

Differential-Dynamic-Logic

Brandon Bohrer

May 21, 2019

Abstract

We formalize differential dynamic logic, a logic for proving properties of hybrid systems. The proof calculus in this formalization is based on the uniform substitution principle. We show it is sound with respect to our denotational semantics, which provides increased confidence in the correctness of the KeYmaera X theorem prover based on this calculus. As an application, we include a proof term checker embedded in Isabelle/HOL with several example proofs.

Published in [1]

We present a formalization of a uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic (dL). In this calculus, the soundness of dL proofs is reduced to the soundness of a finite number of axioms, standard propositional rules and a central *uniform substitution* rule for combining axioms. We present a formal definition for the denotational semantics of dL and prove the uniform substitution calculus sound by showing that all inference rules are sound with respect to the denotational semantics, and all axioms valid (true in every state and interpretation).

This work is published in [1] along with a Coq formalization. It is based on prior non-mechanized proofs [3, 2].

Contents

1	Identifier locale	3
2	Generic Mathematical Lemmas	4
3	Syntax	6
3.1	Well-Formedness predicates	10
3.2	Denotational Semantics	14
3.3	States	14
3.4	Interpretations	16
3.5	Trivial Simplification Lemmas	21

4	Axioms	24
4.1	Validity proofs for axioms	25
4.2	Soundness proofs for rules	27
5	Characterization of Term Derivatives	28
6	Static Semantics	31
6.1	Signature Definitions	31
6.2	Variable Binding Definitions	32
6.3	Lemmas for reasoning about static semantics	34
7	Coincidence Theorems and Corollaries	35
7.1	Term Coincidence Theorems	35
7.2	ODE Coincidence Theorems	36
7.3	Coincidence Theorems for Programs and Formulas	38
7.4	Corollaries: Alternate ODE semantics definition	38
8	Bound Effect Theorem	39
9	Differential Axioms	40
9.1	Derivative Axioms	40
9.2	ODE Axioms	40
9.3	Proofs for Derivative Axioms	42
9.4	Proofs for ODE Axioms	42
10	Uniform Substitution Definitions	45
11	Soundness proof for uniform substitution rule	56
11.1	Lemmas about well-formedness of (adjoint) interpretations.	57
11.2	Lemmas about adjoint interpretations	58
11.3	Substitution theorems for terms	64
11.4	Substitution theorems for ODEs	66
11.5	Substitution theorems for formulas and programs	67
11.6	Soundness of substitution rule	70
12	Uniform and Bound Renaming	70
12.1	Uniform Renaming Definitions	71
12.2	Uniform Renaming Admissibility	71
12.3	Uniform Renaming Soundness Proof and Lemmas	72
12.4	Uniform Renaming Rule Soundness	75
12.5	Bound Renaming Rule Soundness	75
13	Syntax Pretty-Printer	75
14	Proof Checker	78

15 Proof Checker Implementation	78
15.1 Soundness	84
16 Example 1: Differential Invariants	85
17 Example 2: Concrete Hybrid System	89
18 dL Formalization	98
theory <i>Ids</i>	
imports <i>Complex-Main</i>	
begin	

1 Identifier locale

The differential dynamic logic formalization is parameterized by the type of identifiers. The identifier type(s) must be finite and have at least 3-4 distinct elements. Distinctness is required for soundness of some axioms.

```

locale ids =
  fixes vid1 :: ('sz::{finite,linorder})
  fixes vid2 :: 'sz
  fixes vid3 :: 'sz
  fixes fid1 :: ('sf::{finite})
  fixes fid2 :: 'sf
  fixes fid3 :: 'sf
  fixes pid1 :: ('sc::{finite})
  fixes pid2 :: 'sc
  fixes pid3 :: 'sc
  fixes pid4 :: 'sc
  assumes vne12:vid1 ≠ vid2
  assumes vne23:vid2 ≠ vid3
  assumes vne13:vid1 ≠ vid3
  assumes fne12:fid1 ≠ fid2
  assumes fne23:fid2 ≠ fid3
  assumes fne13:fid1 ≠ fid3
  assumes pne12:pid1 ≠ pid2
  assumes pne23:pid2 ≠ pid3
  assumes pne13:pid1 ≠ pid3
  assumes pne14:pid1 ≠ pid4
  assumes pne24:pid2 ≠ pid4
  assumes pne34:pid3 ≠ pid4
context ids begin
lemma id-simps:
  (vid1 = vid2) = False (vid2 = vid3) = False (vid1 = vid3) = False
  (fid1 = fid2) = False (fid2 = fid3) = False (fid1 = fid3) = False
  (pid1 = pid2) = False (pid2 = pid3) = False (pid1 = pid3) = False
  (pid1 = pid4) = False (pid2 = pid4) = False (pid3 = pid4) = False
  (vid2 = vid1) = False (vid3 = vid2) = False (vid3 = vid1) = False

```

```

    (fid2 = fid1) = False (fid3 = fid2) = False (fid3 = fid1) = False
    (pid2 = pid1) = False (pid3 = pid2) = False (pid3 = pid1) = False
    (pid4 = pid1) = False (pid4 = pid2) = False (pid4 = pid3) = False
    ⟨proof⟩
end
end
theory Lib
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
begin

```

2 Generic Mathematical Lemmas

General lemmas that don't have anything to do with dL specifically and could be fit for general-purpose libraries, mostly dealing with derivatives, ODEs and vectors.

lemma *vec-extensionality*: $(\bigwedge i. v\$i = w\$i) \implies (v = w)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *norm-axis*: $\text{norm } (\text{axis } i \ x) = \text{norm } x$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bounded-linear-axis*: $\text{bounded-linear } (\text{axis } i)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *bounded-linear-vec*:
fixes $f::('a::\text{finite}) \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector} \Rightarrow 'c::\text{real-normed-vector}$
assumes $\text{bounds}:\bigwedge i. \text{bounded-linear } (f \ i)$
shows $\text{bounded-linear } (\lambda x. \chi \ i. f \ i \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lift-definition *blinfun-vec*: $('a::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{real-normed-vector} \Rightarrow_L \text{real}) \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow_L$
 $(\text{real} \wedge 'a) \text{ is } (\lambda(f::('a \Rightarrow 'b \Rightarrow \text{real})) (x::'b). \chi (i::'a). f \ i \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *blinfun-vec-simps*[*simp*] = *blinfun-vec.rep-eq*

lemma *continuous-blinfun-vec*: $(\bigwedge i. \text{continuous-on } UNIV \ (\text{blinfun-apply } (g \ i)))$
 $\implies \text{continuous-on } UNIV \ (\text{blinfun-vec } g)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *blinfun-elim*: $\bigwedge g. (\text{blinfun-apply } (\text{blinfun-vec } g)) = (\lambda x. \chi \ i. g \ i \ x)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sup-plus*:
fixes $f \ g::('b::\text{metric-space}) \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $\text{nonempty}:R \neq \{\}$
assumes $\text{bddf}:\text{bdd-above } (f \ 'R)$

assumes *bddg*:*bdd-above* ($g \text{ ' } R$)
shows $(\text{SUP } x \in R. f x + g x) \leq (\text{SUP } x \in R. f x) + (\text{SUP } x \in R. g x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *continuous-blinfun-vec'*:
fixes $f :: 'a :: \{\text{finite}, \text{linorder}\} \Rightarrow 'b :: \{\text{metric-space}, \text{real-normed-vector}, \text{abs}\} \Rightarrow 'b$
 $\Rightarrow_L \text{real}$
fixes $S :: 'b \text{ set}$
assumes $\text{conts} : \bigwedge i. \text{continuous-on UNIV } (f i)$
shows $\text{continuous-on UNIV } (\lambda x. \text{blinfun-vec } (\lambda i. f i x))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-derivative-vec*[*derivative-intros*]:
assumes $\bigwedge i. ((\lambda x. f i x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. f' i h)) F$
shows $((\lambda x. \chi i. f i x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. \chi i. f' i h)) F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-derivative-proj*:
fixes $j :: ('a :: \text{finite})$
fixes $f :: 'a \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$
assumes $\text{asm} : ((\lambda x. \chi i. f i x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. \chi i. f' i h)) F$
shows $((\lambda x. f j x) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda h. f' j h)) F$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *has-derivative-proj'*:
fixes $i :: 'a :: \text{finite}$
shows $\forall x. ((\lambda x. x \$ i) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda x :: (\text{real}^{\wedge} a). x \$ i)) (\text{at } x)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *constant-when-zero*:
fixes $v :: \text{real} \Rightarrow (\text{real}, 'i :: \text{finite}) \text{ vec}$
assumes $x0 : (v t0) \$ i = x0$
assumes $\text{sol} : (v \text{ solves-ode } f) T S$
assumes $f0 : \bigwedge s x. s \in T \Longrightarrow f s x \$ i = 0$
assumes $t0 : t0 \in T$
assumes $t : t \in T$
assumes $\text{convex} : \text{convex } T$
shows $v t \$ i = x0$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
solves-ode-subset:
assumes $x : (x \text{ solves-ode } f) T X$
assumes $s : S \subseteq T$
shows $(x \text{ solves-ode } f) S X$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma
solves-ode-supset-range:

```

assumes  $x: (x \text{ solves-ode } f) T X$ 
assumes  $y: X \subseteq Y$ 
shows  $(x \text{ solves-ode } f) T Y$ 
<proof>

```

lemma

```

usolves-ode-subset:
assumes  $x: (x \text{ usolves-ode } f \text{ from } t0) T X$ 
assumes  $s: S \subseteq T$ 
assumes  $t0: t0 \in S$ 
assumes  $S: \text{is-interval } S$ 
shows  $(x \text{ usolves-ode } f \text{ from } t0) S X$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *example:*

```

fixes  $x t::\text{real}$  and  $i::('sz::\text{finite})$ 
assumes  $t > 0$ 
shows  $x = (\text{ll-on-open.flow UNIV } (\lambda t. \lambda x. \chi (i::('sz::\text{finite})). 0) \text{ UNIV } 0 (\chi i. x) t) \$ i$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *MVT-ivl:*

```

fixes  $f::'a::\text{ordered-euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ordered-euclidean-space}$ 
assumes  $fderiv: \bigwedge x. x \in D \Longrightarrow (f \text{ has-derivative } J x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } D)$ 
assumes  $J\text{-ivl}: \bigwedge x. x \in D \Longrightarrow J x u \geq J0$ 
assumes  $\text{line-in}: \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \Longrightarrow a + x *_R u \in D$ 
shows  $f (a + u) - f a \geq J0$ 
<proof>

```

lemma *MVT-ivl':*

```

fixes  $f::'a::\text{ordered-euclidean-space} \Rightarrow 'b::\text{ordered-euclidean-space}$ 
assumes  $fderiv: (\bigwedge x. x \in D \Longrightarrow (f \text{ has-derivative } J x) \text{ (at } x \text{ within } D))$ 
assumes  $J\text{-ivl}: \bigwedge x. x \in D \Longrightarrow J x (a - b) \geq J0$ 
assumes  $\text{line-in}: \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..1\} \Longrightarrow b + x *_R (a - b) \in D$ 
shows  $f a \geq f b + J0$ 
<proof>

```

end

theory *Syntax*

imports

Complex-Main

Ids

begin

3 Syntax

We define the syntax of dL terms, formulas and hybrid programs. As in CADE'15, the syntax allows arbitrarily nested differentials. However, the semantics of such terms is very surprising (e.g. $(x)'$ is zero in every state), so we define predicates *dfree* and *dsafe* to describe terms with no differentials

and no nested differentials, respectively.

In keeping with the CADE'15 presentation we currently make the simplifying assumption that all terms are smooth, and thus division and arbitrary exponentiation are absent from the syntax. Several other standard logical constructs are implemented as derived forms to reduce the soundness burden.

The types of formulas and programs are parameterized by three finite types ('a, 'b, 'c) used as identifiers for function constants, context constants, and everything else, respectively. These type variables are distinct because some substitution operations affect one type variable while leaving the others unchanged. Because these types will be finite in practice, it is more useful to think of them as natural numbers that happen to be represented as types (due to HOL's lack of dependent types). The types of terms and ODE systems follow the same approach, but have only two type variables because they cannot contain contexts.

datatype ('a, 'c) *trm* =

— Real-valued variables given meaning by the state and modified by programs.

Var 'c

— N.B. This is technically more expressive than true dL since most reals

— can't be written down.

| *Const real*

— A function (applied to its arguments) consists of an identifier for the function

— and a function $'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) \textit{trm}$ (where $'c$ is a finite type) which specifies one

— argument of the function for each element of type $'c$. To simulate a function with

— less than $'c$ arguments, set the remaining arguments to a constant, such as *Const 0*

| *Function 'a 'c \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm (\$f)*

| *Plus ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'c) trm*

| *Times ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'c) trm*

— A (real-valued) variable standing for a differential, such as x' , given meaning by the state

— and modified by programs.

| *DiffVar 'c (\$')*

— The differential of an arbitrary term $(\vartheta)'$

| *Differential ('a, 'c) trm*

datatype('a, 'c) *ODE* =

— Variable standing for an ODE system, given meaning by the interpretation

OVar 'c

— Singleton ODE defining $x' = \vartheta$, where ϑ may or may not contain x

— (but must not contain differentials)

| *OSing 'c ('a, 'c) trm*

— The product *OProd ODE1 ODE2* composes two ODE systems in parallel, e.g.

— *OProd (x' = y) (y' = -x)* is the system $\{x' = y, y' = -x\}$

| *OProd ('a, 'c) ODE ('a, 'c) ODE*

datatype ('a, 'b, 'c) hp =

— Variables standing for programs, given meaning by the interpretation.

| Pvar 'c (\$α)

— Assignment to a real-valued variable $x := v$

| Assign 'c ('a, 'c) trm (infixr := 10)

— Assignment to a differential variable

| DiffAssign 'c ('a, 'c) trm

— Program $?φ$ succeeds iff $φ$ holds in current state.

| Test ('a, 'b, 'c) formula (?)

— An ODE program is an ODE system with some evolution domain.

| EvolveODE ('a, 'c) ODE ('a, 'b, 'c) formula

— Non-deterministic choice between two programs a and b

| Choice ('a, 'b, 'c) hp ('a, 'b, 'c) hp (infixl ∪∪ 10)

— Sequential composition of two programs a and b

| Sequence ('a, 'b, 'c) hp ('a, 'b, 'c) hp (infixr ;; 8)

— Nondeterministic repetition of a program a , zero or more times.

| Loop ('a, 'b, 'c) hp (-**)

and ('a, 'b, 'c) formula =

| Geq ('a, 'c) trm ('a, 'c) trm

| Prop 'c 'c ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm (\$φ)

| Not ('a, 'b, 'c) formula (!)

| And ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ('a, 'b, 'c) formula (infixl && 8)

| Exists 'c ('a, 'b, 'c) formula

— $\langle \alpha \rangle \varphi$ iff exists run of α where φ is true in end state

| Diamond ('a, 'b, 'c) hp ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ((⟨ - ⟩ -) 10)

— Contexts C are symbols standing for functions from (the semantics of) formulas to

(the semantics of) formulas, thus $C(\varphi)$ is another formula. While not necessary

— in terms of expressiveness, contexts allow for more efficient reasoning principles.

| InContext 'b ('a, 'b, 'c) formula

— Derived forms

definition Or :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
(infixl || 7)

where Or P Q = Not (And (Not P) (Not Q))

definition Implies :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
(infixr → 10)

where Implies P Q = Or Q (Not P)

definition Equiv :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula
(infixl ↔ 10)

where Equiv P Q = Or (And P Q) (And (Not P) (Not Q))

definition Forall :: 'c ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula

where Forall x P = Not (Exists x (Not P))

definition Equals :: ('a, 'c) trm ⇒ ('a, 'c) trm ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula

where $Equals \vartheta \vartheta' = ((Geq \vartheta \vartheta') \&\& (Geq \vartheta' \vartheta))$

definition $Greater :: ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$
where $Greater \vartheta \vartheta' = ((Geq \vartheta \vartheta') \&\& (Not (Geq \vartheta' \vartheta)))$

definition $Box :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$
 $(([[[-]]-] 10)$
where $Box \alpha P = Not (Diamond \alpha (Not P))$

definition $TT :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$
where $TT = Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)$

definition $FF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$
where $FF = Geq (Const 0) (Const 1)$

type-synonym $('a, 'b, 'c) sequent = ('a, 'b, 'c) formula list * ('a, 'b, 'c) formula list$
— Rule: assumptions, then conclusion

type-synonym $('a, 'b, 'c) rule = ('a, 'b, 'c) sequent list * ('a, 'b, 'c) sequent$

— silliness to enable proving disequality lemmas

primrec $sizeF :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow nat$

and $sizeP :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp \Rightarrow nat$

where

$sizeP (Pvar a) = 1$
 $| sizeP (Assign x \vartheta) = 1$
 $| sizeP (DiffAssign x \vartheta) = 1$
 $| sizeP (Test \varphi) = Suc (sizeF \varphi)$
 $| sizeP (EvolveODE ODE \varphi) = Suc (sizeF \varphi)$
 $| sizeP (Choice \alpha \beta) = Suc (sizeP \alpha + sizeP \beta)$
 $| sizeP (Sequence \alpha \beta) = Suc (sizeP \alpha + sizeP \beta)$
 $| sizeP (Loop \alpha) = Suc (sizeP \alpha)$
 $| sizeF (Geq p q) = 1$
 $| sizeF (Prop p args) = 1$
 $| sizeF (Not p) = Suc (sizeF p)$
 $| sizeF (And p q) = sizeF p + sizeF q$
 $| sizeF (Exists x p) = Suc (sizeF p)$
 $| sizeF (Diamond p q) = Suc (sizeP p + sizeF q)$
 $| sizeF (InContext C \varphi) = Suc (sizeF \varphi)$

lemma $sizeF\text{-diseq}: sizeF p \neq sizeF q \Longrightarrow p \neq q \langle proof \rangle$

named-theorems $expr\text{-diseq}$ Structural disequality rules for expressions

lemma $[expr\text{-diseq}]: p \neq And p q \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[expr\text{-diseq}]: q \neq And p q \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[expr\text{-diseq}]: p \neq Not p \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[expr\text{-diseq}]: p \neq Or p q \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[expr\text{-diseq}]: q \neq Or p q \langle proof \rangle$

lemma $[expr\text{-diseq}]: p \neq Implies p q \langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma [expr-diseq]:q ≠ Implies p q ⟨proof⟩
lemma [expr-diseq]:p ≠ Equiv p q ⟨proof⟩
lemma [expr-diseq]:q ≠ Equiv p q ⟨proof⟩
lemma [expr-diseq]:p ≠ Exists x p ⟨proof⟩
lemma [expr-diseq]:p ≠ Diamond a p ⟨proof⟩
lemma [expr-diseq]:p ≠ InContext C p ⟨proof⟩
fun Predicational :: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b, 'c) formula (Pc)
where Predicational P = InContext P (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0))

```

— Abbreviations for common syntactic constructs in order to make axiom definitions, etc. more readable.

context *ids* **begin**

— "Empty" function argument tuple, encoded as tuple where all arguments assume a constant value.

```

definition empty:: 'b ⇒ ('a, 'b) trm
where empty ≡ λi.(Const 0)

```

— Function argument tuple with (effectively) one argument, where all others have a constant value.

```

fun singleton :: ('a, 'sz) trm ⇒ ('sz ⇒ ('a, 'sz) trm)
where singleton t i = (if i = vid1 then t else (Const 0))

```

```

lemma expand-singleton:singleton t = (λi. (if i = vid1 then t else (Const 0)))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

definition f1::'sf ⇒ 'sz ⇒ ('sf,'sz) trm
where f1 f x = Function f (singleton (Var x))

```

— Function applied to zero arguments (simulates a constant symbol given meaning by the interpretation)

```

definition f0::'sf ⇒ ('sf,'sz) trm
where f0 f = Function f empty

```

— Predicate applied to one argument

```

definition p1::'sz ⇒ 'sz ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where p1 p x = Prop p (singleton (Var x))

```

— Predicational

```

definition P::'sc ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where P p = Predicational p
end

```

3.1 Well-Formedness predicates

```

inductive dfree :: ('a, 'c) trm ⇒ bool

```

where

```

  dfree-Var: dfree (Var i)
| dfree-Const: dfree (Const r)
| dfree-Fun: (∧i. dfree (args i)) ⇒ dfree (Function i args)

```

| *dfree-Plus*: $dfree\ \vartheta_1 \implies dfree\ \vartheta_2 \implies dfree\ (Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)$
| *dfree-Times*: $dfree\ \vartheta_1 \implies dfree\ \vartheta_2 \implies dfree\ (Times\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)$

inductive *dsafe* :: ('a, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

dsafe-Var: $dsafe\ (Var\ i)$
| *dsafe-Const*: $dsafe\ (Const\ r)$
| *dsafe-Fun*: $(\bigwedge i. dsafe\ (args\ i)) \implies dsafe\ (Function\ i\ args)$
| *dsafe-Plus*: $dsafe\ \vartheta_1 \implies dsafe\ \vartheta_2 \implies dsafe\ (Plus\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)$
| *dsafe-Times*: $dsafe\ \vartheta_1 \implies dsafe\ \vartheta_2 \implies dsafe\ (Times\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2)$
| *dsafe-Diff*: $dfree\ \vartheta \implies dsafe\ (Differential\ \vartheta)$
| *dsafe-DiffVar*: $dsafe\ (\$'\ i)$

— Explicitly-written variables that are bound by the ODE. Needed to compute whether

— ODE's are valid (e.g. whether they bind the same variable twice)

fun *ODE-dom*::('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow 'c *set*

where

ODE-dom (*OVar* *c*) = {}
| *ODE-dom* (*OSing* *x* ϑ) = {*x*}
| *ODE-dom* (*OProd* *ODE1* *ODE2*) = *ODE-dom* *ODE1* \cup *ODE-dom* *ODE2*

inductive *osafe*:: ('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

osafe-Var: $osafe\ (OVar\ c)$
| *osafe-Sing*: $dfree\ \vartheta \implies osafe\ (OSing\ x\ \vartheta)$
| *osafe-Prod*: $osafe\ ODE1 \implies osafe\ ODE2 \implies ODE-dom\ ODE1 \cap ODE-dom\ ODE2 = \{\} \implies osafe\ (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2)$

— Programs/formulas without any differential terms. This definition not currently used but may

— be useful in the future.

inductive *hpfree*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp* \Rightarrow *bool*

and *ffree*:: ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

hpfree (*Pvar* *x*)
| $dfree\ e \implies hpfree\ (Assign\ x\ e)$
— Differential programs allowed but not differential terms
| $dfree\ e \implies hpfree\ (DiffAssign\ x\ e)$
| $ffree\ P \implies hpfree\ (Test\ P)$
— Differential programs allowed but not differential terms
| $osafe\ ODE \implies ffree\ P \implies hpfree\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ P)$
| $hpfree\ a \implies hpfree\ b \implies hpfree\ (Choice\ a\ b)$
| $hpfree\ a \implies hpfree\ b \implies hpfree\ (Sequence\ a\ b)$
| $hpfree\ a \implies hpfree\ (Loop\ a)$
| $ffree\ f \implies ffree\ (InContext\ C\ f)$
| $(\bigwedge arg. arg \in range\ args \implies dfree\ arg) \implies ffree\ (Prop\ p\ args)$
| $ffree\ p \implies ffree\ (Not\ p)$
| $ffree\ p \implies ffree\ q \implies ffree\ (And\ p\ q)$

| $\text{ffree } p \implies \text{ffree } (\text{Exists } x \ p)$
| $\text{hpfree } a \implies \text{ffree } p \implies \text{ffree } (\text{Diamond } a \ p)$
| $\text{ffree } (\text{Predicational } P)$
| $\text{dfree } t1 \implies \text{dfree } t2 \implies \text{ffree } (\text{Geq } t1 \ t2)$

inductive $\text{hpsafe}:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ hp} \implies \text{bool}$
and $\text{fsafe}:: ('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ formula} \implies \text{bool}$
where

$\text{hpsafe-Pvar}:\text{hpsafe } (\text{Pvar } x)$
| $\text{hpsafe-Assign}:\text{dsafe } e \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{Assign } x \ e)$
| $\text{hpsafe-DiffAssign}:\text{dsafe } e \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{DiffAssign } x \ e)$
| $\text{hpsafe-Test}:\text{fsafe } P \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{Test } P)$
| $\text{hpsafe-Evolve}:\text{osafe } \text{ODE} \implies \text{fsafe } P \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{EvolveODE } \text{ODE } P)$
| $\text{hpsafe-Choice}:\text{hpsafe } a \implies \text{hpsafe } b \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{Choice } a \ b)$
| $\text{hpsafe-Sequence}:\text{hpsafe } a \implies \text{hpsafe } b \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{Sequence } a \ b)$
| $\text{hpsafe-Loop}:\text{hpsafe } a \implies \text{hpsafe } (\text{Loop } a)$

| $\text{fsafe-Geq}:\text{dsafe } t1 \implies \text{dsafe } t2 \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{Geq } t1 \ t2)$
| $\text{fsafe-Prop}:(\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\text{args } i)) \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{Prop } p \ \text{args})$
| $\text{fsafe-Not}:\text{fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{Not } p)$
| $\text{fsafe-And}:\text{fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe } q \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{And } p \ q)$
| $\text{fsafe-Exists}:\text{fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{Exists } x \ p)$
| $\text{fsafe-Diamond}:\text{hpsafe } a \implies \text{fsafe } p \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{Diamond } a \ p)$
| $\text{fsafe-InContext}:\text{fsafe } f \implies \text{fsafe } (\text{InContext } C \ f)$

— Auto-generated simplifier rules for safety predicates

inductive-simps

$\text{dfree-Plus-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{Plus } a \ b)$
and $\text{dfree-Times-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{Times } a \ b)$
and $\text{dfree-Var-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{Var } x)$
and $\text{dfree-DiffVar-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{DiffVar } x)$
and $\text{dfree-Differential-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{Differential } x)$
and $\text{dfree-Fun-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{Function } i \ \text{args})$
and $\text{dfree-Const-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dfree } (\text{Const } r)$

inductive-simps

$\text{dsafe-Plus-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{Plus } a \ b)$
and $\text{dsafe-Times-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{Times } a \ b)$
and $\text{dsafe-Var-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{Var } x)$
and $\text{dsafe-DiffVar-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{DiffVar } x)$
and $\text{dsafe-Fun-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{Function } i \ \text{args})$
and $\text{dsafe-Diff-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{Differential } a)$
and $\text{dsafe-Const-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{dsafe } (\text{Const } r)$

inductive-simps

$\text{osafe-OVar-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{osafe } (\text{OVar } c)$
and $\text{osafe-OSing-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{osafe } (\text{OSing } x \ \vartheta)$
and $\text{osafe-OProd-simps}[\text{simp}]: \text{osafe } (\text{OProd } \text{ODE1 } \text{ODE2})$

inductive-simps

$hpsafe\text{-}Pvar\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (Pvar a)$
and $hpsafe\text{-}Sequence\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (a ;; b)$
and $hpsafe\text{-}Loop\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (a^{**})$
and $hpsafe\text{-}ODE\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (EvolveODE ODE p)$
and $hpsafe\text{-}Choice\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (a \cup\cup b)$
and $hpsafe\text{-}Assign\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (Assign x e)$
and $hpsafe\text{-}DiffAssign\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (DiffAssign x e)$
and $hpsafe\text{-}Test\text{-}simps[simp]: hpsafe (? p)$

and $fsafe\text{-}Geq\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (Geq t1 t2)$
and $fsafe\text{-}Prop\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (Prop p args)$
and $fsafe\text{-}Not\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (Not p)$
and $fsafe\text{-}And\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (And p q)$
and $fsafe\text{-}Exists\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (Exists x p)$
and $fsafe\text{-}Diamond\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (Diamond a p)$
and $fsafe\text{-}Context\text{-}simps[simp]: fsafe (InContext C p)$

definition $Ssafe::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent \Rightarrow bool$

where $Ssafe S \longleftrightarrow ((\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (fst S) \longrightarrow fsafe (nth (fst S) i)) \wedge (\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (snd S) \longrightarrow fsafe (nth (snd S) i)))$

definition $Rsafe::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow bool$

where $Rsafe R \longleftrightarrow ((\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (fst R) \longrightarrow Ssafe (nth (fst R) i)) \wedge Ssafe (snd R))$

— Basic reasoning principles about syntactic constructs, including inductive principles

lemma $dfree\text{-}is\text{-}dsafe: dfree \vartheta \Longrightarrow dsafe \vartheta$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $hp\text{-}induct [case\text{-}names Var Assign DiffAssign Test Evolve Choice Compose Star]:$

$(\bigwedge x. P (\$ \alpha x)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x1 x2. P (x1 := x2)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x1 x2. P (DiffAssign x1 x2)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x. P (? x)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x1 x2. P (EvolveODE x1 x2)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x1 x2. P x1 \Longrightarrow P x2 \Longrightarrow P (x1 \cup\cup x2)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x1 x2. P x1 \Longrightarrow P x2 \Longrightarrow P (x1 ;; x2)) \Longrightarrow$
 $(\bigwedge x. P x \Longrightarrow P x^{**}) \Longrightarrow$
 $P hp$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $fml\text{-}induct:$

$(\bigwedge t1 t2. P (Geq t1 t2))$
 $\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge p args. P (Prop p args))$
 $\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge p. P p \Longrightarrow P (Not p))$
 $\Longrightarrow (\bigwedge p q. P p \Longrightarrow P q \Longrightarrow P (And p q))$

```

 $\implies (\bigwedge x p. P p \implies P (\text{Exists } x p))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge a p. P p \implies P (\text{Diamond } a p))$ 
 $\implies (\bigwedge C p. P p \implies P (\text{InContext } C p))$ 
 $\implies P \varphi$ 
<proof>

```

context *ids* **begin**

```

lemma proj-sing1:(singleton  $\vartheta$  vid1) =  $\vartheta$ 
  <proof>

```

```

lemma proj-sing2:vid1  $\neq$  y  $\implies$  (singleton  $\vartheta$  y) = (Const 0)
  <proof>

```

end

end

theory *Denotational-Semantics*

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Lib

Ids

Syntax

begin

3.2 Denotational Semantics

The canonical dynamic semantics of dL are given as a denotational semantics. The important definitions for the denotational semantics are states ν , interpretations I and the semantic functions $[[\psi]]I$, $[[\theta]]I\nu$, $[[\alpha]]I$, which are represented by the Isabelle functions `fml_sem`, `dterm_sem` and `prog_sem`, respectively.

3.3 States

We formalize a state S as a pair $(S_V, S'_V) : R^n \times R^n$, where S_V assigns values to the program variables and S'_V assigns values to their differentials. Function constants are also formalized as having a fixed arity `m` (`Rvec_dim`) which may differ from `n`. If a function does not need to have `m` arguments, any remaining arguments can be uniformly set to 0, which simulates the affect of having functions of less arguments.

Most semantic proofs need to reason about states agreeing on variables. We say `Vagree A B V` if states A and B have the same values on all variables in V , similarly with `VSagree A B V` for simple states A and B and `Iagree I J V` for interpretations I and J .

— Vector of reals of length `'a`

type-synonym `'a Rvec = real'a::finite`

— A state specifies one vector of values for unprimed variables x and a second vector for x'

type-synonym $'a \text{ state} = 'a \text{ Rvec} \times 'a \text{ Rvec}$

— $'a \text{ simple-state}$ is half a state - either the x s or the x' s

type-synonym $'a \text{ simple-state} = 'a \text{ Rvec}$

definition $Vagree :: 'c::\text{finite state} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ state} \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $Vagree \nu \nu' V \equiv$

$(\forall i. \text{Inl } i \in V \longrightarrow \text{fst } \nu \$ i = \text{fst } \nu' \$ i)$

$\wedge (\forall i. \text{Inr } i \in V \longrightarrow \text{snd } \nu \$ i = \text{snd } \nu' \$ i)$

definition $VSagree :: 'c::\text{finite simple-state} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ simple-state} \Rightarrow 'c \text{ set} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where $VSagree \nu \nu' V \longleftrightarrow (\forall i \in V. (\nu \$ i) = (\nu' \$ i))$

— Agreement lemmas

lemma $agree\text{-nil}: Vagree \nu \omega \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-supset}: A \supseteq B \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' A \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $VSagree\text{-nil}: VSagree \nu \omega \{\}$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $VSagree\text{-supset}: A \supseteq B \Longrightarrow VSagree \nu \nu' A \Longrightarrow VSagree \nu \nu' B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $VSagree\text{-UNIV-eq}: VSagree A B \text{ UNIV} \Longrightarrow A = B$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-comm}: \bigwedge A B V. Vagree A B V \Longrightarrow Vagree B A V \langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-sub}: \bigwedge \nu \omega A B. A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \omega B \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \omega A$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-UNIV-eq}: \bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega \text{ UNIV} \Longrightarrow \nu = \omega$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-UNIV-fst}: \bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (\text{Inl } ' \text{ UNIV}) \Longrightarrow (\text{fst } \nu) = (\text{fst } \omega)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-UNIV-snd}: \bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (\text{Inr } ' \text{ UNIV}) \Longrightarrow (\text{snd } \nu) = (\text{snd } \omega)$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $Vagree\text{-univ}: \bigwedge a b c d. Vagree (a,b) (c,d) \text{ UNIV} \Longrightarrow a = c \wedge b = d$

$\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma $agree\text{-union}: \bigwedge \nu \omega A B. Vagree \nu \omega A \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \omega B \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \omega (A \cup B)$

<proof>

lemma *agree-trans*: $Vagree\ \nu\ \mu\ A \implies Vagree\ \mu\ \omega\ B \implies Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (A \cap B)$
<proof>

lemma *agree-refl*: $Vagree\ \nu\ \nu\ A$
<proof>

lemma *VSagree-sub*: $\bigwedge \nu\ \omega\ A\ B. A \subseteq B \implies VSagree\ \nu\ \omega\ B \implies VSagree\ \nu\ \omega\ A$
<proof>

lemma *VSagree-refl*: $VSagree\ \nu\ \nu\ A$
<proof>

3.4 Interpretations

For convenience we pretend interpretations contain an extra field called `FunctionFrechet` specifying the Frechet derivative (`FunctionFrechet f \<nu>`) : $R^m \rightarrow R$ for every function in every state. The proposition (`is_interp I`) says that such a derivative actually exists and is continuous (i.e. all functions are C1-continuous) without saying what the exact derivative is.

The type parameters 'a, 'b, 'c are finite types whose cardinalities indicate the maximum number of functions, contexts, and jeverything else defined by the interpretation_i, respectively.

record ('a, 'b, 'c) *interp* =
 Functions :: 'a \Rightarrow 'c *Rvec* \Rightarrow *real*
 Predicates :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c *Rvec* \Rightarrow *bool*
 Contexts :: 'b \Rightarrow 'c *state set* \Rightarrow 'c *state set*
 Programs :: 'c \Rightarrow ('c *state* * 'c *state*) *set*
 ODEs :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c *simple-state* \Rightarrow 'c *simple-state*
 ODEBV :: 'c \Rightarrow 'c *set*

fun *FunctionFrechet* :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'c *Rvec* \Rightarrow 'c *Rvec* \Rightarrow *real*
 where *FunctionFrechet I i* = (*THE* $f'. \forall x. (Functions\ I\ i\ has-derivative\ f'\ x)$
 (*at x*))

— For an interpretation to be valid, all functions must be differentiable everywhere.

definition *is_interp* :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow *bool*
 where *is_interp I* \equiv
 $\forall x. \forall i. ((FDERIV (Functions\ I\ i)\ x\ > (FunctionFrechet\ I\ i\ x)) \wedge continuous-on\ UNIV\ (\lambda x. Blinfun\ (FunctionFrechet\ I\ i\ x)))$

lemma *is_interpD*: $is_interp\ I \implies \forall x. \forall i. (FDERIV (Functions\ I\ i)\ x\ > (FunctionFrechet\ I\ i\ x))$
<proof>

definition *Iagree* :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) *set* \Rightarrow *bool*

where $Iagree\ I\ J\ V \equiv$

$$\begin{aligned}
& (\forall i \in V. \\
& \quad (\forall x. i = Inl\ x \longrightarrow Functions\ I\ x = Functions\ J\ x) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall x. i = Inr\ (Inl\ x) \longrightarrow Contexts\ I\ x = Contexts\ J\ x) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall x. i = Inr\ (Inr\ x) \longrightarrow Predicates\ I\ x = Predicates\ J\ x) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall x. i = Inr\ (Inr\ x) \longrightarrow Programs\ I\ x = Programs\ J\ x) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall x. i = Inr\ (Inr\ x) \longrightarrow ODEs\ I\ x = ODEs\ J\ x) \wedge \\
& \quad (\forall x. i = Inr\ (Inr\ x) \longrightarrow ODEBV\ I\ x = ODEBV\ J\ x))
\end{aligned}$$

lemma $Iagree\text{-Func}: Iagree\ I\ J\ V \implies Inl\ f \in V \implies Functions\ I\ f = Functions\ J\ f$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-Contexts}: Iagree\ I\ J\ V \implies Inr\ (Inl\ C) \in V \implies Contexts\ I\ C = Contexts\ J\ C$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-Pred}: Iagree\ I\ J\ V \implies Inr\ (Inr\ p) \in V \implies Predicates\ I\ p = Predicates\ J\ p$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-Prog}: Iagree\ I\ J\ V \implies Inr\ (Inr\ a) \in V \implies Programs\ I\ a = Programs\ J\ a$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-ODE}: Iagree\ I\ J\ V \implies Inr\ (Inr\ a) \in V \implies ODEs\ I\ a = ODEs\ J\ a$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-comm}: \bigwedge A\ B\ V. Iagree\ A\ B\ V \implies Iagree\ B\ A\ V$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-sub}: \bigwedge I\ J\ A\ B. A \subseteq B \implies Iagree\ I\ J\ B \implies Iagree\ I\ J\ A$
<proof>

lemma $Iagree\text{-refl}: Iagree\ I\ I\ A$
<proof>

primrec $stern\text{-sem} :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)\ interm \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)\ trm \Rightarrow 'c$
 $simple\text{-state} \Rightarrow real$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
& stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (Var\ x)\ v = v\ \$\ x \\
| & stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (Function\ f\ args)\ v = Functions\ I\ f\ (\chi\ i. stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (args\ i)\ v) \\
| & stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (Plus\ t1\ t2)\ v = stern\text{-sem}\ I\ t1\ v + stern\text{-sem}\ I\ t2\ v \\
| & stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (Times\ t1\ t2)\ v = stern\text{-sem}\ I\ t1\ v * stern\text{-sem}\ I\ t2\ v \\
| & stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (Const\ r)\ v = r \\
| & stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (\$\ 'c)\ v = undefined \\
| & stern\text{-sem}\ I\ (Differential\ d)\ v = undefined
\end{aligned}$$

— $frechet\ I\ \vartheta\ \nu$ syntactically computes the frechet derivative of the term ϑ in the

interpretation

— I at state ν (containing only the unprimed variables). The frechet derivative is a

— linear map from the differential state ν to reals.

primrec $frechet :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow 'c$
 $simple\text{-}state \Rightarrow 'c simple\text{-}state \Rightarrow real$

where

$frechet\ I\ (Var\ x)\ v = (\lambda v'. v' \cdot axis\ x\ 1)$
 $| frechet\ I\ (Function\ f\ args)\ v =$
 $(\lambda v'. FunctionFrechet\ I\ f\ (\chi\ i.\ sterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (args\ i)\ v)\ (\chi\ i.\ frechet\ I\ (args\ i)\ v\ v'))$
 $| frechet\ I\ (Plus\ t1\ t2)\ v = (\lambda v'. frechet\ I\ t1\ v\ v' + frechet\ I\ t2\ v\ v')$
 $| frechet\ I\ (Times\ t1\ t2)\ v =$
 $(\lambda v'. sterm\text{-}sem\ I\ t1\ v * frechet\ I\ t2\ v\ v' + frechet\ I\ t1\ v\ v' * sterm\text{-}sem\ I\ t2\ v)$
 $| frechet\ I\ (Const\ r)\ v = (\lambda v'. 0)$
 $| frechet\ I\ (\$'\ c)\ v = undefined$
 $| frechet\ I\ (Differential\ d)\ v = undefined$

definition $directional\text{-}derivative :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)$
 $trm \Rightarrow 'c\ state \Rightarrow real$

where $directional\text{-}derivative\ I\ t = (\lambda v.\ frechet\ I\ t\ (fst\ v)\ (snd\ v))$

— Sem for terms that are allowed to contain differentials.

— Note there is some duplication with $sterm\text{-}sem$.

primrec $dterm\text{-}sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow 'c$
 $state \Rightarrow real$

where

$dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (Var\ x) = (\lambda v.\ fst\ v\ \$\ x)$
 $| dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (DiffVar\ x) = (\lambda v.\ snd\ v\ \$\ x)$
 $| dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (Function\ f\ args) = (\lambda v.\ Functions\ I\ f\ (\chi\ i.\ dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (args\ i)\ v))$
 $| dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (Plus\ t1\ t2) = (\lambda v.\ (dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ t1\ v) + (dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ t2\ v))$
 $| dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (Times\ t1\ t2) = (\lambda v.\ (dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ t1\ v) * (dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ t2\ v))$
 $| dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (Differential\ t) = (\lambda v.\ directional\text{-}derivative\ I\ t\ v)$
 $| dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (Const\ c) = (\lambda v.\ c)$

The semantics of an ODE is the vector field at a given point. ODE's are all time-independent so no time variable is necessary. Terms on the RHS of an ODE must be differential-free, so depends only on the xs.

The safety predicate **osafe** ensures the domains of ODE1 and ODE2 are disjoint, so vector addition is equivalent to saying "take things defined from ODE1 from ODE1, take things defined by ODE2 from ODE2"

fun $ODE\text{-}sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow 'c\ Rvec$
 $\Rightarrow 'c\ Rvec$

where

$ODE\text{-}sem\text{-}OVar: ODE\text{-}sem\ I\ (OVar\ x) = ODEs\ I\ x$
 $| ODE\text{-}sem\text{-}OSing: ODE\text{-}sem\ I\ (OSing\ x\ \vartheta) = (\lambda v.\ (\chi\ i.\ if\ i = x\ then\ sterm\text{-}sem\ I\ \vartheta\ v\ else\ 0))$

— Note: Could define using *SOME* operator in a way that more closely matches above description,

— but that gets complicated in the *OVar* case because not all variables are bound by the *OVar*

| *ODE-sem-OProd*: $ODE\text{-sem } I (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2) = (\lambda\nu. ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE1\ \nu + ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE2\ \nu)$

— The bound variables of an ODE

fun *ODE-vars* :: ('a,'b,'c) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow 'c *set*

where

ODE-vars *I* (*OVar* *c*) = *ODEBV* *I* *c*

| *ODE-vars* *I* (*OSing* *x* ϑ) = {*x*}

| *ODE-vars* *I* (*OProd* *ODE1* *ODE2*) = *ODE-vars* *I* *ODE1* \cup *ODE-vars* *I* *ODE2*

fun *semBV* :: ('a, 'b,'c) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) *set*

where *semBV* *I* *ODE* = *Inl* ' (*ODE-vars* *I* *ODE*) \cup *Inr* ' (*ODE-vars* *I* *ODE*)

lemma *ODE-vars-lr*:

fixes *x*::'sz **and** *ODE*::('sf,'sz) *ODE* **and** *I*::('sf,'sc,'sz) *interp*

shows *Inl* *x* \in *semBV* *I* *ODE* \longleftrightarrow *Inr* *x* \in *semBV* *I* *ODE*

<proof>

fun *mk-xode*::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'c::finite) *ODE* \Rightarrow 'c::finite *simple-state* \Rightarrow 'c::finite *state*

where *mk-xode* *I* *ODE* *sol* = (*sol*, *ODE-sem* *I* *ODE* *sol*)

Given an initial state ν and solution to an ODE at some point, construct the resulting state ω . This is defined using the *SOME* operator because the concrete definition is unwieldy.

definition *mk-v*::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'c::finite) *ODE* \Rightarrow 'c::finite *state* \Rightarrow 'c::finite *simple-state* \Rightarrow 'c::finite *state*

where *mk-v* *I* *ODE* ν *sol* = (*THE* ω .

Vagree ω ν ($-$ *semBV* *I* *ODE*)

\wedge *Vagree* ω (*mk-xode* *I* *ODE* *sol*) (*semBV* *I* *ODE*))

— *repv* ν *x* *r* replaces the value of (unprimed) variable *x* in the state ν with *r*

fun *repv* :: 'c::finite *state* \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow 'c *state*

where *repv* *v* *x* *r* = ((χ *y*. *if* *x* = *y* *then* *r* *else* *vec-nth* (*fst* *v*) *y*), *snd* *v*)

— *repd* ν *x'* *r* replaces the value of (primed) variable *x'* in the state ν with *r*

fun *repd* :: 'c::finite *state* \Rightarrow 'c \Rightarrow *real* \Rightarrow 'c *state*

where *repd* *v* *x* *r* = (*fst* *v*, (χ *y*. *if* *x* = *y* *then* *r* *else* *vec-nth* (*snd* *v*) *y*))

— Semantics for formulas, differential formulas, programs.

fun *fml-sem* :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *formula* \Rightarrow 'c::finite *state set* **and**

prog-sem :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) *interp* \Rightarrow ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite)

hp \Rightarrow ('c::finite *state* * 'c::finite *state*) *set*

where

```

  fml-sem I (Geq t1 t2) = {v. dterm-sem I t1 v ≥ dterm-sem I t2 v}
| fml-sem I (Prop P terms) = {ν. Predicates I P (χ i. dterm-sem I (terms i) ν)}
| fml-sem I (Not φ) = {v. v ∉ fml-sem I φ}
| fml-sem I (And φ ψ) = fml-sem I φ ∩ fml-sem I ψ
| fml-sem I (Exists x φ) = {v | v r. (repr v x r) ∈ fml-sem I φ}
| fml-sem I (Diamond α φ) = {ν | ν ω. (ν, ω) ∈ prog-sem I α ∧ ω ∈ fml-sem I φ}
| fml-sem I (InContext c φ) = Contexts I c (fml-sem I φ)

| prog-sem I (Pvar p) = Programs I p
| prog-sem I (Assign x t) = {(ν, ω). ω = repr ν x (dterm-sem I t ν)}
| prog-sem I (DiffAssign x t) = {(ν, ω). ω = repd ν x (dterm-sem I t ν)}
| prog-sem I (Test φ) = {(ν, ν) | ν. ν ∈ fml-sem I φ}
| prog-sem I (Choice α β) = prog-sem I α ∪ prog-sem I β
| prog-sem I (Sequence α β) = prog-sem I α O prog-sem I β
| prog-sem I (Loop α) = (prog-sem I α)*
| prog-sem I (EvolveODE ODE φ) =
  ({(ν, mk-v I ODE ν (sol t)) | ν sol t.
    t ≥ 0 ∧
    (sol solves-ode (λ-. ODE-sem I ODE)) {0..t} {x. mk-v I ODE ν x ∈ fml-sem
I φ} ∧
    sol 0 = fst ν})

```

context *ids begin*

definition *valid* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula ⇒ bool

where *valid* φ ≡ (∀ I. ∀ ν. is-interp I → ν ∈ fml-sem I φ)

end

Because *mk_v* is defined with the SOME operator, need to construct a state that satisfies $\text{Vagree } \omega \nu (-\text{ODE_vars ODE}) \wedge \text{Vagree } \omega (\text{mk_xode I ODE sol}) (\text{ODE_vars ODE})$ to do anything useful

fun *concrete-v*::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp ⇒ ('a::finite, 'c::finite) ODE ⇒ 'c::finite state ⇒ 'c::finite simple-state ⇒ 'c::finite state

where *concrete-v* I ODE ν sol =

((χ i. (if Inl i ∈ semBV I ODE then sol else (fst ν)) \$ i),

(χ i. (if Inr i ∈ semBV I ODE then ODE-sem I ODE sol else (snd ν)) \$ i))

lemma *mk-v-exists*: ∃ ω. Vagree ω ν (− semBV I ODE)

∧ Vagree ω (mk-xode I ODE sol) (semBV I ODE)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mk-v-agree*: Vagree (mk-v I ODE ν sol) ν (− semBV I ODE)

∧ Vagree (mk-v I ODE ν sol) (mk-xode I ODE sol) (semBV I ODE)

⟨proof⟩

lemma *mk-v-concrete*: mk-v I ODE ν sol = ((χ i. (if Inl i ∈ semBV I ODE then sol else (fst ν)) \$ i),

(χ i. (if Inr i ∈ semBV I ODE then ODE-sem I ODE sol else (snd ν)) \$ i))

⟨proof⟩

3.5 Trivial Simplification Lemmas

We often want to pretend the definitions in the semantics are written slightly differently than they are. Since the simplifier has some trouble guessing that these are the right simplifications to do, we write them all out explicitly as lemmas, even though they prove trivially.

lemma *svar-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I \text{ (Var } x) = (\lambda v. v \ \$ \ x)$$

<proof>

lemma *sconst-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I \text{ (Const } r) = (\lambda v. r)$$

<proof>

lemma *sfunction-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I \text{ (Function } f \text{ args)} = (\lambda v. \text{Functions } I \ f \ (\chi \ i. \text{sterm-sem } I \ (\text{args } i) \ v))$$

<proof>

lemma *splus-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I \text{ (Plus } t1 \ t2) = (\lambda v. (\text{sterm-sem } I \ t1 \ v) + (\text{sterm-sem } I \ t2 \ v))$$

<proof>

lemma *stimes-case*:

$$\text{sterm-sem } I \text{ (Times } t1 \ t2) = (\lambda v. (\text{sterm-sem } I \ t1 \ v) * (\text{sterm-sem } I \ t2 \ v))$$

<proof>

lemma *or-sem [simp]*:

$$\text{fml-sem } I \text{ (Or } \varphi \ \psi) = \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi \ \cup \ \text{fml-sem } I \ \psi$$

<proof>

lemma *iff-sem [simp]*: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ (A \leftrightarrow B))$

$$\longleftrightarrow ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ A) \longleftrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ B))$$

<proof>

lemma *box-sem [simp]*: $\text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{Box } \alpha \ \varphi) = \{\nu. \forall \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I \ \alpha \longrightarrow \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi\}$

<proof>

lemma *forall-sem [simp]*: $\text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{Forall } x \ \varphi) = \{v. \forall r. (\text{repv } v \ x \ r) \in \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi\}$

<proof>

lemma *greater-sem [simp]*: $\text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{Greater } \vartheta \ \vartheta') = \{v. \text{dterm-sem } I \ \vartheta \ v > \text{dterm-sem } I \ \vartheta' \ v\}$

<proof>

lemma *loop-sem*: $\text{prog-sem } I \ (\text{Loop } \alpha) = (\text{prog-sem } I \ \alpha)^*$

<proof>

lemma *impl-sem* [*simp*]: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (A \rightarrow B))$
 $= ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *equals-sem* [*simp*]: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{Equals } \vartheta \vartheta'))$
 $= (\text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta \nu = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta' \nu)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *diamond-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I (\text{Diamond } \alpha \varphi)$
 $= \{\nu. \exists \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I \alpha \wedge \omega \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi\}$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *tt-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I TT = UNIV$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ff-sem* [*simp*]: $\text{fml-sem } I FF = \{\}$ ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *iff-to-impl*: $((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longleftrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$
 $\longleftrightarrow (((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A) \longrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B))$
 $\quad \wedge ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I B) \longrightarrow (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I A)))$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

fun *seq2fml* :: $('a, 'b, 'c)$ *sequent* \Rightarrow $('a, 'b, 'c)$ *formula*

where

seq2fml (*ante, succ*) = *Implies* (*foldr And ante TT*) (*foldr Or succ FF*)

context *ids begin*

fun *seq-sem* :: $('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp* \Rightarrow $('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *sequent* \Rightarrow $'sz$ *state set*

where *seq-sem* $I S = \text{fml-sem } I (\text{seq2fml } S)$

lemma *and-foldl-sem*: $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *and-foldl-sem-conv*: $(\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi) \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *or-foldl-sem*: $\text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Gamma FF)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *or-foldl-sem-conv*: $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Gamma FF) \Longrightarrow \exists \varphi. \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi \wedge \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *seq-semI'*: $(\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT) \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Delta FF)) \Longrightarrow \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta)$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *seq-semD'*: $\bigwedge P. \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta) \implies ((\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT) \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Delta FF)) \implies P) \implies P$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *sublist*:: 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool
where *sublist* A B $\equiv (\forall x. \text{List.member } A x \longrightarrow \text{List.member } B x)$

lemma *sublistI*: $(\bigwedge x. \text{List.member } A x \implies \text{List.member } B x) \implies \text{sublist } A B$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma Γ -*sub-sem*: *sublist* $\Gamma 1$ $\Gamma 2 \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma 2 TT) \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma 1 TT)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *seq-semI*: $\text{List.member } \Delta \psi \implies ((\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi) \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \psi) \implies \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *seq-semD*: $\nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta) \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } \Gamma \varphi \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi) \implies \exists \varphi. (\text{List.member } \Delta \varphi) \wedge \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \varphi$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *seq-MP*: $\nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\Gamma, \Delta) \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr And } \Gamma TT) \implies \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (\text{foldr Or } \Delta FF)$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *seq-valid*
where *seq-valid* S $\equiv \forall I. \text{is-interp } I \longrightarrow \text{seq-sem } I S = \text{UNIV}$

Soundness for derived rules is local soundness, i.e. if the premisses are all true in the same interpretation, then the conclusion is also true in that same interpretation.

definition *sound* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow bool
where *sound* R $\longleftrightarrow (\forall I. \text{is-interp } I \longrightarrow (\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \longrightarrow \text{seq-sem } I (\text{nth } (\text{fst } R) i) = \text{UNIV}) \longrightarrow \text{seq-sem } I (\text{snd } R) = \text{UNIV})$

lemma *soundI*: $(\bigwedge I. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \geq 0 \implies i < \text{length } SG \implies \text{seq-sem } I (\text{nth } SG i) = \text{UNIV}) \implies \text{seq-sem } I G = \text{UNIV}) \implies \text{sound } (SG, G)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *soundI'*: $(\bigwedge I \nu. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge i. i \geq 0 \implies i < \text{length } SG \implies \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I (\text{nth } SG i)) \implies \nu \in \text{seq-sem } I G) \implies \text{sound } (SG, G)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *soundI-mem*: $(\bigwedge I. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. \text{List.member } SG \varphi \implies \text{seq-sem } I \varphi = \text{UNIV}) \implies \text{seq-sem } I C = \text{UNIV}) \implies \text{sound } (SG, C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *soundI-memv*: $(\bigwedge I. \text{is-interp } I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi \nu. \text{List.member } SG \varphi \implies \nu \in$

$seq\text{-}sem\ I\ \varphi \implies (\bigwedge \nu. \nu \in seq\text{-}sem\ I\ C) \implies sound\ (SG, C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $soundI\text{-}memv'$: $(\bigwedge I. is\text{-}interp\ I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi\ \nu. List.member\ SG\ \varphi \implies \nu \in seq\text{-}sem\ I\ \varphi) \implies (\bigwedge \nu. \nu \in seq\text{-}sem\ I\ C) \implies R = (SG, C) \implies sound\ R$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $soundD\text{-}mem$: $sound\ (SG, C) \implies (\bigwedge I. is\text{-}interp\ I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi. List.member\ SG\ \varphi \implies seq\text{-}sem\ I\ \varphi = UNIV) \implies seq\text{-}sem\ I\ C = UNIV)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma $soundD\text{-}memv$: $sound\ (SG, C) \implies (\bigwedge I. is\text{-}interp\ I \implies (\bigwedge \varphi\ \nu. List.member\ SG\ \varphi \implies \nu \in seq\text{-}sem\ I\ \varphi) \implies (\bigwedge \nu. \nu \in seq\text{-}sem\ I\ C))$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

end

theory *Axioms*

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

begin context *ids* **begin**

4 Axioms

The uniform substitution calculus is based on a finite list of concrete axioms, which are defined and proved valid (as in `sound`) in this section. When axioms apply to arbitrary programs or formulas, they mention concrete program or formula variables, which are then instantiated by uniform substitution, as opposed metavariables.

This section contains axioms and rules for propositional connectives and programs other than ODE's. Differential axioms are handled separately because the proofs are significantly more involved.

named-theorems *axiom-defs* *Axiom definitions*

definition *assign-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where [*axiom-defs*]:*assign-axiom* ≡

$([[vid1 := (\$f\ fid1\ empty)]]\ (Prop\ vid1\ (singleton\ (Var\ vid1))))$
 $\leftrightarrow Prop\ vid1\ (singleton\ (\$f\ fid1\ empty))$

definition *diff-assign-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where [*axiom-defs*]:*diff-assign-axiom* ≡

$([[DiffAssign\ vid1\ (\$f\ fid1\ empty)]]\ (Prop\ vid1\ (singleton\ (DiffVar\ vid1))))$
 $\leftrightarrow Prop\ vid1\ (singleton\ (\$f\ fid1\ empty))$

definition *loop-iterate-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*loop-iterate-axiom* \equiv ([[α vid1**]]Predicational pid1)
 \leftrightarrow ((Predicational pid1) && ([[α vid1]] [[α vid1**]]Predicational pid1))

definition *test-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*test-axiom* \equiv
 ([[φ vid2 empty]]) φ vid1 empty \leftrightarrow ((φ vid2 empty) \rightarrow (φ vid1 empty))

definition *box-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*box-axiom* \equiv (α vid1)Predicational pid1 \leftrightarrow !([[α vid1]])!(Predicational pid1))

definition *choice-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*choice-axiom* \equiv ([[α vid1 $\cup\cup$ α vid2]]Predicational pid1)
 \leftrightarrow ([[α vid1]]Predicational pid1) && ([[α vid2]]Predicational pid1))

definition *compose-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*compose-axiom* \equiv ([[α vid1 ;; α vid2]]Predicational pid1) \leftrightarrow
 ([[α vid1]] [[α vid2]]Predicational pid1)

definition *Kaxiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*Kaxiom* \equiv ([[α vid1]]((Predicational pid1) \rightarrow (Predicational pid2)))
 \rightarrow ([[α vid1]]Predicational pid1) \rightarrow ([[α vid1]]Predicational pid2)

definition *Iaxiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*Iaxiom* \equiv
 ([[α vid1**]](Predicational pid1 \rightarrow ([[α vid1]]Predicational pid1)))
 \rightarrow ((Predicational pid1 \rightarrow ([[α vid1**]]Predicational pid1)))

definition *Vaxiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*Vaxiom* \equiv (φ vid1 empty) \rightarrow ([[α vid1]](φ vid1 empty))

4.1 Validity proofs for axioms

Because an axiom in a uniform substitution calculus is an individual formula, proving the validity of that formula suffices to prove soundness

theorem *test-valid*: valid test-axiom
 <proof>

lemma *assign-lem1*:
 dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then Var vid1 else (Const 0))
 (vec-lambda (λy . if vid1 = y then Functions I fid1
 (vec-lambda (λi . dterm-sem I (empty i) ν)) else vec-nth (fst ν) y), snd ν)

=
dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then \$f fid1 empty else (Const 0)) ν
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diff-assign-lem1:*

dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then DiffVar vid1 else (Const 0))
(fst ν, vec-lambda (λy. if vid1 = y then Functions I fid1 (vec-lambda
(λi. dterm-sem I (empty i) ν)) else vec-nth (snd ν) y))

=
dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then \$f fid1 empty else (Const 0)) ν
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *assign-valid: valid assign-axiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *diff-assign-valid: valid diff-assign-axiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mem-to-nonempty: ω ∈ S ⇒ (S ≠ {})*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *loop-forward: ν ∈ fml-sem I ([[α id1**]]Predicational pid1)*
*→ ν ∈ fml-sem I (Predicational pid1 && [[α id1]][[α id1**]]Predicational pid1)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *loop-backward:*
*ν ∈ fml-sem I (Predicational pid1 && [[α id1]][[α id1**]]Predicational pid1)*
*→ ν ∈ fml-sem I ([[α id1**]]Predicational pid1)*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *loop-valid: valid loop-iterate-axiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *box-valid: valid box-axiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *choice-valid: valid choice-axiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *compose-valid: valid compose-axiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *K-valid: valid Kaxiom*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *I-axiom-lemma:*

fixes *I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and ν*
assumes *is-interp I*

assumes $IS:\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \text{ } ([[\$\alpha \text{ vid1} **]](\text{Predicational pid1} \rightarrow$
 $\quad \quad \quad [[\$\alpha \text{ vid1}]]\text{Predicational pid1}))$
assumes $BC:\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \text{ } (\text{Predicational pid1})$
shows $\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \text{ } ([[\$\alpha \text{ vid1} **]](\text{Predicational pid1}))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *I-valid: valid Iaxiom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *V-valid: valid Variom*
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

definition *G-holds* $:: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ hp} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *G-holds* $\varphi \alpha \equiv \text{valid } \varphi \longrightarrow \text{valid } ([[\alpha]]\varphi)$

definition *Skolem-holds* $:: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *Skolem-holds* $\varphi \text{ var} \equiv \text{valid } \varphi \longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{Forall } \text{var } \varphi)$

definition *MP-holds* $:: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *MP-holds* $\varphi \psi \equiv \text{valid } (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \longrightarrow \text{valid } \varphi \longrightarrow \text{valid } \psi$

definition *CT-holds* $:: 'sf \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *CT-holds* $g \vartheta \vartheta' \equiv \text{valid } (\text{Equals } \vartheta \vartheta')$
 $\longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{Equals } (\text{Function } g \text{ } (\text{singleton } \vartheta)) (\text{Function } g \text{ } (\text{singleton } \vartheta')))$

definition *CQ-holds* $:: 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where *CQ-holds* $p \vartheta \vartheta' \equiv \text{valid } (\text{Equals } \vartheta \vartheta')$
 $\longrightarrow \text{valid } ((\text{Prop } p \text{ } (\text{singleton } \vartheta)) \leftrightarrow (\text{Prop } p \text{ } (\text{singleton } \vartheta')))$

definition *CE-holds* $:: 'sc \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ formula} \Rightarrow$
 bool
where *CE-holds* $\text{var } \varphi \psi \equiv \text{valid } (\varphi \leftrightarrow \psi)$
 $\longrightarrow \text{valid } (\text{InContext } \text{var } \varphi \leftrightarrow \text{InContext } \text{var } \psi)$

4.2 Soundness proofs for rules

theorem *G-sound: G-holds* $\varphi \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *Skolem-sound: Skolem-holds* $\varphi \text{ var}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

theorem *MP-sound: MP-holds* $\varphi \psi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *CT-lemma*: $\bigwedge I :: ('sf :: \text{finite}, 'sc :: \text{finite}, 'sz :: \{\text{finite}, \text{linorder}\}) \text{ interp. } \bigwedge a :: (\text{real},$
 $'sz) \text{ vec. } \bigwedge b :: (\text{real}, 'sz) \text{ vec. } \forall I :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ interp. } \text{is-interp } I \longrightarrow (\forall a \text{ b. } \text{dterm-sem}$
 $I \vartheta (a, b) = \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta' (a, b)) \implies$
 $\text{is-interp } I \implies$

```

      Functions I var (vec-lambda (λi. dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then ∅ else
(Const 0)) (a, b))) =
      Functions I var (vec-lambda (λi. dterm-sem I (if i = vid1 then ∅' else
(Const 0)) (a, b)))
⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem CT-sound: CT-holds var ∅ ∅'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem CQ-sound: CQ-holds var ∅ ∅'
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem CE-sound: CE-holds var φ ψ
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end end

```

```

theory Frechet-Correctness

```

```

imports

```

```

  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

```

```

  Lib

```

```

  Syntax

```

```

  Denotational-Semantics

```

```

  Ids

```

```

begin

```

```

context ids begin

```

5 Characterization of Term Derivatives

This section builds up to a proof that in well-formed interpretations, all terms have derivatives, and those derivatives agree with the expected rules of derivatives. In particular, we show the [frechet] function given in the denotational semantics is the true Frechet derivative of a term. From this theorem we can recover all the standard derivative identities as corollaries.

```

lemma inner-prod-eq:

```

```

  fixes i::'a::finite

```

```

  shows (λ(v::'a Rvec). v · axis i 1) = (λ(v::'a Rvec). v $ i)

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

theorem svar-deriv:

```

```

  fixes x::'sv::finite and ν::'sv Rvec and F::real filter

```

```

  shows ((λv. v $ x) has-derivative (λv'. v' · (χ i. if i = x then 1 else 0))) (at ν)

```

```

  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma function-case-inner:

```

```

  assumes good-interp:

```

```

    (∀ x i. (Functions I i has-derivative FunctionFrechet I i x) (at x))

```

```

  assumes IH:(λv. χ i. sterm-sem I (args i) v)

```

```

    has-derivative (λ v. (χ i. frechet I (args i) ν v)) (at ν)

```

```

  shows ((λv. Functions I f (χ i. sterm-sem I (args i) v))

```

has-derivative ($\lambda v. \text{frechet } I (\$f f \text{ args}) \nu v$) (*at* ν)
 <proof>

lemma *func-lemma2*: ($\forall x i. (\text{Functions } I i \text{ has-derivative } (THE f'. \forall x. (\text{Functions } I i \text{ has-derivative } f' x) (\text{at } x)) x) (\text{at } x) \wedge$
 $\text{continuous-on UNIV } (\lambda x. \text{Blinfun } ((THE f'. \forall x. (\text{Functions } I i \text{ has-derivative } f' x) (\text{at } x)) x))) \implies$
 $(\wedge \vartheta. \vartheta \in \text{range args} \implies (\text{stern-sem } I \vartheta \text{ has-derivative frechet } I \vartheta \nu) (\text{at } \nu))$
 \implies
 $((\lambda v. \text{Functions } I f (\text{vec-lambda}(\lambda i. \text{stern-sem } I (\text{args } i) v))) \text{ has-derivative } (\lambda v'. (THE f'. \forall x. (\text{Functions } I f \text{ has-derivative } f' x) (\text{at } x)) (\chi i. \text{stern-sem } I (\text{args } i) \nu) (\chi i. \text{frechet } I (\text{args } i) \nu v')) (\text{at } \nu))$
 <proof>

lemma *func-lemma*:

is-interp $I \implies$
 $(\wedge \vartheta :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'c::\text{finite}) \text{trm}. \vartheta \in \text{range args} \implies (\text{stern-sem } I \vartheta \text{ has-derivative frechet } I \vartheta \nu) (\text{at } \nu)) \implies$
 $(\text{stern-sem } I (\$f f \text{ args}) \text{ has-derivative frechet } I (\$f f \text{ args}) \nu) (\text{at } \nu)$
 <proof>

The syntactic definition of term derivatives agrees with the semantic definition. Since the syntactic definition of derivative is total, this gives us that derivatives are "decidable" for terms (modulo computations on reals) and that they obey all the expected identities, which gives us the axioms we want for differential terms essentially for free.

lemma *frechet-correctness*:

fixes $I :: ('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{finite}, 'c::\text{finite}) \text{interp}$ **and** ν
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
shows $\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies \text{FDERIV } (\text{stern-sem } I \vartheta) \nu :> (\text{frechet } I \vartheta \nu)$
 <proof>

If terms are semantically equivalent in all states, so are their derivatives

lemma *stern-determines-frechet*:

fixes $I :: ('a1::\text{finite}, 'b1::\text{finite}, 'c::\text{finite}) \text{interp}$
and $J :: ('a2::\text{finite}, 'b2::\text{finite}, 'c::\text{finite}) \text{interp}$
and $\vartheta1 :: ('a1::\text{finite}, 'c::\text{finite}) \text{trm}$
and $\vartheta2 :: ('a2::\text{finite}, 'c::\text{finite}) \text{trm}$
and ν
assumes *good-interp1*: *is-interp* I
assumes *good-interp2*: *is-interp* J
assumes *free1*: *dfree* $\vartheta1$
assumes *free2*: *dfree* $\vartheta2$
assumes *sem*: $\text{stern-sem } I \vartheta1 = \text{stern-sem } J \vartheta2$
shows $\text{frechet } I \vartheta1 (\text{fst } \nu) (\text{snd } \nu) = \text{frechet } J \vartheta2 (\text{fst } \nu) (\text{snd } \nu)$
 <proof>

lemma *the-deriv*:

assumes *deriv*: (*f has-derivative* F) (*at* x)

shows (*THE* G . (*f has-derivative* G) (*at* x)) = F
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *the-all-deriv*:

assumes *deriv*: $\forall x$. (*f has-derivative* F x) (*at* x)
shows (*THE* G . $\forall x$. (*f has-derivative* G x) (*at* x)) = F
 ⟨*proof*⟩

typedef ($'a$, $'c$) *strm* = $\{\vartheta :: ('a, 'c)$ *trm*. *dfree* $\vartheta\}$
morphisms *raw-term simple-term*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

typedef ($'a$, $'b$, $'c$) *good-interp* = $\{I :: ('a :: \text{finite}, 'b :: \text{finite}, 'c :: \text{finite})$ *interp*. *is-interp* $I\}$
morphisms *raw-interp good-interp*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *frechet-linear*:

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I
fixes v ϑ
shows *dfree* $\vartheta \implies$ *bounded-linear* (*frechet* I ϑ v)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

setup-lifting *type-definition-good-interp*

setup-lifting *type-definition-strm*

lift-definition *blin-frechet*::($'sf$, $'sc$, $'sz$) *good-interp* \Rightarrow ($'sf$, $'sz$) *strm* \Rightarrow (*real*, $'sz$) *vec* \Rightarrow (*real*, $'sz$) *vec* \Rightarrow_L *real is frechet*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemmas [*simp*] = *blin-frechet.rep-eq*

lemma *frechet-blin:is-interp* $I \implies$ *dfree* $\vartheta \implies$ (λv . *Blinfun* ($\lambda v'$. *frechet* I ϑ v v')) = *blin-frechet* (*good-interp* I) (*simple-term* ϑ)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *sterm-continuous*:

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I
shows *dfree* $\vartheta \implies$ *continuous-on UNIV* (*stern-sem* I ϑ)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *stern-continuous'*:

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I
shows *dfree* $\vartheta \implies$ *continuous-on S* (*stern-sem* I ϑ)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *frechet-continuous*:

fixes $I :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*

```

assumes good-interp:is-interp I
shows dfree  $\vartheta \implies$  continuous-on UNIV (blin-frechet (good-interp I) (simple-term
 $\vartheta$ ))
 $\langle$ proof $\rangle$ 
end end
theory Static-Semantics
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
begin

```

6 Static Semantics

This section introduces functions for computing properties of the static semantics, specifically the following dependencies:

- Signatures: Symbols (from the interpretation) which influence the result of a term, ode, formula, program
- Free variables: Variables (from the state) which influence the result of a term, ode, formula, program
- Bound variables: Variables (from the state) that **might** be influenced by a program
- Must-bound variables: Variables (from the state) that are **always** influenced by a program (i.e. will never depend on anything other than the free variables of that program)

We also prove basic lemmas about these definitions, but their overall correctness is proved elsewhere in the Bound Effect and Coincidence theorems.

6.1 Signature Definitions

primrec *SIGT* :: ('a, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow 'a *set*

where

```

  SIGT (Var var) = {}
| SIGT (Const r) = {}
| SIGT (Function var f) = {var}  $\cup$  ( $\bigcup$  i. SIGT (f i))
| SIGT (Plus t1 t2) = SIGT t1  $\cup$  SIGT t2
| SIGT (Times t1 t2) = SIGT t1  $\cup$  SIGT t2
| SIGT (DiffVar x) = {}
| SIGT (Differential t) = SIGT t

```

primrec $SIGO :: ('a, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('a + 'c) set$
where
 $SIGO (OVar\ c) = \{Inr\ c\}$
 $| SIGO (OSing\ x\ \vartheta) = \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in SIGT\ \vartheta\}$
 $| SIGO (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2) = SIGO\ ODE1 \cup SIGO\ ODE2$

primrec $SIGP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) set$
and $SIGF :: ('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) set$
where

$SIGP (Pvar\ var) = \{Inr\ (Inr\ var)\}$
 $| SIGP (Assign\ var\ t) = \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in SIGT\ t\}$
 $| SIGP (DiffAssign\ var\ t) = \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in SIGT\ t\}$
 $| SIGP (Test\ p) = SIGF\ p$
 $| SIGP (EvolveODE\ ODE\ p) = SIGF\ p \cup \{Inl\ x \mid x. Inl\ x \in SIGO\ ODE\} \cup \{Inr\ (Inr\ x) \mid x. Inr\ x \in SIGO\ ODE\}$
 $| SIGP (Choice\ a\ b) = SIGP\ a \cup SIGP\ b$
 $| SIGP (Sequence\ a\ b) = SIGP\ a \cup SIGP\ b$
 $| SIGP (Loop\ a) = SIGP\ a$
 $| SIGF (Geq\ t1\ t2) = \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in SIGT\ t1 \cup SIGT\ t2\}$
 $| SIGF (Prop\ var\ args) = \{Inr\ (Inr\ var)\} \cup \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in (\bigcup i. SIGT\ (args\ i))\}$
 $| SIGF (Not\ p) = SIGF\ p$
 $| SIGF (And\ p1\ p2) = SIGF\ p1 \cup SIGF\ p2$
 $| SIGF (Exists\ var\ p) = SIGF\ p$
 $| SIGF (Diamond\ a\ p) = SIGP\ a \cup SIGF\ p$
 $| SIGF (InContext\ var\ p) = \{Inr\ (Inl\ var)\} \cup SIGF\ p$

fun $primify :: ('a + 'a) \Rightarrow ('a + 'a) set$
where

$primify (Inl\ x) = \{Inl\ x, Inr\ x\}$
 $| primify (Inr\ x) = \{Inl\ x, Inr\ x\}$

6.2 Variable Binding Definitions

We represent the (free or bound or must-bound) variables of a term as an (id + id) set, where all the (Inl x) elements are unprimed variables x and all the (Inr x) elements are primed variables x'.

Free variables of a term

primrec $FVT :: ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$
where

$FVT (Var\ x) = \{Inl\ x\}$
 $| FVT (Const\ x) = \{\}$
 $| FVT (Function\ f\ args) = (\bigcup i. FVT\ (args\ i))$
 $| FVT (Plus\ f\ g) = FVT\ f \cup FVT\ g$
 $| FVT (Times\ f\ g) = FVT\ f \cup FVT\ g$
 $| FVT (Differential\ f) = (\bigcup x \in (FVT\ f). primify\ x)$
 $| FVT (DiffVar\ x) = \{Inr\ x\}$

fun $FVDiff :: ('a, 'c) trm \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$

where $FVDiff\ f = (\bigcup x \in (FVT\ f).\ primify\ x)$

Free variables of an ODE includes both the bound variables and the terms

fun $FVO :: ('a, 'c)\ ODE \Rightarrow 'c\ set$

where

$FVO\ (OVar\ c) = UNIV$
 $| FVO\ (OSing\ x\ \vartheta) = \{x\} \cup \{x.\ Inl\ x \in FVT\ \vartheta\}$
 $| FVO\ (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2) = FVO\ ODE1 \cup FVO\ ODE2$

Bound variables of ODEs, formulas, programs

fun $BVO :: ('a, 'c)\ ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$

where

$BVO\ (OVar\ c) = UNIV$
 $| BVO\ (OSing\ x\ \vartheta) = \{Inl\ x, Inr\ x\}$
 $| BVO\ (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2) = BVO\ ODE1 \cup BVO\ ODE2$

fun $BVF :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ formula \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$

and $BVP :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$

where

$BVF\ (Geq\ f\ g) = \{\}$
 $| BVF\ (Prop\ p\ dfun\ args) = \{\}$
 $| BVF\ (Not\ p) = BVF\ p$
 $| BVF\ (And\ p\ q) = BVF\ p \cup BVF\ q$
 $| BVF\ (Exists\ x\ p) = \{Inl\ x\} \cup BVF\ p$
 $| BVF\ (Diamond\ \alpha\ p) = BVP\ \alpha \cup BVF\ p$
 $| BVF\ (InContext\ C\ p) = UNIV$

$| BVP\ (Pvar\ a) = UNIV$
 $| BVP\ (Assign\ x\ \vartheta) = \{Inl\ x\}$
 $| BVP\ (DiffAssign\ x\ \vartheta) = \{Inr\ x\}$
 $| BVP\ (Test\ \varphi) = \{\}$
 $| BVP\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ \varphi) = BVO\ ODE$
 $| BVP\ (Choice\ \alpha\ \beta) = BVP\ \alpha \cup BVP\ \beta$
 $| BVP\ (Sequence\ \alpha\ \beta) = BVP\ \alpha \cup BVP\ \beta$
 $| BVP\ (Loop\ \alpha) = BVP\ \alpha$

Must-bound variables (of a program)

fun $MBV :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$

where

$MBV\ (Pvar\ a) = \{\}$
 $| MBV\ (Choice\ \alpha\ \beta) = MBV\ \alpha \cap MBV\ \beta$
 $| MBV\ (Sequence\ \alpha\ \beta) = MBV\ \alpha \cup MBV\ \beta$
 $| MBV\ (Loop\ \alpha) = \{\}$
 $| MBV\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ -) = (Inl\ ' (ODE\ dom\ ODE)) \cup (Inr\ ' (ODE\ dom\ ODE))$
 $| MBV\ \alpha = BVP\ \alpha$

Free variables of a formula, free variables of a program

fun $FVF :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ formula \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$

and $FVP :: ('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) \text{ set}$
where

$FVF (Geq f g) = FVT f \cup FVT g$
 $| FVF (Prop p args) = (\bigcup i. FVT (args i))$
 $| FVF (Not p) = FVF p$
 $| FVF (And p q) = FVF p \cup FVF q$
 $| FVF (Exists x p) = FVF p - \{Inl x\}$
 $| FVF (Diamond \alpha p) = FVP \alpha \cup (FVF p - MBV \alpha)$
 $| FVF (InContext C p) = UNIV$
 $| FVP (Pvar a) = UNIV$
 $| FVP (Assign x \vartheta) = FVT \vartheta$
 $| FVP (DiffAssign x \vartheta) = FVT \vartheta$
 $| FVP (Test \varphi) = FVF \varphi$
 $| FVP (EvolveODE ODE \varphi) = BVO ODE \cup (Inl ' FVO ODE) \cup FVF \varphi$
 $| FVP (Choice \alpha \beta) = FVP \alpha \cup FVP \beta$
 $| FVP (Sequence \alpha \beta) = FVP \alpha \cup (FVP \beta - MBV \alpha)$
 $| FVP (Loop \alpha) = FVP \alpha$

6.3 Lemmas for reasoning about static semantics

lemma *primify-contains*: $x \in \text{primify } x$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *FVDiff-sub*: $FVT f \subseteq FVDiff f$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *fvdiff-plus1*: $FVDiff (Plus t1 t2) = FVDiff t1 \cup FVDiff t2$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *agree-func-fvt*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVT (Function f args)) \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' (FVT (args i))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *agree-plus1*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff (Plus t1 t2)) \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *agree-plus2*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff (Plus t1 t2)) \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *agree-times1*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff (Times t1 t2)) \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t1)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *agree-times2*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff (Times t1 t2)) \Longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff t2)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *agree-func*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff (\$f var args)) \implies (\bigwedge i. Vagree \nu \nu' (FVDiff (args i)))$
 ⟨proof⟩

end

theory *Coincidence*

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

Frechet-Correctness

Static-Semantics

begin

7 Coincidence Theorems and Corollaries

This section proves coincidence: semantics of terms, odes, formulas and programs depend only on the free variables. This is one of the major lemmas for the correctness of uniform substitutions. Along the way, we also prove the equivalence between two similar, but different semantics for ODE programs: It does not matter whether the semantics of ODE's insist on the existence of a solution that agrees with the start state on all variables vs. one that agrees only on the variables that are actually relevant to the ODE. This is proven here by simultaneous induction with the coincidence theorem for the following reason:

The reason for having two different semantics is that some proofs are easier with one semantics and other proofs are easier with the other definition. The coincidence proof is either with the more complicated definition, which should not be used as the main definition because it would make the specification for the dL semantics significantly larger, effectively increasing the size of the trusted core. However, that the proof of equivalence between the semantics using the coincidence lemma for formulas. In order to use the coincidence proof in the equivalence proof and the equivalence proof in the coincidence proof, they are proved by simultaneous induction.

context *ids* **begin**

7.1 Term Coincidence Theorems

lemma *coincidence-term*: $Vagree \nu \nu' (FVT \vartheta) \implies sterm-sem I \vartheta (fst \nu) = sterm-sem I \vartheta (fst \nu')$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *coincidence-term'*: $dfree \vartheta \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVT \vartheta) \implies Iagree I J \{Inl x \mid x \in SIGT \vartheta\} \implies sterm-sem I \vartheta (fst \nu) = sterm-sem J \vartheta (fst \nu')$

<proof>

lemma *sum-unique-nonzero*:

fixes $i::'sv::finite$ **and** $f::'sv \Rightarrow real$

assumes $restZero:\bigwedge j. j \in (UNIV::'sv\ set) \Longrightarrow j \neq i \Longrightarrow f\ j = 0$

shows $(\sum j \in (UNIV::'sv\ set). f\ j) = f\ i$

<proof>

lemma *coincidence-frechet* :

fixes $I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp$ **and** $\nu :: 'c\ state$ **and** $\nu'::'c\ state$

shows $dfree\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \nu' (FVDiff\ \vartheta) \Longrightarrow frechet\ I\ \vartheta (fst\ \nu) (snd\ \nu) = frechet\ I\ \vartheta (fst\ \nu') (snd\ \nu')$

<proof>

lemma *coincidence-frechet'* :

fixes $I\ J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp$ **and** $\nu :: 'c\ state$ **and** $\nu'::'c\ state$

shows $dfree\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \nu' (FVDiff\ \vartheta) \Longrightarrow Iagree\ I\ J\ \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in (SIGT\ \vartheta)\} \Longrightarrow frechet\ I\ \vartheta (fst\ \nu) (snd\ \nu) = frechet\ J\ \vartheta (fst\ \nu') (snd\ \nu')$

<proof>

lemma *coincidence-dterm*:

fixes $I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp$ **and** $\nu :: 'c\ state$ **and** $\nu'::'c\ state$

shows $dsafe\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \nu' (FVT\ \vartheta) \Longrightarrow dterm-sem\ I\ \vartheta\ \nu = dterm-sem\ I\ \vartheta\ \nu'$

<proof>

lemma *coincidence-dterm'*:

fixes $I\ J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp$ **and** $\nu :: 'c::finite\ state$ **and** $\nu'::'c::finite\ state$

shows $dsafe\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow Vagree\ \nu\ \nu' (FVT\ \vartheta) \Longrightarrow Iagree\ I\ J\ \{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in (SIGT\ \vartheta)\} \Longrightarrow dterm-sem\ I\ \vartheta\ \nu = dterm-sem\ J\ \vartheta\ \nu'$

<proof>

7.2 ODE Coincidence Theorems

lemma *coincidence-ode*:

fixes $I\ J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp$ **and** $\nu :: 'c::finite\ state$ **and** $\nu'::'c::finite\ state$

shows $osafe\ ODE \Longrightarrow$

$Vagree\ \nu\ \nu' (Inl\ 'FVO\ ODE) \Longrightarrow$

$Iagree\ I\ J (\{Inl\ x \mid x. Inl\ x \in SIGO\ ODE\} \cup \{Inr\ (Inr\ x) \mid x. Inr\ x \in SIGO\ ODE\}) \Longrightarrow$

$ODE-sem\ I\ ODE (fst\ \nu) = ODE-sem\ J\ ODE (fst\ \nu')$

<proof>

lemma *coincidence-ode'*:

fixes $I\ J :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp$ **and** $\nu :: 'c\ simple-state$ **and** $\nu'::'c\ simple-state$

shows $osafe\ ODE \Longrightarrow$

$VSagree \nu \nu' (FVO ODE) \implies$
 $Iagree I J (\{Inl x \mid x. Inl x \in SIGO ODE\} \cup \{Inr (Inr x) \mid x. Inr x \in$
 $SIGO ODE\}) \implies$
 $ODE-sem I ODE \nu = ODE-sem J ODE \nu'$
 <proof>

lemma alt-sem-lemma: $\bigwedge I :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp. \bigwedge ODE :: ('a::finite, 'c::finite)$
 $ODE. \bigwedge sol. \bigwedge t :: real. \bigwedge ab. osafe ODE \implies$
 $ODE-sem I ODE (sol t) = ODE-sem I ODE (\chi i. if i \in FVO ODE then sol t \$$
 $i else ab \$ i)$
 <proof>

lemma bvo-to-fvo: $Inl x \in BVO ODE \implies x \in FVO ODE$
 <proof>

lemma ode-to-fvo: $x \in ODE-vars I ODE \implies x \in FVO ODE$
 <proof>

definition coincide-hp $:: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) hp \implies ('a::finite, 'b::finite,$
 $'c::finite) interp \implies ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) interp \implies bool$
where $coincide-hp \alpha I J \iff (\forall \nu \nu' \mu V. Iagree I J (SIGP \alpha) \longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu'$
 $V \longrightarrow V \supseteq (FVP \alpha) \longrightarrow (\nu, \mu) \in prog-sem I \alpha \longrightarrow (\exists \mu'. (\nu', \mu') \in prog-sem J$
 $\alpha \wedge Vagree \mu \mu' (MBV \alpha \cup V)))$

definition ode-sem-equiv $:: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) hp \implies ('a::finite, 'b::finite,$
 $'c::finite) interp \implies bool$
where $ode-sem-equiv \alpha I \iff$
 $(\forall ODE :: ('a::finite, 'c::finite) ODE. \forall \varphi :: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) formula. os-$
 $afe ODE \longrightarrow fsafe \varphi \longrightarrow$
 $(\alpha = EvolveODE ODE \varphi) \longrightarrow$
 $\{(\nu, mk-v I ODE \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu sol t.$
 $t \geq 0 \wedge$
 $(sol solves-ode (\lambda-. ODE-sem I ODE)) \{0..t\} \{x. mk-v I ODE \nu x \in fml-sem$
 $I \varphi\} \wedge$
 $VSagree (sol 0) (fst \nu) \{x \mid x. Inl x \in FVP (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)\} =$
 $\{(\nu, mk-v I ODE \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu sol t.$
 $t \geq 0 \wedge$
 $(sol solves-ode (\lambda-. ODE-sem I ODE)) \{0..t\} \{x. mk-v I ODE \nu x \in fml-sem$
 $I \varphi\} \wedge$
 $sol 0 = fst \nu\})$

definition coincide-hp' $:: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) hp \implies bool$
where $coincide-hp' \alpha \iff (\forall I J. coincide-hp \alpha I J \wedge ode-sem-equiv \alpha I)$

definition coincide-fml $:: ('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) formula \implies bool$
where $coincide-fml \varphi \iff (\forall \nu \nu' I J. Iagree I J (SIGF \varphi) \longrightarrow Vagree \nu \nu'$
 $(FVF \varphi) \longrightarrow \nu \in fml-sem I \varphi \iff \nu' \in fml-sem J \varphi)$

lemma coinc-fml [simp]: $coincide-fml \varphi = (\forall \nu \nu' I J. Iagree I J (SIGF \varphi) \longrightarrow$

$Vagree \nu \nu' (FVF \varphi) \longrightarrow \nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi \longleftarrow \nu' \in fml\text{-sem } J \varphi$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.3 Coincidence Theorems for Programs and Formulas

lemma *coincidence-hp-fml*:

fixes $\alpha::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ hp}$
fixes $\varphi::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ formula}$
shows $(hpsafe \alpha \longrightarrow coincide\text{-hp}' \alpha) \wedge (fsafe \varphi \longrightarrow coincide\text{-fml} \varphi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *coincidence-formula*: $\bigwedge \nu \nu' I J. fsafe (\varphi::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ formula}) \implies Iagree I J (SIGF \varphi) \implies Vagree \nu \nu' (FVF \varphi) \implies (\nu \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi \longleftrightarrow \nu' \in fml\text{-sem } J \varphi)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *coincidence-hp*:

fixes $\nu \nu' \mu V I J$
assumes $safe:hpsafe (\alpha::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ hp})$
assumes $IA:Iagree I J (SIGP \alpha)$
assumes $VA:Vagree \nu \nu' V$
assumes $sub:V \supseteq (FVP \alpha)$
assumes $sem:(\nu, \mu) \in prog\text{-sem } I \alpha$
shows $(\exists \mu'. (\nu', \mu') \in prog\text{-sem } J \alpha \wedge Vagree \mu \mu' (MBV \alpha \cup V))$
 ⟨proof⟩

7.4 Corollaries: Alternate ODE semantics definition

lemma *ode-sem-eq*:

fixes $I::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp}$ and $ODE::('a, 'c) \text{ ODE}$ and $\varphi::('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ formula}$
assumes $osafe:osafe \text{ ODE}$
assumes $fsafe:fsafe \varphi$
shows
 $(\{(\nu, mk\text{-v } I \text{ ODE } \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu \text{ sol } t. t \geq 0 \wedge (sol \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda-. \text{ ODE-sem } I \text{ ODE})) \{0..t\} \{x. mk\text{-v } I \text{ ODE } \nu x \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\} \wedge VSagree (sol 0) (fst \nu) \{x \mid x. Inl x \in FVP (EvolveODE \text{ ODE } \varphi)\}\}) =$
 $(\{(\nu, mk\text{-v } I \text{ ODE } \nu (sol t)) \mid \nu \text{ sol } t. t \geq 0 \wedge (sol \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda-. \text{ ODE-sem } I \text{ ODE})) \{0..t\} \{x. mk\text{-v } I \text{ ODE } \nu x \in fml\text{-sem } I \varphi\} \wedge (sol 0) = (fst \nu)\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ode-alt-sem*: $\bigwedge I::('a::finite, 'b::finite, 'c::finite) \text{ interp. } \bigwedge ODE::('a, 'c) \text{ ODE. } \bigwedge \varphi::('a, 'b, 'c) \text{ formula. } osafe \text{ ODE} \implies fsafe \varphi \implies prog\text{-sem } I (EvolveODE \text{ ODE } \varphi)$
 =

```

{( $\nu$ ,  $mk\text{-}v$  I ODE  $\nu$  ( $sol$   $t$ )) |  $\nu$   $sol$   $t$ .
   $t \geq 0 \wedge$ 
  ( $sol$  solves-ode ( $\lambda$ -. ODE-sem I ODE)) { $0..t$ } { $x$ .  $mk\text{-}v$  I ODE  $\nu$   $x \in fml\text{-}sem$ 
  I  $\varphi$ }  $\wedge$ 
  VSagree ( $sol$  0) ( $fst$   $\nu$ ) { $x$  |  $x$ . Inl  $x \in FVP$  (EvolveODE ODE  $\varphi$ )}}
```

```

  <proof>
end
end
theory Bound-Effect
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Static-Semantics
  Coincidence
begin
```

8 Bound Effect Theorem

The bound effect lemma says that a program can only modify its bound variables and nothing else. This is one of the major lemmas for showing correctness of uniform substitution.

```

context ids begin
lemma bound-effect:
  fixes  $I :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$  interp
  assumes good-interp:is-interp  $I$ 
  shows  $\bigwedge \nu :: 'sz$  state.  $\bigwedge \omega :: 'sz$  state.  $hpsafe$   $\alpha \implies (\nu, \omega) \in prog\text{-}sem$   $I$   $\alpha \implies$ 
  Vagree  $\nu$   $\omega$  ( $-$  (BVP  $\alpha$ ))
  <proof>
end end
theory Differential-Axioms
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Frechet-Correctness
  Axioms
  Coincidence
begin context ids begin
```

9 Differential Axioms

Differential axioms fall into two categories: Axioms for computing the derivatives of terms and axioms for proving properties of ODEs. The derivative axioms are all corollaries of the frechet correctness theorem. The ODE axioms are more involved, often requiring extensive use of the ODE libraries.

9.1 Derivative Axioms

definition *diff-const-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*diff-const-axiom* ≡ Equals (Differential (\$f fid1 empty)) (Const 0)

definition *diff-var-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*diff-var-axiom* ≡ Equals (Differential (Var vid1)) (DiffVar vid1)

definition *state-fun* :: 'sf ⇒ ('sf, 'sz) trm
where [axiom-defs]:*state-fun* f = (\$f f (λi. Var i))

definition *diff-plus-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*diff-plus-axiom* ≡ Equals (Differential (Plus (state-fun fid1) (state-fun fid2)))
 (Plus (Differential (state-fun fid1)) (Differential (state-fun fid2))))

definition *diff-times-axiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*diff-times-axiom* ≡ Equals (Differential (Times (state-fun fid1) (state-fun fid2)))
 (Plus (Times (Differential (state-fun fid1)) (state-fun fid2))
 (Times (state-fun fid1) (Differential (state-fun fid2)))))

— [y=g(x)][y'=1](f(g(x))' = f(y)')

definition *diff-chain-axiom*::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*diff-chain-axiom* ≡ [[Assign vid2 (f1 fid2 vid1)]]([[DiffAssign vid2 (Const 1)]]
 (Equals (Differential (\$f fid1 (singleton (f1 fid2 vid1)))) (Times (Differential (f1 fid1 vid2)) (Differential (f1 fid2 vid1)))))

9.2 ODE Axioms

definition *DWaxiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*DWaxiom* = ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Predicational pid1)]](Predicational pid1))

definition *DWaxiom'* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:*DWaxiom'* = ([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 (singleton (Var vid1)))) (Prop vid2 (singleton (Var vid1)))](Prop vid2 (singleton (Var vid1)))))

definition *DCaxiom* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where $[axiom-defs]:DCaxiom =$
 $([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Predicational pid1)]]Predicational pid3) \rightarrow$
 $(([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Predicational pid1)]](Predicational pid2))$
 \leftrightarrow
 $([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (And (Predicational pid1) (Predicational pid3)]]Predicational$
 $pid2)))$

definition $DEaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula$

where $[axiom-defs]:DEaxiom =$
 $(([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]] (P pid1))$
 \leftrightarrow
 $([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]]$
 $[[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)]]P pid1))$

definition $DSaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula$

where $[axiom-defs]:DSaxiom =$
 $(([[EvolveODE (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (p1 vid2 vid1)]]p1 vid3 vid1)$
 \leftrightarrow
 $(Forall vid2$
 $(Implies (Geq (Var vid2) (Const 0))$
 $(Implies$
 $(Forall vid3$
 $(Implies (And (Geq (Var vid3) (Const 0)) (Geq (Var vid2) (Var vid3)))$
 $(Prop vid2 (singleton (Plus (Var vid1) (Times (f0 fid1) (Var vid3)))))))$
 $([[Assign vid1 (Plus (Var vid1) (Times (f0 fid1) (Var vid2)))]p1 vid3 vid1])))$

— $(Q \rightarrow [c \& Q](f(x)' \geq g(x)')$

— \rightarrow

— $([c \& Q](f(x) \geq g(x))) \dashrightarrow (Q \rightarrow (f(x) \geq g(x)))$

definition $DIGeqaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula$

where $[axiom-defs]:DIGeqaxiom =$

$Implies$

$(Implies (Prop vid1 empty) ([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]](Geq$
 $(Differential (f1 fid1 vid1)) (Differential (f1 fid2 vid1))))))$

$(Implies$

$(Implies(Prop vid1 empty) (Geq (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2 vid1)))$

$([[EvolveODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]](Geq (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2$
 $vid1))))$

— $g(x) > h(x) \rightarrow [x'=f(x), c \& p(x)](g(x)' \geq h(x)') \rightarrow [x'=f(x), c \& p(x)]g(x)$
 $> h(x)$

— $(Q \rightarrow [c \& Q](f(x)' \geq g(x)')$

— \rightarrow

— $([c \& Q](f(x) > g(x))) \leftrightarrow (Q \rightarrow (f(x) > g(x)))$

definition $DIGraxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula$

where $[axiom-defs]:DIGraxiom =$

$Implies$

(Implies (Prop vid1 empty) ([[EvolueODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]](Geq
 (Differential (f1 fid1 vid1)) (Differential (f1 fid2 vid1))))))
 (Implies
 (Implies(Prop vid1 empty) (Greater (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2 vid1)))
 ([[EvolueODE (OVar vid1) (Prop vid1 empty)]](Greater (f1 fid1 vid1) (f1 fid2
 vid1))))))
 — [{1' = 1(1) & 1(1)}]2(1) <->
 — ∃ 2. [{1'=1(1), 2' = 2(1)*2 + 3(1) & 1(1)}]2(1)*
definition DGaxiom :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula
where [axiom-defs]:DGaxiom = ((([EvolueODE (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (p1
 vid1 vid1)]]p1 vid2 vid1) ↔
 (Exists vid2
 ([[EvolueODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) (OSing vid2 (Plus (Times
 (f1 fid2 vid1) (Var vid2)) (f1 fid3 vid1)))) (p1 vid1 vid1)]]
 p1 vid2 vid1)))

9.3 Proofs for Derivative Axioms

lemma constant-deriv-inner:

assumes interp:∀ x i. (Functions I i has-derivative FunctionFrechet I i x) (at x)
shows FunctionFrechet I id1 (vec-lambda (λi. sterm-sem I (empty i) (fst ν)))
 (vec-lambda(λi. frechet I (empty i) (fst ν) (snd ν)))= 0
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma constant-deriv-zero:is-interp I ⇒ directional-derivative I (\$f id1 empty)
 ν = 0
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-const-axiom-valid: valid diff-const-axiom
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-var-axiom-valid: valid diff-var-axiom
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-plus-axiom-valid: valid diff-plus-axiom
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem diff-times-axiom-valid: valid diff-times-axiom
 ⟨proof⟩

9.4 Proofs for ODE Axioms

lemma DW-valid:valid DWaxiom
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma DE-lemma:

fixes ab bb::'sz simple-state
and sol::real ⇒ 'sz simple-state
and I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp

shows
 $repd\ (mk\text{-}v\ I\ (OSing\ vid1\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1))\ (ab,\ bb)\ (sol\ t))\ vid1\ (dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1)\ (mk\text{-}v\ I\ (OSing\ vid1\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1))\ (ab,\ bb)\ (sol\ t)))$
 $=\ mk\text{-}v\ I\ (OSing\ vid1\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1))\ (ab,\ bb)\ (sol\ t)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DE-valid:valid DEaxiom*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ODE-zero: $\bigwedge i. Inl\ i \notin BVO\ ODE \implies Inr\ i \notin BVO\ ODE \implies ODE\text{-}sem\ I\ ODE\ v\ \$\ i = 0$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DE-sys-valid:*
assumes $disj:\{Inl\ vid1,\ Inr\ vid1\} \cap BVO\ ODE = \{\}$
shows $valid\ (([[EvolveODE\ (OProd\ (OSing\ vid1\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1))\ ODE)\ (p1\ vid2\ vid1)]]\ (P\ pid1)) \leftrightarrow$
 $([[EvolveODE\ ((OProd\ (OSing\ vid1\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1))\ ODE))\ (p1\ vid2\ vid1)]]$
 $[[DiffAssign\ vid1\ (f1\ fid1\ vid1)]]\ P\ pid1))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DC-valid:valid DCaxiom*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *DS-valid:valid DSaxiom*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MVT0-within:*
fixes $f :: real \Rightarrow real$
and $f' :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$
and $s\ t :: real$
assumes $f':\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies (f\ has\text{-}derivative\ (f'\ x))\ (at\ x\ within\ \{0..t\})$
assumes $geq':\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies f'\ x\ s \geq 0$
assumes $int\text{-}s:s > 0 \wedge s \leq t$
assumes $t: 0 < t$
shows $f\ s \geq f\ 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *MVT':*
fixes $f\ g :: real \Rightarrow real$
fixes $f'\ g' :: real \Rightarrow real \Rightarrow real$
fixes $s\ t :: real$
assumes $f':\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (f\ has\text{-}derivative\ (f'\ s))\ (at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$
assumes $g':\bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \implies (g\ has\text{-}derivative\ (g'\ s))\ (at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$
assumes $geq':\bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \implies f'\ x\ s \geq g'\ x\ s$
assumes $geq0:f\ 0 \geq g\ 0$
assumes $int\text{-}s:s > 0 \wedge s \leq t$
assumes $t:t > 0$
shows $f\ s \geq g\ s$

<proof>

lemma *MVT'-gr*:

fixes $f\ g :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

fixes $f'\ g' :: \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real} \Rightarrow \text{real}$

fixes $s\ t :: \text{real}$

assumes $f': \bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \Longrightarrow (f \text{ has-derivative } (f' s)) \text{ (at } s \text{ within } \{0..t\})$

assumes $g': \bigwedge s. s \in \{0..t\} \Longrightarrow (g \text{ has-derivative } (g' s)) \text{ (at } s \text{ within } \{0..t\})$

assumes $geq': \bigwedge x. x \in \{0..t\} \Longrightarrow f' x s \geq g' x s$

assumes $geq0: f\ 0 > g\ 0$

assumes $int\text{-}s: s > 0 \wedge s \leq t$

assumes $t: t > 0$

shows $f\ s > g\ s$

<proof>

lemma *frech-linear*:

fixes $x\ \vartheta\ \nu\ \nu'\ I$

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I

assumes *free:dfree* ϑ

shows $x * \text{frechet } I\ \vartheta\ \nu\ \nu' = \text{frechet } I\ \vartheta\ \nu\ (x *_R \nu')$

<proof>

lemma *rift-in-space-time*:

fixes $sol\ I\ ODE\ \psi\ \vartheta\ t\ s\ b$

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I

assumes *free:dfree* ϑ

assumes *osafe:osafe* ODE

assumes $sol: (sol \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda\ \nu'. ODE\text{-sem } I\ ODE\ \nu')) \{0..t\}$

$\{x. mk\text{-}v\ I\ ODE\ (sol\ 0, b)\ x \in fml\text{-}sem\ I\ \psi\}$

assumes *FVT:FVT* $\vartheta \subseteq semBV\ I\ ODE$

assumes $ivl: s \in \{0..t\}$

shows $((\lambda t. sterm\text{-}sem\ I\ \vartheta\ (fst\ (mk\text{-}v\ I\ ODE\ (sol\ 0, b)\ (sol\ t))))$

— This is Frechet derivative, so equivalent to:

— $has\text{-}real\text{-}derivative\ frechet\ I\ \vartheta\ (fst\ ((mk\text{-}v\ I\ ODE\ (sol\ 0, b)\ (sol\ s))))\ (snd\ (mk\text{-}v\ I\ ODE\ (sol\ 0, b)\ (sol\ s))))\ (at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$

$has\text{-}derivative\ (\lambda t'. t' * frechet\ I\ \vartheta\ (fst\ ((mk\text{-}v\ I\ ODE\ (sol\ 0, b)\ (sol\ s))))\ (snd\ (mk\text{-}v\ I\ ODE\ (sol\ 0, b)\ (sol\ s))))\ (at\ s\ within\ \{0..t\})$

<proof>

lemma *dterm-sterm-dfree*:

$dfree\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge \nu\ \nu'. sterm\text{-}sem\ I\ \vartheta\ \nu = dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ \vartheta\ (\nu, \nu'))$

<proof>

lemma *DIGeq-valid:valid DIGeqaxiom*

<proof>

lemma *DIGr-valid:valid DIGraxiom*

<proof>

```

lemma DG-valid:valid DGaxiom
  <proof>
end end
theory USubst
imports
  Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis
  Ids
  Lib
  Syntax
  Denotational-Semantics
  Static-Semantics
begin

```

10 Uniform Substitution Definitions

This section defines substitutions and implements the substitution operation. Every part of substitution comes in two flavors. The "Nsubst" variant of each function returns a term/formula/ode/program which (as encoded in the type system) has less symbols than the input. We use this operation when substituting into functions and function-like constructs to make it easy to distinguish identifiers that stand for arguments to functions from other identifiers. In order to expose a simpler interface, we also have a "subst" variant which does not delete variables.

Naive substitution without side conditions would not always be sound. The various admissibility predicates `*admit` describe conditions under which the various substitution operations are sound.

Explicit data structure for substitutions.

The RHS of a function or predicate substitution is a term or formula with extra variables, which are used to refer to arguments.

```

record ('a, 'b, 'c) subst =
  SFunctions      :: 'a  $\rightarrow$  ('a + 'c) trm
  SPredicates    :: 'c  $\rightarrow$  ('a + 'c, 'b, 'c) formula
  SContexts      :: 'b  $\rightarrow$  ('a, 'b + unit, 'c) formula
  SPrograms      :: 'c  $\rightarrow$  ('a, 'b, 'c) hp
  SODEs          :: 'c  $\rightarrow$  ('a, 'c) ODE

```

context *ids begin*

definition *NTUadmit* :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) *set* \Rightarrow *bool*

where *NTUadmit* $\sigma \vartheta U \iff ((\bigcup i \in \{i. \text{Inr } i \in \text{SIGT } \vartheta\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma \ i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive *TadmitFFO* :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

TadmitFFO-Diff: *TadmitFFO* $\sigma \vartheta \implies \text{NTUadmit } \sigma \vartheta \text{ UNIV} \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma$

(Differential ϑ)
| *TadmitFFO-Fun1*: $(\bigwedge i. \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (args } i)) \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Function (Inl } f) \text{ args)}$
| *TadmitFFO-Fun2*: $(\bigwedge i. \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (args } i)) \implies \text{dfree } (\sigma f) \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Function (Inr } f) \text{ args)}$
| *TadmitFFO-Plus*: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 1 \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 2 \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Plus } \vartheta 1 \ \vartheta 2)$
| *TadmitFFO-Times*: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 1 \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 2 \implies \text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Times } \vartheta 1 \ \vartheta 2)$
| *TadmitFFO-Var*: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Var } x)$
| *TadmitFFO-Const*: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Const } r)$

inductive-simps

TadmitFFO-Diff-simps[simp]: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Differential } \vartheta)$
and *TadmitFFO-Fun-simps*[simp]: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Function } f \text{ args)}$
and *TadmitFFO-Plus-simps*[simp]: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Plus } t1 \ t2)$
and *TadmitFFO-Times-simps*[simp]: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Times } t1 \ t2)$
and *TadmitFFO-Var-simps*[simp]: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Var } x)$
and *TadmitFFO-Const-simps*[simp]: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \text{ (Const } r)$

primrec *TsubstFO*:: $(\text{'a} + \text{'b}, \text{'c}) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow (\text{'b} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'c}) \text{ trm}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'c}) \text{ trm}$
where

TsubstFO (Var v) $\sigma = \text{Var } v$
| *TsubstFO* (DiffVar v) $\sigma = \text{DiffVar } v$
| *TsubstFO* (Const r) $\sigma = \text{Const } r$
| *TsubstFO* (Function f args) $\sigma =$
 (case f of
 | $\text{Inl } f' \Rightarrow \text{Function } f' (\lambda i. \text{TsubstFO } (\text{args } i) \ \sigma)$
 | $\text{Inr } f' \Rightarrow \sigma f'$)
| *TsubstFO* (Plus $\vartheta 1 \ \vartheta 2$) $\sigma = \text{Plus } (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta 1 \ \sigma) (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta 2 \ \sigma)$
| *TsubstFO* (Times $\vartheta 1 \ \vartheta 2$) $\sigma = \text{Times } (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta 1 \ \sigma) (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta 2 \ \sigma)$
| *TsubstFO* (Differential ϑ) $\sigma = \text{Differential } (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \ \sigma)$

inductive *TadmitFO* :: $(\text{'d} \Rightarrow (\text{'a}, \text{'c}) \text{ trm}) \Rightarrow (\text{'a} + \text{'d}, \text{'c}) \text{ trm} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$

where

TadmitFO-Diff: $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \ \vartheta \implies \text{NTUadmit } \sigma \ \vartheta \ \text{UNIV} \implies \text{dfree } (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \ \sigma) \implies \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Differential } \vartheta)$
| *TadmitFO-Fun*: $(\bigwedge i. \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (args } i)) \implies \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Function } f \text{ args)}$
| *TadmitFO-Plus*: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 1 \implies \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 2 \implies \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Plus } \vartheta 1 \ \vartheta 2)$
| *TadmitFO-Times*: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 1 \implies \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \ \vartheta 2 \implies \text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Times } \vartheta 1 \ \vartheta 2)$
| *TadmitFO-DiffVar*: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (DiffVar } x)$
| *TadmitFO-Var*: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Var } x)$
| *TadmitFO-Const*: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Const } r)$

inductive-simps

TadmitFO-Plus-simps[simp]: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Plus } a \ b)$
and *TadmitFO-Times-simps*[simp]: $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \text{ (Times } a \ b)$

and *TadmitFO-Var-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Var* x)
and *TadmitFO-DiffVar-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*DiffVar* x)
and *TadmitFO-Differential-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Differential* ϑ)
and *TadmitFO-Const-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Const* r)
and *TadmitFO-Fun-simps*[simp]: *TadmitFO* σ (*Function* i $args$)

primrec *Tsubst*::('a, 'c) *trm* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst* \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*

where

Tsubst (*Var* x) σ = *Var* x
| *Tsubst* (*DiffVar* x) σ = *DiffVar* x
| *Tsubst* (*Const* r) σ = *Const* r
| *Tsubst* (*Function* f $args$) σ = (case *SFunctions* σ f of Some f' \Rightarrow *TsubstFO* f' |
None \Rightarrow *Function* f) (λ i . *Tsubst* ($args$ i) σ)
| *Tsubst* (*Plus* $\vartheta1$ $\vartheta2$) σ = *Plus* (*Tsubst* $\vartheta1$ σ) (*Tsubst* $\vartheta2$ σ)
| *Tsubst* (*Times* $\vartheta1$ $\vartheta2$) σ = *Times* (*Tsubst* $\vartheta1$ σ) (*Tsubst* $\vartheta2$ σ)
| *Tsubst* (*Differential* ϑ) σ = *Differential* (*Tsubst* ϑ σ)

primrec *OsubstFO*::('a + 'b, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow ('b \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *ODE*

where

OsubstFO (*OVar* c) σ = *OVar* c
| *OsubstFO* (*OSing* x ϑ) σ = *OSing* x (*TsubstFO* ϑ σ)
| *OsubstFO* (*OProd* *ODE1* *ODE2*) σ = *OProd* (*OsubstFO* *ODE1* σ) (*OsubstFO*
ODE2 σ)

primrec *Osubst*::('a, 'c) *ODE* \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst* \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *ODE*

where

Osubst (*OVar* c) σ = (case *SODEs* σ c of Some c' \Rightarrow c' | *None* \Rightarrow *OVar* c)
| *Osubst* (*OSing* x ϑ) σ = *OSing* x (*Tsubst* ϑ σ)
| *Osubst* (*OProd* *ODE1* *ODE2*) σ = *OProd* (*Osubst* *ODE1* σ) (*Osubst* *ODE2* σ)

fun *PsubstFO*::('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) *hp* \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *hp*

and *FsubstFO*::('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) *formula* \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) *trm*) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula*

where

PsubstFO (*Pvar* a) σ = *Pvar* a
| *PsubstFO* (*Assign* x ϑ) σ = *Assign* x (*TsubstFO* ϑ σ)
| *PsubstFO* (*DiffAssign* x ϑ) σ = *DiffAssign* x (*TsubstFO* ϑ σ)
| *PsubstFO* (*Test* φ) σ = *Test* (*FsubstFO* φ σ)
| *PsubstFO* (*EvolveODE* *ODE* φ) σ = *EvolveODE* (*OsubstFO* *ODE* σ) (*FsubstFO*
 φ σ)
| *PsubstFO* (*Choice* α β) σ = *Choice* (*PsubstFO* α σ) (*PsubstFO* β σ)
| *PsubstFO* (*Sequence* α β) σ = *Sequence* (*PsubstFO* α σ) (*PsubstFO* β σ)
| *PsubstFO* (*Loop* α) σ = *Loop* (*PsubstFO* α σ)

| *FsubstFO* (*Geq* $\vartheta1$ $\vartheta2$) σ = *Geq* (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta1$ σ) (*TsubstFO* $\vartheta2$ σ)
| *FsubstFO* (*Prop* p $args$) σ = *Prop* p (λ i . *TsubstFO* ($args$ i) σ)
| *FsubstFO* (*Not* φ) σ = *Not* (*FsubstFO* φ σ)
| *FsubstFO* (*And* φ ψ) σ = *And* (*FsubstFO* φ σ) (*FsubstFO* ψ σ)
| *FsubstFO* (*Exists* x φ) σ = *Exists* x (*FsubstFO* φ σ)

| $FsubstFO (Diamond \alpha \varphi) \sigma = Diamond (PsubstFO \alpha \sigma) (FsubstFO \varphi \sigma)$
| $FsubstFO (InContext C \varphi) \sigma = InContext C (FsubstFO \varphi \sigma)$

fun $PPsubst::('a, 'b + 'd, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) hp$
and $PFsubst::('a, 'b + 'd, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$

where

$PPsubst (Pvar a) \sigma = Pvar a$
| $PPsubst (Assign x \vartheta) \sigma = Assign x \vartheta$
| $PPsubst (DiffAssign x \vartheta) \sigma = DiffAssign x \vartheta$
| $PPsubst (Test \varphi) \sigma = Test (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $PPsubst (EvolveODE ODE \varphi) \sigma = EvolveODE ODE (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $PPsubst (Choice \alpha \beta) \sigma = Choice (PPsubst \alpha \sigma) (PPsubst \beta \sigma)$
| $PPsubst (Sequence \alpha \beta) \sigma = Sequence (PPsubst \alpha \sigma) (PPsubst \beta \sigma)$
| $PPsubst (Loop \alpha) \sigma = Loop (PPsubst \alpha \sigma)$

| $PFsubst (Geq \vartheta1 \vartheta2) \sigma = (Geq \vartheta1 \vartheta2)$
| $PFsubst (Prop p args) \sigma = Prop p args$
| $PFsubst (Not \varphi) \sigma = Not (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $PFsubst (And \varphi \psi) \sigma = And (PFsubst \varphi \sigma) (PFsubst \psi \sigma)$
| $PFsubst (Exists x \varphi) \sigma = Exists x (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $PFsubst (Diamond \alpha \varphi) \sigma = Diamond (PPsubst \alpha \sigma) (PFsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $PFsubst (InContext C \varphi) \sigma = (case C of Inl C' \Rightarrow InContext C' (PFsubst \varphi \sigma) | Inr p' \Rightarrow \sigma p')$

fun $Psubst::('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) hp$
and $Fsubst::('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula$

where

$Psubst (Pvar a) \sigma = (case SPrograms \sigma a of Some a' \Rightarrow a' | None \Rightarrow Pvar a)$
| $Psubst (Assign x \vartheta) \sigma = Assign x (Tsubst \vartheta \sigma)$
| $Psubst (DiffAssign x \vartheta) \sigma = DiffAssign x (Tsubst \vartheta \sigma)$
| $Psubst (Test \varphi) \sigma = Test (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $Psubst (EvolveODE ODE \varphi) \sigma = EvolveODE (Osubst ODE \sigma) (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $Psubst (Choice \alpha \beta) \sigma = Choice (Psubst \alpha \sigma) (Psubst \beta \sigma)$
| $Psubst (Sequence \alpha \beta) \sigma = Sequence (Psubst \alpha \sigma) (Psubst \beta \sigma)$
| $Psubst (Loop \alpha) \sigma = Loop (Psubst \alpha \sigma)$

| $Fsubst (Geq \vartheta1 \vartheta2) \sigma = Geq (Tsubst \vartheta1 \sigma) (Tsubst \vartheta2 \sigma)$
| $Fsubst (Prop p args) \sigma = (case SPredicates \sigma p of Some p' \Rightarrow FsubstFO p' (\lambda i. Tsubst (args i) \sigma) | None \Rightarrow Prop p (\lambda i. Tsubst (args i) \sigma))$
| $Fsubst (Not \varphi) \sigma = Not (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $Fsubst (And \varphi \psi) \sigma = And (Fsubst \varphi \sigma) (Fsubst \psi \sigma)$
| $Fsubst (Exists x \varphi) \sigma = Exists x (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $Fsubst (Diamond \alpha \varphi) \sigma = Diamond (Psubst \alpha \sigma) (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$
| $Fsubst (InContext C \varphi) \sigma = (case SContexts \sigma C of Some C' \Rightarrow PFsubst C' (\lambda i. Fsubst \varphi \sigma) | None \Rightarrow InContext C (Fsubst \varphi \sigma))$

definition $FVA :: ('a \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set$

where $FVA\ args = (\bigcup i. FVT\ (args\ i))$

fun $SFV :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ subst \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c) \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$
where $SFV\ \sigma\ (Inl\ i) = (case\ SFunctions\ \sigma\ i\ of\ Some\ f' \Rightarrow FVT\ f' \mid None \Rightarrow \{\})$
 $\mid SFV\ \sigma\ (Inr\ (Inl\ i)) = \{\}$
 $\mid SFV\ \sigma\ (Inr\ (Inr\ i)) = (case\ SPredicates\ \sigma\ i\ of\ Some\ p' \Rightarrow FVF\ p' \mid None \Rightarrow \{\})$

definition $FVS :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ subst \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set$
where $FVS\ \sigma = (\bigcup i. SFV\ \sigma\ i)$

definition $SDom :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ subst \Rightarrow ('a + 'b + 'c)\ set$
where $SDom\ \sigma =$
 $\{Inl\ x \mid x. x \in dom\ (SFunctions\ \sigma)\}$
 $\cup \{Inr\ (Inl\ x) \mid x. x \in dom\ (SContexts\ \sigma)\}$
 $\cup \{Inr\ (Inr\ x) \mid x. x \in dom\ (SPredicates\ \sigma)\}$
 $\cup \{Inr\ (Inr\ x) \mid x. x \in dom\ (SPrograms\ \sigma)\}$

definition $TUadmit :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)\ trm \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where $TUadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta\ U \iff ((\bigcup i \in SIGT\ \vartheta. (case\ SFunctions\ \sigma\ i\ of\ Some\ f' \Rightarrow FVT\ f' \mid None \Rightarrow \{\})) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive $Tadmit :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)\ trm \Rightarrow bool$
where

$Tadmit\text{-}Diff: Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta \implies TUadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta\ UNIV \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Differential\ \vartheta)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}Fun1: (\bigwedge i. Tadmit\ \sigma\ (args\ i)) \implies SFunctions\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \implies TadmitFO\ (\lambda\ i. Tsubst\ (args\ i)\ \sigma)\ f' \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Function\ f\ args)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}Fun2: (\bigwedge i. Tadmit\ \sigma\ (args\ i)) \implies SFunctions\ \sigma\ f = None \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Function\ f\ args)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}Plus: Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta1 \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta2 \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Plus\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}Times: Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta1 \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta2 \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Times\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}DiffVar: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (DiffVar\ x)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}Var: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Var\ x)$
 $\mid Tadmit\text{-}Const: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Const\ r)$

inductive-simps

$Tadmit\text{-}Plus\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Plus\ a\ b)$
and $Tadmit\text{-}Times\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Times\ a\ b)$
and $Tadmit\text{-}Var\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Var\ x)$
and $Tadmit\text{-}DiffVar\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (DiffVar\ x)$
and $Tadmit\text{-}Differential\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Differential\ \vartheta)$
and $Tadmit\text{-}Const\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Const\ r)$
and $Tadmit\text{-}Fun\text{-}simps[simp]: Tadmit\ \sigma\ (Function\ i\ args)$

inductive $TadmitF :: ('a, 'b, 'c)\ subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c)\ trm \Rightarrow bool$
where

$TadmitF\text{-}Diff: TadmitF\ \sigma\ \vartheta \implies TUadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta\ UNIV \implies TadmitF\ \sigma\ (Differential\ \vartheta)$
 $\mid TadmitF\text{-}Fun1: (\bigwedge i. TadmitF\ \sigma\ (args\ i)) \implies SFunctions\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \implies (\bigwedge i.$

$dfree (Tsubst (args i) \sigma) \implies TadmitFFO (\lambda i. Tsubst (args i) \sigma) f' \implies TadmitF \sigma (Function f args)$
 $| TadmitF-Fun2:(\bigwedge i. TadmitF \sigma (args i)) \implies SFunctions \sigma f = None \implies TadmitF \sigma (Function f args)$
 $| TadmitF-Plus:TadmitF \sigma \vartheta 1 \implies TadmitF \sigma \vartheta 2 \implies TadmitF \sigma (Plus \vartheta 1 \vartheta 2)$
 $| TadmitF-Times:TadmitF \sigma \vartheta 1 \implies TadmitF \sigma \vartheta 2 \implies TadmitF \sigma (Times \vartheta 1 \vartheta 2)$
 $| TadmitF-DiffVar:TadmitF \sigma (DiffVar x)$
 $| TadmitF-Var:TadmitF \sigma (Var x)$
 $| TadmitF-Const:TadmitF \sigma (Const r)$

inductive-simps

$TadmitF-Plus-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (Plus a b)$
and $TadmitF-Times-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (Times a b)$
and $TadmitF-Var-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (Var x)$
and $TadmitF-DiffVar-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (DiffVar x)$
and $TadmitF-Differential-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (Differential \vartheta)$
and $TadmitF-Const-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (Const r)$
and $TadmitF-Fun-simps[simp]: TadmitF \sigma (Function i args)$

inductive $Oadmit:: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$
where

$Oadmit-Var:Oadmit \sigma (OVar c) U$
 $| Oadmit-Sing:TUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \implies TadmitF \sigma \vartheta \implies Oadmit \sigma (OSing x \vartheta) U$
 $| Oadmit-Prod:Oadmit \sigma ODE1 U \implies Oadmit \sigma ODE2 U \implies ODE-dom (Osubst ODE1 \sigma) \cap ODE-dom (Osubst ODE2 \sigma) = \{\} \implies Oadmit \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$

inductive-simps

$Oadmit-Var-simps[simp]: Oadmit \sigma (OVar c) U$
and $Oadmit-Sing-simps[simp]: Oadmit \sigma (OSing x e) U$
and $Oadmit-Prod-simps[simp]: Oadmit \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$

definition $PUadmit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$
where $PUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in (SDom \sigma \cap SIGP \vartheta). SFV \sigma i) \cap U) = \{\}$

definition $FUadmit :: ('a, 'b, 'c) subst \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c) formula \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$

where $FUadmit \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in (SDom \sigma \cap SIGF \vartheta). SFV \sigma i) \cap U) = \{\}$

definition $OUadmitFO :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$

where $OUadmitFO \sigma \vartheta U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inl (Inr i) \in SIGO \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive $OadmitFO :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'c) trm) \Rightarrow ('a + 'd, 'c) ODE \Rightarrow ('c + 'c) set \Rightarrow bool$

where

$OadmitFO-OVar:OUadmitFO \sigma (OVar c) U \implies OadmitFO \sigma (OVar c) U$

| *OadmitFO-OSing*: $OUadmitFO \sigma (OSing x \vartheta) U \implies TadmitFFO \sigma \vartheta \implies OadmitFO \sigma (OSing x \vartheta) U$
| *OadmitFO-OProd*: $OadmitFO \sigma ODE1 U \implies OadmitFO \sigma ODE2 U \implies OadmitFO \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$

inductive-simps

OadmitFO-OVar-simps[simp]: $OadmitFO \sigma (OVar a) U$
and *OadmitFO-OProd-simps*[simp]: $OadmitFO \sigma (OProd ODE1 ODE2) U$
and *OadmitFO-OSing-simps*[simp]: $OadmitFO \sigma (OSing x e) U$

definition *FUadmitFO* :: $('d \implies ('a, 'c) trm) \implies ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) formula \implies ('c + 'c) set \implies bool$
where *FUadmitFO* $\sigma \vartheta U \iff ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inl (Inr i) \in SIGF \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

definition *PUadmitFO* :: $('d \implies ('a, 'c) trm) \implies ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) hp \implies ('c + 'c) set \implies bool$
where *PUadmitFO* $\sigma \vartheta U \iff ((\bigcup i \in \{i. Inl (Inr i) \in SIGP \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive *NPadmit* :: $('d \implies ('a, 'c) trm) \implies ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) hp \implies bool$
and *NFadmit* :: $('d \implies ('a, 'c) trm) \implies ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) formula \implies bool$
where

NPadmit-Pvar: $NPadmit \sigma (Pvar a)$
| *NPadmit-Sequence*: $NPadmit \sigma a \implies NPadmit \sigma b \implies PUadmitFO \sigma b (BVP (PsubstFO a \sigma)) \implies hpsafe (PsubstFO a \sigma) \implies NPadmit \sigma (Sequence a b)$
| *NPadmit-Loop*: $NPadmit \sigma a \implies PUadmitFO \sigma a (BVP (PsubstFO a \sigma)) \implies hpsafe (PsubstFO a \sigma) \implies NPadmit \sigma (Loop a)$
| *NPadmit-ODE*: $OadmitFO \sigma ODE (BVO ODE) \implies NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi (BVO ODE) \implies fsafe (FsubstFO \varphi \sigma) \implies osafe (OsubstFO ODE \sigma) \implies NPadmit \sigma (EvolveODE ODE \varphi)$
| *NPadmit-Choice*: $NPadmit \sigma a \implies NPadmit \sigma b \implies NPadmit \sigma (Choice a b)$
| *NPadmit-Assign*: $TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta \implies NPadmit \sigma (Assign x \vartheta)$
| *NPadmit-DiffAssign*: $TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta \implies NPadmit \sigma (DiffAssign x \vartheta)$
| *NPadmit-Test*: $NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NPadmit \sigma (Test \varphi)$

| *NFadmit-Geq*: $TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta1 \implies TadmitFO \sigma \vartheta2 \implies NFadmit \sigma (Geq \vartheta1 \vartheta2)$
| *NFadmit-Prop*: $(\bigwedge i. TadmitFO \sigma (args i)) \implies NFadmit \sigma (Prop f args)$
| *NFadmit-Not*: $NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NFadmit \sigma (Not \varphi)$
| *NFadmit-And*: $NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NFadmit \sigma \psi \implies NFadmit \sigma (And \varphi \psi)$
| *NFadmit-Exists*: $NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi \{Inl x\} \implies NFadmit \sigma (Exists x \varphi)$
| *NFadmit-Diamond*: $NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies NPadmit \sigma a \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi (BVP (PsubstFO a \sigma)) \implies hpsafe (PsubstFO a \sigma) \implies NFadmit \sigma (Diamond a \varphi)$
| *NFadmit-Context*: $NFadmit \sigma \varphi \implies FUadmitFO \sigma \varphi UNIV \implies NFadmit \sigma (InContext C \varphi)$

inductive-simps

$NPAdmit\text{-}Pvar\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (Pvar\ a)$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}Sequence\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (a\ ;\ ;\ b)$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}Loop\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (a^{**})$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}ODE\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ p)$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}Choice\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (a\ \cup\cup\ b)$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}Assign\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (Assign\ x\ e)$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}DiffAssign\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (DiffAssign\ x\ e)$
and $NPAdmit\text{-}Test\text{-}simps[simp]: NPAdmit\ \sigma\ (?\ p)$

and $NFAdmit\text{-}Geq\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Geq\ t1\ t2)$
and $NFAdmit\text{-}Prop\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Prop\ p\ args)$
and $NFAdmit\text{-}Not\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Not\ p)$
and $NFAdmit\text{-}And\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (And\ p\ q)$
and $NFAdmit\text{-}Exists\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Exists\ x\ p)$
and $NFAdmit\text{-}Diamond\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Diamond\ a\ p)$
and $NFAdmit\text{-}Context\text{-}simps[simp]: NFAdmit\ \sigma\ (InContext\ C\ p)$

definition $PFUadmit :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)\ formula) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)\ formula \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where $PFUadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta\ U \longleftrightarrow True$

definition $PPUadmit :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)\ formula) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)\ hp \Rightarrow ('c + 'c)\ set \Rightarrow bool$
where $PPUadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta\ U \longleftrightarrow ((\bigcup i. FVF(\sigma\ i)) \cap U) = \{\}$

inductive $PPadmit :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)\ formula) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)\ hp \Rightarrow bool$
and $PFAdmit :: ('d \Rightarrow ('a, 'b, 'c)\ formula) \Rightarrow ('a, 'b + 'd, 'c)\ formula \Rightarrow bool$
where

$PPadmit\text{-}Pvar: PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Pvar\ a)$
 $| PPadmit\text{-}Sequence: PPadmit\ \sigma\ a \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ b \Longrightarrow PPUadmit\ \sigma\ b\ (BVP\ (PPsubst\ a\ \sigma)) \Longrightarrow hpsafe\ (PPsubst\ a\ \sigma) \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Sequence\ a\ b)$
 $| PPadmit\text{-}Loop: PPadmit\ \sigma\ a \Longrightarrow PPUadmit\ \sigma\ a\ (BVP\ (PPsubst\ a\ \sigma)) \Longrightarrow hpsafe\ (PPsubst\ a\ \sigma) \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Loop\ a)$
 $| PPadmit\text{-}ODE: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PFUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ (BVO\ ODE) \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ \varphi)$
 $| PPadmit\text{-}Choice: PPadmit\ \sigma\ a \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ b \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Choice\ a\ b)$

 $| PPadmit\text{-}Assign: PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Assign\ x\ \vartheta)$
 $| PPadmit\text{-}DiffAssign: PPadmit\ \sigma\ (DiffAssign\ x\ \vartheta)$
 $| PPadmit\text{-}Test: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Test\ \varphi)$

 $| PFAdmit\text{-}Geq: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Geq\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2)$
 $| PFAdmit\text{-}Prop: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Prop\ f\ args)$
 $| PFAdmit\text{-}Not: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Not\ \varphi)$
 $| PFAdmit\text{-}And: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \psi \Longrightarrow PFAdmit\ \sigma\ (And\ \varphi\ \psi)$
 $| PFAdmit\text{-}Exists: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PFUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ \{Inl\ x\} \Longrightarrow PFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Exists\ x\ \varphi)$
 $| PFAdmit\text{-}Diamond: PFAdmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PPadmit\ \sigma\ a \Longrightarrow PFUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ (BVP\ (PPsubst\ a\ \sigma)) \Longrightarrow PFAdmit\ \sigma\ (Diamond\ a\ \varphi)$

| *PFadmit-Context*: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies PFUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ UNIV \implies PFadmit\ \sigma\ (InContext\ C\ \varphi)$

inductive-simps

PPadmit-Pvar-simps[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Pvar\ a)$
and *PPadmit-Sequence-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (a\ ;;\ b)$
and *PPadmit-Loop-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (a^{**})$
and *PPadmit-ODE-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ p)$
and *PPadmit-Choice-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (a\ \cup\cup\ b)$
and *PPadmit-Assign-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (Assign\ x\ e)$
and *PPadmit-DiffAssign-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (DiffAssign\ x\ e)$
and *PPadmit-Test-simps*[simp]: $PPadmit\ \sigma\ (?\ p)$

and *PFadmit-Geq-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (Geq\ t1\ t2)$
and *PFadmit-Prop-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (Prop\ p\ args)$
and *PFadmit-Not-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (Not\ p)$
and *PFadmit-And-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (And\ p\ q)$
and *PFadmit-Exists-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (Exists\ x\ p)$
and *PFadmit-Diamond-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (Diamond\ a\ p)$
and *PFadmit-Context-simps*[simp]: $PFadmit\ \sigma\ (InContext\ C\ p)$

inductive *Padmit*:: $(\ 'a,\ 'b,\ 'c) subst \implies (\ 'a,\ 'b,\ 'c) hp \implies bool$

and *Fadmit*:: $(\ 'a,\ 'b,\ 'c) subst \implies (\ 'a,\ 'b,\ 'c) formula \implies bool$

where

Padmit-Pvar: $Padmit\ \sigma\ (Pvar\ a)$
| *Padmit-Sequence*: $Padmit\ \sigma\ a \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ b \implies PUadmit\ \sigma\ b\ (BVP\ (Psubst\ a\ \sigma)) \implies hpsafe\ (Psubst\ a\ \sigma) \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (Sequence\ a\ b)$
| *Padmit-Loop*: $Padmit\ \sigma\ a \implies PUadmit\ \sigma\ a\ (BVP\ (Psubst\ a\ \sigma)) \implies hpsafe\ (Psubst\ a\ \sigma) \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (Loop\ a)$
| *Padmit-ODE*: $Oadmit\ \sigma\ ODE\ (BVO\ ODE) \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies FUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ (BVO\ ODE) \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ \varphi)$
| *Padmit-Choice*: $Padmit\ \sigma\ a \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ b \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (Choice\ a\ b)$
| *Padmit-Assign*: $Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (Assign\ x\ \vartheta)$
| *Padmit-DiffAssign*: $Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (DiffAssign\ x\ \vartheta)$
| *Padmit-Test*: $Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ (Test\ \varphi)$

| *Fadmit-Geq*: $Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta1 \implies Tadmit\ \sigma\ \vartheta2 \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (Geq\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2)$
| *Fadmit-Prop1*: $(\ \wedge i. Tadmit\ \sigma\ (args\ i)) \implies SPredicates\ \sigma\ p = Some\ p' \implies NFadmit\ (\lambda\ i. Tsubst\ (args\ i)\ \sigma)\ p' \implies (\ \wedge i. dsafe\ (Tsubst\ (args\ i)\ \sigma)) \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (Prop\ p\ args)$
| *Fadmit-Prop2*: $(\ \wedge i. Tadmit\ \sigma\ (args\ i)) \implies SPredicates\ \sigma\ p = None \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (Prop\ p\ args)$
| *Fadmit-Not*: $Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (Not\ \varphi)$
| *Fadmit-And*: $Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ \psi \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (And\ \varphi\ \psi)$
| *Fadmit-Exists*: $Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies FUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ \{Inl\ x\} \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (Exists\ x\ \varphi)$
| *Fadmit-Diamond*: $Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies Padmit\ \sigma\ a \implies FUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ (BVP\ (Psubst\ a\ \sigma)) \implies hpsafe\ (Psubst\ a\ \sigma) \implies Fadmit\ \sigma\ (Diamond\ a\ \varphi)$
| *Fadmit-Context1*: $Fadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi \implies FUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ UNIV \implies SContexts\ \sigma\ C = Some\ C' \implies PFadmit\ (\lambda\ -. Fsubst\ \varphi\ \sigma)\ C' \implies fsafe\ (Fsubst\ \varphi\ \sigma) \implies Fadmit\ \sigma$

(InContext C φ)
 | FAdmit-Context2:FAdmit $\sigma \varphi \implies FUadmit \sigma \varphi UNIV \implies SContexts \sigma C =$
 None $\implies FAdmit \sigma$ (InContext C φ)

inductive-simps

Padmit-Pvar-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (Pvar a)
 and Padmit-Sequence-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (a ;; b)
 and Padmit-Loop-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (a**)
 and Padmit-ODE-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (EvolveODE ODE p)
 and Padmit-Choice-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (a $\cup\cup$ b)
 and Padmit-Assign-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (Assign x e)
 and Padmit-DiffAssign-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (DiffAssign x e)
 and Padmit-Test-simps[simp]: Padmit σ (? p)

and FAdmit-Geq-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (Geq t1 t2)
 and FAdmit-Prop-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (Prop p args)
 and FAdmit-Not-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (Not p)
 and FAdmit-And-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (And p q)
 and FAdmit-Exists-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (Exists x p)
 and FAdmit-Diamond-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (Diamond a p)
 and FAdmit-Context-simps[simp]: FAdmit σ (InContext C p)

fun extendf :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp \Rightarrow 'sz Rvec \Rightarrow ('sf + 'sz, 'sc, 'sz) interp
where extendf I R =
 (|Functions = (λf . case f of Inl f' \Rightarrow Functions I f' | Inr f' \Rightarrow (λ -. R \$ f')),
 Predicates = Predicates I,
 Contexts = Contexts I,
 Programs = Programs I,
 ODEs = ODEs I,
 ODEBV = ODEBV I
 |)

fun extendc :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp \Rightarrow 'sz state set \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc + unit, 'sz) interp
where extendc I R =
 (|Functions = Functions I,
 Predicates = Predicates I,
 Contexts = (λC . case C of Inl C' \Rightarrow Contexts I C' | Inr () \Rightarrow (λ -. R)),
 Programs = Programs I,
 ODEs = ODEs I,
 ODEBV = ODEBV I|)

definition adjoint :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst \Rightarrow 'sz state \Rightarrow
 ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp
where adjoint I $\sigma \nu$ =
 (|Functions = (λf . case SFunctions σf of Some f' \Rightarrow (λR . dterm-sem (extendf I R) f' ν) | None \Rightarrow Functions I f),
 Predicates = (λp . case SPredicates σp of Some p' \Rightarrow (λR . $\nu \in$ fml-sem (extendf I R) p') | None \Rightarrow Predicates I p),
 Contexts = (λc . case SContexts σc of Some c' \Rightarrow (λR . fml-sem (extendc I R)

$c')$ | $None \Rightarrow Contexts I c)$,
 $Programs = (\lambda a. case SPrograms \sigma a of Some a' \Rightarrow prog-sem I a' | None \Rightarrow Programs I a)$,
 $ODEs = (\lambda ode. case SODEs \sigma ode of Some ode' \Rightarrow ODE-sem I ode' | None \Rightarrow ODEs I ode)$,
 $ODEBV = (\lambda ode. case SODEs \sigma ode of Some ode' \Rightarrow ODE-vars I ode' | None \Rightarrow ODEBV I ode)$
 \Downarrow

lemma *dsem-to-ssem:dfree* $\vartheta \Longrightarrow dterm-sem I \vartheta \nu = sterm-sem I \vartheta (fst \nu)$
<proof>

definition *adjointFO*:: $(\text{'sf}, \text{'sc}, \text{'sz}) interp \Rightarrow (\text{'d}::finite \Rightarrow (\text{'sf}, \text{'sz}) trm) \Rightarrow \text{'sz} state \Rightarrow (\text{'sf} + \text{'d}, \text{'sc}, \text{'sz}) interp$
where *adjointFO* $I \sigma \nu =$
 $(\downarrow Functions = (\lambda f. case f of Inl f' \Rightarrow Functions I f' | Inr f' \Rightarrow (\lambda-. dterm-sem I (\sigma f') \nu))$,
 $Predicates = Predicates I$,
 $Contexts = Contexts I$,
 $Programs = Programs I$,
 $ODEs = ODEs I$,
 $ODEBV = ODEBV I$
 \Downarrow

lemma *adjoint-free*:

assumes *sfree*: $(\bigwedge i f'. SFunctions \sigma i = Some f' \Longrightarrow dfree f')$
shows *adjoint* $I \sigma \nu =$
 $(\downarrow Functions = (\lambda f. case SFunctions \sigma f of Some f' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. sterm-sem (extendf I R) f' (fst \nu)) | None \Rightarrow Functions I f)$,
 $Predicates = (\lambda p. case SPredicates \sigma p of Some p' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. \nu \in fml-sem (extendf I R) p') | None \Rightarrow Predicates I p)$,
 $Contexts = (\lambda c. case SContexts \sigma c of Some c' \Rightarrow (\lambda R. fml-sem (extendc I R) c') | None \Rightarrow Contexts I c)$,
 $Programs = (\lambda a. case SPrograms \sigma a of Some a' \Rightarrow prog-sem I a' | None \Rightarrow Programs I a)$,
 $ODEs = (\lambda ode. case SODEs \sigma ode of Some ode' \Rightarrow ODE-sem I ode' | None \Rightarrow ODEs I ode)$,
 $ODEBV = (\lambda ode. case SODEs \sigma ode of Some ode' \Rightarrow ODE-vars I ode' | None \Rightarrow ODEBV I ode)$
<proof>

lemma *adjointFO-free*: $(\bigwedge i. dfree (\sigma i)) \Longrightarrow (adjointFO I \sigma \nu =$
 $(\downarrow Functions = (\lambda f. case f of Inl f' \Rightarrow Functions I f' | Inr f' \Rightarrow (\lambda-. sterm-sem I (\sigma f') (fst \nu))))$,
 $Predicates = Predicates I$,
 $Contexts = Contexts I$,
 $Programs = Programs I$,
 $ODEs = ODEs I$,
 $ODEBV = ODEBV I)$

<proof>

definition $PFadjoint::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp \Rightarrow ('d::finite \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula) \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc + 'd, 'sz) interp$
where $PFadjoint I \sigma =$
 $(\langle Functions = Functions I,$
 $Predicates = Predicates I,$
 $Contexts = (\lambda f. case f of Inl f' \Rightarrow Contexts I f' | Inr f' \Rightarrow (\lambda-. fml-sem I (\sigma f'))),$
 $Programs = Programs I,$
 $ODEs = ODEs I,$
 $ODEBV = ODEBV I \rangle)$

fun $Ssubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent$
where $Ssubst (\Gamma, \Delta) \sigma = (map (\lambda \varphi. Fsubst \varphi \sigma) \Gamma, map (\lambda \varphi. Fsubst \varphi \sigma) \Delta)$

fun $Rsubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule$
where $Rsubst (SG, C) \sigma = (map (\lambda \varphi. Ssubst \varphi \sigma) SG, Ssubst C \sigma)$

definition $Sadmit::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent \Rightarrow bool$
where $Sadmit \sigma S \longleftrightarrow ((\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (fst S) \longrightarrow Fadmit \sigma (nth (fst S) i))$
 $\wedge (\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (snd S) \longrightarrow Fadmit \sigma (nth (snd S) i)))$

definition $Radmit::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow bool$
where $Radmit \sigma R \longleftrightarrow (((\forall i. i \geq 0 \longrightarrow i < length (fst R) \longrightarrow Sadmit \sigma (nth (fst R) i))$
 $\wedge Sadmit \sigma (snd R)))$

end end

theory *USubst-Lemma*

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

Frechet-Correctness

Static-Semantics

Coincidence

Bound-Effect

USubst

begin context *ids* **begin**

11 Soundness proof for uniform substitution rule

lemma *interp-eq*:

$f = f' \Longrightarrow p = p' \Longrightarrow c = c' \Longrightarrow PP = PP' \Longrightarrow ode = ode' \Longrightarrow odebv = odebv'$

\implies
 $\langle \text{Functions} = f, \text{Predicates} = p, \text{Contexts} = c, \text{Programs} = PP, \text{ODEs} = ode, \text{ODEBV} = odebv \rangle =$
 $\langle \text{Functions} = f', \text{Predicates} = p', \text{Contexts} = c', \text{Programs} = PP', \text{ODEs} = ode', \text{ODEBV} = odebv' \rangle$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.1 Lemmas about well-formedness of (adjoint) interpretations.

When adding a function to an interpretation with `extendf`, we need to show it's C1 continuous. We do this by explicitly constructing the derivative `extendf_deriv` and showing it's continuous.

primrec `extendf-deriv` :: $(\text{'sf}, \text{'sc}, \text{'sz}) \text{interp} \Rightarrow \text{'sf} \Rightarrow (\text{'sf} + \text{'sz}, \text{'sz}) \text{trm} \Rightarrow \text{'sz} \text{state} \Rightarrow \text{'sz} \text{Rvec} \Rightarrow (\text{'sz} \text{Rvec} \Rightarrow \text{real})$

where

`extendf-deriv I - (Var i) ν x = (λ -. 0)`
`extendf-deriv I - (Const r) ν x = (λ -. 0)`
`extendf-deriv I g (Function f args) ν x =`
 $(\text{case } f \text{ of}$
 $\text{Inl } ff \Rightarrow (\text{THE } f'. \forall y. (\text{Functions } I \text{ ff has-derivative } f' y) (\text{at } y))$
 $(\chi \text{ i. dterm-sem}$
 $\langle \text{Functions} = \text{case-sum } (\text{Functions } I) (\lambda f' -. x \$ f'), \text{Predicates}$
 $= \text{Predicates } I, \text{Contexts} = \text{Contexts } I, \text{Programs} = \text{Programs } I,$
 $\text{ODEs} = \text{ODEs } I, \text{ODEBV} = \text{ODEBV } I \rangle$
 $(\text{args } i) \nu) \circ$
 $(\lambda \nu'. \chi \text{ ia. extendf-deriv } I g (\text{args } ia) \nu x \nu')$
 $| \text{Inr } ff \Rightarrow (\lambda \nu'. \nu' \$ ff))$
 $| \text{extendf-deriv } I g (\text{Plus } t1 t2) \nu x = (\lambda \nu'. (\text{extendf-deriv } I g t1 \nu x \nu') +$
 $(\text{extendf-deriv } I g t2 \nu x \nu'))$
 $| \text{extendf-deriv } I g (\text{Times } t1 t2) \nu x =$
 $(\lambda \nu'. ((\text{dterm-sem } (\text{extendf } I x) t1 \nu * (\text{extendf-deriv } I g t2 \nu x \nu'))$
 $+ (\text{extendf-deriv } I g t1 \nu x \nu') * (\text{dterm-sem } (\text{extendf } I x) t2 \nu)))$
 $| \text{extendf-deriv } I g (\text{'$' -}) \nu = \text{undefined}$
 $| \text{extendf-deriv } I g (\text{Differential -}) \nu = \text{undefined}$

lemma `extendf-dterm-sem-continuous`:

fixes $f':(\text{'sf} + \text{'sz}, \text{'sz}) \text{trm}$ **and** $I:(\text{'sf}, \text{'sc}, \text{'sz}) \text{interp}$
assumes `free:dfree f'`
assumes `good-interp:is-interp I`
shows `continuous-on UNIV` $(\lambda x. \text{dterm-sem } (\text{extendf } I x) f' \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma `extendf-deriv-bounded`:

fixes $f':(\text{'sf} + \text{'sz}, \text{'sz}) \text{trm}$ **and** $I:(\text{'sf}, \text{'sc}, \text{'sz}) \text{interp}$
assumes `free:dfree f'`
assumes `good-interp:is-interp I`
shows `bounded-linear` $(\text{extendf-deriv } I i f' \nu x)$

<proof>

lemma *extendf-deriv-continuous*:

fixes $f'::('sf + 'sz, 'sz)$ *trm* **and** $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*

assumes *free:dfree f'*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

shows *continuous-on UNIV* $(\lambda x. \text{Blinfun } (\text{extendf-deriv } I \ i \ f' \ \nu \ x))$

<proof>

lemma *extendf-deriv*:

fixes $f'::('sf + 'sz, 'sz)$ *trm* **and** $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*

assumes *free:dfree f'*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

shows $\exists f'' . \forall x. ((\lambda R. \text{dterm-sem } (\text{extendf } I \ R) \ f' \ \nu) \text{ has-derivative } (\text{extendf-deriv } I \ i \ f' \ \nu \ x)) \text{ (at } x)$

<proof>

lemma *adjoint-safe*:

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

assumes *good-subst*: $(\bigwedge i \ f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ i = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dfree } f')$

shows *is-interp* $(\text{adjoint } I \ \sigma \ \nu)$

<proof>

lemma *adjointFO-safe*:

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

assumes *good-subst*: $(\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma \ i))$

shows *is-interp* $(\text{adjointFO } I \ \sigma \ \nu)$

<proof>

11.2 Lemmas about adjoint interpretations

lemma *adjoint-consequence*: $(\bigwedge f \ f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ f = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dsafe } f') \implies$

$(\bigwedge f \ f'. \text{SPredicates } \sigma \ f = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{fsafe } f') \implies \text{Vagree } \nu \ \omega \ (\text{FVS } \sigma) \implies$

$\text{adjoint } I \ \sigma \ \nu = \text{adjoint } I \ \sigma \ \omega$

<proof>

lemma *SIGT-plus1*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \ \omega \ (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT} \ (\text{Plus } t1 \ t2). \text{ case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ i \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$

$\implies \text{Vagree } \nu \ \omega \ (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT} \ t1. \text{ case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ i \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$

<proof>

lemma *SIGT-plus2*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \ \omega \ (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT} \ (\text{Plus } t1 \ t2). \text{ case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ i \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$

$\implies \text{Vagree } \nu \ \omega \ (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT} \ t2. \text{ case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ i \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$

<proof>

lemma *SIGT-times1*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \ \omega \ (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT} \ (\text{Times } t1 \ t2). \text{ case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \ i$

of Some $x \Rightarrow FVT\ x \mid None \Rightarrow \{\}$)
 \Rightarrow Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} t1. \text{ case } SFunctions\ \sigma\ i\ \text{ of } Some\ x \Rightarrow FVT\ x \mid None$
 $\Rightarrow \{\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SIGT-times2*: Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} (Times\ t1\ t2). \text{ case } SFunctions\ \sigma\ i$
 of Some $x \Rightarrow FVT\ x \mid None \Rightarrow \{\})$
 \Rightarrow Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} t2. \text{ case } SFunctions\ \sigma\ i\ \text{ of } Some\ x \Rightarrow FVT\ x \mid None$
 $\Rightarrow \{\})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-sterm-adjoint'*:
 assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge f\ f'. SFunctions\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \Rightarrow dsafe\ f'$
 assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f\ f'. SPredicates\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \Rightarrow fsafe\ f'$
 shows Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} \vartheta. \text{ case } SFunctions\ \sigma\ i\ \text{ of } Some\ x \Rightarrow FVT\ x \mid$
 None $\Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow \text{sterm-sem } (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \vartheta = \text{sterm-sem } (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \vartheta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-sterm-adjoint*:
 assumes *TUA*: *TUadmit* $\sigma\ \vartheta\ U$
 assumes *VA*: Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ (-U)$
 assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge f\ f'. SFunctions\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \Rightarrow dsafe\ f'$
 assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f\ f'. SPredicates\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \Rightarrow fsafe\ f'$
 shows *sterm-sem* $(adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \vartheta = \text{sterm-sem } (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \vartheta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-sterm-ntadjoint'*:
 assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge i. dsafe\ (\sigma\ i)$
 shows Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ ((\bigcup_{i \in \{i. Inr\ i \in SIGT\ \vartheta\}}. FVT\ (\sigma\ i))) \Rightarrow \text{sterm-sem}$
 $(adjointFO\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \vartheta = \text{sterm-sem } (adjointFO\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \vartheta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-sterm-ntadjoint*:
 assumes *TUA*: *NTUadmit* $\sigma\ \vartheta\ U$
 assumes *VA*: Vagree $\nu\ \omega\ (-U)$
 assumes *dsafe*: $\bigwedge i. dsafe\ (\sigma\ i)$
 assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
 shows *sterm-sem* $(adjointFO\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \vartheta = \text{sterm-sem } (adjointFO\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \vartheta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-dterm-adjoint'*:
 assumes *dfree*: $\bigwedge f\ f'. SFunctions\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \Rightarrow dfree\ f'$
 assumes *fsafe*: $\bigwedge f\ f'. SPredicates\ \sigma\ f = Some\ f' \Rightarrow fsafe\ f'$
 assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
 shows $\bigwedge \nu\ \omega. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup_{i \in SIGT} \vartheta. \text{ case } SFunctions\ \sigma\ i\ \text{ of } Some\ x \Rightarrow$
 $FVT\ x \mid None \Rightarrow \{\}) \Rightarrow dsafe\ \vartheta \Rightarrow \text{dterm-sem } (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \vartheta = \text{dterm-sem}$
 $(adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \vartheta$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-dterm-adjoint*:

assumes $TUA: TUadmit \sigma \vartheta U$
assumes $VA: Vagree \nu \omega (-U)$
assumes $dfree: \bigwedge f f'. SFunctions \sigma f = Some f' \implies dfree f'$
assumes $fsafe: \bigwedge f f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \implies fsafe f'$
assumes $dsafe: dsafe \vartheta$
assumes $good\text{-interp}: is\text{-interp } I$
shows $dterm\text{-sem} (adjoint I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = dterm\text{-sem} (adjoint I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uadmit\text{-dterm}\text{-ntadjoint}'$:
assumes $dfree: \bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$
assumes $good\text{-interp}: is\text{-interp } I$
shows $\bigwedge \nu \omega. Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup i \in \{i. Inr i \in SIGT \vartheta\}. FVT (\sigma i)) \implies dsafe \vartheta$
 $\implies dterm\text{-sem} (adjointFO I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = dterm\text{-sem} (adjointFO I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uadmit\text{-dterm}\text{-ntadjoint}$:
assumes $TUA: NTUadmit \sigma \vartheta U$
assumes $VA: Vagree \nu \omega (-U)$
assumes $dfree: \bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i)$
assumes $dsafe: dsafe \vartheta$
assumes $good\text{-interp}: is\text{-interp } I$
shows $dterm\text{-sem} (adjointFO I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = dterm\text{-sem} (adjointFO I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

definition $ssafe :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst \Rightarrow bool$
where $ssafe \sigma \equiv$
 $(\forall i f'. SFunctions \sigma i = Some f' \longrightarrow dfree f') \wedge$
 $(\forall f f'. SPredicates \sigma f = Some f' \longrightarrow fsafe f') \wedge$
 $(\forall f f'. SPrograms \sigma f = Some f' \longrightarrow hpsafe f') \wedge$
 $(\forall f f'. SODEs \sigma f = Some f' \longrightarrow osafe f') \wedge$
 $(\forall C C'. SContexts \sigma C = Some C' \longrightarrow fsafe C')$

lemma $uadmit\text{-dterm}\text{-adjointS}$:
assumes $ssafe: ssafe \sigma$
assumes $good\text{-interp}: is\text{-interp } I$
fixes $\nu \omega$
assumes $VA: Vagree \nu \omega (\bigcup i \in SIGT \vartheta. case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x \mid None \Rightarrow \{\})$
assumes $dsafe: dsafe \vartheta$
shows $dterm\text{-sem} (adjoint I \sigma \nu) \vartheta = dterm\text{-sem} (adjoint I \sigma \omega) \vartheta$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $adj\text{-sub}\text{-assign}\text{-fact}: \bigwedge i j e. i \in SIGT e \implies j \in (case SFunctions \sigma i of Some x \Rightarrow FVT x \mid None \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies Inl i \in (\{Inl x \mid x. x \in dom (SFunctions \sigma)\} \cup \{Inr (Inl x) \mid x. x \in dom (SContexts \sigma)\} \cup \{Inr (Inr x) \mid x. x \in dom (SPredicates \sigma)\} \cup \{Inr (Inr x) \mid x. x \in dom (SPrograms \sigma)\}) \cap \{Inl x \mid x. x \in SIGT e\}$

<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-geq1-fact*: $\bigwedge i j x1 x2. i \in \text{SIGT } x1 \implies j \in (\text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPredicates } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{SIGT } x1 \vee x \in \text{SIGT } x2\}$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-geq2-fact*: $\bigwedge i j x1 x2. i \in \text{SIGT } x2 \implies j \in (\text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPredicates } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{SIGT } x1 \vee x \in \text{SIGT } x2\}$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-prop-fact*: $\bigwedge i j x1 x2 k. i \in \text{SIGT } (x2 k) \implies j \in (\text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPredicates } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap \text{insert } (\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x1)) \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. \exists xa. x \in \text{SIGT } (x2 xa)\}$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-ode-fact*: $\bigwedge i j x1 x2. \text{Inl } i \in \text{SIGO } x1 \implies j \in (\text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \implies \text{Inl } i \in (\{\text{Inl } x \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SFunctions } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inl } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SContexts } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPredicates } \sigma)\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. x \in \text{dom } (\text{SPrograms } \sigma)\}) \cap (\text{SIGF } x2 \cup \{\text{Inl } x \mid x. \text{Inl } x \in \text{SIGO } x1\} \cup \{\text{Inr } (\text{Inr } x) \mid x. \text{Inr } x \in \text{SIGO } x1\})$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-assign*: $\bigwedge e \sigma x. (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT } e. \text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in \text{SDom } \sigma \cap \text{SIGP } (x := e). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-diff-assign*: $\bigwedge e \sigma x. (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT } e. \text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in \text{SDom } \sigma \cap \text{SIGP } (\text{DiffAssign } x e). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-geq1*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT } x1. \text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in \text{SDom } \sigma \cap \text{SIGF } (\text{Geq } x1 x2). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-geq2*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT } x2. \text{case } \text{SFunctions } \sigma \text{ i of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in \text{SDom } \sigma \cap \text{SIGF } (\text{Geq } x1 x2). \text{SFV } \sigma a)$
<proof>

lemma *adj-sub-prop*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2 j . (\bigcup i \in \text{SIGT } (x2 j) . \text{case } S\text{Functions } \sigma i \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\}) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in \text{SDom } \sigma \cap \text{SIGF } (\$ \varphi x1 x2) . \text{SFV } \sigma a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adj-sub-ode*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2 . (\bigcup i \in \{i \mid i . \text{Inl } i \in \text{SIGO } x1\} . \text{case } S\text{Functions } \sigma i \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x) \subseteq (\bigcup a \in \text{SDom } \sigma \cap \text{SIGP } (\text{EvolveODE } x1 x2) . \text{SFV } \sigma a)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-ode-adjoint'*:

fixes σI
assumes *ssafe*: *ssafe* σ
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
shows $\bigwedge \nu \omega . \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (\bigcup i \in \{i \mid i . \text{Inl } i \in \text{SIGO } \text{ODE}\} . \text{case } S\text{Functions } \sigma i \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\} \mid \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x) \Longrightarrow \text{osafe } \text{ODE} \Longrightarrow \text{ODE-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu)$
 $\text{ODE} = \text{ODE-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \text{ ODE}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-ode-ntadjoint'*:

fixes σI
assumes *ssafe*: $\bigwedge i . \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
shows $\bigwedge \nu \omega . \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (\bigcup y \in \{y . \text{Inl } (\text{Inr } y) \in \text{SIGO } \text{ODE}\} . \text{FVT } (\sigma y)) \Longrightarrow \text{osafe } \text{ODE} \Longrightarrow \text{ODE-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \text{ ODE} = \text{ODE-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \text{ ODE}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjoint-ode-vars*:

shows $\text{ODE-vars } (\text{local.adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \text{ ODE} = \text{ODE-vars } (\text{local.adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \text{ ODE}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-mkv-adjoint*:

assumes *ssafe*: *ssafe* σ
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
assumes *VA*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \omega (\bigcup i \in \{i \mid i . (\text{Inl } i \in \text{SIGO } \text{ODE})\} . \text{case } S\text{Functions } \sigma i \text{ of } \text{Some } x \Rightarrow \text{FVT } x \mid \text{None} \Rightarrow \{\})$
assumes *osafe*: *osafe* ODE
shows $\text{mk-v } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \text{ ODE} = \text{mk-v } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \omega) \text{ ODE}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *adjointFO-ode-vars*:

shows $\text{ODE-vars } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \text{ ODE} = \text{ODE-vars } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \text{ ODE}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *uadmit-mkv-ntadjoint*:

assumes *ssafe*: $\bigwedge i . \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I

assumes $VA: Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ (Inr\ y) \in SIGO\ ODE\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y))$
assumes $osafe: osafe\ ODE$
shows $mk\text{-}v\ (adjointFO\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ ODE = mk\text{-}v\ (adjointFO\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ ODE$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uadmit\text{-}prog\text{-}fml\text{-}adjoint'$:

fixes $\sigma\ I$
assumes $ssafe: ssafe\ \sigma$
assumes $good\text{-}interp: is\text{-}interp\ I$
shows $\bigwedge \nu\ \omega. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup x \in SDom\ \sigma \cap SIGP\ \alpha. SFV\ \sigma\ x) \implies hpsafe\ \alpha \implies$
 $prog\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \alpha = prog\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \alpha$
and $\bigwedge \nu\ \omega. Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (\bigcup x \in SDom\ \sigma \cap SIGF\ \varphi. SFV\ \sigma\ x) \implies fsafe\ \varphi \implies$
 $fml\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \varphi = fml\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uadmit\text{-}prog\text{-}adjoint$:

assumes $PUA: PUadmit\ \sigma\ a\ U$
assumes $VA: Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (-U)$
assumes $hpsafe: hpsafe\ a$
assumes $ssafe: ssafe\ \sigma$
assumes $good\text{-}interp: is\text{-}interp\ I$
shows $prog\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ a = prog\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ a$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $uadmit\text{-}fml\text{-}adjoint$:

assumes $FUA: FUadmit\ \sigma\ \varphi\ U$
assumes $VA: Vagree\ \nu\ \omega\ (-U)$
assumes $fsafe: fsafe\ \varphi$
assumes $ssafe: ssafe\ \sigma$
assumes $good\text{-}interp: is\text{-}interp\ I$
shows $fml\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ \varphi = fml\text{-}sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \omega)\ \varphi$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntadj\text{-}sub\text{-}assign: \bigwedge e\ \sigma\ x. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inr\ y \in SIGT\ e\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ (Inr\ y) \in SIGP\ (Assign\ x\ e)\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntadj\text{-}sub\text{-}diff\text{-}assign: \bigwedge e\ \sigma\ x. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ y \in SIGT\ e\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ (Inl\ y) \in SIGP\ (DiffAssign\ x\ e)\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntadj\text{-}sub\text{-}geq1: \bigwedge \sigma\ x1\ x2. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ y \in SIGT\ x1\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ (Inl\ y) \in SIGF\ (Geq\ x1\ x2)\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ntadj\text{-}sub\text{-}geq2: \bigwedge \sigma\ x1\ x2. (\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ y \in SIGT\ x2\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y)) \subseteq$
 $(\bigcup y \in \{y. Inl\ (Inl\ y) \in SIGF\ (Geq\ x1\ x2)\}).\ FVT\ (\sigma\ y))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-prop*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2 j. (\bigcup y \in \{y. \text{Inl } y \in \text{SIGT } (x2 j)\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma y))$
 $\subseteq (\bigcup y \in \{y. \text{Inl } (\text{Inl } y) \in \text{SIGF } (\$ \varphi x1 x2)\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *ntadj-sub-ode*: $\bigwedge \sigma x1 x2. (\bigcup y \in \{y. \text{Inl } (\text{Inl } y) \in \text{SIGO } x1\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma y))$
 $\subseteq (\bigcup y \in \{y. \text{Inl } (\text{Inl } y) \in \text{SIGP } (\text{EvolveODE } x1 x2)\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma y))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-prog-fml-ntadjoint'*:

fixes σI
assumes *ssafe*: $\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
shows $\bigwedge \nu \omega. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (\bigcup x \in \{x. \text{Inl } (\text{Inr } x) \in \text{SIGP } \alpha\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma x)) \implies$
 $\text{hpsafe } \alpha \implies \text{prog-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \alpha = \text{prog-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \alpha$
and $\bigwedge \nu \omega. \text{Vagree } \nu \omega (\bigcup x \in \{x. \text{Inl } (\text{Inr } x) \in \text{SIGF } \varphi\}. \text{FVT } (\sigma x)) \implies \text{fsafe}$
 $\varphi \implies \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \varphi = \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-prog-ntadjoint*:

assumes *TUA*: $\text{PUadmitFO } \sigma \alpha U$
assumes *VA*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *dfree*: $\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$
assumes *hpsafe*: $\text{hpsafe } \alpha$
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
shows $\text{prog-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \alpha = \text{prog-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \alpha$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *uadmit-fml-ntadjoint*:

assumes *TUA*: $\text{FUadmitFO } \sigma \varphi U$
assumes *VA*: $\text{Vagree } \nu \omega (-U)$
assumes *dfree*: $\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)$
assumes *fsafe*: $\text{fsafe } \varphi$
assumes *good-interp*: *is-interp* I
shows $\text{fml-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \varphi = \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \omega) \varphi$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

11.3 Substitution theorems for terms

lemma *nsubst-term*:

fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{interp}$
fixes $\nu::'sz \text{state}$
shows $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{term-sem } I (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma)$
 $(\text{fst } \nu) = \text{term-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta (\text{fst } \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *nsubst-term'*:

fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{interp}$
fixes $a b::'sz \text{simple-state}$
shows $\text{TadmitFFO } \sigma \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{term-sem } I (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma)$

$a = \text{sterm-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma (a,b)) \vartheta a$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ntsubst-preserves-free*:

$\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dfree } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{dfree}(\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tsubst-preserves-free*:

$\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma i = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dfree } f') \implies \text{dfree}(\text{Tsubst } \vartheta \sigma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-sterm*:

fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*

fixes $\nu::'sz$ *state*

shows

$\text{TadmitF } \sigma \vartheta \implies$

$(\bigwedge i f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma i = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dfree } f') \implies$

$\text{sterm-sem } I (\text{Tsubst } \vartheta \sigma) (\text{fst } \nu) = \text{sterm-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta (\text{fst } \nu)$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ntsubst-dterm'*:

fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*

fixes $\nu::'sz$ *state*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I

shows $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \vartheta \implies \text{dfree } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{dterm-sem } I$
 $(\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma) \nu = \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta \nu$

⟨proof⟩

lemma *ntsubst-free-to-safe*:

$\text{dfree } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{dsafe } (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ntsubst-preserves-safe*:

$\text{dsafe } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dfree } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{dsafe } (\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *tsubst-preserves-safe*:

$\text{dsafe } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma i = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dfree } f') \implies \text{dsafe}(\text{Tsubst } \vartheta \sigma)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *subst-dterm*:

fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I

shows

$\text{Tadmit } \sigma \vartheta \implies$

$\text{dsafe } \vartheta \implies$

$(\bigwedge i f'. \text{SFunctions } \sigma i = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{dfree } f') \implies$

$(\bigwedge f f'. \text{SPredicates } \sigma f = \text{Some } f' \implies \text{fsafe } f') \implies$
 $(\bigwedge \nu. \text{dterm-sem } I (\text{Tsubst } \vartheta \sigma) \nu = \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta \nu)$
 <proof>

11.4 Substitution theorems for ODEs

lemma *osubst-preserves-safe:*

assumes *ssafe:ssafe* σ
shows $(\text{osafe } \text{ODE} \implies \text{Oadmit } \sigma \text{ ODE } U \implies \text{osafe } (\text{Osubst } \text{ODE } \sigma))$
 <proof>

lemma *nosubst-preserves-safe:*

assumes *sfree:* $\bigwedge i. \text{dfree } (\sigma i)$
fixes $\alpha :: ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) \text{hp}$ **and** $\varphi :: ('a + 'd, 'b, 'c) \text{formula}$
shows $(\text{osafe } \text{ODE} \implies \text{OUadmitFO } \sigma \text{ ODE } U \implies \text{osafe } (\text{OsubstFO } \text{ODE } \sigma))$
 <proof>

lemma *nsubst-dterm:*

fixes $I :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{interp}$
fixes $\nu :: 'sz \text{state}$
fixes $\nu' :: 'sz \text{state}$
assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I
shows $\text{TadmitFO } \sigma \vartheta \implies \text{dsafe } \vartheta \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{dterm-sem } I$
 $(\text{TsubstFO } \vartheta \sigma) \nu = \text{dterm-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \vartheta \nu$
 <proof>

lemma *nsubst-ode:*

fixes $I :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{interp}$
fixes $\nu :: 'sz \text{state}$
fixes $\nu' :: 'sz \text{state}$
assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I
shows $\text{osafe } \text{ODE} \implies \text{OadmitFO } \sigma \text{ ODE } U \implies (\bigwedge i. \text{dsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies \text{ODE-sem}$
 $I (\text{OsubstFO } \text{ODE } \sigma) (\text{fst } \nu) = \text{ODE-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \text{ODE } (\text{fst } \nu)$
 <proof>

lemma *osubst-preserves-BVO:*

shows $\text{BVO } (\text{OsubstFO } \text{ODE } \sigma) = \text{BVO } \text{ODE}$
 <proof>

lemma *osubst-preserves-ODE-vars:*

shows $\text{ODE-vars } I (\text{OsubstFO } \text{ODE } \sigma) = \text{ODE-vars } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \text{ODE}$
 <proof>

lemma *osubst-preserves-semBV:*

shows $\text{semBV } I (\text{OsubstFO } \text{ODE } \sigma) = \text{semBV } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \text{ODE}$
 <proof>

lemma *nsubst-mkv:*

fixes $I :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{interp}$

fixes $\nu::'sz$ state
fixes $\nu'::'sz$ state
assumes $good\text{-interp}:is\text{-interp } I$
assumes $NOU:OadmitFO \sigma ODE U$
assumes $osafe:osafe ODE$
assumes $frees:(\bigwedge i. dsafe (\sigma i))$
shows $(mk\text{-}v I (OsubstFO ODE \sigma) \nu (fst \nu'))$
 $= (mk\text{-}v (adjointFO I \sigma \nu') ODE \nu (fst \nu'))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ODE\text{-unbound-zero}$:
fixes i
shows $Inl i \notin BVO ODE \implies ODE\text{-sem } I ODE x \$ i = 0$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ODE\text{-bound-effect}$:
fixes $s t sol ODE X b$
assumes $s:s \in \{0..t\}$
assumes $sol:(sol \text{ solves-ode } (\lambda-. ODE\text{-sem } I ODE)) \{0..t\} X$
shows $Vagree (sol 0, b) (sol s, b) (\neg(BVO ODE))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $NO\text{-sub}:OadmitFO \sigma ODE A \implies B \subseteq A \implies OadmitFO \sigma ODE B$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $NO\text{-to-NOU}:OadmitFO \sigma ODE S \implies OUadmitFO \sigma ODE S$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

11.5 Substitution theorems for formulas and programs

lemma $nsubst\text{-hp-fml}$:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ interp}$
assumes $good\text{-interp}:is\text{-interp } I$
shows $(NPadmit \sigma \alpha \longrightarrow (hpsafe \alpha \longrightarrow (\forall i. dsafe (\sigma i)) \longrightarrow (\forall \nu \omega. ((\nu, \omega)$
 $\in prog\text{-sem } I (PsubstFO \alpha \sigma)) = ((\nu, \omega) \in prog\text{-sem } (adjointFO I \sigma \nu) \alpha)))) \wedge$
 $(NFadmit \sigma \varphi \longrightarrow (fsafe \varphi \longrightarrow (\forall i. dsafe (\sigma i)) \longrightarrow (\forall \nu. (\nu \in fml\text{-sem } I$
 $(FsubstFO \varphi \sigma)) = (\nu \in fml\text{-sem } (adjointFO I \sigma \nu) \varphi))))$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $nsubst\text{-fml}$:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) \text{ interp}$
fixes $\nu::'sz$ state
assumes $good\text{-interp}:is\text{-interp } I$
assumes $NFA:NFadmit \sigma \varphi$
assumes $fsafe:fsafe \varphi$
assumes $frees:(\forall i. dsafe (\sigma i))$
shows $(\nu \in fml\text{-sem } I (FsubstFO \varphi \sigma)) = (\nu \in fml\text{-sem } (adjointFO I \sigma \nu) \varphi)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *nsubst-hp*:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*
fixes $\nu::'sz$ *state*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
assumes *NPA:NP admit σ α*
assumes *hpsafe:hpsafe α*
assumes *frees: $\wedge i. dsafe$ (σ i)*
shows $((\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I (P\text{substFO } \alpha \sigma)) = ((\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } (\text{adjointFO } I \sigma \nu) \alpha)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *psubst-sterm*:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $(\text{sterm-sem } I \vartheta = \text{sterm-sem } (PF\text{adjoint } I \sigma) \vartheta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *psubst-dterm*:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $(dsafe \vartheta \implies \text{dterm-sem } I \vartheta = \text{dterm-sem } (PF\text{adjoint } I \sigma) \vartheta)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *psubst-ode*:
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $\text{ODE-sem } I \text{ ODE} = \text{ODE-sem } (PF\text{adjoint } I \sigma) \text{ ODE}$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *psubst-fml*:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $(PP\text{admit } \sigma \alpha \implies \text{hpsafe } \alpha \implies (\forall i. \text{fsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies (\forall \nu \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I (PP\text{subst } \alpha \sigma) = ((\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } (PF\text{adjoint } I \sigma) \alpha))) \wedge$
 $(PF\text{admit } \sigma \varphi \implies \text{fsafe } \varphi \implies (\forall i. \text{fsafe } (\sigma i)) \implies (\forall \nu. \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (PF\text{subst } \varphi \sigma) = (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (PF\text{adjoint } I \sigma) \varphi)))$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *subst-ode*:
fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp* **and** $\nu::'sz$ *state*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $\text{osafe } \text{ODE} \implies$
 $\text{ssafe } \sigma \implies$
 $\text{Oadmit } \sigma \text{ ODE } (BVO \text{ ODE}) \implies$
 $\text{ODE-sem } I (\text{Osubst } \text{ODE } \sigma) (\text{fst } \nu) = \text{ODE-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \text{ ODE } (\text{fst } \nu)$
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

lemma *osubst-eq-ODE-vars*: $\text{ODE-vars } I (\text{Osubst } \text{ODE } \sigma) = \text{ODE-vars } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \text{ ODE}$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-semBV:semBV* (*adjoint I σ ν'*) *ODE* = (*semBV I (Osubst ODE*

σ))

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-mkv:*

fixes *I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp*

fixes *ν::'sz state*

fixes *ν'::'sz state*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

assumes *NOU:Oadmit σ ODE (BVO ODE)*

assumes *osafe:osafe ODE*

assumes *frees:ssafe σ*

shows (*mk-v I (Osubst ODE σ) ν (fst ν')*)

= (*mk-v (adjoint I σ ν') ODE ν (fst ν')*)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-fml-hp:*

fixes *I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

shows

(*Padmit σ α* \longrightarrow

(*hpsafe α* \longrightarrow

ssafe σ \longrightarrow

($\forall \nu \omega. ((\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } I (Psubst \alpha \sigma)) = ((\nu, \omega) \in \text{prog-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \alpha))$))

\wedge

(*Fadmit σ φ* \longrightarrow

(*fsafe φ* \longrightarrow

ssafe σ \longrightarrow

($\forall \nu. (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)) = (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \varphi)$))

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-fml:*

fixes *I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and ν::'sz state*

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

assumes *Fadmit:Fadmit σ φ*

assumes *fsafe:fsafe φ*

assumes *ssafe:ssafe σ*

shows ($\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I (Fsubst \varphi \sigma)$) = ($\nu \in \text{fml-sem } (\text{adjoint } I \sigma \nu) \varphi$)

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *subst-fml-valid:*

fixes *I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) interp and ν::'sz state*

assumes *Fadmit:Fadmit σ φ*

assumes *fsafe:fsafe φ*

assumes *ssafe:ssafe σ*

assumes *valid:valid φ*

shows *valid* ($Fsubst \varphi \sigma$)
 <proof>

lemma *subst-sequent*:

fixes $I::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *interp* **and** $\nu::'sz$ *state*
assumes *good-interp:is-interp* I
assumes *Sadmit:Sadmit* $\sigma (\Gamma, \Delta)$
assumes *Ssafe:Ssafe* (Γ, Δ)
assumes *ssafe:ssafe* σ
shows $(\nu \in seq-sem\ I\ (Ssubst\ (\Gamma, \Delta)\ \sigma)) = (\nu \in seq-sem\ (adjoint\ I\ \sigma\ \nu)\ (\Gamma, \Delta))$
 <proof>

11.6 Soundness of substitution rule

theorem *subst-rule*:

assumes *sound:sound* R
assumes *Radmit:Radmit* $\sigma\ R$
assumes *FVS:FVS* $\sigma = \{\}$
assumes *Rsafe:Rsafe* R
assumes *ssafe:ssafe* σ
shows *sound* $(Rsubst\ R\ \sigma)$
 <proof>

end end

theory *Uniform-Renaming*

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

Frechet-Correctness

Static-Semantics

Coincidence

Bound-Effect

begin context *ids* **begin**

12 Uniform and Bound Renaming

Definitions and soundness proofs for the renaming rules Uniform Renaming and Bound Renaming. Renaming in dL swaps the names of two variables x and y , as in the swap operator of Nominal Logic.

fun *swap* $::'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz$
where *swap* $x\ y\ z = (if\ z = x\ then\ y\ else\ if\ z = y\ then\ x\ else\ z)$

12.1 Uniform Renaming Definitions

primrec $TUrename :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) trm \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) trm$

where

$TUrename\ x\ y\ (Var\ z) = Var\ (swap\ x\ y\ z)$
 $| TUrename\ x\ y\ (DiffVar\ z) = DiffVar\ (swap\ x\ y\ z)$
 $| TUrename\ x\ y\ (Const\ r) = (Const\ r)$
 $| TUrename\ x\ y\ (Function\ f\ args) = Function\ f\ (\lambda i. TUrename\ x\ y\ (args\ i))$
 $| TUrename\ x\ y\ (Plus\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2) = Plus\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta1)\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta2)$
 $| TUrename\ x\ y\ (Times\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2) = Times\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta1)\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta2)$
 $| TUrename\ x\ y\ (Differential\ \vartheta) = Differential\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)$

primrec $OUrename :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) ODE \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sz) ODE$

where

$OUrename\ x\ y\ (OVar\ c) = undefined$
 $| OUrename\ x\ y\ (OSing\ z\ \vartheta) = OSing\ (swap\ x\ y\ z)\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)$
 $| OUrename\ x\ y\ (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2) = OProd\ (OUrename\ x\ y\ ODE1)\ (OUrename\ x\ y\ ODE2)$

inductive $ORadmit :: ('sf, 'sz) ODE \Rightarrow bool$

where

$ORadmit\text{-}Sing: ORadmit\ (OSing\ x\ \vartheta)$
 $| ORadmit\text{-}Prod: ORadmit\ ODE1 \Longrightarrow ORadmit\ ODE2 \Longrightarrow ORadmit\ (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2)$

primrec $PUrename :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp$

and $FUrename :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula$

where

$PUrename\ x\ y\ (Pvar\ a) = undefined$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (Assign\ z\ \vartheta) = Assign\ (swap\ x\ y\ z)\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (DiffAssign\ z\ \vartheta) = DiffAssign\ (swap\ x\ y\ z)\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (Test\ \varphi) = Test\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (EvolveODE\ ODE\ \varphi) = EvolveODE\ (OUrename\ x\ y\ ODE)\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (Choice\ a\ b) = Choice\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ a)\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ b)$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (Sequence\ a\ b) = Sequence\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ a)\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ b)$
 $| PUrename\ x\ y\ (Loop\ a) = Loop\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ a)$

$| FUrename\ x\ y\ (Geq\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2) = Geq\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta1)\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta2)$
 $| FUrename\ x\ y\ (Prop\ p\ args) = Prop\ p\ (\lambda i. TUrename\ x\ y\ (args\ i))$
 $| FUrename\ x\ y\ (Not\ \varphi) = Not\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$
 $| FUrename\ x\ y\ (And\ \varphi\ \psi) = And\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \psi)$
 $| FUrename\ x\ y\ (Exists\ z\ \varphi) = Exists\ (swap\ x\ y\ z)\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$
 $| FUrename\ x\ y\ (Diamond\ \alpha\ \varphi) = Diamond\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ \alpha)\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$
 $| FUrename\ x\ y\ (InContext\ C\ \varphi) = undefined$

12.2 Uniform Renaming Admissibility

inductive $PRadmit :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) hp \Rightarrow bool$

and $FRadmit :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula \Rightarrow bool$
where
 $PRadmit-Assign: PRadmit (Assign\ x\ \vartheta)$
 $| PRadmit-DiffAssign: PRadmit (DiffAssign\ x\ \vartheta)$
 $| PRadmit-Test: FRadmit\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PRadmit (Test\ \varphi)$
 $| PRadmit-EvolveODE: ORadmit\ ODE \Longrightarrow FRadmit\ \varphi \Longrightarrow PRadmit (EvolveODE\ ODE\ \varphi)$
 $| PRadmit-Choice: PRadmit\ a \Longrightarrow PRadmit\ b \Longrightarrow PRadmit (Choice\ a\ b)$
 $| PRadmit-Sequence: PRadmit\ a \Longrightarrow PRadmit\ b \Longrightarrow PRadmit (Sequence\ a\ b)$
 $| PRadmit-Loop: PRadmit\ a \Longrightarrow PRadmit (Loop\ a)$

 $| FRadmit-Geq: FRadmit (Geq\ \vartheta1\ \vartheta2)$
 $| FRadmit-Prop: FRadmit (Prop\ p\ args)$
 $| FRadmit-Not: FRadmit\ \varphi \Longrightarrow FRadmit (Not\ \varphi)$
 $| FRadmit-And: FRadmit\ \varphi \Longrightarrow FRadmit\ \psi \Longrightarrow FRadmit (And\ \varphi\ \psi)$
 $| FRadmit-Exists: FRadmit\ \varphi \Longrightarrow FRadmit (Exists\ x\ \varphi)$
 $| FRadmit-Diamond: PRadmit\ \alpha \Longrightarrow FRadmit\ \varphi \Longrightarrow FRadmit (Diamond\ \alpha\ \varphi)$

inductive-simps

$FRadmit-box-simps[simp]: FRadmit (Box\ a\ f)$
and $PRadmit-box-simps[simp]: PRadmit (Assign\ x\ e)$

definition $RSadj :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz\ simple-state \Rightarrow 'sz\ simple-state$
where $RSadj\ x\ y\ \nu = (\chi\ z.\ \nu\ \$ (swap\ x\ y\ z))$

definition $Radj :: 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz \Rightarrow 'sz\ state \Rightarrow 'sz\ state$
where $Radj\ x\ y\ \nu = (RSadj\ x\ y (fst\ \nu), RSadj\ x\ y (snd\ \nu))$

lemma $SUren: sterm-sem\ I (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)\ \nu = sterm-sem\ I\ \vartheta (RSadj\ x\ y\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $ren-preserves-dfree: dfree\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow dfree (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

12.3 Uniform Renaming Soundness Proof and Lemmas

lemma $TUren-frechet:$

assumes $good-interp: is-interp\ I$
shows $dfree\ \vartheta \Longrightarrow frechet\ I (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)\ \nu\ \nu' = frechet\ I\ \vartheta (RSadj\ x\ y\ \nu)$
 $(RSadj\ x\ y\ \nu')$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $RSadj-fst: RSadj\ x\ y (fst\ \nu) = fst (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $RSadj-snd: RSadj\ x\ y (snd\ \nu) = snd (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $TUren:$

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*
shows $dsafe\ \vartheta \implies dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ (TUrename\ x\ y\ \vartheta)\ \nu = dterm\text{-}sem\ I\ \vartheta\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *adj-sum:RSadj x y ($\nu1 + \nu2$) = (RSadj x y $\nu1$) + (RSadj x y $\nu2$)*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *OUren: ORadmit ODE \implies ODE-sem I (OUrename x y ODE) $\nu = RSadj\ x\ y\ (ODE\text{-}sem\ I\ ODE\ (RSadj\ x\ y\ \nu))$*
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *state-eq:*
fixes $\nu\ \nu' :: 'sz\ state$
shows $(\bigwedge i. (fst\ \nu)\ \$\ i = (fst\ \nu')\ \$\ i) \implies (\bigwedge i. (snd\ \nu)\ \$\ i = (snd\ \nu')\ \$\ i) \implies \nu = \nu'$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-repv1:*
fixes $x\ y\ z :: 'sz$
shows $(Radj\ x\ y\ (repv\ \nu\ y\ r)) = repv\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)\ x\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-repv2:*
fixes $x\ y\ z :: 'sz$
shows $(Radj\ x\ y\ (repv\ \nu\ x\ r)) = repv\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)\ y\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-repv3:*
fixes $x\ y\ z :: 'sz$
assumes $zx:z \neq x$ **and** $zy:z \neq y$
shows $(Radj\ x\ y\ (repv\ \nu\ z\ r)) = repv\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)\ z\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-repd1:*
fixes $x\ y\ z :: 'sz$
shows $(Radj\ x\ y\ (repd\ \nu\ y\ r)) = repd\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)\ x\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-repd2:*
fixes $x\ y\ z :: 'sz$
shows $(Radj\ x\ y\ (repd\ \nu\ x\ r)) = repd\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)\ y\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-repd3:*
fixes $x\ y\ z :: 'sz$
assumes $zx:z \neq x$ **and** $zy:z \neq y$
shows $(Radj\ x\ y\ (repd\ \nu\ z\ r)) = repd\ (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu)\ z\ r$
 $\langle proof \rangle$

lemma *Radj-eq-iff*: $(a = b) = ((\text{Radj } x \ y \ a) = (\text{Radj } x \ y \ b))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *RSadj-cancel*: $\text{RSadj } x \ y \ (\text{RSadj } x \ y \ \nu) = \nu$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Radj-cancel*: $\text{Radj } x \ y \ (\text{Radj } x \ y \ \nu) = \nu$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *OUrename-preserves-ODE-vars*: $\text{ORadmit } \text{ODE} \implies \{z. (\text{swap } x \ y \ z) \in \text{ODE-vars } I \ \text{ODE}\} = \text{ODE-vars } I \ (\text{OUrename } x \ y \ \text{ODE})$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ren-proj*: $(\text{RSadj } x \ y \ a) \ \$ \ z = a \ \$ \ (\text{swap } x \ y \ z)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *swap-cancel*: $\text{swap } x \ y \ (\text{swap } x \ y \ z) = z$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *mkv-lemma*:

assumes *ORA*: $\text{ORadmit } \text{ODE}$
shows $\text{Radj } x \ y \ (\text{mk-v } I \ (\text{OUrename } x \ y \ \text{ODE}) \ (a, b) \ c) = \text{mk-v } I \ \text{ODE} \ (\text{RSadj } x \ y \ a, \ \text{RSadj } x \ y \ b) \ (\text{RSadj } x \ y \ c)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sol-lemma*:

assumes *ORA*: $\text{ORadmit } \text{ODE}$
assumes $t:0 \leq t$
assumes $\text{fml}:\bigwedge \nu. (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{FUrename } x \ y \ \varphi)) = (\text{Radj } x \ y \ \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi)$
assumes $\text{sol}:(\text{sol solves-ode } (\lambda a. \text{ODE-sem } I \ (\text{OUrename } x \ y \ \text{ODE}))) \ \{0..t\} \ \{x a. \text{mk-v } I \ (\text{OUrename } x \ y \ \text{ODE}) \ (\text{sol } 0, b) \ x a \in \text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{FUrename } x \ y \ \varphi)\}$
shows $((\lambda t. \text{RSadj } x \ y \ (\text{sol } t)) \ \text{solves-ode } (\lambda a. \text{ODE-sem } I \ \text{ODE})) \ \{0..t\} \ \{x a. \text{mk-v } I \ \text{ODE} \ (\text{RSadj } x \ y \ (\text{sol } 0), \ \text{RSadj } x \ y \ b) \ x a \in \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sol-lemma2*:

assumes *ORA*: $\text{ORadmit } \text{ODE}$
assumes $t:0 \leq t$
assumes $\text{fml}:\bigwedge \nu. (\nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{FUrename } x \ y \ \varphi)) = (\text{Radj } x \ y \ \nu \in \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi)$
assumes $\text{sol}:(\text{sol solves-ode } (\lambda a. \text{ODE-sem } I \ \text{ODE})) \ \{0..t\} \ \{x. \text{mk-v } I \ \text{ODE} \ (\text{sol } 0, b) \ x \in \text{fml-sem } I \ \varphi\}$
shows $((\lambda t. \text{RSadj } x \ y \ (\text{sol } t)) \ \text{solves-ode } (\lambda a. \text{ODE-sem } I \ (\text{OUrename } x \ y \ \text{ODE}))) \ \{0..t\} \ \{x a. \text{mk-v } I \ (\text{OUrename } x \ y \ \text{ODE}) \ (\text{RSadj } x \ y \ (\text{sol } 0), \ \text{RSadj } x \ y \ b) \ x a \in \text{fml-sem } I \ (\text{FUrename } x \ y \ \varphi)\}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *PUren-FUren*:

assumes *good-interp:is-interp I*

shows

$(PRadmit\ \alpha \longrightarrow hpsafe\ \alpha \longrightarrow (\forall\ \nu\ \omega. (\nu, \omega) \in prog\text{-}sem\ I\ (PUrename\ x\ y\ \alpha))$
 $\longleftrightarrow (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu, Radj\ x\ y\ \omega) \in prog\text{-}sem\ I\ \alpha))$
 $\wedge (FRadmit\ \varphi \longrightarrow fsafe\ \varphi \longrightarrow (\forall\ \nu. \nu \in fml\text{-}sem\ I\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi) \longleftrightarrow$
 $(Radj\ x\ y\ \nu) \in fml\text{-}sem\ I\ \varphi))$
<proof>

lemma *FUren:is-interp I* $\implies FRadmit\ \varphi \implies fsafe\ \varphi \implies (\bigwedge \nu. (\nu \in fml\text{-}sem\ I$
 $(FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)) = (Radj\ x\ y\ \nu \in fml\text{-}sem\ I\ \varphi))$

<proof>

12.4 Uniform Renaming Rule Soundness

lemma *URename-sound*: $FRadmit\ \varphi \implies fsafe\ \varphi \implies valid\ \varphi \implies valid\ (FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$

<proof>

12.5 Bound Renaming Rule Soundness

lemma *BRename-sound*:

assumes *FRA*: $FRadmit\ ([[Assign\ x\ \vartheta]]\varphi)$

assumes *fsafe*: $fsafe\ ([[Assign\ x\ \vartheta]]\varphi)$

assumes *valid*: $valid\ ([[Assign\ x\ \vartheta]]\varphi)$

assumes *FVF*: $\{Inl\ y, Inr\ y, Inr\ x\} \cap FVF\ \varphi = \{\}$

shows $valid\ ([[Assign\ y\ \vartheta]]FUrename\ x\ y\ \varphi)$

<proof>

end end

theory *Pretty-Printer*

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

begin

context *ids* **begin**

13 Syntax Pretty-Printer

The deeply-embedded syntax is difficult to read for large formulas. This pretty-printer produces a more human-friendly syntax, which can be helpful if you want to produce a proof term by hand for the proof checker (not recommended for most users).

fun *join* :: *string* \Rightarrow *char list list* \Rightarrow *char list*

where $join\ S\ [] = []$
 $| join\ S\ [S'] = S'$
 $| join\ S\ (S' \# SS) = S' @ S @ (join\ S\ SS)$

fun $vid\text{-to-string}::'sz \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $vid\text{-to-string}\ vid = (if\ vid = vid1\ then\ "x"\ else\ if\ vid = vid2\ then\ "y"\ else$
 $if\ vid = vid3\ then\ "z"\ else\ "w")$

fun $oid\text{-to-string}::'sz \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $oid\text{-to-string}\ vid = (if\ vid = vid1\ then\ "c"\ else\ if\ vid = vid2\ then\ "c2"$
 $else\ if\ vid = vid3\ then\ "c3"\ else\ "c4")$

fun $cid\text{-to-string}::'sc \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $cid\text{-to-string}\ vid = (if\ vid = pid1\ then\ "C"\ else\ if\ vid = pid2\ then\ "C2"$
 $else\ if\ vid = pid3\ then\ "C3"\ else\ "C4")$

fun $ppid\text{-to-string}::'sc \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $ppid\text{-to-string}\ vid = (if\ vid = pid1\ then\ "P"\ else\ if\ vid = pid2\ then\ "Q"$
 $else\ if\ vid = pid3\ then\ "R"\ else\ "H")$

fun $hpid\text{-to-string}::'sz \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $hpid\text{-to-string}\ vid = (if\ vid = vid1\ then\ "a"\ else\ if\ vid = vid2\ then\ "b"$
 $else\ if\ vid = vid3\ then\ "a1"\ else\ "b1")$

fun $fid\text{-to-string}::'sf \Rightarrow char\ list$
where $fid\text{-to-string}\ vid = (if\ vid = fid1\ then\ "f"\ else\ if\ vid = fid2\ then\ "g"\ else$
 $if\ vid = fid3\ then\ "h"\ else\ "j")$

primrec $trm\text{-to-string}::('sf, 'sz)\ trm \Rightarrow char\ list$
where

$trm\text{-to-string}\ (Var\ x) = vid\text{-to-string}\ x$
 $| trm\text{-to-string}\ (Const\ r) = "r"$
 $| trm\text{-to-string}\ (Function\ f\ args) = fid\text{-to-string}\ f$
 $| trm\text{-to-string}\ (Plus\ t1\ t2) = trm\text{-to-string}\ t1 @ "+" @ trm\text{-to-string}\ t2$
 $| trm\text{-to-string}\ (Times\ t1\ t2) = trm\text{-to-string}\ t1 @ "*" @ trm\text{-to-string}\ t2$
 $| trm\text{-to-string}\ (DiffVar\ x) = "Dv{" @ vid\text{-to-string}\ x @ "}"$
 $| trm\text{-to-string}\ (Differential\ t) = "D{" @ trm\text{-to-string}\ t @ "}"$

primrec $ode\text{-to-string}::('sf, 'sz)\ ODE \Rightarrow char\ list$
where

$ode\text{-to-string}\ (OVar\ x) = oid\text{-to-string}\ x$
 $| ode\text{-to-string}\ (OSing\ x\ t) = "d" @ vid\text{-to-string}\ x @ "=" @ trm\text{-to-string}\ t$
 $| ode\text{-to-string}\ (OProd\ ODE1\ ODE2) = ode\text{-to-string}\ ODE1 @ ", " @ ode\text{-to-string}\ ODE2$

fun $fml\text{-to-string}::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)\ formula \Rightarrow char\ list$
and $hp\text{-to-string}::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)\ hp \Rightarrow char\ list$
where

```

    fml-to-string (Geq t1 t2) = trm-to-string t1 @ ">=" @ trm-to-string t2
  | fml-to-string (Prop p args) = []
  | fml-to-string (Not p) =
    (case p of (And (Not q) (Not (Not p))) => fml-to-string p @ "->" @
fml-to-string q
    | (Exists x (Not p)) => "A"@ vid-to-string x @ "." @ fml-to-string p
    | (Diamond a (Not p)) => "[" @ hp-to-string a @ "]" @ fml-to-string p
    | (And (Not (And p q)) (Not (And (Not p') (Not q')))) =>
    (if (p = p' ^ q = q') then fml-to-string p @ "<->" @ fml-to-string
q else "!" @ fml-to-string (And (Not (And p q)) (Not (And (Not p') (Not q'))))
    | - => "!" @ fml-to-string p)
  | fml-to-string (And p q) = fml-to-string p @ "&" @ fml-to-string q
  | fml-to-string (Exists x p) = "E" @ vid-to-string x @ "." @ fml-to-string p
  | fml-to-string (Diamond a p) = "<" @ hp-to-string a @ ">" @ fml-to-string p
  | fml-to-string (InContext C p) =
    (case p of
    (Geq - -) => ppid-to-string C
    | - => cid-to-string C @ "(" @ fml-to-string p @ ")")

  | hp-to-string (Pvar a) = hp-id-to-string a
  | hp-to-string (Assign x e) = vid-to-string x @ " := " @ trm-to-string e
  | hp-to-string (DiffAssign x e) = "D{" @ vid-to-string x @ "} := " @ trm-to-string
e
  | hp-to-string (Test p) = "?" @ fml-to-string p
  | hp-to-string (EvolveODE ODE p) = "{" @ ode-to-string ODE @ "&" @
fml-to-string p @ "}"
  | hp-to-string (Choice a b) = hp-to-string a @ "U" @ hp-to-string b
  | hp-to-string (Sequence a b) = hp-to-string a @ ";" @ hp-to-string b
  | hp-to-string (Loop a) = hp-to-string a @ "*"

```

end end

theory Proof-Checker

imports

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

Axioms

Differential-Axioms

Frechet-Correctness

Static-Semantics

Coincidence

Bound-Effect

Uniform-Renaming

USubst-Lemma

Pretty-Printer

begin context *ids* **begin**

14 Proof Checker

This proof checker defines a datatype for proof terms in dL and a function for checking proof terms, with a soundness proof that any proof accepted by the checker is a proof of a sound rule or valid formula.

A simple concrete hybrid system and a differential invariant rule for conjunctions are provided as example proofs.

lemma *sound-weaken-gen*: $\bigwedge A B C. \text{sublist } A B \implies \text{sound } (A, C) \implies \text{sound } (B, C)$
<proof>

lemma *sound-weaken*: $\bigwedge SG SGS C. \text{sound } (SGS, C) \implies \text{sound } (SG \# SGS, C)$
<proof>

lemma *member-filter*: $\bigwedge P. \text{List.member } (\text{filter } P L) x \implies \text{List.member } L x$
<proof>

lemma *nth-member*: $n < \text{List.length } L \implies \text{List.member } L (\text{List.nth } L n)$
<proof>

lemma *mem-appL*: $\text{List.member } A x \implies \text{List.member } (A @ B) x$
<proof>

lemma *sound-weaken-appR*: $\bigwedge SG SGS C. \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } (SG @ SGS, C)$
<proof>

fun *start-proof*::('sf,'sc,'sz) *sequent* \Rightarrow ('sf,'sc,'sz) *rule*
where *start-proof* $S = ([S], S)$

lemma *start-proof-sound*: $\text{sound } (\text{start-proof } S)$
<proof>

15 Proof Checker Implementation

datatype *axiom* =
 AloopIter | *AI* | *Atest* | *Abox* | *Achoice* | *AK* | *AV* | *Aassign* | *Adassign*
 | *AdConst* | *AdPlus* | *AdMult*
 | *ADW* | *ADE* | *ADC* | *ADS* | *ADIGeq* | *ADIGr* | *ADG*

fun *get-axiom*:: *axiom* \Rightarrow ('sf,'sc,'sz) *formula*
where

get-axiom AloopIter = *loop-iterate-axiom*
 | *get-axiom AI* = *Iaxiom*
 | *get-axiom Atest* = *test-axiom*
 | *get-axiom Abox* = *box-axiom*
 | *get-axiom Achoice* = *choice-axiom*
 | *get-axiom AK* = *Kaxiom*

```

| get-axiom AV = Vaxiom
| get-axiom Aassign = assign-axiom
| get-axiom Adassign = diff-assign-axiom
| get-axiom AdConst = diff-const-axiom
| get-axiom AdPlus = diff-plus-axiom
| get-axiom AdMult = diff-times-axiom
| get-axiom ADW = DWaxiom
| get-axiom ADE = DEaxiom
| get-axiom ADC = DCaxiom
| get-axiom ADS = DSaxiom
| get-axiom ADIGeq = DIGeqaxiom
| get-axiom ADIGr = DIGraxiom
| get-axiom ADG = DGaxiom

```

lemma *axiom-safe:fsafe* (get-axiom a)
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *axiom-valid:valid* (get-axiom a)
 ⟨proof⟩

datatype *rrule* = *ImplyR* | *AndR* | *CohideR* | *CohideRR* | *TrueR* | *EquivR*
datatype *lrule* = *ImplyL* | *AndL* | *EquivForwardL* | *EquivBackwardL*

datatype ('a, 'b, 'c) *step* =
Axiom axiom
 | *MP*
 | *G*
 | *CT*
 | *CQ* ('a, 'c) *trm* ('a, 'c) *trm* ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst*
 | *CE* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst*
 | *Skolem*
 — Apply *Usubst* to some other (valid) formula
 | *VSubst* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula* ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst*
 | *AxSubst axiom* ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst*
 | *URename*
 | *BRename*
 | *Rrule rrule nat*
 | *Lrule lrule nat*
 | *CloseId nat nat*
 | *Cut* ('a, 'b, 'c) *formula*
 | *DEAxiomSchema* ('a, 'c) *ODE* ('a, 'b, 'c) *subst*

type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) *derivation* = (nat * ('a, 'b, 'c) *step*) list

type-synonym ('a, 'b, 'c) *pf* = ('a, 'b, 'c) *sequent* * ('a, 'b, 'c) *derivation*

fun *seq-to-string* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *sequent* ⇒ char list

where *seq-to-string* (A, S) = join " " (map *fml-to-string* A) @ " |—" @ join " "
 " (map *fml-to-string* S)

```

fun rule-to-string :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule ⇒ char list
where rule-to-string (SG, C) = (join ";; " (map seq-to-string SG)) @ " "
@ <del> seq-to-string C

```

```

fun close :: 'a list ⇒ 'a ⇒ 'a list
where close L x = filter (λy. y ≠ x) L

```

```

fun closeI :: 'a list ⇒ nat ⇒ 'a list
where closeI L i = close L (nth L i)

```

```

lemma close-sub:sublist (close Γ φ) Γ
  <proof>

```

```

lemma close-app-comm:close (A @ B) x = close A x @ close B x
  <proof>

```

```

lemma close-provable-sound:sound (SG, C) ⇒ sound (close SG φ, φ) ⇒ sound
(close SG φ, C)
  <proof>

```

```

fun Lrule-result :: lrule ⇒ nat ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent
list
where Lrule-result AndL j (A,S) = (case (nth A j) of And p q ⇒ [(close ([p, q]
@ A) (nth A j), S)])
| Lrule-result ImplyL j (A,S) = (case (nth A j) of Not (And (Not q) (Not (Not
p)))) ⇒
  [(close (q # A) (nth A j), S), (close A (nth A j), p # S)]
| Lrule-result EquivForwardL j (A,S) = (case (nth A j) of Not(And (Not (And p
q)) (Not (And (Not p') (Not q'))))) ⇒
  [(close (q # A) (nth A j), S), (close A (nth A j), p # S)]
| Lrule-result EquivBackwardL j (A,S) = (case (nth A j) of Not(And (Not (And
p q)) (Not (And (Not p') (Not q'))))) ⇒
  [(close (p # A) (nth A j), S), (close A (nth A j), q # S)]

```

— Note: Some of the pattern-matching here is... interesting. The reason for this is that we can only

— match on things in the base grammar, when we would quite like to check things in the derived grammar.

— So all the pattern-matches have the definitions expanded, sometimes in a silly way.

```

fun Rrule-result :: rrule ⇒ nat ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent ⇒ ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent
list

```

where

```

  Rstep-Imply:Rrule-result ImplyR j (A,S) = (case (nth S j) of Not (And (Not q)
(Not (Not p))) ⇒ [(p # A, q # (closeI S j))] | - ⇒ undefined)
| Rstep-And:Rrule-result AndR j (A,S) = (case (nth S j) of (And p q) ⇒ [(A, p
# (closeI S j)), (A, q # (closeI S j))])
| Rstep-EquivR:Rrule-result EquivR j (A,S) =

```


$(\text{case } (nth\ S\ j)\ \text{of } Not(And\ (Not\ (And\ p\ q))\ (Not\ (And\ (Not\ p')\ (Not\ q')))) \Rightarrow$
 $(\text{if } (p = p' \wedge q = q') \text{ then } [(p \# A, q \# (closeI\ S\ j)), (q \# A, p \#$
 $(closeI\ S\ j))]$
 $\text{else undefined}))$
 $| Rstep-CohideR:Rrule\text{-result } CohideR\ j\ (A,S) = [(A, [nth\ S\ j])]$
 $| Rstep-CohideRR:Rrule\text{-result } CohideRR\ j\ (A,S) = [([], [nth\ S\ j])]$
 $| Rstep-TrueR:Rrule\text{-result } TrueR\ j\ (A,S) = []$

fun *step-result* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow (nat * ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) step) \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)
rule
where
 $Step\text{-axiom:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,Axiom\ a) = (closeI\ SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-AxSubst:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,AxSubst\ a\ \sigma) = (closeI\ SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-Lrule:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,Lrule\ L\ j) = (close\ (append\ SG\ (Lrule\text{-result } L$
 $j\ (nth\ SG\ i)))\ (nth\ SG\ i),\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-Rrule:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,Rrule\ L\ j) = (close\ (append\ SG\ (Rrule\text{-result } L$
 $j\ (nth\ SG\ i)))\ (nth\ SG\ i),\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-Cut:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,Cut\ \varphi) = (\text{let } (A,S) = nth\ SG\ i\ \text{in } ((\varphi \# A, S)$
 $\# ((A, \varphi \# S) \# (closeI\ SG\ i)),\ C))$
 $| Step\text{-Vsubst:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,VSubst\ \varphi\ \sigma) = (closeI\ SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-CloseId:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,CloseId\ j\ k) = (closeI\ SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-G:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,G) = (\text{case } nth\ SG\ i\ \text{of } (-, (Not\ (Diamond\ q\ (Not$
 $p)))) \# Nil \Rightarrow (([], [p]) \# closeI\ SG\ i,\ C))$
 $| Step\text{-DEAxiomSchema:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,DEAxiomSchema\ ODE\ \sigma) = (closeI$
 $SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-CE:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,CE\ \varphi\ \psi\ \sigma) = (closeI\ SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-CQ:step-result } (SG,C)\ (i,CQ\ \vartheta_1\ \vartheta_2\ \sigma) = (closeI\ SG\ i,\ C)$
 $| Step\text{-default:step-result } R\ (i,S) = R$

fun *deriv-result* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) derivation \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz)
rule
where
 $deriv\text{-result } R\ [] = R$
 $| deriv\text{-result } R\ (s \# ss) = deriv\text{-result } (step\text{-result } R\ s)\ (ss)$

fun *proof-result* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule
where *proof-result* (D,S) = *deriv-result* (start-proof D) S

inductive *lrule-ok* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent list \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) sequent \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat
 \Rightarrow lrule \Rightarrow bool

where
 $Lrule\text{-And}:\bigwedge p\ q.\ nth\ (fst\ (nth\ SG\ i))\ j = (p \ \&\&\ q) \implies lrule\text{-ok } SG\ C\ i\ j\ AndL$
 $| Lrule\text{-Imply}:\bigwedge p\ q.\ nth\ (fst\ (nth\ SG\ i))\ j = (p \rightarrow q) \implies lrule\text{-ok } SG\ C\ i\ j\ ImplyL$
 $| Lrule\text{-EquivForward}:\bigwedge p\ q.\ nth\ (fst\ (nth\ SG\ i))\ j = (p \leftrightarrow q) \implies lrule\text{-ok } SG\ C\ i$
 $j\ EquivForwardL$
 $| Lrule\text{-EquivBackward}:\bigwedge p\ q.\ nth\ (fst\ (nth\ SG\ i))\ j = (p \leftrightarrow q) \implies lrule\text{-ok } SG\ C$
 $i\ j\ EquivBackwardL$

named-theorems *prover* Simplification rules for checking validity of proof certifi-

cates

lemmas [prover] = *axiom-defs Box-def Or-def Implies-def filter-append ssafe-def SDom-def FUadmit-def PFUadmit-def id-simps*

inductive-simps

Lrule-And[prover]: *lrule-ok SG C i j AndL*
and *Lrule-ImPLY*[prover]: *lrule-ok SG C i j ImPLYL*
and *Lrule-Forward*[prover]: *lrule-ok SG C i j EquivForwardL*
and *Lrule-EquivBackward*[prover]: *lrule-ok SG C i j EquivBackwardL*

inductive *rrule-ok* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *sequent list* \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *sequent* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow *nat*
 \Rightarrow *rrule* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

Rrule-And: $\bigwedge p q. \text{nth} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) j = (p \ \&\& \ q) \implies \text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ \text{AndR}$
Rrule-ImPLY: $\bigwedge p q. \text{nth} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) j = (p \ \rightarrow \ q) \implies \text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ \text{ImPLYR}$
Rrule-Equiv: $\bigwedge p q. \text{nth} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) j = (p \ \leftrightarrow \ q) \implies \text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ \text{EquivR}$
Rrule-Cohide: $\text{length} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) > j \implies (\bigwedge \Gamma q. (\text{nth} \text{SG } i) \neq (\Gamma, [q])) \implies \text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ \text{CohideR}$
Rrule-CohideRR: $\text{length} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) > j \implies (\bigwedge q. (\text{nth} \text{SG } i) \neq ([], [q])) \implies \text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ \text{CohideRR}$
Rrule-True: $\text{nth} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) j = \text{TT} \implies \text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ \text{TrueR}$

inductive-simps

Rrule-And-simps[prover]: *rrule-ok SG C i j AndR*
and *Rrule-ImPLY-simps*[prover]: *rrule-ok SG C i j ImPLYR*
and *Rrule-Equiv-simps*[prover]: *rrule-ok SG C i j EquivR*
and *Rrule-CohideR-simps*[prover]: *rrule-ok SG C i j CohideR*
and *Rrule-CohideRR-simps*[prover]: *rrule-ok SG C i j CohideRR*
and *Rrule-TrueR-simps*[prover]: *rrule-ok SG C i j TrueR*

inductive *step-ok* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *rule* \Rightarrow *nat* \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *step* \Rightarrow *bool*

where

Step-Axiom: $\text{nth} \text{SG } i = ([], [\text{get-axiom } a]) \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ (\text{Axiom } a)$
Step-AxSubst: $\text{nth} \text{SG } i = ([], [\text{Fsubst} (\text{get-axiom } a) \ \sigma]) \implies \text{Fadmit } \sigma \ (\text{get-axiom } a) \implies \text{ssafe } \sigma \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ (\text{AxSubst } a \ \sigma)$
Step-Lrule: $\text{lrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ L \implies j < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ (\text{Lrule } L \ j)$
Step-Rrule: $\text{rrule-ok } \text{SG } C \ i \ j \ L \implies j < \text{length} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ (\text{Rrule } L \ j)$
Step-Cut: $\text{fsafe } \varphi \implies i < \text{length} \ \text{SG} \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ (\text{Cut } \varphi)$
Step-CloseId: $\text{nth} (\text{fst} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) j = \text{nth} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) k \implies j < \text{length} (\text{fst} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) \implies k < \text{length} (\text{snd} (\text{nth} \text{SG } i)) \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ (\text{CloseId } j \ k)$
Step-G: $\bigwedge a p. \text{nth} \text{SG } i = ([], [([a]p)]) \implies \text{step-ok } (\text{SG}, C) \ i \ G$
Step-DEAxiom-schema:
 $\text{nth} \text{SG } i =$
 $([], [\text{Fsubst} ((([\text{EvolveODE} (\text{OProd} (\text{OSing } \text{vid1} \ (f1 \ \text{fid1} \ \text{vid1})) \ \text{ODE}) \ (p1 \ \text{vid2} \ \text{vid1}))) \ (P \ \text{pid1})) \leftrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
& ([[EvolveODE ((OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1))) ODE) (p1 vid2 vid1))] \\
& \quad [[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)] P pid1])) \sigma] \\
\Rightarrow & \text{ssafe } \sigma \\
\Rightarrow & \text{osafe } ODE \\
\Rightarrow & \{Inl \text{ vid1}, Inr \text{ vid1}\} \cap BVO \text{ ODE} = \{\} \\
\Rightarrow & \text{Fadmit } \sigma \left(\left(\left([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1))) ODE) (p1 \right. \right. \right. \\
& \left. \left. \left. \text{vid2 vid1} \right)] \right) (P \text{ pid1}) \right) \leftrightarrow \\
& \quad \left([[EvolveODE ((OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1))) ODE)) (p1 \text{ vid2 vid1}]] \right. \\
& \quad \left. [[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)] P \text{ pid1}]) \right) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{step-ok } (SG, C) \text{ i } (DEAxiomSchema \text{ ODE } \sigma) \\
| \text{ Step-CE:nth } SG \text{ i} = & (\ [], [Fsubst (Equiv (InContext \text{ pid1 } \varphi) (InContext \text{ pid1 } \psi)) \\
& \sigma]) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{valid } (Equiv \varphi \psi) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{fsafe } \varphi \\
\Rightarrow & \text{fsafe } \psi \\
\Rightarrow & \text{ssafe } \sigma \\
\Rightarrow & \text{Fadmit } \sigma (Equiv (InContext \text{ pid1 } \varphi) (InContext \text{ pid1 } \psi)) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{step-ok } (SG, C) \text{ i } (CE \varphi \psi \sigma) \\
| \text{ Step-CQ:nth } SG \text{ i} = & (\ [], [Fsubst (Equiv (Prop \text{ p } (singleton \vartheta)) (Prop \text{ p } (singleton \\
& \vartheta'))) \sigma]) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{valid } (Equals \vartheta \vartheta') \\
\Rightarrow & \text{dsafe } \vartheta \\
\Rightarrow & \text{dsafe } \vartheta' \\
\Rightarrow & \text{ssafe } \sigma \\
\Rightarrow & \text{Fadmit } \sigma (Equiv (Prop \text{ p } (singleton \vartheta)) (Prop \text{ p } (singleton \vartheta'))) \\
\Rightarrow & \text{step-ok } (SG, C) \text{ i } (CQ \vartheta \vartheta' \sigma)
\end{aligned}$$

inductive-simps

Step-G-simps[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i G
and *Step-CloseId-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (CloseId j k)
and *Step-Cut-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (Cut φ)
and *Step-Rrule-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (Rrule j L)
and *Step-Lrule-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (Lrule j L)
and *Step-Axiom-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (Axiom a)
and *Step-AxSubst-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (AxSubst a σ)
and *Step-DEAxiom-schema-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (DEAxiomSchema ODE σ)
and *Step-CE-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (CE $\varphi \psi \sigma$)
and *Step-CQ-simps*[prover]: *step-ok* (SG, C) i (CQ $\vartheta \vartheta' \sigma$)

inductive *deriv-ok* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule \Rightarrow ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) derivation \Rightarrow bool

where

Deriv-Nil: *deriv-ok* R Nil
| *Deriv-Cons*: *step-ok* R i S \Rightarrow $i \geq 0 \Rightarrow i < \text{length} (\text{fst } R) \Rightarrow \text{deriv-ok} (\text{step-result } R (i, S)) SS \Rightarrow \text{deriv-ok } R ((i, S) \# SS)$

inductive-simps

Deriv-nil-simps[prover]: *deriv-ok* R Nil
and *Deriv-cons-simps*[prover]: *deriv-ok* R ((i, S) # SS)

inductive *proof-ok* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf \Rightarrow bool

where

Proof-ok:deriv-ok (start-proof *D*) *S* \Longrightarrow *proof-ok* (*D*,*S*)

inductive-simps *Proof-ok-simps*[*prover*]: *proof-ok* (*D*,*S*)

15.1 Soundness

named-theorems *member-intros* Prove that stuff is in lists

lemma *mem-sing*[*member-intros*]: $\bigwedge x. \text{List.member } [x] x$
<proof>

lemma *mem-appR*[*member-intros*]: $\bigwedge A B x. \text{List.member } B x \Longrightarrow \text{List.member } (A @ B) x$
<proof>

lemma *mem-filter*[*member-intros*]: $\bigwedge A P x. P x \Longrightarrow \text{List.member } A x \Longrightarrow \text{List.member } (\text{filter } P A) x$
<proof>

lemma *sound-weaken-appL*: $\bigwedge SG SGS C. \text{sound } (SGS, C) \Longrightarrow \text{sound } (SG @ SGS, C)$
<proof>

lemma *fml-seq-valid*: *valid* $\varphi \Longrightarrow \text{seq-valid } ([], [\varphi])$
<proof>

lemma *closeI-provable-sound*: $\bigwedge i. \text{sound } (SG, C) \Longrightarrow \text{sound } (\text{closeI } SG i, (\text{nth } SG i)) \Longrightarrow \text{sound } (\text{closeI } SG i, C)$
<proof>

lemma *valid-to-sound*: *seq-valid* *A* $\Longrightarrow \text{sound } (B, A)$
<proof>

lemma *closeI-valid-sound*: $\bigwedge i. \text{sound } (SG, C) \Longrightarrow \text{seq-valid } (\text{nth } SG i) \Longrightarrow \text{sound } (\text{closeI } SG i, C)$
<proof>

lemma *close-nonmember-eq*: $\neg(\text{List.member } A a) \Longrightarrow \text{close } A a = A$
<proof>

lemma *close-noneq-nonempty*: *List.member* *A* *x* $\Longrightarrow x \neq a \Longrightarrow \text{close } A a \neq []$
<proof>

lemma *close-app-neq*: *List.member* *A* *x* $\Longrightarrow x \neq a \Longrightarrow \text{close } (A @ B) a \neq B$
<proof>

lemma *member-singD*: $\bigwedge x P. P x \implies (\bigwedge y. \text{List.member } [x] y \implies P y)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *fst-neq*: $A \neq B \implies (A, C) \neq (B, D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *lrule-sound*: $\text{lrule-ok } SG C i j L \implies i < \text{length } SG \implies j < \text{length } (\text{fst } (SG ! i)) \implies \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } (\text{close } (\text{append } SG (\text{Lrule-result } L j (\text{nth } SG i))) (\text{nth } SG i), C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *rrule-sound*: $\text{rrule-ok } SG C i j L \implies i < \text{length } SG \implies j < \text{length } (\text{snd } (SG ! i)) \implies \text{sound } (SG, C) \implies \text{sound } (\text{close } (\text{append } SG (\text{Rrule-result } L j (\text{nth } SG i))) (\text{nth } SG i), C)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *step-sound*: $\text{step-ok } R i S \implies i \geq 0 \implies i < \text{length } (\text{fst } R) \implies \text{sound } R \implies \text{sound } (\text{step-result } R (i, S))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *deriv-sound*: $\text{deriv-ok } R D \implies \text{sound } R \implies \text{sound } (\text{deriv-result } R D)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *proof-sound*: $\text{proof-ok } Pf \implies \text{sound } (\text{proof-result } Pf)$
 ⟨proof⟩

16 Example 1: Differential Invariants

definition *DIAndConcl*::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *sequent*

where *DIAndConcl* = (\square , [*Implies* (*And* (*Predicational* *pid1*) (*Predicational* *pid2*)))

(*Implies* (\square [*Pvar* *vid1*]) (*And* (*Predicational* *pid3*) (*Predicational* *pid4*)))
 (\square [*Pvar* *vid1*]) (*And* (*Predicational* *pid1*) (*Predicational* *pid2*))))

definition *DIAndSG1*::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *formula*

where *DIAndSG1* = (*Implies* (*Predicational* *pid1*) (*Implies* (\square [*Pvar* *vid1*]) (*Predicational* *pid3*)) (\square [*Pvar* *vid1*]) (*Predicational* *pid1*))))

definition *DIAndSG2*::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *formula*

where *DIAndSG2* = (*Implies* (*Predicational* *pid2*) (*Implies* (\square [*Pvar* *vid1*]) (*Predicational* *pid4*)) (\square [*Pvar* *vid1*]) (*Predicational* *pid2*))))

definition *DIAndCut*::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) *formula*

where *DIAndCut* =

(\square (\square [α *vid1*]) (*And* (*Predicational* (*pid3*)) (*Predicational* (*pid4*)))) \rightarrow (*And* (*Predicational* (*pid1*)) (*Predicational* (*pid2*))))
 \rightarrow (\square [α *vid1*]) (*And* (*Predicational* (*pid3*)) (*Predicational* (*pid4*)))) \rightarrow (\square [α *vid1*]) (*And* (*Predicational* (*pid1*)) (*Predicational* (*pid2*))))

definition $DIAndSubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *subst*

where $DIAndSubst =$

```

  (|  $SFunctions = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SPredicates = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SContexts = (\lambda C. (if C = pid1 then Some(And (Predicational (Inl pid3))
(Predicational (Inl pid4))))$ 
      else  $(if C = pid2 then Some(And (Predicational (Inl pid1)) (Predicational
(Inl pid2))) else None))$ ),
     $SPrograms = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SODEs = (\lambda-. None)$ 
  )

```

— $[a]R \& H \rightarrow R \rightarrow [a]R \& H \rightarrow [a]R$ $DIAndSubst34$

definition $DIAndSubst341::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *subst*

where $DIAndSubst341 =$

```

  (|  $SFunctions = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SPredicates = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SContexts = (\lambda C. (if C = pid1 then Some(And (Predicational (Inl pid3))
(Predicational (Inl pid4))))$ 
      else  $(if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid3)) else None)$ ),
     $SPrograms = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SODEs = (\lambda-. None)$ 
  )

```

definition $DIAndSubst342::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *subst*

where $DIAndSubst342 =$

```

  (|  $SFunctions = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SPredicates = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SContexts = (\lambda C. (if C = pid1 then Some(And (Predicational (Inl pid3))
(Predicational (Inl pid4))))$ 
      else  $(if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid4)) else None)$ ),
     $SPrograms = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SODEs = (\lambda-. None)$ 
  )

```

— $[a]P, [a]R \& H, P, Q \mid - [a]Q \rightarrow P \& Q \rightarrow [a]Q \rightarrow [a]P \& Q, [a]P \& Q;$

definition $DIAndSubst12::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *subst*

where $DIAndSubst12 =$

```

  (|  $SFunctions = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SPredicates = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SContexts = (\lambda C. (if C = pid1 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid2))$ 
      else  $(if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid1)) \&\& Predicational
(Inl pid2)) else None)$ ),
     $SPrograms = (\lambda-. None)$ ,
     $SODEs = (\lambda-. None)$ 
  )

```

— $P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow P \& Q$

definition $DIAndCurry12::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ *subst*

where $DIAndCurry12 =$

```

(| SFunctions = (λ-. None),
  SPredicates = (λ-. None),
  SContexts = (λC. (if C = pid1 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid1))
                    else (if C = pid2 then Some(Predicational (Inl pid2) → (Predicational
(Inl pid1) && Predicational (Inl pid2))) else None))),
  SPrograms = (λ-. None),
  SODEs = (λ-. None)
|)

```

definition *DIAnd* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) rule

where *DIAnd* =
 (([], [DIAndSG1]), ([], [DIAndSG2])),
 DIAndConcl)

definition *DIAndCutP1* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where *DIAndCutP1* = ([[Pvar vid1]](Predicational pid1))

definition *DIAndCutP2* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where *DIAndCutP2* = ([[Pvar vid1]](Predicational pid2))

definition *DIAndCutP12* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where *DIAndCutP12* = ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid1) → (Pc pid2 → (And (Pc pid1) (Pc pid2))))
 → ((([[Pvar vid1]]Pc pid1) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid2 → (And (Pc pid1) (Pc pid2)))))))

definition *DIAndCut34Elim1* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where *DIAndCut34Elim1* = ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4) → (Pc pid3))
 → ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4)) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3))))

definition *DIAndCut34Elim2* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where *DIAndCut34Elim2* = ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4) → (Pc pid4))
 → ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid3 && Pc pid4)) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid4))))

definition *DIAndCut12Intro* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) formula

where *DIAndCut12Intro* = ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid2 → (Pc pid1 && Pc pid2)))
 → ((([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid2)) → ([[Pvar vid1]](Pc pid1 && Pc pid2))))

definition *DIAndProof* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf

where *DIAndProof* =
 (DIAndConcl, [
 (0, Rrule ImplyR 0) — 1
 ,(0, Lrule AndL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
 ,(0, Cut DIAndCutP1)
 ,(1, Cut DIAndSG1)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 1 0)

,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), Cut DIAndCut34Elim1) — 11
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc 0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))))), G)
 ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))))), Lrule AndL 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))))), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), AxSubst AK DIAndSubst341) — 21
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc 0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, Cut DIAndCut12Intro)
 ,(Suc 0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), AxSubst AK DIAndSubst12)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(1, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc 0, Cut DIAndCutP12)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0) — 31
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), AxSubst AK DIAndCurry12)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), G)
 ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), Rrule ImplyR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), Rrule AndR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))))), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), CloseId 1 0) — 41
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc 0, Cut DIAndCut34Elim2)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc (Suc 0))), AxSubst AK DIAndSubst342) — 46
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), G) — 48
 ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), Lrule AndL 0) — 50
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 1 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(Suc 0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(1, Cut DIAndSG2)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 4 0)


```

    ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule ImplyL 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 0 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 0 0)
    ,(1, CloseId 1 0)
  ])

```

```

fun proof-take :: nat ⇒ ('sf,'sc,'sz) pf ⇒ ('sf,'sc,'sz) pf
where proof-take n (C,D) = (C,List.take n D)

```

```

fun last-step::('sf,'sc,'sz) pf ⇒ nat ⇒ nat * ('sf,'sc,'sz) step
where last-step (C,D) n = List.last (take n D)

```

```

lemma DIAndSound-lemma:sound (proof-result (proof-take 61 DIAndProof))
  ⟨proof⟩

```

17 Example 2: Concrete Hybrid System

— $v \geq 0 \wedge A() \geq 0 \longrightarrow [v' = A, x' = v]v' \geq 0$

definition *SystemConcl*::('sf,'sc,'sz) sequent

where *SystemConcl* =

```

  ([, [
    Implies (And (Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)) (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))
    ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (TT)]] Geq
    (Var vid1) (Const 0))
  ])

```

definition *SystemDICut* :: ('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemDICut* =

```

  Implies
    (Implies TT ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var
    vid1))) TT]]
    (Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))
  (Implies
    (Implies TT (Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)))
    ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]](Geq
    (Var vid1) (Const 0))))

```

definition *SystemDCCut*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemDCCut* =

```

  ((([EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]](Geq
  (f0 fid1) (Const 0))) →
  ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) TT]]((Geq
  (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))
  ↔
  ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And
  TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const
  0)))))

```

definition *SystemVCut*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemVCut* =

Implies (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)) ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) (*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*))

definition *SystemVCut2*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemVCut2* =

Implies (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)) ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) *TT*]] (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*))

definition *SystemDECut*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemDECut* = ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) (*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ((*Geq* (*Differential* (*Var vid1*)) (*Differential* (*Const 0*)))) ↔ ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) (*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] [[*DiffAssign vid1* (*f0 fid1*)] (*Geq* (*Differential* (*Var vid1*)) (*Differential* (*Const 0*)))]])

definition *SystemKCut*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemKCut* =

(*Implies* ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) (*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] (*Implies* ((*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ([[*DiffAssign vid1* (*f0 fid1*)] (*Geq* (*Differential* (*Var vid1*)) (*Differential* (*Const 0*)))])) (*Implies* ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) (*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ((*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))])) ([[*EvolveODE* (*OProd* (*OSing vid1* (*f0 fid1*)) (*OSing vid2* (*Var vid1*))) (*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ([[*DiffAssign vid1* (*f0 fid1*)] (*Geq* (*Differential* (*Var vid1*)) (*Differential* (*Const 0*)))]))

definition *SystemEquivCut*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemEquivCut* =

(*Equiv* (*Implies* ((*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ([[*DiffAssign vid1* (*f0 fid1*)] (*Geq* (*Differential* (*Var vid1*)) (*Differential* (*Const 0*)))])) (*Implies* ((*And TT* (*Geq* (*f0 fid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ([[*DiffAssign vid1* (*f0 fid1*)] (*Geq* (*DiffVar vid1*) (*Const 0*)))]))

definition *SystemDiffAssignCut*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemDiffAssignCut* =

(([[*DiffAssign vid1* (*\$f fid1 empty*)] (*Geq* (*DiffVar vid1*) (*Const 0*)))] ↔ (*Geq* (*\$f fid1 empty*) (*Const 0*))

definition *SystemCEFml1*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemCEFml1* = *Geq* (*Differential* (*Var vid1*)) (*Differential* (*Const 0*))

definition *SystemCEFml2*::('sf,'sc,'sz) formula

where *SystemCEFml2* = *Geq* (*DiffVar vid1*) (*Const 0*)

definition $CQ1Concl::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ formula
where $CQ1Concl = (Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))) \leftrightarrow Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Differential (Const 0))$

definition $CQ2Concl::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ formula
where $CQ2Concl = (Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Differential (Const 0))) \leftrightarrow Geq (' vid1) (Const 0)$

definition $CEReq::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ formula
where $CEReq = (Geq (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))) \leftrightarrow Geq (' vid1) (Const 0)$

definition $CQRightSubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ subst
where $CQRightSubst =$
 (| $SFunctions = (\lambda-. None)$,
 $SPredicates = (\lambda p. (if p = vid1 then (Some (Geq (DiffVar vid1) (Function (Inr vid1) empty))) else None))$,
 $SContexts = (\lambda-. None)$,
 $SPrograms = (\lambda-. None)$,
 $SODEs = (\lambda-. None)$
 |)

definition $CQLeftSubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ subst
where $CQLeftSubst =$
 (| $SFunctions = (\lambda-. None)$,
 $SPredicates = (\lambda p. (if p = vid1 then (Some (Geq (Function (Inr vid1) empty) (Differential (Const 0)))) else None))$,
 $SContexts = (\lambda-. None)$,
 $SPrograms = (\lambda-. None)$,
 $SODEs = (\lambda-. None)$
 |)

definition $CEProof::('sf, 'sc, 'sz)$ pf
where $CEProof = (([], [CEReq]), [$
 (0, Cut $CQ1Concl$)
 ,(0, Cut $CQ2Concl$)
 ,(1, Rrule $CohideRR 0$)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), CQ (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0) $CQRightSubst$)
 ,(1, Rrule $CohideRR 0$)
 ,(1, CQ (Differential (Var vid1)) (DiffVar vid1) $CQLeftSubst$)
 ,(0, Rrule $EquivR 0$)
 ,(0, Lrule $EquivForwardL 1$)
 ,(Suc (Suc 0), Lrule $EquivForwardL 1$)

```

,(Suc (Suc (Suc 0)), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
,(Suc 0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL (Suc (Suc 0)))
,(0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL (Suc 0))
,(0, CloseId 0 0)
,(0, CloseId 0 0)
])

```

lemma *CE-result-correct:proof-result CEProof* = ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, [CEReq]))
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *DiffConstSubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst*
where *DiffConstSubst* = ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$
 SFunctions = (λf . (if $f = \text{fid1}$ then (Some (Const 0)) else None)),
 SPredicates = (λ -. None),
 SContexts = (λ -. None),
 SPrograms = (λ -. None),
 SODEs = (λ -. None)
)

definition *DiffConstProof::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf*
where *DiffConstProof* = ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, [(Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0))]), [
 (0, AxSubst AdConst DiffConstSubst)]

lemma *diffconst-result-correct:proof-result DiffConstProof* = ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, [Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0)]))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *diffconst-sound-lemma:sound (proof-result DiffConstProof)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *valid-of-sound:sound ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, [φ])) \implies valid φ*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *almost-diff-const-sound:sound ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, [Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0)]))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *almost-diff-const:valid (Equals (Differential (Const 0)) (Const 0))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *almost-diff-var:valid (Equals (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) ($\$'$ vid1))*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *CESound-lemma:sound (proof-result CEProof)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *sound-to-valid:sound ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, ($\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$, [φ])) \implies valid φ*

<proof>

lemma *CE1pre:sound* ([], ([], [CEReq]))
<proof>

lemma *CE1pre-valid:valid CEReq*
<proof>

lemma *CE1pre-valid2:valid* (! (! (Geq (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))) && Geq (\$' vid1) (Const 0))) &&
! (! (Geq (Differential (trm.Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0))) && !
(Geq (\$' vid1) (Const 0))))
<proof>

definition *SystemDISubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst*

where *SystemDISubst* =

(| *SFunctions* = (λf .
(if $f = fid1$ then *Some*(*Function* (*Inr* $vid1$) *empty*)
else if $f = fid2$ then *Some*(*Const* 0)
else *None*)),
SPredicates = (λp . if $p = vid1$ then *Some* *TT* else *None*),
SContexts = ($\lambda-$. *None*),
SPrograms = ($\lambda-$. *None*),
SODEs = (λc . if $c = vid1$ then *Some* (*OProd* (*OSing* $vid1$ ($f0\ fid1$)) (*OSing*
 $vid2$ (*trm.Var* $vid1$))) else *None*)
|)

definition *SystemDCSubst::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst*

where *SystemDCSubst* =

(| *SFunctions* = (λ
 f . *None*),
SPredicates = (λp . *None*),
SContexts = (λC .
if $C = pid1$ then
 Some *TT*
else if $C = pid2$ then
 Some (*Geq* (*Differential* (*Var* $vid1$)) (*Differential* (*Const* 0)))
else if $C = pid3$ then
 Some (*Geq* (*Function* $fid1\ empty$) (*Const* 0))
else
 None),
SPrograms = ($\lambda-$. *None*),
SODEs = (λc . if $c = vid1$ then *Some* (*OProd* (*OSing* $vid1$ (*Function* $fid1$
empty)) (*OSing* $vid2$ (*trm.Var* $vid1$))) else *None*)
|)

definition *SystemVSubst*::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where *SystemVSubst* =
 (| *SFunctions* = (λf . None),
 SPredicates = (λp . if $p = vid1$ then Some (Geq (Function (Inl fid1) empty)
 (Const 0)) else None),
 SContexts = (λ -. None),
 SPrograms = (λa . if $a = vid1$ then
 Some (EvolveODE (OProd
 (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 empty))
 (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))
 (And TT (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0))))
 else None),
 SODEs = (λ -. None)
 |)

definition *SystemVSubst2*::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where *SystemVSubst2* =
 (| *SFunctions* = (λf . None),
 SPredicates = (λp . if $p = vid1$ then Some (Geq (Function (Inl fid1) empty)
 (Const 0)) else None),
 SContexts = (λ -. None),
 SPrograms = (λa . if $a = vid1$ then
 Some (EvolveODE (OProd
 (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 empty))
 (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))
 TT)
 else None),
 SODEs = (λ -. None)
 |)

definition *SystemDESubst*::('sf,'sc,'sz) subst
where *SystemDESubst* =
 (| *SFunctions* = (λf . if $f = fid1$ then Some(Function (Inl fid1) empty) else None),
 SPredicates = (λp . if $p = vid2$ then Some(And TT (Geq (Function (Inl fid1)
 empty) (Const 0))) else None),
 SContexts = (λC . if $C = pid1$ then Some(Geq (Differential (Var vid1))
 (Differential (Const 0))) else None),
 SPrograms = (λ -. None),
 SODEs = (λ -. None)
 |)

lemma *systemdesubst-correct*:: \exists ODE.(((EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1))
 (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And TT (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))) ((Geq (Differential
 (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))) \leftrightarrow
 ((EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And TT
 (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0))))
 [[DiffAssign vid1 (f0 fid1)]](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const
 0))))))
 = Fsubst (((EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)) ODE) (p1 vid2

$vid1]] (P pid1) \leftrightarrow$
 $(([EvolveODE ((OProd (OSing vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1))) ODE) (p1 vid2 vid1)])$
 $[[DiffAssign vid1 (f1 fid1 vid1)]]P pid1))) SystemDESsubst$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $SystemKSubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst$

where $SystemKSubst = (\ SFunctions = (\lambda f. None),$

$SPredicates = (\lambda -. None),$

$SContexts = (\lambda C. if C = pid1 then$

$(Some (And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0))))$

$else if C = pid2 then$

$(Some ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]](Geq (Differential (Var vid1)) (Differential (Const 0)))))) else None),$

$SPrograms = (\lambda c. if c = vid1 then Some (EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 empty)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) (And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0)))) else None),$

$SODEs = (\lambda -. None)$

\rangle

lemma $subst-imp-simp:Fsubst (Implies p q) \sigma = (Implies (Fsubst p \sigma) (Fsubst q \sigma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subst-equiv-simp:Fsubst (Equiv p q) \sigma = (Equiv (Fsubst p \sigma) (Fsubst q \sigma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $subst-box-simp:Fsubst (Box p q) \sigma = (Box (Psubst p \sigma) (Fsubst q \sigma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pfstub-box-simp:PFsubst (Box p q) \sigma = (Box (PPsubst p \sigma) (PFsubst q \sigma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

lemma $pfstub-imp-simp:PFsubst (Implies p q) \sigma = (Implies (PFsubst p \sigma) (PFsubst q \sigma))$

$\langle proof \rangle$

definition $SystemDWSubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst$

where $SystemDWSubst = (\ SFunctions = (\lambda f. None),$

$SPredicates = (\lambda -. None),$

$SContexts = (\lambda C. if C = pid1 then Some (And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0))) else None),$

$SPrograms = (\lambda -. None),$

$SODEs = (\lambda c. if c = vid1 then Some (OProd (OSing vid1 (Function fid1 empty)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1))) else None)$

\rangle

definition $SystemCESubst::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst$

where $SystemCESubst = (\ SFunctions = (\lambda f. None),$

```

    SPredicates = (λ-. None),
    SContexts = (λC. if C = pid1 then Some(Implies(And (Geq (Const 0) (Const
0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const 0))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]](Predicational
(Inr ()))))) else None),
    SPrograms = (λ-. None),
    SODEs = (λ-. None)
  )

```

lemma *SystemCESubstOK*:

```

  step-ok
  ([[[]],[Equiv (Implies(And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty)
(Const 0))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]]( SystemCEFml1)))
  (Implies(And (Geq (Const 0) (Const 0)) (Geq (Function fid1 empty) (Const
0))) ([[DiffAssign vid1 (Function fid1 empty)]]( (SystemCEFml2))))
  ]]),
  ([[[]]])
  0
  (CE SystemCEFml1 SystemCEFml2 SystemCESubst)
  <proof>

```

definition *SystemDiffAssignSubst*::('sf, 'sc, 'sz) subst

where *SystemDiffAssignSubst* = [] *SFunctions* = (λf. None),

```

  SPredicates = (λp. if p = vid1 then Some (Geq (Function (Inr vid1) empty)
(Const 0)) else None),
  SContexts = (λ-. None),
  SPrograms = (λ-. None),
  SODEs = (λ-. None)
  )

```

lemma *SystemDICutCorrect*:*SystemDICut* = *Fsubst DIGeqaxiom SystemDISubst*
 <proof>

definition *SystemProof* :: ('sf, 'sc, 'sz) pf

```

where SystemProof =
  (SystemConcl, [
    (0, Rrule ImPLYR 0)
    ,(0, Lrule AndL 0)
    ,(0, Cut SystemDICut)
    ,(0, Lrule ImPLYL 0)
    ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
    ,(0, Lrule ImPLYL 0)
    ,(Suc (Suc 0), CloseId 0 0)
    ,(Suc 0, AxSubst ADIGeq SystemDISubst) — 8
    ,(Suc 0, Rrule ImPLYR 0)
/(0, CloseId 1 0)/
    ,(Suc 0, CloseId 1 0)
/(0, Rrule ImPLYR 0)/
    ,(0, Rrule ImPLYR 0)
    ,(0, Cut SystemDCCut)
    ,(0, Lrule ImPLYL 0)
  ])

```


,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
 ,(0, AxSubst ADC SystemDCSubst) — 17
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, Cut SystemVCut)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, Cut SystemDECut)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(1, CloseId (Suc 1) 0) — Last step
 ,(Suc 1, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(1, AxSubst AV SystemVSubst) — 28
 ,(0, Cut SystemVCut2)

 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(Suc 1, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(Suc 1, CloseId (Suc 2) 0)

 ,(Suc 1, AxSubst AV SystemVSubst2) — 34
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, DEAxiomSchema (OSing vid2 (trm.Var vid1)) SystemDESubst) — 36
 ,(0, Cut SystemKCut)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, Lrule ImplyL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, AxSubst AK SystemKSubst) — 42
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
 ,(1, AxSubst ADW SystemDWSubst) — 45
 ,(0, G)
 ,(0, Cut SystemEquivCut)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideR 0)
 ,(0, CE SystemCEFml1 SystemCEFml2 SystemCESubst) — 52
 ,(0, Rrule ImplyR 0)
 ,(0, Lrule AndL 0)
 ,(0, Cut SystemDiffAssignCut)
 ,(0, Lrule EquivBackwardL 0)
 ,(0, Rrule CohideRR 0)
 ,(0, CloseId 0 0)
 ,(0, CloseId 1 0)
 ,(0, AxSubst Adassign SystemDiffAssignSubst) — 60

)

lemma *system-result-correct:proof-result SystemProof =*
 ([],
 ([], [Implies (And (Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)) (Geq (f0 fid1) (Const 0)))
 ([[EvolveODE (OProd (OSing vid1 (f0 fid1)) (OSing vid2 (Var vid1)))
 (TT)]] Geq (Var vid1) (Const 0)))]))
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *SystemSound-lemma:sound (proof-result SystemProof)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *system-sound:sound ([], SystemConcl)*
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *DIAnd-result-correct:proof-result (proof-take 61 DIAndProof) = DIAnd*
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *DIAnd-sound: sound DIAnd*
 ⟨proof⟩

end end

18 dL Formalization

theory *Differential-Dynamic-Logic*

imports

Complex-Main

Ordinary-Differential-Equations.ODE-Analysis

Ids

Lib

Syntax

Denotational-Semantics

Frechet-Correctness

Static-Semantics

Coincidence

Bound-Effect

Axioms

Differential-Axioms

USubst

USubst-Lemma

Uniform-Renaming

Proof-Checker

begin

end

References

- [1] B. Bohrer, V. Rahli, I. Vukotic, M. Völz, and A. Platzer. Formally verified differential dynamic logic. In Y. Bertot and V. Vafeiadis, editors, *Certified Programs and Proofs - 6th ACM SIGPLAN Conference, CPP 2017, Paris, France, January 16-17, 2017*, pages 208–221. ACM, 2017.
- [2] A. Platzer. A uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic. In A. P. Felty and A. Middeldorp, editors, *CADE*, volume 9195 of *LNCS*, pages 467–481. Springer, 2015.
- [3] A. Platzer. A complete uniform substitution calculus for differential dynamic logic. *J. Autom. Reas.*, 2016.