

First Order Clause

Balazs Toth

March 20, 2025

Abstract

This entry provides reusable theories that lift properties of first-order (ground and nonground) terms to atoms, literals, and clauses. These properties include substitutions, orders, entailment, and typing. The sessions `AFP/First_Order_Terms` and `AFP/Abstract_Substitution` are the basis of this entry.

Contents

1	Nonground Terms and Substitutions	15
1.1	Unified naming	15
1.2	Term	16
1.3	Setup for lifting from terms	17
2	Nonground Contexts and Substitutions	17
3	Nonground Clauses and Substitutions	20
3.1	Nonground Atoms	21
3.2	Nonground Literals	21
3.3	Nonground Literals - Alternative	23
3.4	Nonground Clauses	24
4	Entailment	49
5	Restricted Orders	52
5.1	Strict Orders	53
5.2	Wellfounded Strict Orders	53
5.3	Total Strict Orders	54
6	Orders with ground restrictions	59
6.1	Ground substitution stability	60
6.2	Substitution update stability	61

7	Multiset Extensions	61
7.1	Wellfounded Multiset Extensions	62
7.2	Total Multiset Extensions	62
8	Grounded Multiset Extensions	63
8.1	Ground substitution stability	64
8.2	Substitution update stability	65

9 Nonground Order **69**

```

theory Ground-Term-Extra
  imports Regular-Tree-Relations.Ground-Terms
begin

lemma gterm-is-fun: is-Fun (term-of-gterm t)
  <proof>

no-notation subst-compose (infixl  $\circ_s$  75)
no-notation subst-apply-term (infixl  $\cdot$  67)

end
theory Ground-Context
  imports Ground-Term-Extra
begin

type-synonym 'f ground-context = ('f, 'f gterm) actxt

abbreviation (input) GHole (<math>\langle \square_G \rangle</math>) where
   $\square_G \equiv \square$ 

abbreviation ctxt-apply-gterm (<math>\langle \cdot \rangle_G</math> [1000, 0] 1000) where
   $C \langle s \rangle_G \equiv GFun \langle C; s \rangle$ 

lemma le-size-gctxt: size t  $\leq$  size (c<math>\langle t \rangle_G</math>)
  <proof>

lemma lt-size-gctxt: c  $\neq \square \implies$  size t < size c<math>\langle t \rangle_G</math>
  <proof>

lemma gctxt-ident-iff-eq-GHole[simp]: c<math>\langle t \rangle_G = t \iff c = \square</math>
  <proof>

end
theory Multiset-Extra
  imports
    HOL-Library.Multiset
    HOL-Library.Multiset-Order
    Nested-Multisets-Ordinals.Multiset-More
    Abstract-Substitution.Natural-Magma-Functor
begin

```

lemma *exists-multiset* [intro]: $\exists M. x \in \text{set-mset } M$
(proof)

global-interpretation *multiset-magma: natural-magma-with-empty* **where**
to-set = *set-mset* **and** *plus* = (+) **and** *wrap* = $\lambda l. \{\#l\# \}$ **and** *add* = *add-mset*
and *empty* = {#}
(proof)

global-interpretation *multiset-functor: finite-natural-functor* **where**
map = *image-mset* **and** *to-set* = *set-mset*
(proof)

global-interpretation *multiset-functor: natural-functor-conversion* **where**
map = *image-mset* **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *map-to* = *image-mset* **and**
map-from = *image-mset* **and**
map' = *image-mset* **and** *to-set'* = *set-mset*
(proof)

global-interpretation *multiset-functor: natural-magma-functor* **where**
map = *image-mset* **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *plus* = (+) **and** *wrap* = $\lambda l. \{\#l\# \}$
and *add* = *add-mset*
(proof)

lemma *one-le-countE*:
assumes $1 \leq \text{count } M \ x$
obtains M' **where** $M = \text{add-mset } x \ M'$
(proof)

lemma *two-le-countE*:
assumes $2 \leq \text{count } M \ x$
obtains M' **where** $M = \text{add-mset } x \ (\text{add-mset } x \ M')$
(proof)

lemma *three-le-countE*:
assumes $3 \leq \text{count } M \ x$
obtains M' **where** $M = \text{add-mset } x \ (\text{add-mset } x \ (\text{add-mset } x \ M'))$
(proof)

lemma *one-step-implies-multp_{HO}-strong*:
fixes $A \ B \ J \ K :: \text{- multiset}$
defines $J \equiv B - A$ **and** $K \equiv A - B$
assumes $J \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $\forall k \in \# \ K. \exists x \in \# \ J. R \ k \ x$
shows $\text{multp}_{HO} \ R \ A \ B$
(proof)

lemma *Uniq-antimono*: $Q \leq P \implies \text{Uniq } Q \geq \text{Uniq } P$
(proof)

lemma *Uniq-antimono'*: $(\bigwedge x. Q x \implies P x) \implies \text{Uniq } P \implies \text{Uniq } Q$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-singleton-right[simp]*:
 assumes *transp R*
 shows $\text{multp } R \ M \ \{\#x\# \} \longleftrightarrow (\forall y \in\# M. R \ y \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-singleton-left[simp]*:
 assumes *transp R*
 shows $\text{multp } R \ \{\#x\# \} \ M \longleftrightarrow (\{\#x\# \} \subset\# M \vee (\exists y \in\# M. R \ x \ y))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-singleton-singleton[simp]*: $\text{transp } R \implies \text{multp } R \ \{\#x\# \} \ \{\#y\# \} \longleftrightarrow R \ x \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-subset-supersetI*: $\text{transp } R \implies \text{multp } R \ A \ B \implies C \subseteq\# A \implies B \subseteq\# D \implies \text{multp } R \ C \ D$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-double-doubleI*:
 assumes *transp R multp R A B*
 shows $\text{multp } R \ (A + A) \ (B + B)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-implies-one-step-strong*:
 fixes $A \ B \ I \ J \ K :: \text{- multiset}$
 assumes *transp R and asymp R and multp R A B*
 defines $J \equiv B - A$ and $K \equiv A - B$
 shows $J \neq \{\#\}$ and $\forall k \in\# K. \exists x \in\# J. R \ k \ x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-double-doubleD*:
 assumes *transp R and asymp R and multp R (A + A) (B + B)*
 shows $\text{multp } R \ A \ B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-double-double*:
 $\text{transp } R \implies \text{asymp } R \implies \text{multp } R \ (A + A) \ (B + B) \longleftrightarrow \text{multp } R \ A \ B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-doubleton-doubleton[simp]*:
 $\text{transp } R \implies \text{asymp } R \implies \text{multp } R \ \{\#x, x\# \} \ \{\#y, y\# \} \longleftrightarrow R \ x \ y$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *multp-single-doubleI*: $M \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{multp } R \ M \ (M + M)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mult1-implies-one-step-strong*:

assumes *trans r and asym r and* $(A, B) \in \text{mult1 } r$

shows $B - A \neq \{\#\}$ **and** $\forall k \in\# A - B. \exists j \in\# B - A. (k, j) \in r$
<proof>

lemma *asympt-multp*:

assumes *asympt R and transp R*

shows *asympt (multp R)*

<proof>

lemma *multp-doubleton-singleton*: $\text{transp } R \implies \text{multp } R \{\# x, x \#\} \{\# y \#\}$
 $\longleftrightarrow R x y$

<proof>

lemma *image-mset-remove1-mset*:

assumes *inj f*

shows $\text{remove1-mset } (f a) (\text{image-mset } f X) = \text{image-mset } f (\text{remove1-mset } a X)$

<proof>

lemma *multp_{DM}-map-strong*:

assumes

f-mono: monotone-on (set-mset (M1 + M2)) R S f and

M1-lt-M2: multp_{DM} R M1 M2

shows $\text{multp}_{DM} S (\text{image-mset } f M1) (\text{image-mset } f M2)$
<proof>

lemma *multp-map-strong*:

assumes

transp: transp R and

f-mono: monotone-on (set-mset (M1 + M2)) R S f and

M1-lt-M2: multp R M1 M2

shows $\text{multp } S (\text{image-mset } f M1) (\text{image-mset } f M2)$
<proof>

lemma *multp_{HO}-add-mset*:

assumes *asympt R transp R R x y multp_{HO} R X Y*

shows $\text{multp}_{HO} R (\text{add-mset } x X) (\text{add-mset } y Y)$

<proof>

lemma *multp-add-mset*:

assumes *asympt R transp R R x y multp R X Y*

shows $\text{multp } R (\text{add-mset } x X) (\text{add-mset } y Y)$

<proof>

lemma *multp-add-mset'*:

assumes *R x y*

shows $\text{multp } R (\text{add-mset } x X) (\text{add-mset } y X)$

<proof>

lemma *multp-add-mset-reflclp*:

assumes *asympt R transp R R x y (multp R)⁼⁼ X Y*

shows *multp R (add-mset x X) (add-mset y Y)*

<proof>

lemma *multp-add-same [simp]*:

assumes *asympt R transp R*

shows *multp R (add-mset x X) (add-mset x Y) \longleftrightarrow multp R X Y*

<proof>

lemma *inj-mset-plus-same: inj ($\lambda X :: 'a \text{ multiset} . X + X$)*

<proof>

lemma *multp-image-lesseq-if-all-lesseq*:

assumes

asympt: asympt R and

transp: transp R and

all-lesseq: $\forall x \in \#X. R^{==} (f x) (g x)$

shows *(multp R)⁼⁼ (image-mset f X) (image-mset g X)*

<proof>

lemma *multp-image-less-if-all-lesseq-ex-less*:

assumes

asympt: asympt R and

transp: transp R and

all-less-eq: $\forall x \in \#X. R^{==} (f x) (g x)$ and

ex-less: $\exists x \in \#X. R (f x) (g x)$

shows *multp R $\{\# f x. x \in \# X \#\}$ $\{\# g x. x \in \# X \#\}$*

<proof>

lemma *not-reflp-multp_{DM}: \neg reflp (multp_{DM} R)*

<proof>

lemma *not-less-empty-multp_{DM}: \neg multp_{DM} R X $\{\#\}$*

<proof>

lemma *not-reflp-multp_{HO}: \neg reflp (multp_{HO} R)*

<proof>

lemma *not-less-empty-multp_{HO}: \neg multp_{HO} R X $\{\#\}$*

<proof>

lemma *not-refl-mult: \neg refl (mult R)*

<proof>

lemma *not-less-empty-mult*: $(X, \{\#\}) \notin \text{mult } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *empty-less-mult*: $X \neq \{\#\} \implies (\{\#\}, X) \in \text{mult } R$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-reflp-mult*: $\neg \text{reflp } (\text{multp } R)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *empty-less-multp*: $X \neq \{\#\} \implies \text{multp } R \ \{\#\} \ X$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-less-empty-multp*: $\neg \text{multp } R \ X \ \{\#\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

theory *Uprod-Extra*

imports
 HOL-Library.Uprod
 Multiset-Extra
 Abstract-Substitution.Natural-Functor

begin

abbreviation *upair where*
 $\text{upair} \equiv \lambda(x, y). \text{Upair } x \ y$

lemma *Upair-sym*: $\text{Upair } x \ y = \text{Upair } y \ x$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *upair-in-sym* [*simp*]:
assumes *sym* *I*
shows $\text{Upair } a \ b \in \text{upair } 'I \iff (a, b) \in I \wedge (b, a) \in I$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ex-ordered-Upair*:
assumes *tot*: *totalp-on* (*set-uprod* *p*) *R*
shows $\exists x \ y. p = \text{Upair } x \ y \wedge R^{\text{==}} x \ y$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

definition *mset-uprod* :: '*a* *uprod* \Rightarrow '*a* *multiset where*
 $\text{mset-uprod} = \text{case-uprod } (\text{Abs-commute } (\lambda x \ y. \{\#x, y\#}))$

lemma *Abs-commute-inverse-mset* [*simp*]:
apply-commute (*Abs-commute* ($\lambda x \ y. \{\#x, y\#}$)) = ($\lambda x \ y. \{\#x, y\#}$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *set-mset-mset-uprod* [*simp*]: $\text{set-mset } (\text{mset-uprod } \text{up}) = \text{set-uprod } \text{up}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *mset-uprod-Upair [simp]*: $mset-uprod (Upair\ x\ y) = \{\#x, y\# \}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *map-uprod-inverse*: $(\bigwedge x. f\ (g\ x) = x) \implies (\bigwedge y. map-uprod\ f\ (map-uprod\ g\ y) = y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-uprod-image-mset*: $mset-uprod\ (map-uprod\ f\ p) = image-mset\ f\ (mset-uprod\ p)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ball-set-uprod [simp]*: $(\forall t \in set-uprod\ (Upair\ t_1\ t_2). P\ t) \longleftrightarrow P\ t_1 \wedge P\ t_2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *inj-mset-uprod*: *inj mset-uprod*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-uprod-plus-neq*: $mset-uprod\ a \neq mset-uprod\ b + mset-uprod\ b$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *set-uprod-not-empty*: $set-uprod\ a \neq \{\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *exists-uprod [intro]*: $\exists a. x \in set-uprod\ a$
 ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation *uprod-functor: finite-natural-functor* **where** $map = map-uprod$
and $to-set = set-uprod$
 ⟨proof⟩

global-interpretation *uprod-functor: natural-functor-conversion* **where**
 $map = map-uprod$ **and** $to-set = set-uprod$ **and** $map-to = map-uprod$ **and** $map-from$
 $= map-uprod$ **and**
 $map' = map-uprod$ **and** $to-set' = set-uprod$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Ground-Clause*

imports

Saturation-Framework-Extensions.Clausal-Calculus

Ground-Term-Extra

Ground-Context

Uprod-Extra

begin

type-synonym *'f gatom = 'f gterm uprod*

end


```

theory Typing
  imports Main
begin

  locale typing =
    fixes welltyped :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
    assumes right-unique: right-unique welltyped
begin

  lemmas right-uniqueD [dest] = right-uniqueD[OF right-unique]

end

  locale base-typing = typing
begin

  abbreviation is-welltyped where
    is-welltyped expr  $\equiv \exists \tau. \text{welltyped expr } \tau$ 

end

  locale typing-lifting = sub: typing where welltyped = sub-welltyped
    for sub-welltyped :: 'sub  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
    fixes to-set :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'sub set
begin

  definition is-welltyped where
    is-welltyped expr  $\equiv \exists \tau. \forall \text{sub} \in \text{to-set expr}. \text{sub-welltyped sub } \tau$ 

  abbreviation welltyped where
    welltyped expr (-::unit)  $\equiv \text{is-welltyped expr}$ 

  sublocale typing where welltyped = welltyped
    (proof)

end

  locale typing-lifting' =
    fixes
      sub-welltyped :: 'extra  $\Rightarrow$  'sub  $\Rightarrow$  'ty'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
      to-set :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'sub set
    assumes lifting:  $\bigwedge \text{extra}. \text{typing-lifting (sub-welltyped extra)}$ 
begin

  sublocale typing-lifting where
    sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  and to-set = to-set
    (proof)

end

```

```

end
theory Natural-Magma-Typing-Lifting
  imports
    Abstract-Substitution.Natural-Magma
    Typing
begin

locale natural-magma-is-typed-lifting =
  natural-magma where to-set = to-set +
  typing-lifting where to-set = to-set and sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped
  for to-set :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'sub set and sub-welltyped :: 'sub  $\Rightarrow$  unit  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

abbreviation (input) sub-is-welltyped where
  sub-is-welltyped expr  $\equiv$  sub-welltyped expr ()

lemma add [simp]: is-welltyped (add sub M)  $\longleftrightarrow$  (sub-is-welltyped sub  $\wedge$  is-welltyped
M)
  <proof>

lemma plus [simp]:
  is-welltyped (plus M M')  $\longleftrightarrow$  is-welltyped M  $\wedge$  is-welltyped M'
  <proof>

end

locale natural-magma-with-empty-is-typed-lifting =
  natural-magma-is-typed-lifting + natural-magma-with-empty
begin

lemma empty [intro]: is-welltyped empty
  <proof>

end

locale natural-magma-typing-lifting =
  welltyped: natural-magma-is-typed-lifting where sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped +
  typing-lifting +
  natural-magma

locale natural-magma-with-empty-typing-lifting =
  natural-magma-typing-lifting +
  welltyped: natural-magma-with-empty-is-typed-lifting where sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped

end
theory Multiset-Typing-Lifting
  imports
    Natural-Magma-Typing-Lifting

```

```

    Multiset-Extra
    Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

locale multiset-typing-lifting =
  typing-lifting where
  to-set = set-mset and sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped
  for sub-welltyped :: 'sub  $\Rightarrow$  unit  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

sublocale natural-magma-with-empty-typing-lifting where
  to-set = set-mset and plus = (+) and wrap =  $\lambda l. \{\#l\#$  and add = add-mset
and empty =  $\{\#\}$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end

end
theory Clausal-Calculus-Extra
  imports
    Saturation-Framework-Extensions.Clausal-Calculus
    Uprod-Extra
begin

lemma literal-cases:  $\llbracket \mathcal{P} \in \{Pos, Neg\}; \mathcal{P} = Pos \Longrightarrow P; \mathcal{P} = Neg \Longrightarrow P \rrbracket \Longrightarrow P$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma map-literal-inverse:
   $(\bigwedge x. f (g x) = x) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge l. \text{map-literal } f (\text{map-literal } g l) = l)$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma map-literal-comp:
   $\text{map-literal } f (\text{map-literal } g l) = \text{map-literal } (\lambda a. f (g a)) l$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma literals-distinct [simp]:  $Pos \neq Neg \quad Neg \neq Pos$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

primrec mset-lit :: 'a uprod literal  $\Rightarrow$  'a multiset where
  mset-lit (Pos a) = mset-uprod a |
  mset-lit (Neg a) = mset-uprod a + mset-uprod a

lemma mset-lit-image-mset:  $\text{mset-lit } (\text{map-literal } (\text{map-uprod } f) l) = \text{image-mset } f (\text{mset-lit } l)$ 
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

lemma uprod-mem-image-iff-prod-mem[simp]:
  assumes sym I
  shows  $(\text{Upair } t t') \in (\lambda(t_1, t_2). \text{Upair } t_1 t_2) \text{ ' } I \iff (t, t') \in I$ 

```

<proof>

lemma *true-lit-uprod-iff-true-lit-prod*[simp]:

assumes *sym I*

shows

upair ' I \models Pos (Upair t t') \longleftrightarrow I \models Pos (t, t')

upair ' I \models Neg (Upair t t') \longleftrightarrow I \models Neg (t, t')

<proof>

abbreviation *Pos-Upair* (**infix** \approx 66) **where**

Pos-Upair t t' \equiv Pos (Upair t t')

abbreviation *Neg-Upair* (**infix** \approx 66) **where**

Neg-Upair t t' \equiv Neg (Upair t t')

lemma *exists-literal-for-atom* [intro]: $\exists l. a \in \text{set-literal } l$

<proof>

lemma *exists-literal-for-term* [intro]: $\exists l. t \in \# \text{ mset-lit } l$

<proof>

lemma *finite-set-literal* [intro]: *finite (set-literal l)*

<proof>

lemma *map-literal-map-uprod-cong*:

assumes $\bigwedge t. t \in \# \text{ mset-lit } l \implies f t = g t$

shows *map-literal (map-uprod f) l = map-literal (map-uprod g) l*

<proof>

lemma *set-mset-set-uprod*: *set-mset (mset-lit l) = set-uprod (atm-of l)*

<proof>

lemma *mset-lit-set-literal*: $t \in \# \text{ mset-lit } l \longleftrightarrow t \in \bigcup (\text{set-uprod ' set-literal } l)$

<proof>

lemma *inj-mset-lit*: *inj mset-lit*

<proof>

global-interpretation *literal-functor*: *finite-natural-functor* **where**

map = map-literal **and** *to-set = set-literal*

<proof>

global-interpretation *literal-functor*: *natural-functor-conversion* **where**

map = map-literal **and** *to-set = set-literal* **and** *map-to = map-literal* **and**
map-from = map-literal **and**

map' = map-literal **and** *to-set' = set-literal*

<proof>

abbreviation *uprod-literal-to-set* **where** *uprod-literal-to-set l \equiv set-mset (mset-lit*

l)

abbreviation *map-uprod-literal* **where** *map-uprod-literal* $f \equiv \text{map-literal } (\text{map-uprod } f)$

global-interpretation *uprod-literal-functor: finite-natural-functor* **where**
map = *map-uprod-literal* **and** *to-set* = *uprod-literal-to-set*
<proof>

global-interpretation *uprod-literal-functor: natural-functor-conversion* **where**
map = *map-uprod-literal* **and** *to-set* = *uprod-literal-to-set* **and** *map-to* = *map-uprod-literal*
and
map-from = *map-uprod-literal* **and** *map'* = *map-uprod-literal* **and** *to-set'* = *uprod-literal-to-set*
<proof>

lemma *exists-inference* [*intro*]: $\exists \iota. f \in \text{set-inference } \iota$
<proof>

lemma *finite-set-inference* [*intro*]: *finite* (*set-inference* ι)
<proof>

global-interpretation *inference-functor: finite-natural-functor* **where**
map = *map-inference* **and** *to-set* = *set-inference*
<proof>

global-interpretation *inference-functor: natural-functor-conversion* **where**
map = *map-inference* **and** *to-set* = *set-inference* **and** *map-to* = *map-inference*
and
map-from = *map-inference* **and** *map'* = *map-inference* **and** *to-set'* = *set-inference*
<proof>

end

theory *Clause-Typing*

imports

Multiset-Typing-Lifting

Clausal-Calculus-Extra

Multiset-Extra

Uprod-Extra

begin

locale *clause-typing* = *term: typing term-welltyped*

for *term-welltyped*

begin

sublocale *atom: typing-lifting* **where**

sub-welltyped = *term-welltyped* **and** *to-set* = *set-uprod*

<proof>

lemma *atom-is-welltyped-iff* [*simp*]:
 $atom.is-welltyped (Upair\ t\ t') \longleftrightarrow (\exists \tau. term-welltyped\ t\ \tau \wedge term-welltyped\ t'\ \tau)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *literal: typing-lifting where*
 $sub-welltyped = atom.welltyped$ **and** $to-set = set-literal$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *literal-is-welltyped-iff* [*simp*]:
 $literal.is-welltyped (t \approx t') \longleftrightarrow atom.is-welltyped (Upair\ t\ t')$
 $literal.is-welltyped (t \approx\! \approx t') \longleftrightarrow atom.is-welltyped (Upair\ t\ t')$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *literal-is-welltyped-iff-atm-of*:
 $literal.is-welltyped\ l \longleftrightarrow atom.is-welltyped (atm-of\ l)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *clause: multiset-typing-lifting where*
 $sub-welltyped = literal.welltyped$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

theory *Context-Extra*

imports *First-Order-Terms.Subterm-and-Context*
begin

no-notation *subst-compose* (**infixl** \circ_s 75)
no-notation *subst-apply-term* (**infixl** \cdot 67)

end

theory *Term-Typing*

imports *Typing Context-Extra*
begin

type-synonym (*'f, 'ty*) *fun-types* = *'f* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *'ty list* \times *'ty*

locale *context-compatible-typing* =

fixes *Fun welltyped*

assumes

context-compatible [*intro*]:

$\bigwedge t\ t'\ c\ \tau\ \tau'.$

$welltyped\ t\ \tau' \implies$

$welltyped\ t'\ \tau' \implies$

$welltyped (Fun\langle c; t \rangle)\ \tau \implies$

$welltyped (Fun\langle c; t' \rangle)\ \tau$

```

locale subterm-typing =
  fixes Fun welltyped
  assumes
    subterm':  $\bigwedge f\ ts\ \tau. \text{welltyped } (Fun\ f\ ts)\ \tau \implies \forall t \in set\ ts. \exists \tau'. \text{welltyped } t\ \tau'$ 
begin

lemma subterm:  $\text{welltyped } (Fun\ \langle c; t \rangle)\ \tau \implies \exists \tau. \text{welltyped } t\ \tau$ 
  <proof>

end

locale term-typing =
  base-typing +
  welltyped: context-compatible-typing where welltyped = welltyped +
  welltyped: subterm-typing where welltyped = welltyped

end
theory Ground-Typing
  imports
    Ground-Clause
    Clause-Typing
    Term-Typing
begin

inductive welltyped for  $\mathcal{F}$  where
  GFun:  $\mathcal{F}\ f\ (length\ ts) = (\tau_s, \tau) \implies list\text{-all2 } (\text{welltyped } \mathcal{F})\ ts\ \tau_s \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{F}\ (GFun\ f\ ts)\ \tau$ 

lemma right-unique-welltyped[iff]: right-unique (welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ )
  <proof>

lemma welltyped-context-compatible:
  assumes
    t-type: welltyped  $\mathcal{F}\ t\ \tau'$  and
    t'-type: welltyped  $\mathcal{F}\ t'\ \tau'$  and
    c-type: welltyped  $\mathcal{F}\ c\langle t \rangle_G\ \tau$ 
  shows welltyped  $\mathcal{F}\ c\langle t' \rangle_G\ \tau$ 
  <proof>

lemma welltyped-subterms:
  fixes f ts  $\tau$ 
  assumes welltyped  $\mathcal{F}\ (GFun\ f\ ts)\ \tau$ 
  shows  $\forall t \in set\ ts. \exists \tau'. \text{welltyped } \mathcal{F}\ t\ \tau'$ 
  <proof>

global-interpretation term: term-typing where
  welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$  and Fun = GFun
  for  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)\ \text{fun-types}$ 
  <proof>

```

```

global-interpretation clause-typing where
  term-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ 
  for  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$  fun-types
   $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 

end
theory Nonground-Term
imports
  Abstract-Substitution.Substitution-First-Order-Term
  Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
  Ground-Term-Extra
begin

no-notation subst-compose (infixl  $\circ_s$  75)
notation subst-compose (infixl  $\odot$  75)

no-notation subst-apply-term (infixl  $\cdot$  67)
notation subst-apply-term (infixl  $\cdot$  67)

Prefer term-subst.subst-id-subst to subst-apply-term-empty.
declare subst-apply-term-empty[no-atp]

```

1 Nonground Terms and Substitutions

```

type-synonym 'f ground-term = 'f gterm

```

1.1 Unified naming

```

locale vars-def =
  fixes vars-def :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'var
begin

abbreviation vars  $\equiv$  vars-def

end

locale grounding-def =
  fixes
    to-ground-def :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'exprG and
    from-ground-def :: 'exprG  $\Rightarrow$  'expr
begin

abbreviation to-ground  $\equiv$  to-ground-def

abbreviation from-ground  $\equiv$  from-ground-def

end

```


1.2 Term

locale *nonground-term-properties* =
 base-functional-substitution +
 finite-variables +
 all-subst-ident-iff-ground

locale *term-grounding* =
 variables-in-base-imgu **where** *base-vars* = *vars* **and** *base-subst* = *subst* +
 grounding

locale *nonground-term*
begin

sublocale *vars-def* **where** *vars-def* = *vars-term* \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *grounding-def* **where**
 to-ground-def = *gterm-of-term* **and** *from-ground-def* = *term-of-gterm* \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *infinite-terms* [*intro*]: *infinite* (*UNIV* :: (*'f*, *'v*) term set)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *nonground-term-properties* **where**
 subst = (\cdot .*t*) **and** *id-subst* = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and**
 vars = *vars* :: (*'f*, *'v*) term \Rightarrow *'v* set
 \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *renaming-variables* **where**
 vars = *vars* :: (*'f*, *'v*) term \Rightarrow *'v* set **and** *subst* = (\cdot .*t*) **and** *id-subst* = *Var* **and**
 comp-subst = (\odot)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *term-grounding* **where**
 subst = (\cdot .*t*) **and** *id-subst* = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and**
 vars = *vars* :: (*'f*, *'v*) term \Rightarrow *'v* set **and** *from-ground* = *from-ground* **and**
 to-ground = *to-ground*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *term-context-ground-iff-term-is-ground* [*simp*]: *Term-Context.ground* *t* =
is-ground *t*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

declare *Term-Context.ground-vars-term-empty* [*simp del*]

lemma *obtain-ground-fun*:
 assumes *is-ground* *t*
 obtains *f ts* **where** *t* = *Fun* *f ts*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

1.3 Setup for lifting from terms

```
locale lifting =  
  based-functional-substitution-lifting +  
  all-subst-ident-iff-ground-lifting +  
  grounding-lifting +  
  renaming-variables-lifting +  
  variables-in-base-imagu-lifting  
  
locale term-based-lifting =  
  term: nonground-term +  
  lifting where  
    comp-subst = ( $\odot$ ) and id-subst = Var and base-subst = ( $\cdot t$ ) and base-vars =  
  term.vars
```

end

theory *Nonground-Context*

imports

Nonground-Term

Ground-Context

begin

2 Nonground Contexts and Substitutions

type-synonym ($'f, 'v$) *context* = ($'f, 'v$) *ctxt*

abbreviation *subst-apply-ctxt* ::

($'f, 'v$) *context* \Rightarrow ($'f, 'v$) *subst* \Rightarrow ($'f, 'v$) *context* (**infixl** $\cdot t_c$ 67) **where**
subst-apply-ctxt \equiv *subst-apply-actxt*

global-interpretation *context: finite-natural-functor* **where**

map = *map-args-actxt* **and** *to-set* = *set2-actxt*

\langle *proof* \rangle

global-interpretation *context: natural-functor-conversion* **where**

map = *map-args-actxt* **and** *to-set* = *set2-actxt* **and** *map-to* = *map-args-actxt*

and

map-from = *map-args-actxt* **and** *map'* = *map-args-actxt* **and** *to-set'* = *set2-actxt*

\langle *proof* \rangle

locale *nonground-context* =

term: nonground-term

begin

sublocale *term-based-lifting* **where**

sub-subst = ($\cdot t$) **and** *sub-vars* = *term.vars* **and**

$to\text{-}set = set2\text{-}actxt :: ('f, 'v) \text{ context} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ term set}$ **and** $map = map\text{-}args\text{-}actxt$
and

$sub\text{-}to\text{-}ground = term.to\text{-}ground$ **and** $sub\text{-}from\text{-}ground = term.from\text{-}ground$ **and**
 $to\text{-}ground\text{-}map = map\text{-}args\text{-}actxt$ **and** $from\text{-}ground\text{-}map = map\text{-}args\text{-}actxt$ **and**
 $ground\text{-}map = map\text{-}args\text{-}actxt$ **and** $to\text{-}set\text{-}ground = set2\text{-}actxt$

rewrites

$\bigwedge c \sigma. subst\ c \sigma = c \cdot t_c \sigma$ **and**

$\bigwedge c. vars\ c = vars\text{-}ctxt\ c$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ground\text{-}ctxt\text{-}iff\text{-}context\text{-}is\text{-}ground$ [simp]: $ground\text{-}ctxt\ c \longleftrightarrow is\text{-}ground\ c$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $term\text{-}to\text{-}ground\text{-}context\text{-}to\text{-}ground$ [simp]:

shows $term.to\text{-}ground\ c \langle t \rangle = (to\text{-}ground\ c) \langle term.to\text{-}ground\ t \rangle_G$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $term\text{-}from\text{-}ground\text{-}context\text{-}from\text{-}ground$ [simp]:

$term.from\text{-}ground\ c_G \langle t_G \rangle_G = (from\text{-}ground\ c_G) \langle term.from\text{-}ground\ t_G \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $term\text{-}from\text{-}ground\text{-}context\text{-}to\text{-}ground$:

assumes $is\text{-}ground\ c$

shows $term.from\text{-}ground\ (to\text{-}ground\ c) \langle t_G \rangle_G = c \langle term.from\text{-}ground\ t_G \rangle$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemmas $safe\text{-}unfolds =$

$eval\text{-}ctxt$

$term\text{-}to\text{-}ground\text{-}context\text{-}to\text{-}ground$

$term\text{-}from\text{-}ground\text{-}context\text{-}from\text{-}ground$

lemma $composed\text{-}context\text{-}is\text{-}ground$ [simp]:

$is\text{-}ground\ (c \circ_c c') \longleftrightarrow is\text{-}ground\ c \wedge is\text{-}ground\ c'$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ground\text{-}context\text{-}subst$:

assumes

$is\text{-}ground\ c_G$

$c_G = (c \cdot t_c \sigma) \circ_c c'$

shows

$c_G = c \circ_c c' \cdot t_c \sigma$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $from\text{-}ground\text{-}hole$ [simp]: $from\text{-}ground\ \square = \square \longleftrightarrow c_G = \square$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hole\text{-}simps$ [simp]: $from\text{-}ground\ \square = \square$ $to\text{-}ground\ \square = \square$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *term-with-context-is-ground* [*simp*]:
 $term.is-ground\ c\langle t \rangle \longleftrightarrow is-ground\ c \wedge term.is-ground\ t$
<proof>

lemma *map-args-actxt-compose* [*simp*]:
 $map-args-actxt\ f\ (c\ \circ_c\ c') = map-args-actxt\ f\ c\ \circ_c\ map-args-actxt\ f\ c'$
<proof>

lemma *from-ground-compose* [*simp*]: $from-ground\ (c\ \circ_c\ c') = from-ground\ c\ \circ_c\ from-ground\ c'$
<proof>

lemma *to-ground-compose* [*simp*]: $to-ground\ (c\ \circ_c\ c') = to-ground\ c\ \circ_c\ to-ground\ c'$
<proof>

end

locale *nonground-term-with-context* =
term: nonground-term +
context: nonground-context

end

theory *Multiset-Grounding-Lifting*
imports
HOL-Library.Multiset
Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting

begin

locale *multiset-grounding-lifting* =
functional-substitution-lifting **where** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *map* = *image-mset*
+
grounding-lifting **where**
to-set = *set-mset* **and** *map* = *image-mset* **and** *to-ground-map* = *image-mset* **and**
from-ground-map = *image-mset* **and** *ground-map* = *image-mset* **and** *to-set-ground*
= *set-mset*

begin

sublocale *natural-magma-with-empty-grounding-lifting* **where**
plus = (+) **and** *wrap* = $\lambda l. \{\#l\#\}$ **and** *plus-ground* = (+) **and** *wrap-ground* =
 $\lambda l. \{\#l\#\}$ **and**
empty = $\{\#\}$ **and** *empty-ground* = $\{\#\}$ **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *map* =
image-mset **and**
to-ground-map = *image-mset* **and** *from-ground-map* = *image-mset* **and** *ground-map*
= *image-mset* **and**
to-set-ground = *set-mset* **and** *add* = *add-mset* **and** *add-ground* = *add-mset*
<proof>

sublocale *natural-magma-functor-functional-substitution-lifting* **where**
plus = (+) **and** *wrap* = $\lambda l. \{\#l\# \}$ **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *map* = *image-mset*
and *add* = *add-mset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

theory *Nonground-Clause*

imports

Ground-Clause

Nonground-Term

Nonground-Context

Clausal-Calculus-Extra

Multiset-Extra

Multiset-Grounding-Lifting

begin

3 Nonground Clauses and Substitutions

type-synonym *'f ground-atom* = *'f gatom*

type-synonym (*'f, 'v*) *atom* = (*'f, 'v*) *term uprod*

locale *term-based-multiset-lifting* =

term-based-lifting **where**

map = *image-mset* **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *to-ground-map* = *image-mset* **and**

from-ground-map = *image-mset* **and** *ground-map* = *image-mset* **and** *to-set-ground*
 = *set-mset*

begin

sublocale *multiset-grounding-lifting* **where**

id-subst = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot)

⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *nonground-clause* = *nonground-term-with-context*

begin

3.1 Nonground Atoms

sublocale *atom: term-based-lifting* **where**

sub-subst = ($\cdot t$) **and** *sub-vars* = *term.vars* **and** *map* = *map-uprod* **and** *to-set* =
set-uprod **and**

sub-to-ground = *term.to-ground* **and** *sub-from-ground* = *term.from-ground* **and**
to-ground-map = *map-uprod* **and** *from-ground-map* = *map-uprod* **and** *ground-map*
 = *map-uprod* **and**

to-set-ground = *set-uprod*

⟨*proof*⟩

notation *atom.subst* (**infixl** $\cdot a$ 67)

lemma *vars-atom* [*simp*]: $atom.vars (Upair\ t_1\ t_2) = term.vars\ t_1 \cup term.vars\ t_2$
<proof>

lemma *subst-atom* [*simp*]:
 $Upair\ t_1\ t_2 \cdot a\ \sigma = Upair\ (t_1 \cdot t\ \sigma)\ (t_2 \cdot t\ \sigma)$
<proof>

lemma *atom-from-ground-term-from-ground* [*simp*]:
 $atom.from-ground\ (Upair\ t_{G1}\ t_{G2}) = Upair\ (term.from-ground\ t_{G1})\ (term.from-ground\ t_{G2})$
<proof>

lemma *atom-to-ground-term-to-ground* [*simp*]:
 $atom.to-ground\ (Upair\ t_1\ t_2) = Upair\ (term.to-ground\ t_1)\ (term.to-ground\ t_2)$
<proof>

lemma *atom-is-ground-term-is-ground* [*simp*]:
 $atom.is-ground\ (Upair\ t_1\ t_2) \longleftrightarrow term.is-ground\ t_1 \wedge term.is-ground\ t_2$
<proof>

lemma *obtain-from-atom-subst*:
assumes $Upair\ t_1'\ t_2' = a \cdot a\ \sigma$
obtains $t_1\ t_2$
where $a = Upair\ t_1\ t_2\ t_1' = t_1 \cdot t\ \sigma\ t_2' = t_2 \cdot t\ \sigma$
<proof>

3.2 Nonground Literals

sublocale *literal: term-based-lifting where*

sub-subst = *atom.subst* **and** *sub-vars* = *atom.vars* **and** *map* = *map-literal* **and**
to-set = *set-literal* **and** *sub-to-ground* = *atom.to-ground* **and**
sub-from-ground = *atom.from-ground* **and** *to-ground-map* = *map-literal* **and**
from-ground-map = *map-literal* **and** *ground-map* = *map-literal* **and** *to-set-ground*
= *set-literal*
<proof>

notation *literal.subst* (**infixl** $\cdot l$ 66)

lemma *vars-literal* [*simp*]:
 $literal.vars\ (Pos\ a) = atom.vars\ a$
 $literal.vars\ (Neg\ a) = atom.vars\ a$
 $literal.vars\ ((if\ b\ then\ Pos\ else\ Neg)\ a) = atom.vars\ a$
<proof>

lemma *subst-literal* [*simp*]:
 $Pos\ a \cdot l\ \sigma = Pos\ (a \cdot a\ \sigma)$

$Neg\ a \cdot l\ \sigma = Neg\ (a \cdot a\ \sigma)$
 $atm-of\ (l \cdot l\ \sigma) = atm-of\ l \cdot a\ \sigma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-literal-if* [simp]:
 $(if\ b\ then\ Pos\ else\ Neg)\ a \cdot l\ \varrho = (if\ b\ then\ Pos\ else\ Neg)\ (a \cdot a\ \varrho)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-polarity-stable*:
shows
 $subst-neg-stable\ [simp]:\ is-neg\ (l \cdot l\ \sigma) \longleftrightarrow is-neg\ l$ **and**
 $subst-pos-stable\ [simp]:\ is-pos\ (l \cdot l\ \sigma) \longleftrightarrow is-pos\ l$
 ⟨proof⟩

declare *literal.discI* [intro]

lemma *literal-from-ground-atom-from-ground* [simp]:
 $literal.from-ground\ (Neg\ a_G) = Neg\ (atom.from-ground\ a_G)$
 $literal.from-ground\ (Pos\ a_G) = Pos\ (atom.from-ground\ a_G)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *literal-from-ground-polarity-stable* [simp]:
shows
 $neg-literal-from-ground-stable: is-neg\ (literal.from-ground\ l_G) \longleftrightarrow is-neg\ l_G$ **and**
 $pos-literal-from-ground-stable: is-pos\ (literal.from-ground\ l_G) \longleftrightarrow is-pos\ l_G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *literal-to-ground-atom-to-ground* [simp]:
 $literal.to-ground\ (Pos\ a) = Pos\ (atom.to-ground\ a)$
 $literal.to-ground\ (Neg\ a) = Neg\ (atom.to-ground\ a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *literal-is-ground-atom-is-ground* [intro]:
 $literal.is-ground\ l \longleftrightarrow atom.is-ground\ (atm-of\ l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-from-pos-literal-subst*:
assumes $l \cdot l\ \sigma = t_1' \approx t_2'$
obtains $t_1\ t_2$
where $l = t_1 \approx t_2\ t_1' = t_1 \cdot t\ \sigma\ t_2' = t_2 \cdot t\ \sigma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-from-neg-literal-subst*:
assumes $l \cdot l\ \sigma = t_1' !\approx t_2'$
obtains $t_1\ t_2$
where $l = t_1 !\approx t_2\ t_1 \cdot t\ \sigma = t_1' t_2 \cdot t\ \sigma = t_2'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *obtain-from-literal-subst* = *obtain-from-pos-literal-subst* *obtain-from-neg-literal-subst*

3.3 Nonground Literals - Alternative

lemma *uprod-literal-subst-eq-literal-subst*: $\text{map-uprod-literal } (\lambda t. t \cdot t \sigma) l = l \cdot l \sigma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uprod-literal-vars-eq-literal-vars*: $\bigcup (\text{term.vars } \text{' uprod-literal-to-set } l) = \text{literal.vars } l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uprod-literal-from-ground-eq-literal-from-ground*:
 $\text{map-uprod-literal term.from-ground } l_G = \text{literal.from-ground } l_G$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uprod-literal-to-ground-eq-literal-to-ground*:
 $\text{map-uprod-literal term.to-ground } l = \text{literal.to-ground } l$
 ⟨proof⟩

sublocale *uprod-literal: term-based-lifting where*

sub-subst = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *sub-vars* = *term.vars* **and** *map* = *map-uprod-literal* **and**
to-set = *uprod-literal-to-set* **and** *sub-to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and**
sub-from-ground = *term.from-ground* **and** *to-ground-map* = *map-uprod-literal*

and

from-ground-map = *map-uprod-literal* **and** *ground-map* = *map-uprod-literal* **and**
to-set-ground = *uprod-literal-to-set*

rewrites

uprod-literal-subst [simp]: $\bigwedge l \sigma. \text{uprod-literal.subst } l \sigma = \text{literal.subst } l \sigma$ **and**

uprod-literal-vars [simp]: $\bigwedge l. \text{uprod-literal.vars } l = \text{literal.vars } l$ **and**

uprod-literal-from-ground [simp]: $\bigwedge l_G. \text{uprod-literal.from-ground } l_G = \text{literal.from-ground } l_G$ **and**

uprod-literal-to-ground [simp]: $\bigwedge l. \text{uprod-literal.to-ground } l = \text{literal.to-ground } l$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mset-literal-from-ground*:

$\text{mset-lit } (\text{literal.from-ground } l) = \text{image-mset term.from-ground } (\text{mset-lit } l)$
 ⟨proof⟩

3.4 Nonground Clauses

sublocale *clause: term-based-multiset-lifting where*

sub-subst = *literal.subst* **and** *sub-vars* = *literal.vars* **and** *sub-to-ground* = *literal.to-ground* **and**

sub-from-ground = *literal.from-ground*

⟨proof⟩

notation *clause.subst* (infixl · 67)

lemmas *clause-submset-vars-clause-subset* [intro] =
clause.to-set-subset-vars-subset[OF *set-mset-mono*]

lemmas *sub-ground-clause* = *clause.to-set-subset-is-ground*[OF *set-mset-mono*]


```

lemma subst-clause-remove1-mset [simp]:
  assumes  $l \in\# C$ 
  shows  $\text{remove1-mset } l C \cdot \sigma = \text{remove1-mset } (l \cdot l \sigma) (C \cdot \sigma)$ 
  <proof>

lemma clause-from-ground-remove1-mset [simp]:
   $\text{clause.from-ground } (\text{remove1-mset } l_G C_G) =$ 
   $\text{remove1-mset } (\text{literal.from-ground } l_G) (\text{clause.from-ground } C_G)$ 
  <proof>

lemmas clause-safe-unfolds =
  atom-to-ground-term-to-ground
  literal-to-ground-atom-to-ground
  atom-from-ground-term-from-ground
  literal-from-ground-atom-from-ground
  literal-from-ground-polarity-stable
  subst-atom
  subst-literal
  vars-atom
  vars-literal

end

end
theory Selection-Function
  imports Ordered-Resolution-Prover.Clausal-Logic
begin

locale selection-function =
  fixes  $\text{select} :: 'a \text{ clause} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ clause}$ 
  assumes
     $\text{select-subset: } \bigwedge C. \text{select } C \subseteq\# C$  and
     $\text{select-negative-literals: } \bigwedge C l. l \in\# \text{select } C \Longrightarrow \text{is-neg } l$ 

end
theory Nonground-Selection-Function
  imports
    Nonground-Clause
    Selection-Function
begin

type-synonym  $'f \text{ ground-select} = 'f \text{ ground-atom clause} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ ground-atom clause}$ 
type-synonym  $('f, 'v) \text{ select} = ('f, 'v) \text{ atom clause} \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) \text{ atom clause}$ 

context nonground-clause
begin

definition  $\text{is-select-grounding} :: ('f, 'v) \text{ select} \Rightarrow 'f \text{ ground-select} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$  where

```

is-select-grounding select select_G $\equiv \forall C_G. \exists C \gamma.$
clause.is-ground $(C \cdot \gamma) \wedge$
 $C_G = \text{clause.to-ground } (C \cdot \gamma) \wedge$
 $\text{select}_G C_G = \text{clause.to-ground } ((\text{select } C) \cdot \gamma)$

end

locale *nonground-selection-function* =
nonground-clause +
selection-function select
for *select* :: ('f, 'v) *atom clause* \Rightarrow ('f, 'v) *atom clause*
begin

abbreviation *is-grounding* :: 'f *ground-select* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
is-grounding select_G \equiv *is-select-grounding select select_G*

definition *select_{Gs}* **where**
select_{Gs} = { *select_G. is-grounding select_G* }

definition *select_G-simple* **where**
select_G-simple C = *clause.to-ground* (*select* (*clause.from-ground C*))

lemma *select_G-simple: is-grounding select_G-simple*
<proof>

lemma *select-is-ground*:
assumes *clause.is-ground C*
shows *clause.is-ground* (*select C*)
<proof>

lemma *is-ground-in-selection*:
assumes $l \in \# \text{select } (\text{clause.from-ground } C)$
shows *literal.is-ground l*
<proof>

lemma *ground-literal-in-selection*:
assumes *clause.is-ground C* $l_G \in \# \text{clause.to-ground } C$
shows *literal.from-ground l_G* $\in \# C$
<proof>

lemma *select-ground-subst*:
assumes *clause.is-ground* $(C \cdot \gamma)$
shows *clause.is-ground* (*select C* $\cdot \gamma$)
<proof>

lemma *select-neg-subst*:
assumes $l \in \# \text{select } C \cdot \gamma$
shows *is-neg l*
<proof>

```

lemma select-vars-subset:  $\bigwedge C. \text{clause.vars } (\text{select } C) \subseteq \text{clause.vars } C$ 
  <proof>

end

end
theory Collect-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemma Collect-if-eq:  $\{x. \text{if } b \ x \ \text{then } P \ x \ \text{else } Q \ x\} = \{x. b \ x \wedge P \ x\} \cup \{x. \neg b \ x$ 
 $\wedge Q \ x\}$ 
  <proof>

lemma Collect-not-mem-conj-eq:  $\{x. x \notin X \wedge P \ x\} = \{x. P \ x\} - X$ 
  <proof>

end
theory Infinite-Variables-Per-Type
  imports
    HOL-Library.Countable-Set
    HOL-Cardinals.Cardinals
    Fresh-Identifiers.Fresh
    Collect-Extra
begin

lemma infinite-prods:
  assumes infinite (UNIV :: 'a set)
  shows infinite  $\{p :: 'a \times 'a. \text{fst } p = x\}$ 
  <proof>

lemma surj-infinite-set:  $\text{surj } g \implies \text{infinite } \{x. f \ x = \tau\} \implies \text{infinite } \{x. f \ (g \ x) =$ 
 $\tau\}$ 
  <proof>

definition infinite-variables-per-type-on :: 'var set  $\Rightarrow$  ('var  $\Rightarrow$  'ty)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  infinite-variables-per-type-on X  $\mathcal{V} \equiv \forall \tau \in \mathcal{V}. \text{finite } \{x. \mathcal{V} \ x = \tau\}$ 

abbreviation infinite-variables-per-type :: ('var  $\Rightarrow$  'ty)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  infinite-variables-per-type  $\equiv$  infinite-variables-per-type-on UNIV

lemma obtain-type-preserving-inj:
  fixes  $\mathcal{V} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$ 
  assumes
    finite-X: finite X and
     $\mathcal{V}$ : infinite-variables-per-type  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  obtains  $f :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$  where
    inj  $f$ 

```

$X \cap f' \text{ ` } Y = \{\}$
 $\forall x \in Y. \mathcal{V} (f x) = \mathcal{V} x$
 <proof>

lemma *obtain-type-preserving-injs*:

fixes $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$

assumes

finite-X: *finite* X **and**

\mathcal{V}_2 : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V}_2

obtains $f f' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**

inj f inj f'

$f' \text{ ` } X \cap f' \text{ ` } Y = \{\}$

$\forall x \in X. \mathcal{V}_1 (f x) = \mathcal{V}_1 x$

$\forall x \in Y. \mathcal{V}_2 (f' x) = \mathcal{V}_2 x$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-type-preserving-injs'*:

fixes $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$

assumes

finite-Y: *finite* Y **and**

\mathcal{V}_1 : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V}_1

obtains $f f' :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v$ **where**

inj f inj f'

$f' \text{ ` } X \cap f' \text{ ` } Y = \{\}$

$\forall x \in X. \mathcal{V}_1 (f x) = \mathcal{V}_1 x$

$\forall x \in Y. \mathcal{V}_2 (f' x) = \mathcal{V}_2 x$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-infinite-variables-per-type-on*:

assumes

infinite-UNIV: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'v$ set) **and**

finite-Y: *finite* Y **and**

finite-Z: *finite* Z **and**

disjoint: $Y \cap Z = \{\}$

obtains $\mathcal{V} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$

where *infinite-variables-per-type-on* $X \mathcal{V} \forall x \in Y. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' x \forall x \in Z. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}'' x$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-infinite-variables-per-type-on'*:

assumes *infinite-UNIV*: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'v$ set) **and** *finite-Y*: *finite* Y

obtains $\mathcal{V} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$

where *infinite-variables-per-type-on* $X \mathcal{V} \forall x \in Y. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' x$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-infinite-variables-per-type-on''*:

assumes *finite Y*

obtains $\mathcal{V} :: 'v :: \textit{infinite} \Rightarrow 'ty$

where *infinite-variables-per-type-on* $X \mathcal{V} \forall x \in Y. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' x$

<proof>

lemma *infinite-variables-per-type-on-subset*:

$X \subseteq Y \implies \text{infinite-variables-per-type-on } Y \mathcal{V} \implies \text{infinite-variables-per-type-on } X \mathcal{V}$

<proof>

definition *infinite-variables-for-all-types* :: ('v \Rightarrow 'ty) \Rightarrow bool **where**
infinite-variables-for-all-types $\mathcal{V} \equiv \forall \tau. \text{infinite } \{x. \mathcal{V} x = \tau\}$

lemma *exists-infinite-variables-for-all-types*:

assumes |UNIV :: 'ty set| \leq_o |UNIV :: ('v :: infinite) set|

shows $\exists \mathcal{V} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty. \text{infinite-variables-for-all-types } \mathcal{V}$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-infinite-variables-for-all-types*:

assumes |UNIV :: 'ty set| \leq_o |UNIV :: 'v set|

obtains $\mathcal{V} :: 'v :: \text{infinite} \Rightarrow 'ty$ **where** *infinite-variables-for-all-types* \mathcal{V}

<proof>

lemma *infinite-variables-per-type-if-infinite-variables-for-all-types*:

infinite-variables-for-all-types $\mathcal{V} \implies \text{infinite-variables-per-type } \mathcal{V}$

<proof>

end

theory *Typed-Functional-Substitution*

imports

Typing

Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution

Infinite-Variables-Per-Type

begin

type-synonym ('v, 'ty) *var-types* = 'v \Rightarrow 'ty

locale *base-typed-functional-substitution* =

base-functional-substitution **where** *id-subst* = *id-subst*

for

id-subst :: 'v \Rightarrow 'base **and**

welltyped :: ('v, 'ty) *var-types* \Rightarrow 'base \Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow bool +

assumes

base-typing: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V}. \text{Typing.typing } (\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V})$ **and**

typed-id-subst [*intro*]: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} x. \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{id-subst } x) (\mathcal{V} x)$

begin

sublocale *typing welltyped* \mathcal{V}

<proof>

abbreviation *is-welltyped-on* :: 'v set \Rightarrow ('v, 'ty) *var-types* \Rightarrow ('v \Rightarrow 'base) \Rightarrow bool
where

is-welltyped-on $X \mathcal{V} \sigma \equiv \forall x \in X. \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\sigma x) (\mathcal{V} x)$

lemma *subst-update*:

assumes *welltyped* \mathcal{V} (*id-subst* *var*) τ *welltyped* \mathcal{V} *update* τ *is-welltyped-on* $X \mathcal{V}$
 γ
shows *is-welltyped-on* $X \mathcal{V}$ ($\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update})$)
<proof>

lemma *is-typed-on-subset*:

assumes *is-welltyped-on* $Y \mathcal{V} \sigma$ $X \subseteq Y$
shows *is-welltyped-on* $X \mathcal{V} \sigma$
<proof>

lemma *is-typed-id-subst* [*intro*]: *is-welltyped-on* $X \mathcal{V}$ *id-subst*
<proof>

end

locale *typed-functional-substitution* =

base: *base-typed-functional-substitution* **where**
subst = *base-subst* **and** *vars* = *base-vars* **and** *welltyped* = *base-welltyped* +
based-functional-substitution **where** *vars* = *vars*
for
base-welltyped :: (*'v*, *'ty*) *var-types* \Rightarrow *'base* \Rightarrow *'ty* \Rightarrow *bool* **and**
vars :: *'expr* \Rightarrow *'v* *set* **and**
welltyped :: (*'v*, *'ty*) *var-types* \Rightarrow *'expr* \Rightarrow *'ty* \Rightarrow *bool* +
assumes
base-typing0: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V}. \text{Typing.typing } (\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V})$
begin

sublocale *typing welltyped* \mathcal{V}
<proof>

abbreviation *is-welltyped-ground-instance* **where**

is-welltyped-ground-instance *expr* $\mathcal{V} \gamma \equiv$
is-ground (*expr* \cdot γ) \wedge
($\exists \tau. \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \tau$) \wedge
base.is-welltyped-on (*vars* *expr*) $\mathcal{V} \gamma \wedge$
infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}

end

locale *inhabited-typed-functional-substitution* =

typed-functional-substitution +
assumes *types-inhabited*: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} \tau. \exists b. \text{base.is-ground } b \wedge \text{base-welltyped } \mathcal{V} b \tau$
begin

lemma *ground-subst-extension*:

assumes

grounding: *is-ground* (*expr* · γ) **and**

γ -*is-typed-on*: *base.is-welltyped-on* (*vars expr*) \mathcal{V} γ

obtains γ'

where

base.is-ground-subst γ'

base.is-welltyped-on *UNIV* \mathcal{V} γ'

$\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \gamma x = \gamma' x$

<proof>

lemma *grounding-extension*:

assumes

grounding: *is-ground* (*expr* · γ) **and**

γ -*is-typed-on*: *base.is-welltyped-on* (*vars expr*) \mathcal{V} γ

obtains γ'

where

is-ground (*expr'* · γ')

base.is-welltyped-on (*vars expr'*) \mathcal{V} γ'

$\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \gamma x = \gamma' x$

<proof>

end

sublocale *base-typed-functional-substitution* \subseteq *typed-functional-substitution* **where**

base-subst = *subst* **and** *base-vars* = *vars* **and** *base-welltyped* = *welltyped*

<proof>

locale *typed-grounding-functional-substitution* =

typed-functional-substitution + *grounding*

begin

definition *welltyped-ground-instances* **where**

welltyped-ground-instances typed-expr =

$\{ \text{to-ground } (\text{fst } \text{typed-expr} \cdot \gamma) \mid \gamma.$

is-welltyped-ground-instance (*fst typed-expr*) (*snd typed-expr*) $\gamma \}$

lemma *typed-ground-instances-ground-instances'*:

welltyped-ground-instances (*expr*, \mathcal{V}) \subseteq *ground-instances'* *expr*

<proof>

end

locale *typed-subst-stability* = *typed-functional-substitution* +

assumes

subst-stability [*simp*]: $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \sigma \tau.$

base.is-welltyped-on (*vars expr*) $\mathcal{V} \sigma \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{expr} \cdot \sigma) \tau \longleftrightarrow \text{welltyped}$

$\mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \tau$

begin

lemma *subst-stability-UNIV* [*simp*]:

base.is-welltyped-on UNIV \mathcal{V} $\sigma \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{expr} \cdot \sigma) \tau \longleftrightarrow \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}$
expr τ
<proof>

end

locale *base-typed-subst-stability* =

base-typed-functional-substitution +
typed-subst-stability **where** *base-subst* = *subst* **and** *base-vars* = *vars* **and** *base-welltyped*
= *welltyped*

begin

lemma *typed-subst-compose* [*intro*]:

assumes

is-welltyped-on X \mathcal{V} σ

is-welltyped-on $(\bigcup (\text{vars} \text{ ' } \sigma \text{ ' } X))$ \mathcal{V} σ'

shows *is-welltyped-on* X \mathcal{V} $(\sigma \odot \sigma')$

<proof>

lemma *typed-subst-compose-UNIV* [*intro*]:

assumes

is-welltyped-on UNIV \mathcal{V} σ

is-welltyped-on UNIV \mathcal{V} σ'

shows *is-welltyped-on UNIV* \mathcal{V} $(\sigma \odot \sigma')$

<proof>

end

locale *replaceable- \mathcal{V}* = *typed-functional-substitution* +

assumes *replace- \mathcal{V}* :

$\bigwedge \text{expr } \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}' \tau. \forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' x \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \tau \implies \text{welltyped}$
 $\mathcal{V}' \text{ expr } \tau$

begin

lemma *replace- \mathcal{V} -iff*:

assumes $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' x$

shows $\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \tau \longleftrightarrow \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}' \text{ expr } \tau$

<proof>

lemma *is-ground-typed*:

assumes *is-ground* *expr*

shows $\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \tau \longleftrightarrow \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}' \text{ expr } \tau$

<proof>

end

locale *typed-renaming* =
typed-functional-substitution +
renaming-variables +
assumes
typed-renaming [*simp*]:
 $\bigwedge \mathcal{V} \mathcal{V}' \text{ expr } \varrho \tau. \text{ base.is-renaming } \varrho \implies$
 $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x) \implies$
 $\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}' (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho) \tau \longleftrightarrow \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} \text{ expr } \tau$

locale *base-typed-renaming* =
base-typed-functional-substitution **where**
welltyped = *welltyped* +
typed-renaming **where**
base-subst = *subst* **and** *base-vars* = *vars* **and** *base-welltyped* = *welltyped* **and**
welltyped = *welltyped* +
replaceable- \mathcal{V} **where**
base-subst = *subst* **and** *base-vars* = *vars* **and** *base-welltyped* = *welltyped* **and**
welltyped = *welltyped*
for *welltyped* :: ('v, 'ty) var-types \Rightarrow 'expr \Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow bool
begin

lemma *renaming-ground-subst*:
assumes
is-renaming ϱ
is-welltyped-on ($\bigcup (\text{vars } \varrho \text{ } X)$) $\mathcal{V}' \gamma$
is-welltyped-on $X \mathcal{V} \varrho$
is-ground-subst γ
 $\forall x \in X. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x)$
shows *is-welltyped-on* $X \mathcal{V} (\varrho \odot \gamma)$
<proof>

lemma *obtain-typed-renaming*:
fixes $\mathcal{V} :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$
assumes
finite X
infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}
obtains $\varrho :: 'v \Rightarrow 'expr$ **where**
is-renaming ϱ
id-subst ' $X \cap \varrho$ ' $Y = \{\}$
is-welltyped-on $Y \mathcal{V} \varrho$
<proof>

lemma *obtain-typed-renamings*:
fixes $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$
assumes
finite X
infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_2
obtains $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'expr$ **where**
is-renaming ϱ_1

is-renaming ϱ_2
 $\varrho_1 \text{ ' } X \cap \varrho_2 \text{ ' } Y = \{\}$
is-welltyped-on $X \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$
is-welltyped-on $Y \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *obtain-typed-renamings'*:
fixes $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$
assumes
 finite Y
 infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_1
obtains $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'expr$ **where**
 is-renaming ϱ_1
 is-renaming ϱ_2
 $\varrho_1 \text{ ' } X \cap \varrho_2 \text{ ' } Y = \{\}$
 is-welltyped-on $X \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$
 is-welltyped-on $Y \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *renaming-subst-compose*:
assumes
 is-renaming ϱ
 is-welltyped-on $X \mathcal{V} (\varrho \odot \sigma)$
 is-welltyped-on $X \mathcal{V} \varrho$
shows *is-welltyped-on* $(\bigcup (\text{vars ' } \varrho \text{ ' } X)) \mathcal{V} \sigma$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

lemma (**in** *renaming-variables*) *obtain-merged- \mathcal{V}* :
assumes
 ϱ_1 : *is-renaming* ϱ_1 **and**
 ϱ_2 : *is-renaming* ϱ_2 **and**
 rename-apart: $\text{vars} (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{vars} (\text{expr}' \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$ **and**
 finite-vars: *finite* (vars expr) *finite* $(\text{vars expr}')$ **and**
 infinite-UNIV: *infinite* $(UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$
obtains \mathcal{V}_3 **where**
 infinite-variables-per-type-on $X \mathcal{V}_3$
 $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_1 x)$
 $\forall x \in \text{vars expr}'. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_2 x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma (**in** *renaming-variables*) *obtain-merged- \mathcal{V} -infinite-variables-for-all-types*:
assumes
 ϱ_1 : *is-renaming* ϱ_1 **and**
 ϱ_2 : *is-renaming* ϱ_2 **and**
 rename-apart: $\text{vars} (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{vars} (\text{expr}' \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_2 : *infinite-variables-for-all-types* \mathcal{V}_2 **and**
 finite-vars: *finite* (vars expr)

obtains \mathcal{V}_3 **where**

$\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_1 x)$
 $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}'. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_2 x)$
infinite-variables-for-all-types \mathcal{V}_3

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma (*in renaming-variables*) *obtain-merged- \mathcal{V}' -infinite-variables-for-all-types*:

assumes

ϱ_1 : *is-renaming* ϱ_1 **and**
 ϱ_2 : *is-renaming* ϱ_2 **and**
rename-apart: $\text{vars } (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{vars } (\text{expr}' \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$ **and**
 \mathcal{V}_1 : *infinite-variables-for-all-types* \mathcal{V}_1 **and**
finite-vars: *finite* ($\text{vars } \text{expr}'$)

obtains \mathcal{V}_3 **where**

$\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \mathcal{V}_1 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_1 x)$
 $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}'. \mathcal{V}_2 x = \mathcal{V}_3 (\text{rename } \varrho_2 x)$
infinite-variables-for-all-types \mathcal{V}_3

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

locale *based-typed-renaming* =

base: *base-typed-renaming* **where**

subst = *base-subst* **and** *vars* = *base-vars* :: $'\text{base} \Rightarrow 'v \text{ set}$ **and**
welltyped = *base-welltyped* :: $('v \Rightarrow 'ty) \Rightarrow '\text{base} \Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow \text{bool} +$
typed-renaming

begin

lemma *renaming-grounding*:

assumes

renaming: *base.is-renaming* ϱ **and**
 ϱ - γ -*is-welltyped*: *base.is-welltyped-on* ($\text{vars } \text{expr}$) $\mathcal{V} (\varrho \odot \gamma)$ **and**
grounding: *is-ground* ($\text{expr} \cdot \varrho \odot \gamma$) **and**
 \mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}' : $\forall x \in \text{vars } \text{expr}. \mathcal{V} x = \mathcal{V}' (\text{rename } \varrho x)$

shows *base.is-welltyped-on* ($\text{vars } (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho)$) $\mathcal{V}' \gamma$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *obtain-merged-grounding*:

fixes $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2$:: $'v \Rightarrow 'ty$

assumes

base.is-welltyped-on ($\text{vars } \text{expr}$) $\mathcal{V}_1 \gamma_1$
base.is-welltyped-on ($\text{vars } \text{expr}'$) $\mathcal{V}_2 \gamma_2$
is-ground ($\text{expr} \cdot \gamma_1$)
is-ground ($\text{expr}' \cdot \gamma_2$) **and**
 \mathcal{V}_2 : *infinite-variables-per-type* \mathcal{V}_2 **and**
finite-vars: *finite* ($\text{vars } \text{expr}$)

obtains $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 \gamma$ **where**

base.is-renaming ϱ_1
base.is-renaming ϱ_2
 $\text{vars } (\text{expr} \cdot \varrho_1) \cap \text{vars } (\text{expr}' \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$
base.is-welltyped-on ($\text{vars } \text{expr}$) $\mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$

$base.is-welltyped-on (vars\ expr') \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$
 $\forall x \in vars\ expr. \gamma_1 x = (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma) x$
 $\forall x \in vars\ expr'. \gamma_2 x = (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma) x$
 <proof>

lemma *obtain-merged-grounding'*:

fixes $\mathcal{V}_1 \mathcal{V}_2 :: 'v \Rightarrow 'ty$

assumes

$typed-\gamma_1: base.is-welltyped-on (vars\ expr) \mathcal{V}_1 \gamma_1$ **and**
 $typed-\gamma_2: base.is-welltyped-on (vars\ expr') \mathcal{V}_2 \gamma_2$ **and**
 $expr-grounding: is-ground (expr \cdot \gamma_1)$ **and**
 $expr'-grounding: is-ground (expr' \cdot \gamma_2)$ **and**
 $\mathcal{V}_1: infinite-variables-per-type \mathcal{V}_1$ **and**
 $finite-vars: finite (vars\ expr')$

obtains $\varrho_1 \varrho_2 \gamma$ **where**

$base.is-renaming \varrho_1$
 $base.is-renaming \varrho_2$
 $vars (expr \cdot \varrho_1) \cap vars (expr' \cdot \varrho_2) = \{\}$
 $base.is-welltyped-on (vars\ expr) \mathcal{V}_1 \varrho_1$
 $base.is-welltyped-on (vars\ expr') \mathcal{V}_2 \varrho_2$
 $\forall x \in vars\ expr. \gamma_1 x = (\varrho_1 \odot \gamma) x$
 $\forall x \in vars\ expr'. \gamma_2 x = (\varrho_2 \odot \gamma) x$
 <proof>

end

sublocale *base-typed-renaming* \subseteq

$based-typed-renaming$ **where** $base-vars = vars$ **and** $base-subst = subst$ **and** $base-welltyped = welltyped$
 <proof>

locale *functional-substitution-typing* =

$welltyped: base-typed-functional-substitution$ **where** $welltyped = welltyped$

for

$welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types \Rightarrow 'expr \Rightarrow 'ty \Rightarrow bool$

begin

abbreviation *is-welltyped-on* **where**

$is-welltyped-on \equiv welltyped.is-welltyped-on$

abbreviation *is-welltyped* **where**

$is-welltyped \equiv is-welltyped-on UNIV$

lemmas $welltyped-id-subst = welltyped.typed-id-subst$

lemmas $is-welltyped-id-subst = welltyped.is-typed-id-subst$

lemmas $is-welltyped-on-subset = welltyped.is-typed-on-subset$

```

lemmas is-welltyped-subst-update = welltyped.subst-update

end

end
theory Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting
  imports
    Typed-Functional-Substitution
    Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

lemma ext-equiv:  $(\bigwedge x. f\ x \equiv g\ x) \implies f \equiv g$ 
  <proof>

locale typed-functional-substitution-lifting =
  sub: typed-functional-substitution where
    vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and welltyped = sub-welltyped and
    base-vars = base-vars +
    based-functional-substitution-lifting where to-set = to-set and base-vars =
    base-vars
for
  sub-welltyped :: ('v, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  'sub  $\Rightarrow$  'ty'  $\Rightarrow$  bool and
  to-set :: 'expr  $\Rightarrow$  'sub set and
  base-vars :: 'base  $\Rightarrow$  'v set
begin

sublocale typing-lifting where sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>

sublocale typed-functional-substitution where
  vars = vars and subst = subst and welltyped = welltyped
  <proof>

end

locale typed-grounding-functional-substitution-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  grounding-lifting
begin

sublocale typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
  vars = vars and subst = subst and welltyped = welltyped and to-ground =
  to-ground and
  from-ground = from-ground
  <proof>

end

```

```

locale inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: inhabited-typed-functional-substitution where
    vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and welltyped = sub-welltyped
begin

sublocale inhabited-typed-functional-substitution where
  vars = vars and subst = subst and welltyped = welltyped
  <proof>

end

locale typed-subst-stability-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: typed-subst-stability where
    welltyped = sub-welltyped and vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst
begin

sublocale typed-subst-stability where welltyped = welltyped and subst = subst
and vars = vars
  <proof>

end

locale replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$ -lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting +
  sub: replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where welltyped = sub-welltyped and vars = sub-vars and
  subst = sub-subst
begin

sublocale replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
  subst = subst and vars = vars and welltyped = welltyped
  <proof>

end

locale typed-renaming-lifting =
  typed-functional-substitution-lifting where
    base-welltyped = base-welltyped :: ('v  $\Rightarrow$  'ty)  $\Rightarrow$  'base  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool +
    renaming-variables-lifting +
  sub: based-typed-renaming where
    subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars and welltyped = sub-welltyped
begin

sublocale based-typed-renaming where
  subst = subst and vars = vars and welltyped = welltyped
  <proof>

```

```

end

end
theory Nonground-Term-Typing
  imports
    Term-Typing
    Typed-Functional-Substitution
    Nonground-Term
begin

locale base-typed-properties =
  base-typed-renaming +
  base-typed-subst-stability +
  replaceable- $\mathcal{V}$  where
    base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars and base-welltyped = welltyped +
    typed-grounding-functional-substitution where
      base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars and base-welltyped = welltyped

locale base-typing-properties =
  welltyped: base-typed-properties where welltyped = welltyped
for welltyped :: ('var, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  'base  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool

locale base-inhabited-typing-properties =
  base-typing-properties +
  welltyped: inhabited-typed-functional-substitution where
    welltyped = welltyped and base-subst = subst and base-vars = vars and
base-welltyped = welltyped

locale nonground-term-typing =
  term: nonground-term +
  fixes  $\mathcal{F}$  :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types
begin

inductive welltyped :: ('v, 'ty) var-types  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) term  $\Rightarrow$  'ty  $\Rightarrow$  bool
for  $\mathcal{V}$  where
  Var:  $\mathcal{V} x = \tau \Longrightarrow \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{Var } x) \tau$ 
  | Fun:  $\mathcal{F} f (\text{length } ts) = (\tau s, \tau) \Longrightarrow \text{list-all2 } (\text{welltyped } \mathcal{V}) ts \tau s \Longrightarrow \text{welltyped } \mathcal{V} (\text{Fun } f ts) \tau$ 

sublocale term: base-typing where
  welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  for  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>

sublocale term: term-typing where
  welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  and Fun = Fun for  $\mathcal{V}$ 
  <proof>

```

sublocale *term: base-typing-properties* **where**
id-subst = *Var* :: 'v ⇒ ('f, 'v) *term* **and** *comp-subst* = (⊙) **and** *subst* = (·t) **and**
vars = *term.vars* **and** *welltyped* = *welltyped* **and** *to-ground* = *term.to-ground*
and
from-ground = *term.from-ground*
⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *functional-substitution-typing* **where**
id-subst = *Var* :: 'v ⇒ ('f, 'v) *term* **and** *comp-subst* = (⊙) **and** *subst* = (·t) **and**
vars = *term.vars* **and** *welltyped* = *welltyped*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *nonground-term-inhabited-typing* =
nonground-term-typing **where** $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$ **for** $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$ *fun-types* +
assumes *types-inhabited*: $\bigwedge \tau. \exists f. \mathcal{F} f 0 = (\square, \tau)$
begin

sublocale *base-inhabited-typing-properties* **where**
id-subst = *Var* :: 'v ⇒ ('f, 'v) *term* **and** *comp-subst* = (⊙) **and** *subst* = (·t) **and**
vars = *term.vars* **and** *welltyped* = *welltyped* **and** *to-ground* = *term.to-ground*
and
from-ground = *term.from-ground*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

theory *Nonground-Typing*
imports
Clause-Typing
Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting
Nonground-Term-Typing
Nonground-Clause
begin

type-synonym ('f, 'v, 'ty) *typed-clause* = ('f, 'v) *atom clause* × ('v, 'ty) *var-types*

locale *nonground-typed-lifting* =
typed-subst-stability-lifting +
replaceable- \mathcal{V} -lifting +
typed-renaming-lifting +
typed-grounding-functional-substitution-lifting

locale *nonground-typing-lifting* =
is-welltyped: *nonground-typed-lifting* **where**
sub-welltyped = *sub-welltyped* **and** *base-welltyped* = *base-welltyped*
for *base-welltyped* *sub-welltyped*

begin

abbreviation *is-welltyped-ground-instance* \equiv *is-welltyped.is-welltyped-ground-instance*

abbreviation *welltyped-ground-instances* \equiv *is-welltyped.welltyped-ground-instances*

lemmas *welltyped-ground-instances-def* = *is-welltyped.welltyped-ground-instances-def*

end

locale *nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting* =
 nonground-typing-lifting +
 welltyped: inhabited-typed-functional-substitution-lifting **where**
 sub-welltyped = *sub-welltyped* **and** *base-welltyped* = *base-welltyped*

locale *term-based-nonground-typing-lifting* =
 term: nonground-term +
 nonground-typing-lifting **where**
 id-subst = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *base-subst* = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *base-vars* =
 term.vars

locale *term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting* =
 term: nonground-term +
 nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting **where**
 id-subst = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *base-subst* = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *base-vars* =
 term.vars

locale *nonground-typing* =
 nonground-clause +
 nonground-term-typing \mathcal{F}
 for $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$ *fun-types*
begin

sublocale *clause-typing welltyped* \mathcal{V}
 \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *atom: term-based-nonground-typing-lifting* **where**
 base-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *map* = *map-uprod* **and** *to-set* = *set-uprod* **and**
 sub-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *sub-to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and**
 sub-from-ground = *term.from-ground* **and** *to-ground-map* = *map-uprod* **and**
 from-ground-map = *map-uprod* **and** *ground-map* = *map-uprod* **and** *to-set-ground*
 = *set-uprod* **and**
 sub-subst = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *sub-vars* = *term.vars*
 \langle *proof* \rangle

sublocale *literal: term-based-nonground-typing-lifting* **where**
 base-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *sub-vars* = *atom.vars* **and** *sub-subst* = $(\cdot a)$ **and**
 map = *map-literal* **and** *to-set* = *set-literal* **and** *sub-welltyped* = *atom.welltyped*
and

sub-to-ground = *atom.to-ground* **and** *sub-from-ground* = *atom.from-ground* **and**
to-ground-map = *map-literal* **and** *from-ground-map* = *map-literal* **and** *ground-map*
= *map-literal* **and**
to-set-ground = *set-literal*
⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *clause: term-based-nonground-typing-lifting* **where**
base-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *sub-vars* = *literal.vars* **and** *sub-subst* = $(\cdot.l)$ **and**
map = *image-mset* **and** *to-set* = *set-mset* **and** *sub-welltyped* = *literal.welltyped*
and
sub-to-ground = *literal.to-ground* **and** *sub-from-ground* = *literal.from-ground* **and**
to-ground-map = *image-mset* **and** *from-ground-map* = *image-mset* **and** *ground-map*
= *image-mset* **and**
to-set-ground = *set-mset*
⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *nonground-inhabited-typing* =
nonground-typing \mathcal{F} +
nonground-term-inhabited-typing \mathcal{F}
for $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$ *fun-types*
begin

sublocale *atom: term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting* **where**
base-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *map* = *map-uprod* **and** *to-set* = *set-uprod* **and**
sub-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *sub-to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and**
sub-from-ground = *term.from-ground* **and** *to-ground-map* = *map-uprod* **and**
from-ground-map = *map-uprod* **and** *ground-map* = *map-uprod* **and** *to-set-ground*
= *set-uprod* **and**
sub-subst = $(\cdot.t)$ **and** *sub-vars* = *term.vars*
⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *literal: term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting* **where**
base-welltyped = *welltyped* **and** *sub-vars* = *atom.vars* **and**
sub-subst = $(\cdot.a)$ **and** *map* = *map-literal* **and** *to-set* = *set-literal* **and**
sub-welltyped = *atom.welltyped* **and** *sub-to-ground* = *atom.to-ground* **and**
sub-from-ground = *atom.from-ground* **and** *to-ground-map* = *map-literal* **and**
from-ground-map = *map-literal* **and** *ground-map* = *map-literal* **and** *to-set-ground*
= *set-literal*
⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *clause: term-based-nonground-inhabited-typing-lifting* **where**
base-welltyped = *welltyped* **and**
sub-vars = *literal.vars* **and** *sub-subst* = $(\cdot.l)$ **and** *map* = *image-mset* **and** *to-set*
= *set-mset* **and**
sub-welltyped = *literal.welltyped* **and**
sub-to-ground = *literal.to-ground* **and** *sub-from-ground* = *literal.from-ground* **and**
to-ground-map = *image-mset* **and** *from-ground-map* = *image-mset* **and** *ground-map*

```

= image-mset and
  to-set-ground = set-mset
  <proof>

end

end
theory HOL-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemmas UniqI = Uniq-I

lemma Uniq-prodI:
  assumes  $\bigwedge x1\ y1\ x2\ y2. P\ x1\ y1 \implies P\ x2\ y2 \implies (x1, y1) = (x2, y2)$ 
  shows  $\exists_{\leq 1}(x, y). P\ x\ y$ 
  <proof>

lemma Uniq-implies-ex1:  $\exists_{\leq 1} x. P\ x \implies P\ y \implies \exists! x. P\ x$ 
  <proof>

lemma Uniq-antimono:  $Q \leq P \implies \text{Uniq}\ Q \geq \text{Uniq}\ P$ 
  <proof>

lemma Uniq-antimono':  $(\bigwedge x. Q\ x \implies P\ x) \implies \text{Uniq}\ P \implies \text{Uniq}\ Q$ 
  <proof>

lemma Collect-eq-if-Uniq:  $(\exists_{\leq 1} x. P\ x) \implies \{x. P\ x\} = \{\} \vee (\exists x. \{x. P\ x\} = \{x\})$ 
  <proof>

lemma Collect-eq-if-Uniq-prod:
   $(\exists_{\leq 1}(x, y). P\ x\ y) \implies \{(x, y). P\ x\ y\} = \{\} \vee (\exists x\ y. \{(x, y). P\ x\ y\} = \{(x, y)\})$ 
  <proof>

lemma Ball-Ex-comm:
   $(\forall x \in X. \exists f. P\ (f\ x)\ x) \implies (\exists f. \forall x \in X. P\ (f\ x)\ x)$ 
   $(\exists f. \forall x \in X. P\ (f\ x)\ x) \implies (\forall x \in X. \exists f. P\ (f\ x)\ x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma set-map-id:
  assumes  $x \in \text{set}\ X\ f\ x \notin \text{set}\ X\ \text{map}\ f\ X = X$ 
  shows False
  <proof>

lemma Ball-singleton:  $(\forall x \in \{x\}. P\ x) \longleftrightarrow P\ x$ 
  <proof>

end
theory Grounded-Selection-Function

```

```

imports
  Nonground-Selection-Function
  Nonground-Typing
  HOL-Extra
begin

context nonground-typing
begin

abbreviation select-subst-stability-on-clause where
  select-subst-stability-on-clause select selectG CG C  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma$   $\equiv$ 
    C ·  $\gamma$  = clause.from-ground CG  $\wedge$ 
    selectG CG = clause.to-ground ((select C) ·  $\gamma$ )  $\wedge$ 
    clause.is-welltyped-ground-instance C  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma$ 

abbreviation select-subst-stability-on where
  select-subst-stability-on select selectG N  $\equiv$ 
     $\forall C_G \in \bigcup$  (clause.welltyped-ground-instances 'N).  $\exists (C, \mathcal{V}) \in N. \exists \gamma.$ 
    select-subst-stability-on-clause select selectG CG C  $\mathcal{V}$   $\gamma$ 

lemma obtain-subst-stable-on-select-grounding:
  fixes select :: ('f, 'v) select
  obtains selectG where
    select-subst-stability-on select selectG N
    is-select-grounding select selectG
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale grounded-selection-function =
  nonground-selection-function select +
  nonground-typing  $\mathcal{F}$ 
  for
    select :: ('f, 'v :: infinite) atom clause  $\Rightarrow$  ('f, 'v) atom clause and
     $\mathcal{F}$  :: ('f, 'ty) fun-types +
  fixes selectG
  assumes selectG: is-select-grounding select selectG
  begin

abbreviation subst-stability-on where
  subst-stability-on N  $\equiv$  select-subst-stability-on select selectG N

lemma selectG-subset: selectG C  $\subseteq\#$  C
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma selectG-negative-literals:
  assumes lG  $\in\#$  selectG CG
  shows is-neg lG
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

sublocale ground: selection-function selectG
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Term-Rewrite-System
  imports Ground-Context
begin

definition compatible-with-gctxt :: 'f gterm rel ⇒ bool where
  compatible-with-gctxt I ⇔ (∀ t t' cctx. (t, t') ∈ I ⟶ (cctx⟨t⟩G, cctx⟨t'⟩G) ∈ I)

lemma compatible-with-gctxtD:
  compatible-with-gctxt I ⟹ (t, t') ∈ I ⟹ (cctx⟨t⟩G, cctx⟨t'⟩G) ∈ I
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-converse:
  assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
  shows compatible-with-gctxt (I-1)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-symcl:
  assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
  shows compatible-with-gctxt (I↔)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-rtrancl:
  assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
  shows compatible-with-gctxt (I*)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-relcomp:
  assumes compatible-with-gctxt I1 and compatible-with-gctxt I2
  shows compatible-with-gctxt (I1 O I2)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-join:
  assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
  shows compatible-with-gctxt (I↓)
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma compatible-with-gctxt-conversion:
  assumes compatible-with-gctxt I
  shows compatible-with-gctxt (I↔*)
  ⟨proof⟩

definition rewrite-inside-gctxt :: 'f gterm rel ⇒ 'f gterm rel where

```

$rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R = \{(ctxt\langle t1 \rangle_G, ctxt\langle t2 \rangle_G) \mid ctxt\ t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in R\}$

lemma *mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-if-mem-rewrite-rules*[intro]:

$(l, r) \in R \implies (l, r) \in rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ctxt-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt-if-mem-rewrite-rules*[intro]:

$(l, r) \in R \implies (ctxt\langle l \rangle_G, ctxt\langle r \rangle_G) \in rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rewrite-inside-gctxt-mono*: $R \subseteq S \implies rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R \subseteq rewrite_inside_gctxt\ S$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *rewrite-inside-gctxt-union*:

$rewrite_inside_gctxt\ (R \cup S) = rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R \cup rewrite_inside_gctxt\ S$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rewrite-inside-gctxt-insert*:

$rewrite_inside_gctxt\ (insert\ r\ R) = rewrite_inside_gctxt\ \{r\} \cup rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *converse-rewrite-steps*: $(rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R)^{-1} = rewrite_inside_gctxt\ (R^{-1})$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *rhs-lt-lhs-if-rule-in-rewrite-inside-gctxt*:

fixes *less-trm* :: 'f gterm \Rightarrow 'f gterm \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \prec_t 50)

assumes

rule-in: $(t1, t2) \in rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R$ **and**

ball-R-rhs-lt-lhs: $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in R \implies t2 \prec_t t1$ **and**

compatible-with-gctxt: $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ ctxt. t2 \prec_t t1 \implies ctxt\langle t2 \rangle_G \prec_t ctxt\langle t1 \rangle_G$

shows $t2 \prec_t t1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-rewrite-step-union-NF*:

assumes $(t, t') \in rewrite_inside_gctxt\ (R1 \cup R2)$

$t \in NF\ (rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R2)$

shows $(t, t') \in rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R1$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *predicate-holds-of-mem-rewrite-inside-gctxt*:

assumes *rule-in*: $(t1, t2) \in rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R$ **and**

ball-P: $\bigwedge t1\ t2. (t1, t2) \in R \implies P\ t1\ t2$ **and**

preservation: $\bigwedge t1\ t2\ ctxt\ \sigma. (t1, t2) \in R \implies P\ t1\ t2 \implies P\ ctxt\langle t1 \rangle_G\ ctxt\langle t2 \rangle_G$

shows $P\ t1\ t2$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *compatible-with-gctxt-rewrite-inside-gctxt*[simp]: $compatible_with_gctxt\ (rewrite_inside_gctxt\ R)$

E)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subset-rewrite-inside-gctxt[simp]*: $E \subseteq \text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } E$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *wf-converse-rewrite-inside-gctxt*:

fixes $E :: 'f \text{ gterm rel}$

assumes

wfP-R: $\text{wfP } R$ **and**

R-compatible-with-gctxt: $\bigwedge \text{ctxt } t \ t'. R \ t \ t' \implies R \ \text{ctxt}\langle t \rangle_G \ \text{ctxt}\langle t' \rangle_G$ **and**

equations-subset-R: $\bigwedge x \ y. (x, y) \in E \implies R \ y \ x$

shows $\text{wf } ((\text{rewrite-inside-gctxt } E)^{-1})$

⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Entailment-Lifting*

imports *Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting*

begin

locale *entailment* =

based: *based-functional-substitution* **where** *base-subst* = *base-subst* **and** *vars* =
vars +

base: *grounding* **where** *subst* = *base-subst* **and** *vars* = *base-vars* **and** *to-ground*
 = *base-to-ground* **and**

from-ground = *base-from-ground* **for**

vars :: $'\text{expr} \Rightarrow '\text{var set}$ **and**

base-subst :: $'\text{base} \Rightarrow ('var \Rightarrow '\text{base}) \Rightarrow '\text{base}$ **and**

base-to-ground :: $'\text{base} \Rightarrow '\text{base}_G$ **and**

base-from-ground +

fixes *entails-def* :: $'\text{expr} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **and** $I :: ('base_G \times 'base_G) \text{ set}$

assumes

congruence: $\bigwedge \text{expr } \gamma \ \text{var update}.$

based.base.is-ground $\text{update} \implies$

based.base.is-ground $(\gamma \ \text{var}) \implies$

$(\text{base-to-ground } (\gamma \ \text{var}), \text{base-to-ground } \text{update}) \in I \implies$

based.is-ground $(\text{subst } \text{expr } \gamma) \implies$

entails-def $(\text{subst } \text{expr } (\gamma(\text{var} := \text{update}))) \implies$

entails-def $(\text{subst } \text{expr } \gamma)$

begin

abbreviation *entails* \equiv *entails-def*

end

locale *symmetric-entailment* = *entailment* +

assumes *sym*: *sym* I

begin

lemma *symmetric-congruence*:

assumes

update-is-ground: *based.base.is-ground update* **and**
var-grounding: *based.base.is-ground* (γ *var*) **and**
var-update: (*base-to-ground* (γ *var*), *base-to-ground update*) $\in I$ **and**
expr-grounding: *based.is-ground* (*subst expr* γ)

shows

entails (*subst expr* (γ (*var* := *update*))) \longleftrightarrow *entails* (*subst expr* γ)
 \langle *proof* \rangle

end

locale *symmetric-base-entailment* =

base-functional-substitution **where** *subst* = *subst* +
grounding **where** *subst* = *subst* **and** *to-ground* = *to-ground* **for**
subst :: 'base \Rightarrow ('var \Rightarrow 'base) \Rightarrow 'base (**infixl** · 70) **and**
to-ground :: 'base \Rightarrow 'base_G +

fixes *I* :: ('base_G × 'base_G) set

assumes

sym: *sym I* **and**
congruence: \bigwedge *expr expr'* *update* γ *var*.
is-ground update \implies
is-ground (γ *var*) \implies
(*to-ground* (γ *var*), *to-ground update*) $\in I \implies$
is-ground (*expr* · γ) \implies
(*to-ground* (*expr* · (γ (*var* := *update*))), *expr'*) $\in I \implies$
(*to-ground* (*expr* · γ), *expr'*) $\in I$

begin

lemma *symmetric-congruence*:

assumes

update-is-ground: *is-ground update* **and**
var-grounding: *is-ground* (γ *var*) **and**
expr-grounding: *is-ground* (*expr* · γ) **and**
var-update: (*to-ground* (γ *var*), *to-ground update*) $\in I$

shows (*to-ground* (*expr* · (γ (*var* := *update*))), *expr'*) $\in I \longleftrightarrow$ (*to-ground* (*expr* · γ), *expr'*) $\in I$
 \langle *proof* \rangle

lemma *simultaneous-congruence*:

assumes

update-is-ground: *is-ground update* **and**
var-grounding: *is-ground* (γ *var*) **and**
var-update: (*to-ground* (γ *var*), *to-ground update*) $\in I$ **and**
expr-grounding: *is-ground* (*expr* · γ) *is-ground* (*expr'* · γ)

shows

(*to-ground* (*expr* · (γ (*var* := *update*))), *to-ground* (*expr'* · (γ (*var* := *update*))))
 $\in I \longleftrightarrow$
(*to-ground* (*expr* · γ), *to-ground* (*expr'* · γ)) $\in I$


```

    <proof>

end

locale entailment-lifting =
  based-functional-substitution-lifting +
  finite-variables-lifting +
  sub: symmetric-entailment
  where subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars and entails-def = sub-entails
  for sub-entails +
  fixes
    is-negated :: 'd ⇒ bool and
    empty :: bool and
    connective :: bool ⇒ bool ⇒ bool and
    entails-def
  assumes
    is-negated-subst:  $\bigwedge expr \sigma. is-negated (subst \ expr \ \sigma) \longleftrightarrow is-negated \ expr$  and
    entails-def:  $\bigwedge expr. entails-def \ expr \longleftrightarrow$ 
      (if is-negated expr then Not else ( $\lambda x. x$ ))
      (Finite-Set.fold connective empty (sub-entails ' to-set expr))
begin

notation sub-entails ((|=s -) [50] 50)
notation entails-def ((|= -) [50] 50)

sublocale symmetric-entailment where subst = subst and vars = vars and en-
tails-def = entails-def
<proof>

end

locale entailment-lifting-conj = entailment-lifting
  where connective = ( $\wedge$ ) and empty = True

locale entailment-lifting-disj = entailment-lifting
  where connective = ( $\vee$ ) and empty = False

end
theory Fold-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemma comp-fun-idem-conj: comp-fun-idem-on X ( $\wedge$ )
  <proof>

lemma comp-fun-idem-disj: comp-fun-idem-on X ( $\vee$ )
  <proof>

lemma fold-conj-insert [simp]:

```

Finite-Set.fold (\wedge) *True* (*insert* b B) $\longleftrightarrow b \wedge$ *Finite-Set.fold* (\wedge) *True* B
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *fold-disj-insert* [*simp*]:
Finite-Set.fold (\vee) *False* (*insert* b B) $\longleftrightarrow b \vee$ *Finite-Set.fold* (\vee) *False* B
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end
theory *Nonground-Entailment*
imports
Nonground-Context
Nonground-Clause
Term-Rewrite-System
Entailment-Lifting
Fold-Extra
begin

4 Entailment

context *nonground-term*
begin

lemma *var-in-term*:
assumes $x \in \text{vars } t$
obtains c **where** $t = c(\text{Var } x)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *vars-term-ms-count*:
assumes *is-ground* t
shows
 $\text{size } \{\#x' \in \# \text{ vars-term-ms } c(\text{Var } x). x' = x\# \} = \text{Suc } (\text{size } \{\#x' \in \# \text{ vars-term-ms } c(t). x' = x\# \})$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

context *nonground-clause*
begin

lemma *not-literal-entails* [*simp*]:
 $\neg \text{upair } 'I \models \text{Neg } a \longleftrightarrow \text{upair } 'I \models \text{Pos } a$
 $\neg \text{upair } 'I \models \text{Pos } a \longleftrightarrow \text{upair } 'I \models \text{Neg } a$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas *literal-entails-unfolds* =
not-literal-entails true-lit-simps

end

locale *clause-entailment* = *nonground-clause* +
fixes $I :: ('f\ gterm \times 'f\ gterm)\ set$
assumes
trans: *trans* I **and**
sym: *sym* I **and**
compatible-with-gctxt: *compatible-with-gctxt* I
begin

lemma *symmetric-context-congruence*:
assumes $(t, t') \in I$
shows $(c\langle t \rangle_G, t'') \in I \longleftrightarrow (c\langle t' \rangle_G, t'') \in I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symmetric-upair-context-congruence*:
assumes $U\text{pair } t\ t' \in \text{upair } 'I$
shows $U\text{pair } c\langle t \rangle_G\ t'' \in \text{upair } 'I \longleftrightarrow U\text{pair } c\langle t' \rangle_G\ t'' \in \text{upair } 'I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *upair-compatible-with-gctxtI* [*intro*]:
 $U\text{pair } t\ t' \in \text{upair } 'I \implies U\text{pair } c\langle t \rangle_G\ c\langle t' \rangle_G \in \text{upair } 'I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *term*: *symmetric-base-entailment* **where** $\text{vars} = \text{term.vars} :: ('f, 'v)$
 $\text{term} \Rightarrow 'v\ set$ **and**
id-subst = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *subst* = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *to-ground* =
 term.to-ground **and**
from-ground = term.from-ground
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *atom*: *symmetric-entailment*
where *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *id-subst* = *Var*
and *base-subst* = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *base-vars* = term.vars **and** *subst* = $(\cdot a)$ **and** vars
= atom.vars
and *base-to-ground* = term.to-ground **and** *base-from-ground* = term.from-ground
and $I = I$
and *entails-def* = $\lambda a. \text{atom.to-ground } a \in \text{upair } 'I$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *literal*: *entailment-lifting-conj*
where *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *id-subst* = *Var*
and *base-subst* = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *base-vars* = term.vars **and** *sub-subst* = $(\cdot a)$ **and**
sub-vars = atom.vars
and *base-to-ground* = term.to-ground **and** *base-from-ground* = term.from-ground
and $I = I$
and *sub-entails* = atom.entails **and** *map* = *map-literal* **and** *to-set* = *set-literal*
and *is-negated* = *is-neg* **and** *entails-def* = $\lambda l. \text{upair } 'I \Vdash l\ \text{literal.to-ground } l$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *clause*: *entailment-lifting-disj*

where $comp\text{-}subst = (\odot)$ **and** $id\text{-}subst = Var$
and $base\text{-}subst = (\cdot t)$ **and** $base\text{-}vars = term.vars$
and $base\text{-}to\text{-}ground = term.to\text{-}ground$ **and** $base\text{-}from\text{-}ground = term.from\text{-}ground$
and $I = I$
and $sub\text{-}subst = (\cdot l)$ **and** $sub\text{-}vars = literal.vars$ **and** $sub\text{-}entails = literal.entails$
and $map = image\text{-}mset$ **and** $to\text{-}set = set\text{-}mset$ **and** $is\text{-}negated = \lambda\text{-}. False$
and $entails\text{-}def = \lambda C. upair \text{ ' } I \Vdash clause.to\text{-}ground C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *literal-compatible-with-gctxtI* [intro]:
 $literal.entails (t \approx t') \implies literal.entails (c\langle t \rangle \approx c\langle t' \rangle)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *symmetric-literal-context-congruence*:
assumes $Upair t t' \in upair \text{ ' } I$
shows
 $upair \text{ ' } I \Vdash l c\langle t \rangle_G \approx t'' \iff upair \text{ ' } I \Vdash l c\langle t' \rangle_G \approx t''$
 $upair \text{ ' } I \Vdash l c\langle t \rangle_G \not\approx t'' \iff upair \text{ ' } I \Vdash l c\langle t' \rangle_G \not\approx t''$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

end

theory *Nonground-Inference*

imports *Nonground-Clause Nonground-Typing*
begin

locale *nonground-inference = nonground-clause*
begin

sublocale *inference: term-based-lifting where*

$sub\text{-}subst = clause.subst$ **and** $sub\text{-}vars = clause.vars$ **and** $map = map\text{-}inference$
and
 $to\text{-}set = set\text{-}inference$ **and** $sub\text{-}to\text{-}ground = clause.to\text{-}ground$ **and**
 $sub\text{-}from\text{-}ground = clause.from\text{-}ground$ **and** $to\text{-}ground\text{-}map = map\text{-}inference$ **and**
 $from\text{-}ground\text{-}map = map\text{-}inference$ **and** $ground\text{-}map = map\text{-}inference$ **and** $to\text{-}set\text{-}ground = set\text{-}inference$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

notation $inference.subst$ (**infixl** $\cdot \iota$ 67)

lemma *vars-inference* [simp]:
 $inference.vars (Infer Ps C) = \bigcup (clause.vars \text{ ' } set Ps) \cup clause.vars C$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-inference* [simp]:
 $Infer Ps C \cdot \iota \sigma = Infer (map (\lambda P. P \cdot \sigma) Ps) (C \cdot \sigma)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *inference-from-ground-clause-from-ground* [simp]:
inference.from-ground (*Infer* *Ps* *C*) = *Infer* (*map clause.from-ground* *Ps*) (*clause.from-ground* *C*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inference-to-ground-clause-to-ground* [simp]:
inference.to-ground (*Infer* *Ps* *C*) = *Infer* (*map clause.to-ground* *Ps*) (*clause.to-ground* *C*)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *inference-is-ground-clause-is-ground* [simp]:
inference.is-ground (*Infer* *Ps* *C*) \longleftrightarrow *list-all clause.is-ground* *Ps* \wedge *clause.is-ground* *C*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

end

theory *Restricted-Order*

imports *Main*

begin

5 Restricted Orders

locale *relation-restriction* =
fixes *R* :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool **and** *lift* :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a
assumes *inj-lift* [intro]: *inj lift*
begin

definition *R_r* :: 'b \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow bool **where**
R_r *b* *b'* \equiv *R* (*lift* *b*) (*lift* *b'*)

end

5.1 Strict Orders

locale *strict-order* =
fixes
less :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool (**infix** \prec 50)
assumes
transp [intro]: *transp* (\prec) **and**
asympt [intro]: *asympt* (\prec)
begin

abbreviation *less-eq* **where** *less-eq* \equiv (\prec)⁼⁼

notation *less-eq* (**infix** \preceq 50)

sublocale *order* (\preceq) (\prec)

$\langle proof \rangle$
end
locale *strict-order-restriction* =
strict-order +
relation-restriction **where** $R = (\prec)$
begin
abbreviation $less_r \equiv R_r$
lemmas $less_r-def = R_r-def$
notation $less_r$ (**infix** \prec_r 50)
sublocale *restriction: strict-order* (\prec_r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$
abbreviation $less-eq_r \equiv restriction.less-eq$
notation $less-eq_r$ (**infix** \preceq_r 50)
end

5.2 Wellfounded Strict Orders

locale *restricted-wellfounded-strict-order* = *strict-order* +
fixes *restriction*
assumes wfp [*intro*]: $wfp-on$ *restriction* (\prec)
locale *wellfounded-strict-order* =
restricted-wellfounded-strict-order **where** *restriction* = *UNIV*
locale *wellfounded-strict-order-restriction* =
strict-order-restriction +
restricted-wellfounded-strict-order **where** *restriction* = *range lift* **and** *less* = (\prec)
begin
sublocale *wellfounded-strict-order* (\prec_r)
 $\langle proof \rangle$
end

5.3 Total Strict Orders

locale *restricted-total-strict-order* = *strict-order* +
fixes *restriction*
assumes $totalp$ [*intro*]: $totalp-on$ *restriction* (\prec)
begin
lemma *restricted-not-le*:

```

assumes  $a \in \text{restriction } b \in \text{restriction} \neg b \prec a$ 
shows  $a \preceq b$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale total-strict-order =
  restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = UNIV
begin

sublocale linorder ( $\preceq$ ) ( $\prec$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale total-strict-order-restriction =
  strict-order-restriction +
  restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = range lift and less = ( $\prec$ )
begin

sublocale total-strict-order ( $\prec_r$ )
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 

end

locale restricted-wellfounded-total-strict-order =
  restricted-wellfounded-strict-order + restricted-total-strict-order

end
theory Context-Compatible-Order
imports
  Ground-Context
  Restricted-Order
begin

locale restriction-restricted =
  fixes restriction context-restriction restricted restricted-context
  assumes
    restricted:
     $\bigwedge t. t \in \text{restriction} \longleftrightarrow \text{restricted } t$ 
     $\bigwedge c. c \in \text{context-restriction} \longleftrightarrow \text{restricted-context } c$ 

locale restricted-context-compatibility =
  restriction-restricted +
  fixes R Fun
  assumes
    context-compatible [simp]:
     $\bigwedge c t_1 t_2. \text{restricted } t_1 \implies$ 

```

$restricted\ t_2 \implies$
 $restricted\text{-}context\ c \implies$
 $R\ (Fun\langle c;t_1\rangle)\ (Fun\langle c;t_2\rangle) \longleftrightarrow R\ t_1\ t_2$

locale *context-compatibility* = *restricted-context-compatibility* **where**
 $restriction = UNIV$ **and** $context\text{-}restriction = UNIV$ **and** $restricted = \lambda\cdot. True$
and
 $restricted\text{-}context = \lambda\cdot. True$
begin

lemma *context-compatibility* [*simp*]: $R\ (Fun\langle c;t_1\rangle)\ (Fun\langle c;t_2\rangle) \longleftrightarrow R\ t_1\ t_2$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale *context-compatible-restricted-order* =
 $restricted\text{-}total\text{-}strict\text{-}order +$
 $restriction\text{-}restricted +$
fixes *Fun*
assumes *less-context-compatible*:
 $\bigwedge c\ t_1\ t_2.$
 $restricted\ t_1 \implies$
 $restricted\ t_2 \implies$
 $restricted\text{-}context\ c \implies$
 $t_1 < t_2 \implies$
 $Fun\langle c;t_1\rangle < Fun\langle c;t_2\rangle$

begin

sublocale *restricted-context-compatibility* **where** $R = (<)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

sublocale *less-eq*: *restricted-context-compatibility* **where** $R = (\preceq)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *context-less-term-lesseq*:
assumes
 $restricted\ t$
 $restricted\ t'$
 $restricted\text{-}context\ c$
 $restricted\text{-}context\ c'$
 $\bigwedge t. restricted\ t \implies Fun\langle c;t\rangle < Fun\langle c';t\rangle$
 $t \preceq t'$
shows $Fun\langle c;t\rangle < Fun\langle c';t'\rangle$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *context-lesseq-term-less*:
assumes
 $restricted\ t$
 $restricted\ t'$


```

    restricted-context c
    restricted-context c'
     $\bigwedge t. \text{restricted } t \implies \text{Fun}\langle c;t \rangle \preceq \text{Fun}\langle c';t \rangle$ 
     $t < t'$ 
    shows  $\text{Fun}\langle c;t \rangle < \text{Fun}\langle c';t' \rangle$ 
    <proof>

end

locale context-compatible-order =
  total-strict-order +
  fixes Fun
  assumes less-context-compatible:  $t_1 < t_2 \implies \text{Fun}\langle c;t_1 \rangle < \text{Fun}\langle c;t_2 \rangle$ 
begin

sublocale restricted: context-compatible-restricted-order where
  restriction = UNIV and context-restriction = UNIV and restricted =  $\lambda\cdot. \text{True}$ 
and
  restricted-context =  $\lambda\cdot. \text{True}$ 
  <proof>

sublocale context-compatibility ( $<$ )
  <proof>

sublocale less-eq: context-compatibility ( $\preceq$ )
  <proof>

lemma context-less-term-lesseq:
  assumes
     $\bigwedge t. \text{Fun}\langle c;t \rangle < \text{Fun}\langle c';t \rangle$ 
     $t \preceq t'$ 
  shows  $\text{Fun}\langle c;t \rangle < \text{Fun}\langle c';t' \rangle$ 
  <proof>

lemma context-lesseq-term-less:
  assumes
     $\bigwedge t. \text{Fun}\langle c;t \rangle \preceq \text{Fun}\langle c';t \rangle$ 
     $t < t'$ 
  shows  $\text{Fun}\langle c;t \rangle < \text{Fun}\langle c';t' \rangle$ 
  <proof>

end

end
theory Term-Order-Notation
  imports Main
begin

locale term-order-notation =

```

```

fixes lesst :: 't ⇒ 't ⇒ bool
begin

notation lesst (infix <t 50)

abbreviation less-eqt ≡ (<t)==

notation less-eqt (infix ≲t 50)

end

end
theory Transitive-Closure-Extra
  imports Main
begin

lemma reflclp-iff:  $\bigwedge R x y. R^{==} x y \longleftrightarrow R x y \vee x = y$ 
  <proof>

lemma reflclp-refl:  $R^{==} x x$ 
  <proof>

lemma transpD-strict-non-strict:
  assumes transp R
  shows  $\bigwedge x y z. R x y \implies R^{==} y z \implies R x z$ 
  <proof>

lemma transpD-non-strict-strict:
  assumes transp R
  shows  $\bigwedge x y z. R^{==} x y \implies R y z \implies R x z$ 
  <proof>

lemma mem-rtrancl-union-iff-mem-rtrancl-lhs:
  assumes  $\bigwedge z. (x, z) \in A^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } B$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^* \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in A^*$ 
  <proof>

lemma mem-rtrancl-union-iff-mem-rtrancl-rhs:
  assumes
   $\bigwedge z. (x, z) \in B^* \implies z \notin \text{Domain } A$ 
  shows  $(x, y) \in (A \cup B)^* \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in B^*$ 
  <proof>

end
theory Ground-Term-Order
  imports
    Ground-Context
    Context-Compatible-Order
    Term-Order-Notation

```

```

    Transitive-Closure-Extra
begin

locale context-compatible-ground-order = context-compatible-order where Fun =
GFun

locale subterm-property =
  strict-order where less = lesst
  for lesst :: 'f gterm ⇒ 'f gterm ⇒ bool +
  assumes
    subterm-property [simp]:  $\bigwedge t c. c \neq \square \implies less_t t c \langle t \rangle_G$ 
begin

interpretation term-order-notation⟨proof⟩

lemma less-eq-subterm-property:  $t \preceq_t c \langle t \rangle_G$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

end

locale ground-term-order =
  wellfounded-strict-order lesst +
  total-strict-order lesst +
  context-compatible-ground-order lesst +
  subterm-property lesst
  for lesst :: 'f gterm ⇒ 'f gterm ⇒ bool
begin

interpretation term-order-notation⟨proof⟩

end

end
theory Grounded-Order
  imports
    Restricted-Order
    Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting
begin

```

6 Orders with ground restrictions

```

locale grounded-order =
  strict-order where less = less +
  grounding where vars = vars
for
  less :: 'expr ⇒ 'expr ⇒ bool (infix <<> 50) and
  vars :: 'expr ⇒ 'var set
begin

```

sublocale *strict-order-restriction* **where** *lift = from-ground*
⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation $less_G \equiv less_r$
lemmas $less_G-def = less_r-def$
notation $less_G$ (**infix** \prec_G 50)

abbreviation $less-eq_G \equiv less-eq_r$
notation $less-eq_G$ (**infix** \preceq_G 50)

lemma *to-ground-less_r* [*simp*]:
 assumes *is-ground e* **and** *is-ground e'*
 shows $to-ground\ e \prec_G to-ground\ e' \longleftrightarrow e \prec e'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to-ground-less-eq_r* [*simp*]:
 assumes *is-ground e* **and** *is-ground e'*
 shows $to-ground\ e \preceq_G to-ground\ e' \longleftrightarrow e \preceq e'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *less-eq_r-from-ground* [*simp*]:
 $e_G \preceq_G e'_G \longleftrightarrow from-ground\ e_G \preceq from-ground\ e'_G$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *grounded-restricted-total-strict-order* =
 order: restricted-total-strict-order **where** *restriction = range from-ground +*
 grounded-order
begin

sublocale *total-strict-order-restriction* **where** *lift = from-ground*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *not-less-eq* [*simp*]:
 assumes *is-ground expr* **and** *is-ground expr'*
 shows $\neg order.less-eq\ expr'\ expr \longleftrightarrow expr \prec expr'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *grounded-restricted-wellfounded-strict-order* =
 restricted-wellfounded-strict-order **where** *restriction = range from-ground +*
 grounded-order
begin

sublocale *wellfounded-strict-order-restriction* **where** *lift = from-ground*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

6.1 Ground substitution stability

locale *ground-subst-stability* = *grounding* +
fixes *R*

assumes

ground-subst-stability:

$\bigwedge expr_1 expr_2 \gamma.$

$is_ground (expr_1 \cdot \gamma) \implies$

$is_ground (expr_2 \cdot \gamma) \implies$

$R expr_1 expr_2 \implies$

$R (expr_1 \cdot \gamma) (expr_2 \cdot \gamma)$

locale *ground-subst-stable-grounded-order* =
grounded-order +

ground-subst-stability **where** $R = (<)$

begin

sublocale *less-eq*: *ground-subst-stability* **where** $R = (\preceq)$

<proof>

lemma *ground-less-not-less-eq*:

assumes

grounding: $is_ground (expr_1 \cdot \gamma) is_ground (expr_2 \cdot \gamma)$ **and**

less: $expr_1 \cdot \gamma < expr_2 \cdot \gamma$

shows

$\neg expr_2 \preceq expr_1$

<proof>

end

6.2 Substitution update stability

locale *subst-update-stability* =
based-functional-substitution +

fixes *base-R R*

assumes

subst-update-stability:

$\bigwedge update x \gamma expr.$

$base.is_ground update \implies$

$base-R update (\gamma x) \implies$

$is_ground (expr \cdot \gamma) \implies$

$x \in vars expr \implies$

$R (expr \cdot \gamma(x := update)) (expr \cdot \gamma)$

locale *base-subst-update-stability* =

based-functional-substitution +

subst-update-stability **where** *base-R* = *R* **and** *base-subst* = *subst* **and** *base-vars* = *vars*

locale *subst-update-stable-grounded-order* =
grounded-order + *subst-update-stability* **where** *R* = *less* **and** *base-R* = *base-less*
for *base-less*
begin

sublocale *less-eq: subst-update-stability*
where *base-R* = *base-less*⁼⁼ **and** *R* = *less*⁼⁼
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *base-subst-update-stable-grounded-order* =
base-subst-update-stability **where** *R* = *less* +
subst-update-stable-grounded-order **where**
base-less = *less* **and** *base-subst* = *subst* **and** *base-vars* = *vars*

end

theory *Multiset-Extension*

imports

Restricted-Order

Multiset-Extra

begin

7 Multiset Extensions

locale *multiset-extension* = *order: strict-order* +
fixes *to-mset* :: 'b ⇒ 'a multiset
begin

definition *multiset-extension* :: 'b ⇒ 'b ⇒ bool **where**
multiset-extension b1 b2 ≡ *multp* (⋖) (*to-mset* b1) (*to-mset* b2)

notation *multiset-extension* (**infix** ⋖_m 50)

sublocale *strict-order* (⋖_m)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

notation *less-eq* (**infix** ⋚_m 50)

end

7.1 Wellfounded Multiset Extensions

locale *wellfounded-multiset-extension* =
order: wellfounded-strict-order +

```

    multiset-extension
begin

sublocale wellfounded-strict-order ( $\prec_m$ )
  <proof>

end

```

7.2 Total Multiset Extensions

```

locale restricted-total-multiset-extension =
  base: restricted-total-strict-order +
  multiset-extension +
  assumes inj-on-to-mset: inj-on to-mset {b. set-mset (to-mset b) ⊆ restriction}
begin

sublocale restricted-total-strict-order ( $\prec_m$ ) {b. set-mset (to-mset b) ⊆ restriction}
  <proof>

end

locale total-multiset-extension =
  order: total-strict-order +
  multiset-extension +
  assumes inj-to-mset: inj to-mset
begin

sublocale restricted-total-multiset-extension where restriction = UNIV
  <proof>

sublocale total-strict-order ( $\prec_m$ )
  <proof>

end

locale total-wellfounded-multiset-extension =
  wellfounded-multiset-extension + total-multiset-extension

end
theory Grounded-Multiset-Extension
  imports Grounded-Order Multiset-Extension
begin

```

8 Grounded Multiset Extensions

```

locale functional-substitution-multiset-extension =
  sub: strict-order where less = (≺) :: 'sub ⇒ 'sub ⇒ bool +
  multiset-extension where to-mset = to-mset +
  functional-substitution-lifting where id-subst = id-subst and to-set = to-set

```

for
 $to\text{-}mset :: 'expr \Rightarrow 'sub\ multiset$ **and**
 $id\text{-}subst :: 'var \Rightarrow 'base$ **and**
 $to\text{-}set :: 'expr \Rightarrow 'sub\ set +$
assumes
 $to\text{-}mset\text{-}to\text{-}set: \bigwedge expr. set\text{-}mset (to\text{-}mset\ expr) = to\text{-}set\ expr$ **and**
 $to\text{-}mset\text{-}map: \bigwedge f\ b. to\text{-}mset (map\ f\ b) = image\text{-}mset\ f (to\text{-}mset\ b)$ **and**
 $inj\text{-}to\text{-}mset: inj\ to\text{-}mset$
begin

no-notation $less\text{-}eq$ (**infix** \preceq 50)
notation $sub.less\text{-}eq$ (**infix** \preceq 50)

lemma $lesseq\text{-}if\text{-}all\text{-}lesseq$:
assumes $\forall sub \in \# to\text{-}mset\ expr. sub \cdot_s \sigma' \preceq sub \cdot_s \sigma$
shows $expr \cdot \sigma' \preceq_m expr \cdot \sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $less\text{-}if\text{-}all\text{-}lesseq\text{-}ex\text{-}less$:
assumes
 $\forall sub \in \# to\text{-}mset\ expr. sub \cdot_s \sigma' \preceq sub \cdot_s \sigma$
 $\exists sub \in \# to\text{-}mset\ expr. sub \cdot_s \sigma' \prec sub \cdot_s \sigma$
shows
 $expr \cdot \sigma' \prec_m expr \cdot \sigma$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $grounded\text{-}multiset\text{-}extension =$
 $grounding\text{-}lifting$ **where**
 $id\text{-}subst = id\text{-}subst :: 'var \Rightarrow 'base$ **and** $to\text{-}set = to\text{-}set :: 'expr \Rightarrow 'sub\ set$ **and**
 $to\text{-}set\text{-}ground = to\text{-}set\text{-}ground +$
 $functional\text{-}substitution\text{-}multiset\text{-}extension$ **where** $to\text{-}mset = to\text{-}mset$
for
 $to\text{-}mset :: 'expr \Rightarrow 'sub\ multiset$ **and**
 $to\text{-}set\text{-}ground :: 'expr_G \Rightarrow 'sub_G\ set$
begin

sublocale $strict\text{-}order\text{-}restriction (\prec_m)$ $from\text{-}ground$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

end

locale $total\text{-}grounded\text{-}multiset\text{-}extension =$
 $grounded\text{-}multiset\text{-}extension +$
 $sub: total\text{-}strict\text{-}order\text{-}restriction$ **where** $lift = sub\text{-}from\text{-}ground$


```

begin

sublocale total-strict-order-restriction ( $\prec_m$ ) from-ground
⟨proof⟩

end

locale based-grounded-multiset-extension =
  based-functional-substitution-lifting where base-vars = base-vars +
  grounded-multiset-extension +
  base: strict-order where less = base-less
for
  base-vars :: 'base  $\Rightarrow$  'var set and
  base-less :: 'base  $\Rightarrow$  'base  $\Rightarrow$  bool

```

8.1 Ground substitution stability

```

locale ground-subst-stable-total-multiset-extension =
  grounded-multiset-extension +
  sub: ground-subst-stable-grounded-order where
  less = less and subst = sub-subst and vars = sub-vars and from-ground =
  sub-from-ground and
  to-ground = sub-to-ground
begin

sublocale ground-subst-stable-grounded-order where
  less = ( $\prec_m$ ) and subst = subst and vars = vars and from-ground = from-ground
and
  to-ground = to-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

```

end

8.2 Substitution update stability

```

locale subst-update-stable-multiset-extension =
  based-grounded-multiset-extension +
  sub: subst-update-stable-grounded-order where
  vars = sub-vars and subst = sub-subst and to-ground = sub-to-ground and
  from-ground = sub-from-ground
begin

```

```

no-notation less-eq (infix  $\preceq$  50)

```

```

sublocale subst-update-stable-grounded-order where
  less = ( $\prec_m$ ) and vars = vars and subst = subst and from-ground = from-ground
and
  to-ground = to-ground
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

end
theory Maximal-Literal
  imports
    Clausal-Calculus-Extra
    Min-Max-Least-Greatest.Min-Max-Least-Greatest-Multiset
    Restricted-Order
begin

locale maximal-literal = order: strict-order where less = less
for less :: 'a literal  $\Rightarrow$  'a literal  $\Rightarrow$  bool
begin

abbreviation is-maximal :: 'a literal  $\Rightarrow$  'a clause  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-maximal l C  $\equiv$  order.is-maximal-in-mset C l

abbreviation is-strictly-maximal :: 'a literal  $\Rightarrow$  'a clause  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  is-strictly-maximal l C  $\equiv$  order.is-strictly-maximal-in-mset C l

lemmas is-maximal-def = order.is-maximal-in-mset-iff

lemmas is-strictly-maximal-def = order.is-strictly-maximal-in-mset-iff

lemmas is-maximal-if-is-strictly-maximal = order.is-maximal-in-mset-if-is-strictly-maximal-in-mset

lemma maximal-in-clause:
  assumes is-maximal l C
  shows l  $\in$  # C
  <proof>

lemma strictly-maximal-in-clause:
  assumes is-strictly-maximal l C
  shows l  $\in$  # C
  <proof>

lemma is-maximal-not-empty [intro]: is-maximal l C  $\Longrightarrow$  C  $\neq$  {#}
  <proof>

lemma is-strictly-maximal-not-empty [intro]: is-strictly-maximal l C  $\Longrightarrow$  C  $\neq$  {#}
  <proof>

end

end
theory Term-Order-Lifting
  imports

```

Grounded-Multiset-Extension
Maximal-Literal
Term-Order-Notation

begin

locale *restricted-term-order-lifting* =
term.order: restricted-wellfounded-total-strict-order **where** *less = less_t*
for *less_t :: 't ⇒ 't ⇒ bool* +
fixes *literal-to-mset :: 'a literal ⇒ 't multiset*
assumes *inj-literal-to-mset: inj literal-to-mset*
begin

sublocale *term-order-notation* ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *literal-order-restriction* **where**
literal-order-restriction \equiv {*b. set-mset (literal-to-mset b) ⊆ restriction*}

sublocale *literal.order: restricted-total-multiset-extension* **where**
less = (≺_t) **and** *to-mset = literal-to-mset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

notation *literal.order.multiset-extension* (**infix** ≺_l 50)
notation *literal.order.less-eq* (**infix** ≼_l 50)

lemmas *less_l-def = literal.order.multiset-extension-def*

sublocale *maximal-literal* (≺_l)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *clause.order: restricted-total-multiset-extension* **where**
less = (≺_l) **and** *to-mset = λx. x* **and** *restriction = literal-order-restriction*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

notation *clause.order.multiset-extension* (**infix** ≺_c 50)
notation *clause.order.less-eq* (**infix** ≼_c 50)

lemmas *less_c-def = clause.order.multiset-extension-def*

end

locale *term-order-lifting* =
restricted-term-order-lifting **where** *restriction = UNIV* +
term.order: wellfounded-strict-order less_t +
term.order: total-strict-order less_t
begin

sublocale *literal.order: total-wellfounded-multiset-extension* **where**
less = (≺_t) **and** *to-mset = literal-to-mset*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

```

sublocale clause.order: total-wellfounded-multiset-extension where
  less = ( $\prec_l$ ) and to-mset =  $\lambda x. x$ 
  <proof>

end

end
theory Ground-Order
  imports Ground-Term-Order Term-Order-Lifting
begin

locale ground-order =
  term.order: ground-term-order +
  term-order-lifting

locale ground-order-with-equality =
  term.order: ground-term-order
begin

sublocale ground-order
  where literal-to-mset = mset-lit
  <proof>

end

end
theory Nonground-Term-Order
  imports
    Nonground-Term
    Nonground-Context
    Ground-Order
begin

locale ground-context-compatible-order =
  nonground-term-with-context +
  restricted-total-strict-order where restriction = range term.from-ground +
assumes ground-context-compatibility:
   $\bigwedge c t_1 t_2.$ 
    term.is-ground  $t_1 \implies$ 
    term.is-ground  $t_2 \implies$ 
    context.is-ground  $c \implies$ 
     $t_1 \prec t_2 \implies$ 
     $c\langle t_1 \rangle \prec c\langle t_2 \rangle$ 
begin

sublocale context-compatible-restricted-order where
  restriction = range term.from-ground and context-restriction = range context.from-ground

```

and
Fun = *Fun* **and** *restricted* = *term.is-ground* **and** *restricted-context* = *context.is-ground*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *ground-subterm-property* =
nonground-term-with-context +
fixes *R*
assumes *ground-subterm-property*:
 $\bigwedge t_G c_G.$
term.is-ground $t_G \implies$
context.is-ground $c_G \implies$
 $c_G \neq \square \implies$
 $R t_G c_G \langle t_G \rangle$

locale *base-grounded-order* =
order: base-subst-update-stable-grounded-order +
order: grounded-restricted-total-strict-order +
order: grounded-restricted-wellfounded-strict-order +
order: ground-subst-stable-grounded-order +
grounding

locale *nonground-term-order* =
nonground-term-with-context +
order: restricted-wellfounded-total-strict-order **where**
less = *less_t* **and** *restriction* = *range term.from-ground* +
order: ground-subst-stability **where** $R = \text{less}_t$ **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *subst*
 = $(\cdot t)$ **and**
vars = *term.vars* **and** *id-subst* = *Var* **and** *to-ground* = *term.to-ground* **and**
from-ground = *term.from-ground* +
order: ground-context-compatible-order **where** $\text{less} = \text{less}_t$ +
order: ground-subterm-property **where** $R = \text{less}_t$
for *less_t* :: $(f, 'v) \text{Term.term} \Rightarrow (f, 'v) \text{Term.term} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
begin

interpretation *term-order-notation*⟨*proof*⟩

sublocale *base-grounded-order* **where**
comp-subst = (\odot) **and** *subst* = $(\cdot t)$ **and** *vars* = *term.vars* **and** *id-subst* = *Var*
and
to-ground = *term.to-ground* **and** *from-ground* = *term.from-ground* **and** *less* =
 (\prec_t)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

notation *order.less_G* (**infix** \prec_{tG} 50)
notation *order.less-eq_G* (**infix** \preceq_{tG} 50)

sublocale *restriction: ground-term-order* (\prec_{tG})
(*proof*)

end

end

theory *Nonground-Order*

imports

Nonground-Clause

Nonground-Term-Order

Term-Order-Lifting

begin

9 Nonground Order

locale *nonground-order-lifting* =
grounding-lifting +
order: total-grounded-multiset-extension +
order: ground-subst-stable-total-multiset-extension +
order: subst-update-stable-multiset-extension

begin

sublocale *order: grounded-restricted-total-strict-order* **where**

less = *order.multiset-extension* **and** *subst* = *subst* **and** *vars* = *vars* **and** *to-ground*
= *to-ground* **and**
from-ground = *from-ground*
(*proof*)

end

locale *nonground-term-based-order-lifting* =

term: nonground-term +

nonground-order-lifting **where**

id-subst = *Var* **and** *comp-subst* = (\odot) **and** *base-vars* = *term.vars* **and** *base-less*
= *less_t* **and**

base-subst = $(\cdot t)$

for *less_t*

locale *nonground-equality-order* =

nonground-clause +

term: nonground-term-order

begin

sublocale *restricted-term-order-lifting* **where**

restriction = *range term.from-ground* **and** *literal-to-mset* = *mset-lit*

(*proof*)

notation $term.order.less_G$ (**infix** \prec_{tG} 50)
notation $term.order.less-eq_G$ (**infix** \preceq_{tG} 50)

sublocale *literal*: *nonground-term-based-order-lifting* **where**

$less = less_t$ **and** $sub-subst = (\cdot t)$ **and** $sub-vars = term.vars$ **and** $sub-to-ground = term.to-ground$ **and**

$sub-from-ground = term.from-ground$ **and** $map = map-uprod-literal$ **and** $to-set = uprod-literal-to-set$ **and**

$to-ground-map = map-uprod-literal$ **and** $from-ground-map = map-uprod-literal$ **and**

$ground-map = map-uprod-literal$ **and** $to-set-ground = uprod-literal-to-set$ **and**
 $to-mset = mset-lit$

rewrites

$\bigwedge l \sigma. functional-substitution-lifting.subst (\cdot t) map-uprod-literal l \sigma = literal.subst l \sigma$ **and**

$\bigwedge l. functional-substitution-lifting.vars term.vars uprod-literal-to-set l = literal.vars l$ **and**

$\bigwedge l_G. grounding-lifting.from-ground term.from-ground map-uprod-literal l_G = literal.from-ground l_G$ **and**

$\bigwedge l. grounding-lifting.to-ground term.to-ground map-uprod-literal l = literal.to-ground l$

<proof>

notation $literal.order.less_G$ (**infix** \prec_{lG} 50)

notation $literal.order.less-eq_G$ (**infix** \preceq_{lG} 50)

sublocale *clause*: *nonground-term-based-order-lifting* **where**

$less = (\prec_l)$ **and** $sub-subst = literal.subst$ **and** $sub-vars = literal.vars$ **and**

$sub-to-ground = literal.to-ground$ **and** $sub-from-ground = literal.from-ground$ **and**

$map = image-mset$ **and** $to-set = set-mset$ **and** $to-ground-map = image-mset$ **and**

$from-ground-map = image-mset$ **and** $ground-map = image-mset$ **and** $to-set-ground = set-mset$ **and**

$to-mset = \lambda x. x$

<proof>

notation $clause.order.less_G$ (**infix** \prec_{cG} 50)

notation $clause.order.less-eq_G$ (**infix** \preceq_{cG} 50)

lemma *obtain-maximal-literal*:

assumes

not-empty: $C \neq \{\#\}$ **and**

grounding: $clause.is-ground (C \cdot \gamma)$

obtains l

where *is-maximal* l C *is-maximal* $(l \cdot l \gamma) (C \cdot \gamma)$

<proof>

lemma *obtain-strictly-maximal-literal*:

assumes

grounding: $clause.is-ground (C \cdot \gamma)$ **and**

ground-strictly-maximal: is-strictly-maximal $l_G (C \cdot \gamma)$
obtains l where
is-strictly-maximal $l C l_G = l \cdot l \gamma$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-maximal-if-grounding-is-maximal:*

assumes
l-in-C: $l \in \# C$ **and**
C-grounding: *clause.is-ground* $(C \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
l-grounding-is-maximal: *is-maximal* $(l \cdot l \gamma) (C \cdot \gamma)$
shows
is-maximal $l C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *is-strictly-maximal-if-grounding-is-strictly-maximal:*

assumes
l-in-C: $l \in \# C$ **and**
grounding: *clause.is-ground* $(C \cdot \gamma)$ **and**
grounding-strictly-maximal: *is-strictly-maximal* $(l \cdot l \gamma) (C \cdot \gamma)$
shows
is-strictly-maximal $l C$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *unique-maximal-in-ground-clause:*

assumes
clause.is-ground C
is-maximal $l C$
is-maximal $l' C$
shows
 $l = l'$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *unique-strictly-maximal-in-ground-clause:*

assumes
clause.is-ground C
is-strictly-maximal $l C$
is-strictly-maximal $l' C$
shows
 $l = l'$
 ⟨proof⟩

thm *literal.order.order.strict-iff-order*

abbreviation *ground-is-maximal where*

ground-is-maximal $l_G C_G \equiv$ *is-maximal* (*literal.from-ground* l_G) (*clause.from-ground* C_G)

abbreviation *ground-is-strictly-maximal where*

ground-is-strictly-maximal $l_G C_G \equiv$
is-strictly-maximal (*literal.from-ground* l_G) (*clause.from-ground* C_G)

sublocale *ground*: *ground-order-with-equality* **where**

less_t = (\prec_{tG})

rewrites

less_{lG}-rewrite [*simp*]: *multiset-extension.multiset-extension* (\prec_{tG}) *mset-lit* = (\prec_{lG})

and

less_{cG}-rewrite [*simp*]: *multiset-extension.multiset-extension* (\prec_{lG}) ($\lambda x. x$) = (\prec_{cG})

and

is-maximal-rewrite [*simp*]: $\bigwedge l_G C_G. \text{ground.is-maximal } l_G C_G \longleftrightarrow \text{ground-is-maximal } l_G C_G$ **and**

is-strictly-maximal-rewrite [*simp*]:

$\bigwedge l_G C_G. \text{ground.is-strictly-maximal } l_G C_G \longleftrightarrow \text{ground-is-strictly-maximal } l_G C_G$

<proof>

lemma *less_t-less_l*:

assumes $t_1 \prec_t t_2$

shows

less_t-less_l-pos: $t_1 \approx t_3 \prec_l t_2 \approx t_3$ **and**

less_t-less_l-neg: $t_1 \not\approx t_3 \prec_l t_2 \not\approx t_3$

<proof>

lemma *literal-order-less-if-all-lesseq-ex-less-set*:

assumes

$\forall t \in \text{set-uprod } (\text{atm-of } l). t \cdot t \sigma' \preceq_t t \cdot t \sigma$

$\exists t \in \text{set-uprod } (\text{atm-of } l). t \cdot t \sigma' \prec_t t \cdot t \sigma$

shows $l \cdot l \sigma' \prec_l l \cdot l \sigma$

<proof>

lemma *less_c-add-mset*:

assumes $l \prec_l l' C \preceq_c C'$

shows *add-mset* $l C \prec_c \text{add-mset } l' C'$

<proof>

lemmas *less_c-add-same* [*simp*] =

multp-add-same[*OF literal.order.asymp literal.order.transp, folded less_c-def*]

end

end

theory *Typed-Functional-Substitution-Example*

imports

Typed-Functional-Substitution

Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Example

begin

type-synonym (*'f, 'ty*) *fun-types = 'f ⇒ 'ty list × 'ty*

Inductive predicate defining well-typed terms.

inductive *welltyped* :: (*'f, 'ty*) *fun-types ⇒ ('v, 'ty) var-types ⇒ ('f, 'v) term ⇒ 'ty ⇒ bool*

for $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}$ **where**

Var: $\mathcal{V} x = \tau \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V} (\text{Var } x) \tau$

| *Fun*: $\mathcal{F} f = (\tau s, \tau) \implies \text{list-all2 } (\text{welltyped } \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}) \tau s \implies \text{welltyped } \mathcal{F} \mathcal{V} (\text{Fun } f \tau s) \tau$

global-interpretation *term: base-typing welltyped* $\mathcal{F} \mathcal{V}$

<proof>

global-interpretation *functional-substitution-typing where*

welltyped = welltyped \mathcal{F} **and**

subst = subst-apply-term **and** *id-subst = Var* **and** *comp-subst = subst-compose*

and

vars = vars-term :: (*'f, 'v*) *term ⇒ 'v set*

for \mathcal{F} :: (*'f, 'ty*) *fun-types*

<proof>

A selection of substitution properties for typed terms.

locale *typed-term-subst-properties =*

welltyped: base-typed-subst-stability **where** *welltyped = welltyped* \mathcal{F}

for \mathcal{F} :: (*'f, 'ty*) *fun-types*

global-interpretation *term: typed-term-subst-properties where*

subst = subst-apply-term **and** *id-subst = Var* **and** *comp-subst = subst-compose*

and

vars = vars-term :: (*'f, 'v*) *term ⇒ 'v set* **and** $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}$

for \mathcal{F} :: (*'f ⇒ 'ty list × 'ty*)

<proof>

find-theorems *name: is-welltyped-subst-update*

Examples of generated lemmas and definitions

thm

term.right-unique

term.welltyped.subst-stability

is-welltyped-subst-update

is-welltyped-on-subset

is-welltyped-id-subst

term *term.is-welltyped*

term *is-welltyped-on*

term *is-welltyped*

```

end
theory Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting-Example
  imports
    Typed-Functional-Substitution-Lifting
    Typed-Functional-Substitution-Example
    Abstract-Substitution.Functional-Substitution-Lifting-Example
begin

```

All property locales have corresponding lifting locales

```

locale nonground-typing-lifting =
  is-welltyped: typed-subst-stability-lifting where
    sub-welltyped = sub-welltyped and base-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ 
for  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$  fun-types and sub-welltyped ::  $('v \Rightarrow 'ty) \Rightarrow 'sub \Rightarrow 'ty' \Rightarrow bool$ 

```

```

locale example-typing-lifting =
  fixes  $\mathcal{F} :: ('f, 'ty)$  fun-types
begin

```

```

sublocale equation:
  typing-lifting' where
    sub-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$  and
    to-set = fset
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale equation:
  nonground-typing-lifting where
    base-vars = vars-term and base-subst = subst-apply-term and map =  $\lambda f. map\text{-}prod$ 
     $f$  and
    to-set = set-prod and comp-subst = subst-compose and id-subst = Var and
    sub-vars = vars-term and sub-subst = subst-apply-term and
    sub-welltyped = welltyped  $\mathcal{F}$ 
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

Lifted lemmas and definitions

```

thm
  equation.is-welltyped-def
  equation.is-welltyped.subst-stability

```

```

term equation.is-welltyped

```

We can lift multiple levels

```

sublocale equation-set:
  typing-lifting where
    sub-welltyped = equation.is-welltyped.welltyped  $\mathcal{V}$  and
    to-set = fset
     $\langle proof \rangle$ 

```

```

sublocale equation-set:
  nonground-typing-lifting where

```

```

    base-vars = vars-term and base-subst = subst-apply-term and map = fimage
and
    to-set = fset and comp-subst = subst-compose and id-subst = Var and
    sub-vars = equation-subst.vars and sub-subst = equation-subst.subst and
    sub-welltyped = equation.is-welltyped.welltyped
    ⟨proof⟩

```

Lifted lemmas and definitions

```

thm
    equation-set.is-welltyped-def
    equation-set.is-welltyped.subst-stability

```

```

term equation-set.is-welltyped

```

```

end

```

Interpretation with unit as type

```

global-interpretation example-typing-lifting λ-. ([], ())⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

```