Enumeration of Equivalence Relations

Emin Karayel

March 24, 2023

Abstract

This entry contains a formalization of an algorithm enumerating all equivalence relations on an initial segment of the natural numbers. The approach follows the method described by Stanton and White [5, \$1.5] using restricted growth functions.

The algorithm internally enumerates restricted growth functions (as lists), whose equivalence kernels then form the equivalence relations. This has the advantage that the representation is compact and lookup of the relation reduces to a list lookup operation.

The algorithm can also be used within a proof and an example application is included, where a sequence of variables is split by the possible partitions they can form.

1 Introduction

theory Equivalence-Relation-Enumeration

imports HOL–Library.Sublist HOL–Library.Disjoint-Sets Card-Equiv-Relations.Card-Equiv-Relations

begin

As mentioned in the abstract the enumeration algorithm relies on the bijection between restricted growth functions (RGFs) of length n and the equivalence relations on $\{..<n\}$, where the bijection is the operation that forms the equivalence kernels of an RGF. The method is being dicussed, for example, by [3, 4] or [5, §1.5].

An enumeration algorithm for RGFs is less convoluted than one for equivalence relations or partitions and the representation has the advantage that checking whether a pair of elements are equivalent can be done by performing two list lookup operations.

After a few preliminary results in the following section, Section 3 introduces the enumeration algorithm for RGFs and shows that the function enumerates all of them (for the given length) without repetition. Section 4 shows that the operation of forming the equivalence kernel is a bijection and concludes with the correctness of the entire algorithm. In Section 5 an interesting application is being discussed, where the enumeration of partitions is applied within a proof. Section 6 contains a few additional results, such as the fact that the length of the enumerated list is a Bell number. The latter result relies on the formalization of the cardinality of equivalence relations by Bulwahn [2].

2 Preliminary Results

This section contains a few preliminary results used in the proofs below.

lemma length-filter:length (filter p xs) = sum-list (map (λx . of-bool (p x)) xs) by (induct xs, simp-all)

```
lemma count-list-expand:count-list xs \ x = length (filter ((=) x) xs)
by (induct xs, simp-all)
```

An induction schema (similar to *list-induct2* and *rev-induct*) for two lists of equal length, where induction step is shown appending elements at the end.

lemma *list-induct-2-rev*[*consumes* 1, *case-names* Nil Cons]: **assumes** length x =length yassumes P [] []**assumes** $\bigwedge x xs y ys$. length $xs = \text{length } ys \implies P xs ys \implies P(xs@[x])(ys@[y])$ shows P x yusing assms(1)**proof** (*induct length* x *arbitrary*: x y) case θ then show ?case using assms(2) by simpnext case (Suc n) obtain x1 x2 where a:x = x1@[x2] and c:length x1 = nby (metis Suc(2) append-butlast-last-id length-append-singleton length-greater-0-conv nat.inject zero-less-Suc) obtain $y1 \ y2$ where b:y = y1@[y2] and $d:length \ y1 = n$ by (metis Suc(2,3) append-butlast-last-id length-append-singleton *length-greater-0-conv nat.inject zero-less-Suc*)

have P x1 y1 using c d Suc by simphence P (x1@[x2]) (y1@[y2]) using assms(3) c d by simpthus ?case using a b by simpqed

If all but one value of a sum is zero then it can be evaluated on the remaining point:

lemma sum-collapse: **fixes** $f :: 'a \Rightarrow 'b::\{comm-monoid-add\}$ **assumes** finite A**assumes** $z \in A$ assumes $\bigwedge y. y \in A \implies y \neq z \implies f y = 0$ shows sum f A = f zusing sum.union-disjoint[where $A=A-\{z\}$ and $B=\{z\}$ and g=f] by $(simp \ add: assms \ sum.insert-if)$

Number of occurrences of elements in lists is preserved under injective maps.

lemma count-list-inj-map: **assumes** inj-on f (set x) **assumes** $y \in set x$ **shows** count-list (map f x) (f y) = count-list x y**using** assms **by** (induction x, simp-all, fastforce)

A relation cannot be an equivalence relation on two distinct sets.

lemma equiv-on-unique:
 assumes equiv A p
 assumes equiv B p
 shows A = B
 by (meson assms equalityI equiv-class-eq-iff subsetI)

The restriction of an equivalence relation is itself an equivalence relation.

```
lemma equiv-subset:

assumes B \subseteq A

assumes equiv A p

shows equiv B (Restr p B)

proof –

have refl-on B (Restr p B) using assms by (simp add:refl-on-def equiv-def, blast)

moreover have sym (Restr p B) using assms by (simp add:sym-def equiv-def)

moreover have trans (Restr p B)

using assms by (simp add:trans-def equiv-def, blast)

ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:equiv-def)

qed
```

3 Enumerating Restricted Growth Functions

```
fun rgf-limit :: nat list \Rightarrow nat

where

rgf-limit [] = 0 |

rgf-limit (x\#xs) = max (x+1) (rgf-limit xs)
```

lemma rgf-limit-snoc: rgf-limit (x@[y]) = max (y+1) (rgf-limit x)by (induction x, simp-all)

lemma rgf-limit-ge: $y \in set xs \implies y < rgf-limit xs$ by (induction xs, simp-all, metis lessI max-less-iff-conj not-less-eq)

definition $rgf :: nat \ list \Rightarrow bool$ where $rgf \ x = (\forall ys \ y. \ prefix \ (ys@[y]) \ x \longrightarrow y \le rgf\ limit \ ys)$ The function rgf-limit returns the smallest natural number larger than all list elements, it is the largest allowed value following xs for restricted growth functions. The definition rgf is the predicate capturing the notion.

fun enum-rgfs :: nat \Rightarrow (nat list) list

```
where
enum-rgfs 0 = [[]] \mid
enum-rgfs (Suc n) = [(x@[y]). x \leftarrow enum-rgfs n, y \leftarrow [0..< rgf-limit x+1]]
```

The function enum-rgfs n returns all RGFs of length n without repetition. The fact is verified in the three lemmas at the end of this section.

```
lemma rqf-snoc:
 rgf (xs@[x]) \longleftrightarrow rgf xs \land x < rgf-limit xs + 1
 unfolding rgf-def by (rule order-antisym, (simp add:less-Suc-eq-le)+)
lemma rgf-imp-initial-segment:
 rgf xs \implies set xs = \{.. < rgf-limit xs\}
proof (induction xs rule:rev-induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (snoc \ x \ xs)
 have c:rgf xs using snoc(2) rgf-snoc by simp
 hence a:set xs = \{.. < rgf\ using snoc(1) by simp
 have b: x \leq rgf-limit xs using snoc(2) rgf-snoc c by simp
 have set (xs@[x]) = insert x \{..< rgf-limit xs\}
   using a by simp
 also have \dots = \{\dots < max \ (x+1) \ (rgf-limit \ xs)\} using b
   by (cases x < rgf-limit xs, simp add:insert-absorb, simp add:lessThan-Suc)
 also have ... = {..<rgf-limit (xs@[x])}
   using rgf-limit-snoc by simp
 finally show ?case by simp
qed
lemma enum-rgfs-returns-rgfs:
 assumes x \in set (enum-rgfs n)
 shows rgf x
 using assms
proof (induction n arbitrary: x)
 case \theta
 then show ?case by (simp add:rgf-def)
\mathbf{next}
 case (Suc n)
 obtain x1 x2 where
   x-def:x = x1@[x2] x2 < rgf-limit x1 + 1 x1 \in set (enum-rgfs n)
   using Suc by (simp add:image-iff, force)
 have a:rgf x1 using Suc x-def by blast
 thus ?case using x-def by (simp add:rgf-snoc)
qed
```

lemma enum-rqfs-len: assumes $x \in set (enum-rgfs n)$ shows length x = n**using** assms by (induction n arbitrary: x, simp-all, fastforce) **lemma** equiv-rels-enum: assumes rgf x**shows** count-list (enum-rgfs (length x)) x = 1using assms **proof** (*induction x rule:rev-induct*) case Nil then show ?case by simp next **case** $(snoc \ x \ xs)$ have b:rgf xs using snoc(2) rgf-def by simp hence x < raf-limit xs + 1 using raf-snoc snoc by blast hence a:card ($\{0.. < rgf\text{-limit } xs + 1\} \cap \{x\}$) = 1 by force have 1 = count-list (enum-rgfs (length xs)) xs using snoc b by simp also have ... = $(\sum r1 \leftarrow enum - rgfs (length xs))$. of bool (xs = r1) *card $(\{0.. < rgf\text{-limit } xs + 1\} \cap \{x\}))$ using a by (simp add:length-concat filter-concat count-list-expand length-filter) also have ... = $(\sum r1 \leftarrow enum-rgfs (length xs))$. of-bool (xs = r1) *card $(\{0..< rgf-limit \ r1 + 1\} \cap \{x\}))$ by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) mult-eq-0-iff of-bool-eq-0-iff) also have ... = $(\sum r1 \leftarrow enum-rgfs (length xs))$. of-bool (xs = r1) * $(\sum r_2 \leftarrow [0.. < r_gf\text{-limit } r_1 + 1]. of\text{-bool} (x = r_2)))$ by (simp add:interv-sum-list-conv-sum-set-nat del:One-nat-def) **also have** ... = length (filter ((=) (xs@[x])) (enum-rgfs (length (xs@[x])))) by (simp add:length-concat filter-concat length-filter comp-def of-bool-conj sum-list-const-mult del:upt-Suc) also have $\dots = count-list (enum-rgfs (length (xs@[x]))) (xs@[x])$ **by** (*simp add:count-list-expand length-filter del:enum-rgfs.simps*) finally show ?case by presburger

\mathbf{qed}

4 Enumerating Equivalence Relations

The following definition returns the equivalence relation induced by a list, for example, by a restricted growth function.

definition kernel-of :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat rel where kernel-of $xs = \{(i,j). \ i < length \ xs \land j < length \ xs \land xs \ ! \ i = xs \ ! \ j\}$

Using that the enumeration function for equivalence relations on $\{..< n\}$ is straight-forward to define:

definition equiv-rels where equiv-rels n = map kernel-of (enum-rgfs n)

The following lemma shows that the image of *kernel-of* is indeed an equivalence relation:

lemma kernel-of-equiv: equiv $\{..< length xs\}$ (kernel-of xs) proof have kernel-of $xs \subseteq \{..< length xs\} \times \{..< length xs\}$ by (rule subsetI, simp add:kernel-of-def mem-Times-iff case-prod-beta) thus ?thesis by (simp add:equiv-def refl-on-def sym-def trans-def kernel-of-def) qed **lemma** kernel-of-eq-len: **assumes** kernel-of x = kernel-of y**shows** length x = length yproof have $\{..< length x\} = \{..< length y\}$ **by** (*metis kernel-of-equiv equiv-on-unique assms*) thus ?thesis by simp qed **lemma** kernel-of-eq: $(kernel-of \ x = kernel-of \ y) \longleftrightarrow$ $(length \ x = length \ y \land (\forall j < length \ x. \ \forall i < j. \ (x \mid i = x \mid j) = (y \mid i = y \mid j)))$ **proof** (cases length x = length y) case True have $(kernel of x = kernel of y) \iff$ $(\forall j < length x. \forall i < length x. (x ! i = x ! j) = (y ! i = y ! j))$ unfolding set-eq-iff kernel-of-def using True by (simp, blast) also have ... \longleftrightarrow $(\forall j < length x. \forall i < j. (x ! i = x ! j) = (y ! i = y ! j))$ by (metis (no-types, lifting) linorder-cases order.strict-trans) finally show ?thesis using True by simp next case False then show ?thesis using kernel-of-eq-len by blast qed **lemma** kernel-of-snoc: kernel-of $(xs) = Restr (kernel-of (xs@[x])) \{..< length xs\}$ **by** (*simp add:kernel-of-def nth-append set-eq-iff*) **lemma** kernel-of-inj-on-rgfs-aux: **assumes** length x = length yassumes rgf x**assumes** rgf y**assumes** kernel-of x = kernel-of yshows x = yusing assms **proof** (*induct x y rule*: *list-induct-2-rev*) case Nil then show ?case by simp \mathbf{next} **case** (Cons x xs y ys) have a:kernel-of xs = kernel-of ys

using Cons(1,5) kernel-of-snoc by metis have d:rgf xs rgf ys using Cons rgf-def by auto hence b:xs = ys using Cons(2) a by auto have $\bigwedge i$. $i < length xs \implies (xs \mid i = x) = (ys \mid i = y)$ proof fix iassume i-l:i < length xshave $(xs \mid i = x) \longleftrightarrow (i, length xs) \in kernel-of (xs@[x])$ using *i-l* **by** (*simp add:kernel-of-def less-Suc-eq nth-append*) also have ... \longleftrightarrow (*i*,length xs) \in kernel-of (ys@[y]) using Cons(5) by simpalso have ... \longleftrightarrow (ys ! i = y) using i-l Cons(1) **by** (*simp add:kernel-of-def less-Suc-eq nth-append*) finally show $(xs \mid i = x) = (ys \mid i = y)$ by simp qed hence $c:(x \in set \ xs \longrightarrow x = y) \land (x \notin set \ xs \longrightarrow y \notin set \ ys)$ **by** (*metis b in-set-conv-nth*) have x-bound: x < rgf-limit xs + 1using Cons(3) rgf-snoc d by simp have y-bound: y < rgf-limit ys + 1using Cons(4) rgf-snoc d by simp have x = y using b c d rgf-imp-initial-segment Cons x-bound y-bound apply (cases x < rgf-limit xs, simp) by (cases y < rgf-limit ys, simp+) then show ?case using b by simp qed

lemma kernel-of-inj-on-rgfs:
inj-on kernel-of {x. rgf x}
by (rule inj-onI, simp, metis kernel-of-eq-len kernel-of-inj-on-rgfs-aux)

Applying an injective map to a list preserves the induced relation:

lemma kernel-of-under-inj-map: **assumes** inj-on f (set x) **shows** kernel-of x = kernel-of (map f x) **proof** – **have** $\bigwedge i j. i < length x \implies j < length x$ $\implies (map f x) ! i = (map f x) ! j \implies x ! i = x ! j$ **using** assms **by** (simp add: inj-on-eq-iff) **thus** ?thesis **unfolding** kernel-of-def **by** fastforce **qed**

lemma all-rels-are-kernels: **assumes** equiv {..<n} p **shows** $\exists (x :: nat set list)$. kernel-of $x = p \land length x = n$ **proof** – **define** r where $r = map (\lambda k. p``{k}) [0..<n]$

have $\bigwedge u v. (u,v) \in kernel of r \longleftrightarrow (u,v) \in p$

proof – **fix** u v :: nat **have** $(u,v) \in kernel-of \ r \longleftrightarrow ((u,v) \in \{..<n\} \times \{..<n\} \land p```\{u\} = p```\{v\})$ **unfolding** $kernel-of-def \ r-def$ **by** auto **also have** $... \longleftrightarrow (u,v) \in p$ **by** $(metis \ assms \ equiv-class-eq-iff \ mem-Sigma-iff)$ **finally show** $(u,v) \in kernel-of \ r \longleftrightarrow (u,v) \in p$ **by** simp **qed hence** $kernel-of \ r = p$ **by** auto **moreover have** $length \ r = n$ **using** r-def **by** simp **ultimately show** ?thesis **by** auto**qed**

For any list there is always an injective map on its set, such that its image is an RGF.

lemma *map-list-to-rqf*: $\exists f. inj-on f (set x) \land rgf (map f x)$ **proof** (induction length x arbitrary: x) case θ then show ?case by (simp add:rgf-def) \mathbf{next} case (Suc n) obtain x1 x2 where x-def: x = x1@[x2] and l-x1: length x1 = n by (metis append-butlast-last-id length-append-singleton Suc(2)) length-greater-0-conv nat.inject zero-less-Suc) **obtain** f where *inj-f*: *inj-on* f (set x1) and *pc-f*: *rgf* (map f x1) using Suc(1) *l*-x1 by blast show ?case **proof** (cases $x2 \in set x1$) case True have a:set x = set x1 using x-def True by auto hence b:inj-on f (set x) using inj-f by auto have $f x^2 < rgf$ -limit (map $f x^1$) using rgf-limit-ge True by auto hence rgf (map f x) **by** (*simp add:x-def rqf-snoc pc-f*) then show ?thesis using b by blast \mathbf{next} case False **define** f' where $f' = (\lambda y. if y \in set x1 then f y else rgf-limit (map f x1))$ have inj-on f'(set x1) using f'-def inj-f by (simp add: inj-on-def) **moreover have** rgf-limit (map f x1) \notin set (map f x1) using rgf-limit-ge by blast hence $f' x2 \notin f'$ 'set x1 using False by (simp add: f'-def) ultimately have inj-on f' (insert x2 (set x1)) using False by simp hence a: *inj-on* f'(set x) using False x-def by simp have $b:map \ f \ x1 = map \ f' \ x1$ using $f' \ def$ by simphave $c:f' x^2 < Suc (rgf-limit (map f x1))$ by (simp add:f'-def False)

```
have rgf (map f' x) by (simp add:x-def b[symmetric] rgf-snoc pc-f c)
then show ?thesis using a by blast
qed
qed
```

For any relation there is a corresponding RGF:

```
lemma rgf-exists:

assumes equiv \{...<n\} r

shows \exists x. rgf x \land length x = n \land kernel-of x = r

proof –

obtain y :: nat set list where a:kernel-of y = r length y = n

using all-rels-are-kernels assms by blast

then obtain f where b:inj-on f (set y) rgf (map f y)

using map-list-to-rgf by blast

have kernel-of (map f y) = r

using kernel-of-under-inj-map a b by blast

moreover have length (map f y) = n using a by simp

ultimately show ?thesis

using b by blast

qed
```

These are the main result of this entry: The function *equiv-rels* n enumerates the equivalence relations on $\{..< n\}$ without repetition.

```
theorem equiv-rels-set:
 assumes x \in set (equiv-rels n)
 shows equiv \{.. < n\} x
 using assms equiv-rels-def kernel-of-equiv enum-rgfs-len by auto
theorem equiv-rels:
 assumes equiv \{..< n\} r
 shows count-list (equiv-rels n) r = 1
proof -
 obtain y where y-def: rgf y length y = n kernel-of y = r
   using rgf-exists assms by blast
 have a: \bigwedge x. x \in set (enum-rgfs n) \Longrightarrow (kernel-of y = kernel-of x) = (y=x)
  using enum-rgfs-returns-rgfs y-def(1,2) enum-rgfs-len inj-onD[OF kernel-of-inj-on-rgfs]
   by auto
 have count-list (equiv-rels n) r =
   length (filter (\lambda x. r = kernel-of x) (enum-rgfs n))
   by (simp add: equiv-rels-def count-list-expand length-filter comp-def)
 also have ... = length (filter (\lambda x. kernel-of y = kernel-of x) (enum-rgfs n))
   using y-def(3) by simp
 also have ... = length (filter (\lambda x. y = x) (enum-rgfs n))
   using a by (simp cong:filter-cong)
 also have \dots = count-list (enum-rgfs n) y
   by (simp add:count-list-expand length-filter)
 also have \dots = 1
```

```
using equiv-rels-enum y-def(1,2) by auto
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

A corollary of the previous theorem is that the sum of the indicator function for a relation over *equiv-rels* n is always one.

corollary equiv-rels-2: assumes n = length xsshows $(\sum x \leftarrow equiv-rels n. of-bool (kernel-of <math>xs = x)) = (1 :: 'a :: \{semiring-1\})$ proof – have length (filter (λx . kernel-of xs = x) (equiv-rels (length xs))) = 1 using equiv-rels[OF kernel-of-equiv[where xs=xs]] assms by (simp add:count-list-expand) thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add:of-bool-def sum-list-map-filter'[symmetric] sum-list-triv) qed

5 Example Application

In this section, I wanted to discuss an interesting application within the context of a proof in Isabelle. This is motivated by a real-world example $[1, \S2.2]$, where a function in a 4-times iterated sum could only be reduced by splitting it according to the equivalence relation formed by the indices. The notepad below illustrates how this can be done (in the case of 3 index variables).

```
notepad

begin

fix f :: nat \times nat \times nat \Rightarrow nat

fix I :: nat set

assume a:finite I
```

To be able to break down such a sum by partitions let us introduce the function P which is defined to be sum of an indicator function over all possible equivalence relations its argument can form:

define $P :: nat list \Rightarrow nat$ **where** $P = (\lambda xs. (\sum x \leftarrow equiv-rels (length xs). of-bool (kernel-of xs = x)))$

Note that its value is always one, hence we can introduce it in an algebraic equation easily:

have *P*-one: $\bigwedge xs$. *P* xs = 1by (simp add: *P*-def equiv-rels-2)

note unfold-equiv-rels = P-def equiv-rels-def numeral-eq-Suc kernel-of-eq neq-commute All-less-Suc comp-def

define r where $r = (\sum i \in I. (\sum j \in I. (\sum k \in I. f (i,j,k))))$

As a first step, we just introduce the factor P[i, j, k].

have $r = (\sum i \in I. (\sum j \in I. (\sum k \in I. f (i,j,k) * P [i,j,k])))$ by (simp add: P-one r-def cong:sum.cong)

By expanding the definition of P and distributing, the sum can be expanded into 5 sums each representing a distinct equivalence relation formed by the indices.

also have ... = $\begin{array}{l} \left(\sum i \in I. \ f \ (i, \ i, \ i)\right) + \\ \left(\sum i \in I. \ \sum j \in I. \ f \ (i, \ i, \ j) * of \text{-bool} \ (i \neq j)\right) + \\ \left(\sum i \in I. \ \sum j \in I. \ f \ (i, \ j, \ i) * of \text{-bool} \ (i \neq j)\right) + \\ \left(\sum i \in I. \ \sum j \in I. \ f \ (i, \ j, \ j) * of \text{-bool} \ (i \neq j)\right) + \\ \left(\sum i \in I. \ \sum j \in I. \ f \ (i, \ j, \ k) * of \text{-bool} \ (j \neq k \land i \neq k \land i \neq j)\right) \\ \left(\text{is } - = ?rhs\right) \\ \textbf{by} \ (simp \ add: unfold - equiv-rels \ sum. distrib \ distrib - left \ sum-collapse[OF \ a]) \\ \textbf{finally have} \ r = ?rhs \ \textbf{by} \ simp \end{array}$

\mathbf{end}

6 Additional Results

If two lists induce the same equivalence relation, then there is a bijection between the sets that preserves the multiplicities of its elements.

```
lemma kernel-of-eq-imp-bij:
 assumes kernel-of x = kernel-of y
 shows \exists f. bij-betw f (set x) (set y) \land
   (\forall z \in set x. count-list x z = count-list y (f z))
proof –
 obtain x' where x'-def: inj-on x' (set x) ref (map x' x)
   using map-list-to-rgf by blast
 obtain y' where y'-def: inj-on y' (set y) rgf (map y' y)
   using map-list-to-rgf by blast
 have kernel-of (map \ x' \ x) = kernel-of (map \ y' \ y)
   using assms x'-def(1) y'-def(1)
   by (simp add: kernel-of-under-inj-map[symmetric])
 hence b:map x' x = map y' y
  using inj-onD[OF kernel-of-inj-on-rgfs] x'-def(2) y'-def(2) length-map by simp
 hence f: x' ' set x = y' ' set y
   by (metis list.set-map)
 define f where f = the-inv-into (set y) y' \circ x'
 have g: \bigwedge z. z \in set x \Longrightarrow count-list x z = count-list y (f z)
 proof -
   fix z
   assume a:z \in set x
   have e: x' z \in y' ' set y
     by (metis a b imageI image-set)
   have c: the-inv-into (set y) y'(x'z) \in set y
     using e the-inv-into-into[OF y'-def(1)] by simp
```

have d: (y' (the - inv - into (set y) y' (x' z))) = x' zusing e f-the-inv-into-f y'-def(1) by force have count-list x = count-list (map x' x) (x' z) using a x'-def by (simp add: count-list-inj-map) also have ... = count-list (map y' y) (x' z)**by** (*simp* add:b) also have ... = count-list (map y' y) (y' (the-inv-into (set y) y' (x' z))) **by** (simp add:d) also have ... = count-list y (the-inv-into (set y) y'(x'z)) using c count-list-inj-map $[OF \ y'-def(1)]$ by simp also have $\dots = count-list \ y \ (f \ z)$ by $(simp \ add: f-def)$ finally show count-list x = count-list y (f z) by simp qed have bij-betw x' (set x) (x' ' set x) using x'-def(1) bij-betw-imageI by auto **moreover have** *bij-betw* (*the-inv-into* (set y) y') (y' ' set y) (set y) using bij-betw-the-inv-into[OF bij-betw-imageI] y'-def(1) by auto hence bij-betw (the-inv-into (set y) y') (x' 'set x) (set y) using f by simp**ultimately have** *bij-betw* f (*set* x) (*set* y) using *bij-betw-trans* f-def by blast

thus ?thesis using g by blast

\mathbf{qed}

As expected the length of equiv-rels n is the *n*-th Bell number.

```
lemma len-equiv-rels: length (equiv-rels n) = Bell n
proof –
 have a: finite \{p. equiv \{..< n\} p\}
   by (simp add: finite-equiv)
 have b: set (equiv-rels n) \subseteq {p. equiv {...<n} p}
   using equiv-rels-set by blast
  have length (equiv-rels n) =
   (\sum x \in \{p. equiv \{..< n\} p\}. count-list (equiv-rels n) x)
   using a b by (simp add:sum-count-set)
  also have \dots = card \{p. equiv \{\dots < n\} p\}
   by (simp add: equiv-rels)
 also have \dots = Bell (card \{..< n\})
   using card-equiv-rel-eq-Bell by blast
 also have \dots = Bell n by simp
 finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
```

Instead of forming an equivalence relation from a list, it is also possible to induce a partition from it:

definition induced-par :: 'a list \Rightarrow nat set set where induced-par $xs = (\lambda k. \{i. i < length xs \land xs ! i = k\})$ ' (set xs) The following lemma verifies the commutative diagram, i.e., *induced-par xs* is the same partition as the quotient of $\{..< length xs\}$ over the corresponding equivalence relation.

lemma quotient-of-kernel-is-induced-par: {...<length xs} // (kernel-of xs) = (induced-par xs) **proof** (rule set-eqI) **fix** x **have** $x \in \{...<length xs\}$ // (kernel-of xs) $\leftrightarrow \rightarrow$ ($\exists i < length xs. x = \{j. j < length xs \land xs ! i = xs ! j\}$) **unfolding** quotient-def kernel-of-def **by** blast **also have** ... $\leftrightarrow (\exists y \in set xs. x = \{j. j < length xs \land y = xs ! j\}$) **unfolding** in-set-conv-nth Bex-def **by** (rule order-antisym, force+) **also have** ... $\leftrightarrow (x \in induced-par xs)$ **unfolding** induced-par-def **by** auto **finally show** $x \in \{..<length xs\}$ // (kernel-of xs) $\leftrightarrow (x \in induced-par xs)$ **by** simp **qed**

 \mathbf{end}

References

- N. Alon, Y. Matias, and M. Szegedy. The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments. *Journal of Computer and System Sciences*, 58(1):137–147, 1999.
- [2] L. Bulwahn. Cardinality of equivalence relations. Archive of Formal Proofs, May 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Card_Equiv_Relations. html, Formal proof development.
- [3] G. Hutchinson. Partioning algorithms for finite sets. Commun. ACM, 6(10):613-614, Oct. 1963.
- [4] S. Milne. Restricted growth functions and incidence relations of the lattice of partitions of an n-set. Advances in Mathematics, 26(3):290–305, 1977.
- [5] D. Stanton and D. White. Constructive Combinatorics. Springer, 1986.