

An Isabelle/HOL formalisation of Green's Theorem

Mohammad Abdulaziz and Lawrence C. Paulson

June 16, 2019

Abstract

We formalise a statement of Green's theorem the first formalisation to our knowledge in Isabelle/HOL. The theorem statement that we formalise is enough for most applications, especially in physics and engineering. Our formalisation is made possible by a novel proof that avoids the ubiquitous line integral cancellation argument. This eliminates the need to formalise orientations and region boundaries explicitly with respect to the outwards-pointing normal vector. Instead we appeal to a homological argument about equivalences between paths.

theory *General-Utils*

imports *HOL-Analysis.Analysis*

begin

lemma *lambda-skolem-gen*: $(\forall i. \exists f'::('a \wedge 'n) \Rightarrow 'a. P\ i\ f') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists f'::('a \wedge 'n) \Rightarrow ('a \wedge 'n). \forall i. P\ i\ ((\lambda x. (f'\ x)\ \$\ i)))$ (**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

<proof>

lemma *lambda-skolem-euclidean*: $(\forall i \in \text{Basis}. \exists f'::('a::\{\text{euclidean-space}\} \Rightarrow \text{real}). P$
 $i\ f') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists f'::('a::\{\text{euclidean-space}\} \Rightarrow 'b::\{\text{euclidean-space}\}). \forall i \in \text{Basis}. P\ i\ ((\lambda x. (f'\ x) \cdot i)))$
(**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow *?rhs*)

<proof>

lemma *lambda-skolem-euclidean-explicit*: $(\forall i \in \text{Basis}. \exists f'::('a::\{\text{euclidean-space}\} \Rightarrow \text{real}).$
 $P\ i\ f') \longleftrightarrow$
 $(\exists f'::('a::\{\text{euclidean-space}\} \Rightarrow 'a). \forall i \in \text{Basis}. P\ i\ ((\lambda x. (f'\ x) \cdot i)))$ (**is** *?lhs* \longleftrightarrow
?rhs)

<proof>

lemma *indic-ident*:

$\bigwedge (f::'a \Rightarrow \text{real})\ s. (\lambda x. (f\ x) * \text{indicator}\ s\ x) = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in s \text{ then } f\ x \text{ else } 0)$
<proof>

lemma *real-pair-basis*: $Basis = \{(1::real, 0::real), (0::real, 1::real)\}$
<proof>

lemma *real-singleton-in-borel*:
shows $\{a::real\} \in sets\ borel$
<proof>

lemma *real-singleton-in-lborel*:
shows $\{a::real\} \in sets\ lborel$
<proof>

lemma *cbox-diff*:
shows $\{0::real..1\} - \{0, 1\} = box\ 0\ 1$
<proof>

lemma *sum-bij*:
assumes *bij* F
 $\forall x \in s. f\ x = g\ (F\ x)$
shows $\bigwedge t. F^{-1}\ s = t \implies sum\ f\ s = sum\ g\ t$
<proof>

abbreviation *surj-on where*
 $surj-on\ s\ f \equiv s \subseteq range\ f$

lemma *surj-on-image-vimage-eq*: $surj-on\ s\ f \implies f^{-1}\ (f^{-1}\ s) = s$
<proof>

end

theory *Derivs*

imports *General-Utills*

begin

lemma *field-simp-has-vector-derivative* [*derivative-intros*]:
 $(f\ has\ field\ derivative\ y)\ F \implies (f\ has\ vector\ derivative\ y)\ F$
<proof>

lemma *continuous-on-cases-empty* [*continuous-intros*]:
 $\llbracket closed\ S; continuous-on\ S\ f; \bigwedge x. \llbracket x \in S; \neg P\ x \rrbracket \implies f\ x = g\ x \rrbracket \implies$
 $continuous-on\ S\ (\lambda x. if\ P\ x\ then\ f\ x\ else\ g\ x)$
<proof>

lemma *inj-on-cases*:
assumes *inj-on* $f\ (Collect\ P \cap S)$ *inj-on* $g\ (Collect\ (Not \circ P) \cap S)$
 $f^{-1}\ (Collect\ P \cap S) \cap g^{-1}\ (Collect\ (Not \circ P) \cap S) = \{\}$
shows *inj-on* $(\lambda x. if\ P\ x\ then\ f\ x\ else\ g\ x)\ S$
<proof>

lemma *inj-on-arccos*: $S \subseteq \{-1..1\} \implies \text{inj-on arccos } S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *has-vector-derivative-componentwise-within*:
 (f has-vector-derivative f') (at a within S) \longleftrightarrow
 ($\forall i \in \text{Basis}. ((\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ has-vector-derivative $(f' \cdot i)$) (at a within S))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *has-vector-derivative-pair-within*:
 fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}$ and $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{euclidean-space}$
 assumes $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{Basis} \implies ((\lambda x. f x \cdot u)$ has-vector-derivative $f' \cdot u)$ (at x within S)
 $\bigwedge u. u \in \text{Basis} \implies ((\lambda x. g x \cdot u)$ has-vector-derivative $g' \cdot u)$ (at x within S)
 shows $((\lambda x. (f x, g x))$ has-vector-derivative (f', g')) (at x within S)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-const*:
 shows $(\lambda x. c)$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *piecewise-C1-differentiable-const* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]
declare *piecewise-C1-differentiable-neg* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]
declare *piecewise-C1-differentiable-add* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]
declare *piecewise-C1-differentiable-diff* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-ident* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]:
 fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{real-normed-vector}$
 shows $(\lambda x. x)$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-mult* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]:
 fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{real-normed-algebra}$
 assumes f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S g piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
 shows $(\lambda x. f x * g x)$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *C1-differentiable-on-cdiv* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]:
 fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a :: \text{real-normed-field}$
 shows f C1-differentiable-on $S \implies (\lambda x. f x / c)$ C1-differentiable-on S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-cdiv* [*simp*, *derivative-intros*]:
 fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{real-normed-field}$
 assumes f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
 shows $(\lambda x. f x / c)$ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on S
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sqrt-C1-differentiable* [*simp, derivative-intros*]:
assumes $f: f$ *C1-differentiable-on* S **and** $fim: f ' S \subseteq \{0<..\}$
shows $(\lambda x. \text{sqrt } (f x))$ *C1-differentiable-on* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sqrt-piecewise-C1-differentiable* [*simp, derivative-intros*]:
assumes $f: f$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* S **and** $fim: f ' S \subseteq \{0<..\}$
shows $(\lambda x. \text{sqrt } (f x))$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\{\text{banach,real-normed-field}\}$
assumes $f: f$ *C1-differentiable-on* S
shows *sin-C1-differentiable* [*simp, derivative-intros*]: $(\lambda x. \text{sin } (f x))$ *C1-differentiable-on* S
and *cos-C1-differentiable* [*simp, derivative-intros*]: $(\lambda x. \text{cos } (f x))$ *C1-differentiable-on* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-derivative-abs*:
fixes $a::\text{real}$
assumes $a \neq 0$
shows $(\text{abs has-derivative } ((*) (\text{sgn } a)))$ $(\text{at } a)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *abs-C1-differentiable* [*simp, derivative-intros*]:
fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $f: f$ *C1-differentiable-on* S **and** $0 \notin f ' S$
shows $(\lambda x. \text{abs } (f x))$ *C1-differentiable-on* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *C1-differentiable-on-pair* [*simp, derivative-intros*]:
fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{euclidean-space}$
assumes f *C1-differentiable-on* S g *C1-differentiable-on* S
shows $(\lambda x. (f x, g x))$ *C1-differentiable-on* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-pair* [*simp, derivative-intros*]:
fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{euclidean-space}$
assumes f *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* S g *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* S
shows $(\lambda x. (f x, g x))$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* S
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *test2*:
assumes $s: \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \rightarrow s \implies g$ *differentiable at* x
and $fs: \text{finite } s$ **and** $uv: u \in \{0..1\} v \in \{0..1\} u \leq v$
and $x \in \{0..1\} x \notin (\lambda t. (v-u) *_R t + u) - ' s$
shows *vector-derivative* $(\lambda x. g ((v-u) * x + u))$ $(\text{at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) = (v-u)$

**_R vector-derivative g (at ((v-u) * x + u) within{0..1})*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *C1-differentiable-on-components:*
 assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{Basis} \implies (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ *C1-differentiable-on s*
 shows *f C1-differentiable-on s*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-components:*
 assumes *finite t*
 $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{Basis} \implies (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ *C1-differentiable-on s - t*
 $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{Basis} \implies \text{continuous-on s } (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$
 shows *f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *all-components-smooth-one-pw-smooth-is-pw-smooth:*
 assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in \text{Basis} - \{j\} \implies (\lambda x. f x \cdot i)$ *C1-differentiable-on s*
 assumes $(\lambda x. f x \cdot j)$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s*
 shows *f piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *derivative-component-fun-component:*
 fixes *i::'a::euclidean-space*
 assumes *f differentiable (at x)*
 shows $((\text{vector-derivative } f \text{ (at } x)) \cdot i) = ((\text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (f x) \cdot i) \text{ (at } x)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *gamma-deriv-at-within:*
 assumes *a-leq-b: a < b* and
x-within-bounds: x ∈ {a..b} and
gamma-differentiable: ∀ x ∈ {a .. b}. γ differentiable at x
 shows $\text{vector-derivative } \gamma \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\}) = \text{vector-derivative } \gamma \text{ (at } x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *islimpt-diff-finite:*
 assumes *finite (t::'a::t1-space set)*
 shows $x \text{ islimpt } s - t = x \text{ islimpt } s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ivl-limpt-diff:*
 assumes *finite s a < b (x::real) ∈ {a..b} - s*
 shows $x \text{ islimpt } \{a..b\} - s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ivl-closure-diff-del:*
 assumes *finite s a < b (x::real) ∈ {a..b} - s*
 shows $x \in \text{closure } ((\{a..b\} - s) - \{x\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ivl-not-trivial-limit-within*:

assumes *finite s*

$a < b$

$(x::real) \in \{a..b\} - s$

shows *at x within {a..b} - s \neq bot*

<proof>

lemma *vector-derivative-at-within-non-trivial-limit*:

at x within s \neq bot \wedge (f has-vector-derivative f') (at x) \implies

vector-derivative f (at x within s) = f'

<proof>

lemma *vector-derivative-at-within-ivl-diff*:

finite s \wedge a < b \wedge (x::real) \in {a..b} - s \wedge (f has-vector-derivative f') (at x) \implies

vector-derivative f (at x within {a..b} - s) = f'

<proof>

lemma *gamma-deriv-at-within-diff*:

assumes *a-leq-b: a < b and*

x-within-bounds: x \in {a..b} - s and

gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in \{a .. b\} - s. \gamma$ differentiable at x and

s-subset: s \subseteq {a..b} and

finite-s: finite s

shows *vector-derivative γ (at x within {a..b} - s)*
= vector-derivative γ (at x)

<proof>

lemma *gamma-deriv-at-within-gen*:

assumes *a-leq-b: a < b and*

x-within-bounds: x \in s and

s-subset: s \subseteq {a..b} and

gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in s. \gamma$ differentiable at x

shows *vector-derivative γ (at x within ({a..b})) = vector-derivative γ (at x)*

<proof>

lemma *derivative-component-fun-component-at-within-gen*:

assumes *gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in s. \gamma$ differentiable at x and s-subset: s \subseteq {0..1}*

shows *$\forall x \in s. \text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. \gamma x) \text{ (at x within } \{0..1\}) \cdot (i::'a:: \text{euclidean-space})$*
= vector-derivative $(\lambda x. \gamma x \cdot i) \text{ (at x within } \{0..1\})$

<proof>

lemma *derivative-component-fun-component-at-within*:

assumes *gamma-differentiable: $\forall x \in \{0 .. 1\}. \gamma$ differentiable at x*

shows *$\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. \gamma x) \text{ (at x within } \{0..1\}) \cdot (i::'a:: \text{euclidean-space})$*

= vector-derivative $(\lambda x. \gamma x \cdot i) \text{ (at x within } \{0..1\})$

<proof>

lemma *straight-path-differentiable-x*:

fixes $b :: \text{real}$ **and** $y1 :: \text{real}$
assumes *gamma-def*: $\gamma = (\lambda x. (b, y2 + y1 * x))$
shows $\forall x. \gamma$ *differentiable at x*
<proof>

lemma *straight-path-differentiable-y*:

fixes $b :: \text{real}$ **and**
 $y1 y2 :: \text{real}$
assumes *gamma-def*: $\gamma = (\lambda x. (y2 + y1 * x, b))$
shows $\forall x. \gamma$ *differentiable at x*
<proof>

lemma *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on-imp-continuous-on*:

assumes f *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s*
shows f *continuous-on s*
<proof>

lemma *boring-lemma1*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $(f$ *has-vector-derivative D*) (at x)
shows $((\lambda x. (f x, 0))$ *has-vector-derivative* $((D, 0 :: \text{real})))$ (at x)
<proof>

lemma *boring-lemma2*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $(f$ *has-vector-derivative D*) (at x)
shows $((\lambda x. (0, f x))$ *has-vector-derivative* $(0, D))$ (at x)
<proof>

lemma *pair-prod-smooth-pw-smooth*:

assumes $(f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$ *C1-differentiable-on s* $(g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s*
shows $(\lambda x. (f x, g x))$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on s*
<proof>

lemma *scale-shift-smooth*:

shows $(\lambda x. a + b * x)$ *C1-differentiable-on s*
<proof>

lemma *open-diff*:

assumes *finite* $(t :: 'a :: t1\text{-space set})$
open $(s :: 'a \text{ set})$
shows *open* $(s - t)$
<proof>

lemma *has-derivative-transform-within*:

assumes $0 < d$

and $x \in s$
and $\forall x' \in s. \text{dist } x' x < d \longrightarrow f x' = g x'$
and $(f \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$
shows $(g \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-derivative-transform-within-ivl*:

assumes $(0::\text{real}) < b$
and $\forall x \in \{a..b\} - s. f x = g x$
and $x \in \{a..b\} - s$
and $(f \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\} - s)$
shows $(g \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\} - s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-vector-derivative-transform-within-ivl*:

assumes $(0::\text{real}) < b$
and $\forall x \in \{a..b\} - s. f x = g x$
and $x \in \{a..b\} - s$
and $(f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\} - s)$
shows $(g \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\} - s)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-derivative-transform-at*:

assumes $0 < d$
and $\forall x'. \text{dist } x' x < d \longrightarrow f x' = g x'$
and $(f \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x)$
shows $(g \text{ has-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-vector-derivative-transform-at*:

assumes $0 < d$
and $\forall x'. \text{dist } x' x < d \longrightarrow f x' = g x'$
and $(f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x)$
shows $(g \text{ has-vector-derivative } f') \text{ (at } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *C1-diff-components-2*:

assumes $b \in \text{Basis}$
assumes $f \text{ C1-differentiable-on } s$
shows $(\lambda x. f x \cdot b) \text{ C1-differentiable-on } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-smooth*:

assumes $0 < d$
 $\forall x \in s. \forall y. \text{dist } x y < d \longrightarrow f y = g y$
 $f \text{ C1-differentiable-on } s$
shows $g \text{ C1-differentiable-on } s$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *eq-pw-smooth*:

assumes $0 < d$

$\forall x \in s. \forall y. \text{dist } x \ y < d \longrightarrow f \ y = g \ y$

$\forall x \in s. f \ x = g \ x$

f *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* s

shows g *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* s

<proof>

lemma *scale-piecewise-C1-differentiable-on*:

assumes f *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* s

shows $(\lambda x. (c::\text{real}) * (f \ x))$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* s

<proof>

lemma *eq-smooth-gen*:

assumes f *C1-differentiable-on* s

$\forall x. f \ x = g \ x$

shows g *C1-differentiable-on* s

<proof>

lemma *subpath-compose*:

shows $(\text{subpath } a \ b \ \gamma) = \gamma \ o \ (\lambda x. (b - a) * x + a)$

<proof>

lemma *subpath-smooth*:

assumes γ *C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$ $0 \leq a < b \leq 1$

shows $(\text{subpath } a \ b \ \gamma)$ *C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$

<proof>

lemma *has-vector-derivative-divide*[*derivative-intros*]:

fixes $a :: 'a::\text{real-normed-field}$

shows $(f \text{ has-vector-derivative } x) \ F \Longrightarrow ((\lambda x. f \ x / a) \text{ has-vector-derivative } (x / a)) \ F$

<proof>

end

theory *Integrals*

imports *HOL-Analysis.Analysis General-Utils*

begin

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-universe-x*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{euclidean-space} * 'b::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow 'c::\text{euclidean-space}$

assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: *integrable lborel* f **and**

x-axis-integral-measurable: $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \in \text{borel-measurable lborel}$

shows $\text{integral UNIV } f = \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(x, y)))$

$(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(x, y)))$ *integrable-on UNIV*

<proof>

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-universe-y*:

fixes $f :: ('a::\text{euclidean-space} * 'b::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow 'c::\text{euclidean-space}$
assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: *integrable lborel f* **and**
y-axis-integral-measurable: $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(y, x))) \in \text{borel-measurable lborel}$
shows $\text{integral UNIV } f = \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(y, x)))$
 $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(y, x))) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$
<proof>

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-curve-bounded-region-x*:

fixes $f g :: ('a::\text{euclidean-space} * 'b::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow 'c::\text{euclidean-space}$ **and**
 $g1 g2 :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b$ **and**
 $s :: ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: *integrable lborel f* **and**
x-axis-gauge-integrable: $\bigwedge x. (\lambda y. f(x, y)) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$ **and**

x-axis-integral-measurable: $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(x, y))) \in \text{borel-measurable lborel}$ **and**
f-is-g-indicator: $f = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in s \text{ then } g \ x \text{ else } 0)$ **and**
s-is-bounded-by-g1-and-g2: $s = \{(x, y). (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i \leq x \cdot i \wedge x \cdot i \leq b \cdot i) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in \text{Basis}. (g1 \ x) \cdot i \leq y \cdot i \wedge y \cdot i \leq (g2 \ x) \cdot i)\}$
shows $\text{integral } s \ g = \text{integral } (\text{cbox } a \ b) (\lambda x. \text{integral } (\text{cbox } (g1 \ x) \ (g2 \ x)) (\lambda y. g(x, y)))$
<proof>

lemma *gauge-integral-Fubini-curve-bounded-region-y*:

fixes $f g :: ('a::\text{euclidean-space} * 'b::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow 'c::\text{euclidean-space}$ **and**
 $g1 g2 :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $s :: ('a * 'b) \text{ set}$
assumes *fun-lesbegue-integrable*: *integrable lborel f* **and**
y-axis-gauge-integrable: $\bigwedge x. (\lambda y. f(y, x)) \text{ integrable-on UNIV}$ **and**

y-axis-integral-measurable: $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. f(y, x))) \in \text{borel-measurable lborel}$ **and**
f-is-g-indicator: $f = (\lambda x. \text{if } x \in s \text{ then } g \ x \text{ else } 0)$ **and**
s-is-bounded-by-g1-and-g2: $s = \{(y, x). (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i \leq x \cdot i \wedge x \cdot i \leq b \cdot i) \wedge$
 $(\forall i \in \text{Basis}. (g1 \ x) \cdot i \leq y \cdot i \wedge y \cdot i \leq (g2 \ x) \cdot i)\}$
shows $\text{integral } s \ g = \text{integral } (\text{cbox } a \ b) (\lambda x. \text{integral } (\text{cbox } (g1 \ x) \ (g2 \ x)) (\lambda y. g(y, x)))$
<proof>

lemma *gauge-integral-by-substitution*:

fixes $f :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$ **and**
 $g :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$ **and**
 $g' :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **and**
 $a :: \text{real}$ **and**
 $b :: \text{real}$

assumes *a-le-b*: $a \leq b$ **and**
ga-le-gb: $g\ a \leq g\ b$ **and**
g'-derivative: $\forall x \in \{a..b\}. (g\ \text{has-vector-derivative}\ (g'\ x))\ (\text{at}\ x\ \text{within}\ \{a..b\})$
and
g'-continuous: *continuous-on* $\{a..b\}$ *g'* **and**
f-continuous: *continuous-on* $(g\ ' \{a..b\})\ f$
shows *integral* $\{g\ a..g\ b\}\ (f) = \text{integral}\ \{a..b\}\ (\lambda x. f(g\ x) * (g'\ x))$
<proof>

lemma *frontier-ic*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})$
shows *frontier* $\{a<..b\} = \{a,b\}$
<proof>

lemma *frontier-ci*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})$
shows *frontier* $\{a<..**b\} = \{a,b\}**$
<proof>

lemma *ic-not-closed*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})$
shows $\neg \text{closed}\ \{a<..b\}$
<proof>

lemma *closure-ic-union-ci*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})\ b < c$
shows *closure* $(\{a..<b\} \cup \{b<..c\}) = \{a .. c\}$
<proof>

lemma *interior-ic-ci-union*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})\ b < c$
shows $b \notin (\text{interior}\ (\{a..<b\} \cup \{b<..c\}))$
<proof>

lemma *frontier-ic-union-ci*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})\ b < c$
shows $b \in \text{frontier}\ (\{a..<b\} \cup \{b<..c\})$
<proof>

lemma *ic-union-ci-not-closed*:
assumes $a < (b::\text{real})\ b < c$
shows $\neg \text{closed}\ (\{a..<b\} \cup \{b<..c\})$
<proof>

lemma *integrable-continuous-*:
fixes $f :: 'b::\text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{banach}$
assumes *continuous-on* $(\text{cbox}\ a\ b)\ f$
shows *f integrable-on* $\text{cbox}\ a\ b$
<proof>

lemma *removing-singletons-from-div:*

assumes $\forall t \in S. \exists c d :: \text{real}. c < d \wedge \{c..d\} = t$
 $\{x\} \cup \bigcup S = \{a..b\} \quad a < x < b$
finite S
shows $\exists t \in S. x \in t$
<proof>

lemma *remove-singleton-from-division-of:*

assumes *A division-of* $\{a::\text{real}..b\} \quad a < b$
assumes $x \in \{a..b\}$
shows $\exists c d. c < d \wedge \{c..d\} \in A \wedge x \in \{c..d\}$
<proof>

lemma *remove-singleton-from-tagged-division-of:*

assumes *A tagged-division-of* $\{a::\text{real}..b\} \quad a < b$
assumes $x \in \{a..b\}$
shows $\exists k c d. c < d \wedge (k, \{c..d\}) \in A \wedge x \in \{c..d\}$
<proof>

lemma *tagged-div-wo-singletons:*

assumes *p tagged-division-of* $\{a::\text{real}..b\} \quad a < b$
shows $(p - \{xk. \exists x y. xk = (x, \{y\})\})$ *tagged-division-of cbox a b*
<proof>

lemma *tagged-div-wo-empty:*

assumes *p tagged-division-of* $\{a::\text{real}..b\} \quad a < b$
shows $(p - \{xk. \exists x. xk = (x, \{\})\})$ *tagged-division-of cbox a b*
<proof>

lemma *fine-diff:*

assumes γ *fine p*
shows γ *fine (p - s)*
<proof>

lemma *tagged-div-tage-notin-set:*

assumes *finite (s::real set)*
p tagged-division-of $\{a..b\}$
 γ *fine p* $(\forall (x, K) \in p. \exists c d :: \text{real}. c < d \wedge K = \{c..d\})$ *gauge* γ
shows $\exists p' \gamma'. p'$ *tagged-division-of* $\{a..b\} \wedge$
 γ' *fine p' $\wedge (\forall (x, K) \in p'. x \notin s) \wedge$ gauge γ'
*<proof>**

lemma *has-integral-bound-spike-finite:*

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}$
assumes $0 \leq B$ **and** *finite S*
and f : *(f has-integral i) (cbox a b)*
and $leB: \bigwedge x. x \in \text{cbox } a \text{ } b - S \implies \text{norm } (f x) \leq B$
shows $\text{norm } i \leq B * \text{content } (\text{cbox } a \text{ } b)$

<proof>

lemma *has-integral-bound-*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{real-normed-vector}$
assumes $a < b$
and $0 \leq B$
and $f: (f \text{ has-integral } i) (\text{cbox } a \ b)$
and *finite* s
and $\forall x \in (\text{cbox } a \ b) - s. \text{norm } (f \ x) \leq B$
shows $\text{norm } i \leq B * \text{content } (\text{cbox } a \ b)$
<proof>

corollary *has-integral-bound-real'*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector}$
assumes $0 \leq B$
and $f: (f \text{ has-integral } i) (\text{cbox } a \ b)$
and *finite* s
and $\forall x \in (\text{cbox } a \ b) - s. \text{norm } (f \ x) \leq B$
shows $\text{norm } i \leq B * \text{content } \{a..b\}$

<proof>

lemma *integral-has-vector-derivative-continuous-at'*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{banach}$
assumes *finite* s
and $f: f \text{ integrable-on } \{a..b\}$
and $x: x \in \{a..b\} - s$
and $f x: \text{continuous } (\text{at } x \text{ within } (\{a..b\} - s)) \ f$
shows $((\lambda u. \text{integral } \{a..u\} \ f) \text{ has-vector-derivative } f \ x) (\text{at } x \text{ within } (\{a..b\} - s))$
<proof>

lemma *integral-has-vector-derivative'*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{banach}$
assumes *finite* s
 $f \text{ integrable-on } \{a..b\}$
 $x \in \{a..b\} - s$
 $\text{continuous } (\text{at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\} - s) \ f$
shows $((\lambda u. \text{integral } \{a .. u\} \ f) \text{ has-vector-derivative } f(x)) (\text{at } x \text{ within } \{a .. b\} - s)$
<proof>

lemma *fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-interior-stronger*:

fixes $f :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{banach}$
assumes *finite* S
and $a \leq b \wedge x. x \in \{a <..< b\} - S \implies (f \text{ has-vector-derivative } f'(x)) (\text{at } x)$
and *continuous-on* $\{a .. b\} \ f$
shows $(f' \text{ has-integral } (f \ b - f \ a)) \ \{a .. b\}$
<proof>

lemma *at-within-closed-interval-finite*:

fixes $x::real$
assumes $a < x$ $x < b$ $x \notin S$ *finite* S
shows $(at\ x\ within\ \{a..b\} - S) = at\ x$
<proof>

lemma *at-within-cbox-finite*:

assumes $x \in box\ a\ b$ $x \notin S$ *finite* S
shows $(at\ x\ within\ cbox\ a\ b - S) = at\ x$
<proof>

lemma *fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-interior-stronger'*:

fixes $f :: real \Rightarrow 'a::banach$
assumes *finite* S
and $a \leq b$ $\wedge x. x \in \{a <..< b\} - S \implies (f\ has\ vector\ derivative\ f'(x))$ $(at\ x\ within\ \{a..b\} - S)$
and *continuous-on* $\{a .. b\}$ f
shows $(f' has-integral (f\ b - f\ a))\ \{a .. b\}$
<proof>

lemma *has-integral-substitution-general-*:

fixes $f :: real \Rightarrow 'a::euclidean-space$ **and** $g :: real \Rightarrow real$
assumes s : *finite* s **and** le : $a \leq b$
and *subset*: $g\ ' \{a..b\} \subseteq \{c..d\}$
and f : f *integrable-on* $\{c..d\}$ *continuous-on* $(\{c..d\} - (g\ ' s))\ f$

and g : *continuous-on* $\{a..b\}$ g *inj-on* $g\ (\{a..b\} \cup s)$
and *deriv* [*derivative-intros*]:
 $\wedge x. x \in \{a..b\} - s \implies (g\ has\ field\ derivative\ g' x)$ $(at\ x\ within\ \{a..b\})$
shows $((\lambda x. g' x *_R f (g x)) has-integral (integral \{g\ a..g\ b\} f - integral \{g\ b..g\ a\} f))\ \{a..b\}$
<proof>

lemma *has-integral-substitution-general--*:

fixes $f :: real \Rightarrow 'a::euclidean-space$ **and** $g :: real \Rightarrow real$
assumes s : *finite* s **and** le : $a \leq b$ **and** s -*subset*: $s \subseteq \{a..b\}$
and *subset*: $g\ ' \{a..b\} \subseteq \{c..d\}$
and f : f *integrable-on* $\{c..d\}$ *continuous-on* $(\{c..d\} - (g\ ' s))\ f$

and g : *continuous-on* $\{a..b\}$ g *inj-on* $g\ \{a..b\}$
and *deriv* [*derivative-intros*]:
 $\wedge x. x \in \{a..b\} - s \implies (g\ has\ field\ derivative\ g' x)$ $(at\ x\ within\ \{a..b\})$
shows $((\lambda x. g' x *_R f (g x)) has-integral (integral \{g\ a..g\ b\} f - integral \{g\ b..g\ a\} f))\ \{a..b\}$
<proof>

lemma *has-integral-substitution-general'-*:

fixes $f :: real \Rightarrow 'a::euclidean-space$ **and** $g :: real \Rightarrow real$

assumes s : finite s **and** le : $a \leq b$ **and** s' : finite s'
and $subset$: $g \text{ ' } \{a..b\} \subseteq \{c..d\}$
and f : f integrable-on $\{c..d\}$ continuous-on $(\{c..d\} - s')$ f
and g : continuous-on $\{a..b\}$ $g \forall x \in s'$. finite $(g \text{ - ' } \{x\})$ surj-on s' g inj-on g
 $(\{a..b\} \cup ((s \cup g \text{ - ' } s')))$
and $deriv$ [derivative-intros]:
 $\bigwedge x. x \in \{a..b\} - s \implies (g \text{ has-field-derivative } g' x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\})$
shows $((\lambda x. g' x *_R f (g x)) \text{ has-integral } (\text{integral } \{g a..g b\} f - \text{integral } \{g b..g$
 $a\} f)) \{a..b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end
theory Paths
imports Derivs General-Utills Integrals
begin

lemma reverse-subpaths-join:
shows $subpath\ 1\ (1 / 2)\ p\ +++\ subpath\ (1 / 2)\ 0\ p = reversepath\ p$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition line-integral:: $('a::\text{euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow (('a)\ \text{set})$
 $\Rightarrow (\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**
 $line\text{-integral}\ F\ \text{basis}\ g \equiv \text{integral}\ \{0..1\}\ (\lambda x. \sum_{b \in \text{basis}} (F(g\ x) \cdot b) * (\text{vector-derivative}$
 $g \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) \cdot b))$

definition line-integral-exists **where**
 $line\text{-integral-exists}\ F\ \text{basis}\ \gamma \equiv (\lambda x. \sum_{b \in \text{basis}} F(\gamma\ x) \cdot b * (\text{vector-derivative}\ \gamma$
 $\text{(at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}) \cdot b)) \text{ integrable-on } \{0..1\}$

lemma line-integral-on-pair-straight-path:
fixes $F::('a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow 'a$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **and** γ
assumes $gamma\text{-const}$: $\forall x. \gamma(x) \cdot i = a$
and $gamma\text{-smooth}$: $\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \gamma$ differentiable at x
shows $(line\text{-integral}\ F\ \{i\}\ \gamma) = 0$ $(line\text{-integral-exists}\ F\ \{i\}\ \gamma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma line-integral-on-pair-path-strong:
fixes $F::('a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow ('a)$ **and**
 $g::\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $\gamma::(\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **and**
 $i::'a$
assumes $i\text{-norm-1}$: $norm\ i = 1$ **and**
 $g\text{-orthogonal-to-}i$: $\forall x. g(x) \cdot i = 0$ **and**
 $gamma\text{-is-in-terms-of-}i$: $\gamma = (\lambda x. f(x) *_R i + g(f(x)))$ **and**
 $gamma\text{-smooth}$: γ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on $\{0..1\}$ **and**
 $g\text{-continuous-on-}f$: continuous-on $(f \text{ ' } \{0..1\})\ g$ **and**

path-start-le-path-end: $(\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i \leq (\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i$ **and**
field-i-comp-cont: *continuous-on* $(\text{path-image } \gamma)$ $(\lambda x. F x \cdot i)$
shows *line-integral* $F \{i\} \gamma$
 $= \text{integral } (\text{cbox } ((\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i) ((\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i)) (\lambda f\text{-var}. (F (f\text{-var}$
 $*_R i + g(f\text{-var})) \cdot i))$
line-integral-exists $F \{i\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-on-pair-path*:

fixes $F::('a::\text{euclidean-space}) \Rightarrow ('a)$ **and**
 $g::\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$ **and**
 $\gamma::(\text{real} \Rightarrow 'a)$ **and**
 $i::'a$
assumes *i-norm-1*: $\text{norm } i = 1$ **and**
g-orthogonal-to-i: $\forall x. g(x) \cdot i = 0$ **and**
gamma-is-in-terms-of-i: $\gamma = (\lambda x. f(x) *_R i + g(f(x)))$ **and**
gamma-smooth: γ *C1-differentiable-on* $\{0 .. 1\}$ **and**
g-continuous-on-f: *continuous-on* $(f \text{ ' } \{0..1\})$ g **and**
path-start-le-path-end: $(\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i \leq (\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i$ **and**
field-i-comp-cont: *continuous-on* $(\text{path-image } \gamma)$ $(\lambda x. F x \cdot i)$
shows $(\text{line-integral } F \{i\} \gamma)$
 $= \text{integral } (\text{cbox } ((\text{pathstart } \gamma) \cdot i) ((\text{pathfinish } \gamma) \cdot i)) (\lambda f\text{-var}. (F$
 $(f\text{-var} *_R i + g(f\text{-var})) \cdot i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *content-box-cases*:

content $(\text{box } a \ b) = (\text{if } \forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i \leq b \cdot i \text{ then } \text{prod } (\lambda i. b \cdot i - a \cdot i) \ \text{Basis} \text{ else } 0)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *content-box-cbox*:

shows $\text{content } (\text{box } a \ b) = \text{content } (\text{cbox } a \ b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *content-eq-0*: $\text{content } (\text{box } a \ b) = 0 \iff (\exists i \in \text{Basis}. b \cdot i \leq a \cdot i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *content-pos-lt-eq*: $0 < \text{content } (\text{cbox } a \ (b::'a::\text{euclidean-space})) \iff (\forall i \in \text{Basis}. a \cdot i < b \cdot i)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *content-lt-nz*: $0 < \text{content } (\text{box } a \ b) \iff \text{content } (\text{box } a \ b) \neq 0$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *content-subset*: $\text{cbox } a \ b \subseteq \text{box } c \ d \implies \text{content } (\text{cbox } a \ b) \leq \text{content } (\text{box } c \ d)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *sum-content-null*:

assumes $\text{content } (\text{box } a \ b) = 0$
and p tagged-division-of $(\text{box } a \ b)$
shows $\text{sum } (\lambda(x,k). \text{content } k *_{\mathbb{R}} f \ x) \ p = (0::'a::\text{real-normed-vector})$
 <proof>

lemma *has-integral-null* [intro]: $\text{content}(\text{box } a \ b) = 0 \implies (f \text{ has-integral } 0) (\text{box } a \ b)$
 <proof>

lemma *line-integral-distrib*:
assumes *line-integral-exists* f *basis* $g1$
line-integral-exists f *basis* $g2$
valid-path $g1$ *valid-path* $g2$
shows $\text{line-integral } f \ \text{basis } (g1 \ +++ \ g2) = \text{line-integral } f \ \text{basis } g1 + \text{line-integral } f \ \text{basis } g2$
line-integral-exists f *basis* $(g1 \ +++ \ g2)$
 <proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-joinD1*:
assumes *line-integral-exists* f *basis* $(g1 \ +++ \ g2)$ *valid-path* $g1$
shows *line-integral-exists* f *basis* $g1$
 <proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-joinD2*:
assumes *line-integral-exists* f *basis* $(g1 \ +++ \ g2)$ *valid-path* $g2$
shows *line-integral-exists* f *basis* $g2$
 <proof>

lemma *has-line-integral-on-reverse-path*:
assumes g : *valid-path* g **and** *int*:
 $((\lambda x. \sum_{b \in \text{basis}. F} (g \ x) \cdot b * (\text{vector-derivative } g \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } \{0..1\}) \cdot b))$
has-integral $c)\{0..1\}$
shows $((\lambda x. \sum_{b \in \text{basis}. F} ((\text{reversepath } g) \ x) \cdot b * (\text{vector-derivative } (\text{reversepath } g) \ (\text{at } x \ \text{within } \{0..1\}) \cdot b))$ *has-integral* $-c)\{0..1\}$
 <proof>

lemma *line-integral-on-reverse-path*:
assumes *valid-path* γ *line-integral-exists* F *basis* γ
shows $\text{line-integral } F \ \text{basis } \gamma = - (\text{line-integral } F \ \text{basis } (\text{reversepath } \gamma))$
line-integral-exists F *basis* $(\text{reversepath } \gamma)$
 <proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-on-degenerate-path*:
assumes *finite basis*
shows *line-integral-exists* F *basis* $(\lambda x. \ c)$
 <proof>

lemma *degenerate-path-is-valid-path*: *valid-path* $(\lambda x. \ c)$

<proof>

lemma *line-integral-degenerate-path:*
 assumes *finite basis*
 shows *line-integral F basis* $(\lambda x. c) = 0$
<proof>

definition *point-path where*
 point-path $\gamma \equiv \exists c. \gamma = (\lambda x. c)$

lemma *line-integral-point-path:*
 assumes *point-path* γ
 assumes *finite basis*
 shows *line-integral F basis* $\gamma = 0$
<proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-point-path:*
 assumes *finite basis point-path* γ
 shows *line-integral-exists F basis* γ
<proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-subpath:*
 assumes *f: line-integral-exists f basis g and g: valid-path g*
 and *uv: u ∈ {0..1} v ∈ {0..1} u ≤ v*
 shows *(line-integral-exists f basis (subpath u v g))*
<proof>

type-synonym *path* = *real* \Rightarrow (*real* * *real*)
type-synonym *one-cube* = (*real* \Rightarrow (*real* * *real*))
type-synonym *one-chain* = (*int* * *path*) *set*
type-synonym *two-cube* = (*real* * *real*) \Rightarrow (*real* * *real*)
type-synonym *two-chain* = *two-cube set*

definition *one-chain-line-integral* :: ((*real* * *real*) \Rightarrow (*real* * *real*)) \Rightarrow ((*real***real*)
set) \Rightarrow *one-chain* \Rightarrow *real where*
 one-chain-line-integral F b C $\equiv (\sum (k,g) \in C. k * (\text{line-integral } F \text{ b } g))$

definition *boundary-chain where*
 boundary-chain $s \equiv (\forall (k, \gamma) \in s. k = 1 \vee k = -1)$

fun *coeff-cube-to-path*::(*int* * *one-cube*) \Rightarrow *path*
 where *coeff-cube-to-path* $(k, \gamma) = (\text{if } k = 1 \text{ then } \gamma \text{ else } (\text{reversepath } \gamma))$

fun *rec-join* :: (*int***path*) *list* \Rightarrow *path where*
 rec-join [] = $(\lambda x. 0)$ |
 rec-join [*oneC*] = *coeff-cube-to-path oneC* |

$rec\text{-}join (oneC \# xs) = coeff\text{-}cube\text{-}to\text{-}path oneC \text{+++} (rec\text{-}join xs)$

fun *valid-chain-list* **where**

valid-chain-list [] = *True* |

valid-chain-list [oneC] = *True* |

valid-chain-list (oneC # l) = (pathfinish (coeff-cube-to-path (oneC))) = pathstart (rec-join l) \wedge *valid-chain-list* l

lemma *joined-is-valid*:

assumes *boundary-chain*: *boundary-chain* (set l) **and**

valid-path: $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in set\ l \implies valid\text{-}path\ \gamma$ **and**

valid-chain-list-ass: *valid-chain-list* l

shows *valid-path* (rec-join l)

<proof>

lemma *pathstart-rec-join-1*:

pathstart (rec-join ((1, γ) # l)) = *pathstart* γ

<proof>

lemma *pathstart-rec-join-2*:

pathstart (rec-join ((-1, γ) # l)) = *pathstart* (reversepath γ)

<proof>

lemma *pathstart-rec-join*:

pathstart (rec-join ((1, γ) # l)) = *pathstart* γ

pathstart (rec-join ((-1, γ) # l)) = *pathstart* (reversepath γ)

<proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-on-rec-join*:

assumes *boundary-chain*: *boundary-chain* (set l) **and**

valid-chain-list: *valid-chain-list* l **and**

valid-path: $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in set\ l \implies valid\text{-}path\ \gamma$ **and**

line-integral-exists: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in set\ l. line\text{-}integral\text{-}exists\ F\ basis\ \gamma$

shows *line-integral-exists* F basis (rec-join l)

<proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-rec-join-cons*:

assumes *line-integral-exists* F basis (rec-join ((1, γ) # l))

$(\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in set\ ((1, \gamma) \# l) \implies valid\text{-}path\ \gamma')$

finite basis

shows *line-integral-exists* F basis ($\gamma \text{+++}$ (rec-join l))

<proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-rec-join-cons-2*:

assumes *line-integral-exists* F basis (rec-join ((-1, γ) # l))

$(\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in set\ ((1, \gamma) \# l) \implies valid\text{-}path\ \gamma')$

finite basis

shows *line-integral-exists* F basis ((reversepath γ) +++ (rec-join l))

<proof>

lemma *line-integral-exists-on-rec-join'*:

assumes *boundary-chain*: *boundary-chain* (set l) **and**
valid-chain-list: *valid-chain-list* l **and**
valid-path: $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l \implies \text{valid-path } \gamma$ **and**
line-integral-exists: *line-integral-exists* F basis (rec-join l) **and**
finite-basis: *finite basis*
shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

inductive *chain-subdiv-path*

where I: *chain-subdiv-path* γ (set l) **if** *distinct* l *rec-join* l = γ *valid-chain-list* l

lemma *valid-path-equiv-valid-chain-list*:

assumes *path-eq-chain*: *chain-subdiv-path* γ *one-chain*
and *boundary-chain one-chain* $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$
shows *valid-path* γ
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-rec-join-cons*:

assumes *line-integral-exists* F basis γ
line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join ((l)))
 $(\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in \text{set } ((1, \gamma) \# l) \implies \text{valid-path } \gamma')$
finite basis
shows *line-integral* F basis (rec-join ((1, γ) # l)) = *line-integral* F basis (γ +++ (rec-join l))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-rec-join-cons-2*:

assumes *line-integral-exists* F basis γ
line-integral-exists F basis (rec-join ((l)))
 $(\bigwedge k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in \text{set } ((-1, \gamma) \# l) \implies \text{valid-path } \gamma')$
finite basis
shows *line-integral* F basis (rec-join ((-1, γ) # l)) = *line-integral* F basis ((reversepath γ) +++ (rec-join l))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *one-chain-line-integral-rec-join*:

assumes *l-props*: set l = *one-chain* *distinct* l *valid-chain-list* l **and**
boundary-chain: *boundary-chain one-chain* **and**
line-integral-exists: $\forall (k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$ **and**
valid-path: $\forall (k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ **and**
finite-basis: *finite basis*
shows *line-integral* F basis (rec-join l) = *one-chain-line-integral* F basis *one-chain*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-on-path-eq-line-integral-on-equiv-chain*:

assumes *path-eq-chain*: *chain-subdiv-path* γ *one-chain* **and**
boundary-chain: *boundary-chain one-chain* **and**

line-integral-exists: $\forall (k::int, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$ **and**
valid-path: $\forall (k::int, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ **and**
finite-basis: *finite basis*
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain = line-integral F basis γ*
line-integral-exists F basis γ
valid-path γ
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-on-path-eq-line-integral-on-equiv-chain'*:
assumes *path-eq-chain*: *chain-subdiv-path γ one-chain* **and**
boundary-chain: *boundary-chain one-chain* **and**
line-integral-exists: *line-integral-exists F basis γ* **and**
valid-path: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ **and**
finite-basis: *finite basis*
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain = line-integral F basis γ*
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *chain-subdiv-chain where*
chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv
 $\equiv \exists f. (\bigcup (f \text{ ' one-chain1})) = \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall c \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{chain-subdiv-path } (\text{coeff-cube-to-path } c) (f \ c)) \wedge$
 $\text{pairwise } (\lambda p \ p'. f \ p \cap f \ p' = \{\}) \text{ one-chain1} \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{finite } (f \ x))$

lemma *chain-subdiv-chain-character*:
shows *chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv* \longleftrightarrow
 $(\exists f. \bigcup (f \text{ ' one-chain1}) = \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}.$
 if $k = 1$
 then *chain-subdiv-path γ (f (k, γ))*
 else *chain-subdiv-path (reversepath γ) (f (k, γ))*) \wedge
 $(\forall p \in \text{one-chain1}.$
 $\forall p' \in \text{one-chain1}. p \neq p' \longrightarrow f \ p \cap f \ p' = \{\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{finite } (f \ x)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-subdiv-chain-imp-finite-subdiv*:
assumes *finite one-chain1*
chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv
shows *finite subdiv*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *valid-subdiv-imp-valid-one-chain*:
assumes *chain1-eq-chain2*: *chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv* **and**
boundary-chain1: *boundary-chain one-chain1* **and**
boundary-chain2: *boundary-chain subdiv* **and**
valid-path: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$
shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *one-chain-line-integral-eq-line-integral-on-sudivision:*

assumes *chain1-eq-chain2: chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv and*

boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and

boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and

line-integral-exists-on-chain2: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

and

valid-path: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ and

finite-chain1: finite one-chain1 and

finite-basis: finite basis

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis subdiv*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain1. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *one-chain-line-integral-eq-line-integral-on-sudivision':*

assumes *chain1-eq-chain2: chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv and*

boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and

boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and

line-integral-exists-on-chain1: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in one-chain1. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

and

valid-path: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ and

finite-chain1: finite one-chain1 and

finite-basis: finite basis

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis subdiv*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *line-integral-sum-gen:*

assumes *finite-basis:*

finite basis and

line-integral-exists:

line-integral-exists F basis1 γ

line-integral-exists F basis2 γ and

basis-partition:

basis1 \cup basis2 = basis basis1 \cap basis2 = $\{\}$

shows *line-integral F basis γ = (line-integral F basis1 γ) + (line-integral F basis2 γ)*

line-integral-exists F basis γ

<proof>

definition *common-boundary-sudivision-exists where*

common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2 \equiv

$\exists subdiv. \text{chain-subdiv-chain one-chain1 subdiv} \wedge$

$\text{chain-subdiv-chain one-chain2 subdiv} \wedge$

$(\forall (k, \gamma) \in subdiv. \text{valid-path } \gamma) \wedge$

$\text{boundary-chain subdiv}$

lemma *common-boundary-sudivision-commutative:*

$(\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2}) = (\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain2 one-chain1})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *common-sudivision-imp-eq-line-integral:*

assumes $(\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2})$
 $\text{boundary-chain one-chain1}$
 $\text{boundary-chain one-chain2}$
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 finite one-chain1
 finite one-chain2
 finite basis

shows $\text{one-chain-line-integral } F \text{ basis one-chain1} = \text{one-chain-line-integral } F \text{ basis one-chain2}$

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain2}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *common-sudiv-exists where*

$\text{common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2} \equiv$
 $\exists \text{ subdiv ps1 ps2}. \text{chain-sudiv-chain } (\text{one-chain1} - \text{ps1}) \text{ subdiv} \wedge$
 $\text{chain-sudiv-chain } (\text{one-chain2} - \text{ps2}) \text{ subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}. \text{valid-path } \gamma) \wedge$
 $(\text{boundary-chain subdiv}) \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{ps1}. \text{point-path } \gamma) \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{ps2}. \text{point-path } \gamma)$

lemma *common-sudiv-exists-comm:*

shows $\text{common-sudiv-exists } C1 \ C2 = \text{common-sudiv-exists } C2 \ C1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-degenerate-chain:*

assumes $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{chain}. \text{point-path } \gamma)$
assumes finite basis
shows $\text{one-chain-line-integral } F \text{ basis chain} = 0$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *gen-common-sudiv-imp-common-sudiv:*

shows $(\text{common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2}) = (\exists \text{ ps1 ps2}. (\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists } (\text{one-chain1} - \text{ps1}) (\text{one-chain2} - \text{ps2})) \wedge (\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{ps1}. \text{point-path } \gamma) \wedge (\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{ps2}. \text{point-path } \gamma))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *common-sudiv-imp-gen-common-sudiv:*

assumes $(\text{common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain1 one-chain2})$
shows $(\text{common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *one-chain-line-integral-point-paths:*

assumes *finite one-chain*

assumes *finite basis*

assumes $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps. \text{point-path } \gamma)$

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis (one-chain - ps) = one-chain-line-integral F basis (one-chain)*

<proof>

lemma *boundary-chain-diff:*

assumes *boundary-chain one-chain*

shows *boundary-chain (one-chain - s)*

<proof>

lemma *gen-common-subdivision-imp-eq-line-integral:*

assumes $(\text{common-sudiv-exists one-chain1 one-chain2})$

boundary-chain one-chain1

boundary-chain one-chain2

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

finite one-chain1

finite one-chain2

finite basis

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain2*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain2}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *common-sudiv-exists-refl:*

assumes *common-sudiv-exists C1 C2*

shows *common-sudiv-exists C2 C1*

<proof>

lemma *chain-sudiv-path-singleton:*

shows *chain-sudiv-path $\gamma \{(1, \gamma)\}$*

<proof>

lemma *chain-sudiv-path-singleton-reverse:*

shows *chain-sudiv-path (reversepath $\gamma \{(-1, \gamma)\}$*

<proof>

lemma *chain-sudiv-chain-refl:*

assumes *boundary-chain C*

shows *chain-sudiv-chain C C*

<proof>

definition *reparam-weak where*

reparam-weak $\gamma1 \gamma2 \equiv \exists \varphi. (\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \gamma1 x = (\gamma2 \circ \varphi) x) \wedge \varphi \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\} \wedge \varphi(0) = 0 \wedge \varphi(1) = 1 \wedge \varphi' \{0..1\} = \{0..1\}$

definition *reparam where*

reparam $\gamma 1 \ \gamma 2 \equiv \exists \varphi. (\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \gamma 1 \ x = (\gamma 2 \circ \varphi) \ x) \wedge \varphi$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\} \wedge \varphi(0) = 0 \wedge \varphi(1) = 1 \wedge$ *bij-betw* $\varphi \ \{0..1\} \ \{0..1\} \wedge \varphi - ' \ \{0..1\} \subseteq \{0..1\} \wedge (\forall x \in \{0..1\}. \text{finite } (\varphi - ' \ \{x\}))$

lemma *reparam-weak-eq-refl:*

shows *reparam-weak* $\gamma 1 \ \gamma 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-exists-smooth-one-base:*

assumes γ *C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$
continuous-on (*path-image* γ) ($\lambda x. F \ x \cdot b$)
shows *line-integral-exists* $F \ \{b\} \ \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *contour-integral-primitive-lemma:*

fixes $f :: \text{complex} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$ **and** $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{complex}$
assumes $a \leq b$
and $\bigwedge x. x \in s \implies (f \text{ has-field-derivative } f' \ x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } s)$
and g *piecewise-differentiable-on* $\{a..b\} \ \bigwedge x. x \in \{a..b\} \implies g \ x \in s$
shows ($\lambda x. f'(g \ x) * \text{vector-derivative } g \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{a..b\})$)
has-integral $(f(g \ b) - f(g \ a)) \ \{a..b\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-primitive-lemma:*

fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{euclidean-space}, \text{real-normed-field}\} \Rightarrow 'a :: \{\text{euclidean-space}, \text{real-normed-field}\}$
and
 $g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $\bigwedge (a :: 'a). a \in s \implies (f \text{ has-field-derivative } (f' \ a)) \text{ (at } a \text{ within } s)$
and g *piecewise-differentiable-on* $\{0::\text{real}..1\} \ \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \implies g \ x \in s$
and *base-vec* $\in \text{Basis}$
shows ($\lambda x. ((f'(g \ x)) * (\text{vector-derivative } g \text{ (at } x \text{ within } \{0..1\}))) \cdot \text{base-vec}$)
has-integral $((f(g \ 1)) \cdot \text{base-vec} - (f(g \ 0)) \cdot \text{base-vec}) \ \{0..1\}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reparam-eq-line-integrals:*

assumes *reparam:* *reparam* $\gamma 1 \ \gamma 2$ **and**
pw-smooth: $\gamma 2$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$ **and**
cont: *continuous-on* (*path-image* $\gamma 2$) ($\lambda x. F \ x \cdot b$) **and**
line-integral-ex: *line-integral-exists* $F \ \{b\} \ \gamma 2$
shows *line-integral* $F \ \{b\} \ \gamma 1 = \text{line-integral } F \ \{b\} \ \gamma 2$
line-integral-exists $F \ \{b\} \ \gamma 1$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *reparam-weak-eq-line-integrals:*

assumes *reparam-weak* $\gamma 1 \ \gamma 2$
 $\gamma 2$ *C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$
continuous-on (*path-image* $\gamma 2$) ($\lambda x. F \ x \cdot b$)

shows $\text{line-integral } F \{b\} \gamma1 = \text{line-integral } F \{b\} \gamma2$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\} \gamma1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-sum-basis*:

assumes $\text{finite } (basis::('a::\text{euclidean-space}) \text{ set}) \ \forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\} \gamma$

shows $\text{line-integral } F \text{ basis } \gamma = (\sum b \in \text{basis}. \text{line-integral } F \{b\} \gamma)$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *reparam-weak-eq-line-integrals-basis*:

assumes $\text{reparam-weak } \gamma1 \ \gamma2$

$\gamma2 \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

$\forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
 finite basis

shows $\text{line-integral } F \text{ basis } \gamma1 = \text{line-integral } F \text{ basis } \gamma2$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *reparam-eq-line-integrals-basis*:

assumes $\text{reparam } \gamma1 \ \gamma2$

$\gamma2 \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

$\forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
 finite basis

$\forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\} \gamma2$

shows $\text{line-integral } F \text{ basis } \gamma1 = \text{line-integral } F \text{ basis } \gamma2$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *line-integral-exists-smooth*:

assumes $\gamma \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

$\forall (b::'a::\text{euclidean-space}) \in \text{basis}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
 finite basis

shows $\text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *smooth-path-imp-reverse*:

assumes $g \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

shows $(\text{reversepath } g) \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *piecewise-smooth-path-imp-reverse*:

assumes $g \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

shows $(\text{reversepath } g) \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

⟨proof⟩

definition *chain-reparam-weak-chain where*

$\text{chain-reparam-weak-chain } \text{one-chain1 } \text{one-chain2} \equiv$

$\exists f. \text{bij } f \wedge f \text{ 'one-chain1} = \text{one-chain2} \wedge (\forall (k,\gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{if } k = \text{fst } (f(k,\gamma)) \text{ then reparam-weak } \gamma (\text{snd } (f(k,\gamma))) \text{ else reparam-weak } \gamma (\text{reversepath } (\text{snd } (f(k,\gamma))))))$

lemma *chain-reparam-weak-chain-line-integral*:

assumes *chain-reparam-weak-chain one-chain1 one-chain2*

$\forall (k2,\gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \gamma2 \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

$\forall (k2,\gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
finite basis

and *bound1: boundary-chain one-chain1*

and *bound2: boundary-chain one-chain2*

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain2*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

<proof>

definition *chain-reparam-chain where*

chain-reparam-chain one-chain1 one-chain2 \equiv

$\exists f. \text{bij } f \wedge f \text{ 'one-chain1} = \text{one-chain2} \wedge (\forall (k,\gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{if } k = \text{fst } (f(k,\gamma)) \text{ then reparam } \gamma (\text{snd } (f(k,\gamma))) \text{ else reparam } \gamma (\text{reversepath } (\text{snd } (f(k,\gamma))))))$

definition *chain-reparam-weak-path::((real) \Rightarrow (real * real)) \Rightarrow ((int * ((real) \Rightarrow (real * real))) set) \Rightarrow bool* **where**

chain-reparam-weak-path γ *one-chain*

$\equiv \exists l. \text{set } l = \text{one-chain} \wedge \text{distinct } l \wedge \text{reparam } \gamma (\text{rec-join } l) \wedge$

valid-chain-list $l \wedge l \neq []$

lemma *chain-reparam-chain-line-integral*:

assumes *chain-reparam-chain one-chain1 one-chain2*

$\forall (k2,\gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \gamma2 \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$

$\forall (k2,\gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
finite basis

and *bound1: boundary-chain one-chain1*

and *bound2: boundary-chain one-chain2*

and *line: $\forall (k2,\gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. (\forall b \in \text{basis}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\} \gamma2)$*

shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain2*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *path-image-rec-join*:

fixes $\gamma::\text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real} \times \text{real})$

fixes $k::\text{int}$

fixes l

shows $\bigwedge k \gamma. (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l \implies \text{valid-chain-list } l \implies \text{path-image } \gamma \subseteq \text{path-image } (\text{rec-join } l)$

<proof>

lemma *path-image-rec-join-2*:

fixes l
shows $l \neq [] \implies \text{valid-chain-list } l \implies \text{path-image } (\text{rec-join } l) \subseteq (\bigcup (k, \gamma) \in \text{set } l. \text{path-image } \gamma)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *continuous-on-closed-UN*:

assumes *finite S*
shows $((\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{closed } s) \implies (\bigwedge s. s \in S \implies \text{continuous-on } s f) \implies \text{continuous-on } (\bigcup S) f)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *chain-reparam-weak-path-line-integral*:

assumes *path-eq-chain: chain-reparam-weak-path γ one-chain and boundary-chain: boundary-chain one-chain and line-integral-exists: $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\} \gamma$ and valid-path: $\forall (k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ and finite-basis: finite basis and cont: $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k, \gamma 2) \in \text{one-chain}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma 2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$ and finite-one-chain: finite one-chain*
shows *line-integral F basis $\gamma = \text{one-chain-line-integral } F$ basis one-chain line-integral-exists F basis γ*

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *chain-reparam-chain'* **where**

chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 subdiv
 $\equiv \exists f. ((\bigcup (f \text{ ' one-chain1})) = \text{subdiv}) \wedge$
 $(\forall \text{cube} \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{chain-reparam-weak-path } (\text{rec-join } [\text{cube}]) (f \text{ cube})) \wedge$
 $(\forall p \in \text{one-chain1}. \forall p' \in \text{one-chain1}. p \neq p' \longrightarrow f p \cap f p' = \{\}) \wedge$
 $(\forall x \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{finite } (f x))$

lemma *chain-reparam-chain'-imp-finite-subdiv*:

assumes *finite one-chain1*
chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 subdiv
shows *finite subdiv*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *chain-reparam-chain'-line-integral*:

assumes *chain1-eq-chain2: chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 subdiv and boundary-chain1: boundary-chain one-chain1 and boundary-chain2: boundary-chain subdiv and line-integral-exists-on-chain2: $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{b\} \gamma$ and valid-path: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ and valid-path-2: $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ and finite-chain1: finite one-chain1 and*

finite-basis: finite basis and
cont-field: $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k, \gamma 2) \in \text{subdiv}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma 2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis subdiv*
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-reparam-chain'-line-integral-smooth-cubes:*
assumes *chain-reparam-chain' one-chain1 one-chain2*
 $\forall (k 2, \gamma 2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \gamma 2 \text{ C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}$
 $\forall b \in \text{basis}. \forall (k 2, \gamma 2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma 2) (\lambda x. F x \cdot b)$
finite basis
finite one-chain1
boundary-chain one-chain1
boundary-chain one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain2*
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \text{ basis } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-subdiv-path-pathimg-subset:*
assumes *chain-subdiv-path γ subdiv*
shows $\forall (k', \gamma') \in \text{subdiv}. (\text{path-image } \gamma') \subseteq \text{path-image } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *reparam-path-image:*
assumes *reparam $\gamma 1$ $\gamma 2$*
shows *path-image $\gamma 1$ = path-image $\gamma 2$*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-reparam-weak-path-pathimg-subset:*
assumes *chain-reparam-weak-path γ subdiv*
shows $\forall (k', \gamma') \in \text{subdiv}. (\text{path-image } \gamma') \subseteq \text{path-image } \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-subdiv-chain-pathimg-subset':*
assumes *chain-subdiv-chain one-chain subdiv*
assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}$
shows $\exists k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in \text{one-chain} \wedge \text{path-image } \gamma \subseteq \text{path-image } \gamma'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-subdiv-chain-pathimg-subset:*
assumes *chain-subdiv-chain one-chain subdiv*
shows $\bigcup (\text{path-image } \{ \gamma. \exists k. (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv} \}) \subseteq \bigcup (\text{path-image } \{ \gamma. \exists k. (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain} \})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *chain-reparam-chain'-pathimg-subset'*:
assumes *chain-reparam-chain' one-chain subdiv*
assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}$
shows $\exists k' \gamma'. (k', \gamma') \in \text{one-chain} \wedge \text{path-image } \gamma \subseteq \text{path-image } \gamma'$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *common-reparam-exists::* $(\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \text{ set} \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**
common-reparam-exists one-chain1 one-chain2 \equiv
 $(\exists \text{subdiv } ps1 \ ps2.$
 $\text{chain-reparam-chain}' (\text{one-chain1} - ps1) \ \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $\text{chain-reparam-chain}' (\text{one-chain2} - ps2) \ \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{subdiv}. \ \gamma \ \text{C1-differentiable-on } \{0..1\}) \wedge$
 $\text{boundary-chain } \text{subdiv} \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps1. \ \text{point-path } \gamma) \wedge$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in ps2. \ \text{point-path } \gamma))$

lemma *common-reparam-exists-imp-eq-line-integral*:
assumes *finite-basis: finite basis and*
finite one-chain1
finite one-chain2
boundary-chain (one-chain1::(int × (real ⇒ real × real)) set)
boundary-chain (one-chain2::(int × (real ⇒ real × real)) set)
 $\forall (k2, \gamma2) \in \text{one-chain2}. \ \forall b \in \text{basis}. \ \text{continuous-on } (\text{path-image } \gamma2) \ (\lambda x. \ F \ x \cdot$
b)
 $(\text{common-reparam-exists } \text{one-chain1} \ \text{one-chain2})$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \ \text{valid-path } \gamma)$
 $(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain2}. \ \text{valid-path } \gamma)$
shows *one-chain-line-integral F basis one-chain1 = one-chain-line-integral F*
basis one-chain2
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain1}. \ \text{line-integral-exists } F \ \text{basis } \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *subcube ::* $\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \Rightarrow (\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real}))$ **where**
subcube a b cube = (fst cube, subpath a b (snd cube))

lemma *subcube-valid-path*:
assumes *valid-path (snd cube) a ∈ {0..1} b ∈ {0..1}*
shows *valid-path (snd (subcube a b cube))*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

theory *Green*

imports *Paths Derivs Integrals General-Utils*

begin

lemma *frontier-Un-subset-Un-frontier*:
 $\text{frontier } (s \cup t) \subseteq (\text{frontier } s) \cup (\text{frontier } t)$

<proof>

definition *has-partial-derivative*:: (('a::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('a) \Rightarrow bool **where**
 has-partial-derivative F base-vec F' a
 $\equiv ((\lambda x::'a::euclidean-space. F(a - ((a \cdot \text{base-vec}) *_R \text{base-vec})) + (x \cdot \text{base-vec}) *_R \text{base-vec}))$
 has-derivative F' (at a)

definition *has-partial-vector-derivative*:: (('a::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('b) \Rightarrow ('a) \Rightarrow bool **where**
 has-partial-vector-derivative F base-vec F' a
 $\equiv ((\lambda x. F(a - ((a \cdot \text{base-vec}) *_R \text{base-vec})) + x *_R \text{base-vec}))$
 has-vector-derivative F' (at (a \cdot base-vec))

definition *partially-vector-differentiable* **where**
 partially-vector-differentiable F base-vec p $\equiv (\exists F'. \text{has-partial-vector-derivative } F \text{ base-vec } F' p)$

definition *partial-vector-derivative*:: (('a::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'b::euclidean-space) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'b **where**
 partial-vector-derivative F base-vec a
 $\equiv (\text{vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F(a - ((a \cdot \text{base-vec}) *_R \text{base-vec})) + x *_R \text{base-vec}))$
 (at (a \cdot base-vec))

lemma *partial-vector-derivative-works*:
 assumes *partially-vector-differentiable* F base-vec a
 shows *has-partial-vector-derivative* F base-vec (partial-vector-derivative F base-vec a) a
<proof>

lemma *fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-partial-vector*:
 fixes a b::real **and**
 F::('a::euclidean-space \Rightarrow 'b::euclidean-space) **and**
 i::'a **and**
 j::'b **and**
 F-j-i::('a::euclidean-space \Rightarrow real)
 assumes a-leq-b: a \leq b **and**
 Base-vecs: i \in Basis j \in Basis **and**
 no-i-component: c \cdot i = 0 **and**
 has-partial-deriv: $\forall p \in D. \text{has-partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i (F-j-i p) p$ **and**
 domain-subset-of-D: $\{x *_R i + c \mid x. a \leq x \wedge x \leq b\} \subseteq D$
 shows $((\lambda x. F-j-i(x *_R i + c)) \text{has-integral } F(b *_R i + c) \cdot j - F(a *_R i + c) \cdot j) (\text{cbox } a b)$
<proof>

lemma *fundamental-theorem-of-calculus-partial-vector-gen*:
 fixes k1 k2::real **and**

$F :: ('a :: euclidean-space \Rightarrow 'b :: euclidean-space) \text{ and}$
 $i :: 'a \text{ and}$
 $F-i :: ('a :: euclidean-space \Rightarrow 'b)$
assumes $a\text{-leg-}b: k1 \leq k2 \text{ and}$
 $unit\text{-len}: i \cdot i = 1 \text{ and}$
 $no\text{-}i\text{-component}: c \cdot i = 0 \text{ and}$
 $has\text{-partial-deriv}: \forall p \in D. \text{ has-partial-vector-derivative } F\ i\ (F-i\ p)\ p \text{ and}$
 $domain\text{-subset-of-}D: \{v. \exists x. k1 \leq x \wedge x \leq k2 \wedge v = x *_R i + c\} \subseteq D$
shows $(\lambda x. F-i\ (x *_R i + c)) \text{ has-integral}$
 $F(k2 *_R i + c) - F(k1 *_R i + c))\ (cbox\ k1\ k2)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $add\text{-scale-}img:$
assumes $a < b$ **shows** $(\lambda x :: real. a + (b - a) * x) \text{ ' } \{0 .. 1\} = \{a .. b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $add\text{-scale-}img':$
assumes $a \leq b$
shows $(\lambda x :: real. a + (b - a) * x) \text{ ' } \{0 .. 1\} = \{a .. b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $analytically\text{-valid} :: 'a :: euclidean-space\ set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b :: \{euclidean-space, times, one\})$
 $\Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $analytically\text{-valid}\ s\ F\ i \equiv$
 $(\forall a \in s. \text{ partially-vector-differentiable } F\ i\ a) \wedge$
 $continuous\text{-on}\ s\ F \wedge$ — TODO: should we replace this with saying that F is
partially differentiable on Dy ,
— i.e. there is a partial derivative on every dimension
 $integrable\ lborel\ (\lambda p. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } F\ i)\ p * \text{indicator}\ s\ p) \wedge$
 $(\lambda x. \text{integral}\ UNIV\ (\lambda y. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } F\ i)\ (y *_R i + x *_R (\sum b$
 $\in (Basis - \{i\}). b)))$
 $* (\text{indicator}\ s\ (y *_R i + x *_R (\sum b \in Basis - \{i\}. b)))) \in \text{borel-measurable}$
 $lborel$

lemma $analytically\text{-valid-imp-part-deriv-integrable-on}:$
assumes $analytically\text{-valid}\ (s :: (real * real)\ set)\ (f :: (real * real) \Rightarrow real)\ i$
shows $(\text{partial-vector-derivative } f\ i)\ \text{integrable-on}\ s$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $typeII\text{-twoCube} :: ((real * real) \Rightarrow (real * real)) \Rightarrow bool$ **where**
 $typeII\text{-twoCube}\ twoC$
 $\equiv \exists a\ b\ g1\ g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a..b\}. g2\ x \leq g1\ x) \wedge$
 $twoC = (\lambda(y, x). ((1 - y) * (g2\ ((1-x)*a + x*b)) + y * (g1$
 $((1-x)*a + x*b)),$
 $(1-x)*a + x*b)) \wedge$

$$g1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge \\ g2 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\}$$

abbreviation *unit-cube* **where** $\text{unit-cube} \equiv \text{cbox } (0,0) (1::\text{real},1::\text{real})$

definition *cubeImage*:: $\text{two-cube} \Rightarrow ((\text{real}*\text{real}) \text{ set})$ **where**
 $\text{cubeImage twoC} \equiv (\text{twoC} \text{ ' unit-cube})$

lemma *typeII-twoCubeImg*:

assumes *typeII-twoCube* twoC

shows $\exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$

$$\text{cubeImage twoC} = \{(y,x). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 x .. g1 x\}\}$$

$$\wedge \text{twoC} = (\lambda(y, x). ((1 - y) * g2 ((1 - x) * a + x * b) + y *$$

$$g1 ((1 - x) * a + x * b), (1 - x) * a + x * b))$$

$$\wedge g1 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge g2 \text{ piecewise-}C1\text{-differentiable-on}$$

$\{a .. b\}$

<proof>

definition *horizontal-boundary* :: $\text{two-cube} \Rightarrow \text{one-chain}$ **where**

$$\text{horizontal-boundary twoC} \equiv \{(1, (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(x,0))), (-1, (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(x,1)))\}$$

definition *vertical-boundary* :: $\text{two-cube} \Rightarrow \text{one-chain}$ **where**

$$\text{vertical-boundary twoC} \equiv \{(-1, (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(0,y))), (1, (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(1,y)))\}$$

definition *boundary* :: $\text{two-cube} \Rightarrow \text{one-chain}$ **where**

$$\text{boundary twoC} \equiv \text{horizontal-boundary twoC} \cup \text{vertical-boundary twoC}$$

definition *valid-two-cube* **where**

$$\text{valid-two-cube twoC} \equiv \text{card } (\text{boundary twoC}) = 4$$

definition *two-chain-integral*:: $\text{two-chain} \Rightarrow ((\text{real}*\text{real}) \Rightarrow (\text{real})) \Rightarrow \text{real}$ **where**

$$\text{two-chain-integral twoChain } F \equiv \sum C \in \text{twoChain}. (\text{integral } (\text{cubeImage } C) F)$$

definition *valid-two-chain* **where**

$$\text{valid-two-chain twoChain} \equiv (\forall \text{twoCube} \in \text{twoChain}. \text{valid-two-cube twoCube}) \\ \wedge \text{pairwise } (\lambda c1 c2. ((\text{boundary } c1) \cap (\text{boundary } c2)) = \{\}) \text{twoChain} \wedge \text{inj-on} \\ \text{cubeImage twoChain}$$

definition *two-chain-boundary*:: $\text{two-chain} \Rightarrow \text{one-chain}$ **where**

$$\text{two-chain-boundary twoChain} == \bigcup (\text{boundary ' twoChain})$$

definition *gen-division* **where**

$$\text{gen-division } s S \equiv (\text{finite } S \wedge (\bigcup S = s) \wedge \text{pairwise } (\lambda X Y. \text{negligible } (X \cap Y)) \\ S)$$

definition *two-chain-horizontal-boundary*:: $\text{two-chain} \Rightarrow \text{one-chain}$ **where**

$$\text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary twoChain} \equiv \bigcup (\text{horizontal-boundary ' twoChain})$$

definition *two-chain-vertical-boundary*:: *two-chain* \Rightarrow *one-chain* **where**
two-chain-vertical-boundary *twoChain* $\equiv \bigcup$ (*vertical-boundary* ‘ *twoChain*)

definition *only-horizontal-division* **where**

only-horizontal-division *one-chain* *two-chain*

$\equiv \exists \mathcal{H} \mathcal{V}. \text{finite } \mathcal{H} \wedge \text{finite } \mathcal{V} \wedge$

$(\forall (k, \gamma) \in \mathcal{H}.$

$(\exists (k', \gamma') \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary } \text{two-chain}.$

$(\exists a \in \{0..1\}. \exists b \in \{0..1\}. a \leq b \wedge \text{subpath } a \ b \ \gamma' = \gamma))) \wedge$

$(\text{common-sudiv-exists } (\text{two-chain-vertical-boundary } \text{two-chain}) \ \mathcal{V}$

$\vee \text{common-reparam-exists } \mathcal{V} \ (\text{two-chain-vertical-boundary } \text{two-chain}))$

\wedge

boundary-chain $\mathcal{V} \wedge$

one-chain $= \mathcal{H} \cup \mathcal{V} \wedge (\forall (k, \gamma) \in \mathcal{V}. \text{valid-path } \gamma)$

lemma *sum-zero-set*:

assumes $\forall x \in s. f \ x = 0$ *finite* *s* *finite* *t*

shows $\text{sum } f \ (s \cup t) = \text{sum } f \ t$

<proof>

abbreviation *valid-typeII-division* *s* *twoChain* $\equiv ((\forall \text{twoCube} \in \text{twoChain}. \text{typeII-twoCube}$
twoCube) \wedge

$(\text{gen-division } s \ (\text{cubeImage } \text{'twoChain})) \wedge$

$(\text{valid-two-chain } \text{twoChain}))$

lemma *two-chain-vertical-boundary-is-boundary-chain*:

shows *boundary-chain* (*two-chain-vertical-boundary* *twoChain*)

<proof>

lemma *two-chain-horizontal-boundary-is-boundary-chain*:

shows *boundary-chain* (*two-chain-horizontal-boundary* *twoChain*)

<proof>

definition *typeI-twoCube* :: *two-cube* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

typeI-twoCube (*twoC*::*two-cube*)

$\equiv \exists a \ b \ g1 \ g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a..b\}. g2 \ x \leq g1 \ x) \wedge$

$\text{twoC} = (\lambda(x, y). ((1-x)*a + x*b,$

$(1 - y) * (g2 ((1-x)*a + x*b)) + y * (g1$

$((1-x)*a + x*b)))) \wedge$

$g1 \ \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a..b\} \wedge$

$g2 \ \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a..b\}$

lemma *typeI-twoCubeImg*:

assumes *typeI-twoCube* *twoC*

shows $\exists a \ b \ g1 \ g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 \ x \leq g1 \ x) \wedge$

$\text{cubeImage } \text{twoC} = \{(x, y). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 \ x .. g1 \ x\}\} \wedge$

$\text{twoC} = (\lambda(x, y). ((1 - x) * a + x * b, (1 - y) * g2 ((1 - x)$

$* a + x * b) + y * g1 ((1 - x) * a + x * b))) \wedge$

$g1 \ \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a .. b\} \wedge g2 \ \text{piecewise-C1-differentiable-on}$

$\{a .. b\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *typeI-cube-explicit-spec:*

assumes *typeI-twoCube twoC*

shows $\exists a b g1 g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a .. b\}. g2 x \leq g1 x) \wedge$

$cubeImage\ twoC = \{(x,y). x \in \{a..b\} \wedge y \in \{g2\ x .. g1\ x\}\}$

$\wedge twoC = (\lambda(x, y). ((1 - x) * a + x * b, (1 - y) * g2 ((1 - x) * a + x * b) + y * g1 ((1 - x) * a + x * b)))$

$\wedge g1\ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on\ \{a .. b\} \wedge g2\ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on$

$\{a .. b\}$

$\wedge (\lambda x. twoC(x, 0)) = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g2 (a + (b - a) * x)))$

$\wedge (\lambda y. twoC(1, y)) = (\lambda x. (b, g2 b + x *R (g1 b - g2 b)))$

$\wedge (\lambda x. twoC(x, 1)) = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g1 (a + (b - a) * x)))$

$\wedge (\lambda y. twoC(0, y)) = (\lambda x. (a, g2 a + x *R (g1 a - g2 a)))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *typeI-twoCube-smooth-edges:*

assumes *typeI-twoCube twoC*

$(k,\gamma) \in boundary\ twoC$

shows $\gamma\ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on\ \{0..1\}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *two-chain-integral-eq-integral-divisible:*

assumes *f-integrable: $\forall twoCube \in twoChain. F$ integrable-on $cubeImage\ twoCube$*

and

*gen-division: gen-division $s\ (cubeImage\ 'twoChain)$ **and***

valid-two-chain: valid-two-chain $twoChain$

shows *integral $s\ F = two-chain-integral\ twoChain\ F$*

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition *only-vertical-division where*

only-vertical-division one-chain two-chain \equiv

$\exists \mathcal{V}\ \mathcal{H}. finite\ \mathcal{H} \wedge finite\ \mathcal{V} \wedge$

$(\forall (k,\gamma) \in \mathcal{V}.$

$(\exists (k',\gamma') \in two-chain-vertical-boundary\ two-chain.$

$(\exists a \in \{0..1\}. \exists b \in \{0..1\}. a \leq b \wedge subpath\ a\ b\ \gamma' = \gamma))) \wedge$

$(common-sudiv-exists\ (two-chain-horizontal-boundary\ two-chain)\ \mathcal{H}$

$\vee common-reparam-exists\ \mathcal{H}\ (two-chain-horizontal-boundary\ two-chain))$

\wedge

$boundary-chain\ \mathcal{H} \wedge one-chain = \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{H} \wedge$

$(\forall (k,\gamma) \in \mathcal{H}. valid-path\ \gamma)$

abbreviation *valid-typeI-division $s\ twoChain$*

$\equiv (\forall twoCube \in twoChain. typeI-twoCube\ twoCube) \wedge$

$gen-division\ s\ (cubeImage\ 'twoChain) \wedge valid-two-chain\ twoChain$

lemma *field-cont-on-typeI-region-cont-on-edges:*

assumes *typeI-twoC*: *typeI-twoCube twoC*

and *field-cont*: *continuous-on (cubeImage twoC) F*

and *member-of-boundary*: $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$

shows *continuous-on* $(\gamma \text{ ' } \{0 \dots 1\}) F$

<proof>

lemma *typeII-cube-explicit-spec:*

assumes *typeII-twoCube twoC*

shows $\exists a \ b \ g1 \ g2. a < b \wedge (\forall x \in \{a \dots b\}. g2 \ x \leq g1 \ x) \wedge$

$\text{cubeImage twoC} = \{(y, x). x \in \{a \dots b\} \wedge y \in \{g2 \ x \dots g1 \ x\}\}$

$\wedge \text{twoC} = (\lambda(y, x). ((1 - y) * g2 \ ((1 - x) * a + x * b) + y * g1$
 $((1 - x) * a + x * b), (1 - x) * a + x * b))$

$\wedge g1 \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{a \dots b\} \wedge g2 \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on}$
 $\{a \dots b\}$

$\wedge (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(0, x)) = (\lambda x. (g2 \ (a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x))$

$\wedge (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(y, 1)) = (\lambda x. (g2 \ b + x *_R (g1 \ b - g2 \ b), b))$

$\wedge (\lambda x. \text{twoC}(1, x)) = (\lambda x. (g1 \ (a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x))$

$\wedge (\lambda y. \text{twoC}(y, 0)) = (\lambda x. (g2 \ a + x *_R (g1 \ a - g2 \ a), a))$

<proof>

lemma *typeII-twoCube-smooth-edges:*

assumes *typeII-twoCube twoC* $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$

shows $\gamma \text{ piecewise-C1-differentiable-on } \{0 \dots 1\}$

<proof>

lemma *field-cont-on-typeII-region-cont-on-edges:*

assumes *typeII-twoC*:

typeII-twoCube twoC **and**

field-cont:

continuous-on (cubeImage twoC) F **and**

member-of-boundary:

$(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$

shows *continuous-on* $(\gamma \text{ ' } \{0 \dots 1\}) F$

<proof>

lemma *two-cube-boundary-is-boundary: boundary-chain (boundary C)*

<proof>

lemma *common-boundary-subdiv-exists-refl:*

assumes $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}. \text{valid-path } \gamma$

shows *common-boundary-sudivision-exists (boundary twoC) (boundary twoC)*

<proof>

lemma *common-boundary-subdiv-exists-refl':*

assumes $\forall (k, \gamma) \in C. \text{valid-path } \gamma$

boundary-chain (C::(int \times (real \Rightarrow real \times real))) set)

shows *common-boundary-sudivision-exists (C) (C)*

<proof>

lemma *gen-common-boundary-subdiv-exists-refl-twochain-boundary:*

assumes $\forall (k,\gamma) \in C. \text{ valid-path } \gamma$
boundary-chain ($C::(\text{int} \times (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \times \text{real})) \text{ set}$)
shows *common-sudiv-exists* (C) (C)
<proof>

lemma *two-chain-boundary-is-boundary-chain:*

shows *boundary-chain* (*two-chain-boundary* *twoChain*)
<proof>

lemma *typeI-edges-are-valid-paths:*

assumes *typeI-twoCube* *twoC* $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary } \text{twoC}$
shows *valid-path* γ
<proof>

lemma *typeII-edges-are-valid-paths:*

assumes *typeII-twoCube* *twoC* $(k,\gamma) \in \text{boundary } \text{twoC}$
shows *valid-path* γ
<proof>

lemma *finite-two-chain-vertical-boundary:*

assumes *finite two-chain*
shows *finite* (*two-chain-vertical-boundary* *two-chain*)
<proof>

lemma *finite-two-chain-horizontal-boundary:*

assumes *finite two-chain*
shows *finite* (*two-chain-horizontal-boundary* *two-chain*)
<proof>

locale $R^2 =$

fixes $i\ j$
assumes *i-is-x-axis*: $i = (1::\text{real}, 0::\text{real})$ **and**
j-is-y-axis: $j = (0::\text{real}, 1::\text{real})$

begin

lemma *analytically-valid-y:*

assumes *analytically-valid* $s\ F\ i$
shows $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } F\ i) (y, x) * (\text{indicator } s (y, x)))) \in \text{borel-measurable } \text{lborel}$
<proof>

lemma *analytically-valid-x:*

assumes *analytically-valid* $s\ F\ j$
shows $(\lambda x. \text{integral UNIV } (\lambda y. ((\text{partial-vector-derivative } F\ j) (x, y)) * (\text{indicator } s (x, y)))) \in \text{borel-measurable } \text{lborel}$
<proof>

lemma *Greens-thm-type-I*:
fixes $F :: ((\text{real} * \text{real}) \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real}))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma1} \text{ gamma2} \text{ gamma3} \text{ gamma4} :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real}))$ **and**
 $a :: \text{real}$ **and** $b :: \text{real}$ **and**
 $g1 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$ **and** $g2 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$
assumes $Dy\text{-def}: Dy\text{-pair} = \{(x :: \text{real}, y) . x \in \text{cbox } a \ b \wedge y \in \text{cbox } (g2 \ x) \ (g1 \ x)\}$ **and**
 $\text{gamma1}\text{-def}: \text{gamma1} = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g2(a + (b - a) * x)))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma1}\text{-smooth}: \text{gamma1}$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$ **and**
 $\text{gamma2}\text{-def}: \text{gamma2} = (\lambda x. (b, g2(b) + x *_{\mathbb{R}} (g1(b) - g2(b))))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma3}\text{-def}: \text{gamma3} = (\lambda x. (a + (b - a) * x, g1(a + (b - a) * x)))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma3}\text{-smooth}: \text{gamma3}$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$ **and**
 $\text{gamma4}\text{-def}: \text{gamma4} = (\lambda x. (a, g2(a) + x *_{\mathbb{R}} (g1(a) - g2(a))))$ **and**
 $F\text{-i-analytically-valid}: \text{analytically-valid } Dy\text{-pair } (\lambda p. F(p) \cdot i) \ j$ **and**
 $g2\text{-leq-g1}: \forall x \in \text{cbox } a \ b. (g2 \ x) \leq (g1 \ x)$ **and**
 $a\text{-lt-}b: a < b$
shows $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma1}) +$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma2}) -$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma3}) -$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma4})$
 $= (\text{integral } Dy\text{-pair } (\lambda a. - (\text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda p. F(p) \cdot i) \ j$
 $a)))$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma4}$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma3}$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma2}$
 $\text{line-integral-exists } F \ \{i\} \ \text{gamma1}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *Greens-thm-type-II*:
fixes $F :: ((\text{real} * \text{real}) \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real}))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma4} \ \text{gamma3} \ \text{gamma2} \ \text{gamma1} :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real} * \text{real}))$ **and**
 $a :: \text{real}$ **and** $b :: \text{real}$ **and**
 $g1 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$ **and** $g2 :: (\text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real})$
assumes $Dx\text{-def}: Dx\text{-pair} = \{(x :: \text{real}, y) . y \in \text{cbox } a \ b \wedge x \in \text{cbox } (g2 \ y) \ (g1 \ y)\}$ **and**
 $\text{gamma4}\text{-def}: \text{gamma4} = (\lambda x. (g2(a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma4}\text{-smooth}: \text{gamma4}$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$ **and**
 $\text{gamma3}\text{-def}: \text{gamma3} = (\lambda x. (g2(b) + x *_{\mathbb{R}} (g1(b) - g2(b)), b))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma2}\text{-def}: \text{gamma2} = (\lambda x. (g1(a + (b - a) * x), a + (b - a) * x))$ **and**
 $\text{gamma2}\text{-smooth}: \text{gamma2}$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{0..1\}$ **and**
 $\text{gamma1}\text{-def}: \text{gamma1} = (\lambda x. (g2(a) + x *_{\mathbb{R}} (g1(a) - g2(a)), a))$ **and**
 $F\text{-j-analytically-valid}: \text{analytically-valid } Dx\text{-pair } (\lambda p. F(p) \cdot j) \ i$ **and**
 $g2\text{-leq-g1}: \forall x \in \text{cbox } a \ b. (g2 \ x) \leq (g1 \ x)$ **and**
 $a\text{-lt-}b: a < b$
shows $-(\text{line-integral } F \ \{j\} \ \text{gamma4}) -$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{j\} \ \text{gamma3}) +$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{j\} \ \text{gamma2}) +$
 $(\text{line-integral } F \ \{j\} \ \text{gamma1})$

$= (\text{integral } Dx\text{-pair } (\lambda a. (\text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda a. (F a) \cdot j) i$
 $a)))$
line-integral-exists $F \{j\}$ *gamma4*
line-integral-exists $F \{j\}$ *gamma3*
line-integral-exists $F \{j\}$ *gamma2*
line-integral-exists $F \{j\}$ *gamma1*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *green-typeII-cube* = $R^2 +$
fixes $twoC F$
assumes
two-cube: *typeII-twoCube* $twoC$ **and**
valid-two-cube: *valid-two-cube* $twoC$ **and**
f-analytically-valid: *analytically-valid* (*cubeImage* $twoC$) $(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i$
begin

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-twoCube*:
shows $\text{integral } (\text{cubeImage } twoC) (\lambda a. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i$
 $a) = \text{one-chain-line-integral } F \{j\} (\text{boundary } twoC)$
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } twoC. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *line-integral-exists-on-typeII-Cube-boundaries'*:
assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } twoC$
shows *line-integral-exists* $F \{j\} \gamma$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *green-typeII-chain* = $R^2 +$
fixes $F \text{ two-chain } s$
assumes *valid-typeII-div*: *valid-typeII-division* $s \text{ two-chain}$ **and**
F-anal-valid: $\forall twoC \in \text{two-chain}. \text{analytically-valid } (\text{cubeImage } twoC)$
 $(\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i$
begin

lemma *two-chain-valid-valid-cubes*: $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{valid-two-cube } \text{two-cube}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *typeII-chain-line-integral-exists-boundary'*:
shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary } \text{two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\}$
 γ
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *typeII-chain-line-integral-exists-boundary''*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary } \text{two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *typeII-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary*:

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain. line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma$
<proof>

lemma *type-II-chain-horiz-bound-valid*:

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain. valid-path } \gamma$
<proof>

lemma *type-II-chain-vert-bound-valid*:

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain. valid-path } \gamma$
<proof>

lemma *members-of-only-horiz-div-line-integrable'*:

assumes *only-horizontal-division one-chain two-chain*

$(k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}$

$(k::\text{int}, \gamma) \in \text{one-chain}$

finite two-chain

$\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain. valid-two-cube two-cube}$

shows *line-integral-exists } F \{j\} \gamma*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-twoChain*:

shows *two-chain-integral two-chain (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda a. (F a) \cdot j$) i)*
= one-chain-line-integral } F \{j\} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-divisible*:

assumes

gen-division: gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain)

shows *integral s (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j$) i) = one-chain-line-integral } F \{j\} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-divisible-region-boundary-gen*:

assumes *only-horizontal-division: only-horizontal-division γ two-chain*

shows *integral s (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j$) i) = one-chain-line-integral } F \{j\} \gamma*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeII-divisible-region-boundary*:

assumes

two-cubes-trace-vertical-boundaries:

two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain $\subseteq \gamma$ and

boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundary:

$\gamma \subseteq \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain}$

shows *integral s (partial-vector-derivative ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j$) i) = one-chain-line-integral } F \{j\} \gamma*

<proof>

end

locale *green-typeI-cube* = $R2$ +
 fixes *twoC F*
 assumes
 two-cube: *typeI-twoCube twoC* **and**
 valid-two-cube: *valid-two-cube twoC* **and**
 f-analytically-valid: *analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i$) j*
begin

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-twoCube*:
 shows *integral (cubeImage twoC) ($\lambda a. - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda p. F p \cdot i)$ j a) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} (boundary twoC)*
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *line-integral-exists-on-typeI-Cube-boundaries'*:
 assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary twoC}$
 shows *line-integral-exists F {i} γ*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

end

locale *green-typeI-chain* = $R2$ +
 fixes *F two-chain s*
 assumes *valid-typeI-div: valid-typeI-division s two-chain* **and**
 F-anal-valid: $\forall \text{twoC} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC)}$ ($\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i$) j
begin

lemma *two-chain-valid-valid-cubes*: $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{valid-two-cube two-cube}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *typeI-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary'*:
 assumes $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{typeI-twoCube two-cube}$
 assumes $\forall \text{twoC} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC) } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j$
 assumes $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain}. \text{valid-two-cube two-cube}$
 shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *typeI-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary''*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *typeI-cube-line-integral-exists-boundary*:
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain}. \text{line-integral-exists } F \{i\} \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *type-I-chain-horiz-bound-valid:*

$\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain. valid-path } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *type-I-chain-vert-bound-valid:*

assumes $\forall \text{two-cube} \in \text{two-chain. typeI-twoCube two-cube}$

shows $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain. valid-path } \gamma$

<proof>

lemma *members-of-only-vertical-div-line-integrable':*

assumes *only-vertical-division one-chain two-chain*

(k::int, γ) ∈ one-chain

(k::int, γ) ∈ one-chain

finite two-chain

shows *line-integral-exists F {i} γ*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-two-chain:*

two-chain-integral two-chain ($\lambda a. - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j a$)
= one-chain-line-integral F {i} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-divisible:*

assumes *gen-division: gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain)*

shows *integral s ($\lambda x. - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda a. F(a) \cdot i) j x$) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} (two-chain-boundary two-chain)*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-divisible-region-boundary:*

assumes

gen-division: gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain) and

two-cubes-trace-horizontal-boundaries:

two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain $\subseteq \gamma$ and

boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundary:

$\gamma \subseteq \text{two-chain-boundary two-chain}$

shows *integral s ($\lambda x. - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda a. F(a) \cdot i) j x$) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} γ*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-divisible-region-boundary-gen:*

assumes *valid-typeI-div: valid-typeI-division s two-chain and*

f-analytically-valid: $\forall \text{twoC} \in \text{two-chain. analytically-valid (cubeImage twoC)}$

($\lambda a. F(a) \cdot i$) j and

only-vertical-division:

only-vertical-division γ two-chain

shows *integral s ($\lambda x. - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda a. F(a) \cdot i) j x$) = one-chain-line-integral F {i} γ*

<proof>

end

locale *green-typeI-typeII-chain* = *R2: R2 i j* + *T1: green-typeI-chain i j F two-chain-typeI*
+ *T2: green-typeII-chain i j F two-chain-typeII* **for** *i j F two-chain-typeI two-chain-typeII*
begin

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region-boundary:*

assumes

gen-divisions: gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain-typeI)

gen-division s (cubeImage ' two-chain-typeII) **and**

typeI-two-cubes-trace-horizontal-boundaries:

two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain-typeI $\subseteq \gamma$ **and**

typeII-two-cubes-trace-vertical-boundaries:

two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain-typeII $\subseteq \gamma$ **and**

boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundaries:

$\gamma \subseteq$ *two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeI*

$\gamma \subseteq$ *two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeII*

shows *integral s* ($\lambda x.$ *partial-vector-derivative* ($\lambda a.$ *F a · j*) *i x* – *partial-vector-derivative*
($\lambda a.$ *F a · i*) *j x*)

= *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} γ*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region':*

assumes

only-vertical-division:

only-vertical-division one-chain-typeI two-chain-typeI

boundary-chain one-chain-typeI **and**

only-horizontal-division:

only-horizontal-division one-chain-typeII two-chain-typeII

boundary-chain one-chain-typeII **and**

typeI-and-typeII-one-chains-have-gen-common-subdiv:

common-sudiv-exists one-chain-typeI one-chain-typeII

shows *integral s* ($\lambda x.$ *partial-vector-derivative* ($\lambda x.$ (*F x*) · *j*) *i x* – *partial-vector-derivative*
($\lambda x.$ (*F x*) · *i*) *j x*) = *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeI*

integral s ($\lambda x.$ *partial-vector-derivative* ($\lambda x.$ (*F x*) · *j*) *i x* – *partial-vector-derivative*
($\lambda x.$ (*F x*) · *i*) *j x*) = *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeII*

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region:*

assumes *only-vertical-division:*

only-vertical-division one-chain-typeI two-chain-typeI

boundary-chain one-chain-typeI **and**

only-horizontal-division:

only-horizontal-division one-chain-typeII two-chain-typeII

boundary-chain one-chain-typeII **and**

typeI-and-typeII-one-chains-have-common-subdiv:

common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain-typeI one-chain-typeII

shows *integral s* ($\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$) = *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeI*
integral s ($\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$) = *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeII*
 {proof}

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region-finite-holes:*

assumes *valid-cube-boundary:* $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } C. \text{valid-path } \gamma$ **and**

only-vertical-division:

only-vertical-division (*boundary C*) *two-chain-typeI* **and**

only-horizontal-division:

only-horizontal-division (*boundary C*) *two-chain-typeII* **and**

s-is-oneCube: $s = \text{cubeImage } C$

shows *integral* (*cubeImage C*) ($\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F x \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F x \cdot i) j x$) =

one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} (boundary C)

{proof}

lemma *GreenThm-typeI-typeII-divisible-region-equivalent-boundary:*

assumes

gen-divisions: *gen-division s* (*cubeImage ' two-chain-typeI*)

gen-division s (*cubeImage ' two-chain-typeII*) **and**

typeI-two-cubes-trace-horizontal-boundaries:

two-chain-horizontal-boundary two-chain-typeI \subseteq *one-chain-typeI* **and**

typeII-two-cubes-trace-vertical-boundaries:

two-chain-vertical-boundary two-chain-typeII \subseteq *one-chain-typeII* **and**

boundary-of-region-is-subset-of-partition-boundaries:

one-chain-typeI \subseteq *two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeI*

one-chain-typeII \subseteq *two-chain-boundary two-chain-typeII* **and**

typeI-and-typeII-one-chains-have-common-subdiv:

common-boundary-sudivision-exists one-chain-typeI one-chain-typeII

shows *integral s* ($\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$) = *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeI*

integral s ($\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. (F x) \cdot i) j x$) = *one-chain-line-integral F {i, j} one-chain-typeII*

{proof}

end

end

theory *SymmetricR2Shapes*

imports *Green*

begin

context *R2*

begin

lemma *valid-path-valid-swap:*

assumes *valid-path* ($\lambda x::\text{real}. ((f x)::\text{real}, (g x)::\text{real}))$)

shows *valid-path* ($\text{prod.swap } o (\lambda x. (f x, g x))$)

<proof>

lemma *pair-fun-components*: $C = (\lambda x. (C\ x \cdot i, C\ x \cdot j))$
<proof>

lemma *swap-pair-fun*: $(\lambda y. \text{prod.swap } (C\ (y, 0))) = (\lambda x. (C\ (x, 0) \cdot j, C\ (x, 0) \cdot i))$
<proof>

lemma *swap-pair-fun'*: $(\lambda y. \text{prod.swap } (C\ (y, 1))) = (\lambda x. (C\ (x, 1) \cdot j, C\ (x, 1) \cdot i))$
<proof>

lemma *swap-pair-fun''*: $(\lambda y. \text{prod.swap } (C\ (0, y))) = (\lambda x. (C\ (0, x) \cdot j, C\ (0, x) \cdot i))$
<proof>

lemma *swap-pair-fun'''*: $(\lambda y. \text{prod.swap } (C\ (1, y))) = (\lambda x. (C\ (1, x) \cdot j, C\ (1, x) \cdot i))$
<proof>

lemma *swap-valid-boundaries*:
assumes $\forall (k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } C. \text{valid-path } \gamma$
assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } (\text{prod.swap } o\ C\ o\ \text{prod.swap})$
shows *valid-path* γ
<proof>

lemma *prod-comp-eq*:
assumes $f = \text{prod.swap } o\ g$
shows $\text{prod.swap } o\ f = g$
<proof>

lemma *swap-typeI-is-typeII*:
assumes *typeI-twoCube* C
shows *typeII-twoCube* $(\text{prod.swap } o\ C\ o\ \text{prod.swap})$
<proof>

lemma *valid-cube-valid-swap*:
assumes *valid-two-cube* C
shows *valid-two-cube* $(\text{prod.swap } o\ C\ o\ \text{prod.swap})$
<proof>

lemma *twoChainVertDiv-of-itself*:
assumes *finite* C
 $\forall (k, \gamma) \in (\text{two-chain-boundary } C). \text{valid-path } \gamma$
shows *only-vertical-division* $(\text{two-chain-boundary } C)\ C$
<proof>

end

definition *x-coord* **where** $x\text{-coord} \equiv (\lambda t::\text{real}. t - 1/2)$

lemma *x-coord-smooth*: *x-coord* *C1-differentiable-on* $\{a..b\}$
<proof>

lemma *x-coord-bounds*:

assumes $0::\text{real} \leq x \wedge x \leq 1$

shows $-1/2 \leq x\text{-coord } x \wedge x\text{-coord } x \leq 1/2$

<proof>

lemma *x-coord-img*: $x\text{-coord } \{0..1\} = \{-1/2 .. 1/2\}$
<proof>

lemma *x-coord-back-img*: $\text{finite } (\{0..1\} \cap x\text{-coord } \{x::\text{real}\})$
<proof>

abbreviation *rot-x* $t1\ t2 \equiv (\text{if } (t1 - 1/2) \leq 0 \text{ then } (2 * t2 - 1) * t1 + 1/2$
 $::\text{real} \text{ else } 2 * t2 - 2 * t1 * t2 + t1 - 1/2::\text{real})$

lemma *rot-x-ivl*:

assumes $0 \leq x$

$x \leq 1$

$0 \leq y$

$y \leq 1$

shows $0 \leq \text{rot-x } x\ y \wedge \text{rot-x } x\ y \leq 1$

<proof>

end

1 The Circle Example

theory *CircExample*

imports *Green SymmetricR2Shapes*

begin

locale *circle* = *R2* +

fixes $d::\text{real}$

assumes *d-gt-0*: $0 < d$

begin

definition *circle-y* **where**

$\text{circle-y } t = \text{sqrt } (1/4 - t * t)$

definition *circle-cube* **where**

$\text{circle-cube} = (\lambda(x,y). ((x - 1/2) * d, (2 * y - 1) * d * \text{sqrt } (1/4 - (x - 1/2)*(x$

$-1/2))))$

lemma *circle-cube-nice*:

shows $circle-cube = (\lambda(x,y). (d * x-coord\ x, (2 * y - 1) * d * circle-y\ (x-coord\ x)))$
<proof>

definition *rot-circle-cube* **where**

$rot-circle-cube = prod.swap \circ (circle-cube) \circ prod.swap$

abbreviation $rot-y\ t1\ t2 \equiv ((t1 - 1/2) / (2 * circle-y\ (x-coord\ (rot-x\ t1\ t2))) + 1/2 :: real)$

definition $x-coord-inv\ (x :: real) = (1/2) + x$

lemma *x-coord-inv-1*: $x-coord-inv\ (x-coord\ (x :: real)) = x$
<proof>

lemma *x-coord-inv-2*: $x-coord\ (x-coord-inv\ (x :: real)) = x$
<proof>

definition $circle-y-inv = circle-y$

abbreviation $rot-x''\ (x :: real)\ (y :: real) \equiv (x-coord-inv\ ((2 * y - 1) * circle-y\ (x-coord\ x)))$

lemma *circle-y-bounds*:

assumes $-1/2 \leq (x :: real) \wedge x \leq 1/2$
shows $0 \leq circle-y\ x \wedge circle-y\ x \leq 1/2$
<proof>

lemma *circle-y-x-coord-bounds*:

assumes $0 \leq (x :: real) \wedge x \leq 1$
shows $0 \leq circle-y\ (x-coord\ x) \wedge circle-y\ (x-coord\ x) \leq 1/2$
<proof>

lemma *rot-x-ivl*:

assumes $(0 :: real) \leq x \wedge x \leq 1 \wedge 0 \leq y \wedge y \leq 1$
shows $0 \leq rot-x''\ x\ y \wedge rot-x''\ x\ y \leq 1$
<proof>

abbreviation $rot-y''\ (x :: real)\ (y :: real) \equiv (x-coord\ x) / (2 * (circle-y\ (x-coord\ (rot-x''\ x\ y)))) + 1/2$

lemma *rot-y-ivl*:

assumes $(0 :: real) \leq x \wedge x \leq 1 \wedge 0 \leq y \wedge y \leq 1$
shows $0 \leq rot-y''\ x\ y \wedge rot-y''\ x\ y \leq 1$
<proof>

lemma *circle-eq-rot-circle*:

assumes $0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0 \leq y \leq 1$
shows $(\text{circle-cube } (x, y)) = (\text{rot-circle-cube } (\text{rot-y'' } x \ y, \text{rot-x'' } x \ y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rot-circle-eq-circle*:
assumes $0 \leq x \leq 1 \ 0 \leq y \leq 1$
shows $(\text{rot-circle-cube } (x, y)) = (\text{circle-cube } (\text{rot-x'' } y \ x, \text{rot-y'' } y \ x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rot-img-eq*:
assumes $0 < d$
shows $(\text{cubeImage } (\text{circle-cube })) = (\text{cubeImage } (\text{rot-circle-cube}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rot-circle-div-circle*:
assumes $0 < (d::\text{real})$
shows $\text{gen-division } (\text{cubeImage } \text{circle-cube}) (\text{cubeImage } \{ \text{rot-circle-cube} \})$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *circle-cube-boundary-valid*:
assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } \text{circle-cube}$
shows *valid-path* γ
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rot-circle-cube-boundary-valid*:
assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } \text{rot-circle-cube}$
shows *valid-path* γ
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *diff-divide-cancel*:
fixes $z::\text{real}$ **shows** $z \neq 0 \implies (a * z - a * (b * z)) / z = (a - a * b)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *circle-cube-is-type-I*:
assumes $0 < d$
shows *typeI-twoCube* *circle-cube*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *rot-circle-cube-is-type-II*:
shows *typeII-twoCube* *rot-circle-cube*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *circle-bot-edge* **where**
 $\text{circle-bot-edge} = (1::\text{int}, \lambda t. (x\text{-coord } t * d, - d * \text{circle-y } (x\text{-coord } t)))$

definition *circle-top-edge* **where**
 $\text{circle-top-edge} = (- 1::\text{int}, \lambda t. (x\text{-coord } t * d, d * \text{circle-y } (x\text{-coord } t)))$

definition *circle-right-edge* **where**

$circle\text{-}right\text{-}edge = (1::int, \lambda y. (d/2, 0))$

definition $circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge$ **where**

$circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge = (- 1::int, \lambda y. (- (d/2), 0))$

lemma $circle\text{-}cube\text{-}boundary\text{-}explicit$:

$boundary\ circle\text{-}cube = \{circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge, circle\text{-}right\text{-}edge, circle\text{-}bot\text{-}edge, circle\text{-}top\text{-}edge\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}right\text{-}edge$ **where**

$rot\text{-}circle\text{-}right\text{-}edge = (1::int, \lambda t. (d * circle\text{-}y (x\text{-}coord t), x\text{-}coord t * d))$

definition $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge$ **where**

$rot\text{-}circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge = (- 1::int, \lambda t. (- d * circle\text{-}y (x\text{-}coord t), x\text{-}coord t * d))$

definition $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}top\text{-}edge$ **where**

$rot\text{-}circle\text{-}top\text{-}edge = (- 1::int, \lambda y. (0, d/2))$

definition $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}bot\text{-}edge$ **where**

$rot\text{-}circle\text{-}bot\text{-}edge = (1::int, \lambda y. (0, - (d/2)))$

lemma $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}cube\text{-}boundary\text{-}explicit$:

$boundary (rot\text{-}circle\text{-}cube) =$
 $\{rot\text{-}circle\text{-}top\text{-}edge, rot\text{-}circle\text{-}bot\text{-}edge, rot\text{-}circle\text{-}right\text{-}edge, rot\text{-}circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}cube\text{-}vertical\text{-}boundary\text{-}explicit$:

$vertical\text{-}boundary\ rot\text{-}circle\text{-}cube = \{rot\text{-}circle\text{-}right\text{-}edge, rot\text{-}circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge\}$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $circ\text{-}left\text{-}edge\text{-}neq\text{-}top$:

$(- 1::int, \lambda y::real. (- (d/2), 0)) \neq (- 1, \lambda x. ((x - 1/2) * d, d * sqrt (1/4 - (x - 1/2) * (x - 1/2))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $circle\text{-}cube\text{-}valid\text{-}two\text{-}cube$: $valid\text{-}two\text{-}cube (circle\text{-}cube)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}cube\text{-}valid\text{-}two\text{-}cube$:

shows $valid\text{-}two\text{-}cube\ rot\text{-}circle\text{-}cube$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $circle\text{-}arc\text{-}0$ **where** $circle\text{-}arc\text{-}0 = (1, \lambda t::real. (0,0))$

lemma $circle\text{-}top\text{-}bot\text{-}edges\text{-}neq'$ [simp]:

shows $circle\text{-}top\text{-}edge \neq circle\text{-}bot\text{-}edge$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}top\text{-}left\text{-}edges\text{-}neq$ [simp]: $rot\text{-}circle\text{-}top\text{-}edge \neq rot\text{-}circle\text{-}left\text{-}edge$

<proof>

lemma *rot-circle-bot-left-edges-neq* [simp]: *rot-circle-bot-edge* \neq *rot-circle-left-edge*
<proof>

lemma *rot-circle-top-right-edges-neq* [simp]: *rot-circle-top-edge* \neq *rot-circle-right-edge*
<proof>

lemma *rot-circle-bot-right-edges-neq* [simp]: *rot-circle-bot-edge* \neq *rot-circle-right-edge*
<proof>

lemma *rot-circle-right-top-edges-neq'* [simp]: *rot-circle-right-edge* \neq *rot-circle-left-edge*
<proof>

lemma *rot-circle-left-bot-edges-neq* [simp]: *rot-circle-left-edge* \neq *rot-circle-top-edge*
<proof>

lemma *circle-right-top-edges-neq* [simp]: *circle-right-edge* \neq *circle-top-edge*
<proof>

lemma *circle-left-bot-edges-neq* [simp]: *circle-left-edge* \neq *circle-bot-edge*
<proof>

lemma *circle-left-top-edges-neq* [simp]: *circle-left-edge* \neq *circle-top-edge*
<proof>

lemma *circle-right-bot-edges-neq* [simp]: *circle-right-edge* \neq *circle-bot-edge*
<proof>

definition *circle-polar* **where**

circle-polar $t = ((d/2) * \cos (2 * \pi * t), (d/2) * \sin (2 * \pi * t))$

lemma *circle-polar-smooth*: (*circle-polar*) *C1-differentiable-on* {0..1}
<proof>

abbreviation *custom-arccos* $\equiv (\lambda x. (if -1 \leq x \wedge x \leq 1 then \arccos x else (if x < -1 then -x + \pi else 1 - x)))$

lemma *cont-custom-arccos*:

assumes $S \subseteq \{-1..1\}$

shows *continuous-on* S *custom-arccos*

<proof>

lemma *custom-arccos-has-deriv*:

assumes $-1 < x < 1$

shows *DERIV* *custom-arccos* $x :> \text{inverse } (- \text{sqrt } (1 - x^2))$

<proof>

declare

custom-arccos-has-deriv[*THEN DERIV-chain2*, *derivative-intros*]
custom-arccos-has-deriv[*THEN DERIV-chain2*, *unfolded has-field-derivative-def*,
derivative-intros]

lemma *circle-boundary-reparams*:

shows *rot-circ-left-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split*:

reparam (rec-join [(rot-circle-left-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar)), (subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar))])
(is ?P1)

and *circ-top-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split*:

reparam (rec-join [(circle-top-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar)), (subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar))])
(is ?P2)

and *circ-bot-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split*:

reparam (rec-join [(circle-bot-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar)), (subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar))])
(is ?P3)

and *rot-circ-right-edge-reparam-polar-circ-split*:

reparam (rec-join [(rot-circle-right-edge)]) (rec-join [(subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar)), (subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar))])
(is ?P4)

<proof>

definition *circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle where*

circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle $\gamma \equiv$

if ($\gamma = (\text{circle-top-edge})$) *then*

$\{\text{subcube } 0 \text{ (1/4) (1, circle-polar), subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar)}\}$

else if ($\gamma = (\text{circle-bot-edge})$) *then*

$\{\text{subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar), subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar)}\}$

else $\{\}$

definition *rot-circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle where*

rot-circle-cube-boundary-to-polarcircle $\gamma \equiv$

if ($\gamma = (\text{rot-circle-left-edge})$) *then*

$\{\text{subcube (1/4) (1/2) (1, circle-polar), subcube (1/2) (3/4) (1, circle-polar)}\}$

else if ($\gamma = (\text{rot-circle-right-edge})$) *then*

$\{\text{subcube (3/4) 1 (1, circle-polar), subcube 0 (1/4) (1, circle-polar)}\}$

else $\{\}$

lemma *circle-arcs-neq*:

assumes $0 \leq k \leq 1 \ 0 \leq n \leq 1 \ n < k \ k + n < 1$

shows $\text{subcube } k \ m \ (1, \text{circle-polar}) \neq \text{subcube } n \ q \ (1, \text{circle-polar})$

<proof>

lemma *circle-arcs-neq-2*:

assumes $0 \leq k \leq 1 \ 0 \leq n \leq 1 \ n < k \ 0 < n$ **and** *kn12*: $1/2 < k + n$ **and**
 $k + n < 3/2$

shows $\text{subcube } k \ m \ (1, \text{circle-polar}) \neq \text{subcube } n \ q \ (1, \text{circle-polar})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *circle-cube-is-only-horizontal-div-of-rot*:

shows *only-horizontal-division* (*boundary* (*circle-cube*)) {*rot-circle-cube*}
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *GreenThm-circle*:

assumes $\forall \text{twoC} \in \{\text{circle-cube}\}. \text{analytically-valid } (\text{cubeImage } \text{twoC}) (\lambda x. F \ x \cdot i) \ j$
 $\forall \text{twoC} \in \{\text{rot-circle-cube}\}. \text{analytically-valid } (\text{cubeImage } \text{twoC}) (\lambda x. F \ x \cdot j) \ i$
shows $\text{integral } (\text{cubeImage } (\text{circle-cube})) (\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F \ x \cdot j) \ i \cdot j) \ i \ x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F \ x \cdot i) \ j \ x =$
 $\text{one-chain-line-integral } F \ \{i, j\} \ (\text{boundary } (\text{circle-cube}))$

⟨proof⟩

end

end

2 The Diamond Example

theory *DiamExample*

imports *Green SymmetricR2Shapes*

begin

lemma *abs-if'*:

fixes $a :: 'a :: \{\text{abs-if}, \text{ordered-ab-group-add}\}$
shows $|a| = (\text{if } a \leq 0 \text{ then } -a \text{ else } a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

locale *diamond* = *R2* +

fixes $d :: \text{real}$

assumes *d-gt-0*: $0 < d$

begin

definition *diamond-y-gen* :: *real* \Rightarrow *real* **where**

$\text{diamond-y-gen} \equiv \lambda t. \ 1/2 - |t|$

definition *diamond-cube-gen*:: (*real* * *real*) \Rightarrow (*real* * *real*) **where**

$\text{diamond-cube-gen} \equiv (\lambda(x,y). (d * x\text{-coord } x, (2 * y - 1) * (d * \text{diamond-y-gen } (x\text{-coord } x))))$

lemma *diamond-y-gen-valid*:

assumes $a \leq 0 \ 0 \leq b$

shows *diamond-y-gen* *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* {*a..b*}

⟨proof⟩

lemma *diamond-cube-gen-boundary-valid*:

assumes $(k, \gamma) \in \text{boundary } (\text{diamond-cube-gen})$

shows *valid-path* γ

<proof>

definition *diamond-x* **where**

diamond-x $\equiv \lambda t. (t - 1/2) * d$

definition *diamond-y* **where**

diamond-y $\equiv \lambda t. d/2 - |t|$

definition *diamond-cube* **where**

diamond-cube $= (\lambda(x,y). (diamond-x\ x, (2 * y - 1) * (diamond-y\ (diamond-x\ x))))$

definition *rot-diamond-cube* **where**

rot-diamond-cube $= prod.swap\ o\ (diamond-cube)\ o\ prod.swap$

lemma *diamond-eq-characterisations*:

shows *diamond-cube* $(x,y) = diamond-cube-gen\ (x,y)$

<proof>

lemma *diamond-eq-characterisations-fun*: *diamond-cube* $= diamond-cube-gen$

<proof>

lemma *diamond-y-valid*:

shows *diamond-y* *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{-d/2..d/2\}$ **(is ?P)**

$(\lambda x. diamond-y\ x)$ *piecewise-C1-differentiable-on* $\{-d/2..d/2\}$ **(is ?Q)**

<proof>

lemma *diamond-cube-boundary-valid*:

assumes $(k,\gamma) \in boundary\ (diamond-cube)$

shows *valid-path* γ

<proof>

lemma *diamond-cube-is-type-I*:

shows *typeI-twoCube* $(diamond-cube)$

<proof>

lemma *diamond-cube-valid-two-cube*:

shows *valid-two-cube* $(diamond-cube)$

<proof>

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-boundary-valid*:

assumes $(k,\gamma) \in boundary\ (rot-diamond-cube)$

shows *valid-path* γ

<proof>

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-is-type-II*:

shows *typeII-twoCube* $(rot-diamond-cube)$

<proof>

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-valid-two-cube: valid-two-cube (rot-diamond-cube)*
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *diamond-top-edges where*

$diamond-top-edges = (-1::int, \lambda x. (diamond-x\ x, diamond-y\ (diamond-x\ x)))$

definition *diamond-bot-edges where*

$diamond-bot-edges = (1::int, \lambda x. (diamond-x\ x, -\ diamond-y\ (diamond-x\ x)))$

lemma *diamond-cube-boundary-explicit:*

$boundary\ (diamond-cube) =$
 $\{diamond-top-edges,$
 $diamond-bot-edges,$
 $(-1::int, \lambda y. (diamond-x\ 0, (2 * y - 1) * diamond-y\ (diamond-x\ 0))),$
 $(1::int, \lambda y. (diamond-x\ 1, (2 * y - 1) * diamond-y\ (diamond-x\ 1)))\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *diamond-top-left-edge where*

$diamond-top-left-edge = (-1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x\ (1/2 * x), (diamond-x\ (1/2 * x)) + d/2)))$

definition *diamond-top-right-edge where*

$diamond-top-right-edge = (-1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x\ (1/2 * x + 1/2), -(diamond-x\ (1/2 * x + 1/2)) + d/2)))$

definition *diamond-bot-left-edge where*

$diamond-bot-left-edge = (1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x\ (1/2 * x), -(diamond-x\ (1/2 * x)) + d/2)))$

definition *diamond-bot-right-edge where*

$diamond-bot-right-edge = (1::int, (\lambda x. (diamond-x\ (1/2 * x + 1/2), -(-(diamond-x\ (1/2 * x + 1/2)) + d/2)))$

lemma *diamond-edges-are-valid:*

$valid-path\ (snd\ (diamond-top-left-edge))$
 $valid-path\ (snd\ (diamond-top-right-edge))$
 $valid-path\ (snd\ (diamond-bot-left-edge))$
 $valid-path\ (snd\ (diamond-bot-right-edge))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv where*

$diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv\ (gamma::(int \times (real \Rightarrow real \times real))) \equiv$
 if (gamma = diamond-top-edges) then
 $\{diamond-top-left-edge, diamond-top-right-edge\}$
 else if (gamma = diamond-bot-edges) then
 $\{diamond-bot-left-edge, diamond-bot-right-edge\}$
 else {}

lemma *rot-diam-edge-1:*

$(1::int, \lambda x::real. ((x::real) * (2 * diamond-y (diamond-x 0)) - 1 * diamond-y (diamond-x 0), diamond-x 0)) =$
 $(1, \lambda x. (x * (2 * diamond-y (diamond-x 0)) - (diamond-y (diamond-x 0)), diamond-x 0))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *diamond-left-edges* **where**

$diamond-left-edges = (- 1, \lambda y. (- diamond-y (diamond-x y), diamond-x y))$

definition *diamond-right-edges* **where**

$diamond-right-edges = (1, \lambda y. (diamond-y (diamond-x y), diamond-x y))$

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-boundary-explicit*:

$boundary (rot-diamond-cube) = \{(1::int, \lambda x::real. ((2 * x - 1) * diamond-y (diamond-x 0), diamond-x 0)),$
 $(- 1, \lambda x. ((2 * x - 1) * diamond-y (diamond-x 1), diamond-x 1)),$
 $diamond-left-edges, diamond-right-edges\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rot-diamond-cube-vertical-boundary-explicit*:

$vertical-boundary (rot-diamond-cube) = \{diamond-left-edges, diamond-right-edges\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *rot-diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv* **where**

$rot-diamond-cube-boundary-to-subdiv (gamma::(int \times (real \Rightarrow real \times real))) \equiv$
 $if (gamma = diamond-left-edges) then \{diamond-bot-left-edge , diamond-top-left-edge\}$
 $else if (gamma = diamond-right-edges) then \{diamond-bot-right-edge, diamond-top-right-edge\}$
 $else \{\}$

definition *diamond-boundaries-reparam-map* **where**

$diamond-boundaries-reparam-map \equiv id$

lemma *diamond-boundaries-reparam-map-bij*:

$bij (diamond-boundaries-reparam-map)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diamond-bot-edges-neq-diamond-top-edges*:

$diamond-bot-edges \neq diamond-top-edges$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diamond-top-left-edge-neq-diamond-top-right-edge*:

$diamond-top-left-edge \neq diamond-top-right-edge$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *neqs1*:

shows $(\lambda x. (diamond-x x, diamond-y (diamond-x x))) \neq (\lambda x. (diamond-x x, - diamond-y (diamond-x x)))$
and $(\lambda y. (- diamond-y (diamond-x y), diamond-x y)) \neq (\lambda y. (diamond-y (diamond-x$

$y), \text{diamond-}x\ y))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2) - d/2)) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), - \text{diamond-}x(x/2) - d/2))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), d/2 - \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2))) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2) + d/2))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), - \text{diamond-}x(x/2) - d/2)) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2) - d/2))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2), \text{diamond-}x(x/2) + d/2)) \neq (\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2), d/2 - \text{diamond-}x(x/2 + 1/2)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *neqs2*:

shows $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x\ x, \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ x))) \neq (\lambda x. ((2 * x - 1) * \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ 1), \text{diamond-}x\ 1))$
and $(\lambda x. (\text{diamond-}x\ x, - \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ x))) \neq (\lambda x. ((2 * x - 1) * \text{diamond-}y\ (\text{diamond-}x\ 0), \text{diamond-}x\ 0))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diamond-cube-is-only-horizontal-div-of-rot*:

shows *only-horizontal-division* (boundary (diamond-cube)) {rot-diamond-cube}
 ⟨proof⟩

abbreviation *rot-y t1 t2* $\equiv (t1 - 1/2) / (2 * \text{diamond-}y\text{-gen}\ (x\text{-coord}\ (\text{rot-}x\ t1\ t2))) + 1/2$

lemma *rot-y-ivl*:

assumes $0 \leq x\ x \leq 1\ 0 \leq y\ y \leq 1$
shows $0 \leq \text{rot-}y\ x\ y \wedge \text{rot-}y\ x\ y \leq 1$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diamond-gen-eq-rot-diamond*:

assumes $0 \leq x\ x \leq 1\ 0 \leq y\ y \leq 1$
shows $(\text{diamond-cube-gen}\ (x, y)) = (\text{rot-diamond-cube}\ (\text{rot-}y\ x\ y, \text{rot-}x\ x\ y))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rot-diamond-eq-diamond-gen*:

assumes $0 \leq x\ x \leq 1\ 0 \leq y\ y \leq 1$
shows $\text{rot-diamond-cube}\ (x, y) = \text{diamond-cube-gen}\ (\text{rot-}x\ y\ x, \text{rot-}y\ y\ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rot-img-eq*: $\text{cubeImage}\ (\text{diamond-cube-gen}) = \text{cubeImage}\ (\text{rot-diamond-cube})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *rot-diamond-gen-div-diamond-gen*:

shows *gen-division* (cubeImage (diamond-cube-gen)) (cubeImage ‘ {rot-diamond-cube})
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rot-diamond-gen-div-diamond*:

shows *gen-division* (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) (cubeImage ‘ {rot-diamond-cube})

<proof>

lemma *GreenThm-diamond:*

assumes *analytically-valid* (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) ($\lambda x. F x \cdot i$) j

analytically-valid (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) ($\lambda x. F x \cdot j$) i

shows *integral* (cubeImage (diamond-cube)) ($\lambda x. \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F$
 $x \cdot j) i x - \text{partial-vector-derivative } (\lambda x. F x \cdot i) j x$) =

one-chain-line-integral $F \{i, j\}$ (boundary (diamond-cube))

<proof>

end

end