A Verified Efficient Implementation of the Weighted Path Order*

René Thiemann and Elias Wenninger

University of Innsbruck

April 18, 2024

Abstract

The Weighted Path Order (WPO) of Yamada is a powerful technique for proving termination [3, 4, 5]. In a previous AFP entry [2], the WPO was defined and properties of WPO have been formally verified. However, the implementation of WPO was naive, leading to an exponential runtime in the worst case.

Therefore, in this AFP entry we provide a poly-time implementation of WPO. The implementation is based on memoization. Since WPO generalizes the recursive path order (RPO) [1], we also easily derive an efficient implementation of RPO.

Contents

*This research was supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) project I 5943.

1 Indexed Terms

We provide a method to index all subterms of a term by numbers.

theory *Indexed-Term*
  imports
    First-Order-Terms.Subterm-and-Context
begin

type-synonym *index* = *int*
type-synonym ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term* = (($'f$ × ($'f$,$'v$)*term* × *index*), ($'v$ × ($'f$,$'v$)*term* × *index*)) *term*

fun *index-term-aux* :: *index* ⇒ ($'f$, $'v$) *term* ⇒ *index* × ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term*
  and *index-term-aux-list* :: *index* ⇒ ($'f$, $'v$) *term list* ⇒ *index* × ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term list*
  where
    index-term-aux i (*Var v*) = (*i* + *1*, *Var* (*v*,*Var v*, *i*))
  | *index-term-aux i* (*Fun f ts*) = (*case index-term-aux-list i ts of* (*j*, *ss*) ⇒ (*j* + *1*, *Fun* (*f*,*Fun f ts*,*j*) *ss*))
  | *index-term-aux-list i* [] = (*i*,[])
  | *index-term-aux-list i* (*t* # *ts*) = (*case index-term-aux i t of* (*j*,*s*) ⇒ *map-prod id* (*Cons s*) (*index-term-aux-list j ts*))

definition *index-term* :: ($'f$, $'v$) *term* ⇒ ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term*
  where
    index-term t = *snd* (*index-term-aux 0 t*)

fun *unindex* :: ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term* ⇒ ($'f$, $'v$) *term*
  where
    unindex (*Var* (*v*,-)) = *Var v*
  | *unindex* (*Fun* (*f*,-) *ts*) = *Fun f* (*map unindex ts*)

fun *stored* :: ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term* ⇒ ($'f$, $'v$) *term*
  where
    stored (*Var* (*v*,(*s*,-))) = *s*
  | *stored* (*Fun* (*f*,(*s*,-)) *ts*) = *s*

fun *name-of* :: ($'a$ × $'b$) ⇒ $'a$
  where
    name-of (*a*,-) = *a*

fun *index* :: ($'f$, $'v$) *indexed-term* ⇒ *index*
  where
    index (*Var* (-,(-,*i*))) = *i*
  | *index* (*Fun* (-,(-,*i*)) -) = *i*

definition *index-term-prop f s* = (∀ *u*. *s* ⊵ *u* ⟶ *f* (*index u*) = *Some* (*unindex u*) ∧ *stored u* = *unindex u*)

lemma *index-term-aux*: **fixes** t :: $('f,'v)$*term* **and** *ts* :: $('f,'v)$*term list*
  shows *index-term-aux i t* = (*j*,*s*) $\Longrightarrow$ *unindex s* = *t* $\wedge$ $i < j$ $\wedge$ ($\exists$ *f*. *dom f* = {*i* ..< *j*} $\wedge$ *index-term-prop f s*)
    and *index-term-aux-list i ts* = (*j*,*ss*) $\Longrightarrow$ *map unindex ss* = *ts* $\wedge$ $i \leq j$ $\wedge$
    ($\exists$ *f*. *dom f* = {*i* ..< *j*} $\wedge$ *Ball* (*set ss*) (*index-term-prop f*))
proof (*induct i t* **and** *i ts arbitrary*: *j s* **and** *j ss rule*: *index-term-aux-index-term-aux-list.induct*)
  case (*1 i v*)
  then show *?case* **by** (*auto intro*!: *exI*[*of* - (λ -. *None*)(*i* := *Some* (*Var v*))] *split*: *if-splits simp*: *index-term-prop-def supteq-var-imp-eq*)
next
  case (*2 i g ts j s*)
  obtain *k ss* **where** *rec*: *index-term-aux-list i ts* = (*k*,*ss*) **by** *force*
  from *2*(*2*)[*unfolded index-term-aux.simps rec split*]
  have *j*: *j* = *k* + *1* **and** *s*: *s* = *Fun* (*g*, *Fun g ts*, *k*) *ss* **by** *auto*
  from *2*(*1*)[*OF rec*] **obtain** *f* **where** *fss*: *map unindex ss* = *ts* **and**
    ik: $i \leq k$ **and** *f*: *dom f* = {*i*..<*k*} $\bigwedge$ *s*. *s* $\in$ *set ss* $\Longrightarrow$ *index-term-prop f s*
    by *auto*
  have *set*: {*i*..< *k* + *1*} = *insert k* {*i*..<*k*} **using** *ik* **by** *auto*
  define *h* **where** *h* = *f*(*k* := *Some* (*Fun g ts*))
  show *?case* **unfolding** *s unindex.simps fss j set index-term-prop-def*
  proof (*intro conjI exI*[*of* - *h*] *refl allI*)
    show $i < k + 1$ **using** *ik* **by** *simp*
    show *dom h* = *insert k* {*i*..<*k*} **using** *ik f*(*1*) **unfolding** *h-def* **by** *auto*
    fix *u*
    show *Fun* (*g*, *Fun g ts*, *k*) *ss* $\trianglerighteq$ *u* $\longrightarrow$ *h* (*index u*) = *Some* (*unindex u*) $\wedge$ *stored u* = *unindex u*
    proof (*cases u* = *Fun* (*g*, *Fun g ts*, *k*) *ss*)
      case *True*
      thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp*: *fss h-def index-term-prop-def*)
    next
      case *False*
      show *?thesis*
      proof (*intro impI*)
        assume *Fun* (*g*, *Fun g ts*, *k*) *ss* $\trianglerighteq$ *u*
        with *False* **obtain** *si* **where** *si* $\in$ *set ss* **and** *si* $\trianglerighteq$ *u*
          by (*metis Fun-supt suptI*)
        from *f*(*2*)[*unfolded index-term-prop-def*, *rule-format*, *OF this*] *f*(*1*) *ik*
        show *h* (*index u*) = *Some* (*unindex u*) $\wedge$ *stored u* = *unindex u* **unfolding** *h-def* **by** *auto*
      qed
    qed
  qed
next
  case (*4 i t ts j sss*)
  obtain *k s* **where** *rec1*: *index-term-aux i t* = (*k*,*s*) **by** *force*
  with *4*(*3*) **obtain** *ss* **where** *rec2*: *index-term-aux-list k ts* = (*j*,*ss*) **and** *sss*: *sss* = *s* # *ss*
    by (*cases index-term-aux-list k ts*, *auto*)

from *4(1)[OF rec1]* **obtain** *f* **where** *fs*: *unindex s = t* **and** *ik*: *i < k* **and** *f*: *dom f = {i..<k}*
index-term-prop f s **by** *auto*
from *4(2)[unfolded rec1, OF refl rec2]* **obtain** *g* **where** *fss*: *map unindex ss = ts* **and** *kj*: *k ≤ j*
and *g*: *dom g = {k..<j}* $\bigwedge$ *si. si ∈ set ss ⟹ index-term-prop g si*
by *auto*
define *h* **where** *h = (λ n. if n ∈ {i..<k} then f n else g n)*
show *?case* **unfolding** *sss list.simps fs fss*
proof *(intro conjI exI[of - h] refl allI ballI)*
have *dom h = {i ..< k} ∪ {k ..< j}* **unfolding** *h-def* **using** *f(1) g(1)* **by** *force*
also have *... = {i ..< j}* **using** *ik kj* **by** *auto*
finally show *dom h = {i..<j}* **by** *auto*
show *i ≤ j* **using** *ik kj* **by** *auto*
fix *si*
assume *si*: *si ∈ insert s (set ss)*
show *index-term-prop h si*
proof *(cases si = s)*
case *True*
from *f* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *True h-def index-term-prop-def* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
with *si* **have** *si*: *si ∈ set ss* **by** *auto*
have *disj*: *{i..<k} ∩ {k..<j} = {}* **by** *auto*
from *g(1) g(2)[OF si]*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *index-term-prop-def h-def* **using** *disj*
by *(metis disjoint-iff domI)*
qed
qed
qed *auto*

lemma *index-term-index-unindex*: *∃ f. ∀ t. index-term s ⊵ t ⟶ f (index t) = unindex t ∧ stored t = unindex t*
proof *–*
obtain *t i* **where** *aux*: *index-term-aux 0 s = (i,t)* **by** *force*
from *index-term-aux(1)[OF this]* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *index-term-def aux index-term-prop-def* **by** *force*
qed

lemma *unindex-index-term[simp]*: *unindex (index-term s) = s*
proof *–*
obtain *t i* **where** *aux*: *index-term-aux 0 s = (i,t)* **by** *force*
from *index-term-aux(1)[OF this]* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *index-term-def aux* **by** *force*
qed

end

2 Memoized Functions on Lists

We define memoized version of lexicographic comparison of lists, multiset comparison of lists, filter on lists, etc.

theory *List-Memo-Functions*
imports
Indexed-Term
Knuth-Bendix-Order.Lexicographic-Extension
Weighted-Path-Order.Multiset-Extension2-Impl
HOL−Library.Mapping
begin

definition *valid-memory* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('i \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow ('i, 'b)$ *mapping* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where
valid-memory f ind mem $= (\forall\ i\ b.$ *Mapping.lookup mem* $i =$ *Some* $b \longrightarrow f$ (*ind* $i) = b)$

definition *memoize-fun* **where** *memoize-fun impl f g ind A* =
$((\forall\ x\ m\ p\ m'.$ *valid-memory f ind m* $\longrightarrow$ *impl m x* $= (p,m') \longrightarrow x \in A \longrightarrow$
$p = f\ (g\ x) \wedge$ *valid-memory f ind m'*))

lemma *memoize-funD*: **assumes** *memoize-fun impl f g ind A*
shows *valid-memory f ind m* $\Longrightarrow$ *impl m x* $= (p,m') \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow p = f\ (g\ x) \wedge$ *valid-memory f ind m'*
using *assms* **unfolding** *memoize-fun-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *memoize-funI*: **assumes** $\bigwedge$ *m x p m'*. *valid-memory f ind m* $\Longrightarrow$ *impl m x* $= (p,m') \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow p = f\ (g\ x) \wedge$ *valid-memory f ind m'*
shows *memoize-fun impl f g ind A*
using *assms* **unfolding** *memoize-fun-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *memoize-fun-pairI*: **assumes** $\bigwedge$ *m x y p m'*. *valid-memory f ind m* $\Longrightarrow$ *impl m* $(x,y) = (p,m') \Longrightarrow x \in A \Longrightarrow y \in B \Longrightarrow p = f\ (g\ x,\ h\ y) \wedge$ *valid-memory f ind m'*
shows *memoize-fun impl f* (*map-prod g h*) *ind* $(A \times B)$
using *assms* **unfolding** *memoize-fun-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *memoize-fun-mono*: **assumes** *memoize-fun impl f g ind B*
and $A \subseteq B$
shows *memoize-fun impl f g ind A*
using *assms* **unfolding** *memoize-fun-def* **by** *blast*

fun *filter-mem* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('m \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \times 'm) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'a$ *list* $\Rightarrow ('a$ *list* $\times\ 'm)$
where
filter-mem pre f post mem [] = ([], *mem*)

| *filter-mem pre f post mem* $(x \# xs) = (case\ f\ mem\ (pre\ x)\ of$
$(c,mem') \Rightarrow case\ filter\text{-}mem\ pre\ f\ post\ mem'\ xs\ of$
$(ys,\ mem'') \Rightarrow (if\ post\ c\ then\ (x \# ys,\ mem'')\ else\ (ys,\ mem'')))$

fun *forall-mem* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('m \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow 'c \times 'm) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow bool \times 'm$
where
forall-mem pre f post mem [] = (*True*, *mem*)
| *forall-mem pre f post mem* $(x \# xs) = (case\ f\ mem\ (pre\ x)\ of\ (c,\ mem')$
$\Rightarrow if\ post\ c\ then\ forall\text{-}mem\ pre\ f\ post\ mem'\ xs\ else\ (False,\ mem'))$

fun *exists-mem* :: $('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow ('m \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow ('c \times 'm)) \Rightarrow ('c \Rightarrow bool) \Rightarrow 'm \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow (bool \times 'm)$
where
exists-mem pre f post mem [] = (*False*, *mem*)
| *exists-mem pre f post mem* $(x \# xs) = (case\ f\ mem\ (pre\ x)\ of\ (c,\ mem')$
$\Rightarrow if\ post\ c\ then\ (True,\ mem')\ else\ exists\text{-}mem\ pre\ f\ post\ mem'\ xs)$

type-synonym *term-rel-mem* = $(index \times index,\ bool \times bool)\ mapping$
type-synonym $'a$ *term-rel-mem-type* = *term-rel-mem* $\Rightarrow 'a \times 'a \Rightarrow (bool \times bool) \times$ *term-rel-mem*

fun *lex-ext-unbounded-mem* :: $'a$ *term-rel-mem-type* $\Rightarrow$ *term-rel-mem* $\Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow (bool \times bool) \times$ *term-rel-mem*
where *lex-ext-unbounded-mem f mem* [] [] = ((*False*, *True*), *mem*) |
lex-ext-unbounded-mem f mem (- # -) [] = ((*True*, *True*), *mem*) |
lex-ext-unbounded-mem f mem [] (- # -) = ((*False*, *False*), *mem*) |
lex-ext-unbounded-mem f mem $(a \# as)\ (b \# bs)$ =
(*let* (*sns-res*, *mem-new*) = *f mem* (*a*,*b*) *in*
(*case sns-res of*
(*True*, -) ⇒ ((*True*, *True*), *mem-new*)
| (*False*, *True*) ⇒ *lex-ext-unbounded-mem f mem-new as bs*
| (*False*, *False*) ⇒ ((*False*, *False*), *mem-new*)
)
)

lemma *filter-mem-len*: *filter-mem pre f post mem xs* = $(ys,mem') \Longrightarrow length\ ys \le length\ xs$
by (*induction xs arbitrary*: *mem ys mem'*; *force split*: *prod.splits if-splits*)

lemma *filter-mem-len2*: (ys,mem') = *filter-mem mem pre f post xs* $\Longrightarrow length\ ys \le length\ xs$
using *filter-mem-len*[*of mem pre f post xs ys mem'*] **by** *auto*

lemma *filter-mem-set*: *filter-mem pre f post mem xs* = $(ys,mem') \Longrightarrow set\ ys \subseteq set\ xs$
by (*induction xs arbitrary*: *mem ys mem'*, *auto split*: *prod.splits if-splits*) *blast*

function *mul-ext-mem* :: $'a$ *term-rel-mem-type* $\Rightarrow$ *term-rel-mem* $\Rightarrow 'a\ list \Rightarrow 'a$

list ⇒ (*bool* × *bool*) × *term-rel-mem*
and *mul-ext-dom-mem* :: *'a term-rel-mem-type* ⇒ *term-rel-mem* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ *'a* ⇒ *'a list* ⇒ (*bool* × *bool*) × *term-rel-mem*
where
mul-ext-mem f mem [] [] = ((*False*, *True*), *mem*)
| *mul-ext-mem f mem* [] (*v* # *va*) = ((*False*, *False*), *mem*)
| *mul-ext-mem f mem* (*v* # *va*) [] = ((*True*, *True*), *mem*)
| *mul-ext-mem f mem* (*v* # *va*) (*y* # *ys*) = *mul-ext-dom-mem f mem* (*v* # *va*) [] *y ys*
| *mul-ext-dom-mem f mem* [] *xs y ys* = ((*False*, *False*), *mem*)
| *mul-ext-dom-mem f mem* (*x* # *xsa*) *xs y ys* =
(*case f mem* (*x*,*y*) *of* (*sns-res*, *mem-new-1*) ⇒
(*case sns-res of*
(*True*, -) ⇒ (*case*
(*filter-mem* (*Pair x*) *f* (λ *p*. ¬ *fst p*) *mem-new-1 ys*)
of (*ys-new*, *mem-new-2*) ⇒ *case*
mul-ext-mem f mem-new-2 (*xsa* @ *xs*) *ys-new of* (*tmp-res*, *mem-new-3*) ⇒
if snd tmp-res
then ((*True*, *True*), *mem-new-3*)
else mul-ext-dom-mem f mem-new-3 xsa (*x* # *xs*) *y ys*)
| (*False*, *True*) ⇒ (*case mul-ext-mem f mem-new-1* (*xsa* @ *xs*) *ys of*
(*sns-res-a*, *mem-new-2*) ⇒ *case mul-ext-dom-mem f mem-new-2 xsa* (*x* # *xs*) *y ys of*
(*sns-res-b*, *mem-new-3*) ⇒
(*or2 sns-res-a sns-res-b*, *mem-new-3*))
| (*False*, *False*) ⇒ *mul-ext-dom-mem f mem-new-1 xsa* (*x* # *xs*) *y ys*))
by *pat-completeness auto*

termination by (*relation measures* [
(λ *input*. *case input of Inl* (-, -, *xs*, *ys*) ⇒ *length ys* | *Inr* (-, -, *xs*, *xs'*, *y*, *ys*) ⇒ *length ys*),
(λ *input*. *case input of Inl* (-, -, *xs*, *ys*) ⇒ *0* | *Inr* (-, -, *xs*, *xs'*, *y*, *ys*) ⇒ *Suc* (*length xs*))
])
(*auto dest*: *filter-mem-len2*)

2.1 Congruence Rules

lemma *filter-mem-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *m x*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *f m* (*pre x*) = *g m* (*pre x*)
shows *filter-mem pre f post mem xs* = *filter-mem pre g post mem xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary*: *mem*, *auto split*: *prod.splits*)

lemma *forall-mem-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *m x*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *f m* (*pre x*) = *g m* (*pre x*)
shows *forall-mem pre f post mem xs* = *forall-mem pre g post mem xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary*: *mem*, *auto split*: *prod.splits*)

lemma *exists-mem-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
assumes ⋀ *m x*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *f m* (*pre x*) = *g m* (*pre x*)
shows *exists-mem pre f post mem xs* = *exists-mem pre g post mem xs*
using *assms* **by** (*induct xs arbitrary*: *mem*, *auto split*: *prod.splits*)

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-mem-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
assumes ⋀*x y m*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *y* ∈ *set ys* ⟹ *f m* (*x*,*y*) = *g m* (*x*,*y*)
shows *lex-ext-unbounded-mem f m xs ys* = *lex-ext-unbounded-mem g m xs ys*
using *assms*
by (*induct f m xs ys rule*: *lex-ext-unbounded-mem.induct*,
auto split: *prod.splits bool.splits*)

lemma *mul-ext-mem-cong*[*fundef-cong*]:
assumes ⋀*x y m*. *x* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *y* ∈ *set ys* ⟹ *f m* (*x*,*y*) = *g m* (*x*,*y*)
shows *mul-ext-mem f m xs ys* = *mul-ext-mem g m xs ys*
proof –
have (⋀*x′ y′ m*. *x′* ∈ *set xs* ⟹ *y′* ∈ *set ys* ⟹ *f m* (*x′*,*y′*) = *g m* (*x′*, *y′*)) ⟹
mul-ext-mem f m xs ys = *mul-ext-mem g m xs ys*
(⋀*x′ y′ m*. *x′* ∈ *set* (*xs* @ *xs′*) ⟹ *y′* ∈ *set* (*y* # *ys*) ⟹ *f m* (*x′*, *y′*) = *g m* (*x′*, *y′*)) ⟹
mul-ext-dom-mem f m xs xs′ y ys = *mul-ext-dom-mem g m xs xs′ y ys* **for** *xs′ y*
proof (*induct g m xs ys* **and** *g m xs xs′ y ys rule*: *mul-ext-mem-mul-ext-dom-mem.induct*)
case (*6 g m x xs xs′ y ys*)
note *IHs* = *6*(*1*−*5*)
note *fg* = *6*(*6*)
note [*simp del*] = *mul-ext-mem.simps mul-ext-dom-mem.simps*
note [*simp*] = *mul-ext-dom-mem.simps*(*2*)[*of - m x xs xs′ y ys*]
from *fg* **have** *fgx*[*simp*]: *f m* (*x*, *y*) = *g m* (*x*, *y*) **by** *simp*
obtain *a1 b1 m1* **where** *r1*[*simp*]: *g m* (*x*, *y*) = ((*a1*,*b1*),*m1*) **by** (*cases g m* (*x*,*y*), *auto*)
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*1*−*5*)[*OF r1*[*symmetric*] *refl*]
show *?case*
proof (*cases a1*)
case *True*
hence *a1* = *True* **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*1*−*2*)[*OF this*]
let *?rec* = *filter-mem* (*Pair x*) *g* (λ *p*. ¬ *fst p*) *m1 ys*
let *?recf* = *filter-mem* (*Pair x*) *f* (λ *p*. ¬ *fst p*) *m1 ys*
have [*simp*]: *?recf* = *?rec*
by (*rule filter-mem-cong*, *insert fg*, *auto*)
obtain *zs m2* **where** *rec*: *?rec* = (*zs*,*m2*) **by** *fastforce*
from *filter-mem-set*[*OF rec*] **have** *sub*: *set zs* ⊆ *set ys* **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*1*−*2*)[*OF rec*[*symmetric*]]
have *IH1*[*simp*]: *mul-ext-mem f m2* (*xs* @ *xs′*) *zs* = *mul-ext-mem g m2* (*xs* @ *xs′*) *zs*
by (*rule IHs*(*1*), *rule fg*) (*insert sub*, *auto*)
obtain *p3 m3* **where** *rec2*[*simp*]: *mul-ext-mem g m2* (*xs* @ *xs′*) *zs* = (*p3*,*m3*)

by *fastforce*
note *IHs*(*2*)[*OF rec2*[*symmetric*] - *fg*]
thus *?thesis* **using** *True* **by** (*simp add*: *rec*)
next
case *False*
hence *a1* = *False* **by** *simp*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*3*−)[*OF this*]
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases b1*)
case *True*
hence *b1* = *True* **by** *simp*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*1*−*2*)[*OF this*]
have [*simp*]: *mul-ext-mem f m1* (*xs* @ *xs*′) *ys* = *mul-ext-mem g m1* (*xs* @ *xs*′) *ys*
by (*rule IHs*(*1*)[*OF fg*], *auto*)
obtain *p2 m2* **where** *rec1*[*simp*]: *mul-ext-mem g m1* (*xs* @ *xs*′) *ys* = (*p2*,*m2*) **by** *fastforce*
have [*simp*]: *mul-ext-dom-mem f m2 xs* (*x* # *xs*′) *y ys* = *mul-ext-dom-mem g m2 xs* (*x* # *xs*′) *y ys*
by (*rule IHs*(*2*)[*OF rec1*[*symmetric*] *fg*], *auto*)
show *?thesis* **using** *False True* **by** *simp*
next
case *b1*: *False*
hence *b1* = *False* **by** *simp*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*3*)[*OF this fg*]
have [*simp*]: *mul-ext-dom-mem f m1 xs* (*x* # *xs*′) *y ys* = *mul-ext-dom-mem g m1 xs* (*x* # *xs*′) *y ys*
by (*rule IHs*, *auto*)
show *?thesis* **using** *False b1* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed *auto*
with *assms* **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

2.2 Connection to Original Functions

lemma *filter-mem*: **assumes** *valid-memory fun ind mem1*
filter-mem f fun-mem h mem1 xs = (*ys*, *mem2*)
memoize-fun fun-mem fun g ind (*f* ‘ *set xs*)
shows *ys* = *filter* (λ*y*. *h* (*fun* (*g* (*f y*)))) *xs* ∧ *valid-memory fun ind mem2*
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *mem1 ys mem2*)
case (*Cons x xs mem1 ys mem*′)
note *res* = *Cons*(*3*)
note *mem1* = *Cons*(*2*)
note *fun-mems* = *Cons*(*4*)
obtain *p mem2* **where** *fm*: *fun-mem mem1* (*f x*) = (*p*, *mem2*) **by** *force*
from *memoize-funD*[*OF fun-mems mem1 fm*]

have *p*: *p* = *fun* (*g* (*f x*)) **and** *mem2*: *valid-memory fun ind mem2* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded filter-mem.simps fm split*]
obtain *zs mem3* **where** *rec*: *filter-mem f fun-mem h mem2 xs* = (*zs*, *mem3*) **by** *force*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded rec split*]
from *Cons*(*1*)[*OF mem2 rec memoize-fun-mono*[*OF fun-mems*]]
have *mem3*: *valid-memory fun ind mem3* **and** *zs*: *zs* = *filter* (λ*y*. *h* (*fun* (*g* (*f y*)))) *xs* **by** *auto*
from *mem3 res*
show *?case* **unfolding** *zs p* **by** *auto*
qed *auto*

lemma *forall-mem*: **assumes** *valid-memory fun ind m*
and *forall-mem f fun-mem h m xs* = (*b*, *m′*)
and *memoize-fun fun-mem fun g ind* (*f* ‘ *set xs*)
shows *b* = *Ball* (*set xs*) (λ*s*. *h* (*fun* (*g* (*f s*)))) ∧ *valid-memory fun ind m′*
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *m b m′*)
case (*Cons x xs m b m′*)
obtain *b1 m1* **where** *x*: *fun-mem m* (*f x*) = (*b1*,*m1*) **by** *force*
note *res* = *Cons*(*3*)[*unfolded forall-mem.simps x map-prod-simp split*]
note *mem* = *Cons*(*2*)
from *memoize-funD*[*OF Cons*(*4*) *mem x*]
have *b1*: *b1* = *fun* (*g* (*f x*)) **and** *m1*: *valid-memory fun ind m1* **by** *auto*
obtain *b2 m2* **where** *rec*: *forall-mem f fun-mem h m1 xs* = (*b2*,*m2*) **by** *fastforce*
from *Cons*(*1*)[*OF m1 rec memoize-fun-mono*[*OF Cons*(*4*)]]
have *IH*: *b2* = *Ball* (*set xs*) (λ*s*. *h* (*fun* (*g* (*f s*)))) **and** *m2*: *valid-memory fun ind m2* **by** *auto*
show *?case* **using** *res rec IH m2 b1 m1* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
qed *auto*

lemma *exists-mem*: **assumes** *valid-memory fun ind m*
and *exists-mem f fun-mem h m xs* = (*b*, *m′*)
and *memoize-fun fun-mem fun g ind* (*f* ‘ *set xs*)
shows *b* = *Bex* (*set xs*) (λ*s*. *h* (*fun* (*g* (*f s*)))) ∧ *valid-memory fun ind m′*
using *assms*
proof (*induct xs arbitrary*: *m b m′*)
case (*Cons x xs m b m′*)
obtain *b1 m1* **where** *x*: *fun-mem m* (*f x*) = (*b1*,*m1*) **by** *force*
note *res* = *Cons*(*3*)[*unfolded exists-mem.simps x map-prod-simp split*]
note *mem* = *Cons*(*2*)
from *memoize-funD*[*OF Cons*(*4*) *mem x*]
have *b1*: *b1* = *fun* (*g* (*f x*)) **and** *m1*: *valid-memory fun ind m1* **by** *auto*
obtain *b2 m2* **where** *rec*: *exists-mem f fun-mem h m1 xs* = (*b2*,*m2*) **by** *fastforce*
from *Cons*(*1*)[*OF m1 rec memoize-fun-mono*[*OF Cons*(*4*)]]
have *IH*: *b2* = *Bex* (*set xs*) (λ*s*. *h* (*fun* (*g* (*f s*)))) **and** *m2*: *valid-memory fun ind m2* **by** *auto*
show *?case* **using** *res rec IH m2 b1 m1* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
qed *auto*

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-mem*: **assumes** *rel-pair* = (λ(*s*, *t*). *rel s t*)
shows *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem* ⟹ *lex-ext-unbounded-mem rel-mem mem xs ys* = (*p*, *mem′*)
⟹ *memoize-fun rel-mem rel-pair* (*map-prod g h*) *ind* (*set xs* × *set ys*)
⟹ *p* = *lex-ext-unbounded rel* (*map g xs*) (*map h ys*) ∧ *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem′*
proof (*induct rel-mem mem xs ys arbitrary*: *p mem′ rule*: *lex-ext-unbounded-mem.induct*)
case (*4 rel-mem mem x xs y ys*)
note *lex-ext-unbounded.simps*[*simp*]
note *IH* = *4*(*1*)[*OF refl - refl*]
obtain *s ns mem1* **where** *impl*: *rel-mem mem* (*x*, *y*) = ((*s*,*ns*), *mem1*) **by** (*cases rel-mem mem* (*x*, *y*), *auto*)
have *rel*: *rel* (*g x*) (*h y*) = (*s*,*ns*) **and** *mem1*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem1*
using *memoize-funD*[*OF 4*(*4*,*2*) *impl*] *assms impl* **unfolding** *assms o-def* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *4*(*3*)[*unfolded lex-ext-unbounded-mem.simps Let-def impl split*]
have *rel-pair*: *lex-ext-unbounded rel* (*map g* (*x* # *xs*)) (*map h* (*y* # *ys*)) = (
if s then (*True*, *True*) *else if ns then lex-ext-unbounded rel* (*map g xs*) (*map h ys*) *else* (*False*, *False*))
unfolding *lex-ext-unbounded.simps list.simps Let-def split rel* **by** *simp*
show *?case*
proof (*cases s* ∨ ¬ *ns*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **using** *res rel-pair mem1* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
obtain *p2 mem2* **where** *rec*: *lex-ext-unbounded-mem rel-mem mem1 xs ys* = (*p2*, *mem2*) **by** *fastforce*
from *False* **have** *s* = *False ns* = *True* **by** *auto*
from *IH*[*unfolded impl*, *OF refl this mem1 rec memoize-fun-mono*[*OF 4*(*4*)]]
have *mem2*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem2* **and** *p2*: *p2* = *lex-ext-unbounded rel* (*map g xs*) (*map h ys*) **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *rel-pair* **using** *res rec False mem2 p2* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
qed
qed (*auto simp*: *lex-ext-unbounded.simps*)

lemma *mul-ext-mem*: **assumes** *rel-pair* = (λ(*s*, *t*). *rel s t*)
shows *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem* ⟹ *mul-ext-mem rel-mem mem xs ys* = (*p*, *mem′*)
⟹ *memoize-fun rel-mem rel-pair* (*map-prod g h*) *ind* (*set xs* × *set ys*)
⟹ *p* = *mul-ext-impl rel* (*map g xs*) (*map h ys*) ∧ *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem′* (**is** *?A* ⟹ *?B* ⟹ *?C* ⟹ *?D*)
proof –
have *?A* ⟹ *?B* ⟹ *?C* ⟹ *?D*
valid-memory rel-pair ind mem ⟹ *mul-ext-dom-mem rel-mem mem xs xs′ y ys* = (*p*, *mem′*)
⟹ *memoize-fun rel-mem rel-pair* (*map-prod g h*) *ind* (*set* (*xs* @ *xs′*) × *set* (*y* #

ys))
$\Longrightarrow$ *p* = *mul-ex-dom rel* (*map g xs*) (*map g xs'*) (*h y*) (*map h ys*) $\wedge$ *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem'*
for *xs' y*
proof (*induct rel-mem mem xs ys* **and** *rel-mem mem xs xs' y ys arbitrary*: *p mem'* **and** *p mem' rule*: *mul-ext-mem-mul-ext-dom-mem.induct*)
case (*6 sns mem x xs ys d zs pair mem'*)
note *IHs* = *6*(*1*−*5*)
note *mem* = *6*(*6*)
note *res* = *6*(*7*)
note *memo* = *6*(*8*)
let *?Sns* = λ *x*. *rel-pair* (*map-prod g h x*)
let *?xd* = *rel-pair* (*g x*, *h d*)
obtain *p1 mem1* **where** *sns*: *sns mem* (*x*,*d*) = (*p1*, *mem1*) **by** *fastforce*
note *IHs* = *IHs*[*OF sns*[*symmetric*]]
from *memoize-funD*[*OF memo mem sns*]
have *p1*: *p1* = *?xd* **and** *mem1*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem1* **by** *auto*
note *sns* = *sns*[*unfolded p1*]
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded mul-ext-dom-mem.simps sns split*]
have *rel*: *rel* (*g x*) (*h d*) = *?xd* **unfolding** *assms* **by** *auto*
define *wp* **where** *wp* = *mul-ex-dom rel* (*map g* (*x* # *xs*)) (*map g ys*) (*h d*) (*map h zs*)
note *wp* = *wp-def*[*unfolded list.simps*, *unfolded mul-ex-dom.simps rel*]
consider (*1*) *b* **where** *?xd* = (*True*,*b*) | (*2*) *?xd* = (*False*,*True*) | (*3*) *?xd* = (*False*,*False*)
by (*cases ?xd*, *auto*)
hence *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem'* $\wedge$ *pair* = *wp*
proof *cases*
case (*1 b*)
let *?pre* = *Pair x*
let *?post* = (λ *p*. $\neg$ *fst p*)
from *1 p1* **have** (*True*, *b*) = *p1* **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*1*−*2*)[*OF this*, *OF refl*]
obtain *p2 mem2* **where** *filter*: *filter-mem ?pre sns ?post mem1 zs* = (*p2*, *mem2*) **by** *force*
obtain *p3 mem3* **where** *rec1*: *mul-ext-mem sns mem2* (*xs* @ *ys*) *p2* = (*p3*,*mem3*) **by** *fastforce*
obtain *p4 mem4* **where** *rec2*: *mul-ext-dom-mem sns mem3 xs* (*x* # *ys*) *d zs* = (*p4*, *mem4*) **by** *fastforce*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded 1 split*[*of - - mem1*], *unfolded Let-def split*, *simplified*, *unfolded filter rec1 split rec2*]
note *wp* = *wp*[*unfolded 1 split bool.simps*]
{
fix *z*
assume *z* $\in$ *set zs*
hence (*x*,*z*) $\in$ *set* ((*x* # *xs*) @ *ys*) $\times$ *set* (*d* # *zs*) **by** *auto*
from *memoize-funD*[*OF memo - - this*]
have *valid-memory rel-pair ind m* $\Longrightarrow$ *sns m* (*x*, *z*) = (*p*, *m'*) $\Longrightarrow$ *p* = *rel-pair* (*map-prod g h* (*x*, *z*)) $\wedge$ *valid-memory rel-pair ind m'*

for *m p m′* **by** *auto*
}
hence *memoize-fun sns rel-pair* (*map-prod g h*) *ind* (*Pair x ‘ set zs*)
by (*intro memoize-funI*, *blast*)
from *filter-mem*[*OF mem1 filter*, *of map-prod g h*,
OF this]
have *mem2*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem2* **and** *p2*: *p2* = *filter* (*λy*. ¬ *fst* (*rel-pair* (*g x*, *h y*))) *zs*
by *auto*
have *filter* (*λy*. ¬ *fst* (*rel* (*g x*) *y*)) (*map h zs*) = *map h p2* **unfolding** *p2* *assms split*
by (*induct zs*, *auto*)
note *wp* = *wp*[*unfolded this*]
note *IHs* = *IHs*[*OF filter*[*symmetric*]]
from *IHs*(*1*)[*OF mem2 rec1 memoize-fun-mono*[*OF memo*]] *p2*
have *mem3*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem3*
and *p3*: *p3* = *mul-ext-impl rel* (*map g xs* @ *map g ys*) (*map h p2*)
by *auto*
note *wp* = *wp*[*folded p3*]
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases snd p3*)
case *True*
thus *?thesis* **using** *res wp mem3* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
with *IHs*(*2*)[*OF rec1*[*symmetric*] *False mem3 rec2 memoize-fun-mono*[*OF memo*]] *wp res*
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
next
case *2*
note *wp* = *wp*[*unfolded 2 split bool.simps*]
obtain *p2 mem2* **where** *rec2*: *mul-ext-mem sns mem1* (*xs* @ *ys*) *zs* = (*p2*, *mem2*) **by** *fastforce*
obtain *p3 mem3* **where** *rec3*: *mul-ext-dom-mem sns mem2 xs* (*x* # *ys*) *d zs* = (*p3*, *mem3*) **by** *fastforce*
from *2 p1* **have** (*False*, *True*) = *p1* **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*3*−*4*)[*OF this refl refl*, *unfolded rec2*]
from *IHs*(*1*)[*OF mem1 refl memoize-fun-mono*[*OF memo*]]
have *mem2*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem2* **and** *p2*: *p2* = *mul-ext-impl rel* (*map g* (*xs* @ *ys*)) (*map h zs*)
by *auto*
from *IHs*(*2*)[*OF refl mem2 rec3 memoize-fun-mono*[*OF memo*]]
have *mem3*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem3* **and** *p3*: *p3* = *mul-ex-dom rel* (*map g xs*) (*map g* (*x* # *ys*)) (*h d*) (*map h zs*) **by** *auto*
from *wp res*[*unfolded Let-def split 2 bool.simps rec2 rec3*]
show *?thesis* **using** *mem3 p2 p3* **by** *auto*
next
case *3*

obtain *p2 mem2* **where** *rec2*: *mul-ext-dom-mem sns mem1 xs* (*x* # *ys*) *d zs* = (*p2*,*mem2*) **by** *fastforce*
from *3 p1* **have** (*False*, *False*) = *p1* **by** *auto*
from *IHs*(*5*)[*OF this refl refl mem1 rec2 memoize-fun-mono*[*OF memo*]]
have *mem2*: *valid-memory rel-pair ind mem2* **and** *p2*: *p2* = *mul-ex-dom rel* (*map g xs*) (*map g* (*x* # *ys*)) (*h d*) (*map h zs*)
by *auto*
have *wp* = *p2* **unfolding** *wp 3* **using** *p2* **by** *simp*
with *mem2* **show** *?thesis* **using** *p2 res 3 rec2* **by** *auto*
qed
thus *?case* **unfolding** *wp-def* **by** *blast*
qed *auto*
thus *?A* $\Longrightarrow$ *?B* $\Longrightarrow$ *?C* $\Longrightarrow$ *?D* **by** *blast*
qed

end

3 An Approximation of WPO

We define an approximation of WPO.

It replaces the bounded lexicographic comparison by an unbounded one. Hence, no runtime check on lenghts are required anymore, but instead the arities of the inputs have to be bounded via an assumption.

Moreover, instead of checking that terms are strictly or non-strictly decreasing w.r.t. the algebra (i.e., the input reduction pair), we just demand that there are sufficient criteria to ensure a strict- or non-strict decrease.

theory *WPO-Approx*
imports
Weighted-Path-Order.WPO
begin

definition *compare-bools* :: *bool* $\times$ *bool* $\Rightarrow$ *bool* $\times$ *bool* $\Rightarrow$ *bool*
where
compare-bools p1 p2 $\longleftrightarrow$ (*fst p1* $\longrightarrow$ *fst p2*) $\wedge$ (*snd p1* $\longrightarrow$ *snd p2*)

notation *compare-bools* ((-/ $\leq_{cb}$ -) [*51*, *51*] *50*)

lemma *lex-ext-unbounded-cb*:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *i*. *i* < *length xs* $\Longrightarrow$ *i* < *length ys* $\Longrightarrow$ *f* (*xs* ! *i*) (*ys* ! *i*) $\leq_{cb}$ *g* (*xs* ! *i*) (*ys* ! *i*)
shows *lex-ext-unbounded f xs ys* $\leq_{cb}$ *lex-ext-unbounded g xs ys*
unfolding *compare-bools-def*
by (*rule lex-ext-unbounded-mono*,
insert assms[*unfolded compare-bools-def*], *auto*)

lemma *mul-ext-cb*:
assumes $\bigwedge$ *x y*. *x* $\in$ *set xs* $\Longrightarrow$ *y* $\in$ *set ys* $\Longrightarrow$ *f x y* $\leq_{cb}$ *g x y*

shows *mul-ext f xs ys* $\leq_{cb}$ *mul-ext g xs ys*
unfolding *compare-bools-def*
by (*intro conjI impI*; *rule mul-ext-mono*) (*insert assms*, *auto simp*: *compare-bools-def*)

context
fixes $pr :: ('f \times nat \Rightarrow 'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool \times bool)$
and $prl :: 'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool$
and $ssimple :: bool$
and $large :: 'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool$
and $cS\ cNS :: ('f,'v)term \Rightarrow ('f,'v)term \Rightarrow bool$ — sufficient criteria
and $\sigma :: 'f\ status$
and $c :: 'f \times nat \Rightarrow order\text{-}tag$
begin

fun *wpo-ub* $:: ('f, 'v)\ term \Rightarrow ('f, 'v)\ term \Rightarrow bool \times bool$
where
wpo-ub s t = (*if cS s t then* (*True*, *True*) *else if cNS s t then* (*case s of*
Var x ⇒ (*False*,
(*case t of*
Var y ⇒ *x* = *y*
| *Fun g ts* ⇒ *status* σ (*g*, *length ts*) = [] ∧ *prl* (*g*, *length ts*)))
| *Fun f ss* ⇒
let ff = (*f*, *length ss*); *sf* = *status* σ *ff in*
if (∃ *i* ∈ *set sf*. *snd* (*wpo-ub* (*ss* ! *i*) *t*)) *then* (*True*, *True*)
else
(*case t of*
Var - ⇒ (*False*, *ssimple* ∧ *large ff*)
| *Fun g ts* ⇒
let gg = (*g*, *length ts*); *sg* = *status* σ *gg in*
(*case pr ff gg of* (*prs*, *prns*) ⇒
if prns ∧ (∀ *j* ∈ *set sg*. *fst* (*wpo-ub s* (*ts* ! *j*))) *then*
if prs then (*True*, *True*)
else
let ss′ = *map* (λ *i*. *ss* ! *i*) *sf*;
ts′ = *map* (λ *i*. *ts* ! *i*) *sg*;
cf = *c ff*;
cg = *c gg in*
if cf = *Lex* ∧ *cg* = *Lex then lex-ext-unbounded wpo-ub ss′ ts′*
else if cf = *Mul* ∧ *cg* = *Mul then mul-ext wpo-ub ss′ ts′*
else if ts′ = [] *then* (*ss′* ≠ [], *True*) *else* (*False*, *False*)
else (*False*, *False*)))
) *else* (*False*, *False*))

declare *wpo-ub.simps* [*simp del*]

abbreviation *wpo-orig n S NS* ≡ *wpo.wpo n S NS pr prl* σ *c ssimple large*

soundness of approximation: *local.wpo-ub* can be simulated by *local.wpo-orig* if the arities are small (usually the length of the status of f is smaller than the arity of f).

lemma *wpo-ub*:
  assumes $\bigwedge$ *si tj*. $s \trianglerighteq si \Longrightarrow t \trianglerighteq tj \Longrightarrow (cS\ si\ tj,\ cNS\ si\ tj) \leq_{cb} ((si,\ tj) \in S,$ $(si,\ tj) \in NS)$
    and $\bigwedge f.\ f \in funas\text{-}term\ t \Longrightarrow length\ (status\ \sigma\ f) \leq n$
  shows $wpo\text{-}ub\ s\ t \leq_{cb} wpo\text{-}orig\ n\ S\ NS\ s\ t$
  using *assms*
proof (*induct s t rule*: *wpo.wpo.induct* [*of S NS* σ *- n pr prl c ssimple large*])
  case (*1 s t*)
  note *IH* = *1*(*1–4*)
  note *cb* = *1*(*5*)
  note *n* = *1*(*6*)
  note *cbd* = *compare-bools-def*
  note *simps* = *wpo-ub.simps*[*of s t*] *wpo.wpo.simps*[*of n S NS pr prl* σ *c ssimple large s t*]
  let *?wpo* = *wpo-orig n S NS*
  let *?cb* = λ *s t*. $(cS\ s\ t,\ cNS\ s\ t) \leq_{cb} ((s,\ t) \in S,\ (s,\ t) \in NS)$
  let *?goal* = λ *s t*. $wpo\text{-}ub\ s\ t \leq_{cb}\ ?wpo\ s\ t$
  from *cb*[*of s t*] **have** *cb-st*: *?cb s t* **by** *auto*
  show *?case*
  proof (*cases* $(s,t) \in S \vee \neg\ cNS\ s\ t$)
    case *True*
    with *cb-st* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *simps* **unfolding** *cbd* **by** *auto*
  next
    case *False*
    with *cb-st* **have** $*$: $(s,t) \notin S$ $(s,t) \in NS$ $((s,t) \notin S) = True$ $((s,\ t) \in S) = False$
      $((s,t) \in NS) = True$ $cS\ s\ t = False$ $cNS\ s\ t = True$
      unfolding *cbd* **by** *auto*
    note *simps* = *simps*[*unfolded* $*$ *if-False if-True*]
    note *IH* = *IH*[*OF* $*$(*1–2*)]
    show *?thesis*
    proof (*cases s*)
      case (*Var x*) **note** *s* = *this*
      show *?thesis*
      proof (*cases t*)
        case (*Var y*) **note** *t* = *this*
        show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simps* **unfolding** *s t cbd* **by** *simp*
      next
        case (*Fun g ts*) **note** *t* = *this*
        show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simps* **unfolding** *s t cbd* **by** *auto*
      qed
    next
      case *s*: (*Fun f ss*)
      let *?f* = (*f*,*length ss*)
      let *?sf* = *status* σ *?f*
      let *?s* = *Fun f ss*
      note *IH* = *IH*[*OF s*]
      show *?thesis*
      proof (*cases* ($\exists$ $i \in$ *set ?sf*. *snd* (*?wpo* (*ss* ! *i*) *t*)))

case *True*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *simps* **using** *True* $*$ **unfolding** *s cbd* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
{
fix *i*
assume *i*: $i \in$ *set ?sf*
from *status-aux*[*OF i*]
have *?goal* (*ss* ! *i*) *t*
by (*intro IH*(*1*)[*OF i cb n*], *auto simp*: *s*)
}
with *False* **have** *sub*: ($\exists$ $i \in$ *set ?sf*. *snd* (*wpo-ub* (*ss* ! *i*) *t*)) = *False* **unfolding** *cbd* **by** *auto*
note *IH* = *IH*(*2*−*4*)[*OF False*]
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases wpo-ub s t* = (*False*,*False*))
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *cbd* **by** *auto*
next
case *False* **note** *noFF* = *this*
note *False* = *False*[*unfolded simps* $*$ *Let-def*, *unfolded s term.simps sub*, *simplified*]
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases t*)
case *t*: (*Var y*)
from *False*[*unfolded t*, *simplified*]
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *s t* **unfolding** *cbd*
using $*$ *s simps sub t* **by** *auto*
next
case *t*: (*Fun g ts*)
let *?g* = (*g*,*length ts*)
let *?sg* = *status* σ *?g*
let *?t* = *Fun g ts*
obtain *ps pns* **where** *p*: *pr ?f ?g* = (*ps*, *pns*) **by** *force*
note *IH* = *IH*[*OF t p*[*symmetric*]]
note *False* = *False*[*unfolded t p split term.simps*]
from *False* **have** *pns*: *pns* = *True* **by** (*cases pns*, *auto*)
{
fix *j*
assume *j*: $j \in$ *set ?sg*
from *status-aux*[*OF j*]
have *cb*: *?goal s* (*ts* ! *j*)
by (*intro IH*(*1*)[*OF j cb n*], *auto simp*: *t*)
from *j False* **have** *fst* (*wpo-ub s* (*ts* ! *j*)) **unfolding** *s* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
with *cb* **have** *fst* (*?wpo s* (*ts* ! *j*)) **unfolding** *cbd* **by** *auto*
}
then have *cond*: *pns* $\wedge$ ($\forall$ $j \in$ *set ?sg*. *fst* (*?wpo s* (*ts* ! *j*))) **using** *pns* **by** *auto*

note *IH* = *IH*(*2–3*)[*OF cond*]
from *cond* **have** *cond*: (*pns* ∧ (∀ *j* ∈ *set ?sg*. *fst* (*?wpo ?s* (*ts* ! *j*)))) = *True* **unfolding** *s* **by** *simp*
note *simps* = *simps*[*unfolded* ∗ *Let-def*, *unfolded s t term.simps if-False if-True sub*[*unfolded t*] *p split cond*]
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases ps*)
case *True*
then show *?thesis* **unfolding** *s t* **unfolding** *simps cbd* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
note *IH* = *IH*[*OF this refl refl refl refl*]
let *?msf* = *map* ((!) *ss*) *?sf*
let *?msg* = *map* ((!) *ts*) *?sg*
have *set-msf*: *set ?msf* ⊆ *set ss* **using** *status*[*of* σ *f length ss*]
unfolding *set-conv-nth* **by** *force*
have *set-msg*: *set ?msg* ⊆ *set ts* **using** *status*[*of* σ *g length ts*]
unfolding *set-conv-nth* **by** *force*
{
fix *i*
assume *i* < *length ?msf*
then have *?msf* ! *i* ∈ *set ?msf* **unfolding** *set-conv-nth* **by** *blast*
with *set-msf* **have** *?msf* ! *i* ∈ *set ss* **by** *auto*
} **note** *msf* = *this*
{
fix *i*
assume *i* < *length ?msg*
then have *?msg* ! *i* ∈ *set ?msg* **unfolding** *set-conv-nth* **by** *blast*
with *set-msg* **have** *?msg* ! *i* ∈ *set ts* **by** *auto*
} **note** *msg* = *this*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases c ?f* = *Lex* ∧ *c ?g* = *Lex*)
case *Lex*: *True*
note *IH* = *IH*(*1*)[*OF Lex - - cb n*, *unfolded s t length-map*]
from *n*[*of ?g*, *unfolded t*] **have** *length* (*?msg*) ≤ *n* **by** *auto*
then have *ub*: *lex-ext-unbounded ?wpo ?msf ?msg* =
lex-ext ?wpo n ?msf ?msg
unfolding *lex-ext-unbounded-iff lex-ext-iff* **by** *auto*
from *Lex False simps noFF*
have *wpo-ub*: *wpo-ub s t* = *lex-ext-unbounded wpo-ub ?msf ?msg*
unfolding *s t* **using** *False* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
also have . . . $\leq_{cb}$ *lex-ext-unbounded ?wpo ?msf ?msg*
by (*rule lex-ext-unbounded-cb*, *rule IH*) (*insert msf msg*, *auto*)
finally show *?thesis* **unfolding** *ub s t simps*(*2*) *cbd* **using** *Lex* **by** *auto*
next
case *nLex*: *False*
show *?thesis*
proof (*cases c ?f* = *Mul* ∧ *c ?g* = *Mul*)

```
                    case Mul: True
                    note IH = IH(2)[OF nLex Mul - - cb n, unfolded s t]
                    from Mul nLex False simps noFF
                    have wpo-ub: wpo-ub s t = mul-ext wpo-ub ?msf ?msg
                      unfolding s t using False by (auto split: if-splits)
                    also have ... ≤cb mul-ext ?wpo ?msf ?msg
                      by (rule mul-ext-cb, rule IH) (insert set-msf set-msg, auto)
                     finally show ?thesis unfolding s t simps(2) cbd using nLex Mul
by auto
                  next
                    case nMul: False
                   thus ?thesis unfolding s t simps cbd using nLex nMul noFF False
                      by auto
                  qed
                qed
              qed
            qed
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
qed

end
end
```

4 A Memoized Implementation of WPO

```
theory WPO-Mem-Impl
  imports
    WPO-Approx
    Indexed-Term
    List-Memo-Functions
begin

context
  fixes pr :: ('f × nat ⇒ 'f × nat ⇒ bool × bool)
    and prl :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
    and ssimple :: bool
    and large :: 'f × nat ⇒ bool
    and cS cNS :: ('f,'v)term ⇒ ('f,'v)term ⇒ bool
    and σ :: 'f status
    and c :: 'f × nat ⇒ order-tag
begin
```

The main implementation working on indexed terms

```
fun
  wpo-mem :: (('f, 'v) indexed-term) term-rel-mem-type and
  wpo-main :: (('f, 'v) indexed-term) term-rel-mem-type
```

where

```
wpo-mem mem (s,t) =
  (let
    i = index s;
    j = index t
  in
    (case Mapping.lookup mem (i,j) of
      Some res ⇒ (res, mem)
    | None ⇒ case wpo-main mem (s,t)
  of (res, mem-new) ⇒ (res, Mapping.update (i,j) res mem-new)))
| wpo-main mem (s,t) = (let fs = stored s; ft = stored t in
  if cS fs ft then ((True, True), mem)
  else if cNS fs ft then (
  case s of
  Var x ⇒ ((False,
    (case t of
      Var y ⇒ name-of x = name-of y
    | Fun g ts ⇒ status σ (name-of g, length ts) = [] ∧ prl (name-of g, length
ts))), mem)
 | Fun f ss ⇒
    let ff = (name-of f, length ss); sf = status σ ff; ss' = map (λ i. ss ! i) sf in
      (case exists-mem (λ s'. (s',t)) wpo-mem snd mem ss' of
     (wpo-result, mem-out-1) ⇒
       if wpo-result then ((True, True), mem-out-1)
       else
         (case t of
           Var - ⇒ ((False, ssimple ∧ large ff), mem-out-1)
         | Fun g ts ⇒
           let gg = (name-of g, length ts); sg = status σ gg; ts' = map (λ i. ts !
i) sg in
           (case pr ff gg of (prs, prns) ⇒
             if prns then
             (case forall-mem (λ t'. (s,t')) wpo-mem fst mem-out-1 ts' of
               (wpo-result, mem-out-2) ⇒
               if wpo-result then
                 if prs then ((True, True), mem-out-2)
                 else
                   let cf = c ff; cg = c gg in
                   if cf = Lex ∧ cg = Lex then lex-ext-unbounded-mem wpo-mem
mem-out-2 ss' ts'
                     else if cf = Mul ∧ cg = Mul then mul-ext-mem wpo-mem
mem-out-2 ss' ts'
                    else if ts' = [] then ((ss' ≠ [], True), mem-out-2)
                    else ((False, False), mem-out-2)
               else ((False, False), mem-out-2)) else ((False,False), mem-out-1))
             )
       )
    ) else ((False, False), mem))
```

declare *wpo-mem.simps*[*simp del*]
declare *wpo-main.simps*[*simp del*]

And the wrapper that computes the indexed terms and initializes the memory.

definition *wpo-mem-impl* :: (*'f*, *'v*) *term* ⇒ (*'f*, *'v*) *term* ⇒ (*bool* × *bool*)
  where
    wpo-mem-impl s t = *fst* (*wpo-mem Mapping.empty* (*index-term s*, *index-term t*))

Soundness of the implementation

lemma *wpo-mem*: **fixes** *rli rri* :: *index* ⇒ (*'f*,*'v*)*term*
  assumes
    wpoub: *wpoub* = *wpo-ub pr prl ssimple large cS cNS σ c*
    and *wpo*: *wpo* = (λ (*s*,*t*). *wpoub s t*)
    and *ri*: *ri* = *map-prod rli rri*
    and ⋀ *si*. *fst st* ⊵ *si* ⟹ *rli* (*index si*) = *unindex si* ∧ *stored si* = *unindex si*
    and ⋀ *ti*. *snd st* ⊵ *ti* ⟹ *rri* (*index ti*) = *unindex ti* ∧ *stored ti* = *unindex ti*
    and *valid-memory wpo ri m*
  shows *wpo-mem m st* = (*p*,*m'*) ⟹ *p* = *wpo* (*map-prod unindex unindex st*) ∧ *valid-memory wpo ri m'*
     wpo-main m st = (*p*,*m'*) ⟹ *p* = *wpo* (*map-prod unindex unindex st*) ∧ *valid-memory wpo ri m'*
  using *assms*(*4*−)
proof (*induct m st* **and** *m st arbitrary*: *p m'* **and** *p m' rule*: *wpo-mem-wpo-main.induct*)
  case (*1 m s t*)
  note *IH* = *1*(*1*)
  note *revi* = *1*(*3*,*4*)[*unfolded fst-conv snd-conv*]
  note *mem* = *1*(*5*)
  note *res* = *1*(*2*)[*unfolded wpo wpo-mem.simps Let-def*]
  have *ri*: *ri* (*index s*, *index t*) = (*unindex s*, *unindex t*)
    unfolding *ri* **using** *revi*(*1*)[*of s*] *revi*(*2*)[*of t*] **by** *auto*
  show *?case*
  proof (*cases Mapping.lookup m* (*index s*, *index t*))
    case (*Some q*)
    note *res* = *res*[*unfolded Some option.simps*]
    from *res* **have** *id*: *p* = *q m'* = *m* **by** *auto*
    from *mem*[*unfolded valid-memory-def*, *rule-format*, *OF Some*]
    have *wpo* (*ri* (*index s*, *index t*)) = *q* **by** *auto*
    with *ri* **show** *?thesis* **unfolding** *id* **using** *mem* **by** *auto*
  next
    case *None*
    note *res* = *res*[*unfolded None option.simps*]
    obtain *res2 mem2* **where** *rec*: *wpo-main m* (*s*, *t*) = (*res2*, *mem2*) **by** *fastforce*
    have *res2*: *res2* = *wpo* (*unindex s*, *unindex t*) **and** *mem*: *valid-memory wpo ri mem2*
      using *IH*[*OF refl refl None rec revi mem*] **by** *auto*
    from *res*[*unfolded rec split*]
    have *p*: *p* = *res2* **and** *m'*: *m'* = *Mapping.update* (*index s*, *index t*) *res2 mem2* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis* **unfolding** *p res2 m′* **using** *mem ri*
by (*auto simp add*: *valid-memory-def lookup-update′*)
qed
next
case (*2 m s t*)
let *?s* = *unindex s*
let *?t* = *unindex t*
note *revi* = *2*(*6*,*7*)[*unfolded fst-conv snd-conv*]
from *revi*(*1*)[*of s*] *revi*(*2*)[*of t*]
have *stored*: *stored s* = *unindex s stored t* = *unindex t* **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *2*(*1*–*4*)[*OF stored*[*symmetric*]]
note *mem* = *2*(*8*)
note *res* = *2*(*5*)[*unfolded wpo-main.simps Let-def stored*]
have *wpo-st*: *wpo* (*unindex s*, *unindex t*) = *wpoub* (*unindex s*) (*unindex t*) **for** *s t*
unfolding *wpo* **by** *simp*
note *wpo* = *this*[*of s t*,*unfolded wpoub wpo-ub.simps*[*of - - - - - - - - ?s ?t*], *folded wpoub*]
show *?case*
proof (*cases s*)
case (*Var xi*)
then obtain *x i* **where** *s*: *s* = *Var* (*x*,*i*) **by** (*cases xi*, *auto*)
thus *?thesis* **using** *res mem wpo* **by** (*cases t*, *auto*)
next
case (*Fun fi ss*)
then obtain *f i* **where** *s*: *s* = *Fun* (*f*,*i*) *ss* **by** (*cases fi*, *auto*)
let *?Sta* = *status* σ (*f*, *length ss*)
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded s term.simps name-of.simps*, *folded s*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded s unindex.simps term.simps*, *folded unindex.simps*[*of - i*], *folded s*,
unfolded length-map Let-def]
show *?thesis*
proof (*rule ccontr*)
assume *neg*: ¬ *?thesis*
from *neg res mem wpo s* **have** *ncS*: ¬ *cS ?s ?t* **by** *auto*
from *neg res mem wpo s ncS* **have** *cNS*: *cNS ?s ?t* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
have *id*: *map-prod unindex unindex* (*s*,*t*) = (*unindex s*, *unindex t*) **for** *s t* :: (*′f*,*′v*)*indexed-term* **by** *auto*
define *sss* **where** *sss* = *map* ((!) *ss*) *?Sta*
note *IHs* = *IHs*[*OF ncS cNS s refl refl refl*, *unfolded name-of.simps*, *unfolded id fst-conv snd-conv*, *folded sss-def*]
from *ncS cNS* **have** *id*: *cS ?s ?t* = *False cNS ?s ?t* = *True* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded id if-True if-False*, *folded sss-def*]
have *sss*: (*map* ((!) (*map unindex ss*)) *?Sta*) = *map unindex sss*
unfolding *sss-def* **by** (*auto dest*: *set-status-nth*[*OF refl*])
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded id if-True if-False*]
have *sss-sub*: *set sss* ⊆ *set ss* **unfolding** *sss-def* **by** (*auto dest*: *set-status-nth*[*OF refl*])
let *?cond1′* = *Bex* (*set sss*) (λ*s*. *snd* (*wpoub* (*unindex s*) (*unindex t*)))

let *?cond1''* = *Bex* (*set ?Sta*) (λ*i*. *snd* (*wpoub* (*map unindex ss* ! *i*) (*unindex t*)))
have *?cond1''* = *?cond1'* **unfolding** *sss-def*
using *set-status-nth*[*OF refl*, *of* - σ *f ss*] **by** *simp*
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded this sss*]
let *?cond1* = *exists-mem* (λ *s'*. (*s'*,*t*)) *wpo-mem snd m sss*
obtain *b1 m1* **where** *cond1*: *?cond1* = (*b1*,*m1*) **by** *fastforce*
{
fix *si*
assume *si*: *si* $\in$ *set sss*
have *wpo-mem m* (*si*, *t*) = (*p*, *m'*) $\Longrightarrow$
valid-memory wpo ri m $\Longrightarrow$ *p* = *wpo* (*unindex si*, *unindex t*) $\wedge$ *valid-memory wpo ri m'*
for *m p m'*
by (*intro IHs*(*1*)[*OF si* - *revi*, *of m p m'*], *insert sss-sub s si*, *auto*)
}
hence *memoize-fun wpo-mem wpo* (*map-prod unindex unindex*) *ri* ((λ*s'*. (*s'*, *t*)) ‘ *set sss*)
by (*intro memoize-funI*, *auto*)
from *exists-mem*[*OF mem cond1 this*]
have *cond1'*: *?cond1'* = *b1* **and** *mem1*: *valid-memory wpo ri m1*
unfolding *wpo-st*[*symmetric*] **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*2*−)[*OF cond1*[*symmetric*]]
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded cond1 split*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded cond1'*]
from *neg res wpo mem1* **have** *b1*: $\neg$ *b1* **by** *auto*
note *IHs* = *IHs*[*OF this*]
from *b1* **have** *b1*: *b1* = *False* **by** *simp*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded b1 if-False*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded b1 if-False*]
show *False*
proof (*cases t*)
case (*Var yj*)
with *neg res wpo mem1* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*cases yj*, *auto*)
next
case (*Fun gj ts*)
then obtain *g j* **where** *t*: *t* = *Fun* (*g*,*j*) *ts* **by** (*cases gj*, *auto*)
let *?f* = (*f*, *length ss*) **let** *?g* = (*g*, *length ts*)
obtain *prs prns* **where** *pr*: *pr ?f ?g* = (*prs*, *prns*) **by** *force*
let *?sta* = (*status* σ (*g*, *length ts*))
define *tss* **where** *tss* = *map* ((!) *ts*) *?sta*
have *tss*: (*map* ((!) (*map unindex ts*)) *?sta*) = *map unindex tss*
unfolding *tss-def* **by** (*auto dest*: *set-status-nth*[*OF refl*])
have *tss-sub*: *set tss* $\subseteq$ *set ts* **unfolding** *tss-def* **by** (*auto dest*: *set-status-nth*[*OF refl*])
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded t term.simps name-of.simps pr split*, *folded tss-def*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded t unindex.simps term.simps length-map pr split*, *folded unindex.simps*[*of* - *j*], *folded t*, *unfolded tss*]
from *neg res mem1 wpo* **have** *prns*: *prns* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)

note *IHs* = *IHs*[*OF t refl refl*, *unfolded name-of.simps*, *OF refl pr*[*symmetric*], *folded tss-def*, *OF prns*]
have *prns*: (*prns* ∧ *b*) = *b prns* = *True* **for** *b* **using** *prns* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded prns if-True*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded prns*(*1*)]
let *?cond2* = *forall-mem* (λ *t*′. (*s*,*t*′)) *wpo-mem fst m1 tss*
let *?cond2*′′ = *Ball* (*set ?sta*) (λ*j*. *fst* (*wpoub ?s* (*map unindex ts* ! *j*)))
let *?cond2*′ = *Ball* (*set tss*) (λ*t*. *fst* (*wpoub ?s* (*unindex t*)))
have *?cond2*′′ = *?cond2*′ **unfolding** *tss-def*
  using *set-status-nth*[*OF refl*, *of* - σ *g ts*] **by** *simp*
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded this*]
obtain *b2 m2* **where** *cond2*: *?cond2* = (*b2*,*m2*) **by** *force*
{
  fix *ti*
  assume *ti*: *ti* ∈ *set tss*
  have *wpo-mem m* (*s*, *ti*) = (*p*, *m*′) ⟹ *valid-memory wpo ri m* ⟹ *p* = *wpo* (*unindex s*, *unindex ti*) ∧ *valid-memory wpo ri m*′
    for *m p m*′
    by (*intro IHs*(*1*)[*OF ti* - *revi*, *of m p m*′], *insert tss-sub t ti*, *auto*)
}
hence *memoize-fun wpo-mem wpo* (*map-prod unindex unindex*) *ri* (*Pair s* ‘ *set tss*)
  by (*intro memoize-funI*, *auto*)
from *forall-mem*[*OF mem1 cond2 this*]
have *cond2*′: *?cond2*′ = *b2* **and** *mem2*: *valid-memory wpo ri m2*
  unfolding *wpo-st*[*symmetric*] **by** *auto*
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded cond2*′]
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded cond2 split*]
from *neg res wpo mem2* **have** *b2*: *b2* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
with *neg res wpo mem2* **have** *prs*: ¬ *prs* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
note *IHs* = *IHs*(*2*−)[*OF cond2*[*symmetric*] *b2 prs refl refl*]
from *b2 prs* **have** *id*: *b2* = *True prs* = *False* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded id if-True if-False*, *folded sss-def tss-def*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded id if-True if-False*]
let *?is-lex* = *c ?f* = *Lex* ∧ *c ?g* = *Lex*
show *False*
proof (*cases ?is-lex*)
  case *True*
  note *IH* = *IHs*(*1*)[*OF True*]
  from *True* **have** *lex*: *?is-lex* = *True* **by** *auto*
  note *res* = *res*[*unfolded lex if-True*]
  note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded lex if-True*]
  have *memo*: *memoize-fun wpo-mem wpo* (*map-prod unindex unindex*) *ri* (*set sss* × *set tss*)
    apply (*rule memoize-fun-pairI*)
    apply (*rule IH*)
      apply *force*
     apply *force*

apply *force*
subgoal by (*rule revi*, *insert sss-sub*, *auto simp*: *s*)
subgoal by (*rule revi*, *insert tss-sub*, *auto simp*: *t*)
by *auto*
have *p* = *lex-ext-unbounded wpoub* (*map unindex sss*) (*map unindex tss*) $\wedge$ *valid-memory wpo ri* m'
by (*rule lex-ext-unbounded-mem*[*OF assms*(*2*) *mem2 res memo*])
with *res wpo neg*
show *?thesis* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
note *IH* = *IHs*(*2*)[*OF False*]
from *False* **have** *lex*: *?is-lex* = *False* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded lex if-False*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded lex if-False*]
let *?is-mul* = *c* (*f*, *length ss*) = *Mul* $\wedge$ *c* (*g*, *length ts*) = *Mul*
show *False*
proof (*cases ?is-mul*)
case *True*
note *IH* = *IH*[*OF True*]
from *True* **have** *mul*: *?is-mul* = *True* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded mul if-True*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded mul if-True*]
have *memo*: *memoize-fun wpo-mem wpo* (*map-prod unindex unindex*) *ri* (*set sss* $\times$ *set tss*)
apply (*rule memoize-fun-pairI*)
apply (*rule IH*)
apply *force*
apply *force*
apply *force*
subgoal by (*rule revi*, *insert sss-sub*, *auto simp*: *s*)
subgoal by (*rule revi*, *insert tss-sub*, *auto simp*: *t*)
by *auto*
have *p* = *mul-ext-impl wpoub* (*map unindex sss*) (*map unindex tss*) $\wedge$ *valid-memory wpo ri* m'
using *mul-ext-mem*(*1*)[*OF assms*(*2*) *mem2 res memo*] **by** *auto*
with *res wpo neg*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *mul-ext-code* **by** *auto*
next
case *False*
from *False* **have** *mul*: *?is-mul* = *False* **by** *auto*
note *res* = *res*[*unfolded mul if-False*]
note *wpo* = *wpo*[*unfolded mul if-False*]
from *res wpo neg mem2* **show** *False* **by** (*auto split*: *if-splits*)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed

qed

declare [[*code drop*: *wpo-ub*]]

lemma *wpo-ub-memoized-code*[*code*]:
wpo-ub pr prl ssimple large cS cNS σ *c s t* = *wpo-mem-impl s t*
proof −
let *?s* = *index-term s*
let *?t* = *index-term t*
let *?m* = *Mapping.empty* :: *term-rel-mem*
have *m*: *valid-memory* (λ(*s*, *t*). *wpo-ub pr prl ssimple large cS cNS* σ *c s t*) (*map-prod rl rr*) *?m* **for** *rl rr*
unfolding *valid-memory-def* **by** *auto*
from *index-term-index-unindex*[*of s*] **obtain** *f* **where** *f*: $\forall$ *t*$\trianglelefteq$ *index-term s*. *f* (*index t*) = *unindex t* $\wedge$ *stored t* = *unindex t* **by** *auto*
from *index-term-index-unindex*[*of t*] **obtain** *g* **where** *g*: $\forall$ *s*$\trianglelefteq$ *index-term t*. *g* (*index s*) = *unindex s* $\wedge$ *stored s* = *unindex s* **by** *auto*
obtain *p m* **where** *res*: *wpo-mem ?m* (*?s*,*?t*) = (*p*,*m*) **by** *fastforce*
hence *impl*: *wpo-mem-impl s t* = *p* **unfolding** *wpo-mem-impl-def* **by** *simp*
also have ... = *wpo-ub pr prl ssimple large cS cNS* σ *c* (*unindex* (*index-term s*)) (*unindex* (*index-term t*))
by (*rule wpo-mem*(*1*)[*THEN conjunct1*, *OF refl refl refl - - m res*, *unfolded map-prod-simp split fst-conv snd-conv*, *of f g*])
(*insert f g*, *auto*)
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
end
end

5 An Unbounded Variant of RPO

We define an unbounded version of RPO in the sense that lexicographic comparisons do not require a length check. This unbounded version of RPO is equivalent to the original RPO provided that the arities of the function symbols are below the bound that is used for lexicographic comparisons.

theory *RPO-Unbounded*
imports
Weighted-Path-Order.RPO
begin

fun *rpo-unbounded* :: ($'f$ × *nat* ⇒ $'f$ × *nat* ⇒ *bool* × *bool*) × ($'f$ × *nat* ⇒ *bool*) ⇒ ($'f$ × *nat* ⇒ *order-tag*) ⇒ ($'f$,$'v$)*term* ⇒ ($'f$,$'v$)*term* ⇒ *bool* × *bool* **where**
rpo-unbounded - - (*Var x*) (*Var y*) = (*False*, *x* = *y*)
| *rpo-unbounded pr* - (*Var x*) (*Fun g ts*) = (*False*, *ts* = [] ∧ *snd pr* (*g*,*0*))
| *rpo-unbounded pr c* (*Fun f ss*) (*Var y*) =
(*let con* = ∃ *s* ∈ *set ss*. *snd* (*rpo-unbounded pr c s* (*Var y*)) *in* (*con*,*con*))
| *rpo-unbounded pr c* (*Fun f ss*) (*Fun g ts*) = (
if ∃ *s* ∈ *set ss*. *snd* (*rpo-unbounded pr c s* (*Fun g ts*))

then (*True*, *True*)
else (*case* (*fst pr*) (*f*,*length ss*) (*g*,*length ts*) *of* (*prs*,*prns*) ⇒
if prns ∧ (∀ *t* ∈ *set ts*. *fst* (*rpo-unbounded pr c* (*Fun f ss*) *t*))
then if prs
then (*True*, *True*)
else if c (*f*,*length ss*) = *c* (*g*,*length ts*)
then if c (*f*,*length ss*) = *Mul*
then mul-ext (*rpo-unbounded pr c*) *ss ts*
else lex-ext-unbounded (*rpo-unbounded pr c*) *ss ts*
else (*length ss* ≠ *0* ∧ *length ts* = *0*, *length ts* = *0*)
else (*False*,*False*)))

lemma *rpo-to-rpo-unbounded*:
assumes ∀ *f i*. (*f*, *i*) ∈ *funas-term s* ∪ *funas-term t* ⟶ *i* ≤ *n* (**is** *?b s t*)
shows *rpo pr prl c n s t* = *rpo-unbounded* (*pr*,*prl*) *c s t* (**is** *?e s t*)
proof –
let *?p* = λ *s t*. *?b s t* ⟶ *?e s t*
let *?pr* = (*pr*,*prl*)
{
have *?p s t*
proof (*induct rule*: *rpo.induct*[*of - pr prl c n*])
case (*3 f ss y*)
show *?case*
proof (*intro impI*)
assume *?b* (*Fun f ss*) (*Var y*)
then have ⋀ *s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *?b s* (*Var y*) **by** *auto*
with *3* **show** *?e* (*Fun f ss*) (*Var y*) **by** *simp*
qed
next
case (*4 f ss g ts*) **note** *IH* = *this*
show *?case*
proof (*intro impI*)
assume *?b* (*Fun f ss*) (*Fun g ts*)
then have *bs*: ⋀ *s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *?b s* (*Fun g ts*)
and *bt*: ⋀ *t*. *t* ∈ *set ts* ⟹ *?b* (*Fun f ss*) *t*
and *ss*: *length ss* ≤ *n* **and** *ts*: *length ts* ≤ *n* **by** *auto*
with *4*(*1*) **have** *s*: ⋀ *s*. *s* ∈ *set ss* ⟹ *?e s* (*Fun g ts*) **by** *simp*
show *?e* (*Fun f ss*) (*Fun g ts*)
proof (*cases* ∃ *s* ∈ *set ss*. *snd* (*rpo pr prl c n s* (*Fun g ts*)))
case *True* **with** *s* **show** *?thesis* **by** *simp*
next
case *False* **note** *oFalse* = *this*
with *s* **have** *oFalse2*: ¬ (∃ *s* ∈ *set ss*. *snd* (*rpo-unbounded ?pr c s* (*Fun g ts*)))
by *simp*
obtain *prns prs* **where** *Hsns*: *pr* (*f*,*length ss*) (*g*,*length ts*) = (*prs*, *prns*) **by** *force*
with *bt 4*(*2*)[*OF oFalse*]
have *t*: ⋀ *t*. *t* ∈ *set ts* ⟹ *?e* (*Fun f ss*) *t* **by** *force*

```
show ?thesis
proof (cases prns ∧ (∀ t∈set ts. fst (rpo pr prl c n (Fun f ss) t)))
  case False
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases prns)
    case False then show ?thesis by (simp add: oFalse oFalse2 Hsns)
  next
    case True
     with False have Hf1: ¬ (∀ t∈set ts. fst (rpo pr prl c n (Fun f ss) t))
by simp
    with t have Hf2: ¬ (∀ t∈set ts. fst (rpo-unbounded ?pr c (Fun f ss) t))
by auto
    show ?thesis by (simp add: oFalse oFalse2 Hf1 Hf2)
  qed
next
  case True
  then have prns: prns and Hts: ∀ t∈set ts. fst (rpo pr prl c n (Fun f ss)
t) by auto
  from Hts and t have Hts2: ∀ t∈set ts. fst (rpo-unbounded ?pr c (Fun f
ss) t) by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases prs)
    case True then show ?thesis by (simp add: oFalse oFalse2 Hsns prns
Hts Hts2)
  next
    case False note prs = this
    show ?thesis
    proof (cases c (f,length ss) = c (g,length ts))
      case False then show ?thesis
       by (cases c (f,length ss), simp-all add: oFalse oFalse2 Hsns prns Hts
Hts2)
    next
      case True note cfg = this
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases c (f,length ss))
        case Mul note cf = this
        with cfg have cg: c (g,length ts) = Mul by simp
        {
          fix x y
          assume x-in-ss: x ∈ set ss and y-in-ts: y ∈ set ts
          have rpo pr prl c n x y = rpo-unbounded ?pr c x y
           by (rule 4(4)[OF oFalse Hsns[symmetric] refl - prs - conjI[OF cf
cg] x-in-ss y-in-ts, rule-format],
                insert prns Hts bs[OF x-in-ss] bt[OF y-in-ts] cf cg, auto)
        }
        with mul-ext-cong[of ss ss ts ts]
         have mul-ext (rpo pr prl c n) ss ts = mul-ext (rpo-unbounded ?pr
c) ss ts
          by metis
```

then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *oFalse oFalse2 Hsns prns Hts Hts2 cf cg*)
next
case *Lex* **note** *cf* = *this*
then have *ncf*: *c* (*f*,*length ss*) ≠ *Mul* **by** *simp*
from *cf cfg* **have** *cg*: *c* (*g*,*length ts*) = *Lex* **by** *simp*
{
fix *i*
assume *iss*: *i* < *length ss* **and** *its*: *i* < *length ts*
from *nth-mem-mset*[*OF iss*] **and** *in-multiset-in-set*
have *in-ss*: *ss* ! *i* ∈ *set ss* **by** *force*
from *nth-mem-mset*[*OF its*] **and** *in-multiset-in-set*
have *in-ts*: *ts* ! *i* ∈ *set ts* **by** *force*
from *4*(*3*)[*OF oFalse Hsns*[*symmetric*] *refl* - *prs conjI*[*OF cf cg*] *iss its*]
prns Hts bs[*OF in-ss*] *bt*[*OF in-ts*]
have *rpo pr prl c n* (*ss* ! *i*) (*ts* ! *i*) = *rpo-unbounded ?pr c* (*ss* ! *i*) (*ts* ! *i*)
by *simp*
}
with *lex-ext-cong*[*of ss ss n n ts ts*]
have *lex-ext* (*rpo pr prl c n*) *n ss ts*
= *lex-ext* (*rpo-unbounded ?pr c*) *n ss ts* **by** *metis*
then have *lex-ext* (*rpo pr prl c n*) *n ss ts* = *lex-ext-unbounded* (*rpo-unbounded ?pr c*) *ss ts*
by (*simp add*: *lex-ext-to-lex-ext-unbounded*[*OF ss ts*, *of rpo-unbounded ?pr c*])
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add*: *oFalse oFalse2 Hsns prns prs Hts Hts2 cf cg*)
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed
qed *auto*
}
then show *?thesis* **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
qed

end

6 A Memoized Implementation of RPO

We derive a memoized RPO implementation from the memoized WPO implementation

theory *RPO-Mem-Impl*
imports

RPO-Unbounded
WPO-Mem-Impl
begin

definition *rpo-mem* :: ($'f \times nat \Rightarrow 'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool \times bool$) $\times$ ($'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool$) $\Rightarrow$ ($'f \times nat \Rightarrow$ *order-tag*) $\Rightarrow$ - **where**
[*code del*]: *rpo-mem pr c mem st* =
wpo-mem (*fst pr*) (*snd pr*) *False* (λ -. *False*) (λ - -. *False*) (λ - -. *True*) *full-status* *c mem st*

definition *rpo-main* :: ($'f \times nat \Rightarrow 'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool \times bool$) $\times$ ($'f \times nat \Rightarrow bool$) $\Rightarrow$ ($'f \times nat \Rightarrow$ *order-tag*) $\Rightarrow$ - **where**
[*code del*]: *rpo-main pr c mem st* =
wpo-main (*fst pr*) (*snd pr*) *False* (λ -. *False*) (λ - -. *False*) (λ - -. *True*) *full-status* *c mem st*

lemma *rpo-mem-code*[*code*]: *rpo-mem pr c mem* (*s*,*t*) =
(*let*
i = *index s*;
j = *index t*
in
(*case Mapping.lookup mem* (*i*,*j*) *of*
Some res $\Rightarrow$ (*res*, *mem*)
| *None* $\Rightarrow$ *case rpo-main pr c mem* (*s*,*t*)
of (*res*, *mem-new*) $\Rightarrow$ (*res*, *Mapping.update* (*i*,*j*) *res mem-new*)))
unfolding *rpo-mem-def rpo-main-def wpo-mem.simps* **..**

lemma *rpo-main-code*[*code*]: *rpo-main pr c mem* (*s*,*t*) = (*case s of*
Var x $\Rightarrow$ ((*False*,
(*case t of*
Var y $\Rightarrow$ *name-of x* = *name-of y*
| *Fun g ts* $\Rightarrow$ *ts* = [] $\wedge$ *snd pr* (*name-of g*, *0*))), *mem*)
| *Fun f ss* $\Rightarrow$
let ff = (*name-of f*, *length ss*) *in*
(*case exists-mem* (λ s'. (s',*t*)) (*rpo-mem pr c*) *snd mem ss of*
(*sub-result*, *mem-out-1*) $\Rightarrow$
if sub-result then ((*True*, *True*), *mem-out-1*)
else
(*case t of*
Var - $\Rightarrow$ ((*False*, *False*), *mem-out-1*)
| *Fun g ts* $\Rightarrow$
let gg = (*name-of g*, *length ts*) *in*
(*case fst pr ff gg of* (*prs*, *prns*) $\Rightarrow$
if prns then
(*case forall-mem* (λ t'. (*s*,t')) (*rpo-mem pr c*) *fst mem-out-1 ts of*
(*sub-result*, *mem-out-2*) $\Rightarrow$
if sub-result then
if prs then ((*True*, *True*), *mem-out-2*)
else

let cf = c ff; cg = c gg in
if cf = Lex ∧ cg = Lex then lex-ext-unbounded-mem (rpo-mem pr c) mem-out-2 ss ts
else if cf = Mul ∧ cg = Mul then mul-ext-mem (rpo-mem pr c) mem-out-2 ss ts
else if ts = [] then ((ss ≠ [], True), mem-out-2)
else ((False, False), mem-out-2)
else ((False, False), mem-out-2)) else ((False,False), mem-out-1))
)
)
)
unfolding *rpo-main-def rpo-mem-def wpo-main.simps Let-def if-False if-True*
unfolding *rpo-main-def[symmetric] rpo-mem-def[symmetric]*
by (*cases s*; *cases t*, *auto simp*: *map-nth split*: *prod.splits*)

declare [[*code drop*: *rpo-unbounded*]]

lemma *rpo-unbounded-memoized-code*[*code*]: *rpo-unbounded pr c s t = fst* (*rpo-mem pr c Mapping.empty* (*index-term s*, *index-term t*))
unfolding *rpo-mem-def wpo-mem-impl-def*[*symmetric*] *wpo-ub-memoized-code*[*symmetric*]
proof (*induct pr c s t rule*: *rpo-unbounded.induct*)
case (*1 pr c x y*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *rpo-unbounded.simps wpo-ub.simps*[*of - - - - - - - - Var x Var y*]
by *simp*
next
case (*2 pr c x g ts*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *rpo-unbounded.simps wpo-ub.simps*[*of - - - - - - - - Var x Fun g ts*] *term.simps*
by *auto*
next
case (*3 pr c f ss y*)
then show *?case* **unfolding** *rpo-unbounded.simps wpo-ub.simps*[*of - - - - - - - - Fun f ss Var y*] *term.simps*
Let-def **by** (*auto simp*: *set-conv-nth*)
next
case (*4 pr c f ss g ts*)
obtain *prs prns* **where** *pr*: *fst pr* (*f*, *length ss*) (*g*, *length ts*) = (*prs*,*prns*) **by** *force*
show *?case* **unfolding** *rpo-unbounded.simps wpo-ub.simps*[*of - - - - - - - - Fun f ss Fun g ts*] *term.simps*
if-False Let-def if-True pr split
proof (*rule sym*, *intro if-cong*[*OF - refl if-cong*[*OF - if-cong*[*OF refl refl*] *refl*]], *goal-cases*)
case *1*
show *?case* **using** *4*(*1*) **by** (*auto simp*: *set-conv-nth*)
next
case *2*
show *?case* **using** *4*(*2*)[*unfolded pr*, *OF 2 refl*] **by** (*auto simp*: *set-conv-nth*)

next
case *3*
note *IH* = *4*(*3*−)[*unfolded pr*, *OF 3*(*1*) *refl 3*(*2*−*3*)]
let *?cf* = *c* (*f*, *length ss*)
let *?cg* = *c* (*g*, *length ts*)
consider (*Lex*) *?cf* = *Lex ?cg* = *Lex* | (*Mul*) *?cf* = *Mul ?cg* = *Mul* | (*Diff*) *?cf* ≠ *?cg*
by (*cases ?cf*; *cases ?cg*, *auto*)
thus *?case*
proof *cases*
case *Lex*
hence *?cf* = *?cg* **and** *?cf* ≠ *Mul* **by** *auto*
note *IH* = *IH*(*2*)[*OF this*]
from *Lex* **have** *id*: (*?cf* = *Lex* ∧ *?cg* = *Lex*) = *True* (*?cf* = *?cg*) = *True* (*?cf* = *Mul*) = *False* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id if-True if-False* **using** *IH*
by (*intro lex-ext-unbounded-cong*, *auto intro*: *nth-equalityI*)
next
case *Mul*
hence *?cf* = *?cg* **and** *?cf* = *Mul* **by** *auto*
note *IH* = *IH*(*1*)[*OF this*]
from *Mul* **have** *id*: (*?cf* = *Lex* ∧ *?cg* = *Lex*) = *False* (*?cf* = *Mul* ∧ *?cg* = *Mul*) = *True*
(*?cf* = *?cg*) = *True* (*?cf* = *Mul*) = *True* **by** *auto*
show *?thesis* **unfolding** *id*(*1*−*3*) *if-True if-False* **unfolding** *id*(*4*) *if-True* **using** *IH*
by (*intro mul-ext-cong*[*OF arg-cong*[*of - - mset*] *arg-cong*[*of - - mset*]])
(*auto intro*: *nth-equalityI*)
qed *auto*
qed
qed

end

References

[1] N. Dershowitz. Termination of rewriting. *J. Symb. Comput.*, 3(1/2):69–116, 1987.

[2] C. Sternagel, R. Thiemann, and A. Yamada. A formalization of weighted path orders and recursive path orders. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, September 2021. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Weighted_Path_Order.html, Formal proof development.

[3] R. Thiemann, J. Schöpf, C. Sternagel, and A. Yamada. Certifying the weighted path order (invited talk). In Z. M. Ariola, editor, *5th International Conference on Formal Structures for Computation and Deduction, FSCD 2020, June 29-July 6, 2020, Paris, France (Virtual Confer-*

ence), volume 167 of *LIPIcs*, pages 4:1–4:20. Schloss Dagstuhl - Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, 2020.

[4] A. Yamada, K. Kusakari, and T. Sakabe. Unifying the Knuth-Bendix, recursive path and polynomial orders. In R. Peña and T. Schrijvers, editors, *15th International Symposium on Principles and Practice of Declarative Programming, PPDP '13, Madrid, Spain, September 16-18, 2013*, pages 181–192. ACM, 2013.

[5] A. Yamada, K. Kusakari, and T. Sakabe. A unified ordering for termination proving. *Sci. Comput. Program.*, 111:110–134, 2015.