Completeness of Decreasing Diagrams for the Least Uncountable Cardinality

Ievgen Ivanov

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

Abstract

In [8] it was formally proved that the decreasing diagrams method [7] is sound for proving confluence: if a binary relation r has LD property defined in [8], then it has CR property defined in [6].

In this formal theory it is proved that if the cardinality of r does not exceed the first uncountable cardinal, then r has CR property if and only if r has LD property. As a consequence, the decreasing diagrams method is complete for proving confluence of relations of the least uncountable cardinality.

A paper that describes details of this proof has been submitted to the FSCD 2025 conference. This formalization extends formalizations [1, 5, 4, 2] and the paper [3].

Contents

1	Pre	liminaries	2
	1.1	Formal definition of finite levels of the DCR hierarchy	2
		1.1.1 Auxiliary definitions	2
		1.1.2 Result	4
	1.2	Completeness of the DCR3 method for proving confluence of	
		relations of the least uncountable cardinality	4
		1.2.1 Auxiliary definitions	4
		1.2.2 Auxiliary lemmas	9
		1.2.3 Result	36
	1.3	Optimality of the DCR3 method for proving confluence of	
		relations of the least uncountable cardinality 20	37
		1.3.1 Auxiliary definitions	37
		1.3.2 Auxiliary lemmas	38
		1.3.3 Result)1
	1.4	DCR implies LD Property)3
		1.4.1 Auxiliary definitions)3
		1.4.2 Auxiliary lemmas)3
		1.4.3 Result)8

2 Main theorem 317

1 Preliminaries

1.1 Formal definition of finite levels of the DCR hierarchy

theory Finite-DCR-Hierarchy imports Main begin

1.1.1 Auxiliary definitions

 ${\bf definition}\ {\it confl-rel}$

where confl-rel $r \equiv (\forall \ a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (a,c) \in r \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (c,d) \in r \hat{\ } *)$

definition $jn00 :: 'a rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$

where

$$jn00 \ r0 \ b \ c \equiv (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in r0^{=} \land (c,d) \in r0^{=})$$

definition jn01 :: $'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$

where $jn01 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c \equiv (\exists \ b' \ d. \ (b,b') \in r1^{=} \land (b',d) \in r0^{*} \land (c,d) \in r0^{*})$

definition jn10 :: 'a $rel \Rightarrow$ 'a $rel \Rightarrow$ 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool

where $jn10 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c \equiv (\exists \ c' \ d. \ (b,d) \in r0^* \land (c,c') \in r1^* = \land (c',d) \in r0^*)$

definition $jn11::'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$

 $jn11\ r0\ r1\ b\ c \equiv (\exists\ b'\ b''\ c'\ c''\ d.\ (b,b') \in r0\ \hat{} * \land (b',b'') \in r1\ \hat{} = \land (b'',d) \in r0\ \hat{} *$

$$\land \ (c,c') \in \mathit{r0} \, \widehat{\ } \ast \land \ (c',c'') \in \mathit{r1} \, \widehat{\ } = \land \ (c'',d) \in \mathit{r0} \, \widehat{\ } \ast)$$

definition jn02 :: 'a $rel \Rightarrow$ 'a $rel \Rightarrow$

 $jn02\ r0\ r1\ r2\ b\ c \equiv (\exists\ b'\ d.\ (b,b') \in r2^{\hat{}} = \land\ (b',d) \in (r0\ \cup\ r1)^{\hat{}} * \land\ (c,d) \in (r0\ \cup\ r1)^{\hat{}} *)$

definition jn12 :: 'a $rel \Rightarrow$ 'a $rel \Rightarrow$

 $jn12\ r0\ r1\ r2\ b\ c \equiv (\exists\ b'\ b''\ d.\ (b,b') \in (r0)\ \hat{}* \land (b',b'') \in r2\ \hat{}= \land (b'',d) \in (r0)\ \cup r1)\ \hat{}*$

$$\land (c,d) \in (r\theta \cup r1) \hat{\ } *)$$

definition $jn22::'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$

```
\land (c,c') \in (r\theta \cup r1) \hat{} * \land (c',c'') \in r2 \hat{} = \land (c'',d)
\in (r\theta \cup r1)^{\hat{}}*)
definition LD2:: 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow bool
where
   LD2 \ r \ r0 \ r1 \equiv (r = r0 \cup r1)
                    \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r\theta \longrightarrow jn\theta\theta \ r\theta \ b \ c)
                    \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r0 \land (a,c) \in r1 \longrightarrow jn01 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c)
                    \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \ \land \ (a,c) \in r1 \longrightarrow jn11 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c))
definition LD3:: 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \Rightarrow bool
where
   LD3 \ r \ r0 \ r1 \ r2 \equiv (r = r0 \cup r1 \cup r2)
                    \land (\forall \ a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r\theta \longrightarrow jn\theta\theta \ r\theta \ b \ c)
                    \land \ (\forall \ a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in \mathit{r0} \ \land \ (a,c) \in \mathit{r1} \longrightarrow \mathit{jn01} \ \mathit{r0} \ \mathit{r1} \ \mathit{b} \ \mathit{c})
                    \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \land (a,c) \in r1 \longrightarrow jn11 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c)
                    \land \ (\forall \ a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r0 \ \land \ (a,c) \in r2 \longrightarrow jn02 \ r0 \ r1 \ r2 \ b \ c)
                    \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \ \land \ (a,c) \in r2 \longrightarrow jn12 \ r0 \ r1 \ r2 \ b \ c)
                    \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r2 \land (a,c) \in r2 \longrightarrow jn22 \ r0 \ r1 \ r2 \ b \ c))
definition DCR2 :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool
where
   DCR2 \ r \equiv (\exists r0 \ r1. \ LD2 \ r \ r0 \ r1)
definition DCR3 :: 'a rel \Rightarrow bool
where
   DCR3 r \equiv (\exists r0 \ r1 \ r2. \ LD3 \ r \ r0 \ r1 \ r2)
definition \mathfrak{L}1 :: (nat \Rightarrow 'U rel) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'U rel
where
  \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha \equiv \bigcup \{A. \ \exists \ \alpha'. \ (\alpha' < \alpha) \land A = g \ \alpha'\}
definition \mathfrak{L}v :: (nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel
where
  \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \equiv \bigcup \{A. \ \exists \ \alpha'. \ (\alpha' < \alpha \lor \alpha' < \beta) \land A = g \ \alpha' \}
definition \mathfrak{D} :: (nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow ('U \times 'U \times 'U \times 'U) \ set
where
  \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta = \{(b,b',b'',d).\ (b,b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1\ g\ \alpha) \hat{} * \land (b',b'') \in (g\ \beta) \hat{} = \land (b'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v)\}
g \alpha \beta)^*
definition DCR-generating :: (nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel) \Rightarrow bool
where
   DCR-generating g \equiv (\forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ \alpha) \land (a,c) \in (g \ \beta)
                    \longrightarrow (\exists b'b''c'c''d.(b,b',b'',d) \in (\mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta) \land (c,c',c'',d) \in (\mathfrak{D} g \beta)
\alpha) ))
```

1.1.2 Result

The next definition formalizes the condition "an ARS with a reduction relation r belongs to the class DCR_n ", where n is a natural number.

```
definition DCR :: nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow bool where DCR \ n \ r \equiv (\exists \ g::(nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel). \ DCR-generating \ g \land r = \bigcup \ \{ \ r'. \ \exists \ \alpha'. \ \alpha' < n \land r' = g \ \alpha' \} )
```

end

1.2 Completeness of the DCR3 method for proving confluence of relations of the least uncountable cardinality

```
theory DCR3-Method

imports

HOL-Cardinals.Cardinals

Abstract-Rewriting.Abstract-Rewriting

Finite-DCR-Hierarchy

begin
```

1.2.1 Auxiliary definitions

```
abbreviation \omega-ord where \omega-ord \equiv natLeq
definition sc\text{-}ord::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow bool
  where sc-ord \alpha \alpha' \equiv (\alpha < o \alpha' \land (\forall \beta ::' U rel. \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \alpha' \leq o \beta))
definition lm\text{-}ord::'U \ rel \Rightarrow bool
  where lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \equiv Well\text{-}order \ \alpha \land \neg \ (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha)
definition nord :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel where nord \alpha = (SOME \ \alpha' :: 'U rel. \ \alpha' = o \ \alpha)
definition \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set } \mathbf{where } \mathcal{O} \equiv nord ` \{\alpha. \text{ Well-order } \alpha\}
definition oord::'U \ rel \ rel \ where oord \equiv (Restr \ ordLeg \ \mathcal{O})
definition CCR :: 'U rel \Rightarrow bool
where
  CCR \ r = (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ \forall \ b \in Field \ r. \ \exists \ c \in Field \ r. \ (a,c) \in r^* \land (b,c) \in r^*)
definition Conelike :: 'U rel \Rightarrow bool
where
  Conelike r = (r = \{\} \lor (\exists m \in Field \ r. \ \forall a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r^*\})
definition dncl :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set
where
  dncl \ r \ A = ((r^*)^- - 1) "A
```

```
definition Inv :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set set
where
  Inv \ r = \{ A :: 'U \ set \ . \ r "A \subseteq A \}
definition SF :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set set
where
  SF \ r = \{ A :: 'U \ set. \ Field \ (Restr \ r \ A) = A \}
definition SCF::'U rel \Rightarrow ('U set) set where
  SCF \ r \equiv \{ B:: (U \ set) \ . \ B \subseteq Field \ r \land (\forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in B. \ (a,b) \in r^* \} \}
definition cfseq :: 'U rel \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'U) \Rightarrow bool
where
  cfseq r \times i \equiv ((\forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists i. (a, xi \ i) \in r \hat{\ } *) \land (\forall i. (xi \ i, xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r))
definition rpth :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'U) set
  rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \equiv \{f::(nat \Rightarrow 'U). \ f \ 0 = a \land f \ n = b \land (\forall i < n. \ (f \ i, f(Suc \ i)) \in r)\}
definition \mathcal{F} :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \ set \ set
where
  \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \equiv \{ F::'U \ set. \ \exists \ n::nat. \ \exists \ f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n. \ F = f'\{i. \ i \leq n \} \} 
definition \mathfrak{f}:: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \ set
where
  f \ r \ a \ b \equiv (if \ (\mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \neq \{\}) \ then \ (SOME \ F. \ F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b) \ else \ \{\})
definition dnEsc :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U set set
where
  dnEsc\ r\ A\ a \equiv \{F.\ \exists\ b.\ ((b \notin dncl\ r\ A) \land (F \in \mathcal{F}\ r\ a\ b) \land (F \cap A = \{\}))\}
definition dnesc :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U set
where
  dnesc\ r\ A\ a = (if\ (dnEsc\ r\ A\ a \neq \{\})\ then\ (SOME\ F.\ F \in dnEsc\ r\ A\ a)\ else\ \{\}
a \}
definition escl :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set
where
  escl \ r \ A \ B = \bigcup ((dnesc \ r \ A) \ `B)
definition clterm where clterm s' r \equiv (Conelike \ s' \longrightarrow Conelike \ r)
definition spthlen::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow nat
where
  spthlen r a b \equiv (LEAST n::nat. (a,b) \in r^n)
definition spth :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow (nat \Rightarrow 'U) set
where
```

```
spth \ r \ a \ b = rpth \ r \ a \ b \ (spthlen \ r \ a \ b)
definition \mathfrak{U}::'U\ rel\Rightarrow ('U\ rel)\ set\ \mathbf{where}
     \mathfrak{U} \ r \equiv \{ s:: (U \ rel) \ . \ CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ \exists \ b \in Field \ s. \ (a,b) \in Field \ s.
r^*
definition RCC\text{-}rel :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow bool \text{ where}
     RCC-rel r \alpha \equiv (\mathfrak{U} \ r = \{\} \land \alpha = \{\}) \lor (\exists \ s \in \mathfrak{U} \ r. \ |s| = o \ \alpha \land (\ \forall \ s' \in \mathfrak{U} \ r. \ |s|)
\leq o |s'|)
definition RCC :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel (\parallel - \parallel)
     where ||r|| \equiv (SOME \ \alpha. \ RCC\text{-rel} \ r \ \alpha)
definition Den:'U rel \Rightarrow ('U set) set where
     Den r \equiv \{ B:: (U \text{ set}) : B \subseteq Field \ r \land (\forall a \in Field \ r. \exists b \in B. (a,b) \in r = ) \}
definition Span::'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel) \ set where
     Span \ r \equiv \{ \ s. \ s \subseteq r \land Field \ s = Field \ r \} 
definition scf\text{-}rel :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow bool where
     scf-rel r \alpha \equiv (\exists B \in SCF \ r. \ |B| = o \alpha \land (\forall B' \in SCF \ r. \ |B| \le o \ |B'|))
definition scf :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel
     where scf r \equiv (SOME \ \alpha. \ scf-rel \ r \ \alpha)
definition w-dncl :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set
     w-dncl\ r\ A = \{\ a \in dncl\ r\ A.\ \forall\ b.\ \forall\ F \in \mathcal{F}\ r\ a\ b.\ (\ b \notin dncl\ r\ A \longrightarrow F \cap A \neq a\}
{})}
definition \mathfrak{L} :: ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set
    \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \equiv \bigcup \{A. \exists \alpha'. \alpha' < o \alpha \land A = f \alpha'\}
definition Dbk :: ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set (\nabla - -)
    \nabla f \alpha \equiv f \alpha - (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha)
definition Q :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set
     Q \ r f \ \alpha \equiv (f \ \alpha - (dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha)))
definition W :: 'U rel \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set
where
    W \ r f \ \alpha \equiv (f \ \alpha - (w - dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha)))
definition \mathcal{N}1 :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
    \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha\theta \equiv \{ f \ . \ \forall \alpha \ \alpha' . \ ( \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha\theta \land \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \ ) \longrightarrow (f \ \alpha') \subseteq (f \ \alpha) \}
```

```
definition \mathcal{N}2:: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
     \mathcal{N}2 \ r \ \alpha \theta \equiv \{ f \ . \ \forall \alpha. \ (\alpha \leq o \ \alpha \theta \land \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha)) \longrightarrow (\nabla f \ \alpha) = \{ e \} \}
{}}
definition \mathcal{N}3:: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
      \mathcal{N}3 \ r \ \alpha\theta \equiv \{ f \ . \ \forall \alpha. \ ( \alpha \leq o \ \alpha\theta \land (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) ) \longrightarrow
                   (\omega - ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha) \longrightarrow ((escl \mid r \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha) \mid (f \mid \alpha) \subseteq (f \mid \alpha)) \land (elterm \mid (Restr \mid r \mid f \mid \alpha))
\alpha)) r)) ) \}
definition \mathcal{N}_4:: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) set
     \mathcal{N}4\ r\ \alpha\theta \equiv \{\ f\ .\ \forall\ \alpha.\ (\ \alpha\leq o\ \alpha\theta\ \land\ (\alpha=\{\}\ \lor\ isSuccOrd\ \alpha)\ )\longrightarrow
                          (\forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha). (r''\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha)) \lor (r''\{a\} \cap (\mathcal{W} \ r f \alpha) \neq \{\})
) }
definition \mathcal{N}5 :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
     \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha\theta \equiv \{ f \ . \ \forall \alpha. \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha\theta \longrightarrow (f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \}
definition \mathcal{N}6 :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
     \mathcal{N}6 \ r \ \alpha\theta \equiv \{ f. \ \forall \alpha. \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha\theta \longrightarrow CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \}
definition \mathcal{N}? :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) set
where
     \mathcal{N}7 \ r \ \alpha\theta \equiv \{ f. \ \forall \alpha. \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha\theta \longrightarrow (\alpha < o \ \omega \text{-}ord \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha| < o \ \omega \text{-}ord ) \land (\omega \text{-}ord) \}
\leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha| \leq o \ \alpha)
definition \mathcal{N}8 :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
      \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \alpha \theta \equiv \{ f. \ \forall \alpha. \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha \theta \land (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. \ Ps = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \land (\exists P. 
\{P\}) \vee (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \leq o |f \alpha|)) \longrightarrow
                                                                                     (\forall P \in Ps. ((f \alpha) \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r (f \alpha))) \}
definition \mathcal{N}9 :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
      \mathcal{N}9 \ r \ \alpha\theta \equiv \{ f \ . \ \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha\theta \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (f \ \alpha\theta) \}
definition \mathcal{N}10 :: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
      \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha 0 \equiv \{ f \ . \ \forall \alpha. \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha 0 \longrightarrow ((\exists \ y::'U. \ \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha = \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq a) \}
dncl\ r\ (f\ \alpha)))\ \}
definition \mathcal{N}11:: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow ('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set) \ set
where
     \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha 0 \equiv \{ f \ . \ \forall \alpha. \ ( \alpha \leq o \ \alpha 0 \ \land \ isSuccOrd \ \alpha) \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha = \{ \} \longrightarrow (Field) \}
```

```
r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \ \}
definition \mathcal{N}12:: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) set
where
   \mathcal{N}12 \ r \ \alpha 0 \equiv \{ f \ \forall \alpha. \ \alpha \leq o \ \alpha 0 \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha | \} 
definition \mathcal{N} :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set set \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) set
where
   \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps \equiv \{ f \in (\mathcal{N}1 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}2 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}3 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | Field \ r \ | ) \cap (\mathcal{N}4 \ r \ | )
r \mid Field \mid r \mid
                 \cap (\mathcal{N}5 \ r \ | Field \ r |) \cap (\mathcal{N}6 \ r \ | Field \ r |) \cap (\mathcal{N}7 \ r \ | Field \ r |) \cap (\mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps
|Field r|)
              \cap (\mathcal{N}9 \ r \ | Field \ r | \cap \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ | Field \ r | \cap \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ | Field \ r | \cap \mathcal{N}12 \ r \ | Field \ r |).
                (\forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta) \}
definition \mathcal{T} :: ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set) \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) set
where
   \mathcal{T} F \equiv \{ f :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set .
                        f\{\} = \{\}
                 \land (\forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ (sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = F \ \alpha \theta \ (f \ \alpha \theta)))
                 \land (\forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \ \exists \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \}))
                 \land (\forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta) \}
definition \mathcal{E}p where \mathcal{E}p r Ps A A' \equiv
                                (((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|))
                                             \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
definition \mathcal{E} :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set set \Rightarrow 'U set set
where
   \mathcal{E} \ r \ a \ A \ Ps \equiv \{ A'.
                        (a \in Field \ r \longrightarrow a \in A') \land A \subseteq A'
                     \land (|A| < o \ \omega - ord \longrightarrow |A'| < o \ \omega - ord) \land (\omega - ord \le o \ |A| \longrightarrow |A'| \le o \ |A|)
                      \land (A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow (
                                    A' \in SF r
                                \wedge CCR (Restr r A')
                              \land (\forall a \in A. (r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl\ r\ A) \lor (r``\{a\} \cap (A'-w\text{-}dncl\ r\ A) \neq \{\})
)
                                \land ((\exists y. A' - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq (dncl \ r \ A')))
                                \wedge \mathcal{E}p \ r \ Ps \ A \ A'
                                \land ( \omega-ord \leq o |A| \longrightarrow escl \ r \ A \ A' \subseteq A' \land clterm (Restr \ r \ A') \ r)) ) }
definition wbase::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow ('U set) set where
    wbase r A \equiv \{ B:: 'U \text{ set. } A \subseteq w \text{-} dncl \ r \ B \}
definition wrank-rel :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow bool where
    wrank-rel r A \alpha \equiv (\exists B \in wbase \ r A. \ |B| = o \alpha \land (\forall B' \in wbase \ r A. \ |B| \le o
```

definition $wrank :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U rel$

```
where wrank r A \equiv (SOME \ \alpha. \ wrank-rel \ r \ A \ \alpha)
definition Mwn :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set
where
  Mwn \ r \ \alpha = \{ \ a \in Field \ r. \ \alpha < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ ``\{a\}) \ \}
definition Mwnm :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set
where
  Mwnm \ r = \{ \ a \in Field \ r. \ ||r|| \le o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ ``\{a\}) \ \}
definition wesc-rel :: 'U rel \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow bool
  wesc-rel r f \alpha \ a \ b \equiv (b \in W \ r f \alpha \wedge (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ r f \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } *
    \land (\forall \beta. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \land \beta < o \ | Field \ r | \land (\beta = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \beta) \longrightarrow (r``\{b\} \cap (W))
r f \beta \neq \{\}))
definition wesc :: 'U rel \Rightarrow ('U rel \Rightarrow 'U set) \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U
where
  wesc r f \alpha a \equiv (SOME \ b. \ wesc-rel \ r f \alpha \ a \ b)
definition cardLeN1::'a \ set \Rightarrow bool
where
  cardLeN1 \ A \equiv (\forall \ B \subseteq A.
                      (\ \forall\ C\subseteq B\ .\ ((\exists\ D\ f.\ D\subset C\land C\subseteq f`D\ )\longrightarrow (\ \exists\ f.\ B\subseteq f`C\ ))\ )
                      \vee ( \exists g . A \subseteq g'B ) )
1.2.2
            Auxiliary lemmas
lemma lem-Ldo-ldogen-ord:
assumes \forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ \alpha \leq \beta \longrightarrow (a, b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in g \ \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b, \ b', \ b'', \ d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, \ c', \ c'', \ d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
shows DCR-generating g
  \mathbf{using} \ assms \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ DCR\text{-}generating\text{-}def \ \mathbf{by} \ (meson \ linear)
lemma lem-rtr-field: (x,y) \in r^* \Longrightarrow (x=y) \lor (x \in Field \ r \land y \in Field \ r)
  by (metis Field-def Not-Domain-rtrancl Range.RangeI UnCI rtranclE)
lemma lem-fin-fl-rel: finite (Field r) = finite r
  using finite-Field finite-subset trancl-subset-Field2 by fastforce
lemma lem-Relprop-fld-sat:
fixes r s::'U rel
assumes a1: s \subseteq r and a2: s' = Restr \ r \ (Field \ s)
shows s \subseteq s' \land Field \ s' = Field \ s
proof -
  have s \subseteq (Field \ s) \times (Field \ s) unfolding Field-def by force
  then have s \subseteq s' using a1 a2 by blast
  moreover then have Field \ s \subseteq Field \ s' unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
  moreover have Field s' \subseteq Field \ s using a2 unfolding Field-def by blast
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Relprop-sat-un:
fixes r::'U rel and S::'U set set and A'::'U set
assumes a1: \forall A \in S. Field (Restr r A) = A and a2: A' = \bigcup S
shows Field (Restr r A') = A'
proof
  show Field (Restr r A') \subseteq A' unfolding Field-def by blast
\mathbf{next}
  show A' \subseteq Field (Restr r A')
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume x \in A'
    then obtain A where A \in S \land x \in A using a2 by blast
    then have x \in Field (Restr \ r \ A) \land A \subseteq A'  using a1 a2 by blast
     moreover then have Field (Restr r A) \subseteq Field (Restr r A') unfolding
Field-def by blast
    ultimately show x \in Field (Restr \ r \ A') by blast
 qed
qed
lemma lem-nord-r: Well-order \alpha \Longrightarrow nord \alpha = o \alpha unfolding nord-def by (meson
ordIso-reflexive someI-ex)
lemma lem-nord-l: Well-order \alpha \Longrightarrow \alpha = o \text{ nord } \alpha \text{ unfolding } nord\text{-}def \text{ by } (meson
ordIso-reflexive ordIso-symmetric someI-ex)
lemma lem-nord-eq: \alpha = 0 \beta \implies nord \alpha = nord \beta unfolding nord-def using
ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive by metis
lemma lem-nord-req: Well-order \alpha \Longrightarrow Well-order \beta \Longrightarrow nord \ \alpha = nord \ \beta \Longrightarrow \alpha
= o \beta
 using lem-nord-l lem-nord-r ordIso-transitive by metis
lemma lem-Onord: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \Longrightarrow \alpha = nord \alpha unfolding \mathcal{O}-def using lem-nord-r
lem-nord-eq by blast
lemma lem-Oeq: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \Longrightarrow \beta \in \mathcal{O} \Longrightarrow \alpha = 0 \beta \Longrightarrow \alpha = \beta using lem-Onord
lem-nord-eq by metis
lemma lem-Owo: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \Longrightarrow Well-order \alpha unfolding \mathcal{O}-def using lem-nord-r
ordIso-Well-order-simp by blast
lemma lem-fld-oord: Field\ oord = \mathcal{O}\ using\ lem-Owo ordLeq-reflexive unfolding
oord-def Field-def by blast
lemma lem-nord-less: \alpha < o \beta \Longrightarrow nord \beta \neq nord \alpha \land (nord \alpha, nord \beta) \in oord
```

proof -

```
assume b1: \alpha < o\beta
  then have nord \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land nord \beta \in \mathcal{O} \land nord \alpha = o \alpha \land nord \beta = o \beta
    using lem-nord-r ordLess-Well-order-simp unfolding O-def by blast
  moreover have \forall r \land a \land b . (a,b) \in Restr \ r \land A = (a \in A \land b \in A \land (a,b) \in r)
    unfolding Field-def by force
 ultimately show nord \beta \neq nord \ \alpha \land (nord \ \alpha, nord \ \beta) \in oord \ using \ b1 unfold-
ing oord-def
    by (metis not-ordLess-ordIso ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso or-
dLeq-transitive)
qed
lemma lem-nord-ls: \alpha < o \beta \implies nord \alpha < o nord \beta
proof -
  assume a1: \alpha < o \beta
  then have Well-order \alpha \wedge Well-order \beta unfolding ordLess-def by blast
  then have nord \alpha = o \alpha and nord \beta = o \beta using lem-nord-r by blast+
  then show nord \alpha < 0 nord \beta using a1
    using ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordIso-ordLess-trans ordLess-ordLeq-trans by blast
lemma lem-nord-le: \alpha \leq o \beta \Longrightarrow nord \alpha \leq o nord \beta
proof -
  assume a1: \alpha \leq o \beta
  then have Well-order \alpha \wedge Well-order \beta unfolding ordLeq-def by blast
 then have nord \alpha = o \alpha and nord \beta = o \beta using lem-nord-r by blast+
 then show nord \alpha \leq o \ nord \ \beta \ using \ a1 by (meson ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
lemma lem-nordO-ls-l: \alpha < o \beta \Longrightarrow nord \ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}  using \mathcal{O}-def ordLess-Well-order-simp
by blast
lemma lem-nordO-ls-r: \alpha < o \beta \Longrightarrow nord \beta \in \mathcal{O} using \mathcal{O}-def ordLess-Well-order-simp
by blast
lemma lem-nordO-le-l: \alpha \leq o \beta \Longrightarrow nord \ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}  using \mathcal{O}-def ordLeq-Well-order-simp
lemma lem-nordO-le-r: \alpha \leq o \beta \Longrightarrow nord \beta \in \mathcal{O}  using \mathcal{O}-def ordLeq-Well-order-simp
by blast
lemma lem-nord-ls-r: \alpha < o \beta \implies \alpha < o \text{ nord } \beta
 using lem-nord-ls[of \alpha \beta] lem-nord-r[of \beta] lem-nord-l by (metis ordLess-ordIso-trans
ordLess-Well-order-simp)
lemma lem-nord-ls-l: \alpha < o \beta \implies nord \alpha < o \beta
  using lem-nord-ls[of \alpha \beta] lem-nord-r[of \beta] by (metis ordLess-ordIso-trans ord-
Less-Well-order-simp)
lemma lem-nord-le-r: \alpha \leq o \beta \implies \alpha \leq o \ nord \beta
```

```
using lem-nord-le[of \alpha \beta] lem-nord-r[of \beta] lem-nord-l by (metis ordLeg-ordIso-trans
ordLeq-Well-order-simp)
lemma lem-nord-le-l: \alpha \leq o \beta \implies nord \alpha \leq o \beta
  using lem-nord-le[of \alpha \beta] lem-nord-r[of \beta] by (metis ordLeq-ordIso-trans or-
dLeq-Well-order-simp)
lemma lem-oord-wo: Well-order oord
proof -
  let ?olegO = Restr \ ordLeg \ \mathcal{O}
  have Well-order ?oleqO
  proof -
   have c1: Field ordLeq = \{\alpha::'U \text{ rel. Well-order } \alpha\}
     using ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive unfolding Field-def by blast
   then have Refl ordLeq using ordLeq-refl-on by metis
   then have Preorder ordLeq using ordLeq-trans unfolding preorder-on-def
     using subset-antisym c1 by auto
   then have Preorder ?oleqO using Preorder-Restr by blast
   moreover have \forall \alpha \beta :: 'U \ rel. \ (\alpha, \beta) \in ?oleqO \longrightarrow (\beta, \alpha) \in ?oleqO \longrightarrow \alpha = \beta
   proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha \beta :: 'U rel
     assume d1: (\alpha, \beta) \in ?oleqO and d2: (\beta, \alpha) \in ?oleqO
     then have \alpha \leq o \beta \wedge \beta \leq o \alpha by blast
     then have \alpha = o \beta using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
     moreover have \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \beta \in \mathcal{O} using d1 by blast
     ultimately show \alpha = \beta using lem-Oeq by blast
    moreover have \forall \alpha \in Field \ (?olegO::'U \ rel \ rel). \ \forall \beta \in Field \ ?olegO. \ \alpha \neq \beta
                                          (\alpha, \beta) \in ?oleqO \lor (\beta, \alpha) \in ?oleqO
   proof (intro ballI impI)
     fix \alpha \beta :: 'U rel
     assume d1: \alpha \in Field ?olegO and d2: \beta \in Field ?olegO and \alpha \neq \beta
     then have Well-order \alpha \wedge Well-order \beta using c1 unfolding Field-def
          by (metis (no-types, lifting) Field-Un Field-def Un-def mem-Collect-eq
sup-inf-absorb)
      then have \alpha \leq o \beta \vee \beta \leq o \alpha using ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordLess-or-ordLeq
     moreover have \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \beta \in \mathcal{O} using d1 d2 unfolding Field-def by blast
     ultimately show (\alpha, \beta) \in ?oleqO \lor (\beta, \alpha) \in ?oleqO by blast
   qed
   ultimately have Linear-order ?oleqO unfolding linear-order-on-def
     partial-order-on-def total-on-def antisym-def preorder-on-def by blast
   moreover have wf ((?oleqO::'U rel rel) - Id)
   proof -
     have Restr (ordLess::'U rel rel) \mathcal{O} \subseteq ?oleqO - Id
        using not-ordLeq-ordLess ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
     moreover have (?oleqO::'U \ rel \ rel) - Id \subseteq Restr \ ordLess \ \mathcal{O}
        using lem-Oeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
```

```
ultimately have (?oleqO::'U \ rel \ rel) - Id = Restr \ ordLess \ \mathcal{O} by blast
     moreover have wf (Restr ordLess O)
      using wf-ordLess Restr-subset wf-subset of ordLess Restr ordLess \mathcal{O} by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis by simp
   ged
   ultimately show ?thesis unfolding well-order-on-def by blast
  moreover have Well-order |(UNIV - \mathcal{O})::'U \text{ rel set}| using card-of-Well-order
 moreover have Field (Restr ordLeq \mathcal{O}) \cap Field (|(UNIV - \mathcal{O})::'U rel set|) =
{}
   have Field (Restr ordLeq \mathcal{O}) \subseteq \mathcal{O} unfolding Field-def by blast
   moreover have Field (|(UNIV - \mathcal{O})::'U \text{ rel set}|) \subseteq UNIV - \mathcal{O} by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding oord-def using Osum-Well-order by blast
qed
lemma lem-lmord-inf:
fixes \alpha::'U \ rel
assumes lm-ord \alpha
shows \neg finite (Field \alpha)
proof -
  have finite (Field \alpha) \longrightarrow False
  proof
   assume c1: finite (Field \alpha)
   have c2: Well-order \alpha using assms unfolding lm-ord-def by blast
   have \alpha \neq \{\} using assms lm-ord-def by blast
   then have Field \alpha \neq \{\} unfolding Field-def by force
   then have wo-rel.isMaxim \alpha (Field \alpha) (wo-rel.maxim \alpha (Field \alpha))
      using c1 c2 wo-rel.maxim-isMaxim[of \alpha Field \alpha] unfolding wo-rel-def by
blast
   then have \exists j \in Field \ \alpha. \ \forall i \in Field \ \alpha. \ (i, j) \in \alpha
     using c2 wo-rel.isMaxim-def of \alpha Field \alpha unfolding wo-rel-def by blast
    then have isSuccOrd \alpha using c2 wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def unfolding wo-rel-def
by blast
   then show False using assms unfolding lm-ord-def by blast
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-sucord-ex:
fixes \alpha \beta :: 'U rel
assumes \alpha < o \beta
shows \exists \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \ \alpha'
proof -
 obtain S::'U \text{ rel set } where b1: S = \{ \gamma::'U \text{ rel. } \alpha < o \gamma \} by blast
 then have S \neq \{\} \land (\forall \alpha \in S. Well-order \alpha) using assms ordLess-Well-order-simp
```

```
by blast
  then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' \in S \land (\forall \alpha \in S. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha)
   using BNF-Wellorder-Constructions.exists-minim-Well-order[of S] by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding b1 sc-ord-def by blast
ged
lemma lem-osucc-eq: isSuccOrd \alpha \Longrightarrow \alpha = 0 \beta \Longrightarrow isSuccOrd \beta
proof -
  assume a1: isSuccOrd \alpha and a2: \alpha = 0 \beta
  moreover then have a3: wo-rel \alpha and a4: wo-rel \beta unfolding ordIso-def
wo\text{-}rel\text{-}def by blast+
  obtain j where a5: j \in Field \ \alpha \ and \ a6: \forall i \in Field \ \alpha. \ (i, j) \in \alpha \ using \ a1 \ a3
wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def by blast
  obtain f where a7: iso \alpha \beta f using a2 unfolding ordIso\text{-}def by blast
 have (f j) \in Field \beta using a5 a7 unfolding iso-def bij-betw-def by blast
  moreover have \forall i' \in Field \beta. (i', fj) \in \beta
  proof
   fix i'
   assume b1: i' \in Field \beta
   then obtain i where b2: i \in Field \ \alpha \land i' = f \ i \ using \ a7 \ unfolding \ iso-def
bij-betw-def by blast
   then have (i, j) \in \alpha using a6 by blast
     then have (f i, f j) \in \beta using a2 a7 by (meson iso-oproj oproj-in or-
dIso-Well-order-simp)
   then show (i', fj) \in \beta using b2 by blast
  qed
  ultimately have \exists j \in Field \beta. \forall i \in Field \beta. (i, j) \in \beta by blast
  then show isSuccOrd \beta using a4 wo-rel. isSuccOrd-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-ord-subemp: (\alpha::'a \ rel) \leq o \ (\{\}::'b \ rel) \Longrightarrow \alpha = \{\}
proof -
  assume \alpha \leq o(\{\}::'b\ rel)
  then obtain f where embed \alpha ({}::'b rel) f unfolding ordLeq-def by blast
  then show \alpha = \{\} unfolding embed-def bij-betw-def Field-def under-def by
force
qed
lemma lem-ordint-sucord:
fixes \alpha\theta::'a rel and \alpha::'b rel
assumes \alpha \theta < o \alpha \land (\forall \gamma :: 'b \ rel. \ \alpha \theta < o \gamma \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \gamma)
shows isSuccOrd \alpha
proof -
  have c1: Well-order \alpha using assms unfolding ordLess-def by blast
  obtain f where e3: Well-order \alpha 0 \wedge Well-order \alpha \wedge embedS \alpha 0 \alpha f using
assms unfolding ordLess-def by blast
 moreover have e4: f 'Field \alpha 0 \subseteq Field \alpha using e3 embed-in-Field[of \alpha 0 \alpha f]
unfolding embedS-def by blast
  have f 'Field \alpha 0 \neq Field \alpha using e3 embed-inj-on unfolding bij-betw-def
```

```
embedS-def by blast
  then obtain j\theta where e5: j\theta \in Field \ \alpha \land j\theta \notin f 'Field \alpha\theta using e4 by blast
  moreover have \forall i \in Field \ \alpha. \ (i, j\theta) \in \alpha
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} i
    assume i \in Field \alpha
    moreover then have (i, i) \in \alpha using e3 unfolding well-order-on-def
      linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def by blast
    moreover have (j\theta, i) \in \alpha \longrightarrow (i, j\theta) \in \alpha
    proof
      assume g1:(j0, i) \in \alpha
      obtain \gamma where g2: \gamma = Restr \ \alpha \ (under \ \alpha \ j\theta) by blast
      then have g3: Well-order \gamma using e3 Well-order-Restr by blast
      have \alpha \theta < \sigma \gamma
      proof -
        have h1: \forall a \in Field \ \alpha \theta. \ f \ a \in under \ \alpha \ j\theta
        proof
          \mathbf{fix} \ a
          assume i1: a \in Field \ \alpha \theta
        then have i2: bij-betw f (under \alpha 0 a) (under \alpha (f a)) using e3 unfolding
embedS-def embed-def by blast
          have (j\theta, f a) \in \alpha \longrightarrow False
          proof
            assume (j\theta, f a) \in \alpha
            then obtain b where j\theta = f b \wedge b \in under \ \alpha \theta \ a \ using \ i2 \ unfolding
under-def bij-betw-def by (simp, blast)
            moreover then have b \in Field \ \alpha \theta unfolding under-def Field-def by
blast
            ultimately show False using e5 by blast
          qed
         moreover have i3: j0 \in Field \ \alpha \text{ using } g1 \text{ unfolding } Field\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
             moreover have f \ a \in Field \ \alpha using i1 e3 embed-Field unfolding
embedS-def by blast
          ultimately have i4: (f a, j0) \in \alpha
            using e3 unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def total-on-def
              partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def by metis
          then show f \ a \in under \ \alpha \ j\theta unfolding under\text{-}def by blast
        qed
        then have compat \alpha\theta \gamma f
         using e3 g2 embed-compat unfolding Field-def embedS-def compat-def by
blast
        moreover have ofilter \gamma (f 'Field \alpha\theta)
        proof -
        have of liter \alpha (under \alpha j0) using e3 wo-rel.under-of liter[of \alpha] unfolding
wo-rel-def by blast
          moreover have ofilter \alpha (f 'Field \alpha\theta)
          using e3 embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of \alpha \theta \alpha f] unfolding embedS-def
by blast
          moreover have f 'Field \alpha 0 \subseteq under \alpha j0 using h1 by blast
```

```
ultimately show ofilter \gamma (f 'Field \alpha\theta)
           using g2 e3 ofilter-Restr-subset[of \alpha f 'Field \alpha0 under \alpha j0] by blast
       moreover have inj-on f (Field \alpha\theta)
        using e3 embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of \alpha \theta \alpha f] unfolding embedS-def
by blast
     ultimately have embed \alpha\theta \ \gamma f using g3\ e3\ embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of
\alpha\theta \gamma f] by blast
       moreover have bij-betw f (Field \alpha\theta) (Field \gamma) \longrightarrow False
       proof
         assume i1: bij-betw f (Field \alpha \theta) (Field \gamma)
         have (j\theta, j\theta) \in \alpha using e3 e5 unfolding well-order-on-def
             linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def by
blast
        then have j\theta \in Field \gamma using g\theta unfolding under-def Field-def by blast
         then show False using i1 e5 unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
       ultimately have embedS \alpha\theta \gamma f unfolding embedS-def by blast
       then show ?thesis using g3 e3 unfolding ordLess-def by blast
       then have \alpha = o \gamma using assms g2 e3 under-Restr-ordLeq[of \alpha j0] or-
dIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
     then obtain f1 where iso \alpha \gamma f1 unfolding ordIso-def by blast
      then have g4: embed \alpha \gamma f1 \wedge bij-betw f1 (Field \alpha) (Field \gamma) unfolding
iso-def by blast
     then have f1 'under \alpha i = under \gamma (f1 i) using g1 unfolding bij-betw-def
embed-def Field-def by blast
     then have (f1 \ i, j0) \in \alpha using g1 unfolding g2 under-def by blast
     moreover have f1 i = i
     proof -
       have Restr \alpha (Field \alpha) = \alpha unfolding Field-def by force
       moreover have ofilter \alpha (under \alpha j0) using e3 wo-rel.under-ofilter[of \alpha]
unfolding wo-rel-def by blast
      moreover have ofilter \alpha (Field \alpha) unfolding ofilter-def under-def Field-def
       moreover have under \alpha i\theta \subseteq Field \alpha unfolding under-def Field-def by
blast
       ultimately have embed \gamma \alpha id using g2 e3 ofilter-subset-embed by metis
       then have embed \alpha \alpha (id \circ f1) using g4 e3 comp-embed by blast
       then have embed \alpha \alpha f1 by simp
         moreover have embed \alpha \alpha id unfolding embed-def id-def bij-betw-def
inj-on-def by blast
       ultimately have \forall k \in Field \ \alpha. \ f1 \ k = k \ using \ e3 \ embed-unique[of \ \alpha \ \alpha]
f1 id] unfolding id-def by blast
       moreover have i \in Field \ \alpha  using g1 unfolding Field-def by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis by blast
     ultimately show (i, j\theta) \in \alpha by metis
   qed
```

```
ultimately show (i, j\theta) \in \alpha
      using e3 e5 unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def total-on-def by
metis
  qed
  ultimately show is SuccOrd \alpha using c1 wo-rel. is SuccOrd-def [of \alpha] unfolding
wo-rel-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-sucord-ordint:
fixes \alpha::'U rel
assumes Well-order \alpha \wedge isSuccOrd \alpha
shows \exists \alpha 0 ::' U \text{ rel. } \alpha 0 < o \alpha \land (\forall \gamma ::' U \text{ rel. } \alpha 0 < o \gamma \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \gamma)
proof -
  obtain j where b1: j \in Field \ \alpha \land (\forall i \in Field \ \alpha. \ (i, j) \in \alpha)
    using assms wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def unfolding wo-rel-def by blast
  moreover obtain \alpha \theta where b2: \alpha \theta = Restr \alpha (UNIV - \{i\}) by blast
  moreover have \forall i. (j, i) \in \alpha \longrightarrow i = j \text{ using } assms \ b1 \text{ unfolding } Field-def
well-order-on-def
    linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def by blast
  ultimately have b3: embedS \alpha \theta \alpha id
   unfolding Field-def embedS-def embed-def id-def bij-betw-def under-def inj-on-def
    apply simp
    by blast
  moreover have b4: Well-order \alpha \theta using assms b2 Well-order-Restr by blast
  ultimately have \alpha \theta < o \alpha using assms unfolding ordLess-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \gamma :: 'U \text{ rel. } \alpha \theta < \sigma \gamma \longrightarrow \alpha \leq \sigma \gamma
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \gamma :: 'U \ rel
    assume c1: \alpha \theta < o \gamma
    then have c2: Well-order \gamma unfolding ordLess-def by blast
    obtain f where embedS \alpha\theta \gamma f using c1 unfolding ordLess-def by blast
    then have c3: embed \alpha \theta \ \gamma \ f \land \neg \ bij\text{-betw} \ f \ (Field \ \alpha \theta) \ (Field \ \gamma) unfolding
embedS-def by blast
    have \gamma < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
    proof
      assume d1: \gamma < o \alpha
      obtain g where embedS \gamma \alpha g using d1 unfolding ordLess-def by blast
      then have d3: embed \gamma \alpha q \wedge \neg bij-betw q (Field \gamma) (Field \alpha) unfolding
embedS-def by blast
      have d4: j \in g 'Field \gamma \longrightarrow False
      proof
        assume j \in g 'Field \gamma
        then obtain a where a \in Field \ \gamma \land g \ a = j \ by \ blast
      then have bij-betw g (under \gamma a) (under \alpha j) using d3 unfolding embed-def
by blast
          moreover have under \alpha j = Field \alpha using b1 unfolding under-def
Field-def by blast
        ultimately have bij-betw g (under \gamma a) (Field \alpha) by simp
```

```
then have g 'Field \gamma \neq Field \alpha \wedge g 'Field \gamma \subseteq Field \alpha \wedge g 'under \gamma a
= Field \alpha
            using c2 d3 embed-inj-on[of \gamma \alpha g] embed-Field[of \gamma \alpha g] unfolding
bij-betw-def by blast
         moreover have under \gamma a \subseteq Field \gamma unfolding under-def Field-def by
blast
        ultimately show False by blast
      qed
      have Field \gamma \subseteq f 'Field \alpha \theta
      proof
        \mathbf{fix} \ a
        assume e1: a \in Field \gamma
         then have bij-betw g (under \gamma a) (under \alpha (g a)) using d3 unfolding
embed-def by blast
        have g \ a \in Field \ \alpha - \{j\} using e1 c2 d3 d4 embed-Field by blast
          moreover then have (g \ a, \ g \ a) \in \alpha using assms unfolding Field-def
well-order-on-def
         linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def by blast
         ultimately have e2: g \ a \in Field \ \alpha \theta using b2 unfolding Field-def by
blast
         have embed \alpha\theta \alpha (g \circ f) using b4 c3 d3 comp-embed of \alpha\theta \gamma f \alpha g by
blast
        then have \forall x \in Field \ \alpha \theta. \ g \ (f \ x) = x \ using \ assms \ b3 \ b4 \ embed-unique[of
\alpha\theta \ \alpha \ g \circ f \ id
          unfolding embedS-def comp-def id-def by blast
        then have g(f(g a)) = g a using e2 by blast
        moreover have inj-on g (Field \gamma) using c2 d3 embed-inj-on[of \gamma \alpha g] by
blast
        moreover have f(g|a) \in Field \ \gamma \ using \ e2 \ b4 \ c3 \ embed-Field[of \ \alpha0 \ \gamma \ f]
by blast
        ultimately have f(g|a) = a using e1 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
        then show a \in f 'Field \alpha \theta using e2 by force
      then have bij-betw f (Field \alpha\theta) (Field \gamma)
         using b4 c3 embed-inj-on[of \alpha \theta \ \gamma \ f] embed-Field[of \alpha \theta \ \gamma \ f] unfolding
bij-betw-def by blast
      then show False using c3 by blast
    then show \alpha \leq o \gamma using assms c2 by simp
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-sclm-ordind:
fixes P::'U \ rel \Rightarrow bool
assumes a1: P\{\}
    and a2: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha::'U \text{ rel. } (sc\text{-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \wedge P \alpha \theta \longrightarrow P \alpha)
    and a3: \forall \alpha. ((lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow P \ \beta)) \longrightarrow P \ \alpha)
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow P \alpha
```

```
proof -
  obtain Q where b1: Q = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ Well-order \ \alpha \longrightarrow P \ \alpha) by blast
  have \forall \alpha. (\forall \beta. \beta < \alpha \longrightarrow Q \beta) \longrightarrow Q \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha::'U \ rel
    assume c1: \forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow Q \beta
    then have c2: \forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow P \beta unfolding b1 ordLess-def by blast
    proof (cases \exists \alpha \theta. sc-ord \alpha \theta \alpha)
       assume \exists \alpha \theta. sc-ord \alpha \theta \alpha
       then obtain \alpha\theta where sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha by blast
       then show Q \alpha using c2 b1 a2 unfolding sc\text{-}ord\text{-}def by blast
    next
       assume \neg (\exists \alpha \theta. sc-ord \alpha \theta \alpha)
       then have (\neg Well\text{-}order \ \alpha) \lor \alpha = \{\} \lor lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha
         using lem-sucord-ordint unfolding sc-ord-def lm-ord-def by blast
       moreover have lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow P \ \alpha \text{ using } c2 \ a3 \text{ by } blast
       ultimately show Q \alpha using a 1 b 1 by blast
    qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis using b1 wf-induct[of ordLess Q] wf-ordLess by blast
qed
lemma lem-ordseq-rec-sets:
fixes E::'U set and F::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set \Rightarrow 'U set
assumes \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow F \alpha = F \beta
shows \exists f::('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set).
             f\{\} = E
          \wedge \ (\forall \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ (sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = F \ \alpha \theta \ (f \ \alpha \theta)))
          \land (\forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \})
          \land (\forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta)
proof -
  obtain cmp::'U rel rel where b1: cmp = oord by blast
  then interpret cmp: wo-rel cmp unfolding wo-rel-def using lem-oord-wo by
  obtain L where b2: L = (\lambda q::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set. \lambda \alpha::'U rel. ) (q `(under S cmp
\alpha))) by blast
  then have b3: adm-woL cmp L unfolding cmp.adm-woL-def by blast
  obtain fo where b4: fo = (worecZSL cmp E F L) by blast
  obtain f where b5: f = (\lambda \alpha :: 'U rel. fo (nord <math>\alpha)) by blast
  have b6: fo (zero\ cmp) = E\ using\ b3\ b4\ cmp.worecZSL-zero\ by\ simp
  have b7: \forall \alpha. above S \ cmp \ \alpha \neq \{\} \longrightarrow fo \ (succ \ cmp \ \alpha) = F \ \alpha \ (fo \ \alpha)
    using b3 b4 cmp.worecZSL-succ by metis
  have b8: \forall \alpha. isLim cmp \alpha \land \alpha \neq zero\ cmp \longrightarrow fo\ \alpha = \bigcup (fo\ `(underS\ cmp))
\alpha))
    using b2 b3 b4 cmp.worecZSL-isLim by metis
  have b9: zero cmp = \{\} \land nord (\{\}::'U rel) = \{\}
  proof -
    obtain isz where c1: isz = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ \alpha \in Field \ cmp \land (\forall \beta \in Field \ cmp. \ (\alpha, \beta) \in Field \ cmp. \ (\alpha, \beta))
```

```
cmp)) by blast
        have c2: \{\} \in (\mathcal{O}::'U \ rel \ set)
        proof -
           have Well-order (\{\}::'U\ rel\}) by simp
         moreover then have nord (\{\}::'U rel\} = \{\} using lem-nord-r lem-ord-subemp
ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
            ultimately show ?thesis unfolding O-def by blast
        moreover have \forall \beta \in \mathcal{O}::('U \ rel \ set). (\{\}, \beta) \in oord
        proof
           fix \beta::'U rel
           assume d1: \beta \in \mathcal{O}
            then have Well-order \beta using lem-Owo by blast
            then have \{\} \le o \beta using ozero-ordLeq unfolding ozero-def by blast
            then show (\{\}, \beta) \in oord using d1 c2 unfolding oord-def by blast
        ultimately have isz {} using c1 b1 lem-fld-oord by blast
        moreover have \forall \alpha . isz \alpha \longrightarrow \alpha = \{\}
        proof (intro allI impI)
            fix \alpha
            assume d1: isz \alpha
            then have d2: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land (\forall \beta \in \mathcal{O}. (\alpha, \beta) \in oord) using c1 b1 lem-fld-oord
            have Well-order ({}::'U rel) by simp
            then have \alpha \leq o \ nord \ (\{\}::'U \ rel) \land nord \ (\{\}::'U \ rel) = o \ (\{\}::'U \ rel)
                using d2 lem-nord-r unfolding oord-def O-def by blast
            then have \alpha \leq o(\{\}::'U \ rel) using ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
            then show \alpha = \{\} using lem-ord-subemp by blast
        ultimately have (THE \ \alpha. \ isz \ \alpha) = \{\} by (simp \ only: \ the\text{-}equality)
     then have zero\ cmp = \{\}\ unfolding\ c1\ cmp.zero-def\ cmp.minim-def\ cmp.isMinim-def
        moreover have nord ({}::'U rel) = {} using c2 lem-Onord by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
    qed
   have b10: \forall \alpha \alpha' :: 'U \text{ rel. above } cmp \alpha \neq \{\} \land \alpha' = succ \ cmp \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \alpha' = succ \ cmp \ \alpha' = succ \ 
\alpha' \in \mathcal{O} \land \alpha < o \alpha' \land (\forall \beta :: 'U rel. \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \alpha' \leq o \beta))
    proof (intro allI impI)
        fix \alpha \alpha'
        assume above S \ cmp \ \alpha \neq \{\} \land \alpha' = succ \ cmp \ \alpha
        moreover then have AboveS cmp \{\alpha\} \subseteq Field\ cmp \land AboveS\ cmp\ \{\alpha\} \neq \{\}
            unfolding AboveS-def aboveS-def Field-def by blast
        ultimately have c4: isMinim cmp (AboveS cmp \{\alpha\}) \alpha'
            using cmp.minim-isMinim unfolding cmp.succ-def cmp.suc-def by blast
     have c5: (\alpha, \alpha') \in cmp \land \alpha \neq \alpha' using c4 lem-fld-oord unfolding cmp.isMinim-def
AboveS-def by blast
        then have \alpha \leq o \alpha' \land \neg (\alpha = o \alpha') using b1 lem-Oeq unfolding oord-def by
blast
        then have \alpha < o \alpha' using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
```

```
moreover have \forall \beta ::' U \text{ rel. } \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \alpha' \leq o \beta
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix \beta::'U rel
      assume d1: \alpha < o \beta
      have nord \beta \neq nord \ \alpha \land (nord \ \alpha, nord \ \beta) \in cmp \ using \ d1 \ b1 \ lem-nord-less
      moreover then have nord \beta \in Field\ cmp\ unfolding\ Field-def\ by\ blast
      ultimately have nord \beta \in AboveS \ cmp \ \{nord \ \alpha\} unfolding AboveS-def by
blast
      moreover have \alpha = nord \alpha using c5 b1 lem-Onord unfolding oord-def by
blast
      ultimately have (\alpha', nord \beta) \in cmp using c4 unfolding cmp.isMinim-def
by metis
      then have \alpha' \leq o \ nord \ \beta \ unfolding \ b1 \ oord-def by blast
      moreover have nord \beta = o \beta using d1 lem-nord-r ordLess-Well-order-simp
by blast
      ultimately show \alpha' \leq o \beta using ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
    moreover have \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \alpha' \in \mathcal{O} using c5 b1 unfolding oord-def by blast
    ultimately show \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \alpha' \in \mathcal{O} \land \alpha < o \alpha' \land (\forall \beta ::'U rel. \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \alpha')
\leq o \beta) by blast
  qed
  then have b11: \forall \alpha::'U \text{ rel. Well-order } \alpha \land \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha) \longrightarrow
isLim\ cmp\ \alpha
    using lem-ordint-sucord unfolding cmp.isLim-def cmp.isSucc-def by metis
  have f \{\} = E \text{ using } b5 \ b6 \ b9 \text{ by } simp
  moreover have (\forall \alpha \alpha' :: 'U \text{ rel. } (\alpha < o \alpha' \land (\forall \beta :: 'U \text{ rel. } \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \alpha' \leq o \beta)
\longrightarrow f \alpha' = F \alpha (f \alpha)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \alpha' :: 'U rel
    assume c1: \alpha < o \alpha' \land (\forall \beta::'U rel. \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \alpha' \leq o \beta)
    then have c2: (aboveS\ cmp\ (nord\ \alpha)) \neq \{\} using lem-nord-less unfolding b1
aboveS-def by fast
    obtain \gamma where c3: \gamma = succ \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha) by blast
    have c4: \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \land (nord \ \alpha) < o \ \gamma \land (\forall \beta::'U \ rel. (nord \ \alpha) < o \ \beta \longrightarrow \gamma \leq o \ \beta)
using c2 c3 b10 by blast
   moreover have nord \alpha = o \alpha using c1 lem-nord-r ordLess-Well-order-simp by
      ultimately have \alpha < o \gamma \land (\forall \beta :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow \gamma \leq o \beta) using or-
dIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
    then have \alpha' = o \gamma using c1 ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
    then have f \alpha' = f \gamma using b5 lem-nord-eq by metis
    moreover have \gamma = nord \gamma using c4 lem-Onord by blast
    moreover have fo \gamma = F \pmod{\alpha} (f \alpha) using c2 c3 b5 b7 by blast
     moreover have F (nord \alpha) (f \alpha) = F \alpha (f \alpha) using assms c1 lem-nord-r
ordLess-Well-order-simp by metis
    ultimately show f \alpha' = F \alpha (f \alpha) using b5 by metis
  qed
  moreover have \forall \alpha. (Well-order \alpha \land \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha)) \longrightarrow f \alpha =
```

```
\bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \}
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha :: 'U rel
    assume c1: Well-order \alpha \land \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha)
     then have Well-order (nord \alpha) using lem-nord-l unfolding ordIso-def by
blast
    moreover have nord \alpha \neq \{\} \land \neg isSuccOrd (nord \alpha)
     using c1 lem-ord-subemp ordIso-iff-ordLeq lem-osucc-eq[of nord \alpha \alpha] lem-nord-r[of
\alpha by metis
    ultimately have c2: fo (nord \ \alpha) = \bigcup (fo \ (underS \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha))) using b8
b9 b11 by metis
    obtain A where c3: A = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta :: 'U \ rel. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \}  by blast
    have \forall \ \gamma \in underS \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha). \ \exists \ \beta ::'U \ rel. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land fo \ \gamma = f \ \beta
    proof
      fix \gamma :: 'U \ rel
      assume \gamma \in underS \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha)
       then have \gamma \neq nord \ \alpha \land (\gamma, nord \ \alpha) \in oord \ unfolding \ b1 \ under S-def \ by
blast
     then have \gamma \leq o \ nord \ \alpha \land \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \land \neg (\gamma = o \ nord \ \alpha) using lem-Oeq unfolding
oord-def by blast
     then have \gamma < o \ nord \ \alpha \land \gamma = nord \ \gamma \ using \ lem-Onord \ ord Leq-iff-ord Less-or-ord Iso
by blast
      moreover have nord \alpha = o \alpha using c1 lem-nord-r by blast
     ultimately have \gamma < o \ \alpha \land fo \ \gamma = f \ \gamma \ unfolding \ b5 \ using \ ordIso-imp-ordLeq
ordLess-ordLeq-trans by metis
      then show \exists \beta ::'U \text{ rel. } \beta < o \alpha \land fo \gamma = f \beta \text{ by } blast
    then have c4: f \alpha \subseteq A unfolding c2 \ c3 \ b5 by blast
    have \forall \beta :: 'U \ rel. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\exists \ \gamma \in underS \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha). \ f \ \beta = fo \ \gamma)
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix \beta::'U rel
      assume \beta < o \alpha
     then have (nord \ \beta, nord \ \alpha) \in cmp \land nord \ \beta \neq nord \ \alpha \text{ using } b1 \text{ lem-nord-less}
      then have nord \beta \in underS \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha) unfolding underS-def by blast
      then show \exists \ \gamma \in underS \ cmp \ (nord \ \alpha). \ f \ \beta = fo \ \gamma \ unfolding \ b5 \ by \ blast
    qed
    then have A \subseteq f \alpha unfolding c2 c3 b5 by force
    then show f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta :: 'U \ rel. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \}  using c3 c4 by
blast
  qed
  moreover have \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta  using b5 lem-nord-eq by metis
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding sc-ord-def lm-ord-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-lmord-prec:
fixes \alpha::'a rel and \alpha'::'b rel
assumes a1: \alpha' < o \alpha and a2: isLimOrd \alpha
shows \exists \beta :: ('a \ rel). \ \alpha' < o \ \beta \land \beta < o \ \alpha
```

```
proof -
  have \neg isSuccOrd \alpha using at all wo-rel.isLimOrd-def unfolding ordLess-def
wo-rel-def by blast
 then obtain \beta:: 'a rel where \alpha' < o \beta \land \neg (\alpha \le o \beta) using a1 lem-ordint-sucord[of
\alpha' \alpha by blast
 then have \alpha' < o \beta \land \beta < o \alpha using a 1 ord Iso-imp-ord Leg ord Less-Well-order-simp
    ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordLess-or-ordIso by metis
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-inford-ge-w:
fixes \alpha :: 'U \ rel
assumes Well-order \alpha and \neg finite (Field \alpha)
shows \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
 using assms card-of-least infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive by blast
lemma lem-ge-w-inford:
fixes \alpha::'U rel
assumes \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
shows \neg finite (Field \alpha)
 using assms cinfinite-def cinfinite-mono natLeq-cinfinite by blast
lemma lem-fin-card: finite |A| = finite A
proof
 assume finite |A|
 then show finite A using finite-Field by fastforce
next
 assume finite A
 then show finite |A| using lem-fin-fl-rel by fastforce
qed
lemma lem-cardord-emp: Card-order ({}::'U rel)
 by (metis Well-order-empty card-order-on-def ozero-def ozero-ordLeq well-order-on-Well-order)
lemma lem-card-emprel: |\{\}::'U\ rel| = o\ (\{\}::'U\ rel)
proof -
 \mathbf{have} \ (\{\}::'Urel) = o \ |\{\}::'Uset \ | \ \mathbf{using} \ lem-cardord-emp \ BNF-Cardinal-Order-Relation. card-of-unique
 then show ?thesis using card-of-empty-ordIso ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive
by blast
qed
lemma lem-cord-lin: Card-order \alpha \Longrightarrow Card-order \beta \Longrightarrow (\alpha \le o \beta) = (\neg (\beta < o \beta))
\alpha ) ) by simp
lemma lem-co-one-ne-min:
fixes \alpha::'U rel and a::'a
assumes Well-order \alpha and \alpha \neq \{\}
```

```
shows |\{a\}| \le o \alpha
proof -
 have Field \alpha \neq \{\} using assms unfolding Field-def by force
  then have |\{a\}| \le o |Field \alpha|  using assms by simp
 moreover have |Field \alpha| \leq o \alpha using assms card-of-least by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-rel-inf-fld-card:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes \neg finite r
shows |Field \ r| = o \ |r|
proof
 obtain f1::'U \times 'U \Rightarrow 'U where b1: f1 = (\lambda (x,y). x) by blast
 obtain f2::'U \times 'U \Rightarrow 'U where b2: f2 = (\lambda(x,y), y) by blast
 then have f1 ' r = Domain \ r \land f2 ' r = Range \ r using b1 b2 by force
  then have b3: |Domain \ r| \le o \ |r| \land |Range \ r| \le o \ |r|
   using card-of-image[of f1 r] card-of-image[of f2 r] by simp
  have |Domain \ r| \le o \ |Range \ r| \lor |Range \ r| \le o \ |Domain \ r| by (simp \ add: or-
dLeq-total)
  moreover have |Domain \ r| \le o \ |Range \ r| \longrightarrow |Field \ r| \le o \ |r|
 proof
   assume c1: |Domain \ r| \le o \ |Range \ r|
    moreover have finite (Domain r) \land finite (Range r) \longrightarrow finite (Field r)
unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately have \neg finite (Range r)
     using assms lem-fin-fl-rel card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
    then have |Field \ r| = o \ |Range \ r| using c1 card-of-Un-infinite unfolding
Field-def by blast
   then show |Field r| \leq o |r| using b3 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
 moreover have |Range \ r| \le o \ |Domain \ r| \longrightarrow |Field \ r| \le o \ |r|
 proof
   assume c1: |Range\ r| \le o\ |Domain\ r|
    moreover have finite (Domain r) \land finite (Range r) \longrightarrow finite (Field r)
unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately have \neg finite (Domain r)
     using assms lem-fin-fl-rel card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
    then have |Field \ r| = o \ |Domain \ r| using c1 card-of-Un-infinite unfolding
Field-def by blast
   then show |Field r| \leq o |r| using b3 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
  ultimately have |Field r| \le o |r| by blast
 moreover have |r| \le o |Field r|
 proof -
   have r \subseteq (Field \ r) \times (Field \ r) unfolding Field-def by force
   then have c1: |r| \le o | Field r \times Field r| by simp
   have \neg finite (Field r) using assms lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have c2: |Field \ r \times Field \ r| = o \ |Field \ r| by simp
```

```
show ?thesis using c1 c2 using ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
qed
lemma lem-cardreleq-cardfldeq-inf:
fixes r1 r2:: 'U rel
assumes a1: |r1| = o |r2| and a2: \neg finite r1 \lor \neg finite r2
shows |Field \ r1| = o \ |Field \ r2|
proof -
 have \neg finite r1 \land \neg finite r2 using a1 a2 by simp
 then have |Field \ r1| = o \ |r1| \land |Field \ r2| = o \ |r2| using lem-rel-inf-fld-card by
  then show |Field \ r1| = o \ |Field \ r2| using a1 by (meson \ ord Iso-symmetric
ordIso-transitive)
qed
lemma lem-card-un-bnd:
fixes S::'a set set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a3: \forall A \in S. |A| \le o \alpha \text{ and } a4: |S| \le o \alpha \text{ and } a5: \omega \text{-} ord \le o \alpha
shows |\bigcup S| \le o \alpha
proof -
  obtain \alpha' where b\theta: \alpha' = |Field \ \alpha| by blast
 have a3': \forall A \in S. |A| \leq o \alpha'
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ A
   assume A \in S
   then have |A| \leq o \alpha using a3 by blast
   moreover have Card-order |A| by simp
  ultimately show |A| \le o \alpha' using b0 card-of-unique card-of-mono2 ordIso-ordLeq-trans
by blast
 qed
 have Card-order |S| by simp
 then have a4': |S| \le o \alpha' using b0 a4 card-of-unique card-of-mono2 ordIso-ordLeq-trans
by blast
 have a5': \neg finite (Field \alpha')
 proof -
   have Card-order \alpha' using b\theta by simp
   then have |Field \alpha| = o |Field \alpha'| using b0 card-of-unique by blast
   moreover have \neg finite (Field \alpha) using a5 lem-ge-w-inford by blast
   ultimately show \neg finite (Field \alpha') by simp
  qed
 have a\theta': \alpha' \leq o \alpha using b\theta a4 by simp
  obtain r where b1: r = \bigcup S by blast
   have \forall A \in S. |A| \leq o \alpha' using a3' ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
   moreover have r = (\bigcup A \in S. A) using b1 by blast
   moreover have Card-order \alpha' using b\theta by simp
  ultimately have |r| \le o \alpha' using a4'a5' card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite-Field[of
\alpha' S \lambda x. x by blast
```

```
then have |\bigcup S| \le o \alpha' unfolding b1 using ordLeq-transitive by blast
  then show |\bigcup S| \le o \alpha using a0' ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-ord-suc-qe-w:
fixes \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
assumes a1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and a2: sc-ord \alpha \theta \alpha
shows \omega-ord \leq o \alpha \theta
proof -
  obtain N::'U set where b1: |N| = o \omega-ord using a1
     by (metis card-of-nat Field-natLeq card-of-mono2 internalize-card-of-ordLeq
ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive)
  have \alpha \theta < o |N| \longrightarrow False
  proof
   assume c1: \alpha\theta < o|N|
   have Well-order \omega-ord \wedge isLimOrd \omega-ord
    \textbf{by} \ (\textit{metis natLeq-Well-order Field-natLeq card-of-nat card-order-infinite-isLimOrd}
infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq natLeq-Card-order ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
   then have \neg isSuccOrd \ \omega \text{-}ord \ using \ wo\text{-}rel.isLimOrd\text{-}def \ unfolding \ wo\text{-}rel\text{-}def
by blast
   then have \neg isSuccOrd \mid N \mid using b1 lem-osucc-eq by blast
   then have \neg (\forall \gamma :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha \theta < o \ \gamma \longrightarrow |N| \le o \ \gamma)
     using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def using lem-ordint-sucord[of \alpha \theta |N|] by blast
   then obtain \beta::'U rel where \alpha \theta < o \beta \land \beta < o |N|
      using card-of-Well-order not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess ordLess-Well-order-simp by
blast
   moreover then have \alpha \leq o \beta using a2 unfolding sc-ord-def by blast
   ultimately have \alpha < o |N| using ordLeg-ordLess-trans by blast
    then show False using a1 b1 using not-ordLess-ordLeq ordIso-iff-ordLeq or-
dLeq-transitive by blast
  qed
  moreover have Well-order \alpha\theta using a2 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def by
blast
  moreover have Well-order |N| by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using b1 not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordIso-iff-ordLeq or-
dLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-restr-ordbnd:
fixes r::'U \ rel and A::'U \ set and \alpha::'U \ rel
assumes a1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and a2: |A| \leq o \alpha
shows |Restr \ r \ A| \le o \ \alpha
proof (cases finite A)
  assume finite A
  then have finite (Restr\ r\ A) by blast
  then have |Restr \ r \ A| < o \ \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
  then show |Restr \ r \ A| \le o \ \alpha  using a 1 ord Leq-transitive ord Less-imp-ord Leq by
blast
next
```

```
assume \neg finite A
  then have |A \times A| = o |A| by simp
 moreover have |Restr \ r \ A| \le o \ |A \times A| by simp
 ultimately show |Restr \ r \ A| \le o \ \alpha  using a 2 ord Leq-ord Iso-trans ord Leq-transitive
by blast
qed
lemma lem-card-inf-lim:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes a1: Card-order \alpha and a2: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
shows \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha)
proof -
  obtain s where s = Field \alpha by blast
  then have |s| = o \alpha using a1 card-of-Field-ordIso by blast
  moreover then have \neg (|s| < o |UNIV :: nat set|) using a2
  by (metis card-of-nat ordLess-ordIso-trans not-ordLess-ordIso ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso
ordLeg-ordLess-trans)
  ultimately have \neg finite (Field \alpha) using lem-fin-card lem-fin-fl-rel by (metis
finite-iff-cardOf-nat ordIso-finite-Field)
 moreover then have \alpha \neq \{\} by force
  moreover have wo-rel \alpha using a unfolding wo-rel-def card-order-on-def by
blast
 ultimately show ?thesis using a1 card-order-infinite-isLimOrd wo-rel.isLimOrd-def
by blast
qed
lemma lem-card-nreg-inf-osetlm:
fixes \alpha::'U \ rel
assumes a1: Card-order \alpha and a2: \neg regularCard \alpha and a3: \neg finite (Field \alpha)
shows \exists S::'U \ rel \ set. \ |S| < o \ \alpha \ \land \ (\forall \alpha' \in S. \ \alpha' < o \ \alpha) \ \land \ (\forall \alpha'::'U \ rel. \ \alpha' < o \ \alpha)
 \rightarrow (\exists \beta \in S. \alpha' \leq o \beta))
proof -
  obtain K:'U set where b1: K \subseteq Field \ \alpha \land cofinal \ K \ \alpha \ and \ b2: \ \neg \ |K| = o \ \alpha
   using a2 unfolding regularCard-def by blast
  have b3: |K| < o \alpha
  proof -
   have |K| \le o | Field \alpha| using b1 by simp
   moreover have |Field \ \alpha| = o \ \alpha  using a1 card-of-Field-ordIso by blast
   ultimately show |K| < o \alpha using a 1 b 2
    by (metis card-of-Well-order card-order-on-def not-ordLeq-ordLess ordIso-or-ordLess
ordIso-ordLess-trans)
  qed
  have b4: isLimOrd \alpha using a1 a3 card-order-infinite-isLimOrd by blast
  obtain f::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } b5: f = (\lambda \text{ a. Restr } \alpha \text{ (under } \alpha \text{ a))} by blast
  obtain S::'U \text{ rel set where } b6: S = f \text{ '} K \text{ by } blast
  then have |S| < o \alpha using b3 card-of-image ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha' \in S. \alpha' < o \alpha
  proof
   fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
```

```
assume c1: \alpha' \in S
   then obtain a where c2: a \in K \land \alpha' = Restr \alpha \ (under \alpha \ a) using b5 \ b6 by
blast
    then have c3: Well-order \alpha' \wedge Well-order \alpha using a1 Well-order-Restr un-
folding card-order-on-def by blast
   moreover have embed \alpha' \alpha id
   proof -
      have of liter \alpha (under \alpha a) using c3 wo-rel.under-of liter [of \alpha] unfolding
wo-rel-def by blast
     moreover then have under \alpha a \subseteq Field \alpha unfolding ofilter-def by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis using c2 c3 ofilter-embed[of \alpha under \alpha a] by blast
   moreover have bij-betw id (Field \alpha') (Field \alpha) \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume bij-betw id (Field \alpha') (Field \alpha)
     then have d1: Field \alpha' = Field \alpha unfolding bij-betw-def by simp
     have a \in Field \ \alpha  using c2 \ b1 by blast
     then obtain b where d2: b \in aboveS \ \alpha \ a
       using b4 c3 wo-rel.isLimOrd-aboveS[of \alpha a] unfolding wo-rel-def by blast
     then have b \in Field \alpha' using d1 unfolding above S-def Field-def by blast
     then have b \in under \ \alpha \ a \ using \ c2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     then show False using a1 d2 unfolding under-def aboveS-def
        card-order-on-def well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def
antisym-def by blast
   qed
   ultimately show \alpha' < o \alpha using embedS-def unfolding ordLess-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \text{ rel. } \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow (\exists \beta \in S. \alpha' \leq o \beta)
  proof (intro allI impI)
   fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
   assume c1: \alpha' < o \alpha
   then obtain g where c2: embed \alpha' \alpha g \wedge \neg bij-betw g (Field \alpha') (Field \alpha)
     using embedS-def unfolding ordLess-def by blast
   then have g 'Field \alpha' \neq Field \alpha
     using c1 embed-inj-on unfolding ordLess-def bij-betw-def by blast
   moreover have q 'Field \alpha' \subseteq Field \alpha
     using c1 c2 embed-in-Field[of \alpha' \alpha g] unfolding ordLess-def by fast
   ultimately obtain a where c3: a \in Field \ \alpha - (g `Field \ \alpha') by blast
   then obtain b \beta where c4: b \in K \land (a, b) \in \alpha \land \beta = f b using b1 unfolding
cofinal-def by blast
   then have \beta \in S using b6 by blast
   moreover have \alpha' \leq \alpha \beta
   proof -
    have d1: Well-order β using c4 b5 a1 Well-order-Restr unfolding card-order-on-def
\mathbf{by} blast
     moreover have embed \alpha' \beta g
     proof -
       have e1: \forall x \ y. \ (x, \ y) \in \alpha' \longrightarrow (g \ x, \ g \ y) \in \beta
       proof (intro allI impI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
         assume f1:(x, y) \in \alpha'
       then have f2:(g\ x,\ g\ y)\in\alpha using c2\ embed\text{-}compat unfolding compat\text{-}def
by blast
         moreover have g y \in under \alpha b
         proof -
           have (b, g y) \in \alpha \longrightarrow False
           proof
            assume (b, g y) \in \alpha
            moreover have (a, b) \in \alpha using c \neq b y blast
                  ultimately have (a, g y) \in \alpha using a1 unfolding under-def
card-order-on-def
           well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
trans-def by blast
            then have a \in under \ \alpha \ (g \ y) unfolding under-def by blast
            moreover have bij-betw q (under \alpha' y) (under \alpha (q y))
              using f1 c2 unfolding embed-def Field-def by blast
             ultimately obtain y' where y' \in under \alpha' y \wedge a = g y' unfolding
bij-betw-def by blast
            moreover then have y' \in Field \ \alpha' unfolding under-def Field-def by
blast
             ultimately have a \in g 'Field \alpha' by blast
            then show False using c3 by blast
           qed
            moreover have g \ y \in Field \ \alpha \land b \in Field \ \alpha \ using f2 \ c4 \ unfolding
Field-def by blast
            ultimately have (q, y, b) \in \alpha using a unfolding card-order-on-def
well-order-on-def
                 linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def
total-on-def by metis
           then show ?thesis unfolding under-def by blast
        moreover then have g x \in under \alpha b using a 1 f2 unfolding under-def
card-order-on-def
          well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def
trans-def by blast
         ultimately have (g x, g y) \in Restr \alpha (under \alpha b) by blast
         then show (g x, g y) \in \beta using c \not= b b  by b last
       qed
       have e2: \forall x \in g 'Field \alpha''. under \beta x \subseteq g 'Field \alpha'
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ x
         assume x \in g 'Field \alpha'
         then obtain c where f1: c \in Field \ \alpha' \land x = g \ c \ by \ blast
         have \forall x'. (x', x) \in \beta \longrightarrow x' \in g 'Field \alpha'
         proof (intro allI impI)
           fix x'
           assume (x', x) \in \beta
           then have (x', g c) \in Restr \ \alpha \ (under \ \alpha \ b) using b5 f1 c4 by blast
```

```
then have x' \in under \ \alpha \ (g \ c) unfolding under-def by blast
            moreover have bij-betw g (under \alpha' c) (under \alpha (g c)) using f1 c2
unfolding embed-def by blast
            ultimately obtain c' where x' = g \ c' \land c' \in under \ \alpha' \ c unfolding
bij-betw-def by blast
           moreover then have c' \in Field \ \alpha' unfolding under-def Field-def by
blast
           ultimately show x' \in g 'Field \alpha' by blast
         qed
         then show under \beta x \subseteq g 'Field \alpha' unfolding under-def by blast
       have compat \alpha' \beta g using e1 unfolding compat-def by blast
      moreover then have ofilter \beta (g 'Field \alpha') using e2 unfolding ofilter-def
compat-def Field-def by blast
        moreover have inj-on g (Field \alpha') using c1 c2 embed-inj-on unfolding
ordLess-def by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using d1 c1 embed-iff-compat-inj-on-ofilter[of \alpha'
\beta g
         unfolding ordLess-def by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using c1 unfolding ordLess-def ordLeq-def by
blast
   ultimately show \exists \beta \in S. \alpha' \leq o \beta by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-card-un-bnd-stab:
fixes S::'a set set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes stable \alpha and \forall A \in S. |A| < o \alpha and |S| < o \alpha
shows |\bigcup S| < o \alpha
 using assms stable-UNION[of \alpha S \lambda x. x] by simp
lemma lem-finwo-cardord: finite \alpha \Longrightarrow Well-order \alpha \Longrightarrow Card-order \alpha
proof -
 assume a1: finite \alpha and a2: Well-order \alpha
 have \forall r. well-order-on (Field \alpha) r \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o r
  proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ r
   assume well-order-on (Field \alpha) r
   moreover have well-order-on (Field \alpha) \alpha using a2 by blast
   moreover have finite (Field \alpha) using a1 finite-Field by fastforce
   ultimately have \alpha = o \ r \ using \ finite-well-order-on-ordIso \ by \ blast
   then show \alpha \leq o \ r \ using \ ordIso-iff-ordLeq \ by \ blast
  then show ?thesis using a2 unfolding card-order-on-def by blast
qed
```

```
lemma lem-finwo-le-w: finite \alpha \Longrightarrow Well-order \alpha \Longrightarrow \alpha < o natLeq
proof -
 assume a1: finite \alpha and a2: Well-order \alpha
 then have |Field \ \alpha| = o \ \alpha  using lem-finwo-cardord by (metis card-of-Field-ordIso)
 moreover have finite (Field \alpha) using a1 finite-Field by fastforce
  moreover then have |Field \alpha| <0 natLeq using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by
blast
  ultimately show \alpha < o natLeq using ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans by
blast
qed
lemma lem-wolew-fin: \alpha < o natLeq \Longrightarrow finite \alpha
proof
 assume a1: \alpha < o \ natLeq
 then have Well-order \alpha using a unfolding ordLess-def by blast
 then have |Field \alpha| <0 \alpha using card-of-least[of Field \alpha \alpha] by blast
 then have \neg (natLeq \le o | Field \alpha |) using a1 by (metis BNF-Cardinal-Order-Relation.ordLess-Field
not-ordLeq-ordLess)
 then have finite (Field \alpha) using infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
  then show finite \alpha using finite-subset transl-subset-Field2 by fastforce
qed
lemma lem-wolew-nat:
assumes a1: \alpha < o \ natLeq \ and \ a2: n = card \ (Field \ \alpha)
shows \alpha = o \ (natLeq-on \ n)
proof -
 have b1: Well-order \alpha using a1 unfolding ordLess-def by blast
 have b2: finite \alpha using a1 lem-wolew-fin by blast
 then have finite (Field \alpha) using a1 finite-Field by fastforce
  then have |Field \ \alpha| = o \ natLeq-on \ n \ using \ a2 \ finite-imp-card-of-natLeq-on [of
Field \alpha] by blast
 moreover have |Field \ \alpha| = o \ \alpha using b1 b2 lem-finwo-cardord by (metis card-of-Field-ordIso)
 ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-cntset-enum: |A| = o \ natLeq \implies (\exists f. A = f \ (UNIV::nat \ set))
proof -
 assume |A| = o \ natLeq
 moreover have |UNIV::nat\ set| = o\ natLeq\ using\ card-of-nat\ by\ blast
 ultimately have |UNIV::nat\ set| = o\ |A| by (meson\ ord Iso\ iff\ ord Leq\ ord Iso\ ord Leq\ trans)
  then obtain f where bij-betw f (UNIV::nat set) A using card-of-ordIso by
blast
  then have A = f'(UNIV::nat\ set) unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
 then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-oord-int-card-le-inf:
fixes \alpha::'U \ rel
assumes \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
```

```
shows |\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O} :: 'U \text{ rel set. } \gamma < o \alpha \}| \leq o \alpha
proof -
  obtain f::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } b1: f = (\lambda \text{ a. nord } (Restr \alpha \text{ (under } S \alpha \text{ a))}) by
  have \forall \ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}::'U \ rel \ set. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow \gamma \in f \ (Field \ \alpha)
  proof (intro ballI impI)
    fix \gamma::'U rel
    assume c1: \gamma \in \mathcal{O} and c2: \gamma < o \alpha
    have \exists a \in Field \ \alpha. \ \gamma = o \ Restr \ \alpha \ (under S \ \alpha \ a)
      using c2 ordLess-iff-ordIso-Restr[of \alpha \gamma] unfolding ordLess-def by blast
    then obtain a where a \in Field \ \alpha \land \gamma = o \ Restr \ \alpha \ (under S \ \alpha \ a) by blast
    moreover then have \gamma = f a using c1 b1 lem-nord-eq lem-Onord by blast
    ultimately show \gamma \in f '(Field \alpha) by blast
  qed
  then have \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O} :: 'U \text{ rel set. } \gamma < o \alpha \} \subseteq f \text{ '} (Field \alpha) by blast
  then have |\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \}| \le o \ |f \cdot (Field \ \alpha)|  by simp
  moreover have |f'(Field \alpha)| \le o |Field \alpha| by simp
   ultimately have |\{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \gamma < o \alpha \}| \leq o |Field \alpha| \text{ using } or
dLeq-transitive by blast
  moreover have |Field \alpha| \leq o \alpha using assms by simp
  ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-oord-card-le-int-inf:
fixes \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: Card-order \alpha and a2: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
shows \alpha \leq o \mid \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O} :: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \} \mid
proof -
  obtain \alpha' where b\theta: \alpha' = |Field \ \alpha| by blast
  then have b0': Card-order \alpha' \wedge \alpha = o \alpha' using a1 card-of-unique by simp
  then have b0'': \omega-ord \leq o \alpha' using a2 ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
  obtain f::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } b1: f = (\lambda \text{ a. Restr } \alpha' \text{ (under } \alpha' \text{ a))} by blast
  have b2: Well-order \alpha' using b0 by simp
  have b3: \forall a \in Field \ \alpha'. \ \forall b \in Field \ \alpha'. \ f \ a = o \ f \ b \longrightarrow a = b
  proof (intro ballI impI)
    assume d1: a \in Field \ \alpha' and d2: b \in Field \ \alpha' and f \ a = o \ f \ b
    then have d3: f \ a \le o \ f \ b \wedge f \ b \le o \ f \ a \ using \ ordIso-iff-ordLeq \ by \ blast
    obtain A B where d4: A = under \alpha' a \wedge B = under \alpha' b by blast
    have d5: Well-order \alpha' using b0 by simp
    moreover then have wo-rel.ofilter \alpha' A \wedge wo-rel.ofilter \alpha' B
      using d4 wo-rel-def wo-rel.under-ofilter[of \alpha'] by blast
    moreover have Restr \alpha' A \leq o Restr \alpha' B and Restr \alpha' B \leq o Restr \alpha' A
      using d3 d4 b1 by blast+
    ultimately have A = B using of ilter-subset-ord Leq[of \alpha'] by blast
    then have under \alpha' a = under \alpha' b using d4 by blast
    moreover have (a,a) \in \alpha' \land (b,b) \in \alpha' using d1 d2 d5
      by (metis preorder-on-def partial-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
           well-order-on-def refl-on-def)
```

```
ultimately have (a,b) \in \alpha' \land (b,a) \in \alpha' unfolding under-def by blast
    then show a = b using d5
        by (metis partial-order-on-def linear-order-on-def well-order-on-def anti-
sym-def)
  ged
  have b4: \forall a \in Field \alpha'. fa < o \alpha'
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume c1: a \in Field \alpha'
    have under \alpha' a \subset Field \alpha'
    proof -
       have \neg finite \alpha' using b0'' Field-natLeq finite-Field infinite-UNIV-nat or-
dLeq-finite-Field by metis
     then have \neg finite (Field \alpha') using lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
      then obtain a' where a' \in Field \ \alpha' \land a \neq a' \land (a, a') \in \alpha'
        using c1 b0' infinite-Card-order-limit[of \alpha' a] by blast
      moreover then have (a', a) \notin \alpha' using b2 unfolding well-order-on-def
        linear-order-on-def partial-order-on-def antisym-def by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding under-def Field-def by blast
    qed
    moreover have ofilter \alpha' (under \alpha' a)
      using b2 wo-rel.under-ofilter[of \alpha'] unfolding wo-rel-def by blast
    ultimately show f \ a < o \ \alpha' unfolding b1 using b2 ofilter-ordLess by blast
  qed
  obtain g where b5: g = nord \circ f by blast
  have \forall x \in Field \alpha'. \forall y \in Field \alpha'. g x = g y \longrightarrow x = y
  proof (intro ballI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
    assume c1: x \in Field \ \alpha' and c2: y \in Field \ \alpha' and g \ x = g \ y
    then have Well-order (f x) \land Well-order (f y) \land nord (f x) = nord (f y)
      using b4 b5 unfolding ordLess-def by simp
    then have f x = o f y using lem-nord-req by blast
    then show x = y using c1 c2 b3 by blast
  then have inj-on g (Field \alpha') unfolding inj-on-def by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in Field \alpha'. g \ a \in \mathcal{O} \land g \ a < o \ \alpha'
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume a \in Field \alpha'
    then have f \ a < o \ \alpha' using b \not \mid by \ blast
   then have nord\ (f\ a) < o\ \alpha' \land nord\ (f\ a) \in \mathcal{O}\ using\ lem-nord-ls-l\ lem-nordO-ls-l
by blast
    then show g \ a \in \mathcal{O} \land g \ a < o \ \alpha' using b5 by simp
  ultimately have |Field \ \alpha'| \le o \ |\{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha'\}|
    using card-of-ordLeq[of Field \alpha' {\gamma \in \mathcal{O}::'U rel set. \gamma < o \alpha'}] by blast
  moreover have \alpha = o |Field \alpha'|  using b0 \ a1  by simp
  moreover have \{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha'\} = \{\gamma \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha\}
    using b0' using ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans by blast
```

```
qed
lemma lem-ord-int-card-le-inf:
fixes \alpha:: 'U rel and f :: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'a
assumes \forall \ \alpha \ \beta. \ \alpha = o \ \beta \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = f \ \beta \ \text{and} \ \omega\text{-}ord \le o \ \alpha
shows |f ` \{ \gamma :: 'U \ rel. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \} | \leq o \ \alpha
proof -
  obtain I where b1: I = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \gamma < o \alpha \} by blast
  have f'\{ \gamma :: 'U \ rel. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \} \subseteq f'I
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume a \in f'\{ \gamma :: 'U \ rel. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \}
    then obtain \gamma where a = f \gamma \wedge \gamma < o \alpha by blast
    moreover then have nord \gamma = o \ \gamma \land nord \ \gamma \in I
      using b1 lem-nord-r lem-nord-ls-l lem-nordO-ls-l ordLess-def by blast
    ultimately have a = f \pmod{\gamma} \land nord \gamma \in I using assms by metis
    then show a \in fI by blast
  then have |f'\{ \gamma::'U \text{ rel. } \gamma < o \alpha \}| \le o |f'I| \text{ by } simp
  moreover have |f'I| \le o |I| by simp
  moreover have |I| \le o \ \alpha  using b1 assms lem-oord-int-card-le-inf by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by metis
qed
lemma lem-card-set cv-inf-stab:
fixes \alpha::'U \ rel \ and \ A::'U \ set
assumes a1: Card-order \alpha and a2: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and a3: |A| \leq o \alpha
shows \exists f:('U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U). A \subseteq f \{ \gamma::'U \ rel. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \} \land (\forall \gamma 1 \ \gamma 2. \ \gamma 1 = o \ \gamma 2 \}
  \rightarrow f \gamma 1 = f \gamma 2
proof -
  obtain B where b1: B = \{ \gamma \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \gamma < o \alpha \}  by blast
  then have |A| \leq o|B|
    using a 1 a 2 a 3 lem-oord-card-le-int-inf [of \alpha] ordLeq-transitive by blast
  then obtain g where b2: A \subseteq g 'B by (metis card-of-ordLeq2 empty-subsetI
order-refl)
  obtain f where b3: f = g \circ nord by blast
  have A \subseteq f' \{ \gamma :: 'U \ rel. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha \}
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume a \in A
    then obtain \gamma::'U rel where \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \land \gamma < o \ \alpha \land a = g \ \gamma using b1 b2 by blast
    moreover then have f \gamma = g \gamma using b3 lem-Onord by force
    ultimately show a \in f' \{ \gamma :: 'U \text{ rel. } \gamma < o \alpha \} by force
  qed
  moreover have \forall \gamma 1 \gamma 2. \gamma 1 = o \gamma 2 \longrightarrow f \gamma 1 = f \gamma 2 using b3 lem-nord-eq by
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-ordLeq-trans by simp

```
lemma lem-jnfix-gen:
fixes I::'i set and leI::'i rel and L::'l set
  and t::'i\times'l\Rightarrow 'i\Rightarrow 'n and jnN::'n\Rightarrow 'n\Rightarrow 'n
assumes a1:\neg finite L
     and a2: |L| < o |I|
     and a3: \forall \alpha \in I. (\alpha, \alpha) \in leI
     and a4: \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ \forall \gamma \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI \ \land \ (\beta,\gamma) \in leI \ \longrightarrow \ (\alpha,\gamma) \in leI
     and a5: \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI \lor (\beta,\alpha) \in leI
     and a6: \forall \beta \in I. |\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \leq o |L|
     and a7: \forall \alpha \in I. \exists \alpha' \in I. (\alpha, \alpha') \in leI \land (\alpha', \alpha) \notin leI
shows \exists h. \forall \alpha \in I. \forall \beta \in I. \forall i \in L. \forall j \in L. \exists \gamma \in I. (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \land (\beta, \gamma) \in leI \land (\gamma, \alpha) \notin leI
\wedge (\gamma,\beta) \notin leI
               \wedge h \gamma = jnN (t (\alpha,i) \gamma) (t (\beta,j) \gamma)
proof -
  obtain inc where p1: inc = (\lambda \alpha. SOME \alpha'. \alpha' \in I \land (\alpha, \alpha') \in leI \land (\alpha', \alpha) \notin I
leI) by blast
  have p2: \land \alpha. \alpha \in I \Longrightarrow (inc \ \alpha) \in I \land (\alpha, inc \ \alpha) \in leI \land (inc \ \alpha, \ \alpha) \notin leI
  proof -
     fix \alpha
     assume \alpha \in I
     moreover obtain P where c1: P = (\lambda \alpha'. \alpha' \in I \land (\alpha, \alpha') \in leI \land (\alpha', \alpha) \notin I
leI) by blast
     ultimately have \exists \alpha'. P \alpha' using a7 by blast
     then have P(SOME x. P x) using some I-ex by metis
     moreover have inc \alpha = (SOME \ x. \ P \ x) using c1 p1 by blast
     ultimately show (inc \alpha) \in I \land (\alpha, inc \alpha) \in leI \land (inc \alpha, \alpha) \notin leI using c1
by simp
  qed
  obtain mxI where m\theta: mxI = (\lambda \ \alpha \ \beta. \ (if \ ((\alpha,\beta) \in leI) \ then \ \beta \ else \ \alpha)) by blast
  then have m1: \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ mxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I \ by \ simp
  obtain maxI where b0: maxI = (\lambda \alpha \beta) inc (mxI \alpha \beta) by blast
  have q1: \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ maxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I \ using \ p2 \ b0 \ m0 \ by \ simp
  have q2: \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ (\alpha, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \land (\beta, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI
  proof (intro ballI)
     fix \alpha \beta
     assume c1: \alpha \in I and c2: \beta \in I
     moreover then have c3: (\alpha, mxI \alpha \beta) \in leI \wedge (\beta, mxI \alpha \beta) \in leI \wedge mxI \alpha
\beta \in I
        using m0 \ m1 \ a5 by force+
     ultimately have (mxI \ \alpha \ \beta, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \land maxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I \ using \ b0 \ p2 \ by
     then show (\alpha, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \land (\beta, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI  using c1 c2 c3 a4 by
blast
   qed
  have q3: \forall \alpha \in I. \forall \beta \in I. \forall \gamma \in I. (maxI \alpha \beta, \gamma) \in leI \longrightarrow (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \wedge (\beta, \gamma) \in leI
\land (\gamma, \alpha) \notin leI \land (\gamma, \beta) \notin leI
  proof (intro ballI impI)
     fix \alpha \beta \gamma
```

```
assume c1: \alpha \in I and c2: \beta \in I and c3: \gamma \in I and c4: (maxI \alpha \beta, \gamma) \in leI
          moreover then have c5: (mxI \ \alpha \ \beta, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \land maxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I
                                  \land (maxI \ \alpha \ \beta, mxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \notin leI \land mxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I \ \mathbf{using} \ b0 \ p2 \ m1 \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
          ultimately have c6: (mxI \ \alpha \ \beta, \ \gamma) \in leI using a4 by blast
          have (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \land (\beta, \gamma) \in leI
          proof (cases (\alpha, \beta) \in leI)
                assume (\alpha,\beta) \in leI
                moreover then have (\beta, \gamma) \in leI using m0 \ c6 by simp
                ultimately show (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \land (\beta, \gamma) \in leI using c1 c2 c3 a4 by blast
          next
                assume (\alpha,\beta) \notin leI
                then have (\beta,\alpha) \in leI \wedge (\alpha,\gamma) \in leI using m0 c1 c2 c6 a5 by force
                then show (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \wedge (\beta, \gamma) \in leI using c1 c2 c3 a4 by blast
          qed
          moreover have (\gamma, \alpha) \in leI \longrightarrow False
          proof
                assume (\gamma, \alpha) \in leI
                moreover have (\alpha, mxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \land mxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \ m0 \ a5 \text{ by}
force
                ultimately have (\gamma, mxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \text{ using } c1 \ c3 \ a4 \text{ by } blast
                then show False using c3 c4 c5 a4 by blast
          qed
          moreover have (\gamma,\beta) \in leI \longrightarrow False
          proof
                assume (\gamma,\beta) \in leI
                moreover have (\beta, mxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \land mxI \ \alpha \ \beta \in I \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \ m0 \ a5 \text{ by}
force
                ultimately have (\gamma, mxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI \text{ using } c2 \ c3 \ a4 \text{ by } blast
                then show False using c3 c4 c5 a4 by blast
          qed
          ultimately show (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \land (\beta, \gamma) \in leI \land (\gamma, \alpha) \notin leI \land (\gamma, \beta) \notin leI by blast
      qed
      have \exists d. d'I = I \times L \times I
     proof -
       have c1: \neg finite\ I using a 1 a 2 by (metis card-of-ordLeq-infinite ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
          then have I \neq \{\} \land L \neq \{\} using a1 by blast
          moreover then have |I| \le o |L \times I| \wedge |L \times I| = o |I| \wedge L \ne \{\}
                 using c1 a1 a2 by (metis card-of-Times-infinite[of I L] ordLess-imp-ordLeq
ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
       moreover then have \neg finite (L \times I) using c1 a1 by (metis finite-cartesian-productD2)
          ultimately have |I \times (L \times I)| \le o |I|
              by (metis\ card\text{-}of\text{-}Times\text{-}infinite[of\ L\times I\ I]\ ordIso\text{-}transitive\ ordIso\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLeq})
          moreover have I \times L \times I \neq \{\} using c1 a1 by force
          ultimately show ?thesis using card-of-ordLeq2[of I \times (L \times I) I] by blast
     qed
      then obtain d where b1: d'I = I \times (L \times I) by blast
    obtain \mu where b2: \mu = (\lambda \gamma. SOME \ m. \ m'L = (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. 
(\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \} \times L) ) by blast
   have b3: \bigwedge \gamma. \ \gamma \in I \Longrightarrow (\mu \ \gamma) \ L = (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L)
```

```
proof -
    fix \gamma
    assume c1: \gamma \in I
    obtain A where c2: A = \{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} by blast
    have c3: A \neq \{\} using c1 \ c2 \ a3 unfolding refl-on-def by blast
    moreover have L \neq \{\} using a1 by blast
    ultimately have (A \times L) \times (A \times L) \neq \{\} using a1 by simp
    moreover have |(A \times L) \times (A \times L)| \le o |L|
    proof -
      have |A| \le o |L| using c1 c2 a6 by blast
      then have |A \times L| \le o |L| using c3 a1 by (metis card-of-Times-infinite[of L
A] ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
    moreover have \neg finite (A \times L) using c3 a1 by (metis\ finite\text{-}cartesian\text{-}productD2)
      {\bf ultimately \ show} \ \textit{?thesis}
     by (metis card-of-Times-same-infinite[of A \times L] ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
    ultimately have \exists m. \ m'L = (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L)
      using c2 card-of-ordLeq2[of (A \times L) \times (A \times L) L] by blast
    then show (\mu \ \gamma) L = (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L)
    using b2 some I-ex[of \lambda m. m'L = (\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L) \times (\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI\} \times L)
] by blast
  qed
  obtain \varphi where b \notin \varphi = (\lambda \ x. \ \mu \ (fst \ (d \ x)) \ (fst \ (snd \ (d \ x)))) by blast
  obtain h where b5: h = (\lambda x. jnN (t (fst (\varphi x)) x) (t (snd (\varphi x)) x)) by blast
  have \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ \forall i \in L. \ \forall j \in L. \ \exists \ \gamma \in I.
       (maxI \ \alpha \ \beta, \gamma) \in leI \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j) \ \gamma)
  proof (intro ballI)
    fix \alpha \beta i j
    assume c1: \alpha \in I and c2: \beta \in I and c3: i \in L and c4: j \in L
    obtain D where c5: D = (\{\alpha' \in I. (\alpha', maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI\} \times L) \times \{\alpha' \in I. \}
(\alpha', maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI\} \times L  by blast
    have c6: maxI \alpha \beta \in I using c1 c2 q1 by blast
    have \alpha \in \{\alpha' \in I. \ (\alpha', maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI\} using c1 c2 q2 by blast
    moreover have \beta \in \{\alpha' \in I. (\alpha', maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \in leI\} using c1 c2 q2 by blast
    ultimately have ((\alpha,i),(\beta,j)) \in D using c3 c4 c5 by blast
    moreover have \mu (maxI \alpha \beta) ' L = D using c5 c6 b3[of maxI \alpha \beta] by blast
    ultimately obtain v where c7: v \in L \land (\mu (maxI \alpha \beta)) v = ((\alpha,i),(\beta,j)) by
    obtain A where c8: A = \{maxI \ \alpha \ \beta\} \times (\{v\} \times I) by blast
    then have A \subseteq I \times L \times I using c6 c7 by blast
    then have \forall a \in A. \exists x \in I. dx = a using b1 by (metis imageE set-rev-mp)
    moreover obtain X where c9: X = \{ x \in I. \ d \ x \in A \} by blast
    ultimately have A = d ' X by force
    then have |A| \le o |X| by simp
    moreover have |I| = o|A|
    proof -
      obtain f where f = (\lambda x::'i. (maxI \alpha \beta, v, x)) by blast
     then have bij-betw f I A using c8 unfolding bij-betw-def inj-on-def by force
      then show |I| = o |A| using card-of-ordIsoI[of f I A] by blast
```

```
qed
     ultimately have c10: |L| < o |X| using a2 by (metis ordLess-ordIso-trans
ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
    have \forall y \in I. X \subseteq \{x \in I : (x,y) \in leI\} \longrightarrow False
    proof (intro ballI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ y
      assume y \in I and X \subseteq \{x \in I. (x,y) \in leI\}
      then have y \in I \land X \subseteq \{x{\in}I. \ (x,y) \in \mathit{leI}\} by \mathit{blast}
      moreover then have |\{x \in I. (x,y) \in leI\}| \le o |L| using a6 by blast
      ultimately have |X| \le o|L| using card-of-mono1 ordLeq-transitive by blast
      then show False using c10 by (metis not-ordLeq-ordLess)
    then obtain \gamma where c11: \gamma \in X \land (\gamma, maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \notin leI  using c6 \ c9 by blast
    then obtain w where c12: \gamma \in I \land d \gamma = (maxI \ \alpha \ \beta, v, w) using c8 \ c9 by
blast
    moreover have (maxI \ \alpha \ \beta, \ \gamma) \in leI \ using \ c11 \ c12 \ c6 \ a5 \ by \ blast
    moreover have h \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j) \ \gamma)
    proof -
      have \varphi \gamma = \mu \ (fst \ (d \ \gamma)) \ (fst \ (snd \ (d \ \gamma))) \ using b4 by blast
      then have \varphi \gamma = \mu \ (maxI \ \alpha \ \beta) \ v \ using \ c12 \ by \ simp
      then have \varphi \gamma = ((\alpha,i),(\beta,j)) using c7 by simp
      moreover have h \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (fst \ (\varphi \ \gamma)) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (snd \ (\varphi \ \gamma)) \ \gamma) using b5 by
blast
      ultimately show h \gamma = jnN (t (\alpha,i) \gamma) (t (\beta,j) \gamma) by simp
    qed
    ultimately show \exists \gamma \in I. (maxI \ \alpha \ \beta, \gamma) \in leI \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j))
\gamma) by blast
  ged
  then show ?thesis using q3 by blast
lemma lem-jnfix-card:
fixes \kappa::'U \text{ rel} and L::'l \text{ set} and t::('U \text{ rel}) \times 'l \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'n and jnN::'n \Rightarrow 'n
\Rightarrow 'n
  and S::'U \ rel \ set
assumes a1: Card-order \kappa and a2: \neg finite L and a3: |L| < o \kappa
    and a4: \forall \alpha \in S. |Field \alpha| \leq o |L|
    and a5: S \subseteq \mathcal{O} and a6: |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}| \le o |S|
    and a7: \forall \alpha \in S. \exists \beta \in S. \alpha < o \beta
shows \exists h. \forall \alpha \in S. \forall \beta \in S. \forall i \in L. \forall j \in L.
                (\exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j) \ \gamma))
proof -
  obtain I::('U \ rel) \ set where c1: I = S by blast
  obtain leI::'U rel rel where c2: leI = oord by blast
  have \neg finite L using a2 by blast
  moreover have |L| < o |I|
  proof -
    have \omega-ord \leq o |L| using a2 by (metis infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq)
   then have \omega-ord \leq o \kappa using a by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
```

```
then obtain f::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U where
      d1: Field \kappa \subseteq f '\{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\} and d2: \forall \gamma 1 \ \gamma 2, \gamma 1 = o \ \gamma 2 \longrightarrow f \ \gamma 1 = f \ \gamma 2
      using a lem-card-setcv-inf-stab of \kappa Field \kappa by (metis card-of-Field-ordIso
ordIso-imp-ordLeq)
    then have |Field \kappa| \leq o |f' \{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\}| by simp
    then have \kappa \leq o |f' \{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\}| using a1
    by (metis card-of-Field-ordIso ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive ordIso-symmetric)
    moreover have |f' \{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\}| \le o |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}|
    proof -
      have \kappa \neq \{\} using a2 a3
      using lem-cardord-emp by (metis Field-empty card-of-Field-ordIso card-of-empty
not-ordLess-ordIso ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
      then have (\{\}::'U\ rel) < o\ \kappa\ using\ a1
      \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis ozero-def iso-ozero-empty card-order-on-well-order-on ord Iso-symmetric}
ordLeg-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ozero-ordLeg)
      then have e1: f `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ \kappa\} \neq \{\}  by blast
      moreover have f '\{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\} \subseteq f '\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}, \alpha < o \kappa\}
      proof
        \mathbf{fix} \ y
        assume y \in f '\{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\}
        then obtain \gamma \alpha where f1: \gamma < o \kappa \land y = f \gamma \land \alpha = nord \gamma by blast
         moreover then have f2: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \alpha = o \ \gamma \text{ using } lem\text{-nord-}r \text{ unfolding}
\mathcal{O}-def ordLess-def by blast
         ultimately have \alpha < o \kappa using d2 ordIso-ordLess-trans by blast
        moreover have y = f \alpha using d2 f1 f2 by fastforce
        ultimately show y \in f' \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{O}. \ \alpha < o \ \kappa \} using f2 by blast
      ultimately have f ' \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}. \ \alpha < o \ \kappa\} = f ' \{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ \kappa\} by blast
       then show ?thesis using e1 card-of-ordLeq2[of f ' \{\gamma, \gamma < o \kappa\} \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \}
rel set. \alpha < o \kappa}] by blast
    qed
    ultimately have \kappa \leq o \mid \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\} \mid \text{ using } ordLeq\text{-transitive}
by blast
    moreover have I = S using c1 by blast
    moreover then have |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}| \le o |I| \text{ using } a\theta \text{ by } blast
    ultimately have \kappa \leq o|I| using c1 using ordLeq-transitive by blast
    then show ?thesis using a3 by (metis ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in I. (\alpha, \alpha) \in leI
      using c1 c2 a5 lem-fld-oord lem-oord-wo unfolding well-order-on-def lin-
ear-order-on-def
      partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ \forall \gamma \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI \ \land \ (\beta,\gamma) \in leI \longrightarrow (\alpha,\gamma) \in leI
    using c2 lem-oord-wo unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
      partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def trans-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}. \ \forall \beta \in \mathcal{O}. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI \lor (\beta,\alpha) \in leI
   using c1 c2 lem-fld-oord lem-oord-wo unfolding well-order-on-def linear-order-on-def
total-on-def
      partial-order-on-def preorder-on-def refl-on-def by metis
```

```
moreover then have \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI \lor (\beta,\alpha) \in leI \text{ using } c1 \ a5 \text{ by}
  moreover have \forall \beta \in I. |\{\alpha \in I : (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \leq o |L|
  proof
    fix \beta
    assume d1: \beta \in I
    show |\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \leq o |L|
    proof (cases \omega-ord \leq o \beta)
      assume e1: \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \beta
      obtain C where e2: C = nord \{\alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha < o \ \beta\} by blast
      have \{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI\} \subseteq C \cup \{\beta\}
      proof
        \mathbf{fix} \ \gamma
        assume \gamma \in \{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}
        then have \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \land (\gamma < o \beta \lor \gamma = \beta)
          using c2 lem-Oeq unfolding oord-def using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso
by blast
        moreover then have \gamma = nord \gamma using lem-Onord by blast
        ultimately show \gamma \in C \cup \{\beta\} using e2 by blast
      moreover have |C \cup \{\beta\}| \le o \beta
      proof (cases finite C)
        assume finite C
        then have finite (C \cup \{\beta\}) by blast
        then have |C \cup \{\beta\}| < o \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
         then show ?thesis using e1 ordLess-ordLeq-trans ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
blast
      next
        assume \neg finite C
         then have |C \cup \{\beta\}| = o |C| by (metis card-of-singl-ordLeq finite.simps
card-of-Un-infinite)
        then show ?thesis using e1 e2 lem-nord-eq lem-ord-int-card-le-inf[of nord
\beta] ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
      qed
        ultimately have |\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \le o \beta by (meson card-of-mono1)
ordLeq-transitive)
      moreover have \bigwedge A::'U \text{ rel set. } |A| \leq o \beta \Longrightarrow |A| \leq o |Field \beta|
        by (metis Field-card-of card-of-mono1 internalize-card-of-ordLeq)
      ultimately have |\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \leq o |Field \beta| by blast
      moreover have |Field \ \beta| \le o \ |L| using d1 c1 a4 by blast
       ultimately show |\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \le o |L| using ordLeq-transitive by
blast
    next
      assume \neg \omega-ord \leq o \beta
       then have e1: \beta <0 \omega-ord using d1 c1 a5 using lem-Owo Field-natLeq
natLeq-well-order-on by force
     then have e2: \beta = o \ natLeq-on \ (card \ (Field \ \beta)) using lem-wolew-nat by blast
      obtain A where e3: A = \{ n. n \leq card (Field \beta) \} by blast
       obtain f where e4: f = (\lambda n :: nat. SOME \alpha. \alpha \in I \land \alpha < o \omega - ord \land card
```

```
(Field \alpha) = n) by blast
      have \{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI\} \subseteq f ' A
      proof
         fix \gamma
         assume f1: \gamma \in \{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}
         then have f2: \gamma \leq o \beta using c2 \text{ oord-def by } blast
         then have f3: \gamma < o \omega-ord using e1 ordLeg-ordLess-trans by blast
          then have f_4: \gamma = o \ natLeq-on \ (card \ (Field \ \gamma)) using lem-wolew-nat by
blast
         then have natLeq\text{-}on\ (card\ (Field\ \gamma)) \leq o\ natLeq\text{-}on\ (card\ (Field\ \beta))
           using f2 e2 by (meson ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
      then have f5: \gamma \in I \land card (Field \gamma) \in A \text{ using } f1 \ e3 \ natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq
by blast
         moreover obtain \gamma' where f6: \gamma' = f (card (Field \gamma)) by blast
         ultimately have \gamma' \in I \land \gamma' < o \omega \text{-} ord \land card (Field \gamma') = card (Field \gamma)
          using f3 e4 some I-ex [of \lambda \alpha. \alpha \in I \wedge \alpha < o \omega-ord \wedge card (Field \alpha) = card
(Field \gamma)] by blast
       moreover then have \gamma' = o \ natLeq-on \ (card \ (Field \ \gamma)) using lem-wolew-nat
by force
            ultimately have \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \land \gamma' \in \mathcal{O} \land \gamma' = 0 \ \gamma using f1 f4 c1 a5 or-
dIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive by blast
         then have \gamma' = \gamma using lem-Oeq by blast
         moreover have \gamma' \in f ' A using f5 f6 by blast
         ultimately show \gamma \in f ' A by blast
      then have finite \{\alpha \in I. \ (\alpha,\beta) \in leI\} using e3 finite-subset by blast
     then show |\{\alpha \in I. (\alpha, \beta) \in leI\}| \le o|L| using a 2 ord Less-imp-ord Leq by force
    ged
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in I. \exists \alpha' \in I. (\alpha, \alpha') \in leI \land (\alpha', \alpha) \notin leI
  proof
    fix \alpha
    assume \alpha \in I
    then obtain \alpha' where d1: \alpha \in S \land \alpha' \in S \land \alpha < o \alpha' using c1 and by blast
    then have d2: \alpha \leq o \alpha' \wedge \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \wedge \alpha' \in \mathcal{O} using a5 ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
    then have \alpha' \in I \land (\alpha, \alpha') \in leI using d1 c1 c2 unfolding oord-def by blast
    moreover have (\alpha', \alpha) \in leI \longrightarrow False
    proof
      assume e1: (\alpha', \alpha) \in leI
      then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha using c2 unfolding oord-def by blast
      then have \alpha' = \alpha using d2 lem-Oeq ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
      then show False using d1 ordLess-irreflexive by blast
    qed
    ultimately show \exists \alpha' \in I. (\alpha, \alpha') \in leI \land (\alpha', \alpha) \notin leI by blast
  ultimately obtain h where
    c3: \forall \alpha \in I. \ \forall \beta \in I. \ \forall i \in L. \ \forall j \in L. \ \exists \ \gamma \in I.
          (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \land (\beta, \gamma) \in leI \land (\gamma, \alpha) \notin leI \land (\gamma, \beta) \notin leI \land h \gamma = jnN (t (\alpha, i) \gamma)
```

```
(t (\beta,j) \gamma)
    using lem-jnfix-gen[of L I leI jnN t] by blast
  have \forall \alpha \in S. \ \forall \beta \in S. \ \forall i \in L. \ \forall j \in L.
              (\exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j) \ \gamma))
  proof (intro allI ballI impI)
    fix \alpha::'U \text{ rel and } i::'l \text{ and } \beta::'U \text{ rel and } j::'l
    assume d2: i \in L and d3: j \in L and \alpha \in S and \beta \in S
    then have d4: \alpha \in I \land \beta \in I using c1 a5 by blast
     then obtain \gamma where \gamma \in I and (\alpha, \gamma) \in leI \wedge (\beta, \gamma) \in leI and (\gamma, \alpha) \notin leI \wedge (\beta, \gamma) \in leI
(\gamma,\beta)\notin leI
       and d\theta: h \gamma = jnN (t (\alpha,i) \gamma) (t (\beta,j) \gamma) using d\theta d\theta d\theta by blast
    then have \gamma \in \mathcal{O} \cap S \wedge \alpha < \sigma \gamma \wedge \beta < \sigma \gamma
       using d4 c1 c2 a5 lem-Oeq unfolding oord-def
         by (smt ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso subsetCE Int-iff)
    moreover have h \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j) \ \gamma) using d2 d3 d6 by blast
    ultimately show \exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j))
\gamma) by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-cardsuc-ls-fldcard:
fixes \kappa::'a rel and \alpha::'b rel
assumes a1: Card-order \kappa and a2: \alpha < o cardSuc \kappa
shows |Field \ \alpha| \le o \ \kappa
proof -
  have \kappa < o | Field \alpha | \longrightarrow False
  proof
    assume \kappa < o |Field |\alpha|
    moreover have Card-order |Field \alpha| by simp
    ultimately have cardSuc \ \kappa \le o \ |Field \ \alpha| using a1 cardSuc-least by blast
    moreover have |Field \ \alpha| \le o \ \alpha using a2 by simp
    ultimately have cardSuc \ \kappa \leq o \ \alpha \ using \ ordLeq-transitive \ by \ blast
    then show False using a2 not-ordLeq-ordLess by blast
  then show |Field \alpha| <0 \kappa using a1 by simp
qed
lemma lem-jnfix-cardsuc:
fixes L::'l set and \kappa::'U rel and t::('U rel)\times'l \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow 'n and jnN::'n \Rightarrow 'n
\Rightarrow 'n
  and S::'U \ rel \ set
assumes a1: \neg finite L and a2: \kappa = o \ cardSuc \ |L|
     and a3: S \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\} and a4: |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}|
\kappa\}| \leq o |S|
    and a5: \forall \alpha \in S. \exists \beta \in S. \alpha < o \beta
shows \exists h. \forall \alpha \in S. \forall \beta \in S. \forall i \in L. \forall j \in L.
                 (\exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (t \ (\alpha,i) \ \gamma) \ (t \ (\beta,j) \ \gamma))
proof -
```

```
have Card-order κ using a2 by (metis Card-order-ordIso cardSuc-Card-order
card-of-Card-order)
  moreover have |L| < o \kappa using a2 cardSuc-greater[of |L|]
  by (metis Field-card-of card-of-card-order-on ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  moreover have \forall \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } \alpha < o \kappa \longrightarrow |Field \alpha| \leq o |L|
   using a2 using lem-cardsuc-ls-fldcard ordLess-ordIso-trans by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using a1 a3 a4 a5 lem-jnfix-card[of \kappa L S jnN t] by
blast
qed
lemma lem-Relprop-cl-ccr:
fixes r::'U rel
shows Conelike r \Longrightarrow CCR \ r
 unfolding CCR-def Conelike-def by fastforce
lemma lem-Relprop-ccr-confl:
fixes r::'U rel
shows CCR \ r \Longrightarrow confl-rel \ r
 using lem-rtr-field[of - - r] unfolding CCR-def confl-rel-def by blast
lemma lem-Relprop-fin-ccr:
fixes r::'U rel
shows finite r \Longrightarrow CCR \ r = Conelike \ r
proof -
  assume a1: finite r
  have r \neq \{\} \land \mathit{CCR} \ r \longrightarrow \mathit{Conelike} \ r
  proof
   assume b1: r \neq \{\} \land CCR \ r
   have b2: finite (Field r) using a1 finite-Field by fastforce
   have \exists xm \in Field \ r. \ \forall x \in Field \ r. \ (x, xm) \in r^*
   proof -
     have \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \ xm \in Field \ r. \ \forall \ x \in \{\}. \ (x, xm) \in r^*\} using b1
Field-def by fastforce
      moreover have \bigwedge x F. finite F \Longrightarrow x \notin F \Longrightarrow
       F \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \ xm \in Field \ r. \ \forall \ x \in F. \ (x, xm) \in r^*) \Longrightarrow
       insert x \in F insert x \in F insert x \in F. (x, xm) \in r^*
      proof
       fix x F
       assume c1: finite F and c2: x \notin F and c3: F \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists xm \in Field \ r)
r. \ \forall x \in F. \ (x, xm) \in r^*
         and c4: insert x F \subseteq Field r
         then obtain xm where c5: xm \in Field \ r \land (\forall y \in F. \ (y, xm) \in r^*) by
blast
        then obtain xm' where xm' \in Field \ r \land (x, xm') \in r^* \land (xm, xm') \in r^*
          using b1 c4 unfolding CCR-def by blast
        moreover then have \forall y \in insert \ x \ F. (y, xm') \in r* using c5 by force
        ultimately show \exists xm \in Field \ r. \ \forall x \in insert \ x \ F. \ (x, xm) \in r \hat{} * by \ blast
      qed
```

```
ultimately have (\exists xm \in Field \ r. \ \forall x \in Field \ r. \ (x, xm) \in r^*
        using b2 finite-induct[of Field r \ \lambda \ A'. A' \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \ xm \in Field \ r.
\forall x \in A'. (x, xm) \in r^* by simp
      then show \exists xm \in Field \ r. \ \forall x \in Field \ r. \ (x, xm) \in r^*  by blast
    ged
    then show Conelike r using a1 b1 unfolding Conelike-def by blast
  qed
  then show CCR \ r = Conelike \ r  using lem-Relprop-cl-ccr unfolding Cone
like-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Relprop-ccr-ch-un:
fixes S::'U \ rel \ set
assumes a1: \forall s \in S. CCR \ s and a2: \forall s1 \in S. \forall s2 \in S. s1 \subseteq s2 \lor s2 \subseteq s1
shows CCR (\bigcup S)
proof -
 have \forall a \in Field (\bigcup S). \ \forall b \in Field (\bigcup S). \ \exists c \in Field (\bigcup S). \ (a, c) \in (\bigcup S) \hat{\ } * \land (b, c)
c) \in (\bigcup S)^*
  proof (intro ballI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
   assume c1: a \in Field (\bigcup S) and c2: b \in Field (\bigcup S)
    then obtain s1 s2 where c3: s1 \in S \wedge a \in Field s1 and c4: s2 \in S \wedge b \in
Field s2
      unfolding Field-def by blast
   show \exists c \in Field (\bigcup S). (a,c) \in (\bigcup S) \hat{*} \land (b,c) \in (\bigcup S) \hat{*}
    proof (cases s1 \subseteq s2)
      assume s1 \subseteq s2
      then have a \in Field \ s2 using c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
      then obtain c where c \in Field \ s2 \land (a,c) \in s2^* \land (b,c) \in s2^*
        using a1 c4 unfolding CCR-def by force
       moreover then have c \in Field (\bigcup S) using c \not = unfolding Field-def by
blast
     moreover have s2^* \subseteq (\bigcup S)^* using c4 Transitive-Closure.rtrancl-mono[of
s2 \mid JS \mid  by blast
       ultimately show \exists c \in Field (\bigcup S). (a,c) \in (\bigcup S)^* \land (b,c) \in (\bigcup S)^* by
blast
    next
      assume \neg s1 \subseteq s2
      then have s2 \subseteq s1 using a2 \ c3 \ c4 by blast
      then have b \in Field \ s1 using c4 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
      then obtain c where c \in Field \ s1 \land (a,c) \in s1 \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in s1 \hat{\ } *
        using a1 c3 unfolding CCR-def by force
       moreover then have c \in Field (\bigcup S) using c3 unfolding Field-def by
blast
     moreover have s1^* \subseteq (\bigcup S)^* using c3 Transitive-Closure.rtrancl-mono[of
s1 \bigcup S by blast
       ultimately show \exists c \in Field ([ ]S). (a,c) \in ([ ]S)^* \land (b,c) \in ([ ]S)^* by
blast
   qed
```

```
qed
  then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Relprop-restr-ch-un:
fixes C::'U set set and r::'U rel
assumes \forall A1 \in C. \ \forall A2 \in C. \ A1 \subseteq A2 \lor A2 \subseteq A1
shows Restr r (\bigcup C) = \bigcup { s. \exists A \in C. s = Restr r A }
proof
  show Restr r (\bigcup C) \subseteq \bigcup \{ s. \exists A \in C. s = Restr r A \}
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} p
    assume p \in Restr\ r\ (\bigcup\ C)
    then obtain a b A1 A2 where p = (a,b) \land a \in A1 \land b \in A2 \land p \in r \land A1
\in C \land A2 \in C by blast
    moreover then have A1 \subseteq A2 \vee A2 \subseteq A1 using assms by blast
    ultimately show p \in \bigcup \{ s. \exists A \in C. s = Restr \ r \ A \}  by blast
  qed
next
  show [\ ] { s. \exists A \in C. s = Restr \ r \ A \} \subseteq Restr \ r \ ([\ ] \ C) by blast
qed
lemma lem-Inv-restr-rtr:
fixes r::'U \ rel \ and \ A::'U \ set
assumes A \in Inv \ r
shows r \hat{\ } \cap (A \times (UNIV::'U\ set)) \subseteq (Restr\ r\ A) \hat{\ } \ast
  have \forall n. \forall a b. (a,b) \in r \widehat{\ } n \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \widehat{\ } *
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r^{n} \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A)^*
    proof (induct n)
      show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \ \widehat{} \ 0 \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \ \widehat{} \ast \ \mathbf{by} \ simp
    next
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume d1: \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \cap n \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \hat{} *
      show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \ \widehat{} \ (Suc \ n) \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \ \widehat{} \ *
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
        assume e1: (a,b) \in r \curvearrowright (Suc \ n) \land a \in A
        moreover then obtain c where e2: (a,c) \in r \ \ n \land (c,b) \in r \ \ \text{by force}
        ultimately have e3: (a,c) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using d1 by blast
        moreover then have c \in A using e1 using rtranclE by force
        then have (c,b) \in Restr\ r\ A using assms e2 unfolding Inv\text{-}def by blast
      then show (a,b) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using e3 by (meson\ rtrancl.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
      qed
    qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis using rtrancl-power by blast
```

```
qed
```

```
lemma lem-Inv-restr-rtr2:
fixes r::'U \ rel \ and \ A::'U \ set
assumes A \in Inv \ r
shows r \hat{\ } \cap (A \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) \subseteq (Restr \ r \ A) \hat{\ } \cap ((UNIV::'U \ set) \times A)
       have \forall n. \forall a b. (a,b) \in r^{\hat{}} n \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \hat{} * \cap ((UNIV::'U) \land A) \cap ((UNIV::'U) \cap ((UNIV::'U) \land A) \cap ((UNIV::'U) \cap
set)\times A)
       proof
              \mathbf{fix} \ n
                set) \times A)
              proof (induct n)
                    show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \ ^{\frown} 0 \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \ ^{\hat{}} * \cap ((UNIV::'U) \cap A) \cap ((U
set)×A) by simp
              next
                      \mathbf{fix} \ n
                           assume d1: \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \ \widehat{} \ n \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \widehat{} \ast \cap
((UNIV::'U\ set)\times A)
                            show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \ \widehat{} \ (Suc \ n) \land a \in A \longrightarrow (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \widehat{} \ast \cap
((UNIV::'U\ set)\times A)
                      proof (intro allI impI)
                             fix a b
                             assume e1: (a,b) \in r \curvearrowright (Suc\ n) \land a \in A
                            moreover then obtain c where e2: (a,c) \in r \ \hat{} n \land (c,b) \in r by force
                             ultimately have e3: (a,c) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using d1 by blast
                             moreover then have c \in A using e1 using rtranclE by force
                                then have e4:(c,b) \in Restr\ r\ A\ using\ assms\ e2\ unfolding\ Inv-def\ by
blast
                     ultimately have (a,b) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using e3 by (meson\ rtrancl.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
                          then show (a,b) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^* \cap ((UNIV::'U\ set) \times A) using e4 by blast
                     qed
              qed
       qed
       then show ?thesis using rtrancl-power by blast
qed
lemma lem-inv-rtr-mem:
fixes r::'U rel and A::'U set and a b::'U
assumes A \in Inv \ r \ \text{and} \ a \in A \ \text{and} \ (a,b) \in r \hat{} *
shows b \in A
       using assms lem-Inv-restr-rtr[of A r] rtranclE[of a b] by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-Inv-ccr-restr} \colon
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A::'U \text{ set}
assumes CCR \ r and A \in Inv \ r
shows CCR (Restr r A)
proof -
```

```
have \forall a \in Field (Restr \ r \ A). \ \forall b \in Field (Restr \ r \ A). \ \exists \ c \in Field (Restr \ r \ A).
(a,c) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^* \land (b,c) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^*
    proof (intro ballI)
        fix a b
        assume c1: a \in Field (Restr \ r \ A) and c2: b \in Field (Restr \ r \ A)
         moreover then obtain c where c \in Field \ r \ and \ (a,c) \in r^* \land (b,c) \in r^*
using assms unfolding CCR-def Field-def by blast
      ultimately have (a,c) \in r^* \cap (A \times (UNIV::'Uset)) \wedge (b,c) \cap (A \times (UNIV::'Uset)) \wedge (A \times (UN
set)) unfolding Field-def by blast
            then have (a,c) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^* \wedge (b,c) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^* using assms
lem-Inv-restr-rtr by blast
        moreover then have c \in Field (Restr r A) using c1 lem-rtr-field[of a c] by
blast
          ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ (Restr \ r \ A). \ (a,c) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \hat{\ } * \land \ (b,c) \in
(Restr\ r\ A) * by blast
    then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Inv-cl-restr:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A::'U \text{ set}
assumes Conelike \ r and A \in Inv \ r
shows Conelike\ (Restr\ r\ A)
\mathbf{proof}(cases\ r = \{\})
    assume r = \{\}
    then show ?thesis unfolding Conelike-def by blast
next
    assume r \neq \{\}
    then obtain m where b1: \forall a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r \hat{\ } * using \ assms \ unfolding
 Conelike-def by blast
    show Conelike (Restr r A)
    proof (cases m \in Field (Restr r A))
        assume m \in Field (Restr \ r \ A)
        moreover have \forall a \in Field (Restr \ r \ A). (a,m) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \hat{\ } *
            using assms lem-Inv-restr-rtr b1 unfolding Field-def by blast
        ultimately show Conelike (Restr r A) unfolding Conelike-def by blast
    next
        assume c1: m \notin Field (Restr \ r \ A)
        have (Field\ r)\cap A\subseteq \{m\}
        proof
            fix a\theta
            assume a\theta \in (Field\ r) \cap A
            then have (a0,m) \in r^* \cap (A \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) using b1 by blast
            then have (a0,m) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using assms lem-Inv-restr-rtr by blast
         then show a0 \in \{m\} using c1 lem-rtr-field by (metis (full-types) mem-Collect-eq
singleton-conv)
        qed
        then show Conelike (Restr r A) unfolding Conelike-def Field-def by blast
    qed
```

```
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-Inv-ccr-restr-invdiff}\colon
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and A B::'U \text{ set}
assumes a1: CCR (Restr r A) and a2: B \in Inv(r^-1)
shows CCR (Restr\ r\ (A-B))
proof -
  have (Restr\ r\ A) " (A-B) \subseteq (A-B)
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ b
   assume b \in (Restr\ r\ A) " (A-B)
   then obtain a where c2: a \in A-B \land (a,b) \in (Restr\ r\ A) by blast
   moreover then have b \notin B using a2 unfolding Inv-def by blast
   ultimately show b \in A - B by blast
  qed
  then have (A-B) \in Inv(Restr\ r\ A) unfolding Inv-def by blast
  then have CCR (Restr (Restr r A) (A - B)) using a1 lem-Inv-ccr-restr by
blast
  moreover have Restr(Restr(A-B)(A-B)) = Restr(A-B) by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma lem-Inv-dncl-invbk: dncl r A \in Inv(r^-1)
  unfolding dncl-def Inv-def apply clarify
 \textbf{using} \ converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl \ \textbf{by} \ (metis\ ImageI\ rtrancl-converse\ rtrancl-converse\ I)
lemma lem-inv-sf-ext:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel } and A::'U \text{ set}
assumes A \subseteq Field \ r
shows \exists A' \in SF \ r. \ A \subseteq A' \land (finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ A') \land ((\neg finite \ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o
|A|
proof
  obtain rs where b4: rs = r \cup (r-1) by blast
  obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ rs``\{a\}) by blast
  obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
  obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
  moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
 ultimately have \forall \ a. \ (f \ a) \in (S' \ a) by (metis \ some I-ex)
  then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
   unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
  obtain A' where b5: A' = A \cup (f' A) by blast
  have A \cup (f \cdot A) \subseteq Field (Restr r A')
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in A \cup (f ' A)
   then obtain a b where c1: a \in A \land b = f \ a \land x \in \{a,b\} by blast
    moreover then have rs "\{a\} \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (a, b) \in rs \text{ using } assms \ b1 \ b3 \text{ by}
```

moreover have rs " $\{a\} = \{\} \longrightarrow False \text{ using } assms \ c1 \ b4 \text{ unfolding} \}$

blast

```
Field-def by blast
    moreover have (a,b) \in rs \longrightarrow \{a,b\} \subseteq Field (Restr \ r \ A') using c1 b4 b5
unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately show x \in Field (Restr \ r \ A') by blast
  then have (A \subseteq A') \land (A' \in SF \ r) using b5 unfolding SF-def Field-def by
blast
  moreover have finite A \longrightarrow finite A' using b5 by blast
 moreover have (\neg finite A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o |A| using b5 by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-inv-sf-un:
assumes S \subseteq SF r
shows (\bigcup S) \in SF r
 using assms unfolding SF-def Field-def by blast
lemma lem-Inv-ccr-sf-inv-diff:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A B::'U \text{ set}
assumes a1: A \in SF \ r and a2: CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A) and a3: B \in Inv \ (r^-1)
shows (A-B) \in SF \ r \lor (\exists \ y :: 'U. (A-B) = \{y\})
proof -
 have \forall a \in A - B. \ a \notin Field (Restr \ r \ (A-B)) \longrightarrow A - B = \{a\}
 proof (intro ballI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume b1: a \in A - B and b2: a \notin Field (Restr \ r \ (A-B))
   then have \neg (\exists b \in A-B. (a,b) \in r \lor (b,a) \in r) unfolding Field-def by blast
   then have b3: \forall b \in A. (a,b) \notin r using a3 \ b1 unfolding Inv-def by blast
   have b4: \forall x \in Field(Restr \ r \ A). \ (x,a) \in (Restr \ r \ A) \hat{*}
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in Field(Restr \ r \ A)
     moreover then have a \in Field (Restr r A) using b1 a1 unfolding SF-def
by blast
      ultimately obtain y where c1: (a,y) \in (Restr\ r\ A) \hat{}* \land (x,y) \in (Restr\ r\ A)
A)^*
       using a2 unfolding CCR-def by blast
     moreover have (a,y) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^+ \longrightarrow False\ using\ b3\ tranclD\ by\ force
     ultimately have a = y using rtrancl-eq-or-trancl by metis
     then show (x,a) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using c1 by blast
   qed
   have \forall b \in (A-B) - \{a\}. False
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ b
     assume c1: b \in (A-B) - \{a\}
     then have b \in Field (Restr \ r \ A) using a 1 unfolding SF-def by blast
     then have (b,a) \in (Restr\ r\ A) * using b4 by blast
     moreover have (b,a) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^+ \longrightarrow False
     proof
```

```
assume (b,a) \in (Restr\ r\ A)^+
         then obtain b' where d1: (b,b') \in (Restr\ r\ A)^* \land (b',a) \in Restr\ r\ A
using tranclD2 by metis
       have d2: \forall r' \ a \ b. \ (a,b) \in Restr \ r' \ B = (a \in B \land b \in B \land (a,b) \in r')
         unfolding Field-def by force
       have (b,b') \in r * using d1 rtrancl-mono[of Restr r A] by blast
       then have (b',b) \in (r^-1) * using rtrancl-converse by blast
     then have b' \in B \longrightarrow (b',b) \in (Restr(r^-1) B)^* \text{ using } a3 \text{ lem-Inv-restr-rtr}
by blast
          then have b' \in B \longrightarrow b \in B using d2 by (metis rtrancl-eq-or-trancl
tranclD2)
       then have b' \in A - B using d1 c1 by blast
       then have (b',a) \in Restr\ r\ (A-B) using b1 d1 by blast
       then have a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (A-B)) unfolding Field-def by blast
       then show False using b2 by blast
     ultimately have b = a using rtrancl-eq-or-trancl[of b a] by blast
     then show False using c1 by blast
   then show A - B = \{a\} using b1 by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis unfolding SF-def Field-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Inv-ccr-sf-dn-diff:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and A D A'::'U \text{ set}
assumes a1: A \in SF r and a2: CCR (Restr \ A) and a3: A' = (A - (dncl \ r \ D))
shows ((A' \in SF \ r) \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A')) \lor (\exists \ y :: 'U. \ A' = \{y\})
  using assms lem-Inv-ccr-restr-invdiff lem-Inv-ccr-sf-inv-diff lem-Inv-dncl-invbk
by blast
lemma lem-rseq-tr:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U
assumes \forall i. (xi \ i, xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r
shows \forall i j. i < j \longrightarrow (xi i \in Field r \land (xi i, xi j) \in r^+)
  have \bigwedge j. \forall i < j. xi i \in Field r \land (xi i, xi j) \in r^+
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ j\theta
   show \forall i < j\theta. xi \ i \in Field \ r \land (xi \ i, xi \ j\theta) \in r^+
   proof (induct j\theta)
     show \forall i < 0. xi \ i \in Field \ r \land (xi \ i, \ xi \ 0) \in r + \mathbf{by} \ blast
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ j
     assume d1: \forall i < j. xi \ i \in Field \ r \land (xi \ i, xi \ j) \in r^+
     show \forall i < Suc j. xi i \in Field r \land (xi i, xi (Suc j)) \in r^+
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} i
       assume e1: i < Suc j
```

```
have e2: (xi \ j, \ xi \ (Suc \ j)) \in r using assms by simp
        show xi \ i \in Field \ r \land (xi \ i, \ xi \ (Suc \ j)) \in r^+
        proof (cases \ i < j)
          assume i < j
          then have xi \ i \in Field \ r \land (xi \ i, \ xi \ j) \in r^+  using d1 by blast
          then show ?thesis using e2 by force
        next
          assume \neg i < j
          then have i = j using e1 by simp
          then show ?thesis using e2 unfolding Field-def by blast
     qed
    qed
  qed
 then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-rseq-rtr:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U
assumes \forall i. (xi \ i, xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r
shows \forall i j. i \leq j \longrightarrow (xi i \in Field \ r \land (xi i, xi j) \in r^*
proof (intro allI impI)
  fix i::nat and j::nat
  assume b1: i \leq j
  then have xi \ i \in Field \ r \ using \ assms \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
  moreover have (xi \ i, \ xi \ j) \in r \hat{*}
  proof (cases i = j)
    assume i = j
    then show ?thesis by blast
  next
    assume i \neq j
    then have i < j using b1 by simp
    moreover have r^+ \subseteq r^* by force
    ultimately show ?thesis using assms lem-rseq-tr[of xi r] by blast
  ultimately show xi \ i \in Field \ r \land (xi \ i, \ xi \ j) \in r \hat{} * by \ blast
qed
lemma lem-rseq-svacyc-inv-tr:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ and } a::'U
assumes a1: single-valued r and a2: \forall i. (xi \ i, \ xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r
shows \land i. (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^+ \Longrightarrow (\exists \ j. \ i < j \land a = xi \ j)
proof -
  \mathbf{fix} i
 assume (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^+
 moreover have \bigwedge n. \forall i a. (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^{(i)}(Suc \ n) \longrightarrow (\exists \ j. \ i < j \land \ a = xi \ j)
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \forall i \ a. \ (xi \ i, \ a) \in r \cap (Suc \ n) \longrightarrow (\exists j. \ i < j \land a = xi \ j)
```

```
proof (induct n)
      show \forall i \ a. \ (xi \ i, \ a) \in r \cap (Suc \ \theta) \longrightarrow (\exists j > i. \ a = xi \ j)
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix i a
        assume (xi \ i, \ a) \in r (Suc \ \theta)
        then have (xi \ i, \ a) \in r \land (xi \ i, \ xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r  using a2 by simp
        then have a = xi (Suc i) using a1 unfolding single-valued-def by blast
        then show \exists j > i. a = xi j by force
      qed
    \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     assume d1: \forall i \ a. \ (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^{(Suc \ n)} \longrightarrow (\exists j > i. \ a = xi \ j)
     show \forall i \ a. \ (xi \ i, \ a) \in r \cap Suc \ (Suc \ n) \longrightarrow (\exists j > i. \ a = xi \ j)
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} i a
        assume (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^{\sim}(Suc \ (Suc \ n))
        then obtain b where (xi \ i, \ b) \in r (Suc \ n) \land (b, \ a) \in r  by force
        moreover then obtain j where e1: j > i \land b = xi j using d1 by blast
        ultimately have (xi j, a) \in r \land (xi j, xi (Suc j)) \in r using a2 by blast
        then have a = xi (Suc j) using a1 unfolding single-valued-def by blast
        moreover have Suc j > i using e1 by force
        ultimately show \exists j > i. a = xi j by blast
      qed
    qed
  qed
  ultimately show \exists j. \ i < j \land a = xi \ j \ using \ trancl-power[of - r] by (metis
Suc-pred')
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-rseq-svacyc-inv-rtr:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ and } a::'U
assumes a1: single-valued r and a2: \forall i. (xi \ i, \ xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r
shows \bigwedge i. (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^* \Longrightarrow (\exists \ j. \ i \le j \land a = xi \ j)
proof -
 \mathbf{fix} i
  assume b1: (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^*
 show \exists j. i \leq j \land a = xi j
  proof (cases xi \ i = a)
    assume xi i = a
    then show ?thesis by force
  next
    assume xi i \neq a
    then have (xi \ i, \ a) \in r^+ using b1 by (meson \ rtranclD)
    then obtain j where i < j \land a = xi \ j \ using \ assms \ lem-rseq-svacyc-inv-tr[of \ r]
xi i a] by blast
    then have i \leq j \wedge a = xi j by force
    then show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
```

```
lemma lem-ccrsv-cfseq:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes a1: r \neq \{\} and a2: CCR r and a3: single-valued r and a4: \forall x \in Field
r. \ r''\{x\} \neq \{\}
shows \exists xi. cfseq r xi
proof -
 have b1: Field r \neq \{\} \land (\forall x \in Field \ r. \exists y. (x,y) \in r)
   using a1 a4 unfolding Field-def by force
  moreover obtain f where f = (\lambda \ x. \ SOME \ y. \ (x,y) \in r) by blast
  ultimately have b2: \forall x \in Field \ r. \ (x, f \ x) \in r \ by \ (metis \ some I-ex)
  obtain x\theta where b\beta: x\theta \in Field\ r using b1 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
  obtain xi::nat \Rightarrow U where b4: xi = (\lambda n::nat. (f^n) x0) by blast
  obtain A where b5: A = xi 'UNIV by blast
  have r "A \subseteq A
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume a \in r"A
   then obtain i where (xi \ i, \ a) \in r using b5 by blast
    moreover then have (xi \ i, f \ (xi \ i)) \in r using b2 unfolding Field-def by
   moreover have f(xi i) = xi (Suc i) using b \not= b y simp
    ultimately have a = xi (Suc i) using a3 unfolding single-valued-def by
blast
   then show a \in A using b5 by blast
  qed
  then have b6: A \in Inv \ r \ unfolding \ Inv-def \ by \ blast
  have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists i. \ (a, xi \ i) \in r \hat{*}
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume a \in Field r
    then obtain b where (a,b) \in r^* \wedge (x\theta,b) \in r^* using b3 a2 unfolding
CCR-def by blast
   moreover have x\theta = xi \ \theta using b4 by simp
   ultimately have (a,b) \in r^* \land b \in A using b5 b6 lem-inv-rtr-mem[of A r x0]
b] by blast
   then show \exists i. (a, xi i) \in r \hat{\ } * using b5 by blast
  moreover have \bigwedge i. (xi \ i, \ xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r
  proof -
   fix i\theta
   show (xi \ i\theta, \ xi \ (Suc \ i\theta)) \in r
   proof (induct i\theta)
     show (xi \ \theta, xi \ (Suc \ \theta)) \in r  using b2 \ b3 \ b4 by simp
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} i
     assume (xi \ i, \ xi \ (Suc \ i)) \in r
     then have xi (Suc i) \in Field r unfolding Field-def by blast
     then show (xi \ (Suc \ i), \ xi \ (Suc \ (Suc \ i))) \in r \ using \ b2 \ b3 \ b4 \ by \ simp
```

```
qed
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding cfseq-def by blast
lemma lem-cfseq-fld: cfseq r xi \Longrightarrow xi ' UNIV \subseteq Field r
  using lem-rseq-rtr[of xi r] unfolding cfseq-def by blast
lemma lem-cfseq-inv: cfseq r xi \Longrightarrow single-valued r \Longrightarrow xi ' UNIV \in Inv r
  unfolding cfseq-def single-valued-def Inv-def by blast
lemma lem-scfinv-scf-int: A \in SCF \ r \cap Inv \ r \Longrightarrow B \in SCF \ r \Longrightarrow (A \cap B) \in
SCF r
proof -
  assume a1: A \in SCF \ r \cap Inv \ r and a2: B \in SCF \ r
 moreover have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in A \cap B. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume a \in Field r
    then obtain a' where b1: a' \in A \land a' \in Field \ r \land (a,a') \in r using a1
unfolding SCF-def by blast
   moreover then obtain b where b2: b \in B \land (a',b) \in r* using a2 unfolding
SCF-def by blast
   ultimately have (a, b) \in r^* by force
    moreover have b \in A \cap B using b1 b2 a1 lem-inv-rtr-mem[of A r a' b] by
blast
   ultimately show \exists b \in A \cap B. (a, b) \in r^* by blast
 ultimately show (A \cap B) \in SCF \ r \ unfolding \ SCF-def \ Inv-def \ by \ blast
lemma lem-scf-minr: a \in Field \ r \Longrightarrow B \in SCF \ r \Longrightarrow \exists \ b \in B. \ (a,b) \in (r \cap B)
((UNIV-B) \times UNIV))^*
proof -
 assume a1: a \in Field \ r \ and \ a2: B \in SCF \ r
 then obtain b' where b1: b' \in B \land (a,b') \in r^* unfolding SCF-def by blast
 then obtain n where (a,b') \in r^{n} using rtrancl-power by blast
 then obtain f where b2: f(0::nat) = a \land f = b' and b3: \forall i < n. (fi, f(Suc))
i)) \in r
   using relpow-fun-conv[of a b'] by blast
 obtain N where b_4: N = \{ i. f i \in B \} by blast
 obtain s where b5: s = r \cap ((UNIV - B) \times UNIV) by blast
 obtain m where m = (LEAST i. i \in N) by blast
 moreover have n \in N using b1 b2 b4 by blast
 ultimately have m \in N \land m \leq n \land (\forall i \in N. m \leq i) by (metis Least Least-le)
 then have m \leq n \land f m \in B \land (\forall i < m. f i \notin B) using b4 by force
  then have f = a \land f \in B \land (\forall i < m. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in s) using b2 b3 b5
by force
 then have f m \in B \land (a, f m) \in s \hat{\ } *
```

```
using relpow-fun-conv[of a f m] rtrancl-power[of - s] by metis
  then show \exists b \in B. (a,b) \in (r \cap ((UNIV-B) \times UNIV)) * using b5 by blast
qed
lemma lem-cfseq-ncl:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U
assumes a1: cfseq r xi and a2: \neg Conelike r
shows \forall n. \exists k. n \leq k \land (xi (Suc k), xi k) \notin r^*
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ n
 have (\forall k. n \leq k \longrightarrow (xi (Suc k), xi k) \in r^*) \longrightarrow False
    assume c1: \forall k. n \leq k \longrightarrow (xi \ (Suc \ k), xi \ k) \in r^*
    have \bigwedge k. n \leq k \longrightarrow (xi \ k, \ xi \ n) \in r \hat{*}
    proof -
      \mathbf{fix} \ k
      show n \leq k \longrightarrow (xi \ k, \ xi \ n) \in r \hat{} *
      proof (induct k)
        show n \leq \theta \longrightarrow (xi \ \theta, xi \ n) \in r \hat{\ } sby \ blast
      next
        \mathbf{fix} \ k
        assume e1: n \leq k \longrightarrow (xi \ k, \ xi \ n) \in r^*
        show n \leq Suc \ k \longrightarrow (xi \ (Suc \ k), xi \ n) \in r^*
        proof
          assume f1: n \leq Suc k
          show (xi (Suc k), xi n) \in r^*
          proof (cases n = Suc \ k)
            assume n = Suc k
            then show ?thesis using c1 by blast
          next
            assume n \neq Suc k
            then have (xi \ k, \ xi \ n) \in r^* \wedge (xi \ (Suc \ k), \ xi \ k) \in r^*  using f1 e1 c1
by simp
            then show ?thesis by force
          qed
        qed
      qed
    qed
    moreover have \forall k \leq n. (xi k, xi n) \in r^* \text{ using } a1 \text{ lem-rseq-rtr } \text{unfolding}
cfseq-def by blast
    moreover have \forall k::nat. k \leq n \vee n \leq k by force
    ultimately have b1: \forall k. (xi \ k, xi \ n) \in r \hat{*}  by blast
    have xi \ n \in Field \ r \ using \ a1 \ unfolding \ cfseq-def \ Field-def \ by \ blast
    moreover have b2: \forall a \in Field \ r. \ (a, xi \ n) \in r^*
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in Field r
     then obtain i where (a, xi i) \in r using a1 unfolding cfseq-def by blast
      moreover have (xi \ i, xi \ n) \in r \hat{\ } * using b1 by blast
```

```
ultimately show (a, xi \ n) \in r \hat{} * by force
   qed
   ultimately have Conelike r unfolding Conelike-def by blast
   then show False using a2 by blast
 then show \exists k. n \leq k \land (xi (Suc k), xi k) \notin r \hat{} * by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-cfseq-inj:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U
assumes a1: cfseq r xi and a2: acyclic r
shows inj xi
proof -
 have \forall i j. xi i = xi j \longrightarrow i = j
 proof (intro allI impI)
   fix i j
   assume c1: xi i = xi j
   have i < j \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume i < j
      then have (xi \ i, \ xi \ j) \in r^+ using a1 lem-rseq-tr unfolding cfseq-def by
blast
     then show False using c1 a2 unfolding acyclic-def by force
   moreover have j < i \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume j < i
      then have (xi \ j, \ xi \ i) \in r^+ using a lem-rseq-tr unfolding cfseq-def by
blast
     then show False using c1 a2 unfolding acyclic-def by force
   ultimately show i = j by simp
 then show ?thesis unfolding inj-on-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-cfseq-rmon:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U
assumes a1: cfseq r xi and a2: single-valued r and a3: acyclic r
shows \forall i j. (xi i, xi j) \in r^+ \longrightarrow i < j
proof (intro allI impI)
 fix i j
 assume c1: (xi \ i, xi \ j) \in r^+
 then obtain j' where c2: i < j' \land xi j' = xi j
   using a1 a2 lem-rseq-svacyc-inv-tr[of r xi i] unfolding cfseq-def by metis
 have j \leq i \longrightarrow False
  proof
   assume d1: j \leq i
   then have (xi \ j, \ xi \ i) \in r using c2 \ a1 \ lem-rseq-rtr unfolding cfseq-def by
```

```
blast
   then have (xi \ i, \ xi \ i) \in r^+ using c1 by force
   then show False using a3 unfolding acyclic-def by blast
 then show i < j by simp
qed
lemma lem-rseq-hd:
assumes \forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r
shows \forall i \leq n. (f \ \theta, f \ i) \in r \hat{\ } *
proof (intro allI impI)
 \mathbf{fix} \ i
 assume i \leq n
 then have \forall j < i. (f j, f (Suc j)) \in r \text{ using } assms \text{ by } force
 then have (f \ \theta, f \ i) \in r^{i} using relpow-fun-conv by metis
 then show (f \ \theta, f \ i) \in r using relpow-imp-rtrancl by blast
qed
lemma lem-rseq-tl:
assumes \forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r
shows \forall i \leq n. (f i, f n) \in r \hat{\ } *
proof (intro allI impI)
 \mathbf{fix} i
 assume b1: i \leq n
 obtain g where b2: g = (\lambda j. f(i + j)) by blast
 then have \forall j < n-i. (g j, g (Suc j)) \in r using assms by force
 moreover have g \ \theta = f \ i \land g \ (n-i) = f \ n \ using \ b1 \ b2 by simp
 ultimately have (f i, f n) \in r^{(n-i)} using relpow-fun-conv by metis
 then show (f i, f n) \in r* using relpow-imp-rtrancl by blast
qed
lemma lem-ccext-ntr-rpth: (a,b) \in r^{n} = (rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \neq \{\})
proof
 assume rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \neq \{\}
 then obtain f where f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n by blast
  then show (a,b) \in r^{\hat{}} n unfolding rpth-def using relpow-fun-conv[of a b] by
blast
next
 assume (a,b) \in r^{n}
 then obtain f where f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n unfolding rpth-def using relpow-fun-conv[of
a b] by blast
 then show rpth r a b n \neq \{\} by blast
lemma lem-ccext-rtr-rpth: (a,b) \in r^* \Longrightarrow \exists n. rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \neq \{\}
 using rtrancl-power lem-ccext-ntr-rpth by metis
lemma lem-ccext-rpth-rtr: rpth r a b n \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in r^*
 using rtrancl-power lem-ccext-ntr-rpth by metis
```

```
lemma lem-ccext-rtr-Fne:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } a \text{ } b::'U
shows (a,b) \in r^* = (\mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \neq \{\})
proof
  assume (a,b) \in r^*
  then obtain n f where f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \ using \ lem-ccext-rtr-rpth[of \ a \ b \ r] by
  then have f'\{i.\ i \le n\} \in \mathcal{F}\ r\ a\ b\ unfolding\ \mathcal{F}\text{-}def\ by\ blast
  then show \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \neq \{\} by blast
next
  assume \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \neq \{\}
  then obtain F where F \in \mathcal{F} r a b by blast
  then obtain n::nat and f::nat \Rightarrow U where F = f\{i. i \le n\} \land f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n
unfolding \mathcal{F}-def by blast
  then show (a,b) \in r* using lem-ccext-rpth-rtr[of r] by blast
qed
lemma lem-ccext-fprop: \mathcal{F} r a b \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{f} r a b \in \mathcal{F} r a b unfolding \mathfrak{f}-def using
some-in-eq by metis
lemma lem-ccext-ffin: finite (\mathfrak{f} r a b)
proof (cases \mathcal{F} r a b = {})
  assume \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b = \{\}
  then show finite (f \ r \ a \ b) unfolding f-def by simp
next
  assume \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \neq \{\}
  then have f r a b \in \mathcal{F} r a b using lem\text{-}ccext\text{-}fprop[of\ r] by blast
  then show finite (f \ r \ a \ b) unfolding \mathcal{F}-def by force
qed
lemma lem-ccr-fin-subr-ext:
fixes r s::'U rel
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: finite s
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). finite s' \land CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r
  have CCR {} unfolding CCR-def Field-def by blast
  then have \{\} \subseteq r \longrightarrow (\exists r''. CCR r'' \land \{\} \subseteq r'' \land r'' \subseteq r \land finite r'') by blast
  moreover have \bigwedge p R. finite R \Longrightarrow p \notin R \Longrightarrow
    R \subseteq r \longrightarrow (\exists r''. CCR r'' \land R \subseteq r'' \land r'' \subseteq r \land finite r'') \Longrightarrow
    insert p \ R \subseteq r \longrightarrow (\exists \ r''. \ CCR \ r'' \land \ insert \ p \ R \subseteq r'' \land r'' \subseteq r \land finite \ r'')
  proof
    fix p R
    assume c1: finite R and c2: p \notin R
      and c3: R \subseteq r \longrightarrow (\exists r''. CCR r'' \land R \subseteq r'' \land r'' \subseteq r \land finite r'') and c4:
insert p R \subseteq r
    then obtain r'' where c5: CCR r'' \wedge R \subseteq r'' \wedge r'' \subseteq r \wedge finite r'' by blast
    show \exists r'''. CCR r''' \land insert p R \subseteq r''' \land r''' \subseteq r \land finite r'''
    proof (cases r'' = \{\})
```

```
assume r'' = \{\}
               then have insert p R \subseteq \{p\} using c5 by blast
               moreover have CCR \{p\} unfolding CCR-def Field-def by fastforce
              ultimately show \exists r'''. CCR r''' \land insert p R \subseteq r''' \land r''' \subseteq r \land finite r'''
using c4 by blast
          next
               assume d1: r'' \neq \{\}
                then obtain xm where d2: xm \in Field \ r'' \land (\forall \ x \in Field \ r''. (x, xm) \in Field \ r''.
                    using c5 lem-Relprop-fin-ccr[of r''] unfolding Conelike-def by blast
               then have d3: xm \in Field \ r \ using \ c5 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
               obtain xp yp where d4: p = (xp, yp) by force
               then have d5: yp \in Field \ r \ using \ c4 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
              then obtain t where d\theta: t \in Field \ r \land (xm, t) \in r^* \land (yp, t) \in r^* using
a1 d3 unfolding CCR-def by blast
                    then obtain n m where d7: (xm, t) \in r^{n} \wedge (yp, t) \in r^{m} using
rtrancl-power by blast
                obtain fn where d8: fn (0::nat) = xm \land fn \ n = t \land (\forall i < n.) (fn i, fn(Suc)
(i) (i)
             obtain fm where d9: fm (0::nat) = yp \land fm \ m = t \land (\forall i < m. (fm \ i, fm(Suc
(i)) \in r) using d7 relpow-fun-conv[of yp t] by blast
               obtain A where d10: A = Field \ r'' \cup \{ xp \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \le n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n. \ x = fn \ i \} \cup \{ x. \exists i \in n.
x. \exists i \leq m. \ x = fm \ i \}  by blast
               obtain r''' where d11: r''' = r \cap (A \times A) by blast
               have d12: r'' \subseteq r''' using d10 \ d11 \ c5 unfolding Field-def by fastforce
               then have d13: Field r'' \subseteq Field \ r''' unfolding Field-def by blast
               have d14: r'' \hat{} = r''' \hat{}  using d12 rtrancl-mono by blast
               have d15: \forall i. i < n \longrightarrow (fn i, fn(Suc i)) \in r'''
               proof
                    \mathbf{fix} i
                    show i < n \longrightarrow (fn \ i, fn(Suc \ i)) \in r'''
                    proof (induct i)
                         show 0 < n \longrightarrow (fn \ \theta, fn \ (Suc \ \theta)) \in r'''
                         proof
                              assume 0 < n
                              moreover then have (Suc\ \theta) \le n by force
                                 ultimately have fn \ \theta \in A \land fn(Suc \ \theta) \in A \land (fn \ \theta, fn(Suc \ \theta)) \in r
using d8 d10 by fastforce
                              then show (fn \ \theta, fn \ (Suc \ \theta)) \in r''' using d11 by blast
                         qed
                    next
                         assume g1: i < n \longrightarrow (fn \ i, fn \ (Suc \ i)) \in r'''
                         show Suc i < n \longrightarrow (fn \ (Suc \ i), fn \ (Suc \ (Suc \ i))) \in r'''
                         proof
                              assume Suc \ i < n
                              moreover then have Suc\ (Suc\ i) \le n by simp
                             moreover then have (fn \ i, fn \ (Suc \ i)) \in r''' using g1 by simp
                             ultimately show (fn\ (Suc\ i), fn\ (Suc\ (Suc\ i))) \in r''' using d8\ d10\ d11
```

```
by blast
         qed
       qed
     qed
     have d16: \forall i. i < m \longrightarrow (fm \ i, fm(Suc \ i)) \in r'''
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} i
       show i < m \longrightarrow (fm \ i, fm(Suc \ i)) \in r'''
       proof (induct i)
         show 0 < m \longrightarrow (fm \ 0, fm \ (Suc \ 0)) \in r'''
         proof
           assume \theta < m
           moreover then have (Suc \ \theta) \leq m by force
           ultimately have fm \ \theta \in A \land fm(Suc \ \theta) \in A \land (fm \ \theta, fm(Suc \ \theta)) \in r
using d9 d10 by fastforce
           then show (fm \ \theta, fm \ (Suc \ \theta)) \in r''' using d11 by blast
         qed
       \mathbf{next}
         \mathbf{fix} i
         assume g1: i < m \longrightarrow (fm \ i, fm \ (Suc \ i)) \in r'''
         show Suc i < m \longrightarrow (fm \ (Suc \ i), fm \ (Suc \ (Suc \ i))) \in r'''
         proof
           assume Suc \ i < m
           moreover then have Suc\ (Suc\ i) \leq m by simp
           moreover then have (fm \ i, fm \ (Suc \ i)) \in r''' using g1 by simp
            ultimately show (fm\ (Suc\ i), fm\ (Suc\ (Suc\ i))) \in r''' using d9\ d10
d11 by blast
         qed
       qed
     qed
       have d17: (xm, t) \in r''' using d8 d15 relpow-fun-conv[of xm t n r''']
rtrancl-power by blast
     then have d18: t \in Field \ r''' using d2 d13 by (metis FieldI2 rtrancl.cases
subsetCE)
       have d19: (yp, t) \in r''' using d9 d16 relpow-fun-conv[of yp t m r''']
rtrancl-power by blast
     have d20: \forall j \leq n. (fn j, t) \in r''' \hat{} *
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix j
       assume j \leq n
       moreover obtain f' where f' = (\lambda k. fn (j + k)) by blast
       ultimately have f' \theta = fn j \wedge f' (n - j) = t \wedge (\forall i < n - j. (f' i, f' (Suc
i)) \in r'''
         using d8 \ d15 \ \text{by} \ simp
       then show (fn \ j, \ t) \in r''' \hat{\ } *
         using relpow-fun-conv[of fn j t n - j r'''] rtrancl-power by blast
     have d21: \forall j \leq m. (fm j, t) \in r''' \hat{} *
     proof (intro allI impI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \; j
       assume j \leq m
       moreover obtain f' where f' = (\lambda k. fm (j + k)) by blast
       ultimately have f' \theta = fm \ j \wedge f' (m - j) = t \wedge (\forall i < m - j) \cdot (f' i, f' i)
(Suc\ i)) \in r'''
        using d9 \ d16 by simp
       then show (\mathit{fm}\ j,\ t)\in r'''\widehat{\ }*
         using relpow-fun-conv[of fm j t m - j r'''] rtrancl-power by blast
     have r''' \subseteq r using d11 by blast
     moreover have d22: insert p R \subseteq r'''
       moreover have R \subseteq r'''
       proof
         fix p'
         assume p' \in R
        moreover then have p' \in Field \ R \times Field \ R using Restr-Field by blast
          moreover have Field R \subseteq Field \ r'' using c5 unfolding Field-def by
blast
         ultimately show p' \in r''' using c4 d10 d11 by blast
       qed
       ultimately show ?thesis by blast
     moreover have finite r''' using c5 d10 d11 finite-Field by fastforce
     moreover have CCR r'''
     proof -
       let ?jn = \lambda a b. \exists c \in Field r'''. (a,c) \in r''' \hat{} * \wedge (b,c) \in r''' \hat{} *
       have \forall a \in Field \ r'''. \forall b \in Field \ r'''. ?jn a b
       proof (intro ballI)
         \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
         assume f1: a \in Field \ r''' and f2: b \in Field \ r'''
         then have f3: a \in A \land b \in A using d11 unfolding Field-def by blast
         have f_4: (xp, t) \in r'''* using d_4 d19 d22 by force
         have a \in Field r'' \longrightarrow ?jn \ a \ b
          assume g1: a \in Field r''
          then have g2:(a, t) \in r''' using d2 d14 d17 by fastforce
          have b \in Field \ r'' \longrightarrow ?jn \ a \ b \ using \ c5 \ d13 \ d14 \ g1 \ unfolding \ CCR-def
by blast
          moreover have ?jn a xp using d4 d18 d19 d22 g2 by force
          moreover have \forall j \leq n. ?jn a (fn j) using d18 d20 g2 by blast
          moreover have \forall j \leq m. ?jn a (fm j) using d18 d21 g2 by blast
          ultimately show ?jn a b using d10 f3 by blast
         qed
         moreover have ?jn xp b
         proof -
          have b \in Field r'' \longrightarrow ?jn xp b
          proof
```

```
then have (b, xm) \in r''' \hat{} * using d14 d2 by blast
            then show ?jn xp b using d17 d18 f4 by force
          moreover have ?jn xp xp using d4 d22 unfolding Field-def by blast
          moreover have \forall j \le n. ?jn xp (fn j) using d18 d20 f4 by blast
          moreover have \forall j \leq m. ?jn xp (fm j) using d18 d21 f4 by blast
          ultimately show ?jn xp b using d10 f3 by blast
         qed
         moreover have \forall i \leq n. ?jn (fn i) b
         proof (intro allI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ i
          assume g1: i \leq n
          have b \in Field r'' \longrightarrow ?jn (fn i) b
          proof
            assume b \in Field r''
            then have (b, t) \in r''' s using d2 d14 d17 by fastforce
            then show ?jn (fn i) b using d18 d20 g1 by blast
          moreover have ?jn (fn i) xp using d18 d20 f4 g1 by blast
          moreover have \forall j \leq n. ?jn (fn i) (fn j) using d18 d20 g1 by blast
          moreover have \forall j \leq m. ?jn (fn i) (fm j) using d18 d20 d21 g1 by blast
          ultimately show ?jn (fn i) b using d10 f3 by blast
         qed
         moreover have \forall i \leq m. ?jn (fm i) b
         proof (intro allI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} i
          assume g1: i \leq m
          have b \in Field r'' \longrightarrow ?jn (fm i) b
          proof
            assume b \in Field r''
            then have (b, t) \in r''' s using d2 d14 d17 by fastforce
            then show ?jn (fm i) b using d18 d21 g1 by blast
          moreover have ?jn (fm i) xp using d18 d21 f4 g1 by blast
          moreover have \forall j \le n. ?jn (fm i) (fn j) using d18 d20 d21 g1 by blast
          moreover have \forall j \leq m. ?jn (fm i) (fm j) using d18 d21 g1 by blast
          ultimately show ?jn (fm i) b using d10 f3 by blast
         qed
         ultimately show ?jn a b using d10 f3 by blast
       then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
     ultimately show \exists r'''. CCR r''' \land insert p R \subseteq r''' \land r''' \subseteq r \land finite r'''
\mathbf{by} blast
   qed
 qed
  ultimately have \exists r''. CCR \ r'' \land s \subseteq r'' \land r'' \subseteq r \land finite \ r''
   using a2 a3 finite-induct[of s \lambda h. h \subseteq r \longrightarrow (\exists r''. CCR r'' \land h \subseteq r'' \land r''
```

assume $b \in Field r''$

```
\subseteq r \land finite \ r'')] by simp
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-fint:
fixes r s::'U rel and a b::'U
assumes a1: Restr r (f r a b) \subseteq s and a2: (a,b) \in r^*
shows \{a, b\} \subseteq f \ r \ a \ b \land (\forall c \in f \ r \ a \ b. \ (a,c) \in s \widehat{\ } * \land \ (c,b) \in s \widehat{\ } *)
proof -
  obtain A where b1: A = f r a b by blast
  then have A \in \mathcal{F} r a b using a2 lem-ccext-rtr-Fne[of a b r] lem-ccext-fprop[of
  then obtain n f where b2: A = f \{i. i \leq n\} and b3: f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n
unfolding \mathcal{F}-def by blast
  then have \forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in Restr \ r \ A \ unfolding \ rpth-def \ by \ simp
  then have b4: \forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in s \text{ using } a1 b1 \text{ by } blast
  have \{a, b\} \subseteq f r a b using b1 b2 b3 unfolding rpth-def by blast
  moreover have \forall c \in f \ r \ a \ b. \ (a,c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land \ (c,b) \in s \hat{\ } *
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ c
    assume c \in \mathfrak{f} \ r \ a \ b
    then obtain k where c1: k \le n \land c = f k using b1 \ b2 by blast
    have f \in rpth \ s \ a \ c \ k \ using \ c1 \ b3 \ b4 \ unfolding \ rpth-def \ by \ simp
   moreover have (\lambda \ i. \ f \ (i+k)) \in rpth \ s \ c \ b \ (n-k) using c1 b3 b4 unfolding
rpth-def by simp
    ultimately show (a,c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (c,b) \in s \hat{\ } *  using lem\text{-}ccext\text{-}rpth\text{-}rtr[of\ s] by
blast
  ged
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
lemma lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld:
fixes r r' :: 'U rel
assumes CCR \ r and r \subseteq r' and Field \ r' = Field \ r
shows CCR \ r'
proof -
  have \forall a \in Field \ r' . \ \forall b \in Field \ r' . \ \exists c \in Field \ r' . \ (a, c) \in r' \hat{*} \\ \land (b, c) \in r' \hat{*}
  proof (intro ballI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
    assume a \in Field \ r' and b \in Field \ r'
    then have a \in Field \ r \land b \in Field \ r \ using \ assms \ by \ blast
    then obtain c where c \in Field \ r \land (a, c) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (b, c) \in r \hat{\ } *  using assms
unfolding CCR-def by blast
   then have c \in Field \ r' \land (a, c) \in r' \hat{*} \land (b, c) \in r' \hat{*} \text{ using } assms \ rtrancl-mono
\mathbf{by} blast
    then show \exists c \in Field \ r'. \ (a, c) \in r' \hat{} * \land (b, c) \in r' \hat{} *  by blast
  then show CCR \ r' unfolding CCR-def by blast
qed
```

```
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext:
fixes r s::'U rel and x::'U
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: finite s and a5: x \in Field r
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). finite s' \land CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land x \in Field \ s'
proof -
     obtain y where b1: (x,y) \in r \vee (y,x) \in r using a5 unfolding Field-def by
     then obtain x' y' where b2: \{x',y'\} = \{x,y\} \land (x',y') \in r by blast
     obtain s1 where b3: s1 = s \cup \{(x',y')\} by blast
     then have finite s1 using a3 by blast
    moreover have s1 \subseteq r using b2 b3 a2 by blast
    ultimately obtain s' where b4: finite s' \land CCR s' \land s1 \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r using
a1 lem-ccr-fin-subr-ext[of r s1] by blast
     moreover have x \in Field \ s1 using b2 \ b3 unfolding Field-def by blast
    ultimately have x \in Field \ s' unfolding Field-def by blast
    then show ?thesis using b3 b4 by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-dext:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel } and A::'U \text{ set}
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: A \subseteq Field \ r and a3: finite A
shows \exists s:('U \ rel). \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land A \subseteq Field \ s
proof -
    have finite \{\} \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \{\} \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \cap CCR \ s
s) unfolding CCR-def Field-def by blast
     moreover have \forall x F. finite F \longrightarrow x \notin F \longrightarrow
            finite F \wedge F \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \wedge CCR \ s \wedge s \subseteq r \wedge F \subseteq Field \ s) \longrightarrow
             finite (insert x F) \land insert x F \subseteq Field r \longrightarrow
         (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land \ CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land \ insert \ x \ F \subseteq Field \ s)
     proof(intro\ allI\ impI)
         fix x F
         assume c1: finite F and c2: x \notin F and c3: finite F \land F \subseteq Field\ r
                       and c4: \exists s. finite <math>s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land F \subseteq Field \ s
                       and c5: finite (insert x F) \wedge insert x F \subseteq Field r
         then obtain s where c6: finite s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land F \subseteq Field \ s by blast
         moreover have x \in Field \ r \ using \ c5 \ by \ blast
         ultimately obtain s' where finite s' \land CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land x \in Field
s'
              using a lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext[of r s x] by blast
         moreover then have insert x F \subseteq Field \ s' using c6 unfolding Field-def by
         ultimately show \exists s'. finite s' \land CCR \ s' \land s' \subseteq r \land insert \ x \ F \subseteq Field \ s' by
blast
     qed
    ultimately have finite A \land A \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists s. \ finite \ s \land \ CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land s )
A \subseteq Field \ s
         using finite-induct of A \land A. finite A \land A \subseteq Field \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \ s. \ finite \ s \land CCR)
s \wedge s \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field \ s)
```

```
by simp
  then show ?thesis using a2 a3 by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext:
fixes r s::'U rel and x::'U
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: \neg finite s and a5: x \in Field r
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). \ CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| = o \ |s| \land x \in Field \ s'
proof -
  obtain G::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ set where b1: G = (\lambda \ A. \ \{t::'U \ rel. \ finite \ t \land CCR
t \wedge t \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field \ t) by blast
  obtain g:'U set \Rightarrow 'U rel where b2: g = (\lambda A. \text{ if } A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite A \text{ then}
(SOME t. t \in G A) else \{\}) by blast
 have b3: \forall A. A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq A
r \wedge A \subseteq Field (q A)
  proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ A
   assume c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A
   then have g A = (SOME \ t. \ t \in G \ A) using b2 by simp
   moreover have G A \neq \{\} using b1 a1 c1 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-dext[of r A] by
    ultimately have g A \in G A using some-in-eq by metis
    then show finite (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq r \land A \subseteq Field \ (g \ A) using
b1 by blast
  qed
  have b4: \forall A. \neg (A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A) \longrightarrow g \ A = \{\}  using b2 by simp
  obtain H::'U set \Rightarrow 'U set
    where b5: H = (\lambda X. X \cup \bigcup \{S . \exists a \in X. \exists b \in X. S = Field (g \{a,b\})\}) by
blast
  obtain ax bx where b6: (ax, bx) \in r \land x \in \{ax, bx\} using a5 unfolding
Field-def by blast
  obtain D0::'U set where b7: D0 = Field \ s \cup \{ax, bx\} by blast
  obtain Di::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set where } b8: Di = (\lambda n. (H^n) D0) \text{ by } blast
  obtain D::'U set where b9: D = \bigcup \{X. \exists n. X = Di n\} by blast
  obtain s' where b10: s' = Restr \ r \ D by blast
  have b11: \forall n. (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| < o |s|
  proof
   fix n\theta
   show (\neg finite (Di n\theta)) \land |Di n\theta| \leq o |s|
   proof (induct \ n\theta)
      have finite \{ax, bx\} by blast
      moreover have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
      ultimately have \neg finite (Field s) \land |{ax, bx}| \leq o |Field s|
       using card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-infinite ordLeq-total by metis
      then have |D\theta| = o |Field s| using b7 card-of-Un-infinite by blast
      moreover have |Field \ s| = o \ |s| using a3 lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
      ultimately have |D\theta| \le o |s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordIso-transitive by
blast
      moreover have \neg finite D0 using a3 b7 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
```

```
ultimately show \neg finite (Di \ \theta) \land |Di \ \theta| \le o |s| using b8 by simp
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     assume d1: (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| \le o |s|
     moreover then have |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| = o |Di \ n| by simp
       ultimately have d2: |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| \le o \ |s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq
ordLeq-transitive by blast
     have d3: \forall a \in (Di \ n). \ \forall b \in (Di \ n). \ |Field (g \{a, b\})| \leq o \ |s|
     proof (intro ballI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
       assume a \in (Di \ n) and b \in (Di \ n)
       have finite (g \{a, b\}) using b3 b4 by (metis\ finite.emptyI)
       then have finite (Field (g \{a, b\})) using lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
        then have |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| < o\ |s| using a3 finite-ordLess-infinite2 by
blast
       then show |Field (g \{a, b\})| \le o |s| using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
     have d4: Di(Suc(n)) = H(Di(n)) using b8 by simp
     then have Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ n) using b5 by blast
     then have \neg finite (Di (Suc n)) using d1 finite-subset by blast
     moreover have |Di(Suc(n))| \le o|s|
     proof -
       obtain I where e1: I = (Di \ n) \times (Di \ n) by blast
       obtain f where e2: f = (\lambda (a,b). Field (g {a,b})) by blast
       have |I| \le o |s| using e1 d2 by blast
       moreover have \forall i \in I. |f| \le o |s| using e1 \ e2 \ d3 by simp
     ultimately have || || i \in I. fi| \le o |s| using a card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite of
s \ I \ f by blast
       moreover have Di(Suc(n) = (Di(n) \cup (I) i \in I. fi) using e1 e2 d4 b5 by
blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using d1 a3 by simp
     ultimately show (\neg finite\ (Di\ (Suc\ n))) \land |Di\ (Suc\ n)| \le o\ |s| by blast
   qed
  qed
  have b12: \forall m. \forall n. n \leq m \longrightarrow Di n \leq Di m
  proof
   fix m\theta
   \mathbf{show} \ \forall \ n. \ n \leq m\theta \longrightarrow Di \ n \leq Di \ m\theta
   proof (induct \ m\theta)
     show \forall n \leq \theta. Di n \subseteq Di \theta by blast
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ m
     assume d1: \forall n \leq m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ m
     show \forall n \leq Suc \ m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m)
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume e1: n \leq Suc m
       have Di(Suc(m)) = H(Di(m)) using b8 by simp
```

```
moreover have Di m \subseteq H (Di m) using b5 by blast
       ultimately have n \leq m \longrightarrow Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) using d1 by blast
       moreover have n = (Suc \ m) \lor n \le m using e1 by force
       ultimately show Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) by blast
     ged
   qed
  qed
 have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
 then have b13: Field s \subseteq D using b7 b8 by simp
  then have b14: s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r using a2\ b10 unfolding Field-def by force
 moreover have b15: |D| \le o|s|
 proof -
   have |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |s| using a infinite-iff-card-of-nat by blast
   then have || \int n. Di \ n| \le o \ |s| using b11 a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of]
s UNIV Di] by blast
   moreover have D = (\bigcup n. Di n) using b9 by force
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
 moreover have |s'| = o |s|
  proof -
   have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have \neg finite D using b13 finite-subset by blast
   then have |D \times D| = o |D| by simp
   moreover have s' \subseteq D \times D using b10 by blast
    ultimately have |s'| \le o |s| using b15 card-of-mono1 ordLeq-ordIso-trans or-
dLeq-transitive by metis
   moreover have |s| \le o |s'| using b14 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
  qed
 moreover have x \in Field \ s'
 proof -
   have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
   then have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D using b7 b8 by simp
   then have (ax, bx) \in s' using b6 \ b10 by blast
   then show ?thesis using b6 unfolding Field-def by blast
 qed
 moreover have CCR s'
 proof -
    have \forall a \in Field \ s'. \ \forall b \in Field \ s'. \ \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in (b,c) 
(s')^{\hat{}}
   proof (intro ballI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume d1: a \in Field \ s' and d2: b \in Field \ s'
     then have d3: a \in D \land b \in D using b10 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
     then obtain ia\ ib\ where d_4: a\in Di\ ia\ \land\ b\in Di\ ib\ using b9\ by blast
     obtain k where d5: k = (max \ ia \ ib) by blast
     then have ia \leq k \wedge ib \leq k by simp
     then have d\theta: a \in Di \ k \land b \in Di \ k using d4 \ b12 by blast
     obtain p where d7: p = g \{a,b\} by blast
```

```
have Field p \subseteq H (Di k) using b5 d6 d7 by blast
     moreover have H(Di k) = Di(Suc k) using b8 by simp
     moreover have Di(Suc(k)) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
     ultimately have d8: Field p \subseteq D by blast
     have \{a, b\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ d2 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     moreover have finite \{a, b\} by simp
     ultimately have d9: CCR p \land p \subseteq r \land \{a,b\} \subseteq Field p using d7 b3 by blast
    then obtain c where d10: c \in Field \ p \land (a,c) \in p \hat{\ } \land (b,c) \in p \hat{\ } \ast \text{ unfolding}
CCR-def by blast
     have (p "D) \subseteq D using d8 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
     then have D \in Inv \ p unfolding Inv-def by blast
    then have p \hat{\ } \cap (D \times (UNIV::'Uset)) \subseteq (Restr \ p \ D) \hat{\ } \cap (D \times (UNIV::'Uset))
D p by blast
     moreover have Restr \ p \ D \subseteq s' using d9 \ b10 by blast
        moreover have (a,c) \in p^* \cap (D \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) \wedge (b,c) \in p^* \cap
(D \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) using d10 d3 by blast
     ultimately have (a,c) \in (s') \hat{} * \wedge (b,c) \in (s') \hat{} * using rtrancl-mono by blast
     moreover then have c \in Field \ s' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
     ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{} * \land (b,c) \in (s') \hat{} *  by blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-set-ext:
fixes r s::'U rel and A::'U set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: finite s and a4: A \subseteq Field r and
a5: finite A
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). \ CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land finite \ s' \land A \subseteq Field \ s'
proof -
 obtain Pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } p1: Pt = (\lambda x. \{ p \in r. x = fst \ p \lor x = snd \ p \})
by blast
 obtain pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \times 'U where p2: pt = (\lambda x. (SOME p. p \in Pt x)) by blast
 have \forall x \in A. Pt x \neq \{\} using a4 unfolding p1 Field-def by force
  then have p3: \forall x \in A. pt \ x \in Pt \ x unfolding p2 by (metis (full-types) Col-
lect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq someI-ex)
  have b2: pt'A \subseteq r using p1 p3 by blast
  obtain s1 where b3: s1 = s \cup (pt'A) by blast
  then have finite s1 using a3 a5 by blast
 moreover have s1 \subseteq r using b2 \ b3 \ a2 by blast
  ultimately obtain s' where b4: finite s' \land CCR s' \land s1 \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r using
a1 lem-ccr-fin-subr-ext[of r s1] by blast
  moreover have A \subseteq Field \ s1
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in A
   then have pt \ x \in s1 using b3 by blast
   moreover obtain ax bx where c2: pt x = (ax,bx) by force
```

```
ultimately have ax \in Field \ s1 \land bx \in Field \ s1 unfolding Field \ def by force
    then show x \in Field \ s1 using c1 \ c2 \ p1 \ p3 by force
  qed
  ultimately have A \subseteq Field \ s' unfolding Field-def by blast
  then show ?thesis using b3 b4 by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-infsubccr-set-ext:
fixes r s::'U rel and A::'U set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: \neg finite s and a4: A \subseteq Field\ r and
a5: |A| \leq o |Field s|
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). \ CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| = o \ |s| \land A \subseteq Field \ s'
proof -
  obtain G::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ set where b1: G = (\lambda \ A. \ \{t::'U \ rel. \ finite \ t \land CCR
t \wedge t \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field \ t}) by blast
  obtain q::'U set \Rightarrow 'U rel where b2: q = (\lambda A. \text{ if } A \subseteq Field r \land finite A \text{ then}
(SOME t. t \in G A) else \{\}) by blast
 have b3: \forall A. A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq A
r \wedge A \subseteq Field (g A)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} A
    assume c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A
    then have g A = (SOME \ t. \ t \in G \ A) using b2 by simp
    moreover have G A \neq \{\} using b1 a1 c1 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-dext[of r A] by
    ultimately have g A \in G A using some-in-eq by metis
    then show finite (q A) \wedge CCR (q A) \wedge (q A) \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field (q A) using
b1 by blast
  qed
 have b4: \forall A. \neg (A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A) \longrightarrow g \ A = \{\}  using b2 by simp
  obtain H::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set
    where b5: H = (\lambda X. X \cup \bigcup \{S . \exists a \in X. \exists b \in X. S = Field (g \{a,b\})\}) by
blast
  obtain Pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } p1: Pt = (\lambda x. \{p \in r. x = fst \ p \lor x = snd \ p\})
by blast
  obtain pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \times 'U where p2: pt = (\lambda x. (SOME p. p \in Pt x)) by blast
 have \forall x \in A. Pt x \neq \{\} using a4 unfolding p1 Field-def by force
  then have p3: \forall x \in A. pt x \in Pt x unfolding p2 by (metis (full-types) Col-
lect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq someI-ex)
  obtain D0 where b7: D0 = Field \ s \cup fst'(pt'A) \cup snd'(pt'A) by blast
  obtain Di::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set where } b8: Di = (\lambda n. (H^n) D0) \text{ by } blast
  obtain D::'U set where b9: D = \bigcup \{X. \exists n. X = Di n\} by blast
  obtain s' where b10: s' = Restr \ r \ D by blast
  have b11: \forall n. (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| \leq o |s|
  proof
    fix n\theta
    show (\neg finite (Di n\theta)) \land |Di n\theta| \le o |s|
    proof (induct \ n\theta)
      have |D\theta| = o |Field s|
```

```
proof -
       have |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |(pt'A)| \wedge |(pt'A)| \le o |A| by simp
       then have c1: |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |A| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
       have |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |(pt'A)| \wedge |(pt'A)| \le o |A| by simp
       then have c2: |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |A| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
       have |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |Field s| \land |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |Field s|
         using c1 c2 a5 ordLeq-transitive by blast
       moreover have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
       ultimately have c3: |D\theta| \le o |Field s| unfolding b7 by simp
       have Field \ s \subseteq D\theta unfolding b7 by blast
       then have |Field \ s| \le o \ |D\theta| by simp
       then show ?thesis using c3 ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
     qed
     moreover have |Field \ s| = o \ |s| using a3 lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
      ultimately have |D\theta| < o |s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordIso-transitive by
blast
     moreover have ¬ finite D0 using a3 b7 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
     ultimately show \neg finite (Di 0) \land |Di 0| \le 0 |s| using b8 by simp
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     assume d1: (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| \le o |s|
     moreover then have |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| = o \ |Di \ n| by simp
       ultimately have d2: |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| \le o \ |s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq
ordLeq-transitive by blast
     have d3: \forall a \in (Di \ n). \ \forall b \in (Di \ n). \ |Field \ (g \ \{a, b\})| \le o \ |s|
     proof (intro ballI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
       assume a \in (Di \ n) and b \in (Di \ n)
       have finite (g \{a, b\}) using b3 \ b4 by (metis \ finite.emptyI)
       then have finite (Field (g \{a, b\})) using lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
        then have |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| < o\ |s| using a finite-ord Less-infinite by
blast
       then show |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| \le o\ |s| using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
     have d4: Di(Suc(n)) = H(Di(n)) using b8 by simp
     then have Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ n) using b5 by blast
     then have \neg finite (Di (Suc n)) using d1 finite-subset by blast
     moreover have |Di(Suc(n))| \le o|s|
     proof -
       obtain I where e1: I = (Di \ n) \times (Di \ n) by blast
       obtain f where e2: f = (\lambda (a,b). Field (g {a,b})) by blast
       have |I| \le o |s| using e1 d2 by blast
       moreover have \forall i \in I. |f| \le o |s| using e1 e2 d3 by simp
     ultimately have |\bigcup i \in I. fi| \le o|s| using a a card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite [of]
s \ I \ f] by blast
       moreover have Di(Suc(n) = (Di(n) \cup (\bigcup i \in I. fi) \text{ using } e1 \ e2 \ d4 \ b5 \text{ by}
blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using d1 a3 by simp
     qed
```

```
ultimately show (\neg finite\ (Di\ (Suc\ n))) \land |Di\ (Suc\ n)| \le o\ |s| by blast
   qed
  qed
  have b12: \forall m. \forall n. n \leq m \longrightarrow Di n \leq Di m
  proof
   fix m\theta
   \mathbf{show} \ \forall \ n. \ n \leq m\theta \longrightarrow Di \ n \leq Di \ m\theta
   proof (induct \ m\theta)
     show \forall n \leq 0. Di n \subseteq Di \ 0 by blast
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ m
     assume d1: \forall n \leq m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ m
     show \forall n \leq Suc \ m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m)
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume e1: n \leq Suc m
       have Di(Suc(m)) = H(Di(m)) using b8 by simp
       moreover have Di m \subseteq H (Di m) using b5 by blast
       ultimately have n \leq m \longrightarrow Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) using d1 by blast
       moreover have n = (Suc \ m) \lor n \le m  using e1 by force
       ultimately show Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) by blast
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
  qed
  have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
  then have b13: Field s \subseteq D using b7 b8 by simp
  then have b14: s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r using a2 b10 unfolding Field-def by force
  moreover have b15: |D| \le o|s|
  proof -
   have |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |s| using a infinite-iff-card-of-nat by blast
   then have || \int n. Di n| \le o |s| using b11 a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of
s UNIV Di] by blast
   moreover have D = (\bigcup n. Di n) using b9 by force
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  moreover have |s'| = o |s|
  proof -
   have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have \neg finite D using b13 finite-subset by blast
   then have |D \times D| = o |D| by simp
   moreover have s' \subseteq D \times D using b10 by blast
    ultimately have |s'| \le o |s| using b15 card-of-mono1 ordLeq-ordIso-trans or-
dLeq-transitive by metis
   moreover have |s| \le o |s'| using b14 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
  moreover have A \subseteq Field \ s'
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
```

```
assume c1: x \in A
   obtain ax bx where c2: ax = fst (pt x) \wedge bx = snd (pt x) by blast
   have pt \ x \in Pt \ x  using c1 \ p3 by blast
   then have c3: (ax, bx) \in r \land x \in \{ax, bx\} using c2 \ p1 by simp
   have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D0 using b7 c1 c2 by blast
   moreover have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
   moreover have Di \theta = D\theta using b8 by simp
   ultimately have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D by blast
   then have (ax, bx) \in s' using c3 \ b10 by blast
   then show x \in Field \ s' using c3 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
  qed
 moreover have CCR \ s'
 proof -
    have \forall a \in Field \ s'. \ \forall b \in Field \ s'. \ \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in
   proof (intro ballI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume d1: a \in Field \ s' and d2: b \in Field \ s'
     then have d3: a \in D \land b \in D using b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then obtain ia ib where d4: a \in Di ia \land b \in Di ib using b9 by blast
     obtain k where d5: k = (max \ ia \ ib) by blast
     then have ia \leq k \wedge ib \leq k by simp
     then have d\theta: a \in Di \ k \land b \in Di \ k using d4 \ b12 by blast
     obtain p where d7: p = g \{a,b\} by blast
     have Field p \subseteq H (Di k) using b5 d6 d7 by blast
     moreover have H(Di k) = Di(Suc k) using b8 by simp
     moreover have Di (Suc k) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
     ultimately have d8: Field p \subseteq D by blast
     have \{a, b\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ d2 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     moreover have finite \{a, b\} by simp
     ultimately have d9: CCR p \land p \subseteq r \land \{a,b\} \subseteq Field p using d7 b3 by blast
    then obtain c where d10: c \in Field \ p \land (a,c) \in p \ \hat{} * \land (b,c) \in p \ \hat{} *  unfolding
CCR-def by blast
     have (p "D) \subseteq D using d8 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then have D \in Inv \ p unfolding Inv-def by blast
    then have p \hat{\ } \cap (D \times (UNIV::'Uset)) \subseteq (Restr \ p \ D) \hat{\ } \cap (D \times (UNIV::'Uset))
D p by blast
     moreover have Restr \ p \ D \subseteq s' using d9 \ b10 by blast
        moreover have (a,c) \in p^* \cap (D \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) \wedge (b,c) \in p^* \cap
(D \times (UNIV::'U\ set)) using d10 d3 by blast
     ultimately have (a,c) \in (s') \hat{} * \wedge (b,c) \in (s') \hat{} * using rtrancl-mono by blast
     moreover then have c \in Field \ s' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
     ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } *  by blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

```
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5:
fixes r::'U rel and A B::'U set and x::'U
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: finite A and a3: A \in SF r
shows \exists A'::('U \ set). \ (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land CCR \ (Restr \ r 
                                            \land \ (\forall \ a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor \ r``\{a\} \cap (A' - B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r \land ((\exists \ y :: 'U. \ A' - B = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B)) 
proof -
    have q1: Field (Restr r A) = A using a3 unfolding SF-def by blast
    obtain s where s = (Restr \ r \ A) by blast
    then have q2: s \subseteq r and q3: finite s and q4: A = Field s
        using a2 q1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (blast, metis, blast)
    obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ r''\{a\} - B) by blast
    obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
    obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
    moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
    ultimately have \forall a. f a \in S' a by (metis some I-ex)
    then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
        unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
    obtain y1 y2::'U where n1: Field r \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field r
                                         and n2: (\neg (\exists y::'U. Field r - B \subseteq \{y\})) \longrightarrow y1 \notin B \land y2 \notin B
\wedge y1 \neq y2 by blast
    obtain A1 where b4: A1 = (\{x,y1,y2\} \cap Field\ r) \cup A \cup (f\ 'A) by blast
    have A1 \subseteq Field\ r
    proof -
        have c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ q4 \ q2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
        moreover have f ' A \subseteq Field \ r
        proof
            \mathbf{fix} \ x
            assume x \in f ' A
            then obtain a where d2: a \in A \land x = f \ a by blast
            show x \in Field r
            proof (cases\ S\ a = \{\})
                assume S a = \{\}
                then have x = a using c1 d2 b3 by blast
                then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ d2 \ c1 \ by \ blast
            next
                 assume S \ a \neq \{\}
                then have x \in S a using d2 b3 by blast
                then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
            qed
        qed
        ultimately show A1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b4 by blast
    moreover have s0: finite A1 using b4 q3 q4 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
    ultimately obtain s' where s1: CCR s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land finite s' \land A1 \subseteq
        using a1 q2 q3 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-set-ext[of r s A1] by blast
    obtain A' where s2: A' = Field \ s' by blast
```

```
obtain s'' where s3: s'' = Restr \ r \ A' by blast
  then have s4: s' \subseteq s'' \land Field s'' = A' \text{ using } s1 \ s2 \ lem-Relprop-fld-sat[of s' r]
s'' by blast
  have s5: finite (Field s') using s1 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
  have A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 \ s1 \ s2 by blast
  moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ A')
  proof -
    have CCR s" using s1 s2 s4 lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld[of s' s"] by blast
    then show ?thesis using s3 by blast
  qed
  ultimately have b6: A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field\ r) \subseteq A' \wedge CCR\ (Restr\ r\ A') by blast
  moreover then have A \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b \not \downarrow b y blast
  moreover have finite A' using s2 s5 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in A. r''\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume c1: a \in A
    have \neg (r''\{a\} \subseteq B) \longrightarrow r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
      assume \neg (r''{a} \subseteq B)
      then have S \ a \neq \{\} unfolding b1 by blast
      then have f a \in r''\{a\} - B using b1 b3 by blast
      moreover have f a \in A' using c1 b4 b6 by blast
      ultimately show r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
    qed
    then show r''\{a\} \subseteq B \vee r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
  moreover have A' \in SF \ r \text{ using } s3 \ s4 \text{ unfolding } SF\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
  moreover have (\exists y::'U. A' - B = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B)
  proof
    assume c1: \exists y::'U. A' - B = \{y\}
    moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
    ultimately have Field r \neq \{\} by blast
   then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ n1 \ by \ blast
    then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
    then have \neg (\exists y. \ Field \ r - B \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow \{y1, \ y2\} \subseteq A' - B \land y1 \neq y2
using n2 by blast
   moreover have \neg (\{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' - B \land y1 \neq y2) using c1 by force ultimately have \exists y::'U. Field r - B \subseteq \{y\} by blast
    then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B using c1 c2 by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5}\colon
fixes r::'U rel and A B::'U set and x::'U
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: \neg finite A and a3: A \in SF r
shows \exists A'::('U\ set).\ (x\in Field\ r\longrightarrow x\in A')\land A\subseteq A'\land CCR\ (Restr\ r\ A')\land
|A'| = o |A|
```

```
\land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                    \land \ (\exists \ y :: 'U. \ A' - B = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B))
proof -
  have q1: Field (Restr r A) = A using a3 unfolding SF-def by blast
  obtain s where s = (Restr \ r \ A) by blast
  then have q2: s \subseteq r and q3: \neg finite s and q4: A = Field s
   using a2 q1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (blast, metis, blast)
  obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ r''\{a\} - B) by blast
  obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
  obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
  moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
  ultimately have \forall a. f a \in S' a by (metis some I-ex)
  then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
   unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
  obtain y1\ y2::'U where n1: Field\ r \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{y1,\ y2\} \subseteq Field\ r
                   and n2: (\neg (\exists y::'U. \ Field \ r - B \subseteq \{y\})) \longrightarrow y1 \notin B \land y2 \notin B
\wedge y1 \neq y2 by blast
  obtain A1 where b4: A1 = (\{x, y1, y2\} \cap Field\ r) \cup A \cup (f'\ A) by blast
  have A1 \subseteq Field\ r
  proof -
   have c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ q4 \ q2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
   moreover have f ' A \subseteq Field \ r
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in f ' A
     then obtain a where d2: a \in A \land x = f \ a by blast
     show x \in Field r
     proof (cases\ S\ a = \{\})
       assume S a = \{\}
       then have x = a using c1 d2 b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ d2 \ c1 \ by \ blast
       assume S \ a \neq \{\}
       then have x \in S a using d2 \ b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     qed
   qed
   ultimately show A1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b4 \ by \ blast
  moreover have s\theta: |A1| \le o |Field s|
  proof -
   obtain C1 where c1: C1 = \{x,y1,y2\} \cap Field \ r \ by \ blast
   obtain C2 where c2: C2 = A \cup f ' A by blast
   have \neg finite A using q4 q3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have |C2| = o|A| using c2 \ b4 \ q3 by simp
   then have |C2| \le o |Field s| unfolding q4 using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
   moreover have c3: \neg finite (Field s) using q3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   moreover have |C1| \le o |Field s|
   proof -
```

```
have |\{x,y1,y2\}| \le o |Field s|  using c3
      by (meson card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-finite finite.emptyI finite.insertI
ordLeq	ext{-}total)
     moreover have |C1| \le o |\{x,y1,y2\}| unfolding c1 by simp
     ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
   ged
  ultimately have |C1 \cup C2| \le o | Field s | unfolding b4 using card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite
by blast
   moreover have A1 = C1 \cup C2 using c1 c2 b4 by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 qed
 ultimately obtain s' where s1: CCR s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| =0 |s| \land A1
\subseteq Field s'
   using a1 q2 q3 lem-Ccext-infsubccr-set-ext[of r s A1] by blast
  obtain A' where s2: A' = Field \ s' by blast
 obtain s'' where s3: s'' = Restr \ r \ A' by blast
  then have s4: s' \subseteq s'' \land Field s'' = A' \text{ using } s1 \ s2 \ lem-Relprop-fld-sat[of s' r]
s''] by blast
  have s5: |Field\ s'| = o\ |Field\ s|\ using\ s1\ q3\ lem-cardreleq-cardfldeq-inf[of\ s'\ s]
 have A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 \ s1 \ s2 by blast
 moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ A')
 proof -
   have CCR s" using s1 s2 s4 lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld[of s' s"] by blast
   then show ?thesis using s3 by blast
  qed
  moreover have |A'| = o |A1|
 proof -
   have Field \ s \subseteq A1 using q4 \ b4 by blast
   then have |Field\ s| \le o\ |A1| by simp
   then have |A'| \le o |A1| using s2 s5 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
   moreover have |A1| \le o |A'| using s1 s2 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
  qed
 ultimately have b6: A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' \wedge CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \wedge |A'| = o
|A1| by blast
 moreover then have A \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 by blast
  moreover have |A'| = o |A| using s5 s2 q4 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in A. r''\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in A
   have \neg (r''\{a\} \subseteq B) \longrightarrow r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
   proof
     assume \neg (r"{a} \subseteq B)
     then have S a \neq \{\} unfolding b1 by blast
     then have f a \in r''\{a\} - B using b1 b3 by blast
     moreover have f a \in A' using c1 b4 b6 by blast
     ultimately show r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
```

```
qed
    then show r``\{a\} \subseteq B \vee r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
  moreover have A' \in SF \ r \text{ using } s3 \ s4 \text{ unfolding } SF\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
  moreover have (\exists y::'U. A' - B = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B)
    assume c1: \exists y::'U. A' - B = \{y\}
    moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
    ultimately have Field r \neq \{\} by blast
    then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ n1 \ by \ blast
    then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
    then have \neg (\exists y. \ Field \ r - B \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow \{y1, \ y2\} \subseteq A' - B \land y1 \neq y2
using n2 by blast
   moreover have \neg (\{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' - B \land y1 \neq y2) using c1 by force
    ultimately have \exists y::'U. \ Field \ r - B \subseteq \{y\} \ by \ blast
   then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B using c1 c2 by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-subccr-pext5:
fixes r::'U rel and A B::'U set and x::'U
assumes CCR \ r and A \in SF \ r
shows \exists A'::('U \ set). \ (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A')
                     \wedge \ A \subseteq A'
                     \land A' \in SF \ r
                     \land (\forall a \in A. ((r``\{a\} \subseteq B) \lor (r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\})))
                     \wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B))
                     \wedge CCR (Restr r A')
                     \land ((finite\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A') \land ((\neg\ finite\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|))
proof (cases finite A)
  assume finite A
  then show ?thesis using assms lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5 [of r A x B] by blast
  assume \neg finite A
 then show ?thesis using assms lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5[of r A x B] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-set-ext-scf:
fixes r s::'U rel and A P::'U set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: finite s and a4: A \subseteq Field\ r and
a5: finite A
    and a6: P \in SCF r
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). \ CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land finite \ s' \land A \subseteq Field \ s'
                      \land ((Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s')
proof (cases\ s = \{\} \land A = \{\})
  assume s = \{\} \land A = \{\}
  moreover obtain s'::'U \text{ rel where } s' = \{\} by blast
  ultimately have CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land finite \ s' \land A \subseteq Field \ s'
```

```
\land ((Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s') \ \mathbf{unfolding} \ CCR\text{-}def \ SCF\text{-}def \ Field\text{-}def
\mathbf{by} blast
 then show ?thesis by blast
next
 assume b1: \neg (s = \{\} \land A = \{\})
 obtain Pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } p1: Pt = (\lambda x. \{p \in r. x = fst \ p \lor x = snd \ p\})
by blast
  obtain pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \times 'U where p2: pt = (\lambda x. (SOME p. p \in Pt x)) by blast
 have \forall x \in A. Pt x \neq \{\} using a4 unfolding p1 Field-def by force
  then have p3: \forall x \in A. pt x \in Pt x unfolding p2 by (metis (full-types) Col-
lect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq someI-ex)
 have b2: pt'A \subseteq r using p1 p3 by blast
 obtain s1 where b3: s1 = s \cup (pt'A) by blast
 then have finite s1 using a3 a5 by blast
 moreover have s1 \subseteq r using b2 b3 a2 by blast
 ultimately obtain s2 where b4: finite s2 \wedge CCR s2 \wedge s1 \subseteq s2 \wedge s2 \subseteq r using
a1 lem-ccr-fin-subr-ext[of r s1] by blast
 moreover have A \subseteq Field \ s1
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in A
   then have pt \ x \in s1 using b3 by blast
   moreover obtain ax bx where c2: pt x = (ax,bx) by force
   ultimately have ax \in Field \ s1 \land bx \in Field \ s1 unfolding Field-def by force
   then show x \in Field \ s1 \ using \ c1 \ c2 \ p1 \ p3 by force
 qed
  ultimately have b5: A \subseteq Field \ s2 unfolding Field-def by blast
 have Conelike s2 using b4 lem-Relprop-fin-ccr by blast
 moreover have s2 \neq \{\} using b1 b3 b4 unfolding Field-def by blast
 ultimately obtain m where b6: m \in Field \ s2 \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ s2. \ (a,m) \in s2^*
unfolding Conelike-def by blast
  then have m \in Field \ r \ using \ b4 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
 then obtain m' where b7: m' \in P \land (m,m') \in r* using a6 unfolding SCF-def
by blast
 obtain D where b8: D = Field \ s2 \cup (f \ r \ m \ m') by blast
 obtain s' where b9: s' = Restr \ r \ D by blast
 have b10: s2 \subseteq s' using b4 b8 b9 unfolding Field-def by force
 have b11: \forall a \in Field s'. (a,m') \in s' \hat{} *
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in Field s'
   have c2: Restr r (\mathfrak{f} r m m') \subseteq s' using b8 b9 by blast
   then have c3: (m,m') \in s' \hat{\ } \text{ using } b7 \text{ lem-Ccext-fint}[of r m m' s'] \text{ by } blast
   show (a,m') \in s' \hat{} *
   proof (cases \ a \in Field \ s2)
     assume a \in Field \ s2
     then have (a,m) \in s2* using b6 by blast
     then have (a,m) \in s' ** using b10 rtrancl-mono by blast
     then show (a,m') \in s' \hat{} * using c3 by simp
```

```
next
     assume a \notin Field \ s2
     then have a \in (f \ r \ m \ m') using c1 b8 b9 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then show (a,m') \in s' \hat{} * using c2 b7 lem-Ccext-fint[of r m m' s'] by blast
   ged
  qed
 have b12: m' \in Field \ s'
 proof -
   have m \in Field \ s' using b6 \ b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
   then have m \in Field \ s' \land (m,m') \in s' \hat{} * using \ b11 by blast
   then show m' \in Field \ s' using lem-rtr-field by force
 have Field \ s \subseteq D using b3 \ b4 \ b8 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
 then have s \subseteq s' using a2 b9 unfolding Field-def by force
 moreover have s' \subseteq r using b9 by blast
 moreover have finite s'
 proof -
   have finite (Field s2) using b4 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have finite D using b8 lem-ccext-ffin by simp
   then show ?thesis using b9 by blast
 \mathbf{qed}
  moreover have A \subseteq Field \ s' \ using \ b5 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
 moreover have CCR \ s'
 proof -
   have Conelike s' using b11 b12 unfolding Conelike-def by blast
   then show ?thesis using lem-Relprop-cl-ccr by blast
 moreover have (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s' \ using \ b7 \ b11 \ b12 \ unfolding \ SCF-def
by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-ccext-scf-sat:
assumes s \subseteq r and Field \ s = Field \ r
shows SCF \ s \subseteq SCF \ r
 using assms rtrancl-mono unfolding SCF-def by blast
lemma lem-Ccext-infsubccr-set-ext-scf2:
fixes r s::'U rel and A::'U set and Ps::'U set set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: \neg finite s and a4: A \subseteq Field\ r
   and a5: |A| \le o |Field s| and a6: Ps \subseteq SCF \ r \land |Ps| \le o |Field s|
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). \ CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| = o \ |s| \land A \subseteq Field \ s'
            \land (\forall P \in Ps. (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s')
proof -
  obtain q where q\theta: q = (\lambda \ P \ a. \ SOME \ p. \ p \in P \land (a, p) \in r^*) by blast
 have q1: \forall P \in Ps. \forall a \in Field r. (q P a) \in Field r \land (q P a) \in P \land (a, q P a)
 proof (intro ballI)
   fix P a
```

```
assume P \in Ps and a \in Field r
       then show (q P a) \in Field \ r \land (q P a) \in P \land (a, q P a) \in r^*
           using q0 a6 some I-ex[of \lambda p. p \in P \land (a,p) \in r^*] unfolding SCF-def by
blast
   ged
   obtain G::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ set where b1: G = (\lambda \ A. \ \{t::'U \ rel. \ finite \ t \land CCR
t \wedge t \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field \ t}) by blast
    obtain g::'U set \Rightarrow 'U rel where b2: q = (\lambda A. \text{ if } A \subseteq Field r \land finite A \text{ then}
(SOME t. t \in G A) else \{\}) by blast
   have b3: \forall A. A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ finite \ finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ finite \ finite \ finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ 
r \wedge A \subseteq Field (g A)
   proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ A
       assume c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A
       then have q A = (SOME \ t. \ t \in G \ A) using b2 by simp
       moreover have G A \neq \{\} using b1 a1 c1 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-dext[of r A] by
blast
       ultimately have g A \in G A using some-in-eq by metis
        then show finite (g A) \wedge CCR (g A) \wedge (g A) \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field (g A) using
b1 by blast
    ged
   have b4: \forall A. \neg (A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A) \longrightarrow g \ A = \{\}  using b2 by simp
   obtain H::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set
       where b5: H = (\lambda X. X \cup \bigcup \{S : \exists a \in X. \exists b \in X. S = Field (g \{a,b\})\} \cup \bigcup
\{S. \exists P \in Ps. \exists a \in X. S = \mathfrak{f} \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a) \}\} by blast
    obtain Pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } p1: Pt = (\lambda x. \{ p \in r. x = fst \ p \lor x = snd \ p \})
by blast
   obtain pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \times 'U where p2: pt = (\lambda x. (SOME p. p \in Pt x)) by blast
   have \forall x \in A. Pt x \neq \{\} using a4 unfolding p1 Field-def by force
    then have p3: \forall x \in A. pt \ x \in Pt \ x unfolding p2 by (metis (full-types) Col-
lect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq someI-ex)
   obtain D0 where b7: D0 = Field \ s \cup fst'(pt'A) \cup snd'(pt'A) by blast
   obtain Di::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set where } b8: Di = (\lambda n. (H^{\hat{}}n) D\theta) \text{ by } blast
   obtain D::'U set where b9: D = \bigcup \{X. \exists n. X = Di n\} by blast
    obtain s' where b10: s' = Restr \ r \ D by blast
   have b11: \forall n. (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| < o |s|
   proof
       fix n\theta
       show (\neg finite (Di n\theta)) \land |Di n\theta| \le o |s|
       proof (induct \ n\theta)
           have |D\theta| = o |Field s|
           proof -
              have |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |(pt'A)| \wedge |(pt'A)| \le o |A| by simp
              then have c1: |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |A| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
              have |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |(pt'A)| \wedge |(pt'A)| \le o |A| by simp
              then have c2: |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |A| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
              have |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |Field s| \land |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |Field s|
                  using c1 c2 a5 ordLeq-transitive by blast
              moreover have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
```

```
ultimately have c3: |D\theta| \le o | Field s | unfolding b7 by simp
       have Field \ s \subseteq D\theta unfolding b7 by blast
       then have |Field\ s| \le o\ |D\theta| by simp
       then show ?thesis using c3 ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
     moreover have |Field \ s| = o \ |s| using a3 lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
     ultimately have |D\theta| \le o|s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordIso-transitive by
blast
     moreover have ¬ finite D0 using a3 b7 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
     ultimately show \neg finite (Di 0) \land |Di 0| \le 0 |s| using b8 by simp
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     assume d1: (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| \le o |s|
     moreover then have |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| = o |Di \ n| by simp
       ultimately have d2: |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| \le o \ |s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq
ordLeq-transitive by blast
     have d3: \forall a \in (Di \ n). \ \forall b \in (Di \ n). \ |Field \ (g \ \{a, b\})| \le o \ |s|
     proof (intro ballI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
       assume a \in (Di \ n) and b \in (Di \ n)
       have finite (g \{a, b\}) using b3\ b4 by (metis\ finite.emptyI)
       then have finite (Field (g \{a, b\})) using lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
        then have |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| < o\ |s| using a finite-ord Less-infinite by
blast
       then show |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| \le o\ |s| using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
     qed
     have d4: Di(Suc(n)) = H(Di(n)) using b8 by simp
     then have Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ n) using b5 by blast
     then have \neg finite (Di (Suc n)) using d1 finite-subset by blast
     moreover have |Di(Suc(n))| \le o(|s|)
     proof -
       obtain I where e1: I = (Di \ n) \times (Di \ n) by blast
       obtain f where e2: f = (\lambda (a,b). Field (g {a,b})) by blast
       have |I| \le o |s| using e1 d2 by blast
       moreover have \forall i \in I. |f| \le o |s| using e1 \ e2 \ d3 by simp
     ultimately have || || i \in I. f[i] < o[s] using a card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite of
s I f by blast
      n). f r a (q P a)))
         using e1 e2 d4 b5 by blast
       moreover have \bigcup P \in Ps. (\bigcup a \in (Di \ n). \ f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a)) | \le o \ |s|
         have \bigwedge P. P \in Ps \Longrightarrow \forall a \in (Di \ n). | f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a) | \leq o \ |s|
        using a3 lem-ccext-ffin by (metis card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-infinite
ordLeq-total)
         then have \bigwedge P.\ P \in Ps \Longrightarrow |\bigcup a \in (Di\ n).\ f\ r\ a\ (q\ P\ a)| \le o\ |s|
           using d1 a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of s Di n \lambda a. f r a (q - a)]
\mathbf{bv} blast
             moreover have |Ps| \le o |s| using a3 a6 lem-rel-inf-fld-card[of s]
```

```
lem-fin-fl-rel[of s]
           by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
         ultimately show ?thesis
           using a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of s Ps \lambda P. \bigcup a \in (Di n). f r a
(q P a)] by blast
       qed
       ultimately show ?thesis using d1 a3 by simp
     ultimately show (\neg finite\ (Di\ (Suc\ n))) \land |Di\ (Suc\ n)| \le o\ |s| by blast
   qed
  qed
 have b12: \forall m. \forall n. n \leq m \longrightarrow Di n \leq Di m
 proof
   fix m\theta
   show \forall n. n \leq m\theta \longrightarrow Di n \leq Di m\theta
   proof (induct \ m\theta)
     show \forall n \leq 0. Di n \subseteq Di \ \theta by blast
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ m
     assume d1: \forall n \leq m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ m
     show \forall n \leq Suc \ m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m)
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume e1: n \leq Suc m
       have Di(Suc(m)) = H(Di(m)) using b8 by simp
       moreover have Di \ m \subseteq H \ (Di \ m) using b5 by blast
       ultimately have n \leq m \longrightarrow Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) using d1 by blast
       moreover have n = (Suc \ m) \lor n \le m using e1 by force
       ultimately show Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) by blast
     qed
   qed
 qed
 have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
 then have b13: Field s \subseteq D using b7 b8 by simp
 then have b14: s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r using a2\ b10 unfolding Field-def by force
 moreover have b15: |D| < o |s|
 proof -
   have |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |s| using a3 infinite-iff-card-of-nat by blast
   then have |\bigcup n. Di n| \le o |s| using b11 a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of
s UNIV Di] by blast
   moreover have D = (\bigcup n. Di n) using b9 by force
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 moreover have |s'| = o |s|
 proof -
   have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have ¬ finite D using b13 finite-subset by blast
   then have |D \times D| = o |D| by simp
   moreover have s' \subseteq D \times D using b10 by blast
```

```
ultimately have |s'| \le o |s| using b15 card-of-mono1 ordLeq-ordIso-trans or-
dLeq-transitive by metis
   moreover have |s| \le o |s'| using b14 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
 moreover have A \subseteq Field s'
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in A
   obtain ax bx where c2: ax = fst (pt x) \wedge bx = snd (pt x) by blast
   have pt \ x \in Pt \ x  using c1 \ p3 by blast
   then have c3: (ax, bx) \in r \land x \in \{ax, bx\} using c2 \ p1 by simp
   have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D\theta using b7 c1 c2 by b1ast
   moreover have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
   moreover have Di \theta = D\theta using b8 by simp
   ultimately have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D by blast
   then have (ax, bx) \in s' using c3\ b10 by blast
   then show x \in Field \ s' using c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
  qed
  moreover have CCR \ s'
 proof -
    have \forall a \in Field \ s'. \ \forall b \in Field \ s'. \ \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in
   proof (intro ballI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume d1: a \in Field \ s' and d2: b \in Field \ s'
     then have d3: a \in D \land b \in D using b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then obtain ia ib where d4: a \in Di ia \land b \in Di ib using b9 by blast
     obtain k where d5: k = (max \ ia \ ib) by blast
     then have ia \leq k \wedge ib \leq k by simp
     then have d\theta: a \in Di \ k \land b \in Di \ k using d4 \ b12 by blast
     obtain p where d7: p = g \{a,b\} by blast
     have Field p \subseteq H (Di k) using b5 d6 d7 by blast
     moreover have H(Di k) = Di(Suc k) using b8 by simp
     moreover have Di (Suc k) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
     ultimately have d8: Field p \subseteq D by blast
     have \{a, b\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ d2 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     moreover have finite \{a, b\} by simp
     ultimately have d9: CCR \ p \land p \subseteq r \land \{a,b\} \subseteq Field \ p \ using \ d7 \ b3 \ by \ blast
    then obtain c where d10: c \in Field \ p \land (a,c) \in p \ \hat{} * \land (b,c) \in p \ \hat{} *  unfolding
CCR-def by blast
     have (p "D) \subseteq D using d8 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
     then have D \in Inv \ p unfolding Inv-def by blast
    then have p \hat{\ } \cap (D \times (UNIV::'Uset)) \subseteq (Restr \ p \ D) \hat{\ } \cap (D \times (UNIV::'Uset))
D p by blast
     moreover have Restr \ p \ D \subseteq s' using d9 \ b10 by blast
        moreover have (a,c) \in p^* \cap (D \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) \wedge (b,c) \in p^* \cap
(D \times (UNIV :: 'U \ set)) using d10 d3 by blast
     ultimately have (a,c) \in (s') \hat{} * \wedge (b,c) \in (s') \hat{} * using rtrancl-mono by blast
```

```
moreover then have c \in Field \ s' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
     ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } *  by blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
  ged
  moreover have \forall P \in Ps. (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s'
  proof -
   have \forall P \in Ps. \ \forall a \in Field \ s'. \ \exists b \in (Field \ s' \cap P). \ (a, b) \in s' \hat{} *
   proof (intro ballI)
     \mathbf{fix} P a
     assume d\theta: P \in Ps and d\theta: a \in Field s'
     then have a \in D using b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then obtain n where a \in Di n using b9 by blast
     then have f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a) \subseteq H \ (Di \ n) using d0 \ b5 by blast
     moreover have H(Di n) = Di(Suc n) using b8 by simp
     ultimately have d2: f r a (q P a) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
     have a \in Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     then have q P a \in P \land (a, q P a) \in r* using d0 \ q1 by blast
     moreover have Restr r (\mathfrak{f} r a (q P a)) \subseteq s' using d0 \ d2 \ b10 by blast
     ultimately have q P a \in P \land (a, q P a) \in s' \hat{} * using lem-Ccext-fint[of r a]
q P a s' | \mathbf{by} blast
     moreover then have q P a \in Field s' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
     ultimately show \exists b \in (Field \ s' \cap P). \ (a, b) \in s' \hat{} * by \ blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding SCF-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
ged
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5-scf2:
fixes r::'U rel and A B B'::'U set and x::'U and Ps::'U set set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: finite A and a3: A \in SF r and a4: Ps \subseteq SCF r
shows \exists A'::('U\ set).\ (x\in Field\ r\longrightarrow x\in A')\ \land\ A\subseteq A'\land\ CCR\ (Restr\ r\ A')\ \land
finite A'
                    \wedge (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                    \land ((\exists y::'U. A'-B'=\{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subset (A'\cup B'))
                    \land ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r))
A')))
proof -
  obtain P where p\theta: P = (if (Ps \neq \{\}) then (SOME P. P \in Ps) else Field r)
by blast
  moreover have Field \ r \in SCF \ r \ unfolding \ SCF-def \ by \ blast
 ultimately have p1: P \in SCF \ r \ using \ a4 by (metis contra-subsetD some-in-eq)
 have p2: (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow Ps = \{P\} \text{ using } p0 \text{ by } fastforce
 have q1: Field (Restr r A) = A using a3 unfolding SF-def by blast
  obtain s where s = (Restr \ r \ A) by blast
  then have q2: s \subseteq r and q3: finite s and q4: A = Field s
   using a2 q1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (blast, metis, blast)
  obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ r''\{a\} - B) by blast
```

```
obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
 obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
 moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
 ultimately have \forall a. f a \in S' a by (metis some I-ex)
 then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
   unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
 obtain y1\ y2::'U where n1: Field\ r \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{y1,\ y2\} \subseteq Field\ r
                   B' \wedge y1 \neq y2 by blast
 obtain A1 where b4: A1 = (\{x,y1,y2\} \cap Field\ r) \cup A \cup (f\ 'A) by blast
 have A1 \subseteq Field \ r
 proof -
   have c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ q4 \ q2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
   moreover have f ' A \subseteq Field r
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in f ' A
     then obtain a where d2: a \in A \land x = f \ a by blast
     show x \in Field r
     proof (cases\ S\ a = \{\})
       assume S a = \{\}
       then have x = a using c1 d2 b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ d2 \ c1 \ by \ blast
     next
       assume S \ a \neq \{\}
       then have x \in S a using d2 b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     ged
   qed
   ultimately show A1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b4 by blast
 moreover have s0: finite A1 using b4 q3 q4 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
 ultimately obtain s' where s1: CCR s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land finite s' \land A1 \subseteq
Field s'
                      and s1': (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s'
   using p1 a1 a4 q2 q3 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-set-ext-scf[of r s A1 P] by metis
 obtain A' where s2: A' = Field \ s' by blast
 obtain s'' where s3: s'' = Restr \ r \ A' by blast
 then have s4: s' \subseteq s'' \land Field s'' = A' \text{ using } s1 \ s2 \ lem-Relprop-fld-sat[of s' r]
s'' by blast
 have s5: finite (Field s') using s1 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
 have A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by blast
 moreover have CCR (Restr r A')
 proof -
   have CCR s'' using s1 s2 s4 lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld[of s' s''] by blast
   then show ?thesis using s3 by blast
 ultimately have b6: A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field\ r) \subseteq A' \wedge CCR\ (Restr\ r\ A') by blast
 moreover then have A \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 by blast
```

```
ultimately have (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') by
blast
  moreover have finite A' using s2 s5 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in A. r''\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in A
   have \neg (r''\{a\} \subseteq B) \longrightarrow r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
   proof
      assume \neg (r``\{a\} \subseteq B)
      then have S \ a \neq \{\} unfolding b1 by blast
      then have f a \in r''\{a\} - B using b1 b3 by blast
      moreover have f \ a \in A' using c1 \ b4 \ b6 by blast
      ultimately show r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
   then show r''\{a\} \subseteq B \vee r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
  moreover have A' \in SF \ r using s3 \ s4 unfolding SF-def by blast
  moreover have (\exists y::'U. A' - B' = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
  proof
   assume c1: \exists y::'U. A' - B' = \{y\}
   moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
   ultimately have Field r \neq \{\} by blast
   then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ n1 \ by \ blast
   then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
    then have \neg (\exists y. \ Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow \{y1, \ y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2
using n2 by blast
   moreover have \neg (\{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2) using c1 by force
   ultimately have \exists y::'U. Field r - B' \subseteq \{y\} by blast
   then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B' using c1 c2 by blast
  moreover have (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r))
A'))
  proof -
   have c1: s' \subseteq r using s3 \ s4 by blast
    then have Field s' = Field (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem-Relprop-fld-sat by
blast
   moreover have s' \subseteq Restr \ r \ (Field \ s') using c1 unfolding Field\text{-}def by force
   ultimately have SCF \ s' \subseteq SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem\text{-}ccext\text{-}scf\text{-}sat[of]
s' Restr r (Field s')] by blast
   then show ?thesis using p2 s1' s2 by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5-scf2}\colon
fixes r::'U rel and A B B'::'U set and x::'U and Ps::'U set set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: \neg finite A and a3: A \in SF r and a4: Ps \subseteq SCF r
shows \exists A'::('U\ set).\ (x\in Field\ r\longrightarrow x\in A')\ \land\ A\subseteq A'\land\ CCR\ (Restr\ r\ A')\ \land
```

```
|A'| = o |A|
                     \land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                     \wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
                     \land (|Ps| \leq o|A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ A')))
proof -
  obtain Ps' where p\theta: Ps' = (if (|Ps| \le o|A|) then <math>Ps else \{\}) by blast
  then have p1: Ps' \subseteq SCF \ r \land |Ps'| \le o |A| using a4 by simp
  have q1: Field (Restr r A) = A using a3 unfolding SF-def by blast
  obtain s where s = (Restr \ r \ A) by blast
  then have q2: s \subseteq r and q3: \neg finite s and q4: A = Field s
    using a2 q1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (blast, metis, blast)
  obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ r''\{a\} - B) by blast
  obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
  obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
  moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
 ultimately have \forall a. f a \in S' \ a \ by \ (metis \ some I-ex)
then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
    unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
  obtain y1\ y2::'U where n1: Field\ r \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{y1,\ y2\} \subseteq Field\ r
                    and n2: (\neg (\exists y::'U. Field r - B' \subseteq \{y\})) \longrightarrow y1 \notin B' \land y2 \notin B'
B' \wedge y1 \neq y2 by blast
  obtain A1 where b4: A1 = (\{x, y1, y2\} \cap Field\ r) \cup A \cup (f `A) by blast
  have A1 \subseteq Field \ r
  proof -
    have c1: A \subseteq Field \ r using q4 q2 unfolding Field-def by blast
    moreover have f ' A \subseteq Field r
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ x
      assume x \in f ' A
      then obtain a where d2: a \in A \land x = f \ a by blast
      show x \in Field r
      proof (cases\ S\ a = \{\})
        assume S a = \{\}
        then have x = a using c1 d2 b3 by blast
        then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ d2 \ c1 \ by \ blast
        assume S \ a \neq \{\}
        then have x \in S a using d2 b3 by blast
        then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
      qed
    qed
    ultimately show A1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b4 by blast
  moreover have s\theta: |A1| \le o |Field s|
  proof -
    obtain C1 where c1: C1 = \{x,y1,y2\} \cap Field \ r \ by \ blast
    obtain C2 where c2: C2 = A \cup f ' A by blast
    have ¬ finite A using q4 q3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
    then have |C2| = o|A| using c2 \ b4 \ q3 by simp
```

```
then have |C2| \le o | Field s | unfolding q4 using ord Iso-iff-ord Leq by blast
   moreover have c3: \neg finite (Field s) using q3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   moreover have |C1| \le o |Field s|
   proof -
     have |\{x,y1,y2\}| \le o |Field s|  using c3
      by (meson card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-finite finite.emptyI finite.insertI
ordLeq-total)
     moreover have |C1| \le o|\{x,y1,y2\}| unfolding c1 by simp
     ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
   qed
  ultimately have |C1 \cup C2| \le o | Field s | unfolding b4 using card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite
by blast
   moreover have A1 = C1 \cup C2 using c1 c2 b4 by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 ultimately obtain s' where s1: CCR s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| = o |s| \land A1
\subseteq Field s'
                      and s1': (\forall P \in Ps'. (Field s' \cap P) \in SCF s')
   using p1 a1 q2 q3 q4 lem-Ccext-infsubccr-set-ext-scf2[of r s A1 Ps'] by blast
 obtain A' where s2: A' = Field \ s' by blast
 obtain s'' where s3: s'' = Restr \ r \ A' by blast
  then have s4: s' \subseteq s'' \land Field s'' = A' \text{ using } s1 \ s2 \ lem-Relprop-fld-sat[of s' r]
s'' by blast
  have s5: |Field\ s'| = o\ |Field\ s|\ using\ s1\ q3\ lem-cardreleg-cardfldeg-inf[of\ s'\ s]
by blast
 have A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 \ s1 \ s2 by blast
 moreover have CCR (Restr r A')
 proof -
   have CCR s" using s1 s2 s4 lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld[of s' s"] by blast
   then show ?thesis using s3 by blast
 qed
  moreover have |A'| = o |A1|
 proof -
   have Field s \subseteq A1 using q4 b4 by blast
   then have |Field \ s| \le o \ |A1| by simp
   then have |A'| \le o |A1| using s2 s5 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
   moreover have |A1| \le o |A'| using s1 s2 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
 ultimately have b6: A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' \wedge CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \wedge |A'| = o
|A1| by blast
  moreover then have A \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 by blast
  moreover have |A'| = o |A| using s5 s2 q4 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in A. r''\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in A
   have \neg (r''\{a\} \subseteq B) \longrightarrow r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
   proof
```

```
assume \neg (r''{a} \subseteq B)
      then have S \ a \neq \{\} unfolding b1 by blast
      then have f a \in r''\{a\} - B using b1 b3 by blast
      moreover have f a \in A' using c1 b4 b6 by blast
      ultimately show r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
    qed
    then show r''\{a\} \subseteq B \vee r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
  moreover have A' \in SF \ r \text{ using } s3 \ s4 \text{ unfolding } SF\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
  moreover have (\exists y::'U. A' - B' = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
  proof
    assume c1: \exists y::'U. A' - B' = \{y\}
    moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
    ultimately have Field r \neq \{\} by blast
    then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field\ r\ using\ n1\ by\ blast
    then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
    then have \neg (\exists y. \ Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow \{y1, \ y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2
using n2 by blast
    moreover have \neg (\{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2) using c1 by force
    ultimately have \exists y::'U. \ Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{y\} \ by \ blast
    then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B' using c1 c2 by blast
  qed
  moreover have (|Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r A')))
  proof -
   have c1: s' \subseteq r using s3 \ s4 by blast
    then have Field \ s' = Field \ (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem-Relprop-fld-sat by
blast
   moreover have s' \subseteq Restr\ r\ (Field\ s') using c1 unfolding Field-def by force
   ultimately have SCF \ s' \subseteq SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem\text{-}ccext\text{-}scf\text{-}sat[of]
s' Restr r (Field s')] by blast
    moreover have |Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow Ps' = Ps using p\theta by simp
    ultimately show ?thesis using s1' s2 by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-subccr-pext5-scf2:
fixes r::'U rel and A B B'::'U set and x::'U and Ps::'U set set
assumes CCR \ r and A \in SF \ r and Ps \subseteq SCF \ r
shows \exists A'::('U \ set). \ (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A')
                     \land\ A\subseteq A'
                     \land A' \in SF \ r
                     \land \ (\forall \ a \in A. \ ((r``\{a\} \subseteq B) \ \lor \ (r``\{a\} \cap (A' - B) \neq \{\})))
                     \wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B'=\{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
                     \land CCR (Restr r A')
                     \land ((finite\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A') \land ((\neg\ finite\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|))
                     \land ( ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \leq o |A| )) \longrightarrow
                         (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
proof (cases finite A)
```

```
then obtain A'::'U set where b2: (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR
(Restr\ r\ A')
                      \land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                      \land ((\exists y::'U. A'-B'=\{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A'\cup B'))
                      and b3: finite A' \wedge ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P))
\in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
                     using assms lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5-scf2[of r A Ps x B B'] by
metis
 have b4: ((finite\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A') \land ((\neg\ finite\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|))
  and b5: ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|)) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps.
(A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A'))
       using b1 b3 card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast+
 \mathbf{show} \ ?thesis
   apply (rule exI)
    using b2 b4 b5 by force
next
 assume b1: \neg finite A
  then obtain A' where b2: (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr
r A'
                      \land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                      \wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
              and b3: |A'| = o |A| \land (|Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF)
(Restr \ r \ A')))
    using assms lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5-scf2[of r A Ps x B B'] by metis
  have b4: ((finite\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A') \land ((\neg\ finite\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|))
    using b1 b3 by metis
 have b5: ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|)) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps.
(A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A'))
    using b1 b3 by (metis card-of-singl-ordLeq finite.simps)
 show ?thesis
    apply (rule \ exI)
    using b2 b4 b5 by force
qed
lemma lem-dnEsc-el: F \in dnEsc\ r\ A\ a \Longrightarrow a \in F \land finite\ F\ unfolding\ dnEsc-def
\mathcal{F}-def rpth-def by blast
lemma lem-dnEsc-emp: dnEsc\ r\ A\ a = \{\} \implies dnesc\ r\ A\ a = \{\ a\ \} unfolding
dnesc-def by simp
lemma lem-dnEsc-ne: dnEsc r A a \neq \{\} \implies dnesc \ r A \ a \in dnEsc \ r A \ a
  unfolding dnesc\text{-}def using someI\text{-}ex[of \ \lambda \ F. \ F \in dnEsc \ r \ A \ a] by force
lemma lem-dnesc-in: a \in dnesc \ r \ A \ a \land finite \ (dnesc \ r \ A \ a)
  using lem-dnEsc-emp[of\ r\ A\ a]\ lem-dnEsc-el[of\ -\ r\ A\ a]\ lem-dnEsc-ne[of\ r\ A\ a]
bv force
lemma lem-escl-incr: B \subseteq escl \ r \ A \ B \ using \ lem-dnesc-in[of - r \ A] \ unfolding
```

assume b1: finite A

```
escl-def by blast
lemma lem-escl-card: (finite B \longrightarrow finite (escl r A B)) \land (\neg finite B \longrightarrow |escl r A B|)
A B | \leq o |B|
proof (intro conjI impI)
  assume finite B
  then show finite (escl r A B) using lem-dnesc-in[of - r A] unfolding escl-def
by blast
\mathbf{next}
  assume b1: \neg finite B
  moreover have escl\ r\ A\ B=(\bigcup x\in B.\ ((dnesc\ r\ A)\ x)) unfolding escl\text{-}def by
blast
  moreover have \forall x. |(dnesc \ r \ A) \ x| \leq o \ |B|
 proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    have finite (dnesc r A x) using lem-dnesc-in[of - r A] by blast
     then show |dnesc\ r\ A\ x| \le o\ |B| using b1 by (meson card-of-Well-order
card-of-ordLeq-infinite ordLeq-total)
 ultimately show |escl \ r \ A \ B| \le o \ |B| by (simp \ add: \ card-of-UNION-ord \ Leq-infinite)
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-infsubccr-set-ext-scf3:
fixes r s::'U rel and A A \theta::'U set and Ps::'U set set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: \neg finite s and a4: A \subseteq Field r
    and a5: |A| \le o |Field s| and a6: Ps \subseteq SCF \ r \land |Ps| \le o |Field s|
shows \exists s'::('U \ rel). \ CCR \ s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| = o \ |s| \land A \subseteq Field \ s'
           \land (\forall P \in Ps. (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s') \land (escl \ r \ A0 \ (Field \ s') \subseteq Field \ s')
             \wedge (\exists D. \ s' = Restr \ r \ D) \wedge (Conelike \ s' \longrightarrow Conelike \ r)
proof -
  obtain w where w\theta: w = (\lambda \ x. \ SOME \ y. \ y \in Field \ r - dncl \ r \ \{x\}) by blast
  have w1: \bigwedge x. Field r - dncl \ r \ \{x\} \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow w \ x \in Field \ r - dncl \ r \ \{x\}
 proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ x
    assume Field r - dncl \ r \ \{x\} \neq \{\}
    then show w x \in Field \ r - dncl \ r \ \{x\}
      using w\theta some I-ex[of \lambda y. y \in Field\ r - dncl\ r\ \{x\}] by force
  obtain q where q\theta: q = (\lambda \ P \ a. \ SOME \ p. \ p \in P \land (a, p) \in r^*) by blast
  have q1: \forall P \in Ps. \forall a \in Field \ r. \ (q P a) \in Field \ r \land (q P a) \in P \land (a, q P a)
\in r^*
  proof (intro ballI)
    fix P a
    assume P \in Ps and a \in Field r
    then show (q P a) \in Field \ r \land (q P a) \in P \land (a, q P a) \in r^*
      using q0 a6 some I-ex[of \lambda p. p \in P \land (a,p) \in r*] unfolding SCF-def by
```

obtain $G::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ set$ where $b1: G = (\lambda \ A. \ \{t::'U \ rel. \ finite \ t \land CCR$

 $\begin{array}{c} blast \\ \mathbf{qed} \end{array}$

```
t \wedge t \subseteq r \wedge A \subseteq Field \ t}) by blast
  obtain g::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ where \ b2: \ g = (\lambda \ A. \ if \ A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \ then
(SOME t. t \in G A) else \{\}) by blast
 have b3: \forall A. A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A \longrightarrow finite \ (q \ A) \land CCR \ (q \ A) \land (q \ A) \subseteq A
r \wedge A \subseteq Field (q A)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} A
    assume c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A
    then have g A = (SOME \ t. \ t \in G \ A) using b2 by simp
    moreover have G A \neq \{\} using b1 a1 c1 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-dext[of r A] by
blast
    ultimately have g A \in G A using some-in-eq by metis
    then show finite (g \ A) \land CCR \ (g \ A) \land (g \ A) \subseteq r \land A \subseteq Field \ (g \ A) using
b1 by blast
  qed
  have b4: \forall A. \neg (A \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ A) \longrightarrow g \ A = \{\}  using b2 by simp
  obtain H::'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set
    where b5: H = (\lambda X. X \cup \bigcup \{S. \exists a \in X. \exists b \in X. S = Field (g \{a,b\})\}\
                           \cup \bigcup \{S. \exists P \in Ps. \exists a \in X. S = \mathfrak{f} \ r \ a \ (q P \ a) \}
                           \cup \ escl \ r \ A0 \ X \cup (w'X)) by blast
  obtain Pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } p1: Pt = (\lambda x. \{p \in r. x = fst \ p \lor x = snd \ p\})
by blast
  obtain pt::'U \Rightarrow 'U \times 'U where p2: pt = (\lambda x. (SOME p. p \in Pt x)) by blast
  have \forall x \in A. Pt x \neq \{\} using a4 unfolding p1 Field-def by force
  then have p3: \forall x \in A. pt \ x \in Pt \ x unfolding p2 by (metis (full-types) Col-
lect-empty-eq Collect-mem-eq someI-ex)
  obtain D0 where b7: D0 = Field \ s \cup fst'(pt'A) \cup snd'(pt'A) by blast
  obtain Di::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set where } b8: Di = (\lambda n. (H^n) D0) \text{ by } blast
  obtain D::'U set where b9: D = \bigcup \{X. \exists n. X = Di n\} by blast
  obtain s' where b10: s' = Restr \ r \ D by blast
  have b11: \forall n. (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| \leq o |s|
  proof
    fix n\theta
    show (\neg finite (Di n\theta)) \land |Di n\theta| \le o |s|
    proof (induct \ n\theta)
      have |D\theta| = o |Field s|
      proof -
        have |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |(pt'A)| \wedge |(pt'A)| \le o |A| by simp
        then have c1: |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |A| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
       have |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |(pt'A)| \wedge |(pt'A)| \le o |A| by simp
        then have c2: |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |A| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
        have |fst'(pt'A)| \le o |Field s| \land |snd'(pt'A)| \le o |Field s|
          using c1 c2 a5 ordLeq-transitive by blast
        moreover have \neg finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
        ultimately have c3: |D\theta| \le o | Field s | unfolding b7 by simp
        have Field \ s \subseteq D\theta unfolding b7 by blast
        then have |Field\ s| \le o\ |D\theta| by simp
        then show ?thesis using c3 ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
```

```
qed
     moreover have |Field \ s| = o \ |s| using a3 lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
      ultimately have |D\theta| \le o|s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordIso-transitive by
blast
     moreover have ¬ finite D0 using a3 b7 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
     ultimately show \neg finite (Di \ \theta) \land |Di \ \theta| \le o |s| using b8 by simp
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     assume d1: (\neg finite (Di n)) \land |Di n| \le o |s|
     moreover then have |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| = o \ |Di \ n| by simp
       ultimately have d2: |(Di \ n) \times (Di \ n)| \le o \ |s| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq
ordLeq-transitive by blast
     have d3: \forall a \in (Di \ n). \ \forall b \in (Di \ n). \ |Field \ (g \ \{a, b\})| \le o \ |s|
     proof (intro ballI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
       assume a \in (Di \ n) and b \in (Di \ n)
       have finite (g \{a, b\}) using b3\ b4 by (metis\ finite.emptyI)
       then have finite (Field (g \{a, b\})) using lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
        then have |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| < o\ |s| using a3 finite-ordLess-infinite2 by
blast
       then show |Field\ (g\ \{a,\ b\})| \le o\ |s| using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
     qed
     have d4: Di(Suc(n)) = H(Di(n)) using b8 by simp
     then have Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ n) using b5 by blast
     then have \neg finite (Di (Suc n)) using d1 finite-subset by blast
     moreover have |Di(Suc(n))| \le o(|s|)
     proof -
       obtain I where e1: I = (Di \ n) \times (Di \ n) by blast
       obtain f where e2: f = (\lambda (a,b). Field (g {a,b})) by blast
       have |I| \le o |s| using e1 d2 by blast
       moreover have \forall i \in I. |f| \le o |s| using e1 \ e2 \ d3 by simp
     ultimately have || \bigcup i \in I. fi | \le o |s| using a a card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite [of]
s I f by blast
       moreover have Di (Suc \ n) = (Di \ n) \cup (\bigcup \ i \in I. \ f \ i)
           \cup (() P \in Ps. (() a \in (Di \ n). f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a))) \cup \ escl \ r \ A0 \ (Di \ n) \cup (w'(Di \ n))
n))
         using e1 e2 d4 b5 by blast
       moreover have || || P \in Ps. (|| a \in (Di \ n). f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a))| <math>\leq o \ |s|
       proof -
         have \bigwedge P. P \in Ps \Longrightarrow \forall a \in (Di \ n). |f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a)| \le o \ |s|
         using a3 lem-ccext-ffin by (metis card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-infinite
ordLeq-total)
         then have \bigwedge P. P \in Ps \Longrightarrow \bigcup a \in (Di \ n). \ f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a)| \le o \ |s|
            using d1 a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of s Di n \lambda a. f r a (q - a)]
\mathbf{by} blast
              moreover have |Ps| \le o |s| using a3 a6 lem-rel-inf-fld-card[of s]
lem-fin-fl-rel[of s]
           by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
         ultimately show ?thesis
```

```
using a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of s Ps \lambda P. \bigcup a \in (Di \ n). f \ r \ a
(q P a)] by blast
       qed
       moreover have |escl \ r \ A\theta \ (Di \ n)| \le o \ |s|
         using d1 lem-escl-card[of Di n r A0] by (metis ordLeq-transitive)
          moreover have |w'(Di \ n)| \le o \ |s| using d1 using card-of-image or-
dLeq-transitive by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using d1 a3 by simp
     qed
     ultimately show (\neg finite\ (Di\ (Suc\ n))) \land |Di\ (Suc\ n)| \le o\ |s| by blast
   qed
 qed
 have b12: \forall m. \forall n. n \leq m \longrightarrow Di n \leq Di m
 proof
   fix m\theta
   show \forall n. n < m\theta \longrightarrow Di n < Di m\theta
   proof (induct \ m\theta)
     show \forall n \leq 0. Di n \subseteq Di \ \theta by blast
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ m
     assume d1: \forall n \leq m. Di n \subseteq Di m
     show \forall n \leq Suc \ m. \ Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m)
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix n
       assume e1: n \leq Suc m
       have Di(Suc(m)) = H(Di(m)) using b8 by simp
       moreover have Di \ m \subseteq H \ (Di \ m) using b5 by blast
       ultimately have n \leq m \longrightarrow Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) using d1 by blast
       moreover have n = (Suc \ m) \lor n \le m using e1 by force
       ultimately show Di \ n \subseteq Di \ (Suc \ m) by blast
     qed
   qed
  qed
 have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
 then have b13: Field s \subseteq D using b7 b8 by simp
 then have b14: s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r using a2\ b10 unfolding Field-def by force
 moreover have b15: |D| \le o|s|
 proof -
   have |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |s| using a infinite-iff-card-of-nat by blast
   then have |\bigcup n. Di n| \le o |s| using b11 a3 card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of
s UNIV Di] by blast
   moreover have D = (\bigcup n. Di n) using b9 by force
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 qed
 moreover have |s'| = o |s|
 proof -
   have ¬ finite (Field s) using a3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have \neg finite D using b13 finite-subset by blast
   then have |D \times D| = o |D| by simp
```

```
moreover have s' \subseteq D \times D using b10 by blast
   ultimately have |s'| \le o |s| using b15 card-of-mono1 ordLeq-ordIso-trans or-
dLeq-transitive by metis
   moreover have |s| \le o |s'| using b14 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeg by blast
 qed
 moreover have A \subseteq Field \ s'
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in A
   obtain ax bx where c2: ax = fst (pt x) \land bx = snd (pt x) by blast
   have pt \ x \in Pt \ x  using c1 \ p3 by blast
   then have c3: (ax, bx) \in r \land x \in \{ax, bx\} using c2 \ p1 by simp
   have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D\theta using b7 c1 c2 by b1ast
   moreover have Di \ \theta \subseteq D using b\theta by blast
   moreover have Di \theta = D\theta using b8 by simp
   ultimately have \{ax, bx\} \subseteq D by blast
   then have (ax, bx) \in s' using c3 \ b10 by blast
   then show x \in Field \ s' using c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
 qed
 moreover have CCR \ s'
 proof -
   have \forall a \in Field \ s'. \ \forall b \in Field \ s'. \ \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in
   proof (intro ballI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume d1: a \in Field \ s' and d2: b \in Field \ s'
     then have d3: a \in D \land b \in D using b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then obtain ia ib where d4: a \in Di ia \land b \in Di ib using b9 by blast
     obtain k where d5: k = (max \ ia \ ib) by blast
     then have ia \leq k \wedge ib \leq k by simp
     then have d6: a \in Di \ k \land b \in Di \ k using d4 \ b12 by blast
     obtain p where d7: p = g \{a,b\} by blast
     have Field p \subseteq H (Di k) using b5 d6 d7 by blast
     moreover have H(Di k) = Di(Suc k) using b8 by simp
     moreover have Di (Suc k) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
     ultimately have d8: Field p \subseteq D by blast
     have \{a, b\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ d2 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     moreover have finite \{a, b\} by simp
    ultimately have d9: CCR p \land p \subseteq r \land \{a,b\} \subseteq Field p \text{ using } d7 b3 \text{ by } blast
    then obtain c where d10: c \in Field \ p \land (a,c) \in p \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in p \hat{\ } * unfolding
CCR-def by blast
     have (p "D) \subseteq D using d8 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then have D \in Inv \ p unfolding Inv-def by blast
   then have p^* \cap (D \times (UNIV :: 'U set)) \subseteq (Restr \ p \ D)^*  using lem-Inv-restr-rtr[of
D p by blast
     moreover have Restr p D \subseteq s' using d9 \ b10 by blast
        moreover have (a,c) \in p^* \cap (D \times (UNIV::'U\ set)) \wedge (b,c) \in p^* \cap
(D \times (UNIV::'U\ set)) using d10 d3 by blast
```

```
ultimately have (a,c) \in (s') \hat{} * \wedge (b,c) \in (s') \hat{} *  using rtrancl-mono by blast
     moreover then have c \in Field \ s' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
     ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ s'. \ (a,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in (s') \hat{\ } *  by blast
   then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
 qed
  moreover have \forall P \in Ps. (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s'
 proof -
   have \forall P \in Ps. \ \forall a \in Field \ s'. \ \exists b \in (Field \ s' \cap P). \ (a, b) \in s' \hat{} *
   proof (intro ballI)
     fix P a
     assume d\theta: P \in Ps and d\theta: a \in Field s'
     then have a \in D using b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then obtain n where a \in Di n using b9 by blast
     then have f \ r \ a \ (q \ P \ a) \subseteq H \ (Di \ n) using d0 \ b5 by blast
     moreover have H(Di n) = Di(Suc n) using b8 by simp
     ultimately have d2: f r a (q P a) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
     have a \in Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     then have q P a \in P \land (a, q P a) \in r* using d\theta q1 by blast
     moreover have Restr r (\mathfrak{f} r a (q P a)) \subseteq s' using d0 \ d2 \ b10 by blast
     ultimately have q P a \in P \land (a, q P a) \in s' \hat{} * using lem-Ccext-fint[of r a]
q P a s' by blast
     moreover then have q P a \in Field s' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
     ultimately show \exists b \in (Field \ s' \cap P). \ (a, b) \in s' \hat{} * by \ blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding SCF-def by blast
 moreover have escl r A0 (Field s') \subseteq Field s'
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in escl \ r \ A0 \ (Field \ s')
    then obtain F a where c2: x \in F \land F = dnesc \ r \ A0 \ a \land a \in Field \ s'
unfolding escl-def by blast
   obtain n where a \in Di n using c2 b9 b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
   then have F \subseteq H (Di n) using c2 b5 unfolding escl-def by blast
   moreover have H(Di n) = Di(Suc n) using b8 \ b9 by simp
   ultimately have c3: F \subseteq D using b9 by blast
   show x \in Field s'
   proof (cases dnEsc\ r\ A0\ a = \{\})
     assume dnEsc\ r\ A\theta\ a = \{\}
     then have x = a using c2 lem-dnEsc-emp[of r A0] by blast
     then show ?thesis using c2 by blast
   next
     assume dnEsc\ r\ A0\ a \neq \{\}
     then have F \in dnEsc\ r\ A0\ a using c2\ lem-dnEsc-ne[of\ r\ A0\ a] by blast
     then obtain b where F \in \mathcal{F} r a b unfolding dnEsc-def by blast
     then obtain f k where f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ k \wedge F = f'\{i. \ i \leq k\} unfolding \mathcal{F}-def
by blast
     moreover then obtain j where j \le k \land x = f j using c2 by blast
```

```
ultimately have f \in rpth (Restr r D) a x j using c3 unfolding rpth-def
by force
     then have a \in Field \ s' \land (a,x) \in s' \hat{} * using \ c2 \ b10 \ lem-ccext-rpth-rtr[of - a]
x] by blast
     then show ?thesis using lem-rtr-field by metis
   qed
 qed
 moreover have \exists D. s' = Restr \ r \ D  using b10 by blast
  moreover have \neg Conelike r \longrightarrow \neg Conelike s'
 proof
   assume \neg Conelike r
  then have c1: \forall a \in Field \ r. \ Field \ r - dncl \ r \ \{a\} \neq \{\} \ unfolding \ Conelike-def
dncl-def by blast
   have \forall a \in Field \ s' . \ \exists \ a' \in Field \ s' . \ (a', a) \notin s' \widehat{*}
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     assume d1: a \in Field s'
     then have d2: a \in Field \ r \ using \ b10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     then have d3: w \ a \in Field \ r - dncl \ r \ \{a\} using c1 \ w1 by blast
     then have (w \ a, \ a) \notin s' \hat{} * unfolding \ dncl-def \ using \ b10 \ rtrancl-mono[of \ s']
r] by blast
     moreover have w \ a \in Field \ s'
     proof -
       obtain n where a \in Di n using d1 b9 b10 unfolding Field-def by blast
       then have a \in Di (Suc n) \land w a \in Di (Suc n) using b5 b8 by simp
       then have e1: Field (g \{a, w a\}) \subseteq H (Di (Suc n)) using b5 b8 by blast
       have e2: \{a, w a\} \subseteq Field \ r \land finite \ \{a, w a\} \ using \ d2 \ d3 \ by \ blast
       have H(Di(Suc(n))) = Di(Suc(Suc(n))) using b8 by simp
       moreover have Di (Suc (Suc n)) \subseteq D using b9 by blast
       ultimately have Field (g \{a, w a\}) \subseteq D using e1 by blast
       moreover have Restr(g\{a,w|a\}) D \subseteq s' using e2 \ b3 \ b10 by blast
       ultimately have g \{a, w a\} \subseteq s' unfolding Field-def by fastforce
       moreover have w \ a \in Field \ (g \ \{a, w \ a\}) using e2 \ b3 by blast
       ultimately show w \ a \in Field \ s' unfolding Field-def by blast
     ultimately show \exists a' \in Field \ s'. \ (a', a) \notin s' \hat{} * by \ blast
   moreover have s' \neq \{\} using b14 a3 by force
   ultimately show \neg Conelike s' unfolding Conelike-def by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5-scf3:
fixes r::'U rel and A B B'::'U set and x::'U and Ps::'U set set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: \neg finite A and a3: A \in SF r and a4: Ps \subseteq SCF r
shows \exists A'::('U \ set). \ (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \land
|A'| = o |A|
                    \wedge (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
```

```
\wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
                   \land (|Ps| \leq o|A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
                   \land (escl \ r \ A \ A' \subseteq A') \land clterm \ (Restr \ r \ A') \ r
proof -
  obtain Ps' where p\theta: Ps' = (if (|Ps| \le o|A|) then <math>Ps else \{\}) by blast
  then have p1: Ps' \subseteq SCF \ r \land |Ps'| \le o |A| using a4 by simp
 have q1: Field (Restr r A) = A using a3 unfolding SF-def by blast
 obtain s where s = (Restr \ r \ A) by blast
  then have q2: s \subseteq r and q3: \neg finite s and q4: A = Field s
   using a2 q1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (blast, metis, blast)
 obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ r''\{a\} - B) by blast
  obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
  obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
 moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
  ultimately have \forall a. f a \in S' a by (metis some I-ex)
 then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\}) \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
   unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
  obtain y1\ y2::'U where n1: Field\ r \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{y1,\ y2\} \subseteq Field\ r
                   B' \wedge y1 \neq y2 by blast
  obtain y3 where n3: (\neg (Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{\})) \longrightarrow y3 \in Field \ r - B'  by blast
 obtain A1 where b4: A1 = (\{x, y1, y2, y3\} \cap Field\ r) \cup A \cup (f'A) by blast
 have A1 \subseteq Field \ r
 proof -
   have c1: A \subseteq Field \ r using q4 q2 unfolding Field-def by blast
   moreover have f ' A \subseteq Field r
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in f ' A
     then obtain a where d2: a \in A \land x = f \ a by blast
     show x \in Field r
     proof (cases\ S\ a = \{\})
       assume S a = \{\}
       then have x = a using c1 d2 b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ d2 \ c1 \ by \ blast
       assume S \ a \neq \{\}
       then have x \in S a using d2 \ b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     qed
   qed
   ultimately show A1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b4 by blast
 moreover have s\theta: |A1| \le o |Field s|
 proof -
   obtain C1 where c1: C1 = \{x,y1,y2,y3\} \cap Field \ r \ by \ blast
   obtain C2 where c2: C2 = A \cup f ' A by blast
   have ¬ finite A using q4 q3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have |C2| = o|A| using c2 b4 q3 by simp
```

```
then have |C2| \le o | Field s | unfolding q4 using ord Iso-iff-ord Leq by blast
   moreover have c3: \neg finite (Field s) using q3 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   moreover have |C1| \le o |Field s|
   proof -
     have |\{x,y1,y2,y3\}| \le o |Field s|  using c3
      by (meson card-of-Well-order card-of-ordLeq-finite finite.emptyI finite.insertI
ordLeq-total)
     moreover have |C1| \le o|\{x,y1,y2,y3\}| unfolding c1 by simp
     ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
   qed
  ultimately have |C1 \cup C2| \le o | Field s | unfolding b4 using card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite
by blast
   moreover have A1 = C1 \cup C2 using c1 c2 b4 by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 ultimately obtain s' where s1: CCR s' \land s \subseteq s' \land s' \subseteq r \land |s'| = o |s| \land A1
\subseteq Field s'
                      and s1': (\forall P \in Ps'. (Field s' \cap P) \in SCF s')
                       and s1'': escl\ r\ A\ (Field\ s') \subseteq Field\ s'
                     and s1''': (\exists D. s' = Restr \ r \ D) \land (Conelike \ s' \longrightarrow Conelike \ r)
   using p1 a1 q2 q3 q4 lem-Ccext-infsubccr-set-ext-scf3 [of r s A1 Ps' A] by blast
 obtain A' where s2: A' = Field \ s' by blast
 obtain s'' where s3: s'' = Restr \ r \ A' by blast
  then have s4: s' \subseteq s'' \land Field s'' = A' \text{ using } s1 \text{ } s2 \text{ } lem\text{-}Relprop\text{-}fld\text{-}sat[of s' r]}
s''] by blast
  have s5: |Field\ s'| = o\ |Field\ s|\ using\ s1\ q3\ lem-cardreleg-cardfldeg-inf[of\ s'\ s]
by blast
 have A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 \ s1 \ s2 by blast
 moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ A')
 proof -
   have CCR \ s'' using s1 s2 s4 lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld[of s' s''] by blast
   then show ?thesis using s3 by blast
  qed
 moreover have |A'| = o |A1|
 proof -
   have Field s \subseteq A1 using q4 b4 by blast
   then have |Field\ s| < o\ |A1| by simp
   then have |A'| \le o |A1| using s2 \ s5 \ ord Iso-ord Leq-trans by blast
   moreover have |A1| \le o |A'| using s1 s2 by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
 \mathbf{qed}
 ultimately have b6: A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' \wedge CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') \wedge |A'| = o
|A1| by blast
  moreover then have A \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 by blast
 moreover have |A'| = o |A| using s5 s2 q4 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in A. \ r''\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in A
```

```
have \neg (r''\{a\} \subseteq B) \longrightarrow r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
    proof
      assume \neg (r``\{a\} \subseteq B)
      then have S \ a \neq \{\} unfolding b1 by blast
      then have f a \in r''\{a\} - B using b1 b3 by blast
      moreover have f a \in A' using c1 b4 b6 by blast
      ultimately show r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
    then show r''\{a\} \subseteq B \vee r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
  moreover have A' \in SF \ r using s3 \ s4 unfolding SF-def by blast
  moreover have (\exists y::'U. A' - B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
  proof
   assume c\theta: \exists y :: 'U. A' - B' \subseteq \{y\}
    show Field r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
    proof (cases \exists y :: 'U. A' - B' = \{y\})
      assume c1: \exists y::'U. A' - B' = \{y\}
      moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
      ultimately have Field r \neq \{\} by blast
      then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ n1 \ by \ blast
      then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
      then have \neg (\exists y. \ Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow \{y1, \ y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2
using n2 by blast
      moreover have \neg (\{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2) using c1 by force
      ultimately have \exists y::'U. \ Field \ r-B'\subseteq \{y\} \ by \ blast
      then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B' using c1 c2 by blast
      assume \neg (\exists y :: 'U. A' - B' = \{y\})
      then have c1: A' - B' = \{\} using c\theta by blast
      show Field r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
      proof (cases Field r = \{\})
        assume Field r = \{\}
        then show Field r \subseteq (A' \cup B') by blast
        assume Field r \neq \{\}
       moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
        ultimately have Field \ r \neq \{\} by blast
        then have \neg (Field r - B' \subseteq \{\}) \longrightarrow \{y3\} \subseteq Field r using n3 by blast then have \neg (Field r - B' \subseteq \{\}) \longrightarrow \{y3\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
        then have \neg (Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{\}) \longrightarrow \{y3\} \subseteq A' - B' \text{ using } n3 \text{ by } blast
        moreover have \neg ({y3} \subseteq A' - B') using c1 by force
        ultimately have Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{\} by blast
        then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B' using c1 c2 by blast
      qed
    qed
  moreover have (|Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
  proof -
   have c1: s' \subseteq r using s3 \ s4 by blast
```

```
then have Field s' = Field (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem-Relprop-fld-sat by
blast
   moreover have s' \subseteq Restr \ r \ (Field \ s') using c1 unfolding Field-def by force
   ultimately have SCF \ s' \subseteq SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem\text{-}ccext\text{-}scf\text{-}sat[of]
s' Restr r (Field s')] by blast
   moreover have |Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow Ps' = Ps using p\theta by simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using s1' s2 by blast
  moreover have escl\ r\ A\ A'\subseteq A' using s1''\ s2 by blast
 moreover have Conelike (Restr r A') \longrightarrow Conelike r
 proof
   assume c1: Conelike (Restr r A')
   obtain D where s' = Restr \ r \ D using s1''' by blast
   then have s' = Restr \ r \ (Field \ s') unfolding Field-def by force
   then have Conelike s' using c1 s2 by simp
   then show Conelike r using s1" by blast
  aed
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding clterm-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5-scf3:
fixes r::'U rel and A B B'::'U set and x::'U and Ps::'U set set
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: finite A and a3: A \in SF r and a4: Ps \subseteq SCF r
shows \exists A'::('U\ set).\ (x\in Field\ r\longrightarrow x\in A')\ \land\ A\subseteq A'\land\ CCR\ (Restr\ r\ A')\ \land
finite A'
                   \land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                   \wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
                    \land ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r))
A')))
proof -
 obtain P where p\theta: P = (if (Ps \neq \{\}) then (SOME P. P \in Ps) else Field r)
 moreover have Field \ r \in SCF \ r unfolding SCF-def by blast
 ultimately have p1: P \in SCF r using a4 by (metis contra-subsetD some-in-eq)
 have p2: (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow Ps = \{P\} \text{ using } p0 \text{ by } fastforce
 have q1: Field (Restr r A) = A using a3 unfolding SF-def by blast
 obtain s where s = (Restr \ r \ A) by blast
 then have q2: s \subseteq r and q3: finite s and q4: A = Field s
   using a2 q1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (blast, metis, blast)
  obtain S where b1: S = (\lambda \ a. \ r''\{a\} - B) by blast
  obtain S' where b2: S' = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (S \ a) \neq \{\} \ then \ (S \ a) \ else \ \{a\}) by blast
  obtain f where f = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ b. \ b \in S' \ a) by blast
  moreover have \forall a. \exists b. b \in (S'a) unfolding b2 by force
  ultimately have \forall a. f a \in S' a by (metis some I-ex)
  then have b3: \forall a. (S \ a \neq \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a \in S \ a) \land (S \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow f \ a = a)
   unfolding b2 by (clarsimp, metis singletonD)
 obtain y1\ y2::'U where n1: Field\ r \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \{y1,\ y2\} \subseteq Field\ r
                   B' \wedge y1 \neq y2 by blast
```

```
obtain y3 where n3: (\neg (Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{\})) \longrightarrow y3 \in Field \ r - B' by blast
  obtain A1 where b4: A1 = (\{x,y1,y2,y3\} \cap Field \ r) \cup A \cup (f \ A) by blast
 have A1 \subseteq Field \ r
 proof -
   have c1: A \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ q4 \ q2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
   moreover have f ' A \subseteq Field r
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume x \in f ' A
     then obtain a where d2: a \in A \land x = f \ a by blast
     show x \in Field r
     proof (cases\ S\ a = \{\})
       assume S a = \{\}
       then have x = a using c1 d2 b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ d2 \ c1 \ by \ blast
     next
       assume S \ a \neq \{\}
       then have x \in S a using d2 b3 by blast
       then show x \in Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     qed
   qed
   ultimately show A1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b4 by blast
  moreover have s0: finite A1 using b4 q3 q4 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
 ultimately obtain s' where s1: CCR s' \wedge s \subseteq s' \wedge s' \subseteq r \wedge finite s' \wedge A1 \subseteq
Field s'
                       and s1': (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ s' \cap P) \in SCF \ s'
   using p1 a1 a4 q2 q3 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-set-ext-scf[of r s A1 P] by metis
 obtain A' where s2: A' = Field s' by blast
 obtain s'' where s3: s'' = Restr \ r \ A' by blast
 then have s_4: s' \subseteq s'' \land Field s'' = A' \text{ using } s1 \ s2 \ lem-Relprop-fld-sat[of s' r]
s'' by blast
 have s5: finite (Field s') using s1 lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
 have A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by blast
 moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ A')
 proof -
   have CCR s'' using s1 s2 s4 lem-Ccext-subccr-eqfld[of s' s''] by blast
   then show ?thesis using s3 by blast
 qed
  ultimately have b6: A1 \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' \wedge CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') by blast
 moreover then have A \cup (\{x\} \cap Field \ r) \subseteq A' using b4 by blast
  ultimately have (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A') by
blast
  moreover have finite A' using s2 s5 by blast
 moreover have \forall a \in A. r''\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in A
   have \neg (r''\{a\} \subseteq B) \longrightarrow r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}
```

```
proof
      assume \neg (r"{a} \subseteq B)
      then have S \ a \neq \{\} unfolding b1 by blast
      then have f a \in r''\{a\} - B using b1 b3 by blast
      moreover have f a \in A' using c1 b4 b6 by blast
      ultimately show r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
    qed
    then show r''\{a\} \subseteq B \vee r''\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\} by blast
  qed
  moreover have A' \in SF \ r \text{ using } s3 \ s4 \text{ unfolding } SF\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
  moreover have (\exists y::'U. A' - B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
    assume c\theta: \exists y::'U. A' - B' \subseteq \{y\}
    show Field r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
    proof (cases \exists y :: 'U. A' - B' = \{y\})
      assume c1: \exists y :: 'U. A' - B' = \{y\}
      moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
      ultimately have Field \ r \neq \{\} by blast
      then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ n1 \ by \ blast
      then have \{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast
      then have \neg (\exists y. \ Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow \{y1, \ y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2
using n2 by blast
      moreover have \neg (\{y1, y2\} \subseteq A' - B' \land y1 \neq y2) using c1 by force
      ultimately have \exists y::'U. Field r - B' \subseteq \{y\} by blast
      then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B' using c1 c2 by blast
    next
      assume \neg (\exists y :: 'U. A' - B' = \{y\})
      then have c1: A' - B' = \{\} using c\theta by blast
      show Field r \subseteq (A' \cup B')
      proof (cases\ Field\ r = \{\})
        assume Field r = \{\}
        then show Field r \subseteq (A' \cup B') by blast
      next
        assume Field r \neq \{\}
       moreover have c2: A' \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ s1 \ s2 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
        ultimately have Field \ r \neq \{\} by blast
        then have \neg (Field r - B' \subseteq \{\}) \longrightarrow \{y3\} \subseteq Field r using n3 by blast then have \neg (Field r - B' \subseteq \{\}) \longrightarrow \{y3\} \subseteq A' using b4 s1 s2 by fast then have \neg (Field r - B' \subseteq \{\}) \longrightarrow \{y3\} \subseteq A' - B' using n3 by blast
        moreover have \neg ({y3} \subseteq A' - B') using c1 by force
        ultimately have Field \ r - B' \subseteq \{\} by blast
        then show Field r \subseteq A' \cup B' using c1 c2 by blast
      qed
    qed
  qed
  moreover have (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r))
A'))
  proof -
    have c1: s' \subseteq r using s3 \ s4 by blast
```

```
then have Field s' = Field (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem-Relprop-fld-sat by
blast
   moreover have s' \subseteq Restr\ r\ (Field\ s') using c1 unfolding Field\text{-}def by force
    ultimately have SCF \ s' \subseteq SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (Field \ s')) using lem\text{-}ccext\text{-}scf\text{-}sat[of]
s' Restr r (Field s')] by blast
    then show ?thesis using p2 s1' s2 by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ccext-subccr-pext5-scf3:
fixes r::'U rel and A B B'::'U set and x::'U and Ps::'U set set and C::'U set \Rightarrow
bool
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: A \in SF r and a3: Ps \subseteq SCF r
    and a4: C = (\lambda \ A'::'U \ set. \ (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A')
                       \land A \subseteq A'
                       \land A' \in SF \ r
                       \land (\forall a \in A. ((r``\{a\} \subseteq B) \lor (r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\})))
                       \land ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
                       \wedge CCR (Restr r A')
                       \land ((\mathit{finite}\ A \longrightarrow \mathit{finite}\ A') \land (\ (\neg\ \mathit{finite}\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|\ ))
                       \land ( ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \leq o |A| )) \longrightarrow
                            (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
                        \land ( (\neg finite A) \longrightarrow ((escl \ r \ A \ A' \subseteq A') \land (clterm \ (Restr \ r \ A'))
r))))
shows \exists A' :: ('U \ set). \ C \ A'
proof (cases finite A)
  assume b1: finite A
  then obtain A'::'U set where b2: (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR
(Restr\ r\ A')
                       \land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                       \wedge ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
                       and b3: finite A' \wedge ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P))
\in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
                       using a1 a2 a3 lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5-scf3[of r A Ps x B B']
by metis
  have b4: ((finite\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A') \land ((\neg\ finite\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|))
   and b5: ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|)) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps.
(A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
       using b1 b3 card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast+
  show ?thesis
    apply (rule exI)
    unfolding a4 using b1 b2 b4 b5 by force
\mathbf{next}
  assume b1: \neg finite A
  then obtain A' where b2: (x \in Field \ r \longrightarrow x \in A') \land A \subseteq A' \land CCR \ (Restr
rA'
                       \land (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq B \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-B) \neq \{\}) \land A' \in SF \ r
                       \land ((\exists y::'U. A'-B' \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq (A' \cup B'))
```

```
and b3: |A'| = o |A| \land (|Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF)
(Restr \ r \ A')))
              and b3': (escl r \ A \ A' \subseteq A') \land clterm (Restr r \ A') r
    using a1 a2 a3 lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5-scf3 of r A Ps x B B' by metis
  have b4: ((finite\ A \longrightarrow finite\ A') \land ((\neg\ finite\ A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o\ |A|))
    using b1 b3 by metis
  have b5: ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|)) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps.
(A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr \ r \ A')))
    using b1 b3 by (metis card-of-singl-ordLeq finite.simps)
 have b6: (\neg finite A) \longrightarrow ((escl \ r \ A \ A' \subseteq A') \land clterm \ (Restr \ r \ A') \ r)) using
b3' by blast
 have CA' unfolding a4 using b2 b4 b5 b6 by simp
 then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-acyc-un-emprd:
fixes r s:: 'U rel
assumes a1: acyclic r \land acyclic \ s and a2: (Range \ r) \cap (Domain \ s) = \{\}
shows acyclic (r \cup s)
proof -
  have \bigwedge n. (r \cup s)^{\widehat{}} n \subseteq s \hat{} * O r \hat{} *
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show (r \cup s) \widehat{\ } n \subseteq s \widehat{\ } O r \widehat{\ } *
    proof (induct n)
      show (r \cup s) \cap \theta \subseteq s * O r * by force
    next
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume (r \cup s) \widehat{\ } n \subseteq s \widehat{\ } O r \widehat{\ } *
      moreover then have (r \cup s)^{\hat{}} n \ O \ r \subseteq s * O \ r * by force
      moreover have (s \hat{*} O r \hat{*}) O s \subseteq s \hat{*} O r \hat{*}
      proof -
        have r + O s = r + O (r O s) by (simp add: O-assoc trancl-unfold-right)
        moreover have r \circ S = \{\} using a2 by force
        ultimately have s * O(r + Os) = \{\} by force
        moreover have s \hat{\ } * O s \subseteq s \hat{\ } * by force
      moreover have r^* = Id \cup r^+ by (metis rtrancl-unfold trancl-unfold-right)
        moreover then have (s \hat{*} O r \hat{*}) O s = (s \hat{*} O s) \cup (s \hat{*} O (r \hat{+} O s))
by fastforce
        ultimately show ?thesis by fastforce
      moreover have (r \cup s)^{(suc\ n)} = ((((r \cup s)^{(n)} \cap n) \ O\ r) \cup (((r \cup s)^{(n)} \cap n) \ O\ r)
s)) by simp
      ultimately show (r \cup s) \cap (Suc \ n) \subseteq s \cdot O \cap s by force
    qed
  qed
  then have b1: (r \cup s) * \subseteq s * O r * using rtrancl-power[of - r \cup s] by blast
  have \forall x. (x,x) \in (r \cup s)^+ \longrightarrow False
  proof (intro allI impI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume (x,x) \in (r \cup s)^+
   then have (x,x) \in (r \cup s) * O(r \cup s) using trancl-unfold-right by blast
   then have (x,x) \in ((s \hat{\ } * O r \hat{\ } *) O r) \cup ((s \hat{\ } * O r \hat{\ } *) O s) using b1 by force
   moreover have (x,x) \in ((s \hat{*} O r \hat{*}) O r) \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume (x,x) \in ((s \hat{*} O r \hat{*}) O r)
     then obtain u v where d1: (x,u) \in s^* \land (u,v) \in r^* \land (v,x) \in r by blast
     moreover then have x \notin Domain \ s \ using \ a2 by blast
     ultimately have x = u by (meson\ Not-Domain-rtrancl)
     then have (x,x) \in r^+ using d1 by force
     then show False using a1 unfolding acyclic-def by blast
   moreover have (x,x) \in ((s \hat{*} O r \hat{*}) O s) \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume (x,x) \in ((s \hat{} * O r \hat{} *) O s)
     then obtain u v where d1: (x,u) \in s \hat{} * \land (u,v) \in r \hat{} * \land (v,x) \in s by blast
     have u = v \longrightarrow False
     proof
       assume u = v
       then have (x,x) \in s + using d1 by force
       then show False using a1 unfolding acyclic-def by blast
     then have (u,v) \in r^+ using d1 by (meson rtranclD)
     then have v \in Range \ r \ using \ trancl-unfold-right[of \ r] by force
     moreover have v \in Domain \ s \ using \ d1 by blast
     ultimately show False using a2 by blast
   ged
   ultimately show False by blast
  qed
 then show ?thesis using a1 unfolding acyclic-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-spthlen-rtr: (a,b) \in r^* \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in r^*(spthlen \ r \ a \ b)
 using rtrancl-power unfolding spthlen-def by (metis LeastI-ex)
lemma lem-spthlen-tr: (a,b) \in r \hat{} * \land a \neq b \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in r \hat{} (spthlen \ r \ a \ b) \land spthlen
r \ a \ b > 0
proof -
 assume (a,b) \in r \hat{} * \land a \neq b
 moreover then have b1: (a,b) \in r^{\sim}(spthlen \ r \ a \ b) using lem-spthlen-rtr[of a
 ultimately have spthlen r a b = 0 \longrightarrow False by force
 then show ?thesis using b1 by blast
qed
lemma lem-spthlen-min: (a,b) \in r \widehat{\ } n \Longrightarrow spthlen \ r \ a \ b \le n
 unfolding spthlen-def by (metis Least-le)
```

```
lemma lem-spth-inj:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } a \text{ } b::'U \text{ and } f::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ and } n::nat
assumes a1: f \in spth \ r \ a \ b and a2: n = spthlen \ r \ a \ b
shows inj-on f \{i. i \le n\}
proof -
  have b1: f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \ using \ a1 \ a2 \ unfolding \ spth-def \ by \ blast
 have \forall i j. i \leq n \land j \leq n \land i < j \longrightarrow f i = f j \longrightarrow False
  proof (intro allI impI)
   fix i j
   assume c1: i \leq n \land j \leq n \land i < j and c2: fi = fj
   obtain l where c3: l = j - i by blast
   then have c4: l \neq 0 using c1 by simp
   obtain g where c5: g = (\lambda \ k. \ if \ (k \le i) \ then \ (f \ k) \ else \ (f \ (k + l))) by blast
   then have g \theta = a using b1 unfolding rpth-def by fastforce
   moreover have q(n-l) = b
   proof (cases j < n)
     assume j < n
     then show ?thesis using c5 c3 b1 unfolding rpth-def by simp
   next
     assume \neg j < n
     then have j = n using c1 by simp
     then show ?thesis using c5 c2 c3 c4 b1 unfolding rpth-def by simp
   qed
   moreover have \forall k < n - l. (g k, g (Suc k)) \in r
   proof (intro allI impI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ k
     assume d1: k < n - l
     have k \neq i \longrightarrow (g \ k, \ g \ (Suc \ k)) \in r \ \textbf{using} \ c5 \ d1 \ b1 \ \textbf{unfolding} \ rpth-def \ \textbf{by}
fastforce
     moreover have k = i \longrightarrow (g \ k, \ g \ (Suc \ k)) \in r
     proof
       assume e1: k = i
       then have (g k, g (Suc k)) = (f i, f ((Suc i) + l)) using c5 by simp
       moreover have f i = f (i + l) using c1 c2 c3 by simp
       moreover have i + l < n using d1 e1 by force
        ultimately show (g \ k, \ g \ (Suc \ k)) \in r  using b1 unfolding rpth-def by
simp
     ultimately show (g \ k, \ g \ (Suc \ k)) \in r \ by \ force
   ultimately have g \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ (n - l) unfolding rpth-def by blast
   then have spthlen r a b \le n - l
     using lem-spthlen-min[of a b] lem-ccext-ntr-rpth[of a b] by blast
   then show False using a2 c1 c3 by force
  qed
  moreover then have \forall i j. i \leq n \land j \leq n \land j < i \longrightarrow f i = f j \longrightarrow False by
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding inj-on-def by (metis linorder-neqE-nat
```

```
mem-Collect-eq)
qed
lemma lem-rtn-rpth-inj: (a,b) \in r^{n} \Longrightarrow n = spthlen \ r \ a \ b \Longrightarrow \exists \ f \ . \ f \in rpth \ r
a\ b\ n \land inj\text{-}on\ f\ \{i.\ i \leq n\}
proof -
  assume a1: (a,b) \in r^n and a2: n = spthlen \ r \ a \ b
  then have (a,b) \in r^n using lem-spthlen-rtr[of a b] rtrancl-power by blast
  then obtain f where b2: f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \ using \ lem-ccext-ntr-rpth[of \ a \ b] by
blast
  then have f \in spth \ r \ a \ b \ using \ a2 \ unfolding \ spth-def \ by \ blast
  then have inj-on f \{i. i \leq n\} using a2 lem-spth-inj[of f] by blast
  then show ?thesis using b2 by blast
qed
lemma lem-rtr-rpth-inj: (a,b) \in r^* \Longrightarrow \exists f n . f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b \ n \land inj-on \ f \ \{i. i \}
 using lem-spthlen-rtr[of a b r] lem-rtn-rpth-inj[of a b - r] by blast
lemma lem-sum-ind-ex:
assumes a1: g = (\lambda n :: nat. \sum i < n. f i)
   and a2: \forall i::nat. f i > 0
shows \exists n k. (m::nat) = g n + k \land k < f n
proof(induct m)
  have \theta = g \theta + \theta \wedge \theta < f \theta using a1 a2 by simp
  then show \exists n \ k. \ (0::nat) = g \ n + k \land k < f \ n \ by \ blast
next
  \mathbf{fix} \ m
  assume \exists n \ k. \ m = g \ n + k \land k < f \ n
  then obtain n \ k where b1: m = g \ n + k \land k < f \ n by blast
  show \exists n' k'. Suc m = g n' + k' \land k' < f n'
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ Suc\ k < f\ n)
   assume Suc \ k < f \ n
   then have Suc \ m = g \ n + (Suc \ k) \wedge (Suc \ k) < f \ n \ using \ b1 \ by \ simp
   then show \exists n' k'. Suc m = g n' + k' \land k' < f n' by blast
   assume \neg Suc \ k < f \ n
   then have Suc\ m = g\ (Suc\ n) + \theta \wedge \theta < f\ (Suc\ n) using a1 a2 b1 by simp
   then show \exists n' k'. Suc m = g n' + k' \land k' < f n' by blast
  qed
qed
lemma lem-sum-ind-un:
assumes a1: g = (\lambda n :: nat. \sum i < n. f i)
   and a2: \forall i::nat. f i > 0
   and a3: (m::nat) = g n + k \wedge k < f n
   and a4: m = g n' + k' \wedge k' < f n'
shows n = n' \wedge k = k'
proof -
```

```
have b1: \forall n1 \ n2. \ n1 \leq n2 \longrightarrow g \ n1 \leq g \ n2
    proof(intro allI impI)
        fix n1::nat and n2::nat
        assume n1 \leq n2
        moreover obtain t where t = n2 - n1 by blast
        moreover have g \ n1 \le g \ (n1 + t) unfolding a1 by (induct \ t, simp+)
        ultimately show g n1 \le g n2 by simp
    qed
    have n < n' \longrightarrow False
    proof
       assume n < n'
        then have g(Suc n) \leq g n' using b1 by simp
        then have g n + f n \le g n' using a1 b1 by simp
        moreover have g n' < g n + f n using a3 a4 by simp
       ultimately show False by simp
    qed
    moreover have n' < n \longrightarrow False
    proof
        assume n' < n
        then have g(Suc n') \leq g n using b1 by simp
       then have g n' + f n' \le g n using a1 b1 by simp
        moreover have g n < g n' + f n' using a3 a4 by simp
        ultimately show False by simp
    qed
    ultimately show n = n' \wedge k = k' using a3 a4 by simp
qed
lemma lem-flatseq:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } xi::nat \Rightarrow 'U
assumes \forall n. (xi \ n, xi \ (Suc \ n)) \in r^* \land (xi \ n \neq xi \ (Suc \ n))
shows \exists g \ yi. \ (\forall n. \ (yi \ n, \ yi \ (Suc \ n)) \in r)
                           \land \ (\forall \ i::nat. \ \forall \ j::nat. \ i < j \longleftrightarrow g \ i < g \ j \ )
                           \land (\forall i::nat. \ yi \ (g \ i) = xi \ i)
                           \land (\forall i::nat. inj-on yi \{ k. g i \leq k \land k \leq g (Suc i) \})
                           \land (\forall k::nat. \exists i::nat. g i \leq k \land Suc k \leq g (Suc i))
                            \land (\forall k \ i \ i'. \ g \ i \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq g \ (Suc \ i) \land g \ i' \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq g \ (Suc \ i))
i') \longrightarrow i = i')
proof -
    obtain P where b\theta: P = (\lambda \ n \ m. \ m > 0 \land (xi \ n, xi \ (Suc \ n)) \in r \ m \land m = 0
spthlen \ r \ (xi \ n) \ (xi \ (Suc \ n))) by blast
    then have \forall n. \exists m. P \ n \ m \ using \ assms \ lem-spthlen-tr[of - - r] \ by \ blast
    then obtain f where \forall n. P \ n \ (f \ n) by metis
    then have b1: \forall n. (fn) > 0 \land (xin, xi (Sucn)) \in r^{(fn)}
                and b1': \forall n. (f n) = spthlen r (xi n) (xi (Suc n)) using b0 by blast+
    have \forall n. \exists yi. inj\text{-}on \ yi \ \{i. \ i \leq f \ n\} \land (yi \ \theta) = (xi \ n) \land (xi \ n) \land (xi \ n) = (xi \ n) \land (xi \ n) \land (xi \ n) = (
                         (\forall k < (f n). (yi k, yi (Suc k)) \in r) \land (yi (f n)) = (xi (Suc n))
    proof
        \mathbf{fix} \ n
        have (xi \ n, \ xi \ (Suc \ n)) \in r^{(f \ n)} and (f \ n) = spthlen \ r \ (xi \ n) \ (xi \ (Suc \ n))
```

```
using b1 b1' by blast+
    then obtain yi where yi \in rpth \ r \ (xi \ n) \ (xi \ (Suc \ n)) \ (f \ n) \land inj-on yi \ \{i. \ i
\leq f n
     using lem-rtn-rpth-inj[of\ xi\ n\ xi\ (Suc\ n)\ f\ n\ r] by blast
   then show \exists yi. inj \text{-} on yi \{i. i \leq f n\} \land (yi \ \theta) = (xi \ n) \land (\forall k < (f n). (yi \ k, yi) \}
(Suc\ k)) \in r
             \land (yi (f n)) = (xi (Suc n)) unfolding rpth-def by blast
  ged
 then obtain yin where b2: \forall n. inj\text{-}on (yin n) \{i. i \leq f n\} \land ((yin n) 0) = (xi)
n) \wedge
      (\forall k < (f n). ((yin n) k, (yin n) (Suc k)) \in r) \land ((yin n) (f n)) = (xi (Suc k))
n)) by metis
  obtain g where b3: g = (\lambda n. \sum i < n. f i) by blast
  obtain yi where b4: yi = (\lambda m. let p =
                       (SOME \ p. \ m = (g \ (fst \ p)) + (snd \ p) \land (snd \ p) < (f \ (fst \ p)))
                        in (yin (fst p)) (snd p) ) by blast
  have b5: \bigwedge m \ n \ k. \ m = (g \ n) + k \land k < f \ n \Longrightarrow yi \ m = yin \ n \ k
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ m \ n \ k
   assume c\theta: m = (g n) + k \land k < f n
   have \exists p : (m = (g (fst p)) + (snd p)) \land ((snd p) < (f (fst p)))
     using b1 b3 lem-sum-ind-ex by force
   then obtain n' k' where m = (g n') + k' \wedge k' < (f n') \wedge yi m = (yin n') k'
     using b4 by (smt some I-ex)
    moreover then have n' = n \wedge k' = k using c0 b1 b3 lem-sum-ind-un[of g f
m n' k' n k] by blast
   ultimately show yi m = yin n k by blast
  ged
  have \forall m. (yi \ m, \ yi \ (Suc \ m)) \in r
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ m
   have \exists p : (m = (g (fst p)) + (snd p)) \land ((snd p) < (f (fst p)))
     using b1 b3 lem-sum-ind-ex by force
   then obtain n \ k where c1: m = (g \ n) + k \land k < (f \ n) \land yi \ m = (yin \ n) \ k
     using b4 by (smt some I-ex)
   have \exists p : ((Suc m) = (q (fst p)) + (snd p)) \land ((snd p) < (f (fst p)))
     using b1 b3 lem-sum-ind-ex by force
    then obtain n' k' where c2: (Suc \ m) = (g \ n') + k' \land k' < (f \ n') \land yi \ (Suc
m) = (yin \ n') \ k'
     using b4 by (smt some I-ex)
   show (yi \ m, \ yi \ (Suc \ m)) \in r
   \mathbf{proof}(cases\ Suc\ k < f\ n)
     assume Suc \ k < f \ n
     then have Suc \ m = g \ n + (Suc \ k) \wedge (Suc \ k) < f \ n \ using \ c1 \ by \ simp
     then have n' = n \wedge k' = Suc \ k \text{ using } b1 \ b3 \ c2 \ lem-sum-ind-un[of g]  by blast
     then show (yi \ m, \ yi \ (Suc \ m)) \in r \ using \ b2 \ c1 \ c2 \ by force
     assume d1: \neg Suc \ k < f \ n
     then have Suc\ m = g\ (Suc\ n) + \theta \land \theta < f\ (Suc\ n) using b1 b3 c1 by simp
```

```
then have n' = Suc \ n \wedge k' = 0 using b1 b3 c2 lem-sum-ind-un[of g] by blast
      then show (yi \ m, \ yi \ (Suc \ m)) \in r
        using b2 c1 c2 d1 by (metis Suc-le-eq dual-order.antisym not-less)
    qed
  ged
  moreover have b\theta: \forall j::nat. \forall i::nat. i < j \longrightarrow g i < g j
  proof
    fix j\theta::nat
    \mathbf{show} \ \forall \ i{::}nat. \ i < j\theta \ {\longrightarrow} \ g \ i < g \ j\theta
    proof (induct j\theta)
      show \forall i < 0. g i < g \theta by blast
    \mathbf{next}
      \mathbf{fix} \ j :: nat
      assume d1: \forall i < j. \ g \ i < g \ j
      show \forall i < Suc j. g i < g (Suc j)
      proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} i::nat
        assume i < Suc j
        then have i \leq j by force
        moreover have g j < g (Suc j) using b1 b3 by simp
        moreover then have i < j \longrightarrow g \ i < g \ (Suc \ j) using d1 by force
        ultimately show g \ i < g \ (Suc \ j) by force
      qed
    qed
  \mathbf{qed}
  moreover have b7: \forall j::nat. \ \forall i::nat. \ j \leq i \longrightarrow g \ j \leq g \ i
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix j::nat and i::nat
    assume j \leq i
    moreover have j < i \longrightarrow g \ j \le g \ i \ \text{using} \ b6 \ \text{by} \ force
    moreover have j = i \longrightarrow g \ j \le g \ i \ \text{by} \ blast
    ultimately show g j \leq g i by force
  qed
  moreover have b8: \forall j::nat. \forall i::nat. g \ i < g \ j \longrightarrow i < j
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix j::nat and i::nat
    assume g i < g j
    moreover have j \leq i \longrightarrow g \ j \leq g \ i \ \text{using} \ b7 by blast
    ultimately show i < j by simp
  qed
  moreover have b9: \forall i::nat. \ yi \ (g \ i) = xi \ i
  proof
    fix i::nat
    obtain p where p = (i, \theta :: nat) by blast
    then have ((g \ i) = (g \ (fst \ p)) + (snd \ p)) \wedge ((snd \ p) < (f \ (fst \ p))) using b1
by force
    then obtain n \ k where c1: (g \ i) = (g \ n) + k \land k < (f \ n) \land yi \ (g \ i) = (yin)
n) k
      using b4 by (smt some I-ex)
```

```
then have g \ n \leq g \ i \ \text{by } simp
   moreover have g \ n < g \ i \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume g n < g i
     then have n < i using b8 by blast
     then have g(Suc n) \leq g i using b7 by simp
     then show False using c1 b3 b6 by force
   qed
   ultimately have g i = g n by force
   then have \neg i < n \land \neg n < i using b6 by force
   then have i = n \wedge k = 0 using c1 by force
   then have yi (g i) = (yin i) 0 using c1 by blast
   moreover have (yin \ i) \ \theta = xi \ i \ using \ b2 by blast
   ultimately show yi (g i) = xi i by simp
  moreover have \forall i::nat. inj-on yi { k. g i \le k \land k \le g (Suc i) }
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} i
   have c1: inj-on (yin i) \{k, k \leq f \} using b2 by blast
   have \forall k1 \ k2. \ g \ i \leq k1 \ \land \ k1 \leq g \ (Suc \ i) \longrightarrow g \ i \leq k2 \ \land \ k2 \leq g \ (Suc \ i) \longrightarrow
yi \ k1 = yi \ k2 \longrightarrow k1 = k2
   proof (intro allI impI)
     fix k1 k2
     assume d1: g \ i \leq k1 \land k1 \leq g \ (Suc \ i)
        and d2: g \ i \le k2 \land k2 \le g \ (Suc \ i) and d3: yi \ k1 = yi \ k2
     have g \ i \le k1 \land k1 \le g \ i + f \ i \ using \ d1 \ b3 \ by \ simp
     then have \exists t. k1 = g i + t \land t \leq f i by presburger
     then obtain t1 where d4: k1 = g i + t1 \land t1 \le f i by blast
     have g \ i \le k2 \land k2 \le g \ i + f \ i \ using \ d2 \ b3 by simp
     then have \exists t. k2 = g i + t \land t \leq f i by presburger
     then obtain t2 where d5: k2 = g i + t2 \land t2 \le f i by blast
     have t1 < f i \land t2 < f i \longrightarrow k1 = k2
     proof
       assume t1 < fi \land t2 < fi
       then have yi \ k1 = yin \ i \ t1 \land yi \ k2 = yin \ i \ t2 using d4 \ d5 \ b5 by blast
       then have yin i t1 = yin i t2 using d3 by metis
       then show k1 = k2 using c1 \ d4 \ d5 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
     qed
     moreover have t1 = f i \land t2 < f i \longrightarrow False
     proof
       assume e1: t1 = f i \wedge t2 < f i
       then have e2: yi k2 = yin i t2 using d4 d5 b5 by blast
       have e3: k1 = g (Suc i) using e1 d4 b3 by simp
       then have yi \ k1 = yin \ (Suc \ i) \ 0 \ using \ b1 \ b5[of \ k1 \ Suc \ i \ 0] by simp
       moreover have yi \ k1 = yin \ i \ (f \ i) using e3 \ b9 \ b2 by simp
       ultimately have yin \ i \ t2 = yin \ i \ (f \ i) using e2 \ d3 by metis
       then have t2 = f i using c1 d5 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
       then show False using e1 by force
     qed
```

```
moreover have t1 < f i \land t2 = f i \longrightarrow False
     proof
       assume e1: t1 < fi \land t2 = fi
       then have e2: yi k1 = yin i t1 using d4 d5 b5 by blast
       have e3: k2 = q (Suc i) using e1 d5 b3 by simp
       then have yi \ k2 = yin \ (Suc \ i) \ 0 using b1 \ b5 [of \ k2 \ Suc \ i \ 0] by simp
       moreover have yi \ k2 = yin \ i \ (f \ i) using e3 \ b9 \ b2 by simp
       ultimately have yin \ i \ t1 = yin \ i \ (f \ i) using e2 \ d3 by metis
       then have t1 = f i using c1 d4 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
       then show False using e1 by force
     qed
     ultimately show k1 = k2 using d4 d5 by force
   then show inj-on yi \{k, g \mid i \leq k \land k \leq g \text{ (Suc } i)\} unfolding inj-on-def by
blast
 moreover have \forall m. \exists n. g \ n \leq m \land Suc \ m \leq g \ (Suc \ n)
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ m
   obtain n \ k where m = g \ n + k \land k < f \ n using b1 b3 lem-sum-ind-ex[of g \ f
m] by blast
   then have g \ n \leq m \land Suc \ m \leq g \ (Suc \ n) using b3 by simp
   then show \exists n. g n \leq m \land Suc m \leq g (Suc n) by blast
 qed
  moreover have \forall k \ i \ i'. \ g \ i \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq g \ (Suc \ i) \land g \ i' \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq g
(Suc\ i') \longrightarrow i = i'
 proof (intro allI impI)
   fix k i i'
   assume g \ i \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq g \ (Suc \ i) \land g \ i' \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq g \ (Suc \ i')
   moreover then have k < g \ i + f \ i \wedge k < g \ i' + f \ i' using b3 by simp
   ultimately have \exists l1. k = g i + l1 \land l1 < f i \text{ and } \exists l2. k = g i' + l2 \land l2
< f i' by presburger+
   then obtain l1 l2 where k = g i + l1 \land l1 < f i and k = g i' + l2 \land l2 < f
i' by blast
   then show i = i' using b1 b3 lem-sum-ind-un[of g f k i l1 i' l2] by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-sv-un3:
fixes r1 r2 r3::'U rel
assumes single-valued (r1 \cup r3) and single-valued (r2 \cup r3) and Field r1 \cap
Field r2 = \{\}
shows single-valued (r1 \cup r2 \cup r3)
 using assms unfolding single-valued-def Field-def by blast
lemma lem-cfcomp-d2uset:
fixes \kappa::'U \text{ rel} and r::'U \text{ rel} and W::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and R::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel}
   and S::'U \ rel \ set
```

```
assumes a1: \kappa = o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
      and a3: T = \{ t::'U \ rel. \ t \neq \{ \} \land \ CCR \ t \land single-valued \ t \land \ acyclic \ t \land \} \}
(\forall x \in Field \ t. \ t``\{x\} \neq \{\}) \}
     and a4: Refl r
     and a5: S \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}
     and a6: |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}| \le o |S|
     and a7: \forall \alpha \in S. \exists \beta \in S. \alpha < o \beta
     and a8: Field r = (\bigcup \alpha \in S. \ W \ \alpha) and a9: \forall \alpha \in S. \ \forall \beta \in S. \ \alpha \neq \beta \longrightarrow W \ \alpha \cap
W \beta = \{\}
     and a10: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow R \ \alpha \in T \land R \ \alpha \subseteq r \land |W \ \alpha| \leq o \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
                                     \wedge Field (R \ \alpha) = W \ \alpha \wedge \neg Conelike (Restr r (W \ \alpha))
     and a11: \land \alpha \ x. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow x \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow \exists \ a.
                   ((x,a) \in (Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha)) * \land (\forall\ \beta \in S.\ \alpha < o\ \beta \longrightarrow (r``\{a\}\cap\ W\ \beta)
\neq \{\}))
shows \exists r'. CCR \ r' \land DCR \ 2 \ r' \land r' \subseteq r \land (\forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ r'. \ (a,b)
\in r^*
proof -
  obtain l:: U \Rightarrow U rel where q1: l = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ \alpha. \ \alpha \in S \land a \in W \ \alpha) by
  have q2: \bigwedge a. \ a \in Field \ r \Longrightarrow l \ a \in S \land a \in W \ (l \ a)
  proof -
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     assume a \in Field r
     then obtain \alpha where \alpha \in S \land a \in W \alpha using q1 a8 by blast
     then show l \ a \in S \land a \in W \ (l \ a) \ using \ q1 \ some I-ex[of \ \lambda \ \alpha. \ \alpha \in S \land a \in W
\alpha by metis
  qed
  have q3: \land \alpha \ a. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow a \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow l \ a = \alpha
  proof -
     fix \alpha a
     assume \alpha \in S and a \in W \alpha
    moreover then have a \in W (l \ a) \land \alpha \in S \land l \ a \in S  using q2 \ a8 \ a10 by fast
     ultimately show l = \alpha using a\theta by blast
  have b1: \land \alpha. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (R \ \alpha) \in T \text{ using } a3 \ a10 \text{ by } blast
  have b4: \land \alpha. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (R \ \alpha) \subseteq r  using a10 by blast
  have b7: \forall \alpha \in S. \forall \beta \in S. \exists \gamma \in S. (\alpha < o \gamma \lor \alpha = \gamma) \land (\beta < o \gamma \lor \beta = \gamma)
  proof (intro ballI)
     fix \alpha \beta
     assume \alpha \in S and \beta \in S
     then have Well-order \alpha \wedge Well-order \beta and \alpha \in S \wedge \beta \in S
       using a5 unfolding ordLess-def by blast+
     moreover then have \alpha < o \beta \lor \beta < o \alpha \lor \alpha = o \beta
       using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordLess-or-ordLeq by blast
     ultimately show \exists \ \gamma \in S. \ (\alpha < o \ \gamma \lor \alpha = \gamma) \land (\beta < o \ \gamma \lor \beta = \gamma)
       using a3 a5 lem-Oeq[of \alpha \beta] by blast
  qed
```

```
obtain s: 'U \ rel \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'U \ \text{where} \ b8: s = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ SOME \ xi. \ cfseq \ (R \ \alpha) \ xi)
by blast
    moreover have \forall \alpha \in S. \exists xi. cfseq (R \alpha) xi using b1 a3 lem-ccrsv-cfseq by
blast
    ultimately have b9: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow cfseq(R \alpha) (s \alpha) by (metis some I-ex)
    obtain en where b-en: en = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ SOME \ g :: nat \Rightarrow 'U. \ W \ \alpha \subseteq g'UNIV) by
blast
    obtain ta :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U
        where b10: ta = (\lambda \ u \ \alpha'. \ SOME \ u'. \ (u,u') \in r \land u' \in W \ \alpha') by blast
    obtain t :: ('U \ rel) \times 'U \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U
        where b11: t = (\lambda (\alpha, a) \alpha' ta a \alpha') by blast
    obtain tm :: ('U \ rel) \times nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U
        where b12: tm = (\lambda (\alpha,k) \alpha'. t (\alpha,(en \alpha k)) \alpha') by blast
    obtain jnN :: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \Rightarrow 'U
          where b13: jnN = (\lambda \ u \ u'. \ SOME \ v. \ (u,v) \in (R \ (l \ u)) \hat{} * \wedge (u',v) \in (R \ (l \ u',v)) \cap (R \
u) (*) by blast
    obtain h where b20: \land \alpha k1 \beta k2. \alpha \in S \land \beta \in S \Longrightarrow
                      (\exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma \land h \ \gamma = jnN \ (tm \ (\alpha,k1) \ \gamma) \ (tm \ (\beta,k2) \ \gamma))
        using a1 a5 a6 a7 lem-jnfix-cardsuc[of UNIV::nat set \kappa S jnN tm] by blast
    define EP where EP = (\lambda \alpha. \{ a \in W \alpha. \forall \beta \in S. \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow (r"\{a\} \cap W \})
\beta) \neq {} })
    have b24: \land \alpha \ k \ b. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (s \ \alpha \ k, \ b) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{\ } * \Longrightarrow (\exists \ k' \geq k. \ b = s \ \alpha \ k')
    proof -
        fix \alpha k b
        assume c1: \alpha \in S and c2: (s \alpha k, b) \in (R \alpha)*
        moreover then have single-valued (R \alpha) using b1 a3 by blast
      moreover have \forall i. (s \alpha i, s \alpha (Suc i)) \in R \alpha \text{ using } c1 b9 \text{ unfolding } cfseq-def
by blast
        ultimately show \exists k' \geq k. b = s \alpha k'
            using lem-rseq-svacyc-inv-rtr[of R \alpha s \alpha k b] by blast
    have b25: \land \alpha \ k \ b. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (s \ \alpha \ k, \ b) \in (R \ \alpha)^+ + \Longrightarrow (\exists \ k' > k. \ b = s \ \alpha \ k')
   proof -
        fix \alpha \ k \ b
        assume c1: \alpha \in S and c2: (s \alpha k, b) \in (R \alpha)^+
        moreover then have single-valued (R \ \alpha) using b1 a3 by blast
      moreover have \forall i. (s \alpha i, s \alpha (Suc i)) \in R \alpha \text{ using } c1 \ b9 \text{ unfolding } cfseq-def
        ultimately show \exists k'>k. b=s \alpha k' using lem-rseq-svacyc-inv-tr[of R \alpha s \alpha
k \ b] by blast
    qed
    have b26: \bigwedge \alpha \ a \ b \ c. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow a \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow b \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow
                        c = jnN \ a \ b \Longrightarrow c \in W \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} \ast \land (b, c) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} \ast
    proof -
        fix \alpha a b c
        assume c1: \alpha \in S and c2: a \in W \alpha and c3: b \in W \alpha and c4: c = jnN a b
        then have CCR (R \ \alpha) \land a \in Field \ (R \ \alpha) \land b \in Field \ (R \ \alpha) using c1 b1 a3
a10 by blast
        then have \exists c'. (a, c') \in (R \ \alpha)^* \land (b, c') \in (R \ \alpha)^* \text{ unfolding } CCR\text{-def}
```

```
by blast
         moreover have l \ a = \alpha using c1 \ c2 \ q3 by blast
         moreover then have c = (SOME \ c'. \ (a, c') \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} * \land (b, c') \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} *)
using c4 b13 by simp
         ultimately have c5: (a, c) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{\ } * \land (b, c) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{\ } *
             using some I-ex [of \lambda c'. (a, c') \in (R \alpha)^* * \wedge (b, c') \in (R \alpha)^*] by force
          moreover have W \alpha \in Inv (R \alpha) using c1 a10[of \alpha] unfolding Field-def
Inv-def by blast
          moreover then have c \in W \alpha using c2 c5 lem-Inv-restr-rtr2[of W \alpha R \alpha]
by blast
         ultimately show c \in W \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} * \land (b, c) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} *  by blast
    have b-enr: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow W \alpha \subseteq (en \alpha) (UNIV::nat set)
    proof -
         fix \alpha
         assume \alpha \in S
         then have |W| \alpha \leq o |UNIV::nat \ set| \ using \ a10 \ by \ blast
         then obtain g::nat \Rightarrow 'U where W \alpha \subseteq g'UNIV
             by (metis card-of-ordLeq2 empty-subsetI order-refl)
         then show W \alpha \subseteq (en \alpha)'UNIV unfolding b-en using some I-ex by metis
     aed
    have b-h: \bigwedge \alpha \ a \ \beta \ b. \ \alpha \in S \land \beta \in S \Longrightarrow a \in EP \ \alpha \land b \in EP \ \beta \Longrightarrow
                                (\exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \exists \ a' \in W \ \gamma. \ \exists \ b' \in W \ \gamma. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma)
                                 \wedge (a,a') \in r \wedge (a', h \gamma) \in (R \gamma) \hat{} * \wedge (b,b') \in r \wedge (b', h \gamma) \in (R \gamma) \hat{} *)
    proof -
         fix \alpha a \beta b
         assume c1: \alpha \in S \land \beta \in S and c2: a \in EP \ \alpha \land b \in EP \ \beta
         then have a \in W \ \alpha \land b \in W \ \beta unfolding EP-def by blast
         moreover then obtain k1 k2 where c3: a = en \alpha k1 \wedge b = en \beta k2 using
c1 b-enr by blast
         ultimately obtain \gamma where c_4: \gamma \in S \land \alpha < \sigma \land \beta < \sigma \land \gamma
                                                              and c5: h \gamma = jnN \ (tm \ (\alpha,k1) \ \gamma) \ (tm \ (\beta,k2) \ \gamma) using c1
b20 by blast
         have to a \gamma = (SOME \ a'. \ (a, a') \in r \land a' \in W \ \gamma) using b10 by simp
          moreover have \exists x. (a, x) \in r \land x \in W \gamma \text{ using } c2 \ c4 \text{ unfolding } EP\text{-}def
         ultimately have c6: (a, ta \ a \ \gamma) \in r \land ta \ a \ \gamma \in W \ \gamma
             using some I-ex[of \lambda a'. (a, a') \in r \land a' \in W \gamma] by metis
         have to b \gamma = (SOME \ a'. \ (b, \ a') \in r \land a' \in W \ \gamma) using b10 by simp
          moreover have \exists x. (b, x) \in r \land x \in W \gamma \text{ using } c2 \ c4 \text{ unfolding } EP\text{-}def
by blast
         ultimately have c7: (b, ta b \gamma) \in r \land ta b \gamma \in W \gamma
             using some I-ex[of \lambda a'. (b, a') \in r \land a' \in W \gamma] by metis
         have h \gamma = jnN \ (ta \ a \ \gamma) \ (ta \ b \ \gamma) using c3 c5 b11 b12 by simp
         moreover have ta \ a \ \gamma \in W \ \gamma \land ta \ b \ \gamma \in W \ \gamma \ using \ c6 \ c7 \ by \ blast
         ultimately have h \gamma \in W \gamma \wedge (ta \ a \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \in (R \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } * \wedge (ta \ b \ \gamma, h \ \gamma) \hat{\ } 
\gamma)^*
             using c4 b26[of \gamma ta a \gamma ta b \gamma h \gamma] by blast
         then show \exists \ \gamma \in S. \ \exists \ a' \in W \ \gamma. \ \exists \ b' \in W \ \gamma. \ \alpha < o \ \gamma \land \beta < o \ \gamma
```

```
\wedge (a,a') \in r \wedge (a',h\gamma) \in (R\gamma) \hat{*} \wedge (b,b') \in r \wedge (b',h\gamma) \in (R\gamma) \hat{*}
      using c4 c6 c7 by blast
  qed
  have p1: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r \ (W \alpha) using a 10 unfolding Field-def
by fastforce
  have p2: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow Field (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) = W \ \alpha
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume \alpha \in S
    then have W \alpha \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ a10 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
      moreover have SF \ r = \{A. \ A \subseteq Field \ r\} using a4 unfolding SF-def
refl-on-def Field-def by fast
    ultimately have W \alpha \in SF \ r by blast
    then show Field (Restr r (W \alpha)) = W \alpha unfolding SF-def by blast
  have p3: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow \forall n. \exists k > n. (s \alpha (Suc k), s \alpha k) \notin (Restr r (W \alpha))^*
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    have \forall a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)). \ \exists i. \ (a, s \ \alpha \ i) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } *
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))
      then have a \in Field (R \alpha) using c1 a10[of \alpha] unfolding Field-def by blast
       then obtain i where (a, s \alpha i) \in (R \alpha) * using c1 b9[of \alpha] unfolding
cfseq-def by blast
      moreover have R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r \ (W \alpha) using c1 p1 by blast
      ultimately show \exists i. (a, s \alpha i) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \alpha)) * using rtrancl-mono by
blast
    qed
    moreover have \forall i. (s \ \alpha \ i, s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ i)) \in Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)
      using c1 p1 b9 of \alpha unfolding cfseq-def using rtrancl-mono by blast
    ultimately have cfseq (Restr r (W \alpha)) (s \alpha) unfolding cfseq-def by blast
    then show \forall n. \exists k \geq n. (s \alpha (Suc k), s \alpha k) \notin (Restr r (W \alpha)) \hat{} *
      using c1 a10[of \alpha] lem-cfseq-ncl[of Restr r (W \alpha) s \alpha] by blast
  qed
  obtain E where b27: E = (\lambda \ \alpha. \{ k. (s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k), s \ \alpha \ k) \notin (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{} *
}) by blast
  obtain P where b28: P = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ (s \ \alpha) \ (E \ \alpha)) by blast
  obtain K where b29: K = (\lambda \alpha, \{ a \in W \alpha, (h \alpha \in W \alpha \longrightarrow (h \alpha, a) \in (R \alpha, a) \})
\alpha)^*
                                              \land (a, h \alpha) \notin (R \alpha) \hat{*} \}) by blast
  let ?F = \lambda \alpha . P \alpha \cap K \alpha
  have b31: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow P \alpha \in SCF(R \alpha)
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    then have P \alpha \subseteq Field (R \alpha) using b9 b28 lem-cfseq-fld by blast
    moreover have \forall a \in Field (R \alpha). \exists b \in P \alpha. (a, b) \in (R \alpha)^*
```

```
proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
     assume a \in Field (R \alpha)
     then obtain i where d1: (a, s \alpha i) \in (R \alpha) * using c1 b9[of \alpha] unfolding
cfseq-def by blast
     then obtain k where i \le k \land (s \alpha (Suc k), s \alpha k) \notin (Restr r (W \alpha)) \hat{} * using
c1 p3[of \alpha] by blast
      moreover then have d2: (s \alpha i, s \alpha k) \in (R \alpha)^*
        using c1 b9 of \alpha lem-rseq-rtr unfolding cfseq-def by blast
      ultimately have s \alpha k \in P \alpha using b27 b28 by blast
      moreover have (a, s \alpha k) \in (R \alpha) * using d1 d2 by simp
      ultimately show \exists b \in P \ \alpha. \ (a, b) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} * by blast
   qed
   ultimately show P \alpha \in SCF (R \alpha) unfolding SCF-def by blast
  have b32: \land \alpha. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow K \ \alpha \in SCF \ (R \ \alpha) \cap Inv \ (R \ \alpha)
  proof
   fix \alpha
   assume c1: \alpha \in S
   have \forall a \in Field (R \alpha). \exists b \in K \alpha. (a, b) \in (R \alpha)*
   proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume d1: a \in Field (R \alpha)
      show \exists b \in K \ \alpha. \ (a, b) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{\ } *
      proof (cases h \alpha \in Field (R \alpha))
       assume h \alpha \in Field (R \alpha)
       moreover have CCR (R \alpha) using c1 b1 a3 by blast
       ultimately obtain a' where a' \in Field (R \alpha)
                               and e1: (a,a') \in (R \ \alpha) * \wedge (h \ \alpha, a') \in (R \ \alpha) *
          using d1 unfolding CCR-def by blast
       then obtain b where e2: (a', b) \in (R \ \alpha) using c1 b1 a3 by blast
       then have b \in Field (R \ \alpha) unfolding Field-def by blast
       moreover have (h \ \alpha, \ b) \in (R \ \alpha) * using e1 e2 by force
       moreover have (b, h \alpha) \in (R \alpha)^* * \longrightarrow False
       proof
          assume (b, h \alpha) \in (R \alpha)^*
          then have (b, b) \in (R \alpha)^+ using e1 e2 by fastforce
          then show False using c1 b1 a3 unfolding acyclic-def by blast
        qed
       moreover have (a, b) \in (R \ \alpha) * using e1 e2 by force
       ultimately show ?thesis using b29 c1 a10 by blast
       assume h \alpha \notin Field (R \alpha)
      then have (a, h \alpha) \notin (R \alpha) * \wedge h \alpha \notin W \alpha using d1 c1 a10 lem-rtr-field[of
a] by blast
       then have a \in K \alpha using d1 b29 c1 a10 by blast
       then show ?thesis by blast
      qed
   qed
```

```
then show K \alpha \in SCF (R \alpha) using b29 c1 a10 unfolding SCF-def by blast
     next
         fix \alpha
         assume c1: \alpha \in S
         have \forall a \ b. \ a \in K \ \alpha \land (a,b) \in (R \ \alpha) \longrightarrow b \in K \ \alpha
         proof (intro allI impI)
               \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
               assume d1: a \in K \ \alpha \land (a,b) \in (R \ \alpha)
              then have d3: a \in Field\ (R\ \alpha) and d4: (a, h\ \alpha) \notin (R\ \alpha)^* using b29\ c1\ a10
              have b \in Field (R \alpha) using d1 unfolding Field-def by blast
               moreover have h \alpha \in W \alpha \longrightarrow (h \alpha, b) \in (R \alpha) * using d1 b29 by force
               moreover have (b, h \alpha) \in (R \alpha) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow \mathit{False}
               proof
                    assume (b, h \alpha) \in (R \alpha)^*
                    then have (a, h \alpha) \in (R \alpha) ** using d1 by force
                    then show False using d4 by blast
               qed
               ultimately show b \in K \alpha using b29 c1 a10 by blast
         then show K \alpha \in Inv (R \alpha) using b29 unfolding Inv-def by blast
    have b33: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow ?F \alpha \in SCF (R \alpha)
    proof -
         fix \alpha
         assume c1: \alpha \in S
         have K \alpha \in SCF(R \alpha) \cap Inv(R \alpha) using c1 b31 b32 unfolding Inv-def by
        moreover have P \alpha \in SCF(R \alpha) using c1 b31 b32 lem-scfinv-scf-int by blast
         ultimately have K \alpha \cap P \alpha \in SCF(R \alpha) using lem-scfinv-scf-int by blast
         moreover have ?F \alpha = K \alpha \cap P \alpha by blast
         ultimately show ?F \alpha \in SCF (R \alpha) by metis
     qed
    define rei where rei = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ SOME \ k. \ k \in E \ \alpha \land (s \ \alpha \ k) \in ?F \ \alpha)
    define re\theta where re\theta = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ s \ \alpha \ (rei \ \alpha))
    define re1 where re1 = (\lambda \alpha. s \alpha (Suc (rei \alpha)))
     define ep where ep = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ SOME \ b. \ (re1 \ \alpha, \ b) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } * \land b \in
EP(\alpha)
    define spl where spl = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ spthlen \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha))
    define sp where sp = (\lambda \alpha. SOME f. f \in spth (Restr r (W \alpha)) (re1 \alpha) (ep \alpha))
    define R\theta where R\theta = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ \{ \ (a,b) \in R \ \alpha. \ (b, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) \ \hat{} \ * \ \})
     define R\mathcal{Z} where R\mathcal{Z} = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ \{ \ (a,b). \ \exists \ k < (spl \ \alpha). \ a = sp \ \alpha \ k \land b = sp \ \alpha 
(Suc\ k)\ \})
    define R' where R' = (\lambda \alpha. R0 \alpha \cup R2 \alpha \cup \{ (re0 \alpha, re1 \alpha) \})
    define re' where re' = (\{ (a,b) \in r. \exists \alpha \in S. \exists \beta \in S. \alpha < o \beta \land a = ep \alpha \land a = ep
b \in W \beta \wedge (b, h \beta) \in (R \beta)^* \}
    define r' where r' = (re' \cup (\bigcup \alpha \in S. R' \alpha))
    have b-Fne: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow ?F \alpha \neq \{\}
```

```
proof -
        fix \alpha
        assume \alpha \in S
        then have ?F \ \alpha \in SCF \ (R \ \alpha) \land R \ \alpha \neq \{\}  using b33 \ a3 \ a10  by blast
        then show ?F \alpha \neq \{\} unfolding SCF-def Field-def by force
    have b-re\theta: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow re\theta \ \alpha \in ?F \ \alpha \land rei \ \alpha \in E \ \alpha
    proof -
        fix \alpha
        assume \alpha \in S
        then obtain k where k \in E \alpha \land (s \alpha k) \in ?F \alpha using b-Fne b28 by force
        then have (s \ \alpha \ (rei \ \alpha)) \in ?F \ \alpha and rei \ \alpha \in E \ \alpha
              using some I-ex[of \ \lambda \ k. \ k \in E \ \alpha \ \land \ s \ \alpha \ k \in P \ \alpha \ \cap \ K \ \alpha] unfolding rei-def
by metis+
       then show re0 \ \alpha \in ?F \ \alpha \land rei \ \alpha \in E \ \alpha  unfolding re0-def by blast
    have b-rs: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow s \alpha 'UNIV \subseteq W \alpha
    proof -
        fix \alpha
        assume \alpha \in S
        then have cfseq (R \ \alpha) \ (s \ \alpha) \land Field \ (R \ \alpha) = W \ \alpha \ using \ b9 \ a3 \ a10 \ by \ blast
         then show s \alpha ' UNIV \subseteq W \alpha using lem-rseq-rtr unfolding cfseq-def by
blast
    qed
    have b-injs: \bigwedge \alpha \ k1 \ k2. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow s \ \alpha \ k1 = s \ \alpha \ k2 \Longrightarrow k1 = k2
    proof -
        fix \alpha k1 k2
        assume \alpha \in S and s \alpha k1 = s \alpha k2
        moreover then have cfseq (R \ \alpha) \ (s \ \alpha) \land acyclic \ (R \ \alpha) using b9 a3 a10 by
blast
        moreover then have inj (s \alpha) using lem-cfseq-inj by blast
        ultimately show k1 = k2 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
    have b-re1: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow re1 \ \alpha = s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ (rei \ \alpha))
    proof -
        fix \alpha
        assume c1: \alpha \in S
        then have re\theta \ \alpha \in ?F \ \alpha \ using \ b-re\theta[of \ \alpha] by blast
        then obtain k where c2: re0 \alpha = s \alpha k \wedge k \in E \alpha unfolding b28 by blast
        then have (s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k), \ s \ \alpha \ k) \notin (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))* unfolding b27 by blast
        have rei \ \alpha = k \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \ b\text{-}injs \text{ unfolding } re0\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
        moreover have re1 \alpha = s \alpha (Suc (rei \alpha)) unfolding re1-def by blast
        ultimately show re1 \alpha = s \alpha (Suc (rei \alpha)) by blast
   have b-re12: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha) \in R \ \alpha \land (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \notin (Restr \ respective respecti
(W \alpha)
    proof -
        fix \alpha
        assume c1: \alpha \in S
```

```
then have re\theta \ \alpha = s \ \alpha \ (rei \ \alpha) and re1 \ \alpha = s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ (rei \ \alpha))
            and cfseq (R \ \alpha) (s \ \alpha) using b9 \ b-re1 \ re0-def by blast+
    then have (re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha) \in R \ \alpha unfolding cfseq-def by simp
    moreover have (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow False
    proof
       assume (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{} *
       then have (s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ (rei \ \alpha)), \ s \ \alpha \ (rei \ \alpha)) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))^*
          using c1 b-re1 [of \alpha] unfolding re0-def by metis
       moreover have (s \ \alpha \ (Suc \ (rei \ \alpha)), \ s \ \alpha \ (rei \ \alpha)) \notin (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{} *
          using c1 b-re\theta[of \ \alpha] b27 by blast
       ultimately show False by blast
    ultimately show (re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha) \in R \ \alpha \land (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \notin (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{} *
by blast
  qed
  \mathbf{have}\ b\text{-}rw\text{:}\ \bigwedge\ \alpha\ a\ b.\ \alpha\in S\Longrightarrow a\in\ W\ \alpha\Longrightarrow (a,b)\in (\mathit{Restr}\ r\ (W\ \alpha))\,\widehat{\ }\ast\Longrightarrow b
\in W \alpha
  proof -
    fix \alpha a b
    assume \alpha \in S and a \in W \alpha and (a,b) \in (Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha))^*
    then show b \in W \ \alpha using lem-Inv-restr-rtr2[of - Restr r \ (W \ \alpha)] unfolding
Inv-def by blast
  qed
  have b - r \theta w: \bigwedge \alpha \ a \ b. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow a \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow (a,b) \in (R \ \alpha)^* * \Longrightarrow b \in W \ \alpha
     using p1 b-rw rtrancl-mono by blast
  have b-ep: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (re1 \ \alpha, ep \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } * \land ep \ \alpha \in EP \ \alpha
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    moreover then have c2: re1 \ \alpha \in W \ \alpha  using b-rs[of \ \alpha] \ b-re1[of \ \alpha] by blast
    ultimately obtain b
       where c3: (re1 \ \alpha, \ b) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{} * \land (\forall \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow r''\{b\} \cap a)
W \beta \neq \{\}
       using a11[of \alpha re1 \alpha] by blast
    then have b \in W \ \alpha \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \ b\text{-rw}[of \ \alpha] \text{ by } blast
     moreover obtain L where c4: L = (\lambda \ b. \ (re1 \ \alpha, \ b) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))^* \wedge \wedge
b \in EP(\alpha) by blast
    ultimately have L b and ep \alpha = (SOME \ b. \ L \ b) using c3 unfolding EP-def
ep-def by blast+
    then have L (ep \alpha) using some I-ex by metis
     then show (re1 \ \alpha, ep \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } * \land ep \ \alpha \in EP \ \alpha  using c4 by
blast
  qed
  have b-sp: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow sp \ \alpha \in spth \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha)
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume \alpha \in S
    then have (re1 \ \alpha, ep \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) * using b-ep by blast
    then obtain f where f \in spth (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha)
```

```
using lem-spthlen-rtr lem-rtn-rpth-inj unfolding spth-def by metis
    then show sp \ \alpha \in spth \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha)
      unfolding sp-def using some I-ex by metis
  have b\text{-}R\theta: \land \alpha a. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow (a,re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{} * \Longrightarrow (a,re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha) \hat{} *
  proof -
    fix \alpha a
    assume \alpha \in S and (a,re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha)^*
   then obtain g n where g \in rpth(R \alpha) a (re0 \alpha) n using lem-ccext-rtr-rpth[of
a re\theta \alpha] by blast
    then have c1: g \ \theta = a \land g \ n = re\theta \ \alpha and c2: \forall i < n. \ (g \ i, \ g \ (Suc \ i)) \in R \ \alpha
unfolding rpth-def by blast+
    then have \forall i \leq n. (g i, re0 \alpha) \in (R \alpha) * using lem-rseq-tl by metis
    then have \forall i < n. (g i, g (Suc i)) \in R0 \ \alpha \text{ using } c2 \text{ unfolding } R0\text{-}def \text{ by}
simp
    then show (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha)^*
      using c1 lem-ccext-rpth-rtr[of R0 \alpha a re0 \alpha n] unfolding rpth-def by blast
  qed
  have b-hr\theta: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow h \ \alpha \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow (h \ \alpha, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha)^*
    using b-re0 b-R0 b29 by blast
  have b-hf: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow h \alpha \in W \alpha \Longrightarrow h \alpha \in Field r'
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S and h \alpha \in W \alpha
    then have (h \ \alpha, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha) * using c1 b-hr\theta by blast
    moreover have R\theta \ \alpha \subseteq R' \ \alpha using c1 unfolding R'-def by blast
    ultimately have (h \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) * using rtrancl-mono by blast
    moreover have re\theta \ \alpha \in Field \ (R' \ \alpha) unfolding R'-def Field-def by blast
    ultimately have h \alpha \in Field (R' \alpha) using lem-rtr-field of h \alpha re\theta \alpha by force
    moreover have R' \alpha \subseteq r' using c1 unfolding r'-def by blast
    ultimately show h \alpha \in Field \ r' unfolding Field-def by blast
  have b-fR': \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow Field(R'\alpha) \subseteq W \alpha
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    then have Field (R0 \ \alpha) \subseteq W \ \alpha using a10 unfolding R0-def Field-def by
blast
    moreover have Field (R2 \ \alpha) \subseteq W \ \alpha
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in Field (R2 \alpha)
       then obtain x y where d1: (x,y) \in R2 \ \alpha \land (a = x \lor a = y) unfolding
Field-def by blast
      then obtain k where k < spl \ \alpha \land (x,y) = (sp \ \alpha \ k, sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k)) unfolding
R2-def by blast
       then show a \in W \alpha using d1 c1 b-sp[of \alpha] unfolding spth-def rpth-def
spl-def by blast
    qed
```

```
moreover have re\theta \ \alpha \in W \ \alpha \text{ using } c1 \ b\text{-}re\theta[of \ \alpha] \ b29 \ \text{by } blast
     moreover have re1 \ \alpha \in W \ \alpha using c1 \ b-re12[of \ \alpha] \ a10[of \ \alpha] unfolding
Field-def by blast
    ultimately show Field (R' \alpha) \subseteq W \alpha unfolding R'-def Field-def by fast
  have b-fR2: \bigwedge \alpha a. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow a \in Field (R2 \alpha) \Longrightarrow \exists k. k \leq spl \alpha \land a = sp
\alpha k
  proof -
    fix \alpha a
    assume \alpha \in S and a \in Field (R2 \alpha)
    then obtain x y where (x,y) \in R2 \alpha \wedge (a = x \vee a = y) unfolding Field-def
    moreover then obtain k' where k' < spl \ \alpha \land x = sp \ \alpha \ k' \land y = sp \ \alpha \ (Suc
k'
       unfolding R2-def by blast
   ultimately show \exists k. k \leq spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ k by (metis Suc-leI less-or-eq-imp-le)
  have b-bhf: \bigwedge \alpha \ a. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow a \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow (a, h \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{\ } * \Longrightarrow a \in Field
(R'\alpha)
  proof -
    fix \alpha a
    assume c1: \alpha \in S and c2: a \in W \alpha and c3: (a, h \alpha) \in (R \alpha)^*
    then have (h \ \alpha, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha)^* using b\text{-}hr\theta[of \ \alpha] \ b\text{-}r\theta w[of \ \alpha] by blast
    moreover have R\theta \ \alpha \subseteq R \ \alpha unfolding R\theta-def by blast
    ultimately have (h \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) * using c3 rtrancl-mono by blast
    then have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) * using c3 by force
    then have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha) * using c1 c3 b-R\theta[of \ \alpha]$ by blast
    moreover have R\theta \ \alpha \subseteq R' \ \alpha unfolding R'-def by blast
    ultimately have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) * using rtrancl-mono by blast
    moreover have re\theta \ \alpha \in Field \ (R' \ \alpha) unfolding R'-def Field-def by blast
    ultimately show a \in Field(R' \alpha) using lem-rtr-field[of a re0 \alpha] by blast
  have b\text{-}clR': \bigwedge \alpha \ a. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow a \in Field \ (R' \ \alpha) \Longrightarrow (a, ep \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) \hat{*}*
  proof -
    fix \alpha a
    assume c1: \alpha \in S and c2: a \in Field(R'\alpha)
   have c3: sp \ \alpha \ \theta = re1 \ \alpha  using c1 \ b-sp[of \ \alpha] unfolding spth-def spl-def rpth-def
    then have a \in Field (R2 \ \alpha) \lor a = re1 \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\exists \ k. \ k \leq spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ k)
using c1 b-fR2 by force
    moreover have a \in Field (R0 \ \alpha) \lor a = re0 \ \alpha \longrightarrow (a, re0 \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha)^*
       unfolding R0-def Field-def by fastforce
    moreover have a \in Field (R0 \ \alpha) \lor a \in Field (R2 \ \alpha) \lor a = re0 \ \alpha \lor a = re1
\alpha
       using c1 c2 unfolding R'-def Field-def by blast
    moreover have c_4: \forall k. (k \leq spl \ \alpha \longrightarrow (sp \ \alpha \ k, \ ep \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha)^*
    proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ k
      assume k \leq spl \alpha
```

```
moreover have sp \alpha (spl \alpha) = ep \alpha
         using c1 b-sp[of \alpha] unfolding spth-def spl-def rpth-def by blast
      moreover have \forall i < spl \alpha. (sp \alpha i, sp \alpha (Suc i)) \in R' \alpha
         unfolding R'-def R2-def by blast
      ultimately show (sp \ \alpha \ k, ep \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) * using lem-rseq-tl by metis
    qed
    moreover have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow (a, ep \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) \hat{\ } *
    proof
      assume (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha)^*
      then have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R\theta \ \alpha) * using c1 b-R0 by blast
      moreover have R\theta \ \alpha \subseteq R' \ \alpha using c1 unfolding R'-def by blast
      ultimately have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) * using rtrancl-mono by blast
     moreover have (re\theta \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) using c1 unfolding R'-def by blast
      moreover have (re1 \ \alpha, ep \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha)* using c3 c4 by force
      ultimately show (a, ep \alpha) \in (R' \alpha) * by simp
    qed
    ultimately show (a, ep \alpha) \in (R' \alpha) * by blast
  have b-epr': \bigwedge a. a \in Field\ r' \Longrightarrow \exists \alpha \in S.\ (a, ep\ \alpha) \in (R'\ \alpha)^*
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume a \in Field r'
   then have a \in Field \ re' \lor (\exists \ \alpha \in S. \ a \in Field \ (R' \alpha)) unfolding r'-def Field-def
by blast
    moreover have a \in Field \ re' \longrightarrow (\exists \ \alpha \in S. \ (a, ep \ \alpha) \in (R' \ \alpha) \ \hat{*})
    proof
      assume a \in Field re'
      then obtain x y \alpha \beta where d1: a = x \vee a = y and d2: \alpha \in S \wedge \beta \in S \wedge \beta
\alpha < o \beta
                               and d3: x = ep \ \alpha \land y \in W \ \beta \land (y, h \ \beta) \in (R \ \beta)*
        unfolding re'-def Field-def by blast
      have (x, ep \alpha) \in (R' \alpha) * using d\beta by blast
      moreover have (y, ep \beta) \in (R'\beta) * using d2 d3 b-bhf[of \beta y] b-clR'[of \beta]
by blast
      ultimately show \exists \alpha \in S. (a, ep \alpha) \in (R' \alpha) * using d1 d2 by blast
    ultimately show \exists \alpha \in S. (a, ep \alpha) \in (R' \alpha) * using b-clR' by blast
  have b-svR': \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow single\text{-valued} (R' \alpha)
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    have c2: re\theta \ \alpha \in Domain \ (R\theta \ \alpha) \longrightarrow False
    proof
      assume re\theta \ \alpha \in Domain \ (R\theta \ \alpha)
      then obtain b where (re\theta \ \alpha, \ b) \in R\theta \ \alpha by blast
       then have (re\theta \ \alpha, \ b) \in R \ \alpha \land (b, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha)* unfolding R0-def by
blast
      then have (re\theta \ \alpha, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha)^+ by force
```

```
moreover have acyclic (R \ \alpha) using c1 a10 a3 by blast
      ultimately show False unfolding acyclic-def by blast
    qed
    have c3: re0 \ \alpha \in Domain \ (R2 \ \alpha) \longrightarrow False
    proof
      assume re\theta \ \alpha \in Domain \ (R2 \ \alpha)
      then obtain b where (re\theta \ \alpha, \ b) \in R2 \ \alpha by blast
      then obtain k where d1: k \leq spl \ \alpha \wedge re\theta \ \alpha = sp \ \alpha \ k \wedge b = sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k)
        unfolding R2-def by force
      have sp \ \alpha \in spth \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha) using c1 b-sp by blast
      then have sp \ \alpha \ \theta = re1 \ \alpha and \forall i < spl \ \alpha. (sp \ \alpha \ i, sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ i)) \in Restr \ r
(W \alpha)
        unfolding spth-def spl-def rpth-def by blast+
       then have (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))* using d1 lem-rseq-hd by
metis
      then show False using c1 b-re12[of \alpha] by blast
    have c4: \forall a \in Field (R0 \ \alpha) \cap Field (R2 \ \alpha). False
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume d1: a \in Field (R0 \ \alpha) \cap Field (R2 \ \alpha)
      obtain k where d2: k \leq spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ k \text{ using } d1 \ c1 \ b\text{-}fR2[of \ \alpha \ a] \text{ by}
blast
      have sp \ \alpha \in spth \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha) using c1 b-sp by blast
      then have sp \ \alpha \ \theta = re1 \ \alpha and \forall i < spl \ \alpha. (sp \ \alpha \ i, sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ i)) \in Restr \ r
(W \alpha)
        unfolding spth-def spl-def rpth-def by blast+
      then have d3: (re1 \ \alpha, \ a) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) *
        using d2 lem-rseq-hd unfolding spth-def rpth-def by metis
      have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha)* using d1 unfolding R0-def Field-def by force
       moreover have R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha) using c1 a10 unfolding Field-def
by fastforce
       ultimately have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) * using rtrancl-mono by
blast
      then have (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))* using d3 by force
      then show False using c1 b-re12[of \alpha] by blast
    qed
    have R\theta \ \alpha \subseteq R \ \alpha unfolding R\theta-def by blast
     then have c5: single-valued (R0 \alpha) using c1 a3 a10[of \alpha] unfolding sin-
gle-valued-def by blast
    have c6: \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in R2 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in R2 \ \alpha \longrightarrow b = c
    proof (intro allI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
      assume (a,b) \in R2 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in R2 \ \alpha
      then obtain k1 k2 where d1: k1 < spl \alpha \wedge a = sp \alpha k1 \wedge b = sp \alpha (Suc
k1)
                            and d2: k2 < spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ k2 \land c = sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k2)
        unfolding R2-def by blast
      then have sp \ \alpha \ k1 = sp \ \alpha \ k2 \wedge k1 \leq spl \ \alpha \wedge k2 \leq spl \ \alpha  by force
```

```
moreover have inj-on (sp \ \alpha) \ \{i. \ i \leq spl \ \alpha\}
        using c1 b-sp[of \alpha] lem-spth-inj[of sp \alpha] unfolding spl-def by blast
      ultimately have k1 = k2 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
      then show b = c using d1 d2 by blast
    ged
    have single-valued (R0 \alpha \cup \{(re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha)\})
      using c2 c5 unfolding single-valued-def by blast
    moreover have single-valued (R2 \alpha \cup \{(re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha)\})
      using c3 c6 unfolding single-valued-def by blast
    ultimately show single-valued (R' \alpha) using c4 lem-sv-un3 unfolding R'-def
by blast
  qed
 have b-acR': \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow acyclic(R'\alpha)
 proof -
   fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    obtain s where c2: s = R0 \ \alpha \cup \{(re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha)\} by blast
    then have s \subseteq R \alpha using c1 b-re12[of \alpha] unfolding R0-def by blast
    moreover have acyclic (R \alpha) using c1 a3 a10 by blast
    ultimately have acyclic s using acyclic-subset by blast
    moreover have acyclic (R2 \alpha)
    proof -
      have \forall a. (a,a) \in (R2 \ \alpha)^+ \longrightarrow False
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ a
        assume (a,a) \in (R2 \ \alpha)^+
        then obtain n where e1: n > 0 \land (a,a) \in (R2 \ \alpha)^n using transl-power
        then obtain g where e2: g \ \theta = a \land g \ n = a \ \text{and} \ e3: \forall i < n. \ (g \ i, g \ (Suc
i)) \in R2 \alpha
          using relpow-fun-conv[of a a n R2 \alpha] by blast
        then have (g \ \theta, g \ (Suc \ \theta)) \in R2 \ \alpha  using e1 by force
        then obtain k\theta where e4: k\theta < spl \ \alpha \land g \ \theta = sp \ \alpha \ k\theta unfolding R2\text{-}def
by blast
        have e5: inj-on (sp \ \alpha) \ \{i. \ i \leq spl \ \alpha\}
          using c1 b-sp[of \alpha] lem-spth-inj[of sp \alpha] unfolding spl-def by blast
        have \forall i \leq n. \ k0 + i \leq spl \ \alpha \land g \ i = sp \ \alpha \ (k0 + i)
        proof
          \mathbf{fix} i
          show i \leq n \longrightarrow k\theta + i \leq spl \ \alpha \land g \ i = sp \ \alpha \ (k\theta + i)
          \mathbf{proof}\ (induct\ i)
            show 0 \le n \longrightarrow k0 + 0 \le spl \ \alpha \land g \ 0 = sp \ \alpha \ (k0 + 0) using e4 by
simp
          next
            \mathbf{fix} i
            assume g1: i \leq n \longrightarrow k\theta + i \leq spl \ \alpha \land g \ i = sp \ \alpha \ (k\theta + i)
            show Suc i \leq n \longrightarrow k\theta + Suc i \leq spl \alpha \land g (Suc i) = sp \alpha (k\theta + Suc
i)
            proof
```

```
assume h1: Suc i \leq n
               then have h2: k0 + i \leq spl \ \alpha \land g \ i = sp \ \alpha \ (k0 + i) using g1 by
simp
              moreover have (g \ i, \ g \ (Suc \ i)) \in R2 \ \alpha \ using \ h1 \ e3 \ by \ simp
              ultimately obtain k where
                h3: k < spl \ \alpha \land sp \ \alpha \ (k0 + i) = sp \ \alpha \ k \land g \ (Suc \ i) = sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k)
                unfolding R2-def by fastforce
              then have h4: k0 + i = k using h2 h3 e5 unfolding inj-on-def by
simp
              then have k\theta + Suc \ i \leq spl \ \alpha \ using \ h3 by simp
              moreover have g (Suc i) = sp \alpha (k\theta + Suc i) using h3 h4 by simp
              ultimately show k\theta + Suc \ i \leq spl \ \alpha \land g \ (Suc \ i) = sp \ \alpha \ (k\theta + Suc
i) by blast
            qed
          qed
        qed
        then have k\theta + n \leq spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ (k\theta + n) using e\theta by simp
        moreover have k\theta \leq spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ k\theta using e2 e4 by simp
        ultimately have k\theta + n = k\theta using e5 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
        then show False using e1 by simp
      ged
      then show ?thesis unfolding acyclic-def by blast
    moreover have \forall a \in (Range(R2 \ \alpha)) \cap (Domain \ s). False
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     assume e1: a \in (Range(R2 \ \alpha)) \cap (Domain \ s)
     then have e2: a \in Field (R0 \ \alpha) \lor a = re0 \ \alpha \text{ using } c2 \text{ unfolding } Field-def
by blast
       obtain k where e3: k \leq spl \ \alpha \land a = sp \ \alpha \ k \ using \ e1 \ c1 \ b-fR2[of \ \alpha \ a]
unfolding Field-def by blast
      have sp \ \alpha \in spth \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) \ (re1 \ \alpha) \ (ep \ \alpha) using c1 b-sp by blast
      then have sp \ \alpha \ \theta = re1 \ \alpha \ \text{and} \ \forall i \langle spl \ \alpha. \ (sp \ \alpha \ i, sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ i)) \in Restr \ r
(W \alpha)
        unfolding spth-def spl-def rpth-def by blast+
      then have e4: (re1 \ \alpha, \ a) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha))^*
        using e3 lem-rseq-hd unfolding spth-def rpth-def by metis
      have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (R \ \alpha) * using e2 unfolding R\theta-def Field-def by force
       moreover have R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r \ (W \alpha) using c1 a10 unfolding Field-def
by fastforce
       ultimately have (a, re\theta \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) * using rtrancl-mono by
blast
      then have (re1 \ \alpha, re0 \ \alpha) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)) * using e4 by force
      then show False using c1 b-re12[of \alpha] by blast
    moreover have R' \alpha = R2 \alpha \cup s using c2 unfolding R'-def by blast
    ultimately show acyclic (R' \alpha) using lem-acyc-un-emprd of R2 \alpha s by force
  aed
  have b\text{-}dr': \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow Domain (R' \alpha) \cap Domain re' = \{\}
```

```
proof -
   fix \alpha
   assume c1: \alpha \in S
    have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (R' \ \alpha) \land (a,c) \in re' \longrightarrow False
    proof (intro allI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
      assume d1: (a,b) \in (R'\alpha) \land (a,c) \in re'
      then obtain \alpha' where d2: \alpha' \in S \land a = ep \alpha' unfolding re'-def by blast
      then have a \in W \alpha' using b-ep[of \alpha'] unfolding EP-def by blast
      moreover have a \in W \alpha using d1 c1 b-fR'[of \alpha] unfolding Field-def by
blast
      ultimately have \alpha' = \alpha using d2 c1 a9 by blast
      then have a = ep \alpha using d2 by blast
     moreover have (b, ep \alpha) \in (R'\alpha) * using d1 c1 b-clR' unfolding Field-def
by blast
      ultimately have (a, a) \in (R' \alpha)^+ using d1 by force
      then show False using c1 b-acR' unfolding acyclic-def by blast
    qed
    then show Domain (R' \alpha) \cap Domain \ re' = \{\} by blast
  have b\text{-}pkr': \bigwedge a b1 b2. (a,b1) \in r' \land (a,b2) \in r' \land b1 \neq b2 \Longrightarrow \forall b. (a,b) \in
r' \longrightarrow (a,b) \in re'
  proof -
    fix a b1 b2
   assume c1:(a,b1) \in r' \land (a,b2) \in r' \land b1 \neq b2
    moreover have \forall \alpha \in S. \ \forall \beta \in S. \ (a,b1) \in R' \ \alpha \land (a,b2) \in R' \ \beta \longrightarrow False
    proof (intro ballI impI)
     fix \alpha \beta
     assume \alpha \in S and \beta \in S and (a,b1) \in R' \alpha \land (a,b2) \in R' \beta
       moreover then have \alpha = \beta using b-fR'[of \alpha] b-fR'[of \beta] a9 unfolding
Field-def by blast
       ultimately show False using c1 b-svR'[of \alpha] unfolding single-valued-def
by blast
    qed
    ultimately have (a,b1) \in re' \lor (a,b2) \in re' unfolding r'-def by blast
    then have \forall \alpha \in S. \ a \notin Domain (R'\alpha)  using b\text{-}dr' by blast
   then show \forall b. (a,b) \in r' \longrightarrow (a,b) \in re' using c1 unfolding r'-def by blast
  qed
  have r' \subseteq r
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} p
    assume p \in r'
    moreover have \forall \alpha \in S. \ p \in R' \alpha \longrightarrow p \in r
    proof (intro ballI impI)
     fix \alpha
      assume d1: \alpha \in S and p \in R' \alpha
      moreover have p \in R\theta \ \alpha \longrightarrow p \in r unfolding R\theta-def using d1 \ a1\theta by
blast
      moreover have p \in R2 \ \alpha \longrightarrow p \in r
```

```
proof
        assume p \in R2 \alpha
         then obtain k where k < spl \ \alpha \land p = (sp \ \alpha \ k, sp \ \alpha \ (Suc \ k)) unfolding
          then have p \in Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha) using d1 b-sp[of \ \alpha] unfolding spth-def
rpth-def spl-def by blast
        then show p \in r by blast
      qed
      moreover have (re0 \ \alpha, re1 \ \alpha) \in r using d1 \ b-re12 a10 \ by \ blast
      ultimately show p \in r unfolding R'-def by blast
    ultimately show p \in r unfolding r'-def re'-def by blast
  moreover have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ r'. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume a \in Field r
    then obtain \alpha where c1: \alpha \in S \land a \in W \alpha using a8 by blast
    then obtain a' where c2: (a, a') \in (Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha))*
                     and c3: \forall \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow r''\{a'\} \cap W \ \beta \neq \{\}  using a11[of \alpha]
a] by blast
    have a' \in W \ \alpha \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \ lem-rtr-field[of a \ a'] \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
    then have a' \in EP \ \alpha \text{ using } c3 \text{ unfolding } EP\text{-}def \text{ by } blast
    then obtain \gamma a'' where c_4: \gamma \in S and c_5: a'' \in W \gamma \land (a', a'') \in r \land (a'', a'')
h(\gamma) \in (R(\gamma))^*
      using c1 b-h[of \alpha \alpha a' a'] by blast
    moreover then have (a'', h \gamma) \in r using p1 rtrancl-mono[of R \gamma r] by
    moreover have (a, a') \in r* using c2 rtrancl-mono[of Restr r (W \alpha) r] by
blast
    ultimately have (a, h \gamma) \in r \hat{} * by force
    moreover have h \gamma \in W \gamma using c \not= c \cdot b - r \cdot \theta w by b \cdot l \cdot s \cdot t
    moreover then have h \gamma \in \mathit{Field}\ r' using \mathit{c4}\ b\text{-}\mathit{hf}\ \mathrm{by}\ \mathit{blast}
    ultimately show \exists b \in Field \ r'. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}  by blast
  qed
  moreover have DCR \ 2 \ r' \land \ CCR \ r'
  proof -
    obtain g\theta where c1: g\theta = \{ (u,v) \in r'. r'''\{u\} = \{v\} \} by blast
    obtain g1 where c2: g1 = r' - g\theta by blast
    obtain g where c3: g = (\lambda n :: nat. (if (n=0) then g0 else (if (n=1) then g1))
else {}))) by blast
    have c4: \forall \beta \in S. R' \beta \subseteq g0
    proof
      fix \beta
      assume d1: \beta \in S
      then have R' \beta \subseteq r' unfolding r'-def by blast
      moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in R' \ \beta \land (a,c) \in r' \longrightarrow b = c
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
```

```
assume e1: (a, b) \in R' \beta \wedge (a, c) \in r'
        moreover then have (a,b) \in r' using d1 unfolding r'-def by blast
        ultimately have b = c \lor (a, b) \in re' using b\text{-}pkr'[of\ a\ b\ c] by blast
        moreover have (a,b) \in re' \longrightarrow False using e1 d1 b-dr'[of \beta] by blast
        ultimately show b = c by blast
      qed
      ultimately show R' \beta \subseteq g\theta using c1 by blast
    qed
    have c5: re' \subseteq g1
    proof -
      have re' \subseteq r' unfolding r'-def by blast
      moreover have \forall a b. (a,b) \in re' \land (a,b) \in g0 \longrightarrow False
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
        assume e1: (a,b) \in re' \land (a,b) \in q0
        then obtain \alpha where e2: \alpha \in S \land a = ep \alpha unfolding re'-def by blast
        then have e3: a \in EP \ \alpha \text{ using } b\text{-}ep \text{ by } blast
        obtain \gamma 1 a1 where e_4: \gamma 1 \in S \land \alpha < o \gamma 1 \land a1 \in W \gamma 1 \land (a,a1) \in re'
          using e2 e3 b-h[of \alpha \alpha a a] b-bhf re'-def by blast
        then have \gamma 1 \in S \land ep \ \gamma 1 \in EP \ \gamma 1 using b-ep by blast
       then obtain \gamma 2 a2 where e5: \gamma 2 \in S \land \gamma 1 < o \gamma 2 \land a2 \in W \gamma 2 \land (a,a2)
\in re'
          using e2 \ e3 \ b-h[of \ \alpha \ \gamma 1 \ a \ ep \ \gamma 1] \ re'-def by blast
        then have \gamma 1 \neq \gamma 2 using ordLess-irreft unfolding irreft-def by blast
        then have a1 \neq a2 using e4 e5 a9 by blast
        moreover have a1 \in r'``\{a\} \land a2 \in r'``\{a\} \text{ using } e4 \text{ } e5 \text{ unfolding } r'\text{-}def
by blast
        moreover have r'``\{a\} = \{b\} using e1 c1 by blast
        ultimately have a1 \in \{b\} \land a2 \in \{b\} \land a1 \neq a2 by blast
        then show False by blast
      qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using c2 by force
    qed
    have r' = \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < 2. r' = g \alpha'\}
      have r' \subseteq q\theta \cup q1 using c1 c2 by blast
      moreover have g\theta = g \ \theta \land g1 = g \ 1 \land (\theta::nat) < 2 \land (1::nat) < 2 using
c3 by simp
      ultimately show r' \subseteq \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < 2 : r' = g \alpha'\} by blast
      have \bigwedge \alpha. g \alpha \subseteq g\theta \cup g1 unfolding c3 by simp
      then show \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < 2 : r' = g \alpha'\} \subseteq r' \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \text{ by } blast
    moreover have \forall l1 \ l2 \ u \ v \ w. \ l1 \leq l2 \longrightarrow (u, v) \in g \ l1 \ \land (u, w) \in g \ l2 \longrightarrow
         (\exists v' \ v'' \ w' \ w'' \ d. \ (v, \ v', \ v'', \ d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ l1 \ l2 \land (w, \ w', \ w'', \ d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ l2 \ l1)
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix 11 12 u v w
      assume d1: l1 \leq l2 and d2: (u, v) \in g l1 \wedge (u, w) \in g l2
      have d3: g0 = g \ 0 \land g1 = g \ 1
```

```
have d5: £1 g 1 = g0 and d6: £v g 1 1 = g0
              and d7: \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ 0 = g0 and d8: \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 0 \ 1 = g0 using d3 unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def
\mathfrak{L}v-def by blast+
            show \exists v' v'' w' w'' d. (v, v', v'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} q l1 l2 \land (w, w', w'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} q l2 l1
             proof -
                 have l1 = 0 \land l2 = 0 \Longrightarrow ?thesis
                 proof -
                     assume l1 = 0 \land l2 = 0
                     then have r'''\{u\} = \{v\} \land r'''\{u\} = \{w\} using c1 d2 d3 by blast
                     then have v = w by blast
                     then show ?thesis unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by fastforce
                 moreover have l1 = 0 \land l2 = 1 \Longrightarrow False
                 proof -
                     assume l1 = 0 \land l2 = 1
                     then have (u, v) \in r' \land (u, w) \in r'
                                  and r'''\{u\} = \{v\} \land r'''\{u\} \neq \{w\} \text{ using } c1 \ c2 \ d2 \ d3 \text{ by } blast+
                     then show False by force
                 moreover have l1 = 1 \land l2 = 1 \Longrightarrow ?thesis
                 proof -
                     assume f1: l1 = 1 \land l2 = 1
                     then have (u,v) \in g1 \land (u,w) \in g1 using d2 \ d3 by blast
                     then have (u,v) \in re' \land (u,w) \in re' using c1 \ c2 \ b\text{-}pkr' by blast
                     then obtain \beta 1 \beta 2 where f2: \beta 1 \in S \land \beta 2 \in S
                         and v \in W \beta 1 \wedge (v, h \beta 1) \in (R \beta 1)^*
                         and w \in W \beta 2 \land (w, h \beta 2) \in (R \beta 2)^* unfolding re'-def by blast
                     then have v \in Field(R' \beta 1) \land w \in Field(R' \beta 2) using b-bhf by blast
                     then have f3: (v, ep \beta 1) \in (R' \beta 1)^* \wedge (w, ep \beta 2) \in (R' \beta 2)^* using
f2 b-clR' by blast
                     then have ep \ \beta 1 \in EP \ \beta 1 \land ep \ \beta 2 \in EP \ \beta 2 using f2 \ b\text{-}ep by blast
                     then obtain \gamma v'' w'' where f_4: \gamma \in S \land \beta 1 < o \gamma \land \beta 2 < o \gamma
                                                                 and v'' \in W \gamma \land (ep \beta 1, v'') \in r \land (v'', h \gamma) \in (R \gamma)^*
                                                                        and w'' \in W \gamma \wedge (ep \beta 2, w'') \in r \wedge (w'', h \gamma) \in (R
\gamma)^*
                         using f2 b-h[of \beta1 \beta2 ep \beta1 ep \beta2] by blast
                     then have (ep \ \beta 1, \ v'') \in re' \land (ep \ \beta 2, \ w'') \in re'
                                  and (v'', ep \gamma) \in (R' \gamma)^* \land (w'', ep \gamma) \in (R' \gamma)^*
                          using f2 b-bhf b-clR' unfolding re'-def by blast+
                     moreover obtain v' w' d where v' = ep \beta 1 \land w' = ep \beta 2 \land d = ep \gamma
by blast
                    ultimately have f5: (v, v') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in re' \land (v'', d) \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ } * \land (v'', v'') \in (R' \beta 1) \hat{\ 
\gamma)^*
                                                and f6: (w, w') \in (R' \beta 2)^* \land (w', w'') \in re' \land (w'', d) \in (R' \beta 2)^*
\gamma)^*
                                               using f3 by blast+
                     have (R' \beta 1) * \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ l1) * using f1 f2 d5 c4 rtrancl-mono by blast
                     moreover have re' \subseteq g \ l2 using f1 \ d3 \ c5 by blast
```

and $d4: \forall \alpha. g \alpha \neq \{\} \longrightarrow \alpha = 0 \lor \alpha = 1 \text{ unfolding } c3 \text{ by } simp+$

```
moreover have (R'\gamma) \hat{} \leq (\mathfrak{L}v g l1 l2) \hat{} using f1 f4 d6 c4 rtrancl-mono
by blast
          moreover have (R' \beta 2)^* \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ l2)^* using f1 f2 d5 c4 rtrancl-mono
by blast
          moreover have re' \subseteq g \ l1 using f1 \ d3 \ c5 by blast
         moreover have (R'\gamma) \hat{} * \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ l2 \ l1) \hat{} * using f1 f4 d6 c4 rtrancl-mono
by blast
          ultimately have (v, v', v'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g l1 l2 \land (w, w', w'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g l2 l1
             using f5 f6 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
          then show ?thesis by blast
        qed
        moreover have (l1 = 0 \lor l1 = 1) \land (l2 = 0 \lor l2 = 1) using d2 d4 by
blast
        ultimately show ?thesis using d1 by fastforce
    qed
    ultimately have c9: DCR 2 r' using lem-Ldo-ldogen-ord unfolding DCR-def
    have \forall a \in Field \ r' . \ \forall b \in Field \ r' . \ \exists c \in Field \ r' . \ (a,c) \in r' \hat{*} \land (b,c) \in r' \hat{*}
    proof (intro ballI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
      assume d1: a \in Field \ r' and d2: b \in Field \ r'
      obtain \alpha \beta where d\beta: \alpha \in S \land \beta \in S
         and d_4: (a, ep \alpha) \in (R' \alpha)^* \land (b, ep \beta) \in (R' \beta)^* using d_1 d_2 b-epr'
by blast
      then have ep \ \alpha \in EP \ \alpha \land ep \ \beta \in EP \ \beta using b-ep by blast
      then obtain \gamma a' b' where d5: \gamma \in S \land \alpha < o \gamma \land \beta < o \gamma
                             and d\theta: a' \in W \gamma \land (ep \alpha, a') \in r \land (a', h \gamma) \in (R \gamma)^*
                             and d7: b' \in W \gamma \land (ep \beta, b') \in r \land (b', h \gamma) \in (R \gamma)^*
        using d3 \ b-h[of \ \alpha \ \beta \ ep \ \alpha \ ep \ \beta] by blast
      then have (a', ep \gamma) \in (R' \gamma)^* \wedge (b', ep \gamma) \in (R' \gamma)^*  using b-bhf b-clR'
      moreover have R' \alpha \subseteq r' \wedge R' \beta \subseteq r' \wedge R' \gamma \subseteq r' using d\beta d\delta unfolding
r'-def by blast
      ultimately have (a, ep \ \alpha) \in r' \hat{} * \land (b, ep \ \beta) \in r' \hat{} *
                    and (a', ep \gamma) \in r' \hat{} * \wedge (b', ep \gamma) \in r' \hat{} * using d4 rtrancl-mono
by blast+
      moreover have (ep \ \alpha, a') \in r' using d3 \ d5 \ d6 unfolding r'-def \ re'-def by
blast
      moreover have (ep \ \beta, b') \in r' using d3 \ d5 \ d7 unfolding r'-def \ re'-def by
blast
      ultimately have (a, ep \gamma) \in r' \hat{} * \wedge (b, ep \gamma) \in r' \hat{} * by force
      moreover then have ep \ \gamma \in Field \ r' using d1 lem-rtr-field by metis
      ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ r'. \ (a,c) \in r' \hat{\ } * \land \ (b,c) \in r' \hat{\ } *  by blast
    then have CCR r' unfolding CCR-def by blast
    then show ?thesis using c9 by blast
  ged
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
```

```
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
lemma lem-uset-cl-ext:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and s::'U \text{ rel}
assumes s \in \mathfrak{U} r and Conelike s
shows Conelike r
proof (cases\ s = \{\})
 assume s = \{\}
 then have r = \{\} using assms unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Field-def by fast
  then show Conelike r unfolding Conelike-def by blast
next
 assume s \neq \{\}
 then obtain m where m \in Field \ s \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ s. \ (a,m) \in s \ *) using assms
unfolding Conelike-def by blast
 moreover have s \subseteq r \land (\forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{*}) using assms
unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
 moreover then have Field s \subseteq Field \ r \land s \hat{\ } s \subseteq r \hat{\ } s unfolding Field-def using
rtrancl-mono by blast
  ultimately have (m \in Field \ r) \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r^*) by (meson
rtrancl-trans\ subsetCE)
  then show Conelike r unfolding Conelike-def by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-uset-cl-singleton:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes Conelike r and r \neq \{\}
shows \exists m::'U. \exists m'::'U. \{(m',m)\} \in \mathfrak{U} r
proof -
 obtain m where b1: m \in Field \ r \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r^*) using assms
unfolding Conelike-def by blast
 then obtain x where b2: (m,x) \in r \vee (x,m) \in r unfolding Field-def by blast
 then have (x,m) \in r using b1 unfolding Field-def by blast
 then obtain m' where b3: (m',m) \in r using b2 by (metis\ rtranclE)
 have CCR \{(m',m)\} unfolding CCR-def Field-def by force
  moreover have \forall a \in Field \ r. \exists b \in Field \ \{(m',m)\}. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } * \text{ using } b1 \text{ un-}
folding Field-def by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis using b3 unfolding \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def by blast
qed
lemma lem-rcc-emp: ||\{\}|| = \{\}
  unfolding RCC-def RCC-rel-def \mathfrak{U}-def apply simp
 unfolding CCR-def apply simp
 using lem-card-emprel by (smt iso-ozero-empty ordIso-symmetric ozero-def someI-ex)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-rcc-rccrel} :
fixes r::'U rel
shows RCC-rel r ||r||
proof -
 have \exists \alpha. RCC\text{-rel } r \alpha
```

```
proof (cases \mathfrak{U} r = \{\})
   assume \mathfrak{U} r = \{\}
   then show \exists \alpha. RCC\text{-rel} \ r \ \alpha \text{ unfolding } RCC\text{-rel-def by } blast
   assume b1: \mathfrak{U} \ r \neq \{\}
   obtain Q where b2: Q = \{ \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } \exists s \in \mathfrak{U} \text{ r. } \alpha = o |s| \}  by blast
   have b3: \forall s \in \mathfrak{U} \ r. \ \exists \ \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |s|
   proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ s
     assume c1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r
      then have c2: s \subseteq (UNIV::'U \ set) \times (UNIV::'U \ set) unfolding \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def by
      then have c3: |s| \le o |(UNIV::'U \ set) \times (UNIV::'U \ set)| by simp
      show \exists \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |s|
      proof (cases finite (UNIV::'U set))
       assume finite (UNIV::'U set)
       then have finite s using c2 finite-subset by blast
       moreover have CCR s using c1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
       ultimately have Conelike s using lem-Relprop-fin-ccr by blast
       then have d1: Conelike r using c1 lem-uset-cl-ext by blast
       show \exists \ \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |s|
       proof (cases \ r = \{\})
         assume e1: r = \{\}
         obtain \alpha where e2: \alpha = (\{\}::'U \ rel) by blast
        then have \alpha \in \mathfrak{U} r using e1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def CCR-def Field-def by blast
            moreover have e3: \alpha = o |(\{\}::'U \ rel)| using e2 lem-card-emprel or-
dIso-symmetric by blast
         ultimately have \alpha \in Q using b2 e2 by blast
         moreover have \alpha \leq o|s| using e3 card-of-empty ordIso-ordLeq-trans by
blast
         ultimately show \exists \alpha \in Q. \alpha \leq o |s| by blast
         assume e1: r \neq \{\}
       then obtain m m' where e2: \{(m',m)\} \in \mathfrak{U} r using d1 lem-uset-cl-singleton
by blast
         obtain \alpha where e3: \alpha = |\{m\}| by blast
         then have \alpha = o |\{(m',m)\}| by (simp add: ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
         then have \alpha \in Q using b2 e2 by blast
         moreover have s \neq \{\} using c1 e1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Field-def by force
         moreover then have \alpha \leq o |s| using e3 by simp
         ultimately show \exists \alpha \in Q. \alpha \leq o |s| by blast
       qed
      next
       assume \neg finite (UNIV::'U set)
        then have |(UNIV::'U \ set) \times (UNIV::'U \ set)| = o \ |UNIV::'U \ set| using
card-of-Times-same-infinite by blast
        then have |s| \le o |UNIV::'U | set | using | c3 | using | ordLeq-ordIso-trans | by
blast
        then obtain A::'U set where |s| = o |A| using internalize-card-of-ordLeq2
```

```
by fast
        moreover then obtain \alpha::'U rel where \alpha = |A| by blast
        ultimately have \alpha \in Q \land \alpha = o |s| using b2 c1 ordIso-symmetric by blast
        then show \exists \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |s| \ using \ ordIso-iff-ordLeq by \ blast
      ged
    qed
    then have Q \neq \{\} using b1 by blast
   then obtain \alpha where b4: \alpha \in Q \land (\forall \alpha'. \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow \alpha' \notin Q) using wf-ordLess
wf-eq-minimal[of ordLess] by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha' \in Q. Card-order \alpha' using b2 using ordIso-card-of-imp-Card-order
by blast
    ultimately have \forall \alpha' \in Q. \neg (\alpha' < o \alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \alpha' by simp
    then have b5: \alpha \in Q \land (\forall \alpha' \in Q. \alpha \leq o \alpha') using b4 by blast
    then obtain s where b6: s \in \mathfrak{U} \ r \wedge |s| = o \ \alpha \ \text{using} \ b2 \ ordIso-symmetric by
blast
    moreover have \forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} r. |s| \leq o |s'|
    proof
      fix s'
      assume s' \in \mathfrak{U} r
      then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' \in Q \land \alpha' \leq o |s'| using b3 by blast
      moreover then have |s| = o \ \alpha \land \alpha \le o \ \alpha' using b5 b6 by blast
      ultimately show |s| \le o |s'| using ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-transitive by
blast
    qed
    ultimately have RCC-rel r \alpha unfolding RCC-rel-def by blast
    then show \exists \alpha. RCC\text{-rel } r \alpha \text{ by } blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding RCC-def by (metis someI2)
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-rcc-uset-ne:
assumes \mathfrak{U} r \neq \{\}
shows \exists s \in \mathfrak{U} r. |s| = o ||r|| \land (\forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} r. |s| \le o |s'|)
 using assms\ lem\text{-}rcc\text{-}rccrel\ unfolding\ }RCC\text{-}rel\text{-}def\ by blast
lemma lem-rcc-uset-emp:
assumes \mathfrak{U} r = \{\}
shows ||r|| = \{\}
  using assms lem-rcc-rccrel unfolding RCC-rel-def by blast
lemma lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd:
assumes s \in \mathfrak{U} r
shows ||r|| \le o |s|
proof -
  obtain s\theta where s\theta \in \mathfrak{U} \ r \wedge |s\theta| = o \|r\| \wedge (\forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} \ r. |s\theta| \le o |s'|) using
assms lem-rcc-uset-ne by blast
  moreover then have |s\theta| \le o |s| using assms by blast
  ultimately show ||r|| \le o |s| by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
qed
```

```
lemma lem-rcc-cardord: Card-order <math>||r||
proof (cases \mathfrak{U} r = \{\})
  assume \mathfrak{U} r = \{\}
  then have ||r|| = \{\} using lem-rcc-uset-emp by blast
  then show Card-order ||r|| using lem-cardord-emp by simp
\mathbf{next}
  assume \mathfrak{U} r \neq \{\}
  then obtain s where s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge |s| = o ||r|| using lem-rcc-uset-ne by blast
 then show Card-order ||r|| using Card-order-ordIso2 card-of-Card-order by blast
qed
lemma lem-uset-ne-rcc-inf:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes \neg ( ||r|| < o \omega - ord )
shows \mathfrak{U} r \neq \{\}
proof -
  have ||r|| = \{\} \longrightarrow ||r|| < o |UNIV :: nat set|
  \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis card-of-Well-order finite.emptyI infinite-iff-card-of-nat\ ordIso-ordLeq-trans})
ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ozero-def ozero-ordLeq)
  then have ||r|| = \{\} \longrightarrow ||r|| < o \ \omega-ord using card-of-nat ordLess-ordIso-trans
by blast
  then show \mathfrak{U} r \neq \{\} using assms lem-rcc-uset-emp by blast
qed
lemma lem-rcc-inf: ( \omega-ord \leq o \|r\| ) = ( \neg ( \|r\| < o \omega-ord ) )
  using lem-rcc-cardord lem-cord-lin by (metis Field-natLeq natLeq-card-order)
lemma lem-Rcc-eq1-12:
fixes r::'U rel
shows CCR \ r \Longrightarrow r \in \mathfrak{U} \ r
  unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def CCR-def by blast
lemma lem-Rcc-eq1-23:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes r \in \mathfrak{U} r
shows (r = (\{\}::'U \ rel)) \lor ((\{\}::'U \ rel) < o \ ||r||)
proof -
 obtain s\theta where a2: s\theta \in \mathfrak{U} r and a3: |s\theta| = o ||r|| using assms lem-rcc-uset-ne
by blast
  have s\theta = \{\} \longrightarrow r = \{\} using a2 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Field-def by force
  moreover have s\theta \neq \{\} \longrightarrow (\{\}::'U\ rel) < o\ ||r||
    using a3 lem-rcc-cardord lem-cardord-emp
       by (metis (no-types, lifting) Card-order-iff-ordIso-card-of Field-empty
          card\text{-}of\text{-}empty3 card\text{-}order\text{-}on\text{-}well\text{-}order\text{-}on not\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess
          ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess\text{-}or\text{-}ordIso ordLeq\text{-}ordIso\text{-}trans ozero\text{-}def ozero\text{-}ordLeq)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

```
lemma lem-Rcc-eq1-31:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes (r = (\{\}::'U \ rel)) \lor ((\{\}::'U \ rel) < o \ ||r||)
shows CCR \ r
proof (cases r = \{\})
  assume r = \{\}
  then show CCR r unfolding CCR-def Field-def by blast
  assume b1: r \neq \{\}
  then have b2: (\{\}::'U\ rel) < o\ ||r||\ using\ assms\ by\ blast
  then have ||r|| \neq (\{\}::'U \ rel) using ordLess-irreflexive by fastforce
  then have \mathfrak{U} r \neq \{\} using lem-rcc-uset-emp by blast
  then obtain s where b\beta: s \in \mathfrak{U} r and b\phi: |s| = o ||r|| and
    b5: \forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} \ r. \ |s| \leq o \ |s'| \ \mathbf{using} \ lem-rcc-uset-ne \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
 have s \neq \{\} using assms b1 b4 lem-card-emprel not-ordLess-ordIso ordIso-ordLess-trans
 have s \subseteq r using b3 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
 then have Field \ s \subseteq Field \ r \land s \hat{\ } * \subseteq r \hat{\ } * unfolding Field-def using rtrancl-mono
  have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \forall b \in Field \ r. \ \exists c \in Field \ r. \ (a, c) \in r^* \land (b, c) \in r^*
  proof (intro ballI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
    assume c1: a \in Field \ r \ and \ c2: b \in Field \ r
   then obtain a' b' where c3: a' \in Field \ s \land b' \in Field \ s \land (a,a') \in r \hat{\ } * \land (b,b')
\in r^*
      using b3 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
    then obtain c where c4: c \in Field \ s \land (a',c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (b',c) \in s \hat{\ } * \text{ using } b3
unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def CCR-def by blast
   have a' \in Field \ r \land b' \in Field \ r \land c \in Field \ r \ using \ b3 \ c3 \ c4 \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{U}-def
Field-def by blast
     moreover have (a',c) \in r^* \wedge (b',c) \in r^* using b3 c4 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def
using rtrancl-mono by blast
    ultimately have c \in Field \ r \land (a, c) \in r \hat{*} \land (b, c) \in r \hat{*} \text{ using } c3 \text{ by } force
    then show \exists c \in Field \ r. \ (a, c) \in r \hat{*} \land (b, c) \in r \hat{*}  by blast
  then show CCR r unfolding CCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Rcc-eq2-12:
fixes r::'U \ rel \ and \ a::'a
assumes Conelike r
shows ||r|| \le o |\{a\}|
proof (cases \ r = \{\})
  assume r = \{\}
  then have ||r|| = \{\} using lem-rcc-emp by blast
  then show ||r|| \le o |\{a\}| by (metis card-of-Well-order ozero-def ozero-ordLeq)
  assume r \neq \{\}
  then obtain m where b1: m \in Field \ r \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r \hat{\ } *) using
```

```
assms unfolding Conelike-def by blast
 then obtain m' where b2: (m,m') \in r \vee (m',m) \in r unfolding Field-def by
 then have (m',m) \in r^* using b1 by (meson FieldI2 r-into-rtrancl)
 then obtain x where (x,m) \in r using b2 by (metis\ rtranclE)
 moreover have CCR \{(x,m)\} unfolding CCR-def Field-def by blast
 ultimately have \{(x,m)\}\in\mathfrak{U}\ r using b1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def by simp
 then have ||r|| \le o |\{(x,m)\}| using lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd by blast
 moreover have |\{(x,m)\}| \le o |\{a\}| by simp
 ultimately show ||r|| \le o |\{a\}| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-Rcc-eq2-23:
fixes r::'U \ rel \ and \ a::'a
assumes ||r|| \le o |\{a\}|
shows ||r|| < o \omega - ord
proof -
 have |\{a\}| < o |UNIV| :: nat set | using finite-iff-cardOf-nat by blast
  then show ||r|| < o \omega-ord using assms ordLeq-ordLess-trans card-of-nat ord-
Less-ordIso-trans by blast
qed
lemma lem-Rcc-eq2-31:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes CCR \ r and ||r|| < o \ \omega-ord
shows Conelike r
proof -
 have r \in \mathfrak{U} r using assms lem-Rcc-eq1-12 by blast
 then obtain s where b1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r and b2: |s| = o ||r|| using lem-rcc-uset-ne by
 have |s| < o \omega-ord using assms b2 using ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans
by blast
 then have finite s using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
 moreover have CCR s using b1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
 ultimately have Conelike s using lem-Relprop-fin-ccr by blast
 then show Conelike r using b1 lem-uset-cl-ext by blast
qed
lemma lem-Rcc-range:
fixes r::'U \ rel
shows ||r|| \le o |UNIV::('U \ set)|
 by (simp add: lem-rcc-cardord)
lemma lem-rcc-nccr:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes \neg (CCR \ r)
shows ||r|| = \{\}
proof -
 have \neg ((\{\}::'U\ rel) < o\ ||r||) using assms lem-Rcc-eq1-31[of r] by blast
```

```
moreover have Well-order ({}::'U rel) using Well-order-empty by blast
 moreover have Well-order ||r|| using lem-rcc-cardord unfolding card-order-on-def
\mathbf{by} blast
  ultimately have ||r|| \le o(\{\}::'U \ rel) by simp
  then show ||r|| = \{\} using lem-ord-subemp by blast
qed
lemma lem-Rcc-relcard-bnd:
fixes r::'U \ rel
shows ||r|| \le o |r|
proof(cases \ CCR \ r)
  assume CCR \ r
  then show ||r|| \le o |r| using lem-Rcc-eq1-12 lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd by blast
next
  assume \neg CCR r
  then have ||r|| = \{\} using lem-rcc-nccr by blast
  then have ||r|| \le o ({}::'U rel) by (metis card-of-empty ordLeq-Well-order-simp
ozero-def ozero-ordLeq)
 moreover have (\{\}::'U\ rel\} \le o\ |r| by (metis card-of-Well-order ozero-def ozero-ordLeq)
  ultimately show ||r|| \le o |r| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-Rcc-inf-lim:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes \omega-ord \leq o ||r||
shows \neg ( \|r\| = \{ \} \lor isSuccOrd \|r\| )
  using assms lem-card-inf-lim lem-rcc-cardord by blast
lemma lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes \mathfrak{U} r \neq \{\}
shows CCR \ r
proof -
  obtain s where b1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r using assms by blast
  have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \forall b \in Field \ r. \ \exists c \in Field \ r. \ (a, c) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (b, c) \in r \hat{\ } *
 proof (intro ballI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
   assume a \in Field \ r and b \in Field \ r
   then obtain a' b' where c1: a' \in Field \ s \land b' \in Field \ s \land (a,a') \in r^* \land (b,b')
\in r^*
     using b1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
    then obtain c where c \in Field \ s \land (a',c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (b',c) \in s \hat{\ } * \text{ using } b1
unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def CCR-def by blast
   moreover have s \subseteq r using b1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
   ultimately have c \in Field \ r \land (a',c) \in r \hat{} * \land (b',c) \in r \hat{} * using \ rtrancl-mono
unfolding Field-def by blast
   moreover then have (a,c) \in r^* \wedge (b,c) \in r^* using c1 by force
   ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ r. \ (a, c) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (b, c) \in r \hat{\ } *  by blast
  qed
```

```
then show ?thesis unfolding CCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-rcc-uset-tr:
fixes r s t :: 'U rel
assumes a1: s \in \mathfrak{U} \ r and a2: t \in \mathfrak{U} \ s
shows t \in \mathfrak{U} r
proof -
  have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ t. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
 proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume a \in Field \ r
    then obtain b' where b' \in Field \ s \land (a,b') \in r \hat{\ } * using \ a1 \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{U}-def
by blast
   moreover then obtain b where b \in Field \ t \land (b',b) \in s \hat{\ } * using \ a2 unfolding
U-def by blast
    moreover have s \subseteq r using a1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
   ultimately have b \in Field \ t \land (a,b') \in r^* \land (b',b) \in r^* using rtrancl-mono
    then have b \in Field \ t \land (a,b) \in r \hat{} * by force
    then show \exists b \in Field \ t. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{} * by \ blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis using a1 a2 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
qed
lemma lem\text{-}scf\text{-}emp: scf \{\} = \{\}
  unfolding scf-def scf-rel-def SCF-def apply simp
 using lem-card-emprel by (smt card-of-empty-ordIso iso-ozero-empty ordIso-symmetric
ozero-def someI-ex)
lemma lem-scf-scfrel:
fixes r::'U \ rel
shows scf-rel \ r \ (scf \ r)
proof -
 have b1: SCF r \neq \{\} unfolding SCF-def by blast
  obtain Q where b2: Q = \{ \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } \exists A \in SCF \text{ r. } \alpha = o |A| \}  by blast
  have b3: \forall A \in SCF \ r. \ \exists \ \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |A|
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ A
    assume A \in SCF r
    then have |A| \in Q \land |A| = o |A| using b2 ordIso-symmetric by force
    then show \exists \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |A| \ using \ ordIso-iff-ordLeq by \ blast
  qed
  then have Q \neq \{\} using b1 by blast
 then obtain \alpha where b4: \alpha \in Q \land (\forall \alpha'. \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow \alpha' \notin Q) using wf-ordLess
wf-eq-minimal[of ordLess] by blast
 moreover have \forall \alpha' \in Q. Card-order \alpha' using b2 using ordIso-card-of-imp-Card-order
by blast
 ultimately have \forall \alpha' \in Q. \neg (\alpha' < o \alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \alpha' by simp
```

```
then have b5: \alpha \in Q \land (\forall \alpha' \in Q. \alpha \leq o \alpha') using b4 by blast
  then obtain A where b6: A \in SCF \ r \land |A| = o \ \alpha \ using \ b2 \ ordIso-symmetric
by blast
  moreover have \forall B \in SCF \ r. \ |A| \leq o |B|
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ B
   \mathbf{assume}\ B\in\mathit{SCF}\ r
   then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' \in Q \land \alpha' \leq o |B| using b3 by blast
   moreover then have |A| = o \alpha \wedge \alpha \leq o \alpha' using b5 b6 by blast
    ultimately show |A| \leq o |B| using ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-transitive by
blast
 ultimately have scf-rel r \alpha unfolding scf-rel-def by blast
 then show ?thesis unfolding scf-def by (metis someI2)
qed
lemma lem-scf-uset:
shows \exists A \in SCF \ r. \ |A| = o \ scf \ r \land ( \forall B \in SCF \ r. \ |A| \le o \ |B| )
 using lem-scf-scfrel unfolding scf-rel-def by blast
lemma lem-scf-uset-mem-bnd:
assumes B \in SCF r
shows scf \ r \leq o \ |B|
proof -
  obtain A where A \in SCF \ r \land |A| = o \ scf \ r \land ( \forall A' \in SCF \ r. |A| \le o \ |A'|)
using assms lem-scf-uset by blast
 moreover then have |A| \leq o|B| using assms by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
qed
lemma lem-scf-cardord: Card-order (scf r)
proof -
 obtain A where A \in SCF \ r \land |A| = o \ scf \ r \ using \ lem-scf-uset \ by \ blast
 then show Card-order (scf r) using Card-order-ordIso2 card-of-Card-order by
blast
qed
lemma lem-scf-inf: (\omega - ord \le o(scf r)) = (\neg ((scf r) < o\omega - ord))
 using lem-scf-cardord lem-cord-lin by (metis Field-natLeq natLeq-card-order)
lemma lem-scf-eq1-12:
fixes r::'U rel
shows Field \ r \in SCF \ r
 unfolding SCF-def by blast
lemma lem-scf-range:
fixes r::'U rel
shows (scf \ r) \le o \ |UNIV::('U \ set)|
 by (simp add: lem-scf-cardord)
```

```
lemma lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd:
fixes r::'U rel
shows (scf r) \le o |Field r|
 using lem-scf-eq1-12 lem-scf-uset-mem-bnd by blast
lemma lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes CCR r
shows ||r|| = o (scf r)
proof -
  obtain B where b1: B \in SCF \ r \land |B| = o \ scf \ r \ using \ lem-scf-scfrel[of \ r]
unfolding scf-rel-def by blast
 have B \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ SCF-def \ by \ blast
  then obtain A where b2: B \subseteq A \land A \in SF r
                  and b3: (finite B \longrightarrow finite A) \land ((\neg finite B) \longrightarrow |A| = o |B|)
                 using lem-inv-sf-ext[of B r] by blast
  then obtain A' where b4: A \subseteq A' \land A' \in SF \ r \land CCR \ (Restr \ r \ A')
                  and b5: (finite A \longrightarrow finite A') \land ((\neg finite A) \longrightarrow |A'| = o |A|)
   using assms lem-Ccext-subccr-pext5 [of r A - \{\}] by metis
  have Restr \ r \ A' \in \mathfrak{U} \ r
  proof -
   have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ (Restr \ r \ A'). \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     \mathbf{assume}\ a \in \mathit{Field}\ r
     then obtain b where b \in B \land (a,b) \in r * using b1 unfolding SCF-def by
     moreover then have b \in Field (Restr r A') using b2 b4 unfolding SF-def
by blast
     ultimately show \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ A'). (a, b) \in r \hat{} * by blast
   then show Restr r A' \in \mathfrak{U} r unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def using b \not= b by blast
  then have b6: ||r|| \le o ||Restr \ r \ A'| using lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd by blast
  obtain x\theta::'U where True by blast
  have b7: ||r|| \le o (scf r)
  proof (cases finite B)
   assume finite B
   then have finite (Restr r A') using b3 b5 by blast
   then have Conelike r
      using assms b6 lem-Rcc-eq2-31 [of r] finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq[of Restr r A']
ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
   then have c1: ||r|| \le o |\{x\theta\}| using lem-Rcc-eq2-12[of r x\theta] by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases r = \{\})
     assume r = \{\}
     then have \mathit{scf}\ r = \{\} \land \|r\| = \{\}\ \text{using } \mathit{lem-scf-emp } \mathit{lem-rcc-emp } \mathsf{by } \mathit{blast}
       then show ||r|| \le o (scf \ r) using b1 lem-ord-subemp ordIso-iff-ordLeq by
```

```
metis
   next
     assume r \neq \{\}
     then have B \neq \{\} using b1 unfolding SCF-def Field-def by force
     then have |\{x\theta\}| \le o |B| using card-of-singl-ordLeg by metis
     then show ?thesis using c1 b1 ordLeq-transitive ordIso-imp-ordLeq by metis
   qed
  next
   assume c1: \neg finite B
   then have |A| = o |B| \wedge |A'| = o |A| using b3 b5 finite-subset by simp
   then have |A'| = o \ scf \ r \ using \ b1 \ using \ ord Iso-transitive by \ blast
    moreover have \omega-ord \leq o scf r using c1 b1 infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq or-
dLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
    ultimately have |Restr\ r\ A'| \le o\ scf\ r\ using\ lem-restr-ordbnd[of\ scf\ r\ A'\ r]
ordIso-imp-ordLeq by blast
   then show ||r|| < o (scf r) using b6 ordLeq-transitive by blast
 qed
 moreover have (scf r) \le o ||r||
 proof -
   obtain s where b1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge |s| = o ||r|| \wedge (\forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} r. |s| \leq o |s'|)
     using assms lem-Rcc-eq1-12[of r] lem-rcc-uset-ne[of r] by blast
   then have Field s \subseteq Field \ r \land (\forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } *)
     unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Field-def by blast
   then have Field \ s \in SCF \ r \ unfolding \ SCF-def \ by \ blast
   then have b2: scf r \le o |Field s| using lem\text{-}scf\text{-}uset\text{-}mem\text{-}bnd by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases finite s)
     assume finite s
     then have ||r|| < o \ \omega-ord
        using b1 finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq not-ordLeq-ordLess ordIso-iff-ordLeq or-
dIso-transitive ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordLeq-transitive by metis
     then have c1: Conelike r using assms lem-Rcc-eq2-31 by blast
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases \ r = \{\})
       assume r = \{\}
       then have scf r = \{\} \land ||r|| = \{\} using lem-scf-emp lem-rcc-emp by blast
       then show ?thesis using b7 by simp
     next
       assume d1: r \neq \{\}
       then obtain m where m \in Field \ r \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r^*) using
c1 unfolding Conelike-def by blast
       then have \{m\} \in SCF \ r \ unfolding \ SCF-def \ by \ blast
       then have d2: scf \ r \le o \ |\{m\}|  using lem\text{-}scf\text{-}uset\text{-}mem\text{-}bnd by blast
        have (\{\}::'U\ rel) < o\ ||r|| using d1 assms lem-Rcc-eq1-23 lem-Rcc-eq1-12
by blast
      then have |\{m\}| \le o ||r|| using lem-co-one-ne-min by (metis card-of-empty3)
card-of-empty4 insert-not-empty ordLess-Well-order-simp)
       then show ?thesis using d2 ordLeq-transitive by blast
     qed
```

```
next
     assume \neg finite s
     then have |Field \ s| = o \ |s| using lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
     then show ?thesis using b1 b2 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive by metis
   ged
 qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using not-ordLeq-ordLess ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-scf-ccr-scf-uset:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes CCR \ r and \neg \ Conelike \ r
shows \exists s \in \mathfrak{U} r. (\neg finite s) \land |Field s| = o (scf r)
proof -
 have ||r|| = o (scf r) using assms lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq by blast
  moreover then obtain s where b1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge |s| = o ||r|| using assms
lem-Rcc-eq1-12 lem-rcc-uset-ne[of r] by blast
  moreover have (\neg finite \ s) \longrightarrow |Field \ s| = o \ |s| using lem-rel-inf-fld-card by
  moreover have finite s \longrightarrow False
 proof
   assume finite s
   then have |s| < o \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
   then have ||r|| < o \omega-ord using b1
   \textbf{by} \ (meson \ not-ordLess-ordIso \ ordIso-iff-ordLeq \ ordIso-transitive \ ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso
ordLeq-transitive)
   then show False using assms lem-Rcc-eq2-31 by blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-transitive by metis
lemma lem-Scf-scfprops:
fixes r::'U \ rel
shows ((scf r) \le o |UNIV::('U set)|) \land ((scf r) \le o |Field r|)
 using lem-scf-range lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd by blast
lemma lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl:
assumes CCR r
shows finite (Field (scf r)) = Conelike r
proof
 assume finite (Field (scf r))
 then have finite ||r|| using assms lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq lem-fin-fl-rel ordIso-finite-Field
by blast
 then have ||r|| < o \omega-ord using lem-rcc-cardord lem-fin-fl-rel
     by (metis card-of-Field-ordIso finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq ordIso-iff-ordLeq or-
dLeg-ordLess-trans)
 then show Conelike r using assms lem-Rcc-eq2-31 by blast
next
```

```
assume Conelike r
 then have finite (Field ||r||) using lem-Rcc-eq2-12[of r] by (metis Field-card-of
finite.emptyI finite-insert ordLeq-finite-Field)
 then show finite (Field (scf r)) using assms lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq ordIso-finite-Field
by blast
qed
lemma lem-sv-uset-sv-span:
fixes r s::'U rel
assumes a1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r and a2: single-valued s
shows \exists r1. r1 \in Span \ r \land CCR \ r1 \land single-valued \ r1 \land s \subseteq r1 \land (acyclic \ s \longrightarrow r1)
acyclic r1)
proof -
  have b\theta: s \subseteq r using a1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  obtain isd where b3: isd = (\lambda \ a \ i. \ \exists \ b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r^i \land (\forall \ i'. \ (\exists \ b \in field \ s. \ (a, b)))
\in Field \ s. \ (a, \ b) \in r^{(i')} \longrightarrow i \leq i') \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  obtain d where b4: d = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ i. \ isd \ a \ i) by blast
  obtain B where b5: B = (\lambda \ a. \{ a'. (a, a') \in r \}) by blast
  obtain H where b6: H = (\lambda \ a. \{ a' \in B \ a. \ \forall \ a'' \in B \ a. \ (d \ a') \le (d \ a'') \}) by
  obtain D where b7: D = \{ a \in Field \ r - Field \ s. \ H \ a \neq \{ \} \} by blast
  obtain h where h = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ a'. \ a' \in H \ a) by blast
  then have b8: \forall a \in D. ha \in Ha using b7 some I-ex[of <math>\lambda a'. a' \in H-] by
  have q1: \bigwedge a. \ a \in Field \ r \Longrightarrow isd \ a \ (d \ a)
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume c1: a \in Field r
   then obtain b where c2: b \in Field \ s \land (a,b) \in r \hat{} * using \ a1 \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{U}-def
by blast
   moreover obtain N where c3: N = \{i. \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r^{i}\} by blast
    ultimately have N \neq \{\} using rtrancl-imp-relpow by blast
    then obtain m where m \in N \land (\forall i \in N. m \leq i)
      using LeastI[of \lambda x. x \in N] Least-le[of \lambda x. x \in N] by blast
    then have isd \ a \ m \ using \ c2 \ c3 \ unfolding \ b3 \ by \ blast
    then show isd a (d \ a) using b4 some I-ex by metis
  qed
  have q2: \land a. B \ a \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow H \ a \neq \{\}
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume B \ a \neq \{\}
    moreover obtain N where c1: N = d '(B \ a) by blast
    ultimately have N \neq \{\} by blast
    then obtain m where c2: m \in N \land (\forall i \in N. m \leq i)
      using LeastI[of \ \lambda \ x. \ x \in N] \ Least-le[of \ \lambda \ x. \ x \in N] by blast
    then obtain a' where c3: m = d \ a' \wedge a' \in B \ a \ using \ c1 by blast
    moreover then have \forall a'' \in B \ a. \ d \ a' \leq d \ a''  using c1 \ c2 by force
    ultimately have a' \in H a unfolding b\theta by blast
    then show H a \neq \{\} by blast
```

```
have q3: \forall a \in Field \ r - Field \ s. \ d \ a = 1 \ \lor \ d \ a > 1
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in Field \ r - Field \ s
   then have isd a (d a) using q1 by blast
   then obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a, b) \in r^{(d)}(d \ a) using b3 by blast
   then have d = 0 \longrightarrow False \text{ using } c1 \text{ by } force
   then show d \ a = 1 \lor d \ a > 1 by force
 \mathbf{qed}
 have Field \ r - Field \ s \subseteq D
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in Field \ r - Field \ s
   moreover have H \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume H a = \{\}
     then have B \ a = \{\} using q2 by blast
    moreover obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a, b) \in r* using a1 c1 unfolding
\mathfrak{U}-def by blast
       ultimately have a \in Field \ s \ unfolding \ b5 \ by (metis Collect-empty-eq
converse-rtranclE)
     then show False using c1 by blast
   qed
   ultimately show a \in D using b7 by blast
 qed
 then have q_4: D = Field \ r - Field \ s using b5 b6 b7 by blast
 have q5: \forall a \in D. da > 1 \longrightarrow da = Suc(d(ha)) \land (d(ha) > 1 \longrightarrow ha \in A)
 proof (intro ballI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in D and c2: da > 1
   then obtain b where c3: b \in Field \ s and c4: (a, b) \in r (d \ a)
                  and c5: \forall i'. (\exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r^{(i')}) \longrightarrow (d \ a) \leq i'
                  using b3 b7 q1 by blast
   have c6: d \ a > 1 using c1 \ c4 \ b7 \ q3 by force
   then have d \ a = Suc \ ((d \ a) - 1) by simp
   then obtain a' where c7: (a,a') \in r \land (a',b) \in r \curvearrowright ((d\ a)-1)
     using c4 relpow-Suc-D2[of a b d a - 1 r] by metis
     moreover then have a' \notin Field \ s \ using \ c2 \ c5 \ by \ (metis \ less-Suc-eq-le
not-less-eq relpow-1)
   ultimately have (a,a') \in r \land a' \in Field \ r - Field \ s \ unfolding \ Field-def by
blast
   then have a' \in B a unfolding b5 by blast
   moreover have h \ a \in H \ a \ using \ c1 \ b8 \ by \ blast
   ultimately have d(h a) \leq d a' unfolding b6 by blast
   moreover have Suc\ (d\ a') \leq d\ a
   proof -
     have d \ a' \le d \ a - 1 using q1 \ b3 \ c7 \ c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
```

```
then show ?thesis using c6 by force
   qed
   moreover have d a \leq (Suc (d (h a)))
   proof -
     have d1: (a, h \ a) \in r using c1 \ b5 \ b6 \ b8 by blast
     then have h \ a \in Field \ r \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     then obtain b' where b' \in Field \ s \land ((h \ a), \ b') \in r (d \ (h \ a)) using b3 q1
      moreover then have (a,b') \in r^{\sim}(Suc\ (d\ (h\ a))) using d1 c7 by (meson
relpow-Suc-I2)
     ultimately show d \ a \leq (Suc \ (d \ (h \ a))) using c5 by blast
   ultimately have d = Suc (d (h a)) by force
   moreover have d(h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D
   proof
     assume d1: d(h a) > 1
     then have d2: (a, h \ a) \in r using c1 b5 b6 b8 by simp
     then have isd (h a) (d (h a)) using d1 q1 unfolding Field-def by force
     then have (h \ a) \notin Field \ s \ using \ d1 \ b3 \ by force
     then show h \ a \in D using d2 \ q4 unfolding Field-def by blast
   qed
   ultimately show d \ a = Suc \ (d \ (h \ a)) \land (d \ (h \ a) > 1 \longrightarrow h \ a \in D) by blast
  obtain g1 where b9: g1 = { (a, b). a \in D \land b = h \ a } by blast
  have q6: \forall a \in D. \exists a' \in D. da' = 1 \land (a,a') \in g1^*
  proof -
   have \forall n. \forall a \in D. da = Suc n \longrightarrow ((h^n) a) \in D \land d((h^n) a) = 1
   proof
     fix n\theta
     show \forall a \in D. da = Suc \ n\theta \longrightarrow ((h^{\widehat{n}}\theta) \ a) \in D \land d((h^{\widehat{n}}\theta) \ a) = 1
     proof (induct \ n\theta)
       show \forall a \in D. d = Suc \ \theta \longrightarrow ((h^{\frown}\theta) \ a) \in D \land d \ ((h^{\frown}\theta) \ a) = 1
         using q4 by force
     next
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume d1: \forall a \in D. \ d \ a = Suc \ n \longrightarrow ((h^n) \ a) \in D \land d \ ((h^n) \ a) = 1
       show \forall a \in D. d = Suc (Suc n) \longrightarrow ((h \cap Suc n)) a) \in D \land d ((h \cap Suc n))
(n) (a) = 1
       proof (intro ballI impI)
         assume e1: a \in D and e2: d \ a = Suc \ (Suc \ n)
          then have d = Suc (d (h a)) \wedge (d (h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D) using q5
         moreover then have e3: d(h a) = Suc n using e2 by simp
         ultimately have d(h \ a) > 1 \longrightarrow ((h^{\hat{}} n) \ (h \ a)) \in D \land d((h^{\hat{}} n) \ (h \ a))
= 1 using d1 by blast
           moreover have (h^{n}) (h \ a) = (h^{n}(Suc \ n)) a by (metis \ comp-apply)
funpow-Suc-right)
          moreover have e4: d(h \ a) = 1 \longrightarrow d((h^{\sim}(Suc \ n)) \ a) = 1 using e3
```

```
by simp
         moreover have d(h(a) = 1 \longrightarrow ((h^{(suc(n))}(a) \in D)
         proof
           assume f1: d(h a) = 1
           then have f2: n = 0 \land (a, h, a) \in r using e1 \ e3 \ b5 \ b6 \ b8 by simp
           then have isd (h a) 1 using f1 q1 unfolding Field-def by force
           then have (h \ a) \notin Field \ s \ using \ b3 by force
           then have (h \ a) \in D using q4 \ f2 unfolding Field-def by blast
           then show ((h^{\sim}(Suc\ n))\ a) \in D using f2 by simp
         moreover have d(h a) = 1 \lor d(h a) > 1 using e3 by force
          ultimately show ((h^{\sim}(Suc\ n))\ a) \in D \land d\ ((h^{\sim}(Suc\ n))\ a) = 1 by
force
       qed
     qed
    moreover have \forall i. \forall a \in D. da > i \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\hat{i}}) a) \in g1^*
    proof
     fix i\theta
      show \forall a \in D. da > i\theta \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\hat{i}}\theta) a) \in g1^*
      proof (induct i\theta)
       show \forall a \in D. d \ a > 0 \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\frown}0) \ a) \in g1^* by force
      next
       fix i
       assume d1: \forall a \in D. da > i \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\hat{i}}) a) \in g1^*
       show \forall a \in D. d \ a > (Suc \ i) \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\widehat{\ }}(Suc \ i)) \ a) \in g1^*
       proof (intro ballI impI)
         \mathbf{fix} \ a
         assume e1: a \in D and e2: d \ a > (Suc \ i)
         then have e3: d = Suc (d (h a)) \land (d (h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D) using
q5 by simp
         moreover then have e4: d(h a) > i using e2 by simp
          ultimately have d(h a) > 1 \longrightarrow (h a, (h^{\hat{i}}) (h a)) \in g1^* using d1
by simp
           moreover have (h^{\sim}i) (h \ a) = (h^{\sim}(Suc \ i)) a by (metis \ comp-apply)
funpow-Suc-right)
          moreover have d(h a) = 1 \longrightarrow (h^{\sim}(Suc i)) a = (h a) using e4 by
force
         moreover have d(h a) = 1 \lor d(h a) > 1 using e4 by force
         moreover then have (a, h \ a) \in g1 using e1 \ e3 unfolding b9 by simp
         ultimately show (a, (h^{\sim}(Suc\ i))\ a) \in g1^*
           by (metis converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl r-into-rtrancl)
       qed
     qed
    qed
    ultimately have \forall n. \forall a \in D. d = Suc \ n \longrightarrow (h^n) \ a \in D \land d ((h^n) \ a)
                  \hat{n}(n) a) \in g1^*
= 1 \wedge (a, (h))
      \mathbf{bv} simp
   then have \forall n. \forall a \in D. d = Suc \ n \longrightarrow (\exists a' \in D. d \ a' = 1 \land (a,a') \in q1^*)
```

```
by blast
   moreover have \forall a \in D. \exists n. da = Suc n using q3 q4 q5 by force
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 obtain r1 where b19: r1 = s \cup q1 by blast
 have t1: g1 \subseteq r1 using b19 by blast
 have b20: s \subseteq r1 using b19 by blast
 have b21: r1 \subseteq r
 proof -
   have \forall a \in D. (a, h a) \in r \text{ using } b5 \ b6 \ b8 \text{ by } blast
   then have g1 \subseteq r using b9 by blast
   then show ?thesis using b0 b19 by blast
 qed
 have b22: \forall a \in Field \ r1 - Field \ s. \ \exists \ b \in Field \ s. \ (a, \ b) \in r1^*
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume d1: a \in Field \ r1 - Field \ s
   then have a \in D using q4 b21 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
   then obtain a' where d2: a' \in D \land d a' = 1 \land (a, a') \in g1* using g6 by
blast
   then have d3: (a', h a') \in r1 \wedge h a' \in H a' using b8 \ b9 \ t1 by blast
   obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a',b) \in r \ using \ d2 \ q1 \ q4 \ b3 \ by force
   moreover then have isd b (d b) using q1 unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately have b \in B a' \wedge d b = 0 using b3 b5 by force
   then have d(h a') = 0 using d3 b6 by force
   then have isd (h \ a') \ 0 using q1 d3 b21 unfolding Field-def by force
   then have h \ a' \in Field \ s \ using \ b3 by force
   moreover have (a, a') \in r1* using d2 t1 rtrancl-mono[of g1 r1] by blast
   ultimately have (h \ a') \in Field \ s \land (a, h \ a') \in r1^*  using d3 by force
   then show \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r1 \hat{} * by \ blast
 qed
 have b23: Field r \subseteq Field\ r1
 proof -
   have (Field \ r - Field \ s) \subseteq Field \ r1 using q \not \downarrow b9 \ t1 unfolding Field-def by
   moreover have Field s \subseteq Field \ r1 using b20 unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately show Field r \subseteq Field r1 by blast
 have Field \ r1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b21 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
 then have r1 \in Span \ r  using b21 \ b23 unfolding Span-def by blast
 moreover have CCR r1
 proof -
   have s \in \mathfrak{U} r1 using b20 b22 a1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
   then show CCR r1 using lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr by blast
 ged
 moreover have single-valued r1
 proof -
   have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \ \land \ (a,c) \in r1 \longrightarrow b = c
   proof (intro allI impI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
      assume (a,b) \in r1 \land (a,c) \in r1
        moreover have (a,b) \in s \land (a,c) \in s \longrightarrow b = c using a2 unfolding
single-valued-def by blast
      moreover have (a,b) \in s \land (a,c) \in g1 \longrightarrow False using b9 b7 unfolding
Field-def by blast
      moreover have (a,b) \in g1 \land (a,c) \in s \longrightarrow b = c using b9 \ b7 unfolding
Field-def by blast
      moreover have (a,b) \in g1 \land (a,c) \in g1 \longrightarrow b = c using b9 by blast
      ultimately show b = c using b19 by blast
    qed
    then show ?thesis unfolding single-valued-def by blast
 moreover have acyclic s \longrightarrow acyclic r1
  proof
    assume c1: acyclic s
    have c2: \forall a' \in D. da' = 1 \longrightarrow d(ha') = 0
    proof (intro ballI impI)
     fix a'
     assume d1: a' \in D and d2: d a' = 1
      then have d3: (a', h a') \in r1 \land h a' \in H a' \text{ using } b8 \ b9 \ t1 \text{ by } blast
     obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a',b) \in r \ using \ d1 \ d2 \ q1 \ q4 \ b3 \ by force
      moreover then have isd b (d b) using q1 unfolding Field-def by blast
      ultimately have b \in B a' \wedge d b = 0 using b3 b5 by force
      then show d(h a') = 0 using d3 b6 by force
    qed
    have c3: \forall a b. (a,b) \in g1 \longrightarrow db < da
    proof (intro allI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume (a,b) \in g1
      then have d1: a \in D \land b = h \ a \ using \ b9 \ by \ blast
      then have d \ a > 1 \lor d \ a = 1 and d \ a > 1 \longrightarrow d \ b < d \ a \ using q3 q4 q5
by force+
     moreover have d = 1 \longrightarrow d b < d a using d1 c2 by force
      ultimately show d b < d a by blast
    qed
    have c4: \forall n. \forall a b. (a,b) \in q1^{(Suc n)} \longrightarrow d b < d a
    proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ n
     show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in g1 \widehat{\ } (Suc \ n) \longrightarrow d \ b < d \ a
     proof (induct \ n)
        show \forall a \ b. \ (a, \ b) \in g1 \ ^\frown (Suc \ \theta) \longrightarrow d \ b < d \ a \ using \ c3 \ by force
      next
        \mathbf{fix} \ n
        assume e1: \forall a \ b. \ (a, \ b) \in g1 \ \widehat{} \ (Suc \ n) \longrightarrow d \ b < d \ a
        show \forall a \ b. \ (a, \ b) \in g1 \ \widehat{} (Suc \ (Suc \ n)) \longrightarrow d \ b < d \ a
        proof (intro allI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
          assume (a, b) \in g1 \curvearrowright (Suc (Suc n))
```

```
then obtain c where (a,c) \in g1^{\sim}(Suc\ n) \land (c,b) \in g1 by force
         then have d \ c < d \ a \land d \ b < d \ c \ using \ e1 \ c3 by blast
         then show d b < d a by simp
       qed
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
   have \forall x. (x,x) \in g1^+ \longrightarrow False
   proof (intro allI impI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume (x,x) \in g1^+
     then obtain m::nat where m > 0 \land (x,x) \in g1^{\text{m}} using trancl-power by
blast
      moreover then obtain n where m = Suc n using less-imp-Suc-add by
blast
     ultimately have d x < d x using c4 by blast
     then show False by blast
   qed
   then have acyclic g1 unfolding acyclic-def by blast
     moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in s \land (b,c) \in g1 \longrightarrow False using b9 b7
unfolding Field-def by blast
   moreover have r1 = s \cup g1 using b19 by blast
   ultimately show acyclic r1 using c1 lem-acyc-un-emprd by blast
 qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using b20 by blast
qed
lemma lem-incrfun-nat: \forall i::nat. f \in f (Suc i) \Longrightarrow \forall i j. i \leq j \longrightarrow f i + (j-i)
\leq f j
proof -
 assume a1: \forall i::nat. fi < f(Suci)
 have \forall j. \forall i. i \leq j \longrightarrow f i + (j-i) \leq f j
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ j\theta
   show \forall i. i \leq j0 \longrightarrow fi + (j0-i) \leq fj0
   proof (induct j\theta)
     show \forall i \leq 0. f i + (0 - i) \leq f \theta by simp
   next
     assume c1: \forall i \leq j. fi + (j-i) \leq fj
     show \forall i \leq Suc j. f i + (Suc j - i) \leq f (Suc j)
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} i
       assume d1: i \leq Suc j
       \mathbf{show}\ f\ i\ +\ (Suc\ j\ -\ i)\ \leq f\ (Suc\ j)
       proof (cases \ i \leq j)
         assume i \leq j
         moreover then have f i + (j - i) \le f j using c1 by blast
         ultimately show ?thesis using a1
           by (metis Suc-diff-le Suc-le-eq add-Suc-right not-le order-trans)
```

```
next
         assume \neg i \leq j
         then have i = Suc \ j \ using \ d1 \ by \ simp
         then show ?thesis by simp
       ged
     qed
   qed
  qed
  then show \forall i j. i \leq j \longrightarrow f i + (j-i) \leq f j by blast
qed
lemma lem-sv-uset-rcceqw:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes a1: ||r|| = o \omega-ord
shows \exists r1 \in \mathfrak{U} r. single-valued r1 \land acyclic r1 \land (\forall x \in Field r1. r1" \{x\} \neq \{\})
 have \neg ( ||r|| < o \ \omega-ord ) using a1 by (metis not-ordLess-ordIso)
 then obtain s where b1: s \in \mathfrak{U} \ r \wedge |s| = o \|r\| using lem-rcc-uset-ne lem-uset-ne-rcc-inf
  then have |Field \ s| = o \ \omega-ord
  using a1 lem-rel-inf-fld-card[of s] by (metis ordIso-natLeq-infinite1 ordIso-transitive)
 then obtain at where b2: Field s = ai '(UNIV::nat set) using lem-cntset-enum
by blast
  obtain f where b3: f = (\lambda \ x. \ SOME \ y. \ (x,y) \in r^* * \land y \in Field \ s) by blast
 obtain g where b_4: g = (\lambda \ A. \ SOME \ y. \ y \in Field \ r \land A \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{y\}) by blast
  obtain h where b5: h = (\lambda A. SOME y. y \in Field r - dncl r A) by blast
  have b6: \bigwedge x. \ x \in Field \ r \Longrightarrow (x, f \ x) \in r \hat{\ } * \land f \ x \in Field \ s
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in Field r
   then have \exists y. (x,y) \in r \hat{*} \land y \in Field \ s \ using \ b1 \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def \ by \ blast
   then show (x, f x) \in r \hat{} * \land f x \in Field s
      using b3 some I-ex[of \lambda y. (x,y) \in r^* \land y \in Field s] by blast
  qed
  have b7: \bigwedge A. finite A \land A \subseteq Field \ r \Longrightarrow g \ A \in Field \ r \land A \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{g \ A\}
  proof -
   fix A::'U set
   assume c1: finite A \wedge A \subseteq Field\ r
   moreover have CCR r using b1 lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr by blast
   ultimately obtain s where c2: finite s \land CCR \ s \land s \subseteq r \land A \subseteq Field \ s
      using lem-Ccext-finsubccr-dext[of r A] by blast
   then have c3: Conelike s using lem-Relprop-fin-ccr by blast
   have \exists y. y \in Field \ r \land A \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{y\}
   proof (cases\ A = \{\})
      assume A = \{\}
       moreover have r \neq \{\} using a1 lem-rcc-emp lem-Rcc-inf-lim by (metis
ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
      moreover then have Field \ r \neq \{\} unfolding Field-def by force
      ultimately show ?thesis unfolding dncl-def by blast
```

```
next
     assume d1: A \neq \{\}
     then have s \neq \{\} using c2 unfolding Field-def by blast
    then obtain y where \forall x \in A. (x, y) \in s * using c2 c3 unfolding Conelike-def
     then have d2: \forall x \in A. (x,y) \in r^* \text{ using } c2 \text{ rtrancl-mono by } blast
     obtain x\theta where x\theta \in A \cap Field \ r  using d1 \ c1 \ c2 by blast
     moreover then have (x\theta, y) \in r* using d2 by blast
     ultimately have y \in Field \ r \ using \ lem-rtr-field [of x0 \ y \ r] by blast
     then show ?thesis using d2 unfolding dncl-def by blast
    qed
   then show g A \in Field \ r \land A \subseteq dncl \ r \{g \ A\}
     using b4 some I-ex[of \lambda y. y \in Field\ r \land A \subseteq dncl\ r\ \{y\}] by blast
  qed
  have b8: \bigwedge A::'U set. finite A \Longrightarrow (h \ A) \in Field \ r - dncl \ r \ A
  proof -
   fix A::'U set
   assume c1: finite A
   have Field r - dncl \ r \ A = \{\} \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume Field\ r - dncl\ r\ A = \{\}
     then have \forall x \in Field \ r. \ \exists y \in A \cap Field \ r. \ (x,y) \in r^*
        using lem-rtr-field[of - - r] unfolding dncl-def by blast
     then have A \cap Field \ r \in SCF \ r \ unfolding \ SCF-def \ by \ blast
     then have scf \ r \le o \ |A \cap Field \ r| using lem\text{-}scf\text{-}uset\text{-}mem\text{-}bnd by blast
     moreover have |A \cap Field \ r| < o \ \omega-ord using c1 finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by
blast
     ultimately have scf \ r < o \ \omega-ord by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
    moreover have ||r|| = o \ scf \ r \ using \ b1 \ lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of \ r] \ lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr[of \ r]
r] by blast
     ultimately show False using a1
       by (meson not-ordLeq-ordLess ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
   qed
   then show (h A) \in Field \ r - dncl \ r A
     using b5 some I-ex[of \lambda y. y \in Field \ r - dncl \ r \ A] by blast
  obtain Ci where b9: Ci = rec-nat { ai 0 } (\lambda n B. B \cup {f(g(\{(h B)\}) \cup B \cup A)}
ai\{k. \ k \leq n\})\} by blast
  then have b1\theta: Ci \theta = \{ai \theta\}
         and b11: \bigwedge n. Ci (Suc n) = Ci n \cup {f(g({(h (Ci n))}) \cup Ci n \cup ai'{k.
k \le n\}))\} by simp+
  have b12: Field s \subseteq Field \ r using b1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Field-def by blast
  have b13: \bigwedge n. Ci n \subseteq Field \ s \land finite \ (Ci \ n)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix}\ n
   show Ci \ n \subseteq Field \ s \land finite \ (Ci \ n)
   proof (induct n)
     show Ci \ 0 \subseteq Field \ s \land finite \ (Ci \ 0) using b2 \ b10 by simp
   next
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume Ci \ n \subseteq Field \ s \land finite \ (Ci \ n)
      moreover then have \{h\ (Ci\ n)\}\cup Ci\ n\cup ai\ `\{k.\ k\leq n\}\subseteq Field\ r\ \mathbf{using}
b2 b8 b12 by blast
     ultimately show Ci\ (Suc\ n)\subseteq Field\ s\wedge finite\ (Ci\ (Suc\ n)) using b6 b7 b11
by simp
    \mathbf{qed}
  qed
  have b14: \land n. \exists m \in (Ci n). Ci n \cup ai'\{k. k \le n-1\} \subseteq dncl r\{m\}
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \exists m \in (Ci n). Ci n \cup ai \{k. k \le n-1\} \subseteq dncl r \{m\}
    proof (induct n)
      show \exists m \in Ci \ \theta. Ci \ \theta \cup ai\{k. \ k \leq \theta - 1\} \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{m\} \ using \ b1\theta \ unfolding
dncl-def by simp
    next
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume \exists m \in Ci \ n. \ Ci \ n \cup ai'\{k. \ k \le n-1\} \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{m\}
      obtain A where d1: A = \{(h \ (Ci \ n))\} \cup Ci \ n \cup ai \{k. \ k \le n\} by blast
      obtain m where d2: m = f(g(A)) by blast
      have finite A \wedge A \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ b2 \ b8 \ b12 \ b13 \ by force
      then have d3: g \ A \in Field \ r \land A \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{g \ A\} using b7 by blast
      then have d_4: (g A, m) \in r \hat{\ } * \land m \in Field \ s \ using \ d_2 \ b_6 \ by \ blast
      have m \in Ci (Suc n) using d1 d2 b11 by blast
     moreover have ai\{k.\ k \le n\} \subseteq dncl\ r\ \{m\} using d1\ d3\ d4 unfolding dncl\text{-}def
by force
      moreover have Ci \ n \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{m\} using d1 \ d3 \ d4 unfolding dncl-def by
force
      moreover then have Ci\ (Suc\ n)\subseteq dncl\ r\ \{m\} using d1\ d2\ b11 unfolding
dncl-def by blast
       ultimately show \exists m \in Ci \ (Suc \ n). Ci \ (Suc \ n) \cup ai \{k. \ k \leq (Suc \ n) - 1\} \subseteq
dncl \ r \ \{m\} \ \mathbf{by} \ force
    qed
  qed
  obtain ci where b15: ci = (\lambda \ n. \ SOME \ m. \ m \in Ci \ n \land Ci \ n \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{m\})
by blast
  have b16: \land n. (ci \ n) \in Ci \ n \land Ci \ n \subseteq dncl \ r \{ci \ n\}
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    have \exists m \in (Ci \ n). Ci \ n \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{m\} using b14 by blast
    then show (ci \ n) \in Ci \ n \wedge Ci \ n \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{ci \ n\}
      using b15 some I-ex[of \lambda m. m \in Ci n \wedge Ci n \subseteq dncl r \{m\}] by blast
  qed
  have b17: \bigwedge n. \ ci \ (Suc \ n) \notin dncl \ r \ (Ci \ n)
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    obtain A where c1: A = \{(h \ (Ci \ n))\} \cup Ci \ n \cup ai'\{k. \ k \le n\} by blast
    then have c2: finite A \wedge A \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b2 \ b8[of Ci \ n] \ b13[of \ n] \ b12 by
blast
```

```
then have c3: g \ A \in Field \ r \land A \subseteq dncl \ r \ \{g \ A\} using b7 by simp
    then have (h\ (Ci\ n),\ g\ A)\in r * using c1 unfolding dncl-def by blast
    moreover have (g A, f (g A)) \in r^* \text{ using } c3 \ b6[\text{of } g A] \text{ by } blast
     moreover have (f(g A), ci(Suc n)) \in r^*  using c1 b11 b16 unfolding
dncl-def by blast
    ultimately have (h (Ci n), ci (Suc n)) \in r^*  by force
    moreover have h(Ci n) \notin dncl \ r(Ci n) using b8[of Ci n] \ b13[of n] by blast
    ultimately show ci (Suc n) \notin dncl \ r (Ci \ n) unfolding dncl-def
      by (meson Image-iff converse-iff rtrancl-trans)
  \mathbf{qed}
  have \forall n. (ci \ n, \ ci \ (Suc \ n)) \in r \hat{*} \land ci \ n \neq ci \ (Suc \ n)
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    have (ci \ n, \ ci \ (Suc \ n)) \in r \hat{\ } *  using b11 b16 unfolding dncl-def by blast
   moreover have ci \ n \neq ci \ (Suc \ n) using b16 [of \ n] \ b17 [of \ n] unfolding dncl-def
by fastforce
    ultimately show (ci \ n, \ ci \ (Suc \ n)) \in r \hat{\ } * \land \ ci \ n \neq ci \ (Suc \ n) by blast
  qed
  then obtain l yi where
           b18: \forall n. (yi \ n, \ yi \ (Suc \ n)) \in r
       and b19: \forall i j. (i < j) = (l i < l j)
       and b2\theta: \forall i. yi (l i) = ci i
       and b21: \forall i. inj \text{-} on \ yi \ \{k. \ l \ i \leq k \land k \leq l \ (Suc \ i)\}
       and b22: \forall k. \exists i. l \ i \leq k \land Suc \ k \leq l \ (Suc \ i)
    using lem-flatseq[of ci r] by blast
  obtain r' where b23: r' = \{ (x,y) : \exists i. x = yi \ i \land y = yi \ (Suc \ i) \} by blast
  have b24: \forall j. \forall i. i \leq j \longrightarrow (yi i, yi j) \in r' \hat{} *
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ j
    show \forall i. i \leq j \longrightarrow (yi i, yi j) \in r' \hat{*}
    proof (induct j)
      show \forall i \leq 0. (yi \ i, \ yi \ 0) \in r' \hat{} * by \ blast
    next
      \mathbf{fix} \ j
      assume d1: \forall i \leq j. (yi \ i, \ yi \ j) \in r' \hat{} *
      show \forall i \leq Suc \ j. \ (yi \ i, \ yi \ (Suc \ j)) \in r' \hat{*}
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} i
        assume e1: i \leq Suc j
        show (yi \ i, \ yi \ (Suc \ j)) \in r' \hat{*}
        proof (cases \ i \leq j)
          assume i \leq j
          then have (yi \ i, \ yi \ j) \in r' \hat{\ } * using \ d1 by blast
          moreover have (yi j, yi (Suc j)) \in r' using b23 by blast
          ultimately show ?thesis by simp
        next
          assume \neg i \leq j
          then have i = Suc \ j \ using \ e1 \ by \ simp
          then show ?thesis using e1 by blast
```

```
qed
     qed
   qed
  qed
  have b25: \forall j. (\forall i. i \leq j \longrightarrow Ci i \subseteq Ci j)
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ j
   show \forall i. i \leq j \longrightarrow Ci i \subseteq Ci j
   proof (induct j)
     show \forall i \leq \theta. Ci i \subseteq Ci \ \theta by force
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ j
     assume \forall i \leq j. Ci \ i \subseteq Ci \ j
     moreover have Ci j \subseteq Ci (Suc j) using b11 by blast
     ultimately show \forall i \leq Suc \ j. Ci i \subseteq Ci \ (Suc \ j) using le-Suc-eq by fastforce
   qed
  qed
  have b26: \forall k1 \ k2. \ k1 < k2 \longrightarrow yi \ k1 = yi \ k2 \longrightarrow (\exists i. \ l \ i \leq k1 \land k2 \leq l
(i+2)
  proof (intro allI impI)
   fix k1::nat and k2::nat
   assume d1: k1 < k2 and d2: yi k1 = yi k2
   obtain i1 i2 where d3: l i1 \leq k1 \wedge Suc k1 \leq l (Suc i1)
                  and d4: l i2 \le k2 \land Suc k2 \le l (Suc i2) using b22 by blast
   have i1 = i2 \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume i1 = i2
     then have l i1 \le k2 \land k2 \le l (Suc i1) using d4 by simp
     moreover have l \ i1 \le k1 \land k1 \le l \ (Suc \ i1) \ using \ d3 \ by \ simp
     ultimately show False using d1 d2 b21 unfolding inj-on-def by blast
   moreover have i2 < i1 \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume i2 < i1
     then have Suc i2 = i1 \lor Suc i2 < i1 by fastforce
     then have l(Suc i2) = l i1 \lor l(Suc i2) < l i1 using b19 by blast
     then have l (Suc i2) \leq l i1 by fastforce
     moreover have l~i1 < l~(Suc~i2) using d1~d3~d4 by simp
     ultimately show False by simp
   qed
   moreover have Suc\ i1 < i2 \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume e1: Suc i1 < i2
     have k1 \leq l \; (Suc \; i1) \wedge l \; i2 \leq k2 \; using \; d3 \; d4 \; by \; force
     then have (yi \ k1, \ yi \ (l \ (Suc \ i1))) \in r^* and (yi \ (l \ i2), \ yi \ k2) \in r^*
       using b18 b23 b24 rtrancl-mono[of r'r] by blast+
     then have e2: (yi \ k1, \ ci \ (Suc \ i1)) \in r^* and e3: (ci \ i2, \ yi \ k1) \in r^* using
d2 b20 by force+
    have Suc\ i1 \le i2-1 \land i2-1 \le i2 and Suc\ (i2-1) = i2 using e1 by simp+
```

```
then have e4: ci i2 \notin dncl \ r \ (Ci \ (i2-1)) and e5: ci \ (Suc \ i1) \in Ci \ (i2-1)
       using b16[of Suc i1] b17[of i2 - 1] b25 by fastforce+
     have yi \ k1 \notin dncl \ r \ (Ci \ (i2-1)) using e3 \ e4 unfolding dncl-def
       by (meson Image-iff converse-iff rtrancl-trans)
     moreover have yi \ k1 \in dncl \ r \ (Ci \ (i2-1)) using e2 \ e5 unfolding dncl-def
by blast
      ultimately show False by blast
   qed
   ultimately have Suc i1 = i2 by simp
   moreover then have l(Suc\ i1) = l\ i2 using b19 by blast
   ultimately have l i1 \le k1 \land k2 \le l (i1 + 2) using d3 d4 by simp
   then show \exists i. l i \leq k1 \land k2 \leq l (i+2) by blast
  qed
  obtain w where b27: w = (\lambda \ k. \ k + l \ ((GREATEST \ j. \ l \ j \le k) + 2)) by blast
  have b28: \bigwedge k. \ \forall \ k'. \ yi \ k = yi \ k' \longrightarrow k' < Suc \ (w \ k)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ k
   show \forall k'. yi k = yi k' \longrightarrow k' < Suc (w k)
   proof (cases \exists k' > k. yi k' = yi k)
     assume d1: \exists k' > k. yi k' = yi k
      have d2: \forall k'. k < k' \longrightarrow yi k = yi k' \longrightarrow (\exists i. l i \leq k \land k' \leq l (i+2))
using b26 by blast
     have d3: \forall i. i \leq l i
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} i
       show i \leq l i
       proof (induct i)
         \mathbf{show} \ \theta \leq l \ \theta \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
       \mathbf{next}
         \mathbf{fix} \ i
         assume i \leq l i
         moreover have l \ i < l \ (Suc \ i) using b19 by blast
         ultimately show Suc \ i \leq l \ (Suc \ i) by simp
       qed
     qed
     obtain i0 where d_4: i0 = (GREATEST j. l j \le k) by blast
     obtain t where d5: t = k + l (i\theta + 2) by blast
     then have t \geq k by force
     moreover have \forall k'. yi k' = yi k \longrightarrow k' \leq t
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix}\ k'
       assume e1: yi k' = yi k
       have k < k' \longrightarrow k' \le t
       proof
         assume k < k'
         then obtain i where f1: l \ i \le k \land k' \le l \ (i+2) using e1 \ d2 by metis
            moreover have \forall y. \ l \ y \leq k \longrightarrow y < Suc \ k \text{ using } d3 \text{ less-Suc-eq-le}
order-trans by blast
```

```
ultimately have i \leq i0 using d4 Greatest-le-nat[of \lambda j. l j \leq k i Suc k]
by force
       then have l(i+2) \le l(i0+2) using b19 by (metis Suc-less-eq add-2-eq-Suc'
not-le)
         then show k' \leq t using f1 d5 by fastforce
       then show k' \leq t using d5 by fastforce
     ultimately show ?thesis using d4 d5 b27 by fastforce
     assume \neg (\exists k' > k. yi k' = yi k)
     then have \forall k'. yi \ k' = yi \ k \longrightarrow k' \le k using leI by blast
     then show ?thesis using b27 by fastforce
   qed
  qed
 obtain q where b29: q = (\lambda \ k. \ GREATEST \ k'. \ yi \ k = yi \ k') by blast
 have b3\theta: \bigwedge k. yi k = yi (q k)
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ k
   show yi \ k = yi \ (q \ k) using b28[of \ k] b29 GreatestI-nat[of \lambda \ k'. yi \ k = yi \ k'
Suc\ (w\ k)\ ] by force
  qed
 have b31: \bigwedge k k'. yi k' = yi (q k) \longrightarrow k' \le q k
 proof
   fix k k'
   assume yi k' = yi (q k)
   then show k' \leq q k using b28[of k] b29 b30 Greatest-le-nat[of \lambda k'. yi k = yi
k' k' Suc (w k)] by force
 obtain p where b32: p = rec\text{-nat}(q \ 0) \ (\lambda \ n \ y. \ q \ (Suc \ y)) by blast
  obtain r1 where b33: r1 = \{ (x,y), \exists i. x = yi (p i) \land y = yi (Suc (p i)) \}
 have b34: \bigwedge i. p i = q (p i)
 proof -
   fix i
   show p \ i = q \ (p \ i)
   proof (induct i)
     show p \theta = q (p \theta) using b29 \ b3\theta \ b32 by simp
   \mathbf{next}
     \mathbf{fix} \ i
     assume p i = q (p i)
     then show p(Suc\ i) = q(p(Suc\ i)) using b29\ b30\ b32 by simp
   qed
  qed
 have b35: \bigwedge i j. i \le j \longrightarrow p i + (j-i) \le p j
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ i \ j
   have \bigwedge k. q \ k = k \longrightarrow q \ k < q \ (Suc \ k) using b30 b31 by (metis less-eq-Suc-le)
   then have \forall i. p i  using b32 b34 by simp
```

```
then show i \le j \longrightarrow p \ i + (j-i) \le p \ j using lem-incrfun-nat[of p] by blast
qed
have b36: \forall i j. p i = p j \longrightarrow i = j
proof (intro allI impI)
 fix i j
 assume p i = p j
 then have i \le j \longrightarrow i = j and j \le i \longrightarrow j = i using b35 by fastforce+
 then show i = j by fastforce
qed
have b37: \forall i j. yi (p i) = yi (p j) \longrightarrow i = j  using b29 \ b34 \ b36  by metis
have b38: \forall x \in Field \ r1. \exists i. \ x = yi \ (p \ i)
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume x \in Field \ r1
 moreover have \forall i. yi (Suc (p i)) = yi (p (Suc i)) using b30 b32 by simp
 ultimately show \exists i. x = yi \ (p \ i) using b33 unfolding Field-def by force
qed
have b39: \bigwedge i. (yi (p i), yi (p (Suc i))) \in r1 using b30 \ b32 \ b33 by fastforce
have b \not= 0: \forall j. \forall i. i \leq j \longrightarrow (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1^*
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ j\theta
 show \forall i. i \leq j0 \longrightarrow (yi \ (p \ i), \ yi \ (p \ j0)) \in r1^*
 proof (induct\ j\theta)
    show \forall i \leq \theta. (yi \ (p \ i), \ yi \ (p \ \theta)) \in r1* by blast
 \mathbf{next}
   \mathbf{fix} \ j
   assume d1: \forall i \leq j. (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1^*
   show \forall i \leq Suc j. (yi (p i), yi (p (Suc j))) \in r1^*
    proof (intro allI impI)
     \mathbf{fix} i
     assume e1: i \leq Suc j
     show (yi (p i), yi (p (Suc j))) \in r1^*
     proof (cases \ i = Suc \ j)
       assume i = Suc j
        then show ?thesis by force
        assume i \neq Suc j
        then have (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1* using e1 d1 by simp
        then show ?thesis using e1 d1 b39[of j] by simp
     qed
   qed
 qed
qed
have r1 \subseteq r' using b23 \ b33 by blast
moreover have \forall a \in Field \ r' . \exists b \in Field \ r1 . (a, b) \in r' \hat{*}
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ a
 assume a \in Field r'
 then obtain k where a = yi k using b23 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
```

```
moreover have k \leq p \ k \text{ using } b35[of \ 0 \ k] \text{ by } fastforce
   ultimately have (a, yi (p k)) \in r' \hat{} * using b24 by blast
   moreover have yi (p k) \in Field \ r1 using b33 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
   ultimately show \exists b \in Field \ r1. \ (a, b) \in r' \hat{} * by \ blast
  ged
  moreover have CCR \ r1
  proof -
   have \forall a \in Field \ r1. \ \forall b \in Field \ r1. \ \exists c \in Field \ r1. \ (a, c) \in r1^* \land (b, c) \in r1^*
   proof (intro ballI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume d1: a \in Field \ r1 and d2: b \in Field \ r1
     then obtain i j where a = yi (p i) \land b = yi (p j) using b38 by blast
     then have i \leq j \longrightarrow (a,b) \in r1 * and j \leq i \longrightarrow (b,a) \in r1 * using b \not= 0 by
blast+
       then show \exists c \in Field \ r1. \ (a, c) \in r1^* \land (b, c) \in r1^*  using d1 \ d2 by
fast force
   qed
   then show CCR r1 unfolding CCR-def by blast
  ultimately have b41: r1 \in \mathfrak{U} \ r' unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  then have CCR \ r' using lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr by blast
  moreover have r' \subseteq r using b18 b23 by blast
  moreover have \forall x \in Field \ r. \ \exists y \in Field \ r'. \ (x, y) \in r^*
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in Field \ r
   then obtain y where c2: y \in Field \ s \land (x,y) \in r^* \text{ using } b1 \text{ unfolding } \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def
by blast
   then obtain n where y = ai n using b2 by blast
    then obtain m where y \in dncl \ r \ \{m\} \land m \in Ci \ (Suc \ n) \ using \ b14[of Suc
n by force
   then have (y, m) \in r^* \land (m, ci (Suc n)) \in r^* \text{ using } b16 \text{ unfolding } dncl\text{-}def
by blast
   then have (x, ci (Suc n)) \in r^* using c2 by force
   moreover obtain y' where c2: y' = yi (l (Suc n)) by blast
   ultimately have c3: (x,y') \in r* using b20 by metis
   have (y', yi (Suc (l (Suc n)))) \in r' using c2 b23 by blast
   then have y' \in Field \ r' unfolding Field-def by blast
   then show \exists y \in Field \ r'. \ (x, y) \in r \hat{} * using \ c3 \ by \ blast
  qed
  ultimately have r' \in \mathfrak{U} r unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  then have r1 \in \mathfrak{U} r using b41 lem-rcc-uset-tr by blast
 moreover have single-valued r1 using b33 b37 unfolding single-valued-def by
blast
  moreover have acyclic r1
   have c1: \forall n. \forall i j. (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1^{(Suc n)} \longrightarrow i < j
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ n\theta
```

```
show \forall i j. (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1 \cap Suc n\theta) \longrightarrow i < j
     proof (induct \ n\theta)
       \mathbf{show} \,\, \forall \, i \,\, j. \,\, (yi \,\, (p \,\, i), \,\, yi \,\, (p \,\, j)) \in \mathit{r1} \,\, \widehat{\phantom{a}} \,\, (Suc \,\, \theta) \,\, \longrightarrow \,\, i < j
       proof (intro allI impI)
         \mathbf{fix} \ i \ j
         assume (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1 \widehat{\ } (Suc \ \theta)
         then obtain i'j'::nat where yi(pi) = yi(pi') \land yi(pj) = yi(Suc(pi'))
i') using b33 by force
         then have i = i' \land j = Suc \ i' using b30 b32 b37 by simp
         then show i < j by blast
       qed
     next
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume d1: \forall i \ j. \ (yi \ (p \ i), \ yi \ (p \ j)) \in r1 \ ^\frown (Suc \ n) \longrightarrow i < j
       show \forall i j. (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1 \longrightarrow Suc (Suc n) \longrightarrow i < j
       proof (intro allI impI)
         fix i j
         assume (yi (p i), yi (p j)) \in r1 \cap Suc (Suc n)
          then obtain x where (yi (p i), x) \in r1 \cap (Suc n) \wedge (x, yi (p j)) \in r1
by force
           moreover then obtain k where x = yi (p k) using b38 unfolding
Field-def by blast
         ultimately have e1: i < k \land (yi \ (p \ k), \ yi \ (p \ j)) \in r1 using d1 by blast
         then obtain i'j'::nat where yi(p k) = yi(p i') \land yi(p j) = yi(Suc(p k))
i')) using b33 by force
         then have k = i' \land j = Suc \ i' using b30 b32 b37 by simp
         then have k < j by blast
         then show i < j using e1 by simp
       qed
     qed
    qed
   have \forall x. (x,x) \in r1^+ \longrightarrow False
   proof (intro allI impI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume d1: (x,x) \in r1^+
    then have x \in Field \ r1 by (metis Field \ l2 Field \ def \ trancl-domain \ trancl-range)
     then obtain i where x = yi (p i) using b38 by blast
    moreover obtain m::nat where m > 0 \land (x,x) \in r1 m using d1 trancl-power
by blast
      moreover then obtain n where m = Suc \ n using less-imp-Suc-add by
blast
     ultimately have n < n using c1 by blast
     then show False by blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding acyclic-def by blast
  moreover have \forall x \in Field \ r1. \ r1" \{x\} \neq \{\}
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
```

```
assume x \in Field \ r1
   then obtain i where x = yi (p i) using b38 by blast
   moreover then obtain y where y = yi (Suc (p i)) by blast
   ultimately have (x,y) \in r1 using b33 by blast
   then show r1``\{x\} \neq \{\} by blast
 qed
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-sv-span-scflew:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes CCR \ r and scf \ r \leq o \ \omega-ord
shows \exists r1. r1 \in Span \ r \land CCR \ r1 \land single-valued \ r1
proof (cases ||r|| = o \ \omega-ord)
 assume ||r|| = o \ \omega-ord
  then obtain s where s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge single-valued s using lem-sv-uset-recequ by
blast
 then show ?thesis using lem-sv-uset-sv-span by blast
next
 assume \neg (||r|| = o \ \omega - ord)
  then have ||r|| < o \omega-ord using assms lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of r]
   by (metis ordIso-ordLess-trans ordIso-transitive ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)
  then have b1: Conelike r using assms lem-Rcc-eq2-31 by blast
  have \exists s. s \in \mathfrak{U} r \land single\text{-}valued s
  proof (cases \ r = \{\})
   assume r = \{\}
   then have \{\} \in \mathfrak{U} \ r \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def \ CCR\text{-}def \ Field\text{-}def \ by \ blast
   moreover have single-valued {} unfolding single-valued-def by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 next
   assume r \neq \{\}
   then obtain m where c1: m \in Field \ r \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ (a, \ m) \in r \widehat{*}) using
b1 unfolding Conelike-def by blast
   then obtain u v where c2: (u, v) \in r \land (u = m \lor v = m) unfolding Field-def
by blast
   obtain s where c3: s = \{(u,v)\} by blast
   have s \subseteq r using c2 \ c3 by blast
   moreover have CCR s using c3 unfolding CCR-def by fastforce
   moreover have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     assume a \in Field r
     moreover have m \in Field \ s \ using \ c2 \ c3 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ fastforce
     ultimately show \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } * \text{ using } c1 \text{ by } blast
   ultimately have s \in \mathfrak{U} r unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
   moreover have single-valued s using c3 unfolding single-valued-def by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
```

```
qed
lemma lem-sv-span-scfeqw:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes CCR \ r and scf \ r = o \ \omega-ord
shows \exists r1. r1 \in Span \ r \land r1 \neq \{\} \land CCR \ r1 \land single-valued \ r1 \land acyclic \ r1 \land acyclic
(\forall x \in Field \ r1. \ r1"\{x\} \neq \{\})
proof -
    have b1: ||r|| = o \omega-ord using assms lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of r] by (metis or-
dIso-transitive)
   then obtain s where s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge single-valued s \wedge acyclic s \wedge (\forall x \in Field \ s. \ s``\{x\}
\neq \{\}
        using lem-sv-uset-rcceqw by blast
    then obtain r1 where b2: r1 \in Span \ r \land CCR \ r1 \land single-valued \ r1 \land s \subseteq r1
\land acyclic r1
        using lem-sv-uset-sv-span[of s r] by blast
    moreover have r1 = \{\} \longrightarrow False
        assume r1 = \{\}
        then have r = \{\} using b2 unfolding Span-def Field-def by force
        then show False using b1 lem-Rcc-inf-lim lem-rcc-emp lem-rcc-inf by (metis
not-ordLess-ordIso)
    qed
    moreover have \forall x \in Field \ r1. \ r1``\{x\} = \{\} \longrightarrow False
    proof (intro ballI impI)
        assume c1: x \in Field\ r1 and c2: r1"{x} = {}
        have \forall a \in Field \ r1. \ (a, x) \in r1^*
        proof
             \mathbf{fix} \ a
             assume a \in Field \ r1
              then obtain t where (x,t) \in r1^* \wedge (a,t) \in r1^* using c1 b2 unfolding
 CCR-def by blast
               moreover then have x = t using c2 by (metis Image-singleton-iff con-
verse-rtranclE empty-iff)
             ultimately show (a,x) \in r1 * by blast
        qed
        then have Conelike r1 using c1 unfolding Conelike-def by blast
        moreover have r1 \in \mathfrak{U} r using b2 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Span-def by blast
        ultimately have Conelike r using lem-uset-cl-ext[of r1 r] by blast
       then show False using b1 lem-Rcc-eq2-12 [of r] lem-Rcc-eq2-23 [of r] by (metis
not-ordLess-ordIso)
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ldo-den-ccr-uset:
fixes r s::'U rel
```

then show ?thesis using lem-sv-uset-sv-span by blast

```
assumes CCR \ s and s \subseteq r \land Field \ s \in Den \ r
shows s \in \mathfrak{U} r
  using assms unfolding Den-def U-def by blast
lemma lem-Ldo-ds-reduc:
fixes r s::'U rel and n\theta::nat
assumes a1: CCR s \land DCR n0 s and a2: s \subseteq r and a3: Field s \in Den r and
a4: Field s \in Inv (r - s)
shows CCR \ r \land DCR \ (Suc \ n\theta) \ r
proof -
  obtain g\theta where b1: DCR-generating g\theta
                   and b2: s = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n\theta \land r' = g\theta \alpha' \}
    using a1 unfolding DCR-def by blast
  obtain g :: nat \Rightarrow 'U rel
             where b8: g = (\lambda \alpha. if (\alpha < n\theta) then (g\theta \alpha) else (r-s)) by blast
  obtain n :: nat where b9: n = (Suc \ n0) by blast
  have b11: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha < n\theta \implies g \alpha = (g\theta \alpha) using b8 by simp
  have b12: \bigwedge \alpha. \neg (\alpha < n\theta) \Longrightarrow g \alpha = (r-s) using b8 by force
  have \forall \alpha \beta a b c.
       \alpha \leq \beta \longrightarrow (a, b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in g \ \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
  {f proof}\ (intro\ allI\ impI)
    fix \alpha \beta a b c
    assume c\theta: \alpha \leq \beta and c1: (a, b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in g \ \beta
    have \alpha < n\theta \wedge \beta < n\theta
       \longrightarrow (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
    proof
      assume d1: \alpha < n\theta \wedge \beta < n\theta
       moreover then have (a, b) \in g\theta \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in g\theta \ \beta using c1 b11 by blast
      then obtain b'b''c'c''d where d2:(b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} g0 \alpha \beta \wedge (c,c',c'',c'',d)
d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g\theta \ \beta \ \alpha
         using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
       have (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta
       proof -
         have (b, b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha)^*
         proof -
           have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < \alpha \longrightarrow g \alpha' = g\theta \alpha' using d1 b11 by force
           then have \mathfrak{L}1 q \alpha = \mathfrak{L}1 q\theta \alpha unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def by blast
          moreover have (b,b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g0 \ \alpha) * using d2 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
           ultimately show ?thesis by metis
         qed
         moreover have (b', b'') \in (g \beta) =
         proof -
           have g \beta = g\theta \beta using d1 b11 by blast
           moreover have (b',b'') \in (g0 \ \beta) = using d2 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
           ultimately show ?thesis by metis
         moreover have (b'', d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta) \hat{} *
         proof -
```

```
have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < \alpha \vee \alpha' < \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha' = g\theta \alpha' using d1 b11 by force
           then have \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta = \mathfrak{L}v \ g\theta \ \alpha \ \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}v\text{-}def by blast
           moreover have (b'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g\theta \ \alpha \ \beta) * using d\theta unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by
blast
           ultimately show ?thesis by metis
         qed
         ultimately show ?thesis unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
       moreover have (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha
       proof -
         have (c, c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \beta)^*
         proof -
           have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha' = g\theta \alpha' using d1 b11 by force
           then have £1 g \beta = £1 g0 \beta unfolding £1-def by blast
          moreover have (c,c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g0 \ \beta) * using d2 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
           ultimately show ?thesis by metis
         qed
         moreover have (c', c'') \in (g \ \alpha) =
         proof -
           have g \alpha = g\theta \alpha using d1 b11 by blast
           moreover have (c',c'') \in (g\theta \ \alpha) = using d\mathcal{D} unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
           ultimately show ?thesis by metis
         qed
         moreover have (c'', d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)^*
         proof -
           have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < \alpha \vee \alpha' < \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha' = g0 \alpha' \text{ using } d1 b11 \text{ by } force
           then have \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha = \mathfrak{L}v \ g\theta \ \beta \ \alpha unfolding \mathfrak{L}v\text{-}def by blast
           moreover have (c'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g0 \ \beta \ \alpha) * using d2 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by
blast
           ultimately show ?thesis by metis
         ultimately show ?thesis unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
      ultimately show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in
\mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha by blast
    qed
    moreover have \alpha < n\theta \land \neg (\beta < n\theta)
       \longrightarrow (\exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ q \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ q \ \beta \ \alpha)
    proof
       assume d1: \alpha < n\theta \land \neg (\beta < n\theta)
       then have d2: (a, b) \in g0 \ \alpha \land (g \ \beta) = (r - s) using c1 b11 b12 by blast
       have d3: (a,b) \in s \land (a,c) \in r - s using d1 \ d2 \ c1 \ b2 unfolding Field-def
by blast
       then have b \in Field \ s \land c \in Field \ s \ using \ a4 unfolding Field-def Inv-def
by blast
       then obtain d where d\theta: d \in Field \ s \land (b,d) \in s \hat{*} \land (c,d) \in s \hat{*}
         using a1 unfolding CCR-def by blast
       have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < n\theta \longrightarrow \alpha' < \beta \text{ using } d1 \text{ by } force
       then have s \subseteq \mathcal{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \wedge s \subseteq \mathcal{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha  using b2 b11 unfolding \mathcal{L}v-def
```

```
by blast
     then have (b,d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta) \hat{\ } * \land (c,d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha) \hat{\ } * \text{ using } d6 \ rtrancl-mono
by blast
       then show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta
\alpha
         unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    qed
    moreover have \neg (\alpha < n\theta) \land (\beta < n\theta) \longrightarrow False using c\theta by force
    moreover have \neg (\alpha < n\theta) \land \neg (\beta < n\theta)
        \longrightarrow (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
    proof
       assume d1: \neg (\alpha < n\theta) \land \neg (\beta < n\theta)
       then have d2: (g \alpha) = (r - s) \wedge (g \beta) = (r - s) using b12 by blast
       then have d3: b \in Field \ r \land c \in Field \ r \ using \ c1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by
blast
      obtain b'' where d4: b'' \in Field \ s \land (b,b'') \in r = \land ((b,b'') \in s \longrightarrow b = b'')
         using a3 d3 unfolding Den-def
      by (cases \exists b''. (b,b'') \in s, metis Domain. DomainI Field-def UnCI pair-in-Id-conv,
blast)
      obtain c'' where d5: c'' \in Field \ s \land (c,c'') \in r = \land ((c,c'') \in s \longrightarrow c = c'')
         using a3 d3 unfolding Den-def
              by (cases \exists c''. (c,c'') \in s, metis Domain. DomainI Field-def UnCI
pair-in-Id-conv, blast)
       obtain d where d\theta: d \in Field \ s \land (b'',d) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (c'',d) \in s \hat{\ } *
         using d4 d5 a1 unfolding CCR-def by blast
       have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < n\theta \longrightarrow \alpha' < \alpha \text{ using } d1 \text{ by } force
        then have s \subseteq \mathcal{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \wedge s \subseteq \mathcal{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha \ \text{using} \ b2 \ b11 \ \text{unfolding} \ \mathcal{L}v\text{-}def
by blast
          then have (b'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta)^* \wedge (c'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)^*  using d6
rtrancl-mono by blast
       moreover have (b,b'') \in (g \beta)^{\hat{}} = using d2 d4 by blast
       moreover have (c,c'') \in (g \ \alpha) = using d2 \ d5 by blast
       ultimately show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in
\mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha
         unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
     ultimately show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ q \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in
\mathfrak{D} q \beta \alpha by blast
  qed
  then have DCR-generating g using lem-Ldo-ldogen-ord by blast
  moreover have r = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = g \alpha'\}
  proof -
    have r \subseteq \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = g \alpha'\}
    proof
       \mathbf{fix} p
       assume c1: p \in r
       have \exists \alpha'. \alpha' < n \land p \in g \alpha'
       proof (cases p \in s)
         assume p \in s
```

```
then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' < n\theta \wedge p \in g \alpha' using b2\ b11 by blast
       moreover then have \alpha' < n using b9 by force
       ultimately show \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land p \in g \alpha' by blast
       assume p \notin s
       moreover have \neg (n < n\theta) using b\theta by simp
       ultimately have p \in g \ n\theta using c1 b12 by blast
       then show \exists \alpha'. \alpha' < n \land p \in q \alpha' using b9 by blast
      qed
      then show p \in \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = g \alpha'\} by blast
   moreover have \forall \alpha'. g \alpha' \subseteq r
   proof
     fix \alpha'
      have \alpha' < n\theta \longrightarrow g\theta \ \alpha' \subseteq r \text{ using } a2 \ b2 \text{ by } blast
      then show g \alpha' \subseteq r using b8 by (cases \alpha' < n\theta, force+)
   ultimately show ?thesis by force
  qed
 moreover have CCR r using a1 a2 a3 lem-Ldo-den-ccr-uset lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr
by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding b9 DCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ldo-sat-reduc:
fixes r s::'U rel and n::nat
assumes a1: s \in Span \ r and a2: CCR \ s \land DCR \ n \ s
shows CCR \ r \land DCR \ (Suc \ n) \ r
proof -
 have Field s \in Inv (r - s) using a1 unfolding Span-def Inv-def Field-def by
  moreover have s \subseteq r and Field \ s \in Den \ r using a unfolding Span-def
Den-def by blast+
 ultimately show ?thesis using a2 lem-Ldo-ds-reduc by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ldo-uset-reduc:
fixes r s::'U rel and n\theta::nat
assumes a1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r and a2: DCR n0 s and a3: n0 \neq 0
shows DCR (Suc n\theta) r
proof -
  have b\theta: s \subseteq r using a1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  obtain g\theta where b1: DCR-generating g\theta
             and b2: s = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n0 \land r' = g0 \alpha'\}
   using a2 unfolding DCR-def by blast
  obtain isd where b3: isd = (\lambda \ a \ i. \ \exists \ b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } i \land (\forall \ i'. \ (\exists \ b ) )
\in Field \ s. \ (a, \ b) \in r^{(i')} \longrightarrow i \leq i') \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  obtain d where b4: d = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ i. \ isd \ a \ i) by blast
  obtain B where b5: B = (\lambda \ a. \{ a'. (a, a') \in r \}) by blast
```

```
obtain H where b6: H = (\lambda \ a. \{ a' \in B \ a. \ \forall \ a'' \in B \ a. \ (d \ a') \le (d \ a'') \}) by
  obtain D where b7: D = \{ a \in Field \ r - Field \ s. \ H \ a \neq \{ \} \}  by blast
  obtain h where h = (\lambda \ a. \ SOME \ a'. \ a' \in H \ a) by blast
  then have b8: \forall a \in D. ha \in Ha using b7 some I-ex[of \lambda a'. a' \in H] by
  have q1: \bigwedge a. \ a \in Field \ r \Longrightarrow isd \ a \ (d \ a)
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in Field r
   then obtain b where c2: b \in Field \ s \land (a,b) \in r^* \text{ using } a1 \text{ unfolding } \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def
by blast
   moreover obtain N where c3: N = \{i. \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r^{\hat{i}}\} by blast
   ultimately have N \neq \{\} using rtrancl-imp-relpow by blast
   then obtain m where m \in N \land (\forall i \in N. m \le i)
     using LeastI[of \lambda x. x \in N] Least-le[of \lambda x. x \in N] by blast
   then have isd a m using c2 c3 unfolding b3 by blast
   then show isd a (d a) using b4 someI-ex by metis
  have q2: \land a. B \ a \neq \{\} \Longrightarrow H \ a \neq \{\}
  proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume B \ a \neq \{\}
   moreover obtain N where c1: N = d ' (B a) by blast
   ultimately have N \neq \{\} by blast
   then obtain m where c2: m \in N \land (\forall i \in N. m \leq i)
     using LeastI[of \lambda x. x \in N] Least-le[of \lambda x. x \in N] by blast
   then obtain a' where c3: m = d a' \wedge a' \in B a using c1 by blast
   moreover then have \forall a'' \in B \ a. \ d \ a' \leq d \ a''  using c1 \ c2 by force
   ultimately have a' \in H a unfolding b6 by blast
   then show H a \neq \{\} by blast
  have q3: \forall a \in Field \ r - Field \ s. \ d \ a = 1 \ \lor \ d \ a > 1
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in Field \ r - Field \ s
   then have isd \ a \ (d \ a) using q1 by blast
   then obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a, b) \in r^{\frown}(d \ a) using b3 by blast
   then have d = 0 \longrightarrow False \text{ using } c1 \text{ by } force
   then show d = 1 \lor d = 1 \lor d = 1 by force
  \mathbf{qed}
  have Field\ r - Field\ s \subseteq D
  proof
   assume c1: a \in Field \ r - Field \ s
   moreover have H \ a = \{\} \longrightarrow False
     assume H a = \{\}
     then have B \ a = \{\} using q2 by blast
```

```
moreover obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a, b) \in r* using a1 c1 unfolding
U-def by blast
       ultimately have a \in Field \ s unfolding b5 by (metis Collect-empty-eq
converse-rtranclE)
     then show False using c1 by blast
   ultimately show a \in D using b? by blast
  then have q4: D = Field \ r - Field \ s  using b5 \ b6 \ b7 by blast
 have q5: \forall a \in D. da > 1 \longrightarrow da = Suc(d(ha)) \land (d(ha) > 1 \longrightarrow ha \in
D)
 proof (intro ballI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume c1: a \in D and c2: da > 1
   then obtain b where c3: b \in Field \ s and c4: (a, b) \in r^{(d)}(d)
                 and c5: \forall i'. (\exists b \in Field \ s. (a, b) \in r^{(i')}) \longrightarrow (d \ a) \leq i'
                 using b3 b7 q1 by blast
   have c6: d \ a \ge 1 using c1 \ c4 \ b7 \ q3 by force
   then have d = Suc ((d \ a) - 1) by simp
   then obtain a' where c7: (a,a') \in r \land (a',b) \in r \curvearrowright ((d\ a)-1)
     using c4 relpow-Suc-D2[of a b d a - 1 r] by metis
     moreover then have a' \notin Field \ s \ using \ c2 \ c5 \ by \ (metis \ less-Suc-eq-le
not-less-eq relpow-1)
   ultimately have (a,a') \in r \land a' \in Field \ r - Field \ s \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by
blast
   then have a' \in B a unfolding b5 by blast
   moreover have h \ a \in H \ a \ using \ c1 \ b8 \ by \ blast
   ultimately have d(h a) \leq d a' unfolding b6 by blast
   moreover have Suc\ (d\ a') \leq d\ a
   proof -
     have d \ a' \le d \ a - 1 using q1 \ b3 \ c7 \ c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
     then show ?thesis using c6 by force
   qed
   moreover have d \ a \leq (Suc \ (d \ (h \ a)))
   proof -
     have d1: (a, h a) \in r using c1 \ b5 \ b6 \ b8 by blast
     then have h \ a \in Field \ r \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
     then obtain b' where b' \in Field \ s \land ((h \ a), \ b') \in r^{\frown}(d \ (h \ a)) using b3 \ q1
by blast
      moreover then have (a,b') \in r^{\sim}(Suc\ (d\ (h\ a))) using d1 c7 by (meson
relpow-Suc-I2)
     ultimately show d \ a \leq (Suc \ (d \ (h \ a))) using c5 by blast
   ultimately have d = Suc (d (h a)) by force
   moreover have d(h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D
   proof
     assume d1: d(h a) > 1
     then have d2: (a, h \ a) \in r using c1 \ b5 \ b6 \ b8 by simp
     then have isd (h a) (d (h a)) using d1 q1 unfolding Field-def by force
```

```
then show h \ a \in D using d2 \ q4 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
    qed
    ultimately show d = Suc (d (h a)) \land (d (h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D) by blast
  obtain g1 where b9: g1 = { (a, b). a \in D \land b = h \ a } by blast
  have q6: \forall a \in D. \exists a' \in D. da' = 1 \land (a,a') \in q1^*
    have \forall n. \forall a \in D. da = Suc n \longrightarrow ((h^n) a) \in D \land d((h^n) a) = 1
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ n\theta
      show \forall a \in D. da = Suc \ n\theta \longrightarrow ((h^{\hat{}} n\theta) \ a) \in D \land d((h^{\hat{}} n\theta) \ a) = 1
      proof (induct \ n\theta)
        show \forall a \in D. d = Suc \ \theta \longrightarrow ((h^{\frown}\theta) \ a) \in D \land d \ ((h^{\frown}\theta) \ a) = 1
          using q4 by force
      next
        \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume d1: \forall a \in D. \ d \ a = Suc \ n \longrightarrow ((h^n) \ a) \in D \land d \ ((h^n) \ a) = 1
       show \forall a \in D. d = Suc (Suc n) \longrightarrow ((h^{\frown}(Suc n)) a) \in D \land d ((h^{\frown}Suc n)) a)
(n) (a) = 1
       proof (intro ballI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ a
          assume e1: a \in D and e2: d = Suc (Suc n)
          then have d = Suc (d (h a)) \wedge (d (h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D) using q5
\mathbf{by} \ simp
          moreover then have e3: d(h a) = Suc n using e2 by simp
         ultimately have d(h \ a) > 1 \longrightarrow ((h^{\hat{}} n) \ (h \ a)) \in D \land d((h^{\hat{}} n) \ (h \ a))
= 1 using d1 by blast
           moreover have (h^{n}) (h \ a) = (h^{n}(Suc \ n)) a by (metis \ comp-apply)
funpow-Suc-right)
          moreover have e4: d(h a) = 1 \longrightarrow d((h^{\sim}(Suc n)) a) = 1 using e3
by simp
          moreover have d(h(a) = 1 \longrightarrow ((h^{(suc(n))}(a) \in D)
          proof
            assume f1: d(h a) = 1
            then have f2: n = 0 \land (a, h \ a) \in r using e1 \ e3 \ b5 \ b6 \ b8 by simp
            then have isd (h a) 1 using f1 q1 unfolding Field-def by force
            then have (h \ a) \notin Field \ s \ using \ b3 by force
            then have (h \ a) \in D using q4 \ f2 unfolding Field-def by blast
            then show ((h^{\sim}(Suc\ n))\ a) \in D using f2 by simp
          \mathbf{qed}
          moreover have d(h a) = 1 \lor d(h a) > 1 using e3 by force
          ultimately show ((h \cap (Suc \ n)) \ a) \in D \land d \ ((h \cap (Suc \ n)) \ a) = 1 \ by
force
        qed
      qed
    ged
    moreover have \forall i. \forall a \in D. da > i \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\hat{i}}) a) \in g1^*
    proof
```

then have $(h \ a) \notin Field \ s \ using \ d1 \ b3 \ by \ force$

```
fix i\theta
      show \forall a \in D. da > i0 \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\hat{i}}0) a) \in g1^*
      proof (induct i0)
        show \forall a \in D. d = a > 0 \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\circ}0) = a) \in g1* by force
      next
        \mathbf{fix} i
        assume d1: \forall a \in D. da > i \longrightarrow (a, (h^{\hat{i}}) a) \in g1^*
        show \forall a \in D. d = a > (Suc i) \longrightarrow (a, (h^{(Suc i)}) a) \in g1^*
        proof (intro ballI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ a
          assume e1: a \in D and e2: d \ a > (Suc \ i)
          then have e3: d = Suc (d (h a)) \land (d (h a) > 1 \longrightarrow h a \in D) using
q5 by simp
          moreover then have e4: d(h a) > i using e2 by simp
           ultimately have d(h \ a) > 1 \longrightarrow (h \ a, (h^{\hat{i}}) \ (h \ a)) \in g1^* using d1
by simp
            moreover have (h^{\sim}i) (h \ a) = (h^{\sim}(Suc \ i)) a by (metis \ comp-apply)
funpow-Suc-right)
           moreover have d(h a) = 1 \longrightarrow (h^{\sim}(Suc i)) a = (h a) using e4 by
force
          moreover have d(h a) = 1 \lor d(h a) > 1 using e4 by force
          moreover then have (a, h \ a) \in g1 using e1 \ e3 unfolding b9 by simp
          ultimately show (a, (h^{\sim}(Suc\ i))\ a) \in g1^*
            by (metis converse-rtrancl-into-rtrancl r-into-rtrancl)
        \mathbf{qed}
      qed
    ged
    ultimately have \forall n. \forall a \in D. d = Suc \ n \longrightarrow (h^n) \ a \in D \land d ((h^n) \ a)
= 1 \wedge (a, (h \cap n) a) \in g1 \hat{*}
      by simp
    then have \forall n. \forall a \in D. d = Suc \ n \longrightarrow (\exists a' \in D. d \ a' = 1 \land (a,a') \in g1^*
    moreover have \forall a \in D. \exists n. d = Suc n \text{ using } q3 \neq q4 \neq q5 \text{ by } force
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  let ?cond1 = \lambda \alpha. \alpha = 0
 let ?cond3 = \lambda \alpha. (1 \le \alpha \land \alpha < n\theta)
  obtain q :: nat \Rightarrow 'U rel
            where b12: g = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ if \ (?cond1 \ \alpha) \ then \ (g0 \ \alpha) \cup g1
                            else (if (?cond3 \alpha) then (g0 \alpha)
                            else {} )) by blast
  obtain n :: nat where b13: n = n0 by blast
  then have b14: \land \alpha. \ \alpha < n \Longrightarrow (?cond1 \ \alpha \lor ?cond3 \ \alpha) by force
  have b15: \bigwedge \alpha. ?cond1 \alpha \Longrightarrow g \alpha = (g\theta \ \alpha) \cup g1 using b12 by simp
  have b17: \bigwedge \alpha. ?cond3 \alpha \Longrightarrow g \alpha = (g\theta \ \alpha) using b12 by force
  obtain r1 where b19: r1 = \bigcup \{r', \exists \alpha', \alpha' < n \land r' = g \alpha'\} by blast
  have t1: g1 \subseteq r1 using b15 \ b19 \ b13 \ a3 by blast
  have b20: s \subseteq r1
  proof
```

```
assume p \in s
    then obtain \alpha' where c1: \alpha' < n0 \land p \in g0 \alpha' using b2 by blast
    then have c2: \alpha' < n unfolding b13 by fastforce
    then have ?cond1 \ \alpha' \lor ?cond3 \ \alpha' using b14 by blast
   then have g\theta \ \alpha' \subseteq g \ \alpha' using b12 by fastforce
    then show p \in r1 using c1 c2 b19 by blast
  qed
  have b21: r1 \subseteq r
  proof -
    have \forall r' \alpha' . \alpha' < n \longrightarrow g \alpha' \subseteq r
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix r' \alpha'
      assume d1: \alpha' < n
      have \forall a \in D. (a, h a) \in r \text{ using } b5 \ b6 \ b8 \text{ by } blast
      then have d2: q1 \subseteq r using b9 by blast
      have (\alpha' = 0) \longrightarrow g \ \alpha' \subseteq r \ using \ d2 \ b0 \ b2 \ b15[of \ \alpha'] \ a3 \ by \ blast
      moreover have 1 \leq \alpha' \longrightarrow g \ \alpha' \subseteq r \text{ using } b17 \ b0 \ b2 \ b13 \ d1 \text{ by } blast
      ultimately show g \alpha' \subseteq r using d1 b14 by blast
    qed
    then show r1 \subseteq r unfolding b19 by fast
  have b22: \forall a \in Field \ r1 - Field \ s. \ \exists \ b \in Field \ s. \ (a, \ b) \in r1^*
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume d1: a \in Field \ r1 - Field \ s
    then have a \in D using q4 b21 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
    then obtain a' where d2: a' \in D \land d a' = 1 \land (a, a') \in g1* using g6 by
blast
    then have d3: (a', h a') \in r1 \land h a' \in H a' \text{ using } q4 \ b8 \ b9 \ t1 \ a3 \ \text{by } blast
    obtain b where b \in Field \ s \land (a',b) \in r \ using \ d2 \ q1 \ q4 \ b3 \ by force
    moreover then have isd b (d b) using q1 unfolding Field-def by blast
    ultimately have b \in B a' \wedge d b = 0 using b3 b5 by force
    then have d(h a') = 0 using d3 b6 by force
    then have isd (h \ a') \ 0 using q1 \ d3 \ b21 \ a3 unfolding Field-def by force
    then have h \ a' \in Field \ s \ using \ b3 by force
    moreover have (a, a') \in r1* using d2 t1 rtrancl-mono[of g1 r1] a3 by blast
    ultimately have (h \ a') \in Field \ s \land (a, h \ a') \in r1^*  using d3 by force
    then show \exists b \in Field \ s. \ (a, b) \in r1 \hat{\ } *  by blast
  qed
  have b23: Field r \subseteq Field r1
  proof -
    have (Field \ r - Field \ s) \subseteq Field \ r1 using q4 \ b9 \ t1 unfolding Field-def by
    moreover have Field s \subseteq Field \ r1 using b20 unfolding Field-def by blast
    ultimately show Field r \subseteq Field r1 by blast
  have \forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ \alpha \leq \beta \longrightarrow (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta \longrightarrow
       (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c,c',c'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
```

 $\mathbf{fix} p$

```
proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta a b c
    assume c1: \alpha \leq \beta and c2: (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta
    obtain c123 where c0: c123 = (\lambda \alpha :: nat. ?cond1 \alpha \lor ?cond3 \alpha) by blast
    have c3: \land \alpha'. c123 \alpha' \Longrightarrow g0 \alpha' \subseteq s
    proof -
       fix \alpha'
       assume c123 \alpha'
       moreover have ?cond1 \alpha' \longrightarrow g0 \alpha' \subseteq s using a3 unfolding b2 by force
       moreover have ?cond3 \alpha' \longrightarrow g\theta \ \alpha' \subseteq s using b2 by force
       ultimately show g\theta \ \alpha' \subseteq s \ \text{using} \ c\theta \ \text{by} \ blast
     have c_4: \bigwedge \alpha' . \bigwedge p. p \in g \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (?cond1 \ \alpha' \land p \in (g0 \ \alpha' \cup g1)) \lor (?cond3)
\alpha' \wedge p \in (g\theta \ \alpha')
    proof (intro impI)
       fix \alpha' p
       assume p \in q \alpha'
       then show (?cond1 \ \alpha' \land p \in (g0 \ \alpha' \cup g1)) \lor (?cond3 \ \alpha' \land p \in (g0 \ \alpha'))
         using b12 by (cases ?cond1 \alpha', simp, cases ?cond3 \alpha', force+)
    have c5: \land \alpha' \beta'. \alpha' \leq \beta' \Longrightarrow c123 \beta' \Longrightarrow c123 \alpha' unfolding c0 using b14
    have c6: (a,b) \in g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \notin g0 \ \beta \longrightarrow \neg \ c123 \ \beta
    proof
       assume d1: (a,b) \in g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \notin g0 \ \beta
       then have (a,c) \in g1 using c2 c4 by blast
       then have a \in Field \ r - Field \ s \ using \ b7 \ b9 \ by \ blast
       then have \neg c123 \alpha using d1 c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
       then show \neg c123 \beta using c1 c5 by blast
     qed
    have c7: (a,b) \notin g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g0 \ \beta \longrightarrow \neg \ c123 \ \beta
    proof
       assume d1: (a,b) \notin g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g0 \ \beta
       then have (a,b) \in g1 using c2 c4 by blast
       then have a \in Field \ r - Field \ s \ using \ b7 \ b9 \ by \ blast
       then show \neg c123 \beta using d1 c3 unfolding Field-def by blast
    qed
    have c8: \bigwedge \alpha'. c123 \alpha' \Longrightarrow g0 \ \alpha' \subseteq g \ \alpha'
    proof -
       fix \alpha'
       assume c123 \alpha'
      then show g\theta \alpha' \subseteq g \alpha' unfolding c\theta using b15[of \alpha'] b17[of \alpha'] by blast
    then have c\theta: \bigwedge \alpha' \alpha''. c123 \alpha' \Longrightarrow \alpha'' < \alpha' \Longrightarrow g\theta \alpha'' \subseteq g \alpha''
       using c5 less-or-eq-imp-le by blast
    have c10: \bigwedge \alpha' \beta'. c123 \alpha' \Longrightarrow c123 \beta' \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{D} g0 \alpha' \beta' \subseteq \mathfrak{D} g \alpha' \beta'
    proof -
       fix \alpha' \beta'
       assume d1: c123 \alpha' and d2: c123 \beta'
```

```
have £1 g0 \alpha' \subseteq £1 g \alpha' using d1 c9 unfolding £1-def by blast
     moreover have \mathfrak{L}v \ g\theta \ \alpha' \beta' \subseteq \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha' \beta' using d1 \ d2 \ c9 unfolding \mathfrak{L}v\text{-}def
by blast
     ultimately have (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g0 \ \alpha') \hat{}* \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha') \hat{}* \wedge (\mathfrak{L}v \ g0 \ \alpha' \ \beta') \hat{}* \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha')
β')^*
        using rtrancl-mono by blast
      moreover have g\theta \beta' \subseteq g \beta' using d2 c8 by blast
      ultimately show \mathfrak{D} g\theta \alpha' \beta' \subseteq \mathfrak{D} g \alpha' \beta' unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    qed
    show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d'. (b,b',b'',d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c,c',c'',d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha
    proof (cases c123 \beta)
     assume d1: c123 \beta
     show ?thesis
     proof (cases\ (a,b) \in g\theta\ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g\theta\ \beta)
        assume e1: (a,b) \in g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g0 \ \beta
        d') \in \mathfrak{D} \ q\theta \ \beta \ \alpha
          using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
        moreover have c123 \alpha using d1 c1 c5 by blast
       ultimately have (b, b', b'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha using
d1 c10 by blast
        then show ?thesis by blast
      next
        assume \neg ((a,b) \in g\theta \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g\theta \ \beta)
        then have (a,b) \notin g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \notin g0 \ \beta using d1 c6 c7 by blast
        moreover have c123 \alpha using d1 c1 c5 by blast
        ultimately have (a,b) \in g1 \land (a,c) \in g1 using d1 c0 c2 c4 by blast
        then have b = c using b9 by blast
        then show ?thesis unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
      qed
    next
      assume d1: \neg c123 \beta
      then have d2: False using c2 c4 unfolding c0 by blast
      then show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
  then have b24: DCR-generating g using a3 lem-Ldo-ldogen-ord by blast
  moreover then have Field r1 \subseteq Field \ r using b21 unfolding Field-def by
blast
  ultimately have r1 \in Span \ r \ using \ b21 \ b23 \ unfolding \ Span-def \ by \ blast
  moreover have DCR n r1 using b19 b24 unfolding DCR-def by blast
  moreover have CCR \ r1
  proof -
    have s \in \mathfrak{U} r1 using b20 b22 a1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
    then show CCR r1 using lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr by blast
  ultimately show DCR (Suc n0) r using b13 a3 lem-Ldo-sat-reduc by blast
qed
```

```
lemma lem-Ldo-addid:
fixes r::'U rel and r'::'U rel and n\theta::nat and A::'U set
assumes a1: DCR n0 r and a2: r' = r \cup \{(a,b). \ a = b \land a \in A\} and a3: n0 \neq
shows DCR \ n\theta \ r'
proof -
  obtain g0 where b1: DCR-generating g0 and b2: r = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n0 : r' = g0\}
\alpha' using a1 unfolding DCR-def by blast
  obtain g:: nat \Rightarrow U rel where b3: g = (\lambda \alpha. (g0 \alpha) \cup \{(a,b). a = b \land a \in A\})
  have \forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ \alpha \leq \beta \longrightarrow (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c,c',c'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta a b c
    assume c1: \alpha \leq \beta and c2: (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta
    have c3: \land \alpha' \beta'. \mathfrak{D} g0 \alpha' \beta' \subseteq \mathfrak{D} g \alpha' \beta'
    proof -
       fix \alpha' \beta'
       have £1 g0 \alpha' \subseteq (£1 \ g \ \alpha') = unfolding £1-def b3 by (clarsimp, auto)
        moreover have \mathfrak{L}v \ q\theta \ \alpha' \ \beta' \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}v \ q \ \alpha' \ \beta') = unfolding \mathfrak{L}v-def b\beta by
(clarsimp, auto)
       ultimately have (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g0 \ \alpha')^{\hat{}} \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha')^{\hat{}} \wedge (\mathfrak{L}v \ g0 \ \alpha' \ \beta')^{\hat{}} \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha'
\beta') * using rtrancl-reflcl rtrancl-mono by blast
       moreover have (g\theta \ \beta') = (g \ \beta') = \text{unfolding } b\beta \text{ by } force
       ultimately show \mathfrak{D} g\theta \alpha'\beta'\subseteq\mathfrak{D} g \alpha'\beta' unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    qed
    have c4: ((a,b) \in g0 \ \alpha \lor a = b) \land ((a,c) \in g0 \ \beta \lor a = c) using c1 \ c2 \ b3 by
     moreover then have a = b \lor a = c \longrightarrow (\exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} q
\alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha
       using b3 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
     moreover have (a,b) \in g\theta \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g\theta \ \beta \longrightarrow (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b,b',b'',d)
\in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \wedge (c,c',c'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
    proof
       assume (a,b) \in g\theta \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g\theta \ \beta
       d') \in \mathfrak{D} g\theta \beta \alpha
         using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
      then have (b, b', b'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha using c\beta by
blast
       then show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d'. (b,b',b'',d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c,c',c'',d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha
by blast
    qed
    ultimately show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c,c',c'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta
\alpha by blast
  qed
  then have DCR-generating g using lem-Ldo-ldogen-ord by blast
  moreover have r' = \bigcup \{s. \ \exists \alpha' < n\theta. \ s = g \ \alpha'\} unfolding b2 b3 a2 using a3
by blast
```

```
qed
lemma lem-Ldo-removeid:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and r'::'U \text{ rel} and n\theta::nat
assumes a1: DCR n0 r and a2: r' = r - \{(a,b). \ a = b\}
shows DCR \ n\theta \ r'
proof -
  obtain g0 where b1: DCR-generating g0 and b2: r = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n\theta : r' = g\theta\}
\alpha'} using a1 unfolding DCR-def by blast
  obtain g:: nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ \text{where} \ b3: \ g = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ (g0 \ \alpha) - \{(a,b). \ a = b \ \}) by
  have \forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ \alpha \leq \beta \longrightarrow (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \wedge (c,c',c'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta a b c
    assume c1: \alpha \leq \beta and c2: (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta
    have c3: \land \alpha' \beta'. \mathfrak{D} g0 \alpha' \beta' \subseteq \mathfrak{D} g \alpha' \beta'
    proof -
       fix \alpha' \beta'
       have £1 g0 \alpha' \subseteq (£1 \ g \alpha') = unfolding £1-def b3 by (clarsimp, auto)
        moreover have \mathfrak{L}v \ g\theta \ \alpha' \ \beta' \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha' \ \beta') = unfolding \mathfrak{L}v-def b\beta by
(clarsimp, auto)
       ultimately have (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g0 \ \alpha') \hat{}* \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha') \hat{}* \wedge (\mathfrak{L}v \ g0 \ \alpha' \ \beta') \hat{}* \subseteq (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha')
\beta' * using rtrancl-reflcl rtrancl-mono by blast
       moreover have (g\theta \ \beta') = (g \ \beta') = \text{unfolding } b\beta \text{ by } force
       ultimately show \mathfrak{D} g\theta \alpha'\beta'\subseteq\mathfrak{D} g \alpha'\beta' unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    qed
    have (a,b) \in g0 \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g0 \ \beta using c1 c2 b3 by blast
    then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d' where (b, b', b'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g\theta \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d')
\in \mathfrak{D} g\theta \beta \alpha
       using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
    then have (b, b', b'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d') \in \mathfrak{D} g \beta \alpha using c3 by
blast
     then show \exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d'. \ (b,b',b'',d') \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c,c',c'',d') \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha
by blast
  qed
  then have DCR-generating g using lem-Ldo-ldogen-ord by blast
  moreover have r' = \bigcup \{s. \exists \alpha' < n\theta. \ s = g \ \alpha'\} unfolding b2\ b3\ a2 by blast
  ultimately show DCR \ n\theta \ r' unfolding DCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Ldo-eqid:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel } and r'::'U \text{ rel } and n::nat
assumes a1: DCR n r and a2: r' - \{(a,b), a = b\} = r - \{(a,b), a = b\} and
a3: n \neq 0
shows DCR \ n \ r'
proof -
  obtain r'' where b1: r'' = r' - \{(a,b). \ a = b\} by blast
```

ultimately show $DCR \ n\theta \ r'$ unfolding DCR-def by blast

```
then have DCR n r'' using a1 a2 lem-Ldo-removeid by blast
  moreover have r' = r'' \cup \{(a,b), a = b \land (a,a) \in r'\} using b1 by blast
 ultimately show DCR n r' using lem-Ldo-addid[of n r'' r' \{a : (a,a) \in r'\}] a3
by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-wdn-range-lb: A \subseteq w-dncl \ r \ A
  unfolding w-dncl-def dncl-def \mathcal{F}-def rpth-def by fastforce
lemma lem-wdn-range-ub: w-dncl r A \subseteq dncl r A unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
lemma lem-wdn-mon: A \subseteq A' \Longrightarrow w-dncl \ r \ A \subseteq w-dncl \ r \ A' unfolding w-dncl-def
dncl-def by blast
lemma lem-wdn-compl:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A::'U \text{ set}
shows UNIV - w-dncl \ r \ A = \{a. \ \exists \ b. \ b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV - A)) \ \hat{\ } * \}
 show UNIV - w-dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{a. \ \exists \ b. \ b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV - A)) \ \widehat{*} \}
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume c1: x \in UNIV - w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A
   show x \in \{a. \exists b. b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A)) \ \hat{*} \}
   proof (cases x \in dncl \ r \ A)
      assume x \in dncl \ r \ A
     then obtain b F where d1: F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ x \ b \land b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land F \cap A = \{\}
       using c1 unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
     then obtain f n where f \in rpth r x b n \land F = f '\{i. i \le n\} unfolding \mathcal{F}\text{-}def
     moreover then have \forall i \leq n. \ f \ i \notin A \ using \ d1 \ unfolding \ rpth-def \ by \ blast
      ultimately have f \in rpth \ (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A)) \ x \ b \ n \ unfolding \ rpth-def
by force
     then have (x,b) \in (Restr\ r\ (UNIV-A)) * using lem-ccext-rpth-rtr[of Restr
r (UNIV-A)] by blast
     then show ?thesis using d1 by blast
      assume x \notin dncl \ r \ A
     then show ?thesis unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
   qed
  qed
next
  show \{a. \exists b. b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A))^*\} \subseteq UNIV -
w-dncl \ r \ A
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ x
   assume x \in \{a. \exists b. b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A)) \ \hat{*} \}
   then obtain y where c1: y \notin dncl \ r \ A \land (x,y) \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A)) \hat{} * by
blast
  obtain f n where c2: f \in rpth (Restr r (UNIV-A)) x y n using c1 lem-ccext-rtr-rpth[of
```

```
x \ y by blast
   then have c3: f \in rpth \ r \ x \ y \ n unfolding rpth\text{-}def by blast
   obtain F where c4: F = f\{i. i \le n\} by blast
   have n = 0 \longrightarrow f \ 0 \notin A using c1 c3 unfolding rpth-def dncl-def by blast
   moreover have \forall i < n. \ f \ i \notin A \land f \ (Suc \ i) \notin A \ using \ c2 \ unfolding \ rpth-def
by blast
   moreover have \forall i \leq n. (n = 0 \vee (\exists j < n. (j=i \vee i=Suc j)))
     by (metis le-eq-less-or-eq lessI less-Suc-eq-0-disj)
   ultimately have \forall i \leq n. f i \notin A by blast
   then have F \cap A = \{\} using c \not= b  by blast
   moreover have F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ x \ y \ using \ c3 \ c4 \ unfolding \ \mathcal{F}\text{-}def \ by \ blast
   ultimately show x \in UNIV - w-dncl r A using c1 unfolding w-dncl-def by
blast
  qed
qed
lemma lem-cowdn-uset:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A A' W::'U \text{ set}
assumes a1: CCR (Restr r A') and a2: escl r A A' \subseteq A'
   and a3: Q = A' - dncl \ r \ A and a4: W = A' - w - dncl \ r \ A and a5: Q \in SF \ r
shows Restr \ r \ Q \in \mathfrak{U} \ (Restr \ r \ W)
proof -
  have CCR (Restr r Q) using a1 a3 lem-Inv-ccr-restr-invdiff lem-Inv-dncl-invbk
 moreover have Restr r Q \subseteq Restr r W using a3 a4 lem-wdn-range-ub[of r] by
blast
  moreover have \forall a \in Field (Restr \ r \ W). \ \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ Q). \ (a, b) \in (Restr
r W)^*
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume a \in Field (Restr \ r \ W)
   then have c1: a \in W unfolding Field-def by blast
   show \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ Q). (a, b) \in (Restr \ r \ W) \hat{*}
   proof (cases \ a \in Q)
     assume a \in Q
     then show ?thesis using a5 unfolding SF-def by blast
   next
     assume a \notin Q
     then obtain b F where d1: a \in A' \land F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b \land b \notin dncl \ r \ A \land F \cap A
= \{ \}
       using c1 a3 a4 unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
     then have d2: dnesc\ r\ A\ a\subseteq escl\ r\ A\ A' unfolding escl\text{-}def by blast
     obtain E where d3: E = dnesc \ r \ A \ a \ by \ blast
     have dnEsc\ r\ A\ a \neq \{\} using d1 unfolding dnEsc\text{-}def by blast
     then have E \in dnEsc\ r\ A\ a\ using\ d3\ lem-dnEsc-ne[of\ r\ A] by blast
     then obtain b' where d_4: b' \notin dncl \ r \ A \land E \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ a \ b' \land E \cap A = \{\}
       unfolding dnEsc-def by blast
     have d5: E \subseteq A' using d2 d3 a2 by blast
     have b' \in E using d4 unfolding \mathcal{F}-def rpth-def by blast
```

```
then have b' \in Field (Restr r Q) using d4 d5 a3 a5 unfolding SF-def by
blast
     moreover have (a, b') \in (Restr \ r \ W)*
     proof -
       obtain f n where e1: f \in rpth \ r \ a \ b' \ n and e2: E = f \ `\{i. \ i < n\}
        using d4 unfolding \mathcal{F}-def by blast
       have e3: \forall i \leq n. fi \in W
       proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} i
        assume f1: i \leq n
        obtain g where f2: g = (\lambda \ k. \ f \ (k+i)) by blast
        have g \theta = f i using f2 by simp
         moreover have g(n-i) = b' using f1 f2 e1 unfolding rpth-def by
simp
        moreover have \forall k < n-i. (g k, g (Suc k)) \in Restr \ r (UNIV - A)
        proof (intro allI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ k
          assume k < n-i
           then have (g \ k, \ g \ (Suc \ k)) \in (Restr \ r \ E) using f2 \ e1 \ e2 unfolding
rpth-def by simp
          then show (g \ k, \ g \ (Suc \ k)) \in Restr \ r \ (UNIV - A) using d4 by blast
        ultimately have g \in rpth (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A)) \ (f \ i) \ b' \ (n-i) unfolding
rpth-def by blast
        then have (f i, b') \in (Restr \ r \ (UNIV-A)) * using lem-ccext-rpth-rtr[of
- f i b' by blast
        then have f i \notin w-dncl r A using d4 lem-wdn-compl[of r A] by blast
        then show f i \in W using f1 e2 d5 a4 by blast
       have \forall i < n. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in Restr r W
       proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} i
        assume i < n
        moreover then have f i \in W \land f (Suc i) \in W using e2 \ e3 by force
       ultimately show (f i, f (Suc i)) \in Restr \ r \ W  using e1 unfolding rpth-def
by blast
       qed
      then have E \in \mathcal{F} (Restr r W) a b' using e1 e2 unfolding rpth-def \mathcal{F}-def
       then show ?thesis using lem-ccext-rtr-Fne[of a b'] by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis by blast
   qed
 ultimately show ?thesis unfolding U-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-shrel-L-eq:
fixes f::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set and \alpha::'U \ rel and \beta::'U \ rel
```

```
assumes \alpha = 0 \beta
shows \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = \mathfrak{L} f \beta
  show \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \beta using assms ordLess-ordIso-trans unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by
fast force
\mathbf{next}
  have \beta = o \alpha using assms ordIso-symmetric by blast
  then show \mathfrak{L} f \beta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using ordLess-ordIso-trans unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by
fastforce
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-shrel-dbk-eq:
fixes f::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set and Ps::'U \ set set and \alpha::'U \ rel and \beta::'U \ rel
assumes f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and \alpha = o \beta and \alpha \leq o |Field r| and \beta \leq o |Field r|
shows (\nabla f \ \alpha) = (\nabla f \ \beta)
proof -
  have \alpha \leq o \beta \wedge \beta \leq o \alpha using assms ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
  then have f \alpha = f \beta using assms unfolding N-def N1-def by blast
  moreover have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = \mathfrak{L} f \beta using assms lem-shrel-L-eq by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding Dbk-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-L-emp: \alpha = o(\{\}::'U \ rel) \Longrightarrow \mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha = \{\}
proof -
  assume \alpha = o(\{\}::'U \ rel)
  then have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow False using lem-ord-subemp
    by (metis iso-ozero-empty not-ordLess-ordIso ordLess-imp-ordLeq ozero-def)
  then show \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = \{\} unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-qinv1:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } \alpha::'U \text{ rel and } x y::'U
assumes a1: x \in \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha \ \text{and} \ a2: (x,y) \in r \hat{\ } * \ \text{and} \ a3: y \in (f \ \alpha)
shows y \in \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha
proof -
  obtain A where b1: A = (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha) by blast
  have \forall x y. y \in dncl \ r \ A \longrightarrow (x,y) \in r \longrightarrow x \in dncl \ r \ A
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ x \ y
    assume y \in dncl \ r \ A and (x,y) \in r
    moreover then obtain a where a \in A \land (y,a) \in r* unfolding dncl-def by
blast
    ultimately have a \in A \land (x,a) \in r \hat{} * by force
    then show x \in dncl \ r \ A unfolding dncl\text{-}def by blast
  then have (UNIV - dncl \ r \ A) \in Inv \ r \ unfolding \ Inv-def \ by \ blast
 moreover have x \in UNIV - (dncl \ r \ A) using b1 a1 unfolding Q-def by blast
  ultimately have y \in UNIV - (dncl \ r \ A) using a2 lem-Inv-restr-rtr2[of UNIV
- dncl \ r \ A \ r] by blast
```

```
then show ?thesis using b1 a3 unfolding Q-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-qinv2:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel} and x y::'U
assumes a1: x \in \mathcal{Q} r f \alpha and a2: (x,y) \in (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)) * and a3: y \in (f\ \alpha)
shows (x,y) \in (Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha)) \hat{} *
proof -
  obtain Q where b1: Q = Q r f \alpha by blast
 have \forall a \ b. \ a \in Q \longrightarrow (a,b) \in Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha) \longrightarrow b \in Q
    using lem-der-qinv1 [of - r f \alpha -] unfolding b1 by blast
  then have Q \in Inv (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) unfolding Inv-def by blast
  moreover have x \in Q using b1 a1 by blast
 ultimately have (x,y) \in (Restr\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))\ Q)^*
    using a2 lem-Inv-restr-rtr[of Q Restr r (f \alpha)] by blast
 moreover have Restr (Restr r (f \alpha)) Q \subseteq Restr r (Q r f \alpha) using b1 by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using rtrancl-mono by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-qinv3:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel } and \alpha::'U \text{ rel }
assumes a1: A \subseteq (f \ \alpha) and a2: \forall \ x \in (f \ \alpha). \exists \ y \in A. \ (x,y) \in (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) *
shows \forall x \in (\mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha). \exists y \in (A \cap (\mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha)). (x,y) \in (Restr \ r \ (\mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha))*
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ x
 assume b1: x \in (\mathcal{Q} \ r f \ \alpha)
  then have b2: x \in (f \ \alpha) unfolding Q-def by blast
 then obtain y where b3: y \in A \land (x,y) \in (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))^* using a2 by blast
 then have (x, y) \in (Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha)) * using a1 b1 lem-der-qinv2 [of x r f \ \alpha
y] by blast
  moreover then have y \in (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha) using b1 IntE mem-Sigma-iff rtranclE[of
x \ y by metis
 ultimately show \exists y \in (A \cap (Q \ r f \ \alpha)). \ (x,y) \in (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r f \ \alpha)) \hat{} * using
b3 by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-inf-qrestr-ccr1:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and \alpha \leq o |Field r|
shows CCR (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha))
proof -
 have CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) using assms unfolding N-def N6-def by blast
 moreover have dncl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha) \in Inv\ (r^-1) using lem\text{-}Inv\text{-}dncl\text{-}invbk by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding Q-def using lem-Inv-ccr-restr-invdiff by
blast
qed
lemma lem-Nfdn-aemp:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and Ps::'U \text{ set set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel}
```

```
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and a3: \alpha < o scf r and a4: Field r \subseteq
dncl\ r\ (f\ \alpha)
shows \alpha = \{\}
proof (cases finite r)
  assume finite r
  then have scf \ r < o \ \omega-ord using lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd lem-fin-fl-rel
   by (metis finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
  then have finite (Field (scf r)) using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by force
  then have Conelike r using a1 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl by blast
  moreover obtain a::'U where True by blast
  ultimately have \alpha < o \mid \{a\} \mid \text{ using } a1 \ a3 \ lem-Rcc-eq2-12 \ lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq
   by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  then have b1: \alpha = o \mid \{\} :: 'U \ set \mid \mathbf{using} \ lem-co-one-ne-min
   \mathbf{by}\ (\textit{metis card-of-card-order-on card-of-empty3}\ \textit{card-of-unique insert-not-empty})
         not-ordLeg-ordLess ordIso-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp)
  then have \alpha \leq o |Field r| using card-of-empty ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
  then have b2: f \alpha \in SF \ r \ using \ a2 \ unfolding \ \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \ \mathcal{N}5\text{-}def \ by \ blast
  have \neg (\exists \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' < o \ \alpha) using b1
  by (metis BNF-Cardinal-Order-Relation.ordLess-Field card-of-empty5 ordLess-ordIso-trans)
  then show \alpha = \{\} using a3 b1 using lem-co-one-ne-min
   by (metis card-of-empty card-of-empty3 insert-not-empty
        ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-transitive ordLess-Well-order-simp)
next
  assume q\theta: \neg finite r
 have b\theta: \alpha < o ||r|| using a 1 a 3 lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq
ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  obtain A' where b1: A' = Q r f \alpha by blast
  have ||r|| \le o |r| using lem-Rcc-relcard-bnd by blast
  moreover have |Field \ r| = o \ |r| using q0 \ lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
  ultimately have ||r|| \le o | Field r | using ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-ordIso-trans
by blast
 then have b2: \alpha \leq o | Field r | using b0 ordLeq-transitive ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
blast
  then have b3: f \alpha \in SF \ r \wedge CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \alpha))
      using b1 a2 unfolding N-def N5-def N10-def N6-def by blast+
 have b5: (A' \in SF \ r) \lor (\exists y::'U. \ A' = \{y\})
    using b1 b3 unfolding Q-def using lem-Inv-ccr-sf-dn-diff[of f \alpha r A' \mathfrak{L} f \alpha]
by blast
  have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)). \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a
   assume a \in Field r
   then have a \in dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha) using a4 by blast
   then obtain b::'U where (a, b) \in r^* \land b \in f \ \alpha unfolding dncl\text{-}def by blast
   moreover have (f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \ using \ b3 \ by \ blast
    ultimately have b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \land (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } * unfolding SF-def
by blast
   then show \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)). \ (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } *  by blast
  qed
```

```
moreover have CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) using b3 by blast
  ultimately have Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} \ r \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{U}\text{-}def \ by \ blast
  then have d3: ||r|| \le o |Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)| using lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd by blast
  obtain x::'U where d4: True by blast
  have \omega-ord \leq o \alpha \longrightarrow False
  proof
   assume e1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
   then have |f \alpha| \leq o \alpha using b2 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}7-def by blast
   moreover then have |Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)| \le o \ \alpha \ using \ e1 \ lem-restr-ordbnd \ by \ blast
   ultimately have ||r|| \le o \alpha using d3 ordLeq-transitive by blast
    then show False using b0 not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp by
blast
  qed
  then have \alpha < o \omega-ord using b0 natLeq-Well-order not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ord-
Less-Well-order-simp by blast
  then have |f \alpha| < o \omega-ord using b2 a2 unfolding N-def N7-def by blast
  then have finite (f \alpha) using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeg by blast
  then have finite (Restr r (f \alpha)) by blast
  then have |Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)| < o\ \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
  then have d5: ||r|| < o \omega-ord using d3 ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
  have ||r|| \le o |\{x\}|
  proof (cases\ CCR\ r)
   assume CCR \ r
   then show ||r|| \le o |\{x\}| using d5 lem-Rcc-eq2-31 [of r] lem-Rcc-eq2-12 [of r x]
by blast
  next
   assume \neg CCR r
   moreover then have ||r|| = \{\} using lem-rec-neer by blast
  moreover have \{\} \le o \mid \{x\} \mid \mathbf{by} \ (metis\ card-of-Well-order\ ozero-def\ ozero-ordLeq)
   ultimately show ||r|| \le o |\{x\}| by metis
  then have \alpha < o \mid \{x\} \mid \text{ using } b0 \text{ } ordLess\text{-} ordLeq\text{-} trans \text{ by } blast
 then show \alpha = \{\} by (meson lem-co-one-ne-min not-ordLeq-ordLess ordLess-Well-order-simp)
qed
lemma lem-der-gccr-lscf-sf:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and Ps::'U \text{ set set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel}
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and a3: \alpha < o scf r
shows (Q \ r f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r
proof (cases finite r)
  assume finite r
  then have scf \ r < o \ \omega-ord using lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd lem-fin-fl-rel
   by (metis finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
  then have finite (Field (scf r)) using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by force
  then have Conelike r using a1 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl by blast
  moreover obtain a::'U where True by blast
  ultimately have \alpha < o \mid \{a\} \mid \text{ using } a1 \ a3 \ lem-Rcc-eq2-12 \ lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq
   by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  then have b1: \alpha = o \mid \{\} :: 'U \ set \mid \mathbf{using} \ lem-co-one-ne-min
```

```
by (metis card-of-card-order-on card-of-empty3 card-of-unique insert-not-empty
         not-ordLeq-ordLess ordIso-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp)
  then have \alpha \leq o |Field \ r| using card-of-empty ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
  then have b2: f \alpha \in SF \ r \ using \ a2 \ unfolding \ \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \ \mathcal{N}5\text{-}def \ by \ blast
  have \neg (\exists \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' < o \ \alpha) using b1
  by (metis BNF-Cardinal-Order-Relation.ordLess-Field card-of-empty5 ordLess-ordIso-trans)
  then have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = \{\} unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
  then have Q r f \alpha = f \alpha unfolding Q-def dncl-def by blast
  then show ?thesis using b2 by metis
\mathbf{next}
  assume q\theta: \neg finite r
 have b\theta: \alpha < o ||r|| using a 1 a 3 lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq
ordLess-ordLeg-trans)
  obtain A' where b1: A' = Q \ r f \ \alpha by blast
 have ||r|| \le o |r| using lem-Rcc-relcard-bnd by blast
  moreover have |Field r| = o |r| using q0 lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
  ultimately have ||r|| \le o | Field r | using ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-ordIso-trans
by blast
 then have b2: \alpha \leq o | Field r | using b0 ordLeq-transitive ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
  then have b3: f \alpha \in SF \ r \wedge CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \alpha))
       and b4: (\exists y::'U. \ A' = \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha)
       using b1 a2 unfolding N-def N5-def N10-def N6-def by blast+
  have b5: (A' \in SF \ r) \lor (\exists y::'U. \ A' = \{y\})
    using b1 b3 unfolding Q-def using lem-Inv-ccr-sf-dn-diff[of f \alpha r A' \mathfrak{L} f \alpha]
by blast
  show (Q \ r f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r
  proof (cases Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
    assume c1: Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha)
    have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)). \ (a, b) \in r \hat{*}
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in Field \ r
      then have a \in dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha) using c1 by blast
      then obtain b::'U where (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } * \land b \in f \ \alpha unfolding dncl-def by
      moreover have (f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \ using \ b3 \ by \ blast
     ultimately have b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \land (a, b) \in r \hat{} * unfolding SF-def
by blast
      then show \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)). \ (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } *  by blast
    qed
    moreover have CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) using b3 by blast
    ultimately have Restr r(f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} r unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
    then have d\beta: ||r|| \le o ||Restr r(f \alpha)|| using lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd by blast
    obtain x::'U where d4: True by blast
    have \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
    proof
      assume e1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
      then have |f \ \alpha| \le o \ \alpha using b2 \ a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \ \mathcal{N}7-def by blast
```

```
moreover then have |Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)| \le o\ \alpha using e1 lem-restr-ordbnd by
blast
     ultimately have ||r|| \le o \alpha using d3 ordLeq-transitive by blast
     then show False using b0 not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp by
blast
    ged
   then have \alpha < o \omega-ord using b0 natLeq-Well-order not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ord-
Less-Well-order-simp by blast
   then have |f|\alpha| < o \omega-ord using b2 a2 unfolding N-def N7-def by blast
   then have finite (f \alpha) using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
   then have finite (Restr r (f \alpha)) by blast
   then have |Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)| < o\ \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
   then have d5: ||r|| < o \omega-ord using d3 ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
   have ||r|| \le o |\{x\}|
   proof (cases CCR \ r)
     assume CCR \ r
     then show ||r|| \le o|\{x\}| using d5 lem-Rcc-eq2-31 [of r] lem-Rcc-eq2-12 [of r
x] by blast
   next
     assume \neg CCR r
     moreover then have ||r|| = \{\} using lem-rec-neer by blast
    moreover have \{\} \le o \mid \{x\} \mid \mathbf{by} \ (metis\ card-of-Well-order\ ozero-def\ ozero-ordLeq)
     ultimately show ||r|| \le o |\{x\}| by metis
   qed
   then have \alpha < o \mid \{x\} \mid using b0 ordLess-ordLeq-trans by blast
     then have \alpha = \{\} by (meson lem-co-one-ne-min not-ordLeq-ordLess ord-
Less-Well-order-simp)
  then have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow False \text{ using } lem-ord-subemp \text{ by } (metis iso-ozero-empty)
not-ordLess-ordIso ordLess-imp-ordLeq ozero-def)
   then have dncl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha) = \{\} unfolding dncl\text{-}def\ \mathfrak{L}\text{-}def\ by blast
   then have Q r f \alpha = f \alpha unfolding Q-def by blast
   then show (Q \ r f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \ using \ b3 \ by \ metis
  next
   assume \neg (Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
   then have A' \in SF \ r \ using \ b4 \ b5 \ by \ blast
   then show (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \ using \ b1 \ by \ blast
  qed
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-der-q-uset} \colon
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and a3: \alpha < o scf r and a4: isSuccOrd
shows Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U}\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))
proof -
  have b1: \alpha \leq o |Field \ r| using a3 lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd
   by (metis ordLess-ordLeq-trans ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
  have a4: \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha = \{\} \longrightarrow False
 proof
```

```
assume Q r f \alpha = \{\}
    then have Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha) using b1 a2 a4 unfolding N-def N11-def
\mathbf{by} blast
    then have \alpha = \{\} using a1 a2 a3 lem-Nfdn-aemp by blast
    then show False using a4 using wo-rel-def wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def unfolding
Field-def by force
  qed
  have (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \ using \ a1 \ a2 \ a3 \ lem-der-qccr-lscf-sf \ by \ blast
  then have b2: Field (Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha)) \neq \{\} using a4 unfolding SF-def by
  have Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha)\subseteq Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha) unfolding Q-def by blast
  moreover have CCR (Restr r (Q r f \alpha)) using b1 a2 lem-der-inf-grestr-ccr1
by blast
 moreover have \forall a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)). \ \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha)). \ (a,b)
\in (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))^*
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume c1: a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
    obtain b where c2: b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha)) using b2 by blast
    then have c3: b \in f \ \alpha \land b \in \mathcal{Q} \ r \ f \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{Q}-def Field-def by blast
    have f \alpha \in SF r using b1 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}5-def by blast
    then have b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) using c3 unfolding SF-def by blast
    moreover have CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) using b1 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N} b-def
by blast
    ultimately obtain c where c \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
     and c_4: (a,c) \in (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))^* \land (b,c) \in (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))^*
      using c1 unfolding CCR-def by blast
    moreover then have c \in f \alpha unfolding Field-def by blast
    ultimately have (b, c) \in (Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha)) * using c3 lem-der-qinv2[of b]
r f \alpha c by blast
    moreover have Field (Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha)) \in Inv\ (Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha))
      unfolding Inv-def Field-def by blast
    ultimately have c \in Field (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha))
      using c2 lem-Inv-restr-rtr2[of Field (Restr r (Q r f \alpha))] by blast
    then show \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha)). \ (a, b) \in (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \hat{} * using \ c4
by blast
  qed
  ultimately show Restr r (Q r f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} (Restr r (f \alpha)) unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by
qed
lemma lem-qw-range: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps \Longrightarrow \alpha \leq o |Field r| \Longrightarrow \mathcal{W} r f \alpha \subseteq Field r
  unfolding N-def N5-def SF-def Field-def W-def by blast
lemma lem-der-qw-eq:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and Ps::'U \text{ set set} and \alpha \beta::'U \text{ rel}
assumes f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps and \alpha = o \beta
shows W \ r f \ \alpha = W \ r f \ \beta
proof -
```

```
have f \alpha = f \beta using assms unfolding N-def by blast
  moreover have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = \mathfrak{L} f \beta using assms lem-shrel-L-eq by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding W-def by simp
qed
lemma lem-Der-inf-qw-disj:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and \alpha \beta::'U \text{ rel}
assumes Well-order \alpha and Well-order \beta
shows (\neg (\alpha = o \beta)) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{W} \ r f \ \alpha) \cap (\mathcal{W} \ r f \ \beta) = \{\}
proof
  assume b1: \neg (\alpha = o \beta)
  obtain W where b2: W = (\lambda \ \alpha . \ W \ r \ f \ \alpha) by blast
  have \alpha < o \beta \lor \beta < o \alpha using b1 assms by (meson not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess or-
dLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)
  moreover have \forall \alpha' \beta' : \alpha' < o \beta' \longrightarrow (W \alpha' \cap W \beta' \neq \{\}) \longrightarrow False
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha' \beta' :: 'U rel
    assume d1: \alpha' < o \beta' and W \alpha' \cap W \beta' \neq \{\}
   then obtain a where d2: a \in W \alpha' \cap W \beta' by blast
    then have a \in f \alpha' using b2 unfolding W-def by blast
    then have a \in \mathfrak{L} f \beta' using d1 unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
    then have a \notin W \beta' using b2 lem-wdn-range-lb[of - r] unfolding W-def by
blast
    then show False using d2 by blast
  \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \alpha) \cap (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \beta) = \{\}  unfolding b2 by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-inf-qw-restr-card:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: \neg finite r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and a3: \alpha < o |Field r|
shows |Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha)| < o\ |Field\ r|
proof -
 have b0: |Field \ r| = o \ |r| using a1 lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
 obtain W where b2: W = (\lambda \ \alpha . \ W \ r \ f \ \alpha) by blast
 have \alpha < o | Field r | using a 3 b0 ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordLso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive
by blast
  then have (\alpha < o \ \omega - ord \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha| < o \ \omega - ord) \land (\omega - ord \le o \ \alpha \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha| \le o \ \alpha)
    using a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}7-def by blast
 moreover have c2: \alpha < o \omega-ord \vee \omega-ord \leq o \alpha using a Field-natLeq natLeq-well-order-on
by force
  moreover have c3: |f \ \alpha| < o \ \omega - ord \longrightarrow |Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)| < o \ |Field \ r|
  proof
    assume |f \alpha| < o \omega-ord
    then have finite (f \alpha) using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
    then have finite (Restr r (W \alpha)) unfolding b2 W-def by blast
    then have |Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha)| < o\ \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
    moreover have \omega-ord \leq o |r| using a infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
    moreover then have \omega-ord \leq o |Field r| using lem-rel-inf-fld-card
```

```
by (metis card-of-ordIso-finite infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq)
    ultimately show |Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha)| < o\ |Field\ r| using ordLess-ordLeq-trans by
blast
  qed
  moreover have \omega-ord \leq o \alpha \wedge |f \alpha| \leq o \alpha \longrightarrow |Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha)| < o\ |Field\ r|
  proof
    assume d1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha \wedge |f \alpha| \leq o \alpha
    moreover have |W|\alpha| \le o|f|\alpha| unfolding b2 W-def by simp
    ultimately have |W| \alpha \leq o \alpha using ordLeq-transitive by blast
    then have |Restr\ r\ (W\ \alpha)| \le o\ \alpha using d1 lem-restr-ordbnd[of \alpha\ W\ \alpha\ r] by
blast
    then show |Restr \ r \ (W \ \alpha)| < o \ |Field \ r| using a3 ordLeq-ordLess-trans by
blast
 ultimately show ?thesis using b2 by blast
qed
lemma lem-QS-subs-WS: Q \ r \ f \ \alpha \subseteq W \ r \ f \ \alpha
 unfolding Q-def W-def using lem-wdn-range-ub by force
lemma lem-WS-limord:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and Ps::'U \text{ set set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel}
assumes a1: \neg finite \ r \ \text{and} \ a2: f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps \ \text{and} \ a3: \alpha < o \ |Field \ r|
    and a4: \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha)
shows W r f \alpha = \{\}
proof
  have \alpha \leq o |Field r| using a3 ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
 then have f \alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using a2 a4 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}2-def Dbk-def by blast
  then have w-dncl r(f \alpha) \subseteq w-dncl r(\mathfrak{L} f \alpha) using lem-wdn-mon by blast
 moreover have f \alpha \subseteq w-dncl r(f \alpha) using lem-wdn-range-lb[of f \alpha r] by metis
  ultimately have f \alpha \subseteq w-dncl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha) by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding W-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-inf-qw-restr-uset:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and f::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: Refl r \land \neg finite r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps
    and a3: \alpha < o | Field r | and a4: \omega - ord \le o | \mathfrak{L} f \alpha |
shows Restr\ r\ (Q\ r\ f\ \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U}\ (Restr\ r\ (W\ r\ f\ \alpha))
proof (cases \alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha)
  assume \alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha
  moreover have |Field r| = o |r| using a lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
  then have b1: \alpha \leq o |Field r| using a3 ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordIso-iff-ordLeq
ordLeq-transitive by blast
 ultimately have b2: escl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha) (f \alpha) \subseteq f \alpha using a2 a4 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def
\mathcal{N}3-def by blast
 moreover have b3: CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) using b1 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}6-def
by blast
 moreover have SF r = \{A. A \subseteq Field r\} using a1 unfolding SF-def refl-on-def
```

```
Field-def by fast
  moreover then have W rf \alpha \in SF r and Q rf \alpha \in SF r
  using a2 a3 lem-qw-range[off r Ps \alpha] lem-QS-subs-WS[of r f \alpha] ordLess-imp-ordLeq
by fast+
  ultimately show ?thesis
     using a lem-cowdn-uset of r f \alpha \mathfrak{L} f \alpha | \mathcal{Q}-def of r f \alpha | \mathcal{W}-def of r f \alpha | by
blast
next
  assume \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha)
  then have W \ rf \ \alpha = \{\} \land Q \ rf \ \alpha = \{\}
    using assms lem-WS-limord lem-QS-subs-WS[of r f \alpha] by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding U-def CCR-def Field-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-der-inf-qw-restr-ccr:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and f::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: Refl r \land \neg finite r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps
    and a3: \alpha < o |Field r| and a4: \omega-ord \leq o |\mathfrak{L} f \alpha|
shows CCR (Restr r (W r f \alpha))
  using assms lem-der-inf-qw-restr-uset lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr by blast
lemma lem-der-qw-uset:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and f::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: CCR r \land Refl \ r \land \neg finite \ r \ and \ a2: f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps
    and a3: \alpha < o \ scf \ r \ and \ a4: \omega - ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha | \ and \ a5: \ isSuccOrd \ \alpha
shows Restr r (W r f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} (Restr r (f \alpha))
proof -
 have b1: \alpha < o | Field r | using a 3 lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd by (metis ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  have Q r f \alpha \subseteq W r f \alpha using lem-QS-subs-WS[of r f \alpha] by blast
  then have Field (Restr r (Q r f \alpha)) \subseteq Field (Restr r (W r f \alpha)) unfolding
Field-def by blast
  moreover have Restr r(Q r f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U}(Restr r(f \alpha))
    \mathbf{using}\ a1\ a2\ a3\ a5\ lem\text{-}der\text{-}q\text{-}uset\ ordLess\text{-}imp\text{-}ordLeq\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
  ultimately have \forall a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)). \ \exists b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)).
    (a,b) \in (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)) * unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  moreover have Restr r (W r f \alpha) \subseteq Restr r (f \alpha) unfolding W-def by blast
 moreover have CCR (Restr r (W r f \alpha)) using assms b1 lem-der-inf-qw-restr-ccr
by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding U-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N1:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set } \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set and } f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
    and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha
proof -
  have b2: f \{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (\text{sc-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta))
```

```
and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \ \{ D. \ \exists \ \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \ \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a0 unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
  have f \in \mathcal{N}1 r \{ \} using b2 unfolding \mathcal{N}1-def by (clarsimp, metis lem-ord-subemp)
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha\theta \ \alpha :: 'U \ rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}1 r \alpha\theta
     then have c2: f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using b3 by blast
     have \forall \alpha' \alpha''. \alpha' \leq o \alpha \wedge \alpha'' \leq o \alpha' \longrightarrow f \alpha'' \subseteq f \alpha'
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' \alpha'' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha'' \leq o \ \alpha'
        moreover then have \alpha'' \leq o \alpha using ordLeq-transitive by blast
       ultimately have (\alpha'' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \lor \alpha'' = o \ \alpha) \land (\alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \lor \alpha' = o \ \alpha) using c1
unfolding sc-ord-def
       by (meson not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordLess-Well-order-simp)
       moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \ \alpha'' \subseteq f \ \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}1-def
by blast
        moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \land \alpha'' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha'' \subseteq f \ \alpha' using b5 by blast
        moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \land \alpha'' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \ \alpha'' \subseteq f \ \alpha'
          assume e1: \alpha' = o \ \alpha \land \alpha'' \leq o \ \alpha \theta
                moreover then have \alpha\theta \leq o \alpha\theta using ordLeq-Well-order-simp or-
dLeq-reflexive by blast
          ultimately have f \alpha'' \subseteq f \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def by blast
            moreover have f \alpha \theta \subseteq f \alpha using a1 c2 e1 ordLeq-Well-order-simp by
blast
          ultimately show f \alpha'' \subseteq f \alpha' using b5 \ e1 by blast
        ultimately show f \alpha'' \subseteq f \alpha' by blast
     then show f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}1-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r
   proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha::'U \ rel
     assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \beta)
     then have c2: f \alpha = \{ \} \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \}  using b4 by blast
     have \forall \alpha' \alpha''. \alpha' \leq o \alpha \wedge \alpha'' \leq o \alpha' \longrightarrow f \alpha'' \subseteq f \alpha'
     proof (intro allI impI)
        fix \alpha' \alpha'' :: 'U rel
        assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \wedge \alpha'' \leq o \alpha'
          then have (\alpha' < o \ \alpha \lor \alpha' = o \ \alpha) \land (\alpha'' < o \ \alpha' \lor \alpha'' = o \ \alpha') using or-
dLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
        moreover have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha'' \subseteq f \ \alpha'
          using d1 c1 ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive unfolding \mathcal{N}1-def by
blast
        moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \land \alpha'' < o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow f \ \alpha'' \subseteq f \ \alpha'
```

```
using c2 b5 ordLess-ordIso-trans by blast
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \land \alpha'' = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow f \ \alpha'' \subseteq f \ \alpha' using b5 by blast
       ultimately show f \alpha'' \subseteq f \alpha' by blast
     then show f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}1-def by blast
  qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N2:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N2} \ r \ \alpha
proof -
  have b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \ \exists \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a\theta unfolding \mathcal{T}\text{-}def by blast+
  have f \in \mathcal{N2} r {} using lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N2}-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N}2 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}2 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}2 r \alpha\theta
     \mathbf{have} \ \forall \ \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \ \land \ \neg \ (\alpha' = \{\} \ \lor \ isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow (\nabla \ f \ \alpha') = \{\}
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \neg (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha')
       then have \alpha \theta < o \alpha' \lor \alpha' \le o \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
       using not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp
\mathbf{by} blast
      moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow (\nabla f \ \alpha') = \{\}  using d1 c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}2-def
\mathbf{by} blast
        moreover have \alpha\theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow False
       proof
         assume \alpha = o \alpha'
       moreover have is SuccOrd \alpha using c1 lem-ordint-sucord[of \alpha \theta \alpha] unfolding
sc-ord-def by blast
          ultimately have is SuccOrd \alpha' using lem-osucc-eq by blast
          then show False using d1 by blast
       qed
       ultimately show (\nabla f \alpha') = \{\} by blast
     then show f \in \mathcal{N}2 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}2-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N2} \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N2} \ r
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha :: 'U \ rel
     assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}2 \ r \beta)
```

```
then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
    have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \neg \ (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow (\nabla f \ \alpha') = \{\}
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \land \neg (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha')
       then have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \lor \alpha' = o \ \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
       moreover have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\nabla f \ \alpha') = \{\}
       proof
         assume \alpha' < o \alpha
      moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive
by blast
         ultimately show (\nabla f \alpha') = \{\} using c1 d1 unfolding \mathcal{N}2-def by blast
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\nabla f \ \alpha') = \{\}
       proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
        moreover have (\nabla f \alpha) = \{\} using c2 unfolding Dbk-def \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
        ultimately show (\nabla f \alpha') = \{\} using b5 lem-shrel-L-eq unfolding Dbk-def
by blast
       qed
       ultimately show (\nabla f \alpha') = \{\} by blast
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}2 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}2-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}2 \ r \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N3:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
    and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
    and a5: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
    and a3: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \in SF r \longrightarrow
                  \alpha A)) r)
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}3 \ r \ \alpha
proof -
  have b2: f \{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (sc\text{-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta))
   and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm-ord \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a0 unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
  have \mathcal{L} f \{\} = \{\} unfolding \mathcal{L}-def using b2 lem-ord-subemp ordLess-imp-ordLeq
by blast
 then have \neg \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid  using ctwo-ordLess-natLeq finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq
ordLeq-transitive by auto
  then have f \in \mathcal{N}3 r \{\} using b2 lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N}3-def Field-def
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N} 3 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} 3 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
```

```
fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}3 r \alpha\theta
     have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha' |
        escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha')\ (f\ \alpha')\subseteq f\ \alpha'\wedge\ clterm\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha'))\ r)
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') and d2: \omega - ord \leq o \ |\mathfrak{L}f \ \alpha'|
       then have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \vee \alpha' \leq \sigma \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        {\bf using} \ not\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess \ ordLeq\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp \ ordLess\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp \ ordLess\text{-}}
by blast
       moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha' | \longrightarrow
                                        escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha')\ (f\ \alpha')\subseteq f\ \alpha'\wedge\ clterm\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha'))\ r)
          using d1 c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}3-def by blast
        moreover have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (\omega \text{-}ord \le o \ | \mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha' | \longrightarrow
                         escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha')\ (f\ \alpha')\subseteq f\ \alpha'\wedge\ clterm\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha'))\ r)
       proof (intro impI)
          assume e1: \alpha = o \alpha' and e2: \omega-ord \leq o |\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'|
          have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \subseteq f \alpha \theta
          proof
            \mathbf{fix} p
            assume p \in \mathfrak{L} f \alpha
           then obtain \beta:: 'U rel where \beta < o \alpha \land p \in f \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
         moreover then have \beta \leq o \ \alpha \theta \land \alpha \theta \leq o \ \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
               using not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
              moreover then have f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha \theta using a0 a1 lem-Shinf-N1[of f F]
ordLeq-Well-order-simp by metis
            ultimately show p \in f \ \alpha \theta unfolding \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def by blast
           moreover have f \alpha \theta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def \mathfrak{L}-def by
blast
          ultimately have e3: £ f \alpha = f \alpha \theta by blast
          then have \omega-ord \leq o |f| \alpha \theta | using e1 e2 lem-shrel-L-eq by metis
         moreover have Well-order \alpha\theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def
by blast
          moreover then have (f \ \alpha \theta) \in SF \ r
               using a5 unfolding N5-def using ordLeq-reflexive by blast
          moreover have f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using c1 b3 by blast
          ultimately have e4: escl r (f \alpha \theta) (f \alpha) \subseteq f \alpha \wedge clterm (Restr r (f \alpha)) r
using a3 by metis
          then have escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha)\ (f\ \alpha)\subseteq f\ \alpha using e3 by simp
         then have escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha')\ (f\ \alpha')\subseteq f\ \alpha' using e1\ b5\ lem\text{-}shrel\text{-}L\text{-}eq by metis
         moreover have clterm (Restr r (f \alpha')) r using e1 e4 b5 by metis
          ultimately show escl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') (f \alpha') \subseteq f \alpha' \wedge clterm (Restr r (f \alpha')) r
by blast
       qed
        ultimately show escl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') (f \alpha') \subseteq f \alpha' \wedge clterm (Restr r (f \alpha')) r
```

```
using d2 by blast
             qed
             then show f \in \mathcal{N}3 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}3-def by blast
      moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}3 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}3 \ r \ \alpha
       proof (intro allI impI)
             fix \alpha :: 'U rel
             assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}3 \ r \beta)
             then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
             have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha' | \}
                                        escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha')\ (f\ \alpha')\subseteq f\ \alpha'\wedge\ clterm\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha'))\ r)
             proof (intro allI impI)
                   fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
                  assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') and d2: \omega - ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha' |
                   then have \alpha' < o \alpha \vee \alpha' = o \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
                    moreover have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\omega \text{-}ord \le o \ | \mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha' | \longrightarrow
                                 escl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \alpha')\ (f\ \alpha')\subseteq f\ \alpha'\wedge\ clterm\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha'))\ r)
                   proof
                          assume \alpha' < o \alpha
                  moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive
                             ultimately show (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha' \mid \longrightarrow escl \mid r \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid \land f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid \land f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid \land f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid \land f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid \land f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid \alpha' \mid \land f \mid \alpha' \mid (f \mid \alpha') \subseteq f \mid
clterm (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')) \ r)
                                 using c1 d1 unfolding \mathcal{N}3-def by blast
                    moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
                    proof
                          assume \alpha' = o \alpha
                             moreover then have \alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha \text{ using } d1 \text{ lem-osucc-eq by}
blast
                        moreover have \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha) using c1 unfolding lm-ord-def
by blast
                          ultimately have \alpha' = 0 \alpha \wedge \alpha' = \{\} \wedge \alpha \neq \{\} by blast
                         then show False by (metis iso-ozero-empty ordIso-symmetric ozero-def)
                     ultimately show escl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') (f \alpha') \subseteq f \alpha' \wedge clterm (Restr r (f \alpha')) r
using d2 by blast
             qed
             then show f \in \mathcal{N}3 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}3-def by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}3 \ r \alpha] by blast
lemma lem-Shinf-N4:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
             and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
             and a5: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
```

```
and a4: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A)
\vee r``\{a\} \cap (F \alpha A - w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A) \neq \{\}\}
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}_4 r \alpha
proof -
  have b2: f\{\} = \{\}
    and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (\text{sc-ord } \alpha \theta \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = F \ \alpha \theta \ (f \ \alpha \theta))
    and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \})
    and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a\theta unfolding \mathcal{T}\text{-}def by blast+
  have \mathfrak{L}f {} = {} unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def using lem-ord-subemp ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso
ordLess-irreflexive by blast
   then have f \in \mathcal{N} \not \{ \} using lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N} \not def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N} \not\downarrow r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} \not\downarrow r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N} 4 r \alpha\theta
     have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow
           (\forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'). \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \lor r``\{a\} \cap (f \alpha' - w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha')) )
\alpha')\neq\{\}
     proof (intro allI impI)
        fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
        assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha')
        then have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \vee \alpha' \leq \sigma \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        \textbf{using} \ not\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess \ ordLeq\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp \ ordLess\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp }
\mathbf{by} blast
        moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow (\ \forall \ a \in (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha'). \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w \text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha')
\vee r''\{a\} \cap (f \alpha' - w - dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \alpha')) \neq \{\} )
           using d1 c1 unfolding N4-def Dbk-def W-def by blast
         moreover have \alpha\theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
        \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (\ \forall \ a \in (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha'). \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha') \ \lor
r''\{a\} \cap (f \alpha' - w \text{-} dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha')) \neq \{\} \ )
        proof
           assume e1: \alpha = o \alpha'
          have Well-order \alpha\theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def by blast
           moreover then have (f \alpha \theta) \in SF r
                using a5 unfolding N5-def using ordLeq-reflexive by blast
          moreover have f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using c1 b3 by blast
          ultimately have e2: \forall a \in (f \alpha \theta). \ r''\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (f \alpha \theta) \lor r''\{a\} \cap (f \alpha \theta)
- w-dncl r(f \alpha \theta) \neq \{\}
             using a4 by metis
           have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \subseteq f \alpha \theta
           proof
             \mathbf{fix} p
             assume p \in \mathfrak{L} f \alpha
            then obtain \beta::'U rel where \beta < o \alpha \land p \in f \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
           moreover then have \beta \leq o \ \alpha \theta \land \alpha \theta \leq o \ \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
                using not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
                moreover then have f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha \theta using a0 a1 lem-Shinf-N1[of f F]
ordLeq-Well-order-simp by metis
```

```
ultimately show p \in f \ \alpha \theta unfolding \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def by blast
          qed
           moreover have f \alpha \theta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using c1 unfolding sc\text{-}ord\text{-}def \mathfrak{L}\text{-}def by
blast
          ultimately have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = f \alpha \theta by blast
          then have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha' = f \alpha \theta using e1 lem-shrel-L-eq by blast
           then show \forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'). r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \lor r``\{a\} \cap (f \alpha' - a')
w-dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha')) \neq \{\}
             using e2 e1 b5 by metis
        ultimately show \forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'). r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \lor r``\{a\} \cap (f \alpha')
- w-dncl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \neq \{\} by blast
     then show f \in \mathcal{N} \not = r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N} \not = def Dbk - def W - def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}4 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}4 \ r
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha::'U \ rel
     assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} 4 r \beta)
     then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
     have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow
        (\forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'). \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \lor r``\{a\} \cap (f \alpha' - w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha')) )
\alpha'))\neq\{\}
     proof (intro allI impI)
        fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
        assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha')
        then have \alpha' < o \alpha \vee \alpha' = o \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
        moreover have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (\ \forall \ a \in (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha'). \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha') \ \lor
r''\{a\}\cap (f \alpha' - w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \alpha'))\neq \{\}\ )
       proof
          assume \alpha' < o \alpha
       moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive
          ultimately show (\forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'). r''\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \vee r''\{a\} \cap (f \alpha'))
\alpha' - w-dncl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \neq \{\}
             using c1 d1 unfolding \mathcal{N}4-def Dbk-def W-def by blast
        qed
        moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
        proof
          assume \alpha' = o \alpha
           moreover then have \alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha \text{ using } d1 \text{ lem-osucc-eq by}
         moreover have \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha) using c1 unfolding lm-ord-def
by blast
          ultimately have \alpha' = 0 \alpha \wedge \alpha' = \{\} \wedge \alpha \neq \{\} by blast
          then show False by (metis iso-ozero-empty ordIso-symmetric ozero-def)
        ultimately show \forall a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha'). r''\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \lor r''\{a\} \cap (f \alpha')
```

```
- w-dncl r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') \neq \{\} by blast
    qed
    then show f \in \mathcal{N} \not r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N} \not d-def Dbk-def W-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}4 r \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N5:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
assumes a5: \forall \alpha A. (Well\text{-}order \alpha \land A \in SF r) \longrightarrow (F \alpha A) \in SF r
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
proof -
  have b2: f\{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. (sc-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \text{ (} f \alpha \theta \text{))}
   and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \ \exists \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a0 unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
  have f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r {} using b2 lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N}5-def SF-def Field-def
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
    assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}5 r \alpha\theta
    have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (f \ \alpha') \in SF \ r
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha
       then have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \vee \alpha' < \sigma \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
       using not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp
by blast
         moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow Field \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')) = (f \ \alpha') using c1
unfolding N5-def SF-def by blast
       moreover have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (f \ \alpha') \in SF \ r
       proof
         assume \alpha = o \alpha'
         moreover have (f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r
         proof -
            have \alpha \theta \leq o \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
               using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive by blast
            then have (f \alpha \theta) \in SF \ r \ using \ c1 \ unfolding \ \mathcal{N}5\text{-}def \ by \ blast
              moreover have Well-order \alpha\theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def using
ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
            moreover have f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using c1 b3 by blast
            ultimately show (f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r \ using \ a5 \ by \ metis
          ultimately show (f \alpha') \in SF \ r \ using \ b5 \ by \ metis
       qed
```

```
ultimately show (f \alpha') \in SF \ r \ unfolding \ SF-def \ by \ blast
    qed
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}5-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha :: 'U \ rel
    assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \beta)
    then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
    have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (f \ \alpha') \in SF \ r
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha
       then have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \lor \alpha' = o \ \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
       moreover have \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow Field (Restr r (f \alpha')) = (f \alpha')
       proof
         assume \alpha' < o \alpha
      moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive
          ultimately show Field (Restr r (f \alpha')) = (f \alpha') using c1 d1 unfolding
\mathcal{N}5-def SF-def by blast
       qed
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow (f \ \alpha') \in SF \ r
       proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
         moreover have (f \ \alpha) \in SF \ r
         proof -
            have \forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow (f \beta) \in SF \ r \ using \ c1 \ unfolding \mathcal{N}5\text{-}def
              using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive by blast
            then show ?thesis using c2 lem-Relprop-sat-un[of \{D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D\}
= f \beta r f \alpha unfolding SF-def by blast
         qed
         ultimately show (f \alpha') \in SF \ r \ using \ b5 \ by \ metis
       ultimately show (f \alpha') \in SF r unfolding SF-def by blast
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}5-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N6:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set } \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set and } f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
    and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
    and a5: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
    and a6: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \in SF r \longrightarrow CCR (Restr r (F \alpha A))
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}6 \ r \ \alpha
```

```
proof -
  have b2: f\{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. (sc-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \text{ (} f \alpha \theta \text{))}
   and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta \text{ using } a\theta \text{ unfolding } \mathcal{T}\text{-}def \text{ by } blast+
  have f \in \mathcal{N}6 r {} using b2 lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N}6-def CCR-def Field-def
by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N} 6 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} 6 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha\theta \ \alpha :: 'U \ rel
    assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}\theta r \alpha\theta
    then have c2: f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using b3 by blast
    have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' \leq o \alpha \longrightarrow CCR (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
       assume \alpha' < o \alpha
       then have \alpha' \le o \ \alpha \theta \ \lor \ \alpha' = o \ \alpha  using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        \mathbf{by}\ (meson\ ord Iso\ iff\ ord Leq\ ord Leq\ Well\ order\ simp\ ord Less\ Well\ order\ simp\ ord
ordLess-or-ordLeq)
        moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')) using c1 unfolding
\mathcal{N}6-def by blast
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
       proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
         moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
         proof -
            have Well-order \alpha\theta
               using c1 ordLess-Well-order-simp unfolding sc-ord-def by blast
            moreover then have (f \alpha \theta) \in SF r
              using a5 unfolding N5-def using ordLeq-reflexive by blast
            ultimately show CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) unfolding c2 using a6 by blast
          ultimately show CCR (Restr r (f \alpha')) using b5 by metis
       ultimately show CCR (Restr r (f \alpha')) by blast
    qed
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}6 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}6-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}6 \ r \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}6 \ r \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha :: 'U rel
    assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}6 \ r \beta)
    then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \}  using b4 by blast
    have c3: \forall \alpha'. \alpha' \leq o \alpha \longrightarrow CCR (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume \alpha' \leq o \alpha
      then have \alpha' < o \alpha \vee \alpha' = o \alpha using ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-Well-order-simp
ordLess-or-ordLeq by blast
```

```
moreover have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')) using c1 unfolding
\mathcal{N}6-def
         using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive by blast
      moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
      proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
         moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
         proof -
          obtain C where f1: C = \{A. \exists \beta:: 'U \ rel. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land A = f \ \beta \} by blast
           obtain S where f2: S = \{ s. \exists A \in C. s = Restr \ r \ A \} by blast
           have f3: \forall A1 \in C. \forall A2 \in C. A1 \subseteq A2 \lor A2 \subseteq A1
           proof (intro ballI)
             fix A1 A2
             assume A1 \in C and A2 \in C
             then obtain \beta 1 \ \beta 2 ::' U \ rel where A1 = f \ \beta 1 \ \land A2 = f \ \beta 2 \ \land \beta 1 < o
\alpha \wedge \beta 2 < o \alpha  using f1 by blast
            moreover then have (\beta 1 \le o \beta 2 \lor \beta 2 \le o \beta 1) \land \beta 1 \le o \alpha \land \beta 2 \le o \alpha
             using ordLeq-total ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
               moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}1 r \alpha using a0 a1 c1 lem-Shinf-N1[of f F r]
unfolding lm-ord-def by blast
             ultimately show A1 \subseteq A2 \vee A2 \subseteq A1 unfolding \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def by blast
           qed
           have \forall s \in S. CCR s using f1 f2 c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}6-def
             using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive by blast
          moreover have \forall s1 \in S. \ \forall s2 \in S. \ s1 \subseteq s2 \lor s2 \subseteq s1 using f2 \ f3 by blast
          ultimately have CCR ([ ] S) using lem-Relprop-ccr-ch-un[of S] by blast
           moreover have Restr r ( \bigcup \{D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta\} \} = \bigcup S
             using f1 f2 f3 lem-Relprop-restr-ch-un[of C r] by blast
           ultimately show ?thesis unfolding c2 by simp
         ultimately show CCR (Restr r (f \alpha')) using b5 by metis
      ultimately show CCR (Restr r (f \alpha')) by blast
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}6 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}6-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}6 \ r \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N7:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
    and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
    and a7: \forall \alpha A. (|A| < o \omega - ord \longrightarrow |F \alpha A| < o \omega - ord)
                    \land ( \omega-ord \leq o |A| \longrightarrow |F  \alpha  A| \leq o |A|)
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}7 r \alpha
proof -
  have b2: f \{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (\text{sc-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta))
```

```
and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \ \{ D. \ \exists \ \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \ \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a0 unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
  have \forall \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha \leq o \ \{\} \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha| \leq o \ \alpha \land |f \ \alpha| < o \ \omega \text{-}ord
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha::'U \ rel
    assume \alpha \leq o \{\}
    moreover then have (f \ \alpha) = \{\} using b2 \ lem-ord-subemp by blast
    ultimately show |f \alpha| \le o \alpha \land |f \alpha| < o \omega-ord using lem-ord-subemp
     by (metis Field-natLeq card-of-empty1 card-of-empty5 ctwo-def ctwo-ordLess-natLeq
natLeq\text{-}well\text{-}order\text{-}on\ not\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess\ ordLeq\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp)
  qed
  then have f \in \mathcal{N} 7 r {} unfolding \mathcal{N} 7-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
    assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}7 r \alpha\theta
    then have c2: f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using b3 by blast
    have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| \leq o \ \alpha'
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \wedge \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \alpha'
       then have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \ \lor \ \alpha' = o \ \alpha  using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        by (meson ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp
ordLess-or-ordLeq)
       moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| \leq o \ \alpha' \ \text{using} \ c1 \ d1 \ \text{unfolding} \ \mathcal{N} \gamma - def
by blast
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| \le o \ \alpha'
       proof
         assume e1: \alpha' = o \alpha
         then have e2: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha using d1 b5 ordLeq-transitive by blast
         then have e3: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha \theta using c1 lem-ord-suc-ge-w by blast
         then have Well-order \alpha\theta \wedge |f \alpha\theta| \leq o \alpha\theta
             using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def N7-def using ordLess-Well-order-simp
ordLeq-reflexive by blast
           moreover then have |f \ \alpha| \le o \ |f \ \alpha \theta| \lor |f \ \alpha| < o \ \omega-ord unfolding c2
using a7
            using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
            moreover have \alpha \theta \leq o \alpha using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def using ord-
Less-imp-ordLeq by blast
         ultimately have |f \alpha| \le o \alpha using e3 ordLeq-transitive ordLess-imp-ordLeq
by metis
          then show |f \alpha'| \le o \alpha' using b5 e1 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive by
metis
       ultimately show |f \alpha'| \le o \alpha' by blast
    moreover have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha' < o \ \omega-ord \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| < o \ \omega-ord
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha'::'U rel
```

```
assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha' < o \ \omega-ord
       then have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \ \lor \ \alpha' = o \ \alpha  using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{meson}\ \mathit{ordIso}\text{-}\mathit{iff}\text{-}\mathit{ordLeq}\ \mathit{ordLeq}\text{-}\mathit{Well}\text{-}\mathit{order}\text{-}\mathit{simp}\ \mathit{ordLess}\text{-}\mathit{Well}\text{-}\mathit{order}\text{-}\mathit{simp}
ordLess-or-ordLeq)
         moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| < o \ \omega-ord using c1 d1 unfolding
\mathcal{N}7-def by blast
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| < o \ \omega-ord
       proof
         assume e1: \alpha' = o \alpha
         then have e2: \alpha < o \omega-ord using d1 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordIso-ordLess-trans
by blast
           then have e3: \alpha \theta < o \omega-ord using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def using or-
dLeq-ordLess-trans\ ordLess-imp-ordLeq\ \mathbf{by}\ blast
         then have Well-order \alpha\theta \wedge |f \alpha\theta| < o \omega-ord
              using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def N7-def using ordLess-Well-order-simp
ordLeg-reflexive by blast
         then have |f \alpha| < o \omega-ord unfolding c2 using a7 by blast
         then show |f \alpha'| < o \omega-ord using b5 e1 by metis
       ultimately show |f \alpha'| < o \omega-ord by blast
    qed
     ultimately show f \in \mathcal{N}7 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}7-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} \ 7 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} \ 7 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha :: 'U rel
    assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N} 7 r \beta)
    then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \ \{ D. \ \exists \ \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \ \} using b4 by blast
    have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' \leq o \alpha \land \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \alpha' \longrightarrow |f \alpha'| \leq o \alpha'
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume e1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \ \alpha'
      then have \alpha' < o \alpha \vee \alpha' = o \alpha using ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-Well-order-simp
ordLess-or-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow |f \alpha'| \le o \alpha' using c1 e1 unfolding \mathcal{N}7-def
         using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive by blast
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| \le o \ \alpha'
       proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
         moreover have |f \alpha| \leq o \alpha
         proof -
           obtain S where f1: S = \{A. \exists \beta:: 'U \text{ rel. } \beta < o \alpha \land A = f \beta \} by blast
            have f2: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha using c1 lem-lmord-inf lem-inford-ge-w unfolding
lm-ord-def by blast
            have f3: \forall s \in S. |s| \leq o \alpha
            proof
              \mathbf{fix} \ s
              assume s \in S
              then obtain \beta where \beta < o \alpha \land s = f \beta using f1 by blast
```

```
then show |s| \le o \alpha
              using c1 f2 unfolding N7-def apply clarsimp
                  by (metis card-of-Well-order natLeq-Well-order not-ordLess-ordLeq
ordLeg-reflexive ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLess-or-ordLeg ordLess-transitive)
          moreover have |S| \leq o \alpha
          proof -
            have f' \{ \gamma, \gamma < o \alpha \} = S \text{ using } f1 \text{ by } force
           then show ?thesis using f1 f2 b5 lem-ord-int-card-le-inf[of f \alpha] by blast
          ultimately have |\bigcup S| \le o \ \alpha \ \text{using} \ f2 \ lem-card-un-bnd[of S \ \alpha] by blast
          then show ?thesis unfolding f1 c2 by blast
        ultimately show |f \alpha'| \le o \alpha' using b5 ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive
by metis
      qed
      ultimately show |f \alpha'| \le o \alpha' by blast
    moreover have \forall \alpha'. \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha' < o \ \omega \text{-}ord \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| < o \ \omega \text{-}ord
    proof (intro allI impI)
      fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
      assume e1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \wedge \alpha' < o \omega - ord
     then have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \ \lor \ \alpha' = o \ \alpha using ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-Well-order-simp
ordLess-or-ordLeq by blast
     moreover have \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow |f \alpha'| < o \omega-ord using c1 e1 unfolding \mathcal{N}7-def
        using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive by blast
      moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow |f \ \alpha'| < o \ \omega - ord
      proof
        assume \alpha' = o \alpha
        moreover have |f \alpha| \leq o \alpha
         obtain S where f1: S = \{A. \exists \beta:: 'U \text{ rel. } \beta < o \alpha \land A = f \beta \} by blast
          have f2: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha using c1 lem-lmord-inf lem-inford-ge-w unfolding
lm-ord-def by blast
          have f3: \forall s \in S. |s| \leq o \alpha
          proof
            \mathbf{fix} \ s
            assume s \in S
            then obtain \beta where \beta < o \alpha \land s = f \beta using f1 by blast
            then show |s| \le o \alpha
              using c1 f2 unfolding N7-def apply clarsimp
                  by (metis card-of-Well-order natLeq-Well-order not-ordLess-ordLeq
ordLeq-reflexive ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLess-or-ordLeq ordLess-transitive)
          qed
          moreover have |S| \leq o \alpha
            have f ` \{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ \alpha\} = S \text{ using } f1 \text{ by } force
           then show ?thesis using f1 f2 b5 lem-ord-int-card-le-inf[of f \alpha] by blast
          qed
```

```
ultimately have |\bigcup S| \le o \ \alpha \ \text{using} \ f2 \ lem-card-un-bnd[of S \ \alpha] by blast
            then show ?thesis unfolding f1 c2 by blast
          qed
               ultimately show |f \alpha'| < o \omega-ord using e1 b5 ordIso-iff-ordLeq or-
dLeq-transitive
               by (metis card-of-Well-order natLeq-Well-order not-ordLess-ordLeq ord-
Less-or-ordLeq)
       qed
       ultimately show |f \alpha'| < o \omega-ord by blast
     ultimately show f \in \mathcal{N} 7 r \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N} 7-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N} ? r \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N8:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel } and F::'U \text{ rel } \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set } and f::'U \text{ rel } \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set } and Ps::'U \text{ rel }
set set
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
     and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
     and a5: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
     and a7: \forall \alpha A. (|A| < o \omega - ord \longrightarrow |F \alpha A| < o \omega - ord)
                      \land ( \omega-ord \leq o |A| \longrightarrow |F  \alpha  A| \leq o |A| )
     and a8: \forall \alpha \ A. \ A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}p \ r \ Ps \ A \ (F \ \alpha \ A)
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \alpha
proof -
  have b2: f\{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (sc\text{-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta))
   and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a0 unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
   have f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \{\} using b2 lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N}8-def SCF-def
Field-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha\theta \ \alpha ::'U \ rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}8 r Ps \alpha\theta
     have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow
          ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f \alpha'|)) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. f \alpha' \cap P)
\in SCF (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
     proof (intro allI, rule impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha')
       then have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \vee \alpha' \leq \sigma \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        using not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp
\mathbf{by} blast
       moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \leq o |f|)
\alpha'()) \longrightarrow
                      (\forall P \in Ps. \ f \ \alpha' \cap P \in SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
          using d1 c1 unfolding N8-def by blast
```

```
moreover have \alpha\theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f|)
\alpha'()) \longrightarrow
                    (\forall P \in Ps. \ f \ \alpha' \cap P \in SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
       proof (intro ballI impI)
         \mathbf{fix} P
         assume e1: \alpha = o \ \alpha' and e2: (\exists P'. Ps = \{P'\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f|)
\alpha' ) and e3: P \in Ps
         have e4: f \alpha' = f \alpha using b5 e1 by blast
         have Well-order \alpha\theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def by blast
       then have (f \alpha \theta) \in SF r using a5 unfolding \mathcal{N}5-def using ordLeq-reflexive
by blast
         moreover have e5: f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using c1 \ b3 by blast
         moreover have \neg (\exists P'. Ps = \{P'\}) \longrightarrow (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| < o |f \alpha \theta|)
         proof
           assume f1: \neg (\exists P'. Ps = \{P'\})
                 then have f2: \omega-ord \leq o |Ps| \wedge |Ps| \leq o |f| \alpha | using e2 e4 infi-
nite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by metis
           then have \neg |F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta)| < o \omega-ord using e5
          by (metis finite-ordLess-infinite2 infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq not-ordLess-ordLeq)
           then have \neg |f \alpha \theta| < o \omega-ord using a by blast
               then have \omega-ord \leq o | f \alpha \theta| by (metis finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq infi-
nite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq)
           then have |F \alpha \theta| (f \alpha \theta)| \le o |f \alpha \theta| using a by blast
           then have |Ps| \le o |f| \alpha \theta| using f2 e5 ordLeq-transitive by metis
           then show \neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f| \alpha \theta | using f1 e2 by blast
         ged
       ultimately show f \alpha' \cap P \in SCF (Restr \ r \ (f \alpha')) using e3 e4 a8 unfolding
\mathcal{E}_{p}-def by metis
       qed
       ultimately show ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| < o |f \alpha'|)) \longrightarrow
(\forall P \in Ps. \ f \ \alpha' \cap P \in SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))) by blast
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}8-def by blast
  qed
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}8
r Ps \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha::'U rel
    assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \beta)
    then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
    have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow
      ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f \alpha'|)) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. f \alpha' \cap P \in Ps. f \alpha')
SCF (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
    proof (intro allI, rule impI)
       fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (\alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \alpha')
       then have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \lor \alpha' = o \ \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
```

```
moreover have \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f|)
\alpha'|)) \longrightarrow
                    (\forall P \in Ps. \ f \ \alpha' \cap P \in SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
       proof
         assume \alpha' < o \alpha
      moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeg-reflexive
by blast
         ultimately show ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f \alpha'|)) \longrightarrow
                    (\forall P \in Ps. \ f \ \alpha' \cap P \in SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
            using c1 d1 unfolding N8-def by blast
       qed
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
       proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
          moreover then have \alpha' = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha \text{ using } d1 \text{ lem-osucc-eq by}
blast
        moreover have \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha) using c1 unfolding lm-ord-def
by blast
         ultimately have \alpha' = 0 \alpha \wedge \alpha' = \{\} \wedge \alpha \neq \{\} by blast
         then show False by (metis iso-ozero-empty ordIso-symmetric ozero-def)
       ultimately show ((\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor (\neg finite Ps \land |Ps| \le o |f \alpha'|)) \longrightarrow
                    (\forall P \in Ps. \ f \ \alpha' \cap P \in SCF \ (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))) by blast
    qed
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}8-def by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}8 r Ps \alpha] by
blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N9:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } q::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U
  and F::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set and f::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
    and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
    and a2: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow q \alpha \in Field \ r \longrightarrow q \alpha \in F \alpha A
    and a11: \omega-ord \leq o | Field | r | \longrightarrow Field | r \subseteq g ' \{ \gamma :: 'U | rel. | \gamma < o | Field | r | \}
shows f \in \mathcal{N}9 \ r \ |Field \ r|
proof -
   have b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. (sc-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \text{ (} f \alpha \theta \text{))} \text{ using } a\theta
unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
  have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow a \in f \ |Field \ r|
  proof (intro ballI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume c1: a \in Field\ r and c2: \omega-ord \leq o\ |Field\ r|
    then obtain \alpha \theta::'U rel where c4: \alpha \theta < o | Field r | \land g \alpha \theta = a  using all by
    moreover then obtain \alpha where c5: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha using lem-sucord-ex[of \alpha\theta
|Field \ r|| by blast
```

```
ultimately have c6: \alpha \leq o |Field r| unfolding sc\text{-}ord\text{-}def by blast
     have Well-order | Field r| by simp
   then have f \in \mathcal{N}1\ r\ |\mathit{Field}\ r|\ \text{using } a0\ a1\ lem\text{-Shinf-N1}\ \text{unfolding } \mathit{card-order-on-def}
by metis
     moreover have c7: |Field \ r| \le o |Field \ r| by simp
     moreover have f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using c5 b3 by blast
     moreover have a \in F \ \alpha \theta \ (f \ \alpha \theta) using a2 c4 c1 ordLess-Well-order-simp by
     ultimately show a \in f | Field r | using c6 unfolding \mathcal{N}1-def by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis unfolding \mathcal{N}9-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N10:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
     and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
     and a5: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
     and a10: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \in SF r \longrightarrow
               ((\exists y. (F \alpha A) - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (F \alpha \ A)))
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha
proof -
   have b2: f\{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (sc\text{-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta))
   and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \ \{ D. \ \exists \ \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \ \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta \text{ using } a0 \text{ unfolding } \mathcal{T}\text{-}def \text{ by } blast+
   have f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r {} using b2 lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N}10-def Q-def by
blast
   moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha\theta
     have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow
          ((\exists y. (f \alpha') - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \alpha')))
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha and d2: \exists y. (f \alpha') - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{y\}
       then have \alpha \theta < o \alpha' \lor \alpha' < o \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        using not-ordLeq-iff-ordLess ordLeq-Well-order-simp ordLess-Well-order-simp
by blast
        moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow ((\exists y. \ (f \ \alpha') - dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha') = \{y\}) \longrightarrow
(Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
          using d1 c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}10-def \mathcal{Q}-def by blast
        moreover have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
          assume e1: \alpha = o \alpha'
          have Well-order \alpha\theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def by blast
```

```
moreover then have (f \alpha \theta) \in SF r
            using a5 unfolding N5-def using ordLeq-reflexive by blast
         moreover have f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta) using c1 b3 by blast
         ultimately have e2: ((\exists y. (f \alpha) - dncl \ r \ (f \alpha \theta)) \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq \{y\})
dncl\ r\ (f\ \alpha)))
           using a10 by metis
         have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \subseteq f \alpha \theta
         proof
            \mathbf{fix} p
           assume p \in \mathfrak{L} f \alpha
          then obtain \beta::'U rel where \beta < o \alpha \land p \in f \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
         moreover then have \beta \leq o \alpha \theta \wedge \alpha \theta \leq o \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
              using not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
             moreover then have f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha\theta using a0 a1 lem-Shinf-N1[of f F]
ordLeq-Well-order-simp by metis
            ultimately show p \in f \ \alpha \theta unfolding \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def by blast
          moreover have f \alpha \theta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def \mathfrak{L}-def by
blast
         ultimately have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = f \alpha \theta by blast
         then have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha' = f \alpha \theta using e1 lem-shrel-L-eq by blast
         then show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using d2 e2 e1 b5 by force
       ultimately show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using d2 by blast
    qed
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}10-def \mathcal{Q}-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}10
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha :: 'U \ rel
    assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \beta)
    then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
    have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \longrightarrow
       ((\exists y. \ (f \ \alpha') - dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha') = \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha')))
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha and d2: \exists y. (f \alpha') - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{y\}
       then have \alpha' < o \alpha \vee \alpha' = o \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
       moreover have \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
       proof
         assume \alpha' < o \alpha
      moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive
       ultimately show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using c1 d1 d2 unfolding \mathcal{N}10-def
Q-def by blast
       qed
       moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
       proof
```

```
assume e1: \alpha' = o \alpha
          moreover then have e2: £ f \alpha' = £ f \alpha using lem-shrel-L-eq by blast
          ultimately have \exists y. (f \alpha) - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha) = \{y\}  using d2 \ b5  by metis
          moreover have f \alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using c2 unfolding \mathfrak{L}\text{-}def by blast
          ultimately show False unfolding dncl-def by blast
        qed
        ultimately show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using d2 by blast
     then show f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}10-def \mathcal{Q}-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N11:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and F::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set} and f::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set}
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
     and a1: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \subseteq F \alpha A
     and a5: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ \alpha
     and a10: \forall \alpha A. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow A \in SF r \longrightarrow
               ((\exists y. (F \alpha A) - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (F \alpha \ A)))
shows \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha
proof -
   have b2: f \{\} = \{\}
   and b3: \forall \alpha \theta \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } (sc\text{-ord } \alpha \theta \alpha \longrightarrow f \alpha = F \alpha \theta (f \alpha \theta))
   and b4: \forall \alpha. (lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \ \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \ \alpha \land D = f \ \beta \})
   and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta using a0 unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast+
   have \neg isSuccOrd ({}::'U rel)
     using wo-rel-def wo-rel.isSuccOrd-def unfolding Field-def by force
   then have f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \{\} using lem-ord-subemp unfolding \mathcal{N}11-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \theta \ \alpha. \ sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \theta \ \alpha \land f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha
  proof (intro allI impI)
     fix \alpha\theta \alpha :: 'U rel
     assume c1: sc-ord \alpha\theta \alpha \wedge f \in \mathcal{N}11 r \alpha\theta
     have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow
          (((f \alpha') - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \alpha')))
     proof (intro allI impI)
        fix \alpha'::'U \ rel
        assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \land (isSuccOrd \alpha')
            and d2: (f \alpha') - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{\}
        then have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \vee \alpha' \leq \sigma \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
        {\bf using} \ not\text{-}ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess \ ordLeq\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp \ ordLess\text{-}Well\text{-}order\text{-}simp \ ordLess\text{-}Well\text{-}}
\mathbf{by} blast
       moreover have \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \theta \longrightarrow (((f \ \alpha') - dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha') = \{\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r)
\subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
          using d1 c1 unfolding \mathcal{N}11-def \mathcal{Q}-def by blast
        moreover have \alpha \theta < \sigma \alpha' \longrightarrow \alpha = \sigma \alpha' using d1 c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
        moreover have \alpha = o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
        proof
```

```
assume e1: \alpha = o \alpha'
         have Well-order \alpha\theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def by blast
         moreover then have (f \ \alpha \theta) \in SF \ r
           using a5 unfolding N5-def using ordLeq-reflexive by blast
         moreover have f \alpha = F \alpha \theta \ (f \alpha \theta) using c1 b3 by blast
         ultimately have e2: (((f \ \alpha) - dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha \theta) = \{\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r)
(f \alpha)))
           using a10 by fastforce
         have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \subseteq f \alpha \theta
         proof
           \mathbf{fix} p
           assume p \in \mathfrak{L} f \alpha
          then obtain \beta::'U rel where \beta < o \ \alpha \land p \in f \ \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
         moreover then have \beta \leq o \alpha \theta \wedge \alpha \theta \leq o \alpha \theta using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def
              using not-ordLess-iff-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
             moreover then have f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha\theta using a0 a1 lem-Shinf-N1[of f F]
ordLeg-Well-order-simp by metis
           ultimately show p \in f \ \alpha \theta unfolding \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def by blast
          moreover have f \alpha \theta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using c1 unfolding sc-ord-def \mathfrak{L}-def by
blast
         ultimately have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha = f \alpha \theta by blast
         then have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha' = f \alpha \theta using e1 lem-shrel-L-eq by blast
         then show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using d2 e2 e1 b5 by force
       ultimately show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using d2 by blast
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}11-def \mathcal{Q}-def by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha. lm\text{-}ord \ \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \ \beta < o \ \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \beta) \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}11
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha::'U \ rel
    assume c1: lm-ord \alpha \land (\forall \beta. \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \beta)
    then have c2: f \alpha = \bigcup \{ D. \exists \beta. \beta < o \alpha \land D = f \beta \} using b4 by blast
    have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha' \leq o \ \alpha \land (isSuccOrd \ \alpha') \longrightarrow
       (((f \alpha') - dncl \ r \ (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \alpha')))
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
       assume d1: \alpha' \leq o \alpha \land (isSuccOrd \alpha')
          and d2: (f \alpha') - dncl \ r (\mathfrak{L} f \alpha') = \{\}
       then have \alpha' < o \ \alpha \lor \alpha' = o \ \alpha using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
       moreover have \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha'))
       proof
         assume \alpha' < o \alpha
      moreover then have \alpha' \leq o \alpha' using ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLeq-reflexive
       ultimately show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using c1 d1 d2 unfolding \mathcal{N}11-def
Q-def by blast
                            qed
```

```
moreover have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \longrightarrow False
       proof
         assume \alpha' = o \alpha
          moreover then have \alpha' = \{\} \vee isSuccOrd \alpha \text{ using } d1 \text{ lem-osucc-eq by}
         moreover have \neg (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \alpha) using c1 unfolding lm-ord-def
by blast
          ultimately have \alpha' = o \ \alpha \land \alpha' = \{\} \land \alpha \neq \{\} by blast
         then show False by (metis iso-ozero-empty ordIso-symmetric ozero-def)
       ultimately show Field r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (f \ \alpha') using d2 by blast
    then show f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha unfolding \mathcal{N}11-def \mathcal{Q}-def by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using lem-sclm-ordind[of \lambda \alpha. f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ \alpha] by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N12:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } g::'U \text{ rel} \Rightarrow 'U
  and F::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set and f::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set
assumes a\theta: f \in \mathcal{T} F
    and a1: \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 \ r \ \alpha
    and a2: \forall \ \alpha \ A. \ Well-order \ \alpha \longrightarrow g \ \alpha \in Field \ r \longrightarrow g \ \alpha \in F \ \alpha \ A and a11: \omega-ord \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow Field \ r = g \ ` \{ \ \gamma :: 'U \ rel. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r| \ \}
    and a2': \forall \alpha::'U \ rel. \ \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ |g' \ \{\gamma, \gamma < o \} 
\alpha
shows f \in \mathcal{N}12 \ r \ |Field \ r|
proof -
  have b1: \forall \alpha. \ \omega\text{-}ord = o \ \alpha \land \alpha \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow \omega\text{-}ord \leq o \ |\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha|
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ \alpha {::} 'U \ \mathit{rel}
    assume c1: \omega-ord = o \ \alpha \land \alpha \le o \ |Field \ r|
    then have c2: \omega - ord \le o |g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o \alpha\}| using a2' ordIso-imp-ordLeq by blast
    have g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o \alpha\} \subseteq g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o | Field r| \} using c1 ordLess-ordLeq-trans by
force
    then have g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o \alpha\} \subseteq Field r
       using c1 a11 ordLeq-transitive ordIso-imp-ordLeq[of \omega-ord] by metis
    have g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o \alpha\} \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha
    proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ a
       assume a \in g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o \alpha\}
       then obtain \gamma where d1: a = g \gamma \wedge \gamma < o \alpha by blast
       obtain \gamma' where d2: sc-ord \gamma \gamma' using d1 lem-sucord-ex by blast
       then have f \gamma' = F \gamma (f \gamma) using a\theta unfolding \mathcal{T}-def by blast
        moreover have Well-order \gamma using d2 unfolding sc-ord-def using ord-
Less-def by blast
         moreover have g \gamma \in Field \ r \ using \ d1 \ c1 \ a11 \ ordIso-ordLeq-trans \ ord-
Less-ordLeq-trans by blast
       ultimately have a \in f \gamma' using d1 a2 by blast
```

```
moreover have \gamma' < o \alpha
      proof -
      have isLimOrd \ \omega-ord by (simp\ add: Field-natLeq\ card-order-infinite-isLimOrd
natLeq-card-order)
        then have \neg isSuccOrd \alpha
           using c1 lem-osucc-eq ordIso-symmetric
           using natLeq-Well-order wo-rel.isLimOrd-def wo-rel-def by blast
      then obtain \beta::'U rel where \gamma < o \beta \land \neg (\alpha \le o \beta) using d1 lem-ordint-sucord
by blast
         then have \gamma < o \beta \land \beta < o \alpha \text{ using } d1
           by (metis ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordLess-Well-order-simp ordLess-imp-ordLeq
ordLess-or-ordIso)
      then show \gamma' < o \alpha using d2 unfolding sc-ord-def using ordLeq-ordLess-trans
\mathbf{by} blast
      qed
      ultimately show a \in \mathcal{L} f \alpha unfolding \mathcal{L}-def by blast
    then have |g'\{\gamma, \gamma < o \alpha\}| \le o |\mathfrak{L} f \alpha| by simp
    then show \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid using c2 ordLeq-transitive by blast
  have \forall \alpha. \ \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ |\mathfrak{L} \ f \ \alpha|
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha :: 'U \ rel
    assume \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha \leq o \ |Field \ r|
    moreover then obtain \alpha \theta::'U rel where d1: \omega-ord = \alpha \theta \wedge \alpha \theta \leq \alpha \alpha
      using internalize-ordLeq[of \ \omega-ord \ \alpha] by blast
    ultimately have \omega-ord = o \alpha \theta \wedge \alpha \theta \leq o | Field r | using ordLeq-transitive by
blast
    then have \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \theta \mid using b1 by blast
     moreover have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \theta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha using d1 unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def using ord-
Less-ordLeq-trans by blast
    moreover then have |\mathfrak{L} f \alpha \theta| \leq o |\mathfrak{L} f \alpha| by simp
    ultimately show \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid using ordLeq-transitive by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis unfolding \mathcal{N}12-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-E-ne:
fixes r::'U rel and a0::'U and A::'U set and Ps::'U set set
assumes a2: CCR \ r and a3: Ps \subseteq SCF \ r
shows \mathcal{E} \ r \ a\theta \ A \ Ps \neq \{\}
proof (cases A \in SF r)
  assume b\theta: A \in SF r
  show \mathcal{E} \ r \ a\theta \ A \ Ps \neq \{\}
  proof (cases finite A)
    assume b1: finite A
    then obtain A' where (a0 \in Field \ r \longrightarrow a0 \in A') and b2: A \subseteq A' and b3:
CCR (Restr \ r \ A') \land finite \ A'
                      and (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A \lor r``\{a\} \cap (A'-w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A) \neq \{\})
```

```
and A' \in SF \ r and b \not \downarrow : (\exists \ y. \ A' - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq \{y\}
A' \cup dncl \ r \ A
                    and b5: (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P \in SCF (Restr
r(A'))
                      using b0 a2 a3
                      lem-Ccext-finsubccr-pext5-scf3[of r A Ps a0 w-dncl r A dncl r A]
                      by metis
    moreover have |A'| < o \omega-ord using b3 finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq by blast
   moreover have \neg ( \omega-ord \leq o |A| ) using b1 infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
    moreover have (\exists y. A' - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ A' using b2
b4 unfolding dncl-def by blast
    moreover have (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|) \longrightarrow (\exists P.
Ps = \{P\}
      using b1 card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
    ultimately have A' \in \mathcal{E} \ r \ a0 \ A \ Ps unfolding \mathcal{E}\text{-}def \ \mathcal{E}p\text{-}def by fast
    then show ?thesis by blast
  next
    assume b1: \neg finite A
    then obtain A' where b2: (a0 \in Field \ r \longrightarrow a0 \in A') and b3: A \subseteq A' and
b4: CCR (Restr \ r \ A')
                           and b5: |A'| = o |A| and b6: (\forall a \in A. \ r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A \lor a)
r``\{a\} \cap (A'-w\text{-}dncl\ r\ A) \neq \{\}\}
                     and b7: A' \in SF \ r and b8: (\exists y. A' - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow Field
r \subseteq A' \cup dncl \ r \ A
                      and b9: (|Ps| \le o |A| \longrightarrow (\forall P \in Ps. (A' \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r))
A')))
                      and b10: escl\ r\ A\ A'\subseteq A' and b11: clterm\ (Restr\ r\ A')\ r
       using b0 a2 a3
           lem-Ccext-infsubccr-pext5-scf3 [of r A Ps a0 w-dncl r A dncl r A] by metis
    then have (\omega \text{-}ord \le o \mid A \mid \longrightarrow \mid A' \mid \le o \mid A \mid) using ordIso\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLeq by blast
   moreover have (|A| < o \omega - ord \longrightarrow |A'| < o \omega - ord) using b1 finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq
    moreover have (\exists y. A' - dncl \ r \ A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field \ r \subseteq dncl \ r \ A') using
b3 b8 unfolding dncl-def by blast
    moreover have (\exists P. Ps = \{P\}) \lor ((\neg finite Ps) \land |Ps| \le o |A|) \longrightarrow |Ps|
\leq o |A|
      using b1 by (metis card-of-singl-ordLeq finite.simps)
    ultimately have A' \in \mathcal{E} \ r \ a0 \ A \ Ps \ unfolding \ \mathcal{E}\text{-}def \ \mathcal{E}p\text{-}def
      using b2 b3 b4 b5 b6 b7 b8 b9 b10 b11 by fast
    then show ?thesis by blast
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  assume A \notin SF r
  moreover obtain A' where b1: A' = A \cup \{a0\} by blast
 moreover then have |A| < o \omega-ord \longrightarrow |A'| < o \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq
  moreover have \omega-ord \leq o |A| \longrightarrow |A'| \leq o |A|
  proof
    assume \omega-ord \leq o |A|
```

```
then have ¬ finite A using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq not-ordLeq-ordLess by
blast
    then have |A'| = o |A| unfolding b1 using infinite-card-of-insert by simp
    then show |A'| \le o |A| using ordIso-imp-ordLeq by blast
  ultimately have A' \in \mathcal{E} \ r \ a0 \ A \ Ps \ unfolding \ \mathcal{E}\text{-}def \ by \ blast
  then show \mathcal{E} \ r \ a\theta \ A \ Ps \neq \{\} by blast
qed
lemma lem-oseq-fin-inj:
fixes g::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ and \ I::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ rel \ set \ and \ A::'a \ set
assumes a1: I = (\lambda \alpha'. \{ \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. } \alpha < o \alpha' \})
    and a2: \omega \text{-} ord \leq o |A|
    and a3: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha = g \beta
shows \exists h. (\forall \alpha'. g'(I \alpha') \subseteq h'(I \alpha') \land h'(I \alpha') \subseteq g'(I \alpha') \cup A)
           \land (\forall \alpha'. \ \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha' \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ |h'(I \ \alpha')| \ )
           \wedge \ (\forall \ \alpha \ \beta. \ \alpha = o \ \beta \longrightarrow h \ \alpha = h \ \beta)
\operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(cases \exists \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \ \alpha)
  assume \exists \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \ \alpha
  then obtain \alpha m:'U rel where b1: \omega-ord = o \alpha m by (metis internalize-ordLeq)
  obtain f::nat \Rightarrow 'U \text{ rel where } b2: f = (\lambda \text{ n. } SOME \ \alpha. \ \alpha = o \ (natLeg-on \ n)) by
blast
   have |UNIV::nat \ set| \le o \ |A| using a using card-of-nat ord Iso-imp-ord Leq
ordLeq-transitive by blast
   then obtain xi::nat \Rightarrow 'a where b3: inj xi \land xi 'UNIV \subseteq A by (meson
card-of-ordLeq)
  obtain yi where b4: yi = (\lambda \ n. \ if \ (\exists \ i < n. \ q \ (f \ n) = q \ (f \ i)) \ then \ (xi \ n) \ else \ (q \ n)
(f n)) by blast
  obtain h where b5: h = (\lambda \ \alpha . if \ (\exists \ n. \ \alpha = o \ f \ n) \ then \ (yi \ (SOME \ n. \ (\alpha = o \ f \ n)) \ then \ (yi) \ (SOME \ n. \ (\alpha = o \ f \ n))
n))) else (g \alpha)) by blast
  have b6: \land n::nat. f n = o (natLeq-on n)
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
   have natLeq-on n < o \ \alpha m \ using \ b1 \ natLeq-on-ordLess-natLeq ordLess-ordIso-trans
by blast
    then obtain \alpha::'U \text{ rel where } \alpha = o \text{ (natLeg-on n)}
       {\bf using} \ internalize \hbox{-} ord Less \ ord Iso \hbox{-} symmetric \ {\bf by} \ fast force
       then show f n =0 natLeq-on n using b2 someI-ex[of \lambda \alpha::'U rel. \alpha =0
(natLeq-on n)] by blast
  qed
  then have b7: \bigwedge n \ m. \ n \leq m \Longrightarrow f \ n \leq o \ f \ m
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) natLeq-on-ordLeq-less-eq ordIso-imp-ordLeq or-
dIso-symmetric\ ordLeq-transitive)
  have b8: \bigwedge n \ m. \ f \ n = o \ f \ m \Longrightarrow n = m
  proof -
    fix n m
    assume f n = o f m
      moreover then have natLeq-on n = o f m using b6 ordIso-transitive or-
dIso-symmetric by blast
```

```
ultimately have natLeq-on \ n = o \ natLeq-on \ m \ using \ b6 \ ordIso-transitive by
blast
    then show n = m using natLeq-on-injective-ordIso by blast
  have b9: \land \alpha \ n. \ \alpha = o \ f \ n \Longrightarrow h \ \alpha = yi \ n
  proof -
    fix \alpha::'U \ rel \ and \ n::nat
    assume \alpha = o f n
    moreover obtain m where m = (SOME \ n. \ (\alpha = o \ f \ n)) by blast
    ultimately have h \alpha = yi \ m \wedge \alpha = of \ m \wedge \alpha = of \ n using b5 \ some I-ex[of \ \lambda]
n. \ \alpha = o \ f \ n] by fastforce
    moreover then have m = n using b8 ordIso-transitive ordIso-symmetric by
blast
    ultimately show h \alpha = yi \ n  by blast
  have b10: \bigwedge n. yi'\{k.\ k \leq n\} \subseteq g'(f'(\{k.\ k \leq n\})) \cup A
  proof -
    fix n\theta
    show yi'\{k. \ k \leq n\theta\} \subseteq g'(f'(\{k. \ k \leq n\theta\})) \cup A
    proof (induct \ n\theta)
      show yi'\{k. \ k \leq 0\} \subseteq g'(f'\{k. \ k \leq 0\}) \cup A  using b \not= b y  simp
    \mathbf{next}
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume d1: yi'\{k. \ k \leq n\} \subseteq g'(f'(\{k. \ k \leq n\})) \cup A
      show yi'\{k.\ k \leq Suc\ n\} \subseteq g'(f'(\{k.\ k \leq (Suc\ n)\})) \cup A
      proof (cases \exists i < Suc \ n. \ g \ (f \ (Suc \ n)) = g \ (f \ i))
        assume \exists i < Suc \ n. \ g \ (f \ (Suc \ n)) = g \ (f \ i)
        then obtain i where i < Suc \ n \land g \ (f \ (Suc \ n)) = g \ (f \ i) by blast
        then have i \leq n \wedge yi \ (Suc \ n) = xi \ (Suc \ n) using b4 by force
        then have yi (Suc n) \in g'(f'(\{k. k \leq Suc n\})) \cup A  using b3 by blast
        moreover have yi'\{k.\ k \leq n\} \subseteq g'(f'(\{k.\ k \leq Suc\ n\})) \cup A \text{ using } d1 \text{ by}
fast force
        moreover have \bigwedge k. k \leq Suc \ n \longleftrightarrow (k \leq n \lor k = Suc \ n) by linarith
        moreover then have yi\{k. \ k \leq Suc \ n\} = yi\{k. \ k \leq n\} \cup \{yi \ (Suc \ n)\}
by fastforce
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      next
        assume \neg (\exists i < Suc n. g (f (Suc n)) = g (f i))
        then have yi (Suc n) = g (f (Suc n)) using b4 by force
        then have yi (Suc n) \in g'(f'(\{k. k \leq Suc n\})) \cup A by blast
        moreover have yi'\{k.\ k \leq n\} \subseteq g'(f'(\{k.\ k \leq Suc\ n\})) \cup A using d1 by
fastforce
        moreover have \bigwedge k. k \leq Suc \ n \longleftrightarrow (k \leq n \lor k = Suc \ n) by linarith
        moreover then have yi'\{k. \ k \leq Suc \ n\} = yi'\{k. \ k \leq n\} \cup \{yi \ (Suc \ n)\}
by fastforce
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
      ged
    qed
  qed
```

```
have \forall \alpha'. g'(I \alpha') \subseteq h'(I \alpha') \land h'(I \alpha') \subseteq g'(I \alpha') \cup A
  proof
   \mathbf{fix}\ \alpha'\!\!::\!'U\ rel
   have g'(I \alpha') \subseteq h'(I \alpha')
   proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in g'(I \alpha')
      then obtain \beta where d1: \beta < o \alpha' \land a = g \beta using a 1 by blast
      show a \in h'(I \alpha')
      proof (cases \exists n. \beta = o f n)
       assume \exists n. \beta = o f n
       then obtain n where e1: \beta = o f n by blast
       then have e2: a = g(f n) \wedge h \beta = yi n using d1 b9 a3 by blast
       obtain P where e3: P = (\lambda \ i. \ i \le n \land g \ (f \ n) = g \ (f \ i)) by blast
       obtain k where k = (LEAST i. P i) by blast
       moreover have P n using e3 by blast
       ultimately have P \ k \land (\forall \ i. \ P \ i \longrightarrow k \le i) using LeastI Least-le by metis
       then have k \leq n \wedge g (f n) = g (f k) \wedge \neg (\exists i < k. g (f k) = g (f i))
          using e3 by (metis leD less-le-trans less-or-eq-imp-le)
       then have a = yi \ k \land f \ k \le o \ f \ n \ using \ e2 \ b4 \ b7 \ by \ fastforce
       moreover then have f k < o \alpha'
       using e1 d1 by (metis ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
       ultimately have f k \in I \ \alpha' \land h \ (f k) = a \ using \ a1 \ b7 \ b9 \ ordIso-iff-ordLeq
by blast
        then show ?thesis by blast
      next
       assume \neg (\exists n. \beta = o f n)
       then have h \beta = g \beta using b5 by simp
       then show ?thesis using d1 a1 by force
      qed
   qed
   moreover have h'(I \alpha') \subseteq g'(I \alpha') \cup A
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a
     assume a \in h'(I \alpha')
      then obtain \beta where d1: \beta < o \alpha' \land a = h \beta using a1 by blast
      show a \in g'(I \alpha') \cup A
      proof (cases \exists n. \beta = o f n)
       assume \exists n. \beta = o f n
       then obtain n where e1: \beta = o f n by blast
       then have a = yi n using d1 b9 by blast
       then have a \in g'(f'(\{k.\ k \le n\})) \cup A using b10 by blast
       moreover have \forall k. k \leq n \longrightarrow f k \in I \alpha'
       proof (intro allI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ k
          assume k \leq n
          then have f k \le o f n using b7 by blast
          then show f k \in I \alpha' using e1 \ a1 \ d1
              using ordIso-symmetric ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordLeq-ordLess-trans by
```

```
fast force
        qed
        ultimately show ?thesis by blast
        assume \neg (\exists n. \beta = o f n)
        then show ?thesis using d1 a1 b5 by force
      qed
    qed
    ultimately show g'(I \alpha') \subseteq h'(I \alpha') \wedge h'(I \alpha') \subseteq g'(I \alpha') \cup A by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha' . \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \alpha' \longrightarrow \omega \text{-} ord \leq o |h'(I \alpha')|
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha' :: 'U rel
    assume \omega-ord \leq o \alpha'
    then have I \alpha m \subseteq I \alpha'
      using a 1 b1 by (smt mem-Collect-eq not-ordLess-ordIso ordIso-symmetric
         ordLeq\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLess\text{-}or\text{-}ordIso\ ordLeq\text{-}ordLess\text{-}trans\ ordLeq\text{-}transitive\ subset}I)
    moreover have f'UNIV \subseteq I \ \alpha m \ using \ b1 \ a1
       {\bf using} \ b6 \ nat Leq-on-ord Less-nat Leq \ ord Iso-ord Less-trans \ ord Less-ord Iso-trans 
by fastforce
    ultimately have h'(f'UNIV) \subseteq h'(I \alpha') by blast
    then have |h'(f'UNIV)| \le o |h'(I \alpha')| by simp
    moreover have \omega-ord \leq o |h'(f'UNIV)|
    proof -
      have \forall n. h(f n) = yi n \text{ using } b7 b9 \text{ } ordIso\text{-}iff\text{-}ordLeq by } blast
      then have yi'UNIV \subseteq h'(f'UNIV) by (smt\ imageE\ image-eqI\ subset-eq)
      then have |yi'UNIV| \le o |h'(f'UNIV)| by simp
      moreover have \omega-ord \leq o |yi'UNIV|
      proof (cases finite (g'(f'UNIV)))
        assume e1: finite(g'(f'UNIV))
        obtain J where e3: J = \{n. \exists i < n. g (f n) = g (f i)\} by blast
        have (\forall m. \exists n>m. n \notin J) \longrightarrow False
        proof
          assume f1: \forall m. \exists n>m. n \notin J
          obtain w where f2: w = (\lambda \ m. \ SOME \ n. \ n > m \land n \notin J) by blast
          have f3: \forall m. w m > m \land w m \notin J
          proof
            \mathbf{fix} \ m
             show w m > m \land w m \notin J using f1 f2 someI-ex[of \lambda n. n>m \land n \notin J
J] by metis
          qed
          obtain p where f_4: p = (\lambda \ k :: nat. (w^k) \ \theta) by blast
          have f5: \forall k. k \neq 0 \longrightarrow p k \notin J
          proof
            \mathbf{fix} \ k
            show k \neq 0 \longrightarrow p \ k \notin J
            proof (induct k)
              show 0 \neq 0 \longrightarrow p \ 0 \notin J \ \text{by} \ blast
            next
```

```
assume k \neq 0 \longrightarrow p \ k \notin J
            show Suc \ k \neq 0 \longrightarrow p \ (Suc \ k) \notin J \ using f3 f4 \ by \ simp
          qed
        qed
        have \forall j. \forall i < j. p i < p j
        proof
          \mathbf{fix} \ j
          show \forall i < j. p i 
          proof (induct j)
            show \forall i < 0. p i  by <math>blast
          next
            \mathbf{fix} j
            assume \forall i < j. p i 
            moreover have p \ j  using f3 f4 by force
             ultimately show \forall i < Suc j. p i < p (Suc j) by (metis less-antisym
less-trans)
          qed
        qed
        then have inj p unfolding inj-on-def by (metis nat-neq-iff)
        then have \neg finite (p'UNIV) using finite-imageD by blast
        moreover obtain P where f\theta: P = p'\{k, k \neq 0\} by blast
        moreover have UNIV = \{0\} \cup \{k:: nat. \ k \neq 0\} by blast
        moreover then have p'UNIV = p'\{0\} \cup P \land finite(p'\{0\}) using f6 by
fast force
        ultimately have f7: \neg finite P  using finite-UnI by metis
        have \forall n \in P. \forall m \in P. g(fn) = g(fm) \longrightarrow n = m
        proof (intro ballI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ n \ m
          assume g1: n \in P and g2: m \in P and g3: g(fn) = g(fm)
          have n < m \longrightarrow False
          proof
            assume n < m
            moreover then have m \notin J using g2 f5 f6 by blast
            ultimately show False using g3 e3 by force
          qed
          moreover have m < n \longrightarrow False
          proof
            assume m < n
            moreover then have n \notin J using g1 f5 f6 by blast
            ultimately show False using g3 e3 by force
          qed
          ultimately show n = m by force
        then have inj-on (g \circ f) P unfolding inj-on-def by simp
        then have \neg finite ((g \circ f) `UNIV) using f?
            by (metis finite-imageD infinite-iff-countable-subset subset-UNIV sub-
set-image-iff)
        moreover have (g \circ f)'UNIV = g'(f'UNIV) by force
```

```
ultimately show False using e1 by simp
       qed
       then obtain m where \forall n>m. n \in J by blast
       then have \forall n>m. yi n=xi n using e3 b4 by force
        then have e4: xi\{n. n>m\} \subseteq yi'UNIV by (metis image-Collect-subsetI
rangeI)
       have e5: |xi'\{n. \ n>m\}| = o |\{n. \ n>m\}| using b3 by (metis card-of-image
image-inv-f-f ordIso-iff-ordLeg)
        have finite \{n. \ n \le m\} \land (\neg \ finite \ (UNIV::nat \ set)) \land \{n. \ n \le m\} \cup \{n. \ n \le m\} 
n > m = UNIV by force
       then have \neg finite \{n. \ n>m\} using finite-UnI by metis
        then have |xi'\{n. n>m\}| = o \omega-ord using e5 by (meson card-of-UNIV)
card-of-nat
         finite-iff-cardOf-nat ordIso-transitive ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)
       then show ?thesis using e4
         by (metis finite-subset infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq ordIso-natLeq-infinite1)
       assume \neg finite (g'(f'UNIV))
       moreover have g'(f'UNIV) \subseteq yi'UNIV
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ a
         assume a \in g'(f'UNIV)
         then obtain n where e1: a = g (f n) by blast
         obtain P where e3: P = (\lambda \ i. \ i \le n \land g \ (f \ n) = g \ (f \ i)) by blast
         obtain k where k = (LEAST i. P i) by blast
         moreover have P n using e3 by blast
         ultimately have P \ k \land (\forall \ i. \ P \ i \longrightarrow k \le i) using Least Least-le by
metis
         then have g(f n) = g(f k) \land \neg (\exists i < k. g(f k) = g(f i))
          using e3 by (metis leD less-le-trans less-or-eq-imp-le)
         then have yi k = a using e1 b4 b7 by fastforce
         then show a \in yi'UNIV by blast
       qed
       ultimately have \neg finite (yi'UNIV) using finite-subset by metis
       then show ?thesis using infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
   ultimately show \omega-ord \leq o |h'(I \alpha')| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow h \alpha = h \beta
  proof (intro allI impI)
   fix \alpha::'U rel and \beta::'U rel
   assume c1: \alpha = o \beta
   show h \alpha = h \beta
   proof (cases \exists n. \alpha = o f n)
     assume \exists n. \alpha = o f n
   moreover then have \exists n. \beta = ofn using c1 ordIso-transitive ordIso-symmetric
by metis
```

```
moreover have \forall n. (\alpha = o f n) = (\beta = o f n) using c1 ordIso-transitive
ordIso-symmetric by metis
                     ultimately show h \alpha = h \beta using b5 by simp
             \mathbf{next}
                    assume \neg (\exists n. \alpha = o f n)
                   moreover then have \neg (\exists n. \beta = of n) using c1 ordIso-transitive by metis
                     ultimately show h \alpha = h \beta using b5 c1 a3 by simp
              qed
       qed
       ultimately show ?thesis by blast
        assume \neg (\exists \alpha :: 'U rel. \omega - ord \leq o \alpha)
      then show ?thesis using a3 by blast
qed
lemma lem-Shinf-N-ne:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set
assumes CCR \ r and Ps \subseteq SCF \ r
shows \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps \neq \{\}
proof -
      obtain E: 'U \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set } \Rightarrow 'U \text{ set } where E = (\lambda \text{ a } A. \text{ SOME } A'. A' \in \mathcal{E} \text{ r a } A
Ps) by blast
        moreover have \forall a A. \exists A'. A' \in \mathcal{E} \ r \ a A \ Ps \ using assms lem-Shinf-E-ne[of
r Ps] by blast
        ultimately have b1: \forall a A. E a A \in \mathcal{E} \ r \ a A \ Ps \ by (meson some I-ex)
        have \exists g::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U. \ (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ `\{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \ |Field \ r = g \ \ \}\}
r|\}) \wedge
                           (\forall \alpha' ::' U \ rel. \ \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \ \alpha' \land \alpha' \leq o \ | Field \ r | \longrightarrow \omega \text{-} ord \leq o \ | g \ `\{\gamma, \gamma < o \ \alpha'\}|
) \
                           (\forall \alpha \beta. \ \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow g \ \alpha = g \ \beta)
       \operatorname{\mathbf{proof}}(cases\ \omega\text{-}ord\ \leq o\ |Field\ r|)
             assume c1: \omega-ord <0 |Field r|
             moreover have Card-order |Field r| \land |Field r| \le o |Field r| by simp
             ultimately obtain g\theta::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U where
                                          c2: Field r \subseteq g0 ' \{\gamma. \ \gamma < o \mid Field \ r \mid \}
                           and c3: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow g0 \alpha = g0 \beta
                           using c1 lem-card-setcv-inf-stab[of |Field r| Field r| by blast
             have Field r \neq \{\} using c1 by (metis finite.emptyI infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq)
             then obtain a\theta where a\theta \in Field \ r by blast
             moreover obtain t where t = (\lambda \ a. \ if \ (a \in Field \ r) \ then \ a \ else \ a\theta) by blast
             moreover obtain g1 where g1 = (\lambda \alpha. t (g0 \alpha)) by blast
             ultimately have c4: Field r \subseteq g1'\{\gamma : \gamma < o | Field | r| \}
                                                      and c5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow g1 \alpha = g1 \beta and c6: g1'UNIV \subseteq Field
r using c2 c3 by force+
             obtain I where c7: I = (\lambda \alpha' :: 'U rel. \{\alpha :: 'U rel. \alpha < o \alpha' \}) by blast
             then obtain g where c8: (\forall \alpha'. g1'(I \alpha') \subseteq g'(I \alpha') \land g'(I \alpha') \subseteq g1'(I \alpha') \cup g'(I \alpha') \cap g'(I \alpha') 
(Field \ r))
                                   and c9: \forall \alpha'. \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \alpha' \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o |g'(I \alpha')|
                                  and c10: (\forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha = g \beta) using c1 c5 lem-oseq-fin-inj[of
```

```
I Field r q1] by blast
     have g1'(I \mid Field \mid r \mid) \subseteq Field \mid r \text{ using } c6 \text{ by } blast
     then have g' \{ \gamma, \gamma < o | Field r | \} \subseteq Field r \text{ using } c7 c8 \text{ by } blast
     moreover have Field r \subseteq g' \{ \gamma, \gamma < o \mid Field \mid r \mid \} using c4 c7 c8 by force
     ultimately have \omega-ord \leq o |Field r| \longrightarrow Field r = g'\{ \gamma, \gamma < o |Field r| \} by
blast
     then show ?thesis using c7 c9 c10 by blast
     assume \neg \omega-ord \leq o |Field r|
     moreover then have \forall \alpha' :: 'U \ rel. \ \neg \ (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha' \land \alpha' \leq o \ |Field \ r| \ ) using
ordLeq-transitive by blast
     moreover have \exists g::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U. \ (\forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha = g \beta) by force
     ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
  then obtain q::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ where
           b4: \omega-ord \leq o |Field r| \longrightarrow Field r = g ' \{ \gamma::'U rel. \gamma < o |Field r| \}
      and b4': \forall \alpha'::'U \ rel. \ \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha' \land \alpha' \leq o \ |Field \ r| \longrightarrow \omega-ord \leq o \ |g' \ \{\gamma, \gamma\}|
\langle o \alpha' \rangle
      and b5: \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow g \alpha = g \beta by blast
  obtain F::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set where b6: F = (\lambda \ \alpha \ A. \ E \ (q \ \alpha) \ A) by
  then have \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = 0 \beta \longrightarrow F \alpha = F \beta \text{ using } b5 \text{ by } fastforce
  then obtain f::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set \ where \ b7: f \in \mathcal{T} \ F
     unfolding \mathcal{T}-def using lem-ordseq-rec-sets[of F {}] by clarsimp
  have b8: Well-order |Field r| by simp
  have \mathcal{N} r Ps \neq \{\}
  proof -
     have c\theta: \forall \alpha A. A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow F \ \alpha A \in SF \ r  using b6 \ b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}-def
     have c1: \forall \alpha A. A \subseteq F \alpha A using b6 b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}-def by simp
     have c2: \forall \alpha A. (g \alpha \in Field \ r \longrightarrow g \alpha \in F \alpha A) using b6 b1 unfolding
\mathcal{E}-def by blast
    have c3: \forall \alpha A. A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ |A| \longrightarrow escl \ r \ A \ (F \ \alpha \ A) \subseteq (F \ \alpha \ A)
\wedge clterm (Restr r (F \alpha A)) r
       using b6 b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}-def by blast
     have c4: \forall \alpha A. A \in SF r \longrightarrow
                   (\forall a \in A. \ r \ ``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A \lor r \ ``\{a\} \cap (F \ \alpha \ A - w\text{-}dncl \ r \ A) \neq A
{})
       using b6 b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}-def by blast
     have c6: \forall \alpha A. A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow CCR \ (Restr \ r \ (F \ \alpha A))
                 using b6 b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}\text{-}def by blast
     have c7: \forall \alpha A. (|A| < o \omega - ord \longrightarrow |F \alpha A| < o \omega - ord) \land (\omega - ord \le o |A| \longrightarrow ord)
|F \alpha A| \leq o |A|
                 using b6 b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}\text{-}def by blast
     have c8: \forall \alpha A. A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}p \ r \ Ps \ A \ (F \ \alpha A) using b6 b1 unfolding
\mathcal{E}-def \mathcal{E}p-def by blast
     have c10: \forall \alpha A. A \in SF \ r \longrightarrow ((\exists y. (F \alpha A) - dncl \ r A \subseteq \{y\}) \longrightarrow (Field)
r \subseteq dncl \ r \ (F \ \alpha \ A)))
       using b6 b1 unfolding \mathcal{E}-def by blast
```

```
have c1': \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}1 r \alpha using b7 b8 c1 lem-Shinf-N1[of f
F[r] by blast
   have c5': \forall \alpha. Well-order \alpha \longrightarrow f \in \mathcal{N}5 r \alpha using b7 b8 c0 lem-Shinf-N5[of f
F[r] by blast
   have f \in \mathcal{N}1 r | Field r | using b7 b8 c1 lem-Shinf-N1 [of f F r] by blast
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}2 r | Field r | using b7 b8 lem-Shinf-N2 [of f F r] by blast
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}3 r |Field r| using b7 b8 c1 c3 c5 ' lem-Shinf-N3[of f F
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}4 r | Field r| using b7 b8 c1 c4 c5' lem-Shinf-N4[of f F
r] by blast
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}5 \ r \ | Field \ r |  using b7 \ b8 \ c0 \ lem-Shinf-N5[of f F \ r]  by
blast
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}6 r | Field r| using b7 b8 c1 c6 c5' lem-Shinf-N6[of f F
r] by blast
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}7 r | Field r| using b7 b8 c1 c7 lem-Shinf-N7[of f F r]
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}8 \ r \ Ps \ | Field \ r | using b7 \ b8 \ c1 \ c7 \ c8 \ c5' \ lem-Shinf-N8[of
f F r Ps] by blast
    moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}9 r | Field r| using b7 b4 c1 c2 lem-Shinf-N9[of f F g
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}10 \ r \ | Field \ r | using b7 b8 c1 c10 c5' lem-Shinf-N10[of
f F r by metis
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}11 \ r \ | Field \ r | using b7 \ b8 \ c1 \ c10 \ c5' \ lem-Shinf-N11[of
f F r by metis
   moreover have f \in \mathcal{N}12 r | Field r| using b7 c1' c2 b4 b4' lem-Shinf-N12[of
f F r g by blast
   moreover have \forall \alpha \beta. \alpha = o \beta \longrightarrow f \alpha = f \beta \text{ using } b7 \text{ unfolding } T\text{-}def \text{ by}
blast
   ultimately show ?thesis unfolding N-def by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-wrankrel-eq: wrank-rel r A0 \alpha \Longrightarrow \alpha = 0 \beta \Longrightarrow wrank-rel r A0 \beta
proof -
 assume a1: wrank-rel r A0 \alpha and a2: \alpha = o \beta
 then obtain B where B \in wbase \ r \ A0 \land |B| = o \ \alpha \land ( \ \forall \ B' \in wbase \ r \ A0. \ |B|
\langle o | B' | ) unfolding wrank-rel-def by blast
  moreover then have |B| = o \beta using a by (metis ordIso-transitive)
  ultimately show wrank-rel r A0 \beta unfolding wrank-rel-def by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-wrank-wrankrel:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A0::'U \text{ set}
shows wrank-rel r A\theta (wrank r A\theta)
proof
 have b1: wbase r A \theta \neq \{\} using lem-wdn-range-lb[of A \theta r] unfolding wbase-def
by blast
  obtain Q where b2: Q = \{ \alpha::'U \text{ rel. } \exists A \in wbase \ r \ A0. \ \alpha = o \ |A| \}  by blast
```

```
have b3: \forall A \in wbase \ r \ A0. \ \exists \ \alpha \in Q. \ \alpha \leq o \ |A|
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ A
   assume A \in wbase \ r \ A0
   then have |A| \in Q \land |A| = o |A| using b2 ordIso-symmetric by force
   then show \exists \alpha \in Q. \alpha \leq o |A| using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
  qed
  then have Q \neq \{\} using b1 by blast
 then obtain \alpha where b4: \alpha \in Q \land (\forall \alpha'. \alpha' < o \alpha \longrightarrow \alpha' \notin Q) using wf-ordLess
wf-eq-minimal[of ordLess] by blast
 moreover have \forall \alpha' \in Q. Card-order \alpha' using b2 using ordIso-card-of-imp-Card-order
  ultimately have \forall \alpha' \in Q. \neg (\alpha' < o \alpha) \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \alpha' by simp
 then have b5: \alpha \in Q \land (\forall \alpha' \in Q. \alpha \leq o \alpha') using b4 by blast
 then obtain A where b6: A \in wbase\ r\ A0 \land |A| = o\ \alpha \ using\ b2\ ordIso-symmetric
  moreover have \forall B \in wbase \ r \ A0. \ |A| \leq o \ |B|
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ B
   assume B \in wbase \ r \ A\theta
   then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' \in Q \land \alpha' \leq o |B| using b3 by blast
   moreover then have |A| = o \alpha \wedge \alpha \leq o \alpha' using b5 b6 by blast
    ultimately show |A| \leq o |B| using ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordLeq-transitive by
blast
  qed
  ultimately have wrank-rel r A0 \alpha unfolding wrank-rel-def by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding wrank-def by (metis someI2)
ged
lemma lem-wrank-uset:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel and } A\theta::'U \text{ set}
shows \exists A \in wbase \ r \ A0. |A| = o \ wrank \ r \ A0 \land (\forall B \in wbase \ r \ A0. |A| \le o \ |B|
 using lem-wrank-wrankrel unfolding wrank-rel-def by blast
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-wrank-uset-mem-bnd}\colon
fixes r::'U rel and A0 B::'U set
assumes B \in wbase \ r \ A0
shows wrank r A \theta \le o |B|
proof -
 obtain A where A \in wbase \ r \ A0 \land |A| = o \ wrank \ r \ A0 \land ( \ \forall \ A' \in wbase \ r \ A0.
|A| \le o |A'|) using assms lem-wrank-uset by blast
 moreover then have |A| \leq o |B| using assms by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
qed
lemma lem-wrank-cardord: Card-order (wrank r A\theta)
proof -
  obtain A where A \in wbase \ r \ A0 \ \land |A| = o \ wrank \ r \ A0 \ using \ lem-wrank-uset
```

```
by blast
 then show Card-order (wrank r A0) using Card-order-ordIso2 card-of-Card-order
\mathbf{by} blast
qed
lemma lem-wrank-ub: wrank r A \theta \le o |A \theta|
  using lem-wdn-range-lb[of A0 r] lem-wrank-uset-mem-bnd unfolding wbase-def
by blast
lemma lem-card-un2-bnd: \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow |A| \leq o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow |B| \leq o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow |A \cup B|
\leq o \alpha
proof
 assume \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and |A| \leq o \alpha and |B| \leq o \alpha
 moreover have |\{A, B\}| \le o \ \omega-ord using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq ordLess-imp-ordLeq
by blast
 ultimately have || || \{A, B\}| < o \alpha using lem-card-un-bnd[of \{A, B\}] ordLeq-transitive
by blast
 then show |A \cup B| \le o \ \alpha \ \text{by } simp
lemma lem-card-un2-lsbnd: \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow |A| < o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow |B| < o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow |A \cup B|
< o \alpha
proof -
  assume b1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and b2: |A| < o \alpha and b3: |B| < o \alpha
  have \neg finite A \longrightarrow |A \cup B| < o \alpha
  proof
   assume c1: \neg finite A
   show |A \cup B| < o \alpha
   proof (cases |A| \le o|B|)
     assume |A| \leq o |B|
    then have |A \cup B| = o|B| using c1 by (metis card-of-Un-infinite card-of-ordLeq-finite)
     then show ?thesis using b3 by (metis ordIso-ordLess-trans)
   next
     assume \neg |A| \leq o |B|
     then have |B| \le o|A| by (metis card-of-Well-order ordLeq-total)
     then have |A \cup B| = o |A| using c1 by (metis card-of-Un-infinite)
     then show ?thesis using b2 by (metis ordIso-ordLess-trans)
   qed
  qed
  moreover have \neg finite B \longrightarrow |A \cup B| < o \alpha
  proof
   assume c1: \neg finite B
   show |A \cup B| < o \alpha
   proof (cases |A| \le o |B|)
     assume |A| \leq o |B|
     then have |A \cup B| = o |B| using c1 by (metis card-of-Un-infinite)
     then show ?thesis using b3 by (metis ordIso-ordLess-trans)
   next
     assume \neg |A| \le o |B|
```

```
then have |B| \le o |A| by (metis card-of-Well-order ordLeq-total)
    then have |A \cup B| = o|A| using c1 by (metis card-of-Un-infinite card-of-ordLeq-finite)
     then show ?thesis using b2 by (metis ordIso-ordLess-trans)
    qed
  ged
  moreover have finite A \wedge finite B \longrightarrow |A \cup B| < o \alpha
  proof
   assume finite A \wedge finite B
   then have finite (A \cup B) by blast
   then show |A \cup B| < o \alpha using b1
    by (meson card-of-nat finite-iff-cardOf-nat ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
  qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
lemma lem-wrank-un-bnd:
fixes r::'U rel and S::'U set set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: \forall A \in S. wrank r A \leq o \alpha and a2: |S| \leq o \alpha and a3: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
shows wrank r (| \ | \ S) \leq o \alpha
proof -
  obtain h where b1: h = (\lambda \ A \ B. \ B \in wbase \ r \ A \land |B| = o \ wrank \ r \ A) by blast
  obtain Bi where b2: Bi = (\lambda A. SOME B. h A B) by blast
  have \forall A \in S. \exists B. h A B using b1 lem-wrank-uset[of r] by blast
  then have \forall A \in S. h \land (Bi \land A) using b \not\supseteq b y \ (metis \ some I-ex)
  then have b3: \forall A \in S. (Bi \ A) \in wbase \ r \ A \land |Bi \ A| = o \ wrank \ r \ A \ using \ b1 \ by
blast
  then have b4: \forall A \in S. |Bi A| \leq o \alpha using assms ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
  obtain S' where b5: S' = Bi ' S by blast
  then have |S'| \le o |S| \land (\forall X \in S', |X| \le o \alpha) using b4 by simp
  moreover then have |S'| \le o \alpha using a2 by (metis ordLeq-transitive)
  ultimately have |\bigcup S'| \le o \ \alpha \text{ using } a3 \text{ lem-card-un-bnd}[of S' \ \alpha] \text{ by } blast
  moreover obtain B where b6: B = (\bigcup A \in S. Bi A) by blast
  ultimately have b7: |B| \le o \alpha using b5 by simp
  have \forall A \in S. A \subseteq w-dncl r (Bi A) using b3 unfolding wbase-def by blast
  then have \bigcup S \subseteq w-dncl r B using b6 lem-wdn-mon[of - B r] by blast
  then have B \in wbase \ r \ (\bigcup S) unfolding wbase-def by blast
  then have wrank \ r \ (\bigcup S) \le o \ |B| using lem\text{-}wrank\text{-}uset\text{-}mem\text{-}bnd by blast
  then show ?thesis using b7 by (metis ordLeq-transitive)
qed
lemma lem-wrank-un-bnd-stab:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel } and S::'U \text{ set } set and \alpha::'U \text{ rel }
assumes a1: \forall A \in S. wrank r A < o \alpha and a2: |S| < o \alpha and a3: stable \alpha
shows wrank r (\bigcup S) < o \alpha
proof -
  obtain h where b1: h = (\lambda A B. B \in wbase \ r A \land |B| = o \ wrank \ r A) by blast
  obtain Bi where b2: Bi = (\lambda A. SOME B. h A B) by blast
 have \forall A \in S. \exists B. h A B using b1 lem-wrank-uset[of r] by blast
```

```
then have \forall A \in S. h A (Bi A) using b2 by (metis some I-ex)
  then have b3: \forall A \in S. (Bi \ A) \in wbase \ r \ A \land |Bi \ A| = o \ wrank \ r \ A \ using \ b1 \ by
blast
 then have b4: \forall A \in S. |Bi A| < o \alpha using assms ordIso-ordLess-trans by blast
  obtain S' where b5: S' = Bi 'S by blast
  then have |S'| \le o |S| \land (\forall X \in S', |X| < o \alpha) using b4 by simp
  moreover then have |S'| < o \alpha using a2 by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
  ultimately have ||JS'| < o \alpha using a3 lem-card-un-bnd-stab[of \alpha S'] by blast
  moreover obtain B where b6: B = (\bigcup A \in S. Bi A) by blast
  ultimately have b7: |B| < o \alpha using b5 by simp
  have \forall A \in S. A \subseteq w-dncl r (Bi A) using b3 unfolding wbase-def by blast
  then have \bigcup S \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ B \ using \ b6 \ lem \text{-}wdn\text{-}mon[of - B \ r] \ by \ blast
  then have B \in wbase \ r \ (\bigcup S) unfolding wbase-def by blast
  then have wrank r(\bigcup S) \le o|B| using lem-wrank-uset-mem-bnd by blast
  then show ?thesis using b7 by (metis ordLeg-ordLess-trans)
qed
lemma lem-wrank-fw:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and K::'U \text{ set} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel}
assumes a1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and a2: wrank r K \leq o \alpha and a3: \forall b \in K. wrank r
(r''\{b\}) \leq o \alpha
shows wrank r (\bigcup b \in K. (r''\{b\})) \leq o \alpha
proof -
  obtain h where b1: h = (\lambda \ A \ B. \ B \in wbase \ r \ A \land |B| = o \ wrank \ r \ A) by blast
  obtain Bi where b2: Bi = (\lambda \ b. \ SOME \ B. \ h \ (r''\{b\}) \ B) by blast
  have \forall b \in K. \exists B. h (r''\{b\}) B using b1 lem-wrank-uset[of r] by blast
  then have \forall b \in K. h(r''\{b\})(Bi\ b) using b2 by (metis\ some I-ex)
  then have b3: \forall b \in K. (Bi\ b) \in wbase\ r\ (r''\{b\}) \land |Bi\ b| = o\ wrank\ r\ (r''\{b\})
using b1 by blast
 obtain BK where b4: BK \in wbase\ r\ K \land |BK| = o\ wrank\ r\ K using lem\text{-}wrank\text{-}uset|of
r K by blast
  obtain BU where b5: BU = BK \cup (\bigcup b \in (K \cap BK)). Bi b) by blast
  obtain S where b6: S = (\bigcup b \in K. (r''\{b\})) by blast
  have b7: \forall b \in K \cap BK. (r''\{b\}) \subseteq w-dncl r BU
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ b
   assume b \in K \cap BK
   then have Bi \ b \subseteq BU \land (Bi \ b) \in wbase \ r \ (r``\{b\}) \ using \ b3 \ b5 \ by \ blast
    then show r``\{b\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ BU \ using \ lem\text{-}wdn\text{-}mon \ unfolding \ wbase\text{-}def
by blast
  qed
  have BU \in wbase \ r \ S
  proof -
   have \forall b \in K. r``\{b\} \subseteq dncl \ r \ BU
   proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ b
      assume d1: b \in K
      show r``\{b\} \subseteq dncl \ r \ BU
      proof (cases b \in BK)
```

```
assume b \in BK
       then show ?thesis using d1 b7 unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
       assume e1: b \notin BK
       have \forall t \in r''\{b\}. t \notin dncl \ r \ BU \longrightarrow False
       proof (intro ballI impI)
          \mathbf{fix} \ t
          assume f1: t \in r''\{b\} and f2: t \notin dncl \ r \ BU
          then have f3: t \notin dncl \ r \ BK using b5 unfolding dncl\text{-}def by blast
          moreover have b \in w-dncl r BK using d1 b4 unfolding wbase-def by
blast
          ultimately have f_4: \forall F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ b \ t. \ F \cap BK \neq \{\} unfolding w-dncl-def
by blast
          obtain f where f5: f = (\lambda \ n :: nat. \ if \ (n = 0) \ then \ b \ else \ t) by blast
          then have f \theta = b \wedge f 1 = t by simp
          moreover then have \forall i < 1. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r using f1 by simp
          ultimately have f \in rpth \ r \ b \ t \ 1 \land \{b, t\} = f \ `\{i. \ i \leq 1\}
             using f5 unfolding rpth-def by force
          then have \{b, t\} \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ b \ t \ unfolding \ \mathcal{F}\text{-}def \ by \ blast
          then have \{b, t\} \cap BK \neq \{\} using f4 by blast
          then show False using e1 f3 unfolding dncl-def by blast
        qed
        then show ?thesis by blast
      qed
   \mathbf{qed}
   then have c1: S \subseteq dncl \ r \ BU using b6 by blast
   moreover have \forall x \in S. \ \forall c. \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ x \ c. \ c \notin dncl \ r \ BU \longrightarrow F \cap BU \neq \{\}
   proof (intro ballI allI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ x \ c \ F
      assume d1: x \in S and d2: F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ x \ c and d3: c \notin dncl \ r \ BU
      then obtain b where d4: b \in K \land (b,x) \in r using b6 by blast
      show F \cap BU \neq \{\}
      proof (cases \ b \in BK)
       assume b \in BK
       then have x \in w-dncl r BU using b7 d4 by blast
       then show ?thesis using d2 d3 unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
      next
        assume e1: b \notin BK
       have e2: b \in w-dncl \ r \ BK \ using \ d4 \ b4 \ unfolding \ wbase-def \ by \ blast
       obtain f n where e3: f \in rpth \ r \ x \ c \ n and e4: F = f \ \{i. \ i \le n\}
          using d2 unfolding \mathcal{F}-def by blast
       obtain g where e5: g = (\lambda \text{ k::} nat. \text{ if } (k=0) \text{ then } b \text{ else } (f(k-1))) by blast
       then have g \in rpth \ r \ b \ c \ (Suc \ n)
          using e3 d4 unfolding rpth-def
       by (simp, metis Suc-le-eq diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero gr0-implies-Suc less-Suc-eq-le)
       then have g '\{i.\ i \leq (Suc\ n)\} \in \mathcal{F}\ r\ b\ c \land c \notin dncl\ r\ BK
          using d3 b5 unfolding \mathcal{F}-def dncl-def by blast
       then have g' \{i. i \leq (Suc \ n)\} \cap BK \neq \{\} \text{ using } e2 \text{ unfolding } w\text{-}dncl\text{-}def
by blast
```

```
moreover have g '\{i.\ i \leq (Suc\ n)\} \subseteq F \cup \{b\}
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ a
         assume a \in g '\{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}
         then obtain i where i \leq (Suc \ n) \land a = g \ i \ by \ blast
         then show a \in F \cup \{b\} using e4 e5 by force
       qed
       ultimately have (F \cup \{b\}) \cap BK \neq \{\} by blast
       then show ?thesis using e1 b5 by blast
     qed
   qed
   ultimately have S \subseteq w-dncl r BU unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
   then show ?thesis unfolding wbase-def by blast
  qed
  moreover have |BU| < o \alpha
  proof -
   have c1: |BK| \le o \alpha using b4 a2 by (metis ordIso-ordLeq-trans)
   then have |K \cap BK| \le o \alpha by (meson card-of-mono1 inf-le2 ordLeq-transitive)
   then have |Bi'(K \cap BK)| \le o \alpha by (metis card-of-image ordLeq-transitive)
    moreover have \forall b \in (K \cap BK). |Bi \ b| \le o \ \alpha using b3 a3 by (meson Int-iff
ordIso-ordLeq-trans)
     ultimately have |\bigcup (Bi \cdot (K \cap BK))| \le o \alpha using a lem-card-un-bnd of
Bi'(K\cap BK) \alpha by blast
    then show |BU| \le o \ \alpha \ \text{using} \ c1 \ b5 \ a1 \ lem-card-un2-bnd[of \ \alpha \ BK \bigcup (Bi \ `(K))
\cap BK))] by simp
  qed
 ultimately have wrank r \le o \alpha using b6 lem-wrank-uset-mem-bnd ordLeq-transitive
 then show ?thesis using b6 by blast
qed
lemma lem-wrank-fw-stab:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and K::'U \text{ set} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel}
assumes a1: \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \land stable \ \alpha \ and \ a2: wrank \ r \ K < o \ \alpha \ and \ a3: \forall \ b \in K.
wrank r(r''\{b\}) < o \alpha
shows wrank r (\bigcup b \in K. (r''\{b\})) < o \alpha
proof -
  obtain h where b1: h = (\lambda \ A \ B. \ B \in wbase \ r \ A \land |B| = o \ wrank \ r \ A) by blast
  obtain Bi where b2: Bi = (\lambda \ b. \ SOME \ B. \ h \ (r''\{b\}) \ B) by blast
  have \forall b \in K. \exists B. h (r''\{b\}) B using b1 lem-wrank-uset[of r] by blast
  then have \forall b \in K. h(r''\{b\})(Bi\ b) using b2 by (metis\ someI-ex)
  then have b3: \forall b \in K. (Bi\ b) \in wbase\ r\ (r''\{b\}) \land |Bi\ b| = o\ wrank\ r\ (r''\{b\})
using b1 by blast
 obtain BK where b4: BK \in wbase\ r\ K \land |BK| = o\ wrank\ r\ K\ using\ lem-wrank-uset[of
r K] by blast
  obtain BU where b5: BU = BK \cup (\bigcup b \in (K \cap BK). Bi \ b) by blast
  obtain S where b6: S = (\{b\}) \in K. (r''\{b\}) by blast
  have b7: \forall b \in K \cap BK. (r''\{b\}) \subseteq w-dncl r BU
 proof
```

```
\mathbf{fix} \ b
   assume b \in K \cap BK
   then have Bi \ b \subseteq BU \land (Bi \ b) \in wbase \ r \ (r''\{b\}) \ using \ b3 \ b5 \ by \ blast
    then show r''\{b\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl \ r \ BU \ using \ lem\text{-}wdn\text{-}mon \ unfolding \ wbase\text{-}def
by blast
  ged
  have BU \in wbase \ r \ S
  proof -
   have \forall b \in K. r``\{b\} \subseteq dncl \ r \ BU
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ b
     assume d1: b \in K
     show r``\{b\} \subseteq dncl \ r \ BU
     proof (cases b \in BK)
       assume b \in BK
       then show ?thesis using d1 b7 unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
       assume e1: b \notin BK
       have \forall t \in r``\{b\}. t \notin dncl \ r \ BU \longrightarrow False
       proof (intro ballI impI)
          assume f1: t \in r``\{b\} and f2: t \notin dncl \ r \ BU
          then have f3: t \notin dncl \ r \ BK using b5 unfolding dncl\text{-}def by blast
          moreover have b \in w-dncl r BK using d1 b4 unfolding wbase-def by
blast
          ultimately have f_4: \forall F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ b \ t. \ F \cap BK \neq \{\} unfolding w-dncl-def
by blast
          obtain f where f5: f = (\lambda n::nat. if (n = 0) then b else t) by blast
          then have f \theta = b \wedge f 1 = t by simp
          moreover then have \forall i < 1. (f i, f (Suc i)) \in r using f1 by simp
          ultimately have f \in rpth \ r \ b \ t \ 1 \land \{b, t\} = f \ \{i. \ i \leq 1\}
             using f5 unfolding rpth-def by force
          then have \{b, t\} \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ b \ t \ unfolding \ \mathcal{F}\text{-}def \ by \ blast
          then have \{b, t\} \cap BK \neq \{\} using f_4 by blast
          then show False using e1 f3 unfolding dncl-def by blast
        qed
       then show ?thesis by blast
      qed
   qed
   then have c1: S \subseteq dncl \ r \ BU using b6 by blast
   moreover have \forall x \in S. \ \forall c. \ \forall F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ x \ c. \ c \notin dncl \ r \ BU \longrightarrow F \cap BU \neq \{\}
   proof (intro ballI allI impI)
      \mathbf{fix} \ x \ c \ F
      assume d1: x \in S and d2: F \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ x \ c and d3: c \notin dncl \ r \ BU
      then obtain b where d4: b \in K \land (b,x) \in r using b6 by blast
      show F \cap BU \neq \{\}
      proof (cases b \in BK)
       assume b \in BK
       then have x \in w-dncl r BU using b7 d4 by blast
```

```
then show ?thesis using d2 d3 unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
     next
       assume e1: b \notin BK
       have e2: b \in w-dncl \ r \ BK \ using \ d4 \ b4 \ unfolding \ wbase-def \ by \ blast
       obtain f n where e3: f \in rpth \ r \ x \ c \ n and e4: F = f \ (i. \ i \le n)
         using d2 unfolding \mathcal{F}-def by blast
      obtain g where e5: g = (\lambda \text{ k::} nat. \text{ if } (k=0) \text{ then } b \text{ else } (f (k-1))) by blast
       then have g \in rpth \ r \ b \ c \ (Suc \ n)
         using e3 d4 unfolding rpth-def
      by (simp, metis Suc-le-eq diff-Suc-Suc diff-zero gr0-implies-Suc less-Suc-eq-le)
       then have g : \{i. \ i \leq (Suc \ n)\} \in \mathcal{F} \ r \ b \ c \land c \notin dncl \ r \ BK
         using d3 b5 unfolding \mathcal{F}-def dncl-def by blast
       then have g' \{i. i \leq (Suc n)\} \cap BK \neq \{\} using e2 unfolding w-dncl-def
by blast
       moreover have g '\{i. i \leq (Suc\ n)\} \subseteq F \cup \{b\}
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ a
         assume a \in g '\{i. i \leq (Suc n)\}
         then obtain i where i \leq (Suc \ n) \land a = g \ i \ by \ blast
         then show a \in F \cup \{b\} using e \not= e5 by force
       ultimately have (F \cup \{b\}) \cap BK \neq \{\} by blast
       then show ?thesis using e1 b5 by blast
     qed
   \mathbf{qed}
   ultimately have S \subseteq w-dncl r BU unfolding w-dncl-def by blast
   then show ?thesis unfolding wbase-def by blast
  ged
 moreover have |BU| < o \alpha
 proof -
  have c1: |BK| < o \alpha using b4 a2 by (metis ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
  then have |K \cap BK| < o \alpha by (meson Int-iff card-of-mono1 ordLeq-ordLess-trans
subsetI)
  then have |Bi'(K \cap BK)| < o \alpha by (metis card-of-image ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
    moreover have \forall b \in (K \cap BK). |Bi \ b| < o \ \alpha  using b3 \ a3 by (meson Int-iff
ordIso-ordLess-trans)
   ultimately have |\bigcup (Bi \cdot (K \cap BK))| < o \alpha \text{ using } a1 \text{ lem-card-un-bnd-stab}[of ABK]
\alpha \ Bi'(K \cap BK)] by blast
   then show |BU| < o \alpha using c1 b5 a1 lem-card-un2-lsbnd[of \alpha BK | ] (Bi '(K
\cap BK))] by simp
 qed
 ultimately have wrank r S < o \alpha using b6 lem-wrank-uset-mem-bnd[of BU r S]
by (metis ordLeg-ordLess-trans)
 then show ?thesis using b6 by blast
qed
lemma lem-wnb-neib:
fixes r::'U \text{ rel} and \alpha::'U \text{ rel}
assumes a1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and a2: \alpha < o ||r||
```

```
shows \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists \ b \in Mwn \ r \ \alpha. \ (a,b) \in r^*
proof
  \mathbf{fix} \ a
  assume b1: a \in Field r
  have \neg (\exists b \in Mwn \ r \ \alpha. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{*}) \longrightarrow False
   assume c1: \neg (\exists b \in Mwn \ r \ \alpha. \ (a,b) \in r^*)
   obtain B where c2: B = (r^*) ``\{a\} by blast
   obtain S where c3: S = ((\lambda n. (r^n)``\{a\})`(UNIV::nat\ set)) by blast
   have c_4: \forall b \in B. wrank r(r''\{b\}) \leq o \alpha
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ b
     assume d1: b \in B
     then obtain k where b \in (r^k) "{a} using c2 rtrancl-power by blast
      moreover have \forall n. (r \widehat{\phantom{n}} n) " \{a\} \subseteq Field r
      proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       show (r^n) "\{a\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b1
       by (induct n, force, meson FieldI2 Image-singleton-iff relpow-Suc-E subsetI)
      qed
      ultimately have b \in Field \ r \ by \ blast
      moreover have b \notin Mwn \ r \ \alpha  using d1 c1 c2 by blast
      ultimately have b \in Field \ r - Mwn \ r \ \alpha \ by \ blast
      moreover have Well-order \alpha using assms unfolding ordLess-def by blast
      moreover have Well-order (wrank r (r''{b})) using lem-wrank-cardord by
(metis card-order-on-well-order-on)
      ultimately show wrank r(r''\{b\}) \le o \alpha unfolding Mwn-def by simp
   ged
   have \forall n. wrank r ((\widehat{r} \cap n) \cdot \{a\}) \leq o \alpha
   proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ n\theta
      show wrank r((r^n\theta)^n\{a\}) \leq o \alpha
      proof (induct \ n\theta)
       have |\{a\}| \le o \ \omega-ord using card-of-Well-order finite.emptyI
          infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq natLeq-Well-order ordLeq-total by blast
       then have |(r^{\circ}\theta)''\{a\}| < o \omega \text{-} ord by simp
       then show wrank r((r^{\circ}\theta)^{\circ}\{a\}) \leq o \alpha
         using a1 lem-wrank-ub[of r (r^{\circ}\theta) "{a}] by (metis ordLeq-transitive)
      next
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       assume e1: wrank r((r^n)``\{a\}) \leq o \alpha
       obtain K where e2: K = (r^n)''\{a\} by blast
       obtain S' where e3: S' = ((\lambda b. r''\{b\}) 'K) by blast
       have wrank r K \leq o \alpha using e1 e2 by blast
       moreover have \forall A \in S'. wrank r A \leq o \alpha
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ A
         assume A \in S'
         then obtain b where b \in K \land A = r''\{b\} using e3 by blast
```

```
moreover then have b \in B using c2 e2 rtrancl-power by blast
         ultimately show wrank r A \leq o \alpha using c \neq b y blast
       qed
       ultimately have e4: wrank r ([ ] S') \leq o \alpha
         using a1 e3 lem-wrank-fw[of \alpha r K] by fastforce
       have (r^{\sim}(Suc\ n)) "\{a\} = r" using e2 by force
       moreover have r''K = \bigcup S' using e3 by blast
       ultimately have (r^{\sim}(Suc\ n)) "\{a\} = \bigcup S' using e2 by blast
       then show wrank r((r^{\sim}(Suc\ n))^{\circ}\{a\}) \leq o\ \alpha using e4 by simp
     qed
   qed
   then have \forall A \in S. wrank r A \leq o \alpha using c3 by blast
   moreover have B = \bigcup S using c2 \ c3 \ rtrancl-power
     apply (simp)
     by blast
   moreover have |S| < o \alpha
   proof -
     have |S| \le o |UNIV::nat \ set| using c3 by simp
     moreover have |UNIV::nat\ set| = o\ \omega-ord using card-of-nat by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis using a1 ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordLeq-transitive by
blast
   ged
    ultimately have wrank r B \leq o \alpha using a lem-wrank-un-bnd [of S r \alpha] by
blast
    moreover obtain B0 where B0 \in wbase \ r \ B \land |B0| = o \ wrank \ r \ B \ using
lem-wrank-uset[of r B] by blast
   ultimately have c5: B \subseteq dncl \ r \ B0 \land |B0| \le o \ \alpha
     unfolding wbase-def w-dncl-def using ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
     have (\{\{\}::'U\ rel\}\ < o\ ||r||) using a2 by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans ord-
Less-Well-order-simp ozero-def ozero-ordLeq)
   then have c6: CCR \ r \ using \ lem-Rcc-eq1-31 by blast
   obtain B1 where c7: B1 = B0 \cap Field \ r \ by \ blast
     then have c8: |B1| \le o \ \alpha \ \text{using} \ c5 \ \text{by} \ (meson IntE \ card-of-mono1 \ or-
dLeq-transitive subsetI)
   have B1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ c7 \ by \ blast
   moreover have \forall x \in Field \ r. \ \exists y \in B1. \ (x, y) \in r \hat{*}
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume e1: x \in Field \ r
      then obtain y where (x,y) \in r^* \wedge (a,y) \in r^* using c6 b1 unfolding
CCR-def by blast
     moreover then have y \in B unfolding c2 by blast
    moreover then obtain y' where y' \in B0 \land (y,y') \in r^* \text{ using } c5 \text{ unfolding}
dncl-def by blast
     ultimately have y' \in B0 \land (x,y') \in r \hat{*}  by force
     moreover then have x = y' \lor y' \in Field \ r \ using \ lem-rtr-field[of \ x \ y'] by
blast
     ultimately have y' \in B1 \land (x,y') \in r^* \text{ using } e1 \ c7 \text{ by } blast
     then show \exists y \in B1. (x, y) \in r^* by blast
```

```
qed
   ultimately have B1 \in SCF \ r unfolding SCF-def by blast
   then have scf r \leq o |B1| using lem\text{-}scf\text{-}uset\text{-}mem\text{-}bnd by blast
   then have scf \ r \le o \ \alpha using c8 by (metis ordLeq-transitive)
   moreover have ||r|| = o \ scf \ r \ using \ c6 \ lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of \ r] by blast
  ultimately show False using a2 by (metis not-ordLeq-ordLess ordIso-ordLeq-trans)
  qed
  then show \exists b \in Mwn \ r \ \alpha. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{\ } * by \ blast
qed
lemma lem-wnb-neib3:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes a1: \omega-ord < o ||r|| and a2: stable ||r||
shows \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists \ b \in Mwnm \ r. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{*}
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ a
 assume b1: a \in Field \ r
 have \neg (\exists b \in Mwnm \ r. \ (a,b) \in r^*) \longrightarrow False
   assume c1: \neg (\exists b \in Mwnm \ r. (a,b) \in r^*
   obtain B where c2: B = (r^*) ``\{a\} by blast
   obtain S where c3: S = ((\lambda n. (r^n))''\{a\}) '(UNIV::nat set)) by blast
   have c_4: \forall b \in B. wrank r(r''\{b\}) < o ||r||
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ b
     assume d1: b \in B
     then obtain k where b \in (r^{\hat{k}}) "{a} using c2 rtrancl-power by blast
     moreover have \forall n. (r^{n}) "\{a\} \subseteq Field\ r
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ n
       show (r^n) "\{a\} \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b1
       by (induct n, force, meson FieldI2 Image-singleton-iff relpow-Suc-E subsetI)
     qed
     ultimately have b \in Field \ r \ by \ blast
     moreover have b \notin Mwnm \ r  using d1 \ c1 \ c2 by blast
     ultimately have b \in Field \ r - Mwnm \ r \ by \ blast
     moreover have Well-order (wrank r(r''\{b\})) using lem-wrank-cardord by
(metis card-order-on-well-order-on)
    moreover have Well-order ||r|| using lem-rcc-cardord unfolding card-order-on-def
by blast
     ultimately show wrank r(r''\{b\}) < o ||r|| unfolding Mwnm-def by simp
   have \forall n. wrank \ r \ ((r^n)``\{a\}) < o \ ||r||
   proof
     fix n\theta
     show wrank r((\widehat{r} n\theta)``\{a\}) < o ||r||
     proof (induct \ n\theta)
       have |\{a\}| \le o \ \omega-ord using card-of-Well-order finite.emptyI
         infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq natLeq-Well-order ordLeq-total by blast
```

```
then have |(r^{\circ}0)^{\circ}\{a\}| \leq o \ \omega-ord by simp
      then show wrank r((r^{\circ}\theta)``\{a\}) < o ||r||
        using a1 lem-wrank-ub[of r (r^0) "{a}] by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
     next
      \mathbf{fix} \ n
      assume e1: wrank r((r^n)``\{a\}) < o ||r||
      obtain K where e2: K = (r^n)''\{a\} by blast
      obtain S' where e3: S' = ((\lambda b. r''\{b\}), K) by blast
      have wrank r K < o ||r|| using e1 e2 by blast
      moreover have \forall A \in S'. wrank r A < o ||r||
      proof
        \mathbf{fix} A
        assume A \in S'
        then obtain b where b \in K \land A = r''\{b\} using e3 by blast
        moreover then have b \in B using c2 e2 rtrancl-power by blast
        ultimately show wrank r A < o ||r|| using c4 by blast
       qed
       moreover have \omega-ord \leq o ||r|| using a1 by (metis ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
       ultimately have e4: wrank r ([] S') < o ||r||
        using e3 a2 lem-wrank-fw-stab[of ||r|| r K] by fastforce
      have (r^{\sim}(Suc\ n))^{\sim}\{a\} = r^{\sim}K using e2 by force
      moreover have r''K = \bigcup S' using e3 by blast
      ultimately have (r^{(suc\ n))}(a) = \bigcup S' using e2 by blast
      then show wrank r ((r^{\sim}(Suc\ n))\ ``\{a\}) < o\ ||r|| using e4 by simp
     \mathbf{qed}
   qed
   then have \forall A \in S. wrank r A < o ||r|| using c3 by blast
   moreover have B = \bigcup S using c2 c3 rtrancl-power
     apply (simp)
     by blast
   moreover have |S| < o ||r||
   proof -
     have |S| \le o |UNIV::nat \ set| using c3 by simp
     moreover have |UNIV::nat\ set| = o\ \omega-ord using card-of-nat by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis using a1 ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordLeq-ordLess-trans
by blast
   qed
    ultimately have wrank r B < o ||r|| using a2 lem-wrank-un-bnd-stab[of S r
||r|| by blast
   moreover obtain B0 where B0 \in wbase \ r \ B \land |B0| = o \ wrank \ r \ B \ using
lem-wrank-uset[of r B] by blast
   ultimately have c5: B \subseteq dncl \ r \ B0 \land |B0| < o \ ||r||
     unfolding wbase-def w-dncl-def
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) mem-Collect-eq ordIso-ordLess-trans subsetI sub-
set-trans)
    have (\{\{\}::'U\ rel\}) < o\ ||r||) using a1 by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans ord-
Less-Well-order-simp ozero-def ozero-ordLeg)
   then have c6: CCR r using lem-Rcc-eq1-31 by blast
   obtain B1 where c7: B1 = B0 \cap Field \ r by blast
```

```
then have c8: |B1| < o ||r|| using c5 by (meson IntE card-of-mono1 or-
dLeq-ordLess-trans\ subset I)
   have B1 \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ c7 \ by \ blast
   moreover have \forall x \in Field \ r. \ \exists y \in B1. \ (x, y) \in r^*
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ x
     assume e1: x \in Field \ r
      then obtain y where (x,y) \in r^* \wedge (a,y) \in r^* using c6\ b1 unfolding
CCR-def by blast
     moreover then have y \in B unfolding c2 by blast
    moreover then obtain y' where y' \in B0 \land (y,y') \in r* using c5 unfolding
dncl-def by blast
     ultimately have y' \in B0 \land (x,y') \in r \hat{} * by force
     moreover then have x = y' \lor y' \in Field \ r \ using \ lem-rtr-field[of \ x \ y'] by
blast
     ultimately have y' \in B1 \land (x,y') \in r * using e1 c7 by blast
     then show \exists y \in B1. (x, y) \in r \hat{} * by blast
   qed
   ultimately have B1 \in SCF \ r unfolding SCF-def by blast
   then have scf r \le o |B1| using lem\text{-}scf\text{-}uset\text{-}mem\text{-}bnd by blast
   then have scf r < o ||r|| using c8 by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans)
   moreover have ||r|| = o \ scf \ r \ using \ c6 \ lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of \ r] by blast
   ultimately show False by (metis not-ordLess-ordIso ordIso-symmetric)
 qed
  then show \exists b \in Mwnm \ r. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{} \text{ by } blast
qed
lemma lem-scfgew-ncl: \omega-ord \leq o scf r \Longrightarrow \neg Conelike r
proof (cases CCR \ r)
 assume \omega-ord \leq o \ scf \ r and CCR \ r
 then have \omega-ord \leq o ||r|| using lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of r]
   by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-transitive)
  then have \forall a. \neg (\|r\| \le o |\{a\}|) using finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq
   ordLess-ordLeq-trans[of - \omega-ord ||r||] not-ordLess-ordLeq[of - ||r||] by blast
 then show \neg Conelike r using lem-Rcc-eq2-12[of r] by metis
 assume \omega-ord \leq o scf r and \neg CCR r
 then show \neg Conelike r unfolding CCR-def Conelike-def by fastforce
qed
lemma lem-wnb-P-ncl-reg-grw:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: \omega-ord <0 scf r and a3: regularCard (scf r)
shows \exists P \in SCF \ r. \ (\forall \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha < o \ scf \ r \longrightarrow (\forall a \in P. \ \alpha < o \ wrank \ r \ (r''\{a\})
proof -
 have ¬ Conelike r using a2 lem-scfgew-ncl ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
 moreover obtain P where b1: P = \{ a \in Field \ r. \ scf \ r \leq o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ ``\{a\}) \}
} by blast
```

```
ultimately have stable (scf r)
    using a1 a3 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl lem-scf-cardord regularCard-stable by blast
  then have stable ||r|| using a lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq stable-ordIso1 by blast
  moreover have \omega-ord < o ||r|| using at all lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of r]
    by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
 ultimately have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists \ b \in Mwnm \ r. \ (a, \ b) \in r \hat{\ } * using \ lem-wnb-neib3
by blast
 moreover have Mwnm r \subseteq P unfolding b1 Mwnm-def using a1 lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of
r
    by (clarsimp, metis ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordIso-symmetric)
 moreover have P \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b1 by blast
  ultimately have P \in SCF \ r unfolding SCF-def by blast
 moreover have \forall \alpha ::' U \text{ rel. } \alpha < o \text{ scf } r \longrightarrow (\forall a \in P. \alpha < o \text{ wrank } r \text{ } (r''\{a\}))
   using b1 ordLess-ordLeq-trans by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-wnb-P-ncl-nreg:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: \omega-ord \leq o \ scf \ r and a3: \neg \ regularCard \ (scf \ r)
shows \exists Ps::'U \text{ set set. } Ps \subseteq SCF \ r \land |Ps| < o \text{ scf } r
                     \land (\forall \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha < o \ scf \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \ P \in Ps. \ \forall \ a \in P. \ \alpha < o \ wrank)
r\ (r``\{a\})\ ))
proof -
  have \neg Conelike r using a2 lem-scfgew-ncl by blast
  then have b1: \neg finite (Field (scf r)) using a1 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl by blast
 have b2: \land \alpha::'U \text{ rel. } \omega\text{-ord} \leq o \ \alpha \Longrightarrow \alpha < o \text{ scf } r \Longrightarrow \{ \ a \in Field \ r. \ \alpha < o \text{ wrank} \}
r (r ``\{a\}) \} \in SCF r
 proof -
    fix \alpha :: 'U \ rel
    assume c1: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and c2: \alpha < o scf r
  have \alpha < o ||r|| using a1 c2 lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLess-ordLeq-trans
by blast
    then have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Mwn \ r \ \alpha. \ (a,b) \in r \hat{\ } * using \ c1 \ lem-wnb-neib
   then show \{a \in Field \ r. \ \alpha < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ ``\{a\}) \} \in SCF \ r \ unfolding \ SCF-def
Mwn-def by blast
  qed
  have b3: \omega-ord < o \ scf \ r
 proof -
    have c1: \neg stable (scf r) using b1 a3 lem-scf-cardord stable-regularCard by
blast
     have \omega-ord \leq o scf r using b1 lem-inford-qe-w lem-scf-cardord unfolding
card-order-on-def by blast
   moreover have \omega-ord = o scf r \longrightarrow False using c1 stable-ordIso stable-natLeq
by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
  obtain S::'U rel set where b4: |S| < o \ scf \ r and b5: \forall \alpha \in S. \alpha < o \ scf \ r
```

```
and b6: \forall \alpha: ('U rel). \ \alpha < o \ scf \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \beta \in S. \ \alpha \leq o \ \beta)
      using b1 a3 lem-scf-cardord[of r] lem-card-nreg-inf-osetlm[of scf r] by blast
   obtain S1::'U rel set where b7: S1 = { \alpha \in S. \omega-ord \leq o \alpha } by blast
   obtain f::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set where b8: f = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ \{ \ a \in Field \ r. \ \alpha < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a \in Field \ r. \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ a < o \ wrank \ r \ )
 "{a}) }) by blast
   obtain Ps::'U set set where b9: Ps = f 'S1 by blast
   have Ps \subseteq SCF \ r \ using \ b2 \ b5 \ b7 \ b8 \ b9 \ by \ blast
   moreover have |Ps| < o \ scf \ r
   proof -
      have |Ps| \le o |S1| using b9 by simp
      moreover have |S1| \le o |S| using b7 card-of-mono1[of S1 S] by blast
       ultimately show ?thesis using b4 ordLeq-ordLess-trans ordLeq-transitive by
blast
   qed
   moreover have \forall \alpha :: 'U \ rel. \ \alpha < o \ scf \ r \longrightarrow (\exists \ P \in Ps. \ \forall \ a \in P. \ \alpha < o \ wrank
r\left(r''\{a\}\right)
   proof (intro allI impI)
      fix \alpha::'U rel
      assume c1: \alpha < o \ scf \ r
      have \exists \alpha m :: (U rel). \omega - ord \leq o \alpha m \wedge \alpha \leq o \alpha m \wedge \alpha m < o scf r
      proof (cases \omega-ord \leq o \alpha)
          assume \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
          then show ?thesis using c1 ordLeq-reflexive unfolding ordLeq-def by blast
          assume \neg (\omega \text{-}ord \leq o \alpha)
       then have d1: \alpha \leq o \omega-ord using c1 natLeq-Well-order ordLess-Well-order-simp
              ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordLess-or-ordLeq by blast
          have isLimOrd (scf r)
            using b1 lem-scf-cardord[of r] card-order-infinite-isLimOrd[of scf r] by blast
          then obtain \alpha m: 'U rel where \omega-ord \leq o \alpha m \wedge \alpha m < o scf r
             using b3 lem-lmord-prec[of \omega-ord scf r] ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
          then show ?thesis using d1 ordLeq-transitive by blast
      then obtain \alpha m:'U rel where \omega-ord \leq o \alpha m \wedge \alpha \leq o \alpha m \wedge \alpha m < o scf r by
      moreover then obtain \beta::'U rel where \beta \in S \land \alpha m \leq o \beta using b6 by blast
      ultimately have c2: \alpha \leq o \beta and c3: \beta \in S1 using b7 ordLeq-transitive by
blast+
      obtain P where c4: P = f \beta by blast
      then have P \in Ps using c3 \ b9 by blast
    moreover have \forall a \in P. \alpha < o \ wrank \ r \ (r''\{a\}) \ using \ c2 \ c4 \ b8 \ ordLeq-ordLess-trans
by blast
      ultimately show \exists P \in Ps. \forall a \in P. \alpha < o wrank \ r (r``\{a\})  by blast
   ged
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
lemma lem-Wf-ext-arc:
```

```
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and f::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set and \alpha::'U rel and a::'U
assumes a1: scf \ r = o \ |Field \ r| and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps
    and a3: \forall \gamma::'U \text{ rel. } \gamma < o \text{ scf } r \longrightarrow (\forall a \in P. \ \gamma < o \text{ wrank } r \ (r``\{a\}))
    and a4: \omega-ord \leq o \alpha and a5: a \in f \alpha \cap P
shows \land \beta. \alpha < 0 \beta \land \beta < 0 | Field r | \land (\beta = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \beta) \Longrightarrow (r``\{a\} \cap \beta )
(W \ r f \ \beta) \neq \{\}
proof (elim conjE)
  fix \beta::'U rel
  assume b1: \alpha < o \beta and b2: \beta < o | Field r | and b3: \beta = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \beta
 have b4: \omega-ord \leq o \beta using b1 a4 by (metis ordLeq-ordLess-trans ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
  have b5: a \in (\mathfrak{L} f \beta) \cap P using b1 \ a5 unfolding \mathfrak{L}\text{-}def by blast
  show r``\{a\} \cap (\mathcal{W} \ r f \ \beta) \neq \{\}
  proof -
    have r''\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \beta) \lor (\ r''\{a\} \cap (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \beta)\neq\{\})
       using b2 b3 b5 a2 unfolding N-def N4-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
blast
    moreover have r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \beta) \longrightarrow False
    proof
       assume r``\{a\} \subseteq w\text{-}dncl\ r\ (\mathfrak{L}\ f\ \beta)
       then have \mathfrak{L} f \beta \in wbase \ r \ (r''\{a\}) \ unfolding \ wbase-def \ by \ blast
       then have d1: wrank r(r''\{a\}) \le o |\mathfrak{L} f \beta| using lem-wrank-uset-mem-bnd
by blast
         have \mathfrak{L} f \beta \subseteq f \beta using b2 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \mathfrak{L}\text{-}def using
ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
       then have |\mathfrak{L} f \beta| \leq o |f \beta| by simp
      moreover have |f \beta| \le o \beta using a2 b2 b4 unfolding N-def N7-def using
ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
      ultimately have wrank r(r''\{a\}) \le o \beta using d1 ordLeq-transitive by blast
      moreover have \beta < o \ wrank \ r \ (r \ ``\{a\}) \ using \ b2 \ b5 \ a1 \ a3 \ by \ (meson \ IntE
ordIso-symmetric ordLess-ordIso-trans)
       ultimately show False by (metis not-ordLeq-ordLess)
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  qed
qed
lemma lem-Wf-esc-pth:
fixes r::'U rel and Ps::'U set set and f::'U rel \Rightarrow 'U set and \alpha::'U rel
assumes a1: Refl r \land \neg finite r and a2: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps
    and a3: \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid and a4: \alpha < o \mid Field \mid r \mid
shows \bigwedge F. F \in SCF (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \Longrightarrow
              \forall a \in \mathcal{W} \ rf \ \alpha. \ \exists b \in (F \cap (\mathcal{W} \ rf \ \alpha)). \ (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (\mathcal{W} \ rf \ \alpha)) \hat{*}
proof -
  assume a5: F \in SCF (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
  show \forall a \in (\mathcal{W} \ rf \ \alpha). \ \exists b \in (F \cap (\mathcal{W} \ rf \ \alpha)). \ (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (\mathcal{W} \ rf \ \alpha)) \hat{*} 
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ a
    assume b1: a \in W \ rf \ \alpha
```

```
have b2: SF r = \{A. A \subseteq Field \ r\} using a1 unfolding SF-def refl-on-def
Field-def by fast
           moreover have f \alpha \subseteq Field r
            using a2 a4 unfolding N-def N5-def SF-def Field-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq
           ultimately have \forall x \in f \ \alpha. \ \exists y \in f \ \alpha \cap F. \ (x, y) \in (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) \hat{} *
                 using a5 unfolding SF-def SCF-def by blast
           then have b3: \forall x \in \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha. \exists y \in (f \ \alpha \cap F \cap \mathcal{Q} \ rf \ \alpha). (x, y) \in (Restr \ r \ (\mathcal{Q} \cap F \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap F \cap \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal
rf(\alpha))^*
                 using lem-der-qinv3[of (f \alpha) \cap Ff \alpha r] by blast
           have b \not= : Restr \ r \ (Q \ r \ f \ \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha))
                 using at a2 a3 a4 lem-der-inf-qw-restr-uset[of r f Ps \alpha] by blast
           moreover have a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha))
          proof -
                  have W r f \alpha \subseteq Field r using a2 a4 lem-qw-range ordLess-imp-ordLeg by
blast
                 then have W r f \alpha \in SF r using b2 by blast
                 then show ?thesis using b1 unfolding SF-def by blast
            ultimately obtain a' where b5: a' \in \mathcal{Q} \ r \ f \ \alpha \land (a, a') \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f))
\alpha))^*
                 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Field-def by blast
           then obtain b where b6: b \in (f \ \alpha \cap F \cap Q \ rf \ \alpha) \land (a', b) \in (Restr \ r \ (Q \ r) \cap F \cap Q \cap F)
(f \ \alpha)) * using b3 by blast
           then have b \in (F \cap (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \land (a, b) \in (Restr \ r \ (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } *
                 using b5 lem-QS-subs-WS[of r f \alpha] rtrancl-mono[of Restr r (Q r f \alpha) Restr
r (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \alpha)] by force
           then show \exists b \in (F \cap (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \alpha)). \ (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (\mathcal{W} \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \hat{} *  by blast
     qed
qed
lemma lem-Nf-lewfbnd:
assumes a1: f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps and a2: \alpha \leq o |Field r| and a3: \omega-ord \leq o |\mathfrak{L} f| \alpha
shows \omega-ord \leq o \alpha
proof -
       have \mathfrak{L} f \alpha \subseteq f \alpha using a1 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}1-def \mathfrak{L}-def using ord-
Less-imp-ordLeq by blast
     then have \omega-ord \leq o |f| \alpha | using a by (metis card-of-mono1 ordLeq-transitive)
     moreover have \alpha < o \omega - ord \longrightarrow |f \alpha| < o \omega - ord using a1 a2 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def
\mathcal{N}7-def by blast
      ultimately show ?thesis using a2 not-ordLess-ordLeq by force
qed
lemma lem-reg<br/>card-iso: \kappa = o \ \kappa' \Longrightarrow regularCard \ \kappa' \Longrightarrow regularCard \ \kappa
proof -
      assume a1: \kappa = o \kappa' and a2: regularCard \kappa'
      then obtain f where b1: iso \kappa \kappa' f unfolding ordIso-def by blast
     have \forall K. K \subseteq Field \ \kappa \land cofinal \ K \ \kappa \longrightarrow |K| = o \ \kappa
     proof (intro allI impI)
```

```
\mathbf{fix}\ K
   assume c1: K \subseteq Field \ \kappa \land cofinal \ K \ \kappa
   moreover then obtain K' where c2: K' = f ' K by blast
    ultimately have K' \subseteq Field \kappa' using b1 unfolding iso-def bij-betw-def by
blast
   moreover have cofinal K' \kappa'
   proof -
     have \forall a' \in Field \ \kappa' \ \exists b' \in K' \ a' \neq b' \land (a', b') \in \kappa'
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ a'
       assume a' \in Field \kappa'
        then obtain a where e1: a' = f \ a \land a \in Field \ \kappa  using b1 unfolding
iso-def bij-betw-def by blast
      then obtain b where e2: b \in K \land a \neq b \land (a, b) \in \kappa using c1 unfolding
cofinal-def by blast
       then have f b \in K' using c2 by blast
       moreover have a' \neq f b using e1 e2 c1 b1 unfolding iso-def bij-betw-def
inj-on-def by blast
       moreover have (a', f b) \in \kappa'
       proof -
         have (a,b) \in \kappa using e2 by blast
         moreover have embed \kappa \kappa' f using b1 unfolding iso-def by blast
        ultimately have (f a, f b) \in \kappa' using compat-def embed-compat by metis
         then show ?thesis using e1 by blast
       qed
       ultimately show \exists b' \in K'. a' \neq b' \land (a', b') \in \kappa' by blast
     then show ?thesis unfolding cofinal-def by blast
   qed
   ultimately have c3: |K'| = o \kappa' using a2 unfolding regular Card-def by blast
   have inj-on f K using c1 b1 unfolding iso-def bij-betw-def inj-on-def by blast
   then have bij-betw f K K' using c2 unfolding bij-betw-def by blast
   then have |K| = o |K'| using card-of-ordIsoI by blast
   then have |K| = o \kappa' using c3 ordIso-transitive by blast
   then show |K| = o \kappa using a ord Iso-symmetric ord Iso-transitive by blast
 qed
 then show regularCard \kappa unfolding regularCard-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-cardsuc-inf-qwreq: \neg finite A \Longrightarrow \kappa = o \ cardSuc \ |A| \Longrightarrow \omega - ord < o \ \kappa
\land regularCard \kappa
proof -
 assume a1: \neg finite A and a2: \kappa = o \ cardSuc \ |A|
 moreover then have regularCard (cardSuc |A|) using infinite-cardSuc-regularCard
by force
  ultimately have a3: regular Card \kappa using lem-reg card-iso ord Iso-transitive by
 have |A| < o \ cardSuc \ |A| by simp
 then have |A| < o \kappa using a 2 ord Iso-symmetric ord Less-ord Iso-trans by blast
```

```
moreover have \omega-ord \leq o |A| using a infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq by blast
  ultimately have \omega-ord < o \kappa using ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
  then show ?thesis using a3 by blast
qed
lemma lem-ccr-rcscf-struct:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes a1: Refl r and a2: CCR r and a3: \omega-ord <0 scf r and a4: regularCard
(scf r)
    and a5: scf r = o |Field r|
shows \exists Ps. \exists f \in \mathcal{N} r Ps.
          \forall \alpha. \ \omega \text{-}ord \leq o \ | \mathfrak{L} f \ \alpha | \land \alpha < o \ | Field \ r | \land isSuccOrd \ \alpha \longrightarrow
           CCR (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \land |Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)| < o \ |Field \ r|
        \land (\forall a \in W \ rf \ \alpha. \ wesc-rel \ rf \ \alpha \ a \ (wesc \ rf \ \alpha \ a))
proof -
  obtain P where b1: P \in SCF r
              and b2: \forall \alpha::'U \ rel. \ \alpha < o \ scf \ r \longrightarrow (\forall \ a \in P. \ \alpha < o \ wrank \ r \ (r``\{a\}))
    using a2 a3 a4 lem-wnb-P-ncl-reg-grw[of r] by blast
  then obtain f where b3: f \in \mathcal{N} r \{P\} using a1 a2 lem-Shinf-N-ne[of r \{P\}]
  moreover have \forall \alpha. \ \omega-ord \leq o \ |\mathfrak{L}f \ \alpha| \land \alpha < o \ |Field \ r| \land (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd
\alpha) \longrightarrow
           CCR (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \land |Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)| < o \ |Field \ r|
        \land (\forall a \in W \ rf \ \alpha. \ wesc-rel \ rf \ \alpha \ a \ (wesc \ rf \ \alpha \ a))
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid \wedge \alpha < o \mid Field \mid r \mid \wedge (\alpha = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \mid \alpha)
    then have c2: (f \alpha \cap P) \in SCF (Restr r (f \alpha))
      using b3 unfolding N-def N8-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
    have c3: \neg finite r using a2 a3 lem-scfgew-ncl lem-scf-ccr-scf-uset[of r]
      unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq finite-subset[of - r] by blast
    have CCR (Restr r (W r f \alpha)) using c1 c3 b3 a1 lem-der-inf-qw-restr-ccr[of
rf\{P\} \alpha by blast
  moreover have |Restr r(W r f \alpha)| < o |Field r| using c1 c3 b3 lem-der-inf-qw-restr-card of
rf\{P\} \alpha by blast
    moreover have \forall a \in W \ r \ f \ \alpha. wesc-rel r \ f \ \alpha \ a \ (wesc \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a)
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume a \in W \ r f \ \alpha
     then obtain b where d1: b \in (P \cap (W \ r f \ \alpha)) and d2: (a,b) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ r f \ \alpha))
rf(\alpha))^*
        using c1 c2 c3 b3 a1 lem-Wf-esc-pth[of r f \{P\} \alpha f \alpha \cap P] by blast
      moreover then have b \in (f \ \alpha) \cap P unfolding W-def by blast
         moreover have \omega-ord \leq o \alpha using c1 b3 lem-Nf-lewfbnd[of f r \{P\} \alpha]
ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
      ultimately have \forall \beta. \alpha < \alpha \beta \land \beta < \alpha | Field r | \land (\beta = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \beta)
\longrightarrow r \text{ `` } \{b\} \cap \mathcal{W} \ rf \beta \neq \{\}
        using b2 b3 a5 lem-Wf-ext-arc[of r f \{P\} P \alpha b] by blast
      then have wesc-rel r f \alpha a b using d1 d2 unfolding wesc-rel-def by blast
```

```
then have \exists b. wesc-rel \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a \ b \ by \ blast
      then show wesc-rel r f \alpha a \ (wesc \ r f \alpha \ a)
        using some I-ex[of \ \lambda \ b. \ wesc-rel \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a \ b] unfolding wesc-def by blast
    ultimately show CCR (Restr r (W r f \alpha))
            \wedge |Restr\ r\ (W\ r\ f\ \alpha)| < o\ |Field\ r|
            \land (\forall a \in W \ r \ f \ \alpha. \ wesc-rel \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a \ (wesc \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a)) by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-oint-infcard-sc-cf:
fixes \alpha \theta ::'a \text{ rel and } \kappa ::'U \text{ rel and } S ::'U \text{ rel set}
assumes a1: Card-order \kappa and a2: \omega-ord \leq o \kappa
    and a3: S = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha 0 \leq o \text{ } \alpha \wedge isSuccOrd \text{ } \alpha \wedge \alpha < o \text{ } \kappa\}
shows \forall \alpha \in S. \exists \beta \in S. \alpha < o \beta
proof
 fix \alpha
  assume b1: \alpha \in S
  then have \alpha < o \kappa using a3 by blast
  then obtain \beta where b2: sc-ord \alpha \beta using lem-sucord-ex by blast
  obtain \beta' where b\beta: \beta' = nord \beta by blast
  have b4: isSuccOrd \beta using b2 unfolding sc-ord-def using lem-ordint-sucord
by blast
  moreover have \beta = o \beta' using b2 b3 lem-nord-l unfolding sc-ord-def ord-
Less-def by blast
  ultimately have isSuccOrd \beta' using lem-osucc-eq by blast
  moreover have \beta' \in \mathcal{O} using b2 b3 lem-nordO-ls-r unfolding sc-ord-def by
blast
  moreover have \alpha\theta \leq o \beta' using b1 b2 b3 a3 unfolding sc-ord-def
    \mathbf{using}\ \mathit{lem-nord-le-r}\ \mathit{ordLeq-ordLess-trans}\ \mathit{ordLess-imp-ordLeq}\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{blast}
  moreover have \beta' < o \kappa
  proof -
    have \beta \leq o \kappa using b1 b2 a3 unfolding sc-ord-def by blast
    moreover have \beta = o \kappa \longrightarrow False
    proof
     assume \beta = o \kappa
     then have isSuccOrd \kappa using b4 lem-osucc-eq by blast
    moreover have isLimOrd \kappa using a 1 a 2 lem-ge-w-inford by (metis card-order-infinite-isLimOrd)
     moreover have Well-order \kappa using a1 unfolding card-order-on-def by blast
     ultimately show False using wo-rel.isLimOrd-def unfolding wo-rel-def by
blast
    qed
    ultimately have \beta < o \kappa using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
    then show ?thesis using b3 lem-nord-ls-l by blast
  qed
  moreover have \alpha < o \beta' using b2 b3 lem-nord-ls-r unfolding sc-ord-def by
  ultimately have \beta' \in S \land \alpha < o \beta' using a3 by blast
```

```
then show \exists \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \beta  by blast
qed
lemma lem-oint-infcard-gew-sc-cfbnd:
fixes \alpha \theta::'a rel and \kappa::'U rel and S::'U rel set
assumes a1: Card-order \kappa and a2: \omega-ord \leq o \kappa and a3: \alpha \theta < o \kappa and a4: \alpha \theta
= o \omega - ord
    and a5: S = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{O} :: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha 0 \leq o \ \alpha \land isSuccOrd \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \}
shows |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \alpha < o \ \kappa\}| \le o \ |S|
    \land (\exists f. (\forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \ rel \ set. \ \alpha 0 \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \ f \ \alpha \land f \ \alpha \in S))
proof -
 have |UNIV::nat\ set| < o\ \kappa using a3 a4 by (meson card-of-nat ordIso-ordLess-trans
ordIso-symmetric)
  then obtain N where N \subseteq Field \ \kappa \land |UNIV::nat \ set| = o \ |N|
    using internalize-card-of-ordLess[of UNIV::nat set \kappa] by force
  moreover obtain \alpha \theta' ::: 'U \text{ rel where } \alpha \theta' = |N| \text{ by } blast
   ultimately have b0: \alpha 0' = o \omega-ord using card-of-nat ordIso-symmetric or-
dIso-transitive by blast
 then have b\theta': \alpha\theta' < o \kappa using a3 a4 ordIso-symmetric ordIso-ordLess-trans by
  have b\theta'': \alpha\theta = o \alpha\theta' using b\theta a4 ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive by blast
  obtain S1 where b1: S1 = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha 0 \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa\} by blast
  obtain f where f = (\lambda \alpha :: 'U \text{ rel. SOME } \beta. \text{ sc-ord } \alpha \beta) by blast
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in S1. \exists \beta. sc\text{-}ord \alpha \beta \text{ using } b1 \text{ lem-sucord-ex by } blast
  ultimately have b2: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S1 \Longrightarrow sc\text{-}ord \alpha \ (f \ \alpha) \ using \ some I-ex \ by \ met is
  have b3: (nord \circ f) 'S1 \subseteq S
  proof
    fix \alpha
    assume \alpha \in (nord \circ f) 'S1
    then obtain \alpha' where c1: \alpha' \in S1 \wedge \alpha = nord (f \alpha') by force
    then have c2: sc-ord \alpha' (f \alpha') using b2 by blast
    then have c3: isSuccOrd (f \alpha') unfolding sc-ord-def using lem-ordint-sucord
by blast
     moreover have f \alpha' = o \alpha using c1 c2 lem-nord-l unfolding sc-ord-def
ordLess-def by blast
    ultimately have c4: isSuccOrd \alpha using lem-osucc-eq by blast
    have \alpha \theta \leq o \alpha' \wedge \alpha' < o \kappa using c1 b1 by blast
    then have c5: \alpha\theta \le o (f \alpha') \land (f \alpha') \le o \kappa
    using c1 b2 unfolding sc-ord-def using ordLeq-ordLess-trans ordLess-imp-ordLeq
by blast
    then have c\theta: \alpha\theta \leq o \alpha using c1 lem-nord-le-r by blast
    have c7: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} using c1 \ c2 \ lem-nordO-ls-r unfolding sc\text{-}ord\text{-}def by blast
    have (f \alpha') = o \kappa \longrightarrow False
    proof
      assume (f \alpha') = o \kappa
      then have isSuccOrd \kappa using c3 lem-osucc-eq by blast
    moreover have isLimOrd \kappa using a 1 a 2 lem-ge-w-inford by (metis card-order-infinite-isLimOrd)
     moreover have Well-order \kappa using a1 unfolding card-order-on-def by blast
     ultimately show False using wo-rel.isLimOrd-def unfolding wo-rel-def by
```

```
blast
   qed
   then have f \alpha' < o \kappa using c5 using ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
   then have \alpha < o \kappa using c1 lem-nord-ls-l by blast
   then show \alpha \in S using c4 \ c6 \ c7 \ a5 by blast
  qed
  moreover have inj-on (nord \circ f) S1
  proof -
   have \forall \alpha \in S1. \forall \beta \in S1. (nord \circ f) \alpha = (nord \circ f) \beta \longrightarrow \alpha = \beta
   proof (intro ballI impI)
     fix \alpha \beta
      assume d1: \alpha \in S1 and d2: \beta \in S1 and (nord \circ f) \alpha = (nord \circ f) \beta
      then have nord (f \ \alpha) = nord \ (f \ \beta) by simp
      moreover have Well-order (f \ \alpha) \land Well-order \ (f \ \beta)
       using d1 d2 b2 unfolding sc-ord-def ordLess-def by blast
      ultimately have d\beta: f \alpha = o f \beta using lem-nord-reg by blast
      have d4: sc\text{-}ord \ \alpha \ (f \ \alpha) \land sc\text{-}ord \ \beta \ (f \ \beta) using d1 \ d2 \ b2 by blast
      have Well-order \alpha \wedge Well-order \beta using d1 d2 b1 unfolding ordLess-def
      moreover have \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow False
      proof
       assume \alpha < o \beta
       then have f \alpha \leq o \beta \wedge \beta < o f \beta using d4 unfolding sc-ord-def by blast
        then show False using d3 using not-ordLess-ordIso ordLeq-ordLess-trans
by blast
      qed
      moreover have \beta < o \alpha \longrightarrow False
      proof
       assume \beta < o \alpha
       then have f \beta \leq o \alpha \wedge \alpha < o f \alpha using d4 unfolding sc-ord-def by blast
        then show False using d3 using not-ordLess-ordIso ordLeq-ordLess-trans
ordIso-symmetric by blast
      qed
      ultimately have \alpha = o \beta using ordIso-or-ordLess by blast
      then show \alpha = \beta using d1 d2 b1 lem-Oeq by blast
   qed
   then show ?thesis unfolding inj-on-def by blast
  ultimately have b4: |S1| \le o|S| using card-of-ordLeg by blast
  obtain S2 where b5: S2 = { \alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \alpha \theta } by blast
  have b6: |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |S1|
   obtain xi where c1: xi = (\lambda i::nat. ((nord \circ f)^{\sim}i) (nord \alpha 0')) by blast
   have c2: \forall i. xi i \in S1
   proof
      fix i\theta
      show xi \ i\theta \in S1
      proof (induct i0)
       have \alpha \theta' \leq o \ nord \ \alpha \theta'
```

```
using b0' lem-nord-l unfolding ordLess-def using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by
blast
       then have \alpha\theta \leq o \ nord \ \alpha\theta' using b\theta'' ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
       moreover then have nord \alpha \theta' < o \kappa \land nord \alpha \theta' \in \mathcal{O}
         using b0' lem-nordO-ls-l lem-nord-ls-l ordLeg-ordLess-trans by blast
       ultimately show xi \ \theta \in S1 using c1 \ b1 by simp
     next
       \mathbf{fix} i
       assume xi i \in S1
       then have (nord \circ f) (xi \ i) \in S using b3 by blast
       then show xi (Suc i) \in S1 using c1 \ b1 \ a5 by simp
   qed
   have c3: \forall j. \forall i < j. xi i < o xi j
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ j\theta
     show \forall i < j\theta. xi \ i < o \ xi \ j\theta
     proof (induct\ j\theta)
       show \forall i < 0. xi \ i < o \ xi \ 0 by blast
     next
       \mathbf{fix} \ j
       assume e1: \forall i < j. xi \ i < o \ xi \ j
       show \forall i < Suc j. xi i < o xi (Suc j)
       proof(intro allI impI)
         \mathbf{fix} i
         assume f1: i < Suc j
           have xi \ j < o \ nord \ (f \ (xi \ j)) using c2 \ b2 unfolding sc\text{-}ord\text{-}def using
lem-nord-ls-r by blast
         then have xi j < o xi (Suc j) using c1 by simp
         \textbf{moreover then have} \ i < j \longrightarrow xi \ i < o \ xi \ (Suc \ j) \ \textbf{and} \ i = j \longrightarrow xi \ i < o
xi (Suc j)
           using e1 ordLess-transitive by blast+
         moreover have i < j \lor i = j using f1 by force
         ultimately show xi \ i < o \ xi \ (Suc \ j) by blast
       qed
     qed
   qed
     then have \forall i j. xi i = xi j \longrightarrow i = j by (metis linorder-negE-nat ord-
Less-irreflexive)
   then have inj xi unfolding inj-on-def by blast
   moreover have xi 'UNIV \subseteq S1 using c2 by blast
   ultimately show |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |S1| using card\text{-}of\text{-}ordLeq by blast
  qed
  then have ¬ finite S1 using infinite-iff-card-of-nat by blast
  moreover have |S1| \le o |S2| \lor |S2| \le o |S1|
   using card-of-Well-order ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordLess-or-ordLeq by blast
  ultimately have |S1 \cup S2| \le o |S1| \lor |S1 \cup S2| \le o |S2|
   by (metis card-of-Un1 card-of-Un-ordLeq-infinite card-of-ordLeq-finite sup.idem)
  moreover have |S2| \le o|S|
```

```
proof -
    have |UNIV::nat\ set| \le o\ |S| using b4 b6 ordLeq-transitive by blast
    moreover have |S2| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
    proof -
      have \forall \ \alpha \in S2. \ \alpha < o \ \omega - ord \land \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \ using \ b5 \ a4 \ ordLess-ordIso-trans by
blast
      then have d1: \forall \alpha \in S2. \ \alpha = o \ natLeq-on \ (card \ (Field \ \alpha)) \land \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \ using
lem-wolew-nat by blast
      obtain A where d2: A = natLeq-on 'UNIV by blast
     moreover obtain f where d3: f = (\lambda \alpha::'U \text{ rel. } natLeq\text{-}on (card (Field <math>\alpha)))
by blast
      ultimately have f 'UNIV \subseteq A by force
      moreover have inj-on f S2
      proof -
        have \forall \alpha \in S2. \ \forall \beta \in S2. \ f \alpha = f \beta \longrightarrow \alpha = \beta
        proof (intro ballI impI)
          fix \alpha \beta
          assume \alpha \in S2 and \beta \in S2 and f \alpha = f \beta
           then have \alpha = o \ natLeq-on \ (card \ (Field \ \alpha)) and \beta = o \ natLeq-on \ (card
(Field \beta)
            and natLeg-on\ (card\ (Field\ \alpha)) = natLeg-on\ (card\ (Field\ \beta))
            and \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \land \beta \in \mathcal{O} using d1 d3 by blast+
          moreover then have \alpha = 0 \beta
            by (metis (no-types, lifting) ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive)
          ultimately show \alpha = \beta using lem-Oeq by blast
        qed
        then show ?thesis unfolding inj-on-def by blast
      ged
      ultimately have |S2| \le o |A| using card-of-ordLeq[of S2 A] by blast
      moreover have |A| \leq o |UNIV::nat \ set| using d2 by simp
      ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
    qed
    ultimately show ?thesis using ordLeq-transitive by blast
  ultimately have b7: |S1 \cup S2| \le o|S| using b4 ordLeq-transitive by blast
  have \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\} \subseteq S1 \cup S2 \text{ using } b1 \text{ } b5 \text{ } a1 \text{ } a3 \text{ by } fastforce
  then have |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}| \le o |S1 \cup S2| by simp
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha 0 \leq o \alpha \land \alpha < o \kappa \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o (nord \circ f)
\alpha \wedge (nord \circ f) \ \alpha \in S
  proof (intro ballI impI)
    fix \alpha::'U \ rel
    assume c1: \alpha \in \mathcal{O} and c2: \alpha \theta \leq o \alpha \land \alpha < o \kappa
    then have c3: (nord \circ f) \alpha \in S using b1 \ b3 by blast
    moreover have \alpha < of \alpha using c1 c2 b1 b2[of \alpha] unfolding sc-ord-def by
blast
    then have \alpha \leq o f \alpha using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
    then have \alpha < o \pmod{\circ f} a using lem-nord-le-r by simp
    then show \alpha \leq o \pmod{\circ f} \alpha \wedge \pmod{\circ f} \alpha \in S using c3 by blast
  qed
```

```
ultimately show ?thesis using b7 ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-rcc-uset-rcc-bnd}\colon
assumes s \in \mathfrak{U} r
shows ||r|| \le o ||s||
proof -
 obtain s0 where b1: s0 \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge |s0| = o ||r|| \wedge |s0| \leq o |s| \wedge (\forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} r, |s0|)
\leq o |s'|
   using assms\ lem-rcc-uset-ne by blast
 have CCR s using assms unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
 then obtain t where b2: t \in \mathfrak{U} \ s \wedge |t| = o \|s\| \wedge (\forall s' \in \mathfrak{U} \ s. \ |t| \leq o \|s'\|)
   using lem-Rcc-eq1-12 lem-rcc-uset-ne by blast
 have t \in \mathfrak{U} r using b2 assms lem-rcc-uset-tr by blast
 then have ||r|| \le o |t| using lem-rcc-uset-mem-bnd by blast
 then show ||r|| \le o ||s|| using b2 ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
qed
lemma lem-dc2-ccr-scf-lew:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: scf r \leq o \omega-ord
shows DCR 2 r
proof -
 have \exists s. s \in \mathfrak{U} \ r \land single\text{-}valued s
 proof (cases scf r < o \omega-ord)
   assume scf r < o \omega - ord
  then have b1: Conelike r using a1 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl lem-fin-fl-rel lem-wolew-fin
by blast
   show ?thesis
   proof (cases \ r = \{\})
     assume r = \{\}
     then have r \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge single-valued r
       unfolding U-def CCR-def single-valued-def Field-def by blast
     then show ?thesis by blast
   next
     assume r \neq \{\}
     then obtain m where c2: m \in Field \ r \land (\forall \ a \in Field \ r. \ (a,m) \in r^*
       using b1 unfolding Conelike-def by blast
     then obtain a b where (a,b) \in r \land (m = a \lor m = b) unfolding Field-def
by blast
     moreover obtain s where s = \{(a,b)\} by blast
     ultimately have s \in \mathfrak{U} r and single-valued s
       using c2 unfolding U-def CCR-def Field-def single-valued-def by blast+
     then show ?thesis by blast
   qed
  next
   assume \neg (scf r < o \omega - ord)
   then have scf r = o \omega-ord using a2 ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
   then obtain s where b1: s \in Span \ r and b2: CCR s and b3: single-valued s
```

```
using a1 lem-sv-span-scfeqw by blast
   then have s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge single-valued s unfolding Span-def \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
   then show ?thesis by blast
  then obtain s where b1: s \in \mathfrak{U} r \wedge single-valued s by blast
  moreover have DCR 1 s
  proof -
   obtain g where g = (\lambda \alpha :: nat. s) by blast
   moreover then have DCR-generating g
      using b1 unfolding D-def single-valued-def DCR-generating-def by blast
   ultimately show ?thesis unfolding DCR-def by blast
 ultimately have DCR (Suc 1) r using lem-Ldo-uset-reduc[of s r 1] by fastforce
 moreover have (Suc\ 1) = (2::nat) by simp
 ultimately show ?thesis by metis
qed
lemma lem-dc3-ccr-refl-scf-wsuc:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes a1: Refl r and a2: CCR r
   and a3: |Field \ r| = o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| and a4: sef \ r = o \ |Field \ r|
shows DCR \ 3 \ r
proof -
  obtain \kappa::'U \text{ rel where } b\theta: \kappa = |Field r| \text{ by } blast
  have b1: \omega-ord < o (scf r) \wedge regularCard (scf r)
  and b2: \omega-ord <0 |Field r|
   using a3 a4 lem-cardsuc-inf-gwreg ordIso-transitive by blast+
  then obtain Ps f
      where b3: f \in \mathcal{N} \ r \ Ps
       and b4: \bigwedge \alpha. \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid \wedge \alpha < o \mid \kappa \wedge isSuccOrd \mid \alpha \Longrightarrow
                    CCR (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \land |Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)| < o \ \kappa
                 \land (\forall a \in W \ r \ f \ \alpha. \ wesc-rel \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a \ (wesc \ r \ f \ \alpha \ a))
   using b0 a1 a2 a4 lem-ccr-rcscf-struct by blast
 have q\theta: \bigwedge \alpha. \omega-ord \leq o \alpha \wedge \alpha < o \kappa \wedge isSuccOrd \alpha \Longrightarrow \neg Conelike (Restr r (f))
\alpha))
  proof -
   fix \alpha::'U \ rel
   assume \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \land isSuccOrd \ \alpha
   then have Conelike (Restr r(f \alpha)) \longrightarrow Conelike r
         using b3 b0 unfolding N-def N3-def N12-def clterm-def using ord-
Less-imp-ordLeq by blast
   moreover have Conelike \ r \longrightarrow False
   proof
      assume Conelike r
      then have finite (Field (scf r)) using a2 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl by blast
      then show False using b2 a4
          by (metis Field-card-of infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq ordIso-finite-Field ord-
Less-imp-ordLeq)
   qed
```

```
ultimately show \neg Conelike (Restr r (f \alpha)) by blast
    qed
    have q1: \bigwedge \alpha. \ \omega\text{-}ord \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \land isSuccOrd \ \alpha \Longrightarrow
                                  \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid \wedge scf \left( Restr \mid r \mid (f \mid \alpha) \right) = o \mid \omega-ord
    proof -
       fix \alpha::'U \ rel
       assume c1: \omega-ord \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \land isSuccOrd \ \alpha
       have Card-order \omega-ord \wedge ¬finite (Field \omega-ord) \wedge Well-order \omega-ord
           using natLeq-Card-order Field-natLeq by force
       then have \neg isSuccOrd \omega-ord
           using card-order-infinite-isLimOrd wo-rel.isLimOrd-def wo-rel-def by blast
         then have \omega-ord < o \alpha using c1 using lem-osucc-eq ord Iso-symmetric or-
dLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso by blast
        then obtain \alpha \theta::'U rel where c2: \omega-ord = o \alpha \theta \wedge \alpha \theta < o \alpha using internal-
ize\text{-}ordLess[of \ \omega\text{-}ord \ \alpha] by blast
       then have c3: f \alpha \theta \subseteq \mathfrak{L} f \alpha unfolding \mathfrak{L}-def by blast
       obtain \gamma where c_4: \gamma = scf (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) by blast
       have \neg Conelike (Restr r (f \alpha)) using c1 q0 by blast
      moreover have CCR (Restr r (f \alpha)) using c1 b0 b3 unfolding \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \mathcal{N} 6\text{-}def
           using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
        ultimately have Card-order \gamma \wedge \neg finite (Field \gamma) and c5: \neg finite (Restr r
(f \alpha)
            using c4 lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl lem-scf-cardord lem-Relprop-fin-ccr by blast+
       then have c\theta: \omega-ord \leq o \gamma
                by (meson card-of-Field-ordIso infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq ordIso-iff-ordLeq
ordLeg-transitive)
          have \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid using c1 b0 b3 unfolding \mathcal{N}-def \mathcal{N}12-def using
ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
       moreover have scf(Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)) = o\ \omega-ord
       proof -
                have |f \ \alpha| \le o \ \alpha using c1 b0 b3 unfolding N-def N7-def using ord-
Less-imp-ordLeq by blast
           then have |Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)| \le o\ \alpha using c1 lem-restr-ordbnd by blast
           then have \gamma \leq o \alpha using c4 c5 lem-rel-inf-fld-card [of Restr r (f \alpha)]
                lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd ordLeg-ordIso-trans ordLeg-transitive by blast
           then have \gamma < o \ cardSuc \ | UNIV::nat \ set | \ using \ c1 \ b0 \ a3
                using ordIso-iff-ordLeq-ordLeq-ordLess-trans ordLess-ordLeq-trans by blast
           moreover have Card-order \gamma using c4 lem-scf-cardord by blast
           ultimately have \gamma \leq o |UNIV::nat|set| by simp
                 then show ?thesis using c4 c6 using card-of-nat ordIso-iff-ordLeq or-
dLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
       qed
       ultimately show \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid \wedge scf \left( Restr \mid r \mid f \mid \alpha \right) \right) = o \mid \omega-ord by blast
    obtain is-st:: 'U rel \Rightarrow 'U rel \Rightarrow bool
       where q3: is-st = (\lambda \ s \ t. \ t \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \land t \neq \{\} \land CCR \ t \land s \in Span \ s \in Spa
                                           single-valued t \land acyclic\ t \land (\forall x \in Field\ t.\ t``\{x\} \neq \{\})) by blast
    obtain st where q4: st = (\lambda \ s::'U \ rel. \ SOME \ t. \ is-st \ s \ t) by blast
```

```
have q5: \land s. \ CCR \ s \land scf \ s = o \ \omega \text{-}ord \Longrightarrow is\text{-}st \ s \ (st \ s)
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ s::'U \ rel
    assume CCR \ s \land scf \ s = o \ \omega - ord
    then obtain t where is-st s t using q3 lem-sv-span-scfeqw[of s] by blast
    then show is-st s (st s) using q \neq some I-ex by metis
  qed
  obtain \kappa\theta where b5: \kappa\theta = \omega-ord by blast
  obtain S where b6: S = \{ \alpha \in \mathcal{O} :: 'U \text{ rel set. } \kappa 0 \leq o \text{ } \alpha \wedge isSuccOrd \text{ } \alpha \wedge \alpha < o \text{ } \} \}
\kappa} by blast
  obtain R where b8: R = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ st \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha))) by blast
  obtain T::'U rel set where b11: T = \{ t. t \neq \{ \} \land CCR \ t \land single-valued \ t \land \} \}
                                              acyclic t \land (\forall x \in Field \ t. \ t``\{x\} \neq \{\}) \} by blast
  obtain W::'U \ rel \Rightarrow 'U \ set where b12: W = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ W \ r \ f \ \alpha) by blast
  obtain Wa where b13: Wa = (\bigcup \alpha \in S. W \alpha) by blast
  obtain r1 where b14: r1 = Restr \ r \ Wa by blast
  have b15: \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow Restr\ r\ (W\ r\ f\ \alpha) = Restr\ r1\ (W\ \alpha) using b12 b13
b14 by blast
  have b16: \land \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U}\ (Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
    have d1: \neg finite \ r \ using \ b2 \ lem-fin-fl-rel \ by \ (metis \ infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq
ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
    moreover have \alpha < o scf r using c1 b0 b6 a4 using ordIso-symmetric ord-
Less-ordIso-trans by blast
    moreover have \omega-ord \leq o \mid \mathfrak{L} f \mid \alpha \mid using c1 b5 b6 q1 by blast
    moreover have isSuccOrd \alpha using c1 b6 by blast
    ultimately show Restr r (W r f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} (Restr r (f \alpha))
      using b3 a1 a2 lem-der-qw-uset[of r f Ps \alpha] by blast
  qed
  have \kappa = o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| \ using \ b0 \ a3 \ by \ blast
  moreover have Reft r1 using a1 b14 unfolding reft-on-def Field-def by blast
  moreover have S \subseteq \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \ rel \ set. \ \alpha < o \ \kappa\} using b6 by blast
  moreover have b17: |\{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \alpha < o \kappa\}| \le o |S|
               \land (\exists h. \ \forall \alpha \in \mathcal{O}::'U \ rel \ set. \ \kappa 0 \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \longrightarrow \alpha \leq o \ h \ \alpha \land h \ \alpha \in S)
  proof -
    have Card-order \kappa using b\theta by simp
    moreover have \omega-ord \leq o \kappa using b0 b2 ordLess-imp-ordLeg by blast
    moreover have \kappa \theta < o \kappa using b\theta b2 b5 by blast
    moreover have \kappa \theta = o \omega-ord using b5 ordIso-reft natLeq-Card-order by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis using b6 lem-oint-infcard-gew-sc-cfbnd[of \kappa \kappa \theta S]
by blast
  qed
  moreover have \forall \ \alpha \in S. \ \exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta
  proof -
    have Card-order \kappa using b\theta by simp
    moreover have \omega-ord \leq o \kappa using b0 \ b2 \ ord Less-imp-ord Leq by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis using b6 lem-oint-infcard-sc-cf[of \kappa S \kappa\theta] by blast
```

```
qed
  moreover have b18: Field r1 = (\bigcup \alpha \in S. \ W \ \alpha)
  proof -
   have SF r = \{A. A \subseteq Field r\} using a1 unfolding SF-def Field-def refl-on-def
bv fast
    moreover have Wa \subseteq Field \ r
       using b0 b3 b6 b12 b13 lem-qw-range[of f r Ps -] ordLess-imp-ordLeq[of - \kappa]
    ultimately have Field \ r1 = Wa \ using \ b14 \ unfolding \ SF-def \ by \ blast
    then show ?thesis using b13 by blast
  qed
  moreover have \forall \alpha \in S. \ \forall \beta \in S. \ \alpha \neq \beta \longrightarrow W \ \alpha \cap W \ \beta = \{\}
  proof (intro ballI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta
    assume \alpha \in S and \beta \in S and \alpha \neq \beta
     then have Well-order \alpha \wedge Well-order \beta \wedge \neg (\alpha = o \beta) using b6 lem-Owo
lem-Oeq by blast
    then show W \alpha \cap W \beta = \{\} using b12 lem-Der-inf-qw-disj by blast
  moreover have \bigwedge \alpha. \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow R \alpha \in T \wedge R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r1 \ (W \alpha) \wedge |W \alpha|
\leq o |UNIV::nat set|
                                 \wedge Field (R \ \alpha) = W \ \alpha \wedge \neg Conelike (Restr r1 (W \ \alpha))
  proof -
    fix \alpha
    assume c1: \alpha \in S
   then have c2: CCR (Restr r (W r f \alpha)) \wedge scf (Restr r (f \alpha)) =0 \omega-ord using
b4 q1 b5 b6 by blast
     moreover have c3: scf (Restr r (W r f \alpha)) = o \omega-ord \wedge |W r f \alpha| \leq o
|UNIV::nat\ set|
    proof -
     have d1: \neg finite r using b2 lem-fin-fl-rel by (metis infinite-iff-natLeq-ordLeq
ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
      have Restr r (W r f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} (Restr r (f \alpha)) using c1 b16 by blast
    then have d2: \|Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)\| \le o\ \|Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha)\| using lem\text{-}rcc\text{-}uset\text{-}rcc\text{-}bnd
by blast
      have scf (Restr r (f \alpha)) = 0 \omega-ord using c1 b5 b6 q1 by blast
      moreover have CCR (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha))
        using c1 b0 b3 b6 unfolding N-def N6-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
blast
      ultimately have \omega-ord = o \|Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha)\|
        using lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive by blast
      then have d3: \omega - ord \leq o \|Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha)\| using d2\ ord Iso - ord Leq - trans
    \mathbf{have}\;|Restr\;r\;(\mathcal{W}\;r\;f\;\alpha)| < o\;|Field\;r|\;\mathbf{using}\;d1\;c1\;b0\;b3\;b6\;lem\text{-}der\text{-}inf\text{-}qw\text{-}restr\text{-}card
\mathbf{by} blast
       then have |Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha)| < o\ cardSuc\ |UNIV::nat\ set| using a3 ord-
Less-ordIso-trans by blast
      then have d4: |Restr\ r\ (W\ r\ f\ \alpha)| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set| by simp
      then have ||Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha)|| \le o\ \omega-ord using lem-Rcc-relcard-bnd
```

```
by (metis ordLeq-transitive card-of-nat ordLeq-ordIso-trans)
              then have ||Restr\ r\ (\mathcal{W}\ r\ f\ \alpha)|| = o\ \omega-ord using d3 using ordIso-iff-ordLeq
by blast
              moreover have |W r f \alpha| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
              proof -
                  have W r f \alpha \subseteq f \alpha unfolding W-def by blast
                  then have |W| r f \alpha| \le o |f| \alpha |by| simp
                  moreover have |f \alpha| < o |Field r| using c1 b3 b5 b6 b0 unfolding N-def
N7-def
                       using ordLess-imp-ordLeq ordLeq-ordLess-trans by blast
                  ultimately have |W| r f \alpha| < o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
                       using a3 ordLeq-ordLess-trans ordLess-ordIso-trans by blast
                  then show ?thesis by simp
              qed
               ultimately show ?thesis using c2 lem-scf-ccr-scf-rcc-eq[of Restr r (W r f
\alpha)
                  by (metis ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive)
        qed
         ultimately have c4: is-st (Restr r (W r f \alpha)) (R \alpha) using q5 b8 by blast
         then have c5: R \alpha \in Span (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)) using q3 by blast
          then have Field (R \ \alpha) = Field \ (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)) unfolding Span-def by
blast
              moreover have SF \ r = \{A. \ A \subseteq Field \ r\} using a1 unfolding SF-def
refl-on-def Field-def by fast
            moreover have W r f \alpha \subseteq Field r using c1 b0 b3 b6 lem-qw-range ord-
Less-imp-ordLeq by blast
         ultimately have Field (R \ \alpha) = W \ r f \ \alpha unfolding SF-def by blast
         then have R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r1 \ (W \alpha) \wedge Field \ (R \alpha) = W \alpha
              using c1 c5 b12 b13 b14 unfolding Span-def by blast
         moreover have R \alpha \in T using c4 q3 b11 by blast
         moreover have \neg Conelike (Restr r1 (W \alpha))
         proof -
              obtain s1 where d1: s1 = Restr r (W r f \alpha) by blast
              then have scf s1 = o \ \omega - ord \wedge CCR \ s1 using c2 \ c3 by blast
              moreover then have \neg finite (Field (scf s1))
                  by (metis Field-natLeg infinite-UNIV-nat ordIso-finite-Field)
              ultimately have ¬ Conelike s1 using lem-scf-ccr-finscf-cl by blast
              then show ?thesis using d1 c1 b15 [of \alpha] by metis
         qed
         ultimately show R \alpha \in T \wedge R \alpha \subseteq Restr \ r1 \ (W \alpha) \wedge |W \alpha| \leq o \ |UNIV::nat
set
                                                  \wedge Field (R \ \alpha) = W \ \alpha \ \wedge \neg \ Conelike \ (Restr \ r1 \ (W \ \alpha)) using c3
b12 by blast
    moreover have \bigwedge \alpha \ x. \ \alpha \in S \Longrightarrow x \in W \ \alpha \Longrightarrow
                             \exists \ a. \ ((x,a) \in (Restr \ r1 \ (W \ \alpha)) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap a) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha ) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\forall \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha ) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha ) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha ) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha ) ) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha ) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in S. \ \alpha )) \hat{\ } * \land (\exists \ \beta \in 
 W \beta) \neq \{\})
    proof -
         fix \alpha x
```

```
assume c1: \alpha \in S and c2: x \in W \alpha
    moreover obtain a where a = wesc \ r \ f \ \alpha \ x by blast
    ultimately have wesc-rel r f \alpha x a using b4 b0 b5 b6 b12 q1 by blast
    then have c3: a \in W \ rf \ \alpha \land (x,a) \in (Restr \ r \ (W \ rf \ \alpha)) \hat{} *  and
      c4: \forall \beta. \ \alpha < o \ \beta \land \beta < o \ | Field \ r | \land (\beta = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd \ \beta) \longrightarrow r``\{a\} \cap W
rf \beta \neq \{\}
    unfolding wesc-rel-def by blast+
    have (x,a) \in (Restr\ r1\ (W\ \alpha)) * using c1 c3 b15 by metis
    moreover have \forall \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap W \beta) \neq \{\}
    proof (intro ballI impI)
      fix \beta
      assume d1: \beta \in S and \alpha < o \beta
      then obtain b where (a,b) \in r \land b \in W \beta using c4 b6 b0 b12 by blast
      moreover then have b \in Wa using d1 b13 by blast
      moreover have a \in Wa using c1 c3 b12 b13 by blast
      ultimately have (a,b) \in r1 \land b \in W \beta using b14 by blast
      then show (r1"\{a\} \cap W \beta) \neq \{\} by blast
    \mathbf{qed}
    ultimately show \exists a. ((x,a) \in (Restr\ r1\ (W\ \alpha))^*
                     \land (\forall \beta \in S. \ \alpha < o \beta \longrightarrow (r1``\{a\} \cap W \beta) \neq \{\})) by blast
  ultimately obtain r' where b19: CCR \ r' \land DCR \ 2 \ r' \land r' \subseteq r1
                                and \forall a \in Field \ r1. \exists b \in Field \ r'. \ (a,b) \in r1^*
    using b11 lem-cfcomp-d2uset[of \kappa T r1 S W R] by blast
  then have b20: r' \in \mathfrak{U} r1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def Span-def by blast
  moreover have r1 \in \mathfrak{U} r
  proof -
    have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists \ \alpha \in S. \ a \in f \ \alpha
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} \ a
      assume d1: a \in Field \ r
      obtain A where d2: A = \{\alpha \in \mathcal{O}:: 'U \text{ rel set. } \kappa 0 \leq o \ \alpha \land \alpha < o \ \kappa \} by blast
      have d3: a \in f | Field r | \land \omega \text{-}ord \leq o | Field r | using <math>d1 \ b3 \ b2
        unfolding N-def N9-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
      moreover have Card-order |Field \ r| by simp
    ultimately have \neg (|Field r| = \{\} \lor isSuccOrd |Field r|) using lem\text{-}card\text{-}inf\text{-}lim
by blast
      moreover have |Field r| \le o |Field r| by simp
      ultimately have (\nabla f | Field r |) = \{\} using b3 unfolding \mathcal{N}\text{-}def \mathcal{N}2\text{-}def
      then have f \mid Field \mid r \mid \subseteq \mathfrak{L} \mid Field \mid r \mid unfolding Dbk\text{-}def by blast
      then obtain \gamma where d4: \gamma < o \kappa \land a \in f \gamma using d3 \ b0 unfolding \mathfrak{L}\text{-}def
      have \exists \ \alpha \in A. \ a \in f \ \alpha
      proof (cases \kappa \theta \leq o \gamma)
        assume \kappa \theta \leq o \gamma
      then have nord \gamma \in A \land nord \gamma = o \gamma using d4 d2 lem-nord-le-r lem-nord-ls-l
```

lem-nord-r lem-nordO-le-r ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast

```
moreover then have f(nord \gamma) = f \gamma using b3 unfolding \mathcal{N}\text{-}def by
blast
        ultimately have nord \gamma \in A \land a \in f \pmod{\gamma} using d4 by blast
        then show ?thesis by blast
      next
        assume \neg \kappa \theta \leq o \gamma
        moreover have Well-order \kappa \theta \wedge Well-order \gamma
          using d4 b5 natLeq-Well-order ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
        ultimately have \gamma \leq o \kappa \theta using ordLeq\text{-}total by blast
        moreover have \kappa \theta < o \kappa using b\theta b2 b5 by blast
        moreover then obtain \alpha\theta::'U rel where \kappa\theta = o \alpha\theta \wedge \alpha\theta < o \kappa
          using internalize-ordLess[of \kappa \theta \kappa] by blast
        ultimately have \gamma \leq o \alpha \theta \wedge \kappa \theta \leq o \alpha \theta \wedge \alpha \theta < o \kappa
          using ordLeq-ordIso-trans ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
        then have \gamma \leq o \ nord \ \alpha \theta \wedge \kappa \theta \leq o \ nord \ \alpha \theta \wedge nord \ \alpha \theta < o \ \kappa \wedge nord \ \alpha \theta \in
\mathcal{O}
          using lem-nord-le-r lem-nord-le-r lem-nord-ls-l lem-nordO-le-r
             ordLess-Well-order-simp by blast
        moreover then have f \gamma \subseteq f \pmod{\alpha \theta}
          using b3 b0 ordLess-imp-ordLeq unfolding N-def N1-def by blast
        ultimately have a \in f \pmod{\alpha \theta} \wedge nord \alpha \theta \in A \text{ using } d4 d2 \text{ by } blast
        then show ?thesis by blast
      qed
       then obtain \alpha \alpha' where \alpha' \in S \land \alpha \leq o \alpha' \land \alpha \in A \land a \in f \alpha using d2
b17 by blast
     moreover then have \alpha' \leq o |Field r| using b6 b0 using ordLess-imp-ordLeg
by blast
     ultimately have \alpha' \in S \land a \in f \ \alpha' using b3\ b0\ b0 unfolding \mathcal{N}\text{-}def\ \mathcal{N}1\text{-}def
by blast
      then show \exists \ \alpha \in S. \ a \in f \ \alpha \ by \ blast
    moreover have \forall \alpha \in S. f \alpha \subseteq dncl \ r \ (Field \ r1)
    proof
      fix \alpha
      assume d1: \alpha \in S
      show f \alpha \subseteq dncl \ r \ (Field \ r1)
      proof
        \mathbf{fix} \ a
        assume a \in f \alpha
        moreover have f \alpha \in SF r using d1 \ b0 \ b3 \ b6
          unfolding N-def N5-def using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by blast
        ultimately have a \in Field (Restr \ r \ (f \ \alpha)) unfolding SF-def by blast
         moreover have Restr r (W r f \alpha) \in \mathfrak{U} (Restr r (f \alpha)) using d1 b16 by
blast
          ultimately obtain b where b \in Field (Restr \ r \ (W \ r \ f \ \alpha)) \land (a, b) \in
(Restr\ r\ (f\ \alpha))^*
          unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
        then have b \in W \ r f \ \alpha \land (a,b) \in r \hat{} *
          unfolding Field-def using rtrancl-mono[of Restr r (f \ \alpha) r] by blast
```

```
moreover then have b \in Field \ r1 \ using \ d1 \ b12 \ b18 \ by \ blast
       ultimately show a \in dncl \ r \ (Field \ r1) unfolding dncl\text{-}def by blast
     qed
   qed
    ultimately have \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \exists b \in Field \ r1. \ (a, b) \in r^*  unfolding
dncl-def by blast
   moreover have CCR r1 using b20 lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr by blast
   moreover have r1 \subseteq r using b14 by blast
   ultimately show r1 \in \mathfrak{U} r unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
 ultimately have r' \in \mathfrak{U} r using lem-rcc-uset-tr by blast
 then show DCR 3 r using b19 lem-Ldo-uset-reduc[of r' r 2] by simp
qed
lemma lem-dc3-ccr-scf-lewsuc:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes a1: CCR r and a2: |Field r| < o cardSuc |UNIV::nat set|
shows DCR \ 3 \ r
proof (cases scf r \le o \ \omega-ord)
 assume scf \ r \leq o \ \omega-ord
  then have DCR 2 r using a1 lem-dc2-ccr-scf-lew by blast
 moreover have r \in \mathfrak{U} r using a unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  ultimately show DCR \ 3 \ r \ using \ lem-Ldo-uset-reduc[of \ r \ r \ 2] by simp
\mathbf{next}
  assume \neg (scf \ r \leq o \ \omega \text{-}ord)
 then have \omega-ord < o | Field r | using lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd lem-scf-inf
   by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordLeq-transitive)
  then have |UNIV::nat\ set| < o\ |Field\ r|\ using\ card-of-nat\ ordIso-ordLess-trans
by blast
 then have cardSuc \mid UNIV::nat \ set \mid \le o \mid Field \ r \mid  by (meson \ cardSuc \ ordLess \ ordLeg
card-of-Card-order)
  then have b\theta: |Field r| = o cardSuc | UNIV::nat set | using a2
   \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{not-ordLeq-ordLess} \ \mathit{ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
  obtain r1 where b1: r1 = r \cup \{(x,y). \ x = y \land x \in Field \ r\} by blast
 have b2: Field r1 = Field r using b1 unfolding Field-def by blast
 have r \in \mathfrak{U} r1 using b1 b2 a1 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
  then have b3: CCR r1 using lem-rcc-uset-ne-ccr[of r1] by blast
 have (\neg (scf \ r1 \le o \ \omega - ord)) \longrightarrow scf \ r1 = o \ |Field \ r1|
  proof
   assume \neg (scf r1 \leq o \omega - ord)
   then have \omega-ord <0 scf r1
     using lem-scf-inf by (metis ordIso-iff-ordLeq ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)
   then have |UNIV::nat\ set| < o\ scf\ r1 \land Card\text{-}order\ (scf\ r1)
     using lem-scf-cardord by (metis card-of-nat ordIso-ordLess-trans)
  then have cardSuc \mid UNIV :: nat \ set \mid \le o \ scf \ r1 by (meson \ cardSuc \ ordLess \ ordLeq)
card-of-Card-order)
   then have |Field \ r1| \le o \ scf \ r1 using b0 \ b2 by (metis \ ord Iso-ord Leq-trans)
   then show scf r1 = o |Field r1| using lem-scf-relfldcard-bnd[of r1]
     by (metis not-ordLeq-ordLess ordLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso)
```

```
qed
  moreover have scf r1 \leq o \omega - ord \longrightarrow DCR \ 3 \ r1
  proof
   assume scf r1 \leq o \omega - ord
   then have DCR 2 r1 using b3 lem-dc2-ccr-scf-lew by blast
   moreover have r1 \in \mathfrak{U} r1 using b3 unfolding \mathfrak{U}-def by blast
   ultimately show DCR 3 r1 using lem-Ldo-uset-reduc[of r1 r1 2] by simp
  moreover have scf r1 = o |Field r1| \longrightarrow DCR 3 r1
 proof
   assume scf r1 = o |Field r1|
   moreover have Refl r1 using b1 unfolding refl-on-def Field-def by force
    ultimately show DCR 3 r1 using b0 b2 b3 lem-dc3-ccr-refl-scf-wsuc[of r1]
by simp
  qed
 ultimately have DCR 3 r1 by blast
 moreover have \bigwedge n. \ n \neq 0 \Longrightarrow DCR \ n \ r1 \Longrightarrow DCR \ n \ r \ using \ b1 \ lem-Ldo-eqid
by blast
  ultimately show DCR 3 r by force
qed
lemma lem-Cprf-conf-ccr-decomp:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes confl-rel r
shows \exists S::('U \ rel \ set). \ (\forall s \in S. \ CCR \ s) \land (r = \bigcup S) \land (\forall s \in S. \ \forall s \in S. \ s \neq S) )
s2 \longrightarrow Field \ s1 \cap Field \ s2 = \{\}\ )
 obtain \mathcal{D} where b1: \mathcal{D} = \{ D. \exists x \in Field \ r. \ D = (r^<->*) " \{x\} \}  by blast
  obtain S where b2: S = \{ s. \exists D \in \mathcal{D}. s = Restr \ r \ D \} by blast
 have r = \bigcup S
  proof
   show r \subseteq \bigcup S
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
     assume d1:(a,b)\in r
     then have a \in Field \ r unfolding Field-def by blast
     moreover obtain D where d2: D = (r^<->*) " \{a\} by blast
      ultimately have D \in \mathcal{D} using b1 by blast
      moreover then have (a,b) \in Restr\ r\ D using d1 d2 by blast
      ultimately show (a,b) \in \bigcup S using b2 by blast
   qed
  \mathbf{next}
   show \bigcup S \subseteq r using b2 by blast
  \mathbf{moreover} \ \mathbf{have} \ \forall \, s1 {\in} S. \ \forall \, s2 {\in} S. \ \mathit{Field} \ s1 \ \cap \ \mathit{Field} \ s2 \ \neq \ \{\} \ \longrightarrow \ s1 \ = \ s2
  proof (intro ballI impI)
   fix s1 s2
   assume s1 \in S and s2 \in S and Field s1 \cap Field \ s2 \neq \{\}
   moreover then obtain D1 D2 where c1: D1 \in \mathcal{D} \land D2 \in \mathcal{D} \land s1 = Restr
```

```
r D1 \wedge s2 = Restr \ r D2  using b2 by blast
   ultimately have c2: D1 \cap D2 \neq \{\} unfolding Field-def by blast
    obtain a b c where c3: c \in D1 \cap D2 \wedge D1 = (r^{<-}>*) " \{a\} \wedge D2 =
(r^{<-}>*) " {b} using b1 c1 c2 by blast
   then have (a,c) \in r^{<} \rightarrow * \land (b,c) \in r^{<} \rightarrow * by blast
  then have (a,b) \in r^{<} -> * by (metis conversion-inv conversion-rtrancl rtrancl.intros(2))
   moreover have equiv UNIV (r^<->*) unfolding equiv-def
     by (simp add: conversion-sym conversion-trans refl-on-def)
   ultimately have D1 = D2 using c3 equiv-class-eq by simp
   then show s1 = s2 using c1 by blast
  qed
  moreover have \forall s \in S. CCR s
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ s
   assume s \in S
   then obtain D where c1: D \in \mathcal{D} \land s = Restr \ r \ D using b2 by blast
    then obtain x where c2: x \in Field \ r \land D = (r^<->*) `` \{x\}  using b1 by
blast
   have c3: r "D \subseteq D
   proof
     \mathbf{fix} \ b
     assume b \in r " D
     then obtain a where d1: a \in D \land (a,b) \in r by blast
     then have (x,a) \in r^{<} > * using c2 by blast
     then have (x,b) \in r^{<}->* using d1
     by (metis conversionI' conversion-rtrancl rtrancl-into-rtrancl rtrancl-reft)
     then show b \in D using c2 by blast
   have c4: r^* \cap (D \times (UNIV::'U \ set)) \subseteq s^*
   proof -
     have \forall n. \forall a b. (a,b) \in r^{n} \land a \in D \longrightarrow (a,b) \in s^*
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} \ n\theta
       show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \widehat{\ } n0 \land a \in D \longrightarrow (a,b) \in s \widehat{\ } *
       proof (induct \ n\theta)
         show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \cap 0 \land a \in D \longrightarrow (a,b) \in s \ast by \ simp
       next
         assume f1: \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \widehat{\phantom{a}} n \land a \in D \longrightarrow (a,b) \in s \widehat{\phantom{a}} *
         show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r^{(suc \ n)} \land a \in D \longrightarrow (a,b) \in s^*
         proof (intro allI impI)
           \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
           assume g1: (a,b) \in r^{(Suc\ n)} \land a \in D
           moreover then obtain c where g2: (a,c) \in r \ \ n \land (c,b) \in r \ \ \text{by force}
           ultimately have g3: (a,c) \in s * using f1 by blast
           have c \in D using c2 g1 g2
         by (metis Image-singleton-iff conversionI' conversion-rtrancl relpow-imp-rtrancl
rtrancl.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
           then have (c,b) \in s using c1 c3 g2 by blast
```

```
then show (a,b) \in s * using g3 by (meson\ rtrancl.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
                   qed
               qed
           qed
           then show ?thesis using rtrancl-power by blast
       have \forall a \in Field \ s. \ \forall b \in Field \ s. \ \exists c \in Field \ s. \ (a,c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in s \hat{\ } *
       proof (intro ballI)
           \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b
           assume d1: a \in Field \ s and d2: b \in Field \ s
           then have d3: a \in D \land b \in D using c1 unfolding Field-def by blast
           then have (x,a) \in r^{\sim} \rightarrow * \land (x,b) \in r^{\sim} \rightarrow * using c2 by blast
               then have (a,b) \in r^{<}->* by (metis conversion-inv conversion-rtrancl
rtrancl.rtrancl-into-rtrancl)
        moreover have CR r using assms unfolding confl-rel-def Abstract-Rewriting. CR-on-def
by blast
           ultimately obtain c where (a,c) \in r^* \land (b,c) \in r^*
          by (metis Abstract-Rewriting.CR-imp-conversionIff-join Abstract-Rewriting.joinD)
           then have (a,c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in s \hat{\ } * \text{ using } c \not = d \exists \text{ by } blast
           moreover then have c \in Field \ s \ using \ d1 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by (metis
Range.intros Un-iff rtrancl.cases)
           ultimately show \exists c \in Field \ s. \ (a,c) \in s \hat{\ } * \land (b,c) \in s \hat{\ } *  by blast
       then show CCR s unfolding CCR-def by blast
   qed
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-Cprf-dc-disj-fld-un}:
fixes S::'U \ rel \ set and n::nat
assumes a1: \forall s1 \in S. \forall s2 \in S. s1 \neq s2 \longrightarrow Field s1 \cap Field s2 = \{\}
       and a2: \forall s \in S. DCR \ n \ s
shows DCR \ n \ (\bigcup S)
proof -
    obtain gi::'U \ rel \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'U \ rel
        where b1: qi = (\lambda \ s. \ (SOME \ q. \ DCR-qenerating \ q \land s = \bigcup \{r'. \ \exists \alpha' < n. \ r' = a' < n. \ r' < n. \ r' = a' < n. \ r' < n. \ 
g \alpha')) by blast
   obtain ga where b2: ga = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ if \ (\alpha < n) \ then \bigcup s \in S. \ gi \ s \ \alpha \ else \{\}) by blast
   have b3: \land s. s \in S \Longrightarrow DCR-generating (gi\ s) \land s = \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < n. \ r' = gi\ s
\alpha'
   proof -
       \mathbf{fix} \ s
       assume s \in S
       then obtain g where DCR-generating g \wedge s = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = g \alpha' \}
           using a2 unfolding DCR-def by force
       then show DCR-generating (gi\ s) \land s = \bigcup \{r'. \ \exists \alpha' < n. \ r' = gi\ s \ \alpha'\}
         using b1 some I-ex[of \lambda g. DCR-generating g \wedge s = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = g \alpha'\}]
by blast
   qed
```

```
have \forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ (a, b) \in ga \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in ga \ \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ ga \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ ga \ \beta \ \alpha)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta a b c
    assume c1: (a, b) \in ga \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in ga \ \beta
    moreover have \alpha < n using c1 b2 by (cases \alpha < n, simp+)
    moreover have \beta < n using c1 b2 by (cases \beta < n, simp+)
    ultimately obtain s1 s2 where c2: \alpha < n \land s1 \in S \land (a,b) \in gi s1 \alpha
                                    and c3: \beta < n \land s2 \in S \land (a,c) \in gi \ s2 \ \beta \ using \ c1 \ b2
by fastforce
    then have (a,b) \in s1 \land (a,c) \in s2 using b3 by blast
    then have s1 = s2 using c2 c3 a1 unfolding Field\text{-}def by blast
    then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d
       where c4:(b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} (gi \ s1) \ \alpha \ \beta and c5:(c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} (gi \ s1)
\beta \alpha
       using c2 c3 b3[of s1] unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
    have (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} ga \alpha \beta
    proof -
      have d1: (b, b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ (gi \ s1) \ \alpha) \hat{\ } * \wedge (b', b'') \in (gi \ s1 \ \beta) \hat{\ } = \wedge (b'', d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v)
(gi \ s1) \ \alpha \ \beta) \hat{} *
         using c4 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
       have \mathfrak{L}1 (gi\ s1) \alpha\subseteq\mathfrak{L}1 ga\ \alpha
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} p
         assume p \in \mathfrak{L}1 (gi s1) \alpha
         then obtain \gamma where \gamma < \alpha \land p \in gi \ s1 \ \gamma unfolding £1-def by blast
         moreover then have p \in ga \gamma using c2 \ b2 by fastforce
         ultimately show p \in \mathfrak{L}1 ga \alpha unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def by blast
       qed
       then have d2: (b, b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ ga \ \alpha) * using d1 \ rtrancl-mono by blast
       have gi \ s1 \ \beta \subseteq ga \ \beta using c2 \ c3 \ b2 by fastforce
       then have d\beta: (b', b'') \in (ga \beta)^{\hat{}} = using d1 by blast
       have \mathfrak{L}v (gi \ s1) \ \alpha \ \beta \subseteq \mathfrak{L}v \ ga \ \alpha \ \beta
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} p
         assume p \in \mathfrak{L}v (qi \ s1) \ \alpha \ \beta
         then obtain \gamma where (\gamma < \alpha \lor \gamma < \beta) \land p \in gi \ s1 \ \gamma \ unfolding \ \mathcal{L}v\text{-}def
by blast
         moreover then have p \in ga \gamma using c2 \ c3 \ b2 by fastforce
         ultimately show p \in \mathfrak{L}v ga \alpha \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
       qed
       then have (b'', d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ ga \ \alpha \ \beta) * using d1 rtrancl-mono by blast
       then show ?thesis using d2 d3 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    qed
    moreover have (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} ga \beta \alpha
      have d1: (c, c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ (gi \ s1) \ \beta) \hat{} * \wedge (c', c'') \in (gi \ s1 \ \alpha) \hat{} = \wedge (c'', d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v)
(qi \ s1) \ \beta \ \alpha) \hat{} *
         using c5 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
```

```
have \mathfrak{L}1 (gi\ s1)\ \beta\subseteq\mathfrak{L}1\ ga\ \beta
      proof
         \mathbf{fix} p
         assume p \in \mathfrak{L}1 (qi s1) \beta
         then obtain \gamma where \gamma < \beta \land p \in gi \ s1 \ \gamma unfolding £1-def by blast
        moreover then have p \in ga \gamma using c2 \ c3 \ b2 by fastforce
         ultimately show p \in \mathfrak{L}1 ga \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def by blast
      qed
      then have d2: (c, c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ ga \ \beta) \hat{\ }*  using d1 \ rtrancl-mono by blast
      have gi \ s1 \ \alpha \subseteq ga \ \alpha \ \mathbf{using} \ c2 \ b2 \ \mathbf{by} \ fastforce
      then have d3: (c', c'') \in (ga \ \alpha)^= using d1 by blast
      have \mathfrak{L}v (gi s1) \beta \alpha \subseteq \mathfrak{L}v ga \beta \alpha
      proof
         \mathbf{fix} p
         assume p \in \mathfrak{L}v \ (gi \ s1) \ \beta \ \alpha
         then obtain \gamma where (\gamma < \beta \lor \gamma < \alpha) \land p \in gi \ s1 \ \gamma \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{L}v\text{-}def
by blast
         moreover then have p \in ga \gamma using c2 \ c3 \ b2 by fastforce
         ultimately show p \in \mathfrak{L}v ga \beta \alpha unfolding \mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
      then have (c'', d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ ga \ \beta \ \alpha) * using d1 rtrancl-mono by blast
      then show ?thesis using d2 d3 unfolding D-def by blast
    ultimately show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} ga \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in
\mathfrak{D} ga \beta \alpha by blast
  qed
  then have DCR-generating ga unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
  moreover have \bigcup S = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = ga \alpha' \}
  proof
    show \bigcup S \subseteq \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < n. r' = ga \alpha'\}
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in \bigcup S
      then obtain s where s \in S \land p \in s by blast
      moreover then obtain \alpha where \alpha < n \land p \in gi \ s \ \alpha using b3 by blast
      ultimately have \alpha < n \land p \in ga \ \alpha using b2 by force
      then show p \in \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = ga \alpha'\} by blast
    qed
  next
    show \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = ga \alpha'\} \subseteq \bigcup S
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = ga \alpha' \}
      then obtain \alpha where \alpha < n \land p \in ga \alpha by blast
      moreover then obtain s where s \in S \land p \in gi \ s \ \alpha \ using \ b2 by force
      ultimately have s \in S \land p \in s using b3 by blast
      then show p \in \bigcup S by blast
    qed
  qed
```

```
qed
lemma lem-dc3-to-d3:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes DCR 3 r
shows DCR3 r
proof -
  obtain g where b1: DCR-generating g and b2: r = \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < 3 : r' = g \alpha' \}
       using assms unfolding DCR-def by blast
  have \forall \alpha :: nat. \ \alpha < 2 \longleftrightarrow \alpha = 0 \lor \alpha = 1  by force
  then have b3: \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 0 = \{\} \land \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 1 = g \ 0 \land \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 2 = g \ 0 \cup g \ 1
       \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 0 \ 0 = \{\} \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ 0 = g \ 0 \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 0 \ 1 = g \ 0 \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ 1 = g \ 0
       \wedge \mathfrak{L}v g \mathcal{Z} 0 = g \mathcal{D} \cup g \mathcal{I} \wedge \mathfrak{L}v g \mathcal{Z} \mathcal{I} = g \mathcal{D} \cup g \mathcal{I}
       \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \mathcal{2} \ \mathcal{2} = g \ \mathcal{0} \ \cup \ g \ \mathcal{1} \ \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \mathcal{0} \ \mathcal{2} = g \ \mathcal{0} \ \cup \ g \ \mathcal{1} \ \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \mathcal{1} \ \mathcal{2} = g \ \mathcal{0} \ \cup \ g \ \mathcal{1}
     unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by (simp\text{-}all, blast+)
  have r = (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1) \cup (g \ 2)
  proof
     show r \subseteq (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1) \cup (g \ 2)
     proof
       \mathbf{fix} p
       assume p \in r
       then obtain \alpha where p \in g \ \alpha \land \alpha < \beta using b2 by blast
       moreover have \forall \alpha :: nat. \alpha < 3 \longleftrightarrow \alpha = 0 \lor \alpha = 1 \lor \alpha = 2 by force
       ultimately show p \in (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1) \cup (g \ 2) by force
     qed
  next
     have (0::nat) < (3::nat) \land (1::nat) < (3::nat) \land (2::nat) < (3::nat) by simp
     then show (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1) \cup (g \ 2) \subseteq r using b2 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ \theta) \longrightarrow jn\theta\theta \ (g \ \theta) \ b \ c
  proof (intro allI impI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
     assume (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ \theta)
     then obtain b'b''c'c''d where (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 0 \land (c, c', c'', d) \in
       using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
     then show jn\theta\theta (g \theta) b c unfolding jn\theta\theta-def \mathfrak{D}-def \mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by force
  moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ 0) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1) \longrightarrow jn01 \ (g \ 0) \ (g \ 1) \ b \ c
  proof (intro allI impI)
     \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
     assume (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1)
     then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where
       (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ 0 \ 1 \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ 1 \ 0
          using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
     then show jn01 (g 0) (g 1) b c unfolding jn01-def \mathfrak{D}-def \mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by
force
  qed
```

ultimately show ?thesis unfolding DCR-def by blast

```
moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ 1) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1) \longrightarrow jn11 \ (g \ 0) \ (g \ 1) \ b \ c
    proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
       assume (a,b) \in (g\ 1) \land (a,c) \in (g\ 1)
        then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g 1 1 \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in
\mathfrak{D} g 1 1
                using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
       then show jn11 (g \theta) (g 1) b c unfolding jn11-def \mathfrak{D}-def
           apply (simp only: b3)
           \mathbf{by} blast
   qed
   moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 2) \longrightarrow jn\theta 2 \ (g \ \theta) \ (g \ 1) \ (g \ d)
   proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
       assume (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 2)
       then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where c1: (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 2 \land (c, c', c'', d)
\in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ 2 \ 0
                using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
        then have (c, c') \in (g \ 0 \cup g \ 1)^* \land (c', c'') \in (g \ 0)^* = \land (c'', d) \in (g \ 0 \cup g \ 1)^*
1)^*
           unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by (simp add: b3)
       moreover then have (c',c'') \in (g \ 0 \cup g \ 1) * by blast
       ultimately have (c, d) \in (g \ \theta \cup g \ 1) * by force
       then show jn\theta 2 (g \theta) (g 1) (g 2) b c
           using c1 unfolding jn\theta 2-def \mathfrak{D}-def
               apply (simp add: b3)
               \mathbf{by} blast
    qed
   moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ 1) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 2) \longrightarrow jn12 \ (g \ 0) \ (g \ 1) \ (g \ 0)
2) b c
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix a b c
       assume (a,b) \in (g\ 1) \land (a,c) \in (g\ 2)
       then obtain b'b''c'c''d where c1:(b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} g12 \wedge (c,c',c'',d)
               using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
        then have (c, c') \in (g \ \theta \cup g \ 1) * \land (c',c'') \in (g \ 1) = \land (c'',d) \in (g \ \theta \cup g \ 1)
1)^*
           unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def apply (simp only: b3)
           by blast
       moreover then have (c',c'') \in (g \ 0 \cup g \ 1) * by blast
       ultimately have (c, d) \in (g \ 0 \cup g \ 1) * by force
       then show jn12 (g \ 0) (g \ 1) (g \ 2) b \ c
           using c1 unfolding jn12-def \mathfrak{D}-def apply (simp \ only: \ b3)
           by blast
    ged
    moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ 2) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 2) \longrightarrow jn22 \ (g \ 0) \ (g \ 1) \ (g \ 2) \longrightarrow jn22 \ (g \ 0) \ (g \ 1) \ (g 
2) b c
```

```
proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
   assume (a,b) \in (g \ 2) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 2)
   then obtain b'b''c'c''d where c1:(b,b',b'',d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 2 \ 2 \land (c,c',c'',d)
\in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ 2 \ 2
       using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
   then show jn22 (g \theta) (g 1) (g 2) b c
     unfolding jn22-def \mathfrak{D}-def apply (simp\ only:\ b3)
     by blast
 \mathbf{qed}
 ultimately have LD3\ r\ (g\ 0)\ (g\ 1)\ (g\ 2) unfolding LD3-def by blast
 then show ?thesis unfolding DCR3-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-dc3-confl-lewsuc:
fixes r::'U \ rel
assumes a1: confl-rel r and a2: |Field r| \leq o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
shows DCR \ 3 \ r
proof -
 obtain S where b1: r = [] S
           and b2: \forall s1 \in S. \forall s2 \in S. s1 \neq s2 \longrightarrow Field s1 \cap Field s2 = \{\}
            and b3: \forall s \in S. CCR s using a1 lem-Cprf-conf-ccr-decomp[of r] by
blast
 have \forall s \in S. DCR \ 3 \ s
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ s
   assume s \in S
    then have CCR \ s \land Field \ s \subseteq Field \ r \ using \ b1 \ b3 \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by
blast
   moreover then have |Field\ s| \le o\ |Field\ r| by simp
    ultimately have CCR \ s \land |Field \ s| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| using a2
ordLeq-transitive by blast
   then show DCR 3 s using lem-dc3-ccr-scf-lewsuc by blast
 then show DCR 3 r using b1 b2 lem-Cprf-dc-disj-fld-un[of S] by blast
qed
lemma lem-cle-eqdef: |A| \le o |B| = (\exists g . A \subseteq g'B)
 by (metis surj-imp-ordLeq card-of-ordLeq2 empty-subsetI order-refl)
lemma lem-cardLeN1-eqdef:
fixes A::'a set
shows cardLeN1 \ A = (|A| \le o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat \ . \ True\}|)
proof
 assume b1: cardLeN1 A
 obtain \kappa where b2: \kappa = cardSuc | UNIV :: nat set | by blast
 have cardSuc \mid UNIV::nat \ set \mid < o \mid A \mid \longrightarrow False
 proof
   assume cardSuc \mid UNIV::nat \mid set \mid < o \mid A \mid
```

```
then have c1: \kappa < o |A| \wedge |Field \kappa| = o \kappa \text{ using } b2 \text{ by } simp
   then have |Field \ \kappa| \le o \ |A| using ordIso-ordLess-trans \ ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
blast
   then obtain B where c2: B \subseteq A \land |Field \kappa| = o |B|
     using internalize-card-of-ordLeg2[of Field \kappa A] by blast
   moreover have |UNIV::nat\ set| < o\ \kappa using b2 by simp
   ultimately have c3: B \subseteq A \land |UNIV::nat\ set| < o\ |B|
   using c1 by (meson ordIso-imp-ordLeq ordIso-symmetric ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
   then obtain C where c4: C \subseteq B \land |UNIV::nat\ set| = o\ |C|
    using internalize-card-of-ordLeq2[of UNIV::nat set B] ordLess-imp-ordLeq by
blast
   obtain c where c \in C using c4 using card-of-empty2 by fastforce
   moreover obtain D where c5: D = C - \{c\} by blast
   ultimately have c6: C = D \cup \{c\} by blast
   have \neg finite D using c4 c5 using card-of-ordIso-finite by force
  moreover then have |\{c\}| \le o|D| by (metis card-of-singl-ordLeg finite.emptyI)
  ultimately have |C| \le o|D| using c6 using card-of-Un-infinite ordIso-imp-ordLeq
by blast
    then obtain f where C \subseteq f 'D by (metis card-of-ordLeq2 empty-subsetI
   moreover have D \subset C \land C \subseteq B \land B \subseteq A using c3 c4 c5 c6 by blast
    ultimately have (\exists f.\ B\subseteq f\ `C)\ \lor\ (\exists g.\ A\subseteq g`B) using b1 unfolding
cardLeN1-def by metis
   moreover have (\exists f. \ B \subseteq f \ `C) \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume \exists f. \ B \subseteq f \ `C
     then obtain f where B \subseteq f ' C by blast
     then have |B| \le o |f'C| by simp
     moreover have |f'C| \le o |C| by simp
     ultimately have |B| \le o |C| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
      then show False using c3 c4 not-ordLess-ordIso ordLess-ordLeq-trans by
blast
   qed
   moreover have (\exists g. A \subseteq g'B) \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume \exists g. A \subseteq g'B
     then obtain g where A \subseteq g'B by blast
     then have |A| \le o |g'B| by simp
     moreover have |g'B| \leq o |B| by simp
     ultimately have |A| \le o |B| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
     then show False using c1 c2
         {f by}\ (metis\ BNF-Cardinal-Order-Relation.ordLess-Field\ not-ordLess-ordIso
ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
   qed
   ultimately show False by blast
  then show |A| \le o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat \ . \ True\}|  by simp
next
 assume |A| \le o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat \ . \ True\}|
```

```
then have b1: |A| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| by simp
 have \forall B \subseteq A. (\forall C \subseteq B . ((\exists D f. D \subset C \land C \subseteq f D)) \longrightarrow (\exists f. B \subseteq f C)
))))
                   \vee ( \exists g . A \subseteq g'B)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ B
    assume B \subseteq A
    show (\forall C \subseteq B : ((\exists Df. D \subset C \land C \subseteq f'D) \longrightarrow (\exists f. B \subseteq f'C))) \lor (\exists f. B \subseteq f'C))) \lor (\exists f. B \subseteq f'C)))
g . A \subseteq g'B)
    proof (cases |B| \le o |UNIV::nat set|)
      assume d1: |B| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
      have \forall C \subseteq B : ((\exists D f. D \subset C \land C \subseteq f'D) \longrightarrow (\exists f. B \subseteq f'C))
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ C
        assume C \subseteq B and \exists D f. D \subset C \land C \subseteq f'D
        then obtain D f where e1: D \subset C \land C \subseteq fD by blast
        \mathbf{have}\ \mathit{finite}\ C \longrightarrow \mathit{False}
        proof
          assume finite C
          moreover then have finite D using e1 finite-subset by blast
          ultimately have |D| < o|C|
            using e1 by (metis finite-card-of-iff-card3 psubset-card-mono)
          moreover have |C| \le o |D| using e1 using surj-imp-ordLeq by blast
          ultimately show False using not-ordLeq-ordLess by blast
        \mathbf{qed}
           then have |B| \le o |C| using d1 by (metis infinite-iff-card-of-nat or-
dLeq-transitive)
       then show \exists f. B \subseteq f'C by (metis card-of-ordLeq2 empty-subsetI order-reft)
      qed
      then show ?thesis by blast
      assume \neg |B| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
      then have |A| \le o |B| using b1 lem-cord-lin
        by (metis cardSuc-ordLeq-ordLess card-of-Card-order ordLess-ordLeq-trans)
     then have \exists g : A \subseteq g'B by (metis card-of-ordLeq2 empty-subsetI order-reft)
      then show ?thesis by blast
    qed
  qed
  then show cardLeN1 A unfolding cardLeN1-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-cleN1-eqdef:
fixes r::('U\times'U) set
shows (|r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat \ . \ True\}|)
     \longleftrightarrow (\ \forall \ s \subseteq r.\ (\ (\ \forall \ t \subseteq s \ .\ ((\exists \ t'f.\ t' \subset t \land t \subseteq f't') \longrightarrow (\exists \ f.\ s \subseteq f't\ ))\ )
  using lem-cardLeN1-eqdef[of r] cardLeN1-def by blast
```

1.2.3 Result

The next theorem has the following meaning: if the cardinality of a confluent binary relation r does not exceed the first uncountable cardinal, then confluence of r can be proved with the help of the decreasing diagrams method using no more than 3 labels (e.g. 0, 1, 2 ordered in the usual way).

```
theorem thm-main:
fixes r::('U\times'U) set
assumes \forall \ a \ b \ c \ . \ (a,b) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (a,c) \in r \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in r \hat{\ } * \land (c,d) \in r \hat{\ } *)
     and |r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat \ . \ True\}|
shows ∃ r0 r1 r2 . (
             (r = (r\theta \cup r1 \cup r2))
           \land (\forall a b c. (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r\theta
                   \longrightarrow (\exists d.
                              (b,d) \in r\theta =
                            \land (c,d) \in r\theta = )
          \land (\forall a b c. (a,b) \in r0 \land (a,c) \in r1
                   \longrightarrow (\exists b' d.
                              (b,b') \in r1^- = \land (b',d) \in r0^- *
                            \land (c,d) \in r\theta \hat{\ } * ) )
          \land (\forall a b c. (a,b) \in r1 \land (a,c) \in r1
                    \rightarrow (\exists b'b''c'c''d.
                              (b,b') \in r0* \land (b',b'') \in r1= \land (b'',d) \in r0*
                            \land (c,c') \in r\theta \hat{\ } * \land (c',c'') \in r1 \hat{\ } = \land (c'',d) \in r\theta \hat{\ } * ))
          \land (\forall a b c. (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r2
                   \longrightarrow (\exists b' d.
                             (b,b') \in r2^{\widehat{}} = \land (b',d) \in (r0 \cup r1)^{\widehat{}} *
                          \land (c,d) \in (r\theta \cup r1)^*)
          \land (\forall a b c. (a,b) \in r1 \land (a,c) \in r2
                   \longrightarrow ( \exists b'b''d.
                             (b,b') \in r0^* \land (b',b'') \in r2^* = \land (b'',d) \in (r0 \cup r1)^*
                          \land (c,d) \in (r\theta \cup r1) \hat{} * )
          \land (\forall a b c. (a,b) \in r2 \land (a,c) \in r2
                   \longrightarrow (\exists b'b''c'c''d.
                           (b,b') \in (r0 \cup r1) \hat{\ } * \land (b',b'') \in r2 \hat{\ } = \land (b'',d) \in (r0 \cup r1) \hat{\ } *
                         \land (c,c') \in (r\theta \cup r1) \hat{} * \land (c',c'') \in r2 \hat{} = \land (c'',d) \in (r\theta \cup r1) \hat{} *
) )
proof -
  have b\theta: |r| < o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| using assms(2) by simp
   obtain \kappa where b1: \kappa = cardSuc | UNIV :: nat set | by blast
  have |Field \ r| \leq o \ \kappa
  proof (cases finite r)
     assume finite r
     then show ?thesis using b1 lem-fin-fl-rel by (metis Field-card-of Field-natLeg
cardSuc\text{-}ordLeg\text{-}ordLess
     card-of-card-order-on card-of-mono2 finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
  next
     assume \neg finite r
```

```
then show ?thesis using b0 b1 lem-rel-inf-fld-card using ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast qed moreover have confl-rel r using assms(1) unfolding confl-rel-def by blast ultimately have DCR3\ r using b1 lem-dc3-confl-lewsuc[of r] lem-dc3-to-d3 by blast then show ?thesis unfolding DCR3-def LD3-def jn00-def jn01-def jn02-def jn11-def jn12-def jn22-def by fast qed
```

end

1.3 Optimality of the DCR3 method for proving confluence of relations of the least uncountable cardinality

```
theory DCR3-Optimality
imports
HOL-Cardinals.Cardinals
Finite-DCR-Hierarchy
begin
```

1.3.1 Auxiliary definitions

```
datatype Lev = 10 \mid 11 \mid 12 \mid 13 \mid 14 \mid 15 \mid 16 \mid 17 \mid 18
```

 $\mathbf{type\text{-}synonym}\ 'U\ rD = Lev \times 'U\ set \times 'U\ set \times 'U\ set$

```
fun rP :: Lev \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow Lev \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set
\Rightarrow bool
where
      rP \ l0 \ A \ B \ C \ n' \ A' \ B' \ C' = (A = \{\} \land B = \{\} \land C = \{\} \land n' = l1 \land finite \ A' \}
\land B' = \{\} \land C' = \{\}\}
| rP \ 11 \ A \ B \ C \ n' \ A' \ B' \ C' = \{finite \ A \land B = \{\} \land C = \{\} \land n' = 12 \land A' = A\}
\land B' = \{\} \land C' = \{\}\}
| rP 12 A B C n' A' B' C' = (finite A \land B = \{\} \land C = \{\} \land n' = 13 \land A' = A\}
\land finite B' \land C' = \{\}\}
\land B' = B \land C' = \{\})
| rP 14 A B C n' A' B' C' = (finite A \land finite B \land C = \{\} \land n' = 15 \land A' = A\}
\wedge B' = B \wedge finite C'
\wedge B' = B \wedge C' = C
| rP \mid 16 \land B \land C \mid n' \land A' \mid B' \land C' = (finite \land A \land finite \land B \land finite \land C \land n' = 17 \land A' = A
\cup B \cup C \wedge B' = A' \wedge C' = A'
| rP 17 A B C n' A' B' C' = (finite A \land B = A \land C = A \land n' = 18 \land A' = A 
B' = A' \wedge C' = A'
finite A' \wedge B' = A' \wedge C' = A'
```

definition $rC :: 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set \Rightarrow bool$

```
rC \ S \ A \ B \ C = (A \subseteq S \land B \subseteq S \land C \subseteq S)
definition rE :: 'U set \Rightarrow ('U rD) rel
where
  rE\ S = \{\ ((n1,\ A1,\ B1,\ C1),\ (n2,\ A2,\ B2,\ C2)).\ rP\ n1\ A1\ B1\ C1\ n2\ A2\ B2
C2 \wedge rC S A1 B1 C1 \wedge rC S A2 B2 C2
fun lev\text{-}next :: Lev \Rightarrow Lev
where
  lev-next 10 = 11
 lev-next 11 = 12
 lev-next 12 = 13
 lev-next 13 = 14
 lev-next 14 = 15
 lev-next\ 15=16
 lev-next\ 16=17
 lev-next 17 = 18
lev-next\ 18=17
fun levrd :: 'U rD \Rightarrow Lev
where
 levrd (n, A, B, C) = n
fun wrd :: 'U rD \Rightarrow 'U set
where
 wrd\ (n, A, B, C) = A \cup B \cup C
definition Wrd :: 'U \ rD \ set \Rightarrow 'U \ set
where
  Wrd S = (\bigcup (wrd `S))
definition bkset :: 'a \ rel \Rightarrow 'a \ set \Rightarrow 'a \ set
where
 bkset \ r \ A = ((r^*)^-1)''A
         Auxiliary lemmas
1.3.2
lemma lem-rtr-field: (x,y) \in r^* \Longrightarrow (x=y) \lor (x \in Field \ r \land y \in Field \ r)
 by (metis Field-def Not-Domain-rtrancl Range.RangeI UnCI rtranclE)
lemma lem-fin-fl-rel: finite (Field r) = finite r
 using finite-Field finite-subset trancl-subset-Field2 by fastforce
lemma lem-rel-inf-fld-card:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes \neg finite r
shows |Field \ r| = o \ |r|
proof -
```

```
obtain f1::'U \times 'U \Rightarrow 'U where b1: f1 = (\lambda (x,y), x) by blast
  obtain f2::'U \times 'U \Rightarrow 'U where b2: f2 = (\lambda (x,y). y) by blast
  then have f1 ' r = Domain \ r \land f2 ' r = Range \ r using b1 b2 by force
  then have b3: |Domain \ r| \le o \ |r| \land |Range \ r| \le o \ |r|
   using card-of-image[of f1 r] card-of-image[of f2 r] by simp
  have |Domain \ r| \le o \ |Range \ r| \lor |Range \ r| \le o \ |Domain \ r| by (simp \ add: \ or-
dLeq-total)
  moreover have |Domain \ r| \le o \ |Range \ r| \longrightarrow |Field \ r| \le o \ |r|
  proof
   assume c1: |Domain \ r| \le o \ |Range \ r|
     moreover have finite (Domain r) \land finite (Range r) \longrightarrow finite (Field r)
unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately have \neg finite (Range r)
     \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{assms} \ \mathit{lem-fin-fl-rel} \ \mathit{card-of-ordLeq-finite} \ \mathbf{by} \ \mathit{blast}
     then have |Field \ r| = o \ |Range \ r| using c1 card-of-Un-infinite unfolding
Field-def by blast
   then show |Field r| \le o |r| using b3 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
  qed
  moreover have |Range \ r| \le o \ |Domain \ r| \longrightarrow |Field \ r| \le o \ |r|
  proof
   assume c1: |Range\ r| \le o\ |Domain\ r|
     moreover have finite (Domain r) \land finite (Range r) \longrightarrow finite (Field r)
unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately have \neg finite (Domain r)
     using assms lem-fin-fl-rel card-of-ordLeq-finite by blast
    then have |Field \ r| = o \ |Domain \ r| \ using \ c1 \ card-of-Un-infinite \ unfolding
Field-def by blast
   then show |Field r| \leq o |r| using b3 ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
  ultimately have |Field r| \le o |r| by blast
  moreover have |r| \leq o |Field r|
  proof -
   have r \subseteq (Field \ r) \times (Field \ r) unfolding Field-def by force
   then have c1: |r| \le o |Field r \times Field r| by simp
   have \neg finite (Field r) using assms lem-fin-fl-rel by blast
   then have c2: |Field r \times Field |r| = o |Field |r| by simp
   show ?thesis using c1 c2 using ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
  ultimately show ?thesis using ordIso-iff-ordLeq by blast
qed
lemma lem-conft-field: conft-rel r = (\forall a \in Field \ r. \ \forall b \in Field \ r. \ \forall c \in Field \ r.
(a,b) \in r^* \wedge (a,c) \in r^* \longrightarrow
                 (\exists d \in Field \ r. \ (b,d) \in r^* \land (c,d) \in r^*)
proof
 assume b1: confl-rel r
 show \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \forall b \in Field \ r. \ \forall c \in Field \ r. \ (a,b) \in r^* \land (a,c) \in r^* \rightarrow
                 (\exists d \in Field \ r. \ (b,d) \in r^* \land (c,d) \in r^*)
```

```
proof (intro ballI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
    assume c1: a \in Field \ r and c2: b \in Field \ r and c3: c \in Field \ r and c4: (a,b)
\in r^* \wedge (a,c) \in r^*
   obtain d where (b,d) \in r^* \land (c,d) \in r^* using b1 c4 unfolding confl-rel-def
    moreover then have d \in Field \ r \ using \ c2 \ using \ lem-rtr-field \ by \ fastforce
    ultimately show \exists d \in Field \ r. \ (b,d) \in r \hat{} * \land (c,d) \in r \hat{} *  by blast
  qed
\mathbf{next}
  assume b1: \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \forall b \in Field \ r. \ \forall c \in Field \ r. \ (a,b) \in r^* * \land (a,c) \in r^* 
                     (\exists d \in Field \ r. \ (b,d) \in r^* \land (c,d) \in r^*)
  have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a, b) \in r^* \land (a, c) \in r^* \rightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b, d) \in r^* \land (c, d) \in r^*)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
    assume (a, b) \in r \hat{\ } \land (a, c) \in r \hat{\ } \ast
    moreover then have a \notin Field \ r \lor b \notin Field \ r \lor c \notin Field \ r \longrightarrow a = b \lor a
= c by (meson lem-rtr-field)
    ultimately show \exists d. (b, d) \in r \hat{\ } \land (c, d) \in r \hat{\ } \ast \text{ using } b1 \text{ by } blast
  aed
  then show confl-rel r unfolding confl-rel-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-d2-to-dc2:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes DCR2 r
shows DCR 2 r
proof -
  obtain r\theta r1 where b1: r = r\theta \cup r1
        and b2: \forall a b c. (a,b) \in r0 \land (a,c) \in r0 \longrightarrow jn00 \ r0 \ b c
        and b3: \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r0 \land (a,c) \in r1 \longrightarrow jn01 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c
        and b4: \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \ \land \ (a,c) \in r1 \longrightarrow jn11 \ r0 \ r1 \ b \ c
     using assms unfolding DCR2-def LD2-def by blast
  obtain g::nat \Rightarrow 'U rel
    where b5: q = (\lambda \alpha :: nat. if \alpha = 0 then r0 else (if \alpha = 1 then r1 else {})) by
blast
  have b\theta: g\theta = r\theta \wedge g\theta = r\theta using b\theta by simp
  have b7: \forall n. (\neg (n = 0 \lor n = 1)) \longrightarrow g n = \{\} \text{ using } b5 \text{ by } simp
  have \forall \alpha \beta \ a \ b \ c. \ (a, b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in g \ \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. \ (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta a b c
    assume c1: (a, b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a, c) \in g \ \beta
    then have c2: (\alpha = 0 \lor \alpha = 1) \land (\beta = 0 \lor \beta = 1) using b7 by blast
    show \exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha
    proof -
      have \alpha = 0 \land \beta = 0 \longrightarrow ?thesis
      proof
```

```
then have jn00 \ r0 \ b \ c using c1 \ b2 \ b6 by blast
        then obtain d where (b, d) \in r0^{\hat{}} = \land (c, d) \in r0^{\hat{}} = unfolding jn00-def
by blast
           then have (b, b, d, d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 0 \land (c, c, d, d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 0 using b6
unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
        then show \exists b' b'' c' c'' d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \alpha \beta \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g
\beta \alpha using e1 by blast
      qed
      moreover have \alpha = 0 \land \beta = 1 \longrightarrow ?thesis
      proof
        assume e1: \alpha = 0 \land \beta = 1
        then have jn01 r0 r1 b c using c1 b3 b6 by blast
         then obtain b'' d where (b,b'') \in r1^- \land (b'',d) \in r0^- \land (c,d) \in r0^- \land
unfolding jn01-def by blast
        moreover have \mathfrak{L}v \neq 0 = q \neq 0 \land \mathfrak{L}v \neq 1 \neq 0 = q \neq 0 using b6 \ b7 unfolding
\mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
        ultimately have (b, b, b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 1 \land (c, c, c, d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 1 \ 0 using b6
unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by simp
        then show \exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g
\beta \alpha  using e1 by blast
      qed
      moreover have \alpha = 1 \land \beta = 0 \longrightarrow ?thesis
      proof
        assume e1: \alpha = 1 \land \beta = 0
        then have jn01 r0 r1 c b using c1 b3 b6 by blast
         then obtain c'' d where (c,c'') \in r1^- \land (c'',d) \in r0^- \land (b,d) \in r0^- \land
unfolding jn01-def by blast
        moreover have \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \theta \ 1 = g \ \theta \wedge \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ \theta = g \ \theta using b6 \ b7 unfolding
\mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
        ultimately have (b, b, b, d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 1 \ 0 \land (c, c, c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 1 using b \ 6
unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by simp
        then show \exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g
\beta \alpha  using e1 by blast
      qed
      moreover have \alpha = 1 \land \beta = 1 \longrightarrow ?thesis
      proof
        assume e1: \alpha = 1 \land \beta = 1
        then have jn11 r0 r1 b c using c1 b4 b6 by blast
        then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where
                e2: (b,b') \in r0^* \land (b',b'') \in r1^- \land (b'',d) \in r0^*
         and e3: (c,c') \in r0^* * \land (c',c'') \in r1^* = \land (c'',d) \in r0^* * unfolding jn11-def
by blast
         moreover have \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ 1 = g \ 0 \wedge \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 1 = g \ 0 using b6 \ b7 unfolding
\mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
        ultimately have (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g 1 1 \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g 1 1 using
b6 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by simp
        then show \exists b' \ b'' \ c' \ c'' \ d. (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ \alpha \ \beta \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g
```

assume $e1: \alpha = 0 \land \beta = 0$

 $\beta \alpha$ using e1 by blast

```
qed
      ultimately show ?thesis using c2 by blast
    qed
  qed
  then have DCR-generating g unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
  moreover have r = \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < 2. \ r' = g \ \alpha' \}
  proof
    show r \subseteq \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < 2. \ r' = g \ \alpha'\}
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in r
      then have p \in r\theta \lor p \in r1 using b1 by blast
      moreover have (0::nat) < (2::nat) \land (1::nat) < (2::nat) by simp
      ultimately show p \in \bigcup \{r', \exists \alpha' < 2, r' = g \alpha'\} \text{ using } b6 \text{ by } blast
    qed
  next
    show \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < 2 : r' = g \alpha'\} \subseteq r
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < 2. r' = g \alpha'\}
      then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' < 2 \land p \in g \alpha' by blast
      moreover then have \alpha' = 0 \vee \alpha' = 1 by force
      ultimately show p \in r using b1 \ b6 by blast
    qed
  \mathbf{qed}
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding DCR-def by blast
qed
lemma lem-dc2-to-d2:
fixes r::'U rel
assumes DCR 2 r
shows DCR2 r
proof -
  obtain g where b1: DCR-generating g and b2: r = \bigcup \{r'. \exists \alpha' < 2. \ r' = g \ \alpha' \}
      using assms unfolding DCR-def by blast
  have \forall \alpha :: nat. \alpha < 2 \longleftrightarrow \alpha = 0 \lor \alpha = 1 by force
  then have b3: \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 0 = \{\} \land \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 1 = g \ 0 \land \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ 2 = g \ 0 \cup g \ 1
      \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 0 \ 0 = \{\} \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ 0 = g \ 0 \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 0 \ 1 = g \ 0 \land \ \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ 1 \ 1 = g \ 0
    unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by (simp-all, blast+)
  have r = (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1)
  proof
    show r \subseteq (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1)
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in r
      then obtain \alpha where p \in g \ \alpha \land \alpha < 2 using b2 by blast
      moreover have \forall \alpha :: nat. \alpha < 2 \longleftrightarrow \alpha = 0 \lor \alpha = 1 by force
      ultimately show p \in (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1) by force
    qed
```

```
next
    have (0::nat) < (2::nat) \land (1::nat) < (2::nat) by simp
    then show (g \ \theta) \cup (g \ 1) \subseteq r using b2 by blast
  moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ 0) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 0) \longrightarrow jn00 \ (g \ 0) \ b \ c
  proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix}\ a\ b\ c
    assume (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ \theta)
    then obtain b'b''c'c''d where (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g \ 0 \ 0 \ \land (c, c', c'', d) \in
      using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
    then show jn00 (g \ 0) \ b \ c unfolding jn00-def \mathfrak{D}-def \mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by force
 moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1) \longrightarrow jn01 \ (g \ \theta) \ (g \ 1) \ b \ c
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
    assume (a,b) \in (g \ \theta) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1)
    then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where
      (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ 0 \ 1 \land (c, c', c'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ 1 \ 0
        using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
    then show jn01\ (g\ 0)\ (g\ 1)\ b\ c unfolding jn01-def \mathfrak{D}-def \mathfrak{L}1-def \mathfrak{L}v-def by
force
  qed
  moreover have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in (g \ 1) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1) \longrightarrow jn11 \ (g \ 0) \ (g \ 1) \ b \ c
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} \ a \ b \ c
    assume (a,b) \in (g \ 1) \land (a,c) \in (g \ 1)
    then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where (b, b', b'', d) \in \mathfrak{D} g 1 1 \wedge (c, c', c'', d) \in
D q 1 1
        using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
    then show jn11 (g \theta) (g 1) b c
        unfolding jn11-def \mathfrak{D}-def apply (simp\ only:\ b3)
        by blast
  qed
  ultimately have LD2 \ r \ (g \ \theta) \ (g \ 1) unfolding LD2-def by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding DCR2-def by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-rP-inv: rP n A B C n' A' B' C' <math>\Longrightarrow ( A \subseteq A' \land B \subseteq B' \land C \subseteq C'
        \land finite A \land finite B \land finite C \land finite A' \land finite B' \land finite C'
 by (cases n, cases n', force+)
lemma lem-infset-finext:
fixes S::'U set and A::'U set
assumes \neg finite S and finite A and A \subseteq S
shows \exists B. B \subseteq S \land A \subset B \land finite B
proof -
 have b1: finite A using assms lem-rP-inv by blast
  then have A \neq S using assms by blast
```

```
then obtain A2 x where x \in S \land A2 = A \cup \{x\} \land x \notin A \land A2 \subseteq S using
assms by force
 moreover then have finite A2 using b1 by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-df:
fixes S::'U set
shows (u,v) \in rE \ S \Longrightarrow (u,w) \in rE \ S \Longrightarrow (v,t) \in (rE \ S)^{\hat{}} = \Longrightarrow (w,t) \in (rE
S) = \Longrightarrow v = w
proof -
 assume (u,v) \in rE S and (u,w) \in rE S and (v,t) \in (rE S)^{\hat{}} = and (w,t) \in (rE S)^{\hat{}} =
S) =
 moreover have \bigwedge u v w t. (u,v) \in rE S \Longrightarrow (u,w) \in rE S \Longrightarrow (v,t) \in rE S
\forall v = t \Longrightarrow (w, t) \in rE S \Longrightarrow v = w
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v \ w \ t
   assume (u,v) \in (rE\ S) and (u,w) \in (rE\ S) and (v,t) \in (rE\ S) \lor v = t and
(w, t) \in (rE S)
   moreover obtain n::Lev and a b c where u=(n,a,b,c) using prod-cases4
  moreover obtain n'::Lev and a'b'c' where v = (n',a',b',c') using prod\text{-}cases4
by blast
    moreover obtain n''::Lev and a'' b'' c'' where w=(n'',a'',b'',c'') using
prod-cases4 by blast
   moreover obtain n'''::Lev and a''' b''' c''' where t = (n''', a''', b''', c''') using
prod-cases4 by blast
   ultimately show v = w
     apply (simp \ add: rE\text{-}def)
     apply (cases n)
     apply (cases n')
     apply (cases n'')
     apply (cases n''')
     by simp+
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-succ-lev:
fixes S::'U set
assumes (u,v) \in rE S
shows levrd v = (lev-next (levrd u))
proof
 obtain n \ A \ B \ C where b1: u = (n, A, B, C) using prod-cases4 by blast
 moreover obtain n' A' B' C' where b2: v = (n', A', B', C') using prod-cases4
by blast
  ultimately have rP n A B C n' A' B' C' using assms unfolding rE-def by
blast
 then have n' = (lev\text{-}next \ n) by (cases \ n, \ auto+)
```

```
then show ?thesis using b1 b2 by simp
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-rE-levset-inv}:
fixes S::'U set and L u v
assumes a1: (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * and a2: levrd\ u \in L and a3: lev-next ' L \subseteq L
shows levrd v \in L
proof -
  have \bigwedge k. \forall u \ v :: 'U \ rD. (u,v) \in (rE \ S)^{k} \land levrd \ u \in L \longrightarrow levrd \ v \in L
 proof -
   \mathbf{fix} \ k
   show \forall u \ v :: 'U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S)^{k} \land levrd \ u \in L \longrightarrow levrd \ v \in L
   proof (induct k)
      show \forall u \ v :: 'U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S) \cap 0 \land levrd \ u \in L \longrightarrow levrd \ v \in L \ by
simp
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ k
     assume d1: \forall u v::'U rD. (u,v) \in (rE S)^k \wedge levrd u \in L \longrightarrow levrd v \in L
     show \forall u \ v :: 'U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S) \cap (Suc \ k) \land levrd \ u \in L \longrightarrow levrd \ v \in L
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix u v :: 'U rD
       assume (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}(Suc\ k) \land levrd\ u \in L
        moreover then obtain v' where e1: (u,v') \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}k \wedge (v',v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}k
S) by force
       ultimately have levrd v' \in L using d1 by blast
       then have levrd v \in lev\text{-next} 'L using e1 lem-rE-succ-lev[of v' v] by force
       then show levrd v \in L using a3 by force
     ged
   qed
  qed
  then show ?thesis using a1 a2 rtrancl-imp-relpow by blast
lemma lem-rE-levun:
fixes S::'U set
shows u \in Domain (rE S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u \in \{11, 13, 15\} \Longrightarrow \exists \ v. (rE S)``\{u\} \subseteq \{v\}
proof -
  assume a1: u \in Domain (rE S) and a2: levrd u \in \{11, 13, 15\}
  then obtain v where b1: (u,v) \in (rE\ S) by blast
 obtain n a b c where b2: u = (n,a,b,c) using prod-cases4 by blast
  obtain n' a' b' c' where b3: v = (n', a', b', c') using prod-cases4 by blast
  have b4: rP n a b c n' a' b' c' using b1 b2 b3 unfolding rE-def by blast
 have n = 11 \lor n = 13 \lor n = 15 using b2 \ a2 by simp
  moreover have n = 11 \longrightarrow (rE\ S) " \{u\} \subseteq \{v\} using b2\ b3\ b4 unfolding
rE-def by force
  moreover have n = 13 \longrightarrow (rE\ S) " \{u\} \subseteq \{v\} using b2\ b3\ b4 unfolding
rE-def by force
  moreover have n = 15 \longrightarrow (rE\ S) " \{u\} \subseteq \{v\} using b2 b3 b4 unfolding
rE-def by force
```

```
ultimately show \exists v. (rE S) ``\{u\} \subseteq \{v\}  by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-domfield:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S
shows Domain (rE S) = Field (rE S)
proof -
 have \bigwedge u2\ u1::'U\ rD.\ (u2,u1)\in rE\ S\Longrightarrow \exists\ u3.\ (u1,u3)\in rE\ S
 proof -
   fix u2 u1::'U rD
   assume c1: (u2,u1) \in rE S
   obtain n1 A1 B1 C1 where c2: u1 = (n1, A1, B1, C1) using prod-cases4 by
blast
   obtain n2 A2 B2 C2 where c3: u2 = (n2, A2, B2, C2) using prod-cases4 by
   have c4: rP \ n2 \ A2 \ B2 \ C2 \ n1 \ A1 \ B1 \ C1 \ \land \ rC \ S \ A2 \ B2 \ C2 \ \land \ rC \ S \ A1 \ B1 \ C1
using c1 c2 c3 unfolding rE-def by blast
   then have finite (A1 \cup A2) using lem-rP-inv by blast
   moreover have A1 \cup A2 \subseteq S using c4 unfolding rC-def by blast
   ultimately obtain A3 where c5: A3 \subseteq S \land A1 \subset A3 \land A2 \subset A3 \land finite
A3
     using assms lem-infset-finext[of S A1 \cup A2] by blast
   have \exists n3 \ A3 \ B3 \ C3. (rP n1 A1 B1 C1 n3 A3 B3 C3 \land rC S A3 B3 C3)
   using c4 unfolding rC-def
    apply (cases n1)
    apply (cases n2, simp+)
    apply (cases n2, simp+)
    apply (cases n2, simp+)
    \mathbf{apply}\ (\mathit{force},\ \mathit{simp}+)
     apply (cases n2, simp+)
    apply (cases n2, simp+)
    apply (force, simp+)
     apply (cases n2, simp+)
    apply (cases n2, simp+)
     apply (cases n2, simp+)
     using c5 apply (cases n2)
     apply \ simp +
    apply blast
    apply simp
     done
   then obtain n3 A3 B3 C3 where rP n1 A1 B1 C1 n3 A3 B3 C3 \wedge rC S A3
B3 C3 by blast
   moreover obtain u3 where u3 = (n3, A3, B3, C3) by blast
   moreover have rC S A1 B1 C1 using c1 c2 unfolding rE-def by blast
   ultimately have (u1,u3) \in rE S using c2 unfolding rE-def by blast
   then show \exists u3. (u1,u3) \in rES by blast
 qed
 then show ?thesis unfolding Field-def by blast
```

```
qed
lemma lem-wrd-fin-field-rE:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S
shows u \in Field (rE S) \Longrightarrow finite (wrd u)
proof -
 assume u \in Field (rE S)
 then have u \in Domain (rE S) using assms lem-rE-domfield by blast
 then show finite (wrd u) using lem-rP-inv unfolding rE-def by force
 assume a1: (u,v) \in (rE\ S)*
```

```
qed
lemma lem-rE-rtr-wrd-mon:
fixes S::'U set and u v::'U rD
shows (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \Longrightarrow wrd\ u \subseteq wrd\ v
proof -
  have b1: \bigwedge u \ v ::' U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v
  proof -
    fix u v :: 'U rD
    assume a1: (u,v) \in (rE\ S)
    obtain n \ A \ B \ C where b1: u = (n,A,B,C) using prod-cases4 by blast
    obtain n' A' B' C' where b2: v = (n', A', B', C') using prod-cases4 by blast
    have wrd\ u = A \cup B \cup C \wedge wrd\ v = A' \cup B' \cup C' using a1 b1 b2 by simp
    then show wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v using a1 b1 b2 lem-rP-inv unfolding rE-def by
fast
  have \bigwedge n. \ \forall \ u \ v ::' U \ r D. \ (u,v) \in (r E \ S) \widehat{\ \ } n \longrightarrow w r d \ u \subseteq w r d \ v
  proof -
    \mathbf{fix} \ n
    show \forall u \ v :: 'U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S) \widehat{\ \ } n \longrightarrow wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v
    proof (induct n)
      show \forall u \ v. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S) \cap \theta \longrightarrow wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v \ \textbf{by} \ simp
    \mathbf{next}
      \mathbf{fix} \ m
      assume d1: \forall u \ v::'U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S)^m \longrightarrow wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v
      show \forall u \ v :: 'U \ rD. \ (u,v) \in (rE \ S) ^(Suc \ m) \longrightarrow wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix u v :: 'U rD
        assume (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}(Suc\ m)
        then obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \ m \land (v',v) \in (rE\ S) by force
        then show wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v \ using \ d1 \ b1 \ by \ blast
      qed
    qed
  qed
  then show wrd \ u \subseteq wrd \ v \ using \ a1 \ rtrancl-imp-relpow \ by \ blast
lemma lem-Wrd-bkset-rE: Wrd (bkset (rE S) U) = Wrd U
```

```
proof
 show Wrd (bkset (rE S) U) \subseteq Wrd U
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ y
   assume y \in Wrd (bkset (rE S) U)
   then obtain u v where u \in U \land (v,u) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \land y \in wrd\ v unfolding
Wrd-def bkset-def by force
   moreover then have wrd \ v \subseteq wrd \ u using lem-rE-rtr-wrd-mon by blast
   ultimately show y \in Wrd \ U unfolding Wrd-def by blast
 qed
\mathbf{next}
 show Wrd U \subseteq Wrd (bkset (rE S) U) unfolding Wrd-def bkset-def by blast
lemma lem-Wrd-rE-field-subs-cnt:
fixes S::'U \text{ set and } U::('U \text{ } rD) \text{ set}
assumes \neg finite S
shows U \subseteq Field \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow |U| \le o \ |UNIV::nat \ set| \Longrightarrow |Wrd \ U| \le o \ |UNIV::nat
set
proof -
 assume b1: U \subseteq Field\ (rE\ S) and a2: |U| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set|
 moreover have \forall u \in U. |wrd u| \leq o |UNIV::nat set|
 proof
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in U
   then have finite (wrd u) using b1 assms lem-wrd-fin-field-rE by blast
   then show |wrd u| \le o |UNIV::nat set| using ordLess-imp-ordLeq by force
 ged
 ultimately have |\bigcup u \in U. wrd u| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
   using card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite infinite-UNIV-nat by blast
 then show |Wrd U| \le o |UNIV::nat set| unfolding Wrd-def by simp
qed
lemma lem-rE-dn-cnt:
fixes S::'U set and U::('U \ rD) set
assumes \neg finite S
shows U \subseteq Field \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow |U| \le o \ |UNIV::nat \ set| \Longrightarrow V \subseteq bkset \ (rE \ S) \ U
\implies |Wrd\ V| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set|
proof -
  assume a1: U \subseteq Field \ (rE \ S) and a2: |U| \le o \ |UNIV::nat \ set| and a3: V \subseteq
bkset (rES) U
 have Wrd\ V\subseteq Wrd\ (bkset\ (rE\ S)\ U) using a3 unfolding Wrd\text{-}def by blast
 then have |Wrd V| \le o |Wrd (bkset (rE S) U)| by simp
 moreover have |Wrd\ (bkset\ (rE\ S)\ U)| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set|
   using a1 a2 assms lem-Wrd-bkset-rE[of S U] lem-Wrd-rE-field-subs-cnt[of S U]
by force
 ultimately show |Wrd V| \le o |UNIV::nat set| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
```

```
lemma lem-rE-succ-Wrd-univ: (u,w) \in (rE\ S) \Longrightarrow levrd\ u \in \{10,12,14\} \Longrightarrow S
wrd \ w \subseteq Wrd \ (((rE \ S)``\{u\}) - \{w\})
proof -
  assume a1: (u, w) \in (rE\ S) and a2: levrd\ u \in \{10, 12, 14\}
 moreover obtain n a b c where b2: u = (n,a,b,c) using prod-cases by blast
 moreover obtain n' a' b' c' where b3: w = (n', a', b', c') using prod-cases4 by
blast
 ultimately have b4: rP \ n \ a \ b \ c \ n' \ a' \ b' \ c' \wedge rC \ S \ a \ b \ c \wedge rC \ S \ a' \ b' \ c' unfolding
rE-def by blast
  have \forall y \in S. \ y \notin wrd \ w \longrightarrow (\exists v \in (rE \ S)``\{u\} - \{w\}. \ y \in wrd \ v)
  proof (intro ballI impI)
   assume c\theta: y \in S and c1: y \notin wrd w
   have n = 10 \longrightarrow (\exists v \in (rE\ S)``\{u\} - \{w\}.\ y \in wrd\ v)
   proof
      assume n = 10
     then have (u, (11, \{y\}, \{\}, \{\})) \in (rE\ S) using c0\ b2\ b4 unfolding rE-def
rC-def by force
     then show \exists v \in (rE\ S)``\{u\} - \{w\}.\ y \in wrd\ v \text{ using } c1 \text{ by } force
   moreover have n = 12 \longrightarrow (\exists v \in (rE\ S)) (\{u\} - \{w\}, y \in wrd\ v)
   proof
      assume n = 12
      then have (u, (13, a, \{y\}, \{\})) \in (rE\ S) using c\theta\ b2\ b4 unfolding rE-def
rC-def by force
      then show \exists v \in (rE\ S) \text{ ``}\{u\} - \{w\}.\ y \in wrd\ v \text{ using } c1 \text{ by } force
   moreover have n = 14 \longrightarrow (\exists v \in (rE\ S)) (\{u\} - \{w\}, y \in wrd\ v)
   proof
      assume n = 14
      then have (u, (15, a, b, \{y\})) \in (rE\ S) using c0\ b2\ b4 unfolding rE-def
rC-def by force
      then show \exists v \in (rE\ S)``\{u\} - \{w\}.\ y \in wrd\ v \text{ using } c1 \text{ by } force
   ultimately show \exists v \in (rE\ S)``\{u\} - \{w\}.\ y \in wrd\ v \text{ using } a2\ b2 \text{ by } force
  then show S - wrd \ w \subseteq Wrd \ (((rE \ S)``\{u\}) - \{w\}) \ unfolding \ Wrd-def \ by
blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-succ-nocntbnd:
fixes S::'U set and u\theta::'U rD and v\theta::'U rD and U::('U rD) set
assumes a\theta: \neg |S| \le o |UNIV::nat set| and a1: (u\theta, v\theta) \in (rE S) and a2: levrd
u\theta \in \{10, 12, 14\}
   and a3: U \subseteq Field (rE S) and a4: ((rE S) " \{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\} \subseteq bkset (rE S) U
shows \neg |U| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
proof
  assume |U| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
  moreover have c\theta: \neg finite S using a\theta by (meson card-of-Well-order infi-
```

```
nite-iff-card-of-nat ordLeq-total)
  ultimately have c1: |Wrd(((rE S)``\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\})| \le o|UNIV::nat set| using
a3 a4 lem-rE-dn-cnt by blast
 have v0 \in Field (rE S) using a1 unfolding Field-def by blast
  then have finite (wrd v0) using c0 a0 lem-wrd-fin-field-rE by blast
  then have \neg |S - wrd v\theta| \le o |UNIV::nat set| using a\theta
    by (metis card-of-infinite-diff-finite finite-iff-cardOf-nat ordIso-symmetric or-
dLeq-iff-ordLess-or-ordIso ordLeq-transitive)
 moreover have S - wrd \ v\theta \subseteq Wrd \ (((rES)``\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\}) \ using \ lem-rE-succ-Wrd-univ
a1 a2 by blast
 ultimately have \neg |Wrd(((rES)``\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\})| \le o |UNIV::nat set| by (metis
card-of-mono1 ordLeq-transitive)
 then show False using c1 by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-succ-nocntbnd2:
fixes S::'U set and u\theta::'U rD and v\theta::'U rD
assumes a\theta: \neg |S| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
   and a1: (u0, v0) \in (rE\ S) and a2: levrd\ u0 \in \{10, 12, 14\}
   and a3: r \subseteq (rE\ S) and a4: \forall u. |r''\{u\}| \le o |UNIV::nat\ set|
   and a5: ((rE\ S)\ "\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\} \subseteq bkset\ (rE\ S)\ ((r^*)"\{u\theta\})
{f shows} False
proof -
 have b1: \bigwedge n::nat. \bigwedge u:(U rD). u \in Field (rE S) \longrightarrow (r^n)``\{u\} \subseteq Field (rE
S) \wedge |(r^n)''\{u\}| \leq o |UNIV::nat set|
  proof (intro impI)
   fix n::nat and u::'U \ rD
   assume c1: u \in Field (rE S)
   show (r^n) "\{u\} \subseteq Field\ (rE\ S) \land |(r^n)\ "\{u\}| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set|
   proof (induct n)
      show (r^{\circ}\theta) "\{u\} \subseteq Field\ (rE\ S) \land |(r^{\circ}\theta)" "\{u\}| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set|
using c1 by simp
   next
     \mathbf{fix} \ m
     assume d1: (r^m)''\{u\} \subseteq Field (rES) \land |(r^m)''\{u\}| \leq o |UNIV::nat set|
      moreover have \forall v \in (r^{n}) : \{u\}, |r''\{v\}| \le o |UNIV::nat set|  using a4
by blast
    moreover have (r \cap Suc m) \cap \{u\} = (\bigcup v \in ((r \cap m) \cap \{u\}). r \cap \{v\}) by force
     ultimately have |(r \cap Suc'm) \cap \{u\}| \leq o |UNIV::nat |set|
         using card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of UNIV::nat set (<math>r^m) "{u}] infi-
nite-UNIV-nat by simp
      moreover have (r \cap Suc \ m) "\{u\} \subseteq Field \ (rE \ S) \ using \ d1 \ a3 \ unfolding
Field-def by fastforce
     ultimately show (r \curvearrowright Suc \ m) ``\{u\} \subseteq Field \ (rE \ S) \land |(r \curvearrowright Suc \ m) \ ``\{u\}|
\leq o |UNIV::nat set| by blast
   qed
  ged
  have b2: \bigwedge u:'U \ rD. \ u \in Field \ (rE \ S) \longrightarrow |(r \hat{*}) \ ``\{u\}| \leq o \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
  proof (intro impI)
```

```
fix u::'U rD
   assume c1: u \in Field (rE S)
   \mathbf{have}\ |\mathit{UNIV}{::}\mathit{nat}\ \mathit{set}| \le |\mathit{UNIV}{::}\mathit{nat}\ \mathit{set}|\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp}
   moreover have \forall n. |(r \cap n) \cap \{u\}| \le o |UNIV::nat set|  using c1 b1 by blast
   ultimately have c1: || \int n. (r^n) \cdot || \{u\}| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
       using card-of-UNION-ordLeq-infinite[of UNIV::nat set UNIV::nat set] infi-
nite-UNIV-nat by simp
    have (r \hat{*}) "\{u\} \subseteq (\bigcup n. (r \hat{n}) \text{ "} \{u\}) by (simp\ add:\ rtrancl-is-UN-relpow)
subset-eq)
   then have |(r^*) "\{u\}| \le o |\bigcup n. (r^n) "\{u\}| by simp
    then show |(r^*) " \{u\}| \le o |UNIV::nat \ set| \ using \ c1 \ ordLeq-transitive \ by
blast
  qed
  obtain U where b3: U = ((r *) `` \{u0\}) by blast
  have U \subseteq ([] n. (r^n) " \{u\theta\}) using b3 by (simp add: rtrancl-is-UN-relpow
subset-eq)
  moreover have u\theta \in Field \ (rE \ S) using a unfolding Field-def by blast
  ultimately have U \subseteq Field\ (rE\ S) \land |U| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set| using b1 b2 b3
  moreover have ((rE\ S)\ "\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\} \subseteq bkset\ (rE\ S)\ U using b3\ a5 by
blast
  ultimately show False using a0 a1 a2 lem-rE-succ-nocntbnd[of S u0 v0 U] by
blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-diamsubr-un:
fixes S::'U set
assumes a1: r0 \subseteq (rE\ S) and a2: \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r0 \land (a,c) \in r0 \longrightarrow (\exists \ d.
(b,d) \in r\theta = \land (c,d) \in r\theta = 
shows \forall u. \exists v. r\theta``\{u\} \subseteq \{v\}
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ u
 have \forall v w. (u,v) \in r\theta \land (u,w) \in r\theta \longrightarrow v = w
 proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ v \ w
   assume (u,v) \in r\theta \land (u,w) \in r\theta
   moreover then obtain t where (v,t) \in r0 = \land (w,t) \in r0 = using a2 by
   ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \land (u,w) \in (rE\ S) \land (v,t) \in (rE\ S) = \land (w,t)
\in (rE\ S) = using a1 by blast
   then show v = w using lem-rE-df by blast
  then show \exists v. r\theta``\{u\} \subseteq \{v\} by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-succ-nocntbnd3:
fixes S::'U set and u\theta::'U rD and v\theta::'U rD
assumes a\theta: \neg |S| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
   and a1: LD2 (rE S) r0 r1
```

```
and a2: (u0, v0) \in (rE\ S) and a3: levrd\ u0 \in \{10, 12, 14\}
   and a4: r = \{(u,v) \in rE \ S. \ u = v\theta\} \cup r\theta
   and a5: ((rE\ S)\ ``\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\} \subseteq bkset\ (rE\ S)\ ((r^*)``\{u\theta\})
{f shows} False
proof -
  have b1: r0 \subseteq (rE\ S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
  then have r \subseteq (rE S) using a4 by blast
  moreover have \forall u. |r''\{u\}| \leq o |UNIV::nat set|
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ u
   have \forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r\theta \longrightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in r\theta^{\hat{}} = \land \ (c,d) \in r\theta^{\hat{}} =)
     using a1 unfolding LD2-def jn00-def by blast
   then obtain v where r0``\{u\} \subseteq \{v\} using b1\ lem-rE-diamsubr-un[of\ r0] by
blast
   moreover have r''\{u\} \subseteq r\theta''\{u\} \cup (rE\ S)''\{v\theta\} using a4 by blast
   ultimately have r``\{u\} \subseteq \{v\} \cup (rE\ S)``\{v\theta\} by blast
   moreover have |\{v\} \cup (rE\ S)``\{v\theta\}| \le o\ |UNIV::nat\ set|
   proof -
     have levrd v\theta \in \{11, 13, 15\} using a2 a3 unfolding rE-def by force
       moreover have \neg finite S using a0 by (meson card-of-Well-order infi-
nite-iff-card-of-nat ordLeq-total)
      moreover then have v\theta \in Domain (rE S) using a2 a0 lem-rE-domfield
unfolding Field-def by blast
     ultimately obtain v\theta' where (rE\ S) "\{v\theta\} \subseteq \{v\theta'\} using lem-rE-levun by
blast
     then have \{v\} \cup (rE\ S) \text{ ``} \{v\theta\} \subseteq \{v,v\theta'\} \text{ by } blast
     then have finite (\{v\} \cup (rE\ S) \text{ ``}\{v\theta\}) by (meson finite.emptyI finite.insertI
rev-finite-subset)
     then show ?thesis by (simp add: ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
  ultimately show |r''\{u\}| \le o |UNIV::nat\ set| using card-of-mono1 ordLeq-transitive
by blast
 qed
  ultimately show ?thesis using a0 a2 a3 a5 lem-rE-succ-nocntbnd2[of S u0 v0
r] by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-one:
fixes S::'U set and u\theta::'U rD and v\theta::'U rD
assumes a\theta: \neg |S| \le o |UNIV::nat set| and a1: LD2 (rE S) r\theta r1
   and a2: (u0, v0) \in r0 and a3: levrd u0 \in \{10, 12, 14\}
shows False
proof
  obtain r where b1: r = \{(u,v) \in rE \ S. \ u = v\theta\} \cup r\theta \ \text{by} \ blast
  moreover have (u0, v0) \in (rE\ S) using a1 a2 unfolding LD2-def by blast
  moreover have ((rE\ S)\ ``\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\} \subseteq bkset\ (rE\ S)\ ((r^*)``\{u\theta\})
  proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ v
   assume c1: v \in ((rE\ S)\ ``\{u\theta\}) - \{v\theta\}
```

```
have \exists v. r0``\{u0\} \subseteq \{v\} \text{ using } a1 \text{ lem-rE-diamsubr-un}[of r0 S] \text{ unfolding}
LD2-def jn00-def by blast
   then have r\theta " \{u\theta\} \subseteq \{v\theta\} using a2 by blast
   moreover have c2: (rE\ S) = r\theta \cup r1 using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
   ultimately have (u\theta, v) \in r1 using c1 by blast
   then have jn01 r0 r1 v0 v using a1 a2 unfolding LD2-def by blast
   then obtain v\theta' d where c\beta: (v\theta, v\theta') \in r1^{\hat{}} = \land (v\theta', d) \in r\theta^{\hat{}} * \land (v, d) \in r\theta^{\hat{}} 
r\theta * unfolding jn\theta 1-def by blast
   obtain U where c4: U = (r^*) \{u\theta\} by blast
   have (u\theta, d) \in r \hat{\ } *
   proof -
     have v\theta = v\theta' \lor (v\theta, v\theta') \in (rE\ S) using c2\ c3 by blast
     then have (v\theta, v\theta') \in \hat{r} = using b1 by blast
     moreover have (u\theta, v\theta) \in r using b1 a2 by blast
     ultimately have (u\theta, v\theta') \in r \hat{} * by force
     moreover have (v0',d) \in r^* using c3 b1 rtrancl-mono[of r0 r] by blast
     ultimately show ?thesis by force
   qed
   then have d \in U using c4 by blast
   then have c3: v \in bkset \ r0 \ U \ using \ c3 \ unfolding \ bkset-def \ by \ blast
   have r\theta \subseteq (rE\ S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
   then have bkset r0\ U \subseteq bkset\ (rE\ S)\ U unfolding bkset-def by (simp add:
Image-mono rtrancl-mono)
   then show v \in bkset (rE S) ((r^*)''\{u\theta\}) using c3 c4 by blast
 qed
 ultimately show False using a0 a1 a3 lem-rE-succ-nocntbnd3[of S r0 r1 u0 v0
r] by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-jn\theta:
fixes S::'U set and u1::'U rD and u2::'U rD and v::'U rD
assumes a1: (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) and a2: (u2,v) \in (rE\ S) and a3: u1 \neq u2
shows levrd v \in \{17, 18\}
proof -
 obtain n1 a1 b1 c1 where b1: u1 = (n1, a1, b1, c1) using prod-cases4 by blast
 obtain n2 a2 b2 c2 where b2: u2 = (n2, a2, b2, c2) using prod-cases4 by blast
 obtain n a b c where b3: v = (n,a,b,c) using prod-cases4 by blast
 have rP n1 a1 b1 c1 n a b c using b1 b3 a1 unfolding rE-def by blast
  moreover have rP n2 a2 b2 c2 n a b c using b2 b3 a2 unfolding rE-def by
blast
  moreover have (n1,a1,b1,c1) \neq (n2,a2,b2,c2) using a3 b1 b2 by blast
  ultimately have n \in \{17, 18\}
   apply (cases n1, cases n2)
   apply (simp+, cases n2)
   apply simp+
```

```
done
     then show ?thesis using b3 by simp
qed
lemma lem-rE-jn1:
fixes S::'U set and u1::'U rD and u2::'U rD and v::'U rD
assumes a1: (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) and a2: (u2,v) \in (rE\ S) * and a3: (u1,u2) \notin (rE\ S)
S) \wedge (u2,u1) \notin (rE S)^*
shows levrd v \in \{17, 18\}
proof -
   have \bigwedge k2. \forall u1 u2 v::'U rD. \forall i. i \leq k2 \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE S) \land (u2,u1) \notin (rE
S) \hat{} * \longrightarrow (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) \longrightarrow (u2,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} i \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17,18\}
    proof -
         fix k2
        show \forall u1 u2 v::'U rD. \forall i. i \leq k2 \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE S) \land (u2,u1) \notin (rE S)^*
       \rightarrow (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) \longrightarrow (u2,v) \in (rE\ S) \widehat{\ \ } i \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17,18\}
         proof (induct k2)
            show \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i \leq 0 \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE \ S) \land (u2,u1) \notin (rE \ S) ^*
\longrightarrow (u1, v) \in (rE\ S) \longrightarrow (u2, v) \in (rE\ S)^{\widehat{i}} \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17, 18\} by force
         \mathbf{next}
              fix k2
             assume d1: \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i \leq k2 \ \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE \ S) \ \land (u2,\ u1) \notin (u2,u2) \notin (u2,u3) \notin (u3,u3) \notin (u3,u3) \notin (u3,u3) \notin (u3,u3) \notin (u3,u3) \notin (u3,u3) \oplus (u
(rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow
                                       (u1, v) \in (rE\ S) \longrightarrow (u2, v) \in (rE\ S)^{i} \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17, 18\}
               show \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i \leq Suc \ k2 \ \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE \ S) \ \land (u2,\ u1) \notin
(rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow
                       (u1, v) \in (rE\ S) \longrightarrow (u2, v) \in (rE\ S)^{\hat{i}} \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17, 18\}
              proof (intro allI impI)
                  fix u1 u2 v::'U rD and i
                  assume e1: i \leq Suc \ k2 \land (u1, u2) \notin (rE \ S) \land (u2, u1) \notin (rE \ S)^*
                         and e2: (u1, v) \in (rE\ S) and e3: (u2, v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}i
                  show levrd v \in \{17, 18\}
                  proof (cases i = Suc k2)
                      assume f1: i = Suc \ k2
                     then obtain v' where f2: (u2, v') \in (rE\ S) and f3: (v', v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}k2
using e3 by (meson relpow-Suc-E2)
                       moreover have k2 \le k2 using e1 by force
                         ultimately have (v',u1) \notin (rE\ S)^* \land (u1,v') \notin (rE\ S) \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in
{17, 18} using e2 d1 by blast
                       moreover have (v',u1) \in (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow False
                       proof
                           assume (v',u1) \in (rE\ S)*
                           then have (u2,u1) \in (rE\ S) * using f2 by force
                           then show False using e1 by blast
                       moreover have (u1,v') \in (rE\ S) \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17,18\}
                           assume (u1,v') \in (rE\ S)
                           moreover have u1 \neq u2 using e1 by force
```

```
ultimately have levrd v' \in \{17, 18\} using f2 \text{ lem-rE-jn0}[\text{of } u1 \text{ } v' \text{ } S \text{ } u2]
by blast
            moreover have (v', v) \in (rE\ S) * using f3 rtrancl-power by blast
            moreover have lev-next '\{17, 18\} \subseteq \{17, 18\} by simp
             ultimately show levrd v \in \{17, 18\} using lem-rE-levset-inv[of v' v S
{17, 18}] by blast
          qed
          ultimately show ?thesis by blast
        next
          assume i \neq Suc \ k2
          then have i \leq k2 using e1 by force
          then show ?thesis using d1 e1 e2 e3 by blast
        qed
      qed
    qed
  moreover obtain k2 where (u2,v) \in (rE\ S)^{k2} using a2\ rtrancl-imp-relpow
by blast
  moreover have k2 \le k2 by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using a1 a3 by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-jn2:
fixes S::'U set and u1::'U rD and u2::'U rD and v::'U rD
assumes a1: (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) * and a2: (u2,v) \in (rE\ S) * and a3: (u1,u2) \notin
(rE\ S)^* \wedge (u2,u1) \notin (rE\ S)^*
shows levrd v \in \{17, 18\}
proof -
  have \bigwedge k1. \ \forall \ u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i \leq k1 \ \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE \ S) \ \hat{} \ast \land (u2,u1) \notin (u2,u1) 
(rE\ S) \hat{}* \longrightarrow (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{}i \longrightarrow (u2,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{}* \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17,18\}
  proof -
    fix k1
    show \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall i. \ i \leq k1 \ \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE \ S) \hat{} * \land (u2,u1) \notin (rE
S)^* \longrightarrow (u1,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow (u2,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17,18\}
    proof (induct k1)
      show \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i < 0 \ \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE \ S) \hat{\ } * \land (u2,u1) \notin (rE
S) \widehat{\ } * \longrightarrow (u1, \, v) \in (rE \, S) \widehat{\ } \widehat{\ } i \longrightarrow (u2, \, v) \in (rE \, S) \widehat{\ } * \longrightarrow levrd \, v \in \{17, \, 18\}
      proof (intro allI impI)
        fix u1 u2 v::'U rD and i
        assume i \leq 0 \land (u1,u2) \notin (rE\ S)^* \land (u2,u1) \notin (rE\ S)^* \text{ and } (u1,v) \in
(rE\ S)^{\sim}i and (u2,\ v)\in (rE\ S)^{\sim}*
       moreover then have (u2,u1) \in (rE\ S) ** using rtrancl-power by fastforce
        ultimately have False by blast
        then show levrd v \in \{17, 18\} by blast
      qed
    \mathbf{next}
      \mathbf{fix} \ k1
       assume d1: \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i \leq k1 \land (u1, u2) \notin (rE \ S) \hat{\ } * \land (u2, u2)
u1) \notin (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow
```

```
(u1, v) \in (rE\ S) \stackrel{\frown}{\sim} i \longrightarrow (u2, v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17, 18\}
      show \forall u1 \ u2 \ v::'U \ rD. \ \forall \ i. \ i \leq Suc \ k1 \ \land (u1, \ u2) \notin (rE \ S) \hat{} \ast \land (u2, \ u1)
\notin (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow
         (u1, v) \in (rE\ S) \ \widehat{} \ i \longrightarrow (u2, v) \in (rE\ S) \ \widehat{} \ * \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17, 18\}
     proof (intro allI impI)
       fix u1 u2 v::'U rD and i
       assume e1: i \leq Suc \ k1 \land (u1, u2) \notin (rE \ S) \hat{\ } * \land (u2, u1) \notin (rE \ S) \hat{\ } *
          and e2: (u1, v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}i and e3: (u2, v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}*
       show levrd v \in \{17, 18\}
       proof (cases i = Suc \ k1)
         assume f1: i = Suc \ k1
        then obtain v' where f2: (u1, v') \in (rE S) and f3: (v', v) \in (rE S)^{\sim}k1
using e2 by (meson relpow-Suc-E2)
         moreover have k1 \le k1 using e1 by force
         ultimately have (v',u2) \notin (rE\ S)^* \wedge (u2,v') \notin (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in
{17, 18} using e3 d1 by blast
         moreover have (v',u2) \in (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow False
         proof
           assume (v',u2) \in (rE\ S)^*
           then have (u1,u2) \in (rE\ S) * using f2 by force
           then show False using e1 by blast
         qed
         moreover have (u2,v') \in (rE\ S)^* \longrightarrow levrd\ v \in \{17,18\}
         proof
           assume (u2,v') \in (rE\ S)^*
           then have levrd v' \in \{17, 18\} using e1 f2 lem-rE-jn1 [of u1 v' S u2] by
blast
           moreover have (v', v) \in (rE\ S) * using f3 rtrancl-power by blast
           moreover have lev-next '\{17, 18\} \subseteq \{17, 18\} by simp
            ultimately show levrd v \in \{17, 18\} using lem-rE-levset-inv[of v' v S
{17, 18}] by blast
         qed
         ultimately show ?thesis by blast
         assume i \neq Suc \ k1
         then have i \le k1 using e1 by force
         then show ?thesis using d1 e1 e2 e3 by blast
       qed
     qed
   qed
  qed
  moreover obtain k1 where (u1,v) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}k1 using a1 rtrancl-imp-relpow
  moreover have k1 \le k1 by force
  ultimately show ?thesis using a2 a3 by blast
qed
lemma lem-rel-pow2fw: (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,v) \in r \longrightarrow (u,v) \in r ^2
 by (metis Suc-1 relpow-1 relpow-Suc-I)
```

```
lemma lem-rel-pow3fw: (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land (u2,v) \in r \longrightarrow (u,v) \in r^3
   by (metis One-nat-def numeral-3-eq-3 relpow-1 relpow-Suc-I)
lemma lem-rel-pow3: (u,v) \in r^3 \Longrightarrow \exists u1 u2. (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land
(u2,v) \in r
   by (metis One-nat-def numeral-3-eq-3 relpow-1 relpow-Suc-E)
lemma lem-rel-pow4: (u,v) \in r^{2} \implies \exists u1 u2 u3. (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land (u2,u3) \in r \land (u3,u3) \in
(u2,u3) \in r \land (u3,v) \in r
proof -
   assume (u,v) \in r^{2}
    then obtain u3 where (u,u3) \in r^{3} \wedge (u3,v) \in r using relpow-E by force
   moreover then obtain u1 u2 where (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land (u2,u3) \in r
       by (metis One-nat-def numeral-3-eq-3 relpow-1 relpow-Suc-E)
   ultimately show \exists u1 u2 u3. (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land (u2,u3) \in r \land (u3,v)
\in r by blast
qed
lemma lem-rel-pow5: (u,v) \in r^{5} \Longrightarrow \exists u1 u2 u3 u4. (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r^{5}
r \wedge (u2,u3) \in r \wedge (u3,u4) \in r \wedge (u4,v) \in r
proof -
    assume (u,v) \in r^{\sim}5
    then obtain u4 where (u,u4) \in r^{2} \wedge (u4,v) \in r using relpow-E by force
    moreover then obtain u1 u2 u3 where (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land (u2,u3)
\in r \land (u3, u4) \in r
       using lem-rel-pow4 [of u u4 r] by blast
    ultimately show \exists u1 u2 u3 u4. (u,u1) \in r \land (u1,u2) \in r \land (u2,u3) \in r \land
(u3,u4) \in r \land (u4,v) \in r \text{ by } blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-l1-l78-dist:
fixes S::'U set
assumes a1: levrd u = 11 and a2: levrd v \in \{17, 18\} and a3: n \le 5
shows (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^{n}
proof -
    have b\theta: (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^{\frown}\theta using a1 a2 by force
    have b1: (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^{-1} using a1 a2 lem-rE-succ-lev[of u v] by force
    have \bigwedge u1. (u,u1) \in (rE\ S) \land (u1,v) \in (rE\ S) \Longrightarrow False
       using a1 a2 lem-rE-succ-lev
     by (metis Lev. distinct(49) Lev. distinct(51) insertE lev-next.simps(2) lev-next.simps(3)
singletonD)
    then have b2: (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^2 by (metis Suc-1 relpow-1 relpow-Suc-D2)
   have \bigwedge u1 u2. (u,u1) \in (rE S) \land (u1,u2) \in (rE S) \land (u2,v) \in (rE S) \Longrightarrow False
       using a 1 a 2 lem-rE-succ-lev
     by (metis Lev. distinct(57) Lev. distinct(59) insertE lev-next.simps(2) lev-next.simps(3)
lev-next.simps(4) singletonD)
    then have b3: (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^3 using lem-rel-pow3[of\ u\ v\ rE\ S] by blast
    have \bigwedge u1 u2 u3. (u,u1) \in (rE\ S) \land (u1,u2) \in (rE\ S) \land (u2,u3) \in (rE\ S) \land
```

```
(u3,v) \in (rE\ S) \Longrightarrow False
   using a1 a2 lem-rE-succ-lev
  by (metis Lev.distinct(63) Lev.distinct(65) insertE lev-next.simps(2) lev-next.simps(3)
lev-next.simps(4) \ lev-next.simps(5) \ singletonD)
 then have b4: (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^{4} using lem-rel-pow4 [of u\ v\ rE\ S] by blast
 have \bigwedge u1 u2 u3 u4. (u,u1) \in (rE\ S) \land (u1,u2) \in (rE\ S) \land (u2,u3) \in (rE\ S)
\land (u3,u4) \in (rE\ S) \land (u4,v) \in (rE\ S) \Longrightarrow False
   using a1 a2 lem-rE-succ-lev
  by (metis Lev. distinct(67) Lev. distinct(69) insertE lev-next.simps(2) lev-next.simps(3)
lev-next.simps(4) lev-next.simps(5) lev-next.simps(6) singletonD)
  then have b5: (u,v) \notin (rE\ S)^{5} using lem-rel-pow5[of\ u\ v\ rE\ S] by blast
 have n = 0 \lor n = 1 \lor n = 2 \lor n = 3 \lor n = 4 \lor n = 5 using a3 by force
 then show ?thesis using b0 b1 b2 b3 b4 b5 by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-notLD2:
fixes S::'U set and r0 r1::('U rD) rel
assumes a\theta: \neg |S| \le o |UNIV::nat set| and a1: LD2 (rE S) r\theta r1
shows False
proof -
 obtain x\theta::'U where b\theta: x\theta \in S using a\theta
   by (metis all-not-in-conv card-of-mono1 card-of-singl-ordLeq empty-subsetI
       finite.emptyI infinite-UNIV-char-0 ordLeq-transitive)
 obtain u::'U \ rD where b1: u = (10, \{\}, \{\}, \{\}) by blast
 obtain v1::'U \ rD where b2: v1 = (11, \{\}, \{\}, \{\}) by blast
 obtain v2::'U \ rD where b3: v2 = (11, \{x0\}, \{\}, \{\}) by blast
 have levrd u = 10 using b1 by simp
 then have (u,v1) \notin r0 \land (u,v2) \notin r0 using a0 a1 lem-rE-one[of S r0 r1 u ] by
blast
 moreover have (u,v1) \in (rE\ S) \land (u,v2) \in (rE\ S) using b\theta\ b1\ b2\ b3 unfolding
rE-def rC-def by simp
 ultimately have (u,v1) \in r1 \wedge (u,v2) \in r1 using a unfolding LD2-def by
blast
  then have jn11 r0 r1 v1 v2 using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
  then obtain b' b'' c' c'' d where
      b4: (v1, b') \in r0^* \land (b', b'') \in r1^* \land (b'', d) \in r0^*
  and b5: (v2, c') \in r0 \hat{} * \wedge (c', c'') \in r1 \hat{} = \wedge (c'', d) \in r0 \hat{} * unfolding jn11-def
 have b6: \land v v'::'U \ rD. levrd v \in \{11, 13\} \land (v, v') \in r0^* \implies (v, v') \in r0^* =
 proof -
   fix v v' :: 'U rD
   assume c1: levrd v \in \{11, 13\} \land (v, v') \in r0^*
   then obtain k1 where c2:(v, v') \in r0^{\sim}k1 using rtrancl-imp-relpow by blast
   have k1 \geq 2 \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume k1 \geq 2
     then obtain k where k1 = 2 + k using le-Suc-ex by blast
      then obtain w' where (v, w') \in r0^{2} using c2 \text{ relpow-add}[of 2 \text{ k } r0] by
fast force
```

```
numeral-2-eq-2 relpow-1 relpow-Suc-E)
         moreover then have (v, w) \in (rE S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
             moreover then have levrd w \in \{12, 14\} using c1 unfolding rE-def by
force
           ultimately show False using a0 a1 lem-rE-one by blast
       qed
       then have k1 = 0 \lor k1 = 1 by (simp add: less-2-cases)
       then show (v, v') \in r\theta = using c2 by force
    then have b7: (v1, b') \in r0^{\hat{}} = \land (v2, c') \in r0^{\hat{}} = using b2 b3 b4 b5 by simp
   have b8: levrd \ d \in \{17, 18\}
   proof -
       have r0 \subseteq (rE\ S) \land r1 \subseteq (rE\ S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
       then have r0 \hat{\ } \subseteq (rE\ S) \hat{\ } \land r1 \hat{\ } \subseteq (rE\ S) \hat{\ } \ast \text{ using } rtrancl-mono by blast
       then have (v1, b') \in (rE\ S)^* \wedge (b', b'') \in (rE\ S)^* \wedge (b'', d) \in (rE\ S)^*
                and (v2, c') \in (rE S)^* \wedge (c', c'') \in (rE S)^* \wedge (c'', d) \in (rE S)^* using
b4 b5 by blast+
       then have e1: (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^* \wedge (v2,d) \in (rE\ S)^* by force
       have \bigwedge v v'::'U \ rD. \ levrd \ v = 11 \longrightarrow (v,v') \in (rE \ S)^* \longrightarrow v \neq v' \longrightarrow levrd
       proof (intro impI)
           fix v \ v' :: 'U \ rD
          assume d1: levrd v = 11 and d2: (v,v') \in (rE\ S) * and d3: v \neq v'
         moreover then obtain k where (v,v') \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}k using rtrancl-imp-relpow
by blast
          ultimately obtain k' where (v,v') \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}(Suc\ k') by (cases\ k,\ force+)
           then obtain v'' where (v,v'') \in (rE\ S) \land (v'',v') \in (rE\ S) \curvearrowright k' by (meson\ S) \land (v'',v') \in (rE\ S) \land (v'',
relpow-Suc-D2)
          then have levrd v'' = 12 \land (v'', v') \in (rE\ S) * using d1 lem-rE-succ-lev[of v
        relpow-imp-rtrancl by force
          moreover have lev-next '\{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\} \subseteq \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}
18} by simp
        ultimately have levrd v' \in \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\} using lem-rE-levset-inv[of
v'' \ v' \ S \ \{12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18\}] by simp
          then show levrd v' \neq 11 by force
       qed
          then have (v1,v2) \notin (rE\ S)^* and (v2,v1) \notin (rE\ S)^* using b2\ b3 by
fastforce+
       then show levrd d \in \{17, 18\} using e1 lem-rE-jn2 by blast
   qed
    then have b9: \forall n \leq 5. (v1,d) \notin (rE S)^{n} \wedge (v2,d) \notin (rE S)^{n} \text{ using } b2
b3 lem-rE-l1-l78-dist[of - d] by simp
   have b10: levrd b'' = 12
   proof -
       have c1: v1 = b' \lor (v1,b') \in (rE\ S) using b7\ a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
       then have level b' \in \{11, 12\} using b2 \text{ lem-rE-succ-lev}[of v1 b'] by force
      moreover have c2: b' = b'' \lor (b',b'') \in (rES) using b4 a1 unfolding LD2-def
by blast
```

then obtain w w' where $(v, w) \in r\theta \land (w, w') \in r\theta$ by (metis One-nat-def

```
ultimately have levrd b'' \in \{11, 12, 13\} using lem-rE-succ-lev[of b' b''] by
force
   moreover have levrd b'' \in \{11, 13\} \longrightarrow False
   proof
     assume levrd b'' \in \{11, 13\}
     then have (b'',d) \in r\theta = using b4 b6 by blast
     then have d1: b'' = d \vee (b'', d) \in (rE\ S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by
blast
      have (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^{\circ}0 \lor (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^{\circ}1 \lor (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^{\circ}2 \lor
(v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^{3}
       using c1 c2 d1 lem-rel-pow2fw[of - - rE S] lem-rel-pow3fw[of - - rE S] by
(metis relpow-0-I relpow-1)
     then show False using b9
         by (meson le0 numeral-le-iff one-le-numeral semiring-norm(68) semir-
ing-norm(72) semiring-norm(73))
   ultimately show levrd b'' = 12 by blast
  then have b'' \neq d using b8 by force
  then obtain t where b11: (b'',t) \in r\theta \land (t,d) \in r\theta * using b4 by (meson
converse-rtranclE)
  then have b12: (b'',t) \in (rE\ S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
  then have levrd t = 13 using b10 a1 lem-rE-succ-lev[of b" t S] unfolding
LD2-def by simp
  then have (t,d) \in r0 = using b11 b6 by blast
 then have b13: t = d \lor (t,d) \in (rE\ S) using a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
 have b14: v1 = b' \lor (v1,b') \in (rE\ S) using b7\ a1 unfolding LD2-def by blast
 moreover have b15: b' = b'' \lor (b', b'') \in (rE\ S) using b4\ a1 unfolding LD2-def
\mathbf{by} blast
 ultimately have (v1,b'') \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}0 \lor (v1,b'') \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}1 \lor (v1,b'') \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}1
   using lem-rel-pow2fw[of - - rE S] by (metis relpow-0-I relpow-1)
  then have (v1,t) \in (rE\ S)^{1} \lor (v1,t) \in (rE\ S)^{2} \lor (v1,t) \in (rE\ S)^{3}
using b12 b14 b15
  lem-rel-pow2fw[of - - rE S] lem-rel-pow3fw[of - - rE S] by (metis \ relpow-1)
  moreover have (v1,t) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}1 \longrightarrow (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}1 \lor (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^{\sim}1
S) ~2 using b13 lem-rel-pow2fw by fastforce
  moreover have (v1,t) \in (rE\ S)^2 \longrightarrow (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^2 \lor (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^2
S) ^{\sim}3 using b13 relpow-Suc-I by fastforce
  moreover have (v1,t) \in (rE\ S)^3 \longrightarrow (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^3 \lor (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^3 \lor (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^3 \lor (v1,d) \in (v1,d)
S)^{\sim}4 using b13 relpow-Suc-I by fastforce
  ultimately have \exists n \in \{1,2,3,4\}. (v1,d) \in (rE\ S)^n by blast
 moreover have \forall n \in \{1,2,3,4\} :: nat set. n \leq 5  by simp
 ultimately show False using b9 by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-dominv:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S
```

```
using assms lem-rE-domfield unfolding Field-def by (metis Range.RangeI UnCI
rtranclE)
lemma lem-rE-next:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S and u \in Domain (rE S)
shows \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = (lev-next\ (levrd\ u))
proof -
 obtain u' where b1: (u,u') \in (rE\ S) using assms by blast
 obtain n \ A \ B \ C where b2: u = (n, A, B, C) using prod-cases4 by blast
 obtain n' A' B' C' where b\beta: u' = (n', A', B', C') using prod-cases4 by blast
 have b4: rP \ n \ A \ B \ C \ n' \ A' \ B' \ C' \land rC \ S \ A \ B \ C \land rC \ S \ A' \ B' \ C'  using b1 \ b2
b3 unfolding rE-def by blast
 moreover then have A \subseteq S unfolding rC-def by blast
 moreover then have b4': \exists A2 \subseteq S. A \subseteq A2 \land finite A2
   using b4 assms lem-rP-inv lem-infset-finext [of S A] by metis
  ultimately have (\exists A1 B1 C1 n2 A2 B2 C2. rP n A B C (lev-next n) A1 B1
C1 \wedge rC S A1 B1 C1
                           \land rP \ (lev\text{-}next \ n) \ A1 \ B1 \ C1 \ n2 \ A2 \ B2 \ C2 \ \land \ rC \ S \ A2 \ B2
C2)
   apply (cases n)
   unfolding rC-def by auto+
  then obtain A1 B1 C1 n2 A2 B2 C2 where
    rP n A B C (lev-next n) A1 B1 C1 \wedge rC S A1 B1 C1 \wedge rP (lev-next n) A1
B1 C1 n2 A2 B2 C2 \wedge rC S A2 B2 C2 by blast
  moreover obtain v where v = ((lev-next n), A1, B1, C1) by blast
  ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = (lev-next
(levrd u)
   using b2 b4 unfolding rE-def by force
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-reachl8:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S and u \in Domain (rE S)
shows \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18
 have levrd u = 18 \longrightarrow ?thesis using assms by blast
  moreover have b\theta: \bigwedge u::'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 17 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
 proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 17
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 18 by force
   ultimately obtain v where (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v
= 18 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
```

shows $u \in Domain (rE S) \Longrightarrow (u,v) \in (rE S) \hat{} * \Longrightarrow v \in Domain (rE S)$

```
qed
  moreover have b1: \bigwedge u::'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 16 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
  proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 16
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 17 by force
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
v' = 17 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land v \in S
levrd v = 18 using b\theta by blast
    ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
force
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
  moreover have b2: \bigwedge u:'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 15 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
  proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 15
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 16 by simp
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
v' = 16 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land v \in S
levrd v = 18 using b1 by blast
    ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
force
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
  moreover have b3: \bigwedge u::'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 14 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
  proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 14
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 15 by simp
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
v' = 15 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land
levrd v = 18 using b2 by blast
    ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
force
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
  moreover have b4: \bigwedge u:'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 13 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
 proof -
```

```
fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 13
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 14 by simp
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
v' = 14 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land v \in S
levrd v = 18 using b3 by blast
    ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
force
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
 moreover have b5: \bigwedge u::'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 12 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
 proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 12
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 13 by simp
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
v' = 13 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land
levrd v = 18 using b4 by blast
    ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
 moreover have b6: \land u::'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 11 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
 proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 11
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 12 by simp
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
v' = 12 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
    moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land
levrd v = 18 using b5 by blast
    ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
 moreover have b7: \land u:'U \ rD. \ u \in Domain \ (rE \ S) \Longrightarrow levrd \ u = 10 \Longrightarrow (\exists
v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S)^* \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18)
 proof -
   fix u::'U \ rD
   assume u \in Domain (rE S) and levrd u = 10
   moreover then have (lev-next\ (levrd\ u)) = 11 by simp
    ultimately obtain v' where (u,v') \in (rE\ S) \land v' \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd
```

```
v' = 11 using assms lem-rE-next by metis
   moreover then obtain v where (v',v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land v \in S
levrd v = 18 using b6 by blast
   ultimately have (u,v) \in (rE\ S) * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
    then show \exists v. (u,v) \in (rE\ S) \hat{\ } * \land v \in Domain\ (rE\ S) \land levrd\ v = 18 by
blast
 qed
 ultimately show ?thesis using assms by (meson lev-next.cases)
qed
lemma lem-rE-jn:
fixes S::'U set
assumes a0: \neg finite S and a1: u1 \in Domain (rE S) and a2: u2 \in Domain (rE S)
shows \exists t. (u1,t) \in (rE S)^* \wedge (u2,t) \in (rE S)^*
proof -
 obtain v1 where b1: (u1,v1) \in (rE\ S) * and b2: v1 \in Domain (rE\ S) \land levrd
v1 = 18 using a0 a1 lem-rE-reachl8 by blast
 obtain v2 where b3: (u2, v2) \in (rES) and b4: v2 \in Domain (rES) \wedge levrd
v2 = 18 using a0 a2 lem-rE-reachl8 by blast
  obtain n1 A1 B1 C1 where b5: v1 = (n1,A1,B1,C1) using prod-cases4 by
  obtain n2 A2 B2 C2 where b6: v2 = (n2, A2, B2, C2) using prod-cases4 by
blast
 have b7: n1 = 18 \land A1 = B1 \land A1 = C1 \land finite A1 \land A1 \subseteq S using b5 \ b2
unfolding rE-def rC-def by force
 have b8: n2 = 18 \land A2 = B2 \land A2 = C2 \land finite A2 \land A2 \subseteq S using b6 \ b4
unfolding rE-def rC-def by force
 have finite (A1 \cup A2) \wedge A1 \cup A2 \subseteq S using b7 b8 by blast
  then obtain A3 where A3 \subseteq S \land A1 \cup A2 \subset A3 \land finite A3 using a0
lem-infset-finext[of\ S\ A1\ \cup\ A2] by blast
 moreover obtain t where t = (17, A3, A3, A3) by blast
  ultimately have (v1, t) \in (rE\ S) \land (v2, t) \in (rE\ S) using b5 b6 b7 b8 un-
folding rE-def rC-def by force
  then have (u1,t) \in (rE\ S)^* \wedge (u2,t) \in (rE\ S)^*  using b1 b3 by force
 then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-confl:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S
shows confl-rel (rE S)
proof -
 have \forall a \ b \ c::'U \ rD. \ (a,b) \in (rE \ S) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow (a,c) \in (rE \ S) \hat{\ } * \longrightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in (b,d) \in (b,d)
(rE\ S)^{\hat{}} * \wedge (c,d) \in (rE\ S)^{\hat{}} *)
 proof (intro allI impI)
   fix a \ b \ c :: 'U \ rD
   assume c1: (a,b) \in (rE\ S) * and c2: (a,c) \in (rE\ S) *
```

```
show \exists d. (b,d) \in (rE\ S)^* \land (c,d) \in (rE\ S)^*
   proof (cases \ a \in Domain \ (rE \ S))
     assume a \in Domain (rE S)
      then have b \in Domain (rE S) \land c \in Domain (rE S) using c1 c2 assms
lem-rE-dominv by blast
      then obtain d where (b,d) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * \wedge (c,d) \in (rE\ S) \hat{} * using assms
lem-rE-jn by blast
     then show ?thesis by blast
   next
     assume a \notin Domain (rE S)
     then have a = b \land a = c using c1 c2 by (meson Not-Domain-rtrancl)
     then show ?thesis by blast
   qed
 qed
 then show ?thesis unfolding confl-rel-def by blast
lemma lem-rE-dc3dc2:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg |S| \le o |UNIV::nat set|
shows confl-rel (rE\ S) \land (\neg\ DCR2\ (rE\ S))
proof (intro conjI)
 have \neg finite S using assms by (meson card-of-Well-order infinite-iff-card-of-nat
ordLeq-total)
  then show confl-rel (rE S) using lem-rE-confl by blast
next
  show \neg DCR2 \ (rE \ S) using assms lem-rE-notLD2 unfolding DCR2-def by
blast
qed
lemma lem-rE-cardbnd:
fixes S::'U set
assumes \neg finite S
shows |rE S| \le o |S|
proof -
 obtain L where b1: L = (UNIV::Lev\ set) by blast
 obtain F where b2: F = \{ A. A \subseteq S \land finite A \} by blast
 obtain D where b3: D = (L \times (F \times (F \times F))) by blast
 have \forall u \ v. \ (u,v) \in rE \ S \longrightarrow u \in D \land v \in D
 proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v
   assume (u,v) \in rE S
   then obtain n \ A \ B \ C \ n' \ A' \ B' \ C'
     where u = (n,A,B,C) \land v = (n',A',B',C') \land rCSABC \land rCSA'B'C'
       \land rP \ n \ A \ B \ C \ n' \ A' \ B' \ C'  unfolding rE-def by blast
   moreover then have n \in L \land A \in F \land B \in F \land C \in F \land n' \in L \land A' \in F
\land B' \in F \land C' \in F
     using b1 b2 lem-rP-inv unfolding rC-def by fast
   ultimately show u \in D \land v \in D using b3 by blast
```

```
qed
  then have rE S \subseteq D \times D by force
  then have |rE| \le o |D| \times D| by simp
  moreover have |D \times D| \leq o |S|
  proof -
    have F = Fpow S using b2 unfolding Fpow-def by simp
    then have c1: |F| = o|S| using assms by simp
    then have |F \times F| = o|F| \land \neg finite F  using assms by simp
    then have |F| \le o |F| \land |F| \times |F| \le o |F| \land \neg finite F \text{ using } ordIso\text{-iff-ordLeq}
by force
    then have c2: |F \times (F \times F)| \le o |S| using c1 card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite
ordLeq-ordIso-trans by blast
    have L \subseteq \{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18\}
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} l
      assume l \in L
      show l \in \{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18\} by (cases l, simp+)
    qed
    moreover have finite \{10,11,12,13,14,15,16,17,18\} by simp
    ultimately have finite L using finite-subset by blast
    then have |L| \le o |S| using assms ordLess-imp-ordLeq by force
   then have |D| \le o |S| using b3 c2 assms card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite by blast
    then show ?thesis using assms card-of-Times-ordLeq-infinite by blast
  qed
  ultimately show |rE| \le o|S| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
qed
lemma lem-fmap-rel:
fixes f r s a \theta b \theta
assumes a1: (a0, b0) \in r and a2: \forall a b. (a,b) \in r \longrightarrow (f a, f b) \in s
shows (f \ a\theta, f \ b\theta) \in s \hat{\ } *
proof -
  have \bigwedge n. \ \forall \ a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \widehat{\ } n \longrightarrow (f \ a, f \ b) \in s \widehat{\ } *
  proof -
    fix n\theta
    show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \widehat{\phantom{a}} n0 \longrightarrow (f \ a, \ f \ b) \in s \widehat{\phantom{a}} *
    proof (induct \ n\theta)
      show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r^{\frown} 0 \longrightarrow (f \ a, f \ b) \in s \hat{\ } * \mathbf{by} \ simp
    next
      assume \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r \widehat{\ } n \longrightarrow (f \ a, f \ b) \in s \widehat{\ } *
     then show \forall a \ b. \ (a,b) \in r^{(suc \ n)} \longrightarrow (f \ a, f \ b) \in s^*  using a2 by force
    qed
  qed
 then show ?thesis using a1 rtrancl-power by blast
qed
lemma lem-fmap-confl:
fixes r::'a \ rel \ and \ f::'a \Rightarrow 'b
```

```
assumes a1: inj-on f (Field r) and a2: confl-rel r
shows confl-rel \{(u,v). \exists a b. u = f a \land v = f b \land (a,b) \in r\}
proof -
  obtain rA where q1: rA = \{(u,v). \exists a b. u = f a \land v = f b \land (a,b) \in r\} by
  then have q2: \forall a \ b. \ (a, \ b) \in r \longrightarrow (f \ a, \ f \ b) \in rA \ \text{by} \ blast
  have q3: Field rA \subseteq f'(Field \ r) using q1 unfolding Field-def by blast
  obtain g where g4: g = inv-into (Field r) f by blast
  then have q5: \forall x \in Field \ r. \ g \ (f \ x) = x \ using \ a1 \ by \ simp
  have q\theta: \forall u \ v. \ (u,v) \in rA \longrightarrow (g \ u, g \ v) \in r
  proof (intro allI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v
   assume (u,v) \in rA
   then obtain a b where u = f \ a \land v = f \ b \land (a,b) \in r  using q1 by blast
   moreover then have a \in Field \ r \land b \in Field \ r \ unfolding \ Field-def \ by \ blast
   ultimately show (g \ u, g \ v) \in r \text{ using } q5 \text{ by } force
  qed
  have \forall u \in Field \ rA. \ \forall \ v \in Field \ rA. \ \forall \ w \in Field \ rA.
    (u,v) \in rA^* \wedge (u,w) \in rA^* \longrightarrow (\exists t \in Field\ rA.\ (v,t) \in rA^* \wedge (w,t) \in rA^*
rA^*
  proof (intro ballI impI)
   \mathbf{fix} \ u \ v \ w
   assume c1: u \in Field \ rA and c2: v \in Field \ rA and c3: w \in Field \ rA
       and c4: (u,v) \in rA^* \wedge (u,w) \in rA^*
    then have (g \ u, \ g \ v) \in r^* \wedge (g \ u, \ g \ w) \in r^*  using q \ bem-fmap-rel[of \ u \ -
rA \ g \ r] by blast
   then obtain d where c5: (q v, d) \in r^* \wedge (q w, d) \in r^* using a2 unfolding
confl-rel-def by blast
   moreover have c6: g \ v \in Field \ r \land g \ w \in Field \ r \ using \ c2 \ c3 \ q3 \ q5 \ by force
   ultimately have d \in Field \ r \ using \ lem-rtr-field \ by \ fastforce
   have v = f(g v) \land w = f(g w) using c2 c3 q3 q4 a1 by force
   moreover have (f(g v), f d) \in rA^* \wedge (f(g w), f d) \in rA^*
      using c5 q2 lem-fmap-rel[of - d r f rA] by blast
   ultimately have (v, f d) \in rA^* \wedge (w, f d) \in rA^* by simp
   moreover then have f \in Field \ rA \ using \ c2 \ lem-rtr-field \ by \ fastforce
   ultimately show \exists t \in Field \ rA. \ (v,t) \in rA^* \land (w,t) \in rA^*  by blast
  qed
  then show ?thesis using q1 lem-confl-field by blast
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{lem-fmap-dcn} :
fixes r::'a \ rel \ and \ f::'a \Rightarrow 'b
assumes a1: inj-on f (Field r) and a2: DCR n r
shows DCR n \{(u,v). \exists a b. u = f a \land v = f b \land (a,b) \in r\}
proof -
  obtain rA where q1: rA = \{(u,v), \exists a b. u = f a \land v = f b \land (a,b) \in r\} by
 have q2: \forall a \in Field \ r. \ \forall b \in Field \ r. \ (a,b) \in r \longleftrightarrow (f \ a, f \ b) \in rA
   using a1 q1 unfolding Field-def inj-on-def by blast
```

```
have q3: Field rA \subseteq f'(Field \ r) using q1 unfolding Field-def by blast
  obtain g::nat \Rightarrow 'a \ rel \ \mathbf{where} \ b1: DCR\text{-}generating \ g
            and b2: r = \bigcup \{ r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = g \alpha' \} using a2 unfolding
DCR-def by blast
  obtain gA::nat \Rightarrow b rel
    where b3: gA = (\lambda \ \alpha. \ if \ \alpha < n \ then \ \{(x,y). \ \exists \ a \ b. \ x = f \ a \land y = f \ b \land (a,b)
\in g \ \alpha \ \} \ else \ \{\}) \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  have \forall \alpha \beta u v w. (u, v) \in gA \alpha \wedge (u, w) \in gA \beta \longrightarrow
        (\exists v' \ v'' \ w' \ w'' \ e. \ (v, \ v', \ v'', \ e) \in \mathfrak{D} \ gA \ \alpha \ \beta \land (w, \ w', \ w'', \ e) \in \mathfrak{D} \ gA \ \beta \ \alpha)
  proof (intro allI impI)
    fix \alpha \beta u v w
    assume c1: (u, v) \in gA \ \alpha \land (u, w) \in gA \ \beta
    obtain a b where c2: \alpha < n \land u = f \ a \land v = f \ b \land (a,b) \in g \ \alpha using c1 b3
by (cases \alpha < n, force+)
     obtain a' c where c3: \beta < n \land u = f a' \land w = f c \land (a',c) \in q \beta using c1
b3 by (cases \beta < n, force+)
    have (a,b) \in r \land (a',c) \in r using c2 \ c3 \ b2 by blast
    then have a' = a using c2 c3 a1 unfolding inj-on-def Field-def by blast
    then have (a,b) \in g \ \alpha \land (a,c) \in g \ \beta \text{ using } c2 \ c3 \text{ by } blast
    then obtain b'b''c'c''d where c4:(b,b',b'',d)\in\mathfrak{D} g\ \alpha\ \beta\land(c,c',c'',d)
\in \mathfrak{D} \ g \ \beta \ \alpha
       using b1 unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
    have c5: \bigwedge \alpha'. \alpha' < n \Longrightarrow \forall \ a0 \ b0. \ (a0,b0) \in \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha' \longrightarrow (f \ a0, f \ b0) \in \mathfrak{L}1
gA \alpha'
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' a\theta b\theta
       assume d1: \alpha' < n and (a\theta, b\theta) \in \mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha'
        then obtain \alpha'' where (a\theta,b\theta) \in g \alpha'' \wedge \alpha'' < \alpha' unfolding £1-def by
blast
       moreover then have (f a\theta, f b\theta) \in gA \alpha'' using d1 c2 b3 by force
      ultimately show (f a\theta, f b\theta) \in \mathfrak{L}1 gA \alpha' using c2 b3 unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def by
blast
    qed
     have c\theta: \land \alpha' \ a\theta \ b\theta. \alpha' < n \Longrightarrow (a\theta, b\theta) \in (g \ \alpha') = \longrightarrow (f \ a\theta, f \ b\theta) \in (gA)
\alpha') \hat{} = using b\beta by force
    have c7: \land \alpha' \beta'. \alpha' < n \Longrightarrow \beta' < n \Longrightarrow \forall a0 b0. (a0,b0) \in \mathfrak{L}v \ q \ \alpha' \beta' \longrightarrow
(f a\theta, f b\theta) \in \mathfrak{L}v \ qA \ \alpha' \beta'
    proof (intro allI impI)
       fix \alpha' \beta' a\theta b\theta
       assume d1: \alpha' < n and d2: \beta' < n and (a0,b0) \in \mathfrak{L}v \ q \ \alpha' \ \beta'
       then obtain \alpha'' where (a\theta,b\theta) \in g \alpha'' \wedge (\alpha'' < \alpha' \vee \alpha'' < \beta') unfolding
\mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
       moreover then have (f a0, f b0) \in gA \alpha'' using d1 d2 c2 b3 by force
       ultimately show (f \ a\theta, f \ b\theta) \in \mathfrak{L}v \ gA \ \alpha' \ \beta' \ using \ c2 \ b3 \ unfolding \ \mathfrak{L}v-def
\mathbf{by} blast
    qed
      have (v, f b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ gA \ \alpha) * using c2 \ c4 \ c5[of \ \alpha] \ lem-fmap-rel[of \ b \ b']
unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    moreover have (f b', f b'') \in (gA \beta) = using c3 c4 c6 unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by
```

```
blast
     moreover have (f \ b'', f \ d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ gA \ \alpha \ \beta) \hat{\ } * \text{ using } c2 \ c3 \ c4 \ c7[of \ \alpha \ \beta]
lem-fmap-rel[of b'' d] unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    moreover have (w, f c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ gA \ \beta) \hat{} * \mathbf{using} \ c3 \ c4 \ c5[of \ \beta] \ lem-fmap-rel[of \ \beta] 
c c' unfolding D-def by blast
    moreover have (f c', f c'') \in (gA \ \alpha)^= using c2 \ c4 \ c6 unfolding \mathfrak{D}\text{-}def by
blast
     moreover have (f c'', f d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ gA \ \beta \ \alpha)* using c2 \ c3 \ c4 \ c7[of \ \beta \ \alpha]
lem-fmap-rel[of c'' d] unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
    ultimately show \exists v' v'' w' w'' e. (v, v', v'', e) \in \mathfrak{D} \ gA \ \alpha \ \beta \wedge (w, w', w'', e)
\in \mathfrak{D} gA \beta \alpha
      unfolding \mathfrak{D}-def by blast
  qed
  then have DCR-generating gA unfolding DCR-generating-def by blast
  moreover have rA = \bigcup \{ r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = gA \alpha' \}
    show rA \subseteq \bigcup \{ r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = gA \alpha' \}
   proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in rA
      then obtain x y where d1: p = (x,y) \land p \in rA by force
      moreover then obtain a b where d2: x = f \ a \land y = f \ b \land a \in Field \ r \land b
\in Field r
        using q3 unfolding Field-def by blast
      ultimately have (a,b) \in r using q2 by blast
      then obtain \alpha' where \alpha' < n \land (a,b) \in g \alpha' using b2 by blast
      then have \alpha' < n \land (x,y) \in gA \alpha' using d2 b3 by force
      then show p \in \bigcup \{r' : \exists \alpha' < n : r' = gA \alpha'\} using d1 by blast
    qed
  \mathbf{next}
    show \bigcup \{ r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = gA \alpha' \} \subseteq rA
    proof
      \mathbf{fix} p
      assume p \in \bigcup \{ r' : \exists \alpha' : \alpha' < n \land r' = gA \alpha' \}
      then obtain \alpha' where d1: \alpha' < n \land p \in gA \alpha' by blast
      then obtain x y where d2: p = (x,y) \land p \in gA \alpha' by force
      then obtain a b where x = f a \land y = f b \land (a,b) \in g \alpha' using d1 b3 by
force
      moreover then have (a,b) \in r using d1 b2 by blast
      ultimately show p \in rA using d2 \neq q2 unfolding Field-def by blast
    qed
  qed
  ultimately have DCR n rA unfolding DCR-def by blast
  then show ?thesis using q1 by blast
qed
lemma lem-not-dcr2:
assumes cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| \le o \ |UNIV::'U \ set|
shows \exists r::'U \text{ rel. confl-rel } r \land |r| \leq o \text{ cardSuc } |UNIV::nat \text{ set}| \land (\neg DCR2 \text{ } r)
```

```
proof -
  obtain A where b1: A = (UNIV::'U \ set) by blast
 obtain S where b2: S \subseteq A \land |S| = o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
   using b1 assms
    by (smt Card-order-ordIso2 Field-card-of cardSuc-Card-order card-of-Field-ordIso
       card-of-card-order-on internalize-ordLeq ordIso-symmetric ordIso-transitive)
  then have \neg (|S| \le o |UNIV::nat | set|) by (simp | add: cardSuc-ordLess-ordLeg)
ordIso-iff-ordLeq)
 \mathbf{moreover\ then\ have} \neg \mathit{finite\ S\ by\ } (\mathit{meson\ card-of-Well-order\ infinite-iff-card-of-nat}
ordLeq-total)
 moreover obtain s where b\beta: s = (rE S) by blast
 ultimately have b4: confl-rel s \land \neg DCR2 \ s \land |s| \le o |S| using lem-rE-dc3dc2
lem-rE-cardbnd by blast
  obtain B where b5: B = Field s by blast
 obtain C: 'U set where b6: C = UNIV by blast
 then have cardSuc \mid UNIV::nat \mid set \mid \leq o \mid C \mid using assms by blast
 moreover have b6': |s| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| using b2\ b4 ordLeq-ordIso-trans
by blast
  ultimately have |s| \le o |C| using ordLeq-transitive by blast
  moreover have b6'': \neg finite (Field s) \longrightarrow |Field s| =0 |s| using lem-fin-fl-rel
lem-rel-inf-fld-card by blast
 ultimately have \neg finite (Field s) \longrightarrow |Field s| \leq o | C| using ordIso-ordLeq-trans
by blast
 moreover have ¬ finite C using b6 assms ordLeq-finite-Field by fastforce
 moreover then have finite (Field s) \longrightarrow |Field s| \leq o | C| using ordLess-imp-ordLeq
bv force
 ultimately have |B| \le o |C| using b5 by blast
 then obtain f where b7: f'B \subseteq C \land inj-on f B by (meson card-of-ordLeq)
 moreover obtain g where b8: g = inv\text{-}into\ B\ f by blast
 ultimately have b9: \forall x \in B. \ g(fx) = x \text{ by } simp
 obtain r where b10: r = \{(a,b), \exists x y. a = fx \land b = fy \land (x,y) \in s\} by blast
 have s \subseteq \{(x,y). \exists a b. x = g a \land y = g b \land (a,b) \in r\}
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} p
   assume p \in s
   then obtain x y where p = (x,y) \land (x,y) \in s by (cases p, blast)
   moreover then have (f x, f y) \in r \land x \in B \land y \in B using b5 b10 unfolding
Field-def by blast
   moreover then have x = g(f x) \land y = g(f y) using b9 by simp
   ultimately show p \in \{(x,y) \mid \exists a \ b \mid x = g \ a \land y = g \ b \land (a,b) \in r\} using b9
by blast
 qed
 moreover have \{(x,y). \exists a b. x = g a \land y = g b \land (a,b) \in r\} \subseteq s
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} p
   assume p \in \{(x,y). \exists a b. x = g a \land y = g b \land (a,b) \in r\}
   then obtain a b where p = (g \ a, g \ b) \land (a,b) \in r by blast
   moreover then obtain x y where a = f x \wedge b = f y \wedge (x,y) \in s using b10
```

```
by blast
   moreover then have x \in B \land y \in B using b5 unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately show p \in s using b9 by force
  ultimately have b11: s = \{(x,y) \mid \exists a \ b \mid x = g \ a \land y = g \ b \land (a,b) \in r\} by
blast
  have inj-on g (f'B) using b8 inj-on-inv-into[of f'B f B] by blast
  moreover have b12: Field r \subseteq f'B
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ c
   assume c \in Field \ r
   then obtain a b where (a,b) \in r \land (c = a \lor c = b) unfolding Field-def by
   moreover then obtain x y where a = f x \land b = f y \land (x,y) \in s using b10
by blast
   moreover then have x \in B \land y \in B using b5 unfolding Field-def by blast
   ultimately show c \in f 'B by blast
  qed
  ultimately have inj-on g (Field r) using Fun.inj-on-subset by blast
  moreover have \neg DCR \ 2 \ s \ using \ b4 \ lem-dc2-to-d2 \ by \ blast
  ultimately have \neg DCR \ 2 \ r \ using \ b11 \ lem-fmap-dcn[of g \ r \ 2] \ by \ blast
  then have \neg DCR2 \ r \text{ using } lem-d2-to-dc2 \text{ by } blast
  moreover have confl-rel r using b4 b5 b7 b10 lem-fmap-confl[of f s] by blast
  moreover have |r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
 proof -
   have finite (Field s) \longrightarrow |B| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| using b2\ b5
   by (metis Field-card-of cardSuc-greater card-of-card-order-on finite-ordLess-infinite2
        infinite-UNIV-nat ordLeg-transitive ordLess-imp-ordLeg)
   moreover have \neg finite (Field s) \longrightarrow |B| \le o cardSuc | UNIV::nat set|
     using b5 b6' b6" ordIso-ordLeq-trans by blast
   ultimately have |B| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| by blast
   moreover have |f'B| \le o |B| by simp
   moreover have |Field r| \le o |f'B| using b12 by simp
   ultimately have |Field \ r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| \ using \ ordLeq-transitive
   then have \neg finite r \longrightarrow |r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
     using lem-rel-inf-fld-card [of r] ordIso-ordLeq-trans ordIso-symmetric by blast
    moreover have finite r \longrightarrow |r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| by (simp add:
ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
   ultimately show ?thesis by blast
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
```

1.3.3 Result

The next theorem has the following meaning: if the set of elements of type $^{\prime}U$ is uncountable, then there exists a confluent binary relation r on $^{\prime}U$ such

that the cardinality of r does not exceed the first uncountable cardinal and confluence of r cannot be proved using the decreasing diagrams method with 2 labels.

```
theorem thm-example-not-dcr2:
assumes cardSuc \mid \{n::nat. True\} \mid \leq o \mid \{x::'U. True\} \mid
shows \exists r :: 'U rel. (
             (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r^* \land (a,c) \in r^* \longrightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in r^* \land (c,d) \in r^*)
)
            \land |r| \leq o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat. \ True\}|
            \wedge (\neg ( \exists r0 \ r1. (
                   (r = (r\theta \cup r1))
                \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r\theta
                       \longrightarrow (\exists d.
                               (b,d) \in r\theta =
                             \land (c,d) \in r\theta =)
                \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r1
                        \longrightarrow (\exists b' d.
                               (b,b') \in r1^{\hat{}} \wedge (b',d) \in r0^{\hat{}} *
                             \land (c,d) \in r\theta \hat{\ } *)
                \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \land (a,c) \in r1
                       \longrightarrow (\exists b' b'' c' c'' d.
                               (b,b') \in r0* \land (b',b'') \in r1= \land (b'',d) \in r0*
                             \land (c,c') \in r\theta \hat{\ } \land (c',c'') \in r1 \hat{\ } = \land (c'',d) \in r\theta \hat{\ } \land )))
              ) )
proof -
  have cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| \le o \ |UNIV::'U \ set| \ using \ assms \ by \ (simp \ only:
UNIV-def)
  then have \exists r::'U \text{ rel. confl-rel } r \land |r| \leq o \text{ cardSuc } |UNIV::nat \text{ set}| \land (\neg DCR2)
r)
    using assms lem-not-dcr2 by blast
  then show ?thesis unfolding confl-rel-def DCR2-def LD2-def jn00-def jn01-def
jn11-def
    by (simp only: UNIV-def)
qed
corollary cor-example-not-dcr2:
shows \exists r :: (nat set) rel. (
             (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r^* \land (a,c) \in r^* \longrightarrow (\exists \ d. \ (b,d) \in r^* \land (c,d) \in r^*)
)
            \land |r| \leq o \ cardSuc \ |\{n::nat. \ True\}|
            ∧ (¬ ( ∃ r0 r1. (
                   (r = (r\theta \cup r1))
                \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r\theta
                       \longrightarrow (\exists d.
                               (b,d) \in r\theta =
                             \land (c,d) \in r\theta = )
                \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r\theta \land (a,c) \in r1
                        \longrightarrow (\exists b' d.
                               (b,b') \in r1^{\hat{}} \wedge (b',d) \in r0^{\hat{}} *
```

```
\land (c,d) \in r\theta \hat{\ } *)
               \land (\forall a \ b \ c. \ (a,b) \in r1 \ \land \ (a,c) \in r1
                     \longrightarrow (\exists b' b'' c' c'' d.
                            (b,b') \in r0* \land (b',b'') \in r1* \land (b'',d) \in r0*
                          \land (c,c') \in r\theta \hat{\ } \land (c',c'') \in r1 \hat{\ } = \land (c'',d) \in r\theta \hat{\ } \land )))
             ) )
proof -
  have cardSuc \mid \{x::nat. True\} \mid \leq o \mid \{x::nat. set. True\} \mid by force
  then show ?thesis using thm-example-not-dcr2 by blast
qed
end
         DCR implies LD Property
1.4
theory Main-Result-DCR-N1
  imports
    DCR3-Method
    Decreasing-Diagrams. Decreasing-Diagrams
begin
           Auxiliary definitions
definition map-seq-labels :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow ('a,'b) seq \Rightarrow ('a,'c) seq
  map-seq-labels f \sigma = (fst \sigma, map (\lambda(\alpha, a), (f \alpha, a)) (snd \sigma))
fun map\text{-}diag\text{-}labels :: ('b \Rightarrow 'c) \Rightarrow
   ('a,'b) seq \times ('a,'b) seq \times ('a,'b) seq \times ('a,'b) seq \Rightarrow
   ('a,'c) seq \times ('a,'c) seq \times ('a,'c) seq \times ('a,'c) seq
 map-diag-labels f(\tau, \sigma, \sigma', \tau') = ((map-seq-labels f(\tau), (map-seq-labels f(\sigma), (map-seq-labels
f \sigma'), (map\text{-seq-labels } f \tau'))
fun f-to-ls :: (nat \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow nat \Rightarrow 'a \ list
where
  f-to-ls f \theta = []
| f-to-ls f (Suc n) = (f-to-ls f n) @ [(f n)]
```

1.4.2 Auxiliary lemmas

```
lemma lem-ftofs-len: length (f-to-ls f n) = n by (induct n, simp+)
lemma lem-irr-inj-im-irr:
fixes r::'a rel and r'::'b rel and f::'a \Rightarrow 'b
assumes irreft r and inj-on f (Field r)
and r' = \{(a',b'). \exists \ a \ b. \ a' = f \ a \land b' = f \ b \land (a,b) \in r\}
shows irreft r'
using assms unfolding inj-on-def Field-def irreft-def by blast
```

```
lemma lem-tr-inj-im-tr:
fixes r::'a \text{ rel and } r'::'b \text{ rel and } f::'a \Rightarrow 'b
assumes trans r and inj-on f (Field r)
    and r' = \{(a',b') : \exists a b. a' = f a \land b' = f b \land (a,b) \in r\}
shows trans r'
  using assms unfolding inj-on-def Field-def trans-def by blast
lemma lem-lpeak-expr: local-peak lrs (\tau, \sigma) = (\exists \ a \ b \ c \ \alpha \ \beta. \ (a, \alpha, b) \in lrs \land (a, \beta, c)
\in lrs \wedge \tau = (a, [(\alpha, b)]) \wedge \sigma = (a, [(\beta, c)]))
proof
  assume local-peak lrs (\tau, \sigma)
  then show \exists a \ b \ c \ \alpha \ \beta. \ (a,\alpha,b) \in lrs \land (a,\beta,c) \in lrs \land \tau = (a,[(\alpha,b)]) \land \sigma =
(a, [(\beta, c)])
    unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.local-peak-def Decreasing-Diagrams.peak-def
    apply(cases \tau, cases \sigma, simp)
    using Decreasing-Diagrams.seg-tail1(2)
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) Suc-length-conv length-0-conv prod.collapse)
next
  assume \exists a b c \alpha \beta. (a,\alpha,b) \in lrs \wedge (a,\beta,c) \in lrs \wedge \tau = (a,[(\alpha,b)]) \wedge \sigma =
(a,[(\beta,c)])
  then obtain a\ b\ c\ \alpha\ \beta where (a,\alpha,b)\in lrs \land (a,\beta,c)\in lrs \land \tau=(a,[(\alpha,b)])\land
\sigma = (a, [(\beta, c)]) by blast
  then show local-peak lrs (\tau, \sigma)
    unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.local-peak-def Decreasing-Diagrams.peak-def
    by (simp add: Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros)
qed
lemma lem-map-seq:
fixes lrs:('a,'b) lars and f::'b \Rightarrow 'c and lrs'::('a,'c) lars and \sigma::('a,'b) seq
assumes a1: lrs' = \{(a, l', b). \exists l. l' = f l \land (a, l, b) \in lrs \}
    and a2: \sigma \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs
shows (map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels\ f\ \sigma) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs'
proof -
  have \forall s \ a. \ (a,s) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq \ lrs \longrightarrow (map-seq-labels \ f \ (a,s)) \in
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs'
  proof
    \mathbf{fix} \ s
    show \forall a. (a,s) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq\ lrs \longrightarrow (map-seq-labels\ f\ (a,s)) \in
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs'
    proof (induct\ s)
     show \forall a. (a, []) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs \longrightarrow map-seq-labels f (a, []) \in
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs'
        unfolding map-seq-labels-def by (simp add: seq.intros(1))
    next
      fix p s1
      assume d1: \forall b. (b, s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs \longrightarrow map-seq-labels f
(b, s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seg lrs'
      show \forall b. (b, p \# s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs <math>\longrightarrow map\text{-seq-labels } f (b, b)
p \# s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs'
```

```
proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ b
       assume e1:(b, p \# s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
       moreover obtain l b' where e2: p = (l, b') by force
       ultimately have e3: (b,l,b') \in lrs \land (b',s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
      by (metis Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-tail1(1) Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-tail1(2)
prod.collapse\ snd-conv)
       then have (b, f l, b') \in lrs' using a1 by blast
         moreover have map-seq-labels f(b', s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs'
using d1 e3 by blast
        ultimately show map-seq-labels f(b, p \# s1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs'
         using e2 unfolding map-seq-labels-def by (simp add: seq.intros(2))
     qed
   qed
  moreover obtain a s where \sigma = (a,s) by force
  ultimately show (map-seq-labels f(\sigma) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs' using a2
by blast
qed
lemma lem-map-diag:
fixes lrs::('a,'b) lars and f::'b \Rightarrow 'c and lrs'::('a,'c) lars
   and d:('a,'b) seq \times ('a,'b) seq \times ('a,'b) seq \times ('a,'b) seq
assumes a1: lrs' = \{(a, l', b). \exists l. l' = f l \land (a, l, b) \in lrs \}
   and a2: diagram lrs d
shows diagram lrs' (map-diag-labels f d)
proof -
  obtain \tau \sigma \sigma' \tau' where b1: d = (\tau, \sigma, \sigma', \tau') using prod-cases4 by blast
 moreover obtain \tau 1 \ \sigma 1 \ \sigma 1' \ \tau 1' where b2: \tau 1 = (map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels \ f \ \tau) \land \sigma 1 =
(map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels f \sigma)
                         \wedge (\sigma 1' = map\text{-seq-labels } f \sigma') \wedge (\tau 1' = map\text{-seq-labels } f \tau')
by blast
  ultimately have b3: (map\text{-}diag\text{-}labels\ f\ d) = (\tau 1, \sigma 1, \sigma 1', \tau 1') by simp
  have b4: fst \ \sigma = fst \ \tau \land lst \ \sigma = fst \ \tau' \land lst \ \tau = fst \ \sigma' \land lst \ \sigma' = lst \ \tau'
   using b1 a2 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.diagram-def by simp
 have b5: \sigma1 \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seg\ lrs' \land \tau1 \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seg\ lrs'
        \land \sigma 1' \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs' \land \tau 1' \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs'
    using a1 a2 b1 b2 lem-map-seq[of lrs' f] by (simp add: Decreasing-Diagrams.diagram-def)
  moreover have fst \ \sigma 1 = fst \ \tau 1 using b2 \ b4 unfolding map-seq-labels-def by
  moreover have lst \sigma 1 = fst \ \tau 1' \land lst \ \tau 1 = fst \ \sigma 1' using b4
  by (simp add: b2 map-seq-labels-def lst-def, metis (no-types, lifting) case-prod-beta
last-map \ snd-conv)
  moreover have lst \sigma 1' = lst \tau 1' using b4
  by (simp add: b2 map-seq-labels-def lst-def, metis (no-types, lifting) case-prod-beta
last-map snd-conv)
```

```
lemma lem-map-D-loc:
fixes cmp cmp' s1 s2 s3 s4 f
assumes a1: Decreasing-Diagrams.D cmp s1 s2 s3 s4
   and a2: trans cmp and a3: irreft cmp and a4: inj-on f (Field cmp)
   and a5: cmp' = \{(a',b'). \exists a b. a' = f a \land b' = f b \land (a,b) \in cmp\}
   and a6: length s1 = 1 and a7: length s2 = 1
shows Decreasing-Diagrams.D\ cmp'\ (map\ f\ s1)\ (map\ f\ s2)\ (map\ f\ s3)\ (map\ f\ s4)
 obtain \alpha where b1: s2 = [\alpha] using a7 by (metis One-nat-def Suc-length-conv
length-0-conv)
  moreover obtain \beta where b2: s1 = [\beta] using a6 by (metis One-nat-def
Suc\text{-}length\text{-}conv\ length\text{-}0\text{-}conv)
  ultimately have b3: Decreasing-Diagrams.D cmp [\beta] [\alpha] s3 s4 using a1 by
blast
  then obtain \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 1 \tau 2 \tau 3 where b4: s3 = \sigma 1 @ \sigma 2 @ \sigma 3 and b5: s4 =
\tau 1@\tau 2@\tau 3 and b6: LD' cmp \beta \alpha \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 1 \tau 2 \tau 3
   using Decreasing-Diagrams.proposition3-4-inv[of cmp \beta \alpha s3 s4] a2 a3 by blast
  obtain \sigma 1' \sigma 2' \sigma 3' where b7: \sigma 1' = map \ f \ \sigma 1 \ \land \ \sigma 2' = map \ f \ \sigma 2 \ \land \ \sigma 3' =
map f \sigma 3 by blast
 obtain \tau 1' \tau 2' \tau 3' where b8: \tau 1' = map f \tau 1 \wedge \tau 2' = map f \tau 2 \wedge \tau 3' = map
f \tau 3 by blast
  obtain s3' s4' where b9: s3' = map f s3 and b10: s4' = map f s4 by blast
  have trans cmp' using a2 a4 a5 lem-tr-inj-im-tr by blast
  moreover have irrefl cmp' using a3 a4 a5 lem-irr-inj-im-irr by blast
  moreover have s3' = \sigma 1'@\sigma 2'@\sigma 3' using b4\ b7\ b9 by simp
 moreover have s4' = \tau 1'@\tau 2'@\tau 3' using b5\ b8\ b10 by simp
  moreover have LD' cmp' (f \beta) (f \alpha) \sigma 1' \sigma 2' \sigma 3' \tau 1' \tau 2' \tau 3'
  proof -
    have c1: LD-1' cmp \beta \alpha \sigma1 \sigma2 \sigma3 and c2: LD-1' cmp \alpha \beta \tau1 \tau2 \tau3
     using b6 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.LD'-def by blast+
    have LD-1' cmp' (f \beta) (f \alpha) \sigma 1' \sigma 2' \sigma 3'
    using c1 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.LD-1'-def Decreasing-Diagrams.ds-def
\mathbf{by}\ (simp\ add\colon a5\ b7,\ blast)
    moreover have LD-1' cmp' (f \alpha) (f \beta) \tau 1' \tau 2' \tau 3'
    using c2 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.LD-1'-def Decreasing-Diagrams.ds-def
by (simp add: a5 b8, blast)
     ultimately show LD' cmp' (f \ \beta) (f \ \alpha) \sigma 1' \ \sigma 2' \ \sigma 3' \ \tau 1' \ \tau 2' \ \tau 3' unfolding
Decreasing-Diagrams.LD'-def by blast
 ultimately have Decreasing-Diagrams. D cmp' [f \beta] [f \alpha] s3' s4' using Decreas-
ing-Diagrams.proposition3-4[of cmp'] by blast
  moreover have (map \ f \ s1) = [f \ \beta] \land (map \ f \ s2) = [f \ \alpha]  using b1 \ b2 by simp
  ultimately show Decreasing-Diagrams. D cmp' (map f s1) (map f s2) (map f
s3) (map f s4) using b9 b10 by simp
qed
```

ultimately show diagram lrs' (map-diag-labels f d) using b3 b5 unfolding

Decreasing-Diagrams.diagram-def by simp

qed

```
lemma lem-map-DD-loc:
fixes lrs::('a,'b) lars and cmp::'b rel and lrs'::('a,'c) lars and cmp'::'c rel and
f::'b \Rightarrow 'c
assumes a1: trans cmp and a2: irreft cmp and a3: inj-on f (Field cmp)
   and a_4: cmp' = \{(a',b') : \exists a b : a' = f a \land b' = f b \land (a,b) \in cmp\}
   and a5: lrs' = \{(a, l', b). \exists l. l' = f l \land (a, l, b) \in lrs \}
   and a\theta: length (snd (fst d)) = 1 and a7: length (snd (fst (snd d))) = 1
   and a8: DD lrs cmp d
shows DD lrs' cmp' (map-diag-labels f d)
proof -
 have diagram lrs' (map-diag-labels f d) using a4 a5 a8 lem-map-diag unfolding
Decreasing-Diagrams.DD-def by blast
 moreover have D2 cmp' (map-diag-labels f d)
 proof -
   obtain \tau \sigma \sigma' \tau' where c1: d = (\tau, \sigma, \sigma', \tau') by (metis prod-cases3)
   obtain s1 s2 s3 s4 where c2: s1 = labels \tau \wedge s2 = labels \sigma \wedge s3 = labels \sigma'
\wedge s4 = labels \tau' by blast
   have Decreasing-Diagrams.D cmp s1 s2 s3 s4
    using a8 c1 c2 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.DD-def Decreasing-Diagrams.D2-def
   moreover have length s1 = 1 \land length \ s2 = 1 using a6 \ a7 \ c1 \ c2 unfolding
labels-def by simp
    ultimately have Decreasing-Diagrams. D cmp' (map f s1) (map f s2) (map f
s3) (map f s4)
     using a1 a2 a3 a4 lem-map-D-loc by blast
   moreover have labels (map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels\ f\ \tau) = (map\ f\ s1)
            and labels (map-seq-labels f(\sigma) = (map \ f \ s2)
            and labels (map-seq-labels f \sigma') = (map f s3)
            and labels (map-seq-labels f \tau') = (map f s 4)
       using c2 unfolding map-seq-labels-def Decreasing-Diagrams.labels-def by
force+
  ultimately have D2\ cmp'\ ((map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels\ f\ \tau),\ (map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels\ f\ \sigma),\ (map\text{-}seq\text{-}labels\ f\ \sigma))
f \sigma'), (map\text{-seq-labels } f \tau'))
     unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.D2-def by simp
     then show D2 cmp' (map-diag-labels f d) using c1 unfolding Decreas-
ing	ext{-}Diagrams.D2	ext{-}def by simp
 ultimately show DD lrs' cmp' (map-diaq-labels f d) unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.DD-def
by blast
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-ddseq-mon: lrs1 \subseteq lrs2 \Longrightarrow Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs1 \subseteq Decreas-
ing-Diagrams.seq lrs2
proof -
  assume a1: lrs1 \subseteq lrs2
 show Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs1 \subseteq Decreasing-Diagrams.seq <math>lrs2
 proof
   \mathbf{fix} \ a \ s
```

```
assume b1: (a,s) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seg lrs1
   show (a,s) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs2
     by (rule Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.induct[of - - lrs1],
      simp\ only:\ b1, simp\ only:\ seq.intros(1), meson\ a1\ contra-subsetD\ seq.intros(2))
 ged
\mathbf{qed}
lemma lem-dd-D-mon:
fixes cmp1 \ cmp2 \ \alpha \ \beta \ s1 \ s2
assumes a1: trans cmp1 \land irrefl cmp1 and a2: trans cmp2 \land irrefl cmp2 and
a3: cmp1 \subseteq cmp2
   and a4: Decreasing-Diagrams.D cmp1 [\alpha] [\beta] s1 s2
shows Decreasing-Diagrams.D cmp2 [\alpha] [\beta] s1 s2
proof -
  obtain \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 1 \tau 2 \tau 3
    where b1: s1 = \sigma 1@\sigma 2@\sigma 3 \wedge s2 = \tau 1@\tau 2@\tau 3 and b2: LD' cmp1 \alpha \beta \sigma 1
\sigma 2 \ \sigma 3 \ \tau 1 \ \tau 2 \ \tau 3
    using at a4 Decreasing-Diagrams.proposition3-4-inv[of cmp1 \alpha \beta st s2] by
blast
 then have b3: LD-1' cmp1 \alpha \beta \sigma1 \sigma2 \sigma3 and b4: LD-1' cmp1 \beta \alpha \tau1 \tau2 \tau3
   unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.LD'-def by blast+
 have LD-1' cmp2 \alpha \beta \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3
  using a3 b3 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.LD-1'-def Decreasing-Diagrams.ds-def
by blast
 moreover have LD-1' cmp2 \beta \alpha \tau1 \tau2 \tau3
  using a3 b4 unfolding Decreasing-Diagrams.LD-1'-def Decreasing-Diagrams.ds-def
  ultimately show Decreasing-Diagrams. D cmp2 [\alpha] [\beta] s1 s2
    using Decreasing-Diagrams.proposition3-4[of cmp2 \alpha \beta] by (simp add: a2 b1
LD'-def
qed
```

1.4.3 Result

The next lemma has the following meaning: every ARS in the finite DCR hierarchy has the LD property.

```
lemma lem-dcr-to-ld:
fixes n::nat and r::'U rel
assumes DCR n r
shows LD (UNIV::nat set) r
proof -
obtain g::nat \Rightarrow 'U rel where
b1: DCR-generating g and b3: r = \bigcup \ \{ r'. \exists \ \alpha'. \ \alpha' < n \land r' = g \ \alpha' \ \}
using assms unfolding DCR-def by blast
obtain lrs::('U, nat) lars where b4: lrs = \{(a,\alpha',b). \ \alpha' < n \land (a,b) \in g \ \alpha' \} by blast
obtain cmp::nat rel where b5: cmp = \{(\alpha, \beta). \ \alpha < \beta \ \} by blast
have r = unlabel lrs using b3 b4 unfolding unlabel-def by blast
moreover have b6: trans cmp using b5 unfolding trans-tef by trans
```

```
moreover have b7: wf cmp
  proof -
    have cmp = (\{(x::nat, y::nat). x < y\})
      unfolding b5 lex-prod-def by fastforce
    moreover have wf \{(x::nat, y::nat). x < y\} using wf-less by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis using wf-lex-prod by blast
  qed
  moreover have \forall P. local\text{-peak } lrs P \longrightarrow (\exists \sigma' \tau'. DD lrs cmp (fst P, snd P, \sigma', \tau'))
  proof (intro allI impI)
    \mathbf{fix} P
    assume c1: local-peak lrs P
    moreover obtain \tau \sigma where c2: P = (\tau, \sigma) using surjective-pairing by blast
    ultimately obtain a b c \alpha \beta
         where c3: (a,\alpha,b) \in lrs \land (a,\beta,c) \in lrs
           and c_4: \sigma = (a, [(\alpha, b)]) \land \tau = (a, [(\beta, c)]) using lem-lpeak-expr[of lrs] by
blast
     then have c5: \alpha < n \land \beta < n \text{ and } c6: (a,b) \in (g \alpha) \land (a,c) \in (g \beta) \text{ using}
b4 by blast+
    obtain b'b''c'c''d where
                 c7: (b,b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha) \widehat{\ } * \wedge (b',b'') \in (g \ \beta) \widehat{\ } = \wedge (b'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta) \widehat{\ } *
               and c8: (c,c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \beta) \hat{} * \wedge (c',c'') \in (g \ \alpha) \hat{} = \wedge (c'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \beta)
\alpha)^*
             using b1 c6 unfolding DCR-generating-def \mathfrak{D}-def by (metis (no-types,
lifting) mem-Collect-eq old.prod.case)
    obtain pn1 where (b,b') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha)^{\frown}pn1 using c7 by fastforce
     then obtain ph1 where pc9: ph1 0 = b \land ph1 pn1 = b' and \forall i::nat. i <
pn1 \longrightarrow (ph1 \ i, \ ph1 \ (Suc \ i)) \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \alpha)
      using relpow-fun-conv by metis
     then have \forall i::nat. \ i < pn1 \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha'. \alpha' < \alpha \land (ph1 \ i, ph1 \ (Suc \ i)) \in g \ \alpha')
unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def by blast
    then obtain p\alpha i1::nat \Rightarrow nat
       where pc10: \forall i::nat. i < pn1 \longrightarrow (p\alpha i1 i) < \alpha \land (ph1 i, ph1 (Suc i)) \in g
(p\alpha i1 \ i) by metis
    let ?pf1 = \lambda i. ( p\alpha i1 i, ph1 (Suc i))
    obtain pls1 where pc11: pls1 = (f-to-ls ?pf1 pn1) by blast
    obtain n1 where (b'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ q \ \alpha \ \beta) n1 using c7 by fastforce
    then obtain h1 where c9: h1 0 = b'' \wedge h1 n1 = d and \forall i::nat. i < n1 \longrightarrow
(h1 \ i, \ h1 \ (Suc \ i)) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta)
       using relpow-fun-conv by metis
    then have \forall i::nat. i < n1 \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha'. (\alpha' < \alpha \lor \alpha' < \beta) \land (h1 i, h1 (Suc i))
\in g \alpha') unfolding \mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
    then obtain \alpha i1::nat \Rightarrow nat
      where c10: \forall i::nat. i < n1 \longrightarrow ((\alpha i1 i) < \alpha \lor (\alpha i1 i) < \beta) \land (h1 i, h1 (Suc
i)) \in g (\alpha i1 \ i) by metis
    let ?f1 = \lambda i. ( \alpha i1 i, h1 (Suc i))
    obtain ls1 where c11: ls1 = (f-to-ls ?f1 n1) by blast
     obtain \tau'' where qc12: \tau'' = (if \ b' = b'' \ then \ (b'', ls1) \ else \ (b', (\beta, b'') \ \#
ls1)) by blast
    obtain \tau' where c12: \tau' = (b, pls1 @ (snd \tau'')) by blast
```

```
obtain pn2 where (c,c') \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \beta)^{\frown}pn2 using c8 by fastforce
    then obtain ph2 where pc13: ph2 0 = c \land ph2 pn2 = c' and \forall i::nat. i < i
pn2 \longrightarrow (ph2 \ i, ph2 \ (Suc \ i)) \in (\mathfrak{L}1 \ g \ \beta)
      using relpow-fun-conv by metis
    then have \forall i::nat. i < pn2 \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha'. \alpha' < \beta \land (ph2 i, ph2 (Suc i)) \in g \alpha')
unfolding \mathfrak{L}1-def by blast
    then obtain p\alpha i2::nat \Rightarrow nat
       where pc14: \forall i::nat. i < pn2 \longrightarrow (p\alpha i2 i) < \beta \land (ph2 i, ph2 (Suc i)) \in q
(p\alpha i2 \ i) by metis
    let ?pf2 = \lambda i. ( p\alpha i2 i, ph2 (Suc i))
    obtain pls2 where pc15: pls2 = (f-to-ls ?pf2 pn2) by blast
    have \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \beta \ \alpha = \mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta unfolding \mathfrak{L}v\text{-}def by blast
    then have (c'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta) * using c8 by simp
    then obtain n2 where (c'',d) \in (\mathfrak{L}v \ g \ \alpha \ \beta) \widehat{} n2 using c8 by fastforce
    then obtain h2 where c13: h2 0 = c'' \land h2 n2 = d and \forall i::nat. i < n2
\longrightarrow (h2\ i,\ h2\ (Suc\ i)) \in (\mathfrak{L}v\ q\ \alpha\ \beta)
      using relpow-fun-conv by metis
    then have \forall i::nat. i < n2 \longrightarrow (\exists \alpha'. (\alpha' < \alpha \lor \alpha' < \beta) \land (h2 i, h2 (Suc i))
\in g \alpha') unfolding \mathfrak{L}v-def by blast
    then obtain \alpha i2::nat \Rightarrow nat
     where c14: \forall i::nat. i < n2 \longrightarrow ((\alpha i2 i) < \alpha \lor (\alpha i2 i) < \beta) \land (h2 i, h2 (Suc
i)) \in g (\alpha i 2 i) by metis
    let ?f2 = \lambda i. ( \alpha i2 i, h2 (Suc i)
    obtain ls2 where c15: ls2 = (f-to-ls ?f2 n2) by blast
     obtain \sigma'' where gc16: \sigma'' = (if \ c' = c'' \ then \ (c'', ls2) \ else \ (c', (\alpha, c'') \ \#
ls2)) by blast
    obtain \sigma' where c16: \sigma' = (c, pls2 @ (snd \sigma'')) by blast
    have DD lrs cmp (\tau, \sigma, \sigma', \tau')
      have d1': \forall k. k < pn1 \longrightarrow (ph1 k, p\alpha i1 k, ph1 (Suc k)) \in lrs
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ k
        assume k < pn1
        moreover then have (ph1 \ k, \ ph1 \ (Suc \ k)) \in g \ (p\alpha i1 \ k) \land (p\alpha i1 \ k < n)
           using c5 pc10 by force
        ultimately show (ph1 \ k, p\alpha i1 \ k, ph1 \ (Suc \ k)) \in lrs  using b4 by blast
      have d1: \forall k. k < n1 \longrightarrow (h1 k, \alpha i1 k, h1 (Suc k)) \in lrs
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ k
        assume k < n1
        moreover then have (h1 \ k, \ h1 \ (Suc \ k)) \in g \ (\alpha i1 \ k) \land \alpha i1 \ k < n
           using c5 c10 by force
        ultimately show (h1 k, \alpha i1 k, h1 (Suc k)) \in lrs using b4 by blast
      have d2': \forall k. k < pn2 \longrightarrow (ph2 k, p\alpha i2 k, ph2 (Suc k)) \in lrs
      proof (intro allI impI)
        \mathbf{fix} \ k
        assume k < pn2
```

```
using c5 pc14 by force
        ultimately show (ph2 \ k, \ p\alpha i2 \ k, \ ph2 \ (Suc \ k)) \in lrs \ using \ b4 \ by \ blast
     have d2: \forall k. k < n2 \longrightarrow (h2 k, \alpha i2 k, h2 (Suc k)) \in lrs
     proof (intro allI impI)
       \mathbf{fix} \ k
       assume k < n2
       moreover then have (h2\ k,\ h2\ (Suc\ k)) \in g\ (\alpha i2\ k) \land \alpha i2\ k < n
         using c5 c14 by force
        ultimately show (h2 \ k, \alpha i2 \ k, h2 \ (Suc \ k)) \in lrs  using b4 by blast
     have d3: \tau'' \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs
     proof -
       have \forall k. k < n1 \longrightarrow (b'', (f\text{-to-ls }?f1 k)) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seg lrs
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ k\theta
         show k\theta \leq n1 \longrightarrow (b'', (f\text{-to-ls }?f1 \ k\theta)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
         proof (induct \ k\theta)
           show 0 \le n1 \longrightarrow (b'', f\text{-to-ls ?f1 } 0) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
             using Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
         \mathbf{next}
           \mathbf{fix} \ k
          assume g1: k \leq n1 \longrightarrow (b'', f\text{-to-ls ?f1 } k) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq lrs
          show Suc k \le n1 \longrightarrow (b'', f\text{-to-ls }?f1 \ (Suc \ k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
             assume h1: Suc k \le n1
             then have h2: (b'', f\text{-}to\text{-}ls ?f1 k) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq lrs using}
g1 by simp
             obtain s where h3: s = (h1 \ k, [(\alpha i1 \ k, h1 \ (Suc \ k))]) by blast
             then have s \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
                     using h1 d1 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(2)[of <math>h1 k \alpha i1 k]
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
             moreover have lst (b'', f-to-ls ?f1 k) = fst s
               using c9 h3 unfolding lst-def by (cases k, simp+)
              ultimately show (b'', f-to-ls ?f1 (Suc k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seg
lrs
               using h2 h3 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-concat-helper[of b" f-to-ls ?f1 k
lrs \ s] by simp
           qed
         qed
       qed
       then have (b'', ls1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs using c11 by blast
     moreover then have b' \neq b'' \longrightarrow (b', (\beta, b'') \# ls1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
         using b4 c5 c7 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(2)[of b' \beta b''] by fastforce
        ultimately show \tau'' \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq\ lrs\ using\ qc12\ by\ simp
     qed
```

moreover then have $(ph2 \ k, ph2 \ (Suc \ k)) \in g \ (p\alpha i2 \ k) \land p\alpha i2 \ k < n$

```
have d4: \sigma'' \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
     proof -
       have \forall k. k \leq n2 \longrightarrow (c'', (f\text{-to-ls ?f2 }k)) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq lrs
       proof
         \mathbf{fix} \ k\theta
         show k\theta \leq n2 \longrightarrow (c'', (f\text{-to-ls }?f2 \ k\theta)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
         proof (induct \ k\theta)
           show 0 \le n2 \longrightarrow (c'', f\text{-to-ls }?f2 \ 0) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
             using Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
         next
           \mathbf{fix} \ k
          assume g1: k \leq n2 \longrightarrow (c'', f\text{-to-ls }?f2 \ k) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
          show Suc k \leq n2 \longrightarrow (c'', f\text{-to-ls }?f2 \ (Suc \ k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
           proof
             assume h1: Suc \ k < n2
             then have h2: (c'', f\text{-to-ls }?f2\ k) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs\ using}
g1 by simp
             obtain s where h3: s = (h2 k, [(\alpha i2 k, h2 (Suc k))]) by blast
             then have s \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq\ lrs
                     using h1 d2 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(2)[of <math>h2 k \alpha i2 k]
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
             moreover have lst\ (c'', f-to-ls\ ?f2\ k) = fst\ s
               using c13 h3 unfolding lst-def by (cases k, simp+)
              ultimately show (c'', f-to-ls ?f2 (Suc k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
               using h2 h3 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-concat-helper[of c'' f-to-ls ?f2 k
lrs \ s] by simp
           qed
         qed
       then have (c'', ls2) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs using c15 by blast
           moreover then have c' \neq c'' \longrightarrow (c', (\alpha, c'') \# ls2) \in Decreas
ing	ext{-}Diagrams.seq\ lrs
         using b4 c5 c8 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(2)[of c' \alpha c''] by fastforce
       ultimately show \sigma'' \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seg\ lrs\ using\ gc16\ by\ simp
     qed
       have \sigma \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq\ lrs\ by\ (simp\ add:\ c3\ c4\ seq.intros(1)
seq.intros(2)
        moreover have \tau \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq\ lrs\ by\ (simp\ add:\ c3\ c4
seq.intros(1) \ seq.intros(2))
     moreover have d5: \sigma' \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs \land lst \sigma' = lst \sigma''
       have (c, pls2) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
       proof -
         have \forall k. k \leq pn2 \longrightarrow (c, (f\text{-to-ls } ?pf2 k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
         proof
           \mathbf{fix} \ k\theta
           show k\theta \leq pn2 \longrightarrow (c, (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls ?pf2 k\theta)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
```

```
proof (induct \ k\theta)
              \mathbf{show}\ \theta \leq \mathit{pn2} \longrightarrow (\mathit{c}, \mathit{f-to-ls}\ ?\mathit{pf2}\ \theta) \in \mathit{Decreasing-Diagrams.seq}\ \mathit{lrs}
                using Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
            next
              \mathbf{fix} \ k
             assume g1: k \leq pn2 \longrightarrow (c, f\text{-to-ls }?pf2 \ k) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
          show Suc k \le pn2 \longrightarrow (c, f\text{-to-ls } ?pf2 (Suc k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
              proof
                assume h1: Suc \ k \leq pn2
              then have h2: (c, f\text{-}to\text{-}ls ?pf2 k) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq lrs using}
g1 by simp
                obtain s where h3: s = (ph2 \ k, [(p\alpha i2 \ k, ph2 \ (Suc \ k))]) by blast
                then have s \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seg\ lrs
                    using h1 d2' Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(2)[of ph2 k p\alpha i2 k]
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
                moreover have lst (c, f-to-ls ?pf2 k) = fst s
                  using pc13 \ h3 unfolding lst\text{-}def by (cases \ k, \ simp+)
               ultimately show (c, f\text{-to-ls }?pf2 (Suc k)) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seg
lrs
                  using h2 h3 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-concat-helper[of c f-to-ls ?pf2
k \ lrs \ s] by simp
              qed
            qed
          qed
          then show ?thesis using pc15 by blast
        moreover have lst(c, pls2) = fst \sigma''
        proof -
         have lst(c, pls2) = c' using pc13 pc15 unfolding lst-def by (cases pn2,
simp+)
          then show ?thesis unfolding qc16 by simp
        ultimately show ?thesis using d4
          unfolding c16 using Decreasing-Diagrams.seg-concat-helper[of c pls2 lrs
\sigma'' ] by blast
      qed
      moreover have d\theta: \tau' \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs \land lst <math>\tau' = lst \tau''
      proof -
        have (b, pls1) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
        proof -
         have \forall k. k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow (b, (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls ?pf1 k)) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seg lrs
          proof
            \mathbf{fix} \ k\theta
           show k\theta \leq pn1 \longrightarrow (b, (f\text{-to-ls } ?pf1 \ k\theta)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq lrs
            proof (induct k\theta)
              \mathbf{show}\ \theta \leq \mathit{pn1} \longrightarrow (\mathit{b}, \mathit{f-to-ls}\ ?\mathit{pf1}\ \theta) \in \mathit{Decreasing-Diagrams.seq}\ \mathit{lrs}
                using Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
```

```
next
            \mathbf{fix} \ k
           assume g1: k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow (b, f\text{-to-ls }?pf1 \ k) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
         show Suc k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow (b, f\text{-to-ls } ?pf1 (Suc k)) \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq
lrs
            proof
             assume h1: Suc \ k \leq pn1
            then have h2:(b, f\text{-to-ls }?pf1\ k) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq lrs using}
g1 by simp
             obtain s where h3: s = (ph1 \ k, [(p\alpha i1 \ k, ph1 \ (Suc \ k))]) by blast
              then have s \in Decreasing-Diagrams.seq\ lrs
                 using h1 d1' Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(2)[of ph1 k p\alpha i1 k]
Decreasing-Diagrams.seq.intros(1)[of - lrs] by simp
             moreover have lst (b, f-to-ls ?pf1 k) = fst s
               using pc9 h3 unfolding lst-def by (cases k, simp+)
             ultimately show (b, f\text{-to-ls }?pf1\ (Suc\ k)) \in Decreasing\text{-}Diagrams.seq
lrs
               using h2 h3 Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-concat-helper[of b f-to-ls ?pf1
k \ lrs \ s] by simp
            qed
          qed
        qed
        then show ?thesis using pc11 by blast
      moreover have lst(b, pls1) = fst \tau''
        have lst(b, pls1) = b' using pc9 pc11 unfolding lst-def by (cases pn1, pc1)
simp+)
        then show ?thesis unfolding qc12 by simp
       ultimately show ?thesis using d3
         unfolding c12 using Decreasing-Diagrams.seq-concat-helper[of b pls1 lrs
\tau^{\prime\prime} ] by blast
     qed
     moreover have fst \sigma = fst \tau using c4 by simp
     moreover have lst \sigma = fst \tau' using c4 c12 unfolding lst-def by simp
     moreover have lst \tau = fst \sigma' using c4 c16 unfolding lst-def by simp
     moreover have lst \ \sigma' = lst \ \tau'
     proof -
      have lst \tau'' = d
      proof (cases \ n1 = 0)
        assume n1 = 0
        then show lst \tau'' = d using c9 c11 qc12 unfolding lst-def by force
      next
        assume n1 \neq 0
         moreover then have last ls1 = (\alpha i1 (n1-1), h1 n1) using c11 by
(cases n1, simp+)
         ultimately show lst \tau'' = d using c9 c11 qc12 lem-ftofs-len unfolding
```

```
lst-def
            by (smt\ last\text{-}ConsR\ list.distinct(1)\ list.size(3)\ snd\text{-}conv)
        qed
        moreover have lst \sigma'' = d
        proof (cases n2 = 0)
          assume n2 = 0
          then show lst \sigma'' = d using c13 c15 qc16 unfolding lst-def by force
        next
          assume n2 \neq 0
           moreover then have last ls2 = (\alpha i2 (n2-1), h2 n2) using c15 by
(cases n2, simp+)
         ultimately show lst \sigma'' = d using c13 c15 qc16 lem-ftofs-len unfolding
lst-def
            by (smt last-ConsR list.distinct(1) list.size(3) snd-conv)
        qed
        moreover have lst \tau' = lst \ \tau'' \wedge lst \ \sigma' = lst \ \sigma'' using d5 d6 by blast
        ultimately show ?thesis by metis
      moreover have Decreasing-Diagrams. D cmp (labels \tau) (labels \sigma) (labels \sigma)
(labels \tau')
      proof -
        obtain \sigma 1 where e01: \sigma 1 = (f-to-ls \ p\alpha i2 \ pn2) by blast
        obtain \sigma 2 where e1: \sigma 2 = (if \ c' = c'' \ then \ [] \ else \ [\alpha]) by blast
        obtain \sigma 3 where e2: \sigma 3 = (f-to-ls \ \alpha i2 \ n2) by blast
        obtain \tau 1 where e02: \tau 1 = (f-to-ls \ p\alpha i1 \ pn1) by blast
        obtain \tau 2 where e3: \tau 2 = (if b' = b'' then || else || \beta|) by blast
        obtain \tau 3 where e4: \tau 3 = (f-to-ls \alpha i1 \ n1) by blast
       have labels \tau = [\beta] \wedge labels \sigma = [\alpha] using c4 unfolding labels-def by simp
        moreover have labels \sigma' = \sigma 1 @ \sigma 2 @ \sigma 3
        proof -
          have labels \sigma'' = \sigma 2 @ \sigma 3
          proof -
            have \forall k. k \leq n2 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls ?f2 \ k) = f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ \alpha i2 \ k
            proof
              \mathbf{fix} \ k
              show k < n2 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f-to-ls ?f2 \ k) = f-to-ls \ \alpha i2 \ k \ by \ (induct \ k,
simp+)
            then show ?thesis using c15 qc16 e1 e2 unfolding labels-def by simp
          qed
          moreover have labels \sigma' = \sigma 1 @ labels \sigma''
          proof -
            have \forall k. k \leq pn2 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f-to-ls \ ?pf2 \ k) = f-to-ls \ p\alpha i2 \ k
            proof
              \mathbf{fix} \ k
             show k \leq pn2 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ ?pf2 \ k) = f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ p\alpha i2 \ k \ \mathbf{by} \ (induct
k, simp+)
            qed
            then have map fst pls2 = \sigma 1 unfolding pc15 \ e01 by blast
```

```
then show ?thesis unfolding c16 labels-def by simp
           qed
           ultimately show ?thesis by simp
         moreover have labels \tau' = \tau 1 @ \tau 2 @ \tau 3
         proof -
           have labels \tau'' = \tau 2 @ \tau 3
           proof -
              have \forall k. k \leq n1 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f-to-ls ?f1 \ k) = f-to-ls \ \alpha i1 \ k
              proof
                \mathbf{fix} \ k
                show k \leq n1 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ ?f1 \ k) = f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ \alpha i1 \ k \ by \ (induct \ k,
simp+)
             then show ?thesis using c11 qc12 e3 e4 unfolding labels-def by simp
           moreover have labels \tau' = \tau 1 @ labels \tau''
           proof -
              have \forall k. k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f\text{-to-ls ?pf1 } k) = f\text{-to-ls } p\alpha i1 \ k
              proof
                \mathbf{fix} \ k
               show k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow map \ fst \ (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ ?pf1 \ k) = f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ p\alpha i1 \ k \ \mathbf{by} \ (induct
k, simp+)
              qed
              then have map fst pls1 = \tau 1 unfolding pc11 e02 by blast
              then show ?thesis unfolding c12 labels-def by simp
           ultimately show ?thesis by simp
         qed
         moreover have LD' cmp \beta \alpha \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 1 \tau 2 \tau 3
         proof -
           let ?dn = \{\alpha' : (\alpha', \alpha) \in cmp \lor (\alpha', \beta) \in cmp\}
           have pf1: set \ \sigma 1 \subseteq \{y. \ (y, \beta) \in cmp\}
           proof -
              have \forall k. k \leq pn2 \longrightarrow set (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ p\alpha i2 \ k) \subseteq \{y. (y, \beta) \in cmp\}
              proof
                \mathbf{fix} \ k
                show k \leq pn2 \longrightarrow set (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ p\alpha i2 \ k) \subseteq \{y, (y, \beta) \in cmp\} \text{ using } b5
pc14 by (induct k, simp+)
              qed
              then show ?thesis using e01 by blast
           qed
           have pf2: set \tau 1 \subseteq \{y. (y, \alpha) \in cmp\}
              have \forall k. k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow set (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ p\alpha i1 \ k) \subseteq \{y. (y, \alpha) \in cmp\}
              proof
                \mathbf{fix} \ k
                show k \leq pn1 \longrightarrow set (f\text{-}to\text{-}ls \ p\alpha i1 \ k) \subseteq \{y. \ (y, \alpha) \in cmp\} \text{ using } b5
pc10 by (induct k, simp+)
```

```
qed
           then show ?thesis using e02 by blast
         qed
         have f1: set \ \sigma 3 \subseteq ?dn
           have \forall k. k \leq n2 \longrightarrow set (f\text{-to-ls } \alpha i2 k) \subseteq ?dn
           proof
             \mathbf{fix} \ k
              show k \leq n2 \longrightarrow set (f-to-ls \alpha i2 \ k) \subseteq ?dn using b5 c14 by (induct
k, simp+)
           then show ?thesis using e2 by blast
         have f2: set \tau 3 \subseteq ?dn
         proof -
           have \forall k. k \leq n1 \longrightarrow set (f\text{-to-ls } \alpha i1 \ k) \subseteq ?dn
           proof
             \mathbf{fix} \ k
              show k \leq n1 \longrightarrow set (f-to-ls \alpha i1 \ k) \subseteq ?dn using b5 \ c10 by (induct
k, simp+)
           then show ?thesis using e4 by blast
         have LD-1' cmp \beta \alpha \sigma1 \sigma2 \sigma3 using pf1 f1 e1 e2 unfolding LD-1'-def
Decreasing-Diagrams.ds-def by simp
         moreover have LD-1' cmp \alpha \beta \tau1 \tau2 \tau3 using pf2 f2 e3 e4 unfolding
LD-1'-def Decreasing-Diagrams.ds-def by force
         ultimately show ?thesis unfolding LD'-def by blast
        qed
       moreover have trans \ cmp \land wf \ cmp \ using \ b6 \ b7 \ by \ blast
       moreover then have irrefl cmp using irrefl-def by fastforce
        ultimately show ?thesis using proposition3-4 [of cmp \beta \alpha \sigma 1 \sigma 2 \sigma 3 \tau 1
\tau 2 \ \tau 3] by simp
     qed
     ultimately show ?thesis unfolding DD-def diagram-def D2-def by simp
   then show \exists \sigma' \tau'. DD lrs cmp (fst P,snd P,\sigma',\tau') using c2 by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis unfolding LD-def by blast
qed
```

2 Main theorem

The next theorem has the following meaning: if the cardinality of a binary relation r does not exceed the first uncountable cardinal ($cardSuc \mid UNIV :: nat set \mid$), then the following two conditions are equivalent:

```
1. r is confluent (Abstract-Rewriting. CR r)
```

2. r can be proven confluent using the decreasing diagrams method with natural numbers as labels (Decreasing-Diagrams.LD ($UNIV::nat\ set$) r).

```
theorem N1-completeness:
fixes r::'a rel
assumes |r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
shows Abstract-Rewriting. CR \ r = Decreasing-Diagrams. LD \ (UNIV::nat\ set) \ r
proof
 assume b\theta: CR r
 have b1: |r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set| using assms by simp
 obtain \kappa where b2: \kappa = cardSuc | UNIV :: nat set | by blast
 have |Field \ r| \le o \ cardSuc \ |UNIV::nat \ set|
 proof (cases finite r)
   assume finite r
   then show ?thesis using b2 lem-fin-fl-rel by (metis Field-card-of Field-natLeq
cardSuc\text{-}ordLeg\text{-}ordLess
   card-of-card-order-on card-of-mono2 finite-iff-ordLess-natLeq ordLess-imp-ordLeq)
   assume \neg finite r
   then show ?thesis using b1 b2 lem-rel-inf-fld-card using ordIso-ordLeq-trans
by blast
 qed
 moreover have confl-rel r using b0 unfolding confl-rel-def Abstract-Rewriting.CR-on-def
 ultimately show LD (UNIV::nat\ set) r using lem-dc3-conft-lew suc[of\ r] lem-dcr-to-ld
by blast
next
 assume LD (UNIV::nat\ set) r
 then show CR r using Decreasing-Diagrams.sound by blast
qed
end
```

References

- [1] I. Ivanov. Formal proof of completeness of the decreasing diagrams method for proving confluence of relations of the least uncountable cardinality, 2024. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14254256, Formal proof development.
- [2] I. Ivanov. Formalization of an abstract rewriting system in the class $DCR_3 \backslash DCR_2$, 2024. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.11571490, Formal proof development.
- [3] I. Ivanov. On non-triviality of the hierarchy of decreasing Church-Rosser abstract rewriting systems. In *Proceedings of the 13th International Workshop on Confluence*, pages 30–35, 2024.

- [4] I. Ivanov. Formalization of a confluent abstract rewriting system of the least uncountable cardinality outside of the class DCR_2 , 2025. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14740062, Formal proof development.
- [5] I. Ivanov. Modified version of a formal proof of completeness of the decreasing diagrams method for proving confluence of relations of the least uncountable cardinality, 2025. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo. 15190469, Formal proof development.
- [6] C. Sternagel and R. Thiemann. Abstract rewriting. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, June 2010. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Abstract-Rewriting.html, Formal proof development.
- [7] V. Van Oostrom. Confluence by decreasing diagrams. *Theoretical computer science*, 126(2):259–280, 1994.
- [8] H. Zankl. Decreasing diagrams. Archive of Formal Proofs, November 2013. https://isa-afp.org/entries/Decreasing-Diagrams.html, Formal proof development.