

Formalization of Concurrent Revisions

Roy Overbeek

May 14, 2024

Abstract

Concurrent revisions is a concurrency control model developed by Microsoft Research [1]. It has many interesting properties that distinguish it from other well-known models such as transactional memory. One of these properties is *determinacy*: programs written within the model always produce the same outcome, independent of scheduling activity. The concurrent revisions model has an operational semantics, with an informal proof of determinacy [2]. This document contains an Isabelle/HOL formalization of this semantics and the proof of determinacy. It is part of my master's thesis [3], which describes it in more detail.¹

Contents

1	Data	4
1.1	Function notations	4
1.2	Values, expressions and execution contexts	4
1.3	Plugging and decomposing	5
1.4	Stores and states	7
2	Occurrences	7
2.1	Definitions	7
2.1.1	Revision identifiers	7
2.1.2	Location identifiers	7
2.2	Inference rules	8
2.2.1	Introduction and elimination rules	8
2.2.2	Distributive laws	9
2.2.3	Miscellaneous laws	13

¹My master's thesis was partially funded by ING, and I would especially like to thank my supervisors Jasmin Blanchette (VU Amsterdam), Robbert van Dalen (ING) and Wan Fokkink (VU Amsterdam) for their useful feedback on this work.

3	Renaming	14
3.1	Definitions	15
3.2	Introduction rules	16
3.3	Renaming-equivalence	17
3.3.1	Identity	17
3.3.2	Composition	17
3.3.3	Inverse	17
3.3.4	Equivalence	18
3.4	Distributive laws	18
3.4.1	Expression	18
3.4.2	Store	19
3.4.3	Global	19
3.5	Miscellaneous laws	19
3.6	Swaps	19
4	Substitution	21
4.1	Definition	21
4.2	Trivial model	21
4.3	Example model	22
4.3.1	Preliminaries	22
4.3.2	Definition	22
4.3.3	Proof obligations	23
5	Operational Semantics	24
5.1	Definition	24
5.2	Introduction lemmas for identifiers	25
5.3	Domain subsumption	26
5.3.1	Definitions	26
5.3.2	The theorem	26
5.4	Relaxed definition of the operational semantics	40
6	Executions	40
6.1	Generalizing the original transition	41
6.1.1	Definition	41
6.1.2	Closures	41
6.2	Properties	41
6.3	Invariants	42
6.3.1	Inductive invariance	42
6.3.2	Subsumption is invariant	42
6.3.3	Finitude is invariant	45
6.3.4	Reachability is invariant	48

7	Determinacy	49
7.1	Rule determinism	49
7.2	Strong local confluence	51
7.2.1	Local determinism	51
7.2.2	General principles	52
7.2.3	Case join-epsilon	52
7.2.4	Case join	53
7.2.5	Case local	55
7.2.6	Case new	59
7.2.7	Case fork	61
7.2.8	The theorem	65
7.3	Diagram Tiling	65
7.3.1	Strong local confluence diagrams	65
7.3.2	Mimicking diagrams	66
7.3.3	Strip diagram	71
7.3.4	Confluence diagram	71
7.4	Determinacy	72

1 Data

This theory defines the data types and notations, and some preliminary results about them.

```
theory Data
  imports Main
begin
```

1.1 Function notations

```
abbreviation  $\varepsilon :: 'a \rightarrow 'b$  where
   $\varepsilon \equiv \lambda x. \text{None}$ 
```

```
fun combine :: ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b)  $\Rightarrow$  ('a  $\rightarrow$  'b) (-;;- 20) where
  (f ;; g) x = (if g x = None then f x else g x)
```

```
lemma dom-combination-dom-union: dom ( $\tau;;\tau'$ ) = dom  $\tau \cup$  dom  $\tau'$ 
by auto
```

1.2 Values, expressions and execution contexts

```
datatype const = Unit | F | T
```

```
datatype (RIDV: 'r, LIDV: 'l,'v) val =
  CV const
| Var 'v
| Loc 'l
| Rid 'r
| Lambda 'v ('r,'l,'v) expr
and (RIDE: 'r, LIDE: 'l,'v) expr =
  VE ('r,'l,'v) val
| Apply ('r,'l,'v) expr ('r,'l,'v) expr
| Ite ('r,'l,'v) expr ('r,'l,'v) expr ('r,'l,'v) expr
| Ref ('r,'l,'v) expr
| Read ('r,'l,'v) expr
| Assign ('r,'l,'v) expr ('r,'l,'v) expr
| Rfork ('r,'l,'v) expr
| Rjoin ('r,'l,'v) expr
```

```
datatype (RIDC: 'r, LIDC: 'l,'v) cntxt =
  Hole ( $\square$ )
| ApplyLE ('r,'l,'v) cntxt ('r,'l,'v) expr
| ApplyRE ('r,'l,'v) val ('r,'l,'v) cntxt
| IteE ('r,'l,'v) cntxt ('r,'l,'v) expr ('r,'l,'v) expr
| RefE ('r,'l,'v) cntxt
| ReadE ('r,'l,'v) cntxt
| AssignLE ('r,'l,'v) cntxt ('r,'l,'v) expr
| AssignRE 'l ('r,'l,'v) cntxt
| RjoinE ('r,'l,'v) cntxt
```

1.3 Plugging and decomposing

fun *plug* :: ('r,'l,'v) *cntxt* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr* (**infix** \triangleleft 60) **where**

$\square \triangleleft e = e$
| *ApplyL* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{E} *e1* $\triangleleft e = \text{Apply } (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e) \ i1$
| *ApplyR* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ *val* $\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e = \text{Apply } (VE \ \text{val}) \ (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e)$
| *Ite* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{E} *e1* *e2* $\triangleleft e = \text{Ite } (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e) \ e1 \ e2$
| *Ref* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ $\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e = \text{Ref } (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e)$
| *Read* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ $\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e = \text{Read } (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e)$
| *AssignL* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ \mathcal{E} *e1* $\triangleleft e = \text{Assign } (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e) \ e1$
| *AssignR* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ *l* $\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e = \text{Assign } (VE \ (\text{Loc } l)) \ (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e)$
| *Rjoin* $_{\mathcal{E}}$ $\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e = \text{Rjoin } (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft e)$

translations

$\mathcal{E}[x] \Rightarrow \mathcal{E} \triangleleft x$

lemma *injective-cntxt* [*simp*]: $(\mathcal{E}[e1] = \mathcal{E}[e2]) = (e1 = e2)$ **by** (*induction* \mathcal{E}) *auto*

lemma *VE-empty-cntxt* [*simp*]: $(VE \ v = \mathcal{E}[e]) = (\mathcal{E} = \square \wedge VE \ v = e)$ **by** (*cases* \mathcal{E} , *auto*)

inductive *redex* :: ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

app: *redex* (*Apply* (*VE* (*Lambda* *x* *e*)) (*VE* *v*))
| *iteTrue*: *redex* (*Ite* (*VE* (*CV* *T*)) *e1* *e2*)
| *iteFalse*: *redex* (*Ite* (*VE* (*CV* *F*)) *e1* *e2*)
| *ref*: *redex* (*Ref* (*VE* *v*))
| *read*: *redex* (*Read* (*VE* (*Loc* *l*)))
| *assign*: *redex* (*Assign* (*VE* (*Loc* *l*)) (*VE* *v*))
| *rfork*: *redex* (*Rfork* *e*)
| *rjoin*: *redex* (*Rjoin* (*VE* (*Rid* *r*)))

inductive-simps *redex-simps* [*simp*]: *redex* *e*

inductive-cases *redexE* [*elim*]: *redex* *e*

lemma *plugged-redex-not-val* [*simp*]: *redex* *r* $\Longrightarrow (\mathcal{E} \triangleleft r) \neq (VE \ t)$ **by** (*cases* \mathcal{E}) *auto*

inductive *decompose* :: ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *cntxt* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**

top-redex: *redex* *e* \Longrightarrow *decompose* *e* \square *e*
| *lapply*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Apply } e_1 \ e_2); \text{decompose } e_1 \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decompose } (\text{Apply } e_1 \ e_2) \ (\text{ApplyL}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \mathcal{E} \ e_2) \ r$
| *rapply*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Apply } (VE \ v) \ e); \text{decompose } e \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decompose } (\text{Apply } (VE \ v) \ e) \ (\text{ApplyR}_{\mathcal{E}} \ v \ \mathcal{E}) \ r$
| *ite*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Ite } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3); \text{decompose } e_1 \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decompose } (\text{Ite } e_1 \ e_2 \ e_3) \ (\text{Ite}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \mathcal{E} \ e_2 \ e_3) \ r$
| *ref*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Ref } e); \text{decompose } e \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decompose } (\text{Ref } e) \ (\text{Ref}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \mathcal{E}) \ r$
| *read*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Read } e); \text{decompose } e \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decompose } (\text{Read } e) \ (\text{Read}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \mathcal{E}) \ r$
| *lassign*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Assign } e_1 \ e_2); \text{decompose } e_1 \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow \text{decompose } (\text{Assign } e_1 \ e_2) \ (\text{AssignL}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \mathcal{E} \ e_2) \ r$

| *rassign*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Assign } (\text{VE } (\text{Loc } l)) e_2); \text{decompose } e_2 \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \implies \text{decompose } (\text{Assign } (\text{VE } (\text{Loc } l)) e_2) (\text{AssignR}_{\mathcal{E}} \ l \ \mathcal{E}) \ r$
| *rjoin*: $\llbracket \neg \text{redex } (\text{Rjoin } e); \text{decompose } e \ \mathcal{E} \ r \rrbracket \implies \text{decompose } (\text{Rjoin } e) (\text{Rjoin}_{\mathcal{E}} \ \mathcal{E}) \ r$

inductive-cases *decomposeE* [elim]: *decompose e E r*

lemma *plug-decomposition-equivalence*: *redex r \implies decompose e E r = (E[r] = e)*

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume *x*: *decompose e E r*

show $\mathcal{E}[r] = e$

proof (*use x in <induct rule: decompose.induct>*)

case (*top-redex e*)

thus $\square[e] = e$ **by** *simp*

next

case (*lapply e₁ e₂ E r*)

have (*ApplyL_E E e₂*) $[r] = \text{Apply } (\mathcal{E}[r]) \ e_2$ **by** *simp*

also have $\dots = \text{Apply } e_1 \ e_2$ **using** $\langle \mathcal{E}[r] = e_1 \rangle$ **by** *simp*

then show *?case* **by** *simp*

qed *simp+*

next

assume *red*: *redex r* **and** *eq*: $\mathcal{E}[r] = e$

have *decompose* ($\mathcal{E}[r]$) *E r* **by** (*induct E*) (*use red in <auto intro: decompose.intros>*)

thus *decompose e E r* **by** (*simp add: eq*)

qed

lemma *unique-decomposition*: *decompose e E₁ r₁ \implies decompose e E₂ r₂ \implies E₁ = E₂ \wedge r₁ = r₂*

by (*induct arbitrary: E₂ rule: decompose.induct*) *auto*

lemma *completion-eq* [*simp*]:

assumes

red-e: *redex r* **and**

red-e': *redex r'*

shows $(\mathcal{E}[r] = \mathcal{E}'[r']) = (\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \wedge r = r')$

proof (*rule iffI*)

show $\mathcal{E}[r] = \mathcal{E}'[r'] \implies \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}' \wedge r = r'$

proof (*rule conjI*)

assume *eq*: $\mathcal{E}[r] = \mathcal{E}'[r']$

have *decompose* ($\mathcal{E}[r]$) *E r* **using** *plug-decomposition-equivalence red-e* **by** *blast*

hence *fst-decomp:decompose* ($\mathcal{E}'[r']$) *E r* **by** (*simp add: eq*)

have *snd-decomp:decompose* ($\mathcal{E}'[r']$) *E' r'* **using** *plug-decomposition-equivalence red-e'* **by** *blast*

show *cntxts-eq*: $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}'$ **using** *fst-decomp snd-decomp unique-decomposition* **by** *blast*

show $r = r'$ **using** *cntxts-eq eq* **by** *simp*

qed

qed *simp*

1.4 Stores and states

type-synonym (r, l, v) *store* = $l \rightarrow (r, l, v)$ *val*
type-synonym (r, l, v) *local-state* = (r, l, v) *store* \times (r, l, v) *store* \times (r, l, v) *expr*
type-synonym (r, l, v) *global-state* = $r \rightarrow (r, l, v)$ *local-state*

fun *doms* :: (r, l, v) *local-state* \Rightarrow l *set* **where**
 doms (σ, τ, e) = $\text{dom } \sigma \cup \text{dom } \tau$

fun *LID-snapshot* :: (r, l, v) *local-state* \Rightarrow (r, l, v) *store* $(-\sigma)$ **where**
 LID-snapshot (σ, τ, e) = σ

fun *LID-local-store* :: (r, l, v) *local-state* \Rightarrow (r, l, v) *store* $(-\tau)$ **where**
 LID-local-store (σ, τ, e) = τ

fun *LID-expression* :: (r, l, v) *local-state* \Rightarrow (r, l, v) *expr* $(-e)$ **where**
 LID-expression (σ, τ, e) = e

end

2 Occurrences

This theory contains all of the definitions and laws required for reasoning about identifiers that occur in the data structures of the concurrent revisions model.

theory *Occurrences*
 imports *Data*
begin

2.1 Definitions

2.1.1 Revision identifiers

definition *RID_S* :: (r, l, v) *store* \Rightarrow r *set* **where**
 RID_S $\sigma \equiv \bigcup (RID_V \text{ 'ran } \sigma)$

definition *RID_L* :: (r, l, v) *local-state* \Rightarrow r *set* **where**
 RID_L $s \equiv \text{case } s \text{ of } (\sigma, \tau, e) \Rightarrow RID_S \sigma \cup RID_S \tau \cup RID_E e$

definition *RID_G* :: (r, l, v) *global-state* \Rightarrow r *set* **where**
 RID_G $s \equiv \text{dom } s \cup \bigcup (RID_L \text{ 'ran } s)$

2.1.2 Location identifiers

definition *LID_S* :: (r, l, v) *store* \Rightarrow l *set* **where**
 LID_S $\sigma \equiv \text{dom } \sigma \cup \bigcup (LID_V \text{ 'ran } \sigma)$

definition *LID_L* :: (r, l, v) *local-state* \Rightarrow l *set* **where**

$LID_L s \equiv \text{case } s \text{ of } (\sigma, \tau, e) \Rightarrow LID_S \sigma \cup LID_S \tau \cup LID_E e$

definition $LID_G :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ global-state} \Rightarrow 'l \text{ set}$ **where**
 $LID_G s \equiv \bigcup (LID_L \text{ `ran } s)$

2.2 Inference rules

2.2.1 Introduction and elimination rules

lemma $RID_S I$ [intro]: $\sigma \text{ l} = \text{Some } v \Rightarrow r \in RID_V v \Rightarrow r \in RID_S \sigma$
by (auto simp add: RID_S -def ran-def)

lemma $RID_S E$ [elim]: $r \in RID_S \sigma \Rightarrow (\bigwedge l v. \sigma \text{ l} = \text{Some } v \Rightarrow r \in RID_V v \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P$
by (auto simp add: RID_S -def ran-def)

lemma $RID_L I$ [intro, consumes 1]:
assumes $s = (\sigma, \tau, e)$
shows
 $r \in RID_S \sigma \Rightarrow r \in RID_L s$
 $r \in RID_S \tau \Rightarrow r \in RID_L s$
 $r \in RID_E e \Rightarrow r \in RID_L s$
by (auto simp add: RID_L -def assms)

lemma $RID_L E$ [elim]:
 $\llbracket r \in RID_L s; (\bigwedge \sigma \tau e. s = (\sigma, \tau, e) \Rightarrow (r \in RID_S \sigma \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow (r \in RID_S \tau \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow (r \in RID_E e \Rightarrow P) \Rightarrow P) \rrbracket \Rightarrow P$
by (cases s) (auto simp add: RID_L -def)

lemma $RID_G I$ [intro]:
 $s \text{ r} = \text{Some } v \Rightarrow r \in RID_G s$
 $s \text{ r}' = \text{Some } ls \Rightarrow r \in RID_L ls \Rightarrow r \in RID_G s$
apply (simp add: RID_G -def domI)
by (metis (no-types, lifting) RID_G -def UN-I UnI2 ranI)

lemma $RID_G E$ [elim]:
assumes
 $r \in RID_G s$
 $r \in \text{dom } s \Rightarrow P$
 $\bigwedge r' ls. s \text{ r}' = \text{Some } ls \Rightarrow r \in RID_L ls \Rightarrow P$
shows P
using assms **by** (auto simp add: RID_G -def ran-def)

lemma $LID_S I$ [intro]:
 $\sigma \text{ l} = \text{Some } v \Rightarrow l \in LID_S \sigma$
 $\sigma \text{ l}' = \text{Some } v \Rightarrow l \in LID_V v \Rightarrow l \in LID_S \sigma$
by (auto simp add: LID_S -def ran-def)

lemma $LID_S E$ [elim]:
assumes

$l \in LID_S \sigma$
 $l \in dom \sigma \implies P$
 $\bigwedge l' v. \sigma l' = Some\ v \implies l \in LID_V v \implies P$
shows P
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp add: LID_S-def ran-def*)

lemma $LID_L I$ [*intro*]:
assumes $s = (\sigma, \tau, e)$
shows
 $r \in LID_S \sigma \implies r \in LID_L s$
 $r \in LID_S \tau \implies r \in LID_L s$
 $r \in LID_E e \implies r \in LID_L s$
by (*auto simp add: LID_L-def assms*)

lemma $LID_L E$ [*elim*]:
 $\llbracket l \in LID_L s; (\bigwedge \sigma \tau e. s = (\sigma, \tau, e) \implies (l \in LID_S \sigma \implies P) \implies (l \in LID_S \tau \implies P) \implies (l \in LID_E e \implies P) \implies P) \rrbracket \implies P$
by (*cases s*) (*auto simp add: LID_L-def*)

lemma $LID_G I$ [*intro*]: $s\ r = Some\ ls \implies l \in LID_L ls \implies l \in LID_G s$
by (*auto simp add: LID_G-def LID_L-def ran-def*)

lemma $LID_G E$ [*elim*]: $l \in LID_G s \implies (\bigwedge r ls. s\ r = Some\ ls \implies l \in LID_L ls \implies P) \implies P$
by (*auto simp add: LID_G-def ran-def*)

2.2.2 Distributive laws

lemma ID -*distr-completion* [*simp*]:
 $RID_E (\mathcal{E}[e]) = RID_C \mathcal{E} \cup RID_E e$
 $LID_E (\mathcal{E}[e]) = LID_C \mathcal{E} \cup LID_E e$
by (*induct rule: plug.induct*) *auto*

lemma ID -*restricted-store-subset-store*:

$RID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \subseteq RID_S \sigma$
 $LID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \subseteq LID_S \sigma$

proof –

show $RID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \subseteq RID_S \sigma$

proof (*rule subsetI*)

fix r

assume $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l := None))$

then obtain $l' v$ **where** $(\sigma(l := None))\ l' = Some\ v$ **and** $r\text{-}v: r \in RID_V v$ **by**

blast

have $l' \neq l$ **using** $\langle (\sigma(l := None))\ l' = Some\ v \rangle$ **by** *auto*

hence $\sigma\ l' = Some\ v$ **using** $\langle (\sigma(l := None))\ l' = Some\ v \rangle$ **by** *auto*

thus $r \in RID_S \sigma$ **using** $r\text{-}v$ **by** *blast*

qed

next

show $LID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \subseteq LID_S \sigma$

proof (*rule subsetI*)
fix l'
assume $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l := None))$
hence $l' \in \text{dom} (\sigma(l := None)) \vee (\exists l'' v. (\sigma(l := None)) l'' = \text{Some } v \wedge l' \in LID_V v)$ **by** *blast*
thus $l' \in LID_S \sigma$
proof (*rule disjE*)
assume $l' \in \text{dom} (\sigma(l := None))$
thus $l' \in LID_S \sigma$ **by** *auto*
next
assume $\exists l'' v. (\sigma(l := None)) l'' = \text{Some } v \wedge l' \in LID_V v$
then obtain $l'' v$ **where** $(\sigma(l := None)) l'' = \text{Some } v$ **and** $l'\text{-in-}v: l' \in LID_V v$ **by** *blast*
hence $\sigma l'' = \text{Some } v$ **by** (*cases* $l = l''$, *auto*)
thus $l' \in LID_S \sigma$ **using** $l'\text{-in-}v$ **by** *blast*
qed
qed
qed

lemma *in-restricted-store-in-unrestricted-store* [*intro*]:
 $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \implies r \in RID_S \sigma$
 $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \implies l' \in LID_S \sigma$
by (*meson contra-subsetD ID-restricted-store-subset-store*)+

lemma *in-restricted-store-in-updated-store* [*intro*]:
 $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \implies r \in RID_S (\sigma(l \mapsto v))$
 $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l := None)) \implies l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l \mapsto v))$
proof –
assume $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l := None))$
hence $r \in RID_S (\sigma \mid' (- \{l\}))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
hence $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l \mapsto v) \mid' (- \{l\}))$ **by** *simp*
hence $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l := \text{Some } v, l := None))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
thus $r \in RID_S (\sigma(l \mapsto v))$ **by** *blast*
next
assume $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l := None))$
hence $l' \in LID_S (\sigma \mid' (- \{l\}))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
hence $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l \mapsto v) \mid' (- \{l\}))$ **by** *simp*
hence $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l := \text{Some } v, l := None))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
thus $l' \in LID_S (\sigma(l \mapsto v))$ **by** *blast*
qed

lemma *ID-distr-store* [*simp*]:
 $RID_S (\tau(l \mapsto v)) = RID_S (\tau(l := None)) \cup RID_V v$
 $LID_S (\tau(l \mapsto v)) = \text{insert } l (LID_S (\tau(l := None)) \cup LID_V v)$
proof –
show $RID_S (\tau(l \mapsto v)) = RID_S (\tau(l := None)) \cup RID_V v$
proof (*rule set-eqI*, *rule iffI*)
fix r
assume $r \in RID_S (\tau(l \mapsto v))$

then obtain $l' v'$ where $(\tau(l \mapsto v)) l' = \text{Some } v' r \in \text{RID}_V v'$ by blast
thus $r \in \text{RID}_S (\tau(l := \text{None})) \cup \text{RID}_V v$ by (cases $l' = l$) auto
qed auto
next
show $\text{LID}_S (\tau(l \mapsto v)) = \text{insert } l (\text{LID}_S (\tau(l := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_V v)$
proof (rule set-eqI, rule iffI)
fix l'
assume $l' \in \text{LID}_S (\tau(l \mapsto v))$
hence $l' \in \text{dom } (\tau(l \mapsto v)) \vee (\exists l'' v'. (\tau(l \mapsto v)) l'' = \text{Some } v' \wedge l' \in \text{LID}_V v')$ by blast
thus $l' \in \text{insert } l (\text{LID}_S (\tau(l := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_V v)$
proof (rule disjE)
assume $l' \in \text{dom } (\tau(l \mapsto v))$
thus $l' \in \text{insert } l (\text{LID}_S (\tau(l := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_V v)$ by (cases $l' = l$) auto
next
assume $\exists l'' v'. (\tau(l \mapsto v)) l'' = \text{Some } v' \wedge l' \in \text{LID}_V v'$
then obtain $l'' v'$ where $(\tau(l \mapsto v)) l'' = \text{Some } v' l' \in \text{LID}_V v'$ by blast
thus $l' \in \text{insert } l (\text{LID}_S (\tau(l := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_V v)$ by (cases $l = l''$) auto
qed
qed auto
qed

lemma ID-distr-local [simp]:
 $\text{LID}_L (\sigma, \tau, e) = \text{LID}_S \sigma \cup \text{LID}_S \tau \cup \text{LID}_E e$
 $\text{RID}_L (\sigma, \tau, e) = \text{RID}_S \sigma \cup \text{RID}_S \tau \cup \text{RID}_E e$
by (simp add: LID_L-def RID_L-def)+

lemma ID-restricted-global-subset-unrestricted:

$\text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \subseteq \text{LID}_G s$
 $\text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \subseteq \text{RID}_G s$

proof –

show $\text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \subseteq \text{LID}_G s$

proof (rule subsetI)

fix l

assume $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None}))$

then obtain $r'' ls$ where $(s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some } ls$ and $l\text{-in-}ls: l \in \text{LID}_L$

ls **by blast**

have $r'' \neq r$ using $\langle (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some } ls \rangle$ by auto

hence $s r'' = \text{Some } ls$ using $\langle (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some } ls \rangle$ by auto

thus $l \in \text{LID}_G s$ using $l\text{-in-}ls$ by blast

qed

next

show $\text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \subseteq \text{RID}_G s$

proof (rule subsetI)

fix r'

assume $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None}))$

hence $r' \in \text{dom } (s(r := \text{None})) \vee (\exists r'' ls. (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some } ls \wedge r'$

$\in \text{RID}_L ls)$ **by blast**

thus $r' \in \text{RID}_G s$

proof (*rule disjE*)
assume $\exists r'' \text{ ls. } (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some ls} \wedge r' \in \text{RID}_L \text{ ls}$
then obtain $r'' \text{ ls where } (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some ls}$ **and** $r'\text{-in-ls: } r' \in \text{RID}_L \text{ ls}$ **by blast**
have $\text{neq: } r'' \neq r$ **using** $\langle (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some ls} \rangle$ **by auto**
hence $s r'' = \text{Some ls}$ **using** $\langle (s(r := \text{None})) r'' = \text{Some ls} \rangle$ **by auto**
thus $r' \in \text{RID}_G s$ **using** $r'\text{-in-ls}$ **by blast**
qed auto
qed
qed

lemma *in-restricted-global-in-unrestricted-global* [*intro*]:
 $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \implies r' \in \text{RID}_G s$
 $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \implies l \in \text{LID}_G s$
by (*simp add: ID-restricted-global-subset-unrestricted rev-subsetD*)**+**

lemma *in-restricted-global-in-updated-global* [*intro*]:
 $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \implies r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}))$
 $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \implies l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}))$
proof –
assume $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None}))$
hence $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s \mid' (- \{r\}))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
hence $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}) \mid' (- \{r\}))$ **by simp**
hence $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{Some ls}, r := \text{None}))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
thus $r' \in \text{RID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}))$ **by blast**
next
assume $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None}))$
hence $l \in \text{LID}_G (s \mid' (- \{r\}))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
hence $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}) \mid' (- \{r\}))$ **by simp**
hence $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{Some ls}, r := \text{None}))$ **by** (*simp add: restrict-complement-singleton-eq*)
thus $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}))$ **by blast**
qed

lemma *ID-distr-global* [*simp*]:
 $\text{RID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls})) = \text{insert } r (\text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup \text{RID}_L \text{ ls})$
 $\text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls})) = \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_L \text{ ls}$
proof –
show $\text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls})) = \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_L \text{ ls}$
proof (*rule set-eqI*)
fix l
show $(l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}))) = (l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_L \text{ ls})$
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls}))$
from this obtain $r' \text{ ls' where } (s(r \mapsto \text{ls})) r' = \text{Some ls'}$ $l \in \text{LID}_L \text{ ls'}$ **by**
auto
thus $l \in \text{LID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup \text{LID}_L \text{ ls}$ **by** (*cases r = r'*) *auto*
qed auto
qed
show $\text{RID}_G (s(r \mapsto \text{ls})) = \text{insert } r (\text{RID}_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup \text{RID}_L \text{ ls})$

```

proof (rule set-eqI)
  fix r'
  show (r' ∈ RIDG (s(r ↦ ls))) = (r' ∈ insert r (RIDG (s(r := None))) ∪ RIDL
ls))
  proof (rule iffI, clarsimp)
    assume r'-g: r' ∈ RIDG (s(r ↦ ls)) and neq: r' ≠ r and r'-l: r' ∉ RIDL ls
    show r' ∈ RIDG (s(r := None))
    proof (rule RIDGE[OF r'-g])
      assume r' ∈ dom (s(r ↦ ls))
      thus ?thesis by (simp add: RIDG-def neq)
    next
      fix ls' r''
      assume r'-in-range:(s(r ↦ ls)) r'' = Some ls' r' ∈ RIDL ls'
      thus ?thesis by (cases r'' = r) (use neq r'-l in auto)
    qed
  qed auto
qed
qed

```

lemma *restrictions-inwards* [simp]:
 $x \neq x' \implies f(x := \text{Some } y, x' := \text{None}) = (f(x' := \text{None}, x := \text{Some } y))$
by (rule fun-upd-twist)

2.2.3 Miscellaneous laws

lemma *ID-combination-subset-union* [intro]:

$RID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \subseteq RID_S \sigma \cup RID_S \tau$

$LID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \subseteq LID_S \sigma \cup LID_S \tau$

proof –

show $RID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \subseteq RID_S \sigma \cup RID_S \tau$

proof (rule subsetI)

fix r

assume $r \in RID_S (\sigma;;\tau)$

from *this* **obtain** l v **where** $(\sigma;;\tau) l = \text{Some } v$ **and** $r \in RID_V v$ **by** blast

thus $r \in RID_S \sigma \cup RID_S \tau$ **by** (cases l ∈ dom τ) auto

qed

show $LID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \subseteq LID_S \sigma \cup LID_S \tau$

proof (rule subsetI)

fix l

assume $l \in LID_S (\sigma;;\tau)$

hence $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau) \vee (\exists l' v. (\sigma;;\tau) l' = \text{Some } v \wedge l \in LID_V v)$ **by** blast

thus $l \in LID_S \sigma \cup LID_S \tau$

proof (rule disjE)

assume $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$

thus $l \in LID_S \sigma \cup LID_S \tau$ **by** (cases l ∈ dom τ) auto

next

assume $\exists l' v. (\sigma;;\tau) l' = \text{Some } v \wedge l \in LID_V v$

from *this* **obtain** l' v **where** $(\sigma;;\tau) l' = \text{Some } v$ $l \in LID_V v$ **by** blast

thus $l \in LID_S \sigma \cup LID_S \tau$ **by** (cases l' ∈ dom τ) auto

qed
 qed
 qed

lemma *in-combination-in-component* [intro]:
 $r \in RID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \implies r \notin RID_S \sigma \implies r \in RID_S \tau$
 $r \in RID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \implies r \notin RID_S \tau \implies r \in RID_S \sigma$
 $l \in LID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \implies l \notin LID_S \sigma \implies l \in LID_S \tau$
 $l \in LID_S (\sigma;;\tau) \implies l \notin LID_S \tau \implies l \in LID_S \sigma$
by (*meson Un-iff ID-combination-subset-union subsetCE*)+

lemma *in-mapped-in-component-of-combination* [intro]:
assumes
maps-to-v: $(\sigma;;\tau) \ l = \text{Some } v$ **and**
l'-in-v: $l' \in LID_V v$
shows
 $l' \notin LID_S \tau \implies l' \in LID_S \sigma$
 $l' \notin LID_S \sigma \implies l' \in LID_S \tau$
by (*metis LID_S I(2) combine.simps l'-in-v maps-to-v*)+

lemma *elim-trivial-restriction* [simp]: $l \notin LID_S \tau \implies (\tau(l := \text{None})) = \tau$
by (*simp add: LID_S-def domIff fun-upd-idem*)

lemma *ID-distr-global-conditional*:
 $s \ r = \text{Some } ls \implies RID_G s = \text{insert } r (RID_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup RID_L ls)$
 $s \ r = \text{Some } ls \implies LID_G s = LID_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup LID_L ls$
proof –
assume $s \ r = \text{Some } ls$
hence *s-as-upd*: $s = (s(r \mapsto ls))$ **by** (*simp add: fun-upd-idem*)
show $RID_G s = \text{insert } r (RID_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup RID_L ls)$ **by** (*subst s-as-upd, simp*)
show $LID_G s = LID_G (s(r := \text{None})) \cup LID_L ls$ **by** (*subst s-as-upd, simp*)
qed

end

3 Renaming

Similar to the bound variables of lambda calculus, location and revision identifiers are meaningless names. This theory contains all of the definitions and results required for renaming data structures and proving renaming-equivalence.

theory *Renaming*
imports *Occurrences*
begin

3.1 Definitions

abbreviation $\text{rename-val} :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{val} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{val}$
 (\mathcal{R}_V) **where**

$$\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v \equiv \text{map-val } \alpha \beta \text{ id } v$$

abbreviation $\text{rename-expr} :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{expr} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{expr}$
 (\mathcal{R}_E) **where**

$$\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e \equiv \text{map-expr } \alpha \beta \text{ id } e$$

abbreviation $\text{rename-cntxt} :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{cntxt} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{cntxt}$
 (\mathcal{R}_C) **where**

$$\mathcal{R}_C \alpha \beta \mathcal{E} \equiv \text{map-cntxt } \alpha \beta \text{ id } \mathcal{E}$$

definition $\text{is-store-renaming} :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{store} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{store} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\text{is-store-renaming } \alpha \beta \sigma \sigma' \equiv \forall l. \text{ case } \sigma \ l \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow \sigma' (\beta \ l) = \text{None} \mid \text{Some } v \Rightarrow \sigma' (\beta \ l) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta \ v)$$

notation Option.bind (**infixl** $\gg=$ 80)

fun $\mathcal{R}_S :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{store} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{store}$ **where**
 $\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma \ l = \sigma (\text{inv } \beta \ l) \gg= (\lambda v. \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta \ v))$

lemma $\mathcal{R}_S\text{-implements-renaming}$: $\text{bij } \beta \Longrightarrow \text{is-store-renaming } \alpha \beta \sigma (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$

proof –

assume $\text{bij } \beta$

hence $\text{inj } \beta$ **using** bij-def by auto

thus $?thesis$ **by** ($\text{auto simp add: is-store-renaming-def option.case-eq-if}$)

qed

fun $\mathcal{R}_L :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{local-state} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{local-state}$
where

$$\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta (\sigma, \tau, e) = (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma, \mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \tau, \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e)$$

definition $\text{is-global-renaming} :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{global-state} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{global-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ **where**

$$\text{is-global-renaming } \alpha \beta s \ s' \equiv \forall r. \text{ case } s \ r \text{ of } \text{None} \Rightarrow s' (\alpha \ r) = \text{None} \mid \text{Some } ls \Rightarrow s' (\alpha \ r) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta \ ls)$$

fun $\mathcal{R}_G :: ('r \Rightarrow 'r) \Rightarrow ('l \Rightarrow 'l) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{global-state} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{global-state}$
where

$$\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s \ r = s (\text{inv } \alpha \ r) \gg= (\lambda ls. \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta \ ls))$$

lemma $\mathcal{R}_G\text{-implements-renaming}$: $\text{bij } \alpha \Longrightarrow \text{is-global-renaming } \alpha \beta s (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$

proof –

assume $\text{bij } \alpha$

hence $\text{inj } \alpha$ **using** bij-def by auto

thus $?thesis$ **by** ($\text{auto simp add: is-global-renaming-def option.case-eq-if}$)

qed

3.2 Introduction rules

lemma $\mathcal{R}_S I$ [intro]:

assumes

bij- β : *bij* β **and**

none-case: $\bigwedge l. \sigma l = \text{None} \implies \sigma' (\beta l) = \text{None}$ **and**

some-case: $\bigwedge l v. \sigma l = \text{Some } v \implies \sigma' (\beta l) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v)$

shows

$\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma = \sigma'$

proof (rule ext, subst \mathcal{R}_S .simps)

fix l

show $\sigma (\text{inv } \beta l) \gg= (\lambda v. \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v)) = \sigma' l$ (**is** ?lhs = $\sigma' l$)

proof (cases $\sigma (\text{inv } \beta l) = \text{None}$)

case *True*

have *lhs-none*: ?lhs = *None* **by** (simp add: *True*)

have $\sigma' (\beta (\text{inv } \beta l)) = \text{None}$ **by** (simp add: *none-case True*)

hence *rhs-none*: $\sigma' l = \text{None}$ **by** (simp add: *bij- β bijection.intro bijection.inv-right*)

show ?thesis **by** (simp add: *lhs-none rhs-none*)

next

case *False*

from *this* **obtain** v **where** *is-some*: $\sigma (\text{inv } \beta l) = \text{Some } v$ **by** *blast*

hence *lhs-some*: ?lhs = *Some* ($\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v$) **by** *auto*

have $\sigma' (\beta (\text{inv } \beta l)) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v)$ **by** (simp add: *is-some some-case*)

hence *rhs-some*: $\sigma' l = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v)$ **by** (simp add: *bij- β bijection.intro*

bijection.inv-right)

then show ?thesis **by** (simp add: *lhs-some*)

qed

qed

lemma $\mathcal{R}_G I$ [intro]:

assumes

bij- α : *bij* α **and**

none-case: $\bigwedge r. s r = \text{None} \implies s' (\alpha r) = \text{None}$ **and**

some-case: $\bigwedge r \sigma \tau e. s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, e) \implies s' (\alpha r) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta (\sigma, \tau, e))$

shows

$\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s'$

proof (rule ext, subst \mathcal{R}_G .simps)

fix r

show $s (\text{inv } \alpha r) \gg= (\lambda ls. \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls)) = s' r$ (**is** ?lhs = $s' r$)

proof (cases $s (\text{inv } \alpha r) = \text{None}$)

case *True*

have *lhs-none*: ?lhs = *None* **by** (simp add: *True*)

have $s' (\alpha (\text{inv } \alpha r)) = \text{None}$ **by** (simp add: *none-case True*)

hence *rhs-none*: $s' r = \text{None}$ **by** (simp add: *bij- α bijection.intro bijection.inv-right*)

show ?thesis **by** (simp add: *lhs-none rhs-none*)

next

case *False*

from *this* **obtain** ls **where** $s (\text{inv } \alpha r) = \text{Some } ls$ **by** *blast*

from *this* **obtain** $\sigma \tau e$ **where** *is-some*: $s (\text{inv } \alpha r) = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, e)$ **by** (cases ls) *blast*

hence *lhs-some*: $?lhs = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta (\sigma, \tau, e))$ **by** *auto*
have $s' (\alpha (\text{inv } \alpha r)) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta (\sigma, \tau, e))$ **by** (*simp add: is-some some-case*)
hence *rhs-some*: $s' r = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta (\sigma, \tau, e))$ **by** (*simp add: bij- α bijec-tion.intro bijection.inv-right*)
then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: lhs-some*)
qed
qed

3.3 Renaming-equivalence

3.3.1 Identity

declare *val.map-id* [*simp*]
declare *expr.map-id* [*simp*]
declare *cntxt.map-id* [*simp*]
lemma $\mathcal{R}_S\text{-id}$ [*simp*]: $\mathcal{R}_S \text{ id id } \sigma = \sigma$ **by** *auto*
lemma $\mathcal{R}_L\text{-id}$ [*simp*]: $\mathcal{R}_L \text{ id id } ls = ls$ **by** (*cases ls simp*)
lemma $\mathcal{R}_G\text{-id}$ [*simp*]: $\mathcal{R}_G \text{ id id } s = s$ **by** *auto*

3.3.2 Composition

declare *val.map-comp* [*simp*]
declare *expr.map-comp* [*simp*]
declare *cntxt.map-comp* [*simp*]
lemma $\mathcal{R}_S\text{-comp}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \beta; \text{bij } \beta' \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{R}_S \alpha' \beta' (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta s) = \mathcal{R}_S (\alpha' \circ \alpha) (\beta' \circ \beta) s$
by (*auto simp add: o-inv-distrib*)
lemma $\mathcal{R}_L\text{-comp}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \beta; \text{bij } \beta' \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{R}_L \alpha' \beta' (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls) = \mathcal{R}_L (\alpha' \circ \alpha) (\beta' \circ \beta) ls$
by (*cases ls simp*)
lemma $\mathcal{R}_G\text{-comp}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \alpha'; \text{bij } \beta; \text{bij } \beta' \rrbracket \implies \mathcal{R}_G \alpha' \beta' (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s) = \mathcal{R}_G (\alpha' \circ \alpha) (\beta' \circ \beta) s$
by (*rule ext*) (*auto simp add: o-inv-distrib*)

3.3.3 Inverse

lemma $\mathcal{R}_V\text{-inv}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \beta \rrbracket \implies (\mathcal{R}_V (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) v' = v) = (\mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v = v')$
by (*auto simp add: bijec-tion.intro bijec-tion.inv-comp-right bijec-tion.inv-comp-left*)
lemma $\mathcal{R}_E\text{-inv}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \beta \rrbracket \implies (\mathcal{R}_E (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) e' = e) = (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e = e')$
by (*auto simp add: bijec-tion.intro bijec-tion.inv-comp-right bijec-tion.inv-comp-left*)
lemma $\mathcal{R}_C\text{-inv}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \beta \rrbracket \implies (\mathcal{R}_C (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}) = (\mathcal{R}_C \alpha \beta \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}')$
by (*auto simp add: bijec-tion.intro bijec-tion.inv-comp-right bijec-tion.inv-comp-left*)
lemma $\mathcal{R}_S\text{-inv}$ [*simp*]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \beta \rrbracket \implies (\mathcal{R}_S (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) \sigma' = \sigma) = (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma = \sigma')$
by (*auto simp add: bij-imp-bij-inv bijec-tion.intro bijec-tion.inv-comp-right bijec-tion.inv-comp-left*)

lemma \mathcal{R}_L -inv [simp]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \beta \rrbracket \implies (\mathcal{R}_L (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) \text{ls}' = \text{ls}) = (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta \text{ls} = \text{ls}')$

by (auto simp add: bij-imp-bij-inv bijection.intro bijection.inv-comp-right bijection.inv-comp-left)

lemma \mathcal{R}_G -inv [simp]: $\llbracket \text{bij } \alpha; \text{bij } \beta \rrbracket \implies (\mathcal{R}_G (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) s' = s) = (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s')$

by (auto simp add: bij-imp-bij-inv bijection.intro bijection.inv-comp-right bijection.inv-comp-left)

3.3.4 Equivalence

definition eq-states :: (r, l, v) global-state \Rightarrow (r, l, v) global-state \Rightarrow bool $(- \approx -$ [100, 100]) **where**

$s \approx s' \equiv \exists \alpha \beta. \text{bij } \alpha \wedge \text{bij } \beta \wedge \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s'$

lemma eq-statesI [intro]:

$\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s' \implies \text{bij } \alpha \implies \text{bij } \beta \implies s \approx s'$

using eq-states-def **by** auto

lemma eq-statesE [elim]:

$s \approx s' \implies (\bigwedge \alpha \beta. \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s' \implies \text{bij } \alpha \implies \text{bij } \beta \implies P) \implies P$

using eq-states-def **by** blast

lemma $\alpha\beta$ -refl: $s \approx s$ **by** (rule eq-statesI[of id id s]) auto

lemma $\alpha\beta$ -trans: $s \approx s' \implies s' \approx s'' \implies s \approx s''$

proof –

assume $s \approx s'$

from this obtain $\alpha \beta$ **where** s - s' : $\text{bij } \alpha \text{bij } \beta \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s'$ **by** blast

assume $s' \approx s''$

from this obtain $\alpha' \beta'$ **where** s' - s'' : $\text{bij } \alpha' \text{bij } \beta' \mathcal{R}_G \alpha' \beta' s' = s''$ **by** blast

show $s \approx s''$ **by** (rule eq-statesI[of $\alpha' \circ \alpha \beta' \circ \beta$]) (use s - s' s' - s'' **in** \langle auto simp add: bij-comp \rangle)

qed

lemma $\alpha\beta$ -sym: $s \approx s' \implies s' \approx s$

proof –

assume $s \approx s'$

from this obtain $\alpha \beta$ **where** s - s' : $\text{bij } \alpha \text{bij } \beta \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s = s'$ **by** blast

show $s' \approx s$ **by** (rule eq-statesI[of $\text{inv } \alpha \text{inv } \beta$]) (use s - s' **in** \langle auto simp add: bij-imp-bij-inv \rangle)

qed

3.4 Distributive laws

3.4.1 Expression

lemma renaming-distr-completion [simp]:

$\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\mathcal{E}[e]) = ((\mathcal{R}_C \alpha \beta \mathcal{E})(\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e))$

by (induct \mathcal{E}) simp+

3.4.2 Store

lemma *renaming-distr-combination* [simp]:

$$\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta (\sigma;;\tau) = (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma;;\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \tau)$$

by (*rule ext*) *auto*

lemma *renaming-distr-store* [simp]:

$$\text{bij } \beta \implies \mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta (\sigma(l \mapsto v)) = (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)(\beta l \mapsto \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v)$$

by (*auto simp add: bijection.intro bijection.inv-left-eq-iff*)

3.4.3 Global

lemma *renaming-distr-global* [simp]:

$$\text{bij } \alpha \implies \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta (s(r \mapsto ls)) = (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)(\alpha r \mapsto \mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls)$$

$$\text{bij } \alpha \implies \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta (s(r := \text{None})) = (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)(\alpha r := \text{None})$$

by (*auto simp add: bijection.intro bijection.inv-left-eq-iff*)

3.5 Miscellaneous laws

lemma *rename-empty* [simp]:

$$\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \varepsilon = \varepsilon$$

$$\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta \varepsilon = \varepsilon$$

by *auto*

3.6 Swaps

lemma *swap-bij*:

$$\text{bij } (id(x := x', x' := x)) \text{ (is bij ?f)}$$

proof (*rule bijI*)

show *inj ?f* **by** (*simp add: inj-on-def*)

show *surj ?f*

proof

show $UNIV \subseteq \text{range } (id(x := x', x' := x))$

proof (*rule subsetI*)

fix y

assume $y \in (UNIV :: 'a \text{ set})$

show $y \in \text{range } (id(x := x', x' := x))$ **by** (*cases y = x; cases y = x'*) *auto*

qed

qed *simp*

qed

lemma *id-trivial-update* [simp]: $id(x := x) = id$ **by** *auto*

lemma *eliminate-renaming-val-expr* [simp]:

fixes

$v :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ val}$ **and**

$e :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ expr}$

shows

$$l \notin LID_V v \implies \mathcal{R}_V \alpha (\beta(l := l')) v = \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v$$

$$l \notin LID_E e \implies \mathcal{R}_E \alpha (\beta(l := l')) e = \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e$$

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_V v &\implies \mathcal{R}_V (\alpha(r := r')) \beta v = \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v \\
r \notin RID_E e &\implies \mathcal{R}_E (\alpha(r := r')) \beta e = \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e
\end{aligned}$$

proof –

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{have } (\forall \alpha \beta r r'. r \notin RID_V v \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_V (\alpha(r := r')) \beta v = \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v) \wedge \\
(\forall \alpha \beta r r'. r \notin RID_E e \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_E (\alpha(r := r')) \beta e = \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e)
\end{aligned}$$

by (induct rule: val-expr.induct) simp+

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_V v &\implies \mathcal{R}_V (\alpha(r := r')) \beta v = \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v \\
r \notin RID_E e &\implies \mathcal{R}_E (\alpha(r := r')) \beta e = \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e
\end{aligned}$$

by simp+

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{have } (\forall \alpha \beta l l'. l \notin LID_V v \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_V \alpha (\beta(l := l')) v = \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v) \wedge \\
(\forall \alpha \beta l l'. l \notin LID_E e \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_E \alpha (\beta(l := l')) e = \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e)
\end{aligned}$$

by (induct rule: val-expr.induct) simp+

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
l \notin LID_V v &\implies \mathcal{R}_V \alpha (\beta(l := l')) v = \mathcal{R}_V \alpha \beta v \text{ and} \\
l \notin LID_E e &\implies \mathcal{R}_E \alpha (\beta(l := l')) e = \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e
\end{aligned}$$

by simp+

qed

lemma *eliminate-renaming-cntxt* [simp]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_C \mathcal{E} &\implies \mathcal{R}_C (\alpha(r := r')) \beta \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{R}_C \alpha \beta \mathcal{E} \\
l \notin LID_C \mathcal{E} &\implies \mathcal{R}_C \alpha (\beta(l := l')) \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{R}_C \alpha \beta \mathcal{E}
\end{aligned}$$

by (induct \mathcal{E} rule: cntxt.induct) auto

lemma *eliminate-swap-val* [simp, intro]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_V v &\implies r' \notin RID_V v \implies \mathcal{R}_V (id(r := r', r' := r)) id v = v \\
l \notin LID_V v &\implies l' \notin LID_V v \implies \mathcal{R}_V id (id(l := l', l' := l)) v = v
\end{aligned}$$

by simp+

lemma *eliminate-swap-expr* [simp, intro]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_E e &\implies r' \notin RID_E e \implies \mathcal{R}_E (id(r := r', r' := r)) id e = e \\
l \notin LID_E e &\implies l' \notin LID_E e \implies \mathcal{R}_E id (id(l := l', l' := l)) e = e
\end{aligned}$$

by simp+

lemma *eliminate-swap-cntxt* [simp, intro]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_C \mathcal{E} &\implies r' \notin RID_C \mathcal{E} \implies \mathcal{R}_C (id(r := r', r' := r)) id \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E} \\
l \notin LID_C \mathcal{E} &\implies l' \notin LID_C \mathcal{E} \implies \mathcal{R}_C id (id(l := l', l' := l)) \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}
\end{aligned}$$

by simp+

lemma *eliminate-swap-store-rid* [simp, intro]:

$$\begin{aligned}
r \notin RID_S \sigma &\implies r' \notin RID_S \sigma \implies \mathcal{R}_S (id(r := r', r' := r)) id \sigma = \sigma \\
\text{by (rule } \mathcal{R}_S I) & \text{ (auto simp add: swap-bij RID}_S\text{-def domIff ranI)}
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *eliminate-swap-store-lid* [simp, intro]:

$$\begin{aligned}
l \notin LID_S \sigma &\implies l' \notin LID_S \sigma \implies \mathcal{R}_S id (id(l := l', l' := l)) \sigma = \sigma \\
\text{by (rule } \mathcal{R}_S I) & \text{ (auto simp add: swap-bij LID}_S\text{-def domIff ranI)}
\end{aligned}$$

lemma *eliminate-swap-global-rid* [simp, intro]:

$$r \notin RID_G s \implies r' \notin RID_G s \implies \mathcal{R}_G (id(r := r', r' := r)) id s = s$$

by (rule $\mathcal{R}_G I[OF\ swap\ bij]$, ((rule *sym*, *auto*)[1]) $+$)

lemma *eliminate-swap-global-lid* [*simp*, *intro*]:

$l \notin LID_G\ s \implies l' \notin LID_G\ s \implies \mathcal{R}_G\ id\ (id(l := l', l' := l))\ s = s$

by (rule $\mathcal{R}_G I$) (auto *simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional*)

end

4 Substitution

This theory introduces the substitution operation using a locale, and provides two models.

theory *Substitution*
imports *Renaming*
begin

4.1 Definition

locale *substitution* =

fixes *subst* :: ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr*

assumes

renaming-distr-subst: $\mathcal{R}_E\ \alpha\ \beta\ (subst\ e\ x\ e') = subst\ (\mathcal{R}_E\ \alpha\ \beta\ e)\ x\ (\mathcal{R}_E\ \alpha\ \beta\ e')$

and

subst-introduces-no-rids: $RID_E\ (subst\ e\ x\ e') \subseteq RID_E\ e \cup RID_E\ e'$ **and**

subst-introduces-no-lids: $LID_E\ (subst\ e\ x\ e') \subseteq LID_E\ e \cup LID_E\ e'$

begin

lemma *rid-substE* [*dest*]: $r \in RID_E\ (subst\ (VE\ v)\ x\ e) \implies r \notin RID_E\ e \implies r \in RID_V\ v$

using *subst-introduces-no-rids* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *lid-substE* [*dest*]: $l \in LID_E\ (subst\ (VE\ v)\ x\ e) \implies l \notin LID_E\ e \implies l \in LID_V\ v$

using *subst-introduces-no-lids* **by** *fastforce*

end

4.2 Trivial model

fun *constant-function* :: ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow 'v \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *expr*
where

constant-function $e\ x\ e' = VE\ (CV\ Unit)$

lemma *constant-function-models-substitution*:

substitution constant-function **by** (auto *simp add: substitution-def*)

4.3 Example model

4.3.1 Preliminaries

notation $set3\text{-val}$ (\mathcal{V}_V)

notation $set3\text{-expr}$ (\mathcal{V}_E)

abbreviation $rename\text{-vars}\text{-val} :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ val} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ val}$ (\mathcal{RV}_V)

where

$\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta \equiv map\text{-val } id \ id \ \zeta$

abbreviation $rename\text{-vars}\text{-expr} :: ('v \Rightarrow 'v) \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ expr}$ (\mathcal{RV}_E) **where**

$\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta \equiv map\text{-expr } id \ id \ \zeta$

lemma $var\text{-renaming}\text{-preserves}\text{-size}$:

fixes

$v :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ val}$ **and**

$e :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ expr}$ **and**

$\alpha :: 'r \Rightarrow 'r'$ **and**

$\beta :: 'l \Rightarrow 'l'$ **and**

$\zeta :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v'$

shows

$size (map\text{-val } \alpha \ \beta \ \zeta \ v) = size \ v$

$size (map\text{-expr } \alpha \ \beta \ \zeta \ e) = size \ e$

proof –

have $(\forall (\alpha :: 'r \Rightarrow 'r') (\beta :: 'l \Rightarrow 'l') (\zeta :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v')). size (map\text{-val } \alpha \ \beta \ \zeta \ v) = size \ v) \wedge$

$(\forall (\alpha :: 'r \Rightarrow 'r') (\beta :: 'l \Rightarrow 'l') (\zeta :: 'v \Rightarrow 'v')). size (map\text{-expr } \alpha \ \beta \ \zeta \ e) = size \ e)$

by (*induct rule: val-expr.induct*) *auto*

thus

$size (map\text{-val } \alpha \ \beta \ \zeta \ v) = size \ v$

$size (map\text{-expr } \alpha \ \beta \ \zeta \ e) = size \ e$

by *auto*

qed

4.3.2 Definition

function

$nat\text{-subst}_V :: ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ val} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ expr}$ **and**

$nat\text{-subst}_E :: ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ expr} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ expr}$

where

$nat\text{-subst}_V \ e \ x \ (CV \ const) = VE \ (CV \ const)$

| $nat\text{-subst}_V \ e \ x \ (Var \ x') = (if \ x = x' \ then \ e \ else \ VE \ (Var \ x'))$

| $nat\text{-subst}_V \ e \ x \ (Loc \ l) = VE \ (Loc \ l)$

| $nat\text{-subst}_V \ e \ x \ (Rid \ r) = VE \ (Rid \ r)$

| $nat\text{-subst}_V \ e \ x \ (Lambda \ y \ e') = VE \ ($

$if \ x = y \ then$

$Lambda \ y \ e'$

else
let $z = \text{Suc} (\text{Max} (\mathcal{V}_E e' \cup \mathcal{V}_E e))$ *in*
Lambda z ($\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E (\text{id}(y := z)) e')$)
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{VE } v') = \text{nat-subst}_V e x v'$
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Apply } l r) = \text{Apply} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x l) (\text{nat-subst}_E e x r)$
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Ite } e1 e2 e3) = \text{Ite} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e1) (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e2)$
($\text{nat-subst}_E e x e3$)
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Ref } e') = \text{Ref} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e')$
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Read } e') = \text{Read} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e')$
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Assign } l r) = \text{Assign} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x l) (\text{nat-subst}_E e x r)$
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Rfork } e') = \text{Rfork} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e')$
| $\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\text{Rjoin } e') = \text{Rjoin} (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e')$
by *pat-completeness auto*
termination
by (*relation measure* ($\lambda x. \text{case } x \text{ of } \text{Inl } (e,x,v) \Rightarrow \text{size } v \mid \text{Inr } (e,x,e') \Rightarrow \text{size } e'$))
(*auto simp add: var-renaming-preserves-size(2)*)

4.3.3 Proof obligations

lemma *nat-subst_E-distr:*

fixes $e :: ('r, 'l, \text{nat}) \text{ expr}$

shows $\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e') = \text{nat-subst}_E (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e) x (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e')$

proof –

fix $v' :: ('r, 'l, \text{nat}) \text{ val}$

have

$(\forall \alpha \beta x e \zeta. \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\text{nat-subst}_V e x (\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta v')) = \text{nat-subst}_V (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e) x (\mathcal{RV}_V \alpha \beta (\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta v')))$ \wedge

$(\forall \alpha \beta x e \zeta. \mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta e')) = \text{nat-subst}_E (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e) x (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta e')))$

by (*induct rule: val-expr.induct*) (*auto simp add: expr.set-map(3) fun.map-ident*)

hence $\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E \text{id } e')) = \text{nat-subst}_E (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e) x (\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta (\mathcal{RV}_E \text{id } e'))$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis by simp*

qed

lemma *nat-subst_E-introduces-no-rids:*

fixes $e' :: ('r, 'l, \text{nat}) \text{ expr}$

shows $\text{RID}_E (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e') \subseteq \text{RID}_E e \cup \text{RID}_E e'$

proof –

fix $v' :: ('r, 'l, \text{nat}) \text{ val}$

have

$(\forall x e. \forall \zeta. \text{RID}_E (\text{nat-subst}_V e x (\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta v')) \subseteq \text{RID}_E e \cup \text{RID}_V (\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta v'))$
 \wedge

$(\forall x e. \forall \zeta. \text{RID}_E (\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta e')) \subseteq \text{RID}_E e \cup \text{RID}_E (\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta e'))$

by (*induct rule: val-expr.induct*) (*auto 0 4 simp add: expr.set-map(1)*)

hence $\text{RID}_E (\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E \text{id } e')) \subseteq \text{RID}_E e \cup \text{RID}_E (\mathcal{RV}_E \text{id } e')$ **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis by simp*

qed

lemma *nat-subst_E-introduces-no-lids*:
fixes $e' :: ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ expr}$
shows $LID_E (\text{nat-subst}_E e x e') \subseteq LID_E e \cup LID_E e'$
proof –
fix $v' :: ('r, 'l, nat) \text{ val}$
have
 $(\forall x e. \forall \zeta. LID_E (\text{nat-subst}_V e x (\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta v')) \subseteq LID_E e \cup LID_V (\mathcal{RV}_V \zeta v'))$
 \wedge
 $(\forall x e. \forall \zeta. LID_E (\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta e')) \subseteq LID_E e \cup LID_E (\mathcal{RV}_E \zeta e'))$
by (*induct rule: val-expr.induct*) (*auto 0 4 simp add: expr.set-map(2)*)
hence $LID_E (\text{nat-subst}_E e x (\mathcal{RV}_E \text{id } e')) \subseteq LID_E e \cup LID_E (\mathcal{RV}_E \text{id } e')$ **by**
blast
thus *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *nat-subst_E-models-substitution*: *substitution nat-subst_E*
by (*simp add: nat-subst_E-distr nat-subst_E-introduces-no-lids nat-subst_E-introduces-no-rids substitution-def*)

end

5 Operational Semantics

This theory defines the operational semantics of the concurrent revisions model. It also introduces a relaxed formulation of the operational semantics, and proves the main result required for establishing their equivalence.

theory *OperationalSemantics*
imports *Substitution*
begin

context *substitution*
begin

5.1 Definition

inductive *revision-step* :: $'r \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ global-state} \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ global-state} \Rightarrow \text{bool}$
where
 $\text{app}: s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Apply } (VE (\text{Lambda } x e)) (VE v)]) \Longrightarrow \text{revision-step } r s (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{subst } (VE v) x e])))$
 $| \text{ifTrue}: s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ite } (VE (CV T)) e1 e2]) \Longrightarrow \text{revision-step } r s (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[e1])))$
 $| \text{ifFalse}: s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ite } (VE (CV F)) e1 e2]) \Longrightarrow \text{revision-step } r s (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[e2])))$
 $| \text{new}: s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ref } (VE v)]) \Longrightarrow l \notin LID_G s \Longrightarrow \text{revision-step } r s (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v), \mathcal{E}[VE (Loc l)])))$

| *get*: $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Read } (VE \ (Loc \ l))]) \implies l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau) \implies \text{revision-step } r \ s \ (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{VE } (the \ ((\sigma;;\tau) \ l))])))$
 | *set*: $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Assign } (VE \ (Loc \ l)) \ (VE \ v)]) \implies l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau) \implies \text{revision-step } r \ s \ (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v), \mathcal{E}[\text{VE } (CV \ Unit))]))$

 | *fork*: $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rfork } e]) \implies r' \notin \text{RID}_G \ s \implies \text{revision-step } r \ s \ (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{VE } (Rid \ r')]), r' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e)))$
 | *join*: $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rjoin } (VE \ (Rid \ r'))]) \implies s \ r' = \text{Some } (\sigma', \tau', VE \ v) \implies \text{revision-step } r \ s \ (s(r := \text{Some } (\sigma, (\tau;;\tau'), \mathcal{E}[\text{VE } (CV \ Unit)]), r' := \text{None}))$
 | *join_ε*: $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rjoin } (VE \ (Rid \ r'))]) \implies s \ r' = \text{None} \implies \text{revision-step } r \ s \ \varepsilon$

inductive-cases *revision-stepE* [*elim*, *consumes 1*, *case-names app ifTrue ifFalse new get set fork join join_ε*]:
revision-step r s s'

5.2 Introduction lemmas for identifiers

lemma *only-new-introduces-lids* [*intro*, *dest*]:

assumes

step: *revision-step r s s'* **and**

not-new: $\bigwedge \sigma \ \tau \ \mathcal{E} \ v. \ s \ r \neq \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ref } (VE \ v)])$

shows $\text{LID}_G \ s' \subseteq \text{LID}_G \ s$

proof (*use step in* \langle *cases rule: revision-stepE* \rangle)

case *fork*

thus *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: fun-upd-twist ID-distr-global-conditional*)

next

case (*join - - - r' - - -*)

hence $r \neq r'$ **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **using** *join* **by** (*auto simp add: fun-upd-twist dest!: in-combination-in-component*)

qed (*auto simp add: not-new fun-upd-twist ID-distr-global-conditional dest: LID_SI(2)*)

lemma *only-fork-introduces-rids* [*intro*, *dest*]:

assumes

step: *revision-step r s s'* **and**

not-fork: $\bigwedge \sigma \ \tau \ \mathcal{E} \ e. \ s \ r \neq \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rfork } e])$

shows $\text{RID}_G \ s' \subseteq \text{RID}_G \ s$

proof (*use step in* \langle *cases rule: revision-stepE* \rangle)

next

case *get*

then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional*)

next

case *fork*

then show *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: not-fork*)

next

case (*join - - - r' - - -*)

hence $r \neq r'$ **by** *auto*

then show *?thesis* **using** *join* **by** (*auto simp add: fun-upd-twist dest!: in-combination-in-component*)

qed (*auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional*)

lemma *only-fork-introduces-rids'* [dest]:

assumes

step: revision-step r s s' **and**

not-fork: $\bigwedge \sigma \tau \mathcal{E} e. s r \neq \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rfork } e])$

shows $r' \notin \text{RID}_G s \implies r' \notin \text{RID}_G s'$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

lemma *only-new-introduces-lids'* [dest]:

assumes

step: revision-step r s s' **and**

not-new: $\bigwedge \sigma \tau \mathcal{E} v. s r \neq \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ref } (VE v)])$

shows $l \notin \text{LID}_G s \implies l \notin \text{LID}_G s'$

using *assms* **by** *blast*

5.3 Domain subsumption

5.3.1 Definitions

definition *domains-subsume* :: $('r, 'l, 'v)$ local-state \Rightarrow bool (\mathcal{S}) **where**

$\mathcal{S} \text{ } ls = (\text{LID}_L \text{ } ls \subseteq \text{doms } ls)$

definition *domains-subsume-globally* :: $('r, 'l, 'v)$ global-state \Rightarrow bool (\mathcal{S}_G) **where**

$\mathcal{S}_G s = (\forall r \text{ } ls. s r = \text{Some } ls \longrightarrow \mathcal{S} \text{ } ls)$

lemma *domains-subsume-globallyI* [intro]:

$(\bigwedge r \sigma \tau e. s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, e) \implies \mathcal{S} (\sigma, \tau, e)) \implies \text{domains-subsume-globally } s$

using *domains-subsume-globally-def* **by** *auto*

definition *subsumes-accessible* :: $'r \Rightarrow 'r \Rightarrow ('r, 'l, 'v)$ global-state \Rightarrow bool (\mathcal{A}) **where**

$\mathcal{A} \text{ } r_1 \text{ } r_2 \text{ } s = (r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s \text{ } r_1)) \longrightarrow (\text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s \text{ } r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s \text{ } r_1))))$

lemma *subsumes-accessibleI* [intro]:

$(r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s \text{ } r_1)) \implies \text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s \text{ } r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s \text{ } r_1))) \implies \mathcal{A} \text{ } r_1 \text{ } r_2 \text{ } s$

using *subsumes-accessible-def* **by** *auto*

definition *subsumes-accessible-globally* :: $('r, 'l, 'v)$ global-state \Rightarrow bool (\mathcal{A}_G) **where**

$\mathcal{A}_G s = (\forall r_1 \text{ } r_2. r_1 \in \text{dom } s \longrightarrow r_2 \in \text{dom } s \longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \text{ } r_1 \text{ } r_2 \text{ } s)$

lemma *subsumes-accessible-globallyI* [intro]:

$(\bigwedge r_1 \sigma_1 \tau_1 e_1 \text{ } r_2 \sigma_2 \tau_2 e_2. s r_1 = \text{Some } (\sigma_1, \tau_1, e_1) \implies s r_2 = \text{Some } (\sigma_2, \tau_2, e_2) \implies \mathcal{A} \text{ } r_1 \text{ } r_2 \text{ } s) \implies \mathcal{A}_G s$

using *subsumes-accessible-globally-def* **by** *auto*

5.3.2 The theorem

lemma *S_G-imp-A-refl*:

```

assumes
   $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s:  $\mathcal{S}_G$  s and
  r-in-dom:  $r \in \text{dom } s$ 
shows  $\mathcal{A} \ r \ r \ s$ 
using assms by (auto simp add: domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def
subsumes-accessibleI)

lemma step-preserves- $\mathcal{S}_G$ -and- $\mathcal{A}_G$ :
assumes
  step: revision-step r s s' and
   $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s:  $\mathcal{S}_G$  s and
   $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s:  $\mathcal{A}_G$  s
shows  $\mathcal{S}_G$  s'  $\mathcal{A}_G$  s'
proof -
show  $\mathcal{S}_G$  s'
proof (rule domains-subsume-globallyI)
  fix r'  $\sigma \ \tau \ e$ 
  assume s'-r: s' r' = Some ( $\sigma, \tau, e$ )
  show  $\mathcal{S} \ (\sigma, \tau, e)$ 
  proof (cases s' r' = s r')
    case True
    then show ?thesis using  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-globally-def s'-r by auto
  next
    case r'-was-updated: False
    show ?thesis
    proof (use step in  $\langle$ cases rule: revision-stepE $\rangle$ )
      case (app  $\sigma' \ \tau' \ \mathcal{E}' - e' \ v'$ )
      have  $r = r'$  by (metis fun-upd-apply app(1) r'-was-updated)
      have  $LID_L \ (\text{the } (s' \ r)) \subseteq LID_S \ \sigma' \cup LID_S \ \tau' \cup LID_C \ \mathcal{E}' \cup LID_E \ e' \cup$ 
LID_V  $v'$  using app(1) by auto
      also have ... =  $LID_L \ (\text{the } (s \ r))$  using app(2) by auto
      also have ...  $\subseteq \text{doms} \ (\text{the } (s \ r))$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def local.app(2) option.sel)
      also have ... =  $\text{doms} \ (\text{the } (s' \ r))$  using app by simp
      finally have  $\mathcal{S} \ (\text{the } (s' \ r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
      thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle$  s'-r)
    next
      case ifTrue
      have  $r = r'$  by (metis fun-upd-apply ifTrue(1) r'-was-updated)
      have  $LID_L \ (\text{the } (s' \ r)) \subseteq LID_L \ (\text{the } (s \ r))$  using ifTrue by auto
      also have ...  $\subseteq \text{doms} \ (\text{the } (s \ r))$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def ifTrue(2) option.sel)
      also have ... =  $\text{doms} \ (\text{the } (s' \ r))$  by (simp add: ifTrue)
      finally have  $\mathcal{S} \ (\text{the } (s' \ r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
      thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle$  s'-r)
    next
      case ifFalse

```

```

    have  $r = r'$  by (metis fun-upd-apply ifFalse(1) r'-was-updated)
    have  $LID_L (the (s' r)) \subseteq LID_L (the (s r))$  using ifFalse by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r))$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def if-
False(2) option.sel)
    also have  $\dots = doms (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: ifFalse)
    finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle$  s'-r)
  next
    case (new  $\sigma' \tau' \mathcal{E}' v' l'$ )
    have  $r = r'$  by (metis fun-upd-apply new(1) r'-was-updated)
    have  $LID_L (the (s' r)) = insert l' (LID_S \sigma' \cup LID_S \tau' \cup LID_V v' \cup LID_C$ 
 $\mathcal{E}')$ 
    proof -
      have  $l' \notin LID_S \tau'$  using new(2-3) by auto
      thus ?thesis using new(1) by auto
    qed
    also have  $\dots = insert l' (LID_L (the (s r)))$  using new by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq insert l' (doms (the (s r)))$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def in-
sert-mono new(2) option.sel)
    also have  $\dots = doms (the (s' r))$  using new by auto
    finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle$  s'-r)
  next
    case get
    have  $r = r'$  by (metis fun-upd-apply get(1) r'-was-updated)
    have  $LID_L (the (s' r)) = LID_L (the (s r))$  using get by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r))$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def get(2)
option.sel)
    also have  $\dots = doms (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: get(1-2))
    finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle$  s'-r)
  next
    case set
    have  $r = r'$  by (metis fun-upd-apply set(1) r'-was-updated)
    have  $LID_L (the (s' r)) \subseteq LID_L (the (s r))$  using set(1-2) by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r))$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def set(2)
option.sel)
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s' r))$  using set(1-2) by auto
    finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle$  s'-r)
  next
    case (fork  $\sigma' \tau' - - r''$ )
    have  $r = r' \vee r'' = r'$  using fork r'-was-updated by auto
    then show ?thesis
    proof (rule disjE)

```

```

    assume  $r = r'$ 
    have  $LID_L (the (s' r)) \subseteq LID_L (the (s r))$  using fork(1-2) by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r))$ 
    by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def fork(2)
option.sel)
    also have  $\dots = doms (the (s' r))$  using fork by auto
    finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r = r' \rangle s'-r$ )
next
    assume  $r'' = r'$ 
    have  $LID_L (the (s' r'')) \subseteq LID_L (the (s r))$  using fork(1-2) by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r))$ 
    by (metis  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def fork(2)
option.sel)
    also have  $\dots = dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau'$  using fork by simp
    also have  $\dots = dom (\sigma';;\tau')$  by (simp add: dom-combination-dom-union)
    also have  $\dots = doms (the (s' r''))$  using fork by simp
    finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r''))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
    thus ?thesis by (simp add:  $\langle r'' = r' \rangle s'-r$ )
qed
next
case (join  $\sigma' \tau' - r'' \sigma'' \tau'' -$ )
have  $r' = r$  by (metis fun-upd-def join(1) option.simps(3) r'-was-updated
s'-r)
have  $LID_L (the (s' r)) \subseteq LID_L (the (s r)) \cup LID_S \tau''$  using join by auto
also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r)) \cup LID_S \tau''$ 
by (metis Un-mono  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def
join(2) option.sel subset-refl)
also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r)) \cup LID_L (the (s r''))$  using join(3) by auto
also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r)) \cup doms (the (s r''))$ 
by (metis (no-types, lifting) Un-absorb  $\mathcal{S}_G$ -s domains-subsume-def do-
mains-subsume-globally-def join(3) option.sel sup.orderI sup-mono)
also have  $\dots = dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau' \cup dom \sigma'' \cup dom \tau''$  using join by auto
also have  $\dots \subseteq dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau' \cup LID_S \sigma'' \cup dom \tau''$  by auto
also have  $\dots \subseteq dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau' \cup dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau' \cup dom \tau''$ 
proof -
have  $r-r''$ :  $\mathcal{A} r r'' s$  using  $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s join(2-3) subsumes-accessible-globally-def
by auto
    have  $r$ -accesses- $r''$ :  $r'' \in RID_L (the (s r))$  using join by auto
    have  $LID_S \sigma'' \subseteq dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau'$  using join subsumes-accessible-def
r-r'' r-accesses-r'' by auto
    thus ?thesis by auto
qed
also have  $\dots = dom \sigma' \cup dom \tau' \cup dom \tau''$  by auto
also have  $\dots = dom \sigma' \cup dom (\tau';;\tau'')$  by (auto simp add: dom-combination-dom-union)
also have  $\dots = doms (the (s' r))$  using join by auto
finally have  $\mathcal{S} (the (s' r))$  by (simp add: domains-subsume-def)
thus ?thesis using  $\langle r' = r \rangle s'-r$  by auto
next

```

```

      case joinε
      then show ?thesis using s'-r by blast
    qed
  qed
show  $\mathcal{A}_G s'$ 
proof (rule subsumes-accessible-globallyI)
  fix  $r_1 \sigma_1 \tau_1 e_1 r_2 \sigma_2 \tau_2 e_2$ 
  assume
     $s'-r_1: s' r_1 = \text{Some} (\sigma_1, \tau_1, e_1)$  and
     $s'-r_2: s' r_2 = \text{Some} (\sigma_2, \tau_2, e_2)$ 
  show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
  proof (cases  $r_1 = r_2$ )
    case True
    then show ?thesis using  $\mathcal{S}_G\text{-imp-}\mathcal{A}\text{-refl} \langle \mathcal{S}_G s' \rangle s'-r_1$  by blast
  next
    case  $r_1\text{-neq-}r_2: \text{False}$ 
    have  $r_1\text{-nor-}r_2\text{-updated-implies-thesis}: s' r_1 = s r_1 \implies s' r_2 = s r_2 \implies$ 
    ?thesis
    proof –
      assume  $r_1\text{-unchanged}: s' r_1 = s r_1$  and  $r_2\text{-unchanged}: s' r_2 = s r_2$ 
      have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-s domIff option.discI } r_1\text{-unchanged } r_2\text{-unchanged } s'-r_1 s'-r_2$ 
      subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
      show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle r_1\text{-unchanged } r_2\text{-unchanged subsumes-accessible-def}$ 
    by auto
    qed
    have  $r_1\text{-or-}r_2\text{-updated-implies-thesis}: s' r_1 \neq s r_1 \vee s' r_2 \neq s r_2 \implies ?thesis$ 
    proof –
      assume  $r_1\text{-or-}r_2\text{-updated}: s' r_1 \neq s r_1 \vee s' r_2 \neq s r_2$ 
      show ?thesis
      proof (use step in  $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$ )
        case app
        have  $r_1 = r \vee r_2 = r$  by (metis fun-upd-other app(1)  $r_1\text{-or-}r_2\text{-updated}$ )
        then show ?thesis
        proof (rule disjE)
          assume  $r_1\text{-eq-}r: r_1 = r$ 
          show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
          proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
            assume  $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1: r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s' r_1))$ 
            have  $\text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s' r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq \text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s r_2))_\sigma)$  using app by auto
            also have ...  $\subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s r_1))$ 
            proof –
              have  $r_2\text{-in-}s-r_1: r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s r_1))$  using app  $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1 r_1\text{-eq-}r$ 
            by auto
            have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 
            by (metis  $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-s domI fun-upd-other app } r_1\text{-eq-}r s'-r_2$  subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
            show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle r_2\text{-in-}s-r_1$  subsumes-accessible-def
          end
        end
      end
    end
  end

```

by blast
 qed
 also have ... \subseteq doms (the (s' r₁)) **using app by auto**
 finally show LID_S (the (s' r₂)_σ) \subseteq doms (the (s' r₁)) **by simp**
 qed
next
 assume r₂-eq-r: r₂ = r
 show \mathcal{A} r₁ r₂ s'
proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
 assume r₂-in-s'-r₁: r₂ \in RID_L (the (s' r₁))
 have LID_S (the (s' r₂)_σ) = LID_S (the (s r₂)_σ) **using app by auto**
 also have ... \subseteq doms (the (s r₁))
proof –
 have r₂-in-s-r₁: r₂ \in RID_L (the (s r₁)) **using app(1) r₁-neq-r₂**
 r₂-eq-r r₂-in-s'-r₁ **by auto**
 have \mathcal{A} r₁ r₂ s
by (metis (no-types, lifting) \mathcal{A}_G -s domIff fun-upd-other app option.discI
 r₂-eq-r s'-r₁ subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
 show ?thesis **using** $\langle \mathcal{A}$ r₁ r₂ s \rangle r₂-in-s-r₁ subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
 qed
 also have ... \subseteq doms (the (s' r₁)) **by** (simp add: app)
 finally show LID_S (the (s' r₂)_σ) \subseteq doms (the (s' r₁)) **by simp**
 qed
qed
next
case ifTrue
 have r₁ = r \vee r₂ = r **by** (metis fun-upd-other ifTrue(1) r₁-or-r₂-updated)
then show ?thesis
proof (rule disjE)
 assume r₁-eq-r: r₁ = r
 show \mathcal{A} r₁ r₂ s'
proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
 assume r₂-in-s'-r₁: r₂ \in RID_L (the (s' r₁))
 have LID_S ((the (s' r₂))_σ) \subseteq LID_S ((the (s r₂))_σ) **using ifTrue by**
 auto
 also have ... \subseteq doms (the (s r₁))
proof –
 have r₂-in-s-r₁: r₂ \in RID_L (the (s r₁)) **using ifTrue r₂-in-s'-r₁**
 r₁-eq-r **by auto**
 have \mathcal{A} r₁ r₂ s
by (metis \mathcal{A}_G -s domI fun-upd-other ifTrue r₁-eq-r s'-r₂ sub-
 sumes-accessible-globally-def)
 show ?thesis **using** $\langle \mathcal{A}$ r₁ r₂ s \rangle r₂-in-s-r₁ subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
 qed
 also have ... \subseteq doms (the (s' r₁)) **using ifTrue by auto**
 finally show LID_S (the (s' r₂)_σ) \subseteq doms (the (s' r₁)) **by simp**
qed

```

next
  assume  $r_2\text{-eq-}r: r_2 = r$ 
  show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
  proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
    assume  $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s' r_1))$ 
    have  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) = LID_S (the (s r_2)_\sigma)$  using ifTrue by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r_1))$ 
    proof -
      have  $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s r_1))$  using ifTrue(1) r_1-neq-r_2
 $r_2\text{-eq-}r r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1$  by auto
      have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 
        by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-}s domIff fun\text{-}upd\text{-}other ifTrue$ 
 $option.discI r_2\text{-eq-}r s'\text{-}r_1 subsumes\text{-}accessible\text{-}globally\text{-}def$ )
      show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1 subsumes\text{-}accessible\text{-}def$ 
    by blast
  qed
  also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  by (simp add: ifTrue)
  finally show  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  by simp
  qed
qed
next
  case ifFalse
  have  $r_1 = r \vee r_2 = r$  by (metis fun-upd-other ifFalse(1) r_1-or-r_2-updated)
  then show ?thesis
  proof (rule disjE)
    assume  $r_1\text{-eq-}r: r_1 = r$ 
    show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
    proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
      assume  $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s' r_1))$ 
      have  $LID_S ((the (s' r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq LID_S ((the (s r_2))_\sigma)$  using ifFalse by
 $auto$ 
      also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r_1))$ 
      proof -
        have  $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s r_1))$  using ifFalse r_2-in-s'-r_1
 $r_1\text{-eq-}r$  by auto
        have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 
          by (metis  $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-}s domI fun\text{-}upd\text{-}other ifFalse r_1\text{-eq-}r s'\text{-}r_2 sub\text{-}sumes\text{-}accessible\text{-}globally\text{-}def$ )
        show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1 subsumes\text{-}accessible\text{-}def$ 
      by blast
    qed
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  using ifFalse by auto
    finally show  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  by simp
  qed
  qed
next
  assume  $r_2\text{-eq-}r: r_2 = r$ 
  show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
  proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
    assume  $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s' r_1))$ 

```

```

      have  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) = LID_S (the (s r_2)_\sigma)$  using ifFalse by auto
      also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r_1))$ 
      proof –
        have  $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s r_1))$  using ifFalse(1)  $r_1\text{-neq-}r_2$ 
 $r_2\text{-eq-}r$   $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1$  by auto
        have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 
          by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-}s$  domIff fun-upd-other ifFalse
option.discI  $r_2\text{-eq-}r$   $s'\text{-}r_1$  subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
        show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle$   $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1$  subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
      qed
      also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  by (simp add: ifFalse)
      finally show  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  by simp
    qed
  qed
next
case new
have  $r_1 = r \vee r_2 = r$  by (metis fun-upd-other new(1)  $r_1\text{-or-}r_2\text{-updated}$ )
then show ?thesis
proof (rule disjE)
  assume  $r_1\text{-eq-}r: r_1 = r$ 
  show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
  proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
    assume  $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s' r_1))$ 
    have  $LID_S ((the (s' r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq LID_S ((the (s r_2))_\sigma)$  using new by auto
    also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r_1))$ 
    proof –
      have  $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s r_1))$  using new  $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1$   $r_1\text{-eq-}r$ 
by auto
      have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 
        by (metis  $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-}s$  domI fun-upd-other new(1-2)  $r_1\text{-eq-}r$   $s'\text{-}r_2$ 
subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
      show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle$   $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1$  subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
    qed
  also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  using new by auto
  finally show  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms (the (s' r_1))$  by simp
  qed
next
assume  $r_2\text{-eq-}r: r_2 = r$ 
show  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ 
proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
  assume  $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s' r_1))$ 
  have  $LID_S (the (s' r_2)_\sigma) = LID_S (the (s r_2)_\sigma)$  using new by auto
  also have  $\dots \subseteq doms (the (s r_1))$ 
  proof –
    have  $r_2\text{-in-}s\text{-}r_1: r_2 \in RID_L (the (s r_1))$  using new(1)  $r_1\text{-neq-}r_2$ 
 $r_2\text{-eq-}r$   $r_2\text{-in-}s'\text{-}r_1$  by auto
    have  $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ 

```

```

      by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s domIff fun-upd-other new(1-2)
option.discI  $r_2$ -eq-r  $s'$ - $r_1$  subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
      show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s \rangle$   $r_2$ -in-s- $r_1$  subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
  qed
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the ( $s'$   $r_1$ )) by (auto simp add: new)
  finally show  $LID_S$  (the ( $s'$   $r_2$ ) $_\sigma$ )  $\subseteq$  doms (the ( $s'$   $r_1$ )) by simp
  qed
  qed
next
case get
have  $r_1 = r \vee r_2 = r$  by (metis fun-upd-other get(1)  $r_1$ -or- $r_2$ -updated)
then show ?thesis
proof (rule disjE)
  assume  $r_1$ -eq-r:  $r_1 = r$ 
  show  $\mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s'$ 
  proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
    assume  $r_2$ -in-s'- $r_1$ :  $r_2 \in RID_L$  (the ( $s'$   $r_1$ ))
    have  $LID_S$  ((the ( $s'$   $r_2$ ) $_\sigma$ )  $\subseteq$   $LID_S$  ((the ( $s$   $r_2$ ) $_\sigma$ )) using get by auto
    also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the ( $s$   $r_1$ ))
    proof -
      have  $r_2$ -in-s- $r_1$ :  $r_2 \in RID_L$  (the ( $s$   $r_1$ )) using get  $r_2$ -in-s'- $r_1$   $r_1$ -eq-r
apply auto
      by (meson  $RID_S I$ )
    have  $\mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s$ 
      by (metis  $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s domI fun-upd-other get(1-2)  $r_1$ -eq-r  $s'$ - $r_2$ 
subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
      show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s \rangle$   $r_2$ -in-s- $r_1$  subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
  qed
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the ( $s'$   $r_1$ )) using get by auto
  finally show  $LID_S$  (the ( $s'$   $r_2$ ) $_\sigma$ )  $\subseteq$  doms (the ( $s'$   $r_1$ )) by simp
  qed
next
assume  $r_2$ -eq-r:  $r_2 = r$ 
show  $\mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s'$ 
proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
  assume  $r_2$ -in-s'- $r_1$ :  $r_2 \in RID_L$  (the ( $s'$   $r_1$ ))
  have  $LID_S$  (the ( $s'$   $r_2$ ) $_\sigma$ ) =  $LID_S$  (the ( $s$   $r_2$ ) $_\sigma$ ) using get by auto
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the ( $s$   $r_1$ ))
  proof -
    have  $r_2$ -in-s- $r_1$ :  $r_2 \in RID_L$  (the ( $s$   $r_1$ )) using get(1)  $r_1$ -neq- $r_2$   $r_2$ -eq-r
 $r_2$ -in-s'- $r_1$  by auto
    have  $\mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s domIff fun-upd-other get(1-2)
option.discI  $r_2$ -eq-r  $s'$ - $r_1$  subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
      show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s \rangle$   $r_2$ -in-s- $r_1$  subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
  qed
  qed

```

```

    also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s' r1)) by (simp add: get)
    finally show LIDS (the (s' r2)σ)  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s' r1)) by simp
  qed
next
case set
have r1 = r  $\vee$  r2 = r by (metis fun-upd-other set(1) r1-or-r2-updated)
then show ?thesis
proof (rule disjE)
  assume r1-eq-r: r1 = r
  show  $\mathcal{A}$  r1 r2 s'
  proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
    assume r2-in-s'-r1: r2  $\in$  RIDL (the (s' r1))
    have LIDS ((the (s' r2))σ)  $\subseteq$  LIDS ((the (s r2))σ) using set by auto
    also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s r1))
    proof -
      have r2-in-s-r1: r2  $\in$  RIDL (the (s r1)) using set r2-in-s'-r1 r1-eq-r
    by auto
    have  $\mathcal{A}$  r1 r2 s
      by (metis  $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s domI fun-upd-other set(1-2) r1-eq-r s'-r2
subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
    show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A}$  r1 r2 s  $\rangle$  r2-in-s-r1 subsumes-accessible-def
  by blast
  qed
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s' r1)) using set by auto
  finally show LIDS (the (s' r2)σ)  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s' r1)) by simp
qed
next
assume r2-eq-r: r2 = r
show  $\mathcal{A}$  r1 r2 s'
proof (rule subsumes-accessibleI)
  assume r2-in-s'-r1: r2  $\in$  RIDL (the (s' r1))
  have LIDS (the (s' r2)σ) = LIDS (the (s r2)σ) using set by auto
  also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s r1))
  proof -
    have r2-in-s-r1: r2  $\in$  RIDL (the (s r1)) using set(1) r1-neq-r2 r2-eq-r
  by auto
  have  $\mathcal{A}$  r1 r2 s
    by (metis (no-types, lifting)  $\mathcal{A}_G$ -s domIff fun-upd-other set(1-2)
option.discI r2-eq-r s'-r1 subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
  show ?thesis using  $\langle \mathcal{A}$  r1 r2 s  $\rangle$  r2-in-s-r1 subsumes-accessible-def
by blast
qed
also have ...  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s' r1)) by (auto simp add: set)
finally show LIDS (the (s' r2)σ)  $\subseteq$  doms (the (s' r1)) by simp
qed
qed
next
case (fork σ τ  $\mathcal{E}$  e r')

```

have $s'-r$: $s' r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{VE } (\text{RID } r')])$ **using** *fork* **by** *auto*
have $s'-r'$: $s' r' = \text{Some } (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e)$
by (*simp add: local.fork(1)*)
have *case1*: $r_1 = r \implies r_2 \neq r \implies r_2 \neq r' \implies ?thesis$
proof (*rule subsumes-accessibleI*)
assume $r_1 = r$ $r_2 \neq r$ $r_2 \neq r'$
assume $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1$: $r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s' r_1))$
have $\text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s' r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq \text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s r_2))_\sigma)$ **using** *fork(1-2)* **by**
(*simp add: $\langle r_2 \neq r' \rangle$*)
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s r_1))$
proof –
have $r_2\text{-in-}s-r_1$: $r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s r_1))$ **using** *fork* $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ $\langle r_2 \neq r' \rangle$
 $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1$ $s'-r$ **by** *auto*
have $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-}s \langle r_1 = r \rangle \langle r_2 \neq r' \rangle \text{domIff}$*
fun-upd-other fork(1-2) option.discI s'-r_2 subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
show $?thesis$ **using** $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle$ $r_2\text{-in-}s-r_1$ *subsumes-accessible-def* **by**
blast
qed
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s' r_1))$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ fork(2) s'-r*)
finally show $\text{LID}_S (\text{the } (s' r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s' r_1))$ **by** *simp*
qed
have *case2*: $r_1 \neq r \implies r_1 \neq r' \implies r_2 = r \implies ?thesis$
proof (*rule subsumes-accessibleI*)
assume $r_1 \neq r$ $r_1 \neq r'$ $r_2 = r$
assume $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1$: $r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s' r_1))$
have $\text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s' r_2))_\sigma) \subseteq \text{LID}_S ((\text{the } (s r_2))_\sigma)$
using $\langle r_1 \neq r' \rangle$ $\langle r_1 \neq r \rangle$ *fork* $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1$ $s'-r_1$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s r_1))$
proof –
have $r_2\text{-in-}s-r_1$: $r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s r_1))$ **using** $\langle r_1 \neq r' \rangle$ $\langle r_1 \neq r \rangle$
fork(1) $r_2\text{-in-}s'-r_1$ **by** *auto*
have $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) $\mathcal{A}_G\text{-}s \langle r_1 \neq r' \rangle \langle r_2 = r \rangle \text{domIff}$*
fun-upd-other fork(1-2) option.discI s'-r_1 subsumes-accessible-globally-def)
show $?thesis$ **using** $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle$ $r_2\text{-in-}s-r_1$ *subsumes-accessible-def* **by**
auto
qed
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s' r_1))$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_1 \neq r' \rangle$ $\langle r_1 \neq r \rangle$*
fork(1))
finally show $\text{LID}_S (\text{the } (s' r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq \text{doms } (\text{the } (s' r_1))$ **by** *simp*
qed
have *case3*: $r_1 = r' \implies r_2 \neq r \implies r_2 \neq r' \implies ?thesis$
proof (*rule subsumes-accessibleI*)
fix l
assume $r_1 = r'$ $r_2 \neq r$ $r_2 \neq r'$
assume $r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s' r_1))$
hence $r_2 \in \text{RID}_L (\text{the } (s r))$ **using** $\text{RID}_L I(3)$ $\langle r_1 = r' \rangle$ *fork(2)* $s'-r'$
by *auto*

have $s\ r_2 = s'\ r_2$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_2 \neq r' \rangle \langle r_2 \neq r \rangle$ fork(1)*)
hence $\mathcal{A}\ r\ r_2\ s$ **using** \mathcal{A}_G -*s fork(2) s'-r₂ subsumes-accessible-globally-def*
by auto
hence $LID_S\ (the\ (s'\ r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms\ (the\ (s\ r))$
by (*simp add: $\langle r_2 \in RID_L\ (the\ (s\ r)) \rangle \langle s\ r_2 = s'\ r_2 \rangle$ subsumes-accessible-def*)
also have $... = dom\ \sigma \cup dom\ \tau$ **by** (*simp add: fork(2)*)
also have $... = dom\ (\sigma;;\tau)$ **by** (*simp add: dom-combination-dom-union*)
also have $... = doms\ (the\ (s'\ r'))$ **by** (*simp add: s'-r'*)
finally show $LID_S\ (the\ (s'\ r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$ **using** $\langle r_1 = r' \rangle$
by blast
qed
have *case4: $r_1 \neq r \implies r_1 \neq r' \implies r_2 = r' \implies ?thesis$*
proof –
assume $r_1 \neq r\ r_1 \neq r'\ r_2 = r'$
have $r_2 \notin RID_L\ (the\ (s\ r_1))$ **using** $\langle r_1 \neq r' \rangle \langle r_1 \neq r \rangle \langle r_2 = r' \rangle$ *fork(1,3)*
s'-r₁ **by auto**
hence $r_2 \notin RID_L\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_1 \neq r' \rangle \langle r_1 \neq r \rangle$ fork(1)*)
thus *?thesis* **by blast**
qed
have *case5: $r_1 = r \implies r_2 = r' \implies ?thesis$*
proof (*rule subsumes-accessibleI*)
assume $r_1 = r\ r_2 = r'$
have $LID_S\ ((the\ (s'\ r_2))_\sigma) = LID_S\ (\sigma;;\tau)$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_2 = r' \rangle$ s'-r'*)
also have $... \subseteq LID_S\ \sigma \cup LID_S\ \tau$ **by auto**
also have $... \subseteq LID_L\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ s'-r*)
also have $... \subseteq doms\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$
by (*metis $\langle \mathcal{S}_G\ s' \rangle \langle r_1 = r \rangle$ domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def*
option.sel s'-r)
finally show $LID_S\ (the\ (s'\ r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$ **by simp**
qed
have *case6: $r_1 = r' \implies r_2 = r \implies ?thesis$*
proof (*rule subsumes-accessibleI*)
assume $r_1 = r'\ r_2 = r\ r_2 \in RID_L\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$
have $LID_S\ (the\ (s'\ r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq LID_L\ (the\ (s'\ r_2))$ **by** (*simp add: s'-r₂*
subsetI)
also have $... \subseteq doms\ (the\ (s'\ r_2))$
using $\langle \mathcal{S}_G\ s' \rangle$ *domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def s'-r₂*
by auto
also have $... = dom\ \sigma \cup dom\ \tau$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_2 = r \rangle$ s'-r*)
also have $... = dom\ (\sigma;;\tau)$ **by** (*simp add: dom-combination-dom-union*)
finally show $LID_S\ (the\ (s'\ r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms\ (the\ (s'\ r_1))$
using $\langle r_1 = r' \rangle$ *s'-r'* **by auto**
qed
show *?thesis* **using** *case1 case2 case3 case4 case5 case6 fork(1) r₁-neq-r₂*
r₁-nor-r₂-updated-implies-thesis **by fastforce**
next
case (*join $\sigma\ \tau\ \mathcal{E}\ r'\ \sigma'\ \tau'\ v$*)
have $r_1 = r \vee r_2 = r$ **by** (*metis fun-upd-def join(1) option.simps(3)*)

r_1 -or- r_2 -updated s' - r_1 s' - r_2)
then show *?thesis*
proof (rule *disjE*)
assume $r_1 = r$
show $\mathcal{A} \ r_1 \ r_2 \ s'$
proof (rule *subsumes-accessibleI*)
assume r_2 -in- s' - r_1 : $r_2 \in RID_L \ (the \ (s' \ r_1))$
show $LID_S \ (the \ (s' \ r_2)_\sigma) \subseteq doms \ (the \ (s' \ r_1))$
proof (cases $r_2 \in RID_S \ \tau'$)
case r_2 -in- τ' : *True*
have $LID_S \ (the \ (s' \ r_2)_\sigma) = LID_S \ (the \ (s \ r_2)_\sigma)$
by (*metis* $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ *fun-upd-def join(1) option.distinct(1) r1-neq-r2*
 s' - r_2)
also have $\dots \subseteq doms \ (the \ (s \ r'))$
proof –
have r_2 -in- s - r' : $r_2 \in RID_L \ (the \ (s \ r'))$ **by** (*simp add: join(3)*
 r_2 -in- τ')
have $\mathcal{A} \ r' \ r_2 \ s$
by (*metis* \mathcal{A}_G - $s \ \langle r_1 = r \rangle$ *domI fun-upd-def join(1) join(3) r1-neq-r2*
 s' - r_2 *subsumes-accessible-globally-def*)
show *?thesis using* $\langle \mathcal{A} \ r' \ r_2 \ s \rangle$ r_2 -in- s - r' *subsumes-accessible-def*
by *blast*
qed
also have $\dots = dom \ \sigma' \cup dom \ \tau'$ **by** (*simp add: join(3)*)
also have $\dots \subseteq LID_S \ \sigma' \cup dom \ \tau'$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \subseteq dom \ \sigma \cup dom \ \tau \cup dom \ \tau'$
proof –
have $r' \in RID_L \ (the \ (s \ r))$ **by** (*simp add: join(2)*)
have $\mathcal{A} \ r \ r' \ s$ **using** \mathcal{A}_G - $s \ join(2-3)$ *subsumes-accessible-globally-def*
by *auto*
show *?thesis using* $\langle \mathcal{A} \ r \ r' \ s \rangle$ $join(2-3)$ *subsumes-accessible-def*
by *auto*
qed
also have $\dots = dom \ \sigma \cup dom \ (\tau;;\tau')$ **by** (*auto simp add:*
dom-combination-dom-union)
also have $\dots = doms \ (the \ (s' \ r_1))$ **using** *join* **by** (*auto simp add: \langle r_1*
 $= r \rangle$)
finally show *?thesis by simp*
next
case r_2 -nin- τ' : *False*
have $LID_S \ (the \ (s' \ r_2)_\sigma) = LID_S \ (the \ (s \ r_2)_\sigma)$
by (*metis* $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ *fun-upd-def join(1) option.distinct(1) r1-neq-r2*
 s' - r_2)
also have $\dots \subseteq doms \ (the \ (s \ r_1))$
proof –
have r_2 -in- s - r_1 : $r_2 \in RID_L \ (the \ (s \ r))$
proof –
have $RID_L \ (the \ (s' \ r_1)) = RID_S \ \sigma \cup RID_S \ (\tau;;\tau') \cup RID_C \ \mathcal{E}$
by (*metis* (*no-types, lifting*) *ID-distr-completion(1) ID-distr-local(2)*)

$\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ *expr.simps(153)* *fun-upd-apply local.join(1)* *option.discI* *option.sel s'-r1*
sup-bot.right-neutral val.simps(66))
hence $r_2 \in RID_S \sigma \cup RID_S \tau \cup RID_C \mathcal{E}$ **using** r_2 -in-s'-r1
 r_2 -nin- τ' **by** *auto*
thus *?thesis* **by** (*simp add: join(2)*)
qed
have $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$ **by** (*metis (no-types, lifting)* $\mathcal{A}_{G-s} \langle r_1 = r \rangle$ *join(1-2)*)
domIff fun-upd-def option.discI s'-r2 subsumes-accessible-globally-def
show *?thesis* **using** $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle$ r_2 -in-s-r1 *subsumes-accessible-def*
 $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
also have $\dots = \text{dom } \sigma \cup \text{dom } \tau$ **by** (*simp add: $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ join(2)*)
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{dom } \sigma \cup \text{dom } (\tau;;\tau')$ **by** (*auto simp add:*
dom-combination-dom-union)
also have $\dots = \text{doms (the (s' r1))}$ **using** *join $\langle r_1 = r \rangle$ by auto*
finally show *?thesis* **by** *simp*
qed
qed
next
assume $r_2 = r$
show $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$
proof (*rule subsumes-accessibleI*)
assume r_2 -in-s'-r1: $r_2 \in RID_L$ (*the (s' r1)*)
have LID_S (*the (s' r2) $_{\sigma}$*) = LID_S (*the (s r2) $_{\sigma}$*)
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)* *LID-snapshot.simps fun-upd-apply*
join(1-2) *option.discI option.sel s'-r2*)
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{doms (the (s r1))}$
proof –
have r_2 -in-s-r1: $r_2 \in RID_L$ (*the (s r1)*)
by (*metis $\langle r_2 = r \rangle$ fun-upd-apply local.join(1) option.discI r1-neq-r2*
 r_2 -in-s'-r1 $s'-r1$)
have $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting)* $\mathcal{A}_{G-s} \langle r_2 = r \rangle$ *domIff fun-upd-apply*
join(1-2) *option.discI s'-r1 subsumes-accessible-globally-def*)
show *?thesis* **using** $\langle \mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s \rangle$ r_2 -in-s-r1 *subsumes-accessible-def*
by *blast*
qed
also have $\dots \subseteq \text{doms (the (s' r1))}$
by (*metis $\langle r_2 = r \rangle$ eq-refl fun-upd-def local.join(1) option.distinct(1)*
 r_1 -neq- r_2 $s'-r1$)
finally show LID_S (*the (s' r2) $_{\sigma}$*) $\subseteq \text{doms (the (s' r1))}$ **by** *simp*
qed
qed
next
case $join_{\varepsilon}$
thus *?thesis* **using** $s'-r1$ **by** *blast*
qed
qed
show $\mathcal{A} r_1 r_2 s'$ **using** r_1 -nor- r_2 -updated-implies-thesis r_1 -or- r_2 -updated-implies-thesis

by *blast*
 qed
 qed
 qed

5.4 Relaxed definition of the operational semantics

inductive *revision-step-relaxed* :: '*r* ⇒ (*r*,*l*,*v*) *global-state* ⇒ (*r*,*l*,*v*) *global-state* ⇒ *bool* **where**

| *app*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Apply } (VE\ (\text{Lambda } x\ e))\ (VE\ v)]) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *ifTrue*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{subst } (VE\ v)\ x\ e]) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *ifTrue*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ite } (VE\ (CV\ T))\ e1\ e2]) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *ifFalse*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ite } (VE\ (CV\ F))\ e1\ e2]) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *new*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ref } (VE\ v)]) \implies l \notin \bigcup \{ \text{doms } ls \mid ls. ls \in \text{ran } s \} \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *get*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Read } (VE\ (\text{Loc } l))]) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *set*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Assign } (VE\ (\text{Loc } l))\ (VE\ v)]) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *fork*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rfork } e]) \implies r' \notin \text{RID}_G\ s \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *join*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rjoin } (VE\ (\text{Rid } r'))]) \implies s\ r' = \text{Some } (\sigma', \tau', VE\ v) \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$
 | *join_ε*: $s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rjoin } (VE\ (\text{Rid } r'))]) \implies s\ r' = \text{None} \implies \text{revision-step-relaxed } r\ s$

inductive-cases *revision-step-relaxedE* [*elim*, *consumes 1*, *case-names app ifTrue ifFalse new get set fork join join_ε*]:
revision-step-relaxed *r s s'*

end

end

6 Executions

This section contains all definitions required for reasoning about executions in the concurrent revisions model. It also contains a number of proofs for inductive variants. One of these proves the equivalence of the two definitions of the operational semantics. The others are required for proving determinacy.

theory *Executions*
imports *OperationalSemantics*

begin

context *substitution*

begin

6.1 Generalizing the original transition

6.1.1 Definition

definition *steps* :: (*r, l, v*) *global-state rel* ($[\rightsquigarrow]$) **where**
 $steps = \{ (s, s') \mid s \rightsquigarrow s'. \exists r. \text{revision-step } r \ s \ s' \}$

abbreviation *valid-step* :: (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool*
(**infix** \rightsquigarrow 60) **where**
 $s \rightsquigarrow s' \equiv (s, s') \in [\rightsquigarrow]$

lemma *valid-stepI* [*intro*]:
revision-step *r* *s* *s'* \Longrightarrow $s \rightsquigarrow s'$
using *steps-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *valid-stepE* [*dest*]:
 $s \rightsquigarrow s' \Longrightarrow \exists r. \text{revision-step } r \ s \ s'$
by (*simp add: steps-def*)

6.1.2 Closures

abbreviation *refl-trans-step-rel* :: (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool*
(**infix** \rightsquigarrow^* 60) **where**
 $s \rightsquigarrow^* s' \equiv (s, s') \in [\rightsquigarrow]^*$

abbreviation *refl-step-rel* :: (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool*
(**infix** $\rightsquigarrow^=$ 60) **where**
 $s \rightsquigarrow^= s' \equiv (s, s') \in [\rightsquigarrow]^=$

lemma *refl-rewritesI* [*intro*]: $s \rightsquigarrow s' \Longrightarrow s \rightsquigarrow^= s'$ **by** *blast*

6.2 Properties

abbreviation *program-expr* :: (*r, l, v*) *expr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $program\text{-}expr \ e \equiv LID_E \ e = \{\} \wedge RID_E \ e = \{\}$

abbreviation *initializes* :: (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow (*r, l, v*) *expr* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $initializes \ s \ e \equiv \exists r. s = (\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))) \wedge program\text{-}expr \ e$

abbreviation *initial-state* :: (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $initial\text{-}state \ s \equiv \exists e. \text{initializes } s \ e$

definition *execution* :: (*r, l, v*) *expr* \Rightarrow (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow (*r, l, v*) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
 $execution \ e \ s \ s' \equiv \text{initializes } s \ e \wedge s \rightsquigarrow^* s'$

definition *maximal-execution* :: ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *global-state* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
maximal-execution *e s s'* \equiv *execution* *e s s' \wedge (\nexists s''. s' \rightsquigarrow s'')*

definition *reachable* :: ('r,'l,'v) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
reachable *s* $\equiv \exists e s'. \text{execution } e s' s$

definition *terminates-in* :: ('r,'l,'v) *expr* \Rightarrow ('r,'l,'v) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool* (**infix** \downarrow 60) **where**
e \downarrow *s'* $\equiv \exists s. \text{maximal-execution } e s s'$

6.3 Invariants

6.3.1 Inductive invariance

definition *inductive-invariant* :: (('r,'l,'v) *global-state* \Rightarrow *bool*) \Rightarrow *bool* **where**
inductive-invariant *P* $\equiv (\forall s. \text{initial-state } s \longrightarrow P s) \wedge (\forall s s'. s \rightsquigarrow s' \longrightarrow P s \longrightarrow P s')$

lemma *inductive-invariantI* [*intro*]:

$(\bigwedge s. \text{initial-state } s \Longrightarrow P s) \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge s s'. s \rightsquigarrow s' \Longrightarrow P s \Longrightarrow P s') \Longrightarrow \text{inductive-invariant } P$

by (*auto simp add: inductive-invariant-def*)

lemma *inductive-invariant-is-execution-invariant*: *reachable* *s* \Longrightarrow *inductive-invariant* *P* \Longrightarrow *P s*

proof –

assume *reach*: *reachable* *s* **and** *ind-inv*: *inductive-invariant* *P*

then obtain *e initial n* **where** *initializes*: *initializes* *initial e* **and** *trace*: (*initial,s*) $\in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow n$

by (*metis execution-def reachable-def rtrancl-power*)

thus *P s*

proof (*induct n arbitrary: s*)

case 0

have *initial = s* **using** 0.prem(2) **by** *auto*

hence *initial-state s* **using** *initializes* **by** *blast*

then show *?case* **using** *ind-inv inductive-invariant-def* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc n*)

obtain *s'* **where** *ifold*: (*initial, s'*) $\in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow n$ **and** *step*: *s' \rightsquigarrow s* **using** *Suc.prem(2)*

by *auto*

have *P s'* **using** *Suc(1) ifold initializes* **by** *blast*

then show *?case* **using** *ind-inv step inductive-invariant-def* **by** *auto*

qed

qed

6.3.2 Subsumption is invariant

lemma *nice-ind-inv-is-inductive-invariant*: *inductive-invariant* ($\lambda s. \mathcal{S}_G s \wedge \mathcal{A}_G s$)

proof (*rule inductive-invariantI*)
fix s
assume *initial-state* s
then obtain $e\ r$ **where** $s = \varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$ **and** *prog-expr-e: program-expr*
 e **by** *blast*
show $\mathcal{S}_G\ s \wedge \mathcal{A}_G\ s$
proof (*rule conjI*)
show $\mathcal{S}_G\ s$
proof (*rule domains-subsume-globallyI*)
fix $r'\ \sigma'\ \tau'\ e'$
assume $s\text{-}r'$: $s\ r' = \text{Some}(\sigma', \tau', e')$
have $r' = r$ **using** $s\ s\text{-}r'$ *prog-expr-e* **by** (*meson domI domIff fun-upd-other*)
hence $LID_L(\sigma', \tau', e') = LID_L(\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e)$ **using** $s\ s\text{-}r'$ **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \{\}$ **using** *prog-expr-e* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = \text{dom } \sigma' \cup \text{dom } \tau'$ **using** $\langle r' = r \rangle\ s\ s\text{-}r'$ **by** *auto*
finally show $\mathcal{S}(\sigma', \tau', e')$ **by** (*simp add: domains-subsume-def*)
qed
show $\mathcal{A}_G\ s$
proof (*rule subsumes-accessible-globallyI*)
fix $r_1\ \sigma_1\ \tau_1\ e_1\ r_2\ \sigma_2\ \tau_2\ e_2$
assume $s\text{-}r1$: $s\ r_1 = \text{Some}(\sigma_1, \tau_1, e_1)$ **and** $s\text{-}r2$: $s\ r_2 = \text{Some}(\sigma_2, \tau_2, e_2)$
have $r_2 = r$ **using** $s\ s\text{-}r2$ *prog-expr-e* **by** (*meson domI domIff fun-upd-other*)
hence $\sigma_2 = \varepsilon$ **using** $s\ s\text{-}r2$ **by** *auto*
hence $LID_S\ \sigma_2 = \{\}$ **by** *auto*
thus $\mathcal{A}\ r_1\ r_2\ s$ **using** $s\text{-}r2$ **by** *auto*
qed
qed
qed (*use step-preserves-S_G-and-A_G in auto*)

corollary *reachable-imp-S_G: reachable s \implies S_G s*
proof –
assume *reach: reachable* s
have $\mathcal{S}_G\ s \wedge \mathcal{A}_G\ s$ **by** (*rule inductive-invariant-is-execution-invariant[OF reach nice-ind-inv-is-inductive-invariant]*)
thus *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *transition-relations-equivalent: reachable s \implies revision-step r s s' = revision-step-relaxed r s s'*
proof –
assume *reach: reachable* s
have *doms-sub-local: S_G s* **by** (*rule reachable-imp-S_G[OF reach]*)
show *revision-step r s s' = revision-step-relaxed r s s'*
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume *step: revision-step r s s'*
show *revision-step-relaxed r s s'*
proof (*use step in induct rule: revision-stepE*)
case (*new $\sigma\ \tau\ \mathcal{E}\ v\ l$*)
have *revision-step-relaxed r s (s(r \mapsto ($\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v), \mathcal{E} [VE (Loc l)]))$*)

```

proof (rule revision-step-relaxed.new)
  show  $l \notin \bigcup \{ \text{doms } ls \mid ls. ls \in \text{ran } s \}$ 
  proof
    assume  $l \in \bigcup \{ \text{doms } ls \mid ls. ls \in \text{ran } s \}$ 
    then obtain  $ls$  where  $in\text{-ran}: ls \in \text{ran } s$  and  $in\text{-doms}: l \in \text{doms } ls$  by
blast
    from  $in\text{-doms}$  have  $l \in LID_L \text{ } ls$  by (cases  $ls$ ) auto
    have  $l \in LID_G \text{ } s$ 
    proof –
      have  $ls \in \{ls. \exists r. s \text{ } r = \text{Some } ls\}$  by (metis (full-types)  $in\text{-ran}$   $\text{ran}\text{-def}$ )
      then show  $?thesis$  using  $\langle l \in LID_L \text{ } ls \rangle$  by blast
    qed
    thus  $False$  using  $new$  by auto
  qed
qed (simp add: new.hyps(2))
thus  $?thesis$  using new.hyps(1) by blast
qed (use revision-step-relaxed.intros in simp)+
next
assume  $step: \text{revision-step-relaxed } r \text{ } s \text{ } s'$ 
show  $\text{revision-step } r \text{ } s \text{ } s'$ 
proof (use step in  $\langle \text{induct rule: revision-step-relaxedE} \rangle$ )
  case (new  $\sigma \tau \mathcal{E} v l$ )
  have  $\text{revision-step } r \text{ } s \text{ } (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v)), \mathcal{E} [VE (Loc \text{ } l)]))$ 
  proof (rule revision-step.new)
    show  $s \text{ } r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Ref (VE \text{ } v)])$  by (simp add: new.hyps(2))
    show  $l \notin LID_G \text{ } s$ 
    proof
      assume  $l \in LID_G \text{ } s$ 
      then obtain  $r' \sigma' \tau' e'$  where  $s\text{-}r': s \text{ } r' = \text{Some } (\sigma', \tau', e')$  and  $l\text{-in-local}: l \in LID_L (\sigma', \tau', e')$  by auto
      hence  $l \in \text{dom } \sigma' \cup \text{dom } \tau'$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def
doms.simps doms-sub-local rev-subsetD)
      thus  $False$  by (meson  $s\text{-}r'$  new.hyps(3)  $\text{ran}I$ )
    qed
  qed
then show  $?case$  using new.hyps(1) by blast
next
case (get  $\sigma \tau \mathcal{E} l$ )
have  $\text{revision-step } r \text{ } s \text{ } (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (the ((\sigma;;\tau) \text{ } l)]))))$ 
proof
show  $s \text{ } r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Read (VE (Loc \text{ } l))])$  by (simp add: get.hyps(2))
show  $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$ 
proof –
  have  $l \in LID_L (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Read (VE (Loc \text{ } l))])$  by simp
  hence  $l \in \text{dom } \sigma \cup \text{dom } \tau$ 
  using domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def doms-sub-local
get.hyps(2) by fastforce
  thus  $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$  by (simp add: dom-combination-dom-union)

```

```

    qed
  qed
  then show ?case using get.hyps(1) by auto
next
  case (set  $\sigma$   $\tau$   $\mathcal{E}$   $l$   $v$ )
  have revision-step  $r$   $s$  ( $s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v), \mathcal{E} [VE (CV Unit)]))$ )
  proof
    show  $s r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Assign (VE (Loc l)) (VE v)])$  by (simp add:
    set.hyps(2))
    show  $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$ 
    proof -
      have  $l \in LID_L (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Assign (VE (Loc l)) (VE v)])$  by simp
      hence  $l \in \text{dom } \sigma \cup \text{dom } \tau$ 
      using domains-subsume-def domains-subsume-globally-def doms-sub-local
      set.hyps(2) by fastforce
      thus  $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$  by (simp add: dom-combination-dom-union)
    qed
  qed
  then show ?case using set.hyps(1) by blast
  qed (simp add: revision-step.intros)+
  qed
  qed

```

6.3.3 Finitude is invariant

lemma *finite-occurrences-val-expr* [simp]:

```

  fixes
     $v :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ val}$  and
     $e :: ('r, 'l, 'v) \text{ expr}$ 
  shows
    finite (RIDV  $v$ )
    finite (RIDE  $e$ )
    finite (LIDV  $v$ )
    finite (LIDE  $e$ )
  proof -
    have (finite (RIDV  $v$ )  $\wedge$  finite (LIDV  $v$ ))  $\wedge$  finite (RIDE  $e$ )  $\wedge$  finite (LIDE  $e$ )
      by (induct rule: val-expr.induct) auto
    thus
      finite (RIDV  $v$ )
      finite (RIDE  $e$ )
      finite (LIDV  $v$ )
      finite (LIDE  $e$ )
      by auto
  qed

```

lemma *store-finite-upd* [intro]:

```

  finite (RIDS  $\tau$ )  $\implies$  finite (RIDS ( $\tau(l := \text{None})$ ))
  finite (LIDS  $\tau$ )  $\implies$  finite (LIDS ( $\tau(l := \text{None})$ ))
  apply (meson ID-restricted-store-subset-store(1) finite-subset)

```

by (simp add: ID-restricted-store-subset-store(2) rev-finite-subset)

lemma *finite-state-imp-restriction-finite* [intro]:
 $finite (RID_G s) \implies finite (RID_G (s(r := None)))$
 $finite (LID_G s) \implies finite (LID_G (s(r := None)))$

proof –
 assume $finite (RID_G s)$
 thus $finite (RID_G (s(r := None)))$ by (meson infinite-super ID-restricted-global-subset-unrestricted)
 next
 assume $fin: finite (LID_G s)$
 have $LID_G (s(r := None)) \subseteq LID_G s$ by auto
 thus $finite (LID_G (s(r := None)))$ using fin finite-subset by auto
 qed

lemma *local-state-of-finite-restricted-global-state-is-finite* [intro]:
 $s r' = Some\ ls \implies finite (RID_G (s(r := None))) \implies r \neq r' \implies finite (RID_L ls)$
 $s r' = Some\ ls \implies finite (LID_G (s(r := None))) \implies r \neq r' \implies finite (LID_L ls)$
 apply (metis (no-types, lifting) ID-distr-global(1) finite-Un finite-insert fun-upd-triv fun-upd-twist)
 by (metis ID-distr-global(2) finite-Un fun-upd-triv fun-upd-twist)

lemma *empty-map-finite* [simp]:
 $finite (RID_S \varepsilon)$
 $finite (LID_S \varepsilon)$
 $finite (RID_G \varepsilon)$
 $finite (LID_G \varepsilon)$
 by (simp add: RID_S-def LID_S-def RID_G-def LID_G-def)+

lemma *finite-combination* [intro]:
 $finite (RID_S \sigma) \implies finite (RID_S \tau) \implies finite (RID_S (\sigma;;\tau))$
 $finite (LID_S \sigma) \implies finite (LID_S \tau) \implies finite (LID_S (\sigma;;\tau))$
 by (meson finite-UnI rev-finite-subset ID-combination-subset-union)+

lemma *RID_G-finite-invariant*:
 assumes
 $step: revision-step\ r\ s\ s'$ and
 $fin: finite (RID_G s)$
 shows
 $finite (RID_G s')$
 proof (use step in <cases rule: revision-stepE>)
 case (join $\sigma\ \tau\ \mathcal{E}\ r'\ \sigma'\ \tau'\ v$)
 hence $r \neq r'$ by auto
 then show ?thesis
 by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ID-distr-global(1) ID-distr-local(2) fin finite-Un finite-combination(1) finite-insert finite-occurrences-val-expr(2) finite-state-imp-restriction-finite(1) join local-state-of-finite-restricted-global-state-is-finite(1))
 qed (use fin in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>)

lemma *RID_L-finite-invariant*:

assumes

step: revision-step r s s' **and**

fin: finite (LID_G s)

shows

finite (LID_G s')

proof (use step in \langle cases rule: revision-step $E\rangle$)

case (join σ τ \mathcal{E} r' σ' τ' v)

hence $r \neq r'$ **by** auto

then show ?thesis

using join *assms*

by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) ID-distr-global(2) ID-distr-local(1) fin finite-Un

finite-combination(2) finite-occurrences-val-expr(4) finite-state-imp-restriction-finite(2)

join local-state-of-finite-restricted-global-state-is-finite(2))

qed (use fin in \langle auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional \rangle)

lemma *reachable-imp-identifiers-finite*:

assumes *reach*: reachable s

shows

finite (RID_G s)

finite (LID_G s)

proof –

from *reach* **obtain** e r **where** *exec*: execution e ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$) s **using** *reachable-def* *execution-def* **by** auto

hence *prog-exp*: program-expr e **by** (meson *execution-def*)

obtain n **where** *n-reachable*: ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e)), s$) $\in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ **using** *exec* **by** (meson *execution-def* *rtrancl-imp-relpow*)

hence finite (RID_G s) \wedge finite (LID_G s)

proof (induct n arbitrary: s)

case 0

hence s : $s = \varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$ **by** auto

hence *rid-dom*: $\text{dom } s = \{r\}$ **by** auto

hence *rid-ran*: $\bigcup (RID_L \text{ ` } \text{ran } s) = \{ \}$ **using** s **by** (auto simp add: *prog-exp*)

have *rids*: $RID_G \text{ ` } s = \{r\}$ **by** (unfold RID_G -def, use *rid-dom* *rid-ran* in auto)

have *lid-ran*: $\bigcup (LID_L \text{ ` } \text{ran } s) = \{ \}$ **using** s **by** (auto simp add: *prog-exp*)

hence *lids*: $LID_G \text{ ` } s = \{ \}$ **by** (unfold LID_G -def, simp)

thus ?case **using** *rids* *lids* **by** simp

next

case (Suc n)

then obtain s' **where**

n-steps: ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e)), s'$) $\in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ **and**

step: $s' \rightsquigarrow s$

by (meson *relpow-Suc-E*)

have *fin-rid*: finite (RID_G s') **using** *Suc.hyps* *n-steps* **by** blast

have *fin-lid*: finite (LID_G s') **using** *Suc.hyps* *n-steps* **by** blast

thus ?case **by** (meson RID_G -finite-invariant RID_L -finite-invariant *fin-rid* *local.step* *valid-stepE*)

qed

thus finite (RID_G s) finite (LID_G s) **by** auto

qed

lemma *reachable-imp-identifiers-available*:

assumes

reachable ($s :: ('r, 'l, 'v)$ *global-state*)

shows

infinite ($UNIV :: 'r$ *set*) $\implies \exists r. r \notin RID_G s$

infinite ($UNIV :: 'l$ *set*) $\implies \exists l. l \notin LID_G s$

by (*simp add: assms ex-new-if-finite reachable-imp-identifiers-finite*) $+$

6.3.4 Reachability is invariant

lemma *initial-state-reachable*:

assumes *program-expr* e

shows *reachable* ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$)

proof –

have *initializes* ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$) e **using** *assms* **by** *auto*

hence *execution* e ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$) ($\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$) **by** (*simp add: execution-def*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *reachable-def* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *reachability-closed-under-execution-step*:

assumes

reach: *reachable* s **and**

step: *revision-step* $r s s'$

shows *reachable* s'

proof –

obtain *init* e **where** *exec*: *execution* e *init* s **using** *reach* *reachable-def* **by** *blast*

hence *init-s:init* $\rightsquigarrow^* s$ **by** (*simp add: execution-def*)

have s - s' : $s \rightsquigarrow s'$ **using** *step* **by** *blast*

have *init* $\rightsquigarrow^* s'$ **using** *init-s* s - s' **by** *auto*

hence *execution* e *init* s' **using** *exec* **by** (*simp add: execution-def*)

thus *?thesis* **using** *reachable-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *reachability-closed-under-execution*: *reachable* $s \implies s \rightsquigarrow^* s' \implies$ *reachable* s'

proof –

assume *reach*: *reachable* s **and** $s \rightsquigarrow^* s'$

then obtain n **where** $(s, s') \in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ **using** *rtrancl-imp-relpow* **by** *blast*

thus *reachable* s'

proof (*induct* n *arbitrary*: s')

case 0

thus *?case* **using** *reach* **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc* n)

obtain s'' **where** $(s, s'') \in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ $s'' \rightsquigarrow s'$ **using** *Suc.prem*s **by** *auto*

have *reachable* s'' **by** (*simp add: Suc.hyps* $\langle (s, s'') \in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n \rangle$)

then show *?case* **using** $\langle s'' \rightsquigarrow s' \rangle$ *reachability-closed-under-execution-step* **by**

```

blast
  qed
qed

end

end

```

7 Determinacy

This section proves that the concurrent revisions model is determinate modulo renaming-equivalence.

```

theory Determinacy
  imports Executions
begin

```

```

context substitution
begin

```

7.1 Rule determinism

```

lemma app-deterministic [simp]:
  assumes
    s-r:  $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Apply } (VE \ (\text{Lambda } x \ e)) \ (VE \ v)])$ 
  shows ( $\text{revision-step } r \ s \ s' = (s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{subst } (VE \ v) \ x \ e])))$ ) (is  $?l = ?r$ )
proof (rule iffI)
  assume  $?l$ 
  thus  $?r$  by (cases rule: revision-stepE) (use s-r in auto)
qed (simp add: s-r revision-step.app)

```

```

lemma ifTrue-deterministic [simp]:
  assumes
    s-r:  $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Ite } (VE \ (CV \ T)) \ e1 \ e2])$ 
  shows ( $\text{revision-step } r \ s \ s' = (s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [e1])))$ ) (is  $?l = ?r$ )
proof (rule iffI)
  assume  $?l$ 
  thus  $?r$  by (cases rule: revision-stepE) (use s-r in auto)
qed (simp add: s-r revision-step.ifTrue)

```

```

lemma ifFalse-deterministic [simp]:
  assumes
    s-r:  $s \ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Ite } (VE \ (CV \ F)) \ e1 \ e2])$ 
  shows ( $\text{revision-step } r \ s \ s' = (s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [e2])))$ ) (is  $?l = ?r$ )
proof (rule iffI)
  assume  $?l$ 
  thus  $?r$  by (cases rule: revision-stepE) (use s-r in auto)
qed (simp add: s-r revision-step.ifFalse)

```

lemma new-pseudodeterministic [simp]:
assumes
 $s\text{-}r: s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Ref } (VE\ v)])$
shows $(\text{revision-step } r\ s\ s') = (\exists l. l \notin LID_G\ s \wedge s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v)), \mathcal{E} [VE\ (Loc\ l)]))))$ **(is ?l = ?r)**
proof (rule iffI)
assume ?l
thus ?r **by** (cases rule: revision-stepE) (use s-r in auto)
qed (auto simp add: s-r revision-step.new)

lemma get-deterministic [simp]:
assumes
 $s\text{-}r: s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Read } (VE\ (Loc\ l))])$
shows $(\text{revision-step } r\ s\ s') = (l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau) \wedge s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE\ (the\ ((\sigma;;\tau)\ l)]))))))$ **(is ?l = ?r)**
proof (rule iffI)
assume ?l
thus ?r **by** (cases rule: revision-stepE) (use s-r in auto)
qed (use revision-step.get in <auto simp add: s-r>)

lemma set-deterministic [simp]:
assumes
 $s\text{-}r: s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Assign } (VE\ (Loc\ l))\ (VE\ v)])$
shows $(\text{revision-step } r\ s\ s') = (l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau) \wedge s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v)), \mathcal{E} [VE\ (CV\ Unit)]))))$ **(is ?l = ?r)**
proof (rule iffI)
assume ?l
thus ?r **by** (cases rule: revision-stepE) (use s-r in auto)
qed (auto simp add: s-r revision-step.set)

lemma fork-pseudodeterministic [simp]:
assumes
 $s\text{-}r: s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Rfork } e])$
shows $(\text{revision-step } r\ s\ s') = (\exists r'. r' \notin RID_G\ (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Rfork } e]))) \wedge s' = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE\ (Rid\ r')]), r' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e))))$ **(is ?l = ?r)**
proof (rule iffI)
assume step: ?l
show ?r
proof (use step in <cases rule: revision-stepE>)
case (fork $\sigma\ \tau\ \mathcal{E}\ e\ r'$)
show ?thesis **by** (rule exI[**where** $x=r'$]) (use fork s-r in auto)
qed (auto simp add: s-r)
qed (auto simp add: s-r revision-step.fork map-upd-triv)

lemma rjoin-deterministic [simp]:
assumes
 $s\text{-}r: s\ r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Rjoin } (VE\ (Rid\ r'))])$ **and**
 $s\text{-}r': s\ r' = \text{Some } (\sigma', \tau', VE\ v)$

shows (*revision-step* r s s') = ($s' = (s(r := \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau;;\tau', \mathcal{E} [VE (CV \text{ Unit}])), r' := \text{None}))$) (**is** $?l = ?r$)
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume *step*: $?l$
show $?r$ **by** (*cases rule: revision-stepE[OF step]*) (*use s-r s-r' in auto*)
qed (*meson s-r s-r' revision-step.join*)

lemma *rjoin_ε-deterministic [simp]*:

assumes
s-r: s $r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Rjoin (VE (Rid r'))])$ **and**
s-r': s $r' = \text{None}$
shows (*revision-step* r s s') = ($s' = \varepsilon$) (**is** $?l = ?r$)
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume *step*: $?l$
show $?r$ **by** (*cases rule: revision-stepE[OF step]*) (*use s-r s-r' in auto*)
qed (*simp add: revision-step.join_ε s-r s-r'*)

7.2 Strong local confluence

7.2.1 Local determinism

lemma *local-determinism*:

assumes
left: *revision-step* r s_1 s_2 **and**
right: *revision-step* r s_1 s_2'
shows $s_2 \approx s_2'$
proof (*use left in <induct rule:revision-stepE>*)
case (*new* σ τ \mathcal{E} v l)
from *new(2) right* **obtain** l' **where**
side: $l' \notin LID_G$ s_1 **and**
 $s_2': s_2' = s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l' \mapsto v), \mathcal{E}[VE (Loc l')])$
by *auto*
let $?\beta = id(l := l', l' := l)$
have *bij-β*: *bij* $?\beta$ **by** (*rule swap-bij*)
have *renaming*: \mathcal{R}_G *id* $?\beta$ $s_2 = s_2'$
by (*use new side s_2' bij-β in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>*)
show $?case$ **by** (*rule eq-statesI[OF renaming bij-id bij-β]*)
next
case (*fork* σ τ \mathcal{E} e r')
from *fork(2) right* **obtain** r'' **where**
side: $r'' \notin RID_G$ s_1 **and**
 $s_2': s_2' = s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e))$
by (*auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional*)
let $?\alpha = id(r' := r'', r'' := r')$
have *bij-α*: *bij* $?\alpha$ **by** (*rule swap-bij*)
have *renaming*: \mathcal{R}_G $?\alpha$ *id* $s_2 = s_2'$
by (*use fork side s_2' bij-α in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>*)
show $?case$ **by** (*rule eq-statesI[OF renaming bij-α bij-id]*)
qed (*(rule eq-statesI[of id id], use assms in auto)[1]+*)

7.2.2 General principles

lemma *SLC-sym*:

$\exists s_3' s_3. s_3' \approx s_3 \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3' \vee s_2 = s_3') \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3 \vee s_2' = s_3) \implies$
 $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3 \vee s_2' = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3' \vee s_2 = s_3')$
by (*metis* $\alpha\beta$ -*sym*)

lemma *SLC-commute*:

$\llbracket s_3 = s_3'; \text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3; \text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \rrbracket \implies$
 $s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$
using $\alpha\beta$ -*refl* **by** *auto*

7.2.3 Case join-epsilon

lemma *SLC-join $_\epsilon$* :

assumes

s₁-r: $s_1 r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Rjoin } (VE (Rid r''))])$ **and**

s₂: $s_2 = \epsilon$ **and**

side: $s_1 r'' = \text{None}$ **and**

right: *revision-step* $r' s_1 s_2'$ **and**

neq: $r \neq r'$

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

proof –

have *right-collapsed-case*: $s_2' = \epsilon \implies ?thesis$

by (*rule* *exI*[**where** $x=\epsilon$], *rule* *exI*[**where** $x=\epsilon$], *use* *s₂* **in** *auto*)

have *left-step-still-available-case*: $s_2' \neq \epsilon \implies s_2' r = s_1 r \implies s_2' r'' = \text{None} \implies ?thesis$

by (*rule* *exI*[**where** $x=\epsilon$], *rule* *exI*[**where** $x=\epsilon$]) (*use* *assms* **in** *auto*)

show *?thesis*

proof (*use* *right* **in** $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$)

case (*join* - - *right-joinee*)

have *r-unchanged-left*: $s_2' r = s_1 r$ **using** *join* *assms* **by** *auto*

have *r'-unchanged-right*: $s_2' r'' = \text{None}$ **using** *join* *assms* **by** *auto*

have *right-joinee* $\neq r'$ **using** *join*(2-3) **by** *auto*

hence *s₂'-nonempty*: $s_2' \neq \epsilon$ **using** *assms* *join* **by** (*auto* *simp* *add*: *fun-upd-twist*)

show *?thesis* **by** (*rule* *left-step-still-available-case*[*OF* *s₂'-nonempty* *r-unchanged-left* *r'-unchanged-right*])

next

case *join $_\epsilon$*

show *?thesis* **by** (*rule* *right-collapsed-case*, *use* *join $_\epsilon$* (2-3) *right* **in** *auto*)

qed ((*rule* *left-step-still-available-case*, *use* *side* *neq* *s₁-r* *right* **in** *auto*)[1])+

qed

7.2.4 Case join

lemma *join-and-local-commute*:

assumes

$s_2 = s_1(r := \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau;;\tau', \mathcal{E}[VE (CV \text{Unit})]), r'' := \text{None})$

$s_2' = s_1(r' \mapsto ls)$

$r \neq r'$

$r' \neq r''$

revision-step $r' s_2 (s_1(r := \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau;;\tau', \mathcal{E}[VE (CV \text{Unit})]), r'' := \text{None}, r' := \text{Some } ls))$

$s_2' r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [R\text{join } (VE (Rid r''))])$

$s_2' r'' = \text{Some } (\sigma', \tau', VE v)$

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

apply (*rule* *exI*[**where** $x=s_1(r := \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau;;\tau', \mathcal{E}[VE (CV \text{Unit})]), r'' := \text{None}, r' := \text{Some } ls)$])

apply (*rule* *exI*[**where** $x=s_1(r' := \text{Some } ls, r := \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau;;\tau', \mathcal{E}[VE (CV \text{Unit})]), r'' := \text{None})$])

by (*rule* *SLC-commute*, *use assms in auto*)

lemma *SLC-join*:

assumes

s_{1-r}: $s_1 r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[R\text{join } (VE (Rid r''))])$ **and**

s₂: $s_2 = (s_1(r := \text{Some } (\sigma, (\tau;;\tau'), \mathcal{E}[VE (CV \text{Unit})]), r'' := \text{None}))$ **and**

side: $s_1 r'' = \text{Some } (\sigma', \tau', VE v)$ **and**

right: *revision-step* $r' s_1 s_2'$ **and**

neq: $r \neq r'$

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

proof –

have *left-step*: *revision-step* $r s_1 s_2$ **using** *s_{1-r}* *side* **by** *auto*

have *r'-not-joined*: $r' \neq r''$ **using** *right side* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis*

proof (*use right in* $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$)

case (*new - - - l*)

have *l-fresh-left*: $l \notin LID_G s_2$ **by** (*rule only-new-introduces-lids*[*OF left-step*])
(*use new right s_{1-r} in auto*)

show *?thesis* **by** (*rule join-and-local-commute*, *use assms r'-not-joined new l-fresh-left in auto*)

next

case (*fork - - - r'''*)

have *r'-unchanged-left*: $s_2 r' = s_1 r'$ **using** *fork assms* **by** *auto*

have *r'''-fresh-left*: $r''' \notin RID_G s_2$ **using** *left-step fork(3) only-fork-introduces-rids'*
s_{1-r} **by** *auto*

have *r-unchanged-right*: $s_2' r = s_1 r$ **using** *fork assms* **by** *auto*

have *r''-unchanged-right*: $s_2' r'' = s_1 r''$ **using** *fork assms* **by** *auto*

let $?s_3 = s_2(r' := s_2' r', r''' := s_2' r''')$

let $?s_3' = s_2'(r := s_2 r, r'' := \text{None})$

```

show ?thesis
proof (rule exI[where  $x=?s_3$ ], rule exI[where  $x=?s_3'$ ], rule SLC-commute)
  show  $?s_3 = ?s_3'$  using fork(1) fork(3) neq r'-not-joined  $s_1-r$   $s_2$  by (auto
simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional)
  show revision-step  $r' s_2 ?s_3$  using fork(1-2) r'-unchanged-left r'''-fresh-left
by (auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional)
  show revision-step  $r s_2' ?s_3'$  using r''-unchanged-right r-unchanged-right  $s_1-r$ 
 $s_2$  side by auto
  qed
next
  case (join - - -  $r'''$ )
  have r'-unchanged-left:  $s_2 r' = s_1 r'$  using join(2) neq r'-not-joined  $s_2$  by
auto
  have r-unchanged-right:  $s_2' r = s_1 r$  using join(1,3) neq  $s_1-r$  by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (cases  $r'' = r'''$ )
    case True
    have r'''-none-left:  $s_2 r''' = \text{None}$  by (simp add: True  $s_2$ )
    have r''-none-right:  $s_2' r'' = \text{None}$  by (simp add: True join(1))
    show ?thesis
    proof (rule exI[where  $x=\varepsilon$ ], rule exI[where  $x=\varepsilon$ ], rule SLC-commute)
      show  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon$  by (rule refl)
      show revision-step  $r' s_2 \varepsilon$  using r'-unchanged-left r'''-none-left join(2) by
auto
      show revision-step  $r s_2' \varepsilon$  using r-unchanged-right r''-none-right  $s_1-r$  by
auto
    qed
  next
  case False
  have r'''-unchanged-left:  $s_2 r''' = s_1 r'''$  using False join(1,3)  $s_2$  r-unchanged-right
by auto
  have r''-unchanged-right':  $s_2' r'' = s_1 r''$  using False join(1) r'-not-joined
side by auto
  let  $?s_3 = s_2(r' := s_2' r', r''' := \text{None})$ 
  let  $?s_3' = s_2'(r := s_2 r, r'' := \text{None})$ 
  show ?thesis
  proof (rule exI[where  $x=?s_3$ ], rule exI[where  $x=?s_3'$ ], rule SLC-commute)
    show  $?s_3 = ?s_3'$  using join(1) neq r'-not-joined r-unchanged-right  $s_1-r$   $s_2$ 
 $s_1-r$  by fastforce
    show revision-step  $r' s_2 ?s_3$  by (simp add: join r'''-unchanged-left r'-unchanged-left)
    show revision-step  $r s_2' ?s_3'$  using r''-unchanged-right' r-unchanged-right
 $s_1-r$  side by auto
  qed
qed
next
  case join $_\varepsilon$ 
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join $_\varepsilon$ , use left-step neq right join $_\varepsilon$  in
auto)
  qed ((rule join-and-local-commute, use assms r'-not-joined in auto)[1])+

```

qed

7.2.5 Case local

lemma *local-steps-commute*:

assumes

$s_2 = s_1(r \mapsto x)$
 $s_2' = s_1(r' \mapsto y)$
revision-step r' ($s_1(r \mapsto x)$) ($s_1(r \mapsto x, r' \mapsto y)$)
revision-step r ($s_1(r' \mapsto y)$) ($s_1(r' \mapsto y, r \mapsto x)$)

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms fun-upd-twist fun-upd-upd local-determinism*)

lemma *local-and-fork-commute*:

assumes

$s_2 = s_1(r \mapsto x)$
 $s_2' = s_1(r' \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e))$
 $s_2 r' = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Rfork e])$
 $r'' \notin RID_G s_2$
revision-step $r s_2' (s_1(r' \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e), r \mapsto x))$
 $r \neq r'$
 $r \neq r''$

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. (s_3 \approx s_3') \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

proof (*rule exI[where x=s₁(r ↦ x, r' ↦ (σ, τ, ℰ [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' ↦ (σ;;τ, ε, e))]*,

rule exI[where x=s₁(r' ↦ (σ, τ, ℰ [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' ↦ (σ;;τ, ε, e), r ↦ x)],

rule SLC-commute)

show $s_1(r \mapsto x, r' \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e)) =$

$s_1(r' \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e), r \mapsto x)$

using *assms revision-step.fork by auto*

show *revision-step* $r s_2' (s_1(r \mapsto x, r' \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e)))$

using *assms(1) assms(3) assms(4) revision-step.fork by blast*

show *revision-step* $r s_2' (s_1(r' \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [VE (Rid r'')]), r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e), r \mapsto x))$

using *assms(5) by blast*

qed

lemma *SLC-app*:

assumes

s₁-r: $s_1 r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{Apply } (VE (\text{Lambda } x e)) (VE v)])$ **and**

s₂: $s_2 = s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [\text{subst } (VE v) x e]))$ **and**

right: *revision-step* $r' s_1 s_2'$ **and**

neq: $r \neq r'$

shows
 $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$
proof –
have *left-step: revision-step* $r s_1 s_2$ **using** $s_1\text{-}r s_2$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*use right in* $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$)
case *new: (new - - - l)*
have *l-fresh-left: l* $\notin \text{LID}_G s_2$
by (*rule only-new-introduces-lids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s1-r new(3)*) +
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule local-steps-commute*) (*use new l-fresh-left assms in auto*)
next
case (*fork - - - r''*)
have *r''-fresh-left: r''* $\notin \text{RID}_G s_2$
by (*rule only-fork-introduces-rids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s1-r fork(3)*) +
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule local-and-fork-commute*[*OF s2 fork(1)*]) (*use fork neq s2 r''-fresh-left s1-r in auto*)
next
case *join*
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step right neq in auto*)
next
case *join_ε*
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join_ε, use join_ε left-step right neq in auto*)
qed ((*rule local-steps-commute*[*OF s2*], *use assms in auto*)[1]) +
qed

lemma *SLC-ifTrue:*

assumes
 $s_1\text{-}r: s_1 r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Ite } (VE (CV T)) e1 e2])$ **and**
 $s_2: s_2 = s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[e1]))$ **and**
right: revision-step $r' s_1 s_2'$ **and**
neq: r $\neq r'$
shows
 $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$
proof –
have *left-step: revision-step* $r s_1 s_2$ **using** $s_1\text{-}r s_2$ **by** *auto*
show *?thesis*
proof (*use right in* $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$)
case (*new - - - l*)
have *l-fresh-left: l* $\notin \text{LID}_G s_2$
by (*rule only-new-introduces-lids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s1-r new(3)*) +
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule local-steps-commute*) (*use new l-fresh-left assms in auto*)
next
case (*fork - - - r''*)
have *r''-fresh-left: r''* $\notin \text{RID}_G s_2$
by (*rule only-fork-introduces-rids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s1-r fork(3)*) +

```

  show ?thesis by (rule local-and-fork-commute[OF s2 fork(1)]) (use fork neq s2
r''-fresh-left s1-r in auto)
  next
  case join
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step right neq in
auto)
  next
  case joinε
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-joinε, use joinε left-step right neq
in auto)
qed ((rule local-steps-commute[OF s2], use assms in auto)[1])+
qed

```

lemma *SLC-ifFalse*:

```

assumes
  s1-r: s1 r = Some (σ, τ, ℰ[Ite (VE (CV F)) e1 e2]) and
  s2: s2 = s1(r ↦ (σ, τ, ℰ[e2])) and
  right: revision-step r' s1 s2' and
  neq: r ≠ r'
shows
  ∃ s3 s3'. s3 ≈ s3' ∧ (revision-step r' s2 s3 ∨ s2 = s3) ∧ (revision-step r s2' s3'
∨ s2' = s3')
proof -
  have left-step: revision-step r s1 s2 using s1-r s2 by auto
  show ?thesis
  proof (use right in ⟨cases rule: revision-stepE⟩)
  next
  case (new - - - l)
  have l-fresh-left: l ∉ LIDG s2
  by (rule only-new-introduces-lids'[OF left-step]) (simp add: s1-r new(3))+
  show ?thesis by (rule local-steps-commute) (use new l-fresh-left assms in auto)
  next
  case (fork - - - r'')
  have r''-fresh-left: r'' ∉ RIDG s2
  by (rule only-fork-introduces-rids'[OF left-step]) (simp add: s1-r fork(3))+
  show ?thesis by (rule local-and-fork-commute[OF s2 fork(1)]) (use fork neq s2
r''-fresh-left s1-r in auto)
  next
  case join
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step right neq in
auto)
  next
  case joinε
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-joinε, use joinε left-step right neq
in auto)
qed ((rule local-steps-commute[OF s2], use assms in auto)[1])+
qed

```

lemma *SLC-set*:

assumes
 s_{1-r} : $s_1 r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Assign } (VE (Loc l)) (VE v)])$ **and**
 s_2 : $s_2 = s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l \mapsto v), \mathcal{E}[VE (CV Unit)]))$ **and**
 $side$: $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$ **and**
 $right$: *revision-step* $r' s_1 s_2'$ **and**
 neg : $r \neq r'$

shows
 $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

proof –
have *left-step: revision-step* $r s_1 s_2$ **using** $s_{1-r} s_2$ **side by auto**
show *?thesis*
proof (*use right in* $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$)
case (*new - - - l*)
have *l-fresh-left*: $l \notin LID_G s_2$
by (*rule only-new-introduces-lids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s_{1-r} new(3)*) +
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule local-steps-commute*) (*use new l-fresh-left asms in auto*)
next
case (*fork - - - r''*)
have *r''-fresh-left*: $r'' \notin RID_G s_2$
by (*rule only-fork-introduces-rids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s_{1-r} fork(3)*) +
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule local-and-fork-commute*[*OF s₂ fork(1)*]) (*use fork neg s₂ r''-fresh-left s_{1-r} side in auto*)
next
case *join*
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step neg in auto*)
next
case *join_ε*
show *?thesis* **by** (*rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join_ε, use join_ε left-step neg in auto*)
qed ((*rule local-steps-commute*[*OF s₂*], *use asms in auto*)[1]) +
qed

lemma *SLC-get*:

assumes
 s_{1-r} : $s_1 r = \text{Some } (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[\text{Read } (VE (Loc l))])$ **and**
 s_2 : $s_2 = s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[VE (the ((\sigma;;\tau) l))])$ **and**
 $side$: $l \in \text{dom } (\sigma;;\tau)$ **and**
 $right$: *revision-step* $r' s_1 s_2'$ **and**
 neg : $r \neq r'$

shows
 $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

proof –
have *left-step: revision-step* $r s_1 s_2$ **using** $s_{1-r} s_2$ **side by auto**
show *?thesis*
proof (*use right in* $\langle \text{cases rule: revision-stepE} \rangle$)
case (*new - - - l*)
have *l-fresh-left*: $l \notin LID_G s_2$
by (*rule only-new-introduces-lids'*[*OF left-step*]) (*simp add: s_{1-r} new(3)*) +

```

show ?thesis by (rule local-steps-commute) (use new l-fresh-left assms in auto)
next
  case (fork - - - r'')
  have r''-fresh-left: r''  $\notin$  RIDG s2
    by (rule only-fork-introduces-rids'[OF left-step]) (simp add: s1-r fork(3))+
  show ?thesis by (rule local-and-fork-commute[OF s2 fork(1)]) (use fork neq s2
r''-fresh-left s1-r side in auto)
next
  case join
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step neq in auto)
next
  case joinε
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-joinε, use joinε left-step neq in auto)
qed ((rule local-steps-commute[OF s2], use assms in auto)[1])+
qed

```

7.2.6 Case new

lemma SLC-new:

```

assumes
  s1-r: s1 r = Some (σ, τ,  $\mathcal{E}$ [Ref (VE v)]) and
  s2: s2 = s1(r  $\mapsto$  (σ, τ(l  $\mapsto$  v),  $\mathcal{E}$  [VE (Loc l)])) and
  side: l  $\notin$  LIDG s1 and
  right: revision-step r' s1 s2' and
  neq: r  $\neq$  r' and
  reach: reachable (s1 :: ('r,'l,'v) global-state) and
  lid-inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'l set)
shows
   $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge$  (revision-step r' s2 s3  $\vee$  s2 = s3)  $\wedge$  (revision-step r s2' s3'
 $\vee$  s2' = s3')
proof –
  have left-step: revision-step r s1 s2 using s1-r s2 side by auto
  show ?thesis
proof (use right in  $\langle$ cases rule: revision-stepE $\rangle$ )
  case app
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-app) (use app assms(1–5) in auto)
next
  case ifTrue
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-ifTrue) (use ifTrue assms(1–5) in
auto)
next
  case ifFalse
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-ifFalse) (use ifFalse assms(1–5) in
auto)
next
  case (new σ' τ'  $\mathcal{E}'$  v' l')
  have r'-unchanged-left: s2 r' = s1 r' using new(2) neq s2 by auto
  have r-unchanged-right: s2' r = s1 r by (simp add: new(1) neq s1-r)
  show ?thesis

```

proof (*cases* $l = l'$)
case *True*
obtain l'' **where** l'' -fresh-left: $l'' \notin LID_G s_2$
by (*meson ex-new-if-finite left-step lid-inf reach RID_L-finite-invariant reachable-imp-identifiers-finite(2)*)
hence $l-l''$: $l \neq l''$ **by** (*auto simp add: s₂*)
have l'' -fresh-s₁: $l'' \notin LID_G s_1$ **using** *assms True new l''-fresh-left by (auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional)*
hence l'' -fresh-right': $l'' \notin LID_G s_2'$ **using** *True l-l'' new(1-2) by auto*
let $?\beta = id(l := l'', l'' := l)$
have $bij-\beta$: $bij ?\beta$ **by** (*simp add: swap-bij*)
let $?s_3 = s_2(r' \mapsto (\sigma', \tau'(l'' \mapsto v')), \mathcal{E}' [VE (Loc l'')])$
have *left-convergence: revision-step r' s₂ ?s₃*
using *l''-fresh-left new(2) r'-unchanged-left by auto*
let $?s_3' = s_2'(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau(l'' \mapsto v)), \mathcal{E} [VE (Loc l'')])$
have *right-convergence: revision-step r s₂' ?s₃'*
using *l''-fresh-right' new(1) neq s₁-r by auto*
have *equiv: ?s₃ ≈ ?s₃'*
proof (*rule eq-statesI[of id ?\beta]*)
show $\mathcal{R}_G id ?\beta ?s_3 = ?s_3'$
proof –
have s_1 : $\mathcal{R}_G id ?\beta s_1 = s_1$ **using** *l''-fresh-s₁ side by auto*
have σ : $\mathcal{R}_S id ?\beta \sigma = \sigma$ **using** *l''-fresh-s₁ s₁-r side by auto*
have \mathcal{E} : $\mathcal{R}_C id ?\beta \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$
proof
show $l \notin LID_C \mathcal{E}$ **using** *s₁-r side by auto*
show $l'' \notin LID_C \mathcal{E}$ **using** *l''-fresh-left s₂ by auto*
qed
have τlv : $\mathcal{R}_S id (id(l := l'', l'' := l)) (\tau(l \mapsto v)) = (\tau(l'' \mapsto v))$
proof –
have τ : $\mathcal{R}_S id ?\beta \tau = \tau$ **using** *l''-fresh-s₁ s₁-r side by auto*
have v : $\mathcal{R}_V id ?\beta v = v$
proof
show $l \notin LID_V v$ **using** *s₁-r side by auto*
show $l'' \notin LID_V v$ **using** *l''-fresh-s₁ s₁-r by auto*
qed
show *?thesis by (simp add: \tau v bij-\beta)*
qed
have σ' : $\mathcal{R}_S id ?\beta \sigma' = \sigma'$ **using** *l''-fresh-s₁ new(2-3) by (auto simp add: True ID-distr-global-conditional)*
have \mathcal{E}' : $\mathcal{R}_C id ?\beta \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'$ **using** *l''-fresh-s₁ new(2-3) by (auto simp add: True ID-distr-global-conditional)*
have $\tau l''v$: $\mathcal{R}_S id (id(l := l'', l'' := l)) (\tau'(l'' \mapsto v')) = (\tau'(l \mapsto v'))$
proof –
have τ' : $\mathcal{R}_S id ?\beta \tau' = \tau'$ **using** *new(2-3) l''-fresh-s₁ by (auto simp add: True ID-distr-global-conditional)*
have v' : $\mathcal{R}_V id ?\beta v' = v'$ **using** *new(2-3) l''-fresh-s₁ by (auto simp add: True ID-distr-global-conditional)*
show *?thesis by (simp add: \tau' v' bij-\beta)*

```

      qed
      show ?thesis using True neq s1 σ ℰ τlv σ' ℰ' τl''v s2 l-l'' new(1) by auto
    qed
  qed (simp add: bij-β)+
  show ?thesis using left-convergence right-convergence equiv by blast
next
case False
have l-fresh-left: l ∉ LIDG s2'
  by (rule revision-stepE[OF left-step]) (use False side new(1-2) in ⟨auto
simp add: s1-r⟩)
have l'-fresh-right: l' ∉ LIDG s2
  by (rule revision-stepE[OF right]) (use False new(3) assms(1-3) in ⟨auto
simp add: new(2)⟩)
show ?thesis by (rule local-steps-commute[OF s2 new(1)]) (use new assms
l-fresh-left l'-fresh-right s2 in auto)
qed
next
case get
show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-get) (use get assms(1-5) in auto)
next
case set
show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-set) (use set assms(1-5) in auto)
next
case (fork - - - r'')
have r''-fresh-left: r'' ∉ RIDG s2
  by (rule only-fork-introduces-rids'[OF left-step]) (simp add: s1-r fork(3))+
have l-fresh-right: l ∉ LIDG s2'
  by (rule only-new-introduces-lids'[OF right]) (simp add: side fork(2))+
show ?thesis by (rule local-and-fork-commute[OF s2 fork(1)]) (use fork(1-2)
neq s2 l-fresh-right r''-fresh-left s1-r in auto)
next
case join
show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step neq in auto)
next
case joinε
show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-joinε, use joinε left-step neq in auto)
qed
qed

```

7.2.7 Case fork

lemma SLC-fork:

assumes

s₁-r: s₁ r = Some (σ, τ, ℰ [Rfork e]) and

s₂: s₂ = (s₁(r ↦ (σ, τ, ℰ [VE (Rid left-forkee)]), left-forkee ↦ (σ;;τ, ε, e))) and

side: left-forkee ∉ RID_G s₁ and

right: revision-step r' s₁ s₂' and

neq: r ≠ r' and

reach: reachable (s₁ :: ('r, 'l, 'v) global-state) and

```

    rid-inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'r set)
  shows
     $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$ 
  proof -
    have left-step: revision-step r s1 s2 using s1-r s2 side by (auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional)
    show ?thesis
    proof (use right in <cases rule: revision-stepE>)
      case app
      show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-app) (use assms(1-5) app in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>)
    next
      case ifTrue
      show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-ifTrue) (use assms(1-5) ifTrue in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>)
    next
      case ifFalse
      show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-ifFalse) (use assms(1-5) ifFalse in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>)
    next
      case (new - - - l)
      have l-fresh-left: l  $\notin$  LIDG s2
      by (rule only-new-introduces-lids'[OF left-step]) (simp add: s1-r new(3))+
      have r''-fresh-right: left-forkee  $\notin$  RIDG s2'
      by (rule only-fork-introduces-rids'[OF right]) (simp add: side new(2))+
      show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule local-and-fork-commute[OF new(1) s2])
      (use new(1-2) neq s1-r r''-fresh-right l-fresh-left s2 in auto)
    next
      case get
      show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-get) (use assms(1-5) get in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>)
    next
      case set
      show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-set) (use assms(1-5) set in <auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional>)
    next
      case (fork  $\sigma'$   $\tau'$   $\mathcal{E}'$  e' right-forkee)
      have r'-unchanged-left: s2 r' = s1 r' using side fork(2) neq s2 by auto
      have r-unchanged-right: s2' r = s1 r using fork(1,3) neq s1-r by auto
      have r  $\neq$  left-forkee using s1-r side by auto
      have r  $\neq$  right-forkee using fork(3) s1-r by auto
      have r'  $\neq$  left-forkee using fork(2) side by auto
      have r'  $\neq$  right-forkee using fork(2) fork(3) by auto
      show ?thesis
      proof (cases left-forkee = right-forkee)
        case True
        obtain r'' where r''-fresh-left: r''  $\notin$  RIDG s2
        using RIDG-finite-invariant ex-new-if-finite left-step reach reachable-imp-identifiers-finite(1)

```

rid-inf **by** *blast*
hence $r'' \neq \text{left-forkee}$ **using** *assms(2)* **by** *auto*
have $r'' \neq r$ **using** *r''-fresh-left s₂* **by** *auto*
have $r'' \neq r'$ **using** *fork(2) r''-fresh-left r'-unchanged-left* **by** *auto*
have $r'' \notin \text{RID}_G (s_1(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[VE (\text{Rid left-forkee}])))$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) RID_G-def True UnI1 <r ≠ right-forkee>*
domIff fun-upd-other fun-upd-triv in-restricted-global-in-updated-global(1) fork(3)
r''-fresh-left s₂)
hence $r'' \notin \text{RID}_G (s_1(r := \text{None}))$ **by** *blast*
have *r''-fresh-s₁: r'' ∉ RID_G s₁*
using *<r ≠ left-forkee> s₂ r''-fresh-left s_{1-r} <r'' ≠ r> <r'' ∉ RID_G (s₁(r := None))>*
by (*auto simp add: ID-distr-global-conditional*)
have *r''-fresh-right: r'' ∉ RID_G s₂'*
using *True <r'' ≠ left-forkee> <r' ≠ right-forkee> <r'' ≠ r'> r''-fresh-s₁*
fork(2) r''-fresh-s₁
by (*auto simp add: fork(1) ID-distr-global-conditional fun-upd-twist*)
let $?α = \text{id}(\text{left-forkee} := r'', r'' := \text{left-forkee})$
have *bij-α: bij ?α* **by** (*simp add: swap-bij*)
let $?s_3 = s_2(r' \mapsto (\sigma', \tau', \mathcal{E}' [VE (\text{Rid } r'')]))$, $r'' \mapsto (\sigma';;\tau', \varepsilon, e')$
have *left-convergence: revision-step r' s₂ ?s₃*
using *fork(2) r''-fresh-left r'-unchanged-left revision-step.fork* **by** *auto*
let $?s_3' = s_2'(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E}[VE (\text{Rid } r'')]))$, $r'' \mapsto (\sigma;;\tau, \varepsilon, e)$
have *right-convergence: revision-step r s₂' ?s₃'*
using *r''-fresh-right r-unchanged-right revision-step.fork s_{1-r}* **by** *auto*
have *equiv: ?s₃ ≈ ?s₃'*
proof (*rule eq-statesI[of ?α id]*)
show $\mathcal{R}_G ?α \text{id} ?s_3 = ?s_3'$
proof –
have $s_1: \mathcal{R}_G ?α \text{id} s_1 = s_1$ **using** *r''-fresh-s₁ side* **by** *auto*
have $\sigma: \mathcal{R}_S ?α \text{id} \sigma = \sigma$ **using** *r''-fresh-s₁ s_{1-r} True fork(3)* **by** *auto*
have $\tau: \mathcal{R}_S ?α \text{id} \tau = \tau$ **using** *r''-fresh-s₁ s_{1-r} True fork(3)* **by** *auto*
have $\mathcal{E}: \mathcal{R}_C ?α \text{id} \mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}$
proof
show *left-forkee ∉ RID_C E* **using** *s_{1-r} side* **by** *auto*
show $r'' \notin \text{RID}_C \mathcal{E}$ **using** *True <r ≠ right-forkee> r''-fresh-left s₂* **by**
auto
qed
have $e: \mathcal{R}_E ?α \text{id} e = e$
proof
show *left-forkee ∉ RID_E e* **using** *s_{1-r} side* **by** *auto*
show $r'' \notin \text{RID}_E e$ **using** *True <r ≠ right-forkee> r''-fresh-left s₂* **by**
auto
qed
have $\sigma': \mathcal{R}_S ?α \text{id} \sigma' = \sigma'$ **using** *fork(2) r''-fresh-s₁ side* **by** *auto*
have $\tau': \mathcal{R}_S ?α \text{id} \tau' = \tau'$ **using** *fork(2) r''-fresh-s₁ side* **by** *auto*
have $\mathcal{E}': \mathcal{R}_C ?α \text{id} \mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}'$
proof
show *left-forkee ∉ RID_C E'* **using** *fork(2) side* **by** *auto*

```

    show  $r'' \notin RID_C \mathcal{E}'$  using fork(2)  $r''$ -fresh- $s_1$  by auto
  qed
  have  $e': \mathcal{R}_E \text{ ?}\alpha \text{ id } e' = e'$ 
  proof
    show left-forkee  $\notin RID_E e'$  using fork(2) side by auto
    show  $r'' \notin RID_E e'$  using fork(2)  $r''$ -fresh- $s_1$  by auto
  qed
  show ?thesis using True fork(1) neq  $\sigma \tau \mathcal{E} e \sigma' \tau' \mathcal{E}' e' s_1 s_2$ 
    bij- $\alpha \langle r'' \neq \text{left-forkee} \rangle \langle r' \neq \text{left-forkee} \rangle \langle r \neq \text{left-forkee} \rangle \langle r'' \neq r \rangle \langle r''$ 
 $\neq r' \rangle$ 
    by auto
  qed
  qed (simp add: bij- $\alpha$ )+
  show ?thesis using equiv left-convergence right-convergence by blast
next
case False
have right-forkee-fresh-left: right-forkee  $\notin RID_G s_2$ 
  using False  $\langle r \neq \text{left-forkee} \rangle \langle r \neq \text{right-forkee} \rangle$  fork(3)  $s_1$ - $r$ 
  by (auto simp add:  $s_2$  ID-distr-global-conditional, auto)
have left-forkee-fresh-right: left-forkee  $\notin RID_G s_2'$ 
  using False  $\langle r' \neq \text{right-forkee} \rangle \langle r' \neq \text{left-forkee} \rangle$  side fork(2)
  by (auto simp add: fork(1) ID-distr-global-conditional fun-upd-twist)
show ?thesis
proof(rule exI[where  $x=s_2(r' := s_2' r', \text{right-forkee} := s_2' \text{right-forkee})$ ],
  rule exI[where  $x=s_2'(r := s_2 r, \text{left-forkee} := s_2 \text{left-forkee})$ ],
  rule SLC-commute)
  show  $s_2(r' := s_2' r', \text{right-forkee} := s_2' \text{right-forkee}) = s_2'(r := s_2 r,$ 
left-forkee :=  $s_2 \text{left-forkee})$ 
  using False  $\langle r \neq \text{right-forkee} \rangle \langle r' \neq \text{left-forkee} \rangle \langle r' \neq \text{right-forkee} \rangle$  fork(1)
  neq  $s_2$  by auto
  show revision-step  $r' s_2 (s_2(r' := s_2' r', \text{right-forkee} := s_2' \text{right-forkee}))$ 
  using fork(1-2)  $r'$ -unchanged-left revision-step.fork right-forkee-fresh-left
  by auto
  show revision-step  $r s_2' (s_2'(r := s_2 r, \text{left-forkee} := s_2 \text{left-forkee}))$ 
  using left-forkee-fresh-right  $r$ -unchanged-right revision-step.fork  $s_1$ - $r s_2$  by
  auto
  qed
  qed
  next
  case join
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join, use join left-step assms(3-5)
  in auto)
  next
  case join $_\epsilon$ 
  show ?thesis by (rule SLC-sym, rule SLC-join $_\epsilon$ , use join $_\epsilon$  left-step assms(3-5)
  in auto)
  qed
  qed

```

7.2.8 The theorem

theorem *strong-local-confluence*:

assumes

l: *revision-step* r s_1 s_2 **and**
r: *revision-step* r' s_1 s_2' **and**
reach: *reachable* ($s_1 :: ('r, 'l, 'v)$ *global-state*) **and**
lid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'l$ *set*) **and**
rid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'r$ *set*)

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge (\text{revision-step } r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3) \wedge (\text{revision-step } r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3')$

proof (*cases* $r = r'$)

case *True*

thus *?thesis* **by** (*metis l local-determinism r*)

next

case *neq*: *False*

thus *?thesis* **by** (*cases rule: revision-stepE[OF l]*) (*auto simp add: assms SLC-app SLC-ifTrue*)

SLC-ifFalse SLC-new SLC-get SLC-set SLC-fork SLC-join SLC-join ϵ)

qed

7.3 Diagram Tiling

7.3.1 Strong local confluence diagrams

lemma *SLC*:

assumes

$s_1 s_2$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2$ **and**
 $s_1 s_2'$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2'$ **and**
reach: *reachable* ($s_1 :: ('r, 'l, 'v)$ *global-state*) **and**
lid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'l$ *set*) **and**
rid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'r$ *set*)

shows

$\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge s_2 \rightsquigarrow^= s_3 \wedge s_2' \rightsquigarrow^= s_3'$

proof –

from $s_1 s_2$ **obtain** r **where** *l: revision-step* r s_1 s_2 **by** *auto*

from $s_1 s_2'$ **obtain** r' **where** *r: revision-step* r' s_1 s_2' **by** *auto*

obtain $s_3 s_3'$ **where**

s₃-eq-s₃': $s_3 \approx s_3'$ **and**

l-join: *revision-step* $r' s_2 s_3 \vee s_2 = s_3$ **and**

r-join: *revision-step* $r s_2' s_3' \vee s_2' = s_3'$

using l r *reach lid-inf rid-inf strong-local-confluence* **by** *metis*

have $s_2 s_3$: $s_2 \rightsquigarrow^= s_3$ **using** *l-join steps-def* **by** *auto*

have $s_2' s_3$: $s_2' \rightsquigarrow^= s_3'$ **using** *r-join steps-def* **by** *auto*

show *?thesis* **using** $s_2' s_3 s_2 s_3 s_3\text{-eq-}s_3'$ **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *SLC-top-relaxed*:

assumes

$s_1 s_2: s_1 \rightsquigarrow^= s_2$ **and**
 $s_1 s_2': s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2'$ **and**
reach: *reachable* ($s_1 :: ('r, 'l, 'v)$ *global-state*) **and**
lid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'l$ *set*) **and**
rid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'r$ *set*)
shows
 $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge s_2 \rightsquigarrow^= s_3 \wedge s_2' \rightsquigarrow^= s_3'$
proof –
have 1: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2 \implies s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2' \implies ?thesis$ **using** *SLC lid-inf reach rid-inf* **by**
blast
have 2: $s_1 = s_2 \implies s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2' \implies ?thesis$
by (*rule exI[where x=s₂]*, *rule exI[where x=s₂']*) (*auto simp add: $\alpha\beta$ -refl*)
show *?thesis* **using** *assms 1 2* **by** *auto*
qed

7.3.2 Mimicking diagrams

declare *bind-eq-None-conv* [*simp*]
declare *bind-eq-Some-conv* [*simp*]

lemma *in-renamed-in-unlabelled-val*:

$bij\ \alpha \implies (\alpha\ r \in RID_V\ (\mathcal{R}_V\ \alpha\ \beta\ v)) = (r \in RID_V\ v)$
 $bij\ \beta \implies (\beta\ l \in LID_V\ (\mathcal{R}_V\ \alpha\ \beta\ v)) = (l \in LID_V\ v)$
by (*auto simp add: bij-is-inj inj-image-mem-iff val.set-map(1-2)*)

lemma *in-renamed-in-unlabelled-expr*:

$bij\ \alpha \implies (\alpha\ r \in RID_E\ (\mathcal{R}_E\ \alpha\ \beta\ v)) = (r \in RID_E\ v)$
 $bij\ \beta \implies (\beta\ l \in LID_E\ (\mathcal{R}_E\ \alpha\ \beta\ v)) = (l \in LID_E\ v)$
by (*auto simp add: bij-is-inj inj-image-mem-iff expr.set-map(1-2)*)

lemma *in-renamed-in-unlabelled-store*:

assumes
 $bij\text{-}\alpha: bij\ \alpha$ **and**
 $bij\text{-}\beta: bij\ \beta$

shows

$(\alpha\ r \in RID_S\ (\mathcal{R}_S\ \alpha\ \beta\ \sigma)) = (r \in RID_S\ \sigma)$
 $(\beta\ l \in LID_S\ (\mathcal{R}_S\ \alpha\ \beta\ \sigma)) = (l \in LID_S\ \sigma)$

proof –

show $(\alpha\ r \in RID_S\ (\mathcal{R}_S\ \alpha\ \beta\ \sigma)) = (r \in RID_S\ \sigma)$

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume $\alpha\ r \in RID_S\ (\mathcal{R}_S\ \alpha\ \beta\ \sigma)$

thus $r \in RID_S\ \sigma$

proof (*rule RID_SE*)

fix $l\ v$

assume $map: \mathcal{R}_S\ \alpha\ \beta\ \sigma\ l = Some\ v$ **and** $\alpha r: \alpha\ r \in RID_V\ v$

hence $\sigma\ (inv\ \beta\ l) = Some\ (\mathcal{R}_V\ (inv\ \alpha)\ (inv\ \beta)\ v)$

using *bij- α bij- β* **by** (*auto simp add: bij-is-inj*)

have $r \in RID_V\ (\mathcal{R}_V\ (inv\ \alpha)\ (inv\ \beta)\ v)$

using *bij- α bij- β αr map* **by** (*auto simp add: bij-is-inj in-renamed-in-unlabelled-val(1)*)

show $r \in RID_S \sigma$
using $\langle \sigma (inv \beta l) = Some (\mathcal{R}_V (inv \alpha) (inv \beta) v) \rangle \langle r \in RID_V (\mathcal{R}_V (inv \alpha) (inv \beta) v) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
qed
next
assume $r \in RID_S \sigma$
thus $\alpha r \in RID_S (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) RID_SE RID_SI bij- α bij- β fun-upd-same fun-upd-triv in-renamed-in-unlabelled-val(1) renaming-distr-store*)
qed
show $(\beta l \in LID_S (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)) = (l \in LID_S \sigma)$
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume $\beta l \in LID_S (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$
thus $l \in LID_S \sigma$
proof (*rule LID_SE*)
assume $\beta l \in dom (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$
thus $l \in LID_S \sigma$ **by** (*auto simp add: LID_SI(1) bij- β bijection.intro bijection.inv-left*)
next
fix $v l'$
assume $\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma l' = Some v \beta l \in LID_V v$
thus $l \in LID_S \sigma$ **using** *bij- β* **by** (*auto simp add: LID_SI(2) in-renamed-in-unlabelled-val(2)*)
qed
next
assume $l \in LID_S \sigma$
thus $\beta l \in LID_S (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$
proof (*rule LID_SE*)
assume $l \in dom \sigma$
hence $\exists \sigma' v. \sigma = (\sigma'(l \mapsto v))$ **by** *fastforce*
hence $\beta l \in dom (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$ **using** *bij- β* **by** *auto*
thus $\beta l \in LID_S (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$ **by** *auto*
next
fix $v l'$
assume $\sigma l' = Some v$ **and** *l-in-v: $l \in LID_V v$*
hence $\exists \sigma'. \sigma = (\sigma'(l' \mapsto v))$ **by** *force*
thus $\beta l \in LID_S (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma)$
using *l-in-v bij- β* **by** (*auto simp add: LID_SI(2) in-renamed-in-unlabelled-val(2)*)
qed
qed
qed

lemma *in-renamed-in-unlabelled-local:*

assumes

bij- α : bij α and

bij- β : bij β

shows

$(\alpha r \in RID_L (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls)) = (r \in RID_L ls)$

$(\beta l \in LID_L (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls)) = (l \in LID_L ls)$

by (cases ls, simp add: assms in-renamed-in-unlabelled-expr in-renamed-in-unlabelled-store)+

lemma *in-renamed-in-unlabelled-global*:

assumes

bij- α : *bij* α **and**

bij- β : *bij* β

shows

$(\alpha r \in RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)) = (r \in RID_G s)$

$(\beta l \in LID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)) = (l \in LID_G s)$

proof –

show $(\alpha r \in RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)) = (r \in RID_G s)$

proof (*rule iffI*)

assume $\alpha r \in RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$

thus $r \in RID_G s$

proof (*rule RID_GE*)

assume $\alpha r \in \text{dom} (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$

hence $r \in \text{dom} s$ **by** (*metis bij- α domIff fun-upd-same fun-upd-triv renaming-distr-global(2)*)

thus $r \in RID_G s$ **by** *auto*

next

fix $r' ls$

assume $\mathcal{R}sr'$: $\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s r' = \text{Some } ls$ **and** αr : $\alpha r \in RID_L ls$

have *s-inv- $\alpha r'$* : $s (\text{inv } \alpha r') = \text{Some} (\mathcal{R}_L (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) ls)$

proof –

have $\text{inv } \alpha r' \in \text{dom} s$ **using** $\mathcal{R}sr'$ **by** *auto*

then obtain ls' **where** *s-inv- $\alpha r'$* : $s (\text{inv } \alpha r') = \text{Some } ls'$ **by** *blast*

hence $\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s r' = \text{Some} (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls')$ **by** *simp*

hence $ls = (\mathcal{R}_L \alpha \beta ls')$ **using** $\mathcal{R}sr'$ **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis* **by** (*metis \mathcal{R}_L -inv s-inv- αr bij- α bij- β*)

qed

have *r-in*: $r \in RID_L (\mathcal{R}_L (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) ls)$

by (*metis bij- α bij- β bij-imp-bij-inv bijection.intro bijection.inv-left in-renamed-in-unlabelled-local(1)* αr)

show $r \in RID_G s$

using *r-in s-inv- $\alpha r'$* **by** *blast*

qed

next

assume $r \in RID_G s$

thus $\alpha r \in RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$

proof (*rule RID_GE*)

assume $r \in \text{dom} s$

hence $\alpha r \in \text{dom} (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) bij- α domD domI fun-upd-same fun-upd-triv renaming-distr-global(1)*)

thus $\alpha r \in RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$ **by** *auto*

next

fix $r' ls$

assume $s r' = \text{Some } ls$ $r \in RID_L ls$

thus $\alpha r \in RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$

by (*metis ID-distr-global(1) UnI2 bij- α bij- β fun-upd-triv in-renamed-in-unlabelled-local(1)*)
insertI2 renaming-distr-global(1)
qed
qed
show ($\beta l \in LID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s) = (l \in LID_G s)$)
proof (*rule iffI*)
assume $\beta l \in LID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$
from this obtain $r \text{ } ls$ **where** $R_s\text{-}ls: \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s r = \text{Some } ls$ **and** $\beta l\text{-in-}ls: \beta l \in$
 $LID_L \text{ } ls$ **by** *blast*
hence $l \in LID_L (\mathcal{R}_L (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) ls)$
by (*metis bij- α bij- β bij-imp-bij-inv bijection.intro bijection.inv-left in-renamed-in-unlabelled-local(2)*)
hence $l \in LID_G (\mathcal{R}_G (\text{inv } \alpha) (\text{inv } \beta) (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s))$
by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) LID_G I R_s\text{-}ls bij- α bij-imp-bij-inv fun-upd-idem-iff*)
renaming-distr-global(1)
thus $l \in LID_G s$ **using** *bij- α bij- β* **by** (*metis $\mathcal{R}_G\text{-inv}$*)
next
assume $l \in LID_G s$
then obtain $r \text{ } s' \text{ } ls$ **where** $s = (s'(r \mapsto ls))$ $l \in LID_L \text{ } ls$ **by** (*metis LID_G E*)
fun-upd-triv
thus $\beta l \in LID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$ **by** (*simp add: bij- α bij- β in-renamed-in-unlabelled-local(2)*)
qed
qed

lemma *mimicking*:

assumes
step: revision-step $r \text{ } s \text{ } s'$ and
bij- α : bij α and
bij- β : bij β
shows *revision-step ($\alpha \text{ } r$) ($\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s$) ($\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s'$)*
proof (*use step in <cases rule: revision-stepE>*)
case *app*
then show *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: bij- α bij- β bijection.intro bijection.inv-left*)
renaming-distr-subst
next
case (*new - - - l*)
have $\beta l\text{-fresh}: \beta l \notin LID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$
by (*simp add: bij- α bij- β in-renamed-in-unlabelled-global(2) new(3)*)
show *?thesis* **using** $\beta l\text{-fresh}$ *new* **by** (*auto simp add: bij- α bij- β bijection.intro*)
bijection.inv-left
next
case (*fork $\sigma \text{ } \tau \text{ } \mathcal{E} \text{ } e \text{ } r'$*)
have $\alpha r'\text{-fresh}: \alpha r' \notin RID_G (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s)$
by (*simp add: bij- α bij- β in-renamed-in-unlabelled-global(1) fork(3)*)
have $s\text{-}r\text{-as-}upd: s = (s(r \mapsto (\sigma, \tau, \mathcal{E} [Rfork \text{ } e])))$ **using** *fork(2)* **by** *auto*
have $src: \mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s (\alpha \text{ } r) = \text{Some } (\mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \sigma, \mathcal{R}_S \alpha \beta \tau, (\mathcal{R}_C \alpha \beta \mathcal{E}) [Rfork$
 $(\mathcal{R}_E \alpha \beta e)])$
by (*subst s-r-as-upd, simp add: bij- α*)
show *?thesis* **using** $\alpha r'\text{-fresh}$ *src* *revision-step.fork fork(1) bij- α* **by** *auto*
qed (*auto simp add: bij- α bij- β bijection.intro bijection.inv-left*)

lemma *mimic-single-step*:

assumes

$s_1 s_1'$: $s_1 \approx s_1'$ **and**

$s_1 s_2$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow s_2$

shows $\exists s_2'. (s_2 \approx s_2') \wedge s_1' \rightsquigarrow s_2'$

proof –

from $s_1 s_1'$ **obtain** $\alpha \beta$ **where**

bij- α : *bij* α **and**

bij- β : *bij* β **and**

R: $\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s_1 = s_1'$ **by** *blast*

from $s_1 s_2$ **obtain** r **where** *step*: *revision-step* $r s_1 s_2$ **by** *auto*

have *mirrored-step*: *revision-step* $(\alpha r) s_1' (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s_2)$

using *R* *bij- α* *bij- β* *step* *mimicking* **by** *auto*

have *eq*: $s_2 \approx (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s_2)$ **using** *bij- α* *bij- β* **by** *blast*

have $s_1' s_2'$: $s_1' \rightsquigarrow (\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s_2)$ **using** *mirrored-step* **by** *auto*

from *eq* $s_1' s_2'$ **show** *?thesis* **by** *blast*

qed

lemma *mimic-trans*:

assumes

s_1 -*eq*- s_1' : $s_1 \approx s_1'$ **and**

$s_1 s_2$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2$

shows $\exists s_2'. s_2 \approx s_2' \wedge s_1' \rightsquigarrow^* s_2'$

proof –

from s_1 -*eq*- s_1' **obtain** $\alpha \beta$ **where**

bij- α : *bij* α **and**

bij- β : *bij* β **and**

R: $\mathcal{R}_G \alpha \beta s_1 = s_1'$

by *blast*

from $s_1 s_2$ **obtain** n **where** $(s_1, s_2) \in [\rightsquigarrow]^{\sim n}$ **using** *rtrancl-power* **by** *blast*

thus *?thesis*

proof (*induct* n *arbitrary*: s_2)

case 0

thus *?case* **using** s_1 -*eq*- s_1' **by** *auto*

next

case (*Suc* n)

obtain x **where** n -*steps*: $(s_1, x) \in [\rightsquigarrow]^{\sim n}$ **and** *step*: $x \rightsquigarrow s_2$ **using** *Suc.prem*

by *auto*

obtain x' **where** x -*eq*- x' : $x \approx x'$ **and** $s_1' x$: $s_1' \rightsquigarrow^* x'$ **using** *Suc.hyps* n -*steps*

by *blast*

obtain s_2' **where** s_2 -*eq*- s_2' : $s_2 \approx s_2'$ **and** $x' s_2'$: $x' \rightsquigarrow s_2'$

by (*meson* *step* *mimic-single-step* x -*eq*- x')

show *?case* **using** $s_1' x$ s_2 -*eq*- s_2' *trancl-into-rtrancl* $x' s_2'$ **by** *auto*

qed

qed

7.3.3 Strip diagram

lemma *strip-lemma*:

assumes

$s_1 s_2$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2$ and

$s_1 s_2'$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow^= s_2'$ and

reach: *reachable* ($s_1 :: ('r, 'l, 'v)$ *global-state*) and

lid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'l$ *set*) and

rid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'r$ *set*)

shows $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* s_3 \wedge s_2' \rightsquigarrow^* s_3'$

proof –

from $s_1 s_2$ obtain n where $(s_1, s_2) \in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ using *rtrancl-power* by *blast*

from *reach* $s_1 s_2'$ and this show *?thesis*

proof (induct n arbitrary: $s_1 s_2 s_2'$)

case 0

hence $s_1 = s_2$ by *simp*

hence $s_2 s_2'$: $s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2'$ using *0.premis(2)* by *blast*

show *?case* by (rule *exI[where x=s₂]*, rule *exI[where x=s₂']*) (use $s_2 s_2'$ in *<simp add: $\alpha\beta$ -refl>*)

next

case (*Suc n*)

obtain a where $s_1 a$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow a$ and as_2 : $(a, s_2) \in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ by (*meson Suc.premis(3)* *relpow-Suc-D2*)

obtain $b c$ where $b \approx c$ $a \rightsquigarrow^= b$ $s_2' \rightsquigarrow^= c$

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) SLC-top-relaxed Suc.premis(1) Suc.premis(2)* *$\alpha\beta$ -sym lid-inf rid-inf s₁a*)

obtain $d e$ where $d \approx e$ $s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* d$ $b \rightsquigarrow^* e$

by (*meson Suc.hyps Suc.premis(1) <a $\rightsquigarrow^=$ b> as₂ reachability-closed-under-execution-step s₁a valid-stepE*)

obtain f where $c \rightsquigarrow^* f$ $e \approx f$

by (*meson <b \approx c> <b $\rightsquigarrow^* e$ > mimic-trans*)

have $d \approx f$ using *$\alpha\beta$ -trans* *<d \approx e>* *<e \approx f>* by *auto*

then show *?case* by (*metis (no-types, lifting) <c $\rightsquigarrow^* f$ > <s₂ $\rightsquigarrow^* d$ > <s₂' $\rightsquigarrow^= c$ >* *r-into-rtrancl rtrancl-reflcl rtrancl-trans*)

qed

qed

7.3.4 Confluence diagram

lemma *confluence-modulo-equivalence*:

assumes

$s_1 s_2$: $s_1 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2$ and

$s_1 s_2'$: $s_1' \rightsquigarrow^* s_2'$ and

equiv: $s_1 \approx s_1'$ and

reach: *reachable* ($s_1 :: ('r, 'l, 'v)$ *global-state*) and

lid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'l$ *set*) and

rid-inf: *infinite* ($UNIV :: 'r$ *set*)

shows $\exists s_3 s_3'. s_3 \approx s_3' \wedge s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* s_3 \wedge s_2' \rightsquigarrow^* s_3'$

proof –

obtain n where $(s_1, s_2) \in [\rightsquigarrow] \rightsquigarrow^n$ using $s_1 s_2$ *rtrancl-power* by *blast*

from *reach equiv* $s_1 s_2'$ **and this show** *?thesis*
proof (*induct n arbitrary: s₁ s₁' s₂ s₂'*)
 case *base: 0*
 hence $s_1 = s_2$ **by** *simp*
 obtain s_2'' **where** $s_1 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2'' s_2' \approx s_2''$
 using $\alpha\beta$ -*sym base.prem*s(2,3) *mimic-trans* **by** *blast*
 have $s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2''$ **using** $\langle s_1 = s_2 \rangle \langle s_1 \rightsquigarrow^* s_2'' \rangle$ **by** *blast*
 show *?case* **by** (*rule exI[where x=s₂'], rule exI[where x=s₂']*) (*auto simp*
add: $\alpha\beta$ -sym $\langle s_2 \rightsquigarrow^ s_2'' \rangle \langle s_2' \approx s_2'' \rangle$*)
 next
 case *step: (Suc n)*
 obtain a **where** $s_1 a: (s_1, a) \in [\rightsquigarrow]^\sim n$ **and** $as_2: a \rightsquigarrow s_2$ **using** *step.prem*s(4)
 by *auto*
 have *reachable a* **using** *reachability-closed-under-execution relpow-imp-rtrancl*
 $s_1 a$ *step.prem*s(1) **by** *blast*
 obtain $b c$ **where** $a \rightsquigarrow^* b s_2' \rightsquigarrow^* c b \approx c$
 using $s_1 a$ *step.hyps step.prem*s(1-3) **by** *blast*
 have $a \rightsquigarrow^* s_2$ **by** (*simp add: as₂ r-into-rtrancl*)
 obtain $s_3 d$ **where** $s_3 \approx d s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* s_3 b \rightsquigarrow^* d$
 by (*meson $\alpha\beta$ -sym $\langle a \rightsquigarrow^* b \rangle \langle \text{reachable } a \rangle as_2 \text{lid-inf refl-rewritesI rid-inf$*
strip-lemma)
 obtain s_3' **where** $s_3 \approx s_3' c \rightsquigarrow^* s_3'$
 by (*meson $\alpha\beta$ -trans $\langle b \approx c \rangle \langle b \rightsquigarrow^* d \rangle \langle s_3 \approx d \rangle \text{mimic-trans}$*)
 show *?case* **by** (*meson $\langle c \rightsquigarrow^* s_3' \rangle \langle s_2 \rightsquigarrow^* s_3 \rangle \langle s_2' \rightsquigarrow^* c \rangle \langle s_3 \approx s_3' \rangle \text{transD}$*
trans-rtrancl)
 qed
 qed

7.4 Determinacy

theorem *determinacy:*

assumes

prog-expr: program-expr e **and**

e-terminates-in-s: e \downarrow s **and**

e-terminates-in-s': e \downarrow s' **and**

lid-inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'l set) **and**

rid-inf: infinite (UNIV :: 'r set)

shows $s \approx s'$

proof –

obtain r **where** $x: (\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))) \rightsquigarrow^* s$

by (*metis e-terminates-in-s execution-def maximal-execution-def terminates-in-def*)

obtain r' **where** $y: (\varepsilon(r' \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))) \rightsquigarrow^* s'$

by (*metis e-terminates-in-s' execution-def maximal-execution-def terminates-in-def*)

let $?\alpha = \text{id}(r := r', r' := r)$

have *bij- α : bij ? α* **by** (*simp add: swap-bij*)

have *equiv: $(\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))) \approx (\varepsilon(r' \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e)))$*

proof (*rule eq-statesI[of ? α id]*)

show $\mathcal{R}_G ?\alpha \text{id} (\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))) = \varepsilon(r' \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$

using *bij- α prog-expr* **by** *auto*

```

qed (simp add: bij- $\alpha$ )+
have reach: reachable ( $\varepsilon(r \mapsto (\varepsilon, \varepsilon, e))$ )
  by (simp add: initial-state-reachable prog-expr)
have  $\exists a b. (a \approx b) \wedge s \rightsquigarrow^* a \wedge s' \rightsquigarrow^* b$ 
  by (rule confluence-modulo-equivalence[OF x y equiv reach lid-inf rid-inf])
from this obtain a b where  $s \rightsquigarrow^* a \wedge s' \rightsquigarrow^* b \wedge a \approx b$  by blast
have  $s = a$  by (meson  $\langle s \rightsquigarrow^* a \rangle$  e-terminates-in-s maximal-execution-def rtranclD
terminates-in-def tranclD)
have  $s' = b$  by (meson  $\langle s' \rightsquigarrow^* b \rangle$  converse-rtranclE e-terminates-in-s' maxi-
mal-execution-def terminates-in-def)
show ?thesis using  $\langle a \approx b \rangle \langle s = a \rangle \langle s' = b \rangle$  by auto
qed

end

end

```

References

- [1] S. Burckhardt, A. Baldassin, and D. Leijen. Concurrent programming with revisions and isolation types. In *ACM Sigplan Notices*, volume 45, pages 691–707. ACM, 2010.
- [2] S. Burckhardt and D. Leijen. Semantics of concurrent revisions. In *European Symposium on Programming*, pages 116–135. Springer, 2011.
- [3] R. Overbeek. Formalizing the semantics of concurrent revisions. Master’s thesis, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam/Universiteit van Amsterdam, 2018. <https://raw.githubusercontent.com/overbk/verifying-concurrent-revisions/master/thesis.pdf>.