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#### Abstract

This article formalises the Elimination of Repeated Factors (ERF) Algorithm. This is an algorithm to find the square-free part of polynomials over perfect fields. Notably, this encompasses all fields of characteristic 0 and all finite fields.

For fields with characteristic 0, the ERF algorithm proceeds similarly to the classical Yun algorithm (formalized in [3, File Square_ Free_Factorization.thy]). However, for fields with non-zero characteristic $p$, Yun's algorithm can fail because the derivative of a nonzero polynomial can be 0 . The ERF algorithm detects this case and therefore also works in this more general setting.

To state the ERF Algorithm in this general form, we build on the entry on perfect fields [1]. We show that the ERF algorithm is correct and returns a list of pairwise coprime square-free polynomials whose product is the input polynomial. Indeed, through this, the ERF algorithm also yields executable code for calculating the square-free part of a polynomial (denoted by the function radical).

The definition and proof of the ERF have been taken from Algorithm 1 in [2].
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```
theory ERF_Library
imports
    Mason_Stothers.Mason_Stothers
    Berlekamp_Zassenhaus.Berlekamp_Type_Based
    Perfect_Fields.Perfect_Fields
begin
```

hide_const (open) Formal_Power_Series.radical

## 1 Auxiliary Lemmas

If all factors are monic, the product is monic as well (i.e. the normalization is itself).

```
lemma normalize_prod_monics:
    assumes "\forallx\inA. monic x"
    shows "normalize (\prodx\inA. x^ (e x)) = (\prodx\inA. x^(e x))"
    <proof\rangle
```

All primes are monic.

```
lemma prime_monic:
    fixes p :: "'a :: {euclidean_ring_gcd,field} poly"
    assumes "p\not=0" "prime p" shows "monic p"
    <proof\rangle
```

If we know the factorization of a polynomial, we can explicitly characterize the derivative of said polynomial.

```
lemma pderiv_exp_prod_monic:
assumes "p = prod_mset fs"
shows "pderiv p = (sum ( }\lambda\mathrm{ fi. let ei = count fs fi in
    Polynomial.smult (of_nat ei) (pderiv fi) * fi^(ei-1) * prod (\lambda fj.
fj^(count fs fj))
    ((set_mset fs) - {fi})) (set_mset fs))"
<proof\rangle
```

Any element that divides a prime is either congruent to the prime (i.e. $p$ dvd c) or a unit itself. Careful: This does not mean that $p=c$ since there could be another unit $u$ such that $p=u * c$.

```
lemma prime_factors_prime:
    assumes "c dvd p" "prime p"
    shows "is_unit c V p dvd c"
    \langleproof\rangle
```

A prime polynomial has degree greater than zero. This is clear since any polynomial of degree 0 is constant and thus also a unit.

```
lemma prime_degree_gt_zero:
    fixes p::"'a::{idom_divide,semidom_divide_unit_factor,field} poly"
    assumes "prime p"
    shows "degree p > 0"
    <proof\rangle
```

This lemma helps to reason that if a sum is zero，under some conditions we can follow that the summands must also be zero．

```
lemma one_summand_zero:
    fixes a2::"'a ::field poly"
    assumes "Polynomial.smult a1 a2 + b = 0""c dvd b" "\neg c dvd a2"
    shows "a1 = 0"
    <proof\rangle
```


## 1．1 Lemmas for the radical of polynomials

Properties of the function radical．Note：The radical polynomial in algebra denotes something else．Here，radical denotes the square－free and monic part of a polynomial（i．e．the product of all prime factors）．This notion corresponds to radical ideals generated by square－free polynomials．

```
lemma squarefree_radical [intro]: "f = 0 > squarefree (radical f)"
    \langleproof\rangle
```

```
lemma (in normalization_semidom_multiplicative) normalize_prod:
```

lemma (in normalization_semidom_multiplicative) normalize_prod:
"normalize ( $\prod_{x \in A . ~ f ~(x ~:: ~ ' b) ~: ~: ~ ' a) ~=~\left(\prod x \in A . ~ n o r m a l i z e ~(f ~ x)\right) " ~}^{\text {( }}$
"normalize ( $\prod_{x \in A . ~ f ~(x ~:: ~ ' b) ~: ~: ~ ' a) ~=~\left(\prod x \in A . ~ n o r m a l i z e ~(f ~ x)\right) " ~}^{\text {( }}$
〈proof〉

```
    〈proof〉
```

```
lemma normalize_radical [simp]:
```

lemma normalize_radical [simp]:
fixes f :: "'a :: factorial_semiring_multiplicative"
fixes f :: "'a :: factorial_semiring_multiplicative"
shows "normalize (radical f) = radical f"
shows "normalize (radical f) = radical f"
\langleproof\rangle
\langleproof\rangle
lemma radical_of_squarefree:
assumes "squarefree f"
shows "normalize (radical f) = normalize f"
<proof\rangle

```

A constant polynomial has no primes in its prime factorization and its radical is 1 ．
```

lemma prime_factorization_degree0:
fixes f :: "'a :: \{factorial_ring_gcd,semiring_gcd_mult_normalize,field\}
poly"
assumes "degree f = 0"
shows "prime_factorization $f=\{\#\} "$
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$

```
```

lemma prime_factors_degree0:
fixes f :: "'a :: {factorial_ring_gcd,semiring_gcd_mult_normalize,field}
poly"
assumes "degree f = 0" "f}=0\mathrm{ "
shows "prime_factors f = {}"
\langleproof\rangle
lemma radical_degree0:
fixes f :: "'a :: {factorial_ring_gcd,semiring_gcd_mult_normalize,field}
poly"
assumes "degree f = 0" "f=0"
shows "radical f=1"
<proof\rangle

```

A polynomial is square-free iff its normalization is also square-free.
```

lemma squarefree_normalize:
"squarefree f \longleftrightarrow squarefree (normalize f)"
<proof\rangle

```

Important: The zeros of a polynomial are also zeros of its radical and vice versa.
```

lemma same_zeros_radical: "(poly f a = 0) = (poly (radical f) a = 0)"

```
\(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)

\subsection*{1.2 More on square-free polynomials}

We need to relate two different versions of the definition of a square-free polynomial (i.e. the functions squarefree and square_free). Over fields, they differ only in their behavior at 0.)
```

lemma squarefree_square_free:
fixes x :: "'a :: {field} poly"
assumes "x = 0"
shows "squarefree x = square_free x"
\langleproof\rangle

```
lemma (in comm_monoid_mult) prod_list_distinct_conv_prod_set:
    "distinct xs \(\Longrightarrow\) prod_list (map ( \(f:: \quad \prime b \Rightarrow\) 'a) xs) \(=\operatorname{prod} f\) (set xs)"
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma (in comm_monoid_mult) interv_prod_list_conv_prod_set_nat:
    "prod_list (map (f :: nat \(\Rightarrow\) 'a) \([m . .<n])=\operatorname{prod} f(\operatorname{set}[m . .<n]) "\)
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma (in comm_monoid_mult) prod_list_prod_nth:
    "prod_list (xs :: 'a list) = ( \(\prod_{i}\) = 0 .. \(<\) length xs. xs ! i)"
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
```

lemma squarefree_mult_imp_coprime [dest]:
assumes "squarefree (x * y)"
shows "coprime x y"
\langleproof\rangle

```
end
theory ERF_Perfect_Field_Factorization
imports ERF_Library

\section*{begin}

Here we subsume properties of the factorization of a polynomial and its derivative in perfect fields. There are two main examples for perfect fields: fields with characteristic 0 and finite fields (i.e. \(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]\) where \(q=p^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(p\) prime). For fields with characteristic 0 , most of the lemmas below become trivial. But in the case of finite fields we get interesting results.
Since fields are not instantiated with gcd, we need the additional type class constraint field_gcd.
locale perfect_field_poly_factorization =
fixes e :: "'e :: \{perfect_field, field_gcd\} itself"
and \(f\) :: "'e poly"
and \(p::\) nat
assumes p_def: "p = CHAR('e)"
and deg: "degree \(f \neq 0\) "
begin
Definitions to shorten the terms.
definition \(f m\) where " \(f m=\) normalize \(f\) "
definition fac where "fac = prime_factorization fm"
definition fac_set where "fac_set = prime_factors fm"
definition ex where "ex = ( \(\lambda p\). multiplicity \(p \mathrm{fm}\) )"
The split of all prime factors into P1 and P2 only affects fields with prime characteristic. For fields with characteristic 0, P2 is always empty.
definition P1 where "P1 = \(\left\{f \in f a c_{-} s e t . ~ \neg p d v d e x f\right\} "\)
definition \(P 2\) where " \(P 2=\left\{f \in f a c \_\right.\)set. \(p d v d\) ex \(\left.f\right\}\) "
Assumptions on the degree of \(f\) rewritten.
```

lemma deg_f_gr_0[simp]: "degree $f$ > 0" $\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma f_nonzero[simp]: " $f=0$ " $\langle$ proof $\rangle$
lemma fm_nonzero: "fm $\neq 0$ " $\langle$ proof $\rangle$

```

Lemmas on fac_set, P1 and P2. P1 and P2 are a partition of fac_set.
lemma fac_set_nonempty[simp]: "fac_set \(\neq\{ \} "\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
```

lemma fac_set_P1_P2: "fac_set = P1 U P2"
<proof\rangle
lemma P1_P2_intersect[simp]: "P1 \cap P2 = {}"
<proof\rangle
lemma finites[simp]: "finite fac_set" "finite P1" "finite P2"
<proof>

```

All elements of fac＿set（and thus of P1 and P2）are monic，irreducible，prime and prime elements．
```

lemma fac_set_prime[simp]: "prime $x$ " if "x fac_set"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P1_prime[simp]: "prime $x$ " if "x $x$ P1"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P2_prime[simp]: "prime $x$ " if "x $x$ "
〈proof〉
lemma fac_set_monic[simp]: "monic x" if "x $x$ fac_set"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P1_monic[simp]: "monic $x "$ if "x $x$ P1"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P2_monic[simp]: "monic x" if "x $x$ P2"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma fac_set_prime_elem[simp]: "prime_elem x" if "xffac_set"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P1_prime_elem[simp]: "prime_elem x" if "x $x$ P1"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P2_prime_elem[simp]: "prime_elem x" if "x $\in P 2$ "
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma fac_set_irreducible[simp]: "irreducible x" if "xffac_set"
〈proof〉
lemma P1_irreducible[simp]: "irreducible x" if "x $x$ P1"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma P2_irreducible[simp]: "irreducible x" if "x $x$ " "
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$

```

All prime factors are nonzero．Also the derivative of a prime factor is nonzero．The exponent of a prime factor is also nonzero．
lemma nonzero［simp］：＂fj \(\neq 0\)＂if＂fje fac＿set＂〈proof〉
lemma nonzero＿deriv［simp］：＂pderiv fj \(\neq 0\)＂if＂fje fac＿set＂ \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
```

lemma P1_ex_nonzero: "of_nat (ex x) \# (0:: 'e)" if "x\inP1"
<proof\rangle

```

A prime factor and its derivative are coprime．Also elements of P1 and P2 are coprime．
```

lemma deriv_coprime: "algebraic_semidom_class.coprime x (pderiv x)"
if "x\infac_set" for x <proof\rangle

```
lemma P1_P2_coprime: "algebraic_semidom_class.coprime x ( \(\prod f \in P 2\). f^ex
f)" if " \(x \in P 1\) "
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma P1_ex_P2_coprime: "algebraic_semidom_class.coprime (x^ex x) ( \(\prod f \in P 2\).
\(f^{\wedge} e x\) f)" if "x \(\in P 1 "\)
    〈proof〉

We now come to the interesting factorizations of the normalization of a poly－ nomial．It can be represented in Isabelle as the multi－set product prod＿mset of the multi－set of its prime factors，or as a product of prime factors to the power of its multiplicity．We can also split the product into two parts：The prime factors with exponent divisible by the cardinality of the finite field \(p\) （ \(=\) the set \(P 2\) ）and those not divisible by \(p\)（ \(=\) the set \(P 1\) ）．
```

lemma f_fac: "fm = prod_mset fac"

```
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma fm＿P1＿P2：＂fm＝（ПfjeP1．fj＾（ex fj））＊（ПfjeP2．fj＾（ex fj））＂〈proof〉

We now want to look at the derivative and its explicit form．The problem for polynomials over fields with prime characteristic is that for prime factors with exponent divisible by the characteristic，the exponent as a field element equals 0 and cancels out the respective term，i．e．：In a finite field \(\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[x]\) ， if \(f=g^{p}\) where \(g\) is a prime polynomial and \(p\) is the cardinality，then \(f^{\prime}=p \cdot g^{p-1}=0\) ．This has nasty side effects in the elimination of repeated factors（ERF）algorithm．As all summands with a derivative of a factor in P2 cancel out，we can also write the derivative as a sum over all derivatives over P1 only．
```

definition deriv_part where
"deriv_part = (\lambday. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (ex y)) (pderiv y * y -
(ex y - Suc 0) *
(\prodfj\infac_set - {y}. fj - ex fj)))"

```
definition deriv＿monic where
    "deriv_monic = ( \(\lambda\) y. pderiv y * y - (ex y - Suc 0) * ( \(\mathrm{Ifj}_{\mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{fac} \text { _set }-~}^{\text {- }}\)
\{y\}. \(f j^{-}\)ex fj))"
```

lemma pderiv_fm: "pderiv fm = ( $\sum \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{fac}$ _set. deriv_part f)"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma sumP2_deriv_zero: "( $\sum f \in P 2$. deriv_part f) $=0 "$
〈proof〉
lemma pderiv_fm': "pderiv fm = ( $\sum \mathrm{f} \in \mathrm{P} 1 . \operatorname{deriv\_ part~f)"~}$
〈proof〉
definition deriv_P1 where
"deriv_P1 = ( $\lambda$ y. Polynomial.smult (of_nat (ex y)) (pderiv y * y ~ (ex
y - Suc 0) *
$\left(\prod f j \in P 1-\{y\} . f j\right.$ - ex $\left.\left.\left.f j\right)\right)\right)^{\prime \prime}$
lemma pderiv_fm'': "pderiv fm = ( $\left.\prod f \in P 2 . f^{\wedge} e x f\right) *\left(\sum x \in P 1\right.$. deriv_P1
x)"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$

```

Some properties that \(f_{i}^{e_{i}}\) for prime factors \(f_{i}\) divides the summands of the derivative or not．
lemma ex_min_1_power_dvd_P1: "x - (ex x - 1) dvd deriv_part a" if "x \(\in P 1\) "
" \(\mathrm{a} \in P 1\) " for x a
\(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma ex_power_dvd_P2: "x - ex x dvd deriv_part a" if "x \(x \in P 2\) " "a \(\in P 1\) " \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma ex_power_not_dvd: " \(\neg y^{\wedge} e x ~ y ~ d v d ~ d e r i v \_m o n i c ~ y " ~ i f ~ " y \in f a c \_s e t " ~\)
〈proof〉
lemma P1_ex_power_not_dvd: "ᄀ y^ex y dvd deriv_part y" if "y \(\in P 1\) "
\(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma P1_ex_power_not_dvd': "ᄀ y^ex y dvd deriv_P1 y" if "y \(\in P 1\) "
\(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)

If the derivative of the normalized polynomial \(f m\) is zero，then all prime factors have an exponent divisible by the cardinality \(p\) ．
```

lemma pderivO_p_dvd_count: "p dvd ex fj" if "fj\infac_set" "pderiv fm =
0"
<proof\rangle

```

Properties on the multiplicity（i．e．the exponents）of prime factors in the factorization of the derivative．
```

lemma mult_fm[simp]: "count fac x = ex x" if "x\infac_set"
\langleproof\rangle
lemma mult_deriv1: "multiplicity x (pderiv fm) = ex x - 1"

```
```

    if "x\inP1" "pderiv fm \not=0" for x
    <proof\rangle
lemma mult_deriv: "multiplicity x (pderiv fm) \geq (if p dvd ex x then
ex x else ex x - 1)"
if "x\infac_set" "pderiv fm f= 0"
<proof\rangle
end
end
theory ERF_Algorithm
imports
ERF_Perfect_Field_Factorization
begin

```

\section*{2 Elimination of Repeated Factors Algorithm}

This file contains the elimnation of repeated factors (ERF) algorithm for polynomials over perfect fields. This algorithm does not only work over fields with characteristic 0 like the classical Yun Algorithm but also for example over finite fields with prime characteristic (i.e. \(\mathbb{F}_{q}[x]\) for \(q=p^{n}\), \(n \in \mathbb{N}\) and \(p\) prime). Intuitively, the ERF algorithm proceeds similarly to the classical Yun algorithm, taking the gcd of the polynomial and its derivative and thus eliminating repeated factors iteratively. However, if we work over finite characteristic, prime factors with exponent divisible by the characteristic \(p\) are cancelled out since \(p \equiv 0\). Therefore, we separate prime factors with exponent divisible by the characteristic from the rest and treat them seperately in the ERF algorithm.

Since we use the gcd, we need the additional type constraint field_gcd.
```

context
assumes "SORT_CONSTRAINT('e::{perfect_field, field_gcd})"
begin

```

The funtion ERF_step describes the main body of the ERF algorithm. Let us walk through the algorithm step by step.
- A polynomial of degree 0 is constant and thus there is nothing to do.
- We only consider the monic part of our polynomial \(f\) using the normalize function.
- \(u\) is the gcd of the monic \(f\) and its derivative.
- \(u=1\) iff \(f\) is already square-free. If the characteristic is zero, this property is already fulfilled. Otherwise we continue and denote the (prime) characteristic by \(p\).
- If \(u \neq 1\), we split \(f\) in a part \(v\) and \(w . v\) is already square-free and contains all prime factors with exponent not divisible by \(p\).
- \(w\) contains all prime factors with exponent divisible by \(p\). Thus we can take the \(p\)-th root of \(w\) (by using the inverse Frobenius homomorphism inv_frob_poly) and obtain \(z\) (which we will further reduce in an iterative step).
```

definition ERF_step ::"'e poly \# _" where
"ERF_step f = (if degree f = O then None else (let
f_mono = normalize f;
u = gcd f_mono (pderiv f_mono);
n = degree f
in (if u = 1 then None else let
v = f_mono div u;
w = u div gcd u (v^n);
z = inv_frob_poly w
in Some (v, z)
)
))"

```
lemma ERF_step_O [simp]: "ERF_step 0 = None"
    〈proof〉
lemma ERF_step_const: "degree \(f=0 \Longrightarrow E R F_{-}\)step \(f=\) None"
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)

For the correctness proof of the local.ERF_step algorithm, we need to show that \(u, v\) and \(w\) have the correct form.

Let \(f=\prod_{i} f_{i}^{e_{i}}\) where we assume \(f\) to be monic and \(f_{i}\) are the prime factors with exponents \(e_{i}\). Let furthermore \(P_{1}=\left\{f_{i} . p \nmid e_{i}\right\}\) and \(P_{2}=\left\{f_{i} . p \mid e_{i}\right\}\). Then we have
\[
u=\prod_{f_{i} \in P_{1}} f_{i}^{e_{i}-1} \cdot \prod_{f_{i} \in P_{2}} f_{i}^{e_{i}}
\]
```

lemma u_characterization :
fixes f::"'e poly"
assumes "degree f}\not=0\mathrm{ "
and u_def: "u = gcd (normalize f) (pderiv (normalize f))"
shows "u = (let fm' = normalize f in
(\prodfj\inprime_factors fm'. let ej = multiplicity fj fm'
in
(if CHAR('e) dvd ej then fj `ej else fj`(ej-1))))"
(is ?u)

```
```

    and "u = (let fm' = normalize f; P1 = {f\inprime_factors fm'. ᄀ CHAR('e)
    dvd multiplicity f fm'};
P2 = {f\inprime_factors fm'. CHAR('e) dvd multiplicity f
fm'} in
(\prodfj\inP1. fj^(multiplicity fj fm' -1)) * (\prodfj\inP2. fj^(multiplicity
fj fm')))"
(is ?u')
<proof>

```

Continuing our calculations, we get:
\[
v=\prod_{f_{i} \in P_{1}} f_{i}
\]

Therefore, \(v\) is already square-free and \(v\) 's prime factors are exactly \(P_{1}\).
```

lemma v_characterization:
assumes "ERF_step f = Some (v,z)"
shows "v = (let fm = normalize f in fm div (gcd fm (pderiv fm)))" (is
?a)
and "v = \{x\inprime_factors (normalize f). ᄀ CHAR('e) dvd multiplicity
x (normalize f)}" (is ?b)
and "prime_factors v = {x\inprime_factors (normalize f). ᄀ CHAR('e) dvd
multiplicity x (normalize f)}"(is ?c)
and "squarefree v"(is ?d)
<proof\rangle

```

For the definition of \(w\), we only want to get the prime factors in \(P_{2}\). Therefore, we kick out all prime factors in \(P_{1}\) from \(f\) by calculating this gcd.
\[
\operatorname{gcd}\left(u, v^{\operatorname{deg} f}\right)=\prod_{f_{i} \in P_{1}} f_{i}^{e_{i}-1}
\]
```

lemma gcd_u_v:
assumes "ERF_step f=Some (v,z)"
shows "let fm = normalize f; u = gcd fm (pderiv fm);
P1 = {x\inprime_factors fm. ᄀ CHAR('e) dvd multiplicity x fm} in
gcd u (v^(degree f)) = (\prodfj\inP1. fj `(multiplicity fj fm -1))"
<proof\rangle

```

Finally, we can calculate
\[
w=\prod_{f_{i} \in P_{2}} f_{i}^{p \cdot\left(e_{i} / p\right)}
\]
and
\[
z=\sqrt[p]{w}=\prod_{f_{i} \in P_{2}} f_{i}^{e_{i} / p}
\]

Now, we can show the correctness of the local.ERF_step function. These properties comprise:
- prime factors of \(f\) are either in \(v\) or in \(z\)
- \(v\) is already square-free
- \(z\) is non-zero and the \(p\)-th power of \(z\) divides \(f\) (important for the termination of the ERF)
```

lemma ERF_step_correct:
assumes "ERF_step f=Some (v, z)"
shows "radical f = v * radical z"
"squarefree v"
"z ` CHAR('e) dvd f"
"z\not=0"
"CHAR('e) = 0 % z = 1"
<proof\rangle

```

If the algorithm stops, then the input was already square-free or zero.
```

lemma ERF_step_correct_None:
assumes "ERF_step f=None"
shows "degree f = O V radical f = normalize f"
"f=0 \Longrightarrow squarefree f"
<proof\rangle

```

The degree of \(z\) is less than the degree of \(f\). This guarantees the termination of ERF.
```

lemma degree_ERF_step_less [termination_simp]:

```
    assumes "ERF_step \(f=\operatorname{Some}(\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{z})\) "
    shows "degree \(z<d e g r e e f "\)
\(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemma is_measure_degree [measure_function]: "is_measure Polynomial.degree"
    \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)

Finally, we state the full ERF algorithm. We show correctness as well.
```

fun $E R F$ ::"'e poly $\Rightarrow$ 'e poly list" where
"ERF $f=$ (
case ERF_step $f$ of
None $\Rightarrow$ if degree $f=0$ then [] else [normalize $f$ ]
| Some (v, z) $\Rightarrow$ v \# ERF z)"
lemmas [simp del] = ERF.simps
lemma ERF_O [simp]: "ERF O= []"
$\langle p r o o f\rangle$
lemma $E R F_{-}$const [simp]:
assumes "degree $f=0$ "

```
```

    shows "ERF f = []"
    <proof>
    theorem ERF_correct:
assumes "f f= 0"
shows "prod_list (ERF f) = radical f"
"g set (ERF f) \Longrightarrow squarefree g"
<proof\rangle

```

It is also easy to see that any two polynomials in the list returned by local.ERF are coprime.
lemma ERF_pairwise_coprime: "sorted_wrt coprime (ERF p)" \(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)

We can also compute the radical of a polynomial with the ERF algorithm by simply multiplying together the individual parts we found.
```

lemma radical_code [code_unfold]: "radical f = (if f = O then O else
prod_list (ERF f))"
<proof\rangle

```

With this, the ERF algorithm can also serve as an executable test for the square-freeness of a polynomial (especially over a finite field):
```

lemma squarefree_poly_code [code_unfold]:
fixes p :: "'a :: field_gcd poly"
shows "squarefree p\longleftrightarrowp
(radical p)"
<proof\rangle

```
end
end
theory ERF_Code_Fixes
    imports Berlekamp_Zassenhaus.Finite_Field
    Perfect_Fields.Perfect_Fields
begin

\section*{3 Code Generation for ERF and Example}
lemma inverse_mod_ring_altdef:
fixes x :: "'p :: prime_card mod_ring"
defines " \(x\) ' 三 Rep_mod_ring \(x\) "
shows "Rep_mod_ring (inverse x) = fst (bezout_coefficients x' CARD('p))
mod CARD('p)"
\(\langle p r o o f\rangle\)
lemmas inverse_mod_ring_code' [code] =
inverse_mod_ring_altdef [where 'p = "'p :: \{prime_card, card_UNIV\}"]
```

lemma divide_mod_ring_code' [code]:
"x / (y :: 'p :: {prime_card, card_UNIV} mod_ring) = x * inverse y"
\langleproof\rangle
instantiation mod_ring :: ("{finite, card_UNIV}") card_UNIV
begin
definition "card_UNIV = Phantom('a mod_ring) (of_phantom (card_UNIV ::
'a card_UNIV))"
definition "finite_UNIV = Phantom('a mod_ring) True"
instance
<proof\rangle
end
lemmas of_int_mod_ring_code [code] =
of_int_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas plus_mod_ring_code [code] =
plus_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas minus_mod_ring_code [code] =
minus_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas uminus_mod_ring_code [code] =
uminus_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas times_mod_ring_code [code] =
times_mod_ring.rep_eq[where ?'a = "'a :: {finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas inverse_mod_ring_code [code] =
inverse_mod_ring_def[where ?'a = "'a :: {prime_card, finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemmas divide_mod_ring_code [code] =
divide_mod_ring_def[where ?'a = "'a :: {prime_card, finite, card_UNIV}"]
lemma card_UNIV_code:
"card (UNIV :: 'a :: card_UNIV set) = of_phantom (card_UNIV :: ('a,
nat) phantom)"
<proof\rangle
<ML\rangle
class semiring_char_code = semiring_1 +
fixes semiring_char_code :: "('a, nat) phantom"
assumes semiring_char_code_correct: "semiring_char_code = Phantom('a)
CHAR('a)"
instantiation mod_ring :: ("{finite,nontriv,card_UNIV}") semiring_char_code

```
```

begin
definition semiring_char_code_mod_ring :: "('a mod_ring, nat) phantom"
where
"semiring_char_code_mod_ring = Phantom('a mod_ring) (of_phantom (card_UNIV
:: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
<proof>
end
instantiation poly :: ("{semiring_char_code, comm_semiring_1}") semiring_char_code
begin
definition
"semiring_char_code_poly =
Phantom('a poly) (of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
<proof>
end
instantiation fps :: ("{semiring_char_code, comm_semiring_1}") semiring_char_code
begin
definition
"semiring_char_code_fps =
Phantom('a fps) (of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
<proof>
end
instantiation fls :: ("{semiring_char_code, comm_semiring_1}") semiring_char_code
begin
definition
"semiring_char_code_fls =
Phantom('a fls) (of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom))"
instance
<proof>
end
lemma semiring_char_code [code]:
"semiring_char x =
(if x = TYPE('a :: semiring_char_code) then
of_phantom (semiring_char_code :: ('a, nat) phantom) else
Code.abort STR ''semiring_char'' ( }\mp@subsup{\lambda}{_}{\prime}
\langleproof\rangle
end

```
```

theory ERF_Code_Test

```
theory ERF_Code_Test
imports
```

imports

```
```

    "HOL-Library.Code_Target_Numeral"
    ERF_Algorithm
    ERF_Code_Fixes
    begin
hide__const (open) Formal_Power_Series.radical
notation (output) Abs_mod_ring ("_")

```

\subsection*{3.1 Example for the code generation with \(G F(2)\)}
```

type__synonym gf2 = "bool mod_ring"
definition x where "x = [:0, 1:]"
definition p :: "gf2 poly"
where "p = x^16 + x^15 + x^13 + x^11 + x^9 + x^8 + x^6 + x^5 + x^4

+ x^2 + x + 1'
value "ERF p"
value "radical p"

```
end
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