Comparison-based Sorting Algorithms

Manuel Eberl

October 13, 2025

Abstract

This article contains a formal proof of the well-known fact that number of comparisons that a comparison-based sorting algorithm needs to perform to sort a list of length n is at least $\log_2(n!)$ in the worst case, i.e. $\Omega(n \log n)$.

For this purpose, a shallow embedding for comparison-based sorting algorithms is defined: a sorting algorithm is a recursive datatype containing either a HOL function or a query of a comparison oracle with a continuation containing the remaining computation. This makes it possible to force the algorithm to use only comparisons and to track the number of comparisons made.

Contents

1	Lin	ear orderings as relations	2
	1.1	Auxiliary facts	2
	1.2	Sortedness w.r.t. a relation	2
	1.3	Linear orderings	4
	1.4	Converting a list into a linear ordering	5
	1.5	Insertion sort	5
	1.6	Obtaining a sorted list of a given set	7
	1.7	Rank of an element in an ordering	9
	1.8	The bijection between linear orderings and lists	12
2	Lower bound on costs of comparison-based sorting		13
	2.1	Abstract description of sorting algorithms	13
	2.2	Lower bounds on number of comparisons	16

1 Linear orderings as relations

```
theory Linorder-Relations
 imports
    Complex-Main
   HOL-Combinatorics. Multiset-Permutations
   List-Index.List-Index
begin
       Auxiliary facts
1.1
lemma distinct-count-atmost-1':
  distinct xs = (\forall a. count (mset xs) a \leq 1)
proof -
 {
   fix x have count (mset xs) x = (if \ x \in set \ xs \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) \longleftrightarrow count (mset
     using count-eq-zero-iff [of mset xs x]
     by (cases count (mset xs) x) (auto simp del: count-mset-0-iff)
 thus ?thesis unfolding distinct-count-atmost-1 by blast
qed
lemma distinct-mset-mono:
 assumes distinct ys mset xs \subseteq \# mset ys
 shows distinct xs
 unfolding distinct-count-atmost-1'
proof
 \mathbf{fix} \ x
 from assms(2) have count (mset xs) x \le count (mset ys) x
   by (rule mset-subset-eq-count)
 also from assms(1) have ... \leq 1 unfolding distinct-count-atmost-1'...
 finally show count (mset xs) x \le 1.
qed
lemma mset-eq-imp-distinct-iff:
 assumes mset xs = mset ys
 \mathbf{shows} \quad \textit{distinct } xs \longleftrightarrow \textit{distinct } ys
 using assms by (simp add: distinct-count-atmost-1')
lemma total-on-subset: total-on B R \Longrightarrow A \subseteq B \Longrightarrow total-on A R
 by (auto simp: total-on-def)
       Sortedness w.r.t. a relation
inductive sorted-wrt :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool for R where
  sorted-wrt R []
| sorted-wrt R xs \Longrightarrow (\bigwedge y. \ y \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow (x,y) \in R) \Longrightarrow sorted-wrt <math>R (x \# xs)
lemma sorted-wrt-Nil [simp]: sorted-wrt R []
```

```
by (rule sorted-wrt.intros)
lemma sorted-wrt-Cons: sorted-wrt R (x \# xs) \longleftrightarrow (\forall y \in set xs. (x,y) \in R) \land
sorted-wrt R xs
 by (auto intro: sorted-wrt.intros elim: sorted-wrt.cases)
lemma sorted-wrt-singleton [simp]: sorted-wrt R [x]
 by (intro sorted-wrt.intros) simp-all
lemma sorted-wrt-many:
 assumes trans R
 shows sorted-wrt R (x \# y \# xs) \longleftrightarrow (x,y) \in R \land sorted-wrt R (y \# xs)
 by (force intro: sorted-wrt.intros transD[OF assms] elim: sorted-wrt.cases)
\mathbf{lemma}\ sorted\text{-}wrt\text{-}imp\text{-}le\text{-}last:
 assumes sorted-wrt R xs xs \neq [] x \in set xs x \neq last xs
 shows (x, last xs) \in R
 using assms by induction auto
lemma sorted-wrt-append:
 assumes sorted-wrt R xs sorted-wrt R ys
         \bigwedge x \ y. \ x \in set \ xs \Longrightarrow y \in set \ ys \Longrightarrow (x,y) \in R \ trans \ R
 shows sorted\text{-}wrt R (xs @ ys)
 using assms by (induction xs) (auto simp: sorted-wrt-Cons)
lemma sorted-wrt-snoc:
 assumes sorted-wrt R xs (last xs, y) \in R trans R
 shows sorted-wrt R (xs @ [y])
 using assms(1,2)
proof induction
 case (2 xs x)
 show ?case
 proof (cases \ xs = [])
   {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
   with 2 have (z,y) \in R if z \in set xs for z
     using that by (cases z = last xs)
              (auto intro: assms transD[OF assms(3), OF sorted-wrt-imp-le-last[OF
2(1)]])
   from False have *: last xs \in set xs by simp
  moreover from 2 False have (x,y) \in R by (intro\ transD[OF\ assms(3)\ 2(2)]OF
*]]) simp
   ultimately show ?thesis using 2 False
     by (auto intro!: sorted-wrt.intros)
 qed (insert 2, auto intro: sorted-wrt.intros)
\mathbf{qed}\ simp\mbox{-}all
lemma sorted-wrt-conv-nth:
 sorted\text{-}wrt \ R \ xs \longleftrightarrow (\forall \ i \ j. \ i < j \land j < length \ xs \longrightarrow (xs!i, \ xs!j) \in R)
 by (induction xs) (auto simp: sorted-wrt-Cons nth-Cons set-conv-nth split: nat.splits)
```

1.3 Linear orderings

```
definition linorder-on :: 'a set \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow bool where
   linorder-on A \ R \longleftrightarrow R \subseteq A \times A \wedge refl-on A \ R \wedge antisym \ R \wedge trans \ R \wedge total-on
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{linorder-on-cases} :
   assumes linorder-on A R x \in A y \in A
   shows x = y \lor ((x, y) \in R \land (y, x) \notin R) \lor ((y, x) \in R \land (x, y) \notin R)
   using assms by (auto simp: linorder-on-def refl-on-def total-on-def antisym-def)
lemma sorted-wrt-linorder-imp-index-le:
   assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A sorted-wrt R xs
                  x \in set \ xs \ y \in set \ xs \ (x,y) \in R
   shows index xs x \leq index xs y
proof -
    define i j where i = index xs x and j = index xs y
    {
       assume j < i
       moreover from assms have i < length xs by (simp add: i-def)
     ultimately have (xs!j,xs!i) \in R using assms by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-conv-nth)
      with assms have x = y by (auto simp: linorder-on-def antisym-def i-def j-def)
   hence i \leq j \vee x = y by linarith
   thus ?thesis by (auto simp: i-def j-def)
qed
lemma sorted-wrt-linorder-index-le-imp:
   assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A sorted-wrt R xs
                  x \in set \ xs \ y \in set \ xs \ index \ xs \ x \leq index \ xs \ y
   shows (x,y) \in R
proof (cases x = y)
   {f case}\ {\it False}
   define i j where i = index xs x and j = index xs y
   from False and assms have i \neq j by (simp add: i-def j-def)
    with \langle index \ xs \ x \leq index \ xs \ y \rangle have i < j by (simp \ add: i-def \ j-def)
   moreover from assms have j < length xs by (simp add: j-def)
    ultimately have (xs ! i, xs ! j) \in R using assms(3)
       by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-conv-nth)
    with assms show ?thesis by (simp-all add: i-def j-def)
qed (insert assms, auto simp: linorder-on-def refl-on-def)
lemma sorted-wrt-linorder-index-le-iff:
   assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A sorted-wrt R xs
                  x \in set \ xs \ y \in set \ xs
   shows index xs \ x \le index \ xs \ y \longleftrightarrow (x,y) \in R
    {\bf using} \ sorted-wrt-linorder-index-le-imp[OF\ assms] \ sorted-wrt-linorder-imp-index-le[OF\ assms] \ sorted-
assms
   by blast
```

```
lemma sorted-wrt-linorder-index-less-iff:
 assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A sorted-wrt R xs
         x \in set \ xs \ y \in set \ xs
 shows index xs \ x < index \ xs \ y \longleftrightarrow (y,x) \notin R
  by (subst sorted-wrt-linorder-index-le-iff [OF\ assms(1-3)\ assms(5,4),\ symmet-
ric]) auto
lemma sorted-wrt-distinct-linorder-nth:
 assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A sorted-wrt R xs distinct xs
         i < length xs j < length xs
 shows (xs!i, xs!j) \in R \longleftrightarrow i \leq j
proof (cases i j rule: linorder-cases)
 case less
 with assms show ?thesis by (simp add: sorted-wrt-conv-nth)
next
 case equal
 from assms have xs ! i \in set xs xs ! j \in set xs by (auto simp: set-conv-nth)
 with assms(2) have xs ! i \in A xs ! j \in A by blast+
  with \(\langle \linorder-on A R \rangle \) and equal show \(\frac{2}{2}\text{thesis}\) by \(\((simp \) add: \(\linorder-on-def \)
refl-on-def)
next
  case greater
 with assms have (xs!j, xs!i) \in R by (auto simp add: sorted-wrt-conv-nth)
 moreover from assms and greater have xs! i \neq xs! j by (simp add: nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
 ultimately show ?thesis using \langle linorder-on A R \rangle greater
   by (auto simp: linorder-on-def antisym-def)
qed
        Converting a list into a linear ordering
1.4
definition linorder-of-list :: 'a list \Rightarrow ('a \times 'a) set where
  linorder-of-list xs = \{(a,b). \ a \in set \ xs \land b \in set \ xs \land index \ xs \ a \leq index \ xs \ b\}
lemma linorder-linorder-of-list [intro, simp]:
 assumes distinct xs
 shows linorder-on (set xs) (linorder-of-list xs)
 unfolding linorder-on-def using assms
 by (auto simp: refl-on-def antisym-def trans-def total-on-def linorder-of-list-def)
lemma sorted-wrt-linorder-of-list [intro, simp]:
  distinct \ xs \Longrightarrow sorted\text{-}wrt \ (linorder\text{-}of\text{-}list \ xs) \ xs
 by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-conv-nth linorder-of-list-def index-nth-id)
1.5
       Insertion sort
primrec insert-wrt :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where
  insert\text{-}wrt \ R \ x \ [] = [x]
| insert-wrt R x (y \# ys) = (if (x, y) \in R then x \# y \# ys else y \# insert-wrt R
x ys)
```

```
lemma set-insert-wrt [simp]: set (insert-wrt R \times xs) = insert x (set xs)
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma mset-insert-wrt [simp]: mset (insert-wrt R \times xs) = add-mset x (mset \times s)
 by (induction xs) auto
lemma length-insert-wrt [simp]: length (insert-wrt R \times xs) = Suc (length xs)
 by (induction xs) simp-all
definition insort-wrt :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list where
  insort\text{-}wrt \ R \ xs = foldr \ (insert\text{-}wrt \ R) \ xs \ []
lemma set-insort-wrt [simp]: set (insort\text{-wrt } R \ xs) = set \ xs
 by (induction xs) (simp-all add: insort-wrt-def)
lemma mset-insort-wrt [simp]: mset (insort-wrt R xs) = mset xs
 by (induction xs) (simp-all add: insort-wrt-def)
lemma length-insort-wrt [simp]: length (insort\text{-wrt } R \ xs) = length \ xs
 by (induction xs) (simp-all add: insort-wrt-def)
lemma sorted-wrt-insert-wrt [intro]:
  linorder-on\ A\ R \Longrightarrow set\ (x\ \#\ xs)\subseteq A\Longrightarrow
    sorted\text{-}wrt\ R\ xs \Longrightarrow sorted\text{-}wrt\ R\ (insert\text{-}wrt\ R\ x\ xs)
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ y \ ys)
 from Cons.prems have (x,y) \in R \vee (y,x) \in R
   by (cases x = y) (auto simp: linorder-on-def refl-on-def total-on-def)
 with Cons show ?case
   by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-Cons intro: transD simp: linorder-on-def)
qed auto
lemma sorted-wrt-insort [intro]:
 assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A
 shows sorted-wrt R (insort-wrt R xs)
proof -
 from assms have set (insort-wrt R xs) = set xs \land sorted-wrt R (insort-wrt R xs)
   by (induction xs) (auto simp: insort-wrt-def intro!: sorted-wrt-insert-wrt)
  thus ?thesis ..
qed
lemma distinct-insort-wrt [simp]: distinct (insort-wrt R xs) \longleftrightarrow distinct xs
 by (simp add: distinct-count-atmost-1)
\mathbf{lemma}\ sorted\text{-}wrt\text{-}linorder\text{-}unique:
 assumes linorder-on A R mset xs = mset ys sorted-wrt R xs sorted-wrt R ys
 shows xs = ys
proof -
 from \langle mset \ xs = mset \ ys \rangle have length \ xs = length \ ys by (rule \ mset-eq-length)
```

```
from this and assms(2-) show ?thesis
 proof (induction xs ys rule: list-induct2)
   case (Cons \ x \ xs \ y \ ys)
   have set (x \# xs) = set\text{-mset} (mset (x \# xs)) by simp
   also have mset (x \# xs) = mset (y \# ys) by fact
   also have set-mset ... = set (y \# ys) by simp
   finally have eq: set (x \# xs) = set (y \# ys).
   have x = y
   proof (rule ccontr)
     assume x \neq y
     with eq have x \in set \ ys \ y \in set \ xs \ by \ auto
     with Cons.prems and assms(1) and eq have (x, y) \in R (y, x) \in R
      by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-Cons)
     with assms(1) have x = y by (auto simp: linorder-on-def antisym-def)
     with \langle x \neq y \rangle show False by contradiction
   qed
   with Cons show ?case by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-Cons)
 qed auto
qed
1.6
       Obtaining a sorted list of a given set
definition sorted-wrt-list-of-set where
 sorted-wrt-list-of-set R A =
    (if finite A then (THE xs. set xs = A \land distinct xs \land sorted\text{-wrt } R xs) else [])
lemma mset-remdups: mset (remdups xs) = mset-set (set xs)
proof (induction xs)
 case (Cons \ x \ xs)
 thus ?case by (cases x \in set xs) (auto simp: insert-absorb)
qed auto
lemma sorted-wrt-list-set:
 assumes linorder-on A R set xs \subseteq A
 shows sorted-wrt-list-of-set R (set xs) = insort-wrt R (remdups xs)
proof -
 have sorted-wrt-list-of-set R (set xs) =
        (THE \ xsa. \ set \ xsa = set \ xs \land distinct \ xsa \land sorted\text{-}wrt \ R \ xsa)
   by (simp add: sorted-wrt-list-of-set-def)
 also have ... = insort-wrt R (remdups xs)
 proof (rule the-equality)
   fix xsa assume xsa: set xsa = set xs \wedge distinct xsa \wedge sorted-wrt R xsa
   from xsa have mset xsa = mset-set (set xsa) by (subst mset-set-set) simp-all
   also from xsa have set xsa = set xs by simp
   also have mset\text{-}set \dots = mset \ (remdups \ xs) by (simp \ add: \ mset\text{-}remdups)
   finally show xsa = insort\text{-}wrt \ R \ (remdups \ xs) using xsa \ assms
     by (intro\ sorted-wrt-linorder-unique[OF\ assms(1)])
       (auto intro!: sorted-wrt-insort)
```

```
qed (insert assms, auto intro!: sorted-wrt-insort)
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma linorder-sorted-wrt-exists:
 assumes linorder-on A R finite B B \subseteq A
 shows \exists xs. \ set \ xs = B \land \ distinct \ xs \land \ sorted\text{-}wrt \ R \ xs
proof -
  from \langle finite B \rangle obtain xs where set xs = B distinct xs
   using finite-distinct-list by blast
 hence set (insort-wrt R xs) = B distinct (insort-wrt R xs) by simp-all
 moreover have sorted-wrt R (insort-wrt R xs)
   using assms \langle set \ xs = B \rangle by (intro sorted-wrt-insort[OF assms(1)]) auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma linorder-sorted-wrt-list-of-set:
 assumes linorder-on A R finite B B \subseteq A
 shows set (sorted-wrt-list-of-set\ R\ B)=B\ distinct\ (sorted-wrt-list-of-set\ R\ B)
         sorted-wrt R (sorted-wrt-list-of-set R B)
proof -
 have \exists !xs. \ set \ xs = B \land \ distinct \ xs \land \ sorted\text{-}wrt \ R \ xs
 proof (rule ex-ex1I)
   show \exists xs. \ set \ xs = B \land \ distinct \ xs \land \ sorted\text{-}wrt \ R \ xs
     by (rule linorder-sorted-wrt-exists assms)+
  next
   fix xs ys assume set xs = B \land distinct xs \land sorted\text{-}wrt R xs
                   set\ ys = B \land distinct\ ys \land sorted\text{-}wrt\ R\ ys
   thus xs = ys
    by (intro sorted-wrt-linorder-unique[OF assms(1)]) (auto simp: set-eq-iff-mset-eq-distinct)
  from the I'[OF this] show set (sorted-wrt-list-of-set R B) = B
   distinct (sorted-wrt-list-of-set R B) sorted-wrt R (sorted-wrt-list-of-set R B)
   by (simp-all add: sorted-wrt-list-of-set-def \langle finite B \rangle)
qed
lemma sorted-wrt-list-of-set-eqI:
  assumes linorder-on B R A \subseteq B  set xs = A  distinct xs  sorted-wrt R  xs 
  shows sorted-wrt-list-of-set R A = xs
proof (rule sorted-wrt-linorder-unique)
 show linorder-on B R by fact
 let ?ys = sorted\text{-}wrt\text{-}list\text{-}of\text{-}set R A
 have fin [simp]: finite A by (simp-all add: assms(3) [symmetric])
 have *: distinct ?ys set ?ys = A sorted-wrt R ?ys
   by (rule linorder-sorted-wrt-list-of-set[OF assms(1)] fin assms)+
  from assms * show mset ?ys = mset xs
   by (subst set-eq-iff-mset-eq-distinct [symmetric]) simp-all
  show sorted-wrt R ?ys by fact
qed fact +
```

1.7 Rank of an element in an ordering

The 'rank' of an element in a set w.r.t. an ordering is how many smaller elements exist. This is particularly useful in linear orders, where there exists a unique n-th element for every n.

```
definition linorder-rank where
 linorder-rank R A x = card \{y \in A - \{x\}. (y,x) \in R\}
lemma linorder-rank-le:
 assumes finite A
 shows linorder-rank R A x \leq card A
 unfolding linorder-rank-def using assms
 by (rule card-mono) auto
lemma linorder-rank-less:
 assumes finite A \ x \in A
 shows linorder-rank R A x < card A
proof
 have linorder-rank R A x \le card (A - \{x\})
   unfolding linorder-rank-def using assms by (intro card-mono) auto
 also from assms have ... < card A by (intro psubset-card-mono) auto
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma linorder-rank-union:
 assumes finite A finite B A \cap B = \{\}
 shows linorder-rank\ R\ (A\cup B)\ x=linorder-rank\ R\ A\ x+linorder-rank\ R\ B
proof -
 have linorder-rank R (A \cup B) x = card \{y \in (A \cup B) - \{x\}, (y,x) \in R\}
   by (simp add: linorder-rank-def)
 also have \{y \in (A \cup B) - \{x\}. (y,x) \in R\} = \{y \in A - \{x\}. (y,x) \in R\} \cup \{y \in B - \{x\}.
(y,x) \in R} by blast
  also have card \dots = linorder-rank R A x + linorder-rank R B x unfolding
linorder-rank-def
   using assms by (intro card-Un-disjoint) auto
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma linorder-rank-empty [simp]: linorder-rank R \{\} x = 0
 by (simp add: linorder-rank-def)
lemma linorder-rank-singleton:
 linorder-rank R \{y\} x = (if x \neq y \land (y,x) \in R \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0)
proof -
  have linorder-rank R \{y\} x = card \{z \in \{y\} - \{x\}. (z,x) \in R\} by (simp \ add:
linorder-rank-def)
 also have \{z \in \{y\} - \{x\}, (z,x) \in R\} = (if \ x \neq y \land (y,x) \in R \ then \ \{y\} \ else \ \{\})
by auto
```

```
also have card ... = (if x \neq y \land (y,x) \in R \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) by simp
 finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma linorder-rank-insert:
 assumes finite A y \notin A
 shows linorder-rank R (insert y A) x =
           (if \ x \neq y \land (y,x) \in R \ then \ 1 \ else \ 0) + linorder-rank \ R \ A \ x
 using linorder-rank-union [of \{y\} A R x] assms by (auto simp: linorder-rank-singleton)
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{linorder-rank-mono}:
 assumes linorder-on B R finite A A \subseteq B(x, y) \in R
 shows linorder-rank R A x \leq linorder-rank R A y
 unfolding linorder-rank-def
proof (rule card-mono)
  from assms have trans: trans R and antisym: antisym R by (simp-all add:
linorder-on-def)
 by (auto intro: transD[OF trans] simp: antisym-def)
qed (insert assms, simp-all)
lemma linorder-rank-strict-mono:
 assumes linorder-on B R finite A A \subseteq B y \in A (y, x) \in R x \neq y
 shows linorder-rank R A y < linorder-rank R A x
proof -
 from assms(1) have trans: trans R by (simp add: linorder-on-def)
 from assms have *: (x, y) \notin R by (auto simp: linorder-on-def antisym-def)
 from this and \langle (y,x) \in R \rangle have \{z \in A - \{y\}, (z,y) \in R\} \subseteq \{z \in A - \{x\}, (z,x) \in A \}
R
   by (auto intro: transD[OF trans])
 moreover from * and assms have y \notin \{z \in A - \{y\}. (z, y) \in R\} y \in \{z \in A - \{x\}.
(z, x) \in R
   by auto
 ultimately have \{z \in A - \{y\}. (z, y) \in R\} \subset \{z \in A - \{x\}. (z, x) \in R\} by blast
 thus ?thesis using assms unfolding linorder-rank-def by (intro psubset-card-mono)
auto
qed
lemma linorder-rank-le-iff:
 assumes linorder-on B R finite A A \subseteq B x \in A y \in A
 shows linorder-rank R A x \leq linorder-rank R A y \longleftrightarrow (x, y) \in R
proof (cases \ x = y)
 case True
 with assms show ?thesis by (auto simp: linorder-on-def refl-on-def)
\mathbf{next}
 from assms(1) have trans: trans R by (simp-all add: linorder-on-def)
 from assms have x \in B y \in B by auto
```

```
with \langle linorder \text{-} on \ B \ R \rangle and False have ((x,y) \in R \land (y,x) \notin R) \lor ((y,x) \in R)
\land (x,y) \notin R
   by (fastforce simp: linorder-on-def antisym-def total-on-def)
  thus ?thesis
 proof
   assume (x,y) \in R \land (y,x) \notin R
   with assms show ?thesis by (auto intro!: linorder-rank-mono)
   assume *: (y,x) \in R \land (x,y) \notin R
   with linorder-rank-strict-mono[OF assms(1-3), of y x] assms False
     show ?thesis by auto
qed
lemma linorder-rank-eq-iff:
 assumes linorder-on B R finite A A \subseteq B x \in A y \in A
 shows linorder\text{-}rank\ R\ A\ x = linorder\text{-}rank\ R\ A\ y \longleftrightarrow x = y
proof
 assume linorder-rank R A x = linorder-rank R A y
  with linorder-rank-le-iff[OF\ assms(1-5)]\ linorder-rank-le-iff[OF\ assms(1-3)]
assms(5,4)
   have (x, y) \in R (y, x) \in R by simp-all
  with assms show x = y by (auto simp: linorder-on-def antisym-def)
qed simp-all
\mathbf{lemma}\ \mathit{linorder-rank-set-sorted-wrt}:
 assumes linorder-on B R set xs \subseteq B sorted-wrt R xs x \in set xs distinct xs
 shows linorder-rank R (set xs) x = index xs x
proof -
 define j where j = index xs x
 from assms have j: j < length xs by (simp add: j-def)
  have *: x = y \lor ((x, y) \in R \land (y, x) \notin R) \lor ((y, x) \in R \land (x, y) \notin R) if y \in R
set xs for y
   using linorder\text{-}on\text{-}cases[OF\ assms(1),\ of\ x\ y]\ assms\ that\ \mathbf{by}\ auto
  from assms have \{y \in set \ xs - \{x\}.\ (y, \ x) \in R\} = \{y \in set \ xs - \{x\}.\ index \ xs \ y < x\}
   by (auto simp: sorted-wrt-linorder-index-less-iff[OF assms(1-3)] dest: *)
  also have ... = \{y \in set \ xs. \ index \ xs \ y < j\} by (auto simp: j-def)
 also have ... = (\lambda i. xs! i) '\{i. i < j\}
  proof safe
   fix y assume y \in set xs index xs y < j
   moreover from this and j have y = xs ! index xs y by simp
   ultimately show y \in (!) xs '\{i. i < j\} by blast
 qed (insert assms j, auto simp: index-nth-id)
 also from assms and j have card ... = card \{i. \ i < j\}
   by (intro card-image) (auto simp: inj-on-def nth-eq-iff-index-eq)
 also have \dots = i by simp
  finally show ?thesis by (simp only: j-def linorder-rank-def)
qed
```

```
lemma bij-betw-linorder-rank:
 assumes linorder-on B R finite A A \subseteq B
 shows bij-betw (linorder-rank R A) A {..< card A}
proof -
  define xs where xs = sorted-wrt-list-of-set R A
  note xs = linorder-sorted-wrt-list-of-set[OF assms, folded xs-def]
  from \langle distinct \ xs \rangle have len-xs: length xs = card \ A
   \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{subst}\ \mathit{\langle set}\ \mathit{xs} = \mathit{A}\mathit{\rangle}\ [\mathit{symmetric}])\ (\mathit{auto}\ \mathit{simp:}\ \mathit{distinct-card})
 have rank: linorder-rank R (set xs) x = index xs x if x \in A for x
    using linorder-rank-set-sorted-wrt[OF\ assms(1),\ of\ xs\ x]\ assms\ that\ xs\ by
simp-all
 from xs len-xs show ?thesis
   by (intro bij-betw-by Witness [where f' = \lambda i. xs ! i])
      (auto simp: rank index-nth-id intro!: nth-mem)
qed
1.8
       The bijection between linear orderings and lists
theorem bij-betw-linorder-of-list:
 assumes finite A
 shows bij-betw linorder-of-list (permutations-of-set A) {R. linorder-on A R}
proof (intro bij-betw-byWitness[where f' = \lambda R. sorted-wrt-list-of-set R A] ballI
subsetI,
      goal-cases)
 case (1 xs)
 thus ?case by (intro sorted-wrt-list-of-set-eqI) (auto simp: permutations-of-set-def)
next
  case (2 R)
 hence R: linorder-on A R by simp
 from R have in-R: x \in A y \in A if (x,y) \in R for x y
   using that by (auto simp: linorder-on-def refl-on-def)
 let ?xs = sorted\text{-}wrt\text{-}list\text{-}of\text{-}set R A
 have xs: distinct ?xs set ?xs = A sorted-wrt R ?xs
   by (rule linorder-sorted-wrt-list-of-set[OF R] assms order.refl)+
  thus ?case using sorted-wrt-linorder-index-le-iff[OF R, of ?xs]
   by (auto simp: linorder-of-list-def dest: in-R)
  case (4 xs)
 then obtain R where R: linorder-on A R and xs [simp]: xs = sorted-wrt-list-of-set
R \ A \ by \ auto
 let ?xs = sorted\text{-}wrt\text{-}list\text{-}of\text{-}set R A
 have xs: distinct ?xs set ?xs = A sorted-wrt R ?xs
   by (rule linorder-sorted-wrt-list-of-set[OF R] assms order.reft)+
 thus ?case by auto
qed (auto simp: permutations-of-set-def)
corollary card-finite-linorders:
 assumes finite A
```

```
shows card \{R.\ linorder\text{-}on\ A\ R\} = fact\ (card\ A)

proof —

have card \{R.\ linorder\text{-}on\ A\ R\} = card\ (permutations\text{-}of\text{-}set\ A)

by (rule\ sym,\ rule\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}same\text{-}card\ [OF\ bij\text{-}betw\text{-}linorder\text{-}of\text{-}list[OF\ assms]]})

also from assms\ have\ \dots = fact\ (card\ A) by (rule\ card\text{-}permutations\text{-}of\text{-}set)

finally show ?thesis.

qed
```

2 Lower bound on costs of comparison-based sorting

```
theory Comparison-Sort-Lower-Bound imports
Complex-Main
Linorder-Relations
Stirling-Formula.Stirling-Formula
Landau-Symbols.Landau-More
begin
```

end

2.1 Abstract description of sorting algorithms

We have chosen to model a sorting algorithm in the following way: A sorting algorithm takes a list with distinct elements and a linear ordering on these elements, and it returns a list with the same elements that is sorted w.r.t. the given ordering.

The use of an explicit ordering means that the algorithm must look at the ordering, i. e. it has to use pair-wise comparison of elements, since all the information that is relevant for producing the correct sorting is in the ordering; the elements themselves are irrelevant.

Furthermore, we record the number of comparisons that the algorithm makes by not giving it the relation explicitly, but in the form of a comparison oracle that may be queried.

A sorting algorithm (or 'sorter') for a fixed input list (but for arbitrary orderings) can then be written as a recursive datatype that is either the result (the sorted list) or a comparison query consisting of two elements and a continuation that maps the result of the comparison to the remaining computation.

```
datatype 'a sorter = Return 'a list | Query 'a 'a bool \Rightarrow 'a sorter
```

Cormen et al. [1] use a similar 'decision tree' model where an sorting algorithm for lists of fixed size n is modelled as a binary tree where each node is a comparison of two elements. They also demand that every leaf in the tree be reachable in order to avoid 'dead' subtrees (if the algorithm makes

redundant comparisons, there may be branches that can never be taken). Then, the worst-case number of comparisons made is simply the height of the tree.

We chose a subtly different model that does not have this restriction on the algorithm but instead uses a more semantic way of counting the worst-case number of comparisons: We simply use the maximum number of comparisons that occurs for any of the (finitely many) inputs.

We therefore first define a function that counts the number of queries for a specific ordering and then a function that counts the number of queries in the worst case (ranging over a given set of allowed orderings; typically, this will be the set of all linear orders on the list).

```
primrec count-queries :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a sorter \Rightarrow nat where
  count-queries - (Return -) = 0
\mid count-queries R \ (Query \ a \ b \ f) = Suc \ (count-queries R \ (f \ ((a, \ b) \in R)))
definition count-we-queries :: ('a \times 'a) set set \Rightarrow 'a sorter \Rightarrow nat where
  count-wc-queries Rs sorter = (if Rs = \{\}) then 0 else Max ((\lambda R. count-queries R
sorter) 'Rs)
lemma count-wc-queries-empty [simp]: count-wc-queries \{\} sorter = 0
 by (simp add: count-wc-queries-def)
lemma count-wc-queries-aux:
 assumes \bigwedge R. R \in Rs \Longrightarrow sorter = sorter' R Rs \subseteq Rs' finite Rs'
 shows count-we-queries Rs sorter \leq Max ((\lambda R. count-queries R (sorter' R)))
proof (cases\ Rs = \{\})
 {\bf case}\ \mathit{False}
 hence count-wc-queries Rs sorter = Max ((\lambda R. count-queries R sorter) 'Rs)
   by (simp add: count-wc-queries-def)
 also have (\lambda R. \ count-queries R \ sorter) 'Rs = (\lambda R. \ count-queries R \ (sorter' \ R))
   by (intro image-cong refl) (simp-all add: assms)
 also have Max ... \le Max ((\lambda R. count-queries R (sorter' R)) 'Rs') using False
   by (intro Max-mono assms image-mono finite-imageI) auto
  finally show ?thesis.
qed simp-all
primrec eval-sorter :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a sorter \Rightarrow 'a list where
  eval-sorter - (Return\ ys) = ys
| eval\text{-}sorter R (Query a b f) = eval\text{-}sorter R (f ((a,b) \in R))
```

We now get an obvious bound on the maximum number of different results that a given sorter can produce.

```
lemma card-range-eval-sorter: assumes finite Rs shows card ((\lambda R. eval-sorter R e) `Rs) <math>\leq 2 \ \hat{} count-wc-queries Rs \ e
```

```
using assms
proof (induction e arbitrary: Rs)
  case (Return \ xs \ Rs)
  have *: (\lambda R. \ eval\text{-sorter} \ R \ (Return \ xs)) \ 'Rs = \{if \ Rs = \{\} \ then \ \{\} \ else \ \{xs\}\}
by auto
  show ?case by (subst *) auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (Query a \ b \ f \ Rs)
  \mathbf{have}\ f\ \mathit{True} \in \mathit{range}\ f\ f\ \mathit{False} \in \mathit{range}\ f\ \mathbf{by}\ \mathit{simp-all}
  note IH = this [THEN Query.IH]
  let ?Rs1 = \{R \in Rs. (a, b) \in R\} and ?Rs2 = \{R \in Rs. (a, b) \notin R\}
  let ?A = (\lambda R. \ eval\text{-}sorter \ R \ (f \ True)) ' ?Rs1 and ?B = (\lambda R. \ eval\text{-}sorter \ R \ (f \ True))
False)) '?Rs2
  from Query.prems have fin: finite ?Rs1 finite ?Rs2 by simp-all
  have *: (\lambda R. \ eval\text{-}sorter \ R \ (Query \ a \ b \ f)) \ `Rs \subseteq ?A \cup ?B
  proof (intro subsetI, elim imageE, goal-cases)
   case (1 xs R)
   thus ?case by (cases (a,b) \in R) auto
  qed
  show ?case
  proof (cases\ Rs = \{\})
   case False
   have card ((\lambda R. \ eval\text{-}sorter \ R \ (Query \ a \ b \ f)) \ `Rs) \leq card \ (?A \cup ?B)
     by (intro card-mono finite-UnI finite-imageI fin *)
   also have \dots \leq card ?A + card ?B by (rule \ card - Un - le)
    also have ... \leq 2 ^ count-wc-queries ?Rs1 (f True) + 2 ^ count-wc-queries
?Rs2 (f False)
     by (intro add-mono IH fin)
    also have count-wc-queries ?Rs1 (f True) \leq Max ((\lambda R. count-queries R (f
((a,b)\in R)) ' Rs
     by (intro count-wc-queries-aux Query.prems) auto
    also have count-wc-queries ?Rs2 (f False) \leq Max ((\lambda R. count-queries R (f
((a,b)\in R)) ' Rs
     by (intro count-wc-queries-aux Query.prems) auto
   also have 2 ^ \dots + 2 ^ \dots = (2 ^ Suc \dots :: nat) by simp
   also have Suc\ (Max\ ((\lambda R.\ count\text{-}queries\ R\ (f\ ((a,b)\in R)))\ `Rs)) =
                Max (Suc `((\lambda R. count-queries R (f ((a,b) \in R))) `Rs)) using False
      by (intro mono-Max-commute finite-imageI Query.prems) (auto simp: inc-
seq-def)
   also have Suc '((\lambda R. count\text{-queries } R (f ((a,b) \in R))) \text{ '} Rs) =
                    (\lambda R. Suc (count\text{-queries } R (f ((a,b) \in R)))) \land Rs \text{ by } (simp add:
image-image)
   also have Max \dots = count\text{-}wc\text{-}queries Rs (Query a b f) using False
     by (auto simp add: count-wc-queries-def)
   finally show ?thesis by -simp-all
  qed simp-all
qed
```

The following predicate describes what constitutes a valid sorting result for a given ordering and a given input list. Note that when the ordering is linear, the result is actually unique.

```
definition is-sorting :: ('a \times 'a) set \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool where is-sorting R xs ys \longleftrightarrow (mset xs = mset ys) \wedge sorted-wrt R ys
```

2.2 Lower bounds on number of comparisons

For a list of n distinct elements, there are n! linear orderings on n elements, each of which leads to a different result after sorting the original list. Since a sorter can produce at most 2^k different results with k comparisons, we get the bound $2^k \ge n!$:

```
theorem
 fixes sorter :: 'a sorter and xs :: 'a list
 assumes distinct: distinct xs
  assumes sorter: \bigwedge R. linorder-on (set xs) R \Longrightarrow is-sorting R xs (eval-sorter R
sorter)
  defines Rs \equiv \{R. \ linorder\text{-}on \ (set \ xs) \ R\}
 shows two-power-count-queries-qe: fact (length xs) \leq (2 \hat{} count-wc-queries Rs
sorter :: nat)
                                    log \ 2 \ (fact \ (length \ xs)) \le real \ (count\text{-}wc\text{-}queries)
   and count-queries-ge:
Rs\ sorter)
proof -
 have Rs \subseteq Pow (set \ xs \times set \ xs) by (auto simp: Rs-def linorder-on-def refl-on-def)
 hence fin: finite Rs by (rule finite-subset) simp-all
 from assms have fact (length xs) = card (permutations-of-set (set xs))
   by (simp add: distinct-card)
 also have permutations-of-set (set xs) \subseteq (\lambda R. eval-sorter R sorter) 'Rs
  proof (rule subsetI, goal-cases)
   case (1 \ ys)
   define R where R = linorder-of-list ys
   define zs where zs = eval\text{-}sorter R sorter
   from 1 and distinct have mset-ys: mset ys = mset xs
     by (auto simp: set-eq-iff-mset-eq-distinct permutations-of-set-def)
  from 1 have *: linorder-on (set xs) R unfolding R-def using linorder-linorder-of-list|of
     by (simp add: permutations-of-set-def)
   from sorter[OF\ this] have mset\ xs = mset\ zs\ sorted\text{-}wrt\ R\ zs
     by (simp-all add: is-sorting-def zs-def)
   moreover from 1 have sorted-wrt R ys unfolding R-def
     by (intro sorted-wrt-linorder-of-list) (simp-all add: permutations-of-set-def)
   ultimately have zs = ys
     by (intro sorted-wrt-linorder-unique[OF *]) (simp-all add: mset-ys)
   moreover from * have R \in Rs by (simp \ add: Rs-def)
   ultimately show ?case unfolding zs-def by blast
  hence card (permutations-of-set (set xs)) \leq card ((\lambda R. eval-sorter R sorter) '
```

Rs

```
by (intro card-mono finite-imageI fin)
 also from fin have ... \leq 2 \hat{} count-wc-queries Rs sorter by (rule card-range-eval-sorter)
 finally show *: fact (length xs) \leq (2 ^ count-wc-queries Rs sorter :: nat).
 have ln (fact (length xs)) = ln (real (fact (length xs))) by <math>simp
 also have ... \leq ln \ (real \ (2 \ \widehat{} count\text{-}wc\text{-}queries \ Rs \ sorter))
 proof (subst ln-le-cancel-iff)
   show real (fact (length xs)) \leq real (2 \hat{} count-wc-queries Rs sorter)
     by (subst of-nat-le-iff) (rule *)
 qed simp-all
 also have ... = real (count-wc-queries Rs sorter) * \ln 2 by (simp add: \ln-realpow)
 finally have real (count-we-queries Rs sorter) \geq \ln (fact (length \ xs)) / \ln 2
   by (simp add: field-simps)
  also have ln (fact (length xs)) / ln 2 = log 2 (fact (length xs)) by (simp add:
log-def
 finally show **: log 2 (fact (length xs)) < real (count-wc-queries Rs sorter).
qed
lemma \ln-fact-bigo: (\lambda n. \ln (fact n) - (\ln (2 * pi * n) / 2 + n * \ln n - n)) \in
O(\lambda n. 1 / n)
  and asymp-equiv-ln-fact [asymp-equiv-intros]: (\lambda n. \ln (fact n)) \sim [at\text{-}top] (\lambda n. n)
* ln n
proof -
 include  asymp-equiv-syntax
 define f where f = (\lambda n. \ln (2 * pi * real n) / 2 + real n * \ln (real n) - real n)
 have eventually (\lambda n. \ln (fact \ n) - f \ n \in \{0..1/(12*real \ n)\}) at-top
   using eventually-gt-at-top[of 1::nat]
 proof eventually-elim
   case (elim \ n)
   with ln-fact-bounds[of n] show ?case by (simp add: f-def)
  hence eventually (\lambda n. norm (ln (fact n) - f n) \le (1/12) * norm (1 / real n))
at-top
  using eventually-gt-at-top of \theta::nat] by eventually-elim (simp-all add: field-simps)
  thus (\lambda n. \ln (fact n) - f n) \in O(\lambda n. 1 / real n)
   using bigoI[of \ \lambda n. \ ln \ (fact \ n) - f \ n \ 1/12 \ \lambda n. \ 1 \ / \ real \ n] by simp
 also have (\lambda n. \ 1 \ / \ real \ n) \in o(f) unfolding f-def by (intro smallo-real-nat-transfer)
simp
  finally have (\lambda n. f n + (ln (fact n) - f n)) \sim f
   by (subst asymp-equiv-add-right) simp-all
 hence (\lambda n. \ln (fact n)) \sim f by simp
  also have f \sim (\lambda n. \ n * ln \ n + (ln \ (2*pi*n)/2 - n)) by (simp add: f-def
algebra-simps)
 also have ... \sim (\lambda n. \ n * ln \ n) by (subst asymp-equiv-add-right) auto
 finally show (\lambda n. \ln (fact n)) \sim (\lambda n. n * \ln n).
```

This leads to the following well-known Big-Omega bound on the number of

comparisons that a general sorting algorithm has to make:

```
corollary count-queries-bigomega:
  fixes sorter :: nat \Rightarrow nat sorter
  assumes sorter: \bigwedge n \ R. linorder-on \{..< n\} \ R \Longrightarrow
                          is-sorting R [0..<n] (eval-sorter R (sorter n))
  defines Rs \equiv \lambda n. \{R.\ linorder-on\ \{..< n\}\ R\}
  shows (\lambda n. count\text{-}wc\text{-}queries (Rs n) (sorter n)) \in \Omega(\lambda n. n * ln n)
proof -
  have (\lambda n. \ n * ln \ n) \in \Theta(\lambda n. \ ln \ (fact \ n))
    by (subst bigtheta-sym) (intro asymp-equiv-imp-bigtheta asymp-equiv-intros)
  also have (\lambda n. ln (fact n)) \in \Theta(\lambda n. log 2 (fact n)) by (simp add: log-def)
  also have (\lambda n. \log 2 (fact n)) \in O(\lambda n. count\text{-}wc\text{-}queries (Rs n) (sorter n))
  \mathbf{proof}\ (\mathit{intro}\ \mathit{bigoI}[\mathbf{where}\ \mathit{c}=\mathit{1}]\ \mathit{always-eventually}\ \mathit{allI},\ \mathit{goal-cases})
    case (1 n)
    have norm (\log 2 \text{ (fact } n)) = \log 2 \text{ (fact (length [0..< n]))} by simp
    also from sorter[of n] have ... \leq real (count\text{-}wc\text{-}queries (Rs n) (sorter n))
    using count-queries-ge[of [0..< n] sorter n] by (auto simp: Rs-def atLeast0LessThan)
    also have \dots = 1 * norm \dots  by simp
    finally show ?case by simp
  qed
 finally show ?thesis by (simp add: bigomega-iff-bigo)
qed
end
```

References

[1] T. H. Cormen, C. Stein, R. L. Rivest, and C. E. Leiserson. *Introduction to Algorithms*. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, 2nd edition, 2001.