

A Codatatype of Formal Languages

Dmitriy Traytel

May 21, 2019

1 Introduction

We define formal languages as a codatatype of infinite trees branching over the alphabet $'a$. Each node in such a tree indicates whether the path to this node constitutes a word inside or outside of the language.

codatatype $'a$ language = Lang (σ : bool) (δ : $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ language)

This codatatype is isomorphic to the set of lists representation of languages, but caters for definitions by corecursion and proofs by coinduction.

Regular operations on languages are then defined by primitive corecursion. A difficulty arises here, since the standard definitions of concatenation and iteration from the coalgebraic literature are not primitively corecursive—they require guardedness up-to union/concatenation. Without support for up-to corecursion, these operation must be defined as a composition of primitive ones (and proved being equal to the standard definitions). As an exercise in coinduction we also prove the axioms of Kleene algebra for the defined regular operations.

Furthermore, a language for context-free grammars given by productions in Greibach normal form and an initial nonterminal is constructed by primitive corecursion, yielding an executable decision procedure for the word problem without further ado.

2 Regular Languages

primcorec Zero :: $'a$ language **where**

σ Zero = False

| δ Zero = ($\lambda_.$ Zero)

primcorec One :: $'a$ language **where**

σ One = True

| δ One = ($\lambda_.$ Zero)

primcorec Atom :: $'a \Rightarrow 'a$ language **where**

σ (Atom a) = False

| δ (Atom a) = ($\lambda b.$ if $a = b$ then One else Zero)

primcorec Plus :: $'a$ language $\Rightarrow 'a$ language $\Rightarrow 'a$ language **where**

σ (Plus r s) = (σ $r \vee \sigma$ s)

| δ (Plus r s) = ($\lambda a.$ Plus (δ r a) (δ s a))

theorem Plus_ZeroL[simp]: Plus Zero r = r

<proof>

theorem Plus_ZeroR[simp]: Plus r Zero = r

<proof>

theorem *Plus_assoc*: $Plus (Plus r s) t = Plus r (Plus s t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Plus_comm*: $Plus r s = Plus s r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Plus_rotate*: $Plus r (Plus s t) = Plus s (Plus r t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Plus_idem*: $Plus r r = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Plus_idem_assoc*: $Plus r (Plus r s) = Plus r s$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemmas *Plus_ACI[simp]* = *Plus_rotate Plus_comm Plus_assoc Plus_idem_assoc Plus_idem*

lemma *Plus_OneL[simp]*: $\mathfrak{o} r \implies Plus One r = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Plus_OneR[simp]*: $\mathfrak{o} r \implies Plus r One = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

Concatenation is not primitively corecursive—the corecursive call of its derivative is guarded by *Plus*. However, it can be defined as a composition of two primitively corecursive functions.

primcorec *TimesLR* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow ('a \times bool) language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o} (TimesLR r s) = (\mathfrak{o} r \wedge \mathfrak{o} s)$
 $|\ \mathfrak{d} (TimesLR r s) = (\lambda(a, b).$
 if b then *TimesLR* ($\mathfrak{d} r a$) s else if $\mathfrak{o} r$ then *TimesLR* ($\mathfrak{d} s a$) One else Zero)

primcorec *Times_Plus* :: ('a \times bool) language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o} (Times_Plus r) = \mathfrak{o} r$
 $|\ \mathfrak{d} (Times_Plus r) = (\lambda a. Times_Plus (Plus (\mathfrak{d} r (a, True)) (\mathfrak{d} r (a, False))))$

lemma *TimesLR_ZeroL[simp]*: $TimesLR Zero r = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TimesLR_ZeroR[simp]*: $TimesLR r Zero = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TimesLR_PlusL[simp]*: $TimesLR (Plus r s) t = Plus (TimesLR r t) (TimesLR s t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *TimesLR_PlusR[simp]*: $TimesLR r (Plus s t) = Plus (TimesLR r s) (TimesLR r t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_Zero[simp]*: $Times_Plus Zero = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_Plus[simp]*: $Times_Plus (Plus r s) = Plus (Times_Plus r) (Times_Plus s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_TimesLR_One[simp]*: $Times_Plus (TimesLR r One) = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_TimesLR_PlusL[simp]*:
 $Times_Plus (TimesLR (Plus r s) t) = Plus (Times_Plus (TimesLR r t)) (Times_Plus (TimesLR s t))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Times_Plus_TimesLR_PlusR[simp]*:
 $Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r (Plus\ s\ t)) = Plus (Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r\ s)) (Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r\ t))$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *Times* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $Times\ r\ s = Times_Plus (TimesLR\ r\ s)$

lemma *o_Times[simp]*:
 $o (Times\ r\ s) = (o\ r \wedge o\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *d_Times[simp]*:
 $d (Times\ r\ s) = (\lambda a. \text{if } o\ r \text{ then } Plus (Times\ (d\ r\ a)\ s) (d\ s\ a) \text{ else } Times (d\ r\ a)\ s)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_ZeroL[simp]*: $Times\ Zero\ r = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_ZeroR[simp]*: $Times\ r\ Zero = Zero$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_OneL[simp]*: $Times\ One\ r = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_OneR[simp]*: $Times\ r\ One = r$
 ⟨proof⟩

Coinduction up-to *Plus*-congruence relaxes the coinduction hypothesis by requiring membership in the congruence closure of the bisimulation rather than in the bisimulation itself.

inductive *Plus_cong* for *R* **where**

Refl[intro]: $x = y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y$
 | *Base[intro]*: $R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y$
 | *Sym*: $Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ y\ x$
 | *Trans[intro]*: $Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ y\ z \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ x\ z$
 | *Plus[intro]*: $\llbracket Plus_cong\ R\ x\ y; Plus_cong\ R\ x'\ y' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow Plus_cong\ R\ (Plus\ x\ x') (Plus\ y\ y')$

lemma *language_coinduct_upto_Plus[unfolded rel_fun_def, simplified, case_names Lang, consumes 1]*:

assumes *R*: $R\ L\ K$ **and hyp**:
 $(\bigwedge L\ K. R\ L\ K \Longrightarrow o\ L = o\ K \wedge rel_fun (=) (Plus_cong\ R) (d\ L) (d\ K))$
shows $L = K$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_PlusL[simp]*: $Times (Plus\ r\ s)\ t = Plus (Times\ r\ t) (Times\ s\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_PlusR[simp]*: $Times\ r (Plus\ s\ t) = Plus (Times\ r\ s) (Times\ r\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

theorem *Times_assoc[simp]*: $Times (Times\ r\ s)\ t = Times\ r (Times\ s\ t)$
 ⟨proof⟩

Similarly to *Times*, iteration is not primitively corecursive (guardedness by *Times* is required). We apply a similar trick to obtain its definition.

primcorec *StarLR* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**

$o (StarLR\ r\ s) = o\ r$
 | $d (StarLR\ r\ s) = (\lambda a. StarLR (d (Times\ r (Plus\ One\ s))\ a)\ s)$

lemma *StarLR_Zero[simp]*: $\text{StarLR Zero } r = \text{Zero}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *StarLR_Plus[simp]*: $\text{StarLR (Plus } r \text{ s)} t = \text{Plus (StarLR } r \text{ t) (StarLR s t)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *StarLR_Times_Plus_One[simp]*: $\text{StarLR (Times } r \text{ (Plus One s)) s} = \text{StarLR } r \text{ s}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *StarLR_Times*: $\text{StarLR (Times } r \text{ s)} t = \text{Times } r \text{ (StarLR s t)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

definition *Star* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\text{Star } r = \text{StarLR One } r$

lemma *o_Star[simp]*: $\mathfrak{o} (\text{Star } r)$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *d_Star[simp]*: $\mathfrak{d} (\text{Star } r) = (\lambda a. \text{Times } (\mathfrak{d} r \ a) \ (\text{Star } r))$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Star_Zero[simp]*: $\text{Star Zero} = \text{One}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Star_One[simp]*: $\text{Star One} = \text{One}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *Star_unfoldL*: $\text{Star } r = \text{Plus One (Times } r \text{ (Star } r))$
 ⟨proof⟩

primcorec *Inter* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o} (\text{Inter } r \text{ s}) = (\mathfrak{o} r \wedge \mathfrak{o} s)$
 $|\ \mathfrak{d} (\text{Inter } r \text{ s}) = (\lambda a. \text{Inter } (\mathfrak{d} r \ a) \ (\mathfrak{d} s \ a))$

primcorec *Not* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o} (\text{Not } r) = (\neg \mathfrak{o} r)$
 $|\ \mathfrak{d} (\text{Not } r) = (\lambda a. \text{Not } (\mathfrak{d} r \ a))$

primcorec *Full* :: 'a language (Σ^*) **where**
 $\mathfrak{o} \text{ Full} = \text{True}$
 $|\ \mathfrak{d} \text{ Full} = (\lambda_. \text{Full})$

Shuffle product is not primitively corecursive—the corecursive call of its derivative is guarded by *Plus*. However, it can be defined as a composition of two primitively corecursive functions.

primcorec *ShuffleLR* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow ('a \times bool) language **where**
 $\mathfrak{o} (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ s}) = (\mathfrak{o} r \wedge \mathfrak{o} s)$
 $|\ \mathfrak{d} (\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ s}) = (\lambda(a, b). \text{if } b \text{ then ShuffleLR } (\mathfrak{d} r \ a) \ s \ \text{else ShuffleLR } r \ (\mathfrak{d} s \ a))$

lemma *ShuffleLR_ZeroL[simp]*: $\text{ShuffleLR Zero } r = \text{Zero}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ShuffleLR_ZeroR[simp]*: $\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ Zero} = \text{Zero}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ShuffleLR_PlusL[simp]*: $\text{ShuffleLR (Plus } r \text{ s)} t = \text{Plus (ShuffleLR } r \text{ t) (ShuffleLR s t)}$
 ⟨proof⟩

lemma *ShuffleLR_PlusR[simp]*: $\text{ShuffleLR } r \text{ (Plus s t)} = \text{Plus (ShuffleLR } r \text{ s) (ShuffleLR } r \text{ t)}$

<proof>

lemma *Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_One*[simp]: $Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ r\ One) = r$
<proof>

lemma *Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_PlusL*[simp]:
 $Times_Plus (ShuffleLR (Plus\ r\ s)\ t) = Plus (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ r\ t)) (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ s\ t))$
<proof>

lemma *Shuffle_Plus_ShuffleLR_PlusR*[simp]:
 $Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ r (Plus\ s\ t)) = Plus (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ r\ s)) (Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ r\ t))$
<proof>

definition *Shuffle* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
 $Shuffle\ r\ s = Times_Plus (ShuffleLR\ r\ s)$

lemma *o.Shuffle*[simp]:
 $o (Shuffle\ r\ s) = (o\ r \wedge o\ s)$
<proof>

lemma *d.Shuffle*[simp]:
 $d (Shuffle\ r\ s) = (\lambda a. Plus (Shuffle (d\ r\ a)\ s) (Shuffle\ r (d\ s\ a)))$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_ZeroL*[simp]: $Shuffle\ Zero\ r = Zero$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_ZeroR*[simp]: $Shuffle\ r\ Zero = Zero$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_OneL*[simp]: $Shuffle\ One\ r = r$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_OneR*[simp]: $Shuffle\ r\ One = r$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_PlusL*[simp]: $Shuffle (Plus\ r\ s)\ t = Plus (Shuffle\ r\ t) (Shuffle\ s\ t)$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_PlusR*[simp]: $Shuffle\ r (Plus\ s\ t) = Plus (Shuffle\ r\ s) (Shuffle\ r\ t)$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_assoc*[simp]: $Shuffle (Shuffle\ r\ s)\ t = Shuffle\ r (Shuffle\ s\ t)$
<proof>

theorem *Shuffle_comm*[simp]: $Shuffle\ r\ s = Shuffle\ s\ r$
<proof>

We generalize coinduction up-to *Plus* to coinduction up-to all previously defined concepts.

inductive *regular_cong* **for** *R* **where**

- Refl*[intro]: $x = y \Longrightarrow regular_cong\ R\ x\ y$
- Sym*[intro]: $regular_cong\ R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow regular_cong\ R\ y\ x$
- Trans*[intro]: $\llbracket regular_cong\ R\ x\ y; regular_cong\ R\ y\ z \rrbracket \Longrightarrow regular_cong\ R\ x\ z$
- Base*[intro]: $R\ x\ y \Longrightarrow regular_cong\ R\ x\ y$
- Plus*[intro, simp]: $\llbracket regular_cong\ R\ x\ y; regular_cong\ R\ x'\ y' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow regular_cong\ R (Plus\ x\ x') (Plus\ y\ y')$
- Times*[intro, simp]: $\llbracket regular_cong\ R\ x\ y; regular_cong\ R\ x'\ y' \rrbracket \Longrightarrow regular_cong\ R (Times\ x\ x') (Times\ y\ y')$

| *Star*[*intro*, *simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Star } x) \ (\text{Star } y)$
| *Inter*[*intro*, *simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{regular_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Inter } x \ x') \ (\text{Inter } y \ y')$
| *Not*[*intro*, *simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Not } x) \ (\text{Not } y)$
| *Shuffle*[*intro*, *simp*]: $\llbracket \text{regular_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{regular_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies$
 $\text{regular_cong } R \ (\text{Shuffle } x \ x') \ (\text{Shuffle } y \ y')$

lemma *language_coinduct_upto_regular*[*unfolded_rel_fun_def*, *simplified*, *case_names Lang*, *consumes 1*]:

assumes $R: R \ L \ K$ **and hyp**:

$(\bigwedge L \ K. R \ L \ K \implies \circ L = \circ K \wedge \text{rel_fun } (=) \ (\text{regular_cong } R) \ (\circ L) \ (\circ K))$

shows $L = K$

<proof>

lemma *Star_unfoldR*: $\text{Star } r = \text{Plus One } (\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ r)$

<proof>

lemma *Star_Star*[*simp*]: $\text{Star } (\text{Star } r) = \text{Star } r$

<proof>

lemma *Times_Star*[*simp*]: $\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ (\text{Star } r) = \text{Star } r$

<proof>

instantiation *language* :: (*type*) {*semiring_1*, *order*}

begin

lemma *Zero_One*[*simp*]: $\text{Zero} \neq \text{One}$

<proof>

definition *zero_language* = *Zero*

definition *one_language* = *One*

definition *plus_language* = *Plus*

definition *times_language* = *Times*

definition *less_eq_language* $r \ s = (\text{Plus } r \ s = s)$

definition *less_language* $r \ s = (\text{Plus } r \ s = s \wedge r \neq s)$

lemmas *language_defs* = *zero_language_def one_language_def plus_language_def times_language_def*
less_eq_language_def less_language_def

instance *<proof>*

end

lemma *o_mono*[*dest*]: $r \leq s \implies \circ r \implies \circ s$

<proof>

lemma *d_mono*[*dest*]: $r \leq s \implies \circ r \ a \leq \circ s \ a$

<proof>

For reasoning about (\leq) , we prove a coinduction principle and generalize it to support up-to reasoning.

theorem *language_simulation_coinduction*[*consumes 1*, *case_names Lang*, *coinduct pred*]:

assumes $R \ L \ K$

and $(\bigwedge L \ K. R \ L \ K \implies \circ L \leq \circ K \wedge (\forall x. R \ (\circ L \ x) \ (\circ K \ x)))$

shows $L \leq K$

<proof>

lemma *le_PlusL*[*intro!*, *simp*]: $r \leq \text{Plus } r \ s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *le_PlusR*[*intro!*, *simp*]: $s \leq \text{Plus } r \ s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

inductive *Plus_Times_pre_cong* **for** *R* **where**

pre_Less[*intro*, *simp*]: $x \leq y \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x \ y$
 | *pre_Trans*[*intro*]: $\llbracket \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ y \ z \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x \ z$
 | *pre_Base*[*intro*, *simp*]: $R \ x \ y \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x \ y$
 | *pre_Plus*[*intro!*, *simp*]: $\llbracket \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ (\text{Plus } x \ x') \ (\text{Plus } y \ y')$
 | *pre_Times*[*intro!*, *simp*]: $\llbracket \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x \ y; \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ x' \ y' \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ (\text{Times } x \ x') \ (\text{Times } y \ y')$

theorem *language_simulation_coinduction_upto_Plus_Times*[*consumes 1*, *case_names Lang*, *coinduct pred*]:

assumes $R: R \ L \ K$
and hyp: $(\bigwedge L \ K. R \ L \ K \implies \mathfrak{o} \ L \leq \mathfrak{o} \ K \wedge (\forall x. \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ (\mathfrak{d} \ L \ x) \ (\mathfrak{d} \ K \ x)))$
shows $L \leq K$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *ge_One*[*simp*]: $\text{One} \leq r \longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{o} \ r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Plus_mono*: $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \leq \text{Plus } s1 \ s2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Plus_upper*: $\llbracket r1 \leq s; r2 \leq s \rrbracket \implies \text{Plus } r1 \ r2 \leq s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Inter_mono*: $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Inter } r1 \ r2 \leq \text{Inter } s1 \ s2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Times_mono*: $\llbracket r1 \leq s1; r2 \leq s2 \rrbracket \implies \text{Times } r1 \ r2 \leq \text{Times } s1 \ s2$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

We prove the missing axioms of Kleene Algebras about *Star*, as well as monotonicity properties and three standard interesting rules: bisimulation, sliding, and denesting.

theorem *le_StarL*: $\text{Plus } \text{One} \ (\text{Times } r \ (\text{Star } r)) \leq \text{Star } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem *le_StarR*: $\text{Plus } \text{One} \ (\text{Times } (\text{Star } r) \ r) \leq \text{Star } r$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *le_TimesL*[*intro*, *simp*]: $\mathfrak{o} \ s \implies r \leq \text{Times } r \ s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *le_TimesR*[*intro*, *simp*]: $\mathfrak{o} \ r \implies s \leq \text{Times } r \ s$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Plus_le_iff*: $\text{Plus } r \ s \leq t \longleftrightarrow r \leq t \wedge s \leq t$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *Plus_Times_pre_cong_mono*:
 $L' \leq L \implies K \leq K' \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ L \ K \implies \text{Plus_Times_pre_cong } R \ L' \ K'$
 ⟨*proof*⟩

theorem ardenL: $Plus\ r\ (Times\ s\ x) \leq x \implies Times\ (Star\ s)\ r \leq x$
<proof>

theorem ardenR: $Plus\ r\ (Times\ x\ s) \leq x \implies Times\ r\ (Star\ s) \leq x$
<proof>

lemma le_Star[intro!, simp]: $s \leq Star\ s$
<proof>

lemma Star_mono: $r \leq s \implies Star\ r \leq Star\ s$
<proof>

lemma Not_antimono: $r \leq s \implies Not\ s \leq Not\ r$
<proof>

lemma Not_Plus[simp]: $Not\ (Plus\ r\ s) = Inter\ (Not\ r)\ (Not\ s)$
<proof>

lemma Not_Inter[simp]: $Not\ (Inter\ r\ s) = Plus\ (Not\ r)\ (Not\ s)$
<proof>

lemma Inter_assoc[simp]: $Inter\ (Inter\ r\ s)\ t = Inter\ r\ (Inter\ s\ t)$
<proof>

lemma Inter_comm: $Inter\ r\ s = Inter\ s\ r$
<proof>

lemma Inter_idem[simp]: $Inter\ r\ r = r$
<proof>

lemma Inter_ZeroL[simp]: $Inter\ Zero\ r = Zero$
<proof>

lemma Inter_ZeroR[simp]: $Inter\ r\ Zero = Zero$
<proof>

lemma Inter_FullL[simp]: $Inter\ Full\ r = r$
<proof>

lemma Inter_FullR[simp]: $Inter\ r\ Full = r$
<proof>

lemma Plus_FullL[simp]: $Plus\ Full\ r = Full$
<proof>

lemma Plus_FullR[simp]: $Plus\ r\ Full = Full$
<proof>

lemma Not_Not[simp]: $Not\ (Not\ r) = r$
<proof>

lemma Not_Zero[simp]: $Not\ Zero = Full$
<proof>

lemma Not_Full[simp]: $Not\ Full = Zero$
<proof>

lemma *bisimulation*:

assumes $Times\ r\ s = Times\ s\ t$

shows $Times\ (Star\ r)\ s = Times\ s\ (Star\ t)$

<proof>

lemma *sliding*: $Times\ (Star\ (Times\ r\ s))\ r = Times\ r\ (Star\ (Times\ s\ r))$

<proof>

lemma *denesting*: $Star\ (Plus\ r\ s) = Times\ (Star\ r)\ (Star\ (Times\ s\ (Star\ r)))$

<proof>

It is useful to lift binary operators *Plus* and *Times* to *n*-ary operators (that take a list as input).

definition *PLUS* :: 'a language list \Rightarrow 'a language **where**

$PLUS\ xs \equiv foldr\ Plus\ xs\ Zero$

lemma *o_foldr_Plus*: $o\ (foldr\ Plus\ xs\ s) = (\exists x \in set\ (s\ \# \ xs).\ o\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *d_foldr_Plus*: $d\ (foldr\ Plus\ xs\ s)\ a = foldr\ Plus\ (map\ (\lambda r.\ d\ r\ a)\ xs)\ (d\ s\ a)$

<proof>

lemma *o_PLUS[simp]*: $o\ (PLUS\ xs) = (\exists x \in set\ xs.\ o\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *d_PLUS[simp]*: $d\ (PLUS\ xs)\ a = PLUS\ (map\ (\lambda r.\ d\ r\ a)\ xs)$

<proof>

definition *TIMES* :: 'a language list \Rightarrow 'a language **where**

$TIMES\ xs \equiv foldr\ Times\ xs\ One$

lemma *o_foldr_Times*: $o\ (foldr\ Times\ xs\ s) = (\forall x \in set\ (s\ \# \ xs).\ o\ x)$

<proof>

primrec *tails* **where**

$tails\ [] = [[]]$

$| tails\ (x\ \# \ xs) = (x\ \# \ xs)\ \# \ tails\ xs$

lemma *tails_snoc[simp]*: $tails\ (xs\ @\ [x]) = map\ (\lambda ys.\ ys\ @\ [x])\ (tails\ xs)\ @\ [[]]$

<proof>

lemma *length_tails[simp]*: $length\ (tails\ xs) = Suc\ (length\ xs)$

<proof>

lemma *d_foldr_Times*: $d\ (foldr\ Times\ xs\ s)\ a =$

$(let\ n = length\ (takeWhile\ o\ xs)$

$in\ PLUS\ (map\ (\lambda zs.\ TIMES\ (d\ (hd\ zs)\ a\ \# \ tl\ zs))\ (take\ (Suc\ n)\ (tails\ (xs\ @\ [s]))))))$

<proof>

lemma *o_TIMES[simp]*: $o\ (TIMES\ xs) = (\forall x \in set\ xs.\ o\ x)$

<proof>

lemma *TIMES_snoc_One[simp]*: $TIMES\ (xs\ @\ [One]) = TIMES\ xs$

<proof>

lemma *d_TIMES[simp]*: $d\ (TIMES\ xs)\ a = (let\ n = length\ (takeWhile\ o\ xs)$

$in\ PLUS\ (map\ (\lambda zs.\ TIMES\ (d\ (hd\ zs)\ a\ \# \ tl\ zs))\ (take\ (Suc\ n)\ (tails\ (xs\ @\ [One]))))))$

<proof>

3 Word-theoretic Semantics of Languages

We show our *language* codatatype being isomorphic to the standard language representation as a set of lists.

primrec *in_language* :: 'a language \Rightarrow 'a list \Rightarrow bool **where**
in_language L [] = o L
| *in_language* L (x # xs) = *in_language* (d L x) xs

primcorec *to_language* :: 'a list set \Rightarrow 'a language **where**
o (*to_language* L) = ([] \in L)
| d (*to_language* L) = ($\lambda a.$ *to_language* {w. a # w \in L})

lemma *in_language_to_language[simp]*: *Collect* (*in_language* (*to_language* L)) = L
<proof>

lemma *to_language_in_language[simp]*: *to_language* (*Collect* (*in_language* L)) = L
<proof>

lemma *in_language_bij*: *bij* (*Collect* o *in_language*)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_bij*: *bij* *to_language*
<proof>

4 Coinductively Defined Operations Are Standard

lemma *to_language_empty[simp]*: *to_language* {} = Zero
<proof>

lemma *in_language_Zero[simp]*: \neg *in_language* Zero xs
<proof>

lemma *in_language_One[simp]*: *in_language* One xs \Longrightarrow xs = []
<proof>

lemma *in_language_Atom[simp]*: *in_language* (Atom a) xs \Longrightarrow xs = [a]
<proof>

lemma *to_language_eps[simp]*: *to_language* {} = One
<proof>

lemma *to_language_singleton[simp]*: *to_language* {[a]} = (Atom a)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_Un[simp]*: *to_language* (A \cup B) = Plus (*to_language* A) (*to_language* B)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_Int[simp]*: *to_language* (A \cap B) = Inter (*to_language* A) (*to_language* B)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_Neg[simp]*: *to_language* (\neg A) = Not (*to_language* A)
<proof>

lemma *to_language_Diff[simp]*: *to_language* (A $-$ B) = Inter (*to_language* A) (Not (*to_language* B))
<proof>

lemma *to_language_conc*[simp]: *to_language* (A @@ B) = *Times* (*to_language* A) (*to_language* B)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to_language_star*[simp]: *to_language* (*star* A) = *Star* (*to_language* A)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *to_language_shuffle*[simp]: *to_language* (A || B) = *Shuffle* (*to_language* A) (*to_language* B)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

5 Word Problem for Context-Free Grammars

6 Context Free Languages

A context-free grammar consists of a list of productions for every nonterminal and an initial nonterminal. The productions are required to be in weak Greibach normal form, i.e. each right hand side of a production must either be empty or start with a terminal.

abbreviation *wgreibach* $\alpha \equiv (\text{case } \alpha \text{ of } (\text{Inr } N \# _) \Rightarrow \text{False} \mid _ \Rightarrow \text{True})$

record ('t, 'n) *cfg* =
init :: 'n :: *finite*
prod :: 'n \Rightarrow ('t + 'n) *list fset*

context

fixes *G* :: ('t, 'n :: *finite*) *cfg*
begin

inductive *in_cfl* **where**

in_cfl [] []
 | *in_cfl* α *w* \Longrightarrow *in_cfl* (*Inl* *a* # α) (*a* # *w*)
 | *fBex* (*prod* *G* *N*) ($\lambda\beta. \text{in_cfl } (\beta @ \alpha) \text{ } w$) \Longrightarrow *in_cfl* (*Inr* *N* # α) *w*

abbreviation *lang_trad* **where**

lang_trad $\equiv \{w. \text{in_cfl } [\text{Inr } (\text{init } G)] \text{ } w\}$

fun \mathfrak{o}_P **where**

\mathfrak{o}_P [] = *True*
 | \mathfrak{o}_P (*Inl* _ # _) = *False*
 | \mathfrak{o}_P (*Inr* *N* # α) = ([] | \in | *prod* *G* *N* \wedge \mathfrak{o}_P α)

fun \mathfrak{d}_P **where**

\mathfrak{d}_P [] *a* = {||}
 | \mathfrak{d}_P (*Inl* *b* # α) *a* = (if *a* = *b* then {|\alpha|} else {||})
 | \mathfrak{d}_P (*Inr* *N* # α) *a* =
 ($\lambda\beta. \text{tl } \beta @ \alpha$) |'| *ffilter* ($\lambda\beta. \beta \neq [] \wedge \text{hd } \beta = \text{Inl } a$) (*prod* *G* *N*) | \cup |
 (if [] | \in | *prod* *G* *N* then \mathfrak{d}_P α *a* else {||})

primcorec *subst* :: ('t + 'n) *list fset* \Rightarrow 't *language* **where**

subst *P* = *Lang* (*fBex* *P* \mathfrak{o}_P) ($\lambda a. \text{subst } (\text{ffUnion } ((\lambda r. \mathfrak{d}_P \text{ } r \text{ } a) \mid'| \text{ } P))$)

inductive *in_cfls* **where**

fBex *P* $\mathfrak{o}_P \Longrightarrow$ *in_cfls* *P* []
 | *in_cfls* (*ffUnion* (($\lambda\alpha. \mathfrak{d}_P$ α *a*) |'| *P*)) *w* \Longrightarrow *in_cfls* *P* (*a* # *w*)

inductive_cases [*elim!*]: *in_cfls* *P* []

inductive_cases [*elim!*]: *in_cfls* *P* (*a* # *w*)

declare *inj_eq*[*OF bij_is_inj*[*OF to_language_bij*], *simp*]

lemma *subst_in_cfls*: *subst P = to_language {w. in_cfls P w}*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *o_P_in_cfl*: *o_P α ⇒ in_cfl α []*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *d_P_in_cfl*: *β |∈| d_P α a ⇒ in_cfl β w ⇒ in_cfl α (a # w)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_cfls_in_cfl*: *in_cfls P w ⇒ fBex P (λα. in_cfl α w)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_cfls_mono*: *in_cfls P w ⇒ P |⊆| Q ⇒ in_cfls Q w*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

locale *cfg_wgreibach* =

fixes *G* :: (*t*, *n* :: *finite*) *cfg*

assumes *weakGreibach*: $\bigwedge N \alpha. \alpha |∈| \text{prod } G N \Rightarrow \text{wgreibach } \alpha$

begin

lemma *in_cfl_in_cfls*: *in_cfl G α w ⇒ in_cfls G {|\α|} w*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

abbreviation *lang where*

lang $\equiv \text{subst } G \{|\text{Inr } (\text{init } G)|\}$

lemma *lang_lang_trad*: *lang = to_language (lang_trad G)*
 ⟨*proof*⟩

end

The function *in_language* decides the word problem for a given language. Since we can construct the language of a CFG using *cfg_wgreibach.lang* we obtain an executable (but not very efficient) decision procedure for CFGs for free.

abbreviation *a* $\equiv \text{Inl True}$

abbreviation *b* $\equiv \text{Inl False}$

abbreviation *S* $\equiv \text{Inr } ()$

interpretation *palindromes*: *cfg_wgreibach* (*init* = (), *prod* = $\lambda_. \{|\text{[]}, [\mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{b}], [\mathbf{a}, S, \mathbf{a}], [\mathbf{b}, S, \mathbf{b}]\}$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang []* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang [True]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang [False]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang [True, True]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \neg *in_language palindromes.lang [True, False]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \neg *in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, False]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, True, False, True]* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \neg *in_language palindromes.lang [True, False, True, False, False, True]* ⟨*proof*⟩

interpretation *Dyck*: *cfg_wgreibach* (*init* = (), *prod* = $\lambda_. \{|\text{[]}, [\mathbf{a}, S, \mathbf{b}, S]\}$)
 ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma *in_language Dyck.lang []* ⟨*proof*⟩

lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [True] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, True, False, False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, False, True, True, False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language Dyck.lang* [True, True, False, False, False, True] \langle proof \rangle

interpretation *abSSa*: *cfg_wgreibach* ($\text{init} = ()$, $\text{prod} = \lambda_. \{[], [\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, S, S, \mathbf{a}]\}$)
 \langle proof \rangle

lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [True] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True, False, True, True, False, True, True] \langle proof \rangle
lemma *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True, False, True, True] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, False, True, True, False] \langle proof \rangle
lemma \neg *in_language abSSa.lang* [True, True, False, False, False, True] \langle proof \rangle