

Formalizing Results on Directed Sets

Akihisa Yamada and Jérémy Dubut

April 18, 2024

Abstract

Directed sets are of fundamental interest in domain theory and topology. In this paper, we formalize some results on directed sets in Isabelle/HOL, most notably: under the axiom of choice, a poset has a supremum for every directed set if and only if it does so for every chain; and a function between such posets preserves suprema of directed sets if and only if it preserves suprema of chains. The known pen-and-paper proofs of these results crucially use uncountable transfinite sequences, which are not directly implementable in Isabelle/HOL. We show how to emulate such proofs by utilizing Isabelle/HOL's ordinal and cardinal library. Thanks to the formalization, we relax some conditions for the above results.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Connecting Predicate-Based and Set-Based Relations	3
2.2	Missing Lemmas	5
3	Iwamura's lemma	7
3.1	Uncountable Case	7
3.2	Countable Case	11
4	Directed Completeness and Scott-Continuity	14

1 Introduction

A *directed set* is a set D equipped with a binary relation \sqsubseteq such that any finite subset $X \subseteq D$ has an upper bound in D with respect to \sqsubseteq . The property is often equivalently stated that D is non-empty and any two elements $x, y \in D$ have a bound in D , assuming that \sqsubseteq is transitive (as in posets).

Directed sets find uses in various fields of mathematics and computer science. In topology (see for example the textbook [7]), directed sets are used to generalize the set of natural numbers: sequences $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow A$ are generalized to *nets* $D \rightarrow A$, where D is an arbitrary directed set. For example, the usual result on metric spaces that continuous functions are precisely functions that preserve limits of sequences can be generalized in general topological spaces as: the continuous functions are precisely functions that preserve limits of nets. In domain theory [1], key ingredients are *directed-complete posets*, where every directed subset has a supremum in the poset, and *Scott-continuous functions* between posets, that is, functions that preserve suprema of directed sets. Thanks to their fixed-point properties (which we have formalized in Isabelle/HOL in a previous work [5]), directed-complete posets naturally appear in denotational semantics of languages with loops or fixed-point operators (see for example Scott domains [11, 13]). Directed sets also appear in reachability and coverability analyses of transition systems through the notion of ideals, that is, downward-closed directed sets. They allow effective representations of objects, making forward and backward analysis of well-structured transition systems – such as Petri nets – possible (see e.g., [6]).

Apparently milder generalizations of natural numbers are chains (totally ordered sets) or even well-ordered sets. In the mathematics literature, the following results are known (assuming the axiom of choice):

Theorem 1 ([4]) *A poset is directed-complete if (and only if) it has a supremum for every non-empty well-ordered subset.*

Theorem 2 ([9]) *Let f be a function between posets, each of which has a supremum for every non-empty chain. If f preserves suprema of non-empty chains, then it is Scott-continuous.*

The pen-and-paper proofs of these results use induction on cardinality, where the finite case is merely the base case. The core of the proof is a technical result called Iwamura’s Lemma [8], where the countable case is merely an easy case, and the main part heavily uses transfinite sequences indexed by uncountable ordinals.

To formalize these results in Isabelle/HOL we extensively use the existing library for ordinals and cardinals [3], but we needed some delicate work in emulating the pen-and-paper proofs. In Isabelle/HOL, or any proof assistant based on higher-order logic (HOL), it is not possible to have a datatype for arbitrarily large ordinals; hence, it is not possible to directly formalize transfinite sequences. We show how to emulate transfinite sequences using the ordinal and cardinal library [3]. As far as the authors know, our work is the first to mechanize the proof of Theorems 1 and 2, as well as Iwamura’s Lemma. We prove the two theorems for quasi-ordered sets, relaxing

antisymmetry, and strengthen Theorem 2 so that chains are replaced by well-ordered sets and conditions on the codomain are completely dropped.

Related Work Systems based on Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory, such as Mizar [2] and Isabelle/ZF [10], have more direct support for ordinals and cardinals and should pose less challenge in mechanizing the above results. Nevertheless, a part of our contribution is in demonstrating that the power of (Isabelle/)HOL is strong enough to deal with uncountable transfinite sequences.

Except for the extra care for transfinite sequences, our proof of Iwamura’s Lemma is largely based on the original proof from [8]. Markowsky presented a proof of Theorem 1 using Iwamura’s Lemma [9, Corollary 1]. While he took a minimal-counterexample approach, we take a more constructive approach to build a well-ordered set of suprema. This construction was crucial to be reused in the proof of Theorem 2, which Markowsky claimed without a proof [9]. Another proof of Theorem 1 can be found in [4], without using Iwamura’s Lemma, but still crucially using transfinite sequences.

This work has been published in the conference paper [14].

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Connecting Predicate-Based and Set-Based Relations

theory *Well-Order-Connection*

imports

Main

Complete-Non-Orders.Well-Relations

begin

lemma *refl-on-relation-of*: *refl-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow reflexive A r*
by (*auto simp: refl-on-def reflexive-def relation-of-def*)

lemma *trans-relation-of*: *trans (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow transitive A r*
by (*auto simp: trans-def relation-of-def transitive-def*)

lemma *preorder-on-relation-of*: *preorder-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow quasi-ordered-set A r*
by (*simp add: preorder-on-def refl-on-relation-of trans-relation-of quasi-ordered-set-def*)

lemma *antisym-relation-of*: *antisym (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow antisymmetric A r*
by (*auto simp: antisym-def relation-of-def antisymmetric-def*)

lemma *partial-order-on-relation-of*:
partial-order-on A (relation-of r A) \longleftrightarrow partially-ordered-set A r
by (*auto simp: partial-order-on-def preorder-on-relation-of antisym-relation-of quasi-ordered-set-def partially-ordered-set-def*)

lemma *total-on-relation-of*: $\text{total-on } A \text{ (relation-of } r \text{ } A) \longleftrightarrow \text{semiconnex } A \text{ } r$
by (*auto simp: total-on-def relation-of-def semiconnex-def*)

lemma *linear-order-on-relation-of*:
shows $\text{linear-order-on } A \text{ (relation-of } r \text{ } A) \longleftrightarrow \text{total-ordered-set } A \text{ } r$
by (*auto simp: linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-relation-of total-on-relation-of total-ordered-set-def total-quasi-ordered-set-def partially-ordered-set-def connex-iff-semiconnex-reflexive*)

lemma *relation-of-sub-Id*: $(\text{relation-of } r \text{ } A - \text{Id}) = \text{relation-of } (\lambda x y. r \text{ } x \text{ } y \wedge x \neq y) \text{ } A$
by (*auto simp: relation-of-def*)

lemma (*in antisymmetric*) *asymptp-iff-weak-neq*:
shows $x \in A \implies y \in A \implies \text{asymptp } (\sqsubseteq) \text{ } x \text{ } y \longleftrightarrow x \sqsubseteq y \wedge x \neq y$
by (*auto intro!: asymptpI antisym*)

lemma *wf-relation-of*: $\text{wf } (\text{relation-of } r \text{ } A) = \text{well-founded } A \text{ } r$
apply (*simp add: wf-eq-minimal relation-of-def well-founded-iff-ex-extremal Ball-def*)
by (*metis (no-types, opaque-lifting) equalsOI insert-Diff insert-not-empty subsetI subset-iff*)

lemma *well-order-on-relation-of*:
shows $\text{well-order-on } A \text{ (relation-of } r \text{ } A) \longleftrightarrow \text{well-ordered-set } A \text{ } r$
by (*auto simp: well-order-on-def linear-order-on-relation-of relation-of-sub-Id wf-relation-of well-ordered-iff-well-founded-total-ordered antisymmetric.asymptp-iff-weak-neq total-ordered-set-def cong: well-founded-cong*)

lemma (*in connex*) *Field-relation-of*: $\text{Field } (\text{relation-of } (\sqsubseteq) \text{ } A) = A$
by (*auto simp: Field-def relation-of-def*)

lemma (*in well-ordered-set*) *Well-order-relation-of*:
shows $\text{Well-order } (\text{relation-of } (\sqsubseteq) \text{ } A)$
by (*auto simp: Field-relation-of well-order-on-relation-of well-ordered-set-axioms*)

lemma *in-relation-of*: $(x,y) \in \text{relation-of } r \text{ } A \longleftrightarrow x \in A \wedge y \in A \wedge r \text{ } x \text{ } y$
by (*simp add: relation-of-def*)

lemma *relation-of-triv*: $\text{relation-of } (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in r) \text{ } UNIV = r$
by (*auto simp: relation-of-def*)

lemma *Restr-eq-relation-of*: $\text{Restr } R \text{ } A = \text{relation-of } (\lambda x y. (x,y) \in R) \text{ } A$
by (*auto simp: relation-of-def*)

theorem *ex-well-order*: $\exists r. \text{well-ordered-set } A \text{ } r$

proof–

from *well-order-on* **obtain** R **where** R : *well-order-on* $A \text{ } R$ **by** *auto*
then have *well-order-on* $A \text{ } (Restr \text{ } R \text{ } A)$

```

  by (simp add: well-order-on-Field[OF R] Restr-Field)
  then show ?thesis by (auto simp: Restr-eq-relation-of well-order-on-relation-of)
qed

```

```

end
theory Directed-Completeness
  imports
    Complete-Non-Orders.Continuity
    Well-Order-Connection
    HOL-Cardinals.Cardinals
    HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

```

2.2 Missing Lemmas

```

no-notation disj (infixr | 30)

```

```

lemma Sup-funpow-mono:
  fixes f :: 'a :: complete-lattice  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes mono: mono f
  shows mono ( $\bigsqcup$  i. f  $\hat{\sim}$  i)
  by (intro monoI, auto intro!: Sup-mono dest: funpow-mono[OF mono])

```

```

lemma iso-imp-compat:
  assumes iso: iso r r' f shows compat r r' f
  by (simp add: compat-def iso iso-forward)

```

```

lemma iso-inv-into:
  assumes ISO: iso r r' f
  shows iso r' r (inv-into (Field r) f)
  using assms unfolding iso-def
  using bij-betw-inv-into inv-into-Field-embed-bij-betw by blast

```

```

lemmas iso-imp-compat-inv-into = iso-imp-compat[OF iso-inv-into]

```

```

lemma infinite-iff-natLeq: infinite A  $\longleftrightarrow$  natLeq  $\leq$ o |A|
  using infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast

```

As we cannot formalize transfinite sequences directly, we take the following approach: We just use A as the index set, and instead of the ordering on ordinals, we take the well-order that is chosen by the cardinality library to denote $|A|$.

```

definition well-order-of (('( $\preceq$ -')) [0]1000) where ( $\preceq_A$ ) x y  $\equiv$  (x,y)  $\in$  |A|

```

```

abbreviation well-order-le (-  $\preceq$ - - [51,0,51]50) where x  $\preceq_A$  y  $\equiv$  ( $\preceq_A$ ) x y

```

```

abbreviation well-order-less (-  $\prec$ - - [51,0,51]50) where x  $\prec_A$  y  $\equiv$  asympartp
( $\preceq_A$ ) x y

```

lemmas *well-order-ofI* = *well-order-of-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq*, *THEN iffD2*]
lemmas *well-order-ofD* = *well-order-of-def*[*unfolded atomize-eq*, *THEN iffD1*]

lemma *carrier*: **assumes** $x \preceq_A y$ **shows** $x \in A$ **and** $y \in A$
using *assms* **by** (*auto* *dest!*: *well-order-ofD* *dest*: *FieldI1* *FieldI2*)

lemma *relation-of[simp]*: *relation-of* (\preceq_A) $A = |A|$
by (*auto* *simp*: *relation-of-def* *well-order-of-def* *dest*: *FieldI1* *FieldI2*)

interpretation *well-order-of*: *well-ordered-set* A (\preceq_A)
apply (*fold well-order-on-relation-of*)
by *auto*

Thanks to the well-order theorem, one can have a sequence $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < |A|}$ of subsets of A that satisfies the following three conditions:

- cardinality: $|A_\alpha| < |A|$ for every $\alpha < |A|$,
- monotonicity: $A_\alpha \subseteq A_\beta$ whenever $\alpha \leq \beta < |A|$, and
- range: if A is infinite, $A = \bigcup_{\alpha < |A|} A_\alpha$.

The following serves the purpose.

definition *Pre* (\prec_A [1000]1000) **where** $A \prec_A a \equiv \{b \in A. b \prec_A a\}$

lemma *Pre-eq-underS*: $A \prec_A a = \text{underS } |A| a$
by (*auto* *simp*: *Pre-def* *underS-def* *well-order-ofD* *carrier* *well-order-of.antisym* *dest!*: *well-order-ofI*)

lemma *Pre-card*: **assumes** $aA: a \in A$ **shows** $|A \prec_A a| <_o |A|$
by (*auto* *simp*: *Pre-eq-underS* aA *intro!*: *card-of-underS*[*OF* *card-of-Card-order*])

lemma *Pre-carrier*: $A \prec_A a \subseteq A$ **by** (*auto* *simp*: *Pre-def*)

lemma *Pre-mono*: *monotone-on* A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) ($A \prec_A$)
by (*auto* *intro!*: *monotone-onI* *simp*: *Pre-def* *dest*: *well-order-of.asym-trans* *well-order-of.asym.irrefl*)

lemma *extreme-imp-finite*:
assumes e : *extreme* A (\preceq_A) e **shows** *finite* A

proof (*rule ccontr*)

assume *inf*: *infinite* A

from e **have** $eA: e \in A$ **by** *auto*

from e **have** $A = \{a \in A. a \preceq_A e\}$ **by** *auto*

also **have** $\dots - \{e\} = A \prec_A e$

using eA **by** (*auto* *simp*: *Pre-def* *dest*: *well-order-of.asympartp-iff-weak-peq*)

finally **have** $AeP: A - \{e\} = \dots$

have *infinite* ($A - \{e\}$) **using** *infinite-remove*[*OF* *inf*].

with AeP **have** $infP: \text{infinite } (A \prec_A e)$ **by** *simp*

have $A = \text{insert } e (A \prec_A e)$ **using** eA **by** (*fold* AeP , *auto*)

also have $|\dots| =_o |A_{\prec} e|$ **using** *infinite-card-of-insert[OF infP]*.
finally have $|A_{\prec} e| =_o |A|$ **using** *ordIso-symmetric* **by** *auto*
with *Pre-card[OF eA]* *not-ordLess-ordIso*
show *False* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *infinite-imp-ex-Pre*:
assumes *inf: infinite A* **and** *xA: x ∈ A* **shows** $\exists y \in A. x \in A_{\prec} y$
proof–
from *inf*
have \neg *extreme A* (\preceq_A) *x* **by** (*auto dest!: extreme-imp-finite*)
with *xA* **obtain** *y* **where** *yA: y ∈ A* **and** $\neg y \preceq_A x$ **by** *auto*
with *xA* **have** $x \prec_A y$ **by** (*auto simp: well-order-of.not-weak-iff asympartpI*)
with *yA* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: Pre-def xA*)
qed

lemma *infinite-imp-Un-Pre*: **assumes** *inf: infinite A* **shows** $\bigcup (A_{\prec} \text{ ` } A) = A$
proof (*safe*)
fix *x* **assume** *xA: x ∈ A*
show $y \in A_{\prec} x \implies y \in A$ **for** *y* **using** *Pre-carrier[of A x]* **by** *auto*
from *infinite-imp-ex-Pre[OF inf xA]*
show $x \in \bigcup (A_{\prec} \text{ ` } A)$ **by** (*auto simp: Pre-def*)
qed

3 Iwamura’s lemma

As the proof involves a number of (inductive) definitions, we build a locale for collecting those definitions and lemmas.

locale *Iwamura-proof = related-set +*
assumes *dir: directed-set A* (\sqsubseteq)
begin

Inside this locale, a related set (A, \sqsubseteq) is fixed and assumed to be directed. The proof starts with declaring, using the axiom of choice, a function f that chooses a bound $f X \in A$ for every finite subset $X \subseteq A$. This function can be formalized using the SOME construction:

definition *f* **where** $f X \equiv \text{SOME } z. z \in A \wedge \text{bound } X (\sqsubseteq) z$

lemma **assumes** *XA: X ⊆ A* **and** *Xfin: finite X*
shows *f-carrier: f X ∈ A* **and** *f-bound: bound X (⊆) (f X)*
using *directed-setD[OF dir XA Xfin, unfolded Bex-def, THEN someI-ex]*
by (*auto simp: f-def*)

3.1 Uncountable Case

Actually, the main part of the proof of Iwamura’s Lemma is about monotonically expanding an infinite subset (in particular A_α) of A into a directed

one, without changing the cardinality. To this end, Iwamura's original proof introduces a function $F: PowA \rightarrow PowA$ that expands a set with upper bounds of *all finite subsets*. This approach is different from Markowsky's reproof (based on [12]) which uses nested transfinite induction to extend a set one element after another.

definition F **where** $F X \equiv X \cup f' Fpow X$

lemma F -*carrier*: $X \subseteq A \implies F X \subseteq A$

and F -*infl*: $X \subseteq F X$

and F -*fin*: $finite X \implies finite (F X)$

by (*auto simp: F-def Fpow-def f-carrier*)

lemma F -*card*: **assumes** inf : *infinite* X **shows** $|F X| =_o |X|$

proof-

have $|f' Fpow X| \leq_o |Fpow X|$ **using** *card-of-image*.

thm *card-of-Fpow-infinite*

also have $|Fpow X| =_o |X|$ **using** *card-of-Fpow-infinite[OF inf]*.

finally have $|f' Fpow X| \leq_o |X|$.

with inf **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: F-def*)

qed

lemma F -*mono*: *mono* F

proof(*intro monoI*)

show $X \subseteq Y \implies F X \subseteq F Y$ **for** $X Y$

using *Fpow-mono[of X Y]* **by** (*auto simp: F-def*)

qed

lemma F_n -*carrier*: $X \subseteq A \implies (F \hat{\sim} n) X \subseteq A$

and F_n -*infl*: $X \subseteq (F \hat{\sim} n) X$

and F_n -*fin*: $finite X \implies finite ((F \hat{\sim} n) X)$

and F_n -*card*: $infinite X \implies |(F \hat{\sim} n) X| =_o |X|$

proof (*atomize(full), induct n*)

case (*Suc n*)

define Y **where** $Y \equiv (F \hat{\sim} n) X$

then have $*$: $(F \hat{\sim} Suc n) X = F Y$ **by** *auto*

from *Suc[folded Y-def]*

have $infinite X \implies infinite Y \wedge |Y| =_o |X|$

and $finite X \implies finite Y$

and $X \subseteq Y$

and $X \subseteq A \implies Y \subseteq A$ **by** (*auto simp: Y-def*)

with F -*carrier*[*of Y*] F -*infl*[*of Y*] F -*card*[*of Y*] F -*fin*[*of Y*]

show *?case* **by** (*unfold *, auto del:subsetI dest:ordIso-transitive*)

qed *auto*

lemma F_n -*mono1*: $i \leq j \implies (F \hat{\sim} i) X \subseteq (F \hat{\sim} j) X$ **for** $i j$

using F_n -*infl*[*of (F \hat{\sim} i) X j-i*] *funpow-add*[*of j-i i F*]

by *auto*

We take the ω -iteration of the monotone function F , namely:

definition $Flim (F^\omega)$ where $F^\omega X \equiv \bigcup i. (F \rightsquigarrow i) X$

lemma $Flim\text{-}mono$: $mono F^\omega$

proof–

have $F^\omega = (\bigsqcup \text{range } ((\rightsquigarrow) F))$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } Flim\text{-}def)$
with $Sup\text{-}funpow\text{-}mono[OF F\text{-}mono]$
show $?thesis$ **by** $auto$

qed

lemma $Flim\text{-}infl$: $X \subseteq F^\omega X$

using $Fn\text{-}infl$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } Flim\text{-}def)$

lemma $Flim\text{-}carrier$: **assumes** $X \subseteq A$ **shows** $F^\omega X \subseteq A$

using $Fn\text{-}carrier[OF \text{assms}]$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } Flim\text{-}def)$

lemma $Flim\text{-}directed$: **assumes** $X \subseteq A$ **shows** $directed\text{-}set (F^\omega X)$ (\sqsubseteq)

proof $(\text{safe intro!}: directed\text{-}setI)$

fix Y **assume** YC : $Y \subseteq F^\omega X$ **and** $finY$: $finite Y$

from $finY YC$ **have** $\exists i. Y \subseteq (F \rightsquigarrow i) X$

proof (induct)

case $empty$

then show $?case$ **by** $auto$

next

case $(\text{insert } y Y)$

then obtain $i j$ **where** Yi : $Y \subseteq (F \rightsquigarrow i) X$ **and** $y \in (F \rightsquigarrow j) X$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } Flim\text{-}def)$

with $Fn\text{-}mono1[OF \text{max.cobounded1}[of i j], of X]$ $Fn\text{-}mono1[OF \text{max.cobounded2}[of j i], of X]$

show $?case$ **by** $(\text{auto intro!}: exI[of - \text{max } i j])$

qed

then obtain i **where** Yi : $Y \subseteq (F \rightsquigarrow i) X$ **by** $auto$

with $Fn\text{-}carrier[OF \text{assms}]$ **have** YA : $Y \subseteq A$ **by** $auto$

from $Yi finY$ **have** $f Y \in (F \rightsquigarrow \text{Suc } i) X$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } F\text{-}def Fpow\text{-}def)$

then have $f Y \in F^\omega X$ **by** $(\text{auto simp: } Flim\text{-}def \text{simp del: } funpow.\text{simps})$

with $f\text{-bound}[OF YA finY]$

show $\exists z \in F^\omega X. \text{bound } Y (\sqsubseteq) z$ **by** $auto$

qed

lemma $Flim\text{-}card$: **assumes** $infinite X$ **shows** $|F^\omega X| =_o |X|$

proof–

from assms **have** $\text{nat}X$: $|UNIV :: \text{nat set}| \leq_o |X|$ **by** $(\text{simp add: } infinite\text{-}iff\text{-}card\text{-}of\text{-}nat)$

have $|F^\omega X| \leq_o |X|$

apply $(\text{unfold } Flim\text{-}def, \text{rule } card\text{-}of\text{-}UNION\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}infinite[OF \text{assms } \text{nat}X])$

using $Fn\text{-}card[OF \text{assms}]$ $ordIso\text{-}imp\text{-}ordLeq$

by $auto$

with $Flim\text{-}infl$ **show** $|F^\omega X| =_o |X|$ **by** $(\text{simp add: } ordIso\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLeq)$

qed

lemma $Flim\text{-}fin$: **assumes** $finite X$ **shows** $|F^\omega X| \leq_o \text{natLeq}$

proof-
have $|F^\omega X| \leq o \mid UNIV :: nat \ set \mid$
apply (*unfold Flim-def*)
apply (*rule card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite*)
by (*auto simp: Fn-fin[OF assms] intro!: ordLess-imp-ordLeq*)
then show *?thesis* **using** *card-of-nat ordLeq-ordIso-trans* **by auto**
qed

lemma *mono-uncountable: monotone-on A* $(\preceq_A) (\subseteq) (F^\omega \circ A_\prec)$
using *monotone-on-o[OF Flim-mono Pre-mono]*
by (*auto simp: o-def*)

lemma *card-uncountable:*
assumes *aA: a ∈ A and unc: natLeq < o |A|*
shows $|F^\omega (A_\prec a)| < o \mid A \mid$
proof (*cases finite (A_\prec a)*)
case *True*
note *Flim-fin[OF this]*
also note *unc*
finally show *?thesis*
using *unc not-ordLess-ordIso* **by auto**

next
case *False*
note *Flim-card[OF this]*
also note *Pre-card[OF aA]*
finally show *?thesis* **using** *unc not-ordLess-ordIso* **by auto**
qed

lemma *in-I-uncountable:*
assumes *aA: a ∈ A and inf: infinite A*
shows $\exists a' \in A. a \in F^\omega (A_\prec a')$
using *infinite-imp-ex-Pre[OF inf aA] Flim-infl*
by auto

lemma *carrier-uncountable:*
shows $F^\omega (A_\prec a) \subseteq A$
using *Flim-carrier[OF Pre-carrier]*
by auto

lemma *range-uncountable: assumes inf: infinite A shows* $\bigcup ((F^\omega \circ A_\prec) \text{ ` } A) = A$

proof (*safe intro!: subset-antisym*)
fix *a* **assume** *aA: a ∈ A*
from *infinite-imp-ex-Pre[OF inf aA] Flim-infl*
show $a \in \bigcup ((F^\omega \circ A_\prec) \text{ ` } A)$ **by auto**
show $x \in (F^\omega \circ A_\prec) a \implies x \in A$ **for** *x*
using *carrier-uncountable* **by auto**
qed

lemma *infl-uncountable*:
assumes $aA: a \in A$ **and** $bA: b \in A$ **and** $ab: a \prec_A b$
shows $a \in F^\omega (A \prec b)$
using *assms Flim-infl[of A \prec b]*
by (*auto simp: Pre-def*)

3.2 Countable Case

context
assumes *countable: |A| =o natLeq*
begin

The assumption above means that there exists an order-isomorphism between (\mathbb{N}, \leq) and (A, \preceq_A) .

definition $seq :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$ **where** $seq \equiv SOME f. iso natLeq |A| f$

lemma *seq-iso: iso natLeq |A| seq*
apply (*unfold seq-def*)
apply (*rule someI-ex[of iso natLeq |A|]*)
using *countable[THEN ordIso-symmetric]*
apply (*unfold ordIso-def*) **by** *auto*

lemma *seq-bij-betw: bij-betw seq UNIV A*
using *seq-iso* **by** (*auto simp: iso-def Field-natLeq*)

This means that A has been indexed by \mathbb{N} .

lemma *range-seq: range seq = A*
using *seq-bij-betw bij-betw-imp-surj-on* **by** *force*

lemma *seq-mono: monotone (\leq) (\preceq_A) seq*
using *iso-imp-compat[OF seq-iso]*
by (*auto intro!: monotoneI well-order-ofI simp: compat-def natLeq-def*)

lemma *inv-seq-mono: monotone-on A (\preceq_A) (\leq) (inv seq)*
using *iso-imp-compat-inv-into[OF seq-iso]*
unfolding *Field-natLeq*
by (*auto intro!: monotone-onI simp: natLeq-def compat-def well-order-of-def*)

We turn the sequence into a sequence of directed subsets of A :

fun $Seq :: nat \Rightarrow 'a$ **set** **where**
 $Seq\ 0 = \{f\ \{\}\}$
 $| Seq\ (Suc\ n) = Seq\ n \cup \{seq\ n, f\ (Seq\ n \cup \{seq\ n\})\}$

lemma *seq-n-in-Seq-n: seq n \in Seq (Suc n)* **by** *auto*

lemma *Seq-finite: finite (Seq n)*
by (*induction n*) *auto*

lemma *Seq-card: |Seq n| <o |A|*

```

using countable Seq-finite by (simp add: ordIso-natLeq-infiniteI)

lemma Seq-carrier: Seq n  $\subseteq$  A
proof(induction n)
  case 0
  show ?case by (auto intro!: f-carrier)
next
  case (Suc n)
  with range-seq have sgA: Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n}  $\subseteq$  A by auto
  from Seq-finite f-carrier[OF sgA]
  have f (Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n})  $\in$  A by auto
  with sgA show ?case by auto
qed

lemma Seq-range:  $\bigcup$ (range Seq) = A
proof (intro equalityI)
  from Seq-carrier show  $\bigcup$ (range Seq)  $\subseteq$  A by auto
  show A  $\subseteq$   $\bigcup$ (range Seq)
  proof
    fix a assume aA: a  $\in$  A
    with seq-bij-betw obtain n where a = seq n
    by (metis bij-betw-inv-into-right)
    with seq-n-in-Seq-n show a  $\in$   $\bigcup$ (range Seq) by (auto intro!: exI[of - Suc n])
  qed
qed

lemma Seq-extremed:
  assumes refl: reflexive A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) shows extremed (Seq n) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )
proof –
  interpret reflexive using refl.
  show ?thesis
  proof(induction n)
    case 0
    show ?case by (auto intro!: extremedI extremeI f-carrier)
  next
    case (Suc n)
    show ?case
    proof (intro extremedI extremeI)
      show f (Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n})  $\in$  Seq (Suc n) by auto
      fix x assume xssn: x  $\in$  Seq (Suc n)
      show x  $\sqsubseteq$  f (Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n})
      proof(cases x  $\in$  Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n})
        case True
        with f-bound[of Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n}] range-seq Seq-finite[of n]
          Seq-carrier[of n]
        show ?thesis by (auto simp: bound-def)
      next
        case False
        with xssn have x: x = f (Seq n  $\cup$  {seq n}) by auto
    qed
  qed

```

```

    from range-seq Seq-finite[of n] Seq-carrier[of n]
    show ?thesis by (auto simp: x intro!: f-carrier)
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

lemma Seq-directed: assumes refl: reflexive A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) shows directed-set (Seq n) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )
  using Seq-extremed[OF refl] by (simp add: directed-set-iff-extremed[OF Seq-finite])

lemma range-countable:  $\bigcup ((Seq \circ inv \ seq) \text{ ` } A) = A$ 
  apply (fold image-comp)
  apply (unfold bij-betw-imp-surj-on[OF bij-betw-inv-into[OF seq-bij-betw]])
  using Seq-range.

lemma Seq-mono: mono Seq
proof (intro monoI)
  show  $n \leq m \implies Seq \ n \subseteq Seq \ m$  for  $n \ m$  by (induct rule:inc-induct, auto)
qed

lemma mono-countable: monotone-on A ( $\preceq_A$ ) ( $\subseteq$ ) (Seq  $\circ$  inv seq)
  by (rule monotone-on-o[OF Seq-mono inv-seq-mono]) auto

lemma infl-countable:
  assumes aA:  $a \in A$  and bA:  $b \in A$  and ab:  $a \prec_A b$ 
  shows  $a \in Seq \ (inv \ seq \ b)$ 
proof-
  from aA seq-bij-betw seq-n-in-Seq-n
  have a:  $a \in Seq \ (Suc \ (inv \ seq \ a))$  by (simp add: bij-betw-inv-into-right)
  from ab have inv seq a < inv seq b
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) aA well-order-of.asympartp-iff-weak-neq bA
  range-seq inv-seq-mono inv-into-injective not-le-imp-less ord.mono-onD verit-la-disequality)
  then have  $Suc \ (inv \ seq \ a) \leq inv \ seq \ b$  by auto
  from a monoD[OF Seq-mono this] have  $a \in Seq \ (inv \ seq \ b)$  by auto
  then show ?thesis by auto
qed

end

To match the types, we use the inverse inv seq of the isomorphism
isaseq. We define the final I as follows:

definition I where  $I \equiv if \ |A| = 0 \ natLeq \ then \ Seq \ \circ \ inv \ seq \ else \ F^\omega \ \circ \ A \prec$ 

lemma I-carrier:  $I \ a \subseteq A$ 
  using Seq-carrier carrier-uncountable by (auto simp: I-def)

lemma I-directed: assumes reflexive A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) shows directed-set (I a) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )
  using Seq-directed[OF - assms] Flim-directed[OF Pre-carrier]
  by (auto simp: I-def)

```

lemma *I-mono: monotone-on* $A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) I$
by (*auto simp: mono-uncountable mono-countable I-def*)

lemma *I-card:*
assumes *inf: infinite* A **and** *aA: a* $\in A$
shows $|I\ a| <_o |A|$
proof (*cases* $|A| =_o \text{natLeq}$)
case *True*
with *Seq-finite*[*OF this*] **show** *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: I-def inf*)
next
case *F: False*
with *inf* **have** *natLeq* $<_o |A|$
by (*auto simp: infinite-iff-natLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordIso-symmetric*)
from *card-uncountable*[*OF aA this*] **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp: I-def F*)
qed

lemma *I-range:* **assumes** *inf: infinite* A **shows** $\bigcup (I'A) = A$
using *range-uncountable*[*OF inf*] *range-countable* **by** (*auto simp: I-def*)

lemma *I-infl:* **assumes** $a \in A$ $b \in A$ $a \prec_A b$ **shows** $a \in I\ b$
using *infl-countable infl-uncountable assms* **by** (*auto simp: I-def*)

end

Now we close the locale *Iwamura-proof* and state the final result in the global scope.

theorem (*in reflexive*) *Iwamura:*
assumes *dir: directed-set* $A (\sqsubseteq)$ **and** *inf: infinite* A
shows $\exists I. (\forall a \in A. \text{directed-set } (I\ a) (\sqsubseteq) \wedge |I\ a| <_o |A|) \wedge$
 $\text{monotone-on } A (\preceq_A) (\subseteq) I \wedge \bigcup (I'A) = A$
proof–
interpret *Iwamura-proof* **using** *dir* **by** *unfold-locales*
show *?thesis* **using** *I-mono I-card*[*OF inf*] *I-directed I-range*[*OF inf*]
by (*auto intro!: exI[of - I]*)
qed

4 Directed Completeness and Scott-Continuity

abbreviation *nonempty* $A \equiv \text{if } A = \{\} \text{ then } \perp \text{ else } \top$

lemma (*in quasi-ordered-set*) *directed-completeness-lemma:*
fixes *leB* (*infix* \leq 50)
assumes *comp: (nonempty* \sqcap *well-related-set)*–*complete* $A (\sqsubseteq)$ **and** *dir: di-*
rected-set $D (\sqsubseteq)$ **and** *DA: D* $\subseteq A$
shows $\exists s. \text{extreme-bound } A (\sqsubseteq) D\ s$
and *well-related-set*–*continuous* $A (\sqsubseteq) B (\leq) f \implies$
 $D \neq \{\} \implies \text{extreme-bound } A (\sqsubseteq) D\ t \implies \text{extreme-bound } B (\leq) (f\ 'D) (f$
 $t)$

```

proof (atomize(full), insert wf-ordLess dir DA, induct |D| arbitrary: D t rule:
wf-induct-rule)
  interpret less-eq-symmetrize.
  case less
  note this(1)
  note IH = this[THEN conjunct1]
    and IH2 = this[THEN conjunct2, rule-format]
  note DA = ⟨D ⊆ A⟩
  interpret D: quasi-ordered-set D (⊆) using quasi-ordered-subset[OF DA].
  note dir = ⟨directed-set D (⊆)⟩
  show ?case
  proof(cases finite D)
    case True
    from directed-set-iff-extremed[OF True] dir
    obtain d where dD: d ∈ D and exd: extreme D (⊆) d by (auto simp:
extremed-def)
    then have dd: d ⊆ d by (auto simp: extreme-def)
    show ?thesis
    proof(intro conjI allI impI exI[of - d])
      from extreme-imp-extreme-bound[OF exd DA]
      show exbd: extreme-bound A (⊆) D d by auto
      assume f: well-related-set-continuous A (⊆) B (⊆) f
        and Dt: extreme-bound A (⊆) D t and D0: D ≠ {}
      from f[THEN continuous-carrierD] have fA: f ' A ⊆ B by auto
      from Dt have tA: t ∈ A by auto
      show extreme-bound B (⊆) (f ' D) (f t)
      proof (safe intro!: extreme-boundI)
        from fA tA show f t ∈ B by auto
        fix x assume xD: x ∈ D
        from xD Dt have xt: x ⊆ t by auto
        have monotone-on A (⊆) (⊆) f
          by (auto intro!: continuous-imp-monotone-on[OF f] pair-well-related)
        from monotone-onD[OF this] xD DA tA xt
        show f x ⊆ f t by (auto simp: bound-empty extreme-def)
      next
        fix b assume bound (f ' D) (⊆) b and bB: b ∈ B
        with dD have fdb: f d ⊆ b by auto
        from Dt exbd have dt: d ∼ t by (auto simp: extreme-bound-iff)
        from dD DA have dA: d ∈ A by auto
        with extreme-bound-sym-trans[OF - extreme-bound-singleton[OF dA] dt tA]
        have extreme-bound A (⊆) {d} t by auto
        from dD DA f[THEN continuousD, OF well-related-singleton-refl - - this]
        have exfdt: extreme-bound B (⊆) {f d} (f t) by auto
        from fdb bB exfdt show f t ⊆ b by auto
      qed
    qed
  next
  case inf: False
  from D.Iwamura[OF dir inf]

```

```

obtain  $I$  where  $I\text{mono}$ : monotone-on  $D$  ( $\preceq_D$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $I$ 
and  $I\text{card}$ :  $\forall a \in D. |I\ a| < o\ |D|$ 
and  $I\text{dir}$ :  $\forall a \in D. \text{directed-set } (I\ a)$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ )
and  $I\text{range}$ :  $\bigcup (I\ 'D) = D$ 
by auto
have  $\forall d \in D. \exists s. \text{extreme-bound } A$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $I\ d$ )  $s$ 
proof safe
  fix  $d$  assume  $dD$ :  $d \in D$ 
  with  $I\text{range } DA$  have  $IdA$ :  $I\ d \subseteq A$  by auto
  with  $IH\ I\text{card } I\text{dir } dD\ \text{range } DA$ 
  show  $\exists s. \text{extreme-bound } A$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $I\ d$ )  $s$  by auto
qed
from bchoice[OF this]
obtain  $s$  where  $s$ :  $\bigwedge d. d \in D \implies \text{extreme-bound } A$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $I\ d$ ) ( $s\ d$ ) by auto
then have  $sDA$ :  $s\ 'D \subseteq A$  by auto
have  $s\text{mono}$ : monotone-on  $D$  ( $\preceq_D$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $s$ 
proof (intro monotone-onI)
  fix  $x\ y$  assume  $xD$ :  $x \in D$  and  $yD$ :  $y \in D$  and  $xy$ :  $x \preceq_D\ y$ 
  show  $s\ x \sqsubseteq s\ y$ 
  apply (rule extreme-bound-subset[OF monotone-onD][OF Imono xD yD xy],
of  $A$ ])
  using  $s\ xD\ yD$  by auto
qed
from well-order-of.monotone-image-well-related[OF this]
have  $wsD$ : well-related-set ( $s\ 'D$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ).
from inf have  $sD0$ : nonempty ( $s\ 'D$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) by auto
from completeD[OF comp sDA]  $wsD\ sD0$ 
obtain  $x$  where  $x$ : extreme-bound  $A$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $s\ 'D$ )  $x$  by auto
show ?thesis
proof (intro conjI allI impI exI[of - x])
  show  $Dx$ : extreme-bound  $A$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $D\ x$ 
  proof (intro smono exI[of - x] extreme-boundI)
    from  $x$  show  $xA$ :  $x \in A$  by auto
    fix  $d$  assume  $dD$ :  $d \in D$ 
    with  $I\text{range}$  obtain  $d'$  where  $d'D$ :  $d' \in D$  and  $d \in I\ d'$  by auto
    with  $s$  have  $1$ :  $d \sqsubseteq s\ d'$  by auto
    from  $x\ d'D$  have  $2$ :  $\dots \sqsubseteq x$  by auto
    from trans[OF 1 2] show  $d \sqsubseteq x$  using  $dD\ sDA\ d'D\ DA\ xA$  by auto
  next
  fix  $b$  assume  $bA$ :  $b \in A$  and  $Db$ : bound  $D$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $b$ 
  have bound ( $s\ 'D$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $b$ 
  proof safe
    fix  $d$  assume  $dD$ :  $d \in D$ 
    from  $dD\ Db\ I\text{range}$  have bound ( $I\ d$ ) ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $b$  by auto
    with  $s\ dD\ bA$  show  $s\ d \sqsubseteq b$  by auto
  qed
  with  $x\ bA$  show  $x \sqsubseteq b$  by auto
qed
assume  $f$ : well-related-set-continuous  $A$  ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $B$  ( $\preceq$ )  $f$ 

```



```

    and Dt: extreme-bound A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) D t and D0: D  $\neq$  {}
  from Dt have tA: t  $\in$  A by auto
  have fmono: monotone-on A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) ( $\leq$ ) f
    by (auto intro!: continuous-imp-monotone-on[OF f] pair-well-related)
  show extreme-bound B ( $\leq$ ) (f ' D) (f t)
  proof (safe intro!: extreme-boundI)
    from f tA show f t  $\in$  B by auto
    fix d assume dD: d  $\in$  D
    from dD Dt have dt: d  $\sqsubseteq$  t by auto
    from dD Dt DA show f d  $\leq$  f t by (auto intro!: monotone-onD[OF fmono])
  next
  fix b assume fDb: bound (f ' D) ( $\leq$ ) b and bB: b  $\in$  B
  from Dx Dt have x  $\sim$  t by (auto intro!: sympartI elim!: extreme-boundE)
  with extreme-bound-sym-trans[OF sDA x this tA]
  have extreme-bound A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) (s ' D) t by auto
  from f[THEN continuousD, OF wsD - sDA this] D0
  have ft: extreme-bound B ( $\leq$ ) (f ' s ' D) (f t) by auto
  have bound (f ' s ' D) ( $\leq$ ) b
  proof (safe)
    fix d assume dD: d  $\in$  D
    from Irange dD have IdD: I d  $\subseteq$  D by auto
    with DA have IdA: I d  $\subseteq$  A by auto
    from directed-setD[OF Idir[rule-format, OF dD], of {}]
    have Idne: I d  $\neq$  {} by auto
    have fsd: extreme-bound B ( $\leq$ ) (f ' I d) (f (s d))
      apply (rule IH2[OF - - IdA f Idne s[OF dD]])
      using Icard Idir dD by auto
    from IdD have f ' I d  $\subseteq$  f ' D by auto
    from bound-subset[OF this fDb] fsd bB
    show f (s d)  $\leq$  b by auto
  qed
  with ft bB show f t  $\leq$  b by auto
  qed
  qed
  qed
  qed

```

The next Theorem corresponds to Proposition 5.9 of [4], without anti-symmetry on A.

```

theorem (in quasi-ordered-set) well-complete-iff-directed-complete:
  (nonempty  $\sqcap$  well-related-set)–complete A ( $\sqsubseteq$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  directed-set–complete A ( $\sqsubseteq$ )
  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
proof (intro iffI)
  show ?l  $\implies$  ?r
    by (auto intro!: completeI dest!: directed-completeness-lemma(1))
  assume r: ?r
  show ?l
    apply (rule complete-subclass[OF r])
    using well-related-set.directed-set

```

by auto
qed

The next Theorem corresponds to Corollary 3 of [9] without any assumptions on the codomain B and without antisymmetry on the domain A .

```

theorem (in quasi-ordered-set)
  fixes leB (infix  $\leq$  50)
  assumes comp: (nonempty  $\sqcap$  well-related-set)–complete A ( $\sqsubseteq$ )
  shows well-related-set–continuous A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) B ( $\leq$ )  $f \longleftrightarrow$  directed-set–continuous
A ( $\sqsubseteq$ ) B ( $\leq$ )  $f$ 
  (is ?l  $\longleftrightarrow$  ?r)
proof (intro iffI)
  assume l: ?l
  show ?r
    using continuous-carrierD[OF l]
    using directed-completeness-lemma(2)[OF comp - - l]
    by (auto intro!: continuousI)
next
  assume r: ?r
  show ?l
    apply (rule continuous-subclass[OF - r])
    using well-related-set.directed-set by auto
qed

end

```

References

- [1] S. Abramsky and A. Jung. *Domain Theory*. Number III in Handbook of Logic in Computer Science. Oxford University Press, 1994.
- [2] G. Bancerek. The ordinal numbers. *Journal of Formalized Mathematics*, 1, 1989.
- [3] J. C. Blanchette, A. Popescu, and D. Traytel. Cardinals in Isabelle/HOL. In G. Klein and R. Gamboa, editors, *Interactive Theorem Proving - 5th International Conference, ITP 2014, Held as Part of the Vienna Summer of Logic, VSL 2014, Vienna, Austria, July 14-17, 2014. Proceedings*, volume 8558 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 111–127. Springer, 2014.
- [4] P. M. Cohn. *Universal Algebra*. Harper & Row, 1965.
- [5] J. Dubut and A. Yamada. Fixed point theorems for non-transitive relations. *Log. Methods Comput. Sci.*, 18(1), 2022.

- [6] A. Finkel and J. Goubault-Larrecq. Forward Analysis for WSTS, Part I: Completions. In S. Albers and J.-Y. Marion, editors, *26th International Symposium on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, volume 3 of *Leibniz International Proceedings in Informatics (LIPIcs)*, pages 433–444, Dagstuhl, Germany, 2009. Schloss Dagstuhl–Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik.
- [7] J. Goubault-Larrecq. *Non-Hausdorff Topology and Domain Theory: Selected Topics in Point-Set Topology*, volume 22 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, 2013.
- [8] T. Iwamura. A lemma on directed sets. In *Zenkoku Shijo Sugaku Danwakai*, number 262, pages 107–111, 1944. in Japanese.
- [9] G. Markowsky. Chain-complete posets and directed sets with applications. *Algebra Universalis*, 6:53–68, 1976.
- [10] L. C. Paulson and K. Grabczewski. Mechanizing set theory. *J. Autom. Reason.*, 17(3):291–323, 1996.
- [11] D. Scott. Outline of a Mathematical Theory of Computation. Technical Report PRG02, OUCL, 1970.
- [12] L. A. Skornjakov. *Complemented modular lattices and regular rings*. Oliver & Boyd, 1964.
- [13] G. Winskel. *The Formal Semantics of Programming Languages: An Introduction*. Foundations of Computing. The MIT Press, 1993.
- [14] A. Yamada and J. Dubut. Formalizing Results on Directed Sets in Isabelle/HOL. In *Proceedings of the fourteenth conference on Interactive Theorem Proving (ITP’23)*, 2023.