

# Group Ring Module

Hidetsune Kobayashi, L. Chen, H. Murao

June 16, 2019

## **Abstract**

The theory of groups, rings and modules is developed to a great depth. Group theory results include Zassenhaus's theorem and the Jordan-Hoelder theorem. The ring theory development includes ideals, quotient rings and the Chinese remainder theorem. The module development includes the Nakayama lemma, exact sequences and Tensor products.

# Contents

<b>1 Preliminaries</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 Lemmas for logical manipulation . . . . .	4
1.2 Natural numbers and Integers . . . . .	4
1.2.1 Integers . . . . .	6
1.3 Sets . . . . .	9
1.3.1 A short notes for proof steps . . . . .	9
1.3.2 Sets . . . . .	9
1.4 Functions . . . . .	12
1.5 Nsets . . . . .	19
1.5.1 Lemmas for existence of reduced chain. . . . .	26
1.6 Lower bounded set of integers . . . . .	26
1.7 Augmented integer: integer and $\infty-\infty$ . . . . .	27
1.7.1 Ordering of integers and ordering nats . . . . .	36
1.7.2 The $\leq$ Ordering . . . . .	36
1.7.3 Aug ordering . . . . .	39
1.8 Amin, amax . . . . .	41
1.8.1 Maximum element of a set of ants . . . . .	43
1.9 Cardinality of sets . . . . .	45
1.9.1 Lemmas required in Algebra6.thy . . . . .	50
<b>2 Ordered Set</b>	<b>53</b>
2.1 Basic Concepts of Ordered Sets . . . . .	53
2.1.1 Total ordering . . . . .	56
2.1.2 Two ordered sets . . . . .	57
2.1.3 Homomorphism of ordered sets . . . . .	58
2.2 Pre elements . . . . .	77
2.3 Transfinite induction . . . . .	78
2.4 <i>Ordered-set2</i> . Lemmas to prove Zorn's lemma. . . . .	78
2.5 Zorn's lemma . . . . .	79
<b>3 Group Theory. Focused on Jordan Hoelder theorem</b>	<b>91</b>
3.1 Definition of a Group . . . . .	91
3.2 Subgroups . . . . .	93

3.3	Cosets . . . . .	100
3.4	Normal subgroups and Quotient groups . . . . .	103
3.5	Setproducts . . . . .	107
3.6	Preliminary lemmas for Zassenhaus . . . . .	109
3.7	Homomorphism . . . . .	112
3.8	Gkernel . . . . .	113
3.9	Image . . . . .	115
3.10	Induced homomorphisms . . . . .	116
3.10.1	Homomorphism therems . . . . .	117
3.11	Isomorphims . . . . .	120
3.11.1	An automorphism groups . . . . .	120
3.11.2	Complete system of representatives . . . . .	120
3.12	Zassenhaus . . . . .	122
3.13	Chain of groups I . . . . .	124
3.14	Existence of reduced chain . . . . .	127
3.15	Existence of reduced chain and composition series . . . . .	132
3.16	Chain of groups II . . . . .	132
3.17	Jordan Hoelder theorem . . . . .	137
3.17.1	<i>Rfn-tools.</i> Tools to treat refinement of a cmpser, rtos. . . . .	137
3.18	Abelian groups . . . . .	146
3.18.1	Homomorphism of abelian groups . . . . .	151
3.18.2	Quotient abelian group . . . . .	153
3.19	Direct product and direct sum of abelian groups, in general case . . . . .	155
3.19.1	Characterization of a direct product . . . . .	160
<b>4</b>	<b>Ring theory</b>	<b>163</b>
4.1	Definition of a ring and an ideal . . . . .	163
4.2	Calculation of elements . . . . .	167
4.2.1	nyscale . . . . .	167
4.2.2	npow . . . . .	168
4.2.3	nsum and fSum . . . . .	169
4.3	Ring homomorphisms . . . . .	173
4.3.1	Ring of integers . . . . .	179
4.4	Quotient rings . . . . .	179
4.5	Primary ideals, Prime ideals . . . . .	184
4.6	Operation of ideals . . . . .	195
4.7	Direct product1, general case . . . . .	197
4.8	Chinese remainder theorem . . . . .	201
4.9	Addition of finite elements of a ring and <i>ideal-multiplication</i> .	204
4.10	Extension and contraction . . . . .	211
4.11	Complete system of representatives . . . . .	212
4.12	Polynomial ring . . . . .	213
4.13	Addition and multiplication of <i>polyn-exprs</i> . . . . .	214

4.13.1	Simple properties of a <i>polyn-ring</i>	214
4.13.2	Coefficients of a polynomial	215
4.13.3	Addition of <i>polyn-exprs</i>	215
4.13.4	Multiplication of <i>pol-exprs</i>	219
4.13.5	Multiplication	219
4.14	The degree of a polynomial	222
4.14.1	Multiplication of polynomials	229
4.14.2	Degree with value in <i>aug-minf</i>	230
4.15	Homomorphism of polynomial rings	231
4.16	Relatively prime polynomials	236
4.16.1	Polynomial, coeff mod P	237
<b>5</b>	<b>Modules</b>	<b>248</b>
5.1	Basic properties of Modules	248
5.2	Injective hom, surjective hom, bijective hom and inverse hom	254
5.3	nsum and Generators	271
5.3.1	Sum up coefficients	273
5.3.2	Free generators	275
5.4	nsum and Generators (continued)	278
5.5	Existence of homomorphism	279
5.6	Nakayama lemma	285
5.7	Direct sum and direct products of modules	286
5.8	Exact sequence	288
5.9	Tensor product	293
<b>6</b>	<b>Construction of an abelian group</b>	<b>296</b>
6.1	Free generated abelian group I, direct sum and direct product	296
6.2	Abelian group generated by a singleton (constructive)	300
6.3	Abelian Group generated by one element II (nonconstructive)	306
6.4	Free Generated Modules (constructive)	309
6.5	A fgmodule and a free module	313
6.6	Direct sum, again	314
6.6.1	Existence of the tensor product	316

```

theory Algebra1
imports Main HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

```

# Chapter 1

## Preliminaries

Some of the lemmas of this section are proved in src/HOL/Integ of Isabelle version 2003.

### 1.1 Lemmas for logical manipulation

**lemma** *True-then*: $\text{True} \longrightarrow P \implies P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-conjI*: $\llbracket P c; Q c \rrbracket \implies \exists c. P c \wedge Q c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *forall-spec*: $\llbracket \forall b. P b \longrightarrow Q b; P a \rrbracket \implies Q a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *a-b-exchange*: $\llbracket a; a = b \rrbracket \implies b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-prop*: $\llbracket P; P = Q \rrbracket \implies Q$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *forball-contra*: $\llbracket \forall y \in A. P x y \longrightarrow \neg Q y; \forall y \in A. Q y \vee R y \rrbracket \implies \forall y \in A. (\neg P x y) \vee R y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *forball-contra1*: $\llbracket \forall y \in A. P x y \longrightarrow Q y; \forall y \in A. \neg Q y \rrbracket \implies \forall y \in A. \neg P x y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.2 Natural numbers and Integers

Elementary properties of natural numbers and integers

**lemma** *nat-nonzero-pos*: $(a::nat) \neq 0 \implies 0 < a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $add\text{-}both:(a::nat) = b \implies a + c = b + c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $add\text{-}bothl:a = b \implies c + a = c + b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $diff\text{-}Suc:(n::nat) \leq m \implies m - n + Suc 0 = Suc m - n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $le\text{-}convert:\llbracket a = b; a \leq c \rrbracket \implies b \leq c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ge\text{-}convert:\llbracket a = b; c \leq a \rrbracket \implies c \leq b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $less\text{-}convert:\llbracket a = b; c < b \rrbracket \implies c < a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ineq\text{-}conv1:\llbracket a = b; a < c \rrbracket \implies b < c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $diff\text{-}Suc\text{-}pos:0 < a - Suc 0 \implies 0 < a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $minus\text{-}SucSuc:a - Suc (Suc 0) = a - Suc 0 - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Suc\text{-}Suc\text{-}Tr:Suc (Suc 0) \leq n \implies Suc (n - Suc (Suc 0)) = n - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Suc\text{-}Suc\text{-}less:Suc 0 < a \implies Suc (a - Suc (Suc 0)) < a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $diff\text{-}zero\text{-}eq:n = (0::nat) \implies m = m - n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Suc\text{-}less\text{-}le:x < Suc n \implies x \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $less\text{-}le\text{-}diff:x < n \implies x \leq n - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $le\text{-}pre\text{-}le:x \leq n - Suc 0 \implies x \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nat\text{-}not\text{-}less:\neg (m::nat) < n \implies n \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *less-neq*: $n < (m::nat) \implies n \neq m$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *less-le-diff1*: $n \neq 0 \implies ((m::nat) < n) = (m \leq (n - Suc\ 0))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-not-less1*: $n \neq 0 \implies (\neg (m::nat) < n) = (\neg m \leq (n - Suc\ 0))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-eq-le*: $m = (n::nat) \implies m \leq n$   
*(proof)*

### 1.2.1 Integers

**lemma** *non-zero-int*: $(n::int) \neq 0 \implies 0 < n \vee n < 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zgt-0-zge-1*: $(0::int) < z \implies 1 \leq z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-zle*: $(\neg (n::int) \leq m) = (m < n)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-zless*: $(\neg (n::int) < m) = (m \leq n)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zle-imp-zless-or-eq*: $(n::int) \leq m \implies n < m \vee n = m$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zminus-zadd-cancel*: $-z + (z + w) = (w::int)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *int-neq-iff*: $((w::int) \neq z) = (w < z) \vee (z < w)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zless-imp-zle*: $(z::int) < z' \implies z \leq z'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zdiff*: $z - (w::int) = z + (-w)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zle-zless-trans*: $\llbracket (i::int) \leq j; j < k \rrbracket \implies i < k$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zless-zle-trans*: $\llbracket (i::int) < j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies i < k$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zless-neq*: $(i::int) < j \implies i \neq j$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-mult-mono*: $\llbracket i < j; (0::int) < k \rrbracket \implies k * i < k * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-mult-le*: $\llbracket i \leq j; (0::int) \leq k \rrbracket \implies k * i \leq k * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-mult-le1*: $\llbracket i \leq j; (0::int) \leq k \rrbracket \implies i * k \leq j * k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zminus-right*: $(w::int) * (-z) = - (w * z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono1-neg*: $\llbracket (i::int) \leq j; k \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies j * k \leq i * k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zless-mono-neg*: $\llbracket (i::int) < j; k < 0 \rrbracket \implies j * k < i * k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-neg-neg*: $\llbracket i < (0::int); j < 0 \rrbracket \implies 0 < i * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-pos-pos*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies 0 < i * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-pos-neg*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; j < 0 \rrbracket \implies i * j < 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-neg-pos*: $\llbracket i < (0::int); 0 < j \rrbracket \implies i * j < 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zle*: $((z::int) \leq w) = (\neg (w < z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *times-1-both*: $\llbracket (0::int) < z; z * z' = 1 \rrbracket \implies z = 1 \wedge z' = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-minus*: $i -- (j::int) = i + j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zminus-minus-pos*: $(n::int) < 0 \implies 0 < -n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zadd-zle-mono*: $\llbracket w' \leq w; z' \leq (z::int) \rrbracket \implies w' + z' \leq w + z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono*: $\llbracket i \leq (j::int); 0 < k \rrbracket \implies k * i \leq k * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-zle-mono-r*: $\llbracket i \leq (j::int); 0 < k \rrbracket \implies i * k \leq j * k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-zmult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 \leq (a::int); 0 < (b::int) \rrbracket \implies a \leq a * b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-mult-l-gt*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; i \leq j; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies i \leq w * j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-mult-r-gt*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; i \leq j; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies i \leq j * w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *mult-pos-iff*: $\llbracket (0::int) < i; 0 \leq i * j \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-eq*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z = z' \rrbracket \implies w * z = w * z'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zmult-eq-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z = z' \rrbracket \implies z * w = z' * w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-eq-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w = z' * w \rrbracket \implies z = z'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-eq-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z = w * z' \rrbracket \implies z = z'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-nat-minus*: $0 < (n::int) \implies \text{nat } (n - 1) = (\text{nat } n) - 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-nat-add*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::int); 0 < (m::int) \rrbracket \implies (\text{nat } (n - 1)) + (\text{nat } (m - 1)) + (\text{Suc } 0) = \text{nat } (n + m - 1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-equation*: $(x::int) = y + z \implies x - y = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-pos-mult-monor*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::int); 0 \leq n * m \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *int-pos-mult-monol*: $\llbracket 0 < (m::int); 0 \leq n * m \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-positive*: $\llbracket (0::int) \leq a; 0 < b \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq a \text{ div } b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-mono-r*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z \leq w * z' \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-mono-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w \leq z' * w \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zdiv-pos-pos-l*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; 0 \leq z * w \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.3 Sets

### 1.3.1 A short notes for proof steps

#### 1.3.2 Sets

**lemma** *inEx*: $x \in A \implies \exists y \in A. y = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inEx-rev*: $\exists y \in A. y = x \implies x \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nonempty-ex*: $A \neq \{\} \implies \exists x. x \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-nonempty*: $\exists x. x \in A \implies A \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-eq-outside*: $a \notin A \implies \forall b \in A. b \neq a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-nonempty-set*: $\exists a. P a \implies \{x. P x\} \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nonempty*: $x \in A \implies A \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *subset-self*: $A \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conditional-subset*: $\{x \in A. P x\} \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *bsubsetTr*: $\{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sets-not-eq*: $\llbracket A \neq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. a \notin B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *diff-nonempty*: $\llbracket A \neq B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies A - B \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sub-which1*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \vee B \subseteq A; x \in A; x \notin B \rrbracket \implies B \subseteq A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sub-which2*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B \vee B \subseteq A; x \notin A; x \in B \rrbracket \implies A \subseteq B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonempty-int*:  $A \cap B \neq \{\} \implies \exists x. x \in A \cap B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *no-meet1*: $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \forall a \in A. a \notin B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *no-meet2*: $A \cap B = \{\} \implies \forall a \in B. a \notin A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *elem-some*: $x \in A \implies \exists y \in A. x = y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *singleton-sub*: $a \in A \implies \{a\} \subseteq A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *eq-elem-in*: $\llbracket a \in A; a = b \rrbracket \implies b \in A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *eq-set-inc*: $\llbracket a \in A; A = B \rrbracket \implies a \in B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *eq-set-not-inc*: $\llbracket a \notin A; A = B \rrbracket \implies a \notin B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *int-subsets*: $\llbracket A1 \subseteq A; B1 \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies A1 \cap B1 \subseteq A \cap B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inter-mono*: $A \subseteq B \implies A \cap C \subseteq B \cap C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sub-Un1*: $B \subseteq B \cup C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sub-Un2*: $C \subseteq B \cup C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *subset-contr*: $\llbracket A \subset B; B \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *psubset-contr*: $\llbracket A \subset B; B \subset A \rrbracket \implies \text{False}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *eqsets-sub*: $A = B \implies A \subseteq B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *not-subseteq*: $\neg A \subseteq B \implies \exists a \in A. a \notin B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-un1*: $\llbracket x \in A \cup B; x \notin B \rrbracket \implies x \in A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *proper-subset*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; x \notin A; x \in B \rrbracket \implies A \neq B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-un2*: $\llbracket x \in A \cup B; x \notin A \rrbracket \implies x \in B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *diff-disj*: $x \notin A \implies A - \{x\} = A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-diff*: $\llbracket x \neq a; x \in A \rrbracket \implies x \in A - \{a\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *in-diff1*: $x \in A - \{a\} \implies x \neq a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sub-inserted1*: $\llbracket Y \subseteq \text{insert } a X; \neg Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies a \notin X \wedge a \in Y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sub-inserted2*: $\llbracket Y \subseteq \text{insert } a X; \neg Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies Y = (Y - \{a\}) \cup \{a\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *insert-sub*: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; a \in B \rrbracket \implies (\text{insert } a A) \subseteq B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *insert-diff*: $A \subseteq (\text{insert } b B) \implies A - \{b\} \subseteq B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *insert-inc1*: $A \subseteq \text{insert } a A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *insert-inc2*: $a \in \text{insert } a A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nonempty-some*: $A \neq \{\} \implies (\text{SOME } x. x \in A) \in A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-family-sub-Un*: $A \in C \implies A \subseteq \bigcup C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sub-Union*: $\exists X \in C. A \subseteq X \implies A \subseteq \bigcup C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *family-subset-Un-sub*: $\forall A \in C. A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \bigcup C \subseteq B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *in-set-with-P*: $P x \Rightarrow x \in \{y. P y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sub-single*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \{a\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow A = \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-sub-single*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \neq \{a\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow \neg A \subseteq \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-sub*: $\neg A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \exists a. a \in A \wedge a \notin B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.4 Functions

**definition**

$cmp :: ['b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c)$  **where**  
 $cmp g f = (\lambda x. g (f x))$

**definition**

$idmap :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $idmap A = (\lambda x \in A. x)$

**definition**

$constmap :: ['a set, 'b set] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$  **where**  
 $constmap A B = (\lambda x \in A. \text{SOME } y. y \in B)$

**definition**

$invfun :: ['a set, 'b set, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $invfun A B (f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b) = (\lambda y \in B. (\text{SOME } x. (x \in A \wedge f x = y)))$

**abbreviation**

$INVFUN :: ['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'b set, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$  (( $\beta^{-1}_{-, -}$ ) [82,82,83]82) **where**  
 $f^{-1}_{B,A} == invfun A B f$

**lemma** *eq-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; f = g \rrbracket \Rightarrow g \in A \rightarrow B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-fun-eq-val*: $f = g \Rightarrow f x = g x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *eq-elems-eq-val*: $x = y \Rightarrow f x = f y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmp-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \Rightarrow cmp g f \in A \rightarrow C$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmp-fun-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies (cmp\ g\ f) \cdot A = g \cdot (f \cdot A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cmp-fun-sub-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (cmp\ g\ f) \cdot A1 = g \cdot (f \cdot A1)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-fun-eq*: $\forall x \in A. f x = g x \implies (\lambda x \in A. f x) = (\lambda x \in A. g x)$

**lemma** *funcset-mem*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f x \in B$

**lemma** *img-subset*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies f \cdot A \subseteq B$

**lemma** *funcset-mem1*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f l \in B; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f x \in B$

**lemma** *func-to-img*: $f \in A \rightarrow B \implies f \in A \rightarrow f \cdot A$

**lemma** *restrict-in-funcset*: $\forall x \in A. f x \in B \implies (\lambda x \in A. f x) \in A \rightarrow B$

**lemma** *funcset-eq*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; g \in \text{extensional } A; \forall x \in A. f x = g x \rrbracket \implies f = g$

**lemma** *eq-funcs*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in A \rightarrow B; f = g; x \in A \rrbracket \implies f x = g x$

**lemma** *restriction-of-domain*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict } f A1 \in A1 \rightarrow B$

**lemma** *restrict-restrict*: $\llbracket \text{restrict } f A \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict}(\text{restrict } f A) A1 = \text{restrict } f A1$

**lemma** *restr-restr-eq*: $\llbracket \text{restrict } f A \in A \rightarrow B; \text{restrict } f A = \text{restrict } g A; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict } f A1 = \text{restrict } g A1$

**lemma** *funcTr*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in A \rightarrow B; f = g; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a = g a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *funcTr1*: $\llbracket f = g; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a = g a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *restrictfun-im*: $\llbracket (\text{restrict } f A) \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{restrict } f A) ` A1 = f ` A1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-in-image*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a \in f ` A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-in-image1*: $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f l \in B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a \in f ` A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-in-image2*: $a \in A \implies f a \in f ` A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-in-image3*: $b \in f ` A \implies \exists a \in A. b = f a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *elem-in-image2*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; x \in A1 \rrbracket \implies f x \in f ` A1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *funcs-nonempty*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; B \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (A \rightarrow B) \neq \{\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *idmap-funcs*: $\text{idmap } A \in A \rightarrow A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *l-idmap-comp*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; f \in A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compose } A (\text{idmap } B) f = f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *r-idmap-comp*: $\llbracket f \in \text{extensional } A; f \in A \rightarrow B \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compose } A f (\text{idmap } A) = f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *extend-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; B \subseteq B1 \rrbracket \implies f \in A \rightarrow B1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *restrict-fun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{restrict } f A1 \in A1 \rightarrow B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *set-of-hom*: $\forall x \in A. f x \in B \implies \text{restrict } f A \in A \rightarrow B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *composition* :  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C \rrbracket \implies (\text{compose } A g f) \in A \rightarrow C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *comp-assoc*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; h \in C \rightarrow D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compose } A h (\text{compose } A g f) = \text{compose } A (\text{compose } B h g) f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrictfun-inj*:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{restrict } f A1) A1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-inj*:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f A1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *injective*:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies f x \neq f y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *injective-iff*:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(x = y) = (f x = f y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *injfun-elim-image*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f' (A - \{x\}) = (f' A) - \{f x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cmp-inj*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{inj-on } g B \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g f) A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cmp-assoc*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; g \in B \rightarrow C; h \in C \rightarrow D; x \in A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{cmp } h (\text{cmp } g f)) x = (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } h g) f) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bivar-fun*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C); a \in A \rrbracket \implies f a \in B \rightarrow C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bivar-fun-mem*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C); a \in A; b \in B \rrbracket \implies f a b \in C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *bivar-func-eq*:  $\llbracket \forall a \in A. \forall b \in B. f a b = g a b \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\lambda x \in A. \lambda y \in B. f x y) = (\lambda x \in A. \lambda y \in B. g x y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *set-image*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f'(A1 \cap A2) \subseteq (f' A1) \cap (f' A2)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-sub*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \implies (f' A1) \subseteq B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *image-sub0*:  $f \in A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow (f^c A) \subseteq B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *image-nonempty*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A1 \neq \{\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow f^c A1 \neq \{\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *im-set-mono*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A2; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \Rightarrow (f^c A1) \subseteq (f^c A2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *im-set-un*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; A1 \subseteq A; A2 \subseteq A \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f^c(A1 \cup A2) = (f^c A1) \cup (f^c A2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *im-set-un1*:  $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f l \in B; A = A1 \cup A2 \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f^c(A1 \cup A2) = f^c(A1) \cup f^c(A2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *im-set-un2*:  $A = A1 \cup A2 \Rightarrow f^c A = f^c(A1) \cup f^c(A2)$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $invim ::= [a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a set, 'b set] \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $invim f A B = \{x. x \in A \wedge f x \in B\}$

**lemma** *invim*:  $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; B1 \subseteq B \rrbracket \Rightarrow invim f A B1 \subseteq A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *setim-cmpfn*:  $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; g : B \rightarrow C; A1 \subseteq A \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $(compose A g f)^c A1 = g^c(f^c A1)$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $surj-to ::= [a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a set, 'b set] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $surj-to f A B \longleftrightarrow f^c A = B$

**lemma** *surj-to-test*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. f a = b \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $surj-to f A B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *surj-to-image*:  $f \in A \rightarrow B \Rightarrow surj-to f A (f^c A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *surj-to-el*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; surj-to f A B \rrbracket \Rightarrow \forall b \in B. \exists a \in A. f a = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *surj-to-el1*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; surj-to f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \Rightarrow \exists a \in A. f a = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *surj-to-el2*:  $\llbracket \text{surj-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. f a = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compose-surj*:  $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f A B; g : B \rightarrow C; \text{surj-to } g B C \rrbracket \implies \text{surj-to } (\text{compose } A g f) A C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cmp-surj*:  $\llbracket f : A \rightarrow B; \text{surj-to } f A B; g : B \rightarrow C; \text{surj-to } g B C \rrbracket \implies \text{surj-to } (\text{cmp } g f) A C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inj-onTr0*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; x \in A; y \in A; \text{inj-on } f A; f x = f y \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inj-onTr1*:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; f x = f y \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inj-onTr2*:  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } f A; x \in A; y \in A; f x \neq f y \rrbracket \implies x \neq y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *comp-inj*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } g B \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{compose } A g f) A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cmp-inj-1*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{inj-on } g B \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g f) A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *cmp-inj-2*:  $\llbracket \forall l \in A. f l \in B; \text{inj-on } f A; \forall k \in B. g k \in C; \text{inj-on } g B \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{cmp } g f) A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-mem*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies (\text{invfun } A B f) b \in A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inv-func*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies (\text{invfun } A B f) \in B \rightarrow A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-r*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies f ((\text{invfun } A B f) b) = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-l*:  $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies (\text{invfun } A B f) (f a) = a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-inj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on} (\text{invfun } A B f) B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-surj*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies \text{surj-to} (\text{invfun } A B f) B A$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $\text{bij-to} :: ['a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{bij-to } f A B \longleftrightarrow \text{surj-to } f A B \wedge \text{inj-on } f A$

**lemma** *idmap-bij*: $\text{bij-to} (\text{idmap } A) A A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *bij-invfun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies \text{bij-to} (\text{invfun } A B f) B A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *l-inv-invfun*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{inj-on } f A; \text{surj-to } f A B \rrbracket \implies \text{compose } A (\text{invfun } A B f) f = \text{idmap } A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-mem1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies (\text{invfun } A B f) b \in A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-r1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; b \in B \rrbracket \implies f ((\text{invfun } A B f) b) = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-l1*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; a \in A \rrbracket \implies (\text{invfun } A B f) (f a) = a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compos-invfun-r*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; g \in A \rightarrow C; h \in B \rightarrow C; g \in \text{extensional } A; \text{compose } B g (\text{invfun } A B f) = h \rrbracket \implies g = \text{compose } A h f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compos-invfun-l*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; g \in C \rightarrow B; h \in C \rightarrow A; \text{compose } C (\text{invfun } A B f) g = h; g \in \text{extensional } C \rrbracket \implies g = \text{compose } C f h$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *invfun-set*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; C \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies f' ((\text{invfun } A B f)' C) = C$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compos-bij*: $\llbracket f \in A \rightarrow B; \text{bij-to } f A B; g \in B \rightarrow C; \text{bij-to } g B C \rrbracket \implies \text{bij-to } (\text{compose } A g f) A C$   
*(proof)*

## 1.5 Nsets

**definition**

*nset* ::  $[nat, nat] \Rightarrow (nat) \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $nset i j = \{k. i \leq k \wedge k \leq j\}$

**definition**

*slide* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $slide i j == i + j$

**definition**

*sliden* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $sliden i j == j - i$

**definition**

*jointfun* ::  $[nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $(jointfun n f m g) = (\lambda i. \text{if } i \leq n \text{ then } f i \text{ else } g ((sliden (Suc n)) i))$

**definition**

*skip* ::  $nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$  **where**  
 $skip i = (\lambda x. (\text{if } i = 0 \text{ then } Suc x \text{ else } (if x \in \{j. j \leq (i - Suc 0)\} \text{ then } x \text{ else } Suc x)))$

**lemma** *nat-pos:0 ≤ (l::nat)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Suc-pos:Suc k ≤ r ⇒ 0 < r*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-pos2:(k::nat) < r ⇒ 0 < r*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *eq-le-not: $\llbracket (a::nat) \leq b; \neg a < b \rrbracket \implies a = b$*   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *im-of-constmap:(constmap {0} {a}) ‘ {0} = {a}*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *noteq-le-less: $\llbracket m \leq (n::nat); m \neq n \rrbracket \implies m < n$*   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *nat-not-le-less:( $\neg (n::nat) \leq m$ ) = ( $m < n$ )*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *self-le:(n::nat) ≤ n*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *n-less-Suc:(n::nat) < Suc n*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *less-diff-pos:i < (n::nat) ⇒ 0 < n – i*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *less-diff-Suc:i < (n::nat) ⇒ n – (Suc i) = (n – i) – (Suc 0)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *less-pre-n:0 < n ⇒ n – Suc 0 < n*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-inc-0:(0::nat) ∈ {i. i ≤ n}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-1:{i. i ≤ Suc 0} = {0, Suc 0}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-1-1:(k ≤ Suc 0) = (k = 0 ∨ k = Suc 0)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-2:{i, j} = {j, i}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-nonempty:{i. i ≤ (n::nat)} ≠ {}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-le:x ∈ {i. i ≤ n} ⇒ x ≤ n*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *n-in-Nsetn:(n::nat) ∈ {i. i ≤ n}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-pre:[(x::nat) ∈ {i. i ≤ (Suc n)}; x ≠ Suc n] ⇒ x ∈ {i. i ≤ n}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-pre1:{i. i ≤ (Suc n)} – {Suc n} = {i. i ≤ n}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *le-Suc-mem-Nsetn:x ≤ Suc n ⇒ x – Suc 0 ∈ {i. i ≤ n}*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *le-Suc-diff-le:x ≤ Suc n ⇒ x – Suc 0 ≤ n*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nset-not-pre:[x ∉ {i. i ≤ n}; x ∈ {i. i ≤ (Suc n)}] ⇒ x = Suc n*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mem\text{-}of\text{-}Nset:x \leq (n::nat) \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $less\text{-}mem\text{-}of\text{-}Nset:x < (n::nat) \implies x \in \{i. i \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}nset:\{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} = \{i. i \leq n\} \cup$   
 $nset (\text{Suc } n) (\text{Suc } (n + m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}nset\text{-}1:\llbracket 0 < n; i < n \rrbracket \implies \{j. j \leq n\} = \{j. j \leq i\} \cup$   
 $nset (\text{Suc } i) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}img0:\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B; (f (\text{Suc } n)) \in f' \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f' \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} = f' \{j. j \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}img:f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B \implies$   
 $\text{insert } (f (\text{Suc } n)) (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) = f' \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**primrec**  $nasc\text{-}seq :: [nat set, nat, nat] \Rightarrow nat$

**where**

$\text{dec}\text{-}seq\text{-}0: nasc\text{-}seq A a 0 = a$   
 $\mid \text{dec}\text{-}seq\text{-}Suc: nasc\text{-}seq A a (\text{Suc } n) =$   
 $(\text{SOME } b. ((b \in A) \wedge (nasc\text{-}seq A a n) < b))$

**lemma**  $nasc\text{-}seq\text{-}mem:\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(nasc\text{-}seq A a n) \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nasc\text{-}seqn:\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(nasc\text{-}seq A a n) < (nasc\text{-}seq A a (\text{Suc } n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nasc\text{-}seqn1:\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{Suc } (nasc\text{-}seq A a n) \leq (nasc\text{-}seq A a (\text{Suc } n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ubs\text{-}ex\text{-}n\text{-}maxTr:\llbracket (a::nat) \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a + n) \leq (nasc\text{-}seq A a n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ubs\text{-}ex\text{-}n\text{-}max:\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists !m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$n\text{-max} :: \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **where**  
 $n\text{-max } A = (\text{THE } m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m))$

**lemma**  $n\text{-max}: [A \subseteq \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\}; A \neq \{\}] \implies (n\text{-max } A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq (n\text{-max } A))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $n\text{-max-eq-sets}: [A = B; A \neq \{\}; \exists n. A \subseteq \{j. j \leq n\}] \implies n\text{-max } A = n\text{-max } B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-mem}: l \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies (\text{skip } i \ l) \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-fun}: (\text{skip } i) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr0}: x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies \text{skip } 0 \ x = \text{Suc } x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr0-1}: 0 < y \implies \text{skip } 0 \ (y - \text{Suc } 0) = y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr1}: [i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; 0 < i; x \leq i - \text{Suc } 0] \implies \text{skip } i \ x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr1-1}: [i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; 0 < i; x < i] \implies \text{skip } i \ x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr1-2}: [i \leq (\text{Suc } n); x < i] \implies \text{skip } i \ x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr2}: [0 < i; i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; i \leq x] \implies \text{skip } i \ x = \text{Suc } x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr2-1}: [i \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; i \leq x] \implies \text{skip } i \ x = \text{Suc } x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr3}: x \in \{i. i \leq n\} \implies \text{skip } (\text{Suc } n) \ x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im-Tr4}: [x \leq \text{Suc } n; 0 < x] \implies x - \text{Suc } 0 \leq n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *skip-fun-im*: $i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \Rightarrow (skip \ i) \cdot \{j. j \leq n\} = (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *skip-fun-im1*: $\llbracket i \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; x \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow (skip \ i) \ x \in (\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *skip-id*: $l < i \Rightarrow skip \ i \ l = l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Suc-neq*: $\llbracket 0 < i; i - \text{Suc } 0 < l \rrbracket \Rightarrow i \neq \text{Suc } l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *skip-il-neq-i*: $skip \ i \ l \neq i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *skip-inj*: $\llbracket i \in \{k. k \leq n\}; j \in \{k. k \leq n\}; i \neq j \rrbracket \Rightarrow skip \ k \ i \neq skip \ k \ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *le-imp-add-int*: $i \leq (j::nat) \Rightarrow \exists k. j = i + k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *jointfun-hom0*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \Rightarrow (jointfun \ n \ f \ m \ g) \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m))\} \rightarrow (A \cup B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *jointfun-mem*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (n::nat). f \ j \in A; \forall j \leq m. g \ j \in B; l \leq (\text{Suc } (n + m)) \rrbracket \Rightarrow (jointfun \ n \ f \ m \ g) \ l \in (A \cup B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *jointfun-inj*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow B; inj-on \ f \ \{j. j \leq n\}; b \notin f \cdot \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow inj-on \ (jointfun \ n \ f \ 0 \ (\lambda k \in \{0::nat\}. b)) \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slide-hom*: $i \leq j \Rightarrow (slide \ i) \in \{l. l \leq (j - i)\} \rightarrow nset \ i \ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slide-mem*: $\llbracket i \leq j; l \in \{k. k \leq (j - i)\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow slide \ i \ l \in nset \ i \ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *slide-iM*: $(slide \ i) \cdot \{l. 0 \leq l\} = \{k. i \leq k\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *jointfun-hom*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow B \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$(jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g) \in \{j. j \leq (Suc\ (n + m))\} \rightarrow A \cup B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $im\text{-}jointfunTr1:(jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g) \cdot \{i. i \leq n\} = f \cdot \{i. i \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $im\text{-}jointfunTr2:(jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g) \cdot (nset\ (Suc\ n)\ (Suc\ (n + m))) = g \cdot (\{j. j \leq m\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $im\text{-}jointfun:[f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow B] \Rightarrow$   
 $(jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g) \cdot (\{j. j \leq (Suc\ (n + m))\}) =$   
 $f \cdot \{j. j \leq n\} \cup g \cdot \{j. j \leq m\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $im\text{-}jointfun1:(jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g) \cdot (\{j. j \leq (Suc\ (n + m))\}) =$   
 $f \cdot \{j. j \leq n\} \cup g \cdot \{j. j \leq m\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $jointfun\text{-}surj:[f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; surj\text{-}to}\ f\ \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} A;$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow B; surj\text{-}to}\ g\ \{j. j \leq m\} B] \Rightarrow$   
 $surj\text{-to}\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g)\ \{j. j \leq Suc\ (n + m)\} (A \cup B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}un}:\{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\} = \{j. j \leq n\} \cup \{Suc\ n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nsetn\text{-}sub}:\{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}pre\text{-}sub}:(0::nat) < k \Rightarrow \{j. j \leq (k - Suc\ 0)\} \subseteq \{j. j \leq k\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}pre\text{-}un}:(0::nat) < k \Rightarrow \{j. j \leq k\} = \{j. j \leq (k - Suc\ 0)\} \cup \{k\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nsetn\text{-}sub\text{-}mem}:\{j. j \leq n\} \Rightarrow l \in \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nsetn\text{-}sub\text{-}mem1}:\forall j. j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \longrightarrow j \in \{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}Suc}:\{j. j \leq (Suc\ n)\} = insert\ (Suc\ n)\ \{j. j \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nsetnm\text{-}sub\text{-}mem}:\forall j. j \in nset\ n\ (n + m) \longrightarrow j \in nset\ n\ (Suc\ (n + m))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}0}:\{j. j \leq (0::nat)\} = \{0\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}Suc0:\{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} = \{0, \text{Suc } 0\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Nset\text{-}Suc\text{-}Suc:\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq n \implies$   
 $\{j. j \leq (n - \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0))\} = \{j. j \leq n\} - \{n - \text{Suc } 0, n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $func\text{-}pre:f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A \implies f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $image\text{-}Nset\text{-}Suc:f^{\prime}(\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) =$   
 $insert(f(\text{Suc } n))(f^{\prime}(\{j. j \leq n\}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

$Nleast :: nat set \Rightarrow nat$  **where**  
 $Nleast A = (\text{THE } a. (a \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. a \leq x)))$

#### definition

$Nlb :: [nat set, nat] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $Nlb A n \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in A. n \leq a)$

**primrec**  $ndec\text{-}seq :: [nat set, nat, nat] \Rightarrow nat$  **where**

$| ndec\text{-}seq\text{-}0 : ndec\text{-}seq A a 0 = a$   
 $| ndec\text{-}seq\text{-}Suc:ndec\text{-}seq A a (\text{Suc } n) =$   
 $(SOME b. ((b \in A) \wedge b < (ndec\text{-}seq A a n)))$

**lemma**  $ndec\text{-}seq\text{-}mem:[a \in (A::nat set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x))] \implies$   
 $(ndec\text{-}seq A a n) \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ndec\text{-}seqn:[a \in (A::nat set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x))] \implies$   
 $(ndec\text{-}seq A a (\text{Suc } n)) < (ndec\text{-}seq A a n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ndec\text{-}seqn1:[a \in (A::nat set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x))] \implies$   
 $(ndec\text{-}seq A a (\text{Suc } n)) \leq (ndec\text{-}seq A a n) - 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ex\text{-}NleastTr:[a \in (A::nat set); \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x))] \implies$   
 $(ndec\text{-}seq A a n) \leq (a - n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nat\text{-}le:((a::nat) - (a + 1)) \leq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ex-Nleast:(A::nat set) ≠ {} ⇒ ∃!m. m∈A ∧ (∀x∈A. m ≤ x)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Nleast:(A::nat set) ≠ {} ⇒ Nleast A ∈ A ∧ (∀x∈A. (Nleast A) ≤ x)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

### 1.5.1 Lemmas for existence of reduced chain.

**lemma** *jointgd-tool1: 0 < i ⇒ 0 ≤ i – Suc 0*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *jointgd-tool2: 0 < i ⇒ i = Suc (i – Suc 0)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *jointgd-tool3:[0 < i; i ≤ m] ⇒ i – Suc 0 ≤ (m – Suc 0)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *jointgd-tool4:n < i ⇒ i – n = Suc(i – Suc n)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *pos-prec-less:0 < i ⇒ i – Suc 0 < i*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Un-less-Un:[f ∈ {j. j ≤ (Suc n)} → (X::'a set set);  
A ⊆ ∪(f ‘ {j. j ≤ (Suc n)});  
i ∈ {j. j ≤ (Suc n)}; j ∈ {l. l ≤ (Suc n)}; i ≠ j ∧ f i ⊆ f j] ⇒  
A ⊆ ∪(compose {j. j ≤ n} f (skip i) ‘ {j. j ≤ n})*  
*⟨proof⟩*

## 1.6 Lower bounded set of integers

**definition** *Zset = {x. ∃(n::int). x = n}*

**definition**  
*Zleast :: int set ⇒ int where*  
*Zleast A = (THE a. (a ∈ A ∧ (∀x∈A. a ≤ x)))*

**definition**  
*LB :: [int set, int] ⇒ bool where*  
*LB A n = (∀a∈A. n ≤ a)*

**lemma** *linorder-linear1:(m::int) < n ∨ n ≤ m*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**primrec** *dec-seq :: [int set, int, nat] ⇒ int*  
**where**  
*dec-seq-0: dec-seq A a 0 = a*  
*| dec-seq-Suc: dec-seq A a (Suc n) = (SOME b. ((b ∈ A) ∧ b < (dec-seq A a n)))*

**lemma** *dec-seq-mem*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies (dec\text{-}seq A a n) \in A$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dec-seqn*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies (dec\text{-}seq A a (Suc n)) < (dec\text{-}seq A a n)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *dec-seqn1*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies (dec\text{-}seq A a (Suc n)) \leq (dec\text{-}seq A a n) - 1$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *lbs-ex-ZleastTr*: $\llbracket a \in A; A \subseteq Zset; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)) \rrbracket \implies (dec\text{-}seq A a n) \leq (a - int(n))$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *big-int-less*: $a - int(nat(abs(a) + abs(N) + 1)) < N$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *lbs-ex-Zleast*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq Zset; LB A n \rrbracket \implies \exists !m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *Zleast*: $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; A \subseteq Zset; LB A n \rrbracket \implies Zleast A \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. (Zleast A) \leq x)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *less-convert1*: $\llbracket a = c; a < b \rrbracket \implies c < b$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *less-convert2*: $\llbracket a = b; b < c \rrbracket \implies a < c$

*(proof)*

## 1.7 Augmented integer: integer and $\infty-\infty$

### definition

*zag* :: (*int* \* *int*) set **where**

*zag* = {(*x*,*y*) | *x* *y*. *x* \* *y* = (0::int)  $\wedge$  (*y* = -1  $\vee$  *y* = 0  $\vee$  *y* = 1)}

### definition

*zag-pl*::[(*int* \* *int*), (*int* \* *int*)]  $\Rightarrow$  (*int* \* *int*) **where**

```
zag-pl x y == if (snd x + snd y) = 2 then (0, 1)
            else if (snd x + snd y) = 1 then (0, 1)
            else if (snd x + snd y) = 0 then (fst x + fst y, 0)
            else if (snd x + snd y) = -1 then (0, -1)
            else if (snd x + snd y) = -2 then (0, -1) else undefined
```

### definition

*zag-t* :: [(*int* \* *int*), (*int* \* *int*)]  $\Rightarrow$  (*int* \* *int*) **where**

```

zag-t x y = (if (snd x)*(snd y) = 0 then
              (if 0 < (fst x)*(snd y) + (snd x)*(fst y) then (0,1)
                  else (if (fst x)*(snd y) + (snd x)*(fst y) = 0
                         then ((fst x)*(fst y), 0) else (0, -1)))
              else (if 0 < (snd x)*(snd y) then (0, 1) else (0, -1)))

```

**definition** *Ainteg* = *zag*

**typedef** *ant* = *Ainteg*  
**morphisms** *Rep-Ainteg* *Abs-Ainteg*  
*<proof>*

**definition**

*ant* :: *int*  $\Rightarrow$  *ant* **where**  
*ant m* = *Abs-Ainteg*(*(m, 0)*)

**definition**

*tna* :: *ant*  $\Rightarrow$  *int* **where**  
*tna z* = (*if Rep-Ainteg(z) ≠ (0,1) ∧ Rep-Ainteg(z) ≠ (0,-1) then*  
*fst (Rep-Ainteg(z)) else undefined*)

**instantiation** *ant* :: {*zero, one, plus, uminus, minus, times, ord*}  
**begin**

**definition**

*Zero-ant-def* : *0 == ant 0*

**definition**

*One-ant-def* : *1 == ant 1*

**definition**

*add-ant-def*:  
*z + w ==*  
*Abs-Ainteg (zag-pl (Rep-Ainteg z) (Rep-Ainteg w))*

**definition**

*minus-ant-def* : *- z ==*  
*Abs-Ainteg((- (fst (Rep-Ainteg z)), - (snd (Rep-Ainteg z))))*

**definition**

*diff-ant-def*: *z - (w::ant) == z + (-w)*

**definition**

*mult-ant-def*:  
*z \* w ==*  
*Abs-Ainteg (zag-t (Rep-Ainteg z) (Rep-Ainteg w))*

**definition**

*le-ant-def*:

```
(z::ant) ≤ w == if (snd (Rep-Ainteg w)) = 1 then True
  else (if (snd (Rep-Ainteg w)) = 0 then (if (snd (Rep-Ainteg z)) = 1
  then False else (if (snd (Rep-Ainteg z)) = 0 then
    (fst (Rep-Ainteg z)) ≤ (fst (Rep-Ainteg w)) else True))
  else (if snd (Rep-Ainteg z) = -1 then True else False))
```

**definition**

```
less-ant-def: ((z::ant) < (w::ant)) == (z ≤ w ∧ z ≠ w)
```

**instance**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**definition**

```
inf-ant :: ant (∞) where
∞ = Abs-Ainteg((0,1))
```

**definition**

```
an :: nat ⇒ ant where
an m = ant (int m)
```

**definition**

```
na :: ant ⇒ nat where
na x = (if (x < 0) then 0 else
        if x ≠ ∞ then (nat (tna x)) else undefined)
```

**definition**

```
UBset :: ant ⇒ ant set where
UBset z = {(x::ant). x ≤ z}
```

**definition**

```
LBset :: ant ⇒ ant set where
LBset z = {(x::ant). z ≤ x}
```

**lemma** ant-z-in-Ainteg:(z::int, 0) ∈ Ainteg  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** ant-inf-in-Ainteg:((0::int), 1) ∈ Ainteg  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** ant-minf-in-Ainteg:((0::int), -1) ∈ Ainteg  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** ant-0-in-Ainteg:((0::int), 0) ∈ Ainteg  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** an-0[simp]:an 0 = 0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *an-1*[simp]:*an 1 = 1*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-ant:(a::ant) = -∞ ∨ (∃(z::int). a = ant z) ∨ a = ∞*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *minf:-∞ = Abs-Ainteg((0,-1))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *z-neq-inf*[simp]:(*ant z*) ≠ ∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *z-neq-minf*[simp]:(*ant z*) ≠ -∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *minf-neq-inf*[simp]:-∞ ≠ ∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-ipi*[simp]:∞ + ∞ = ∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-zpi*[simp]:(*ant z*) + ∞ = ∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-ipz*[simp]: ∞ + (*ant z*) = ∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-zpz*:(*ant m*) + (*ant n*) = *ant (m + n)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-mpi*[simp]:-∞ + ∞ = 0  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-ipm*[simp]:∞ + (-∞) = 0  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-mpm*[simp]:-∞ + (-∞) = -∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-mpz*[simp]:-∞ + (*ant m*) = -∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-zpm*[simp]:(*ant m*) + (-∞) = -∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *a-mdi*[simp]:-∞ - ∞ = - ∞  
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $a\text{-}zdz:(\text{ant } m) - (\text{ant } n) = \text{ant } (m - n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}i\text{-}i[\text{simp}]:\infty * \infty = \infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}0\text{-}i[\text{simp}]:0 * \infty = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}i\text{-}0[\text{simp}]:\infty * 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}0\text{-}m[\text{simp}]:0 * (-\infty) = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}m\text{-}0[\text{simp}]:(-\infty) * 0 = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}m\text{-}i[\text{simp}]:(-\infty) * \infty = -\infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}i\text{-}m[\text{simp}]:\infty * (-\infty) = -\infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}pos\text{-}i[\text{simp}]:0 < m \implies (\text{ant } m) * \infty = \infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}i\text{-}pos[\text{simp}]:0 < m \implies \infty * (\text{ant } m) = \infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}neg\text{-}i[\text{simp}]:m < 0 \implies (\text{ant } m) * \infty = -\infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}i\text{-}neg[\text{simp}]:m < 0 \implies \infty * (\text{ant } m) = -\infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}z\text{-}z:(\text{ant } m) * (\text{ant } n) = \text{ant } (m * n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}pos\text{-}m[\text{simp}]:0 < m \implies (\text{ant } m) * (-\infty) = -\infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}m\text{-}pos[\text{simp}]:0 < m \implies (-\infty) * (\text{ant } m) = -\infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-}neg\text{-}m[\text{simp}]:m < 0 \implies (\text{ant } m) * (-\infty) = \infty$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *neg-a-m*[simp]: $m < 0 \implies (-\infty) * (\text{ant } m) = \infty$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-m-m*[simp]: $(-\infty) * (-\infty) = \infty$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *inj-on-Abs-Ainteg*:*inj-on Abs-Ainteg Ainteg*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *an-Suc*:*an (Suc n) = an n + 1*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *aeq-zeq* [iff]:  $(\text{ant } m = \text{ant } n) = (m = n)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *aminus*:- *ant m = ant (-m)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *aminusZero*:- *ant 0 = ant 0*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *ant-0*: *ant 0 = (0::ant)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *inf-neq-0*[simp]: $\infty \neq 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *zero-neq-inf*[simp]: $0 \neq \infty$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *minf-neq-0*[simp]: $-\infty \neq 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *zero-neq-minf*[simp]: $0 \neq -\infty$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-minus-zero*[simp]: $-(0::ant) = 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-minus-minus*:  $-(-z) = (z::ant)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *aminus-0*:  $-(-0) = (0::ant)$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *a-a-z-0*: $\llbracket 0 < z; a * \text{ant } z = 0 \rrbracket \implies a = 0$   
*{proof}*

**lemma** *adiv-eq*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; a * (\text{ant } z) = b * (\text{ant } z) \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aminus-add-distrib*:  $- (z + w) = (-z) + (-w :: \text{ant})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-commute*:  $(x :: \text{ant}) + y = y + x$   
*(proof)*

**definition**

*aug-inf* :: *ant set* ( $Z_\infty$ ) **where**  
 $Z_\infty = \{(z :: \text{ant}). z \neq -\infty\}$

**definition**

*aug-minf* :: *ant set* ( $Z_{-\infty}$ ) **where**  
 $Z_{-\infty} = \{(z :: \text{ant}). z \neq \infty\}$

**lemma** *z-in-aug-inf*: *ant*  $z \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Zero-in-aug-inf*:  $0 \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *z-in-aug-minf*: *ant*  $z \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-aug-minf*:  $a \in Z_{-\infty} \implies a = -\infty \vee (\exists z. a = \text{ant } z)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *minus-an-in-aug-minf*:  $-an \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Zero-in-aug-minf*:  $0 \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-assoc-i*:  $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty; z \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-assoc-m*:  $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty}; z \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies (x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-0-r*:  $x + (0 :: \text{ant}) = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-0-l*:  $(0 :: \text{ant}) + x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-minus-inv*:  $(-x) + x = (0::ant)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-minus-r*:  $x + (-x) = (0::ant)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ant-minus-inj*:  $ant z \neq ant w \implies -ant z \neq -ant w$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aminus-mult-minus*:  $(- (ant z)) * (ant w) = - ((ant z) * (ant w))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-commute*:  $(x::ant) * y = y * x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *z-le-i*[simp]:  $(ant x) \leq \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *z-less-i*[simp]:  $(ant x) < \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *m-le-z*:  $-\infty \leq (ant x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *m-less-z*[simp]:  $-\infty < (ant x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *noninf-mem-Z*:  $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; x \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \exists (z::int). x = ant z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *z-mem-Z*:  $ant z \in Z_\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inf-ge-any*[simp]:  $x \leq \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zero-lt-inf*:  $0 < \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minf-le-any*[simp]:  $-\infty \leq x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *minf-less-0*:  $-\infty < 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-antisym*[simp]:  $\llbracket (x::ant) \leq y; y \leq x \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *x-gt-inf*[simp]:  $\infty \leq x \implies x = \infty$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Zinf-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies x + y \in Z_\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Zminf-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies x + y \in Z_{-\infty}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-distrib1*: $(\text{ant } z) \neq 0 \implies (a + b) * (\text{ant } z) = a * (\text{ant } z) + b * (\text{ant } z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-0-r*: $(\text{ant } z) * 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-0-l*: $0 * (\text{ant } z) = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

```
asprod :: [int, ant] ⇒ ant (infixl *a 200) where
  m *a x ==
    if x = ∞ then (if 0 < m then ∞ else (if m < 0 then -∞ else
      if m = 0 then 0 else undefined))
    else (if x = -∞ then
      (if 0 < m then -∞ else (if m < 0 then ∞ else
        if m = 0 then 0 else undefined)))
    else (ant m) * x)
```

**lemma** *asprod-pos-inf*[simp]: $0 < m \implies m *_a \infty = \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-neg-inf*[simp]: $m < 0 \implies m *_a \infty = -\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-pos-minf*[simp]: $0 < m \implies m *_a (-\infty) = (-\infty)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-neg-minf*[simp]: $m < 0 \implies m *_a (-\infty) = \infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-mult*:  $m *_a (\text{ant } n) = \text{ant}(m * n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-1*: $1 *_a x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *agsprod-assoc-a*: $m *_a (n *_a (\text{ant } x)) = (m * n) *_a (\text{ant } x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *agsprod-assoc*: $\llbracket m \neq 0; n \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies m *_a (n *_a x) = (m * n) *_a x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-distrib1*: $m \neq 0 \implies m *_a (x + y) = (m *_a x) + (m *_a y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-0-x[simp]*: $0 *_a x = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-n-0*: $n *_a 0 = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-distrib2*: $\llbracket 0 < i; 0 < j \rrbracket \implies (i + j) *_a x = (i *_a x) + (j *_a x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-minus*: $x \neq -\infty \wedge x \neq \infty \implies -z *_a x = z *_a (-x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-div-eq*: $\llbracket n \neq 0; n *_a x = n *_a y \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-0*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; z *_a x = 0 \rrbracket \implies x = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asp-z-Z*: $z *_a \text{ant } x \in Z_\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *tna-ant*:  $\text{tna}(\text{ant } z) = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ant-tna*: $x \neq \infty \wedge x \neq -\infty \implies \text{ant}(\text{tna } x) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ant-sol*: $\llbracket a \in Z_\infty; b \in Z_\infty; c \in Z_\infty; b \neq \infty; a = b + c \rrbracket \implies a - b = c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.7.1 Ordering of integers and ordering nats

#### 1.7.2 The $\leq$ Ordering

**lemma** *zneq-aneq*: $(n \neq m) = ((\text{ant } n) \neq (\text{ant } m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale*: $(n \leq m) = ((\text{ant } n) \leq (\text{ant } m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless*: $(n < m) = ((\text{ant } n) < (\text{ant } m))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-refl*:  $w \leq (w::ant)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aeq-ale*:  $(a::ant) = b \implies a \leq b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-trans*:  $\llbracket (i::ant) \leq j; j \leq k \rrbracket \implies i \leq k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless-le-not-le*:  $((w::ant) < z) = (w \leq z \wedge \neg z \leq w)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instance** *ant :: order*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-linear*:  $(z::ant) \leq w \vee w \leq z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**instance** *ant :: linorder*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas** *aless-linear = less-linear* [**where** 'a = ant]

**lemma** *ant-eq-0-conv* [simp]:  $(ant n = 0) = (n = 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless-zless*:  $(ant m < ant n) = (m < n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *a0-less-int-conv* [simp]:  $(0 < ant n) = (0 < n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *a0-less-1*:  $0 < (1::ant)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *a0-neq-1* [simp]:  $0 \neq (1::ant)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-zle* [simp]:  $((ant i) \leq (ant j)) = (i \leq j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ant-1* [simp]:  $ant 1 = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zpos-apos*:  $(0 \leq n) = (0 \leq (ant n))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *zposs-aposss*: $(0 < n) = (0 < (\text{ant } n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *an-nat-pos*[simp]: $0 \leq \text{an } n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-one-l*:  $1 * (x::\text{ant}) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-one-r*: $(x::\text{ant}) * 1 = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-eq-eq-r*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; \ a * \text{ant } z = b * \text{ant } z \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-eq-eq-l*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; \ (\text{ant } z) * a = (\text{ant } z) * b \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 < b; \ 0 \leq x \rrbracket \implies x \leq (b *_a x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-amult*: $0 < z \implies z *_a x = (\text{ant } z) * x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-pos1*: $\llbracket 0 < b; \ 0 \leq x \rrbracket \implies x \leq ((\text{ant } b) * x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-pos-mono-l*: $0 < w \implies (((\text{ant } w) * x) \leq ((\text{ant } w) * y)) = (x \leq y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *amult-pos-mono-r*: $0 < w \implies ((x * (\text{ant } w)) \leq (y * (\text{ant } w))) = (x \leq y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *apos-neq-minf*: $0 \leq a \implies a \neq -\infty$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-pos-mono*: $0 < w \implies ((w *_a x) \leq (w *_a y)) = (x \leq y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *a-inv*: $(a::\text{ant}) + b = 0 \implies a = -b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-pos-pos*: $0 \leq x \implies 0 \leq \text{int } n *_a x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-1-x*[simp]: $1 *_a x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *asprod-n-1*[simp]: $n *_a 1 = \text{ant } n$   
*(proof)*

### 1.7.3 Aug ordering

**lemma** *aless-imp-le*:  $x < (y::\text{ant}) \implies x \leq y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *gt-a0-ge-1*:( $0::\text{ant}$ )  $< x \implies 1 \leq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *gt-a0-ge-aN*: $\llbracket 0 < x; N \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies (\text{ant } (\text{int } N)) \leq (\text{int } N) *_a x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aless-le-trans*: $\llbracket (x::\text{ant}) < y; y \leq z \rrbracket \implies x < z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ale-less-trans*: $\llbracket (x::\text{ant}) \leq y; y < z \rrbracket \implies x < z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aless-trans*: $\llbracket (x::\text{ant}) < y; y < z \rrbracket \implies x < z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ale-neq-less*: $\llbracket (x::\text{ant}) \leq y; x \neq y \rrbracket \implies x < y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aneg-le*: $(\neg (x::\text{ant}) \leq y) = (y < x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aneg-less*: $(\neg x < (y::\text{ant})) = (y \leq x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-le-mono*: $x \leq (y::\text{ant}) \implies x + z \leq y + z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aadd-less-mono-z*: $(x::\text{ant}) < y \implies (x + (\text{ant } z)) < (y + (\text{ant } z))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aless-le-suc*[simp]: $(a::\text{ant}) < b \implies a + 1 \leq b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aposs-le-1*:( $0::\text{ant}$ )  $< x \implies 1 \leq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *pos-in-aug-inf*:( $0::\text{ant}$ )  $\leq x \implies x \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-inf-noninf-is-z*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; x \neq \infty \rrbracket \implies \exists z. x = \text{ant } z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-two-pos*: $\llbracket 0 \leq (x::ant); 0 \leq y \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq x + y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-pos-poss*: $\llbracket (0::ant) \leq x; 0 < y \rrbracket \implies 0 < (x + y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-poss-pos*: $\llbracket (0::ant) < x; 0 \leq y \rrbracket \implies 0 < (x + y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-pos-le*: $0 \leq (a::ant) \implies b \leq a + b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-poss-less*: $\llbracket b \neq \infty; b \neq -\infty; 0 < a \rrbracket \implies b < a + b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-neg*: $(0::ant) \leq x \implies (-x) \leq 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-diff-pos*: $(x::ant) \leq y \implies 0 \leq (y - x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless-diff-poss*: $(x::ant) < y \implies 0 < (y - x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-minus*: $(x::ant) \leq y \implies -y \leq -x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless-minus*: $(x::ant) < y \implies -y < -x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-minus-le*: $(a::ant) \leq 0 \implies a + b \leq b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aadd-minus-less*: $\llbracket b \neq -\infty \wedge b \neq \infty; (a::ant) < 0 \rrbracket \implies a + b < b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *an-inj*: $an\ n = an\ m \implies n = m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *nat-eq-an-eq*: $n = m \implies an\ n = an\ m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aneq-natneq*: $(an\ n \neq an\ m) = (n \neq m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ale-natle*: $(an\ n \leq an\ m) = (n \leq m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless-natless*: $(an\ n < an\ m) = (n < m)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *na-an-na*  $(an\ n) = n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *asprod-ge*:  
 $0 < b \implies N \neq 0 \implies an\ N \leq int\ N *_a b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *an-npn-an*  $(n + m) = an\ n + an\ m$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *an-ndn-n*  $\leq m \implies an\ (m - n) = an\ m - an\ n$   
*(proof)*

## 1.8 Amin, amax

**definition**

$amin :: [ant, ant] \Rightarrow ant$  **where**  
 $amin\ x\ y = (if\ (x \leq y)\ then\ x\ else\ y)$

**definition**

$amax :: [ant, ant] \Rightarrow ant$  **where**  
 $amax\ x\ y = (if\ (x \leq y)\ then\ y\ else\ x)$

**primrec**  $Amin :: [nat, nat \Rightarrow ant] \Rightarrow ant$   
**where**

$Amin\ 0 : Amin\ 0\ f = (f\ 0)$   
 $| Amin\ Suc : Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f = amin\ (Amin\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

**primrec**  $Amax :: [nat, nat \Rightarrow ant] \Rightarrow ant$   
**where**

$Amax\ 0 : Amax\ 0\ f = f\ 0$   
 $| Amax\ Suc : Amax\ (Suc\ n)\ f = amax\ (Amax\ n\ f)\ (f\ (Suc\ n))$

**lemma** *amin-ge*: $x \leq amin\ x\ y \vee y \leq amin\ x\ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-le-l*: $amin\ x\ y \leq x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-le-r*: $amin\ x\ y \leq y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amax-le*: $amax\ x\ y \leq x \vee amax\ x\ y \leq y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amax-le-n*: $\llbracket x \leq n; y \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{amax } x \ y \leq n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amax-ge-l*: $x \leq \text{amax } x \ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amax-ge-r*: $y \leq \text{amax } x \ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-mem-i*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty \rrbracket \implies \text{amin } x \ y \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amax-mem-m*: $\llbracket x \in Z_{-\infty}; y \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies \text{amax } x \ y \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-commute*: $\text{amin } x \ y = \text{amin } y \ x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-mult-pos*: $0 < z \implies \text{amin } (z *_a x) (z *_a y) = z *_a \text{amin } x \ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-amult-pos*: $0 < z \implies \text{amin } ((\text{ant } z) * x) ((\text{ant } z) * y) = (\text{ant } z) * \text{amin } x \ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *times-amin*: $\llbracket 0 < a; \text{amin } (x * (\text{ant } a)) (y * (\text{ant } a)) \leq z * (\text{ant } a) \rrbracket \implies \text{amin } x \ y \leq z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \longrightarrow \text{Amin } n \ f \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-mem*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \implies \text{Amin } n \ f \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amax-memTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \longrightarrow \text{Amax } n \ f \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amax-mem*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \implies \text{Amax } n \ f \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-mem-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f j \in Z_\infty \implies \text{Amin } n \ f \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amax-mem-mem*: $\forall j \leq n. f j \in Z_{-\infty} \implies \text{Amax } n \ f \in Z_{-\infty}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-leTr*: $f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty \longrightarrow (\forall j \in \{i. i \leq n\}. \text{Amin } n \ f \leq (f j))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-le*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_\infty; j \in \{k. k \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies Amin\ n\ f \leq (f\ j)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amax-geTr*: $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty} \longrightarrow (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (f\ j) \leq Amax\ n\ f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amax-ge*: $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow Z_{-\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies (f\ j) \leq (Amax\ n\ f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-mem-le*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. (f\ j) \in Z_\infty; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies (Amin\ n\ f) \leq (f\ j)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amax-mem-le*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. (f\ j) \in Z_{-\infty}; j \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies (f\ j) \leq (Amax\ n\ f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-ge1*: $\llbracket (z::ant) \leq x; z \leq y \rrbracket \implies z \leq amin\ x\ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-gt*: $\llbracket (z::ant) < x; z < y \rrbracket \implies z < amin\ x\ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-ge1Tr*: $(\forall j \leq (Suc\ n). (f\ j) \in Z_\infty \wedge z \leq (f\ j)) \longrightarrow z \leq (Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Amin-ge1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (Suc\ n). f\ j \in Z_\infty; \forall j \leq (Suc\ n). z \leq (f\ j) \rrbracket \implies z \leq (Amin\ (Suc\ n)\ f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *amin-trans1*: $\llbracket x \in Z_\infty; y \in Z_\infty; z \in Z_\infty; z \leq x \rrbracket \implies amin\ z\ y \leq amin\ x\ y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inf-in-aug-inf*: $\infty \in Z_\infty$   
*(proof)*

### 1.8.1 Maximum element of a set of ants

```
primrec aasc-seq :: [ant set, ant, nat] ⇒ ant
where
  aasc-seq-0 : aasc-seq A a 0 = a
  | aasc-seq-Suc : aasc-seq A a (Suc n) =
    (SOME b. ((b ∈ A) ∧ (aasc-seq A a n) < b))
```

**lemma** *aasc-seq-mem*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies (aasc\text{-}seq A a n) \in A$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *aasc-seqn*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies (aasc\text{-}seq A a n) < (aasc\text{-}seq A a (Suc n))$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *aasc-seqn1*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies (aasc\text{-}seq A a n) + 1 \leq (aasc\text{-}seq A a (Suc n))$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *aubs-ex-n-maxTr*: $\llbracket a \in A; \neg (\exists m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)) \rrbracket \implies (a + an n) \leq (aasc\text{-}seq A a n)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *aubs-ex-AMax*: $\llbracket A \subseteq UBset(\text{ant } z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists !m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)$

*(proof)*

#### definition

*AMax* :: *ant set*  $\Rightarrow$  *ant where*

*AMax A* = (*THE m. m*  $\in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq m)$ )

#### definition

*AMin* :: *ant set*  $\Rightarrow$  *ant where*

*AMin A* = (*THE m. m*  $\in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$ )

#### definition

*rev-o* :: *ant*  $\Rightarrow$  *ant where*

*rev-o x* =  $-x$

**lemma** *AMax*: $\llbracket A \subseteq UBset(\text{ant } z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMax A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. x \leq (AMax A))$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *AMax-mem*: $\llbracket A \subseteq UBset(\text{ant } z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMax A) \in A$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *rev-map-nonempty*: $A \neq \{\} \implies \text{rev-}o`A \neq \{\}$

**lemma** *rev-map*: $\text{rev-}o \in LBset(\text{ant } (-z)) \rightarrow UBset(\text{ant } z)$

**lemma** *albs-ex-AMin*: $\llbracket A \subseteq LBset(\text{ant } z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \exists !m. m \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. m \leq x)$

*(proof)*

**lemma**  $AMin:\llbracket A \subseteq LBset(\text{ant } z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMin A) \in A \wedge (\forall x \in A. (AMin A) \leq x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $AMin\text{-mem}:\llbracket A \subseteq LBset(\text{ant } z); A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (AMin A) \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**primrec**  $ASum :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ant}) \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{ant}$   
**where**  
 $ASum\text{-}0: ASum f 0 = f 0$   
 $| ASum\text{-}Suc: ASum f (\text{Suc } n) = (ASum f n) + (f (\text{Suc } n))$

**lemma**  $age\text{-plus}:\llbracket 0 \leq (a::\text{ant}); 0 \leq b; a + b \leq c \rrbracket \implies a \leq c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $age\text{-diff}\text{-}le:\llbracket (a::\text{ant}) \leq c; 0 \leq b \rrbracket \implies a - b \leq c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $adiff\text{-}le\text{-}adiff:a \leq (a'::\text{ant}) \implies a - b \leq a' - b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $aplus\text{-}le\text{-}aminus:\llbracket a \in Z_{-\infty}; b \in Z_{-\infty}; c \in Z_{-\infty}; -b \in Z_{-\infty} \rrbracket \implies ((a + b) \leq (c::\text{ant})) = (a \leq c - b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.9 Cardinality of sets

cardinality is defined for the finite sets only

**lemma**  $card\text{-eq}:A = B \implies \text{card } A = \text{card } B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card0:\text{card } \{\} = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card\text{-nonzero}:\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A \neq 0 \rrbracket \implies A \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $finite1:\text{finite } \{a\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card1:\text{card } \{a\} = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nonempty\text{-}card\text{-}pos}:\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{card } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $nonempty\text{-}card\text{-}pos1}:\llbracket \text{finite } A; A \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } 0 \leq \text{card } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *card1-tr0*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } 0; a \in A \rrbracket \implies \{a\} = A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *card1-tr1*: $(\text{constmap } \{0::\text{nat}\} \{x\}) \in \{0\} \rightarrow \{x\} \wedge$   
*surj-to*  $(\text{constmap } \{0::\text{nat}\} \{x\}) \{0\} \{x\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *card1-Tr2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists f. f \in \{0::\text{nat}\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{0\} A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *card2*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; a \in A; b \in A; a \neq b \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{card } A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *card2-inc-two*: $\llbracket 0 < (n::\text{nat}); x \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists y \in \{j. j \leq n\}. x \neq y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2-prep1*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \exists x. x \in A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ex-least-set*: $\llbracket A = \{H. \text{finite } H \wedge P H\}; H \in A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists K \in A. (\text{LEAST } j. j \in (\text{card } 'A)) = \text{card } K$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2-prep2*: $x \in A \implies A - \{x\} \cup \{x\} = A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2-finiteTr*: $\forall A. (\text{finite } A \wedge (\text{card } A = \text{Suc } n) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\exists f. f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2-finite*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists f. f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2finite-inj-tr0*: $j \in \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \implies$   
 $\text{card } (\{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}) = n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2finite-inj-tr1*: $\llbracket i \leq (n::\text{nat}); j \leq n; f i = f j; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f ' (\{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}) = f ' \{i. i \leq n\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Nset2finite-inj*: $\llbracket \text{finite } A; \text{card } A = \text{Suc } n; \text{surj-to } f \{i. i \leq n\} A \rrbracket \implies$

*inj-on*  $f \{i. i \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$zmax :: [int, int] \Rightarrow int$  **where**  
 $zmax x y = (\text{if } (x \leq y) \text{ then } y \text{ else } x)$

**primrec**  $Zmax :: [nat, nat \Rightarrow int] \Rightarrow int$   
**where**

$Zmax\text{-}0 : Zmax 0 f = f 0$   
 $| Zmax\text{-}Suc : Zmax (\text{Suc } n) f = zmax (Zmax n f) (f (\text{Suc } n))$

**lemma**  $Zmax\text{-}memTr : f \in \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (\text{UNIV} :: \text{int set}) \longrightarrow$   
 $Zmax n f \in f` \{i. i \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $zmax\text{-}ge\text{-}r : y \leq zmax x y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $zmax\text{-}ge\text{-}l : x \leq zmax x y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Zmax\text{-}geTr : f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (\text{UNIV} :: \text{int set}) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (f j) \leq Zmax n f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Zmax\text{-}plus1 : f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (\text{UNIV} :: \text{int set}) \Longrightarrow$   
 $((Zmax n f) + 1) \notin f` \{j. j \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $image\text{-}Nsetn\text{-}card\text{-}pos : 0 < \text{card} (f` \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card\text{-}image\text{-}Nsetn\text{-}Suc$   
 $: \llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B ;$   
 $f (\text{Suc } n) \notin f` \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{card} (f` \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}) - \text{Suc } 0 =$   
 $\text{Suc} (\text{card} (f` \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $slide\text{-}surj : i < (j :: nat) \Longrightarrow$   
 $\text{surj-to} (\text{slide } i) \{l. l \leq (j - i)\} (\text{nset } i j)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $slide\text{-}inj : i < j \Longrightarrow \text{inj-on} (\text{slide } i) \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $card\text{-}nset : i < (j :: nat) \Longrightarrow \text{card} (\text{nset } i j) = \text{Suc} (j - i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sliden-hom*: $i < j \implies (\text{sliden } i) \in \text{nset } i \ j \rightarrow \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *slide-sliden*: $(\text{sliden } i) (\text{slide } i \ k) = k$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sliden-surj*: $i < j \implies \text{surj-to } (\text{sliden } i) (\text{nset } i \ j) \ \{k. k \leq (j - i)\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *sliden-inj*: $i < j \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{sliden } i) (\text{nset } i \ j)$   
*(proof)*

#### definition

*transpos* ::  $[\text{nat}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat})$  **where**  
 $\text{transpos } i \ j = (\lambda k. \text{if } k = i \text{ then } j \text{ else if } k = j \text{ then } i \text{ else } k)$

**lemma** *transpos-id*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j ; x \in \{k. k \leq n\} - \{i, j\} \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i \ j \ x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-id-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; x \leq n; x \neq i; x \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i \ j \ x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-id-2*: $i \leq n \implies \text{transpos } i \ n (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-ij-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i \ j \ i = j$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-ij-2*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{transpos } i \ j \ j = i$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-hom*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies (\text{transpos } i \ j) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-mem*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; l \leq n \rrbracket \implies (\text{transpos } i \ j \ l) \leq n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-inj*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{transpos } i \ j) \ \{i. i \leq n\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *transpos-surjec*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{surj-to } (\text{transpos } i j) \{i. i \leq n\} \{i. i \leq n\}$

**lemma** *comp-transpos*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j \rrbracket \implies \forall k \leq n. (\text{compose } \{i. i \leq n\} (\text{transpos } i j) (\text{transpos } i j)) k = k$

**lemma** *comp-transpos-1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies (\text{transpos } i j) ((\text{transpos } i j) k) = k$

**lemma** *cmp-transpos1*: $\llbracket i \leq n; j \leq n; i \neq j; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i j) (\text{transpos } i j)) k = k$

**lemma** *cmp-transpos*: $\llbracket i \leq n; i \neq n; a \leq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i n) (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } n (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{transpos } i n))) a = \text{transpos } i (\text{Suc } n) a$

**lemma** *im-Nset-Suc:insert*: $f (\text{Suc } n) (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) = f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

**lemma** *Nset-injTr0*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\} \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}$

**lemma** *inj-surj*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rrbracket \implies f' \{i. i \leq n\} = \{i. i \leq n\}$

**lemma** *Nset-pre-mem*: $\llbracket f: \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n; k \leq n \rrbracket \implies f k \in \{i. i \leq n\}$

**lemma** *Nset-injTr1*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). f l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}$

**lemma** *Nset-injTr2*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). f l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; f (\text{Suc } n) = \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \forall l \leq n. f l \leq n$

**lemma** *TR-inj-inj*: $\llbracket \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). f l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; i \leq (\text{Suc } n); j \leq (\text{Suc } n); i < j \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{compose } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} (\text{transpos } i j) f) \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$

**lemma** *enumeration*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq m\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies n \leq m$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *enumerate-1*: $\llbracket \forall j \leq (n::nat). f j \in A; \forall j \leq (m::nat). g j \in A;$   
 $\text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; f ` \{j. j \leq n\} = A;$   
 $g ` \{j. j \leq m\} = A \rrbracket \implies n = m$   
*(proof)*

#### definition

$ninv :: [nat, (nat \Rightarrow nat)] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$  **where**  
 $ninv n f = (\lambda y \in \{i. i \leq n\}. (SOME x. (x \leq n \wedge y = f x)))$

**lemma** *ninv-hom*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $ninv n f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ninv-r-inv*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\};$   
 $b \leq n \rrbracket \implies f(ninv n f b) = b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ninv-inj*: $\llbracket f \in \{i. i \leq n\} \rightarrow \{i. i \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{inj-on } (ninv n f) \{i. i \leq n\}$   
*(proof)*

### 1.9.1 Lemmas required in Algebra6.thy

**lemma** *ge2-zmult-pos*:  
 $2 \leq m \implies 0 < z \implies 1 < \text{int } m * z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zmult-pos-mono*: $\llbracket (0::int) < w; w * z \leq w * z' \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *zmult-pos-mono-r*:  
 $\llbracket (0::int) < w; z * w \leq z' * w \rrbracket \implies z \leq z'$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *an-neq-inf*: $\text{an } n \neq \infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *an-neq-minf*: $\text{an } n \neq -\infty$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aeq-mult*: $\llbracket z \neq 0; a = b \rrbracket \implies a * \text{ant } z = b * \text{ant } z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *tna-0[simp]*: $\text{tna } 0 = 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** ale-nat-le:(*an n* ≤ *an m*) = (*n* ≤ *m*)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** aless-nat-less:(*an n* < *an m*) = (*n* < *m*)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** apos-natpos:[*a* ≠ ∞; 0 ≤ *a*] ⇒ 0 ≤ *na a*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** apos-tna-pos:[*n* ≠ ∞; 0 ≤ *n*] ⇒ 0 ≤ *tna n*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** apos-na-pos:[*n* ≠ ∞; 0 ≤ *n*] ⇒ 0 ≤ *na n*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** aposs-tna-poss:[*n* ≠ ∞; 0 < *n*] ⇒ 0 < *tna n*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** aposs-na-poss:[*n* ≠ ∞; 0 < *n*] ⇒ 0 < *na n*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** nat-0-le: 0 ≤ *z* ==> int (nat *z*) = *z*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** int-eq:*m* = *n* ⇒ int *m* = int *n*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** box-equation:[*a* = *b*; *a* = *c*] ⇒ *b* = *c*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** aeq-nat-eq:[*n* ≠ ∞; 0 ≤ *n*; *m* ≠ ∞; 0 ≤ *m*] ⇒  
(*n* = *m*) = (*na n* = *na m*)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** na-minf:*na* (−∞) = 0  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** an-na:[*a* ≠ ∞; 0 ≤ *a*] ⇒ *an* (*na a*) = *a*  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** not-na-le-minf:¬ (*an n* ≤ −∞)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** not-na-less-minf:¬ (*an n* < −∞)  
⟨*proof*⟩

**lemma** not-na-ge-inf:¬ ∞ ≤ (*an n*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *an-na-le:j*  $\leq an\ n \implies na\ j \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *aless-neq*  $:(x::ant) < y \implies x \neq y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

# Chapter 2

## Ordered Set

### 2.1 Basic Concepts of Ordered Sets

```

record 'a carrier =
  carrier :: 'a set

record 'a Order = 'a carrier +
  rel :: ('a × 'a) set

locale Order =
  fixes D (structure)
  assumes closed: rel D ⊆ carrier D × carrier D
    and refl: a ∈ carrier D ⇒ (a, a) ∈ rel D
    and antisym: [a ∈ carrier D; b ∈ carrier D; (a, b) ∈ rel D;
      (b, a) ∈ rel D] ⇒ a = b
    and trans: [a ∈ carrier D; b ∈ carrier D; c ∈ carrier D;
      (a, b) ∈ rel D; (b, c) ∈ rel D] ⇒ (a, c) ∈ rel D

```

**definition**  
 $ole :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\preceq_1$  60) **where**  
 $a \preceq_D b \longleftrightarrow (a, b) \in rel D$

**definition**  
 $oless :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$  (**infix**  $\prec_1$  60) **where**  
 $a \prec_D b \equiv a \preceq_D b \wedge a \neq b$

**lemma** Order-component:(E::'a Order) = () carrier = carrier E, rel = rel E ()  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** Order-comp-eq:[carrier (E::'a Order) = carrier (F::'a Order);  
 $rel E = rel F] \implies E = F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-rel:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = ((a, b) \in \text{rel } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-imp-le:**  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies a \preceq b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-refl:**  $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \preceq a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-antisym:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \preceq b; b \preceq a \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-trans:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; a \preceq b; b \preceq c \rrbracket \implies a \preceq c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-trans:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b; b \prec c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-less-trans:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; a \preceq b; b \prec c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-le-trans:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b; b \preceq c \rrbracket \implies a \prec c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-imp-less-or-eq:**  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (a \prec b \vee a = b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-neq:**  $a \prec b \implies a \neq b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) le-neq-less:**  $\llbracket a \preceq b; a \neq b \rrbracket \implies a \prec b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-irrefl:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec a \rrbracket \implies C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-irrefl':**  $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \neg a \prec a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-asym:**

$a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \prec b \implies b \prec a \implies C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) less-asym':**  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies b \in \text{carrier } D \implies a \prec b \implies \neg b \prec a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) gt-than-any-outside:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in A. x \prec b \rrbracket \implies b \notin A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $Iod :: - \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow - \text{ where}$   
 $Iod D T = D (\text{carrier} := T, \text{rel} := \{(a, b). (a, b) \in \text{rel } D \wedge a \in T \wedge b \in T\})$

**definition**  
 $SIod :: 'a \text{ Order} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ Order where}$   
 $SIod D T = (\text{carrier} = T, \text{rel} = \{(a, b). (a, b) \in \text{rel } D \wedge a \in T \wedge b \in T\})$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-self:**  $D = Iod D (\text{carrier } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma SIod-self:Order**  $D \implies D = SIod D (\text{carrier } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Od-carrier:carrier**  $(D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R)) = S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Od-rel:rel**  $(D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R)) = R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-carrier:**  
 $T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{carrier } (Iod D T) = T$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma SIod-carrier:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } (SIod D T) = T$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Od-compare:**  $(S = S' \wedge R = R') = (D(\text{carrier} := S, \text{rel} := R) = D(\text{carrier} := S', \text{rel} := R'))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-le:**  
 $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_{Iod D T} b) = (a \preceq b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma SIod-le:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_{SIod D T} b) = (a \preceq_D b)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-less:**

$$[\![T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T]\!] \implies (a \prec_{Iod} D T b) = (a \prec b)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SIod-less:**  $[\![T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T]\!] \implies$

$$(a \prec_{SIod} D T b) = (a \prec_D b)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-Order:**

$$T \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Order (Iod } D T)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SIod-Order:**  $[\![\text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D]\!] \implies \text{Order (SIod } D T)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) emptyset-Iod:Order (Iod D {})**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-sub-sub:**

$$[\![S \subseteq T; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D]\!] \implies \text{Iod (Iod } D T) S = \text{Iod } D S$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SIod-sub-sub:**

$$[\![S \subseteq T; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D]\!] \implies \text{SIod (SIod } D T) S = \text{SIod } D S$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma rel-SIod:**  $[\![\text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{carrier } E \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$$\forall a \in \text{carrier } E. \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. (a \preceq_E b) = (a \preceq_D b)] \implies$$

$$\text{rel } E = \text{rel (SIod } D (\text{carrier } E))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SIod-self-le:**  $[\![\text{Order } D; \text{Order } E;$

$$\text{carrier } E \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$$

$$\forall a \in \text{carrier } E. \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. (a \preceq_E b) = (a \preceq_D b)] \implies$$

$$E = \text{SIod } D (\text{carrier } E)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 2.1.1 Total ordering

**locale Torder = Order +**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assumes le-linear: } [\![a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D]\!] \implies \\ a \preceq b \vee b \preceq a \end{aligned}$$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-empty-Torder:Torder (Iod D {})**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) le-cases:**

$\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; (a \preceq b \Rightarrow C); (b \preceq a \Rightarrow C) \rrbracket \Rightarrow C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) Order:Order D**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) less-linear:**

$a \in \text{carrier } D \Rightarrow b \in \text{carrier } D \Rightarrow a \prec b \vee a = b \vee b \prec a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) not-le-less:**

$a \in \text{carrier } D \Rightarrow b \in \text{carrier } D \Rightarrow$   
 $(\neg a \preceq b) = (b \prec a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) not-less-le:**

$a \in \text{carrier } D \Rightarrow b \in \text{carrier } D \Rightarrow$   
 $(\neg a \prec b) = (b \preceq a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-not-le-less:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T;$   
 $T \text{order } (\text{Iod } D \ T) \rrbracket \Rightarrow (\neg a \preceq_{(\text{Iod } D \ T)} b) = b \prec_{(\text{Iod } D \ T)} a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-not-less-le:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in T; b \in T;$   
 $T \text{order } (\text{Iod } D \ T) \rrbracket \Rightarrow (\neg a \prec_{(\text{Iod } D \ T)} b) = b \preceq_{(\text{Iod } D \ T)} a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.1.2 Two ordered sets

**definition**

$\text{Order-Pow} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set Order}$  ((po -) [999] 1000) **where**  
 $\text{po } A =$   
 $\langle \text{carrier} = \text{Pow } A,$   
 $\text{rel} = \{(X, Y). X \in \text{Pow } A \wedge Y \in \text{Pow } A \wedge X \subseteq Y\} \rangle$

**interpretation order-Pow: Order po A**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

$\text{Order-fs} :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set} \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set} * ('a \Rightarrow 'b)) \text{ Order}$  **where**  
 $\text{Order-fs } A \ B =$   
 $\langle \text{carrier} = \{Z. \exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge$   
 $f \in \text{extensional } A1 \wedge Z = (A1, f)\},$   
 $\text{rel} = \{Y. Y \in (\{Z. \exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A1 \wedge Z = (A1, f)\}) \times (\{Z. \exists A1 f. A1 \in \text{Pow } A \wedge f \in A1 \rightarrow B \wedge f \in \text{extensional } A1 \wedge Z = (A1, f)\}) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{fst } Y) \subseteq \text{fst } (\text{snd } Y) \wedge$

$(\forall a \in (fst (fst Y)). (snd (fst Y)) a = (snd (snd Y)) a) \}$

**lemma** *Order-fs:Order* (*Order-fs A B*)  
*{proof}*

### 2.1.3 Homomorphism of ordered sets

#### definition

*ord-inj* :: [*('a, 'm0) Order-scheme, ('b, 'm1) Order-scheme,*  
*'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b]  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*ord-inj D E f  $\longleftrightarrow$  f  $\in$  extensional (carrier D)  $\wedge$*   
*f  $\in$  (carrier D)  $\rightarrow$  (carrier E)  $\wedge$*   
*(inj-on f (carrier D))  $\wedge$*   
*( $\forall a \in$  carrier D.  $\forall b \in$  carrier D. (a  $\prec_D$  b) = ((f a)  $\prec_E$  (f b)))**

#### definition

*ord-isom* :: [*('a, 'm0) Order-scheme, ('b, 'm1) Order-scheme,*  
*'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b]  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*ord-isom D E f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ord-inj D E f  $\wedge$*   
*(surj-to f (carrier D) (carrier E))**

**lemma** (in *Order*) *ord-inj-func*: [Order E; ord-inj D E f]  $\implies$   
*f  $\in$  carrier D  $\rightarrow$  carrier E*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *Order*) *ord-isom-func*: [Order E; ord-isom D E f]  $\implies$   
*f  $\in$  carrier D  $\rightarrow$  carrier E*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *Order*) *ord-inj-restrict-isom*: [Order E; ord-inj D E f; T  $\subseteq$  carrier D]  
 $\implies$  ord-isom (Iod D T) (Iod E (f ‘ T)) (restrict f T)  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *ord-inj-Srestrict-isom*: [Order D; Order E; ord-inj D E f; T  $\subseteq$  carrier D]  
 $\implies$  ord-isom (SIod D T) (SIod E (f ‘ T)) (restrict f T)  
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *Order*) *id-ord-isom*: ord-isom D D (idmap (carrier D))  
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *Order*) *ord-isom-bij-to*: [Order E; ord-isom D E f]  $\implies$   
*bij-to f (carrier D) (carrier E)*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *Order*) *ord-inj-mem*: [Order E; ord-inj D E f; a  $\in$  carrier D]  $\implies$   
*(f a)  $\in$  carrier E*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** (in *Order*) *ord-isom-mem*: [Order E; ord-isom D E f; a  $\in$  carrier D]  $\implies$

$(f a) \in \text{carrier } E$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-surj:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; b \in \text{carrier } E \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. b = f a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-surj-forall:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies \forall b \in \text{carrier } E. \exists a \in \text{carrier } D. b = f a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-onto:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies f^{-1}(\text{carrier } D) = \text{carrier } E$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-inj-on:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } f (\text{carrier } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-inj:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = ((f a) = (f b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-surj-to:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies \text{surj-to } f (\text{carrier } D) (\text{carrier } E)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-inj-less:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-less:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-less-forall:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. (a \prec_D b) = ((f a) \prec_E (f b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-le:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = ((f a) \preceq_E (f b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-le-forall:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \forall b \in \text{carrier } D. (a \preceq b) = ((f a) \preceq_E (f b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-convert:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f; x \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (\forall y \in \text{carrier } D. (x \prec y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec a)) =$

$(\forall z \in \text{carrier } E. ((f x) \prec_E z \longrightarrow \neg z \prec_E (f a)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-sym:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f] \implies \text{ord-isom } E D (\text{invfun } (\text{carrier } D) (\text{carrier } E) f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-trans:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-isom } D E f; \text{ord-isom } E F g] \implies \text{ord-isom } D F (\text{compose } (\text{carrier } D) g f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{ord-equiv} :: [-, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Order-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{ord-equiv } D E \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f)$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D E f] \implies \text{ord-equiv } D E$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-isom:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E] \implies \exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-reflex:**  $\text{ord-equiv } D D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) eq-ord-equiv:**  $[\text{Order } E; D = E] \implies \text{ord-equiv } D E$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-sym:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D E] \implies \text{ord-equiv } E D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-trans:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-equiv } D E; \text{ord-equiv } E F] \implies \text{ord-equiv } D F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-box:**  $[\text{Order } E; \text{Order } F; \text{ord-equiv } D E; \text{ord-equiv } D F] \implies \text{ord-equiv } E F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma SIod-isom-Iod:**  $[\text{Order } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D] \implies \text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D T) (\text{Iod } D T) (\lambda x \in T. x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{minimum-elem} :: [-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{minimum-elem} = (\lambda D X a. a \in X \wedge (\forall x \in X. a \preceq_D x))$

**locale Worder = Torder +**  
**assumes ex-minimum:**  $\forall X. X \subseteq (\text{carrier } D) \wedge X \neq \{\} \longrightarrow$

$(\exists x. \text{minimum-elem } D X x)$

**lemma (in Worder)** Order:Order D  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** Torder:Torder D  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** Worder:Worder D  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** equiv-isom:[Worder E; ord-equiv D E]  $\implies$   
 $\exists f. \text{ord-isom } D E f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** minimum-elem-mem:[X ⊆ carrier D; minimum-elem D X a]  
 $\implies a \in X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** minimum-elem-unique:[X ⊆ carrier D; minimum-elem D X a1;  
 $a1; \text{minimum-elem } D X a2] \implies a1 = a2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** compare-minimum-elements:[S ⊆ carrier D; T ⊆ carrier D;  
 $S \subseteq T; \text{minimum-elem } D S s; \text{minimum-elem } D T t] \implies t \preceq s$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** minimum-elem-sub:[T ⊆ carrier D; X ⊆ T]  
 $\implies \text{minimum-elem } D X a = \text{minimum-elem } (\text{Iod } D T) X a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** minimum-elem-Ssub:[Order D; T ⊆ carrier D; X ⊆ T]  
 $\implies \text{minimum-elem } D X a = \text{minimum-elem } (\text{SIod } D T) X a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** augmented-set-minimum:[X ⊆ carrier D; a ∈ carrier D;  
 $Y - \{a\} \subseteq X; y - \{a\} \neq \{\}; \text{minimum-elem } (\text{Iod } D X) (Y - \{a\}) x;$   
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq a] \implies \text{minimum-elem } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } a X)) Y x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** augmented-Sset-minimum:[Order D; X ⊆ carrier D; a ∈ carrier D;  
 $Y - \{a\} \subseteq X; y - \{a\} \neq \{\}; \text{minimum-elem } (\text{SIod } D X) (Y - \{a\}) x;$   
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq_D a] \implies \text{minimum-elem } (\text{SIod } D (\text{insert } a X)) Y x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** ord-isom-minimum:[Order E; ord-isom D E f;  
 $S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{minimum-elem } D S a] \implies$   
 $\text{minimum-elem } E (f S) (f a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) pre-minimum:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq carrier D; minimum\text{-}elem D T t; s \in carrier D; s \prec_D t \rrbracket \implies \neg s \in T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma bex-nonempty-subset:**  $\exists a. a \in A \wedge P a \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A \wedge \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) to-subset:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq carrier D; ord\text{-isom } D (Iod D T) f \rrbracket \implies \forall a. a \in carrier D \implies a \preceq (f a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma to-subsetS:**  $\llbracket Worder D; T \subseteq carrier D; ord\text{-isom } D (SIod D T) f \rrbracket \implies \forall a. a \in carrier D \implies a \preceq_D (f a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) isom-Worder:**  $\llbracket Order T; ord\text{-isom } D T f \rrbracket \implies Worder T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) equiv-Worder:**  $\llbracket Order T; ord\text{-equiv } D T \rrbracket \implies Worder T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) equiv-Worder1:**  $\llbracket Order T; ord\text{-equiv } T D \rrbracket \implies Worder T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-self-id:**  $ord\text{-isom } D D f \implies f = idmap (carrier D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) isom-unique:**  $\llbracket Worder E; ord\text{-isom } D E f; ord\text{-isom } D E g \rrbracket \implies f = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$segment :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $segment D a = (\text{if } a \notin carrier D \text{ then } carrier D \text{ else}$   
 $\{x. x \prec_D a \wedge x \in carrier D\})$

**definition**

$Ssegment :: 'a Order \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $Ssegment D a = (\text{if } a \notin carrier D \text{ then } carrier D \text{ else}$   
 $\{x. x \prec_D a \wedge x \in carrier D\})$

**lemma (in Order) segment-sub:**  $segment D a \subseteq carrier D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-sub:**  $Ssegment D a \subseteq carrier D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-free:**  $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$   
 $\text{segment } D a = \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-free:**  $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies$   
 $\text{Ssegment } D a = \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-sub-sub:**  $\llbracket S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in S \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D S) d \subseteq \text{segment } D d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-sub-sub:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } D; S \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in S \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D S) d \subseteq \text{Ssegment } D d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) a-notin-segment:**  $a \notin \text{segment } D a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma a-notin-Ssegment:**  $a \notin \text{Ssegment } D a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-carr-segment:**  
 $\text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) = \text{segment } D a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma SIod-carr-Ssegment:**  $\text{Order } D \implies$   
 $\text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a)) = \text{Ssegment } D a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-inc:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a \prec b) = (a \in \text{segment } D b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-inc:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a \prec_D b) = (a \in \text{Ssegment } D b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-inc1:**  $b \in \text{carrier } D \implies$   
 $(a \prec b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) = (a \in \text{segment } D b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-inc1:**  $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a \prec_D b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) = (a \in \text{Ssegment } D b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-inc-if:**  $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{segment } D b \rrbracket \implies$   
 $a \prec b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ssegment-inc-if*: $\llbracket Order\ D; b \in carrier\ D; a \in Ssegment\ D\ b \rrbracket \implies a \prec_D b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-inc-less**: $\llbracket W \subseteq carrier\ D; a \in carrier\ D; y \in W; x \in segment\ (Iod\ D\ W)\ a; y \prec x \rrbracket \implies y \in segment\ (Iod\ D\ W)\ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-order-less**: $\forall b \in carrier\ D. \forall x \in segment\ D\ b. \forall y \in segment\ D\ b. (x \prec y) = (x \prec_{(Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ b))} y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-order-less**: $Order\ D \implies \forall b \in carrier\ D. \forall x \in Ssegment\ D\ b. \forall y \in Ssegment\ D\ b.$

$(x \prec_D y) = (x \prec_{(SIod\ D\ (Ssegment\ D\ b))} y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-order-le**: $\forall b \in carrier\ D. \forall x \in segment\ D\ b.$

$\forall y \in segment\ D\ b. (x \preceq y) = (x \preceq_{(Iod\ D\ (segment\ D\ b))} y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-order-le**: $\forall b \in carrier\ D. \forall x \in Ssegment\ D\ b.$

$\forall y \in Ssegment\ D\ b. (x \preceq_D y) = (x \preceq_{(SIod\ D\ (Ssegment\ D\ b))} y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) Iod-Torder**: $X \subseteq carrier\ D \implies Torder\ (Iod\ D\ X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma SIod-Torder**: $\llbracket Torder\ D; X \subseteq carrier\ D \rrbracket \implies Torder\ (SIod\ D\ X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) segment-not-inc**: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ D; b \in carrier\ D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies b \notin segment\ D\ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-not-inc**: $\llbracket Order\ D; a \in carrier\ D; b \in carrier\ D; a \prec_D b \rrbracket \implies b \notin Ssegment\ D\ a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) segment-not-inc-iff**: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ D; b \in carrier\ D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (b \notin segment\ D\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Ssegment-not-inc-iff**: $\llbracket Torder\ D; a \in carrier\ D; b \in carrier\ D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = (b \notin Ssegment\ D\ a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder)** *minimum-segment-of-sub*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D X) d) m \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } D X m$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder)** *segment-out*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) b = \text{segment } D a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder)** *segment-minimum-minimum*: $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in X; \text{minimum-elem } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D d)) (X \cap (\text{segment } D d)) m \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } D X m$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder)** *segment-mono*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec b) = (\text{segment } D a \subset \text{segment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Ssegment-mono*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \prec_D b) = (\text{Ssegment } D a \subset \text{Ssegment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder)** *segment-le-mono*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq b) = (\text{segment } D a \subseteq \text{segment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Ssegment-le-mono*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \preceq_D b) = (\text{Ssegment } D a \subseteq \text{Ssegment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder)** *segment-inj*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = (\text{segment } D a = \text{segment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Ssegment-inj*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = (\text{Ssegment } D a = \text{Ssegment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder)** *segment-inj-neq*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \neq b) = (\text{segment } D a \neq \text{segment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Ssegment-inj-neq*: $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies (a \neq b) = (\text{Ssegment } D a \neq \text{Ssegment } D b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order)** *segment-inc-psub*: $\llbracket x \in \text{segment } D a \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } D x \subset \text{segment } D a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Ssegment-inc-psub*: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ a \rrbracket \implies \text{Ssegment } D \ x \subset \text{Ssegment } D \ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) segment-segment**: $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{segment } D \ b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a = \text{segment } D \ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma Ssegment-Ssegment**: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{Ssegment } D \ b \rrbracket \implies \text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \ a = \text{Ssegment } D \ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) Iod-segment-segment**: $a \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ b)) \implies \text{Iod } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ b)) (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ b)) \ a) = \text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma SIod-Ssegment-Ssegment**: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; a \in \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{SIod } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) (\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ b)) \ a) = \text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-segment-mem**: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D; x \in \text{segment } D \ a \rrbracket \implies (f \ x) \in \text{segment } E \ (f \ a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma ord-isom-Ssegment-mem**: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D; x \in \text{Ssegment } D \ a \rrbracket \implies (f \ x) \in \text{Ssegment } E \ (f \ a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-segment-segment**: $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E \ (f \ a))) (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \ a)). \ f \ x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma ord-isom-Ssegment-Ssegment**: $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \ E \ f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-isom } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ a)) (\text{SIod } E (\text{Ssegment } E \ (f \ a))) (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \ a)). \ f \ x)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-segment-segment**:

$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D \text{ } E; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \exists t \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \text{ } a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E \text{ } t))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-equiv-Ssegment-Ssegment*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-equiv } D \text{ } E; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \exists t \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv} (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \text{ } a)) (\text{SIod } E (\text{Ssegment } E \text{ } t))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-isom-restricted**:

$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \text{ } E \text{ } f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ord-isom} (\text{Iod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{Iod } E (f \cdot D1)) (\lambda x \in D1. f \text{ } x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-isom-restrictedS*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \text{ } E \text{ } f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ord-isom} (\text{SIod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{SIod } E (f \cdot D1)) (\lambda x \in D1. f \text{ } x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) ord-equiv-induced**:

$\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \text{ } E \text{ } f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ord-equiv} (\text{Iod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{Iod } E (f \cdot D1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ord-equiv-inducedS*:

$\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-isom } D \text{ } E \text{ } f; D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ord-equiv} (\text{SIod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{SIod } E (f \cdot D1))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) equiv-induced-by-inj**:  
 $\llbracket \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D \text{ } E \text{ } f;$   
 $D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv} (\text{Iod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{Iod } E (f \cdot D1))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *equiv-induced-by-injS*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Order } D; \text{Order } E; \text{ord-inj } D \text{ } E \text{ } f;$   
 $D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv} (\text{SIod } D \text{ } D1) (\text{SIod } E (f \cdot D1))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) le-segment-segment**:  
 $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a \preceq b) = (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \text{ } b)) \text{ } a = \text{segment } D \text{ } a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *le-Ssegment-Ssegment*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Torder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a \preceq_D b) = (\text{Ssegment } (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D \text{ } b)) \text{ } a = \text{Ssegment } D \text{ } a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) inc-segment-segment**:  
 $\llbracket b \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $a \in \text{segment } D \text{ } b \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D \text{ } b)) \text{ } a = \text{segment } D \text{ } a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder)** segment-segment: $\llbracket a \in carrier D; b \in carrier D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(segment(Iod D(segment D b)) a = segment D a) =$   
 $((segment D a) \subseteq (segment D b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder)** less-in-Iod: $\llbracket a \in carrier D; b \in carrier D; a \prec b \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (a \prec b) = (a \in carrier(Iod D(segment D b)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$SS :: - \Rightarrow 'a set Order$  **where**  
 $SS D = (\langle carrier = \{X. \exists a \in carrier D. X = segment D a\}, rel =$   
 $\{XX. XX \in \{X. \exists a \in carrier D. X = segment D a\} \times$   
 $\{X. \exists a \in carrier D. X = segment D a\} \wedge ((fst XX) \subseteq (snd XX))\} \rangle)$

**definition**

$segmap :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $segmap D = (\lambda x \in (carrier D). segment D x)$

**lemma** segmap-func: $segmap D \in carrier D \rightarrow carrier (SS D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** ord-isom-segmap:  $ord\text{-isom } D (SS D) (segmap D)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** nonequiv-segment: $a \in carrier D \implies$   
 $\neg ord\text{-equiv } D (Iod D (segment D a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** nonequiv-Ssegment: $\llbracket Worder D; a \in carrier D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\neg ord\text{-equiv } D (SIod D (Ssegment D a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** subset-Worder:  $T \subseteq carrier D \implies$   
 $Worder(Iod D T)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** SIod-Worder: $\llbracket Worder D; T \subseteq carrier D \rrbracket \implies Worder(SIod D T)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** segment-Worder:  $Worder(Iod D (segment D a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** Ssegment-Worder:  $Worder D \implies Worder(SIod D (Ssegment D a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) segment-unique1:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies$

$$\neg \text{ord-equiv} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a))$$

*(proof)*

**lemma Ssegment-unique1:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec_D b \rrbracket \implies$

$$\neg \text{ord-equiv} (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a))$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) segment-unique:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$$\text{ord-equiv} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) \rrbracket \implies a = b$$

*(proof)*

**lemma Ssegment-unique:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$$\text{ord-equiv} (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D a)) (\text{SIod } D (\text{Ssegment } D b)) \rrbracket \implies a = b$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) subset-segment:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$$\forall b \in T. \forall x. x \prec b \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow x \in T;$$

$$\text{minimum-elem } D (\text{carrier } D - T) a \rrbracket \implies T = \text{segment } D a$$

*(proof)*

**lemma subset-Ssegment:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$$\forall b \in T. \forall x. x \prec_D b \wedge x \in \text{carrier } D \longrightarrow x \in T;$$

$$\text{minimum-elem } D (\text{carrier } D - T) a \rrbracket \implies T = \text{Ssegment } D a$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) segmentTr:**  $\llbracket T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$$\forall b \in T. (\forall x. (x \prec b \wedge x \in (\text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow x \in T)) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$(T = \text{carrier } D) \vee (\exists a. a \in (\text{carrier } D) \wedge T = \text{segment } D a)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma SsegmentTr:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } D; T \subseteq \text{carrier } D;$

$$\forall b \in T. (\forall x. (x \prec_D b \wedge x \in (\text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow x \in T)) \rrbracket \implies$$

$$(T = \text{carrier } D) \vee (\exists a. a \in (\text{carrier } D) \wedge T = \text{Ssegment } D a)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-segment-segment:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E;$

$$\text{ord-isom } D E f; a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies$$

$$\text{ord-isom} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E (f a)))$$

$$(\lambda x \in \text{carrier } (D). \text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)). f x$$

*(proof)*

**definition**

$Tw ::= [-, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Order-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b ((2Tw_{-, -}) [60, 61] 60) \text{ where}$

$Tw_{D, T} = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } D. \text{SOME } x. x \in \text{carrier } T \wedge$

$$\text{ord-equiv} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } T (\text{segment } T x)))$$

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-func:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } T; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } T. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } T (\text{segment } T b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{Tw}_{D,T} \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } T$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-mem:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; x \in \text{carrier } D; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{Tw}_{D,E}) x \in \text{carrier } E$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-equiv:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } T; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } T. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } T (\text{segment } T b)); x \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D x)) (\text{Iod } T (\text{segment } T ((\text{Tw}_{D,T}) x)))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-inj:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{inj-on } (\text{Tw}_{D,E}) (\text{carrier } D)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-eq-ord-isom:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)); a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E (\text{Tw } D E a))) f; x \in \text{segment } D a \rrbracket \implies f x = \text{Tw } D E x$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-ord-injTr:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)); a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; a \prec b \rrbracket \implies \text{Tw } D E a \prec_E (\text{Tw } D E b)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-ord-inj:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)) \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-inj } D E (\text{Tw } D E)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-restricted-by-Tw:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)); D1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D D1) (\text{Iod } E ((\text{Tw } D E) \cdot D1)) (\text{restrict } (\text{Tw } D E) D1)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Tw-segment-segment:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)); a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{Tw } D E \cdot (\text{segment } D a) = \text{segment } E (\text{Tw } D E a)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-Tw-segment:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)); a \in \text{carrier } D \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E (\text{Tw } D E a))) (\text{restrict } (\text{Tw } D E) (\text{segment } D a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) well-ord-compare1:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{ord-equiv } D E) \vee (\exists c \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } D (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E c)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma bex-nonempty-set:**  $\exists x \in A. P x \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \neq \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma nonempty-set-sub:**  $\{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \neq \{\} \implies \{x. x \in A \wedge P x\} \subseteq A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) less-minimum:**  $\llbracket \text{minimum-elem } D \{x. x \in \text{carrier } D \wedge P x\} d \rrbracket \implies \forall a. (((a \prec d) \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow \neg (P a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Torder) segment-minimum-empty:**  $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; d \in X \rrbracket \implies (\text{minimum-elem } D X d) = (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D X) d = \{\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

**theory Algebra2**  
**imports Algebra1**  
**begin**

**lemma (in Order) less-and-segment:**  $b \in \text{carrier } D \implies (\forall a. ((a \prec b \wedge a \in \text{carrier } D) \longrightarrow (Q a))) = (\forall a \in \text{carrier } (Iod D (\text{segment } D b)).(Q a))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) Word-compare2:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; \neg (\forall a \in \text{carrier } D. \exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a))) \rrbracket$

$(Iod E (segment E b))) \Rightarrow$   
 $\exists c \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D c)) E$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *Worder-equiv*:  
 $\forall a \in carrier D. \exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a))$   
 $(Iod E (segment E b));$   
 $\forall c \in carrier E. \exists d \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod E (segment E c))$   
 $(Iod D (segment D d)) \Rightarrow ord-equiv D E$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *Worder-equiv1*:  
 $\neg (ord-equiv D E) \Rightarrow$   
 $\neg ((\forall a \in carrier D. \exists b \in carrier E.$   
 $ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) (Iod E (segment E b))) \wedge$   
 $(\forall c \in carrier E. \exists d \in carrier D.$   
 $ord-equiv (Iod E (segment E c)) (Iod D (segment D d))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *Word-compare*:  
 $Worder E \Rightarrow$   
 $(\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) E) \vee ord-equiv D E \vee$   
 $(\exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv D (Iod E (segment E b)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *Word-compareTr1*:  
 $\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) E; ord-equiv D E \Rightarrow$   
 $False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *Word-compareTr2*:  
 $[Worder E; ord-equiv D E;$   
 $\exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv D (Iod E (segment E b))] \Rightarrow False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *Word-compareTr3*:  
 $[Worder E;$   
 $\exists b \in carrier E. ord-equiv D (Iod E (segment E b));$   
 $\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D (segment D a)) E] \Rightarrow False$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)** *subset-equiv-segment*:  
 $S \subseteq carrier D \Rightarrow$   
 $ord-equiv D (Iod D S) \vee$   
 $(\exists a \in carrier D. ord-equiv (Iod D S) (Iod D (segment D a)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  
 $ordinal-number :: 'a Order \Rightarrow 'a Order set$  **where**  
 $ordinal-number S = \{X. Worder X \wedge ord-equiv X S\}$

**definition**  
 $ODnums :: 'a Order set set$  **where**  
 $ODnums = \{X. \exists S. Worder S \wedge X = ordinal-number S\}$

**definition**

$ODord :: ['a Order set, 'a Order set] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (**infix**  $\sqsubset$  60) **where**  
 $X \sqsubset Y \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. (\exists c \in \text{carrier } y. \text{ord-equiv } x (\text{Iod } y (\text{segment } y c))))$

**definition**

$ODord-le :: ['a Order set, 'a Order set] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (**infix**  $\sqsubseteq$  60) **where**  
 $X \sqsubseteq Y \longleftrightarrow X = Y \vee ODord X Y$

**definition**

$ODrel :: ((('a Order) set) * (('a Order) set)) \text{ set where}$   
 $ODrel = \{Z. Z \in ODnums \times ODnums \wedge ODord-le (\text{fst } Z) (\text{snd } Z)\}$

**definition**

$ODnods :: ('a Order set) Order$  **where**  
 $ODnods = (\text{carrier} = ODnums, \text{rel} = ODrel)$

**lemma**  $Worder-ord-equivTr: [\![ Worder S; Worder T ]\!] \implies$   
 $\text{ord-equiv } S T = (\exists f. \text{ord-isom } S T f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Worder-ord-isom-mem: [\![ Worder S; Worder T; \text{ord-isom } S T f; a \in \text{carrier } S ]\!] \implies f a \in \text{carrier } T$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Worder-refl: Worder S \implies \text{ord-equiv } S S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Worder-sym: [\![ Worder S; Worder T; \text{ord-equiv } S T ]\!] \implies \text{ord-equiv } T S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Worder-trans: [\![ Worder S; Worder T; Worder U; \text{ord-equiv } S T; \text{ord-equiv } T U ]\!] \implies \text{ord-equiv } S U$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ordinal-inc-self: Worder S \implies S \in \text{ordinal-number } S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $ordinal-number-eq: [\![ Worder D; Worder E ]\!] \implies$   
 $(\text{ord-equiv } D E) = (\text{ordinal-number } D = \text{ordinal-number } E)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mem-ordinal-number-equiv: [\![ Worder D; X \in \text{ordinal-number } D ]\!] \implies \text{ord-equiv } X D$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $mem-ordinal-number-Worder: [\![ Worder D;$

$X \in \text{ordinal-number } D] \implies \text{Worder } X$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-ordinal-number-Worder1*:  $[x \in ODnums; X \in x] \implies \text{Worder } X$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mem-ODnums-nonempty*:  $X \in ODnums \implies \exists x. x \in X$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *carr-ODnods-carrier-ODnods*:  
 $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{ordinal-number } D \in \text{carrier } ODnods$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ordinal-number-mem-ODnums*:  
 $\text{Worder } D \implies \text{ordinal-number } D \in ODnums$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ODordTr1*:  $[\text{Worder } D; \text{Worder } E] \implies$   
 $(ODord (\text{ordinal-number } D) (\text{ordinal-number } E)) =$   
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } E. \text{ord-equiv } D (\text{Iod } E (\text{segment } E b)))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ODord*:  $[\text{Worder } D; d \in \text{carrier } D] \implies$   
 $ODord (\text{ordinal-number} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D d))) (\text{ordinal-number } D)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ord-less-ODord*:  $[\text{Worder } D; c \in \text{carrier } D; d \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $a = \text{ordinal-number} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D c));$   
 $b = \text{ordinal-number} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D d))] \implies$   
 $c \prec_D d = a \sqsubset b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ODord-le-ref*:  $[X \in ODnums; Y \in ODnums; ODord-le X Y; Y \sqsubseteq X]$   
 $\implies X = Y$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ODord-le-trans*:  $[X \in ODnums; Y \in ODnums; Z \in ODnums; X \sqsubseteq Y; Y \sqsubseteq Z]$   
 $\implies X \sqsubseteq Z$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr1*:  $X \in \text{carrier } ODnods \implies \exists D. \text{Worder } D \wedge D \in X$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr1-1*:  $X \in ODnums \implies \exists D. \text{Worder } D \wedge D \in X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr1-2*: $\llbracket x \in ODnums; S \in x; T \in x \rrbracket \implies ord-equiv S T$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr2*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D \rrbracket \implies D \in x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr3*: $\llbracket Worder D; Worder F; ord-equiv D F; x = ordinal-number D \rrbracket \implies x = ordinal-number F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr4*: $\llbracket Worder D; X \in carrier ODnods; D \in X \rrbracket \implies X = ordinal-number D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordinal-numberTr5*: $\llbracket x \in ODnums; D \in x \rrbracket \implies x = ordinal-number D$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ordinal-number-ord*: $\llbracket X \in carrier ODnods; Y \in carrier ODnods \rrbracket \implies ODord X Y \vee X = Y \vee ODord Y X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODnum-subTr*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; y \sqsubset x; Y \in y \rrbracket \implies \exists c \in carrier D. ord-equiv Y (Iod D (segment D c))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODnum-segmentTr*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; y \sqsubset x \rrbracket \implies \exists c. c \in carrier D \wedge (\forall Y \in y. ord-equiv Y (Iod D (segment D c)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODnum-segmentTr1*: $\llbracket Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; y \sqsubset x \rrbracket \implies \exists c. c \in carrier D \wedge (y = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D c)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODnods-less*: $\llbracket x \in carrier ODnods; y \in carrier ODnods \rrbracket \implies x \prec_{ODnods} y = x \sqsubset y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODord-less-not-eq*: $\llbracket x \in carrier ODnods; y \in carrier ODnods; x \sqsubset y \rrbracket \implies x \neq y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *not-ODord*: $\llbracket a \in ODnums; b \in ODnums; a \sqsubset b \rrbracket \implies \neg (b \sqsubseteq a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Order-ODnods:Order ODnods*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Torder-ODnods:Torder ODnods*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$ODNmap :: 'a Order \Rightarrow ('a Order) set \Rightarrow 'a$  where  
 $ODNmap D y = (SOME z. (z \in carrier D \wedge (\forall Y \in y. ord-equiv Y (Iod D (segment D z)))))$

**lemma** *ODNmap-mem:[Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; ODord y x] \implies ODNmap D y \in carrier D \wedge (\forall Y \in y. ord-equiv Y (Iod D (segment D (ODNmap D y))))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODNmapTr1:[Worder D; x = ordinal-number D; y \in ODnums; ODord y x] \implies y = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D (ODNmap D y)))*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODNmap-self:[Worder D; c \in carrier D; a = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D c))] \implies ODNmap D a = c*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODord-ODNmap-less:[Worder D; c \in carrier D; a = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D c)); d \in carrier D; b = ordinal-number (Iod D (segment D d)); a \sqsubset b] \implies ODNmap D a \prec\_D (ODNmap D b)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODNmap-mem1: [Worder D; y \in segment ODnods (ordinal-number D)] \implies ODNmap D y \in carrier D*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODnods-segment-inc-ODord:[Worder D; y \in segment ODnods (ordinal-number D)] \implies ODord y (ordinal-number D)*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *restrict-ODNmap-func:[Worder D; x = ordinal-number D] \implies restrict (ODNmap D) (segment ODnods (ordinal-number D)) \in segment ODnods (ordinal-number D) \rightarrow carrier D*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ODNmap-ord-isom:[Worder D; x = ordinal-number D] \implies ord-isom (Iod ODnods (segment ODnods x)) D*

$(\lambda x \in (\text{carrier } (\text{Iod } ODnods (\text{segment } ODnods x))). (ODNmap D x))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $ODnum\text{-equiv}\text{-segment} : \llbracket \text{Worder } D; x = \text{ordinal-number } D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } ODnods (\text{segment } ODnods x)) D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $ODnods\text{-sub-carrier} : S \subseteq ODnums \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Iod } ODnods S) = S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $ODnum\text{-sub-well-ordered} : S \subseteq ODnums \implies \text{Worder } (\text{Iod } ODnods S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.2 Pre elements

### definition

```
ExPre :: - ⇒ 'a ⇒ bool where
  ExPre D a ←→ (exists x. x ∈ carrier D ∧ x ≺_D a
                  ∧ ¬(exists y ∈ carrier D. x ≺_D y ∧ y ≺_D a))
```

### definition

```
Pre :: [-, 'a] ⇒ 'a where
  Pre D a = (SOME x. x ∈ carrier D ∧
               x ≺_D a ∧
               ¬(exists y ∈ carrier D. x ≺_D y ∧ y ≺_D a))
```

**lemma (in Order)**  $Pre\text{-mem} : \llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{Pre } D a \in \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)**  $\text{Not-ExPre} : a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \neg \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D \{a\}) a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)**  $\text{UniquePre} : \llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a; a1 \in \text{carrier } D \wedge a1 \prec a \wedge \neg(\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. (a1 \prec y \wedge y \prec a)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{Pre } D a = a1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)**  $\text{Pre-element} : \llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{Pre } D a \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\text{Pre } D a) \prec a \wedge$   
 $\neg(\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. ((\text{Pre } D a) \prec y \wedge y \prec a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)**  $\text{Pre-in-segment} : \llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{Pre } D a \in \text{segment } D a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder) segment-forall:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{segment } D b; b \in \text{carrier } D;$

$x \in \text{segment } D b; x \prec a; \forall y \in \text{segment } D b. x \prec y \rightarrow \neg y \prec a \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall y \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec y \rightarrow \neg y \prec a$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) segment-Expre:**  $a \in \text{segment } D b \implies$

$\text{ExPre} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a = \text{ExPre } D a$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Pre-segment:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{segment } D b;$

$\text{ExPre} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{ExPre } D a \wedge \text{Pre } D a = \text{Pre} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D b)) a$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) Pre2segment:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; b \prec a;$

$\text{ExPre } D b \rrbracket \implies \text{ExPre} (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } D a)) b$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-Pre1:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a;$

$\text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies \text{ExPre } E (f a)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-Pre11:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{ExPre } D a = \text{ExPre } E (f a)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Worder) ord-isom-Pre2:**  $\llbracket \text{Worder } E; a \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ExPre } D a;$

$\text{ord-isom } D E f \rrbracket \implies f (\text{Pre } D a) = \text{Pre } E (f a)$

*(proof)*

## 2.3 Transfinite induction

**lemma (in Worder) transfinite-induction:**  $\llbracket \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{carrier } D) s0; P s0;$

$\forall t \in \text{carrier } D. ((\forall u \in \text{segment } D t. P u) \rightarrow P t) \rrbracket \implies \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. P x$

*(proof)*

## 2.4 Ordered-set2. Lemmas to prove Zorn's lemma.

**definition**

$\text{adjunct-ord} :: [-, 'a] \Rightarrow - \text{ where}$

$\text{adjunct-ord } D a = D (\text{carrier} := \text{carrier } D \cup \{a\},$

$\text{rel} := \{(x,y). (x, y) \in \text{rel } D \vee$

$(x \in (\text{carrier } D \cup \{a\}) \wedge y = a)\})$

**lemma (in Order) carrier-adjunct-ord:**

$\text{carrier} (\text{adjunct-ord } D a) = \text{carrier } D \cup \{a\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) Order-adjunct-ord:**  $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Order}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) adjunct-ord-large-a:**  $[\text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D] \implies \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \prec_{\text{adjunct-ord } D} a$

*(proof)*

**lemma carr-Segment-adjunct-ord:**  $[\text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D] \implies \text{carrier } D = (\text{Segment}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) \ a)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) adjunct-ord-selfD:**  $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies D = \text{Iod}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) (\text{carrier } D)$

*(proof)*

**lemma Ssegment-adjunct-ord:**  $[\text{Order } D; a \notin \text{carrier } D] \implies D = \text{SIod}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) (\text{Ssegment}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a) \ a)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) Torder-adjunction:**  $[\text{X} \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{X}. x \preceq a; \text{Torder}(\text{Iod } D \ X)] \implies \text{Torder}(\text{Iod } D (X \cup \{a\}))$

*(proof)*

**lemma Torder-Sadjunction:**  $[\text{Order } D; \text{X} \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{X}. x \preceq_D a; \text{Torder}(\text{SIod } D \ X)] \implies \text{Torder}(\text{SIod } D (X \cup \{a\}))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Torder) Torder-adjunct-ord:**  $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Torder}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) well-ord-adjunction:**  $[\text{X} \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{X}. x \preceq a; \text{Worder}(\text{Iod } D \ X)] \implies \text{Worder}(\text{Iod } D (X \cup \{a\}))$

*(proof)*

**lemma well-ord-Sadjunction:**  $[\text{Order } D; \text{X} \subseteq \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{X}. x \preceq_D a; \text{Worder}(\text{SIod } D \ X)] \implies \text{Worder}(\text{SIod } D (X \cup \{a\}))$

**lemma (in Worder) Worder-adjunct-ord:**  $a \notin \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Worder}(\text{adjunct-ord } D \ a)$

*(proof)*

## 2.5 Zorn's lemma

**definition**

*Chain :: -  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where*

*Chain D C  $\longleftrightarrow$  C  $\subseteq$  carrier D  $\wedge$  Torder(Iod D C)*

**definition**

*upper-bound* ::  $[-, 'a\ set, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $((\exists ub / - / -) [100, 101] 100)$  **where**  
 $ub_D S b \longleftrightarrow b \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\forall s \in S. s \preceq_D b)$

**definition**

*inductive-set* ::  $- \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{inductive-set } D \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. (\text{Chain } D C \longrightarrow (\exists b. ub_D C b)))$

**definition**

*maximal-element* ::  $[-, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   $((\text{maximal1} / -) [101] 100)$  **where**  
 $\text{maximal}_D m \longleftrightarrow m \in \text{carrier } D \wedge (\forall b \in \text{carrier } D. m \preceq_D b \longrightarrow m = b)$

**definition**

*upper-bounds* ::  $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ set$  **where**  
 $\text{upper-bounds } D H = \{x. ub_D H x\}$

**definition**

*Sup* ::  $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $\text{Sup } D X = (\text{THE } x. \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D X) x)$

**definition**

*S-inductive-set* ::  $- \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{S-inductive-set } D \longleftrightarrow (\forall C. \text{Chain } D C \longrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{carrier } D. \text{minimum-elem } D (\text{upper-bounds } D C) x))$

**lemma (in Order) mem-upper-bounds:**  $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq b \rrbracket \implies ub_X b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Torder-Chain:**  $\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Chain } D X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Chain-Torder:**  $\text{Chain } D X \implies \text{Torder } (\text{Iod } D X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Chain-sub:**  $\text{Chain } D X \implies X \subseteq \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Chain-sub-Chain:**  $\llbracket \text{Chain } D X; Y \subseteq X \rrbracket \implies \text{Chain } D Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) upper-bounds-sub:**  $X \subseteq \text{carrier } D \implies \text{upper-bounds } D X \subseteq \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{Sup}:\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{minimum-elem } D \text{ (upper-bounds } D X) \ a \rrbracket$**   
 $\implies \text{Sup } D X = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Worder)  $\text{Sup-mem}:\llbracket X \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \exists b. \text{ub } X b \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $\text{Sup } D X \in \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-sup}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $\text{minimum-elem } D \text{ (upper-bounds } D X) (\text{Sup } D X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{adjunct-Chain}:\llbracket \text{Chain } D X; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in X. x \preceq b \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $\text{Chain } D (\text{insert } b X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-sup-mem}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $\text{Sup } D X \in \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-Sup-min-bounds}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X;$**   
 $\text{ub } X b \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X \preceq b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-sup-bound}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $\forall x \in X. x \preceq (\text{Sup } D X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-Sup-in-ChainTr}:$**   
 $\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X; c \in \text{carrier} (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X));$   
 $\text{Sup } D X \notin X;$   
 $\forall y \in \text{carrier} (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)).$   
 $c \prec_{\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)} y \longrightarrow \neg y \prec_{\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)} \text{Sup } D X \rrbracket \implies$   
 $c \in \text{upper-bounds } D X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-Sup-in-Chain}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X;$**   
 $\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)) (\text{Sup } D X) \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X \in X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-bounds-compare}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X1;$**   
 $\text{Chain } D X2; X1 \subseteq X2 \rrbracket \implies \text{upper-bounds } D X2 \subseteq \text{upper-bounds } D X1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)  $\text{S-inductive-sup-compare}:\llbracket \text{S-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X1;$**   
 $\text{Chain } D X2; X1 \subseteq X2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D X1 \preceq \text{Sup } D X2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

$$Wa :: [-, 'a set, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$$

$$Wa D W g a \longleftrightarrow W \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge \text{Worder} (\text{Iod } D W) \wedge a \in W \wedge (\forall x \in W. a \preceq_D x) \wedge$$

$$(\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x) \text{ then } g (\text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D W) x) = x \text{ else } (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } \text{Sup } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a)))$$
**definition**

$$WWa :: [-, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a set set \text{ where}$$

$$WWa D g a = \{W. Wa D W g a\}$$

**lemma (in Order) mem-of-WWa:**  $\llbracket W \subseteq \text{carrier } D; \text{Worder} (\text{Iod } D W); a \in W; (\forall x \in W. a \preceq x); (\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x) \text{ then } g (\text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D W) x) = x \text{ else } (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } \text{Sup } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a))) \rrbracket \implies W \in WWa D g a$

**lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-then:**  $W \in WWa D g a \implies W \subseteq \text{carrier } D \wedge \text{Worder} (\text{Iod } D W) \wedge a \in W \wedge (\forall x \in W. a \preceq x) \wedge (\forall x \in W. (\text{if } (\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x) \text{ then } g (\text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D W) x) = x \text{ else } (\text{if } a \neq x \text{ then } \text{Sup } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x) = x \text{ else } a = a)))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) mem-wwa-Order:**  $W \in WWa D g a \implies \text{Worder} (\text{Iod } D W)$

**lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-sub-carrier:**  $W \in WWa D g a \implies W \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

**lemma (in Order) Union-WWa-sub-carrier:**  $\bigcup (WWa D g a) \subseteq \text{carrier } D$

**lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-inc-a:**  $W \in WWa D g a \implies a \in W$

**lemma (in Order) mem-WWa-Chain:**  $W \in WWa D g a \implies \text{Chain } D W$

**lemma (in Order) Sup-adjunct-Sup:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in WWa D f a; \text{Sup } D W \notin W \rrbracket \implies \text{Sup } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W) = \text{Sup } D W$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Order) BNTr1:**  $a \in \text{carrier } D \implies \text{Worder} (\text{Iod } D \{a\})$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr2:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies \{a\} \in \text{WWa } D f a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr2-1:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies \forall x \in W. a \preceq x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr3:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies \text{minimum-elem } (\text{Iod } D W)$   
 $W a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Adjunct-segment-sub:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)) (\text{Sup } D X) \subseteq X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Adjunct-segment-eq:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D X; \text{Sup } D X \notin X \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D X) X)) (\text{Sup } D X) = X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{fixp} :: [ 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a ] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{fixp } f a \longleftrightarrow f a = a$

**lemma (in Order) fixp-same:**  $\llbracket W1 \subseteq \text{carrier } D; W2 \subseteq \text{carrier } D; t \in W1; b \in \text{carrier } D; \text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D W1) (\text{Iod } (\text{Iod } D W2) (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b)) g; \forall u \in \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W1) t. \text{fixp } g u \rrbracket \implies \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W1) t = \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) (g t)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr4-1:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ord-isom } (\text{Iod } D W1) (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b)) g \rrbracket \implies \forall x \in W1. g x = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr4-2:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D W1) (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b)) \rrbracket \implies W1 = \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr4:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $\exists b \in \text{carrier } D. \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D W1) (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $W1 \subseteq W2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Iod-same:**  $A = B \implies \text{Iod } D A = \text{Iod } D B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) eq-ord-equivTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ord-equiv } D E; E = F \rrbracket \implies \text{ord-equiv } D F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr5:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $\text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D W1) (\text{Iod } D W2) \rrbracket \implies W1 \subseteq W2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr6:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W1 \subset W2 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } (Iod D W2). \text{ord-equiv } (\text{Iod } D W1) (\text{Iod } D (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr6-1:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W1 \subset W2 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\exists b \in \text{carrier } (Iod D W2). W1 = (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W2) b))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W1 \in \text{WWa } D f a; W2 \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies$   
 $W1 \subseteq W2 \vee W2 \subseteq W1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-1:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in \text{WWa } D f a; xa \in \bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a);$   
 $xa \prec_{\text{Iod } D} (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a)) x \rrbracket \implies xa \in W$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-1-1:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in \text{WWa } D f a; xa \in \bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a);$   
 $xa \prec x \rrbracket \implies xa \in W$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-2:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in \bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a); \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a)))$   
 $x \rrbracket \implies \forall W \in \text{WWa } D f a. (x \in W \longrightarrow \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-3:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in \bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a); \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a)))$   
 $x \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \forall W \in \text{WWa } D f a. (x \in W \longrightarrow \text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a))) x = \text{Pre } (\text{Iod } D W) x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-4:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a))) x = \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-5:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; x \in W; W \in \text{WWa } D f a \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a))) x) = \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-6:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x) \rrbracket \implies a \in \bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-7:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); \exists xa. \text{Wa } D xa f a \wedge x \in xa \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x \in \bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-8:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); \exists xa. \text{Wa } D xa f a \wedge x \in xa \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-9:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); x \in \bigcup(\text{WWa } D f a) \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-10:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a; \text{Sup } D W \notin W \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \neg \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W)) (\text{Sup } D W)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-11:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $\forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } b W)) x = \text{ExPre } (\text{Iod } D W) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) BNTr7-12:**  $\llbracket S\text{-inductive-set } D; f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D;$

$a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $\forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W; \text{ExPre}(\text{Iod } D W) x] \implies$   
 $\text{Pre}(\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } b W)) x = \text{Pre}(\text{Iod } D W) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr7-13:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; b \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq f x; W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $\forall x \in W. x \preceq b; x \in W] \implies$   
 $(\text{segment } (\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } b W)) x) = \text{segment } (\text{Iod } D W) x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr7-14:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a] \implies$   
 $(\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W) \in \text{WWa } D f a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr7-15:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $f(\text{Sup } D W) \neq \text{Sup } D W] \implies$   
 $\text{ExPre}(\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (f(\text{Sup } D W)) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W))) (f(\text{Sup } D W))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr7-16:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a;$   
 $f(\text{Sup } D W) \neq (\text{Sup } D W)] \implies$   
 $\text{Pre}(\text{Iod } D (\text{insert } (f(\text{Sup } D W)) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W))) (f(\text{Sup } D W))$   
 $=$   
 $(\text{Sup } D W)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr7-17:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x); W \in \text{WWa } D f a] \implies$   
 $(\text{insert } (f(\text{Sup } D W)) (\text{insert } (\text{Sup } D W) W)) \in \text{WWa } D f a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr8:[ $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  $a \in \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x)] \implies \bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a) \in (\text{WWa } D f a)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr10:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x)] \implies$   
 $(\text{Sup } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a))) \in (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** BNTr11:[S-inductive-set  $D$ ;  $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$ ;  
 $a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x)] \implies$

$f (\text{Sup } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a))) = (\text{Sup } D (\bigcup (\text{WWa } D f a)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Bourbaki-Nakayama:**  $[S\text{-inductive-set } D;$   
 $f \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D; a \in \text{carrier } D; \forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (f x)] \implies$   
 $\exists x_0 \in \text{carrier } D. f x_0 = x_0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{maxl-fun} :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $\text{maxl-fun } D = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } D. \text{if } \exists y. y \in (\text{upper-bounds } D \{x\}) \wedge y \neq x \text{ then}$   
 $\text{SOME } z. z \in (\text{upper-bounds } D \{x\}) \wedge z \neq x \text{ else } x)$

**lemma (in Order) maxl-funTr:**  $[x \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\exists y. y \in \text{upper-bounds } D \{x\} \wedge y \neq x] \implies$   
 $(\text{SOME } z. z \in \text{upper-bounds } D \{x\} \wedge z \neq x) \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) maxl-fun-func:**  $\text{maxl-fun } D \in \text{carrier } D \rightarrow \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) maxl-fun-gt:**  $[x \in \text{carrier } D;$   
 $\exists y \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq y \wedge x \neq y] \implies$   
 $x \preceq (\text{maxl-fun } D x) \wedge (\text{maxl-fun } D x) \neq x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) maxl-fun-maxl:**  $[x \in \text{carrier } D; \text{maxl-fun } D x = x]$   
 $\implies \text{maximal } x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) maxl-fun-asc:**  $\forall x \in \text{carrier } D. x \preceq (\text{maxl-fun } D x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) S-inductive-maxl:**  $[S\text{-inductive-set } D; \text{carrier } D \neq \{\}] \implies$   
 $\exists m. \text{maximal } m$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) maximal-mem:**  $\text{maximal } m \implies m \in \text{carrier } D$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{Chains} :: - \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $\text{Chains } D == \{C. \text{Chain } D C\}$

#### definition

$\text{family-Torder} :: - \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ Order}$   
 $((fTo -) [999] 1000)$  **where**  
 $fTo D = (\text{carrier} = \text{Chains } D, \text{rel} = \{Z. Z \in (\text{Chains } D) \times (\text{Chains } D) \wedge (fst}$

$Z) \subseteq (\text{snd } Z)\})\|$

**lemma (in Order)** *Chain-mem-fTo:Chain D C*  $\implies C \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *fTOrder:Order (fTo D)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *fTo-Order-sub: $\llbracket A \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D); B \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D) \rrbracket$*   
 $\implies (A \preceq_{(\text{fTo } D)} B) = (A \subseteq B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *mem-fTo-Chain:X  $\in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D)$*   $\implies \text{Chain } D X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *mem-fTo-sub-carrier:X  $\in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D)$*   $\implies X \subseteq \text{carrier } D$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *Un-fTo-Chain:Chain (fTo D) CC*  $\implies \text{Chain } D (\bigcup CC)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *Un-fTo-Chain-mem-fTo:Chain (fTo D) CC*  $\implies$   
 $(\bigcup CC) \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *Un-upper-bound:Chain (fTo D) C*  $\implies$   
 $\bigcup C \in \text{upper-bounds}(\text{fTo } D) C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *fTo-conditional-inc-C:C  $\in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D)$*   $\implies$   
 $C \in \text{carrier}(\text{Iod } (\text{fTo } D) \{S \in \text{carrier } \text{fTo } D. C \subseteq S\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *fTo-conditional-Un-Chain-mem1: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D);$*   
*Chain (Iod (fTo D) {S  $\in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D). C \subseteq S\}}) Ca; Ca \neq \{\}$*   $\implies$   
 $\bigcup Ca \in \text{upper-bounds}(\text{Iod } (\text{fTo } D) \{S \in \text{carrier } \text{fTo } D. C \subseteq S\}) Ca$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Order)** *fTo-conditional-min1: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D);$*   
*Chain (Iod (fTo D) {S  $\in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D). C \subseteq S\}}) Ca; Ca \neq \{\}$*   $\implies$   
*minimum-elem (Iod (fTo D) {S  $\in \text{carrier } \text{fTo } D. C \subseteq S\}})$   
*(upper-bounds (Iod (fTo D) {S  $\in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D). C \subseteq S\}}) Ca) (\bigcup Ca)$*   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$*

**lemma (in Order)** *fTo-conditional-Un-Chain-mem2: $\llbracket C \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D);$*   
*Chain (Iod (fTo D) {S  $\in \text{carrier } \text{fTo } D. C \subseteq S\}}) Ca; Ca = \{\}$*   $\implies$   
 $C \in \text{upper-bounds}(\text{Iod } (\text{fTo } D) \{S \in \text{carrier}(\text{fTo } D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) fTo-conditional-min2:**  $\llbracket C \in carrier(fTo D);$   
 $\text{Chain } (Iod(fTo D) \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca; Ca = \{\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{minimum-elem } (Iod(fTo D) \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\})$   
 $\text{(upper-bounds } (Iod(fTo D) \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca) C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) fTo-S-inductive:S-inductive-set (fTo D)**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) conditional-min-upper-bound:**  $\llbracket C \in carrier(fTo D);$   
 $\text{Chain } (Iod(fTo D) \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists X. \text{minimum-elem } (Iod(fTo D) \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\})$   
 $\text{(upper-bounds } (Iod(fTo D) \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\}) Ca) X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Hausdorff-acTr:C ∈ carrier(fTo D) ⇒**  
 $S\text{-inductive-set } (Iod(fTo D) \{S. S \in (carrier(fTo D)) \wedge C \subseteq S\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma satisfy-cond-mem-set:**  $\llbracket x \in A; P x \rrbracket \implies x \in \{y \in A. P y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) maximal-conditional-maximal:**  $\llbracket C \in carrier(fTo D);$   
 $\text{maximal}_{Iod(fTo D)} \{S \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq S\} m \rrbracket \implies \text{maximal}_{(fTo D)} m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Hausdorff-ac:C ∈ carrier(fTo D) ⇒**  
 $\exists M \in carrier(fTo D). C \subseteq M \wedge \text{maximal}_{(fTo D)} M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) Zorn-lemmaTr:**  $\llbracket \text{Chain } D C; M \in carrier(fTo D); C \subseteq M;$   
 $\text{maximal}_{fTo D} M; b \in carrier D; \forall s \in M. s \preceq b \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{maximal } b \wedge b \in \text{upper-bounds } D C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) g-Zorn-lemma1:**  $\llbracket \text{inductive-set } D; \text{Chain } D C \rrbracket \implies \exists m. \text{maximal } m \wedge m \in \text{upper-bounds } D C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) g-Zorn-lemma2:**  $\llbracket \text{inductive-set } D; a \in carrier D \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists m \in carrier D. \text{maximal } m \wedge a \preceq m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Order) g-Zorn-lemma3:**  $\text{inductive-set } D \implies \exists m \in carrier D. \text{maximal } m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## Chapter 3

# Group Theory. Focused on Jordan Hoelder theorem

### 3.1 Definition of a Group

```
record 'a Group = 'a carrier +
  top    :: '['a, 'a ] => 'a (infixl ·₁ 70)
  iop    :: 'a => 'a (ρ₁ - [81] 80)
  one   :: 'a  (1₁)

locale Group =
  fixes G (structure)
  assumes top-closed: top G ∈ carrier G → carrier G → carrier G
  and   tassoc : [[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G; c ∈ carrier G] ⇒
    (a · b) · c = a · (b · c)]
  and   iop-closed:iop G ∈ carrier G → carrier G
  and   l-i :a ∈ carrier G ⇒ (ρ a) · a = 1
  and   unit-closed: 1 ∈ carrier G
  and   l-unit:a ∈ carrier G ⇒ 1 · a = a

lemma (in Group) mult-closed:[[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G] ⇒
  a · b ∈ carrier G]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) i-closed:a ∈ carrier G ⇒ (ρ a) ∈ carrier G
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) r-mult-eqn:[[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G;
  c ∈ carrier G; a = b] ⇒ a · c = b · c]
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Group) l-mult-eqn:[[a ∈ carrier G; b ∈ carrier G;
  c ∈ carrier G; a = b] ⇒ c · a = c · b]
  ⟨proof⟩
```

**lemma (in Group)  $r\text{-i:}a \in \text{carrier } G \implies a \cdot (\varrho a) = \mathbf{1}$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $r\text{-unit:}a \in \text{carrier } G \implies a \cdot \mathbf{1} = a$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $l\text{-i-unique:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot a = \mathbf{1}]\!] \implies (\varrho a) = b$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $l\text{-i-i:}a \in \text{carrier } G \implies (\varrho(\varrho a)) \cdot (\varrho a) = \mathbf{1}$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $l\text{-div-eqn:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot x = a \cdot y]\!] \implies x = y$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $r\text{-div-eqn:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a = y \cdot a]\!] \implies x = y$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $l\text{-mult-eqn1:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G; (\varrho a) \cdot x = (\varrho a) \cdot y]\!] \implies x = y$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $tOp\text{-assocTr41:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G; d \in \text{carrier } G]\!] \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot b \cdot (c \cdot d)$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $tOp\text{-assocTr42:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G; d \in \text{carrier } G]\!] \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot c) \cdot d$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $tOp\text{-assocTr44:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G; d \in \text{carrier } G]\!] \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \cdot ((\varrho c) \cdot d) = (\varrho a) \cdot ((b \cdot (\varrho c)) \cdot d)$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $tOp\text{-assocTr45:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G; d \in \text{carrier } G]\!] \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \cdot d = a \cdot (b \cdot (c \cdot d))$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $one\text{-unique:}[\![a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a = x]\!] \implies a = \mathbf{1}$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $i\text{-one:}\varrho \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) eqn-inv1:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; x \in carrier G; a = (\varrho x) \rrbracket \implies x = (\varrho a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) eqn-inv2:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; x \in carrier G; x \cdot a = x \cdot (\varrho x) \rrbracket \implies$

$$x = (\varrho a)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) r-one-unique:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; x \in carrier G; a \cdot x = a \rrbracket \implies x = \mathbf{1}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) r-i-unique:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; x \in carrier G; a \cdot x = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies x = (\varrho a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) iop-i-i:**  $a \in carrier G \implies \varrho(\varrho a) = a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) i-ab:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies \varrho(a \cdot b) = (\varrho b) \cdot (\varrho a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sol-eq-l:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; x \in carrier G; a \cdot x = b \rrbracket \implies x = (\varrho a) \cdot b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sol-eq-r:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; x \in carrier G; x \cdot a = b \rrbracket \implies x = b \cdot (\varrho a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) r-div-eq:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; a \cdot (\varrho b) = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) l-div-eq:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; (\varrho a) \cdot b = \mathbf{1} \rrbracket \implies a = b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) i-m-closed:**  $\llbracket a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \in carrier G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 3.2 Subgroups

**definition**

**sg** :: [- , 'a set ]  $\Rightarrow$  bool (-  $\gg$  - [60, 61]60) **where**  
 $G \gg H \longleftrightarrow H \neq \{\} \wedge H \subseteq \text{carrier } G \wedge (\forall a \in H. \forall b \in H. a \cdot_G b \in H)$

**definition**

$Gp :: - \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow -$  ((#1-) 70) **where**  
 $\natural_G H \equiv G \setminus \text{carrier} := H, top := top G, iop := iop G, one := one G$

**definition**

$rcs :: [- , 'a set, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a set$  (**infix** .1 70) **where**  
 $H \cdot_G a = \{b. \exists h \in H. h \cdot_G a = b\}$

**definition**

$lcs :: [- , 'a, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set$  (**infix**  $\diamondsuit_1$  70) **where**  
 $a \diamondsuit_G H = \{b. \exists h \in H. a \cdot_G h = b\}$

**definition**

$nsg :: - \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  (-  $\triangleright$  - [60,61]60) **where**  
 $G \triangleright H \longleftrightarrow G \gg H \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. H \cdot_G x = x \diamondsuit_G H)$

**definition**

$\text{set-rcs} :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set set$  **where**  
 $\text{set-rcs } G H = \{C. \exists a \in \text{carrier } G. C = H \cdot_G a\}$

**definition**

$c-iop :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $c-iop G H = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists h \in H. h \cdot_G (\varrho_G x) = z\})$

**definition**

$c-top :: [- , 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set, 'a set) \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $c-top G H = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G H. \lambda Y \in \text{set-rcs } G H.$   
 $\{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. x \cdot_G y = z\})$

**lemma (in Group)** sg-subset: $G \gg H \implies H \subseteq \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** one-Gp-one: $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1}_{(Gp G H)} = \mathbf{1}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** carrier-Gp: $G \gg H \implies (\text{carrier } (\natural H)) = H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** sg-subset-elem: $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \in \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-mult-closedr:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies x \cdot h \in \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-mult-closedl:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \cdot x \in \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-condTr1:**  $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H \neq \{\} ; \forall a. \forall b. a \in H \wedge b \in H \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1} \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-unit-closed:**  $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1} \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-i-closed:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho x) \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-mult-closed:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \implies x \cdot y \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsg-sg:**  $G \triangleright H \implies G \gg H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsg-subset:**  $G \triangleright N \implies N \subseteq \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsg-lr-cst-eq:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N = N \cdot a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-i-m-closed:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in H ; b \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho a) \cdot b \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) sg-m-i-closed:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in H ; b \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $\text{sg-gen} :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set \text{ where}$   
 $\text{sg-gen } G A = \bigcap \{H. G \gg H \wedge A \subseteq H\}$

**lemma (in Group) smallest-sg-gen:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } G; G \gg H; A \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies \text{sg-gen } G A \subseteq H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) special-sg-G:**  $G \gg (\text{carrier } G)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in Group) *special-sg-self*:  $G = \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in Group) *special-sg-e*:  $G \gg \{1\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in Group) *inter-sgs*:  $[G \gg H; G \gg K] \implies G \gg (H \cap K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in Group) *subg-generated*:  $A \subseteq \text{carrier } G \implies G \gg (\text{sg-gen } G A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$Qg :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{carrier} :: 'a \text{ set set}, \text{top} :: ['a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set},$   
 $iop :: 'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{one} :: 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$   
 $Qg G H = (\text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } G H, \text{top} = c\text{-top } G H, iop = c\text{-iop } G H, \text{one} = H)$

#### definition

$Pj :: [-, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$   
 $Pj G H = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } G. H \cdot_G x)$

**no-notation** *inverse-divide* (**infixl** '/ 70)

#### abbreviation

$QGRP :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set}) \text{ Group}$   
 $(\text{infixl } '/ 70) \text{ where}$   
 $G / H == Qg G H$

#### definition

$gHom :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set where}$   
 $gHom G F = \{f. (f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } G) \wedge f \in \text{carrier } G \rightarrow \text{carrier } F) \wedge$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } G. \forall y \in \text{carrier } G. f(x \cdot_G y) = (f x) \cdot_F (f y))\}$

#### definition

$gkernel :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$   
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $gkernel G F f = \{x. (x \in \text{carrier } G) \wedge (f x = \mathbf{1}_F)\}$

#### definition

$iim :: [('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b,$   
 $'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $iim G F f K = \{x. (x \in \text{carrier } G) \wedge (f x \in K)\}$

**abbreviation**

$$GKER :: [('a, 'more) Group\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'more1) Group\text{-}scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a set$$

$$((\exists gker_{-, -}) [88, 88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$$

$$gker_{G,F} f == gkernel G F f$$
**definition**

$$gsurjec :: [('a, 'more) Group\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'more1) Group\text{-}scheme,$$

$$'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool } ((\exists gsurj_{-, -}) [88, 88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$$

$$gsurj_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in gHom F G \wedge surj\text{-}to f (\text{carrier } F) (\text{carrier } G)$$
**definition**

$$ginjec :: [('a, 'more) Group\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'more1) Group\text{-}scheme,$$

$$'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow \text{bool } ((\exists ginj_{-, -}) [88, 88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$$

$$ginj_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in gHom F G \wedge inj\text{-}on f (\text{carrier } F)$$
**definition**

$$gbijec :: [('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'm1) Group\text{-}scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{bool } ((\exists gbij_{-, -}) [88, 88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$$

$$gbij_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow gsurj_{F,G} f \wedge inj_{F,G} f$$
**definition**

$$Ug :: - \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) Group\text{-}scheme \text{ where}$$

$$Ug G = \mathbb{1}_G \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$$
**definition**

$$Ugp :: - \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$$

$$Ugp G == Group G \wedge carrier G = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}$$
**definition**

$$isomorphic :: [('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'm1) Group\text{-}scheme]$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{bool } (\text{infix } \cong 100) \text{ where}$$

$$F \cong G \longleftrightarrow (\exists f. gbij_{F,G} f)$$
**definition**

$$constghom :: [('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'm1) Group\text{-}scheme]$$

$$\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) ((\lambda' \circ_{-,-}) [88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$$

$$1_{F,G} = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } F. \mathbf{1}_G)$$
**definition**

$$cmpghom :: [('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, 'b \Rightarrow 'c, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c \text{ where}$$

$$cmpghom F g f = compose (\text{carrier } F) g f$$
**abbreviation**

$$GCOMP :: ['b \Rightarrow 'c, ('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$$

$$((\exists \circ_{-,-}) [88, 88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$$

$$g \circ_F f == cmpghom F g f$$

**lemma** *Group-Ugp:Ugp G  $\implies$  Group G*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) r-mult-in-sg:**  $[G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; x \cdot a \in H] \implies \exists h \in H. h \cdot (\varrho a) = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) r-unit-sg:**  $[G \gg H; h \in H] \implies h \cdot \mathbf{1} = h$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) sg-l-unit:**  $[G \gg H; h \in H] \implies \mathbf{1} \cdot h = h$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) sg-l-i:**  $[G \gg H; x \in H] \implies (\varrho x) \cdot x = \mathbf{1}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) sg-tassoc:**  $[G \gg H; x \in H; y \in H; z \in H] \implies x \cdot y \cdot z = x \cdot (y \cdot z)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) sg-condition:**  $[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H \neq \{\}]$   
 $\forall a. \forall b. a \in H \wedge b \in H \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \implies G \gg H$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $Gimage :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow$   
 $\quad ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme where}$   
 $\quad Gimage F G f = Gp G (f `(\text{carrier } F))$

**abbreviation**  
 $GIMAGE :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme},$   
 $\quad 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme } ((3Img_{-, -}) [88,88,89]88) \text{ where}$   
 $\quad Img_{F,G} f == Gimage F G f$

**lemma (in Group) Group-Gp:**  $G \gg H \implies \text{Group } (\natural H)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) Gp-carrier:**  $G \gg H \implies \text{carrier } (Gp G H) = H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) sg-sg:**  $[G \gg K; G \gg H; H \subseteq K] \implies Gp G K \gg H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) sg-subset-of-subG:**  $[G \gg K; Gp G K \gg H] \implies H \subseteq K$   
*(proof)*

**lemma const-ghom:**  $[Group F; Group G] \implies 1_{F,G} \in gHom F G$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group)  $const-gbij:gbij_{(\natural\{1\}),(\natural\{1\})}(I_{(\natural\{1\}),(\natural\{1\})})$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $unit-Groups-isom: (\natural\{1\}) \cong (\natural\{1\})$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma  $Ugp\text{-}const\text{-}gHom:[Ugp G; Ugp E] \implies (\lambda x \in carrier G. \mathbf{1}_E) \in gHom G E$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma  $Ugp\text{-}const\text{-}gbij:[Ugp G; Ugp E] \implies gbij_{G,E}(\lambda x \in carrier G. \mathbf{1}_E)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma  $Ugp\text{-}isomorphic:[Ugp G; Ugp E] \implies G \cong E$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $Gp\text{-}mult\text{-}induced:[G \gg L; a \in L; b \in L] \implies a \cdot_{(Gp G L)} b = a \cdot b$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $sg\text{-}i\text{-}induced:[G \gg L; a \in L] \implies \varrho_{(Gp G L)} a = \varrho a$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $Gp\text{-}mult\text{-}induced1:[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K; b \in H \cap K]$**

$\implies a \cdot_{\natural(H \cap K)} b = a \cdot_{(\natural H)} b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $Gp\text{-}mult\text{-}induced2:[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K; b \in H \cap K]$**

$\implies a \cdot_{\natural(H \cap K)} b = a \cdot_{(\natural K)} b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $sg\text{-}i\text{-}induced1:[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K]$**   
 $\implies \varrho_{\natural(H \cap K)} a = \varrho_{(\natural H)} a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $sg\text{-}i\text{-}induced2:[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H \cap K]$**   
 $\implies \varrho_{\natural(H \cap K)} a = \varrho_{\natural K} a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $subg\text{-}sg\text{-}sg:[G \gg K; (Gp G K) \gg H] \implies G \gg H$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $Gp\text{-}inherited:[G \gg K; G \gg L; K \subseteq L] \implies Gp(Gp G L) K = Gp G K$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.3 Cosets

**lemma (in Group) mem-lcs:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcs-subset:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \diamond H \subseteq \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) a-in-lcs:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies a \in a \diamond H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) eq-lcs1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $x \in a \diamond H; a \diamond H = b \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in b \diamond H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) eq-lcs2:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $a \diamond H = b \diamond H \rrbracket \implies a \in b \diamond H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcs-mem-ldiv:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(a \in b \diamond H) = ((\varrho b) \cdot a \in H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcsTr5:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies ((\varrho b) \cdot x) \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcsTr6:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H; x \in a \diamond H \rrbracket \implies x \in b \diamond H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcs-Unit1:**  $G \gg H \implies \mathbf{1} \diamond H = H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcs-Unit2:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \diamond H = H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcsTr7:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; (\varrho a) \cdot b \in H \rrbracket$   
 $\implies a \diamond H \subseteq b \diamond H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcsTr8:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot h \in a \diamond H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) lcs-tool1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $(\varrho a) \cdot b \in H \rrbracket \implies (\varrho b) \cdot a \in H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem (in Group) lcs-eq:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies ((\varrho a) \cdot b \in H) = (a \diamond H = b \diamond H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-subset:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies H \cdot a \subseteq carrier G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) mem-rcts:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in H. h \cdot a = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-subset-elem:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \in carrier G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-in-set-rcts:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies H \cdot a \in set-rcts G H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcsTr0:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies H \cdot (a \cdot b) \in set-rcts G H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) a-in-rcts:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies a \in H \cdot a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-nonempty:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; X \in set-rcts G H \rrbracket \implies X \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-tool0:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H \rrbracket \implies b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcsTr1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; x \in H \cdot a; H \cdot a = H \cdot b \rrbracket \implies x \in H \cdot b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-eqTr:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G; H \cdot a = H \cdot b \rrbracket \implies a \in H \cdot b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-eqTr1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in carrier G \rrbracket \implies (a \in H \cdot b) = (a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcsTr2:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; a \in carrier G; b \in H \cdot (\varrho a) \rrbracket \implies b \cdot a \in H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcsTr5}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$**   
 $b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcsTr6}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$**   
 $b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies x \in H \cdot b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-Unit1}:G \gg H \implies H \cdot \mathbf{1} = H$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{unit-rcs-in-set-rcs}:G \gg H \implies H \in \text{set-rcs } G$**   
 $H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-Unit2}:\llbracket G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies H \cdot h = H$**   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcsTr7}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket$**   
 $\implies H \cdot a \subseteq H \cdot b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-tool1}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$**   
 $b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-tool2}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $\exists h \in H. h \cdot a = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem (in Group)  $\text{rcs-eq}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $(b \cdot (\varrho a) \in H) = (H \cdot a = H \cdot b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-eq1}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $H \cdot a = H \cdot x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-eq2}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$**   
 $(H \cdot a) \cap (H \cdot b) \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies (H \cdot a) = (H \cdot b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcs-meet}:\llbracket G \gg H; X \in \text{set-rcs } G$**   
 $H; Y \in \text{set-rcs } G$   
 $H; X \cap Y \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies X = Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $\text{rcsTr8}:\llbracket G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; x \in H \cdot a \rrbracket \implies$**   
 $h \cdot x \in H \cdot a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $rcsTr9: [G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; (\varrho x) \in H \cdot a] \implies h \cdot (\varrho x) \in H \cdot a$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $rcsTr10: [G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot a; y \in H \cdot a] \implies x \cdot (\varrho y) \in H$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $PrSubg4\text{-}2: [G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in H \cdot (\varrho a)] \implies x \in \{z. \exists v \in (H \cdot a). \exists h \in H. h \cdot (\varrho v) = z\}$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $rcs\text{-fixed}: [G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; H \cdot a = H] \implies a \in H$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $rcs\text{-fixed1}: [G \gg H; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H] \implies H \cdot a = (H \cdot (h \cdot a))$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $rcs\text{-fixed2}: G \gg H \implies \forall h \in H. H \cdot h = H$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $Gp\text{-rcs}: [G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K; x \in K] \implies H \cdot_{(Gp G K)} x = (H \cdot x)$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $subg\text{-lcs}: [G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K; x \in K] \implies x \diamondsuit_{(Gp G K)} H = x \diamondsuit H$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.4 Normal subgroups and Quotient groups

**lemma (in Group)  $nsg1: [G \gg H; b \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H] \implies b \cdot h \cdot (\varrho b) \in H$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $nsg2: [G \gg H; b \in \text{carrier } G; h \in H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H] \implies (\varrho b) \cdot h \cdot b \in H$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $nsg\text{-subset-elem}: [G \triangleright H; h \in H] \implies h \in \text{carrier } G$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $nsg\text{-l-rcs-eq}: [G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G] \implies a \diamond N = N \cdot a$**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $sg\text{-nsg1}: [G \gg H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in H]$**

$b \in \text{carrier } G \Rightarrow H \cdot b = b \diamond H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) cond-nsg:**  $[G \gg H; \forall a \in \text{carrier } G. \forall h \in H. a \cdot h \cdot (\varrho a) \in H] \Rightarrow G \triangleright H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) special-nsg-e:**  $G \gg H \Rightarrow Gp G H \triangleright \{\mathbf{1}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) special-nsg-G:**  $G \triangleright (\text{carrier } G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) special-nsg-G1:**  $G \gg H \Rightarrow Gp G H \triangleright H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgTr0:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \in N \cdot a] \Rightarrow (a \cdot (\varrho b) \in N) \wedge ((\varrho a) \cdot b \in N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgTr1:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; b \cdot (\varrho a) \in N] \Rightarrow (\varrho b) \cdot a \in N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgTr2:**  $[a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G] \Rightarrow (a \cdot b) \cdot (\varrho (a1 \cdot b1)) = a \cdot (((b \cdot (\varrho b1)) \cdot ((\varrho a1) \cdot a)) \cdot (\varrho a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgPr1:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N] \Rightarrow a \cdot (h \cdot (\varrho a)) \in N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgPr1-1:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N] \Rightarrow (a \cdot h) \cdot (\varrho a) \in N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgPr2:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N] \Rightarrow (\varrho a) \cdot (h \cdot a) \in N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgPr2-1:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; h \in N] \Rightarrow (\varrho a) \cdot h \cdot a \in N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsgTr3:**  $[G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G; a \cdot (\varrho a1) \in N; b \cdot (\varrho b1) \in N] \Rightarrow (a \cdot b) \cdot (\varrho (a1 \cdot b1)) \in N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *nsg-in-Gp*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H; N \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *nsgTr4*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in N \cdot a \rrbracket \implies (\varrho x) \in N \cdot (\varrho a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-topTr1*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $a1 \in \text{carrier } G; b1 \in \text{carrier } G; N \cdot a = N \cdot a1; N \cdot b = N \cdot b1 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) = N \cdot (a1 \cdot b1)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-topTr2*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; a1 \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $N \cdot a = N \cdot a1 \rrbracket \implies N \cdot (\varrho a) = N \cdot (\varrho a1)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-iop-welldefTr1*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $c\text{-iop } G\ N\ (N \cdot a) \subseteq N \cdot (\varrho a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-iop-welldefTr2*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $N \cdot (\varrho a) \subseteq c\text{-iop } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-iop-welldef*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $c\text{-iop } G\ N\ (N \cdot a) = N \cdot (\varrho a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr1*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $b \in \text{carrier } G; x \in N \cdot a; y \in N \cdot b \rrbracket \implies x \cdot y \in N \cdot (a \cdot b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr2*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b) \subseteq N \cdot (a \cdot b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr4*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $x \in N \cdot (a \cdot b) \rrbracket \implies x \in c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldefTr5*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) \subseteq c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group) *c-top-welldef*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $N \cdot (a \cdot b) = c\text{-top } G\ N\ (N \cdot a)\ (N \cdot b)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-unitTr}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$

$$c\text{-top } G N N (N \cdot a) = N \cdot a$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-unit}: G \triangleright N \implies \forall x \in \text{set-rcs } G N. c\text{-top } G N N x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-iTr}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$

$$c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-iop } G N (N \cdot a)) (N \cdot a) = N$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-i}: G \triangleright N \implies$

$$\forall x \in \text{set-rcs } G N. c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-iop } G N x) x = N$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-tassocTr}:$

$$\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G; c \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies$$

$$c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot b) (N \cdot c)) =$$

$$c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-top } G N (N \cdot a) (N \cdot b)) (N \cdot c)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-tassoc}: G \triangleright N \implies$

$$\forall X \in \text{set-rcs } G N. \forall Y \in \text{set-rcs } G N. \forall Z \in \text{set-rcs } G N. c\text{-top } G N X (c\text{-top } G N Y$$

$Z)$

$$= c\text{-top } G N (c\text{-top } G N X Y) Z$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-top}: G \triangleright N \implies$

$$c\text{-top } G N : \text{set-rcs } G N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G N$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-top-closed}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; A \in \text{set-rcs } G N; B \in \text{set-rcs } G N \rrbracket \implies$

$$c\text{-top } G N A B \in \text{set-rcs } G N$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-iop}: G \triangleright N \implies$

$$c\text{-iop } G N : \text{set-rcs } G N \rightarrow \text{set-rcs } G N$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-iop-closed}:\llbracket G \triangleright N; A \in \text{set-rcs } G N \rrbracket \implies$

$$c\text{-iop } G N A \in \text{set-rcs } G N$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-unit-closed}: G \triangleright N \implies N \in \text{set-rcs } G N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem (in Group)**  $\text{Group-}Qg: G \triangleright N \implies \text{Group } (Qg G N)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-one}: G \triangleright N \implies \text{one}(G / N) = N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-carrier}: \text{carrier}(G / (N :: 'a set)) = \text{set-rcs } G N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Qg\text{-unit-group}: G \triangleright N \implies (\text{set-rcs } G N = \{N\}) = (\text{carrier } G = N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Gp\text{-Qg}: G \triangleright N \implies Gp(G / N) (\text{carrier}(G / N)) = G / N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Pj\text{-hom0}: \llbracket G \triangleright N; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies Pj G N (x \cdot y) = (Pj G N x) \cdot_{(G / N)} (Pj G N y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Pj\text{-ghom}: G \triangleright N \implies (Pj G N) \in gHom G (G / N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Pj\text{-mem}: \llbracket G \triangleright N; x \in \text{carrier } G \rrbracket \implies (Pj G N) x = N \cdot x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Pj\text{-gsurjec}: G \triangleright N \implies \text{gsurjec } G (G / N) (Pj G N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $lcs\text{-in-Gp}: \llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \subseteq H; a \in H \rrbracket \implies a \diamondsuit K = a \diamondsuit_{(Gp G H)} K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $rcs\text{-in-Gp}: \llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \subseteq H; a \in H \rrbracket \implies K \cdot a = K \cdot_{(Gp G H)} a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory** *Algebra3* **imports** *Algebra2* **begin**

### 3.5 Setproducts

#### definition

*commutators*:: -  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
 $\text{commutators } G = \{z. \exists a \in \text{carrier } G. \exists b \in \text{carrier } G. ((a \cdot_G b) \cdot_G (\varrho_G a)) \cdot_G (\varrho_G b) = z\}$

**lemma (in Group)**  $\text{contain-commutator}: \llbracket G \gg H; (\text{commutators } G) \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies G \triangleright H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$s\text{-}top :: [-, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $s\text{-}top G H K = \{z. \exists x \in H. \exists y \in K. (x \cdot_G y = z)\}$

**abbreviation**

$S\text{-}TOP :: [('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set$   
 $((\beta\text{-} \diamond_1 -) [66,67] 66)$  **where**  
 $H \diamond_G K == s\text{-}top G H K$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}induced$ :**  $[G \gg L; H \subseteq L; K \subseteq L] \Rightarrow H \diamond_{Gp} G L K = H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}l\text{-}unit$ :**  $G \gg K \Rightarrow \{\mathbf{1}\} \diamond_G K = K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}r\text{-}unit$ :**  $G \gg K \Rightarrow K \diamond_G \{\mathbf{1}\} = K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}sub$ :**  $[G \gg H; G \gg K] \Rightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $sg\text{-}inc\text{-}set\text{-}mult$ :**  $[G \gg L; H \subseteq L; K \subseteq L] \Rightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq L$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}sub1$ :**  $[H \subseteq (\text{carrier } G); K \subseteq (\text{carrier } G)] \Rightarrow H \diamond_G K \subseteq \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}elem$ :**  $[G \gg H; G \gg K; a \in H; b \in K] \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}elem1$ :**  $[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; a \in H; b \in K] \Rightarrow a \cdot b \in H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $mem\text{-}s\text{-}top$ :**  $[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; u \in H \diamond_G K] \Rightarrow \exists a \in H. \exists b \in K. (a \cdot b = u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $s\text{-}top\text{-}mono$ :**  $[H \subseteq \text{carrier } G; K \subseteq \text{carrier } G; H1 \subseteq H; K1 \subseteq K] \Rightarrow H1 \diamond_G K1 \subseteq H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-top-unit-closed*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{1} \in H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-top-commute*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K;$   
 $u \in H \diamond_G K; v \in H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies u \cdot v \in H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-top-commute1*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K;$   
 $u \in H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies (\varrho u) \in H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-top-commute-sg*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; K \diamond_G H = H \diamond_G K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $G \gg (H \diamond_G K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-top-assoc*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; G \gg L \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(H \diamond_G K) \diamond_G L = H \diamond_G (K \diamond_G L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-topTr6*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H1; G \gg H2; G \gg K; H1 \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(H1 \diamond_G H2) \cap K = H1 \diamond_G (H2 \cap K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-topTr6-1*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H1; G \gg H2; G \gg K; H2 \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(H1 \diamond_G H2) \cap K = (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G H2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *l-sub-smult*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies H \subseteq H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *r-sub-smult*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies K \subseteq H \diamond_G K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *s-topTr8*:**  $G \gg H \implies H = H \diamond_G H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.6 Preliminary lemmas for Zassenhaus

**lemma (in Group) *Gp-sg-subset*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; Gp\,G\,H \gg K \rrbracket \implies K \subseteq H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *inter-Gp-nsg*:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies (\mathfrak{t}H) \triangleright (H \cap N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *ZassenhausTr0*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1;$   
 $Gp\,G\,H \triangleright H1; Gp\,G\,K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Gp\,G\,(H \cap K) \triangleright (H \cap K1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) *lcs-sub-s-mult*:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg N; a \in H \rrbracket \implies a \diamond N \subseteq H \diamond_G H$

$N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rcs-sub-smult:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg N; a \in H \rrbracket \implies N \cdot a \subseteq N \diamond_G H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) smult-commute-sg-nsg:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies H \diamond_G N = N \diamond_G H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) smult-sg-nsg:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies G \gg H \diamond_G N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) smult-nsg-sg:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies G \gg N \diamond_G H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Gp-smult-sg-nsg:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) N-sg-HN:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N) \gg N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) K-absorb-HK:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg K; H \subseteq K \rrbracket \implies H \diamond_G K = K$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) nsg-Gp-nsg:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N; N \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ H \triangleright N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Gp-smult-nsg:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N) \triangleright N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Gp-smult-nsg1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies Gp\ G\ (N \diamond_G H) \triangleright N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-3:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \subseteq H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-4:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; h \in H; h1 \in H1 \rrbracket \implies h \cdot h1 \cdot (\varrho\ h) \in H1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) = (H \cap K1) \diamond_G H1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr1-1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies G \gg (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; Gp G H \triangleright H1] \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K) = (H \cap K) \diamond_G H1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-1:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; Gp G H \triangleright H1] \implies G \gg H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-2:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp G H \triangleright H1; Gp G K \triangleright K1] \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) \subseteq H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-5:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp G H \triangleright H1; Gp G K \triangleright K1; a \in H1; b \in H \cap K1; c \in H1] \implies a \cdot b \cdot c \in H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-6:**  $[u \in \text{carrier } G; v \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G] \implies (u \cdot v) \cdot (x \cdot y) \cdot (\varrho(u \cdot v)) = u \cdot v \cdot x \cdot (\varrho v) \cdot (v \cdot y \cdot (\varrho v)) \cdot (\varrho u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr2-7:**  $[a \in \text{carrier } G; x \in \text{carrier } G; y \in \text{carrier } G] \implies a \cdot (x \cdot y) \cdot (\varrho a) = a \cdot x \cdot (\varrho a) \cdot (a \cdot y \cdot (\varrho a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp G H \triangleright H1; Gp G K \triangleright K1] \implies Gp G (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)) \triangleright (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-2:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp G H \triangleright H1; Gp G K \triangleright K1] \implies G \gg H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1) \diamond_G (H \cap K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-3:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp G H \triangleright H1; Gp G K \triangleright K1] \implies (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1) = (K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-4:**  $[G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp G H \triangleright H1; Gp G K \triangleright K1; g \in H \cap K; h \in H \cap K1] \implies g \cdot h \cdot (\varrho g) \in H \cap K1$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr3-5:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ (H \cap K)) \triangleright (H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr4:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)) \diamond_G (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)) = H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr4-0:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1; Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K) = (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1)) \diamond_G (H \cap K)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ZassenhausTr4-1:**  $\llbracket G \gg H; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \gg (H \cap K) \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K))) \triangleright H1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.7 Homomorphism

**lemma gHom:**  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in carrier\ F; y \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies f(x \cdot_F y) = (fx) \cdot_G (fy)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma gHom-mem:**  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; x \in carrier\ F \rrbracket \implies (fx) \in carrier\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma gHom-func:**  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies f \in carrier\ F \rightarrow carrier\ G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma gHomcomp:**  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; f \in gHom\ F\ G; g \in gHom\ G\ H \rrbracket \implies (g \circ_F f) \in gHom\ F\ H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma gHom-comp-gsurjec:**  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; gsurj_{G,H}\ g \rrbracket \implies gsurj_{F,H}\ (g \circ_F f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma gHom-comp-ginjec:**  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; ginj_{F,G}\ f; ginj_{G,H}\ g \rrbracket \implies$

$\langle proof \rangle$

$$ginj_{F,H} (g \circ_F f)$$

**lemma** *ghom-unit-unit*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies f(\mathbf{1}_F) = \mathbf{1}_G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ghom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; x \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies f(\varrho_F x) = \varrho_G(f x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ghomTr3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; x \in \text{carrier } F; y \in \text{carrier } F; f(x \cdot_F (\varrho_F y)) = \mathbf{1}_G \rrbracket \implies f x = f y$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iim-nonempty*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies (\text{iim } F G f K) \neq \{\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ghomTr4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; G \gg K \rrbracket \implies F \gg (\text{iim } F G f K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *IdTr0*: $\text{idmap}(\text{carrier } G) \in gHom G G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation**  
*IDMAP ((I-) [999]1000) where*  
 $I_F == \text{idmap}(\text{carrier } F)$

**abbreviation**  
*INVFUN ((3Ifn - - -) [88,88,89]88) where*  
 $Ifn F G f == \text{invfun}(\text{carrier } F)(\text{carrier } G) f$

**lemma** *IdTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; x \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies (I_F) x = x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *IdTr2*: $\text{Group } F \implies \text{gbij}_{F,F}(I_F)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Id-l-unit*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{gbij}_{G,G} f \rrbracket \implies I_G \circ_G f = f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.8 Gkernel

**lemma** *gkernTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; x \in gker_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies x \in \text{carrier } F$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr1-1: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies gker_{F,G} f \subseteq \text{carrier } F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr2: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; x \in gker_{F,G} f; y \in gker_{F,G} f \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (x \cdot_F y) \in gker_{F,G} f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr3: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; x \in gker_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\varrho_F x) \in gker_{F,G} f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr6: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies (\mathbf{1}_F) \in gker_{F,G} f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr7: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies F \gg gker_{F,G} f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gker-normal: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies F \triangleright gker_{F,G} f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $Group-coim: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (F / gker_{F,G} f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkern1: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Ugp } E; f \in gHom F E \rrbracket \implies gker_{F,E} f = \text{carrier } F$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkern2: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; ginj_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $gker_{F,G} f = \{\mathbf{1}_F\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr9: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; a \in \text{carrier } F; b \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket$   
 $\implies ((gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = (gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F b = (f a = f b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gkernTr11: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(iim F G f \{f a\}) = (gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gbij-comp-bij: \llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; \text{ Group } H; gbij_{F,G} f; gbij_{G,H} g \rrbracket$   
 $\implies gbij_{F,H} (g \circ_F f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $gbij-automorph: \llbracket \text{Group } G; gbij_{G,G} f; gbij_{G,G} g \rrbracket \implies$   
 $gbij_{G,G} (g \circ_G f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *l-unit-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies (I_G) \circ_F f = f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *r-unit-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies f \circ_F (I_F) = f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.9 Image

**lemma** *inv-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; gbij_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies (Ifn F G f) \in gHom G F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inv-gbijec-gbijec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; gbij_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies gbij_{G,F} (Ifn F G f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *l-inv-gHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; gbij_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies (Ifn F G f) \circ_F f = (I_F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *img-mult-closed*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; u \in f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F); v \in f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F) \rrbracket \implies u \cdot_G v \in f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *img-unit-closed*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies 1_G \in f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imgTr7*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; u \in f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F) \rrbracket \implies \varrho_G u \in f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imgTr8*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; F \gg H; u \in f^{\leftarrow} H; v \in f^{\leftarrow} H \rrbracket \implies u \cdot_G v \in f^{\leftarrow} H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imgTr9*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; F \gg H; u \in f^{\leftarrow} H \rrbracket \implies \varrho_G u \in f^{\leftarrow} H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imgTr10*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; F \gg H \rrbracket \implies 1_G \in f^{\leftarrow} H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *imgTr11*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; F \gg H \rrbracket \implies G \gg (f^{\leftarrow} H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *sg-gimg*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies G \gg f^{\leftarrow}(\text{carrier } F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Group-Img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Img-carrier*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Img}_{F,G} f) = f \cdot (\text{carrier } F)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *hom-to-Img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies f \in gHom F (\text{Img}_{F,G} f)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gker-hom-to-img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies \text{gker}_{F,(\text{Img}_{F,G} f)} f = \text{gker}_{F,G} f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Pj-im-subg*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies \text{Pj } G K \cdot H = \text{carrier } ((\text{Gp } G H) / K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *subg-Qsubg*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H \rrbracket \implies (G / K) \gg \text{carrier } ((\text{Gp } G H) / K)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.10 Induced homomorphisms

**lemma** *inducedhomTr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; S \in \text{set-rcs } F (\text{gker}_{F,G} f); s1 \in S; s2 \in S \rrbracket \implies f s1 = f s2$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

*induced-ghom* ::  $[('a, 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'more1) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('a \Rightarrow 'b)] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{induced-ghom } F G f = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-rcs } F (\text{gker}_{F,G} f)). f (\text{SOME } x. x \in X))$

**abbreviation**

*INDUCED-GHOM* ::  $[ 'a \Rightarrow 'b, ('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Group-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'b) ((3\ddot{\cdot}\_ ,) [82,82,83] 82) \text{ where}$   
 $f''_{F,G} == \text{induced-ghom } F G f$

**lemma** *induced-ghom-someTr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; X \in \text{set-rcs } F (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \rrbracket \implies f (\text{SOME } xa. xa \in X) \in f \cdot (\text{carrier } F)$

**lemma** *induced-ghom-someTr1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies f (\text{SOME } xa. xa \in (\text{gker}_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = f a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inducedHOMTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; a \in \text{carrier } F \rrbracket \implies$

$(f''_{F,G}) ((gker_{F,G} f) \cdot_F a) = f a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inducedHOMTr0-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{set-rcs } F (gker_{F,G} f) \rightarrow \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inducedHOMTr0-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in \text{set-rcs } F (gker_{F,G} f) \rightarrow f' (\text{carrier } F)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inducedHom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in gHom (F / (gker_{F,G} f)) G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *induced-ghom-ginjec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $ginj(F / (gker_{F,G} f)), G (f''_{F,G})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *inducedhomgsurjec*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; gsurj_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $gsurj(F / (gker_{F,G} f)), G (f''_{F,G})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homomtr*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f''_{F,G}) \in gHom (F / (gker_{F,G} f)) (Img_{F,G} f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homom2img*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f''_{F,(Img_{F,G} f)}) \in gHom (F / (gker_{F,G} f)) (Img_{F,G} f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homom2img1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } F; \text{ Group } G; f \in gHom F G; X \in \text{set-rcs } F (gker_{F,G} f) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f''_{F,(Img_{F,G} f)}) X = (f''_{F,G}) X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.10.1 Homomorphism therems

**definition**

*iota* ::  $('a, 'm) \text{ Group-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$

$((\iota_.) [1000] 999) \text{ where}$   
 $\iota_F = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } F. x)$

**lemma** *iotahomTr0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies (\iota_{(Gp G H)}) h = h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *iotahom*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$

$\iota(Gp\ G\ H) \in gHom\ (Gp\ G\ H)\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))$

**lemma** *iotaTr0*:  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies ginj(Gp\ G\ H), (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) \ ({}^{\iota(Gp\ G\ H)})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** *homomthm1*:  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies gbij(F / (gkernel\ F\ G\ f)), (Gimage\ F\ G\ f) \ (f''_F, (Gimage\ F\ G\ f))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isomTr0* [simp]:  $Group\ F \implies F \cong F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isomTr1*:  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; F \cong G \rrbracket \implies G \cong F$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isomTr2*:  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; Group\ H; F \cong G; G \cong H \rrbracket \implies F \cong H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *gisom1*:  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G \rrbracket \implies (F / (gker_{F,G}\ f)) \cong (Img_{F,G}\ f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *homomth2Tr0*:  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; f \in gHom\ F\ G; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies F \triangleright (iim\ F\ G\ f\ N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *kern-comp-gHom*:  $\llbracket Group\ F; Group\ G; gsurj_{F,G}\ f; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies gker_{F, (G/N)}\ ((Pj\ G\ N) \circ_F f) = iim\ F\ G\ f\ N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QgrpUnit-1*:  $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \triangleright H; (G / H) \cong E \rrbracket \implies carrier\ G = H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QgrpUnit-2*:  $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \triangleright H; carrier\ G = H \rrbracket \implies (G/H) \cong E$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QgrpUnit-3*:  $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \gg H; G \gg H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; ((Gp\ G\ H) / H1) \cong E \rrbracket \implies H = H1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *QgrpUnit-4*:  $\llbracket Group\ G; Ugp\ E; G \gg H; G \gg H1; (Gp\ G\ H) \triangleright H1; \neg ((Gp\ G\ H) / H1) \cong E \rrbracket \implies H \neq H1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$Qmp :: [('a, 'm) Group\text{-}scheme, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow 'a set) \text{ where}$   
 $Qmp G H N = (\lambda X \in \text{set-rcs } G H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in N. (y \cdot_G x = z)\})$

**abbreviation**

$QP :: [-, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow 'a set)$   
 $((3Qm_{-, -, -}) [82, 82, 83] 82) \text{ where}$   
 $Qm_{G H, N} == Qmp G H N$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr0: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; a \in \text{carrier } G] \Rightarrow Qmp G H N (H \cdot a) = (N \cdot a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr1: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; a \in \text{carrier } G; b \in \text{carrier } G;$   
 $H \cdot a = H \cdot b] \Rightarrow N \cdot a = N \cdot b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr2: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Rightarrow (Qmp G H N) X \in \text{carrier } (G/N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr2-1: [G \gg H; G \gg N; H \subseteq N] \Rightarrow Qmp G H N \in \text{carrier } (G/H) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (G/N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr3: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H);$   
 $Y \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Rightarrow (Qmp G H N) (c\text{-top } G H X Y) = c\text{-top } G N ((Qmp G H N) X) ((Qmp G H N) Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $Gp\text{-s-mult-nsg}: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; a \in N] \Rightarrow H \cdot (Gp G N) a = H \cdot a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr5: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (G/H);$   
 $Y \in \text{carrier } (G/H)] \Rightarrow (Qmp G H N) (X \cdot_{(G / H)} Y) = ((Qmp G H N) X) \cdot_{(G / N)} ((Qmp G H N) Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $QmpTr: [G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N] \Rightarrow (Qm_{G H, N}) \in gHom (G / H) (G / N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $Qmpgsurjec$ :**  $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies gsurj_{(G / H), (G / N)} (Q^m_{G H, N})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $gkerQmp$ :**  $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies gker_{(G / H), (G / N)} (Q^m_{G H, N}) = carrier ((Gp G N) / H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem (in Group)  $homom2$ :**  $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies gbij_{((G/H)/(carrier ((Gp G N)/H))), (G/N)} ((Q^m_{G H, N})^{\circ\circ}_{(G/H), (G/N)})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 3.11 Isomorphisms

**theorem (in Group)  $isom2$ :**  $\llbracket G \triangleright H; G \triangleright N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies ((G/H)/(carrier ((Gp G N)/H))) \cong (G/N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem  $homom3$ :**  $\llbracket Group F; Group G; G \triangleright N; gsurj_{F, G} f; N1 = (im F G f) N \rrbracket \implies (F / N1) \cong (G / N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)  $homom3Tr1$ :**  $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies H \cap N = gker_{(Gp G H), ((Gp G (H \diamond_G N))/N)} ((Pj (Gp G (H \diamond_G N)) N) \circ_{(Gp G H)} (\iota_{(Gp G H)}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.11.1 An automorphism groups

**definition**

```
automg :: - ⇒
  () carrier :: ('a ⇒ 'a) set, top :: ['a ⇒ 'a, 'a ⇒ 'a] ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a),
  iop :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a), one :: ('a ⇒ 'a)() where
  automg G = () carrier = {f. gbij_{G, G} f},
  top = λg∈{f. gbij_{G, G} f}. λf∈{f. gbij_{G, G} f}. (g ∘_G f),
  iop = λf∈{f. gbij_{G, G} f}. (Ifn G G f), one = I_G()
```

**lemma  $automgroupTr1$ :**  $\llbracket Group G; gbij_{G, G} f; gbij_{G, G} g; gbij_{G, G} h \rrbracket \implies (h \circ_G g) \circ_G f = h \circ_G (g \circ_G f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma  $automgroup$ :**  $Group G \implies Group (automg G)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.11.2 Complete system of representatives

**definition**

```
gcsrp :: - ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ bool where
```

$gcsrp\ G\ H\ S == \exists f. (bij-to\ f\ (set-rcs\ G\ H)\ S)$

**definition**

$gcsrp-map ::= 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ where$   
 $gcsrp-map\ G\ H == \lambda X \in (set-rcs\ G\ H). \text{SOME } x. x \in X$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-func:G > H ==> gcsrp-map G H ∈ set-rcs G H → UNIV**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-func1:G > H ==>**  
 $gcsrp-map\ G\ H \in set-rcs\ G\ H \rightarrow (gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-map-bij:G > H ==>**  
 $bij-to\ (gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ (set-rcs\ G\ H)\ ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) image-gcsrp:G > H ==>**  
 $gcsrp\ G\ H\ ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-exists:G > H ==> ∃ S. gcsrp G H S**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$gcsrp-top ::= [-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ where$   
 $gcsrp-top\ G\ H == \lambda x \in ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)).$   
 $\lambda y \in ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)).$   
 $gcsrp-map\ G\ H$   
 $(c-top\ G\ H)$   
 $((invfun\ (set-rcs\ G\ H)\ ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)))\ (gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ x)$   
 $((invfun\ (set-rcs\ G\ H)\ ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)))\ (gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ y))$

**definition**

$gcsrp-iop::[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a\ where$   
 $gcsrp-iop\ G\ H = (\lambda x \in ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)).$   
 $gcsrp-map\ G\ H$   
 $(c-iop\ G\ H)$   
 $((invfun\ (set-rcs\ G\ H)\ ((gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ H)))\ (gcsrp-map\ G\ H)\ x))$

**definition**

$gcsrp-one::[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow 'a\ where$   
 $gcsrp-one\ G\ H = gcsrp-map\ G\ H\ H$

**definition**

$Gcsrp ::= - \Rightarrow 'a\ set \Rightarrow 'a\ Group\ where$   
 $Gcsrp\ G\ N = (carrier = (gcsrp-map\ G\ N)\ ' (set-rcs\ G\ N),$

$\text{top} = \text{gcsrp-top } G N, \text{iop} = \text{gcsrp-iop } G N, \text{one} = \text{gcsrp-one } G N \|$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-top-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N;$   
 $a \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)); b \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{gcsrp-top } G N a b \in (\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-tassoc:**  $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N;$   
 $a \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N));$   
 $b \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N));$   
 $c \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{gcsrp-top } G N (\text{gcsrp-top } G N a b) c) =$   
 $(\text{gcsrp-top } G N a (\text{gcsrp-top } G N b c))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-l-one:**  $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N;$   
 $a \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{gcsrp-top } G N (\text{gcsrp-one } G N) a) = a$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-l-i:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{gcsrp-top } G N (\text{gcsrp-iop } G N a) a = \text{gcsrp-one } G N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-i-closed:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; a \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{gcsrp-iop } G N a \in ((\text{gcsrp-map } G N) \cdot (\text{set-rcs } G N))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Group-Gcsrp:**  $G \triangleright N \implies \text{Group } (\text{Gcsrp } G N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) gcsrp-map-gbijec:**  $G \triangleright N \implies$   
 $\text{gbij}(G/N), (\text{Gcsrp } G N) \text{ (gcsrp-map } G N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Qg-equiv-Gcsrp:**  $G \triangleright N \implies (G / N) \cong \text{Gcsrp } G N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.12 Zassenhaus

we show  $H \rightarrow H N / N$  is gsurjective

**lemma (in Group) homom4Tr1:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies \text{Group } ((\text{Gp } G (H \diamond_G N)) / N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma homom3Tr2:**  $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \gg H; G \triangleright N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{gsurj}_{(\text{Gp } G H), ((\text{Gp } G (H \diamond_G N)) / N)}((Pj_{(\text{Gp } G (H \diamond_G N)) / N}) N) \circ_{(\text{Gp } G H)} (\iota_{(\text{Gp } G H)})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem homom4:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies gbij((Gp\ G\ H)/(H \cap N)), ((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) / N)$   
 $((Pj\ (Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N))\ N) \circ_{(Gp\ G\ H)} (\iota_{(Gp\ G\ H)}))''_{(Gp\ G\ H), ((Gp\ G\ (H \diamond_G N)) / N)}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) homom4-2:**  $\llbracket G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies Group\ ((Gp\ G\ H) / (H \cap N))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma isom4:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies ((Gp\ G\ H)/(H \cap N)) \cong ((Gp\ G\ (N \diamond_G H)) / N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ZassenhausTr5:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$   
 $Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies ((Gp\ G\ (H \cap K))/((H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1))) \cong$   
 $((Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K)))/((H1 \diamond_G (H \cap K1))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ZassenhausTr5-1:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$   
 $Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies ((Gp\ G\ (K \cap H))/((K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1))) \cong$   
 $((Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G (K \cap H)))/((K1 \diamond_G (K \cap H1))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ZassenhausTr5-2:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$   
 $Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies ((Gp\ G\ (H \cap K))/((H1 \cap K) \diamond_G (H \cap K1))) =$   
 $((Gp\ G\ (K \cap H))/((K1 \cap H) \diamond_G (K \cap H1)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ZassenhausTr6-1:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$   
 $Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Group\ (Gp\ G\ (H \cap K) / (H1 \cap K \diamond_G H \cap K1))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ZassenhausTr6-2:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$   
 $Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1 \rrbracket \implies Group\ (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K) / (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K1))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma ZassenhausTr6-3:**  $\llbracket Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$

$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1] \implies Group\ (Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H) / (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H1))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem** Zassenhaus:  $[Group\ G; G \gg H; G \gg H1; G \gg K; G \gg K1; Gp\ G\ H \triangleright H1;$

$$Gp\ G\ K \triangleright K1] \implies (Gp\ G\ (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K) / (H1 \diamond_G H \cap K1)) \cong \\ (Gp\ G\ (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H) / (K1 \diamond_G K \cap H1))$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.13 Chain of groups I

**definition**

$d\text{-gchain} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $d\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } G \gg g\ 0 \text{ else } (\forall l \leq n. G \gg (g\ l) \wedge \\ (\forall l \leq (n - Suc\ 0). g\ (Suc\ l) \subseteq g\ l)))$

**definition**

$D\text{-gchain} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $D\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } G \gg (g\ 0) \text{ else } (d\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g) \wedge \\ (\forall l \leq (n - Suc\ 0). (g\ (Suc\ l)) \subset (g\ l)))$

**definition**

$td\text{-gchain} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $td\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } g\ 0 = carrier\ G \wedge g\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \text{ else} \\ d\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g \wedge g\ 0 = carrier\ G \wedge g\ n = \{\mathbf{1}_G\})$

**definition**

$tD\text{-gchain} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $tD\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n=0 \text{ then } g\ 0 = carrier\ G \wedge g\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \text{ else} \\ D\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g \wedge (g\ 0 = carrier\ G) \wedge (g\ n = \{\mathbf{1}_G\}))$

**definition**

$w\text{-cmpser} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $w\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } d\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g \text{ else } d\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g \wedge \\ (\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l)) \triangleright (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

**definition**

$W\text{-cmpser} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $W\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } d\text{-gchain } G\ 0\ g \text{ else } D\text{-gchain } G\ n\ g \wedge \\ (\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l)) \triangleright (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

**definition**

$tw\text{-cmpser} :: [-, nat, (nat \Rightarrow 'a set)] \Rightarrow bool$  **where**

*tw-cmpser*  $G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \text{td-gchain } G\ 0\ g \text{ else } \text{td-gchain } G\ n\ g \wedge (\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l)) \triangleright (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

**definition**

*tW-cmpser* ::  $[-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $tW\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ g = (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } \text{td-gchain } G\ 0\ g \text{ else } \text{td-gchain } G\ n\ g \wedge (\forall l \leq (n - 1). (Gp\ G\ (g\ l)) \triangleright (g\ (Suc\ l))))$

**definition**

*Qw-cmpser* ::  $[-, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow (\text{'a set}) \text{ Group})$  **where**  
 $Qw\text{-cmpser } G\ f\ l = ((Gp\ G\ (f\ l)) / (f\ (Suc\ l)))$

**definition**

*red-chn* ::  $[-, \text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})$  **where**  
 $\text{red-chn } G\ n\ f = (\text{SOME } g. g \in \{h. (tW\text{-cmpser } G\ (\text{card } (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\ h) \wedge h\ ' \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}\})$

**definition**

*chain-cutout* ::  $[\text{nat}, (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set})$  **where**  
 $\text{chain-cutout } l\ f = (\lambda j. f\ (\text{slide } l\ j))$

**lemma (in Group)** *d-gchainTr0*:  $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{d-gchain } G\ n\ f; k \leq (n - 1) \rrbracket \implies f\ (Suc\ k) \subseteq f\ k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *d-gchain-mem-sg:d-gchain*  $G\ n\ f \implies \forall i \leq n. G \gg (f\ i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *d-gchain-pre:d-gchain*  $G\ (\text{Suc } n)\ f \implies \text{d-gchain } G\ n\ f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *d-gchainTr1*:  $0 < n \longrightarrow (\forall f. \text{d-gchain } G\ n\ f \longrightarrow (\forall l \leq n. \forall j \leq n. l < j \longrightarrow f\ j \subseteq f\ l))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *d-gchainTr2*:  $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{d-gchain } G\ n\ f; l \leq n; j \leq n; l \leq j \rrbracket \implies f\ j \subseteq f\ l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *im-d-gchainTr1*:  $\llbracket \text{d-gchain } G\ n\ f; f\ l \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\} \rrbracket \implies f\ (\text{LEAST } j. f\ j \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\}) \in (f\ ' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \{f\ 0\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *im-d-gchainTr1-0*:  $\llbracket \text{d-gchain } G\ n\ f;$

$f l \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}) \Rightarrow$   
 $0 < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchainTr1-1:**

$\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; \exists i. f i \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in ((f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \in ((f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchainsTr1-2:**

$\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; i \leq n; f i \in f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \leq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchainsTr1-3:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; \exists i \leq n.$

$f i \in f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\};$   
 $k < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \rrbracket \Rightarrow f k = f 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-gdchainsTr1-4:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f;$

$\exists v \in f' \{i. i \leq n\}. v \notin \{f 0\}; i < (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) \wedge$   
 $f j \neq f 0) \rrbracket \Rightarrow f i = f 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchainsTr1-5:**  $\llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G n f; i \leq n;$

$f i \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\}); (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) = j \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow f' \{i. i \leq (j - (\text{Suc } 0))\} = \{f 0\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchains1:**  $\llbracket 0 < n; d\text{-gchain } G n f; i \leq n;$

$f i \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\});$   
 $(\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) = j \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f' \{i. i \leq n\} = \{f 0\} \cup \{f i \mid i. j \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchains1-1:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; f n \neq f 0 \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$f' \{i. i \leq n\} = \{f 0\} \cup$   
 $\{f i \mid i. (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \leq i \wedge i \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) d-gchains-leastTr:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; f n \neq f 0 \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$(\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \in \{i. i \leq n\} \wedge$   
 $f (\text{LEAST } j. f j \in (f' \{i. i \leq n\} - \{f 0\})) \neq f 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-d-gchainTr2:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; j \leq n; f j \neq f 0 \rrbracket \Rightarrow$

$\forall i \leq n. f 0 = f i \rightarrow \neg j \leq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $D\text{-gchain-pre}:\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } n) f \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G n f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $D\text{-gchain0}:\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G n f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f j \subset f i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $D\text{-gchain1}:\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G n f \implies \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $\text{card-im-D-gchain}:\llbracket 0 < n; D\text{-gchain } G n f \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{card } (f \{i. i \leq n\}) = \text{Suc } n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $w\text{-cmpser-gr}:\llbracket 0 < r; w\text{-cmpser } G r f; i \leq r \rrbracket$   
 $\implies G \gg (f i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $w\text{-cmpser-ns}:\llbracket 0 < r; w\text{-cmpser } G r f; i \leq (r - 1) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(Gp G (f i)) \triangleright (f (\text{Suc } i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $w\text{-cmpser-pre}:w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G n f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $W\text{-cmpser-pre}:W\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \implies W\text{-cmpser } G n f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $td\text{-gchain-n}:\llbracket td\text{-gchain } G n f; \text{carrier } G \neq \{\mathbf{1}\} \rrbracket \implies 0 < n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.14 Existence of reduced chain

**lemma (in Group)**  $D\text{-gchain-is-d-gchain}:D\text{-gchain } G n f \implies d\text{-gchain } G n f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $\text{joint-d-gchains}:\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; d\text{-gchain } G m g;$   
 $g 0 \subseteq f n \rrbracket \implies d\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } (n + m)) (\text{jointfun } n f m g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $\text{joint-D-gchains}:\llbracket D\text{-gchain } G n f; D\text{-gchain } G m g;$   
 $g 0 \subset f n \rrbracket \implies D\text{-gchain } G (\text{Suc } (n + m)) (\text{jointfun } n f m g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $w\text{-cmpser-is-d-gchain}:w\text{-cmpser } G n f \implies d\text{-gchain } G n f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)**  $\text{joint-w-cmpser}:\llbracket w\text{-cmpser } G n f; w\text{-cmpser } G m g;$   
 $Gp G (f n) \triangleright (g 0) \rrbracket \implies w\text{-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (n + m)) (\text{jointfun } n f m g)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) W-cmpser-is-D-gchain:**  $W\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f \implies D\text{-gchain } G\ n\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) W-cmpser-is-w-cmpser:**  $W\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) tw-cmpser-is-w-cmpser:**  $tw\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) tW-cmpser-is-W-cmpser:**  $tW\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f \implies W\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) joint-W-cmpser:**  $[W\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f; W\text{-cmpser } G\ m\ g;$   
 $(Gp\ G\ (f\ n)) \triangleright (g\ 0); g\ 0 \subset f\ n] \implies$   
 $W\text{-cmpser } G\ (Suc\ (n + m))\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ m\ g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) joint-d-gchain-n0:**  $[d\text{-gchain } G\ n\ f; d\text{-gchain } G\ 0\ g;$   
 $g\ 0 \subseteq f\ n] \implies d\text{-gchain } G\ (Suc\ n)\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ 0\ g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) joint-D-gchain-n0:**  $[D\text{-gchain } G\ n\ f; D\text{-gchain } G\ 0\ g;$   
 $g\ 0 \subset f\ n] \implies D\text{-gchain } G\ (Suc\ n)\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ 0\ g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) joint-w-cmpser-n0:**  $[w\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f; w\text{-cmpser } G\ 0\ g;$   
 $(Gp\ G\ (f\ n)) \triangleright (g\ 0)] \implies w\text{-cmpser } G\ (Suc\ n)\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ 0\ g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) joint-W-cmpser-n0:**  $[W\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f; W\text{-cmpser } G\ 0\ g;$   
 $(Gp\ G\ (f\ n)) \triangleright (g\ 0); g\ 0 \subset f\ n] \implies$   
 $W\text{-cmpser } G\ (Suc\ n)\ (jointfun\ n\ f\ 0\ g)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

*simple-Group* ::  $- \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{simple-Group } G \longleftrightarrow \{N. G > N\} = \{\text{carrier } G, \{\mathbf{1}_G\}\}$

### definition

*compseries*::  $[-, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{compseries } G\ n\ f \longleftrightarrow tW\text{-cmpser } G\ n\ f \wedge (\text{if } n = 0 \text{ then } f\ 0 = \{\mathbf{1}_G\} \text{ else}$   
 $(\forall i \leq (n - 1). (\text{simple-Group } ((Gp\ G\ (f\ i))/(f\ (Suc\ i))))))$

### definition

*length-twcmpser*::  $[-, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  **where**  
 $\text{length-twcmpser } G\ n\ f = \text{card } (f \setminus \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0$

**lemma (in Group) compseriesTr0:**  $\llbracket \text{compseries } G \ n \ f; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies G \gg (f \ i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) compseriesTr1:**  $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies tW\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) compseriesTr2:**  $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies f \ 0 = \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) compseriesTr3:**  $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies f \ n = \{\mathbf{1}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) compseriesTr4:**  $\text{compseries } G \ n \ f \implies w\text{-cmpser } G \ n \ f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) im-jointfun1Tr1:**  $\forall l \leq n. \ G \gg (f \ l) \implies f \in \{i. \ i \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{sg } G)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nset-Suc-im:**  $\forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \ G \gg (f \ l) \implies \text{insert } (f \ (\text{Suc } n)) (f ` \{i. \ i \leq n\}) = f ` \{i. \ i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $NfuncPair-neq-at::[\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $NfuncPair-neq-at f g i \longleftrightarrow f \ i \neq g \ i$

**lemma LeastTr0:**  $\llbracket (i::\text{nat}) < (\text{LEAST } l. \ P \ (l)) \rrbracket \implies \neg P \ (i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) funeq-LeastTr1:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg f \ l; \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg g \ l; (l :: \text{nat}) < (\text{LEAST } k. (NfuncPair-neq-at f \ g \ k)) \rrbracket \implies f \ l = g \ l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) funeq-LeastTr1-1:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \ G \gg f \ l; \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg g \ l; (l :: \text{nat}) < (\text{LEAST } k. (f \ k \neq g \ k)) \rrbracket \implies f \ l = g \ l$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-1:**  $\llbracket i \leq n; \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg f \ l; \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg g \ l; NfuncPair-neq-at f \ g \ i \rrbracket \implies NfuncPair-neq-at f \ g \ (\text{LEAST } k. (NfuncPair-neq-at f \ g \ k))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-2:**  $\llbracket i \leq n; \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg f \ l; \forall l \leq n. \ G \gg g \ l; NfuncPair-neq-at f \ g \ i \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } k. (NfuncPair-neq-at f \ g \ k)) \leq i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-1:**  $\llbracket i \leq (n::nat); \forall l \leq n. G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-3:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; i \leq n; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies f (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) \neq g (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-4:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; i \leq n; f i \neq g i \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-5:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies f (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) \neq g ((\text{LEAST } k. f k \neq g k))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-6:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-Least-sym:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i) \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) = (\text{LEAST } k. (g k \neq f k))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Nfunc-iNJTr:**  $\llbracket \text{inj-on } g \{i. i \leq (n::nat)\}; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies g i \neq g j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-7:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::nat). G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \text{inj-on } g \{i. i \leq n\}; \exists i \leq n. (f i \neq g i); f k = g (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) \rrbracket \implies (\text{LEAST } k. (f k \neq g k)) < k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) Nfunc-LeastTr2-8:**  $\llbracket \forall l \leq n. G \gg f l; \forall l \leq n. G \gg g l; \text{inj-on } g \{i. i \leq n\}; \exists i \leq n. f i \neq g i; f ` \{i. i \leq n\} = g ` \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \exists k \in (\text{nset } (\text{Suc } (\text{LEAST } i. (f i \neq g i)))) n. f k = g (\text{LEAST } i. (f i \neq g i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr1:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G n f; D\text{-gchain } G (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) g; g ` \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} = f ` \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies g (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) = f n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr1-1:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ (n::nat) \ f; D\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0) \ g; g' \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} = f' \{i. i \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies g \ 0 = f \ 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr2:**  $d\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f \implies D\text{-gchain } G \ 0 \ (\text{constmap } \{0::nat\} \{f \ (\text{Suc } n)\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) last-mem-excluded:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f; f \ n \neq f \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies f \ (\text{Suc } n) \notin f' \{i. i \leq n\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr4:**  $\llbracket d\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f; f \ n \neq f \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr5:**  $d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies 0 < \text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchainTr6:**  $\forall f. \ d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies (\exists g. \ D\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) \ g \wedge g' \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f' \{i. i \leq n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-redchain:**  $d\text{-gchain } G \ n \ f \implies (\exists g. \ D\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1) \ g \wedge g' \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq n\}) - 1)\} = f' \{i. i \leq n\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) const-W-cmpser:**  $d\text{-gchain } G \ (\text{Suc } n) \ f \implies W\text{-cmpser } G \ 0 \ (\text{constmap } \{0::nat\} \{f \ (\text{Suc } n)\})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-W-cmpserTr0m:**  $\forall f. \ w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ f \implies (\exists g. \ (W\text{-cmpser } G \ (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1) \ g \wedge g' \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f' \{i. i \leq m\}))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-W-cmpser:w-cmpser:**  $w\text{-cmpser } G \ m \ f \implies \exists g. \ W\text{-cmpser } G \ (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1) \ g \wedge g' \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f' \{i. i \leq m\}) - 1)\} = f' \{i. i \leq m\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 3.15 Existence of reduced chain and composition series

**lemma (in Group) ex-W-cmpserTr3m1:**  $\llbracket \text{tw-cmpser } G (m::\text{nat}) f; W\text{-cmpser } G ((\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1) g; g ` \{i. i \leq ((\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1)\} = f ` \{i. i \leq m\} \rrbracket \implies tW\text{-cmpser } G ((\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1) g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) ex-W-cmpserTr3m:**  $tW\text{-cmpser } G m f \implies \exists g. tW\text{-cmpser } G ((\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1) g \wedge g ` \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1\} = f ` \{i. i \leq m\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$\text{red-ch-cd} :: [-, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{red-ch-cd } G f m g \longleftrightarrow tW\text{-cmpser } G (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1) g \wedge (g ` \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1\} = f ` \{i. i \leq m\})$

**definition**

$\text{red-chain} :: [-, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set})$  **where**  
 $\text{red-chain } G m f = (\text{SOME } g. g \in \{h. \text{red-ch-cd } G f m h\})$

**lemma (in Group) red-chainTr0m1-1:**  $tW\text{-cmpser } G m f \implies (\text{SOME } g. g \in \{h. \text{red-ch-cd } G f m h\}) \in \{h. \text{red-ch-cd } G f m h\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) red-chain-m:**  $tW\text{-cmpser } G m f \implies tW\text{-cmpser } G (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1) (\text{red-chain } G m f) \wedge (\text{red-chain } G m f) ` \{i. i \leq (\text{card } (f ` \{i. i \leq m\})) - 1\} = f ` \{i. i \leq m\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 3.16 Chain of groups II

**definition**

$\text{Gchain} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a \text{ set}), 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{Gchain } n g \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \leq n. \text{Group } (g l))$

**definition**

$\text{isom-Gchains} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a \text{ set}), 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a \text{ set}), 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{isom-Gchains } n f g h \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \leq n. (g i) \cong (h (f i)))$

**definition**

$\text{Gch-bridge} :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a \text{ set}), 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow (('a \text{ set}), 'more) \text{ Group-scheme}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{Gch-bridge } n g h f \longleftrightarrow (\forall l \leq n. f l \leq n) \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq n\} \wedge$

*isom-Gchains n f g h*

**lemma** *Gchain-pre:Gchain (Suc n) g*  $\implies$  *Gchain n g*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Group)** *isom-unit:[G > H; G > K; H = {1}]*  $\implies$   
 $Gp\ G\ H \cong Gp\ G\ K \longrightarrow K = \{1\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr4:[Group F; Group G; Ugp E; F ≈ G; F ≈ E]*  $\implies$   
 $G \cong E$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-cmp:[Gchain n g; Gchain n h; f1 ∈ {i. i ≤ n} → {i. i ≤ n}; f2 ∈ {i. i ≤ n} → {i. i ≤ n}; isom-Gchains n (cmp f2 f1) g h]*  $\implies$   
*isom-Gchains n f1 g (cmp h f2)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-transp:[Gchain n f; i ≤ n; j ≤ n; i < j]*  $\implies$   
*isom-Gchains n (transpos i j) f (cmp f (transpos i j))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr0:[Ugp E; Gchain n g; Gchain n h; i ≤ n; j ≤ n; i < j; isom-Gchains n (transpos i j) g h]*  $\implies$   
 $\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge h i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr1:[Ugp E; Gchain n g; i ≤ n; j ≤ n; g j ≈ E; i ≠ j]*  $\implies$   
 $insert\ j\ (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}) = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr2:[Ugp E; Gchain n g; i ≤ n; j ≤ n; i < j; g i ≈ E]*  $\implies$   
 $\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} = insert\ i\ (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr3:[Ugp E; Gchain n g; i ≤ n]*  
 $\implies finite\ (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr4:[Ugp E; Gchain n g; i ≤ n]*  
 $\implies finite\ (\{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr5-1:[Ugp E; Gchain n g; Gchain n h; i ≤ (n::nat); j ≤ n; i < j; isom-Gchains n (transpos i j) g h]*  $\implies g i \cong h j$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr5-2*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; Gchain n h; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j; isom\text{-}Gchains n (transpos i j) g h \rrbracket \implies g j \cong h i$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr6*: $\llbracket Gchain n g; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies Group (g i)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr7*: $\llbracket Ugp E; i \leq n; j \leq n; g j \cong h i; Group (h i); Group (g j); \neg g j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \neg h i \cong E$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr8-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; i \leq n; j \leq n; g i \cong E; \neg g j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{j\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transpTr8-2*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; i \leq n; j \leq n; \neg g i \cong E; \neg g j \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} - \{i, j\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-transp*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n g; Gchain n h; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j; isom\text{-}Gchains n (transpos i j) g h \rrbracket \implies card \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} = card \{i. i \leq n \wedge h i \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *TR-isom-gch-units*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies card \{k. k \leq n \wedge f k \cong E\} = card \{k. k \leq n \wedge (cmp f (transpos i j)) k \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *TR-isom-gch-units-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain n f; i \leq n; j \leq n; i < j \rrbracket \implies card \{k. k \leq n \wedge f k \cong E\} = card \{k. k \leq n \wedge f (transpos i j k) \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-1*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g; g (Suc n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge g i \cong E\} = insert (Suc n) \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-2*: $Ugp E \implies finite (\{i. i \leq (n::nat) \wedge g i \cong E\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-tgch-unitsTr0-3*: $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g; \neg g (Suc n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge g i \cong E\} = \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-tgch-unitsTr0*: $\llbracket Ugp E; card \{i. i \leq n \wedge g i \cong E\} = card \{i. i \leq n \wedge h i \cong E\}; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge Gch\text{-}bridge (Suc n) g h f; \dots \rrbracket$

$f(Suc n) = Suc n] \implies$   
 $\text{card } \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge g i \cong E\} =$   
 $\text{card } \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge h i \cong E\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-1*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge Gch\text{-bridge} (Suc n) g h f; f (Suc n) = Suc n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $Gchain n g \wedge Gchain n h \wedge Gch\text{-bridge} n g h f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-2*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}; \forall l \leq (Suc n). f l \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{cmp} (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) (Suc n) = Suc n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-3*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; \forall l \leq (Suc n). f l \leq (Suc n); \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{inj-on} (\text{cmp} (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-4*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; \text{inj-on } f \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}; \forall l \leq (Suc n). f l \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{inj-on} (\text{cmp} (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f) \{i. i \leq n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-5*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge Gch\text{-bridge} (Suc n) g h f; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $Gchain n g \wedge Gchain n (\text{cmp} h (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \wedge Gch\text{-bridge} n g (\text{cmp} h (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)))$   
 $(\text{cmp} (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-6*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; f (Suc n) \neq Suc n; Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) h \wedge Gch\text{-bridge} (Suc n) g h f \rrbracket \implies Gchain (Suc n) g \wedge Gchain (Suc n) (\text{cmp} h (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n))) \wedge Gch\text{-bridge} (Suc n) g (\text{cmp} h (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)))$   
 $(\text{cmp} (\text{transpos} (f (Suc n)) (Suc n)) f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-0*:  $\llbracket Gchain (Suc n) h; k \neq Suc n; k \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \implies Gchain (Suc n) (\text{cmp} h (\text{transpos} k (Suc n)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-1*:  $\llbracket Ugp E; Gchain (Suc n) h; k \neq Suc n; k \leq (Suc n) \rrbracket \implies \{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge \text{cmp} h (\text{transpos} k (Suc n)) i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc n\} =$   
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc n) \wedge h i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-2*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ k \cong E$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-3*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ (Suc\ n) \cong E$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-4*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\neg cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ k \cong E$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-5*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\neg cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ (Suc\ n) \cong E$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-6*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$   
 $insert\ k\ (insert\ (Suc\ n)\ (\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\}))$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$   
 $insert\ (Suc\ n)\ (\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\})$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-8*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$   
 $insert\ k\ (\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\})$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7-9*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n); \neg h\ (Suc\ n) \cong E; \neg h\ k \cong E \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} =$   
 $\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\} - \{k, Suc\ n\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1-7*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ (Suc\ n)\ h; k \neq Suc\ n;$   
 $k \leq (Suc\ n) \rrbracket \implies card\ \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge$   
 $cmp\ h\ (transpos\ k\ (Suc\ n))\ i \cong E\} = card\ \{i. i \leq (Suc\ n) \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *isom-gch-unitsTr1*: $Ugp\ E \implies \forall g. \forall h. \forall f. Gchain\ n\ g \wedge$

$Gchain\ n\ h \wedge Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \longrightarrow \text{card}\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \text{card}\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-units*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{card}\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \text{card}\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *isom-gch-units-1*: $\llbracket Ugp\ E; Gchain\ n\ g; Gchain\ n\ h; \exists f. Gch\text{-}bridge\ n\ g\ h\ f \rrbracket \implies \text{card}\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge g\ i \cong E\} = \text{card}\ \{i. i \leq n \wedge h\ i \cong E\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.17 Jordan Hoelder theorem

**3.17.1 Rfn-tools. Tools to treat refinement of a cmpser, rtos.**

**lemma** *rfn-tool1*: $\llbracket 0 < (r::nat); (k::nat) = i * r + j; j < r \rrbracket \implies (k \text{ div } r) = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pos-mult-pos*: $\llbracket 0 < (r::nat); 0 < s \rrbracket \implies 0 < r * s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool1-1*: $\llbracket 0 < (r::nat); j < r \rrbracket \implies (i * r + j) \text{ div } r = i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool2*: $(a::nat) < s \implies a \leq s - Suc\ 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool3*: $(0::nat) \leq m \implies (m + n) - n = m$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool11*: $\llbracket 0 < b; (a::nat) \leq b - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \implies a < b$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool12*: $\llbracket 0 < (s::nat); (i::nat) \text{ mod } s = s - 1 \rrbracket \implies Suc\ (i \text{ div } s) = (Suc\ i) \text{ div } s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool12-1*: $\llbracket 0 < (s::nat); (l::nat) \text{ mod } s < s - 1 \rrbracket \implies Suc\ (l \text{ mod } s) = (Suc\ l) \text{ mod } s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool12-2*: $\llbracket 0 < (s::nat); (i::nat) \text{ mod } s = s - Suc\ 0 \rrbracket \implies (Suc\ i) \text{ mod } s = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-tool13*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; a = b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ mod } r = b \text{ mod } r$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rfn-tool13-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; a = b \rrbracket \implies a \text{ div } r = b \text{ div } r$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *div-Tr1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \leq s * r \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s \leq r$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *div-Tr2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l < s * r \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *div-Tr3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l < s * r \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc} (l \text{ div } s) \leq r$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *div-Tr3-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \text{ mod } s = s - 1 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } l \text{ div } s = \text{Suc } (l \text{ div } s)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *div-Tr3-2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \text{ mod } s < s - 1 \rrbracket \implies l \text{ div } s = \text{Suc } l \text{ div } s$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *mod-div-injTr*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; x \text{ mod } r = y \text{ mod } r; x \text{ div } r = y \text{ div } r \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $rtos :: [nat, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow nat)$  **where**  
 $rtos r s i = (\text{if } i < r * s \text{ then } (i \text{ mod } s) * r + i \text{ div } s \text{ else } r * s)$

**lemma** *rtos-hom0*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies i \text{ div } s < r$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rtos-hom1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies (rtos r s) l \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rtos-hom2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies rtos r s l \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rtos-hom3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies (rtos r s i \text{ div } r) = i \text{ mod } s$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *rtos-hom3-1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies$

$(rtos\ r\ s\ i\ mod\ r) = i\ div\ s$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rtos-hom5*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - Suc 0); i \ div\ s = r - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc (rtos\ r\ s\ i) \ div\ r = Suc (i \ mod\ s)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rtos-hom7*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s; i \leq (r * s - Suc 0); i \ div\ s = r - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc (rtos\ r\ s\ i) \ mod\ r = 0$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rtos-inj*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; (0::nat) < s \rrbracket \implies inj\text{-on}\ (rtos\ r\ s)\ \{i. i \leq (r * s - Suc 0)\}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rtos-rs-Tr1*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies rtos\ r\ s\ (r * s) = r * s$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rtos-rs-Tr2*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies \forall l \leq (r * s). rtos\ r\ s\ l \leq (r * s)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *rtos-rs-Tr3*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies inj\text{-on}\ (rtos\ r\ s)\ \{i. i \leq (r * s)\}$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma** *Qw-cmpser*: $\llbracket Group\ G; w\text{-cmpser}\ G\ (Suc\ n)\ f \rrbracket \implies Gchain\ n\ (Qw\text{-cmpser}\ G\ f)$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**definition**  
 $wCSR-RCFNS :: [-, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a set) set$  **where**  
 $wCSR-RCFNS\ G\ r\ f\ s = \{h. tw\text{-cmpser}\ G\ (s * r)\ h \wedge (\forall i \leq r. h\ (i * s) = f\ i)\}$

**definition**  
 $trivial\text{-rfn} :: [-, nat, nat \Rightarrow 'a set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a set) set$  **where**  
 $trivial\text{-rfn}\ G\ r\ f\ s\ k == if\ k < (s * r) then\ f\ (k \ div\ s) else\ f\ r$

**lemma (in Group)** *rfn-tool8*: $\llbracket compseries\ G\ r\ f; 0 < r \rrbracket \implies d\text{-gchain}\ G\ r\ f$   
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma (in Group)** *rfn-tool16*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq (s * r - Suc 0); G \gg f\ (i \ div\ s); (Gp\ G\ (f\ (i \ div\ s))) \triangleright f\ (Suc\ (i \ div\ s)); (Gp\ G\ (f\ (i \ div\ s))) \gg (f\ (i \ div\ s) \cap g\ (s - Suc 0)) \rrbracket \implies (Gp\ G\ ((f\ (Suc\ (i \ div\ s)) \diamond_G f\ (i \ div\ s) \cap g\ (s - Suc 0)))) \triangleright (f\ (Suc\ (i \ div\ s)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

Show existence of the trivial refinement. This is not necessary to prove JHS

**lemma** *rfn-tool30*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; l \text{ div } s * s + s < s * r \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{Suc}(l \text{ div } s) < r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *simple-grouptr0*: $\llbracket G \gg H; G \triangleright K; K \subseteq H; \text{simple-Group}(G / K) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies H = \text{carrier } G \vee H = K$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *compser-nsg*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G n f; i \leq (n - 1) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies Gp G(f i) \triangleright (f(\text{Suc } i))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *compseriesTr5*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G n f; i \leq (n - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (f(\text{Suc } i)) \subseteq (f i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *refine-cmpserTr0*: $\llbracket 0 < n; \text{compseries } G n f; i \leq (n - 1);$   
 $G \gg H; f(\text{Suc } i) \subseteq H \wedge H \subseteq f i \rrbracket \implies H = f(\text{Suc } i) \vee H = f i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *div-Tr4*: $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; j < s * r \rrbracket \implies j \text{ div } s * s + s \leq r * s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *compseries-is-tW-cmpser*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G r f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $tW\text{-cmpser } G r f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *compseries-is-td-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G r f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $td\text{-gchain } G r f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *compseries-is-D-gchain*: $\llbracket 0 < r; \text{compseries } G r f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $D\text{-gchain } G r f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *divTr5*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; l < (r * s) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $l \text{ div } s * s \leq l \wedge l \leq (\text{Suc}(l \text{ div } s)) * s$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group)** *rfn-compseries-iMTr1*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f;$   
 $h \in wcsr-rfns G r f s \rrbracket \implies f \cdot \{i. i \leq r\} \subseteq h \cdot \{i. i \leq (s * r)\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rfn-compseries-iMTr2*: $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; xa < s * r \rrbracket \implies$

$xa \text{ div } s * s \leq r * s \wedge \text{Suc } (xa \text{ div } s) * s \leq r * s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rfn-compseries-iMTr3:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; j \leq r; \forall i \leq r. h(i * s) = f i \rrbracket \implies h(j * s) = f j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) rfn-compseries-iM:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; h \in \text{wCSR-rfns } G r f s \rrbracket \implies \text{card}(h \setminus \{i. i \leq (s * r)\}) = r + 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{cmp-rfn} :: [-, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g = (\lambda i. (\text{if } i < s * r \text{ then } f(\text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)) \diamond_G (f(i \text{ div } s) \cap g(i \text{ mod } s)) \text{ else } \{\mathbf{1}_G\}))$

**lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn0:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; i \leq (r - 1); j \leq (s - 1) \rrbracket \implies G \gg f(\text{Suc } i) \diamond_G ((f i) \cap (g j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn1:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies f(\text{Suc } 0) \diamond_G ((f 0) \cap (g 0)) = \text{carrier } G$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn2:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; l \leq (s * r) \rrbracket \implies G \gg \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn3:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g 0 = \text{carrier } G \wedge \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (s * r) = \{\mathbf{1}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma rfn-tool20:**  $\llbracket (0::\text{nat}) < m; a = b * m + c; c < m \rrbracket \implies a \text{ mod } m = c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Suci-mod-s-2:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies (\text{Suc } i) \text{ mod } s = \text{Suc } (i \text{ mod } s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) inter-sgsTr1:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; i < r * s \rrbracket \implies G \gg f(i \text{ div } s) \cap g(s - \text{Suc } 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) JHS-Tr0-2:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies \forall i \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0). Gp G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g i) \triangleright \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn4:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; l \leq (s * r - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket \implies \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } l) \subseteq \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) cmp-rfn5:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies \forall i \leq r. \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (i * s) = f i$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Group) JHS-Tr0:**  $\llbracket (0::nat) < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies \text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g \in \text{wCSR-rfns } G r f s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma rfn-tool17:**  $(a::nat) = b \implies a - c = b - c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma isom4b:**  $\llbracket \text{Group } G; G \triangleright N; G \gg H \rrbracket \implies (Gp G (N \diamond_G H) / N) \cong (Gp G H / (H \cap N))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Suc-rtos-div-r-1:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s; i \text{ mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ div } r = i \text{ mod } s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Suc-rtos-mod-r-1:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s; i \text{ mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \text{ mod } r = \text{Suc } (i \text{ div } s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma i-div-s-less:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s; i \text{ mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i < s * r \rrbracket \implies i \text{ div } s < r - \text{Suc } 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma rtos-mod-r-1:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{rtos } r s i < r * s; i \text{ mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{rtos } r s i \text{ mod } r = i \text{ div } s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Suc-i-mod-s-0-1:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ mod } s = s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } i \text{ mod } s = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma Suci-div-s-2:**  $\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; i \text{ mod } s < s - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \implies \text{Suc } i \text{ div } s = i \text{ div } s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rtos\text{-}i\text{-}mod\text{-}r\text{-}2:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies rtos r s i \bmod r = i \bmod s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Suc\text{-}rtos\text{-}i\text{-}mod\text{-}r\text{-}2:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - Suc 0; i \bmod s = r - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc(rtos r s i) \bmod r = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Suc\text{-}rtos\text{-}i\text{-}mod\text{-}r\text{-}3:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - Suc 0; i \bmod s < r - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc(rtos r s i) \bmod r = Suc(i \bmod s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $Suc\text{-}rtos\text{-}div\text{-}r\text{-}3:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \bmod s < s - Suc 0; i \leq r * s - Suc 0; Suc(rtos r s i) < r * s; i \bmod s < r - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies Suc(rtos r s i) \bmod r = i \bmod s$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $r\text{-}s\text{-}div\text{-}s:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies (r * s - Suc 0) \bmod s = r - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $r\text{-}s\text{-}mod\text{-}s:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies (r * s - Suc 0) \bmod s = s - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rtos\text{-}r\text{-}s:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies rtos r s (r * s - Suc 0) = r * s - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rtos\text{-}rs\text{-}1:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; rtos r s i < r * s; \neg Suc(rtos r s i) < r * s \rrbracket \implies rtos r s i = r * s - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $rtos\text{-}rs\text{-}i\text{-}rs:\llbracket 0 < r; 0 < s; i \leq r * s - Suc 0; rtos r s i = r * s - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies i = r * s - Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $JHS\text{-}Tr1\text{-}1:\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket \implies f(Suc((r * s - Suc 0) \bmod s)) \diamond_G (f((r * s - Suc 0) \bmod s) \cap g((r * s - Suc 0) \bmod s)) = f(r - Suc 0) \cap g(s - Suc 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $JHS\text{-}Tr1\text{-}2:\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; k < r - Suc 0 \rrbracket \implies ((Gp G(f(Suc k)) \diamond_G (f k \cap g(s - Suc 0)))) / (f(Suc(Suc k)) \diamond_G (f(Suc k) \cap g(0))) \cong ((Gp G(g s \diamond_G (g(s - Suc 0) \cap f k))) / (g s \diamond_G (g(s - Suc 0) \cap f(Suc k))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $JHS\text{-}Tr1\text{-}3:\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; i \leq s * r - Suc 0; Suc(rtos r s i) < s * r; Suc i < s * r;$

$i \bmod s < s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i \bmod s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s = r - \text{Suc } 0 \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Group } (\text{Gp } G (f r \diamond_G (f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (i \bmod s))) /$   
 $(f r \diamond_G (f (r - \text{Suc } 0) \cap g (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s)))))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *JHS-Tr1-4*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$   
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$   
 $i \bmod s < s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } i \bmod s \leq r - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s = r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{Group } (\text{Gp } G (g (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s)) \diamond_G (g (i \bmod s) \cap f (r - \text{Suc } 0))) /$   
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s))) \diamond_G (g (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s)) \cap f 0)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *JHS-Tr1-5*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$   
 $i \leq s * r - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < s * r; \text{Suc } i < s * r;$   
 $i \bmod s < s - \text{Suc } 0; i \bmod s < r - \text{Suc } 0 \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow (\text{Gp } G (f (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s)) \diamond_G (f (i \bmod s) \cap g (i \bmod s))) /$   
 $(f (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s)) \diamond_G (f (i \bmod s) \cap g (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s))))) \cong$   
 $(\text{Gp } G (g (\text{Suc } (i \bmod s)) \diamond_G (g (i \bmod s) \cap f (i \bmod s))) /$   
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r)) \diamond_G$   
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r)) \cap f (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *JHS-Tr1-6*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g;$   
 $i \leq r * s - \text{Suc } 0; \text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) < r * s \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $((\text{Gp } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g i)) / (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g (\text{Suc } i))) \cong$   
 $((\text{Gp } G (g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i \bmod r)) \diamond_G$   
 $(g (\text{rtos } r s i \bmod r) \cap f (\text{rtos } r s i \bmod r))) /$   
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r)) \diamond_G$   
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r) \cap f (\text{Suc } (\text{rtos } r s i) \bmod r)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *JHS-Tr1*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Group } G; 0 < r; 0 < s; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{isom-Gchains } (r * s - 1) (\text{rtos } r s) (\text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g))$   
 $(\text{Qw-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G s g r f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *abc-SucTr0*:  
 $\llbracket (0 :: \text{nat}) < a; c \leq b; a - \text{Suc } 0 = b - c \rrbracket \Rightarrow a = (\text{Suc } b) - c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-0*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } 0) f \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f ` \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} = \{f 0, f (\text{Suc } 0)\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-1*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; i \in \{i. i \leq n\};$   
 $(\text{Qw-cmpser } G f) i \cong E \rrbracket \Rightarrow f i = f (\text{Suc } i)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-2*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; i \leq n; \neg (Q\text{w-cmpser } G f) i \cong E \rrbracket \implies f i \neq f (\text{Suc } i)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-3*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f; f (\text{Suc } n) \neq f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \rrbracket \implies f (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) \notin f ' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-4*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } 0) f \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (f ' \{i. i \leq \text{Suc } 0\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } 0 - \text{card } \{i. i = 0 \wedge Q\text{w-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-5*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f; \text{card } (f ' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } n - \text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge Q\text{w-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}; Q\text{w-cmpser } G f (\text{Suc } n) \cong E \rrbracket \implies \text{card } (f ' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}) - 1 = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) - \text{card } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n) \wedge Q\text{w-cmpser } G f i \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-6*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \rrbracket \implies 0 < \text{card } (f ' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0-7*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) f \rrbracket \implies \text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge Q\text{w-cmpser } G f i \cong E\} \leq \text{Suc } n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-wcmpser0*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E \rrbracket \implies \forall f. \text{w-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \longrightarrow \text{card } (f ' \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}) - 1 = (\text{Suc } n) - (\text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge ((Q\text{w-cmpser } G f) i \cong E)\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *length-of-twcmpser*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{tw-cmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f \rrbracket \implies \text{length-twcmpser } G (\text{Suc } n) f = (\text{Suc } n) - (\text{card } \{i. i \leq n \wedge ((Q\text{w-cmpser } G f) i \cong E)\})$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *JHS-1*: $\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{Ugp } E; \text{compseries } G r f; \text{compseries } G s g; 0 < r; 0 < s \rrbracket \implies r = r * s - \text{card } \{i. i \leq (r * s - \text{Suc } 0) \wedge Q\text{w-cmpser } G (\text{cmp-rfn } G r f s g) i \cong E\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $J\text{-}H\text{-}S:\llbracket \text{Group } G; \text{ Ugp } E; \text{ compseries } G r f; \text{ compseries } G s g; 0 < r; (0::\text{nat}) < s \rrbracket \implies r = s$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

```
theory Algebra4
imports Algebra3
begin
```

### 3.18 Abelian groups

```
record 'a aGroup = 'a carrier +
  pop    :: ['a, 'a] ⇒ 'a (infixl ± 62)
  mop    :: 'a ⇒ 'a      ((-a) [64] 63)
  zero   :: 'a           (0)

locale aGroup =
  fixes A (structure)
  assumes
    pop-closed: pop A ∈ carrier A → carrier A → carrier A
    and   aassoc : [|a ∈ carrier A; b ∈ carrier A; c ∈ carrier A|] ⇒
      (a ± b) ± c = a ± (b ± c)
    and   pop-commute: [|a ∈ carrier A; b ∈ carrier A|] ⇒ a ± b = b ± a
    and   mop-closed: mop A ∈ carrier A → carrier A
    and   l-m : a ∈ carrier A ⇒ (-a) ± a = 0
    and   ex-zero: 0 ∈ carrier A
    and   l-zero: a ∈ carrier A ⇒ 0 ± a = a

definition
  b-ag :: - ⇒
    (carrier: 'a set, top: ['a, 'a] ⇒ 'a, iop: 'a ⇒ 'a, one: 'a) where
    b-ag A = (carrier = carrier A, top = pop A, iop = mop A, one = zero A)

definition
  asubGroup :: [-, 'a set] ⇒ bool where
  asubGroup A H ↔ (b-ag A) ≈ H

definition
  aqgrp :: [-, 'a set] ⇒
    (carrier: 'a set set, pop: ['a set, 'a set] ⇒ 'a set,
     mop: 'a set ⇒ 'a set, zero: 'a set) where
    aqgrp A H = (carrier = set-rcs (b-ag A) H,
                  pop = λX. λY. (c-top (b-ag A) H X Y),
                  mop = λX. (c-iop (b-ag A) H X), zero = H)
```

```
definition
  ag-idmap :: - ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'a) ((aI_)) where
```

$$aI_A = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } A. x)$$

#### abbreviation

*ASubG* :: [('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme, 'a set] => bool (infixl +> 58) where  
 $A +> H == \text{asubGroup } A H$

#### definition

*Ag-ind* :: [-, 'a => 'd] => 'd aGroup where  
 $\text{Ag-ind } A f = (\text{carrier} = f'(\text{carrier } A),$   
 $\text{pop} = \lambda x \in f'(\text{carrier } A). \lambda y \in f'(\text{carrier } A).$   
 $f(((\text{invfun} (\text{carrier } A) (f'(\text{carrier } A)) f) x) \pm_A$   
 $((\text{invfun} (\text{carrier } A) (f'(\text{carrier } A)) f) y)),$   
 $\text{mop} = \lambda x \in (f'(\text{carrier } A)). f (-_a A ((\text{invfun} (\text{carrier } A) (f'(\text{carrier } A)) f) x)),$   
 $\text{zero} = f (\mathbf{0}_A))$

#### definition

*Agii* :: [-, 'a => 'd] => ('a => 'd) where  
 $\text{Agii } A f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } A. f x)$

**lemma (in aGroup)** ag-carrier-carrier:carrier (b-ag A) = carrier A  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** ag-pOp-closed:[x ∈ carrier A; y ∈ carrier A] =>  
 $\text{pop } A x y \in \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** ag-mOp-closed:x ∈ carrier A =>  $(-_a x) \in \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** asubg-subset:A +> H => H ⊆ carrier A  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** ag-pOp-commute:[x ∈ carrier A; y ∈ carrier A] =>  
 $\text{pop } A x y = \text{pop } A y x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** b-ag-group:Group (b-ag A)  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** agop-gop:top (b-ag A) = pop A  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** agiop-giop:iop (b-ag A) = mop A  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** agunit-gone:one (b-ag A) =  $\mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** ag-pOp-add-r:[a ∈ carrier A; b ∈ carrier A; c ∈ carrier A;

$a = b \Rightarrow a \pm c = b \pm c$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-add-commute:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Rightarrow a \pm b = b \pm a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-pOp-add-l:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a = b \rrbracket \Rightarrow c \pm a = c \pm b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-pOp-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A H; x \in H; y \in H \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{pop } A x y \in H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-mOp-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A H; x \in H \rrbracket \Rightarrow {}_{-a} x \in H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-subset1:**  $\llbracket \text{asubGroup } A H; x \in H \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \in \text{carrier } A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-inc-zero:**  $\text{asubGroup } A H \Rightarrow \mathbf{0} \in H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-inc-zero:**  $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-l-zero:**  $x \in \text{carrier } A \Rightarrow \mathbf{0} \pm x = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-r-zero:**  $x \in \text{carrier } A \Rightarrow x \pm \mathbf{0} = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-l-inv1:**  $x \in \text{carrier } A \Rightarrow (-_a x) \pm x = \mathbf{0}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-r-inv1:**  $x \in \text{carrier } A \Rightarrow x \pm (-_a x) = \mathbf{0}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-pOp-assoc:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; z \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Rightarrow (x \pm y) \pm z = x \pm (y \pm z)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-inv-unique:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; x \pm y = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \Rightarrow y = {}_{-a} x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-inv-inj:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A; x \neq y \rrbracket \Rightarrow (-_a x) \neq (-_a y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $pOp-assocTr41: [a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A; d \in carrier A] \implies a \pm b \pm c \pm d = a \pm b \pm (c \pm d)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $pOp-assocTr42: [a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A; d \in carrier A] \implies a \pm b \pm c \pm d = a \pm (b \pm c) \pm d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $pOp-assocTr43: [a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A; d \in carrier A] \implies a \pm b \pm (c \pm d) = a \pm (b \pm c) \pm d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $pOp-assoc-cancel: [a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A] \implies a \pm -_a b \pm (b \pm -_a c) = a \pm -_a c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-p-inv: [x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A] \implies (-_a (x \pm y)) = (-_a x) \pm (-_a y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $gEQAddcross: [l1 \in carrier A; l2 \in carrier A; r1 \in carrier A; r2 \in carrier A; l1 = r2; l2 = r1] \implies l1 \pm l2 = r1 \pm r2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-eq-sol1: [a \in carrier A; x \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; a \pm x = b] \implies x = (-_a a) \pm b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-eq-sol2: [a \in carrier A; x \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; x \pm a = b] \implies x = b \pm (-_a a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-add4-rel: [a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A; d \in carrier A] \implies a \pm b \pm (c \pm d) = a \pm c \pm (b \pm d)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-inv-inv: x \in carrier A \implies -_a (-_a x) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-inv-zero: -_a \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $ag-diff-minus: [a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A; c \in carrier A; a \pm (-_a b) = c] \implies b \pm (-_a a) = (-_a c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) pOp-cancel-l:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; c \pm a = c \pm b \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) pOp-cancel-r:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A; c \in \text{carrier } A; a \pm c = b \pm c \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-eq-diffzero:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = (a \pm (-_a b) = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-eq-diffzero1:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a = b) = ((-_a a) \pm b = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-neq-diffnonzero:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (a \neq b) = (a \pm (-_a b) \neq \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-plus-zero:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } A; y \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies (x = -_a y) = (x \pm y = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-nsubg:**  $A +> H \implies (b\text{-ag } A) \triangleright H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) subg-asubg:**  $b\text{-ag } G \gg H \implies G +> H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-test:**  $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; H \neq \{\}; \forall a \in H. \forall b \in H. (a \pm (-_a b) \in H) \rrbracket \implies A +> H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-zero:**  $A +> \{\mathbf{0}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asubg-whole:**  $A +> \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) Ag-ind-carrier:**  $\text{bij-to } f (\text{carrier } A) (D::'d \text{ set}) \implies \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } A f) = f` (\text{carrier } A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) Ag-ind-aGroup:**  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } A \rightarrow D; \text{bij-to } f (\text{carrier } A) (D::'d \text{ set}) \rrbracket \implies \text{aGroup } (\text{Ag-ind } A f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.18.1 Homomorphism of abelian groups

**definition**

*aHom* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $('b, 'm1)$  aGroup-scheme]  $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$  set  
**where**

$aHom A B = \{f. f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier B \wedge f \in extensional (carrier A) \wedge (\forall a \in carrier A. \forall b \in carrier A. f(a \pm_A b) = (f a) \pm_B (f b))\}$

**definition**

*compos* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $'b \Rightarrow 'c$ ,  $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ ]  $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c$  **where**  
 $compos A g f = compose (carrier A) g f$

**definition**

*ker* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $('b, 'm1)$  aGroup-scheme]  $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b)$   
 $\Rightarrow 'a$  set ((3ker<sub>-,-</sub>) [82,82,83]82) **where**  
 $ker_{F,G} f = \{a. a \in carrier F \wedge f a = (\mathbf{0}_G)\}$

**definition**

*injec* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $('b, 'm1)$  aGroup-scheme,  $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow bool$  ((3injec<sub>-,-</sub>) [82,82,83]82) **where**  
 $injec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in aHom F G \wedge ker_{F,G} f = \{\mathbf{0}_F\}$

**definition**

*surjec* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $('b, 'm1)$  aGroup-scheme,  $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow bool$  ((3surjec<sub>-,-</sub>) [82,82,83]82) **where**  
 $surjec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow f \in aHom F G \wedge surj-to f (carrier F) (carrier G)$

**definition**

*bijec* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $('b, 'm1)$  aGroup-scheme,  $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow bool$  ((3bijec<sub>-,-</sub>) [82,82,83]82) **where**  
 $bijec_{F,G} f \longleftrightarrow injec_{F,G} f \wedge surjec_{F,G} f$

**definition**

*ainvf* :: [ $('a, 'm)$  aGroup-scheme,  $('b, 'm1)$  aGroup-scheme,  $'a \Rightarrow 'b$ ]  
 $\Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a)$  ((3ainuf<sub>-,-</sub>) [82,82,83]82) **where**  
 $ainvf_{F,G} f = invfun (carrier F) (carrier G) f$

**lemma** *aHom-mem*: [[aGroup F; aGroup G; f ∈ aHom F G; a ∈ carrier F]  $\Longrightarrow$   
 $f a \in carrier G$ ]  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aHom-func*:  $f \in aHom F G \Longrightarrow f \in carrier F \rightarrow carrier G$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aHom-add*: [[aGroup F; aGroup G; f ∈ aHom F G; a ∈ carrier F;  
 $b \in carrier F]$   $\Longrightarrow f(a \pm_F b) = (f a) \pm_G (f b)$ ]  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aHom-0-0*: [[aGroup F; aGroup G; f ∈ aHom F G]  $\Longrightarrow f(\mathbf{0}_F) = \mathbf{0}_G$ ]  
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ker-inc-zero*: $\llbracket aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0}_F \in \ker_{F,G} f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aHom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G; a \in carrier F \rrbracket \implies f(-_a F a) = -_a G (f a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aHom-compos*: $\llbracket aGroup L; aGroup M; aGroup N; f \in aHom L M; g \in aHom M N \rrbracket \implies compos L g f \in aHom L N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aHom-compos-assoc*: $\llbracket aGroup K; aGroup L; aGroup M; aGroup N; f \in aHom K L; g \in aHom L M; h \in aHom M N \rrbracket \implies compos K h (compos K g f) = compos K (compos L h g) f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *injec-inj-on*: $\llbracket aGroup F; aGroup G; injec_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies inj-on f (carrier F)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *surjec-surj-to*: $\llbracket surjec_{R,S} f \implies surj-to f (carrier R) (carrier S) \rrbracket$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compos-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup E; aGroup F; aGroup G; bijec_{E,F} f; bijec_{F,G} g \rrbracket \implies bijec_{E,G} (compos E g f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ainvf-aHom*: $\llbracket aGroup F; aGroup G; bijec_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies ainvf_{F,G} f \in aHom G F$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ainvf-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup F; aGroup G; bijec_{F,G} f \rrbracket \implies bijec_{G,F} (ainvf_{F,G} f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *ainvf-l*: $\llbracket aGroup E; aGroup F; bijec_{E,F} f; x \in carrier E \rrbracket \implies (ainvf_{E,F} f) (f x) = x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (**in** *aGroup*) *aI-aHom*: $aI_A \in aHom A A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compos-aI-l*: $\llbracket aGroup A; aGroup B; f \in aHom A B \rrbracket \implies compos A aI_B f = f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *compos-aI-r*: $\llbracket aGroup A; aGroup B; f \in aHom A B \rrbracket \implies compos A f aI_A$

$\stackrel{=}{f}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *compos-aI-surj*: $\llbracket aGroup A; aGroup B; f \in aHom A B; g \in aHom B A; compos A g f = aI_A \rrbracket \implies surjec_{B,A} g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *compos-aI-inj*: $\llbracket aGroup A; aGroup B; f \in aHom A B; g \in aHom B A; compos A g f = aI_A \rrbracket \implies injec_{A,B} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *Ag-ind-aHom*: $\llbracket f \in carrier A \rightarrow D; bij\text{-}to f (carrier A) (D::'d set) \rrbracket \implies Agii A f \in aHom A (Ag\text{-}ind A f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *Agii-mem*: $\llbracket f \in carrier A \rightarrow D; x \in carrier A; bij\text{-}to f (carrier A) (D::'d set) \rrbracket \implies Agii A f x \in carrier (Ag\text{-}ind A f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ag-ind-bijec*: $\llbracket aGroup A; f \in carrier A \rightarrow D; bij\text{-}to f (carrier A) (D::'d set) \rrbracket \implies bijec_{A, (Ag\text{-}ind A f)} (Agii A f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  
*aimg* ::  $\llbracket ('b, 'm1) aGroup\text{-}scheme, -, 'b \Rightarrow 'a \rrbracket \rightarrow 'a aGroup ((3aimg_{-, -}) [82,82,83]82)$  **where**  
 $aimg_{F,A} f = A () carrier := f ` (carrier F), pop := pop A, mop := mop A,$   
 $zero := zero A \rrbracket$

**lemma** *ker-subg*: $\llbracket aGroup F; aGroup G; f \in aHom F G \rrbracket \implies F +> ker_{F,G} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.18.2 Quotient abelian group

**definition**

*ar-coset* ::  $\llbracket 'a, -, 'a set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a set$   
 $((3- \sqcup_{-} -) [66,66,67]66)$  **where**  
 $ar\text{-}coset a A H = H \cdot_{(b\text{-}ag A)} a$

**definition**

*set-ar-cos* ::  $\llbracket -, 'a set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a set set$  **where**  
 $set\text{-}ar\text{-}cos A I = \{X. \exists a \in carrier A. X = ar\text{-}coset a A I\}$

**definition**

*aset-sum* ::  $\llbracket -, 'a set, 'a set \rrbracket \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $aset\text{-}sum A H K = s\text{-}top (b\text{-}ag A) H K$

**abbreviation**

*ASBOP1* (**infix**  $\mp_1 60$ ) **where**

$H \mp_A K == \text{aset-sum } A H K$

**lemma (in aGroup)** ag-a-in-ar-cos: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies a \in a \uplus_A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** r-cos-subset: $\llbracket A +> H; X \in \text{set-rcs } (\text{b-ag } A) H \rrbracket \implies X \subseteq \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** asubg-costOp-commute: $\llbracket A +> H; x \in \text{set-rcs } (\text{b-ag } A) H; y \in \text{set-rcs } (\text{b-ag } A) H \rrbracket \implies c\text{-top } (\text{b-ag } A) H x y = c\text{-top } (\text{b-ag } A) H y x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** Subg-Qgroup: $A +> H \implies \text{aGroup } (\text{aqgrp } A H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** plus-subgs: $\llbracket A +> H1; A +> H2 \rrbracket \implies A +> H1 \mp H2$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** set-sum: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; K \subseteq \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies H \mp K = \{x. \exists h \in H. \exists k \in K. x = h \pm k\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** mem-set-sum: $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } A; K \subseteq \text{carrier } A; x \in H \mp K \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in H. \exists k \in K. x = h \pm k$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** mem-sum-subgs: $\llbracket A +> H; A +> K; h \in H; k \in K \rrbracket \implies h \pm k \in H \mp K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** aqgrp-carrier: $A +> H \implies \text{set-rcs } (\text{b-ag } A) H = \text{set-ar-cos } A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** unit-in-set-ar-cos: $A +> H \implies H \in \text{set-ar-cos } A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** aqgrp-pOp-maps: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A; b \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \text{pop } (\text{aqgrp } A H) (a \uplus_A H) (b \uplus_A H) = (a \pm b) \uplus_A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** aqgrp-mOp-maps: $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \text{mop } (\text{aqgrp } A H) (a \uplus_A H) = (-_a a) \uplus_A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** aqgrp-zero: $A +> H \implies \text{zero } (\text{aqgrp } A H) = H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) arcos-fixed:**  $\llbracket A +> H; a \in \text{carrier } A; h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_A H = (h \pm a) \uplus_A H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$rind\text{-hom} :: [('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme, ('b, 'more1) aGroup-scheme,$   
 $('a \Rightarrow 'b)] \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow 'b)$  **where**  
 $rind\text{-hom } A B f = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-ar-cos } A (\ker_{A,B} f)). f (\text{SOME } x. x \in X))$

**abbreviation**

$RIND\text{-HOM } ((\beta\text{-}\circ\text{-},-) [82,82,83] 82)$  **where**  
 $f^\circ_{F,G} == rind\text{-hom } F G f$

### 3.19 Direct product and direct sum of abelian groups, in general case

**definition**

$Un\text{-carrier} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow 'a set$  **where**  
 $Un\text{-carrier } I A = \bigcup \{X. \exists i \in I. X = \text{carrier } (A i)\}$

**definition**

$carr\text{-prodag} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) set$  **where**  
 $carr\text{-prodag } I A = \{f. f \in \text{extensional } I \wedge f \in I \rightarrow (Un\text{-carrier } I A) \wedge$   
 $(\forall i \in I. f i \in \text{carrier } (A i))\}$

**definition**

$prod\text{-pOp} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow$   
 $('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $prod\text{-pOp } I A = (\lambda f \in carr\text{-prodag } I A. \lambda g \in carr\text{-prodag } I A.$   
 $\lambda x \in I. (f x) \pm_{(A x)} (g x))$

**definition**

$prod\text{-mOp} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow$   
 $('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $prod\text{-mOp } I A = (\lambda f \in carr\text{-prodag } I A. \lambda x \in I. (-_a(A x) (f x)))$

**definition**

$prod\text{-zero} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $prod\text{-zero } I A = (\lambda x \in I. \mathbf{0}_{(A x)})$

**definition**

$prodag :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) aGroup$  **where**  
 $prodag I A = (\emptyset \text{ carrier} = carr\text{-prodag } I A,$   
 $pop = prod\text{-pOp } I A, mop = prod\text{-mOp } I A,$   
 $zero = prod\text{-zero } I A)$

**definition**

$PProject :: [i set, i \Rightarrow (a, more) aGroup-scheme, i]$   
 $\Rightarrow (i \Rightarrow a) \Rightarrow a ((3\pi_{-, -}) [82, 82, 83] 82)$  where  
 $PProject I A x = (\lambda f \in carr-prodag I A. f x)$

**abbreviation**

$PRODag ((a\Pi_{-,-}) [72, 73] 72)$  where  
 $a\Pi_I A == prodag I A$

**lemma**  $prodag-comp-i: [a \in carr-prodag I A; i \in I] \implies (a i) \in carrier (A i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-pOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $prod-pOp I A \in carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-pOp-mem: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-prodag I A;$   
 $Y \in carr-prodag I A] \implies prod-pOp I A X Y i = (X i) \pm_{(A i)} (Y i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-pOp-mem-i: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-prodag I A;$   
 $Y \in carr-prodag I A; i \in I] \implies prod-pOp I A X Y i = (X i) \pm_{(A i)} (Y i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-mOp-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $prod-mOp I A \in carr-prodag I A \rightarrow carr-prodag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-mOp-mem: [\forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); X \in carr-prodag I A] \implies$   
 $prod-mOp I A X \in carr-prodag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-mOp-mem-i: [\forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); X \in carr-prodag I A; i \in I] \implies$   
 $prod-mOp I A X i = -_{a(A i)} (X i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-zero-func: \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $prod-zero I A \in carr-prodag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-zero-i: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); i \in I] \implies$   
 $prod-zero I A i = \mathbf{0}_{(A i)}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $carr-prodag-mem-eq: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-prodag I A;$   
 $Y \in carr-prodag I A; \forall l \in I. (X l) = (Y l)] \implies X = Y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $prod-pOp-assoc: [\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); a \in carr-prodag I A;$   
 $b \in carr-prodag I A; c \in carr-prodag I A] \implies$

$\text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A\ (\text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A\ a\ b)\ c =$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A\ a\ (\text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A\ b\ c)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prod-}pOp\text{-commute}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k); a \in \text{carr-}prodag\ I\ A; b \in \text{carr-}prodag\ I\ A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A\ a\ b = \text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A\ b\ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-aGroup}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k) \implies \text{aGroup } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-carrier}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k) \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{carrier } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A) = \text{carr-}prodag\ I\ A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-elemfun}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad f \in \text{extensional } I$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-component}:\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A); i \in I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad f\ i \in \text{carrier } (A\ i)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-pOp}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k) \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{pop } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A) = \text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-iOp}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k) \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{mop } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A) = \text{prod-}mOp\ I\ A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-zero}:\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k) \implies$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \text{zero } (\text{prodag}\ I\ A) = \text{prod-zero}\ I\ A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-sameTr0}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k); \forall k \in I. A\ k = B\ k \rrbracket$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \implies \text{Un-carrier } I\ A = \text{Un-carrier } I\ B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-sameTr1}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k); \forall k \in I. A\ k = B\ k \rrbracket$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \implies \text{carr-}prodag\ I\ A = \text{carr-}prodag\ I\ B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-sameTr2}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k); \forall k \in I. A\ k = B\ k \rrbracket$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \implies \text{prod-}pOp\ I\ A = \text{prod-}pOp\ I\ B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\text{prodag-sameTr3}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A\ k); \forall k \in I. A\ k = B\ k \rrbracket$

$\implies \text{prod-mOp } I A = \text{prod-mOp } I B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *prodag-sameTr4*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{prod-zero } I A = \text{prod-zero } I B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *prodag-same*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{prodag } I A = \text{prodag } I B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *project-mem*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); j \in I; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{PProject } I A j) x \in \text{carrier } (A j)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *project-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{PProject } I A j \in \text{aHom } (\text{prodag } I A) (A j)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *project-aHom1*: $\forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k) \implies$   
 $\forall j \in I. \text{PProject } I A j \in \text{aHom } (\text{prodag } I A) (A j)$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $A\text{-to-prodag} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, 'i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b),$   
 $'i \Rightarrow ('b, 'm1) \text{ aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'b)) \text{ where}$   
 $A\text{-to-prodag } A I S B = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } A. \lambda k \in I. S k a)$

**lemma** *A-to-prodag-mem*: $\llbracket \text{aGroup } A; \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (B k); \forall k \in I. (S k) \in$   
 $\text{aHom } A (B k); x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies A\text{-to-prodag } A I S B x \in \text{carr-prodag } I B$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *A-to-prodag-aHom*: $\llbracket \text{aGroup } A; \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (B k); \forall k \in I. (S k) \in$   
 $\text{aHom } A (B k) \rrbracket \implies A\text{-to-prodag } A I S B \in \text{aHom } A (a\Pi_I B)$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $\text{finiteHom} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, 'i \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{finiteHom } I A f \longleftrightarrow f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A \wedge (\exists H. H \subseteq I \wedge \text{finite } H \wedge ($   
 $\forall j \in (I - H). (f j) = \mathbf{0}_{(A j)}))$

**definition**  
 $\text{carr-dsumag} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{carr-dsumag } I A = \{f. \text{finiteHom } I A f\}$

**definition**  
 $\text{dsumag} :: ['i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ aGroup-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ aGroup} \text{ where}$

$dsumag I A = (\emptyset \text{ carrier} = carr-dsumag I A,$   
 $\text{pop} = prod-pOp I A, \text{mop} = prod-mOp I A,$   
 $\text{zero} = prod-zero I A)$

**definition**

$dProj :: [i set, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme, 'i]$   
 $\Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $dProj I A x = (\lambda f \in carr-dsumag I A. f x)$

**abbreviation**

$DSUMag ((a \oplus -) [72, 73] 72) \text{ where}$   
 $a \oplus I A == dsumag I A$

**lemma**  $dsum-pOp\text{-func}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $prod-pOp I A \in carr-dsumag I A \rightarrow carr-dsumag I A \rightarrow carr-dsumag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsum-pOp\text{-mem}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); X \in carr-dsumag I A;$   
 $Y \in carr-dsumag I A \rrbracket \implies prod-pOp I A X Y \in carr-dsumag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsum-iOp\text{-func}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $prod-mOp I A \in carr-dsumag I A \rightarrow carr-dsumag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsum-iOp\text{-mem}:\llbracket \forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); X \in carr-dsumag I A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $prod-mOp I A X \in carr-dsumag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsum-zero\text{-func}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $prod-zero I A \in carr-dsumag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsumag\text{-sub-prodag}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $carr-dsumag I A \subseteq carr-prodag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $carrier\text{-dsumag}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$   
 $carrier (dsumag I A) = carr-dsumag I A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsumag\text{-elemfun}:\llbracket \forall k \in I. aGroup (A k); f \in carrier (dsumag I A) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f \in extensional I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsumag\text{-aGroup}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies aGroup (dsumag I A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $dsumag\text{-pOp}:\forall k \in I. aGroup (A k) \implies$

$\text{pop } (\text{dsumag } I A) = \text{prod-}p\text{Op } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{dsumag-}m\text{Op}: \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k) \implies$   
 $mop (\text{dsumag } I A) = \text{prod-}m\text{Op } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{dsumag-zero}: \forall k \in I. \text{aGroup } (A k) \implies$   
 $\text{zero } (\text{dsumag } I A) = \text{prod-zero } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 3.19.1 Characterization of a direct product

**lemma**  $\text{direct-prod-mem-eq}: \llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A);$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A); \forall j \in I. (\text{PProject } I A j) f = (\text{PProject } I A j) g \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f = g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-family-fun}: \llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in aHom S (A j)); x \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\lambda y \in \text{carrier } S. (\lambda j \in I. (g j) y)) x \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-family-aHom}: \llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in aHom S (A j)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\lambda y \in \text{carrier } S. (\lambda j \in I. (g j) y)) \in aHom S (a\Pi_I A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{map-family-triangle}: \llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in aHom S (A j)) \rrbracket \implies \exists !f. f \in aHom S (a\Pi_I A) \wedge$   
 $(\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{PProject } I A j) f = (g j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Ag-ind-triangle}: \llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); j \in I; f \in \text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f (\text{carrier } (a\Pi_I A)) (B::'d \text{ set}); j \in I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compos } (a\Pi_I A) (\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f) (\text{PProject } I A j) (\text{ainvf}_{(a\Pi_I A)}, (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f) (Agii_{(a\Pi_I A)} f))) (Agii_{(a\Pi_I A)} f) =$   
 $\text{PProject } I A j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

$\text{ProjInd} :: [i \text{ set}, i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, (i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'd, i \Rightarrow$   
 $('d \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$

$\text{ProjInd } I A f j = \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f) (\text{PProject } I A j) (\text{ainvf}_{(a\Pi_I A)}, (\text{Ag-ind } (a\Pi_I A) f) (Agii_{(a\Pi_I A)} f))$

**lemma** *ProjInd-aHom*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); j \in I; f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) \text{ (B::'d set); } j \in I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{ProjInd } I A f j) \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f) (A j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ProjInd-aHom1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) \text{ (B::'d set)} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall j \in I. (\text{ProjInd } I A f j) \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f) (A j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ProjInd-mem-eq*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) B; \text{aGroup } S; x \in \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f);$   
 $y \in \text{carrier } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f);$   
 $\forall j \in I. (\text{ProjInd } I A f j x = \text{ProjInd } I A f j y) \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *ProjInd-mem-eq1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) B; \text{aGroup } S;$   
 $h \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f) (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f);$   
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f) (\text{ProjInd } I A f j) h = \text{ProjInd } I A f j \rrbracket$   
 $\implies h = \text{ag-idmap } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *Ag-ind-triangle1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) \text{ (B::'d set); } j \in I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compos } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) (\text{ProjInd } I A f j) (\text{Agii } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f) = \text{PProject } I A j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-family-triangle1*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) \text{ (B::'d set); } aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in \text{aHom } S (A j)) \rrbracket \implies \exists !h. h \in \text{aHom } S (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) f) \wedge$   
 $(\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{ProjInd } I A f j) h = (g j))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-family-triangle2*: $I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. g j \in \text{aHom } S (A j); ff \in \text{carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $\text{bij-to } ff \text{ (carrier } (\text{a}\Pi_I A)) B;$   
 $h1 \in \text{aHom } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) (g j) h1 = \text{ProjInd } I A ff j;$   
 $h2 \in \text{aHom } S (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff);$   
 $\forall j \in I. \text{compos } S (\text{ProjInd } I A ff j) h2 = g j \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \forall j \in I. \text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) (\text{ProjInd } I A ff j)$   
 $(\text{compos } (\text{Ag-ind } (\text{a}\Pi_I A) ff) h2 h1) =$   
 $\text{ProjInd } I A ff j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *map-family-triangle3*: $\llbracket \forall j \in I. \text{aGroup } (A j); aGroup S; aGroup S1;$   
 $\forall j \in I. f j \in \text{aHom } S (A j); \forall j \in I. g j \in \text{aHom } S1 (A j);$

$h1 \in aHom S1 S; h2 \in aHom S S1;$   
 $\forall j \in I. compos S (g j) h2 = f j;$   
 $\forall j \in I. compos S1 (f j) h1 = g j$   
 $\implies \forall j \in I. compos S (f j) (compos S h1 h2) = f j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *map-family-triangle4*:  
 $\llbracket \forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. f j \in aHom S (A j) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall j \in I. compos S (f j) (ag-idmap S) = f j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prod-triangle*:  
 $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in I. aGroup (A j); aGroup S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. g j \in aHom S (A j); ff \in carrier (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow B;$   
 $bij-to ff (carrier (a\Pi_I A)) B;$   
 $h1 \in aHom (Ag-ind (a\Pi_I A) ff) S;$   
 $\forall j \in I. compos (Ag-ind (a\Pi_I A) ff) (g j) h1 = ProjInd I A ff j;$   
 $h2 \in aHom S (Ag-ind (a\Pi_I A) ff);$   
 $\forall j \in I. compos S (ProjInd I A ff j) h2 = g j$   
 $\implies (compos (Ag-ind (a\Pi_I A) ff) h2 h1) = ag-idmap (Ag-ind (a\Pi_I A) ff)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *characterization-prodag*:  
 $\llbracket I \neq \{\}; \forall j \in (I::'i set). aGroup ((A j)::('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme); aGroup (S::'d aGroup);$   
 $\forall j \in I. ((g j) \in aHom S (A j)); \exists ff. ff \in carrier (a\Pi_I A) \rightarrow (B::'d set) \wedge$   
 $bij-to ff (carrier (a\Pi_I A)) B;$   
 $\forall (S'::'d aGroup). aGroup S' \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall g'. (\forall j \in I. (g' j) \in aHom S' (A j) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\exists! f. f \in aHom S' S \wedge (\forall j \in I. compos S' (g j) f = (g' j)))) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists h. bijec_{(prodag I A), S} h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

# Chapter 4

## Ring theory

### 4.1 Definition of a ring and an ideal

```

record 'a Ring = 'a aGroup +
  tp :: '['a, 'a ] => 'a (infixl ·_r 70)
  un :: 'a (1_r1)

locale Ring =
  fixes R (structure)

assumes
  pop-closed: pop R ∈ carrier R → carrier R → carrier R
  and   pop-aassoc : [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R] =>
    (a ± b) ± c = a ± (b ± c)
  and   pop-commute:[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R] => a ± b = b ± a
  and   mop-closed:mop R ∈ carrier R → carrier R
  and   l-m :a ∈ carrier R => (-_a a) ± a = 0
  and   ex-zero: 0 ∈ carrier R
  and   l-zero:a ∈ carrier R => 0 ± a = a
  and   tp-closed: tp R ∈ carrier R → carrier R → carrier R
  and   tp-assoc : [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R] =>
    (a ·_r b) ·_r c = a ·_r (b ·_r c)
  and   tp-commute: [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R] => a ·_r b = b ·_r a
  and   un-closed: (1_r) ∈ carrier R
  and   rg-distrib: [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; c ∈ carrier R] =>
    a ·_r (b ± c) = a ·_r b ± a ·_r c
  and   rg-l-unit: a ∈ carrier R => (1_r) ·_r a = a

definition
  zeroring :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => bool where
    zeroring R <=> Ring R ∧ carrier R = {0_R}

primrec nscal :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => 'a => nat => 'a
where
  nscal-0: nscal R x 0 = 0_R

```

```

| nscal-suc: nscal R x (Suc n) = (nscal R x n) ±_R x

primrec npow :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => 'a => nat => 'a
where
  npow-0: npow R x 0 = 1_R R
| npow-suc: npow R x (Suc n) = (npow R x n) ·_R x

primrec nprod :: ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
where
  nprod-0: nprod R f 0 = f 0
| nprod-suc: nprod R f (Suc n) = (nprod R f n) ·_R (f (Suc n))

primrec nsum :: ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
where
  nsum-0: nsum R f 0 = f 0
| nsum-suc: nsum R f (Suc n) = (nsum R f n) ±_R (f (Suc n))

```

#### abbreviation

```

NSCAL :: [nat, ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, 'a] => 'a
((3 - ×_ -) [75, 75, 76] 75) where
  n ×_R x == nscal R x n

```

#### abbreviation

```

NPOW :: ['a, ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, nat] => 'a
((3- ^- -) [77, 77, 78] 77) where
  a ^_R n == npow R a n

```

#### abbreviation

```

SUM :: ('a, 'more) aGroup-scheme => (nat => 'a) => nat => 'a
((3Σ_e - - -) [85, 85, 86] 85) where
  Σ_e G f n == nsum G f n

```

#### abbreviation

```

NPROD :: [(‘a, ‘m) Ring-scheme, nat, nat] => ‘a
((3eΠ_-, -) [98, 98, 99] 98) where
  eΠ_{R,n} f == nprod R f n

```

#### definition

```

fSum :: [-, (nat => 'a), nat, nat] => 'a where
  fSum A f n m = (if n ≤ m then nsum A (cmp f (slide n))(m - n)
                    else 0_A)

```

#### abbreviation

```

FSUM :: [(‘a, ‘more) aGroup-scheme, (nat => ‘a), nat, nat] => ‘a
((4Σ_f - - - -) [85, 85, 85, 86] 85) where
  Σ_f G f n m == fSum G f n m

```

**lemma (in aGroup)** nsum-zeroGTr:( $\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0}$ )  $\longrightarrow$  nsum A f n =  $\mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-zeroA:** $\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0} \implies nsum A f n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$sr :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

$sr R S == S \subseteq \text{carrier } R \wedge 1_r R \in S \wedge (\forall x \in S. \forall y \in S. x \pm_R (-_a R y) \in S \wedge x \cdot_r y \in S)$

**definition**

$Sr :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow - \text{where}$

$Sr R S = R () \text{carrier} := S, pop := \lambda x \in S. \lambda y \in S. x \pm_R y, mop := \lambda x \in S. (-_a R x), zero := \mathbf{0}_R, tp := \lambda x \in S. \lambda y \in S. x \cdot_r y, un := 1_r R ()$

**lemma (in Ring) Ring: Ring R**  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-is-ag:aGroup R**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-zero:** $\mathbf{0} \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-one:** $1_r \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-tOp-closed:** $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-tOp-commute:** $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = y \cdot_r x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-distrib1:** $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r (y \pm z) = x \cdot_r y \pm x \cdot_r z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-distrib2:** $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (y \pm z) \cdot_r x = y \cdot_r x \pm z \cdot_r x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ring-distrib3:** $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (a \pm b) \cdot_r (x \pm y) = a \cdot_r x \pm a \cdot_r y \pm b \cdot_r x \pm b \cdot_r y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $rEQMulR$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R; x = y \rrbracket \\ & \implies x \cdot_r z = y \cdot_r z \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-tOp-assoc}$ :**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$

$$\begin{aligned} & \implies (x \cdot_r y) \cdot_r z = x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-l-one}$ :**  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies 1_r \cdot_r x = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-r-one}$ :**  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \cdot_r 1_r = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-times-0-x}$ :**  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies \mathbf{0} \cdot_r x = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-times-x-0}$ :**  $x \in \text{carrier } R \implies x \cdot_r \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{rMulZeroDiv}$ :**

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x = \mathbf{0} \vee y = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-inv1}$ :**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & -_a(a \cdot_r b) = (-_a a) \cdot_r b \wedge -_a(a \cdot_r b) = a \cdot_r (-_a b) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-inv1-1}$ :**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & -_a(a \cdot_r b) = (-_a a) \cdot_r b \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-inv1-2}$ :**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & -_a(a \cdot_r b) = a \cdot_r (-_a b) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-times-minusl}$ :**  $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies -_a a = (-_a 1_r) \cdot_r a$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-times-minusr}$ :**  $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies -_a a = a \cdot_r (-_a 1_r)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-inv1-3}$ :**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\begin{aligned} & a \cdot_r b = (-_a a) \cdot_r (-_a b) \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ring-distrib4}$ :**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R;$

$x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \] \implies$   
 $a \cdot_r b \pm (-_a x \cdot_r y) = a \cdot_r (b \pm (-_a y)) \pm (a \pm (-_a x)) \cdot_r y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) rMulLC:**

$\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket$   
 $\implies x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z) = y \cdot_r (x \cdot_r z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Zero-ring:1<sub>r</sub> = 0  $\implies$  zeroring R**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Zero-ring1: $\neg$  (zeroring R)  $\implies$  1<sub>r</sub>  $\neq$  0**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Sr-one:sr R S  $\implies$  1<sub>r</sub>  $\in$  S**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Sr-zero:sr R S  $\implies$  0  $\in$  S**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Sr-mOp-closed: $\llbracket sr R S; x \in S \rrbracket \implies -_a x \in S$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Sr-pOp-closed: $\llbracket sr R S; x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies x \pm y \in S$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Sr-tOp-closed: $\llbracket sr R S; x \in S; y \in S \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \in S$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Sr-ring:sr R S  $\implies$  Ring (Sr R S)**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.2 Calculation of elements

### 4.2.1 nscale

**lemma (in Ring) ring-tOp-rel: $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; xa \in \text{carrier } R; ya \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (x \cdot_r xa) \cdot_r (y \cdot_r ya) = (x \cdot_r y) \cdot_r (xa \cdot_r ya)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsClose:**  
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{nscal } R x n \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsZero:**  
 $\text{nscal } R 0 n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsZeroI:**  $\bigwedge n. x = \mathbf{0} \implies nscal R x n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsEqElm:**  $\llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R; x = y \rrbracket \implies (nscal R x n) = (nscal R y n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsDistr:**  $x \in carrier R \implies (nscal R x n) \pm (nscal R x m) = nscal R x (n + m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsDistrL:**  $\llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies (nscal R x n) \pm (nscal R y n) = nscal R (x \pm y) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsMulDistrL:**  $\llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r (nscal R y n) = nscal R (x \cdot_r y) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsMulDistrR:**  $\llbracket x \in carrier R; y \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies (nscal R y n) \cdot_r x = nscal R (y \cdot_r x) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.2.2 npow

**lemma (in Ring) npClose:**  $x \in carrier R \implies npow R x n \in carrier R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npMulDistr:**  $\bigwedge n m. x \in carrier R \implies (npow R x n) \cdot_r (npow R x m) = npow R x (n + m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npMulExp:**  $\bigwedge n m. x \in carrier R \implies npow R (npow R x n) m = npow R x (n * m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npGTPowZero-sub:**  
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in carrier R; npow R x m = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (m \leq n) \longrightarrow (npow R x n = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npGTPowZero:**  
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in carrier R; npow R x m = \mathbf{0}; m \leq n \rrbracket \implies npow R x n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npOne:**  $npow R (1_r) n = 1_r$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $npZero\text{-sub}: 0 < n \rightarrow npow R \mathbf{0} n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $npZero: 0 < n \implies npow R \mathbf{0} n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $npMulElmL: \bigwedge n. [\![ x \in carrier R; 0 \leq n ]\!] \implies x \cdot_r (npow R x n) = npow R x (Suc n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $npMulEleL: \bigwedge n. x \in carrier R \implies (npow R x n) \cdot_r x = npow R x (Suc n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $npMulElmR: \bigwedge n. x \in carrier R \implies (npow R x n) \cdot_r x = npow R x (Suc n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $np-1:a \in carrier R \implies npow R a (Suc 0) = a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.2.3 nsum and fSum

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $nsum\text{-memTr}: (\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A) \rightarrow nsum A f n \in carrier A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $nsum\text{-mem}:\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A \implies nsum A f n \in carrier A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $nsum\text{-eqTr}:(\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A \wedge g j \in carrier A \wedge f j = g j) \rightarrow nsum A f n = nsum A g n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $nsum\text{-eq}:[\![ \forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A; \forall j \leq n. g j \in carrier A; \forall j \leq n. f j = g j ]\!] \implies nsum A f n = nsum A g n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $nsum\text{-cmp-assoc}:[\![ \forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} ]\!] \implies nsum A (\text{cmp} (\text{cmp} f h) g) n = nsum A (\text{cmp} f (\text{cmp} h g)) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)**  $fSum\text{-Suc}:\forall j \in nset n (n + Suc m). f j \in carrier A \implies$

$fSum A f n (n + Suc m) = fSum A f n (n + m) \pm f (n + Suc m)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) fSum-eqTr:**  $(\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j \in carrier A \wedge g j \in carrier A \wedge f j = g j) \rightarrow fSum A f n (n + m) = fSum A g n (n + m)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) fSum-eq:**  $\llbracket \forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j \in carrier A; \forall j \in nset n (n + m). g j \in carrier A; (\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j = g j) \rrbracket \Rightarrow fSum A f n (n + m) = fSum A g n (n + m)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) fSum-eq1:**  $\llbracket n \leq m; \forall j \in nset n m. f j \in carrier A; \forall j \in nset n m. g j \in carrier A; \forall j \in nset n m. f j = g j \rrbracket \Rightarrow fSum A f n m = fSum A g n m$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) fSum-zeroTr:**  $(\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j = \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow fSum A f n (n + m) = \mathbf{0}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) fSum-zero:**  $\forall j \in nset n (n + m). f j = \mathbf{0} \Rightarrow fSum A f n (n + m) = \mathbf{0}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) fSum-zero1:**  $\llbracket n < m; \forall j \in nset (Suc n) m. f j = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \Rightarrow fSum A f (Suc n) m = \mathbf{0}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) nsumMulEleL:**  $\bigwedge n. \llbracket \forall i. f i \in carrier R; x \in carrier R \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \cdot_r (nsum R f n) = nsum R (\lambda i. x \cdot_r (f i)) n$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) nsumMulElmL:**  $\bigwedge n. \llbracket \forall i. f i \in carrier R; x \in carrier R \rrbracket \Rightarrow x \cdot_r (nsum R f n) = nsum R (\lambda i. x \cdot_r (f i)) n$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsumTailTr:**  $(\forall j \leq (Suc n). f j \in carrier A) \rightarrow nsum A f (Suc n) = (nsum A (\lambda i. (f (Suc i))) n) \pm (f 0)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsumTail:**  $\forall j \leq (Suc n). f j \in carrier A \Rightarrow nsum A f (Suc n) = (nsum A (\lambda i. (f (Suc i))) n) \pm (f 0)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsumElmTail:**

$$\forall i. f i \in \text{carrier } A \implies \text{nsum } A f (\text{Suc } n) = (\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f (\text{Suc } i))) n) \pm (f 0)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-addTr:**

$$(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \wedge g j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$$

$$\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-add:**

$$[\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } A] \implies$$

$$\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsumElmAdd:**

$$[\forall i. f i \in \text{carrier } A; \forall i. g i \in \text{carrier } A] \implies$$

$$\text{nsum } A (\lambda i. (f i) \pm (g i)) n = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g n)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-add-nmTr:**

$$(\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A) \wedge (\forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A) \longrightarrow$$

$$\text{nsum } A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g m)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-add-nm:**

$$[\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A] \implies$$

$$\text{nsum } A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = (\text{nsum } A f n) \pm (\text{nsum } A g m)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) npeSum2-sub-muly:**

$$[\exists x \in \text{carrier } R; \exists y \in \text{carrier } R] \implies$$

$$y \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R (\lambda i. \text{nscal } R ((\text{npow } R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y i)))$$

$$= \text{nsum } R (\lambda i. \text{nscal } R ((\text{npow } R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y (i+1))))$$

*(proof)*

**lemma binomial-n0:**  $(\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } 0) = (n \text{ choose } 0)$

*(proof)*

**lemma binomial-ngt-diff:**

$$(n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) = (\text{Suc } n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) - (n \text{ choose } n)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma binomial-ngt-0:**  $(n \text{ choose } \text{Suc } n) = 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) diffLessSuc:  $m \leq n \implies Suc(n-m) = Suc n - m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) npow-suc-i:  
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies npow R x (Suc n - i) = x \cdot_r (npow R x (n-i))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) npeSum2-sub-mulx:  
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x \cdot_r (nsum R (\lambda i. nscal R ((npow R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (npow R y i)))$   
 $(n \choose i) n)$   
 $= (nsum R (\lambda i. nscal R$   
 $((npow R x (Suc n - Suc i)) \cdot_r (npow R y (Suc i)))$   
 $(n \choose Suc i) n) \pm$   
 $(nscal R ((npow R x (Suc n - 0)) \cdot_r (npow R y 0)))$   
 $(Suc n \choose 0))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) npeSum2-sub-mulx2:  
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x \cdot_r (nsum R (\lambda i. nscal R ((npow R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (npow R y i)))$   
 $(n \choose i) n)$   
 $= (nsum R (\lambda i. nscal R$   
 $((npow R x (n - i)) \cdot_r ((npow R y i) \cdot_r y))$   
 $(n \choose Suc i) n) \pm$   
 $(\mathbf{0} \pm ((x \cdot_r (npow R x n)) \cdot_r (1_r)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) npeSum2:  
 $\bigwedge n. \llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies npow R (x \pm y) n =$   
 $nsum R (\lambda i. nscal R ((npow R x (n-i)) \cdot_r (npow R y i)))$   
 $(n \choose i) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in aGroup) nsum-zeroTr:  
 $\bigwedge n. (\forall i. i \leq n \longrightarrow f i = \mathbf{0}) \longrightarrow (nsum A f n = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) npAdd:  
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $npow R x m = \mathbf{0}; npow R y n = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies npow R (x \pm y) (m + n) = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npInverse:**  
 $\wedge n. x \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\implies \text{npow } R (-_a x) n = \text{npow } R x n$   
 $\vee \text{npow } R (-_a x) n = -_a (\text{npow } R x n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) npMul:**  
 $\wedge n. [x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R]$   
 $\implies \text{npow } R (x \cdot_r y) n = (\text{npow } R x n) \cdot_r (\text{npow } R y n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.3 Ring homomorphisms

### definition

$rHom :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}]$   
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ set where}$   
 $rHom A R = \{f. f \in aHom A R \wedge$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } A. \forall y \in \text{carrier } A. f(x \cdot_{rA} y) = (f x) \cdot_{rR} (f y))$   
 $\wedge f(1_{rA}) = (1_{rR})\}$

### definition

$rInvim :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'b \text{ set}]$   
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $rInvim A R f K = \{a. a \in \text{carrier } A \wedge f a \in K\}$

### definition

$rimg :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b] \Rightarrow$   
 $'b \text{ Ring where}$   
 $rimg A R f = (\text{carrier} = f \cdot (\text{carrier } A), \text{pop} = \text{pop } R, \text{mop} = \text{mop } R,$   
 $\text{zero} = \text{zero } R, \text{tp} = \text{tp } R, \text{un} = \text{un } R)$

### definition

$ridmap :: ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$   
 $ridmap R = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. x)$

### definition

$r-isom :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $\text{(infixr } \cong_r 100 \text{) where}$   
 $r-isom R R' \longleftrightarrow (\exists f \in rHom R R'. \text{bijec}_{R,R'} f)$

### definition

$Subring :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $Subring R S == \text{Ring } S \wedge (\text{carrier } S \subseteq \text{carrier } R) \wedge (ridmap S) \in rHom S R$

**lemma ridmap-surjec:Ring A  $\implies$  surjec<sub>A,A</sub>(ridmap A)**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma rHom-aHom:f  $\in$  rHom A R  $\implies$  f  $\in$  aHom A R**

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rimg-carrier*: $f \in rHom A R \implies carrier(rimg A R f) = f` (carrier A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-mem*: $\llbracket f \in rHom A R; a \in carrier A \rrbracket \implies f a \in carrier R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-func*: $f \in rHom A R \implies f \in carrier A \rightarrow carrier R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ringhom1*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A;$   
 $f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f(x \pm_A y) = (fx) \pm_R (fy)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-inv-inv*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket$   
 $\implies f(-_A x) = -_R (fx)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-0-0*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f(\mathbf{0}_A) = \mathbf{0}_R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-tOp*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A;$   
 $f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f(x \cdot_r A y) = (fx) \cdot_r R (fy)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-add*: $\llbracket f \in rHom A R; x \in carrier A; y \in carrier A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f(x \pm_A y) = (fx) \pm_R (fy)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-one*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f(1_{rA}) = (1_{rR})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-npow*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; x \in carrier A; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f(x^{\wedge A} n) = (fx)^{\wedge R} n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rHom-compos*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring B; Ring C; f \in rHom A B; g \in rHom B C \rrbracket$   
 $\implies$   
 $compos A g f \in rHom A C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rimg-ag*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies aGroup(rimg A R f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rimg-ring*: $\llbracket Ring A; Ring R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies Ring(rimg A R f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

*ideal* :: [- , 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $ideal\ R\ I \longleftrightarrow (R\ +>\ I) \wedge (\forall r \in carrier\ R. \forall x \in I. (r \cdot_r R\ x \in I))$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-asubg*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies R\ +>\ I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-pOp-closed*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; x \in I; y \in I \rrbracket \implies x \pm y \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-nsum-closedTr*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in I) \longrightarrow nsum\ R\ f\ n \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-nsum-closed*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; \forall j \leq n. f j \in I \rrbracket \implies nsum\ R\ f\ n \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-subset1*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies I \subseteq carrier\ R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-subset*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; h \in I \rrbracket \implies h \in carrier\ R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-ring-multiple*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; x \in I; r \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r x \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-ring-multiple1*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; x \in I; r \in carrier\ R \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r r \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-npow-closedTr*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; x \in I \rrbracket \implies 0 < n \longrightarrow x^R n \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-npow-closed*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; x \in I; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies x^R n \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *times-modTr*: $\llbracket a \in carrier\ R; a' \in carrier\ R; b \in carrier\ R; b' \in carrier\ R; ideal\ R\ I; a \pm (-_a b) \in I; a' \pm (-_a b') \in I \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_r a' \pm (-_a (b \cdot_r b')) \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-inv1-closed*: $\llbracket ideal\ R\ I; x \in I \rrbracket \implies -_a x \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-zero*: $ideal\ R\ I \implies \mathbf{0} \in I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-zero-forall:**  $\forall I. \text{ideal } R I \longrightarrow \mathbf{0} \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-ele-sumTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \pm b \in I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies b \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-ele-sumTr2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \pm b \in I; b \in I \rrbracket \implies a \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-condition:**  $\llbracket I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; I \neq \{\}; \forall x \in I. \forall y \in I. x \pm (-_a y) \in I; \forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in I. r \cdot_r x \in I \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-condition1:**  $\llbracket I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; I \neq \{\}; \forall x \in I. \forall y \in I. x \pm y \in I; \forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in I. r \cdot_r x \in I \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) zero-ideal:**  $\text{ideal } R \{\mathbf{0}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) whole-ideal:**  $\text{ideal } R (\text{carrier } R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-inc-one:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; 1_r \in I \rrbracket \implies I = \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-inc-one1:**  $\text{ideal } R I \implies (1_r \in I) = (I = \text{carrier } R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

$\text{Unit} :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{Unit } R a \longleftrightarrow a \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\exists b \in \text{carrier } R. a \cdot_r R b = 1_r R)$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-inc-unit:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in I; \text{Unit } R a \rrbracket \implies 1_r \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) proper-ideal:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; 1_r \notin I \rrbracket \implies I \neq \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-inc-unit1:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \text{Unit } R a; \text{ideal } R I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies I = \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in Ring) *int-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (I \cap J)$   
*(proof)*

**definition**

*ideal-prod*: $[-, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} (\text{infix } \diamond_{r1} 90)$  **where**  
 $\text{ideal-prod } R \ I \ J == \bigcap \{L. \text{ideal } R \ L \wedge \{x. (\exists i \in I. \exists j \in J. x = i \cdot_r R j)\} \subseteq L\}$

**lemma** (in Ring) *set-sum-mem*: $\llbracket a \in I; b \in J; I \subseteq \text{carrier } R; J \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies a \pm b \in I \mp J$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *sum-ideals*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (I1 \mp I2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *sum-ideals-la1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies I1 \subseteq (I1 \mp I2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *sum-ideals-la2*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I1; \text{ideal } R \ I2 \rrbracket \implies I2 \subseteq (I1 \mp I2)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *sum-ideals-cont*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; A \subseteq I; B \subseteq I \rrbracket \implies A \mp B \subseteq I$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *ideals-set-sum*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B; x \in A \mp B \rrbracket \implies \exists h \in A. \exists k \in B. x = h \pm k$   
*(proof)*

**definition**

*Rxa* ::  $[-, 'a]$   $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ set} (\text{infixl } \diamond_p 200)$  **where**  
 $Rxa \ R \ a = \{x. \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x = (r \cdot_r R a)\}$

**lemma** (in Ring) *a-in-principal*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \in Rxa \ R \ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *principal-ideal*: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies \text{ideal } R \ (Rxa \ R \ a)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *rxa-in-Rxa*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r a \in Rxa \ R \ a$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *Rxa-one*: $Rxa \ R \ 1_r = \text{carrier } R$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in Ring) *Rxa-zero*: $Rxa \ R \ \mathbf{0} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring)  $Rxa\text{-nonzero}:\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies Rxa \ R \ a \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{ideal-cont-}Rxa:\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies Rxa \ R \ a \subseteq I$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $Rxa\text{-mult-smaller}:\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies Rxa \ R \ (a \cdot_r b) \subseteq Rxa \ R \ b$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{id-ideal-psub-sum}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \notin I \rrbracket \implies I \subset I \mp Rxa \ R \ a$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{mul-two-principal-idealsTr}:\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; x \in Rxa \ R \ a; y \in Rxa \ R \ b \rrbracket \implies \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x \cdot_r y = r \cdot_r (a \cdot_r b)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
primrec sum-pr-ideals::[('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, nat => 'a, nat] => 'a set
where
  sum-pr0: sum-pr-ideals R f 0 = Rxa R (f 0)
  | sum-prn: sum-pr-ideals R f (Suc n) =
    (Rxa R (f (Suc n))) ⊔_R (sum-pr-ideals R f n)
```

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{sum-of-prideals0}:\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow \text{ideal } R \ (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{sum-of-prideals}:\llbracket \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R \ (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

later, we show *sum-pr-ideals* is the least ideal containing  $\{f 0, f 1, \dots, f n\}$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{sum-of-prideals1}:\forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow f ` \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{sum-of-prideals2}:\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R \implies f ` \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{sum-of-prideals3}:\text{ideal } R \ I \implies \forall f. (\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R) \wedge (f ` \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I) \longrightarrow (\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n \subseteq I)$**   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)  $\text{sum-of-prideals4}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R;$**

$(f \cdot \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I) \Rightarrow \text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n \subseteq I$

**lemma**  $\text{ker-ideal}:\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in \text{rHom } A R \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{ideal } A (\text{ker}_{A,R} f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.3.1 Ring of integers

**definition**

$Zr :: \text{int Ring where}$

$Zr = \emptyset \text{ carrier} = Zset, \text{pop} = \lambda n \in Zset. \lambda m \in Zset. (m + n),$   
 $mop = \lambda l \in Zset. -l, \text{zero} = 0, \text{tp} = \lambda m \in Zset. \lambda n \in Zset. m * n, \text{un} = 1 \emptyset$

**lemma**  $\text{ring-of-integers}:\text{Ring } Zr$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-zero}:\mathbf{0}_{Zr} = 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-one}:\mathbf{1}_{Zr} = 1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-minus}:-_a Zr n = -n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-add}:n \pm_{Zr} m = n + m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-times}:n \cdot_r Zr m = n * m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

$lev :: \text{int set} \Rightarrow \text{int where}$

$lev I = \text{Zleast} \{n. n \in I \wedge 0 < n\}$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-gen-Zleast}:\llbracket \text{ideal } Zr I; I \neq \{0::\text{int}\} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $Rxa Zr (lev I) = I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{Zr-pir}:\text{ideal } Zr I \Rightarrow \exists n. Rxa Zr n = I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.4 Quotient rings

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{mem-set-ar-cos}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{set-ar-cos } R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $I\text{-in-set-ar-cos}:\text{ideal } R I \Rightarrow I \in \text{set-ar-cos } R I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-same1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; b \pm (-_a a) \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = b \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-same2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \uplus_R I = b \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies b \pm (-_a a) \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-same3:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \uplus_R I = I \rrbracket \implies a \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-same3-1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \notin I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I \neq I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-same4:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in I \rrbracket \implies a \uplus_R I = I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-same4-1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \uplus_R I \neq I \rrbracket \implies a \notin I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) belong-ar-coset1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } R; x \pm (-_a a) \in I \rrbracket \implies x \in a \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) a-in-ar-coset:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies a \in a \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-subsetD:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in a \uplus_R I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-cos-mem:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{set-rcs } (\text{b-ag } R) I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) mem-ar-coset1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists h \in I. h \pm a = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-coset-mem2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists h \in I. x = a \pm h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) belong-ar-coset2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in a \uplus_R I \rrbracket$

$$\implies x \pm (-_a a) \in I$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) ar-c-top:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (\text{c-top } (b\text{-ag } R) I (a \uplus_R I) (b \uplus_R I)) = (a \pm b) \uplus_R I$

*(proof)*

Following lemma is not necessary to define a quotient ring. But it makes clear that the binary operation<sup>2</sup> of the quotient ring is well defined.

**lemma (in Ring) quotient-ring-tr1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a1 \in \text{carrier } R; a2 \in \text{carrier } R; b1 \in \text{carrier } R; b2 \in \text{carrier } R; a1 \uplus_R I = a2 \uplus_R I; b1 \uplus_R I = b2 \uplus_R I \rrbracket \implies (a1 \cdot_r b1) \uplus_R I = (a2 \cdot_r b2) \uplus_R I$

*(proof)*

#### definition

$rcostOp :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow ([ 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set) \text{ where}$   
 $rcostOp R I = (\lambda X \in (\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) I). \lambda Y \in (\text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) I). \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in Y. \exists h \in I. (x \cdot_r y) \pm_R h = z\})$

**lemma (in Ring) rcostOp:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies rcostOp R I (a \uplus_R I) (b \uplus_R I) = (a \cdot_r b) \uplus_R I$

*(proof)*

#### definition

$qring :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a set] \Rightarrow (\text{carrier} :: 'a set set,$   
 $\text{pop} :: ['a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set, \text{mop} :: 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set,$   
 $\text{zero} :: 'a set, \text{tp} :: ['a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set, \text{un} :: 'a set) \text{ where}$   
 $qring R I = (\text{carrier} = \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) I,$   
 $\text{pop} = \text{c-top } (b\text{-ag } R) I,$   
 $\text{mop} = \text{c-iop } (b\text{-ag } R) I,$   
 $\text{zero} = I,$   
 $\text{tp} = rcostOp R I,$   
 $\text{un} = 1_{rR} \uplus_R I)$

#### abbreviation

$QRING \text{ (infixl } '/_r 200) \text{ where}$   
 $R /_r I == qring R I$

**lemma (in Ring) carrier-qring:**  $\text{ideal } R I \implies \text{carrier } (qring R I) = \text{set-rcs } (b\text{-ag } R) I$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) carrier-qring1:**  $\text{ideal } R I \implies \text{carrier } (qring R I) = \text{set-ar-cos } R I$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) qring-ring:**  $\text{ideal } R I \implies \text{Ring } (qring R I)$

**lemma (in Ring) qring-carrier:ideal R I  $\implies$**   
 $\text{carrier}(\text{qring } R I) = \{X. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R. a \uplus_R I = X\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) qring-mem:[ideal R I; a ∈ carrier R]  $\implies$**   
 $a \uplus_R I \in \text{carrier}(\text{qring } R I)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) qring-pOp:[ideal R I; a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{pop}(\text{qring } R I)(a \uplus_R I)(b \uplus_R I) = (a \pm b) \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) qring-zero:ideal R I  $\implies$**  zero (qring R I) = I  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) qring-zero-1:[a ∈ carrier R; ideal R I; a ⊕\_R I = I]  $\implies$**   
 $a \in I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) Qring-fix1:[a ∈ carrier R; ideal R I; a ∈ I]  $\implies$**  a ⊕\_R I = I  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ar-cos-same:[a ∈ carrier R; ideal R I; x ∈ a ⊕\_R I]  $\implies$**   
 $x \uplus_R I = a \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) qring-tOp:[ideal R I; a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{tp}(\text{qring } R I)(a \uplus_R I)(b \uplus_R I) = (a \cdot_r b) \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma rind-hom-well-def:[Ring A; Ring R; f ∈ rHom A R; a ∈ carrier A]  $\implies$**   
 $f a = (f^\circ_{A,R})(a \uplus_A (\ker_{A,R} f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) set-r-ar-cos:ideal R I  $\implies$**   
 $\text{set-rcs}(b \cdot_a R) I = \text{set-ar-cos } R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma set-r-ar-cos-ker:[Ring A; Ring R; f ∈ rHom A R]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{set-rcs}(b \cdot_a A)(\ker_{A,R} f) = \text{set-ar-cos } A(\ker_{A,R} f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma ind-hom-rhom:[Ring A; Ring R; f ∈ rHom A R]  $\implies$**   
 $(f^\circ_{A,R}) \in \text{rHom}(\text{qring } A(\ker_{A,R} f), R)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma ind-hom-injec:[Ring A; Ring R; f ∈ rHom A R]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{injec}_{(\text{qring } A(\ker_{A,R} f)), R}(f^\circ_{A,R})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *rhom-to-rimg*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f \in rHom A (\text{rimg } A R f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ker-to-rimg*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies \ker_{A,R} f = \ker_{A,(\text{rimg } A R f)} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *indhom-eq*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies f^{\circ}_{A,(\text{rimg } A R f)} = f^{\circ}_{A,R}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *indhom-bijec2-rimg*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in rHom A R \rrbracket \implies \text{bijec}(\text{qring } A (\ker_{A,R} f), (\text{rimg } A R f)) (f^{\circ}_{A,R})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *surjec-ind-bijec*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \text{Ring } R; f \in rHom A R; \text{surjec}_{A,R} f \rrbracket \implies \text{bijec}(\text{qring } A (\ker_{A,R} f), R (f^{\circ}_{A,R}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ridmap-ind-bijec*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \text{bijec}(\text{qring } A (\ker_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A)), A ((\text{ridmap } A)^{\circ}_{A,A}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ker-of-idmap*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \ker_{A,A} (\text{ridmap } A) = \{\mathbf{0}_A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ring-natural-isom*: $\text{Ring } A \implies \text{bijec}(\text{qring } A \{\mathbf{0}_A\}, A ((\text{ridmap } A)^{\circ}_{A,A}))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$pj :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme, } 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}) \text{ where}$   
 $pj R I = (\lambda x. Pj (b\text{-ag } R) I x)$

**lemma** *pj-Hom*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I \rrbracket \implies (pj R I) \in rHom R (\text{qring } R I)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pj-mem*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies pj R I x = x \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *pj-zero*: $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (pj R I x = \mathbf{0}_{(R /_r I)}) = (x \in I)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pj\text{-surj-to}:\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R J; X \in \text{carrier } (R /_r J) \rrbracket \implies \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. pj R J r = X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $invim\text{-of-ideal}:\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (\text{qring } R I) J \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (r\text{Invim } R (\text{qring } R I) (pj R I) J)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pj\text{-invim-cont-I}:\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (\text{qring } R I) J \rrbracket \implies I \subseteq (r\text{Invim } R (\text{qring } R I) (pj R I) J)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pj\text{-invim-mono1}:\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } (\text{qring } R I) J1; \text{ideal } (\text{qring } R I) J2; J1 \subseteq J2 \rrbracket \implies (r\text{Invim } R (\text{qring } R I) (pj R I) J1) \subseteq (r\text{Invim } R (\text{qring } R I) (pj R I) J2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $pj\text{-img-ideal}:\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; I \subseteq J \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } (\text{qring } R I) ((pj R I) 'J)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $npQring:\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R I; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies npow (\text{qring } R I) (a \uplus_R I) n = (npow R a n) \uplus_R I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.5 Primary ideals, Prime ideals

**definition**

$maximal\text{-set} :: ['a set set, 'a set] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $maximal\text{-set } S mx \longleftrightarrow mx \in S \wedge (\forall s \in S. mx \subseteq s \longrightarrow s = mx)$

**definition**

$nilpotent :: [-, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $nilpotent R a \longleftrightarrow (\exists (n::nat). a ^R n = \mathbf{0}_R)$

**definition**

$zero\text{-divisor} :: [-, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $zero\text{-divisor } R a \longleftrightarrow (\exists x \in \text{carrier } R. x \neq \mathbf{0}_R \wedge x \cdot_r R a = \mathbf{0}_R)$

**definition**

$primary\text{-ideal} :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $primary\text{-ideal } R q \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R q \wedge (1_{rR}) \notin q \wedge$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } R. \forall y \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $x \cdot_r R y \in q \longrightarrow (\exists n. (npow R x n) \in q \vee y \in q))$

**definition**

$prime\text{-ideal} :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

*prime-ideal*  $R$   $p \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R$   $p \wedge (1_{rR} \notin p \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } R. \forall y \in \text{carrier } R. (x \cdot_r y \in p \rightarrow x \in p \vee y \in p))$

**definition**

*maximal-ideal* ::  $[-, 'a\ set] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{maximal-ideal } R mx \longleftrightarrow \text{ideal } R mx \wedge 1_{rR} \notin mx \wedge \{J. (\text{ideal } R J \wedge mx \subseteq J)\} = \{mx, \text{carrier } R\}$

**lemma (in Ring)** *maximal-ideal-ideal*: $\llbracket \text{maximal-ideal } R mx \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R mx$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *maximal-ideal-proper*: $\text{maximal-ideal } R mx \implies 1_r \notin mx$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prime-ideal-ideal*: $\text{prime-ideal } R I \implies \text{ideal } R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prime-ideal-proper*: $\text{prime-ideal } R I \implies I \neq \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prime-ideal-proper1*: $\text{prime-ideal } R p \implies 1_r \notin p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *primary-ideal-ideal*: $\text{primary-ideal } R q \implies \text{ideal } R q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *primary-ideal-proper1*: $\text{primary-ideal } R q \implies 1_r \notin q$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prime-elems-mult-not*: $\llbracket \text{prime-ideal } R P; x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x \notin P; y \notin P \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \notin P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prime-is-primary*: $\text{prime-ideal } R p \implies \text{primary-ideal } R p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *maximal-prime-Tr0*: $\llbracket \text{maximal-ideal } R mx; x \in \text{carrier } R; x \notin mx \rrbracket \implies mx \mp (Rxa R x) = \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *maximal-prime*: $\text{maximal-ideal } R mx \implies \text{prime-ideal } R mx$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *chains-un*: $\llbracket c \in \text{chains } \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge I \subset \text{carrier } R\}; c \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (\bigcup c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) zeroring-no-maximal:zeroring**  $R \implies \neg (\exists I. \text{maximal-ideal } R I)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) id-maximal-Exist:**  $\neg(\text{zeroring } R) \implies \exists I. \text{maximal-ideal } R I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

*ideal-Int* ::  $[-, 'a \text{ set set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $\text{ideal-Int } R S == \bigcap S$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-Int-ideal:**  $[S \subseteq \{I. \text{ideal } R I\}; S \neq \{\}] \implies$   
 $\text{ideal } R (\bigcap S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-prideals-Int:**  $[\forall l \leq n. f l \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $S = \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge f^i \{i. i \leq n\} \subseteq I\}] \implies$   
 $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n) = \bigcap S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This proves that  $(\text{sum-pr-ideals } R f n)$  is the smallest ideal containing  $f^i (Nset n)$

**primrec** *ideal-n-prod*:: $[('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$   
**where**  
 $\text{ideal-n-prod0: } \text{ideal-n-prod } R 0 J = J 0$   
 $\mid \text{ideal-n-prodSn: } \text{ideal-n-prod } R (\text{Suc } n) J =$   
 $(\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J) \diamondsuit_r R (J (\text{Suc } n))$

#### abbreviation

*IDNPROD*  $((\exists i \Pi_{-, -}) [98, 98, 99] 98)$  **where**  
 $i \Pi_{R, n} J == \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J$

**primrec**  
*ideal-pow* ::  $['a \text{ set}, ('a, 'more) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$   
 $((\exists / \diamondsuit -) [120, 120, 121] 120)$   
**where**  
 $ip0: I \diamondsuit R 0 = \text{carrier } R$   
 $\mid ipSuc: I \diamondsuit R (\text{Suc } n) = I \diamondsuit_r R (I \diamondsuit R n)$

**lemma (in Ring) prod-mem-prod-ideals:**  $[\text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J; i \in I; j \in J] \implies$   
 $i \cdot_r j \in (I \diamondsuit_r J)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-ideal:**  $[\text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J] \implies$   
 $\text{ideal } R (I \diamondsuit_r J)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-commute:**  $[\text{ideal } R I; \text{ideal } R J] \implies$   
 $I \diamondsuit_r J = J \diamondsuit_r I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-subTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J; \text{ideal } R \ C; \forall i \in I. \forall j \in J. i \cdot_r j \in C \rrbracket \implies I \diamondsuit_r J \subseteq C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) n-prod-idealTr:**  
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \longrightarrow \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-}n\text{-prod } R \ n \ J)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) n-prod-ideal:**  $\llbracket \forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k) \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-}n\text{-prod } R \ n \ J)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-la1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J \rrbracket \implies (I \diamondsuit_r J) \subseteq I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-el1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J; a \in (I \diamondsuit_r J) \rrbracket \implies a \in I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-la2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J \rrbracket \implies (I \diamondsuit_r J) \subseteq J$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-sub-Int:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J \rrbracket \implies (I \diamondsuit_r J) \subseteq I \cap J$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-el2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ I; \text{ideal } R \ J; a \in (I \diamondsuit_r J) \rrbracket \implies a \in J$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

$i\Pi_{R,n} J$  is the product of ideals

**lemma (in Ring) ele-n-prodTr0:**  $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k); a \in i\Pi_{R,(\text{Suc } n)} J \rrbracket \implies a \in (i\Pi_{R,n} J) \wedge a \in (J (\text{Suc } n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ele-n-prodTr1:**  
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge a \in \text{ideal-}n\text{-prod } R \ n \ J \longrightarrow (\forall k \leq n. a \in (J k))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ele-n-prod:**  $\llbracket \forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k); a \in \text{ideal-}n\text{-prod } R \ n \ J \rrbracket \implies \forall k \leq n. a \in (J k)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) idealprod-whole-l:**  $\text{ideal } R \ I \implies (\text{carrier } R) \diamondsuit_r R \ I = I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) idealprod-whole-r:**  $\text{ideal } R \ I \implies I \diamondsuit_r (\text{carrier } R) = I$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) idealpow-1-self:ideal**  $R I \implies I \diamond R (\text{Suc } 0) = I$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-pow-ideal:ideal**  $R I \implies \text{ideal } R (I \diamond R n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-prime:[ideal R I; ideal R J; prime-ideal R P;**  
 $I \diamond_r J \subseteq P \implies I \subseteq P \vee J \subseteq P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-n-prod-primeTr:prime-ideal R P**  $\implies$   
 $(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \longrightarrow (\text{ideal-n-prod } R n J \subseteq P) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\exists i \leq n. (J i) \subseteq P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-n-prod-prime:[prime-ideal R P;**  
 $\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k); \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J \subseteq P] \implies$   
 $\exists i \leq n. (J i) \subseteq P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$ppa::[-, nat \Rightarrow 'a set, 'a set, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $ppa R P A i l = (\text{SOME } x. x \in A \wedge x \in (P (\text{skip } i l)) \wedge x \notin P i)$

**lemma (in Ring) prod-primeTr:[prime-ideal R P; ideal R A;  $\neg A \subseteq P$ ;**  
 $\text{ideal } R B; \neg B \subseteq P] \implies \exists x. x \in A \wedge x \in B \wedge x \notin P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prod-primeTr1:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{prime-ideal } R (P k);$**   
 $\text{ideal } R A; \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \neg (A \subseteq P l);$   
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). k = l \vee \neg (P k) \subseteq (P l); i \leq (\text{Suc } n)] \implies$   
 $\forall l \leq n. ppa R P A i l \in A \wedge$   
 $ppa R P A i l \in (P (\text{skip } i l)) \wedge ppa R P A i l \notin (P i)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ppa-mem:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{prime-ideal } R (P k); \text{ideal } R A;$**   
 $\forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \neg (A \subseteq P l);$   
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). k = l \vee \neg (P k) \subseteq (P l);$   
 $i \leq (\text{Suc } n); l \leq n] \implies ppa R P A i l \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsum-memrTr:**  $(\forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R) \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall l \leq n. \text{nsum } R f l \in \text{carrier } R)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nsum-memr:**  $\forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R \implies$

$\forall l \leq n. \text{nsum } R f l \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nsum-ideal-incTr:ideal*  $R A \implies (\forall i \leq n. f i \in A) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } R f n \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nsum-ideal-inc:ideal*  $R A; \forall i \leq n. f i \in A] \implies \text{nsum } R f n \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nsum-ideal-excTr:ideal*  $R A \implies (\forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R) \wedge (\exists j \leq n. (\forall l \in \{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}. f l \in A) \wedge (f j \notin A)) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } R f n \notin A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nsum-ideal-exc:ideal*  $R A; \forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\exists j \leq n. (\forall l \in \{i. i \leq n\} - \{j\}. f l \in A) \wedge (f j \notin A) \implies \text{nsum } R f n \notin A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nprod-memTr:(forall i leq n. f i in carrier R) -> (forall l. l leq n -> nprod R f l in carrier R)*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nprod-mem:[forall i leq n. f i in carrier R; l leq n] -> nprod R f l in carrier R*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-nprod-incTr:ideal*  $R A \implies (\forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R) \wedge (\exists l \leq n. f l \in A) \longrightarrow \text{nprod } R f n \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-nprod-inc:ideal*  $R A; \forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\exists l \leq n. f l \in A] \implies \text{nprod } R f n \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nprod-excTr:prime-ideal*  $R P \implies (\forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R) \wedge (\forall l \leq n. f l \notin P) \longrightarrow \text{nprod } R f n \notin P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prime-nprod-exc:prime-ideal*  $R P; \forall i \leq n. f i \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\forall l \leq n. f l \notin P] \implies \text{nprod } R f n \notin P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

*nilrad* :: -  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
 $\text{nilrad } R = \{x. x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge \text{nilpotent } R x\}$

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *id-nilrad-ideal:ideal R (nilrad R)*  
*(proof)*

**definition**

*rad-ideal* :: [-, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
*rad-ideal R I* = {*a*. *a*  $\in$  carrier *R*  $\wedge$  nilpotent (*qring R I*) ((*pj R I*) *a*)}

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *id-rad-invim:ideal R I  $\Rightarrow$  rad-ideal R I = (rInvim R (qring R I) (pj R I) (nilrad (qring R I)))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *id-rad-ideal:ideal R I  $\Rightarrow$  ideal R (rad-ideal R I)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *id-rad-cont-I:ideal R I  $\Rightarrow$  I  $\subseteq$  (rad-ideal R I)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *id-rad-set:ideal R I  $\Rightarrow$  rad-ideal R I = {*x*. *x*  $\in$  carrier *R*  $\wedge$  ( $\exists n.$  npow *R x n*  $\in$  *I*)}*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *rad-primary-prime:primary-ideal R q  $\Rightarrow$  prime-ideal R (rad-ideal R q)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *npow-notin-prime:[prime-ideal R P; x  $\in$  carrier R; x  $\notin$  P]  $\Rightarrow$   $\forall n.$  npow *R x n*  $\notin$  *P**  
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *npow-in-prime:[prime-ideal R P; x  $\in$  carrier R;  $\exists n.$  npow *R x n*  $\in$  *P*]  $\Rightarrow$  x  $\in$  *P**  
*(proof)*

**definition**

*mul-closed-set::[-, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where***  
*mul-closed-set R S  $\longleftrightarrow$  S  $\subseteq$  carrier R  $\wedge$  ( $\forall s \in S.$   $\forall t \in S.$  s  $\cdot_r R$  t  $\in$  S)*

**locale** *Idomain = Ring +*  
**assumes** *idom:*  
*[a  $\in$  carrier R; b  $\in$  carrier R; a  $\cdot_r$  b = 0]  $\Rightarrow$  a = 0  $\vee$  b = 0*

**locale** *Corps =*  
**fixes** *K (structure)*  
**assumes** *f-is-ring: Ring K*  
**and** *f-inv:  $\forall x \in$  carrier K - {0}.  $\exists x' \in$  carrier K. x'  $\cdot_r$  x = 1\_r*

**lemma (in Ring) mul-closed-set-sub:mul-closed-set**  $R\ S \implies S \subseteq \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) mul-closed-set-tOp-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R\ S; s \in S; t \in S \rrbracket \implies s \cdot_r t \in S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) f-inv-unique:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\}; x' \in \text{carrier } K; x'' \in \text{carrier } K; x' \cdot_r x = 1_r; x'' \cdot_r x = 1_r \rrbracket \implies x' = x''$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{invf} :: [-, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $\text{invf } K\ x = (\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } K \wedge y \cdot_r K\ x = 1_{rK})$

**lemma (in Corps) invf-inv:**  $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies$   
 $(\text{invf } K\ x) \in \text{carrier } K \wedge (\text{invf } K\ x) \cdot_r x = 1_r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{npowf} :: - \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $\text{npowf } K\ x\ n =$   
 $(\text{if } 0 \leq n \text{ then } \text{npow } K\ x\ (\text{nat } n) \text{ else } \text{npow } K\ (\text{invf } K\ x)\ (\text{nat } (-n)))$

#### abbreviation

$\text{NPOWF} :: ['a, -, \text{int}] \Rightarrow 'a ((\beta\,-\,-) [77,77,78] 77) \text{ where}$   
 $a_K^n == \text{npowf } K\ a\ n$

#### abbreviation

$\text{IOP} :: ['a, -] \Rightarrow 'a ((\,-\,-) [87,88] 87) \text{ where}$   
 $a^{-K} == \text{invf } K\ a$

**lemma (in Idomain) idom-is-ring:**  $\text{Ring } R \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain) idom-tOp-nonzeros:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; y \in \text{carrier } R; x \neq \mathbf{0}; y \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain) idom-potent-nonzero:**  
 $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } R; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \text{npow } R\ x\ n \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain) idom-potent-unit:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < n \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (\text{Unit } R\ a) = (\text{Unit } R\ (\text{npow } R\ a\ n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-mult-cancel-r*:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } R; c \neq \mathbf{0}; a \cdot_r c = b \cdot_r c \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Idomain*) *idom-mult-cancel-l*:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } R; c \neq \mathbf{0}; c \cdot_r a = c \cdot_r b \rrbracket \implies a = b$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-closed1*:**  $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies \text{invf } K x \in (\text{carrier } K) - \{\mathbf{0}\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *linvf*:**  $x \in \text{carrier } K - \{\mathbf{0}\} \implies (\text{invf } K x) \cdot_r x = 1_r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-is-ring*:**  $\text{Ring } K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *invf-one*:**  $1_r \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \text{invf } K (1_r) = 1_r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-tOp-assoc*:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; y \in \text{carrier } K; z \in \text{carrier } K \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y \cdot_r z = x \cdot_r (y \cdot_r z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-tOp-commute*:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; y \in \text{carrier } K \rrbracket \implies x \cdot_r y = y \cdot_r x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-inv-inv*:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (x^{-K})^{-K} = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-is-idom*:**  $\text{Idomain } K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-potent-nonzero*:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x^{\wedge K n} \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-potent-nonzero1*:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^n \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *field-nilp-zero*:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x^{\wedge K n} = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in *Corps*) *npowf-mem*:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } K; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \text{npowf } K a n \in \text{carrier } K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) field-npowf-exp-zero:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } K; a \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \text{npowf } K \ a \ 0 = 1_r$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-exp-minusTr1:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq i - (\text{int } j) \longrightarrow x_K^{(i - (\text{int } j))} = x^{\wedge K}(\text{nat } i) \cdot_r (x^{-K})^{\wedge K} j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-exp-minusTr2:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i; 0 \leq j; 0 \leq i - j \rrbracket \implies x_K^{(i - j)} = x^{\wedge K}(\text{nat } i) \cdot_r (x^{-K})^{\wedge K}(\text{nat } j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-inv:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq j \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-inv1:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; \neg 0 \leq j \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-inverse:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^j = (x^{-K})_K^{(-j)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-expTr1:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i; 0 \leq j; 0 \leq i - j \rrbracket \implies x_K^{(i - j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^{(-j)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-expTr2:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 \leq i + j \rrbracket \implies x_K^{(i + j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-exp-add:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^{(i + j)} = x_K^i \cdot_r x_K^j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-exp-1-add:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies x_K^{(1 + j)} = x \cdot_r x_K^j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Corps) npowf-minus:**  $\llbracket x \in \text{carrier } K; x \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (x_K^j)^{-K} = x_K^{(-j)}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) residue-fieldTr:**  $\llbracket \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx; x \in \text{carrier}(\text{qring } R \ mx); x \neq \mathbf{0}_{(\text{qring } R \ mx)} \rrbracket \implies \exists y \in \text{carrier}(\text{qring } R \ mx). y \cdot_r (\text{qring } R \ mx) x = 1_r(\text{qring } R \ mx)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) residue-field-cd:maximal-ideal**  $R mx \implies$   
 $\text{Corps } (\text{qring } R mx)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) maximal-set-idealTr:**  
 $\text{maximal-set } \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} mx \implies \text{ideal } R mx$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) maximal-setTr:**  $\llbracket \text{maximal-set } \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} mx; \text{ideal } R J; mx \subset J \rrbracket \implies S \cap J \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) mulDisj:**  $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R S; 1_r \in S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; T = \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\}; \text{maximal-set } T mx \rrbracket \implies \text{prime-ideal } R mx$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ex-mulDisj-maximal:**  $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; 1_r \in S; T = \{I. \text{ideal } R I \wedge S \cap I = \{\}\} \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{maximal-set } T mx$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ex-mulDisj-prime:**  $\llbracket \text{mul-closed-set } R S; \mathbf{0} \notin S; 1_r \in S \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{prime-ideal } R mx \wedge S \cap mx = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nilradTr1:**  $\neg \text{zeroring } R \implies \text{nilrad } R = \bigcap \{p. \text{prime-ideal } R p\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) nonilp-residue-nilrad:**  $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; x \in \text{carrier } R; \text{nilpotent } (\text{qring } R (\text{nilrad } R)) (x \uplus_R (\text{nilrad } R)) \rrbracket \implies x \uplus_R (\text{nilrad } R) = \mathbf{0}_{(\text{qring } R (\text{nilrad } R))}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ex-contid-maximal:**  $\llbracket S = \{1_r\}; \mathbf{0} \notin S; \text{ideal } R I; I \cap S = \{\}; T = \{J. \text{ideal } R J \wedge S \cap J = \{\} \wedge I \subseteq J\} \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{maximal-set } T mx$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) contid-maximal:**  $\llbracket S = \{1_r\}; \mathbf{0} \notin S; \text{ideal } R I; I \cap S = \{\}; T = \{J. \text{ideal } R J \wedge S \cap J = \{\} \wedge I \subseteq J\}; \text{maximal-set } T mx \rrbracket \implies \text{maximal-ideal } R mx$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-contained-maxid:**  $\llbracket \neg(\text{zeroring } R); \text{ideal } R I; 1_r \notin I \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R mx \wedge I \subseteq mx$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *nonunit-principal-id*: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg (\text{Unit } R \ a) \rrbracket \implies (R \diamondsuit_p a) \neq (\text{carrier } R)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *nonunit-contained-maxid*: $\llbracket \neg(\text{zeroring } R); a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \implies \exists mx. \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx \wedge a \in mx$   
*(proof)*

**definition**

*local-ring* :: -  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
*local-ring* *R* == *Ring* *R*  $\wedge$   $\neg$  *zeroring* *R*  $\wedge$  *card* {*mx*. *maximal-ideal* *R* *mx*} = 1

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *local-ring-diff*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{ideal } R \ mx; mx \neq \text{carrier } R; \forall a \in (\text{carrier } R - mx). \text{Unit } R \ a \rrbracket \implies \text{local-ring } R \wedge \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *localring-unit*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{maximal-ideal } R \ mx; \forall x. x \in mx \longrightarrow \text{Unit } R (x \pm 1_r) \rrbracket \implies \text{local-ring } R$   
*(proof)*

**definition**

*J-rad* ::-  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
*J-rad* *R* = (*if* (*zeroring* *R*) *then* (*carrier* *R*) *else*  
 $\cap$  {*mx*. *maximal-ideal* *R* *mx*})

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *zeroring-J-rad-empty*: $\text{zeroring } R \implies J\text{-rad } R = \text{carrier } R$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *J-rad-mem*: $x \in J\text{-rad } R \implies x \in \text{carrier } R$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *J-rad-unit*: $\llbracket \neg \text{zeroring } R; x \in J\text{-rad } R \rrbracket \implies \forall y. (y \in \text{carrier } R \longrightarrow \text{Unit } R (1_r \pm (-_a x) \cdot_r y))$   
*(proof)*

**end**

**theory** *Algebra5* **imports** *Algebra4* **begin**

## 4.6 Operation of ideals

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *ideal-sumTr1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies A \mp B = \bigcap \{J. \text{ideal } R \ J \wedge (A \cup B) \subseteq J\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *Ring*) *sum-ideals-commute*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } R \ A; \text{ideal } R \ B \rrbracket \implies$

$$A \mp B = B \mp A$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-mono1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C;$

$$A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies A \diamond_r C \subseteq B \diamond_r C$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-mono2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C;$

$$A \subseteq B \rrbracket \implies C \diamond_r A \subseteq C \diamond_r B$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) cont-ideal-prod:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C;$

$$A \subseteq C; B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies A \diamond_r B \subseteq C$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-distrib:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C \rrbracket \implies$

$$A \diamond_r (B \mp C) = A \diamond_r B \mp A \diamond_r C$$

*(proof)*

### definition

*coprime-ideals*:: $[-, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**

$$\text{coprime-ideals } R A B \longleftrightarrow A \mp_R B = \text{carrier } R$$

**lemma (in Ring) coprimeTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{coprime-ideals } R A B = (\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. a \pm b = 1_r)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-int-prod:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{coprime-ideals } R A B \rrbracket \implies$

$$A \cap B = A \diamond_r B$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-elems:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{coprime-ideals } R A B \rrbracket \implies$

$$\exists a \in A. \exists b \in B. a \pm b = 1_r$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-elemsTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; a \in A; b \in B; a \pm b = 1_r \rrbracket \implies$

$$pj R A b = 1_r(qring R A) \wedge pj R B a = 1_r(qring R B)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) partition-of-unity:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A; b \in \text{carrier } R;$

$$a \pm b = 1_r; u \in \text{carrier } R; v \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$$

$$pj R A (a \cdot_r v \pm b \cdot_r u) = pj R A u$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) coprimes-commute:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{coprime-ideals } R A B \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{coprime-ideals } R B A$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-surjTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{coprime-ideals } R A B;$

$X \in \text{carrier} (\text{qring } R A); Y \in \text{carrier} (\text{qring } R B) \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \text{pj } R A r = X \wedge \text{pj } R B r = Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr0:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C;$

$\text{coprime-ideals } R A C; \text{coprime-ideals } R B C \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{coprime-ideals } R (A \diamond_r B) C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr1:**  $\text{ideal } R C \implies$

$(\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge (\forall i \leq n. \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) C) \longrightarrow$

$\text{coprime-ideals } R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr2:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R C; (\forall k \leq n. \text{ideal } R (J k));$

$(\forall i \leq n. \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) C) \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{coprime-ideals } R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) C$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr3:**  $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$

$(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). i \neq j \longrightarrow$

$\text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j)) \longrightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) (J (\text{Suc } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-n-idealsTr4:**  $\llbracket (\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$

$(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). i \neq j \longrightarrow$

$\text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j)) \rrbracket \implies \text{coprime-ideals } R (i\Pi_{R,n} J) (J (\text{Suc } n))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.7 Direct product1, general case

**definition**

$\text{prod-tOp} :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow$

$('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$

$\text{prod-tOp } I A = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I A.$

$\lambda x \in I. (f x) \cdot_r (A x) (g x))$

**definition**

$\text{prod-one} :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$

$\text{prod-one } I A == \lambda x \in I. 1_r (A x)$

**definition**

$\text{prodrg} :: ['i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'more) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ Ring where}$

$\text{prodrg } I A = (\text{carrier} = \text{carr-prodag } I A, \text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{mop} =$

$\text{prod-mOp } I A, \text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A, \text{tp} = \text{prod-tOp } I A,$

*un = prod-one I A |*

**abbreviation**

*PRODRING ((rΠ-/ -) [72,73]72) where*  
*rΠ<sub>I</sub> A == prodrg I A*

**definition**

*augm-func :: [nat, nat ⇒ 'a, 'a set, nat, nat ⇒ 'a, 'a set] ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a where*  
*augm-func n f A m g B = (λi ∈ {j. j ≤ (n + m)}. if i ≤ n then f i else*  
*if (Suc n) ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n + m then g ((sliden (Suc n)) i) else undefined)*

**definition**

*ag-setfunc :: [nat, nat ⇒ ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme, nat,*  
*nat ⇒ ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme] ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) set ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) set*  
*⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) set where*  
*ag-setfunc n B1 m B2 X Y =*  
*{f. ∃g. ∃h. (g ∈ X) ∧ (h ∈ Y) ∧ (f = (augm-func n g (Un-carrier {j. j ≤ n} B1)*  
*m h (Un-carrier {j. j ≤ (m - 1)} B2)))}*

**primrec**

*ac-fProd-Rg :: [nat, nat ⇒ ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme] ⇒*  
*(nat ⇒ 'a) set*

**where**

*fprod-0: ac-fProd-Rg 0 B = carr-prodag {0::nat} B*  
*| frprod-n: ac-fProd-Rg (Suc n) B = ag-setfunc n B (Suc 0) (compose {0::nat} B (slide (Suc n))) (carr-prodag {j. j ≤ n} B) (carr-prodag {0} (compose {0} B (slide (Suc n))))*

**definition**

*prodB1 :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'm) Ring-scheme] ⇒*  
*(nat ⇒ ('a, 'm) Ring-scheme) where*  
*prodB1 R S = (λk. if k=0 then R else if k=Suc 0 then S else*  
*undefined)*

**definition**

*Prod2Rg :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'm) Ring-scheme]*  
*⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a) Ring (infixl ⊕<sub>r</sub> 80) where*  
*A1 ⊕<sub>r</sub> A2 = prodrg {0, Suc 0} (prodB1 A1 A2)*

Don't try (*Prod-ring (Nset n) B*) ⊕<sub>r</sub> (*B (Suc n)*)

**lemma** *carr-prodrg-mem-eq: [f ∈ carrier (rΠ<sub>I</sub> A); g ∈ carrier (rΠ<sub>I</sub> A);*  
*∀i ∈ I. f i = g i] ⇒ f = g*  
*{proof}*

**lemma** *prod-tOp-mem: [∀k ∈ I. Ring (A k); X ∈ carr-prodag I A;*

$Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I A \Rightarrow \text{prod-tOp } I A \ X \ Y \in \text{carr-prodag } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prod-tOp-func}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{prod-tOp } I A \in \text{carr-prodag } I A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I A \rightarrow \text{carr-prodag } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prod-one-func}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{prod-one } I A \in \text{carr-prodag } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-carrier}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{carrier } (\text{prodrg } I A) = \text{carrier } (\text{prodag } I A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-ring}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow \text{Ring } (\text{prodrg } I A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-elem-extensional}: \llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodrg } I A) \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow f \in \text{extensional } I$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-pOp}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{pop } (\text{prodrg } I A) = \text{prod-pOp } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-mOp}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{mop } (\text{prodrg } I A) = \text{prod-mOp } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-zero}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{zero } (\text{prodrg } I A) = \text{prod-zero } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-tOp}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{tp } (\text{prodrg } I A) = \text{prod-tOp } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-one}: \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{un } (\text{prodrg } I A) = \text{prod-one } I A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-sameTr5}: \llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{prod-tOp } I A = \text{prod-tOp } I B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{prodrg-sameTr6}: \llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \rrbracket$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{prod-one } I A = \text{prod-one } I B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prodrg-same*:  $\forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); \forall k \in I. A k = B k \Rightarrow \text{prodrg } I A = \text{prodrg } I B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *prodrg-component*:  $\llbracket f \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodrg } I A); i \in I \rrbracket \Rightarrow f i \in \text{carrier } (A i)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *project-rhom*:  $\llbracket \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (A k); j \in I \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{Project } I A j \in \text{rHom } (\text{prodrg } I A) (A j)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *augm-funcTr*:  $\llbracket \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{Ring } (B k); f \in \text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} B \rrbracket \Rightarrow f = \text{augm-func } n (\text{restrict } f \{i. i \leq n\}) (\text{Un-carrier } \{i. i \leq n\} B) (\text{Suc } 0) (\lambda x \in \{0::\text{nat}\}. f(x + \text{Suc } n)) (\text{Un-carrier } \{0\} (\text{compose } \{0\} B (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *A-to-prodag-mem*:  $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (B k); \forall k \in I. (S k) \in \text{rHom } A (B k); x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{A-to-prodag } A I S B x \in \text{carr-prodag } I B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *A-to-prodag-rHom*:  $\llbracket \text{Ring } A; \forall k \in I. \text{Ring } (B k); \forall k \in I. (S k) \in \text{rHom } A (B k) \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{A-to-prodag } A I S B \in \text{rHom } A (r\Pi_I B)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ac-fProd-ProdTr1*:  $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{Ring } (B k) \Rightarrow \text{ag-setfunc } n B (\text{Suc } 0) (\text{compose } \{0::\text{nat}\} B (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq n\} B) (\text{carr-prodag } \{0\} (\text{compose } \{0\} B (\text{slide } (\text{Suc } n)))) \subseteq \text{carr-prodag } \{i. i \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *ac-fProd-Prod*:  $\forall k \leq n. \text{Ring } (B k) \Rightarrow \text{ac-fProd-Rg } n B = \text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq n\} B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

A direct product of a finite number of rings defined with *ac-fProd-Rg* is equal to that defined by using *carr-prodag*.

**definition**

```
fprodrg :: [nat, nat => ('a, 'more) Ring-scheme] =>
  (carrier:: (nat => 'a) set, pop::[(nat => 'a), (nat => 'a)]
   => (nat => 'a), mop:: (nat => 'a) => (nat => 'a), zero::(nat => 'a),
   tp :: [(nat => 'a), (nat => 'a)] => (nat => 'a), un :: (nat => 'a) ) |> where
```

```
fprodrg n B = () carrier = ac-fProd-Rg n B,
pop = λf. λg. prod-pOp {i. i ≤ n} B f g, mop = λf. prod-mOp {i. i ≤ n} B
```

$f,$   
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } \{i. i \leq n\} B, \text{tp} = \lambda f. \lambda g. \text{prod-tOp } \{i. i \leq n\} B f g,$   
 $\text{un} = \text{prod-one } \{i. i \leq n\} B \parallel$

**definition**

$fPProject :: [\text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow ('a, \text{'more}) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}]$   
 $\Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $fPProject n B x = (\lambda f \in \text{ac-fProd-Rg } n B. f x)$

**lemma**  $fprodrg-ring: \forall k \leq n. \text{Ring } (B k) \implies \text{Ring } (fprodrg n B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.8 Chinese remainder theorem

**lemma**  $\text{Chinese-remTr1}: [\text{Ring } A; \forall k \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ideal } A (J k);$   
 $\forall k \leq n. B k = qring A (J k); \forall k \leq n. S k = pj A (J k)] \implies$   
 $\ker_{A, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq n\}} B)} (\text{A-to-prodag } A \{j. j \leq n\} S B) =$   
 $\cap \{I. \exists k \in \{j. j \leq n\}. I = (J k)\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-prod-int2Tr:**  
 $((\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \rightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j)))) \implies$   
 $(\cap \{I. \exists k \leq (\text{Suc } n). I = (J k)\} = \text{ideal-n-prod } R (\text{Suc } n) J)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-prod-int2:**  $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ideal } R (J k);$   
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). (i \neq j \rightarrow \text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j)) \implies$   
 $(\cap \{I. \exists k \leq (\text{Suc } n). I = (J k)\} = \text{ideal-n-prod } R (\text{Suc } n) J)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) coprime-2-n:**  $[\text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B] \implies$   
 $(qring R A) \bigoplus_r (qring R B) = r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\}} (\text{prodB1 } (qring R A) (qring R B))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

In this and following lemmata, ideals A and B are of type ('a, 'more) RingType-scheme. Don't try  $(r\Pi_{(\text{Nset } n)} B) \bigoplus_r B (\text{Suc } n)$

**lemma (in Ring) A-to-prodag2-hom:**  $[\text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; S 0 = pj R A;$   
 $S (\text{Suc } 0) = pj R B] \implies$   
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} S (\text{prodB1 } (qring R A) (qring R B)) \in$   
 $rHom R (qring R A \bigoplus_r qring R B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) A2coprime-rsurjecTr:**  $[\text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; S 0 = pj R A;$   
 $S (\text{Suc } 0) = pj R B] \implies$   
 $(\text{carrier } (qring R A \bigoplus_r qring R B)) =$   
 $\text{carr-prodag } \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} (\text{prodB1 } (qring R A) (qring R B))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** A2coprime-rsurjec:[ideal R A; ideal R B; S 0 = pj R A;  
 $S(\text{Suc } 0) = \text{pj } R B$ ; coprime-ideals R A B]  $\implies$   
 $\text{surjec}_{R, ((\text{qring } R A) \bigoplus_r (\text{qring } R B))}$   
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} S (\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R A) (\text{qring } R B)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** prod2-n-Tr1:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } 0)$ . ideal R (J k);  
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } 0)$ . B k = qring R (J k);  
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } 0)$ . S k = pj R (J k)]  $\implies$   
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} S$   
 $(\text{prodB1 } (\text{qring } R (J 0)) (\text{qring } R (J (\text{Suc } 0)))) =$   
 $A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } 0)\} S B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** restrict-prod-Suc:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ . ideal R (J k);  
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ . B k = R /<sub>r</sub> J k;  
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$ . S k = pj R (J k);  
 $f \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}} B)$   $\implies$   
 $\text{restrict } f \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \in \text{carrier } (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Chinese-remTr2:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ideal R (J k))  $\wedge$   
 $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . B k = qring R (J k))  $\wedge$   
 $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . S k = pj R (J k))  $\wedge$   
 $(\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ .  $\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ( $i \neq j \implies$   
 $\text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j))$ )  $\implies$   
 $\text{surjec}_{R, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} B)}$   
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} S B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Chinese-remTr3:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ideal R (J k);  
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . B k = qring R (J k);  $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . S k = pj R (J k);  
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ .  $\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ( $i \neq j \implies$   
 $\text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j))$ ]  $\implies$   
 $\text{surjec}_{R, (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} B)}$   
 $(A\text{-to-prodag } R \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} S B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** imset:[ $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ideal R (J k)]  
 $\implies \{I. \exists k \leq (\text{Suc } n). I = J k\} = \{J k | k. k \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem (in Ring)** Chinese-remThm:[ $(\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ideal R (J k));  
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . B k = qring R (J k);  $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . S k = pj R (J k);  
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ .  $\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n)$ . ( $i \neq j \implies$   
 $\text{coprime-ideals } R (J i) (J j))$ ]  
 $\implies \text{bijec}_{(\text{qring } R (\bigcap \{J k | k. k \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}\}), (r\Pi_{\{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}} B))}$

$((A\text{-to-}prodag\ R\ \{j.\ j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}\ S\ B)^\circ_{R,(\text{prodrg }\{j.\ j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}\ B)})$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prod-prime}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ A; \forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \text{ prime-ideal } R\ (P\ k);$   
 $\forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). \neg(A \subseteq P\ l);$   
 $\forall k \leq (\text{Suc } n). \forall l \leq (\text{Suc } n). k = l \vee \neg(P\ k) \subseteq (P\ l) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall i \leq (\text{Suc } n). (\text{nprod } R\ (\text{ppa } R\ P\ A\ i)\ n \in A \wedge$   
 $(\forall l \in \{j.\ j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\}. \text{nprod } R\ (\text{ppa } R\ P\ A\ i)\ n \in P\ l) \wedge$   
 $(\text{nprod } R\ (\text{ppa } R\ P\ A\ i)\ n \notin P\ i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{skip-im1}:\llbracket i \leq (\text{Suc } n); P \in \{j.\ j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies$   
 $\text{compose } \{j.\ j \leq n\} P\ (\text{skip } i) \cdot \{j.\ j \leq n\} = P \cdot (\{j.\ j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{i\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{mutch-aux1}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ A; i \leq (\text{Suc } n);$   
 $P \in \{j.\ j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compose } \{j.\ j \leq n\} P\ (\text{skip } i) \in \{j.\ j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{Collect } (\text{prime-ideal } R)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prime-ideal-cont1Tr}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ A \implies$   
 $\forall P. ((P \in \{j.\ j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \{X.\ \text{prime-ideal } R\ X\}) \wedge$   
 $(A \subseteq \bigcup (P \cdot \{j.\ j \leq n\})) \longrightarrow (\exists i \leq n. A \subseteq (P\ i))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prime-ideal-cont1}:\llbracket \text{ideal } R\ A; \forall i \leq (n::\text{nat}).$   
 $\text{prime-ideal } R\ (P\ i); A \subseteq \bigcup \{X. (\exists i \leq n. X = (P\ i))\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists i \leq n. A \subseteq (P\ i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prod-n-ideal-contTr0}:(\forall l \leq n. \text{ ideal } R\ (J\ l)) \longrightarrow$   
 $i\Pi_{R,n} J \subseteq \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J\ k))\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prod-n-ideal-contTr}:\llbracket \forall l \leq n. \text{ ideal } R\ (J\ l) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $i\Pi_{R,n} J \subseteq \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J\ k))\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prod-n-ideal-cont2}:\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ ideal } R\ (J\ l);$   
 $\text{prime-ideal } R\ P; \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J\ k))\} \subseteq P \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists l \leq n. (J\ l) \subseteq P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{prod-n-ideal-cont3}:\llbracket \forall l \leq (n::\text{nat}). \text{ ideal } R\ (J\ l);$   
 $\text{prime-ideal } R\ P; \bigcap \{X. (\exists k \leq n. X = (J\ k))\} = P \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists l \leq n. (J\ l) = P$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

*ideal-quotient* :: [- , 'a set, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  

$$\text{ideal-quotient } R A B = \{x \mid x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall b \in B. x \cdot_r R b \in A)\}$$

**abbreviation**

*IDEALQT* ((3-/ †-/ -) [82,82,83]82) **where**  

$$A \dagger_R B == \text{ideal-quotient } R A B$$

**lemma (in Ring)** *ideal-quotient-is-ideal*:

$\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (\text{ideal-quotient } R A B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.9 Addition of finite elements of a ring and *ideal-multiplication*

We consider sum in an abelian group

**lemma (in aGroup)** *nsum-mem1Tr*:  $A +> J \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in J) \longrightarrow \text{nsum } A f n \in J$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *fSum-mem*:  $\llbracket \forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } n) m. f j \in \text{carrier } A; n < m \rrbracket \implies \text{fSum } A f (\text{Suc } n) m \in \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *nsum-mem1*:  $\llbracket A +> J; \forall j \leq n. f j \in J \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A f n \in J$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *nsum-eq-i*:  $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } A; i \leq n; \forall l \leq i. f l = g l \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A f i = \text{nsum } A g i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *nsum-cmp-eq*:  $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; h1 \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; h2 \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{cmp } h2 h1)) i = \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } (\text{cmp } f h2) h1) i$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** *nsum-cmp-eq-transpos*:  $\llbracket \forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). f j \in \text{carrier } A; i \leq n; i \neq n \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } i n) (\text{cmp } (\text{transpos } n (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{transpos } i n)))) (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } i (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transpos-Tr-n1*:  $\text{Suc } 0 \leq n \implies \text{transpos } (n - \text{Suc } 0) n n = n - \text{Suc } 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *transpos-Tr-n2*:  $\text{Suc } 0 \leq n \implies$

$\text{transpos}(n - (\text{Suc } 0)) \ n \ (n - (\text{Suc } 0)) = n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) additionTr0:**  $\llbracket 0 < n; \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{transpos } (n - 1) \ n)) \ n = \text{nsum } A \ f \ n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) additionTr1:**  $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \wedge \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n);$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\};$   
 $\text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))\}; h \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) additionTr1-1:**  $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n);$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{transpos } i \ (\text{Suc } n))) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) additionTr1-2:**  $\llbracket \forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge$   
 $\text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n);$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A; i \leq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ (\text{transpos } i \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)))) \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) =$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) additionTr2:**  $\forall f. \forall h. f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A \wedge$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \wedge$   
 $\text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) addition2:**  $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ (\text{Suc } n) = \text{nsum } A \ f \ (\text{Suc } n)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) addition21:**  $\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } A;$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\}; \text{inj-on } h \ \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{nsum } A \ (\text{cmp } f \ h) \ n = \text{nsum } A \ f \ n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in aGroup) addition3:**  $\forall j \leq (\text{Suc } n). f j \in \text{carrier } A; j \leq (\text{Suc } n);$

$j \neq Suc n] \implies nsum A f (Suc n) = nsum A (cmp f (transpos j (Suc n))) (Suc n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-splitTr:**  $(\forall j \leq (Suc (n + m)). f j \in carrier A) \rightarrow$   
 $nsum A f (Suc (n + m)) = nsum A f n \pm (nsum A (cmp f (slide (Suc n))) m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-split:**  $\forall j \leq (Suc (n + m)). f j \in carrier A \implies$   
 $nsum A f (Suc (n + m)) = nsum A f n \pm (nsum A (cmp f (slide (Suc n))) m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-split1:**  $\forall j \leq m. f j \in carrier A; n < m \implies$   
 $nsum A f m = nsum A f n \pm (fSum A f (Suc n) m)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-minusTr:**  $(\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A) \rightarrow$   
 $-_a (nsum A f n) = nsum A (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a (f x)) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-minus:**  $\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A \implies$   
 $-_a (nsum A f n) = nsum A (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a (f x)) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ring-nsum-zeroTr:**  $(\forall j \leq (n::nat). f j \in carrier A) \wedge$   
 $(\forall j \leq n. f j = \mathbf{0}) \rightarrow nsum A f n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ring-nsum-zero:**  $\forall j \leq (n::nat). f j = \mathbf{0} \implies \Sigma_e A f n = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-nsum-1-nonzeroTr:**  
 $\forall f. (\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A) \wedge$   
 $(l \leq n \wedge (\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\} - \{l\}. f j = \mathbf{0}))$   
 $\rightarrow nsum A f n = f l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-nsum-1-nonzero:**  $\forall j \leq n. f j \in carrier A; l \leq n;$   
 $\forall j \in (\{j. j \leq n\} - \{l\}). f j = \mathbf{0} \] \implies nsum A f n = f l$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

*set-mult* :: [- , 'a set, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
 $set-mult R A B = \{z. \exists x \in A. \exists y \in B. x \cdot_r R y = z\}$

#### definition

*sum-mult* :: [- , 'a set, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
 $sum-mult R A B = \{x. \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\}$

$\rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. \text{ nsum } R f n = x \}$

**lemma (in Ring) set-mult-sub:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) set-mult-mono:**  $\llbracket A1 \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A2 \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A1 \subseteq A2; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{set-mult } R A1 B \subseteq \text{set-mult } R A2 B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-Tr1:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \rightarrow \text{nsum } R f n \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-mem:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies \text{nsum } R f n \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-mem1:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. \text{ nsum } R f n = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-subR:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) times-mem-sum-mult:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R; a \in A; b \in B \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_r b \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) mem-minus-sum-multTr2:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B; i \leq n \rrbracket \implies f i \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nsum-jointfun:**  $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } A; \forall j \leq m. g j \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \Sigma_e A (\text{jointfun } n f m g) (\text{Suc } (n + m)) = \Sigma_e A f n \pm (\Sigma_e A g m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-pOp-closed:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; B \subseteq \text{carrier } R; a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B; b \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies a \pm_R b \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) set-mult-mOp-closed:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; x \in \text{set-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies -_a x \in \text{set-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) set-mult-ring-times-closed:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; x \in \text{set-mult } R A B; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r x \in \text{set-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) set-mult-sub-sum-mult:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-pOp-closedn:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow \Sigma_e R f n \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) mem-minus-sum-multTr4:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow -_a (nsum R f n) \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-iOp-closed:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies -_a x \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-ring-multiplicationTr:**  
 $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (\forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{set-mult } R A B) \longrightarrow r \cdot_r (nsum R f n) \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-ring-multiplication:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; r \in \text{carrier } R; a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_r a \in \text{sum-mult } R A B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-sum-mult:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; A \neq \{\}; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (\text{sum-mult } R A B)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-inc-set-multTr:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C; \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies \forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. \Sigma_e R f n \in C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-inc-set-mult:**  $\llbracket A \subseteq \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C; \text{set-mult } R A B \subseteq C \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq C$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) AB-inc-sum-mult:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B \subseteq A \cap B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sum-mult-is-ideal-prod:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B \rrbracket \implies \text{sum-mult } R A B = A \diamond_r B$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-assocTr0:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C; y \in C; z \in \text{set-mult } R A B \rrbracket \implies z \cdot_r y \in \text{sum-mult } R A (B \diamond_r C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-assocTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C; y \in C \rrbracket \implies \forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R A B. (\Sigma_e R f n) \cdot_r y \in A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-quotient-idealTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C; x \in \text{carrier } R; \forall c \in C. x \cdot_r c \in \text{ideal-quotient } R A B \rrbracket \implies f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R B C \longrightarrow x \cdot_r (\text{nsum } R f n) \in A$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-quotient-ideal:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C \rrbracket \implies A \uparrow_R B \uparrow_R C = A \uparrow_R B \diamond_r C$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-assocTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C \rrbracket \implies \forall f. (f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R (A \diamond_r B) C \longrightarrow (\Sigma_e R f n) \in A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) ideal-prod-assoc:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{ideal } R B; \text{ideal } R C \rrbracket \implies (A \diamond_r B) \diamond_r C = A \diamond_r (B \diamond_r C)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prod-principal-idealTr0:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; z \in \text{set-mult } R (R \diamond_p a) (R \diamond_p b) \rrbracket \implies z \in R \diamond_p (a \cdot_r b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prod-principal-idealTr1:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \forall f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{set-mult } R (R \diamond_p a) (R \diamond_p b). \Sigma_e R f n \in R \diamond_p (a \cdot_r b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prod-principal-ideal:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (Rxa R a) \diamond_r (Rxa R b) = Rxa R (a \cdot_r b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) principal-ideal-n-pow1:**  $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies (Rxa R a)^{\diamond R n} = Rxa R (a^{\wedge R n})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) principal-ideal-n-pow:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; I = Rxa R a \rrbracket \implies I^{\diamond R n} = Rxa R (a^{\wedge R n})$

$\langle proof \rangle$

more about *ideal-n-prod*

**lemma (in Ring)** *nprod-eqTr*:  $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = g j) \longrightarrow nprod R f n = nprod R g n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *nprod-eq*:  $\llbracket \forall j \leq n. f j \in \text{carrier } R; \forall j \leq n. g j \in \text{carrier } R; (\forall j \leq (n::nat). f j = g j) \rrbracket \implies nprod R f n = nprod R g n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

*mprod-expR* ::  $[('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, nat \Rightarrow nat, nat \Rightarrow 'b, nat] \Rightarrow 'b \text{ where}$   
 $mprod-expR R e f n = nprod R (\lambda j. ((f j) ^R (e j))) n$

**lemma (in Ring)** *mprodR-Suc*:  $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\}; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies mprod-expR R e f (\text{Suc } n) = (mprod-expR R e f n) \cdot_r ((f (\text{Suc } n)) ^R (e (\text{Suc } n)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *mprod-expR-memTr*:  $e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\} \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \longrightarrow mprod-expR R e f n \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *mprod-expR-mem*:  $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\}; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies mprod-expR R e f n \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prod-n-principal-idealTr*:  $e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\} \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge (\forall k \leq n. J k = (Rxa R (f k)) ^{\diamond R} (e k)) \longrightarrow \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J = Rxa R (mprod-expR R e f n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *prod-n-principal-ideal*:  $\llbracket e \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. (0::nat) \leq j\}; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \forall k \leq n. J k = (Rxa R (f k)) ^{\diamond R} (e k) \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal-n-prod } R n J = Rxa R (mprod-expR R e f n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain)** *a-notin-n-pow1*:  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg \text{Unit } R a; a \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies a \notin (Rxa R a) ^{\diamond R} (\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain)** *a-notin-n-pow2*:  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; \neg \text{Unit } R a; a \neq \mathbf{0};$

$0 < n] \implies a^R n \notin (Rxa R a) \diamond R (Suc n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain)**  $n\text{-pow-not-prime} : [a \in carrier R; a \neq 0; 0 < n]$   
 $\implies \neg \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa R a) \diamond R (Suc n))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain)**  $\text{principal-pow-prime-condTr} :$   
 $[a \in carrier R; a \neq 0; \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa R a) \diamond R (Suc n))] \implies n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Idomain)**  $\text{principal-pow-prime-cond} :$   
 $[a \in carrier R; a \neq 0; \text{prime-ideal } R ((Rxa R a) \diamond R n)] \implies n = Suc 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.10 Extension and contraction

**locale**  $\text{TwoRings} = \text{Ring} +$   
**fixes**  $R'$  (**structure**)  
**assumes**  $\text{secondR} : \text{Ring } R'$

### definition

$i\text{-contract} :: [ 'a \Rightarrow 'b, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm2) \text{ Ring-scheme},$   
 $'b \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $i\text{-contract } f R R' J = \text{invim } f (\text{carrier } R) J$

### definition

$i\text{-extension} :: [ 'a \Rightarrow 'b, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'm2) \text{ Ring-scheme},$   
 $'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set where}$   
 $i\text{-extension } f R R' I = \text{sum-mult } R' (f ` I) (\text{carrier } R')$

**lemma (in TwoRings)**  $i\text{-contract-sub} : [f \in rHom R R'; \text{ideal } R' J] \implies$   
 $(i\text{-contract } f R R' J) \subseteq \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in TwoRings)**  $i\text{-contract-ideal} : [f \in rHom R R'; \text{ideal } R' J] \implies$   
 $\text{ideal } R (i\text{-contract } f R R' J)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in TwoRings)**  $i\text{-contract-mono} : [f \in rHom R R'; \text{ideal } R' J1; \text{ideal } R' J2;$   
 $J1 \subseteq J2] \implies i\text{-contract } f R R' J1 \subseteq i\text{-contract } f R R' J2$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in TwoRings)**  $i\text{-contract-prime} : [f \in rHom R R'; \text{prime-ideal } R' P] \implies$   
 $\text{prime-ideal } R (i\text{-contract } f R R' P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in TwoRings) i-extension-ideal:**  $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; ideal R I \rrbracket \implies ideal R' (i\text{-extension } f R R' I)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in TwoRings) i-extension-mono:**  $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; ideal R I1; ideal R I2; I1 \subseteq I2 \rrbracket \implies (i\text{-extension } f R R' I1) \subseteq (i\text{-extension } f R R' I2)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in TwoRings) e-c-inc-self:**  $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; ideal R I \rrbracket \implies I \subseteq i\text{-contract } f R R' (i\text{-extension } f R R' I)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in TwoRings) c-e-incd-self:**  $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; ideal R' J \rrbracket \implies i\text{-extension } f R R' (i\text{-contract } f R R' J) \subseteq J$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in TwoRings) c-e-c-eq-c:**  $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; ideal R' J \rrbracket \implies i\text{-contract } f R R' (i\text{-extension } f R R' (i\text{-contract } f R R' J)) = i\text{-contract } f R R' J$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in TwoRings) e-c-e-eq-e:**  $\llbracket f \in rHom R R'; ideal R I \rrbracket \implies i\text{-extension } f R R' (i\text{-contract } f R R' (i\text{-extension } f R R' I)) = i\text{-extension } f R R' I$

*(proof)*

## 4.11 Complete system of representatives

**definition**

$csrp-fn :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set \text{ where}$   
 $csrp-fn R I = (\lambda x \in carrier (R /_r I). (if x = I \text{ then } \mathbf{0}_R \text{ else SOME } y. y \in x))$

**definition**

$csrp :: [-, 'a set] \Rightarrow 'a set \text{ where}$   
 $csrp R I == (csrp-fn R I) ` (carrier (R /_r I))$

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-mem:**  $\llbracket ideal R I; a \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies csrp-fn R I (a \uplus_R I) \in a \uplus_R I$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-same:**  $\llbracket ideal R I; a \in carrier R \rrbracket \implies csrp-fn R I (a \uplus_R I) \uplus_R I = a \uplus_R I$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-mem1:**  $\llbracket ideal R I; x \in carrier (R /_r I) \rrbracket \implies csrp-fn R I x \in x$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-fn-mem:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{csrp-fn } R I x) \in \text{carrier } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-eq-coset:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{csrp-fn } R I x) \uplus_R I = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-nz-nz:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I);$   
 $x \neq \mathbf{0}_{(R /_r I)} \rrbracket \implies (\text{csrp-fn } R I x) \neq \mathbf{0}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-diff-in-vpr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x \pm (-_a (\text{csrp-fn } R I (pj R I x))) \in I$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) csrp-pj:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R I; x \in \text{carrier } (R /_r I) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(pj R I) (\text{csrp-fn } R I x) = x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.12 Polynomial ring

In this section, we treat a ring of polynomials over a ring S. Numbers are of type ant

**definition**

$\text{pol-coeff} :: [('a, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme}, (\text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a))] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S c \longleftrightarrow (\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) j \in \text{carrier } S)$

**definition**

$c\text{-max} :: [('a, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \text{ where}$   
 $c\text{-max } S c = (\text{if } \{j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (\text{snd } c) j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\} = \{\}) \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$   
 $n\text{-max } \{j. j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (\text{snd } c) j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\})$

**definition**

$\text{polyn-expr} :: [('a, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k c == \text{nsum } R (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r R (X^R j)) k$

**definition**

$\text{algfree-cond} :: [('a, 'm) \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{Ring-scheme},$   
 $'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{algfree-cond } R S X \longleftrightarrow (\forall c. \text{pol-coeff } S c \wedge (\forall k \leq (\text{fst } c).$   
 $(\text{nsum } R (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r R (X^R j)) k = \mathbf{0}_R \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) j = \mathbf{0}_S)))$

**locale**  $\text{PolynRg} = \text{Ring} +$   
**fixes**  $S$  (**structure**)

```

fixes X (structure)
assumes X-mem-R:X ∈ carrier R
and not-zeroring:¬ Zero-ring S
and subring: Subring R S
and algfree: algfree-cond R S X
and S-X-generate:x ∈ carrier R ==>
  ∃f. pol-coeff S f ∧ x = polyn-expr R X (fst f) f

```

## 4.13 Addition and multiplication of polyn-exprs

### 4.13.1 Simple properties of a polyn-ring

**lemma** Subring-subset:Subring R S ==> carrier S ⊆ carrier R  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** subring-Ring:Subring R S ==> Ring S  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** mem-subring-mem-ring:[Subring R S; x ∈ carrier S] ==>  
 $x \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Subring-pOp-ring-pOp:[Subring R S; a ∈ carrier S;  
 $b \in \text{carrier } S] ==> a \pm_S b = a \pm b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Subring-tOp-ring-tOp:[Subring R S; a ∈ carrier S;  
 $b \in \text{carrier } S] ==> a \cdot_{rS} b = a \cdot_r b$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Subring-one-ring-one:Subring R S ==>  $1_{rS} = 1_r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Subring-zero-ring-zero:Subring R S ==>  $\mathbf{0}_S = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** Subring-minus-ring-minus:[Subring R S; x ∈ carrier S]  
 $\implies -_a x = -_a x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** Subring-pow-ring-pow:x ∈ carrier S ==>  
 $x^S n = x^R n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** is-Ring: Ring R  $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** polyn-ring-nonzero: $1_r \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-S-nonzero*: $1_r S \neq \mathbf{0}_S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-ring-X-nonzero*: $X \neq \mathbf{0}$   
*(proof)*

#### 4.13.2 Coefficients of a polynomial

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-split*: $\text{pol-coeff } S f = \text{pol-coeff } S (\text{fst } f, \text{snd } f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-cartesian*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies (\text{fst } c, \text{snd } c) = c$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *split-pol-coeff*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies \text{pol-coeff } S (k, \text{snd } c)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-pre*: $\text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{Suc } n), f) \implies \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-le*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; n \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies \text{pol-coeff } S (n, (\text{snd } c))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-mem*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; j \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies ((\text{snd } c) j) \in \text{carrier } S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *pol-coeff-mem-R*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; j \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies ((\text{snd } c) j) \in \text{carrier } R$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *Slide-pol-coeff*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; n < (\text{fst } c)] \implies \text{pol-coeff } S (((\text{fst } c) - \text{Suc } n), (\lambda x. (\text{snd } c) (\text{Suc } (n + x))))$   
*(proof)*

#### 4.13.3 Addition of *polyn-exprs*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *monomial-mem*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies \forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) j \cdot_r X^R j \in \text{carrier } R$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-mem*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies \text{polyn-expr } R X k c \in \text{carrier } R$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *PolynRg*) *polyn-exprs-eq*: $[\text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d;$

$k \leq (\min (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)); \forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) j = (\text{snd } d) j] \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k c = \text{polyn-expr } R X k d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-expr-restrict:pol-coeff } S (\text{Suc } n, f) \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X n (\text{Suc } n, f) = \text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-expr-short:[pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k c = \text{polyn-expr } R X k (k, \text{snd } c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-expr0:pol-coeff } S c \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X 0 c = (\text{snd } c) 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-expr-split:}$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X k f = \text{polyn-expr } R X k (\text{fst } f, \text{snd } f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-Suc:Suc } n \leq (\text{fst } c) \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{Suc } n) ((\text{Suc } n), (\text{snd } c)) =$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X n c \pm ((\text{snd } c) (\text{Suc } n)) \cdot_r (X^R (\text{Suc } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-Suc-split:pol-coeff } S (\text{Suc } n, f) \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{Suc } n) ((\text{Suc } n), f) =$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f) \pm (f (\text{Suc } n)) \cdot_r (X^R (\text{Suc } n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-n-m:}[pol-coeff } S c; n < m; m \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, (\text{snd } c)) = \text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, (\text{snd } c)) \pm$   
 $(\text{fSum } R (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-n-m1:}[pol-coeff } S c; n < m; m \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X m c = \text{polyn-expr } R X n c \pm$   
 $(\text{fSum } R (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-n-m-mem:}[pol-coeff } S c; n < m; m \leq (\text{fst } c)] \implies$   
 $(\text{fSum } R (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) m) \in \text{carrier } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-n-ms-eq:}[pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d;$   
 $m \leq \min (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d); n < m;$   
 $\forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } n) m. (\text{snd } c) j = (\text{snd } d) j] \implies$   
 $(\text{fSum } R (\lambda j. ((\text{snd } c) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (\text{Suc } n) m) =$

$(fSum R (\lambda j. ((snd d) j) \cdot_r (X^R j)) (Suc n) m)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-addTr:**  
 $(pol-coeff S (n, f)) \wedge (pol-coeff S (n, g)) \rightarrow$   
 $(polyn-expr R X n (n, f)) \pm (polyn-expr R X n (n, g)) =$   
 $nsum R (\lambda j. ((f j) \pm_S (g j)) \cdot_r (X^R j)) n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-add-n:[**  
 $[pol-coeff S (n, f); pol-coeff S (n, g)] \Rightarrow$   
 $(polyn-expr R X n (n, f)) \pm (polyn-expr R X n (n, g)) =$   
 $nsum R (\lambda j. ((f j) \pm_S (g j)) \cdot_r (X^R j)) n$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $add-cf :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a), nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow$   
 $nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$  where  
 $add-cf S c d =$   
 $(if (fst c) < (fst d) then ((fst d), \lambda j. (if j \leq (fst c)$   
 $then (((snd c) j) \pm_S ((snd d) j)) else ((snd$   
 $d) j)))$   
 $else if (fst c) = (fst d) then ((fst c), \lambda j. ((snd c) j \pm_S (snd d) j))$   
 $else ((fst c), \lambda j. (if j \leq (fst d) then$   
 $((snd c) j \pm_S (snd d) j) else ((snd c) j)))$

**lemma (in PolynRg) add-cf-pol-coeff:[**  
 $[pol-coeff S c; pol-coeff S d] \Rightarrow$   
 $pol-coeff S (add-cf S c d)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) add-cf-len:[**  
 $[pol-coeff S c; pol-coeff S d] \Rightarrow$   
 $fst (add-cf S c d) = (max (fst c) (fst d))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-expr-restrict1:[**  
 $[pol-coeff S (n, f);$   
 $pol-coeff S (Suc (m + n), g)] \Rightarrow$   
 $polyn-expr R X (m + n) (add-cf S (n, f) (m + n, g)) =$   
 $polyn-expr R X (m + n) (m + n, snd (add-cf S (n, f) (Suc (m + n), g)))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-add-n1:[**  
 $[pol-coeff S (n, f); pol-coeff S (n, g)] \Rightarrow$   
 $(polyn-expr R X n (n, f)) \pm (polyn-expr R X n (n, g)) =$   
 $polyn-expr R X n (add-cf S (n, f) (n, g))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) add-cf-val-hi:(**  
 $(fst c) < (fst d) \Rightarrow$   
 $snd (add-cf S c d) (fst d) = (snd d) (fst d)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) add-cf-commute:**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d \rrbracket$

$$\implies \forall j \leq (\max (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)). \text{snd} (\text{add-cf } S c d) j =$$

$$\text{snd} (\text{add-cf } S d c) j$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-addTr1:**  $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, f) \implies$

$$\forall g. \text{pol-coeff } S (n + m, g) \longrightarrow$$

$$(\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f) \pm (\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + m) ((n + m), g)))$$

$$= \text{polyn-expr } R X (n + m) (\text{add-cf } S (n, f) ((n + m), g))$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-add:**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f); \text{pol-coeff } S (m, g) \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f) \pm (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, g))$$

$$= \text{polyn-expr } R X (\max n m) (\text{add-cf } S (n, f) (m, g))$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-add1:**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d \rrbracket$

$$\implies \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c \pm (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } d) d)$$

$$= \text{polyn-expr } R X (\max (\text{fst } c) (\text{fst } d)) (\text{add-cf } S c d)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-minus-nsum:**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c) = \text{nsum } R (\lambda j. ((-_a S ((\text{snd } c) j)) \cdot_r (X^R j))) k$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) minus-pol-coeff:**  $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$

$$\text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{fst } c), (\lambda j. (-_a S ((\text{snd } c) j))))$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-minus:**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c) =$$

$$\text{polyn-expr } R X k (\text{fst } c, (\lambda j. (-_a S ((\text{snd } c) j))))$$

*(proof)*

### definition

$m\text{-cf} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**

$$m\text{-cf } S c = (\text{fst } c, (\lambda j. (-_a S ((\text{snd } c) j))))$$

**lemma (in PolynRg) m-cf-pol-coeff:**  $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$

$$\text{pol-coeff } S (m\text{-cf } S c)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) m-cf-len:**  $\text{pol-coeff } S c \implies$

$$\text{fst } (m\text{-cf } S c) = \text{fst } c$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-minus-m-cf:**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c) =$$

$$\text{polyn-expr } R X k (m\text{-cf } S c)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *polyn-zero-minus-zero*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \Rightarrow (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c = \mathbf{0}) = (\text{polyn-expr } R X k (\text{m-cf } S c) = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *coeff-0-pol-0*: $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq \text{fst } c \rrbracket \Rightarrow (\forall j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) j = \mathbf{0}_S) = (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.13.4 Multiplication of pol-exprs

#### 4.13.5 Multiplication

**definition**

$\text{ext-cf} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{ext-cf } S n c = (n + \text{fst } c, \lambda i. \text{ if } n \leq i \text{ then } (\text{snd } c) (\text{sliden } n i) \text{ else } \mathbf{0}_S)$

**definition**

$\text{sp-cf} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{sp-cf } S a c = (\text{fst } c, \lambda j. a \cdot_{rS} ((\text{snd } c) j))$

**definition**

$\text{special-cf} :: ('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) (C_0) \text{ where}$   
 $C_0 \ S = (0, \lambda j. 1_{rS})$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *special-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S (C_0 \ S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *special-cf-len:fst* ( $C_0 \ S$ ) = 0  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ext-cf-pol-coeff*: $\text{pol-coeff } S c \Rightarrow \text{pol-coeff } S (\text{ext-cf } S n c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ext-cf-len:pol-coeff*  $S c \Rightarrow \text{fst } (\text{ext-cf } S m c) = m + \text{fst } c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ext-special-cf-len:fst* ( $\text{ext-cf } S m (C_0 \ S)$ ) =  $m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ext-cf-self:pol-coeff*  $S c \Rightarrow \forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). \text{ snd } (\text{ext-cf } S 0 c) j = (\text{snd } c) j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) ext-cf-hi:pol-coeff S c  $\implies$**   
 $(\text{snd } c) (\text{fst } c) =$   
 $\text{snd} (\text{ext-cf } S n c) (n + (\text{fst } c))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) ext-special-cf-hi:snd (ext-cf S n (C\_0 S)) n = 1\_r S**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) ext-cf-lo-zero:[pol-coeff S c; 0 < n; x ≤ (n - Suc 0)]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{snd} (\text{ext-cf } S n c) x = \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) ext-special-cf-lo-zero:[0 < n; x ≤ (n - Suc 0)]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{snd} (\text{ext-cf } S n (C_0 S)) x = \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) sp-cf-pol-coeff:[pol-coeff S c; a ∈ carrier S]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (\text{sp-cf } S a c)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) sp-cf-len:[pol-coeff S c; a ∈ carrier S]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{fst} (\text{sp-cf } S a c) = \text{fst } c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) sp-cf-val:[pol-coeff S c; j ≤ (fst c); a ∈ carrier S]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{snd} (\text{sp-cf } S a c) j = a \cdot_r S ((\text{snd } c) j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-ext-cf-lo-zero:[pol-coeff S c; 0 < j]  $\implies$**   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (j - \text{Suc } 0) (\text{ext-cf } S j c) = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) monomial-d:pol-coeff S c  $\implies$**   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X d (\text{ext-cf } S d c) = ((\text{snd } c) 0) \cdot_r X^R d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) X-to-d: X^R d = polyn-expr R X d (ext-cf S d (C\_0 S))**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) c-max-ext-special-cf:c-max S (ext-cf S n (C\_0 S)) = n**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) scalar-times-polynTr:a ∈ carrier S  $\implies$**   
 $\forall f. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f) \longrightarrow$   
 $a \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) = \text{polyn-expr } R X n (\text{sp-cf } S a (n, f))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) scalar-times-pol-expr:[a ∈ carrier S; pol-coeff S c;**

$n \leq \text{fst } c \Rightarrow$   
 $a \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X n c) = \text{polyn-expr } R X n (\text{sp-cf } S a c)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *sp-coeff-nonzero:*  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; a \in \text{carrier } S; a \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S c; (\text{snd } c) j \neq \mathbf{0}_S; j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{snd } (\text{sp-cf } S a c) j \neq \mathbf{0}_S$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ext-cf-inductTl:pol-coeff*  $S (\text{Suc } n, f) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + j) (\text{ext-cf } S j (\text{Suc } n, f)) =$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + j) (\text{ext-cf } S j (n, f))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *low-deg-terms-zeroTr:*  
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (n, f) \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + j) (\text{ext-cf } S j (n, f)) =$   
 $(X^R j) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *low-deg-terms-zero:pol-coeff*  $S (n, f) \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + j) (\text{ext-cf } S j (n, f)) =$   
 $(X^R j) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *low-deg-terms-zero1:pol-coeff*  $S c \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X ((\text{fst } c) + j) (\text{ext-cf } S j c) =$   
 $(X^R j) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *polyn-expr-tOpTr:pol-coeff*  $S (n, f) \Rightarrow$   
 $\forall g. (\text{pol-coeff } S (m, g) \longrightarrow (\exists h. \text{pol-coeff } S ((n + m), h) \wedge$   
 $h (n + m) = (f n) \cdot_r S (g m) \wedge$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + m) (n + m, h) =$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, g))))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *polyn-expr-tOp:*  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f); \text{pol-coeff } S (m, g) \rrbracket \Rightarrow \exists e. \text{pol-coeff } S ((n + m), e) \wedge$   
 $e (n + m) = (f n) \cdot_r S (g m) \wedge$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R X (n + m) (n + m, e) =$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, g))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *polyn-expr-tOp-c:*  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{pol-coeff } S d \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\exists e. \text{pol-coeff } S e \wedge (\text{fst } e = \text{fst } c + \text{fst } d) \wedge$   
 $(\text{snd } e) (\text{fst } e) = (\text{snd } c (\text{fst } c)) \cdot_r S (\text{snd } d) (\text{fst } d) \wedge$

$\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } e) \ e =$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 4.14 The degree of a polynomial

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-degreeTr} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)]\!] \implies$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \mathbf{0}) = (\{j. j \leq k \wedge (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S\} = \{\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{higher-part-zero} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k < \text{fst } c;$   
 $\forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } k). (\text{fst } c). \text{snd } c \ j = \mathbf{0}_S]\!] \implies$   
 $\Sigma_f R (\lambda j. \text{snd } c \ j \cdot_r X^{\text{R } j}) (\text{Suc } k) (\text{fst } c) = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{coeff-nonzero-polyn-nonzero} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c)]\!]$   
 $\implies (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c \neq \mathbf{0}) = (\exists j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-expr-unique} : [\![p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0};$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; (\text{snd } c) (\text{fst } c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ d; p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d; (\text{snd } d) (\text{fst } d) \neq \mathbf{0}_S]\!] \implies$   
 $(\text{fst } c) = (\text{fst } d) \wedge (\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j = (\text{snd } d) \ j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-expr-unique2} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$   
 $\text{fst } c = \text{fst } d]\!] \implies$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d) =$   
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j = (\text{snd } d) \ j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-expr-unique3} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$   
 $\text{fst } c < \text{fst } d]\!] \implies$   
 $(\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d) =$   
 $((\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) \ j = (\text{snd } d) \ j) \wedge$   
 $(\forall j \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } (\text{fst } c)). (\text{fst } d). (\text{snd } d) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-degree-unique} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$   
 $\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d]\!] \implies$   
 $c\text{-max } S \ c = c\text{-max } S \ d$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{ex-polyn-expr} : p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$   
 $\exists c. \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{c-max-eqTr0} : [\![\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; k \leq (\text{fst } c);$

$\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ k \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c; \exists j \leq k. (\text{snd } c) \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_S] \implies$   
 $c\text{-max } S \ (k, \ \text{snd } c) = c\text{-max } S \ c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

$\text{cf-sol} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a,$   
 $\text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c \longleftrightarrow \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \wedge (p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c)$

**definition**

$\text{deg-n} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p = c\text{-max } S \ (\text{SOME } c. \ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c)$

**definition**

$\text{deg} :: [('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{ant} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = (\text{if } p = \mathbf{0}_R \text{ then } -\infty \text{ else } (\text{an } (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p)))$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{ex-cf-sol}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$

$\exists c. \ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-in-aug-minf}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$

$\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \in Z_{-\infty}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-noninf}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$

$\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p \neq \infty$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-ant-int}: [p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}]$

$\implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = \text{ant } (\text{int } (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-an}: [p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}]$

$\implies \text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p = \text{an } (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-SOME-1}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$

$\text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ (\text{SOME } f. \ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-SOME-2}: p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{SOME } c. \ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c) \wedge$

$p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } (\text{SOME } c. \ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c))$

$(\text{SOME } c. \ \text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ p \ c)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{coeff-max-zeroTr}: \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \implies$

$\forall j. \ j \leq (\text{fst } c) \wedge (c\text{-max } S \ c) < j \longrightarrow (\text{snd } c) \ j = \mathbf{0}_S$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{coeff-max-nonzeroTr} : \llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; \exists j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) j \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd } c) (\text{c-max } S c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{coeff-max-bddTr} : \text{pol-coeff } S c \implies \text{c-max } S c \leq (\text{fst } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-coeff-max} : \text{pol-coeff } S c \implies \text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{c-max } S c), \text{snd } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-c-max} : \text{pol-coeff } S c \implies \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{c-max } S c) c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-deg-eq-c-max} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R S X p = \text{c-max } S c$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-deg-le-n} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R S X p \leq (\text{fst } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-deg-le-n1} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq (\text{fst } c); p = \text{polyn-expr } R X k c \rrbracket \implies \text{deg-n } R S X p \leq k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-len-gt-deg} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c; \text{deg } R S X p < (\text{an } j); j \leq (\text{fst } c) \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd } c) j = \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-diff-deg-less} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c; p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c; \text{pol-coeff } S d; \text{fst } c = \text{fst } d; (\text{snd } c) (\text{fst } c) = (\text{snd } d) (\text{fst } d) \rrbracket \implies p \pm (-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } d) d)) = \mathbf{0} \vee \text{deg-n } R S X (p \pm (-_a (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } d) d))) < (\text{fst } c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-pre-lt-deg} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c; \text{deg-n } R S X p \leq (\text{fst } c); (\text{deg-n } R S X p) \neq 0; p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{deg-n } R S X p) c \rrbracket \implies (\text{deg-n } R S X (\text{polyn-expr } R X ((\text{deg-n } R S X p) - \text{Suc } 0) c)) < (\text{deg-n } R S X p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-deg-n} : \llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c;$

$n \leq fst c; p = polyn\text{-}expr R X n c; (snd c) n \neq \mathbf{0}_S \Rightarrow$   
 $deg\text{-}n R S X p = n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $pol\text{-}expr\text{-}deg:\llbracket p \in carrier R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\exists c. pol\text{-}coeff S c \wedge deg\text{-}n R S X p \leq (fst c) \wedge$   
 $p = polyn\text{-}expr R X (deg\text{-}n R S X p) c \wedge$   
 $(snd c) (deg\text{-}n R S X p) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $deg\text{-}n\text{-}pos:p \in carrier R \Rightarrow 0 \leq deg\text{-}n R S X p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $pol\text{-}expr\text{-}deg1:\llbracket p \in carrier R; d = na (deg R S X p) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\exists c. (pol\text{-}coeff S c \wedge p = polyn\text{-}expr R X d c)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory Algebra6 imports Algebra5 begin**

**definition**

$s\text{-}cf :: [('a, 'm) Ring\text{-}scheme, ('a, 'm1) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)$  **where**  
 $s\text{-}cf R S X p = (if p = \mathbf{0}_R then (0, \lambda j. \mathbf{0}_S) else$   
 $SOME c. (pol\text{-}coeff S c \wedge p = polyn\text{-}expr R X (fst c) c \wedge$   
 $(snd c) (fst c) \neq \mathbf{0}_S))$

**definition**

$lcf :: [('a, 'm) Ring\text{-}scheme, ('a, 'm1) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
 $lcf R S X p = (snd (s\text{-}cf R S X p)) (fst (s\text{-}cf R S X p))$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $lcf\text{-}val\text{-}0:lcf R S X \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $lcf\text{-}val:\llbracket p \in carrier R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $lcf R S X p = (snd (s\text{-}cf R S X p)) (fst (s\text{-}cf R S X p))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $s\text{-}cf\text{-}pol\text{-}coeff:p \in carrier R \Rightarrow$   
 $pol\text{-}coeff S (s\text{-}cf R S X p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $lcf\text{-}mem:p \in carrier R \Rightarrow (lcf R S X p) \in carrier S$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $s\text{-}cf\text{-}expr0$ :**  $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (\text{s-}cf R S X p) \wedge$   
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } (\text{s-}cf R S X p)) (\text{s-}cf R S X p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $pos\text{-}deg\text{-}nonzero$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < \text{deg-}n R S X p \rrbracket \implies$   
 $p \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $s\text{-}cf\text{-}expr$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S (\text{s-}cf R S X p) \wedge$   
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } (\text{s-}cf R S X p)) (\text{s-}cf R S X p) \wedge$   
 $(\text{snd } (\text{s-}cf R S X p)) (\text{fst } (\text{s-}cf R S X p)) \neq \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $lcf\text{-}nonzero$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{lcf } R S X p \neq \mathbf{0}_S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $s\text{-}cf\text{-}deg$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{deg-}n R S X p = \text{fst } (\text{s-}cf R S X p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $pol\text{-}expr\text{-}edeg$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R S X p \leq (\text{an } d) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists f. (\text{pol-coeff } S f \wedge \text{fst } f = d \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R X d f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $cf\text{-}scf$ :**  $\llbracket \text{pol-coeff } S c; k \leq \text{fst } c; \text{polyn-expr } R X k c \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall j \leq \text{fst } (\text{s-}cf R S X (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c)).$   
 $\text{snd } (\text{s-}cf R S X (\text{polyn-expr } R X k c)) j = \text{snd } c j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $\text{scf-cond} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, \text{'a},$   
 $\text{nat}, \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a})] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{scf-cond } R S X p d c \longleftrightarrow \text{pol-coeff } S c \wedge \text{fst } c = d \wedge p = \text{polyn-expr } R X d c$

**definition**  
 $\text{scf-d} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, \text{'a}, \text{nat}]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \times (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{'a}) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{scf-d } R S X p d = (\text{SOME } f. \text{scf-cond } R S X p d f)$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $scf\text{-}d\text{-}polTr$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R S X p \leq \text{an } d \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{scf-cond } R S X p d (\text{scf-d } R S X p d)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)  $scf\text{-}d\text{-}pol$ :**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{deg } R S X p \leq \text{an } d \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (\text{scf-}d \ R \ S \ X \ p \ d) \wedge \text{fst } (\text{scf-}d \ R \ S \ X \ p \ d) = d \wedge$   
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ d \ (\text{scf-}d \ R \ S \ X \ p \ d)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-expr-of-}X:$   
 $X = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{ext-}cf \ S \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ S))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-}n\text{-of-}X:\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ X = \text{Suc } 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-}X:\text{cf-sol } R \ S \ X \ X \ c \implies$   
 $\text{snd } c \ 0 = \mathbf{0}_S \wedge \text{snd } c \ (\text{Suc } 0) = 1_{rS}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-of-deg0}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ p = 0) = (p \in \text{carrier } S)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pols-const}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq 0 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $p \in \text{carrier } S$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{less-deg-add-nonzero}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0};$   
 $q \in \text{carrier } R; q \neq \mathbf{0};$   
 $(\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-deg-add1}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ p) < (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) = (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-deg-add2}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $q \neq \mathbf{0}; p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ p) = (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ q) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-deg-add3}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $q \neq \mathbf{0}; p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}; (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ p) \leq n; (\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ q) \leq n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{deg-}n \ R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-deg-add4}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $(\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ p) \leq (\text{an } n); (\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ q) \leq (\text{an } n) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{deg } R \ S \ X \ (p \pm q) \leq (\text{an } n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-deg-add5:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; (\deg R S X p) \leq a; (\deg R S X q) \leq a \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (p \pm q) \leq a$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) lower-deg-part:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < \deg-n R S X p \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\deg-n R S X p - \text{Suc } 0) (\text{SOME } f. \text{ cf-sol } R S X p f)) < \deg R S X p$

*(proof)*

#### definition

$ldeg-p :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, 'a]$   
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $ldeg-p R S X d p = \text{polyn-expr } R X d (\text{scf-d } R S X p d (\text{Suc } d))$

#### definition

$hdeg-p :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, \text{nat}, 'a]$   
 $\Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $hdeg-p R S X d p = (\text{snd } (\text{scf-d } R S X p d) d) \cdot_r R (X^{\wedge R} d)$

**lemma (in PolynRg) ldeg-p-mem:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies ldeg-p R S X d p \in \text{carrier } R$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) ldeg-p-zero:**  $p = \mathbf{0}_R \implies ldeg-p R S X d p = \mathbf{0}_R$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) hdeg-p-mem:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies hdeg-p R S X (\text{Suc } d) p \in \text{carrier } R$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) hdeg-p-zero:**  $p = \mathbf{0} \implies hdeg-p R S X (\text{Suc } d) p = \mathbf{0}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) decompos-p:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies p = (ldeg-p R S X d p) \pm (hdeg-p R S X (\text{Suc } d) p)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *deg-ldeg-p*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (\text{ldeg-p } R S X d p) \leq \text{an } d$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *deg-minus-eq*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \deg-n R S X (-_a p) = \deg-n R S X p$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *deg-minus-eq1*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (-_a p) = \deg R S X p$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ldeg-p-pOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d); \deg R S X q \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies (\text{ldeg-p } R S X d p) \pm_R (\text{ldeg-p } R S X d q) = \text{ldeg-p } R S X d (p \pm_R q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *hdeg-p-pOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d); \deg R S X q \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies (\text{hdeg-p } R S X (\text{Suc } d) p) \pm (\text{hdeg-p } R S X (\text{Suc } d) q) = \text{hdeg-p } R S X (\text{Suc } d) (p \pm q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ldeg-p-mOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (\text{ldeg-p } R S X d p) = \text{ldeg-p } R S X d (-_a p)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *hdeg-p-mOp*: $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; \deg R S X p \leq \text{an } (\text{Suc } d) \rrbracket \implies$

$$-_a (\text{hdeg-p } R S X (\text{Suc } d) p) = \text{hdeg-p } R S X (\text{Suc } d) (-_a p)$$

*(proof)*

#### 4.14.1 Multiplication of polynomials

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *deg-mult-pol*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; q \in \text{carrier } R; q \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies p \cdot_r q \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge \deg-n R S X (p \cdot_r q) = \deg-n R S X p + \deg-n R S X q$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *deg-mult-pol1*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$$\deg R S X (p \cdot_r q) = \deg R S X p + \deg R S X q$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *const-times-polyn*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S; p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (c \cdot_r p) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$

$\deg-n R S X (c \cdot_r p) = \deg-n R S X p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $p\text{-times-monomial-nonzero}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (X^R j) \cdot_r p \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $p\text{-times-monomial-nonzero1}:\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0}; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies (c \cdot_r (X^R j)) \cdot_r p \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{polyn-ring-integral}:\text{Idomain } S = \text{Idomain } R$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-to-X-d}:\text{Idomain } S \implies \deg-n R S X (X^R d) = d$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### 4.14.2 Degree with value in aug-minf

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{nonzero-deg-pos}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies 0 \leq \deg R S X p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-minf-pol-0}:p \in \text{carrier } R \implies (\deg R S X p = -\infty) = (p = \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-nonzero}:p \in \text{carrier } R \implies (0 \leq \deg R S X p) = (p \neq \mathbf{0})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{minus-deg-in-aug-minf}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies -(\deg R S X p) \in Z_{-\infty}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-of-X}: \deg R S X X = 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{pol-deg-0}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies (\deg R S X p = 0) = (p \in \text{carrier } S)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{deg-of-X2n}:\text{Idomain } S \implies \deg R S X (X^R n) = an\ n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{add-pols-nonzero}:\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; (\deg R S X p) \neq (\deg R S X q) \rrbracket \implies p \pm q \neq \mathbf{0}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) deg-pols-add1:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; (\deg R S X p) < (\deg R S X q) \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (p \pm q) = \deg R S X q$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) deg-pols-add2:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; (\deg R S X p) = (\deg R S X q) \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (p \pm q) \leq (\deg R S X q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) deg-pols-add3:**  $\llbracket p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; (\deg R S X p) \leq an n; (\deg R S X q) \leq an n \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (p \pm q) \leq an n$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) const-times-polyn1:**  $\llbracket Idomain S; p \in \text{carrier } R; c \in \text{carrier } S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \implies \deg R S X (c \cdot_r p) = \deg R S X p$

*(proof)*

## 4.15 Homomorphism of polynomial rings

**definition**

$cf-h :: ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'b)$  **where**  
 $cf-h f = (\lambda c. (fst c, cmp f (snd c)))$

**definition**

$polyn\text{-}Hom :: [('a, 'm) Ring\text{-}scheme, ('a, 'm1) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a, ('b, 'n) Ring\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'n1) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'b] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) set$   
 $((pHom \dots, \dots) [67, 67, 67, 67, 68] 67)$  **where**  
 $pHom R S X, A B Y = \{f. f \in rHom R A \wedge f^*(\text{carrier } S) \subseteq \text{carrier } B \wedge f X = Y\}$

**definition**

$erh :: [('a, 'm) Ring\text{-}scheme, ('a, 'm1) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a, ('b, 'n) Ring\text{-}scheme, ('b, 'n1) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'b, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, nat, nat \times (nat \Rightarrow 'a)] \Rightarrow 'b$  **where**  
 $erh R S X A B Y f n c = polyn\text{-}expr A Y n (cf-h f c)$

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-len:**  $\llbracket PolynRg A B Y; f \in rHom S B; pol-coeff S c \rrbracket \implies fst (cf-h f c) = fst c$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-coeff:**  $\llbracket PolynRg A B Y; f \in rHom S B; pol-coeff S c \rrbracket \implies pol-coeff B (cf-h f c)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-cmp:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f); h \in r\text{Hom } S \ B; \ j \leq n \rrbracket \implies (\text{snd} \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (n, f))) \ j = (\text{cmp } h \ f) \ j$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-special-cf:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in r\text{Hom } S \ B \rrbracket \implies \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (\text{ext-cf } S \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ S))) =$

$\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (\text{ext-cf } B \ (\text{Suc } 0) \ (C_0 \ B))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) polyn-Hom-coeff-to-coeff:**

$\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in p\text{Hom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-len1:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in r\text{Hom } S \ B;$

$f \in p\text{Hom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y; \forall x \in \text{carrier } S. \ f \ x = h \ x; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{fst} \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst} \ (\text{cf-h } h \ c)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-len2:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in p\text{Hom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{fst} \ (\text{cf-h } f \ c) = \text{fst } c$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cmp-pol-coeff:**  $\llbracket f \in r\text{Hom } S \ B; \text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, c) \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, (\text{cmp } f \ c))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cmp-pol-coeff-e:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in p\text{Hom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, c) \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, (\text{cmp } f \ c))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-pol-coeff:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in r\text{Hom } S \ B;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \rrbracket \implies \text{cf-h } h \ (n, f) = (n, \text{cmp } h \ f)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) cf-h-polyn:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in r\text{Hom } S \ B;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (n, f)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n \ (n, (\text{cmp } h \ f))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-rHom:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in p\text{Hom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y \rrbracket$

$\implies$

$f \in r\text{Hom } R \ A$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-X-Y:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y \rrbracket$

$\implies$

$$f \ X = Y$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-memTr:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y;$

$f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall c. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, c) \longrightarrow$

$$f (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n (n, c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n (n, \text{cmp } f \ c)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-mem:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y;$

$f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, c) \rrbracket \implies$

$$f (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ n (n, c)) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ n (n, \text{cmp } f \ c)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-memc:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies$

$$f (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X (\text{fst } c) \ c) = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y (\text{fst } c) (\text{cf-h } f \ c)$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-mem1:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y;$

$p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies f \ p \in \text{carrier } A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-pol-mem:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in \text{pHom } R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y;$

$p \in \text{carrier } R;$

$p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies$

$$f \ p = \text{polyn-expr } A \ Y (\text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ p) (\text{cf-h } f (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ p))$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erh-rHom-coeff:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in \text{rHom } S \ B;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \text{erh } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ 0 \ c = (\text{cmp } h (\text{snd } c)) \ 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erh-polyn-exprs:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in \text{rHom } S \ B;$

$\text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d;$

$\text{polyn-expr } R \ X (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X (\text{fst } d) \ d \rrbracket \implies$

$$\text{erh } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h (\text{fst } c) \ c = \text{erh } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h (\text{fst } d) \ d$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

$\text{erH} :: [(\text{'a}, \text{'m}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'a}, \text{'m1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'a}, (\text{'b}, \text{'n}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (\text{'b}, \text{'n1}) \text{ Ring-scheme}, \text{'b}, \text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}] \Rightarrow$

$\text{'a} \Rightarrow \text{'b}$  where

$$\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } R. \text{erh } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h$$

$$(\text{fst } (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ x)) (\text{s-cf } R \ S \ X \ x))$$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom-0:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B \rrbracket \implies (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h) \ \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-mem:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B; p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ p \in \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom-nonzero:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; f \in rHom \ S \ B; p \in \text{carrier } R; (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ f) \ p \neq \mathbf{0}_A \rrbracket \implies p \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHomTr2:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B \rrbracket \implies (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h) \ (1_r) = (1_{rA})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**declare** max.absorb1 [simp] max.absorb2 [simp]

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-multTr:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c \rrbracket \implies \forall f \ g. \text{pol-coeff } S \ (m, f) \wedge \text{pol-coeff } S \ (((\text{fst } c) + m), g) \wedge (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ m \ (m, f)) = (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ ((\text{fst } c) + m) \ ((\text{fst } c) + m, g)) \rightarrow (\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{fst } c) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ c)) \cdot_{rA} (\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ m \ (\text{cf-h } h \ (m, f))) = (\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ ((\text{fst } c) + m) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ ((\text{fst } c) + m, g)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-multTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B; \text{pol-coeff } S \ c; \text{pol-coeff } S \ d; \text{pol-coeff } S \ e; \text{fst } e = \text{fst } c + \text{fst } d; (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } c) \ c) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ (\text{fst } d) \ d) = \text{polyn-expr } R \ X \ ((\text{fst } c) + (\text{fst } d)) \ e \rrbracket \implies (\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{fst } c) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ c)) \cdot_{rA} (\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{fst } d) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ d)) = (\text{polyn-expr } A \ Y \ (\text{fst } e) \ (\text{cf-h } h \ e))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erHomTr0:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B; x \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ (-_a x) = -_a A \ (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erHomTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B; a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; b \neq \mathbf{0}; a \pm b \neq \mathbf{0}; \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ a = \text{deg-n } R \ S \ X \ b \rrbracket \implies \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ (a \pm b) = \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ a \pm_A (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ b)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erHomTr2:**  $\llbracket \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } R; b \in \text{carrier } R; a \neq \mathbf{0}; b \neq \mathbf{0}; a \pm b \neq \mathbf{0};$   
 $\deg-n \ R \ S \ X \ a < \deg-n \ R \ S \ X \ b \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ (a \pm b) = \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ a \pm_A$   
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ b)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \in pHom \ R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-q-rHom:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{maximal-ideal } S \ P;$   
 $\text{PolynRg } R' \ (S /_r P) \ Y \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ R' \ (S /_r P) \ Y \ (\text{pj } S \ P) \in pHom \ R \ S \ X, R' \ (S /_r P) \ Y$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-add:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B;$   
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ (p \pm q) =$   
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ p) \pm_A (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-minus:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y;$   
 $h \in rHom \ S \ B; p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ (-_a p) = -_a A (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ p)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-mult:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B;$   
 $p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ (p \cdot_r q) =$   
 $(\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ p) \cdot_r A (\text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ q)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom-cf:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B;$   
 $s \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies \text{erH } R \ S \ X \ A \ B \ Y \ h \ s = h \ s$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom-coeff:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B;$   
 $\text{pol-coeff } S \ (n, f) \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } B \ (n, \text{cmp } h \ f)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom-unique:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom \ S \ B \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \exists ! g. g \in pHom \ R \ S \ X, A \ B \ Y \wedge (\forall x \in \text{carrier } S. h \ x = g \ x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-rHom-unique1:**  $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{PolynRg } A \ B \ Y; h \in rHom$

$S B;$   
 $f \in pHom R S X, A B Y; \forall x \in carrier S. f x = h x \Rightarrow$   
 $f = (erH R S X A B Y h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) pHom-dec-deg:**  $\llbracket PolynRg A B Y; f \in pHom R S X, A B Y; p \in carrier R \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\deg A B Y (f p) \leq \deg R S X p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) erH-map:**  $\llbracket Idomain S; PolynRg A B Y; h \in rHom S B; pol-coeff S (n, c) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $(erH R S X A B Y h) (polyn-expr R X n (n, c)) =$   
 $polyn-expr A Y n (n, (cmp h c))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 4.16 Relatively prime polynomials

### definition

$rel-prime-pols :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'm1) Ring-scheme, 'a, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$  where  
 $rel-prime-pols R S X p q \longleftrightarrow ((Rxa R p) \mp_R (Rxa R q))$

### definition

$div-condn :: [('a, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'm1) Ring-scheme, 'a, nat, 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow bool$  where  
 $div-condn R S X n g f \longleftrightarrow f \in carrier R \wedge n = deg-n R S X f \rightarrow$   
 $(\exists q. q \in carrier R \wedge ((f \pm_R (-_a R (q \cdot_r R g))) = \mathbf{0}_R) \vee (deg-n R S X (f \pm_R (-_a R (q \cdot_r R g))) < deg-n R S X g)))$

**lemma (in PolynRg) divisionTr0:**  $\llbracket Idomain S; p \in carrier R; c \in carrier S; c \neq \mathbf{0}_S \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $lcf R S X (c \cdot_r X^R n \cdot_r p) = c \cdot_r S (lcf R S X p)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) divisionTr1:**  $\llbracket Corps S; g \in carrier R; g \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < deg-n R S X g; f \in carrier R; f \neq \mathbf{0}; deg-n R S X g \leq deg-n R S X f \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f \pm -_a ((lcf R S X f) \cdot_r S ((lcf R S X g) \cdot_r S (X^R ((deg-n R S X f) - (deg-n R S X g))) \cdot_r g)) = \mathbf{0} \vee$   
 $deg-n R S X (f \pm -_a ((lcf R S X f) \cdot_r S ((lcf R S X g) \cdot_r S (X^R ((deg-n R S X f) - (deg-n R S X g))) \cdot_r g))) < deg-n R S X f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) divisionTr2:**  $\llbracket Corps S; g \in carrier R; g \neq \mathbf{0}; 0 < deg-n R S X g \rrbracket \Rightarrow \forall f. div-condn R S X n g f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) divisionTr3:**  $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$   
 $0 < \deg-n R S X g; f \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (f \pm -_a (q \cdot_r g) = \mathbf{0}) \vee (f \pm -_a (q \cdot_r g) \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge$   
 $\deg-n R S X (f \pm -_a (q \cdot_r g)) < (\deg-n R S X g))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) divisionTr4:**  $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; g \neq \mathbf{0};$   
 $0 < \deg-n R S X g; f \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (f = q \cdot_r g) \vee (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. r \neq \mathbf{0} \wedge (f = (q \cdot_r g) \pm r)$   
 $\wedge (\deg-n R S X r) < (\deg-n R S X g))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) divisionTr:**  $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; g \in \text{carrier } R; 0 < \deg R S X g;$   
 $f \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists q \in \text{carrier } R. (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. (f = (q \cdot_r g) \pm r) \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X r) < (\deg R S X g))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) rel-prime-equation:**  $\llbracket \text{Corps } S; f \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $0 < \deg R S X f; 0 < \deg R S X g; \text{rel-prime-pols } R S X f g;$   
 $h \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists u \in \text{carrier } R. \exists v \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $(\deg R S X u \leq \text{amax } ((\deg R S X h) - (\deg R S X f)) (\deg R S X g)) \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X v \leq (\deg R S X f)) \wedge (u \cdot_r f \pm (v \cdot_r g) = h)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### 4.16.1 Polynomial, coeff mod P

##### definition

$P\text{-mod} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a \text{ set},$   
 $'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X P p \longleftrightarrow p = \mathbf{0}_R \vee$   
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } (\text{s-cf } R S X p)). (\text{snd } (\text{s-cf } R S X p) j) \in P)$

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-whole:**  $p \in \text{carrier } R \implies$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (\text{carrier } S) p$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) zero-P-mod:**  $\text{ideal } S I \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-mod:**  $\text{ideal } S I; p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{pol-coeff } S c;$   
 $p = \text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{fst } c) c \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\forall j \leq (\text{fst } c). (\text{snd } c) j \in I) = (P\text{-mod } R S X I p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) monomial-P-mod-mod:**  $\text{ideal } S I; c \in \text{carrier } S;$   
 $p = c \cdot_r (X^R d) \rrbracket \implies (c \in I) = (P\text{-mod } R S X I p)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-add:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X I p; P\text{-mod } R S X I q \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I (p \pm q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-minus:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; p \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X I p \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I (-_a p)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-pre:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{Suc } n), f); P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{Suc } n) (\text{Suc } n, f)) \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-pre1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{pol-coeff } S ((\text{Suc } n), f); P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X (\text{Suc } n) (\text{Suc } n, f)) \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (\text{Suc } n, f))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-coeffTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; d \in \text{carrier } S \rrbracket \implies (P\text{-mod } R S X I d) = (d \in I)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-mult-const:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{ideal } S J; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f); P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)); \text{pol-coeff } S (0, g); P\text{-mod } R S X J (\text{polyn-expr } R X 0 (0, g)) \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X (I \diamondsuit_r S J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X 0 (0, g)))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-mult-const1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{ideal } S J; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f); d \in J \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X (I \diamondsuit_r S J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) \cdot_r d)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-mult-monomial:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; p \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies (P\text{-mod } R S X I p) = (P\text{-mod } R S X I (p \cdot_r X^{\wedge R m}))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-multTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{ideal } S J; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f); P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) \rrbracket \implies \forall g. ((\text{pol-coeff } S (m, g) \wedge (P\text{-mod } R S X J (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, g)))) \rightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X (I \diamondsuit_r S J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, f)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, g))))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-mult*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{ideal } S J; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, c); \text{pol-coeff } S (m, d); P\text{-mod } R S X I (\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, c)); P\text{-mod } R S X J (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, d)) \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X (I \diamondsuit_{rS} J) ((\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, c)) \cdot_r (\text{polyn-expr } R X m (m, d)))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-mult1*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; \text{ideal } S J; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X I p; P\text{-mod } R S X J q \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X (I \diamondsuit_{rS} J) (p \cdot_r q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-mult2l*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X I p \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I (p \cdot_r q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-mult2r*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S I; p \in \text{carrier } R; q \in \text{carrier } R; P\text{-mod } R S X I q \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X I (p \cdot_r q)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *csrp-fn-pol-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y; \text{pol-coeff } (S /_r P) (n, c') \rrbracket \implies \text{pol-coeff } S (n, (\text{cmp } (\text{csrp-fn } S P) c'))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *pj-csrp-mem-coeff*: $\llbracket \text{ideal } S P; \text{pol-coeff } (S /_r P) (n, c') \rrbracket \implies \forall j \leq n. (\text{pj } S P) ((\text{csrp-fn } S P) (c' j)) = c' j$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *pHom-pj-csrp*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y; \text{pol-coeff } (S /_r P) (n, c') \rrbracket \implies \text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (\text{pj } S P) ((\text{polyn-expr } R X n (n, (\text{cmp } (\text{csrp-fn } S P) c')))) = \text{polyn-expr } R' Y n (n, c')$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *ext-csrp-fn-nonzero*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y; g' \in \text{carrier } R'; g' \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'} \rrbracket \implies \text{polyn-expr } R X (\deg-n R' (S /_r P) Y g') ((\deg-n R' (S /_r P) Y g'), (\text{cmp } (\text{csrp-fn } S P) (\text{snd } (\text{scf } R' (S /_r P) Y g')))) \neq \mathbf{0}$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *erH-inv*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{Ring } R'; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y; g' \in \text{carrier } R' \rrbracket \implies \exists g \in \text{carrier } R. \deg R S X g \leq (\deg R' (S /_r P) Y g') \wedge (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (\text{pj } S P)) g = g'$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-0*: $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y;$

$$g \in \text{carrier } R \Rightarrow$$
  

$$(erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) g = \mathbf{0}_{R'}) = (P\text{-mod } R S X P g)$$
  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-}I\text{-}J: [p \in \text{carrier } R; \text{ideal } S I; \text{ideal } S J;$   
 $I \subseteq J; P\text{-mod } R S X I p] \Rightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X J p$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-}n\text{-}1: [Idomain S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (\text{Suc } n))) g] \Rightarrow P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-}n\text{-}m: [Idomain S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $m \leq n; P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (\text{Suc } n))) g] \Rightarrow$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (\text{Suc } m))) g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-diff}: [Idomain S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y;$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R] \Rightarrow$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) g = (erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P)$   
 $h)) = (P\text{-mod } R S X P (g \pm -_a h))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-erH}: [Idomain S; \text{ideal } S P; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r P) Y;$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; v \in \text{carrier } R; t \in P] \Rightarrow$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) g =$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r P) Y (pj S P) (g \pm (t \cdot_r v))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{coeff-principalTr}: [t \in \text{carrier } S] \Rightarrow$   
 $\forall f. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f) \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j \in S \diamond_p t) \rightarrow$   
 $(\exists f'. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f') \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = t \cdot_r S (f' j)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $\text{coeff-principal}: [t \in \text{carrier } S; \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f);$   
 $\forall j \leq n. f j \in S \diamond_p t] \Rightarrow$   
 $\exists f'. \text{pol-coeff } S (n, f') \wedge (\forall j \leq n. f j = t \cdot_r S (f' j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-0-principal}: [Idomain S; t \in \text{carrier } S; g \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) g] \Rightarrow \exists h \in \text{carrier } R. g = t \cdot_r h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-0-principal-rev}: [Idomain S; t \in \text{carrier } S;$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; \exists h \in \text{carrier } R. g = t \cdot_r h] \Rightarrow$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) g$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $Pmod0\text{-principal-rev1} : \llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; h \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (t \cdot_r h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $Pmod0\text{-principal-erH-vanish-t} : \llbracket \text{Idomain } S; \text{ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y \rrbracket \implies erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) t = \mathbf{0}_{R'}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-difffxx1} : \llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; \text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$

$f \neq \mathbf{0}; g \neq \mathbf{0}; h \neq \mathbf{0}; u \in \text{carrier } R; v \in \text{carrier } R;$

$erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'}; erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h \neq \mathbf{0}_{R'};$

$ra \in \text{carrier } R;$

$f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h) = t^{\wedge S m} \cdot_r ra; 0 < m;$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) u)$

$\cdot_r R' erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g \pm_{R'}$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) v)$

$\cdot_r R' erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h =$

$erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) ra \rrbracket$

$\implies P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S m} (\text{Suc } m)))$

$(f \pm -_a ((g \pm t^{\wedge S m} \cdot_r v) \cdot_r (h \pm t^{\wedge S m} \cdot_r u)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-difffxx2} : \llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; \text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$

$f \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$

$\deg R S X g \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$

$\deg R S X h +$

$\deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \deg R S X f;$

$0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$

$0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$

$\text{rel-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$

$P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S m})) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)); 0 < m \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists g1 h1. g1 \in \text{carrier } R \wedge h1 \in \text{carrier } R \wedge$

$(\deg R S X g1 \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$

$(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g1)) \wedge$

$P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) (g \pm -_a g1) \wedge (\deg R S X h1 + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g1) \leq \deg R S X f) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) (h \pm -_a h1) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (Suc m))) (f \pm (-_a (g1 \cdot_r h1)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

$Hensel\text{-next} :: [('a, 'b) Ring\text{-scheme}, ('a, 'c) Ring\text{-scheme}, 'a, 'a, ('a set, 'm) Ring\text{-scheme}, 'a set, 'a, nat] \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)$   
 $((9Hen \dots) [67, 67, 67, 67, 67, 67, 67, 68] 67) \text{ where}$

$Hen_{R S X t R' Y f m gh} = (SOME gh1.$   
 $gh1 \in carrier R \times carrier R \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X (fst gh1) \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1))) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((fst gh) \pm_R -_a R (fst gh1)) \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X (snd gh1) + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh1)) \leq \deg R S X f) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((snd gh) \pm_R -_a R (snd gh1)) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (Suc m))) (f \pm_R (-_a R ((fst gh1) \cdot_r R (snd gh1))))$

**lemma**  $cart\text{-prod}\text{-}fst : x \in A \times B \implies fst x \in A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cart\text{-prod}\text{-}snd : x \in A \times B \implies snd x \in B$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $cart\text{-prod}\text{-}split : ((x, y) \in A \times B) = (x \in A \wedge y \in B)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-difffxxx3} : [Idomain S; t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $maximal\text{-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); PolynRg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$   
 $f \in carrier R; gh \in carrier R \times carrier R;$   
 $\deg R S X (fst gh) \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh));$   
 $\deg R S X (snd gh) + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh)) \leq \deg R S X f;$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh));$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd gh));$   
 $rel\text{-prime}\text{-}pol R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst gh))$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd gh));$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) (f \pm -_a ((fst gh) \cdot_r (snd gh))); 0 < m] \implies$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \exists gh1. \, gh1 \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
& \quad (\deg R S X (\text{fst } gh1) \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y \\
& \quad \quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh1))) \wedge \\
& \quad P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((\text{fst } gh) \pm -_a (\text{fst } gh1)) \wedge \\
& \quad (\deg R S X (\text{snd } gh1) + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y \\
& \quad \quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh1)) \leq \\
& \quad \quad \deg R S X f) \wedge \\
& \quad P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((\text{snd } gh) \pm -_a (\text{snd } gh1)) \wedge \\
& \quad P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } m))) (f \pm (-_a ((\text{fst } gh1) \cdot_r (\text{snd } gh1)))) \\
& \langle \text{proof} \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-diffxxx4*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $gh \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\deg R S X (\text{fst } gh) \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh));$   
 $\deg R S X (\text{snd } gh) + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$   
 $\quad (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh)) \leq \deg R S X f;$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh));$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } gh));$   
 $\text{rel-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{fst } gh))$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) (\text{snd } gh));$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) (f \pm -_a ((\text{fst } gh) \cdot_r (\text{snd } gh))); 0 < m \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge (\deg R S X$   
 $\quad (\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh)) \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t))$   
 $\quad \quad (\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh))) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((\text{fst } gh) \pm -_a (\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh))) \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X (\text{snd } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh)) + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $\quad (\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t))$   
 $\quad \quad (\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh))) \leq \deg R S X f) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} m)) ((\text{snd } gh) \pm -_a (\text{snd } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh)))$   
 $\wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^{\wedge S} (\text{Suc } m))) (f \pm (-_a ((\text{fst } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh))$   
 $\cdot_r (\text{snd } (\text{Hen}_R S X t R' Y f \ m gh)))))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### primrec

*Hensel-pair* ::  $[('a, 'b) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'c) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a, 'a,$   
 $'a \text{ set}, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a, 'a, 'a, nat] \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a)$

$((10Hpr \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots) [67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,67,68]67)$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Hpr\text{-}0: & Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h \theta = (g, h) \\ | \quad Hpr\text{-}Suc: & Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m) = \\ & Hen_R S X t R' Y f (Suc m) (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h m) \end{aligned}$$

**lemma (in PolynRg) fst-xxx:**  $\llbracket t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; ideal S (S \diamond_p t); \forall (n::nat). (F n) \in carrier R \times carrier R; \forall m. P\text{-}mod R S X (S \diamond_p t) (fst (F m) \pm -_a (fst (F (Suc m)))) \rrbracket \implies P\text{-}mod R S X (S \diamond_p t) (fst (F 0) \pm -_a (fst (F n)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) snd-xxx:**  $\llbracket t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; ideal S (S \diamond_p t); \forall (n::nat). (F n) \in carrier R \times carrier R; \forall m. P\text{-}mod R S X (S \diamond_p t) (snd (F m) \pm -_a (snd (F (Suc m)))) \rrbracket \implies P\text{-}mod R S X (S \diamond_p t) (snd (F 0) \pm -_a (snd (F n)))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg) P-mod-difffxxx5:**  $\llbracket Idomain S; t \in carrier S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S; maximal\text{-}ideal S (S \diamond_p t); PolynRg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in carrier R; (g, h) \in carrier R \times carrier R; deg R S X (fst (g, h)) \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (g, h))); deg R S X (snd (g, h)) + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (g, h))) \leq deg R S X f; 0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (g, h))); 0 < deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd (g, h))); rel\text{-}prime\text{-}pol R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (g, h))); (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd (g, h))); P\text{-}mod R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m)) \in carrier R \times carrier R \wedge erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m))) = erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m))) \wedge erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m))) = erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd (g, h)) \wedge (deg R S X (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m)))) \leq deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m)))) \wedge P\text{-}mod R S X (S \diamond_p (t \wedge^S (Suc m))) ((fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h m)) \pm -_a (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m)))) \wedge (deg R S X (snd (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h (Suc m))) + deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (Hpr_R S X t R' Y f g h$

$(Suc\ m))) \leq \deg R S X f) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (Suc\ m))) ((snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \pm -_a (snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m)))) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (Suc\ (Suc\ m)))) (f \pm -_a ((fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))) \cdot_r (snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)**  $P\text{-mod-difffxxx5-1} : \llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y;$   
 $f \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\deg R S X g \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $\deg R S X h + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R'$   
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \deg R S X f;$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$   
 $\text{rel-prime-polys } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$   
 $(erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m)) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R \wedge$   
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$   
 $(fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))) =$   
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (g, h)) \wedge$   
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t))$   
 $(snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))) =$   
 $erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (snd (g, h)) \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X (fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))) \leq \deg R'$   
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m)))) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (Suc\ m))) ((fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$   
 $(fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m)))) \wedge$   
 $(\deg R S X (snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))) +$   
 $\deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (erH R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(pj S (S \diamond_p t)) (fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m)))) \leq \deg R S X f) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (Suc\ m))) ((snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \pm -_a$   
 $(snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m)))) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t^S (Suc\ (Suc\ m)))) (f \pm -_a$   
 $((fst (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))) \cdot_r (snd (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (Suc\ m))))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-difffxxx5-2*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\deg R S X g \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $\deg R S X h + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$   
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \deg R S X f;$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$   
 $\text{rel-prime-pol } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\text{Hpr}_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m) \in \text{carrier } R \times \text{carrier } R$

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-difffxxx5-3*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\deg R S X g \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $\deg R S X h + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$   
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \deg R S X f;$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$   
 $\text{rel-prime-pol } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t \wedge^S m)) ((\text{fst } (\text{Hpr}_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \pm$   
 $-_a (\text{fst } (\text{Hpr}_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (m + n)))) \wedge$   
 $P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p (t \wedge^S m)) ((\text{snd } (\text{Hpr}_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \pm$   
 $-_a (\text{snd } (\text{Hpr}_{R S X t R'} Y f g h (m + n))))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in PolynRg)** *P-mod-difffxxx5-4*:  
 $\llbracket \text{Idomain } S; t \in \text{carrier } S; t \neq \mathbf{0}_S;$   
 $\text{maximal-ideal } S (S \diamond_p t); \text{PolynRg } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y; f \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $g \in \text{carrier } R; h \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\deg R S X g \leq \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$   
 $(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$   
 $\deg R S X h + \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{erH } R S X R'$   
 $(S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g) \leq \deg R S X f;$

```


$$0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$$


$$(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g);$$


$$0 < \deg R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$$


$$(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$$


$$\text{rel-prime-pols } R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y$$


$$(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) g)$$


$$(\text{erH } R S X R' (S /_r (S \diamond_p t)) Y (\text{pj } S (S \diamond_p t)) h);$$


$$P\text{-mod } R S X (S \diamond_p t) (f \pm -_a (g \cdot_r h)) \] \implies$$


$$\deg R S X (\text{fst } (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \leq \deg R S X g \wedge$$


$$\deg R S X (\text{snd } (Hpr_{R S X t R'} Y f g h m)) \leq \deg R S X f$$


$$\langle proof \rangle$$


```

```
declare max.absorb1 [simp del] max.absorb2 [simp del]
```

```
end
```

```
theory Algebra7 imports Algebra6 begin
```

# Chapter 5

## Modules

### 5.1 Basic properties of Modules

```
record ('a, 'b) Module = 'a aGroup +
  sprod :: 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a (infixl ·s1 76)

locale Module = aGroup M for M (structure) +
  fixes R (structure)
  assumes sc-Ring: Ring R
  and sprod-closed :
    [ a ∈ carrier R; m ∈ carrier M] ⇒ a ·s m ∈ carrier M
  and sprod-l-distr:
    [a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; m ∈ carrier M] ⇒
      (a ±R b) ·s m = a ·s m ±M b ·s m
  and sprod-r-distr:
    [ a ∈ carrier R; m ∈ carrier M; n ∈ carrier M ] ⇒
      a ·s (m ±M n) = a ·s m ±M a ·s n
  and sprod-assoc:
    [ a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R; m ∈ carrier M ] ⇒
      (a ·rR b) ·s m = a ·s (b ·s m)
  and sprod-one:
    m ∈ carrier M ⇒ (1rR) ·s m = m

definition
  submodule :: [(b, m) Ring-scheme, (a, b, c) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒
    bool where
  submodule R A H ←→ H ⊆ carrier A ∧ A +> H ∧ (∀ a. ∀ m.
    (a ∈ carrier R ∧ m ∈ H) → (sprod A a m) ∈ H)
```

```
definition
  mdl :: [(a, b, m) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒ (a, b) Module where
  mdl M H = (carrier = H, pop = pop M, mop = mop M, zero = zero M,
  sprod = λa. λx∈H. sprod M a x)
```

abbreviation

```

MODULE (infixl module 58) where
R module M ==> Module M R

lemma (in Module) module-is-ag: aGroup M ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) module-inc-zero: 0_M ∈ carrier M
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) submodule-subset:submodule R M H ==> H ⊆ carrier M
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) submodule-asubg:submodule R M H ==> M +> H
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) submodule-subset1:[submodule R M H; h ∈ H] ==>
h ∈ carrier M
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) submodule-inc-0:submodule R M H ==>
0_M ∈ H
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) sc-un: m ∈ carrier M ==> 1_{rR} ·_s m = m
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) sc-mem:[a ∈ carrier R; m ∈ carrier M] ==>
a ·_s m ∈ carrier M
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) submodule-sc-closed:[submodule R M H;
a ∈ carrier R; h ∈ H] ==> a ·_s h ∈ H
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) sc-assoc:[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R;
m ∈ carrier M] ==> (a ·_r R b) ·_s m = a ·_s (b ·_s m)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) sc-l-distr:[a ∈ carrier R; b ∈ carrier R;
m ∈ carrier M] ==> (a ±_R b) ·_s m = a ·_s m ± b ·_s m
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) sc-r-distr:[a ∈ carrier R; m ∈ carrier M; n ∈ carrier M] ==>
a ·_s (m ± n) = a ·_s m ± a ·_s n
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Module) sc-0-m:m ∈ carrier M ==> 0_{R·s} m = 0_M
⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma (in Module)** sc-a-0: $a \in \text{carrier } R \implies a \cdot_s \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** sc-minus-am: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies -_a (a \cdot_s m) = a \cdot_s (-_a m)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** sc-minus-am1: $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } R; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies -_a (a \cdot_s m) = (-_{aR} a) \cdot_s m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** submodule-0: $\text{submodule } R M \{ \mathbf{0} \}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** submodule-whole: $\text{submodule } R M (\text{carrier } M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** submodule-pOp-closed: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H; k \in H \rrbracket \implies h \pm k \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** submodule-mOp-closed: $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies -_a h \in H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $mHom :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ set}$   
**where**

$mHom R M N = \{f. f \in aHom M N \wedge (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall m \in \text{carrier } M. f(a \cdot_s M m) = a \cdot_s N (f m))\}$

**definition**  
 $mimg :: [('b, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow ('c, 'b) \text{ Module}$   
 $((4mimg_{-, -, /}) [88, 88, 88, 89] 88) \text{ where}$   
 $mimg_{R, M, N} f = \text{mdl } N (f ` (\text{carrier } M))$

**definition**  
 $mzeromap :: [('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ where}$   
 $mzeromap M N = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. \mathbf{0}_N)$

**lemma (in Ring)** mHom-func: $f \in mHom R M N \implies f \in \text{carrier } M \rightarrow \text{carrier } N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-test: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{carrier } M \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \wedge f \in \text{extensional } (\text{carrier } M) \wedge$

$(\forall m \in \text{carrier } M. \forall n \in \text{carrier } M. f(m \pm_M n) = f m \pm_N (f n)) \wedge$   
 $(\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall m \in \text{carrier } M. f(a \cdot_s M m) = a \cdot_s N (f m)) \Rightarrow$   
 $f \in \text{mHom } R M N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-mem*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f m \in \text{carrier } N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-add*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $n \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \Rightarrow f(m \pm n) = f m \pm_N (f n)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-0*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \Rightarrow f(\mathbf{0}) = \mathbf{0}_N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-inv*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $f(-_a m) = -_{a N} (f m)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-lin*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; f \in \text{mHom } R M N;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \Rightarrow f(a \cdot_s m) = a \cdot_s N (f m)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mker-inc-zero*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \Rightarrow \mathbf{0} \in (\text{ker}_{M,N} f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-eq-ker*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; a \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $b \in \text{carrier } M; a \pm (-_a b) \in \text{ker}_{M,N} f \rrbracket \Rightarrow f a = f b$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mHom-ker-eq*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N; a \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $b \in \text{carrier } M; f a = f b \rrbracket \Rightarrow a \pm (-_a b) \in \text{ker}_{M,N} f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mker-submodule*:  
 $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in \text{mHom } R M N \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{submodule } R M (\text{ker}_{M,N} f)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mker-mzeromap*:  
 $R \text{ module } N \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{ker}_{M,N} (\text{mzeromap } M N) = \text{carrier } M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mdl-carrier:submodule R M H*  $\implies$  *carrier (mdl M H) = H*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mdl-is-ag:submodule R M H*  $\implies$  *aGroup (mdl M H)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mdl-is-module:submodule R M H*  $\implies$  *R module (mdl M H)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *submodule-of-mdl:[submodule R M H; submodule R M N; H  $\subseteq$  N]*  
 $\implies$  *submodule R (mdl M N) H*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *img-set-submodule:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N]*  $\implies$   
*submodule R N (f ' (carrier M))*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mimg-module:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N]*  $\implies$   
*R module (mimg R M N f)*  
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *surjec-to-mimg:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N]*  $\implies$   
*surjec\_M, (mimg R M N f) f*  
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $tOp\text{-}mHom :: [('b, 'm) \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{Module-scheme},$   
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ where}$   
 $tOp\text{-}mHom R M N f g = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. (f x \pm_N (g x)))$

**definition**  
 $iOp\text{-}mHom :: [('b, 'm) \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{Module-scheme},$   
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ where}$   
 $iOp\text{-}mHom R M N f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. (-_a N (f x)))$

**definition**  
 $sprod\text{-}mHom :: [('b, 'm) \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'm1) \text{Module-scheme},$   
 $('c, 'b, 'm2) \text{Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ where}$   
 $sprod\text{-}mHom R M N a f = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. a \cdot_s N (f x))$

**definition**  
 $HOM :: [('b, 'more) \text{Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'b, 'more1) \text{Module-scheme},$   
 $('c, 'b, 'more2) \text{Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c, 'b) \text{Module}$   
 $((3HOM\_ - / -) [90, 90, 91] 90) \text{ where}$   
 $HOM R M N = (\text{carrier} = mHom R M N, \text{pop} = tOp\text{-}mHom R M N,$   
 $\text{mop} = iOp\text{-}mHom R M N, \text{zero} = mzeromap M N, \text{sprod} = sprod\text{-}mHom R M N)$

**lemma (in Module)** zero-HOM:R module N  $\implies$   
 $mzeromap M N = \mathbf{0}_{HOM_R M N}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** tOp-mHom-closed:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N; g  $\in$  mHom R M N]  
 $\implies tOp-mHom R M N f g \in mHom R M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** iOp-mHom-closed:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N]  
 $\implies iOp-mHom R M N f \in mHom R M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-ex-zero:R module N  $\implies$  mzeromap M N  $\in$  mHom R M N  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-eq:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N; g  $\in$  mHom R M N;  
 $\forall m \in carrier M. f m = g m] \implies f = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-l-zero:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N]  
 $\implies tOp-mHom R M N (mzeromap M N) f = f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-l-inv:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N]  
 $\implies tOp-mHom R M N (iOp-mHom R M N f) f = mzeromap M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-tOp-assoc:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N; g  $\in$  mHom R M N;  
 $h \in mHom R M N] \implies tOp-mHom R M N (tOp-mHom R M N f g) h =$   
 $tOp-mHom R M N f (tOp-mHom R M N g h)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-tOp-commute:[R module N; f  $\in$  mHom R M N;  
 $g \in mHom R M N] \implies tOp-mHom R M N f g = tOp-mHom R M N g f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** HOM-is-ag:R module N  $\implies$  aGroup (HOM\_R M N)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** sprod-mHom-closed:[R module N; a  $\in$  carrier R;  
 $f \in mHom R M N] \implies sprod-mHom R M N a f \in mHom R M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** HOM-is-module:R module N  $\implies$  R module (HOM\_R M N)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.2 Injective hom, surjective hom, bijective hom and inverse hom

**definition**

```
invfun ::= [('b, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'b, 'm1) Module-scheme,
            ('c, 'b, 'm2) Module-scheme, 'a ⇒ 'c] ⇒ 'c ⇒ 'a where
invfun R M N (f ::= 'a ⇒ 'c) =
    (λy∈(carrier N). SOME x. (x ∈ (carrier M) ∧ f x = y))
```

**definition**

```
misomorphic ::= [('b, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'b, 'm1) Module-scheme,
                  ('c, 'b, 'm2) Module-scheme] ⇒ bool where
misomorphic R M N ←→ (exists f. f ∈ mHom R M N ∧ bijecM,N f)
```

**definition**

```
mId ::= ('a, 'b, 'm1) Module-scheme ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a ((mId_-/) ) [89]88) where
mIdM = (λm∈carrier M. m)
```

**definition**

```
mcompose ::= [('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'b ⇒ 'c, 'a ⇒ 'b] ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'c where
mcompose M g f = compose (carrier M) g f
```

**abbreviation**

```
MISOM ((3- ≅- -) [82,82,83]82) where
M ≅R N == misomorphic R M N
```

**lemma (in Module)** minjec-inj:[R module N; injec<sub>M,N</sub> f] ⇒
 inj-on f (carrier M)
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma (in Module)** invfun-l-inv:[R module N; bijec<sub>M,N</sub> f; m ∈ carrier M] ⇒
 (invfun R M N f) (f m) = m
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma (in Module)** invfun-mHom:[R module N; bijec<sub>M,N</sub> f; f ∈ mHom R M N] ⇒
 invfun R M N f ∈ mHom R N M
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma (in Module)** invfun-r-inv:[R module N; bijec<sub>M,N</sub> f; n ∈ carrier N] ⇒
 f ((invfun R M N f) n) = n
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma (in Module)** mHom-compos:[R module L; R module N; f ∈ mHom R L M;
 g ∈ mHom R M N] ⇒ compos L g f ∈ mHom R L N
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma (in Module)** mcompos-inj-inj:[R module L; R module N; f ∈ mHom R L M;
 g ∈ mHom R M N] ⇒ compos L g f ∈ mHom R L N
 ⟨proof⟩

$M;$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mcompos-surj-surj: [R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } N; surjec_{L,M} f; surjec_{M,N} g] \implies surjec_{L,N} (\text{compos } L g f)$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mId-mHom:mId_M \in mHom R M M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mHom-mId-bijec: [R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; g \in mHom R N M;$   
 $\text{compose } (\text{carrier } M) g f = mId_M; \text{compose } (\text{carrier } N) f g = mId_N] \implies$   
 $bijec_{M,N} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**  
 $sup\text{-sharp} :: [('r, 'n) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('c, 'r, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c]$   
 $\Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$   
 $sup\text{-sharp } R M N L u = (\lambda f \in mHom R N L. \text{compos } M f u)$

**definition**  
 $sub\text{-sharp} :: [('r, 'n) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'r, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'b \Rightarrow 'c]$   
 $\Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'c) \text{ where}$   
 $sub\text{-sharp } R L M N u = (\lambda f \in mHom R L M. \text{compos } L u f)$

**lemma (in Module)**  $sup\text{-sharp-homTr}: [R \text{ module } N; R \text{ module } L; u \in mHom R M N;$   
 $f \in mHom R N L] \implies sup\text{-sharp } R M N L u f \in mHom R M L$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $sup\text{-sharp-hom}: [R \text{ module } N; R \text{ module } L; u \in mHom R M N] \implies$   
 $sup\text{-sharp } R M N L u \in mHom R (HOM_R N L) (HOM_R M L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $sub\text{-sharp-homTr}: [R \text{ module } N; R \text{ module } L; u \in mHom R M N;$   
 $f \in mHom R L M] \implies sub\text{-sharp } R L M N u f \in mHom R L N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $sub\text{-sharp-hom}: [R \text{ module } N; R \text{ module } L; u \in mHom R M N] \implies$

*sub-sharp R L M N u ∈ mHom R (HOM<sub>R</sub> L M) (HOM<sub>R</sub> L N)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma (in Module)** *mId-bijec:bijec<sub>M,M</sub> (mId<sub>M</sub>)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma (in Module)** *invfun-bijec:[R module N; f ∈ mHom R M N; bijec<sub>M,N</sub> f]*  
 $\Rightarrow$   
*bijec<sub>N,M</sub> (invfun R M N f)*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma (in Module)** *misom-self:M ≅<sub>R</sub> M*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma (in Module)** *misom-sym:[R module N; M ≅<sub>R</sub> N] ⇒ N ≅<sub>R</sub> M*  
*⟨proof⟩*

**lemma (in Module)** *misom-trans:[R module L; R module N; L ≅<sub>R</sub> M; M ≅<sub>R</sub> N]*  
 $\Rightarrow$   
*L ≅<sub>R</sub> N*  
*⟨proof⟩*

#### **definition**

*mr-coset :: [('a, ('a, 'b, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒ 'a set where*  
*mr-coset a M H = a ⊕<sub>M</sub> H*

#### **definition**

*set-mr-cos :: [('a, 'b, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒ 'a set set where*  
*set-mr-cos M H = {X. ∃ a∈carrier M. X = a ⊕<sub>M</sub> H}*

#### **definition**

*mr-cos-sprod :: [('a, 'b, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒*  
 $'b \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set$  where  
*mr-cos-sprod M H a X = {z. ∃ x∈X. ∃ h∈H. z = h ±<sub>M</sub> (a ·<sub>sM</sub> x)}*

#### **definition**

*mr-cospOp :: [('a, 'b, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒*  
 $'a set \Rightarrow 'a set \Rightarrow 'a set$  where  
*mr-cospOp M H = (λX. λY. c-top (b-ag M) H X Y)*

#### **definition**

*mr-cosmOp :: [('a, 'b, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒*  
 $'a set \Rightarrow 'a set$  where  
*mr-cosmOp M H = (λX. c-iop (b-ag M) H X)*

#### **definition**

*qmodule :: [('a, 'r, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set] ⇒*  
 $('a set, 'r) Module$  where  
*qmodule M H = () carrier = set-mr-cos M H, pop = mr-cospOp M H,*

*mop = mr-cosmOp M H, zero = H, sprod = mr-cos-sprod M H*)

**definition**

*sub-mr-set-cos :: [('a, 'r, 'more) Module-scheme, 'a set, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$*

*'a set set where*

*sub-mr-set-cos M H N = {X.  $\exists n \in N. X = n \uplus_M H\}$ }*

**abbreviation**

*QMODULE (infixl '/'\_m 200) where*

*M /\_m H == qmodule M H*

**abbreviation**

*SUBMRSET ((3-/ s '/'-/ -) [82,82,83]82) where*

*N /\_s M H == sub-mr-set-cos M H N*

**lemma (in Module)** *qmodule-carr:submodule R M H  $\Rightarrow$*

*carrier (qmodule M H) = set-mr-cos M H*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *set-mr-cos-mem:[submodule R M H; m  $\in$  carrier M]  $\Rightarrow$*

*m  $\uplus_M H \in$  set-mr-cos M H*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mem-set-mr-cos:[submodule R M N; x  $\in$  set-mr-cos M N]  $\Rightarrow$*

*$\exists m \in$  carrier M. x = m  $\uplus_M N$*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *m-in-mr-coset:[submodule R M H; m  $\in$  carrier M]  $\Rightarrow$*

*m  $\in$  m  $\uplus_M H$*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mr-cos-h-stable:[submodule R M H; h  $\in$  H]  $\Rightarrow$*

*H = h  $\uplus_M H$*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mr-cos-h-stable1:[submodule R M H; m  $\in$  carrier M; h  $\in$  H]  $\Rightarrow$*

*(m  $\pm$  h)  $\uplus_M H = m \uplus_M H$*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *x-in-mr-coset:[submodule R M H; m  $\in$  carrier M; x  $\in$  m  $\uplus_M H]$   $\Rightarrow$*

*$\exists h \in H. m \pm h = x$*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mr-cos-sprodTr:[submodule R M H; a  $\in$  carrier R;*

*m  $\in$  carrier M]  $\Rightarrow$  mr-cos-sprod M H a (m  $\uplus_M H$ ) = (a  $\cdot_s$  m)  $\uplus_M H$*

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod\text{-}mem$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; a \in \text{carrier } R;$

$X \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H \rrbracket \implies mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H a X \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod\text{-}assoc$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; a \in \text{carrier } R;$

$b \in \text{carrier } R; X \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H \rrbracket \implies mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H (a \cdot_R b) X =$

$mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H a (mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H b X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod\text{-}one$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; X \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H \rrbracket$

$\implies$

$mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H (1_{rR}) X = X$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cospOpTr$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket$

$\implies mr\text{-}cospOp M H (m \uplus_M H) (n \uplus_M H) = (m \pm n) \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod\text{-}distrib1$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; a \in \text{carrier } R;$

$b \in \text{carrier } R; X \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H \rrbracket \implies$

$mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H (a \pm_R b) X =$

$mr\text{-}cospOp M H (mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H a X) (mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H b X)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod\text{-}distrib2$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H;$

$a \in \text{carrier } R; X \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H; Y \in \text{set-}mr\text{-}cos M H \rrbracket \implies$

$mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H a (mr\text{-}cospOp M H X Y) =$

$mr\text{-}cospOp M H (mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H a X) (mr\text{-}cos\text{-}sprod M H a Y)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cosmOpTr$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$

$mr\text{-}cosmOp M H (m \uplus_M H) = (-_a m) \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}oneTr$ :**  $\text{submodule } R M H \implies H = \mathbf{0} \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $mr\text{-}cos\text{-}oneTr1$ :**  $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; m \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$

$mr\text{-}cospOp M H H (m \uplus_M H) = m \uplus_M H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $qmodule\text{-}is\text{-}ag$ :**  $\text{submodule } R M H \implies aGroup (M /_m H)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $qmodule\text{-}module$ :**  $\text{submodule } R M H \implies R \text{ module } (M /_m H)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

*indmhom* :: [ $'b, 'm)$  Ring-scheme,  $('a, 'b, 'm1)$  Module-scheme,  
 $('c, 'b, 'm2)$  Module-scheme,  $'a \Rightarrow 'c] \Rightarrow 'a$  set  $\Rightarrow 'c$  where  
 $indmhom R M N f = (\lambda X \in (set-mr-cos M (ker_{M,N} f)). f (SOME x. x \in X))$

**abbreviation**

*INDMHOM* (( $f^\flat$  - -, -) [92,92,92,93]92) where  
 $f^\flat R M, N == indmhom R M N f$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhom-someTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$   
 $X \in set-mr-cos M (ker_{M,N} f) \rrbracket \implies f (SOME xa. xa \in X) \in f ('carrier M)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhom-someTr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; m \in$   
 $'carrier M \rrbracket$   
 $\implies f (SOME xa. xa \in (ar-coset m M (ker_{M,N} f))) = f m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhom-someTr2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$   
 $\text{submodule } R M H; m \in carrier M; H \subseteq ker_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f (SOME xa. xa \in m \uplus_M H) = f m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhomTr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; m \in carrier$   
 $M \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(f^\flat R M, N) (m \uplus_M (ker_{M,N} f)) = f m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhomTr2*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (f^\flat R M, N) \in set-mr-cos M (ker_{M,N} f) \rightarrow carrier N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhom*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket$   
 $\implies (f^\flat R M, N) \in mHom R (M /_m (ker_{M,N} f)) N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhom-injec*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{injec}(M /_m (ker_{M,N} f)), N (f^\flat R M, N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *indmhom-surjec1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; surjec_{M,N} f;$   
 $f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies surjec(M /_m (ker_{M,N} f)), N (f^\flat R M, N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *module-homTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $f \in mHom R M (mimg_{R M, N} f)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) ker-to-mimg:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies \ker_{M, \text{mimg}_R M, N} f = \ker_{M, N} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) module-homTr1:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies (\text{mimg}_R (M /_m (\ker_{M, N} f)), N (f^\flat R M, N)) = \text{mimg}_R M, N f$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) module-Homth-1:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N \rrbracket \implies M /_m (\ker_{M, N} f) \cong_R \text{mimg}_R M, N f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

$mpj :: [('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a set)$  **where**  
 $mpj M H = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. x \uplus_M H)$

**lemma (in Module) elem-mpj:**  $\llbracket m \in \text{carrier } M; \text{submodule } R M H \rrbracket \implies mpj M H m = m \uplus_M H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mpj-mHom:**  $\text{submodule } R M H \implies mpj M H \in mHom R M (M /_m H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mpj-mem:**  $\text{submodule } R M H; m \in \text{carrier } M \implies mpj M H m \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mpj-surjec:**  $\text{submodule } R M H \implies \text{surjec}_{M, (M /_m H)} (mpj M H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mpj-0:**  $\text{submodule } R M H; h \in H \implies mpj M H h = \mathbf{0}_{(M /_m H)}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mker-of-mpj:**  $\text{submodule } R M H \implies \ker_{M, (M /_m H)} (mpj M H) = H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) indmhom1:**  $\text{submodule } R M H; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; H \subseteq \ker_{M, N} f \implies \exists! g. g \in (mHom R (M /_m H) N) \wedge (\text{compos } M g (mpj M H)) = f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

$mQmp :: [('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a set \Rightarrow 'a set)$  **where**

$mQmp\ M\ H\ N = (\lambda X \in set-mr-cos\ M\ H. \{z. \exists x \in X. \exists y \in N. (y \pm_M x = z)\})$

**abbreviation**

$MQP\ ((\exists Mp_{-, -, -}) [82, 82, 83] 82)$  **where**  
 $Mp_{M\ H, N} == mQmp\ M\ H\ N$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmpTr0: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N; m \in \text{carrier } M] \implies mQmp\ M\ H\ N\ (m \uplus_M H) = m \uplus_M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmpTr1: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } M; m \uplus_M H = n \uplus_M H] \implies m \uplus_M N = n \uplus_M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmpTr2: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H)] \implies (mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ X \in \text{carrier } (M /_m N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmpTr2-1: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N] \implies mQmp\ M\ H\ N \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H) \rightarrow \text{carrier } (M /_m N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmpTr3: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N; X \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H); Y \in \text{carrier } (M /_m H)] \implies (mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ (\text{mr-cospOp } M\ H\ X\ Y) = \text{mr-cospOp } M\ N\ ((mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ X)\ ((mQmp\ M\ H\ N)\ Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmpTr4: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N; a \in N] \implies \text{mr-coset } a\ (\text{mdl } M\ N)\ H = \text{mr-coset } a\ M\ H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mQmp-mHom: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N] \implies (Mp_{M\ H, N}) \in \text{mHom } R\ (M /_m H)\ (M /_m N)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $Mp\text{-surjec}: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N] \implies \text{surjec}_{(M /_m H), (M /_m N)}\ (Mp_{M\ H, N})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $kerQmp: [\text{submodule } R\ M\ H; \text{submodule } R\ M\ N; H \subseteq N] \implies \text{ker}_{(M /_m H), (M /_m N)}\ (Mp_{M\ H, N}) = \text{carrier } ((\text{mdl } M\ N) /_m H)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $misom2Tr:\llbracket submodule R M H; submodule R M N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket$

$$\implies (M /_m H) /_m (carrier ((mdl M N) /_m H)) \cong_R (M /_m N)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $eq\text{-}class\text{-}of\text{-}Submodule:\llbracket submodule R M H; submodule R M N;$

$$H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies carrier ((mdl M N) /_m H) = N s/_M H$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**theorem (in Module)**  $misom2:\llbracket submodule R M H; submodule R M N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket$

$$\implies (M /_m H) /_m (N s/_M H) \cong_R (M /_m N)$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**primrec**  $natm :: ('a, 'm) aGroup\text{-}scheme \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$

**where**

$$\begin{aligned} natm-0: \quad & natm M 0 x = \mathbf{0}_M \\ \mid natm\text{-}Suc: \quad & natm M (Suc n) x = (natm M n x) \pm_M x \end{aligned}$$

**definition**

$$\begin{aligned} finitesum-base :: [(& 'a, 'r, 'm) Module\text{-}scheme, 'b set, 'b \Rightarrow 'a set] \\ \Rightarrow 'a set \quad & \mathbf{where} \\ finitesum-base M I f = \bigcup \{f i \mid i. i \in I\} \end{aligned}$$

**definition**

$$\begin{aligned} finitesum :: [(& 'a, 'r, 'm) Module\text{-}scheme, 'b set, 'b \Rightarrow 'a set] \\ \Rightarrow 'a set \quad & \mathbf{where} \\ finitesum M I f = \{x. \exists n. \exists g. g \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow finitesum-base M I f \\ \wedge x = nsum M g n\} \end{aligned}$$

**lemma (in Module)**  $finitesumbase\text{-}sub\text{-}carrier:f \in I \rightarrow \{X. submodule R M X\}$

$$\implies finitesum-base M I f \subseteq carrier M$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $finitesum\text{-}sub\text{-}carrier:f \in I \rightarrow \{X. submodule R M X\} \implies$

$$finitesum M I f \subseteq carrier M$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $finitesum\text{-}inc\text{-}zero:[f \in I \rightarrow \{X. submodule R M X\}; I \neq \{\}]$

$$\implies \mathbf{0} \in finitesum M I f$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $finitesum\text{-}mOp\text{-}closed:$

$$\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. submodule R M X\}; I \neq \{\}; a \in finitesum M I f \rrbracket \implies$$

$\neg_a a \in \text{finitesum } M I f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finitesum-pOp-closed:**  
 $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; a \in \text{finitesum } M I f; b \in \text{finitesum } M I f \rrbracket$   
 $\implies a \pm b \in \text{finitesum } M I f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finitesum-sprodTr:**  
 $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; I \neq \{\}$   
 $r \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies g \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (\text{finitesum-base } M I f)$   
 $\implies r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M g n) = \text{nsum } M (\lambda x. r \cdot_s (g x)) n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finitesum-sprod:**  
 $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; I \neq \{\}$   
 $r \in \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow (\text{finitesum-base } M I f) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M g n) = \text{nsum } M (\lambda x. r \cdot_s (g x)) n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finitesum-subModule:**  
 $\llbracket f \in I \rightarrow \{X. \text{submodule } R M X\}; I \neq \{\} \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \text{submodule } R M (\text{finitesum } M I f)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) sSum-cont-H:**  
 $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $H \subseteq H \mp K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) sSum-commute:**  
 $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $H \mp K = K \mp H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) Sum-of-SubmodulesTr:**  
 $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \cup K \longrightarrow \Sigma_e M g n \in H \mp K$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) sSum-two-Submodules:**  
 $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{submodule } R M (H \mp K)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $\text{iotam} :: [('a, 'r, 'm) \text{Module-scheme}, 'a set, 'a set] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$   
 $((3\iota m_- \_,-) [82, 82, 83] 82) \text{ where}$   
 $\iota m_{M H, K} = (\lambda x \in H. (x \pm_M \mathbf{0}_M))$

**lemma (in Module) iotam-mHom:**  
 $\llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket$   
 $\implies \iota m_{M H, K} \in mHom R (\text{mdl } M H) (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mhomom3Tr: \llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies \text{submodule } R (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mhomom3Tr0: \llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies \text{compos } (\text{mdl } M H) (\text{mpj } (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) K) (\iota m_{M H, K})$

$\in mHom R (\text{mdl } M H) (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K) /_m K)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mhomom3Tr1: \llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies \text{surjec}_{(\text{mdl } M H), ((\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) /_m K)}$

$(\text{compos } (\text{mdl } M H) (\text{mpj } (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) K) (\iota m_{M H, K}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mhomom3Tr2: \llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies \text{ker}_{(\text{mdl } M H), ((\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) /_m K)}$

$(\text{compos } (\text{mdl } M H) (\text{mpj } (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) K) (\iota m_{M H, K})) = H \cap K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mhomom3: \llbracket \text{submodule } R M H; \text{submodule } R M K \rrbracket \implies (\text{mdl } M H) /_m (H \cap K) \cong_R (\text{mdl } M (H \mp K)) /_m K$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

$l\text{-comb} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{nat}] \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$

$$l\text{-comb } R M n s m = nsum M (\lambda j. (s j) \cdot_{s M} (m j)) n$$

### definition

$\text{linear-span} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'r \text{ set}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$

$$\text{linear-span } R M A H = (\text{if } H = \{\} \text{ then } \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \text{ else }$$

$$\{x. \exists n. \exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n:\text{nat})\} \rightarrow H.$$

$$\exists s \in \{j. j \leq (n:\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A. x = l\text{-comb } R M n s f\})$$

### definition

$\text{coefficient} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'r, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'r \text{ where}$

$$\text{coefficient } R M n s m j = s j$$

### definition

$\text{body} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, \text{nat}, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'r, \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$

$$\text{body } R M n s m j = m j$$

**lemma (in Module)**  $l\text{-comb-mem-linear-span}: \llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n:\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb-eqTr:H ⊆ carrier M*  $\implies$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge$   
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. f j = g j) \longrightarrow$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb-eq:[H ⊆ carrier M;*  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. f j = g j] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-Suc:[H ⊆ carrier M; ideal R A;*  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm s (\text{Suc } n) \cdot_s f (\text{Suc } n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-jointfun-jj:[H ⊆ carrier M; ideal R A;*  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H] \implies$   
 $nsum M (\lambda j. (\text{jointfun } n s m t) j \cdot_s (\text{jointfun } n f m g) j) n =$   
 $nsum M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-jointfun-jj1:[H ⊆ carrier M; ideal R A;*  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\text{jointfun } n s m t) (\text{jointfun } n f m g) =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-jointfun-jf:[H ⊆ carrier M; ideal R A;*  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow A] \implies$   
 $nsum M (\lambda j. (\text{jointfun } n s m t) j \cdot_s f j) n =$   
 $nsum M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-jointfun-jf1:[H ⊆ carrier M; ideal R A;*  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow A] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\text{jointfun } n s m t) f = l\text{-comb } R M n s f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-jointfun-fj:[H ⊆ carrier M; ideal R A;*

$s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H\} \implies$   
 $nsum M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (\text{jointfun } n f m g) j) n =$   
 $nsum M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s f j) n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-jointfun-fj1*:  
 $[H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A;$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } (n + m)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s (\text{jointfun } n f m g) = l\text{-comb } R M n s f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb0-1Tr*:  
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\} \wedge$   
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb0-1*:  
 $[H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\}; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb0-2Tr*:  
 $\text{ideal } R A \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A$   
 $\wedge m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_M\} \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb0-2*:  
 $[\text{ideal } R A; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A;$   
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_M\}] \implies l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0}_M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-comb-memTr*:  
 $[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M] \implies$   
 $\forall s. \forall m. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$   
 $m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s m \in \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-mem*:  
 $[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s m \in \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-transpos*:  
 $[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$   
 $s \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $j < \text{Suc } n] \implies$   
 $\Sigma_e M (\text{cmp } (\lambda k. s k \cdot_s f k) (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{Suc } n) =$   
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. (\text{cmp } s (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) k \cdot_s$   
 $(\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) k) (\text{Suc } n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-transpos1*:  
 $[\text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$s \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{l. l \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; j < \text{Suc } n \] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) (\text{cmp } s (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n))) (\text{cmp } f (\text{transpos } j (\text{Suc } n)))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *sc-linear-span*:  
 $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; a \in A;$   
 $h \in H \rrbracket \implies a \cdot_s h \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-span-cont-H*:  
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$   
 $H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-span-inc-0*:  
 $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\mathbf{0} \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-span-iOp-closedTr1*:  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \implies$   
 $(\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a R (s x)) \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-span-gen-mono*:  
 $\llbracket K \subseteq H; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{ideal } R A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{linear-span } R M A K \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-add*:  
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $t \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } (n + m)) (\text{jointfun } n s m t) (\text{jointfun } n f m g) =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M m t g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-add1Tr*:  
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \longrightarrow$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (s x) \pm_R (t x)) f =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M n t f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *l-comb-add1*:  
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (s x) \pm_R (t x)) f =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n s f \pm l\text{-comb } R M n t f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *linear-span-iOp-closedTr2*:  
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \] \implies$   
 $-_a (l\text{-comb } R M n s f) =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R M n (\lambda x \in \{j. j \leq n\}. -_a R (s x)) f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linear-span-iOp-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; a \in \text{linear-span } R M A H \rrbracket \implies {}_a a \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linear-span-pOp-closed:**

$\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; a \in \text{linear-span } R M A H; b \in \text{linear-span } R M A H \rrbracket$

$\implies a \pm b \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-comb-scTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$r \in \text{carrier } R; H \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) =$   
 $\text{nsum } M (\lambda k. r \cdot_s ((s k) \cdot_s (g k))) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-comb-sc1Tr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$r \in \text{carrier } R; H \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) =$   
 $\text{nsum } M (\lambda k. (r \cdot_r R (s k)) \cdot_s (g k)) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-comb-sc:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R;$

$s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$   
 $r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) = \text{nsum } M (\lambda k. r \cdot_s ((s k) \cdot_s (g k))) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-comb-sc1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; r \in \text{carrier } R;$

$s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies$   
 $r \cdot_s (\text{nsum } M (\lambda k. (s k) \cdot_s (g k)) n) = \text{nsum } M (\lambda k. (r \cdot_r R (s k)) \cdot_s (g k)) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linear-span-sc-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$

$r \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{linear-span } R M A H \rrbracket \implies r \cdot_s x \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mem-single-l-spanTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; h \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$

$s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{h\} \wedge \text{l-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A \{h\}$   
 $\longrightarrow (\exists a \in A. \text{l-comb } R M n s f = a \cdot_s h)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mem-single-l-span:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; h \in \text{carrier } M;$

$s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{h\};$

$\text{l-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A \{h\} \rrbracket \implies$

$\exists a \in A. \text{l-comb } R M n s f = a \cdot_s h$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mem-single-l-span1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; h \in \text{carrier } M; x \in \text{linear-span } R M A \{h\} \rrbracket \implies \exists a \in A. x = a \cdot_s h$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linear-span-subModule:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{submodule } R M (\text{linear-span } R M A H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-comb-mem-submoduleTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{submodule } R M N \rrbracket \implies (s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge (\forall j \leq n. (s j) \cdot_s (f j) \in N)) \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-span-sub-submodule:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{submodule } R M N; H \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies \text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linear-span-sub:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies (\text{linear-span } R M A H) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

*s*module-ideal-coeff ::  $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'r \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set where}$   
 $\text{s}$ module-ideal-coeff  $R M A = \text{linear-span } R M A (\text{carrier } M)$

### abbreviation

*S*MLIDEALCOEFF ((3-/ ⊕- -) [64,64,65]64) **where**  
 $A \odot_R M == \text{s}$ module-ideal-coeff  $R M A$

**lemma (in Module) s**module-ideal-coeff-is-Submodule:**ideal**  $R A \implies \text{submodule } R M (A \odot_R M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) mem-s**module-ideal-coeff:**ideal**  $R A; x \in A \odot_R M \implies \exists n. \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M.$   
 $x = l\text{-comb } R M n s g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

*q*uotient-of-submodules ::  $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set, 'r set}] \Rightarrow 'r \text{ set where}$   
 $\text{quotient-of-submodules } R M N P = \{x \mid x. x \in \text{carrier } R \wedge (\text{linear-span } R M (Rxa R x) P) \subseteq N\}$

### definition

*A*nnihilator ::  $[(r, m) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (a, r, m1) \text{ Module-scheme}]$

$\Rightarrow 'r\ set ((Ann_{-} -) [82,83]82) \text{ where}$   
 $Ann_R M = \text{quotient-of-submodules } R M \ \{\mathbf{0}_M\} (\text{carrier } M)$

**abbreviation**

$QOFSUBMDS ((4- \cdot \cdot \cdot) [82,82,82,83]82) \text{ where}$   
 $N_{R \cdot \cdot \cdot M} P == \text{quotient-of-submodules } R M N P$

**lemma (in Module) quotient-of-submodules-inc-0:**

$\llbracket \text{submodule } R M P; \text{submodule } R M Q \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0}_R \in (P_{R \cdot \cdot \cdot M} Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) quotient-of-submodules-is-ideal:**

$\llbracket \text{submodule } R M P; \text{submodule } R M Q \rrbracket \implies \text{ideal } R (P_{R \cdot \cdot \cdot M} Q)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) Ann-is-ideal:ideal R (Ann\_R M)**

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linmap-im-of-lincombTr:[ideal R A; R module N;**

$f \in mHom R M N; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \implies$   
 $f(l\text{-comb } R M n s g) = l\text{-comb } R N n s (\text{cmp } f g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linmap-im-lincomb:[ideal R A; R module N; f \in mHom R M N;**

$H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \implies$   
 $f(l\text{-comb } R M n s g) = l\text{-comb } R N n s (\text{cmp } f g)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linmap-im-linspan:[ideal R A; R module N; f \in mHom R M N;**

$H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \implies$   
 $f(l\text{-comb } R M n s g) \in \text{linear-span } R N A (f' H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) linmap-im-linspan1:[ideal R A; R module N; f \in mHom R M N;**

$H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; h \in \text{linear-span } R M A H \implies$   
 $f h \in \text{linear-span } R N A (f' H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

$\text{faithful} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}]$   
 $\quad \quad \quad \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{faithful } R M \longleftrightarrow Ann_R M = \{\mathbf{0}_R\}$

## 5.3 nsum and Generators

**definition**

```
generator :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
             'a set] ⇒ bool where
generator R M H == H ⊆ carrier M ∧
                    linear-span R M (carrier R) H = carrier M
```

**definition**

```
finite-generator :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
                     'a set] ⇒ bool where
finite-generator R M H ←→ finite H ∧ generator R M H
```

**definition**

```
fGOVER :: [('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, ('r, 'm) Ring-scheme] ⇒ bool
where
fGOVER M R ←→ (∃ H. finite-generator R M H)
```

**abbreviation**

```
FGENOVER (infixl fgover 70) where
M fgover R == fGOVER M R
```

**lemma (in Module) h-in-linear-span:**  $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) generator-sub-carrier:**  $\text{generator } R M H \implies H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) lin-span-sub-carrier:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A;$   
 $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) lin-span-coeff-mono:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{linear-span } R M A H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-span-sum-closedTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M A H \longrightarrow$   
 $(\text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \in \text{linear-span } R M A H)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) l-span-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M A H \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{l-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-closed}1$ :**  $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \rrbracket \implies \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-closed}2Tr0$ :**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{Ring } R; s \in A; f \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \rrbracket \implies s \cdot_s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-closed}2Tr$ :**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \longrightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-closed}2$ :**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H \rrbracket \implies l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-l-span}$ :**  $H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) (\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H) = \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-spanA-l-span}$ :**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{linear-span } R M A (\text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H) = \text{linear-span } R M A H$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-zero}$ :**  $\text{ideal } R A \implies \text{linear-span } R M A \{\mathbf{0}\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)  $l\text{-span-closed}3$ :**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; \text{generator } R M H; A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{linear-span } R M A H = \text{carrier } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) generator-generator:**  $\llbracket \text{generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq \text{carrier } M; H \subseteq \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H1 \rrbracket \implies \text{generator } R M H1$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) generator-elimTr:**

$f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge \text{generator } R M (f \cdot \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge (\forall i \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } 0). n. f i \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) (f \cdot \{j. j \leq (i - \text{Suc } 0)\})) \longrightarrow \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) \{f 0\} = \text{carrier } M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) generator-generator-elim:**

$$\llbracket f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; \text{generator } R M (f' \{j. j \leq n\}); \\ (\forall i \in \text{nset } (\text{Suc } 0). n. f i \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) \\ (f' \{j. j \leq (i - \text{Suc } 0)\})) \rrbracket \implies \\ \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) \{f 0\} = \text{carrier } M$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) surjec-generator:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; \\ \text{surjec}_{M,N} f; \text{generator } R M H \rrbracket \implies \text{generator } R N (f' H)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) surjec-finitely-gen:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N; \\ \text{surjec}_{M,N} f; M f \text{over } R \rrbracket \implies N f \text{over } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 5.3.1 Sum up coefficients

Symbolic calculation.

**lemma (in Module) similar-termTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A \rrbracket \implies \\ \forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge \\ f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \wedge \\ m \in f' \{j. j \leq n\} \longrightarrow \\ (\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \pm a \cdot_s m = \\ \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (f j)) n)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) similar-term1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; a \in A; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; \\ f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; m \in f' \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \\ \exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n \pm a \cdot_s m = \\ \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (f j)) n$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) same-togetherTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \\ \forall s. \forall f. s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \longrightarrow \\ (\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow A. \\ \exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow f' \{j. j \leq n\}. \\ \text{surj-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge \\ \text{nsum } M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n = \text{nsum } M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) \\ (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) same-together:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \\ s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \rrbracket \implies \\ \exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow A. \\ \exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow f' \{j. j \leq n\}. \\ \text{surj-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{card } (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} (f' \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge$

$$\Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (f j)) n = \\ \Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (card (f ' \{j. j \leq n\}) - Suc 0) \\ \langle proof \rangle$$

**lemma (in Module) one-last:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H;$   
 $\text{bij-to } f \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} H; j \leq (\text{Suc } n); j \neq (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists t \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H.$   
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. s k \cdot_s (f k)) (\text{Suc } n) = \Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{Suc } n) \wedge$   
 $g (\text{Suc } n) = f j \wedge t (\text{Suc } n) = s j \wedge \text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finite-lin-spanTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; z \in \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{z\} \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \implies$   
 $(\exists s \in \{0::nat\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (h j)) n = s 0 \cdot_s z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) single-span:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; z \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $h \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \{z\}; t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists s \in \{0::nat\} \rightarrow A. \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. t j \cdot_s (h j)) n = s 0 \cdot_s z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

$$\text{coeff-at-}k :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r, nat] \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'r) \text{ where}$$
 $\text{coeff-at-}k R a k = (\lambda j. \text{if } j = k \text{ then } a \text{ else } (\mathbf{0}_R))$

**lemma card-Nset-im:**  $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \implies$   
 $(\text{Suc } 0) \leq \text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) eSum-changeTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A;$   
 $t \in \{k. k \leq (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq (n1::nat)\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow A;$   
 $g \in \{k. k \leq (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0)\} \rightarrow f ' \{j. j \leq n1\};$   
 $\text{Suc } 0 < \text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}); g x = h (\text{Suc } n); x = \text{Suc } n;$   
 $\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 = \text{Suc } (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0) \rrbracket$   
 $\implies$   
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0) =$   
 $\Sigma_e M (\lambda k. t k \cdot_s (g k)) (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0) \pm$   
 $(t (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0)) \cdot_s$   
 $(g (\text{Suc } (\text{card } (f ' \{j. j \leq n1\}) - \text{Suc } 0 - \text{Suc } 0))))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

$$\text{zeroi} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}] \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'r \text{ where}$$
 $\text{zeroi } R = (\lambda j. \mathbf{0}_R)$

**lemma zeroi-func:**  $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; \text{ideal } R A \rrbracket \implies \text{zeroi } R \in \{j. j \leq 0\} \rightarrow A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) prep-arrTr1:**  $\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; f \in \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}; s \in \{j. j \leq n1\} \rightarrow A; m = l\text{-comb } R M n1 s f \rrbracket \implies \exists l \in \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}. (\exists s \in \{j. j \leq (l::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A. \exists g \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq (\text{Suc } n)\}. m = l\text{-comb } R M l s g \wedge \text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq l\} (f ` \{j. j \leq n1\}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma two-func-imageTr:**  $\llbracket h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow B; f \in \{j. j \leq (m::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; h(\text{Suc } n) \notin f ` \{j. j \leq m\} \rrbracket \implies f \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq n\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finite-lin-spanTr3-0:**  $\llbracket \text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq l\} (g ` \{j. j \leq l\}); \text{ideal } R A; \forall na. \forall s \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow A. \forall f \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq n\}. \exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. l\text{-comb } R M na s f = l\text{-comb } R M n t h; h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; l \leq \text{Suc } n; sa \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow A; g \in \{j. j \leq l\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; 0 < l; f ` \{j. j \leq m\} = g ` \{j. j \leq l\}; h(\text{Suc } n) = g l \rrbracket \implies \exists t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow A. l\text{-comb } R M l sa g = l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) t h$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finite-lin-spanTr3:ideal R A  $\implies$**

$\llbracket h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \rightarrow (\forall na. \forall s \in \{j. j \leq (na::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A. \forall f \in \{j. j \leq na\} \rightarrow (h ` \{j. j \leq n\}). (\exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. l\text{-comb } R M na s f = l\text{-comb } R M n t h))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) finite-lin-span:**

$\llbracket \text{ideal } R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq (n1::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow A; f \in \{j. j \leq n1\} \rightarrow h ` \{j. j \leq n\} \rrbracket \implies \exists t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. l\text{-comb } R M n1 s f = l\text{-comb } R M n t h$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 5.3.2 Free generators

**definition**

$\text{free-generator} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

$\text{free-generator } R M H \longleftrightarrow \text{generator } R M H \wedge (\forall n. (\forall s f. (s \in \{j. j \leq (n::\text{nat})\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f = \mathbf{0}_M) \longrightarrow s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{\mathbf{0}_R\}))$

**lemma (in Module)** *free-generator-generator*:  
 $\text{free-generator } R M H \implies \text{generator } R M H$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *free-generator-sub*:  
 $\text{free-generator } R M H \implies H \subseteq \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *free-generator-nonzero*:  
 $\llbracket \neg (\text{zeroring } R); \text{free-generator } R M H; h \in H \rrbracket \implies h \neq \mathbf{0}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *has-free-generator-nonzeroring*:  
 $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R M H; \exists p \in \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) H. p \neq \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \neg \text{zeroring } R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *unique-expression1*:  
 $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{free-generator } R M H; s \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; m \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } m \{j. j \leq n\}; l\text{-comb } R M n s m = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies \forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j = \mathbf{0}_R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *free-gen-coeff-zero*:  
 $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{free-generator } R M H; h \in H; a \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_s h = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies a = \mathbf{0}_R$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *unique-expression2*:  
 $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies \exists m g t. g \in (\{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H) \wedge \text{bij-to } g \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} (f \cdot \{j. j \leq n\}) \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *unique-expression3-1*:  
 $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; (f (\text{Suc } n)) \notin f \cdot (\{l. l \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{\text{Suc } n\}) \rrbracket \implies \exists g m t. g \in \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \wedge t \in \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge t m = s (\text{Suc } n) \wedge g m = f (\text{Suc } n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *unique-expression3-2*:  
 $\llbracket H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H; s \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; l \leq (\text{Suc } n); (f l) \notin f \cdot (\{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{l\}); l \neq \text{Suc } n \rrbracket \implies \exists g m t. g \in \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{l. l \leq (m::nat)\} \wedge$

$$\begin{aligned}
t \in \{l. l \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge \\
t m = s l \wedge g m = f l
\end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression3:**

$$\begin{aligned}
[H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow H; \\
s \in \{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; l \leq (\text{Suc } n); \\
(f l) \notin f'(\{k. k \leq (\text{Suc } n)\} - \{l\})] \implies \\
\exists g m t. g \in \{k. k \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \\
\text{inj-on } g \{k. k \leq m\} \wedge \\
t \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge \\
l\text{-comb } R M (\text{Suc } n) s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge t m = s l \wedge g m = f l
\end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression4:free-generator R M H  $\implies$**

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \{k. k \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \{k. k \leq n\} \wedge \\
s \in \{k. k \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f \neq \mathbf{0} \implies \\
(\exists m g t. (g \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow H) \wedge \text{inj-on } g \{k. k \leq m\} \wedge \\
(g' \{k. k \leq m\} \subseteq f' \{k. k \leq n\}) \wedge (t \in \{k. k \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R) \wedge \\
(\forall l \in \{k. k \leq m\}. t l \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g)
\end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module) unique-preexpression5-0:[free-generator R M H;**

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H; \\
\text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g; \forall j \leq n. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \leq m. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \\
f n \notin g' \{j. j \leq m\}; 0 < n] \implies \text{False}
\end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression5:[free-generator R M H;**

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \\
\text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g; \\
\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \implies \\
f' \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq g' \{j. j \leq m\}
\end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression6:[free-generator R M H;**

$$\begin{aligned}
f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } f \{j. j \leq n\}; \\
s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \text{inj-on } g \{j. j \leq m\}; \\
t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \\
l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g; \\
\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \implies
\end{aligned}$$

$f ` \{j. j \leq n\} = g ` \{j. j \leq m\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression7-1:**  $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H; \ f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \ \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\}; \ s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \ \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq m\}; \ t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g;$

$\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. \ s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \ \forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. \ t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R \rrbracket \implies n = m$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression7-2:**  $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H; \ f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H; \ \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\}; \ s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R; \ t \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ t \ f \rrbracket \implies (\forall l \in \{j. j \leq n\}. \ s \ l = t \ l)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**theory Algebra8 imports Algebra7 begin**

## 5.4 nsum and Generators (continued)

**lemma (in Module) unique-expression-last:**  $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H; \ f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; \ s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$g \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; \ t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t \ g;$

$\text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; \ \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$

$f \ (\text{Suc } n) = g \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies s \ (\text{Suc } n) = t \ (\text{Suc } n)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) unique-exprTr7p1:**  $\llbracket \text{free-generator } R \ M \ H; \ \forall f \ s \ g \ t \ m.$

$f \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$

$g \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow H \wedge \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq m\} \wedge t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \wedge$

$l\text{-comb } R \ M \ n \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ m \ t \ g \wedge$

$(\forall j \leq n. \ s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge (\forall k \leq m. \ t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \longrightarrow$

$n = m \wedge$

$(\exists h. \ h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$

$(\forall l \leq n. \ \text{cmp } f \ h \ l = g \ l \wedge \text{cmp } s \ h \ l = t \ l));$

$f \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; \ s \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$g \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow H; \ t \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R;$

$l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ s \ f = l\text{-comb } R \ M \ (\text{Suc } n) \ t \ g; \ \forall j \leq \text{Suc } n. \ s \ j \neq \mathbf{0}_R;$

$\forall k \leq \text{Suc } n. \ t \ k \neq \mathbf{0}_R; \ \text{inj-on } f \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\}; \ \text{inj-on } g \ \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\};$

$f \ (\text{Suc } n) = g \ (\text{Suc } n) \rrbracket \implies \exists h. \ h \in \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq \text{Suc } n\} \wedge$

$(\forall l \leq \text{Suc } n. \ \text{cmp } f \ h \ l = g \ l \wedge \text{cmp } s \ h \ l = t \ l)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *unique-expression7:free-generator R M H*  $\implies$   
 $\forall f s g t m. f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge inj\text{-on } f \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge$   
 $s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge$   
 $g \in \{j. j \leq (m::nat)\} \rightarrow H \wedge inj\text{-on } g \{j. j \leq m\} \wedge$   
 $t \in \{j. j \leq m\} \rightarrow carrier R \wedge l\text{-comb } R M n s f = l\text{-comb } R M m t g \wedge$   
 $(\forall j \in \{j. j \leq n\}. s j \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \wedge (\forall k \in \{j. j \leq m\}. t k \neq \mathbf{0}_R) \longrightarrow n = m \wedge$   
 $(\exists h. h \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \{j. j \leq n\} \wedge (\forall l \in \{j. j \leq n\}. (cmp f h) l = g l \wedge$   
 $\wedge (cmp s h) l = t l))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *gen-mHom-eq:[R module N; generator R M H; f ∈ mHom R M N;*  
 $g \in mHom R M N; \forall h \in H. f h = g h] \implies f = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.5 Existence of homomorphism

**definition**

*fgs* :: [*('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a set*]  $\Rightarrow$   
*'a set where*

*fgs R M A* = linear-span *R M (carrier R) A*

**definition**

*fsp* :: [*('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,*  
*('b, 'r, 'm2) Module-scheme, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b, 'a set, 'a set, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b]  $\Rightarrow$  bool  
*where*  
*fsp R M N f H A g*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *g*  $\in$  *mHom R (mdl M (fgs R M A)) N*  $\wedge$   $(\forall z \in A. f z = g z) \wedge A \subseteq H$*

**definition**

*fsp* :: [*('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,*  
*('b, 'r, 'm2) Module-scheme, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$   
 $(('a set) * ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)) set$  **where**  
*fsp R M N f H* = {*Z*.  $\exists A. g. Z = (A, g) \wedge fsp R M N f H A g$* }

**definition**

*od-fm-fun* :: [*('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,*  
*('b, 'r, 'm2) Module-scheme, 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b, 'a set]  $\Rightarrow$   
 $(('a set) * ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)) Order$  **where**  
*od-fm-fun R M N f H* = ()*carrier = fsp R M N f H,*  
*rel* = {*Y*.  $Y \in (fsp R M N f H) \times (fsp R M N f H) \wedge$   
 $(fst (fst Y)) \subseteq (fst (snd Y))$ } ()*

**lemma (in Module)** *od-fm-fun-carrier:carrier (od-fm-fun R M N f H) =*  
*fsp R M N f H*

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $f\text{gs-submodule}: a \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies \text{submodule } R M (f\text{gs } R M a)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $f\text{gs-sub-carrier}: a \subseteq \text{carrier } M \implies (f\text{gs } R M a) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{elem-fgs}: [a \subseteq \text{carrier } M; x \in a] \implies x \in f\text{gs } R M a$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{fst-chain-subset}: [R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsp } R M N f H; (a, b) \in C] \implies a \subseteq \text{carrier } M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{empty-fsp}: [R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N] \implies (\{\}, (\lambda x \in \{\mathbf{0}_M\}. \mathbf{0}_N)) \in \text{fsp } R M N f H$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{mem-fgs-l-comb}: [K \neq \{\}; K \subseteq \text{carrier } M; x \in f\text{gs } R M K] \implies$

$\exists (n::nat).$

$\exists f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K. \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R.$

$x = l\text{-comb } R M n s f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *PairE-lemma*:  $\exists x y. p = (x, y)$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{fsp-chain-boundTr1}: [R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsp } R M N f H;$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; \forall a b. (a, b) \in C \rightarrow$

$(a, b) \in \text{fsp } R M N f H; \exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\}] \implies$

$fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}$

$\rightarrow (\exists (c, d) \in C. fa \setminus \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq c)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{fsp-chain-boundTr1-1}: [R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsp } R M N f H;$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a;$

$\exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\};$

$fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}] \implies$

$\exists (c, d) \in C. fa \setminus \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{fsp-chain-boundTr1-2}: [R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsp } R M N f H;$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a;$

$\exists x. (\exists b. (x, b) \in C) \wedge x \neq \{\};$

$fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \] \implies$   
 $\exists P \in C. fa` \{j. j \leq n\} \subseteq fst P$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *eSum-in-SubmoduleTr*: $[H \subseteq carrier M; K \subseteq H] \implies$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R \longrightarrow$   
 $l\text{-comb } R (mdl M (fgs R M K)) n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *eSum-in-Submodule*: $[H \subseteq carrier M; K \subseteq H;$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow K; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow carrier R] \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R (mdl M (fgs R M K)) n s f = l\text{-comb } R M n s f$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *fgs-generator*: $H \subseteq carrier M \implies$   
 $generator R (mdl M (fgs R M H)) H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *fgs-mono*: $[free-generator R M H; J \subseteq K; K \subseteq H]$   
 $\implies fgs R M J \subseteq fgs R M K$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *empty-fgs*: $fgs R M \{\} = \{\mathbf{0}\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mem-fsps-snd-mHom*: $[R module N; free-generator R M H;$   
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R M N f H] \implies$   
 $b \in mHom R (mdl M (fgs R M a)) N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mem-fsps-fst-sub*: $[R module N; free-generator R M H;$   
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R M N f H] \implies a \subseteq H$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *mem-fsps-fst-sub1*: $[R module N; free-generator R M H;$   
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; (a, b) \in fsps R M N f H] \implies a \subseteq carrier M$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *Order-od-fm-fun*: $[R module N; free-generator R M H;$   
 $f \in H \rightarrow carrier N] \implies Order (od-fm-fun R M N f H)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *fsps-chain-boundTr2-1*: $[R module N;$   
 $free-generator R M H; f \in H \rightarrow carrier N; C \subseteq fsps R M N f H;$   
 $(a, b) \in C; (aa, ba) \in C; x \in fgs R M a; x \in fgs R M aa; a \subseteq aa]$   
 $\implies b x = ba x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-boundTr2-2*:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; C \neq \{\}; (a, b) \in C;$

$x \in \text{fgs } R M a; (a1, b1) \in C; x \in \text{fgs } R M a1 \rrbracket \implies b x = b1 x$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-boundTr2*:**  $\llbracket x. \llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a;$

$x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})); C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$

$(\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) \in$

$(\text{carrier } N) \wedge$

$(\exists a1 b1. (a1, b1) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a1 \wedge$

$(\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) =$   
 $b1 x)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) *Un-C-submodule*:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$

$\text{submodule } R M (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) *Un-C-fgs-sub*:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$

$\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\} \subseteq \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) *Chain-3-supset*:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; (a1, b1) \in C; (a2, b2) \in C;$

$(a3, b3) \in C \rrbracket \implies \exists (g, h) \in C. a1 \subseteq g \wedge a2 \subseteq g \wedge a3 \subseteq g$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-bound1*:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H;$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a; C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$

$(\lambda x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})). (\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge$

$(\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))) \in$

$mHom R (\text{mdl } M (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}))) N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) *fsps-chain-bound2*:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; C \subseteq \text{fsps } R M N f H; C \neq \{\};$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall y \in (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). (\lambda x \in (\text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})).$

$(\text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))) y =$

$\stackrel{f}{y}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) od-fm-fun-Chain:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{Algebra2.Chain (od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$

$\forall a \in C. \forall b \in C. \text{fst } a \subseteq \text{fst } b \vee \text{fst } b \subseteq \text{fst } a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) od-fm-fun-inPr0:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{Algebra2.Chain (od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\}; \exists b. (y, b) \in C; z \in y \rrbracket \implies z \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) od-fm-fun-indPr1:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{Algebra2.Chain (od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}, \lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). \text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x)) \in \text{fsp } R M N f H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) od-fm-fun-indPr2:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; \text{Algebra2.Chain (od-fm-fun } R M N f H) C; C \neq \{\} \rrbracket \implies$   
 $ub_{\text{od-fm-fun } R M N f H} C (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}, \lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M (\bigcup \{a. \exists b. (a, b) \in C\}). \text{THE } y. y \in \text{carrier } N \wedge (\exists a b. (a, b) \in C \wedge x \in \text{fgs } R M a \wedge y = b x))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) od-fm-fun-inductive:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies \text{inductive-set (od-fm-fun } R M N f H)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) sSum-eq:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H - H1 \rrbracket \implies (\text{fgs } R M H1) \mp (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) = \text{fgs } R M (H1 \cup \{h\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{monofun} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm2) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'a \Rightarrow 'b, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{monofun } R M N f H h = (\lambda x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. (\text{THE } y. (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. x = r \cdot_s M h \wedge y = r \cdot_s N (f h))))$

**lemma (in Module) fgs-single-span:**  $\llbracket h \in \text{carrier } M; x \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists a \in \text{carrier } R. x = a \cdot_s h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) monofun-mHomTr:**  $\llbracket h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H; a \in \text{carrier } R; r \in \text{carrier } R; a \cdot_s h = r \cdot_s h \rrbracket \implies a = r$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)** *monofun-mhomTr1*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \llbracket &\implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h (a \cdot_s h) &= a \cdot_{sN} (f h) \end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *monofun-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \llbracket &\implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h x &\in \text{carrier } N \end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *monofun-eq-f*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; h \in H; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N \llbracket \implies \text{monofun } R M N f H h h = f h$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *sSum-unique*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; H1 \subseteq H;$

$$\begin{aligned} h \in H - H1; x1 \in (\text{fgs } R M H1); x2 \in (\text{fgs } R M H1); \\ y1 \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}); y2 \in (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}); x1 \pm y1 = x2 \pm y2 \llbracket &\implies \\ x1 = x2 \wedge y1 = y2 & \end{aligned}$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *ex-extensionTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H; h \notin H1;$$

$$\begin{aligned} g \in mHom R (\text{mdl } M (\text{fgs } R M H1)) N; \\ x \in \text{fgs } R M H1 \mp (\text{fgs } R M \{h\}) \llbracket &\implies \\ \exists k1 \in \text{fgs } R M H1. \exists k2 \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. x = k1 \pm k2 \wedge & \end{aligned}$$

$$(\text{THE } y. \exists h1 \in \text{fgs } R M H1. \exists h2 \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}. x = h1 \pm h2 \wedge y = g h1 \pm_N \\ (\text{monofun } R M N f H h h2)) = g k1 \pm_N (\text{monofun } R M N f H h k2)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *monofun-add*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H; x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; y \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\} \llbracket &\implies \\ \text{monofun } R M N f H h (x \pm y) &= \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{monofun } R M N f H h x \pm_N (\text{monofun } R M N f H h y)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *monofun-sprod*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H; x \in \text{fgs } R M \{h\}; a \in \text{carrier } R \llbracket \implies$$

$$\text{monofun } R M N f H h (a \cdot_s x) = a \cdot_{sN} (\text{monofun } R M N f H h x)$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *monofun-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; h \in H \llbracket \implies \text{monofun } R M N f H h \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0}_N$$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Module)** *ex-extension*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H;$

$$\begin{aligned} f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N; H1 \subseteq H; h \in H - H1; (H1, g) \in \text{fspS } R M N f H \llbracket &\implies \\ \exists k. ((H1 \cup \{h\}), k) \in \text{fspS } R M N f H & \end{aligned}$$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $mHom\text{-}mHom: [R \text{ module } N; g \in mHom R (\text{mdl } M (\text{carrier } M)) N] \implies g \in mHom R M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{exist-extension-mhom}: [R \text{ module } N; \text{free-generator } R M H; f \in H \rightarrow \text{carrier } N] \implies \exists g \in mHom R M N. \forall x \in H. g x = f x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.6 Nakayama lemma

**definition**

$Lcg :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, nat] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $Lcg R M j \longleftrightarrow (\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H \wedge j = \text{card } H)$

**lemma (in Module)**  $NAKTr1: M fgover R \implies$   
 $\exists H. \text{finite-generator } R M H \wedge (\text{LEAST } j.$   
 $\exists L. \text{finite-generator } R M L \wedge j = \text{card } L) = \text{card } H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $NAKTr2: [Lcg R M j; k < (\text{LEAST } j. Lcg R M j)] \implies$   
 $\neg Lcg R M k$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $NAKTr3: [M fgover R; H \subseteq \text{carrier } M; \text{finite } H;$   
 $\text{card } H < (\text{LEAST } j. \exists L. \text{finite-generator } R M L \wedge j = \text{card } L)] \implies$   
 $\neg \text{finite-generator } R M H$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $\text{finite-gen-over-ideal}: [ideal R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow$   
 $\text{carrier } M; \text{generator } R M (h ' \{j. j \leq n\}); A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M;$   
 $m \in \text{carrier } M] \implies \exists s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow A. m = l\text{-comb } R M n s h$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $NAKTr4: [ideal R A; h \in \{j. j \leq (k::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M;$   
 $0 < k; h ' \{j. j \leq k\} \subseteq \text{carrier } M; s \in \{j. j \leq k\} \rightarrow A;$   
 $h k = \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (h j)) (k - \text{Suc } 0) \pm (s k \cdot_s (h k))] \implies$   
 $(1_{rR} \pm_R (-a_R (s k))) \cdot_s (h k) = \Sigma_e M (\lambda j. s j \cdot_s (h j)) (k - \text{Suc } 0)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $NAKTr5: [\neg \text{zeroring } R; \text{ideal } R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R;$   
 $A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M; \text{finite-generator } R M H; \text{card } H = \text{Suc } k; 0 < k] \implies$   
 $\exists h \in \{j. j \leq k\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M. H = h ' \{j. j \leq k\} \wedge$   
 $h k \in \text{linear-span } R M A (h ' \{j. j \leq (k - \text{Suc } 0)\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) NAK:**  $\neg \text{zeroring } R; M \text{ fgover } R; \text{ideal } R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R;$

$$A \odot_R M = \text{carrier } M \Rightarrow \text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}\}$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) fg-qmodule:**  $\llbracket M \text{ fgover } R; \text{submodule } R M N \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $(M /_m N) \text{ fgover } R$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Module) NAK1:**  $\neg \text{zeroring } R; M \text{ fgover } R; \text{submodule } R M N;$   
 $\text{ideal } R A; A \subseteq J\text{-rad } R; \text{carrier } M = A \odot_R M \mp N \Rightarrow \text{carrier } M = N$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 5.7 Direct sum and direct products of modules

**definition**

$\text{prodM-sprod} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'i \text{ set},$   
 $'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'r \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{prodM-sprod } R I A = (\lambda a \in \text{carrier } R. \lambda g \in \text{carr-prodag } I A.$   
 $(\lambda j \in I. a \cdot_s (A j) (g j)))$

**definition**

$\text{prodM} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $(\text{carrier} :: ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set},$   
 $\text{pop} :: ['i \Rightarrow 'a, 'i \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a),$   
 $\text{mop} :: ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a), \text{zero} :: ('i \Rightarrow 'a),$   
 $\text{sprod} :: ['r, 'i \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ l} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{prodM } R I A = (\text{carrier} = \text{carr-prodag } I A,$   
 $\text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{mop} = \text{prod-mOp } I A,$   
 $\text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A, \text{sprod} = \text{prodM-sprod } R I A \text{ l})$

**definition**

$\text{mProject} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'i \text{ set},$   
 $'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'r, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}, 'i] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $\text{mProject } R I A j = (\lambda f \in \text{carr-prodag } I A. f j)$

**abbreviation**

$\text{PRODMODULES } ((\exists m \Pi_{- -} [72, 72, 73] 72) \text{ where}$   
 $m \Pi_R I A == \text{prodM } R I A$

**lemma (in Ring) prodM-carr:**  $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)) \rrbracket \Rightarrow$   
 $\text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R I M) = \text{carr-prodag } I M$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prodM-mem-eq:**  $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i));$   
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R I M); m2 \in \text{carrier } (\text{prodM } R I M);$   
 $\forall i \in I. m1 i = m2 i \rrbracket \Rightarrow m1 = m2$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prodM-sprod-mem:**  $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)); a \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M \Rightarrow \text{prodM-sprod } R I M a m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prodM-sprod-val:**  $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)); a \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $m \in \text{carr-prodag } I M; j \in I \Rightarrow (\text{prodM-sprod } R I M a m) j = a \cdot_s(M j) (m j)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) prodM-Module:**  $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i)) \Rightarrow$   
 $R \text{ module } (\text{prodM } R I M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$dsumM :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'i \text{ set}, 'i \Rightarrow ('a, 'r, 'more) \text{ Module-scheme}]$   
 $\Rightarrow (\text{carrier} :: ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ set},$   
 $\quad \text{pop} :: ['i \Rightarrow 'a, 'i \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a),$   
 $\quad \text{mop} :: ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a),$   
 $\quad \text{zero} :: ('i \Rightarrow 'a),$   
 $\quad \text{sprod} :: ['r, 'i \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \text{ where}$

$dsumM R I A = (\text{carrier} = \text{carr-dsumag } I A,$   
 $\quad \text{pop} = \text{prod-pOp } I A, \text{ mop} = \text{prod-mOp } I A,$   
 $\quad \text{zero} = \text{prod-zero } I A, \text{ sprod} = \text{prodM-sprod } R I A)$

#### abbreviation

$\text{DSUMMOD } ((\beta_{-\Sigma_d-} -) [72, 72, 73] 72) \text{ where}$   
 $R\Sigma_d I A == dsumM R I A$

**lemma (in Ring) dsumM-carr:carrier** ( $dsumM R I M = \text{carr-dsumag } I M$ )  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) dsum-sprod-mem:**  $\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; a \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $b \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \Rightarrow \text{prodM-sprod } R I M a b \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) carr-dsum-prod:carr-dsumag I M  $\subseteq$  carr-prodag I M**  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) carr-dsum-prod1:**  
 $\forall x. x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \rightarrow x \in \text{carr-prodag } I M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) carr-dsumM-mem-eq:**  $\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M;$   
 $y \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M; \forall j \in I. x j = y j \Rightarrow x = y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) dsumM-Module:**  $\forall i \in I. R \text{ module } (M i) \Rightarrow R \text{ module } (R\Sigma_d I M)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

definition
ringModule :: ('r, 'b) Ring-scheme  $\Rightarrow$  ('r, 'r) Module
    ((M_) [998]999) where
MR = ()carrier = carrier R, pop = pop R, mop = mop R,
    zero = zero R, sprod = tp R)

lemma (in Ring) ringModule-Module:R module MR
⟨proof⟩

definition
dsumMhom :: ['i set, 'i  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'r, 'm) Module-scheme,
    'i  $\Rightarrow$  ('b, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'i  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  'b)]  $\Rightarrow$  ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'a)  $\Rightarrow$ 
    ('i  $\Rightarrow$  'b) where

dsumMhom I A B S = ( $\lambda f \in \text{carr-dsumag } I A.$  ( $\lambda k \in I.$  (S k) (f k)))

lemma (in Ring) dsumMhom-mem:  $\llbracket \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } M i; \forall i \in I. R \text{ module } N i;$ 
     $\forall i \in I. S i \in mHom R (M i) (N i); x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I M \rrbracket$ 
     $\implies dsumMhom I M N S x \in \text{carr-dsumag } I N$ 
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) dsumMhom-mHom:  $\llbracket \forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (M i));$ 
     $\forall i \in I. (R \text{ module } (N i)); \forall i \in I. ((S i) \in mHom R (M i) (N i)) \rrbracket \implies$ 
     $dsumMhom I M N S \in mHom R (dsumM R I M) (dsumM R I N)$ 
⟨proof⟩

end

theory Algebra9 imports Algebra8 begin

## 5.8 Exact sequence

definition
Zm :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, 'a]  $\Rightarrow$  ('a, 'r) Module where
Zm R e = ()carrier = {e}, pop =  $\lambda x \in \{e\}. \lambda y \in \{e\}. e$ , mop =
     $\lambda x \in \{e\}. e$ , zero = e, sprod =  $\lambda r \in \text{carrier } R. \lambda x \in \{e\}. e$ )

lemma (in Ring) Zm-Module:R module (Zm R e)
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) Zm-carrier:carrier (Zm R e) = {e}
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) Zm-to-M-0:  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R (Zm R e) M \rrbracket \implies$ 

```

$f e = \mathbf{0}_M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $Z\text{-to-}M:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R (Zm R e) M;$   
 $g \in mHom R (Zm R e) M \rrbracket \implies f = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $mzeromap\text{-}mHom:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $mzeromap M N \in mHom R M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $HOM\text{-carrier:carrier} (HOM_R M N) = mHom R M N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $mHom\text{-}Z\text{-}M:R \text{ module } M \implies$   
 $mHom R (Zm R e) M = \{mzeromap (Zm R e) M\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Module)**  $Modules\text{-single-carrier-isom}:\llbracket R \text{ module } N; carrier M = \{\mathbf{0}\};$   
 $carrier N = \{\mathbf{0}_N\} \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $Zm\text{-isom}:(Zm R (e::'a)) \cong_R (Zm R (u::'b))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $HOM\text{-}Z\text{-}M-0:R \text{ module } M \implies HOM_R (Zm R e) M \cong_R (Zm R e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $M\text{-to-}Z:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R M (Zm R e);$   
 $g \in mHom R M (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies f = g$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $mHom\text{-to-zero}:R \text{ module } M \implies mHom R M (Zm R e) =$   
 $\{mzeromap M (Zm R e)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $carrier\text{-}HOM\text{-}M\text{-}Z:R \text{ module } M \implies$   
 $carrier (HOM_R M (Zm R e)) = \{mzeromap M (Zm R e)\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $HOM\text{-}M\text{-}Z-0:R \text{ module } M \implies HOM_R M (Zm R e) \cong_R (Zm R e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $M\text{-to-}Z\text{-}0:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; f \in mHom R M (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\ker_{M,(Zm R e)} f = carrier M$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

```

exact3 :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a ⇒ 'b,
          ('b, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'b ⇒ 'c, ('c, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme] ⇒ bool
where
  exact3 R L0 h0 L1 h1 L2 ==> h0 ` (carrier L0) = ker(L1),(L2) h1

```

**definition**

```

exact4 :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a0 ⇒ 'a1,
          ('a1, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a1 ⇒ 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
          'a2 ⇒ 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme] ⇒ bool where
  exact4 R L0 h0 L1 h1 L2 h2 L3 ↔ h0 ` (carrier L0) = ker(L1),(L2) h1 ∧
                                         h1 ` (carrier L1) = ker(L2),(L3) h2

```

**definition**

```

exact5 :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a0 ⇒ 'a1,
          ('a1, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a1 ⇒ 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
          'a2 ⇒ 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a3 ⇒ 'a4,
          ('a4, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme] ⇒ bool where
  exact5 R L0 h0 L1 h1 L2 h2 L3 h3 L4 ==> h0 ` (carrier L0) = ker(L1),(L2) h1
  ∧
  h1 ` (carrier L1) = ker(L2),(L3) h2 ∧ h2 ` (carrier L2) = ker(L3),(L4) h3

```

**definition**

```

exact8 :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a0, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a0 ⇒ 'a1,
          ('a1, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a1 ⇒ 'a2, ('a2, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
          'a2 ⇒ 'a3, ('a3, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a3 ⇒ 'a4,
          ('a4, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a4 ⇒ 'a5, ('a5, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
          'a5 ⇒ 'a6, ('a6, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, 'a6 ⇒ 'a7,
          ('a7, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme] ⇒ bool where
  exact8 R L0 h0 L1 h1 L2 h2 L3 h3 L4 h4 L5 h5 L6 h6 L7 ↔
    h0 ` (carrier L0) = ker(L1),(L2) h1 ∧ h1 ` (carrier L1) = ker(L2),(L3) h2 ∧
    h2 ` (carrier L2) = ker(L3),(L4) h3 ∧ h3 ` (carrier L3) = ker(L4),(L5) h4 ∧
    h4 ` (carrier L4) = ker(L5),(L6) h5 ∧ h5 ` (carrier L5) = ker(L6),(L7) h6

```

**lemma (in Ring)** *exact3-comp-0*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom R L M; g \in mHom R M N; exact3 R L f M g N \rrbracket \implies$

*compos L g f = mzeromap L N*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring)** *exact-im-sub-kern*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom R L M; g \in mHom R M N; exact3 R L f M g N \rrbracket \implies$

$f ` (\text{carrier } L) \subseteq \ker_{M,N} g$

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring)** *mzero-im-sub-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$

$f \in mHom R L M; g \in mHom R M N; compos L g f = mzeromap L N \rrbracket \implies$

$f ` (\text{carrier } L) \subseteq \ker_{M,N} g$

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) left-exact-injec:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M; f \in mHom R M N; exact3 R (Zm R e) z M f N \rrbracket$   
 $\implies injec_{M,N} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) injec-left-exact:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M; f \in mHom R M N; injec_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $exact3 R (Zm R e) z M f N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) injec-mHom-image:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } N; R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2;$   
 $x \in mHom R N M2; f \in mHom R M1 M2; x ` (\text{carrier } N) \subseteq f ` (\text{carrier } M1);$   
 $injec_{M1,M2} f \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(\lambda n \in (\text{carrier } N). (\text{SOME } m. (m \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge x n = f m))) \in mHom R N M1 \wedge$   
 $\text{compos } N f (\lambda n \in (\text{carrier } N). (\text{SOME } m. m \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge x n = f m)) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) right-exact-surjec:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$   
 $p \in mHom R N (Zm R e); exact3 R M f N p (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies surjec_{M,N} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) surjec-right-exact:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; f \in mHom R M N;$   
 $p \in mHom R N (Zm R e); surjec_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies exact3 R M f N p (Zm R e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) exact4-exact3:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M;$   
 $f \in mHom R M N; z1 \in mHom R N (Zm R e);$   
 $exact4 R (Zm R e) z M f N z1 (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $exact3 R (Zm R e) z M f N \wedge exact3 R M f N z1 (Zm R e)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) exact4-bijec:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M;$   
 $f \in mHom R M N; z1 \in mHom R N (Zm R e);$   
 $exact4 R (Zm R e) z M f N z1 (Zm R e) \rrbracket \implies bijec_{M,N} f$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) exact-im-sub-ker:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $f \in mHom R L M; g \in mHom R M N; z1 \in mHom R N (Zm R e); R \text{ module } Z;$

$\text{exact4 } R \ L f M g N z1 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e); x \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ Z; \text{compos } L x f = \text{mzeromap } L \ Z \llbracket$   
 $\implies (\lambda z \in (\text{carrier } N). x \ (\text{SOME } y. y \in \text{carrier } M \wedge g y = z)) \in \text{mHom } R \ N \ Z$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{exact-im-sub-ker1} : \llbracket R \text{ module } L; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $f \in \text{mHom } R \ L \ M; g \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ N; z1 \in \text{mHom } R \ N \ (\text{Zm } R \ e); R \text{ module } Z;$   
 $\text{exact4 } R \ L f M g N z1 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e); x \in \text{mHom } R \ M \ Z;$   
 $\text{compos } L x f = \text{mzeromap } L \ Z \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{compos } M \ (\lambda z \in (\text{carrier } N). x \ (\text{SOME } y. y \in \text{carrier } M \wedge g y = z)) \ g = x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{module-iota} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $'a \Rightarrow 'a \ ((\text{mi}_- -) [92, 93] 92) \text{ where}$   
 $\text{mi}_R \ M = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. x)$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{short-exact-sequence} : \llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R \ M \ N;$   
 $z \in \text{mHom } R \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ (\text{mdl } M \ N); z1 \in \text{mHom } R \ (M /_m N) \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{exact5 } R \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ z \ (\text{mdl } M \ N)(\text{mi}_R \ (\text{mdl } M \ N)) \ M \ (\text{mpj } M \ N) \ (M /_m N) \ z1$   
 $(\text{Zm } R \ e)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{rexact4-lexact4-HOM} : \llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M3;$   
 $f \in \text{mHom } R \ M1 \ M2; g \in \text{mHom } R \ M2 \ M3; z1 \in \text{mHom } R \ M3 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e);$   
 $\text{exact4 } R \ M1 \ f \ M2 \ g \ M3 \ z1 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall N. R \text{ module } N \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{exact4 } R \ (\text{HOM}_R \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ N) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M3 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ N \ z1) \ (\text{HOM}_R \ M3 \ N)$   
 $(\text{sup-sharp } R \ M2 \ M3 \ N \ g) \ (\text{HOM}_R \ M2 \ N) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M1 \ M2 \ N \ f) \ (\text{HOM}_R \ M1 \ N)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{exact-HOM-exactTr} : \llbracket \text{Ring } (R :: ('r, 'm1) \text{ Ring-scheme}); f \in \text{mHom } R \ M1 \ M2;$   
 $g \in \text{mHom } R \ M2 \ M3; z1 \in \text{mHom } R \ M3 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e); R \text{ module } NV;$   
 $\forall (N :: ('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}). R \text{ module } N \longrightarrow$   
 $\text{exact4 } R \ (\text{HOM}_R \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ N)(\text{sup-sharp } R \ M3 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ N \ z1)$   
 $(\text{HOM}_R \ M3 \ N) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M2 \ M3 \ N \ g) \ (\text{HOM}_R \ M2 \ N) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M1 \ M2 \ N \ f)$   
 $(\text{HOM}_R \ M1 \ N); R \text{ module } (L :: ('a, 'r, 'm) \text{ Module-scheme}) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{exact4 } R \ (\text{HOM}_R \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ L) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M3 \ (\text{Zm } R \ e) \ L \ z1)$   
 $(\text{HOM}_R \ M3 \ L) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M2 \ M3 \ L \ g) \ (\text{HOM}_R \ M2 \ L) \ (\text{sup-sharp } R \ M1 \ M2$

$L f)$   
 $(HOM_R M1 L)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *lexact4-rexact4-HOM*: $\llbracket$ Ring R; R module M1; R module M2; R module M3;  
 $f \in mHom R M1 M2; g \in mHom R M2 M3; z \in mHom R (Zm R e) M1;$   
 $exact4 R (Zm R e) z M1 f M2 g M3 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall N. R \text{ module } N \longrightarrow exact4 R (HOM_R N (Zm R e)) (\text{sub-sharp } R N (Zm R e) M1 z)$   
 $(HOM_R N M1) (\text{sub-sharp } R N M1 M2 f) (HOM_R N M2) (\text{sub-sharp } R N M2 M3 g)$   
 $(HOM_R N M3)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 5.9 Tensor product

**definition**

```

prod-carr :: [('a, 'r, 'm) Module-scheme, ('b, 'r, 'm) Module-scheme]
  ⇒ ('a * 'b) set (infixl ×c 100) where
  M ×c N = carrier M × carrier N

```

**definition**

```

bilinear-map :: ['a * 'b ⇒ 'c, ('r, 'm) Ring-scheme,
  ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, ('b, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,
  ('c, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme] ⇒ bool where
bilinear-map f R M1 M2 N ⇔ f ∈ M1 ×c M2 → carrier N ∧
  f ∈ extensional (M1 ×c M2) ∧
  ( ∀ x1 ∈ carrier M1. ∀ x2 ∈ carrier M1.
    ∀ y ∈ carrier M2. (f (x1 ±M1 x2, y) = f (x1, y) ±N (f (x2, y))) ) ∧
  ( ∀ x ∈ carrier M1. ∀ y1 ∈ carrier M2.
    ∀ y2 ∈ carrier M2. f (x, y1 ±M2 y2) = f (x, y1) ±N (f (x, y2)) ) ∧
  ( ∀ x ∈ carrier M1. ∀ y ∈ carrier M2.
    ∀ r ∈ carrier R. f (r ·s M1 x, y) = r ·s N (f (x, y)) ∧
    f (x, r ·s M2 y) = r ·s N (f (x, y)))

```

**lemma (in Ring)** *prod-carr-mem*: $\llbracket$ R module M; R module N; m ∈ carrier M;  
 $n \in carrier N \rrbracket \implies (m, n) \in M \times_c N$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *bilinear-func*: $bilinear-map f R M N Z \implies$   
 $f \in M \times_c N \rightarrow carrier Z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *bilinear-mem*: $\llbracket$ R module M1; R module M2; R module N;

$m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; \text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies$   
 $f(m1, m2) \in \text{carrier } N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-l-add} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m11 \in \text{carrier } M1; m12 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies$   
 $f(m11 \pm_{M1} m12, m2) = f(m11, m2) \pm_N (f(m12, m2))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-l-add1} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m11 \in \text{carrier } M1; m12 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies$   
 $f(m11 \pm_{M1} m12, m2) \pm_N -a_N (f(m11, m2) \pm_N (f(m12, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-r-add} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m \in \text{carrier } M1; m21 \in \text{carrier } M2; m22 \in \text{carrier } M2;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies$   
 $f(m, m21 \pm_{M2} m22) = f(m, m21) \pm_N (f(m, m22))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-r-add1} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m \in \text{carrier } M1; m21 \in \text{carrier } M2; m22 \in \text{carrier } M2;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies$   
 $f(m, m21 \pm_{M2} m22) \pm_N -a_N (f(m, m21) \pm_N (f(m, m22))) = \mathbf{0}_N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-l-lin} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies f(r \cdot_s M1 m1, m2) = r \cdot_s N (f(m1, m2))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-l-lin1} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies f(r \cdot_s M1 m1, m2) \pm_N -a_N (r \cdot_s N (f(m1, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-r-lin} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies f(m1, r \cdot_s M2 m2) = r \cdot_s N (f(m1, m2))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{bilinear-r-lin1} : [R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; r \in \text{carrier } R;$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N] \implies$   
 $f(m1, r \cdot_s M2 m2) \pm_N -a_N (r \cdot_s N (f(m1, m2))) = \mathbf{0}_N$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) bilinear-l-0:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; \text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies f(\mathbf{0}_{M1}, m2) = \mathbf{0}_N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) bilinear-r-0:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } N;$   
 $m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; \text{bilinear-map } f R M1 M2 N \rrbracket \implies f(m1, \mathbf{0}_{M2}) = \mathbf{0}_N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

*universal-property* ::  $\llbracket ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $'a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c \rrbracket \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
*universal-property* ( $R::('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}$ ) ( $M::('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}$ )  
 $(N::('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}) (MN::('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme})$   
 $(f:: 'a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c) \longleftrightarrow (\text{bilinear-map } f R M N MN)$   $\wedge$   
 $(\forall (Z::('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}). \forall (g:: 'a * 'b \Rightarrow 'c). (R \text{ module } Z) \wedge$   
 $(\text{bilinear-map } g R M N Z) \longrightarrow ((\exists !h. (h \in mHom R MN Z) \wedge$   
 $(\text{compose } (M \times_c N) h f = g)))$

**lemma tensor-prod-uniqueTr:**  $\llbracket \text{Ring } R; R \text{ module } (M::('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (N::('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (MN::('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (MN1::('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $\text{universal-property } R M N MN f; \text{universal-property } R M N MN1 g \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists !k. k \in mHom R MN1 MN \wedge \text{compose } (M \times_c N) k g = f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma tensor-prod-unique:**  $\llbracket \text{Ring } (R:: ('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (M :: ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (N::('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (MN::('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $R \text{ module } (MN1::('c, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme});$   
 $\text{universal-property } R M N MN f; \text{universal-property } R M N MN1 g \rrbracket \implies$   
 $MN \cong_R MN1$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## Chapter 6

# Construction of an abelian group

### 6.1 Free generated abelian group I, direct sum and direct product 2

**definition**

```
bpp :: ['a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a, 'a] ⇒ 'a where  
bpp f a b = f a b
```

**definition**

```
ipp :: ['a ⇒ 'a, 'a] ⇒ 'a ((/- / -) [64,65]64) where  
i- a == i a
```

**definition**

```
sop :: ['r ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'r, 'a] ⇒ 'a where  
sop s r a = s r a
```

**abbreviation**

```
BOP :: ['a, 'a ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a] ⇒ 'a  
((3-/ -+/ -) [62,62,63]62) where  
a f+ b == bpp f a b
```

**abbreviation**

```
SOP :: ['r, 'r ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a, 'a] ⇒ 'a  
((3-/ -· -) [68,68,69]68) where  
r s· a == sop s r a
```

**definition**

```
minus-set :: ['a ⇒ 'a, 'a set] ⇒ 'a set where  
minus-set i A = {x. ∃ y ∈ A. x = i- y}
```

**definition**

```
pm-set :: ['a ⇒ 'a, 'a set] ⇒ 'a set where
```

*pm-set*  $i A = A \cup (\text{minus-set } i A)$

**definition**

*s-set* ::  $[('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $s\text{-set } R s A = \{x. \exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \exists a \in A. x = r \cdot_s a\} \cup A$

**primrec** *add-set* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$   
**where**

$\text{add-set-0} : \text{add-set } f A 0 = A$   
 $\mid \text{add-set-Suc}: \text{add-set } f A (\text{Suc } n) =$   
 $\quad \{x. \exists s \in (\text{add-set } f A n). \exists t \in A. x = s \cdot_f t\}$

**definition**

*aug-pm-set* ::  $['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $\text{aug-pm-set } z i A = \{z\} \cup A \cup (\text{minus-set } i A)$

**definition**

*addition-set* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
 $\text{addition-set } f A = \bigcup \{\text{add-set } f A n \mid n. (0::\text{nat}) \leq n\}$

**definition**

*assoc-bpp* ::  $['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{assoc-bpp } A f \longleftrightarrow$   
 $(\forall a \in (\text{addition-set } f A). \forall b \in (\text{addition-set } f A). \forall c \in (\text{addition-set } f A). (a \cdot_f b) \cdot_f c = a \cdot_f (b \cdot_f c))$

**definition**

*commute-bpp* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{commute-bpp } f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f A. \forall y \in \text{addition-set } f A. x \cdot_f y = y \cdot_f x)$

**definition**

*zeroA* ::  $['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{zeroA } z i f A z1 \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f ( \text{aug-pm-set } z i A). z1 \cdot_f x = x)$

**definition**

*inv-ipp* ::  $['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{inv-ipp } z i f A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{addition-set } f ( \text{aug-pm-set } z i A). \text{zeroA } z i f A ((i - a) \cdot_f a))$

**definition**

*ipp-cond1* ::  $['a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{ipp-cond1 } A i \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. i - (i - x) = x)$

**definition**

*ipp-cond2* ::  $['a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z A i f == \forall x \in (\text{addition-set } f ( \text{aug-pm-set } z i A)).$   
 $\forall y \in (\text{addition-set } f ( \text{aug-pm-set } z i A)). i - (x \cdot_f y) = i - y \cdot_f (i - x)$

**definition**

```
ipp-cond3 :: ['a, 'a ⇒ 'a] ⇒ bool where
  ipp-cond3 z i ← i - z = z
```

**lemma** add-set-mono: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{add-set } f A n \subseteq \text{add-set } f B n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** addition-inc-add: $\text{add-set } f A n \subseteq \text{addition-set } f A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** addition-inc-add0: $A \subseteq \text{addition-set } f A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** addition-set-mono: $A \subseteq B \implies \text{addition-set } f A \subseteq \text{addition-set } f B$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** a-in-aug-pm-set: $a \in A \implies a \in \text{aug-pm-set } z i A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** A-sub-aug-pm-set: $A \subseteq \text{aug-pm-set } z i A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** addition-sub-aug-pm-addition:  
 $\text{addition-set } f A \subseteq \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** assoc-bpp-restrict: $\llbracket A \subseteq B; \text{assoc-bpp } B f \rrbracket \implies \text{assoc-bpp } A f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** addition-assoc: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } A f; x \in \text{addition-set } f A; y \in \text{addition-set } f A; z \in \text{addition-set } f A \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(x \mathrel{f+} y) \mathrel{f+} z = x \mathrel{f+} (y \mathrel{f+} z)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** bpp-closedTr: $\text{assoc-bpp } A f \implies$   
 $\forall x y. x \in \text{add-set } f A n \wedge y \in \text{add-set } f A m \longrightarrow$   
 $x \mathrel{f+} y \in \text{add-set } f A (n + m + \text{Suc } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** bpp-closed1: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } A f; x \in \text{add-set } f A n; y \in \text{add-set } f A m \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x \mathrel{f+} y \in \text{add-set } f A (n + m + \text{Suc } 0)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** bpp-closed: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } A f; x \in \text{addition-set } f A; y \in \text{addition-set } f A \rrbracket$   
 $\implies x \mathrel{f+} y \in \text{addition-set } f A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** aug-addition-inc-z: $z \in \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *aug-bpp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ f; \\ x \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ y \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \rrbracket \implies \\ x \ f+ y \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-commute*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ x \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ y \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \rrbracket \implies x \ f+ y = y \ f+ x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *addition-set-inc-z*: $z \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-ipp-closed0*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ A \ i \ f; \\ \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ 0 \rrbracket \implies \\ _i - x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ 0$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-ipp-closedTr*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ A \ i \ f; \\ \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i \rrbracket \implies \\ \forall x. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ n \longrightarrow \\ _i - x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-ipp-closedTr2*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ A \ i \ f; \\ \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ n \rrbracket \implies \\ _i - x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ n$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-ipp-closed*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ A \ i \ f; \\ \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; x \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \rrbracket \implies \\ _i - x \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *aug-zero-unique*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ z1 \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ A \ z; \\ \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ A \ z1 \rrbracket \implies z = z1$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *inv-aug-addition*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ A \ i \ f; \\ \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ A; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A); \\ \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ A \ z \rrbracket \implies \\ \forall a \in \text{addition-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ A). (_i - a) \ f+ a = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$fag\text{-gen}\text{-by} :: ['a set, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a aGroup \text{ where}$   
 $fag\text{-gen}\text{-by } A f i z = (\text{carrier} = \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A),$   
 $\text{pop} = \lambda x \in (\text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A)).$   
 $\lambda y \in (\text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A)). x f + y,$   
 $\text{mop} = \lambda x \in (\text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A)). i - x, \text{zero} = z)$

**lemma**  $fag\text{-gen}\text{-carrier}: [commute-bpp f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A);$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z A i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f A; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A);$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f A z] \implies \text{carrier } (fag\text{-gen}\text{-by } A f i z) = \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{addition-set-sub-fag-gen-carrier}: [commute-bpp f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A);$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z A i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f A; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A);$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f A z] \implies \text{addition-set } f A \subseteq \text{carrier } (fag\text{-gen}\text{-by } A f i z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $fag\text{-aGroup}: [commute-bpp f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A);$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } A i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z A i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f A; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A);$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f A z] \implies \text{aGroup } (fag\text{-gen}\text{-by } A f i z)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.2 Abelian group generated by a singleton (constructive)

**definition**

$fag\text{-single} :: ['a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a aGroup \text{ where}$   
 $fag\text{-single } a f i z = fag\text{-gen}\text{-by } \{a\} f i z$

**lemma**  $\text{aug-pm-aug-pm-minus:ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i \implies$   
 $\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\} = \text{aug-pm-set } z i \{i - a\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ipp-cond1-minus:ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i \implies \text{ipp-cond1 } \{i - a\} i$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{ipp-cond2-minus: [ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f] \implies$   
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z \{i - a\} i f$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{zeroA-minus: [ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z1] \implies$

$\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ z$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *inv-ipp-minus*: $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \rrbracket \implies \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{_{i-} \ a\}$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \forall s. \ s \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ (\text{Suc } n) \longrightarrow s \ f + \ _{i-} \ a \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr2*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z; s \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ 0 \rrbracket \implies s \ f + \ _{i-} \ a = z$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *ipp-conditions*: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{_{i-} \ a\}) \ f \wedge \text{ipp-cond1 } \{_{i-} \ a\} \ i \wedge \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ i \ f \wedge \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \wedge \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{_{i-} \ a\}) \wedge \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ z$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr3*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z; s \in \text{add-set } f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ n \rrbracket \implies s \ f + \ _{i-} \ a \in \text{add-set } f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ (\text{Suc } n)$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *fag-single-elemTr*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \forall x. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ n \longrightarrow (\exists n1. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n1) \vee (\exists m1. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ m1) \vee x = z$

*(proof)*

**lemma** *fag-single-elem*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z; x \in \text{add-set } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \rrbracket \implies (\exists n1. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n1) \vee (\exists m1. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{_{i-} \ a\} \ m1) \vee x = z$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-set-single1Tr*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \forall x \ y. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n \wedge y \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n \longrightarrow x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-set-single-nonempty1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \exists x. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-set-single-nonempty2*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \exists x. \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{i - a\} \ n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-set-single1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z; \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n; \ y \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n \rrbracket \implies x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-set-single2*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z; \ x \in \text{add-set } f \ \{i - a\} \ n; \ y \in \text{add-set } f \ \{i - a\} \ n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr4*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z \rrbracket \implies \forall s \ t. \ s \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n \wedge t \in \text{add-set } f \ \{i - a\} \ n \longrightarrow s \ f + t = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr4-1*: $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} \ i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \ \{a\} \ i \ f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z \ i; \text{inv-ipp } z \ i \ f \ \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f \ (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z \ i \ f \ \{a\} \ z; s \in \text{add-set } f \ \{a\} \ n; t \in \text{add-set } f \ \{i - a\} \ n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $s \ f + t = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr5*: $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z \ i \ \{a\}) \ f;$

$\text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\};$   
 $\text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z] \implies$   
 $\forall m. m < \text{Suc } n \longrightarrow (\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (\text{Suc } n))_{f+}$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{i-a\} m) = (\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (n-m))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr5-1*:  
 $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\};$   
 $\text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z; m < \text{Suc } n] \implies$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (\text{Suc } n))_{f+} + (\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{i-a\} m) =$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (n-m))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fag-single-additionTr5-2*:  
 $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\};$   
 $\text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z; n < \text{Suc } m] \implies$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{i-a\} (\text{Suc } m))_{f+} + (\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} n) =$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{i-a\} (m-n))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### definition

$\text{free-gen-condition} :: [a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a, a \Rightarrow a, a, a] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $\text{free-gen-condition } f i a z \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. z \notin \text{add-set } f \{a\} n)$

### definition

$\text{fg-elem-single} :: [a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a, a \Rightarrow a, a, a] \Rightarrow \text{int} \Rightarrow a \text{ where}$   
 $\text{fg-elem-single } f i a z n = (\text{if } 0 = n \text{ then } z \text{ else}$   
 $(\text{if } 0 < n \text{ then } (\text{THE } x. x \in (\text{add-set } f \{a\} (\text{nat } (n-1))))$   
 $\text{else } (\text{THE } x. x \in (\text{add-set } f \{i-a\} (\text{nat } (-n-1))))))$

### abbreviation

$\text{FGELEMSNGLE } ((5 \odot \dots) [99, 98, 98, 98, 98] 99) \text{ where}$   
 $n \odot a_{f,i,z} == \text{fg-elem-single } f i a z n$

**lemma** *single-addition-pm-mem*:  
 $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\};$   
 $\text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z] \implies$   
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \in \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *assoc-aug-assoc:assoc-bpp* ( $\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}$ )  $f \implies \text{assoc-bpp } \{a\} f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-addition-posTr*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{(a::a)\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z; 0 < (n::\text{int}); 0 < (m::\text{int})] \implies$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (\text{nat } (n-1)))_{f+}$

$(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (\text{nat } (m - 1))) =$   
 $(\text{THE } x. x \in \text{add-set } f \{a\} (\text{nat } (n + m - 1)))$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-addition-pos*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{(a::'a)\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z; 0 < (n::\text{int}); 0 < (m::\text{int}) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z}) f+ (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-addition-neg*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{(a::'a)\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z; (n::\text{int}) < 0; (m::\text{int}) < 0 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z}) f+ (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-addition-zero*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{(a::'a)\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z \rrbracket \implies 0 \odot a_{f,i,z} = z$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *s-a-p-1*:  
 $\llbracket \text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\};$   
 $\text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}); \text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z;$   
 $m < 0; 0 < n \rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) f+ (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-addition-pm*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{(a::'a)\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z \rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) f+ (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *single-inv*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{(a::'a)\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z \rrbracket \implies i- (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (-m) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *free-ag-single*:  
 $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\}) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } \{a\} i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z \{a\} i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f \{a\}; \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i \{a\});$   
 $\text{zeroA } z i f \{a\} z; \text{free-gen-condition } f i a z; n \neq m \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \neq (m \odot a_{f,i,z})$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

*fags-cond* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  **where**  
*fags-cond f z i a*  $\longleftrightarrow$  *commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i {a})*  $\wedge$   
*assoc-bpp (aug-pm-set z i {a}) f*  $\wedge$  *ipp-cond1 {a} i*  $\wedge$   
*ipp-cond2 z {a} i f*  $\wedge$  *ipp-cond3 z i f {a}*  $\wedge$  *inv-ipp z i f {a}*  $\wedge$   
*commute-bpp f (aug-pm-set z i {a})*  $\wedge$  *zeroA z i f {a} z*  $\wedge$   
*free-gen-condition f i a z*

**lemma** *fag-single-free*:  $\llbracket \text{fags-cond } f \text{ z i a; } n \neq m \rrbracket \implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \neq (m \odot a_{f,i,z})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fag-single-free1*:  $\llbracket \text{fags-cond } f \text{ z i a; } (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) = (m \odot a_{f,i,z}) \rrbracket \implies n = m$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

*fags-carr* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
*fags-carr f z i a* =  $\{x. \exists n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z}\}$

**definition**

*fags-bpp* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
*fags-bpp f z i a* =  $(\lambda x \in (\text{fags-carr } f \text{ z i a}). \lambda y \in (\text{fags-carr } f \text{ z i a}).$   
 $((\text{THE } n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z}) + (\text{THE } m. y = m \odot a_{f,i,z})) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

**definition**

*fags-ipp* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
*fags-ipp f z i a* =  $(\lambda x \in (\text{fags-carr } f \text{ z i a}).$   
 $(- (\text{THE } n. x = n \odot a_{f,i,z})) \odot a_{f,i,z}$

**lemma** *fags-mem:fags-cond f z i a*  $\implies (n \odot a_{f,i,z}) \in \text{fags-carr } f \text{ z i a}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fags-ippTr:fags-cond f z i a*  $\implies$   
*fags-ipp f z i a (n \odot a\_{f,i,z}) = (- n) \odot a\_{f,i,z}*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *fags-bppTr:fags-cond f z i a*  $\implies$   
*fags-bpp f z i a (n \odot a\_{f,i,z}) (m \odot a\_{f,i,z}) = (n + m) \odot a\_{f,i,z}*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**

*fags* ::  $['a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ aGroup}$  **where**  
*fags f z i a* =  $(\text{carrier} = \text{fags-carr } f \text{ z i a},$   
 $\text{pop} = \text{fags-bpp } f \text{ z i a},$   
 $\text{mop} = \text{fags-ipp } f \text{ z i a, zero} = z)$

**lemma** *fags-ag:fags-cond f z i a*  $\implies \text{aGroup } (\text{fags } f \text{ z i a})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 6.3 Abelian Group generated by one element II (nonconstructive)

**definition**

```
ag-single-gen :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, 'a] ⇒ bool where
  ag-single-gen A a ←→ aGroup A ∧ carrier A = ⋂ {H. asubGroup A H ∧ a ∈ H}
```

```
primrec aSum :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, nat, 'a] ⇒ 'a where
  aSum-0: aSum A 0 a = 0_A
  | aSum-Suc: aSum A (Suc n) a = aSum A n a ±_A a
```

**definition**

```
sprod-n-a :: [('a, 'm) aGroup-scheme, int, 'a] ⇒ 'a where
  sprod-n-a A n x = (if 0 ≤ n then (aSum A (nat n) x)
    else (aSum A (nat (- n)) (-_A x)))
```

**abbreviation**

```
SPRODNA ((3▷-) [95,95,96]95) where
  n▷a_A == sprod-n-a A n a
```

**lemma (in aGroup)** asum-mem:a ∈ carrier A ⇒ aSum A n a ∈ carrier A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** nt-mem0:a ∈ carrier A ⇒ n▷a\_A ∈ carrier A  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** nt-zero0:a ∈ carrier A ⇒ 0▷a\_A = **0**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** nt-1:a ∈ carrier A ⇒ 1▷a\_A = a  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** asumTr:a ∈ carrier A ⇒  
 aSum A (n + m) a = aSum A n a ± (aSum A m a)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** aSum-zero:a ∈ carrier A ⇒ aSum A n **0** = **0**  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** agsum-add1p:[ a ∈ carrier A; 0 ≤ n; 0 ≤ m] ⇒  
 (n + m)▷a\_A = n▷a\_A ± (m▷a\_A)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup)** agsum-add1m:[ a ∈ carrier A; n < 0; m < 0] ⇒  
 (n + m)▷a\_A = n▷a\_A ± (m▷a\_A)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) agsum-add2Tr:** $a \in carrier A \implies \mathbf{0} = aSum A n a \pm (aSum A n (-_a a))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) agsum-add2p:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0} = n \triangleright a_A \pm ((-n) \triangleright a_A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) agsum-add2m:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies \mathbf{0} = n \triangleright a_A \pm ((-n) \triangleright a_A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) agsum-add3pm:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; 0 < n; m < 0 \rrbracket \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) agsum-add3mp:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; n < 0; 0 < m \rrbracket \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-sum0:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A \rrbracket \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-inv0:** $a \in carrier A \implies -_a (n \triangleright a_A) = (-n) \triangleright a_A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) m-x-asum:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; b \in carrier A \rrbracket \implies aSum A m (a \pm b) = (aSum A m a) \pm (aSum A m b)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asum-multTr-pp:** $a \in carrier A \implies aSum A m (aSum A n a) = aSum A (m * n) a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-mult-pp:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; 0 \leq m; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asum-multTr-pm:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; 0 \leq m; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies aSum A (nat m) (aSum A (nat (-n)) (-_a a)) = aSum A (nat (m * (-n))) (-_a a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-mult-pm:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; 0 \leq m; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies m \triangleright (n \triangleright a_A)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asum-multTr-mp:** $\llbracket a \in carrier A; m < 0; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$

$aSum A (\text{nat} (-m))(-_a (aSum A (\text{nat } n) a)) = aSum A (\text{nat } ((- m) * n)) (-_a a)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-mult-mp:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; 0 \leq n \rrbracket \implies$   
 $m \triangleright (n \triangleright a)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) asum-multTr-mm:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $aSum A (\text{nat} (-m))(-_a (aSum A (\text{nat} (-n)) (-_a a))) =$   
 $aSum A (\text{nat } ((-m) * (-n))) a$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-mult-mm:**  $\llbracket a \in \text{carrier } A; m < 0; n < 0 \rrbracket \implies$   
 $m \triangleright (n \triangleright a)_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) nt-mult-assoc0:**  $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies m \triangleright n \triangleright a_A = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) single-gen-carrTr:**  $a \in \text{carrier } A \implies$   
 $\text{asubGroup } A \{x. \exists n. x = (n \triangleright a)_A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) ag-single-inc-a:**  $ag-single-gen A a \implies a \in \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) single-gen:**  $ag-single-gen A a \implies$   
 $\text{carrier } A = \{g. \exists n. g = (n \triangleright a)_A\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{single-gen-free} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{single-gen-free } A a == \forall n. n \neq 0 \longrightarrow \mathbf{0}_A \neq n \triangleright a_A$

#### definition

$\text{sfg} :: [('a, 'm) \text{ aGroup-scheme}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $\text{sfg } A a \longleftrightarrow ag-single-gen A a \wedge \text{single-gen-free } A a$

**lemma (in aGroup) single-gen-free-neg:**  $\llbracket \text{sfg } A a; n \triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0} \rrbracket \implies n = 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in aGroup) sfg-G-inc-a:**  $\text{sfg } A a \implies a \in \text{carrier } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma sfg-agroup:**  $\text{sfg } A a \implies \text{aGroup } A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *mem-G-nt*: $\llbracket \text{sfg } A \text{ } a; x \in \text{carrier } A \rrbracket \implies \exists n. \ x = n \triangleright a_A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *nt-mem*: $\text{sfg } A \text{ } a \implies n \triangleright a_A \in \text{carrier } A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *nt-zero*: $\text{sfg } A \text{ } a \implies 0 \triangleright a_A = \mathbf{0}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *nt-sum*: $\text{sfg } A \text{ } a \implies (n + m) \triangleright a_A = n \triangleright a_A \pm (m \triangleright a_A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *nt-inv*: $\text{sfg } A \text{ } a \implies -_a(n \triangleright a_A) = (-n) \triangleright a_A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *nt-mult-assoc*: $\text{sfg } A \text{ } a \implies m \triangleright n \triangleright a_{AA} = (m * n) \triangleright a_A$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *sfg-free*: $\llbracket \text{sfg } A \text{ } a; n \neq m \rrbracket \implies n \triangleright a_A \neq (m \triangleright a_A)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (in *aGroup*) *sfg-free-inj*: $\llbracket \text{sfg } A \text{ } a; n \triangleright a_A = (m \triangleright a_A) \rrbracket \implies n = m$   
*(proof)*

## 6.4 Free Generated Modules (constructive)

### definition

*sop-one*:: $[('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $sop-one R s A \longleftrightarrow (\forall x \in A. (1_r R)_{s \cdot} x = x)$

### definition

*sop-assoc*:: $[('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $sop-assoc R s A \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in A.$   
 $(a \cdot_r R b)_{s \cdot} x = a_{s \cdot} (b_{s \cdot} x))$

### definition

*sop-inv*:: $[('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}]$   
 $\Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $sop-inv R s i A \longleftrightarrow (\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in A. r_{s \cdot} (i - x) = (-_a R r)_{s \cdot} x)$

### definition

*sop-distr1*:: $[('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a,$   
 $'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $sop-distr1 R s f i A z \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R. \forall b \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $\forall x \in (\text{aug-pm-set } z i A). (a \pm_R b)_{s \cdot} x = (a_{s \cdot} x)_{f+} (b_{s \cdot} x))$

### definition

*sop-distr2*:: $[('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a,$   
 $'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \text{ set}, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$

$sop\text{-}distr2 R s f i A z \longleftrightarrow (\forall a \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i A).$   
 $\forall y \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i A).$   
 $a_{s^*} (x_f + y) = (a_{s^*} x)_f + (a_{s^*} y))$

**definition**

$sop\text{-}z :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a] \Rightarrow \text{bool where}$   
 $sop\text{-}z R s z \longleftrightarrow (\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. r_{s^*} z = z)$

**definition**

$fgmodule :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, 'a \text{ set}, 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a,$   
 $'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a] \Rightarrow ('a, 'r) \text{ Module where}$   
 $fgmodule R A z i f s =$   
 $(\text{carrier} = \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)),$   
 $\text{pop} = \lambda x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)).$   
 $\lambda y \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)). x_f + y,$   
 $\text{mop} = \lambda x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)). i - x,$   
 $\text{zero} = z,$   
 $\text{sprod} = \lambda r \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $\lambda x \in \text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A)). r_{s^*} x \parallel$

**lemma**  $fgmodule\text{-carr:carrier} (fgmodule R A z i f s) =$   
 $\text{addition-set } f \text{ (aug-pm-set } z i \text{ (s-set } R s A))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $a\text{-in-s-set}:a \in A \implies a \in \text{s-set } R s A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $ra\text{-in-s-set}:[r \in \text{carrier } R; a \in A] \implies r_{s^*} a \in \text{s-set } R s A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $in\text{-aug-pm-set}:$   
 $x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z i A = (x = z \vee x \in A \vee x \in \text{minus-set } i A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $in\text{-s-set}:x \in \text{s-set } R s A \implies (\exists r \in \text{carrier } R. \exists a \in A.$   
 $x = r_{s^*} a) \vee x \in A$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $sop\text{-closedTr0}:[ipp\text{-cond1} (\text{s-set } R s A) i;$   
 $ipp\text{-cond2 } z (\text{s-set } R s A) i f; ipp\text{-cond3 } z i;$   
 $inv\text{-ipp } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A); zeroA z i f (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-distr2 } R s f i (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-assoc } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $sop\text{-inv } R s i (\text{s-set } R s A);$   
 $sop\text{-one } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)); sop\text{-z } R s z;$   
 $r \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)] \implies$   
 $r_{s^*} x \in \text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) sop-closedTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 } (\text{s-set } R s A) i;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z (\text{s-set } R s A) i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i;$   
 $\text{inv-ipp } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A); \text{zeroA } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R s f i (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-assoc } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{sop-inv } R s i (\text{s-set } R s A);$   
 $\text{sop-one } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)); \text{sop-z } R s z \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{add-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) n.$   
 $r_s \cdot x \in \text{add-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) n$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) sop-closed:**  $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 } (\text{s-set } R s A) i;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z (\text{s-set } R s A) i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i;$   
 $\text{inv-ipp } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A); \text{zeroA } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R s f i (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-assoc } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{sop-inv } R s i (\text{s-set } R s A);$   
 $\text{sop-one } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)); \text{sop-z } R s z \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall r \in \text{carrier } R. \forall x \in \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)).$   
 $r_s \cdot x \in \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A))$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) sop-oneTr:**  $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond1 } (\text{s-set } R s A) i; \text{ipp-cond2 } z (\text{s-set } R s A) i f;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond3 } z i; \text{inv-ipp } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A); \text{zeroA } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R s f i (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-assoc } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{sop-one } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall x \in \text{add-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) n. (1_r)_s \cdot x = x$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) sop-one:**  $\llbracket \text{commute-bpp } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{assoc-bpp } (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) f; \text{ipp-cond1 } (\text{s-set } R s A) i;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z (\text{s-set } R s A) i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i;$   
 $\text{inv-ipp } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A); \text{zeroA } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R s f i (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-assoc } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{sop-one } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)) \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall x \in \text{addition-set } f (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A)). (1_r)_s \cdot x = x$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) sop-assocTr:**  $\llbracket \text{ipp-cond1 } (\text{s-set } R s A) i;$   
 $\text{ipp-cond2 } z (\text{s-set } R s A) i f; \text{ipp-cond3 } z i;$   
 $\text{inv-ipp } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A); \text{zeroA } z i f (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-distr2 } R s f i (\text{s-set } R s A) z;$   
 $\text{sop-assoc } R s (\text{aug-pm-set } z i (\text{s-set } R s A));$   
 $\text{sop-inv } R s i (\text{s-set } R s A);$

$sop\text{-one } R s (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)); sop\text{-z } R s z] \implies \forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R.$

$\forall x \in add\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)) n.$   
 $a_{s\cdot} (b_{s\cdot} x) = (a \cdot_r b)_{s\cdot} x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $sop\text{-assoc} : [ipp\text{-cond1 } (s\text{-set } R s A) i;$   
 $ipp\text{-cond2 } z (s\text{-set } R s A) i f; ipp\text{-cond3 } z i;$   
 $inv\text{-ipp } z i f (s\text{-set } R s A); zeroA z i f (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-distr2 } R s f i (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-assoc } R s (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$   
 $sop\text{-inv } R s i (s\text{-set } R s A); sop\text{-z } R s z;$   
 $sop\text{-one } R s (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A))]$   $\implies$   
 $\forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R.$   
 $\forall x \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)).$   
 $a_{s\cdot} (b_{s\cdot} x) = (a \cdot_r b)_{s\cdot} x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $s\text{-set-commute} : [commute\text{-bpp } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$   
 $x \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$   
 $y \in addition\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A))]$   $\implies$   
 $x_f + y = y_f + x$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $add\text{-s\text{-}set\text{-}inc\text{-}add\text{-}set} :$   
 $add\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i A) n \subseteq$   
 $add\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)) n$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $sop\text{-distr1Tr} : [commute\text{-bpp } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$   
 $assoc\text{-bpp } (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)) f; ipp\text{-cond1 } (s\text{-set } R s A) i;$   
 $ipp\text{-cond2 } z (s\text{-set } R s A) i f; ipp\text{-cond3 } z i;$   
 $inv\text{-ipp } z i f (s\text{-set } R s A); zeroA z i f (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-distr1 } R s f i (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-distr2 } R s f i (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-assoc } R s (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$   
 $sop\text{-inv } R s i (s\text{-set } R s A);$   
 $sop\text{-one } R s (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)); sop\text{-z } R s z]$   $\implies$   
 $\forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R. \forall x \in add\text{-set } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)) n.$   
 $(a \pm b)_{s\cdot} x = a_{s\cdot} x_f + (b_{s\cdot} x)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $sop\text{-distr1} : [commute\text{-bpp } f (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$   
 $assoc\text{-bpp } (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A)) f; ipp\text{-cond1 } (s\text{-set } R s A) i;$   
 $ipp\text{-cond2 } z (s\text{-set } R s A) i f; ipp\text{-cond3 } z i;$   
 $inv\text{-ipp } z i f (s\text{-set } R s A); zeroA z i f (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-distr1 } R s f i (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-distr2 } R s f i (s\text{-set } R s A) z;$   
 $sop\text{-assoc } R s (aug\text{-pm-set } z i (s\text{-set } R s A));$

$sop\text{-}inv R s i (s\text{-}set R s A);$   
 $sop\text{-}one R s (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)); sop\text{-}z R s z] \implies$   
 $\forall a \in carrier R. \forall b \in carrier R.$   
 $\forall x \in addition\text{-}set f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)).$   
 $(a \pm b)_{s\cdot} x = a_{s\cdot} x_{f+} (b_{s\cdot} x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$fgmodule\text{-}condition ::= [('r, 'm) Ring\text{-}scheme, 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a \Rightarrow 'a,$   
 $'r \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a, 'a set, 'a] \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}$   
 $fgmodule\text{-}condition R f i s A z \longleftrightarrow$   
 $commute\text{-}bpp f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)) \wedge$   
 $assoc\text{-}bpp (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)) f \wedge$   
 $ipp\text{-}cond1 (s\text{-}set R s A) i \wedge ipp\text{-}cond2 z (s\text{-}set R s A) i f \wedge$   
 $ipp\text{-}cond3 z i \wedge inv\text{-}ipp z i f (s\text{-}set R s A) \wedge$   
 $zeroA z i f (s\text{-}set R s A) z \wedge sop\text{-}distr1 R s f i (s\text{-}set R s A) z \wedge$   
 $sop\text{-}distr2 R s f i (s\text{-}set R s A) z \wedge$   
 $sop\text{-}assoc R s (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)) \wedge$   
 $sop\text{-}inv R s i (s\text{-}set R s A) \wedge$   
 $sop\text{-}one R s (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)) \wedge sop\text{-}z R s z$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $sop\text{-}closed1: [fgmodule\text{-}condition R f i s A z; r \in carrier R;$   
 $x \in addition\text{-}set f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A))] \implies$   
 $r_{s\cdot} x \in addition\text{-}set f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $fgmodule\text{-}is\text{-}module: fgmodule\text{-}condition R f i s A z \implies R \text{ module } (fgmodule R A z i f s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $a\text{-in}\text{-}carr\text{-}fgmodule: a \in A \implies a \in carrier (fgmodule R A z i f s)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.5 A fgmodule and a free module

**lemma (in Ring)**  $fg\text{-}zeroTr: [fgmodule\text{-}condition R f i s A z; a \in A] \implies$   
 $\mathbf{0}_{s\cdot} a = z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $fg\text{-}genTr0: [fgmodule\text{-}condition R f i s A z;$   
 $x \in aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)] \implies$   
 $x \in linear\text{-}span R (fgmodule R A z i f s) (carrier R) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $fg\text{-}genTr: fgmodule\text{-}condition R f i s A z \implies$   
 $\forall x. x \in (add\text{-}set f (aug\text{-}pm\text{-}set z i (s\text{-}set R s A)) n) \longrightarrow$   
 $x \in linear\text{-}span R (fgmodule R A z i f s) (carrier R) A$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) generator-of-fgm:fgmodule-condition**  $R f i s A z \implies$   
**generator R (fgmodule R A z i f s) A**

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) fg-freeTr1:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{free-generator } R M A;$   
 $R \text{ module } \text{fgmodule } R A z i f s; \text{free-generator } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) A;$   
 $g \in mHom R M (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s); \forall x \in A. g x = x \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\forall fa sa. fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A \wedge sa \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rightarrow$   
 $l\text{-comb } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) n sa (\text{cmp } g fa) =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) n sa fa$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) fg-freeTr:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{free-generator } R M A;$   
 $R \text{ module } \text{fgmodule } R A z i f s;$   
 $\text{free-generator } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) A;$   
 $g \in mHom R M (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s); \forall x \in A. g x = x;$   
 $fa \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow A; sa \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$   
 $l\text{-comb } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) n sa (\text{cmp } g fa) =$   
 $l\text{-comb } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) n sa fa$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) fg-free1:**  $\llbracket A \neq \{\}; \text{fgmodule-condition } R f i s A z;$   
 $\text{free-generator } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) A; R \text{ module } M;$   
 $\text{free-generator } R M A \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s)$

*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring) fg-free:**  $\llbracket \text{fgmodule-condition } R f i s A z;$   
 $\text{free-generator } R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s) A; R \text{ module } M;$   
 $\text{free-generator } R M A \rrbracket \implies M \cong_R (\text{fgmodule } R A z i f s)$

*(proof)*

## 6.6 Direct sum, again

**definition**

$miota :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $miota R M1 M = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M1. x)$

**definition**

$m submodule :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$   
 $m submodule R M M1 \longleftrightarrow miota R M1 M \in mHom R M1 M \wedge$   
 $(\text{carrier } M1) \subseteq (\text{carrier } M)$

**definition**

$ds2 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool} \text{ where}$

$ds2 R M M1 M2 \longleftrightarrow R \text{ module } M \wedge msubmodule R M M1 \wedge msubmodule R M M2 \wedge$   
 $(\forall x \in \text{carrier } M. \exists m1 \in \text{carrier } M1. \exists m2 \in \text{carrier } M2. x = m1 \pm_M m2) \wedge$   
 $(\text{carrier } M1) \cap (\text{carrier } M2) = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$

**abbreviation**

$DS2 ((4/- \oplus_{-, -}) [92, 93, 92, 92] 92) \text{ where}$   
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2 == ds2 R M M1 M2$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $ds2\text{-commute}:[R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2; R \text{ module } M;$   
 $M1 \oplus_{R,M} M2] \implies M2 \oplus_{R,M} M1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $msub\text{-addition}:[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } M1; msubmodule R M M1;$   
 $x \in \text{carrier } M1; y \in \text{carrier } M1] \implies x \pm_{M1} y = x \pm_M y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $msub\text{-mOp}:[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } M1; msubmodule R M M1;$   
 $x \in \text{carrier } M1] \implies {}_{aM1}x = {}_{aM}x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $msub\text{-sprod}:[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } M1; msubmodule R M M1;$   
 $a \in \text{carrier } R; x \in \text{carrier } M1] \implies a \cdot_{sM1} x = a \cdot_s x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $msub\text{-submodule}:[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } M1; msubmodule R M M1]$   
 $\implies \text{submodule } R M (\text{carrier } M1)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $ds2\text{-unique}:[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2;$   
 $ds2 R M M1 M2; m1 \in \text{carrier } M1; m1' \in \text{carrier } M1;$   
 $m2 \in \text{carrier } M2; m2' \in \text{carrier } M2;$   
 $m1 \pm_M m2 = m1' \pm_M m2'] \implies m1 = m1' \wedge m2 = m2'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $miota\text{-injec}:[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } M1; R \text{ module } M2;$   
 $ds2 R M M1 M2; msubmodule R M M1] \implies$   
 $miota R M1 M \in mHom R M1 M \wedge \text{injec}_{M1,M}(miota R M1 M)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$mproj1 :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ where}$   
 $mproj1 R M1 M2 M = (\lambda x \in \text{carrier } M. \text{THE } x1. x1 \in \text{carrier } M1 \wedge$   
 $(x \pm_M (-_{aM} x1)) \in \text{carrier } M2)$

**definition**

*mproj2 :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,  
           ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme, ('a, 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme] ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a where  
     mproj2 R M1 M2 M = mproj1 R M2 M1 M*

**lemma (in Ring) ds2-components: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M;**

*M1 ⊕<sub>R,M</sub> M2; a ∈ carrier M] ⇒  
     ∃ a1 ∈ carrier M1. ∃ a2 ∈ carrier M2. a = a1 ±<sub>M</sub> a2*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) ds2-components1: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M;**

*M1 ⊕<sub>R,M</sub> M2; a ∈ carrier M] ⇒  
     ∃ a1 ∈ carrier M1. a ±<sub>M</sub> -<sub>aM</sub> a1 ∈ carrier M2*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) mprojTr1: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M; ds2 R M M1 M2;**

*x ∈ carrier M] ⇒ ∃! x1. x1 ∈ carrier M1 ∧ (x ±<sub>M</sub> -<sub>aM</sub> x1) ∈ carrier M2*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) mprojTr2: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M; ds2 R M M1 M2;**

*x ∈ carrier M; x1 ∈ carrier M1; (x ±<sub>M</sub> (-<sub>aM</sub> x1)) ∈ carrier M2;*

*y1 ∈ carrier M1; (x ±<sub>M</sub> (-<sub>aM</sub> y1)) ∈ carrier M2] ⇒ x1 = y1*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) mprojTr3: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M; ds2 R M M1 M2;**

*a ∈ carrier M; a1 ∈ carrier M1; (a ±<sub>M</sub> (-<sub>aM</sub> a1)) ∈ carrier M2] ⇒*

*(THE x1. x1 ∈ carrier M1 ∧ a ±<sub>M</sub> -<sub>aM</sub> x1 ∈ carrier M2) = a1*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) mproj: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M; ds2 R M M1 M2]**

*⇒ mproj1 R M1 M2 M ∈ mHom R M M1*

*{proof}*

**lemma (in Ring) mproj2: [R module M1; R module M2; R module M; M1 ⊕<sub>R,M</sub> M2]**

*⇒ mproj2 R M1 M2 M ∈ mHom R M M2*

*{proof}*

### 6.6.1 Existence of the tensor product

**definition**

*fm-gen-by-prod :: [('r, 'm) Ring-scheme, (('a \* 'b), 'r, 'm1) Module-scheme,*

$('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow \text{bool}$   
 $((4FM\_-/\_ -) [100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$   
 $FM_R P M N \longleftrightarrow R \text{ module } P \wedge \text{free-generator } R P (M \times_c N)$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{free-gen-gen}: FM_R P M N \implies \text{generator } R P (M \times_c N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{free-gen-mem}: [FM_R P M N; a \in (M \times_c N)] \implies a \in \text{carrier } P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $mHom\text{-lin}\text{-nsumTr}: [R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; t \in mHom R M N] \implies$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M \longrightarrow t (\text{nsum } M f n) = \text{nsum } N (\text{cmp } t f) n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $mHom\text{-lin}\text{-nsum}: [R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; t \in mHom R M N;$   
 $f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } M] \implies$   
 $t (\text{nsum } M f n) = \text{nsum } N (\text{cmp } t f) n$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{module-over-zeroring}: [\text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M] \implies$   
 $\text{carrier } M = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{submodule-over-zeroring}: [\text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M;$   
 $\text{submodule } R M N] \implies N = \{\mathbf{0}_M\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

#### definition

$\text{Least-submodule} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $'a \text{ set}] \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$   
 $((3LSM\_-/\_ -) [100,100,101]100) \text{ where}$   
 $LSM_R M T = \bigcap \{N. \text{submodule } R M N \wedge T \subseteq N\}$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{LSM-mem}: [R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M; t \in T] \implies$   
 $t \in (LSM_R M T)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{LSM-sub-M}: [R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M] \implies$   
 $(LSM_R M T) \subseteq \text{carrier } M$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\text{LSM-sub-submodule}: [R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M;$   
 $\text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N] \implies (LSM_R M T) \subseteq N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)** *LSM-inc-T*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies T \subseteq (\text{LSM}_R M T)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring)** *LSM-submodule*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies \text{submodule } R M (\text{LSM}_R M T)$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring)** *linear-comb-memTr*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N \rrbracket \implies \forall f s. f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow T \wedge s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rightarrow l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring)** *linear-comb-mem*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; \text{submodule } R M N; T \subseteq N; f \in \{j. j \leq (n::nat)\} \rightarrow T; s \in \{j. j \leq n\} \rightarrow \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies l\text{-comb } R M n s f \in N$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring)** *LSM-eq-linear-span*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M \rrbracket \implies (\text{LSM}_R M T) = \text{linear-span } R M (\text{carrier } R) T$   
*(proof)*

**lemma (in Ring)** *LSM-sub-ker*: $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; T \subseteq \text{carrier } M; f \in mHom R M N; T \subseteq \ker_{M,N} f \rrbracket \implies \text{LSM}_R M T \subseteq \ker_{M,N} f$   
*(proof)*

**definition**  
 $\text{tensor-relations1} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$   
 $((4TR1 / - / - / -) [100, 100, 100, 101] 100) \text{ where}$   
 $TR1 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m1 \in \text{carrier } M. \exists m2 \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N.$   
 $x = (m1 \pm_M m2, n) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN ((m1, n) \pm_{MN} (m2, n)))\}$

**definition**  
 $\text{tensor-relations2} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$   
 $((4TR2 / - / - / -) [100, 100, 100, 101] 100) \text{ where}$   
 $TR2 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n1 \in \text{carrier } N. \exists n2 \in \text{carrier } N.$   
 $x = (m, n1 \pm_N n2) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN ((m, n1) \pm_{MN} (m, n2)))\}$

**definition**  
 $\text{tensor-relations3} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$   
 $((4TR3 / - / - / -) [100, 100, 100, 101] 100) \text{ where}$

$TR3 R M N P = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $x = (a \cdot_s M m, n) \pm_P (-_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)))\}$

**definition**

$\text{tensor-relations4} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $(('a * 'b) \text{ set}$   
 $((4TR4 / - / - / -) [100,100,100,101] 100) \text{ where}$

$TR4 R M N MN = \{x. \exists m \in \text{carrier } M. \exists n \in \text{carrier } N. \exists a \in \text{carrier } R.$   
 $x = (m, a \cdot_s N n) \pm_{MN} (-_a MN (a \cdot_s MN (m, n)))\}$

**definition**

$\text{tensor-relations} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $(('a * 'b) \text{ set}$   
 $((4TR / - / - / -) [100,100,101] 100) \text{ where}$   
 $TR_R M N MN = LSM_R MN ((TR1 R M N MN) \cup (TR2 R M N MN) \cup$   
 $(TR3 R M N MN) \cup (TR4 R M N MN))$

**definition**

$\text{tensor-product} :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $(('a * 'b) \text{ set}, 'r) \text{ Module where}$   
 $\text{tensor-product } R M N MN = MN /_m (TR_R M N MN)$

**abbreviation**

$TENSORPROD ((4 / - \otimes - / -) [92,92,92,93] 92) \text{ where}$   
 $M P \otimes_R N == \text{tensor-product } R M N P$

**lemma (in Ring) mem-cartesian:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies (m, n) \in M \times_c N$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) cartesianTr:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; x \in M \times_c N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists m n. m \in \text{carrier } M \wedge n \in \text{carrier } N \wedge x = (m, n)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) free-module-mem:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $n \in \text{carrier } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies (m, n) \in \text{carrier } P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) FM-P-module:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket$   
 $\implies R \text{ module } P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) TR1-sub-carr:**  $\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $(TR1 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR2\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies (TR2 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR3\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies (TR3 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR4\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies (TR4 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR\text{-sub-carr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies (TR1 R M N P) \cup (TR2 R M N P) \cup (TR3 R M N P) \cup (TR4 R M N P) \subseteq \text{carrier } P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR\text{-submodule}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies \text{submodule } R P (TR_R M N P)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR\text{-cont-TR1234}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies TR1 R M N P \cup TR2 R M N P \cup TR3 R M N P \cup TR4 R M N P \subseteq TR_R M N P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR1\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m1 \in \text{carrier } M;$

$m2 \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies (m1 \pm_M m2, n) \pm_P -_a P ((m1, n) \pm_P (m2, n))$

$\in TR_R M N P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR2\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$

$n1 \in \text{carrier } N; n2 \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies$

$(m, n1 \pm_N n2) \pm_P -_a P ((m, n1) \pm_P (m, n2)) \in TR_R M N P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR3\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$

$n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$(a \cdot_s M m, n) \pm_P -_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)) \in TR_R M N P$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $TR4\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$

$n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R \rrbracket \implies$

$(a \cdot_s P m, n) \pm_P -_a P (a \cdot_s P (m, n)) \in TR_R M N P$

$(m, a \cdot_s N n) \pm_P -_{aP} (a \cdot_s P (m, n)) \in TR_R M N P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tensor-product-module:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N] \implies R \text{ module } (\text{tensor-product } R M N P)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-mpj-bilin1:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; x1 \in \text{carrier } M; x2 \in \text{carrier } M; y \in \text{carrier } N] \implies$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (x1 \pm_M x2, y) =$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (x1, y) \pm_{(M P \otimes_R N)} (\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (x2, y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-mpj-bilin2:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n1 \in \text{carrier } N; n2 \in \text{carrier } N] \implies$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n1 \pm_N n2) =$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n1) \pm_{(M P \otimes_R N)} (\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n2)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-mpj-bilin3:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R] \implies$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (a \cdot_s M m, n) = a \cdot_s (M P \otimes_R N)$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-mpj-bilin4:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; a \in \text{carrier } R] \implies$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (m, a \cdot_s N n) = a \cdot_s (M P \otimes_R N)$   
 $(\text{mpj } P (TR_R M N P)) (m, n)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### definition

$\tau ::= [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, ('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $('a * 'b) \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ where}$   
 $\tau R M N P = (\lambda x \in (M \times_c N). x)$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-func:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N] \implies$   
 $\tau R M N P \in M \times_c N \rightarrow \text{carrier } P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-mem:**  $[R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; m \in \text{carrier } M; n \in \text{carrier } N; FM_R P M N] \implies \tau R M N P (m, n) \in \text{carrier } P$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring) tau-inj0:**  $\neg \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M$

$N\rfloor$   
 $\implies \text{inj-on } (\tau R M N P) (M \times_c N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\tau\text{-inj1}:\llbracket \text{zeroring } R; R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket$   
 $\implies$   
 $\text{inj-on } (\tau R M N P) (M \times_c N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\tau\text{-inj}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{inj-on } (\tau R M N P) (M \times_c N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $\tau\text{-mpj-bilinear}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } (\text{compose } (M \times_c N) (mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (\tau R M N P))$   
 $R M N (M P \otimes_R N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $tnm :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow$   
 $('a * 'b) \Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ set where}$   
 $tnm R P M N = \text{compose } (M \times_c N) (mpj P (TR_R M N P)) (\tau R M N P)$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $tnm\text{-bilinear}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\text{bilinear-map } (tnm R P M N) R M N (M P \otimes_R N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $tnm\text{-mem}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N; m \in \text{carrier } M;$   
 $n \in \text{carrier } N \rrbracket \implies tnm R P M N (m, n) \in \text{carrier } (M P \otimes_R N)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**definition**  
 $tensor-elem :: [('r, 'm) \text{ Ring-scheme}, (('a * 'b), 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme},$   
 $('a, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}, ('b, 'r, 'm1) \text{ Module-scheme}] \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b$   
 $\Rightarrow ('a * 'b) \text{ set where}$   
 $tensor-elem R P M N m n = tnm R P M N (m, n)$

**abbreviation**  
 $TNSELEM ((6\_\_,-,\otimes\_,\_,/\_) [100,100,100,100,100,101] 101) \text{ where}$   
 $m_{R,P \otimes M,N} n == tensor-elem R P M N m n$

**lemma (in Ring)**  $tensor\text{-univ-propTr}:\llbracket R \text{ module } M; R \text{ module } N; FM_R P M N;$   
 $R \text{ module } Z; \text{bilinear-map } f R M N Z \rrbracket \implies$   
 $\exists g. g \in mHom R P Z \wedge (\text{compose } (M \times_c N) g (\tau R M N P)) = f$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

```

lemma (in Ring) tensor-univ-propTr1:[R module M; R module N; FMR P M N;
R module Z; bilinear-map f R M N Z]  $\implies$ 
 $\exists!g. g \in (mHom R (M \otimes_R N) Z) \wedge (\text{compose} (M \times_c N) g (tnm R P M N))$ 
= f
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in Ring) tensor-universal-property:[R module M; R module N; FMR P M N]
 $\implies$  universal-property R M N (M ⊗_R N) (tnm R P M N)
⟨proof⟩

```

**end**