith
  qed

```

```

lemma k-plus-1-lt:
  shows  $k + 1 < \log_2 p$ 
proof -
  obtain r::real where r-eq:  $r = \log_2 (\text{real } p)$   $r \leq n$   $r > n - 1$ 
    by (smt (verit, best) n Multiseries-Expansion.intyness-simps(1) add-diff-inverse-nat
      linorder-not-less n-geq-1 nat-ceiling-le-eq nat-int of-nat-less-iff of-nat-simps(2))

  then have p-eq:  $p = 2^r$ 
    using n log-powr-cancel[of 2 r]
    by (simp add: p prime-gt-0-nat)

  then have p-gt:  $p > n$ 
    using n n-big
    by (smt (verit, del-insts) One-nat-def Suc-pred r-eq(1,3) le-neq-implies-less
      le-simps(3) less-exp log2-of-power-le nat-le-real-less p prime-gt-0-nat)

  have real n = ⌈log 2 (real p)⌉
    using n by linarith
  then have int k = ⌈sqrt ⌈log 2 (real p)⌉⌉ + ⌈log 2 ⌈log 2 (real p)⌉⌉
    using k by auto
  then have k-plus-1-eq:  $k + 1 = \lceil \sqrt{\lceil \log_2 (\text{real } p) \rceil} \rceil + \lceil \log_2 \lceil \log_2 (\text{real } p) \rceil \rceil$ 
+ 1
    by linarith
  let ?logp = ⌈log 2 (real p)⌉
  have log-p-bound: ?logp > 100
    using p-gt n-big p-eq r-eq
    by linarith

  have geq:  $(\log_2 (\text{real } p)) / 2 > (\lceil \log_2 (\text{real } p) \rceil - 1) / 2$ 
    using log-p-bound
    by (smt (verit) divide-strict-right-mono less-ceiling-iff)
  have arb:  $\text{real-of-int} [\sqrt{\text{real-of-int } a}] < \text{real-of-int} (a - 1) / 2$  if a-gt:  $a > 100$ 
    for a::int
    using a-gt
  proof -
    have eq:  $(a - 3) / 2 = (a - 1) / 2 - 1$ 
      using a-gt by auto
    have 10*a < a*a
      using a-gt by simp
    then have 10*a < a^2
      by (simp add: power2-eq-square)
    then have 10*a < a^2 + 9
      by auto
    then have 4*a < a^2 - 6*a + 9
      by simp
    then have 4*a < (a - 3)^2
      using a-gt power2-sum[of a - 3] by simp
    then have sqrt (4*a) < sqrt ((a - 3)^2)
  
```

```

using real-sqrt-less-iff by presburger
then have sqrt (4*a) < a - 3
  using a-gt by simp
then have 2*(sqrt a) < a - 3
  by (simp add: real-sqrt-mult)
then have sqrt a < (a - 3) / 2
  by simp
then have sqrt a < (a - 1) / 2 - 1
  using eq
  by algebra
then have sqrt (real-of-int a) + 1
< real-of-int (a - 1) / 2
  using a-gt by argo
then show ?thesis
  by linarith
qed
then have real-of-int [sqrt (real-of-int [log 2 (real p)])]
< ([log 2 (real p)] - 1) / 2
  using arb[OF log-p-bound]
  by blast
then have ineq1: [sqrt (real-of-int ?logp)] < (log 2 (real p))/2
  using geq by linarith

have ineq2: [log 2 (real-of-int ?logp)] + 1 ≤ (log 2 (real p))/2
proof -
  have 99 < log 2 (real p)
    using log-p-bound by simp
  then have p-gt: 2^99 < p
    by (metis Nat.bot-nat-0.extremum le-trans linorder-not-le log2-of-power-le
of-nat-numeral p-gt)
  obtain q::nat where q-prop: 2^q ≤ p ∧ 2^(q+1) > p
    using ex-power-ivl1 le-refl p prime-ge-1-nat by presburger
  then have q-geq: q ≥ 99 using p-gt
    by (smt (verit) less-log2-of-power log2-of-power-less nat-le-real-less numeral-plus-one
of-nat-add of-nat-numeral p prime-gt-0-nat)
  have leq-q: [log 2 (real p)] ≤ q+1
    using q-prop
    by (metis ceiling-mono ceiling-of-nat less-le log2-of-power-le p prime-gt-0-nat)

have arith-help: 98 < q ==> 32 * q + 48 < 2 ^ q for q
proof (induct q)
  case 0
  then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc q)
  {assume *: 99 = Suc q
  then have ?case
    by simp
  } moreover {assume *: 99 < Suc q

```

```

then have  $32 * q + 48 < 2^q$ 
  using Suc by linarith
then have ?case
  using Suc by auto
}
ultimately show ?case
  using Suc by linarith
qed
have  $(q+1)^2 * 16 < 2^q$ 
  using q-geq
proof (induct q)
  case 0
    then show ?case by auto
next
  case (Suc q)
  { assume *:  $99 = Suc q$ 
    then have ?case
      by simp
  }
  moreover {
    assume *:  $99 < Suc q$ 
    then have q-plus:  $(q + 1)^2 * 16 < 2^q$ 
      using Suc by auto
    have  $(Suc q + 1)^2 = q^2 + 2*q + 3$ 
      by (smt (verit) Groups.ab-semigroup-add-class.add.commute Groups.semigroup-add-class.add.assoc nat-1-add-1 numeral-Bit0-eq-double plus-1-eq-Suc power2-eq-square power2-sum)
    then have  $(Suc q + 1)^2 = (q+1)^2 + 2*q + 3$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) add-2-eq-Suc' add-Suc-right more-arith-simps(6)
mult-2 numeral-Bit1 numeral-One one-power2 plus-1-eq-Suc power2-sum semiring-norm(163))
    then have suc-q:  $(Suc q + 1)^2 * 16 = 16*(q+1)^2 + 32*q + 3*16$ 
      by simp
    have  $32*q + 48 < 2^q$ 
      using * arith-help by auto
    then have  $16*(q+1)^2 + 32*q + 3*16 < 2 * 2^q$ 
      using q-plus by linarith
    then have  $(Suc q + 1)^2 * 16 < 2 * 2^q$ 
      using suc-q by argo
    then have ?case
      by auto
  }
  ultimately show ?case
  using Suc by linarith
qed
then have (real-of-int (q+1)) powr 2*16 ≤ 2^q
proof -
  have 0 < q + 1
    by simp
  then have real-of-int (int (q + 1)) powr real-of-int (int 2) * real-of-int (int

```

```

16) ≤ real-of-int (int 2) ^ q
  by (metis (no-types) Power.semiring_1_class.of_nat_power `((q + 1)^2 * 16
< 2 ^ q` less_le_of_int_of_nat_eq of_nat_0_less_iff of_nat_less_numeral_power_cancel_iff
of_nat_numeral_of_nat.simps(5) powr_realpow)
  then show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
then have (real-of-int (q+1)) powr 2*16 ≤ (real p)
  using q-prop
  using numeral_power_le_of_nat_cancel_iff order_trans_rules(23) by blast
then have (real-of-int ?logp) powr 2*16 ≤ (real p)
  using leq-q
  by (smt (verit) ceiling_le_iff p powr_less_mono2 prime_ge_1_nat real_of_nat_ge_one_iff
zero_le_log_cancel_iff)
then have (2 powr (log 2 (real-of-int ?logp))) powr 2*16 ≤ (real p)
  using log_p_bound by fastforce
then have 2 powr (log 2 (real-of-int ?logp)*2)*16 ≤ (real p)
  using powr_powr[of 2] by auto
then have 2 powr (2*log 2 (real-of-int ?logp))*16 ≤ (real p)
  by argo
then have 2 powr (2*log 2 (real-of-int ?logp) + 4) ≤ (real p)
  by (simp add: powr_add)
then have 2*log 2 (real-of-int ?logp) + 4 ≤ (log 2 (real p))
  using le_log_iff p_gt by auto
then show ?thesis using log_p_bound
  by (smt (verit, ccfv_threshold) ceiling_add_one field_sum_of_halves of_int_ceiling_le_add_one)
qed

have `sqrt (real-of-int `log 2 (real p)]) + `log 2 (real-of-int `log 2 (real p)])]
+ 1
  < log 2 (real p)
  using ineq1 ineq2 by linarith
then show ?thesis using k_plus_1_eq by linarith
qed

lemma p-k-le-p-mu:
  shows p/(2^k) ≤ p/(2^(μ + 1))
proof –
  have real(2) ^ (μ + 1) ≤ 2^k using μ_le_k power_increasing[of μ + 1 k real 2]
  by force
  then show ?thesis using divide_left_mono[of real(2) ^ (μ + 1) 2^k p] by simp
qed

lemma k_geq_1: k ≥ 1 using n_geq_1 k μ_le_k by linarith

lemma final_ineq:
  (((4::real)/3) powr ((d + 1)/2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (p / (2 powr (real k - 1))))
  < p / (2 powr μ)

```

**proof–**

```

let ?crn = ceiling (sqrt n)
let ?chrn = ceiling (1/2 * sqrt n)
have 31^2 < n using n-big
  by simp
then have sn: 31 < sqrt n using real-less-rsqrt by auto
have pos1: 0 ≤ (2 * 3 / 4 * 2 powr (- 1 / 2)) powr real-of-int [sqrt (real n)]
by auto
have pos2: 0 < 2 powr ?crn using sn by simp
have pos3: 0 < (3/4) powr ?crn by simp
have (2::real) powr (- 1 / 2) = 1/(2 powr (1/2))
  using powr-minus[of 2::real 1/2]
  by (simp add: powr-minus-divide)
then have powr-2-is: 2 powr (- 1 / 2) = 1 / (sqrt 2)
  by (metis powr-half-sqrt rel-simps(27))
have (2* sqrt 2)^2 < 3^2
  by simp
then have 2* sqrt 2 < 3
  using real-less-rsqrt by fastforce
then have gt-1: 3/2 * 1 / (sqrt 2) > 1
  by simp
have one-half: (1/sqrt 2) powr 2 = 1/2
  by (smt (verit, best) eq-divide-eq powr-2-is powr-half-sqrt-powr powr-powr real-sqrt-divide
real-sqrt-eq-iff real-sqrt-one)
have three-halves: ((3::real)/2) ^ 2 = (9/4::real)
  using power-divide[of 3::real 2 2] by simp
have ((3::real)/2 * 1 / (sqrt 2)) powr 2 = (3/2) ^ 2 * ((1/sqrt 2) powr 2)
  using powr-mult[of 3/2 1 / (sqrt 2)]
  by auto
then have mult: ((3::real)/2 * 1 / (sqrt 2)) powr 2 = 9/8
  by (simp add: one-half three-halves)
have (5::real) < (9^15/8^15)
  by auto
then have (5::real) < (9/8) ^ 15
  by (metis power-divide)
then have (5::real) < (9/8) powr 15
  by simp
then have (5::real) < ((3/2 * 1 / (sqrt 2)) powr 2) powr 15
  using mult by presburger
then have (5::real) < (3/2 * 1 / (sqrt 2)) powr 30
  by auto
then have (5::real) < (3/2 * 1 / (sqrt 2)) powr 31
  using gt-1
  by (smt (verit) powr-less-cancel-iff)
then have (5::real) < (2 * 3/4 * 2 powr (- 1/2)) powr 31
  using powr-2-is by auto
moreover have (31::real) < ?crn using sn by simp
moreover have 1 < ((2::real) * 3/4 * 2 powr (- 1/2))
  using gt-1 powr-2-is by linarith

```

```

ultimately have 1:  $(5::real) < (2 * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn$ 
  using powr-less-mono[of 31 ?crn ((2::real) * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2))] by
linarith
have mult-eq:  $(32::real) * (11/10) * 3 / 5 = 1056/50$ 
  by simp
have  $((4::real)/3) * 1056^2 < 1550^2$ 
  by auto
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 1056 < 1550$ 
  by (metis divide-nonneg-nonneg powr-half-sqrt real-sqrt-less-mono real-sqrt-mult
real-sqrt-pow2 real-sqrt-power zero-le-numeral)
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * (32::real) * (11/10) * 3 / 5 < 31$ 
  using mult-eq by linarith
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * 3 / 5 < \sqrt{n}$ 
  using sn by simp
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * 3 < \sqrt{n} * 5$  by linarith
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * 3 < \sqrt{n} * (2 * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn$ 
  using 1 mult-strict-left-mono[of 5 (2 * 3 / 4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1 / 2)) \text{powr}
real-of-int [sqrt (real n)] sqrt n] by fastforce
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * 3 / \sqrt{n} < (2 * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn$ 
  using sn divide-less-eq[of (4 / 3) \text{powr} (1 / 2) * 32 * (11 / 10) * 3 \sqrt{n} (2
* 3 / 4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1 / 2)) \text{powr} real-of-int [sqrt (real n)]] by argo
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 / \sqrt{n}) < (2 * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn$  by auto
moreover have  $3 / \sqrt{n} = 3 * \sqrt{n} / n$ 
proof-
  have  $3 / \sqrt{n} = 3 / (\sqrt{n} * (\sqrt{n} / \sqrt{n}))$  using sn by force
  also have ... =  $3 * \sqrt{n} / (\sqrt{n} * \sqrt{n})$  using sn by argo
  finally show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) < (2 * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn$  by simp
moreover have  $(2 * 3/4 * 2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn = (2 \text{powr} ?crn) * ((3/4) \text{powr} ?crn) * ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn)$ 
  by (metis times-divide-eq-right powr-mult)
ultimately have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) < (2 \text{powr} ?crn) * ((3/4) \text{powr} ?crn) * ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn)$  by linarith
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) < ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn) * ((3 / 4) \text{powr} ?crn) * (2 \text{powr} ?crn)$  by argo
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) / (2 \text{powr} ?crn) < ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn) * ((3 / 4) \text{powr} ?crn)$ 
  using pos2 pos-divide-less-eq[of 2 \text{powr} real-of-int [sqrt (real n)] ((4::real)/3)
\text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn) * ((3 / 4) \text{powr} ?crn)] by linarith
then have  $((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) * 32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) / (2 \text{powr} ?crn) / ((3 / 4) \text{powr} ?crn) < ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn)$ 
  using pos3 pos-divide-less-eq[of ((3/4) \text{powr} ?crn) ((4::real)/3) \text{powr} (1/2) *
32 * (11/10) * (3 * \sqrt{n} / n) / (2 \text{powr} ?crn) ((2 \text{powr} (- 1/2)) \text{powr} ?crn)]
```

```

by linarith
then have main: ((4::real)/3) powr (1/2) / ((3/4) powr ?crn) * 
  (16 * 11/10) *
  ((2 * 3 * sqrt n / n) / (2 powr ?crn))
< ((2 powr (-1/2)) powr ?crn) by argo

have k-ineq: (d + 1) / (2 powr (real k - 1)) ≤ ((2 * 3 * sqrt n / n) / (2
powr ?crn))
proof-
  have d ≤ 3 * sqrt n using sn d by linarith
  have n = 2 powr log 2 n
    using n-geq-1 by auto
  moreover have 2 powr log 2 n ≤ 2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n)) by fastforce
  ultimately have 2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) ≤ 2 / n
    by (metis frac-le ge-refl powr-nonneg-iff verit-comp-simplify(7) verit-comp-simplify1(3)
verit-eq-simplify(5))
  moreover have ((2 * 3 * sqrt n / n) / (2 powr ?crn)) = 3 * sqrt n * (2
/ n / 2 powr ?crn) by argo
  moreover have 0 ≤ 3 * sqrt n using sn by linarith
  ultimately have *: 3 * sqrt n * (2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) / 2 powr
?crn) ≤ (2 * 3 * sqrt n / n) / (2 powr ?crn)
    using mult-left-mono[of 2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) 2 / n 3 * sqrt (real
n)]
      divide-right-mono[of 3 * sqrt n * (2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n)))) (2 *
3 * sqrt n / n) 2 powr ?crn]
        pos2 by simp
    have 2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) = 2 powr (1 - ceiling (log 2 n)) using
powr-diff[of 2 1 ceiling (log 2 n)] by fastforce
    then have (2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) / 2 powr ?crn) = 2 powr (1 -
ceiling (log 2 n) - ?crn)
      using powr-diff[of 2 1 - ceiling (log 2 n) ?crn] by fastforce
    moreover have -(1 - ceiling (log 2 n) - ?crn) = ?crn + ceiling (log 2 n)
- 1 by fastforce
    ultimately have (2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) / 2 powr ?crn) = 1 / (2
powr (?crn + ceiling (log 2 n) - 1))
      by (smt (verit) of-int-minus powr-minus-divide)
    moreover have real-of-int (?crn + ceiling (log 2 n) - 1) = real-of-int (?crn
+ ceiling (log 2 n)) - 1 by linarith
    ultimately have (2 / (2 powr (ceiling (log 2 n))) / 2 powr ?crn) = 1 / (2
powr (real-of-int (int k) - 1))
      using k by presburger
    then have 3 * sqrt n / (2 powr (real k - 1)) ≤ (2 * 3 * sqrt n / n) / (2
powr ?crn) using * by force
    moreover have (d + 1) ≤ 3 * sqrt n
      using d sn by linarith
    moreover have 0 < 2 powr (k - 1) by force
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using divide-right-mono[of d + 1 3 * sqrt n 2 powr (real k - 1)]
      by auto

```

qed

```
have (((4::real)/3) powr (1/2) / ( (3 / 4 ) powr ?crn) ) = (4/3) powr ((d +
1) / 2)
proof-
  have inv: 1/((4::real)/3) = (3::real) / 4
    by force
  have (4 / 3) powr real-of-int (- [sqrt (real n)]) = 1 / (4 / 3) powr real-of-int
  [sqrt (real n)]
    using powr-minus-divide[of 4/3 ?crn]
    by simp
  then have (4 / 3) powr real-of-int (- [sqrt (real n)]) = 1 powr real-of-int
  [sqrt (real n)] / (4 / 3) powr real-of-int [sqrt (real n)]
    by simp
  then have powr-minus-div: (4 / 3) powr real-of-int (- [sqrt (real n)]) = (1
  / (4 / 3)) powr real-of-int [sqrt (real n)]
    by (simp add: powr-divide)
  have (3 / 4) powr ?crn = (4/3) powr (- ?crn)
    unfolding powr-minus-div inv
    by auto
  then have ((4::real)/3) powr (1/2) / ( (3 / 4 ) powr ?crn) = ((4::real) / 3)
  powr (1/2 - (- ?crn))
    using powr-diff[of 4/3 1/2 -?crn] by algebra
  moreover have 1/2 - (- ?crn) = (d + 1)/2 using d by force
  ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
qed
then have (4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) *
  (16 * 11/10) *
  ( (2 * 3 * sqrt n / n) / (2 powr ?crn) )
  < ((2 powr (- 1/2)) powr ?crn) using main
  by presburger
moreover have 0<(4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) *
  (16 * 11/10) by fastforce
ultimately have (4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) * (16 * 11/10) * (d + 1) / (2 powr
( real k - 1 )) < (2 powr (- 1/2)) powr ?crn
  using mult-left-mono[of real (d + 1) / 2 powr (real k - 1) 2 * 3 * sqrt (real
n) / real n / 2 powr real-of-int [sqrt (real n)] (4 / 3) powr (real (d + 1) / 2) *
(16 * 11 / 10)]
  k-ineq by argo
then have (4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) / (2 powr ( real k
- 1 )) < (2 powr (- 1/2)) powr ?crn / 16 by linarith
also have ... = 2 powr (- 1/2 * ?crn) / 16
  by (simp add: powr-powr)
also have ... = 2 powr (- 1/2 * ?crn - 4)
  using powr-diff[of 2 -1/2*?crn 4] by force
also have ... ≤ 2 powr (-μ) using powr-mono[of - 1/2 * ?crn - 4 -μ 2::real]
μ by linarith
also have ... = 1 / 2 powr (μ)
  by (simp add: powr-minus-divide)
```

```

finally have (4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) / (2 powr ( real k
- 1 )) < 1 / 2 powr ( $\mu$ )
  by meson
then have (4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) / (2 powr ( real k
- 1 )) * p < 1 / 2 powr ( $\mu$ ) * p
  using p mult-strict-right-mono[of (4/3) powr ((d + 1) / 2) * (11/10) * (d
+ 1) / (2 powr ( real k - 1 )) 1 / 2 powr ( $\mu$ ) p]
  by fastforce
then show ?thesis
  by force
qed

end

```

## 4.1 Main HNP locale

```

locale hnp = hnp-arith n  $\alpha$  d p k + hnp-adversary d p for n  $\alpha$  d p k +
  fixes msb-p :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat
  assumes msb-p-dist:  $\bigwedge x. |int x - int(msb-p x)| < p / (2^k)$ 
begin

```

## 4.2 Uniqueness lemma

```

sublocale vec-space TYPE(rat) d + 1 .

```

```

lemma sumlist-index-commute:
  fixes Lst :: rat vec list
  fixes i :: nat
  assumes set Lst  $\subseteq$  carrier-vec (d + 1)
  assumes i < (d + 1)
  shows (sumlist Lst)$i = sum-list (map (λj. (Lst!j)$i) [0..<(length Lst)])
  using assms vec-module.sumlist-vec-index
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) map-eq-conv map-upt-len-conv subset-code(1))

```

```

definition ts-pmf where ts-pmf = replicate-pmf d (pmf-of-set {1..<p})

```

```

lemma set-pmf-ts: set-pmf ts-pmf = {l. length l = d  $\wedge$  ( $\forall i < d. l!i \in \{1..<p\}$ )}
proof-
  have set-pmf ts-pmf = {xs ∈ lists (set-pmf (pmf-of-set {1..<p})). length xs =
d}
    unfolding ts-pmf-def using set-replicate-pmf[of d pmf-of-set {1..<p}] .
  also have ... = {l. length l = d  $\wedge$  ( $\forall i < d. l!i \in \{1..<p\}$ )}
    apply safe
    apply(metis One-nat-def  $\alpha$  empty-iff finite-atLeastLessThan nth-mem set-pmf-of-set)
    by (metis empty-iff finite-atLeastLessThan in-set-conv-nth set-pmf-of-set)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

definition ts-to-as :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  ts-to-as ts = (map (msb-p o (λt. ( $\alpha*t$ ) mod p)) ts)

```

```

definition ts-to-u :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec where
  ts-to-u ts = vec-of-list (map of-nat (ts-to-as ts) @ [0])

lemma ts-to-u-alt:
  ts-to-u ts = vec-of-list ((map (of-nat o msb-p o (λt. (α*t) mod p)) ts) @ [0])
  unfolding ts-to-u-def ts-to-as-def by (metis map-map)

lemma u-carrier: length ts = d  $\implies$  ts-to-u ts  $\in$  carrier-vec (d + 1)
  by (simp add: carrier-dim-vec ts-to-as-def ts-to-u-def)

lemma ts-to-u-carrier:
  fixes ts :: nat list
  shows (ts-to-u ts)  $\in$  carrier-vec ((length ts) + 1)
  proof –
    have dim-vec (vec-of-list (map (rat-of-nat o msb-p o (λt. α * t mod p)) ts @ [0]))
      = (length ts + 1)
      by simp
    then show ?thesis
    unfolding ts-to-u-def ts-to-as-def carrier-vec-def by fastforce
  qed

```

#### 4.2.1 Lattice construction and lemmas

```

definition p-vecs :: rat vec list where
  p-vecs = map (λi. of-int-hom.vec-hom ((of-nat p) ·v (unit-vec (d+1) i))) [0.. $<$ d]

lemma length-p-vecs: length p-vecs = d unfolding p-vecs-def by auto

lemma p-vecs-carrier:  $\forall v \in \text{set } p\text{-vecs}. \dim\text{-vec } v = d + 1$  unfolding p-vecs-def by force

lemma lincomb-of-p-vecs-last: (lincomb-list (of-int o cs) p-vecs)$d = 0 (is ?lhs = 0)
  proof –
    let ?xs = (map (λi. (rat-of-int o cs) i ·v p-vecs ! i) [0.. $<$ length p-vecs])
    have dim:  $\forall v \in \text{set } ?xs. \dim\text{-vec } v = d + 1$  using p-vecs-carrier by simp
    have *:  $\forall v \in \text{set } ?xs. v\$d = 0$  unfolding p-vecs-def by fastforce
    have ?lhs = sumlist (map (λi. (rat-of-int o cs) i ·v p-vecs ! i) [0.. $<$ length p-vecs])$d
      unfolding lincomb-list-def by blast
      also have ... = ( $\sum j = 0..<$ length ?xs. ?xs ! j $ d)
      using sumlist-nth[OF dim, of d] by linarith
      finally show ?thesis using * by force
  qed

definition gen-basis :: nat list  $\Rightarrow$  rat vec list where
  gen-basis ts = p-vecs @ [vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])]

```

**lemma** *gen-basis-length*:  $\text{length}(\text{gen-basis } ts) = d + 1$  **unfolding** *gen-basis-def p-vecs-def* **by** *force*

**lemma** *gen-basis-units*:

**assumes**  $i < d$

**assumes**  $j < d + 1$

**shows**  $((\text{gen-basis } ts)!i)\$j = \text{of-nat} (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } p \text{ else } 0)$  (**is**  $(?x)\$j = -$ )

**proof-**

**have**  $?x = \text{of-int-hom.vec-hom} ((\text{of-nat } p) \cdot_v (\text{unit-vec } (d+1) i))$

**proof-**

**have**  $?x = (\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{of-int-hom.vec-hom} ((\text{of-nat } p) \cdot_v (\text{unit-vec } (d+1) i))) [0..<d]!i)$

**unfolding** *gen-basis-def p-vecs-def* **using** *assms(1)* **by** (*simp add: nth-append*)

**also have**  $\dots = \text{of-int-hom.vec-hom} ((\text{of-nat } p) \cdot_v (\text{unit-vec } (d+1) i))$  **by** (*simp add: assms(1)*)

**finally show** *?thesis* .

**qed**

**thus** *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

**qed**

**definition** *int-gen-lattice* ::  $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{int vec set}$  **where**

*int-gen-lattice*  $ts = \text{vec-module.lattice-of } (d + 1) (\text{int-gen-basis } ts)$

**definition** *gen-lattice* ::  $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{rat vec set}$  **where**

*gen-lattice*  $ts = \text{vec-module.lattice-of } (d + 1) (\text{gen-basis } ts)$

**definition** *close-vec* ::  $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{rat vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

*close-vec*  $ts v \longleftrightarrow (\text{sq-norm } ((\text{ts-to-u } ts) - v) < ((\text{of-nat } p) / 2^\mu)^2)$

**definition** *good-vec* ::  $\text{rat vec} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

*good-vec*  $v \longleftrightarrow \text{dim-vec } v = d + 1 \wedge (\exists \beta : \text{int}. [\alpha = \beta] \text{ (mod } p) \wedge \text{of-rat } (v\$d) = \beta/p)$

**definition** *good-lattice* ::  $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

*good-lattice*  $ts \longleftrightarrow (\forall v \in \text{gen-lattice } ts. \text{close-vec } ts v \longrightarrow \text{good-vec } v)$

**definition** *bad-lattice* ::  $\text{nat list} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

*bad-lattice*  $ts \longleftrightarrow \neg \text{good-lattice } ts$

**definition** *sampled-lattice-good* ::  $\text{bool pmf}$  **where**

*sampled-lattice-good* = *do* {

*ts*  $\leftarrow$  *ts-pmf*;

*return-pmf* (*good-lattice*  $ts$ )

}

**interpretation** *vec-int*: *vec-module*  $\text{TYPE}(\text{int})^{d + 1}$ .

**lemma** *int-gen-basis-carrier*:

```

fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
shows set (int-gen-basis ts) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof -
  have first-part: ∀i. int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    unfolding unit-vec-def by simp
  have second-part: (vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1])) ∈ carrier-vec
(d+1)
    by (simp add: assms carrier-dim-vec)
  show ?thesis
    unfolding int-gen-basis-def using first-part second-part
    by auto
qed

lemma int-gen-lattice-carrier:
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
shows int-gen-lattice ts ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof -
  have set (int-gen-basis ts) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using int-gen-basis-carrier[OF assms(1)] unfolding int-gen-basis-def
    by blast
  then show ?thesis
    unfolding int-gen-lattice-def
    using lattice-of-as-mat-mult by blast
qed

lemma gen-basis-vecs-carrier:
fixes ts :: nat list
fixes i :: nat
assumes length ts = d
assumes i ∈ {0..< d + 1}
shows (gen-basis ts) ! i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof (cases i=d)
  case t:True
  have length (gen-basis ts) = d + 1 unfolding gen-basis-def p-vecs-def by auto
  then have 1: (gen-basis ts) ! i = vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])
    unfolding gen-basis-def using t by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-middle)
  have length ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)]) = d + 1 using assms by
fastforce
  then have vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)]) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    by (metis vec-of-list-carrier)
  then show ?thesis using 1 by algebra
next
  case False
  then have less: i < d using assms by simp
  have length (gen-basis ts) = d + 1 unfolding gen-basis-def p-vecs-def by auto
  then have (gen-basis ts) ! i = of-int-hom.vec-hom ((of-nat p) ·v (unit-vec (d+1)
i))
    unfolding gen-basis-def using less by simp
qed

```

```

using less by (simp add: gen-basis-def nth-append p-vecs-def)
then show ?thesis by fastforce
qed

lemma gen-basis-carrier:
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
shows set (gen-basis ts) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof –
have length (gen-basis ts) = d + 1 unfolding gen-basis-def p-vecs-def by auto
then have (gen-basis ts) ! i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) if in-range: i ∈ {0..<(length (gen-basis ts))} for i
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts i] in-range assms by argo
then show ?thesis using set-list-subset[of gen-basis ts carrier-vec (d + 1)] by presburger
qed

lemma gen-lattice-carrier:
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
shows gen-lattice ts ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof –
have set-basis: set (gen-basis ts) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms unfolding gen-lattice-def by auto
then have dim-vec v = d+1 if v-in: v ∈ lattice-of (gen-basis ts) for v
proof –
obtain c where v-is: v = sumlist (map (λi. rat-of-int (c i) ·v gen-basis ts ! i) [0..<length (gen-basis ts)])
using v-in unfolding lattice-of-def by blast
have all-x: ∀ x∈set (map (λi. rat-of-int (c i) ·v gen-basis ts ! i) [0..<length (gen-basis ts)]).
dim-vec x = d + 1
using set-basis unfolding carrier-vec-def
using assms gen-basis-length gen-basis-vecs-carrier by auto
then show ?thesis
using v-is carrier-dim-vec dim-sumlist[OF all-x]
by argo
qed
then show ?thesis unfolding gen-lattice-def using carrier-dim-vec by blast
qed

lemma sampled-lattice-good-map-pmf: sampled-lattice-good = map-pmf good-lattice
ts-pmf
by (simp add: sampled-lattice-good-def map-pmf-def)

lemma coordinates-of-gen-lattice:
fixes ts :: nat list

```

```

fixes c :: nat ⇒ int
fixes i :: nat
assumes i ≤ length ts
assumes length ts = d
shows (sumlist (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i)) [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)]))$i
= (if (i = d) then (rat-of-int (c d) / rat-of-nat p) else rat-of-int ((c d) * ts!i + (c i)*p))
proof(cases i=d)
case t:True
define Lst where Lst = (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i)) [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)])
have ∀x. x ∈ set Lst ⇒ x ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof-
fix x assume x ∈ set Lst
then obtain y where y: Lst!y = x ∧ y ∈ {0..<length(Lst)}
by (meson atLeastLessThanIff find-first-le nth-find-first zero-le)
then have x = of-int (c y) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! y) unfolding Lst-def by auto
moreover have length(Lst) = d + 1 unfolding Lst-def using gen-basis-length[of ts] by force
ultimately show x ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts]
assms y by auto
qed
then have (sumlist Lst)$i = sum-list (map (λl. l$i) Lst)
using sumlist-vec-index[of Lst i] gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms by force
moreover have sum-list (map (λl. l$i) Lst)
= sum-list (map (
(λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i)))
[0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)])
unfolding Lst-def by auto
moreover have ∀j. j ∈ (set [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)])
⇒ ¬ (j = i ∨ j = d)
⇒ ((λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i))) j = 0
proof-
fix j assume j1: j ∈ (set [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)]) and j2: ¬ (j = i ∨ j = d)
have j3: j < d ∧ j ≠ i using j2 j1 gen-basis-length[of ts] by force
have ((λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i))) j =
(of-int (c j) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! j))$i by simp
also have (of-int (c j) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! j))$i = (of-int (c j) * ((gen-basis ts) ! j))$i
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts j] j1 assms gen-basis-length[of ts] by fastforce
also have ((gen-basis ts) ! j)$i = 0
using gen-basis-units[of j i ts] j3 assms by fastforce
finally show ((λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i))) j = 0 by
fastforce
qed
ultimately have (sumlist Lst)$i

```

```

= sum-list (map
  ((λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i)))
  (filter (λj. j=i ∨ j = d)
    [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)]))
using sum-list-map-filter[of [0..<length (gen-basis ts)] (λj. j=i ∨ j = d)
  (λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i))] by argo
moreover have (filter (λj. j=i ∨ j = d) [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)]) = [d]
  using t gen-basis-length[of ts] by fastforce
ultimately have (sumlist Lst)$i = (of-int (c d) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! d))$d using
t by force
also have (of-int (c d) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! d))$d = (of-int (c d)) * (gen-basis
ts)!d$d
  using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts d] assms by simp
also have (gen-basis ts)!d$d = 1 / (rat-of-nat p)
proof-
  have (gen-basis ts)!d = vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])
    using gen-basis-length[of ts] unfolding gen-basis-def
    by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-middle)
  also have (vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)]))$d = ((map of-nat
ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])!d
    by auto
  also have ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])!d = 1 / (of-nat p)
    using assms append-Cons-nth-middle[of d (map of-nat ts) 1 / (of-nat p) []]
    by force
  finally show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis using t unfolding Lst-def by simp
next
case f:False
define Lst where Lst = (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i)) [0 ..<
length (gen-basis ts)])
have ⋀x. x ∈ set Lst ⟹ x ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof-
  fix x assume x ∈ set Lst
  then obtain y where y-def: x = Lst!y ∧ y ∈ {0..<length(Lst)}
    by (metis atLeastLessThanIff in-set-conv-nth zero-le)
  then have x = of-int (c y) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! y)
    using Lst-def by force
  moreover have y ∈ {0..<d+1}
    using y-def gen-basis-length[of ts] unfolding Lst-def by simp
  ultimately show x ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts y] assms by fastforce
qed
then have (sumlist Lst)$i = sum-list (map (λl. l$i) Lst)
  using sumlist-vec-index[of Lst i] gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms by force
moreover have sum-list (map (λl. l$i) Lst)
  = sum-list (map (
    (λl. l$i) ∘ (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ts) ! i))
  ))

```

```

[0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)])]
unfolding Lst-def by auto
moreover have  $\bigwedge j. j \in (\text{set} [0 ..< \text{length} (\text{gen-basis ts})])$ 
 $\implies \neg (j = i \vee j = d)$ 
 $\implies ((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) j = 0$ 
proof-
fix j assume j1:  $j \in (\text{set} [0 ..< \text{length} (\text{gen-basis ts})])$  and j2:  $\neg (j = i \vee j = d)$ 
have j3:  $j < d \wedge j \neq i$  using j2 j1 gen-basis-length[of ts] by force
have  $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) j =$ 
 $(\text{of-int} (c j) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! j))\$i$  by simp
also have  $(\text{of-int} (c j) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! j))\$i = (\text{of-int} (c j) * ((\text{gen-basis ts})$ 
 $! j))\$i$ 
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts j] j1 assms gen-basis-length[of ts] by fastforce
also have  $((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! j)\$i = 0$ 
using gen-basis-units[of j i ts] j3 assms by fastforce
finally show  $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) j = 0$  by
fastforce
qed
ultimately have (sumlist Lst)\$i
= sum-list (map
   $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i)))$ 
  (filter ( $\lambda j. j = i \vee j = d$ )
   [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)]))
using sum-list-map-filter[of [0..<length (gen-basis ts)] ( $\lambda j. j = i \vee j = d$ )
 $(\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))]$  by argo
moreover have (filter ( $\lambda j. j = i \vee j = d$ ) [0 ..< length (gen-basis ts)]) = [i, d]
using filter-or[of i d length (gen-basis ts)] assms gen-basis-length[of ts] f
by fastforce
ultimately have (sumlist Lst)\$i =
 $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) i +$ 
 $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) d$  by fastforce
also have  $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) i = (\text{of-int} (c i)$ 
 $* ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i)\$i)$ 
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts i] assms gen-basis-length[of ts] by force
also have  $((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i)\$i = \text{rat-of-nat} p$ 
using gen-basis-units[of i i ts] assms f by force
also have  $((\lambda l. l\$i) \circ (\lambda i. \text{of-int} (c i) \cdot_v ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! i))) d = (\text{of-int} (c$ 
 $d) * ((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! d))\$i$ 
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts d] assms gen-basis-length[of ts] by force
also have  $((\text{gen-basis ts}) ! d)\$i = \text{rat-of-nat} (ts!i)$ 
proof-
have  $(\text{gen-basis ts}) ! d = \text{vec-of-list} ((\text{map of-nat ts}) @ [1 / (\text{of-nat } p)])$ 
using gen-basis-length[of ts] unfolding gen-basis-def
by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-middle)
also have  $(\text{vec-of-list} ((\text{map of-nat ts}) @ [1 / (\text{of-nat } p)]))\$i = ((\text{map of-nat}$ 
 $ts) @ [1 / (\text{of-nat } p)])!i$ 
by auto
also have  $((\text{map of-nat ts}) @ [1 / (\text{of-nat } p)])!i = \text{rat-of-nat} (ts!i)$ 
```

```

using assms f append-Cons-nth-left[of i (map of-nat ts) 1 / (of-nat p) []]
by force
finally show ?thesis by fastforce
qed
finally have (sumlist Lst)$i = (of-int (c i)) * (rat-of-nat p) + (rat-of-int (c d))
* (rat-of-nat (ts!i))
by blast
then show ?thesis using f unfolding Lst-def by force
qed

lemma gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-vec:
fixes scaled-v :: int vec
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
assumes (scaled-v ∈ int-gen-lattice ts)
shows ((1/(of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v) ∈ (gen-lattice ts))
proof-
let ?iL = int-gen-lattice ts
let ?ib = int-gen-basis ts
let ?L = gen-lattice ts
let ?b = gen-basis ts
have il: length ?ib = d + 1
unfolding int-gen-basis-def by fastforce
obtain c where c: scaled-v = vec-int.lincomb-list (of-int ∘ c) ?ib
using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms unfolding int-gen-lattice-def vec-int.lincomb-list-def
vec-int.lattice-of-def by auto
let ?v = lincomb-list (of-int ∘ c) ?b
have carr-v: ?v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using lincomb-list-carrier gen-basis-carrier assms by blast
have carr-sv: ((1/(of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v)) ∈ carrier-vec (d +
1)
using assms int-gen-lattice-carrier[of ts] by auto
have carr-iv: scaled-v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using int-gen-lattice-carrier[of ts] assms by fast
have ?v ∈ ?L
unfolding lincomb-list-def gen-lattice-def lattice-of-def by simp
moreover have ?v = ((1/(of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v))
proof-
have ?v$i = ((1/(of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v))$i if in-range:
i ∈ {0..<(d + 1)} for i
proof-
let ?Lst = (map (λi. (of-int ∘ c) i ·v int-gen-basis ts ! i) [0..<length
(int-gen-basis ts)])
have length (int-gen-basis ts) = d + 1 unfolding int-gen-basis-def by force
then have set ?Lst ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms(1) gen-basis-vecs-carrier length-map
map-eq-conv map-nth set-list-subset smult-closed
by fastforce
then have scaled-v $ i = sum-list (map (λl. l$i) ?Lst)

```

```

using vec-int.sumlist-vec-index[of ?Lst i] in-range c
unfolding vec-int.lincomb-list-def by auto
then have *: scaled-v $ i = sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) [0..<(d + 1)])
  using il by simp
show ?thesis
proof(cases i = d)
  case t:True
    have [0..<(d + 1)] = [0..<d] @ [d] by simp
    then have sv: scaled-v $ i = sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) [0..<(d)]) + ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) d
      using * by fastforce
    have x = 0 if x: x ∈ set ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) [0..<(d)])) for x
      proof-
        obtain l where l: x = ((of-int o c) l ·v (?ib ! l))$i ∧ l ∈ {0..<d} using x
        by fastforce
        then have (?ib ! l) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms il by auto
        then have ((of-int o c) l ·v (?ib ! l))$i = (of-int o c) l * (?ib ! l)$i using t by auto
        moreover have (?ib ! l)$i = (int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) l)$i
        unfolding int-gen-basis-def using append-Cons-nth-left[of l map (λi. int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i) [0..<d] vec-of-list (map ((*)(int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]) []] l
          by force
        moreover have (int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) l)$i = 0
          using t in-range l by fastforce
        ultimately show x = 0 using l by simp
      qed
      then have sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) [0..<(d)])) = 0
        using sum-list-neutral by blast
      moreover have ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) d = c d
      proof-
        have c: (?ib ! d) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
          using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms il by force
        have ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) d = (((of-int o c) d) ·v (?ib ! d))$i by simp
        moreover have ... = ((of-int o c) d) * (?ib ! d)$i using c t by simp
        moreover have ((?ib ! d))$i = 1
          unfolding int-gen-basis-def
          using append-Cons-nth-middle[of d map (λi. int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i) [0..<d] vec-of-list (map ((*)(int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]) []]
            t append-Cons-nth-middle[of i map ((*)(int p)) (map int ts) 1 []]
          assms(1) by force
        ultimately show ?thesis by force
      qed
      ultimately have scaled-v $ i = c d using sv by presburger
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

then have ((1/(of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v))$i = rat-of-int (c
d) / rat-of-nat p
  using carr-iv t by simp
  then show ?thesis using coordinates-of-gen-lattice[of d ts c]
    unfolding lincomb-list-def using assms t by force
next
  case f:False
  then have [0..<(d + 1)] = [0..<i]@[i]@[i+1..<d]@[d]
    using in-range upt-append by fastforce
  then have 0: scaled-v $ i = sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j
·v (?ib ! j) )) [0..<i]) +
    ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) i +
    sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib !
j) )) [(i + 1)..<(d)]) +
      ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) )) d
    using * by force
  have 3: x = 0 if x: x ∈ set ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j)
)) [(i+1)..<d]) for x
  proof-
    obtain l where l: x = ((of-int o c) l ·v (?ib!l) )$i ∧ l ∈ {(i+1)..<d} using
x by auto
  then have ?ib!l ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms(1) il by fastforce
  then have ((of-int o c) l ·v (?ib!l) )$i = (of-int o c) l * (?ib!l)$i
    using f in-range by fastforce
  moreover have ?ib!l\$i = (int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) l)$i
    unfolding int-gen-basis-def using append-Cons-nth-left[of l map (λi.
int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i) [0..<d] vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @
[1])] ]
    using l by simp
  moreover have (int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) l)$i = 0 using l by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis using x l by presburger
qed
have x = 0 if x: x ∈ set ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ))
)) [0..<i]) for x
  proof-
    obtain l where l: x = ((of-int o c) l ·v (?ib!l) )$i ∧ l ∈ {0..<i} using x
by auto
  then have ?ib!l ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms(1) il in-range by fastforce
  then have ((of-int o c) l ·v (?ib!l) )$i = (of-int o c) l * (?ib!l)$i
    using f in-range by fastforce
  moreover have ?ib!l\$i = (int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) l)$i
    unfolding int-gen-basis-def using append-Cons-nth-left[of l map (λi.
int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i) [0..<d] vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @
[1])] ]
    using l in-range by simp
  moreover have (int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) l)$i = 0 using l in-range
by fastforce

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis using x l by presburger
qed
then have sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ) )) [0..i] = 0
using sum-list-neutral by blast
moreover have sum-list ((map ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ) )) [(i+1)..d]) = 0
using sum-list-neutral 3 by blast
moreover have ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ) ) i = c i * p^2
proof-
have ?ib!i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms(1) il in-range by force
then have ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ) ) i = ((of-int o c)
i) * (?ib!i$i)
using in-range by force
moreover have ?ib!i = (int (p^2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i)
unfolding int-gen-basis-def using append-Cons-nth-left[of i map (λi.
int (p^2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i) [0..d] vec-of-list (map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) @
[1]))]
in-range f by fastforce
moreover have (int (p^2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i)$i = p^2 using in-range
by force
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
moreover have ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ) ) d = c d * p *
(ts!i)
proof-
have ?ib!d ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms(1) il by fastforce
then have ((λl. l$i) o (λj. (of-int o c) j ·v (?ib ! j) ) ) d = ((of-int o c)
d) * (?ib!d$i)
using in-range by simp
moreover have (?ib!d) = vec-of-list (map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) @
[1]))
unfolding int-gen-basis-def
using append-Cons-nth-middle[of d map (λi. int (p^2) ·v unit-vec (d +
1) i) [0..d] vec-of-list (map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]))]
by simp
moreover have vec-of-list (map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1])) $ i =
map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) ! i
using append-Cons-nth-left[of i map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) 1 []])
in-range f
vec-index-vec-of-list[of (map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1])) i]
assms by force
moreover have map ((* (int p)) (map int ts) ! i = (int p) * ts!i using
in-range f assms by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately have scv: scaled-v $ i = (c i) * p^2 + c d * p*(ts!i) using 0

```

```

by linarith
  have foil: rat-of-int (c i * int (p2) + c d * int p * int (ts ! i)) =
    rat-of-nat p * rat-of-int (c i * int p + c d * int (ts ! i))
  by (smt (verit, del-insts) Power.semiring-1-class.of-nat-power Ring-Hom.of-int-hom.hom-mult
int-distrib(1) more-arith-simps(11) mult-ac(2) of-int-of-nat-eq power2-eq-square)
  have ((1/(of-nat p))·v(map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v))\$i = rat-of-int ((c i) *
p2 + c d * p*(ts!i)) / (rat-of-nat p)
  using scv carr-iv f in-range by force
  moreover have ... = rat-of-int ((c i) * p + (c d) * (ts!i))
  using foil p by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis
  using coordinates-of-gen-lattice[of i ts c] assms f in-range
  unfolding lincomb-list-def
  by simp
qed
qed
then show ?thesis using carr-v carr-sv by auto
qed
ultimately show (1/(of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int scaled-v) ∈ ?L by argo
qed

definition t-vec :: nat list ⇒ rat vec where
t-vec ts = vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])
```

```

lemma t-vec-dim: dim-vec (t-vec ts) = length ts + 1
  unfolding t-vec-def by simp
```

```

lemma t-vec-last: length ts = d ⇒ (t-vec ts)\$d = 1 / (of-nat p)
  unfolding t-vec-def
  by (simp add: append-Cons-nth(1))
```

```

definition z-vecs :: int vec set where
z-vecs = {v. dim-vec v = d + 1 ∧ v\$d = 0}
```

```

definition vec-class :: nat list ⇒ int ⇒ rat vec set where
vec-class ts β = {(of-int β) ·v (t-vec ts) + lincomb-list (of-int ∘ cs) p-vecs | cs :: nat ⇒ int. True}
```

```

definition vec-class-mod-p :: nat list ⇒ int ⇒ rat vec set where
vec-class-mod-p ts β = ∪ {vec-class ts β' | β'. [β = β'] (mod p)}
```

```

lemma vec-class-carrier:
  assumes length ts = d
  shows vec-class ts β ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof
  fix x assume x ∈ vec-class ts β
  then obtain cs where x = (of-int β) ·v (t-vec ts) + lincomb-list (of-int ∘ cs)
  p-vecs
  unfolding vec-class-def by blast
```

```

moreover have  $t\text{-vec } ts \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$ 
  using  $t\text{-vec-dim}[of ts]$  unfolding assms(1) carrier-vec-def by blast
moreover have  $\text{lincomb-list } (\text{of-int } \circ cs) p\text{-vecs} \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$ 
  by (metis p-vecs-carrier carrier-vec-dim-vec lincomb-list-carrier subsetI)
ultimately show  $x \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$  by blast
qed

lemma vec-class-mod-p-carrier:
assumes length  $ts = d$ 
shows vec-class-mod-p  $ts \beta \subseteq \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$ 
  unfolding vec-class-mod-p-def using assms vec-class-carrier by blast

lemma vec-class-last:
assumes length  $ts = d$ 
assumes  $v \in \text{vec-class } ts \beta$ 
shows  $v\$d = \text{rat-of-int } \beta / \text{rat-of-int } p$ 
using assms(2) unfolding vec-class-def
proof-
  obtain cs where  $cs: v = \text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts + \text{lincomb-list } (\text{rat-of-int } \circ cs) p\text{-vecs}$ 
    using assms(2) unfolding vec-class-def by blast
  let ?a =  $\text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts$ 
  let ?b =  $\text{lincomb-list } (\text{rat-of-int } \circ cs) p\text{-vecs}$ 
  have ?a\$d =  $\text{rat-of-int } \beta / \text{rat-of-int } p$ 
    using t-vec-last[OF assms(1)] by (simp add: assms(1) t-vec-dim)
  moreover have ?b\$d = 0 using lincomb-of-p-vecs-last by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using cs vec-class-carrier[OF assms(1), of  $\beta$ ]
    by (smt (z3) One-nat-def add-Suc-right assms(1,2) carrier-vec-dim-vec index-add-vec(1) index-add-vec(2) lessI r-zero semiring-norm(51) subsetD t-vec-dim)
qed

lemma gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-vec':
fixes  $v :: \text{rat vec}$ 
fixes  $ts :: \text{nat list}$ 
assumes length  $ts = d$ 
assumes  $v \in \text{gen-lattice } ts$ 
shows map-vec int-of-rat  $((\text{of-nat } p) \cdot_v v) \in \text{int-gen-lattice } ts$ 
  map-vec rat-of-int  $(\text{map-vec int-of-rat } ((\text{of-nat } p) \cdot_v v)) = (\text{rat-of-nat } p) \cdot_v v$ 
proof -
  have dims:  $(\bigwedge w. \text{List.member } (\text{gen-basis } ts) w \implies \text{dim-vec } w = d + 1)$ 
    by (meson List.member-iff assms(1) carrier-dim-vec gen-basis-carrier subsetD)
  have pv-in:  $(\text{rat-of-nat } p \cdot_v v) \in \text{lattice-of } (\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{rat-of-nat } p \cdot_v x) (\text{gen-basis } ts))$ 
    using assms(2)
    unfolding gen-lattice-def
    using smult-in-lattice-of[OF dims, of (gen-basis ts) v rat-of-nat p]
    by blast
  then have lattice-of-map:  $\text{rat-of-nat } p \cdot_v v$ 

```

```

 $\in \text{lattice-of}$ 
 $(\text{map } ((\cdot_v) (\text{rat-of-nat } p))$ 
 $(\text{p-vecs} @$ 
 $[\text{vec-of-list}$ 
 $(\text{map } \text{rat-of-nat } ts @ [1 / \text{rat-of-nat } p])))$ 
unfolding gen-basis-def by blast
then have eq1: rat-of-nat p  $\cdot_v v$ 
 $\in \text{lattice-of}$ 
 $((\text{map } ((\cdot_v) (\text{rat-of-nat } p))$ 
 $(\text{p-vecs})) @$ 
 $[(\cdot_v) (\text{rat-of-nat } p) (\text{vec-of-list}$ 
 $(\text{map } \text{rat-of-nat } ts @ [1 / \text{rat-of-nat } p]))])$ 
by simp
have generic-aux:  $\bigwedge p :: \text{rat}. [(\cdot_v) p (\text{vec-of-list } ell)] = [\text{vec-of-list } (\text{map } (\lambda x. p * x) ell)]$ 
for ell :: rat list
by auto
have generic:  $\bigwedge p \text{ elt} :: \text{rat}. [(\cdot_v) p (\text{vec-of-list } (ell @ [elt]))] =$ 
 $[ (\text{vec-of-list } ((\text{map } (\lambda x. p * x) ell) @ [p * elt]))]$ 
for ell :: rat list
proof –
fix p elt :: rat
have  $[(\cdot_v) p (\text{vec-of-list } (ell @ [elt]))] = [\text{vec-of-list } (\text{map } (\lambda x. p * x) (ell @ [elt]))]$ 
using generic-aux by blast
then show  $[(\cdot_v) p (\text{vec-of-list } (ell @ [elt]))] =$ 
 $[ (\text{vec-of-list } ((\text{map } (\lambda x. p * x) ell) @ [p * elt]))]$ 
by simp
qed
have h1:  $(\text{map } ((*) (\text{rat-of-nat } p)) (\text{map } \text{rat-of-nat } ts)) = \text{map } (\lambda x. \text{rat-of-nat } p * \text{rat-of-nat } x) ts$ 
by simp
have h2: rat-of-nat p *  $(1 / \text{rat-of-nat } p) = 1$ 
by (simp add: p prime-gt-0-nat)
then have eq1:  $[(\cdot_v) (\text{rat-of-nat } p) (\text{vec-of-list}$ 
 $(\text{map } \text{rat-of-nat } ts @ [1 / \text{rat-of-nat } p]))] =$ 
 $[\text{vec-of-list}$ 
 $(\text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{rat-of-nat } p) * \text{rat-of-nat } x) ts @ [1])]$ 
unfolding generic[of (rat-of-nat p) map rat-of-nat ts 1 / rat-of-nat p]
using h1 h2 by argo

let ?qs = p-vecs @  $[\text{vec-of-list } (\text{map } \text{rat-of-nat } ts @ [1 / \text{rat-of-nat } p])]$ 
let ?qs2 =  $(\text{map } ((\cdot_v) (\text{rat-of-nat } p))$ 
 $(\text{p-vecs})) @$ 
 $[\text{vec-of-list}$ 
 $(\text{map } (\lambda x. (\text{rat-of-nat } p) * \text{rat-of-nat } x) ts @ [1])]$ 

have rat-of-nat-qs2: rat-of-nat p  $\cdot_v v \in \text{lattice-of } ?qs2$ 
using eq1 gen-basis-def pv-in by force

```

```

have mem1:  $\exists k. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{mem}$  if
    is-mem:  $\text{List.member}(\text{map}((\cdot_v)(\text{rat-of-nat } p)) \text{p-vecs}) \text{mem}$ 
for mem
proof -
  obtain i where i-prop:  $\text{mem} = ((\cdot_v)(\text{rat-of-nat } p))$ 
     $(\text{map-vec rat-of-int} (\text{int } p \cdot_v \text{unit-vec} (d + 1) i))$ 
     $i < d$ 
    using is-mem unfolding p-vecs-def
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Groups.monoid-add-class.add.left-neutral List.List.set-map
    List.member-iff diff-zero imageE in-set-conv-nth length-map length-upn nth-map-upn)
    show ?thesis
      unfolding i-prop(1)
      by (metis Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom-smult of-int-of-nat-eq)
    qed
  have mem2:  $\exists k. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{mem}$  if mem-ts:  $\text{mem} = \text{vec-of-list}(\text{map}(\lambda x. \text{rat-of-nat } p * \text{rat-of-nat } x) \text{ts} @ [1])$  for mem
  proof -
    let ?k =  $\text{vec-of-list}(\text{map}(\lambda x. (\text{int } p) * x) \text{ts} @ [1])$ 
    have map rat-of-int  $(\text{map}(\lambda x. (\text{int } p) * x) \text{ts} @ [1]) =$ 
       $\text{map}(\lambda x. \text{rat-of-nat } p * \text{rat-of-nat } x) \text{ts} @ [1]$ 
      by auto
    then have map-vec rat-of-int ?k =
       $\text{vec-of-list}(\text{map}(\lambda x. \text{rat-of-nat } p * \text{rat-of-nat } x) \text{ts} @ [1])$ 
      by (metis vec-of-list-map)
    then show ?thesis unfolding mem-ts
      by blast
  qed

  have mem-int:  $(\bigwedge \text{mem}. \text{List.member} ?qs2 \text{mem} \implies \exists k. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{mem})$ 
    using mem1 mem2
    by (metis append-insert List.member-iff insert-iff)
  have mem-dims:  $(\bigwedge \text{mem}. \text{List.member} ?qs2 \text{mem} \implies \text{dim-vec mem} = d + 1)$ 
    using dims gen-basis-def
    by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) List.List.set-map List.member-iff append-insert
    eq1 imageE index-smult-vec(2) insert-iff)
  then have casting-hyp:  $\exists k:\text{int vec}. \text{map-vec rat-of-int } k = \text{rat-of-nat } p \cdot_v v$ 
    using assms(2) unfolding gen-lattice-def gen-basis-def
    using in-lattice-casting[OF mem-int mem-dims rat-of-nat-qs2]
    by blast

  have rat-of-nat-is:  $\text{rat-of-nat } p \cdot_v v$ 
     $\in \text{lattice-of}$ 
     $((\text{map}((\cdot_v)(\text{rat-of-nat } p))$ 
     $(\text{p-vecs})) @$ 
     $[\text{vec-of-list}$ 
     $(\text{map}(\lambda x. (\text{rat-of-nat } p) * \text{rat-of-nat } x) \text{ts} @ [1]))]$ 
  using eq1 lattice-of-map by simp

```

```

have vec-is-in-lattice: (map-vec int-of-rat (rat-of-nat p ·v v))
  ∈ local.vec-int.lattice-of
    (map (map-vec int-of-rat) (map ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)) p-vecs @
      [vec-of-list (map (λx. rat-of-nat p * rat-of-nat x) ts @ [1]))])
using casting-lattice-lemma[OF casting-hyp mem-int mem-dims rat-of-nat-is]
by blast

have big-map-is: (map (map-vec int-of-rat)
  (map ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)))
    (map (λi. map-vec rat-of-int
      (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0)))
      [0..<d]))) ! i =
  map-vec int-of-rat ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)) (map-vec rat-of-int
    (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0))) if i-lt: i < d for i
using i-lt by auto
have (·v) (rat-of-nat p) (map-vec rat-of-int
  (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0))) =
  map-vec rat-of-int ((·v) p (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0))) if
  i-lt: i < d for i
by (simp add: Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom-smult)
then have map-vec int-of-rat ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)) (map-vec rat-of-int
  (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0))) =
  map-vec int-of-rat (map-vec rat-of-int ((·v) p (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i
  then 1 else 0))) if i-lt: i < d for i
by auto
then have map-vec int-of-rat ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)) (map-vec rat-of-int
  (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0))) =
  ((·v) p (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0))) if i-lt: i < d for i
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom-smult eq-vecI index-map-vec(1)
index-map-vec(2) int-of-rat(1) of-int-of-nat-eq)
then have lhs-is: (map (map-vec int-of-rat)
  (map ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)))
    (map (λi. map-vec rat-of-int
      (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0)))
      [0..<d]))) ! i =
  (·v) p (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0)) if i-lt: i < d for i
using i-lt by simp

have rhs-is: (map (λi. int (p2) ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0)) [0..<d])
! i =
  int (p2) ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0) if i-lt: i < d for i
using i-lt by simp

have lhs-eq-rhs: (·v) p (int p ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0)) = int
(p2) ·v vec (d + 1) (λj. if j = i then 1 else 0) if i-lt: i < d for i
by (simp add: local.vec-int.smult-assoc-simp power2-eq-square)

have first-part-eq: map (map-vec int-of-rat) (map ((·v) (rat-of-nat p)) p-vecs) =
  (map (λi. int (p2) ·v unit-vec (d + 1) i) [0..<d])

```

```

unfolding p-vecs-def unit-vec-def
using lhs-is rhs-is lhs-eq-rhs by simp
have map-vec-is: map int-of-rat (map ( $\lambda x.$  rat-of-nat  $p *$  rat-of-nat  $x)$  ts @ [1])
= (map ((*) (int  $p$ )) (map int ts) @ [1])
apply (induct ts)
subgoal by simp (metis int-of-rat(1) of-int-1)
subgoal by simp (metis int-of-rat(1) of-int-of-nat-eq of-nat-simps(5))
done
then have last-elem-eq: map (map-vec int-of-rat) [vec-of-list]

$$(\text{map } (\lambda x. \text{rat-of-nat } p * \text{rat-of-nat } x) \text{ts} @ [1]) =$$

[vec-of-list (map ((*) (int  $p$ )) (map int ts) @ [1])]
by (smt (verit) List.list.simps(8) List.list.simps(9) vec-of-list-map)
show int-gen-lattice: map-vec int-of-rat ((of-nat  $p$ )  $\cdot_v v$ )  $\in$  int-gen-lattice ts
unfolding int-gen-lattice-def int-gen-basis-def gen-basis-def p-vecs-def
using vec-is-in-lattice last-elem-eq first-part-eq
by auto
have LHS-simp: (rat-of-int

$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{int-of-rat}$$


$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{rat-of-nat } p * v \$ i) \$$$


$$i)) \$$$


$$i)) =$$

rat-of-int

$$(\text{int-of-rat}$$


$$(\text{rat-of-nat } p * v \$ i)) \text{ if } i\text{-lt: } i < \text{dim-vec } v \text{ for } i$$

using i-lt by simp
have same-dims: dim-vec

$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{rat-of-nat } p * v \$ i)))$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{int-of-rat}$$


$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{rat-of-nat } p * v \$ i) \$$$


$$i)) = \text{dim-vec } v$$

by simp
have rat-of-int

$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{int-of-rat}$$


$$(\text{vec } (\text{dim-vec } v)$$


$$(\lambda i. \text{rat-of-nat } p * v \$ i) \$$$


$$i)) \$$$


$$i) = \text{rat-of-nat } p * v \$ i \text{ if } i\text{-lt: } i < \text{dim-vec } v$$

for i
proof -
show ?thesis
using i-lt unfolding LHS-simp[OF i-lt]
by (metis casting-hyp index-map-vec(1) index-map-vec(2) index-smult-vec(1)
index-smult-vec(2) int-of-rat(1))

```

```

qed
then have same-vec: vec (dim-vec v) (λi. rat-of-int
  (vec (dim-vec
    (vec (dim-vec v)
      (λi. rat-of-nat p * v $ i)))
  (λi. int-of-rat
    (vec (dim-vec v)
      (λi. rat-of-nat p * v $ i) $
      i)) $ i))
= vec (dim-vec v) (λi. rat-of-nat p * v $ i) using same-dims
by (simp add: Matrix.vec-eq-iff)
show map-vec rat-of-int (map-vec int-of-rat ((of-nat p) ·v v)) = (of-nat p) ·v v
unfolding map-vec-def smult-vec-def
using same-dims same-vec by argo
qed

lemma gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-closest:
fixes scaled-v :: int vec
fixes u :: rat vec
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
assumes dim-vec u = d + 1
shows real(p^2) * Inf{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u))| x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}
= babai.closest-distance-sq (int-gen-basis ts) ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u)
proof-
let ?iL = int-gen-lattice ts
let ?ib = int-gen-basis ts
let ?L = gen-lattice ts
let ?b = gen-basis ts
have il: length ?ib = d + 1
unfolding int-gen-basis-def by fastforce
have su: dim-vec ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u) = d + 1
using assms(2) by force
let ?lhs = real(p^2) * Inf{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u))| x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}
let ?rhs = babai.closest-distance-sq (int-gen-basis ts) ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u)
let ?coset = {(map-vec rat-of-int x) - ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u)| x. x ∈ int-gen-lattice ts}
have bab: babai ?ib ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u)
using il su unfolding babai-def by argo
have ?coset ≠ {} unfolding int-gen-lattice-def vec-int.lattice-of-def by blast
then have *: {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x)))| x. x ∈ ?coset} ≠ {} by simp
have ?L ≠ {} unfolding gen-lattice-def lattice-of-def by blast
then have **: {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x)))| x. x ∈ ?L} ≠ {} by blast
have rhs: ?rhs = Inf {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x)))| x. x ∈ ?coset}
using babai.closest-distance-sq-def[of ?ib rat-of-nat p ·v u] bab su il LLL.LLL.L-def[of
d + 1 ?ib] unfolding int-gen-lattice-def by presburger
have ∀x∈{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x))| x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}. 0 ≤ x
using sq-norm-vec-ge-0 by fastforce
then have bdd: bdd-below {real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u))| x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}

```

```

by fast
have  $\forall x \in \{(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x))) \mid x \in ?coset\}. 0 \leq x$ 
  using sq-norm-vec-ge-0 by fastforce
then have bdd2: bdd-below  $\{(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x))) \mid x \in ?coset\}$  by fast
have ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg(\text{?lhs} \leq \text{?rhs})$ 
  then have ?lhs  $>$  ?rhs by linarith
  then obtain D where D:  $D < \text{?lhs} \wedge D \in \{(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x))) \mid x \in ?coset\}$ 
    using rhs cInf-lessD[of  $\{(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x))) \mid x \in ?coset\}$  ?lhs] * by auto
  then obtain iv where iv:  $real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u))) = D \wedge iv \in ?iL$  by blast
  then have iv-carr:  $iv \in carrier-vec (d + 1)$ 
    using int-gen-lattice-carrier assms by fast
  let ?v =  $(1/(of-nat p)) \cdot_v (map-vec rat-of-int iv)$ 
  have ?v  $\in ?L$  using iv gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-vec assms by presburger
  then have real-of-rat (sq-norm (?v - u))  $\in \{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u)) \mid x \in gen-lattice ts\}$  by fast
  moreover have real-of-rat (sq-norm (?v - u))  $< Inf\{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u)) \mid x \in gen-lattice ts\}$ 
  proof-
    have h:  $0 < real(p^2)$  using p by simp
    have real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u)))  $< ?lhs$ 
      using D iv by fast
    then have real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u)))  $< Inf\{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u)) \mid x \in gen-lattice ts\} * real(p^2)$  by argo
      then have real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u)))  $/ (of-nat p^2) < Inf\{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u)) \mid x \in gen-lattice ts\}$ 
        using h divide-less-eq[of real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u))) real (p^2) Inf{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - u)) \mid x \in gen-lattice ts}] by simp
      moreover have real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u)))  $/ (of-nat p^2) = real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u))) / (of-nat p^2)$ 
        by (simp add: Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-div Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-power)
      moreover have ... = real-of-rat (sq-norm-conjugate (1 / (of-nat p)) * sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u)))
        using conjugatable-ring-1-abs-real-line-class.sq-norm-as-sq-abs[of 1 / (of-nat p)] by (simp add: power2-eq-square)
      moreover have sq-norm-conjugate (1 / (of-nat p)) * sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u))
        = sq-norm ((1 / (of-nat p)) \cdot_v ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) - (rat-of-nat p \cdot_v u)))
        using sq-norm-smult-vec by metis
  qed
qed

```

```

moreover have (1 / (of-nat p)) ·v ((map-vec rat-of-int iv) − (rat-of-nat p ·v u)) = 1 / (of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int iv) − (1 / (of-nat p)) ·v (rat-of-nat p ·v u)
  using su iv-carr carrier-vecI[OF su]
  using p smult-sub-distrib-vec[of - d+1 rat-of-nat p ·v u 1 / rat-of-nat p]
  by auto
moreover have (1 / (of-nat p)) ·v (rat-of-nat p ·v u) = u
  using smult-smult-assoc[of (1 / (of-nat p)) rat-of-nat p] p by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by algebra
qed
ultimately show False
  using cInf-lower[of real-of-rat (sq-norm (?v − u)) {real-of-rat (sq-norm (x − u))| x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}] bdd by linarith
qed
moreover have ?rhs ≤ ?lhs
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume ¬(?rhs ≤ ?lhs)
  then have ?rhs > ?lhs by linarith
  then have ?rhs / p^2 > Inf {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x − u)))| x. x ∈ ?L}
    using p
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) less-divide-eq mult-of-nat-commute of-nat-0-less-iff power-pos prime-gt-0-nat)
    then obtain D where D: D < (?rhs / p^2) ∧ D ∈ {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x − u)))| x. x ∈ ?L}
      using cInf-lessD[of {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x − u)))| x. x ∈ ?L} ?rhs / p^2]
  ** by meson
  then obtain v where v: (real-of-rat (sq-norm (v − u))) = D ∧ v ∈ ?L
    by blast
  let ?iv = map-vec int-of-rat ((rat-of-nat p) ·v v)
  have ?iv ∈ ?iL using gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-vec' assms(1) v by blast
  then have real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int ?iv) − ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u))) ∈ {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x)))| x. x ∈ ?coset} by fast
  moreover have real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int ?iv) − ((rat-of-nat p) ·v u))) < ?rhs
proof–
  have dim-v: v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using v assms(1) gen-lattice-carrier by blast
  have 0 < real(p^2) using p by simp
  then have (real-of-rat (sq-norm (v − u))) * p^2 < ?rhs
  using less-divide-eq[of real-of-rat (sq-norm (v − u)) ?rhs real(p^2)] using D v by algebra
  moreover have (real-of-rat (sq-norm (v − u))) * p^2 = real-of-rat (rat-of-nat p^2 * sq-norm (v − u))
  by (metis Power.semiring-1-class.of-nat-power Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-mult cross3-simps(11) of-rat-of-nat-eq)
  moreover have real-of-rat (rat-of-nat p^2 * sq-norm (v − u)) = real-of-rat ((sq-norm-conjugate (rat-of-nat p)) * sq-norm (v − u))
  using conjugatable-ring-1-abs-real-line-class.sq-norm-as-sq-abs[of rat-of-nat p] power2-eq-square[of rat-of-nat p] by simp

```

```

moreover have (sq-norm-conjugate (rat-of-nat p)) * sq-norm (v - u) =
sq-norm ((rat-of-nat p) ·v (v-u))
using sq-norm-smult-vec by metis
moreover have (rat-of-nat p) ·v (v-u) = (rat-of-nat p) ·v v - (rat-of-nat p)
·v u
using smult-sub-distrib-vec[OF dim-v, of u (rat-of-nat p)]
using assms(2)
using carrier-vecI by blast
moreover have (rat-of-nat p) ·v v = (map-vec rat-of-int ?iv)
using gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-vec' assms v by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by algebra
qed
ultimately show False
using rhs bdd2 cInf-lower[of real-of-rat (sq-norm ((map-vec rat-of-int ?iv) -
((rat-of-nat p) ·v u))) {(real-of-rat (sq-norm (x)))| x. x ∈ ?coset}] by linarith
qed
ultimately show ?lhs = ?rhs by simp
qed

lemma close-vector-exists:
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes length ts = d
shows ∃ w ∈ (gen-lattice ts). sq-norm ((ts-to-u ts) - w) ≤ (of-nat ((d+1) *
p ^ 2))/2^(2*k)
proof-
let ?u = (ts-to-u ts)
let ?basis = gen-basis ts
let ?L = gen-lattice ts

let ?c = λ i. (if i = d then int-of-nat α else (- int-of-nat (α * ts ! i div p)))

let ?Lst = (map (λ i. of-int (?c i) ·v (?basis ! i)) [0 .. < length ?basis])
let ?w = sumlist ?Lst
have l: length ?basis = d + 1 unfolding gen-basis-def p-vecs-def by simp
then have l2: length ?Lst = d + 1 by auto
have in-lattice: ?w ∈ ?L unfolding gen-lattice-def lattice-of-def by fast
have dim-u: ?u ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using ts-to-u-carrier[of ts] assms by blast
have dim-w: ?w ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using in-lattice gen-lattice-carrier[of ts] assms by blast

have k-small: k + 1 < log 2 p using k-plus-1-lt by linarith

have lst-i: ?Lst ! i = rat-of-int (?c i) ·v ?basis ! i
if in-range: i ∈ {0..<d+1} for i using in-range
by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) in-set-conv-nth l length-map map-nth nth-map
set-up)
then have ?Lst ! i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) if in-range: i ∈ {0..<d+1} for i
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ts i] assms in-range l by simp

```

```

then have carr: set ?Lst ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using set-list-subset[of ?Lst carrier-vec (d + 1)] l2 by presburger
  have w-coord: (?w $ i = rat-of-int (α * (ts ! i) mod p)) if in-range: i ∈ {0..
for i
  proof-
    have ?w $ i = sum-list (map (λj. (?Lst!j)$i) [0..<(length ?Lst)])
      using sumlist-index-commute[of ?Lst i] in-range carr by fastforce
    moreover have ∀j. j ∈ {0..

```

```

append-Cons-nth-middle)
  also have vec-of-list ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)]) $ i = ((map of-nat
  ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])!i
    by simp
  also have ((map of-nat ts) @ [1 / (of-nat p)])!i = (map of-nat ts)!i
    using in-range assms append-Cons-nth-left[of i (map of-nat ts) 1 / (of-nat p)
  []] by auto
  also have (map of-nat ts)!i = of-nat (ts!i) using in-range assms by auto
  finally have ?w $ i = rat-of-int (– int-of-nat (α * ts ! i div p)) * rat-of-nat p
    + rat-of-int (int-of-nat α) * (of-nat (ts!i)) by blast
  also have rat-of-int (– int-of-nat (α * ts ! i div p)) * rat-of-nat p
    + rat-of-int (int-of-nat α) * (of-nat (ts!i))
    = rat-of-int (int-of-nat (α * (ts!i))) – int-of-nat ((α * ts ! i div p) * p))
    using int-of-nat-def by auto
  also have rat-of-int (int-of-nat (α * (ts!i))) – int-of-nat ((α * ts ! i div p) *
  p)) =
    rat-of-int (α * (ts!i) mod p)
    by (simp add: int-of-nat-def int-ops(9) minus-div-mult-eq-mod of-nat-div)
  finally show (?w $ i = rat-of-int (α * (ts ! i) mod p)) by linarith
qed
then have coords-close: abs((?u – ?w)$i) ≤ rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k if in-range:
i ∈ {0..

```

```

    by linarith
  have real-of-rat ((?u - ?w)$i) = real-of-int ((int (msb-p (α * ts!i mod p)) -
int(α * ts!i mod p)))
    using int-rat-real-casting-helper[OF rat-of-int]
    by blast
  then have abs(real-of-rat ((?u - ?w)$i)) = |real-of-int (int (msb-p (α * ts!i
mod p)) - int (α * ts!i mod p))|
    by presburger
  also have ... = real-of-int |(int (msb-p (α * ts!i mod p)) - (α * ts!i mod p))|
    by linarith
  finally have abs(real-of-rat ((?u - ?w)$i)) ≤ real(p)/2^k
    using msb-p-dist[of (α * ts!i mod p)] by linarith
  moreover have real(p)/2^k = real-of-rat((rat-of-nat p) / 2^k)
    by (simp add: of-rat-divide of-rat-power)
  moreover have abs(real-of-rat ((?u - ?w)$i)) = real-of-rat (abs ((?u - ?w)$i))
  by simp
  ultimately have real-of-rat (abs ((?u - ?w)$i)) ≤ real-of-rat((rat-of-nat p) /
2^k) by algebra
  then have (abs ((?u - ?w)$i)) ≤ (rat-of-nat p) / 2^k
    using of-rat-less-eq by blast
  then show ?thesis by auto
next
case t:True
have ?u ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using ts-to-u-carrier[of ts] assms by blast
moreover have ?w ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using in-lattice gen-lattice-carrier[of ts] assms by blast
ultimately have (?u - ?w)$i = ?u$i - ?w$i using in-range by fastforce
also have ?u$i = (map (rat-of-nat o msb-p o (λt. α * t mod p)) ts @ [0])!i
  unfolding ts-to-u-alt using t assms by auto
also have (map (rat-of-nat o msb-p o (λt. α * t mod p)) ts @ [0])!i = 0
  unfolding ts-to-u-def
  using append-Cons-nth-middle[of i (map (rat-of-nat o msb-p o (λt. α * t mod
p)) ts) 0 []]
    t assms
  by fastforce
also have ?w$i = (rat-of-int (int-of-nat α))/(rat-of-nat p)
  using coordinates-of-gen-lattice[of i ts λi. (if i = d then int-of-nat α else -
int-of-nat (α * ts ! i div p))]
    t assms by auto
finally have (?u - ?w)$i = -(rat-of-int (int-of-nat α))/(rat-of-nat p) by linarith
moreover have rat-of-int (int-of-nat α) = rat-of-nat α
  using int-of-nat-def by fastforce
moreover have α < p using α by auto
ultimately have abs((?u - ?w)$i) ≤ 1 by force
moreover have 1 ≤ rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k
proof-
  have k < log 2 p using k-small by linarith
  then have 2 powr k < 2 powr (log 2 p) by force
  then have 2^k < p using powr-realpow[of 2 k] p prime-gt-0-nat[of p] by force

```

```

    then show  $1 \leq \text{rat-of-nat } p / \text{rat-of-nat } 2^k$  by force
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by order
qed
define Lst where Lst = (list-of-vec (?u - ?w))
have Lst!i = (?u - ?w)$i if  $i \in \{0..<d+1\}$  for i
  using dim-u dim-w l list-of-vec-index unfolding Lst-def by blast
then have abs-small:  $\text{abs}(\text{Lst!i}) \leq \text{rat-of-nat } p / \text{rat-of-nat } 2^k$  if in-range:
 $i \in \{0..<d+1\}$  for i
  using in-range coords-close by presburger
then have small-coord:  $\text{sq-norm} (\text{Lst!i}) \leq (\text{rat-of-nat } p / \text{rat-of-nat } 2^k)^2$  if
in-range:  $i \in \{0..<d+1\}$  for i
proof-
  have sq-norm (Lst!i) = (Lst!i) ^ 2
    by (simp add: power2_eq_square)
  moreover have (Lst!i) ^ 2 = abs(Lst!i) ^ 2 by auto
  moreover have 0 ≤ abs(Lst!i) by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using abs-small[of i] in-range
    by (metis power_mono)
qed
moreover have length:  $\text{length Lst} = d + 1$  unfolding Lst-def using dim-u
dim-w by auto
ultimately have  $\bigwedge x. x \in \text{set Lst} \implies \text{sq-norm } x \leq (\text{rat-of-nat } p / \text{rat-of-nat } 2^k)^2$ 
proof-
  fix x assume x ∈ set Lst
  then obtain y where x-def:  $x = \text{Lst ! y} \wedge y \in \{0..<\text{length Lst}\}$ 
    by (metis atLeastLessThanIff in_set_conv_nth le0)
  then have sq-norm (Lst ! y) ≤ (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2
    using length small-coord[of y] by argo
  then show sq-norm x ≤ (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2 using x-def by blast
qed
moreover have sq-norm (?u - ?w) = sum-list (map sq-norm (list-of-vec (?u -
?w)))
  using sq_norm_vec_def[of ?u - ?w] by fast
ultimately have sq-norm (?u - ?w) ≤ sum-list (map (λx. (rat-of-nat p /
rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2) (list-of-vec (?u - ?w)))
  unfolding Lst-def using sum_list_mono[of (list-of-vec (?u - ?w)) sq-norm (λx.
(rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2)]
  by argo
also have sum-list (map (λx. (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2) (list-of-vec (?u -
?w)))
  = rat-of-nat (d + 1) * (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2
  using length sum_list_triv[of (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k) ^ 2 (list-of-vec (?u -
?w))]
  unfolding Lst-def
  by argo
finally have sq-norm (?u - ?w) ≤ rat-of-nat (d + 1) * (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat
2^k) ^ 2

```

```

 $2^k)^{\wedge 2}$ 
  by blast
also have  $rat-of-nat (d + 1) * (rat-of-nat p / rat-of-nat 2^k)^{\wedge 2}$ 
  =  $rat-of-nat (d + 1) * ((rat-of-nat p)^{\wedge 2} / (rat-of-nat 2^k)^{\wedge 2})$ 
  by (simp add: power-divide)
also have  $rat-of-nat (d + 1) * ((rat-of-nat p)^{\wedge 2} / (rat-of-nat 2^k)^{\wedge 2})$ 
  =  $(rat-of-nat (d + 1) * ((rat-of-nat p)^{\wedge 2})) / (rat-of-nat 2^k)^{\wedge 2}$ 
  by simp
also have  $(rat-of-nat (d + 1) * ((rat-of-nat p)^{\wedge 2})) / (rat-of-nat 2^k)^{\wedge 2}$ 
  =  $rat-of-nat ((d + 1) * p^{\wedge 2}) / ((2^k)^{\wedge 2})$ 
  by (metis Power.semiring_1_class.of_nat_power.of_nat_numeral.of_nat_simps(5))
also have  $rat-of-nat ((d + 1) * p^{\wedge 2}) / ((2^k)^{\wedge 2}) = rat-of-nat ((d + 1) * p^{\wedge 2}) / ((2^{(2*k)})^{\wedge 2})$ 
  by (simp add: power-even-eq)
finally show ?thesis using in-lattice by force
qed

lemma gen-lattice-dim:
assumes ts ∈ set-pmf ts-pmf
assumes v ∈ gen-lattice ts
shows dim-vec v = d + 1
using assms set-pmf-ts carrier-dim-vec gen-lattice-carrier gen-basis-carrier
unfolding gen-lattice-def lattice-of-def
by fast

lemma vec-class-union:
fixes ts :: nat list
assumes ts ∈ set-pmf ts-pmf
defines L ≡ gen-lattice ts
shows L = ⋃ {vec-class ts β | β. True}
proof safe
let ?B = gen-basis ts
have length-B: length ?B = d + 1 using gen-basis-length .
have length-ts: length ts = d using assms(1) set-pmf-ts by blast
fix x assume *: x ∈ L
then obtain cs where cs: x = sumlist (map (λi. of-int (cs i) · v ?B!i) [0..<length ?B])
  unfolding L-def gen-lattice-def using in-latticeE by blast
define xs where xs ≡ (map (λi. of-int (cs i) · v ?B!i) [0..<length ?B])
have x: x = sumlist xs unfolding xs-def cs by blast

define β where β ≡ cs d
have x ∈ vec-class ts β
proof-
let ?I = [0..<length ?B - 1]
define as where as ≡ (map (λi. of-int (cs i) · v ?B!i) [0..<length ?B - 1])
define bs where bs ≡ (map (λi. of-int (cs i) · v ?B!i) [length ?B - 1])
define a where a ≡ sumlist as
define b where b ≡ sumlist bs

```

```

have  $\forall i < \text{length } ?\mathcal{B}. ?\mathcal{B}!i \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$ 
  using gen-basis-carrier length-ts nth-mem by blast
hence c- $\mathcal{B}$ -dims:  $\forall i < \text{length } ?\mathcal{B}. \text{of-int } (\text{cs } i) \cdot_v ?\mathcal{B}!i \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$  by
  blast
hence as-dims: set as  $\subseteq \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$  unfolding as-def by auto
have bs-dims: set bs  $\subseteq \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$ 
  apply (simp add: bs-def)
  using c- $\mathcal{B}$ -dims by (simp add: length- $\mathcal{B}$ )

have a-carr:  $a \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$  using a-def as-dims sumlist-carrier by
  presburger
moreover have b-carr:  $b \in \text{carrier-vec } (d + 1)$  using b-def bs-dims sum-
  list-carrier by blast
ultimately have ab-comm:  $a + b = b + a$  using a-ac(2) a-carr b-carr by
  presburger

have  $b = \text{sumlist } [(\text{of-int } (\text{cs } (\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1)) \cdot_v ?\mathcal{B}!(\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1))]$ 
  unfolding b-def bs-def by force
hence  $b: b = (\text{of-int } (\text{cs } (\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1)) \cdot_v ?\mathcal{B}!(\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1))$ 
  by (metis cV diff-add-inverse2 gen-basis-carrier length- $\mathcal{B}$  length-ts less-add-one
local.M.add.l-cancel-one local.M.add.one-closed nth-mem smult-closed sum-simp sum-
list-Cons sumlist-Nil)

have  $x = a + b$ 
proof-
  have  $xs = as @ bs$  by (simp add: length- $\mathcal{B}$  xs-def as-def bs-def)
  thus ?thesis using sumlist-append[OF as-dims bs-dims] unfolding x a-def
  b-def by blast
  qed
hence 1:  $x = b + a$  using ab-comm by blast
have 2:  $a = \text{lincomb-list } (\text{rat-of-int } \circ \text{cs}) p\text{-vecs}$  (is  $a = ?rhs$ )
proof-
  have  $\forall i < \text{length } p\text{-vecs}. p\text{-vecs}!i = ?\mathcal{B}!i$ 
  unfolding gen-basis-def using length-p-vecs by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-left)
  hence  $\forall i < \text{length } p\text{-vecs}. \text{of-int } (\text{cs } i) \cdot_v p\text{-vecs} ! i = \text{of-int } (\text{cs } i) \cdot_v ?\mathcal{B}!i$ 
    by presburger
  hence  $(\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{of-int } (\text{cs } i) \cdot_v p\text{-vecs} ! i) [0..<\text{length } p\text{-vecs}])$ 
     $= (\text{map } (\lambda i. \text{of-int } (\text{cs } i) \cdot_v ?\mathcal{B}!i) [0..<\text{length } p\text{-vecs}])$ 
    by simp
  moreover have ?rhs = sumlist (map (λi. of-int (cs i) ·v p-vecs ! i) [0..<length
  p-vecs])
    using lincomb-list-def[of rat-of-int ∘ cs p-vecs] by simp
  ultimately have ?rhs = sumlist (map (λi. of-int (cs i) ·v ?B!i) [0..<length
  p-vecs])
    by argo
    thus ?thesis unfolding a-def as-def length- $\mathcal{B}$  length-p-vecs by force
  qed
have 3:  $b = \text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec ts}$ 
proof

```

```

show dims: dim-vec b = dim-vec (rat-of-int  $\beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts$ )
  using b-carr length-ts t-vec-dim by auto
  show  $\bigwedge i. i < \dimvec (\text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts) \implies b \$ i = (\text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts) \$ i$ 
proof-
  fix i assume *:  $i < \dimvec (\text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts)$ 
  show  $b \$ i = (\text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts) \$ i$ 
  proof(cases i = d)
    case True
      hence  $b\$i = (\text{of-int } (\text{cs } (\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1)) \cdot_v ?\mathcal{B}!(\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1))\$i$ 
unfolding b by blast
  also have ... =  $(\text{of-int } (\text{cs } (\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1)) * (?\mathcal{B}!(\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1))\$i)$ 
    using dims * b by auto
  also have ... =  $(\text{of-int } (\text{cs } (\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1)) * (\text{last } ?\mathcal{B})\$i)$ 
    by (metis length-B True last-conv-nth length-0-conv less-add-one not-less0)
  also have ... =  $(\text{of-int } (\text{cs } (\text{length } ?\mathcal{B} - 1)) * (1 / \text{of-nat } p))$ 
    using length-ts by (simp add: gen-basis-def True append-Cons-nth-middle)
  also have ... =  $\text{of-int } \beta / \text{of-nat } p$  by (simp add:  $\beta$ -def length-B)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (metis t-vec-def List.list.discI List.list.size(4) One-nat-def  $\beta$ -def b
diff-add-inverse2 gen-basis-def last-conv-nth last-snoc length-0-conv length-B length-ts)
next
  case False
  hence i < d
    by (metis * Suc-eq-plus1 b-carr carrier-vecD dims less-Suc-eq)
  hence (?B!d)\$i = of-nat (ts!i)
    by (simp add: gen-basis-def append-Cons-nth-left append-Cons-nth-middle
length-p-vecs length-ts)
  thus ?thesis
    apply (simp add: b length-B t-vec-def  $\beta$ -def)
    by (metis gen-basis-def length-p-vecs nth-append-length)
qed
qed
qed
have x =  $(\text{rat-of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts) + (\text{lincomb-list } (\text{rat-of-int } \circ \text{cs}) p\text{-vecs})$ 
  unfolding 1 2 3 by blast
  thus ?thesis unfolding vec-class-def by blast
qed
thus  $x \in \bigcup \{\text{vec-class } ts \mid \beta. \text{True}\}$  by blast
next
  fix x  $\beta$ 
  assume x ∈ vec-class ts  $\beta$ 
  then obtain cs where cs:  $x = \text{of-int } \beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec } ts + \text{lincomb-list } (\text{of-int } \circ \text{cs}) p\text{-vecs}$ 
  unfolding vec-class-def by blast
  define cs' where cs' =  $(\lambda x. \text{if } x < d \text{ then } cs x \text{ else } \beta)$ 
  have x = sumlist (map (λi. rat-of-int (cs' i) ·v gen-basis ts ! i) [0..<length (gen-basis ts)])

```

```

(is x = ?sum)
proof-
let ?f' =  $\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (\text{cs}' i) \cdot_v \text{gen-basis} ts ! i$ 
let ?f =  $\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int} (\text{cs} i) \cdot_v \text{p-vecs} ! i$ 
let ?I = [0..<length (gen-basis ts)]
let ?I1 = [0..<length (gen-basis ts) - 1]
let ?I2 = [length (gen-basis ts) - 1]

have length-ts: length ts = d using assms(1) set-pmf-ts by blast
have I: ?I = ?I1 @ ?I2 using gen-basis-length by auto
have I-dims: set (map ?f' ?I) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using gen-basis-carrier[OF length-ts] gen-basis-length[of ts] by fastforce
have I1-dims: set (map ?f' ?I1) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1) using I I-dims by simp
have I2-dims: set (map ?f' ?I2) ⊆ carrier-vec (d + 1) using I I-dims by simp

have sum1-dim: sumlist (map ?f' ?I1) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using I1-dims sumlist-carrier by blast
have sum2-dim: sumlist (map ?f' ?I2) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using I2-dims sumlist-carrier by blast

from I have map ?f' ?I = (map ?f' ?I1) @ (map ?f' ?I2) by auto
hence sumlist (map ?f' ?I) = sumlist (map ?f' ?I1) + sumlist (map ?f' ?I2)
  using sumlist-append[of map ?f' ?I1 map ?f' ?I2] I1-dims I2-dims by argo
hence sumlist (map ?f' ?I) = sumlist (map ?f' ?I2) + sumlist (map ?f' ?I1)
  using sum1-dim sum2-dim a-ac(2) by presburger
moreover have sumlist (map ?f' ?I1) = lincomb-list (of-int o cs) p-vecs
proof-
  have  $\forall i < d. \text{gen-basis} ts ! i = \text{p-vecs} ! i$ 
    by (simp add: nth-append length-p-vecs gen-basis-def)
  moreover have  $\forall i < d. \text{cs} i = \text{cs}' i$  using cs'-def by presburger
  ultimately have  $\forall i < d. ?f' i = ?f i$  by presburger
  hence map ?f' [0..<d] = map ?f [0..<d] by fastforce
  hence sumlist (map ?f' [0..<d]) = sumlist (map ?f [0..<d]) by argo
  thus ?thesis unfolding lincomb-list-def length-p-vecs gen-basis-length by auto
qed
moreover have sumlist (map ?f' ?I2) = of-int  $\beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec} ts$ 
proof-
  have sumlist (map ?f' ?I2) = ?f' (length (gen-basis ts) - 1)
    unfolding sumlist-def
  using Suc-eq-plus1 I assms(1) diff-add-inverse2 diff-diff-left diff-zero gen-basis-length
  gen-basis-vecs-carrier length-upd lessI mem-Collect-eq nth-append-length nth-mem
  right-zero-vec set-pmf-ts set-up
    by auto
  also have ... = of-int (cs' d)  $\cdot_v \text{gen-basis} ts ! d$  by (simp add: gen-basis-length)
  also have ... = of-int  $\beta \cdot_v \text{gen-basis} ts ! d$  unfolding cs'-def by auto
  also have ... = of-int  $\beta \cdot_v t\text{-vec} ts$ 
    by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-middle length-p-vecs t-vec-def gen-basis-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis using cs by argo
qed
thus  $x \in L$  unfolding  $L\text{-def}$   $\text{vec-class-def}$   $\text{gen-lattice-def}$   $\text{lattice-of-def}$  by blast
qed

lemma  $\text{vec-class-mod-p-union}$ :
fixes  $ts :: \text{nat list}$ 
assumes  $ts \in \text{set-pmf ts-pmf}$ 
defines  $L \equiv \text{gen-lattice } ts$ 
shows  $L = \bigcup \{\text{vec-class-mod-p } ts \beta \mid \beta. \beta \in \{0..<p:\text{int}\}\}$  (is - = ?rhs)
proof
show  $L \subseteq ?rhs$ 
proof
fix  $x$  assume  $x \in L$ 
then obtain  $\beta$  where  $\beta: x \in \text{vec-class } ts \beta$ 
using  $\text{vec-class-union}[\text{OF assms}(1)]$  unfolding  $L\text{-def}$  by blast
define  $\beta_p$  where  $\beta_p \equiv \beta \bmod p$ 
hence  $\beta_p \in \{0..<p:\text{int}\}$ 
by (metis Nat.bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum mod-ident-iff mod-mod-trivial not-prime-0
of-nat-0-less-iff p)
moreover have  $x \in \text{vec-class-mod-p } ts \beta_p$ 
unfolding  $\text{vec-class-mod-p-def}$   $\beta_p\text{-def}$ 
by (smt (verit, best) UnionI  $\beta$  cong-mod-right cong-refl mem-Collect-eq)
ultimately show  $x \in ?rhs$  by blast
qed
show  $?rhs \subseteq L$  using  $\text{vec-class-union}[\text{OF assms}(1)]$  unfolding  $L\text{-def}$   $\text{vec-class-mod-p-def}$ 
by blast
qed

```

#### 4.2.2 dist-p definition and lemmas

```

definition  $\text{dist-p} :: \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow \text{int}$  where
 $\text{dist-p } i j = \text{Inf} \{ \text{abs} (i - j + z * (\text{of-nat } p)) \mid z. \text{True}\}$ 

lemma  $\text{dist-p-well-defined}$ :
fixes  $i :: \text{int}$ 
fixes  $j :: \text{int}$ 
shows  $\text{dist-p } i j \in \{ \text{abs} (i - j + z * (\text{of-nat } p)) \mid z. \text{True}\}$  (is - ∈ ?S)
proof-
have  $?S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  by (smt (verit, del-insts) mem-Collect-eq range-abs-Nats range-eqI
subsetI)
moreover have  $?S \neq \{\}$  by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using nat-subset-inf unfolding dist-p-def by algebra
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{dist-p-set-bdd-below}$ :
fixes  $i j :: \text{int}$ 
shows  $\forall x \in \{ \text{abs} (i - j + z * (\text{of-nat } p)) \mid z. \text{True}\}. 0 \leq x$ 
by force

```

```

lemma dist-p-le:
  fixes i j :: int
  shows  $\forall x \in \{abs(i - j + z * (of-nat p)) \mid z. True\}. dist-p i j \leq x$  (is  $\forall x \in ?S.$ 
-)
proof
  fix x assume *:  $x \in ?S$ 
  have bdd-below ?S using dist-p-set-bdd-below by fast
  thus dist-p i j  $\leq x$ 
    unfolding dist-p-def using dist-p-well-defined[of i j] cInf-lower[of x ?S] * by
    fast
qed

lemma dist-p-equiv:
  fixes i :: int
  fixes j :: int
  shows [dist-p i j = i - j] (mod p)  $\vee$  [dist-p i j = j - i] (mod p)
proof-
  let ?S = {abs(i - j + z * (of-nat p))  $\mid z. True\}
  have [x = i - j] (mod p)  $\vee$  [x = j - i] (mod p) if x-in: x  $\in$  ?S for x
  proof-
    obtain z where x = abs(i - j + z * (of-nat p)) using x-in by blast
    then have x = i - j + z * (of-nat p)  $\vee$  x = -(i - j + z * (of-nat p)) by
    linarith
    then show ?thesis
    proof(rule disjE)
      assume left: x = i - j + z * (of-nat p)
      then have x - (i - j) = z * (of-nat p) by force
      also have [z * (of-nat p) = 0] (mod p) using cong-mult-self-right[of z of-nat
      p] by blast
      finally have [x - (i - j) = 0] (mod p) by blast
      then have [x = i - j] (mod p) using cong-diff-iff-cong-0[of x i - j int p] by
      presburger
      then show ?thesis by blast
    next
      assume right: x = -(i - j + z * (of-nat p))
      then have x = j - i - z * (of-nat p) by linarith
      then have x - (j - i) = -z * (of-nat p) by force
      also have [-z * (of-nat p) = 0] (mod p) using cong-mult-self-right[of -z
      of-nat p] by blast
      finally have [x - (j - i) = 0] (mod p) by blast
      then have [x = j - i] (mod p) using cong-diff-iff-cong-0[of x j - i int p] by
      presburger
      then show ?thesis by blast
    qed
    qed
    then show ?thesis using dist-p-well-defined[of i j] by presburger
qed$ 
```

```

lemma dist-p-equiv':
  fixes i :: int
  fixes j :: int
  shows dist-p i j = min ((i - j) mod p) ((j - i) mod p)
proof-
  let ?S = {abs (i - j + z * (of-nat p)) | z. True}
  have [dist-p i j = i - j] (mod p) ∨ [dist-p i j = j - i] (mod p) using dist-p-equiv
  moreover have 0 ≤ dist-p i j using dist-p-well-defined[of i j] by force
  moreover have dist-p i j < p
  proof(rule ccontr)
    obtain k where k: dist-p i j = |i - j + k * int p|
      using dist-p-well-defined[of i j] by blast
    hence k-min: (∀ k'. dist-p i j ≤ |i - j + k' * int p|)
      using dist-p-well-defined[of i j] by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) dist-p-le mem-Collect-eq)

    assume ¬ dist-p i j < int p
    hence *: dist-p i j ≥ p by linarith
    show False
    proof(cases i - j + k * int p ≥ 0)
      case True
      hence dist-p i j = i - j + k * p using k by fastforce
      moreover then have 0 ≤ i - j + k * p - p using * by simp
      moreover then have ... = |i - j + (k - 1) * p| by (simp add: left-diff-distrib')
      moreover have p > 0 using p prime-gt-0-nat by blast
      ultimately have dist-p i j > |i - j + (k - 1) * p| by fastforce
      moreover have dist-p i j ≤ |i - j + (k - 1) * p| using k-min by blast
      ultimately show False by fastforce
    next
      case False
      hence dist-p i j = - i + j - k * p using k by linarith
      moreover then have 0 ≤ - i + j - k * p - p using * by simp
      moreover then have ... = |i - j + (k + 1) * p|
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) left-diff-distrib' mult-cancel-right2)
      moreover have p > 0 using p prime-gt-0-nat by blast
      ultimately have dist-p i j > |i - j + (k + 1) * p| by fastforce
      moreover have dist-p i j ≤ |i - j + (k + 1) * p| using k-min by blast
      ultimately show False by fastforce
    qed
  qed
  ultimately have *: dist-p i j = (i - j) mod p ∨ dist-p i j = (j - i) mod p
    by (simp add: Cong.unique-euclidean-semiring-class.cong-def)

  have (i - j) mod p ∈ ?S
  proof-
    obtain k :: int where (i - j) mod p = (i - j) + k * p
      by (metis mod-eqE mod-mod-trivial mult-of-nat-commute)
    moreover have (i - j) mod p ≥ 0 using prime-gt-1-nat[OF p] by simp
    ultimately have (i - j) mod p = |i - j + k * p| by force
  
```

```

thus ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover have  $(j - i) \bmod p \in ?S$ 
proof-
  obtain  $k :: int$  where  $(j - i) \bmod p = (j - i) + k * p$ 
    by (metis mod-eqE mod-mod-trivial mult-of-nat-commute)
  hence  $(j - i) \bmod p = -(i - j) - k * p$  by simp
  moreover have  $(j - i) \bmod p \geq 0$  using prime-gt-1-nat[OF p] by simp
  ultimately have  $(j - i) \bmod p = |i - j - k * p|$  by force
  then obtain  $k' :: int$  where  $(j - i) \bmod p = |(i - j) + k' * p|$  using that[of
- k] by force
  thus ?thesis by blast
qed
ultimately have dist-p i j  $\leq (i - j) \bmod p \wedge dist-p i j \leq (j - i) \bmod p$ 
  by (metis dist-p-le)
thus ?thesis using * by linarith
qed

lemma dist-p-equiv'':
fixes  $i :: int$ 
fixes  $j :: int$ 
shows  $dist-p i j = ((i - j) \bmod p) \vee dist-p i j = ((j - i) \bmod p)$ 
using dist-p-equiv'[of i j] by linarith

lemma dist-p-instances-help:
fixes  $i :: int$ 
fixes  $j :: int$ 
fixes  $dist :: int$ 
assumes coprime  $(i - j) p$ 
shows card { $t \in \{1..<p\}$ . ( $dist-p (t*i) (t*j)$ ) = dist}  $\leq 2$ 
proof-
  obtain ij-inv where ij-inv:  $[(i - j) * ij-inv = 1] \pmod p$ 
    using assms(1) cong-solve-coprime-int[of  $(i - j) p$ ] by auto
  have coprime  $(j - i) p$ 
    by (meson assms(1) coprimeE coprimeI dvd-diff-commute)
  then obtain ji-inv where ji-inv:  $[(j - i) * ji-inv = 1] \pmod p$ 
    using cong-solve-coprime-int[of  $(j - i) p$ ] by auto
  have disj:  $[t = dist * ij-inv] \pmod p \vee [t = dist * ji-inv] \pmod p$ 
    if dist-eq:  $(dist-p (t*i) (t*j)) = dist$ 
      for  $t :: int$ 
  proof-
    have  $[dist = (t*i - t*j)] \pmod p \vee [dist = t*j - t*i] \pmod p$ 
      using dist-p-equiv'[of  $t*i t*j$ ] dist-eq by simp
    then show ?thesis
    proof(rule disjE)
      assume left:  $[dist = (t*i - t*j)] \pmod p$ 
      have  $t * (i - j) = t * i - t * j$ 
        using int-distrib(4) by auto
      then have  $[t * (i - j) = t * i - t * j] \pmod p$  by simp
    qed
  qed

```

```

then have [dist = t * (i - j)] (mod p)
  using cong-trans[of t * (i - j) t * i - t * j p dist] left cong-sym
  by blast
then have [dist * ij-inv = t * (i - j) * ij-inv] (mod p)
  using cong-mult[of dist t * (i - j) p ij-inv] by force
moreover have [t * (i - j) * ij-inv = t * ((i - j) * ij-inv)] (mod p)
  by (simp add: more-arith-simps(11))
moreover have [t * ((i - j) * ij-inv) = t] (mod p)
  using ij-inv cong-mult[of t t p (i - j) * ij-inv 1] by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using cong-trans cong-sym by meson
next
assume right: [dist = t*j - t*i] (mod p)
have t * (j - i) = t * j - t * i
  using int-distrib(4) by auto
then have [t * (j - i) = t * j - t * i] (mod p) by simp
then have [dist = t * (j - i)] (mod p)
  using cong-trans[of t * (j - i) t * j - t * i p dist] right cong-sym
  by blast
then have [dist * ji-inv = t * (j - i) * ji-inv] (mod p)
  using cong-mult[of dist t * (j - i) p ji-inv] by force
moreover have [t * (j - i) * ji-inv = t * ((j - i) * ji-inv)] (mod p)
  by (simp add: more-arith-simps(11))
moreover have [t * ((j - i) * ji-inv) = t] (mod p)
  using ji-inv cong-mult[of t t p (j - i) * ji-inv 1] by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using cong-trans cong-sym by meson
qed
qed
define S1 where S1 = ({t ∈ {1.. $\lfloor$ p}. (dist-p (t*i) (t*j)) = dist} ∩ {t ∈ {1.. $\lfloor$ p}. [t = dist*ij-inv] (mod p)})
define S2 where S2 = ({t ∈ {1.. $\lfloor$ p}. (dist-p (t*i) (t*j)) = dist} ∩ {t ∈ {1.. $\lfloor$ p}.  $\neg$  ([t = dist*ij-inv] (mod p)))}
have {t ∈ {1.. $\lfloor$ p}. (dist-p (t*i) (t*j)) = dist} = S1 ∪ S2
  unfolding S1-def S2-def by blast
moreover have card1: card(S1) ≤ 1
proof(rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg$  card S1 ≤ 1
hence *: card S1 ≥ 2 by simp
obtain t1 t2 where ts: t1 ≠ t2 ∧ t1 ∈ S1 ∧ t2 ∈ S1
proof-
  obtain t1 S1' where t1: t1 ∈ S1 ∧ S1' = S1 - {t1} using * by fastforce
  hence card S1' ≥ 1 using * by fastforce
  then obtain t2 where t2 ∈ S1' by fastforce
  hence t1 ≠ t2 ∧ t1 ∈ S1 ∧ t2 ∈ S1 using t1 by blast
  thus ?thesis using that by blast
qed
have 1: [t1 = dist*ij-inv] (mod p) using ts unfolding S1-def by simp
have 2: [t2 = dist*ij-inv] (mod p) using ts unfolding S1-def by simp
have t1< $\lfloor$ p using ts unfolding S1-def by simp
moreover have 0≤t1 using ts unfolding S1-def by simp

```

```

moreover have  $t2 < p$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S1\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
moreover have  $0 \leq t2$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S1\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
moreover have  $[int\ t1 = int\ t2] \ (mod\ p)$ 
  using 1 2 cong-sym[of  $t2$  dist*ij-inv  $p$ ] cong-trans[of  $t1$  dist*ij-inv  $p$   $t2$ ]
  by blast
ultimately have  $int\ t1 = int\ t2$ 
  using cong-less-imp-eq-int[of  $int\ t1$   $p$   $int\ t2$ ] by auto
then have  $t1 = t2$  by  $simp$ 
then show False using  $ts$  by  $auto$ 
qed
moreover have  $card(S2) \leq 1$ 
proof(rule ccontr)
  assume  $\neg card\ S2 \leq 1$ 
  hence  $*: card\ S2 \geq 2$  by  $simp$ 
  obtain  $t1\ t2$  where  $ts: t1 \neq t2 \wedge t1 \in S2 \wedge t2 \in S2$ 
  proof-
    obtain  $t1\ S2'$  where  $t1: t1 \in S2 \wedge S2' = S2 - \{t1\}$  using * by fastforce
    hence  $card\ S2' \geq 1$  using * by fastforce
    then obtain  $t2$  where  $t2 \in S2'$  by fastforce
    hence  $t1 \neq t2 \wedge t1 \in S2 \wedge t2 \in S2$  using  $t1$  by blast
    thus ?thesis using that by blast
  qed
  have  $\neg[t1 = dist*ij-inv] \ (mod\ p)$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
  then have 1:  $[t1 = dist*ji-inv] \ (mod\ p)$  using disj  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by
  blast
  have  $\neg[t2 = dist*ij-inv] \ (mod\ p)$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
  then have 2:  $[t2 = dist*ji-inv] \ (mod\ p)$  using disj  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by
  blast
  have  $t1 < p$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
  moreover have  $0 \leq t1$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
  moreover have  $t2 < p$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
  moreover have  $0 \leq t2$  using  $ts$  unfolding  $S2\text{-def}$  by  $simp$ 
  moreover have  $[int\ t1 = int\ t2] \ (mod\ p)$ 
    using 1 2 cong-sym[of  $t2$  dist*ji-inv  $p$ ] cong-trans[of  $t1$  dist*ji-inv  $p$   $t2$ ]
    by blast
ultimately have  $int\ t1 = int\ t2$ 
  using cong-less-imp-eq-int[of  $int\ t1$   $p$   $int\ t2$ ] by auto
then have  $t1 = t2$  by  $simp$ 
then show False using  $ts$  by  $auto$ 
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using card-Un-le[of  $S1\ S2$ ] by  $simp$ 
qed

lemma dist-p-nat:
  fixes i :: int
  fixes j :: int
  shows dist-p i j ∈ ℙ
proof-
  let ?S = {abs (i - j + z * (of-nat p)) | z. True}

```

```

have ?S ⊆ ℕ by (smt (verit, del-insts) mem-Collect-eq range-abs-Nats range-eqI
subsetI)
  then show ?thesis using dist-p-well-defined[of i j] by blast
qed

lemma dist-p-nat':
  shows nat (dist-p i j) = dist-p i j
  by (metis dist-p-nat[of i j] Nats-induct int-eq-iff)

lemma dist-p-instances:
  fixes i :: int
  fixes j :: int
  fixes bound :: rat
  assumes i ≠ j
  assumes coprime (i - j) p
  assumes 0 < bound
  shows rat-of-nat (card {t ∈ {1..

. rat-of-int (dist-p (t*i) (t*j)) ≤ bound} ≤
2*bound
proof-
  let ?f = λt. (dist-p (t*i) (t*j))
  define S where S = {t ∈ {1..

. rat-of-int (?f t) ≤ bound}
  have S = {t ∈ {1..

. rat-of-int (?f t) ≤ bound ∧ (?f t) ∈ ℕ}
    ∪ {t ∈ {1..

. rat-of-int (?f t) ≤ bound ∧ (?f t) ∉ ℕ}
    unfolding S-def by fastforce
  moreover have {t ∈ {1..

. rat-of-int (?f t) ≤ bound ∧ (?f t) ∉ ℕ} = {}
    using dist-p-nat by force
  ultimately have S = {t ∈ {1..

. rat-of-int (?f t) ≤ bound ∧ (?f t) ∈ ℕ}
    by fast
  moreover have (rat-of-int (?f t) ≤ bound ∧ (?f t) ∈ ℕ)
    ←→ ((?f t) ∈ {1..} for t
  proof
    assume *: ?P
    hence ?f t < (floor bound) + 1 by linarith
    moreover have 1 ≤ ?f t
    proof-
      have ∀x ∈ {abs ((t*i) - (t*j) + z * (of-nat p)) | z. True}. 1 ≤ x
      proof
        fix x assume x ∈ {abs ((t*i) - (t*j) + z * (of-nat p)) | z. True}
        then obtain z where z: x = abs ((t*i) - (t*j) + z * (of-nat p)) by blast
        moreover have t ≠ 0 using that by force
        ultimately have x: x = abs(t * (i - j) + z * p) using int-distrib(4) by
presburger
        have x ≠ 0
        proof-
          have coprime t p
          unfolding coprime-def'
          proof clarify
            fix r assume *: r dvd t r dvd p
            have is-unit r ∨ r = p


```

```

    by (metis *(2) One-nat-def dvd-1-iff-1 p prime-nat-iff)
    moreover have r ≠ p using *(1) that by auto
    ultimately show is-unit r by blast
qed
moreover have coprime (i - j) p using assms(2) .
ultimately have coprime (t * (i - j)) p using p by auto
hence ¬ (p dvd (t * (i - j)))
by (metis coprime-def' One-nat-def Suc-0-not-prime-nat abs-of-nat dvd-refl
int-ops(2) nat-int p zdvd1-eq)
hence t * (i - j) ≠ - z * p by fastforce
hence t * (i - j) + z * p ≠ 0 by fastforce
thus ?thesis unfolding x by simp
qed
moreover have x ≥ 0 using z by force
ultimately show 1 ≤ x by linarith
qed
thus ?thesis using dist-p-well-defined[of t*i t*j] unfolding dist-p-def by
blast
qed
ultimately show ?Q by force
next
assume ?Q
thus ?P by (simp add: dist-p-nat le-floor-iff)
qed
ultimately have S = {t ∈ {1..

. ((?f t) ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1})}} by blast
moreover have {1..<floor(bound)+1} = ∪ {{i} | i. i ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}
by blast
ultimately have S = ∪ {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist. dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}
by blast
then have card S ≤ sum card {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist. dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}
using card-Union-le-sum-card[of {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist. dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}]
by blast
moreover have card s ≤ 2 if s-def: s ∈ {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist.
dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}} for s
proof-
obtain dist where s = {t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}}
using s-def by blast
then show ?thesis using dist-p-instances-help[of i j dist] assms(2) by auto
qed
ultimately have card S ≤ sum (λs. 2) {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist.
dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}}
using sum-mono[of {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist. dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}]
card (λs. 2)]
by force
moreover have sum (λs. 2) {{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist. dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}
= 2 * card{{t ∈ {1..

. (?f t) ∈ {dist}}} | dist. dist ∈ {1..<floor(bound)+1}}}
by force


```

**moreover have**  $\text{card}\{\{t \in \{1..<p\}. (\text{?}f t) \in \{dist\}\} \mid dist. dist \in \{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\}\}$   
 $\leq \text{card}\{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\}$   
**proof–**  
**have**  $\text{finite}\{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\}$  **by** *blast*  
**moreover have**  $(\lambda dist. \{t \in \{1..<p\}. (\text{?}f t) \in \{dist\}\})` \{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\}$   
 $= \{\{t \in \{1..<p\}. (\text{?}f t) \in \{dist\}\} \mid dist. dist \in \{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\}\}$   
**by** *blast*  
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$   
**using**  $\text{card-image-le}[\text{of } \{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\} \lambda dist. \{t \in \{1..<p\}. (\text{?}f t) \in \{dist\}\}]$   
**by** *algebra*  
**qed**  
**moreover have**  $\text{rat-of-nat}(\text{card}\{1..<\text{floor}(bound)+1\}) \leq bound$   
**using**  $\text{assms}(3)$  **by** *force*  
**ultimately show**  $?thesis$   
**using**  $S\text{-def } \text{mult-2 of-nat-simps}(4)$  **by** *auto*  
**qed**

**lemma**  $\text{dist-p-smallest}:$   
**fixes**  $\beta$   $ts$   $i$   
**assumes**  $ts \in \text{set-pmf ts-pmf}$   
**assumes**  $v \in \text{vec-class-mod-p ts } \beta$   
**assumes**  $i < d$   
**defines**  $u \equiv \text{ts-to-u ts}$   
**shows**  $(\text{of-int}(\text{dist-p}(\text{int}(ts ! i) * \beta)(\text{int}(\text{ts-to-as ts ! i})))^2 \leq ((u - v) \$ i)^2$   
 $(\text{is } (\text{of-int} ?d)^2 \leq -)$   
**proof–**  
**have**  $\text{len-ts}: \text{length ts} = d$  **using**  $\text{assms}(1)$  **by** (*simp add: set-pmf-ts*)  
**have**  $v\text{-carrier}: v \in \text{carrier-vec}(d + 1)$  **using**  $\text{assms}(2)$   $\text{len-ts vec-class-mod-p-carrier}$   
**by** *blast*  
**have**  $u\text{-carrier}: u \in \text{carrier-vec}(d + 1)$  **using**  $\text{len-ts u-carrier u-def}$  **by** *blast*  
**obtain**  $\beta'$  **where**  $\beta': v \in \text{vec-class ts } \beta' [\beta = \beta' \pmod p]$   
**using**  $\text{assms}(2)$  **unfolding**  $\text{vec-class-mod-p-def}$  **by** *blast*  
**let**  $?a = \text{int}(ts ! i) * \beta$   
**let**  $?a' = \text{int}(ts ! i) * \beta'$   
**let**  $?b = \text{int}(\text{ts-to-as ts ! i})$   
**let**  $?S = \{\text{abs}(\text{?}a - ?b + z * (\text{of-nat } p)) \mid z. \text{True}\}$   
**let**  $?S' = \{\text{abs}(\text{?}a' - ?b + z * (\text{of-nat } p)) \mid z. \text{True}\}$   
**obtain**  $cs$  **where**  $cs: v = \text{sumlist}(\text{map}(\lambda i. \text{rat-of-int}(cs i) \cdot_v \text{gen-basis ts ! i}))$   
 $[0..<\text{length}(\text{gen-basis ts})]$   
**proof–**  
**have**  $v \in \text{gen-lattice ts}$   
**by** (*smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) vec-class-mod-p-union assms(1,2) mem-Collect-eq mem-simps(9) vec-class-mod-p-def vec-class-union*)  
**thus**  $?thesis$  **unfolding**  $\text{gen-lattice-def lattice-of-def}$  **using** *that* **by** *fast*  
**qed**  
**obtain**  $z :: \text{int}$  **where**  $z: |(u - v) \$ i| = \text{of-int} |\text{?}a' - ?b + z * p|$   
**proof–**

```

have v$i = of-int (cs d * int (ts ! i) + cs i * int p)
  using coordinates-of-gen-lattice[of i ts cs] assms(3) len-ts unfolding cs by
auto
moreover have u$i = of-int ?b
  using len-ts assms(3) by (simp add: append-Cons-nth-left ts-to-as-def u-def
ts-to-u-def)
ultimately have (u - v)$i = of-int (?b - cs d * int (ts ! i) - cs i * int p)
  using v-carrier u-carrier assms(3) by simp
moreover have cs d = β'
proof-
  have v$d = rat-of-int (cs d) / rat-of-nat p
    using coordinates-of-gen-lattice[of d ts cs] len-ts unfolding cs by fastforce
    hence rat-of-int (cs d) = v$d * (rat-of-nat p) using p by simp
  moreover have (v$d) = rat-of-int β' / rat-of-nat p
    using vec-class-last[OF len-ts β'(1)] by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using p by fastforce
qed
ultimately have (u - v)$i = of-int (?b - β' * int (ts ! i) - cs i * int p) by
blast
hence -(u - v)$i = of-int (β' * int (ts ! i) - ?b + cs i * int p) by auto
moreover have |- (u - v)$i | = |(u - v)$i| by fastforce
ultimately have |(u - v)$i| = |of-int (β' * int (ts ! i) - ?b + cs i * int p)|
by presburger
moreover have |rat-of-int (β' * int (ts ! i)) - int (ts-to-as ts ! i) + cs i * int
p| =
  = rat-of-int |β' * int (ts ! i) - int (ts-to-as ts ! i) + cs i * int p|
  by linarith
moreover have β' * int (ts ! i) = int (ts ! i) * β' by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using that[of cs i] by argo
qed
let ?c = |?a' - ?b + z * p|
have ?c ∈ ?S' by blast
moreover have ?d = Inf ?S by (rule dist-p-def)
moreover have ?S = ?S'
proof-
  have a-a': [|?a - ?b = ?a' - ?b|] (mod int p)
    using β'(2) cong-scalar-left cong-diff cong-refl by blast
  show ?thesis using cong-set-eq[OF a-a'] .
qed
ultimately have ?d ≤ ?c using dist-p-le by blast
hence ?d² ≤ ?c²
  by (meson dist-p-set-bdd-below dist-p-well-defined power-mono)
moreover have |(u - v)$i|² = ((u - v)$i)² by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) of-int-le-of-int-power-cancel-iff of-int-power z)
qed

lemma dist-p-diff-helper:
  fixes a b b'

```

```

assumes b ≥ b'
shows |dist-p a b - dist-p a b'| ≤ b - b'
proof-
  let ?d = dist-p a b
  let ?d' = dist-p a b'
  obtain k where d: ?d = |a - b + k * int p| using dist-p-well-defined[of a b]
  by blast
  obtain k' where d': ?d' = |a - b' + k' * int p| using dist-p-well-defined[of a b'] by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof(cases ?d' ≤ ?d)
    case True
    have ∀ z :: int. 0 ≤ |a - b + z * (of-nat p)| by fastforce
    hence bdd-below { |a - b + z * (of-nat p)| | z. True} by fast
    hence ?d ≤ |a - b + k' * int p|
      unfolding dist-p-def
      using cInf-lower[of |a - b + k' * int p| { |a - b + z * (of-nat p)| | z. True}]
      by blast
    also have ... = |a - b' + b' - b + k' * int p| by auto
    also have ... ≤ ?d' + |b' - b| using d' by auto
    finally show ?thesis using True assms by simp
  next
    case False
    have ∀ z :: int. 0 ≤ |a - b' + z * (of-nat p)| by fastforce
    hence bdd-below { |a - b' + z * (of-nat p)| | z. True} by fast
    hence ?d' ≤ |a - b' + k * int p|
      unfolding dist-p-def
      using cInf-lower[of |a - b' + k * int p| { |a - b' + z * (of-nat p)| | z. True}]
      by fast
    also have ... = |a - b + b - b' + k * int p| by auto
    also have ... ≤ ?d + |b - b'| using d by simp
    finally show ?thesis using False assms by simp
  qed
qed

lemma dist-p-diff:
  fixes a b b'
  shows |dist-p a b - dist-p a b'| ≤ |b - b'|
  apply(cases b ≥ b')
  using dist-p-diff-helper[of b' b a] apply linarith
  using dist-p-diff-helper[of b b' a] by linarith

```

#### 4.2.3 Uniqueness lemma argument

**definition good-beta where**

good-beta ts β ↔ ( ∀ v ∈ vec-class-mod-p ts β. close-vec ts v → good-vec v)

**definition bad-beta where**

bad-beta ts β ↔ ¬ good-beta ts β

```

definition some-bad-beta where
  some-bad-beta ts  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists \beta \in \{0..

::int\}$ . bad-beta ts  $\beta$ )

definition bad-beta-union where
  bad-beta-union = ( $\bigcup \beta \in \{0..

::int\}$ . {ts. bad-beta ts  $\beta$ })

lemma reduction-1:
  assumes ts  $\in$  set-pmf ts-pmf
  assumes  $\neg$  good-lattice ts
  shows some-bad-beta ts
  using assms vec-class-mod-p-union set-pmf-ts
  unfolding some-bad-beta-def bad-beta-def good-beta-def good-lattice-def
  by blast

lemma reduction-1-pmf:
  pmf sampled-lattice-good False  $\leq$  pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf (some-bad-beta ts))) True
  proof-
    have pmf sampled-lattice-good False = pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf ( $\neg$  good-lattice ts))) True
    unfolding sampled-lattice-good-def pmf-true-false by presburger
    also have ...  $\leq$  pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf (some-bad-beta ts))) True
    using reduction-1 pmf-subset[of ts-pmf  $\lambda$ ts.  $\neg$  good-lattice ts  $\lambda$ ts. some-bad-beta ts]
    by blast
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

lemma reduction-2: {ts. some-bad-beta ts}  $\subseteq$  bad-beta-union
  unfolding some-bad-beta-def bad-beta-union-def by blast

lemma reduction-2-pmf:
  pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf (ts  $\in$  {ts. some-bad-beta ts}))) True
   $\leq$  pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf (ts  $\in$  bad-beta-union))) True
  using reduction-2
  using pmf-subset[of ts-pmf  $\lambda$ ts. ts  $\in$  {ts. some-bad-beta ts}  $\lambda$ ts. ts  $\in$  bad-beta-union]
  by blast

lemma bad-beta-union-bound:
  pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf (ts  $\in$  bad-beta-union))) True
   $\leq$  ( $\sum \beta \in \{0..

::int\}$ . pmf (ts-pmf  $\gg$  ( $\lambda$ ts. return-pmf (ts  $\in$  {ts. bad-beta ts  $\beta$ }))) True)
  (is ?lhs  $\leq$  ?rhs)
  proof-
    let ?I = {0..

::int}
    let ?B =  $\lambda \beta$ . {ts. bad-beta ts  $\beta$ }
    let ?M = ts-pmf
    have bad-beta-union = ( $\bigcup \beta \in ?I$ . ?B  $\beta$ )


```

```

unfolding bad-beta-union-def by blast
hence *: ?lhs = measure ts-pmf ( $\bigcup \beta \in ?I. ?B \beta$ ) using pmf-in-set by metis

have 1: finite ?I by auto
have 2: ?B ‘ ?I ⊆ sets ?M using sets-measure-pmf by blast
have ?lhs ≤ ( $\sum i \in ?I. \text{measure } ?M (?B i)$ )
unfolding * using measure-pmf.finite-measure-subadditive-finite[OF 1 2].
also have ... = ?rhs
proof-
  have  $\bigwedge \beta. \text{measure } ?M (?B \beta)$ 
   $= \text{pmf} (\text{ts-pmf} \gg= (\lambda ts. \text{return-pmf} (ts \in \{ts. \text{bad-beta } ts \beta\}))) \text{ True}$ 
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) bind-pmf-cong measure-pmf-single mem-Collect-eq
pmf-in-set)
  thus ?thesis by presburger
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma fixed-beta-close-vec-dist-p:
fixes β ts
assumes ts ∈ set-pmf ts-pmf
assumes v ∈ vec-class-mod-p ts β
assumes close-vec ts v
defines u ≡ ts-to-u ts
shows  $\forall i < d. \text{real-of-int} (\text{dist-p} (\text{int} (ts ! i) * \beta) (\text{int} (\text{ts-to-as} ts ! i))) < \text{real}$ 
 $p / 2^\mu$ 
proof clarify
  fix i assume *:  $i < d$ 
  have (of-int (dist-p ((ts ! i) * β) ((ts-to-as ts ! i))))² ≤ ((u - v)$i)²
  using dist-p-smallest[OF assms(1,2) *] unfolding u-def .
  moreover have of-rat ... <  $(p / 2^\mu)^2$ 
proof-
  have u - v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  proof-
    have u ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    unfolding u-def using u-carrier assms(1) set-pmf-ts vec-class-mod-p-carrier
    by blast
    moreover have v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using assms(1,2) set-pmf-ts vec-class-mod-p-carrier by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  hence ((ts-to-u ts - v) $ i)² < (rat-of-nat p / 2^\mu)²
  using assms(3) vec-le-sq-norm[of u - v d + 1 i] *
  unfolding close-vec-def u-def sq-norm-vec-def
  by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
  unfolding u-def
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-div of-nat-numeral
of-rat-less of-rat-of-nat-eq of-rat-power)

```

```

qed
ultimately show (of-int (dist-p ((ts ! i) * β) ((ts-to-as ts ! i)))) < p / 2 ^ μ
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) power-mono divide-nonneg-nonneg of-nat-0-le-iff
    of-rat-less-eq of-rat-of-int-eq of-rat-power zero-less-power)
qed

lemma fixed-beta-bad-prob-arith-helper:
defines T-lwr-bnd ≡ (rat-of-nat (p - 1) - 2 * (2 * (rat-of-nat p)))/(2^μ))
shows 1 - 5/(2^μ) ≤ real-of-rat T-lwr-bnd / (p - 1)
proof-
have 5 ≤ p
proof-
  have 2 powr (log 2 p) = p by (simp add: p prime-gt-0-nat)
  moreover have n - 1 ≤ log 2 p using n n-big by linarith
  ultimately have 2^(n - 1) ≤ p by (meson log2-of-power-less not-le p prime-gt-0-nat)
  moreover have 32 = (2::nat)^5 by simp
  moreover have ... ≤ 2^(n - 1)
  proof-
    have 5 ≤ n - 1 using n-big by auto
    thus ?thesis by (meson pow-mono-exp rel-simps(25))
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
qed
hence 4*p ≤ 5*(p - 1) by fastforce
hence (4 * p) / (p - 1) ≤ 5
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) Multiseries-Expansion.intyness-simps(6)
divide-le-eq not-le of-nat-0-le-iff of-nat-le-iff of-nat-simps(5))
hence ((4 * p) / (p - 1)) * (1 / 2^μ) ≤ 5 / (2^μ) using divide-right-mono by
fastforce
hence (4 * p) / ((p - 1) * 2^μ) ≤ 5 / (2^μ) by auto
hence 1 - 5 / (2^μ) ≤ 1 - (4 * p) / ((p - 1) * 2^μ) by argo
also have ... = ((p - 1) * (1 / (p - 1))) - ((4 * p) / (2^μ)) * (1 / (p - 1))
  using ‹5 ≤ p› by auto
also have ... = ((p - 1) - ((4 * p) / (2^μ))) / (p - 1) by argo
also have ... = real-of-rat T-lwr-bnd / (p - 1)
  by (simp add: T-lwr-bnd-def Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-div Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-mult
Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-power of-rat-diff)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma dist-p-helper:
fixes ts i
assumes ts: ts ∈ set-pmf ts-pmf
assumes i: i < d
assumes dist: dist-p (int (ts!i) * β) ((ts-to-as ts)!i) < p/(2^μ)
shows dist-p ((ts!i) * β) ((ts!i) * α) ≤ (2*p)/(2^μ)
proof-
let ?x = α * (ts ! i) mod p
let ?a_i = msb-p ?x

```

```

let ?tsi-β = (ts ! i) * β
let ?tsi-α = (ts ! i) * α
have ts-to-as ts ! i = ?ai unfolding ts-to-as-def using i ts set-pmf-ts by force
hence *: dist-p ?tsi-β ?ai < real p / 2 ^ μ using ts i dist by metis

have 1: 1 < p using p prime-gt-1-nat by blast
have 2: real (k + 1) < log 2 (real p) using k-plus-1-lt by blast
have |int ?x - ?ai| ≤ real p / 2 ^ k using msb-p-dist[of ?x] by argo
also have ... ≤ real p / 2 ^ μ
proof-
  have (2::real) ^ k ≥ 2 ^ μ using μ-le-k by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis frac-le less-eq-real-def of-nat-0-le-iff zero-less-numeral zero-less-power)
qed
finally have |int ?x - ?ai| ≤ real p / 2 ^ μ .

hence dist-p ?tsi-β ?x - dist-p ?tsi-β (int (msb-p ?x)) ≤ real p / 2 ^ μ
  using dist-p-diff[of ?tsi-β ?x ?ai] by linarith
hence dist-p ?tsi-β ?x ≤ real p / 2 ^ μ + dist-p ?tsi-β ?ai by linarith
also have ... ≤ real p / 2 ^ μ + real p / 2 ^ μ using * by argo
also have ... = real (2 * p) / 2 ^ μ by simp
finally have dist-p ?tsi-β ?x ≤ real (2 * p) / 2 ^ μ .
moreover have dist-p ?tsi-β (ts ! i * α) ≤ dist-p ?tsi-β ?x (is ?lhs ≤ ?rhs)
proof-
  let ?a = ?tsi-β
  let ?b = ts ! i * α
  let ?b' = ?x
  let ?S = {|?a - ?b + z * int p| | z. True}
  have ?rhs ∈ ?S
  proof-
    obtain k where k: ?rhs = |?a - ?b' + k * int p| using dist-p-well-defined[of
      ?a ?b'] by fast
    have [|?b = ?b'| (mod int p)
      by (simp add: Groups.ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute of-nat-mod)
    then obtain k' where ?b' = ?b + k' * int p by (metis cong-iff-lin cross3-simps(11))
    hence ?rhs = |?a - (?b + k' * int p) + k * int p| using k by presburger
    also have ... = |?a - ?b - k' * int p + k * int p| by linarith
    also have ... = |?a - ?b + (-k' + k) * int p|
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Rings.ring-class.ring-distrib(2))
    also have ... ∈ ?S by blast
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding dist-p-def using dist-p-le dist-p-def by auto
qed
ultimately show real-of-int (dist-p (map int ts ! i * β) (int (ts ! i * α))) ≤ real
  (2 * p) / 2 ^ μ
  using i ts set-pmf-ts by fastforce
qed

```

```

lemma prob-A-helper:
  fixes β :: int
  defines [simp]: t-pmf ≡ pmf-of-set {1.. $< p$ }
  defines [simp]: A-cond ≡ λt. (2*p)/(2 $\hat{\mu}$ ) < dist-p (β * t) (α * t)
  defines [simp]: A-pmf ≡ t-pmf ≈ (λt. return-pmf (A-cond t))
  defines [simp]: A ≡ pmf A-pmf True
  assumes β: β ∈ {0.. $< p$ :int}
  assumes False: ¬ (β = α mod p)
  shows (1 - A) ≤ (5 / (2 $\hat{\mu}$ ))

proof-
  let ?S = {1.. $< p$ }
  let ?T = {x ∈ ?S. A-cond x}
  let ?T-lwr-bnd = (rat-of-nat (p - 1) - 2 * (2 * (rat-of-nat p)))/(2 $\hat{\mu}$ )
  have card-S: card ?S = p - 1 by simp
  have fin-S: finite ?S and S-nempty: ?S ≠ {} using p-geq-2 by simp-all
  have A = card ?T / card ?S
    using pmf-of-finite-set-event[OF S-nempty fin-S, of A-cond] by simp
  moreover have real-of-rat ?T-lwr-bnd ≤ real-of-nat (card ?T)
  proof-
    let ?bound = (2 * (rat-of-nat p))/(2 $\hat{\mu}$ )
    let ?good = λt. rat-of-int (dist-p (t * β) (t * int α)) ≤ ?bound
    let ?bad = λt. ¬ ?good t
    let ?good-ts = {t ∈ ?S. ?good t}
    let ?bad-ts = {t ∈ ?S. ?bad t}
    have good-bad-card: card ?bad-ts = card ?S - card ?good-ts
    proof-
      have ?S = ?good-ts ∪ ?bad-ts by fastforce
      moreover have ?good-ts ∩ ?bad-ts = {} by blast
      ultimately have card ?good-ts + card ?bad-ts = card ?S
        by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) card-Un-disjoint fin-S finite-Un)
      thus ?thesis by linarith
    qed
    have 1: β ≠ int α using False β by force
    have 2: coprime (β - α) p
    proof-
      have 1: ¬ p dvd (β - α)
        by (metis assms(5,6) int-ops(9) int-p-prime mod-eq-dvd-iff mod-ident-iff prime-gt-0-int)
      show ?thesis using prime-imp-power-coprime[OF int-p-prime 1, of 1] by simp
    qed
    have 3: 0 < 2 * rat-of-nat p / 2 $\hat{\mu}$  using p by (simp add: prime-gt-0-nat)
    have rat-of-nat (card ?good-ts) ≤ 2 * ?bound
      by (rule dist-p-instances[OF 1 2 3])
    hence rat-of-nat (p - 1) - 2 * ?bound ≤ rat-of-nat ((p - 1) - card ?good-ts)
      by linarith
    also have ... = rat-of-nat (card ?bad-ts) using good-bad-card card-S by fastforce
    also have ... ≤ rat-of-nat (card ?T)
  qed

```

```

proof-
  have ?bad-ts ⊆ ?T
  proof
    fix t assume t ∈ ?bad-ts
    hence ∗: t ∈ ?S ∧ ?bad t by blast
    hence 2 * rat-of-nat p / 2^μ < rat-of-int (dist-p (int t * β) (int t * int α))
  by linarith
  hence A-cond t
  proof(subst A-cond-def)
    assume ∗: 2 * rat-of-nat p / 2^μ < rat-of-int (dist-p (int t * β) (int t * int α))
    hence real-of-rat (2 * rat-of-nat p / 2^μ) < real-of-rat (rat-of-int (dist-p (int t * β) (int t * int α)))
    using of-rat-less by blast
    moreover have real-of-rat (2 * rat-of-nat p / 2^μ) = real (2 * p) / 2^μ
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-div
      Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-power.of-nat-numeral.of-nat-simps(5)
      of-rat-of-nat-eq)
    moreover have real-of-rat (rat-of-int (dist-p (int t * β) (int t * int α)))
    = real-of-int (dist-p (β * int t) (int (α * t)))
    by (simp add: mult-ac(2))
    ultimately show real (2 * p) / 2^μ < real-of-int (dist-p (β * int t) (int (α * t)))
    by algebra
  qed
  thus t ∈ ?T unfolding A-cond-def using ∗ by blast
  qed
  moreover have finite ?T by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by (meson card-mono of-nat-mono)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis
  by (metis (lifting) of-rat-less-eq of-rat-of-nat-eq times-divide-eq-right)
  qed
  ultimately have real-of-rat ?T-lwr-bnd / (card ?S) ≤ A using divide-right-mono
  by blast
  moreover have card ?S = p - 1 by fastforce
  ultimately have real-of-rat ?T-lwr-bnd / (p - 1) ≤ A by simp
  moreover have 1 - 5 / (2^μ) ≤ real-of-rat ?T-lwr-bnd / (p - 1)
  by (rule fixed-beta-bad-prob-arith-helper)
  ultimately show ?thesis by argo
  qed

lemma prob-A-helper':
  fixes β :: int
  defines [simp]: t-pmf ≡ pmf-of-set {1..

}  

  defines [simp]: A-cond ≡ λt. (2*p)/(2^μ) < dist-p (β * t) (α * t)  

  defines [simp]: A-pmf ≡ t-pmf ≈ (λt. return-pmf (A-cond t))  

  defines [simp]: A ≡ pmf A-pmf True


```

```

assumes  $\beta : \beta \in \{0..<p::int\}$ 
assumes  $\text{False} : \neg (\beta = \alpha \bmod p)$ 
shows  $(1 - A)^\wedge d \leq (5 / (2^\wedge \mu))^\wedge d$ 
using prob-A-helper[OF  $\beta$  False] apply simp
by (meson power-mono diff-ge-0-iff-ge pmf-le-1)

lemma fixed-beta-bad-prob:
fixes  $\beta$ 
assumes  $\beta \in \{0..<p::int\}$ 
defines  $M \equiv \text{ts-pmf} \geqslant (\lambda ts. \text{return-pmf} (ts \in \{ts. \text{bad-beta} ts \beta\}))$ 
shows  $\beta = \alpha \bmod p \implies \text{pmf } M \text{ True} = 0$ 
 $\neg (\beta = \alpha \bmod p) \implies \text{pmf } M \text{ True} \leq (5/(2^\wedge \mu))^\wedge d$ 
proof-
assume  $\text{True} : \beta = \alpha \bmod p$ 
have  $\forall ts \in \text{set-pmf ts-pmf}. \neg \text{bad-beta} ts \beta$ 
proof
fix  $ts$  assume  $ts : ts \in \text{set-pmf ts-pmf}$ 
hence  $\text{length-}ts : \text{length } ts = d$  by (simp add: set-pmf-ts)

show  $\neg \text{bad-beta} ts \beta$ 
unfolding bad-beta-def good-beta-def
proof clarify
fix  $v$  assume  $v : v \in \text{vec-class-mod-p ts } \beta \text{ close-vec ts } v$ 
hence  $\text{dim-}v : \text{dim-vec } v = d + 1$  using vec-class-mod-p-carrier[OF  $\text{length-}ts$ ,
of  $\beta$ ] by auto
have  $(\exists \beta::int. [\alpha = \beta] \bmod p) \wedge \text{real-of-rat} (v \$ d) = \beta / p$ 
proof-
from  $v(1)$  obtain  $\beta$  where  $\beta : [\alpha = \beta] \bmod int p \wedge v \in \text{vec-class ts } \beta$ 
unfolding vec-class-mod-p-def using True
by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) UnionE mem-Collect-eq mod-mod-trivial
of-nat-mod
unique-euclidean-semiring-class.cong-def)
then obtain  $cs$  where  $cs : v = (\text{of-int } \beta) \cdot_v (\text{t-vec ts}) + \text{lincomb-list} (\text{of-int} \circ cs) p\text{-vecs}$ 
unfolding vec-class-def by blast
hence  $v \$ d = ((\text{of-int } \beta) \cdot_v (\text{t-vec ts}))\$d + (\text{lincomb-list} (\text{of-int} \circ cs) p\text{-vecs})\$d$ 
(is  $- = ?a + ?b$ )
using dim-v by simp
hence  $\text{real-of-rat} (v\$d) = \text{real-of-rat} ?a + \text{real-of-rat} ?b$  by (simp add:
of-rat-add)
moreover have  $\text{real-of-rat} ?a = \beta / p$ 
proof-
have  $(\text{t-vec ts})\$d = 1 / (\text{rat-of-nat } p)$ 
unfolding t-vec-def using length-ts t-vec-def t-vec-last by presburger
hence  $\text{real-of-rat} ((\text{t-vec ts})\$d) = 1 / p$  by (simp add: of-rat-divide)
moreover have  $?a = (\text{of-int } \beta) * ((\text{t-vec ts})\$d)$ 
using length-ts t-vec-dim by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: of-rat-mult)

```

```

qed
moreover have real-of-rat ?b = 0 using lincomb-of-p-vecs-last[of cs] by
blast
ultimately have [ $\alpha = \beta$ ] (mod p)  $\wedge$  real-of-rat ( $v \$ d$ ) =  $\beta / p$  using  $\beta$ 
by linarith
thus ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have dim-vec  $v = d + 1$ 
using vec-class-mod-p-carrier[OF length-ts, of  $\beta$ ]  $v$  by force
ultimately show good-vec  $v$  unfolding good-vec-def by blast
qed
qed
thus pmf M True = 0
apply (simp add: M-def pmf-def)
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Probability-Mass-Function.set-pmf.rep-eq bind-eq-return-pmf
bind-return-pmf mem-Collect-eq return-pmf-inj)
next
assume False:  $\neg (\beta = \alpha \text{ mod } p)$ 
let ?a =  $\lambda ts\ i. (ts\text{-to-as } ts)!i$ 
let ?u =  $\lambda ts. ts\text{-to-u } ts$ 
let ?E1 =  $\lambda ts. \forall i < d. dist\text{-}p (int (ts!i) * \beta) (?a ts i) < p/(2^\mu)$ 
let ?E2 =  $\lambda ts. \forall i < d. dist\text{-}p ((ts!i) * \beta) ((ts!i) * \alpha) \leq (2*p)/(2^\mu)$ 
let ?E1-pmf = ts-pmf  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda ts. return\text{-pmf} (?E1 ts)$ )
let ?E2-pmf = ts-pmf  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda ts. return\text{-pmf} (?E2 ts)$ )
let ?t-pmf = pmf-of-set {1.. $< p$ }
let ?A-cond =  $\lambda t. (2*p)/(2^\mu) < dist\text{-}p (\beta * t) (\alpha * t)$ 
let ?A-pmf = ?t-pmf  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda t. return\text{-pmf} (?A-cond t)$ )
let ?A = pmf ?A-pmf True

have  $\forall ts \in set\text{-pmf } ts\text{-pmf}. bad\text{-beta } ts \beta \longrightarrow ?E1\ ts$ 
using fixed-beta-close-vec-dist-p unfolding bad-beta-def good-beta-def by blast

moreover have  $\forall ts \in set\text{-pmf } ts\text{-pmf}. ?E1\ ts \longrightarrow ?E2\ ts$  using dist-p-helper by
blast
ultimately have  $\forall ts \in set\text{-pmf } ts\text{-pmf}. ts \in \{ts. bad\text{-beta } ts \beta\} \longrightarrow ?E2\ ts$  by
blast
hence pmf M True  $\leq$  pmf (?E2-pmf) True
unfolding M-def using pmf-subset[of ts-pmf  $\lambda ts. ts \in \{ts. bad\text{-beta } ts \beta\}$  ?E2] by blast
also have ...  $\leq (1 - ?A)^\mu$ 
proof-
let ?E =  $\lambda x. dist\text{-}p (int x * \beta) (int x * \alpha) \leq (2*p)/(2^\mu)$ 
have *: pmf (pmf-of-set {1.. $< p$ }  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. return\text{-pmf} (?E x)$ )) True  $\leq (1 -$ 
?A)
proof-
let ?A'-pmf = ?t-pmf  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda t. return\text{-pmf} (\neg ?A-cond t)$ )
let ?p = pmf-of-set {1.. $< p$ }  $\gg=$  ( $\lambda x. return\text{-pmf} (?E x)$ )
have 1:  $\forall x \in set\text{-pmf } ?t\text{-pmf}. ?E x \longrightarrow \neg ?A-cond x$  by (simp add: mult-ac(2))

```

```

have pmf ?p True ≤ pmf ?A'-pmf True using pmf-subset[OF 1] by blast
also have ... = pmf ?A-pmf False using pmf-true-false[of ?t-pmf] by pres-
burger
also have ... = 1 - (pmf ?A-pmf True) using pmf-True-conv-False by auto
finally show ?thesis
by (smt (verit, del-insts) α empty-iff finite-atLeastLessThan pmf-of-set-def)
qed
show ?thesis
using replicate-pmf-same-event-leq[OF *, of d, folded ts-pmf-def]
by (smt (verit, best) bind-pmf-cong mem-Collect-eq nth-map of-nat-simps(5)
set-pmf-ts)
qed
also have ... ≤ (5 / (2^μ))^d by (rule prob-A-helper'[OF assms(1) False])
finally show pmf M True ≤ (5/(2^μ))^d .
qed

lemma bad-beta-union-bound-pmf:
pmf (ts-pmf ≈ (λts. return-pmf (ts ∈ bad-beta-union))) True ≤ (p - 1) *
(5/(2^μ))^d
proof-
let ?b = (5/(2^μ))^d
let ?M' = λβ. ts-pmf ≈ (λts. return-pmf (ts ∈ {ts. bad-beta ts β}))
have (∑ β ∈ {0..

:int}. pmf (?M' β) True) ≤ (p - 1) * ?b
proof-
let ?x = λβ. pmf (?M' β) True
let ?A = {0..

:int}
have α ∈ ?A using α by force
hence (∑ β ∈ ?A. ?x β) = (∑ β ∈ ?A - {α}. ?x β) + ?x α
using sum.remove[of ?A α ?x] by fastforce
also have ... ≤ (∑ β ∈ ?A - {α}. ?b) + ?x α
proof-
have ∧β. β ∈ ?A - {α} ⇒ ?x β ≥ 0 by auto
moreover have ∧β. β ∈ ?A - {α} ⇒ ?x β ≤ ?b using fixed-beta-bad-prob(2)
by (metis DiffE ⟨int α ∈ {0..


```

#### 4.2.4 Main uniqueness lemma statement

```

lemma sampled-lattice-likely-good: pmf sampled-lattice-good True ≥ 1 / 2
proof-
  have (p - 1) * ((5/(2^μ))^d) ≤ 1 / 2
  proof-
    have (5::real)^d ≤ ((2 powr (2.5 * (of-nat d)) / (2^(μ*d)))::real)
    proof-
      have (5::real)^d ≤ ((2::real) powr 2.5)^d
      proof-
        have (2::real) powr 2 = 4 by fastforce
        moreover have (2::real) powr 0.5 ≥ 1.25
        proof-
          have (1.25::real) * 1.25 = 1.5625 by fastforce
          moreover have (1.25::real) * 1.25 = 1.25 powr 2 by (simp add:
          power2-eq-square)
          ultimately have (1.25::real) powr 2 ≤ 2 by simp
          hence (1.25::real) powr 2 ≤ (sqrt 2) powr 2 by fastforce
          moreover have sqrt 2 = (2::real) powr 0.5 using powr-half-sqrt by simp
          ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
        qed
        moreover have (2::real) powr 2.5 = (2 powr 2) * (2 powr 0.5)
        proof-
          have (2::real) powr 2.5 = (2::real) powr (2 + 0.5) by fastforce
          thus ?thesis by (metis powr-add)
        qed
        ultimately have (5::real) ≤ 2 powr 2.5 by auto
        thus ?thesis by (simp add: nonneg-power-le)
      qed
      also have ... = (2::real) powr (2.5 * d)
      by (simp add: Groups.ab-semigroup-mult-class.mult.commute powr-power)
      finally have (5::real)^d ≤ (2::real) powr (2.5 * d) .
      hence (5^d/2^(μ*d)) ≤ ((2::real) powr (2.5 * d)) / 2^(μ*d) by (simp add:
      divide-right-mono)
      moreover have ((5::real)/(2^μ))^d = (5^d/2^(μ*d)) by (simp add: power-divide
      power-mult)
      ultimately show ?thesis by presburger
    qed

    have μ * d ≥ n + 6 * ceiling (sqrt n)
    proof-
      have μ * d = 6 * ceiling (sqrt n) + (lceil 1 / 2 * sqrt (real n) rceil * 2 * lceil sqrt (real
      n) rceil)
      by (simp add: μ d Ring-Hom.mult-hom.hom-add mult-ac(2))
      moreover have lceil 1 / 2 * sqrt (real n) rceil * 2 * lceil sqrt (real n) rceil ≥ 1 / 2 * sqrt
      (real n) * 2 * sqrt (real n)
      proof-
        have lceil 1 / 2 * sqrt (real n) rceil ≥ 1 / 2 * sqrt (real n) by linarith
        moreover have lceil sqrt (real n) rceil ≥ sqrt (real n) by linarith
        ultimately show ?thesis
    
```

```

    by (smt (verit, best) Ring-Hom.mult-hom.hom-add divide-nonneg-nonneg
mult-2-right mult-mono of-int-add of-int-mult of-nat-0-le-iff powr-ge-zero powr-half-sqrt)
qed
moreover have  $1 / 2 * \sqrt{real\ n} * 2 * \sqrt{real\ n} = n$  by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by linarith
qed
hence  $2 \text{powr}(\mu*d) \geq 2 \text{powr}(n + 6 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))$ 
by (smt (verit) of-int-le-iff of-int-of-nat-eq powr-mono)
hence  $2 \text{powr}(2.5*d) / (2 \text{powr}(\mu*d)) \leq 2 \text{powr}(2.5*d) / ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}(n + 6 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n})))$ 
by (metis frac-le less-eq-real-def powr-ge-zero powr-gt-zero)
moreover have  $2 \text{powr}(2.5*d) \leq 2 \text{powr}(5 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))$ 
using d by fastforce
ultimately have  $2 \text{powr}(2.5*d) / (2 \text{powr}(\mu*d)) \leq (2 \text{powr}(5 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))) / ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}(n + 6 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n})))$ 
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) frac-le powr-gt-zero)
also have ... =  $((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}(5 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))) / ((2 \text{powr}\ n) * (2 \text{powr}(6 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$ 
using powr-add by auto
also have ...  $\leq (1 / ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n)) * (2 \text{powr}(5 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))) / ((2 \text{powr}(6 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$ 
by argo
also have ... =  $(1 / ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n)) * (2 \text{powr}(5 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))) / ((2 \text{powr}(\text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))) * (2 \text{powr}(5 * \text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$ 
by (smt (verit) of-int-add powr-add)
also have ... =  $(1 / ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n)) * (1 / (2 \text{powr}(\text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$ 
by auto
finally have **:  $2 \text{powr}(2.5*d) / (2 \text{powr}(\mu*d)) \leq (1 / (2 \text{powr}\ n)) * (1 / (2 \text{powr}(\text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$ 
(is ?A  $\leq$  -).
moreover have  $((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n) * \dots = (1 / (2 \text{powr}(\text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$  by fastforce
ultimately have  $((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n) * ?A \leq (1 / (2 \text{powr}(\text{ceiling}(\sqrt{n}))))$ 
by (metis mult-left-mono powr-ge-zero)
moreover have  $(p - 1) * ?A \leq ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n) * ?A$ 
proof-
have  $p - 1 \leq ((2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n)$ 
proof-
have  $p - 1 \leq p$  by simp
also have ... =  $2 \text{powr}(\log 2 p)$  by (simp add: p prime-gt-0-nat)
also have ...  $\leq (2:\text{real}) \text{powr}\ n$ 
by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) n le-diff-iff le-diff-iff' le-numeral-extra(4) n-geq-1
nat-ceiling-le-eq nat-int powr-le-cancel-iff)
finally show ?thesis by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by (meson divide-nonneg-nonneg less-eq-real-def mult-mono
powr-ge-zero)
qed

```

```

ultimately have  $(p - 1) * ?A \leq (1 / (2 \text{ powr} (\text{ceiling} (\text{sqrt} n))))$  by linarith
moreover have  $(5/(2^\mu))^\wedge d \leq ?A$  by (smt (verit, best) * powr-realpow)
moreover have  $(1 / (2 \text{ powr} (\text{ceiling} (\text{sqrt} n)))) \leq 1/2$ 
proof-
  have  $\text{sqrt } n \geq 1$  using n-geq-1 by simp
  hence  $\text{ceiling} (\text{sqrt} n) \geq 1$  by fastforce
  hence  $2 \text{ powr} (\text{ceiling} (\text{sqrt} n)) \geq 2$ 
    by (metis of-int-1-le-iff powr-mono powr-one rel-simps(25) rel-simps(27))
    thus ?thesis by force
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by (smt (verit, best) mult-left-mono of-nat-0-le-iff)
qed
hence  $1 - (p - 1) * ((5/(2^\mu))^\wedge d) \geq 1/2$  by simp
moreover have pmf sampled-lattice-good True =  $1 - \text{pmf sampled-lattice-good False}$ 
  using pmf-True-conv-False by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using sampled-lattice-unlikely-bad by linarith
qed

```

## 4.3 Main theorem

### 4.3.1 Oracle definition

```

definition  $\mathcal{O} :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
   $\mathcal{O} t = \text{msb-}p ((\alpha * t) \bmod p)$ 

```

### 4.3.2 Apply Babai lemmas to adversary

We use Babai lemmas to show that the adversary wins when the  $ts$  generate a good lattice.

```

lemma gen-basis-assms:
  fixes ts :: nat list
  assumes length ts = d
  shows LLL.LLL-with-assms (d+1) (d+1) (int-gen-basis ts) (4/3)
proof-
  have l: length (int-gen-basis ts) = d + 1 unfolding int-gen-basis-def by force
  moreover have LLL.lin-indep (d + 1) (int-gen-basis ts)
proof-
  let ?b = int-gen-basis ts
  let ?M = (mat-of-rows (d + 1) (LLL.RAT ?b))
  let ?Mt = transpose-mat ?M
  have carrier-M: ?M ∈ carrier-mat (d + 1) (d + 1) using l by force
  have diag: ?Mt$(i,i) ≠ 0 if i: i ∈ {0..< dim-row ?Mt} for i
  proof(cases i=d)
    case t:True
    have carrier-vec: (LLL.RAT ?b)!i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
      using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms l i by fastforce
    have ?Mt$(i,i) = ?M$(i,i)
      using index-transpose-mat carrier-M i by auto
  qed
qed

```

```

also have ?M$$(i,i) = row ?M i $i using carrier-M i by auto
also have row ?M i $i = (LLL.RAT ?b)!i$i
  using mat-of-rows-row l i carrier-M carrier-vec by auto
finally have 1: ?Mt$$(i,i) = (LLL.RAT ?b)!d$d using t by simp
moreover have (LLL.RAT ?b)!d$d = (of-int-hom.vec-hom (?b!d))$d using
nth-map l by auto
moreover have (of-int-hom.vec-hom (?b!d))$d = of-int-hom.vec-hom(vec-of-list
(map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]))$d
  using append-Cons-nth-middle[of d (map (λi. (p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) i)))  

[0..<d]) vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]) []]
  unfolding int-gen-basis-def by auto
moreover have of-int-hom.vec-hom (vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]))$d = 1
  using append-Cons-nth-middle[of d map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) 1 []] assms
by fastforce
ultimately have ?Mt$$(i,i) = 1
  by metis
then show ?thesis
  by simp
next
case f:False
have carrier-vec: (LLL.RAT ?b)!i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms l i by fastforce
have ?Mt$$(i,i) = ?M$$(i,i)
  using index-transpose-mat carrier-M i by auto
also have ?M$$(i,i) = row ?M i $i using carrier-M i by auto
also have row ?M i $i = (LLL.RAT ?b)!i$i
  using mat-of-rows-row l i carrier-M carrier-vec by auto
finally have 1: ?Mt$$(i,i) = (LLL.RAT ?b)!i$i by simp
moreover have (LLL.RAT ?b)!i$i = (of-int-hom.vec-hom (?b!i))$i using
nth-map l i by auto
moreover have (of-int-hom.vec-hom (?b!i))$i = of-int-hom.vec-hom(p^2 ·_v  

(unit-vec (d+1) i))$i
  using append-Cons-nth-left[of i (map (λi. (p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) i)))  

[0..<d]) vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]) []]
  if l
  unfolding int-gen-basis-def by auto
moreover have of-int-hom.vec-hom(p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) i))$i = rat-of-nat
(p^2 * (unit-vec (d+1) i))$i using i
  by simp
moreover have (unit-vec (d+1) i)$i = 1 using i by simp
ultimately have ?Mt$$(i,i) = rat-of-nat (p^2 * 1) by metis
then show ?thesis using p
  by auto
qed
have ?Mt$$(i,j) = 0 if ij: i ∈ {0..< dim-row ?Mt} ∧ j ∈ {0..<i} for i j
proof-
  have carrier-vec: (LLL.RAT ?b)!j ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms l ij by fastforce

```

```

have ?Mt$$(i,j) = ?M$$(j,i)
  using index-transpose-mat carrier-M ij by auto
also have ?M$$(j,i) = row ?M j $i using carrier-M ij by auto
also have row ?M j $i = (LLL.RAT ?b)!j$i
  using mat-of-rows-row l ij carrier-M carrier-vec by auto
finally have 1: ?Mt$$(i,j) = (LLL.RAT ?b)!j$i by simp
have jd: j < d using ij carrier-M by simp
then have ?b!j = (map (λi. (p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) i))) [0..<d])!j
  using append-Cons-nth-left[of j (map (λi. (p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) i))) [0..<d]) vec-of-list (map ((*) (int p)) (map int ts) @ [1]) []]
  unfolding int-gen-basis-def
  by fastforce
then have ?b!j = p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) j) using jd by force
then have (LLL.RAT ?b)!j = of-int-hom.vec-hom (p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1)
j))
  using nth-map[of j ?b of-int-hom.vec-hom] jd l
  by auto
then have (LLL.RAT ?b)!j$i = rat-of-nat ((p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) j))$i)
  using ij by force
also have rat-of-nat ((p^2 ·_v (unit-vec (d+1) j))$i) = rat-of-nat (p^2 *
(unit-vec (d+1) j)$i)
  using ij by auto
also have (unit-vec (d+1) j)$i = 0 unfolding unit-vec-def using ij
  by simp
finally have (LLL.RAT ?b)!j$i = 0 by linarith
then show ?thesis using 1
  by presburger
qed
then have upper-triangular ?Mt
  by auto
moreover have carrier-Mt: ?Mt ∈ carrier-mat (d + 1) (d + 1) using car-
rier-M by simp
moreover have 0 ∉ set (diag-mat ?Mt) using diag unfolding diag-mat-def
  by fastforce
ultimately have det ?Mt ≠ 0 using upper-triangular-imp-det-eq-0-iff[of ?Mt]
by blast
then have det-M: det ?M ≠ 0
  by (metis Determinant.det-transpose Matrix.transpose-transpose carrier-Mt)
then have lin-indpt (set (Matrix.rows ?M)) using det-not-0-imp-lin-indpt-rows[of
?M] carrier-M
  by fast
then have LI: lin-indpt (set (LLL.RAT ?b))
  using rows-mat-of-rows[of LLL.RAT ?b d + 1] int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts]
assms by fastforce
have (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts))!i ≠ (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts))!j if ij:
i ≠ j ∧ i < (d + 1) ∧ j < (d + 1) for i j
proof-
  have (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts))!j ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms l ij by fastforce

```

```

moreover have (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts))!i ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms l ij by fastforce
moreover have row ?M i ≠ row ?M j
  using Determinant.det-identical-rows[of ?M d + 1 i j] carrier-M using ij
det-M by fast
ultimately show (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts))!i ≠ (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis
ts))!j
  using mat-of-rows-row[of i (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts)) d + 1]
  mat-of-rows-row[of j (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts)) d + 1]
  ij l
  by force
qed
then have distinct (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts))
  using distinct-conv-nth[of LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts)] l by simp
moreover have set-in: set (LLL.RAT (int-gen-basis ts)) ⊆ carrier-vec (d +
1)
  using int-gen-basis-carrier[of ts] assms by auto
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding Gram-Schmidt-2.cof-vec-space.lin-indpt-list-def
using LI
  by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding LLL-with-assms-def by simp
qed

definition u-vec-is-msbs :: (nat×nat) list ⇒ bool where
  u-vec-is-msbs pairs = ((of-nat p) ·v ts-to-u (ts-from-pairs pairs) = of-int-hom.vec-hom
(scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))

lemma updated-full-Babai-correct-int-target:
assumes full-babai-with-assms (map-vec rat-of-int target) (d + 1) fs-init (4/3)
shows real-of-int (sq-norm ( (full-babai fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target) (4/3))
- target))
  ≤ ((4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * 11/10 * babai.closest-distance-sq fs-init
(map-vec rat-of-int target) ∧
  (full-babai fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target) (4/3)) ∈ vec-module.lattice-of
(d + 1) fs-init
proof-
  have 1: 0 ≤ real-of-int (sq-norm ( (full-babai fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target)
(4/3)) - target)) by auto
  have real-of-int (sq-norm ( (full-babai fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target) (4/3))
- target))
    ≤ (real-of-rat (4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * babai.closest-distance-sq fs-init
(map-vec rat-of-int target) ∧
    (full-babai fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target) (4/3)) ∈ vec-module.lattice-of
(d + 1) fs-init
  using full-babai-correct-int-target[of target d + 1 fs-init 4/3] assms by blast
  moreover then have 2: 0 ≤ (real-of-rat (4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * babai.closest-distance-sq
fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target)
  using 1 by linarith

```

```

moreover have (real-of-rat (4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * babai.closest-distance-sq
fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target) =
  ((4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * babai.closest-distance-sq fs-init (map-vec
rat-of-int target)
  by (simp add: Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-div)
moreover then have ((4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * babai.closest-distance-sq
fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target) ≤
  ((4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * 11/10 * babai.closest-distance-sq fs-init
(map-vec rat-of-int target)
  using mult-right-mono[of 1 11/10 ((4/3)^(d + 1) * (d + 1)) * babai.closest-distance-sq
fs-init (map-vec rat-of-int target)] 2 by argo
ultimately show ?thesis by argo
qed

lemma ad-output-vec-close:
fixes pairs :: (nat×nat) list
assumes length pairs = d
assumes u-vec-is-msbs pairs
shows real-of-int(sq-norm
  ((A-vec pairs)
  - (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))) ≤
  (4 / 3) ^ (d + 1) * real (d + 1) * 11 / 10 * p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) *
p^2))/2^(2*k)
  ∧ (A-vec pairs) ∈ int-gen-lattice (ts-from-pairs pairs)

proof-
  define ts where ts = ts-from-pairs pairs
  define u-vec where u-vec = scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs
  define rat-u-vec where rat-u-vec = map-vec rat-of-int u-vec
  define basis where basis = int-gen-basis ts
  have t-length: length ts = d unfolding ts-def ts-from-pairs-def using assms by
fastforce
  have basis-length: length basis = d + 1 unfolding basis-def int-gen-basis-def by
auto
  have p-le: 0≤real(p^2) by blast
  have u-dim: dim-vec u-vec = d + 1 unfolding scaled-uvec-from-pairs-def u-vec-def
using assms by force
  then have rat-u-dim: dim-vec rat-u-vec = d + 1 unfolding rat-u-vec-def by
fastforce
  have length ts = d unfolding ts-from-pairs-def ts-def using assms by fastforce
  then have LLL-assms: LLL.LLL-with-assms (d+1) (d+1) basis (4/3)
    using gen-basis-assms[of ts] unfolding basis-def by argo
  then have f: full-babai-with-assms rat-u-vec (d + 1) basis (4/3)
    using u-dim unfolding rat-u-vec-def full-babai-with-assms-def by force
  then have 1: real-of-int (sq-norm ((full-babai basis rat-u-vec (4/3)) - u-vec))
    ≤ ((4::real)/3)^(d+1) * (d + 1) * 11/10 * babai.closest-distance-sq
basis rat-u-vec ∧
  (full-babai basis rat-u-vec (4/3)) ∈ vec-module.lattice-of (d + 1) basis
  using updated-full-Babai-correct-int-target[of u-vec basis] u-dim
  unfolding rat-u-vec-def by blast

```

```

have babai.closest-distance-sq basis rat-u-vec
  = Inf {real-of-rat (sq-norm x) | x. x ∈ {of-int-hom.vec-hom x - rat-u-vec | x.
x ∈ LLL.LLL.L (d + 1) basis}}
using babai.closest-distance-sq-def[of basis rat-u-vec] babai-def[of basis rat-u-vec]
  basis-length rat-u-dim by presburger
moreover have 0 ≤(real(4)/3)^(d+1) * (d + 1) * 11/10 by force
moreover have 0 ≤babai.closest-distance-sq basis rat-u-vec
proof-
  have b: babai-with-assms (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis (4/3) basis) rat-u-vec (4/3)
    using full-babai-with-assms.LLL-output-babai-assms[of rat-u-vec d + 1 basis]
  f by simp
    then have b1: babai (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis (4/3) basis) rat-u-vec unfolding
  babai-with-assms-def by auto
    have b2: babai basis rat-u-vec using basis-length rat-u-dim unfolding babai-def
  by simp
    have 0 ≤ babai.closest-distance-sq (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis (4/3) basis) rat-u-vec
      using b babai-with-assms-ε.closest-distance-sq-pos[of (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis
  (4/3) basis) rat-u-vec 4/3 2]
        babai-with-assms.babai-with-assms-ε-connect[of (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis
  (4/3) basis) rat-u-vec 4/3] by simp
      moreover have LLL.L (d + 1) basis = LLL.L (d + 1) (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis
  (4/3) basis)
        using LLL-with-assms.reduce-basis(1)[of d + 1 d + 1 basis 4/3 (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis
  (4/3) basis)] LLL-assms
        unfolding LLL.L-def by argo
      moreover have length (LLL-Impl.reduce-basis (4/3) basis) = d + 1
        using LLL-with-assms.reduce-basis(4)[of d+1 d+1 basis 4/3] LLL-assms by
  fast
    ultimately show ?thesis
      using babai.closest-distance-sq-def basis-length b1 b2 by algebra
  qed
  moreover have babai.closest-distance-sq basis rat-u-vec
    ≤ p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)
proof-
  let ?rat-basis = gen-basis ts
  let ?unscaled-u = ts-to-u ts
  obtain w where w: w ∈ gen-lattice ts ∧ sq-norm (?unscaled-u - w) ≤ (of-nat
((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)
    using close-vector-exists[of ts] t-length
    by blast
  also have **: sq-norm (?unscaled-u - w) = sq-norm (w - ?unscaled-u)
proof-
  have ?unscaled-u ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using ts-to-u-carrier[of ts] t-length
  by fastforce
  moreover have carr-w: w ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using w gen-lattice-carrier[of
ts] t-length by blast
  ultimately have (w - ?unscaled-u) = (-1)·v (?unscaled-u - w) by fastforce
  then have sq-norm-conjugate (-1) * sq-norm (?unscaled-u - w) = sq-norm
(w - ?unscaled-u)

```

```

using sq-norm-smult-vec by metis
then show ?thesis by auto
qed
finally have *: real-of-rat (sq-norm (w - ?unscaled-u)) ≤ real-of-rat ((of-nat
((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k))
  using of-rat-less-eq by blast
have ∀ x ∈ {real-of-rat (sq-norm (y - ?unscaled-u)) | y. y ∈ gen-lattice ts}. 0 ≤ x
  using sq-norm-vec-ge-0 by fastforce
then have bdd-below {real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - ?unscaled-u)) | x. x ∈ gen-lattice
ts} by fast
then have Inf{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - ?unscaled-u)) | x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}
≤ real-of-rat ‖w - ts-to-u ts‖²
  using w cInf-lower[of real-of-rat (sq-norm (w - ?unscaled-u)) {real-of-rat
(sq-norm (x - ?unscaled-u)) | x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}]
    by fast
then have 1: Inf{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - ?unscaled-u)) | x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts}
≤ real-of-rat ((of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)) using *
  by auto
have rat-u-vec = ((of-nat p) · v (ts-to-u ts))
  using assms(2) unfolding u-vec-is-msbs-def rat-u-vec-def u-vec-def ts-def by
auto
then have babai.closest-distance-sq basis rat-u-vec = real(p^2) * (Inf{real-of-rat
(sq-norm (x - ?unscaled-u)) | x. x ∈ gen-lattice ts})
  using gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-closest[of ts ts-to-u ts] t-length ts-to-u-carrier[of
ts] unfolding basis-def by auto
then have babai.closest-distance-sq basis rat-u-vec ≤ real(p^2) * real-of-rat
((of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k))
  using 1 mult-left-mono[of Inf{real-of-rat (sq-norm (x - ?unscaled-u)) | x. x ∈
gen-lattice ts}]
    real-of-rat ((of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)) p^2] p-le
by presburger
then show ?thesis
  by (metis of-nat-id of-nat-numeral of-nat-simps(5) of-rat-divide of-rat-of-nat-eq
of-rat-power power2-eq-square times-divide-eq-right)
qed
ultimately have (4/3)^(d+1) * real(d + 1) * 11/10 * babai.closest-distance-sq
basis rat-u-vec
  ≤ (4 / 3)^(d + 1) * real(d + 1) * 11 / 10 * p^2 * (of-nat
((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)
  using mult-mono[of
    (4 / 3)^(d + 1) * real(d + 1) * 11 / 10
    (4 / 3)^(d + 1) * real(d + 1) * 11 / 10
    babai.closest-distance-sq basis rat-u-vec
    p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)]
  by auto
then have real-of-int (sq-norm (full-babai basis rat-u-vec (4/3) - u-vec)) ≤ (4
/ 3)^(d + 1) * real(d + 1) * 11 / 10 * p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)
  using 1 by linarith
then show ?thesis using 1 A-vec.simps

```

```

unfolding basis-def u-vec-def rat-u-vec-def ts-def int-gen-lattice-def
by presburger
qed

lemma ad-output-vec-class:
  fixes pairs :: (nat × nat) list
  assumes length pairs = d
  assumes u-vec-is-msbs pairs
  shows sq-norm ((1/(rat-of-nat p)) · v (map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) − (ts-to-u
  (ts-from-pairs pairs))) < ((of-nat p)/2^(μ))^2
    ∧ ((1/(rat-of-nat p)) · v (map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) ∈ vec-class-mod-p
  (ts-from-pairs pairs) (A pairs))

proof−
  let ?ts = ts-from-pairs pairs
  have tl: length ?ts = d using assms(1) unfolding ts-from-pairs-def by simp
  then have carrier-A-vec: (A-vec pairs) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using ad-output-vec-close[pairs] assms int-gen-lattice-carrier[of ts-from-pairs
  pairs] by fast
  then have rat-carrier-ad: map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  by fastforce
  have carrier-scaled-u: scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
proof−
  have length ((map (λ(a,b). p*b) pairs) @ [0]) = d + 1
    using assms by force
  then show ?thesis unfolding scaled-uvec-from-pairs-def
    by (metis length-map vec-of-list-carrier)
qed
then have rat-carrier-scaled-u: map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)
  ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) by force
  have close: real-of-int(sq-norm
    ((A-vec pairs)
    − (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))) ≤
    (4 / 3)^d * real (d + 1) * 11 / 10 * p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) *
  p^2))/2^(2*k)
    ∧ (A-vec pairs) ∈ int-gen-lattice (ts-from-pairs pairs)
    using ad-output-vec-close[pairs] assms by simp
  moreover have 0 ≤ 1/(real-of-nat p^2) by auto
  ultimately have 1/(real-of-nat p^2) * real-of-int(sq-norm ((A-vec pairs) −
  (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))
    ≤ 1/(real-of-nat p^2) * (4 / 3)^d * real (d + 1) * 11 / 10 * p^2
    * (of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)
    using mult-mono[of 1/(real-of-nat p^2) 1/(real-of-nat p^2) real-of-int(sq-norm
    ((A-vec pairs) − (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))) (4 / 3)^d * real (d + 1)
    * 11 / 10 * p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)]
    sq-norm-vec-ge-0[of ((A-vec pairs) − (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))] by
  force
  moreover have 1/(real-of-nat p^2) * (4 / 3)^d * real (d + 1) * 11 /
  10 * p^2 * (of-nat ((d+1) * p^2))/2^(2*k)
    = (4 / 3)^d * real (d + 1) * 11 / 10 * (of-nat ((d+1) *

```

```

 $p^{\wedge}2)) / 2^{\wedge}(2*k)$  by simp
moreover have  $(4 / 3)^{\wedge}(d + 1) * \text{real } (d + 1) * 11 / 10 * (\text{of-nat } ((d+1) * p^{\wedge}2)) / 2^{\wedge}(2*k) < ((\text{of-nat } p) / 2^{\wedge}(\mu))^{\wedge}2$ 
proof-
have  $((4::\text{real})/3) \text{powr } ((d + 1)/2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))$ 
 $< p / (2 \text{powr } \mu)$ 
using final-ineq by simp
moreover have  $0 \leq ((4::\text{real})/3) \text{powr } ((d + 1)/2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))$ 
by fastforce
ultimately have  $((4::\text{real})/3) \text{powr } ((d + 1)/2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))^{\wedge}2$ 
 $< (p / (2 \text{powr } \mu))^{\wedge}2$ 
using Power.linordered-semidom-class.power-strict-mono pos2 by blast
moreover have  $((4::\text{real})/3) \text{powr } ((d + 1)/2) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))^{\wedge}2 =$ 
 $((4::\text{real}) \text{powr } ((d + 1)/2))^{\wedge}2 * (11/10)^{\wedge}2 * (d + 1)^{\wedge}2 * ((p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))^{\wedge}2$ 
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Power.semiring-1-class.of-nat-power power-mult-distrib)
moreover have  $((4::\text{real})/3) \text{powr } ((d + 1)/2)^{\wedge}2 = ((4::\text{real})/3)^{\wedge}(d + 1)$ 
by (metis add-le-cancel-left divide-nonneg-nonneg le0 powr-ge-zero powr-half-sqrt-powr
powr-realpow' real-sqrt-pow2 rel-simps(45) semiring-norm(94))
moreover have  $((p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))^{\wedge}2 = p^{\wedge}2 / (2^{\wedge}(2*k)) * 4$ 
proof-
have  $k: 2 \leq 2*k$  using k-geq-1 by auto
have  $2: (2::\text{real}) \neq 0$  by simp
have  $((p / (2 \text{powr } (\text{real } k - 1)))^{\wedge}2 = p^{\wedge}2 / (2^{\wedge}(2*(k - 1)))$ 
using powr-realpow'[of 2 k - 1] power-divide[of p 2^{\wedge}(k - 1) 2]
by (smt (verit) Multiseries-Expansion.intyness-1 Multiseries-Expansion.intyness-simps(3)
Multiseries-Expansion.intyness-simps(5) k-geq-1 le-trans of-nat-le-0-iff of-nat-le-iff
power-diff' power-divide power-even-eq powr-diff powr-realpow' semiring-norm(112)
semiring-norm(159))
moreover have  $(2::\text{real})^{\wedge}(2*(k - 1)) = 2^{\wedge}(2*k - 2)$ 
by auto
moreover have  $(2::\text{real})^{\wedge}(2*k - 2) = 2^{\wedge}(2*k) / (2^{\wedge}2)$ 
using k power-diff'[of 2 2*k 2] 2 by meson
moreover have  $(2::\text{real})^{\wedge}(2*k) / (2^{\wedge}2) = 2^{\wedge}(2*k) / (4)$ 
using power2-eq-square[of 2] by simp
ultimately show ?thesis
by (metis divide-divide-eq-right times-divide-eq-left)
qed
moreover have  $(p / (2 \text{powr } \mu))^{\wedge}2 \leq ((\text{of-nat } p) / 2^{\wedge}\mu)^{\wedge}2$  by (simp add:
powr-realpow)
ultimately have  $((4::\text{real})/3)^{\wedge}(d + 1) * (11/10)^{\wedge}2 * (d + 1)^{\wedge}2 * (p^{\wedge}2 / (2^{\wedge}(2*k)) * 4) < ((\text{of-nat } p) / 2^{\wedge}\mu)^{\wedge}2$ 
by (smt (verit))
moreover have  $((11::\text{real})/10)^{\wedge}2 = (11/10)*(11/10)$ 

```

```

    using power2-eq-square by blast
  moreover have ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) * (11/10)*(11/10) * (d + 1)^2 *
  (p^2 / (2^(2*k)) * 4)
    = ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) * (11/10)*(11/10) * (d + 1)^2 * (p^2 /
  (2^(2*k))) * 4 by argo
  moreover have (d + 1)^2 * (p^2 / (2^(2*k))) = (d + 1) * (of-nat ((d +
  1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k)))
    using power2-eq-square[of d + 1] mult-ac(1)[of d + 1 d + 1 (p^2 / (2 ^
  (2*k)))]
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) more-arith-simps(11) of-nat-simps(5) times-divide-eq-right)
    ultimately have *: (4 * (11/10))* ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) * (11/10) * (d +
  1) * (of-nat ((d + 1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k))) < ((of-nat p)/2^μ)^2
      using mult-ac by auto
      have 1 < (4::real) * (11/10) by simp
      moreover have 0 < (4::real) * (11/10) by simp
      moreover have 0 ≤ ( ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (of-nat
  ((d + 1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k)))) by force
      ultimately have 1 * ( ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) * (11/10) * (d + 1) * (of-nat
  ((d + 1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k)))) ≤ (4 * (11/10))* ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) *
  (11/10) * (d + 1) * (of-nat ((d + 1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k)))
      using mult-mono[of 1 (4::real) * (11/10) ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) * (11/10) *
  (d + 1) * (of-nat ((d + 1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k))) ((4::real)/3) ^ (d + 1) *
  (11/10) * (d + 1) * (of-nat ((d + 1) * p^2) / (2^(2*k)))]
      by argo
      then show ?thesis using * by argo
qed
ultimately have rhs: 1/(real-of-nat p^2) * real-of-int(sq-norm ((A-vec pairs)
  - (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))) < ((of-nat p)/2^μ)^2 by argo
  have 1/(real-of-nat p^2) * real-of-int(sq-norm ((A-vec pairs) - (scaled-uvec-from-pairs
  pairs)))
    = real-of-rat (1/(rat-of-nat p^2) * ((sq-norm (map-vec rat-of-int
  ((A-vec pairs) - (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))))))
    by (simp add: of-rat-divide of-rat-power sq-norm-of-int)
  moreover have sq-norm-conjugate (1/(rat-of-nat p)) = (1/(rat-of-nat p^2))
    using conjugatable-ring-1-abs-real-line-class.sq-norm-as-sq-abs[of 1/(rat-of-nat
  p)]
    power2-abs[of 1 / rat-of-nat p]
    by (simp add: power-divide)
  ultimately have 1/(real-of-nat p^2) * real-of-int(sq-norm ((A-vec pairs) -
  (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))
    = real-of-rat( (sq-norm ((1 / rat-of-nat p) · v (map-vec rat-of-int
  ((A-vec pairs) - (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))) ) )
    using sq-norm-smult-vec by metis
  moreover have (real p / 2^μ)^2 = real-of-rat ((rat-of-nat p / 2^μ)^2)
    by (simp add: of-rat-divide of-rat-power)
  ultimately have (sq-norm ((1 / rat-of-nat p) · v (map-vec rat-of-int ((A-vec
  pairs) - (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))) )
    < ((of-nat p)/2^μ)^2 using rhs
    by (simp add: of-rat-less)

```

```

moreover have (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int ((A-vec pairs) – (scaled-uvec-from-pairs
pairs)))
= (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v ((map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) – (map-vec
rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))
by fastforce
moreover have (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v ((map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) –
(map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))
= (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v ((map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) + –(map-vec
rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))
by force
moreover have (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v ((map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) +
–(map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs)))
= (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) – (1 /
rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))
using smult-add-distrib-vec[of (map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs)) d + 1 –(map-vec
rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))]
rat-carrier-scaled-u rat-carrier-ad
by (metis calculation(3) smult-sub-distrib-vec)
moreover have (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs
pairs)) = ts-to-u (ts-from-pairs pairs)
proof –
have (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int (scaled-uvec-from-pairs pairs))
= (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v ( ((of-nat p) ·v (ts-to-u (ts-from-pairs pairs))))
using assms(2) unfolding u-vec-is-msbs-def by argo
then show ?thesis using tl smult-smult-assoc[of (1 / rat-of-nat p) (of-nat p)]

by auto
qed
ultimately have part1: sq-norm ((1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec
pairs)) – ts-to-u (ts-from-pairs pairs))
< ((of-nat p)/2^(μ))^2 by argo
let ?v = (1 / rat-of-nat p) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int (A-vec pairs))
have ?v ∈ gen-lattice ?ts using tl
using gen-lattice-int-gen-lattice-vec[of ?ts A-vec pairs] close by fastforce
then obtain c where c: ?v = (sumlist (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts)
! i)) [0 ..< length (gen-basis ?ts)]))
unfolding gen-lattice-def lattice-of-def by blast
then have ?v$d = (rat-of-int (c d)) / rat-of-nat p
using coordinates-of-gen-lattice[of d ?ts] tl by simp
moreover have ?v$d = (1 / rat-of-nat p) * (rat-of-int ((A-vec pairs)$d))
using rat-carrier-ad carrier-A-vec
index-map-vec(1)[of d A-vec pairs rat-of-int] index-smult-vec(1)[of d map-vec
rat-of-int (A-vec pairs) 1 / (rat-of-nat p)]
carrier-vecD[of - d + 1] by force
ultimately have c d = (A-vec pairs)$d
using mult-cancel-right2 not-one-le-zero of-nat-eq-0-iff p prime-ge-1-nat times-divide-eq-left
by auto
then have [int (A pairs) = (c d)] (mod p)
using A.simps[of pairs] int-to-nat-residue.simps[of A-vec pairs $d p] of-nat-0-less-iff


```

```

p pos-mod-bound prime-gt-0-nat by auto
moreover have ?v ∈ vec-class ?ts (c d)
proof-
  have [0 ..< length (gen-basis ?ts)] = [0 ..< d] @ [d]
    using gen-basis-length[of ?ts] d by simp
  moreover have set (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! i)) [0 ..< d]) ⊆
carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using gen-basis-carrier[of ?ts] tl
  proof-
    have v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) if v: v ∈ set (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis
?ts) ! i)) [0 ..< d]) for v
      proof-
        obtain i where i: v = of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! i) ∧ i ∈ {0..<d}
using v by auto
        then show v ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1) using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ?ts i] tl
by simp
      qed
    then show ?thesis by fast
  qed
  moreover have (of-int (c d) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! d)) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
using gen-basis-vecs-carrier[of ?ts d] tl by simp
  ultimately have *: ?v = (sumlist (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) !
i)) [0 ..< d])) + (of-int (c d) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! d))
    using gen-basis-carrier[of ?ts] tl c
      sumlist-snoc[of (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! i)) [0 ..< d])
(of-int (c d) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! d))]
    by fastforce
  have (gen-basis ?ts)!i = p-vecs!i if i: i ∈ {0..<d} for i
    unfolding gen-basis-def
    using i length-p-vecs append-Cons-nth-left[of i p-vecs vec-of-list (map rat-of-nat
(ts-from-pairs pairs) @ [1 / rat-of-nat p]) []]
    by force
    then have (sumlist (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v ((gen-basis ?ts) ! i)) [0 ..< d])) =
(sumlist (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v (p-vecs ! i)) [0 ..< d]))
      by (smt (verit) List.List.map-cong atLeastLessThan-upt)
    moreover have (sumlist (map (λi. of-int (c i) ·v (p-vecs ! i)) [0 ..< d])) =
(sumlist (map (λi. ((of-int o c) i) ·v (p-vecs ! i)) [0 ..< d]))
      by auto
    moreover have (sumlist (map (λi. ((of-int o c) i) ·v (p-vecs ! i)) [0 ..< d])) =
lincomb-list (of-int o c) p-vecs
      unfolding lincomb-list-def using length-p-vecs by presburger
    moreover have ((gen-basis ?ts) ! d) = t-vec ?ts
      unfolding gen-basis-def t-vec-def
      using append-Cons-nth-middle[of d p-vecs] length-p-vecs by presburger
    ultimately have ?v = lincomb-list (of-int o c) p-vecs + (of-int (c d) ·v (t-vec
?ts))
      using * by argo
  moreover have lincomb-list (rat-of-int o c) p-vecs ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
    using p-vecs-carrier carrier-vecI lincomb-list-carrier[p-vecs of-int o c] by

```

```

fast
moreover have of-int (c d) ·v (t-vec ?ts) ∈ carrier-vec (d + 1)
  using t-vec-dim[of ?ts] carrier-vecI[of t-vec ?ts d + 1] assms(1) unfolding
ts-from-pairs-def by force
ultimately have ?v = (of-int (c d) ·v (t-vec ?ts)) + lincomb-list (of-int ∘ c)
p-vecs
  by force
then show ?thesis unfolding vec-class-def by blast
qed
ultimately have ?v ∈ vec-class-mod-p ?ts (int ( $\mathcal{A}$  pairs))
  unfolding vec-class-mod-p-def by blast
then show ?thesis using part1 by blast
qed

```

If we get a good lattice (which is likely), the adversary finds the hidden number

```

lemma hnp-adversary-exists-helper:
assumes ts ∈ set-pmf ts-pmf
defines ts-Ots ≡ map (λt. (t,  $\mathcal{O}$  t)) ts
assumes good-lattice ts
shows α =  $\mathcal{A}$  ts-Ots
proof–
  let ? $\mathcal{A}$ -vec = (1 / (rat-of-nat p)) ·v (map-vec rat-of-int ( $\mathcal{A}$ -vec ts-Ots))
  let ?vec1 = rat-of-nat p ·v vec-of-list
    (map rat-of-nat
      (ts-to-as (map (λ(a, b). a) (map (λt. (t,  $\mathcal{O}$  t)) ts))) @ [0]))
  let ?vec2 = Matrix.of-int-hom.vec-hom (vec-of-list
    (map int (map (λ(a, b). p * b) (map (λt. (t,  $\mathcal{O}$  t)) ts) @ [0]))))

have dim-v1: dim-vec ?vec1 = length ts + 1
  unfolding ts-to-as-def by auto
have dim-v2: dim-vec ?vec2 = length ts + 1
  by auto

have l: length ts = d using assms(1) set-pmf-ts by blast
then have ts-l: length ts-Ots = d unfolding ts-Ots-def by simp
have ts-from-pairs: ts-from-pairs ts-Ots = ts
proof–
  have map (λ(a, b). a) (map (λt. (t,  $\mathcal{O}$  t)) ts) = map ((λ(a, b). a) ∘ (λt. (t,  $\mathcal{O}$  t))) ts by simp
  moreover have (λ(a, b). a) ∘ (λt. (t,  $\mathcal{O}$  t)) = (λt. t) by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ts-from-pairs-def ts-Ots-def fst-def by
simp
qed

have ?vec1$i = ?vec2$i if in-range: i ∈ {0..<(d + 1)} for i
proof(–)
  have ?vec1$i
    = (rat-of-nat p) * (vec-of-list (map rat-of-nat (ts-to-as (map (λ(a, b). a)
```

```

(map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) @ [0]) $ i)
  using in-range dim-v1 l by simp
  then have vec1-help: ?vec1$i = (rat-of-nat p) * ((map rat-of-nat (ts-to-as (map
(λ(a, b). a) (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) @ [0])!i) by simp
    have vec2-help: ?vec2$i = rat-of-int ((map int (map (λ(a, b). p * b) (map (λt.
(t, O t)) ts) @ [0])))!i)
      using in-range dim-v2 l by auto
      have l1: length (map rat-of-nat (ts-to-as (map (λ(a, b). a) (map (λt. (t, O t))
ts)))) = d using l unfolding ts-to-as-def by simp
        have l2: length (map int (map (λ(a, b). p * b) (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) = d
      using l by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof(cases i = d)
        case t:True
          then have (map rat-of-nat (ts-to-as (map (λ(a, b). a) (map (λt. (t, O t))
ts))) @ [0]) ! i = 0
            using append-Cons-nth-middle[of i map rat-of-nat (ts-to-as (map (λ(a, b).
a) (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) 0 []] using l1 by blast
              then have 1: ?vec1$i = 0 using vec1-help by auto
              then show ?thesis
              using vec2-help l2 append-Cons-nth-middle[of i (map int (map (λ(a, b). p *
b) (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) 0 []] t
                by fastforce
            next
            case f:False
              then have ?vec1$i = (rat-of-nat p) * (rat-of-nat ( (ts-to-as (map (λ(a, b).
a) (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) ! i) )
                using vec1-help in-range l1 append-Cons-nth-left[of i map rat-of-nat (ts-to-as
(map (λ(a, b). a) (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) 0 []] by simp
                also have ... = (rat-of-nat p) * rat-of-nat ( (msb-p (α * (ts!i) mod p) ) )
                  using l f in-range ts-from-pairs unfolding ts-to-as-def ts-from-pairs-def
ts-Ots-def by simp
                also have ... = (rat-of-nat p) * rat-of-nat (O (ts!i)) unfolding O-def by
blast
                also have ... = rat-of-int (map int (map (λ(a, b). p * b) (map (λt. (t, O t))
ts))) ! i)
                  using l f in-range ts-from-pairs unfolding ts-to-as-def ts-from-pairs-def
ts-Ots-def by simp
                also have ... = rat-of-int (map int (map (λ(a, b). p * b) (map (λt. (t, O t))
ts) @ [0]) ! i)
                  using l2 in-range append-Cons-nth-left[of i (map int (map (λ(a, b). p * b)
(map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))) 0 []] f by simp
                  finally show ?thesis using vec2-help by linarith
                qed
              qed
            then have vec1-is-vec2: ?vec1 = ?vec2
              using dim-v1 dim-v2 l by auto
            then have u-vec-is-msbs ts-Ots
              unfolding u-vec-is-msbs-def

```

```

unfolding ts-Ots-def
unfolding scaled-uvec-from-pairs-def ts-from-pairs-def fst-def ts-to-u-def
by argo
then have *:  $\|\mathcal{A}\text{-vec} - \text{ts-to-u } ts\|^2 < (\text{rat-of-nat } p / 2^\wedge \mu)^2 \wedge \mathcal{A}\text{-vec} \in \text{vec-class-mod-}p \text{ ts} (\text{int } (\mathcal{A} \text{ ts-Ots}))$ 
unfolding close-vec-def
using ad-output-vec-class[of ts-Ots] ts-l ts-from-pairs
by blast
then have close: close-vec ts ?A-vec unfolding close-vec-def using uminus-sq-norm
by (metis (no-types, lifting) int-gen-lattice-carrier ts-to-u-carrier <ts-from-pairs
ts-Ots = ts <u-vec-is-msbs ts-Ots> ad-output-vec-close assms(2) basic-trans-rules(31)
carrier-dim-vec index-smult-vec(2) length-map map-carrier-vec ts-l)
obtain c where ?A-vec  $\in$  vec-class ts c
using * unfolding vec-class-mod-p-def by fast
then have gl: ?A-vec  $\in$  gen-lattice ts
using vec-class-union[of ts] assms(1) by blast
then have dim: dim-vec ( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ ) = d + 1 using gen-lattice-carrier[of ts]
assms(1) l by fastforce
have good-vec ?A-vec using assms(3) close gl unfolding good-lattice-def by
blast
then obtain  $\beta$  where b: [int  $\alpha = \beta$ ] (mod int p)  $\wedge$  real-of-rat (?A-vec $ d) =
real-of-int  $\beta$  / real p unfolding good-vec-def by blast
then have p * real-of-rat (?A-vec $ d) =  $\beta$  using p by simp
then have real p * real-of-rat ((1/(rat-of-nat p)) * ((map-vec rat-of-int (( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ ) $ d))) =  $\beta$ 
using index-smult-vec(1)[of d ( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ )] dim by force
then have real p * real-of-rat (1/(rat-of-nat p)) * (rat-of-int (( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ ) $ d)) =  $\beta$ 
using dim index-map-vec(1)[of d ( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ ) rat-of-int] by simp
then have real p * real-of-rat (1/(rat-of-nat p)) * real-of-rat (rat-of-int (( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ ) $ d)) =  $\beta$ 
by (metis more-arith-simps(11) of-rat-mult)
moreover have real p * real-of-rat (1/(rat-of-nat p)) = 1
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-div Ring-Hom.of-rat-hom.hom-one
divide-cancel-right nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left not-prime-0 of-nat-eq-0-iff of-rat-of-nat-eq
p)
ultimately have ( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$ ) $ d =  $\beta$ 
by fastforce
then have [int ( $\mathcal{A} \text{ ts-Ots}$ ) =  $\beta$ ] (mod int p) using A.simps[of ts-Ots] int-to-nat-residue.simps[of
( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$  $ d) p]
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Cong.unique-euclidean-semiring-class.cong-def int-mod-eq
int-nat-eq local.A.elims local.int-to-nat-residue.simps m2pths(1) of-nat-0-less-iff p
pos-mod-bound prime-gt-0-nat)
then have [ $(\mathcal{A} \text{ ts-Ots}) = \alpha$ ] (mod p) using b
by (meson cong-int-iff cong-sym cong-trans)
moreover have  $\mathcal{A} \text{ ts-Ots} \in \{0..<p\}$ 
using A.simps[of ts-Ots] int-to-nat-residue.simps[of ( $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$  $ d) p]
by (metis Cong.unique-euclidean-semiring-class.cong-def  $\alpha$  < $\mathcal{A}\text{-vec ts-Ots}$  $ d

```

```

= β` atLeastLessThan-iff b int-ops(9) le0 local.int-to-nat-residue.simps mod-less
nat-int)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis α atLeastLessThan-iff cong-less-modulus-unique-nat)
qed

```

#### 4.3.3 Main theorem statement

The cryptographic game which the adversary should win with high probability.

```

definition game :: adversary ⇒ bool pmf where
game A' = do {
  ts ← replicate-pmf d (pmf-of-set {1.. $p$ });
  return-pmf (α = A' (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))
}

```

The adversary finds the hidden number with probability at least 1/2.

```

theorem hnp-adversary-exists: pmf (game A) True ≥ 1 / 2
proof –

```

```

define game' where game' ≡
do {
  ts ← replicate-pmf d (pmf-of-set {1.. $p$ });
  return-pmf (good-lattice ts)
}

```

```

have 1: ∀ ts ∈ set-pmf ts-pmf. good-lattice ts → (α = A (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts))

```

```

using hnp-adversary-exists-helper by simp

```

```

have 1 / 2 ≤ pmf game' True
using sampled-lattice-likely-good
unfolding sampled-lattice-good-def game'-def ts-pmf-def .
also have ... ≤ pmf (game A) True
unfolding game'-def game-def
using pmf-subset[OF 1, unfolded ts-pmf-def]
by presburger
finally show ?thesis .

```

```

qed

```

Alternative definition of *game*, written as a *map-pmf*

```

definition game' :: adversary ⇒ bool pmf where
game' A' = map-pmf ((λts. (α = A' (map (λt. (t, O t)) ts)))) (replicate-pmf d
(pmf-of-set {1.. $p$ }))

```

```

lemma game' = game
unfolding game'-def game-def map-pmf-def by argo

```

```

end

```

## 5 Some MSB instantiations and lemmas

### 5.1 Bit-shift MSB

```

definition msb :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat where
  msb k n x  $\equiv$  (x div  $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$ ) *  $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$ 

lemma msb-dist:
  fixes k n :: nat
  assumes k < n
  assumes 1  $\leq$  k
  shows |int(x) - int(msb k n x)|  $<$   $2^n / (2^k)$ 
proof-
  define z where z = msb k n x
  have k-small: k  $\in$  {1.. $n$ }
    by (meson assms atLeastLessThan-iff nat-ceiling-le-eq not-le)
  have abs(int(x) - int(z))  $<$  real( $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$ )
  proof-
    have z = int x div  $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$  *  $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$ 
    unfolding z-def msb-def
    by (metis int-ops(7) int-ops(8) numeral-power-eq-of-nat-cancel-iff)
    then have int(x) - int(z) = int(x) mod  $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$ 
      using minus-div-mult-eq-mod[of int(x)  $2^{\lceil(n - k)}$ ] by presburger
    then show ?thesis by fastforce
  qed
  also have ... =  $2^n / 2^k$ 
    by (metis Suc-leI assms(1) ge-trans le-add2 numeral-power-eq-of-nat-cancel-iff
plus-1-eq-Suc power-diff semiring-norm(142))
  finally show ?thesis unfolding z-def .
qed

lemma (in hnp-arith) msb-kp1-dist-hnp:
  defines msb-k  $\equiv$  msb (k + 1) n
  shows |int(x) - int(msb-k x)|  $<$  p / ( $2^k$ )
proof-
  have 1: k + 1 < n using k-plus-1-lt n unfolding hnp-def by linarith
  have 2: 1  $\leq$  k + 1 using mu-le-k by linarith

  have |int(x) - int(msb-k x)|  $<$   $2^n / 2^{\lceil(k + 1)}$ 
    using msb-dist[OF 1 2, of x, folded msb-k-def] .
  also have ...  $<$  p / ( $2^k$ )
  proof-
    have  $2^{\lceil(n - 1)} < p$ 
    proof-
      have  $2^{\lceil(n - 1)} \leq p$  by simp
      moreover have n - 1  $\leq$  floor(log 2 p) using n n-geq-1 by linarith
      ultimately have  $2^{\lceil(n - 1)} \leq 2^{\lceil(\log 2 p)}$  by (simp add: le-floor-iff)
      hence  $2^{\lceil(n - 1)} \leq 2^{\lceil(\log 2 p)}$  by (simp add: powr-realpow)
      thus ?thesis using p-geq-2 less-le-trans n n-geq-1 by fastforce
    qed

```

```

hence  $2^{(n-1)} / 2^k < p / 2^k$  by (simp add: divide-strict-right-mono)
thus ?thesis using le-imp-diff-is-add n-geq-1 by fastforce
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma msb-kp1-valid-hnp:
assumes hnp-arith n α d p k
shows hnp n α d p k (msb (k + 1) n)
using hnp-arith.msb-kp1-dist-hnp[OF assms(1)] assms(1)
unfolding hnp-def hnp-arith-def hnp-axioms-def
by presburger

```

## 5.2 MSB-p

```

definition msb-p :: nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat where
msb-p p k x =
(let t = (THE t. (t - 1) * (p / 2^k) ≤ x ∧ x < t * p / 2^k)
in nat (floor (t * p / 2^k)) - 1)

lemma msb-p-defined:
fixes p k :: nat
fixes x :: nat
assumes p > 0
assumes k > 0
shows (∃!t. (t - 1) * (p / 2^k) ≤ x ∧ x < t * p / 2^k)
proof safe
show ∃t. (real t - 1) * (real p / 2 ^ k) ≤ real x ∧ real x < real (t * p) / 2 ^ k
proof
let ?S = {t. (real t - 1) * (real p / 2 ^ k) ≤ real x}
define t where t = Max ?S
have ?S ≠ {}
by (metis Multiseries-Expansion.intyness-1 arith-simps(62) empty-if mem-Collect-eq
of-nat-0-le-iff verit-minus-simplify(1))
moreover have finite ?S
proof –
obtain M where ?S ⊆ {0..

```

```

qed
thus ?thesis using that[of ?M] by blast
qed
moreover have finite {0.. $M$ } by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using rev-finite-subset[of {0.. $M$ } ?S] by fast
qed
ultimately have  $t \in ?S \wedge (\forall t' \in ?S. t' \leq t)$  using Max-eq-iff t-def by blast
moreover then have  $t + 1 \notin ?S$  by (metis Suc-eq-plus1 not-less-eq-eq)
ultimately show (real  $t - 1$ ) * (real  $p / 2^k$ )  $\leq$  real  $x \wedge$  real  $x <$  real  $(t * p) / 2^k$ 
by force
qed
next
fix  $x t t'$ 
assume  $t: (real t - 1) * (real p / 2^k) \leq real x \wedge real x < real (t * p) / 2^k$ 
assume  $t': (real t' - 1) * (real p / 2^k) \leq real x \wedge real x < real (t' * p) / 2^k$ 

have *:  $p / 2^k > 0$  by (simp add: assms(1))

have (real  $t' - 1$ ) * (real  $p / 2^k) < real (t * p) / 2^k$ 
using t(2) t'(1) by linarith
also have ... =  $t * (p / 2^k)$  by fastforce
finally have (real  $t' - 1) < t$ 
by (meson * le-less-trans less-eq-real-def mult-imp-le-div-pos pos-divide-less-eq)
hence 1:  $t' - 1 < t$  using le-less t(2) by fastforce

have (real  $t - 1$ ) * (real  $p / 2^k) < real (t' * p) / 2^k$ 
using t(1) t'(2) by linarith
also have ... =  $t' * (p / 2^k)$  by fastforce
finally have (real  $t - 1) < t'$ 
by (meson * le-less-trans less-eq-real-def mult-imp-le-div-pos pos-divide-less-eq)
hence 2:  $t - 1 < t'$  using 1 by linarith

show  $t = t'$  using 1 2 by linarith
qed

lemma (in hnp-arith) msb-p-dist-hnp:
defines msb-k  $\equiv$  msb-p p k
shows |int x - int (msb-k x)|  $< p / 2^k$ 
proof-
let ?P =  $\lambda t. (t - 1) * (p / 2^k) \leq x \wedge x < t * p / 2^k$ 
define t where t = (THE t. ?P t)
have 1:  $p > 0$  using p-geq-2 by simp
have 2:  $k > 0$  using mu-le-k by linarith
have *:  $(t - 1) * (p / 2^k) \leq x \wedge x < t * p / 2^k$ 
using theI-unique[OF msb-p-defined[OF 1 2, of x], of t, folded t-def] of-nat-diff-real
by fastforce
moreover have  $(t - 1) * (p / 2^k) = (t * (p / 2^k)) - (p / 2^k)$ 
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) Nat.bot-nat-0.not-eq-extremum One-nat-def)

```

```

Suc-pred * diff-is-0-eq' divide-eq-0-iff left-diff-distrib more-arith-simps(5) mult-eq-0-iff
nat-le-linear not-le numeral-One of-nat-diff of-nat-eq-0-iff of-nat-numeral)
moreover have t * p / 2^k - ((t * (p / 2^k)) - p / 2^k) = p / 2^k by simp
ultimately have nat (floor (t * p / 2^k) - 1) - x < p / 2^k by linarith
moreover have p / 2^k > 1
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-threshold) 1 k-plus-1-lt less-divide-eq-1-pos log-of-power-le
of-nat-0-le-iff of-nat-add zero-less-power)
ultimately show ?thesis
  unfolding msb-k-def msb-p-def t-def
  by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) * int-ops(6) le-nat-floor le-nat-iff nat-diff-distrib'
nat-less-as-int nat-one-as-int of-int-1-less-iff of-nat-0-le-iff of-nat-less-of-int-iff t-def
zero-le-floor zless-nat-eq-int-zless)
qed

lemma msb-p-valid-hnp:
assumes hnp-arith n α d p k
defines msb-k ≡ msb-p p k
shows hnp n α d p k msb-k
using hnp-arith.msb-p-dist-hnp[OF assms(1)] assms(1)
unfolding hnp-def msb-k-def hnp-arith-def hnp-axioms-def
by presburger

end
theory Ad-Codegen-Example
imports Hidden-Number-Problem

```

begin

## 6 This theory demonstrates an example of the executable adversary.

*full-babai* does not need a global interpretation to be executed.

**value** *full-babai* [*vec-of-list* [0, 1], *vec-of-list* [2, 3]] (*vec-of-list* [2.3, 6.4]) (4/3)

Let's define our *d*, *n*, *p*,  $\alpha$ , and *k*.

```

abbreviation d ≡ 72
abbreviation n ≡ 1279
abbreviation p ≡ (2::nat)^1279 - 1
abbreviation α ≡ p div 3
abbreviation k ≡ 47

```

**value** *p*

**value**  $\alpha$

Since our adversary definition is inside a locale, we need a global interpretation.

**global-interpretation** *ad-interp*: *hnp-adversary* *d p*

```

defines  $\mathcal{A}$  = ad-interp. $\mathcal{A}$ 
and int-gen-basis = ad-interp.int-gen-basis
and int-to-nat-residue = ad-interp.int-to-nat-residue
and ts-from-pairs = ad-interp.ts-from-pairs
and scaled-uvec-from-pairs = ad-interp.scaled-uvec-from-pairs
and  $\mathcal{A}$ -vec = ad-interp. $\mathcal{A}$ -vec
by unfold-locales

```

For this example, we use our executable *msb* function.

```
abbreviation  $\mathcal{O} \equiv \lambda t. \text{msb } k n ((\alpha * t) \bmod p)$ 
```

```
definition inc-amt :: nat where inc-amt =  $p \bmod 5$ 
```

```
fun gen-ts :: nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat list where
  gen-ts 0 t = []
  | gen-ts (Suc i) t = t # (gen-ts i ((t + inc-amt)  $\bmod p$ ))
```

```
definition gen-pairs ::  $(\text{nat} \times \text{nat}) \text{ list}$  where
  gen-pairs = map ( $\lambda t. (t, \mathcal{O} t)$ ) (gen-ts d 1)
```

The *gen-pairs* function generates the data that the adversary receives. We prove that the adversary is likely to be successful when the *ts* which define this data are uniformly distributed. Here, we use the *gen-ts* function to generate an explicit list of *ts*.

```
value length gen-pairs
```

```
value gen-pairs
```

```
value ad-interp. $\mathcal{A}$  gen-pairs
```

```
value  $\alpha$ 
```

```
end
```

## References

- [1] L. Babai. On Lovász' lattice reduction and the nearest lattice point problem. *Comb.*, 6(1):1–13, 1986.
- [2] D. Boneh and R. Venkatesan. Hardness of computing the most significant bits of secret keys in Diffie-Hellman and related schemes. In N. Koblitz, editor, *CRYPTO*, volume 1109 of *LNCS*, pages 129–142. Springer, 1996.
- [3] R. Bottesch, M. W. Haslbeck, and R. Thiemann. A verified efficient implementation of the LLL basis reduction algorithm. In G. Barthe, G. Sutcliffe, and M. Veanaes, editors, *LPAR*, volume 57 of *EPiC Series in Computing*, pages 164–180. EasyChair, 2018.

- [4] J. Divasón, S. J. C. Joosten, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. A Verified Factorization Algorithm for Integer Polynomials with Polynomial Complexity. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, February 2018. [https://isa-afp.org/entries/LLL\\_Factorization.html](https://isa-afp.org/entries/LLL_Factorization.html), Formal proof development.
- [5] A. Lenstra, H. Lenstra, and L. László. Factoring polynomials with rational coefficients. *Mathematische Annalen*, 261, 12 1982.
- [6] R. Thiemann, R. Bottesch, J. Divasón, M. W. Haslbeck, S. J. C. Joosten, and A. Yamada. Formalizing the LLL basis reduction algorithm and the LLL factorization algorithm in Isabelle/HOL. *J. Autom. Reason.*, 64(5):827–856, 2020.