

A Theory of Featherweight Java in Isabelle/HOL

J. Nathan Foster and Dimitrios Vytiniotis
{jnfooster,dimitriv}@cis.upenn.edu

Abstract

We formalize the type system, small-step operational semantics, and type soundness proof for Featherweight Java [1], a simple object calculus, in Isabelle/HOL [2].

Contents

1	FJDefs: Basic Definitions	2
1.1	Syntax	2
1.1.1	Type definitions	2
1.1.2	Constants	3
1.1.3	Expressions	3
1.1.4	Methods	3
1.1.5	Constructors	3
1.1.6	Classes	3
1.1.7	Class Tables	4
1.2	Sub-expression Relation	4
1.3	Values	4
1.4	Substitution	4
1.5	Lookup	5
1.6	Variable Definition Accessors	5
1.7	Subtyping Relation	5
1.8	fields Relation	6
1.9	mtype Relation	6
1.10	mbody Relation	7
1.11	Typing Relation	7
1.12	Method Typing Relation	10
1.13	Class Typing Relation	11
1.14	Class Table Typing Relation	11
1.15	Evaluation Relation	11

2	FJAux: Auxiliary Lemmas	12
2.1	Non-FJ Lemmas	12
2.1.1	Lists	12
2.1.2	Maps	13
2.2	FJ Lemmas	14
2.2.1	Substitution	14
2.2.2	Lookup	15
2.2.3	Functional	17
2.2.4	Subtyping and Typing	18
2.2.5	Sub-Expressions	22
3	FJSound: Type Soundness	22
3.1	Method Type and Body Connection	22
3.2	Method Types and Field Declarations of Subtypes	23
3.3	Substitution Lemma	24
3.4	Weakening Lemma	29
3.5	Method Body Typing Lemma	30
3.6	Subject Reduction Theorem	31
3.7	Multi-Step Subject Reduction Theorem	34
3.8	Progress	35
3.9	Type Soundness Theorem	40
4	Executing FeatherweightJava programs	42
4.1	A simple example	45

1 FJDefs: Basic Definitions

```
theory FJDefs
imports Main
begin
```

1.1 Syntax

We use a named representation for terms: variables, method names, and class names, are all represented as `nats`. We use the finite maps defined in `Map.thy` to represent typing contexts and the static class table. This section defines the representations of each syntactic category (expressions, methods, constructors, classes, class tables) and defines several constants (`Object` and `this`).

1.1.1 Type definitions

```
type-synonym varName = nat
type-synonym methodName = nat
type-synonym className = nat
```

```
record varDef =  
  vdName :: varName  
  vdType :: className  
type-synonym varCtx = varName  $\rightarrow$  className
```

1.1.2 Constants

definition

```
Object :: className where  
Object = 0
```

definition

```
this :: varName where  
this == 0
```

1.1.3 Expressions

datatype *exp* =

```
  Var varName  
  | FieldProj exp varName  
  | MethodInvk exp methodName exp list  
  | New className exp list  
  | Cast className exp
```

1.1.4 Methods

```
record methodDef =  
  mReturn :: className  
  mName :: methodName  
  mParams :: varDef list  
  mBody :: exp
```

1.1.5 Constructors

```
record constructorDef =  
  kName :: className  
  kParams :: varDef list  
  kSuper :: varName list  
  kInits :: varName list
```

1.1.6 Classes

```
record classDef =  
  cName :: className  
  cSuper :: className  
  cFields :: varDef list  
  cConstructor :: constructorDef  
  cMethods :: methodDef list
```

1.1.7 Class Tables

type-synonym $classTable = className \rightarrow classDef$

1.2 Sub-expression Relation

The sub-expression relation, written $t \in subexprs(s)$, is defined as the reflexive and transitive closure of the immediate subexpression relation.

inductive-set

$isubexprs :: (exp * exp) set$
and $isubexprs' :: [exp,exp] \Rightarrow bool \ (- \in isubexprs'(-) [80,80] 80)$
where
 $e' \in isubexprs(e) \equiv (e',e) \in isubexprs$
| $se-field \quad : e \in isubexprs(FieldProj\ e\ fi)$
| $se-invkrecurv : e \in isubexprs(MethodInvk\ e\ m\ es)$
| $se-invkarq \quad : \llbracket ei \in set\ es \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ei \in isubexprs(MethodInvk\ e\ m\ es)$
| $se-newarg \quad : \llbracket ei \in set\ es \rrbracket \Longrightarrow ei \in isubexprs(New\ C\ es)$
| $se-cast \quad : e \in isubexprs(Cast\ C\ e)$

abbreviation

$subexprs :: [exp,exp] \Rightarrow bool \ (- \in subexprs'(-) [80,80] 80)$ **where**
 $e' \in subexprs(e) \equiv (e',e) \in isubexprs^*$

1.3 Values

A *value* is an expression of the form $\mathbf{new}\ C(\overline{vals})$, where \overline{vals} is a list of values.

inductive

$vals :: [exp\ list] \Rightarrow bool \ (vals'(-) [80] 80)$
and $val :: [exp] \Rightarrow bool \ (val'(-) [80] 80)$
where
 $vals-nil : vals(\llbracket \rrbracket)$
| $vals-cons : \llbracket val(vh); vals(vt) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow vals((vh \# vt))$
| $val : \llbracket vals(vs) \rrbracket \Longrightarrow val(New\ C\ vs)$

1.4 Substitution

The substitutions of a list of expressions ds for a list of variables xs in another expression e or a list of expressions es are defined in the obvious way, and written $(ds/xs)e$ and $[ds/xs]es$ respectively.

primrec $subst :: (varName \rightarrow exp) \Rightarrow exp \Rightarrow exp$
and $subst-list1 :: (varName \rightarrow exp) \Rightarrow exp\ list \Rightarrow exp\ list$
and $subst-list2 :: (varName \rightarrow exp) \Rightarrow exp\ list \Rightarrow exp\ list$ **where**
 $subst\ \sigma\ (Var\ x) = (case\ (\sigma(x))\ of\ None \Rightarrow (Var\ x) \mid Some\ p \Rightarrow p)$
| $subst\ \sigma\ (FieldProj\ e\ f) = FieldProj\ (subst\ \sigma\ e)\ f$
| $subst\ \sigma\ (MethodInvk\ e\ m\ es) = MethodInvk\ (subst\ \sigma\ e)\ m\ (subst-list1\ \sigma\ es)$
| $subst\ \sigma\ (New\ C\ es) = New\ C\ (subst-list2\ \sigma\ es)$

```

| subst  $\sigma$  (Cast C e) =          Cast C (subst  $\sigma$  e)
| subst-list1  $\sigma$  [] = []
| subst-list1  $\sigma$  (h # t) = (subst  $\sigma$  h) # (subst-list1  $\sigma$  t)
| subst-list2  $\sigma$  [] = []
| subst-list2  $\sigma$  (h # t) = (subst  $\sigma$  h) # (subst-list2  $\sigma$  t)

```

abbreviation

```

subst-syn :: [exp list]  $\Rightarrow$  [varName list]  $\Rightarrow$  [exp]  $\Rightarrow$  exp
  ('['-/-'- [80,80,80] 80) where
  (ds/xs)e  $\equiv$  subst (map-upds Map.empty xs ds) e

```

abbreviation

```

subst-list-syn :: [exp list]  $\Rightarrow$  [varName list]  $\Rightarrow$  [exp list]  $\Rightarrow$  exp list
  ('['-/-'- [80,80,80] 80) where
  (ds/xs)es  $\equiv$  map (subst (map-upds Map.empty xs ds)) es

```

1.5 Lookup

The function *lookup f l* function returns an option containing the first element of *l* satisfying *f*, or **None** if no such element exists

```

primrec lookup :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'a option
where
  lookup [] P = None
| lookup (h#t) P = (if P h then Some h else lookup t P)

```

```

primrec lookup2 :: 'a list  $\Rightarrow$  'b list  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\Rightarrow$  bool)  $\Rightarrow$  'b option
where
  lookup2 [] l2 P = None
| lookup2 (h1#t1) l2 P = (if P h1 then Some(hd l2) else lookup2 t1 (tl l2) P)

```

1.6 Variable Definition Accessors

This section contains several helper functions for reading off the names and types of variable definitions (e.g., in field and method parameter declarations).

definition

```

varDefs-names :: varDef list  $\Rightarrow$  varName list where
varDefs-names = map vdName

```

definition

```

varDefs-types :: varDef list  $\Rightarrow$  className list where
varDefs-types = map vdType

```

1.7 Subtyping Relation

The subtyping relation, written $CT \vdash C <: D$ is just the reflexive and transitive closure of the immediate subclass relation. (For the sake of simplicity,

we define subtyping directly instead of using the reflexive and transitive closure operator.) The subtyping relation is extended to lists of classes, written $CT \vdash + Cs <: Ds$.

inductive

$subtyping :: [classTable, className, className] \Rightarrow bool$ (- \vdash - $<:$ - [80,80,80] 80)

where

$s-refl : CT \vdash C <: C$
 $| s-trans : \llbracket CT \vdash C <: D; CT \vdash D <: E \rrbracket \Longrightarrow CT \vdash C <: E$
 $| s-super : \llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef); cSuper CDef = D \rrbracket \Longrightarrow CT \vdash C <: D$

abbreviation

$neg-subtyping :: [classTable, className, className] \Rightarrow bool$ (- \vdash - $\neg <:$ - [80,80,80] 80)

where $CT \vdash S \neg <: T \equiv \neg CT \vdash S <: T$

inductive

$subtypings :: [classTable, className list, className list] \Rightarrow bool$ (- $\vdash +$ - $<:$ - [80,80,80] 80)

where

$ss-nil : CT \vdash + [] <: []$
 $| ss-cons : \llbracket CT \vdash C0 <: D0; CT \vdash + Cs <: Ds \rrbracket \Longrightarrow CT \vdash + (C0 \# Cs) <: (D0 \# Ds)$

1.8 fields Relation

The `fields` relation, written $fields(CT, C) = Cf$, relates Cf to C when Cf is the list of fields declared directly or indirectly (i.e., by a superclass) in C .

inductive

$fields :: [classTable, className, varDef list] \Rightarrow bool$ ($fields'(-,-) =$ - [80,80,80] 80)

where

$f-obj:$
 $fields(CT, Object) = []$
 $| f-class:$
 $\llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef); cSuper CDef = D; cFields CDef = Cf; fields(CT, D) = Dg; DgCf = Dg @ Cf \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow fields(CT, C) = DgCf$

1.9 mtype Relation

The `mtype` relation, written $mtype(CT, m, C) = Cs \rightarrow C_0$ relates a class C , method name m , and the arrow type $Cs \rightarrow C_0$. It either returns the type of the declaration of m in C , if any such declaration exists, and otherwise returning the type of m from C 's superclass.

inductive

$mtype :: [classTable, methodName, className, className list, className] \Rightarrow bool$
 $(mtype'(-,-,-) = - \rightarrow - [80,80,80,80] 80)$

where

mt-class:

$\llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef);$
 $lookup (cMethods CDef) (\lambda md.(mName md = m)) = Some(mDef);$
 $varDefs-types (mParams mDef) = Bs;$
 $mReturn mDef = B \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow mtype(CT,m,C) = Bs \rightarrow B$

| *mt-super:*

$\llbracket CT(C) = Some (CDef);$
 $lookup (cMethods CDef) (\lambda md.(mName md = m)) = None;$
 $cSuper CDef = D;$
 $mtype(CT,m,D) = Bs \rightarrow B \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow mtype(CT,m,C) = Bs \rightarrow B$

1.10 mbody Relation

The **mtype** relation, written $mbody(CT, m, C) = xs.e_0$ relates a class C , method name m , and the names of the parameters xs and the body of the method e_0 . It either returns the parameter names and body of the declaration of m in C , if any such declaration exists, and otherwise the parameter names and body of m from C 's superclass.

inductive

$mbody :: [classTable, methodName, className, varName list, exp] \Rightarrow bool$ ($mbody'(-,-,-)$
 $= - . - [80,80,80,80] 80)$

where

mb-class:

$\llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef);$
 $lookup (cMethods CDef) (\lambda md.(mName md = m)) = Some(mDef);$
 $varDefs-names (mParams mDef) = xs;$
 $mBody mDef = e \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e$

| *mb-super:*

$\llbracket CT(C) = Some(CDef);$
 $lookup (cMethods CDef) (\lambda md.(mName md = m)) = None;$
 $cSuper CDef = D;$
 $mbody(CT,m,D) = xs . e \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e$

1.11 Typing Relation

The typing relation, written $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$ relates an expression e to its type C , under the typing context Γ . The multi-typing relation, written $CT; \Gamma \vdash +es : Cs$ relates lists of expressions to lists of types.

inductive

$typings :: [classTable, varCtx, exp list, className list] \Rightarrow bool (-;- \vdash + - : - [80,80,80,80] 80)$
and $typing :: [classTable, varCtx, exp, className] \Rightarrow bool (-;- \vdash - : - [80,80,80,80] 80)$
where
 $ts-nil : CT; \Gamma \vdash + [] : []$

| $ts-cons :$
 $\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; CT; \Gamma \vdash + es : Cs \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash + (e0 \# es) : (C0 \# Cs)$

| $t-var :$
 $\llbracket \Gamma(x) = Some C \rrbracket \Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash (Var x) : C$

| $t-field :$
 $\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0;$
 $fields(CT, C0) = Cf;$
 $lookup Cf (\lambda fd. (vdName fd = fi)) = Some(fDef);$
 $vdType fDef = Ci \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash FieldProj e0 fi : Ci$

| $t-invok :$
 $\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0;$
 $mtype(CT, m, C0) = Ds \rightarrow C;$
 $CT; \Gamma \vdash + es : Cs;$
 $CT \vdash + Cs <: Ds;$
 $length es = length Ds \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash MethodInvk e0 m es : C$

| $t-new :$
 $\llbracket fields(CT, C) = Df;$
 $length es = length Df;$
 $varDefs-types Df = Ds;$
 $CT; \Gamma \vdash + es : Cs;$
 $CT \vdash + Cs <: Ds \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash New C es : C$

| $t-ucast :$
 $\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D;$
 $CT \vdash D <: C \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0 : C$

| $t-dcast :$
 $\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D;$
 $CT \vdash C <: D; C \neq D \rrbracket$
 $\Longrightarrow CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0 : C$

| $t-scast :$
 $\llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D;$

$$\begin{array}{l}
CT \vdash C \neg<: D; \\
CT \vdash D \neg<: C \text{]} \\
\implies CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{Cast } C \ e0 : C
\end{array}$$

We occasionally find the following induction principle, which only mentions the typing of a single expression, more useful than the mutual induction principle generated by Isabelle, which mentions the typings of single expressions and of lists of expressions.

lemma *typing-induct*:

assumes $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$ (**is** ? T)
and $\bigwedge C \ CT \ \Gamma \ x. \ \Gamma \ x = \text{Some } C \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{Var } x) \ C$
and $\bigwedge C0 \ CT \ Cf \ Ci \ \Gamma \ e0 \ fDef \ fi. \ \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; P \ CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ C0; \text{fields}(CT, C0) = Cf; \text{lookup } Cf \ (\lambda fd. \text{vdName } fd = fi) = \text{Some } fDef; \text{vdType } fDef = Ci \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{FieldProj } e0 \ fi) \ Ci$
and $\bigwedge C \ C0 \ CT \ Cs \ Ds \ \Gamma \ e0 \ es \ m. \ \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0; P \ CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ C0; \text{mtype}(CT, m, C0) = Ds \rightarrow C; CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs; \bigwedge i. \ \llbracket i < \text{length } es \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (es!i) \ (Cs!i); CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds; \text{length } es = \text{length } Ds \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{MethodInvk } e0 \ m \ es) \ C$
and $\bigwedge C \ CT \ Cs \ Df \ Ds \ \Gamma \ es. \ \llbracket \text{fields}(CT, C) = Df; \text{length } es = \text{length } Df; \text{varDefs-types } Df = Ds; CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs; \bigwedge i. \ \llbracket i < \text{length } es \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (es!i) \ (Cs!i); CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{New } C \ es) \ C$
and $\bigwedge C \ CT \ D \ \Gamma \ e0. \ \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; P \ CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ D; CT \vdash D <: C \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{Cast } C \ e0) \ C$
and $\bigwedge C \ CT \ D \ \Gamma \ e0. \ \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; P \ CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ D; CT \vdash C <: D; C \neq D \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{Cast } C \ e0) \ C$
and $\bigwedge C \ CT \ D \ \Gamma \ e0. \ \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D; P \ CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ D; CT \vdash C \neg<: D; CT \vdash D \neg<: C \rrbracket \implies P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (\text{Cast } C \ e0) \ C$
shows $P \ CT \ \Gamma \ e \ C$ (**is** ? P)

proof –

fix $es \ Cs$
let $?IH = CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs \longrightarrow (\forall i < \text{length } es. \ P \ CT \ \Gamma \ (es!i) \ (Cs!i))$
have $?IH \wedge (?T \longrightarrow ?P)$
proof (*induct rule:typings-typing.induct*)
case (*ts-nil* $CT \ \Gamma$) **show** ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*ts-cons* $CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ C0 \ es \ Cs$)
show ?*case* **proof**
fix i
show $i < \text{length } (e0 \# es) \longrightarrow P \ CT \ \Gamma \ ((e0 \# es)!i) \ ((C0 \# Cs)!i)$ **using** *ts-cons*
by (*cases* i , *auto*)
qed
next
case *t-var* **then** **show** ?*case* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
next
case *t-field* **then** **show** ?*case* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
next
case *t-inv* **then** **show** ?*case* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
next
case *t-new* **then** **show** ?*case* **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
next

```

    case t-ucast then show ?case using assms by auto
next
    case t-dcast then show ?case using assms by auto
next
    case t-scast then show ?case using assms by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using assms by auto
qed

```

1.12 Method Typing Relation

A method definition md , declared in a class C , is well-typed, written $CT \vdash mdOK \text{ IN } C$ if its body is well-typed and it has the same type (i.e., overrides) any method with the same name declared in the superclass of C .

inductive

method-typing :: [*classTable*, *methodDef*, *className*] \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - OK IN - [80,80,80] 80)

where

m-typing:

```

[[ CT(C) = Some(CDef);
   cName CDef = C;
   cSuper CDef = D;
   mName mDef = m;
   lookup (cMethods CDef) ( $\lambda md.(mName\ md = m)$ ) = Some(mDef);
   mReturn mDef = C0; mParams mDef = Cxs; mBody mDef = e0;
   varDefs-types Cxs = Cs;
   varDefs-names Cxs = xs;
    $\Gamma = (map\ upds\ Map.empty\ xs\ Cs)(this\ \mapsto\ C)$ ;
    $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : E0$ ;
    $CT \vdash E0 <: C0$ ;
    $\forall Ds\ D0. (mtype(CT, m, D) = Ds \rightarrow D0) \longrightarrow (Cs = Ds \wedge C0 = D0)$  ]]
 $\Rightarrow CT \vdash mDef\ OK\ IN\ C$ 

```

inductive

method-typings :: [*classTable*, *methodDef list*, *className*] \Rightarrow bool (- $\vdash +$ - OK IN - [80,80,80] 80)

where

ms-nil :

$CT \vdash + []\ OK\ IN\ C$

| *ms-cons* :

```

[[ CT  $\vdash m\ OK\ IN\ C$ ;
   CT  $\vdash + ms\ OK\ IN\ C$  ]]
 $\Rightarrow CT \vdash + (m \# ms)\ OK\ IN\ C$ 

```

1.13 Class Typing Relation

A class definition cd is well-typed, written $CT \vdash cdOK$ if its constructor initializes each field, and all of its methods are well-typed.

inductive

$class\text{-}typing :: [classTable, classDef] \Rightarrow bool \ (- \vdash - \text{ OK } [80,80] \ 80)$

where

$t\text{-}class: \llbracket \begin{array}{l} cName \ CDef = C; \\ cSuper \ CDef = D; \\ cConstructor \ CDef = KDef; \\ cMethods \ CDef = M; \\ kName \ KDef = C; \\ kParams \ KDef = (Dg@Cf); \\ kSuper \ KDef = varDefs\text{-}names \ Dg; \\ kInits \ KDef = varDefs\text{-}names \ Cf; \\ fields(CT,D) = Dg; \\ CT \vdash\vdash \ M \text{ OK IN } C \end{array} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow CT \vdash CDef \text{ OK}$

1.14 Class Table Typing Relation

A class table is well-typed, written $CT \text{ OK}$ if for every class name C , the class definition mapped to by CT is well-typed and has name C .

inductive

$ct\text{-}typing :: classTable \Rightarrow bool \ (- \text{ OK } \ 80)$

where

$ct\text{-}all\text{-}ok:$

$\llbracket \begin{array}{l} Object \notin dom(CT); \\ \forall C \ CDef. \ CT(C) = Some(CDef) \longrightarrow (CT \vdash CDef \text{ OK}) \wedge (cName \ CDef = C) \end{array} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow CT \text{ OK}$

1.15 Evaluation Relation

The single-step and multi-step evaluation relations are written $CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'$ and $CT \vdash e \rightarrow^* e'$ respectively.

inductive

$reduction :: [classTable, exp, exp] \Rightarrow bool \ (- \vdash - \rightarrow - \ [80,80,80] \ 80)$

where

$r\text{-}field:$

$\llbracket \begin{array}{l} fields(CT,C) = Cf; \\ lookup2 \ Cf \ es \ (\lambda fd.(vdName \ fd = fi)) = Some(ei) \end{array} \rrbracket$
 $\Rightarrow CT \vdash FieldProj \ (New \ C \ es) \ fi \rightarrow ei$

| $r\text{-}invk:$

$\llbracket mbody(CT,m,C) = xs \ . \ e0; \rrbracket$

$subst ((map-upds Map.empty xs ds)(this \mapsto (New C es))) e0 = e0']$
 $\implies CT \vdash MethodInvk (New C es) m ds \rightarrow e0'$

| *r-cast*:
 $\llbracket CT \vdash C <: D \rrbracket$
 $\implies CT \vdash Cast D (New C es) \rightarrow New C es$

| *rc-field*:
 $\llbracket CT \vdash e0 \rightarrow e0' \rrbracket$
 $\implies CT \vdash FieldProj e0 f \rightarrow FieldProj e0' f$

| *rc-invok-recv*:
 $\llbracket CT \vdash e0 \rightarrow e0' \rrbracket$
 $\implies CT \vdash MethodInvk e0 m es \rightarrow MethodInvk e0' m es$

| *rc-invok-arg*:
 $\llbracket CT \vdash ei \rightarrow ei' \rrbracket$
 $\implies CT \vdash MethodInvk e0 m (el@ei#er) \rightarrow MethodInvk e0 m (el@ei'#er)$

| *rc-new-arg*:
 $\llbracket CT \vdash ei \rightarrow ei' \rrbracket$
 $\implies CT \vdash New C (el@ei#er) \rightarrow New C (el@ei'#er)$

| *rc-cast*:
 $\llbracket CT \vdash e0 \rightarrow e0' \rrbracket$
 $\implies CT \vdash Cast C e0 \rightarrow Cast C e0'$

inductive

$reductions :: [classTable, exp, exp] \Rightarrow bool (- \vdash - \rightarrow* - [80,80,80] 80)$

where

$rs-refl: CT \vdash e \rightarrow* e$

| $rs-trans: \llbracket CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'; CT \vdash e' \rightarrow* e'' \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash e \rightarrow* e''$

end

2 FJAux: Auxiliary Lemmas

theory FJAux imports FJDefs

begin

2.1 Non-FJ Lemmas

2.1.1 Lists

lemma mem-ith:

assumes $ei \in set es$

shows $\exists el er. es = el@ei#er$

using *assms*

proof(*induct es*)

```

case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
case (Cons esh est)
{ assume esh = ei
  with Cons have ?case by blast
} moreover {
  assume esh ≠ ei
  with Cons have ei ∈ set est by auto
  with Cons obtain el er where esh # est = (esh#el) @ (ei#er) by auto
  hence ?case by blast }
ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

```

lemma ith-mem:  $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } es \rrbracket \implies es!i \in \text{set } es$ 
proof(induct es)
  case Nil thus ?case by auto
next
  case (Cons h t) thus ?case by(cases i, auto)
qed

```

2.1.2 Maps

```

lemma map-shuffle:
  assumes length xs = length ys
  shows  $[xs \mapsto ys, x \mapsto y] = [(xs @ [x]) \mapsto (ys @ [y])]$ 
  using assms
by (induct xs ys rule:list-induct2) (auto simp add:map-upds-append1)

```

```

lemma map-upds-index:
  assumes length xs = length As
  and  $[xs \mapsto As]x = \text{Some } Ai$ 
  shows  $\exists i. (As!i = Ai)$ 
     $\wedge (i < \text{length } As)$ 
     $\wedge (\forall (Bs::'c \text{ list}). ((\text{length } Bs = \text{length } As)$ 
       $\longrightarrow ([xs \mapsto Bs] x = \text{Some } (Bs !i))))$ 
  (is  $\exists i. ?P i xs As$ 
  is  $\exists i. (?P1 i As) \wedge (?P2 i As) \wedge (\forall Bs::('c \text{ list}). (?P3 i xs As Bs))$ )
  using assms
proof(induct xs As rule:list-induct2)
  assume  $\llbracket \mapsto \rrbracket x = \text{Some } Ai$ 
  moreover have  $\neg \llbracket \mapsto \rrbracket x = \text{Some } Ai$  by auto
  ultimately show  $\exists i. ?P i \llbracket \rrbracket$  by contradiction
next

```

```

  fix xa xs y ys
  assume length-xs-ys: length xs = length ys
    and IH:  $[xs \mapsto ys] x = \text{Some } Ai \implies \exists i. ?P i xs ys$ 
    and map-eq-Some:  $[xa \# xs \mapsto y \# ys] x = \text{Some } Ai$ 
  then have map-decomp:  $[xa \# xs \mapsto y \# ys] = [xa \mapsto y] ++ [xs \mapsto ys]$  by fastforce
  show  $\exists i. ?P i (xa \# xs) (y \# ys)$ 

```

```

proof(cases [xs[ $\mapsto$ ]ys]x)
  case(Some Ai')
    hence ([xa  $\mapsto$  y] ++ [xs[ $\mapsto$ ]ys]) x = Some Ai' by(rule map-add-find-right)
    hence P: [xs[ $\mapsto$ ]ys] x = Some Ai using map-eq-Some Some by simp
    from IH[OF P] obtain i where
      R1: ys ! i = Ai
      and R2: i < length ys
      and pre-r3:  $\forall$  (Bs::'c list). ?P3 i xs ys Bs by fastforce
    { fix Bs::'c list
      assume length-Bs: length Bs = length (y#ys)
      then obtain n where length (y#ys) = Suc n by auto
      with length-Bs obtain b bs where Bs-def: Bs = b#bs by (auto simp
add:length-Suc-conv)
      with length-Bs have length ys = length bs by simp
      with pre-r3 have ([xa $\mapsto$ b] ++ [xs[ $\mapsto$ ]bs]) x = Some (bs!i) by(auto simp
only:map-add-find-right)
      with pre-r3 Bs-def length-Bs have ?P3 (i+1) (xa#xs) (y#ys) Bs by simp
    }
    with R1 R2 have ?P (i+1) (xa#xs) (y#ys) by auto
    thus ?thesis ..
  next
    case None
      with map-decomp map-eq-Some have [xa $\mapsto$ y] x = Some Ai by (auto simp
only:map-add-SomeD)
      hence ai-def: y = Ai and x-eq-xa:x=xa by (auto simp only:map-upd-Some-unfold)

      { fix Bs::'c list
        assume length-Bs: length Bs = length (y#ys)
        then obtain n where length (y#ys) = Suc n by auto
        with length-Bs obtain b bs where Bs-def: Bs = b#bs by (auto simp
add:length-Suc-conv)
        with length-Bs have length ys = length bs by simp
        hence dom([xs[ $\mapsto$ ]ys]) = dom([xs[ $\mapsto$ ]bs]) by auto
        with None have [xs[ $\mapsto$ ]bs] x = None by (auto simp only:domIff)
        moreover from x-eq-xa have sing-map: [xa $\mapsto$ b] x = Some b by (auto simp
only:map-upd-Some-unfold)
        ultimately have ([xa $\mapsto$ b] ++ [xs[ $\mapsto$ ]bs]) x = Some b by (auto simp
only:map-add-Some-iff)
        with Bs-def have ?P3 0 (xa#xs) (y#ys) Bs by simp }
        with ai-def have ?P 0 (xa#xs) (y#ys) by auto
        thus ?thesis ..
      }
    qed
  qed

```

2.2 FJ Lemmas

2.2.1 Substitution

lemma subst-list1-eq-map-substs :

$$\forall \sigma. \text{subst-list1 } \sigma \ l = \text{map } (\text{substs } \sigma) \ l$$

by (*induct l, simp-all*)

lemma *subst-list2-eq-map-substs* :
 $\forall \sigma. \text{subst-list2 } \sigma \ l = \text{map } (\text{substs } \sigma) \ l$
by (*induct l, simp-all*)

2.2.2 Lookup

lemma *lookup-functional*:
assumes $\text{lookup } l \ f = o1$
and $\text{lookup } l \ f = o2$
shows $o1 = o2$
using *assms* **by** (*induct l*) *auto*

lemma *lookup-true*:
 $\text{lookup } l \ f = \text{Some } r \implies f \ r$
proof(*induct l*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *simp*
next
case(*Cons h t*) **thus** *?case* **by**(*cases f h*) (*auto simp add:lookup.simps*)
qed

lemma *lookup-hd*:
 $\llbracket \text{length } l > 0; f \ (l!0) \rrbracket \implies \text{lookup } l \ f = \text{Some } (l!0)$
by (*induct l*) *auto*

lemma *lookup-split*: $\text{lookup } l \ f = \text{None} \vee (\exists h. \text{lookup } l \ f = \text{Some } h)$
by (*induct l*) *simp-all*

lemma *lookup-index*:
assumes $\text{lookup } l1 \ f = \text{Some } e$
shows $\bigwedge l2. \exists i < (\text{length } l1). e = l1!i \wedge ((\text{length } l1 = \text{length } l2) \longrightarrow \text{lookup2 } l1 \ l2 \ f = \text{Some } (l2!i))$
using *assms*
proof(*induct l1*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Cons h1 t1*)
{ **assume** *asm:f h1*
hence $0 < \text{length } (h1 \ \# \ t1) \wedge e = (h1 \ \# \ t1) ! 0$
using *Cons* **by** (*auto simp add:lookup.simps*)
moreover **{**
assume $\text{length } (h1 \ \# \ t1) = \text{length } l2$
hence $\text{length } l2 = \text{Suc } (\text{length } t1)$ **by** *auto*
then obtain *h2 t2* **where** *l2-def:l2 = h2#t2* **by** (*auto simp add: length-Suc-conv*)
hence $\text{lookup2 } (h1 \ \# \ t1) \ l2 \ f = \text{Some } (l2 ! 0)$ **using** *asm* **by**(*auto simp add: lookup2.simps*)
}
ultimately have *?case* **by** *auto*

```

} moreover {
  assume asm:¬ (f h1)
  hence lookup t1 f = Some e
  using Cons by (auto simp add:lookup.simps)
  then obtain i where
    i < length t1
    and e = t1 ! i
    and ih:(length t1 = length (tl l2) → lookup2 t1 (tl l2) f = Some ((tl l2) !
i))
  using Cons by blast
  hence Suc i < length (h1 # t1) ∧ e = (h1 # t1)!(Suc i) using Cons by auto
  moreover {
    assume length (h1 # t1) = length l2
    hence lens:length l2 = Suc (length t1) by auto
    then obtain h2 t2 where l2-def:l2 = h2 # t2 by (auto simp add: length-Suc-conv)
    hence lookup2 t1 t2 f = Some (t2 ! i) using ih l2-def lens by auto
    hence lookup2 (h1 # t1) l2 f = Some (l2!(Suc i))
    using asm l2-def by(auto simp add: lookup2.simps)
  }
  ultimately have ?case by auto
}
ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

lemma *lookup2-index*:

$\bigwedge l2. \llbracket \text{lookup2 } l1 \ l2 \ f = \text{Some } e; \text{length } l1 = \text{length } l2 \rrbracket \implies \exists i < (\text{length } l2). e = (l2!i) \wedge \text{lookup } l1 \ f = \text{Some } (l1!i)$

proof(*induct l1*)

case *Nil* **thus** ?case by auto

next

case (*Cons h1 t1*)

hence *length l2 = Suc (length t1)* by auto

then obtain *h2 t2* **where** *l2-def:l2 = h2 # t2* **by** (*auto simp add: length-Suc-conv*)

 { **assume** *asm:f h1*

hence *e = h2* **using** *Cons l2-def* **by** (*auto simp add:lookup2.simps*)

hence $0 < \text{length } (h2 \# t2) \wedge e = (h2 \# t2) ! 0 \wedge \text{lookup } (h1 \# t1) \ f = \text{Some } ((h1 \# t1) ! 0)$

using *asm* **by** (*auto simp add:lookup.simps*)

hence ?case **using** *l2-def* **by** auto

 } **moreover** {

assume *asm:¬ (f h1)*

hence $\exists i < \text{length } t2. e = t2 ! i \wedge \text{lookup } t1 \ f = \text{Some } (t1 ! i)$ **using** *Cons l2-def* **by** auto

then obtain *i* **where** $i < \text{length } t2 \wedge e = t2 ! i \wedge \text{lookup } t1 \ f = \text{Some } (t1 ! i)$ **by** auto

hence $(\text{Suc } i) < \text{length}(h2 \# t2) \wedge e = ((h2 \# t2) ! (\text{Suc } i)) \wedge \text{lookup } (h1 \# t1) \ f = \text{Some } ((h1 \# t1) ! (\text{Suc } i))$

using *asm* **by** (*force simp add: lookup.simps*)


```

    hence ?case using l2-def by auto
  }
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed

```

```

lemma lookup-append:
  assumes lookup l f = Some r
  shows lookup (l@l') f = Some r
  using assms by(induct l) auto

```

```

lemma method-typings-lookup:
  assumes lookup-eq-Some: lookup M f = Some mDef
  and M-ok: CT ⊢+ M OK IN C
  shows CT ⊢ mDef OK IN C
  using lookup-eq-Some M-ok
proof(induct M)
  case Nil thus ?case by fastforce
next
  case (Cons h t) thus ?case by(cases f h, auto elim:method-typings.cases simp
add:lookup.simps)
qed

```

2.2.3 Functional

These lemmas prove that several relations are actually functions

```

lemma mtype-functional:
  assumes mtype(CT,m,C) = Cs → C0
  and mtype(CT,m,C) = Ds → D0
  shows Ds=Cs ∧ D0=C0
using assms by induct (auto elim:mtype.cases)

```

```

lemma mbody-functional:
  assumes mb1: mbody(CT,m,C) = xs . e0
  and mb2: mbody(CT,m,C) = ys . d0
  shows xs = ys ∧ e0 = d0
using assms by induct (auto elim:mbody.cases)

```

```

lemma fields-functional:
  assumes fields(CT,C) = Cf
  and CT OK
  shows ∧ Cf'. [ fields(CT,C) = Cf ] ⇒ Cf = Cf'
using assms
proof induct
  case (f-obj CT)
  hence CT(Object) = None by (auto elim: ct-typing.cases)
  thus ?case using f-obj by (auto elim: fields.cases)
next
  case (f-class CT C CDef D Cf Dg DgCf DgCf')
  hence f-class-inv:

```

(CT C = Some CDef) \wedge (cSuper CDef = D) \wedge (cFields CDef = Cf)
 and CT OK by fastforce+
 hence c-not-obj:C \neq Object by (force elim:ct-typing.cases)
 from f-class have flds:fields(CT,C) = DgCf' by fastforce
 then obtain Dg' where
 fields(CT,D) = Dg'
 and DgCf' = Dg' @ Cf
 using f-class-inv c-not-obj by (auto elim:fields.cases)
 hence Dg' = Dg using f-class by auto
 thus ?case using ⟨DgCf = Dg @ Cf⟩ and ⟨DgCf' = Dg' @ Cf⟩ by force
 qed

2.2.4 Subtyping and Typing

lemma *typings-lengths*: assumes CT; $\Gamma \vdash +$ es:C_s shows length es = length C_s
 using *assms* by (induct es C_s) (auto elim:typings.cases)

lemma *typings-index*:

assumes CT; $\Gamma \vdash +$ es:C_s
 shows $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } es \rrbracket \implies CT; \Gamma \vdash (es!i) : (Cs!i)$
proof –
 have length es = length C_s using *assms* by (auto simp: typings-lengths)
 thus $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } es \rrbracket \implies CT; \Gamma \vdash (es!i) : (Cs!i)$
 using *assms*
proof (induct es C_s rule:list-induct2)
 case Nil thus ?case by auto
 next
 case (Cons esh est hCs tCs i)
 thus ?case by (cases i) (auto elim:typings.cases)
 qed
 qed

lemma *subtypings-index*:

assumes CT $\vdash +$ C_s <: D_s
 shows $\bigwedge i. \llbracket i < \text{length } C_s \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash (C_s!i) <: (D_s!i)$
 using *assms*
proof *induct*
 case ss-nil thus ?case by auto
 next
 case (ss-cons hCs CT tCs hDs tDs i)
 thus ?case by (cases i, auto)
 qed

lemma *subtyping-append*:

assumes CT $\vdash +$ C_s <: D_s
 and CT \vdash C <: D
 shows CT $\vdash +$ (C_s@[C]) <: (D_s@[D])
 using *assms*

by (*induct rule:subtypings.induct*) (*auto simp add:subtypings.intros elim:subtypings.cases*)

lemma *typings-append*:
 assumes $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs$
 and $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$
 shows $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (es@[e]) : (Cs@[C])$
proof –
 have $length\ es = length\ Cs$ **using** *assms* **by** (*simp-all add:typings-lengths*)
 thus $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (es@[e]) : (Cs@[C])$ **using** *assms*
proof (*induct es Cs rule:list-induct2*)
 have $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ [] : []$ **by** (*simp add:typings-typing.ts-nil*)
 moreover **from** *assms* **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$ **by** *simp*
 ultimately **show** $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ ([]@[e]) : ([]@[C])$ **by** (*auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons*)
next
fix $x\ xs\ y\ ys$
assume $length\ xs = length\ ys$
 and $IH: [CT; \Gamma \vdash+ xs : ys; CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C] \implies CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (xs @ [e]) : (ys @ [C])$
 and $x\text{-}xs\text{-}typs: CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (x \# xs) : (y \# ys)$
 and $e\text{-}typ: CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$
from $x\text{-}xs\text{-}typs$ **have** $x\text{-}typ: CT; \Gamma \vdash x : y$ **and** $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ xs : ys$ **by** (*auto elim:typings.cases*)
with $IH\ e\text{-}typ$ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (xs@[e]) : (ys@[C])$ **by** *simp*
with $x\text{-}typ$ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ ((x\#xs)@[e]) : ((y\#ys)@[C])$ **by** (*auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons*)
 thus $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ ((x \# xs) @ [e]) : ((y \# ys) @ [C])$ **by** (*auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons*)
qed
qed

lemma *ith-typing*: $\bigwedge Cs. [CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (es@(h\#t)) : Cs] \implies CT; \Gamma \vdash h : (Cs!(length\ es))$
proof (*induct es, auto elim:typings.cases*)
qed

lemma *ith-subtyping*: $\bigwedge Ds. [CT \vdash+ (Cs@(h\#t)) <: Ds] \implies CT \vdash h <: (Ds!(length\ Cs))$
proof (*induct Cs, auto elim:subtypings.cases*)
qed

lemma *subtypings-refl*: $CT \vdash+ Cs <: Cs$
by (*induct Cs, auto simp add: subtyping.s-refl subtypings.intros*)

lemma *subtypings-trans*: $\bigwedge Ds\ Es. [CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds; CT \vdash+ Ds <: Es] \implies CT \vdash+ Cs <: Es$
proof (*induct Cs*)
case *Nil* **thus** *?case*
by (*auto elim:subtypings.cases simp add:subtypings.ss-nil*)
next
case (*Cons hCs tCs*)

then obtain $hDs\ tDs$
where $h1:CT \vdash hCs <: hDs$ **and** $t1:CT \vdash tCs <: tDs$ **and** $Ds = hDs\#tDs$
by (*auto elim:subtypings.cases*)
then obtain $hEs\ tEs$
where $h2:CT \vdash hDs <: hEs$ **and** $t2:CT \vdash tDs <: tEs$ **and** $Es = hEs\#tEs$
using *Cons* **by** (*auto elim:subtypings.cases*)
moreover from *subtyping.s-trans[OF h1 h2]* **have** $CT \vdash hCs <: hEs$ **by** *fastforce*
moreover with $t1\ t2$ **have** $CT \vdash tCs <: tEs$ **using** *Cons* **by** *simp-all*
ultimately show *?case* **by** (*auto simp add:subtypings.intros*)
qed

lemma *ith-typing-sub*:

$\bigwedge Cs. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash (es @ (h\#t)) : Cs;$
 $CT; \Gamma \vdash h' : Ci';$
 $CT \vdash Ci' <: (Cs!(length\ es)) \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists Cs'. (CT; \Gamma \vdash (es @ (h'\#t)) : Cs' \wedge CT \vdash Cs' <: Cs)$

proof(*induct es*)

case *Nil*

then obtain $hCs\ tCs$

where $ts: CT; \Gamma \vdash t : tCs$

and $Cs\text{-def}: Cs = hCs\ \#\ tCs$ **by**(*auto elim:typings.cases*)

from $Cs\text{-def}\ Nil$ **have** $CT \vdash Ci' <: hCs$ **by** *auto*

with $Cs\text{-def}$ **have** $CT \vdash (Ci'\#tCs) <: Cs$ **by**(*auto simp add:subtypings.ss-cons subtypings-refl*)

moreover from $ts\ Nil$ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash (h'\#t) : (Ci'\#tCs)$ **by**(*auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons*)

ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons eh et*)

then obtain $hCs\ tCs$

where $CT; \Gamma \vdash eh : hCs$

and $CT; \Gamma \vdash (et @ (h\#t)) : tCs$

and $Cs\text{-def}: Cs = hCs\ \#\ tCs$

by(*auto elim:typings.cases*)

moreover with *Cons* **obtain** tCs'

where $CT; \Gamma \vdash (et @ (h'\#t)) : tCs'$

and $CT \vdash tCs' <: tCs$

by *auto*

ultimately have

$CT; \Gamma \vdash (eh\#(et @ (h'\#t))) : (hCs\#tCs')$

and $CT \vdash (hCs\#tCs') <: Cs$

by(*auto simp add:typings-typing.ts-cons subtypings.ss-cons subtyping.s-refl*)

thus *?case* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mem-typings*:

$\bigwedge Cs. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash es:Cs; ei \in set\ es \rrbracket \implies \exists Ci. CT; \Gamma \vdash ei: Ci$

proof(*induct es*)

case *Nil* **thus** *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Cons eh et*) **thus** ?*case*
by(*cases ei=eh, auto elim:typings.cases*)
qed

lemma *typings-proj*:
assumes $CT; \Gamma \vdash ds : As$
and $CT \vdash As <: Bs$
and $length\ ds = length\ As$
and $length\ ds = length\ Bs$
and $i < length\ ds$
shows $CT; \Gamma \vdash ds!i : As!i$ **and** $CT \vdash As!i <: Bs!i$
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add:typings-index subtypings-index*)

lemma *subtypings-length*:
 $CT \vdash As <: Bs \implies length\ As = length\ Bs$
by(*induct rule:subtypings.induct*) *simp-all*

lemma *not-subtypes-aux*:
assumes $CT \vdash C <: Da$
and $C \neq Da$
and $CT\ C = Some\ CDef$
and $cSuper\ CDef = D$
shows $CT \vdash D <: Da$
using *assms*
by (*induct rule:subtyping.induct*) (*auto intro:subtyping.intros*)

lemma *not-subtypes*:
assumes $CT \vdash A <: C$
shows $\bigwedge D. \llbracket CT \vdash D \neg<: C; CT \vdash C \neg<: D \rrbracket \implies CT \vdash A \neg<: D$
using *assms*
proof(*induct rule:subtyping.induct*)
case *s-refl* **thus** ?*case* **by** *auto*
next
case (*s-trans CT C D E Da*)
have *da-nsub-d*: $CT \vdash Da \neg<: D$
proof(*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg CT \vdash Da \neg<: D$
hence *da-sub-d*: $CT \vdash Da <: D$ **by** *auto*
have *d-sub-e*: $CT \vdash D <: E$ **using** *s-trans* **by** *fastforce*
thus *False* **using** *s-trans* **by** (*force simp add:subtyping.s-trans[OF da-sub-d*
d-sub-e])
qed
have *d-nsub-da*: $CT \vdash D \neg<: Da$ **using** *s-trans* **by** *auto*
from *da-nsub-d d-nsub-da s-trans* **show** $CT \vdash C \neg<: Da$ **by** *auto*
next
case (*s-super CT C CDef D Da*)
have $C \neq Da$ **proof**(*rule ccontr*)
assume $\neg C \neq Da$
hence $C = Da$ **by** *auto*

```

    hence  $CT \vdash Da <: D$  using s-super by (auto simp add: subtyping.s-super)
    thus False using s-super by auto
  qed
  thus ?case using s-super by (auto simp add: not-subtypes-aux)
  qed

```

2.2.5 Sub-Expressions

```

lemma isubexpr-typing:
  assumes  $e1 \in \text{isubexprs}(e0)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge C. \llbracket CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e0 : C \rrbracket \implies \exists D. CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e1 : D$ 
  using assms
  by (induct rule: isubexprs.induct) (auto elim: typing.cases simp add: mem-typings)

```

```

lemma subexpr-typing:
  assumes  $e1 \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge C. \llbracket CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e0 : C \rrbracket \implies \exists D. CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e1 : D$ 
  using assms
  by (induct rule: rtrancl.induct) (auto, force simp add: isubexpr-typing)

```

```

lemma isubexpr-reduct:
  assumes  $d1 \in \text{isubexprs}(e1)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge d2. \llbracket CT \vdash d1 \rightarrow d2 \rrbracket \implies \exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$ 
  using assms mem-ith
  by induct
     (auto elim: isubexprs.cases intro: reduction.intros,
      force intro: reduction.intros,
      force intro: reduction.intros)

```

```

lemma subexpr-reduct:
  assumes  $d1 \in \text{subexprs}(e1)$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge d2. \llbracket CT \vdash d1 \rightarrow d2 \rrbracket \implies \exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$ 
  using assms
  by (induct rule: rtrancl.induct) (auto, force simp add: isubexpr-reduct)

```

end

3 FJSound: Type Soundness

```

theory FJSound imports FJAux
begin

```

Type soundness is proved using the standard technique of progress and subject reduction. The numbered lemmas and theorems in this section correspond to the same results in the ACM TOPLAS paper.

3.1 Method Type and Body Connection

```

lemma mtype-mbody:

```

```

fixes  $Cs :: \text{nat list}$ 
assumes  $\text{mtype}(CT, m, C) = Cs \rightarrow C0$ 
shows  $\exists xs\ e. \text{mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs . e \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{length } Cs$ 
using  $\text{assms}$ 
proof ( $\text{induct rule: mtype.induct}$ )
  case ( $\text{mt-class } C0\ Cs\ C\ CDef\ CT\ m\ mDef$ )
    thus  $?case$ 
    by ( $\text{force simp add: varDefs-types-def varDefs-names-def elim: mtype.cases}$ 
   $\text{intro: mbody.mb-class}$ )
  next
    case ( $\text{mt-super } CT\ C0\ CDef\ m\ D\ Cs\ C$ )
    then obtain  $xs\ e$  where  $\text{mbody}(CT, m, D) = xs . e$  and  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } Cs$ 
by  $\text{auto}$ 
    thus  $?case$  using  $\text{mt-super}$  by ( $\text{auto intro: mbody.mb-super}$ )
qed

```

```

lemma  $\text{mtype-mbody-length}$ :
assumes  $\text{mt: mtype}(CT, m, C) = Cs \rightarrow C0$ 
and  $\text{mb: mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs . e$ 
shows  $\text{length } xs = \text{length } Cs$ 
proof –
  from  $\text{mtype-mbody}[OF\ \text{mt}]$  obtain  $xs'\ e'$ 
  where  $\text{mb2: mbody}(CT, m, C) = xs' . e'$ 
  and  $\text{length } xs' = \text{length } Cs$ 
  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  with  $\text{mbody-functional}[OF\ \text{mb}\ \text{mb2}]$  show  $?thesis$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed

```

3.2 Method Types and Field Declarations of Subtypes

```

lemma  $A-1-1$ :
assumes  $CT \vdash C <: D$  and  $CT\ OK$ 
shows  $(\text{mtype}(CT, m, D) = Cs \rightarrow C0) \implies (\text{mtype}(CT, m, C) = Cs \rightarrow C0)$ 
using  $\text{assms}$ 
proof ( $\text{induct rule: subtyping.induct}$ )
  case ( $s\text{-refl } C\ CT$ ) show  $?case$  by  $\text{fact}$ 
next
  case ( $s\text{-trans } C\ CT\ D\ E$ ) thus  $?case$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
next
  case ( $s\text{-super } CT\ C\ CDef\ D$ )
  hence  $CT \vdash CDef\ OK$  and  $cName\ CDef = C$ 
  by ( $\text{auto elim: ct-typing.cases}$ )
  with  $s\text{-super}$  obtain  $M$ 
  where  $M: CT \vdash+ M\ OK\ IN\ C$  and  $cMethods: cMethods\ CDef = M$ 
  by ( $\text{auto elim: class-typing.cases}$ )
  let  $?lookup\text{-}m = \text{lookup } M\ (\lambda md. (mName\ md = m))$ 
  show  $?case$ 
  proof ( $\text{cases } \exists mDef. ?lookup\text{-}m = \text{Some } mDef$ )
    case  $\text{True}$ 

```

then obtain $mDef$ **where** m : $?lookup-m = Some\ mDef$ **by** (*rule exE*)
hence $mDef-name$: $mName\ mDef = m$ **by** (*rule lookup-true*)
have $CT \vdash mDef\ OK\ IN\ C$ **using** $M\ m$ **by** (*auto simp add:method-typings-lookup*)
then obtain $CDef'\ m'\ D'\ Cs'\ C0'$
where CT : $CT\ C = Some\ CDef'$
and $cSuper\ CDef' = D'$
and $mName\ mDef = m'$
and $mReturn$: $mReturn\ mDef = C0'$
and $varDefs-types$: $varDefs-types\ (mParams\ mDef) = Cs'$
and $\forall Ds\ D0$. $(mtype(CT, m', D') = Ds \rightarrow D0) \longrightarrow Cs'=Ds \wedge C0'=D0$
by (*auto elim: method-typing.cases*)
with $s-super\ mDef-name$ **have** $CDef=CDef'$
and $D=D'$
and $m=m'$
and $\forall Ds\ D0$. $(mtype(CT, m, D) = Ds \rightarrow D0) \longrightarrow Cs'=Ds \wedge C0' = D0$
by *auto*
thus $?thesis$ **using** $s-super\ cMethods\ m\ CT\ mReturn\ varDefs-types$ **by** (*auto intro:mtype.intros*)
next
case *False*
hence $?lookup-m = None$ **by** (*simp add: lookup-split*)
then show $?thesis$ **using** $s-super\ cMethods$ **by** (*auto simp add:mtype.intros*)
qed
qed

lemma *sub-fields*:
assumes $CT \vdash C <: D$
shows $\bigwedge Dg$. $fields(CT, D) = Dg \implies \exists Cf$. $fields(CT, C) = (Dg @ Cf)$
using *assms*
proof *induct*
case (*s-refl CT C*)
hence $fields(CT, C) = (Dg @ [])$ **by** *simp*
thus $?case ..$
next
case (*s-trans CT C D E*)
then obtain $Df\ Cf$ **where** $fields(CT, C) = ((Dg @ Df) @ Cf)$ **by** *force*
thus $?case$ **by** *auto*
next
case (*s-super CT C CDef D Dg*)
then obtain Cf **where** $cFields\ CDef = Cf$ **by** *force*
with $s-super$ **have** $fields(CT, C) = (Dg @ Cf)$ **by** (*simp add:f-class*)
thus $?case ..$
qed

3.3 Substitution Lemma

lemma *A-1-2*:
assumes $CT\ OK$

and $\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2$
and $\Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs]$
and $length\ xs = length\ ds$
and $length\ Bs = length\ ds$
and $\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs$
shows $CT; \Gamma \vdash es : Ds \implies \exists Cs. (CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ([ds/xs]es) : Cs \wedge CT \vdash Cs <: Ds)$ (**is** $?TYPINGS \implies ?P1$)
and $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : D \implies \exists C. (CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ((ds/xs)e) : C \wedge CT \vdash C <: D)$ (**is** $?TYPING \implies ?P2$)
proof –
let $?COMMON-ASMS = (CT\ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs)$
 $\wedge (length\ Bs = length\ ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$
have $RESULT: (?TYPINGS \longrightarrow ?COMMON-ASMS \longrightarrow ?P1)$
 $\wedge (?TYPING \longrightarrow ?COMMON-ASMS \longrightarrow ?P2)$
proof(*induct rule:typings-typing.induct*)
case (*ts-nil* $CT\ \Gamma$)
show $?case$
proof (*rule impI*)
have $(CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ([ds/xs][]) : []) \wedge (CT \vdash [] <: [])$
by (*auto simp add: typings-typing.intros subtypings.intros*)
then show $\exists Cs. (CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ([ds/xs][]) : Cs) \wedge (CT \vdash Cs <: [])$ **by** *auto*
qed
next
case(*ts-cons* $CT\ \Gamma\ e0\ C0\ es\ Cs'$)
show $?case$
proof (*rule impI*)
assume $asms: (CT\ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs) \wedge (length\ Bs = length\ ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$
with *ts-cons* **have** $e0\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0$ **by** *fastforce*
with *ts-cons asms* **have**
 $\exists C. (CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)\ e0 : C) \wedge (CT \vdash C <: C0)$
and $\exists Cs. (CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash [ds/xs]es : Cs) \wedge (CT \vdash Cs <: Cs')$
by *auto*
then obtain $C\ Cs$ **where**
 $(CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)\ e0 : C) \wedge (CT \vdash C <: C0)$
and $(CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash [ds/xs]es : Cs) \wedge (CT \vdash Cs <: Cs')$ **by** *auto*
hence $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash [ds/xs](e0\#es) : (C\#Cs)$
and $CT \vdash (C\#Cs) <: (C0\#Cs')$
by (*auto simp add: typings-typing.intros subtypings.intros*)
then show $\exists Cs. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash map\ (subst\ [xs \mapsto] ds)\ (e0\ \# es) : Cs \wedge CT \vdash Cs <: (C0\ \# Cs')$
by *auto*
qed
next
case (*t-var* $\Gamma\ x\ C'\ CT$)
show $?case$
proof (*rule impI*)
assume $asms: (CT\ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs) \wedge (length\ Bs = length\ ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$

hence
lengths: $\text{length } ds = \text{length } Bs$
and $G\text{-def} : \Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2$
and $G2\text{-def} : \Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs$ **by** *auto*
from *lengths* $G2\text{-def}$ **have** *same-doms*: $\text{dom}([xs \mapsto] ds) = \text{dom}(\Gamma 2)$ **by** *auto*
from *asms* **show** $\exists C. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash \text{subst } [xs \mapsto] ds \ (Var \ x) : C \wedge CT \vdash C$
 $<: C'$
proof (*cases* $\Gamma 2 \ x$)
case *None*
with $G\text{-def } t\text{-var}$ **have** $G1\text{-x} : \Gamma 1 \ x = \text{Some } C'$ **by** (*simp add: map-add-Some-iff*)
from *None same-doms* **have** $x \notin \text{dom}([xs \mapsto] ds)$ **by** (*auto simp only: domIff*)

hence $[xs \mapsto] ds \ x = \text{None}$ **by** (*auto simp only: map-add-Some-iff*)
hence $(ds/xs)(Var \ x) = (Var \ x)$ **by** *auto*
with $G1\text{-x}$ **have**
 $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(Var \ x) : C'$ **and** $CT \vdash C' <: C'$
by (*auto simp add: typings-typing.intros subtyping.intros*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case (*Some* Bi)
with $G\text{-def } t\text{-var}$ **have** $c'\text{-eq-bi} : C' = Bi$ **by** (*auto simp add: map-add-SomeD*)
from $\langle \text{length } xs = \text{length } ds \rangle$ *asms* **have** $\text{length } xs = \text{length } Bs$ **by** *simp*
with *Some* $G2\text{-def}$ **have** $\exists i. (Bs!i = Bi) \wedge (i < \text{length } Bs) \wedge$
 $(\forall l. (\text{length } l = \text{length } Bs) \longrightarrow ([xs \mapsto] l \ x = \text{Some } (!i)))$
by (*auto simp add: map-upds-index*)
then obtain i **where** $bs\text{-i-proj} : (Bs!i = Bi)$
and $i\text{-len} : i < \text{length } Bs$
and $P : (\bigwedge (l :: \text{exp list}). (\text{length } l = \text{length } Bs) \longrightarrow ([xs \mapsto] l \ x = \text{Some } (!i)))$
by *fastforce*
from *lengths* P **have** $\text{subst-x} : ([xs \mapsto] ds) \ x = \text{Some } (ds!i)$ **by** *auto*
from *asms* **obtain** As **where** $as\text{-ex} : CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs$
by *fastforce*
hence $\text{length } As = \text{length } Bs$ **by** (*auto simp add: subtypings-length*)
hence $\text{proj-i} : CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds!i : As!i \wedge CT \vdash As!i <: Bs!i$
using $i\text{-len}$ *lengths* $as\text{-ex}$ **by** (*auto simp add: typings-proj*)
hence $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(Var \ x) : As!i \wedge CT \vdash As!i <: C'$
using $c'\text{-eq-bi}$ $bs\text{-i-proj}$ subst-x **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **..**
qed
qed
next
case (*t-field* $CT \ \Gamma \ e0 \ C0 \ Cf \ fDef \ Ci$)
show *?case*
proof (*rule impI*)
assume *asms*: $(CT \ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge$
 $(\Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs) \wedge (\text{length } Bs = \text{length } ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As$
 $\wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$
from *t-field* **have** $f\text{ds} : \text{fields}(CT, C0) = Cf$ **by** *fastforce*

```

from t-field asms obtain  $C$  where  $e0\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C$  and  $sub: CT \vdash C <: C0$ 
by auto
from sub-fields[OF sub flds] obtain  $Dg$  where  $flds\text{-}C: fields(CT, C) = (Cf@Dg) ..$ 
from t-field have  $lookup\text{-}CfDg: lookup (Cf@Dg) (\lambda fd. vdName fd = fi) = Some fDef$ 
by (simp add:lookup-append)
from  $e0\text{-typ}$   $flds\text{-}C$   $lookup\text{-}CfDg$  t-field have  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(FieldProj e0 fi) : Ci$ 
by (simp add:typings-typing.intros)
moreover have  $CT \vdash Ci <: Ci$  by (simp add:subtyping.intros)
ultimately show  $\exists C. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(FieldProj e0 fi) : C \wedge CT \vdash C <: Ci$  by auto
qed
next
case (t-inv  $CT \Gamma e0 C0 m Ds C es Cs$ )
show ?case
proof (rule impI)
assume  $asms: (CT OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs \mapsto] Bs)$ 
 $\wedge (length Bs = length ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$ 
hence  $ct\text{-}ok: CT OK ..$ 
from t-inv have  $m\text{typ}: m\text{type}(CT, m, C0) = Ds \rightarrow C$ 
and  $subs: CT \vdash Cs <: Ds$ 
and  $lens: length es = length Ds$ 
by auto
from t-inv asms obtain  $C'$  where
 $e0\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$  and  $sub': CT \vdash C' <: C0$  by auto
from t-inv asms obtain  $Cs'$  where
 $es\text{-typ}: CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash [ds/xs]es : Cs'$  and  $subs': CT \vdash Cs' <: Cs$  by auto
have  $subst\text{-}e: (ds/xs)(MethodInvk e0 m es) = MethodInvk ((ds/xs)e0) m ([ds/xs]es)$ 
by (auto simp add: subst-list1-eq-map-substs)
from
 $e0\text{-typ}$ 
 $A\text{-}1\text{-}1[OF sub' ct\text{-}ok m\text{typ}]$ 
 $es\text{-typ}$ 
 $subtypings\text{-}trans[OF subs' subs]$ 
 $lens$ 
 $subst\text{-}e$ 
have  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(MethodInvk e0 m es) : C$  by (auto simp add:typings-typing.intros)
moreover have  $CT \vdash C <: C$  by (simp add:subtyping.intros)
ultimately show  $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(MethodInvk e0 m es) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$  by auto
qed
next
case (t-new  $CT C Df es Ds \Gamma Cs$ )
show ?case

```

proof(*rule impI*)
assume *asms*: $(CT\ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs\ [\mapsto] B_s]) \wedge (\text{length } B_s = \text{length } ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$
hence *ct-ok*: $CT\ OK$..
from *t-new* **have**
subs: $CT \vdash Cs <: Ds$
and *flds*: $\text{fields}(CT, C) = Df$
and *len*: $\text{length } es = \text{length } Df$
and *vdts*: $\text{varDefs-types } Df = Ds$
by *auto*
from *t-new asms* **obtain** *Cs'* **where**
es-typ: $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash [ds/xs]es : Cs'$ **and** *subs'*: $CT \vdash Cs' <: Cs$ **by** *auto*
have *subst-e*: $(ds/xs)(\text{New } C\ es) = \text{New } C\ ([ds/xs]es)$
by(*auto simp add: subst-list2-eq-map-substs*)
from *es-typ subtypings-trans*[*OF subs' subs flds subst-e len vdts*]
have $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{New } C\ es) : C$ **by**(*auto simp add: typings-typing.intros*)
moreover **have** $CT \vdash C <: C$ **by**(*simp add: subtyping.intros*)
ultimately show $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{New } C\ es) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$
by *auto*
qed
next
case(*t-ucast CT Γ e0 D C*)
show *?case*
proof(*rule impI*)
assume *asms*: $(CT\ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs\ [\mapsto] B_s]) \wedge (\text{length } B_s = \text{length } ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$
from *t-ucast asms* **obtain** *C'* **where** *e0-typ*: $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$
and *sub1*: $CT \vdash C' <: D$
and *sub2*: $CT \vdash D <: C$ **by** *auto*
from *sub1 sub2* **have** $CT \vdash C' <: C$ **by** (*rule s-trans*)
with *e0-typ* **have** $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{Cast } C\ e0) : C$ **by**(*auto simp add: typings-typing.intros*)
moreover **have** $CT \vdash C <: C$ **by** (*rule s-refl*)
ultimately show $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{Cast } C\ e0) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$
by *auto*
qed
next
case(*t-dcast CT Γ e0 D C*)
show *?case*
proof(*rule impI*)
assume *asms*: $(CT\ OK) \wedge (\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2) \wedge (\Gamma 2 = [xs\ [\mapsto] B_s]) \wedge (\text{length } B_s = \text{length } ds) \wedge (\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs)$
from *t-dcast asms* **obtain** *C'* **where** *e0-typ*: $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$ **by** *auto*
have $(CT \vdash C' <: C) \vee$
 $(C \neq C' \wedge CT \vdash C <: C') \vee$
 $(CT \vdash C \neg <: C' \wedge CT \vdash C' \neg <: C)$ **by** *blast*
moreover
{ **assume** $CT \vdash C' <: C$
with *e0-typ* **have** $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(\text{Cast } C\ e0) : C$ **by** (*auto simp add:*

```

typings-typing.intros)
}
moreover
{ assume ( $C \neq C' \wedge CT \vdash C <: C'$ )
  with e0-typ have  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs) (Cast\ C\ e0) : C$  by (auto simp add:
typings-typing.intros)
}
moreover
{ assume ( $CT \vdash C \neg <: C' \wedge CT \vdash C' \neg <: C$ )
  with e0-typ have  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs) (Cast\ C\ e0) : C$  by (auto simp add:
typings-typing.intros)
}
ultimately have  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs) (Cast\ C\ e0) : C$  by auto
moreover have  $CT \vdash C <: C$  by (rule s-refl)
ultimately show  $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(Cast\ C\ e0) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$ 
by auto
qed
next
case(t-scast  $CT\ \Gamma\ e0\ D\ C$ )
show ?case
proof(rule impI)
  assume assms: ( $CT\ OK$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma = \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\Gamma 2 = [xs\ [\mapsto]\ Bs]$ )  $\wedge$  (length
Bs = length ds)  $\wedge$  ( $\exists As. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash ds : As \wedge CT \vdash As <: Bs$ )
  from t-scast assms obtain  $C'$  where e0-typ:  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)e0 : C'$ 
  and sub1:  $CT \vdash C' <: D$ 
  and nsub1:  $CT \vdash C \neg <: D$ 
  and nsub2:  $CT \vdash D \neg <: C$  by auto
  from not-subtypes[OF sub1 nsub1 nsub2] have  $CT \vdash C' \neg <: C$  by fastforce
  moreover have  $CT \vdash C \neg <: C'$  proof(rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg CT \vdash C \neg <: C'$ 
    hence  $CT \vdash C <: C'$  by auto
    hence  $CT \vdash C <: D$  using sub1 by(rule s-trans)
    with nsub1 show False by auto
  qed
  ultimately have  $CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs) (Cast\ C\ e0) : C$  using e0-typ by (auto
simp add: typings-typing.intros)
  thus  $\exists C'. CT; \Gamma 1 \vdash (ds/xs)(Cast\ C\ e0) : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C$  by (auto simp
add: s-refl)
  qed
qed
thus ?TYPINGS  $\implies$  ?P1 and ?TYPING  $\implies$  ?P2 using assms by auto
qed

```

3.4 Weakening Lemma

This lemma is not in the same form as in TOPLAS, but rather as we need it in subject reduction

lemma *A-1-3*:

shows ($CT; \Gamma 2 \vdash es : Cs$) \implies ($CT; \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2 \vdash es : Cs$) (**is** *?P1* \implies *?P2*)

and $CT; \Gamma 2 \vdash e : C \implies CT; \Gamma 1 ++ \Gamma 2 \vdash e : C$ (**is** $?Q1 \implies ?Q2$)
proof –
have $(?P1 \implies ?P2) \wedge (?Q1 \implies ?Q2)$
by (*induct rule: typings-typing.induct, auto simp add: map-add-find-right typings-typing.intros*)

thus $?P1 \implies ?P2$ **and** $?Q1 \implies ?Q2$ **by** *auto*
qed

3.5 Method Body Typing Lemma

lemma *A-1-4*:

assumes *ct-ok*: CT *OK*
and $mb: mbody(CT, m, C) = xs . e$
and $mt: mtype(CT, m, C) = Ds \rightarrow D$
shows $\exists D0\ C0. (CT \vdash C <: D0) \wedge$
 $(CT \vdash C0 <: D) \wedge$
 $(CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds)(this \mapsto D0) \vdash e : C0$
using *mb ct-ok mt* **proof** (*induct rule: mbody.induct*)
case (*mb-class CT C CDef m mDef xs e*)
hence
 $m-param: varDefs-types (mParams\ mDef) = Ds$
and $m-ret: mReturn\ mDef = D$
and $CT \vdash CDef\ OK$
and $cName\ CDef = C$
by (*auto elim: mtype.cases ct-typing.cases*)
hence $CT \vdash+ (cMethods\ CDef)\ OK\ IN\ C$ **by** (*auto elim: class-typing.cases*)
hence $CT \vdash mDef\ OK\ IN\ C$ **using** *mb-class* **by** (*auto simp add: method-typings-lookup*)
hence $\exists E0. ((CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto C) \vdash e : E0) \wedge (CT \vdash E0 <: D)$
using *mb-class m-param m-ret* **by** (*auto elim: method-typing.cases*)
then obtain $E0$
where $CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto C \vdash e : E0$
and $CT \vdash E0 <: D$
and $CT \vdash C <: C$ **by** (*auto simp add: s-refl*)
thus $?case$ **by** *blast*
next
case (*mb-super CT C CDef m Da xs e*)
hence *ct*: CT *OK*
and *IH*: $\llbracket CT\ OK; mtype(CT, m, Da) = Ds \rightarrow D \rrbracket$
 $\implies \exists D0\ C0. (CT \vdash Da <: D0) \wedge (CT \vdash C0 <: D)$
 $\wedge (CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto D0) \vdash e : C0$ **by** *fastforce+*
from *mb-super* **have** *c-sub-da*: $CT \vdash C <: Da$ **by** (*auto simp add: s-super*)
from *mb-super* **have** *mt*: $mtype(CT, m, Da) = Ds \rightarrow D$ **by** (*auto elim: mtype.cases*)
from *IH* [*OF ct mt*] **obtain** $D0\ C0$
where $s1: CT \vdash Da <: D0$
and $CT \vdash C0 <: D$
and $CT; [xs \mapsto] Ds, this \mapsto D0 \vdash e : C0$ **by** *auto*
thus $?case$ **using** *s-trans* [*OF c-sub-da s1*] **by** *blast*
qed

3.6 Subject Reduction Theorem

theorem *Thm-2-4-1*:

assumes $CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'$

and $CT \text{ OK}$

shows $\bigwedge C. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C \rrbracket$

$\implies \exists C'. (CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C)$

using *assms*

proof(*induct rule: reduction.induct*)

case (*r-field* $CT \ Ca \ Cf \ es \ fi \ e'$)

hence $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{FieldProj} (\text{New } Ca \ es) \ fi : C$

and *ct-ok*: $CT \text{ OK}$

and *flds*: $\text{fields}(CT, Ca) = Cf$

and *lkup2*: $\text{lookup2 } Cf \ es \ (\lambda fd. \text{vdName } fd = fi) = \text{Some } e' \text{ by fastforce+}$

then obtain $Ca' \ Cf' \ fDef$

where *new-typ*: $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{New } Ca \ es : Ca'$

and *flds'*: $\text{fields}(CT, Ca') = Cf'$

and *lkup*: $\text{lookup } Cf' \ (\lambda fd. \text{vdName } fd = fi) = \text{Some } fDef$

and *C-def*: $\text{vdType } fDef = C \text{ by (auto elim: typing.cases)}$

hence $Ca - Ca'$: $Ca = Ca' \text{ by (auto elim: typing.cases)}$

with *flds'* **have** $Cf - Cf'$: $Cf = Cf' \text{ by (simp add: fields-functional[OF flds ct-ok])}$

from *new-typ* **obtain** $Cs \ Ds \ Cf''$

where $\text{fields}(CT, Ca') = Cf''$

and *es-typs*: $CT; \Gamma \vdash + \ es : Cs$

and *Ds-def*: $\text{varDefs-types } Cf'' = Ds$

and *length-Cf-es*: $\text{length } Cf'' = \text{length } es$

and *subs*: $CT \vdash + \ Cs <: Ds$

by(*auto elim: typing.cases*)

with $Ca - Ca'$ **have** $Cf - Cf''$: $Cf = Cf'' \text{ by (auto simp add: fields-functional[OF flds ct-ok])}$

from *length-Cf-es* $Cf - Cf''$ *lookup2-index*[*OF lkup2*] **obtain** i **where**

i-bound: $i < \text{length } es$

and $e' = es!i$

and *lookup* $Cf \ (\lambda fd. \text{vdName } fd = fi) = \text{Some } (Cf!i) \text{ by auto}$

moreover

with *C-def* *Ds-def* *lkup* *lkup2* **have** $Ds!i = C$

using $Ca - Ca' \ Cf - Cf' \ Cf - Cf'' \ i\text{-bound} \ \text{length-Cf-es} \ \text{flds}'$

by (*auto simp add: nth-map varDefs-types-def fields-functional[OF flds ct-ok]*)

moreover with *subs* *es-typs* **have**

$CT; \Gamma \vdash (es!i) : (Cs!i)$ **and** $CT \vdash (Cs!i) <: (Ds!i)$ **using** *i-bound*

by(*auto simp add: typings-index subtypings-index typings-lengths*)

ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*

next

case(*r-inv* $CT \ m \ Ca \ xs \ e \ ds \ es \ e'$)

from *r-inv* **have** *mb*: $\text{mbody}(CT, m, Ca) = xs . e \text{ by fastforce}$

from *r-inv* **obtain** $Ca' \ Ds \ Cs$

where $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{New } Ca \ es : Ca'$

and *mtype*($CT, m, Ca') = Cs \rightarrow C$

and *ds-typs*: $CT; \Gamma \vdash + \ ds : Ds$

and *Ds-subs*: $CT \vdash + \ Ds <: Cs$

and $l1$: $\text{length } ds = \text{length } Cs$ **by** (*auto elim:typing.cases*)
hence new-typ : $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{New } Ca \text{ es} : Ca$
and mt : $\text{mtype}(CT, m, Ca) = Cs \rightarrow C$ **by** (*auto elim:typing.cases*)
from ds-typs new-typ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash + (ds \text{ @}[New \text{ Ca es}]) : (Ds \text{ @}[Ca])$
by (*simp add:typings-append*)
moreover from $A-1-4[OF - mb \text{ mt}]$ $r\text{-invk}$ **obtain** $Da \text{ E}$
where $CT \vdash Ca <: Da$
and $E\text{-sub-}C$: $CT \vdash E <: C$
and $e0\text{-typ1}$: $CT; [xs \mapsto] Cs, this \mapsto Da \vdash e : E$ **by** *auto*
moreover with $Ds\text{-subs}$ **have** $CT \vdash + (Ds \text{ @}[Ca]) <: (Cs \text{ @}[Da])$
by (*auto simp add:subtyping-append*)
ultimately have ex : $\exists As. CT; \Gamma \vdash + (ds \text{ @}[New \text{ Ca es}]) : As \wedge CT \vdash + As <:$
 $(Cs \text{ @}[Da])$
by *auto*
from $e0\text{-typ1}$ **have** $e0\text{-typ2}$: $CT; (\Gamma ++ [xs \mapsto] Cs, this \mapsto Da) \vdash e : E$
by (*simp only:A-1-3*)
from $e0\text{-typ2}$ $\text{mtype-mbody-length}[OF \text{ mt } mb]$
have $e0\text{-typ3}$: $CT; (\Gamma ++ [(xs \text{ @}[this]) \mapsto] (Cs \text{ @}[Da])) \vdash e : E$
by (*force simp only:map-shuffle*)
let $? \Gamma 1 = \Gamma$ **and** $? \Gamma 2 = [(xs \text{ @}[this]) \mapsto] (Cs \text{ @}[Da])$
have $g\text{-def}$: $(? \Gamma 1 ++ ? \Gamma 2) = (? \Gamma 1 ++ ? \Gamma 2)$ **and** $g2\text{-def}$: $? \Gamma 2 = ? \Gamma 2$ **by** *auto*
from $A-1-2[OF - g\text{-def } g2\text{-def} - - \text{ ex}]$ $e0\text{-typ3}$ $r\text{-invk } l1$ $\text{mtype-mbody-length}[OF$
 $\text{ mt } mb]$
obtain E' **where** $e'\text{-typ}$: $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{subst} [(xs \text{ @}[this]) \mapsto] (ds \text{ @}[New \text{ Ca es}]) e :$
 E'
and $E'\text{-sub-}E$: $CT \vdash E' <: E$ **by** *force*
moreover from $e'\text{-typ } l1$ $\text{mtype-mbody-length}[OF \text{ mt } mb]$
have $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{subst} [xs \mapsto] ds, this \mapsto (New \text{ Ca es}) e : E'$
by (*auto simp only:map-shuffle*)
moreover from $E'\text{-sub-}E$ $E\text{-sub-}C$ **have** $CT \vdash E' <: C$ **by** (*rule subtyping.s-trans*)
ultimately show $?case$ **using** $r\text{-invk}$ **by** *auto*
next
case ($r\text{-cast } CT \text{ Ca } D \text{ es}$)
then obtain Ca'
where $C = D$
and $CT; \Gamma \vdash \text{New } Ca \text{ es} : Ca'$ **by** (*auto elim: typing.cases*)
thus $?case$ **using** $r\text{-cast}$ **by** (*auto elim: typing.cases*)
next
case ($rc\text{-field } CT \text{ e0 } e0' \text{ f}$)
then obtain $C0 \text{ Cf } fd$ **where** $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0$
and $Cf\text{-def}$: $\text{fields}(CT, C0) = Cf$
and $fd\text{-def}$: $\text{lookup } Cf (\lambda fd. (\text{vdName } fd = f)) = \text{Some } fd$
and $\text{vdType } fd = C$
by (*auto elim:typing.cases*)
moreover with $rc\text{-field}$ **obtain** C'
where $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0' : C'$
and $CT \vdash C' <: C0$ **by** *auto*
moreover from $\text{sub-fields}[OF - Cf\text{-def}]$ **obtain** Cf'

where $fields(CT, C') = (Cf@Cf')$ **by rule** $(rule \langle CT \vdash C' <: C0 \rangle)$
moreover with $fd-def$ **have** $lookup (Cf@Cf') (\lambda fd. (vdName fd = f)) = Some$
 fd
by $(simp\ add:lookup-append)$
ultimately have $CT; \Gamma \vdash FieldProj\ e0' f : C$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add:typings-typing.t-field)$
thus $?case$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add:subtyping.s-refl)$
next
case $(rc-invok-recv\ CT\ e0\ e0'\ m\ es\ C)$
then obtain $C0\ Ds\ Cs$
where $ct-ok: CT\ OK$
and $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0$
and $mt: mtype(CT, m, C0) = Ds \rightarrow C$
and $CT; \Gamma \vdash+ es : Cs$
and $length\ es = length\ Ds$
and $CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$
by $(auto\ elim:typing.cases)$
moreover with $rc-invok-recv$ **obtain** $C0'$
where $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0' : C0'$
and $CT \vdash C0' <: C0$ **by** $auto$
moreover with $A-1-1[OF - ct-ok mt]$ **have** $mtype(CT, m, C0') = Ds \rightarrow C$ **by**
 $simp$
ultimately have $CT; \Gamma \vdash MethodInvk\ e0' m\ es : C$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add:typings-typing.t-invok)$
thus $?case$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add:subtyping.s-refl)$
next
case $(rc-invok-arg\ CT\ ei\ ei'\ e0\ m\ el\ er\ C)$
then obtain $Cs\ Ds\ C0$
where $typs: CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (el@(ei\#er)) : Cs$
and $e0-typ: CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : C0$
and $mt: mtype(CT, m, C0) = Ds \rightarrow C$
and $Cs-sub-Ds: CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$
and $len: length\ (el@(ei\#er)) = length\ Ds$
by $(auto\ elim:typing.cases)$
hence $CT; \Gamma \vdash ei: (Cs!(length\ el))$ **by** $(simp\ add:ith-typing)$
with $rc-invok-arg$ **obtain** Ci'
where $ei-typ: CT; \Gamma \vdash ei': Ci'$
and $Ci-sub: CT \vdash Ci' <: (Cs!(length\ el))$
by $auto$
from $ith-typing-sub[OF\ typs\ ei-typ\ Ci-sub]$ **obtain** Cs'
where $es'-typs: CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (el@(ei'\#er)) : Cs'$
and $Cs'-sub-Cs: CT \vdash+ Cs' <: Cs$ **by** $auto$
from len **have** $length\ (el@(ei'\#er)) = length\ Ds$ **by** $simp$
with $es'-typs\ subtypings-trans[OF\ Cs'-sub-Cs\ Cs-sub-Ds]$ $e0-typ\ mt$ **have**
 $CT; \Gamma \vdash MethodInvk\ e0\ m\ (el@(ei'\#er)) : C$
by $(auto\ simp\ add:typings-typing.t-invok)$
thus $?case$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add:subtyping.s-refl)$
next
case $(rc-new-arg\ CT\ ei\ ei'\ Ca\ el\ er\ C)$
then obtain $Cs\ Df\ Ds$
where $typs: CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (el@(ei\#er)) : Cs$

and $flds: fields(CT, C) = Df$
and $len: length (el@(ei\#er)) = length Df$
and $Ds-def: varDefs-types Df = Ds$
and $Cs-sub-Ds: CT \vdash+ Cs <: Ds$
and $C-def: Ca = C$
by(*auto elim:typing.cases*)
hence $CT; \Gamma \vdash ei:(Cs!(length el))$ **by** (*simp add:ith-typing*)
with *rc-new-arg* **obtain** Ci'
where $ei-typ: CT; \Gamma \vdash ei':Ci'$
and $Ci-sub: CT \vdash Ci' <: (Cs!(length el))$
by *auto*
from *ith-typing-sub*[*OF typs ei-typ Ci-sub*] **obtain** Cs'
where $es'-typs: CT; \Gamma \vdash+ (el@(ei'\#er)) : Cs'$
and $Cs'-sub-Cs: CT \vdash+ Cs' <: Cs$ **by** *auto*
from len **have** $length (el@(ei'\#er)) = length Df$ **by** *simp*
with $es'-typs$ *subtypings-trans*[*OF Cs'-sub-Cs Cs-sub-Ds*] $flds Ds-def C-def$ **have**
 $CT; \Gamma \vdash New Ca (el@(ei'\#er)) : C$
by(*auto simp add:typings-typing.t-new*)
thus *?case* **by** (*auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl*)
next
case (*rc-cast* $CT e0 e0' C Ca$)
then **obtain** D
where $CT; \Gamma \vdash e0 : D$
and $Ca-def: Ca = C$
by(*auto elim:typing.cases*)
with *rc-cast* **obtain** D'
where $e0'-typ: CT; \Gamma \vdash e0':D'$ **and** $CT \vdash D' <: D$
by *auto*
have ($CT \vdash D' <: C$) \vee
($C \neq D' \wedge CT \vdash C <: D'$) \vee
($CT \vdash C \neg <: D' \wedge CT \vdash D' \neg <: C$) **by** *blast*
moreover {
assume $CT \vdash D' <: C$
with $e0'-typ$ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$ **by** (*auto simp add: typings-typing.t-ucast*)
} **moreover** {
assume ($C \neq D' \wedge CT \vdash C <: D'$)
with $e0'-typ$ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$ **by** (*auto simp add: typings-typing.t-dcast*)
} **moreover** {
assume ($CT \vdash C \neg <: D' \wedge CT \vdash D' \neg <: C$)
with $e0'-typ$ **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$ **by** (*auto simp add: typings-typing.t-scast*)
} **ultimately** **have** $CT; \Gamma \vdash Cast C e0' : C$ **by** *auto*
thus *?case* **using** $Ca-def$ **by** (*auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl*)
qed

3.7 Multi-Step Subject Reduction Theorem

corollary *Cor-2-4-1-multi*:
assumes $CT \vdash e \rightarrow^* e'$
and $CT OK$

shows $\bigwedge C. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C \rrbracket \implies \exists C'. (CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : C' \wedge CT \vdash C' <: C)$
using *assms*
proof *induct*
case (*rs-refl* *CT e C*) **thus** *?case* **by** (*auto simp add:subtyping.s-refl*)
next
case(*rs-trans* *CT e e' e'' C*)
hence *e-typ*: $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$
and *e-step*: $CT \vdash e \rightarrow e'$
and *ct-ok*: $CT \text{ OK}$
and *IH*: $\bigwedge D. \llbracket CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : D; CT \text{ OK} \rrbracket \implies \exists E. CT; \Gamma \vdash e'' : E \wedge CT \vdash E <: D$
by *auto*
from *Thm-2-4-1*[*OF e-step ct-ok e-typ*] **obtain** *D* **where** *e'-typ*: $CT; \Gamma \vdash e' : D$
and *D-sub-C*: $CT \vdash D <: C$ **by** *auto*
with *IH*[*OF e'-typ ct-ok*] **obtain** *E* **where** $CT; \Gamma \vdash e'' : E$ **and** *E-sub-D*: $CT \vdash E <: D$ **by** *auto*
moreover **from** *s-trans*[*OF E-sub-D D-sub-C*] **have** $CT \vdash E <: C$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?case* **by** *auto*
qed

3.8 Progress

The two "progress lemmas" proved in the TOPLAS paper alone are not quite enough to prove type soundness. We prove an additional lemma showing that every well-typed expression is either a value or contains a potential redex as a sub-expression.

theorem *Thm-2-4-2-1*:
assumes $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e : C$
and *FieldProj* (*New C0 es*) *fi* $\in \text{subexprs}(e)$
shows $\exists Cf fDef. \text{fields}(CT, C0) = Cf \wedge \text{lookup } Cf (\lambda fd. (\text{vdName } fd = fi)) = \text{Some } fDef$
proof –
obtain *Ci* **where** $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash (\text{FieldProj } (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) fi) : Ci$
using *assms* **by** (*force simp add:subexpr-typing*)
then obtain *Cf fDef C0'*
where $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) : C0'$
and $\text{fields}(CT, C0') = Cf$
and $\text{lookup } Cf (\lambda fd. (\text{vdName } fd = fi)) = \text{Some } fDef$
by (*auto elim:typing.cases*)
thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto elim:typing.cases*)
qed

lemma *Thm-2-4-2-2*:
fixes *es ds* $:: \text{exp list}$
assumes $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e : C$
and *MethodInvk* (*New C0 es*) *m ds* $\in \text{subexprs}(e)$
shows $\exists xs e0. \text{mbody}(CT, m, C0) = xs . e0 \wedge \text{length } xs = \text{length } ds$
proof –

```

obtain  $D$  where  $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash \text{MethodInvk} (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) m \text{ ds} : D$ 
  using  $\text{assms}$  by ( $\text{force simp add: subexpr-typing}$ )
then obtain  $C0' Cs$ 
  where  $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) : C0'$ 
  and  $mt: \text{mtype}(CT, m, C0') = Cs \rightarrow D$ 
  and  $\text{length } ds = \text{length } Cs$ 
  by ( $\text{auto elim: typing.cases}$ )
with  $\text{mtype-mbody}[OF mt]$  show  $?thesis$  by ( $\text{force elim: typing.cases}$ )
qed

lemma closed-subterm-split:
  assumes  $CT; \Gamma \vdash e : C$  and  $\Gamma = \text{Map.empty}$ 
  shows
    ( $\exists C0 \text{ es } fi. (\text{FieldProj} (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) fi) \in \text{subexprs}(e)$ )
     $\vee$  ( $\exists C0 \text{ es } m \text{ ds}. (\text{MethodInvk} (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) m \text{ ds}) \in \text{subexprs}(e)$ )
     $\vee$  ( $\exists C0 D \text{ es}. (\text{Cast } D (\text{New } C0 \text{ es})) \in \text{subexprs}(e)$ )
     $\vee$   $\text{val}(e)$ ) (is  $?F e \vee ?M e \vee ?C e \vee ?V e$  is  $?IH e$ )
  using  $\text{assms}$ 
  proof ( $\text{induct } CT \Gamma e C$   $\text{rule: typing-induct}$ )
    case 1 thus  $?case$  using  $\text{assms}$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  next
    case (2  $C CT \Gamma x$ ) thus  $?case$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
  next
    case (3  $C0 Ct Cf Ci \Gamma e0 fDef fi$ )
    have  $s1: e0 \in \text{subexprs}(\text{FieldProj } e0 fi)$  by ( $\text{auto simp add: isubexprs.intros}$ )
    from 3 have  $?IH e0$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
    moreover
      { assume  $?F e0$ 
        then obtain  $C0 \text{ es } fi'$  where  $s2: \text{FieldProj} (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) fi' \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$  by
           $\text{auto}$ 
          from  $\text{rtrancl-trans}[OF s2 s1]$  have  $?case$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
        }
      moreover {
        assume  $?M e0$ 
        then obtain  $C0 \text{ es } m \text{ ds}$  where  $s2: \text{MethodInvk} (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) m \text{ ds} \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$  by
           $\text{auto}$ 
          from  $\text{rtrancl-trans}[OF s2 s1]$  have  $?case$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
        }
      moreover {
        assume  $?C e0$ 
        then obtain  $C0 D \text{ es}$  where  $s2: \text{Cast } D (\text{New } C0 \text{ es}) \in \text{subexprs}(e0)$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
        from  $\text{rtrancl-trans}[OF s2 s1]$  have  $?case$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
      }
      moreover {
        assume  $?V e0$ 
        then obtain  $C0 \text{ es}$  where  $e0 = (\text{New } C0 \text{ es})$  and  $\text{vals}(es)$  by ( $\text{force elim: val.cases}$ )
        hence  $?case$  by ( $\text{force intro: isubexprs.intros}$ )
      }
    }
    ultimately show  $?case$  by  $\text{blast}$ 
  next
    case (4  $C C0 CT Cs Ds \Gamma e0 \text{ es } m$ )
    have  $s1: e0 \in \text{subexprs}(\text{MethodInvk } e0 m \text{ es})$  by ( $\text{auto simp add: isubexprs.intros}$ )

```

```

from 4 have ?IH e0 by auto
moreover
{ assume ?F e0
  then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
  auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?M e0
  then obtain C0 es' m' ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es') m' ds ∈
  subexprs(e0) by auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?C e0
  then obtain C0 D es where s2: Cast D (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?V e0
  then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
  elim:val.cases)
  hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
}
ultimately show ?case by blast
next
case (5 C CT Cs Df Ds Γ es)
hence
  length es = length Cs
  ∧ i. [i < length es; CT;Γ ⊢ (es!i) : (Cs!i); Γ = Map.empty] ⇒ ?IH (es!i)
  and CT;Γ ⊢+ es : Cs
  by (auto simp add:typings-lengths)
hence (∃ i < length es. (?F (es!i) ∨ ?M (es!i) ∨ ?C (es!i))) ∨ (vals(es)) (is ?Q
  es)
proof(induct es Cs rule:list-induct2)
  case Nil thus ?Q [] by(auto intro:vals-val.intros)
  next
  case (Cons h t Ch Ct)
  with 5 have h-t-typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ (h#t) : (Ch#Ct)
  and OIH: ∧ i. [i < length (h#t); CT;Γ ⊢ ((h#t)!i) : ((Ch#Ct)!i); Γ =
  Map.empty] ⇒ ?IH ((h#t)!i)
  and G-def: Γ = Map.empty
  by auto
  from h-t-typs have
    h-typ: CT;Γ ⊢ (h#t)!0 : (Ch#Ct)!0
    and t-typs: CT;Γ ⊢+ t : Ct
    by(auto elim:typings.cases)
  { fix i assume i < length t
    hence s-i: Suc i < length (h#t) by auto
    from OIH[OF s-i] have [i < length t; CT;Γ ⊢ (t!i) : (Ct!i); Γ = Map.empty]
    ⇒ ?IH (t!i) by auto }
  with t-typs have ?Q t using Cons by auto

```

```

moreover {
  assume  $\exists i < \text{length } t. (?F (t!i) \vee ?M (t!i) \vee ?C (t!i))$ 
  then obtain  $i$ 
    where  $i < \text{length } t$ 
    and  $?F (t!i) \vee ?M (t!i) \vee ?C (t!i)$  by force
    hence  $(\text{Suc } i < \text{length } (h\#t)) \wedge (?F ((h\#t)!(\text{Suc } i)) \vee ?M ((h\#t)!(\text{Suc } i)) \vee ?C ((h\#t)!(\text{Suc } i)))$  by auto
    hence  $\exists i < \text{length } (h\#t). (?F ((h\#t)!i) \vee ?M ((h\#t)!i) \vee ?C ((h\#t)!i))$ 
  ..
  hence  $?Q (h\#t)$  by auto
} moreover {
  assume  $v\text{-}t: \text{vals}(t)$ 
  from  $\text{OIH}[OF - h\text{-typ } G\text{-def}]$  have  $?IH h$  by auto
  moreover
  { assume  $?F h \vee ?M h \vee ?C h$ 
    hence  $?F ((h\#t)!0) \vee ?M ((h\#t)!0) \vee ?C ((h\#t)!0)$  by auto
    hence  $?Q (h\#t)$  by force
  } moreover {
    assume  $?V h$ 
    with  $v\text{-}t$  have  $\text{vals}((h\#t))$  by  $(\text{force intro:vals-val.intros})$ 
    hence  $?Q(h\#t)$  by auto
  } ultimately have  $?Q(h\#t)$  by blast
} ultimately show  $?Q(h\#t)$  by blast
qed
moreover {
  assume  $\exists i < \text{length } es. ?F (es!i) \vee ?M (es!i) \vee ?C (es!i)$ 
  then obtain  $i$  where  $i\text{-len}: i < \text{length } es$  and  $r: ?F (es!i) \vee ?M (es!i) \vee ?C (es!i)$  by force
  from  $\text{ith-mem}[OF i\text{-len}]$  have  $s1: es!i \in \text{subexprs}(\text{New } C \text{ } es)$  by  $(\text{auto intro:isubexprs.se-newarg})$ 
  { assume  $?F (es!i)$ 
    then obtain  $C0 \text{ } es' \text{ } fi$  where  $s2: \text{FieldProj } (\text{New } C0 \text{ } es') \text{ } fi \in \text{subexprs}(es!i)$ 
by auto
    from  $\text{rtrancl-trans}[OF s2 s1]$  have  $?F(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?M(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?C(\text{New } C \text{ } es)$  by auto
  } moreover {
    assume  $?M (es!i)$ 
    then obtain  $C0 \text{ } es' \text{ } m' \text{ } ds$  where  $s2: \text{MethodInvk } (\text{New } C0 \text{ } es') \text{ } m' \text{ } ds \in \text{subexprs}(es!i)$  by force
    from  $\text{rtrancl-trans}[OF s2 s1]$  have  $?F(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?M(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?C(\text{New } C \text{ } es)$  by auto
  } moreover {
    assume  $?C (es!i)$ 
    then obtain  $C0 \text{ } D \text{ } es'$  where  $s2: \text{Cast } D \text{ } (\text{New } C0 \text{ } es') \in \text{subexprs}(es!i)$ 
by auto
    from  $\text{rtrancl-trans}[OF s2 s1]$  have  $?F(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?M(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?C(\text{New } C \text{ } es)$  by auto
  } ultimately have  $?F(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?M(\text{New } C \text{ } es) \vee ?C(\text{New } C \text{ } es)$  using  $r$  by blast

```

```

    hence ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume vals(es)
    hence ?case by(auto intro:vals-val.intros)
  } ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case (6 C CT D Γ e0)
  have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(Cast C e0) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
  from 6 have ?IH e0 by auto
  moreover
  { assume ?F e0
    then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
  auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?M e0
    then obtain C0 es m ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subex-
  prs(e0) by auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?C e0
    then obtain C0 D' es where s2: Cast D' (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by
  auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?V e0
    then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
  elim:val.cases)
    hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
  }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
next
  case (7 C CT D Γ e0)
  have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(Cast C e0) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
  from 7 have ?IH e0 by auto
  moreover
  { assume ?F e0
    then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
  auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?M e0
    then obtain C0 es m ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subex-
  prs(e0) by auto
    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?C e0
    then obtain C0 D' es where s2: Cast D' (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by
  auto

```

```

    from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
  } moreover {
    assume ?V e0
    then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
elim:val.cases)
    hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
  }
  ultimately show ?case by blast
next
case (8 C CT D Γ e0)
have s1: e0 ∈ subexprs(Cast C e0) by(auto simp add:isubexprs.intros)
from 8 have ?IH e0 by auto
moreover
{ assume ?F e0
  then obtain C0 es fi where s2: FieldProj (New C0 es) fi ∈ subexprs(e0) by
auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?M e0
  then obtain C0 es m ds where s2: MethodInvk (New C0 es) m ds ∈ subex-
prs(e0) by auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?C e0
  then obtain C0 D' es where s2: Cast D' (New C0 es) ∈ subexprs(e0) by
auto
  from rtrancl-trans[OF s2 s1] have ?case by auto
} moreover {
  assume ?V e0
  then obtain C0 es' where e0 = (New C0 es') and vals(es') by (force
elim:val.cases)
  hence ?case by(force intro:isubexprs.intros)
}
  ultimately show ?case by blast
qed

```

3.9 Type Soundness Theorem

theorem *Thm-2-4-3:*

assumes $e\text{-typ}: CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e : C$

and $ct\text{-ok}: CT \text{ OK}$

and $multisteps: CT \vdash e \rightarrow^* e1$

and $no\text{-step}: \neg(\exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2)$

shows $(val(e1) \wedge (\exists D. CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e1 : D \wedge CT \vdash D <: C))$

$\vee (\exists D C es. (Cast D (New C es) \in subexprs(e1) \wedge CT \vdash C \neg<: D))$

proof –

from *assms Cor-2-4-1-multi*[OF multisteps ct-ok e-typ] **obtain** $C1$

where $e1\text{-typ}: CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash e1 : C1$

and $C1\text{-sub-C}: CT \vdash C1 <: C$ **by** *auto*

from $e1\text{-typ}$ **have** $((\exists C0\ es\ fi.\ (FieldProj\ (New\ C0\ es)\ fi) \in subexprs(e1))$
 $\vee (\exists C0\ es\ m\ ds.\ (MethodInvk\ (New\ C0\ es)\ m\ ds) \in subexprs(e1))$
 $\vee (\exists C0\ D\ es.\ (Cast\ D\ (New\ C0\ es)) \in subexprs(e1))$
 $\vee val(e1))$ **(is** $?F\ e1 \vee ?M\ e1 \vee ?C\ e1 \vee ?V\ e1)$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ closed\ subterm\ split)$
moreover
{ **assume** $?F\ e1$
then obtain $C0\ es\ fi$ **where** $fp:\ FieldProj\ (New\ C0\ es)\ fi \in subexprs(e1)$ **by**
auto
then obtain Ci **where** $CT;\ Map.empty \vdash FieldProj\ (New\ C0\ es)\ fi : Ci$ **using**
 $e1\text{-typ}$ **by** $(force\ simp\ add:\ subexpr\ typing)$
then obtain $C0'$ **where** $new\text{-typ}:\ CT;\ Map.empty \vdash New\ C0\ es : C0'$ **by** $(force\ elim:\ typing.cases)$
hence $C0 = C0'$ **by** $(auto\ elim:\ typing.cases)$
with $new\text{-typ}$ **obtain** Df **where** $f1:\ fields(CT, C0) = Df$ **and** $lens:\ length\ es$
 $= length\ Df$ **by** $(auto\ elim:\ typing.cases)$
from $Thm-2-4-2-1[OF\ e1\text{-typ}\ fp]$ **obtain** $Cf\ fDef$
where $f2:\ fields(CT, C0) = Cf$
and $lkup:\ lookup\ Cf\ (\lambda fd.\ vdName\ fd = fi) = Some(fDef)$ **by** $force$
moreover from $fields\ functional[OF\ f1\ ct\ ok\ f2]$ $lens$ **have** $length\ es = length$
 Cf **by** *auto*
moreover from $lookup\ index[OF\ lkup]$ **obtain** i **where**
 $i < length\ Cf$
and $fDef = Cf\ !\ i$
and $(length\ Cf = length\ es) \longrightarrow lookup2\ Cf\ es\ (\lambda fd.\ vdName\ fd = fi) = Some$
 $(es\ !\ i)$ **by** *auto*
ultimately have $lookup2\ Cf\ es\ (\lambda fd.\ vdName\ fd = fi) = Some\ (es!\ i)$ **by** *auto*
with $f2$ **have** $CT \vdash FieldProj\ (New\ C0\ es)\ fi \rightarrow (es!\ i)$ **by** $(auto\ intro:\ reduction.intros)$
with fp **have** $\exists e2.\ CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ subexpr\ reduct)$
with $no\text{-step}$ **have** $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
} **moreover** **{**
assume $?M\ e1$
then obtain $C0\ es\ m\ ds$ **where** $mi:\ MethodInvk\ (New\ C0\ es)\ m\ ds \in subex\text{-}$
 $prs(e1)$ **by** *auto*
then obtain D **where** $CT;\ Map.empty \vdash MethodInvk\ (New\ C0\ es)\ m\ ds : D$
using $e1\text{-typ}$ **by** $(force\ simp\ add:\ subexpr\ typing)$
then obtain $C0'\ Es\ E$
where $m\text{-typ}:\ CT;\ Map.empty \vdash New\ C0\ es : C0'$
and $mtype(CT, m, C0') = Es \rightarrow E$
and $length\ ds = length\ Es$
by $(auto\ elim:\ typing.cases)$
from $Thm-2-4-2-2[OF\ e1\text{-typ}\ mi]$ **obtain** $xs\ e0$ **where** $mb:\ mbody(CT, m,$
 $C0) = xs . e0$ **and** $length\ xs = length\ ds$ **by** *auto*
hence $CT \vdash (MethodInvk\ (New\ C0\ es)\ m\ ds) \rightarrow (subst[xs[\mapsto]ds, this\mapsto(New$
 $C0\ es)]e0)$ **by** $(auto\ simp\ add:\ reduction.intros)$
with mi **have** $\exists e2.\ CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$ **by** $(simp\ add:\ subexpr\ reduct)$
with $no\text{-step}$ **have** $?thesis$ **by** *auto*
} **moreover** **{**
assume $?C\ e1$
then obtain $C0\ D\ es$ **where** $c\text{-def}:\ Cast\ D\ (New\ C0\ es) \in subexprs(e1)$ **by**

```

auto
  then obtain  $D'$  where  $CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash \text{Cast } D \text{ (New } C0 \text{ es)} : D'$  using
   $e1\text{-typ}$  by (force simp add:subexpr-typing)
  then obtain  $C0'$  where  $\text{new-typ}: CT; \text{Map.empty} \vdash \text{New } C0 \text{ es} : C0'$  and
   $D\text{-eq-}D'$ :  $D = D'$  by (auto elim:typing.cases)
  hence  $C0\text{-eq-}C0'$ :  $C0 = C0'$  by(auto elim:typing.cases)
  hence  $?thesis$  proof(cases  $CT \vdash C0 <: D$ )
    case True
    hence  $CT \vdash \text{Cast } D \text{ (New } C0 \text{ es)} \rightarrow \text{(New } C0 \text{ es)}$  by(auto simp add:reduction.intros)
    with c-def have  $\exists e2. CT \vdash e1 \rightarrow e2$  by (simp add:subexpr-reduct)
    with no-step show  $?thesis$  by auto
  next
  case False
  with c-def show  $?thesis$  by auto
qed
} moreover {
  assume  $?V e1$ 
  hence  $?thesis$  using assms by(auto simp add:Cor-2-4-1-multi)
} ultimately show  $?thesis$  by blast
qed
end

```

```

theory Execute
imports FJSound
begin

```

4 Executing FeatherweightJava programs

We execute FeatherweightJava programs using the predicate compiler.

```

code-pred (modes: i => i => i => bool,
  i => i => o => bool as supertypes-of) subtyping .

```

```

thm subtyping.equation

```

The reduction relation requires that we inverse the (@) function. Therefore, we define a new predicate append and derive introduction rules.

```

definition append where append xs ys zs = (zs = xs @ ys)

```

```

lemma [code-pred-intro]: append [] xs xs
unfolding append-def by simp

```

```

lemma [code-pred-intro]: append xs ys zs ==> append (x#xs) ys (x#zs)
unfolding append-def by simp

```

With this at hand, we derive new introduction rules for the reduction relation:

lemma *rc-invok-arg'*: $CT \vdash ei \rightarrow ei' \implies \text{append el } (ei \# er) e' \implies \text{append el } (ei' \# er) e'' \implies$

$CT \vdash \text{MethodInvk } e m e' \rightarrow \text{MethodInvk } e m e''$

unfolding *append-def* **by** *simp* (rule *reduction.intros(6)*)

lemma *rc-new-arg'*: $CT \vdash ei \rightarrow ei' \implies \text{append el } (ei \# er) e \implies \text{append el } (ei' \# er) e'$

$\implies CT \vdash \text{New } C e \rightarrow \text{New } C e'$

unfolding *append-def* **by** *simp* (rule *reduction.intros(7)*)

lemmas [*code-pred-intro*] = *reduction.intros(1-5)*

rc-invok-arg' rc-new-arg' reduction.intros(8)

code-pred (*modes: i => i => i => bool, i => i => o => bool as reduce*)
reduction

proof –

case *append*

from *this* **show** *thesis*

unfolding *append-def* **by** (*cases xa*) *fastforce*+

next

case *reduction*

from *reduction.prem*s **show** *thesis*

proof (*cases rule: reduction.cases*)

case *r-field*

with *reduction(1)* **show** *thesis* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *r-invok*

with *reduction(2)* **show** *thesis* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *r-cast*

with *reduction(3)* **show** *thesis* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *rc-field*

with *reduction(4)* **show** *thesis* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *rc-invok-recv*

with *reduction(5)* **show** *thesis* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *rc-invok-arg*

with *reduction(6)* **show** *thesis*

unfolding *append-def* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *rc-new-arg*

with *reduction(7)* **show** *thesis*

unfolding *append-def* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *rc-cast*

with *reduction(8)* **show** *thesis* **by** *fastforce*

qed

qed

thm *reduction.equation*

code-pred *reductions .*

thm *reductions.equation*

We also make the class typing executable: this requires that we derive rules for *method-typing*.

definition *method-typing-aux*

where

method-typing-aux $CT\ m\ D\ Cs\ C = (\neg (\forall Ds\ D0. mtype(CT,m,D) = Ds \rightarrow D0 \rightarrow Cs = Ds \wedge C = D0))$

lemma *method-typing-aux*:

$(\forall Ds\ D0. mtype(CT,m,D) = Ds \rightarrow D0 \rightarrow Cs = Ds \wedge C = D0) = (\neg method-typing-aux\ CT\ m\ D\ Cs\ C)$

unfolding *method-typing-aux-def* **by** *auto*

lemma [*code-pred-intro*]:

$mtype(CT,m,D) = Ds \rightarrow D0 \implies Cs \neq Ds \implies method-typing-aux\ CT\ m\ D\ Cs\ C$

unfolding *method-typing-aux-def* **by** *auto*

lemma [*code-pred-intro*]:

$mtype(CT,m,D) = Ds \rightarrow D0 \implies C \neq D0 \implies method-typing-aux\ CT\ m\ D\ Cs\ C$

unfolding *method-typing-aux-def* **by** *auto*

declare *method-typing.intros*[*unfolded method-typing-aux, code-pred-intro*]

declare *class-typing.intros*[*unfolded append-def[symmetric], code-pred-intro*]

code-pred (*modes: i => i => bool*) *class-typing*

proof –

case *class-typing*

from *class-typing.cases*[*OF class-typing.premis, of thesis*] *this(1)* **show** *thesis*

unfolding *append-def* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *method-typing*

from *method-typing.cases*[*OF method-typing.premis, of thesis*] *this(1)* **show** *thesis*

unfolding *append-def method-typing-aux-def* **by** *fastforce*

next

case *method-typing-aux*

from *this* **show** *thesis*

unfolding *method-typing-aux-def* **by** *auto*

qed

4.1 A simple example

We now execute a simple FJ example program:

abbreviation $A :: \text{className}$
where $A == \text{Suc } 0$

abbreviation $B :: \text{className}$
where $B == 2$

abbreviation $cPair :: \text{className}$
where $cPair == 3$

definition $\text{classA-Def} :: \text{classDef}$
where
 $\text{classA-Def} = (\mid \text{cName} = A, \text{cSuper} = \text{Object}, \text{cFields} = [], \text{cConstructor} =$
 $(\mid \text{kName} = A, \text{kParams} = [], \text{kSuper} = [], \text{kInits} = []), \text{cMethods} = [])$

definition
 $\text{classB-Def} = (\mid \text{cName} = B, \text{cSuper} = \text{Object}, \text{cFields} = [], \text{cConstructor} =$
 $(\mid \text{kName} = B, \text{kParams} = [], \text{kSuper} = [], \text{kInits} = []), \text{cMethods} = [])$

abbreviation $\text{ffst} :: \text{varName}$
where
 $\text{ffst} == 4$

abbreviation $\text{fsnd} :: \text{varName}$
where
 $\text{fsnd} == 5$

abbreviation $\text{setfst} :: \text{methodName}$
where
 $\text{setfst} == 6$

abbreviation $\text{newfst} :: \text{varName}$
where
 $\text{newfst} == 7$

definition $\text{classPair-Def} :: \text{classDef}$
where
 $\text{classPair-Def} = (\mid \text{cName} = \text{cPair}, \text{cSuper} = \text{Object},$
 $\text{cFields} = [(\mid \text{vdName} = \text{ffst}, \text{vdType} = \text{Object } \mid), (\mid \text{vdName} = \text{fsnd}, \text{vdType} =$
 $\text{Object } \mid)],$
 $\text{cConstructor} = (\mid \text{kName} = \text{cPair}, \text{kParams} = [(\mid \text{vdName} = \text{ffst}, \text{vdType} =$
 $\text{Object } \mid), (\mid \text{vdName} = \text{fsnd}, \text{vdType} = \text{Object } \mid)], \text{kSuper} = [], \text{kInits} = [\text{ffst}, \text{fsnd}])$
,
 $\text{cMethods} = [(\mid \text{mReturn} = \text{cPair}, \text{mName} = \text{setfst}, \text{mParams} = [(\mid \text{vdName} =$
 $\text{newfst}, \text{vdType} = \text{Object } \mid)],$
 $\text{mBody} = \text{New } \text{cPair} \text{ [Var } \text{newfst}, \text{FieldProj } (\text{Var } \text{this}) \text{ fsnd}] \mid)]$

```
definition exampleProg :: classTable
  where exampleProg = (((%x. None)(A := Some classA-Def))(B := Some classB-Def))(cPair
:= Some classPair-Def)
```

```
value exampleProg ⊢ classA-Def OK
value exampleProg ⊢ classB-Def OK
value exampleProg ⊢ classPair-Def OK
```

```
values {x. exampleProg ⊢ MethodInvk (New cPair [New A [], New B []]) setfst
[New B []] →* x}
values {x. exampleProg ⊢ FieldProj (FieldProj (New cPair [New cPair [New A
[], New B []], New A []]) fst) fsnd →* x}
```

```
end
theory Featherweight-Java
imports FJSound Execute
begin
```

```
end
```

References

- [1] A. Igarashi, B. C. Pierce, and P. Wadler. Featherweight Java: A minimal core calculus for Java and GJ. *ACM Transactions on Programming Languages and Systems*, 23(3):396–450, 2001.
- [2] T. Nipkow, L. Paulson, and M. Wenzel. *Isabelle/HOL — A Proof Assistant for Higher-Order Logic*, volume 2283. 2002. <http://www.in.tum.de/~nipkow/LNCS2283/>.