

# The Floyd-Warshall Algorithm for Shortest Paths

Simon Wimmer and Peter Lammich

December 7, 2022

## Abstract

The Floyd-Warshall algorithm [Flo62, Roy59, War62] is a classic dynamic programming algorithm to compute the length of all shortest paths between any two vertices in a graph (i.e. to solve the all-pairs shortest path problem, or *APSP* for short). Given a representation of the graph as a matrix of weights  $M$ , it computes another matrix  $M'$  which represents a graph with the same path lengths and contains the length of the shortest path between any two vertices  $i$  and  $j$ . This is only possible if the graph does not contain any negative cycles. However, in this case the Floyd-Warshall algorithm will detect the situation by calculating a negative diagonal entry. This entry includes a formalization of the algorithm and of these key properties. The algorithm is refined to an efficient imperative version using the Imperative Refinement Framework.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Floyd-Warshall Algorithm for the All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Introduction . . . . .	2
1.2	Preliminaries . . . . .	3
1.3	Definition of the Algorithm . . . . .	6
1.4	Result Under The Absence of Negative Cycles . . . . .	9
1.5	Definition of Shortest Paths . . . . .	12
1.6	Intermezzo: Equivalent Characterizations of Cycle-Freeness . . . . .	15
1.7	Result Under the Presence of Negative Cycles . . . . .	17
1.8	More on Canonical Matrices . . . . .	18
1.9	Additional Theorems . . . . .	19
1.10	Refinement to Efficient Imperative Code . . . . .	23

```
theory Floyd-Warshall
  imports Main
begin
```

# 1 Floyd-Warshall Algorithm for the All-Pairs Shortest Paths Problem

## 1.1 Introduction

The Floyd-Warshall algorithm [Flo62, Roy59, War62] is a classic dynamic programming algorithm to compute the length of all shortest paths between any two vertices in a graph (i.e. to solve the all-pairs shortest path problem, or *APSP* for short). Given a representation of the graph as a matrix of weights  $M$ , it computes another matrix  $M'$  which represents a graph with the same path lengths and contains the length of the shortest path between any two vertices  $i$  and  $j$ . This is only possible if the graph does not contain any negative cycles (then the length of the shortest path is  $-\infty$ ). However, in this case the Floyd-Warshall algorithm will detect the situation by calculating a negative diagonal entry corresponding to the negative cycle. In the following, we present a formalization of the algorithm and of the aforementioned key properties.

Abstractly, the algorithm corresponds to the following imperative pseudo-code:

```
for k = 1 .. n do
  for i = 1 .. n do
    for j = 1 .. n do
      m[i, j] := min(m[i, j], m[i, k] + m[k, j])
```

However, we will carry out the whole formalization on a recursive version of the algorithm, and refine it to an efficient imperative version corresponding to the above pseudo-code in the end. The main observation underlying the algorithm is that the shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  which only uses intermediate vertices from the set  $\{0 \dots k+1\}$ , is: either the shortest path from  $i$  to  $j$  using intermediate vertices from the set  $\{0 \dots k\}$ ; or a combination of the shortest path from  $i$  to  $k$  and the shortest path from  $k$  to  $j$ , each of them only using intermediate vertices from  $\{0 \dots k\}$ . Our presentation will be slightly more general than the typical textbook version, in that we will factor out the inner two loops as a separate algorithm and show that it has similar properties as the full algorithm for a single intermediate vertex  $k$ .

## 1.2 Preliminaries

### 1.2.1 Cycles in Lists

**abbreviation**  $\text{cnt } x \text{ } xs \equiv \text{length } (\text{filter } (\lambda y. x = y) \text{ } xs)$

**fun**  $\text{remove-cycles} :: 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ list}$

**where**

$\text{remove-cycles } [] - \text{acc} = \text{rev } \text{acc} \mid$

$\text{remove-cycles } (x\#xs) \text{ } y \text{ } \text{acc} =$

$(\text{if } x = y \text{ then } \text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } y \text{ } [x] \text{ else } \text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } y \text{ } (x\#\text{acc}))$

**lemma**  $\text{cnt-rev}: \text{cnt } x \text{ } (\text{rev } xs) = \text{cnt } x \text{ } xs$   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**value**  $as @ [x] @ bs @ [x] @ cs @ [x] @ ds$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-removes}: \text{cnt } x \text{ } (\text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys) \leq \max 1 (\text{cnt } x \text{ } ys)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-id}: x \notin \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow \text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys = \text{rev } ys @ xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-cnt-id}:$

$x \neq y \Longrightarrow \text{cnt } y \text{ } (\text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys) \leq \text{cnt } y \text{ } ys + \text{cnt } y \text{ } xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-ends-cycle}: \text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys \neq \text{rev } ys @ xs \Longrightarrow x \in \text{set } xs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-begins-with}: x \in \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow \exists zs. \text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys = x \# zs \wedge x \notin \text{set } zs$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-self}:$

$x \in \text{set } xs \Longrightarrow \text{remove-cycles } (\text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys) \text{ } x \text{ } zs = \text{remove-cycles } xs \text{ } x \text{ } ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{remove-cycles-one}: \text{remove-cycles } (as @ x \# xs) \text{ } x \text{ } ys = \text{remove-cycles } (x\#xs) \text{ } x \text{ } ys$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-cycles*:

$\exists xs\ as.\ as\ @\ concat\ (map\ (\lambda\ xs.\ x\ \#\ xs)\ xs)\ @\ remove-cycles\ xs\ x\ ys$   
 $=\ xs\ \wedge\ x\ \notin\ set\ as$   
**if**  $x \in set\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *start-remove* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list

**where**

*start-remove* [] - acc = rev acc |  
*start-remove* (x#xs) y acc =  
  (if x = y then rev acc @ remove-cycles xs y [y] else *start-remove* xs y (x  
  # acc))

**lemma** *start-remove-decomp*:

$x \in set\ xs \implies \exists\ as\ bs.\ xs = as\ @\ x\ \#\ bs \wedge\ start-remove\ xs\ x\ ys = rev\ ys$   
 $@\ as\ @\ remove-cycles\ bs\ x\ [x]$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-removes*: cnt x (start-remove xs x ys)  $\leq$  Suc (cnt x ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-id[simp]*:  $x \notin set\ xs \implies start-remove\ xs\ x\ ys = rev\ ys$   
 $@\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-cnt-id*:

$x \neq y \implies cnt\ y\ (start-remove\ xs\ x\ ys) \leq cnt\ y\ ys + cnt\ y\ xs$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**fun** *remove-all-cycles* :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'a list

**where**

*remove-all-cycles* [] xs = xs |  
*remove-all-cycles* (x # xs) ys = *remove-all-cycles* xs (start-remove ys x [])

**lemma** *cnt-remove-all-mono*: cnt y (remove-all-cycles xs ys)  $\leq$  max 1 (cnt  
y ys)  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-remove-all-cycles*:  $x \in set\ xs \implies cnt\ x\ (remove-all-cycles\ xs\ ys)$   
 $\leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-mono*:

$cnt\ a\ (b\ \# \ xs) \leq cnt\ a\ (b\ \# \ c\ \# \ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *cnt-distinct-intro*:  $\forall\ x \in\ set\ xs.\ cnt\ x\ xs \leq 1 \implies distinct\ xs$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-subs*:

$set\ (remove-cycles\ xs\ x\ ys) \subseteq set\ xs \cup set\ ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-subs*:

$set\ (start-remove\ xs\ x\ ys) \subseteq set\ xs \cup set\ ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-cycles-subs*:

$set\ (remove-all-cycles\ xs\ ys) \subseteq set\ ys$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-cycles-distinct*:  $set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs \implies distinct\ (remove-all-cycles\ xs\ ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *distinct-remove-cycles-inv*:  $distinct\ (xs\ @\ ys) \implies distinct\ (remove-cycles\ xs\ x\ ys)$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$remove-all\ x\ xs = (if\ x \in\ set\ xs\ then\ tl\ (remove-cycles\ xs\ x\ [])\ else\ xs)$

**definition**

$remove-all-rev\ x\ xs = (if\ x \in\ set\ xs\ then\ rev\ (tl\ (remove-cycles\ (rev\ xs)\ x\ []))\ else\ xs)$

**lemma** *remove-all-distinct*:

$distinct\ xs \implies distinct\ (x\ \# \ remove-all\ x\ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-removes*:

$x \notin set\ (remove-all\ x\ xs)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-subs*:

$set\ (remove-all\ x\ xs) \subseteq set\ xs$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *remove-all-rev-distinct: distinct xs  $\implies$  distinct (x # remove-all-rev x xs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *remove-all-rev-removes: x  $\notin$  set (remove-all-rev x xs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *remove-all-rev-subst: set (remove-all-rev x xs)  $\subseteq$  set xs*

*<proof>*

**abbreviation** *rem-cycles i j xs  $\equiv$  remove-all i (remove-all-rev j (remove-all-cycles xs xs))*

**lemma** *rem-cycles-distinct': i  $\neq$  j  $\implies$  distinct (i # j # rem-cycles i j xs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *rem-cycles-removes-last: j  $\notin$  set (rem-cycles i j xs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *rem-cycles-distinct: distinct (rem-cycles i j xs)*

*<proof>*

**lemma** *rem-cycles-subst: set (rem-cycles i j xs)  $\subseteq$  set xs*

*<proof>*

### 1.3 Definition of the Algorithm

#### 1.3.1 Definitions

In our formalization of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm, edge weights are from a linearly ordered abelian monoid.

**class** *linordered-ab-monoid-add = linorder + ordered-comm-monoid-add*  
**begin**

**subclass** *linordered-ab-semigroup-add <proof>*

**end**

**subclass** (**in** *linordered-ab-group-add*) *linordered-ab-monoid-add <proof>*

**context** *linordered-ab-monoid-add*

**begin**

**type-synonym**  $'c \text{ mat} = \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c$

**definition**  $\text{upd} :: 'c \text{ mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow 'c \text{ mat}$

**where**

$$\text{upd } m \ x \ y \ v = m \ (x := (m \ x)) \ (y := v)$$

**definition**  $\text{fw-upd} :: 'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat}$  **where**

$$\text{fw-upd } m \ k \ i \ j \equiv \text{upd } m \ i \ j \ (\min (m \ i \ j) (m \ i \ k + m \ k \ j))$$

Recursive version of the two inner loops.

**fun**  $\text{fwi} :: 'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat}$  **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fwi } m \ n \ k \ 0 \quad 0 &= \text{fw-upd } m \ k \ 0 \ 0 \ | \\ \text{fwi } m \ n \ k \ (\text{Suc } i) \ 0 &= \text{fw-upd } (\text{fwi } m \ n \ k \ i \ n) \ k \ (\text{Suc } i) \ 0 \ | \\ \text{fwi } m \ n \ k \ i \quad (\text{Suc } j) &= \text{fw-upd } (\text{fwi } m \ n \ k \ i \ j) \ k \ i \ (\text{Suc } j) \end{aligned}$$

Recursive version of the full algorithm.

**fun**  $\text{fw} :: 'a \text{ mat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ mat}$  **where**

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fw } m \ n \ 0 &= \text{fwi } m \ n \ 0 \ n \ n \ | \\ \text{fw } m \ n \ (\text{Suc } k) &= \text{fwi } (\text{fw } m \ n \ k) \ n \ (\text{Suc } k) \ n \ n \end{aligned}$$

### 1.3.2 Elementary Properties

**lemma**  $\text{fw-upd-mono}$ :

$$\text{fw-upd } m \ k \ i \ j \ i' \ j' \leq m \ i' \ j'$$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{fw-upd-out-of-bounds1}$ :

**assumes**  $i' > i$   
**shows**  $(\text{fw-upd } M \ k \ i \ j) \ i' \ j' = M \ i' \ j'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{fw-upd-out-of-bounds2}$ :

**assumes**  $j' > j$   
**shows**  $(\text{fw-upd } M \ k \ i \ j) \ i' \ j' = M \ i' \ j'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{fwi-out-of-bounds1}$ :

**assumes**  $i' > n \ i \leq n$   
**shows**  $(\text{fwi } M \ n \ k \ i \ j) \ i' \ j' = M \ i' \ j'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma**  $\text{fw-out-of-bounds1}$ :

**assumes**  $i' > n$   
**shows**  $(fw\ M\ n\ k)\ i'\ j' = M\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fwi-out-of-bounds2*:  
**assumes**  $j' > n\ j \leq n$   
**shows**  $(fwi\ M\ n\ k\ i\ j)\ i'\ j' = M\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-out-of-bounds2*:  
**assumes**  $j' > n$   
**shows**  $(fw\ M\ n\ k)\ i'\ j' = M\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fwi-invariant-aux-1*:  
 $j'' \leq j \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i'\ j' \leq fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j''\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fwi-invariant*:  
 $j \leq n \implies i'' \leq i \implies j'' \leq j$   
 $\implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i'\ j' \leq fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i''\ j''\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *single-row-inv*:  
 $j' < j \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j\ i'\ j' = fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j'\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *single-iteration-inv'*:  
 $i' < i \implies j' \leq n \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i'\ j' = fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j'\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *single-iteration-inv*:  
 $i' \leq i \implies j' \leq j \implies j \leq n \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i'\ j' = fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j'\ i'\ j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fwi-innermost-id*:  
 $i' < i \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j'\ i\ j = m\ i\ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fwi-middle-id*:  
 $j' < j \implies i' \leq i \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j'\ i\ j = m\ i\ j$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fwi-outermost-mono*:



$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i\ j \leq m\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fwi-mono*:

$fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i'\ j'\ i\ j \leq m\ i\ j$  **if**  $i \leq n\ j \leq n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *Suc-innermost-mono*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw\ m\ n\ (Suc\ k)\ i\ j \leq fw\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fw-mono*:

$i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies fw\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j \leq m\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

Justifies the use of destructive updates in the case that there is no negative cycle for  $k$ .

**lemma** *fwi-step*:

$m\ k\ k \geq 0 \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n \implies fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i\ j = \min$   
 $(m\ i\ j)\ (m\ i\ k + m\ k\ j)$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 1.4 Result Under The Absence of Negative Cycles

If the given input graph does not contain any negative cycles, the Floyd-Warshall algorithm computes the **unique** shortest paths matrix corresponding to the graph. It contains the shortest path between any two nodes  $i, j \leq n$ .

### 1.4.1 Length of Paths

**fun** *len* :: 'a mat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat list ⇒ 'a **where**

$len\ m\ u\ v\ [] = m\ u\ v$  |

$len\ m\ u\ v\ (w\#\ ws) = m\ u\ w + len\ m\ w\ v\ ws$

**lemma** *len-decomp*:  $xs = ys\ @\ y\ \#\ zs \implies len\ m\ x\ z\ xs = len\ m\ x\ y\ ys +$   
 $len\ m\ y\ z\ zs$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *len-comp*:  $len\ m\ a\ c\ (xs\ @\ b\ \#\ ys) = len\ m\ a\ b\ xs + len\ m\ b\ c\ ys$

⟨proof⟩

### 1.4.2 Canonicity

The unique shortest path matrices are in a so-called *canonical form*. We will say that a matrix  $m$  is in canonical form for a set of indices  $I$  if the following holds:

**definition** *canonical-subs* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ mat \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $canonical-subs \ n \ I \ m = (\forall \ i \ j \ k. \ i \leq n \wedge k \leq n \wedge j \in I \longrightarrow m \ i \ k \leq m \ i \ j + m \ j \ k)$

Similarly we express that  $m$  does not contain a negative cycle which only uses intermediate vertices from the set  $I$  as follows:

**abbreviation** *cyc-free-subs* ::  $nat \Rightarrow nat \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ mat \Rightarrow bool$  **where**  
 $cyc-free-subs \ n \ I \ m \equiv \forall \ i \ xs. \ i \leq n \wedge set \ xs \subseteq I \longrightarrow len \ m \ i \ i \ xs \geq 0$

To prove the main result under *the absence of negative cycles*, we will proceed as follows:

- we show that an invocation of  $fwi \ m \ n \ k \ n \ n$  extends canonicity to index  $k$ ,
- we show that an invocation of  $fw \ m \ n \ n$  computes a matrix in canonical form,
- and finally we show that canonical forms specify the lengths of *shortest paths*, provided that there are no negative cycles.

Canonical forms specify lower bounds for the length of any path.

**lemma** *canonical-subs-len*:

$M \ i \ j \leq len \ M \ i \ j \ xs$  **if**  $canonical-subs \ n \ I \ M \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ set \ xs \subseteq I \ I \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

This lemma justifies the use of destructive updates under the absence of negative cycles.

**lemma** *fwi-step'*:

$fwi \ m \ n \ k \ i' \ j' \ i \ j = min \ (m \ i \ j) \ (m \ i \ k + m \ k \ j)$  **if**  
 $m \ k \ k \geq 0 \ i' \leq n \ j' \leq n \ k \leq n \ i \leq i' \ j \leq j'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

An invocation of  $fwi$  extends canonical forms.

**lemma** *fwi-canonical-extend*:

$canonical-subs \ n \ (I \cup \{k\}) \ (fwi \ m \ n \ k \ n \ n)$  **if**  
 $canonical-subs \ n \ I \ m \ I \subseteq \{0..n\} \ 0 \leq m \ k \ k \ k \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

An invocation of *fwi* will not produce a negative diagonal entry if there is no negative cycle.

**lemma** *fwi-cyc-free-diag*:

*fwi m n k n n i i ≥ 0* **if**  
*cyc-free Subs n I m 0 ≤ m k k k ≤ n k ∈ I i ≤ n*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cyc-free Subs-diag*:

*m i i ≥ 0* **if** *cyc-free Subs n I m i ≤ n*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fwi-cyc-free Subs'*:

*cyc-free Subs n (I ∪ {k}) (fwi m n k n n)* **if**  
*cyc-free Subs n I m canonical Subs n I m I ⊆ {0..n} k ≤ n*  
 $\forall i \leq n. fwi m n k n n i i \geq 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fwi-cyc-free Subs*:

*cyc-free Subs n (I ∪ {k}) (fwi m n k n n)* **if**  
*cyc-free Subs n (I ∪ {k}) m canonical Subs n I m I ⊆ {0..n} k ≤ n*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *canonical Subs-empty [simp]*:

*canonical Subs n {} m*  
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fwi-neg-diag-neg-cycle*:

$\exists i \leq n. \exists xs. set xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge len m i i xs < 0$  **if** *fwi m n k n n i i < 0*  
 $i \leq n k \leq n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

*fwi* preserves the length of paths.

**lemma** *fwi-len*:

$\exists ys. set ys \subseteq set xs \cup \{k\} \wedge len (fwi m n k n n) i j xs = len m i j ys$   
**if**  $i \leq n j \leq n k \leq n m k k \geq 0 set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fwi-neg-cycle-neg-cycle*:

$\exists i \leq n. \exists ys. set ys \subseteq set xs \cup \{k\} \wedge len m i i ys < 0$  **if**  
 $len (fwi m n k n n) i i xs < 0 i \leq n k \leq n set xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

If the Floyd-Warshall algorithm produces a negative diagonal entry, then there is a negative cycle.

**lemma** *fw-neg-diag-neg-cycle*:

$\exists i \leq n. \exists ys. \text{set } ys \subseteq \text{set } xs \cup \{0..k\} \wedge \text{len } m \text{ } i \text{ } ys < 0$  **if**  
 $\text{len } (fw \ m \ n \ k) \ i \ i \ xs < 0 \ i \leq n \ k \leq n \ \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

Main theorem under the absence of negative cycles.

**theorem** *fw-correct*:

*canonical-subs*  $n \ \{0..k\} \ (fw \ m \ n \ k) \wedge \text{cyc-free-subs} \ n \ \{0..k\} \ (fw \ m \ n \ k)$   
**if** *cyc-free-subs*  $n \ \{0..k\} \ m \ k \leq n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemmas** *fw-canonical-subs* = *fw-correct*[*THEN* *conjunct1*]

**lemmas** *fw-cyc-free-subs* = *fw-correct*[*THEN* *conjunct2*]

**lemmas** *cyc-free-diag* = *cyc-free-subs-diag*

## 1.5 Definition of Shortest Paths

We define the notion of the length of the shortest *simple* path between two vertices, using only intermediate vertices from the set  $\{0..k\}$ .

**definition** *D* :: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a **where**

$D \ m \ i \ j \ k \equiv \text{Min } \{\text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$

**lemma** *distinct-length-le*: *finite*  $s \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq s \implies \text{distinct } xs \implies \text{length } xs \leq \text{card } s$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *finite-distinct*: *finite*  $s \implies \text{finite } \{xs . \text{set } xs \subseteq s \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$

⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *D-base-finite*:

*finite*  $\{\text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *D-base-finite'*:

*finite*  $\{\text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge \text{distinct } (i \# j \# xs)\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *D-base-finite''*:

*finite*  $\{\text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**definition** *cycle-free* :: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**

$cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \equiv \forall\ i\ xs.\ i \leq n \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \longrightarrow$   
 $(\forall\ j.\ j \leq n \longrightarrow len\ m\ i\ j\ (rem\text{-}cycles\ i\ j\ xs) \leq len\ m\ i\ j\ xs) \wedge len\ m\ i\ i\ xs \geq 0$

**lemma** *D-eqI*:

**fixes**  $m\ n\ i\ j\ k$   
**defines**  $A \equiv \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\}\}$   
**defines**  $A\text{-}distinct \equiv \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set\ xs \wedge j \notin set\ xs \wedge distinct\ xs\}$   
**assumes**  $cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n\ i \leq n\ j \leq n\ k \leq n\ (\bigwedge y.\ y \in A\text{-}distinct \implies x \leq y)\ x \in A$   
**shows**  $D\ m\ i\ j\ k = x$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *D-base-not-empty*:

$\{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set\ xs \wedge j \notin set\ xs \wedge distinct\ xs\}$   
 $\neq \{\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *Min-elem-dest*:  $finite\ A \implies A \neq \{\} \implies x = Min\ A \implies x \in A$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *D-dest*:  $x = D\ m\ i\ j\ k \implies$

$x \in \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..Suc\ k\} \wedge i \notin set\ xs \wedge j \notin set\ xs \wedge distinct\ xs\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *D-dest'*:  $x = D\ m\ i\ j\ k \implies x \in \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..Suc\ k\}\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *D-dest''*:  $x = D\ m\ i\ j\ k \implies x \in \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\}\}$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cycle-free-loop-dest*:  $i \leq n \implies set\ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \implies cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \implies len\ m\ i\ i\ xs \geq 0$

*<proof>*

**lemma** *cycle-free-dest*:

$cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies set\ xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 $\implies len\ m\ i\ j\ (rem\text{-}cycles\ i\ j\ xs) \leq len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$

*<proof>*

**definition** *cycle-free-up-to* ::  $'a\ mat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow bool$  **where**

$cycle\text{-}free\text{-}up\text{-}to\ m\ k\ n \equiv \forall\ i\ xs.\ i \leq n \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \longrightarrow$

$(\forall j. j \leq n \longrightarrow \text{len } m \ i \ j \ (\text{rem-cycles } i \ j \ xs) \leq \text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs) \wedge \text{len } m \ i \ i \ xs \geq 0$

**lemma** *cycle-free-up-to-loop-dest*:

$i \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \implies \text{cycle-free-up-to } m \ k \ n \implies \text{len } m \ i \ i \ xs \geq 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *cycle-free-up-to-diag*:

**assumes** *cycle-free-up-to*  $m \ k \ n \ i \leq n$   
**shows**  $m \ i \ i \geq 0$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *D-eqI2*:

**fixes**  $m \ n \ i \ j \ k$   
**defines**  $A \equiv \{\text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\}\}$   
**defines**  $A\text{-distinct} \equiv \{\text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs \mid xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin \text{set } xs \wedge j \notin \text{set } xs \wedge \text{distinct } xs\}$   
**assumes** *cycle-free-up-to*  $m \ k \ n \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ k \leq n$   
 $(\bigwedge y. y \in A\text{-distinct} \implies x \leq y) \ x \in A$   
**shows**  $D \ m \ i \ j \ k = x \ \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

### 1.5.1 Connecting the Algorithm to the Notion of Shortest Paths

Under the absence of negative cycles, the Floyd-Warshall algorithm correctly computes the length of the shortest path between any pair of vertices  $i, j$ .

**lemma** *canonical-D*:

**assumes**  
*cycle-free-up-to*  $m \ k \ n$  *canonical-subs*  $n \ \{0..k\} \ m \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ k \leq n$   
**shows**  $D \ m \ i \ j \ k = m \ i \ j$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**theorem** *fw-subs-len*:

$(fw \ m \ n \ k) \ i \ j \leq \text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs$  **if**  
*cyc-free-subs*  $n \ \{0..k\} \ m \ k \leq n \ i \leq n \ j \leq n \ \text{set } xs \subseteq I \ I \subseteq \{0..k\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

This shows that the value calculated by *fwi* for a pair  $i, j$  always corresponds to the length of an actual path between  $i$  and  $j$ .

**lemma** *fwi-len'*:

$\exists xs. \text{set } xs \subseteq \{k\} \wedge fwi \ m \ n \ k \ i' \ j' \ i \ j = \text{len } m \ i \ j \ xs$  **if**  
 $m \ k \ k \geq 0 \ i' \leq n \ j' \leq n \ k \leq n \ i \leq i' \ j \leq j'$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The same result for  $fw$ .

**lemma** *fw-len*:

$\exists xs. set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge fw\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j = len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$  **if**  
 $cyc\text{-}free\text{-}subs\ n\ \{0..k\}\ m\ i \leq n\ j \leq n\ k \leq n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 1.6 Intermezzo: Equivalent Characterizations of Cycle-Freeness

### 1.6.1 Shortening Negative Cycles

**lemma** *remove-cycles-neg-cycles-aux*:

**fixes**  $i\ xs\ ys$   
**defines**  $xs' \equiv i \# ys$   
**assumes**  $i \notin set\ ys$   
**assumes**  $i \in set\ xs$   
**assumes**  $xs = as @ concat\ (map\ ((\#)\ i)\ xss) @ xs'$   
**assumes**  $len\ m\ i\ j\ ys > len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs \wedge len\ m\ i\ i\ ys < 0$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-lt-neutral*:  $a + b < b \implies a < 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-cycles-neg-cycles-aux'*:

**fixes**  $j\ xs\ ys$   
**assumes**  $j \notin set\ ys$   
**assumes**  $j \in set\ xs$   
**assumes**  $xs = ys @ j \# concat\ (map\ (\lambda\ xs. xs @ [j])\ xss) @ as$   
**assumes**  $len\ m\ i\ j\ ys > len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$   
**shows**  $\exists ys. set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs \wedge len\ m\ j\ j\ ys < 0$   $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *add-le-impl*:  $a + b < a + c \implies b < c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *start-remove-neg-cycles*:

$len\ m\ i\ j\ (start\text{-}remove\ xs\ k\ []) > len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \implies \exists ys. set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs \wedge$   
 $len\ m\ k\ k\ ys < 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *remove-all-cycles-neg-cycles*:

$len\ m\ i\ j\ (remove\text{-}all\text{-}cycles\ ys\ xs) > len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$   
 $\implies \exists ys\ k. set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs \wedge k \in set\ xs \wedge len\ m\ k\ k\ ys < 0$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *concat-map-cons-rev*:

$rev (concat (map ((\#) j) xss)) = concat (map (\lambda xs. xs @ [j]) (rev (map rev xss)))$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *negative-cycle-dest*:  $len\ m\ i\ j\ (rem\ cycles\ i\ j\ xs) > len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$   
 $\implies \exists\ i'\ ys. len\ m\ i'\ i'\ ys < 0 \wedge set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs \wedge i' \in set\ (i\ \# j\ \# xs)$   
 <proof>

### 1.6.2 Cycle-Freeness

**lemma** *cycle-free-alt-def*:  
 $cycle\ free\ M\ n \iff cycle\ free\ up\ to\ M\ n\ n$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *negative-cycle-dest-diag*:  
 $\neg\ cycle\ free\ up\ to\ m\ k\ n \implies k \leq n \implies \exists\ i\ xs. i \leq n \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\}$   
 $\wedge len\ m\ i\ i\ xs < 0$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *negative-cycle-dest-diag'*:  
 $\neg\ cycle\ free\ m\ n \implies \exists\ i\ xs. i \leq n \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge len\ m\ i\ i\ xs < 0$   
 <proof>

**abbreviation** *cyc-free* :: 'a mat  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool **where**  
 $cyc\ free\ m\ n \equiv \forall\ i\ xs. i \leq n \wedge set\ xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \longrightarrow len\ m\ i\ i\ xs \geq 0$

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag-intro*:  
 $cyc\ free\ m\ n \implies cycle\ free\ m\ n$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag-equiv*:  
 $cyc\ free\ m\ n \iff cycle\ free\ m\ n$  <proof>

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag-dest*:  
 $cycle\ free\ m\ n \implies cyc\ free\ m\ n$   
 <proof>

**lemma** *cycle-free-upto-diag-equiv*:  
 $cycle\ free\ up\ to\ m\ k\ n \iff cyc\ free\ subs\ n\ \{0..k\}\ m$  **if**  $k \leq n$   
 <proof>

**theorem** *fw-shortest-path-up-to*:  
 $D\ m\ i\ j\ k = fw\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j$  **if**  $cyc\ free\ subs\ n\ \{0..k\}\ m$   $i \leq n\ j \leq n\ k \leq n$



*<proof>*

We do not need to prove this because the definitions match.

**lemma**

$cyc\text{-}free\ m\ n \longleftrightarrow cyc\text{-}free\text{-}subs\ n\ \{0..n\}\ m$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *cycle-free-cycle-free-up-to:*

$cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \implies k \leq n \implies cycle\text{-}free\text{-}up\text{-}to\ m\ k\ n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *cycle-free-diag:*

$cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \implies i \leq n \implies 0 \leq m\ i\ i$   
*<proof>*

**corollary** *fw-shortest-path:*

$cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n \implies D\ m\ i\ j\ k = fw\ m\ n\ k\ i$   
*<proof>*

**corollary** *fw-shortest:*

**assumes**  $cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n\ i \leq n\ j \leq n\ k \leq n$   
**shows**  $fw\ m\ n\ n\ i\ j \leq fw\ m\ n\ n\ i\ k + fw\ m\ n\ n\ k\ j$   
*<proof>*

## 1.7 Result Under the Presence of Negative Cycles

Under the presence of negative cycles, the Floyd-Warshall algorithm will detect the situation by computing a negative diagonal entry.

**lemma** *not-cylce-free-dest:*  $\neg cycle\text{-}free\ m\ n \implies \exists k \leq n. \neg cycle\text{-}free\text{-}up\text{-}to\ m\ k\ n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *D-not-diag-le:*

$(x :: 'a) \in \{len\ m\ i\ j\ xs \mid xs.\ set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \wedge i \notin set\ xs \wedge j \notin set\ xs \wedge distinct\ xs\}$   
 $\implies D\ m\ i\ j\ k \leq x$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *D-not-diag-le':*  $set\ xs \subseteq \{0..k\} \implies i \notin set\ xs \implies j \notin set\ xs \implies distinct\ xs$   
 $\implies D\ m\ i\ j\ k \leq len\ m\ i\ j\ xs$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *nat-upto-subs-top-removal':*

$S \subseteq \{0..Suc\ n\} \implies Suc\ n \notin S \implies S \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *nat-upto-subs-top-removal*:

$S \subseteq \{0..n::nat\} \implies n \notin S \implies S \subseteq \{0..n - 1\}$   
 ⟨proof⟩

Monotonicity with respect to  $k$ .

**lemma** *fw-invariant*:

$k' \leq k \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n \implies fw\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j \leq fw\ m\ n\ k'\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *negative-len-shortest*:

$length\ xs = n \implies len\ m\ i\ i\ xs < 0$   
 $\implies \exists j\ ys. distinct\ (j\ \# \ ys) \wedge len\ m\ j\ j\ ys < 0 \wedge j \in set\ (i\ \# \ xs) \wedge set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fw-upd-leI*:

$fw\ upd\ m'\ k\ i\ j\ i\ j \leq fw\ upd\ m\ k\ i\ j\ i\ j$  **if**  
 $m'\ i\ k \leq m\ i\ k\ m'\ k\ j \leq m\ k\ j\ m'\ i\ j \leq m\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fwi-fw-upd-mono*:

$fwi\ m\ n\ k\ i\ j\ i\ j \leq fw\ upd\ m\ k\ i\ j\ i\ j$  **if**  $k \leq n\ i \leq n\ j \leq n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

The Floyd-Warshall algorithm will always detect negative cycles. The argument goes as follows: In case there is a negative cycle, then we know that there is some smallest  $k$  for which there is a negative cycle containing only intermediate vertices from the set  $\{0..k\}$ . We will show that then  $fwi\ m\ n\ k$  computes a negative entry on the diagonal, and thus, by monotonicity,  $fw\ m\ n\ n$  will compute a negative entry on the diagonal.

**theorem** *FW-neg-cycle-detect*:

$\neg\ cyc\ free\ m\ n \implies \exists i \leq n. fw\ m\ n\ n\ i\ i < 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**end**

## 1.8 More on Canonical Matrices

**abbreviation**

$canonical\ M\ n \equiv \forall i\ j\ k. i \leq n \wedge j \leq n \wedge k \leq n \longrightarrow M\ i\ k \leq M\ i\ j + M\ j\ k$

**lemma** *canonical-alt-def*:

*canonical*  $M\ n \longleftrightarrow \text{canonical-subs } n\ \{0..n\}\ M$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *fw-canonical*:

*canonical*  $(fw\ m\ n\ n)\ n$  **if** *cyc-free*  $m\ n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *canonical-len*:

*canonical*  $M\ n \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\} \implies M\ i\ j \leq \text{len } M\ i\ j\ xs$   
 ⟨proof⟩

## 1.9 Additional Theorems

**lemma** *D-cycle-free-len-dest*:

*cycle-free*  $m\ n$   
 $\implies \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. D\ m\ i\ j\ n = m'\ i\ j \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{set } xs \subseteq \{0..n\}$   
 $\implies \exists ys. \text{set } ys \subseteq \{0..n\} \wedge \text{len } m'\ i\ j\ xs = \text{len } m\ i\ j\ ys$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *D-cyc-free-preservation*:

*cyc-free*  $m\ n \implies \forall i \leq n. \forall j \leq n. D\ m\ i\ j\ n = m'\ i\ j \implies \text{cyc-free } m'\ n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**abbreviation**  $FW\ m\ n \equiv fw\ m\ n\ n$

**lemma** *FW-out-of-bounds1*:

**assumes**  $i > n$   
**shows**  $(FW\ M\ n)\ i\ j = M\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *FW-out-of-bounds2*:

**assumes**  $j > n$   
**shows**  $(FW\ M\ n)\ i\ j = M\ i\ j$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *FW-cyc-free-preservation*:

*cyc-free*  $m\ n \implies \text{cyc-free } (FW\ m\ n)\ n$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *cyc-free-diag-dest'*:

*cyc-free*  $m\ n \implies i \leq n \implies m\ i\ i \geq 0$   
 ⟨proof⟩

**lemma** *FW-diag-neutral-preservation:*

$\forall i \leq n. M i i = 0 \implies \text{cyc-free } M n \implies \forall i \leq n. (FW M n) i i = 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *FW-fixed-preservation:*

**fixes**  $M :: ('a::\text{linordered-ab-monoid-add}) \text{ mat}$   
**assumes**  $A: i \leq n \implies M 0 i + M i 0 = 0$  *canonical*  $(FW M n) n$  *cyc-free*  
 $(FW M n) n$   
**shows**  $FW M n 0 i + FW M n i 0 = 0$  *<proof>*

**lemma** *diag-cyc-free-neutral:*

$\text{cyc-free } M n \implies \forall k \leq n. M k k \leq 0 \implies \forall i \leq n. M i i = 0$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *fw-upd-canonical-subs-id:*

$\text{canonical-subs } n \{k\} M \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies \text{fw-upd } M k i j = M$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *fw-upd-canonical-id:*

$\text{canonical } M n \implies i \leq n \implies j \leq n \implies k \leq n \implies \text{fw-upd } M k i j = M$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *fwi-canonical-id:*

$\text{fwi } M n k i j = M$  **if**  $\text{canonical-subs } n \{k\} M i \leq n j \leq n k \leq n$   
*<proof>*

**lemma** *fw-canonical-id:*

$\text{fw } M n k = M$  **if**  $\text{canonical-subs } n \{0..k\} M k \leq n$   
*<proof>*

**lemmas**  $FW\text{-canonical-id} = \text{fw-canonical-id}[\text{OF - order.refl, unfolded canonical-alt-def[symmetric]}]$

**definition**  $FWI M n k \equiv \text{fwi } M n k n n$

The characteristic property of *fwi*.

**theorem** *fwi-characteristic:*

$\text{canonical-subs } n (I \cup \{k::\text{nat}\}) (FWI M n k) \vee (\exists i \leq n. FWI M n k i i < 0)$  **if**  
 $\text{canonical-subs } n I M I \subseteq \{0..n\} k \leq n$   
*<proof>*

**end**

```

theory Recursion-Combinators
  imports Refine-Imperative-HOL.IICF
begin

context
begin

private definition for-comb where
  for-comb f a 0 n = nfoldli [0..n + 1] (λ x. True) (λ k a. (f a k)) a 0

fun for-rec :: ('a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a nres) ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a nres where
  for-rec f a 0 = f a 0 |
  for-rec f a (Suc n) = for-rec f a n ≫ (λ x. f x (Suc n))

private lemma for-comb-for-rec: for-comb f a n = for-rec f a n
  ⟨proof⟩ definition for-rec2' where
  for-rec2' f a n i j =
    (if i = 0 then RETURN a else for-rec (λ a i. for-rec (λ a. f a i) a n) a
    (i - 1))
    ≫ (λ a. for-rec (λ a. f a i) a j)

fun for-rec2 :: ('a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a nres) ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒
  'a nres where
  for-rec2 f a n 0 0 = f a 0 0 |
  for-rec2 f a n (Suc i) 0 = for-rec2 f a n i n ≫ (λ a. f a (Suc i) 0) |
  for-rec2 f a n i (Suc j) = for-rec2 f a n i j ≫ (λ a. f a i (Suc j))

private lemma for-rec2-for-rec2':
  for-rec2 f a n i j = for-rec2' f a n i j
  ⟨proof⟩

fun for-rec3 :: ('a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a nres) ⇒ 'a ⇒ nat ⇒ nat ⇒
  nat ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a nres
where
  for-rec3 f m n 0 0 0 = f m 0 0 0 |
  for-rec3 f m n (Suc k) 0 0 = for-rec3 f m n k n n ≫ (λ a. f a
  (Suc k) 0 0) |
  for-rec3 f m n k (Suc i) 0 = for-rec3 f m n k i n ≫ (λ a. f a k
  (Suc i) 0) |
  for-rec3 f m n k i (Suc j) = for-rec3 f m n k i j ≫ (λ a. f a k
  i (Suc j))

private definition for-rec3' where

```

$for-rec3' f a n k i j =$   
 (if  $k = 0$  then RETURN  $a$  else  $for-rec (\lambda a k. for-rec2' (\lambda a. f a k) a n n) a (k - 1)$ )  
 $\gg (\lambda a. for-rec2' (\lambda a. f a k) a n i j)$

**private lemma** *for-rec3-for-rec3'*:

$for-rec3 f a n k i j = for-rec3' f a n k i j$

*<proof>* **lemma** *for-rec2'-for-rec*:

$for-rec2' f a n n n =$

$for-rec (\lambda a i. for-rec (\lambda a. f a i) a n) a n$

*<proof>* **lemma** *for-rec3'-for-rec*:

$for-rec3' f a n n n n =$

$for-rec (\lambda a k. for-rec (\lambda a i. for-rec (\lambda a. f a k i) a n) a n) a n$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *for-rec-eq*:

$for-rec f a n = nfoldli [0..<n + 1] (\lambda x. True) (\lambda k a. f a k) a$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *for-rec2-eq*:

$for-rec2 f a n n n =$

$nfoldli [0..<n + 1] (\lambda x. True)$

$(\lambda i. nfoldli [0..<n + 1] (\lambda x. True) (\lambda j a. f a i j)) a$

*<proof>*

**theorem** *for-rec3-eq*:

$for-rec3 f a n n n n =$

$nfoldli [0..<n + 1] (\lambda x. True)$

$(\lambda k. nfoldli [0..<n + 1] (\lambda x. True)$

$(\lambda i. nfoldli [0..<n + 1] (\lambda x. True) (\lambda j a. f a k i j)))$

$a$

*<proof>*

**end**

**lemmas** [*intf-of-assn*] = *intf-of-assnI*[**where**  $R = is-mtx\ n$  **and**  $'a = 'b\ i-mtx$  **for**  $n$ ]

**declare** *param-upt*[*sepref-import-param*]

**end**

**theory** *FW-Code*

```

imports
  Recursion-Combinators
  Floyd-Warshall
begin

```

## 1.10 Refinement to Efficient Imperative Code

We will now refine the recursive version of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm to an efficient imperative version. To this end, we use the Imperative Refinement Framework, yielding an implementation in Imperative HOL.

**definition** *fw-upd'* :: ('a::linordered-ab-monoid-add) *mtx* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ 'a *mtx nres* **where**

```

  fw-upd' m k i j =
    RETURN (
      op-mtx-set m (i, j) (min (op-mtx-get m (i, j)) (op-mtx-get m (i, k) +
        op-mtx-get m (k, j)))
    )

```

**definition** *fwi'* :: ('a::linordered-ab-monoid-add) *mtx* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ *nat* ⇒ 'a *mtx nres*

**where**

```

  fwi' m n k i j = RECT (λ fw (m, k, i, j).
    case (i, j) of
      (0, 0) ⇒ fw-upd' m k 0 0 |
      (Suc i, 0) ⇒ do {m' ← fw (m, k, i, n); fw-upd' m' k (Suc i) 0} |
      (i, Suc j) ⇒ do {m' ← fw (m, k, i, j); fw-upd' m' k i (Suc j)}
    ) (m, k, i, j)

```

**lemma** *fwi'-simps*:

```

  fwi' m n k 0 0 = fw-upd' m k 0 0
  fwi' m n k (Suc i) 0 = do {m' ← fwi' m n k i n; fw-upd' m' k (Suc i) 0}
  fwi' m n k i (Suc j) = do {m' ← fwi' m n k i j; fw-upd' m' k i (Suc j)}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma**

```

  fwi' m n k i j ≤ SPEC (λ r. r = uncurry (fwi (curry m) n k i j))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *fw-upd'-spec*:

```

  fw-upd' M k i j ≤ SPEC (λ M'. M' = uncurry (fw-upd (curry M) k i j))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma** *for-rec2-fwi*:

*for-rec2* ( $\lambda M. fw\text{-upd}' M k$ )  $M n i j \leq SPEC (\lambda M'. M' = uncurry (fwi (curry M) n k i j))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**definition** *fw'* :: ( $'a::linordered-ab-monoid-add$ )  $mtx \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a$   
 $mtx nres$  **where**

$fw' m n k = nfoldli [0..<k + 1] (\lambda -. True) (\lambda k M. for-rec2 (\lambda M. fw\text{-upd}' M k) M n n n) m$

**lemma** *fw'-spec*:

$fw' m n k \leq SPEC (\lambda M'. M' = uncurry (fw (curry m) n k))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**context**

**fixes**  $n :: nat$

**fixes**  $dummy :: 'a::\{linordered-ab-monoid-add,zero,heap\}$

**begin**

**lemma** [*sepref-import-param*]:  $((+),(+>::'a\Rightarrow-) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id \langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** [*sepref-import-param*]:  $(min,min::~'a\Rightarrow-) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow Id \langle proof \rangle$

**abbreviation** *node-assn*  $\equiv nat\text{-assn}$

**abbreviation** *mtx-assn*  $\equiv asmtx\text{-assn} (Suc n) id\text{-assn}::('a\text{ }mtx \Rightarrow)$

**sepref-definition** *fw-upd-impl* **is**

$uncurry2 (uncurry fw\text{-upd}') ::$   
 $[\lambda (((-,k),i),j). k \leq n \wedge i \leq n \wedge j \leq n]_a\text{ }mtx\text{-assn}^d *_a\text{ }node\text{-assn}^k *_a$   
 $node\text{-assn}^k *_a\text{ }node\text{-assn}^k$   
 $\rightarrow mtx\text{-assn}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**declare** *fw-upd-impl.refine*[*sepref-fr-rules*]

**sepref-register** *fw-upd'* ::  $'a\text{ }i\text{-mtx} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a\text{ }i\text{-mtx } nres$

**definition**

$fwi\text{-impl}' (M :: 'a\text{ }mtx) k = for-rec2 (\lambda M. fw\text{-upd}' M k) M n n n$

**definition**

$fw\text{-impl}' (M :: 'a\text{ }mtx) = fw' M n n$



**context**

**notes**  $[id-rules] = itypeI[of\ n\ TYPE\ (nat)]$

**and**  $[sepref-import-param] = IdI[of\ n]$

**begin**

**sepref-definition** *fw-impl* **is**

$fw-impl' :: mtx-assn^d \rightarrow_a mtx-assn$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**sepref-definition** *fwi-impl* **is**

$uncurry\ fwi-impl' :: [\lambda\ (-,k). k \leq n]_a\ mtx-assn^d *_{a}\ node-assn^k \rightarrow mtx-assn$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**end**

**export-code** *fw-impl checking SML-imp*

A compact specification for the characteristic property of the Floyd-Warshall algorithm.

**definition** *fw-spec* **where**

$fw-spec\ n\ M \equiv SPEC\ (\lambda\ M'.$

$if\ (\exists\ i \leq n. M'\ i\ i < 0)$

$then\ \neg\ cyc-free\ M\ n$

$else\ \forall\ i \leq n. \forall\ j \leq n. M'\ i\ j = D\ M\ i\ j\ n \wedge cyc-free\ M\ n)$

**lemma** *D-diag-nonnegI*:

**assumes** *cycle-free*  $M\ n\ i \leq n$

**shows**  $D\ M\ i\ i\ n \geq 0$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *fw-fw-spec*:

$RETURN\ (FW\ M\ n) \leq fw-spec\ n\ M$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**definition**

$mat-curry-rel = \{(Mu, Mc). curry\ Mu = Mc\}$

**definition**

$mtx-curry-assn\ n = hr-comp\ (mtx-assn\ n)\ (br\ curry\ (\lambda-. True))$

**declare** *mtx-curry-assn-def* $[symmetric, fcomp-norm-unfold]$

**lemma** *fw-impl'-correct*:

$(fw-impl', fw-spec) \in Id \rightarrow br\ curry (\lambda -. True) \rightarrow \langle br\ curry (\lambda -. True) \rangle$   
*nres-rel*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.10.1 Main Result

This is one way to state that *fw-impl* fulfills the specification *fw-spec*.

**theorem** *fw-impl-correct*:

$(fw-impl\ n, fw-spec\ n) \in (mtx-curry-assn\ n)^d \rightarrow_a\ mtx-curry-assn\ n$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

An alternative version: a Hoare triple for total correctness.

**corollary**

$\langle mtx-curry-assn\ n\ M\ Mi \rangle\ fw-impl\ n\ Mi\ \langle \lambda\ Mi'. \exists_A\ M'.\ mtx-curry-assn\ n\ M'\ Mi' * \uparrow$   
 $(if\ (\exists\ i \leq n.\ M'\ i\ i < 0)$   
 $then\ \neg\ cyc-free\ M\ n$   
 $else\ \forall i \leq n.\ \forall j \leq n.\ M'\ i\ j = D\ M\ i\ j\ n \wedge cyc-free\ M\ n) \rangle_t$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 1.10.2 Alternative Versions for Uncurried Matrices.

**definition**  $FWI' = uncurry\ ooo\ FWI\ o\ curry$

**lemma** *fwi-impl'-refine-FWI'*:

$(fwi-impl'\ n, RETURN\ oo\ PR-CONST\ (\lambda\ M.\ FWI'\ M\ n)) \in Id \rightarrow Id \rightarrow$   
 $\langle Id \rangle\ nres-rel$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $fwi-impl-refine-FWI' = fwi-impl.refine[FCOMP\ fwi-impl'-refine-FWI']$

**definition**  $FW' = uncurry\ oo\ FW\ o\ curry$

**definition**  $FW''\ n\ M = FW'\ M\ n$

**lemma** *fw-impl'-refine-FW''*:

$(fw-impl'\ n, RETURN\ o\ PR-CONST\ (FW''\ n)) \in Id \rightarrow \langle Id \rangle\ nres-rel$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemmas**  $fw-impl-refine-FW'' = fw-impl.refine[FCOMP\ fw-impl'-refine-FW'']$

**end**

## References

- [Flo62] Robert W. Floyd. Algorithm 97: Shortest path. *Commun. ACM*, 5(6):345–, June 1962.
- [Roy59] Bernard Roy. Transitivité et connexité. In *Extrait des comptes rendus des séances de l'Académie des Sciences*, pages 216–218. Gauthier-Villars, July 1959. <http://gallica.bnf.fr/ark:/12148/bpt6k3201c/f222.image.langFR>.
- [War62] Stephen Warshall. A theorem on boolean matrices. *J. ACM*, 9(1):11–12, January 1962.