

Formalization of Gyrovector Spaces as Models of Hyperbolic Geometry and Special Relativity

Jelena Marković and Filip Marić

October 13, 2025

Abstract

In this paper, we present an Isabelle/HOL formalization of noncommutative and nonassociative algebraic structures known as *gyrogroups* and *gyrovector spaces*. These concepts were introduced by Abraham A. Ungar [1] and have deep connections to hyperbolic geometry and special relativity. Gyrovector spaces can be used to define models of hyperbolic geometry. Unlike other models, gyrovector spaces offer the advantage that all definitions exhibit remarkable syntactical similarities to standard Euclidean and Cartesian geometry (e.g., points on the line between a and b satisfy the parametric equation $a \oplus t \otimes (\ominus a \oplus b)$, for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, while the hyperbolic Pythagorean theorem is expressed as $a^2 \oplus b^2 = c^2$, where $\otimes$, $\oplus$, and $\ominus$ represent gyro operations).

We begin by formally defining gyrogroups and gyrovector spaces and proving their numerous properties. Next, we formalize Möbius and Einstein models of these abstract structures (formulated in the two-dimensional, complex plane), and then demonstrate that these are equivalent to the Poincaré and Klein-Beltrami models, satisfying Tarski's geometry axioms for hyperbolic geometry.

Contents

```
theory GyroGroup
  imports Main
begin

class gyrogroupoid =
  fixes gyrozero :: 'a (0g)
  fixes gyroplus :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl ⊕ 100)
begin
definition gyroaut :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool where
  gyroaut f ⟷
    (∀ a b. f (a ⊕ b) = f a ⊕ f b) ∧
    bij f
end
```

```

class gyrogroup = gyrogroupoid +
  fixes gyroinv :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a ( $\ominus$ )
  fixes gyr :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes gyro-left-id [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. 0_g \oplus a = a$ 
  assumes gyro-left-inv [simp]:  $\bigwedge a. \ominus a \oplus a = 0_g$ 
  assumes gyro-left-assoc:  $\bigwedge a\ b\ z. a \oplus (b \oplus z) = (a \oplus b) \oplus (gyr\ a\ b\ z)$ 
  assumes gyro-left-loop:  $\bigwedge a\ b. gyr\ a\ b = gyr\ (a \oplus b)\ b$ 
  assumes gyro-gyroaut:  $\bigwedge a\ b. gyroaut\ (gyr\ a\ b)$ 
begin

```

```

definition gyrominus :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixl  $\ominus_b$  100) where
  a  $\ominus_b$  b = a  $\oplus$  ( $\ominus$  b)

```

```

end

```

```

context gyrogroup
begin

```

```

lemma gyr-distrib [simp]:
  gyr a b (x  $\oplus$  y) = gyr a b x  $\oplus$  gyr a b y
  <proof>

```

```

lemma gyr-inj:
  assumes gyr a b x = gyr a b y
  shows x = y
  <proof>

```

Def 2.7, (2.2)

```

definition cogyroplus (infixr  $\oplus_c$  100) where
  a  $\oplus_c$  b = a  $\oplus$  (gyr a ( $\ominus$ b) b)

```

```

definition cogyrominus :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixl  $\ominus_{cb}$  100) where
  a  $\ominus_{cb}$  b = a  $\oplus_c$  ( $\ominus$  b)

```

```

definition cogyroinv ( $\ominus_c$ ) where
   $\ominus_c a = 0_g \ominus_{cb} a$ 

```

Thm 2.8, (1)

```

lemma gyro-left-cancel:
  assumes a  $\oplus$  b = a  $\oplus$  c
  shows b = c
  <proof>

```

Thm 2.8, (2)

```

definition gyro-is-left-id where
  gyro-is-left-id z  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall x. z \oplus x = x$ )

```

```

lemma gyro-is-left-id-0 [simp]:

```

shows *gyro-is-left-id* 0_g
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-id-1'*:
assumes *gyro-is-left-id* z
shows *gyr* z $a = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-id-1* [*simp*]:
shows *gyr* 0_g $a = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (3)

definition *gyro-is-left-inv* **where**
gyro-is-left-inv x $a \longleftrightarrow x \oplus a = 0_g$

definition *gyro-is-right-inv* **where**
gyro-is-right-inv x $a \longleftrightarrow a \oplus x = 0_g$

lemma *gyro-is-left-inv* [*simp*]:
shows *gyro-is-left-inv* $(\ominus a)$ a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-inv-1'*:
assumes *gyro-is-left-inv* x a
shows *gyr* x $a = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-inv-1* [*simp*]:
shows *gyr* $(\ominus a)$ $a = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (4)

lemma *gyr-id* [*simp*]:
shows *gyr* a $a = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (5)

lemma *gyro-right-id* [*simp*]:
shows $a \oplus 0_g = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyro-inv-id* [*simp*]: $\ominus 0_g = 0_g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (6)

lemma *gyro-left-id-unique*:
assumes *gyro-is-left-id* z
shows $z = 0_g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (7)

lemma *gyro-left-inv-right-inv*:
 assumes *gyro-is-left-inv* x a
 shows *gyro-is-right-inv* x a
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyro-righth-inv [simp]*:
 shows $a \oplus (\ominus a) = 0_g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (8)

lemma
 assumes *gyro-is-left-inv* x a
 shows $x = \ominus a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (9)

lemma *gyro-left-cancel'*:
 shows $\ominus a \oplus (a \oplus b) = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (10)

lemma *gyr-def*:
 shows $gyr\ a\ b\ x = \ominus (a \oplus b) \oplus (a \oplus (b \oplus x))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (11)

lemma *gyr-id-3*:
 shows $gyr\ a\ b\ 0_g = 0_g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (12)

lemma *gyr-inv-3*:
 shows $gyr\ a\ b\ (\ominus x) = \ominus (gyr\ a\ b\ x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.8, (13)

lemma *gyr-id-2 [simp]*:
 shows $gyr\ a\ 0_g = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-distrib-gyrominus*:
 shows $gyr\ a\ b\ (c \ominus_b d) = gyr\ a\ b\ c \ominus_b gyr\ a\ b\ d$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyro-inv-idem [simp]*:
 shows $\ominus (\ominus a) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-inv-2* [*simp*]:
shows $gyr\ a\ (\ominus\ a) = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.3.a)

lemma *cogyro-left-id*:
shows $0_g \oplus_c a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.3.b)

lemma *cogyro-rigth-id*:
shows $a \oplus_c 0_g = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.4)

lemma *cogyrominus*:
shows $a \ominus_{cb} b = a \ominus_b gyr\ a\ b\ b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.7)

lemma *cogyro-right-inv*:
shows $a \oplus_c (\ominus_c a) = 0_g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.6)

lemma *cogyro-left-inv*:
shows $(\ominus_c a) \oplus_c a = 0_g$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(2.8)

lemma *cogyro-gyro-inv*:
shows $\ominus_c a = \ominus a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.9)

lemma *gyr-nested-1*:
shows $gyr\ a\ (b \oplus c) \circ gyr\ b\ c = gyr\ (a \oplus b)\ (gyr\ a\ b\ c) \circ gyr\ a\ b$ (is ?lhs =
?rhs)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.15)

lemma *gyr-nested-1'*:
shows $gyr\ (a \oplus b)\ (\ominus\ (gyr\ a\ b\ b)) \circ gyr\ a\ b = id$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.10)

lemma *gyr-nested-2*:

shows $\text{gyr } a (\ominus (\text{gyr } a b b)) \circ \text{gyr } a b = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.11)

lemma *gyr-auto-id1*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus a) (a \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } a b = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.9, (2.12)

lemma *gyr-auto-id2*:

shows $\text{gyr } b (a \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } a b = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.10, (2.18)

lemma *gyro-plus-def-co*:

shows $a \oplus b = a \oplus_c \text{gyr } a b b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.11, (2.21)

lemma *gyro-polygonal-addition-lemma*:

shows $(\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus \text{gyr } (\ominus a) b (\ominus b \oplus c) = \ominus a \oplus c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.12, (2.23)

lemma *gyro-translation-1*:

shows $\ominus (\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus (\ominus a \oplus c) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) b (\ominus b \oplus c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.13, (3.33a)

lemma *gyro-translation-2a*:

shows $\ominus (a \oplus b) \oplus (a \oplus c) = \text{gyr } a b (\ominus b \oplus c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *gyro-polygonal-add* $(\oplus_p)$ **where**

$\oplus_p a b c = (\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus \text{gyr } (\ominus a) b (\ominus b \oplus c)$

Thm 2.15, (2.34, 2.35)

lemma *gyro-equation-right*:

shows $a \oplus x = b \longleftrightarrow x = \ominus a \oplus b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.15, (2.36, 2.37)

lemma *gyro-equation-left*:

shows $x \oplus a = b \longleftrightarrow x = b \ominus_{cb} a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *oplus-ominus-cancel* [*simp*]:

shows $y = x \oplus (\ominus x \oplus y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(2.39)

lemma *cogyro-right-cancel'*:

shows $(b \ominus_{cb} a) \oplus a = b$

<proof>

(2.40)

lemma *gyro-right-cancel'-dual*:

shows $(b \ominus_b a) \oplus_c a = b$

<proof>

Thm 2.19 (2.48)

lemma *gyroaut-gyr-commute-lemma*:

assumes *gyroaut* A

shows $A \circ \text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } (A \ a) (A \ b) \circ A$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

<proof>

Thm 2.20

lemma *gyroaut-gyr-commute*:

assumes *gyroaut* A

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } (A \ a) (A \ b) \longleftrightarrow A \circ \text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } a \ b \circ A$

<proof>

2.50

lemma *gyr-commute-misc-1*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\text{gyr } a \ b \ a) (\text{gyr } a \ b \ b) = \text{gyr } a \ b$

<proof>

Thm 2.21 (2.52)

definition

cogyroaut $f \longleftrightarrow ((\forall a \ b. f (a \oplus_c b) = f a \oplus_c f b) \wedge \text{bij } f)$

lemma *gyro-coaut-iff-gyro-aut*:

shows *gyroaut* $f \longleftrightarrow \text{cogyroaut } f$

<proof>

Thm 2.25, (2.76)

lemma *gyroplus-inv*:

shows $\ominus (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } a \ b (\ominus b \ominus_b a)$

<proof>

Thm 2.25, (2.77)

lemma *inv-gyr*:

shows *inv* $(\text{gyr } a \ b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus b) (\ominus a)$

<proof>

Thm 2.26, (2.86)

lemma *gyr-aut-inv-1*:

shows *inv* $(\text{gyr } a \ b) = \text{gyr } a (\ominus (\text{gyr } a \ b \ b))$

<proof>

Thm 2.26, (2.87)

lemma *gyr-aut-inv-2*:

shows $\text{inv } (\text{gyr } a \ b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) (a \oplus b)$

<proof>

Thm 2.26, (2.88)

lemma *gyr-aut-inv-3*:

shows $\text{inv } (\text{gyr } a \ b) = \text{gyr } b (a \oplus b)$

<proof>

Thm 2.26, (2.89)

lemma *gyr-1*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } b (\ominus b \ominus_b a)$

<proof>

Thm 2.26, (2.90)

lemma *gyr-2*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) (\ominus b \ominus_b a)$

<proof>

Thm 2.26, (2.91)

lemma *gyr-3*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus (a \oplus b)) \ a$

<proof>

Thm 2.27, (2.92)

lemma *gyr-even*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus a) (\ominus b) = \text{gyr } a \ b$

<proof>

Thm 2.27, (2.93)

lemma *inv-gyr-sym*:

shows $\text{inv } (\text{gyr } a \ b) = \text{gyr } b \ a$

<proof>

Thm 2.27, (2.94a)

lemma *gyr-nested-3*:

shows $\text{gyr } b (\ominus (\text{gyr } b \ a \ a)) = \text{gyr } a \ b$

<proof>

Thm 2.27, (2.94b)

lemma *gyr-nested-4*:

shows $\text{gyr } b (\text{gyr } b (\ominus a) \ a) = \text{gyr } a (\ominus b)$

<proof>

Thm 2.27, (2.94c)

lemma *gyr-nested-5*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus (\text{gyr } a \ b \ b)) \ a = \text{gyr } a \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.27, (2.94d)

lemma *gyr-nested-6*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\text{gyr } a \ (\ominus \ b) \ b) \ a = \text{gyr } a \ (\ominus \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.28, (i)

lemma *gyro-right-assoc*:

shows $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus \text{gyr } b \ a \ c)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.28, (ii)

lemma *gyr-right-loop*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } a \ (b \oplus a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.29, (a)

lemma *gyr-left-coloop*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } (a \ominus_{cb} \ b) \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.29, (b)

lemma *gyr-rigth-coloop*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b = \text{gyr } a \ (b \ominus_{cb} \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.30, (2.101a)

lemma *gyr-misc-1*:

shows $\text{gyr } (a \oplus b) \ (\ominus \ a) = \text{gyr } a \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.30, (2.101b)

lemma *gyr-misc-2*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus \ a) \ (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } b \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.31, (2.103)

lemma *coautomorphic-inverse*:

shows $\ominus (a \oplus_c \ b) = (\ominus \ b) \oplus_c (\ominus \ a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.32, (2.105a)

lemma *gyr-misc-3*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ b \ b = \ominus (\ominus (a \oplus b) \oplus a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.32, (2.105b)

lemma *gyr-misc-4*:

shows $\text{gyr } a (\ominus b) b = \ominus (a \ominus_b b) \oplus a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 2.35, (2.124)

lemma *mixed-gyroassoc-law*: $(a \oplus_c b) \oplus c = a \oplus \text{gyr } a (\ominus b) (b \oplus c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.2

lemma *gyrocommute-iff-gyroautomorphic-inverse*:

shows $(\forall a b. \ominus (a \oplus b) = \ominus a \ominus_b b) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. a \oplus b = \text{gyr } a b (b \oplus a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.4

lemma *cogyro-commute-iff-gyrocommute*:

$(\forall a b. a \oplus_c b = b \oplus_c a) \longleftrightarrow (\forall a b. a \oplus b = \text{gyr } a b (b \oplus a))$ (**is** ?lhs $\longleftrightarrow$?rhs)

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

class *gyrocommutative-gyrogroup* = *gyrogroup* +

assumes *gyro-commute*: $a \oplus b = \text{gyr } a b (b \oplus a)$

begin

lemma *gyroautomorphic-inverse*:

shows $\ominus (a \oplus b) = \ominus a \ominus_b b$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cogyro-commute*:

shows $a \oplus_c b = b \oplus_c a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.5 (3.15)

lemma *gyr-commute-misc-2*:

shows $\text{gyr } a b \circ \text{gyr } (b \oplus a) c = \text{gyr } a (b \oplus c) \circ \text{gyr } b c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.6 (3.17, 3.18)

lemma *gyr-parallelogram*:

assumes $d = (b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a$

shows $\text{gyr } a (\ominus b) \circ \text{gyr } b (\ominus c) \circ \text{gyr } c (\ominus d) = \text{gyr } a (\ominus d)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.8 (3.23, 3.24)

lemma *gyr-parallelogram-iff*:

$d = (b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a \longleftrightarrow \ominus c \oplus d = \text{gyr } c (\ominus b) (b \ominus_b a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.9 (3.26)

lemma *gyr-commute-misc-3*:

$$\text{gyr } a \ b \ (b \oplus (a \oplus c)) = (a \oplus b) \oplus c$$

<proof>

Thm 3.10 (3.28)

lemma *gyro-left-right-cancel*:

$$\text{shows } (a \oplus b) \ominus_b a = \text{gyr } a \ b \ b$$

<proof>

Thm 3.11 (3.29)

lemma *cogyro-plus-def*:

$$\text{shows } a \oplus_c b = a \oplus ((\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus a)$$

<proof>

Thm 3.12 (3.31)

lemma *cogyro-commute-misc1*:

$$\text{shows } a \oplus_c (a \oplus b) = a \oplus (b \oplus a)$$

<proof>

Thm 3.13 (3.33b)

lemma *gyro-translation-2b*:

$$\text{shows } (a \oplus b) \ominus_b (a \oplus c) = \text{gyr } a \ b \ (b \ominus_b c)$$

<proof>

Thm 3.14 (3.34)

(3.37)

lemma *gyr-commute-misc-4'*:

$$\text{shows } \text{gyr } a \ (b \oplus c) = \text{gyr } a \ b \circ \text{gyr } (b \oplus a) \ c \circ \text{gyr } c \ b$$

<proof>

(3.38)

lemma *gyr-commute-misc-4''*:

$$\text{shows } \text{gyr } (\ominus b \oplus d) \ (b \oplus c) = \text{gyr } (\ominus b) \ d \circ \text{gyr } d \ c \circ \text{gyr } c \ b$$

<proof>

Thm 3.14 (3.34)

lemma *gyro-commute-misc-4*:

$$\text{shows } \text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ (a \ominus_b c) = \text{gyr } a \ (\ominus b) \circ \text{gyr } b \ (\ominus c) \circ \text{gyr } c \ (\ominus a)$$

<proof>

Thm 3.15 (3.40)

lemma *gyr-inv-2'*:

$$\text{shows } \text{gyr } a \ (\ominus b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ (a \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } a \ b$$

<proof>

Thm 3.17 (3.48)

lemma *gyr-master'*:

$$\text{shows } \text{gyr } a \ x \circ \text{gyr } (\ominus (x \oplus a)) \ (x \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } x \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b$$

<proof>

(3.51)

lemma *gyr-master*:

shows $\text{gyr } a \ x \circ \text{gyr } (x \oplus a) (\ominus (x \oplus b)) \circ \text{gyr } x \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.52a)

lemma *gyr-master-misc1'*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b = \text{gyr } (\ominus (a \oplus a)) (a \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } a \ b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.52b)

lemma *gyr-master-misc1''*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b = \text{gyr } a \ b \circ \text{gyr } (b \oplus a) (\ominus (b \oplus b))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.53a)

lemma *gyr-master-misc2'*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a) \ b \circ \text{gyr } b \ a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(3.53b)

lemma *gyr-master-misc2''*:

shows $\text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) (a \oplus b) = \text{gyr } (\ominus a \oplus b) \ b \circ \text{gyr } b (a \oplus b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.18 (3.60)

lemma $\text{gyr } a \ x \circ \text{gyr } (\ominus (\text{gyr } x \ a \ (a \ominus_b b))) (x \oplus b) \circ \text{gyr } x \ b = \text{gyr } a \ (\ominus b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *gyro-covariant* :: $\text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{gyro-covariant } n \ T \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ \tau \ xs. \text{length } xs = n \wedge \text{gyroaut } \tau \longrightarrow (\tau (T \ xs)) = T$
 $(\text{map } \tau \ xs)) \wedge$
 $(\forall \ x \ xs. \text{length } xs = n \longrightarrow x \oplus T \ xs = T (\text{map } (\lambda a. x \oplus a) \ xs))$

definition *gyro-covariant-3* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$\text{gyro-covariant-3 } T \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ \tau \ a \ b \ c. \text{gyroaut } \tau \longrightarrow (\tau (T \ a \ b \ c)) = T (\tau \ a) (\tau \ b)$
 $(\tau \ c)) \wedge$
 $(\forall \ x \ a \ b \ c. x \oplus T \ a \ b \ c = T (x \oplus a) (x \oplus b) (x \oplus c))$

lemma *gyro-covariant-3*:

shows $\text{gyro-covariant-3 } T \longleftrightarrow \text{gyro-covariant } 3 \ (\lambda \ xs. T \ (xs \ ! \ 0) \ (xs \ ! \ 1) \ (xs \ ! \ 2))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 3.19 (3.62)

lemma *gyro-covariant-3-parallelogram*:

shows $\text{gyro-covariant-3 } (\lambda \ a \ b \ c. (b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyro-commute-misc6'*:
shows $x \oplus ((b \oplus_c c) \ominus_b a) = ((x \oplus b) \oplus_c (x \oplus c)) \ominus_b (x \oplus a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
(3.66)

lemma *gyro-commute-misc6*:
shows $(x \oplus b) \oplus_c (x \oplus c) = x \oplus ((b \oplus_c c) \oplus x)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$
(3.67)

lemma *gyro-commute-misc6''*:
shows $(x \oplus b) \oplus_c (x \ominus_b b) = x \oplus x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

type-synonym *'a rooted-gyrovec* = *'a* $\times$ *'a*

context *gyrogroup*
begin

Def 5.2.

fun *head* :: *'a rooted-gyrovec* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where**
head (*p*, *q*) = *q*
fun *tail* :: *'a rooted-gyrovec* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where**
tail (*p*, *q*) = *p*
fun *val* :: *'a rooted-gyrovec* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* **where**
val (*p*, *q*) = $\ominus p \oplus q$
definition *ort* :: *'a* $\Rightarrow$ *'a rooted-gyrovec* **where**
ort *p* = (θ_g , *p*)

fun *equiv-rooted-gyro-vec* (**infixl** ~ 100) **where**
(*p*, *q*) $\sim$ (*p'*, *q'*) $\longleftrightarrow \ominus p \oplus q = \ominus p' \oplus q'$

lemma *equivp-equiv-rooted-gyro-vec* [*simp*]:
shows *equivp* ($\sim$)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

Def 5.4.

quotient-type (**overloaded**) *'a gyrovec* = *'a* :: *gyrogroup* $\times$ *'a* / *equiv-rooted-gyro-vec*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition *vec* :: *'a::gyrogroup* $\Rightarrow$ *'a* $\Rightarrow$ *'a gyrovec* **is** $\lambda p q. (p, q)$ $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *ort* :: *'a::gyrogroup* $\Rightarrow$ *'a gyrovec* **where**
ort *A* = *vec* θ_g *A*

context gyrocommutative-gyrogroup

begin

Thm 5.5. (5.4)

lemma equiv-rooted-gyro-vec-ex-t:

shows $(p, q) \sim (p', q') \longleftrightarrow (\exists t. p' = \text{gyr } p \ t (t \oplus p) \wedge q' = \text{gyr } p \ t (t \oplus q))$
(is ?lhs $\longleftrightarrow$?rhs)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 5.5. (5.5)

lemma gyro-translate-commute:

assumes $p' = \text{gyr } p \ t (t \oplus p) \wedge q' = \text{gyr } p \ t (t \oplus q)$
shows $t = \ominus p \oplus p'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Def 5.6.

fun gyrovec-translation :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a rooted-gyrovec $\Rightarrow$ 'a rooted-gyrovec **where**
gyrovec-translation t (p, q) = (gyr p t (t $\oplus$ p), gyr p t (t $\oplus$ q))
end

lift-definition gyrovec-translation' :: ('a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup) gyrovec $\Rightarrow$
'a rooted-gyrovec $\Rightarrow$ 'a rooted-gyrovec **is**
 $\lambda (tp, tq) (p, q). \text{gyrovec-translation } (\ominus tp \oplus tq) (p, q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(5.14)

lemma

shows tail (gyrovec-translation t (p, q)) = p $\oplus$ t
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(5.15)

lemma gyrovec-translation-id:

shows gyrovec-translation 0_g (p, q) = (p, q)
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 5.7.

lemma equiv-rooted-gyrovec-t:

shows $(p, q) \sim (p', q') \longleftrightarrow (p', q') = \text{gyrovec-translation } (\ominus p \oplus p') (p, q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Thm 5.8.

lemma gyrovec-translation-head:

assumes $(p', x) = \text{gyrovec-translation } t (p, q)$
shows $x = p' \oplus (\ominus p \oplus q)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(5.24)

context gyrocommutative-gyrogroup

begin

definition *gyrovec-translation-inv'* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
gyrovec-translation-inv' $p\ t = \ominus (gyr\ p\ t\ t)$

lemma *gyrovec-translation-inv'*:

shows *gyrovec-translation* (*gyrovec-translation-inv'* $p\ t$) (*gyrovec-translation* $t\ (p, q)$) = (p, q)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *gyrovec-translation-compose'* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
gyrovec-translation-compose' $p\ t1\ t2 = t1 \oplus gyr\ t1\ p\ t2$

lemma *gyrovec-translation-compose'*:

gyrovec-translation $t2\ (gyrovec-translation\ t1\ (p, q)) =$
gyrovec-translation (*gyrovec-translation-compose'* $p\ t1\ t2$) (p, q)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

fun *equiv-translate* (**infixl** $\sim_t\ 100$) **where**

$(p1, q1) \sim_t (p2, q2) \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ t.\ gyrovec-translation\ t\ (p1, q1) = (p2, q2))$

lemma *equivp-equiv-translate*:

equivp $(\sim_t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.39)

definition *vec* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where**
vec $a\ b = \ominus\ a \oplus b$

(5.40)

lemma *vec* $0_g\ b = b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.41)

lemma

assumes *vec* $a\ b = v$
shows $b = a \oplus v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.42)

lemma

$(a \oplus v) \oplus u = a \oplus (v \oplus gyr\ v\ a\ u)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

(5.43)

lemma

assumes *vec* $a\ b = v$
shows $a = \ominus v \oplus_c b$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma
shows $(\ominus a \oplus b) \oplus \text{gyr } (\ominus a) b (\ominus b \oplus c) = \ominus a \oplus c$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *torsion-elem*:: $'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
torsion-elem $g \longleftrightarrow g \oplus g = 0_g$

end

class *tf-tw-group* = *gyrocommutative-gyrogrouop* +
assumes $a1:\forall a. \text{torsion-elem } a \longrightarrow a = 0_g$
assumes $a2:\forall a. \exists b. (b \oplus b = a)$
begin

T3.32

lemma *unique-half*:
shows $(a \oplus a = c \wedge b \oplus b = c) \longrightarrow a = b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

T3.33

lemma *unique-gyro-half*:
assumes $gh \oplus gh = g$
 $\text{gyr-}h \oplus \text{gyr-}h = \text{gyr } a b g$
shows $\text{gyr } a b gh = \text{gyr-}h$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

3.102

lemma *gh-minus*:
assumes $gh \oplus gh = \ominus g$
 $gh2 \oplus gh2 = g$
shows $\ominus gh2 = gh$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

T3.34

lemma *gyration-exclusion*:
assumes $\exists g. g \neq 0_g$
shows $\forall a b. \text{gyr } a b \neq \ominus \circ \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

T3.35

lemma *gyration-exclusion-cons*:
shows $\text{gyr } a b b = \ominus b \longrightarrow b = 0_g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

T3.36

lemma *equation-t3-36*:

```

shows  $x \ominus_b (y \ominus_b x) = y \longleftrightarrow x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale gyrogroup-isomorphism =
  fixes  $\varphi :: 'a::\text{gyrocommutative-gyrogroup} \Rightarrow 'b$ 
  fixes gyrozero' ::  $'b \ (0_{g1})$ 
  fixes gyroplus' ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \ (\text{infixl } \oplus_1 \ 100)$ 
  fixes gyroinv' ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'b \ (\ominus_1)$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{\text{zero}} \ [\text{simp}]: \varphi \ 0_g = 0_{g1}$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{\text{plus}} \ [\text{simp}]: \varphi \ (a \oplus b) = (\varphi \ a) \oplus_1 (\varphi \ b)$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{\text{minus}} \ [\text{simp}]: \varphi \ (\ominus a) = \ominus_1 (\varphi \ a)$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{\text{bij}} \ [\text{simp}]: \text{bij } \varphi$ 
begin

definition gyr' where
   $\text{gyr}' \ a \ b \ x = \ominus_1 (a \oplus_1 b) \oplus_1 (a \oplus_1 (b \oplus_1 x))$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{\text{gyr}} \ [\text{simp}]:$ 
  shows  $\varphi \ (\text{gyr } a \ b \ z) = \text{gyr}' \ (\varphi \ a) \ (\varphi \ b) \ (\varphi \ z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

sublocale gyrogroup-isomorphism  $\subseteq$  gyrogroupoid gyrozero' gyroplus'
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale gyrogroup-isomorphism  $\subseteq$  gyrocommutative-gyrogroup gyrozero' gyro-
  plus' gyroinv' gyr'
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theory More-Real-Vector
  imports Main HOL–Analysis.Inner-Product HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces
begin

lemma (in real-vector) inner-eq-1:
  assumes  $\text{norm } a = 1 \ \text{norm } b = 1 \ \text{inner } a \ b = 1$ 
  shows  $a = b$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

theory GyroVectorSpace
  imports GyroGroup HOL–Analysis.Inner-Product HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces More-Real-Vector
begin

```

locale *gyrocarrier'* =
fixes *to-carrier* :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroun $\Rightarrow$ 'b::{real-inner}
assumes *inj-to-carrier* [simp]: *inj to-carrier*
assumes *to-carrier-zero* [simp]: *to-carrier* $0_g = 0$
begin

definition *carrier* :: 'b set **where**
carrier = *to-carrier* ' UNIV

lemma *bij-betw-to-carrier*:
shows *bij-betw to-carrier UNIV carrier*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *of-carrier* :: 'b $\Rightarrow$ 'a **where**
of-carrier = *inv to-carrier*

lemma *bij-betw-of-carrier*:
shows *bij-betw of-carrier carrier UNIV*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inj-on-of-carrier* [simp]:
shows *inj-on of-carrier carrier*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-carrier* [simp]:
shows $\bigwedge b. b \in \text{carrier} \implies \text{to-carrier} (\text{of-carrier } b) = b$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *of-carrier* [simp]:
shows $\bigwedge a. \text{of-carrier} (\text{to-carrier } a) = a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *of-carrier-zero* [simp]:
shows *of-carrier* $0 = 0_g$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-carrier-zero-iff*:
assumes *to-carrier* $a = 0$
shows $a = 0_g$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *gyronorm* :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ real ($\langle\langle - \rangle\rangle$ [100] 100) **where**
 $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle = \text{norm} (\text{to-carrier } a)$

definition *gyroinner* :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ real (*infixl* $\cdot$ 100) **where**
 $a \cdot b = \text{inner} (\text{to-carrier } a) (\text{to-carrier } b)$

lemma *norm-inner*: $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle = \text{sqrt } (a \cdot a)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *norm-zero*:

shows $\langle\langle 0_g \rangle\rangle = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *norm-zero-iff*:

assumes $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle = 0$

shows $a = 0_g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *norms* :: *real set* **where**

$norms = \{x. \exists a. x = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle\} \cup \{x. \exists a. x = - \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle\}$

lemma *norm-in-norms* [*simp*]:

shows $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle \in norms$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *minus-norm-in-norms* [*simp*]:

shows $- \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle \in norms$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *gyrocarrier* = *gyrocarrier'* +

assumes *inner-gyroauto-invariant*: $\bigwedge u v a b. (gyr\ u\ v\ a) \cdot (gyr\ u\ v\ b) = a \cdot b$

begin

lemma *norm-gyr*: $\langle\langle gyr\ u\ v\ a \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *pre-gyrovector-space* = *gyrocarrier* +

fixes *scale* :: *real* $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\otimes$ 105)

assumes *scale-1*:

$\bigwedge a :: 'a.$

$1 \otimes a = a$

assumes *scale-distrib*:

$\bigwedge (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (a :: 'a).$

$(r1 + r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes a \oplus r2 \otimes a$

assumes *scale-assoc*:

$\bigwedge (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (a :: 'a).$

$(r1 * r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes (r2 \otimes a)$

assumes *scale-prop1*:

$\bigwedge (r :: real) (a :: 'a).$

$\llbracket r \neq 0; a \neq 0_g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow to_carrier\ (|r| \otimes a) /_R \langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = to_carrier\ a /_R \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$

assumes *gyroauto-property*:

$\bigwedge (u :: 'a) (v :: 'a) (r :: real) (a :: 'a).$

$gyr\ u\ v\ (r \otimes a) = r \otimes (gyr\ u\ v\ a)$

assumes *gyroauto-id*:
 $\bigwedge (r1 :: \text{real}) (r2 :: \text{real}) (v :: 'a).$
 $\text{gyr } (r1 \otimes v) (r2 \otimes v) = \text{id}$
begin

lemma *scale-minus1*:
shows $(-1) \otimes a = \ominus a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *minus-norm*:
shows $\langle\langle \ominus a \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

(6.3)

lemma *scale-minus*:
shows $(-r) \otimes a = \ominus (r \otimes a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *scale-minus'*:
shows $k \otimes (\ominus a) = \ominus (k \otimes a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-otimes* [*simp*]:
shows $0 \otimes x = 0_g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times-zero* [*simp*]:
shows $t \otimes 0_g = 0_g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Theorem 6.4 (6.4)

lemma *monodistributive*:
shows $r \otimes (r1 \otimes a \oplus r2 \otimes a) = r \otimes (r1 \otimes a) \oplus r \otimes (r2 \otimes a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *times2*: $2 \otimes a = a \oplus a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *twosum*: $2 \otimes (a \oplus b) = a \oplus (2 \otimes b \oplus a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *gyrodistance* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real } (d_{\oplus})$ **where**
 $d_{\oplus} a b = \langle\langle \ominus a \oplus b \rangle\rangle$

lemma $d_{\oplus} a b = \langle\langle b \ominus_b a \rangle\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyrodistance-metric-nonneg*:
shows $d_{\oplus} a b \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyrodistance-metric-zero-iff*:

shows $d_{\oplus} a b = 0 \longleftrightarrow a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyrodistance-metric-sym*:

shows $d_{\oplus} a b = d_{\oplus} b a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *equation-solving*:

assumes $x \oplus y = a \ominus x \oplus y = b$

shows $x = (1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \wedge y = (1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-plus*: $(2 \otimes a) \oplus b = a \oplus (a \oplus b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I6-33*:

shows $(1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) = (-1/2) \otimes (b \ominus_{cb} a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I6-34*:

shows $(1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b = (1/2) \otimes (b \ominus_{cb} a) \oplus a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I6-35*:

shows $gyr\ b\ a = gyr\ b\ ((1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b) \circ (gyr\ ((1/2) \otimes (a \ominus_{cb} b) \oplus b)\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double-half*:

shows $2 \otimes ((1 / 2) \otimes a) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I6-38*:

shows $a \oplus (1/2) \otimes (\ominus a \oplus_c b) = (1/2) \otimes (a \oplus b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I6-39*:

shows $a \oplus (1/2) \otimes (\ominus a \oplus b) = (1/2) \otimes (a \oplus_c b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *I6-40*:

shows $gyr\ ((r + s) \otimes a)\ b\ x = gyr\ (r \otimes a)\ (s \otimes a \oplus b)\ (gyr\ (s \otimes a)\ b\ x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *collinear* :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where**

$collinear\ x\ y\ z \longleftrightarrow (y = z \vee (\exists t::real. (x = y \oplus t \otimes (\ominus y \oplus z))))$

lemma *collinear-aab*:
shows *collinear a a b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *collinear-bab*:
shows *collinear b a b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T6-20*:
assumes *collinear p1 a b collinear p2 a b a $\neq$ b p1 $\neq$ p2*
shows $\forall x. (collinear\ x\ p1\ p2 \longrightarrow collinear\ x\ a\ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T6-20-1*:
assumes *collinear p1 a b collinear p2 a b p1 $\neq$ p2 a $\neq$ b*
shows $\forall x. (collinear\ x\ a\ b \longrightarrow collinear\ x\ p1\ p2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *collinear-sym1*:
assumes *collinear a b c*
shows *collinear b a c*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *collinear-sym2*:
assumes *collinear a b c*
shows *collinear a c b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *collinear-transitive*:
assumes *collinear a b c collinear d b c b $\neq$ c*
shows *collinear a d b*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *collinear-translate'*:
shows $x = u \oplus t \otimes (\ominus u \oplus v) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\ominus a \oplus x) = (\ominus a \oplus u) \oplus t \otimes (\ominus (\ominus a \oplus u) \oplus (\ominus a \oplus v))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *translate* **where**
 $translate\ a\ x = \ominus a \oplus x$

lemma *collinear-translate*:
shows $collinear\ u\ v\ w \longleftrightarrow collinear\ (translate\ a\ u)\ (translate\ a\ v)\ (translate\ a\ w)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition *gyroline* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **set where**

gyroline $a\ b = \{x. \text{ collinear } x\ a\ b\}$

definition *between* :: 'a => 'a => 'a => bool **where**
between $x\ y\ z \longleftrightarrow (\exists t::\text{real}. 0 \leq t \wedge t \leq 1 \wedge y = x \oplus t \otimes (\ominus x \oplus z))$

lemma *between-xxxy* [simp]:
shows *between* $x\ x\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *between-xyy* [simp]:
shows *between* $x\ y\ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *between-xyx*:
assumes *between* $x\ y\ x$
shows $y = x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *between-translate*:
shows *between* $u\ v\ w \longleftrightarrow \text{between } (\text{translate } a\ u)\ (\text{translate } a\ v)\ (\text{translate } a\ w)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *distance* **where**
distance $u\ v = \langle \ominus u \oplus v \rangle$

lemma *distance-translate*:
shows *distance* $u\ v = \text{distance } (\text{translate } a\ u)\ (\text{translate } a\ v)$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

locale *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'* = *gyrocarrier'* *to-carrier*
for *to-carrier* :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp ⇒ 'b::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
 +
assumes *norms-carrier*: *of-real* ' *norms* ⊆ *carrier*
begin

definition *of-real'* :: real ⇒ 'a **where**
of-real' = *of-carrier* ∘ *of-real*

definition *reals* :: 'a set **where**
reals = *of-carrier* ' *of-real* ' *norms*

lemma *bij-reals-norms*:
shows *bij-betw* *of-real'* *norms* *reals*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-on-of-real'*:
shows *inj-on* *of-real'* *norms*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $to\text{-}real :: 'b \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $to\text{-}real = the\text{-}inv\text{-}into\ norms\ of\text{-}real$

lemma $to\text{-}real\ [simp]$:
assumes $x \in norms$
shows $to\text{-}real\ (of\text{-}real\ x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $of\text{-}real\ [simp]$:
assumes $x \in of\text{-}real\ '\ norms$
shows $of\text{-}real\ (to\text{-}real\ x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $to\text{-}real' :: 'a \Rightarrow real$ **where**
 $to\text{-}real' = to\text{-}real \circ to\text{-}carrier$

lemma $bij\text{-}betw\text{-}to\text{-}real'$:
 $bij\text{-}betw\ to\text{-}real' reals\ norms$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to\text{-}real'\ [simp]$:
assumes $x \in norms$
shows $to\text{-}real'\ (of\text{-}real'\ x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $of\text{-}real'\ [simp]$:
assumes $x \in reals$
shows $of\text{-}real'\ (to\text{-}real'\ x) = x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to\text{-}real'\text{-}norm\ [simp]$:
shows $to\text{-}real'\ (of\text{-}real'\ (\langle\!\langle a \!\!\rangle\!\rangle)) = (\langle\!\langle a \!\!\rangle\!\rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gyronorm\text{-}of\text{-}real'$:
assumes $x \in norms$
shows $\langle\!\langle of\text{-}real'\ x \!\!\rangle\!\rangle = abs\ x$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $gyronorm\text{-}abs\text{-}to\text{-}real'$:
assumes $x \in reals$
shows $abs\ (to\text{-}real'\ x) = \langle\!\langle x \!\!\rangle\!\rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oplusR :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$ (**infixl** $\oplus_R$ 100) **where**
 $a \oplus_R b = to\text{-}real'\ (of\text{-}real'\ a \oplus of\text{-}real'\ b)$

definition $oinvR :: real \Rightarrow real \ (\ominus_R)$ **where**

$\ominus_R a = to-real' (\ominus (of-real' a))$

end

locale $gyrocarrier-norms-embed = gyrocarrier-norms-embed' +$

fixes $scale :: real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ (**infixl** $\otimes 105$)

assumes $oplus-reals: \bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in reals; b \in reals \rrbracket \implies a \oplus b \in reals$

assumes $oinv-reals: \bigwedge a. a \in reals \implies \ominus a \in reals$

assumes $otimes-reals: \bigwedge a r. a \in reals \implies r \otimes a \in reals$

begin

definition $otimesR :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$ (**infixl** $\otimes_R 100$) **where**

$r \otimes_R a = to-real' (r \otimes (of-real' a))$

lemma $oplusR-norms:$

shows $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in norms; b \in norms \rrbracket \implies a \oplus_R b \in norms$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oinvR-norms:$

shows $\bigwedge a. a \in norms \implies \ominus_R a \in norms$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $otimesR-norms:$

shows $\bigwedge a r. a \in norms \implies r \otimes_R a \in norms$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $of-real'-oplusR$ [*simp*]:

shows $of-real' (\llbracket a \rrbracket) \oplus_R (\llbracket b \rrbracket) = (of-real' (\llbracket a \rrbracket) \oplus of-real' (\llbracket b \rrbracket))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $of-real'-otimesR$ [*simp*]:

shows $of-real' (r \otimes_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket)) = r \otimes (of-real' (\llbracket a \rrbracket))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $of-real'-oinvR$ [*simp*]:

shows $of-real' (\ominus_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket)) = \ominus (of-real' (\llbracket a \rrbracket))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $gyrovector-space-norms-embed =$

$gyrocarrier\ to-carrier +$

$gyrocarrier-norms-embed\ to-carrier +$

$pre-gyrovector-space\ to-carrier$

for $to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroup \Rightarrow 'b::\{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1\}$

+

assumes $homogeneity:$

$\bigwedge (r :: real) (a :: 'a).$

```

       $\langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = |r| \otimes_R (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle)$ 
    assumes gyrotriangle:
       $\bigwedge (a :: 'a) (b :: 'a).$ 
         $\langle\langle a \oplus b \rangle\rangle \leq (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle) \oplus_R (\langle\langle b \rangle\rangle)$ 
  begin

  lemma gyrodistance-gyrotriangle:
    shows  $d_{\oplus} a c \leq d_{\oplus} a b \oplus_R d_{\oplus} b c$ 
  <proof>

  end

end

theory VectorSpace
  imports Main HOL.Real HOL-Types-To-Sets.Linear-Algebra-On-With

begin

  locale vector-space-with-domain =
    fixes dom :: 'a set
    and add :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    and zero :: 'a
    and smult :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a
    assumes add-closed:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{add } x y \in \text{dom}$ 
    and zero-in-dom:  $\text{zero} \in \text{dom}$ 
    and add-assoc:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom}; z \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{add } (\text{add } x y) z = \text{add } x (\text{add } y z)$ 
    and add-comm:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{add } x y = \text{add } y x$ 
    and add-zero:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{add } x \text{ zero} = x$ 
    and add-inv:  $x \in \text{dom} \Longrightarrow \exists y \in \text{dom}. \text{add } x y = \text{zero}$ 
    and smult-closed:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{smult } a x \in \text{dom}$ 
    and smult-distr-sadd:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{smult } (a + b) x = \text{add } (\text{smult } a x) (\text{smult } b x)$ 
    and smult-assoc:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{smult } a (\text{smult } b x) = \text{smult } (a * b) x$ 
    and smult-one:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{smult } 1 x = x$ 
    and smult-distr-sadd2:  $\llbracket x \in \text{dom}; y \in \text{dom} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{smult } a (\text{add } x y) = \text{add } (\text{smult } a x) (\text{smult } a y)$ 
  begin

  lemma inv-unique:
    assumes  $a \in \text{dom} \ z1 \in \text{dom} \ z2 \in \text{dom}$ 
    and  $\text{add } a z1 = \text{zero}$ 
    and  $\text{add } a z2 = \text{zero}$ 
    shows  $z1 = z2$ 
  <proof>

  definition inv::'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a where

```

```

    inv a = (if a ∈ dom then (THE z. (z ∈ dom ∧ add a z = zero)) else undefined)

definition minus :: 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where
    minus a b = (if a ∈ dom ∧ b ∈ dom then add a (inv b) else undefined)

lemma module-on-with-is-this:
  shows module-on-with dom add minus inv zero smult
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma vector-space-on-with-is-this:
  shows vector-space-on-with dom add minus inv zero smult
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory Abe
  imports GyroGroup HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces VectorSpace
begin

locale one-dim-vector-space-with-domain =
  vector-space-with-domain +
  assumes ∀ y. ∀ x. (y ∈ dom ∧
    x ∈ dom ∧ x ≠ zero ⟶ (∃ ! r :: real. y = smult r x))

locale GGV =
  fixes fi :: 'a :: gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp ⇒ 'b :: real-inner
  fixes scale :: real ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a
  fixes plus' :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real
  fixes smult' :: real ⇒ real ⇒ real

  assumes inj fi
  assumes norm (fi (gyr u v a)) = norm (fi a)
  assumes scale 1 a = a
  assumes scale (r1 + r2) a = (scale r1 a) ⊕ (scale r2 a)
  assumes scale (r1 * r2) a = scale r1 (scale r2 a)
  assumes (a ≠ gyrozero ∧ r ≠ 0) ⟶ (fi (scale |r| a)) /R (norm (fi (scale r a))) =
    (fi a) /R (norm (fi a))
  assumes gyr u v (scale r a) = scale r (gyr u v a)
  assumes gyr (scale r1 v) (scale r2 v) = id
  assumes vector-space-with-domain {x. ∃ a. x = norm (fi a) ∨ x = - norm (fi
    a)} plus' 0 smult'
  assumes norm (fi (scale r a)) = smult' |r| (norm (fi a))
  assumes norm (fi (a ⊕ b)) = plus' (norm (fi a)) (norm (fi b))
begin

```

end

```

class gyrolinear-space =
  gyrocommutative-gyroggroup +
  fixes scale :: real  $\Rightarrow$  'a::gyrocommutative-gyroggroup  $\Rightarrow$  'a (infixl  $\otimes$  105)
  assumes scale-1:  $\bigwedge a :: 'a. 1 \otimes a = a$ 
  assumes scale-distrib:  $\bigwedge (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (a :: 'a). (r1 + r2) \otimes a = r1$ 
 $\otimes a \oplus r2 \otimes a$ 
  assumes scale-associ:  $\bigwedge (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (a :: 'a). (r1 * r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes$ 
 $(r2 \otimes a)$ 
  assumes gyroauto-property:  $\bigwedge (u :: 'a) (v :: 'a) (r :: real) (a :: 'a). \text{gyr } u \ v \ (r \otimes$ 
 $a) = r \otimes (\text{gyr } u \ v \ a)$ 
  assumes gyroauto-id:  $\bigwedge (r1 :: real) (r2 :: real) (v :: 'a). \text{gyr } (r1 \otimes v) \ (r2 \otimes v)$ 
 $= id$ 

```

begin

end

```

locale normed-gyrolinear-space =
  fixes norm'::'a::gyrolinear-space  $\Rightarrow$  real
  fixes f::real  $\Rightarrow$  real
  assumes  $\forall a::'a. (\text{norm}' \ a \geq 0)$ 
  assumes  $\forall y::real. (y \in (\text{norm}' \ ' \ UNIV) \longrightarrow (f \ y) \geq 0)$ 
  assumes bij-betw f (norm' ' UNIV) {x::real. x $\geq$ 0}
  assumes  $\forall y::real. \forall z::real. ((y \in \text{norm}' \ ' \ UNIV \wedge$ 
 $z \in \text{norm}' \ ' \ UNIV \wedge y > z) \longrightarrow (f \ y) > (f \ z))$ 

  assumes  $\forall x::'a. \forall y::'a. f(\text{norm}' \ (\text{gyroplus } x \ y)) \leq (f \ (\text{norm}' \ x)) + (f \ (\text{norm}'$ 
 $y))$ 
  assumes  $f \ (\text{norm}' \ (\text{scale } r \ x)) = |r| * (f \ (\text{norm}' \ x))$ 
  assumes  $\text{norm}' \ (\text{gyr } u \ v \ x) = \text{norm}' \ x$ 
  assumes  $\forall x::'a. ((\text{norm}' \ x) = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = \text{gyrozero})$ 

```

begin

definition norms::real set **where**

norms = norm' ' UNIV

definition norms-neg::real set **where**

norms-neg = ($\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' \ x$) ' UNIV

definition norms-all::real set **where**

norms-all = norms $\cup$ norms-neg

lemma norms-neg-not-empty:

shows norms-neg $\neq \{\}$

<proof>

lemma *zero-only-norms-norms-neg*:

assumes $x \in \text{norms}$ $x \in \text{norms-neg}$

shows $x = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *a1-a2*:

shows $\exists f'::\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}. ((\forall x::\text{real}. \forall y::\text{real}. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge y \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x > y) \longrightarrow (f' x) > (f' y)))$

$\wedge (f' 0) = 0 \wedge \text{bij-betw } f' \text{ norms-all } \text{UNIV}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *normed-gyrolinear-space'* =

fixes $\text{norm}'::'a::\text{gyrolinear-space} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

fixes $f'::\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

assumes $\forall a::'a. (\text{norm}' a \geq 0)$

assumes $\text{bij-betw } f' ((\text{norm}' \text{ 'UNIV}) \cup ((\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) \text{ 'UNIV})) \text{ UNIV}$

assumes $\forall y::\text{real}. \forall z::\text{real}. ((y \in ((\text{norm}' \text{ 'UNIV}) \cup ((\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) \text{ 'UNIV})) \wedge y > z) \longrightarrow (f' y) > (f' z))$

$z \in ((\text{norm}' \text{ 'UNIV}) \cup ((\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) \text{ 'UNIV})) \wedge y > z) \longrightarrow (f' y) > (f' z))$

assumes $f' 0 = 0$

assumes $\forall x::'a. \forall y::'a. f'(\text{norm}'(\text{gyroplus } x y)) \leq (f'(\text{norm}' x)) + (f'(\text{norm}' y))$

assumes $f'(\text{norm}'(\text{scale } r x)) = |r| * (f'(\text{norm}' x))$

assumes $\text{norm}'(\text{gyr } u v x) = \text{norm}' x$

assumes $\forall x::'a. ((\text{norm}' x) = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = \text{gyrozero})$

begin

definition *norms::real set where*

$\text{norms} = \text{norm}' \text{ 'UNIV}$

definition *norms-neg::real set where*

$\text{norms-neg} = (\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) \text{ 'UNIV}$

definition *norms-all::real set where*

$\text{norms-all} = \text{norms} \cup \text{norms-neg}$

lemma *norms-neg-not-empty*:

shows $\text{norms-neg} \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-only-norms-norms-neg*:

assumes $x \in \text{norms}$ $x \in \text{norms-neg}$

shows $x = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *norm-oplus-f::real $\Rightarrow$ real $\Rightarrow$ real* (**infixl** $\oplus_f$ 105)
where $a \oplus_f b =$ (if ($a \in \text{norms-all} \wedge b \in \text{norms-all}$) then ($\text{inv-into norms-all } f'$)
 $((f' a) + (f' b))$)
else undefined)

definition *norm-otimes-f::real $\Rightarrow$ real $\Rightarrow$ real* (**infixl** $\otimes_f$ 105)
where $r \otimes_f a =$ (if ($a \in \text{norms-all}$) then ($\text{inv-into norms-all } f'$) ($r * (f' a)$)
else undefined)

lemma *vector-space-of-norms:*
shows *vector-space-with-domain norms-all norm-oplus-f 0 norm-otimes-f*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r2:*
shows $\text{norm}' (x \oplus y) \leq (\text{norm}' x) \oplus_f (\text{norm}' y)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *r3:*
shows $\text{norm}' (r \otimes x) = |r| \otimes_f (\text{norm}' x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *one-dim-vs:*
shows *one-dim-vector-space-with-domain norms-all norm-oplus-f 0 norm-otimes-f*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *normed-gyrolinear-space'' =*
fixes $\text{norm}'::'a::\text{gyrolinear-space} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
fixes $\text{oplus}'::\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
fixes $\text{otimes}'::\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $\forall a::'a. (\text{norm}' a \geq 0)$
assumes *ax-space: one-dim-vector-space-with-domain* $((\text{norm}' \text{ ` UNIV}) \cup ((\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) \text{ ` UNIV}))$
 $\text{oplus}' 0 \text{ otimes}'$
assumes *ax3:* $\forall x::'a. \forall y::'a. (\text{norm}' (\text{gyroplus } x y)) \leq \text{oplus}' (\text{norm}' x) (\text{norm}' y)$
assumes $(\text{norm}' (\text{scale } r x)) = \text{otimes}' |r| (\text{norm}' x)$
assumes $\text{norm}' (\text{gyr } u v x) = \text{norm}' x$
assumes $\forall x::'a. ((\text{norm}' x) = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = \text{gyrozero})$
begin

definition *norms::real set* **where**
 $\text{norms} = \text{norm}' \text{ ` UNIV}$

definition *norms-neg::real set* **where**
norms-neg = $(\lambda x. -1 * \text{norm}' x) \text{ ' } UNIV$

definition *norms-all::real set* **where**
norms-all = *norms* $\cup$ *norms-neg*

lemma *norms-neg-not-empty*:
shows *norms-neg* $\neq \{\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-only-norms-norms-neg*:
assumes $x \in \text{norms}$ $x \in \text{norms-neg}$
shows $x = 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *not-trivial-domen-has-pos*:
assumes $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$
shows $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms} \wedge x \neq 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-with-real*:
assumes $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$
shows $\exists g. (\text{bij-betw } g \text{ norms-all } UNIV \wedge (g \ 0) = 0 \wedge$
 $(\forall u. \forall v. (u \in \text{norms-all} \wedge v \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g \ (\text{oplus}' u \ v) = (g \ u) + (g \ v)))$
 $\wedge (\forall u. \forall r::\text{real}. (u \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g \ (\text{otimes}' r \ u) = r * (g \ u)))$
 $\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *g-iso:: (real $\Rightarrow$ real) $\Rightarrow$ bool* **where**
g-iso $g \longleftrightarrow (\text{bij-betw } g \text{ norms-all } UNIV \wedge (g \ 0) = 0 \wedge$
 $(\forall u. \forall v. (u \in \text{norms-all} \wedge v \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g \ (\text{oplus}' u \ v) = (g \ u) + (g \ v)))$
 $\wedge (\forall u. \forall r::\text{real}. (u \in \text{norms-all} \longrightarrow g \ (\text{otimes}' r \ u) = r * (g \ u)))$

lemma *iso-neg-with-real*:
assumes $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$
shows $g\text{-iso } g \longrightarrow g\text{-iso } (\lambda x. -1 * (g \ x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *iso-with-real-positive-on-norms*:
assumes $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$
shows $\exists g. (g\text{-iso } g \wedge (\forall x. (x \in \text{norms} \longrightarrow (g \ x) \geq 0))$
 $\wedge \text{bij-betw } (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in \text{norms} \text{ then } (g \ x) \text{ else undefined}) \text{ norms } \{r::\text{real}. r \geq 0\})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *comparing-norms-help*:

assumes $x \in \text{norms } y \in \text{norms-all}$

$x \leq y$

shows $y \in \text{norms}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *existence-of-f*:

assumes $\exists x. (x \in \text{norms-all} \wedge x \neq 0)$

shows $\exists f. (\text{bij-betw } f \text{ norms } \{x :: \text{real}. x \geq 0\})$

$\wedge (\forall y :: \text{real}. \forall z :: \text{real}. ((y \in \text{norms} \wedge$

$z \in \text{norms} \wedge y > z) \longrightarrow (f y) > (f z)))$

$\wedge (\forall x. \forall y. f(\text{norm}'(x \oplus y)) \leq (f(\text{norm}' x)) + (f(\text{norm}' y)))$

$\wedge (\forall r :: \text{real}. (\forall x. (f(\text{norm}'(r \otimes x)) = |r| * (f(\text{norm}' x))))))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

end

theory *GyroVectorSpaceIsomorphism*

imports *GyroVectorSpace*

begin

locale *gyrocarrier-isomorphism'* =

gyrocarrier-norms-embed' *to-carrier* +

gyrocarrier to-carrier +

G: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'* *to-carrier'*

for *to-carrier* :: '*a*::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp $\Rightarrow$ '*b*::{*real-inner*, *real-normed-algebra-1*}

and

to-carrier' :: '*c*::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp $\Rightarrow$ '*d*::{*real-inner*, *real-normed-algebra-1*}

+

fixes $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c$

begin

definition $\varphi_R :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$\varphi_R x = G.\text{to-real}'(\varphi(\text{of-real}' x))$

end

locale *gyrocarrier-isomorphism* = *gyrocarrier-isomorphism'* +

assumes $\varphi \text{bij } [\text{simp}]$:

bij φ

assumes $\varphi \text{plus } [\text{simp}]$:

$\bigwedge u v :: 'a. \varphi(u \oplus v) = \varphi u \oplus \varphi v$

assumes $\varphi \text{inner-unit}$:

$\bigwedge u v :: 'a. \llbracket u \neq 0_g; v \neq 0_g \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$

$\text{inner } (\text{to-carrier}'(\varphi u)) /_R G.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi u)) (\text{to-carrier}'(\varphi v))$

$/_R G.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi v) =$
 $\text{inner } (to\text{-carrier } u /_R \text{gyronorm } u) (to\text{-carrier } v /_R \text{gyronorm } v)$
assumes $\varphi_R \text{gyronorm } [simp]:$
 $\bigwedge a. \varphi_R (\text{gyronorm } a) = G.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi a)$
begin

lemma $\varphi \text{inv} \varphi [simp]:$
shows $\varphi (\text{inv } \varphi a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inv} \varphi \varphi [simp]:$
shows $(\text{inv } \varphi) (\varphi a) = a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi \text{zero} [simp]:$
shows $\varphi 0_g = 0_g$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi \text{minus} [simp]:$
shows $\varphi (\ominus a) = \ominus (\varphi a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inv} \varphi \text{plus} [simp]:$
shows $(\text{inv } \varphi) (a \oplus b) = \text{inv } \varphi a \oplus \text{inv } \varphi b$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi \text{gyr} [simp]:$
shows $\varphi (\text{gyr } u v a) = \text{gyr } (\varphi u) (\varphi v) (\varphi a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{inv} \varphi \text{gyr} [simp]:$
shows $(\text{inv } \varphi) (\text{gyr } u v a) = \text{gyr } (\text{inv } \varphi u) (\text{inv } \varphi v) (\text{inv } \varphi a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi \text{inner}:$
assumes $u \neq 0_g \ v \neq 0_g$
shows $G.\text{gyroinner } (\varphi u) (\varphi v) =$
 $(G.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi u) / \text{gyronorm } u) *_R (G.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi v) / \text{gyronorm } v)$
 $*_R \text{gyroinner } u v$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{gyronorm}' \text{gyr}:$
shows $G.\text{gyronorm } (\text{gyr } u v a) = G.\text{gyronorm } a$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

sublocale $\text{gyrocarrier-isomorphism} \subseteq \text{gyrocarrier to-carrier}'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

locale pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism' =
  pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier scale +
  gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier +
  GC: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier'
for to-carrier :: 'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp ⇒ 'b::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
and
  to-carrier' :: 'c::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp ⇒ 'd::{real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
and
  scale :: real ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a and
  scale' :: real ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'c +
fixes φ :: 'a ⇒ 'c

```

```

sublocale pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism' ⊆ gyrocarrier-isomorphism'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

locale pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism =
  pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism' +
  gyrocarrier-isomorphism +
  assumes φ scale [simp]:
    ∧ r :: real. ∧ u :: 'a. φ (scale r u) = scale' r (φ u)
begin

```

```

lemma scale'-1:
  shows scale' 1 a = a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scale'-distrib:
  shows scale' (r1 + r2) a = scale' r1 a ⊕ scale' r2 a
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scale'-assoc:
  shows scale' (r1 * r2) a = scale' r1 (scale' r2 a)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scale'-gyroauto-id:
  shows gyr (scale' r1 v) (scale' r2 v) = id
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma scale'-gyroauto-property:
  shows gyr u v (scale' r a) = scale' r (gyr u v a)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

```

locale gyrovector-space-isomorphism' =
  pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism +
  gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale +
  GC: gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-carrier' scale' +

```

assumes φ_{reals} :
 $\varphi \text{ 'reals' } = GC.\text{reals}$
begin

lemma $\varphi_R \text{norms}$:
assumes $a \in \text{norms}$
shows $\varphi_R a \in GC.\text{norms}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_{\text{of-real'}}[simp]$:
assumes $a \in \text{norms}$
shows $\varphi (\text{of-real}' a) = GC.\text{of-real}' (\varphi_R a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_{\text{gyronorm}}[simp]$:
shows $\varphi (\text{of-real}' (\text{gyronorm } a)) = GC.\text{of-real}' (GC.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi a))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_R \text{plus}[simp]$:
assumes $a \in \text{norms}$ $b \in \text{norms}$
shows $\varphi_R (a \oplus_R b) = GC.\text{oplusR } (\varphi_R a) (\varphi_R b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_R \text{plus}'[simp]$:
 $\varphi_R ((\llbracket a \rrbracket) \oplus_R (\llbracket b \rrbracket)) = GC.\text{oplusR } (\varphi_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket)) (\varphi_R (\llbracket b \rrbracket))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_R \text{times}[simp]$:
assumes $a \in \text{norms}$
shows $\varphi_R (r \otimes_R a) = GC.\text{otimesR } r (\varphi_R a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_R \text{times}'[simp]$:
shows $\varphi_R (r \otimes_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket)) = GC.\text{otimesR } r (\varphi_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_R \text{inv}[simp]$:
assumes $a \in \text{norms}$
shows $\varphi_R (\ominus_R a) = GC.\text{oinvR } (\varphi_R a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\varphi_R \text{inv}'[simp]$:
 $\varphi_R (\ominus_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket)) = GC.\text{oinvR } (\varphi_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $\text{scale}'\text{-prop1}'$:
assumes $u \neq 0_g$ $r \neq 0$
shows $\text{to-carrier}' (\varphi (\text{scale } |r| u)) /_R GC.\text{gyronorm } (\varphi (\text{scale } |r| u)) =$

$(to\text{-}carrier' (\varphi u) /_R GC.gyronorm (\varphi u)) (is ?a = ?b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scale'-prop1*:

assumes $a \neq 0_g$ $r \neq 0$
shows $to\text{-}carrier' (scale' |r| a) /_R GC.gyronorm (scale' r a) = to\text{-}carrier' a /_R GC.gyronorm a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *scale'-homogeneity*:

shows $GC.gyronorm (scale' r a) = GC.otimesR |r| (GC.gyronorm a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *gyrovector-space-isomorphism'* $\subseteq GV$: *pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier'*
 $scale'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *gyrovector-space-isomorphism* =

gyrovector-space-isomorphism' +
assumes $\varphi_R mono$:
 $\bigwedge a b. \llbracket a \in norms; b \in norms; 0 \leq a; a \leq b \rrbracket \implies \varphi_R a \leq \varphi_R b$
begin

lemma *scale'-triangle*:

shows $GC.gyronorm (a \oplus b) \leq GC.oplusR (GC.gyronorm a) (GC.gyronorm b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *gyrovector-space-isomorphism* $\subseteq$ *gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale' to-carrier'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

locale *gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed'* = *gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale*
to-carrier +

GC : *gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-carrier'*
for *to-carrier* :: $'a::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp \Rightarrow 'b::\{real\text{-}inner, real\text{-}normed\text{-}algebra\text{-}1\}$
and
to-carrier' :: $'c::gyrocommutative-gyrogroupp \Rightarrow 'd::\{real\text{-}inner, real\text{-}normed\text{-}algebra\text{-}1\}$
and
 $scale :: real \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a +$
fixes $scale' :: real \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'c$
fixes $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c$
begin

definition $\varphi_R :: real \Rightarrow real$ **where**

```

 $\varphi_R x = GC.to\text{-}real' (\varphi (of\text{-}real' x))$ 

end

locale gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed = gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed'
+
  assumes  $\varphi_{bij}$ :
     $bij \ \varphi$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{plus} [simp]$ :
     $\bigwedge u \ v :: 'a. \ \varphi (u \oplus v) = \varphi u \oplus \varphi v$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{scale} [simp]$ :
     $\bigwedge r :: real. \ \bigwedge u :: 'a. \ \varphi (scale \ r \ u) = scale' \ r \ (\varphi u)$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_{reals}$ :
     $\varphi \text{ ' } reals = GC.reals$ 
  assumes  $\varphi_R gyronorm [simp]$ :
     $\bigwedge a. \ \varphi_R (gyronorm \ a) = GC.gyronorm (\varphi a)$ 
  assumes  $GCoinvRminus$ :
     $\bigwedge a. \ a \in GC.norms \implies GC.oinvR \ a = -a$ 
begin

lemma  $\varphi_{inv\varphi} [simp]$ :
  shows  $\varphi (inv \ \varphi \ a) = a$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{zero} [simp]$ :
  shows  $\varphi \ 0_g = 0_g$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{minus} [simp]$ :
  shows  $\varphi (\ominus \ a) = \ominus (\varphi \ a)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_{gyronorm} [simp]$ :
  shows  $\varphi (of\text{-}real' (gyronorm \ a)) = GC.of\text{-}real' (GC.gyronorm (\varphi \ a))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi_R inv' [simp]$ :
   $\varphi_R (\ominus_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket)) = GC.oinvR (\varphi_R (\llbracket a \rrbracket))$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
end

sublocale gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed  $\subseteq GV'$ : gyrocarrier-norms-embed
to-carrier' scale'
 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end
theory MoreComplex
imports Complex-Main HOL–Analysis.Inner-Product
begin

```

lemma *real-complex-cmod*:
fixes $r::\text{real}$
shows $\text{cmod}(r * z) = \text{abs } r * \text{cmod } z$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cnj-closed-for-unit-disc*:
assumes $\text{cmod } z1 < 1$
shows $\text{cmod } (\text{cnj } z1) < 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mult-closed-for-unit-disc*:
assumes $\text{cmod } z1 < 1 \text{ cmod } z2 < 1$
shows $\text{cmod } (z1 * z2) < 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cnj-cmod*:
shows $z1 * \text{cnj } z1 = (\text{cmod } z1)^2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cnj-cmod-1*:
assumes $\text{cmod } z1 = 1$
shows $z1 * \text{cnj } z1 = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *den-not-zero*:
assumes $\text{cmod } a < 1 \text{ cmod } b < 1$
shows $1 + \text{cnj } a * b \neq 0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cmod-mix-cnj*:
assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1 \text{ cmod } v < 1$
shows $\text{cmod } ((1 + u * \text{cnj } v) / (1 + v * \text{cnj } u)) = 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *cnj-mix-ex-real-k*:
assumes $v \neq 0$
shows $x * \text{cnj } v = v * \text{cnj } x \longleftrightarrow (\exists (k::\text{real}). x = k * v)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *two-inner-cnj*:
shows $2 * \text{inner } u v = \text{cnj } u * v + \text{cnj } v * u$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

abbreviation $\text{cor} \equiv \text{complex-of-real}$

lemma *abs-inner-lt-1*:
assumes $\text{norm } u < 1 \text{ norm } v < 1$
shows $\text{abs } (\text{inner } u v) < 1$

```

    <proof>

lemma inner-lt-1:
  assumes norm u < 1 norm v < 1
  shows inner u v < 1
  <proof>

lemma inner-def1:
  shows inner z1 z2 = (z1 * cnj z2 + z2 * cnj z1) / 2
  <proof>

lemma inner-def2:
  shows inner z1 z2 = Re (cnj z1 * z2)
  <proof>

end
theory GammaFactor
  imports Complex-Main MoreComplex
begin

definition gamma-factor :: 'a::real-inner  $\Rightarrow$  real ( $\gamma$ ) where
   $\gamma$  u = (if norm u < 1 then
    1 / sqrt (1 - (norm u)2)
  else
    0)

lemma gamma-factor-nonzero:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows 1 / sqrt (1 - (norm u)2)  $\neq$  0
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-increasing:
  fixes t1 t2 :: real
  assumes 0  $\leq$  t2 t2 < t1 t1 < 1
  shows  $\gamma$  t2 <  $\gamma$  t1
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-increase-reverse:
  fixes t1 t2 :: real
  assumes t1  $\geq$  0 t1 < 1 t2  $\geq$  0 t2 < 1
  assumes  $\gamma$  t1 >  $\gamma$  t2
  shows t1 > t2
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-u-normu:
  fixes u :: real
  assumes 0  $\leq$  u u  $\leq$  1
  shows  $\gamma$  u =  $\gamma$  (norm u)

```

```

    <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-positive:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows  $\gamma u > 0$ 
  <proof>

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows  $(\text{norm } u)^2 = 1 - 1 / (\gamma u)^2$ 
  <proof>

lemma norm-square-gamma-factor':
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows  $(\text{norm } u)^2 = ((\gamma u)^2 - 1) / (\gamma u)^2$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-factor-square-norm:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows  $(\gamma u)^2 = 1 / (1 - (\text{norm } u)^2)$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-expression-eq-one-1:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows  $1 / \gamma u + (\gamma u * (\text{norm } u)^2) / (1 + \gamma u) = 1$ 
  <proof>

lemma gamma-expression-eq-one-2:
  assumes norm u < 1
  shows  $((\gamma u)^2 * (\text{norm } u)^2) / (1 + \gamma u)^2 + (2 * \gamma u) / (\gamma u * (1 + \gamma u)) = 1$ 
  <proof>

end
theory PoincareDisc
  imports Complex-Main HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product GammaFactor
begin

typedef PoincareDisc = {z::complex. cmod z < 1}
morphisms to-complex of-complex
  <proof>

setup-lifting type-definition-PoincareDisc

lemma poincare-disc-two-elems:
  shows  $\exists z1 z2::PoincareDisc. z1 \neq z2$ 
  <proof>

```

lift-definition *inner-p* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *real* (**infixl** $\cdot$ 100) **is**
inner $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lift-definition *norm-p* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *real* ($\langle\!\langle$ - $\rangle\!\rangle$ [100] 101) **is** *norm* $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *norm-lt-one*:

shows $\langle\!\langle u \rangle\!\rangle < 1$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *norm-geq-zero*:

shows $\langle\!\langle u \rangle\!\rangle \geq 0$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *square-norm-inner*:

shows $(\langle\!\langle u \rangle\!\rangle)^2 = u \cdot u$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lift-definition *gamma-factor-p* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *real* (γ_p) **is** *gamma-factor*
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-p-nonzero* [simp]:

shows $\gamma_p u \neq 0$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-p-positive* [simp]:

shows $\gamma_p u > 0$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *norm-square-gamma-factor-p*:

shows $(\langle\!\langle u \rangle\!\rangle)^2 = 1 - 1 / (\gamma_p u)^2$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *norm-square-gamma-factor-p'*:

shows $(\langle\!\langle u \rangle\!\rangle)^2 = ((\gamma_p u)^2 - 1) / (\gamma_p u)^2$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-p-square-norm*:

shows $(\gamma_p u)^2 = 1 / (1 - (\langle\!\langle u \rangle\!\rangle)^2)$
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

end

theory *MobiusGyroGroup*

imports *Complex-Main HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces HOL.Transcendental MoreComplex*

GyroGroup PoincareDisc

begin

definition *ozero-m'* :: *complex* **where**

$ozero-m' = 0$

lift-definition $ozero-m :: PoincareDisc \ (0_m)$ **is** $ozero-m'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to-complex-0$ $[simp]$:
shows $to-complex \ 0_m = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $to-complex-0-iff$ $[iff]$:
shows $to-complex \ x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0_m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oplus-m' :: complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
 $oplus-m' \ a \ z = (a + z) / (1 + (cnj \ a) * z)$

lemma $oplus-m'-in-disc$:
assumes $cmod \ c1 < 1 \ cmod \ c2 < 1$
shows $cmod \ (oplus-m' \ c1 \ c2) < 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $oplus-m :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$ (**infixl**
 $\oplus_m \ 100$) **is** $oplus-m'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $ominus-m' :: complex \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
 $ominus-m' \ z = - \ z$

lemma $ominus-m'-in-disc$:
assumes $cmod \ z < 1$
shows $cmod \ (ominus-m' \ z) < 1$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $ominus-m :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$ ($\ominus_m$) **is** $ominus-m'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $m-left-id$:
shows $0_m \oplus_m \ a = a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $m-left-inv$:
shows $\ominus_m \ a \oplus_m \ a = 0_m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $gyr-m' :: complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
 $gyr-m' \ a \ b \ z = ((1 + a * cnj \ b) / (1 + cnj \ a * b)) * z$

lift-definition $gyr_m :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow Poincar-$
 $eDisc$ **is** $gyr-m'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-commute*:

$$a \oplus_m b = gyr_m a b (b \oplus_m a)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-left-assoc*:

$$a \oplus_m (b \oplus_m z) = (a \oplus_m b) \oplus_m gyr_m a b z$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-inv*:

$$gyr_m a b (gyr_m b a z) = z$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-bij*:

shows *bij* ($gyr_m a b$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-not-degenerate*:

shows $\exists z1 z2. gyr_m a b z1 \neq gyr_m a b z2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-left-loop*:

shows $gyr_m a b = gyr_m (a \oplus_m b) b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-distrib*:

$$\text{shows } gyr_m a b (a' \oplus_m b') = gyr_m a b a' \oplus_m gyr_m a b b'$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Mobius-gyrogrouop*: *gyrogrouop ozero-m oplus-m ominus-m gyr_m*

$\langle proof \rangle$

interpretation *Mobius-gyrocommutative-gyrogrouop*: *gyrocommutative-gyrogrouop ozero-m*

oplus-m ominus-m gyr_m

$\langle proof \rangle$

instantiation *PoincareDisc* :: *gyrogrouopoid*

begin

definition *gyrozero-PoincareDisc* **where**

gyrozero-PoincareDisc = *ozero-m*

definition *gyroplus-PoincareDisc* **where**

gyroplus-PoincareDisc = *oplus-m*

instance $\langle proof \rangle$

end

instantiation *PoincareDisc* :: *gyrogrouop*

begin

definition *gyroinv-PoincareDisc* **where**

$gyroinv\text{-}PoincareDisc = ominus\text{-}m$
definition $gyr\text{-}PoincareDisc$ **where**
 $gyr\text{-}PoincareDisc = gyr_m$
instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

instantiation $PoincareDisc :: gyrocommutative\text{-}gyrogroup$
begin
instance $\langle proof \rangle$
end

lemma $oplusM\text{-}reals$:
assumes $Im\ (to\text{-}complex\ x) = 0\ Im\ (to\text{-}complex\ y) = 0$
shows $Im\ (to\text{-}complex\ (x \oplus_m y)) = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $oplusM\text{-}pos\text{-}reals$:
assumes $Im\ (to\text{-}complex\ x) = 0\ Im\ (to\text{-}complex\ y) = 0$
assumes $Re\ (to\text{-}complex\ x) \geq 0\ Re\ (to\text{-}complex\ y) \geq 0$
shows $Re\ (to\text{-}complex\ (x \oplus_m y)) \geq 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $gyr_m\text{-}alternative :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$ **where**
 $gyr_m\text{-}alternative\ u\ v\ w = \ominus_m\ (u \oplus_m v) \oplus_m\ (u \oplus_m (v \oplus_m w))$

lemma $gyr\text{-}m\text{-}alternative\text{-}gyr\text{-}m$:
shows $gyr_m\text{-}alternative\ u\ v\ w = gyr_m\ u\ v\ w$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $oplus\text{-}m'\text{-}alternative :: complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
 $oplus\text{-}m'\text{-}alternative\ u\ v =$
 $((1 + 2 * inner\ u\ v + (norm\ v)^2) *_R\ u + (1 - (norm\ u)^2) *_R\ v) /$
 $(1 + 2 * inner\ u\ v + (norm\ u)^2 * (norm\ v)^2)$

lemma $oplus\text{-}m'\text{-}alternative$:
assumes $cmod\ u < 1\ cmod\ v < 1$
shows $oplus\text{-}m'\text{-}alternative\ u\ v = oplus\text{-}m'\ u\ v$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $oplus\text{-}m\text{-}alternative :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$
is $oplus\text{-}m'\text{-}alternative$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end
theory $Gyrotrigonometry$
imports $Main\ GyroVectorSpace$

begin

datatype 'a otriangle = M-gyrotriangle (A:'a) (B:'a) (C:'a)

context pre-gyrovector-space

begin

definition unit :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'b **where**

unit a = to-carrier a /_R $\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$

lemma norm-inner-le-1:

fixes a b :: 'b

assumes norm a ≤ 1 norm b ≤ 1

shows norm (inner a b) ≤ 1

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma norm-inner-unit:

shows norm (inner (unit ($\ominus a \oplus b$)) (unit ($\ominus a \oplus c$))) ≤ 1

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition angle :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

angle a b c = arccos (inner (unit ($\ominus a \oplus b$)) (unit ($\ominus a \oplus c$)))

definition o-ray :: 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a set **where**

o-ray x p = $\{s::'a. \exists t::real. t \geq 0 \wedge s = (x \oplus t \otimes (\ominus x \oplus p))\}$

lemma T8-5:

assumes b2 $\in$ o-ray a1 b1 b2 $\neq$ a1

c2 $\in$ o-ray a1 c1 c2 $\neq$ a1

shows angle a1 b1 c1 = angle a1 b2 c2

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition get-a :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ 'a **where**

get-a t = $\ominus (C t) \oplus (B t)$

definition get-b :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ 'a **where**

get-b t = $\ominus (C t) \oplus (A t)$

definition get-c :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ 'a **where**

get-c t = $\ominus (B t) \oplus (A t)$

definition get-alpha :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

get-alpha t = angle (A t) (B t) (C t)

definition get-beta :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

get-beta t = angle (B t) (C t) (A t)

definition get-gamma :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ real **where**

get-gamma t = angle (C t) (A t) (B t)

definition cong-gyrotriangles :: 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ 'a otriangle $\Rightarrow$ bool **where**

cong-gyrotriangles t1 t2 $\longleftrightarrow$

$(\langle\langle get-a t1 \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle get-a t2 \rangle\rangle \wedge \langle\langle get-b t1 \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle get-b t2 \rangle\rangle \wedge \langle\langle get-c t1 \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle get-c t2 \rangle\rangle)$

```

 $\wedge$ 
  (get-alpha t1 = get-alpha t2)  $\wedge$  (get-beta t1 = get-beta t2)  $\wedge$  (get-gamma t1
= get-gamma t2))
end

end
theory HyperbolicFunctions
imports HOL.Transcendental
begin

lemma artanh-abs-tanh:
  fixes x::real
  shows artanh (abs (tanh x)) = abs x
  <proof>

lemma artanh-nonneg:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes 0  $\leq$  x < 1
  shows artanh x  $\geq$  0
  <proof>

lemma artanh-not-0:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes x > 0 < 1
  shows artanh x  $\neq$  0
  <proof>

lemma tanh-not-0:
  fixes x :: real
  assumes x > 0 < 1
  shows tanh x  $\neq$  0
  <proof>

lemma tanh-monotone:
  fixes x y :: real
  assumes x > y
  shows tanh x > tanh y
  <proof>

lemma artanh-monotone1:
  fixes x::real
  assumes x  $\geq$  0 < 1 y  $\geq$  0 < 1 x  $\leq$  y
  shows (1+x) / (1-x)  $\leq$  (1+y) / (1-y)
  <proof>

lemma artanh-monotone2:
  fixes x::real
  assumes x $\geq$ 0 <1 y $\geq$ 0 <1 x $\leq$ y
  shows ln ((1+x)/(1-x))  $\leq$  ln((1+y)/(1-y))

```

```

    <proof>

lemma artanh-monotone:
  fixes  $x\ y :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $x \geq 0\ x < 1\ 0 \leq y\ y < 1$ 
  assumes  $x \leq y$ 
  shows  $\text{artanh } x \leq \text{artanh } y$ 
  <proof>

lemma tanh-artanh-nonneg:
  fixes  $x\ r :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $r \geq 0\ x \geq 0\ x < 1$ 
  shows  $\tanh (r * \text{artanh } x) \geq 0$ 
  <proof>

lemma tanh-artanh-mono:
  fixes  $x\ y :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $0 \leq x\ x < 1\ 0 \leq y\ y < 1$ 
  assumes  $x \leq y$ 
  shows  $\tanh (2 * \text{artanh } x) \leq \tanh (2 * \text{artanh } y)$ 
  <proof>

lemma tanh-def':
  fixes  $x :: \text{real}$ 
  shows  $\tanh x = (\exp (2*x) - 1) / (\exp (2*x) + 1)$ 
  <proof>

lemma tanh-artanh:
  fixes  $x :: \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $-1 < x\ x < 1$ 
  shows  $\tanh (\text{artanh } x) = x$ 
  <proof>

end
theory MobiusGyroVectorSpace
imports Main MobiusGyroGroup GyroVectorSpace Gyrotrigonometry GammaFactor HyperbolicFunctions
begin

lemma norms:
  shows  $\{x. \exists a. x = \text{cmod } (\text{to-complex } a)\} \cup \{x. \exists a. x = - \text{cmod } (\text{to-complex } a)\} = \{x. |x| < 1\}$ 
  <proof>

global-interpretation Mobius-gyrocarrier': gyrocarrier'
  where to-carrier = to-complex
rewrites

```

Mobius-gyrocarrier'.gyroinner = *inner-p* and
Mobius-gyrocarrier'.gyronorm = *norm-p* and
Mobius-gyrocarrier'.carrier = $\{z. \text{ cmod } z < 1\}$ and
Mobius-gyrocarrier'.norms = $\{x. \text{ abs } x < 1\}$

defines

of-complex = *gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex*
 <proof>

lemma *Mobius-gyrocarrier'-norms [simp]*:

shows *gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex* = $\{x. \text{ abs } x < 1\}$
 <proof>

lemma *Mobius-gyrocarrier'-carrier [simp]*:

shows *gyrocarrier'.carrier to-complex* = $\{z. \text{ cmod } z < 1\}$
 <proof>

lemma *moebius-gyroauto*:

shows *gyr_m u v a · gyr_m u v b* = *a · b*
 <proof>

interpretation *Mobius-gyrocarrier*: *gyrocarrier*

where *to-carrier* = *to-complex*
 <proof>

global-interpretation *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'*: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'*

where *to-carrier* = *to-complex*

rewrites

Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals = *of-complex ‘ cor ‘ {x. abs x < 1}*
 <proof>

lemma *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-to-real'*:

assumes *x* ∈ *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals*
shows *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.to-real' x* = *Re (to-complex x)*
 <proof>

lemma *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-of-real'*:

assumes *x* ∈ *Mobius-gyrocarrier'.norms*
shows *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.of-real' x* = *PoincareDisc.of-complex (cor x)*
 <proof>

lemma *gyronorm-Re*:

assumes *Re (to-complex x) ≥ 0 Im (to-complex x) = 0*
shows $\langle\langle x \rangle\rangle$ = *Re (to-complex x)*
 <proof>

lemma *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-reals* [simp]:
shows *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex = of-complex ' cor ' {x. |x| < 1}*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *otimes'-k* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
otimes'-k *r z* = $((1 + \text{cmod } z) \text{ powr } r - (1 - \text{cmod } z) \text{ powr } r) /$
 $((1 + \text{cmod } z) \text{ powr } r + (1 - \text{cmod } z) \text{ powr } r)$

lemma *otimes'-k-tanh*:
assumes *cmod* *z* < 1
shows *otimes'-k* *r z* = $\tanh (r * \text{artanh } (\text{cmod } z))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *cmod-otimes'-k*:
assumes *cmod* *z* < 1
shows *cmod* (*otimes'-k* *r z*) < 1
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *otimes'* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* **where**
otimes' *r z* = $(\text{if } z = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else } \text{cor } (\text{otimes'-k } r z) * (z / \text{cmod } z))$

lemma *cmod-otimes'*:
assumes *cmod* *z* < 1
shows *cmod* (*otimes'* *r z*) = *abs* (*otimes'-k* *r z*)
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *otimes* :: *real* $\Rightarrow$ *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *PoincareDisc* (**infixl** $\otimes$ 105) **is**
otimes'
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *otimes-distrib-lemma'*:
fixes *ax bx ay by* :: *real*
assumes *ax* + *bx* $\neq$ 0 *ay* + *by* $\neq$ 0
shows $(ax * ay - bx * by) / (ax * ay + bx * by) =$
 $((ax - bx)/(ax + bx) + (ay - by)/(ay + by)) /$
 $(1 + ((ax - bx)/(ax + bx)) * ((ay - by)/(ay + by)))$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *otimes-distrib-lemma*:
assumes *cmod* *a* < 1
shows *otimes'-k* (*r1* + *r2*) *a* = *oplus-m'* (*otimes'-k* *r1* *a*) (*otimes'-k* *r2* *a*)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *otimes-oplus-m-distrib*:
shows (*r1* + *r2*) $\otimes$ *a* = *r1* $\otimes$ *a* $\oplus_m$ *r2* $\otimes$ *a*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *otimes-assoc*:

shows $(r1 * r2) \otimes a = r1 \otimes (r2 \otimes a)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *otimes-scale-prop*:

fixes $r :: real$
assumes $r \neq 0$
shows $to_complex (|r| \otimes a) / \langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = to_complex a / \langle\langle a \rangle\rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-eq1-lemma1*:

shows $cmod(1 + cnj\ a * b) * cmod(1 + cnj\ a * b) - cmod(a+b) * cmod(a+b) =$
 $(1 - cmod\ a * cmod\ a) * (1 - cmod\ b * cmod\ b)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-eq1-lemma2*:

fixes $x\ y :: real$
assumes $y > 0$
shows $1 / \sqrt{1 - (x*x)/(y*y)} = abs\ y / \sqrt{y*y - x*x}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-norm-oplus-m*:

shows $\gamma (\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle) =$
 $\gamma (to_complex\ a) *$
 $\gamma (to_complex\ b) *$
 $cmod\ (1 + cnj\ (to_complex\ a) * (to_complex\ b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-norm-oplus-m'*:

shows $\gamma_p (of_complex\ (cor\ (\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle))) =$
 $\gamma_p\ (a) *$
 $\gamma_p\ (b) *$
 $cmod\ (1 + cnj\ (to_complex\ a) * (to_complex\ b))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-oplus-m-triangle-lemma*:

fixes $x\ y :: real$
assumes $x \geq 0\ x < 1\ y \geq 0\ y < 1$
shows $1 / \sqrt{1 - ((x+y)*(x+y))/((1+x*y)*(1+x*y))} =$
 $(1+x*y) / (\sqrt{1-x*x} * \sqrt{1-y*y})$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-factor-oplus-m-triangle*:

shows $\gamma (\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle) \leq \gamma (to_complex\ ((of_complex\ (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle)) \oplus_m (of_complex\ (\langle\langle b \rangle\rangle))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *mobius-triangle*:

shows $\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle \leq \langle\langle \text{of-complex } (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle) \oplus_m \text{of-complex } (\langle\langle b \rangle\rangle) \rangle\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mobius-triangle'*:

shows $\langle\langle a \oplus_m b \rangle\rangle \leq \text{Re } (\text{to-complex } (\text{of-complex } (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle) \oplus_m \text{of-complex } (\langle\langle b \rangle\rangle)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *mobius-gyroauto-norm*:

shows $\langle\langle \text{gyr}_m a b v \rangle\rangle = \langle\langle v \rangle\rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *otimes-homogeneity*:

shows $\langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = \text{cmod } (\text{to-complex } (|r| \otimes \text{of-complex } (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *otimes-homogeneity'*:

shows $\langle\langle r \otimes a \rangle\rangle = \text{Re } (\text{to-complex } (|r| \otimes \text{of-complex } (\langle\langle a \rangle\rangle)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-gyrospace*:

shows $\text{gyr}_m (r1 \otimes v) (r2 \otimes v) = \text{id}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyr-m-gyrospace2*:

shows $\text{gyr}_m u v (r \otimes a) = r \otimes (\text{gyr}_m u v a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reals'*:

shows $\text{cor } \{x. \text{abs } x < 1\} = \{z. \text{cmod } z < 1 \wedge \text{Im } z = 0\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *zero-times-m [simp]*:

shows $0 \otimes x = 0_m$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Mobius-gyrocarrier-norms-embed: gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-complex otimes*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space: pre-gyrovector-space to-complex otimes*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *Mobius-gyrovector-space: gyrovector-space-norms-embed otimes to-complex*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *norm-scale-tanh*:

shows $\langle\langle r \otimes z \rangle\rangle = |\tanh (r * \operatorname{artanh} (\langle\langle z \rangle\rangle))|$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *ominus-m-scale*:

shows $k \otimes (\ominus_m u) = \ominus_m (k \otimes u)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *otimes-2-plus-m*: $2 \otimes u = u \oplus_m u$

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

definition *half'* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* **where**

half' $v = (\gamma v / (1 + \gamma v)) *_R v$

lift-definition *half* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *PoincareDisc* **is** *half'*

$\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *otimes-2-half*:

shows $2 \otimes (\text{half } v) = v$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *half*:

shows $\text{half } v = (1/2) \otimes v$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *half'*:

assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1$
shows $\text{otimes}' (1/2) u = \text{half}' u$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *half-gamma'*:

shows $\text{to-complex } ((1 / 2) \otimes u) =$
 $(\gamma (\text{to-complex } u)) / (1 + \gamma (\text{to-complex } u)) * \text{to-complex } u$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

definition *double'* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* **where**

double' $v = (2 * (\gamma v)^2 / (2 * (\gamma v)^2 - 1)) *_R v$

lemma *double'-cmod*:

assumes $\text{cmod } v < 1$
shows $2 * (\gamma v)^2 / (2 * (\gamma v)^2 - 1) = 2 / (1 + (\text{cmod } v)^2)$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lemma *cmod-double'*:

assumes $\text{cmod } v < 1$
shows $\text{cmod } (\text{double}' v) = 2 * \text{cmod } v / (1 + (\text{cmod } v)^2)$
 $\langle\text{proof}\rangle$

lift-definition *double* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *PoincareDisc* **is** *double'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double'-otimes'-2*:
assumes *cmod* *v* < 1
shows *double' v* = *otimes' 2 v*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *double*:
shows *double u* = $2 \otimes u$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *Einstein*

imports *Complex-Main GyroGroup GyroVectorSpace GyroVectorSpaceIsomorphism GammaFactor HOL.Real-Vector-Spaces*

MobiusGyroGroup MobiusGyroVectorSpace HOL.Transcendental

begin

Einstein zero

definition *ozero-e'* :: *complex* **where**
ozero-e' = 0

lift-definition *ozero-e* :: *PoincareDisc* (θ_e) **is** *ozero-e'*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ozero-e-ozero-m*:
shows $\theta_e = \theta_m$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Einstein addition

definition *oplus-e'* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* **where**
oplus-e' u v = $(1 / (1 + \text{inner } u \ v)) *_R (u + (1 / \gamma \ u) *_R v + ((\gamma \ u / (1 + \gamma \ u)) * (\text{inner } u \ v)) *_R u)$

lemma *noroplus-m'-e*:
assumes *norm u* < 1 *norm v* < 1
shows *norm (oplus-e' u v)*² =
 $1 / (1 + \text{inner } u \ v)^2 * (\text{norm}(u+v)^2 - ((\text{norm } u)^2 * (\text{norm } v)^2 - (\text{inner } u \ v)^2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-oplus-e'*:
assumes *norm u* < 1 *norm v* < 1
shows $1 / \text{sqrt}(1 - \text{norm } (\text{oplus-e' } u \ v)^2) = \gamma \ u * \gamma \ v * (1 + \text{inner } u \ v)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *gamma-oplus-e'-not-zero:*

assumes $\text{norm } u < 1 \text{ norm } v < 1$

shows $1 / \text{sqrt}(1 - \text{norm}(\text{oplus-}e' \ u \ v)^2) \neq 0$

<proof>

lemma *oplus-e'-in-unit-disc:*

assumes $\text{norm } u < 1 \text{ norm } v < 1$

shows $\text{norm } (\text{oplus-}e' \ u \ v) < 1$

<proof>

lemma *gamma-factor-oplus-e':*

assumes $\text{norm } u < 1 \text{ norm } v < 1$

shows $\gamma (\text{oplus-}e' \ u \ v) = (\gamma \ u) * (\gamma \ v) * (1 + \text{inner } u \ v)$

<proof>

lift-definition *oplus-e* :: $\text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}$ (**infixl**

$\oplus_e \ 100$) **is** *oplus-e'*

<proof>

definition *ominus-e'* :: $\text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **where**

ominus-e' $v = -v$

lemma *ominus-e'-in-unit-disc:*

assumes $\text{norm } z < 1$

shows $\text{norm } (\text{ominus-}e' \ z) < 1$

<proof>

lift-definition *ominus-e* :: $\text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}$ ($\ominus_e$) **is** *ominus-e'*

<proof>

lemma *ominus-e-ominus-m:*

shows $\ominus_e \ a = \ominus_m \ a$

<proof>

lemma *ominus-e-scale:*

shows $k \otimes (\ominus_e \ u) = \ominus_e \ (k \otimes u)$

<proof>

lemma *gamma-factor-p-positive:*

shows $\gamma_p \ a > 0$

<proof>

lemma *gamma-factor-p-oplus-e:*

shows $\gamma_p \ (u \oplus_e \ v) = \gamma_p \ u * \gamma_p \ v * (1 + u \cdot v)$

<proof>

abbreviation $\gamma_2 :: \text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$$\gamma_2 \ u \equiv \gamma \ u / (1 + \gamma \ u)$$

lemma *norm-square-gamma-half-scale:*

assumes $\text{norm } u < 1$

shows $(\text{norm } (\gamma_2 \ u *_{\mathbb{R}} \ u))^2 = (\gamma \ u - 1) / (1 + \gamma \ u)$

<proof>

lemma *norm-half-square-gamma:*

assumes $\text{norm } u < 1$

shows $(\text{norm } (\text{half}' \ u))^2 = (\gamma_2 \ u)^2 * (\text{cmod } u)^2$

<proof>

lemma *norm-half-square-gamma':*

assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1$

shows $(\text{norm } (\text{half}' \ u))^2 = (\gamma \ u - 1) / (1 + \gamma \ u)$

<proof>

lemma *inner-half-square-gamma:*

assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1 \ \text{cmod } v < 1$

shows $\text{inner } (\text{half}' \ u) (\text{half}' \ v) = \gamma_2 \ u * \gamma_2 \ v * \text{inner } u \ v$

<proof>

lemma *iso-me-help1:*

assumes $\text{norm } v < 1$

shows $1 + (\gamma \ v - 1) / (1 + \gamma \ v) = 2 * \gamma \ v / (1 + \gamma \ v)$

<proof>

lemma *iso-me-help2:*

assumes $\text{norm } v < 1$

shows $1 - (\gamma \ v - 1) / (1 + \gamma \ v) = 2 / (1 + \gamma \ v)$

<proof>

lemma *iso-me-help3:*

assumes $\text{norm } v < 1 \ \text{norm } u < 1$

shows $1 + ((\gamma \ v - 1) / (1 + \gamma \ v)) * ((\gamma \ u - 1) / (1 + \gamma \ u)) =$
 $2 * (1 + (\gamma \ u) * (\gamma \ v)) / ((1 + \gamma \ v) * (1 + \gamma \ u))$ (**is** ?lhs = ?rhs)

<proof>

lemma *half'-oplus-e':*

fixes $u \ v :: \text{complex}$

assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1 \ \text{cmod } v < 1$

shows $\text{half}' (\text{oplus-e}' \ u \ v) =$

$$\gamma \ u * \gamma \ v / (\gamma \ u * \gamma \ v * (1 + \text{inner } u \ v) + 1) * (u + (1 / \gamma \ u) * v + (\gamma \ u / (1 + \gamma \ u)) * \text{inner } u \ v * u)$$

<proof>

lemma *oplus-m'-half':*

```

fixes  $u\ v :: \text{complex}$ 
assumes  $\text{cmod } u < 1 \text{ cmod } v < 1$ 
shows  $\text{oplus-}m' (\text{half}'\ u) (\text{half}'\ v) =$ 
 $(\gamma\ u * \gamma\ v / (\gamma\ u * \gamma\ v * (1 + \text{inner } u\ v) + 1)) * (u + (1 / \gamma\ u) * v + (\gamma\ u / (1 + \gamma\ u) * \text{inner } u\ v) * u)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma iso-me-oplus:
shows  $(1/2) \otimes (u \oplus_e v) = ((1/2) \otimes u) \oplus_m ((1/2) \otimes v)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma oplus-e-oplus-m:
shows  $u \oplus_e v = 2 \otimes ((1/2) \otimes u \oplus_m (1/2) \otimes v)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma iso-two-me-oplus:
shows  $2 \otimes (u \oplus_m v) = (2 \otimes u) \oplus_e (2 \otimes v)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma iso-two-me-ominus:
shows  $2 \otimes (\ominus_m u) = \ominus_e (2 \otimes u)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma iso-two-me-zero:
shows  $2 \otimes 0_m = 0_e$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma iso-two-me-bij:
shows  $\text{bij } (\lambda x :: \text{PoincareDisc}. 2 \otimes x)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{gyr}_e :: \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}$ 
where
 $\text{gyr}_e\ u\ v\ w = \ominus_e (u \oplus_e v) \oplus_e (u \oplus_e (v \oplus_e w))$ 

typedef  $\text{PoincareDiscM} = \text{UNIV} :: \text{PoincareDisc set}$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
setup-lifting type-definition-PoincareDiscM

lift-definition  $\text{zero-}M :: \text{PoincareDiscM } (0_M) \text{ is } 0_m \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition  $\text{ominus-}M :: \text{PoincareDiscM} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDiscM } (\ominus_M) \text{ is } (\ominus_m)$ 
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lift-definition  $\text{oplus-}M :: \text{PoincareDiscM} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDiscM} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDiscM}$ 
(infixl  $\oplus_M\ 100)$  is  $(\oplus_m) \langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

```

lift-definition $\text{gyr-}M :: \text{PoincareDisc}M \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}M \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}M \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}M$ **is** gyr_m $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{to-complex-}M :: \text{PoincareDisc}M \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **is** to-complex $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $\text{gyrogroupoid-}M$: gyrogroupoid $\text{zero-}M$ $\text{oplus-}M$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation $\text{PoincareDisc}M :: \text{gyrogroupoid}$

begin

definition $\text{gyrozero-}PoincareDiscM$ **where** $\text{gyrozero-}PoincareDiscM = 0_M$

definition $\text{gyroplus-}PoincareDiscM$ **where** $\text{gyroplus-}PoincareDiscM = \text{oplus-}M$

instance

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

instantiation $\text{PoincareDisc}M :: \text{gyrocommutative-gyrogrou}$

begin

definition $\text{gyroinv-}PoincareDiscM$ **where** $\text{gyroinv-}PoincareDiscM = \text{ominus-}M$

definition $\text{gyr-}PoincareDiscM$ **where** $\text{gyr-}PoincareDiscM = \text{gyr-}M$

instance $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

typedef $\text{PoincareDisc}E = \text{UNIV}::\text{PoincareDisc}$ set

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

setup-lifting $\text{type-definition-}PoincareDiscE$

lift-definition $\text{zero-}E :: \text{PoincareDisc}E$ (0_E) **is** 0_e $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{ominus-}E :: \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E$ $(\ominus_E)$ **is** $(\ominus_e)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{oplus-}E :: \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E$ **(infixl** $\oplus_E$ $100)$ **is** $(\oplus_e)$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{gyr-}E :: \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E$ **is** gyr_e $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\text{to-complex-}E :: \text{PoincareDisc}E \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **is** to-complex $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lift-definition $\varphi_{ME} :: \text{PoincareDisc}M \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc}E$ **is** $\lambda x::\text{PoincareDisc}. 2 \otimes x$ $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation $\text{Einstein-gyrogrou-iso}$:

$\text{gyrogroup-isomorphism}$ φ_{ME} $\text{zero-}E$ $\text{oplus-}E$ $\text{ominus-}E$

rewrites

$\text{Einstein-gyrogrou-iso.gyr}' = \text{gyr-}E$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

instantiation $\text{PoincareDisc}E :: \text{gyrogroupoid}$

```

begin
  definition gyrozero-PoincareDiscE where gyrozero-PoincareDiscE = 0_E
  definition gyroplus-PoincareDiscE where gyroplus-PoincareDiscE = oplus-E
  instance
    <proof>
  end

  instantiation PoincareDiscE :: gyrocommutative-gyrogrou
  begin
    definition gyroinv-PoincareDiscE where gyroinv-PoincareDiscE = ominus-E
    definition gyr-PoincareDiscE where gyr-PoincareDiscE = gyr-E
    instance <proof>
  end

  end

  lift-definition scale-M :: real ⇒ PoincareDiscM ⇒ PoincareDiscM is (⊗) <proof>

  lift-definition scale-E :: real ⇒ PoincareDiscE ⇒ PoincareDiscE is (⊗) <proof>

  lemma gyrocarrier'M:
    shows gyrocarrier' to-complex-M
    <proof>

  lemma gyrocarrier-norms-embed'M:
    shows gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-M
    <proof>

  lemma of-carrier-M:
    assumes cmod z < 1
    shows gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex-M z = Abs-PoincareDiscM (PoincareDisc.of-complex
    z)
    <proof>

  global-interpretation GCM: gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-M
    rewrites GCM.norms = {x. abs x < 1} and
      GCM.reals = Abs-PoincareDiscM ' PoincareDisc.of-complex ' cor ' {x.
    |x| < 1}
    defines of-complex-M = gyrocarrier'.of-carrier to-complex-M
    <proof>

  lemma of-real'-M:
    assumes abs x < 1
    shows GCM.of-real' x = Abs-PoincareDiscM (PoincareDisc.of-complex (cor x))
    <proof>

  lemma to-real'-M:
    assumes z ∈ GCM.reals
    shows GCM.to-real' z = Re (to-complex-M z)

```

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyronorm-M-lt-1* [simp]:
shows $\text{abs } (GCM.\text{gyronorm } a) < 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyrocarrier'-norms-M* [simp]:
shows $\text{gyrocarrier'}.norms \text{ to-complex-M} = GCM.norms$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-reals-M* [simp]:
shows $\text{gyrocarrier-norms-embed'}.reals \text{ to-complex-M} = GCM.reals$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyrocarrier'E*:
shows $\text{gyrocarrier'} \text{ to-complex-E}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'E*:
shows $\text{gyrocarrier-norms-embed'} \text{ to-complex-E}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-carrier-E*:
assumes $\text{cmod } z < 1$
shows $\text{gyrocarrier'}.of\text{-carrier to-complex-E } z = \text{Abs-PoincareDiscE } (\text{PoincareDisc.of-complex } z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

global-interpretation *GCE*: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed' to-complex-E*
rewrites $GCE.norms = \{x. \text{abs } x < 1\}$ **and**
 $GCE.reals = \text{Abs-PoincareDiscE } ' \text{PoincareDisc.of-complex } ' \text{cor } ' \{x. |x| < 1\}$
defines $\text{of-complex-E} = \text{gyrocarrier'}.of\text{-carrier to-complex-E}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *of-real'-E*:
assumes $\text{abs } x < 1$
shows $GCE.of\text{-real'} x = \text{Abs-PoincareDiscE } (\text{PoincareDisc.of-complex } (\text{cor } x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-real'-E*:
assumes $z \in GCE.reals$
shows $GCE.to\text{-real'} z = \text{Re } (\text{to-complex-E } z)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyronorm-E-lt-1* [simp]:
shows $\text{abs } (GCE.\text{gyronorm } a) < 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *gyrocarrier'-norms-E* [simp]:
shows *gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex-E = GCE.norms*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'-reals-E* [simp]:
shows *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-E = GCE.reals*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *φ_{ME} reals-to-reals*:
shows *φ_{ME} ' gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-M = gyrocarrier-norms-embed'.reals to-complex-E*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *gyrocarrier-norms-embed-M*: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed to-complex-M scale-M*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *pre-gyrovector-space-M*: *pre-gyrovector-space to-complex-M scale-M*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *gyrovector-space-norms-embed-M*: *gyrovector-space-norms-embed scale-M to-complex-M*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *bijφ_{ME} = Einstein-gyrogroupp-iso.φbij*

lemma *oplusφ_{ME}*:
shows *φ_{ME} (u ⊕ v) = φ_{ME} u ⊕ φ_{ME} v*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *scaleφ_{ME}*:
shows *φ_{ME} (scale-M r u) = scale-E r (φ_{ME} u)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *GCEoinvRMinus*:
assumes *a ∈ gyrocarrier'.norms to-complex-E*
shows *GCE.oinvR a = - a*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *gyronormφ_{ME}*:
shows *φ_{ME} (GCM.of-real' (GCM.gyronorm a)) = GCE.of-real' (GCE.gyronorm (φ_{ME} a))*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *isoME''*: *gyrocarrier-isomorphism' to-complex-M to-complex-E φ_{ME}*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *isoME'*: *gyrocarrier-isomorphism to-complex-M to-complex-E φ_{ME}*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *PGVME: pre-gyrovector-space-isomorphism to-complex-M to-complex-E*
scale-M scale-E φ_{ME}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *isoME-norms-embed': gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed' to-complex-M*
to-complex-E scale-M scale-E φ_{ME}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *isoME-norms-embed: gyrocarrier-isomorphism-norms-embed to-complex-M*
to-complex-E scale-M scale-E φ_{ME}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *isoME': gyrovector-space-isomorphism' to-complex-M to-complex-E*
scale-M scale-E φ_{ME}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

interpretation *isoME: gyrovector-space-isomorphism to-complex-M to-complex-E*
scale-M scale-E φ_{ME}
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end
theory *GyroVectorSpaceTrivial*
imports *GyroVectorSpace*
begin

Every group is a gyrogroup with identity gyration

sublocale *group-add $\subseteq$ groupGyrogrouoid: gyrogrouoid 0 (+)*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *group-add $\subseteq$ groupGyrogroup: gyrogroup 0 (+) $\lambda x. - x \lambda u v x. x$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *gyrocarrier-trivial = gyrocarrier' to-carrier for*
to-carrier :: 'a:: {gyrocommutative-gyrogroup, real-inner, real-normed-algebra-1}
 $\Rightarrow$ *'a +*
assumes *gyr-id: $\bigwedge u v x. (\text{gyr}:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a) u v x = x$*
assumes *to-carrier-id: $\bigwedge x. \text{to-carrier } x = x$*
assumes *oplus: $\bigwedge x y:: 'a. x \oplus y = x + y$*
assumes *ominus: $\bigwedge x:: 'a. \ominus x = - x$*

sublocale *gyrocarrier-trivial $\subseteq$ gyrocarrier to-carrier*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *gyrocarrier-trivial $\subseteq$ pre-gyrovector-space to-carrier $(*_R)$*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

sublocale *gyrocarrier-trivial* $\subseteq$ *TG'*: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed'* *to-carrier*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

context *gyrocarrier-trivial*
begin

lemma *norms-UNIV*:
shows *norms* = *UNIV*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *reals-UNIV*:
shows *TG'.reals* = *of-real* ' *UNIV*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *of-real'*:
shows *TG'.of-real'* = *of-real*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

sublocale *gyrocarrier-trivial* $\subseteq$ *TG*: *gyrocarrier-norms-embed* *to-carrier* (*_R)
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *gyrocarrier-trivial* $\subseteq$ *gyrovector-space-norms-embed* (*_R) *to-carrier*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

theory *hDistance*
imports *MobiusGyroVectorSpace*
begin

abbreviation *distance-m-expr* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
distance-m-expr *u v* $\equiv 1 + 2 * (cmod (u - v))^2 / ((1 - (cmod u)^2) * (1 - (cmod v)^2))$

definition *distance-m* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
distance-m *u v* = *arcosh* (*distance-m-expr* *u v*)

lemma *arcosh-artanh-lemma*:
shows $(cmod (1 - cnj u * v))^2 - (cmod (u - v))^2 = (1 - (cmod u)^2) * (1 - (cmod v)^2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *distance-m-expr-ge-1*:
fixes *u v* :: *complex*
assumes *cmod u* < 1 *cmod v* < 1
shows *distance-m-expr* *u v* ≥ 1
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *arcosh-artanh*:

fixes $u\ v :: \text{complex}$

assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1 \text{ cmod } v < 1$

shows $\text{arcosh } (\text{distance-m-expr } u\ v) =$
 $2 * \text{artanh } (\text{cmod } ((u-v) / (1 - (\text{cnj } u)*v)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *distance-m-gyro* :: $\text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$\text{distance-m-gyro } u\ v = 2 * \text{artanh } (\text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.distance } u\ v)$

lemma *distance-equiv*:

shows $\text{distance-m-gyro } u\ v = \text{distance-m } (\text{to-complex } u) (\text{to-complex } v)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *blaschke* **where**

$\text{blaschke } a\ z = (z - a) / (1 - \text{cnj } a * z)$

lemma

fixes $a\ z :: \text{complex}$

shows $\text{blaschke } a\ z = \text{oplus-m'} (\text{ominus-m'} a) z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *MobiusCollinear*

imports *MobiusGyroVectorSpace*

begin

lemma *collinear-0-proportional'*:

assumes $v \neq 0_m$

shows $\text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear } x\ 0_m\ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists\ k :: \text{real. to-complex } x = k * (\text{to-complex } v))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma

assumes $v \neq 0_m$

shows $\text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear } x\ 0_m\ v \longleftrightarrow \text{to-complex } x * \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } v) = \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } x) * \text{to-complex } v$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *collinear-0-proportional*:

shows $\text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear } x\ 0_m\ v \longleftrightarrow v = 0_m \vee (\exists\ k :: \text{real. to-complex } x = k * (\text{to-complex } v))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-complex-0 [simp]*:

shows $\text{to-complex } 0_m = 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *to-complex-0-iff [iff]*:

shows *to-complex* $x = 0 \longleftrightarrow x = 0_m$
 <proof>

lemma *mobius-between-0xy*:
shows *Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.between* $0_m \ x \ y \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \ k::real. \ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq 1 \wedge \text{to-complex } x = k * \text{to-complex } y)$
 <proof>

end
theory *MobiusGeometry*
imports *MobiusGyroVectorSpace*
begin

lemma *mobius-collinear-u0v'*:
assumes $v \neq 0_m$
shows *Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear* $u \ 0_m \ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists \ k::real. \text{to-complex } u = k * (\text{to-complex } v))$
 <proof>

lemma *mobius-collinear-u0v*:
shows *Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.collinear* $x \ 0_m \ v \longleftrightarrow$
 $v = 0_m \vee (\exists \ k::real. \text{to-complex } x = k * (\text{to-complex } v))$
 <proof>

lemma *mobius-between-0uv*:
shows *Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.between* $0_m \ u \ v \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists \ k::real. \ 0 \leq k \wedge k \leq 1 \wedge \text{to-complex } u = k * \text{to-complex } v)$
 <proof>

abbreviation *distance-m-expr* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
 $\text{distance-m-expr } u \ v \equiv 1 + 2 * (\text{cmod } (u - v))^2 / ((1 - (\text{cmod } u)^2) * (1 - (\text{cmod } v)^2))$

definition *distance-m* :: *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *complex* $\Rightarrow$ *real* **where**
 $\text{distance-m } u \ v = \text{arcosh } (\text{distance-m-expr } u \ v)$

lemma *arcosh-artanh-lemma*:
shows $(\text{cmod } (1 - \text{cnj } u * v))^2 - (\text{cmod } (u - v))^2 = (1 - (\text{cmod } u)^2) * (1 - (\text{cmod } v)^2)$
 <proof>

lemma *distance-m-expr-ge-1*:
fixes $u \ v :: \text{complex}$
assumes $\text{cmod } u < 1 \ \text{cmod } v < 1$
shows $\text{distance-m-expr } u \ v \geq 1$
 <proof>

```

lemma arcosh-artanh:
  fixes  $u\ v :: \text{complex}$ 
  assumes  $\text{cmod } u < 1 \ \text{cmod } v < 1$ 
  shows  $\text{arcosh } (\text{distance-m-expr } u\ v) =$ 
     $2 * \text{artanh } (\text{cmod } ((u-v) / (1 - (\text{cnj } u)*v)))$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{distance}_m :: \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{real}$  where
   $\text{distance}_m\ u\ v = 2 * \text{artanh } (\text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.distance } u\ v)$ 

lemma distancem-equiv:
  shows  $\text{distance}_m\ u\ v = \text{distance-m } (\text{to-complex } u) (\text{to-complex } v)$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

definition  $\text{cong}_m :: \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{PoincareDisc} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where
   $\text{cong}_m\ a\ b\ c\ d \longleftrightarrow \text{distance}_m\ a\ b = \text{distance}_m\ c\ d$ 

end
theory TarskiIsomorphism
  imports Poincare-Disc.Tarski
begin

locale TarskiAbsoluteIso = TarskiAbsolute +
  fixes  $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
  fixes  $\text{cong}' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  fixes  $\text{betw}' :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes  $\varphi \text{bij}: \text{bij } \varphi$ 
  assumes  $\varphi \text{cong}: \bigwedge x\ y\ z\ w. \text{cong}' (\varphi\ x) (\varphi\ y) (\varphi\ z) (\varphi\ w) \longleftrightarrow \text{cong } x\ y\ z\ w$ 
  assumes  $\varphi \text{betw}: \bigwedge x\ y\ z. \text{betw}' (\varphi\ x) (\varphi\ y) (\varphi\ z) \longleftrightarrow \text{betw } x\ y\ z$ 

sublocale TarskiAbsoluteIso  $\subseteq$  TA: TarskiAbsolute  $\text{cong}'\ \text{betw}'$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

context TarskiAbsoluteIso
begin
lemma  $\varphi$ -on-line:
  shows  $\text{TA.on-line } (\varphi\ p) (\varphi\ a) (\varphi\ b) \longleftrightarrow \text{on-line } p\ a\ b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -on-ray:
  shows  $\text{TA.on-ray } (\varphi\ p) (\varphi\ a) (\varphi\ b) \longleftrightarrow \text{on-ray } p\ a\ b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -in-angle:
  shows  $\text{TA.in-angle } (\varphi\ p) (\varphi\ a) (\varphi\ b) (\varphi\ c) \longleftrightarrow \text{in-angle } p\ a\ b\ c$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma  $\varphi$ -ray-meets-line:

```

```

shows TA.ray-meets-line ( $\varphi$  ra) ( $\varphi$  rb) ( $\varphi$  la) ( $\varphi$  lb)  $\longleftrightarrow$ 
      ray-meets-line ra rb la lb
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

locale TarskiHyperbolicIso = TarskiHyperbolic +
  fixes  $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
  fixes cong' ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  fixes betw' ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes  $\varphi$ bij: bij  $\varphi$ 
  assumes  $\varphi$ cong:  $\bigwedge x\ y\ z\ w. \text{cong}'(\varphi\ x)(\varphi\ y)(\varphi\ z)(\varphi\ w) \longleftrightarrow \text{cong}\ x\ y\ z\ w$ 
  assumes  $\varphi$ betw:  $\bigwedge x\ y\ z. \text{betw}'(\varphi\ x)(\varphi\ y)(\varphi\ z) \longleftrightarrow \text{betw}\ x\ y\ z$ 

sublocale TarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  TAI: TarskiAbsoluteIso
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

sublocale TarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  TarskiHyperbolic cong' betw'
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

locale ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso = ElementaryTarskiHyperbolic +
  fixes  $\varphi :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ 
  fixes cong' ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  fixes betw' ::  $'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 
  assumes  $\varphi$ bij: bij  $\varphi$ 
  assumes  $\varphi$ cong:  $\bigwedge x\ y\ z\ w. \text{cong}'(\varphi\ x)(\varphi\ y)(\varphi\ z)(\varphi\ w) \longleftrightarrow \text{cong}\ x\ y\ z\ w$ 
  assumes  $\varphi$ betw:  $\bigwedge x\ y\ z. \text{betw}'(\varphi\ x)(\varphi\ y)(\varphi\ z) \longleftrightarrow \text{betw}\ x\ y\ z$ 

sublocale ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  THI: TarskiHyperbolicIso
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

sublocale ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso  $\subseteq$  ElementaryTarskiHyperbolic cong' betw'
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

theory MobiusGyroTarski
imports MobiusGeometry TarskiIsomorphism Poincare-Disc.Poincare-Tarski
begin

  This theory depends on the following AFP entries:
  https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Poincare\_Disc.html
https://www.isa-afp.org/entries/Complex\_Geometry.html
  They must be downloaded in order to check this theory.

  The following lemmas can be moved to the cited AFP entries.

lemma eqArgLessCmod:
  assumes  $u \neq 0\ v \neq 0$ 
  shows  $\text{Arg}\ u = \text{Arg}\ v \wedge \text{cmod}\ u \leq \text{cmod}\ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists k. k \geq 0 \wedge k \leq 1 \wedge u = \text{cor}\ k * v)$ 

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $p\text{-blaschke} :: p\text{-point} \Rightarrow p\text{-isometry}$ **is** $\lambda a. (moebius\text{-pt} (blaschke (to\text{-complex} a)))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p\text{-between-}p\text{-isometry-pt}$ [simp]:
shows $p\text{-between} (p\text{-isometry-pt } f \ a) (p\text{-isometry-pt } f \ b) (p\text{-isometry-pt } f \ c) \longleftrightarrow p\text{-between } a \ b \ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p\text{-blaschke-id}$ [simp]:
shows $p\text{-isometry-pt} (p\text{-blaschke } x) \ x = p\text{-zero}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $p\text{-between-}0uv$:
shows $p\text{-between } p\text{-zero } u \ v \longleftrightarrow (\exists k \geq 0. k \leq 1 \wedge to\text{-complex} (Rep\text{-}p\text{-point } u) = cor \ k * to\text{-complex} (Rep\text{-}p\text{-point } v))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

A bijection between AFP type representing the Poincare disc (based on complex homogenous coordinates) and our type for poincare disc (based on ordinary complex numbers)

lift-definition $\varphi :: p\text{-point} \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$ **is** $to\text{-complex}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $distance\text{-}m\text{-}p\text{-dist}$:
shows $distance\text{-}m (PoincareDisc.to\text{-complex} (\varphi \ x)) (PoincareDisc.to\text{-complex} (\varphi \ y)) = p\text{-dist } x \ y$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $blaschke' :: complex \Rightarrow complex \Rightarrow complex$ **where**
 $blaschke' \ a \ z = (z - a) / (1 - conj \ a * z)$

lemma $blaschke'\text{-translation}$:
fixes $a \ z :: complex$
shows $blaschke' \ a \ z = oplus\text{-}m' (ominus\text{-}m' \ a) \ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lift-definition $blaschke\text{-}g :: PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc \Rightarrow PoincareDisc$ **is** $blaschke'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $blaschke\text{-translation}$:
 $blaschke\text{-}g \ a \ z = (\ominus_m \ a) \oplus_m \ z$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Isomorphism between hyperbolic geometry of Poincare disc defined in AFP entry, and hyperbolic geometry in Mobius gyrovector space. Since these two are isomorphic, the geometry of Mobius gyrovector space satisfies Tarski axioms.

interpretation *MobiusGyroTarskiIso: ElementaryTarskiHyperbolicIso* *p-congruent p-between* φ *cong_m Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.between*
 ⟨proof⟩

interpretation *MobiusGyroTarski: ElementaryTarskiHyperbolic* *cong_m Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.between*
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *MobiusGyrotrigonometry*

imports *Main GammaFactor PoincareDisc MobiusGyroVectorSpace MoreComplex*

begin

lemma *m-gamma-h1:*

shows $\ominus_m a \oplus_m b = \text{of-complex } ((\text{to-complex } b - \text{to-complex } a) / (1 - \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{to-complex } b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *m-gamma-h2:*

shows $(\langle \ominus_m a \oplus_m b \rangle)^2 = ((\langle b \rangle)^2 + (\langle a \rangle)^2 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b)) / (1 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b) + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *m-gamma-h3:*

shows $1 - (\langle \ominus_m a \oplus_m b \rangle)^2 = (1 - (\langle b \rangle)^2 - (\langle a \rangle)^2 + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2) / (1 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b) + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2)$ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *gamma-factor-m :: PoincareDisc $\Rightarrow$ real (γ_m) is gamma-factor*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *m-gamma-h4:*

shows $(\gamma_m (\ominus_m a \oplus_m b))^2 = (1 - (\text{to-complex } a) * \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } b) - \text{cnj } (\text{to-complex } a) * (\text{to-complex } b) + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2) / (1 - (\langle b \rangle)^2 - (\langle a \rangle)^2 + (\langle a \rangle)^2 * (\langle b \rangle)^2)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *m-gamma-equation:*

shows $(\gamma_m (\ominus_m a \oplus_m b))^2 = (\gamma_m a)^2 * (\gamma_m b)^2 * (1 - 2 * a \cdot b + (\llbracket a \rrbracket)^2 * (\llbracket b \rrbracket)^2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T8-25-help1*:

assumes $A \ t \neq B \ t \ A \ t \neq C \ t \ C \ t \neq B \ t$
 $a = (\llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rrbracket)^2 \ b = (\llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rrbracket)^2 \ c = (\llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rrbracket)^2$
shows $\text{to-complex } ((\text{of-complex } a) \oplus_m (\text{of-complex } b) \oplus_m (\ominus_m (\text{of-complex } c)))$
 $=$
 $(a + b - c - a*b*c) / (1 + a*b - a*c - b*c) \text{ (is ?lhs = ?rhs)}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T8-25-help2*:

fixes $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$
assumes $(A \ t) \neq (B \ t) \ (A \ t) \neq (C \ t) \ (C \ t) \neq (B \ t)$
 $a = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rrbracket \ b = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rrbracket \ c = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rrbracket$
 $\text{gamma} = \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma } t$
shows $\cos \text{gamma} = (a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - (a*b*c)^2) / (2 * a * b * (1 - c^2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T8-25-help3*:

fixes $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$
assumes $(A \ t) \neq (B \ t) \ (A \ t) \neq (C \ t) \ (C \ t) \neq (B \ t)$
 $a = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rrbracket \ b = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rrbracket \ c = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rrbracket$
 $\text{gamma} = \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma } t$
 $\text{beta-a} = 1 / \text{sqrt } (1 + a^2) \ \text{beta-b} = 1 / \text{sqrt } (1 + b^2)$
shows $2 * \text{beta-a}^2 * a * \text{beta-b}^2 * b * \cos \text{gamma} = (a^2 + b^2 - c^2 - (a*b*c)^2) / ((1 + a^2) * (1 + b^2) * (1 - c^2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T8-25-help4*:

fixes $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$
assumes $(A \ t) \neq (B \ t) \ (A \ t) \neq (C \ t) \ (C \ t) \neq (B \ t)$
 $a = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rrbracket \ b = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rrbracket \ c = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c } t \rrbracket$
 $\text{gamma} = \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma } t$
 $\text{beta-a} = 1 / \text{sqrt } (1 + a^2) \ \text{beta-b} = 1 / \text{sqrt } (1 + b^2)$
shows $1 - 2 * \text{beta-a}^2 * a * \text{beta-b}^2 * b * \cos \text{gamma} = (1 + (a*b)^2 - (a*c)^2 - (b*c)^2) / ((1 + a^2) * (1 + b^2) * (1 - c^2))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *T25-help5*:

fixes $t :: \text{PoincareDisc otriangle}$
assumes $(A \ t) \neq (B \ t) \ (A \ t) \neq (C \ t) \ (C \ t) \neq (B \ t)$
 $a = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a } t \rrbracket \ b = \llbracket \text{Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b } t \rrbracket$

```

t⟩ c = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c t⟩⟩
      gamma = Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma t
      beta-a = 1 / sqrt (1 + a2) beta-b = 1 / sqrt (1+b2)
      shows (2 * beta-a2 * a * beta-b2 * b * cos gamma) / (1 - 2 * beta-a2 * a *
beta-b2 * b * cos gamma) =
      to-complex ((of-complex (a2)) ⊕m (of-complex (b2)) ⊕m (⊖m (of-complex
(c2)))) (is ?lhs = ?rhs)
⟨proof⟩

```

lemma *T25-MobiusCosineLaw*:

```

fixes t :: PoincareDisc otriangle
assumes (A t) ≠ (B t) (A t) ≠ (C t) (C t) ≠ (B t)
      a = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a t⟩⟩ b = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b
t⟩⟩ c = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c t⟩⟩
      gamma = Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma t
      beta-a = 1 / sqrt (1 + a2) beta-b = 1 / sqrt (1+b2)
      shows c2 = to-complex ((of-complex (a2)) ⊕m (of-complex (b2)) ⊕m (⊖m
(of-complex
      (2 * beta-a2 * a * beta-b2 * b * cos(gamma) /
      (1 - 2 * beta-a2 * a * beta-b2 * b * cos gamma))))))
⟨proof⟩

```

abbreviation *add-complex* (infixl ⊕_{mc} 100) **where**

add-complex c1 c2 ≡ *to-complex (of-complex c1 ⊕_m of-complex c2)*

lemma *T-MobiusPythagorean*:

```

fixes t :: PoincareDisc otriangle
assumes (A t) ≠ (B t) (A t) ≠ (C t) (C t) ≠ (B t)
      a = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-a t⟩⟩ b = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-b
t⟩⟩ c = ⟨⟨Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-c t⟩⟩
      gamma = Mobius-pre-gyrovector-space.get-gamma t gamma = pi / 2
      shows c2 = a2 ⊕mc b2
⟨proof⟩

```

end

theory *Poincare*

imports *Complex-Main HOL-Analysis.Inner-Product GammaFactor*

begin

```

typedef PoincareDisc = {z::complex. cmod z < 1}
⟨proof⟩

```

setup-lifting *type-definition-PoincareDisc*

abbreviation *to-complex* :: *PoincareDisc* ⇒ *complex* **where**

to-complex ≡ *Rep-PoincareDisc*

abbreviation *of-complex* :: *complex* ⇒ *PoincareDisc* **where**

of-complex ≡ *Abs-PoincareDisc*

lemma *poincare-disc-two-elems*:

shows $\exists z1\ z2::PoincareDisc. z1 \neq z2$
<proof>

lift-definition *inner-p* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *real* (**infixl** $\cdot$ 100) **is**
inner *<proof>*

lift-definition *norm-p* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *real* ($\langle\!\langle - \!\rangle\!\rangle$ [100] 101) **is** *norm* *<proof>*

lemma *norm-lt-one*:

shows $\langle\!\langle u \!\rangle\!\rangle < 1$
<proof>

lemma *norm-geq-zero*:

shows $\langle\!\langle u \!\rangle\!\rangle \geq 0$
<proof>

lemma *square-norm-inner*:

shows $(\langle\!\langle u \!\rangle\!\rangle)^2 = u \cdot u$
<proof>

lift-definition *gamma-factor-p* :: *PoincareDisc* $\Rightarrow$ *real* (γ_p) **is** *gamma-factor*
<proof>

lemma *gamma-factor-p-nonzero* [*simp*]:

shows $\gamma_p\ u \neq 0$
<proof>

lemma *gamma-factor-p-positive* [*simp*]:

shows $\gamma_p\ u > 0$
<proof>

lemma *norm-square-gamma-factor-p*:

shows $(\langle\!\langle u \!\rangle\!\rangle)^2 = 1 - 1 / (\gamma_p\ u)^2$
<proof>

lemma *norm-square-gamma-factor-p'*:

shows $(\langle\!\langle u \!\rangle\!\rangle)^2 = ((\gamma_p\ u)^2 - 1) / (\gamma_p\ u)^2$
<proof>

lemma *gamma-factor-p-square-norm*:

shows $(\gamma_p\ u)^2 = 1 / (1 - (\langle\!\langle u \!\rangle\!\rangle)^2)$
<proof>

end

References

- [1] A. A. Ungar. *Analytic Hyperbolic Geometry: Mathematical Foundations and Applications*. World Scientific, Singapore, 2005.