

Pricing in discrete financial models

Mnacho Echenim

September 1, 2025

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 1 | Generated subalgebras | 1 |
| 1.1 | Independence between a random variable and a subalgebra. | 7 |
| 2 | Filtrations | 9 |
| 2.1 | Basic definitions | 9 |
| 2.2 | Stochastic processes | 11 |
| 2.2.1 | Adapted stochastic processes | 11 |
| 2.2.2 | Predictable stochastic processes | 13 |
| 2.3 | Initially trivial filtrations | 19 |
| 2.4 | Filtration-equivalent measure spaces | 21 |
| 3 | Martingales | 24 |
| 4 | Discrete Conditional Expectation | 27 |
| 4.1 | Preliminary measurability results | 27 |
| 4.2 | Definition of explicit conditional expectation | 32 |
| 5 | Infinite coin toss space | 60 |
| 5.1 | Preliminary results | 60 |
| 5.2 | Bernoulli streams | 66 |
| 5.3 | Natural filtration on the infinite coin toss space | 69 |
| 5.3.1 | The projection function | 69 |
| 5.3.2 | Natural filtration locale | 78 |
| 5.3.3 | Probability component | 90 |
| 5.3.4 | Filtration equivalence for the natural filtration | 101 |
| 5.3.5 | More results on the projection function | 104 |
| 5.3.6 | Integrals and conditional expectations on the natural filtration | 113 |
| 5.4 | Images of stochastic processes by prefixes of streams | 142 |
| 5.4.1 | Definitions | 142 |
| 5.4.2 | Induced filtration, relationship with filtration generated by underlying stochastic process | 151 |

| | |
|--|------------|
| 6 Geometric random walk | 171 |
| 7 Fair Prices | 176 |
| 7.1 Preliminary results | 176 |
| 7.1.1 On the almost everywhere filter | 176 |
| 7.1.2 On conditional expectations | 177 |
| 7.2 Financial formalizations | 180 |
| 7.2.1 Markets | 180 |
| 7.2.2 Quantity processes and portfolios | 181 |
| 7.2.3 Trading strategies | 191 |
| 7.2.4 Self-financing portfolios | 198 |
| 7.2.5 Replicating portfolios | 214 |
| 7.2.6 Arbitrages | 215 |
| 7.2.7 Fair prices | 221 |
| 7.3 Risk-neutral probability space | 239 |
| 7.3.1 risk-free rate and discount factor processes | 239 |
| 7.3.2 Discounted value of a stochastic process | 243 |
| 7.3.3 Results on risk-neutral probability spaces | 245 |
| 8 The Cox Ross Rubinstein model | 261 |
| 8.1 Preliminary results on the market | 261 |
| 8.2 Risk-neutral probability space for the geometric random walk | 281 |
| 8.3 Existence of a replicating portfolio | 298 |
| 9 Effective computation definitions and results | 341 |
| 9.1 Generation of lists of boolean elements | 341 |
| 9.2 Probability components for lists | 343 |
| 9.3 Geometric process applied to lists | 344 |
| 9.4 Effective computation of discounted values | 345 |
| 10 Pricing results on options | 346 |
| 10.1 Call option | 346 |
| 10.2 Put option | 348 |
| 10.3 Lookback option | 349 |
| 10.4 Asian option | 353 |

1 Generated subalgebras

This section contains definitions and properties related to generated subalgebras.

theory *Generated-Subalgebra imports HOL-Probability.Probability*

begin

definition *gen-subalgebra* **where**
gen-subalgebra M G = sigma (space M) G

lemma *gen-subalgebra-space*:
shows *space (gen-subalgebra M G) = space M*
by (*simp add: gen-subalgebra-def space-measure-of-conv*)

lemma *gen-subalgebra-sets*:
assumes *G ⊆ sets M*
and *A ∈ G*
shows *A ∈ sets (gen-subalgebra M G)*
by (*metis assms gen-subalgebra-def sets.space-closed sets-measure-of sigma-sets.Basic subset-trans*)

lemma *gen-subalgebra-sig-sets*:
assumes *G ⊆ Pow (space M)*
shows *sets (gen-subalgebra M G) = sigma-sets (space M) G* **unfolding** *gen-subalgebra-def*
by (*metis assms gen-subalgebra-def sets-measure-of*)

lemma *gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets*:
assumes *G ⊆ sets M*
and *sigma-algebra (space M) G*
shows *sets (gen-subalgebra M G) = G*
using *assms by (simp add: gen-subalgebra-def sigma-algebra.sets-measure-of-eq)*

lemma *gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra*:
assumes *sub: G ⊆ sets M*
and *sigal:sigma-algebra (space M) G*
shows *subalgebra M (gen-subalgebra M G) (is subalgebra M ?N)*
unfolding *subalgebra-def*
proof (*intro conjI*)
show *space ?N = space M* **using** *space-measure-of-conv[of (space M)] unfolding gen-subalgebra-def by simp*
have *geqn: G = sets ?N* **using** *assms by (simp add:gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets)*
thus *sets ?N ⊆ sets M* **using** *assms by simp*
qed

definition *fct-gen-subalgebra :: 'a measure ⇒ 'b measure ⇒ ('a ⇒ 'b) ⇒ 'a measure* **where**
fct-gen-subalgebra M N X = gen-subalgebra M (sigma-sets (space M) {X - 'B ∩ (space M) | B. B ∈ sets N})

```

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-sets:
  shows sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N X) = sigma-sets (space M) {X -` B ∩ space
M |B. B ∈ sets N}
  unfolding fct-gen-subalgebra-def gen-subalgebra-def
  proof -
    have {X -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets N} ⊆ Pow (space M)
      by blast
    then show sets (sigma (space M) (sigma-sets (space M) {X -` B ∩ space M
|B. B ∈ sets N})) = sigma-sets (space M) {X -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets N}
      by (meson sigma-algebra.sets-measure-of-eq sigma-algebra-sigma-sets)
  qed

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-space:
  shows space (fct-gen-subalgebra M N X) = space M
  unfolding fct-gen-subalgebra-def by (simp add: gen-subalgebra-space)

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-eq-sets:
  assumes sets M = sets P
  shows fct-gen-subalgebra M N X = fct-gen-subalgebra P N X
  proof -
    have space M = space P using sets-eq-imp-space-eq assms by auto
    thus ?thesis unfolding fct-gen-subalgebra-def gen-subalgebra-def by simp
  qed

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-sets-mem:
  assumes B ∈ sets N
  shows X -` B ∩ (space M) ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N X) unfolding
fct-gen-subalgebra-def
  proof -
    have f1: {X -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N} ⊆ Pow (space M)
      by blast
    have ∃ A. X -` B ∩ space M = X -` A ∩ space M ∧ A ∈ sets N
      by (metis assms)
    then show X -` B ∩ space M ∈ sets (gen-subalgebra M (sigma-sets (space M)
{X -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N}))
      using f1 by (simp add: gen-subalgebra-def sigma-algebra.sets-measure-of-eq
sigma-algebra-sigma-sets)
  qed

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra:
  assumes X ∈ measurable M N
  shows subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N X)
  unfolding fct-gen-subalgebra-def
  proof (rule gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra)
    show sigma-sets (space M) {X -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets N} ⊆ sets M (is
?L ⊆ ?R)
      proof (rule sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset)

```

```

show { $X -' B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\} \subseteq \text{sets } M$ 
proof
  fix  $a$ 
  assume  $a \in \{X -' B \cap (\text{space } M) \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\}$ 
  then obtain  $B$  where  $B \in \text{sets } N$  and  $a = X -' B \cap (\text{space } M)$  by auto
  thus  $a \in \text{sets } M$  using measurable-sets assms by simp
qed
  show  $\sigma\text{-algebra } (\text{space } M) (\text{sets } M)$  using measure-space by (auto simp add: measure-space-def)
qed
  show  $\sigma\text{-algebra } (\text{space } M) ?L$ 
proof (rule sigma-algebra-sigma-sets)
  let  $?preimages = \{X -' B \cap (\text{space } M) \mid B. B \in \text{sets } N\}$ 
  show  $?preimages \leq \text{Pow } (\text{space } M)$  using assms by auto
qed
qed

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable:
  assumes  $X \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$ 
  shows  $X \in \text{measurable } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) N$ 
  unfolding measurable-def
  proof ((intro CollectI), (intro conjI))
    have  $\text{speq}: \text{space } M = \text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X)$ 
      by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
    show  $X \in \text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) \rightarrow \text{space } N$ 
    proof –
      have  $X \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$  using assms by simp
      thus  $?thesis$  using speq by simp
    qed
    show  $\forall y \in \text{sets } N.$ 
       $X -' y \cap \text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X) \in \text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N X)$ 
    using fct-gen-subalgebra-sets-mem speq by metis
qed

```

```

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-min:
  assumes subalgebra  $M P$ 
  and  $f \in \text{measurable } P N$ 
  shows  $\text{subalgebra } P (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f)$ 
  unfolding subalgebra-def
  proof (intro conjI)
    let  $?Mf = \text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f$ 
    show  $\text{space } ?Mf = \text{space } P$  using assms
      by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-def gen-subalgebra-space subalgebra-def)
    show inc: sets } ?Mf \subseteq \text{sets } P
    proof –

```

```

have space M = space P using assms by (simp add:subalgebra-def)
have f ∈ measurable M N using assms using measurable-from-subalg by blast
have sigma-algebra (space P) (sets P) using assms measure-space measure-space-def
by auto
have ∀ A ∈ sets N. f -` A ∩ space P ∈ sets P using assms by simp
hence {f -` A ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets N} ⊆ sets P using <space M = space P> by auto
hence sigma-sets (space M) {f -` A ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets N} ⊆ sets P
by (simp add: <sigma-algebra (space P) (sets P)> <space M = space P>
sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset)
thus ?thesis using fct-gen-subalgebra-sets <f ∈ M → M N> <space M = space P>
assms(2)
measurable-sets mem-Collect-eq sets.sigma-sets-subset subsetI by blast
qed
qed

lemma fct-preimage-sigma-sets:
assumes X ∈ space M → space N
shows sigma-sets (space M) {X -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets N} = {X -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets N} (is ?L = ?R)
proof
show ?R ⊆ ?L by blast
show ?L ⊆ ?R
proof
fix A
assume A ∈ ?L
thus A ∈ ?R
proof (induct rule:sigma-sets.induct, auto)
{
fix B
assume B ∈ sets N
let ?cB = space N - B
have ?cB ∈ sets N by (simp add: <B ∈ sets N> sets.compl-sets)
have space M - X -` B ∩ space M = X -` ?cB ∩ space M
proof
show space M - X -` B ∩ space M ⊆ X -` (space N - B) ∩ space M
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ space M - X -` B ∩ space M
hence X w ∈ (space N - B) using assms by blast
thus w ∈ X -` (space N - B) ∩ space M using <w ∈ space M - X -` B ∩ space M> by blast
qed
show X -` (space N - B) ∩ space M ⊆ space M - X -` B ∩ space M
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ X -` (space N - B) ∩ space M
thus w ∈ space M - X -` B ∩ space M by blast
qed

```

```

qed
thus  $\exists Ba. space M - X -' B \cap space M = X -' Ba \cap space M \wedge Ba \in sets N$  using  $\langle ?cB \in sets N \rangle$  by auto
}
{
fix  $S::nat \Rightarrow 'a set$ 
assume  $(\bigwedge i. \exists B. S i = X -' B \cap space M \wedge B \in sets N)$ 
hence  $(\forall i. \exists B. S i = X -' B \cap space M \wedge B \in sets N)$  by auto
hence  $\exists f. \forall x. S x = X -'(f x) \cap space M \wedge (f x) \in sets N$ 
using choice[of  $\lambda i B . S i = X -' B \cap space M \wedge B \in sets N$ ] by simp
from this obtain rep where  $\forall i. S i = X -' (rep i) \cap space M \wedge (rep i) \in sets N$  by auto note rProp = this
let  $?uB = \bigcup i \in UNIV. rep i$ 
have  $?uB \in sets N$ 
by (simp add:  $\langle \forall i. S i = X -' rep i \cap space M \wedge rep i \in sets N \rangle$  countable-Un-Int(1))
have  $(\bigcup x. S x) = X -' ?uB \cap space M$ 
proof
show  $(\bigcup x. S x) \subseteq X -' (\bigcup i. rep i) \cap space M$ 
proof
fix w
assume  $w \in (\bigcup x. S x)$ 
hence  $\exists x. w \in S x$  by auto
from this obtain x where  $w \in S x$  by auto
hence  $w \in X -' rep x \cap space M$  using rProp by simp
hence  $w \in (\bigcup i. (X -' (rep i) \cap space M))$  by blast
also have ... =  $X -' (\bigcup i. rep i) \cap space M$  by auto
finally show  $w \in X -' (\bigcup i. rep i) \cap space M$ .
qed
show  $X -' (\bigcup i. rep i) \cap space M \subseteq (\bigcup x. S x)$ 
proof
fix w
assume  $w \in X -' (\bigcup i. rep i) \cap space M$ 
hence  $\exists x. w \in X -' (rep x) \cap space M$  by auto
from this obtain x where  $w \in X -' (rep x) \cap space M$  by auto
hence  $w \in S x$  using rProp by simp
thus  $w \in (\bigcup x. S x)$  by blast
qed
qed
thus  $\exists B. (\bigcup x. S x) = X -' B \cap space M \wedge B \in sets N$  using  $\langle ?uB \in sets N \rangle$  by auto
}
qed
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets:
assumes  $X \in space M \rightarrow space N$ 
shows sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N X) =  $\{X -' B \cap space M \mid B. B \in sets N\}$ 

```

by (*simp add: assms fct-gen-subalgebra-sets fct-preimage-sigma-sets*)

```

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-info:
  assumes f ∈ space M → space N
  and x ∈ space M
  and w ∈ space M
  and f x = f w
  shows ⋀ A. A ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N f) ⟹ (x ∈ A) = (w ∈ A)
proof –
  {fix A
   assume A ∈ sigma-sets (space M) {f -` B ∩ (space M) | B. B ∈ sets N}
   from this have (x ∈ A) = (w ∈ A)
   proof (induct rule:sigma-sets.induct)
   {
     fix a
     assume a ∈ {f -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets N}
     hence ∃ B ∈ sets N. a = f -` B ∩ space M by auto
     from this obtain B where B ∈ sets N and a = f -` B ∩ space M by blast
   note bhyp = this
   show (x ∈ a) = (w ∈ a) by (simp add: assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bhyp(2))
   }
   {
     fix a
     assume a ∈ sigma-sets (space M) {f -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets N}
     and (x ∈ a) = (w ∈ a) note xh = this
     show (x ∈ space M - a) = (w ∈ space M - a) by (simp add: assms(2)
     assms(3) xh(2))
   }
   {
     fix a::nat ⇒ 'a set
     assume (⋀ i. a i ∈ sigma-sets (space M) {f -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets
     N})
     and (⋀ i. (x ∈ a i) = (w ∈ a i))
     show (x ∈ ⋃(a ` UNIV)) = (w ∈ ⋃(a ` UNIV)) by (simp add: ⋀ i. (x ∈ a
     i) = (w ∈ a i))
   }
   {show (x ∈ {}) = (w ∈ {}) by simp}
   qed} note eqsig = this
  fix A
  assume A ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N f)
  hence A ∈ sigma-sets (space M) {f -` B ∩ (space M) | B. B ∈ sets N}
    using assms(1) fct-gen-subalgebra-sets by blast
    thus (x ∈ A) = (w ∈ A) using eqsig by simp
  qed

```

1.1 Independence between a random variable and a subalgebra.

```
definition (in prob-space) subalgebra-indep-var :: ('a ⇒ real) ⇒ 'a measure ⇒
bool where
  subalgebra-indep-var X N ←→
    X ∈ borel-measurable M &
    (subalgebra M N) &
    (indep-set (sigma-sets (space M) { X -` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel})
    (sets N))
```

```
lemma (in prob-space) indep-set-mono:
  assumes indep-set A B
  assumes A' ⊆ A
  assumes B' ⊆ B
  shows indep-set A' B'
by (meson indep-sets2-eq assms subsetCE subset-trans)
```

```
lemma (in prob-space) subalgebra-indep-var-indicator:
  fixes X::'a⇒real
  assumes subalgebra-indep-var X N
  and X ∈ borel-measurable M
  and A ∈ sets N
  shows indep-var borel X borel (indicator A)
proof ((rule indep-var-eq[THEN iffD2]), (intro conjI))
  let ?IA = (indicator A)::'a⇒ real
  show bm:random-variable borel X by (simp add: assms(2))
  show random-variable borel ?IA using assms indep-setD-ev2 unfolding subalgebra-indep-var-def by auto
  show indep-set (sigma-sets (space M) {X -` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel})
    (sigma-sets (space M) {?IA -` Aa ∩ space M | Aa. Aa ∈ sets borel})
  proof (rule indep-set-mono)
    show sigma-sets (space M) {X -` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel} ⊆ sigma-sets
      (space M) {X -` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel} by simp
    show sigma-sets (space M) {?IA -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets borel} ⊆ sets N
    proof -
      have sigma-algebra (space M) (sets N) using assms
        by (metis subalgebra-indep-var-def sets.sigma-algebra-axioms subalgebra-def)
      have sigma-sets (space M) {?IA -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets borel} ⊆ sigma-sets
        (space M) (sets N)
    proof (rule sigma-sets-subseteq)
      show {?IA -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets borel} ⊆ sets N
      proof
        fix x
        assume x ∈ {?IA -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets borel}
        then obtain B where B ∈ sets borel and x = ?IA -` B ∩ space M by
          auto
        thus x ∈ sets N
```

```

    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) assms(3) borel-measurable-indicator
measurable-sets subalgebra-indep-var-def subalgebra-def)
qed
qed
also have ... = sets N
by (simp add: <sigma-algebra (space M) (sets N)> sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-eq)
finally show sigma-sets (space M) {?IA -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets borel}
⊆ sets N .
qed
show indep-set (sigma-sets (space M) {X -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel})
(sets N)
using assms unfolding subalgebra-indep-var-def by simp
qed
qed

lemma fct-gen-subalgebra-cong:
assumes space M = space P
and sets N = sets Q
shows fct-gen-subalgebra M N X = fct-gen-subalgebra P Q X
proof -
have space M = space P using assms by simp
thus ?thesis using assms unfolding fct-gen-subalgebra-def gen-subalgebra-def
by simp
qed

end

```

2 Filtrations

This theory introduces basic notions about filtrations, which permit to define adaptable processes and predictable processes in the case where the filtration is indexed by natural numbers.

```
theory Filtration imports HOL-Probability.Probability
begin
```

2.1 Basic definitions

```
class linorder-bot = linorder + bot
instantiation nat::linorder-bot
begin
instance proof qed
end
```

```
definition filtration :: 'a measure ⇒ ('i::linorder-bot ⇒ 'a measure) ⇒ bool where
filtration M F ↔
```

$$(\forall t. \text{subalgebra } M (F t)) \wedge \\ (\forall s t. s \leq t \rightarrow \text{subalgebra } (F t) (F s))$$

lemma *filtrationI*:

assumes $\forall t. \text{subalgebra } M (F t)$
and $\forall s t. s \leq t \rightarrow \text{subalgebra } (F t) (F s)$
shows *filtration M F unfolding filtration-def using assms by simp*

lemma *filtrationE1*:

assumes *filtration M F*
shows *subalgebra M (F t) using assms unfolding filtration-def by simp*

lemma *filtrationE2*:

assumes *filtration M F*
shows $s \leq t \implies \text{subalgebra } (F t) (F s)$ **using assms unfolding filtration-def by simp**

locale *filtrated-prob-space* = *prob-space* +
fixes *F*
assumes *filtration: filtration M F*

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) *filtration-space*:
assumes $s \leq t$
shows *space (F s) = space (F t)* **by** (*metis filtration filtration-def subalgebra-def*)

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) *filtration-measurable*:

assumes $f \in \text{measurable } (F t) N$
shows $f \in \text{measurable } M N$ **unfoldng measurable-def**

proof

show $f \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N \wedge (\forall y \in \text{sets } N. f -` y \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M)$
proof (intro conjI ballI)

have *space (F t) = space M using assms filtration unfolding filtration-def subalgebra-def by auto*

thus $f \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$ **using assms unfolding measurable-def by simp**
fix *y*

assume $y \in \text{sets } N$

hence $f -` y \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } (F t)$ **using assms unfolding measurable-def**
using $\langle \text{space } (F t) = \text{space } M \rangle$ **by auto**

thus $f -` y \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ **using assms filtration unfolding filtration-def subalgebra-def by auto**

qed

qed

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) *increasing-measurable-info*:

assumes $f \in \text{measurable } (F s) N$
and $s \leq t$
shows $f \in \text{measurable } (F t) N$
proof (rule measurableI)

```

have inc: sets (F s) ⊆ sets (F t)
  using assms(2) filtration by (simp add: filtration-def subalgebra-def)
have sp: space (F s) = space (F t) by (metis filtration filtration-def subalgebra-def)
thus ⋀x. x ∈ space (F t) ⟹ f x ∈ space N using assms by (simp add: measurable-space)
show ⋀A. A ∈ sets N ⟹ f -` A ∩ space (F t) ∈ sets (F t)
proof -
  fix A
  assume A ∈ sets N
  hence f -` A ∩ space (F s) ∈ sets (F s) using assms using measurable-sets
  by blast
  hence f -` A ∩ space (F s) ∈ sets (F t) using subsetD[of F s F t] inc by blast
  thus f -` A ∩ space (F t) ∈ sets (F t) using sp by simp
qed
qed

```

```

definition disc-filtr :: 'a measure ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a measure) ⇒ bool where
disc-filtr M F ↔
(∀ n. subalgebra M (F n)) ∧
(∀ n m. n ≤ m → subalgebra (F m) (F n))

```

```

locale disc-filtr-prob-space = prob-space +
fixes F
assumes discrete-filtration: disc-filtr M F

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) subalgebra-filtration:
assumes subalgebra N M
and filtration M F
shows filtration N F
proof (rule filtrationI)
show ∀ s t. s ≤ t → subalgebra (F t) (F s) using assms unfolding filtration-def
by simp
show ∀ t. subalgebra N (F t)
proof
fix t
have subalgebra M (F t) using assms unfolding filtration-def by auto
thus subalgebra N (F t) using assms by (metis subalgebra-def subsetCE subsetI)
qed
qed

```

```

sublocale disc-filtr-prob-space ⊆ filtrated-prob-space
proof unfold-locales
show filtration M F

```

```

  using discrete-filtration by (simp add: filtration-def disc-filtr-def)
qed

```

2.2 Stochastic processes

Stochastic processes are collections of measurable functions. Those of a particular interest when there is a filtration are the adapted stochastic processes.

definition stoch-procs **where**

```
stoch-procs M N = {X. ∀ t. (X t) ∈ measurable M N}
```

2.2.1 Adapted stochastic processes

definition adapt-stoch-proc **where**

```
(adapt-stoch-proc F X N) ↔ (∀ t. (X t) ∈ measurable (F t) N)
```

abbreviation borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X ≡ adapt-stoch-proc F X borel

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) adapted-is-dsp:

assumes adapt-stoch-proc F X N

shows X ∈ stoch-procs M N

unfolding stoch-procs-def

by (intro CollectI, (meson adapt-stoch-proc-def assms filtration filtration-def measurable-from-subalg))

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable:

assumes adapt-stoch-proc F X N

shows ∀ n. (X n) ∈ measurable M N

proof

fix n

have X n ∈ measurable (F n) N using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp

moreover have subalgebra M (F n) using filtration unfolding filtration-def by simp

ultimately show X n ∈ measurable M N by (simp add:measurable-from-subalg)

qed

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable:

assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X

shows ∀ n. (X n) ∈ borel-measurable M

proof

fix n

have X n ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp

moreover have subalgebra M (F n) using filtration unfolding filtration-def by simp

ultimately show X n ∈ borel-measurable M by (simp add:measurable-from-subalg)

qed

```
lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) constant-process-borel-adapted:
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (λ n w. c)
  unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix t
  show (λw. c) ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using borel-measurable-const by blast
qed

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) borel-adapt-stoch-proc-add:
  fixes X::'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('c::{second-countable-topology, topological-monoid-add})
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
  and borel-adapt-stoch-proc F Y
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (λt w. X t w + Y t w) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix t
  have X t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
  by simp
  moreover have Y t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
  by simp
  ultimately show (λw. X t w + Y t w) ∈ borel-measurable (F t) by simp
qed

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) borel-adapt-stoch-proc-sum:
  fixes A::'d ⇒ 'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('c::{second-countable-topology, topological-comm-monoid-add})
  assumes ∀i. i ∈ S ⇒ borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (A i)
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (λ t w. (∑ i ∈ S. A i t w)) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix t
  have ∀i. i ∈ S ⇒ A i t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using assms unfolding
  adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
  thus (λ w. (∑ i ∈ S. A i t w)) ∈ borel-measurable (F t) by (simp add:borel-measurable-sum)
qed

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) borel-adapt-stoch-proc-times:
  fixes X::'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ ('c::{second-countable-topology, real-normed-algebra})
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
  and borel-adapt-stoch-proc F Y
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (λt w. X t w * Y t w) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix t
  have X t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
  by simp
  moreover have Y t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
  by simp
```

```

ultimately show  $(\lambda w. X t w * Y t w) \in borel-measurable (F t)$  by simp
qed

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) borel-adapt-stoch-proc-prod:
  fixes A::'d  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('c::{second-countable-topology, real-normed-field})
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (A i)$ 
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F  $(\lambda t w. (\prod i \in S. A i t w))$  unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix t
  have  $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies A i t \in borel-measurable (F t)$  using assms unfolding
adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
  thus  $(\lambda w. (\prod i \in S. A i t w)) \in borel-measurable (F t)$  by simp
qed

```

2.2.2 Predictable stochastic processes

```

definition predict-stoch-proc where
   $(predict-stoch-proc F X N) \longleftrightarrow (X 0 \in measurable (F 0) N \wedge (\forall n. (X (Suc n)) \in measurable (F n) N))$ 

```

abbreviation borel-predict-stoch-proc F X \equiv predict-stoch-proc F X borel

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) predict-imp-adapt:
  assumes predict-stoch-proc F X N
  shows adapt-stoch-proc F X N unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix n
  show X n  $\in$  measurable (F n) N
  proof (cases n = 0)
    case True
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by auto
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
      by (metis Suc-n-not-le-n increasing-measurable-info nat-le-linear not0-implies-Suc)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) predictable-is-dsp:
  assumes predict-stoch-proc F X N
  shows X  $\in$  stoch-procs M N
unfolding stoch-procs-def
proof
  show  $\forall n. random-variable N (X n)$ 
  proof
    fix n
    show random-variable N (X n)
  qed
qed

```

```

proof (cases n=0)
  case True
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
      using filtration-def measurable-from-subalg by blast
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
      by (metis filtration-def measurable-from-subalg not0-implies-Suc)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable:
  assumes borel-predict-stoch-proc F X
  shows  $\forall n. (X n) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using assms predictable-is-dsp unfolding
    stoch-procs-def by auto

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) constant-process-borel-predictable:
  shows borel-predict-stoch-proc F (\lambda n w. c)
  unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
  proof
    show  $(\lambda w. c) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F 0)$  using borel-measurable-const by blast
  next
    show  $\forall n. (\lambda w. c) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$  using borel-measurable-const by
      blast
  qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) borel-predict-stoch-proc-add:
  fixes X::nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  ('c::{'second-countable-topology, topological-monoid-add'})
  assumes borel-predict-stoch-proc F X
  and borel-predict-stoch-proc F Y
  shows borel-predict-stoch-proc F (\lambda t w. X t w + Y t w) unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
  proof
    show  $(\lambda w. X 0 w + Y 0 w) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F 0)$ 
      using assms(1) assms(2) borel-measurable-add predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
  next
    show  $\forall n. (\lambda w. X (\text{Suc } n) w + Y (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$ 
    proof
      fix n
      have X (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
      by simp
      moreover have Y (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding
        predict-stoch-proc-def by simp
      ultimately show  $(\lambda w. X (\text{Suc } n) w + Y (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$ 
      by simp

```

```

qed
qed

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) borel-predict-stoch-proc-sum:

fixes $A::'d \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c:\{second-countable-topology, topological-comm-monoid-add\})$

assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies borel-predict-stoch-proc F (A i)$

shows $borel-predict-stoch-proc F (\lambda t w. (\sum i \in S. A i t w))$ unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def

proof

show $(\lambda w. \sum i \in S. A i 0 w) \in borel-measurable (F 0)$

proof

have $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies A i 0 \in borel-measurable (F 0)$ using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp

thus $(\lambda w. (\sum i \in S. A i 0 w)) \in borel-measurable (F 0)$ by (simp add:borel-measurable-sum)

qed simp

next

show $\forall n. (\lambda w. \sum i \in S. A i (Suc n) w) \in borel-measurable (F n)$

proof

fix n

have $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies A i (Suc n) \in borel-measurable (F n)$ using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp

thus $(\lambda w. (\sum i \in S. A i (Suc n) w)) \in borel-measurable (F n)$ by (simp add:borel-measurable-sum)

qed

qed

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) borel-predict-stoch-proc-times:

fixes $X::nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c:\{second-countable-topology, real-normed-algebra\})$

assumes borel-predict-stoch-proc $F X$

and borel-predict-stoch-proc $F Y$

shows $borel-predict-stoch-proc F (\lambda t w. X t w * Y t w)$ unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def

proof

show $(\lambda w. X 0 w * Y 0 w) \in borel-measurable (F 0)$

proof –

have $X 0 \in borel-measurable (F 0)$ using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp

moreover have $Y 0 \in borel-measurable (F 0)$ using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp

ultimately show $(\lambda w. X 0 w * Y 0 w) \in borel-measurable (F 0)$ by simp

qed

next

show $\forall n. (\lambda w. X (Suc n) w * Y (Suc n) w) \in borel-measurable (F n)$

proof

fix n

have $X (Suc n) \in borel-measurable (F n)$ using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp

moreover have $Y (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F n)$ **using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp**
ultimately show $(\lambda w. X (\text{Suc } n) w * Y (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F n)$
by simp
qed
qed

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) borel-predict-stoch-proc-prod:
fixes $A ::= 'd \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow ('c :: \{\text{second-countable-topology}, \text{real-normed-field}\})$
assumes $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F (A i)$
shows $\text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F (\lambda t w. (\prod i \in S. A i t w))$ **unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def**
proof
show $(\lambda w. \prod i \in S. A i 0 w) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F 0)$
proof –
have $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies A i 0 \in \text{borel-measurable} (F 0)$ **using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp**
thus $(\lambda w. (\prod i \in S. A i 0 w)) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F 0)$ **by simp**
qed
next
show $\forall n. (\lambda w. \prod i \in S. A i (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F n)$
proof
fix n
have $\bigwedge i. i \in S \implies A i (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F n)$ **using assms unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def by simp**
thus $(\lambda w. (\prod i \in S. A i (\text{Suc } n) w)) \in \text{borel-measurable} (F n)$ **by simp**
qed
qed

definition (in prob-space) constant-image where
 $\text{constant-image } f = (\text{if } \exists c :: 'b :: \{t2\text{-space}\}. \forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c \text{ then}$
 $\text{SOME } c. \forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c \text{ else undefined})$

lemma (in prob-space) constant-imageI:
assumes $\exists c :: 'b :: \{t2\text{-space}\}. \forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c$
shows $\forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = (\text{constant-image } f)$
proof
fix x
assume $x \in \text{space } M$
let $?c = \text{SOME } c. \forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c$
have $f x = ?c$ **using** $\langle x \in \text{space } M \rangle \text{ someI-ex}[of \lambda c. \forall x \in \text{space } M. f x = c]$ **assms by blast**
thus $f x = (\text{constant-image } f)$ **by** (*simp add: assms prob-space.constant-image-def prob-space-axioms*)
qed

lemma (in prob-space) constant-image-pos:
assumes $\forall x \in \text{space } M. (0 :: \text{real}) < f x$

```

and  $\exists c::real. \forall x \in space M. f x = c$ 
shows  $0 < (constant-image f)$ 
proof -
{
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in space M$ 
  hence  $0 < f x$  using assms by simp
  also have ... =  $constant-image f$  using assms constant-imageI  $\langle x \in space M \rangle$ 
  by auto
  finally have ?thesis .
}
thus ?thesis using subprob-not-empty by auto
qed

```

definition *open-except where*
 $open\text{-except } x y = (if x = y then \{\} else SOME A. open A \wedge x \in A \wedge y \notin A)$

```

lemma open-exceptI:
assumes  $(x::'b::\{t1\text{-space}\}) \neq y$ 
shows  $open(open\text{-except } x y) \text{ and } x \in open\text{-except } x y \text{ and } y \notin open\text{-except } x y$ 
proof-
  have  $ex:\exists U. open U \wedge x \in U \wedge y \notin U$  using  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$  by (simp add:t1-space)
  let ?V = SOME A. open A  $\wedge x \in A \wedge y \notin A$ 
  have  $vprop: open ?V \wedge x \in ?V \wedge y \notin ?V$  using someI-ex[of  $\lambda U. open U \wedge x \in U \wedge y \notin U$ ] ex blast
  show  $open(open\text{-except } x y)$  by (simp add: open-except-def vprop)
  show  $x \in open\text{-except } x y$  by (metis (full-types) open-except-def vprop)
  show  $y \notin open\text{-except } x y$  by (metis (full-types) open-except-def vprop)
qed

```

```

lemma open-except-set:
assumes finite A
and  $(x::'b::\{t1\text{-space}\}) \notin A$ 
shows  $\exists U. open U \wedge x \in U \wedge U \cap A = \{\}$ 
proof (intro exI conjI)
  have  $\forall y \in A. x \neq y$  using assms by auto
  let ?U =  $\bigcap y \in A. open\text{-except } x y$ 
  show  $open ?U$ 
proof (intro open-INT ballI, (simp add: assms))
  fix  $y$ 
  assume  $y \in A$ 
  show  $open(open\text{-except } x y)$  using  $\langle \forall y \in A. x \neq y \rangle$  by (simp add:  $\langle y \in A \rangle$  open-exceptI)
qed
show  $x \in (\bigcap y \in A. open\text{-except } x y)$ 
proof
  fix  $y$ 
  assume  $y \in A$ 

```

```

show  $x \in \text{open-except } x \ y$  using  $\forall y \in A. \ x \neq y$  by (simp add:  $\langle y \in A \rangle$ 
open-exceptI)
qed
have  $\forall y \in A. \ y \notin ?U$  using  $\forall y \in A. \ x \neq y$  open-exceptI(3) by auto
thus  $(\bigcap y \in A. \ \text{open-except } x \ y) \cap A = \{\}$  by auto
qed

definition open-exclude-set where
open-exclude-set  $x \ A = (\text{if } (\exists U. \ \text{open } U \wedge \ U \cap A = \{x\}) \text{ then SOME } U. \ \text{open } U$ 
 $\wedge \ U \cap A = \{x\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 

lemma open-exclude-setI:
assumes  $\exists U. \ \text{open } U \wedge \ U \cap A = \{x\}$ 
shows  $\text{open}(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A)$  and  $(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A) \cap A = \{x\}$ 
proof -
  let  $?V = \text{SOME } U. \ \text{open } U \wedge \ U \cap A = \{x\}$ 
  have  $vprop: \text{open } ?V \wedge ?V \cap A = \{x\}$  using someI-ex[of  $\lambda U. \ \text{open } U \wedge \ U \cap A = \{x\}$ ] assms by blast
  show  $\text{open}(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A)$  by (simp add: open-exclude-set-def vprop)
  show  $\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A \cap A = \{x\}$  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) open-exclude-set-def
vprop)
qed

lemma open-exclude-finite:
assumes finite A
and  $(x::'b::\{t1-space\}) \in A$ 
shows  $\text{open-set}: \text{open}(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A)$  and inter-x: $(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A) \cap A = \{x\}$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists U. \ \text{open } U \wedge \ U \cap A = \{x\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $\exists U. \ \text{open } U \wedge x \in U \wedge \ U \cap (A - \{x\}) = \{\}$ 
    proof (rule open-except-set)
      show finite  $(A - \{x\})$  using assms by auto
      show  $x \notin A - \{x\}$  by simp
    qed
    thus ?thesis using assms by auto
  qed
  thus  $\text{open}(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A)$  and  $(\text{open-exclude-set } x \ A) \cap A = \{x\}$  by (auto
  simp add: open-exclude-setI)
qed

```

2.3 Initially trivial filtrations

Intuitively, these are filtrations that can be used to denote the fact that there is no information at the start.

```

definition init-triv-filt::'a measure  $\Rightarrow$  ('i::linorder-bot  $\Rightarrow$  'a measure)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
init-triv-filt  $M \ F \longleftrightarrow \text{filtration } M \ F \wedge \text{sets } (F \ \text{bot}) = \{\{\}, \ \text{space } M\}$ 

```

```

lemma triv-measurable-cst:
  fixes f::'a⇒'b::{t2-space}
  assumes space N = space M
  and space M ≠ {}
  and sets N = {{}}, space M
  and f ∈ measurable N borel
  shows ∃ c::'b. ∀ x ∈ space N. f x = c
  proof -
    have f ` (space N) ≠ {} using assms by (simp add: assms)
    hence ∃ c. c ∈ f ` (space N) by auto
    from this obtain c where c ∈ f ` (space N) by auto
    have ∀ x ∈ space N. f x = c
    proof
      fix x
      assume x ∈ space N
      show f x = c
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume f x ≠ c
        hence (∃ U V. open U ∧ open V ∧ (f x) ∈ U ∧ c ∈ V ∧ U ∩ V = {}) by
          (simp add: separation-t2)
        from this obtain U and V where open U and open V and (f x) ∈ U and
          c ∈ V and U ∩ V = {} by blast
        have (f - ` V) ∩ space N = space N
        proof -
          have V ∈ sets borel using ⟨open V⟩ unfolding borel-def by simp
          hence (f - ` V) ∩ space N ∈ sets N using assms unfolding measurable-def
          by simp
          show (f - ` V) ∩ space N = space N
          proof (rule ccontr)
            assume (f - ` V) ∩ space N ≠ space N
            hence (f - ` V) ∩ space N = {} using assms ⟨(f - ` V) ∩ space N ∈ sets
              N⟩ by simp
            thus False using ⟨c ∈ V⟩ using ⟨c ∈ f ` space N⟩ by blast
            qed
            qed
            have ((f - ` U) ∩ space N) ∩ ((f - ` V) ∩ space N) = {} using ⟨U ∩ V = {}⟩
            by auto
            moreover have (f - ` U) ∩ space N ∈ sets N using assms ⟨open U⟩ unfolding
            measurable-def by simp
            ultimately have (f - ` U) ∩ space N = {} using assms ⟨(f - ` V) ∩ space N =
              space N⟩ by simp
            thus False using ⟨f x ∈ U⟩ ⟨x ∈ space N⟩ by blast
            qed
            qed
            thus ∃ c. ∀ x ∈ space N. f x = c by auto
            qed
  locale trivial-init-filtrated-prob-space = prob-space +
    fixes F

```

```

assumes info-filtration: init-triv-filt M F

sublocale trivial-init-filtrated-prob-space ⊆ filtrated-prob-space
  using info-filtration unfolding init-triv-filt-def by (unfold-locales, simp)

locale triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space = prob-space +
  fixes F
  assumes info-disc-filtr: disc-filtr M F ∧ sets (F bot) = {{}, space M}

sublocale triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space ⊆ trivial-init-filtrated-prob-space
proof unfold-locales
  show init-triv-filt M F using info-disc-filtr bot-nat-def unfolding init-triv-filt-def
    disc-filtr-def
    by (simp add: filtrationI)

qed

sublocale triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space ⊆ disc-filtr-prob-space
proof unfold-locales
  show disc-filtr M F using info-disc-filtr by simp
qed

lemma (in triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space) adapted-init:
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F x
  shows ∃ c. ∀ w ∈ space M. ((x 0 w)::real) = c
proof –
  have space M = space (F 0) using filtration
    by (simp add: filtration-def subalgebra-def)
  moreover have ∃ c. ∀ w ∈ space (F 0). x 0 w = c
    proof (rule triv-measurable-cst)
      show space (F 0) = space M using `space M = space (F 0)` ..
      show sets (F 0) = {{}, space M} using info-disc-filtr
        by (simp add: init-triv-filt-def bot-nat-def)
      show x 0 ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using assms by (simp add: adapt-stoch-proc-def)
        show space M ≠ {} by (simp add: not-empty)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

2.4 Filtration-equivalent measure spaces

This is a relaxation of the notion of equivalent probability spaces, where equivalence is tested modulo a filtration. Equivalent measure spaces agree on events that have a zero probability of occurring; here, filtration-equivalent measure spaces agree on such events when they belong to the filtration under consideration.

definition *filt-equiv where*
 $\text{filt-equiv } F M N \longleftrightarrow \text{sets } M = \text{sets } N \wedge \text{filtration } M F \wedge (\forall t A. A \in \text{sets } (F t) \rightarrow (\text{emeasure } M A = 0) \longleftrightarrow (\text{emeasure } N A = 0))$

lemma *filt-equiv-space:*
assumes *filt-equiv F M N*
shows *space M = space N using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def filtration-def subalgebra-def by (meson sets-eq-imp-space-eq)*

lemma *filt-equiv-sets:*
assumes *filt-equiv F M N*
shows *sets M = sets N using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def by simp*

lemma *filt-equiv-filtration:*
assumes *filt-equiv F M N*
shows *filtration N F using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def filtration-def subalgebra-def by (metis sets-eq-imp-space-eq)*

lemma (in filtrated-prob-space) AE-borel-eq:
fixes $f::'a\Rightarrow\text{real}$
assumes $f\in\text{borel-measurable } (F t)$
and $g\in\text{borel-measurable } (F t)$
and $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. f w = g w$
shows $\{w\in\text{space } M. f w \neq g w\} \in \text{sets } (F t) \wedge \text{emeasure } M \{w\in\text{space } M. f w \neq g w\} = 0$
proof
show $\{w\in\text{space } M. f w \neq g w\} \in \text{sets } (F t)$
proof –
define *minus where* $\text{minus} = (\lambda w. (f w) - (g w))$
have $\text{minus} \in \text{borel-measurable } (F t)$ **unfolding** *minus-def using assms by simp*
hence $\{w\in\text{space } (F t). 0 < \text{minus } w\} \in \text{sets } (F t)$ **using** *borel-measurable-iff-greater by auto*
moreover have $\{w\in\text{space } (F t). \text{minus } w < 0\} \in \text{sets } (F t)$ **using** *borel-measurable-iff-less <minus ∈ borel-measurable (F t) by auto*
ultimately have $\{w\in\text{space } (F t). 0 < \text{minus } w\} \cup \{w\in\text{space } (F t). \text{minus } w < 0\} \in \text{sets } (F t)$ **by simp**
moreover have $\{w\in\text{space } (F t). f w \neq g w\} = \{w\in\text{space } (F t). 0 < \text{minus } w\} \cup \{w\in\text{space } (F t). \text{minus } w < 0\}$
proof
show $\{w\in\text{space } (F t). f w \neq g w\} \subseteq \{w\in\text{space } (F t). 0 < \text{minus } w\} \cup \{w\in\text{space } (F t). \text{minus } w < 0\}$

```

proof
  fix w
  assume w ∈ {w ∈ space (F t). f w ≠ g w}
  hence w ∈ space (F t) and f w ≠ g w by auto
  thus w ∈ {w ∈ space (F t). 0 < minus w} ∪ {w ∈ space (F t). minus w <
0} unfolding minus-def
    by (cases f w < g w) auto
  qed
  have {w ∈ space (F t). 0 < minus w} ⊆ {w ∈ space (F t). f w ≠ g w}
unfolding minus-def by auto
  moreover have {w ∈ space (F t). minus w < 0} ⊆ {w ∈ space (F t). f w ≠
g w} unfolding minus-def by auto
  ultimately show {w ∈ space (F t). 0 < minus w} ∪ {w ∈ space (F t). minus
w < 0} ⊆ {w ∈ space (F t). f w ≠ g w}
    by simp
  qed
  moreover have space (F t) = space M using filtration unfolding filtration-def
  subalgebra-def by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  show emeasure M {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0 by (metis (no-types) AE-iff-measurable
assms(3) emeasure-notin-sets)
  qed

```

```

lemma (in prob-space) filt-equiv-borel-AE-eq:
  fixes f::'a ⇒ real
  assumes filt-equiv F M N
  and f ∈ borel-measurable (F t)
  and g ∈ borel-measurable (F t)
  and AE w in M. f w = g w
  shows AE w in N. f w = g w
  proof –
    have set0: {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets (F t) ∧ emeasure M {w ∈ space M.
f w ≠ g w} = 0
    proof (rule filtrated-prob-space.AE-borel-eq, (auto simp add: assms))
      show filtrated-prob-space M F using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def
        by (simp add: filtrated-prob-space-axioms.intro filtrated-prob-space-def prob-space-axioms)
    qed
    hence emeasure N {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0 using assms unfolding
    filt-equiv-def by auto
    moreover have {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets N using set0 assms unfolding
    filt-equiv-def
      filtration-def subalgebra-def by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis
  proof –
  have space M = space N
    by (metis assms(1) filt-equiv-space)
    then have ∀ p. almost-everywhere N p ∨ {a ∈ space N. ¬ p a} ≠ {a ∈ space

```

```

 $N. f a \neq g a\}$ 
using AE-iff-measurable ⟨emeasure N {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0⟩ ⟨{w
∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets N⟩
by auto
then show ?thesis
by metis
qed
qed

lemma filt-equiv-prob-space-subalgebra:
assumes prob-space N
and filt-equiv F M N
and sigma-finite-subalgebra M G
shows sigma-finite-subalgebra N G unfolding sigma-finite-subalgebra-def
proof
show subalgebra N G
by (metis assms(2) assms(3) filt-equiv-space filt-equiv-def sigma-finite-subalgebra-def
subalgebra-def)
show sigma-finite-measure (restr-to-subalg N G) unfolding restr-to-subalg-def
by (metis ⟨subalgebra N G⟩ assms(1) finite-measure-def finite-measure-restr-to-subalg
prob-space-def restr-to-subalg-def)
qed

lemma filt-equiv-measurable:
assumes filt-equiv F M N
and f ∈ measurable M P
shows f ∈ measurable N P using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def measurable-def
proof –
assume a1: sets M = sets N ∧ Filtration.filtration M F ∧ (∀ t A. A ∈ sets (F
t) → (emeasure M A = 0) = (emeasure N A = 0))
assume a2: f ∈ {f ∈ space M → space P. ∀ y ∈ sets P. f −` y ∩ space M ∈ sets
M}
have space N = space M
using a1 by (metis (lifting) sets-eq-imp-space-eq)
then show f ∈ {f ∈ space N → space P. ∀ C ∈ sets P. f −` C ∩ space N ∈ sets
N}
using a2 a1 by force
qed

lemma filt-equiv-imp-subalgebra:
assumes filt-equiv F M N
shows subalgebra N M unfolding subalgebra-def
using assms filt-equiv-space filt-equiv-def by blast

```

end

3 Martingales

theory *Martingale imports Filtration*
begin

definition *martingale where*

martingale M F X \longleftrightarrow
 $(filtration M F) \wedge (\forall t. integrable M (X t)) \wedge (borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X) \wedge$
 $(\forall t s. t \leq s \longrightarrow (AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F t) (X s) w = X t w))$

lemma *martingaleAE:*

assumes *martingale M F X*
and $t \leq s$

shows $AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F t) (X s) w = (X t) w$ **using assms unfolding martingale-def by simp**

lemma *martingale-add:*

assumes *martingale M F X*

and *martingale M F Y*

and $\forall m. sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F m)$

shows *martingale M F ($\lambda n w. X n w + Y n w$)* **unfolding martingale-def**

proof (*intro conjI*)

let $?sum = \lambda n w. X n w + Y n w$

show $\forall n. integrable M (\lambda w. X n w + Y n w)$

proof

fix n

show *integrable M ($\lambda w. X n w + Y n w$)*

by (*metis Bochner-Integration.integrable-add assms(1) assms(2) martingale-def*)

qed

show $\forall n m. n \leq m \longrightarrow (AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) (\lambda w. X m w + Y m w) w = X n w + Y n w)$

proof (*intro allI impI*)

fix $n::'b$

fix m

assume $n \leq m$

show *AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) ($\lambda w. X m w + Y m w$) w = X n w + Y n w*

proof –

have *integrable M (X m)* **using assms unfolding martingale-def by simp**

moreover have *integrable M (Y m)* **using assms unfolding martingale-def**

by *simp*

moreover have *sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F n)* **using assms by simp**

ultimately have *AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) ($\lambda w. X m w + Y m w$)*

```

w =
    real-cond-exp M (F n) (X m) w + real-cond-exp M (F n) (Y m) w
    using sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-add[of M F n X m Y m] by simp
    moreover have AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) (X m) w = X n w using
    ⟨n ≤ m⟩ assms
        unfolding martingale-def by simp
    moreover have AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) (Y m) w = Y n w using
    ⟨n ≤ m⟩ assms
        unfolding martingale-def by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis by auto
    qed
qed
show filtration M F using assms unfolding martingale-def by simp
show borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (λn w. X n w + Y n w) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
fix n
show (λw. X n w + Y n w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding
martingale-def adapt-stoch-proc-def
by (simp add: borel-measurable-add)
qed
qed

lemma disc-martingale-charact:
assumes (∀n. integrable M (X n))
and filtration M F
and ∀m. sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F m)
and ∀m. X m ∈ borel-measurable (F m)
and (∀n. AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) (X (Suc n)) w = (X n) w)
shows martingale M F X unfolding martingale-def
proof (intro conjI)
have ∀ k m. k ≤ m → (AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F (m-k)) (X m) w =
X (m-k) w)
proof (intro allI impI)
fix m
fix k::nat
show k ≤ m → AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F (m-k)) (X m) w = X (m-k)
w
proof (induct k)
case 0
have X m ∈ borel-measurable (F m) using assms by simp
moreover have integrable M (X m) using assms by simp
moreover have sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F m) using assms by simp
ultimately have AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F m) (X m) w = X m w
using sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-F-meas[of M F m X m] by simp
thus ?case using 0 by simp
next
case (Suc k)
have Suc (m - (Suc k)) = m - k using Suc by simp
hence AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F (m - (Suc k))) (X (Suc (m - (Suc

```

```

k))) w = (X (m - (Suc k))) w
  using assms by blast
  hence AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F (m - (Suc k))) (X ((m - k))) w =
(X (m - (Suc k))) w
  using assms(3) Suc (m - (Suc k)) = m - k by simp
  moreover have AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F (m - (Suc k))) (real-cond-exp
M (F (m - k)) (X m)) w =
  real-cond-exp M (F (m - (Suc k))) (X m) w
  using sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-nested-subalg[of M F (m - (Suc
k)) F (m-k) X m]
  by (metis Filtration.filtration-def Suc-n-not-le-n Suc (m - Suc k) = m -
k) assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
    filtrationE1 nat-le-linear)
  moreover have AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F (m - (Suc k))) (real-cond-exp
M (F (m - k)) (X m)) w =
  real-cond-exp M (F (m - (Suc k))) (X (m-k)) w using Suc
  sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-cong[of M F (m - (Suc k)) real-cond-exp
M (F (m - k)) (X m) X (m - k)]
  borel-measurable-cond-exp[of M F (m-k) X m]
  using Suc-leD assms(1) assms(3) borel-measurable-cond-exp2 by blast
  ultimately show ?case by auto
qed
qed
thus ∀ n m. n ≤ m → (AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F n) (X m) w = X n w)
  by (metis diff-diff-cancel diff-le-self)
show ∀ t. integrable M (X t) using assms by simp
show filtration M F using assms by simp
show borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in finite-measure) constant-martingale:
  assumes ∀ t. sigma-finite-subalgebra M (F t)
  and filtration M F
  shows martingale M F (λn w. c) unfolding martingale-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI)
  show filtration M F using assms by simp
  {
    fix t
    show integrable M (λw. c) by simp
  }
  {
    fix t::'b
    fix s
    assume t ≤ s
    show AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (F t) (λw. c) w = c
      by (intro sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-F-meas, (auto simp add: assms))
  }

```

```

show borel-adapt-stoch-proc F ( $\lambda n w. c$ ) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
qed

```

```
end
```

4 Discrete Conditional Expectation

```

theory Disc-Cond-Expect imports HOL-Probability. Probability Generated-Subalgebra
begin

```

4.1 Preliminary measurability results

These are some useful results, in particular when working with functions that have a countable codomain.

```

definition disc-fct where
  disc-fct f  $\equiv$  countable (range f)

```

```

definition point-measurable where
  point-measurable M S f  $\equiv$  (f`space M)  $\subseteq$  S  $\wedge$  ( $\forall r \in (\text{range } f) \cap S . f^{-1}\{r\} \cap (\text{space } M)$   $\in$  sets M)

```

```
lemma singl-meas-if:
```

```

assumes f  $\in$  space M  $\rightarrow$  space N
and  $\forall r \in \text{range } f \cap \text{space } N . \exists A \in \text{sets } N . \text{range } f \cap A = \{r\}$ 
shows point-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra M N f) (space N) f unfolding point-measurable-def
proof
  show f`space (fct-gen-subalgebra M N f)  $\subseteq$  space N using assms
    by (simp add: Pi-iff fct-gen-subalgebra-space image-subsetI)
  show ( $\forall r \in \text{range } f \cap \text{space } N . f^{-1}\{r\} \cap \text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f) \in \text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f))$ 
  proof
    fix r
    assume r  $\in$  range f  $\cap$  space N
    hence  $\exists A \in \text{sets } N . \text{range } f \cap A = \{r\}$  using assms by blast
    from this obtain A where A  $\in$  sets N and range f  $\cap$  A = {r} by auto note
    Aprops = this
    hence f $^{-1}A = f^{-1}\{r\}$  by auto
    hence f $^{-1}A \cap \text{space } M = f^{-1}\{r\} \cap \text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f)$  by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
    thus f $^{-1}\{r\} \cap \text{space } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f) \in \text{sets } (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N f)$ 
      using Aprops fct-gen-subalgebra-sets-mem[of A N f M] by simp
    qed
  qed

```

lemma *meas-single-meas*:

assumes $f \in measurable M N$

and $\forall r \in range f \cap space N. \exists A \in sets N. range f \cap A = \{r\}$

shows *point-measurable M (space N) f*

proof –

have *subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)* **using** *assms fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra by blast*

hence *sets (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f) ⊆ sets M* **by** (*simp add: subalgebra-def*)

moreover have *point-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f) (space N) f* **using** *assms singl-meas-if by (metis (no-types, lifting) Pi-iff measurable-space)*

ultimately show *?thesis*

proof –

obtain $bb :: 'a measure \Rightarrow 'b set \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'b) \Rightarrow 'b$ **where**

$f1: \forall m B f. (\neg point-measurable m B f \vee f ' space m \subseteq B \wedge (\forall b. b \notin range f \cap B \vee f - \{b\} \cap space m \in sets m)) \wedge (\neg f ' space m \subseteq B \vee bb m B f \in range f \cap B \wedge f - \{bb m B f\} \cap space m \notin sets m \vee point-measurable m B f)$

by (*metis (no-types) point-measurable-def*)

moreover

{ assume $f - \{bb M (space N) f\} \cap space (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f) \in sets (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)$

then have $f - \{bb M (space N) f\} \cap space M \in sets (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)$

by (*metis <subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)> subalgebra-def*)

then have $f - \{bb M (space N) f\} \cap space M \in sets M$

using *<sets (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)> ⊆ sets M* **by** *blast*

then have $f ' space M \subseteq space N \wedge f - \{bb M (space N) f\} \cap space M \in sets M$

using $f1$ **by** (*metis <point-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)> (space N) f <subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)> subalgebra-def*)

then have *?thesis*

using $f1$ **by** *metis }*

ultimately show *?thesis*

by (*metis (no-types) <point-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)> (space N) f <subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra MN f)> subalgebra-def*)

qed

qed

definition *countable-preimages where*

countable-preimages B Y = (λn. if ((infinite B) ∨ (finite B ∧ n < card B)) then Y - {from-nat-into B} n else {})

lemma *count-pre-disj*:

fixes $i :: nat$

assumes *countable B*

and $i \neq j$

```

shows (countable-preimages B Y) i ∩ (countable-preimages B Y) j = {}
proof (cases (countable-preimages B Y) i = {} ∨ (countable-preimages B Y) j
= {})
  case True
  thus ?thesis by auto
next
  case False
  hence Y -‘ {(from-nat-into B) i} ≠ {} ∧ Y -‘ {(from-nat-into B) j} ≠ {}
  unfolding countable-preimages-def by meson
  have (infinite B) ∨ (finite B ∧ i < card B ∧ j < card B) using False unfolding
  countable-preimages-def
    by meson
  have (from-nat-into B) i ≠ (from-nat-into B) j
    by (metis False assms(1) assms(2) bij-betw-def countable-preimages-def from-nat-into-inj
    from-nat-into-inj-infinite lessThan-iff to-nat-on-finite)
  thus ?thesis
  proof -
    have f1: ∀ A f n. if infinite A ∨ finite A ∧ n < card A then countable-preimages
    A f n = f -‘ {from-nat-into A n::'a} else countable-preimages A f n = ({}::'b set)
      by (meson countable-preimages-def)
    then have f2: infinite B ∨ finite B ∧ i < card B
      by (metis (no-types) False)
    have infinite B ∨ finite B ∧ j < card B
      using f1 by (meson False)
    then show ?thesis
      using f2 f1 ‘from-nat-into B i ≠ from-nat-into B j’ by fastforce
  qed
qed

lemma count-pre-surj:
assumes countable B
and w ∈ Y -‘B
shows ∃ i. w ∈ (countable-preimages B Y) i
proof (cases finite B)
  case True
  have ∃ i < card B. (from-nat-into B) i = Y w
    by (metis True assms(1) assms(2) bij-betw-def from-nat-into-to-nat-on im-
    age-eqI lessThan-iff
    to-nat-on-finite vimageE)
  from this obtain i where i < card B and (from-nat-into B) i = Y w by blast
  hence w ∈ (countable-preimages B Y) i
    by (simp add: countable-preimages-def)
  thus ∃ i. w ∈ (countable-preimages B Y) i by auto
next
  case False
  hence ∃ i. (from-nat-into B) i = Y w
    by (meson assms(1) assms(2) from-nat-into-to-nat-on vimageE)
  from this obtain i where (from-nat-into B) i = Y w by blast
  hence w ∈ (countable-preimages B Y) i

```

```

    by (simp add: False countable-preimages-def)
  thus  $\exists i. w \in (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i$  by auto
qed

lemma count-pre-img:
  assumes  $x \in (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) n$ 
  shows  $Y x = (\text{from-nat-into } B) n$ 
proof -
  have  $x \in Y - \{(\text{from-nat-into } B) n\}$  using assms unfolding countable-preimages-def
    by (meson empty-iff)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma count-pre-union-img:
  assumes countable B
  shows  $Y - 'B = (\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i)$ 
proof (cases B = {})
  case False
  have  $Y - 'B \subseteq (\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i)$ 
    by (simp add: assms count-pre-surj subset-eq)
  moreover have  $(\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i) \subseteq Y - 'B$ 
  proof -
    have f1:  $\forall b A f n. (b :: 'b) \notin \text{countable-preimages } A f n \vee (f b :: 'a) = \text{from-nat-into } A n$ 
      by (meson count-pre-img)
    have range (from-nat-into B) = B
      by (meson False assms range-from-nat-into)
    then show ?thesis
      using f1 by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
  case True
  hence  $\forall i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i = \{\}$  unfolding countable-preimages-def
  by simp
  hence  $(\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i) = \{\}$  by auto
  moreover have  $Y - 'B = \{\}$  using True by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma count-pre-meas:
  assumes point-measurable M (space N) Y
  and B ⊆ space N
  and countable B
  shows  $\forall i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
proof
  fix i

```

```

have  $Y - 'B = (\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i)$  using assms
  by (simp add: count-pre-union-img)
show countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M ∈ sets M
proof (cases countable-preimages B Y i = {})
  case True
    thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case False
    from this obtain y where y ∈ countable-preimages B Y i by auto
    hence countable-preimages B Y i = Y - ' {Y y}
      by (metis False count-pre-img countable-preimages-def)
    have Y y = from-nat-into B i
      by (meson < y ∈ countable-preimages B Y i> count-pre-img)
    hence Y y ∈ space N
      by (metis UNIV-I UN-I < y ∈ countable-preimages B Y i> < Y - 'B = (\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i)> assms(2) empty_iff from-nat-into subsetCE vimage-empty)
    moreover have Y y ∈ range Y by simp
    thus ?thesis
      by (metis IntI < countable-preimages B Y i = Y - ' {Y y}> assms(1) calculation point-measurable-def)
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma disc-fct-point-measurable:
assumes disc-fct f
and point-measurable M (space N) f
shows f ∈ measurable M N unfolding measurable-def
proof
  show f ∈ space M → space N ∧ (∀ y ∈ sets N. f - ' y ∩ space M ∈ sets M)
  proof
    show f ∈ space M → space N using assms unfolding point-measurable-def
    by auto
    show ∀ y ∈ sets N. f - ' y ∩ space M ∈ sets M
  proof
    fix y
    assume y ∈ sets N
    let ?imY = range f ∩ y
    have f - 'y = f - '?imY by auto
    moreover have countable ?imY using assms unfolding disc-fct-def by auto
    ultimately have f - 'y = (\bigcup i. ((countable-preimages ?imY f) i)) using assms count-pre-union-img by metis
    hence yeq: f - 'y ∩ space M = (\bigcup i. ((countable-preimages ?imY f) i)) ∩ space M by auto
    have ∀ i. countable-preimages ?imY f i ∩ space M ∈ sets M
      by (metis <countable (range f ∩ y)> < y ∈ sets N> assms(2) inf_le2 le-inf iff count-pre-meas sets.Int-space-eq1)
    hence (\bigcup i. ((countable-preimages ?imY f) i)) ∩ space M ∈ sets M by blast

```

```

thus  $f -^c y \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$  using  $y = q$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *set-point-measurable*:

assumes *point-measurable* M (*space* N) Y
and $B \subseteq \text{space } N$
and *countable* B
shows $(Y -^c B) \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$

proof –

have $Y -^c B = (\bigcup i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i)$ **using** *assms*
by ($\text{simp add: count-pre-union-img}$)
hence $Y -^c B \cap \text{space } M = (\bigcup i. ((\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i \cap \text{space } M))$
by *auto*
have $\forall i. (\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ **using** *assms* **by**
($\text{simp add: count-pre-meas}$)
hence $(\bigcup i. ((\text{countable-preimages } B Y) i \cap \text{space } M)) \in \text{sets } M$ **by** *blast*
show ?*thesis*
using $\langle (\bigcup i. \text{countable-preimages } B Y i \cap \text{space } M) \in \text{sets } M \rangle \cdot Y -^c B \cap \text{space } M = (\bigcup i. \text{countable-preimages } B Y i \cap \text{space } M)$ **by** *auto*
qed

4.2 Definition of explicit conditional expectation

This section is devoted to an explicit computation of a conditional expectation for random variables that have a countable codomain. More precisely, the computed random variable is almost everywhere equal to a conditional expectation of the random variable under consideration.

definition *img-dce* **where**
 $\text{img-dce } M Y X = (\lambda y. \text{if measure } M ((Y -^c \{y\}) \cap \text{space } M) = 0 \text{ then } 0 \text{ else}$
 $((\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((X w) * (\text{indicator} ((Y -^c \{y\}) \cap \text{space } M) w)))) / (\text{measure } M ((Y -^c \{y\}) \cap \text{space } M)))$

definition *expl-cond-expect* **where**
 $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X = (\text{img-dce } M Y X) \circ Y$

lemma *nn-expl-cond-expect-pos*:

assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w$

proof

fix w
assume *space*: $w \in \text{space } M$
show $0 \leq (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w$
proof (*cases* $\text{measure } M ((Y -^c \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) = 0$)
case *True*
thus $0 \leq (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w$ **unfolding** *expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def*

```

by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $Y - \{Y w\} \cap space M \in sets M$  using measure-notin-sets by blast
  let ?indA =  $((\lambda x. indicator ((Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M) x))$ 
  have  $\forall w \in space M. 0 \leq (X w) * (?indA w)$  by (simp add: assms)
  hence  $0 \leq (integral^L M (\lambda w. ((X w) * (?indA w))))$  by simp
  moreover have  $(expl-cond-expect M Y X) w = (integral^L M (\lambda w. ((X w) * (?indA w)))) / (measure M ((Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M))$ 
    unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def using False by simp
    moreover have  $0 < measure M ((Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M)$  using False by
      (simp add: zero-less-measure-iff)
    ultimately show  $0 \leq (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w$  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma expl-cond-expect-const:
assumes  $Y w = Y y$ 
shows  $expl-cond-expect M Y X w = expl-cond-expect M Y X y$ 
unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def
by (simp add: assms)

```

```

lemma expl-cond-exp-cong:
assumes  $\forall w \in space M. X w = Z w$ 
shows  $\forall w \in space M. expl-cond-expect M Y X w = expl-cond-expect M Y Z w$ 
unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong assms(1) o-apply)

```

```

lemma expl-cond-exp-add:
assumes integrable M X
and integrable M Z
shows  $\forall w \in space M. expl-cond-expect M Y (\lambda x. X x + Z x) w = expl-cond-expect M Y X w + expl-cond-expect M Y Z w$ 
proof
fix w
assume  $w \in space M$ 
define prY where  $prY = measure M ((Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M)$ 
show  $expl-cond-expect M Y (\lambda x. X x + Z x) w = expl-cond-expect M Y X w + expl-cond-expect M Y Z w$ 
proof (cases prY = 0)
  case True
  thus ?thesis unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def prY-def by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $(Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M \in sets M$  unfolding prY-def using mea-

```

```

sure-notin-sets by blast
  let ?indA = indicator ((Y -` {Y w}) ∩ space M)::('a⇒real)
  have integrable M (λx. X x * ?indA x)
  using ⟨Y -` {Y w} ∩ space M ∈ sets M⟩ assms(1) integrable-real-mult-indicator
by blast
  moreover have integrable M (λx. Z x * ?indA x)
  using ⟨Y -` {Y w} ∩ space M ∈ sets M⟩ assms(2) integrable-real-mult-indicator
by blast
  ultimately have integralL M (λx. X x * ?indA x + Z x * ?indA x) = integralL
M (λx. X x * ?indA x) + integralL M (λx. Z x * ?indA x)
    using Bochner-Integration.integral-add by blast
  moreover have ∀x ∈ space M. X x * ?indA x + Z x * ?indA x = (X x + Z
x) * ?indA x
    by (simp add: indicator-def)
  ultimately have fsteq: integralL M (λx. (X x + Z x) * ?indA x) = integralL
M (λx. X x * ?indA x) + integralL M (λx. Z x * ?indA x)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong)
  have integralL M (λx. (X x + Z x) * ?indA x/prY) = integralL M (λx. (X x
+ Z x) * ?indA x)/prY
    by simp
  also have ... = integralL M (λx. X x * ?indA x)/prY + integralL M (λx. Z x
* ?indA x)/prY using fsteq
    by (simp add: add-divide-distrib)
  also have ... = integralL M (λx. X x * ?indA x/prY) + integralL M (λx. Z x
* ?indA x/prY) by auto
    finally have integralL M (λx. (X x + Z x) * ?indA x/prY) = integralL M
(λx. X x * ?indA x/prY) + integralL M (λx. Z x * ?indA x/prY) .
  thus ?thesis using False unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def
    by (simp add: add-divide-distrib fsteq)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma expl-cond-exp-diff:
  assumes integrable M X
  and integrable M Z
  shows ∀w ∈ space M. expl-cond-expect M Y (λx. X x - Z x) w = expl-cond-expect
M Y X w - expl-cond-expect M Y Z w
proof
  fix w
  assume w ∈ space M
  define prY where prY = measure M ((Y -` {Y w}) ∩ space M)
  show expl-cond-expect M Y (λx. X x - Z x) w = expl-cond-expect M Y X w -
expl-cond-expect M Y Z w
  proof (cases prY = 0)
    case True
    thus ?thesis unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def prY-def by simp
  next
    case False

```

hence $(Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M \in sets M$ **unfolding** $prY\text{-def}$ **using** $measure\text{-notin}\text{-sets}$ **by** *blast*
let $?indA = indicator ((Y - \{Y w\}) \cap space M)::('a\Rightarrow real)$
have $integrable M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x)$
using $\langle Y - \{Y w\} \cap space M \in sets M \rangle$ **assms(1)** *integrable-real-mult-indicator*
by *blast*
moreover have $integrable M (\lambda x. Z x * ?indA x)$
using $\langle Y - \{Y w\} \cap space M \in sets M \rangle$ **assms(2)** *integrable-real-mult-indicator*
by *blast*
ultimately have $integral^L M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x - Z x * ?indA x) = integral^L M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x) - integral^L M (\lambda x. Z x * ?indA x)$
using *Bochner-Integration.integral-diff* **by** *blast*
moreover have $\forall x \in space M. X x * ?indA x - Z x * ?indA x = (X x - Z x) * ?indA x$
by (*simp add: indicator-def*)
ultimately have $fsteq: integral^L M (\lambda x. (X x - Z x) * ?indA x) = integral^L M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x) - integral^L M (\lambda x. Z x * ?indA x)$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong*)
have $integral^L M (\lambda x. (X x - Z x) * ?indA x/prY) = integral^L M (\lambda x. (X x - Z x) * ?indA x)/prY$
by *simp*
also have ... = $integral^L M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x)/prY - integral^L M (\lambda x. Z x * ?indA x)/prY$ **using** *fsteq*
by (*simp add: diff-divide-distrib*)
also have ... = $integral^L M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x/prY) - integral^L M (\lambda x. Z x * ?indA x/prY)$ **by** *auto*
finally have $integral^L M (\lambda x. (X x - Z x) * ?indA x/prY) = integral^L M (\lambda x. X x * ?indA x/prY) - integral^L M (\lambda x. Z x * ?indA x/prY)$.
thus *?thesis using False unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def*
by (*simp add: diff-divide-distrib fsteq*)
qed
qed

lemma *expl-cond-expect-prop-sets*:
assumes *disc-fct Y*
and *point-measurable M (space N) Y*
and $D = \{w \in space M. Y w \in space N \wedge (P (expl-cond-expect M Y X w))\}$
shows $D \in sets M$
proof –
let $?C = \{y \in (Y'(space M)) \cap (space N). P (img-dce M Y X y)\}$
have $space M \subseteq UNIV$ **by** *simp*
hence $Y'(space M) \subseteq range Y$ **by** *auto*
hence *countable (Y'(space M))* **using** *assms countable-subset unfolding disc-fct-def*
by *auto*
hence *countable ?C* **using** *assms unfolding disc-fct-def* **by** *auto*
have *eqset: D = (UNIV ∩ ?C) ∩ space M*
proof
show $D \subseteq (\bigcup_{b \in ?C} Y - \{b\}) \cap space M$
proof

```

fix w
assume w ∈ D
hence w ∈ space M ∧ Y w ∈ (space N) ∧ (P (expl-cond-expect M Y X w))
  by (simp add: assms)
hence P (img-dce M Y X (Y w)) by (simp add: expl-cond-expect-def)
hence Y w ∈ ?C using <w ∈ space M ∧ Y w ∈ space N ∧ P (expl-cond-expect
M Y X w)> by blast
thus w ∈ (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b}) ∩ space M
  using <w ∈ space M ∧ Y w ∈ space N ∧ P (expl-cond-expect M Y X w)>
by blast
qed
show (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b}) ∩ space M ⊆ D
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b}) ∩ space M
from this obtain b where b ∈ ?C ∧ w ∈ Y - {b} by auto note bprops = this
hence Y w = b by auto
hence Y w ∈ space N using bprops by simp
show w ∈ D
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) IntE <Y w = b, w ∈ (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b}) ∩
space M> assms(3)
    bprops mem-Collect-eq o-apply expl-cond-expect-def)
qed
qed
also have ... = (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b} ∩ space M) by blast
finally have D = (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b} ∩ space M).
have ∀ b ∈ ?C. Y - {b} ∩ space M ∈ sets M using assms unfolding point-measurable-def
by auto
hence (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b} ∩ space M) ∈ sets M using <countable ?C> by blast
thus ?thesis
  using <D = (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b} ∩ space M)> by blast
qed

lemma expl-cond-expect-prop-sets2:
assumes disc-fct Y
and point-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y) (space N) Y
and D = {w ∈ space M. Y w ∈ space N ∧ (P (expl-cond-expect M Y X w))}
shows D ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y)
proof -
let ?C = {y ∈ (Y'(space M)) ∩ (space N). P (img-dce M Y X y)}
have space M ⊆ UNIV by simp
hence Y'(space M) ⊆ range Y by auto
hence countable (Y'(space M)) using assms countable-subset unfolding disc-fct-def
by auto
hence countable ?C using assms unfolding disc-fct-def by auto
have eqset: D = (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b}) ∩ space M
proof
show D ⊆ (UN b ∈ ?C. Y - {b}) ∩ space M
proof

```

```

fix w
assume w ∈ D
hence w ∈ space M ∧ Y w ∈ (space N) ∧ (P (expl-cond-expect M Y X w))
  by (simp add: assms)
hence P (img-dce M Y X (Y w)) by (simp add: expl-cond-expect-def)
hence Y w ∈ ?C using ⟨w ∈ space M ∧ Y w ∈ space N ∧ P (expl-cond-expect
M Y X w)⟩ by blast
thus w ∈ (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b}) ∩ space M
  using ⟨w ∈ space M ∧ Y w ∈ space N ∧ P (expl-cond-expect M Y X w)⟩
by blast
qed
show (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b}) ∩ space M ⊆ D
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b}) ∩ space M
from this obtain b where b ∈ ?C ∧ w ∈ Y − {b} by auto note bprops = this
hence Y w = b by auto
hence Y w ∈ space N using bprops by simp
show w ∈ D
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) IntE ⟨Y w = b⟩ ⟨w ∈ (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b}) ∩
space M⟩ assms(3)
    bprops mem-Collect-eq o-apply expl-cond-expect-def)
qed
qed
also have ... = (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b} ∩ space M) by blast
finally have D = (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b} ∩ space M).
have space M = space (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y)
  by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
hence ∀ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b} ∩ space M ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y) using
assms unfolding point-measurable-def by auto
hence (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b} ∩ space M) ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y) using
⟨countable ?C⟩ by blast
thus ?thesis
  using ⟨D = (⋃ b ∈ ?C. Y − {b} ∩ space M)⟩ by blast
qed

```

```

lemma expl-cond-expect-disc-fct:
  assumes disc-fct Y
  shows disc-fct (expl-cond-expect M Y X)
  using assms unfolding disc-fct-def expl-cond-expect-def
  by (metis countable-image image-comp)

```

```

lemma expl-cond-expect-point-meas:
  assumes disc-fct Y
  and point-measurable M (space N) Y
  shows point-measurable M UNIV (expl-cond-expect M Y X)
proof -
  have disc-fct (expl-cond-expect M Y X) using assms by (simp add: expl-cond-expect-disc-fct)
  show ?thesis unfolding point-measurable-def
  proof
    show (expl-cond-expect M Y X) `space M ⊆ UNIV by simp
    show ∀ r∈range (expl-cond-expect M Y X) ∩ UNIV. expl-cond-expect M Y X
    – ‘{r} ∩ space M ∈ sets M
    proof
      fix r
      assume r∈range (expl-cond-expect M Y X) ∩ UNIV
      let ?D = {w ∈ space M. Y w ∈ space N ∧ (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) = r}
      have ?D ∈ sets M using expl-cond-expect-prop-sets[of Y M N ?D λx. x = r]
    X] using assms by simp
    moreover have expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M = ?D
    proof
      show expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M ⊆ ?D
      proof
        fix w
        assume w∈expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M
        hence Y w ∈ space N
          by (meson IntD2 assms(1) assms(2) disc-fct-point-measurable measurable-space)
        thus w ∈ ?D
        using ‘w ∈ expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M’ by blast
      qed
      show ?D ⊆ expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M
      proof
        fix w
        assume w∈?D
        thus w∈expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M by blast
      qed
      qed
      ultimately show expl-cond-expect M Y X – ‘{r} ∩ space M ∈ sets M by
    simp
    qed
    qed
    qed
  qed

lemma expl-cond-expect-borel-measurable:
  assumes disc-fct Y
  and point-measurable M (space N) Y
  shows (expl-cond-expect M Y X) ∈ borel-measurable M using expl-cond-expect-point-meas[of

```

$Y M]$ assms
disct-fct-point-measurable[of *expl-cond-expect* $M Y X$]
by (*simp add:* *expl-cond-expect-disc-fct*)

lemma *expl-cond-exp-borel*:
assumes $Y \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$
and *disc-fct* Y
and $\forall r \in \text{range } Y \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } Y \cap A = \{r\}$
shows (*expl-cond-expect* $M Y X$) $\in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$
proof (*intro borel-measurableI*)
fix $S::\text{real set}$
assume *open* S
show *expl-cond-expect* $M Y X -` S \cap \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) \in \text{sets}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$
proof (*rule expl-cond-expect-prop-sets2*)
show *disc-fct* Y **using** *assms* **by** *simp*
show *point-measurable* (*fct-gen-subalgebra* $M N Y$) (*space* N) Y **using** *assms*
by (*simp add:* *singl-meas-if*)
show *expl-cond-expect* $M Y X -` S \cap \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) = \{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w) \in S\}$
proof
show *expl-cond-expect* $M Y X -` S \cap \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) \subseteq \{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w \in S\}$
proof
fix x
assume *asm*: $x \in \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X -` S \cap \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$
hence *expl-cond-expect* $M Y X x \in S$ **by** *auto*
moreover have $x \in \text{space } M$ **using** *asm* **by** (*simp add:fct-gen-subalgebra-space*)
ultimately show $x \in \{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w \in S\}$ **using** *assms* **by** *auto*
qed
show $\{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w \in S\} \subseteq \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X -` S \cap \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$
proof
fix x
assume *asm2*: $x \in \{w \in \text{space } M. Y w \in \text{space } N \wedge \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w \in S\}$
hence $x \in \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$ **by** (*simp add:fct-gen-subalgebra-space*)
moreover have $x \in \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X -` S$ **using** *asm2* **by** *simp*
ultimately show $x \in \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X -` S \cap \text{space}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed
qed
qed

lemma *expl-cond-expect-indic-borel-measurable*:
assumes *disc-fct Y*
and *point-measurable M (space N) Y*
and *B ⊆ space N*
and *countable B*
shows $(\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator} (\text{countable-preimages } B Y n \cap \text{space } M) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$
proof –
have *countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M ∈ sets M* **using assms by** (auto
simp add: count-pre-meas)
have $(\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ **using** *expl-cond-expect-point-meas*[of
Y M N X] **assms**
disc-fct-point-measurable[of *expl-cond-expect M Y X*]
by (simp add: *expl-cond-expect-disc-fct*)
moreover have $(\text{indicator} (\text{countable-preimages } B Y n \cap \text{space } M)) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$
using ⟨*countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M ∈ sets M*⟩ **borel-measurable-indicator**
by *blast*
ultimately show ?thesis
using *borel-measurable-times* **by** *blast*
qed

lemma (in finite-measure) *dce-prod*:
assumes *point-measurable M (space N) Y*
and *integrable M X*
and $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
shows $\forall w. (Y w) \in \text{space } N \longrightarrow (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w * \text{measure } M ((Y - ` \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) = \text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. (X y) * (\text{indicator} ((Y - ` \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) y))$
proof (intro allI impI)
fix *w*
assume *Y w ∈ space N*
let *?indY = (λy. indicator ((Y - ` {Y w}) ∩ space M) y) ::' a ⇒ real*
show *expl-cond-expect M Y X w * measure M ((Y - ` {Y w}) ∩ space M) = integral^L M (λy. (X y) * ?indY y)*
proof (cases AE *y* in *M*. ?indY *y* = 0)
case *True*
hence *emeasure M ((Y - ` {Y w}) ∩ space M) = 0*
proof –
have *AE y in M. y ∉ Y - ` {Y w} ∩ space M*
using *True eventually-elim2* **by** *auto*
hence $\exists N \in \text{null-sets } M. \{x \in \text{space } M. \neg(x \notin Y - ` \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)\} \subseteq N$
using *eventually-ae-filter*[of $\lambda x. x \notin Y - ` \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M$] **by** *simp*

hence $\exists N \in \text{null-sets } M. \{x \in \text{space } M. x \in Y - ' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M\} \subseteq N$ **by simp**
from this obtain N **where** $N \in \text{null-sets } M$ **and** $\{x \in \text{space } M. x \in Y - ' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M\} \subseteq N$ **by auto**
note $Nprops = \text{this}$
have $\{x \in \text{space } M. x \in Y - ' \{Y w\}\} \subseteq N$ **using** $Nprops$ **by auto**
hence $\text{emeasure } M \{x \in \text{space } M. x \in Y - ' \{Y w\}\} \leq \text{emeasure } M N$
by (*simp add: emeasure-mono Nprops(1) null-setsD2*)
thus ?thesis
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) Collect-cong Int-def Nprops(1) le-zero-eq null-setsD1*)
qed
hence $\text{enn2real } (\text{emeasure } M ((Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M)) = 0$ **by simp**
hence $\text{measure } M ((Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) = 0$ **unfolding** measure-def **by simp**
hence $\text{lhs: expl-cond-expect } M Y X w = 0$ **unfolding** $\text{expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def}$ **by simp**
have $\text{zer: } AE y \text{ in } M. (X y) * ?indY y = (\lambda y. 0) y$ **using** True **by auto**
hence $\text{rhs: integral}^L M (\lambda y. (X y) * ?indY y) = 0$
proof –
have $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w * ?indY w$ **using assms** **by simp**
have $\text{integrable } M (\lambda y. (X y) * ?indY y)$ **using assms**
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) IntI UNIV-I {Y w} ∈ space N image-eqI Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong integrable-real-mult-indicator point-measurable-def*)
hence $(\lambda y. (X y) * ?indY y) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ **by blast**
thus ?thesis **using** $\text{zer integral-cong-AE}[(\lambda y. (X y) * ?indY y) M \lambda y. 0]$
by simp
qed
thus $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{measure } M ((Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) = \text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. (X y) * ?indY y)$ **using** lhs rhs by simp
next
case False
hence $\neg(AE y \text{ in } M. y \notin (Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M)$
by (*simp add: indicator-eq-0-iff*)
hence $\text{emeasure } M ((Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) \neq 0$
proof –
have $(Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$
by (*meson IntI UNIV-I {Y w} ∈ space N assms(1) image-eqI point-measurable-def*)
have $(Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M \notin \text{null-sets } M$
using $\langle \neg(AE y \text{ in } M. y \notin Y - ' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M) \rangle \text{ eventually-ae-filter}$ **by blast**
thus ?thesis
using $\langle Y - ' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M \rangle$ **by blast**
qed
hence $\text{measure } M ((Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) \neq 0$
by (*simp add: emeasure-eq-measure*)
thus $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{measure } M ((Y - ' \{Y w\}) \cap \text{space } M) = \text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. (X y) * ?indY y)$ **unfolding** $\text{expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def}$
using o-apply **by auto**

qed
qed

lemma *expl-cond-expect-const-exp*:
shows $\text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * (\text{indicator } (Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y) = \text{integral}^L M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * (\text{indicator } (Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y)$
proof –
 let $?ind = (\text{indicator } (Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M))$
 have $\forall y \in \text{space } M. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * ?ind y$
 proof –
 fix y
 assume $y \in \text{space } M$
 show $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * ?ind y$
 proof (cases $y \in Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M$)
 case *False*
 thus $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
 next
 case *True*
 hence $Y w = Y y$ **by** *auto*
 hence $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y$
 using $\text{expl-cond-expect-const}[of Y w y M X]$ **by** *simp*
 thus $?thesis$ **by** *simp*
 qed
 qed
 thus $?thesis$
 by (*meson Bochner-Integration.integral-cong*)
qed

lemma *nn-expl-cond-expect-const-exp*:
assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$
shows $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * (\text{indicator } (Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y) = \text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * (\text{indicator } (Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M)) y)$
proof –
 let $?ind = (\text{indicator } (Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M))$
 have $\text{forall: } \forall y \in \text{space } M. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * ?ind y$
 proof –
 fix y
 assume $y \in \text{space } M$
 show $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * ?ind y$
 proof (cases $y \in Y -' \{Y w\} \cap \text{space } M$)
 case *False*

```

thus ?thesis by simp
next
  case True
  hence  $Y w = Y y$  by auto
  hence  $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y$ 
    using  $\text{expl-cond-expect-const}[of Y]$  by blast
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
show ?thesis
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) forall nn-integral-cong)
qed

```

lemma (in finite-measure) nn-expl-cond-bounded:

assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$

and $\text{integrable } M X$

and $\text{point-measurable } M (\text{space } N) Y$

and $w \in \text{space } M$

and $Y w \in \text{space } N$

shows $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X y * (\text{indicator } (Y - ' \{ Y w \} \cap \text{space } M)) y) < \infty$

proof –

let ?ind = $(\text{indicator } (Y - ' \{ Y w \} \cap \text{space } M))::'a \Rightarrow \text{real}$

have $0 \leq \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w$ using assms nn-expl-cond-expect-pos[of M X Y] by simp

have $\text{integrable } M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y)$

proof –

have eq: $(Y - ' \{ Y w \} \cap \text{space } M) \cap \text{space } M = (Y - ' \{ Y w \} \cap \text{space } M)$ by auto

have $(Y - ' \{ Y w \} \cap \text{space } M) \in \text{sets } M$ using assms

by (simp add: point-measurable-def)

moreover have $\text{emeasure } M (Y - ' \{ Y w \} \cap \text{space } M) < \infty$ by (simp add: inf.strict-order-iff)

ultimately have $\text{integrable } M (\lambda y. ?ind y)$

using integrable-indicator-iff[of M (Y - ' { Y w } ∩ space M)] by simp

thus ?thesis using integrable-mult-left-iff[of M expl-cond-expect M Y X w ?ind] by blast

qed

have $\forall y \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y$

using $\langle 0 \leq \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w \rangle \text{ mult-nonneg-nonneg}$ by blast

hence $\forall y \in \text{space } M. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y = \text{norm } (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y)$ by auto

hence inf: $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y) < \infty$

using integrable-iff-bounded[of M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?ind y)]

$\langle 0 \leq \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w \rangle \text{ real-norm-def nn-integral-cong}$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨integrable M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (Y - ' { Y w } ∩ space M) y)⟩)

have $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda y. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?ind y) =$

```

integralN M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?ind y) using assms
by (simp add: nn-expl-cond-expect-const-exp)
also have ... < ∞ using inf by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma (in finite-measure) count-prod:
fixes Y::'a⇒'b
assumes B⊆ space N
and point-measurable M (space N) Y
and integrable M X
and ∀ w ∈ space M. 0 ≤ X w
shows ∀ i. integralL M (λy. (X y) * (indicator (countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M)) y) =
    integralL M (λy. (expl-cond-expect M Y X y) * (indicator (countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M)) y)
proof
    fix i
    show integralL M (λy. X y * indicator (countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M))
    y) =
        integralL M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X y * indicator (countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M) y)
    proof (cases countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M = {})
        case True
        thus ?thesis by simp
    next
        case False
        from this obtain w where w ∈ countable-preimages B Y i by auto
        hence Y w = (from-nat-into B) i by (meson count-pre-img)
        hence Y w ∈ B
        proof (cases infinite B)
            case True
            thus ?thesis
            by (simp add: ‹Y w = from-nat-into B i› from-nat-into infinite-imp-nonempty)
        next
            case False
            thus ?thesis
            by (metis Finite-Set.card-0-eq ‹Y w = from-nat-into B i› ‹w ∈ countable-preimages B Y i› countable-preimages-def equals0D from-nat-into gr-implies-not0)
        qed
        let ?ind = (indicator (Y -` {Y w} ∩ space M))::'a⇒real
        have integralL M (λy. (X y) * (indicator (countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M)) y) = integralL M (λy. X y * ?ind y)
            by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) ‹Y w = from-nat-into B i› ‹thesis. (¬w ∈ countable-preimages B Y i ⇒ thesis) ⇒ thesis› countable-preimages-def empty-iff)
        also have ... =
            expl-cond-expect M Y X w * measure M (Y -` {Y w} ∩ space M) using

```

```

dce-prod[of N Y X]
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) `Y w ∈ B` assms subsetCE)
  also have ... = expl-cond-expect M Y X w * (integralL M ?ind)
    by auto
  also have ... = integralL M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?ind y)
    by auto
  also have ... = integralL M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X y * ?ind y)
  proof -
    have ∀ y ∈ space M. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?ind y = expl-cond-expect
      M Y X y * ?ind y
    proof
      fix y
      assume y ∈ space M
      show expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?ind y = expl-cond-expect M Y X y *
        ?ind y
      proof (cases y ∈ Y - {Y w} ∩ space M)
        case False
        thus ?thesis by simp
      next
        case True
        hence Y w = Y y by auto
        hence expl-cond-expect M Y X w = expl-cond-expect M Y X y
          using expl-cond-expect-const[of Y] by blast
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson Bochner-Integration.integral-cong)
  qed
  also have ... = integralL M (λy. expl-cond-expect M Y X y * indicator
    (countable-preimages B Y i ∩ space M) y)
    by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) `Y w = from-nat-into B i` ∧ thesis. (λw.
      w ∈ countable-preimages B Y i ⇒ thesis) ⇒ thesis) ∧ thesis. countable-preimages-def
    empty-iff)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
qed

```

lemma (in finite-measure) count-pre-integrable:
assumes point-measurable M (space N) Y
and disc-fct Y
and B ⊆ space N
and countable B
and integrable M X
and ∀ w ∈ space M. 0 ≤ X w
shows integrable M (λw. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (countable-preimages
B Y n ∩ space M) w)

```

proof-
  have integralL M (λy. (X y) * (indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M)) y) =
    integralL M (λy. (expl-cond-expect M Y X y) * (indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M)) y) using assms count-prod
    by blast
  have ∀ w ∈ space M. 0 ≤ (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * (indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M)) w
    by (simp add: assms nn-expl-cond-expect-pos)
  have countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M ∈ sets M using count-pre-meas[of M] assms by auto
  hence integrable M (λw. X w * indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M) w)
    using assms integrable-real-mult-indicator by blast
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule integrableI-nonneg)
    show (λw. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M) w) ∈ borel-measurable M
      proof -
        have (expl-cond-expect M Y X) ∈ borel-measurable M using expl-cond-expect-point-meas[of Y M N X] assms
          discr-fct-point-measurable[of expl-cond-expect M Y X]
        by (simp add: expl-cond-expect-disc-fct)
        moreover have (indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M)) ∈ borel-measurable M
          using <countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M ∈ sets M> borel-measurable-indicator by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis
        using borel-measurable-times by blast
      qed
    show AE x in M. 0 ≤ expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M) x
      by (simp add: ∀ w ∈ space M. 0 ≤ expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M) w)
      show (ʃ+ x. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indicator (countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M) x) ∂M) < ∞
        proof (cases countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M = {})
          case True
          thus ?thesis by simp
        next
          case False
          from this obtain w where w ∈ countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M by auto
          hence countable-preimages B Y n = Y - {Y w}
            by (metis IntD1 count-pre-img countable-preimages-def equals0D)
          have w ∈ space M using False
            using <w ∈ countable-preimages B Y n ∩ space M> by blast
          moreover have Y w ∈ space N
            by (meson <w ∈ space M> assms(1) assms(2) discr-fct-point-measurable measurable-space)
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

ultimately show ?thesis using assms nn-expl-cond-bounded[of X N Y]
  using <countable-preimages B Y n = Y - ` {Y w}> by presburger
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma (in finite-measure) nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp-tmp:

assumes $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq X w$

and integrable $M X$

and disc-fct Y

and point-measurable $M (\text{space } M') Y$

shows $\forall A \in \text{sets } M'. \text{integrable } M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - `A) \cap (\text{space } M)) w)) \wedge$

$$\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - `A) \cap (\text{space } M)) w)) =$$

$$\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } ((Y - `A) \cap (\text{space } M))) w)$$

proof

fix A

assume $A \in \text{sets } M'$

let $?imA = A \cap (\text{range } Y)$

have countable ?imA using assms disc-fct-def by blast

have $Y - `A = Y - `?imA$ by auto

define prY where $prY = \text{countable-preimages } ?imA Y$

have un: $Y - `?imA = (\bigcup i. prY i)$ using <countable ?imA>

by (metis count-pre-union-img prY-def)

have $(Y - `?imA) \cap (\text{space } M) = (\bigcup i. prY i) \cap (\text{space } M)$ using < $Y - `A = Y - `?imA$ > un by simp

also have ... = $(\bigcup i. (prY i) \cap (\text{space } M))$ by blast

finally have eq2: $(Y - `?imA) \cap (\text{space } M) = (\bigcup i. (prY i) \cap (\text{space } M))$.

define indpre::nat \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow real where $indpre = (\lambda i x. (\text{indicator } ((prY i) \cap (\text{space } M))) x)$

have $\forall i. indpre i \in \text{borel-measurable } M$

proof

fix i

show $indpre i \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ unfolding indpre-def prY-def

proof (rule borel-measurable-indicator, cases countable-preimages $(A \cap \text{range } Y) Y i \cap \text{space } M = \{\}$)

case True

thus countable-preimages $(A \cap \text{range } Y) Y i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ by simp

next

case False

from this obtain x where $x \in \text{countable-preimages } (A \cap \text{range } Y) Y i \cap \text{space } M$ by blast

hence $Y x \in \text{space } M'$

by (metis Int-iff UN-I < $A \in \text{sets } M'$ > < $prY \equiv \text{countable-preimages } (A \cap \text{range } Y) Y i \cap \text{space } M$ >)

```

 $\cap \text{range } Y) \rightarrow \text{image}E$ 
 $\text{range}I \text{ sets.sets-into-space subset-eq un vimage-eq})$ 
thus countable-preimages ( $A \cap \text{range } Y$ )  $Y i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
by (metis IntE IntI  $\langle x \in \text{countable-preimages } (A \cap \text{range } Y) \rangle Y i \cap \text{space } M$ ) assms(4)
count-pre-img countable-preimages-def empty-iff point-measurable-def
rangeI)
qed
qed
have  $\forall i. \text{integrable } M (\lambda w. (X w) * \text{indpre } i w)$ 
proof
fix  $i$ 
show integrable  $M (\lambda w. (X w) * \text{indpre } i w)$  unfolding indpre-def prY-def
proof (rule integrable-real-mult-indicator)
show countable-preimages ( $A \cap \text{range } Y$ )  $Y i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$ 
proof (cases countable-preimages ( $A \cap \text{range } Y$ )  $Y i = \{\}$ )
case True
thus countable-preimages ( $A \cap \text{range } Y$ )  $Y i \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets } M$  by
(simp add: True)
next
case False
hence  $Y - ` \{(from-nat-into (A \cap \text{range } Y)) i\} \neq \{\}$  unfolding countable-preimages-def by meson
have (infinite ( $A \cap \text{range } Y$ ))  $\vee$  (finite ( $A \cap \text{range } Y$ )  $\wedge i < \text{card } (A \cap \text{range } Y)$ ) using False unfolding countable-preimages-def
by meson
show ?thesis
by (metis  $\langle A \in \text{sets } M' \rangle \langle \text{countable } (A \cap \text{range } Y) \rangle$  assms(4) count-pre-meas
le-inf-iff
range-from-nat-into sets.Int-space-eq1 sets.empty-sets sets.sets-into-space
subset-range-from-nat-into)
qed
show integrable  $M X$  using assms by simp
qed
qed
hence prod-bm:  $\forall i. (\lambda w. (X w) * \text{indpre } i w) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
by (simp add: assms(2) borel-measurable-integrable borel-measurable-times)
have posprod:  $\forall i w. 0 \leq (X w) * \text{indpre } i w$ 
proof (intro allI)
fix  $i$ 
fix  $w$ 
show  $0 \leq (X w) * \text{indpre } i w$ 
by (metis IntE assms(1) indicator-pos-le indicator-simps(2) indpre-def
mult-eq-0-iff mult-sign-intros(1))
qed
let ?indA = indicator ( $(Y - ` (A \cap \text{range } Y)) \cap (\text{space } M)$ )::'a $\Rightarrow$ real
have  $\forall i j. i \neq j \longrightarrow ((\text{prY } i) \cap (\text{space } M)) \cap ((\text{prY } j) \cap (\text{space } M)) = \{\}$ 
by (simp add: countable (A \cap \text{range } Y)  $\langle \text{prY} \equiv \text{countable-preimages } (A \cap \text{range } Y) \rangle$ )

```

```

range Y) Y ∋ count-pre-disj inf-commute inf-sup-aci(3)
  hence sumind: ∀ x. (λi. indpre i x) sums ?indA x using <countable ?imA> eq2
  unfolding prY-def indpre-def
    by (metis indicator-sums)
  hence sumxlim: ∀ x. (λi. (X x) * indpre i x::real) sums ((X x) * indicator ((Y
  − ?imA) ∩ (space M)) x) using <countable ?imA> unfolding prY-def
    using sums-mult by blast
  hence sum: ∀ x. (∑ i.((X x) * indpre i x)::real) = (X x) * indicator ((Y
  − ?imA) ∩ (space M)) x by (metis sums-unique)
  hence b: ∀ w. 0 ≤ (∑ i.((X w) * indpre i w)) using suminf-nonneg
    by (metis <∀ x. (λi. X x * indpre i x) sums (X x * indicator (Y − ‘(A ∩ range
  Y) ∩ space M) x)> posprod summable-def)
  have sumcondlim: ∀ x. (λi. (expl-cond-expect M Y X x) * indpre i x::real)
  sums ((expl-cond-expect M Y X x) * ?indA x) using <countable ?imA> unfolding
  prY-def
    using sums-mult sumind by blast

  have integrable M (λw. (X w) * ?indA w)
  proof (rule integrable-real-mult-indicator)
    show Y − ‘(A ∩ range Y) ∩ space M ∈ sets M
      using <A ∈ sets M’ assms(3) assms(4) disct-fct-point-measurable measurable-sets
        by (metis <Y − ‘A = Y − ‘(A ∩ range Y)>)
      show integrable M X using assms by simp
    qed
    hence intsum: integrable M (λw. (∑ i. ((X w) * indpre i w))) using sum
      Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong[of M M λ w. (X w) * (indicator ((Y
      − A) ∩ (space M)) w) λw. (∑ i.((X w) * indpre i w))]
        using <Y − ‘A = Y − ‘(A ∩ range Y)> by presburger
    have integralL M (λw. (X w) * ?indA w) = integralL M (λw. (∑ i.((X w) *
    indpre i w)))
      using <Y − ‘A = Y − ‘(A ∩ range Y)> sum by auto
    also have ... =
      ∫+ w. ((∑ i. ((X w) * indpre i w))) ∂M using nn-integral-eq-integral
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) AE-I2 intsum b nn-integral-cong)
    also have (∫+ w. ((∑ i. ((X w) * indpre i w))) ∂M) = ∫+ w. ((∑ i.
    ennreal ((X w) * indpre i w))) ∂M using suminf-ennreal2 summable-def posprod
    sum sumxlim
    proof -
      { fix aa :: 'a
        have ∀ a. ennreal (∑ n. X a * indpre n a) = (∑ n. ennreal (X a * indpre n
        a))
          by (metis (full-types) posprod suminf-ennreal2 summable-def sumxlim)
        then have (∫+ a. ennreal (∑ n. X a * indpre n a) ∂M) = (∫+ a. (∑ n.
        ennreal (X a * indpre n a)) ∂M) ∨ ennreal (∑ n. X aa * indpre n aa) = (∑ n.
        ennreal (X aa * indpre n aa))
          by metis }
      then show ?thesis
        by presburger
    qed
  qed
end

```

```

qed
also have ... = ( $\sum i. \text{integral}^N M ((\lambda i w. (X w) * \text{indpre } i w) i)$ )
proof (intro nn-integral-suminf)
fix i
show ( $\lambda x. \text{ennreal } (X x * \text{indpre } i x)$ ) ∈ borel-measurable M
using measurable-compose-rev measurable-ennreal prod-bm by blast
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum i. \text{integral}^N M ((\lambda i w. (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w) * \text{indpre } i w) i)$ )
proof (intro suminf-cong)
fix n
have A ∩ range Y ⊆ space M'
using ‹A ∈ sets M'› sets.Int-space-eq1 by auto
have integralN M (λw. (X w) * indpre n w) = integralL M (λw. (X w) * indpre n w)
using nn-integral-eq-integral[of M λw. (X w) * indpre n w]
by (simp add: ∀ i. integrable M (λw. X w * indpre i w) posprod)
also have ... = integralL M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w * indpre n w)
proof –
have integralL M (λw. X w * indicator (countable-preimages (A ∩ range Y) Y n ∩ space M) w) =
integralL M (λw. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (countable-preimages (A ∩ range Y) Y n ∩ space M) w)
using count-prod[of A ∩ range Y M' Y X] assms ‹A ∩ range Y ⊆ space M'› by blast
thus ?thesis
using ‹indpre ≡ λi. indicator (prY i ∩ space M)› prY-def by presburger
qed
also have ... = integralN M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w * indpre n w)
proof –
have integrable M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w * indpre n w) unfolding
indpre-def prY-def
using count-pre-integrable assms ‹A ∩ range Y ⊆ space M'› ‹countable (A ∩ range Y)› by blast
moreover have AE w in M. 0 ≤ (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w * indpre n w
by (simp add: ‹indpre ≡ λi. indicator (prY i ∩ space M)› assms(1)
nn-expl-cond-expect-pos)
ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: nn-integral-eq-integral)
qed
finally show integralN M (λw. (X w) * indpre n w) = integralN M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w * indpre n w) .
qed
also have ... = integralN M (λw. ∑ i. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * indpre i w))
proof –
have (λx. (∑ i. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x))) =
(λx. ennreal (∑ i. (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)))
proof –
have posex: ∀ i x. 0 ≤ (expl-cond-expect M Y X x) * (indpre i x)

```

```

by (metis IntE `indpre ≡ λi. indicator (prY i ∩ space M)` assms(1) indicator-pos-le indicator-simps(2) mult-eq-0-iff mult-sign-intros(1) nn-expl-cond-expect-pos)
have ∀ x. (∑ i. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)) = (ennreal (∑ i. (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)))
proof
fix x
show (∑ i. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)) = (ennreal (∑ i. (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)))
using suminf-ennreal2[of λi. (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)] sumcondlim summable-def posex
proof –
have f1: summable (λn. expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre n x)
using sumcondlim summable-def by blast
obtain nn :: nat where
¬ 0 ≤ expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre nn x ∨ ¬ summable (λn. expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre n x) ∨ ennreal (∑ n. expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre n x) = (∑ n. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre n x))
by (metis (full-types) `[\A i. 0 ≤ expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x; summable (λi. expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)]` ⇒ (∑ i. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)) = ennreal (∑ i. expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x))
then show ?thesis
using f1 posex by presburger
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
have ∀ i. (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * indpre i w) ∈ borel-measurable M
proof –
show ?thesis
using ∀ i. (indpre i) ∈ borel-measurable M` assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-times expl-cond-expect-borel-measurable by blast
qed
hence ∏ i. (λx. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)) ∈ borel-measurable M
using measurable-compose-rev measurable-ennreal by blast
thus ?thesis using nn-integral-suminf[of (λi w. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * indpre i w) M, symmetric]
using `(\x. ∑ i. ennreal (expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x)) = (\x. ennreal (∑ i. expl-cond-expect M Y X x * indpre i x))` by auto
qed
also have ... = integralN M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * ?indA w)
using sumcondlim
by (metis (no-types, lifting) sums-unique)
also have ... = integralL M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * ?indA w)
proof –
have scdint: integrable M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * ?indA w)
proof –
have rv: (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * indicator ((Y - `?imA) ∩ (space

```

$M))$ $w) \in$ borel-measurable M
proof –
have expl-cond-expect $M Y X \in$ borel-measurable M **using** expl-cond-expect-borel-measurable
using assms by blast
moreover have $(Y - `?imA) \cap (\text{space } M) \in \text{sets } M$
by (metis ‹ $A \in \text{sets } M$ › ‹ $Y - ` A = Y - ` (A \cap \text{range } Y)$ › assms(3)
assms(4) discr-fct-point-measurable measurable-sets)
ultimately show ?thesis
using borel-measurable-indicator-iff borel-measurable-times **by** blast
qed
moreover have born: integral^N $M (\lambda w. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w))) < \infty$
proof –
have integral^N $M (\lambda w. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w))) =$
integral^N $M (\lambda w. \text{ennreal} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w))$
proof –
have $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w) =$
expl-cond-expect $M Y X w * ?indA w$
using nn-expl-cond-expect-pos **by** (simp add: nn-expl-cond-expect-pos
assms(1))
thus ?thesis **by** (metis (no-types, lifting) nn-integral-cong)
qed
thus ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting)
‹($\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$) = ($\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal}$
(expl-cond-expect $M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$)›
‹($\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$) =
($\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\sum i. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$)›
‹($\int^+ w. (\sum i. \text{ennreal} (X w * \text{indpre } i w)) \partial M$) = ($\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal}$
(X x * indpre i x) ∂M)›
‹($\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\sum i. X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$) = ($\int^+ w. (\sum i. \text{ennreal}$
(X w * indpre i w)) ∂M)›
‹($\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\sum i. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$) =
($\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indicator} (Y - ` (A \cap \text{range } Y) \cap$
space $M) x) \partial M$)›
‹ennreal (integral^L $M (\lambda w. \sum i. X w * \text{indpre } i w)) = (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal}$
($\sum i. X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M$)›
ennreal-less-top infinity-ennreal-def)
qed
show integrable $M (\lambda w. (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w) * ?indA w)$
proof (rule iffD2[OF integrable-iff-bounded])
show (($\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator} (Y - ` (A \cap \text{range } Y)$
 $\cap \text{space } M) w$) \in borel-measurable M) \wedge
(($\int^+ x. (\text{ennreal} (\text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indicator} (Y - ` (A$
 $\cap \text{range } Y) \cap \text{space } M) x))) \partial M$) $< \infty$)
proof
show ($\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator} (Y - ` (A \cap \text{range } Y)$
 $\cap \text{space } M) w$) \in borel-measurable M

```

        using rv by simp
        show ( $\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indicator} (Y -` (A \cap \text{range } Y) \cap \text{space } M) x)) \partial M) < \infty$ 
            using born by simp
            qed
            qed
            qed
            moreover have  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 \leq (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w) * \text{indicator} ((Y -` ?imA) \cap (\text{space } M)) w$ 
                by (simp add: assms(1) nn-expl-cond-expect-pos)
                ultimately show ?thesis using nn-integral-eq-integral
                    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) AE-I2 nn-integral-cong)
            qed
            finally have myeq: ennreal (integralL M (λw. (X w) * ?indA w)) = integralL M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * ?indA w).

            thus integrable M (λw. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (Y -` A ∩ space M) w) ∧ integralL M (λw. X w * indicator (Y -` A ∩ space M) w) =
                integralL M (λw. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (Y -` A ∩ space M) w)
            proof -
                have  $0 \leq \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. X w * \text{indicator} (Y -` A \cap \text{space } M) w)$ 
                    using ⟨Y -` A = Y -` (A ∩ range Y), b sum by fastforce
                moreover have  $0 \leq \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator} (Y -` A \cap \text{space } M) w)$ 
                    by (simp add: assms(1) nn-expl-cond-expect-pos)
                ultimately have expeq: integralL M (λw. X w * indicator (Y -` A ∩ space M) w) =
                    integralL M (λw. expl-cond-expect M Y X w * indicator (Y -` A ∩ space M) w)
                    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong ⟨Y -` A = Y -` (A ∩ range Y), ennreal-inj myeq)
                have integrable M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * ?indA w)
                proof -
                    have rv: (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * indicator ((Y -` ?imA) ∩ (space M)) w) ∈ borel-measurable M
                    proof -
                        have expl-cond-expect M Y X ∈ borel-measurable M using expl-cond-expect-borel-measurable
                            using assms by blast
                        moreover have (Y -` ?imA) ∩ (space M) ∈ sets M
                            by (metis ⟨A ∈ sets M'⟩ ⟨Y -` A = Y -` (A ∩ range Y), assms(3) assms(4) disct-fct-point-measurable measurable-sets)
                        ultimately show ?thesis
                            using borel-measurable-indicator-iff borel-measurable-times by blast
                    qed
                    moreover have born: integralN M (λw. ennreal (norm (expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?indA w))) < ∞
                    proof -
                        have integralN M (λw. ennreal (norm (expl-cond-expect M Y X w * ?indA

```

```

 $w))) =$ 
 $\text{integral}^N M (\lambda w. \text{ennreal} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w))$ 
proof -
have  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w) =$ 
 $\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * ?indA w$ 
using nn-expl-cond-expect-pos by (simp add: nn-expl-cond-expect-pos
assms(1))
thus ?thesis by (metis (no-types, lifting) nn-integral-cong)
qed
thus ?thesis
by (metis (no-types, lifting)
 $\langle (\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) = (\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal}$ 
 $(\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) \rangle$ 
 $\langle (\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) =$ 
 $(\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\sum i. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) \rangle$ 
 $\langle (\int^+ w. (\sum i. \text{ennreal} (X w * \text{indpre } i w)) \partial M) = (\sum i. \int^+ x. \text{ennreal}$ 
 $(X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) \rangle$ 
 $\langle (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\sum i. X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) = (\int^+ w. (\sum i. \text{ennreal}$ 
 $(X w * \text{indpre } i w)) \partial M) \rangle$ 
 $\langle (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\sum i. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) =$ 
 $= (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indicator } (Y -` (A \cap \text{range } Y) \cap$ 
 $\text{space } M) x) \partial M) \rangle$ 
 $\langle \text{ennreal} (\text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. \sum i. X w * \text{indpre } i w)) = (\int^+ x. \text{ennreal}$ 
 $(\sum i. X x * \text{indpre } i x) \partial M) \rangle$ 
ennreal-less-top infinity-ennreal-def)
qed
show  $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w) * ?indA w)$ 
proof (rule iffD2[OF integrable-iff-bounded])
show  $((\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator } (Y -` (A \cap \text{range } Y)$ 
 $\cap \text{space } M) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } M) \wedge$ 
 $((\int^+ x. (\text{ennreal} (\text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indicator } (Y -`$ 
 $(A \cap \text{range } Y) \cap \text{space } M) x)) \partial M) < \infty)$ 
proof
show  $(\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator } (Y -` (A \cap \text{range } Y)$ 
 $\cap \text{space } M) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
using rv by simp
show  $(\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (\text{norm} (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X x * \text{indicator } (Y -`$ 
 $(A \cap \text{range } Y) \cap \text{space } M) x)) \partial M) < \infty$ 
using born by simp
qed
qed
qed
hence  $\text{integrable } M (\lambda w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X w * \text{indicator } (Y -` A \cap$ 
 $\text{space } M) w)$ 
using  $\langle Y -` A = Y -` (A \cap \text{range } Y) \rangle$  by presburger
thus ?thesis using expeq by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma (in finite-measure) nn-expl-cond-exp-integrable:

assumes $\forall w \in space M. 0 \leq X w$
and integrable $M X$
and disc-fct Y
and point-measurable $M (space N) Y$
shows integrable $M (expl-cond-expect M Y X)$

proof –

have $Y - 'space N \cap space M = space M$
by (meson assms(3) assms(4) disc-fct-point-measurable inf-absorb2 measurable-space subsetI vimageI)
let ?indA = indicator (($Y - 'space N \cap space M$))::'a⇒real
have $\forall w \in space M. (?indA w) = (1::real)$ by (simp add: ‹ $Y - 'space N \cap space M = space M$ ›)
hence $\forall w \in space M. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X) w) * (?indA w) = (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w$ by simp
moreover have integrable $M (\lambda w. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X) w) * (?indA w))$
using assms
nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp-tmp[of $X Y N$] by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by (metis (no-types, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong)
qed

lemma (in finite-measure) nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp:

assumes $\forall w \in space M. 0 \leq X w$
and integrable $M X$
and disc-fct Y
and point-measurable $M (space N) Y$
shows $\forall A \in sets N. integral^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (indicator ((Y - 'A) \cap (space M)) w)) = integral^L M (\lambda w. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X) w) * (indicator ((Y - 'A) \cap (space M))) w)$
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) assms nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp-tmp)

lemma (in finite-measure) expl-cond-exp-integrable:

assumes integrable $M X$
and disc-fct Y
and point-measurable $M (space N) Y$
shows integrable $M (expl-cond-expect M Y X)$

proof –

let ?zer = $\lambda w. 0$
let ?Xp = $\lambda w. max (?zer w) (X w)$
let ?Xn = $\lambda w. max (?zer 0) (-X w)$
have $\forall w. X w = ?Xp w - ?Xn w$ by auto
have ints: integrable $M ?Xp$ integrable $M ?Xn$ using integrable-max assms by auto
hence integrable $M (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp)$ using assms nn-expl-cond-exp-integrable
by (metis max.cobounded1)
moreover have integrable $M (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn)$ using ints assms

```

nn-expl-cond-exp-integrable
  by (metis max.cobounded1)
  ultimately have integr: integrable M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w −
(expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) w) by auto
    have ∀ w ∈ space M. (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w − (expl-cond-expect M Y
?Xn) w = (expl-cond-expect M Y X) w
    proof
      fix w
      assume w ∈ space M
      hence (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w − (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) w =
(expl-cond-expect M Y (λx. ?Xp x − ?Xn x)) w
        using ints by (simp add: expl-cond-exp-diff)
      also have ... = expl-cond-expect M Y X w using expl-cond-exp-cong ‹∀ w. X w
= ?Xp w − ?Xn w› by auto
      finally show (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w − (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) w
= expl-cond-expect M Y X w .
    qed
    thus ?thesis using integr
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong)
  qed

```

```

lemma (in finite-measure) is-cond-exp:
  assumes integrable M X
  and disc-fct Y
  and point-measurable M (space N) Y
  shows ∀ A ∈ sets N. integralL M (λw. (X w) * (indicator ((Y − ‘A) ∩ (space M))
w)) =
  integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X) w) * (indicator ((Y − ‘A) ∩ (space
M))) w)
  proof –
    let ?zer = λw. 0
    let ?Xp = λw. max (?zer w) (X w)
    let ?Xn = λw. max (?zer 0) (−X w)
    have ∀ w. X w = ?Xp w − ?Xn w by auto
    have ints: integrable M ?Xp integrable M ?Xn using integrable-max assms by
    auto
    hence posint: integrable M (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) using assms nn-expl-cond-exp-integrable
      by (metis max.cobounded1)
    have negint: integrable M (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) using ints assms nn-expl-cond-exp-integrable
      by (metis max.cobounded1)
    have eqp: ∀ A ∈ sets N. integralL M (λw. (?Xp w) * (indicator ((Y − ‘A) ∩
(space M)) w)) =
      integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w) * (indicator ((Y − ‘A) ∩
(space M))) w)
      using nn-cond-exp-is-cond-exp[of ?Xp Y N] assms by auto
    have eqn: ∀ A ∈ sets N. integralL M (λw. (?Xn w) * (indicator ((Y − ‘A) ∩
(space M)) w)) =
      integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) w) * (indicator ((Y − ‘A) ∩
(space M))) w)

```

```

(space M))) w)
  using nn-cond-expl-is-cond-exp[of ?Xn Y N] assms by auto

show ∀ A ∈ sets N. integralL M (λw. (X w) * (indicator ((Y -‘A) ∩ (space M)) w)) =
  integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X) w) * (indicator ((Y -‘A) ∩ (space M))) w)
proof
fix A
assume A ∈ sets N
let ?imA = A ∩ (range Y)
have countable ?imA using assms disc-fct-def by blast
have Y -‘A = Y -‘?imA by auto
have yev: Y -‘(A ∩ range Y) ∩ space M ∈ sets M
  using ⟨A ∈ sets N⟩ assms(3) assms(2) disc-fct-point-measurable measurable-sets
by (metis ⟨Y -‘A = Y -‘(A ∩ range Y)⟩)
let ?indA = indicator ((Y -‘(A ∩ range Y)) ∩ (space M))::'a⇒real
have intp: integrable M (λw. (?Xp w) * ?indA w)
proof (rule integrable-real-mult-indicator)
show Y -‘(A ∩ range Y) ∩ space M ∈ sets M using yev by simp
show integrable M ?Xp using assms by simp
qed
have intn: integrable M (λw. (?Xn w) * ?indA w)
proof (rule integrable-real-mult-indicator)
show Y -‘(A ∩ range Y) ∩ space M ∈ sets M using yev by simp
show integrable M ?Xn using assms by simp
qed
have exintp: integrable M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp w) * ?indA w)
proof (rule integrable-real-mult-indicator)
show Y -‘(A ∩ range Y) ∩ space M ∈ sets M using yev by simp
show integrable M (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) using posint by simp
qed
have exintn: integrable M (λw. (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn w) * ?indA w)
proof (rule integrable-real-mult-indicator)
show Y -‘(A ∩ range Y) ∩ space M ∈ sets M using yev by simp
show integrable M (expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) using negint by simp
qed
have integralL M (λw. X w * indicator (Y -‘A ∩ space M) w) =
  integralL M (λw. (?Xp w - ?Xn w) * indicator (Y -‘A ∩ space M) w)
  using ⟨∀ w. X w =?Xp w - ?Xn w⟩ by auto
also have ... = integralL M (λw. (?Xp w * indicator (Y -‘A ∩ space M) w) -
  w) - ?Xn w * indicator (Y -‘A ∩ space M) w)
  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
also have ... = integralL M (λw. (?Xp w * indicator (Y -‘A ∩ space M) w))
-
integralL M (λw. ?Xn w * indicator (Y -‘A ∩ space M) w)
  using ⟨Y -‘A = Y -‘(A ∩ range Y)⟩ intp intn by auto
also have ... = integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w) * (indicator

```

```

((Y - `A) ∩ (space M))) w) -
  integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) w) * (indicator ((Y - `A) ∩
  (space M))) w)
    using eqp eqn by (simp add: `A ∈ sets N)
  also have ... = integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w) * (indicator
  ((Y - `A) ∩ (space M))) w) -
    ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xn) w) * (indicator ((Y - `A) ∩ (space M))) w)
    using `Y - `A = Y - (`A ∩ range Y) exintn exintp by auto
  also have ... = integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y ?Xp) w) - (expl-cond-expect
  M Y ?Xn) w) * (indicator ((Y - `A) ∩ (space M))) w)
    by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
  also have ... = integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y (λx. ?Xp x - ?Xn
  x) w) * (indicator ((Y - `A) ∩ (space M))) w))
    using expl-cond-exp-diff[of M ?Xp ?Xn Y] ints by (metis (mono-tags, lifting)
  Bochner-Integration.integral-cong)
  also have ... = integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * (indicator ((Y
  - `A) ∩ (space M))) w))
    using `∀ w. X w = ?Xp w - ?Xn w` expl-cond-exp-cong[of M X λx. ?Xp x
  - ?Xn x Y] by presburger
  finally show integralL M (λw. X w * indicator (Y - `A ∩ space M) w) =
  integralL M (λw. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X w) * (indicator ((Y - `A) ∩ (space
  M))) w)) .
qed
qed

```

lemma (in finite-measure) charact-cond-exp:

assumes disc-fct Y

and integrable M X

and point-measurable M (space N) Y

and Y ∈ space M → space N

and ∀ r ∈ range Y ∩ space N. ∃ A ∈ sets N. range Y ∩ A = {r}

shows AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y) X w = expl-cond-expect M Y X w

proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-charact)

have Y ∈ measurable M N

by (simp add: assms(1) assms(3) disc-fct-point-measurable)

have point-measurable M (space N) Y **by** (simp add: assms(3))

show sigma-finite-subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y) **unfolding** sigma-finite-subalgebra-def

proof

show subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y) **using** `Y ∈ measurable M N`

by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra)

show sigma-finite-measure (restr-to-subalg M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y))

unfolding sigma-finite-measure-def

proof (intro exI conjI)

let ?A = {space M}

show countable ?A **by** simp

show ?A ⊆ sets (restr-to-subalg M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y))

by (metis empty-subsetI insert-subset sets.top space-restr-to-subalg)

```

show  $\bigcup ?A = \text{space}(\text{restr-to-subalg } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y))$ 
  by (simp add: space-restr-to-subalg)
  show  $\forall a \in \{\text{space } M\}. \text{emeasure}(\text{restr-to-subalg } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y)) a \neq \infty$ 
    by (metis <subalgebra M (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y)> emeasure-finite emeasure-restr-to-subalg infinity-ennreal-def sets.top singletonD subalgebra-def)
  qed
qed
show integrable M X using assms by simp
show expl-cond-expect M Y X ∈ borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y)
using assms by (simp add:expl-cond-exp-borel)
show integrable M (expl-cond-expect M Y X)
  using assms expl-cond-exp-integrable by blast
have  $\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (\text{indicator } A w)) = \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A X$ 
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong mult-commute-abs real-scaleR-def set-lebesgue-integral-def)
  have  $\forall A \in \text{sets } M. \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } A w)) = \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X)$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong mult-commute-abs real-scaleR-def set-lebesgue-integral-def)
  have  $\forall A \in \text{sets } (fct\text{-gen}\text{-subalgebra } M N Y). \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. (X w) * (\text{indicator } A w)) = \text{integral}^L M (\lambda w. ((\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X) w) * (\text{indicator } A w))$ 
proof
fix A
assume A ∈ sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M N Y)
hence A ∈ {Y - 'B ∩ space M | B ∈ sets N} using assms by (simp add:fct-gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets)
hence ∃ B ∈ sets N. A = Y - 'B ∩ space M by auto
from this obtain B where B ∈ sets N and A = Y - 'B ∩ space M by auto
thus integralL M (λ w. (X w) * (indicator A w)) =
  integralL M (λ w. ((expl-cond-expect M Y X) w) * (indicator A w)) using is-cond-exp
  using Bochner-Integration.integral-cong <point-measurable M (space N) Y>
assms(1) assms(2) by blast
qed
thus  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } (fct\text{-gen}\text{-subalgebra } M N Y) \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A X = \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A (\text{expl-cond-expect } M Y X)$ 
  by (smt Bochner-Integration.integral-cong Groups.mult-ac(2) real-scaleR-def set-lebesgue-integral-def)
qed

```

lemma (in finite-measure) charact-cond-exp':
assumes disc-fct Y
and integrable M X
and Y ∈ measurable M N
and $\forall r \in \text{range } Y \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } Y \cap A = \{r\}$

```

shows  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{ real-cond-exp } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N Y) X w = \text{expl-cond-expect}$ 
 $M Y X w$ 
proof (rule charact-cond-exp)
  show disc-fct  $Y$  using assms by simp
  show integrable  $M X$  using assms by simp
  show  $\forall r \in \text{range } Y \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } Y \cap A = \{r\}$  using assms by
  simp
  show  $Y \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$ 
    by (meson Pi-I assms(3) measurable-space)
  show point-measurable  $M (\text{space } N) Y$  using assms by (simp add: meas-single-meas)
qed

end

```

5 Infinite coin toss space

This section contains the formalization of the infinite coin toss space, i.e., the probability space constructed on infinite sequences of independent coin tosses.

```

theory Infinite-Coin-Toss-Space imports Filtration Generated-Subalgebra Disc-Cond-Expect
begin

```

5.1 Preliminary results

```

lemma decompose-init-prod:
  fixes  $n::nat$ 
  shows  $(\prod i \in \{0..n\}. f i) = f 0 * (\prod i \in \{1..n\}. f i)$ 
proof (cases Suc 0 ≤ n)
  case True
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis One-nat-def Suc-le-D True prod.atLeast0-atMost-Suc-shift prod.atLeast-Suc-atMost-Suc-shift)
next
  case False
  thus ?thesis
    by (metis One-nat-def atLeastLessThanSuc-atLeastAtMost prod.atLeast0-lessThan-Suc-shift
      prod.atLeast-Suc-lessThan-Suc-shift)
qed

```

```

lemma Inter-nonempty-distrib:
  assumes  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows  $\bigcap A \cap B = (\bigcap C \in A. (C \cap B))$ 
proof
  show  $(\bigcap C \in A. C \cap B) \subseteq \bigcap A \cap B$ 
proof

```

```

fix x
assume mem:  $x \in (\bigcap C \in A. C \cap B)$ 
from ‹A ≠ {}› obtain C where C ∈ A by blast
hence  $x \in C \cap B$  using mem by blast
hence in1:  $x \in B$  by auto
have  $\bigwedge C. C \in A \implies x \in C \cap B$  using mem by blast
hence  $\bigwedge C. C \in A \implies x \in C$  by auto
hence in2:  $x \in \bigcap A$  by auto
thus  $x \in \bigcap A \cap B$  using in1 in2 by simp
qed
qed auto

```

lemma enn2real-sum: shows $\text{finite } A \implies (\bigwedge a \in A. f a < \text{top}) \implies \text{enn2real}(\sum f A) = (\sum a \in A. \text{enn2real}(f a))$

```

proof (induct rule:finite-induct)
  case empty
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (insert a A)
  have enn2real (sum f (insert a A)) = enn2real (f a + (sum f A))
    by (simp add: insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(2))
  also have ... = enn2real (f a) + enn2real (sum f A)
    by (simp add: enn2real-plus insert.hyps(1) insert.preds)
  also have ... = enn2real (f a) + (∑ a ∈ A. enn2real (f a))
    by (simp add: insert.hyps(3) insert.preds)
  also have ... = (∑ a ∈ (insert a A). enn2real (f a))
    by (metis calculation insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(2) sum.insert)
  finally show ?case .
qed

```

lemma ennreal-sum: shows $\text{finite } A \implies (\bigwedge a \in A. 0 \leq f a) \implies (\sum a \in A. \text{ennreal}(f a)) = \text{ennreal}(\sum a \in A. f a)$

```

proof (induct rule:finite-induct)
  case empty
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (insert a A)
  have (∑ a ∈ (insert a A). ennreal(f a)) = ennreal(f a) + (∑ a ∈ A. ennreal(f a))
    by (simp add: insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(2))
  also have ... = ennreal(f a) + ennreal(∑ a ∈ A. f a)
    by (simp add: insert.preds)
  also have ... = ennreal(f a + (∑ a ∈ A. f a))
    by (simp add: insert.preds sum-nonneg)
  also have ... = ennreal(∑ a ∈ (insert a A). (f a))
    using insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(2) by auto
  finally show ?case .

```

qed

lemma *stake-snth*:

assumes *stake n w = stake n x*
 shows *Suc i ≤ n ⇒ snth w i = snth x i*
 by (*metis Suc-le-eq assms stake-nth*)

lemma *stake-snth-charact*:

assumes *stake n w = stake n x*
 shows $\forall i < n. \text{snth } w \ i = \text{snth } x \ i$
 proof (*intro allI impI*)
 fix *i*
 assume *i < n*
 thus *snth w i = snth x i* **using** *Suc-leI assms stake-snth* **by** *blast*
 qed

lemma *stake-snth'*:

shows ($\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq n \Rightarrow \text{snth } w \ i = \text{snth } x \ i$) $\Rightarrow \text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x$
 proof (*induct n arbitrary:w x*)
 case 0
 then show ?case **by** *auto*
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 hence *mh: $\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq \text{Suc } n \Rightarrow w !! i = x !! i$* **by** *auto*
 hence *seq:snth w n = snth x n* **by** *auto*
 have *stake n w = stake n x* **using** *mh Suc by (meson Suc-leD Suc-le-mono)*
 thus *stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x* **by** (*metis seq stake-Suc*)
 qed

lemma *stake-inter-snth*:

fixes *x*
 assumes *Suc 0 ≤ n*
 shows $\{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\} = (\bigcap_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{snth } w \ i = \text{snth } x \ i)\})$
 proof
 let ?S = $\{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{stake } n \ w = \text{stake } n \ x)\}$
 show ?S ⊆ $(\bigcap_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \{w \in \text{space } M. w !! i = x !! i\})$ **using** *stake-snth assms by fastforce*
 show $(\bigcap_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \{w \in \text{space } M. w !! i = x !! i\}) \subseteq ?S$
 proof
 fix *w*
 assume *inter: w ∈ (bigcap i in {0..n-1}. {w ∈ space M. w !! i = x !! i})*
 have $\forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq n-1 \longrightarrow \text{snth } w \ i = \text{snth } x \ i$
 proof (*intro allI impI*)
 fix *i*
 assume *0 ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n-1*
 thus *snth w i = snth x i* **using** *inter by auto*
 qed

```

hence stake n w = stake n x
by (metis One-nat-def Suc-le-D diff-Suc-Suc diff-is-0-eq diff-zero le0 stake-snth')
thus w ∈ ?S using inter by auto
qed
qed

lemma streams-stake-set:
shows pw ∈ streams A ==> set (stake n pw) ⊆ A
proof (induct n arbitrary: pw)
case (Suc n) note hyp = this
have set (stake (Suc 0) pw) ⊆ A
proof –
have shd pw ∈ A using hyp streams-shd[of pw A] by simp
have stake (Suc 0) pw = [shd pw] by auto
hence set (stake (Suc 0) pw) = {shd pw} by auto
thus ?thesis using ⟨shd pw ∈ A⟩ by auto
qed
thus ?case by (simp add: Suc.hyps Suc.prems streams-stl)
qed simp

lemma stake-finite-universe-induct:
assumes finite A
and A ≠ {}
shows (stake (Suc n) `streams A) = {s#w | s w. s ∈ A ∧ w ∈ (stake n `streams A) }
(is ?L = ?R)
proof
show ?L ⊆ ?R
proof
fix l::'a list
assume l ∈ ?L
hence ∃ pw. pw ∈ streams A ∧ l = stake (Suc n) pw by auto
from this obtain pw where pw ∈ streams A and l = stake (Suc n) pw by blast
hence l = shd pw # stake n (stl pw) unfolding stake-def by auto
thus l ∈ ?R by (simp add: ⟨pw ∈ streams A⟩ streams-shd streams-stl)
qed
show ?R ⊆ ?L
proof
fix l::'a list
assume l ∈ ?R
hence ∃ s w. s ∈ A ∧ w ∈ (stake n `streams A) ∧ l = s#w by auto
from this obtain s and w where s ∈ A and w ∈ (stake n `streams A) and l = s#w by blast
note swprop = this
have ∃ pw. pw ∈ streams A ∧ w = stake n pw using swprop by auto
from this obtain pw where pw ∈ streams A and w = stake n pw by blast
note pwprop = this
have l ∈ lists A

```

```

proof -
  have  $s \in A$  using swprop by simp
  have  $\text{set } w \subseteq A$  using pwprop streams-stake-set by simp
  have  $\text{set } l = \text{set } w \cup \{s\}$  using swprop by auto
  thus ?thesis using  $\langle s \in A \rangle \langle \text{set } w \subseteq A \rangle$  by auto
qed
have  $\exists x. x \in A$  using assms by auto
from this obtain  $x$  where  $x \in A$  by blast
let  $?sx = \text{sconst } x$ 
let  $?st = \text{shift } l ?sx$ 
have  $l = \text{stake} (\text{Suc } n) ?st$  by (simp add: pwprop(2) stake-shift swprop(3))
have  $\text{sset } ?sx = \{x\}$  by simp
hence  $\text{sset } ?sx \subseteq A$  using  $\langle x \in A \rangle$  by simp
hence  $?sx \in \text{streams } A$  using sset-streams[of sconst x] by simp
  hence  $?st \in \text{streams } A$  using  $\langle l \in \text{lists } A \rangle \text{ shift-streams}[of l A \text{ sconst } x]$  by
simp
  thus  $l \in ?L$  using  $\langle l = \text{stake} (\text{Suc } n) ?st \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed

```

```

lemma stake-finite-universe-finite:
  assumes finite A
  and  $A \neq \{\}$ 
  shows finite (stake n '(streams A))
proof (induction n)
  let  $?L = (\text{stake } 0 '(streams A))$ 
  have  $\text{streams } A \neq \{\}$ 
proof
  assume  $\text{streams } A = \{\}$ 
  have  $\exists x. x \in A$  using assms by auto
  from this obtain  $x$  where  $x \in A$  by blast
  let  $?sx = \text{sconst } x$ 
  have  $\text{sset } ?sx = \{x\}$  by simp
  hence  $\text{sset } ?sx \subseteq A$  using  $\langle x \in A \rangle$  by simp
  hence  $?sx \in \text{streams } A$  using sset-streams[of sconst x] by simp
  thus False using  $\langle \text{streams } A = \{\} \rangle$  by simp
qed
  have  $\text{stake } 0 = (\lambda s. [])$  unfolding stake-def by simp
  hence  $?L = []$  using  $\langle \text{streams } A \neq \{\} \rangle$  by auto
  show finite (stake 0 '(streams A)) by (simp add: ?L = [] image-constant-conv)
next
  fix  $n$  assume finite (stake n '(streams A)) note hyp = this
  have  $(\text{stake} (\text{Suc } n) '(streams A)) = \{s \# w \mid s \in A \wedge w \in (\text{stake } n '(streams A))\}$  (is  $?L = ?R$ )
    using assms stake-finite-universe-induct[of A n] by simp
    have finite ?R by (simp add: assms(1) finite-image-set2 hyp)
    thus finite ?L using  $\langle ?L = ?R \rangle$  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma diff-streams-only-if:
  assumes  $w \neq x$ 
  shows  $\exists n. \text{snth } w n \neq \text{snth } x n$ 
proof -
  have  $f1: \text{smap} (\lambda n. x !! (n - \text{Suc } 0)) (\text{fromN} (\text{Suc } 0)) \neq w$ 
    by (metis assms stream-smap-fromN)
  obtain  $nn :: 'a \text{ stream} \Rightarrow \text{nat stream} \Rightarrow (\text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
     $\forall x0 x1 x2. (\exists v3. x2 (x1 !! v3) \neq x0 !! v3) = (x2 (x1 !! nn x0 x1 x2) \neq x0 !! nn x0 x1 x2)$ 
    by moura
  then have  $x !! (\text{fromN} (\text{Suc } 0) !! nn w (\text{fromN} (\text{Suc } 0)) (\lambda n. x !! (n - \text{Suc } 0))) - \text{Suc } 0 \neq w !! nn w (\text{fromN} (\text{Suc } 0)) (\lambda n. x !! (n - \text{Suc } 0))$ 
  using  $f1$  by (meson smap-alt)
  then show ?thesis
    by (metis (no-types) snth-smap stream-smap-fromN)
qed

```

```

lemma diff-streams-if:
  assumes  $\exists n. \text{snth } w n \neq \text{snth } x n$ 
  shows  $w \neq x$ 
  using assms by auto

```

```

lemma sigma-set-union-count:
  assumes  $\forall y \in A. B y \in \text{sigma-sets } X Y$ 
  and countable  $A$ 
  shows  $(\bigcup y \in A. B y) \in \text{sigma-sets } X Y$ 
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) assms countable-image imageE sigma-sets-UNION)

```

```

lemma sigma-set-inter-init:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \leq (n :: \text{nat}) \implies A i \in \text{sigma-sets sp } B$ 
  and  $B \subseteq \text{Pow sp}$ 
  shows  $(\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. A i) \in \text{sigma-sets sp } B$ 
  by (metis (full-types) assms(1) assms(2) bot.extremum empty-iff mem-Collect-eq
sigma-sets-INTER)

```

```

lemma adapt-sigma-sets:
  assumes  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (X i) \in \text{measurable } M N$ 
  shows sigma-algebra (space  $M$ ) (sigma-sets (space  $M$ )  $(\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{X i - 'A \cap \text{space } M | A. A \in \text{sets } N\})$ )
  proof (rule sigma-algebra-sigma-sets)
    show  $(\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{X i - 'A \cap \text{space } M | A. A \in \text{sets } N\}) \subseteq \text{Pow } (\text{space } M)$ 
  proof (rule UN-subset-iff[THEN iffD2], intro ballI)
    fix  $i$ 
    assume  $i \in \{m. m \leq n\}$ 

```

```

show { $X i -` A \cap \text{space } M \mid A \in \text{sets } N\} \subseteq \text{Pow } (\text{space } M)$  by auto
qed
qed

```

5.2 Bernoulli streams

Bernoulli streams represent the formal definition of the infinite coin toss space. The parameter p represents the probability of obtaining a head after a coin toss.

```

definition bernoulli-stream::real  $\Rightarrow$  (bool stream) measure where
  bernoulli-stream  $p = \text{stream-space } (\text{measure-pmf } (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p))$ 

```

```

lemma bernoulli-stream-space:
  assumes  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$ 
  shows  $\text{space } N = \text{streams } \text{UNIV}::\text{bool}$ 
using assms unfolding bernoulli-stream-def stream-space-def
by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-def space-stream-space)

lemma bernoulli-stream-preimage:
  assumes  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$ 
  shows  $f -` A \cap (\text{space } N) = f -` A$ 
using assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-space)

lemma bernoulli-stream-component-probability:
  assumes  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$  and  $0 \leq p$  and  $p \leq 1$ 
  shows  $\forall n. \text{emeasure } N \{w \in \text{space } N. (\text{snth } w n)\} = p$ 
proof
  have prob-space  $N$  using assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
prob-space-measure-pmf)
  fix  $n::\text{nat}$ 
  let  $?S = \{w \in \text{space } N. (\text{snth } w n)\}$ 
  have  $s: ?S \in \text{sets } N$ 
  proof -
    have  $(\lambda w. \text{snth } w n) \in \text{measurable } N (\text{measure-pmf } (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p))$  using
assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def)
    moreover have  $\{\text{True}\} \in \text{sets } (\text{measure-pmf } (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p))$  by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  let  $?PM = (\lambda i::\text{nat}. (\text{measure-pmf } (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p)))$ 
  have isps: product-prob-space  $?PM$  by unfold-locales
  let  $?Z = \{X::\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool}. X n = \text{True}\}$ 
  let  $?wPM = \text{Pi}_M \text{ UNIV } ?PM$ 
  have space  $?wPM = \text{UNIV}$  using space-PiM by fastforce
  hence  $(\text{to-stream } -` ?S \cap (\text{space } ?wPM)) = \text{to-stream } -` ?S$  by simp
  also have ... =  $?Z$  using assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-space to-stream-def)
  also have ... = prod-emb UNIV  $?PM \{n\} (\text{Pi}_E \{n\} (\lambda x::\text{nat}. \{\text{True}\}))$ 
  proof
  {

```

```

fix X
assume X ∈ prod-emb UNIV ?PM {n} (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True}))
hence restrict X {n} ∈ (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True})) using prod-emb-iff[of
X] by simp
hence X n = True
  unfolding PiE-iff by auto
hence X ∈ ?Z by simp
}
thus prod-emb UNIV ?PM {n} (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True})) ⊆ ?Z by auto
{
fix X
assume X ∈ ?Z
hence X n = True by simp
hence restrict X {n} ∈ (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True}))
  unfolding PiE-iff by auto
moreover have X ∈ extensional UNIV by simp
moreover have ∀ i ∈ UNIV. X i ∈ space (?PM i) by auto
ultimately have X ∈ prod-emb UNIV ?PM {n} (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True}))
using prod-emb-iff[of X] by simp
}
thus ?Z ⊆ prod-emb UNIV ?PM {n} (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True})) by auto
qed
finally have inteq: (to-stream -` ?S ∩ (space ?wPM)) = prod-emb UNIV ?PM
{n} (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat. {True})) .
have emeasure N ?S = emeasure ?wPM (to-stream -` ?S ∩ (space ?wPM))
  using assms emeasure-distr[of to-stream ?wPM (vimage-algebra (streams (space
(measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))) (!)
(Pi_M UNIV (λi. measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))) ?S] measurable-to-stream[of
(measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p))] s
  unfolding bernoulli-stream-def stream-space-def by auto
also have ... = emeasure ?wPM (prod-emb UNIV ?PM {n} (Pi_E {n} (λx::nat.
{True}))) using inteq by simp
also have ... =
  (Π i∈{n}. emeasure (?PM i) ((λx::nat. {True}) i)) using isps
  by (auto simp add: product-prob-space.emeasure-PiM-emb simp del: ext-funcset-to-sing-iff)
also have ... = emeasure (?PM n) {True} by simp
also have ... = p using assms by (simp add: emeasure-pmf-single)
finally show emeasure N ?S = p .
qed

```

lemma bernoulli-stream-component-probability-compl:
assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p \text{ and } 0 \leq p \text{ and } p \leq 1$
shows $\forall n. \text{emeasure } N \{w \in \text{space } N. \neg(\text{snth } w n)\} = 1 - p$

proof
fix n
let ?A = { $w \in \text{space } N. \neg w !! n\}$
let ?B = { $w \in \text{space } N. w !! n\}$
have ?A ∪ ?B = space N by auto

```

have ?A ∩ ?B = {} by auto
hence eqA: ?A = (?A ∪ ?B) - ?B using Diff-cancel by blast
moreover have ?A ∈ sets N
proof -
  have (λw. snth w n) ∈ measurable N (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) using
  assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def)
  moreover have {True} ∈ sets (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
moreover have ?B ∈ sets N
proof -
  have (λw. snth w n) ∈ measurable N (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) using
  assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def)
  moreover have {True} ∈ sets (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately have emeasure N ((?A ∪ ?B) - ?B) = emeasure N (?A ∪ ?B) -
emeasure N ?B
proof -
  have f1: ⋀S m. (S::bool stream set) ∉ sets m ∨ emeasure m S = ⊤ ∨ emeasure
m (space m) - emeasure m S = emeasure m (space m - S)
    by (metis emeasure-compl infinity-ennreal-def)
  have emeasure N {s ∈ space N. s !! n} ≠ ⊤
  using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) ennreal-neq-top bernoulli-stream-component-probability
by presburger
  then have emeasure N (space N) - emeasure N {s ∈ space N. s !! n} =
emeasure N (space N - {s ∈ space N. s !! n})
    using f1 ⟨{w ∈ space N. w !! n} ∈ sets N⟩ by blast
  then show ?thesis
  using ⟨{w ∈ space N. ¬ w !! n} ∪ {w ∈ space N. w !! n} = space N⟩ by
presburger
qed
hence emeasure N ?A = emeasure N (?A ∪ ?B) - emeasure N ?B using eqA
by simp
also have ... = 1 - emeasure N ?B
by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨?A ∪ ?B = space N⟩ assms(1) bernoulli-stream-def
prob-space.emeasure-space-1 prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
also have ... = 1 - p using bernoulli-stream-component-probability[of N p] assms
by (metis (mono-tags) ennreal-1 ennreal-minus-if)
finally show emeasure N ?A = 1 - p .
qed

lemma bernoulli-stream-sets:
assumes 0 < q
and q < 1
and 0 < p
and p < 1
shows sets (bernoulli-stream p) = sets (bernoulli-stream q) unfolding bernoulli-stream-def
by (rule sets-stream-space-cong, simp)

```

```

locale infinite-coin-toss-space =
  fixes p::real and M::bool stream measure
  assumes p-gt-0: 0 ≤ p
  and p-lt-1: p ≤ 1
  and bernoulli: M = bernoulli-stream p

```

```

sublocale infinite-coin-toss-space ⊆ prob-space
  by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)

```

5.3 Natural filtration on the infinite coin toss space

The natural filtration on the infinite coin toss space is the discrete filtration F such that F_n represents the restricted measure space in which the outcome of the first n coin tosses is known.

5.3.1 The projection function

Intuitively, the restricted measure space in which the outcome of the first n coin tosses is known can be defined by any measurable function that maps all infinite sequences that agree on the first n coin tosses to the same element.

```

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True:: nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒
  bool stream where
    pseudo-proj-True n = (λw. shift (stake n w) (sconst True))

```

```

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False:: nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒
  bool stream where
    pseudo-proj-False n = (λw. shift (append (stake n w) [False]) (sconst True))

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-neq-True:
  shows pseudo-proj-False n w ≠ pseudo-proj-True n w
  proof (rule diff-streams-if, intro exI)
    have snth (pseudo-proj-False n w) n = False unfolding pseudo-proj-False-def
  by simp
  moreover have snth (pseudo-proj-True n w) n = True unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def
  by simp
  ultimately show snth (pseudo-proj-False n w) n ≠ snth (pseudo-proj-True n w)
  n by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-measurable:

```

```

shows pseudo-proj-False  $n \in \text{measurable}(\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  ( $\text{bernoulli-stream } p$ )
proof -
  let  $?N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$ 
  have  $\text{id} \in \text{measurable } ?N ?N$  by simp
  moreover have  $(\lambda w. (\text{sconst True})) \in \text{measurable } ?N ?N$  using  $\text{bernoulli-stream-space}$ 
  by simp
  ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{measurable-shift } p\text{-gt-0 } p\text{-lt-1}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{bernoulli-stream-def pseudo-proj-False-def}$  by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-stake:
  shows  $\text{stake } n (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) = \text{stake } n w$  by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-def stake-shift)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-stake:
  shows  $\text{stake } n (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w) = \text{stake } n w$  by (simp add: pseudo-proj-False-def stake-shift)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-stake-image:
  assumes  $\text{stake } n w = \text{stake } n x$ 
  shows  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x$  by (simp add: assms pseudo-proj-True-def)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-prefix:
  assumes  $n \leq m$ 
  and  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } m x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } m y$ 
  shows  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n y$ 
  by (metis assms diff-is-0-eq id-stake-snth-sdrop length-stake pseudo-proj-True-def stake.simps(1) stake-shift)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-measurable:
  shows  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \in \text{measurable}(\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  ( $\text{bernoulli-stream } p$ )
proof -
  let  $?N = \text{bernoulli-stream } p$ 
  have  $\text{id} \in \text{measurable } ?N ?N$  by simp
  moreover have  $(\lambda w. (\text{sconst True})) \in \text{measurable } ?N ?N$  using  $\text{bernoulli-stream-space}$ 
  by simp
  ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{measurable-shift } p\text{-gt-0 } p\text{-lt-1}$ 
  unfolding  $\text{bernoulli-stream-def pseudo-proj-True-def}$  by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-finite-image:
  shows  $\text{finite}(\text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n))$ 
proof -
  let  $?U = \text{UNIV::bool set}$ 
  have  $?U = \{\text{True}, \text{False}\}$  by auto
  hence  $\text{finite } ?U$  by simp

```

```

moreover have ?U ≠ {} by auto
ultimately have fi: finite (stake n ‘streams ?U) using stake-finite-universe-finite[of
?U] by simp
let ?sh= (λl. shift l (sconst True))
have finite {?sh l|l. l∈(stake n ‘streams ?U)} using fi by simp
moreover have {?sh l|l. l∈(stake n ‘streams ?U)} = range (pseudo-proj-True
n) unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-finite-image:
shows finite (range (pseudo-proj-False n))
proof –
let ?U = UNIV::bool set
have ?U = {True, False} by auto
hence finite ?U by simp
moreover have ?U ≠ {} by auto
ultimately have fi: finite (stake n ‘streams ?U) using stake-finite-universe-finite[of
?U] by simp
let ?sh= (λl. shift (l @ [False])) (sconst True)
have finite {?sh l|l. l∈(stake n ‘streams ?U)} using fi by simp
moreover have {?sh l|l. l∈(stake n ‘streams ?U)} = range (pseudo-proj-False
n) unfolding pseudo-proj-False-def by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-proj:
shows pseudo-proj-True n (pseudo-proj-True n w) = pseudo-proj-True n w
by (metis pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-Suc-False-proj:
shows pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) = pseudo-proj-False n
w
by (metis append-Nil2 cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel length-append-singleton
length-stake order-refl pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-True-def stake.simps(1)
stake-shift take-all)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj:
shows pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) = pseudo-proj-True n
w
by (metis id-apply id-stake-snth-sdrop pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake
shift-left-inj siterate.code stake-sdrop stream.sel(2))

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-proj-Suc:
shows pseudo-proj-True n (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) = pseudo-proj-True n
w

```

by (meson Suc-n-not-le-n nat-le-linear pseudo-proj-True-prefix pseudo-proj-True-stake pseudo-proj-True-stake-image)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-shift:
shows length $l = n \implies$ pseudo-proj-True $n (\text{shift } l (\text{sconst True})) = \text{shift } l (\text{sconst True})$
by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-def stake-shift)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-suc-img:
shows pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) $w \in \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w, \text{pseudo-proj-False } n w\}$
by (metis (full-types) cycle-decomp insertCI list.distinct(1) pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-False-def sconst-cycle shift-append stake-Suc)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) measurable-snth-count-space:
shows ($\lambda w. \text{snth } w n$) \in measurable (bernoulli-stream p) (count-space (UNIV::bool set))
by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-same-img:
assumes pseudo-proj-True $n w =$ pseudo-proj-True $n x$
shows stake $n w =$ stake $n x$ **by** (metis assms pseudo-proj-True-stake)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-snth:
assumes pseudo-proj-True $n x =$ pseudo-proj-True $n w$
shows $\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq n \implies \text{snth } x i = \text{snth } w i$
proof -
fix i
have stake $n w =$ stake $n x$ **using** assms **by** (metis pseudo-proj-True-stake)
assume Suc $i \leq n$
thus snth $x i =$ snth $w i$ **using** stake $n w =$ stake $n x$ stake-snth **by** auto
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-snth':
assumes ($\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq n \implies \text{snth } w i = \text{snth } x i$)
shows pseudo-proj-True $n w =$ pseudo-proj-True $n x$
proof -
have stake $n w =$ stake $n x$ **using** stake-snth'[of $n w x$] **using** assms **by** simp
moreover have stake $n w =$ stake $n x \implies$ pseudo-proj-True $n w =$ pseudo-proj-True $n x$ **using** pseudo-proj-True-stake-image[of $n w x$] **by** simp
ultimately show ?thesis **by** auto
qed

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-preimage:
  assumes w = pseudo-proj-True n w
  shows (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w} = ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i}
  proof
    show (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w}  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i}
    proof
      fix x
      assume x  $\in$  (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w}
      hence pseudo-proj-True n x = pseudo-proj-True n w using assms by auto
      hence  $\bigwedge_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} x \in (\lambda x. snth x i)$  -` {snth w i}
        by (metis (mono-tags) Suc-le-eq mem-Collect-eq
          pseudo-proj-True-stake-stake-nth vimage-singleton-eq)
      thus x  $\in$  ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i} by auto
    qed
    show ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i}  $\subseteq$  (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w}
    proof
      fix x
      assume x  $\in$  ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i}
      hence  $\bigwedge_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} x \in (\lambda x. snth x i)$  -` {snth w i} by simp
      hence  $\bigwedge_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} snth x i = snth w i$  by simp
      hence  $\bigwedge_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} snth x i = snth w i$  by auto
      hence pseudo-proj-True n x = pseudo-proj-True n w using pseudo-proj-True-snth'[of n x w] by simp
      also have ... = w using assms by simp
      finally have pseudo-proj-True n x = w .
      thus x  $\in$  (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w} by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-preimage:
  assumes w = pseudo-proj-False n w
  shows (pseudo-proj-False n) -` {w} = ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i}
  proof
    show (pseudo-proj-False n) -` {w}  $\subseteq$  ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i}
    proof
      fix x
      assume x  $\in$  (pseudo-proj-False n) -` {w}
      hence pseudo-proj-False n x = pseudo-proj-False n w using assms by auto
      hence  $\bigwedge_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} x \in (\lambda x. snth x i)$  -` {snth w i}
        by (metis (mono-tags) Suc-le-eq mem-Collect-eq
          pseudo-proj-False-stake-stake-nth vimage-singleton-eq)
      thus x  $\in$  ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{m. Suc m \leq n\}} (\lambda w. snth w i)$ ) -` {snth w i} by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
show ( $\bigcap i \in \{m\}. Suc m \leq n$ ). ( $\lambda w. snth w i$ )  $-` \{snth w i\}$ )  $\subseteq$  (pseudo-proj-False
 $n$ )  $-` \{w\}$ 
proof
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \in (\bigcap i \in \{m\}. Suc m \leq n)$ . ( $\lambda w. snth w i$ )  $-` \{snth w i\}$ )
hence  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{m\} \Rightarrow x \in (\lambda x. snth x i) -` \{snth w i\}$  by simp
hence  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{m\}. Suc m \leq n \Rightarrow snth x i = snth w i$  by simp
hence  $\bigwedge i. Suc i \leq n \Rightarrow snth x i = snth w i$  by auto
hence pseudo-proj-False  $n x$  = pseudo-proj-False  $n w$ 
by (metis (full-types) pseudo-proj-False-def stake-snth')
also have ... =  $w$  using assms by simp
finally have pseudo-proj-False  $n x$  =  $w$  .
thus  $x \in (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n) -` \{w\}$  by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake:

assumes $w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n w$

shows $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -` \{w\} = \{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}$

proof

show $\{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\} \subseteq (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -` \{w\}$

proof

fix x

assume $x \in \{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}$

hence $\text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w$ by auto

hence pseudo-proj-True $n x = w$ using assms pseudo-proj-True-def by auto

thus $x \in (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -` \{w\}$ by auto

qed

show $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -` \{w\} \subseteq \{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}$

proof

fix x

assume $x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{w\}$

hence pseudo-proj-True $n x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n w$ using assms by auto

hence $\text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w$ by (metis pseudo-proj-True-stake)

thus $x \in \{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}$ by simp

qed

qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-preimage-stake:

assumes $w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n w$

shows $(\text{pseudo-proj-False } n) -` \{w\} = \{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}$

proof

show $\{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\} \subseteq (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n) -` \{w\}$

proof

fix x

assume $x \in \{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}$

```

hence stake n x = stake n w by auto
hence pseudo-proj-False n x = w using assms pseudo-proj-False-def by auto
thus x ∈ (pseudo-proj-False n) –‘ {w} by auto
qed
show (pseudo-proj-False n) –‘ {w} ⊆ {x. stake n x = stake n w}
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ pseudo-proj-False n –‘ {w}
  hence pseudo-proj-False n x = pseudo-proj-False n w using assms by auto
  hence stake n x = stake n w by (metis pseudo-proj-False-stake)
  thus x ∈ {x. stake n x = stake n w} by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake-space:
  assumes w = pseudo-proj-True n w
  shows (pseudo-proj-True n) –‘ {w} ∩ space M = {x ∈ space M. stake n x = stake n w}
  proof –
    have (pseudo-proj-True n) –‘ {w} = {x. stake n x = stake n w} using assms
      by (simp add:pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake)
    hence (pseudo-proj-True n) –‘ {w} ∩ space M = {x. stake n x = stake n w} ∩ space M
      by simp
    also have ... = {x ∈ space M. stake n x = stake n w} by auto
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-singleton:
  assumes w = pseudo-proj-True n w
  shows (pseudo-proj-True n) –‘ {w} ∩ (space (bernoulli-stream p)) ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)
  proof (cases {m. (Suc m) ≤ n} = {})
  case False
    have ⋀ i. (λx. snth x i) ∈ measurable (bernoulli-stream p) (count-space UNIV)
    by (simp add: measurable-snth-count-space)
    have fi: ⋀ i. Suc i ≤ n ⟹ (λw. snth w i) –‘ {snth w i} ∩ (space (bernoulli-stream p)) ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)
    proof –
      fix i
      assume Suc i ≤ n
      have (λx. snth x i) ∈ measurable (bernoulli-stream p) (count-space UNIV) by
        (simp add: measurable-snth-count-space)
      moreover have {snth w i} ∈ sets (count-space UNIV) by auto
      ultimately show (λx. snth x i) –‘ {snth w i} ∩ (space (bernoulli-stream p)) ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)
      unfolding measurable-def by (simp add: measurable-snth-count-space)
    qed

```

```

have ( $\bigcap i \in \{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\}. (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ )  $\in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
proof ((rule sigma-algebra.countable-INT'), auto)
  show sigma-algebra (space (bernoulli-stream p)) (sets (bernoulli-stream p))
  using measure-space measure-space-def by auto
  show UNIV  $\in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  by (metis bernoulli-stream-space
sets.top streams-UNIV)
  show  $\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq n \implies (\lambda w. w !! i) -` \{ w !! i \} \cap \text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
 $\in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  using fi by simp
qed
  moreover have ( $\bigcap i \in \{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\}. (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ ) =
  ( $\bigcap i \in \{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\}. (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \}$ )  $\cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ 
  using False Inter-nonempty-distrib by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms pseudo-proj-True-preimage[of w n] by
simp
next
case True
  hence  $n = 0$  using less-eq-Suc-le by auto
  hence pseudo-proj-True  $n = (\lambda w. \text{sconst True})$  by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-def)
  hence  $w = \text{sconst True}$  using assms by simp
  hence (pseudo-proj-True  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) = (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$  by (simp add: <pseudo-proj-True  $n = (\lambda w. \text{sconst True})$ >)
  thus (pseudo-proj-True  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-False-singleton:
assumes  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n$   $w$ 
shows (pseudo-proj-False  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
proof (cases  $\{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\} = \{\}$ )
case False
  have  $\bigwedge i. (\lambda x. \text{snth } x i) \in \text{measurable } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  (count-space UNIV)
  by (simp add: measurable-snth-count-space)
  have fi:  $\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq n \implies (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
  proof -
    fix i
    assume  $\text{Suc } i \leq n$ 
    have  $(\lambda x. \text{snth } x i) \in \text{measurable } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  (count-space UNIV) by
    (simp add: measurable-snth-count-space)
    moreover have  $\{ \text{snth } w i \} \in \text{sets } (\text{count-space } \text{UNIV})$  by auto
    ultimately show  $(\lambda x. \text{snth } x i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
    unfolding measurable-def by (simp add: measurable-snth-count-space)
qed

```

```

have ( $\bigcap i \in \{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\}. (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ )  $\in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
  proof ((rule sigma-algebra.countable-INT''), auto)
    show sigma-algebra (space (bernoulli-stream p)) (sets (bernoulli-stream p))
    using measure-space measure-space-def by auto
    show UNIV  $\in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  by (metis bernoulli-stream-space
sets.top streams-UNIV)
    show  $\bigwedge i. \text{Suc } i \leq n \implies (\lambda w. w !! i) -` \{ w !! i \} \cap \text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$ 
 $\in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  using fi by simp
  qed
  moreover have ( $\bigcap i \in \{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\}. (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ ) =
    ( $\bigcap i \in \{m. (\text{Suc } m) \leq n\}. (\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) -` \{ \text{snth } w i \}) \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ )
    using False Inter-nonempty-distrib by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms pseudo-proj-False-preimage[of w n] by
simp
next
case True
  hence  $n = 0$  using less-eq-Suc-le by auto
  hence pseudo-proj-False  $n = (\lambda w. \text{False} \# \# \text{sconst } \text{True})$  by (simp add: pseudo-proj-False-def)
  hence  $w = \text{False} \# \# \text{sconst } \text{True}$  using assms by simp
  hence (pseudo-proj-False  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) = (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p))$ 
    by (simp add: pseudo-proj-False  $n = (\lambda w. \text{False} \# \# \text{sconst } \text{True})$ )
    thus (pseudo-proj-False  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)) \in \text{sets } (\text{bernoulli-stream } p)$  by simp
  qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-inverse-induct:
assumes  $w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
shows (pseudo-proj-True  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} =$ 
  (pseudo-proj-True (Suc  $n$ ))  $-` \{w\} \cup (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-False }$ 
 $n \text{ } w\}$ 
proof
  let ?y = pseudo-proj-False  $n \text{ } w$ 
  show (pseudo-proj-True  $n$ )  $-` \{w\} \subseteq (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) -` \{w\} \cup$ 
  (pseudo-proj-True (Suc  $n$ ))  $-` \{?y\}$ 
  proof
    fix z
    assume  $z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{w\}$ 
    thus  $z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cup \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) -` \{?y\}$ 
      using pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake
      pseudo-proj-True-suc-img by fastforce
  qed
  {
    fix z
    assume  $z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\}$ 
    hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc  $n$ )  $= w$  by simp

```

```

hence pseudo-proj-True n z = pseudo-proj-True n w by (metis pseudo-proj-True-proj-Suc)
also have ... = w using assms pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake by
auto
  finally have pseudo-proj-True n z = w .
}
hence fst: pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {w} ⊆ (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w} by
blast
{
  fix z
  assume z ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {?y}
  hence pseudo-proj-True n z = pseudo-proj-True n w
  by (metis append1-eq-conv append-Nil2 cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel
       length-append-singleton length-stake order-refl pseudo-proj-False-def
       pseudo-proj-True-stake pseudo-proj-True-stake-image stake-Suc stake-invert-Nil
       stake-shift
       take-all vimage-singleton-eq)

  also have ... = w using assms pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake by
  auto
    finally have pseudo-proj-True n z = w .
}
hence scd: pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {?y} ⊆ (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w}
by blast
  show (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) -` {w} ∪ (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) -` {?y}
  ⊆ (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w}
  using fst scd by auto
qed

```

5.3.2 Natural filtration locale

This part is mainly devoted to the proof that the projection function defined above indeed permits to obtain a filtration on the infinite coin toss space, and that this filtration is initially trivial.

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration::nat ⇒ bool stream measure
where
 $\text{nat-filtration } n = \text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$

locale infinite-cts-filtration = infinite-coin-toss-space +
fixes F
assumes natural-filtration: F = nat-filtration

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-space:
shows space (nat-filtration n) = UNIV
by (metis bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space fct-gen-subalgebra-space nat-filtration-def
streams-UNIV)

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-sets:
  shows sets (nat-filtration n) =
    sigma-sets (space (bernoulli-stream p))
    {pseudo-proj-True n - `B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)}
proof -
  have sigma-sets (space M) {pseudo-proj-True n - `S ∩ space M | S. S ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)} =
    sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M M (pseudo-proj-True n))
  using bernoulli fct-gen-subalgebra-sets pseudo-proj-True-measurable by blast
  then show ?thesis
  using bernoulli nat-filtration-def by force
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-singleton:
  assumes pseudo-proj-True n w = w
  shows pseudo-proj-True n - `{w} ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
proof -
  let ?pw = pseudo-proj-True n - `{w}
  have memset:?pw ∈ sets M using bernoulli assms bernoulli-stream-preimage[of
  - - pseudo-proj-True n]
    pseudo-proj-True-singleton[of w n] by simp
  have pseudo-proj-True n - ?pw ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
  proof -
    have pseudo-proj-True n - ?pw ∩ (space M) ∈ sets (nat-filtration n) using
    memset
    by (metis fct-gen-subalgebra-sets-mem nat-filtration-def)
    moreover have pseudo-proj-True n - ?pw ∩ (space M) = pseudo-proj-True n
    - ?pw using
      bernoulli-stream-preimage[of - - pseudo-proj-True n] bernoulli by simp
    ultimately show pseudo-proj-True n - ?pw ∈ sets (nat-filtration n) by auto
  qed
  moreover have pseudo-proj-True n - ?pw = ?pw using pseudo-proj-True-proj
  by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-pseudo-proj-True-measurable:
  shows pseudo-proj-True n ∈ measurable (nat-filtration n) M unfolding nat-filtration-def
  using bernoulli fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable[of pseudo-proj-True n M M] pseudo-proj-True-measurable[of
  n]
  bernoulli-stream-space by auto

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-comp-measurable:

```

```

assumes f ∈ measurable M N
and f ∘ pseudo-proj-True n = f
shows f ∈ measurable (nat-filtration n) N
by (metis assms measurable-comp nat-filtration-pseudo-proj-True-measurable)

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) set-discriminating where
set-discriminating n f N ≡ (forall w. f w ≠ f (pseudo-proj-True n w) —>
(∃ A∈sets N. (f w ∈ A) = (f (pseudo-proj-True n w) ∉ A)))

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) set-discriminating-if:
fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{t0-space}
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
shows set-discriminating n f borel unfolding set-discriminating-def
proof (intro allI impI)
{
fix w
assume f w ≠ (f ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)) w
hence ∃ U. open U ∧ (f w ∈ U = ((f ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)) w ∉ U)) using
separation-t0 by auto
from this obtain A where open A and f w ∈ A = ((f ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)) w ∉ A) by blast note Ah = this
have A ∈ sets borel using Ah by simp
hence ∃ A ∈ sets borel. (f w ∈ A) = ((f ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)) w ∉ A) using
Ah by blast
}
thus ∀ w. f w ≠ f (pseudo-proj-True n w) —> ∃ A ∈ sets borel. (f w ∈ A) = (f (pseudo-proj-True n w) ∉ A) by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-not-borel-info:
assumes f ∈ measurable (nat-filtration n) N
and set-discriminating n f N
shows f ∘ pseudo-proj-True n = f
proof (rule ccontr)
assume f ∘ pseudo-proj-True n ≠ f
hence ∃ w. (f ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)) w ≠ f w by auto
from this obtain w where (f ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)) w ≠ f w by blast note wh
= this
let ?x = pseudo-proj-True n w
have pseudo-proj-True n ?x = pseudo-proj-True n w by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-proj)
have f w ≠ f (pseudo-proj-True n w) using wh by simp
hence ∃ A ∈ sets N. (f w ∈ A = (f ?x ∉ A)) using assms unfolding
set-discriminating-def by simp
from this obtain A where A ∈ sets N and f w ∈ A = (f ?x ∉ A) by blast note
Ah = this
have f -` A ∩ (space (nat-filtration n)) ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
using Ah assms borel-open measurable-sets by blast
hence fn:f -` A ∈ sets (nat-filtration n) using nat-filtration-space by simp
have ?x ∈ f -` A = (w ∈ f -` A) using ‹pseudo-proj-True n ?x = pseudo-proj-True

```

```

n w> assms
  fct-gen-subalgebra-info[of pseudo-proj-True n M] bernoulli-stream-space
  by (metis Pi-I UNIV-I bernoulli fn nat-filtration-def streams-UNIV)
also have ... = (f w ∈ A) by simp
also have ... = (f ?x ∉ A) using Ah by simp
also have ... = (?x ∉ f − ‘A) by simp
finally have ?x ∈ f − ‘A = (?x ∉ f − ‘A) .
thus False by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-info:
fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{'t0-space}
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
shows f ∘ pseudo-proj-True n = f
proof (rule nat-filtration-not-borel-info)
show f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n) using assms by simp
show set-discriminating n f borel using assms by (simp add: set-discriminating-if)
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-not-borel-info':
assumes f ∈ measurable (nat-filtration n) N
and set-discriminating n f N
shows f ∘ pseudo-proj-False n = f
proof
fix x
have (f ∘ pseudo-proj-False n) x = f (pseudo-proj-False n x) by simp
also have ... = f (pseudo-proj-True n (pseudo-proj-False n x)) using assms
nat-filtration-not-borel-info
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = f (pseudo-proj-True n x)
proof –
have pseudo-proj-True n (pseudo-proj-False n x) = pseudo-proj-True n x
by (simp add: pseudo-proj-False-stake pseudo-proj-True-def)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = f x using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info by (metis comp-apply)
finally show (f ∘ pseudo-proj-False n) x = f x .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-info':
fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{'t0-space}
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)

```

```

shows  $f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-False } n = f$ 
proof
fix  $x$ 
have  $(f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-False } n) x = f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n x)$  by simp
also have ... =  $f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n x))$  using assms
nat-filtration-info
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... =  $f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n x)$ 
proof -
have  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n x) = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x$ 
by (simp add: pseudo-proj-False-stake pseudo-proj-True-def)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =  $f x$  using assms nat-filtration-info by (metis comp-apply)
finally show  $(f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-False } n) x = f x$ .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-characterization:
fixes  $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$ 
assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
shows  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n) \longleftrightarrow f \circ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n = f$ 
using assms nat-filtration-comp-measurable nat-filtration-info by blast

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-init:
fixes  $f::\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b::\{t0\text{-space}\}$ 
assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } 0)$ 
shows  $f = (\lambda w. f (\text{sconst True}))$ 
proof
fix  $w$ 
have  $f w = f ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0) w)$  using assms nat-filtration-info[of f 0] by
(metis comp-apply)
also have ... =  $f (\text{sconst True})$  by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-def)
finally show  $f w = f (\text{sconst True})$ .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-Suc-sets:
shows sets (nat-filtration  $n$ )  $\subseteq$  sets (nat-filtration (Suc  $n$ ))
proof -
{
fix  $x$ 
assume  $x \in \{ \text{pseudo-proj-True } n - `B \cap \text{space } M \mid B \in \text{sets } M \}$ 

```

hence $\exists B. B \in \text{sets } M \wedge x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` B \cap \text{space } M$ **by auto**
from this obtain B **where** $B \in \text{sets } M$ **and** $x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` B \cap \text{space } M$
by blast note $xhyp = \text{this}$
let $?Bim = B \cap (\text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n))$
let $?preT = (\lambda n w. (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -` \{w\})$
have $\text{finite } ?Bim$ **using** $\text{pseudo-proj-True-finite-image}$ **by simp**
have $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` B \cap (\text{space } M) = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` B$
using $\text{bernoulli bernoulli-stream-preimage}[of \dashv \text{pseudo-proj-True } n]$ **by simp**
also have $\dots = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` ?Bim$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = (\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT n w)$ **by auto**
also have $\dots = (\bigcup w \in ?Bim. (?preT (\text{Suc } n) w) \cup ?preT (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)))$
by ($\text{simp add:pseudo-proj-True-inverse-induct}$)
also have $\dots = (\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT (\text{Suc } n) w) \cup (\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w))$ **by auto**
finally have $\text{tmpeq: pseudo-proj-True } n -` B \cap (\text{space } M) =$
 $(\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT (\text{Suc } n) w) \cup (\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w))$.
have $(\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration}(\text{Suc } n))$
using $\langle \text{finite } ?Bim \rangle \text{ nat-filtration-singleton pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj}$ **by auto**
moreover have $(\bigcup w \in ?Bim. ?preT (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)) \in$
 $\text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration}(\text{Suc } n))$ **using** $\langle \text{finite } ?Bim \rangle$
by ($\text{simp add: nat-filtration-singleton pseudo-proj-True-Suc-False-proj sets.finite-UN}$)
ultimately have $x \in \text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration}(\text{Suc } n))$
using $\text{tmpeq } xhyp$ **by simp**
} note $xmem = \text{this}$
have $\text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration } n) = \text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } M\}$
using $\text{bernoulli nat-filtration-sets}$ **by blast**
also have $\dots \subseteq (\text{nat-filtration}(\text{Suc } n))$
proof (**rule** $\text{sigma-algebra}. \text{sigma-sets-subset}$)
show $\{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` B \cap \text{space } M \mid B. B \in \text{sets } M\}$
 $\subseteq \text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration}(\text{Suc } n))$ **using** $xmem$ **by auto**
show $\text{sigma-algebra}(\text{space } M)(\text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration}(\text{Suc } n)))$
by (**metis** $\text{bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space nat-filtration-space sets}. \text{sigma-algebra-axioms}$
 streams-UNIV)
qed
finally show $?thesis$.
qed
lemma (**in** $\text{infinite-coin-toss-space}$) $\text{nat-filtration-subalgebra}$:
shows $\text{subalgebra } M (\text{nat-filtration } n)$ **using** $\text{bernoulli fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra}$
 $\text{nat-filtration-def}$
 $\text{pseudo-proj-True-measurable}$ **by metis**
lemma (**in** $\text{infinite-coin-toss-space}$) $\text{nat-discrete-filtration}$:
shows $\text{filtration } M$ nat-filtration

```

unfolding filtration-def
proof((intro conjI), (intro allI)+)
{
  fix n
  let ?F = nat-filtration n
  show subalgebra M ?F
    using bernoulli fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra nat-filtration-def
    pseudo-proj-True-measurable by metis
} note allrm = this
show  $\forall n m. n \leq m \rightarrow \text{subalgebra}(\text{nat-filtration } m) (\text{nat-filtration } n)$ 
proof (intro allI impI)
  let ?F = nat-filtration
  fix n::nat
  fix m
  show  $n \leq m \implies \text{subalgebra}(\text{nat-filtration } m) (\text{nat-filtration } n)$ 
  proof (induct m)
    case (Suc m)
    have subalgebra (?F (Suc m)) (?F m) unfolding subalgebra-def
    proof (intro conjI)
      show speq: space (?F m) = space (?F (Suc m)) by (simp add: nat-filtration-space)
        show sets (?F m)  $\subseteq$  sets (?F (Suc m)) using nat-filtration-Suc-sets by
          simp
    qed
    thus  $n \leq \text{Suc } m \implies \text{subalgebra}(\text{nat-filtration } (\text{Suc } m)) (\text{nat-filtration } n)$  using Suc
      using Suc.hyps le-Suc-eq subalgebra-def by fastforce
  next
  case 0
    thus ?case by (simp add: subalgebra-def)
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-info-filtration:
  shows init-triv-filt M nat-filtration unfolding init-triv-filt-def
proof
  show filtration M nat-filtration by (simp add:nat-discrete-filtration)
  have img:  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{pseudo-proj-True } 0 w = \text{sconst True}$  unfolding
  pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
  show sets (nat-filtration bot) = {{}, space M}
  proof
    show {{}, space M}  $\subseteq$  sets (nat-filtration bot)
    by (metis empty-subsetI insert-subset nat-filtration-subalgebra sets.empty-sets
    sets.top subalgebra-def)
    show sets (nat-filtration bot)  $\subseteq$  {{}, space M}
  proof -
    have  $\forall B \in \text{sets}(\text{bernoulli-stream } p). \text{pseudo-proj-True } 0 -` B \cap \text{space } M \in$ 
    {{}, space M}
  proof

```

```

fix B
assume B ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)
show pseudo-proj-True 0 –‘ B ∩ space M ∈ {{}}, space M}
proof (cases sconst True ∈ B)
  case True
    hence pseudo-proj-True 0 –‘ B ∩ space M = space M using img by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
  next
    case False
      hence pseudo-proj-True 0 –‘ B ∩ space M = {} using img by auto
      thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
qed
  hence {pseudo-proj-True 0 –‘ B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)} ⊆ { {}, space M} by auto
  hence sigma-sets (space (bernoulli-stream p))
    {pseudo-proj-True 0 –‘ B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)}
    ⊆ { {}, space M}
    using sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset[of space (bernoulli-stream p) { {}, space M}]
    by (simp add: bernoulli sigma-algebra-trivial)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:nat-filtration-sets bot-nat-def)
  qed
qed
qed

```

```

sublocale infinite-cts-filtration ⊆ triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space
proof (unfold-locales, intro conjI)
  show disc-filtr M F unfolding disc-filtr-def
    using filtrationE2 nat-discrete-filtration nat-filtration-subalgebra natural-filtration
  by auto
  show sets (F bot) = { {}, space M} using nat-info-filtration natural-filtration
    unfolding init-triv-filt-def by simp
  qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-vimage-finite:
  fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{t2-space}
  assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  shows finite (f`{space M}) using pseudo-proj-True-finite-image nat-filtration-info[of
  f n]
    by (metis assms bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space finite-imageI fun.set-map
  streams-UNIV)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-simple:
  fixes f::bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{t2-space}
  assumes f $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  shows simple-function M f
proof -
  have f1:  $\forall m\ ma.\ (m:\text{bool stream measure}) \rightarrow_M (ma:\text{'b measure}) = \{f \in \text{space}$ 
   $m \rightarrow \text{space ma}.\ \forall B.\ B \in \text{sets ma} \longrightarrow f -` B \cap \text{space m} \in \text{sets m}\}$ 
    by (metis measurable-def)
  then have f $\in$  space (nat-filtration n)  $\rightarrow$  space borel  $\wedge$  ( $\forall B.\ B \in \text{sets borel} \longrightarrow$ 
   $f -` B \cap \text{space (nat-filtration n)} \in \text{sets (nat-filtration n)}$ )
    using assms by blast
  then have f $\in$  space M  $\rightarrow$  space borel  $\wedge$  ( $\forall B.\ B \in \text{sets borel} \longrightarrow f -` B \cap \text{space}$ 
  M  $\in$  events)
    by (metis (no-types) contra-subsetD nat-filtration-subalgebra subalgebra-def)
  then have random-variable borel f
    using f1 by blast
  then show ?thesis
    using assms nat-filtration-vimage-finite simple-function-borel-measurable by
    blast
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-singleton-range-set:
  fixes f::bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{t2-space}
  assumes f $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  shows  $\exists A \in \text{sets borel}.\ \text{range } f \cap A = \{fx\}$ 
proof -
  let ?Ax = range f - {fx}
  have range f = f`space M using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
  hence finite ?Ax using assms nat-filtration-vimage-finite by auto
  hence  $\exists U.\ \text{open } U \wedge fx \in U \wedge U \cap ?Ax = \{\}$  by (simp add:open-except-set)
  then obtain U where open U and fx  $\in$  U and U  $\cap$  ?Ax = {} by auto
  have U  $\in$  sets borel using (open U) by simp
  have range f  $\cap$  U = {fx} using (fx  $\in$  U) (U  $\cap$  ?Ax = {}) by blast
  thus  $\exists A \in \text{sets borel}.\ \text{range } f \cap A = \{fx\}$  using (U  $\in$  sets borel) by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-singleton:
  fixes f::bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{t2-space}
  assumes f $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  shows f - `{fx}  $\in$  sets (nat-filtration n)
proof -
  let ?Ax = f`space M - {fx}
  have finite ?Ax
    using assms nat-filtration-vimage-finite by blast
  hence  $\exists U.\ \text{open } U \wedge fx \in U \wedge U \cap ?Ax = \{\}$  by (simp add:open-except-set)
  then obtain U where open U and fx  $\in$  U and U  $\cap$  ?Ax = {} by auto
  have fx  $\in$  f`space M using bernoulli-stream-space bernoulli by simp
  hence f`space M  $\cap$  U = {fx} using (fx  $\in$  U) (U  $\cap$  ?Ax = {}) by blast

```

```

hence  $\exists A. \text{open } A \wedge f\text{'space } M \cap A = \{f x\}$  using  $\langle \text{open } U \rangle$  by auto
from this obtain  $A$  where  $\text{open } A$  and  $\text{inter}: f\text{'space } M \cap A = \{f x\}$  by auto
have  $A \in \text{sets borel}$  using  $\langle \text{open } A \rangle$  by simp
hence  $f - 'A \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration } n)$  using assms nat-filtration-space
    by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space in-borel-measurable-borel)
hence  $f - 'A \cap \text{space } M \in \text{events}$  using nat-filtration-subalgebra
    by (meson subalgebra-def subset-eq)
have  $f - '\{f x\} \cap \text{space } M = f - 'A \cap \text{space } M$ 
proof
  have  $f x \in A$  using inter by auto
  thus  $f - '\{f x\} \cap \text{space } M \subseteq f - 'A \cap \text{space } M$  by auto
  show  $f - 'A \cap \text{space } M \subseteq f - '\{f x\} \cap \text{space } M$ 
qed
fix  $y$ 
assume  $y \in f - 'A \cap \text{space } M$ 
hence  $f y \in A \cap f\text{'space } M$  by simp
hence  $f y = f x$  using inter by auto
thus  $y \in f - '\{f x\} \cap \text{space } M$  using  $\langle y \in f - 'A \cap \text{space } M \rangle$  by auto
qed
qed
moreover have  $f - 'A \cap \text{space } M \in (\text{nat-filtration } n)$  using assms  $\langle A \in \text{sets borel} \rangle$ 
  using  $\langle f - 'A \cap \text{space } M \in \text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration } n) \rangle$  by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using bernoulli-stream-space bernoulli by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-adapt-nat-filtration-info:
fixes  $X :: \text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b :: \{t0\text{-space}\}$ 
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc  $F X$ 
and  $m \leq n$ 
shows  $X m (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) = X m w$ 
proof -
  have  $X m \in \text{borel-measurable}(F n)$  using assms natural-filtration
    using increasing-measurable-info
    by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def)
  thus ?thesis using nat-filtration-info natural-filtration
    by (metis comp-apply)
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable:
assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{nat-filtration } n)$ 
shows integrable  $M f$ 
proof -
  have simple-function  $M f$  using assms by (simp add: nat-filtration-borel-measurable-simple)
  moreover have emeasure  $M \{y \in \text{space } M. f y \neq 0\} \neq \infty$  by simp
  ultimately have Bochner-Integration.simple-bochner-integrable  $M f$ 
    using Bochner-Integration.simple-bochner-integrable.simps by blast
  hence has-bochner-integral  $M f$  (Bochner-Integration.simple-bochner-integral  $M f$ )

```

```

using has-bochner-integral-simple-bochner-integrable by auto
thus ?thesis using integrable.simps by auto
qed

```

```

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick:: bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool  $\Rightarrow$  bool
stream where
spick w n v = shift (stake n w) (v## sconst True)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spickI:
shows stake n (spick w n v) = stake n w  $\wedge$  snth (spick w n v) n = v
by (simp add: spick-def stake-shift)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick-eq-pseudo-proj-True:
shows spick w n True = pseudo-proj-True n w unfolding spick-def pseudo-proj-True-def
by (metis (full-types) id-apply siterate.code)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick-eq-pseudo-proj-False:
shows spick w n False = pseudo-proj-False n w unfolding spick-def pseudo-proj-False-def
by simp

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick-pseudo-proj:
shows spick (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) n v = spick w n v
by (metis pseudo-proj-True-proj-Suc pseudo-proj-True-stake spick-def)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick-pseudo-proj-gen:
shows m < n  $\implies$  spick (pseudo-proj-True n w) m v = spick w m v
by (metis Suc-leI pseudo-proj-True-proj pseudo-proj-True-prefix spick-pseudo-proj)

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) spick-nat-filtration-measurable:
shows ( $\lambda w.$  spick w n v)  $\in$  measurable (nat-filtration n) M
proof (rule nat-filtration-comp-measurable)
show ( $\lambda w.$  spick w n v)  $\in$  measurable M M
proof -
  let ?N = bernoulli-stream p
  have id  $\in$  measurable ?N ?N by simp
  moreover have ( $\lambda w.$  v## (sconst True))  $\in$  measurable ?N ?N using bernoulli-stream-space
by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using measurable-shift bernoulli p-gt-0 p-lt-1
    unfolding bernoulli-stream-def spick-def by simp
qed
{
  fix w
  have spick (pseudo-proj-True n w) n v = spick w n v
}

```

```

    by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-stake spick-def)
}
thus ( $\lambda w. \text{spick } w n v$ )  $\circ$  pseudo-proj-True  $n = (\lambda w. \text{spick } w n v)$  by auto
qed

definition (in infinite-coin-toss-space) proj-rep-set:
proj-rep-set  $n = \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) proj-rep-set-finite:
shows finite (proj-rep-set  $n$ ) using pseudo-proj-True-finite-image
by (simp add: proj-rep-set)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) set-filt-contain:
assumes  $A \in \text{sets}(\text{nat-filtration } n)$ 
and  $w \in A$ 
shows pseudo-proj-True  $n -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w\} \subseteq A$ 
proof
define indA where indA = ((indicator A)::bool stream $\Rightarrow$ real)
have indA  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration  $n$ ) unfolding indA-def
by (simp add: assms(1) borel-measurable-indicator)
fix x
assume  $x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w\}$ 
have indA  $x = \text{indA}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n x)$ 
using nat-filtration-info[symmetric, of indicator A n] <indA  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration  $n$ )>
unfolding indA-def by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = indA (pseudo-proj-True  $n w$ ) using < $x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w\}$ >
by simp
also have ... = indA  $w$  using nat-filtration-info[of indicator A n]
<indA  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration  $n$ )> unfolding indA-def by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = 1 using assms unfolding indA-def by simp
finally have indA  $x = 1$ .
thus  $x \in A$  unfolding indA-def by (simp add: indicator-eq-1-iff)
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) measurable-range-rep:
fixes f::bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  'b::{'t0-space}
assumes f  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration  $n$ )
shows range f = ( $\bigcup r \in (\text{proj-rep-set } n). \{f(r)\}$ )
proof -
have f = f  $\circ$  (pseudo-proj-True  $n$ ) using assms nat-filtration-info[of f n] by simp
hence range f = f ` (proj-rep-set  $n$ ) by (metis fun.set-map proj-rep-set)

```

```

also have ... = ( $\bigcup_{r \in \text{proj-rep-set } n} \{f r\}$ ) by blast
finally show range f = ( $\bigcup_{r \in \text{proj-rep-set } n} \{f r\}$ ) .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) borel-measurable-stake:
  fixes f::bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  'b::t0-space
  assumes f $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  and stake n w = stake n y
  shows f w = f y
  proof -
    have pseudo-proj-True n w = pseudo-proj-True n y unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def
    using assms by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms nat-filtration-info by (metis comp-apply)
  qed

```

5.3.3 Probability component

The probability component permits to compute measures of subspaces in a straightforward way.

```

definition prob-component where
  prob-component (p::real) w n = (if (snth w n) then p else 1-p)

lemma prob-component-neq-zero:
  assumes 0 < p
  and p < 1
  shows prob-component p w n  $\neq$  0 using assms prob-component-def by auto

lemma prob-component-measure:
  fixes x::bool stream
  assumes 0  $\leq$  p
  and p  $\leq$  1
  shows emeasure (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) {snth x i} = prob-component
  p x i unfolding prob-component-def using emeasure-pmf-single
  pmf-bernoulli-False pmf-bernoulli-True
  by (simp add: emeasure-pmf-single assms)

```

```

lemma stake-preimage-measurable:
  fixes x::bool stream
  assumes Suc 0  $\leq$  n and M = bernoulli-stream p
  shows {w $\in$  space M. (stake n w = stake n x)}  $\in$  sets M
  proof -
    let ?S = {w $\in$  space M. (stake n w = stake n x)}
    have ?S = ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{snth } w i = \text{snth } x i)\}$ ) using
    stake-inter-snth assms by simp
    moreover have ( $\bigcap_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \{w \in \text{space } M. (\text{snth } w i = \text{snth } x i)\}$ )  $\in$  sets
    M
  proof -

```

```

have  $\forall i \leq n-1. \{w \in space M. (snth w i = snth x i)\} \in sets M$ 
proof (intro allI impI)
fix i
assume  $i \leq n-1$ 
thus  $\{w \in space M. w !! i = x !! i\} \in sets M$ 
proof -
have  $(\lambda w. snth w i) \in measurable M (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p))$  using
assms by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-def)
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma snth-as-fct:
fixes b
assumes  $M = bernoulli-stream p$ 
shows to-stream -`{w \in space M. snth w i = b} = {X::nat \Rightarrow bool. X i = b}
proof -
let ?S = {w \in space M. snth w i = b}
let ?PM =  $(\lambda i::nat. (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))$ 
have isps: product-prob-space ?PM by unfold-locales
let ?Z = {X::nat \Rightarrow bool. X i = b}
show to-stream -`?S = ?Z by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-space to-stream-def)
qed

lemma stake-as-fct:
assumes Suc 0 \leq n and M = bernoulli-stream p
shows to-stream -`{w \in space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} = {X::nat \Rightarrow bool. \forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq n-1 \longrightarrow X i = snth x i}
proof -
let ?S = {w \in space M. (stake n w = stake n x)}
let ?Z = {X::nat \Rightarrow bool. \forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq n-1 \longrightarrow X i = snth x i}
have to-stream -` ?S = to-stream -` ( $\bigcap i \in \{0..n-1\}. \{w \in space M. (snth w i = snth x i)\}$ )
using <Suc 0 \leq n> stake-inter-snth by blast
also have ... = ( $\bigcap i \in \{0..n-1\}. to-stream -` \{w \in space M. (snth w i = snth x i)\}$ ) by auto
also have ... = ( $\bigcap i \in \{0..n-1\}. \{X::nat \Rightarrow bool. X i = snth x i\}$ ) using
snth-as-fct assms by simp
also have ... = ?Z by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma bernoulli-stream-npref-prob:
fixes x
assumes M = bernoulli-stream p

```

```

shows emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake 0 w = stake 0 x)} = 1
proof -
  define S where S = {w ∈ space M. (stake 0 w = stake 0 x)}
  have S = space M unfolding S-def by simp
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.emeasure-space-1
      prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
qed

```

```

lemma bernoulli-stream-pref-prob:
  fixes x
  assumes M = bernoulli-stream p
  and 0 ≤ p and p ≤ 1
  shows n ≥ Suc 0 ==> emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} =
  (Π i ∈ {0..n-1}. prob-component p x i)
proof -
  have prob-space M
    by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
      prob-space-measure-pmf)
  fix n::nat
  assume n ≥ Suc 0
  define S where S = {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)}
  have s: S ∈ sets M unfolding S-def by (simp add: assms stake-preimage-measurable
  <Suc 0 ≤ n>)
  define PM where PM = (λi::nat. (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))
  have isps: product-prob-space PM unfolding PM-def by unfold-locales
  define Z where Z = {X::nat⇒bool. ∀ i. 0 ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n-1 → X i = snth x i}
  let ?wPM = Pi_M UNIV PM
  define imgSbs where imgSbs = prod-emb UNIV PM {0..n-1} (Pi_E {0..n-1}
  (λi::nat. {snth x i}))
  have space ?wPM = UNIV using space-PiM unfolding PM-def by fastforce
  hence (to-stream -` S ∩ (space ?wPM)) = to-stream -` S by simp
  also have ... = Z using stake-as-fct <Suc 0 ≤ n> assms unfolding Z-def S-def
  by simp
  also have ... = imgSbs
  proof
  {
    fix X
    assume X ∈ imgSbs
    hence restrict X {0..n-1} ∈ (Pi_E {0..n-1} (λi::nat. {snth x i})) using
    prod-emb-iff[of X] unfolding imgSbs-def by simp
    hence ∀ i. 0 ≤ i ∧ i ≤ n-1 → X i = snth x i by auto
    hence X ∈ Z unfolding Z-def by simp
  }
  thus imgSbs ⊆ Z by blast
  {
    fix X
  }

```

```

assume  $X \in Z$ 
hence  $\forall i. 0 \leq i \wedge i \leq n-1 \rightarrow X i = \text{snth } x i$  unfolding  $Z\text{-def}$  by simp
hence  $\text{restrict } X \{0..n-1\} \in (Pi_E \{0..n-1\} (\lambda i:\text{nat}. \{\text{snth } x i\}))$  by simp
moreover have  $X \in \text{extensional UNIV}$  by simp
moreover have  $\forall i \in \text{UNIV}. X i \in \text{space } (PM i)$  unfolding  $PM\text{-def}$  by auto
ultimately have  $X \in \text{imgSbs}$ 
    using prod-emb-iff[of X] unfolding imgSbs-def by simp
}
thus  $Z \subseteq \text{imgSbs}$  by auto
qed
finally have inteq:  $(\text{to-stream} -` S \cap (\text{space } ?wPM)) = \text{imgSbs}$  .

have emeasure M S = emeasure ?wPM (to-stream -` S ∩ (space ?wPM))
using emeasure-distr[of to-stream ?wPM M S] measurable-to-stream[of (measure-pmf bernoulli-pmf p)] s assms
    unfolding bernoulli-stream-def stream-space-def PM-def
    by (simp add: emeasure-distr)
also have ... = emeasure ?wPM imgSbs using inteq by simp
also have ... =  $(\prod_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \text{emeasure } (PM i) ((\lambda m:\text{nat}. \{\text{snth } x m\}) i))$ 
    using isps unfolding imgSbs-def PM-def by (auto simp add: product-prob-space.emeasure-PiM-emb)
also have ... =  $(\prod_{i \in \{0..n-1\}} \text{prob-component } p x i)$  using prob-component-measure
unfolding PM-def
proof -
  have  $f1: \forall N f. (\exists n. (n:\text{nat}) \in N \wedge \neg 0 \leq f n) \vee (\prod_{n \in N} \text{ennreal } (f n)) = \text{ennreal } (\text{prod } f N)$ 
    by (metis (no-types) prod-ennreal)
  obtain  $nn :: (\text{nat} \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{nat set} \Rightarrow \text{nat}$  where
     $f2: \forall x0 x1. (\exists v2. v2 \in x1 \wedge \neg 0 \leq x0 v2) = (nn x0 x1 \in x1 \wedge \neg 0 \leq x0 (nn x0 x1))$ 
    by moura
  have  $f3: \forall s n. \text{if } s !! n \text{ then prob-component } p s n = p \text{ else } p + \text{prob-component } p s n = 1$ 
    by (simp add: prob-component-def)
    { assume  $\text{prob-component } p x (nn (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\}) \neq p$ 
      then have  $p + \text{prob-component } p x (nn (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\}) = 1$ 
      using assms by linarith }
    then have  $nn (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\} \notin \{0..n-1\} \vee 0 \leq \text{prob-component } p x (nn (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\})$ 
      using assms by linarith
    then have  $nn (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\} \notin \{0..n-1\} \vee 0 \leq \text{prob-component } p x (nn (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\})$ 
      using assms by linarith
    then have  $(\prod_{n=0..n-1} \text{ennreal } (\text{prob-component } p x n)) = \text{ennreal } (\text{prod } (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n-1\})$ 
      using f2 f1 by meson
    moreover have  $(\prod_{n=0..n-1} \text{ennreal } (\text{prob-component } p x n)) = (\prod_{n=0..n-1} \text{emeasure } (\text{measure-pmf } (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p)) \{x !! n\})$  using prob-component-measure[of p x]

```

```

assms by simp
ultimately show ( $\prod n = 0..n - 1. \text{emeasure} (\text{measure-pmf} (\text{bernoulli-pmf } p))$ 
 $\{x !! n\}) = \text{ennreal} (\text{prod} (\text{prob-component } p x) \{0..n - 1\})$ 
using prob-component-measure[of p x] by simp
qed
finally show emeasure M S = ( $\prod i \in \{0..n-1\}. \text{prob-component } p x i$ ) .
qed

lemma bernoulli-stream-pref-prob':
fixes x
assumes M = bernoulli-stream p
and p ≤ 1 and 0 ≤ p
shows emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} = ( $\prod i \in \{0..n\}. \text{prob-component } p x i$ )
proof (cases Suc 0 ≤ n)
case True
hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} = ( $\prod i \in \{0..n-1\}. \text{prob-component } p x i$ ) using assms
by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-pref-prob)
moreover have ( $\prod i \in \{0..n-1\}. \text{prob-component } p x i$ ) = ( $\prod i \in \{0..n\}. \text{prob-component } p x i$ )
proof (rule prod.cong)
show {0..n - 1} = {0..n} using True by auto
show  $\bigwedge x a. x a \in \{0..n\} \implies \text{prob-component } p x x a = \text{prob-component } p x a$ 
by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
next
case False
hence n = 0 using False by simp
have {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} = space M
proof
show {w ∈ space M. stake n w = stake n x} ⊆ space M
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ {w ∈ space M. stake n w = stake n x}
thus w ∈ space M by auto
qed
show space M ⊆ {w ∈ space M. stake n w = stake n x}
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ space M
have stake 0 w = stake 0 x by simp
hence stake n w = stake n x using ⟨n = 0⟩ by simp
thus w ∈ {w ∈ space M. stake n w = stake n x} using ⟨w ∈ space M⟩ by auto
qed
qed
hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. stake n w = stake n x} = emeasure M (space

```

```

 $M)$  by simp
also have ... = 1 using assms
by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.emmeasure-space-1
prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
also have ... = ( $\prod_{i \in \{0..n\}}$ . prob-component p x i) using ⟨n = 0⟩ by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma bernoulli-stream-stake-prob:
fixes x
assumes M = bernoulli-stream p
and p ≤ 1 and 0 ≤ p
shows measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} = ( $\prod_{i \in \{0..n\}}$ .
prob-component p x i)
proof –
have measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} = emeasure M {w ∈
space M. (stake n w = stake n x)}
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) bernoulli-stream-def emeasure-eq-ennreal-measure
emeasure-space
ennreal-one-neq-top neq-top-trans prob-space.emmeasure-space-1 prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
prob-space-measure-pmf)
also have ... = ( $\prod_{i \in \{0..n\}}$ . prob-component p x i) using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob'
assms by simp
finally show ?thesis by (simp add: assms(2) assms(3) prob-component-def
prod-nonneg)
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-stream-pseudo-prob:
fixes x
assumes M = bernoulli-stream p
and p ≤ 1 and 0 ≤ p
and w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)
shows measure M (pseudo-proj-True n - {w} ∩ space M) = ( $\prod_{i \in \{0..n\}}$ . prob-component
p w i)
proof –
have (pseudo-proj-True n - {w}) ∩ space M = {x ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake
n x)}
using assms(4) infinite-coin-toss-space.pseudo-proj-True-def infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms
pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake pseudo-proj-True-stake by force
thus ?thesis using bernoulli-stream-stake-prob assms
proof –
have pseudo-proj-True n w = w
using ⟨w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)⟩ pseudo-proj-True-proj by blast
then show ?thesis
using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-stake-prob p-gt-0 p-lt-1 pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake-space
by presburger
qed
qed

```

```

lemma bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec:
  fixes x
  assumes M = bernoulli-stream p
  and 0 ≤ p and p ≤ 1
  shows ⋀ n. emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x)} =
    (emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component p x n)
proof -
  fix n
  define S where S = {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x)}
  define precS where precS = {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)}
  show emeasure M S = emeasure M precS * prob-component p x n
  proof (cases n ≤ 0)
    case True
    hence n=0 by simp
    hence emeasure M S = (∏ i∈{0..n}. prob-component p x i) unfolding S-def
      using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob assms diff-Suc-1 le-refl by presburger
    also have ... = prob-component p x 0 using True by simp
    also have ... = emeasure M precS * prob-component p x n using bernoulli-stream-npref-prob
      assms
      by (simp add: ‹n=0› precS-def)
    finally show emeasure M S = emeasure M precS * prob-component p x n .
  next
    case False
    hence n ≥ Suc 0 by simp
    hence emeasure M S = (∏ i∈{0..n}. prob-component p x i) unfolding S-def
      using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob diff-Suc-1 le-refl assms by fastforce
    also have ... = (∏ i∈{0..n-1}. prob-component p x i) * prob-component p x n
    using ‹n ≥ Suc 0›
      by (metis One-nat-def Suc-le-lessD Suc-pred prod.atLeast0-atMost-Suc)
    also have ... = emeasure M precS * prob-component p x n using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob
      unfolding precS-def
      using ‹Suc 0 ≤ n› ennreal-mult" assms prob-component-def by auto
    finally show emeasure M S = emeasure M precS * prob-component p x n .
  qed
qed

lemma bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec':
  fixes x
  assumes M = bernoulli-stream p
  and 0 ≤ p and p ≤ 1
  shows ⋀ n. measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x)} =
    (measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component p x n)
proof -
  fix n
  have ennreal (measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x)}) =
    emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x)}
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) bernoulli-stream-def emeasure-eq-ennreal-measure

```

```

emeasure-space ennreal-top-neq-one neq-top-trans prob-space.emeasure-space-1
prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
also have ... = (emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component
p x n)
  using bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec assms by simp
also have ... = (measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component
p x n)
proof -
  have prob-space M
  using assms(1) bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf
by auto
  then show ?thesis
  by (simp add: ennreal-mult'' finite-measure.emeasure-eq-measure mult.commute
prob-space-def)
qed
finally have ennreal (measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc
n) x)}) =
(measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component p x n) .
thus measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x)} =
(measure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component p x n)
using assms prob-component-def by auto
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-stream-pseudo-prob-rec':
fixes x
assumes pseudo-proj-True n x = x
shows measure M (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -`{x}) =
(measure M (pseudo-proj-True n -`{x}) * prob-component p x n)
proof -
  have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -`{x} = {w. (stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n)
x)} using pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake
  assms by (metis pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj)
  moreover have pseudo-proj-True n -`{x} = {w. (stake n w = stake n x)} using
pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake
  assms by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using assms bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec'
  by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space p-gt-0 p-lt-1)
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-stream-pref-prob-pos:
fixes x
assumes 0 < p
and p < 1
shows emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} > 0
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake 0 w = stake 0 x)} = 1 using bernoulli-stream-npref-prob[of
M p x]

```

```

beroulli by simp
thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
    have emeasure M {w ∈ space M. stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x} =
      (emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component p x n)
  using beroulli-stream-element-prob-rec
    beroulli p-gt-0 p-lt-1 by simp
  thus ?case using Suc using assms p-gt-0 p-lt-1 prob-component-def
    by (simp add: ennreal-zero-less-mult-iff)
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) beroulli-stream-pref-prob-neq-zero:
  fixes x
  assumes 0 < p
  and p < 1
  shows emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} ≠ 0
proof (induct n)
  case 0
  hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake 0 w = stake 0 x)} = 1 using beroulli-stream-npref-prob[of
    M p x]
    beroulli by simp
  thus ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
    have emeasure M {w ∈ space M. stake (Suc n) w = stake (Suc n) x} =
      (emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake n w = stake n x)} * prob-component p x n)
  using beroulli-stream-element-prob-rec
    beroulli assms by simp
  thus ?case using Suc using assms p-gt-0 p-lt-1 prob-component-def by auto
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-element-prob-pref:
  assumes w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)
  shows emeasure M {y ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ (pseudo-proj-True n - `{w}). y = c ## x} =
    prob-component p (c##w) 0 * emeasure M ((pseudo-proj-True n) - `{w} ∩
    space M)
proof -
  have pseudo-proj-True n w = w using assms pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake
  by auto
  have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c##w) = c##w using assms
    pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake by auto
  have {y ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ (pseudo-proj-True n - `{w}). y = c ## x} = pseudo-proj-True
    (Suc n) - `{c##w} ∩ space M
  proof
    show {y ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - `{w}. y = c ## x} ⊆ pseudo-proj-True

```

```

(Suc n) -` {c ## w} ∩ space M
proof
fix y
assume y ∈ {y ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {w}. y = c ## x}
hence y ∈ space M and ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {w}. y = c ## x by
auto
from this obtain x where x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {w} and y = c##x
by auto
have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = c##w using ⟨x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {w}⟩ ⟨y = c##x⟩
unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
thus y ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {c ## w} ∩ space M using ⟨y ∈ space M⟩ by auto
qed
show pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {c ## w} ∩ space M ⊆ {y ∈ space M.
∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {w}. y = c ## x}
proof
fix y
assume y ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {c ## w} ∩ space M
hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = c##w and y ∈ space M by auto
have pseudo-proj-True n (stl y) = pseudo-proj-True n w
proof (rule pseudo-proj-True-snth')
have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c##w) = c##w using ⟨pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c##w) = c##w⟩ .
also have ... = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y using ⟨pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = c##w⟩ by simp
finally have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c##w) = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y .
hence ∀i. Suc i ≤ Suc n ⇒ (c##w)!! i = y!! i by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-snth)
thus ∀i. Suc i ≤ n ⇒ stl y !! i = w !! i by fastforce
qed
also have ... = w using assms pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake
by auto
finally have pseudo-proj-True n (stl y) = w .
hence stl y ∈ (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {w} by simp
moreover have y = c##(stl y)
proof -
have stake (Suc n) y = stake (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y) unfolding
pseudo-proj-True-def
using pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-stake by auto
hence shd y = shd (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y) by simp
also have ... = shd (c##w) using ⟨pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = c##w⟩
by simp
also have ... = c by simp
finally have shd y = c .
thus ?thesis by (simp add: stream-eq-Stream-iff)
qed
ultimately show y ∈ {y ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {w}. y = c
## x} using ⟨y ∈ space M⟩ by auto

```

```

qed
qed
hence emeasure M {y ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ (pseudo-proj-True n - `{w}). y = c ## x} =
    emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) - `{c##w} ∩ space M) by simp
also have ... = emeasure M {y ∈ space M. stake (Suc n) y = stake (Suc n) (c##w)}
using ⟨pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c##w) = c##w⟩ by (simp add:pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake-space)
also have ... = (∏ i∈{0..n}. prob-component p (c##w) i)
using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob[of M p Suc n c##w] bernoulli p-lt-1 p-gt-0
diff-Suc-1 le-refl by simp
also have ... = prob-component p (c##w) 0 * (∏ i∈{1..n}. prob-component p (c##w) i)
by (simp add: decompose-init-prod)
also have ... = prob-component p (c##w) 0 * (∏ i∈{1..

```

```

finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

5.3.4 Filtration equivalence for the natural filtration

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-null-set:
  assumes A ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
  and 0 < p
  and p < 1
  and emeasure M A = 0
  shows A = {}
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume A ≠ {}
    hence ∃ w. w ∈ A by auto
    from this obtain w where w ∈ A by auto
    hence inc: pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n w} ⊆ A using assms by
      (simp add: set-filt-contain)
    have 0 < emeasure M {x ∈ space M. (stake n x = stake n (pseudo-proj-True n w))} using assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-pref-prob-pos)
    also have ... = emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n w})
    using pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake
    pseudo-proj-True-proj bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
    also have ... ≤ emeasure M A
    proof (rule emeasure-mono, (simp add: inc))
      show A ∈ events using assms nat-discrete-filtration unfolding filtration-def
        subalgebra-def by auto
      qed
      finally have 0 < emeasure M A .
      thus False using assms by simp
    qed
  qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-AE-zero:
  fixes f::bool stream ⇒ real
  assumes AE w in M. f w = 0
  and f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  and 0 < p
  and p < 1
  shows ∀ w. f w = 0
  proof -
    from ⟨AE w in M. f w = 0⟩ obtain N' where Nprops: {w ∈ space M. ¬f w = 0} ⊆ N' N' ∈ sets M emeasure M N' = 0
    by (force elim:AE-E)
    have {w ∈ space M. f w < 0} ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
    by (metis (no-types) assms(2) bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space borel-measurable-iff-less
      nat-filtration-space streams-UNIV)
    moreover have {w ∈ space M. f w > 0} ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
    by (metis (no-types) assms(2) bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space borel-measurable-iff-greater
      nat-filtration-space streams-UNIV)
    moreover have {w ∈ space M. ¬f w = 0} = {w ∈ space M. f w < 0} ∪ {w ∈

```

```

space M. f w > 0} by auto
ultimately have {w ∈ space M. ¬f w = 0} ∈ sets (nat-filtration n) by auto
hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. ¬f w = 0} = 0 using Nprops by (metis
(no-types, lifting) emeasure-eq-0)
hence {w ∈ space M. ¬f w = 0} = {} using ⟨{w ∈ space M. ¬f w = 0} ∈ sets
(nat-filtration n)⟩
nat-filtration-null-set[of {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ 0} n] assms by simp
hence {w. f w ≠ 0} = {} by (simp add:bernoulli-stream-space bernoulli)
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-AE-eq:
fixes f::bool stream ⇒ real
assumes AE w in M. f w = g w
and 0 < p
and p < 1
and f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
and g ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
shows f w = g w
proof –
define diff where diff = (λw. f w − g w)
have AE w in M. diff w = 0
proof (rule AE-mp)
show AE w in M. f w = g w using assms by simp
show AE w in M. f w = g w → diff w = 0
by (rule AE-I2, intro impI, (simp add: diff-def))
qed
have ∀ w. diff w = 0
proof (rule nat-filtration-AE-zero)
show AE w in M. diff w = 0 using ⟨AE w in M. diff w = 0⟩ .
show diff ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n) using assms unfolding diff-def
by simp
show 0 < p and p < 1 using assms by auto
qed
thus f w = g w unfolding diff-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-stream-equiv:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < p
and p < 1
and 0 < q
and q < 1
shows filt-equiv nat-filtration M N unfolding filt-equiv-def
proof (intro conjI)
have sets (stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p))) = sets (stream-space

```

```

(measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf q)))
  by (rule sets-stream-space-cong, simp)
  thus events = sets N using assms bernoulli unfolding bernoulli-stream-def by
simp
  show filtration M nat-filtration by (simp add:nat-discrete-filtration)
  show ∀ t A. A ∈ sets (nat-filtration t) —> (emeasure M A = 0) = (emeasure N
A = 0)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix n
    fix A
    assume A ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
    show (emeasure M A = 0) = (emeasure N A = 0)
    proof
      {
        assume emeasure M A = 0
        hence A = {} using ⟨A ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)⟩ using assms by (simp
add:nat-filtration-null-set)
        thus emeasure N A = 0 by simp
      }
      {
        assume emeasure N A = 0
        hence A = {} using ⟨A ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)⟩ infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-null-set[of
q N A n]
          assms
        using ⟨events = sets N⟩ bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-sets
          infinite-coin-toss-space-def nat-filtration-sets by force
        thus emeasure M A = 0 by simp
      }
      qed
    qed
  qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-nat-filtration:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  and 0 < p
  and p < 1
  shows infinite-cts-filtration q N nat-filtration
  proof (unfold-locales)
    have 0 < q using assms by simp
    thus 0 ≤ q by simp
    have q < 1 using assms by simp
    thus q ≤ 1 by simp
    show N = bernoulli-stream q using assms by simp
    show nat-filtration = infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N
    proof –
      have filt-equiv nat-filtration M N using ⟨q < 1⟩ ⟨0 < q⟩
        by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-equiv)
    qed
  qed

```

```

hence sets M = sets N unfolding filt-equiv-def by simp
hence space M = space N using sets-eq-imp-space-eq by auto
have  $\forall m. \text{nat-filtration } m = \text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N m$ 
proof
fix m
have infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N m = fct-gen-subalgebra N N
(pseudo-proj-True m)
using  $\langle 0 \leq q \rangle \langle N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q \rangle \langle q \leq 1 \rangle$  infinite-coin-toss-space.intro
infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-def by blast
thus nat-filtration m = infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N m
unfolding nat-filtration-def
using fct-gen-subalgebra-cong[of M N M N pseudo-proj-True m] <sets M =
sets N> <space M = space N>
by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

5.3.5 More results on the projection function

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix:
shows pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w = (w!!0)## pseudo-proj-True n (stl w)
proof -
have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w = shift (stake (Suc n) w) (sconst True) unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
also have ... = shift (w!!0 # (stake n (stl w))) (sconst True) by simp
also have ... = w!!0 ## shift (stake n (stl w)) (sconst True) by simp
also have ... = w!!0 ## pseudo-proj-True n (stl w) unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-img:
assumes pseudo-proj-True n w = w
shows w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)
by (metis assms rangeI)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) sconst-if:
assumes  $\bigwedge n. \text{snth } w n = \text{True}$ 
shows w = sconst True
by (metis (full-types) assms diff-streams-only-if id-apply id-funpow snth-siterate)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-suc-img-pref:
shows range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) = {y.  $\exists w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# w \cup \{y. \exists w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \# w\}}$ 
proof
show range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n))

```

```

 $\subseteq \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# \# w\} \cup \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \# \# w\}$ 
proof
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
  hence  $x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) x$  using  $\text{pseudo-proj-True-proj}$  by auto
  define  $xp$  where  $xp = \text{stl } x$ 
  have  $xp = \text{stl } (\text{shift } (\text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) x) (\text{sconst True}))$  using  $\langle x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) x \rangle$ 
    unfolding  $xp\text{-def}$   $\text{pseudo-proj-True-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    also have  $\dots = \text{shift } ((\text{stake } n (\text{stl } x))) (\text{sconst True})$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    finally have  $xp = \text{shift } ((\text{stake } n (\text{stl } x))) (\text{sconst True})$ .
    hence  $xp \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$  using  $\text{pseudo-proj-True-def}$  by auto
    show  $x \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{True} \# \# w\} \cup \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \# \# w\}$ 
    proof ( $\text{cases snth } x 0$ )
      case  $\text{True}$ 
        have  $x = \text{True} \# \# xp$  unfolding  $xp\text{-def}$  using  $\text{True}$  by ( $\text{simp add: stream-eq-Stream-iff}$ )
          hence  $x \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# \# w\}$  using  $\langle xp \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \rangle$  by auto
          thus  $?thesis$  by auto
      next
        case  $\text{False}$ 
        have  $x = \text{False} \# \# xp$  unfolding  $xp\text{-def}$  using  $\text{False}$  by ( $\text{simp add: stream-eq-Stream-iff}$ )
          hence  $x \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \# \# w\}$  using  $\langle xp \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \rangle$  by auto
          thus  $?thesis$  by auto
      qed
    qed
    have  $\{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{True} \# \# w\} \subseteq \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
    proof
      fix  $y$ 
      assume  $y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{True} \# \# w\}$ 
      hence  $\exists w. w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \wedge y = \text{True} \# \# w$  by auto
      from this obtain  $w$  where  $w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$  and  $y = \text{True} \# \# w$  by auto
      have  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n w$  using  $\text{pseudo-proj-True-proj}$   $\langle w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \rangle$  by auto
        hence  $y = \text{True} \# \# (\text{shift } (\text{stake } n w) (\text{sconst True}))$  using  $\langle y = \text{True} \# \# w \rangle$ 
        unfolding  $\text{pseudo-proj-True-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
        also have  $\dots = \text{shift } (\text{stake } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{True} \# \# w)) (\text{sconst True})$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
        also have  $\dots = \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{True} \# \# w)$  unfolding  $\text{pseudo-proj-True-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
        finally have  $y = \text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{True} \# \# w)$ .
        thus  $y \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n))$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
    qed

```

```

moreover have {y.  $\exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{False} \# \# w\} \subseteq$ 
range ( $\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n)$ )
proof
fix y
assume y  $\in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{False} \# \# w\}$ 
hence  $\exists w. w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \wedge y = \text{False} \# \# w$  by auto
from this obtain w where w  $\in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$  and y = False # #
w by auto
have w = pseudo-proj-True n w using pseudo-proj-True-proj `w  $\in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ ` by auto
hence y = False # # (shift (stake n w) (sconst True)) using `y = False # #
w` unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
also have ... = shift (stake (Suc n) (False # # w)) (sconst True) by simp
also have ... = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (False # # w) unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def
by simp
finally have y = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (False # # w) .
thus y  $\in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n))$  by simp
qed
ultimately show {y.  $\exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{True} \# \# w\} \cup$ 
{y.  $\exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) . y = \text{False} \# \# w\} \subseteq \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}$ 
(Suc n)) by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) reindex-pseudo-proj:
shows  $(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} f(c \# \# w)) =$ 
 $(\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = c \# \# w\}} f y)$ 
proof (rule sum.reindex-cong[symmetric],auto)
define ccons where ccons =  $(\lambda w. c \# \# w)$ 
show inj-on ccons (range (pseudo-proj-True n))
proof
fix x y
assume x  $\in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$  and y  $\in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
and ccons x = ccons y
hence c# # x = c# # y unfolding ccons-def by simp
thus x = y by simp
qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-True-imp-False:
assumes pseudo-proj-True n w = pseudo-proj-True n x
shows pseudo-proj-False n w = pseudo-proj-False n x
by (metis assms pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-True-stake)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-Suc-prefix:
assumes pseudo-proj-True n w = pseudo-proj-True n x
shows pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w  $\in \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n x, \text{pseudo-proj-False}$ 
n x\}

```

```

proof -
  have pseudo-proj-False n w = pseudo-proj-False n x using assms pseudo-proj-True-imp-False[of n w x] by simp
    hence {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False n w} = {pseudo-proj-True n x, pseudo-proj-False n x} using assms by simp
    thus ?thesis using pseudo-proj-True-suc-img[of n w] by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-proj-Suc-preimage:
  shows range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ (pseudo-proj-True n) -` {pseudo-proj-True n x} =
    {pseudo-proj-True n x, pseudo-proj-False n x}
proof
  show range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n x}
    ⊆ {pseudo-proj-True n x, pseudo-proj-False n x}
proof
  fix w
  assume w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n x}
  hence w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) and w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n x} by auto
  hence pseudo-proj-True n w = pseudo-proj-True n x by simp
  have w = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w using ⟨w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n))⟩
    using pseudo-proj-True-proj by auto
  also have ... ∈ {pseudo-proj-True n x, pseudo-proj-False n x} using ⟨pseudo-proj-True n w = pseudo-proj-True n x⟩
    pseudo-proj-Suc-prefix by simp
  finally show w ∈ {pseudo-proj-True n x, pseudo-proj-False n x} .
qed
show {pseudo-proj-True n x, pseudo-proj-False n x}
  ⊆ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n x}
proof -
  have pseudo-proj-True n x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n x}
    by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj pseudo-proj-True-img pseudo-proj-True-proj)
  moreover have pseudo-proj-False n x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ pseudo-proj-True n -` {pseudo-proj-True n x}
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Int-iff Uni2 infinite-coin-toss-space.pseudo-proj-False-def infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms
      pseudo-proj-True-Suc-False-proj pseudo-proj-True-inverse-induct pseudo-proj-True-stake rangeI singletonI vimage-eq)
      ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) f-borel-Suc-preimage:
  assumes f ∈ measurable (F n) N
  and set-discriminating n f N
  shows range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ f −` {f x} =
    (pseudo-proj-True n) ` (f −` {f x}) ∪ (pseudo-proj-False n) ` (f −` {f x})
proof -
  have range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ f −` {f x} =
    (⋃ w ∈ {y. f y = f x}. {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False n w})
  proof
    show range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ f −` {f x} ⊆ (⋃ w ∈ {y. f y = f x}.
      {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False n w})
    proof
      fix w
      assume w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ f −` {f x}
      hence w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) and w ∈ f −` {f x} by auto
      hence f w = f x by simp
      hence w ∈ {y. f y = f x} by simp
      have w = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w using ⟨w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True
        (Suc n))⟩
        using pseudo-proj-True-proj by auto
      also have ... ∈ {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False n w}
        using pseudo-proj-Suc-prefix by auto
      also have ... ⊆ (⋃ w ∈ {y. f y = f x}. {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False
        n w}) using ⟨w ∈ {y. f y = f x}⟩
        by auto
      finally show w ∈ (⋃ w ∈ {y. f y = f x}. {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False
        n w}) .
    qed
    show (⋃ w ∈ {y. f y = f x}. {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False n w})
      ⊆ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ f −` {f x}
  proof
    fix w
    assume w ∈ (⋃ w ∈ {y. f y = f x}. {pseudo-proj-True n w, pseudo-proj-False
      n w})
    hence ∃ y. f y = f x ∧ w ∈ {pseudo-proj-True n y, pseudo-proj-False n y} by
      auto
      from this obtain y where f y = f x and w ∈ {pseudo-proj-True n y,
        pseudo-proj-False n y} by auto
      hence w = pseudo-proj-True n y ∨ w = pseudo-proj-False n y by auto
      show w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) ∩ f −` {f x}
      proof (cases w = pseudo-proj-True n y)
        case True
        hence f w = f y using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info natural-filtration
          by (metis comp-apply)
        thus ?thesis using ⟨f y = f x⟩
          by (simp add: True pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj pseudo-proj-True-img)
      next
        case False
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

hence  $f w = f y$  using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info natural-filtration
    by (metis Int-iff  $\langle w \in \{pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n y, pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False } n y \rangle$ )
        comp-apply pseudo-proj-Suc-preimage singletonD vimage-eq)
    thus ?thesis using  $\langle f y = f x \rangle$ 
    using  $\langle w \in \{pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n y, pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False } n y \rangle$  pseudo-proj-Suc-preimage
by auto
    qed
    qed
    qed
    also have ... =
         $(\bigcup_{w \in \{y. f y = f x\}} \{pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w) \cup (\bigcup_{w \in \{y. f y = f x\}} \{pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False } n w)$  by auto
    also have ... =  $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n) ` \{y. f y = f x\} \cup (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False } n) ` \{y. f y = f x\}$  by auto
    also have ... =  $(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n) ` (f -` \{f x\}) \cup (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}False } n) ` (f -` \{f x\})$  by auto
    finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-preimage:
assumes  $g \in measurable (F n) N$ 
and set-discriminating  $n g N$ 
shows  $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (g -` \{g z\}) = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (g -` \{g z\}))$ 
proof
    show  $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\} \subseteq pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\}$ 
proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\}$ 
    have  $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w)$ 
        by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-proj)
    also have ...  $\in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (g -` \{g z\})$  using  $\langle w \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\} \rangle$ 
        by simp
    finally have  $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (g -` \{g z\})$  .
    thus  $w \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` (g -` \{g z\}))$  by simp
qed
    show  $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\} \subseteq pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\}$ 
proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\}$ 
    hence  $\exists y. pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n y \wedge g y = g z$  by auto
    from this obtain  $y$  where  $pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n y$  and
         $g y = g z$  by auto
    have  $g (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n w) = g (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n y)$  using  $\langle pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True } n -` g -` \{g z\} \rangle$ 

```

```

n w = pseudo-proj-True n y>
  by simp
  also have ... = g y using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info natural-filtration
  by (metis comp-apply)
  also have ... = g z using <g y = g z> .
  finally have g (pseudo-proj-True n w) = g z .
  thus w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n –‘ g –‘ {g z} by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-preimage:

fixes $g:\text{bool stream} \Rightarrow 'b:\{\text{t0-space}\}$
assumes $g \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` (g -` \{g z\}) = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` (g -` \{g z\}))$
using $\text{pseudo-proj-preimage}[of g n \text{ borel } z]$ set-discriminating-if[of g n] natural-filtration assms by simp

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-False-preimage:

assumes $g \in \text{measurable } (F n) N$
and set-discriminating $n g N$
shows $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (g -` \{g z\}) = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (g -` \{g z\}))$
proof
show $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\} \subseteq \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\}$
proof
fix w
assume $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\}$
have $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$
using $\text{pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-False-stake}$ by auto
also have ... ∈ $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (g -` \{g z\})$ using < $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\}$ >
by simp
finally have $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (g -` \{g z\})$.
thus $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` (g -` \{g z\}))$ by simp
qed
show $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\} \subseteq \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\}$
proof
fix w
assume $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` \text{pseudo-proj-False } n -` g -` \{g z\}$
hence $\exists y. \text{pseudo-proj-False } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n y \wedge g y = g z$ by auto
from this obtain y where $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n y$ and
 $g y = g z$ by auto
have $g (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w) = g (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n y)$ using < $\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n y$ >
by simp

```

also have ... = g y using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info' natural-filtration
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = g z using ⟨g y = g z⟩ .
finally have g (pseudo-proj-False n w) = g z .
thus w ∈ pseudo-proj-False n −‘ g −‘ {g z} by simp
qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-False-preimage:
fixes g::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{t0-space}
assumes g ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
shows pseudo-proj-False n −‘ (g −‘ {g z}) = pseudo-proj-False n −‘ (pseudo-proj-False
n −‘ (g −‘ {g z}))
using pseudo-proj-False-preimage[of g n borel z] set-discriminating-if[of g n] nat-
ural-filtration assms by simp

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-preimage':
assumes g ∈ measurable (F n) N
and set-discriminating n g N
shows pseudo-proj-True n −‘ (g −‘ {g z}) = g −‘ {g z}
proof
show pseudo-proj-True n −‘ g −‘ {g z} ⊆ g −‘ {g z}
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n −‘ g −‘ {g z}
have g w = g (pseudo-proj-True n w) using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info
natural-filtration
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = g z using ⟨w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n −‘ g −‘ {g z}⟩ by simp
finally have g w = g z .
thus w ∈ g −‘ {g z} by simp
qed
show g −‘ {g z} ⊆ pseudo-proj-True n −‘ g −‘ {g z}
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ g −‘ {g z}
have g (pseudo-proj-True n w) = g w using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info
natural-filtration
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = g z using ⟨w ∈ g −‘ {g z}⟩ by simp
finally have g (pseudo-proj-True n w) = g z .
thus w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n −‘ g −‘ {g z} by simp
qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-preimage':
fixes g::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{t0-space}
assumes g ∈ borel-measurable (F n)

```

```

shows pseudo-proj-True  $n -` (g -` \{g z\}) = g -` \{g z\}$ 
using assms natural-filtration by (simp add: set-discriminating-if pseudo-proj-preimage')

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) pseudo-proj-False-preimage':
assumes g ∈ measurable (F n) N
and set-discriminating n g N
shows pseudo-proj-False  $n -` (g -` \{g z\}) = g -` \{g z\}$ 
proof
show pseudo-proj-False  $n -` g -` \{g z\} \subseteq g -` \{g z\}$ 
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ pseudo-proj-False  $n -` g -` \{g z\}$ 
have g w = g (pseudo-proj-False n w) using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info'
natural-filtration
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = g z using ‹w ∈ pseudo-proj-False n -` g -` \{g z\}› by simp
finally have g w = g z.
thus w ∈ g -` \{g z\} by simp
qed
show g -` \{g z\} ⊆ pseudo-proj-False  $n -` g -` \{g z\}$ 
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ g -` \{g z\}
have g (pseudo-proj-False n w) = g w using assms nat-filtration-not-borel-info'
natural-filtration
by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = g z using ‹w ∈ g -` \{g z\}› by simp
finally have g (pseudo-proj-False n w) = g z .
thus w ∈ pseudo-proj-False  $n -` g -` \{g z\}$  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-pseudo-proj-False-preimage':
fixes g::bool stream ⇒ 'b::{t0-space}
assumes g ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
shows pseudo-proj-False  $n -` (g -` \{g z\}) = g -` \{g z\}$ 
using assms natural-filtration by (simp add: set-discriminating-if pseudo-proj-False-preimage')

```

5.3.6 Integrals and conditional expectations on the natural filtration

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) cst-integral:
fixes f::bool stream⇒real
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
and f (sconst True) = c
shows has-bochner-integral M f c
proof –

```

```

have space M = space (F 0) using filtration by (simp add: filtration-def subalgebra-def)
have f ∈ borel-measurable M
  using assms(1) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable natural-filtration by
blast
have ∃ d. ∀ x ∈ space (F 0). f x = d
proof (rule triv-measurable-cst)
  show space (F 0) = space M using <space M = space (F 0)> ..
  show sets (F 0) = { {}, space M } using info-disc-filtr
    by (simp add: init-triv-filt-def bot-nat-def)
  show f ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using assms by simp
  show space M ≠ {} by (simp add:not-empty)
qed
from this obtain d where ∀ x ∈ space (F 0). f x = d by auto
hence ∀ x ∈ space M. f x = d using <space M = space (F 0)> by simp
hence f (sconst True) = d using bernoulli-stream-space bernoulli by simp
hence c = d using assms by simp
hence ∀ x ∈ space M. f x = c using <∀ x ∈ space M. f x = d> <c = d> by simp
have f ∈ borel-measurable M
  using assms(1) nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable natural-filtration by
blast
have integralN M f = integralN M (λw. c)
proof (rule nn-integral-cong)
  fix x
  assume x ∈ space M
  thus ennreal (f x) = ennreal c using <∀ x ∈ space M. f x = d> <c = d> by auto
qed
also have ... = integralN M (λw. c * (indicator (space M)) w)
  by (simp add: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... = ennreal c * emeasure M (space M) using nn-integral-cmult-indicator[of
space M M c]
  by (simp add: nn-integral-cong)
also have ... = ennreal c by (simp add: emeasure-space-1)
finally have integralN M f = ennreal c .
hence integralN M (λx. - f x) = ennreal (-c)
  by (simp add: <∀ x ∈ space M. f x = d> <c = d> emeasure-space-1 nn-integral-cong)
show has-bochner-integral M f c
proof (cases 0 ≤ c)
  case True
  hence AE x in M. 0 ≤ f x using <∀ x ∈ space M. f x = c> by simp
  thus ?thesis using <random-variable borel f> True
    integralN M f = ennreal c by (simp add: has-bochner-integral-nn-integral)
next
  case False
  let ?mf = λw. - f w
  have AE x in M. 0 ≤ ?mf x using <∀ x ∈ space M. f x = c> False by simp
  hence has-bochner-integral M ?mf (-c) using <random-variable borel f> False
    integralN M (λx. - f x) = ennreal (-c) by (simp add: has-bochner-integral-nn-integral)
  thus ?thesis using has-bochner-integral-minus by fastforce

```

```

qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) cst-nn-integral:
  fixes f::bool stream⇒real
  assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
  and ⋀w. 0 ≤ f w
  and f (sconst True) = c
  shows integralN M f = ennreal c using assms cst-integral
    by (simp add: assms(1) has-bochner-integral-iff nn-integral-eq-integral)

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) suc-measurable:
  fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b:{t0-space}
  assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc n))
  shows (λw. f (c # w)) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
proof –
  have (λw. f (c # w)) ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  proof (rule nat-filtration-comp-measurable)
    have f ∈ borel-measurable M using assms
      using measurable-from-subalg nat-filtration-subalgebra natural-filtration by
      blast
    hence f ∈ borel-measurable (stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))
    using bernoulli unfolding bernoulli-stream-def by simp
    have (λw. c # w) ∈ (stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) →M
      stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))
    proof (rule measurable-Stream)
      show (λx. c) ∈ stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) →M measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p) by simp
      show (λx. x) ∈ stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) →M stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) by simp
    qed
    hence (λw. f (c # w)) ∈ (stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) →M borel) using ‹f ∈ borel-measurable (stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)))›
      measurable-comp[of (λw. c # w) stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) stream-space (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) f borel]
      by simp
    thus random-variable borel (λw. f (c # w)) using bernoulli unfolding bernoulli-stream-def by simp
    have ∀w. f (c # (pseudo-proj-True n w)) = f (c# w)
    proof
      fix w
      have c# (pseudo-proj-True n w) = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c# w)
      unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
      hence f (c # (pseudo-proj-True n w)) = f (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (c# w)) by simp
      also have ... = f (c# w) using assms nat-filtration-info[of f Suc n] natural-filtration
        by (metis comp-apply)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

    finally show f (c ## (pseudo-proj-True n w)) = f (c##w) .
qed
thus ( $\lambda w. f (c \# w)$ )  $\circ$  pseudo-proj-True n = ( $\lambda w. f (c \# w)$ ) by auto
qed
thus ( $\lambda w. f (c \# w)$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable (F n) using natural-filtration by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) F-n-nn-integral-pos:
fixes f::bool stream $\Rightarrow$ real
shows  $\bigwedge f. (\forall x. 0 \leq f x) \implies f \in$  borel-measurable (F n)  $\implies$  integralN M f =
( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (emeasure M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\}) \cap \text{space } M)) * ennreal(f w)$ 
proof (induct n)
case 0
have range (pseudo-proj-True 0) = {sconst True}
proof
have  $\bigwedge w. \text{pseudo-proj-True } 0 w = \text{sconst True}$ 
proof -
fix w
show pseudo-proj-True 0 w = sconst True unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def
by simp
qed
thus range (pseudo-proj-True 0)  $\subseteq$  {sconst True} by auto
show {sconst True}  $\subseteq$  range (pseudo-proj-True 0)
using <range (pseudo-proj-True 0)  $\subseteq$  {sconst True}> subset-singletonD by fastforce
qed
hence (emeasure M ((pseudo-proj-True 0) - {sconst True}  $\cap$  space M)) = ennreal 1
by (metis Int-absorb1 UNIV_I emeasure-eq-measure image-eqI prob-space subsetI vimage-eq)
have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0)} f w$ ) = ( $\sum_{w \in \{\text{sconst True}\}} f w$ )
using <range (pseudo-proj-True 0) = {sconst True}>
sum.cong[of range (pseudo-proj-True n) {sconst True} ff] by simp
also have ... = f (sconst True) by simp
finally have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0)} f w$ ) = f (sconst True) .
hence ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0)} (emeasure M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0) - \{w\}) \cap \text{space } M)) * f w$ ) = f (sconst True)
using <(emeasure M ((pseudo-proj-True 0) - {sconst True}  $\cap$  space M)) = ennreal 1>
by (simp add: <range (pseudo-proj-True 0) = {sconst True}>)
thus integralN M f = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0)} (emeasure M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } 0) - \{w\}) \cap \text{space } M)) * f w$ )
using 0 by (simp add:cst-nn-integral)
next
case (Suc n)

```

```

define BP where BP = measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)
have integralN M f = integralN (stream-space BP) f using bernoulli
  unfolding bernoulli-stream-def BP-def by simp
also have ... = ∫+ x. ∫+ X. f (x # X) ∂stream-space BP ∂BP
proof (rule prob-space.nn-integral-stream-space)
show prob-space BP unfolding BP-def by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def
  prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
have f ∈ borel-measurable (stream-space BP) using bernoulli Suc unfolding
  bernoulli-stream-def BP-def
using measurable-from-subalg nat-filtration-subalgebra natural-filtration by
blast
thus (λX. ennreal (f X)) ∈ borel-measurable (stream-space BP) by simp
qed
also have ... = (λx. (∫+ X. f (x # X) ∂stream-space BP)) True * ennreal p
+
  (λx. (∫+ X. f (x # X) ∂stream-space BP)) False * ennreal (1 - p)
using p-gt-0 p-lt-1 unfolding BP-def by simp
also have ... = (∫+ X. f (True # X) ∂stream-space BP) * p +
  (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w} ∩
  space M) * (f (False # w))) * (1 - p)
proof -
define ff where ff = (λw. f (False # w))
have ∀x. 0 ≤ ff x using Suc unfolding ff-def by simp
moreover have ff ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using Suc unfolding ff-def by
(simp add:suc-measurable)
ultimately have (∫+ x. ennreal (ff x) ∂M) =
  (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w} ∩
  space M) * ennreal (ff w))
using Suc by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding ff-def by (simp add: BP-def bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def)
qed
also have ... = (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {w} ∩ space M) * (f (True # w))) * p +
  (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w} ∩
  space M) * (f (False # w))) * (1 - p)
proof -
define ft where ft = (λw. f (True # w))
have ∀x. 0 ≤ ft x using Suc unfolding ft-def by simp
moreover have ft ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using Suc unfolding ft-def by
(simp add:suc-measurable)
ultimately have (∫+ x. ennreal (ft x) ∂M) =
  (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w} ∩
  space M) * ennreal (ft w))
using Suc by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding ft-def by (simp add: BP-def bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def)
qed
also have ... = (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {w} ∩ space M) * p * (f (True # w))) +
  (∑ w∈range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w} ∩
  space M) * (f (False # w))) * (1 - p)

```

```

space M) * (f (False ## w))) * (1-p)
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$ ) * p =
    ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$ ) * p
    by (rule sum-distrib-right)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$ )
  proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
    fix w
    assume w  $\in$  range (pseudo-proj-True n)
    show emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' {w}  $\cap$  space M) * ennreal (f (True # # w)) * ennreal p =
      emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' {w}  $\cap$  space M) * ennreal p * ennreal
      (f (True # # w))
    proof -
      have ennreal (f (True # # w)) * ennreal p = ennreal p * ennreal (f (True
      # # w)) by (simp add:mult.commute)
      hence  $\bigwedge x. x * \text{ennreal } (f (\text{True } \# \# w)) * \text{ennreal } p = x * \text{ennreal } p * \text{ennreal } (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$ 
      by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules(16))
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    qed
  finally have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$ ) * p =
    ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$ ).
  thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (f (\text{True } \# \# w))$  +
    ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (1-p) * (f (\text{False } \# \# w))$ )
  proof -
    have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (f (\text{False } \# \# w))$ ) * (1-p) =
      ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (f (\text{False } \# \# w))$ ) * (1-p)
    by (rule sum-distrib-right)
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (1-p) * (f (\text{False } \# \# w))$ )
  proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
    fix w
    assume w  $\in$  range (pseudo-proj-True n)
    show emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' {w}  $\cap$  space M) * ennreal (f (False
    # # w)) * ennreal (1-p) =
      emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' {w}  $\cap$  space M) * ennreal (1-p) *

```

```

ennreal (f (False ## w))
proof -
  have ennreal (f (False ## w)) * ennreal (1-p) = ennreal (1-p) * ennreal
  (f (False ## w)) by (simp add:mult.commute)
    hence  $\bigwedge x. x * \text{ennreal}(\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w)) * \text{ennreal}(1-p) = x * \text{ennreal}$ 
  (1-p) *  $\text{ennreal}(\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w))$ 
    by (simp add: semiring-normalization-rules(16))
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
  finally have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w)) * (1-p) =$ 
  ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (1-p) * (\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w))$ ) .
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# \# w\}} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (\text{f}(y)) +$ 
  ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (1-p) * (\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w))$ )
  proof -
    have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (\text{f}(\text{True} \# \# w)) =$ 
    ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(\text{True} \# \# w)\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (\text{f}(\text{True} \# \# w))$ ) by simp
    also have ... =
      ( $\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# \# w\}} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(y) \cap \text{space } M\}) * p * (\text{f}(y))$ )
      by (rule reindex-pseudo-proj)
    finally have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * p * (\text{f}(\text{True} \# \# w)) =$ 
    ( $\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# \# w\}} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(y) \cap \text{space } M\}) * p * (\text{f}(y))$ ) .
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = ( $\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{True} \# \# w\}} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(y) \cap \text{space } M\}) * p * (\text{f}(y)) +$ 
  ( $\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \# \# w\}} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(y) \cap \text{space } M\}) * (1-p) * (\text{f}(y))$ )
  proof -
    have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (1-p) * (\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w)) =$ 
    ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(\text{False} \# \# w)\} \cap \text{space } M) * (1-p) * (\text{f}(\text{False} \# \# w))$ ) by simp
    also have ... =
      ( $\sum_{y \in \{y. \exists w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). y = \text{False} \# \# w\}} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ' \{stl(y) \cap \text{space } M\}) * (1-p) * (\text{f}(y))$ )
      by (rule reindex-pseudo-proj)
    finally have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True }$ 
```

$n - ' \{w\} \cap space M) * (1-p) * (f (False \#\# w))) =$
 $(\sum y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False \#\# w\}. emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * (1-p) * (f (y))) .$
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = $(\sum y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True \#\# w\}. (prob-component p y 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * (f (y))) +$
 $(\sum y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False \#\# w\}. emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * (1-p) * (f (y)))$
proof -
have $\forall y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True \#\# w\}. emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * p =$
 $prob-component p y 0 * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M)$
proof
fix y
assume $y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True \#\# w\}$
hence $\exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True \#\# w$ **by simp**
from this obtain w **where** $w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n)$ **and** $y = True \#\# w$ **by auto**
have $emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * p = p * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M)$
by (*simp add:mult.commute*)
also have ... = $prob-component p y 0 * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M)$ **using** $\langle y = True \#\# w \rangle$
unfolding prob-component-def **by simp**
finally show $emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * p =$
 $prob-component p y 0 * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M)$.
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
also have ... = $(\sum y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True \#\# w\}. (prob-component p y 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * (f (y))) +$
 $(\sum y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False \#\# w\}. (prob-component p y 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * (f (y)))$
proof -
have $\forall y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False \#\# w\}. emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M) * (1-p) =$
 $prob-component p y 0 * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ' \{stl y\} \cap space M)$
proof
fix y
assume $y \in \{y. \exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False \#\# w\}$
hence $\exists w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False \#\# w$ **by simp**
from this obtain w **where** $w \in range (pseudo-proj-True n)$ **and** $y = False \#\# w$ **by auto**

```

have emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M) * (1-p) =
(1-p) *emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M)
by (simp add:mult.commute)
also have ... = prob-component p y 0 * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M) using `y = False ## w`
unfolding prob-component-def by simp
finally show emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M) * (1-p)
=
prob-component p y 0 * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M) .
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
also have ... = (∑ y∈{y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True ## w}. emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y}. z = True ## x} * (f y)) +
(∑ y∈{y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False ## w}. (prob-component p y 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M) * (f (y)))
proof -
have (∑ y | ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True ## w.
ennreal (prob-component p y 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y} ∩ space M) * (f y)) =
(∑ y∈{y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True ## w}. emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y}. z = True ## x} * (f y))
proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
fix xx
assume xx ∈ {y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True ## w}
hence ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). xx = True ## w by simp
from this obtain ww where ww ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) and xx = True## ww by auto
have ennreal (prob-component p (True##ww) 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {ww} ∩ space M) =
emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {ww}. z = True ## x} using `ww ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)`
by (rule pseudo-proj-element-prob-pref[symmetric])
thus ennreal (prob-component p xx 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl xx} ∩ space M) * (f xx) =
emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl xx}. z = True ## x} * (f xx) using `xx = True##ww` by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = (∑ y∈{y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = True ## w}. emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y}. z = True ## x} * (f y)) +
(∑ y∈{y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False ## w}. emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n -` {stl y}. z = False ## x} * (f y))
proof -
have (∑ y | ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False ## w).

```

```

ennreal (prob-component p y 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl
y} ∩ space M) * (f y)) =
(∑ y ∈ {y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False ## w}. emeasure M
{z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl y}. z = False ## x} * (f y))
proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
fix xx
assume xx ∈ {y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = False ## w}
hence ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). xx = False ## w by simp
from this obtain ww where ww ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) and xx =
False## ww by auto
have ennreal (prob-component p (False##ww) 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {ww} ∩ space M) =
emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {ww}. z = False
## x} using ⟨ww ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)⟩
by (rule pseudo-proj-element-prob-pref[symmetric])
thus ennreal (prob-component p xx 0) * emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl xx} ∩ space M) * (f xx) =
emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl xx}. z = False
## x} * (f xx) using ⟨xx = False##ww⟩ by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = (∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M {z ∈ space M.
∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w}. z = True ## x} * (f (True##w))) +
(∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {w}. z = False ## x} * (f (False##w)))
proof -
have ∀c. (∑ y ∈ {y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = c ## w}. emeasure
M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl y}. z = c ## x} * (f y)) =
(∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {w}. z = c ## x} * (f (c##w)))
proof -
fix c
have (∑ y ∈ {y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = c ## w}. emeasure
M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl y}. z = c ## x} * (f y)) =
(∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {stl (c##w)}. z = c ## x} * (f (c##w)))
by (rule reindex-pseudo-proj[symmetric])
also have ... = (∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M {z ∈ space
M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {w}. z = c ## x} * (f (c##w)))
by simp
finally show (∑ y ∈ {y. ∃ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). y = c ## w}.
emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True n - ` {stl y}. z = c ## x} * (f
y)) =
(∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). emeasure M {z ∈ space M. ∃ x ∈ pseudo-proj-True
n - ` {w}. z = c ## x} * (f (c##w))). .
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sum w \in \{w. w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) \wedge w!!0 = \text{True}\}$ .
 $\text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) - ` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } M)) * (f w)) +$ 
 $(\sum w \in \{w. w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) \wedge w!!0 = \text{False}\}. \text{emeasure } M$ 
 $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) - ` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } M)) * (f w))$ 

proof -
  have  $\bigwedge c. (\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). \text{emeasure } M \{z \in \text{space } M.$ 
 $\exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ` \{w\}. z = c \# \# x\} * (f(c \# \# w))) =$ 
 $(\sum w \in \{w. w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) \wedge w!!0 = c\}. \text{emeasure } M$ 
 $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) - ` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } M)) * (f w))$ 

proof -
  fix  $c$ 
  show ( $\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). \text{emeasure } M \{z \in \text{space } M. \exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True }$ 
 $n - ` \{w\}. z = c \# \# x\} * (f(c \# \# w))) =$ 
 $(\sum w \in \{w. w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)) \wedge w!!0 = c\}. \text{emeasure } M$ 
 $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) - ` \{w\} \cap (\text{space } M)) * (f w))$ 

proof (rule sum.reindex-cong)
  show inj-on stl { $w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\}$ 
  proof
    fix  $x y$ 
    assume  $x \in \{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\}$ 
    and  $y \in \{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\}$ 
    and stl  $x = \text{stl } y$ 
    have  $x!!0 = c$  using  $\langle x \in \{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\} \rangle$  by simp
    moreover have  $y!!0 = c$  using  $\langle y \in \{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\} \rangle$  by simp
    ultimately show  $x = y$  using  $\langle \text{stl } x = \text{stl } y \rangle$ 
    by (smt snth.simps(1) stream-eq-Stream-iff)

qed
show range (pseudo-proj-True  $n) = \text{stl}` \{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\}$ 
  proof
    show range (pseudo-proj-True  $n) \subseteq \text{stl}` \{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = c\}$ 
    proof
      fix  $x$ 
      assume  $x \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
      hence pseudo-proj-True  $n x = x$  using pseudo-proj-True-proj by auto
      have pseudo-proj-True (Suc  $n) (c \# \# x) = c \# \# x$ 
      proof -
        have pseudo-proj-True (Suc  $n) (c \# \# x) = c \# \# \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x$ 
        using pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix[of  $n c \# \# x]$ 
        by simp
      also have ... =  $c \# \# x$  using ⟨pseudo-proj-True  $n x = x$ ⟩ by simp
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    hence  $c \# \# x \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n))$  by (simp add:
      pseudo-proj-True-img)
  
```

```

thus  $x \in stl \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \}$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists s. (s \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)) \wedge s !! 0 = c) \wedge stl s$ 
=  $x$ 
  by (metis (no-types) `c ## x \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n))`  

snth.simps(1) stream.sel(1) stream.sel(2))
  then show ?thesis
    by force
  qed
qed
show  $stl \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \} \subseteq range$   

(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n)
proof
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in stl \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \}$ 
  hence  $\exists w \in \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \}. x =$ 
 $stl w$  by auto
  from this obtain  $w$  where  $w \in \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)).$   

 $w !! 0 = c \}$  and  $x = stl w$  by auto
  have  $w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n))$  and  $w !! 0 = c$  using `w \in  

 $\{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \}`  

  by auto
  have  $c ## x = w$  using `x = stl w` `w !! 0 = c` by force
  also have ... = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)  $w$  using `w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True$   

(Suc n))`  

  using pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True-proj by auto
  also have ... =  $c ## pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n x$  using `x = stl w` `w !! 0 =  

 $c` by (simp add:pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True-Suc-prefix)
  finally have  $c ## x = c ## pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n x$  .
  hence  $x = pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n x$  by simp
  thus  $x \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n)$  by auto
  qed
qed
show  $\bigwedge x. x \in \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \} \Rightarrow$ 
  emeasure M { $z \in space M. \exists x \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n - \{stl x\}. z = c ##$   

 $x \}$  * ennreal ( $f (c ## stl x)$ ) =
  emeasure M (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n) - \{x\} \cap space M) * ennreal ( $f x$ )
proof -
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in \{ w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n)). w !! 0 = c \}$ 
  hence  $w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n))$  and  $w !! 0 = c$  by auto
  have { $z \in space M. \exists x \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n - \{stl w\}. z = c ## x \}$  =  

(pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n) - \{w\} \cap space M)
proof
  show { $z \in space M. \exists x \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n - \{stl w\}. z = c ## x \}$  }  

 $\subseteq pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True (Suc n) - \{w\} \cap space M$ 
proof
  fix  $z$ 
  assume  $z \in \{ z \in space M. \exists x \in pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True n - \{stl w\}. z = c$$ 
```

```

## x}
  hence  $\exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}. z = c \# \# x$  and  $z \in \text{space } M$  by auto
    from  $\langle \exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}. z = c \# \# x \rangle$  obtain x
  where  $x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}$ 
    and  $z = c \# \# x$  by auto
    have  $\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) z = c \# \# \text{pseudo-proj-True } n x$  using
       $\langle z = c \# \# x \rangle$ 
        by (simp add:pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix)
        also have ... =  $c \# \# stl w$  using  $\langle x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\} \rangle$ 
      by simp
        also have ... =  $w$  using  $\langle w !! 0 = c \rangle$  by force
        finally have  $\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) z = w$  .
        thus  $z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M$  using  $\langle z \in \text{space } M \rangle$ 
      M by auto
    qed
    show  $\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M \subseteq \{z \in \text{space } M.$ 
 $\exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}. z = c \# \# x\}$ 
    proof
      fix z
      assume  $z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M$ 
      hence  $z \in \text{space } M$  and  $\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) z = w$  by auto
      hence  $stl w = stl (\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) z)$  by simp
      also have ... =  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n (stl z)$  by (simp add:pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix)
      finally have  $stl w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n (stl z)$  .
      hence  $stl z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}$  by simp
      have  $z !! 0 \# \# \text{pseudo-proj-True } n (stl z) = w$  using pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix
         $\langle \text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) z = w \rangle$  by simp
      also have ... =  $c \# \# (stl w)$  using  $\langle w !! 0 = c \rangle$  by force
      finally have  $z !! 0 \# \# \text{pseudo-proj-True } n (stl z) = c \# \# (stl w)$  .
      hence  $z !! 0 = c$  by simp
      hence  $z = c \# \# (stl z)$  by force
      thus  $z \in \{z \in \text{space } M. \exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}. z = c \# \# x\}$  using  $\langle z \in \text{space } M \rangle$ 
         $\langle stl z \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\} \rangle$  by auto
      qed
    qed
    hence  $\text{emeasure } M \{z \in \text{space } M. \exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}. z = c \# \# x\} * \text{ennreal} (f (c \# \# stl w)) =$ 
       $\text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{ennreal} (f (c \# \# stl w))$  by simp
      also have ... =  $\text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{ennreal} (f w)$  using
         $\langle w !! 0 = c \rangle$  by force
      finally show  $\text{emeasure } M \{z \in \text{space } M. \exists x \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{stl w\}. z = c \# \# x\} * \text{ennreal} (f (c \# \# stl w)) =$ 
         $\text{emeasure } M (\text{pseudo-proj-True} (\text{Suc } n) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{ennreal} (f w)$  .
    qed
  qed

```

```

qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = \text{True}$ )
 $\cup$ 
 $\{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n)). w !! 0 = \text{False}\}.$ 
emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True(Suc n) -' {w} ∩ space M) * ennreal(f w))
proof (rule sum.union-disjoint[symmetric])
show finite {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = True} by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-finite-image)
show finite {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = False} by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-finite-image)
show {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = True} ∩ {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = False} = {}
by auto
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n)). \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n) -' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{ennreal}(f w)$ )
proof (rule sum.cong)
show {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = True} ∪ {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = False} =
range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n))
proof
show {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = True} ∪ {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = False}
 $\subseteq$  range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)) by auto
show range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n))
 $\subseteq$  {w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = True} ∪
{w ∈ range(pseudo-proj-True(Suc n)). w !! 0 = False}
by (simp add: subsetI)
qed
qed simp
finally show integralN M f =
( $\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n)). \text{emeasure } M(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n) -' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{ennreal}(f w)$ ).
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) F-n-integral-pos:
fixes f::bool stream $\Rightarrow$ real
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable(F n)
and  $\forall w. 0 \leq f w$ 
shows has-bochner-integral M f
 $(\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). (\text{measure } M((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (f w))$ 
proof -
have integralN M f = ( $\sum w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). (\text{emeasure } M((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) -' \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (f w))$ 
using assms by (simp add: F-n-nn-integral-pos)

```

```

have integralL M f = enn2real (integralN M f)
proof (rule integral-eq-nn-integral)
  show AE x in M. 0 ≤ f x using assms by simp
  show random-variable borel f using assms
    using measurable-from-subalg nat-filtration-subalgebra natural-filtration by
blast
qed
also have ... = enn2real (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). (emeasure M
((pseudo-proj-True n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w))
  using assms by (simp add: F-n-nn-integral-pos)
also have ... = (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). enn2real ((emeasure M
((pseudo-proj-True n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w)))
proof (rule enn2real-sum)
  show finite (range (pseudo-proj-True n)) by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-finite-image)
  show ∏w. w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) ⇒ emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True
n - 'w ∩ space M) * ennreal (f w) < ⊤
    proof -
      fix w
      assume w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)
      show emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - 'w ∩ space M) * ennreal (f w) <
      ⊤
        by (simp add: emeasure-eq-measure ennreal-mult-less-top)
    qed
  qed
also have ... = (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). ((measure M ((pseudo-proj-True
n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w)))
  by (simp add: Sigma-Algebra.measure-def assms(2) enn2real-mult)
finally have integralL M f = (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). ((measure M
((pseudo-proj-True n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w))) .
moreover have integrable M f
proof (rule integrableI-nn-integral-finite)
  show random-variable borel f using assms
    using measurable-from-subalg nat-filtration-subalgebra natural-filtration by
blast
  show AE x in M. 0 ≤ f x using assms by simp
  have (ʃ+ x. ennreal (f x) ∂M) = (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). (emeasure M
((pseudo-proj-True n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w))
    using assms by (simp add: F-n-nn-integral-pos)
  also have ... = (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). ennreal (measure M
((pseudo-proj-True n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w)))
    proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
      fix x
      assume x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)
      thus emeasure M (pseudo-proj-True n - 'x ∩ space M) * ennreal (f x) =
        ennreal (prob (pseudo-proj-True n - 'x ∩ space M) * f x)
        using assms(2) emeasure-eq-measure ennreal-mult" by auto
    qed
  also have ... = ennreal (∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). (measure M
((pseudo-proj-True n) - 'w ∩ space M)) * (f w)))
    proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
      fix x
      assume x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)
      thus measure M (pseudo-proj-True n - 'x ∩ space M) * ennreal (f x) =
        ennreal (prob (pseudo-proj-True n - 'x ∩ space M) * f x)
        using assms(2) emeasure-eq-measure ennreal-mult" by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

proof (rule ennreal-sum)
  show finite (range (pseudo-proj-True n)) by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-finite-image)
    show  $\bigwedge w. 0 \leq \text{prob} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f w$ 
      using assms(2) measure-nonneg zero-le-mult-iff by blast
  qed
  finally show ( $\int^+ x. \text{ennreal} (f x) \partial M$ ) =
    ennreal ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (f w))$ ) .
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using has-bochner-integral-iff by blast
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) F-n-integral:
  fixes  $f: \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
  assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$ 
  shows has-bochner-integral  $M f$ 
    ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (f w)$ )
  proof -
    define  $fpos$  where  $fpos = (\lambda w. \max 0 (f w))$ 
    define  $fneg$  where  $fneg = (\lambda w. \max 0 (-f w))$ 
    have  $\forall w. 0 \leq fpos w$  unfolding  $fpos\text{-def}$  by simp
    have  $\forall w. 0 \leq fneg w$  unfolding  $fneg\text{-def}$  by simp
    have  $fpos \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$  using assms unfolding  $fpos\text{-def}$  by simp
    have  $fneg \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$  using assms unfolding  $fneg\text{-def}$  by simp
    have has-bochner-integral  $M fpos$ 
      ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (fpos w)$ )
      using ⟨ $fpos \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$ ⟩ ⟨ $\forall w. 0 \leq fpos w$ ⟩ by (simp add: F-n-integral-pos)
      moreover have has-bochner-integral  $M fneg$ 
        ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (fneg w)$ )
        using ⟨ $fneg \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$ ⟩ ⟨ $\forall w. 0 \leq fneg w$ ⟩ by (simp add: F-n-integral-pos)
        ultimately have posd: has-bochner-integral  $M (\lambda w. fpos w - fneg w)$ 
          ( $(\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (fpos w)) -$ 
            $(\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (fneg w))$ )
          by (simp add:has-bochner-integral-diff)
          have  $((\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (fpos w)) -$ 
             $(\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (fneg w))) =$ 
             $(\sum_{w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * fpos w -$ 
               $(\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * fneg w)$ 
            by (rule sum-subtractf[symmetric])
          also have ... =

```

```


$$(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (\text{fpos } w - \text{fneg } w))$$

proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
  show  $\text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{x\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{fpos } x = \text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{x\} \cap \text{space } M) * \text{fneg } x =$ 
     $\text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{x\} \cap \text{space } M) * (\text{fpos } x - \text{fneg } x)$ 
  by (rule right-diff-distrib[symmetric])
qed
also have ... =

$$(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * f w))$$

proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
  show  $\text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{x\} \cap \text{space } M) * (\text{fpos } x - \text{fneg } x) = \text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{x\} \cap \text{space } M) * f x$ 
    unfolding fpos-def fneg-def by auto
qed
finally have  $((\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (\text{fpos } w)) -$ 
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * (\text{fneg } w))) =$ 
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * f w)) .$ 
hence has-bochner-integral M (λw. fpos w - fneg w) (sum w in range(pseudo-proj-True n). (measure M ((pseudo-proj-True n) - {w} ∩ space M)) * f w)
using posd by simp
moreover have  $\bigwedge w. \text{fpos } w - \text{fneg } w = f w$  unfolding fpos-def fneg-def by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using has-bochner-integral-diff by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) F-n-integral-prob-comp:
fixes  $f : \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow \text{real}$ 
assumes  $f \in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$ 
shows has-bochner-integral M f

$$(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (\text{prod}(\text{prob-component } p w) \{0..<n\}) * (f w))$$

proof -
  have  $\forall w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n). (\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M)) * f w =$ 
     $(\text{prod}(\text{prob-component } p w) \{0..<n\}) * (f w)$ 
  proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
    thus  $\text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f w = \text{prod}(\text{prob-component } p w) \{0..<n\} * f w$ 

```

```

using bernoulli-stream-pseudo-prob bernoulli p-lt-1 p-gt-0 by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using F-n-integral assms by (metis (no-types, lifting) sum.cong)
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) expect-prob-comp:
fixes f::bool stream⇒real
assumes f∈ borel-measurable (F n)
shows expectation f =
(∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). (prod (prob-component p w) {0..} * (f w)))
using assms F-n-integral-prob-comp has-bochner-integral-iff by blast

lemma sum-union-disjoint':
assumes finite A
and finite B
and A ∩ B = {}
and A ∪ B = C
shows sum g C = sum g A + sum g B
using sum.union-disjoint[OF assms(1–3)] and assms(4) by auto

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-Suc-expectation:
fixes f::bool stream⇒ real
assumes f∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc n))
and g∈ measurable (F n) N
and set-discriminating n g N
and g –‘ {g z} ∈ sets (F n)
and ∀ y z. (g y = g z ∧ snth y n = snth z n) → f y = f z
shows expectation (λx. f x * indicator (g –‘ {g z}) x) =
prob (g –‘ {g z}) * (p * f (pseudo-proj-True n z) +
(1 – p) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z))

proof –
define expind where expind = (λx. f x * indicator (g –‘ {g z}) x)
have expind∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc n)) unfolding expind-def
proof (rule borel-measurable-times, (simp add:assms(1,2)))
show indicator (g –‘ {g z}) ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc n))
proof (rule borel-measurable-indicator)
have g –‘ {g z} ∈ sets (nat-filtration n)
using assms nat-filtration-borel-measurable-singleton natural-filtration by
simp
hence g –‘ {g z} ∈ sets (F n) using natural-filtration by simp
thus g –‘ {g z} ∈ sets (F (Suc n))
using nat-filtration-Suc-sets natural-filtration by blast
qed
qed
hence expectation expind =
(∑ w∈ range (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)). (measure M ((pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) –‘ {w} ∩ space M)) * (expind w))
by (simp add:F-n-integral has-bochner-integral-integral-eq)

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n))} g -` \{g z\}$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (\text{expind } w)$ ) +
  ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n))} g -` \{g z\}$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (\text{expind } w)$ )
by (simp add: Int-Diff-Un Int-Diff-disjoint assms sum-union-disjoint' pseudo-proj-True-finite-image)
also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n))} g -` \{g z\}$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * (\text{expind } w)$ )
proof -
  have  $\forall w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) \vdash g -` \{g z\}. \text{expind } w = 0$ 
  proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) \vdash g -` \{g z\}$ 
    thus  $\text{expind } w = 0$  unfolding expind-def by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n))} g -` \{g z\}$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f w$ )
proof -
  have  $\forall w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) \vdash g -` \{g z\}. \text{expind } w = f w$ 
  proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) \vdash g -` \{g z\}$ 
    hence  $w \in g -` \{g z\}$  by simp
    thus  $\text{expind } w = f w$  unfolding expind-def by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (g -` \{g z\}) \cup (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n) -` \{g z\}$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f w$ ) using
  f-borel-Suc-preimage[of  $g$ ] assms(1,2,3) by auto
also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)} (g -` \{g z\})$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f w$ ) +
  ( $\sum_{w \in (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n)} (g -` \{g z\})$ .
  ( $\text{measure } M ((\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n)) -` \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f w$ )
proof (rule sum-union-disjoint')
  show finite (pseudo-proj-True  $n \vdash g -` \{g z\}$ )
  proof -
    have finite (range (pseudo-proj-True  $n$ )) by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-finite-image)
    moreover have pseudo-proj-True  $n \vdash g -` \{g z\} \subseteq \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ 
    by (simp add: image-mono)
    ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: finite-subset)
  qed
  show finite (pseudo-proj-False  $n \vdash g -` \{g z\}$ )
  proof -
    have finite (range (pseudo-proj-False  $n$ ))
    by (metis image-subsetI infinite-super proj-rep-set-finite pseudo-proj-True-Suc-False-proj)

```

```

rangeI)
  moreover have pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} ⊆ range (pseudo-proj-False
n)
    by (simp add: image-mono)
    ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:finite-subset)
qed
show pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z} ∩ pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} = {}
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z} ∩ pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}
≠ {}
  hence ∃ y. y ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z} ∩ pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} by auto
    from this obtain y where y ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z} and y ∈
pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} by auto
    have ∃ yt. yt ∈ g -`{g z} ∧ y = pseudo-proj-True n yt using `y ∈ pseudo-proj-True
n ` g -` {g z}` by auto
    from this obtain yt where y = pseudo-proj-True n yt by auto
    have ∃ yf. yf ∈ g -`{g z} ∧ y = pseudo-proj-False n yf using `y ∈ pseudo-proj-False
n ` g -` {g z}` by auto
    from this obtain yf where y = pseudo-proj-False n yf by auto
    have snth y n = True using `y = pseudo-proj-True n yt` unfolding
pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
    moreover have snth y n = False using `y = pseudo-proj-False n yf` un-
folding pseudo-proj-False-def by simp
    ultimately show False by simp
qed
qed simp
also have ... = (∑ w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z}. prob (pseudo-proj-True
(Suc n) -` {w} ∩ space M) * f (pseudo-proj-True n z)) +
(∑ w ∈ pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}. prob (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -` {w}
∩ space M) * f w)
proof -
  define zt where zt = pseudo-proj-True n z
  have eqw: ∀ w. w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z} ⇒ (g w = g zt ∧ snth w n
= snth zt n)
  proof
    fix w
    assume w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ` g -` {g z}
    hence ∃ y. w = pseudo-proj-True n y ∧ g y = g z by auto
      from this obtain yt where w = pseudo-proj-True n yt and g yt = g z by
auto
      have g w = g yt using `w = pseudo-proj-True n yt` nat-filtration-not-borel-info[of
g] natural-filtration
        assms by (metis comp-apply)
      also have ... = g zt using assms using nat-filtration-not-borel-info[of g]
natural-filtration `g yt = g z`
        unfolding zt-def by (metis comp-apply)
      finally show g w = g zt .
      show w !! n = zt !! n using `w = pseudo-proj-True n yt` unfolding zt-def

```

```

pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
qed
hence  $\wedge w. w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\} \implies f w = f zt$ 
proof
fix w
assume  $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}$ 
hence  $g w = g zt \wedge \text{snth } w n = \text{snth } zt n$  using eqw [of w] by simp
thus  $f w = f zt$  using assms(5) by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =  $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}} \text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n) -^c \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n z)) +$ 
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}} \text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n) -^c \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f(\text{pseudo-proj-False } n z))$ 
proof -
define zf where  $zf = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n z$ 
have eqw:  $\wedge w. w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\} \implies (g w = g zf \wedge \text{snth } w n = \text{snth } zf n)$ 
proof
fix w
assume  $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}$ 
hence  $\exists y. w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n y \wedge g y = g z$  by auto
from this obtain yf where  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n yf$  and  $g yf = g z$  by auto
have  $g w = g yf$  using  $\langle w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n yf \rangle \text{nat-filtration-not-borel-info}'[of g]$  natural-filtration
assms by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... =  $g zf$  using assms using nat-filtration-not-borel-info'[of g]
natural-filtration  $\langle g yf = g z \rangle$ 
unfolding zf-def by (metis comp-apply)
finally show  $g w = g zf$ .
show  $w !! n = zf !! n$  using  $\langle w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n yf \rangle$  unfolding zf-def
pseudo-proj-False-def by simp
qed
hence  $\wedge w. w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\} \implies f w = f zf$ 
proof
fix w
assume  $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}$ 
hence  $g w = g zf \wedge \text{snth } w n = \text{snth } zf n$  using eqw [of w] by simp
thus  $f w = f zf$  using assms by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... =  $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}} \text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n) -^c \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n z)) +$ 
 $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \cdot g -^c \{g z\}} \text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(Suc n) -^c \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) * f(\text{pseudo-proj-False } n z))$ 
by (simp add: sum-distrib-right)

```

```

also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n} g -' \{g z\}$ . prob ({x. stake n x = stake n w}) * p) * f (pseudo-proj-True n z) +
  ( $\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n} g -' \{g z\}$ . prob (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -' {w})  $\cap$  space M)) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z)
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge_w. w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \wedge g -' \{g z\} \implies (\text{prob } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) -' \{w\})) = (\text{prob } (\{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\})) * p$ 
proof -
  fix w
  assume  $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \wedge g -' \{g z\}$ 
  hence  $\exists y. w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n y \wedge g y = g z$  by auto
  from this obtain yt where  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n yt$  and  $g yt = g z$  by auto
  hence snth w n unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
  have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w = w using ⟨w = pseudo-proj-True n yt⟩
    by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-Suc-proj)
  hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -' {w} = {x. stake (Suc n) x = stake (Suc n) w} using pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake
    by simp
  hence prob (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -' {w}) = prob {x. stake n x = stake n w} * prob-component p w n
    using bernoulli-stream-element-prob-rec' bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
    p-lt-1 p-gt-0 by simp
  also have ... = prob {x. stake n x = stake n w} * p using ⟨snth w n⟩
  unfolding prob-component-def by simp
  finally show prob (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -' {w}) = prob {x. stake n x = stake n w} * p .
qed
thus ?thesis using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n} g -' \{g z\}$ . prob ({x. stake n x = stake n w}) * p) * f (pseudo-proj-True n z) +
  ( $\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n} g -' \{g z\}$ . prob {x. stake n x = stake n w} * (1 - p)) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z)
proof -
  have  $\bigwedge_w. w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \wedge g -' \{g z\} \implies (\text{prob } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } (\text{Suc } n) -' \{w\}) \cap \text{space } M) = (\text{prob } (\{x. \text{stake } n x = \text{stake } n w\}) * (1 - p))$ 
proof -
  fix w
  assume  $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n \wedge g -' \{g z\}$ 
  hence  $\exists y. w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n y \wedge g y = g z$  by auto
  from this obtain yt where  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-False } n yt$  and  $g yt = g z$  by auto
  hence  $\neg \text{snth } w n$  unfolding pseudo-proj-False-def by simp
  have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w = w using ⟨w = pseudo-proj-False n yt⟩
    by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-Suc-False-proj)
  hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) -' {w} = {x. stake (Suc n) x = stake (Suc n) w} using pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake
    by simp

```

```

n)  $w\}$  using pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake
    by simp
    hence  $\text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n) - \{w\}) = \text{prob}\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake}$ 
 $n \text{ } w\} * \text{prob-component } p \text{ } w \text{ } n$ 
        using beroulli-stream-element-prob-rec' beroulli beroulli-stream-space
p-lt-1 p-gt-0 by simp
    also have ... =  $\text{prob}\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\} * (1-p)$  using  $\langle \neg \text{snth } w \text{ } n \rangle$ 
unfolding prob-component-def by simp
    finally show  $\text{prob}(\text{pseudo-proj-True}(\text{Suc } n) - \{w\} \cap \text{space } M) = \text{prob}\{\text{x.}$ 
 $\text{stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\} * (1-p)$  using beroulli
        beroulli-stream-space by simp
    qed
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... =  $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n} g - \{g \text{ } z\}) \cdot \text{prob}(\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x =$ 
 $\text{stake } n \text{ } w\}) * p * f(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } z) +$ 
     $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n} g - \{g \text{ } z\}) \cdot \text{prob}(\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\}) * (1$ 
 $-p) * f(\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \text{ } z)$ 
    by (simp add:sum-distrib-right)
  also have ... =  $\text{prob}(g - \{g \text{ } z\}) * p * f(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } z) +$ 
     $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n} g - \{g \text{ } z\}) \cdot \text{prob}(\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\}) * (1$ 
 $-p) * f(\text{pseudo-proj-False } n \text{ } z)$ 
  proof -
    have projset:  $\bigwedge w. w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \wedge g - \{g \text{ } z\} \implies \{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake}$ 
 $n \text{ } w\} \in \text{sets } M$ 
    proof -
      fix  $w$ 
      assume  $w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \wedge g - \{g \text{ } z\}$ 
      hence  $\exists y. w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } y$  by auto
      from this obtain  $y$  where  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } y$  by auto
      hence  $w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } w$  by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-proj)
      hence  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\} = \{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\}$  using
pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake by simp
      moreover have  $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{w\} \in \text{sets } M$ 
      using  $\langle w = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n \text{ } w \rangle$  beroulli beroulli-stream-space pseudo-proj-True-singleton
by auto
      ultimately show  $\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\} \in \text{sets } M$  by simp
    qed
    have  $(\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n} g - \{g \text{ } z\}) \cdot \text{prob}(\{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\})$ 
    =
     $\text{prob}(\bigcup_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-True } n} g - \{g \text{ } z\}. \{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } w\})$ 
    proof (rule finite-measure-finite-Union[symmetric])
    show finite ( $\text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{g \text{ } z\}$ )
      by (meson finite-subset image-mono pseudo-proj-True-finite-image sub-set-UNIV)
    show  $(\lambda i. \{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } i\}) \cdot \text{pseudo-proj-True } n - \{g \text{ } z\} \subseteq$ 
events using projset by auto
    show disjoint-family-on  $(\lambda i. \{\text{x. stake } n \text{ } x = \text{stake } n \text{ } i\}) (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n$ 
 $- \{g \text{ } z\})$ 

```

```

unfolding disjoint-family-on-def
proof (intro ballI impI)
  fix u v
  assume u ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z} and v ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z} and u ≠ v note uvprops = this
  show {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v} = {}
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v} ≠ {}
    hence ∃ uu. uu ∈ {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v}
  by auto
    from this obtain uu where uu ∈ {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v} by auto
      hence stake n uu = stake n u and stake n uu = stake n v by auto
      moreover have stake n u ≠ stake n v by (metis uvprops imageE
pseudo-proj-True-proj pseudo-proj-True-stake-image)
      ultimately show False by simp
    qed
    qed
    qed
    also have ... = prob (⋃ w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z}. pseudo-proj-True
n –‘{w})
    proof –
      have ⋀ w. w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z} ⇒ {x. stake n x = stake n w}
= pseudo-proj-True n –‘{w}
      using pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake pseudo-proj-True-proj by force
      hence (⋃ w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z}. {x. stake n x = stake n w}) =
(⋃ w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z}. pseudo-proj-True n –‘{w}) by auto
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have ... = prob (pseudo-proj-True n –‘(pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z}))
by (metis vimage-eq-UN)
    also have ... = prob (g –‘ {g z}) using pseudo-proj-preimage[symmetric, of g
n N z]
      pseudo-proj-preimage'[of g n] assms by simp
      finally have (∑ w ∈ pseudo-proj-True n ‘g –‘ {g z}. prob ({x. stake n x =
stake n w})) = prob (g –‘ {g z}).
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have ... = prob (g –‘ {g z}) * p * f (pseudo-proj-True n z) +
prob (g –‘ {g z}) * (1 - p) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z)
    proof –
      have projset: ⋀ w. w ∈ pseudo-proj-False n ‘g –‘ {g z} ⇒ {x. stake n x = stake
n w} ∈ sets M
      proof –
        fix w
        assume w ∈ pseudo-proj-False n ‘g –‘ {g z}
        hence ∃ y. w = pseudo-proj-False n y by auto
        from this obtain y where w = pseudo-proj-False n y by auto
        hence w = pseudo-proj-False n w using pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-False-stake

```

```

by auto
  hence pseudo-proj-False n -`{w} = {x. stake n x = stake n w}  using
pseudo-proj-False-preimage-stake by simp
  moreover have pseudo-proj-False n -`{w} ∈ sets M
  using `w = pseudo-proj-False n w` bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space pseudo-proj-False-singleton
by auto
  ultimately show {x. stake n x = stake n w} ∈ sets M by simp
qed
  have (∑ w∈pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}. prob ({x. stake n x = stake n w})) =
prob (⋃ w∈pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}. {x. stake n x = stake n w})
proof (rule finite-measure-finite-Union[symmetric])
  show finite (pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z})
  by (meson finite-subset image-mono pseudo-proj-False-finite-image sub-
set-UNIV)
  show (λi. {x. stake n x = stake n i}) ` pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} ⊆
events using projset by auto
  show disjoint-family-on (λi. {x. stake n x = stake n i}) (pseudo-proj-False n
` g -` {g z})
  unfolding disjoint-family-on-def
proof (intro ballI impI)
  fix u v
  assume u ∈ pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} and v ∈ pseudo-proj-False n
` g -` {g z} and u ≠ v note uvprops = this
  show {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v} = {}
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v} ≠ {}
    hence ∃ uu. uu ∈ {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake n x = stake n v}
by auto
  from this obtain uu where uu ∈ {x. stake n x = stake n u} ∩ {x. stake
n x = stake n v} by auto
  hence stake n uu = stake n u and stake n uu = stake n v by auto
  moreover have stake n u ≠ stake n v
  using pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-False-stake uvprops by auto
  ultimately show False by simp
qed
qed
qed
also have ... = prob (⋃ w∈pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}. pseudo-proj-False
n -`{w})
proof -
  have ⋀ w. w ∈ pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z} ⇒ {x. stake n x = stake n w}
= pseudo-proj-False n -`{w}
  using pseudo-proj-False-preimage-stake pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-False-stake
by force
  hence (⋃ w∈pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}. {x. stake n x = stake n w}) =
(⋃ w∈pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}. pseudo-proj-False n -`{w}) by auto
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

also have ... = prob (pseudo-proj-False n -` (pseudo-proj-False n ` g -` {g z}))  

by (metis vimage-eq-UN)
also have ... = prob (g -` {g z}) using pseudo-proj-False-preimage[symmetric,  

of g n N z]
    pseudo-proj-False-preimage'[of g n] assms by simp
    finally have ( $\sum_{w \in \text{pseudo-proj-False } n} g -` \{g z\}$ . prob ({x. stake n x =  

stake n w})) = prob (g -` {g z}) .
    thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = prob (g -` {g z}) * (p * f (pseudo-proj-True n z) +  

(1 - p) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z))
using distrib-left[symmetric, of prob (g -` {g z}) p * f (pseudo-proj-True n z)  

(1 - p) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z)]
    by simp
finally show expectation ( $\lambda x. f x * \text{indicator}(g -` \{g z\}) x$ ) =  

prob (g -` {g z}) * (p * f (pseudo-proj-True n z) +  

(1 - p) * f (pseudo-proj-False n z)) unfolding expind-def .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) borel-Suc-expectation-pseudo-proj:
fixes f::bool stream $\Rightarrow$  real
assumes f $\in$  borel-measurable (F (Suc n))
shows expectation ( $\lambda x. f x * \text{indicator}(\text{pseudo-proj-True } n -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n z\}) x$ ) =
prob (pseudo-proj-True n -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n z\}) *  

(p * (f (pseudo-proj-True n z)) + (1 - p) * (f (pseudo-proj-False n z)))
proof (rule borel-Suc-expectation)
show f  $\in$  borel-measurable (F (Suc n)) using assms by simp
show pseudo-proj-True n  $\in$  F n  $\rightarrow_M$  M
    by (simp add: nat-filtration-pseudo-proj-True-measurable natural-filtration)
show pseudo-proj-True n -` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n z\}  $\in$  sets (F n)
    by (simp add: nat-filtration-singleton natural-filtration pseudo-proj-True-proj)
show  $\forall y z. (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n y = \text{pseudo-proj-True } n z \wedge \text{snth } y n = \text{snth } z n) \longrightarrow f y = f z$ 
proof (intro allI impI conjI)
fix y z
assume pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z  $\wedge$  y !! n = z !! n
hence pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z and snth y n = snth z n
by auto
hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) z unfolding  

pseudo-proj-True-def
    by (metis (full-types) ‹pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z› pseudo-proj-True-same-img  

stake-Suc)
    thus f y = f z using nat-filtration-info assms natural-filtration by (metis  

comp-apply)
qed
show set-discriminating n (pseudo-proj-True n) M unfolding set-discriminating-def
using pseudo-proj-True-proj by simp

```

qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) f-borel-Suc-expl-cond-expect:
assumes $f \in$ borel-measurable ($F(Suc n)$)
and $g \in$ measurable ($F n$) N
and set-discriminating $n g N$
and $g -^{\prime} \{g w\} \in$ sets ($F n$)
and $\forall y z. (g y = g z \wedge snth y n = snth z n) \rightarrow f y = f z$
and $0 < p$
and $p < 1$
shows expl-cond-expect $M g f w = p * f(pseudo-proj-True n w) + (1 - p) * f(pseudo-proj-False n w)$
proof –
have nz:prob ($g -^{\prime} \{g w\}$) $\neq 0$
proof –
have pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\} \subseteq g -^{\prime} \{g w\}$
proof –
have $\forall f n m s. f \notin F n \rightarrow_M m \vee \neg$ set-discriminating $n f m \vee$ pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} f -^{\prime} \{f s::'a\} = f -^{\prime} \{f s\}$
by (meson pseudo-proj-preimage')
then show ?thesis using assms by blast
qed
moreover have prob (pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\} > 0$)
using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob-pos
pseudo-proj-True-preimage-stake bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space emeasure-eq-measure
pseudo-proj-True-proj assms by auto
moreover have pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\} \in$ sets M
using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space pseudo-proj-True-proj pseudo-proj-True-singleton
by auto
moreover have $g -^{\prime} \{g w\} \in$ events using assms natural-filtration nat-filtration-subalgebra
unfold subalgebra-def by blast
ultimately show ?thesis using measure-increasing increasingD
proof –
have $g -^{\prime} \{g w\} \notin$ events \vee pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\}$
 \notin events \vee prob (pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\}$) \leq prob ($g -^{\prime} \{g w\}$)
using ‹pseudo-proj-True $n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\} \subseteq g -^{\prime} \{g w\}$ ›
increasingD measure-increasing by blast
then show ?thesis
using ‹ $0 < prob(pseudo-proj-True n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\})$ › ‹ $g -^{\prime} \{g w\} \in$ events› ‹ $pseudo-proj-True n -^{\prime} \{pseudo-proj-True n w\} \in$ events› by linarith
qed
qed
hence expl-cond-expect $M g f w =$
expectation ($\lambda x. f x * indicator(g -^{\prime} \{g w\} \cap space M) x$) /
prob ($g -^{\prime} \{g w\} \cap space M$) unfolding expl-cond-expect-def img-dce-def
by simp

```

also have ... = expectation ( $\lambda x. f x * \text{indicator} (g -' \{g w\}) x) / \text{prob} (g -' \{g w\})$ 
```

using bernoulli **by** (simp add:bernoulli-stream-space)

```

also have ... = prob ( $g -' \{g w\}$ ) * ( $p * f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) +$ 
 $(1 - p) * f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$ ) / prob ( $g -' \{g w\}$ )
```

proof –

```

have expectation ( $\lambda x. f x * \text{indicator} (g -' \{g w\}) x) = \text{prob} (g -' \{g w\}) *$ 
( $p * f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) +$ 
 $(1 - p) * f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$ )
```

proof (rule borel-Suc-expectation)

```

show  $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(F(\text{Suc } n))$  using assms by simp
show  $g \in F n \rightarrow_M N$  using assms by simp
show set-discriminating  $n g N$  using assms by simp
show  $g -' \{g w\} \in \text{sets}(F n)$  using assms by simp
show  $\forall y z. g y = g z \wedge y !! n = z !! n \longrightarrow f y = f z$  using assms(5) by blast
qed
```

thus ?thesis **by** simp

qed

```

also have ... =  $p * f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - p) * f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$  using nz by simp
finally show ?thesis .
```

qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) f-borel-Suc-real-cond-exp:

assumes $f \in \text{borel-measurable}(F(\text{Suc } n))$

and $g \in \text{measurable}(F n) N$

and set-discriminating $n g N$

and $\forall w. g -' \{g w\} \in \text{sets}(F n)$

and $\forall r \in \text{range } g \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } g \cap A = \{r\}$

and $\forall y z. (g y = g z \wedge \text{snth } y n = \text{snth } z n) \longrightarrow f y = f z$

and $0 < p$

and $p < 1$

shows $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N g) f w = p * f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - p) * f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$

proof –

have $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M N g) f w = \text{expl-cond-expect } M g f w$

proof (rule charact-cond-exp')

show disc-fct g

proof –

have $g = g \circ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$ **using** nat-filtration-not-borel-info[of $g n$]

assms natural-filtration **by** simp

have disc-fct ($\text{pseudo-proj-True } n$) unfolding disc-fct-def **using** pseudo-proj-True-finite-image by (simp add: countable-finite)

hence disc-fct ($g \circ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n)$) unfolding disc-fct-def by (metis countable-image image-comp)

thus ?thesis **using** $\langle g = g \circ (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \rangle$ **by** simp

qed

```

show integrable M f using assms nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable nat-
ural-filtration by simp
show random-variable N g using assms filtration-measurable natural-filtration
nat-filtration-subalgebra
using nat-discrete-filtration by blast
show  $\forall r \in \text{range } g \cap \text{space } N. \exists A \in \text{sets } N. \text{range } g \cap A = \{r\}$  using assms by
simp
qed
moreover have  $\bigwedge w. \text{expl-cond-expect } M g f w = p * f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w)$ 
 $+ (1 - p) * f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$ 
using assms f-borel-Suc-expl-cond-expect by blast
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) f-borel-Suc-real-cond-exp-proj:
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc n))
and 0 < p
and p < 1
shows AE w in M. real-cond-exp M (fct-gen-subalgebra M M (pseudo-proj-True
n)) f w =
 $p * f (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - p) * f (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$ 
proof (rule f-borel-Suc-real-cond-exp)
show f ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc n)) using assms by simp
show pseudo-proj-True n ∈ F n →M M
by (simp add: nat-filtration-pseudo-proj-True-measurable natural-filtration)
show  $\forall w. \text{pseudo-proj-True } n - ` \{\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w\} \in \text{sets } (F n)$ 
proof
fix w
show pseudo-proj-True n - ` {pseudo-proj-True n w} ∈ sets (F n)
by (simp add: nat-filtration-singleton natural-filtration pseudo-proj-True-proj)
qed
show  $\forall r \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \cap \text{space } M. \exists A \in \text{events}. \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n) \cap A = \{r\}$ 
proof (intro ballI)
fix r
assume r ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ space M
hence r ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) and r ∈ space M by auto
hence pseudo-proj-True n r = r using pseudo-proj-True-proj by auto
hence (pseudo-proj-True n) - ` {r} ∩ space M ∈ sets M using pseudo-proj-True-singleton
bernoulli by simp
moreover have range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ ((pseudo-proj-True n) - ` {r} ∩
space M) = {r}
proof
have r ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {r} ∩ space
M)
using ‹pseudo-proj-True n r = r› ‹r ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)› ‹r ∈
space M› by blast
thus {r} ⊆ range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {r} ∩ space
M) by auto

```

```

show range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {r} ∩ space M)
subseteq {r}
proof
fix x
assume x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {r} ∩ space M)
hence x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n) and x ∈ (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {r})
by auto
have x = pseudo-proj-True n x using <x ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n)>
pseudo-proj-True-proj by auto
also have ... = r using <x ∈ (pseudo-proj-True n - ` {r})> by simp
finally have x = r .
thus x ∈ {r} by simp
qed
qed
ultimately show ∃ A ∈ events. range (pseudo-proj-True n) ∩ A = {r} by auto
qed
show ∀ y z. pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z ∧ y !! n = z !! n →
f y = f z
proof (intro allI impI conjI)
fix y z
assume pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z ∧ y !! n = z !! n
hence pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z and snth y n = snth z n
by auto
hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) z unfolding
pseudo-proj-True-def
by (metis (full-types) <pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z> pseudo-proj-True-same-img
stake-Suc)
thus f y = f z using nat-filtration-info assms natural-filtration by (metis
comp-apply)
qed
show set-discriminating n (pseudo-proj-True n) M unfolding set-discriminating-def
using pseudo-proj-True-proj by simp
show 0 < p and p < 1 using assms by auto
qed

```

5.4 Images of stochastic processes by prefixes of streams

We define a function that, given a stream of coin tosses and a stochastic process, returns a stream of the values of the stochastic process up to a given time. This function will be used to characterize the smallest filtration that, at any time n , makes each random variable of a given stochastic process measurable up to time n .

5.4.1 Definitions

```

primrec smap-stoch-proc where
smap-stoch-proc 0 f k w = []

```

| *smap-stoch-proc* (*Suc n*) *f k w* = (*f k w*) # (*smap-stoch-proc n f (Suc k) w*)

lemma *smap-stoch-proc-length*:

shows *length (smap-stoch-proc n f k w)* = *n*
 by (*induction n arbitrary:k*) *auto*

lemma *smap-stoch-proc-nth*:

shows *Suc p ≤ Suc n* ==> *nth (smap-stoch-proc (Suc n) f k w) p = f (k+p) w*

proof (*induction n arbitrary:p k*)

case 0

hence *p = 0* **by** *simp*

hence (*smap-stoch-proc (Suc 0) f k w*) ! *p* = ((*f k w*) # (*smap-stoch-proc 0 f (Suc k) w*))!0 **by** *simp*

also have ... = *f (k+p) w* **using** {*p=0*} **by** *simp*

finally show ?*case* .

next

case (*Suc n*)

show ?*case*

proof (*cases ∃ m. p = Suc m*)

case *True*

from this obtain *m where p = Suc m* **by** *auto*

hence *smap-stoch-proc (Suc (Suc n)) f k w ! p* = (*smap-stoch-proc (Suc n) f (Suc k) w*)! *m* **by** *simp*

also have ... = *f ((Suc k) + m) w* **using** *Suc(1)[of m] Suc.prems {p = Suc m}*

by *blast*

also have ... = *f (k + (Suc m)) w* **by** *simp*

finally show *smap-stoch-proc (Suc (Suc n)) f k w ! p* = *f (k + p) w* **using** {*p = Suc m*} **by** *simp*

next

case *False*

hence *p = 0* **using** *less-Suc-eq-0-disj* **by** *blast*

thus *smap-stoch-proc (Suc (Suc n)) f k w ! p* = *f (k+p) w* **by** *simp*

qed

qed

definition *proj-stoch-proc* **where**

proj-stoch-proc f n = ($\lambda w. \text{shift} (\text{smap-stoch-proc } n \text{ } f \text{ } 0 \text{ } w) (\text{sconst} (f \text{ } n \text{ } w))$)

lemma *proj-stoch-proc-component*:

shows *k < n* ==> (*snth (proj-stoch-proc f n w) k*) = *f k w*

and *n ≤ k* ==> (*snth (proj-stoch-proc f n w) k*) = *f n w*

proof –

show *k < n* ==> *proj-stoch-proc f n w !! k* = *f k w*

proof –

assume *k < n*

```

hence  $\exists m. n = Suc m$  using less-imp-Suc-add by blast
from this obtain m where  $n = Suc m$  by auto
  have proj-stoch-proc  $f n w !! k = (smap-stoch-proc n f 0 w) ! k$  unfolding
proj-stoch-proc-def
  using  $\langle k < n \rangle$  by (simp add: smap-stoch-proc-length)
  also have ... =  $f k w$  using  $\langle n = Suc m \rangle \langle k < n \rangle$  smap-stoch-proc-nth
    by (metis Suc-leI add.left-neutral)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
show  $n \leq k \implies (snth (proj-stoch-proc f n w) k) = f n w$ 
proof -
  assume  $n \leq k$ 
  hence proj-stoch-proc  $f n w !! k = (sconst (f n w)) !! (k - n)$ 
    by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-def smap-stoch-proc-length)
  also have ... =  $f n w$  by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

lemma proj-stoch-proc-component':
assumes  $k \leq n$ 
shows  $f k x = snth (proj-stoch-proc f n x) k$ 
proof (cases  $k < n$ )
  case True
  thus ?thesis using proj-stoch-proc-component[of k n f x] assms by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $k = n$  using assms by simp
  thus ?thesis using proj-stoch-proc-component[of k n f x] assms by simp
qed

lemma proj-stoch-proc-eq-snth:
assumes proj-stoch-proc  $f n x = proj-stoch-proc f n y$ 
and  $k \leq n$ 
shows  $f k x = f k y$ 
proof -
  have  $f k x = snth (proj-stoch-proc f n x) k$  using assms proj-stoch-proc-component'[of
 $k n f$ ] by simp
  also have ... =  $snth (proj-stoch-proc f n y) k$  using assms by simp
  also have ... =  $f k y$  using assms proj-stoch-proc-component'[of  $k n f$ ] by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma proj-stoch-measurable-if-adapted:
assumes filtration M F
and adapt-stoch-proc F f N
shows proj-stoch-proc  $f n \in measurable M$  (stream-space N)
proof (rule measurable-stream-space2)
fix m

```

```

show ( $\lambda x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m) \in M \rightarrow_M N$ 
proof (cases  $m < n$ )
  case True
  hence  $\forall x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m = f m x$  by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
  hence  $(\lambda x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m) = f m$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def filtration-def
    by (metis measurable-from-subalg)
next
  case False
  hence  $\forall x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m = f n x$  by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
  hence  $(\lambda x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m) = f n$  by simp
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def filtration-def
    by (metis measurable-from-subalg)
qed
qed

lemma proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted:
  assumes filtration M F
  and adapt-stoch-proc F f N
  shows proj-stoch-proc f n ∈ measurable (F n) (stream-space N)
proof (rule measurable-stream-space2)
  fix m
  show ( $\lambda x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m) \in \text{measurable} (F n) N$ 
  proof (cases  $m < n$ )
    case True
    hence  $\forall x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m = f m x$  by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
    hence  $(\lambda x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m) = f m$  by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def filtration-def
      by (metis True measurable-from-subalg not-less order.asym)
  next
    case False
    hence  $\forall x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m = f n x$  by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
    hence  $(\lambda x. \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n x !! m) = f n$  by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by metis
  qed
qed

lemma proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted':
  assumes filtration M F
  and adapt-stoch-proc F f N
  shows adapt-stoch-proc F (proj-stoch-proc f) (stream-space N) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix n
  show proj-stoch-proc f n ∈ F n →M stream-space N using assms by (simp add:
    proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted)
qed

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-proj-invariant:

```

fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ 'b:{t0-space}
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
shows proj-stoch-proc X n w = proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)
proof -
  have ⋀m. snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) m = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)) m
  proof -
    fix m
    show snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) m = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)) m
    proof (cases m < n)
      case True
      hence snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) m = X m w by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
      also have ... = X m (pseudo-proj-True n w)
      proof (rule borel-adapt-nat-filtration-info[symmetric], (simp add:assms))
        show m ≤ n using True by linarith
      qed
      also have ... = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)) m using
        True
        by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
      finally show ?thesis .
    next
      case False
      hence snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) m = X n w by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
      also have ... = X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)
      by (rule borel-adapt-nat-filtration-info[symmetric]) (auto simp add:assms)
      also have ... = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)) m using
        False
        by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component)
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed
  qed
  thus proj-stoch-proc X n w = proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)
    using diff-streams-only-if by auto
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-set-finite-range:
fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ 'b:{t0-space}
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
shows finite (range (proj-stoch-proc X n))
proof -
  have finite (range (pseudo-proj-True n)) using pseudo-proj-True-finite-image by
    simp
  moreover have proj-stoch-proc X n = (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∘ (pseudo-proj-True n)
  proof
    fix x
    show proj-stoch-proc X n x = (proj-stoch-proc X n ∘ pseudo-proj-True n) x
      using assms proj-stoch-proj-invariant by (metis comp-apply)
  qed
qed

```

```

qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (metis finite-imageI fun.set-map)
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-set-discriminating:
  fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ 'b::{t0-space}
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
  shows set-discriminating n (proj-stoch-proc X n) N
proof -
  have ∀ w. proj-stoch-proc X n w = proj-stoch-proc X n (pseudo-proj-True n w)
    using assms proj-stoch-proj-invariant by auto
  thus ?thesis unfolding set-discriminating-def by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-preimage:
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
  shows (proj-stoch-proc X n) -` {proj-stoch-proc X n w} = (⋂ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. (X i) -` {X i w})
proof
  define psX where psX = proj-stoch-proc X n
  show proj-stoch-proc X n -` {proj-stoch-proc X n w} ⊆ (⋂ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. X i -` {X i w})
  proof
    fix x
    assume x ∈ proj-stoch-proc X n -` {proj-stoch-proc X n w}
    hence psX x = psX w unfolding psX-def using assms by simp
    hence ⋀ i. i ∈ {m. m ≤ n} ⇒ x ∈ (X i) -` {X i w}
  proof
    fix i
    assume i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}
    hence i ≤ n by auto
    have X i x = snth (psX x) i unfolding psX-def
      by (metis Suc-le-eq Suc-le-mono ⟨i ≤ n⟩ le-Suc-eq nat.simps(1) proj-stoch-proc-component(1)
          proj-stoch-proc-component(2))
    also have ... = snth (psX w) i using ⟨psX x = psX w⟩ by simp
    also have ... = X i w unfolding psX-def
      by (metis Suc-le-eq Suc-le-mono ⟨i ≤ n⟩ le-Suc-eq nat.simps(1) proj-stoch-proc-component(1)
          proj-stoch-proc-component(2))
    finally have X i x = X i w .
    thus x ∈ (X i) -` {X i w} by simp
  qed
  thus x ∈ (⋂ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. (X i) -` {X i w}) by auto
qed
show (⋂ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. (X i) -` {X i w}) ⊆ (proj-stoch-proc X n) -` {proj-stoch-proc
X n w}
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ (⋂ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. (X i) -` {X i w})

```

```

hence  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{m. m \leq n\} \implies x \in (X i) - ' \{X i w\}$  by simp
hence  $\bigwedge i. i \in \{m. m \leq n\} \implies X i x = X i w$  by simp
hence  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies X i x = X i w$  by auto
hence  $psX x = psX w$  unfolding  $psX\text{-def}$ 
      by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) diff-streams-only-if linear-not-le order-refl
           proj-stoch-proc-component(1) proj-stoch-proc-component(2))
thus  $x \in (proj\text{-stoch}\text{-proc } X n) - ' \{proj\text{-stoch}\text{-proc } X n w\}$  unfolding  $psX\text{-def}$ 
by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-singleton-set:

```

fixes  $X::nat \Rightarrow \text{bool stream} \Rightarrow ('b::t2\text{-space})$ 
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc  $F X$ 
shows  $(proj\text{-stoch}\text{-proc } X n) - ' \{proj\text{-stoch}\text{-proc } X n w\} \in \text{sets } (F n)$ 
proof -
have  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (X i) \in \text{measurable } (F n)$  borel
      by (meson adapt-stoch-proc-def assms increasing-measurable-info)
have  $(\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. (X i) - ' \{X i w\}) \in \text{sets } (F n)$ 
proof ((rule sigma-algebra.countable-INT''), auto)
show sigma-algebra (space (F n)) (sets (F n))
using measure-space measure-space-def by auto
show UNIV  $\in \text{sets } (F n)$ 
using <sigma-algebra (space (F n)) (sets (F n))> nat-filtration-space natural-filtration
sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-eq sigma-sets-top by fastforce
have  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (X i) - ' \{X i w\} \in \text{sets } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$ 
proof (rule nat-filtration-borel-measurable-singleton)
fix i
assume  $i \leq n$ 
show  $X i \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } n)$  using assms natural-filtration
unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
using < $i \leq n$ > increasing-measurable-info by blast
qed
thus  $\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies (X i) - ' \{X i w\} \in \text{sets } (F n)$  using natural-filtration by
simp
qed
thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add: proj-stoch-preimage)
qed

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) finite-range-stream-space:

```

fixes  $f::'a \Rightarrow 'b::t1\text{-space}$ 
assumes finite (range f)
shows  $(\lambda w. \text{snth } w i) - ' (\text{open-exclude-set } (f x) (\text{range } f)) \in \text{sets } (\text{stream-space borel})$ 
proof -
define opex where  $opex = \text{open-exclude-set } (f x) (\text{range } f)$ 

```

```

have open opex and opex ∩ (range f) = {f x} using assms unfolding opex-def
by
  (auto simp add:open-exclude-finite)
  hence opex ∈ sets borel by simp
  hence vim: (λw. snth w i) –‘ opex ∈ sets (vimage-algebra (streams (space borel)))
  (λw. snth w i) borel
    by (metis in-vimage-algebra inf-top.right-neutral space-borel streams-UNIV)
  have (λw. snth w i) –‘ opex ∈ sets (⊔ i. vimage-algebra (streams (space borel)))
  (λw. snth w i) borel
    proof (rule in-sets-SUP, simp)
      show ∀i. i ∈ UNIV ==> space (vimage-algebra (streams (space borel))) (λw. w
      !! i) borel =
        UNIV by simp
      show (λw. w !! i) –‘ opex ∈ sets (vimage-algebra (streams (space borel))) (λw.
      w !! i) borel
        using vim by simp
    qed
    thus ?thesis using sets-stream-space-eq unfolding opex-def by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-range-singleton:
  fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ ('b::t2-space)
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
  and r ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n)
  shows ∃ A ∈ sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ A = {r}
  proof –
    have ∃ x. r = proj-stoch-proc X n x using assms by auto
    from this obtain x where r = proj-stoch-proc X n x by auto
    have ∀i. i ≤ n ==> (X i) ∈ measurable (F n) borel
      by (meson adapt-stoch-proc-def assms increasing-measurable-info)
    hence fin: ∀i. i ≤ n ==> finite (range (X i))
      by (metis bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space nat-filtration-vimage-finite natu-
      ral-filtration streams-UNIV)
    show ?thesis
    proof
      define cand where cand = (∩ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. (λw. snth w i) –‘ (open-exclude-set
      (X i x) (range (X i))))
      show cand ∈ sets (stream-space borel) unfolding cand-def
      proof ((rule sigma-algebra.countable-INT'), auto)
        show UNIV ∈ sets (stream-space borel) by (metis space-borel streams-UNIV
        streams-stream-space)
        show sigma-algebra (space (stream-space borel)) (sets (stream-space borel))
          by (simp add: sets.sigma-algebra-axioms)
        show ∀i. i ≤ n ==> (λw. w !! i) –‘ open-exclude-set (X i x) (range (X i)) ∈
        sets (stream-space borel)
      proof –
        fix i
        assume i ≤ n
        thus (λw. w !! i) –‘ open-exclude-set (X i x) (range (X i)) ∈ sets (stream-space

```

```

borel)
  using fin by (simp add:finite-range-stream-space)
qed
qed
have range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ cand = {proj-stoch-proc X n x}
proof
  have proj-stoch-proc X n x ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ cand
  proof
    show proj-stoch-proc X n x ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n) by simp
    show proj-stoch-proc X n x ∈ cand unfolding cand-def
    proof
      fix i
      assume i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}
      hence i ≤ n by simp
      hence snth (proj-stoch-proc X n x) i = X i x
        by (metis le-antisym not-less proj-stoch-proc-component)
      also have ... ∈ open-exclude-set (X i x) (range (X i)) using assms
      open-exclude-finite(2)
        by (metis IntE ‹i ≤ n› fin insert-iff rangeI)
      finally have snth (proj-stoch-proc X n x) i ∈ open-exclude-set (X i x)
      (range (X i)) .
      thus proj-stoch-proc X n x ∈ (λw. w !! i) -` open-exclude-set (X i x)
      (range (X i)) by simp
    qed
    qed
    thus {proj-stoch-proc X n x} ⊆ range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ cand by simp
    show range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ cand ⊆ {proj-stoch-proc X n x}
    proof
      fix z
      assume z ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ cand
      hence ∃y. z = proj-stoch-proc X n y by auto
      from this obtain y where z = proj-stoch-proc X n y by auto
      hence proj-stoch-proc X n y ∈ cand using ‹z ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n)
      ∩ cand› by simp
      have ∀i. i ≤ n → X i y = X i x
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix i
        assume i ≤ n
        hence X i y = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n y) i
          by (metis le-antisym not-less proj-stoch-proc-component)
        also have ... ∈ open-exclude-set (X i x) (range (X i))
          using ‹proj-stoch-proc X n y ∈ cand› ‹i ≤ n› unfolding cand-def by
        simp
        finally have X i y ∈ open-exclude-set (X i x) (range (X i)) .
        thus X i y = X i x using assms using assms open-exclude-finite(2)
          by (metis Int-iff ‹i ≤ n› empty-iff fin insert-iff rangeI)
      qed
      hence ∀i. snth (proj-stoch-proc X n y) i = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n x) i
        using proj-stoch-proc-component by (metis nat-le-linear not-less)
    qed
  qed

```

```

hence proj-stoch-proc X n y = proj-stoch-proc X n x
  using diff-streams-only-if by auto
thus z ∈ {proj-stoch-proc X n x} using ⟨z = proj-stoch-proc X n y⟩ by auto
qed
qed
thus range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ cand = {r} using ⟨r = proj-stoch-proc X
n x⟩ by simp
qed
qed

definition (in infinite-cts-filtration) stream-space-single where
stream-space-single X r = (if (∃ U. U ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ U ∩ (range X)
= {r}) then SOME U. U ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ U ∩ (range X) = {r} else {})

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) stream-space-singleI:
assumes ∃ U. U ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ U ∩ (range X) = {r}
shows stream-space-single X r ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ stream-space-single
X r ∩ (range X) = {r}
proof -
let ?V = SOME U. U ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ U ∩ (range X) = {r}
have vprop: ?V ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ ?V ∩ (range X) = {r} using
someI-ex[of λU. U ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ U ∩ (range X) = {r}]
assms by blast
show ?thesis by (simp add:stream-space-single-def vprop assms)
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration)
fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ ('b::t2-space)
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
and r ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n)
shows stream-space-single-set: stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) r ∈ sets
(stream-space borel)
and stream-space-single-preimage: stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) r ∩
range (proj-stoch-proc X n) = {r}
proof -
have ∃ A ∈ sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc X n) ∩ A = {r}
by (simp add: assms proj-stoch-range-singleton)
hence ∃ U. U ∈ sets (stream-space borel) ∧ U ∩ range (proj-stoch-proc X n) =
{r} by auto
hence a: stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) r ∈ sets (stream-space borel)
∧
stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) r ∩ (range (proj-stoch-proc X n)) =
{r} using stream-space-singleI[of proj-stoch-proc X n] by simp
thus stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) r ∈ sets (stream-space borel) by
simp
show stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) r ∩ range (proj-stoch-proc X n)
= {r} using a by simp

```

qed

5.4.2 Induced filtration, relationship with filtration generated by underlying stochastic process

definition comp-proj-i where

comp-proj-i X n i y = {z ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n). snth z i = y}

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) comp-proj-i-finite:

fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ 'b:{t0-space}

assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X

shows finite (comp-proj-i X n i y)

proof –

have finite (range (proj-stoch-proc X n))

using proj-stoch-set-finite-range[of X] assms by simp

thus ?thesis unfolding comp-proj-i-def by simp

qed

lemma stoch-proc-comp-proj-i-preimage:

assumes i ≤ n

shows (X i) −‘ {X i x} = (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). (proj-stoch-proc X n) −‘ {z})

proof

show X i −‘ {X i x} ⊆ (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z})

proof

fix w

assume w ∈ X i −‘ {X i x}

hence X i w = X i x by simp

hence snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) i = X i x using assms

by (metis le-neq-implies-less proj-stoch-proc-component(1) proj-stoch-proc-component(2))

hence (proj-stoch-proc X n w) ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x) unfolding comp-proj-i-def

by simp

moreover have w ∈ proj-stoch-proc X i −‘ {proj-stoch-proc X i w} by simp

ultimately show w ∈ (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z}) by simp

qed

show (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z}) ⊆ X i −‘ {X i x}

proof –

have ∀ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z} ⊆ X i −‘ {X i x}

proof

fix z

assume z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x)

hence z ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n) and snth z i = X i x unfolding comp-proj-i-def by auto

show proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z} ⊆ X i −‘ {X i x}

proof

```

fix w
assume w∈proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z}
have X i w = snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) i using assms
by (metis le-neq-implies-less proj-stoch-proc-component(1) proj-stoch-proc-component(2))
also have ... = snth z i using ⟨w∈proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z}⟩ by auto
also have ... = X i x using ⟨snth z i = X i x⟩ by simp
finally have X i w = X i x .
thus w∈ X i −‘ {X i x} by simp
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

definition comp-proj where
comp-proj X n y = {z ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n). snth z n = y}

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) comp-proj-finite:
fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ 'b::{'t0-space}
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
shows finite (comp-proj X n y)
proof –
have finite (range (proj-stoch-proc X n))
using proj-stoch-set-finite-range[of X] assms by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding comp-proj-def by simp
qed

lemma stoch-proc-comp-proj-preimage:
shows (X n) −‘ {X n x} = (⋃ z∈comp-proj X n (X n x). proj-stoch-proc X n)
−‘ {z})
proof
show X n −‘ {X n x} ⊆ (⋃ z∈comp-proj X n (X n x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z})
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ X n −‘ {X n x}
hence X n w = X n x by simp
hence snth (proj-stoch-proc X n w) n = X n x by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component(2))
hence (proj-stoch-proc X n w) ∈ comp-proj X n (X n x) unfolding comp-proj-def
by simp
moreover have w ∈ proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {proj-stoch-proc X n w} by simp
ultimately show w ∈ (⋃ z∈comp-proj X n (X n x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z})
by simp
qed
show (⋃ z∈comp-proj X n (X n x). proj-stoch-proc X n −‘ {z}) ⊆ X n −‘ {X n x}

```

```

x}
proof -
  have  $\forall z \in \text{comp-proj } X n (X n x). \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{z\} \subseteq X n -` \{X n x\}$ 
proof
  fix  $z$ 
  assume  $z \in \text{comp-proj } X n (X n x)$ 
  hence  $z \in \text{range } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$  and  $\text{snth } z n = X n x$  unfolding
 $\text{comp-proj-def by auto}$ 
  show  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{z\} \subseteq X n -` \{X n x\}$ 
proof
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{z\}$ 
  have  $X n w = \text{snth } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n w) n$  by (simp add: proj-stoch-proc-component(2))
  also have ...  $= \text{snth } z n$  using  $\langle w \in \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{z\} \rangle$  by auto
  also have ...  $= X n x$  using  $\langle \text{snth } z n = X n x \rangle$  by simp
  finally have  $X n w = X n x$ .
  thus  $w \in X n -` \{X n x\}$  by simp
qed
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

lemma comp-proj-stoch-proc-preimage:
  shows  $(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n) -` \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x\} = (\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. (X i) -` \{X i x\})$ 
proof
  show  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x\} \subseteq (\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. X i -` \{X i x\})$ 
proof
  fix  $z$ 
  assume  $z \in \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x\}$ 
  hence  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n z = \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x$  by simp
  hence  $\forall i \leq n. X i z = X i x$  using proj-stoch-proc-component by (metis less-le)
  hence  $\forall i \leq n. z \in X i -` \{X i x\}$  by simp
  thus  $z \in (\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. X i -` \{X i x\})$  by simp
qed
  show  $(\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. X i -` \{X i x\}) \subseteq \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n -` \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x\}$ 
proof
  fix  $z$ 
  assume  $z \in (\bigcap i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. X i -` \{X i x\})$ 
  hence  $\forall i \leq n. X i z = X i x$  by auto
  hence  $\forall i. \text{snth } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n z) i = \text{snth } (\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x) i$ 
    using proj-stoch-proc-component by (metis nat-le-linear not-less)
  hence  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n z = \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x$  using diff-streams-only-if
by auto
```

```

thus z ∈ proj-stoch-proc X n - ` {proj-stoch-proc X n x} by simp
qed
qed

```

definition stoch-proc-filt where

stoch-proc-filt M X N (n::nat) = gen-subalgebra M (sigma-sets (space M) (UN i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {(X i - ` A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets N}))

lemma stoch-proc-filt-space:

shows space (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) = space M unfolding stoch-proc-filt-def
by (simp add:gen-subalgebra-space)

lemma stoch-proc-filt-sets:

assumes ∀i. i ≤ n ==> (X i) ∈ measurable M N

shows sets (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) = (sigma-sets (space M) (UN i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {(X i - ` A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets N}))

unfolding stoch-proc-filt-def

proof (rule gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets)

show sigma-algebra (space M) (sigma-sets (space M) (UN i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {X i - ` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets N})) using assms

by (simp add: adapt-sigma-sets)

show sigma-sets (space M) (UN i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {X i - ` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets N}) ⊆ sets M

proof (rule sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset, rule Sigma-Algebra.sets.sigma-algebra-axioms,
rule UN-subset-iff[THEN iffD2], intro ballI)

fix i

assume i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}

thus {X i - ` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets N} ⊆ sets M using assms measurable-sets by blast

qed

qed

lemma stoch-proc-filt-adapt:

assumes ∀n. X n ∈ measurable M N

shows adapt-stoch-proc (stoch-proc-filt M X N) X N unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def

proof

fix m

show X m ∈ measurable (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) N unfolding measurable-def

proof ((intro CollectI), (intro conjI))

have space (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) = space M by (simp add: stoch-proc-filt-space)

thus X m ∈ space (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) → space N using assms unfolding

```

measurable-def by simp
  show ∀ y ∈ sets N. X m - ` y ∩ space (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) ∈ sets (stoch-proc-filt
M X N m)
  proof
    fix B
    assume B ∈ sets N
    have X m - ` B ∩ space (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) = X m - ` B ∩ space M
      using <space (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) = space M> by simp
    also have ... ∈ (⋃ i ∈ {p. p ≤ m}. {(X i - ` A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets N
}) using <B ∈ sets N> by auto
      also have ... ⊆ sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {p. p ≤ m}. {(X i - ` A) ∩ (space
M) | A. A ∈ sets N }) by auto
      also have ... = sets (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) using assms stoch-proc-filt-sets
by blast
    finally show X m - ` B ∩ space (stoch-proc-filt M X N m) ∈ sets (stoch-proc-filt
M X N m) .
  qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma stoch-proc-filt-disc-filtr:
  assumes ⋀ i. (X i) ∈ measurable M N
  shows disc-filtr M (stoch-proc-filt M X N) unfolding disc-filtr-def
  proof (intro conjI allI impI)
  {
    fix n
    show subalgebra M (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) unfolding subalgebra-def
    proof
      show space (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) = space M by (simp add:stoch-proc-filt-space)
      show sets (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) ⊆ sets M
      proof -
        have sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {X i - ` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈
sets N}) ⊆ sets M
        proof (rule sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset, rule Sigma-Algebra.sets.sigma-algebra-axioms,
rule UN-subset-iff[THEN iffD2], intro ballI)
          fix i
          assume i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}
          thus {X i - ` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets N} ⊆ sets M using assms
measurable-sets by blast
        qed
        thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add:stoch-proc-filt-sets)
      qed
      qed
    }
    {
      fix n
      fix p
    }
  
```

```

assume (n::nat) ≤ p
show subalgebra (stoch-proc-filt M X N p) (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) unfolding
subalgebra-def
proof
have space (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) = space M by (simp add: stoch-proc-filt-space)
also have ... = space (stoch-proc-filt M X N p) by (simp add: stoch-proc-filt-space)
finally show space (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) = space (stoch-proc-filt M X N p)

show sets (stoch-proc-filt M X N n) ⊆ sets (stoch-proc-filt M X N p)
proof -
have sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i∈{m.. m ≤ n}. {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N}) ⊆
sets N})
show sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i∈{m.. m ≤ p}. {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N})
proof (rule sigma-sets-mono')
show (⋃ i∈{m.. m ≤ n}. {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N}) ⊆ (⋃ i∈{m.. m ≤ p}. {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N})
proof (rule UN-subset-iff[THEN iffD2], intro ballI)
fix i
assume i ∈ {m.. m ≤ n}
show {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N} ⊆ (⋃ i∈{m.. m ≤ p}. {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets N})
using ⟨i ∈ {m.. m ≤ n}, n ≤ p⟩ order-trans by auto
qed
qed
thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add: stoch-proc-filt-sets)
qed
qed
}
qed

```

```

lemma gen-subalgebra-eq-space-sets:
assumes space M = space N
and P = Q
and P ⊆ Pow (space M)
shows sets (gen-subalgebra M P) = sets (gen-subalgebra N Q) unfolding gen-subalgebra-def
using assms by simp

```

```

lemma stoch-proc-filt-eq-sets:
assumes space M = space N
shows sets (stoch-proc-filt M X P n) = sets (stoch-proc-filt N X P n) unfolding
stoch-proc-filt-def
proof (rule gen-subalgebra-eq-space-sets, (simp add: assms)+)
show sigma-sets (space N) (⋃ x∈{m.. m ≤ n}. {X x -` A ∩ space N |A. A ∈ sets P}) ⊆ Pow (space N)
proof (rule sigma-algebra.sigma-sets-subset)
show sigma-algebra (space N) (Pow (space N)) by (simp add: sigma-algebra-Pow)
show (⋃ x∈{m.. m ≤ n}. {X x -` A ∩ space N |A. A ∈ sets P}) ⊆ Pow (space N)

```

```

N) by auto
qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) stoch-proc-filt-triv-init:
  fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ real
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration X
  shows init-triv-filt M (stoch-proc-filt M X borel) unfolding init-triv-filt-def
proof
  show filtration M (stoch-proc-filt M X borel) using stoch-proc-filt-disc-filtr unfolding filtration-def
    by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def assms disc-filtr-def measurable-from-subalg nat-filtration-subalgebra)
  show sets (stoch-proc-filt M X borel bot) = {{}, space M}
  proof –
    have seteq: sets (stoch-proc-filt M X borel 0) =
      (sigma-sets (space M) (UN i ∈ {m. m ≤ 0}. {(X i - 'A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets borel}))
    proof (rule stoch-proc-filt-sets)
      show ∀i. i ≤ 0 ⟹ random-variable borel (X i)
      proof –
        fix i::nat
        assume i ≤ 0
        show random-variable borel (X i) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
          using filtration-measurable nat-discrete-filtration
          using natural-filtration by blast
      qed
    qed
    have triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space M nat-filtration
      proof (unfold-locales, intro conjI)
        show disc-filtr M nat-filtration unfolding disc-filtr-def
          using filtrationE2 nat-discrete-filtration nat-filtration-subalgebra by auto
        show sets (nat-filtration ⊥) = {{}, space M} using nat-info-filtration unfolding init-triv-filt-def by simp
      qed
      hence ∃c. ∀w ∈ space M. ((X 0 w)::real) = c using assms
        triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space.adapted-init[of M nat-filtration X] by simp
      from this obtain c where img: ∀w ∈ space M. (X 0 w) = c by auto
      have (UN i ∈ {m. m ≤ 0}. {(X i - 'A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets borel}) =
        {(X 0 - 'A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets borel} by auto
      also have ... = {{}, space M}
      proof
        show {X 0 - 'A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel} ⊆ {{}, space M}
        proof –
          have ∀A ∈ sets borel. (X 0 - 'A) ∩ (space M) ∈ {{}, space M}
          proof
            fix A::real set
            assume A ∈ sets borel
            show (X 0 - 'A) ∩ (space M) ∈ {{}, space M}
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

proof (cases  $c \in A$ )
  case True
    hence  $X 0 -` A \cap space M = space M$  using img by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    hence  $X 0 -` A \cap space M = \{\}$  using img by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis by auto
qed
show  $\{\{\}, space M\} \subseteq \{X 0 -` A \cap space M \mid A. A \in sets borel\}$ 
proof -
  have  $\{\} \in \{X 0 -` A \cap space M \mid A. A \in sets borel\}$  by blast
  moreover have  $space M \in \{X 0 -` A \cap space M \mid A. A \in sets borel\}$ 
  proof -
    have  $UNIV \subseteq X 0 -` space borel$ 
    using space-borel by blast
    then show ?thesis
      using beroulli-stream-space by blast
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed
  finally have  $(\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq 0\}. \{(X i -` A) \cap (space M) \mid A. A \in sets borel\})$ 
=  $\{\{\}, space M\}$  .
  moreover have sigma-sets (space M) { {}, space M } = { {}, space M }
  proof -
    have sigma-sets (space M) { space M } = { {}, space M } by simp
    have sigma-sets (space M) (sigma-sets (space M) { space M }) = sigma-sets (space M) { space M }
      by (rule sigma-sets-sigma-sets-eq, simp)
    also have ... =  $\{\{\}, space M\}$  by simp
    finally show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using seteq by (simp add: bot-nat-def)
  qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) stream-space-borel-union:
fixes  $X :: nat \Rightarrow bool stream \Rightarrow ('b :: t2-space)$ 
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
and  $i \leq n$ 
and  $A \in sets borel$ 
shows  $\forall y \in A \cap range(X i). X i -` \{y\} = (proj-stoch-proc X n) -` (\bigcup z \in comp-proj-i X n i y.$ 
  (stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z))
proof

```

```

fix y
assume y ∈ A ∩ range (X i)
hence ∃ x. y = X i x by auto
from this obtain x where y = X i x by auto
hence X i - ' {y} = X i - ' {X i x} by simp
also have ... = (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). (proj-stoch-proc X n) - ' {z})
  using ⟨i ≤ n⟩ by (simp add: stoch-proc-comp-proj-i-preimage)
also have ... = (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). (proj-stoch-proc X n) - '
  (stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z))
proof -
have ∀ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x). (proj-stoch-proc X n) - ' {z} = (proj-stoch-proc
X n) - '
  (stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z)
proof
fix z
assume z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x)
have stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z ∩ range (proj-stoch-proc X
n) = {z}
  using stream-space-single-preimage assms
proof -
have z ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc X n)
using ⟨z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i (X i x)⟩ comp-proj-i-def by force
then show ?thesis
  by (meson assms stream-space-single-preimage)
qed
thus (proj-stoch-proc X n) - ' {z} = (proj-stoch-proc X n) - '
  (stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z) by auto
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
also have ... = proj-stoch-proc X n - ' (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i y. (stream-space-single
(proj-stoch-proc X n) z))
  by (simp add: ⟨y = X i x⟩ vimage-Union)
finally show X i - ' {y} = (proj-stoch-proc X n) - ' (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i y.
(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z)) using ⟨y = X i x⟩ by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) proj-stoch-pre-borel:
fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ ('b::t2-space)
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
shows proj-stoch-proc X n - ' {proj-stoch-proc X n x} ∈ sets (stoch-proc-filt M
X borel n)
proof -
have proj-stoch-proc X n - ' {proj-stoch-proc X n x} = (⋂ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. (X i)
- ' {X i x})
  by (simp add:comp-proj-stoch-proc-preimage)
also have ... ∈ sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {X i - ' A ∩ space M

```

```

|A. A ∈ sets borel})
proof -
  have inset: ∀ i≤n. (X i) − {X i x} ∈ {X i − ‘ A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel}
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix i
    assume i ≤ n
    have ∃ U. open U ∧ U∩ (range (X i)) = {X i x}
    proof -
      have ∃ U. open U ∧ X i x ∈ U ∧ U∩ ((range (X i)) − {X i x}) = {}
      proof (rule open-except-set)
        have finite (range (X i)) using assms
        by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
             nat-filtration-vimage-finite natural-filtration streams-UNIV)
        thus finite (range (X i) − {X i x}) by auto
        show X i x ∉ (range (X i)) − {X i x} by simp
      qed
      thus ?thesis using assms by auto
    qed
    from this obtain U where open U and U∩ (range (X i)) = {X i x} by
    auto
    have X i − ‘ {X i x} = X i − ‘ U using ⟨U∩ (range (X i)) = {X i x}⟩ by
    auto
    also have ... = X i − ‘ U ∩ space M using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
    by simp
    finally have X i − ‘ {X i x} = X i − ‘ U ∩ space M .
    moreover have U ∈ sets borel using ⟨open U⟩ by simp
    ultimately show (X i) − {X i x} ∈ {X i − ‘ A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel}
    by auto
    qed
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule sigma-set-inter-init)
      show (⋃ i∈{m..m ≤ n}. {X i − ‘ A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel}) ⊆ Pow
        (space M) by auto
      show ∀ i. i ≤ n ⇒ X i − ‘ {X i x} ∈ sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i∈{m..m ≤
        n}. {X i − ‘ A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel})
      using inset by (metis (no-types, lifting) UN-I mem-Collect-eq sigma-sets.Basic)
    qed
    qed
    also have ... = sets (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n)
    proof (rule stoch-proc-filt-sets[symmetric])
      fix i
      assume i ≤ n
      show random-variable borel (X i) using assms borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable
      by blast
      qed
      finally show proj-stoch-proc X n − ‘ {proj-stoch-proc X n x}
        ∈ sets (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n) .
    qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-cts-filtration) stoch-proc-filt-gen:
fixes X::nat ⇒ bool stream ⇒ ('b::t2-space)
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
shows stoch-proc-filt M X borel n = fct-gen-subalgebra M (stream-space borel)
(proj-stoch-proc X n)
proof -
have (⋃ i∈{m.. m ≤ n}. {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel})
    ⊆ {proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets (stream-space borel)}
proof (rule UN-subset-iff[THEN iffD2], intro ballI)
fix i
assume i∈ {m.. m≤n}
hence i ≤ n by simp
show {X i -` A ∩ space M |A. A ∈ sets borel} ⊆
    {proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets (stream-space borel)}
proof -
have ⋀ A. A ∈ sets borel ⇒ X i -` A ∩ space M ∈ {proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M |B. B ∈ sets (stream-space borel)}
proof -
fix A::'b set
assume A ∈ sets borel
have X i -` A ∩ space M = X i -` A using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
by simp
also have ... = X i -` (A ∩ range (X i)) by auto
also have ... = (⋃ y∈ A ∩ range (X i). X i -` {y}) by auto
also have ... = (⋃ y∈ A ∩ range (X i). (proj-stoch-proc X n) -` (⋃ z∈ comp-proj-i X n i y.
(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z))) using stream-space-borel-union
assms ⟨i≤n⟩ ⟨A∈sets borel⟩
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) image-cong)
also have ... = (proj-stoch-proc X n) -` (⋃ y∈ A ∩ range (X i). (⋃ z∈ comp-proj-i X n i y.
(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z))) by (simp add: image-Union)
finally have X i -` A ∩ space M = (proj-stoch-proc X n) -` (⋃ y∈ A ∩ range (X i). (⋃ z∈ comp-proj-i X n i y.
(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z))).
```

moreover have (⋃ y∈ A ∩ range (X i). (⋃ z∈ comp-proj-i X n i y.
(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z))) ∈ sets (stream-space borel)

proof -

have finite (A ∩ range (X i))

proof -

have finite (range (X i)) using assms

by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
nat-filtration-vimage-finite natural-filtration streams-UNIV)

thus ?thesis by auto

qed

moreover have ∀ y∈ A ∩ range (X i). (⋃ z∈ comp-proj-i X n i y.

```

(stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z)) ∈ sets (stream-space borel)
proof
  fix y
  assume y ∈ A ∩ range (X i)
  have finite (comp-proj-i X n i y) by (simp add: assms comp-proj-i-finite)
  moreover have ∀ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i y. (stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc
X n) z) ∈ sets (stream-space borel)
  proof
    fix z
    assume z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i y
    thus (stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc X n) z) ∈ sets (stream-space
borel) using assms
      stream-space-single-set unfolding comp-proj-i-def by auto
    qed
    ultimately show (⋃ z ∈ comp-proj-i X n i y. (stream-space-single
(proj-stoch-proc X n) z)) ∈
      sets (stream-space borel) by blast
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    qed
    ultimately show X i -` A ∩ space M ∈ {proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space
M | B. B ∈ sets (stream-space borel)}
      by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ‹X i -` A ∩ space M = X i -` A›
mem-Collect-eq)
    qed
    thus ?thesis by auto
  qed
  qed
  hence l: sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {X i -` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈
sets borel}) ⊆
    sigma-sets (space M) {proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets
(stream-space borel)}
      by (rule sigma-sets-mono')
  have {proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets (stream-space borel)}
    ⊆ sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {X i -` A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets
borel})
  proof –
    have ∀ B ∈ sets (stream-space borel). proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M ∈
      sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ n}. {(X i -` A) ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈
sets borel })
    proof
      fix B::'b stream set
      assume B ∈ sets (stream-space borel)
      have proj-stoch-proc X n -` B ∩ space M = proj-stoch-proc X n -` B using
beroulli beroulli-stream-space by simp
      also have ... = proj-stoch-proc X n -` (B ∩ range (proj-stoch-proc X n)) by
auto
      also have ... = proj-stoch-proc X n -` (⋃ y ∈ (B ∩ range (proj-stoch-proc X
n)). {y}) by simp

```

```

also have ... = ( $\bigcup y \in (B \cap \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n))$ ).  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X$ 
 $n - \{y\}$ ) by auto
finally have  $\text{eqB: proj-stoch-proc } X n - ' B \cap \text{space } M =$ 
 $(\bigcup y \in (B \cap \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)). \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - \{y\})$ .
have  $\forall y \in (B \cap \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)). \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - \{y\} \in$ 
 $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) (\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{(X i - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M) | A. A \in$ 
 $\text{sets borel}\})$ 
proof
fix y
assume  $y \in B \cap \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$ 
hence  $\exists x. y = \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x$  by auto
from this obtain x where  $y = \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x$  by auto
have  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x\} \in \text{sets}(\text{stoch-proc-filt}$ 
 $M X \text{borel } n)$ 
by (rule  $\text{proj-stoch-pre-borel}$ , simp add:assms)
also have ... =  $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) (\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{(X i - 'A) \cap$ 
 $(\text{space } M) | A. A \in \text{sets borel}\})$ 
proof (rule  $\text{stoch-proc-filt-sets}$ )
fix i
assume  $i \leq n$ 
show random-variable borel (X i) using assms borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable
by blast
qed
finally show  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - \{y\} \in$ 
 $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) (\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{(X i - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M) | A. A \in$ 
 $\text{sets borel}\})$ 
using  $\langle y = \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n x \rangle$  by simp
qed
hence  $(\bigcup y \in (B \cap \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)). \text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - \{y\})$ 
 $\in$ 
 $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) (\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{(X i - 'A) \cap (\text{space } M) | A. A \in$ 
 $\text{sets borel}\})$ 
proof (rule  $\text{sigma-set-union-count}$ )
have finite ( $\text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$ )
by (simp add: assms proj-stoch-set-finite-range)
thus countable ( $B \cap \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n)$ )
by (simp add: countable-finite)
qed
thus  $\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - ' B \cap \text{space } M \in$ 
 $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) (\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{X i - 'A \cap \text{space } M | A. A \in \text{sets}$ 
 $\text{borel}\})$  using eqB by simp
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
hence  $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - ' B \cap \text{space } M | B. B \in \text{sets}$ 
 $(\text{stream-space borel})\}$ 
 $\subseteq \text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) (\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{X i - 'A \cap \text{space } M | A. A \in \text{sets}$ 
 $\text{borel}\})$  by (rule sigma-sets-mono)
hence  $\text{sigma-sets}(\text{space } M) \{\text{proj-stoch-proc } X n - ' B \cap \text{space } M | B. B \in \text{sets}$ 

```

```

(stream-space borel)
  = sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{X i - 'A \cap space M | A. A \in sets borel\}$ ) using l by simp
    thus ?thesis unfolding stoch-proc-filt-def fct-gen-subalgebra-def by simp
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt:
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration X
shows subalgebra (nat-filtration n) (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n) unfolding sub-algebra-def
proof
  show space (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n) = space (nat-filtration n)
    by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-space nat-filtration-def stoch-proc-filt-space)
  show sets (stoch-proc-filt M X borel n)  $\subseteq$  sets (nat-filtration n)
  proof –
    have  $\forall i \leq n. (\forall A \in sets borel. X i - 'A \cap space M \in sets (nat-filtration n))$ 
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix i
      assume  $i \leq n$ 
      have  $X i \in borel\text{-measurable} (nat-filtration n)$ 
      by (metis ‹i ≤ n› adapt-stoch-proc-def assms filtrationE2 measurable-from-subalg nat-discrete-filtration)
      show  $\forall A \in sets borel. X i - 'A \cap space M \in sets (nat-filtration n)$ 
      proof
        fix A::'a set
        assume  $A \in sets borel$ 
        thus  $X i - 'A \cap space M \in sets (nat-filtration n)$  using ‹ $X i \in borel\text{-measurable} (nat-filtration n)by (metis bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space measurable-sets nat-filtration-space streams-UNIV)
        qed
      qed
      hence ( $\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{(X i - 'A) \cap (space M) | A. A \in sets borel\}$ )  $\subseteq$  sets (nat-filtration n) by auto
      hence sigma-sets (space M) ( $\bigcup i \in \{m. m \leq n\}. \{(X i - 'A) \cap (space M) | A. A \in sets borel\}$ )  $\subseteq$  sets (nat-filtration n)
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space nat-filtration-space sets.sigma-sets-subset streams-UNIV)
      thus ?thesis using assms stoch-proc-filt-sets unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
      proof –
        assume  $\forall t. X t \in borel\text{-measurable} (nat-filtration t)$ 
        then have f1:  $\forall n m. X n \in borel\text{-measurable} m \vee \neg subalgebra m (nat-filtration n)$ 
        by (meson measurable-from-subalg)
        have  $\forall n. subalgebra M (nat-filtration n)$ 
        by (metis infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-subalgebra infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms)
        then show ?thesis
        using f1 ‹ $\bigwedge n X N M. (\bigwedge i. i \leq n \implies X i \in M \rightarrow_M N) \implies sets (stoch-proc-filt$$ 
```

```

 $M X N n) = \text{sigma-sets} (\text{space } M) (\bigcup_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}} \{X i -` A \cap \text{space } M | A. A \in \text{sets } N\})$ ,  $\langle \text{sigma-sets} (\text{space } M) (\bigcup_{i \in \{m. m \leq n\}} \{X i -` A \cap \text{space } M | A. A \in \text{sets borel}\}) \subseteq \text{sets} (\text{nat-filtration } n) \rangle$  by blast
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space)
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 ≤ q
and q ≤ 1
and 0 < p
and p < 1
and filt-equiv nat-filtration M N
shows filt-equiv-sgt: 0 < q and filt-equiv-slt: q < 1
proof -
have space M = space N using assms filt-equiv-space by simp
have eqs: {w ∈ space M. (snth w 0)} = pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -` {True # # sconst True}
proof
show {w ∈ space M. w !! 0} ⊆ pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -` {True # # sconst True}
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ {w ∈ space M. w !! 0}
hence snth w 0 by simp
hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) w = True # # sconst True by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-def)
thus w ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -` {True # # sconst True} by simp
qed
show pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -` {True # # sconst True} ⊆ {w ∈ space M. w !! 0}
proof
fix w
assume w ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -` {True # # sconst True}
hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) w = True # # sconst True by simp
hence snth w 0
by (metis pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix stream-eq-Stream-iff)
thus w ∈ {w ∈ space M. w !! 0} using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
qed
qed
hence natset: {w ∈ space M. (snth w 0)} ∈ sets (nat-filtration (Suc 0))
proof -
have pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -` {True # # sconst True} ∈ sets (nat-filtration (Suc 0))

```

```

proof (rule nat-filtration-singleton)
  show pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) (True##sconst True) = True## sconst True
unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using eqs by simp
qed
have eqf: {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)} = pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -`{False
##sconst True}
proof
  show {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)} ⊆ pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -`{False
##sconst True}
proof
  fix w
  assume w ∈ {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)}
  hence ¬(snth w 0) by simp
  hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) w = False ##sconst True
    by (simp add: pseudo-proj-True-def)
  thus w ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -`{False ##sconst True} by simp
qed
show pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -`{False ##sconst True} ⊆ {w ∈ space M.
¬(snth w 0)}
proof
  fix w
  assume w ∈ pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -`{False##sconst True}
  hence pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) w = False##sconst True by simp
  hence ¬(snth w 0)
    by (metis pseudo-proj-True-Suc-prefix stream-eq-Stream-iff)
  thus w ∈ {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)} using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
by simp
qed
qed
hence natsetf: {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)} ∈ sets (nat-filtration (Suc 0))
proof -
  have pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) -`{False##sconst True} ∈ sets (nat-filtration
(Suc 0))
  proof (rule nat-filtration-singleton)
    show pseudo-proj-True (Suc 0) (False##sconst True) = False##sconst True
unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using eqf by simp
qed

show 0 < q
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ 0 < q
  hence q = 0 using assms by simp
  hence emeasure N {w ∈ space N. (snth w 0)} = q using bernoulli-stream-component-probability[of
N q]
    assms by blast

```

```

hence emeasure N {w ∈ space N. (snth w 0)} = 0 using ⟨q = 0⟩ by simp
hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (snth w 0)} = 0 using assms natset unfolding filt-equiv-def
  by (simp add: ⟨space M = space N⟩)
moreover have emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (snth w 0)} = p using bernoulli-stream-component-probability[of M p] bernoulli
  p-lt-1 p-gt-0 by blast
ultimately show False using assms by simp
qed
show q < 1
proof (rule ccontr)
  assume ¬ q < 1
  hence q = 1 using assms by simp
hence emeasure N {w ∈ space N. ¬(snth w 0)} = 1 - q using bernoulli-stream-component-probability-compl[N q]
  assms by blast
hence emeasure N {w ∈ space N. ¬(snth w 0)} = 0 using ⟨q = 1⟩ by simp
hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)} = 0 using assms natset unfolding filt-equiv-def
  by (simp add: ⟨space M = space N⟩)
moreover have emeasure M {w ∈ space M. ¬(snth w 0)} = 1 - p using bernoulli-stream-component-probability-compl[of M p] bernoulli
  p-lt-1 p-gt-0 by blast
ultimately show False using assms by simp
qed
qed

lemma stoch-proc-filt-filt-equiv:
assumes filt-equiv F M N
shows stoch-proc-filt M f P n = stoch-proc-filt N f P n using assms filt-equiv-space
filt-equiv-sets
unfolding stoch-proc-filt-def
proof -
  have space N = space M
    by (metis assms filt-equiv-space)
  then show gen-subalgebra M (sigma-sets (space M) (∪ n ∈ {na. na ≤ n}. {f n - ` C ∩ space M | C. C ∈ sets P})) =
    gen-subalgebra N (sigma-sets (space N) (∪ n ∈ {na. na ≤ n}. {f n - ` C ∩ space N | C. C ∈ sets P}))
    by (simp add: gen-subalgebra-def)
qed

lemma filt-equiv-filt:
assumes filt-equiv F M N
and filtration M G
shows filtration N G unfolding filtration-def
proof (intro allI conjI impI)
  {
    fix t

```

```

show subalgebra N (G t) using assms unfolding filtration-def filt-equiv-def
  by (metis sets-eq-imp-space-eq subalgebra-def)
}
{
fix s::'c
fix t
assume s ≤ t
thus subalgebra (G t) (G s) using assms unfolding filtration-def by simp
}
qed

lemma filt-equiv-borel-AE-eq-iff:
  fixes f::'a⇒ real
  assumes filt-equiv F M N
  and f∈ borel-measurable (F t)
  and g∈ borel-measurable (F t)
  and prob-space N
  and prob-space M
  shows (AE w in M. f w = g w) ↔ (AE w in N. f w = g w)
proof -
{
  assume fst: AE w in M. f w = g w
  have set0: {w∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets (F t) ∧ emeasure M {w∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0
  proof (rule filtrated-prob-space.AE-borel-eq, (auto simp add: assms))
    show filtrated-prob-space M F using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def
      by (simp add: filtrated-prob-space-axioms.intro filtrated-prob-space-def)
    show AE w in M. f w = g w using fst .
  qed
  hence emeasure N {w∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0 using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def by auto
  moreover have {w∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets N using set0 assms unfolding filt-equiv-def
    filtration-def subalgebra-def by auto
  ultimately have AE w in N. f w = g w
  proof -
    have space M = space N
      by (metis assms(1) filt-equiv-space)
    then have ∀ p. almost-everywhere N p ∨ {a ∈ space N. ¬ p a} ≠ {a ∈ space N. f a ≠ g a}
      using AE-iff-measurable ⟨emeasure N {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0⟩ ⟨{w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets N⟩
        by auto
    then show ?thesis
      by metis
  qed
}
note a = this
{

```

```

assume scd:  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. f w = g w$ 
have space  $M = \text{space } N$ 
  by (metis assms(1) filt-equiv-space)
have set0:  $\{w \in \text{space } N. f w \neq g w\} \in \text{sets } (F t) \wedge \text{emeasure } N \{w \in \text{space } N.$ 
 $f w \neq g w\} = 0$ 
proof (rule filtrated-prob-space.AE-borel-eq, (auto simp add: assms))
  show filtrated-prob-space  $N F$  using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def
    by (metis ‹prob-space N› assms(1) filt-equiv-filtration filtrated-prob-space-axioms.intro
filtrated-prob-space-def)
  show  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. f w = g w$  using scd .
qed
  hence  $\text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. f w \neq g w\} = 0$  using assms unfolding
filt-equiv-def
  by (metis (full-types) assms(1) filt-equiv-space)
moreover have  $\{w \in \text{space } M. f w \neq g w\} \in \text{sets } M$  using set0 assms unfolding
filt-equiv-def
  filtration-def subalgebra-def
  by (metis (mono-tags) ‹space M = space N› contra-subsetD)
ultimately have  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. f w = g w$ 
proof –
  have  $\forall p. \text{almost-everywhere } M p \vee \{a \in \text{space } M. \neg p a\} \neq \{a \in \text{space } M.$ 
 $f a \neq g a\}$ 
  using AE-iff-measurable ‹emeasure M {w ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} = 0› ‹{w
  ∈ space M. f w ≠ g w} ∈ sets M›
  by auto
  then show ?thesis
  by metis
qed
}
thus ?thesis using a by auto
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) filt-equiv-triv-init:
assumes filt-equiv  $F M N$ 
and init-triv-filt  $M G$ 
shows init-triv-filt  $N G$  unfolding init-triv-filt-def
proof
  show filtration  $N G$  using assms filt-equiv-filt[of  $F M N G$ ] unfolding init-triv-filt-def
  by simp
  show sets  $(G \perp) = \{\{\}, \text{space } N\}$  using assms filt-equiv-space[of  $F M N$ ] un-
folding init-triv-filt-def by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) fct-gen-subalgebra-meas-info:
assumes  $\forall w. f(g w) = f w$ 
and  $f \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } N$ 
and  $g \in \text{space } M \rightarrow \text{space } M$ 

```

```

shows  $g \in measurable(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f) \wedge (fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$ 
unfolding measurable-def
proof (intro CollectI conjI)
  show  $g \in space(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f) \rightarrow space(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$ 
  using assms
    by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
  show  $\forall y \in sets(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f). g -` y \cap space(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f) \in sets(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$ 
    proof
      fix B
      assume  $B \in sets(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$ 
      hence  $B \in \{f -` B \cap space M | B \in sets N\}$  using assms by (simp add:fct-gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets)
      from this obtain C where  $C \in sets N$  and  $B = f -` C \cap space M$  by auto
      note Cprops = this
      have  $g -` B \cap space(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f) = g -` B \cap space M$  using assms
        by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
      also have ... =  $g -` (f -` C \cap space M) \cap space M$  using Cprops by simp
      also have ... =  $g -` (f -` C)$  using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
      also have ... =  $(f \circ g) -` C$  by auto
      also have ... =  $f -` C$ 
      proof
        show  $(f \circ g) -` C \subseteq f -` C$ 
        proof
          fix w
          assume  $w \in (f \circ g) -` C$ 
          hence  $f(g w) \in C$  by simp
          hence  $f w \in C$  using assms by simp
          thus  $w \in f -` C$  by simp
        qed
        show  $f -` C \subseteq (f \circ g) -` C$ 
        proof
          fix w
          assume  $w \in f -` C$ 
          hence  $f w \in C$  by simp
          hence  $f(g w) \in C$  using assms by simp
          thus  $w \in (f \circ g) -` C$  by simp
        qed
        qed
      also have ...  $\in sets(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$ 
      using Cprops(2) { $B \in sets(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$ } bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
      inf-top.right-neutral by auto
      finally show  $g -` B \cap space(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f) \in sets(fct\text{-}gen\text{-}subalgebra M N f)$  .
      qed
    qed
  
```

```

end
theory Geometric-Random-Walk imports Infinite-Coin-Toss-Space
begin

```

6 Geometric random walk

A geometric random walk is a stochastic process that can, at each time, move upwards or downwards, depending on the outcome of a coin toss.

```

fun (in infinite-coin-toss-space) geom-rand-walk:: real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  real  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$ 
bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  real) where
  base: (geom-rand-walk u d v) 0 = ( $\lambda w. v$ )
  step: (geom-rand-walk u d v) (Suc n) = ( $\lambda w. ((\lambda True \Rightarrow u \mid False \Rightarrow d) (snth w n)) * (geom-rand-walk u d v) n w$ )

locale prob-grw = infinite-coin-toss-space +
  fixes geom-proc::nat  $\Rightarrow$  bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  real and u::real and d::real and init::real
  assumes geometric-process:geom-proc = geom-rand-walk u d init

lemma (in prob-grw) geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable:
  shows (geom-proc n)  $\in$  borel-measurable M
  proof (induct n)
    case (Suc n)
      thus geom-proc (Suc n)  $\in$  borel-measurable M
      proof -
        have geom-rand-walk u d init n  $\in$  borel-measurable M using Suc geometric-process by simp
        moreover have ( $\lambda w. ((\lambda True \Rightarrow u \mid False \Rightarrow d) (snth w n))$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable M
        proof -
          have ( $\lambda w. snth w n$ )  $\in$  measurable M (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) by
          (simp add: bernoulli measurable-snth-count-space)
          moreover have ( $\lambda True \Rightarrow u \mid False \Rightarrow d$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable (measure-pmf (bernoulli-pmf p)) by simp
          ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add: measurable-comp)
        qed
        ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:borel-measurable-times geometric-process)
      qed
    next
      show random-variable borel (geom-proc 0) using geometric-process by simp
    qed

```

```

lemma (in prob-grw) geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True:
  shows geom-proc n = geom-proc n  $\circ$  pseudo-proj-True n

```

```

proof (induct n)
case (Suc n)
  let ?tf = ( $\lambda \text{True} \Rightarrow u \mid \text{False} \Rightarrow d$ )
  {
    fix w
    have geom-proc (Suc n) w = ?tf (snth w n) * geom-proc n w
      using geom-rand-walk.simps(2) geometric-process by simp
    also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n w
      by (metis lessI pseudo-proj-True-stake-nth)
    also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n
      (pseudo-proj-True n w)
      using Suc geometric-process by (metis comp-apply)
    also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n
      (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w)
      using geometric-process by (metis Suc.hyps comp-apply pseudo-proj-True-proj-Suc)
    also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) using geo-
      metric-process by simp
    finally have geom-proc (Suc n) w = geom-proc (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True
      (Suc n) w).
  }
  thus geom-proc (Suc n) = geom-proc (Suc n)  $\circ$  (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n)) using
  geometric-process by auto
next
  show geom-proc 0 = geom-proc 0  $\circ$  pseudo-proj-True 0 using geometric-process
  by auto
qed

lemma (in prob-grw) geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-False:
shows geom-proc n = geom-proc n  $\circ$  pseudo-proj-False n
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n)
    let ?tf = ( $\lambda \text{True} \Rightarrow u \mid \text{False} \Rightarrow d$ )
    {
      fix w
      have geom-proc (Suc n) w = ?tf (snth w n) * geom-proc n w
        using geom-rand-walk.simps(2) geometric-process by simp
      also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n w
        by (metis lessI pseudo-proj-False-stake-nth)
      also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n
        (pseudo-proj-False n w)
        using Suc geometric-process by (metis comp-apply)
      also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n
        (pseudo-proj-True n (pseudo-proj-False n w))
        using geometric-process by (metis geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True o-apply)
      also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n
        (pseudo-proj-True n (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w))
      unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-False-def
      by (metis pseudo-proj-False-def pseudo-proj-False-stake pseudo-proj-True-def
      pseudo-proj-True-proj-Suc)

```

```

also have ... = ?tf (snth (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) n) * geom-proc n
(pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w)
  using geometric-process by (metis geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True o-apply)
also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) using geo-
metric-process by simp
finally have geom-proc (Suc n) w = geom-proc (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False
(Suc n) w).
}
thus geom-proc (Suc n) = geom-proc (Suc n) o (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n))
using geometric-process by auto
next
show geom-proc 0 = geom-proc 0 o pseudo-proj-False 0 using geometric-process
by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in prob-grw) geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted:
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration geom-proc
  unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof (auto simp add:nat-discrete-filtration)
fix n
show geom-proc n ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
proof -
  have geom-proc n ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
  proof (rule nat-filtration-comp-measurable)
    show geom-proc n ∈ borel-measurable M
    by (simp add: geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable)
    show geom-proc n o pseudo-proj-True n = geom-proc n
      using geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True by simp
  qed
  then show ?thesis by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in prob-grw) grw-succ-img:
  assumes (geom-proc n) -` {x} ≠ {}
  shows (geom-proc (Suc n)) `((geom-proc n) -` {x}) = {u*x, d*x}
proof
  have ∃ w. geom-proc n w = x using assms by auto
  from this obtain w where geom-proc n w = x by auto
  let ?wT = spick w n True
  let ?wF = spick w n False
  have bel: (?wT ∈ (geom-proc n) -` {x}) ∧ (?wF ∈ (geom-proc n) -` {x})
    by (metis ‹geom-proc n w = x› geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True o-def
        pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI vimage-singleton-eq)
  have geom-proc (Suc n) ?wT = u*x
  proof -

```

```

have  $x = \text{geom-rand-walk } u \ d \ \text{init } n \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{True})$ 
  by (metis ⟨ $\text{geom-proc } n \ w = x$ ⟩ comp-apply  $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True}$ 
 $\text{geometric-process pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI}$ )
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add:  $\text{geometric-process spickI}$ )
qed
moreover have  $\text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) \ ?wF = d*x$ 
proof –
  have  $x = \text{geom-rand-walk } u \ d \ \text{init } n \ (\text{spick } w \ n \ \text{False})$ 
  by (metis ⟨ $\text{geom-proc } n \ w = x$ ⟩ comp-apply  $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True}$ 
 $\text{geometric-process pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI}$ )
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add:  $\text{geometric-process spickI}$ )
qed
ultimately show  $\{u*x, d*x\} \subseteq (\text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n))`((\text{geom-proc } n) - ` \{x\})$ 
using bel
  by (metis  $\text{empty-subsetI insert-subset rev-image-eqI}$ )
have  $\forall w \in (\text{geom-proc } n) - ` \{x\}. \text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) \ w \in \{u*x, d*x\}$ 
proof
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in (\text{geom-proc } n) - ` \{x\}$ 
have  $\text{dis}: ((\text{snth } w (\text{Suc } n)) = \text{True}) \vee (\text{snth } w (\text{Suc } n) = \text{False})$  by simp
show  $\text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) \ w \in \{u*x, d*x\}$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{geom-proc } n \ w = x$ 
    by (metis ⟨ $w \in \text{geom-proc } n - ` \{x\}$ ⟩ vimage-singleton-eq)
  then have  $\text{geom-rand-walk } u \ d \ \text{init } n \ w = x$ 
    using  $\text{geometric-process}$  by blast
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add:  $\text{case-bool-if geometric-process}$ )
qed
qed
thus  $(\text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n))`((\text{geom-proc } n) - ` \{x\}) \subseteq \{u*x, d*x\}$  by auto
qed

lemma (in prob-grw)  $\text{geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive}:$ 
assumes  $0 < \text{init}$ 
and  $0 < d$ 
and  $d < u$ 
shows  $\forall n \ w. \ 0 < \text{geom-proc } n \ w$ 
proof (intro allI)
  fix  $n$ 
  fix  $w$ 
  show  $0 < \text{geom-proc } n \ w$ 
  proof (induct n)
    case  $0$  thus ?case using assms  $\text{geometric-process}$  by simp
    next
    case  $(\text{Suc } n)$ 
      thus ?case

```

```

proof (cases snth w n)
case True
  hence geom-proc (Suc n) w = u * geom-proc n w using geom-rand-walk.simps
  geometric-process by simp
    also have ... > 0 using Suc assms by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
  hence geom-proc (Suc n) w = d * geom-proc n w using geom-rand-walk.simps
  geometric-process by simp
    also have ... > 0 using Suc assms by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in prob-grw) geom-rand-walk-diff-induct:
  shows  $\lambda w. (\text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) (\text{spick } w \text{ } n \text{ } \text{True}) - \text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) (\text{spick } w \text{ } n \text{ } \text{False})) = (\text{geom-proc } n \text{ } w * (u - d))$ 
proof -
  fix w
  have geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n True) = u * geom-proc n w
  proof -
    have snth (spick w n True) n = True by (simp add: spickI)
    hence  $(\lambda w. (\text{case } w \text{ } !! \text{ } n \text{ } \text{of} \text{ } \text{True} \Rightarrow u \mid \text{False} \Rightarrow d)) (\text{spick } w \text{ } n \text{ } \text{True}) = u$  by
    simp
    thus ?thesis using geometric-process geom-rand-walk.simps(2)[of u d init n]
      by (metis comp-apply geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True pseudo-proj-True-def
      spickI)
    qed
    moreover have geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n False) = d * geom-proc n w
    proof -
      have snth (spick w n False) n = False by (simp add: spickI)
      hence  $(\lambda w. (\text{case } w \text{ } !! \text{ } n \text{ } \text{of} \text{ } \text{True} \Rightarrow u \mid \text{False} \Rightarrow d)) (\text{spick } w \text{ } n \text{ } \text{False}) = d$  by
      simp
      thus ?thesis using geometric-process geom-rand-walk.simps(2)[of u d init n]
        by (metis comp-apply geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True pseudo-proj-True-def
        spickI)
      qed
      ultimately show  $(\text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) (\text{spick } w \text{ } n \text{ } \text{True}) - \text{geom-proc} (\text{Suc } n) (\text{spick } w \text{ } n \text{ } \text{False})) = (\text{geom-proc } n \text{ } w * (u - d))$ 
        by (simp add:field-simps)
    qed

```

end

7 Fair Prices

This section contains the formalization of financial notions, such as markets, price processes, portfolios, arbitrages, fair prices, etc. It also defines risk-neutral probability spaces, and proves the main result about the fair price of a derivative in a risk-neutral probability space, namely that this fair price is equal to the expectation of the discounted value of the derivative's payoff.

```
theory Fair-Price imports Filtration Martingale Geometric-Random-Walk
begin
```

7.1 Preliminary results

7.1.1 On the almost everywhere filter

```
lemma AE-eq-trans[trans]:
assumes AE x in M. A x = B x
and AE x in M. B x = C x
shows AE x in M. A x = C x
using assms by auto
```

```
abbreviation AEeq where AEeq M X Y ≡ AE w in M. X w = Y w
```

```
lemma AE-add:
assumes AE w in M. f w = g w
and AE w in M. f' w = g' w
shows AE w in M. f w + f' w = g w + g' w using assms by auto

lemma AE-sum:
assumes finite I
and ∀ i∈I. AE w in M. f i w = g i w
shows AE w in M. (∑ i∈I. f i w) = (∑ i∈I. g i w) using assms(1) subset-refl[of I]
proof (induct rule: finite-subset-induct)
case empty
then show ?case by simp
next
case (insert a F)
have AEeq M (f a) (g a) using assms(2) insert.hyps(2) by auto
have AE w in M. (∑ i∈ insert a F. f i w) = f a w + (∑ i∈ F. f i w)
by (simp add: insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(3))
also have AE w in M. f a w + (∑ i∈ F. f i w) = g a w + (∑ i∈ F. f i w)
using ⟨AEeq M (f a) (g a)⟩ by auto
also have AE w in M. g a w + (∑ i∈ F. f i w) = g a w + (∑ i∈ F. g i w)
using insert.hyps(4) by auto
also have AE w in M. g a w + (∑ i∈ F. g i w) = (∑ i∈ insert a F. g i w)
```

```

by (simp add: insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(3))
finally show ?case by auto
qed

lemma AE-eq-cst:
assumes AE w in M. ( $\lambda w. c$ ) w = ( $\lambda w. d$ ) w
and emeasure M (space M) ≠ 0
shows c = d
proof (rule ccontr)
assume c ≠ d
from ‹AE w in M. ( $\lambda w. c$ ) w = ( $\lambda w. d$ ) w› obtain N where Nprops: {w in space M.  $\neg(\lambda w. c)$  w = ( $\lambda w. d$ ) w} ⊆ N N in sets M emeasure M N = 0
by (force elim:AE-E)
have ∀ w in space M. ( $\lambda w. c$ ) w ≠ ( $\lambda w. d$ ) w using ‹c ≠ d› by simp
hence {w in space M. ( $\lambda w. c$ ) w ≠ ( $\lambda w. d$ ) w} = space M by auto
hence space M ⊆ N using Nprops by auto
thus False using ‹emeasure M N = 0› assms
by (meson Nprops(2) ‹emeasure M (space M) ≠ 0› ‹emeasure M N = 0› ‹space M ⊆ N› emeasure-eq-0)
qed

```

7.1.2 On conditional expectations

```

lemma (in prob-space) subalgebra-sigma-finite:
assumes subalgebra M N
shows sigma-finite-subalgebra M N unfolding sigma-finite-subalgebra-def by
(simp add: assms prob-space-axioms prob-space-imp-sigma-finite prob-space-restr-to-subalg)

```

```

lemma (in prob-space) trivial-subalg-cond-expect-AE:
assumes subalgebra M N
and sets N = {{}, space M}
and integrable M f
shows AE x in M. real-cond-exp M N f x = ( $\lambda x. \text{expectation } f$ ) x
proof (intro sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-charact)
show sigma-finite-subalgebra M N by (simp add: assms(1) subalgebra-sigma-finite)
show integrable M f using assms by simp
show integrable M ( $\lambda x. \text{expectation } f$ ) by auto
show ( $\lambda x. \text{expectation } f$ ) ∈ borel-measurable N by simp
show  $\bigwedge A. A \in \text{sets } N \implies \text{set-lebesgue-integral } M A f = (\int_{x \in A} \text{expectation } f dM)$ 
proof -
fix A
assume A in sets N
show set-lebesgue-integral M A f = ( $\int_{x \in A} \text{expectation } f dM$ )
proof (cases A = {})
case True

```

```

thus ?thesis by (simp add: set-lebesgue-integral-def)
next
  case False
  hence A = space M using assms ⟨A ∈ sets N⟩ by auto
  have set-lebesgue-integral M A f = expectation f using ⟨A = space M⟩
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Bochner-Integration.integral-cong indicator-simps(1)
      scaleR-one set-lebesgue-integral-def)
  also have ... = (ʃ x∈A. expectation f ∂M) using ⟨A = space M⟩
    by (auto simp add:prob-space set-lebesgue-integral-def)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in prob-space) triv-subalg-borel-eq:
  assumes subalgebra M F
  and sets F = {∅}, space M
  and AE x in M. fx = (c::'b::{t2-space})
  and f ∈ borel-measurable F
  shows ∀ x ∈ space M. fx = c
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ space M
  have space M = space F using assms by (simp add:subalgebra-def)
  hence x ∈ space F using ⟨x ∈ space M⟩ by simp
  have space M ≠ {} by (simp add:not-empty)
  hence ∃ d. ∀ y ∈ space F. fy = d by (metis assms(1) assms(2) assms(4) subalgebra-def triv-measurable-cst)
  from this obtain d where ∀ y ∈ space F. fy = d by auto
  hence fx = d using ⟨x ∈ space F⟩ by simp
  also have ... = c
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume d ≠ c
    from ⟨AE x in M. fx = c⟩ obtain N where Nprops: {x ∈ space M. ¬fx = c} ⊆ N N ∈ sets M emeasure M N = 0
      by (force elim:AE-E)
    have space M ⊆ {x ∈ space M. ¬fx = c} using ⟨∀ y ∈ space F. fy = d⟩ ⟨space M = space F⟩ ⟨d ≠ c⟩ by auto
    hence space M ⊆ N using Nprops by auto
    thus False using ⟨emeasure M N = 0⟩ emeasure-space-1 Nprops(2) emeasure-mono by fastforce
  qed
  finally show fx = c .
qed

```

lemma (in prob-space) trivial-subalg-cond-expect-eq:

```

assumes subalgebra M N
and sets N = {{}, space M}
and integrable M f
shows  $\forall x \in \text{space } M. \text{real-cond-exp } M N f x = \text{expectation } f$ 
proof (rule triv-subalg-borel-eq)
  show subalgebra M N sets N = {{}, space M} using assms by auto
  show real-cond-exp M N f ∈ borel-measurable N by simp
  show AE x in M. real-cond-exp M N f x = expectation f
    by (rule trivial-subalg-cond-expect-AE, (auto simp add:assms))
qed

```

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-subalgebra) real-cond-exp-cong':
assumes  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. f w = g w$ 
and f ∈ borel-measurable M
shows AE w in M. real-cond-exp M F f w = real-cond-exp M F g w
proof (rule real-cond-exp-cong)
  show AE w in M. f w = g w using assms by simp
  show f ∈ borel-measurable M using assms by simp
  show g ∈ borel-measurable M using assms by (metis measurable-cong)
qed

```

```

lemma (in sigma-finite-subalgebra) real-cond-exp-bsum :
  fixes f::'b ⇒ 'a ⇒ real
  assumes [measurable]:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{integrable } M (f i)$ 
  shows AE x in M. real-cond-exp M F ( $\lambda x. \sum_{i \in I} f i x$ ) x = ( $\sum_{i \in I} \text{real-cond-exp } M F (f i) x$ )
  proof (rule real-cond-exp-charact)
    fix A assume [measurable]: A ∈ sets F
    then have A-meas [measurable]: A ∈ sets M by (meson subsetD subalg subalgebra-def)

    have *:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{integrable } M (\lambda x. \text{indicator } A x * f i x)$ 
      using integrable-mult-indicator[OF ‹A ∈ sets M› assms(1)] by auto
    have **:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies \text{integrable } M (\lambda x. \text{indicator } A x * \text{real-cond-exp } M F (f i) x)$ 
      using integrable-mult-indicator[OF ‹A ∈ sets M› real-cond-exp-int(1)[OF assms(1)]] by auto
    have inti:  $\bigwedge i. i \in I \implies (\int x. \text{indicator } A x * f i x \partial M) = (\int x. \text{indicator } A x * \text{real-cond-exp } M F (f i) x \partial M)$  using
      real-cond-exp-intg(2)[symmetric, of indicator A]
    using * ‹A ∈ sets F› assms borel-measurable-indicator by blast
    have ( $\int x \in A. (\sum_{i \in I} f i x) \partial M$ ) = ( $\int x. (\sum_{i \in I} \text{indicator } A x * f i x) \partial M$ )
      by (simp add: sum-distrib-left set-lebesgue-integral-def)
    also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \in I} (\int x. \text{indicator } A x * f i x \partial M)$ ) using Bochner-Integration.integral-sum[of
      I M λi x. indicator A x * f i x] *
      by simp
    also have ... = ( $\sum_{i \in I} (\int x. \text{indicator } A x * \text{real-cond-exp } M F (f i) x \partial M)$ )

```

```

using inti by auto
also have ... = ( $\int x. (\sum i \in I. indicator A x * real-cond-exp M F (f i) x) \partial M$ )
  by (rule Bochner-Integration.integral-sum[symmetric], simp add: **)
also have ... = ( $\int x \in A. (\sum i \in I. real-cond-exp M F (f i) x) \partial M$ )
  by (simp add: sum-distrib-left set-lebesgue-integral-def)
finally show ( $\int x \in A. (\sum i \in I. f i x) \partial M$ ) = ( $\int x \in A. (\sum i \in I. real-cond-exp M F (f i) x) \partial M$ ) by auto
qed (auto simp add: assms real-cond-exp-int(1)[OF assms(1)])

```

7.2 Financial formalizations

7.2.1 Markets

```

definition stk-strict-subs::'c set  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  stk-strict-subs S  $\longleftrightarrow$  S  $\neq$  UNIV

typedef ('a,'c) discrete-market = {(s::('c set), a::'c  $\Rightarrow$  (nat  $\Rightarrow$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  real)). stk-strict-subs s} unfolding stk-strict-subs-def by fastforce

definition prices where
  prices Mkt = (snd (Rep-discrete-market Mkt))

definition assets where
  assets Mkt = UNIV

definition stocks where
  stocks Mkt = (fst (Rep-discrete-market Mkt))

definition discrete-market-of
where
  discrete-market-of S A =
    Abs-discrete-market (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}, A)

lemma prices-of:
  shows prices (discrete-market-of S A) = A
proof -
  have stk-strict-subs (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}) by simp
  proof (cases stk-strict-subs S)
    case True thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False thus ?thesis unfolding stk-strict-subs-def by simp
  qed
  hence fact: ((if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}), A)  $\in$  {(s, a). stk-strict-subs s}
  by simp
  have discrete-market-of S A = Abs-discrete-market (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}, A) unfolding discrete-market-of-def by simp
  hence Rep-discrete-market (discrete-market-of S A) = (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}, A)

```

```

using Abs-discrete-market-inverse[of (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}, A)]
fct by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding prices-def by simp
qed

lemma stocks-of:
assumes UNIV ≠ S
shows stocks (discrete-market-of S A) = S
proof -
have stk-strict-subs S using assms unfolding stk-strict-subs-def by simp
hence fct: ((if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}), A) ∈ {(s, a). stk-strict-subs s}
by simp
have discrete-market-of S A = Abs-discrete-market (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S
else {}, A) unfolding discrete-market-of-def by simp
hence Rep-discrete-market (discrete-market-of S A) = (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S
else {}, A)
using Abs-discrete-market-inverse[of (if (stk-strict-subs S) then S else {}, A)]
fct by simp
thus ?thesis unfolding stocks-def using <stk-strict-subs S> by simp
qed

lemma mkt-stocks-assets:
shows stk-strict-subs (stocks Mkt) unfolding stocks-def prices-def
by (metis Rep-discrete-market mem-Collect-eq split-beta')

```

7.2.2 Quantity processes and portfolios

These are functions that assign quantities to assets; each quantity is a stochastic process. Basic operations are defined on these processes.

```

Basic operations definition qty-empty where
qty-empty = ( $\lambda (x:'a) (n::nat) w. 0::real$ )

definition qty-single where
qty-single asset qt-proc = ( $qty\text{-empty}(asset := qt\text{-proc})$ )

definition qty-sum::('b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ ('b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ ('b ⇒
nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) where
qty-sum pf1 pf2 = ( $\lambda x n w. pf1 x n w + pf2 x n w$ )

definition qty-mult-comp::('b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ (nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ ('b ⇒
nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) where
qty-mult-comp pf1 qty = ( $\lambda x n w. (pf1 x n w) * (qty n w)$ )

definition qty-rem-comp::('b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ ('b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) where
qty-rem-comp pf1 x =  $pf1(x:=(\lambda n w. 0))$ 

definition qty-replace-comp where

```

$$\text{qty-replace-comp } pf1 \ x \ pf2 = \text{qty-sum} (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 \ x) (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf2 \\ (pf1 \ x))$$

Support sets If $p \ x \ n \ w$ is different from 0, this means that this quantity is held on interval $[n-1, n]$.

definition $\text{support-set}:(b \Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow 'b \text{ set where}$
 $\text{support-set } p = \{x. \exists \ n \ w. \ p \ x \ n \ w \neq 0\}$

```

lemma  $\text{qty-empty-support-set}:$ 
  shows  $\text{support-set} \text{ qty-empty} = \{\}$  unfolding  $\text{support-set-def}$   $\text{qty-empty-def}$  by
  simp

lemma  $\text{sum-support-set}:$ 
  shows  $\text{support-set} (\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2) \subseteq (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)$ 
proof (intro  $\text{subsetI}$ , rule  $\text{ccontr}$ )
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{support-set} (\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2)$  and  $x \notin \text{support-set } pf1 \cup \text{support-set }$ 
 $pf2$  note  $xprops = \text{this}$ 
  hence  $\exists \ n \ w. (\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2) \ x \ n \ w \neq 0$  by (simp add: support-set-def)
  from this obtain  $n \ w$  where  $(\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2) \ x \ n \ w \neq 0$  by auto note  $nwprops$ 
   $= \text{this}$ 
  have  $pf1 \ x \ n \ w = 0 \ pf2 \ x \ n \ w = 0$  using  $xprops$  by (auto simp add: support-set-def)
  hence  $(\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2) \ x \ n \ w = 0$  unfolding  $\text{qty-sum-def}$  by simp
  thus False using  $nwprops$  by simp
qed

lemma  $\text{mult-comp-support-set}:$ 
  shows  $\text{support-set} (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1 \ qty) \subseteq (\text{support-set } pf1)$ 
proof (intro  $\text{subsetI}$ , rule  $\text{ccontr}$ )
  fix  $x$ 
  assume  $x \in \text{support-set} (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1 \ qty)$  and  $x \notin \text{support-set } pf1$  note
   $xprops = \text{this}$ 
  hence  $\exists \ n \ w. (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1 \ qty) \ x \ n \ w \neq 0$  by (simp add: support-set-def)
  from this obtain  $n \ w$  where  $\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1 \ qty \ x \ n \ w \neq 0$  by auto note
   $nwprops = \text{this}$ 
  have  $pf1 \ x \ n \ w = 0$  using  $xprops$  by (simp add: support-set-def)
  hence  $(\text{qty-mult-comp } pf1 \ qty) \ x \ n \ w = 0$  unfolding  $\text{qty-mult-comp-def}$  by simp
  thus False using  $nwprops$  by simp
qed

lemma  $\text{remove-comp-support-set}:$ 
  shows  $\text{support-set} (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 \ x) \subseteq ((\text{support-set } pf1) - \{x\})$ 
proof (intro  $\text{subsetI}$ , rule  $\text{ccontr}$ )
  fix  $y$ 
  assume  $y \in \text{support-set} (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 \ x)$  and  $y \notin \text{support-set } pf1 - \{x\}$ 
  note  $xprops = \text{this}$ 
  hence  $y \notin \text{support-set } pf1 \vee y = x$  by simp
  have  $\exists \ n \ w. (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 \ x) \ y \ n \ w \neq 0$  using  $xprops$  by (simp add:
support-set-def)
```

```

from this obtain n w where (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) y n w ≠ 0 by auto note
nwprops = this
show False
proof (cases ynotin support-set pf1)
  case True
    hence pf1 y n w = 0 using xprops by (simp add:support-set-def)
    hence (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) x n w = 0 unfolding qty-rem-comp-def by simp
    thus ?thesis using nwprops by (metis <pf1 y n w = 0> fun-upd-apply qty-rem-comp-def)
  next
    case False
    hence y = x using <ynotin support-set pf1 ∨ y = x> by simp
    hence (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) x n w = 0 unfolding qty-rem-comp-def by simp
    thus ?thesis using nwprops by (simp add: <y = x>)
qed
qed

lemma replace-comp-support-set:
  shows support-set (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) ⊆ (support-set pf1 - {x}) ∪
  support-set pf2
proof -
  have support-set (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) ⊆ support-set (qty-rem-comp pf1
  x) ∪ support-set (qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x))
  unfolding qty-replace-comp-def by (simp add:sum-support-set)
  also have ... ⊆ (support-set pf1 - {x}) ∪ support-set pf2 using remove-comp-support-set
  mult-comp-support-set
    by (metis sup mono)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma single-comp-support:
  shows support-set (qty-single asset qty) ⊆ {asset}
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ support-set (qty-single asset qty)
  show x ∈ {asset}
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume xnotin {asset}
    hence x ≠ asset by auto
    have ∃ n w. qty-single asset qty x n w ≠ 0 using <x ∈ support-set (qty-single
    asset qty)>
      by (simp add:support-set-def)
    from this obtain n w where qty-single asset qty x n w ≠ 0 by auto
    thus False using <x ≠ asset> by (simp add: qty-single-def qty-empty-def)
  qed
qed

lemma single-comp-nz-support:
  assumes ∃ n w. qty n w ≠ 0
  shows support-set (qty-single asset qty) = {asset}

```

```

proof
  show support-set (qty-single asset qty) ⊆ {asset} by (simp add: single-comp-support)
    have asset ∈ support-set (qty-single asset qty) using assms unfolding support-set-def qty-single-def by simp
    thus {asset} ⊆ support-set (qty-single asset qty) by auto
  qed

```

```

Portfolios definition portfolio where
  portfolio p ←→ finite (support-set p)

```

```

definition stock-portfolio :: ('a, 'b) discrete-market ⇒ ('b ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ bool where
  stock-portfolio Mkt p ←→ portfolio p ∧ support-set p ⊆ stocks Mkt

```

```

lemma sum-portfolio:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
  shows portfolio (qty-sum pf1 pf2) unfolding portfolio-def
  proof –
    have support-set (qty-sum pf1 pf2) ⊆ (support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set pf2) by (simp add: sum-support-set)
    thus finite (support-set (qty-sum pf1 pf2)) using assms unfolding portfolio-def
    using infinite-super by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma sum-basic-support-set:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf1
  and stock-portfolio Mkt pf2
  shows stock-portfolio Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) using assms sum-support-set[of pf1 pf2] unfolding stock-portfolio-def
  by (metis Diff-subset-conv gfp.leq-trans subset-Un-eq sum-portfolio)

```

```

lemma mult-comp-portfolio:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  shows portfolio (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) unfolding portfolio-def
  proof –
    have support-set (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) ⊆ (support-set pf1) by (simp add: mult-comp-support-set)
    thus finite (support-set (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty)) using assms unfolding portfolio-def using infinite-super by auto
  qed

```

```

lemma mult-comp-basic-support-set:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf1
  shows stock-portfolio Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) using assms mult-comp-support-set[of pf1] unfolding stock-portfolio-def

```

using *mult-comp-portfolio* **by** *blast*

```
lemma remove-comp-portfolio:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  shows portfolio (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) unfolding portfolio-def
  proof -
    have support-set (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) ⊆ (support-set pf1) using remove-comp-support-set[of
    pf1 x] by blast
    thus finite (support-set (qty-rem-comp pf1 x)) using assms unfolding portfo-
    lio-def using infinite-super by auto
  qed

lemma remove-comp-basic-support-set:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf1
  shows stock-portfolio Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) using assms mult-comp-support-set[of
  pf1] unfolding stock-portfolio-def
  using mult-comp-portfolio by blast

lemma replace-comp-portfolio:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
  shows portfolio (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) unfolding portfolio-def
  proof -
    have support-set (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) ⊆ (support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set
    pf2) using replace-comp-support-set[of pf1 x pf2] by blast
    thus finite (support-set (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2)) using assms unfolding
    portfolio-def using infinite-super by auto
  qed

lemma replace-comp-stocks:
  assumes support-set pf1 ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x}
  and support-set pf2 ⊆ stocks Mkt
  shows support-set (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) ⊆ stocks Mkt
  proof -
    have support-set (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) ⊆ stocks Mkt using assms(1) remove-comp-support-set
    by fastforce
    moreover have support-set (qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x)) ⊆ stocks Mkt using
    assms mult-comp-support-set by fastforce
    ultimately show ?thesis unfolding qty-replace-comp-def using sum-support-set
    by fastforce
  qed

lemma single-comp-portfolio:
  shows portfolio (qty-single asset qty)
  by (meson finite.emptyI finite.insertI finite-subset portfolio-def single-comp-support)
```

Value processes definition *val-process* where
val-process *Mkt p* = (*if* (\neg (*portfolio p*)) *then* ($\lambda n w. 0$)
else ($\lambda n w. (\text{sum} (\lambda x. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w))) (\text{support-set } p)))$

lemma *subset-val-process'*:
assumes *finite A*
and *support-set p ⊆ A*
shows *val-process Mkt p n w* = (*sum* ($\lambda x. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w))$)
A)
proof –
have *portfolio p* **using** *assms unfolding portfolio-def using finite-subset by auto*
have $\exists C. (\text{support-set } p) \cap C = \{\} \wedge (\text{support-set } p) \cup C = A$ **using** *assms(2)* **by auto**
from this obtain *C* **where** $(\text{support-set } p) \cap C = \{\}$ **and** $(\text{support-set } p) \cup C = A$ **by auto note** *Cprops = this*
have *finite C using assms (support-set p) ∪ C = A by auto*
have $\forall x \in C. p x (\text{Suc } n) w = 0$ **using** *Cprops(1) support-set-def by fastforce*
hence $(\sum x \in C. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w)) = 0$ **by simp**
hence *val-process Mkt p n w* = $(\sum x \in (\text{support-set } p). ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w))$
 $+ (\sum x \in C. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ **unfolding val-process-def using <portfolio p> by simp**
also have ... = $(\sum x \in A. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w))$
using <portfolio p> <finite C> Cprops portfolio-def sum-union-disjoint' by (metis (no-types, lifting))
finally show *val-process Mkt p n w* = $(\sum x \in A. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (p x (\text{Suc } n) w))$.
qed

lemma *sum-val-process*:
assumes *portfolio pf1*
and *portfolio pf2*
shows $\forall n w. \text{val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2)} n w = (\text{val-process Mkt pf1}) n w + (\text{val-process Mkt pf2}) n w$
proof (*intro allI*)
fix *n w*
have *vp1: val-process Mkt pf1 n w* = $(\sum x \in (\text{support-set pf1}) \cup (\text{support-set pf2}). ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w))$
proof –
have *finite (support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2) ∧ support-set pf1 ⊆ support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2*
by (*meson assms(1) assms(2) finite-Un portfolio-def sup.cobounded1*)
then show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: subset-val-process'*)

```

qed
have vp2: val-process Mkt pf2 n w = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w)
((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf2 x (Suc n) w))
proof -
  have finite (support-set pf1  $\cup$  support-set pf2)  $\wedge$  support-set pf2  $\subseteq$  support-set pf2  $\cup$  support-set pf1
    by (meson assms(1) assms(2) finite-Un portfolio-def sup.cobounded1)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: subset-val-process')
qed
have pf:portfolio (qty-sum pf1 pf2) using assms by (simp add:sum-portfolio)
have fin:finite (support-set pf1  $\cup$  support-set pf2) using assms finite-Un unfolding portfolio-def by auto
have (val-process Mkt pf1) n w + (val-process Mkt pf2) n w =
  ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w) +
  ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf1 x (Suc n) w))
  using vp1 vp2 by simp
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf1 x (Suc n) w) +
  ((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf2 x (Suc n) w)) by (simp add: sum.distrib)
by (simp add: sum.distrib)
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w) * ((pf1 x (Suc n) w) + (pf2 x (Suc n) w)) by (simp add: distrib-left)
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w) * ((qty-sum pf1 pf2) x (Suc n) w) by (simp add: qty-sum-def)
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2)} x$ ) * ((prices Mkt) x n w) * ((qty-sum pf1 pf2) x (Suc n) w) using sum-support-set[of pf1 pf2]
subset-val-process'[of support-set pf1  $\cup$  support-set pf2 qty-sum pf1 pf2] pf fin unfolding val-process-def by simp
also have ... = val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) n w by (metis (no-types, lifting) pf sum.cong val-process-def)
finally have (val-process Mkt pf1) n w + (val-process Mkt pf2) n w = val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) n w .
thus val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) n w = (val-process Mkt pf1) n w + (val-process Mkt pf2) n w ..
qed

```

```

lemma mult-comp-val-process:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  shows  $\forall n w. \text{val-process Mkt} (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) n w = ((\text{val-process Mkt pf1}) n w) * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
proof (intro allI)
  fix n w

```

```

have pf:portfolio (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) using assms by (simp add:mult-comp-portfolio)
have fin:finite (support-set pf1) using assms unfolding portfolio-def by auto
have ((val-process Mkt pf1) n w) * (qty (Suc n) w) =
  ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (\text{pf1 } x (\text{Suc } n) w)) * (\text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
unfolding val-process-def using assms by simp
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * (\text{pf1 } x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (\text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) w))$ ) using sum-distrib-right
by auto
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * ((\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) x (\text{Suc } n) w)$ ) unfolding
  qty-mult-comp-def
by (simp add: mult.commute mult.left-commute)
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty)})} ((\text{prices Mkt}) x n w) * ((\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) x (\text{Suc } n) w)$ ) using mult-comp-support-set[of
  pf1]
  subset-val-process'[of support-set pf1 qty-mult-comp pf1 qty] pf fin unfolding
  val-process-def by simp
also have ... = val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) n w by (metis (no-types,
  lifting) pf sum.cong val-process-def)
finally have (val-process Mkt pf1) n w * (qty (Suc n) w) = val-process Mkt
  (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) n w .
thus val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) n w = (val-process Mkt pf1) n w *
  (qty (Suc n) w) ..
qed

```

```

lemma remove-comp-values:
assumes x ≠ y
shows ∀ n w. pf1 x n w = (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) x n w
proof (intro allI)
  fix n w
  show pf1 x n w = (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) x n w by (simp add: assms qty-rem-comp-def)
qed

```

```

lemma remove-comp-val-process:
assumes portfolio pf1
shows ∀ n w. val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) n w = ((val-process Mkt pf1)
  n w) - (prices Mkt y n w) * (pf1 y (Suc n) w)
proof (intro allI)
  fix n w
  have pf:portfolio (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) using assms by (simp add:remove-comp-portfolio)
  have fin:finite (support-set pf1) using assms unfolding portfolio-def by auto

```

```

hence fin2: finite (support-set pf1 - {y}) by simp
have ((val-process Mkt pf1) n w) =
  ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices Mkt } x n w) * (\text{pf1 } x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ )
  unfolding val-process-def using assms by simp
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} (((\text{prices Mkt } x n w) * (\text{pf1 } x (\text{Suc } n) w)) + (\text{prices Mkt } y n w) * (\text{pf1 } y (\text{Suc } n) w))$ )
proof (cases y ∈ support-set pf1)
  case True
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: fin sum-diff1)
next
  case False
  hence pf1 y (Suc n) w = 0 unfolding support-set-def by simp
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: fin sum-diff1)
qed
also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} ((\text{prices Mkt } x n w) * ((\text{qty-rem-comp pf1 } y) x (\text{Suc } n) w)) + (\text{prices Mkt } y n w) * (\text{pf1 } y (\text{Suc } n) w)$ )
proof -
  have ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} (((\text{prices Mkt } x n w) * (\text{pf1 } x (\text{Suc } n) w))) =$ 
    ( $\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} ((\text{prices Mkt } x n w) * ((\text{qty-rem-comp pf1 } y) x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ )
  proof (rule sum.cong,simp)
    fix x
    assume x ∈ support-set pf1 - {y}
    show prices Mkt x n w * pf1 x (Suc n) w = prices Mkt x n w * qty-rem-comp pf1 y x (Suc n) w using remove-comp-values
      by (metis DiffD2 `x ∈ support-set pf1 - {y}` singletonI)
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = (val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) n w) + (prices Mkt y n w) * (pf1 y (Suc n) w)
  using subset-val-process'[of support-set pf1 - {y} qty-rem-comp pf1 y] fin2
  by (simp add: remove-comp-support-set)
finally have (val-process Mkt pf1) n w =
  (val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) n w) + (prices Mkt y n w) * (pf1 y (Suc n) w).
thus val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) n w = ((val-process Mkt pf1) n w)
  - (prices Mkt y n w) * (pf1 y (Suc n) w) by simp
qed

```

lemma replace-comp-val-process:
assumes $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt } x n w = \text{val-process Mkt } pf2 n w$
and portfolio pf1

```

and portfolio pf2
shows  $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-replace-comp } pf1 x pf2) n w = \text{val-process } Mkt$ 
 $pf1 n w$ 
proof (intro allI)
  fix  $n w$ 
  have  $\text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-replace-comp } pf1 x pf2) n w = \text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-rem-comp}$ 
 $pf1 x) n w +$ 
   $\text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-mult-comp } pf2 (pf1 x)) n w$  unfolding qty-replace-comp-def
  using assms
     $\text{sum-val-process}[\text{of qty-rem-comp } pf1 x \text{ qty-mult-comp } pf2 (pf1 x)]$ 
    by (simp add: mult-comp-portfolio remove-comp-portfolio)
    also have ... =  $\text{val-process } Mkt pf1 n w - (\text{prices } Mkt x n w * pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
    +  $\text{val-process } Mkt pf2 n w * pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
    by (simp add: assms(2) assms(3) mult-comp-val-process remove-comp-val-process)
    also have ... =  $\text{val-process } Mkt pf1 n w$  using assms by simp
    finally show  $\text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-replace-comp } pf1 x pf2) n w = \text{val-process } Mkt$ 
 $pf1 n w$ .
qed

lemma qty-single-val-process:
shows  $\text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-single asset qty}) n w =$ 
 $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n w * \text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-single asset qty}) n w =$ 
   $(\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices } Mkt) x n w) * ((\text{qty-single asset qty}) x (\text{Suc } n) w)) \{\text{asset}\})$ 
proof (rule subset-val-process)
  show  $\text{finite } \{\text{asset}\}$  by simp
  show  $\text{support-set } (\text{qty-single asset qty}) \subseteq \{\text{asset}\}$  by (simp add: single-comp-support)
  qed
  also have ... =  $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } n w * \text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) w$  unfolding qty-single-def
  by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

7.2.3 Trading strategies

```

locale disc-equity-market = triv-init-disc-filtr-prob-space +
  fixes Mkt::('a,'b) discrete-market

```

Discrete predictable processes

Trading strategy definition (in disc-filtr-prob-space) trading-strategy
where
 $\text{trading-strategy } p \longleftrightarrow \text{portfolio } p \wedge (\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } p. \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc}$
 $F(p \text{ asset}))$

definition (in disc-filtr-prob-space) support-adapt:: ('a, 'b) discrete-market \Rightarrow ('b
 $\Rightarrow \text{nat} \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{real}) \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

support-adapt Mkt pf $\longleftrightarrow (\forall \text{ asset} \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{ borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (\text{prices Mkt asset}))$

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) quantity-adapted:
assumes $\forall \text{ asset} \in \text{support-set p}. \text{ p asset (Suc n)} \in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$
 $\forall \text{ asset} \in \text{support-set p}. \text{ prices Mkt asset n} \in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$
shows *val-process Mkt p n* $\in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$
proof (cases portfolio p)
case False
have *val-process Mkt p* $= (\lambda n w. 0)$ **unfolding** *val-process-def* **using** *False* **by** *simp*
thus *?thesis by simp*
next
case True
hence *val-process Mkt p n* $= (\lambda w. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set p}} \text{prices Mkt x n w} * p x (\text{Suc n}) w)$
unfolding *val-process-def* **using** *True* **by** *simp*
moreover have $(\lambda w. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set p}} \text{prices Mkt x n w} * p x (\text{Suc n}) w) \in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$
proof (rule borel-measurable-sum)
fix asset
assume *asset* $\in \text{support-set p}$
hence *p asset (Suc n)* $\in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$ **using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp**
moreover have *prices Mkt asset n* $\in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$
using $\langle \text{asset} \in \text{support-set p} \rangle$ **assms(2) unfolding support-adapt-def by (simp add: adapt-stoch-proc-def)**
ultimately show $(\lambda x. \text{prices Mkt asset n x} * p \text{ asset (Suc n)} x) \in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$ **by simp**
qed
ultimately show *val-process Mkt p n* $\in \text{borel-measurable (F n)}$ **by simp**
qed

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) trading-strategy-adapted:
assumes *trading-strategy p*
and *support-adapt Mkt p*
shows *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p)* **unfolding** *support-adapt-def*
proof (cases portfolio p)
case False
have *val-process Mkt p* $= (\lambda n w. 0)$ **unfolding** *val-process-def* **using** *False* **by** *simp*
thus *borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p)*
by *(simp add: constant-process-borel-adapted)*
next
case True
show *?thesis unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def*
proof

```

fix n
have val-process Mkt p n = ( $\lambda w. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set } p} \text{prices Mkt } x n w * p x$ 
(Suc n) w)
unfolding val-process-def using True by simp
moreover have ( $\lambda w. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set } p} \text{prices Mkt } x n w * p x$  (Suc n) w)  $\in$ 
borel-measurable (F n)
proof (rule borel-measurable-sum)
fix asset
assume asset  $\in$  support-set p
hence p asset (Suc n)  $\in$  borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding
trading-strategy-def predict-stoch-proc-def by simp
moreover have prices Mkt asset n  $\in$  borel-measurable (F n)
using <asset  $\in$  support-set p> assms(2) unfolding support-adapt-def by
(simp add:adapt-stoch-proc-def)
ultimately show ( $\lambda x. \text{prices Mkt asset } n x * p \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) x$ )  $\in$  borel-measurable
(F n) by simp
qed
ultimately show val-process Mkt p n  $\in$  borel-measurable (F n) by simp
qed
qed

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) ats-val-process-adapted:
assumes trading-strategy p
and support-adapt Mkt p
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p) **unfolding** support-adapt-def
by (meson assms(1) assms(2) subsetCE trading-strategy-adapted)

lemma (in disc-equity-market) trading-strategy-init:
assumes trading-strategy p
and support-adapt Mkt p
shows $\exists c. \forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{val-process Mkt } p 0 w = c$ **using** assms adapted-init
ats-val-process-adapted **by** simp

definition (in disc-equity-market) initial-value **where**
initial-value pf = constant-image (val-process Mkt pf 0)

lemma (in disc-equity-market) initial-valueI:
assumes trading-strategy pf
and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{val-process Mkt } pf 0 w = \text{initial-value } pf$ **unfolding** ini-
tial-value-def
proof (rule constant-imageI)
show $\exists c. \forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{val-process Mkt } pf 0 w = c$ **using** trading-strategy-init

```

assms by simp
qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) inc-predict-support-trading-strat:
  assumes trading-strategy pf1
  shows ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ B. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)
proof
  fix asset
  assume asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ B
  show borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)
  proof (cases asset ∈ support-set pf1)
    case True
    thus ?thesis using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def by simp
  next
    case False
    hence ∀ n w. pf1 asset n w = 0 unfolding support-set-def by simp
    show ?thesis unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
    proof
      show pf1 asset 0 ∈ measurable (F 0) borel using ⟨∀ n w. pf1 asset n w = 0⟩
        by (simp add: borel-measurable-const measurable-cong)
    next
      show ∀ n. pf1 asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
      proof
        fix n
        have ∀ w. pf1 asset (Suc n) w = 0 using ⟨∀ n w. pf1 asset n w = 0⟩ by
          simp
        have 0 ∈ space borel by simp
        thus pf1 asset (Suc n) ∈ measurable (F n) borel using measurable-const[of
          0 borel F n]
          by (metis ⟨0 ∈ space borel ⟹ (λx. 0) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)⟩ ⟨0 ∈
            space borel⟩
            ⟨∀ n w. pf1 asset n w = 0⟩ measurable-cong)
      qed
      qed
      qed
    qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) inc-predict-support-trading-strat':
  assumes trading-strategy pf1
  and asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ B
  shows borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)
proof (cases asset ∈ support-set pf1)
  case True
  thus ?thesis using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def by simp
next
  case False
  hence ∀ n w. pf1 asset n w = 0 unfolding support-set-def by simp
  show ?thesis unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def

```

```

proof
  show  $pf1 \text{ asset } 0 \in \text{measurable}(F 0)$  borel using  $\forall n w. pf1 \text{ asset } n w = 0$ 
    by (simp add: borel-measurable-const measurable-cong)
next
  show  $\forall n. pf1 \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable}(F n)$ 
proof
  fix  $n$ 
  have  $\forall w. pf1 \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) w = 0$  using  $\forall n w. pf1 \text{ asset } n w = 0$  by simp
  have  $0 \in \text{space borel}$  by simp
  thus  $pf1 \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{measurable}(F n)$  borel using measurable-const[of 0
borel  $F n$ ]
    by (metis ‹ $0 \in \text{space borel} \implies (\lambda x. 0) \in \text{borel-measurable}(F n)$ › ‹ $0 \in \text{space
borel}$ ›
       $\forall n w. pf1 \text{ asset } n w = 0$  measurable-cong)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) inc-support-trading-strat:
  assumes trading-strategy  $pf1$ 
  shows  $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf1 \cup B. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (pf1 \text{ asset})$  using
assms
  by (simp add: inc-predict-support-trading-strat predict-imp-adapt)

lemma (in disc-equity-market) qty-empty-trading-strat:
  shows trading-strategy qty-empty unfolding trading-strategy-def
proof (intro conjI ballI)
  show portfolio qty-empty
    by (metis fun-upd-triv qty-single-def single-comp-portfolio)
  show  $\bigwedge \text{asset}. \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } qty-empty \implies \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F (qty-empty
\text{asset})$ 
    using qty-empty-support-set by auto
qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) sum-trading-strat:
  assumes trading-strategy  $pf1$ 
  and trading-strategy  $pf2$ 
  shows trading-strategy (qty-sum  $pf1 pf2$ )
proof -
  have  $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf1 \cup \text{support-set } pf2. \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F (pf1
\text{asset})$ 
    using assms by (simp add: inc-predict-support-trading-strat)
  have  $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf2 \cup \text{support-set } pf1. \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F (pf2
\text{asset})$ 
    using assms by (simp add: inc-predict-support-trading-strat)
  have  $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf1 \cup \text{support-set } pf2. \text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F
((qty-sum pf1 pf2) \text{ asset})$ 

```

```

proof
  fix asset
  assume asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2
  show borel-predict-stoch-proc F (qty-sum pf1 pf2 asset) unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
  qty-sum-def
  proof
    show (λw. pf1 asset 0 w + pf2 asset 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
    proof –
      have (λw. pf1 asset 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
      using ∀asset∈support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2. borel-predict-stoch-proc F
      (pf1 asset)
      ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2⟩ predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
      moreover have (λw. pf2 asset 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
      using ∀asset∈support-set pf2 ∪ support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc
      F (pf2 asset)
      ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2⟩ predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis by simp
    qed
  next
    show ∀n. (λw. pf1 asset (Suc n) w + pf2 asset (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable
    (F n)
    proof
      fix n
      have (λw. pf1 asset (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
      using ∀asset∈support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2. borel-predict-stoch-proc
      F (pf1 asset)
      ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2⟩ predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
      moreover have (λw. pf2 asset (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
      using ∀asset∈support-set pf2 ∪ support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc
      F (pf2 asset)
      ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2⟩ predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
      ultimately show (λw. pf1 asset (Suc n) w + pf2 asset (Suc n) w) ∈
      borel-measurable (F n) by simp
    qed
    qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding trading-strategy-def using sum-support-set[of pf1 pf2]
  by (meson assms(1) assms(2) subsetCE sum-portfolio trading-strategy-def)
qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) mult-comp-trading-strat:
  assumes trading-strategy pf1
  and borel-predict-stoch-proc F qty
  shows trading-strategy (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty)
  proof –
    have ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)
    using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def by simp
    have ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty
    asset)

```

```

unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def qty-mult-comp-def
proof (intro ballI conjI)
  fix asset
  assume asset ∈ support-set pf1
  show (λw. pf1 asset 0 w * qty 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
  proof –
    have (λw. pf1 asset 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
    using ⟨∀ asset∈support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)⟩
    ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf1⟩ predict-stoch-proc-def by auto
    moreover have (λw. qty 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using assms predict-stoch-proc-def by auto
    ultimately show (λw. pf1 asset 0 w * qty 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) by simp
  qed
  show ∀ n. (λw. pf1 asset (Suc n) w * qty (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  proof
    fix n
    have (λw. pf1 asset (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
    using ⟨∀ asset∈support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)⟩
    ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf1⟩ predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
    moreover have (λw. qty (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms predict-stoch-proc-def by blast
    ultimately show (λw. pf1 asset (Suc n) w * qty (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable (F n) by simp
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding trading-strategy-def using mult-comp-support-set[of pf1 qty]
    by (meson assms(1) mult-comp-portfolio subsetCE trading-strategy-def)
  qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) remove-comp-trading-strat:
  assumes trading-strategy pf1
  shows trading-strategy (qty-rem-comp pf1 x)
  proof –
    have ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset)
    using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def by simp
    have ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (qty-rem-comp pf1 x asset)
    unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def qty-rem-comp-def
    proof (intro ballI conjI)
      fix asset
      assume asset ∈ support-set pf1
      show (pf1(x := λn w. 0)) asset 0 ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
      proof –
        show (λw. (pf1(x := λn w. 0)) asset 0 w) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0)
        proof (cases asset = x)
          case True
          thus ?thesis by simp

```

```

next
  case False
    thus  $(\lambda w. (pf1(x := \lambda n w. 0)) asset 0 w) \in borel-measurable (F 0)$ 
      using  $\langle \forall asset \in support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset) \rangle$ 
       $\langle asset \in support-set pf1 \rangle$  by (simp add: predict-stoch-proc-def)
    qed
  qed
show  $\forall n. (\lambda w. (pf1(x := \lambda n w. 0)) asset (Suc n) w) \in borel-measurable (F n)$ 
proof
  fix n
  show  $(\lambda w. (pf1(x := \lambda n w. 0)) asset (Suc n) w) \in borel-measurable (F n)$ 
  proof (cases asset = x)
    case True
    thus ?thesis by simp
  next
    case False
    thus  $(\lambda w. (pf1(x := \lambda n w. 0)) asset (Suc n) w) \in borel-measurable (F n)$ 
      using  $\langle \forall asset \in support-set pf1. borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 asset) \rangle$ 
       $\langle asset \in support-set pf1 \rangle$  by (simp add: predict-stoch-proc-def)
    qed
  qed
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding trading-strategy-def using remove-comp-support-set[of
pf1 x]
    by (metis Diff-empty assms remove-comp-portfolio subsetCE subset-Diff-insert
trading-strategy-def)
  qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) replace-comp-trading-strat:
  assumes trading-strategy pf1
  and trading-strategy pf2
shows trading-strategy (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) unfolding qty-replace-comp-def
proof (rule sum-trading-strat)
  show trading-strategy (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) using assms by (simp add: re-
move-comp-trading-strat)
  show trading-strategy (qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x))
  proof (cases x \in support-set pf1)
    case True
    hence borel-predict-stoch-proc F (pf1 x) using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def
  by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add: mult-comp-trading-strat)
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis
      by (meson UnCI assms(1) assms(2) disc-equity-market.inc-predict-support-trading-strat
disc-equity-market-axioms insertI1 mult-comp-trading-strat)
  qed
qed

```

7.2.4 Self-financing portfolios

Closing value process fun *up-cl-proc* where
up-cl-proc Mkt p 0 = val-process Mkt p 0 |
*up-cl-proc Mkt p (Suc n) = (λw. ∑ x∈support-set p. prices Mkt x (Suc n) w * p x (Suc n) w)*

definition *cls-val-process* where
cls-val-process Mkt p = (if (¬ (portfolio p)) then (λ n w. 0)
else (λ n w . up-cl-proc Mkt p n w))

lemma (in *disc-filtr-prob-space*) *quantity-updated-borel*:
assumes $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set p. p asset (Suc n) \in borel-measurable (F n)$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set p. prices Mkt asset n \in borel-measurable (F n)$
shows $\forall n. cls-val-process Mkt p n \in borel-measurable (F n)$
proof (cases *portfolio p*)
case False
have *cls-val-process Mkt p = (λ n w. 0)* **unfolding** *cls-val-process-def* **using**
False by simp
thus ?*thesis* **by simp**
next
case True
show $\forall n. cls-val-process Mkt p n \in borel-measurable (F n)$
proof
fix *n*
show *cls-val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)*
proof (cases *n = 0*)
case False
hence $\exists m. n = Suc m$ **using** *old.nat.exhaust* **by auto**
from this obtain *m* **where** $n = Suc m$ **by auto**
have *cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc m) = (λw. ∑ x∈support-set p. prices Mkt x (Suc m) w * p x (Suc m) w)*
unfolding *cls-val-process-def* **using** *True by simp*
moreover have $(\lambda w. \sum x \in support-set p. prices Mkt x (Suc m) w * p x (Suc m) w) \in borel-measurable (F (Suc m))$
proof (rule *borel-measurable-sum*)
fix *asset*
assume *asset ∈ support-set p*
hence *p asset (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable (F m)* **using** *assms unfolding*
trading-strategy-def adapt-stoch-proc-def **by simp**
hence *p asset (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc m))*
using *Suc-n-not-le-n increasing-measurable-info nat-le-linear* **by blast**
moreover have *prices Mkt asset (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc m))*
using ⟨*asset ∈ support-set p*⟩ *assms(2)* **unfolding** *support-adapt-def*
adapt-stoch-proc-def **by blast**
ultimately show $(\lambda x. prices Mkt asset (Suc m) x * p asset (Suc m) x) \in borel-measurable (F (Suc m))$ **by simp**

```

qed
ultimately have cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc
m)) by simp
thus ?thesis using ⟨n = Suc m⟩ by simp
next
case True
thus cls-val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) assms(2) quantity-adapted up-cl-proc.simps(1)
cls-val-process-def val-process-def)
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) cls-val-process-adapted:
assumes trading-strategy p
and support-adapt Mkt p
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt p)
proof (cases portfolio p)
case False
have cls-val-process Mkt p = (λ n w. 0) unfolding cls-val-process-def using
False by simp
thus borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt p)
by (simp add: constant-process-borel-adapted)
next
case True
show ?thesis unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
fix n
show cls-val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
proof (cases n = 0)
case True
thus cls-val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
using up-cl-proc.simps(1) assms
by (metis (no-types, lifting) adapt-stoch-proc-def ats-val-process-adapted
cls-val-process-def
val-process-def)
next
case False
hence ∃m. Suc m = n using not0-implies-Suc by blast
from this obtain m where Suc m = n by auto
hence cls-val-process Mkt p n = up-cl-proc Mkt p n unfolding cls-val-process-def
using True by simp
also have ... = (λw. ∑ x∈support-set p. prices Mkt x n w * p x n w)
using up-cl-proc.simps(2) ⟨Suc m = n⟩ by auto
finally have cls-val-process Mkt p n = (λw. ∑ x∈support-set p. prices Mkt x
n w * p x n w) .
moreover have (λw. ∑ x∈support-set p. prices Mkt x n w * p x n w) ∈
borel-measurable (F n)

```

```

proof (rule borel-measurable-sum)
  fix asset
  assume asset ∈ support-set p
    hence p asset n ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding trad-ing-strategy-def predict-stoch-proc-def
    using Suc-n-not-le-n ⟨Suc m = n⟩ increasing-measurable-info nat-le-linear
    by blast
    moreover have prices Mkt asset n ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms
    ⟨asset ∈ support-set p⟩ unfolding support-adapt-def adapt-stoch-proc-def
    using stock-portfolio-def by blast
    ultimately show (λx. prices Mkt asset n x * p asset n x) ∈ borel-measurable
    (F n) by simp
    qed
    ultimately show cls-val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n) by simp
    qed
    qed
  qed

lemma subset-cls-val-process:
  assumes finite A
  and support-set p ⊆ A
  shows ∀ n w. cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n) w = (sum (λx. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (p x (Suc n) w)) A)
  proof (intro allI)
    fix n::nat
    fix w::'b
    have portfolio p using assms unfolding portfolio-def using finite-subset by auto
    have ∃ C. (support-set p) ∩ C = {} ∧ (support-set p) ∪ C = A using assms(2)
    by auto
    from this obtain C where (support-set p) ∩ C = {} and (support-set p) ∪ C
    = A by auto note Cprops = this
    have finite C using assms ⟨(support-set p) ∪ C = A⟩ by auto
    have ∀ x ∈ C. p x (Suc n) w = 0 using Cprops(1) support-set-def by fastforce
    hence (∑ x ∈ C. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (p x (Suc n) w)) = 0 by simp
    hence cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n) w = (∑ x ∈ (support-set p). ((prices Mkt) x
    (Suc n) w) * (p x (Suc n) w))
    + (∑ x ∈ C. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (p x (Suc n) w)) unfolding
    cls-val-process-def
    using ⟨portfolio p⟩ up-cl-proc.simps(2)[of Mkt p n] by simp
    also have ... = (∑ x ∈ A. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (p x (Suc n) w))
    using ⟨portfolio p⟩ ⟨finite C⟩ Cprops portfolio-def sum-union-disjoint' by (metis
    (no-types, lifting))
    finally show cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n) w = (∑ x ∈ A. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (p x (Suc n) w)) .
  qed

lemma subset-cls-val-process':
  assumes finite A

```

and $\text{support-set } p \subseteq A$
shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ p \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = (\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w)) \ A)$
proof –
have $\text{portfolio } p \text{ using assms unfolding portfolio-def using finite-subset by auto}$
have $\exists C. (\text{support-set } p) \cap C = \{\} \wedge (\text{support-set } p) \cup C = A$ **using assms(2) by auto**
from this obtain C **where** $(\text{support-set } p) \cap C = \{\}$ **and** $(\text{support-set } p) \cup C = A$ **by auto note** $Cprops = this$
have $\text{finite } C$ **using assms** $\langle (\text{support-set } p) \cup C = A \rangle$ **by auto**
have $\forall x \in C. p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = 0$ **using** $Cprops(1)$ **support-set-def by fastforce**
hence $(\sum x \in C. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w)) = 0$ **by simp**
hence $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ p \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = (\sum x \in (\text{support-set } p). ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))$
 $+ (\sum x \in C. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))$ **unfolding**
 $\text{cls-val-process-def}$
using $\langle \text{portfolio } p \rangle$ $\text{up-cl-proc.simps}(2)[\text{of } Mkt \ p \ n]$ **by simp**
also have $\dots = (\sum x \in A. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))$
using $\langle \text{portfolio } p \rangle$ $\langle \text{finite } C \rangle$ $Cprops$ **portfolio-def sum-union-disjoint' by** (*metis (no-types, lifting)*)
finally show $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ p \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = (\sum x \in A. ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (p \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))$.
qed

lemma $\text{sum-cls-val-process-Suc}:$
assumes $\text{portfolio } pf1$
and $\text{portfolio } pf2$
shows $\forall n \ w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ (\text{qty-sum } pf1 \ pf2) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w =$
 $(\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ pf1) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w + (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ pf2) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w$
proof (*intro allI*)
fix $n \ w$
have $vp1: \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ pf1 \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w =$
 $(\sum x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2). ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (pf1 \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))$
proof –
have $\text{finite } (\text{support-set } pf1 \cup \text{support-set } pf2) \wedge \text{support-set } pf1 \subseteq \text{support-set } pf1 \cup \text{support-set } pf2$
by (*meson assms(1) assms(2) finite-Un portfolio-def sup.cobounded1*)
then show $?thesis$
by (*simp add: subset-cls-val-process*)
qed
have $vp2: \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \ pf2 \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w = (\sum x \in (\text{support-set } pf1) \cup (\text{support-set } pf2). ((\text{prices } Mkt) \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w) * (pf2 \ x \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w))$
proof –
have $\text{finite } (\text{support-set } pf1 \cup \text{support-set } pf2) \wedge \text{support-set } pf2 \subseteq \text{support-set } pf2 \cup \text{support-set } pf1$

```

by (meson assms(1) assms(2) finite-Un portfolio-def sup.cobounded1)
then show ?thesis by (auto simp add: subset-cls-val-process)
qed
have pf:portfolio (qty-sum pf1 pf2) using assms by (simp add:sum-portfolio)
have fin:finite (support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2) using assms finite-Un unfolding portfolio-def by auto
have (cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc n) w + (cls-val-process Mkt pf2) (Suc n) w =
  (sum x∈(support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set pf2). ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (pf1 x (Suc n) w)) +
  (sum x∈(support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set pf2). ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (pf2 x (Suc n) w))
  using vp1 vp2 by simp
also have ... = (sum x∈(support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set pf2).
  (((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (pf1 x (Suc n) w)) + ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) *
  (pf2 x (Suc n) w))
  by (simp add: sum.distrib)
also have ... = (sum x∈(support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set pf2).
  ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * ((pf1 x (Suc n) w) + (pf2 x (Suc n) w))) by (simp add: distrib-left)
also have ... = (sum x∈(support-set pf1) ∪ (support-set pf2).
  ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * ((qty-sum pf1 pf2) x (Suc n) w)) by (simp add: qty-sum-def)
also have ... = (sum x∈(support-set (qty-sum pf1 pf2)).
  ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * ((qty-sum pf1 pf2) x (Suc n) w)) using sum-support-set[of pf1 pf2]
  subset-cls-val-process[of support-set pf1 ∪ support-set pf2 qty-sum pf1 pf2] pf fin
  unfolding cls-val-process-def by simp
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc n) w
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) pf sum.cong up-cl-proc.simps(2) cls-val-process-def)
finally have (cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc n) w + (cls-val-process Mkt pf2) (Suc n) w =
  cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc n) w .
thus cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc n) w =
  (cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc n) w + (cls-val-process Mkt pf2) (Suc n) w ..
qed

lemma sum-cls-val-process0:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
shows ∀ w. cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) 0 w =
  (cls-val-process Mkt pf1) 0 w + (cls-val-process Mkt pf2) 0 w unfolding cls-val-process-def
  by (simp add: sum-val-process assms(1) assms(2) sum-portfolio)

lemma sum-cls-val-process:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
shows ∀ n w. cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) n w =
  (cls-val-process Mkt pf1) n w + (cls-val-process Mkt pf2) n w

```

by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) assms(2) sum-cls-val-process0 sum-cls-val-process-Suc up-cl-proc.elims)

lemma mult-comp-cls-val-process0:
assumes portfolio pf1
shows $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) 0 w = ((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) 0 w) * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } 0) w)$ **unfolding** cls-val-process-def
by (simp add: assms mult-comp-portfolio mult-comp-val-process)

lemma mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc:
assumes portfolio pf1
shows $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) (\text{Suc } n) w = ((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) (\text{Suc } n) w) * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w)$
proof (intro allI)
fix $n w$
have $pf:\text{portfolio} (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty})$ **using** assms **by** (simp add: mult-comp-portfolio)
have $fin:\text{finite} (\text{support-set pf1})$ **using** assms **unfolding** portfolio-def **by** auto
have $((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) (\text{Suc } n) w) * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w) = (\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w)) * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w)$
unfolding cls-val-process-def **using** assms **by** simp
also have ... $= (\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w)) * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w)$ **using** sum-distrib-right **by** auto
also have ... $= (\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * ((\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ **unfolding** qty-mult-comp-def
by (simp add: mult.commute mult.left-commute)
also have ... $= (\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * ((\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ **using** mult-comp-support-set[of pf1 qty]
subset-cls-val-process[of support-set pf1 qty-mult-comp pf1 qty] pf fin up-cl-proc.simps(2)
unfolding cls-val-process-def **by** simp
also have ... $= \text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) (\text{Suc } n) w$ **by** (metis (no-types, lifting) pf sum.cong cls-val-process-def up-cl-proc.simps(2))
finally have $(\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) (\text{Suc } n) w * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w) = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) (\text{Suc } n) w$.
thus $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-mult-comp pf1 qty}) (\text{Suc } n) w = (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) (\text{Suc } n) w * (\text{qty} (\text{Suc } n) w)$..
qed

lemma remove-comp-cls-val-process0:
assumes portfolio pf1
shows $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-rem-comp pf1 y}) 0 w = ((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) 0 w) - (\text{prices } Mkt y 0 w) * (pf1 y (\text{Suc } 0) w)$ **unfolding** cls-val-process-def

by (*simp add: assms remove-comp-portfolio remove-comp-val-process*)

```

lemma remove-comp-cls-val-process-Suc:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  shows  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 y) (\text{Suc } n) w =$ 
     $((\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf1) (\text{Suc } n) w) - (\text{prices } Mkt y (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 y (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
  proof (intro allI)
    fix n w
    have pf:portfolio (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) using assms by (simp add:remove-comp-portfolio)
    have fin:finite (support-set pf1) using assms unfolding portfolio-def by auto
    hence fin2: finite (support-set pf1 - {y}) by simp
    have ((cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc n) w) =
       $(\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ 
      unfolding cls-val-process-def using assms by simp
    also have ... =  $(\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w)) + (\text{prices } Mkt y (\text{Suc } n) w) *$ 
       $(pf1 y (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
    proof (cases y ∈ support-set pf1)
      case True
      thus ?thesis by (simp add: fin sum-diff1)
    next
      case False
      hence pf1 y (Suc n) w = 0 unfolding support-set-def by simp
      thus ?thesis by (simp add: fin sum-diff1)
    qed
    also have ... =  $(\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w)) + (\text{prices } Mkt y (\text{Suc } n) w) *$ 
       $(pf1 y (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
    proof -
      have  $(\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} (((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * (pf1 x (\text{Suc } n) w))) =$ 
         $(\sum_{x \in (\text{support-set pf1} - \{y\})} ((\text{prices } Mkt) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * ((\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 y) x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ 
      proof (rule sum.cong,simp)
        fix x
        assume x ∈ support-set pf1 - {y}
        show prices Mkt x (Suc n) w * pf1 x (Suc n) w = prices Mkt x (Suc n) w *
          qty-rem-comp pf1 y x (Suc n) w using remove-comp-values
          by (metis DiffD2 `x ∈ support-set pf1 - {y}` singletonI)
      qed
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    also have ... =  $(\text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{qty-rem-comp } pf1 y) (\text{Suc } n) w) + (\text{prices } Mkt y (\text{Suc } n) w) *$ 
       $(pf1 y (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
    using subset-cls-val-process[of support-set pf1 - {y} qty-rem-comp pf1 y] fin2
    by (simp add: remove-comp-support-set)
    finally have (cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc n) w =
  
```

```

  (cls-val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) (Suc n) w) + (prices Mkt y (Suc n) w)* (pf1 y (Suc n) w) .
thus cls-val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 y) (Suc n) w =
  ((cls-val-process Mkt pf1) (Suc n) w) - (prices Mkt y (Suc n) w)* (pf1 y (Suc n) w) by simp
qed

```

```

lemma replace-comp-cls-val-process0:
  assumes  $\forall w. \text{prices Mkt } x 0 w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt } pf2 0 w$ 
  and portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
  shows  $\forall w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } (\text{qty-replace-comp pf1 } x \text{ pf2}) 0 w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1 0 w}$ 
proof
  fix w
  have cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) 0 w = cls-val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) 0 w +
    cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x)) 0 w unfolding qty-replace-comp-def
  using assms
    sum-cls-val-process0[of qty-rem-comp pf1 x qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x)]
    by (simp add: mult-comp-portfolio remove-comp-portfolio)
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 0 w - (prices Mkt x 0 w * pf1 x (Suc 0) w) +
    cls-val-process Mkt pf2 0 w * pf1 x (Suc 0) w
  by (simp add: assms(2) assms(3) mult-comp-cls-val-process0 remove-comp-cls-val-process0)
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 0 w using assms by simp
  finally show cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) 0 w = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 0 w .
qed

```

```

lemma replace-comp-cls-val-process-Suc:
  assumes  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt } pf2 (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
  and portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
  shows  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } (\text{qty-replace-comp pf1 } x \text{ pf2}) (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1 } (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
proof (intro allI)
  fix n w
  have cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt (qty-rem-comp pf1 x) (Suc n) w +
    cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x)) (Suc n) w unfolding qty-replace-comp-def
  using assms
    sum-cls-val-process-Suc[of qty-rem-comp pf1 x qty-mult-comp pf2 (pf1 x)]
    by (simp add: mult-comp-portfolio remove-comp-portfolio)
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w - (prices Mkt x (Suc n) w * pf1 x (Suc n) w) +

```

```

cls-val-process Mkt pf2 (Suc n) w * pf1 x (Suc n) w
by (simp add: assms(2) assms(3) mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc remove-comp-cls-val-process-Suc)
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w using assms by simp
finally show cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w =
cls-val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w .
qed

```

```

lemma replace-comp-cls-val-process:
assumes  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt } x n w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf2 } n w$ 
and portfolio pf1
and portfolio pf2
shows  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) } n w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1 } n w$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms replace-comp-cls-val-process0 replace-comp-cls-val-process-Suc up-cl-proc.elims)

```

```

lemma qty-single-updated:
shows cls-val-process Mkt (qty-single asset qty) (Suc n) w =
prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * qty (Suc n) w
proof -
have cls-val-process Mkt (qty-single asset qty) (Suc n) w =
 $(\text{sum } (\lambda x. ((\text{prices Mkt}) x (\text{Suc } n) w) * ((\text{qty-single asset qty}) x (\text{Suc } n) w))$ 
{asset}
proof (rule subset-cls-val-process')
show finite {asset} by simp
show support-set (qty-single asset qty) ⊆ {asset} by (simp add: single-comp-support)
qed
also have ... = prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * qty (Suc n) w unfolding qty-single-def
by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

Self-financing definition self-financing where
 $\text{self-financing Mkt } p \longleftrightarrow (\forall n. \text{val-process Mkt } p (\text{Suc } n) = \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p (\text{Suc } n))$

```

lemma self-financingE:
assumes self-financing Mkt p
shows  $\forall n. \text{val-process Mkt } p n = \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p n$ 
proof
fix n
show val-process Mkt p n = cls-val-process Mkt p n
proof (cases n = 0)
case False
thus ?thesis using assms unfolding self-financing-def
by (metis up-cl-proc.elims)

```

```

next
  case True
    thus ?thesis by (simp add: cls-val-process-def val-process-def)
  qed
qed

lemma static-portfolio-self-financing:
  assumes  $\forall x \in \text{support-set } p. (\forall w. i. p x i w = p x (\text{Suc } i) w)$ 
  shows self-financing Mkt p
unfolding self-financing-def
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix n
  show val-process Mkt p (Suc n) = cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n)
  proof (cases portfolio p)
    case False
    thus ?thesis unfolding val-process-def cls-val-process-def by simp
next
  case True
  have  $\forall w. (\sum x \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) w) =$ 
   $\text{cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n)} w$ 
  proof
    fix w
    show  $(\sum x \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) w) =$ 
       $\text{cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n)} w$ 
  proof –
    have  $(\sum x \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) w) =$ 
       $(\sum x \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
    proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
      fix x
      assume  $x \in \text{support-set } p$ 
      hence  $p x (\text{Suc } n) w = p x (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) w$  using assms by blast
      thus  $\text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) w = \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } n) w$  by simp
    qed
    also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n) w
    using up-cl-proc.simps(2)[of Mkt p n] by (metis True cls-val-process-def)
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  qed
moreover have  $\forall w. \text{val-process Mkt p (Suc n)} w = (\sum x \in \text{support-set } p. \text{prices Mkt } x (\text{Suc } n) w * p x (\text{Suc } (\text{Suc } n)) w)$ 
  unfolding val-process-def using True by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed

```

```

lemma sum-self-financing:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  and portfolio pf2
  and self-financing Mkt pf1
  and self-financing Mkt pf2
  shows self-financing Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2)
  proof -
    have  $\forall n w. \text{val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc } n) w =$ 
       $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc } n) w$ 
    proof (intro allI)
      fix n w
      have val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc n) w = val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc
      n) w + val-process Mkt pf2 (Suc n) w
        using assms by (simp add:sum-val-process)
        also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w + val-process Mkt pf2 (Suc
      n) w using assms
        unfolding self-financing-def by simp
        also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w + cls-val-process Mkt pf2
      (Suc n) w
        using assms unfolding self-financing-def by simp
        also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc n) w using assms
      by (simp add: sum-cls-val-process)
        finally show val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc n) w =
           $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-sum pf1 pf2) (Suc } n) w .$ 
    qed
    thus ?thesis unfolding self-financing-def by auto
  qed

lemma mult-time-constant-self-financing:
  assumes portfolio pf1
  and self-financing Mkt pf1
  and  $\forall n w. \text{qty } n w = \text{qty } (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
  shows self-financing Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty)
  proof -
    have  $\forall n w. \text{val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc } n) w =$ 
       $\text{cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc } n) w$ 
    proof (intro allI)
      fix n w
      have val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc n) w = val-process Mkt pf1
      (Suc n) w * qty (Suc n) w
        using assms by (simp add:mult-comp-val-process)
        also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w * qty (Suc n) w using assms
          unfolding self-financing-def by simp
        also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc n) w using
      assms
        by (auto simp add: mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc)

```

```

finally show val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc n) w =
  cls-val-process Mkt (qty-mult-comp pf1 qty) (Suc n) w .
qed
thus ?thesis unfolding self-financing-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma replace-comp-self-financing:
assumes  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt } x n w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt } pf2 n w$ 
and portfolio pf1
and portfolio pf2
and self-financing Mkt pf1
and self-financing Mkt pf2
shows self-financing Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2)
proof -
  have sfeq:  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt } x n w = \text{val-process Mkt } pf2 n w$  using assms by
  (simp add: self-financingE)
  have  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w =$ 
     $\text{val-process Mkt } (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w$ 
  proof (intro allI)
    fix n w
    have cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w = cls-val-process
      Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w
    using assms by (simp add:replace-comp-cls-val-process)
    also have ... = val-process Mkt pf1 (Suc n) w using assms unfolding self-financing-def
    by simp
    also have ... = val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w
    using assms sfeq by (simp add: replace-comp-val-process self-financing-def)
    finally show cls-val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w =
      val-process Mkt (qty-replace-comp pf1 x pf2) (Suc n) w .
  qed
  thus ?thesis unfolding self-financing-def by auto
qed

```

Make a portfolio self-financing fun remaining-qty where

```

init: remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset 0 =  $(\lambda w. 0)$  |
  first: remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc 0) =  $(\lambda w. (v - \text{val-process Mkt pf 0} w)/(\text{prices Mkt asset 0} w))$  |
  step: remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc (Suc n)) =  $(\lambda w. (\text{remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n)} w) +$ 
     $(\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n)} w - \text{val-process Mkt pf (Suc n)} w)/(\text{prices Mkt asset (Suc n)} w))$ 

```

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) remaining-qty-predict':
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)
and trading-strategy pf
and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n)  $\in$  borel-measurable (F n)

```

```

proof (induct n)
  case 0
    have  $(\lambda w. (v - \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } 0 \text{ } w) / (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } 0 \text{ } w)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } 0)$ 
    proof (rule borel-measurable-divide)
      have  $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } 0 \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } 0)$  using assms
        ats-val-process-adapted by (simp add:adapt-stoch-proc-def)
      thus  $(\lambda x. v - \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } 0 \text{ } x) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } 0)$  by simp
      show  $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } 0 \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } 0)$  using assms unfolding
        adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
    qed
    thus ?case by simp
  next
    case (Suc n)
    have  $(\lambda w. (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } w - \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } w) / (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } w)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
    proof (rule borel-measurable-divide)
      show  $(\lambda w. (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } w - \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } w)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
      using assms cls-val-process-adapted unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by auto
      show  $(\lambda w. (\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } w)) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))$ 
      using assms ats-val-process-adapted by (simp add:adapt-stoch-proc-def)
    qed
    show  $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))$  using assms
    unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
  qed
  moreover have  $\text{remaining-qty } Mkt \text{ v pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } (\text{Suc } n))$  using Suc
  Suc-n-not-le-n increasing-measurable-info nat-le-linear by blast
  ultimately show ?case using Suc remaining-qty.simps(3)[of Mkt v pf asset n]
  by simp
  qed

lemma (in disc-equity-market) remaining-qty-predict:
  assumes  $\text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F \text{ } (\text{prices } Mkt \text{ asset})$ 
  and  $\text{trading-strategy } pf$ 
  and  $\text{support-adapt } Mkt \text{ pf}$ 
  shows  $\text{borel-predict-stoch-proc } F \text{ } (\text{remaining-qty } Mkt \text{ v pf asset})$  unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
  proof (intro conjI allI)
    show  $\text{remaining-qty } Mkt \text{ v pf asset } 0 \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } 0)$  using init by
    simp
    fix n
    show  $\text{remaining-qty } Mkt \text{ v pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ } n)$  using assms
    by (simp add: remaining-qty-predict')
  qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) remaining-qty-adapt:
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)
  and trading-strategy pf
  and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  using adapt-stoch-proc-def assms(1) assms(2) predict-imp-adapt remaining-qty-predict
  by (metis assms(3))

lemma (in disc-equity-market) remaining-qty-adapted:
  assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)
  and trading-strategy pf
  and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
  using assms remaining-qty-adapt by blast

definition self-finance where
  self-finance Mkt v pf (asset::'a) = qty-sum pf (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset))

lemma self-finance-portfolio:
  assumes portfolio pf
shows portfolio (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) unfolding self-finance-def
  by (simp add: assms single-comp-portfolio sum-portfolio)

lemma self-finance-init:
  assumes ∀ w. prices Mkt asset 0 w ≠ 0
  and portfolio pf
shows val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) 0 w = v
proof –
  define scp where scp = qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)
  have val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) 0 w =
    val-process Mkt pf 0 w +
    val-process Mkt scp 0 w unfolding scp-def using assms single-comp-portfolio[of asset]
    sum-val-process[of pf qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) Mkt]
    by (simp add: ‹λqty. portfolio (qty-single asset qty)› self-finance-def)
  also have ... = val-process Mkt pf 0 w +
    (sum (λx. ((prices Mkt) x 0 w) * (scp x (Suc 0) w)) {asset})
    using subset-val-process'[of {asset} scp] unfolding scp-def by (auto simp add: single-comp-support)
  also have ... = val-process Mkt pf 0 w + prices Mkt asset 0 w * scp asset (Suc 0) w by auto
  also have ... = val-process Mkt pf 0 w + prices Mkt asset 0 w * (remaining-qty

```

```

 $Mkt v pf asset) (Suc 0) w$ 
  unfolding  $scp\text{-}def\ qty\text{-}single\text{-}def$  by  $simp$ 
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf 0 w + prices Mkt asset 0 w * (v - val\text{-}process Mkt pf 0 w)/(prices Mkt asset 0 w)$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf 0 w + (v - val\text{-}process Mkt pf 0 w)$  using  $assms$  by  $simp$ 
  also have ... =  $v$  by  $simp$ 
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma self-finance-succ:
  assumes  $prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w \neq 0$ 
  and  $portfolio pf$ 
  shows  $val\text{-}process Mkt (self\text{-}finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w = prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w +$ 
     $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w$ 
proof -
  define  $scp$  where  $scp = qty\text{-}single asset (remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset)$ 
  have  $val\text{-}process Mkt (self\text{-}finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w =$ 
     $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +$ 
     $val\text{-}process Mkt scp (Suc n) w$  unfolding  $scp\text{-}def$  using  $assms$  single-comp-portfolio[of asset]
       $sum\text{-}val\text{-}process [of pf qty\text{-}single asset (remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset) Mkt]$ 
      by ( $simp add: \langle \wedge qty. portfolio (qty\text{-}single asset qty) \rangle self\text{-}finance\text{-}def$ )
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +$ 
     $(sum (\lambda x. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (scp x (Suc (Suc n)) w)) \{asset\})$ 
    using subset-val-process'[of {asset} scp] unfolding  $scp\text{-}def$  by (auto simp add: single-comp-support)
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w + prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * scp asset (Suc (Suc n)) w$  by auto
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w + prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * (remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset) (Suc (Suc n)) w$ 
    unfolding  $scp\text{-}def\ qty\text{-}single\text{-}def$  by  $simp$ 
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +$ 
     $prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w *$ 
     $(remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w + (cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w -$ 
       $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)/(prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w))$ 
    by  $simp$ 
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +$ 
     $prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w +$ 
     $prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * (cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w - val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)/(prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w)$ 
    by ( $simp add: distrib\text{-}left$ )
  also have ... =  $val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +$ 
     $prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * remaining\text{-}qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w +$ 
     $(cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w - val\text{-}process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)$ 
    using  $assms$  by  $simp$ 

```

also have ... = *prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w + cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w* **by simp**
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma self-finance-updated:
assumes *prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w ≠ 0*
and *portfolio pf*
shows *cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w =*
*cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w + prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w*
proof –
define *scp* **where** *scp = qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)*
have *cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w =*
cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +
cls-val-process Mkt scp (Suc n) w **unfolding** *scp-def* **using** *assms single-comp-portfolio[of asset]*
sum-cls-val-process[of pf qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) Mkt]
by (*simp add: <λqty. portfolio (qty-single asset qty)> self-finance-def*)
also have ... = *cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +*
*(sum (λx. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (scp x (Suc n) w)) {asset})*
using *subset-cls-val-process[of {asset} scp]* **unfolding** *scp-def* **by** (*auto simp add: single-comp-support*)
also have ... = *cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w + prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * scp asset (Suc n) w* **by auto**
also have ... = *cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w + prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w*
unfolding *scp-def qty-single-def* **by simp**
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma self-finance-charact:
assumes $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w \neq 0$
and *portfolio pf*
shows *self-financing Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset)*
proof –
have $\forall n w. \text{val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset)} (\text{Suc } n) w =$
cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w
proof (*intro allI*)
fix *n w*
show *val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w =*
cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w **using** *assms self-finance-succ[of Mkt asset]*
by (*simp add: self-finance-updated*)
qed
thus ?thesis **unfolding** *self-financing-def* **by auto**
qed

7.2.5 Replicating portfolios

```

definition (in disc-filtr-prob-space) price-structure::('a ⇒ real) ⇒ nat ⇒ real ⇒
(nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real) ⇒ bool where
  price-structure pyf T π pr ↔ ((∀ w ∈ space M. pr 0 w = π) ∧ (AE w in M. pr
T w = pyf w) ∧ (pr T ∈ borel-measurable (F T)))
lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) price-structure-init:
  assumes price-structure pyf T π pr
  shows ∀ w ∈ space M. pr 0 w = π using assms unfolding price-structure-def
  by simp
lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) price-structure-borel-measurable:
  assumes price-structure pyf T π pr
  shows pr T ∈ borel-measurable (F T) using assms unfolding price-structure-def
  by simp
lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) price-structure-maturity:
  assumes price-structure pyf T π pr
  shows AE w in M. pr T w = pyf w using assms unfolding price-structure-def
  by simp
definition (in disc-equity-market) replicating-portfolio where
  replicating-portfolio pf der matur ↔ (stock-portfolio Mkt pf) ∧ (trading-strategy
pf) ∧ (self-financing Mkt pf) ∧
  (AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt pf matur w = der w)
definition (in disc-equity-market) is-attainable where
  is-attainable der matur ↔ (∃ pf. replicating-portfolio pf der matur)
lemma (in disc-equity-market) replicating-price-process:
  assumes replicating-portfolio pf der matur
  and support-adapt Mkt pf
  shows price-structure der matur (initial-value pf) (cls-val-process Mkt pf)
  unfolding price-structure-def
  proof (intro conjI)
    show AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt pf matur w = der w using assms unfolding
    replicating-portfolio-def by simp
    show ∀ w ∈ space M. cls-val-process Mkt pf 0 w = initial-value pf
    proof
      fix w
      assume w ∈ space M
      thus cls-val-process Mkt pf 0 w = initial-value pf unfolding initial-value-def
      using constant-imageI[of cls-val-process Mkt pf 0]
        trading-strategy-init[of pf] assms replicating-portfolio-def [of pf der matur]
        by (simp add: stock-portfolio-def cls-val-process-def)
    qed
    show cls-val-process Mkt pf matur ∈ borel-measurable (F matur) using assms
    unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
  
```

```

using ats-val-process-adapted[of pf]
cls-val-process-adapted by (simp add:adapt-stoch-proc-def)
qed

```

7.2.6 Arbitrages

```

definition (in disc-filtr-prob-space) arbitrage-process
where
  arbitrage-process Mkt p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\exists m.$  (self-financing Mkt p)  $\wedge$  (trading-strategy p))
 $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall w \in space M.$  val-process Mkt p 0 w = 0)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M.$  0  $\leq$  cls-val-process Mkt p m w)  $\wedge$ 
  0 <  $\mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p m w} > 0)$ 

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) arbitrage-processE:
assumes arbitrage-process Mkt p
shows ( $\exists m.$  (self-financing Mkt p)  $\wedge$  (trading-strategy p))  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall w \in space M.$  cls-val-process Mkt p 0 w = 0)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M.$  0  $\leq$  cls-val-process Mkt p m w)  $\wedge$ 
  0 <  $\mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p m w} > 0)$ 
using assms disc-filtr-prob-space.arbitrage-process-def disc-filtr-prob-space-axioms
self-financingE by fastforce

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) arbitrage-processI:
assumes ( $\exists m.$  (self-financing Mkt p)  $\wedge$  (trading-strategy p))  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall w \in space M.$  cls-val-process Mkt p 0 w = 0)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M.$  0  $\leq$  cls-val-process Mkt p m w)  $\wedge$ 
  0 <  $\mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt p m w} > 0)$ 
shows arbitrage-process Mkt p unfolding arbitrage-process-def using assms
by (simp add: self-financingE cls-val-process-def)

```

```

definition (in disc-filtr-prob-space) viable-market
where
  viable-market Mkt  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall p.$  stock-portfolio Mkt p  $\longrightarrow$   $\neg$  arbitrage-process Mkt p)

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) arbitrage-val-process:
assumes arbitrage-process Mkt pf1
and self-financing Mkt pf2
and trading-strategy pf2
and  $\forall n w.$  cls-val-process Mkt pf1 n w = cls-val-process Mkt pf2 n w
shows arbitrage-process Mkt pf2
proof -
have ( $\exists m.$  (self-financing Mkt pf1)  $\wedge$  (trading-strategy pf1))  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall w \in space M.$  cls-val-process Mkt pf1 0 w = 0)  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M.$  0  $\leq$  cls-val-process Mkt pf1 m w)  $\wedge$ 
  0 <  $\mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf1 m w} > 0)$  using assms arbitrage-processE[of

```

Mkt pf1] by simp
from this obtain m **where** (*self-financing Mkt pf1*) **and** (*trading-strategy pf1*)
and
 $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } 0 w = 0)$ **and**
 $(AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w)$
 $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w > 0)$ **by auto**
have ae-eq: $\forall w \in \text{space } M. (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } 0 w = 0) = (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } 0 w = 0)$
proof
fix w
assume $w \in \text{space } M$
show $(\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } 0 w = 0) = (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } 0 w = 0)$
using assms **by simp**
qed
have ae-geq:*almost-everywhere* $M (\lambda w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w \geq 0) =$
almost-everywhere $M (\lambda w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } m w \geq 0)$
proof (rule AE-cong)
fix w
assume $w \in \text{space } M$
show $(\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w \geq 0) = (\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } m w \geq 0)$
using assms **by simp**
qed
have self-financing $Mkt \text{ pf2}$ **using** assms **by simp**
moreover have trading-strategy $Mkt \text{ pf2}$ **using** assms **by simp**
moreover have $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } 0 w = 0)$ **using** $\langle (\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } 0 w = 0) \rangle$ ae-eq **by simp**
moreover have $AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } m w$ **using** $\langle AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w \rangle$ ae-geq **by simp**
moreover have $0 < \text{prob} \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } m w\}$
proof –
have $\{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf2 } m w\} = \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w\}$
by (simp add: assms(4))
thus ?thesis **by** (simp add: $\langle 0 < \text{prob} \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf1 } m w\} \rangle$)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis **using** arbitrage-processI **by** blast
qed

definition coincides-on **where**
coincides-on $Mkt \text{ Mkt2 } A \longleftrightarrow (\text{stocks } Mkt = \text{stocks } Mkt2 \wedge (\forall x. x \in A \longrightarrow \text{prices } Mkt x = \text{prices } Mkt2 x))$

lemma coincides-val-process:
assumes coincides-on $Mkt \text{ Mkt2 } A$
and support-set $pf \subseteq A$
shows $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n w = \text{val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } n w$
proof (intro allI)

```

fix n w
show val-process Mkt pf n w = val-process Mkt2 pf n w
proof (cases portfolio pf)
  case False
    thus ?thesis unfolding val-process-def by simp
  next
    case True
    hence val-process Mkt pf n w = ( $\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{prices Mkt } x \text{ } n \text{ } w * \text{pf } x$  (Suc n) w) using assms
      unfolding val-process-def by simp
      also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{prices Mkt2 } x \text{ } n \text{ } w * \text{pf } x$  (Suc n) w)
      proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
        fix y
        assume y ∈ support-set pf
        hence y ∈ A using assms unfolding stock-portfolio-def by auto
        hence prices Mkt y n w = prices Mkt2 y n w using assms
          unfolding coincides-on-def by auto
          thus prices Mkt y n w * pf y (Suc n) w = prices Mkt2 y n w * pf y (Suc n)
        w by simp
      qed
      also have ... = val-process Mkt2 pf n w
        by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) calculation val-process-def)
      finally show val-process Mkt pf n w = val-process Mkt2 pf n w .
    qed
  qed
qed

lemma coincides-cls-val-process':
  assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A
  and support-set pf ⊆ A
  shows ∀ n w. cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf (Suc n) w
proof (intro allI)
  fix n w
  show cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf (Suc n) w
  proof (cases portfolio pf)
    case False
    thus ?thesis unfolding cls-val-process-def by simp
  next
    case True
    hence cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w = ( $\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{prices Mkt } x$  (Suc n) w * pf x (Suc n) w) using assms
      unfolding cls-val-process-def by simp
      also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{prices Mkt2 } x$  (Suc n) w * pf x (Suc n) w)
      proof (rule sum.cong, simp)
        fix y
        assume y ∈ support-set pf
        hence y ∈ A using assms unfolding stock-portfolio-def by auto
        hence prices Mkt y (Suc n) w = prices Mkt2 y (Suc n) w using assms
      qed
  qed
qed

```

```

unfolding coincides-on-def by auto
thus prices Mkt y (Suc n) w * pf y (Suc n) w = prices Mkt2 y (Suc n) w * pf y (Suc n) w by simp
qed
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf (Suc n) w
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) True up-cl-proc.simps(2) cls-val-process-def)
finally show cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf (Suc n) w .
qed
qed

lemma coincides-cls-val-process:
assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A
and support-set pf ⊆ A
shows  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ pf } n w$ 
proof (intro allI)
fix n w
show cls-val-process Mkt pf n w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf n w
proof (cases portfolio pf)
case False
thus ?thesis unfolding cls-val-process-def by simp
next
case True
show cls-val-process Mkt pf n w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf n w
proof (induct n)
case 0
with assms show ?case
by (simp add: cls-val-process-def coincides-val-process)
next
case Suc
thus ?case using coincides-cls-val-process' assms by blast
qed
qed
qed

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) coincides-on-self-financing:
assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A
and support-set p ⊆ A
and self-financing Mkt p
shows self-financing Mkt2 p
proof –
have  $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt2 p (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 p (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
proof (intro allI)
fix n w
have val-process Mkt2 p (Suc n) w = val-process Mkt p (Suc n) w
using assms(1) assms(2) coincides-val-process stock-portfolio-def by fastforce
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt p (Suc n) w by (metis <self-financing Mkt p> self-financing-def)

```

```

also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt2 p (Suc n) w
  using assms(1) assms(2) coincides-cls-val-process stock-portfolio-def by blast
finally show val-process Mkt2 p (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt2 p (Suc n) w

qed
thus self-financing Mkt2 p unfolding self-financing-def by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) coincides-on-arbitrage:
  assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A
  and support-set p ⊆ A
  and arbitrage-process Mkt p
shows arbitrage-process Mkt2 p
proof -
  have ( $\exists m. (\text{self-financing Mkt } p) \wedge (\text{trading-strategy } p) \wedge$ 
     $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ 0 \ w = 0) \wedge$ 
     $(AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ m \ w) \wedge$ 
     $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ m \ w > 0))$  using assms using arbi-
trage-processE by simp
  from this obtain  $m$  where (self-financing Mkt p) and (trading-strategy p) and
   $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ 0 \ w = 0)$  and
   $(AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ m \ w)$ 
   $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ m \ w > 0)$  by auto
  have ae-eq: $\forall w \in \text{space } M. (\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ 0 \ w = 0) = (\text{cls-val-process}$ 
Mkt p 0 w = 0)
  proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in \text{space } M$ 
    show  $(\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ 0 \ w = 0) = (\text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ 0 \ w = 0)$ 
      using assms coincides-cls-val-process by (metis)
  qed
  have ae-geq: $\text{almost-everywhere } M. (\lambda w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w \geq 0) = \text{al-}$ 
most-everywhere } M. (\lambda w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ m \ w \geq 0)
  proof (rule AE-cong)
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in \text{space } M$ 
    show  $(\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w \geq 0) = (\text{cls-val-process Mkt } p \ m \ w \geq 0)$ 
      using assms coincides-cls-val-process by (metis)
  qed
  have self-financing Mkt2 p using assms coincides-on-self-financing
    using ⟨self-financing Mkt p⟩ by blast
  moreover have trading-strategy p using ⟨trading-strategy p⟩ .
  moreover have  $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ 0 \ w = 0)$  using ⟨ $\forall w \in$ 
space M. cls-val-process Mkt p 0 w = 0⟩ ae-eq by simp
  moreover have  $AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w$  using ⟨ $AE w \text{ in }$ 
M. 0 ≤ cls-val-process Mkt p m w⟩ ae-geq by simp
  moreover have  $0 < \text{prob} \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w\}$ 
  proof -

```

```

have { $w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 p m w\} = {w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt p m w\}
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1) assms(2) coincides-cls-val-process)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add: ‹0 < prob {w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt p m w}›)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using arbitrage-processI by blast
qed

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) coincides-on-stocks-viable:
  assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)
  and viable-market Mkt
  shows viable-market Mkt2 using coincides-on-arbitrage
  by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) assms(1) assms(2) coincides-on-def stock-portfolio-def
viable-market-def)

lemma coincides-stocks-val-process:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
  and coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)
  shows  $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt pf n w = \text{val-process } Mkt2 pf n w$  using assms
unfolding stock-portfolio-def
  by (simp add: coincides-val-process)

lemma coincides-stocks-cls-val-process:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
  and coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)
  shows  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf n w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 pf n w$  using assms
unfolding stock-portfolio-def
  by (simp add: coincides-cls-val-process)

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) coincides-on-adapted-val-process:
  assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A
  and support-set p ⊆ A
  and borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt p)
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (val-process Mkt2 p) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix n
  have val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding
adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
  moreover have  $\forall w. \text{val-process } Mkt p n w = \text{val-process } Mkt2 p n w$  using
assms coincides-val-process[of Mkt Mkt2 A]
  by auto
  thus val-process Mkt2 p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  using calculation by presburger
qed

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) coincides-on-adapted-cls-val-process:$ 
```

```

assumes coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 A
and support-set p ⊆ A
and borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt p)
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (cls-val-process Mkt2 p) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
  fix n
  have cls-val-process Mkt p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n) using assms unfolding
    adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
  moreover have ∀ w. cls-val-process Mkt p n w = cls-val-process Mkt2 p n w
  using assms coincides-cls-val-process[of Mkt Mkt2 A]
  by auto
  thus cls-val-process Mkt2 p n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  using calculation by presburger
qed

```

7.2.7 Fair prices

```

definition (in disc-filtr-prob-space) fair-price where
  fair-price Mkt π pyf matur ↔
    (exists pr. price-structure pyf matur π pr ∧
      (forall x Mkt2 p. (xnotin stocks Mkt) →
        ((coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)) ∧ (prices Mkt2 x = pr) ∧ portfolio p
        ∧ support-set p ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} →
          ¬ arbitrage-process Mkt2 p)))

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) fair-priceI:
assumes fair-price Mkt π pyf matur
shows (exists pr. price-structure pyf matur π pr ∧
  (forall x. (xnotin stocks Mkt) →
    (forall Mkt2 p. (coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)) ∧ (prices Mkt2 x = pr) ∧
    portfolio p ∧ support-set p ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} →
      ¬ arbitrage-process Mkt2 p))) using assms unfolding fair-price-def by
  simp

```

Existence when replicating portfolio **lemma (in disc-equity-market)** replicating-fair-price:

```

assumes viable-market Mkt
and replicating-portfolio pf der matur
and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows fair-price Mkt (initial-value pf) der matur
proof (rule ccontr)
  define π where π = (initial-value pf)
  assume ¬ fair-price Mkt π der matur
  hence imps: ∀ pr. (price-structure der matur π pr) → (exists x Mkt2 p. (xnotin stocks
  Mkt) ∧
    ((coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)) ∧ (prices Mkt2 x = pr) ∧ portfolio p ∧
    support-set p ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x}) ∧

```

```

arbitrage-process Mkt2 p)) unfolding fair-price-def by simp
have (price-structure der matur  $\pi$  (cls-val-process Mkt pf)) unfolding  $\pi$ -def
using replicating-price-process assms by simp
hence  $\exists x \text{ Mkt2 } p. (x \notin \text{stocks Mkt} \wedge$ 
      (coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt))  $\wedge$  (prices Mkt2 x = (cls-val-process Mkt
      pf))  $\wedge$  portfolio p  $\wedge$  support-set p  $\subseteq$  stocks Mkt  $\cup \{x\}$   $\wedge$ 
      arbitrage-process Mkt2 p) using imps by simp
from this obtain x Mkt2 p where x  $\notin$  stocks Mkt and coincides-on Mkt Mkt2
(stocks Mkt) and prices Mkt2 x = cls-val-process Mkt pf
and portfolio p and support-set p  $\subseteq$  stocks Mkt  $\cup \{x\}$  and arbitrage-process
Mkt2 p by auto
have  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf } n w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 pf } n w$ 
using coincides-stocks-cls-val-process[of Mkt pf Mkt2] assms <coincides-on Mkt
Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)> unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
by simp
have  $\exists m. \text{self-financing Mkt2 } p \wedge$ 
trading-strategy p  $\wedge$ 
( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ 0 \ w = 0$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w$ )  $\wedge$   $0 < \text{prob } \{w \in \text{space } M. 0$ 
 $< \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w\}$ 
using <arbitrage-process Mkt2 p> using arbitrage-processE[of Mkt2] by simp
from this obtain m where self-financing Mkt2 p
trading-strategy p  $\wedge$ 
( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ 0 \ w = 0$ )  $\wedge$ 
( $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w$ )  $\wedge$   $0 < \text{prob } \{w \in \text{space } M. 0$ 
 $< \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ m \ w\}$  by auto note mprop = this
define eq-stock where eq-stock = qty-replace-comp p x pf
have  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf } n w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 pf } n w$  using assms
unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
using coincides-cls-val-process
using < $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf } n w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 pf } n w$ > by
blast
hence prx:  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt2 } x \ n \ w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 pf } n \ w$  using <prices
Mkt2 x = cls-val-process Mkt pf> by simp
have stock-portfolio Mkt2 eq-stock
by (metis (no-types, lifting) <coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)> <portfolio p>
<support-set p  $\subseteq$  stocks Mkt  $\cup \{x\}\rangle$ 
assms(2) coincides-on-def disc-equity-market.replicating-portfolio-def disc-equity-market-axioms
eq-stock-def
replace-comp-portfolio replace-comp-stocks stock-portfolio-def)
moreover have arbitrage-process Mkt2 eq-stock
proof (rule arbitrage-val-process)
show arbitrage-process Mkt2 p using <arbitrage-process Mkt2 p> .
show vp:  $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 } p \ n \ w = \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 eq-stock } n \ w$ 
using replace-comp-cls-val-process
<prices Mkt2 x = cls-val-process Mkt pf> unfolding eq-stock-def
by (metis (no-types, lifting) < $\forall n w. \text{cls-val-process Mkt pf } n \ w = \text{cls-val-process}$ 
Mkt2 pf n w> <portfolio p> assms(2) replicating-portfolio-def
stock-portfolio-def)

```

```

show trading-strategy eq-stock
by (metis ‹arbitrage-process Mkt2 p› arbitrage-process-def assms(2) eq-stock-def
      replace-comp-trading-strat replicating-portfolio-def)
show self-financing Mkt2 eq-stock unfolding eq-stock-def
proof (rule replace-comp-self-financing)
show portfolio pf using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def
by simp
  show portfolio p using ‹portfolio p› .
  show  $\forall n w. \text{prices } Mkt2 x n w = \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 pf n w$  using prx .
  show self-financing Mkt2 p using ‹self-financing Mkt2 p› .
    show self-financing Mkt2 pf using coincides-on-self-financing[of Mkt Mkt2
      stocks Mkt pf]
      ‹coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)› assms(2) unfolding stock-portfolio-def
      replicating-portfolio-def by auto
    qed
  qed
  moreover have viable-market Mkt2 using assms coincides-on-stocks-viable[of
    Mkt Mkt2]
    by (simp add: ‹coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)›)
  ultimately show False unfolding viable-market-def by simp
qed

```

Uniqueness when replicating portfolio The proof of uniqueness requires the existence of a stock that always takes strictly positive values.

```

locale disc-market-pos-stock = disc-equity-market +
  fixes pos-stock
  assumes in-stock: pos-stock ∈ stocks Mkt
  and positive:  $\forall n w. \text{prices } Mkt pos-stock n w > 0$ 
  and readable:  $\forall asset \in \text{stocks } Mkt. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (\text{prices } Mkt asset)$ 

```

```

lemma (in disc-market-pos-stock) pos-stock-borel-adapted:
  shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt pos-stock)
  using assets-def readable in-stock by auto

```

```

definition static-quantities where
  static-quantities p  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall asset \in \text{support-set } p. \exists c::real. p asset = (\lambda n w. c)$ )
lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) static-quantities-trading-strat:
  assumes static-quantities p
  and finite (support-set p)
  shows trading-strategy p unfolding trading-strategy-def
proof (intro conjI ballI)
  show portfolio p using assms unfolding portfolio-def by simp
  fix asset

```

```

assume asset ∈ support-set p
hence ∃ c. p asset = (λ n w. c) using assms unfolding static-quantities-def by
simp
then obtain c where p asset = (λ n w. c) by auto
show borel-predict-stoch-proc F (p asset) unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
proof (intro conjI)
show p asset 0 ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using ⟨p asset = (λ n w. c)⟩ by simp
show ∀ n. p asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
proof
fix n
have p asset (Suc n) = (λ w. c) using ⟨p asset = (λ n w. c)⟩ by simp
thus p asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n) by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma two-component-support-set:
assumes ∃ n w. a n w ≠ 0
and ∃ n w. b n w ≠ 0
and x ≠ y
shows support-set ((λ (x::'b) (n::nat) (w::'a). 0::real)(x:= a, y:= b)) = {x,y}
proof
let ?arb-pf = (λ (x::'b) (n::nat) (w::'a). 0::real)(x:= a, y:= b)
have ∃ n w. ?arb-pf x n w ≠ 0 using assms by simp
moreover have ∃ n w. ?arb-pf y n w ≠ 0 using assms by simp
ultimately show {x, y} ⊆ support-set ?arb-pf unfolding support-set-def by
simp
show support-set ?arb-pf ⊆ {x, y}
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬ support-set ?arb-pf ⊆ {x, y}
hence ∃ z. z ∈ support-set ?arb-pf ∧ z ∉ {x, y} by auto
from this obtain z where z ∈ support-set ?arb-pf and z ∉ {x, y} by auto
have ∃ n w. ?arb-pf z n w ≠ 0 using ⟨z ∈ support-set ?arb-pf⟩ unfolding
support-set-def by simp
from this obtain n w where ?arb-pf z n w ≠ 0 by auto
have ?arb-pf z n w = 0 using ⟨z ∉ {x, y}⟩ by simp
thus False using ⟨?arb-pf z n w ≠ 0⟩ by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma two-component-val-process:
assumes arb-pf = ((λ (x::'b) (n::nat) (w::'a). 0::real)(x:= a, y:= b))
and portfolio arb-pf
and x ≠ y
and ∃ n w. a n w ≠ 0
and ∃ n w. b n w ≠ 0
shows val-process Mkt arb-pf n w =

```

```

prices Mkt y n w * b (Suc n) w + prices Mkt x n w * a (Suc n) w
proof –
  have support-set arb-pf = {x,y} using assms by (simp add:two-component-support-set)
  have val-process Mkt arb-pf n w = ( $\sum_{x \in \text{support-set arb-pf}} \text{prices Mkt } x n w * \text{arb-pf } x (\text{Suc } n) w$ )
    unfolding val-process-def using <portfolio arb-pf> by simp
    also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in \{x, y\}} \text{prices Mkt } x n w * \text{arb-pf } x (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) using
      <support-set arb-pf = {x, y}>
        by simp
    also have ... = ( $\sum_{x \in \{y\}} \text{prices Mkt } x n w * \text{arb-pf } x (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) +  $\text{prices Mkt } x n w * \text{arb-pf } x (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
      using sum.insert[of {y} x  $\lambda x. \text{prices Mkt } x n w * \text{arb-pf } x n w] assms(3)
    by auto
    also have ... =  $\text{prices Mkt } y n w * \text{arb-pf } y (\text{Suc } n) w + \text{prices Mkt } x n w * \text{arb-pf } x (\text{Suc } n) w$  by simp
    also have ... =  $\text{prices Mkt } y n w * b (\text{Suc } n) w + \text{prices Mkt } x n w * a (\text{Suc } n) w$  using assms by auto
    finally show val-process Mkt arb-pf n w =  $\text{prices Mkt } y n w * b (\text{Suc } n) w + \text{prices Mkt } x n w * a (\text{Suc } n) w$  .
  qed

lemma quantity-update-support-set:
  assumes  $\exists n w. \text{pr } n w \neq 0$ 
  and  $x \notin \text{support-set } p$ 
  shows support-set (p(x:=pr)) = support-set p  $\cup \{x\}$ 
  proof
    show support-set (p(x := pr))  $\subseteq$  support-set p  $\cup \{x\}$ 
    proof
      fix y
      assume  $y \in \text{support-set } (p(x := pr))$ 
      show  $y \in \text{support-set } p \cup \{x\}$ 
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume  $\neg y \in \text{support-set } p \cup \{x\}$ 
        hence  $y \neq x$  by simp
        have  $\exists n w. (p(x := pr)) y n w \neq 0$  using < $y \in \text{support-set } (p(x := pr))$ >
        unfolding support-set-def by simp
        then obtain n w where nwprop:  $(p(x := pr)) y n w \neq 0$  by auto
        have  $y \notin \text{support-set } p$  using < $\neg y \in \text{support-set } p \cup \{x\}$ > by simp
        hence  $y = x$  using nwprop using support-set-def by force
        thus False using < $y \neq x$ > by simp
    qed
  qed
  show support-set p  $\cup \{x\} \subseteq \text{support-set } (p(x := pr))$ 
  proof
    fix y
    assume  $y \in \text{support-set } p \cup \{x\}$ 
    show  $y \in \text{support-set } (p(x := pr))$ 
    proof (cases  $y \in \text{support-set } p$ )
      case True$ 
```

```

thus ?thesis
proof -
  have f1:  $y \in \{b. \exists n a. p b n a \neq 0\}$ 
    by (metis True support-set-def)
  then have  $y \neq x$ 
    using assms(2) support-set-def by force
  then show ?thesis
    using f1 by (simp add: support-set-def)
qed
next
  case False
  hence  $y = x$  using  $\langle y \in \text{support-set } p \cup \{x\} \rangle$  by auto
  thus ?thesis using assms by (simp add: support-set-def)
qed
qed
qed

```

```

lemma fix-asset-price:
  shows  $\exists x Mkt2. x \notin \text{stocks } Mkt \wedge$ 
         $\text{coincides-on } Mkt Mkt2 (\text{stocks } Mkt) \wedge$ 
         $\text{prices } Mkt2 x = pr$ 
proof -
  have  $\exists x. x \notin \text{stocks } Mkt$  by (metis UNIV-eq-I stk-strict-subs-def mkt-stocks-assets)
  from this obtain x where  $x \notin \text{stocks } Mkt$  by auto
  let ?res = discrete-market-of (stocks Mkt) ((prices Mkt)(x:=pr))
  have coincides-on Mkt ?res (stocks Mkt)
  proof -
    have stocks Mkt = stocks (discrete-market-of (stocks Mkt) ((prices Mkt)(x := pr)))
      by (metis (no-types) stk-strict-subs-def mkt-stocks-assets stocks-of)
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add:  $\langle x \notin \text{stocks } Mkt \rangle$  coincides-on-def prices-of)
  qed
  have prices ?res x = pr by (simp add: prices-of)
  show ?thesis
    using  $\langle \text{coincides-on } Mkt (\text{discrete-market-of } (\text{stocks } Mkt) ((\text{prices } Mkt)(x := pr))) (\text{stocks } Mkt) \rangle$   $\langle \text{prices } (\text{discrete-market-of } (\text{stocks } Mkt) ((\text{prices } Mkt)(x := pr))) x = pr \rangle$   $\langle x \notin \text{stocks } Mkt \rangle$  by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-market-pos-stock) arbitrage-portfolio-properties:
  assumes price-structure der matur  $\pi$  pr
  and replicating-portfolio pf der matur
  and (coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt))
  and (prices Mkt2 x = pr)
  and  $x \notin \text{stocks } Mkt$ 

```

```

and diff-inv = ( $\pi - \text{initial-value } pf$ ) / constant-image (prices Mkt pos-stock 0)
and diff-inv  $\neq 0$ 
and arb-pf =  $(\lambda (x::'b) (n::nat) (w::'a). 0::real)(x := (\lambda n w. -1), \text{pos-stock} := (\lambda n w. \text{diff-inv}))$ 
and contr-pf = qty-sum arb-pf pf
shows self-financing Mkt2 contr-pf
and trading-strategy contr-pf
and  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf } 0 w = 0$ 
and  $0 < \text{diff-inv} \rightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf } \text{matur } w)$ 
and  $\text{diff-inv} < 0 \rightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 > \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf } \text{matur } w)$ 
and support-set arb-pf = { $x, \text{pos-stock}$ }
and portfolio contr-pf
proof -
  have  $0 < \text{constant-image} (\text{prices Mkt pos-stock } 0)$  using trading-strategy-init
  proof -
    have borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt pos-stock) using pos-stock-borel-adapted
    by simp
    hence  $\exists c. \forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{prices Mkt pos-stock } 0 w = c$  using adapted-init[of
    prices Mkt pos-stock] by simp
    moreover have  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{prices Mkt pos-stock } 0 w$  using positive
    by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using constant-image-pos by simp
    qed
    show support-set arb-pf = { $x, \text{pos-stock}$ }
    proof -
      have arb-pf =  $(\lambda (x::'b) (n::nat) (w::'a). 0::real)(x := (\lambda n w. -1), \text{pos-stock} := (\lambda n w. \text{diff-inv}))$ 
      using <arb-pf =  $(\lambda (x::'b) (n::nat) (w::'a). 0::real)(x := (\lambda n w. -1), \text{pos-stock} := (\lambda n w. \text{diff-inv}))$ > .
      moreover have  $\exists n w. \text{diff-inv} \neq 0$  using assms by simp
      moreover have  $x \notin \text{pos-stock}$  using < $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ > in-stock by auto
      ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:two-component-support-set)
      qed
      hence portfolio arb-pf unfolding portfolio-def by simp
      have arb-vp: $\forall n w. \text{val-process } Mkt2 \text{ arb-pf } n w = \text{prices Mkt2 pos-stock } n w * \text{diff-inv} - \text{pr } n w$ 
      proof (intro allI)
        fix n w
        have val-process Mkt2 arb-pf n w = prices Mkt2 pos-stock n w * ( $\lambda n w. \text{diff-inv}$ )
         $n w + \text{prices Mkt2 } x n w * (\lambda n w. -1) n w$ 
        proof (rule two-component-val-process)
          show  $x \notin \text{pos-stock}$  using < $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ > in-stock by auto
          show arb-pf =  $(\lambda x n w. 0)(x := \lambda a b. -1, \text{pos-stock} := \lambda a b. \text{diff-inv})$  using
          assms by simp
          show portfolio arb-pf using <portfolio arb-pf> by simp
          show  $\exists n w. -1 \neq 0$  by simp
          show  $\exists n w. \text{diff-inv} \neq 0$  using assms by auto
        qed
        also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock n w * diff-inv - pr n w using <prices

```

```

Mkt2 x = pr by simp
  finally show val-process Mkt2 arb-pf n w = prices Mkt2 pos-stock n w * diff-inv
  - pr n w .
qed
have static-quantities arb-pf unfolding static-quantities-def
proof
  fix asset
  assume asset ∈ support-set arb-pf
  thus ∃ c. arb-pf asset = (λn w. c)
  proof (cases asset = x)
    case True
    thus ?thesis using assms by auto
  next
    case False
    hence asset = pos-stock using <support-set arb-pf = {x, pos-stock}>
      using <asset ∈ support-set arb-pf> by blast
    thus ?thesis using assms by auto
  qed
qed
hence trading-strategy arb-pf
  using <portfolio arb-pf> portfolio-def static-quantities-trading-strat by blast
have self-financing Mkt2 arb-pf
  by (simp add: static-portfolio-self-financing <arb-pf = (λx n w. 0) (x := λn w. 1, pos-stock := λn w. diff-inv)>)
hence arb-up: ∀ n w. cls-val-process Mkt2 arb-pf n w = prices Mkt2 pos-stock n w * diff-inv - pr n w using assms arb-vp
  by (simp add:self-financingE)
show portfolio contr-pf using assms
  by (metis <support-set arb-pf = {x, pos-stock}> replicating-portfolio-def
    finite.emptyI finite.insertI portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def sum-portfolio)
have support-set contr-pf ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x}
proof -
  have support-set contr-pf ⊆ support-set arb-pf ∪ support-set pf using assms
    by (simp add:sum-support-set)
  moreover have support-set arb-pf ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} using <support-set arb-pf = {x, pos-stock}> in-stock by simp
  moreover have support-set pf ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} using assms unfolding
    replicating-portfolio-def
    stock-portfolio-def by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
show self-financing Mkt2 contr-pf
proof -
  have self-financing Mkt2 (qty-sum arb-pf pf)
  proof (rule sum-self-financing)
    show portfolio arb-pf using <support-set arb-pf = {x, pos-stock}> unfolding
      portfolio-def by auto
    show portfolio pf using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def
      by auto

```

```

show self-financing Mkt2 pf using coincides-on-self-financing
  <(coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt))> <(prices Mkt2 x = pr)> assms(2)
    unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def by blast
    show self-financing Mkt2 arb-pf
      by (simp add: static-portfolio-self-financing <arb-pf = ( $\lambda x n w. 0$ ) ( $x := \lambda n w. -1$ , pos-stock :=  $\lambda n w. diff-inv$ )>)
      qed
      thus ?thesis using assms by simp
    qed
    show trading-strategy contr-pf
    proof -
      have trading-strategy (qty-sum arb-pf pf)
      proof (rule sum-trading-strat)
        show trading-strategy pf using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def by simp
          show trading-strategy arb-pf using <trading-strategy arb-pf> .
          qed
          thus ?thesis using assms by simp
        qed
        show  $\forall w \in space M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf } 0 w = 0$ 
        proof
          fix w
          assume w  $\in$  space M
          have cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf 0 w = cls-val-process Mkt2 arb-pf 0 w +
            cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 w
            using sum-cls-val-process0[of arb-pf pf Mkt2]
            using <portfolio arb-pf> assms replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def by blast
          also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock 0 w * diff-inv - pr 0 w + cls-val-process
            Mkt2 pf 0 w using arb-uvp by simp
          also have ... = constant-image (prices Mkt pos-stock 0) * diff-inv - pr 0 w +
            cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 w
          proof -
            have f1: prices Mkt pos-stock = prices Mkt2 pos-stock
            using <coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)> in-stock unfolding coincides-on-def by blast
            have prices Mkt pos-stock 0 w = constant-image (prices Mkt pos-stock 0)
            using < $w \in space M$ > adapted-init constant-imageI pos-stock-borel-adapted
            by blast
            then show ?thesis
              using f1 by simp
            qed
          also have ... = ( $\pi - initial-value pf$ ) - pr 0 w + cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 w
            using < $0 < constant-image (prices Mkt pos-stock 0)$ > assms by simp
          also have ... = ( $\pi - initial-value pf$ ) -  $\pi + cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 w$  using
            <price-structure der matur  $\pi$  pr>
            price-structure-init[of der matur  $\pi$  pr] by (simp add: < $w \in space M$ >)
          also have ... = ( $\pi - initial-value pf$ ) -  $\pi + (initial-value pf)$  using initial-valueI
            assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def

```

```

using ‹w ∈ space M› coincides-stocks-cls-val-process self-financingE readable
by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) support-adapt-def stock-portfolio-def sub-
setCE)
also have ... = 0 by simp
finally show cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf 0 w = 0 .
qed
show 0 < diff-inv —→ (AE w in M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w)
proof
assume 0 < diff-inv
show AE w in M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w
proof (rule AE-mp)
have AE w in M. prices Mkt2 x matur w = der w using ‹price-structure der
matur π pr› ‹prices Mkt2 x = pr›
unfolding price-structure-def by auto
moreover have AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w = der w using
assms coincides-stocks-cls-val-process[of Mkt pf Mkt2]
‹coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)› unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
by auto
ultimately show AE w in M. prices Mkt2 x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2
pf matur w by auto
show AE w in M. prices Mkt2 x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
—→ 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w
proof (rule AE-I2, rule impI)
fix w
assume w ∈ space M
and prices Mkt2 x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
have cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 arb-pf
matur w + cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
using sum-cls-val-process[of arb-pf pf Mkt2]
⟨portfolio arb-pf⟩ assms replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def by blast
also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock matur w * diff-inv - pr matur w +
cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
using arb-uwp by simp
also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock matur w * diff-inv - prices Mkt2 x
matur w + cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
using ‹prices Mkt2 x = pr› by simp
also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock matur w * diff-inv using ‹prices Mkt2
x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w›
by simp
also have ... > 0 using positive ‹0 < diff-inv›
by (metis ‹coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)› coincides-on-def in-stock
mult-pos-pos)
finally have cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w > 0.
thus 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w by simp
qed
qed
qed
show diff-inv < 0 —→ (AE w in M. 0 > cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w)
proof

```

```

assume diff-inv < 0
show AE w in M. 0 > cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w
proof (rule AE-mp)
  have AE w in M. prices Mkt2 x matur w = der w using <price-structure der
  matur π pr> <prices Mkt2 x = pr>
  unfolding price-structure-def by auto
  moreover have AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w = der w using
  assms coincides-stocks-cls-val-process[of Mkt pf Mkt2]
    <coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)> unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
  by auto
  ultimately show AE w in M. prices Mkt2 x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2
  pf matur w by auto
  show AE w in M. prices Mkt2 x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
  → 0 > cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w
  proof (rule AE-I2, rule impI)
  fix w
  assume w ∈ space M
  and prices Mkt2 x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
  have cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 arb-pf
  matur w + cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
  using sum-cls-val-process[of arb-pf pf Mkt2]
  <portfolio arb-pf> assms replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def by blast
  also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock matur w * diff-inv - pr matur w +
  cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
  using arb-upp by simp
  also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock matur w * diff-inv - prices Mkt2 x
  matur w + cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w
  using <prices Mkt2 x = pr> by simp
  also have ... = prices Mkt2 pos-stock matur w * diff-inv using <prices Mkt2
  x matur w = cls-val-process Mkt2 pf matur w>
  by simp
  also have ... < 0 using positive <diff-inv < 0>
  by (metis <coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)> coincides-on-def in-stock
  mult-pos-neg)
  finally have cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w < 0.
  thus 0 > cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w by simp
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) mult-comp-cls-val-process-measurable':
assumes cls-val-process Mkt2 pf n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
and portfolio pf
and qty n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
and 0 ≠ n
shows cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
proof –
have ∃ m. n = Suc m **using** assms **by** presburger

```

from this obtain m where n = Suc m by auto
  hence cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable
(F (Suc m))
  using mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc[of pf Mkt2 qty] borel-measurable-times[of
cls-val-process Mkt2 pf (Suc m) F (Suc m) qty (Suc m)]
  assms ⟨n= Suc m⟩ by presburger
  thus ?thesis using ⟨n = Suc m⟩ by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) mult-comp-cls-val-process-measurable:
  assumes ∀ n. cls-val-process Mkt2 pf n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  and portfolio pf
  and ∀ n. qty (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  shows ∀ n. cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
proof
  fix n
  show cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
  proof (cases n=0)
    case False
    hence ∃ m. n = Suc m by presburger
    from this obtain m where n = Suc m by auto
    have qty n ∈ borel-measurable (F n)
    using Suc-n-not-le-n ⟨n = Suc m⟩ assms(3) increasing-measurable-info nat-le-linear
    by blast
    hence qty (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable (F (Suc m)) using ⟨n = Suc m⟩ by
    simp
    hence cls-val-process Mkt2 (qty-mult-comp pf qty) (Suc m) ∈ borel-measurable
(F (Suc m))
    using mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc[of pf Mkt2 qty] borel-measurable-times[of
cls-val-process Mkt2 pf (Suc m) F (Suc m) qty (Suc m)]
    assms ⟨n= Suc m⟩ by presburger
    thus ?thesis using ⟨n = Suc m⟩ by simp
  next
    case True
    have qty (Suc 0) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using assms by simp
    moreover have cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using assms
    by simp
    ultimately have (λw. cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 w * qty (Suc 0) w) ∈ borel-measurable
(F 0) by simp
    thus ?thesis using assms(2) True mult-comp-cls-val-process0
    by (simp add: ⟨(λw. cls-val-process Mkt2 pf 0 w * qty (Suc 0) w) ∈ borel-measurable
(F 0)⟩ mult-comp-cls-val-process0 measurable-cong)
    qed
qed

```

lemma (in disc-equity-market) mult-comp-val-process-measurable:
assumes val-process $Mkt2\ pf\ n \in borel\text{-measurable}\ (F\ n)$
and portfolio pf
and qty ($Suc\ n$) $\in borel\text{-measurable}\ (F\ n)$
shows val-process $Mkt2\ (qty\text{-mult}\text{-comp}\ pf\ qty)\ n \in borel\text{-measurable}\ (F\ n)$
using mult-comp-val-process[of $pf\ Mkt2\ qty$] borel-measurable-times[of val-process
 $Mkt2\ pf\ n\ F\ n\ qty\ (Suc\ n)$]
assms by presburger

lemma (in disc-market-pos-stock) repl-fair-price-unique:
assumes replicating-portfolio pf der matur
and fair-price $Mkt\ \pi$ der matur
shows $\pi = initial\text{-value}\ pf$
proof –
have expr: $(\exists\ pr.\ price\text{-structure}\ der\ matur\ \pi\ pr \wedge$
 $(\forall\ x.\ (x \notin stocks\ Mkt \rightarrow$
 $(\forall\ Mkt2\ p.\ (coincides\text{-on}\ Mkt\ Mkt2\ (stocks\ Mkt)) \wedge (prices\ Mkt2\ x = pr) \wedge$
 $portfolio\ p \wedge support\text{-set}\ p \subseteq stocks\ Mkt \cup \{x\} \rightarrow$
 $\neg arbitrage\text{-process}\ Mkt2\ p)))$ **using** assms fair-priceI by simp
then obtain pr **where** price-structure der matur π pr **and**
xasset: $(\forall\ x.\ (x \notin stocks\ Mkt \rightarrow$
 $(\forall\ Mkt2\ p.\ (coincides\text{-on}\ Mkt\ Mkt2\ (stocks\ Mkt)) \wedge (prices\ Mkt2\ x = pr) \wedge$
 $portfolio\ p \wedge support\text{-set}\ p \subseteq stocks\ Mkt \cup \{x\} \rightarrow$
 $\neg arbitrage\text{-process}\ Mkt2\ p)))$ **by** auto
define diff-inv **where** diff-inv = $(\pi - initial\text{-value}\ pf) / constant\text{-image}\ (prices\ Mkt\ pos\text{-stock}\ 0)$
{
fix x
assume $x \notin stocks\ Mkt$
hence mkprop: $(\forall\ Mkt2\ p.\ (coincides\text{-on}\ Mkt\ Mkt2\ (stocks\ Mkt)) \wedge (prices\ Mkt2\ x = pr) \wedge portfolio\ p \wedge support\text{-set}\ p \subseteq stocks\ Mkt \cup \{x\} \rightarrow$
 $\neg arbitrage\text{-process}\ Mkt2\ p)$ **using** xasset by simp
fix $Mkt2$
assume (coincides-on $Mkt\ Mkt2\ (stocks\ Mkt)$) **and** (prices $Mkt2\ x = pr$)
have $0 < constant\text{-image}\ (prices\ Mkt\ pos\text{-stock}\ 0)$ **using** trading-strategy-init
proof –
have borel-adapt-stoch-proc $F\ (prices\ Mkt\ pos\text{-stock})$ **using** pos-stock-borel-adapted
by simp
hence $\exists c.\ \forall w \in space\ M.\ prices\ Mkt\ pos\text{-stock}\ 0\ w = c$ **using** adapted-init[of
 $prices\ Mkt\ pos\text{-stock}]$ **by** simp
moreover have $\forall w \in space\ M.\ 0 < prices\ Mkt\ pos\text{-stock}\ 0\ w$ **using** positive
by simp
ultimately show ?thesis **using** constant-image-pos by simp
qed

define arb-pf **where** arb-pf = $(\lambda\ (x::'b)\ (n::nat)\ (w::'a).\ 0::real)(x := (\lambda\ n\ w.$
 $-1), pos\text{-stock} := (\lambda\ n\ w.\ diff\text{-inv}))$
define contr-pf **where** contr-pf = qty-sum arb-pf pf

have $1:0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{self-financing Mkt2 contr-pf}$
using *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf contr-pf]
using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 $x = pr$ ⟩
 ⟨ $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ ⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def **by** blast
have $2:0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{trading-strategy contr-pf}$
using *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf contr-pf]
using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 $x = pr$ ⟩
 ⟨ $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ ⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def **by** blast
have $3:0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow (\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{ cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf } 0 w = 0)$
using *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf contr-pf]
using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 $x = pr$ ⟩
 ⟨ $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ ⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def **by** blast
have $4: 0 < \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur } w)$
using *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf contr-pf]
using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 $x = pr$ ⟩
 ⟨ $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ ⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def **by** blast
have $5: \text{diff-inv} < 0 \longrightarrow (\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 > \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur } w)$
using *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf contr-pf]
using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 $x = pr$ ⟩
 ⟨ $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ ⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def **by** blast
have $6: 0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{support-set arb-pf} = \{x, \text{pos-stock}\}$
using *arbitrage-portfolio-properties*[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf contr-pf]
using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 $x = pr$ ⟩
 ⟨ $x \notin \text{stocks Mkt}$ ⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def **by** blast
have $7: 0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{support-set contr-pf} \subseteq \text{stocks Mkt} \cup \{x\}$
proof –
 have $0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{support-set contr-pf} \subseteq \text{support-set arb-pf} \cup \text{support-set pf}$ **unfolding** contr-pf-def
 by (simp add:sum-support-set)
 moreover have $0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{support-set arb-pf} \subseteq \text{stocks Mkt} \cup \{x\}$ **using**
 $\langle 0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{support-set arb-pf} = \{x, \text{pos-stock}\}, \text{in-stock} \rangle$ **by** simp
 moreover have $0 \neq \text{diff-inv} \longrightarrow \text{support-set pf} \subseteq \text{stocks Mkt} \cup \{x\}$ **using**
assms **unfolding** replicating-portfolio-def
 stock-portfolio-def **by** auto
 ultimately show ?thesis **by** auto

```

qed
have 8:0 ≠ diff-inv —→ portfolio contr-pf
  using arbitrage-portfolio-properties[of der matur π pr pf Mkt2 x diff-inv arb-pf
contr-pf]
    using ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ ⟨price-structure der matur π
pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 x = pr⟩
      ⟨x ∉ stocks Mkt⟩ arb-pf-def assms(1) contr-pf-def diff-inv-def by blast
  have 9: 0 ≠ diff-inv —→ cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur ∈ borel-measurable
(F matur)
  proof
    assume 0 ≠ diff-inv
    have 10: ∀ asset ∈ support-set arb-pf ∪ support-set pf. prices Mkt2 asset
matur ∈ borel-measurable (F matur)
    proof
      fix asset
      assume asset ∈ support-set arb-pf ∪ support-set pf
      show prices Mkt2 asset matur ∈ borel-measurable (F matur)
      proof (cases asset ∈ support-set pf)
        case True
        thus ?thesis using assms readable
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩
adapt-stoch-proc-def
          coincides-on-def disc-equity-market.replicating-portfolio-def
          disc-equity-market-axioms stock-portfolio-def subsetCE)
        next
        case False
        hence asset ∈ support-set arb-pf using ⟨asset ∈ support-set arb-pf ∪
support-set pf⟩ by auto
        show ?thesis
        proof (cases asset = x)
          case True
          thus ?thesis
            using ⟨price-structure der matur π pr⟩ ⟨prices Mkt2 x = pr⟩
            price-structure-borel-measurable by blast
          next
          case False
          hence asset = pos-stock using ⟨asset ∈ support-set arb-pf⟩ ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv
—→ support-set arb-pf = {x, pos-stock}⟩
            ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv⟩ by auto
          thus ?thesis
            by (metis ⟨coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)⟩ adapt-stoch-proc-def
            coincides-on-def in-stock pos-stock-borel-adapted)
          qed
        qed
      qed
      moreover have ∀ asset ∈ support-set contr-pf. contr-pf asset matur ∈ borel-measurable
(F matur)
        using ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv —→ trading-strategy contr-pf⟩ ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv⟩
        by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def disc-filtr-prob-space.predict-imp-adapt disc-filtr-prob-space-axioms

```

```

trading-strategy-def
ultimately show cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur ∈ borel-measurable (F
matur)
proof-
  have ∀ asset ∈ support-set contr-pf. contr-pf asset (Suc matur) ∈ borel-measurable
  (F matur)
    using ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv → trading-strategy contr-pf⟩ ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv⟩
    by (simp add: predict-stoch-proc-def trading-strategy-def)
  moreover have ∀ asset ∈ support-set contr-pf. prices Mkt2 asset matur ∈
  borel-measurable (F matur) using 10 unfolding contr-pf-def
    using sum-support-set[of arb-pf pf] by auto
    ultimately show ?thesis by (metis (no-types, lifting) 1 ⟨0 ≠ diff-inv⟩
    quantity-adapted self-financingE)
qed
qed
{
  assume 0 > diff-inv
  define opp-pf where opp-pf = qty-mult-comp contr-pf (λ n w. -1)
  have arbitrage-process Mkt2 opp-pf
  proof (rule arbitrage-processI, rule exI, intro conjI)
    show self-financing Mkt2 opp-pf using 1 ⟨0 > diff-inv⟩ mult-time-constant-self-financing[of
    contr-pf] 8
      unfolding opp-pf-def by auto
      show trading-strategy opp-pf unfolding opp-pf-def
      proof (rule mult-comp-trading-strat)
        show trading-strategy contr-pf using 2 ⟨0 > diff-inv⟩ by auto
        show borel-predict-stoch-proc F (λn w. -1) by (simp add: constant-process-borel-predictable)
        qed
      show ∀ w ∈ space M. cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf 0 w = 0
      proof
        fix w
        assume w ∈ space M
        show cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf 0 w = 0 using 3 8 ⟨0 > diff-inv⟩
          using ⟨w ∈ space M⟩ mult-comp-cls-val-process0 opp-pf-def by fastforce
      qed
      have AE w in M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur w
      proof (rule AE-mp)
        show AE w in M. 0 > cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w using 5 ⟨0
        > diff-inv⟩ by auto
        show AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w < 0 → 0 <
        cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur w
        proof
          fix w
          assume w ∈ space M
          show cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w < 0 → 0 < cls-val-process
          Mkt2 opp-pf matur w
        proof
          assume cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur w < 0
          show 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur w

```

```

proof (cases matur = 0)
  case False
    hence  $\exists m. \text{Suc } m = \text{matur}$  by presburger
    from this obtain m where Suc m = matur by auto
      hence  $0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf} (\text{Suc } m) w$  using 3 8 <0>
      diff-inv < $w \in \text{space } M$ > mult-comp-cls-val-process-Suc opp-pf-def
        using < $\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur } w < 0$ > by fastforce
        thus ?thesis using < $\text{Suc } m = \text{matur}$ > by simp
      next
        case True
        thus ?thesis using 3 8 <0> diff-inv < $w \in \text{space } M$ > mult-comp-cls-val-process0
        opp-pf-def
          using < $\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur } w < 0$ > by auto
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
  thus  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur } w$  by auto
  show  $0 < \text{prob} \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur } w\}$ 
  proof -
    let ?P = { $w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur } w$ }
    have  $\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur} \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur})$ 
    proof -
      have  $\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur} \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur})$ 
    using 9 <0> diff-inv by simp
      moreover have portfolio contr-pf using 8 <0> diff-inv by simp
      moreover have  $(\lambda w. - 1) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur})$  by (simp add:constant-process-borel-adapted)
      ultimately show ?thesis
      using mult-comp-cls-val-process-measurable
      proof -
        have diff-inv ≠ 0
        using <diff-inv < 0> by blast
        then have self-financing Mkt2 contr-pf
        by (metis 1)
        then show ?thesis
        by (metis (no-types) < $(\lambda w. - 1) \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur})$ >
        <portfolio contr-pf>
          < $\text{self-financing Mkt2 opp-pf}$ , < $\text{cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur}$ >
           $\in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur})$ >
            mult-comp-val-process-measurable opp-pf-def self-financingE)
        qed
      qed
      moreover have space M = space (F matur)
      using filtration by (simp add: filtration-def subalgebra-def)
      ultimately have ?P ∈ sets (F matur) using borel-measurable-iff-greater[of val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur F matur]
      by auto
      hence ?P ∈ sets M by (meson filtration filtration-def subalgebra-def)

```

```

subsetCE)
  hence measure M ?P = 1 using prob-Collect-eq-1[of  $\lambda x. 0 < \text{cls-val-process}$ 
Mkt2 opp-pf matur x]
    ⟨AE w in M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt2 opp-pf matur w⟩ ⟨0 > diff-inv⟩
  by blast
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
qed
have  $\exists p. \text{portfolio } p \wedge \text{support-set } p \subseteq \text{stocks } Mkt \cup \{x\} \wedge \text{arbitrage-process}$ 
Mkt2 p
  proof(intro exI conjI)
    show arbitrage-process Mkt2 opp-pf using ⟨arbitrage-process Mkt2 opp-pf⟩
    .
    show portfolio opp-pf unfolding opp-pf-def using 8 ⟨0 > diff-inv⟩ by
(auto simp add: mult-comp-portfolio)
    show support-set opp-pf ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} unfolding opp-pf-def using
7 ⟨0 > diff-inv⟩
      using mult-comp-support-set by fastforce
    qed
  } note negp = this
  {
    assume 0 < diff-inv
    have arbitrage-process Mkt2 contr-pf
    proof (rule arbitrage-processI, rule exI, intro conjI)
      show self-financing Mkt2 contr-pf using 1 ⟨0 < diff-inv⟩ by auto
      show trading-strategy contr-pf using 2 ⟨0 < diff-inv⟩ by auto
      show  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf } 0 w = 0$  using 3 ⟨0 <
diff-inv⟩ by auto
      show AE w in M.  $0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf matur } w$  using 4 ⟨0 <
diff-inv⟩ by auto
      show  $0 < \text{prob } \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf matur } w\}$ 
      proof -
        let ?P =  $\{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt2 \text{ contr-pf matur } w\}$ 
        have cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur ∈ borel-measurable (F matur)
      using 9 ⟨0 < diff-inv⟩ by auto
      moreover have space M = space (F matur)
        using filtration by (simp add: filtration-def subalgebra-def)
      ultimately have ?P ∈ sets (F matur) using borel-measurable-iff-greater[of
val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur F matur]
        by auto
      hence ?P ∈ sets M by (meson filtration filtration-def subalgebra-def
subsetCE)
        hence measure M ?P = 1 using prob-Collect-eq-1[of  $\lambda x. 0 <$ 
cls-val-process Mkt2 contr-pf matur x]
          4 ⟨0 < diff-inv⟩ by blast
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
    qed
  have  $\exists p. \text{portfolio } p \wedge \text{support-set } p \subseteq \text{stocks } Mkt \cup \{x\} \wedge \text{arbitrage-process}$ 

```

```

Mkt2 p
  proof(intro exI conjI)
    show arbitrage-process Mkt2 contr-pf using <arbitrage-process Mkt2
contr-pf> .
    show portfolio contr-pf using 8 <0 < diff-inv> by auto
    show support-set contr-pf ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} using 7 <0 < diff-inv>
by auto
    qed
  } note posp = this
  have diff-inv ≠ 0 → ¬(∃ pr. price-structure der matur π pr ∧
  (∀ x. (xnotin stocks Mkt →
  (∀ Mkt2 p. (coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)) ∧ (prices Mkt2 x = pr)
  ∧ portfolio p ∧ support-set p ⊆ stocks Mkt ∪ {x} →
  ¬ arbitrage-process Mkt2 p)))
  using <coincides-on Mkt Mkt2 (stocks Mkt)> <prices Mkt2 x = pr> <xnotin
stocks Mkt> xasset posp negp by force
  }
  hence diff-inv = 0 using fix-asset-price expr by metis
  moreover have constant-image (prices Mkt pos-stock 0) > 0
  by (simp add: adapted-init constant-image-pos pos-stock-borel-adapted positive)
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding diff-inv-def by auto
qed

```

7.3 Risk-neutral probability space

7.3.1 risk-free rate and discount factor processes

```

fun disc-rfr-proc:: real ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a ⇒ real
where
  rfr-base: (disc-rfr-proc r) 0 w = 1 |
  rfr-step: (disc-rfr-proc r) (Suc n) w = (1+r) * (disc-rfr-proc r) n w

lemma disc-rfr-proc-borel-measurable:
  shows (disc-rfr-proc r) n ∈ borel-measurable M
proof (induct n)
  case (Suc n) thus ?case by (simp add:borel-measurable-times)
qed auto

lemma disc-rfr-proc-nonrandom:
  fixes r::real
  shows ∀n. disc-rfr-proc r n ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using disc-rfr-proc-borel-measurable
by auto

lemma (in disc-equity-market) disc-rfr-constant-time:
  shows ∃ c. ∀ w ∈ space (F 0). (disc-rfr-proc r n) w = c
proof (rule triv-measurable-cst)
  show space (F 0) = space M using filtration by (simp add:filtration-def subalgebra-def)

```

```

show sets (F 0) = {{}, space M} using info-disc-filtr by (simp add: bot-nat-def
init-triv-filt-def)
show (disc-rfr-proc r n) ∈ borel-measurable (F 0) using disc-rfr-proc-nonrandom
by blast
show space M ≠ {} by (simp add:not-empty)
qed

```

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) disc-rfr-proc-borel-adapted:
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (disc-rfr-proc r)
unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def using disc-rfr-proc-nonrandom
filtration unfolding filtration-def
by (meson increasing-measurable-info le0)

```

```

lemma disc-rfr-proc-positive:
assumes -1 < r
shows ∃n w . 0 < disc-rfr-proc r n w
proof -
  fix n
  fix w::'a
  show 0 < disc-rfr-proc r n w
  proof (induct n)
    case 0 thus ?case using assms disc-rfr-proc.simps by simp
    next
    case (Suc n) thus ?case using assms disc-rfr-proc.simps by simp
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in prob-space) disc-rfr-constant-time-pos:
assumes -1 < r
shows ∃c > 0. ∀w ∈ space M. (disc-rfr-proc r n) w = c
proof -
  let ?F = sigma (space M) {{}, space M}
  have ex: ∃c. ∀w ∈ space ?F. (disc-rfr-proc r n) w = c
  proof (rule triv-measurable-cst)
    show space ?F = space M by simp
    show sets ?F = {{}, space M} by (meson sigma-algebra.sets-measure-of-eq
sigma-algebra-trivial)
    show (disc-rfr-proc r n) ∈ borel-measurable ?F using disc-rfr-proc-borel-measurable
by blast
    show space M ≠ {} by (simp add:not-empty)
  qed

```

```

from this obtain c where  $\forall w \in \text{space } ?F. \ (disc-rfr-proc r n) w = c$  by auto
note cprops = this
have c>0
proof -
  have  $\exists w. w \in \text{space } M$  using subprob-not-empty by blast
  from this obtain w where  $w \in \text{space } M$  by auto
  hence  $c = disc-rfr-proc r n w$  using cprops by simp
  also have ... > 0 using disc-rfr-proc-positive[of r n] assms by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have space M = space ?F by simp
ultimately show ?thesis using ex using cprops by blast
qed

```

```

lemma disc-rfr-proc-Suc-div:
assumes -1 < r
shows  $\wedge w. disc-rfr-proc r (\text{Suc } n) w / disc-rfr-proc r n w = 1+r$ 
proof -
  fix w::'a
  show disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) w / disc-rfr-proc r n w = 1+r
    using disc-rfr-proc-positive assms by (metis rfr-step less-irrefl nonzero-eq-divide-eq)
qed

definition discount-factor where
  discount-factor r n = ( $\lambda w. \text{inverse} (disc-rfr-proc r n w))$ 

lemma discount-factor-times-rfr:
assumes -1 < r
shows  $(1+r) * \text{discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{discount-factor } r n w$  unfolding
  discount-factor-def using assms by simp

lemma discount-factor-borel-measurable:
shows discount-factor r n ∈ borel-measurable M unfolding discount-factor-def
proof (rule borel-measurable-inverse)
  show disc-rfr-proc r n ∈ borel-measurable M by (simp add:disc-rfr-proc-borel-measurable)
qed

lemma discount-factor-init:
shows discount-factor r 0 = ( $\lambda w. 1$ ) unfolding discount-factor-def by simp

lemma discount-factor-nonrandom:
shows discount-factor r n ∈ borel-measurable M unfolding discount-factor-def
proof (rule borel-measurable-inverse)
  show disc-rfr-proc r n ∈ borel-measurable M by (simp add:disc-rfr-proc-borel-measurable)
qed

lemma discount-factor-positive:

```

```

assumes  $-1 < r$ 
shows  $\bigwedge n w . 0 < \text{discount-factor } r n w$  using assms disc-rfr-proc-positive
unfolding discount-factor-def by auto

lemma (in prob-space) discount-factor-constant-time-pos:
assumes  $-1 < r$ 
shows  $\exists c > 0. \forall w \in \text{space } M. (\text{discount-factor } r n) w = c$  using disc-rfr-constant-time-pos
unfolding discount-factor-def
by (metis assms inverse-positive-iff-positive)

locale rsk-free-asset =
fixes Mkt r risk-free-asset
assumes acceptable-rate:  $-1 < r$ 
and rf-price: prices Mkt risk-free-asset = disc-rfr-proc r
and rf-stock: risk-free-asset ∈ stocks Mkt

locale rfr-disc-equity-market = disc-equity-market + rsk-free-asset +
assumes rd: ∀ asset ∈ stocks Mkt. borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)

```

sublocale *rfr-disc-equity-market* ⊆ *disc-market-pos-stock - - - risk-free-asset*
by (unfold-locales, (auto simp add: rf-stock rd disc-rfr-proc-positive rf-price acceptable-rate))

7.3.2 Discounted value of a stochastic process

definition *discounted-value* where

$$\text{discounted-value } r X = (\lambda n w. \text{discount-factor } r n w * X n w)$$

```

lemma (in rfr-disc-equity-market) discounted-rfr:
shows discounted-value r (prices Mkt risk-free-asset) n w = 1 unfolding discounted-value-def
discount-factor-def
using rf-price by (metis less-irrefl mult.commute positive right-inverse)

lemma discounted-init:
shows  $\forall w. \text{discounted-value } r X 0 w = X 0 w$  unfolding discounted-value-def
by (simp add: discount-factor-init)

lemma discounted-mult:
shows  $\forall n w. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. X m x * Y m x) n w = X n w * (discounted-value r Y) n w$ 
by (simp add: discounted-value-def)

lemma discounted-mult':

```

```

shows discounted-value  $r (\lambda m x. X m x * Y m x) n w = X n w * (\text{discounted-value } r Y) n w$ 
by (simp add: discounted-value-def)

lemma discounted-mult-times-rfr:
assumes  $-1 < r$ 
shows discounted-value  $r (\lambda m w. (1+r) * X w) (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. X w) n w$ 
unfolding discounted-value-def using assms discount-factor-times-rfr discounted-mult
by (simp add: discount-factor-times-rfr mult.commute)

lemma discounted-cong:
assumes  $\forall n w. X n w = Y n w$ 
shows  $\forall n w. \text{discounted-value } r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$ 
by (simp add: assms discounted-value-def)

lemma discounted-cong':
assumes  $X n w = Y n w$ 
shows discounted-value  $r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$ 
by (simp add: assms discounted-value-def)

lemma discounted-AE-cong:
assumes AE  $w$  in  $N$ .  $X n w = Y n w$ 
shows AE  $w$  in  $N$ . discounted-value  $r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$ 
proof (rule AE-mp)
  show AE  $w$  in  $N$ .  $X n w = Y n w$  using assms by simp
  show AE  $w$  in  $N$ .  $X n w = Y n w \rightarrow \text{discounted-value } r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$ 
qed
proof
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in \text{space } N$ 
  thus  $X n w = Y n w \rightarrow \text{discounted-value } r X n w = \text{discounted-value } r Y n w$ 
  by (simp add: discounted-value-def)
qed
qed

```

```

lemma discounted-sum:
assumes finite  $I$ 
shows  $\forall n w. (\sum i \in I. (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. f i m x)) n w) = (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. (\sum i \in I. f i m x)) n w)$ 
using assms(1) subset-refl[of  $I$ ]
proof (induct rule: finite-subset-induct)
  case empty
  then show ?case
  by (simp add: discounted-value-def)
next
  case (insert  $a F$ )

```

```

show ?case
proof (intro allI)
fix n w
have ( $\sum_{i \in \text{insert } a} F. \text{discounted-value } r (f i) n w$ ) =  $\text{discounted-value } r (f a)$ 
n w + ( $\sum_{i \in F.} \text{discounted-value } r (f i) n w$ )
by (simp add: insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(3))
also have ... =  $\text{discounted-value } r (f a) n w + \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. \sum_{i \in F.}$ 
f i m x) n w using insert.hyps(4) by simp
also have ... =  $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m x. \sum_{i \in \text{insert } a} F. f i m x) n w$ 
by (simp add: discounted-value-def insert.hyps(1) insert.hyps(3) ring-class.ring-distrib(1))
finally show ( $\sum_{i \in \text{insert } a} F. \text{discounted-value } r (f i) n w$ ) =  $\text{discounted-value }$ 
r ( $\lambda m x. \sum_{i \in \text{insert } a} F. f i m x) n w$  .
qed
qed

lemma discounted-adapted:
assumes borel-adapt-stoch-proc F X
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (discounted-value r X) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
fix t
show discounted-value r X t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) unfolding discounted-value-def
proof (rule borel-measurable-times)
show X t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
by simp
show discount-factor r t ∈ borel-measurable (F t) using discount-factor-borel-measurable
by auto
qed
qed

lemma discounted-measurable:
assumes X ∈ borel-measurable N
shows discounted-value r (λm. X) m ∈ borel-measurable N unfolding discounted-value-def
proof (rule borel-measurable-times)
show X ∈ borel-measurable N using assms by simp
show discount-factor r m ∈ borel-measurable N using discount-factor-borel-measurable
by auto
qed

lemma (in prob-space) discounted-integrable:
assumes integrable N (X n)
and -1 < r
and space N = space M
shows integrable N (discounted-value r X n) unfolding discounted-value-def
proof -
have  $\exists c > 0. \forall w \in \text{space } M. (discount-factor r n) w = c$  using discount-factor-constant-time-pos
assms by simp
from this obtain c where c > 0 and  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. (discount-factor r n) w$ 

```

```

= c by auto note cprops = this
  hence  $\forall w \in space M. discount-factor r n w = c$  using cprops by simp
  hence  $\forall w \in space N. discount-factor r n w = c$  using assms by simp
  thus integrable N ( $\lambda w. discount-factor r n w * X n w$ )
    using  $\langle \forall w \in space N. discount-factor r n w = c \rangle$  assms
    Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong[of N N ( $\lambda w. discount-factor r n w * X n w$ ) ( $\lambda w. c * X n w$ )] by simp
qed

```

7.3.3 Results on risk-neutral probability spaces

definition (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *risk-neutral-prob* where
 $risk-neutral-prob N \longleftrightarrow (prob-space N) \wedge (\forall asset \in stocks Mkt. martingale N F (discounted-value r (prices Mkt asset)))$

lemma *integrable-val-process*:
assumes $\forall asset \in support-set pf. integrable M (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset n w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$
shows $integrable M (val-process Mkt pf n)$
proof (cases *portfolio pf*)
case *False*
thus ?thesis unfolding val-process-def by simp
next
case *True*
hence $val-process Mkt pf n = (\lambda w. \sum_{x \in support-set pf} prices Mkt x n w * pf x (Suc n) w)$
unfolding val-process-def by simp
moreover have $integrable M (\lambda w. \sum_{x \in support-set pf} prices Mkt x n w * pf x (Suc n) w)$ using assms by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma *integrable-self-fin-uvp*:
assumes $\forall asset \in support-set pf. integrable M (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset n w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$
and self-financing Mkt pf
shows $integrable M (cls-val-process Mkt pf n)$
proof –
have $val-process Mkt pf n = cls-val-process Mkt pf n$ using assms by (simp add:self-financingE)
moreover have $integrable M (val-process Mkt pf n)$ using assms by (simp add:integrable-val-process)
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

lemma (in *rfr-disc-equity-market*) *stocks-portfolio-risk-neutral*:

```

assumes risk-neutral-prob N
and trading-strategy pf
and subalgebra N M
and support-set pf ⊆ stocks Mkt
and ∀ n. ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf. integrable N (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w
* pf asset (Suc n) w)
shows ∀ x ∈ support-set pf. AE w in N.
  (real-cond-exp N (F n) (discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt x m y * pf x
m y) (Suc n))) w =
  discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt x m y * pf x (Suc m) y) n w
proof
have nsigfin: ∀ n. sigma-finite-subalgebra N (F n) using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def
martingale-def subalgebra-def
  using filtration filtration-def risk-neutral-prob-def prob-space.subalgebra-sigma-finite
in-stock by metis
have disc-filtr-prob-space N F
proof -
have prob-space N using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def by simp
moreover have disc-filtr N F using assms subalgebra-filtration
  by (metis (no-types, lifting) filtration disc-filtr-def filtration-def)
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: disc-filtr-prob-space-axioms-def disc-filtr-prob-space-def)
qed
fix asset
assume asset ∈ support-set pf
hence asset ∈ stocks Mkt using assms by auto
have discounted-value r (prices Mkt asset) (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable M using
assms readable
  by (meson `asset ∈ stocks Mkt` borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable dis-
counted-adapted
    rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob-def rfr-disc-equity-market-axioms)
hence b: discounted-value r (prices Mkt asset) (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable N
  using assms Conditional-Expectation.measurable-from-subalg[of N M - borel]
by auto
show AEEq N (real-cond-exp N (F n) (discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt asset
m y * pf asset m y) (Suc n)))
  (discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt asset m y * pf asset (Suc m) y) n)
proof -
have AE w in N. (real-cond-exp N (F n) (discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt asset
asset m y * pf asset m y) (Suc n))) w =
  (real-cond-exp N (F n) (λz. pf asset (Suc n) z * discounted-value r (λm y.
prices Mkt asset m y) (Suc n) z)) w
  proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-cong)
  show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (F n) using nsigfin ..
  show AE w in N. discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt asset m y * pf asset
m y) (Suc n) w =
    pf asset (Suc n) w * discounted-value r (λm y. prices Mkt asset m y) (Suc
n) w
    by (simp add: discounted-value-def)

```

```

show discounted-value r ( $\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt asset } m y * \text{pf asset } m y$ ) ( $\text{Suc } n$ )
 $\in \text{borel-measurable } N$ 
proof -
  have ( $\lambda y. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) y$ )  $\in \text{borel-measurable } N$ 
  using assms < $\text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}$ > by (simp add:borel-measurable-integrable)
  thus ?thesis unfolding discounted-value-def using discount-factor-borel-measurable[of
   $r \text{Suc } n N]$  by simp
  qed
  show ( $\lambda z. \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) z * \text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt asset}) (\text{Suc } n)$ 
 $\in \text{borel-measurable } N$ 
proof -
  have  $\text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using assms < $\text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}$ >
  unfolding trading-strategy-def
  using borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable[of  $\text{pf asset}$ ] by auto
  hence  $a: \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$  using assms Conditional-Expectation.measurable-from-subalg[of  $N M - \text{borel}$ ] by blast
  show ?thesis using a b by simp
  qed
  qed
  also have AE w in N. (real-cond-exp N (F n) ( $\lambda z. \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) z * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt asset } m y) (\text{Suc } n) z$ )) w =
     $\text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * (\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\lambda z. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt asset } m y) (\text{Suc } n) z)) w$ 
  proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-mult)
    show discounted-value r ( $\text{prices Mkt asset}$ ) ( $\text{Suc } n$ )  $\in \text{borel-measurable } N$ 
    using b by simp
    show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (F n) using nsigfin ..
    show pf asset ( $\text{Suc } n$ )  $\in \text{borel-measurable } (F n)$  using assms < $\text{asset} \in \text{sup-$ 
    port-set pf}> unfolding trading-strategy-def
    predict-stoch-proc-def by auto
    show integrable N ( $\lambda z. \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) z * \text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt asset}) (\text{Suc } n) z$ )
    proof -
      have integrable N ( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ )
      using assms < $\text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}$ > by auto
      hence integrable N ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. \text{prices Mkt asset } m w * \text{pf asset } m w) (\text{Suc } n)$ ) using assms
      unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def using acceptable-rate by (auto simp
      add:discounted-integrable subalgebra-def)
      thus ?thesis
      by (smt (verit, ccfv-SIG) Bochner-Integration.integrable-cong discounted-value-def
      mult.assoc mult.commute)
    qed
    qed
    also have AE w in N. pf asset ( $\text{Suc } n$ ) w * (real-cond-exp N (F n) ( $\lambda z.$ 
    discounted-value r ( $\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt asset } m y$ ) ( $\text{Suc } n$ ) z)) w =
       $\text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt asset } m y) n w$ 
  proof -

```

```

have  $\text{AEEq } N \ (\text{real-cond-exp } N \ (F \ n) \ (\lambda z. \text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{prices} \\ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y) \ (\text{Suc } n) \ z))$ 
 $\ (\lambda z. \text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y) \ n \ z)$ 
proof -
have  $\text{martingale } N \ F \ (\text{discounted-value } r \ (\text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset))$ 
using  $\text{assms} \langle \text{asset} \in \text{stocks} \ Mkt \rangle$  unfolding  $\text{risk-neutral-prob-def}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
moreover have  $\text{filtrated-prob-space } N \ F$  using  $\langle \text{disc-filtr-prob-space} \ N \ F \rangle$ 
using  $\text{assms}(2) \ \text{disc-filtr-prob-space.axioms}(1) \ \text{filtrated-prob-space.intro}$ 
filtrated-prob-space-axioms.intro  $\text{filtration prob-space-axioms}$ 
by  $(\text{metis assms}(3) \ \text{subalgebra-filtration})$ 
ultimately show  $?thesis$  using  $\text{martingaleAE}[\text{of } N \ F \ \text{discounted-value } r$ 
 $(\text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset) \ n \ \text{Suc } n]$  assms
by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
thus  $?thesis$  by  $\text{auto}$ 
qed
also have  $\text{AE } w \ \text{in } N. \ \text{pf asset} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w * \text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{prices} \\ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y) \ n \ w =$ 
 $\text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{pf asset} \ (\text{Suc } m) \ y * \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y) \ n \ w$  by
 $(\text{simp add: discounted-value-def})$ 
also have  $\text{AE } w \ \text{in } N. \ \text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{pf asset} \ (\text{Suc } m) \ y * \text{prices} \\ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y) \ n \ w =$ 
 $\text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y * \text{pf asset} \ (\text{Suc } m) \ y) \ n \ w$ 
by  $(\text{simp add: discounted-value-def})$ 
finally show  $\text{AE } w \ \text{in } N.$ 
 $(\text{real-cond-exp } N \ (F \ n) \ (\text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y * \text{pf} \\ asset \ m \ y) \ (\text{Suc } n))) \ w =$ 
 $(\lambda x. \text{discounted-value } r \ (\lambda m \ y. \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ m \ y * \text{pf asset} \ (\text{Suc } m) \ y) \ n \\ x) \ w.$ 
qed
qed

```

lemma (in rfr-disc-equity-market) self-fin-trad-strat-mart:

assumes $\text{risk-neutral-prob } N$

and $\text{filt-equiv } F \ M \ N$

and $\text{trading-strategy } pf$

and $\text{self-financing } Mkt \ pf$

and $\text{stock-portfolio } Mkt \ pf$

and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N \ (\lambda w. \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ n \ w * \text{pf} \\ asset \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w)$

and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N \ (\lambda w. \text{prices} \ Mkt \ asset \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w \\ * \text{pf asset} \ (\text{Suc } n) \ w)$

shows $\text{martingale } N \ F \ (\text{discounted-value } r \ (\text{cls-val-process} \ Mkt \ pf))$

proof (**rule disc-martingale-charact**)

show $nsigfin: \forall n. \sigma\text{-finite-subalgebra } N \ (F \ n)$ **using** $\text{filt-equiv-prob-space-subalgebra}$

assms

using $\text{filtration-def risk-neutral-prob-def subalgebra-sigma-finite}$

```

by fastforce
  show filtration N F using assms by (simp add: filt-equiv-filtration)
  have borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf)) using
  assms discounted-adapted
    cls-val-process-adapted[of pf] stock-portfolio-def
    by (metis (mono-tags, opaque-lifting) support-adapt-def readable subsetCE)
    thus  $\forall m.$  discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf)  $m \in$  borel-measurable ( $F$ 
 $m$ ) unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
      show  $\forall t.$  integrable N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) t)
      proof
        fix t
        have integrable N (cls-val-process Mkt pf t) using assms by (simp add: inte-
grable-self-fin-uvp)
        thus integrable N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) t) using assms
discounted-integrable acceptable-rate
        by (metis filt-equiv-space)
      qed
      show  $\forall n.$  AE w in N. real-cond-exp N (F n) (discounted-value r (cls-val-process
Mkt pf) (Suc n)) w =
      discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) n w
      proof
        fix n
        show AE w in N. real-cond-exp N (F n) (discounted-value r (cls-val-process
Mkt pf) (Suc n)) w =
        discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) n w
      proof -
        {
          fix w
          assume w  $\in$  space M
          have discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) (Suc n) w =
          discount-factor r (Suc n) w * ( $\sum x \in \text{support-set pf}.$  prices Mkt x
(Suc n) w * pf x (Suc n) w)
          unfolding discounted-value-def cls-val-process-def using assms unfolding
trading-strategy-def by simp
          also have ... = ( $\sum x \in \text{support-set pf}.$  discount-factor r (Suc n) w * prices
Mkt x (Suc n) w * pf x (Suc n) w)
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) mult.assoc sum.cong sum-distrib-left)
          finally have discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) (Suc n) w =
          ( $\sum x \in \text{support-set pf}.$  discount-factor r (Suc n) w * prices Mkt x
(Suc n) w * pf x (Suc n) w).
        }
        hence space:  $\forall w \in$  space M. discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) (Suc
n) w =
        ( $\sum x \in \text{support-set pf}.$  discount-factor r (Suc n) w * prices Mkt x (Suc
n) w * pf x (Suc n) w) by simp
        hence nspace:  $\forall w \in$  space N. discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) (Suc
n) w =
        ( $\sum x \in \text{support-set pf}.$  discount-factor r (Suc n) w * prices Mkt x (Suc
n) w * pf x (Suc n) w) using assms by (simp add: filt-equiv-space)

```

```

have sup-disc:  $\forall x \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{AE } w \text{ in } N.$ 
  ( $\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n))$ )  $w =$ 
     $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x (\text{Suc } m) y) n w$  using
  assms
  by (simp add:stocks-portfolio-risk-neutralfilt-equiv-imp-subalgebra stock-portfolio-def)
  have AE w in N.  $\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) (\text{Suc } n))$   $w =$ 
     $\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\lambda y. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n) y) w$ 
  proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-cong')
    show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (F n) using nsigfin ..
    show  $\forall w \in \text{space } N. \text{discounted-value } r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) (\text{Suc } n) w =$ 
       $(\lambda y. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n) y) w$  using nspace
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) discounted-value-def mult.assoc sum.cong)
    show (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) (Suc n))  $\in \text{borel-measurable } N$  using assms
      using  $\langle \forall t. \text{integrable } N (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) t) \rangle$  by
      blast
    qed
  also have AE w in N.  $\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n)$ 
     $(\lambda y. \sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n) y) w =$ 
     $(\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} (\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n))) w)$ 
  proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-bsum)
    show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (F n) using filt-equiv-prob-space-subalgebra
  assms
    using filtration filtration-def risk-neutral-prob-def subalgebra-sigma-finite
  by fastforce
  fix asset
  assume asset  $\in \text{support-set pf}$ 
  show integrable N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt asset } m y * pf asset m y$ ) (Suc n))
  proof (rule discounted-integrable)
    show integrable N ( $\lambda y. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) y * pf asset (\text{Suc } n) y$ )
  using assms ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf⟩ by simp
    show space N = space M using assms by (metis filt-equiv-space)
    show  $-1 < r$  using acceptable-rate by simp
  qed
  qed
  also have AE w in N.
     $(\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} (\text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n))) w) =$ 
     $(\sum_{x \in \text{support-set pf}} \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
  proof (rule AE-sum)
    show finite (support-set pf) using assms(3) portfolio-def trading-strategy-def

```

```

by auto
  show  $\forall x \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{AE } w \text{ in } N.$ 
    (real-cond-exp  $N (F n)$  (discounted-value  $r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x m y) (Suc n)) w =$ 
     discounted-value  $r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x (Suc m) y) n w$  using
     sup-disc by simp
  qed
  also have  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N.$ 
     $(\sum x \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x (Suc m) y) n w) =$ 
     discounted-value  $r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) n w$ 
  proof
    fix  $w$ 
    assume  $w \in \text{space } N$ 
    have  $(\sum x \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x (Suc m) y) n w) =$ 
      discounted-value  $r (\lambda m y. (\sum x \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x (Suc m) y)) n w$  using discounted-sum
      assms(3) portfolio-def trading-strategy-def by (simp add: discounted-value-def sum-distrib-left)
    also have ... = discounted-value  $r (\text{val-process Mkt pf}) n w$  unfolding
      val-process-def
      by (simp add: portfolio-def)
    also have ... = discounted-value  $r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) n w$  using assms
      by (simp add: self-financingE discounted-cong)
    finally show  $(\sum x \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m y. \text{prices Mkt } x m y * pf x (Suc m) y) n w) =$ 
      discounted-value  $r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) n w .$ 
  qed
  finally show  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. \text{real-cond-exp } N (F n) (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) (Suc n)) w =$ 
    discounted-value  $r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}) n w .$ 
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) finite-integrable-vp:

assumes $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite } (\text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ '(space } M))$

and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite } (pf \text{ asset } n \text{ '(space } M))$

and *prob-space* N

and *filt-equiv* $F M N$

and *trading-strategy* pf

and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{prices Mkt asset } n \in \text{borel-measurable } M$

shows $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * pf \text{ asset } (Suc n) w)$

proof (intro allI ballI)

fix n

fix asset

assume $\text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf$

```

show integrable N ( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ )
proof (rule prob-space.finite-borel-measurable-integrable)
  show prob-space N using assms by simp
  have finite (( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ` space M)
  proof -
    have  $\forall y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n$  ` (space M). finite (( $\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ ) ` space M) y)
      by (metis `asset ∈ support-set pf` assms(2) finite-imageI image-image)
    hence finite ( $\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n$  ` (space M). (( $\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ ) ` space M) y))
      using `asset ∈ support-set pf` assms by blast
    moreover have ( $\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n$  ` (space M). (( $\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ ) ` space M) y)) =
      ( $\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n$  ` (space M). ( $\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ` space M) by simp
    moreover have (( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ` space M)
     $\subseteq$ 
    ( $\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n$  ` (space M). ( $\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ` space M)
  proof
    fix x
    assume  $x \in (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$  ` space M
    show  $x \in (\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n$  ` space M. ( $\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ` space M)
    using `x ∈ (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)` `space M` by auto
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:finite-subset)
  qed
  thus finite (( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ` space N) using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-space)
  have ( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ∈ borel-measurable M
  proof -
    have prices Mkt asset n ∈ borel-measurable M using assms `asset ∈ support-set pf` by simp
    moreover have pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable M using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def
      using `asset ∈ support-set pf` borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  thus ( $\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ ) ∈ borel-measurable N
  using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-measurable)
  qed
  qed

```

lemma (in disc-filtr-prob-space) finite-integrable-uvp:
assumes $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{finite } (\text{prices Mkt asset } n$ ` (space M))

and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (pf asset n ` (space M))$
and $prob-space N$
and $filt-equiv F M N$
and $trading-strategy pf$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. prices Mkt asset n \in borel-measurable M$
shows $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. integrable N (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$
proof (*intro allI ballI*)
fix n
fix $asset$
assume $asset \in support-set pf$
show $integrable N (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$
proof (*rule prob-space.finite-borel-measurable-integrable*)
show $prob-space N$ **using assms by simp**
have $finite ((\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M)$
proof –
have $\forall y \in prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` (space M). finite ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M) y)$
by (*metis asset ∈ support-set pf assms(2) finite-imageI image-image*)
hence $finite (\bigcup y \in prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` (space M). ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M) y))$
using (*asset ∈ support-set pf assms by blast*)
moreover have $(\bigcup y \in prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` (space M). ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M) y)) =$
 $(\bigcup y \in prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` (space M). (\lambda w. y * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M)$ **by simp**
moreover have $((\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` (space M). (\lambda w. y * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M)$
proof
fix x
assume $x \in (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M$
show $x \in (\bigcup y \in prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` space M. (\lambda w. y * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M)$
using (*x ∈ (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space M*)
by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis **by** (*simp add:finite-subset*)
qed
thus $finite ((\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space N)$
using assms by (*simp add:filt-equiv-space*)
have $(\lambda w. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) \in borel-measurable M$
proof –
have $prices Mkt asset (Suc n) \in borel-measurable M$ **using assms**
using (*asset ∈ support-set pf borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable*)
blast

moreover have $\text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ **using assms unfolding trading-strategy-def**
using $\langle \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf} \rangle$ $\text{borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable}$ **by blast**
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by simp**
qed
thus $(\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$ **using assms by** $(\text{simp add:filt-equiv-measurable})$
qed
qed

lemma (in rfr-disc-equity-market) self-fin-trad-strat-mart-finite:
assumes $\text{risk-neutral-prob } N$
and $\text{filt-equiv } F M N$
and $\text{trading-strategy pf}$
and $\text{self-financing Mkt pf}$
and $\text{support-set pf} \subseteq \text{stocks Mkt}$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{finite } (\text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ `space } M)$
and $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{finite } (\text{pf asset } n \text{ `space } M)$
and $\forall \text{asset} \in \text{stocks Mkt}. \text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } F (\text{prices Mkt asset})$
shows $\text{martingale } N F (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{cls-val-process Mkt pf}))$
proof (rule self-fin-trad-strat-mart, (simp add:assms)+)
show $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$
proof (intro allI ballI)
fix n
fix asset
assume $\text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}$
show $\text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$
proof (rule prob-space.finite-borel-measurable-integrable)
show $\text{prob-space } N$ **using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def by auto**
have $\text{finite } ((\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M)$
proof -
have $\forall y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ `space } M). \text{finite } ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M) y)$
by $(\text{metis } \langle \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf} \rangle \text{ assms(7) finite-imageI image-image})$
hence $\text{finite } (\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ `space } M). ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M) y))$
using $\langle \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf} \rangle$ **assms(6) by blast**
moreover have $(\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ `space } M). ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M) y) =$
 $(\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ `space } M). (\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M)$ **by simp**
moreover have $((\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ `space } M). (\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ `space } M)$
proof
fix x

```

assume  $x \in (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M$ 
show  $x \in (\bigcup_{y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } n} \text{ ' space } M. (\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M)$ 
using  $\langle x \in (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M \rangle$ 
by auto
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:finite-subset)
qed
thus finite  $((\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } N)$  using
assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-space)
have  $(\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
proof -
have  $\text{prices Mkt asset } n \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using assms readable
using  $\langle \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf} \rangle \text{ borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable}$  by
blast
moreover have  $\text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using assms
unfolding trading-strategy-def
using  $\langle \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf} \rangle \text{ borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable}$  by
blast
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
thus  $(\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$ 
using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-measurable)
qed
qed
show  $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}. \text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
 $* \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
proof (intro allI ballI)
fix  $n$ 
fix  $\text{asset}$ 
assume  $\text{asset} \in \text{support-set pf}$ 
show  $\text{integrable } N (\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
proof (rule prob-space.finite-borel-measurable-integrable)
show  $\text{prob-space } N$  using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def by auto
have finite  $((\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M)$ 
proof -
have  $\forall y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ ' (space } M). \text{finite } ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf}$ 
 $\text{asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M) y)$ 
by (metis ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf⟩ assms(7) finite-imageI image-image)
hence finite  $(\bigcup_{y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n)} \text{ ' (space } M). ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z * \text{pf}$ 
 $\text{asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M) y))$ 
using ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf⟩ assms(6) by blast
moreover have  $(\bigcup_{y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n)} \text{ ' (space } M). ((\lambda z. (\lambda w. z$ 
 $* \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ ' space } M) y)) =$ 
 $(\bigcup_{y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n)} \text{ ' (space } M). (\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 
 $\text{ ' space } M)$  by simp
moreover have  $((\lambda w. \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w) \text{ '}$ 
 $\text{space } M) \subseteq$ 
 $(\bigcup_{y \in \text{prices Mkt asset } (\text{Suc } n)} \text{ ' (space } M). (\lambda w. y * \text{pf asset } (\text{Suc } n) w)$ 

```

```

` space M)
proof
  fix x
  assume x ∈ (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space
M
  show x ∈ (⋃ y∈prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ` space M. (λw. y * pf asset (Suc
n) w) ` space M)
    using ⟨x ∈ (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space
M⟩ by auto
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by (simp add:finite-subset)
  qed
  thus finite ((λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ` space N)
using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-space)
  have (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable
M
  proof –
    have prices Mkt asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable M using assms readable
    using ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf⟩ borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable by
blast
    moreover have pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable M using assms
unfolding trading-strategy-def
    using ⟨asset ∈ support-set pf⟩ borel-predict-stoch-proc-borel-measurable by
blast
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed
  thus (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w) ∈ borel-measurable
N using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-measurable)
  qed
  qed
  show stock-portfolio Mkt pf using assms stock-portfolio-def
    by (simp add: stock-portfolio-def trading-strategy-def)
qed

```

lemma (in rfr-disc-equity-market) replicating-expectation:

assumes risk-neutral-prob N
and filt-equiv F M N
and replicating-portfolio pf pyf matur
and ∀n. ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf. integrable N (λw. prices Mkt asset n w * pf
asset (Suc n) w)
and ∀n. ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf. integrable N (λw. prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w
* pf asset (Suc n) w)
and viable-market Mkt
and sets (F 0) = { {}, space M }
and pyf ∈ borel-measurable (F matur)
shows fair-price Mkt (prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (λm. pyf) matur))
pyf matur
proof –

```

have fn: filtrated-prob-space N F using assms
  by (simp add: ‹pyf ∈ borel-measurable (F matur)› filtrated-prob-space-axioms.intro
    filtrated-prob-space-def risk-neutral-prob-def filt-equiv-filtration)
have discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur ∈ borel-measurable N
  using assms(3) disc-equity-market.replicating-portfolio-def disc-equity-market-axioms
  discounted-adapted
  filtrated-prob-space.borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable fn cls-val-process-adapted
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) support-adapt-def readable stock-portfolio-def
  subsetCE)
have discounted-value r (λm. pyf) matur ∈ borel-measurable N
proof –
  have (λm. pyf) matur ∈ borel-measurable (F matur) using assms by simp
  hence (λm. pyf) matur ∈ borel-measurable M using filtration filtrationE1
  measurable-from-subalg by blast
  hence (λm. pyf) matur ∈ borel-measurable N using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-measurable)
  thus ?thesis by (simp add:discounted-measurable)
qed
have mpyf: AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt pf matur w = pyf w using assms
unfolding replicating-portfolio-def by simp
have AE w in N. cls-val-process Mkt pf matur w = pyf w
proof (rule filt-equiv-borel-AE-eq)
  show filt-equiv F M N using assms by simp
  show pyf ∈ borel-measurable (F matur) using assms by simp
  show AE w in M. cls-val-process Mkt pf matur w = pyf w using mpyf by simp
  show cls-val-process Mkt pf matur ∈ borel-measurable (F matur)
  using assms(3) price-structure-def replicating-price-process
  by (meson support-adapt-def disc-equity-market.replicating-portfolio-def disc-equity-market-axioms
  readable stock-portfolio-def subsetCE)
qed
hence disc:AE w in N. discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur w =
  discounted-value r (λm. pyf) matur w
  by (simp add:discounted-AE-cong)
have AEEq N (real-cond-exp N (F 0) (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf)
  matur))
  (real-cond-exp N (F 0) (discounted-value r (λm. pyf) matur))
proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-cong)
  show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (F 0)
  using filtrated-prob-space.axioms(1) filtrated-prob-space.filtration fn filtrationE1
  prob-space.subalgebra-sigma-finite by blast
show AEEq N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur) (discounted-value
  r (λm. pyf) matur) using disc by simp
  show discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur ∈ borel-measurable N
  using ‹discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur ∈ borel-measurable
  N› .
  show discounted-value r (λm. pyf) matur ∈ borel-measurable N
  using ‹discounted-value r (λm. pyf) matur ∈ borel-measurable N› .
qed
have martingale N F (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf)) using assms

```

```

unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
  using self-fin-trad-strat-mart[of N pf] by (simp add: stock-portfolio-def)
  hence AEq N (real-cond-exp N (F 0) (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur))
    (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) 0) using martingaleAE[of N F
    discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) 0 matur]
    fn by simp
  also have AE w in N. (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) 0 w) = initial-value pf
proof
  fix w
  assume w ∈ space N
  have discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) 0 w = cls-val-process Mkt pf 0 w
  by (simp add:discounted-init)
  also have ... = val-process Mkt pf 0 w unfolding cls-val-process-def using assms
    unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def by simp
    also have ... = initial-value pf using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
    using ⟨w ∈ space N⟩
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) support-adapt-def filt-equiv-space initial-valueI
      readable stock-portfolio-def subsetCE)
      finally show discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) 0 w = initial-value pf
    .
    qed
  finally have AE w in N. (real-cond-exp N (F 0) (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur)) w =
    initial-value pf .
  moreover have ∀ w ∈ space N. (real-cond-exp N (F 0) (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur)) w =
    prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur)
  proof (rule prob-space.trivial-subalg-cond-expect-eq)
    show prob-space N using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def by simp
    show subalgebra N (F 0)
      using ⟨prob-space N⟩ filtrated-prob-space.filtration fn filtrationE1 by blast
    show sets (F 0) = {∅, space N} using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-space)
    show integrable N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur)
  proof (rule discounted-integrable)
    show space N = space M using assms by (simp add:filt-equiv-space)
    show integrable N (cls-val-process Mkt pf matur) using assms unfolding
    replicating-portfolio-def
      by (simp add: integrable-self-fin-uvp)
    show -1 < r using acceptable-rate by simp
  qed
  qed
  ultimately have AE w in N. prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur) =
    initial-value pf by simp
  hence prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur) =

```

initial-value pf using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def using prob-space.emmeasure-space-1 [of N]
AE-eq-cst[of - - N] by simp
moreover have prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur) =
prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r ($\lambda m. pyf$) matur)
proof (rule integral-cong-AE)
show AEEq N (discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur) (discounted-value r ($\lambda m. pyf$) matur)
using disc by simp
show discounted-value r ($\lambda m. pyf$) matur \in borel-measurable N
using <discounted-value r ($\lambda m. pyf$) matur \in borel-measurable N> .
show discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur \in borel-measurable N
using <discounted-value r (cls-val-process Mkt pf) matur \in borel-measurable N> .
qed
ultimately have prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r ($\lambda m. pyf$) matur)
= initial-value pf by simp
thus ?thesis using assms
by (metis (full-types) support-adapt-def disc-equity-market.replicating-portfolio-def disc-equity-market-axioms
readable replicating-fair-price stock-portfolio-def subsetCE)
qed

lemma (in rfr-disc-equity-market) replicating-expectation-finite:
assumes risk-neutral-prob N
and filt-equiv F M N
and replicating-portfolio pf pyf matur
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (prices Mkt asset n ` (space M))$
and $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. finite (pf asset n ` (space M))$
and viable-market Mkt
and sets (F 0) = {{}, space M}
and pyf \in borel-measurable (F matur)
shows fair-price Mkt (prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r ($\lambda m. pyf$) matur))
pyf matur
proof –
*have $\forall n. \forall asset \in support-set pf. integrable N (\lambda w. prices Mkt asset n w * pf asset (Suc n) w)$*
proof (rule finite-integrable-vp, (auto simp add:assms))
show prob-space N using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def by simp
show trading-strategy pf using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def by simp
show $\wedge n asset. asset \in support-set pf \implies random-variable borel (prices Mkt asset n)$
proof –
fix n
fix asset
assume asset \in support-set pf

```

show random-variable borel (prices Mkt asset n)
using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def adapt-stoch-proc-def
using readable
  by (meson `asset ∈ support-set pf` adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable sub-
setCE)
  qed
qed
moreover have ∀ n. ∀ asset ∈ support-set pf. integrable N (λw. prices Mkt asset
(Suc n) w * pf asset (Suc n) w)
proof (rule finite-integrable-uwp, (auto simp add:assms))
  show prob-space N using assms unfolding risk-neutral-prob-def by simp
  show trading-strategy pf using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def by
simp
  show ∀n asset. asset ∈ support-set pf ==> random-variable borel (prices Mkt
asset n)
proof-
  fix n
  fix asset
  assume asset ∈ support-set pf
  show random-variable borel (prices Mkt asset n)
  using assms unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def adapt-stoch-proc-def
using readable
  by (meson `asset ∈ support-set pf` adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable sub-
setCE)
  qed
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using assms replicating-expectation by simp
qed

end

```

8 The Cox Ross Rubinstein model

This section defines the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model of a financial market, and characterizes a risk-neutral probability space for this market. This, together with the proof that every derivative is attainable, permits to obtain a formula to explicitly compute the fair price of any derivative.

theory CRR-Model imports Fair-Price

begin

```

locale CRR-hyps = prob-grw + rsk-free-asset +
  fixes stk
assumes stocks: stocks Mkt = {stk, risk-free-asset}
  and stk-price: prices Mkt stk = geom-proc
  and S0-positive: 0 < init

```

```

and down-positive:  $0 < d$  and down-lt-up:  $d < u$ 
and psgt:  $0 < p$ 
and psbt:  $p < 1$ 

```

```

locale CRR-market = CRR-hyps +
  fixes G
  assumes stock-filtration:G = stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel

```

8.1 Preliminary results on the market

```

lemma (in CRR-market) case-asset:
  assumes asset ∈ stocks Mkt
  shows asset = stk ∨ asset = risk-free-asset
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg (asset = stk \vee asset = risk-free-asset)$ 
    hence asset ≠ stk ∧ asset ≠ risk-free-asset by simp
    moreover have asset ∈ {stk, risk-free-asset} using assms stocks by simp
    ultimately show False by auto
  qed

lemma (in CRR-market)
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows bernoulli-gen-filtration: filtration N G
  and bernoulli-sigma-finite:  $\forall n.$  sigma-finite-subalgebra N (G n)
  proof –
    show filtration N G
    proof –
      have disc-filtr M (stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel)
      proof (rule stoch-proc-filt-disc-filtr)
        fix i
        show random-variable borel (geom-proc i)
          by (simp add: geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable)
      qed
      hence filtration M G using stock-filtration by (simp add: filtration-def disc-filtr-def)
      have filt-equiv nat-filtration M N using psbt psgt by (simp add: assms bernoulli-stream-equiv)
        hence sets N = sets M unfolding filt-equiv-def by simp
        thus ?thesis unfolding filtration-def
          by (metis filtration-def ‹Filtration.filtration M G› sets-eq-imp-space-eq subalgebra-def)
      qed
      show  $\forall n.$  sigma-finite-subalgebra N (G n) using assms unfolding subalgebra-def
        using filtration-def subalgebra-sigma-finite
        by (metis ‹Filtration.filtration N G› bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
          prob-space.subalgebra-sigma-finite prob-space-measure-pmf)
    qed

```

```

sublocale CRR-market ⊆ rfr-disc-equity-market - G
proof (unfold-locales)
show disc-filtr M G ∧ sets (G ⊥) = { {}, space M }
proof
show sets (G ⊥) = { {}, space M } using infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-triv-init
stock-filtration geometric-process
geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
by (meson infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms infinite-cts-filtration-axioms.intro
infinite-cts-filtration-def
init-triv-filt-def)
show disc-filtr M G
by (metis Filtration.filtration-def bernoulli bernoulli-gen-filtration disc-filtr-def
psgt pslt)
qed
show ∀ asset∈stocks Mkt. borel-adapt-stoch-proc G (prices Mkt asset)
proof -
have borel-adapt-stoch-proc G (prices Mkt stk) using stk-price stock-filtration
stoch-proc-filt-adapt
by (simp add: stoch-proc-filt-adapt geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable)
moreover have borel-adapt-stoch-proc G (prices Mkt risk-free-asset)
using ⟨disc-filtr M G ∧ sets (G ⊥) = { {}, space M }⟩ disc-filtr-prob-space.disc-rfr-proc-borel-adapted
disc-filtr-prob-space.intro disc-filtr-prob-space-axioms.intro prob-space-axioms
rf-price by fastforce
moreover have disc-filtr-prob-space M G proof (unfold-locales)
show disc-filtr M G by (simp add: ⟨disc-filtr M G ∧ sets (G ⊥) = { {}, space
M }⟩)
qed
ultimately show ?thesis using stocks by force
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) two-stocks:
shows stk ≠ risk-free-asset
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬stk ≠ risk-free-asset
hence disc-rfr-proc r = prices Mkt stk using rf-price by simp
also have ... = geom-proc using stk-price by simp
finally have eqf: disc-rfr-proc r = geom-proc .
hence ∀ w. disc-rfr-proc r 0 w = geom-proc 0 w by simp
hence 1 = init using geometric-process by simp
have eqfs: ∀ w. disc-rfr-proc r (Suc 0) w = geom-proc (Suc 0) w using eqf by
simp
hence disc-rfr-proc r (Suc 0) (sconst True) = geom-proc (Suc 0) (sconst True)
by simp
hence 1+r = u using geometric-process ⟨1 = init⟩ by simp

```

```

have disc-rfr-proc r (Suc 0) (sconst False) = geom-proc (Suc 0) (sconst False)
using eqfs by simp
hence 1+r = d using geometric-process <1 = init> by simp
show False using <1+r = u> <1+r = d> down-lt-up by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) stock-pf-vp-expand:
assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
shows val-process Mkt pf n w = geom-proc n w * pf stk (Suc n) w +
disc-rfr-proc r n w * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w
proof -
have val-process Mkt pf n w =(sum (λx. ((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf x (Suc n) w)) (stocks Mkt))
proof (rule subset-val-process')
show finite (stocks Mkt) using stocks by auto
show support-set pf ⊆ stocks Mkt using assms unfolding stock-portfolio-def
by simp
qed
also have ... = (∑ x∈{stk, risk-free-asset}. ((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf x (Suc n) w)) using stocks by simp
also have ... = prices Mkt stk n w * pf stk (Suc n) w +
(∑ x∈{risk-free-asset}. ((prices Mkt) x n w) * (pf x (Suc n) w)) by (simp add:two-stocks)
also have ... = prices Mkt stk n w * pf stk (Suc n) w +
prices Mkt risk-free-asset n w * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w by simp
also have ... = geom-proc n w * pf stk (Suc n) w + disc-rfr-proc r n w * pf
risk-free-asset (Suc n) w
using rf-price stk-price by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) stock-pf-uvp-expand:
assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
shows cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w = geom-proc (Suc n) w * pf stk (Suc n)
w +
disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) w * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w
proof -
have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w =(sum (λx. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (pf x (Suc n) w)) (stocks Mkt))
proof (rule subset-cls-val-process')
show finite (stocks Mkt) using stocks by auto
show support-set pf ⊆ stocks Mkt using assms unfolding stock-portfolio-def
by simp
qed
also have ... = (∑ x∈{stk, risk-free-asset}. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (pf x (Suc n) w)) using stocks by simp
also have ... = prices Mkt stk (Suc n) w * pf stk (Suc n) w +
(∑ x∈{risk-free-asset}. ((prices Mkt) x (Suc n) w) * (pf x (Suc n) w)) by

```

```

(simp add:two-stocks)
also have ... = prices Mkt stk (Suc n) w * pf stk (Suc n) w +
  prices Mkt risk-free-asset (Suc n) w * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w by simp
also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) w * pf stk (Suc n) w + disc-rfr-proc r (Suc
n) w * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w
  using rf-price stk-price by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) pos-pf-neg-uvp:
assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
and d < 1+r
and 0 < pf stk (Suc n) (spick w n False)
and val-process Mkt pf n (spick w n False) ≤ 0
shows cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) (spick w n False) < 0
proof -
define wnf where wnf = spick w n False
have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) (spick w n False) =
  geom-proc (Suc n) wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf +
  disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf unfolding wnf-def
  using assms by (simp add:stock-pf-uvp-expand)
also have ... = d * geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + disc-rfr-proc r (Suc
n) wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf
  unfolding wnf-def using geometric-process spickI[of n w False] by simp
also have ... = d * geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + (1+r) * disc-rfr-proc
r n wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf
  by simp
also have ... < (1+r) * geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + (1+r) *
disc-rfr-proc r n wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf
  unfolding wnf-def using assms geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive S0-positive
  down-positive down-lt-up by simp
also have ... = (1+r) * (geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + disc-rfr-proc
r n wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf)
  by (simp add: distrib-left)
also have ... = (1+r) * val-process Mkt pf n wnf using stock-pf-vp-expand assms
by simp
also have ... ≤ 0
proof -
  have 0 < 1+r using assms down-positive by simp
  moreover have val-process Mkt pf n wnf ≤ 0 using assms unfolding wnf-def
by simp
  ultimately show (1+r) * (val-process Mkt pf n wnf) ≤ 0 unfolding wnf-def
    using less-eq-real-def[of 0 1+r] mult-nonneg-nonpos[of 1+r val-process Mkt
pf n (spick w n False)] by simp
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) neg-pf-neg-uwp:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
  and 1+r < u
  and pf stk (Suc n) (spick w n True) < 0
  and val-process Mkt pf n (spick w n True) ≤ 0
  shows cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) (spick w n True) < 0
  proof -
    define wnf where wnf = spick w n True
    have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) (spick w n True) =
      geom-proc (Suc n) wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf +
      disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf unfolding wnf-def
      using assms by (simp add:stock-pf-uwp-expand)
    also have ... = u * geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf
      unfolding wnf-def using geometric-process spickI[of n w True] by simp
    also have ... = u * geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + (1+r) * disc-rfr-proc r n wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf
      by simp
    also have ... < (1+r) * geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + (1+r) * disc-rfr-proc r n wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf
      unfolding wnf-def using assms geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive S0-positive
      down-positive down-lt-up by simp
    also have ... = (1+r) * (geom-proc n wnf * pf stk (Suc n) wnf + disc-rfr-proc r n wnf * pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) wnf)
      by (simp add: distrib-left)
    also have ... = (1+r) * val-process Mkt pf n wnf using stock-pf-vp-expand assms
    by simp
    also have ... ≤ 0
    proof -
      have 0 < 1+r using acceptable-rate by simp
      moreover have val-process Mkt pf n wnf ≤ 0 using assms unfolding wnf-def
      by simp
      ultimately show (1+r) * (val-process Mkt pf n wnf) ≤ 0 unfolding wnf-def
        using less-eq-real-def[of 0 1+r] mult-nonneg-nonpos[of 1+r val-process Mkt pf n (spick w n True)] by simp
      qed
      finally show ?thesis .
    qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) zero-pf-neg-uwp:
  assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
  and pf stk (Suc n) w = 0
  and pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w ≠ 0
  and val-process Mkt pf n w ≤ 0

```

```

shows cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w < 0
proof -
  have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w =
     $S(\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf stk}(\text{Suc } n) w +$ 
     $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r(\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$ 
  using assms by (simp add:stock-pf-uvp-expand)
  also have ... =  $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r(\text{Suc } n) w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$  using assms by simp
  also have ... =  $(1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$  by simp
  also have ... < 0
  proof -
    have 0 < 1+r using acceptable-rate by simp
    moreover have 0 <  $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w$  using acceptable-rate by (simp add: disc-rfr-proc-positive)
    ultimately have 0 <  $(1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w$  by simp
    have 1:  $0 < \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w \longrightarrow 0 < (1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$ 
    proof (intro impI)
      assume 0 <  $\text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$ 
      thus 0 <  $(1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$  using
        0 <  $(1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w$ 
        by simp
    qed
    have 2:  $\text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w < 0 \longrightarrow (1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w < 0$ 
    proof (intro impI)
      assume  $\text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w < 0$ 
      thus  $(1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w < 0$  using
        0 <  $(1+r) * \text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w$ 
        by (simp add:mult-pos-neg)
    qed
    have 0 ≥  $\text{val-process Mkt pf } n w$  using assms by simp
    also have  $\text{val-process Mkt pf } n w = \text{geom-proc } n w * \text{pf stk}(\text{Suc } n) w +$ 
       $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$  using assms by (simp add:stock-pf-uvp-expand)
    also have ... =  $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$  using assms by simp
    finally have 0 ≥  $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w$  .
    have 0 <  $\text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w \vee \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w < 0$  using assms
      by linarith
    thus ?thesis
    using 2 ⟨0 <  $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w$ ⟩ ⟨ $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n w * \text{pf risk-free-asset}(\text{Suc } n) w \leq 0$ ⟩
      mult-pos-pos by fastforce
  qed
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

lemma (in *CRR-market*) *neg-pf-exists*:
assumes stock-portfolio *Mkt pf*
and trading-strategy *pf*
and $1+r < u$
and $d < 1+r$
and val-process *Mkt pf n w* ≤ 0
and *pf stk (Suc n) w* $\neq 0 \vee$ *pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) w* $\neq 0$
shows $\exists y.$ cls-val-process *Mkt pf (Suc n) y* < 0
proof –
have borel-predict-stoch-proc *G (pf stk)*
proof (rule inc-predict-support-trading-strat')
show trading-strategy *pf* **using** assms **by** simp
show *stk* \in support-set *pf* $\cup \{stk\}$ **by** simp
qed
hence *pf stk (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n)* **unfolding** predict-stoch-proc-def
by simp
have val-process *Mkt pf n ∈ borel-measurable (G n)*
proof –
have borel-adapt-stoch-proc *G (val-process Mkt pf)* **using** assms
using support-adapt-def ats-val-process-adapted readable **unfolding** stock-portfolio-def
by blast
thus ?thesis **unfolding** adapt-stoch-proc-def **by** simp
qed
define *wn* **where** *wn = pseudo-proj-True n w*
show ?thesis
proof (cases *pf stk (Suc n) w* $\neq 0$)
case *True*
show ?thesis
proof (cases *pf stk (Suc n) w* > 0)
case *True*
have $0 < pf stk (Suc n) (spick wn n False)$
proof –
have $0 < pf stk (Suc n) w$ **using** $\langle 0 < pf stk (Suc n) w \rangle$ **by** simp
also have ... $= pf stk (Suc n) wn$ **unfolding** *wn-def*
using $\langle pf stk (Suc n) \in borel-measurable (G n) \rangle$ stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[*of geom-proc*] geometric-process
nat-filtration-info stock-filtration
by (metis comp-apply geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)
also have ... $= pf stk (Suc n) (spick wn n False)$ **using** $\langle pf stk (Suc n) \in borel-measurable (G n) \rangle$ comp-def nat-filtration-info
pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[*of geom-proc*]
geometric-process stock-filtration
by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
moreover have $0 \geq val-process Mkt pf n (spick wn n False)$

```

proof -
  have  $0 \geq \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ w}$  using assms by simp
  also have  $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ w} = \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ wn}$  unfolding
 $\text{wn-def using } \langle \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ } n) \rangle$ 
 $\text{nat-filtration-info stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc] geometric-process}$ 
 $\text{stock-filtration by (metis comp-apply geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted mea-}$ 
 $\text{surable-from-subalg)}$ 
  also have ... =  $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ (spick wn n False)}$  using  $\langle \text{val-process }$ 
 $Mkt \text{ pf } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ } n) \rangle$ 
 $\text{comp-def nat-filtration-info}$ 
 $\text{pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc]}$ 
 $\text{geometric-process stock-filtration}$ 
 $\text{by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)}$ 
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
  ultimately have  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ (spick wn n False}) < 0$  using
 $\text{assms}$ 
  by (simp add:pos-pf-neg-uwp)
  thus  $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ } y < 0$  by auto
next
  case False
  have  $0 > \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ (spick wn n True)}$ 
  proof -
    have  $0 > \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ w}$  using  $\neg 0 < \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ w} \wedge \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n)$ 
 $w \neq 0$  by simp
    also have  $\text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ w} = \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ wn}$  unfolding
 $\text{wn-def using } \langle \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ } n) \rangle$ 
 $\text{nat-filtration-info stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc] geometric-process}$ 
 $\text{stock-filtration by (metis comp-apply geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted mea-}$ 
 $\text{surable-from-subalg)}$ 
    also have ... =  $\text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \text{ (spick wn n True)}$  using  $\langle \text{pf stk } (\text{Suc } n) \in$ 
 $\text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ } n) \rangle$ 
 $\text{comp-def nat-filtration-info}$ 
 $\text{pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc]}$ 
 $\text{geometric-process stock-filtration}$ 
 $\text{by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)}$ 
    finally show ?thesis .
qed
  moreover have  $0 \geq \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ (spick wn n True)}$ 
  proof -
    have  $0 \geq \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ w}$  using assms by simp
    also have  $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ w} = \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ wn}$  unfolding
 $\text{wn-def using } \langle \text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ } n) \rangle$ 
 $\text{comp-def nat-filtration-info}$ 
 $\text{pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc]}$ 
 $\text{geometric-process stock-filtration}$ 
 $\text{by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)}$ 
    also have ... =  $\text{val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } n \text{ (spick wn n True)}$  using  $\langle \text{val-process }$ 
 $Mkt \text{ pf } n \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ } n) \rangle$ 

```

```

comp-def nat-filtration-info
pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc]
geometric-process stock-filtration
  by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
ultimately have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) (spick wn n True) < 0 using
assms
  by (simp add:neg-pf-neg-uvp)
  thus  $\exists y$ . cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n)  $y < 0$  by auto
qed
next
case False
hence pf risk-free-asset (Suc n)  $w \neq 0$  using assms by simp
hence cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n)  $w < 0$  using False assms by (auto simp
add:zero-pf-neg-uvp)
thus  $\exists y$ . cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n)  $y < 0$  by auto
qed
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) non-zero-components:

assumes val-process Mkt pf $n y \neq 0$
and stock-portfolio Mkt pf
shows pf stk (Suc n) $y \neq 0 \vee$ pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) $y \neq 0$

proof (rule ccontr)

assume $\neg(\text{pf stk (Suc n)} y \neq 0 \vee \text{pf risk-free-asset (Suc n)} y \neq 0)$
hence pf stk (Suc n) $y = 0$ pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) $y = 0$ by auto
have val-process Mkt pf $n y = \text{geom-proc } n y * \text{pf stk (Suc n)} y +$
 $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r n y * \text{pf risk-free-asset (Suc n)} y$ using <stock-portfolio Mkt pf>
stock-pf-vp-expand[of pf n] by simp
also have ... = 0 using <pf stk (Suc n) y = 0> <pf risk-free-asset (Suc n) y = 0> by simp
finally have val-process Mkt pf $n y = 0$.
moreover have val-process Mkt pf $n y \neq 0$ using assms by simp
ultimately show False by simp
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) neg-pf-Suc:

assumes stock-portfolio Mkt pf
and trading-strategy pf
and self-financing Mkt pf
and $1+r < u$
and $d < 1+r$
and cls-val-process Mkt pf $n w < 0$
shows $n \leq m \implies \exists y$. cls-val-process Mkt pf $m y < 0$

proof (induct m)

case 0
assume $n \leq 0$

```

hence  $n=0$  by simp
thus  $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf 0 y < 0$  using assms by auto
next
case ( $Suc m$ )
assume  $n \leq Suc m$ 
thus  $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf (Suc m) y < 0$ 
proof (cases  $n < Suc m$ )
case False
hence  $n = Suc m$  using  $\langle n \leq Suc m \rangle$  by simp
thus  $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf (Suc m) y < 0$  using assms by auto
next
case True
hence  $n \leq m$  by simp
hence  $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m y < 0$  using Suc by simp
from this obtain  $y$  where  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m y < 0$  by auto
hence  $\text{val-process } Mkt pf m y < 0$  using assms by (simp add:self-financingE)
hence  $\text{val-process } Mkt pf m y \leq 0$  by simp
have  $\text{val-process } Mkt pf m y \neq 0$  using  $\langle \text{val-process } Mkt pf m y < 0 \rangle$  by simp
hence  $pf \text{ stk } (Suc m) y \neq 0 \vee pf \text{ risk-free-asset } (Suc m) y \neq 0$  using assms
non-zero-components by simp
thus  $\exists y. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf (Suc m) y < 0$  using neg-pf-exists[of pf m y]
assms
 $\langle \text{val-process } Mkt pf m y \leq 0 \rangle$  by simp
qed
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-if:
assumes  $1+r < u$ 
and  $d < 1+r$ 
shows viable-market  $Mkt$  unfolding viable-market-def
proof (rule ccontr)
assume  $\neg(\forall p. \text{stock-portfolio } Mkt p \longrightarrow \neg \text{arbitrage-process } Mkt p)$ 
hence  $\exists p. \text{stock-portfolio } Mkt p \wedge \text{arbitrage-process } Mkt p$  by simp
from this obtain  $pf$  where  $\text{stock-portfolio } Mkt pf$  and  $\text{arbitrage-process } Mkt pf$ 
by auto
have ( $\exists m. (\text{self-financing } Mkt pf) \wedge (\text{trading-strategy } pf) \wedge$ 
 $(\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf 0 w = 0) \wedge$ 
 $(AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m w) \wedge$ 
 $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m w > 0)$ ) using  $\langle \text{arbitrage-process } Mkt pf \rangle$ 
using arbitrage-processE by simp
from this obtain  $m$  where  $\text{self-financing } Mkt pf$  and  $(\text{trading-strategy } pf)$ 
and ( $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf 0 w = 0$ )
and ( $AE w \text{ in } M. 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m w$ )
and  $0 < \mathcal{P}(w \text{ in } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m w > 0)$  by auto
have  $\{w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt pf m w > 0\} \neq \{\}$  using

```

```

⟨0 < P(w in M. cls-val-process Mkt pf m w > 0)⟩ by force
hence ∃w∈ space M. cls-val-process Mkt pf m w > 0 by auto
from this obtain y where y∈ space M and cls-val-process Mkt pf m y > 0 by
auto
define A where A = {n::nat. n ≤ m ∧ cls-val-process Mkt pf n y > 0}
have finite A unfolding A-def by auto
have m ∈ A using ⟨cls-val-process Mkt pf m y > 0⟩ unfolding A-def by simp
hence A ≠ {} by auto
hence Min A ∈ A using ⟨finite A⟩ by simp
have Min A ≤ m using ⟨finite A⟩ ⟨m ∈ A⟩ by simp
have 0 < Min A
proof –
  have cls-val-process Mkt pf 0 y = 0 using ⟨y ∈ space M⟩ ⟨∀w ∈ space M.
cls-val-process Mkt pf 0 w = 0⟩
    by simp
  hence 0 ∉ A unfolding A-def by simp
  moreover have 0 ≤ Min A by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using ⟨Min A ∈ A⟩ neq0-conv by fastforce
qed
hence ∃l. Suc l = Min A using Suc-diff-1 by blast
from this obtain l where Suc l = Min A by auto
have cls-val-process Mkt pf l y ≤ 0
proof –
  have l < Min A using ⟨Suc l = Min A⟩ by simp
  hence l ∉ A using ⟨finite A⟩ ⟨A ≠ {}⟩ by auto
  moreover have l ≤ m using ⟨Suc l = Min A⟩ ⟨m ∈ A⟩ ⟨finite A⟩ ⟨A ≠ {}⟩ ⟨l
< Min A⟩ by auto
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding A-def by auto
qed
hence val-process Mkt pf l y ≤ 0 using ⟨self-financing Mkt pf⟩ by (simp add:self-financingE)
moreover have pf stk (Suc l) y ≠ 0 ∨ pf risk-free-asset (Suc l) y ≠ 0
proof (rule econtr)
  assume ¬(pf stk (Suc l) y ≠ 0 ∨ pf risk-free-asset (Suc l) y ≠ 0)
  hence pf stk (Suc l) y = 0 pf risk-free-asset (Suc l) y = 0 by auto
  have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Min A) y = geom-proc (Suc l) y * pf stk (Suc l)
  y +
    disc-rfr-proc r (Suc l) y * pf risk-free-asset (Suc l) y using ⟨stock-portfolio
Mkt pf⟩
    ⟨Suc l = Min A⟩ stock-pf-uvp-expand[of pf l] by simp
  also have ... = 0 using ⟨pf stk (Suc l) y = 0⟩ ⟨pf risk-free-asset (Suc l) y =
0⟩ by simp
  finally have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Min A) y = 0 .
  moreover have cls-val-process Mkt pf (Min A) y > 0 using ⟨Min A ∈ A⟩
unfolding A-def by simp
  ultimately show False by simp
qed
ultimately have ∃z. cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc l) z < 0 using assms ⟨stock-portfolio
Mkt pf⟩
  ⟨trading-strategy pf⟩ by (simp add:neg-pf-exists)

```

```

from this obtain z where cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc l) z < 0 by auto
  hence  $\exists x'. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } m \text{ } x' < 0$  using neg-pf-Suc assms ‹trading-strategy pf›
    ‹self-financing Mkt pf› ‹Suc l = Min A› ‹Min A ≤ m› ‹stock-portfolio Mkt pf› by simp
  from this obtain x' where cls-val-process Mkt pf m x' < 0 by auto
  have x' ∈ space M using bernoulli-stream-space bernoulli by auto
  hence x' ∈ {w ∈ space M.  $\neg 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } m \text{ } w$ } using ‹cls-val-process Mkt pf m x' < 0› by auto
  from ‹AE w in M.  $0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } m \text{ } w$ › obtain N where
    {w ∈ space M.  $\neg 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } m \text{ } w$ } ⊆ N and emeasure M N = 0
  and N ∈ sets M using AE-E by auto
  have {w ∈ space M. (stake m w = stake m x')} ⊆ N
  proof
    fix x
    assume x ∈ {w ∈ space M. stake m w = stake m x'}
    hence x ∈ space M and stake m x = stake m x' by auto
    have cls-val-process Mkt pf m ∈ borel-measurable (G m)
    proof –
      have borel-adapt-stoch-proc G (cls-val-process Mkt pf) using ‹trading-strategy pf› ‹stock-portfolio Mkt pf›
        by (meson support-adapt-def readable stock-portfolio-def subsetCE cls-val-process-adapted)
        thus ?thesis unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
    qed
    hence cls-val-process Mkt pf m x' = cls-val-process Mkt pf m x
    using ‹stake m x = stake m x'› borel-measurable-stake[of cls-val-process Mkt pf m m x x']
      pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc]
      geometric-process stock-filtration
        by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg)
    hence cls-val-process Mkt pf m x < 0 using ‹cls-val-process Mkt pf m x' < 0›
    by simp
    thus x ∈ N using ‹{w ∈ space M.  $\neg 0 \leq \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ pf } m \text{ } w$ } ⊆ N› ‹x ∈ space M›
      ‹cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc l) z < 0› by auto
    qed
    moreover have emeasure M {w ∈ space M. (stake m w = stake m x')} ≠ 0
    using bernoulli-stream-pref-prob-neq-zero psgt psbt by simp
    ultimately show False using ‹emeasure M N = 0› ‹N ∈ events› emeasure-eq-0
    by blast
  qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-only-if-d:
  assumes viable-market Mkt
  shows d < 1+r
  proof (rule ccontr)
    assume  $\neg d < 1+r$ 
    hence  $1+r \leq d$  by simp

```

```

define arb-pf where arb-pf = ( $\lambda (x::'a) (n::nat) w. 0::real)(stk:= (\lambda n w. 1),$ 
risk-free-asset := ( $\lambda n w. - geom\text{-}proc 0 w))$ 
have support-set arb-pf = {stk, risk-free-asset}
proof
  show support-set arb-pf  $\subseteq$  {stk, risk-free-asset}
  by (simp add: arb-pf-def subset-iff support-set-def)
have stk  $\in$  support-set arb-pf unfolding arb-pf-def support-set-def using two-stocks
by simp
  moreover have risk-free-asset  $\in$  support-set arb-pf unfolding arb-pf-def support-set-def
  using two-stocks geometric-process S0-positive by simp
  ultimately show {stk, risk-free-asset}  $\subseteq$  support-set arb-pf by simp
qed
hence stock-portfolio Mkt arb-pf using stocks
  by (simp add: portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def)
have arbitrage-process Mkt arb-pf
proof (rule arbitrage-processI, intro exI conjI)
  show self-financing Mkt arb-pf unfolding arb-pf-def using <support-set arb-pf>
= {stk, risk-free-asset}
  by (simp add: static-portfolio-self-financing)
  show trading-strategy arb-pf unfolding trading-strategy-def
  proof (intro conjI ballI)
    show portfolio arb-pf unfolding portfolio-def using <support-set arb-pf>
= {stk, risk-free-asset} by simp
  fix asset
  assume asset  $\in$  support-set arb-pf
  show borel-predict-stoch-proc G (arb-pf asset)
  proof (cases asset = stk)
    case True
      hence arb-pf asset = ( $\lambda n w. 1)$  unfolding arb-pf-def by (simp add:
two-stocks)
      show ?thesis unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
      proof
        show arb-pf asset 0  $\in$  borel-measurable (G 0) using <arb-pf asset = ( $\lambda n$ 
w. 1)> by simp
        show  $\forall n.$  arb-pf asset (Suc n)  $\in$  borel-measurable (G n)
        proof
          fix n
          show arb-pf asset (Suc n)  $\in$  borel-measurable (G n) using <arb-pf asset
= ( $\lambda n w. 1)$  by simp
        qed
      qed
    next
    case False
      hence arb-pf asset = ( $\lambda n w. - geom\text{-}proc 0 w)$  using <support-set arb-pf>
= {stk, risk-free-asset}
      <asset  $\in$  support-set arb-pf> unfolding arb-pf-def by simp
      show ?thesis unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
      proof

```

```

show arb-pf asset 0 ∈ borel-measurable (G 0) using ⟨arb-pf asset = (λ n
w. − geom-proc 0 w)⟩
    geometric-process by simp
show ∀ n. arb-pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
proof
    fix n
    show arb-pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n) using ⟨arb-pf asset
= (λ n w. − geom-proc 0 w)⟩
        geometric-process by simp
    qed
    qed
    qed
show ∀ w ∈ space M. cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf 0 w = 0
proof
    fix w
    assume w ∈ space M
    have cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf 0 w = geom-proc 0 w * arb-pf stk (Suc 0) w
+
    disc-rfr-proc r 0 w * arb-pf risk-free-asset (Suc 0) w using stock-pf-vp-expand
    ⟨stock-portfolio Mkt arb-pf⟩
    using ⟨self-financing Mkt arb-pf⟩ self-financingE by fastforce
    also have ... = geom-proc 0 w * (1) + disc-rfr-proc r 0 w * arb-pf risk-free-asset
    (Suc 0) w
    by (simp add: arb-pf-def two-stocks)
    also have ... = geom-proc 0 w + arb-pf risk-free-asset (Suc 0) w by simp
    also have ... = geom-proc 0 w − geom-proc 0 w unfolding arb-pf-def by
    simp
    also have ... = 0 by simp
    finally show cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf 0 w = 0 .
qed
have dev: ∀ w ∈ space M. cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0) w = geom-proc
(Suc 0) w − (1+r) * geom-proc 0 w
proof (intro ballI)
    fix w
    assume w ∈ space M
    have cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0) w = geom-proc (Suc 0) w * arb-pf
    stk (Suc 0) w +
    disc-rfr-proc r (Suc 0) w * arb-pf risk-free-asset (Suc 0) w using stock-pf-uvp-expand
    ⟨stock-portfolio Mkt arb-pf⟩ by simp
    also have ... = geom-proc (Suc 0) w + disc-rfr-proc r (Suc 0) w * arb-pf
    risk-free-asset (Suc 0) w
    by (simp add: arb-pf-def two-stocks)
    also have ... = geom-proc (Suc 0) w + (1+r) * arb-pf risk-free-asset (Suc
    0) w by simp
    also have ... = geom-proc (Suc 0) w − (1+r) * geom-proc 0 w by (simp
    add:arb-pf-def)
    finally show cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0) w = geom-proc (Suc 0) w −
    (1+r) * geom-proc 0 w .

```

```

qed
have  $iniT: \forall w \in space M. snth w 0 \longrightarrow cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w > 0$ 
proof (intro ballI impI)
fix  $w$ 
assume  $w \in space M$  and  $snth w 0$ 
have  $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w = geom\text{-}proc (Suc 0) w - (1+r)$ 
*  $geom\text{-}proc 0 w$ 
using dev  $\langle w \in space M \rangle$  by simp
also have ... =  $u * geom\text{-}proc 0 w - (1+r) * geom\text{-}proc 0 w$  using  $\langle snth w 0 \rangle$  geometric-process by simp
also have ... =  $(u - (1+r)) * geom\text{-}proc 0 w$  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
also have ... > 0 using S0-positive  $\langle 1 + r \leq d \rangle$  down-lt-up geometric-process
by auto
finally show  $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w > 0$ .
qed
have  $iniF: \forall w \in space M. \neg snth w 0 \longrightarrow cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w \geq 0$ 
proof (intro ballI impI)
fix  $w$ 
assume  $w \in space M$  and  $\neg snth w 0$ 
have  $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w = geom\text{-}proc (Suc 0) w - (1+r)$ 
*  $geom\text{-}proc 0 w$ 
using dev  $\langle w \in space M \rangle$  by simp
also have ... =  $d * geom\text{-}proc 0 w - (1+r) * geom\text{-}proc 0 w$  using  $\langle \neg snth w 0 \rangle$  geometric-process by simp
also have ... =  $(d - (1+r)) * geom\text{-}proc 0 w$  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
also have ... ≥ 0 using S0-positive  $\langle 1 + r \leq d \rangle$  down-lt-up geometric-process
by auto
finally show  $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w \geq 0$ .
qed
have  $\forall w \in space M. cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w \geq 0$ 
proof
fix  $w$ 
assume  $w \in space M$ 
show  $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w \geq 0$ 
proof (cases snth w 0)
case True
thus ?thesis using  $\langle w \in space M \rangle$  iniT by auto
next
case False
thus ?thesis using  $\langle w \in space M \rangle$  iniF by simp
qed
qed
thus  $\forall w \in space M. 0 \leq cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w$  by simp
show  $0 < prob \{w \in space M. 0 < cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) w\}$ 
proof -
have  $cls\text{-}val\text{-}process Mkt arb\text{-}pf (Suc 0) \in borel\text{-}measurable M$  using borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable
cls-val-process-adapted  $\langle trading\text{-}strategy arb\text{-}pf \rangle \langle stock\text{-}portfolio Mkt arb\text{-}pf \rangle$ 

```

```

using support-adapt-def readable unfolding stock-portfolio-def by blast
hence set-event:{w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0) w} ∈
sets M
  using borel-measurable-iff-greater by blast
  have ∀ n. emeasure M {w ∈ space M. w !! n} = ennreal p
    using bernoulli p-gt-0 p-lt-1 bernoulli-stream-component-probability[of M p]
    by auto
  hence emeasure M {w ∈ space M. w !! 0} = ennreal p by blast
  moreover have {w ∈ space M. w !! 0} ⊆ {w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process
Mkt arb-pf 1 w}
proof
  fix w
  assume w∈ {w ∈ space M. w !! 0}
  hence w ∈ space M and w !! 0 by auto note wprops = this
  hence 0 < cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf 1 w using init by simp
  thus w∈ {w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf 1 w} using wprops
by simp
qed
ultimately have p ≤ emeasure M {w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt
arb-pf 1 w}
  using emeasure-mono set-event by fastforce
  hence p ≤ prob {w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf 1 w} by (simp
add: emeasure-eq-measure)
  thus 0 < prob {w ∈ space M. 0 < cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0) w}
using psqt by simp
qed
thus False using assms unfolding viable-market-def using ⟨stock-portfolio Mkt
arb-pf⟩ by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-only-if-u:
assumes viable-market Mkt
shows 1+r < u
proof (rule ccontr)
assume ¬ 1+r < u
hence u ≤ 1+r by simp
define arb-pf where arb-pf = (λ (x::'a) (n::nat) w. 0::real)(stk:= (λ n w. -1),
risk-free-asset := (λ n w. geom-proc 0 w))
have support-set arb-pf = {stk, risk-free-asset}
proof
show support-set arb-pf ⊆ {stk, risk-free-asset}
  by (simp add: arb-pf-def subset-iff support-set-def)
have stk∈ support-set arb-pf unfolding arb-pf-def support-set-def using two-stocks
by simp
moreover have risk-free-asset∈ support-set arb-pf unfolding arb-pf-def sup-
port-set-def
  using two-stocks geometric-process S0-positive by simp

```

```

ultimately show {stk, risk-free-asset} ⊆ support-set arb-pf by simp
qed
hence stock-portfolio Mkt arb-pf using stocks
  by (simp add: portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def)
have arbitrage-process Mkt arb-pf
proof (rule arbitrage-processI, intro exI conjI)
  show self-financing Mkt arb-pf unfolding arb-pf-def using <support-set arb-pf
= {stk, risk-free-asset}>
  by (simp add: static-portfolio-self-financing)
  show trading-strategy arb-pf unfolding trading-strategy-def
  proof (intro conjI ballI)
    show portfolio arb-pf unfolding portfolio-def using <support-set arb-pf =
{stk, risk-free-asset}> by simp
    fix asset
    assume asset ∈ support-set arb-pf
    show borel-predict-stoch-proc G (arb-pf asset)
    proof (cases asset = stk)
      case True
      hence arb-pf asset = (λ n w. -1) unfolding arb-pf-def by (simp add:
two-stocks)
        show ?thesis unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
        proof
          show arb-pf asset 0 ∈ borel-measurable (G 0) using <arb-pf asset = (λ n
w. -1)> by simp
          show ∀ n. arb-pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
          proof
            fix n
            show arb-pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n) using <arb-pf asset
= (λ n w. -1)> by simp
            qed
          qed
        next
        case False
        hence arb-pf asset = (λ n w. geom-proc 0 w) using <support-set arb-pf =
{stk, risk-free-asset}>
          <asset ∈ support-set arb-pf> unfolding arb-pf-def by simp
          show ?thesis unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
          proof
            show arb-pf asset 0 ∈ borel-measurable (G 0) using <arb-pf asset = (λ n
w. geom-proc 0 w)>
              geometric-process by simp
            show ∀ n. arb-pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
            proof
              fix n
              show arb-pf asset (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n) using <arb-pf asset
= (λ n w. geom-proc 0 w)>
                geometric-process by simp
              qed
            qed
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

qed
qed
show  $\forall w \in space M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf 0 w = 0$ 
proof
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in space M$ 
  have  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf 0 w = \text{geom-proc } 0 w * \text{arb-pf stk } (\text{Suc } 0) w$ 
  +
     $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r 0 w * \text{arb-pf risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } 0) w$  using  $\text{stock-pf-vp-expand}$ 
     $\langle \text{stock-portfolio } Mkt arb-pf \rangle$ 
    using  $\langle \text{self-financing } Mkt arb-pf \rangle$   $\text{self-financingE by fastforce}$ 
    also have ... =  $\text{geom-proc } 0 w * (-1) + \text{disc-rfr-proc } r 0 w * \text{arb-pf}$ 
     $\text{risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } 0) w$ 
    by (simp add: arb-pf-def two-stocks)
    also have ... =  $-\text{geom-proc } 0 w + \text{arb-pf risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } 0) w$  by simp
    also have ... =  $\text{geom-proc } 0 w - \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  unfolding  $\text{arb-pf-def by}$ 
    simp
    also have ... = 0 by simp
    finally show  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf 0 w = 0$  .
qed
have  $dev: \forall w \in space M. \text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf (\text{Suc } 0) w = -\text{geom-proc}$ 
 $(\text{Suc } 0) w + (1+r) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$ 
proof (intro ballI)
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in space M$ 
  have  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf (\text{Suc } 0) w = \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w * \text{arb-pf}$ 
   $\text{stk } (\text{Suc } 0) w +$ 
     $\text{disc-rfr-proc } r (\text{Suc } 0) w * \text{arb-pf risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } 0) w$  using  $\text{stock-pf-vp-expand}$ 
     $\langle \text{stock-portfolio } Mkt arb-pf \rangle$  by simp
    also have ... =  $-\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w + \text{disc-rfr-proc } r (\text{Suc } 0) w * \text{arb-pf}$ 
     $\text{risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } 0) w$ 
    by (simp add: arb-pf-def two-stocks)
    also have ... =  $-\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w + (1+r) * \text{arb-pf risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } 0) w$  by simp
    also have ... =  $-\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w + (1+r) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  by (simp
    add: arb-pf-def)
    finally show  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf (\text{Suc } 0) w = -\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w$ 
     $+ (1+r) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  .
qed
have  $iniT: \forall w \in space M. \text{snth } w 0 \longrightarrow \text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf (\text{Suc } 0) w$ 
 $\geq 0$ 
proof (intro ballI impI)
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in space M$  and  $\text{snth } w 0$ 
  have  $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt arb-pf (\text{Suc } 0) w = -\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w + (1+r)$ 
   $* \text{geom-proc } 0 w$ 
    using  $dev \langle w \in space M \rangle$  by simp
    also have ... =  $-u * \text{geom-proc } 0 w + (1+r) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  using  $\langle \text{snth } w 0 \rangle$ 
     $\text{geometric-process by simp}$ 

```

```

also have ... =  $(-u + (1+r)) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
also have ...  $\geq 0$  using S0-positive  $\langle u \leq 1 + r \rangle$  down-lt-up geometric-process
by auto
  finally show cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0)  $w \geq 0$  .
qed
have iniF:  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \neg \text{snth } w 0 \longrightarrow \text{cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf } (\text{Suc } 0) w$ 
> 0
proof (intro ballI impI)
  fix w
  assume  $w \in \text{space } M$  and  $\neg \text{snth } w 0$ 
  have cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0)  $w = -\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) w + (1+r)$ 
* geom-proc 0 w
    using dev  $\langle w \in \text{space } M \rangle$  by simp
  also have ... =  $-d * \text{geom-proc } 0 w + (1+r) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  using  $\langle \neg \text{snth } w 0 \rangle$  geometric-process by simp
  also have ... =  $(-d + (1+r)) * \text{geom-proc } 0 w$  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
  also have ...  $> 0$  using S0-positive  $\langle u \leq 1 + r \rangle$  down-lt-up geometric-process
by auto
  finally show cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0)  $w > 0$  .
qed
have  $\forall w \in \text{space } M. \text{cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf } (\text{Suc } 0) w \geq 0$ 
proof
  fix w
  assume  $w \in \text{space } M$ 
  show cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0)  $w \geq 0$ 
  proof (cases snth w 0)
    case True
    thus ?thesis using  $\langle w \in \text{space } M \rangle$  iniT by simp
  next
    case False
    thus ?thesis using  $\langle w \in \text{space } M \rangle$  iniF by auto
  qed
qed
thus AE w in M.  $0 \leq \text{cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf } (\text{Suc } 0) w$  by simp
show  $0 < \text{prob } \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf } (\text{Suc } 0) w\}$ 
proof -
  have cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf (Suc 0)  $\in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using borel-adapt-stoch-proc-borel-measurable
    cls-val-process-adapted  $\langle \text{trading-strategy arb-pf} \rangle$   $\langle \text{stock-portfolio Mkt arb-pf} \rangle$ 
    using support-adapt-def readable unfolding stock-portfolio-def by blast
    hence set-event:  $\{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process Mkt arb-pf } (\text{Suc } 0) w\} \in$ 
sets M
      using borel-measurable-iff-greater by blast
    have  $\forall n. \text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. \neg w !! n\} = \text{ennreal } (1-p)$ 
      using bernoulli p-gt-0 p-lt-1 bernoulli-stream-component-probability-compl[of
M p]
      by auto
    hence emeasure M  $\{w \in \text{space } M. \neg w !! 0\} = \text{ennreal } (1-p)$  by blast
    moreover have  $\{w \in \text{space } M. \neg w !! 0\} \subseteq \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process }$ 
Mkt arb-pf 1 w}

```

```

proof
  fix  $w$ 
  assume  $w \in \{w \in \text{space } M. \neg w !! 0\}$ 
  hence  $w \in \text{space } M$  and  $\neg w !! 0$  by auto note  $wprops = this$ 
  hence  $0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ arb-pf } 1 w$  using  $\text{inif}$  by simp
  thus  $w \in \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ arb-pf } 1 w\}$  using  $wprops$ 
by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
ultimately have  $1 - p \leq \text{emeasure } M \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ arb-pf } 1 w\}$ 
using  $\text{emeasure-mono set-event by fastforce}$ 
hence  $1 - p \leq \text{prob } \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ arb-pf } 1 w\}$  by
( $\text{simp add: emeasure-eq-measure}$ )
thus  $0 < \text{prob } \{w \in \text{space } M. 0 < \text{cls-val-process } Mkt \text{ arb-pf } (\text{Suc } 0) w\}$ 
using  $\text{pslt}$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed
qed
thus  $\text{False}$  using  $\text{assms unfolding viable-market-def using } \langle \text{stock-portfolio } Mkt \text{ arb-pf} \rangle$  by  $\text{simp}$ 
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) viable-iff:
shows $\text{viable-market } Mkt \longleftrightarrow (d < 1+r \wedge 1+r < u)$ **using** $\text{viable-if viable-only-if-d}$
 $\text{viable-only-if-u by auto}$

8.2 Risk-neutral probability space for the geometric random walk

```

lemma (in CRR-market) stock-price-borel-measurable:
  shows  $\text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } G$  ( $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ stk}$ )
proof –
  have  $\text{borel-adapt-stoch-proc } (\text{stoch-proc-filt } M \text{ geom-proc borel})$  ( $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ stk}$ )
    by ( $\text{simp add: geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable stk-price stoch-proc-filt-adapt}$ )
  thus  $?thesis$  by ( $\text{simp add:stock-filtration}$ )
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) risk-free-asset-martingale:
  assumes  $N = \text{beroulli-stream } q$ 
  and  $0 < q$ 
  and  $q < 1$ 
  shows  $\text{martingale } N G$  ( $\text{discounted-value } r$  ( $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ risk-free-asset}$ ))
proof –
  have  $\text{filtration } N G$  by ( $\text{simp add: assms beroulli-gen-filtration}$ )
  moreover have  $\forall n. \text{sigma-finite-subalgebra } N (G n)$  by ( $\text{simp add: assms beroulli-sigma-finite}$ )
  moreover have  $\text{finite-measure } N$  using  $\text{assms beroulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space}$   

 $\text{prob-space-def prob-space-measure-pmf by auto}$ 
  moreover have  $\text{discounted-value } r$  ( $\text{prices } Mkt \text{ risk-free-asset}$ )  $= (\lambda n w. 1)$ 

```

```

using discounted-rfr by auto
ultimately show ?thesis using finite-measure.constant-martingale by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) nat-filtration-from-eq-sets:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
shows sets (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n) = sets (nat-filtration n)
proof -
have sigma-sets (space (bernoulli-stream q)) {pseudo-proj-True n -` B ∩ space N | B. B ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream q)} = sigma-sets (space (bernoulli-stream p))
{pseudo-proj-True n -` B ∩ space M | B. B ∈ sets (bernoulli-stream p)}
proof -
have sets N = events
by (metis assms(1) bernoulli-stream-def infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms infinite-coin-toss-space-def sets-measure-pmf sets-stream-space-cong)
then show ?thesis
using assms(1) bernoulli-stream-space infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms infinite-coin-toss-space-def by auto
qed
thus ?thesis using infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-sets
using assms(1) assms(2) assms(3) infinite-coin-toss-space-axioms infinite-coin-toss-space-def
by auto
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-integrable:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 ≤ q
and q ≤ 1
shows integrable N (geom-proc n)
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms by unfold-locales
show geom-proc n ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n)
using geometric-process
prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted[of q N geom-proc u d init]
by (metis ‹infinite-coin-toss-space q N› geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-characterization
prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) CRR-infinite-cts-filtration:
shows infinite-cts-filtration p M nat-filtration
by (unfold-locales, simp)

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) proj-stoch-proc-geom-disc-fct:
  shows disc-fct (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) unfolding disc-fct-def using CRR-infinite-cts-filtration
  by (simp add: countable-finite geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted infinite-cts-filtration.proj-stoch-set-finite-range)

lemma (in CRR-market) proj-stoch-proc-geom-rng:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  shows proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ N →M stream-space borel
  proof -
    have random-variable (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) using
      CRR-infinite-cts-filtration
    using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted nat-discrete-filtration proj-stoch-measurable-if-adapted
    by blast
    then show ?thesis
    using assms(1) bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def by auto
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) proj-stoch-proc-geom-open-set:
  shows ∀ r∈range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel).
    ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ A = {r}
  proof
    fix r
    assume r∈ range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel)
    show ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ A = {r}
    proof
      show infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) r
        ∈ sets (stream-space borel)
      using infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single-set ⟨r ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc
        geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel)⟩
      geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted CRR-infinite-cts-filtration by blast
      show range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single
        (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) r = {r}
      using infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single-preimage ⟨r ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc
        geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel)⟩
      geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted CRR-infinite-cts-filtration by blast
    qed
  qed

lemma (in CRR-market) bernoulli-AE-cond-exp:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  and integrable N X
  shows AE w in N. real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel)
    (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)) X w =
    expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X w
  proof (rule finite-measure.charact-cond-exp')
    have infinite-cts-filtration p M nat-filtration

```

```

by (unfold-locales, simp)
show finite-measure N using assms
by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.finite-measure prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
prob-space-measure-pmf)
show disc-fct (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) using proj-stoch-proc-geom-disc-fct
by simp
show integrable N X using assms by simp
show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ N →M stream-space borel using assms
proj-stoch-proc-geom-rng by simp
show ∀ r∈range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel).
  ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ A = {r}
  using proj-stoch-proc-geom-open-set by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-cond-exp:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
shows AE w in N. real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel)
(proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)) (geom-proc (Suc n)) w =
  expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) (geom-proc (Suc n)) w
proof (rule bernoulli-AE-cond-exp)
  show integrable N (geom-proc (Suc n)) using assms geom-proc-integrable[of N
q Suc n] by simp
qed (auto simp add: assms)

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) expl-cond-eq-sets:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
shows expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X ∈
  borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc
geom-proc n))
proof (rule expl-cond-exp-borel)
  show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ space N → space (stream-space borel)
  proof –
    have random-variable (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
    using CRR-infinite-cts-filtration geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted proj-stoch-measurable-if-adapted
    nat-discrete-filtration by blast
    then show ?thesis
      by (simp add: assms(1) bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space measurable-def)
  qed
  show disc-fct (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) unfolding disc-fct-def using CRR-infinite-cts-filtration
  by (simp add: countable-finite geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted infinite-cts-filtration.proj-stoch-set-finite-range)
  show ∀ r∈range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel).
    ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ A = {r}
  proof
    fix r
    assume r∈range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel)
    show ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ A

```

```

= {r}
proof
  show infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
  r ∈ sets (stream-space borel)
    using infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single-set ⟨r ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc
  geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel)⟩
      geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted CRR-infinite-cts-filtration by blast
    show range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single
  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) r = {r}
      using infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single-preimage ⟨r ∈ range (proj-stoch-proc
  geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel)⟩
      geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted CRR-infinite-cts-filtration by blast
  qed
  qed
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) bernoulli-real-cond-exp-AE:

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
 and $0 < q$
 and $q < 1$
 and integrable $N X$
shows real-cond-exp $N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$
 $X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) X w$

proof –

have real-cond-exp $N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$
 $X w = \text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) X w$

proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-AE-eq)

show infinite-coin-toss-space $q N$ **using** assms
 by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
show AE w in N . real-cond-exp $N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n)) X w =$
 $\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) X w$ **using** assms bernoulli-AE-cond-exp
 by simp
show real-cond-exp $N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n)) X$
 $\in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N n)$

proof –

have real-cond-exp $N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n)) X$
 $\in \text{borel-measurable} (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$
 by simp
moreover have subalgebra (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration $N n$) (fct-gen-subalgebra $N (\text{stream-space borel}) (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$
using stock-filtration infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of $q N$ geom-proc n]

```

infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-gen[of q N]
  by (metis ‹infinite-coin-toss-space q N› infinite-cts-filtration-axioms.intro
infinite-cts-filtration-def
    prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
  ultimately show ?thesis using measurable-from-subalg by blast
qed
show expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X ∈
  borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n)
proof -
  have expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X ∈
    borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc
geom-proc n))
    by (simp add: expl-cond-eq-sets assms)
  moreover have subalgebra (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n) (fct-gen-subalgebra
N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n))
    using stock-filtration infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of q N
geom-proc n]
      infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-gen[of q N]
        by (metis ‹infinite-coin-toss-space q N› infinite-cts-filtration-axioms.intro
infinite-cts-filtration-def
          prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
        ultimately show ?thesis using measurable-from-subalg by blast
qed
show 0 < q and q < 1 using assms by auto
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-real-cond-exp-AE:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc
geom-proc n))
    (geom-proc (Suc n)) w = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
    (geom-proc (Suc n)) w
  proof (rule bernoulli-real-cond-exp-AE)
  show integrable N (geom-proc (Suc n)) using assms geom-proc-integrable[of N q
Suc n] by simp
  qed (auto simp add: assms)

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-stoch-proc-filt:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n = fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space
borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
  proof (rule infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-gen)

```

```

show infinite-cts-filtration q N (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N) unfold  

ing infinite-cts-filtration-def
proof
  show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
    by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
  show infinite-cts-filtration-axioms N (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N)
    using infinite-cts-filtration-axioms-def by blast
qed
  show borel-adapt-stoch-proc (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N) geom-proc
    using <infinite-cts-filtration q N (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N)>
      prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def
    using infinite-cts-filtration-def by auto
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) bernoulli-cond-exp:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  and integrable N X
  shows real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n) X w = expl-cond-expect
  N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X w
  proof -
    have aeq: AE w in N. real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel)
  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)) X w =
      expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X w using assms
    bernoulli-AE-cond-exp by simp
    have  $\forall w.$  real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc
  geom-proc n))
      X w = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) X w using assms
    bernoulli-real-cond-exp-AE by simp
    moreover have stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n = fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space
  borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
      using assms geom-proc-stoch-proc-filt by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis by simp
  qed

lemma (in CRR-market) stock-cond-exp:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n) (geom-proc (Suc n))
  w = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) (geom-proc (Suc n)) w
  proof (rule bernoulli-cond-exp)
    show integrable N (geom-proc (Suc n)) using assms geom-proc-integrable[of N q
  Suc n] by simp
  qed (auto simp add: assms)

```

lemma (in prob-space) discount-factor-real-cond-exp:
assumes integrable $M X$
and subalgebra $M G$
and $-1 < r$
shows $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{ real-cond-exp } M G (\lambda x. \text{ discount-factor } r n x * X x) w = \text{ discount-factor } r n w * (\text{real-cond-exp } M G X) w$
proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-mult)
show sigma-finite-subalgebra $M G$ **using assms** subalgebra-sigma-finite **by simp**
show discount-factor $r n \in$ borel-measurable G **by (simp add: discount-factor-borel-measurable)**
show random-variable borel X **using assms** **by simp**
show integrable $M (\lambda x. \text{ discount-factor } r n x * X x)$ **using assms** discounted-integrable[of $M \lambda n. X]$
unfolding discounted-value-def **by simp**
qed

lemma (in prob-space) discounted-value-real-cond-exp:
assumes integrable $M X$
and $-1 < r$
and subalgebra $M G$
shows $\text{AE } w \text{ in } M. \text{ real-cond-exp } M G ((\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. X)) n) w = \text{ discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (\text{real-cond-exp } M G X)) n w$ **using assms**
unfolding discounted-value-def init-triv-filt-def filtration-def
by (simp add: assms discount-factor-real-cond-exp)

lemma (in CRR-market)
assumes $q = (1 + r - d)/(u - d)$
and viable-market Mkt
shows gt-param: $0 < q$
and lt-param: $q < 1$
and risk-neutral-param: $u * q + d * (1 - q) = 1 + r$
proof –
show $0 < q$ **using down-lt-up viable-only-if-d assms by simp**
show $q < 1$ **using down-lt-up viable-only-if-u assms by simp**
show $u * q + d * (1 - q) = 1 + r$
proof –
have $1 - q = 1 - (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ **using assms by simp**
also have $\dots = (u - d)/(u - d) - (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ **using down-lt-up**
by simp
also have $\dots = (u - d - (1 + r - d))/(u - d)$ **using diff-divide-distrib[of $u - d$ $1 + r - d$ $u - d$]** **by simp**
also have $\dots = (u - 1 - r)/(u - d)$ **by simp**
finally have $1 - q = (u - 1 - r)/(u - d)$.
hence $u * q + d * (1 - q) = u * (1 + r - d)/(u - d) + d * (u - 1 - r)/(u - d)$ **using assms by simp**
also have $\dots = (u * (1 + r - d) + d * (u - 1 - r))/(u - d)$ **using add-divide-distrib[of $u * (1 + r - d)$]** **by simp**

```

also have ... =  $(u * (1 + r) - u * d + d * u - d * (1 + r)) / (u - d)$ 
  by (simp add: diff-diff-add right-diff-distrib')
also have ... =  $(u * (1+r) - d * (1+r)) / (u - d)$  by simp
also have ... =  $((u - d) * (1+r)) / (u - d)$  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
also have ... =  $1 + r$  using down-lt-up by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) bernoulli-expl-cond-expect-adapt:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) f ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
proof -
  have sets N = sets M using assms by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def sets-stream-space-cong)
  have icf: infinite-cts-filtration p M nat-filtration by (unfold-locales, simp)
  have G n = stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel n using stock-filtration by simp
  also have ... = fct-gen-subalgebra M (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
  proof (rule infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-gen)
    show infinite-cts-filtration p M nat-filtration using icf .
    show borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration geom-proc using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
  qed
  also have ... = fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
    by (rule fct-gen-subalgebra-eq-sets, (simp add: sets N = sets M))
  finally have G n = fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) .
  moreover have expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) f ∈
    borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n))
    by (simp add: expl-cond-eq-sets assms)
  ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) real-cond-exp-discount-stock:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows AE w in N. real-cond-exp N (G n)
    (discounted-value r (prices Mkt stk) (Suc n)) w =
      discounted-value r (λm w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * prices Mkt stk n
    w) (Suc n) w

```

```

proof -
  have  $qlt: 0 < q$  and  $qgt: q < 1$  using assms by auto
  have  $G n = (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ (stream-space borel)}$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ 
  using stock-filtration infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-gen[of p M nat-filtration
geom-proc n] geometric-process
    geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted CRR-infinite-cts-filtration by simp
  also have ... = ( $\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N \text{ (stream-space borel)}$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ )
  proof (rule fct-gen-subalgebra-eq-sets)
    show events = sets  $N$  using assms  $qlt$   $qgt$ 
      by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def sets-stream-space-cong)
  qed
  finally have  $G n = (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N \text{ (stream-space borel)}$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$  .
  hence  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. \text{real-cond-exp } N (G n)$ 
    ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)$ )  $w = \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra }$ 
 $N \text{ (stream-space borel)}$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ 
        ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)$ )  $w$  by simp
  moreover have  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N \text{ (stream-space borel)}$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ 
        ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)$ )  $w = \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N \text{ (stream-space borel)}$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ 
        ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{Suc } n)$ )  $w$  by simp
  proof -
    have  $\forall w. (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)) w =$ 
      ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{Suc } n)$ )  $w$ 
    proof
      fix  $w$ 
      show  $\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m.$ 
 $\text{prices Mkt stk} (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{Suc } n) w$ 
        by (simp add: discounted-value-def)
    qed
    hence ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)$ ) =
      ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{Suc } n)$ ) by auto
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  moreover have  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. (\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N \text{ (stream-space borel)})$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ 
        ( $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)) (\text{Suc } n)) w =$ 
         $\quad \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } N \text{ (stream-space borel)})$ 
         $\quad (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n))$ 

```

```

((prices Mkt stk) (Suc n))) (Suc n) w
proof (rule prob-space.discounted-value-real-cond-exp)
  show  $-1 < r$  using acceptable-rate by simp
  show integrable N (prices Mkt stk (Suc n)) using stk-price geom-proc-integrable
  assms qlt qgt by simp
  show subalgebra N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc
  geom-proc n))
  proof (rule fct-gen-subalgebra-is-subalgebra)
    show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc  $n \in N \rightarrow_M$  stream-space borel
    proof -
      have proj-stoch-proc geom-proc  $n \in$  measurable M (stream-space borel)
      proof (rule proj-stoch-measurable-if-adapted)
        show borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration geom-proc using
        geometric-process
        geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted by simp
        show filtration M nat-filtration using CRR-infinite-cts-filtration
        by (simp add: nat-discrete-filtration)
      qed
      thus ?thesis using assms bernoulli-stream-equiv filt-equiv-measurable qlt qgt
      psgt psbt by blast
    qed
  qed
  show prob-space N using assms
  by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
  prob-space-measure-pmf)
  qed
  moreover have AE w in N. discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra
  N (stream-space borel)
    (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
    ((prices Mkt stk) (Suc n))) (Suc n) w =
  discounted-value r ( $\lambda m w.$  ( $q * u + (1 - q) * d$ ) * prices Mkt stk
  n w) (Suc n) w
  proof (rule discounted-AE-cong)
    have AEEq N (real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space borel)
    (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
    ((prices Mkt stk) (Suc n)))
    ( $\lambda w.$   $q * (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)$  (pseudo-proj-True n w) +
     $(1 - q) * (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)$  (pseudo-proj-False n w)))
  proof (rule infinite-cts-filtration.f-borel-Suc-real-cond-exp)
    show icf: infinite-cts-filtration q N (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N)
  unfolding infinite-cts-filtration-def
  proof
    show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms qlt qgt
    by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
    show infinite-cts-filtration-axioms N (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration
    N)
    using infinite-cts-filtration-axioms-def by blast
  qed
  have badapt: borel-adapt-stoch-proc (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N)

```

```

(prices Mkt stk)
  using stk-price prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted[of q N geom-proc]
  unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
  by (metis (full-types) borel-measurable-integrable geom-proc-integrable geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True
  icf
    infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-characterization
  infinite-coin-toss-space-def
    infinite-cts-filtration-def)
  show prices Mkt stk (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration
  N (Suc n))
    using badapt unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
    show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n
  →M stream-space borel
    proof (rule proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted)
      show filtration N (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N) using icf
      using infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-discrete-filtration infinite-cts-filtration-def
    by blast
    show borel-adapt-stoch-proc (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N) geom-proc
  using badapt stk-price by simp
  qed
  show set-discriminating n (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) (stream-space borel)
  unfolding set-discriminating-def
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix w
    assume proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n w ≠ proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n
  (pseudo-proj-True n w)
    hence False using CRR-infinite-cts-filtration
    by (metis <proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n w ≠ proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n
  (pseudo-proj-True n w)>
      geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted infinite-cts-filtration.proj-stoch-proj-invariant)
    thus ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel).
      (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n w ∈ A) = (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n (pseudo-proj-True
  n w) ∉ A) by simp
    qed
    show ∀ w. proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n – {proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n w} ∈
      sets (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n)
    proof
      fix w
      show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n – {proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n w} ∈ sets
      (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n)
        using <proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration
      N n →M stream-space borel>
        using assms geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted nat-filtration-from-eq-sets qlt
      qgt
        infinite-cts-filtration.proj-stoch-singleton-set CRR-infinite-cts-filtration by
      blast
    qed
    show ∀ r∈range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ space (stream-space borel).
      ∃ A∈sets (stream-space borel). range (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) ∩ A =

```

```

{r}
proof
fix r
assume asm:  $r \in \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) \cap \text{space}(\text{stream-space borel})$ 
define A where  $A = \text{infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single}(\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n)$ 
have  $A \in \text{sets}(\text{stream-space borel})$  using infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single-set
  unfolding A-def using badapt icf stk-price asm by blast
  moreover have  $\text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) \cap A = \{r\}$ 
  unfolding A-def using badapt icf stk-price infinite-cts-filtration.stream-space-single-preimage
  asm by blast
  ultimately show  $\exists A \in \text{sets}(\text{stream-space borel}). \text{range}(\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n) \cap A = \{r\}$  by auto
qed
show  $\forall y z. \text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n y = \text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n z \wedge$ 
 $y !! n = z !! n \rightarrow$ 
  prices Mkt stk (Suc n) y = prices Mkt stk (Suc n) z
proof (intro allI impI)
fix y z
assume proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n y = proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n z  $\wedge$ 
 $y !! n = z !! n$ 
hence geom-proc n y = geom-proc n z using proj-stoch-proc-component(2)[of
n n]
proof -
show ?thesis
by (metis <math>\bigwedge w f. n \leq n \implies \text{proj-stoch-proc } f n w !! n = f n w</math>
<math>\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n y = \text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n z \wedge y !! n = z !! n</math>
order-refl)
qed
hence geom-proc (Suc n) y = geom-proc (Suc n) z using geometric-process
  by (simp add: <math>\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n y = \text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc } n z \wedge y !! n = z !! n</math>)
thus prices Mkt stk (Suc n) y = prices Mkt stk (Suc n) z using stk-price
by simp
qed
show  $0 < q \text{ and } q < 1$  using assms by auto
qed
moreover have  $\forall w. q * \text{prices Mkt stk } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - q) * \text{prices Mkt stk } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w) =$ 
 $(q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w$ 
proof
fix w
have  $q * \text{prices Mkt stk } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - q) * \text{prices Mkt stk } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w) =$ 
 $q * \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - q) * \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w)$ 
  by (simp add:stk-price)
also have ... =  $q * u * \text{geom-proc } n (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - q) *$ 

```

```

geom-proc (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)
  using geometric-process unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by simp
  also have ... = q * u * geom-proc n w + (1-q) * geom-proc (Suc n)
  (pseudo-proj-False n w)
    by (metis geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True o-apply)
    also have ... = q * u * geom-proc n w + (1-q) * d * geom-proc n
  (pseudo-proj-False n w)
    using geometric-process unfolding pseudo-proj-False-def by simp
    also have ... = q * u * geom-proc n w + (1-q) * d * geom-proc n w
      by (metis geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-False o-apply)
      also have ... = (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * geom-proc n w by (simp add:
distrib-right)
      finally show q * prices Mkt stk (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) + (1 - q) *
prices Mkt stk (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) =
      (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * prices Mkt stk n w using stk-price by simp
    qed
  ultimately show AEeq N (real-cond-exp N (fct-gen-subalgebra N (stream-space
borel)
  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n))
  ((prices Mkt stk) (Suc n)))
  (λw. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * prices Mkt stk n w) by simp
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) risky-asset-martingale-only-if:

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$

and $0 < q$

and $q < 1$

and $\text{martingale } N G (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}))$

shows $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$

proof –

have $AE w \text{ in } N. \text{real-cond-exp } N (G 0)$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } 0)) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) 0 w$ using assms

unfolding martingale-def by simp

hence $AE w \text{ in } N. \text{real-cond-exp } N (G 0)$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } 0)) w = \text{prices Mkt stk } 0 w$ by (simp add: discounted-init)

moreover have $AE w \text{ in } N. \text{real-cond-exp } N (G 0) (\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } 0)) w =$
 $\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{prices Mkt stk } 0 w) (\text{Suc } 0) w$

using assms real-cond-exp-discount-stock by simp

ultimately have $AE w \text{ in } N. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{prices Mkt stk } 0 w) (\text{Suc } 0) w =$
 $\text{prices Mkt stk } 0 w$ by auto

```

hence  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. \text{ discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init}) (\text{Suc } 0) w =$ 
 $(\lambda w. \text{init}) w \text{ using stk-price geometric-process by simp}$ 
hence  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. \text{ discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } 0) w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init} =$ 
 $(\lambda w. \text{init}) w \text{ unfolding discounted-value-def by simp}$ 
hence  $\text{AE } w \text{ in } N. (1+r) * \text{discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } 0) w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init} =$ 
 $(1+r) * (\lambda w. \text{init}) w \text{ by auto}$ 
hence  $\text{prev: AE } w \text{ in } N. \text{ discount-factor } r 0 w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init} =$ 
 $(1+r) * (\lambda w. \text{init}) w \text{ using discount-factor-times-rfr[of } r 0] \text{ acceptable-rate}$ 
proof -
  have  $\forall s. (1 + r) * \text{discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } 0) (s::\text{bool stream}) = \text{discount-factor } r 0 s$ 
  by (metis (no-types) ‹ $\bigwedge w. -1 < r \implies (1 + r) * \text{discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } 0) w = \text{discount-factor } r 0 w$ › acceptable-rate)
  then show ?thesis
  using ‹ $\text{AEeq } N (\lambda w. (1 + r) * \text{discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } 0) w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init}) (\lambda w. (1 + r) * \text{init})$ › by presburger
  qed
hence  $\forall w. (\lambda w. \text{discount-factor } r 0 w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init}) w =$ 
 $(\lambda w. (1+r) * \text{init}) w$ 
proof -
  have  $(\lambda w. \text{discount-factor } r 0 w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init})$ 
   $\in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N 0)$ 
  proof (rule borel-measurable-times)+
    show  $(\lambda x. \text{init}) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N 0)$ 
  by simp
    show  $(\lambda x. q * u + (1 - q) * d) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N 0)$  by simp
      show  $\text{discount-factor } r 0 \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N 0)$ 
      using  $\text{discount-factor-nonrandom}[of } r 0 \text{ infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N 0]$  by simp
      qed
    moreover have  $(\lambda w. (1 + r) * \text{init}) \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N 0)$  by simp
    moreover have  $\text{infinite-coin-toss-space } q N \text{ using assms by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)}$ 
    ultimately show ?thesis
    using  $\text{prev infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-AE-eq}[of } q N$ 
     $(\lambda w. \text{discount-factor } r 0 w * (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init}) (\lambda w. (1 + r) * \text{init}) 0]$  assms
    by (simp add: discount-factor-init)
  qed
  hence  $(q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{init} = (1+r) * \text{init}$  by (simp add: discount-factor-init)
  hence  $q * u + (1 - q) * d = 1+r$  using S0-positive by simp
  hence  $q * u + d - q * d = 1+r$  by (simp add: left-diff-distrib)
  hence  $q * (u - d) = 1 + r - d$ 

```

by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add.commute add.left-commute add-diff-cancel-left' add-uminus-conv-diff left-diff-distrib mult.commute)
thus $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ **using** down-lt-up
by (metis add.commute add.right-neutral diff-add-cancel nonzero-eq-divide-eq order-less-irrefl)
qed

locale *CRR-market-viable* = *CRR-market* +
assumes *CRR-viable*: *viable-market Mkt*

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *real-cond-exp-discount-stock-q-const*:
assumes *N* = bernoulli-stream *q*
and $q = (1+r-d) / (u-d)$
shows AE *w* in *N*. *real-cond-exp N (G n)*

$$(\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) (\text{Suc } n)) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) n w$$
proof –
have *qlt*: $0 < q$ **and** *qgt*: $q < 1$ **using** assms gt-param lt-param *CRR-viable* **by** auto
have AE *w* in *N*. *real-cond-exp N (G n)* (*discounted-value r (prices Mkt stk) (Suc n)*) *w* =

$$\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w) (\text{Suc } n) w$$
using assms real-cond-exp-discount-stock[of *N q*] *qlt qgt* **by** simp
moreover have $\forall w. (q * u + (1 - q) * d) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w = (1+r) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w$ **using** risk-neutral-param assms *CRR-viable*
by (simp add: mult.commute)
ultimately have AE *w* in *N*. *real-cond-exp N (G n)* (*discounted-value r (prices Mkt stk) (Suc n)*) *w* =

$$\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (1+r) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w) (\text{Suc } n) w$$
by simp
moreover have $\forall w \in \text{space } N. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (1+r) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w) (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. \text{prices Mkt stk } n w) n w$
using acceptable-rate **by** (simp add: discounted-mult-times-rfr)
moreover hence $\forall w \in \text{space } N. \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m w. (1+r) * \text{prices Mkt stk } n w) (\text{Suc } n) w = \text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) n w$
using acceptable-rate **by** (simp add: discounted-value-def)
ultimately show AE *w* in *N*. *real-cond-exp N (G n)* (*discounted-value r (prices Mkt stk) (Suc n)*) *w* =

$$\text{discounted-value } r (\text{prices Mkt stk}) n w$$
 by simp
qed

lemma (in *CRR-market-viable*) *risky-asset-martingale-if*:

```

assumes  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$ 
and  $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ 
shows martingale  $N G$  (discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ ))
proof (rule disc-martingale-charact)
have  $qlt: 0 < q$  and  $qgt: q < 1$  using assms gt-param lt-param CRR-viable by
auto
show  $\forall n.$  integrable  $N$  (discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ )  $n$ )
proof
fix  $n$ 
show integrable  $N$  (discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ )  $n$ )
proof (rule discounted-integrable)
show space  $N = \text{space } M$  using assms by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space)
show integrable  $N$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$   $n$ )
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
show infinite-coin-toss-space  $q N$  using assms  $qlt\ qgt$ 
by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
show prices  $Mkt\ stk$   $n \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration}$ 
 $N\ n)$ 
using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted stk-price nat-filtration-from-eq-sets
unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
by (metis ‹infinite-coin-toss-space q N› borel-measurable-integrable geom-proc-integrable
geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True
infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-characterization
infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
qed
show  $-1 < r$  using acceptable-rate by simp
qed
qed
show filtration  $N G$  using  $qlt\ qgt$  by (simp add: bernoulli-gen-filtration assms)
show  $\forall n.$  sigma-finite-subalgebra  $N (G n)$  using  $qlt\ qgt$  by (simp add: assms
bernoulli-sigma-finite)
show  $\forall m.$  discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ )  $m \in \text{borel-measurable}(G m)$ 
proof
fix  $m$ 
have discounted-value  $r (\lambda ma. \text{prices } Mkt\ stk\ m) m \in \text{borel-measurable}(G m)$ 
proof (rule discounted-measurable)
show prices  $Mkt\ stk$   $m \in \text{borel-measurable}(G m)$  using stock-price-borel-measurable
unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by simp
qed
thus discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ )  $m \in \text{borel-measurable}(G m)$ 
by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) discounted-value-def measurable-cong)
qed
show  $\forall n.$  AE  $w$  in  $N.$  real-cond-exp  $N (G n)$ 
(discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ ) (Suc  $n$ ))  $w = \text{discounted-value } r$  (prices
 $Mkt\ stk$ )  $n w$ 
proof
fix  $n$ 
show AE  $w$  in  $N.$  real-cond-exp  $N (G n)$ 
(discounted-value  $r$  (prices  $Mkt\ stk$ ) (Suc  $n$ ))  $w = \text{discounted-value } r$  (prices

```

```

Mkt stk) n w
  using assms real-cond-exp-discount-stock-q-const by simp
qed
qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) risk-neutral-iff':
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 ≤ q
and q ≤ 1
and filt-equiv nat-filtration M N
shows rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob G Mkt r N ↔ q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)
proof
have 0 < q and q < 1 using assms filt-equiv-sgt filt-equiv-slt psgt psdt by auto
note qprops = this
have dem: rfr-disc-equity-market M G Mkt r risk-free-asset by unfold-locales
{
  assume rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob G Mkt r N
  hence (prob-space N) ∧ (∀ asset ∈ stocks Mkt. martingale N G (discounted-value r (prices Mkt asset)))
    using rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob-def[of M G Mkt] dem by simp
  hence martingale N G (discounted-value r (prices Mkt stk)) using stocks by simp
  thus q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d) using assms risky-asset-martingale-only-if[of N q] qprops by simp
}
{
  assume q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)
  hence martingale N G (discounted-value r (prices Mkt stk)) using risky-asset-martingale-if[of N q] assms by simp
  moreover have martingale N G (discounted-value r (prices Mkt risk-free-asset))
    using risk-free-asset-martingale
    assms qprops by simp
  ultimately show rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob G Mkt r N using stocks
    using assms(1) bernoulli-stream-def dem prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
    prob-space-measure-pmf rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob-def by fastforce
}
qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) risk-neutral-iff:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
shows rfr-disc-equity-market.risk-neutral-prob G Mkt r N ↔ q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)
using bernoulli-stream-equiv assms risk-neutral-iff' psgt psdt by auto

```

8.3 Existence of a replicating portfolio

```
fun (in CRR-market) rn-rev-price where
  rn-rev-price N der matur 0 w = der w |
  rn-rev-price N der matur (Suc n) w = discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *
    expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur
    - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price N der matur n) w
```

```
lemma (in CRR-market) stock-filtration-eq:
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows G n = stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n
  proof -
    have G n = stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel n using stock-filtration by simp
    also have ... = stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n
    proof (rule stoch-proc-filt-filt-equiv)
      show filt-equiv nat-filtration M N using assms bernoulli-stream-equiv psgt psdt
    by simp
    qed
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
```

```
lemma (in CRR-market) real-exp-eq:
  assumes der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
  and N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n) der w =
    expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) der w
  proof -
    have der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using assms
    using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt
    stock-filtration by blast
    have integrable N der
    proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
      show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
      by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
      show der ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N matur)
        by (metis ‹der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur)› ‹infinite-coin-toss-space
        q N›
          assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-space
          measurable-from-subalg)
```

```

    nat-filtration-from-eq-sets nat-filtration-space subalgebra-def subset-eq)
qed
show real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel n) der w =
expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) der w
proof (rule bernoulli-cond-exp)
show N = bernoulli-stream q 0 < q q < 1 using assms by auto
show integrable N der using ⟨integrable N der⟩ .
qed
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt:
assumes cash-flow ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
and N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
shows (n ≤ matur) ==> (rn-rev-price N cash-flow matur n) ∈ borel-measurable (G
(matur - n))
proof (induct n)
case 0 thus ?case using assms by simp
next
case (Suc n)
have rn-rev-price N cash-flow matur (Suc n) =
(λw. discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *
(expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price
N cash-flow matur n)) w)
using rn-rev-price.simps(2) by blast
also have ... ∈ borel-measurable (G (matur - Suc n))
proof (rule borel-measurable-times)
show discount-factor r (Suc 0) ∈ borel-measurable (G (matur - Suc n)) by
(simp add:discount-factor-borel-measurable)
show expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price
N cash-flow matur n)
∈ borel-measurable (G (matur - Suc n)) using assms by (simp add: bernoulli-expl-cond-expect-adapt)
qed
finally show rn-rev-price N cash-flow matur (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G
(matur - Suc n)) .
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) bernoulli-discounted-integrable:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
and der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n)
and -1 < r
shows integrable N (discounted-value r (λm. der) m)
proof -
have prob-space N using assms
by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
prob-space-measure-pmf)

```

```

have integrable N der
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
  show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
    by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
  show der ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N n)
    using assms filt-equiv-filtration
    by (simp add: assms(1) measurable-def nat-filtration-from-eq-sets nat-filtration-space)
qed
thus ?thesis using discounted-integrable assms
  by (metis ‹prob-space N› prob-space.discounted-integrable)
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-rev-expl-cond-expect:
  assumes der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
  and N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q
  and q < 1
  shows n ≤ matur ==> rn-rev-price N der matur n w =
    expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value r
      (λm. der) n) w
  proof (induct n arbitrary: w)
    case 0
    have der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using assms
      using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt
      stock-filtration by blast
    have integrable N der
    proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
      show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
        by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
      show der ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N matur)
        by (metis ‹der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur)› ‹infinite-coin-toss-space
          q N›
          assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-space
          measurable-from-subalg
          nat-filtration-from-eq-sets nat-filtration-space subalgebra-def subset-eq)
    qed
    have rn-rev-price N der matur 0 w = der w by simp
    also have ... = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur) (discounted-value
      r (λm. der) 0) w
    proof (rule nat-filtration-AE-eq)
      show der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using ‹der ∈ borel-measurable
        (nat-filtration matur)› .
      have (discounted-value r (λm. der) 0) = der unfolding discounted-value-def
        discount-factor-def by simp
      moreover have AEEq N (real-cond-exp N (G matur) der) der
      proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-F-meas)
        show der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur) using assms by simp
      qed
    qed
  qed

```

```

show integrable  $N$  der using ⟨integrable  $N$  der⟩ .
show sigma-finite-subalgebra  $N$  ( $G$  matur) using bernoulli-sigma-finite
  using assms by simp
qed
moreover have  $\forall w.$  real-cond-exp  $N$  (stoch-proc-filt  $N$  geom-proc borel matur)
der  $w =$ 
  expl-cond-expect  $N$  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur) der  $w$  using assms
real-exp-eq by simp
ultimately have eqn:  $A\text{Eq } N \text{ der} (\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur}) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{ der}) 0))$ 
  using stock-filtration-eq assms by auto
have stoch-proc-filt  $M$  geom-proc borel matur = stoch-proc-filt  $N$  geom-proc borel
matur
  using bernoulli-stream-equiv[ $\text{of } N q$ ] assms psgt psbt by (simp add: stoch-proc-filt-filt-equiv)
also have stoch-proc-filt  $N$  geom-proc borel matur =
  fct-gen-subalgebra  $N$  (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur)
  using assms geom-proc-stoch-proc-filt by simp
finally have stoch-proc-filt  $M$  geom-proc borel matur =
  fct-gen-subalgebra  $N$  (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur) .
moreover have expl-cond-expect  $N$  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur) (discounted-value
 $r (\lambda m. \text{ der}) 0)$ 
   $\in$  borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra  $N$  (stream-space borel) (proj-stoch-proc
geom-proc matur))
proof (rule expl-cond-exp-borel)
show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur  $\in$  space  $N \rightarrow$  space (stream-space borel)
  using assms proj-stoch-proc-geom-rng by (simp add: measurable-def)
show disc-fct (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur) using proj-stoch-proc-geom-disc-fct
by simp
show  $\forall r \in \text{range} (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur}) \cap \text{space} (\text{stream-space borel}).$ 
   $\exists A \in \text{sets} (\text{stream-space borel}). \text{range} (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur}) \cap A$ 
=  $\{r\}$ 
  using proj-stoch-proc-geom-open-set by simp
qed
ultimately show ebm: expl-cond-expect  $N$  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur)
(discounted-value  $r (\lambda m. \text{ der}) 0)$ 
   $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur)
by (metis geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt)
  show  $A\text{Eq } M \text{ der} (\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur}) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{ der}) 0))$ 
proof (rule filt-equiv-borel-AE-eq-iff[THEN iffD2])
  show filt-equiv nat-filtration  $M N$  using assms bernoulli-stream-equiv psgt psbt
by simp
  show der  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using ⟨der  $\in$  borel-measurable
(nat-filtration matur)⟩ .
  show  $A\text{Eq } N \text{ der} (\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur}) (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{ der}) 0))$ 
  using eqn .
show expl-cond-expect  $N$  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc matur) (discounted-value

```

```

r (λm. der) 0)
  ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using ebm .
  show prob-space N using assms by (simp add: bernoulli-stream-def
    prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
  show prob-space M by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def
    prob-space.prob-space-stream-space prob-space-measure-pmf)
qed
show 0 < p p < 1 using psgt psbt by auto
qed
also have ... = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - 0))
(discounted-value r (λm. der) 0) w
  by simp
finally show rn-rev-price N der matur 0 w =
  expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - 0)) (discounted-value
r (λm. der) 0) w .
next
case (Suc n)
have rn-rev-price N der matur (Suc n) w = discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *
  expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price
N der matur n) w by simp
also have ... = discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *
  real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price
N der matur n) w
proof -
  have expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price
N der matur n) w =
    real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n)) (rn-rev-price
N der matur n) w
  proof (rule real-exp-eq[symmetric])
    show rn-rev-price N der matur n ∈ borel-measurable (G (matur - n))
      using assms rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt Suc by simp
    show N = bernoulli-stream q 0 < q q < 1 using assms by auto
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *
  real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
  (expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r (λm. der) n)) w
proof -
  have real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
  (rn-rev-price N der matur n) w =
    real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
    (expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r (λm. der) n)) w
  proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-AE-eq)
    show AEEq N (real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur -
Suc n)) (rn-rev-price N der matur n))
      (real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n)))

```

```

(expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m. der$ ) n)))
  proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-cong)
    show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur -
Suc n))
    using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli-sigma-finite stock-filtration-eq
  by auto
  show rn-rev-price N der matur n ∈ borel-measurable N
  proof -
    have rn-rev-price N der matur n ∈ borel-measurable (G (matur - n))
      by (metis (full-types) Suc.prems Suc.leD assms(1) assms(2) assms(3)
assms(4) rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt)
    then show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) assms(2) bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def filtration-
measurable measurable-cong-sets sets-measure-pmf sets-stream-space-cong)
    qed
    show expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m. der$ ) n) ∈ borel-measurable N
      using Suc.hyps Suc.prems Suc.leD rn-rev-price N der matur n ∈
borel-measurable N by presburger
      show AEEq N (rn-rev-price N der matur n)
        (expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m. der$ ) n)) using Suc by auto
    qed
    show real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
      (rn-rev-price N der matur n) ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc
n))
      by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-cond-exp infinite-
coin-toss-space.intro
      infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt linear measurable-from-subalg
not-less
      prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
    show real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
      (expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m. der$ ) n)) ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc
n))
      by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-cond-exp infinite-
coin-toss-space.intro
      infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt linear measurable-from-subalg
not-less
      prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
    show 0 < q q < 1 using assms by auto
    show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
      by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
  qed
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

also have ... = discount-factor $r(\text{Suc } 0) w *$
 $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value}$
 $r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n)) w$
proof –
have $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc} (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value}$
 $r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n)) w =$
 $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value}$
 $r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n)) w$
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-AE-eq)
show $\text{AEeq } N (\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} -$
 $\text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc} (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value}$
 $r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n))$
 $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n))$
 $(\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - n))$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n))$
proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-cong)
show $\text{sigma-finite-subalgebra } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} -$
 $\text{Suc } n))$
using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli-sigma-finite stock-filtration-eq
by auto
show $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - n))$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$
by simp
show $\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc} (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value}$
 $r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n) \in \text{borel-measurable } N$
by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli bernoulli-expl-cond-expect-adapt
bernoulli-stream-def filtration-measurable
measurable-cong-sets sets-measure-pmf sets-stream-space-cong)
show $\text{AEeq } N (\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc} (\text{matur} - n))$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n))$
 $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - n)) \text{ (discounted-value}$
 $r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n))$
proof –
have $\text{discounted-value } r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$ **using**
assms discounted-measurable[of der]
by simp
hence $\forall w. (\text{expl-cond-expect } N (\text{proj-stoch-proc geom-proc} (\text{matur} - n))$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n)) w =$
 $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - n))$
 $(\text{discounted-value } r(\lambda m. \text{ der}) n)) w$
using real-exp-eq[of - matur N q matur-n] assms **by simp**
thus ?thesis **by simp**
qed
qed
show $\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel} (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n))$

```

(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r (λm. der) n))
  ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc
n))
  by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-cond-exp infi-
nite-coin-toss-space.intro
  infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt linear measurable-from-subalg
not-less
  prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
show real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
  (expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - n)) (discounted-value
r (λm. der) n))
  ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc
n))
  by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-cond-exp infi-
nite-coin-toss-space.intro
  infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt linear measurable-from-subalg
not-less
  prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
show 0 < q q < 1 using assms by auto
show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
  by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
qed
thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc
n))
  (discounted-value r (λm. der) (Suc n)) w
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-AE-eq)
  show real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
  (discounted-value r (λm. der) (Suc n))
  ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc n))
  by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-cond-exp infi-
nite-coin-toss-space.intro
  infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt linear measurable-from-subalg
not-less
  prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def)
show (λa. discount-factor r (Suc 0) a *
  real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
  (real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n)))
  (discounted-value r (λm. der) n)) a
  ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc
n))
proof -
  have real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
    (real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n)))
  (discounted-value r (λm. der) n))
  ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N (matur - Suc
n))

```

by (metis assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) borel-measurable-cond-exp infinite-coin-toss-space.intro
infinite-coin-toss-space.stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt linear measurable-from-subalg not-less
prob-grw.geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted prob-grw-axioms prob-grw-def
thus ?thesis **using** discounted-measurable[of real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n)) (discounted-value r (λm. der) n))]
unfolding discounted-value-def **by** simp
qed
show $0 < q$ $q < 1$ **using** assms **by** auto
show infinite-coin-toss-space q N **using** assms
by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
show AEEq N (λw. discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *)
real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n))
(discounted-value r (λm. der) n)) w
(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n)) (discounted-value r (λm. der) (Suc n)))
proof –
have AEEq N
*(λw. discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *)*
real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n))
(discounted-value r (λm. der) n)) w
*(λw. discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *)*
real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
(discounted-value r (λm. der) n) w
proof –
have AEEq N (real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n))
(discounted-value r (λm. der) n))
(real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
(discounted-value r (λm. der) n))
proof (rule sigma-finite-subalgebra.real-cond-exp-nested-subalg)
show sigma-finite-subalgebra N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli-sigma-finite stock-filtration-eq
by auto
show subalgebra N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n))
using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli-sigma-finite sigma-finite-subalgebra.subalg
stock-filtration-eq **by** fastforce
show subalgebra (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - n)) (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
proof –
have init-triv-filt M (stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel) **using** infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-triv-init

```

using info-filtration stock-filtration by auto
moreover have matur - (Suc n) ≤ matur - n by simp
ultimately show ?thesis unfolding init-triv-filt-def filtration-def
  using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) stock-filtration stock-filtration-eq
by auto
qed
show integrable N (discounted-value r (λm. der) n) using bernoulli-discounted-integrable[of
N q der matur r n] acceptable-rate assms
  using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt
stock-filtration by blast
qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover have AEeq N
  (λw. discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *
    real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
  (discounted-value r (λm. der) n) w)
  (λw. discount-factor r (Suc 0) w * (discounted-value r
    (λm. real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n)))
der) n) w)
proof -
have AEeq N (real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur -
Suc n)) (discounted-value r (λm. der) n))
  (discounted-value r
    (λm. real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n)))
der) n)
proof (rule prob-space.discounted-value-real-cond-exp)
show prob-space N using assms
by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
prob-space-measure-pmf)
have der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using assms
using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt
stock-filtration by blast
show integrable N der
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
show infinite-coin-toss-space q N using assms
by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
show der ∈ borel-measurable (infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration N
matur)
by (metis `der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur)` `infi-
nite-coin-toss-space q N`
assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-space
measurable-from-subalg
  nat-filtration-from-eq-sets nat-filtration-space subalgebra-def subset-eq)
qed
show -1 < r using acceptable-rate .
show subalgebra N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel (matur - Suc n))
using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli-sigma-finite sigma-finite-subalgebra.subalg
  stock-filtration-eq by fastforce

```

```

qed
thus ?thesis by auto
qed
moreover have  $\forall w. (\lambda w. \text{discount-factor } r (\text{Suc } 0) w * (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel } (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n)) \text{ der} ) n) w =$ 
 $(\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel } (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n)) \text{ der} ) (\text{Suc } n)) w$ 
unfolding discounted-value-def discount-factor-def by simp
moreover have  $A \text{Eq } N$ 
 $(\text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel } (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n))$ 
 $(\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{der} ) (\text{Suc } n)))$ 
 $(\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{real-cond-exp } N (\text{stoch-proc-filt } N \text{ geom-proc borel } (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n)) \text{ der} ) (\text{Suc } n))$ 
proof (rule prob-space.discounted-value-real-cond-exp)
show prob-space  $N$  using assms
by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
prob-space-measure-pmf)
have  $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{nat-filtration } \text{matur})$  using assms
using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt
stock-filtration by blast
show integrable  $N \text{ der}$ 
proof (rule infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-borel-measurable-integrable)
show infinite-coin-toss-space  $q \ N$  using assms
by (simp add: infinite-coin-toss-space-def)
show  $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (\text{infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration } N \text{ matur})$ 
by (metis ‹der ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur)› ‹infinite-coin-toss-space
 $q \ N›
assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) infinite-coin-toss-space.nat-filtration-space
measurable-from-subalg
nat-filtration-from-eq-sets nat-filtration-space subalgebra-def subset-eq)
qed
show  $-1 < r$  using acceptable-rate .
show subalgebra  $N$  (stoch-proc-filt  $N$  geom-proc borel ( $\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n$ ))
using assms(2) assms(3) assms(4) bernoulli-sigma-finite sigma-finite-subalgebra.subalg
stock-filtration-eq by fastforce
qed
ultimately show ?thesis by auto
qed
qed
also have ... = expl-cond-expect  $N$  (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc ( $\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n$ ))
(discounted-value  $r (\lambda m. \text{der} ) (\text{Suc } n)$ )  $w$ 
proof (rule real-exp-eq)
show discounted-value  $r (\lambda m. \text{der} ) (\text{Suc } n) \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$  using
assms discounted-measurable[of der]
by simp
show  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q \ 0 < q \ q < 1$  using assms by auto$ 
```

```

qed
finally show rn-rev-price N der matur (Suc n) w =
expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc (matur - Suc n)) (discounted-value
r (λm. der) (Suc n)) w .
qed

definition (in CRR-market) rn-price where
rn-price N der matur n w = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n)
(discounted-value r (λm. der) (matur - n)) w

definition (in CRR-market) rn-price-ind where
rn-price-ind N der matur n w = rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - n) w

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-price-eq:
assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
and der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
and n ≤ matur
shows rn-price N der matur n w = rn-price-ind N der matur n w using rn-rev-expl-cond-expect
unfolding rn-price-def rn-price-ind-def
by (simp add: assms)

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-filt-info:
fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b:{t0-space}
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
shows f w = f (pseudo-proj-True n w)
proof -
have subalgebra (nat-filtration n) (G n) using stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc
n] geometric-process
stock-filtration geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted by simp
hence f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n) using assms by (simp add: measurable-from-subalg)
thus ?thesis using nat-filtration-info[of f n] by (metis comp-apply)
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-filt-info':
fixes f::bool stream ⇒ 'b:{t0-space}
assumes f ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
shows f w = f (pseudo-proj-False n w)
proof -
have subalgebra (nat-filtration n) (G n) using stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of geom-proc
n] geometric-process
stock-filtration geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted by simp
hence f ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n) using assms by (simp add: measurable-from-subalg)
thus ?thesis using nat-filtration-info'[of f n] by (metis comp-apply)

```

qed

lemma (in CRR-market) rn-price-borel-adapt:
assumes cash-flow ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
and N = bernoulli-stream q
and 0 < q
and q < 1
and n ≤ matur
shows (rn-price N cash-flow matur n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
proof –
 show (rn-price N cash-flow matur n) ∈ borel-measurable (G n)
 using assms rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt[of cash-flow matur N q matur - n]
 rn-price-eq rn-price-ind-def
 by (smt add.right-neutral cancel-comm-monoid-add-class.diff-cancel diff-commute
 diff-le-self
 increasing-measurable-info measurable-cong nat-le-linear ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-i
qed

definition (in CRR-market) delta-price where
delta-price N cash-flow T =
 ($\lambda n w. \text{if } (\text{Suc } n \leq T)$
 then (rn-price N cash-flow T (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) - rn-price N
 cash-flow T (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)) /
 (geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n True) - geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n False))
 else 0)

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-price-eq:
assumes Suc n ≤ T
shows delta-price N cash-flow T n w = (rn-price N cash-flow T (Suc n) (spick
w n True) - rn-price N cash-flow T (Suc n) (spick w n False)) /
 ((geom-proc n w) * (u - d))
proof –
 have (geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n True) - geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n False))
 = geom-proc n w * (u - d)
 by (simp add: geom-rand-walk-diff-induct)
 then show ?thesis unfolding delta-price-def **using** assms spick-eq-pseudo-proj-True
 spick-eq-pseudo-proj-False **by** simp
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-spick:
shows geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n x) = (if x then u else d) * geom-proc n w
proof –

```

have geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n x) = geom-rand-walk u d init (Suc n) (spick
w n x) using geometric-process by simp
also have ... = (case (spick w n x) !! n of True  $\Rightarrow$  u | False  $\Rightarrow$  d) * geom-rand-walk
u d init n (spick w n x)
by simp
also have ... = (case x of True  $\Rightarrow$  u | False  $\Rightarrow$  d) * geom-rand-walk u d init n
(spick w n x)
unfolding spick-def by simp
also have ... = (if x then u else d) * geom-rand-walk u d init n (spick w n x) by
simp
also have ... = (if x then u else d) * geom-rand-walk u d init n w
by (metis comp-def geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True geometric-process pseudo-proj-True-stake-image
spickI)
finally show ?thesis using geometric-process by simp
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) spick-red-geom:

```

shows ( $\lambda w.$  spick w n x)  $\in$  measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc
n)) (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc (Suc n)))
unfolding measurable-def
proof (intro CollectI conjI)
show ( $\lambda w.$  spick w n x)
 $\in$  space (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc n))  $\rightarrow$  space (fct-gen-subalgebra
M borel (geom-proc (Suc n)))
by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
show  $\forall y \in$  sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc (Suc n))).  

 $(\lambda w.$  spick w n x)  $-` y \cap$  space (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc n))
 $\in$  sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc n))
proof
fix A
assume A:  $A \in$  sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc (Suc n)))
show ( $\lambda w.$  spick w n x)  $-` A \cap$  space (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc
n))  $\in$ 
sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc n))
proof -
define sp where sp = ( $\lambda w.$  spick w n x)
have A  $\in$   $\{(geom\text{-}proc (Suc n)) -` B \cap$  space M | B. B  $\in$  sets borel\} using A
by (simp add:fct-gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets)
from this obtain C where C  $\in$  sets borel and A = (geom-proc (Suc n))  $-` C$ 
 $\cap$  space M by auto
hence A = (geom-proc (Suc n))  $-` C$  using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
by simp
hence sp  $-` A = sp -` (geom\text{-}proc (Suc n)) -` C$  by simp
also have ... = (geom-proc (Suc n)  $\circ$  sp)  $-` C$  by auto
also have ... = ( $\lambda w.$  (if x then u else d) * geom-proc n w)  $-` C$  using
geom-proc-spick
sp-def by auto
also have ...  $\in$  sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc n))

```

```

proof (cases x)
  case True
    hence  $(\lambda w. (\text{if } x \text{ then } u \text{ else } d) * \text{geom-proc } n w) -' C = (\lambda w. u * \text{geom-proc}$ 
 $n w) -' C$  by simp
    moreover have  $(\lambda w. u * \text{geom-proc } n w) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra}$ 
 $M \text{ borel}(\text{geom-proc } n))$ 
    proof –
      have  $\text{geom-proc } n \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ borel}(\text{geom-proc}$ 
 $n))$ 
      using fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable measurable-def mem-Collect-eq)
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $\langle C \in \text{sets borel} \rangle$ 
    by (metis bernoulli bernoulli-stream-preimage fct-gen-subalgebra-space measurable-sets)
  next
    case False
    hence  $(\lambda w. (\text{if } x \text{ then } u \text{ else } d) * \text{geom-proc } n w) -' C = (\lambda w. d * \text{geom-proc}$ 
 $n w) -' C$  by simp
    moreover have  $(\lambda w. d * \text{geom-proc } n w) \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra}$ 
 $M \text{ borel}(\text{geom-proc } n))$ 
    proof –
      have  $\text{geom-proc } n \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ borel}(\text{geom-proc}$ 
 $n))$ 
      using fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable measurable-def mem-Collect-eq)
      thus ?thesis by simp
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using  $\langle C \in \text{sets borel} \rangle$ 
    by (metis bernoulli bernoulli-stream-preimage fct-gen-subalgebra-space measurable-sets)
  qed
  finally show ?thesis unfolding sp-def by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space fct-gen-subalgebra-space)
  qed
  qed
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-spick-Suc:
assumes  $A \in \{\text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } n)\} -' B \mid B. B \in \text{sets borel}\}$ 
shows  $(\lambda w. \text{spick } w n x) -' A \in \{\text{geom-proc } n -' B \mid B. B \in \text{sets borel}\}$ 
proof –
  have  $\text{sets}(\text{fct-gen-subalgebra } M \text{ borel}(\text{geom-proc } n)) = \{\text{geom-proc } n -' B \cap \text{space}$ 
 $M \mid B. B \in \text{sets borel}\}$ 
  by (simp add: fct-gen-subalgebra-sigma-sets)
  also have ... =  $\{\text{geom-proc } n -' B \mid B. B \in \text{sets borel}\}$  using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space

```

```

by simp
  finally have sf: sets (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc n)) = {geom-proc n
  - `B | B. B ∈ sets borel} .
    define sp where sp = (λw. spick w n x)
    from assms(1) obtain C where C ∈ sets borel and A = (geom-proc (Suc n))
    - `C by auto
    hence A = (geom-proc (Suc n)) - `C using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by
    simp
    hence sp - `A = sp - ` (geom-proc (Suc n)) - `C by simp
    also have ... = (geom-proc (Suc n) ∘ sp) - `C by auto
    also have ... = (λw. (if x then u else d) * geom-proc n w) - `C using geom-proc-spick
    sp-def by auto
    also have ... ∈ {geom-proc n - `B | B. B ∈ sets borel}
    proof (cases x)
      case True
      hence (λw. (if x then u else d) * geom-proc n w) - `C = (λw. u * geom-proc
      n w) - `C by simp
      moreover have (λw. u * geom-proc n w) ∈ borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra
      M borel (geom-proc n))
      proof -
        have geom-proc n ∈ borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc
        n))
        using fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable measurable-def
        mem-Collect-eq)
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using ⟨C ∈ sets borel⟩ sf
      by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-preimage fct-gen-subalgebra-space
      in-borel-measurable-borel)
    next
      case False
      hence (λw. (if x then u else d) * geom-proc n w) - `C = (λw. d * geom-proc
      n w) - `C by simp
      moreover have (λw. d * geom-proc n w) ∈ borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra
      M borel (geom-proc n))
      proof -
        have geom-proc n ∈ borel-measurable (fct-gen-subalgebra M borel (geom-proc
        n))
        using fct-gen-subalgebra-fct-measurable
        by (metis (no-types, lifting) geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable measurable-def
        mem-Collect-eq)
        thus ?thesis by simp
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using ⟨C ∈ sets borel⟩ sf
      by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-preimage fct-gen-subalgebra-space
      in-borel-measurable-borel)
    qed
    finally show ?thesis unfolding sp-def .
  
```

qed

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-spick-lt:
assumes $m < n$
shows $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{spick } w n x) = \text{geom-proc } m w$
proof –
have $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{spick } w n x) = \text{geom-proc } m (\text{pseudo-proj-True } m (\text{spick } w n x))$
using $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True}$ by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{pseudo-proj-True } m w)$ using assms
by (metis less-imp-le-nat pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-prefix spickI)
also have ... = $\text{geom-proc } m w$ using $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True}$ by
(metis comp-apply)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-spick-eq:
shows $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{spick } w m x) = \text{geom-proc } m w$
proof (cases x)
case True
have $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{spick } w m x) = \text{geom-proc } m (\text{pseudo-proj-True } m (\text{spick } w m x))$
using $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True}$ by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{pseudo-proj-True } m w)$ using True
by (metis pseudo-proj-True-def spickI)
also have ... = $\text{geom-proc } m w$ using $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-True}$ by
(metis comp-apply)
finally show ?thesis .
next
case False
have $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{spick } w m x) = \text{geom-proc } m (\text{pseudo-proj-False } m (\text{spick } w m x))$
using $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-False}$ by (metis comp-apply)
also have ... = $\text{geom-proc } m (\text{pseudo-proj-False } m w)$ using False
by (metis pseudo-proj-False-def spickI)
also have ... = $\text{geom-proc } m w$ using $\text{geom-rand-walk-pseudo-proj-False}$ by
(metis comp-apply)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) spick-red-geom-filt:
shows $(\lambda w. \text{spick } w n x) \in \text{measurable } (G n) (G (\text{Suc } n))$ unfolding measurable-def
proof (intro CollectI conjI)
show $(\lambda w. \text{spick } w n x) \in \text{space } (G n) \rightarrow \text{space } (G (\text{Suc } n))$ using stock-filtration
by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space stoch-proc-filt-space)
show $\forall y \in \text{sets } (G (\text{Suc } n)). (\lambda w. \text{spick } w n x) -` y \cap \text{space } (G n) \in \text{sets } (G n)$

```

proof
  fix B
  assume B ∈ sets (G (Suc n))
  hence B ∈ (sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ Suc n}. {(geom-proc i -‘A)
    ∩ (space M) | A. A ∈ sets borel }))

  using stock-filtration stoch-proc-filt-sets geometric-process
proof -
  have ∀ n. sigma-sets (space M) (⋃ n ∈ {na. na ≤ n}. {geom-proc n -‘R ∩
    space M | R. R ∈ sets borel}) = sets (G n)
  by (simp add: geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable stoch-proc-filt-sets stock-filtration)
  then show ?thesis
  using ⟨B ∈ sets (G (Suc n))⟩ by blast
qed
hence (λw. spick w n x) -‘ B ∈ sets (G n)
proof (induct rule:sigma-sets.induct)
{
  fix C
  assume C ∈ (⋃ i ∈ {m. m ≤ Suc n}. {geom-proc i -‘A ∩ space M | A. A
    ∈ sets borel})
  hence ∃ m ≤ Suc n. C ∈ {geom-proc m -‘A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel}
by auto
  from this obtain m where m ≤ Suc n and C ∈ {geom-proc m -‘A ∩ space
    M | A. A ∈ sets borel} by auto
  note Cprops = this
  from this obtain D where C = geom-proc m -‘D ∩ space M and D ∈ sets
    borel by auto
  hence C = geom-proc m -‘D using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by
  simp
  have C ∈ {geom-proc m -‘A | A. A ∈ sets borel} using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space
  Cprops by simp
  show (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C ∈ sets (G n)
  proof (cases m ≤ n)
    case True
    have (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C = (λw. spick w n x) -‘ geom-proc m -‘D
  using ⟨C = geom-proc m -‘D⟩ by simp
    also have ... = (geom-proc m o (λw. spick w n x)) -‘D by auto
    also have ... = geom-proc m -‘D using geom-spick-lt geom-spick-eq ⟨m ≤ n⟩
      using le-eq-less-or-eq by auto
    also have ... ∈ sets (G n) using stock-filtration geometric-process
      ⟨D ∈ sets borel⟩
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) True adapt-stoch-proc-def bernoulli bernoulli-stream-preimage
      geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable increasing-measurable-info measurable-
      sets stoch-proc-filt-adapt
        stoch-proc-filt-space)
    finally show (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C ∈ sets (G n) .
next
case False
hence m = Suc n using ⟨m ≤ Suc n⟩ by simp
hence (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C ∈ {geom-proc n -‘B | B. B ∈ sets borel}

```

```

using <C ∈ {geom-proc m -‘ A | A. A ∈ sets borel}> geom-spick-Suc by
simp
also have ... ⊆ sets (G n)
proof -
  have {geom-proc n -‘ B | B. B ∈ sets borel} ⊆ {geom-proc n -‘ B ∩
space M | B. B ∈ sets borel}
  using bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
  also have ... ⊆ (∪ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. {geom-proc i -‘ A ∩ space M | A. A
∈ sets borel})
  by auto
  also have ... ⊆ sigma-sets (space M) (∪ i∈{m. m ≤ n}. {geom-proc i
-‘ A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel})
  by (rule sigma-sets-superset-generator)
  also have ... = sets (G n) using stock-filtration geometric-process
  stoch-proc-filt-sets[of n geom-proc M borel] geom-rand-walk-borel-measurable
by blast
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally show ?thesis .
qed
}
show (λw. spick w n x) -‘ {} ∈ sets (G n) by simp
{
  fix C
  assume C ∈ sigma-sets (space M) (∪ i∈{m. m ≤ Suc n}. {geom-proc i -‘
A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel})
  and (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C ∈ sets (G n)
  hence (λw. spick w n x) -‘ (space M - C) = (λw. spick w n x) -‘ (space
M) - (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C
  by (simp add: vimage-Diff)
  also have ... = space M - (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C using bernoulli
bernoulli-stream-space by simp
  also have ... ∈ sets (G n) using <(λw. spick w n x) -‘ C ∈ sets (G n)>
by (metis algebra.compl-sets disc-filtr-def discrete-filtration sets.sigma-algebra-axioms
sigma-algebra-def subalgebra-def)
  finally show (λw. spick w n x) -‘ (space M - C) ∈ sets (G n) .
}
{
  fix C::nat ⇒ bool stream set
  assume (∀i. C i ∈ sigma-sets (space M) (∪ i∈{m. m ≤ Suc n}. {geom-proc
i -‘ A ∩ space M | A. A ∈ sets borel}))
  and (∀i. (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C i ∈ sets (G n))
  hence (λw. spick w n x) -‘ ∪(C ‘ UNIV) = (∪ i∈ UNIV. (λw. spick w n
x) -‘ (C i)) by blast
  also have ... ∈ sets (G n) using <∀i. (λw. spick w n x) -‘ C i ∈ sets (G
n)> by simp
  finally show (λw. spick w n x) -‘ ∪(C ‘ UNIV) ∈ sets (G n) .
}
qed

```

thus $(\lambda w. spick w n x) -^c B \cap space (G n) \in sets (G n)$ **using stock-filtration**
stoch-proc-filt-space
bernoulli bernoulli-stream-space by simp
qed
qed

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-price-adapted:
fixes cash-flow::bool stream \Rightarrow real
assumes cash-flow \in borel-measurable ($G T$)
and $N = bernoulli-stream q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
shows borel-adapt-stoch-proc G (delta-price N cash-flow T)
unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def
proof
fix n
show delta-price N cash-flow $T n \in$ borel-measurable ($G n$)
proof (cases $Suc n \leq T$)
case True
hence deleq: $\forall w. \text{delta-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T n w = (\text{rn-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T (Suc n) (\text{spick } w n \text{ True}) - \text{rn-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T (Suc n) (\text{spick } w n \text{ False})) / ((\text{geom-proc } n w) * (u - d))$ **using delta-price-eq by simp**
have $(\lambda w. \text{rn-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T (Suc n) (\text{spick } w n \text{ True})) \in$ borel-measurable ($G n$)
proof –
have rn-price N cash-flow $T (Suc n) \in$ borel-measurable ($G (Suc n)$) **using**
rn-price-borel-adapt assms
using True by blast
moreover have $(\lambda w. spick w n \text{ True}) \in G n \rightarrow_M G (Suc n)$ **using**
spick-red-geom-filt by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
moreover have $(\lambda w. \text{rn-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T (Suc n) (\text{spick } w n \text{ False})) \in$ borel-measurable ($G n$)
proof –
have rn-price N cash-flow $T (Suc n) \in$ borel-measurable ($G (Suc n)$) **using**
rn-price-borel-adapt assms
using True by blast
moreover have $(\lambda w. spick w n \text{ False}) \in G n \rightarrow_M G (Suc n)$ **using**
spick-red-geom-filt by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately have $(\lambda w. \text{rn-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T (Suc n) (\text{spick } w n \text{ True}) - \text{rn-price } N \text{ cash-flow } T (Suc n) (\text{spick } w n \text{ False})) \in$ borel-measurable ($G n$) **by simp**
moreover have $(\lambda w. (\text{geom-proc } n w) * (u - d)) \in$ borel-measurable ($G n$)
proof –
have geom-proc $n \in$ borel-measurable ($G n$) **using stock-filtration**
by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def stk-price stock-price-borel-measurable)

```

thus ?thesis by simp
qed
ultimately have  $(\lambda w. (rn\text{-}price N cash\text{-}flow T (Suc n) (spick w n True) - rn\text{-}price N cash\text{-}flow T (Suc n) (spick w n False)) / ((geom\text{-}proc n w) * (u - d))) \in borel\text{-}measurable (G n)$  by simp
thus ?thesis using deleq by presburger
next
case False
thus ?thesis unfolding delta-price-def by simp
qed
qed

fun (in CRR-market) delta-predict where
delta-predict  $N$  der matur  $0 = (\lambda w. \text{delta-price } N \text{ der matur } 0 w) | \text{delta-predict } N \text{ der matur } (Suc n) = (\lambda w. \text{delta-price } N \text{ der matur } n w)$ 

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-predict-predict:
assumes der  $\in$  borel-measurable ( $G$  matur)
and  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$ 
and  $0 < q$ 
and  $q < 1$ 
shows borel-predict-stoch-proc  $G$  (delta-predict  $N$  der matur) unfolding predict-stoch-proc-def
proof (intro conjI)
show delta-predict  $N$  der matur  $0 \in$  borel-measurable ( $G$   $0$ ) using delta-price-adapted[of der matur  $N$   $q$ ]
assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by force
show  $\forall n. \text{delta-predict } N \text{ der matur } (Suc n) \in$  borel-measurable ( $G$   $n$ )
proof
fix  $n$ 
show delta-predict  $N$  der matur  $(Suc n) \in$  borel-measurable ( $G$   $n$ ) using delta-price-adapted[of der matur  $N$   $q$ ]
assms unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by force
qed
qed

definition (in CRR-market) delta-pf where
delta-pf  $N$  der matur = qty-single stk (delta-predict  $N$  der matur)

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-pf-support:
shows support-set (delta-pf  $N$  der matur)  $\subseteq \{stk\}$  unfolding delta-pf-def
using single-comp-support[of stk delta-predict  $N$  der matur] by simp

definition (in CRR-market) self-fin-delta-pf where
self-fin-delta-pf  $N$  der matur  $v0 = \text{self-finance Mkt } v0$  (delta-pf  $N$  der matur)
risk-free-asset

lemma (in disc-equity-market) self-finance-trading-strat:

```

```

assumes trading-strategy pf
and portfolio pf
and borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset)
and support-adapt Mkt pf
shows trading-strategy (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) unfolding self-finance-def
proof (rule sum-trading-strat)
  show trading-strategy pf using assms by simp
  show trading-strategy (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)) unfolding
    trading-strategy-def
    proof (intro conjI ballI)
      show portfolio (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset))
        by (simp add: self-finance-def single-comp-portfolio)
      show ∃a.
        a ∈ support-set (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)) ==>
        borel-predict-stoch-proc F (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)) a
      proof (cases support-set (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset))) = {}
        case False
        hence eqasset: support-set (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)) = {asset}
          using single-comp-support by fastforce
        fix a
        assume a ∈ support-set (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset))
        hence a = asset using eqasset by simp
        hence qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset) a = (remaining-qty Mkt
          v pf asset)
          unfolding qty-single-def by simp
        moreover have borel-predict-stoch-proc F (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)
        proof (rule remaining-qty-predict)
          show trading-strategy pf using assms by simp
          show borel-adapt-stoch-proc F (prices Mkt asset) using assms by simp
          show support-adapt Mkt pf using assms by simp
        qed
        ultimately show borel-predict-stoch-proc F (qty-single asset (remaining-qty
          Mkt v pf asset)) a
          by simp
      next
        case True
        thus ∃a. a ∈ support-set (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)) ==>
          support-set (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset)) = {} ==>
          borel-predict-stoch-proc F (qty-single asset (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset))
        a) by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
lemma (in CRR-market) self-fin-delta-pf-trad-strat:
  assumes der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
  and N = bernoulli-stream q
  and 0 < q

```

```

and  $q < 1$ 
  shows trading-strategy (self-fin-delta-pf  $N$  der matur  $v0$ ) unfolding self-fin-delta-pf-def
  proof (rule self-finance-trading-strat)
    show trading-strategy (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) unfolding trading-strategy-def
    proof (intro conjI ballI)
      show portfolio (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) unfolding portfolio-def using delta-pf-support
        by (meson finite.emptyI finite-insert infinite-super)
      show  $\bigwedge asset. asset \in support\text{-}set (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur}) \implies borel\text{-}predict\text{-}stoch\text{-}proc$ 
 $G (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur asset})$ 
        proof (cases support-set (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) = {})
          case False
          fix asset
          assume asset  $\in support\text{-}set (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur})$ 
          hence asset = stk using False delta-pf-support by auto
          hence delta-pf  $N$  der matur asset = delta-predict  $N$  der matur unfolding
          delta-pf-def qty-single-def by simp
          thus borel-predict-stoch-proc  $G (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur asset})$  using delta-predict-predict
            assms by simp
        next
        case True
        thus  $\bigwedge asset. asset \in support\text{-}set (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur}) \implies$ 
          support-set (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) = {}  $\implies borel\text{-}predict\text{-}stoch\text{-}proc G$ 
          ( $\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur asset}$ ) by simp
        qed
      qed
      show portfolio (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) using delta-pf-support unfolding portfolio-def
        by (meson finite.emptyI finite-insert infinite-super)
      show borel-adapt-stoch-proc  $G$  (prices Mkt risk-free-asset) using rf-price
        disc-rfr-proc-borel-adapted by simp
      show support-adapt Mkt (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) unfolding support-adapt-def
      proof
        show  $\bigwedge asset. asset \in support\text{-}set (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur}) \implies borel\text{-}adapt\text{-}stoch\text{-}proc$ 
 $G (\text{prices Mkt asset})$ 
        proof (cases support-set (delta-pf  $N$  der matur) = {})
          case False
          fix asset
          assume asset  $\in support\text{-}set (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur})$ 
          hence asset = stk using False delta-pf-support by auto
          hence prices Mkt asset = geom-proc using stk-price by simp
          thus borel-adapt-stoch-proc  $G (\text{prices Mkt asset})$ 
            using <asset = stk> stock-price-borel-measurable by auto
        next
        case True
        thus  $\bigwedge asset. asset \in support\text{-}set (\delta\text{-}pf N \text{ der matur}) \implies borel\text{-}adapt\text{-}stoch\text{-}proc$ 
 $G (\text{prices Mkt asset})$ 
          by simp
        qed
      qed
    qed
  qed
qed

```

qed

definition (in CRR-market) delta-hedging where
delta-hedging N der matur = self-fin-delta-pf N der matur
(prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r (λm. der) matur))

lemma (in CRR-market) geom-proc-eq-snth:
shows $(\bigwedge m. m \leq \text{Suc } n \implies \text{geom-proc } m x = \text{geom-proc } m y) \implies$
 $(\bigwedge m. m \leq n \implies \text{snth } x m = \text{snth } y m)$
proof (induct n)
 case 0
 assume *asm*: $(\bigwedge m. m \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{geom-proc } m x = \text{geom-proc } m y)$ **and** $m \leq 0$
 hence $m = 0$ **by** *simp*
 have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *x* = *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *y* **using** *asm* **by** *simp*
 have *snth* *x 0* = *snth* *y 0*
 proof (rule ccontr)
 assume *snth x 0* ≠ *snth y 0*
 show *False*
 proof (cases snth x 0)
 case True
 hence $\neg \text{snth } y 0$ **using** $\langle \text{snth } x 0 \neq \text{snth } y 0 \rangle$ **by** *simp*
 have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *x* = *u * init* **using** *geometric-process True* **by** *simp*
 moreover have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *y* = *d * init* **using** *geometric-process* $\langle \neg \text{snth } y 0 \rangle$ **by** *simp*
 ultimately have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *x* ≠ *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *y* **using** *S0-positive down-lt-up* **by** *simp*
 thus ?thesis using $\langle \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) x = \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) y \rangle$ **by** *simp*
 next
 case False
 hence *snth y 0* **using** $\langle \text{snth } x 0 \neq \text{snth } y 0 \rangle$ **by** *simp*
 have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *x* = *d * init* **using** *geometric-process False* **by** *simp*
 moreover have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *y* = *u * init* **using** *geometric-process* $\langle \text{snth } y 0 \rangle$ **by** *simp*
 ultimately have *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *x* ≠ *geom-proc* (*Suc 0*) *y* **using** *S0-positive down-lt-up* **by** *simp*
 thus ?thesis using $\langle \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) x = \text{geom-proc } (\text{Suc } 0) y \rangle$ **by** *simp*
 qed
 qed
 thus $\bigwedge m. (\bigwedge m. m \leq \text{Suc } 0 \implies \text{geom-proc } m x = \text{geom-proc } m y) \implies m \leq 0$
 implies $x !! m = y !! m$ **by** *simp*
 next
 case (*Suc n*)
 assume *fst*: $(\bigwedge m. (\bigwedge m. m \leq \text{Suc } n \implies \text{geom-proc } m x = \text{geom-proc } m y) \implies$
 $m \leq n \implies x !! m = y !! m)$
 and *scd*: $(\bigwedge m. m \leq \text{Suc } (n + 1) \implies \text{geom-proc } m x = \text{geom-proc } m y)$ **and** $m \leq \text{Suc } n$
 show $x !! m = y !! m$

```

proof (cases  $m \leq n$ )
  case True
    thus ?thesis using fst scd by simp
  next
    case False
      hence  $m = \text{Suc } n$  using  $\langle m \leq \text{Suc } n \rangle$  by simp
      have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x = \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)) y$  using scd by simp
      show ?thesis
      proof (rule ccontr)
        assume  $x \neq y$ 
        thus False
        proof (cases  $x \neq y$ )
          case True
            hence  $\neg y$  using  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$  by simp
            have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x = u * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) x$  using geometric-process True
               $\langle m = \text{Suc } n \rangle$  by simp
            also have ...  $= u * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y$  using scd  $\langle m = \text{Suc } n \rangle$  by simp
            finally have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x = u * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y$ .
            moreover have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $y = d * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y$ 
            using geometric-process
               $\langle m = \text{Suc } n \rangle \langle \neg y \rangle$  by simp
            ultimately have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x \neq \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)) y$ 
            by (metis S0-positive down-lt-up down-positive geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive less-irrefl mult-cancel-right)
            thus ?thesis using  $\langle \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) x = \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y \rangle$  by simp
          next
            case False
              hence  $y \neq m$  using  $\langle x \neq y \rangle$  by simp
              have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x = d * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) x$  using geometric-process False
                 $\langle m = \text{Suc } n \rangle$  by simp
              also have ...  $= d * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y$  using scd  $\langle m = \text{Suc } n \rangle$  by simp
              finally have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x = d * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y$ .
              moreover have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $y = u * \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y$ 
              using geometric-process
                 $\langle m = \text{Suc } n \rangle \langle y \neq m \rangle$  by simp
              ultimately have geom-proc ( $\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)$ )  $x \neq \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc}(\text{Suc } n)) y$ 
              by (metis S0-positive down-lt-up down-positive geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive less-irrefl mult-cancel-right)
              thus ?thesis using  $\langle \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) x = \text{geom-proc}(\text{Suc } n) y \rangle$  by simp
            qed
            qed
            qed
            qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) *geom-proc-eq-pseudo-proj-True*:
shows $(\bigwedge m. m \leq n \implies \text{geom-proc } m x = \text{geom-proc } m y) \implies$
 $(\text{pseudo-proj-True } (n) x = \text{pseudo-proj-True } (n) y)$
by (meson *geom-proc-eq-snth le-trans order.refl pseudo-proj-True-snth'*)

lemma (in CRR-market) *proj-stoch-eq-pseudo-proj-True*:
assumes *proj-stoch-proc geom-proc m x = proj-stoch-proc geom-proc m y*
shows *pseudo-proj-True m x = pseudo-proj-True m y*
proof -
have $\forall k \leq m. \text{geom-proc } k x = \text{geom-proc } k y$
proof (*intro allI impI*)
fix k
assume $k \leq m$
thus *geom-proc k x = geom-proc k y* **using** *proj-stoch-proc-eq-snth*[of *geom-proc m x y k*] **assms by simp**
qed
thus ?*thesis* **using** *geom-proc-eq-pseudo-proj-True*[of *m x y*] **by auto**
qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) *rn-rev-price-cond-expect*:
assumes $N = \text{beroulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $\text{Suc } n \leq \text{matur}$
shows *expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) (rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n)) w=*
 $(q * \text{rn-rev-price } N \text{ der matur } (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-True } n w) +$
 $(1 - q) * \text{rn-rev-price } N \text{ der matur } (\text{matur} - \text{Suc } n) (\text{pseudo-proj-False } n w))$
proof (*rule infinite-cts-filtration.f-borel-Suc-expl-cond-expect*)
show *infinite-cts-filtration q N nat-filtration* **using** *assms psht psgt*
beroulli-nat-filtration by simp
show *rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration (Suc n))*
using *rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt*[of *der matur N q Suc n*] **assms**
stock-filtration stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt[of *geom-proc*] *geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted*
by (*metis add-diff-cancel-right' diff-le-self measurable-from-subalg ordered-cancel-comm-monoid-diff-class.add-diff-inverse rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt*)
show *proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n ∈ nat-filtration n →_M stream-space borel*
using *proj-stoch-adapted-if-adapted*[of *M nat-filtration geom-proc borel n*]
psht psgt beroulli-nat-filtration[of *M p*] *beroulli geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted nat-discrete-filtration by blast*
show *set-discriminating n (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n) (stream-space borel)*
using *infinite-cts-filtration.proj-stoch-set-discriminating*
psht psgt beroulli-nat-filtration[of *M p*] *beroulli geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted by simp*

```

show proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n - ` {proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n w} ∈ sets
(nat-filtration n)
using infinite-cts-filtration.proj-stoch-singleton-set
pslt psgt bernoulli-nat-filtration[of M p] bernoulli geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
by simp
show ∀ y z. proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n y = proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n z ∧ y !!
n = z !! n →
rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) y = rn-rev-price N der matur (matur
- Suc n) z
proof (intro allI impI)
fix y z
assume as:proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n y = proj-stoch-proc geom-proc n z ∧ y
!! n = z !! n
hence pseudo-proj-True n y = pseudo-proj-True n z using proj-stoch-eq-pseudo-proj-True[of
n y z] by simp
moreover have snth y n = snth z n using as by simp
ultimately have pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) z
by (metis (full-types) pseudo-proj-True-def pseudo-proj-True-same-img stake-Suc)
have rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) y =
rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y) using
nat-filtration-info[of rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) Suc n]
rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt[of der matur N q]
by (metis ⟨rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable
(nat-filtration (Suc n))⟩ o-apply)
also have ... = rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True
(Suc n) z)
using ⟨pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) y = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) z⟩ by simp
also have ... = rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) z using nat-filtration-info[of
rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) Suc n]
rn-rev-price-rev-borel-adapt[of der matur N q]
by (metis ⟨rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable
(nat-filtration (Suc n))⟩ o-apply)
finally show rn-rev-price N der matur (matur - Suc n) y = rn-rev-price N
der matur (matur - Suc n) z .
qed
show 0 < q and q < 1 using assms by auto
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) rn-price-eq-ind:

assumes N = bernoulli-stream q
and n < matur
and 0 < q
and q < 1
and der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur)
shows (1+r) * rn-price N der matur n w = q * rn-price N der matur (Suc n)
(pseudo-proj-True n w) +

```


$$(1 - q) * rn-price N der matur (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)$$

proof -
  define  $V$  where  $V = rn-price N der matur$ 
  let  $?m = matur - Suc n$ 
  have  $matur - n = Suc ?m$  by (simp add: assms Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD)
  have  $(1+r) * V n w = (1+r) * rn-price-ind N der matur n w$  using rn-price-eq
  assms unfolding  $V\text{-def}$  by simp
  also have ...  $= (1+r) * rn-rev-price N der matur (Suc ?m) w$  using ⟨ $matur - n$ 
   $= Suc ?m$ ⟩
  unfolding rn-price-ind-def by simp
  also have ...  $= (1+r) * discount-factor r (Suc 0) w *$ 
     $expl\text{-cond-expect } N (proj\text{-stoch\text{-}proc geom\text{-}proc (matur - Suc ?m)})$ 
   $(rn\text{-rev\text{-}price } N \text{ der matur } ?m) w$ 
  by simp
  also have ...  $= expl\text{-cond-expect } N (proj\text{-stoch\text{-}proc geom\text{-}proc (matur - Suc ?m)))$ 
   $(rn\text{-rev\text{-}price } N \text{ der matur } ?m) w$ 
  unfolding discount-factor-def using acceptable-rate by auto
  also have ...  $= expl\text{-cond-expect } N (proj\text{-stoch\text{-}proc geom\text{-}proc } n) (rn\text{-rev\text{-}price } N$ 
   $\text{der matur } ?m) w$ 
  using ⟨ $matur - n = Suc ?m$ ⟩ by simp
  also have ...  $= (q * rn\text{-rev\text{-}price } N \text{ der matur } ?m \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n w)) +$ 
   $(1 - q) * rn\text{-rev\text{-}price } N \text{ der matur } ?m \text{ (pseudo-proj-False } n w))$ 
  using rn-rev-price-cond-expect[of  $N q$  der matur  $n w]$  assms by simp
  also have ...  $= q * rn\text{-price-ind } N \text{ der matur (Suc } n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n w) +$ 
   $(1 - q) * rn\text{-price-ind } N \text{ der matur (Suc } n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-False } n w)$  unfolding
  rn-price-ind-def by simp
  also have ...  $= q * rn\text{-price } N \text{ der matur (Suc } n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n w) +$ 
   $(1 - q) * rn\text{-price } N \text{ der matur (Suc } n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-False } n w)$  using
  rn-price-eq assms by simp
  also have ...  $= q * V (Suc n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 - q) * V (Suc n)$ 
   $\text{(pseudo-proj-False } n w)$ 
  unfolding  $V\text{-def}$  by simp
  finally have  $(1+r) * V n w = q * V (Suc n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-True } n w) + (1 -$ 
   $q) * V (Suc n) \text{ (pseudo-proj-False } n w)$  .
  thus ?thesis unfolding  $V\text{-def}$  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma self-finance-updated-suc-suc:
  assumes portfolio pf
  and  $\forall n.$  prices Mkt asset  $n w \neq 0$ 
  shows cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc (Suc n))  $w =$ 
  cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n))  $w +$ 
  (prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n))  $w / (prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w)) *$ 
  (cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n)  $w -$ 
  val-process Mkt pf (Suc n)  $w)$ 
proof -
  have cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc (Suc n))  $w =$  cls-val-process

```

```

Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n)) w +
  prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n)) w * remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc (Suc n))
w using assms
  by (simp add: self-finance-updated)
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n)) w +
  prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n)) w * ((remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc (Suc n)) w)
+
  (cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w - val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)/(prices Mkt
asset (Suc n) w))
  by simp
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n)) w +
  prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n)) w *
  ((prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w) * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w) /
(prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w) +
  (cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w - val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)/(prices Mkt
asset (Suc n) w)) using assms
  by (metis nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left)
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n)) w +
  prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n)) w * ((prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w) * (remaining-qty
Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w) +
  cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w - val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)/(prices Mkt
asset (Suc n) w)
  using add-divide-distrib[symmetric, of prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w * remain-
ing-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w
  prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w] by simp
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n)) w +
  (prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n)) w / (prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w)) *
  ((prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w) * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc n) w) +
  cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w - val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w) by simp
also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc n)) w +
  (prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc n)) w / (prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w)) *
  (cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w -
  val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w)
  using self-finance-updated[of Mkt asset n w pf v] assms by auto
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma self-finance-updated-suc-0:
assumes portfolio pf
and  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt asset } n w \neq 0$ 
shows cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc 0) w = cls-val-process
Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
  (prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w / (prices Mkt asset 0 w)) *
  (val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) 0 w -
  val-process Mkt pf 0 w)
proof -
  have cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc 0) w = cls-val-process
Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
  prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w * remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset (Suc 0) w using

```

```

assms
  by (simp add: self-finance-updated)
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w * ((v - val-process Mkt pf 0 w)/(prices Mkt asset 0 w))
  by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w * ((remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset 0 w) +
    (v - val-process Mkt pf 0 w)/(prices Mkt asset 0 w))
  by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w *
    ((prices Mkt asset 0 w) * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset 0 w) / (prices Mkt asset 0 w)) +
    (v - val-process Mkt pf 0 w)/(prices Mkt asset 0 w)) using assms
  by (metis nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left)
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w * ((prices Mkt asset 0 w) * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset 0 w) +
    v - val-process Mkt pf 0 w)/(prices Mkt asset 0 w)
    using add-divide-distrib[symmetric, of prices Mkt asset 0 w * remaining-qty
    Mkt v pf asset 0 w
      prices Mkt asset 0 w] by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w / (prices Mkt asset 0 w)) *
    ((prices Mkt asset 0 w) * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset 0 w) +
    v - val-process Mkt pf 0 w) by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w / (prices Mkt asset 0 w)) *
    ((prices Mkt asset 0 w) * (remaining-qty Mkt v pf asset 0 w) +
    val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) 0 w - val-process Mkt pf 0 w)
    using self-finance-init[of Mkt asset pf v w] assms by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc 0) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc 0) w / (prices Mkt asset 0 w)) *
    (val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) 0 w -
    val-process Mkt pf 0 w) by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

lemma self-finance-updated-ind:
  assumes portfolio pf
  and ∀ n w. prices Mkt asset n w ≠ 0
  shows cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w = cls-val-process
  Mkt pf (Suc n) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w / (prices Mkt asset n w)) *
    (val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) n w -
    val-process Mkt pf n w)
proof (cases n = 0)
  case True

```

```

thus ?thesis using assms self-finance-updated-suc-0 by simp
next
  case False
  hence  $\exists m. n = Suc m$  by (simp add: not0-implies-Suc)
  from this obtain m where  $n = Suc m$  by auto
  hence cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc n) w =
    cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc (Suc m)) w by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc m)) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc m)) w / (prices Mkt asset (Suc m) w)) *
    (cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc m) w -
     val-process Mkt pf (Suc m) w) using assms self-finance-updated-suc-suc[of pf]
  by simp
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc (Suc m)) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc (Suc m)) w / (prices Mkt asset (Suc m) w)) *
    (val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) (Suc m) w -
     val-process Mkt pf (Suc m) w) using assms self-finance-charact unfolding
    self-financing-def
    by (simp add: self-finance-succ self-finance-updated)
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +
    (prices Mkt asset (Suc n) w / (prices Mkt asset n w)) *
    (val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf asset) n w -
     val-process Mkt pf n w) using <n = Suc m> by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in rfr-disc-equity-market) self-finance-risk-free-update-ind:
assumes portfolio pf
shows cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) (Suc n) w =
  cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +
   $(1 + r) * (val\text{-process} Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) n w - val\text{-process} Mkt pf n w)$ 
proof -
  have cls-val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) (Suc n) w =
    cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +
    (prices Mkt risk-free-asset (Suc n) w / (prices Mkt risk-free-asset n w)) *
    (val-process Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) n w -
     val-process Mkt pf n w)
  proof (rule self-finance-updated-ind, (simp add: assms), intro allI)
    fix n w
    show prices Mkt risk-free-asset n w  $\neq 0$  using positive by (metis less-irrefl)
  qed
  also have ... = cls-val-process Mkt pf (Suc n) w +
     $(1 + r) * (val\text{-process} Mkt (self-finance Mkt v pf risk-free-asset) n w - val\text{-process} Mkt pf n w)$  using rf-price_positive
    by (metis acceptable-rate disc-rfr-proc-Suc-div)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-pf-portfolio:
  shows portfolio (delta-pf N der matur) unfolding delta-pf-def by (simp add:
    single-comp-portfolio)

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-pf-updated:
  shows cls-val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der matur) (Suc n) w =
    geom-proc (Suc n) w * delta-price N der matur n w unfolding delta-pf-def
    using stk-price qty-single-updated[of Mkt] by simp

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-pf-val-process:
  shows val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der matur) n w =
    geom-proc n w * delta-price N der matur n w unfolding delta-pf-def
    using stk-price qty-single-val-process[of Mkt] by simp

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-hedging-cls-val-process:
  shows cls-val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) (Suc n) w =
    geom-proc (Suc n) w * delta-price N der matur n w +
    (1 + r) * (val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) n w - geom-proc n w
    * delta-price N der matur n w)
proof -
  define X where X = delta-hedging N der matur
  define init where init = integralL N (discounted-value r (λm. der) matur)
  have cls-val-process Mkt X (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der
  matur) (Suc n) w +
    (1 + r) * (val-process Mkt X n w - val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der matur) n
    w)
  unfolding X-def delta-hedging-def self-fin-delta-pf-def init-def
  proof (rule self-finance-risk-free-update-ind)
    show portfolio (delta-pf N der matur) unfolding portfolio-def using delta-pf-support
      by (meson finite.simps infinite-super)
  qed
  also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) w * delta-price N der matur n w +
    (1 + r) * (val-process Mkt X n w - val-process Mkt (delta-pf N der matur) n
    w)
    using delta-pf-updated by simp
  also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) w * delta-price N der matur n w +
    (1 + r) * (val-process Mkt X n w - geom-proc n w * delta-price N der matur
    n w)
    using delta-pf-val-process by simp
  finally show ?thesis unfolding X-def .
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) delta-hedging-eq-derivative-price:
  fixes der::bool stream  $\Rightarrow$  real and matur::nat
  assumes N = bernoulli-stream ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))
  and der  $\in$  borel-measurable (G matur)
  shows  $\bigwedge n w. n \leq matur \implies$ 
    val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) n w =
    (rn-price N der matur) n w
  unfolding delta-hedging-def
  proof -
    define q where q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)
    have 0 < q and q < 1 unfolding q-def using assms gt-param lt-param CRR-viable
    by auto
    note qprops = this
    define init where init = (prob-space.expectation N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur))
    define X where X = val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur)
    define V where V = rn-price N der matur
    define  $\Delta$  where  $\Delta$  = delta-price N der matur
    {
      fix n
      fix w
      have n  $\leq$  matur  $\implies$  X n w = V n w
      proof (induct n)
      case 0
        have v0: V 0  $\in$  borel-measurable (G 0) using assms rn-price-borel-adapt
        0.prem qprops
        unfolding V-def q-def by auto
        have X 0 w= init using self-finance-init[of Mkt risk-free-asset delta-pf N der
        matur integralL N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur)]
        delta-pf-support
        unfolding X-def init-def delta-hedging-def self-fin-delta-pf-def init-def
        by (metis finite-insert infinite-imp-nonempty infinite-super less-irrefl portfo-
        lio-def positive)
        also have ... = V 0 w
      proof -
        have  $\forall x \in space N. real-cond-exp N (G 0) (discounted-value r (\lambda m. der)$ 
        matur) x =
        integralL N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur)
        proof (rule prob-space.trivial-subalg-cond-expect-eq)
          show prob-space N using assms qprops unfolding q-def
          by (simp add: bernoulli bernoulli-stream-def prob-space.prob-space-stream-space
          prob-space-measure-pmf)
          have init-triv-filt M (stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel)
          proof (rule infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-triv-init)
          show borel-adapt-stoch-proc nat-filtration geom-proc using geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
          by simp
          show infinite-cts-filtration p M nat-filtration using bernoulli-nat-filtration[of
          M p] bernoulli psgt psht
    
```

```

    by simp
qed
hence init-triv-filt N (stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel) using assms qprops
  filt-equiv-triv-init[of nat-filtration N] stock-filtration
  bernoulli-stream-equiv[of N] psgt psbt unfolding q-def by simp
thus subalgebra N (G 0) and sets (G 0) = {{}, space N} using stock-filtration
unfolding init-triv-filt-def
  filtration-def bot-nat-def by auto
  show integrable N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur)
  proof (rule bernoulli-discounted-integrable)
  show der  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using assms geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
    measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt stock-filtration by blast
  show N = bernoulli-stream q using assms unfolding q-def by simp
  show  $0 < q q < 1$  using qprops by auto
  qed (simp add: acceptable-rate)
qed
hence integralL N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur) =
  real-cond-exp N (G 0) (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur) w using
  bernoulli-stream-space[of N q]
  by (simp add: assms(1) q-def)
also have ... = real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt M geom-proc borel 0) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur) w
  using stock-filtration by simp
also have ... = real-cond-exp N (stoch-proc-filt N geom-proc borel 0) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur) w
  using stoch-proc-filt-filt-equiv[of nat-filtration M N geom-proc]
  bernoulli-stream-equiv[of N] q-def qprops assms psbt psgt by auto
also have ... = expl-cond-expect N (proj-stoch-proc geom-proc 0) (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur) w
  proof (rule bernoulli-cond-exp)
  show N = bernoulli-stream q using assms unfolding q-def by simp
  show  $0 < q q < 1$  using qprops by auto
  show integrable N (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur)
  proof (rule bernoulli-discounted-integrable)
  show der  $\in$  borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using assms geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
    measurable-from-subalg stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt stock-filtration by blast
  show N = bernoulli-stream q using assms unfolding q-def by simp
  show  $0 < q q < 1$  using qprops by auto
  qed (simp add: acceptable-rate)
qed
finally show init = V 0 w unfolding init-def V-def rn-price-def by simp
qed
finally show X 0 w = V 0 w .
next
case (Suc n)
hence n < matur by simp
show ?case
proof -
have X n w = V n w using Suc by (simp add: Suc.hyps Suc.prems Suc.leD)

```

```

have 0 < 1+r using acceptable-rate by simp
let ?m = matur - Suc n
have matur - n = Suc ?m by (simp add: Suc.prems Suc-diff-Suc Suc-le-lessD)
  have (1+r) * V n w = q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) + (1 - q)
    * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)
      using rn-price-eq-ind qprops assms Suc q-def V-def by simp
      show X (Suc n) w = V (Suc n) w
      proof (cases snth w n)
        case True
        hence pseq: pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (spick
          w n True)
          by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) pseudo-proj-True-stake-image spickI
            stake-Suc)
        have X (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) (Suc
          n) w
          unfolding X-def delta-hedging-def self-fin-delta-pf-def using delta-pf-portfolio
            unfolding self-financing-def
            by (metis less-irrefl positive self-finance-charact self-financingE)
            also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) w * Δ n w + (1 + r) * (X n w -
              geom-proc n w * Δ n w)
              using delta-hedging-cls-val-process unfolding X-def Δ-def by simp
              also have ... = u * geom-proc n w * Δ n w + (1 + r) * (X n w -
                geom-proc n w * Δ n w)
                using True geometric-process by simp
              also have ... = u * geom-proc n w * Δ n w + (1 + r) * X n w - (1+r)
                * geom-proc n w * Δ n w
                  by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
                  also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + geom-proc n w * Δ n w * u - geom-proc
                    n w * Δ n w * (1 + r)
                      by (simp add: mult.commute mult.left-commute)
                      also have ... = (1+r)* X n w + geom-proc n w * Δ n w * (u - (1 + r))
                        by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
                        also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + geom-proc n w * (V (Suc n)
                          (pseudo-proj-True n w) - V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w))/
                            (geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n True) - geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n
                              False)) * (u - (1 + r))
                            using Suc V-def by (simp add: Δ-def delta-price-def geom-rand-walk-diff-induct)
                            also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + geom-proc n w * ((V (Suc n)
                              (pseudo-proj-True n w) - V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w))) /
                                (geom-proc n w * (u - d)) * (u - (1 + r))
                            proof -
                              have geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n True) - geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w
                                n False) =
                                  geom-proc n w * (u - d)
                                  by (simp add: geom-rand-walk-diff-induct)
                                  then show ?thesis by simp
                            qed
                            also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + ((V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) -
                              V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w))) * (u - (1 + r)) / (u-d)

```

```

proof -
  have geom-proc n w ≠ 0
  by (metis S0-positive down-lt-up down-positive geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive
less-irrefl)
  then show ?thesis
  by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + ((V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) −
V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)) * (1 − q))
  proof −
    have 1 − q = 1 − (1 + r − d)/(u − d) unfolding q-def by simp
    also have ... = (u − d)/(u − d) − (1 + r − d)/(u − d) using down-lt-up
by simp
    also have ... = (u − d − (1 + r − d))/(u − d) using diff-divide-distrib[of
u − d 1 + r − d] by simp
    also have ... = (u − (1+r))/(u−d) by simp
    finally have 1 − q = (u − (1+r))/(u−d) .
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + (1 − q) * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True
n w) −
  (1 − q) * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)
  by (simp add: mult.commute right-diff-distrib)
  also have ... = (1+r) * V n w + (1 − q) * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True
n w) −
  (1 − q) * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) using ‹X n w = V n w›
by simp
  also have ... = q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) + (1 − q) * V (Suc
n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) +
  (1 − q) * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) − (1 − q) * V (Suc n)
(pseudo-proj-False n w)
  using assms Suc rn-price-eq-ind[of N q n matur der w] ‹n < matur› qprops
unfolding V-def q-def
  by simp
  also have ... = q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) + (1 − q) * V (Suc
n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) by simp
  also have ... = V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w)
  using distrib-right[of q 1 − q V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w)] by
simp
  also have ... = V (Suc n) w
  proof −
    have V (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G (Suc n)) unfolding V-def q-def
    proof (rule rn-price-borel-adapt)
      show der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur) using assms by simp
      show N = bernoulli-stream q using assms unfolding q-def by simp
      show 0 < q and q < 1 using qprops by auto
      show Suc n ≤ matur using Suc by simp
    qed
    hence V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) = V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True

```

```

(Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w))
  using geom-proc-filt-info[of V (Suc n) Suc n] by simp
  also have ... = V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w) using True
    by (simp add: pseq spick-eq-pseudo-proj-True)
  also have ... = V (Suc n) w using ‹V (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G
(Suc n))›
    geom-proc-filt-info[of V (Suc n) Suc n] by simp
    finally show ?thesis .
  qed
  finally show X (Suc n) w = V (Suc n) w .
next
case False
  hence pseq: pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) w = pseudo-proj-True (Suc n) (spick
w n False) using filtration
    by (metis (full-types) pseudo-proj-True-def spickI stake-Suc)
  have X (Suc n) w = cls-val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) (Suc
n) w
    unfolding X-def delta-hedging-def self-fin-delta-pf-def using delta-pf-portfolio
    unfolding self-financing-def
    by (metis less-irrefl positive self-finance-charact self-financingE)
    also have ... = geom-proc (Suc n) w * Δ n w + (1 + r) * (X n w −
geom-proc n w * Δ n w)
      using delta-hedging-cls-val-process unfolding X-def Δ-def by simp
      also have ... = d * geom-proc n w * Δ n w + (1 + r) * (X n w −
geom-proc n w * Δ n w)
        using False geometric-process by simp
      also have ... = d * geom-proc n w * Δ n w + (1 + r) * X n w − (1+r)
* geom-proc n w * Δ n w
        by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
      also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + geom-proc n w * Δ n w * d − geom-proc
n w * Δ n w * (1 + r)
        by (simp add: mult.commute mult.left-commute)
      also have ... = (1+r)* X n w + geom-proc n w * Δ n w * (d − (1 + r))
    by (simp add: right-diff-distrib)
      also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + geom-proc n w * (V (Suc n)
(pseudo-proj-True n w) − V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w))/(
geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n True) − geom-proc (Suc n) (spick w n
False)) * (d − (1 + r)))
      using Suc V-def by (simp add: Δ-def delta-price-def geom-rand-walk-diff-induct)
      also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + geom-proc n w * ((V (Suc n)
(pseudo-proj-True n w) − V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w))) /
        (geom-proc n w * (u − d)) * (d − (1 + r))
        by (simp add: geom-rand-walk-diff-induct)
      also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + ((V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) −
V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)) * (d − (1 + r)) / (u − d))
    proof −
      have geom-proc n w ≠ 0
      by (metis S0-positive down-lt-up down-positive geom-rand-walk-strictly-positive
less-irrefl)

```

```

then show ?thesis
  by simp
qed
also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + ((V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) -
V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)) * (-q))
proof -
  have 0-q = 0-(1 + r - d)/(u - d) unfolding q-def by simp
  also have ... = (d - (1 + r))/(u - d) by (simp add: minus-divide-left)
  finally have 0 - q = (d - (1+r))/(u-d) .
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed
also have ... = (1+r) * X n w + (- V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) *
q + V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)) * q
  by (metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) add.inverse-inverse distrib-right
minus-mult-commute minus-real-def mult-minus-left)
also have ... = (1+r) * X n w - q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w)
+ q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) by simp
also have ... = (1+r) * V n w - q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) +
q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) using ‹X n w = V n w› by simp
also have ... = q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) + (1 - q) * V (Suc
n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) -
q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-True n w) + q * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False
n w)
  using assms Suc rn-price-eq-ind[of N q n matur der w] ‹n < matur›
qprops unfolding V-def q-def
  by simp
also have ... = (1-q) * V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) + q * V (Suc
n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) by simp
also have ... = V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)
  using distrib-right[of q 1 - q V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w)] by
simp
also have ... = V (Suc n) w
proof -
  have V (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G (Suc n)) unfolding V-def q-def
  proof (rule rn-price-borel-adapt)
    show der ∈ borel-measurable (G matur) using assms by simp
    show N = bernoulli-stream q using assms unfolding q-def by simp
    show 0 < q and q < 1 using qprops by auto
    show Suc n ≤ matur using Suc by simp
  qed
  hence V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w) = V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False
(Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False n w))
    using geom-proc-filt-info'[of V (Suc n) Suc n] by simp
  also have ... = V (Suc n) (pseudo-proj-False (Suc n) w) using False
spick-eq-pseudo-proj-False
    by (metis pseq pseudo-proj-True-imp-False)
  also have ... = V (Suc n) w using ‹V (Suc n) ∈ borel-measurable (G
(Suc n))›
    geom-proc-filt-info'[of V (Suc n) Suc n] by simp

```

```

    finally show ?thesis .
qed
finally show X (Suc n) w = V (Suc n) w .
qed
qed
qed
}
thus  $\bigwedge n w. n \leq \text{matur} \implies$ 
  val-process Mkt (self-fin-delta-pf N der matur (integralL N (discounted-value
r ( $\lambda m.$  der) matur))) n w =
  rn-price N der matur n w by (simp add: X-def init-def V-def delta-hedging-def)
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) delta-hedging-same-cash-flow:

assumes $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
and $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))$
shows $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{delta-hedging } N \text{ der matur}) \text{ matur } w =$
 $\text{der } w$

proof –

define q where $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$
have $0 < q$ and $q < 1$ unfolding $q\text{-def}$ using assms gt-param lt-param CRR-viable
by auto

note $qprops = this$
have $\text{cls-val-process } Mkt (\text{delta-hedging } N \text{ der matur}) \text{ matur } w =$
 val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) matur w using self-financingE
self-finance-charact
 unfolding delta-hedging-def self-fin-delta-pf-def
 by (metis delta-pf-portfolio mult-1s(1) mult-cancel-right not-real-square-gt-zero
positive)
also have ... = rn-price N der matur matur w using delta-hedging-eq-derivative-price
assms by simp
also have ... = rn-rev-price N der matur 0 w using rn-price-eq qprops assms
 unfolding rn-price-ind-def q-def by simp
also have ... = der w by simp
finally show ?thesis .

qed

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-hedging-trading-strat:

assumes $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } q$
and $0 < q$
and $q < 1$
and $\text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur})$
shows trading-strategy (delta-hedging N der matur) unfolding delta-hedging-def
by (simp add: assms self-fin-delta-pf-trad-strat)

lemma (in CRR-market) delta-hedging-self-financing:

shows self-financing Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) unfolding delta-hedging-def
self-fin-delta-pf-def

```

proof (rule self-finance-charact)
  show  $\forall n w. \text{prices Mkt risk-free-asset } (\text{Suc } n) w \neq 0$  using positive
    by (metis less-numeral-extra(3))
  show portfolio (delta-pf N der matur) using delta-pf-portfolio .
qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) delta-hedging-replicating:
  assumes der  $\in$  borel-measurable (G matur)
  and N  $=$  bernoulli-stream ( $(1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ )
  shows replicating-portfolio (delta-hedging N der matur) der matur
  unfolding replicating-portfolio-def
  proof (intro conjI)
    define q where  $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ 
    have  $0 < q$  and  $q < 1$  unfolding q-def using assms gt-param lt-param CRR-viable
    by auto
    note qprops  $=$  this
    let ?X  $=$  (delta-hedging N der matur)
    show trading-strategy ?X using delta-hedging-trading-strat qprops assms unfold-q-def by simp
      show self-financing Mkt ?X using delta-hedging-self-financing .
      show stock-portfolio Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur) unfolding delta-hedging-def self-fin-delta-pf-def
        stock-portfolio-def portfolio-def using stocks delta-pf-support
        by (smt Un-insert-right delta-pf-portfolio insert-commute portfolio-def self-finance-def self-finance-portfolio single-comp-support subset-insertI2 subset-singleton-iff sum-support-set sup-bot.right-neutral)
      show AEEq M (cls-val-process Mkt (delta-hedging N der matur)) matur der
        using delta-hedging-same-cash-flow assms by simp
qed

definition (in disc-equity-market) complete-market where
complete-market  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall \text{matur}. \forall \text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (F \text{ matur}). (\exists p. \text{replicating-portfolio } p \text{ der matur})$ )

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) CRR-market-complete:
  shows complete-market unfolding complete-market-def
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix matur::nat
    show  $\forall \text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur}). (\exists p. \text{replicating-portfolio } p \text{ der matur})$ 
    proof
      fix der::bool stream $\Rightarrow$ real
      assume der  $\in$  borel-measurable (G matur)
      define N where  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))$ 
      hence replicating-portfolio (delta-hedging N der matur) der matur using delta-hedging-replicating
         $\langle \text{der} \in \text{borel-measurable } (G \text{ matur}) \rangle$  by simp
      thus  $\exists p. \text{replicating-portfolio } p \text{ der matur}$  by auto
    qed
qed

```

```

lemma subalgebras-filtration:
  assumes filtration M F
  and  $\forall t. \text{subalgebra } (F t) (G t)$ 
  and  $\forall s t. s \leq t \longrightarrow \text{subalgebra } (G t) (G s)$ 
  shows filtration M G unfolding filtration-def
  proof (intro conjI allI impI)
  {
    fix t
    have subalgebra (F t) (G t) using assms by simp
    moreover have subalgebra M (F t) using assms unfolding filtration-def by
    simp
    ultimately show subalgebra M (G t) by (metis subalgebra-def subsetCE sub-
    setI)
  }
  {
    fix s t::'b
    assume s  $\leq t$ 
    thus subalgebra (G t) (G s) using assms by simp
  }
  qed

```

```

lemma subfilt-filt-equiv:
  assumes filt-equiv F M N
  and  $\forall t. \text{subalgebra } (F t) (G t)$ 
  and  $\forall s t. s \leq t \longrightarrow \text{subalgebra } (G t) (G s)$ 
  shows filt-equiv G M N unfolding filt-equiv-def
  proof (intro conjI)
    show sets M = sets N using assms unfolding filt-equiv-def by simp
    show filtration M G using assms subalgebras-filtration[of M F G] unfolding
    filt-equiv-def by simp
    show  $\forall t A. A \in \text{sets } (G t) \longrightarrow (\text{emeasure } M A = 0) = (\text{emeasure } N A = 0)$ 
    proof (intro allI ballI impI)
      fix t
      fix A
      assume A  $\in \text{sets } (G t)$ 
      hence A  $\in \text{sets } (F t)$  using assms unfolding subalgebra-def by auto
      thus ( $\text{emeasure } M A = 0$ ) = ( $\text{emeasure } N A = 0$ ) using assms unfolding
      filt-equiv-def by simp
    qed
  qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) CRR-market-fair-price:
  assumes pyf  $\in$  borel-measurable (G matur)
  shows fair-price Mkt
   $(\sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True matur})} (\prod (\text{prob-component } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) w) \{0..<\text{matur}\})) *$ 

```

```

((discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. \text{pyf}$ ) matur) w))
 $\text{pyf matur}$ 

proof -
  define  $dpf$  where  $dpf = (\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{pyf}) \text{ matur})$ 
  define  $q$  where  $q = (1 + r - d) / (u - d)$ 
  have  $\exists pf. \text{replicating-portfolio } pf \text{ pyf matur}$  using CRR-market-complete assms
  unfolding complete-market-def by simp
  from this obtain  $pf$  where replicating-portfolio  $pf \text{ pyf matur}$  by auto note
   $pfprop = \text{this}$ 
  define  $N$  where  $N = \text{bernoulli-stream } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d))$ 
  have fair-price Mkt (integralL  $N dpf$ ) pyf matur unfolding  $dpf\text{-def}$ 
  proof (rule replicating-expectation-finite)
    show risk-neutral-prob  $N$  using assms risk-neutral-iff
    using CRR-viable gt-param lt-param  $N\text{-def}$  by blast
    have filt-equiv nat-filtration  $M N$  using bernoulli-stream-equiv[of  $N (1+r-d)/(u-d)$ ]
      assms gt-param lt-param CRR-viable psgt psbt  $N\text{-def}$  by simp
    thus filt-equiv  $G M N$  using subfilt-filt-equiv
      using Filtration.filtration-def filtration geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
      stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt stock-filtration by blast
    show  $\text{pyf} \in \text{borel-measurable}(G \text{ matur})$  using assms by simp
    show viable-market Mkt using CRR-viable by simp
    have infinite-cts-filtration  $p M$  nat-filtration using bernoulli-nat-filtration[of  $M$ ]
     $p]$  bernoulli psgt psbt
      by simp
    thus sets  $(G 0) = \{\emptyset\}, \text{space } M$  using stock-filtration
      infinite-cts-filtration.stoch-proc-filt-triv-init[of  $p M$  nat-filtration geom-proc]
      geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted bot-nat-def unfolding init-triv-filt-def by simp
    show replicating-portfolio  $pf \text{ pyf matur}$  using  $pfprop$  .
    show  $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite}(\text{prices Mkt asset } n \text{ ' space } M)$ 
    proof (intro allI ballI)
      fix  $n$ 
      fix asset
      assume asset  $\in \text{support-set } pf$ 
      hence prices Mkt asset  $n \in \text{borel-measurable}(G n)$  using readable  $pfprop$ 
        unfolding replicating-portfolio-def stock-portfolio-def adapt-stoch-proc-def
    by auto
      hence prices Mkt asset  $n \in \text{borel-measurable}(\text{nat-filtration } n)$  using stock-filtration
        stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
        measurable-from-subalg[of nat-filtration  $n G n$  prices Mkt asset  $n$  borel]
        unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by auto
      thus finite(prices Mkt asset  $n \text{ ' space } M)$  using nat-filtration-vimage-finite[of
      prices Mkt asset  $n$ ] by simp
    qed
    show  $\forall n. \forall \text{asset} \in \text{support-set } pf. \text{finite}(\text{pf asset } n \text{ ' space } M)$ 
    proof (intro allI ballI)
      fix  $n$ 
      fix asset
      assume asset  $\in \text{support-set } pf$ 
      hence pf asset  $n \in \text{borel-measurable}(G n)$  using  $pfprop$  predict-imp-adapt[of

```

```

pf asset]
  unfolding replicating-portfolio-def trading-strategy-def adapt-stoch-proc-def
  by auto
    hence pf asset n ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration n) using stock-filtration
      stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
      measurable-from-subalg[of nat-filtration n G n pf asset n borel]
    unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by auto
    thus finite (pf asset n ` space M) using nat-filtration-vimage-finite[of pf asset
n] by simp
    qed
    qed
  moreover have integralL N dpf =
  (∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True matur). (prod (prob-component q w) {0..<matur}) *
  * (dpf w))
  proof (rule infinite-cts-filtration.expect-prob-comp)
    show infinite-cts-filtration q N nat-filtration using assms pslt psgt
      bernoulli-nat-filtration unfolding q-def using gt-param lt-param CRR-viable
      N-def by auto
      have dpf ∈ borel-measurable (G matur) using assms discounted-measurable[of
      pf G matur]
        unfolding dpf-def by simp
      thus dpf ∈ borel-measurable (nat-filtration matur) using stock-filtration
        stoch-proc-subalg-nat-filt geom-rand-walk-borel-adapted
        measurable-from-subalg[of nat-filtration matur G matur dpf]
      unfolding adapt-stoch-proc-def by auto
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding dpf-def q-def by simp
      qed
  end
  theory Option-Price-Examples imports CRR-Model
begin

```

This file contains pricing results for four options in the Cox-Ross-Rubinstein model. The first section contains results relating some functions to the more abstract counterparts that were used to prove fairness and completeness results. The second section contains the pricing results for a few options; some path-dependent and others not.

9 Effective computation definitions and results

9.1 Generation of lists of boolean elements

The function gener-bool-list permits to generate lists of boolean elements. It is used to generate a list representative of the range of boolean streams by the function pseudo-proj-True.

```
fun gener-bool-list where
```

```

gener-bool-list 0 = {[]}
| gener-bool-list (Suc n) = {True # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n} ∪ {False # w | w.
w ∈ gener-bool-list n}

lemma gener-bool-list-elem-length:
  shows ∀x. x ∈ gener-bool-list n ⇒ length x = n
proof (induction n)
  case 0
  fix x
  assume x ∈ gener-bool-list 0
  hence x = [] by simp
  thus length x = 0 by simp
next
  case (Suc n)
  fix x
  assume x ∈ gener-bool-list (Suc n)
  hence mem: x ∈ {True # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n} ∪ {False # w | w. w ∈
gener-bool-list n} by simp
  show length x = Suc n
  proof (cases x ∈ {True # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n})
    case True
    hence ∃w ∈ gener-bool-list n. x = True # w by auto
    from this obtain w where w ∈ gener-bool-list n and x = True # w by auto
    hence length w = n using Suc by simp
    thus length x = Suc n using ⟨x = True # w⟩ by simp
  next
    case False
    hence x ∈ {False # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n} using mem by auto
    hence ∃w ∈ gener-bool-list n. x = False # w by auto
    from this obtain w where w ∈ gener-bool-list n and x = False # w by auto
    hence length w = n using Suc by simp
    thus length x = Suc n using ⟨x = False # w⟩ by simp
  qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) stake-gener-bool-list:
  shows stake n `streams (UNIV::bool set) = gener-bool-list n
proof (induction n)
  case 0
  thus stake 0 ` streams UNIV = gener-bool-list 0 by auto
next
  case (Suc n)
  show stake (Suc n) ` streams UNIV = gener-bool-list (Suc n)
  proof -
    have stake (Suc n) ` streams (UNIV::bool set) = {s#w | s w. s ∈ UNIV ∧ w ∈
(stake n ` streams UNIV)} by (metis (no-types) UNIV_bool UNIV-not-empty stake-finite-universe-induct[of
UNIV n] finite.emptyI finite-insert)
    also have ... = {s#w | s w. s ∈ {True, False} ∧ w ∈ (stake n ` (streams UNIV))} by ...
  qed
qed

```

```

by simp
  also have ... = {s#w| s w. s ∈ {True, False} ∧ w ∈ gener-bool-list n} using
Suc by simp
  also have ... = {s#w| s w. s ∈ {True} ∧ w ∈ gener-bool-list n} ∪ {s#w| s w.
s ∈ {False} ∧ w ∈ gener-bool-list n} by auto
  also have ... = {True # w | w. w ∈ gener-bool-list n} ∪ {False#w | w. w ∈
gener-bool-list n} by auto
  also have ... = gener-bool-list (Suc n) by simp
  finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) pseudo-range-stake:
assumes ∀w. f w = g (stake n w)
shows (∑ w ∈ range (pseudo-proj-True n). f w) = (∑ y ∈ (gener-bool-list n). g
y)
proof (rule sum.reindex-cong)
show inj-on (λ l. shift l (sconst True)) (gener-bool-list n)
proof
fix x y
assume x ∈ gener-bool-list n
and y ∈ gener-bool-list n
and x @- sconst True = y @- sconst True
have length x = n using gener-bool-list-elem-length ⟨x ∈ gener-bool-list n⟩ by
simp
have length y = n using gener-bool-list-elem-length ⟨y ∈ gener-bool-list n⟩ by
simp
show x = y
proof -
have ∀ i < n. nth x i = nth y i
proof (intro allI impI)
fix i
assume i < n
have xi: snth (x @- sconst True) i = nth x i using ⟨i < n⟩ ⟨length x = n⟩
by simp
have yi: snth (y @- sconst True) i = nth y i using ⟨i < n⟩ ⟨length y = n⟩
by simp
have snth (x @- sconst True) i = snth (y @- sconst True) i using ⟨x @-
sconst True = y @- sconst True⟩
by simp
thus nth x i = nth y i using xi yi by simp
qed
thus ?thesis using ⟨length x = n⟩ ⟨length y = n⟩ by (simp add: list-eq-iff-nth-eq)
qed
qed
have range (pseudo-proj-True n) = {shift l (sconst True)|l. l ∈ (stake n ‘streams
(UNIV::bool set))}
unfolding pseudo-proj-True-def by auto
also have ... = {shift l (sconst True)|l. l ∈ (gener-bool-list n)} using stake-gener-bool-list

```

```

by simp
  also have ... = ( $\lambda l. l @- sconst True$ ) ` gener-bool-list n by auto
  finally show range (pseudo-proj-True n) = ( $\lambda l. l @- sconst True$ ) ` gener-bool-list
n .
  fix x
  assume  $x \in$  gener-bool-list n
  have length x = n using gener-bool-list-elem-length  $\langle x \in$  gener-bool-list n  $\rangle$  by
simp
  have  $f(x @- sconst True) = g(stake n(x @- sconst True))$  using assms by
simp
  also have ... =  $g x$  using  $\langle$ length x = n $\rangle$  by (simp add: stake-shift)
  finally show  $f(x @- sconst True) = g x$  .
qed

```

9.2 Probability components for lists

```

fun lprob-comp where
lprob-comp (p::real) [] = 1
| lprob-comp p (x # xs) = (if x then p else (1-p)) * lprob-comp p xs

lemma lprob-comp-last:
shows lprob-comp p (xs @ [x]) = (lprob-comp p xs) * (if x then p else (1 - p))
proof (induction xs)
  case Nil
    have lprob-comp p (Nil @ [x]) = lprob-comp p [x] by simp
    also have ... = (if x then p else (1 - p)) by simp
    also have ... = (lprob-comp p Nil) * (if x then p else (1 - p)) by simp
    finally show lprob-comp p (Nil @ [x]) = (lprob-comp p Nil) * (if x then p else
(1 - p)) .
  next
    case (Cons a xs)
      have lprob-comp p ((Cons a xs) @ [x]) = (if a then p else (1 - p)) * lprob-comp
p (xs @ [x]) by simp
      also have ... = (if a then p else (1 - p)) * (lprob-comp p xs) * (if x then p else
(1 - p)) using Cons by simp
      also have ... = lprob-comp p (Cons a xs) * (if x then p else (1 - p)) by simp
      finally show lprob-comp p ((Cons a xs) @ [x]) = lprob-comp p (Cons a xs) * (if
x then p else (1 - p)) .
qed

```

```

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) lprob-comp-stake:
shows (prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<matur}) = lprob-comp pr (stake matur
w)
proof (induction matur)
  case 0
    have prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<0} = 1 by simp
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr [] by simp
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr (stake 0 w) by simp

```

```

finally show prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<0} = lprob-comp pr (stake 0 w)
.
next
  case (Suc n)
    have prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<Suc n} = prod (prob-component pr w)
  {0..< n} *
    (prob-component pr w n) using prod.atLeast0-lessThan-Suc by blast
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr (stake n w) * (prob-component pr w n) using Suc
    by simp
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr (stake n w) * (if (snth w n) then pr else 1-pr)
    by (simp add: prob-component-def)
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr ((stake n w) @ [snth w n]) by (simp add: lprob-comp-last)
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr (stake (Suc n) w) by (metis Stream.stake-Suc)
    finally show prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<Suc n} = lprob-comp pr (stake
  (Suc n) w) .
qed

```

9.3 Geometric process applied to lists

```

fun lrev-geom where
  lrev-geom u d v [] = v
  | lrev-geom u d v (x#xs) = (if x then u else d) * lrev-geom u d v xs

fun lgeom-proc where lgeom-proc u d v l = lrev-geom u d v (rev l)

lemma (in infinite-coin-toss-space) geom-lgeom:
  shows geom-rand-walk u d v n w = lgeom-proc u d v (stake n w)
proof (induction n)
  case 0
    have geom-rand-walk u d v 0 w = v by simp
    also have ... = lrev-geom u d v [] by simp
    also have ... = lrev-geom u d v (rev (stake 0 w)) by simp
    also have ... = lgeom-proc u d v (stake 0 w) by simp
    finally show geom-rand-walk u d v 0 w = lgeom-proc u d v (stake 0 w) .

next
  case (Suc n)
    have snth w n = nth (stake (Suc n) w) n using stake-nth by blast
    have (stake n w) @ [nth (stake (Suc n) w) n] = stake (Suc n) w
    by (metis Stream.stake-Suc lessI stake-nth)
    have geom-rand-walk u d v (Suc n) w = ((λTrue ⇒ u | False ⇒ d) (snth w n))
    * geom-rand-walk u d v n w by simp
    also have ... = (if (snth w n) then u else d) * geom-rand-walk u d v n w by simp
    also have ... = (if (snth w n) then u else d) * lgeom-proc u d v (stake n w) using
    Suc by simp
    also have ... = (if (snth w n) then u else d) * lrev-geom u d v (rev (stake n w))
    by simp
    also have ... = lrev-geom u d v ((snth w n) # (rev (stake n w))) by simp
    also have ... = lrev-geom u d v (rev ((stake n w) @ [snth w n])) by simp
    also have ... = lrev-geom u d v (rev ((stake n w) @ [nth (stake (Suc n) w) n]))

```

```

using `snth w n = nth (stake (Suc n) w) n` by simp
also have ... = lrev-geom u d v (rev (stake (Suc n) w))
using `(stake n w) @ [nth (stake (Suc n) w) n] = stake (Suc n) w` by simp
also have ... = lgeom-proc u d v (stake (Suc n) w) by simp
finally show geom-rand-walk u d v (Suc n) w = lgeom-proc u d v (stake (Suc n)
w) .
qed

```

```

lemma lgeom-proc-take:
assumes i ≤ n
shows lgeom-proc u d init (stake i w) = lgeom-proc u d init (take i (stake n w))
proof –
  have stake i w = take i (stake n w) using assms by (simp add: min.absorb1
take-stake)
  thus ?thesis by simp
qed

```

9.4 Effective computation of discounted values

```

fun det-discount where
det-discount (r::real) 0 = 1
| det-discount r (Suc n) = (inverse (1+r)) * (det-discount r n)

```

```

lemma det-discounted:
shows discounted-value r X n w = (det-discount r n) * (X n w) unfolding
discounted-value-def discount-factor-def
proof (induction n arbitrary: X)
case 0
have inverse (disc-rfr-proc r 0 w) * X 0 w = X 0 w by simp
also have ... = (det-discount r 0) * (X 0 w) by simp
finally show inverse (disc-rfr-proc r 0 w) * X 0 w = (det-discount r 0) * (X 0
w) .
next
case (Suc n)
have inverse (disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) w) * X (Suc n) w =
  inverse ((1+r) * (disc-rfr-proc r) n w) * X (Suc n) w by simp
also have ... = (inverse (1+r)) * inverse ((disc-rfr-proc r) n w) * X (Suc n) w
by simp
also have ... = (inverse (1+r)) * (det-discount r n) * X (Suc n) w using Suc[of
 $\lambda n. X (Suc n)]$  by auto
also have ... = (det-discount r (Suc n)) * X (Suc n) w by simp
finally show inverse (disc-rfr-proc r (Suc n) w) * X (Suc n) w = (det-discount
r (Suc n)) * X (Suc n) w .
qed

```

10 Pricing results on options

10.1 Call option

A call option is parameterized by a strike K and maturity T . If S denotes the price of the (unique) risky asset at time T , then the option pays $\max(S - K, 0)$ at that time.

definition (in CRR-market) call-option where

call-option ($T::nat$) ($K::real$) = $(\lambda w. \max(prices Mkt stk T w - K) 0)$

lemma (in CRR-market) call-borel:

shows *call-option* $T K \in borel-measurable (G T)$ unfolding *call-option-def*

proof (rule borel-measurable-max)

show $(\lambda x. 0) \in borel-measurable (G T)$ by *simp*

show $(\lambda x. prices Mkt stk T x - K) \in borel-measurable (G T)$

proof (rule borel-measurable-diff)

show *prices Mkt stk T* $\in borel-measurable (G T)$

by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def stock-price-borel-measurable)

qed simp

qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) call-option-lgeom:

shows *call-option* $T K w = \max((lgeom-proc u d init(stake T w)) - K) 0$

using *geom-lgeom* *stk-price* *geometric-process* unfolding *call-option-def* by *simp*

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) disc-call-option-lgeom:

shows *discounted-value r* $(\lambda m. (call-option T K)) T w =$

$(det-discount r T) * (\max((lgeom-proc u d init(stake T w)) - K) 0)$

using *det-discounted*[of $r \lambda m. call-option T K T w$] *call-option-lgeom*[of $T K w$] by *simp*

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) call-effect-compute:

shows $(\sum_{w \in range(pseudo-proj-True matur)}. (prod(prob-component pr w) \{0..<matur\}) * (discounted-value r (\lambda m. (call-option matur K)) matur w)) =$

$(\sum_{y \in (gener-bool-list matur)}. lprob-comp pr y * (det-discount r matur) * (\max((lgeom-proc u d init(take matur y)) - K) 0))$

proof (rule pseudo-range-stake)

fix w

have *prod* (*prob-component pr w*) $\{0..<matur\} * discounted-value r (\lambda m. call-option matur K) matur w =$

$lprob-comp pr (stake matur w) * discounted-value r (\lambda m. call-option matur K) matur w$

using *lprob-comp-stake* by *simp*

also have ... = *lprob-comp pr* (*stake matur w*) *

$(det-discount r matur) * (\max((lgeom-proc u d init(take matur (stake matur w))) - K) 0)$

using *disc-call-option-lgeom*[of *matur K*] by *simp*

finally show *prod* (*prob-component pr w*) $\{0..<matur\} * discounted-value r (\lambda m.$

```

call-option matur K) matur w =
lprob-comp pr (stake matur w) *
(det-discount r matur) * (max ((lgeom-proc u d init (take matur (stake matur
w))) - K) 0) .
qed

```

```

fun call-price where
call-price u d init r matur K = ( $\sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list matur})}$ . lprob-comp ((1 +
r - d) / (u - d)) y * (det-discount r matur) *
(max ((lgeom-proc u d init (take matur (take matur y))) - K) 0))

```

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of a call option.

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) call-price:
shows fair-price Mkt
(call-price u d init r matur K)
(call-option matur K) matur
proof -
have fair-price Mkt
( $\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True matur})}$ . (prod (prob-component ((1 + r - d)
/ (u - d)) w) {0..<matur})) *
(discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. (\text{call-option matur } K)$ ) matur w))
(call-option matur K) matur
by (rule CRR-market-fair-price, rule call-borel)
thus ?thesis using call-effect-compute by simp
qed

```

10.2 Put option

A put option is also parameterized by a strike K and maturity T. If S denotes the price of the (unique) risky asset at time T, then the option pays $\max(K - S, 0)$ at that time.

```

definition (in CRR-market) put-option where
put-option (T::nat) (K::real) = ( $\lambda w. \max(K - \text{prices Mkt stk } T w) 0$ )

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market) put-borel:
shows put-option T K ∈ borel-measurable (G T) unfolding put-option-def
proof (rule borel-measurable-max)
show ( $\lambda x. 0$ ) ∈ borel-measurable (G T) by simp
show ( $\lambda x. K - \text{prices Mkt stk } T x$ ) ∈ borel-measurable (G T)
proof (rule borel-measurable-diff)
show prices Mkt stk T ∈ borel-measurable (G T)
by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def stock-price-borel-measurable)
qed simp
qed

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) put-option-lgeom:
shows put-option T K w = max (K - (lgeom-proc u d init (stake T w))) 0
using geom-lgeom stk-price geometric-process unfolding put-option-def by simp

```

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) disc-put-option-lgeom:
  shows (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. (put\text{-}option T K)) T w$ ) =
    ( $det\text{-}discount r T$ ) * ( $\max(K - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (stake T w))) 0$ )
  using det-discounted[of  $r \lambda m. put\text{-}option T K T w$ ] put-option-lgeom[of  $T K w$ ]
by simp

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) put-effect-compute:
  shows ( $\sum_{w \in range} (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True matur). (prod (prob\text{-}component pr w) \{0..<matur\}) *$ 
    (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. (put\text{-}option matur K)) matur w$ )) =
    ( $\sum_{y \in gener\text{-}bool\text{-}list matur}. lprob\text{-}comp pr y * (det\text{-}discount r matur) *$ 
    ( $\max(K - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take matur y))) 0$ ))
  proof (rule pseudo-range-stake)
    fix w
    have prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<matur} * discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. put\text{-}option matur K)) matur w$  =
      lprob-comp pr (stake matur w) * discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. put\text{-}option matur K)) matur w$ 
    using lprob-comp-stake by simp
    also have ... = lprob-comp pr (stake matur w) *
      ( $det\text{-}discount r matur) * (\max(K - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take matur (stake matur w)))) 0$ )
    using disc-put-option-lgeom[of matur K] by simp
    finally show prod (prob-component pr w) {0..<matur} * discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. put\text{-}option matur K)) matur w$  =
      lprob-comp pr (stake matur w) *
      ( $det\text{-}discount r matur) * (\max(K - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take matur (stake matur w)))) 0$ ).
    qed

```

```

fun put-price where
  put-price u d init r matur K = ( $\sum_{y \in gener\text{-}bool\text{-}list matur}. lprob\text{-}comp ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) y * (det\text{-}discount r matur) *$ 
    ( $\max(K - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take matur (take matur y)))) 0$ ))

```

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of a put option.

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) put-price:
  shows fair-price Mkt
    (put-price u d init r matur K)
    (put-option matur K) matur
  proof -
    have fair-price Mkt
      ( $\sum_{w \in range} (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True matur). (prod (prob\text{-}component ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) w) \{0..<matur\}) *$ 
        (discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. (put\text{-}option matur K)) matur w$ )))
    (put-option matur K) matur
    by (rule CRR-market-fair-price, rule put-borel)
    thus ?thesis using put-effect-compute by simp

```

qed

10.3 Lookback option

A lookback option is parameterized by a maturity T. If S_n denotes the price of the (unique) risky asset at time n, then the option pays $\max(S_n : 0 \leq n \leq T) - ST$ at that time.

definition (in CRR-market) *lbk-option where*

$lbk-option (T::nat) = (\lambda w. Max ((\lambda i. (prices Mkt stk) i w) ` \{0 .. T\}) - (prices Mkt stk T w))$

```

lemma borel-measurable-Max-finite:
  fixes f::'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b  $\Rightarrow$  'c::{second-countable-topology, linorder-topology}
  assumes 0 < (n::nat)
  shows  $\bigwedge A$ . card A = n  $\implies \forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M  $\implies (\lambda w. Max ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in$  borel-measurable M using assms
  proof (induct n)
    case 0
      show  $\bigwedge A$ . card A = 0  $\implies \forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M  $\implies (0::nat) < 0$ 
       $\implies (\lambda w. Max ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in$  borel-measurable M
    proof -
      fix A::'a set
      assume card A = 0 and  $\forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M and (0::nat) < 0
      thus ( $\lambda w. Max ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in$  borel-measurable M by simp
    qed
  next
    case Suc
    show  $\bigwedge n A$ . ( $\bigwedge A$ . card A = n  $\implies$ 
       $\forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M  $\implies 0 < n \implies (\lambda w. Max ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in$  borel-measurable M)  $\implies$ 
      card A = Suc n  $\implies$ 
       $\forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M  $\implies 0 < Suc n \implies (\lambda w. Max ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in$  borel-measurable M
    proof -
      fix n
      fix A::'a set
      assume ameas: ( $\bigwedge A$ . card A = n  $\implies$ 
         $\forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M  $\implies 0 < n \implies (\lambda w. Max ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in$  borel-measurable M)
      and card A = Suc n
      and  $\forall a \in A. f a \in$  borel-measurable M
      and 0 < Suc n
      from  $\langle$ card A = Suc n $\rangle$  have aprop: A  $\neq \{\}$  and finite A using card-eq-0-iff[of A] by simp
      hence  $\exists x. x \in A$  by auto
      from this obtain a where a  $\in A$  by auto
      hence Suc (card (A - {a})) = Suc n using aprop card-Suc-Diff1[of A]  $\langle$ card A = Suc n $\rangle$  by auto
      hence card (A - {a}) = n by simp

```

```

show ( $\lambda w. \text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
proof (cases  $n = 0$ )
  case False
    hence  $0 < n$  by simp
    moreover have  $\forall a \in A - \{a\}. f a \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using  $\forall a \in A. f a \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  by simp
    ultimately have  $(\lambda w. \text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\}))) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
    using  $\langle \text{card } (A - \{a\}) = n \rangle$ 
          ameas[of  $A - \{a\}$ ] by simp
    moreover have  $f a \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using  $\forall a \in A. f a \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
     $\langle a \in A \rangle$  by simp
    ultimately have  $(\lambda w. \max (f a w) (\text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\})))) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$ 
    using borel-measurable-max by simp
    moreover have  $\wedge w. \max (f a w) (\text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\}))) = \text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)$ 
  proof -
    fix  $w$ 
    define FA where  $FA = ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\}))$ 
    have finite FA unfolding FA-def using apropos by simp
    have  $A - \{a\} \neq \{\}$  using apropos False  $\langle \text{card } (A - \{a\}) = n \rangle$  card-eq-0-iff[of  $A - \{a\}$ ] by simp
    hence  $FA \neq \{\}$  unfolding FA-def by simp
    have  $\max (f a w) (\text{Max } FA) = \text{Max } (\text{insert } (f a w) FA)$  using  $\langle \text{finite } FA \rangle$ 
 $\langle FA \neq \{\} \rangle$  by simp
    hence  $\max (f a w) (\text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\}))) = \text{Max } (\text{insert } (f a w) ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\})))$ 
    unfolding FA-def by simp
    also have ... =  $\text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)$ 
  proof -
    have  $\text{insert } (f a w) ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\})) = (\lambda a. f a w) ` (\text{insert } a (A - \{a\}))$ 
    by auto
    also have ... =  $((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)$  using  $\langle a \in A \rangle$  by blast
    finally have  $\text{insert } (f a w) ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\})) = ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)$  .
    thus ?thesis by simp
  qed
  finally show  $\max (f a w) (\text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` (A - \{a\}))) = \text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)$  .
qed
ultimately show  $(\lambda w. \text{Max } ((\lambda a. f a w) ` A)) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  by simp
next
  case True
  hence  $A - \{a\} = \{\}$  using apropos card-eq-0-iff[of  $A - \{a\}$ ] card(A - {a}) = n by simp
  hence  $\{a\} = \text{insert } a (A - \{a\})$  by simp
  also have ... =  $A$  using  $\langle a \in A \rangle$  by blast
  finally have  $\{a\} = A$  .
  hence  $\wedge w. (\lambda a. f a w) ` A = \{f a w\}$  by auto

```

```

hence  $\bigwedge w. \text{Max}((\lambda a. f a w) 'A) = \text{Max}\{f a w\}$  by simp
hence  $\bigwedge w. \text{Max}((\lambda a. f a w) 'A) = f a w$  by simp
hence  $(\lambda w. \text{Max}((\lambda a. f a w) 'A)) = f a$  by simp
thus  $(\lambda w. \text{Max}((\lambda a. f a w) 'A)) \in \text{borel-measurable } M$  using  $\forall a \in A. f a \in$ 
borel-measurable  $M$ 
 $\langle a \in A \rangle$  by simp
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma (in CRR-market) *lbk-borel*:

shows *lbk-option* $T \in \text{borel-measurable}(G T)$ unfolding *lbk-option-def*

proof (rule borel-measurable-diff)

show $(\lambda x. \text{Max}((\lambda i. \text{prices Mkt stk } i x) ' \{0..T\})) \in \text{borel-measurable}(G T)$

proof (rule borel-measurable-Max-finite)

show $\text{card } \{0..T\} = \text{Suc } T$ by simp

show $0 < \text{Suc } T$ by simp

show $\forall i \in \{0..T\}. \text{prices Mkt stk } i \in \text{borel-measurable}(G T)$

proof

fix i

assume $i \in \{0..T\}$

show $\text{prices Mkt stk } i \in \text{borel-measurable}(G T)$

by (metis $\langle i \in \{0..T\} \rangle$ adapt-stoch-proc-def atLeastAtMost-iff increasing-measurable-info
stock-price-borel-measurable)

qed

qed

show $\text{prices Mkt stk } T \in \text{borel-measurable}(G T)$ by (metis adapt-stoch-proc-def stock-price-borel-measurable)

qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) *lbk-option-lgeom*:

shows *lbk-option* $T w = \text{Max}((\lambda i. (\text{lgeom-proc } u d \text{ init}(\text{stake } i w))) ' \{0 .. T\})$
– $(\text{lgeom-proc } u d \text{ init}(\text{stake } T w))$

using *geom-lgeom* *stk-price* *geometric-process* unfolding *lbk-option-def* by simp

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) *disc-lbk-option-lgeom*:

shows (*discounted-value* $r (\lambda m. (\text{lbk-option } T)) T w) =$
 $(\text{det-discount } r T) * (\text{Max}((\lambda i. (\text{lgeom-proc } u d \text{ init}(\text{take } i (\text{stake } T w)))) ' \{0 .. T\}) - (\text{lgeom-proc } u d \text{ init}(\text{stake } T w)))$

using *det-discounted*[of $r \lambda m. \text{lbk-option } T T w] *lbk-option-lgeom*[of $T w$]
lgeom-proc-take$

by (metis (no-types, lifting) atLeastAtMost-iff image-cong)

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) *lbk-effect-compute*:

shows $(\sum_{w \in \text{range}(\text{pseudo-proj-True matur})} (\text{prod}(\text{prob-component } pr w) \{0..<\text{matur}\}) *)$

```

(discounted-value r ( $\lambda m. (lbk\text{-}option matur))$  matur w)) =
( $\sum y \in (\text{gener}\text{-}bool\text{-}list matur). lprob\text{-}comp pr y * (det\text{-}discount r matur) *$ 
 $(Max ((\lambda i. (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take i y)))^{\{0 .. matur\}}) - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d$ 
init y)))
```

proof (rule pseudo-range-stake)

fix w

have $\text{prod} (\text{prob-component } pr w) \{0..<\text{matur}\} * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. lbk\text{-}option$ matur) matur $w =$

$lprob\text{-}comp pr (\text{stake matur } w) * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. lbk\text{-}option matur)$ matur w

using $lprob\text{-}comp\text{-}stake$ **by** simp

also have ... = $lprob\text{-}comp pr (\text{stake matur } w) *$

$(det\text{-}discount r matur) * (Max ((\lambda i. (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take i (stake matur$ $w))))^{\{0 .. matur\}}) -$

$(lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (\text{stake matur } w)))$ **using** disc-lbk-option-lgeom **by** simp

finally show $\text{prod} (\text{prob-component } pr w) \{0..<\text{matur}\} * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m.$ $lbk\text{-}option matur)$ matur $w =$

$lprob\text{-}comp pr (\text{stake matur } w) *$

$(det\text{-}discount r matur) * (Max ((\lambda i. (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take i (stake matur$ $w))))^{\{0 .. matur\}}) -$

$(lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (\text{stake matur } w)))$.

qed

fun $lbk\text{-}price$ **where**

$lbk\text{-}price u d init r matur = (\sum y \in (\text{gener}\text{-}bool\text{-}list matur). lprob\text{-}comp ((1 + r -$ $d) / (u - d)) y * (det\text{-}discount r matur) *$

$(Max ((\lambda i. (lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take i y)))^{\{0 .. matur\}}) - (lgeom\text{-}proc u d$ init y)))

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of a lookback option.

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) $lbk\text{-}price$:

shows fair-price Mkt

$(lbk\text{-}price u d init r matur)$

$(lbk\text{-}option matur)$ matur

proof –

have fair-price Mkt

$(\sum w \in \text{range} (\text{pseudo-proj-True matur}). (\text{prod} (\text{prob-component } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) w) \{0..<\text{matur}\}) *$

$(\text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (lbk\text{-}option matur)) \text{ matur } w))$

$(lbk\text{-}option matur)$ matur

by (rule CRR-market-fair-price, rule $lbk\text{-}borel$)

thus ?thesis **using** $lbk\text{-}effect\text{-}compute$ **by** simp

qed

value $lbk\text{-}price 1.2 0.8 10 0.03 2$

10.4 Asian option

An asian option is parameterized by a maturity T. This option pays the average price of the risky asset at time T.

definition (in CRR-market) asian-option where

asian-option ($T::nat$) = $(\lambda w. (\sum_{i \in \{1..T\}}. prices Mkt stk i w) / T)$

lemma (in CRR-market) asian-borel:

shows *asian-option* $T \in borel-measurable (G T)$ **unfolding** *asian-option-def*

proof –

have $(\lambda w. (\sum_{i \in \{1..T\}}. prices Mkt stk i w)) \in borel-measurable (G T)$

proof (rule borel-measurable-sum)

fix i

assume $i \in \{1..T\}$

show *prices Mkt stk i* $\in borel-measurable (G T)$

by (*metis* $\langle i \in \{1..T\} \rangle$ *adapt-stoch-proc-def* *atLeastAtMost-iff increasing-measurable-info*

stock-price-borel-measurable)

qed

from *this show* $(\lambda w. (\sum_{i=1..T}. prices Mkt stk i w) / real T) \in borel-measurable (G T)$ **by** *simp*

qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) asian-option-lgeom:

shows *asian-option* $T w = (\sum_{i \in \{1..T\}}. lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (stake i w)) / T$
using *geom-lgeom* *stk-price* *geometric-process* **unfolding** *asian-option-def* **by** *simp*

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) disc-asian-option-lgeom:

shows *(discounted-value r (λm. (asian-option T))) T w =*
 $(det\text{-}discount r T) * (\sum_{i \in \{1..T\}}. lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (take i (stake T w))) / T$

proof –

have $\forall i \in \{1..T\}. lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (stake i w) = lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (take i (stake T w))$

using *lgeom-proc-take* **by** *auto*

hence $(\sum_{i \in \{1..T\}}. lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (stake i w)) =$

$(\sum_{i \in \{1..T\}}. lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (take i (stake T w)))$ **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *det-discounted*[*of r λm. asian-option T T w*] *asian-option-lgeom*[*of T w*]

by *auto*

qed

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) asian-effect-compute:

shows $(\sum_{w \in range (pseudo\text{-}proj\text{-}True matur)}. (prod (prob\text{-}component pr w) \{0..< matur\}) * (discounted-value r (\lambda m. (asian-option matur))) matur w) =$
 $(\sum_{y \in (gener\text{-}bool\text{-}list matur)}. lprob\text{-}comp pr y * (det\text{-}discount r matur) *$

```


$$\left( \sum_{i \in \{1..matur\}} lgeom\text{-}proc u d init (take i y) \right) / matur$$

proof (rule pseudo-range-stake)
  fix  $w$ 
  have  $\prod (\text{prob-component } pr w) \{0..<matur\} * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{asian-option } matur) matur w =$ 
     $lprob\text{-comp } pr (\text{stake } matur w) * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{asian-option } matur) matur w$ 
    using  $lprob\text{-comp-stake}$  by simp
    also have ... =  $lprob\text{-comp } pr (\text{stake } matur w) *$ 
       $(\text{det-discount } r matur) * \left( \sum_{i \in \{1..matur\}} lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (take i (\text{stake } matur w)) \right) / matur$ 
      using  $\text{disc-asian-option-lgeom}[of matur w]$  by simp
    finally show  $\prod (\text{prob-component } pr w) \{0..<matur\} * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. \text{asian-option } matur) matur w =$ 
       $lprob\text{-comp } pr (\text{stake } matur w) *$ 
       $(\text{det-discount } r matur) * \left( \sum_{i \in \{1..matur\}} lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (take i (\text{stake } matur w)) \right) / matur .$ 
  qed

fun asian-price where
  asian-price  $u d init r matur = \left( \sum_{y \in (\text{gener-bool-list } matur)} lprob\text{-comp } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) y * (\text{det-discount } r matur) * \left( \sum_{i \in \{1..matur\}} lgeom\text{-proc } u d init (take i y) \right) / matur \right)$ 

```

Evaluating the function above returns the fair price of an asian option.

```

lemma (in CRR-market-viable) asian-price:
  shows fair-price Mkt
     $(\text{asian-price } u d init r matur)$ 
     $(\text{asian-option } matur) matur$ 
proof -
  have fair-price Mkt
     $\left( \sum_{w \in \text{range } (\text{pseudo-proj-True } matur)} (\prod (\text{prob-component } ((1 + r - d) / (u - d)) w) \{0..<matur\}) * \text{discounted-value } r (\lambda m. (\text{asian-option } matur)) matur w \right)$ 
     $(\text{asian-option } matur) matur$ 
    by (rule CRR-market-fair-price, rule asian-borel)
    thus ?thesis using asian-effect-compute by simp
  qed

end

```