## Elimination of Repeated Factors Algorithm

Katharina Kreuzer, Manuel Eberl April 18, 2024

#### Abstract

This article formalises the Elimination of Repeated Factors (ERF) Algorithm. This is an algorithm to find the square-free part of polynomials over perfect fields. Notably, this encompasses all fields of characteristic 0 and all finite fields.

For fields with characteristic 0, the ERF algorithm proceeds similarly to the classical Yun algorithm (formalized in [3, File Square\_Free\_Factorization.thy]). However, for fields with non-zero characteristic p, Yun's algorithm can fail because the derivative of a non-zero polynomial can be 0. The ERF algorithm detects this case and therefore also works in this more general setting.

To state the ERF Algorithm in this general form, we build on the entry on perfect fields [1]. We show that the ERF algorithm is correct and returns a list of pairwise coprime square-free polynomials whose product is the input polynomial. Indeed, through this, the ERF algorithm also yields executable code for calculating the square-free part of a polynomial (denoted by the function *radical*).

The definition and proof of the ERF have been taken from Algorithm 1 in [2].

# Contents

1	1 Auxiliary Lemmas	3
	1.1 Lemmas for the radical of polynomials	 4
	1.2 More on square-free polynomials	 5
2	2 Elimination of Repeated Factors Algorithm	10
3	3 Code Generation for ERF and Example	14
	3.1 Example for the code generation with GF(2)	 17

```
theory ERF_Library
imports
   Mason_Stothers.Mason_Stothers
   Berlekamp_Zassenhaus.Berlekamp_Type_Based
   Perfect_Fields.Perfect_Fields
begin
```

hide\_const (open) Formal\_Power\_Series.radical

## 1 Auxiliary Lemmas

If all factors are monic, the product is monic as well (i.e. the normalization is itself).

```
lemma normalize_prod_monics: assumes "\forall x\inA. monic x" shows "normalize (\prod x\inA. x^(e x)) = (\prod x\inA. x^(e x))" \langle proof\rangle
```

All primes are monic.

```
lemma prime_monic: fixes p :: "'a :: \{euclidean\_ring\_gcd, field\} poly" assumes "<math>p \neq 0" "prime p" shows "monic p" \langle proof \rangle
```

If we know the factorization of a polynomial, we can explicitly characterize the derivative of said polynomial.

```
lemma pderiv_exp_prod_monic: assumes "p = prod_mset fs" shows "pderiv p = (sum (\lambda fi. let ei = count fs fi in Polynomial.smult (of_nat ei) (pderiv fi) * fi^(ei-1) * prod (\lambda fj. fj^(count fs fj)) ((set_mset fs) - {fi})) (set_mset fs))" \langle proof \rangle
```

Any element that divides a prime is either congruent to the prime (i.e.  $p \ dvd \ c$ ) or a unit itself. Careful: This does not mean that p=c since there could be another unit u such that p=u\*c.

```
lemma prime_factors_prime:
    assumes "c dvd p" "prime p"
    shows "is_unit c \lor p dvd c"
    \langle proof \rangle
```

A prime polynomial has degree greater than zero. This is clear since any polynomial of degree 0 is constant and thus also a unit.

```
lemma prime_degree_gt_zero:
    fixes p::"'a::{idom_divide,semidom_divide_unit_factor,field} poly"
    assumes "prime p"
    shows "degree p > 0"
    ⟨proof⟩
```

This lemma helps to reason that if a sum is zero, under some conditions we can follow that the summands must also be zero.

```
lemma one_summand_zero:
  fixes a2::"'a ::field poly"
  assumes "Polynomial.smult a1 a2 + b = 0""c dvd b" "¬ c dvd a2"
  shows "a1 = 0"
  \langle proof \rangle
```

#### 1.1 Lemmas for the radical of polynomials

Properties of the function radical. Note: The radical polynomial in algebra denotes something else. Here, radical denotes the square-free and monic part of a polynomial (i.e. the product of all prime factors). This notion corresponds to radical ideals generated by square-free polynomials.

```
lemma squarefree_radical [intro]: "f \neq 0 \Longrightarrow squarefree (radical f)" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma (in normalization_semidom_multiplicative) normalize_prod:
   "normalize (\prod x \in A. f(x::'b)::'a) = (\prod x \in A. normalize (f(x))"
   \left(proof)\right)

lemma normalize_radical [simp]:
   fixes f:: "'a :: factorial_semiring_multiplicative"
   shows "normalize (radical f) = radical f"
   \left(proof)\right)

lemma radical_of_squarefree:
   assumes "squarefree f"
   shows "normalize (radical f) = normalize f"
   \left(proof)
```

A constant polynomial has no primes in its prime factorization and its radical is 1.

```
lemma prime_factorization_degree0:
    fixes f :: "'a :: {factorial_ring_gcd,semiring_gcd_mult_normalize,field}
poly"
    assumes "degree f = 0"
    shows "prime_factorization f = {#}"
    ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma prime_factors_degree0:
  fixes f :: "'a :: {factorial_ring_gcd,semiring_gcd_mult_normalize,field}
poly"
  assumes "degree f = 0" "f \neq 0"
  shows "prime_factors f = {}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma radical_degree0:
  fixes f :: "'a :: {factorial_ring_gcd,semiring_gcd_mult_normalize,field}
poly"
  assumes "degree f = 0" "f \neq 0"
  shows "radical f = 1"
  \langle proof \rangle
A polynomial is square-free iff its normalization is also square-free.
lemma squarefree_normalize:
  "squarefree f \longleftrightarrow squarefree (normalize f)"
  \langle proof \rangle
Important: The zeros of a polynomial are also zeros of its radical and vice
versa.
lemma same_zeros_radical: "(poly f a = 0) = (poly (radical f) a = 0)"
\langle proof \rangle
```

#### 1.2 More on square-free polynomials

We need to relate two different versions of the definition of a square-free polynomial (i.e. the functions squarefree and square\_free). Over fields, they differ only in their behavior at 0.)

```
lemma squarefree_square_free:
    fixes x :: "'a :: {field} poly"
    assumes "x \neq 0"
    shows "squarefree x = square_free x"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_monoid_mult) prod_list_distinct_conv_prod_set:
    "distinct xs \imp prod_list (map (f :: 'b \Rightarrow 'a) xs) = prod f (set xs)"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_monoid_mult) interv_prod_list_conv_prod_set_nat:
    "prod_list (map (f :: nat \Rightarrow 'a) [m..<n]) = prod f (set [m..<n])"
    ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in comm_monoid_mult) prod_list_prod_nth:
    "prod_list (xs :: 'a list) = (∏ i = 0 ..< length xs. xs ! i)"
    ⟨proof⟩</pre>
```

```
lemma squarefree_mult_imp_coprime [dest]:
   assumes "squarefree (x * y)"
   shows "coprime x y"
   ⟨proof⟩
end

theory ERF_Perfect_Field_Factorization
imports ERF_Library
```

#### begin

Here we subsume properties of the factorization of a polynomial and its derivative in perfect fields. There are two main examples for perfect fields: fields with characteristic 0 and finite fields (i.e.  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  where  $q=p^n, n\in\mathbb{N}$  and p prime). For fields with characteristic 0, most of the lemmas below become trivial. But in the case of finite fields we get interesting results.

Since fields are not instantiated with gcd, we need the additional type class constraint field\_gcd.

```
locale perfect_field_poly_factorization =
  fixes e :: "'e :: {perfect_field, field_gcd} itself"
    and f :: "'e poly"
    and p :: nat
    assumes p_def: "p = CHAR('e)"
    and deg: "degree f \neq 0"
  begin

Definitions to shorten the terms.

definition fm where "fm = normalize f"
```

```
definition fm where "fm = normalize f" definition fac where "fac = prime_factorization fm" definition fac_set where "fac_set = prime_factors fm" definition ex where "ex = (\lambda p. multiplicity \ p. fm)"
```

The split of all prime factors into P1 and P2 only affects fields with prime characteristic. For fields with characteristic 0, P2 is always empty.

```
definition P1 where "P1 = \{f \in fac\_set. \neg p \ dvd \ ex \ f\}" definition P2 where "P2 = \{f \in fac\_set. \ p \ dvd \ ex \ f\}"
```

Assumptions on the degree of f rewritten.

```
lemma deg_f_gr_0[simp]: "degree f > 0" \langle proof \rangle lemma f_nonzero[simp]: "f\neq0" \langle proof \rangle lemma fm_nonzero: "fm \neq 0" \langle proof \rangle
```

Lemmas on fac\_set, P1 and P2. P1 and P2 are a partition of fac\_set.

```
lemma fac_set_nonempty[simp]: "fac_set ≠ {}" ⟨proof⟩
```

```
lemma fac_set_P1_P2: "fac_set = P1 ∪ P2"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma P1_P2_intersect[simp]: "P1 ∩ P2 = {}"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma finites[simp]: "finite fac_set" "finite P1" "finite P2"
All elements of fac_set (and thus of P1 and P2) are monic, irreducible, prime
and prime elements.
lemma fac_set_prime[simp]: "prime x" if "x∈fac_set"
lemma P1\_prime[simp]: "prime x" if "x\inP1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma P2\_prime[simp]: "prime x" if "x\inP2"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fac_set_monic[simp]: "monic x" if "x∈fac_set"
lemma P1_monic[simp]: "monic x" if "x∈P1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma P2_monic[simp]: "monic x" if "x∈P2"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fac_set_prime_elem[simp]: "prime_elem x" if "x∈fac_set"
lemma P1_prime_elem[simp]: "prime_elem x" if "x∈P1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma P2_prime_elem[simp]: "prime_elem x" if "x∈P2"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma fac_set_irreducible[simp]: "irreducible x" if "x∈fac_set"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma P1_{irreducible[simp]}: "irreducible x" if "x\inP1"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma P2_irreducible[simp]: "irreducible x" if "x\inP2"
  \langle proof \rangle
All prime factors are nonzero. Also the derivative of a prime factor is
nonzero. The exponent of a prime factor is also nonzero.
lemma nonzero[simp]: "fj \neq 0" if "fj\in fac_set"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma \ \textit{nonzero\_deriv[simp]: "pderiv fj} \neq \textit{0" if "fj} \in \textit{fac\_set"}
  \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma P1_ex_nonzero: "of_nat (ex x) \neq (0:: 'e)" if "x\inP1" \langle proof \rangle
```

A prime factor and its derivative are coprime. Also elements of P1 and P2 are coprime.

```
lemma deriv_coprime: "algebraic_semidom_class.coprime x (pderiv x)" if "x\infac_set" for x \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma P1_P2_coprime: "algebraic_semidom_class.coprime x (\prod f \in P2. f^ex f)" if "x\inP1" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma P1_ex_P2_coprime: "algebraic_semidom_class.coprime (x^ex x) (\prod f \in P2. f^ex f)" if "x\inP1" \langle proof \rangle
```

We now come to the interesting factorizations of the normalization of a polynomial. It can be represented in Isabelle as the multi-set product  $prod_mset$  of the multi-set of its prime factors, or as a product of prime factors to the power of its multiplicity. We can also split the product into two parts: The prime factors with exponent divisible by the cardinality of the finite field p (= the set P2) and those not divisible by p (= the set P1).

```
lemma f_fac: "fm = prod_mset fac" \langle proof \rangle
```

lemma fm\_P1\_P2: "fm = (
$$\prod fj \in P1$$
. fj^(ex fj)) \* ( $\prod fj \in P2$ . fj^(ex fj))"  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

We now want to look at the derivative and its explicit form. The problem for polynomials over fields with prime characteristic is that for prime factors with exponent divisible by the characteristic, the exponent as a field element equals 0 and cancels out the respective term, i.e.: In a finite field  $\mathbb{F}_{p^n}[x]$ , if  $f = g^p$  where g is a prime polynomial and p is the cardinality, then  $f' = p \cdot g^{p-1} = 0$ . This has nasty side effects in the elimination of repeated factors (ERF) algorithm. As all summands with a derivative of a factor in P2 cancel out, we can also write the derivative as a sum over all derivatives over P1 only.

```
definition deriv_part where
```

```
"deriv_part = (\lambda y. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (ex y)) (pderiv y * y ^ (ex y - Suc 0) *  (\prod fj \in fac\_set - \{y\}. fj ^ex fj))"
```

```
definition deriv_monic where
```

```
"deriv_monic = (\lambda y. pderiv \ y * y \ (ex \ y - Suc \ 0) * (\prod fj \in fac_set - \{y\}. fj \ ex \ fj))"
```

```
lemma pderiv_fm: "pderiv fm = (\sum f \in fac\_set. deriv\_part f)" \langle proof \rangle
```

```
lemma sumP2_deriv_zero: "(\sum f \in P2. deriv_part f) = 0" \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathbf{lemma} \ \ pderiv\_fm' \colon \ "pderiv \ fm \ = \ (\sum f \in P1. \ deriv\_part \ f) \, "\\ \langle proof \rangle \end{array}$ 

#### definition deriv\_P1 where

```
"deriv_P1 = (\lambda y. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (ex y)) (pderiv y * y ^ (ex y - Suc 0) *
```

$$(\prod fj \in P1 - \{y\}. fj ^ex fj))$$
"

lemma pderiv\_fm'': "pderiv fm = ( $\prod f \in P2$ . f^ex f) \* ( $\sum x \in P1$ . deriv\_P1 x)"  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Some properties that  $f_i^{e_i}$  for prime factors  $f_i$  divides the summands of the derivative or not.

```
lemma ex_min_1_power_dvd_P1: "x ^ (ex x - 1) dvd deriv_part a" if "x\inP1" "a\inP1" for x a \langle proof \rangle
```

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathbf{ex\_power\_dvd\_P2:} \ "\mathbf{x} \ \widehat{\ } \mathbf{ex} \ \mathbf{x} \ \mathbf{dvd} \ \mathbf{deriv\_part} \ \mathbf{a"} \ \mathbf{if} \ "\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{P2"} \ "\mathbf{a} \in \mathbf{P1"} \ \langle \mathit{proof} \rangle$ 

 $\mathbf{lemma} \ \, \texttt{ex\_power\_not\_dvd:} \ \, "\neg \ \, y \ \, \texttt{ex} \ \, y \ \, \texttt{dvd} \ \, \texttt{deriv\_monic} \ \, y" \ \, \mathbf{if} \ \, "y \in \texttt{fac\_set"} \ \, \langle proof \rangle$ 

If the derivative of the normalized polynomial fm is zero, then all prime factors have an exponent divisible by the cardinality p.

Properties on the multiplicity (i.e. the exponents) of prime factors in the factorization of the derivative.

 $\mathbf{lemma\ mult\_fm[simp]:\ "count\ fac\ x = ex\ x"\ \mathbf{if}\ "x{\in} \mathbf{fac\_set"}} \\ \langle \mathit{proof} \rangle$ 

lemma mult\_deriv1: "multiplicity x (pderiv fm) = ex x - 1"

```
if "x \in P1" "pderiv \ fm \neq 0" for x < proof >
lemma mult_deriv: "multiplicity x \ (pderiv \ fm) \geq (if \ p \ dvd \ ex \ x \ then ex <math>x \ else \ ex \ x - 1)"
if "x \in fac\_set" "pderiv \ fm \neq 0"
< proof >
end
end
theory ERF\_Algorithm
imports
ERF\_Perfect\_Field\_Factorization
begin
```

## 2 Elimination of Repeated Factors Algorithm

This file contains the elimnation of repeated factors (ERF) algorithm for polynomials over perfect fields. This algorithm does not only work over fields with characteristic 0 like the classical Yun Algorithm but also for example over finite fields with prime characteristic (i.e.  $\mathbb{F}_q[x]$  for  $q=p^n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and p prime). Intuitively, the ERF algorithm proceeds similarly to the classical Yun algorithm, taking the gcd of the polynomial and its derivative and thus eliminating repeated factors iteratively. However, if we work over finite characteristic, prime factors with exponent divisible by the characteristic p are cancelled out since  $p \equiv 0$ . Therefore, we separate prime factors with exponent divisible by the characteristic from the rest and treat them separately in the ERF algorithm.

Since we use the gcd, we need the additional type constraint field\_gcd.

```
context
assumes "SORT_CONSTRAINT('e::{perfect_field, field_gcd})"
begin
```

The funtion *ERF\_step* describes the main body of the ERF algorithm. Let us walk through the algorithm step by step.

- A polynomial of degree 0 is constant and thus there is nothing to do.
- We only consider the monic part of our polynomial f using the normalize function.
- *u* is the gcd of the monic *f* and its derivative.

- u = 1 iff f is already square-free. If the characteristic is zero, this property is already fulfilled. Otherwise we continue and denote the (prime) characteristic by p.
- If  $u \neq 1$ , we split f in a part v and w. v is already square-free and contains all prime factors with exponent not divisible by p.
- w contains all prime factors with exponent divisible by p. Thus we can take the p-th root of w (by using the inverse Frobenius homomorphism inv\_frob\_poly) and obtain z (which we will further reduce in an iterative step).

```
definition ERF_step ::"'e poly ⇒ _" where
  "ERF_step f = (if degree f = 0 then None else (let
    f_mono = normalize f;
    u = gcd f_mono (pderiv f_mono);
    n = degree f
    in (if u = 1 then None else let
     v = f_mono div u;
    w = u div gcd u (v^n);
    z = inv_frob_poly w
    in Some (v, z)
    )
))"

lemma ERF_step_0 [simp]: "ERF_step 0 = None"
    ⟨proof⟩
lemma ERF_step_const: "degree f = 0 ⇒ ERF_step f = None"
    ⟨proof⟩
```

For the correctness proof of the  $local.ERF\_step$  algorithm, we need to show that u, v and w have the correct form.

Let  $f = \prod_i f_i^{e_i}$  where we assume f to be monic and  $f_i$  are the prime factors with exponents  $e_i$ . Let furthermore  $P_1 = \{f_i, p \nmid e_i\}$  and  $P_2 = \{f_i, p \mid e_i\}$ . Then we have

$$u = \prod_{f_i \in P_1} f_i^{e_i - 1} \cdot \prod_{f_i \in P_2} f_i^{e_i}$$

```
and "u = (let fm' = normalize f; P1 = {f\inprime_factors fm'. \neg CHAR('e) dvd multiplicity f fm'};

P2 = {f\inprime_factors fm'. CHAR('e) dvd multiplicity f fm'} in

(\prod fj\inP1. fj\cap(multiplicity fj fm' -1)) * (\prod fj\inP2. fj\cap(multiplicity fj fm')))"
(is ?u')
(proof)
```

Continuing our calculations, we get:

$$v = \prod_{f_i \in P_1} f_i$$

Therefore, v is already square-free and v's prime factors are exactly  $P_1$ .

lemma v\_characterization: assumes "ERF\_step f = Some (v,z)" shows "v = (let fm = normalize f in fm div (gcd fm (pderiv fm)))" (is ?a) and "v =  $\prod \{x \in \text{prime\_factors (normalize f)}. \neg \text{CHAR('e)} \text{ dvd multiplicity x (normalize f)}\}$ " (is ?b) and "prime\_factors v =  $\{x \in \text{prime\_factors (normalize f)}. \neg \text{CHAR('e)} \text{ dvd multiplicity x (normalize f)}\}$ "(is ?c) and "squarefree v"(is ?d)  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

For the definition of w, we only want to get the prime factors in  $P_2$ . Therefore, we kick out all prime factors in  $P_1$  from f by calculating this gcd.

$$\gcd(u, v^{\deg f}) = \prod_{f_i \in P_1} f_i^{e_i - 1}$$

lemma gcd\_u\_v:

assumes "ERF\_step f = Some (v,z)" shows "let fm = normalize f; u = gcd fm (pderiv fm); P1 =  $\{x \in \text{prime\_factors fm.} \neg \text{CHAR('e) dvd multiplicity x fm} \}$  in gcd u  $(v^{\text{degree f}}) = (\prod fj \in P1. fj^{\text{multiplicity fj fm -1}})$ "  $\langle proof \rangle$ 

Finally, we can calculate

$$w = \prod_{f_i \in P_2} f_i^{p \cdot (e_i/p)}$$

and

$$z = \sqrt[p]{w} = \prod_{f_i \in P_2} f_i^{e_i/p}$$

Now, we can show the correctness of the local.ERF\_step function. These properties comprise:

- prime factors of f are either in v or in z
- v is already square-free
- z is non-zero and the p-th power of z divides f (important for the termination of the ERF)

```
lemma ERF_step_correct:
  assumes "ERF_step f = Some (v, z)"
  shows
            "radical f = v * radical z"
           "squarefree v"
           "z ^{\circ} CHAR('e) dvd f"
           "z≠0"
           "CHAR('e) = 0 \implies z = 1"
\langle proof \rangle
If the algorithm stops, then the input was already square-free or zero.
lemma ERF_step_correct_None:
  assumes "ERF_step f = None"
    shows "degree f = 0 \lor radical f = normalize f"
           "f\neq0 \Longrightarrow squarefree f"
\langle proof \rangle
The degree of z is less than the degree of f. This guarantees the termination
of ERF.
lemma degree_ERF_step_less [termination_simp]:
  assumes "ERF_step f = Some (v, z)"
  shows
            "degree z < degree f"
\langle proof \rangle
lemma is_measure_degree [measure_function]: "is_measure Polynomial.degree"
  \langle proof \rangle
Finally, we state the full ERF algorithm. We show correctness as well.
fun ERF ::"'e poly \Rightarrow 'e poly list" where
  "ERF f = (
     case ERF_step f of
       None \Rightarrow if degree f = 0 then [] else [normalize f]
      / Some (v, z) \Rightarrow v # ERF z)"
lemmas [simp del] = ERF.simps
lemma ERF_0 [simp]: "ERF 0 = []"
  \langle proof \rangle
lemma ERF_const [simp]:
  assumes "degree f = 0"
```

```
shows
            "ERF f = []"
  \langle proof \rangle
theorem ERF_correct:
  assumes "f \neq 0"
  \mathbf{shows}
            "prod_list (ERF f) = radical f"
           "g \in set (ERF f) \implies squarefree g"
\langle proof \rangle
It is also easy to see that any two polynomials in the list returned by
local.ERF are coprime.
lemma ERF_pairwise_coprime: "sorted_wrt coprime (ERF p)"
\langle proof \rangle
We can also compute the radical of a polynomial with the ERF algorithm
by simply multiplying together the individual parts we found.
lemma radical_code [code_unfold]: "radical f = (if f = 0 then 0 else
prod_list (ERF f))"
  \langle proof \rangle
With this, the ERF algorithm can also serve as an executable test for the
square-freeness of a polynomial (especially over a finite field):
lemma squarefree_poly_code [code_unfold]:
  fixes p :: "'a :: field gcd poly"
  shows "squarefree p \longleftrightarrow p \neq 0 \land Polynomial.degree p = Polynomial.degree
(radical p)"
\langle proof \rangle
end
end
theory ERF_Code_Fixes
  imports Berlekamp_Zassenhaus.Finite_Field
  Perfect_Fields.Perfect_Fields
begin
```

## 3 Code Generation for ERF and Example

```
lemma inverse_mod_ring_altdef:
    fixes x :: "'p :: prime_card mod_ring"
    defines "x' \equiv Rep_mod_ring x"
    shows "Rep_mod_ring (inverse x) = fst (bezout_coefficients x' CARD('p))
mod CARD('p)"
    \left(proof)

lemmas inverse_mod_ring_code' [code] =
    inverse_mod_ring_altdef [where 'p = "'p :: {prime_card, card_UNIV}"]
```

```
lemma divide_mod_ring_code' [code]:
  "x / (y :: 'p :: {prime_card, card_UNIV} mod_ring) = x * inverse y"
  \langle proof \rangle
instantiation mod_ring :: ("{finite, card_UNIV}") card_UNIV
begin
definition "card_UNIV = Phantom('a mod_ring) (of_phantom (card_UNIV ::
'a card_UNIV))"
definition "finite_UNIV = Phantom('a mod_ring) True"
instance
  \langle proof \rangle
end
lemmas of_int_mod_ring_code [code] =
  of_int_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas plus_mod_ring_code [code] =
 plus_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas minus_mod_ring_code [code] =
  minus_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas uminus_mod_ring_code [code] =
  uminus_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas times_mod_ring_code [code] =
  times_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas inverse_mod_ring_code [code] =
  inverse_mod_ring_def[where ?'a = "'a :: {prime_card, finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas divide_mod_ring_code [code] =
  divide_mod_ring_def[where ?'a = "'a :: {prime_card, finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemma card UNIV code:
  "card (UNIV :: 'a :: card_UNIV set) = of_phantom (card_UNIV :: ('a,
nat) phantom)"
  \langle proof \rangle
\langle ML \rangle
class semiring_char_code = semiring_1 +
  fixes semiring_char_code :: "('a, nat) phantom"
  assumes semiring_char_code_correct: "semiring_char_code = Phantom('a)
CHAR('a)"
instantiation mod_ring :: ("{finite,nontriv,card_UNIV}") semiring_char_code
```

```
begin
definition semiring_char_code_mod_ring :: "('a mod_ring, nat) phantom"
where
  "semiring_char_code_mod_ring = Phantom('a mod_ring) (of_phantom (card_UNIV
:: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
  \langle proof \rangle
end
instantiation poly :: ("{semiring_char_code, comm_semiring_1}") semiring_char_code
begin
definition
  "semiring_char_code_poly =
      Phantom('a poly) (of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
  \langle proof \rangle
end
instantiation fps :: ("{semiring_char_code, comm_semiring_1}") semiring_char_code
begin
definition
  "semiring_char_code_fps =
      Phantom('a fps) (of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
  \langle proof \rangle
end
instantiation fls :: ("{semiring_char_code, comm_semiring_1}") semiring_char_code
begin
definition
  "semiring_char_code_fls =
      Phantom('a fls) (of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
  \langle proof \rangle
end
lemma semiring_char_code [code]:
  "semiring\_char x =
     (if x = TYPE('a :: semiring_char_code) then
        of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom) else
        Code.abort STR ''semiring_char'' (\lambda_. semiring_char x))"
  \langle proof \rangle
\quad \mathbf{end} \quad
theory ERF_Code_Test
imports
```

```
"HOL-Library.Code_Target_Numeral"

ERF_Algorithm

ERF_Code_Fixes
begin

hide_const (open) Formal_Power_Series.radical
notation (output) Abs_mod_ring ("_")
```

3.1 Example for the code generation with GF(2)

```
type_synonym gf2 = "bool mod_ring"

definition x where "x = [:0, 1:]"

definition p :: "gf2 poly"

where "p = x^16 + x^15 + x^13 + x^11 + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4 + x^2 + x + 1"

value "ERF p"

value "radical p"
```

end

#### References

- [1] M. Eberl and K. Kreuzer. Perfect fields. Archive of Formal Proofs, November 2023. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Perfect\_Fields.html, Formal proof development.
- [2] M. Scott. Factoring polynomials over finite fields, May 2019. https://carleton.ca/math/wp-content/uploads/ Factoring-Polynomials-over-Finite-Fields\_Melissa-Scott.pdf.
- [3] R. Thiemann and A. Yamada. Polynomial factorization. Archive of Formal Proofs, January 2016. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Polynomial\_Factorization.html, Formal proof development.