Chomsky-Schützenberger Representation Theorem

Moritz Roos and Tobias Nipkow

October 13, 2025

Abstract

The Chomksy-Schützenberger Representation Theorem says that any context-free language is the homomorphic image of the intersection of a regular language and a Dyck language.

Contents

1	Overview of the Proof	2
2	Production Transformation and Homomorphisms	4
	2.1 Brackets	. 4
	2.2 Transformation	. 5
	2.3 Homomorphisms	. 6
3	The Regular Language	8
	3.1 <i>P1</i>	. 8
	3.2 <i>P2</i>	
	3.3 <i>P3</i>	. 11
	3.4 <i>P4</i>	
	$3.5 P_{5}$	
	3.6 <i>P7</i> and <i>P8</i>	
	3.7 Reg and Reg_sym	_
4	Showing Regularity	15
	4.1 An automaton for $\{xs.\ successively\ Q\ xs \land xs \in brackets\ P\}$. 16
	4.2 Regularity of $P2$, $P3$ and $P4$	
	4.3 An automaton for $P1 \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	
	4.4 An automaton for $P5$	
5	Definitions of L , Γ , P' , L'	26
6	Lemmas for $P' \vdash A \Rightarrow^* x \longleftrightarrow x \in R_A \cap Dyck_lang \Gamma$	27
7	Showing $h(L') = L$	36

8 The Theorem 41

```
theory Chomsky_Schuetzenberger
imports
Context_Free_Grammar.Parse_Tree
Context_Free_Grammar.Chomsky_Normal_Form
Finite_Automata_HF.Finite_Automata_HF
Dyck_Language_Syms
begin
```

This theory proves the Chomsky-Schützenberger representation theorem [1]. We closely follow Kozen [2] for the proof. The theorem states that every context-free language L can be written as h ($R \cap Dyck_lang \Gamma$), for a suitable alphabet Γ , a regular language R and a word-homomorphism h.

The Dyck language over a set Γ (also called it's bracket language) is defined as follows: The symbols of Γ are paired with [and], as in $[_g$ and $]_g$ for $g \in \Gamma$. The Dyck language over Γ is the language of correctly bracketed words. The construction of the Dyck language is found in theory *Chomsky_Schuetzenberger.Dyck_Language_Syms*.

1 Overview of the Proof

A rough proof of Chomsky-Schützenberger is as follows: Take some context-free grammar for L with productions P. Wlog assume it is in Chomsky Normal Form. Now define a new language L' with productions P' in the following way from P:

If $\pi = A \to BC$ let $\pi' = A \to [^1_\pi \ B\]^1_p \ [^2_\pi \ C\]^2_p$, if $\pi = A \to a$ let $\pi' = A \to [^1_\pi \]^1_p \ [^2_\pi \]^2_p$, where the brackets are viewed as terminals and the old variables A, B, C are again viewed as nonterminals. This transformation is implemented by the function $transform_prod$ below. Note brackets are now adorned with superscripts 1 and 2 to distinguish the first and second occurrences easily. That is, we work with symbols that are triples of type $\{[,]\} \times old_prod_type \times \{1,2\}$.

This bracketing encodes the parse tree of any old word. The old word is easily recovered by the homomorphism which sends $[^1_{\pi}$ to a if $\pi = A \to a$, and sends every other bracket to ε . Thus we have h(L') = L by essentially exchanging π for π' and the other way round in the derivation. The direction \supseteq is done in $transfer_parse_tree$, the direction \subseteq is done directly in the proof of the main theorem.

Then all that remains to show is, that L' is of the form $R \cap Dyck_lang$ Γ (for $\Gamma := P \times \{1, 2\}$) and the regularity of R.

For this, $R := R_S$ is defined via an intersection of 5 following regular languages. Each of these is defined via a property on words x:

P1 x: after a $]^1_p$ there always immediately follows a $[^2_p$ in x. This especially means, that $]^1_p$ cannot be the end of the string.

successively P2 x: a $|^2_{\pi}$ is never directly followed by some [in x.

successively P3 x: each $[^{1}_{A \to BC}$ is directly followed by $[^{1}_{B \to _}$ in x (last letter isn't checked).

successively P_4 x: each $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ A \to a \end{bmatrix}$ is directly followed by $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ A \to a \end{bmatrix}$ in x and each $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ A \to a \end{bmatrix}$ is directly followed by $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ A \to a \end{bmatrix}$ in x (last letter isn't checked).

P5 A x: there exists some y such that the word begins with $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ A \rightarrow y \end{bmatrix}$.

One then shows the key theorem $P' \vdash A \rightarrow^* w \longleftrightarrow w \in R_A \cap Dyck \ lang \Gamma$:

The \rightarrow -direction (see lemma P'_imp_Reg) is easily checked, by checking that every condition holds during all derivation steps already. For this one needs a version of R (and all the conditions) which ignores any Terminals that might still exist in such a derivation step. Since this version operates on symbols (a different type) it needs a fully new definition. Since these new versions allow more flexibility on the words, it turns out that the original 5 conditions aren't enough anymore to fully constrain to the target language. Thus we add two additional constraints successively P7 and successively P8 on the symbol-version of R_A that vanish when we ultimately restricts back to words consisting only of terminal symbols. With these the induction goes through:

(successively P7_sym) x: each Nt Y is directly preceded by some Tm $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ A \rightarrow YC \end{bmatrix}$ or some Tm $\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ A \rightarrow BY \end{bmatrix}$ in x;

(successively P8_sym) x: each Nt Y is directly followed by some $]^1{}_{A\to YC}$ or some $]^2{}_{A\to BY}$ in x.

The \leftarrow -direction (see lemma $Reg_and_dyck_imp_P'$) is more work. This time we stick with fully terminal words, so we work with the standard version of R_A : Proceed by induction on the length of w generalized over A. For this, let $x \in R_A \cap Dyck_lang \Gamma$, thus we have the properties P1 x, successively Pi x for $i \in \{2,3,4,7,8\}$ and P5 A x available. From P5 A x we have that there exists $\pi \in P$ s.t. fst $\pi = A$ and x begins with $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}$. Since $x \in Dyck_lang$ Γ it is balanced, so it must be of the form $x = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}$ $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \pi \end{bmatrix}$ Since our grammar is in CNF, we can consider the following case distinction on π :

Case 1: $\pi = A \to BC$. Since y,z are balanced substrings of x one easily checks Pi y and Pi z for $i \in \{1,2,3,4\}$. From P3 x (and $\pi = A \to BC$) we further obtain P5 B y and P5 C z. So $y \in R_B \cap Dyck_lang$ Γ and $z \in R_C \cap Dyck_lang$ Γ . From the induction hypothesis we thus obtain $P' \vdash B \to^* y$ and $P' \vdash C \to^* z$. Since $\pi = A \to BC$ we then have $A \to^1_{\pi'} \begin{bmatrix} 1_{\pi} & B \end{bmatrix}^1_{\pi} \begin{bmatrix} 2_{\pi} & C \end{bmatrix}^2_{\pi} \to^* \begin{bmatrix} 1_{\pi} & y \end{bmatrix}^1_{\pi} \begin{bmatrix} 2_{\pi} & z \end{bmatrix}^2_{\pi} = x$ as required.

Case 2: $\pi = A \to a$. Suppose we didn't have y = []. Then from P_4 x (and $\pi = A \to a$) we would have $y =]^1_{\pi}$. But since y is balanced it needs to begin with an opening bracket, contradiction. So it must be that y = []. By the same argument we also have that z = []. So really $x = [^1_{\pi} \quad]^1_{\pi} \quad [^2_{\pi} \quad]^2_{\pi}$ and of course from $\pi = A \to a$ it holds $A \to ^1_{\pi'} \quad [^1_{\pi} \quad]^1_{\pi} \quad [^2_{\pi} \quad]^2_{\pi} = x$ as required.

From the key theorem we obtain (by setting A := S) that $L' = R_S \cap Dyck_lang \Gamma$ as wanted.

Only regularity remains to be shown. For this we use that $R_S \cap Dyck_lang$ $\Gamma = (R_S \cap brackets \Gamma) \cap Dyck_lang \Gamma$, where $brackets \Gamma (\supseteq Dyck_lang \Gamma)$ is the set of words which only consist of brackets over Γ . Actually, what we defined as R_S , isn't regular, only $(R_S \cap brackets \Gamma)$ is. The intersection restricts to a finite amount of possible brackets, that are used in states for finite automatons for the 5 languages that R_S is the intersection of.

Throughout most of the proof below, we implicitly or explicitly assume that the grammar is in CNF. This is lifted only at the very end.

2 Production Transformation and Homomorphisms

A fixed finite set of productions P, used later on:

```
locale locale\_P = fixes P :: ('n,'t) \ Prods assumes finiteP : \langle finite \ P \rangle
```

2.1 Brackets

A type with 2 elements, for creating 2 copies as needed in the proof:

```
datatype \ version = One \mid Two
```

```
type_synonym ('n,'t) bracket3 = (('n, 't) prod \times version) bracket
```

abbreviation open_bracket1 :: ('n, 't) prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) bracket3 ([1_ [1000]) where

```
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ p \end{bmatrix} \equiv (Open \ (p, \ One))
```

abbreviation $close_bracket1 :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ (]^1 \ [1000])$ where

```
|^{1}_{p} \equiv (Close\ (p,\ One))
abbreviation open\_bracket2 :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ ([^2 \quad [1000]) \ \textbf{where}
  [^2_p \equiv (Open (p, Two))]
abbreviation close\_bracket2 :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ (|^2 \ [1000]) \ where
 |^{2}_{p} \equiv (Close\ (p,\ Two))
     Version for p = (A, w) (multiple letters) with bsub and esub:
abbreviation open\_bracket1' :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ ([^1 \ ) \ where
  [^{1}_{p} \equiv (Open (p, One))]
abbreviation close\_bracket1' :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ (]^1 ) where
 ]^{1}_{p} \equiv (Close\ (p,\ One))
abbreviation open\_bracket2' :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ ([^2\ ) \ where
  [^{2}p \equiv (Open (p, Two))]
abbreviation close\_bracket2' :: ('n,'t) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ (]^2 ) where
 ]^{2}_{p} \equiv (Close\ (p,\ Two))
     Nice LaTeX rendering:
notation (latex output) open bracket1 ([1])
notation (latex output) open\_bracket1' (\overline{|}^{1})
notation (latex output) open_bracket2 ([2])
notation (latex output) open\_bracket2' (\overline{[2]})
notation (latex output) close_bracket1 (]<sup>1</sup>)
notation (latex output) close_bracket1' (\overline{|}^{1})
notation (latex output) close_bracket2 (|^2)
notation (latex output) close_bracket2'(]2)
2.2
         Transformation
abbreviation wrap1 :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow 't \Rightarrow ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ syms \rangle where
  \langle wrap1 \ A \ a \equiv
        \begin{bmatrix} Tm \ [^{1}(A, [Tm \ a]), \\ Tm \ ]^{1}(A, [Tm \ a]), \\ Tm \ [^{2}(A, [Tm \ a]), \\ Tm \ ]^{2}(A, [Tm \ a]) \end{bmatrix} 
abbreviation wrap2 :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow 'n \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ syms \rangle where
  \langle wrap2 \ A \ B \ C \equiv
       [\begin{array}{cccc} Tm & [^1(A, [Nt \ B, \ Nt \ C]), \\ Nt \ B, \end{array}
          Tm ]<sup>1</sup>(A, [Nt B, Nt C]),
          Tm \ [^2(A, [Nt B, Nt C]), Nt C,
```

```
Tm ]^2(A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \rightarrow
```

The transformation of old productions to new productions used in the proof:

```
fun transform\_rhs :: 'n \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \ syms \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ syms \ \mathbf{where} \langle transform\_rhs \ A \ [Tm \ a] = wrap1 \ A \ a \rangle \mid \langle transform\_rhs \ A \ [Nt \ B, \ Nt \ C] = wrap2 \ A \ B \ C \rangle
```

The last equation is only added to permit us to state lemmas about

```
fun transform\_prod :: ('n, 't) \ prod \Rightarrow ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ prod \ \mathbf{where} \ \ \langle transform\_prod \ (A, \ \alpha) = (A, \ transform\_rhs \ A \ \alpha) \rangle
```

2.3 Homomorphisms

Definition of a monoid-homomorphism where multiplication is (@):

```
definition hom\_list :: \langle ('a \ list \Rightarrow 'b \ list) \Rightarrow bool \rangle where \langle hom\_list \ h = (\forall a \ b. \ h \ (a \ @ \ b) = h \ a \ @ \ h \ b) \rangle
```

```
lemma hom\_list\_Nil: hom\_list \ h \Longrightarrow h \ [] = [] unfolding hom\_list\_def by (metis\ self\_append\_conv)
```

The homomorphism on single brackets:

```
fun the\_hom1 :: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow 't \ list \rangle where \langle the\_hom1 \ [^1(A, [Tm \ a]) = [a] \rangle \ | \langle the\_hom1 \ \_ = [] \rangle
```

The homomorphism on single bracket symbols:

```
fun the\_hom\_sym :: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \ sym \ list \rangle where \langle the\_hom\_sym \ (Tm \ [^1_{(A, \ [Tm \ a])}) = [Tm \ a] \rangle \ | \langle the\_hom\_sym \ (Nt \ A) = [Nt \ A] \rangle \ | \langle the\_hom\_sym \ \_ = [] \rangle
```

The homomorphism on bracket words:

```
fun the\_hom :: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ list \Rightarrow 't \ list \rangle \ (h) where \langle the\_hom \ l = concat \ (map \ the\_hom1 \ l) \rangle
```

The homomorphism extended to symbols:

```
fun the\_hom\_syms :: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ syms \Rightarrow ('n, 't) \ syms \rangle where \langle the\_hom\_syms \ l = concat \ (map \ the\_hom\_sym \ l) \rangle
```

```
notation the_hom (h)
notation the_hom_syms (hs)
```

```
lemma the\_hom\_syms\_hom: <hs (l1 @ l2) = hs l1 @ hs l2 by simp
```

lemma
$$the_hom_syms_keep_var$$
: $\langle hs [(Nt A)] = [Nt A] \rangle$ **by** $simp$

```
lemma the\_hom\_syms\_tms\_inj: \langle hs \ w = map \ Tm \ m \Longrightarrow \exists \ w'. \ w = map \ Tm \ w' \rangle
proof(induction w arbitrary: m)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Cons\ a\ w)
  then obtain w' where \langle w = map \ Tm \ w' \rangle
  by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) append_Cons append_Nil map_eq_append_conv
the\_hom\_syms\_hom)
  then obtain a' where \langle a = Tm \ a' \rangle
 proof -
   assume a1: \bigwedge a'. a = Tm \ a' \Longrightarrow thesis
   have f2: \forall ss \ s. \ [s::('a, ('a, 'b) \ bracket3) \ sym] @ ss = s \# ss
   have \forall ss \ s. \ (s::('a, 'b) \ sym) \ \# \ ss = [s] @ \ ss
     by simp
  then show ?thesis using f2 a1 by (metis sym.exhaust sym.simps(4) Cons.prems
map_eq_Cons_D the_hom_syms_hom the_hom_syms_keep_var)
 then show \langle \exists w'. \ a \# w = map \ Tm \ w' \rangle
   by (metis\ List.list.simps(9) \ \langle w = map\ Tm\ w' \rangle)
qed
    Helper for showing the upcoming lemma:
lemma helper: \langle the\_hom\_sym (Tm \ x) = map \ Tm \ (the\_hom1 \ x) \rangle
 by(induction x rule: the_hom1.induct)(auto split: list.splits sym.splits)
    Show that the extension really is an extension in some sense:
lemma h_eq_h_ext: \langle hs (map \ Tm \ x) = map \ Tm \ (h \ x) \rangle
proof(induction x)
 case Nil
  then show ?case by simp
next
 case (Cons\ a\ x)
 then show ?case using helper[of a] by simp
lemma the hom1_strip: \langle (the\_hom\_sym x') = map \ Tm \ w \Longrightarrow the\_hom1 \ (destTm)
x' = w
 \mathbf{by}(induction\ x'\ rule:\ the\_hom\_sym.induct;\ auto)
lemma the\_hom1\_strip2: \langle concat \ (map \ the\_hom\_sym \ w') = map \ Tm \ w \implies
concat \ (map \ (the \ hom1 \circ destTm) \ w') = w
proof(induction \ w' \ arbitrary: \ w)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
next
```

```
case (Cons a w')
then show ?case
by(auto simp: the_hom1_strip map_eq_append_conv append_eq_map_conv)
qed

lemma h_eq_h_ext2:
assumes h w' = (map \ Tm \ w)
shows h (map \ dest Tm \ w') = w
using assms by (simp add: the hom1_strip2)
```

3 The Regular Language

The regular Language Reg will be an intersection of 5 Languages. The languages 2, 3, 4 are defined each via a relation P2, P3, P4 on neighbouring letters and lifted to a language via successively. Language 1 is an intersection of another such lifted relation P1' and a condition on the last letter (if existent). Language 5 is a condition on the first letter (and requires it to exist). It takes a term of type 'n (the original variable type) as parameter.

Additionally a version of each language (taking symbols as input) is defined which allows arbitrary interspersion of nonterminals.

As this interspersion weakens the description, the symbol version of the regular language (Reg_sym) is defined using two additional languages lifted from P7 and P8. These vanish when restricted to words only containing terminals.

As stated in the introductory text, these languages will only be regular, when constrained to a finite bracket set. The theorems about this, are in the later section *Showing Regularity*.

3.1 *P1*

P1 will define a predicate on string elements. It will be true iff each $]_p^1$ is directly followed by $[p]_p^2$. That also means $[p]_p^1$ cannot be the end of the string.

But first we define a helper function, that only captures the neighbouring condition for two strings:

```
fun P1':: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool \rangle where \langle P1' \ ]_p^1 \ [^2_{p'} = (p=p') \rangle \ | \langle P1' \ ]_p^1 \ y = False \rangle \ | \langle P1' \ x \ y = True \rangle
```

A version of P1' for symbols, i.e. strings that may still contain Nt's:

```
fun P1'\_sym :: \langle ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow boolv where
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle P1'\_sym\ (Tm\ ]^1_{\ p})\ (Tm\ [^2_{\ p}')\ =\ (p=p')\rangle\ |\ \\ \langle P1'\_sym\ (Tm\ ]^1_{\ p})\ y\ =\ False\rangle\ |\ \\ \langle P1'\_sym\ x\ y\ =\ True\rangle \end{array}
```

```
lemma P1'D[simp]:
\begin{array}{l} \langle P1' \,]^1{}_p \ r \longleftrightarrow r = [^2{}_p \rangle \\ \mathbf{by}(induction \ \langle]^1{}_p \rangle \ \langle r \rangle \ rule: \ P1'.induct) \ auto \end{array}
     Asserts that P1' holds for every pair in xs, and that xs doesnt end in
]^{1}_{p}:
fun P1 :: ('n, 't) bracket3 list \Rightarrow bool where
  \langle P1 \ xs = ((successively \ P1' \ xs) \land (if \ xs \neq [] \ then \ (\nexists \ p. \ last \ xs = ]^1_p) \ else \ True)) \rangle
     Asserts that P1' holds for every pair in xs, and that xs doesnt end in
Tm \mid^{1}_{p}:
fun P1_sym where
  \langle P1\_sym\ xs = ((successively\ P1'\_sym\ xs) \land (if\ xs \neq []\ then\ (\nexists\ p.\ last\ xs = Tm))
]^{1}_{p}) else True)\rangle
\mathbf{lemma} \ P1\_\mathit{for}\_\mathit{tm}\_\mathit{if}\_\mathit{P1}\_\mathit{sym}[\mathit{dest!}] : \langle P1\_\mathit{sym} \ (\mathit{map} \ \mathit{Tm} \ \mathit{x}) \Longrightarrow P1 \ \mathit{x} \rangle
proof(induction x rule: induct_list012)
  case (3 x y zs)
  then show ?case
    by(cases \langle (Tm \ x :: ('a, ('a, 'b)bracket3) \ sym, \ Tm \ y :: ('a, ('a, 'b)bracket3) \ sym) \rangle
rule: P1'\_sym.cases) \ auto
ged simp all
lemma P1I[intro]:
  assumes (successively P1' xs)
    and \langle \nexists p. \ last \ xs = ]_{p}^{1} \rangle
  shows (P1 xs)
proof(cases xs)
  case Nil
  then show ?thesis using assms by force
next
  case (Cons a list)
 then show ?thesis using assms by (auto split: version.splits sym.splits prod.splits)
lemma P1_symI[intro]:
  assumes ⟨successively P1'_sym xs⟩
    and \langle \nexists p. \ last \ xs = Tm \ ]^1_p \rangle
  shows <P1_sym xs>
proof(cases xs rule: rev_cases)
  case Nil
  then show ?thesis by auto
\mathbf{next}
  case (snoc \ ys \ y)
  then show ?thesis
    using assms by (cases y) auto
qed
```

```
lemma P1\_symD[dest]: \langle P1\_sym \ xs \Longrightarrow successively \ P1'\_sym \ xs \rangle by simp
lemma P1D_not_empty[intro]:
  assumes \langle xs \neq [] \rangle
    and ⟨P1 xs⟩
  shows \langle last \ xs \neq |_p^1 \rangle
proof-
  from assms have \langle successively P1' xs \land (\nexists p. last xs = |_p^1) \rangle
    by simp
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma P1_symD_not_empty'[intro]:
  assumes \langle xs \neq [] \rangle
    and (P1_sym xs)
  shows \langle last \ xs \neq Tm \mid^{1}_{p} \rangle
proof-
  from assms have \langle successively P1'\_sym \ xs \land (\nexists p. \ last \ xs = Tm \mid_p) \rangle
  then show ?thesis by blast
qed
lemma P1_symD_not_empty:
  assumes \langle xs \neq [] \rangle
    and \langle P1\_sym\ xs \rangle
  shows \langle \nexists p. \ last \ xs = Tm \mid^{1}_{p} \rangle
  using P1_symD_not_empty'[OF assms] by simp
3.2
         P2
A ]^2_{\pi} is never directly followed by some [:
fun P2 :: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool \rangle where
  \langle P2 \ (Close \ (p, \ Two)) \ (Open \ (p', \ v)) = False \rangle \mid
  \langle P2 \ (Close \ (p, Two)) \ y = True \rangle
  \langle P2 \ x \ y = True \rangle
fun P2\_sym :: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow bool \rangle
where
  \langle P2\_sym \ (Tm \ (Close \ (p, Two))) \ (Tm \ (Open \ (p', v))) = False \rangle
  \langle P2\_sym \ (Tm \ (Close \ (p, \ Two))) \ y = True \rangle \ |
  \langle P2\_sym \ x \ y = True \rangle
lemma P2\_for\_tm\_if\_P2\_sym[dest]: \langle successively\ P2\_sym\ (map\ Tm\ x) \Longrightarrow suc-successively\ P2\_sym\ (map\ Tm\ x)
cessively P2 x>
  apply(induction x rule: induct_list012)
    apply simp
   apply simp
  using P2.elims(3) by fastforce
```

3.3 *P3*

```
Each [^{1}_{A\to BC} is directly followed by [^{1}_{B\to \_}, and each [^{2}_{A\to BC} is directly
followed by [^{1}C\rightarrow :
fun P3 :: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool \rangle where
  \langle P3 \mid ^{1}(A, [Nt \mid B, Nt \mid C]) \mid (p, ((X,y), \mid t)) = (p = True \land t = One \land X = B) \rangle \mid
  Each [^{1}_{A \to BC} is directly followed [^{1}_{B \to}] or Nt B, and each [^{2}_{A \to BC}] is
directly followed by [^{1}_{C} \rightarrow \text{ or } Nt \ C:
fun P3\_sym :: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow bool \rangle
   \  \, \langle P3\_sym \ (\mathit{Tm} \ [^{1}_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])})\ (\mathit{Tm}\ (p,\ ((X,y),\ t))) = (p = \mathit{True} \ \wedge \ t = \mathit{One} \ ) 
\wedge X = B \rangle \rangle |
   — Not obvious: the case (Tm \ [^1_{(A, [Nt B, Nt C])}) \ Nt \ X is set to True with the
catch all
   \langle P3\_sym \ (\mathit{Tm} \ [^{1}(A, \ [\mathit{Nt} \ B, \ \mathit{Nt} \ \mathit{C}])) \ (\mathit{Nt} \ \mathit{X}) = (\mathit{X} = \mathit{B}) \rangle \ | 
\langle P3\_sym\ (Tm\ [^2_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])})\ (Tm\ (p,\ ((X,y),\ t))) = (p = True\ \land\ t = One\ \land\ t)
X = C \rightarrow |
\langle \textit{P3\_sym}^{\cdot}(\textit{Tm}~[^{2}(\textit{A},~[\textit{Nt}~\textit{B},~\textit{Nt}~\textit{C}]))~(\textit{Nt}~\textit{X}) = (\textit{X} = \textit{C}) \rangle \mid
\langle P3\_sym \ x \ y = True \rangle
lemma P3D1[dest]:
  fixes r::\langle ('n, 't) \ bracket3 \rangle
  assumes \langle P3 \mid ^{1}(A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \mid r \rangle
  shows \langle \exists l. \ r = [^1_{(B, l)} \rangle
 using assms by (induction < [^1(A, [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C]) :: ('n,'t)\ bracket3) \ r\ rule: P3.induct)
lemma P3D2[dest]:
  fixes r::\langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \rangle
  assumes \langle P3 | [^2(A, [Nt B, Nt C]) | r \rangle
  shows \langle \exists l. \ r = [1, r] \rangle
 using assms by (induction \langle [1_{(A, [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])} :: ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \rangle \ r \ rule: P3.induct)
\mathbf{lemma} \ P3\_\mathit{for}\_\mathit{tm}\_\mathit{if}\_P3\_\mathit{sym}[\mathit{dest}] : \langle \mathit{successively} \ P3\_\mathit{sym} \ (\mathit{map} \ \mathit{Tm} \ x) \Longrightarrow \mathit{successivel} \rangle
cessively P3 x>
proof(induction x rule: induct list012)
  case (3 x y zs)
  then show ?case
      by(cases \langle (Tm \ x :: ('a, ('a, 'b) \ bracket3) \ sym, \ Tm \ y :: ('a, ('a, 'b) \ bracket3)
sym)> rule: P3\_sym.cases) auto
qed simp_all
```

3.4 *P*₄

Each $[^{1}_{A\to a}$ is directly followed by $]^{1}_{A\to a}$ and each $[^{2}_{A\to a}$ is directly followed by $]^{2}_{A\to a}$:

```
fun P4:: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool \rangle where \langle P4 \ (Open \ ((A, [Tm \ a]), \ s)) \ (p, \ ((X, \ y), \ t)) = (p = False \land X = A \land y = [Tm \ a] \land s = t) \rangle \mid \langle P4 \ x \ y = True \rangle
```

Each $[^1{}_{A\to a}$ is directly followed by $]^1{}_{A\to a}$ and each $[^2{}_{A\to a}$ is directly followed by $]^2{}_{A\to a}$:

fun P4_sym :: $\langle ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow booly$ where

lemma $P \not = D[dest]$:

```
fixes r::\langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \rangle
assumes \langle P4 \ (Open \ ((A, [Tm \ a]), \ v)) \ r \rangle
shows \langle r = Close \ ((A, [Tm \ a]), \ v) \rangle
```

using assms $\mathbf{by}(induction \land (Open\ ((A,\ [Tm\ a]),\ v))::('n,'t)\ bracket3 \gt r\ rule: P4.induct)\ auto$

 $\mathbf{lemma}\ P4_for_tm_if_P4_sym[dest] : \langle successively\ P4_sym\ (map\ Tm\ x) \Longrightarrow successively\ P4\ x\rangle$

```
proof(induction x rule: induct_list012)
```

case (3 x y zs)

then show ?case

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathbf{by}(\mathit{cases} \mathrel{<\!(}\mathit{Tm}\;\mathit{x}\;\mathrel{::}\;('a,\;('a,'b)\;\mathit{bracket3})\;\mathit{sym},\;\mathit{Tm}\;\mathit{y}\;\mathrel{::}\;('a,\;('a,'b)\;\mathit{bracket3})\;\mathit{sym}) \rangle\;\mathit{rule} \mathrel{:}\;\mathit{P4_sym.cases})\;\mathit{auto} \\ \mathbf{qed}\;\mathit{simp_all} \\ \end{array}$

3.5 *P5*

P5 A x holds, iff there exists some y such that x begins with $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ A \rightarrow y \end{bmatrix}$:

```
fun P5 :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ list \Rightarrow bool \rangle where \langle P5 \ A \ [] = False \rangle \ | \langle P5 \ A \ ([^1_{(X,x)} \# xs) = (X = A) \rangle \ | \langle P5 \ A \ (x \# xs) = False \rangle
```

 $P5_sym\ A\ x$ holds, iff either there exists some y such that x begins with $[^1_{A\to y},$ or if it begins with Nt A:

```
fun P5\_sym :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ syms \Rightarrow bool \rangle where \langle P5\_sym \ A \ [] = False \rangle \mid \langle P5\_sym \ A \ (Tm \ [^1_{(X,x)} \ \# \ xs) = (X = A) \rangle \mid \langle P5\_sym \ A \ ((Nt \ X) \ \# \ xs) = (X = A) \rangle \mid
```

```
\langle P5\_sym\ A\ (x\ \#\ xs) = False \rangle
lemma P5D[dest]:
  assumes \langle P5 \ A \ x \rangle
  shows \langle \exists y. \ hd \ x = [^1_{(A,y)} \rangle
  using assms by (induction A x rule: P5.induct) auto
lemma P5\_symD[dest]:
  assumes \langle P5\_sym \ A \ x \rangle
  shows \langle (\exists y. \ hd \ x = Tm \ [^1_{(A,y)}) \lor hd \ x = Nt \ A \rangle
  using assms by (induction A x rule: P5_sym.induct) auto
\mathbf{lemma}\ P5\_\mathit{for}\_\mathit{tm}\_\mathit{if}\_\mathit{P5}\_\mathit{sym}[\mathit{dest}] \colon \langle P5\_\mathit{sym}\ A\ (\mathit{map}\ \mathit{Tm}\ x) \Longrightarrow P5\ A\ x \rangle
  \mathbf{by}(induction \ x) auto
3.6
          P7 and P8
(successively P7_sym) w iff Nt Y is directly preceded by some Tm \, [^1_{A \to YC}]
or Tm \mid^2_{A \to BY} in w:
fun P7\_sym :: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow bool \rangle
where
  \langle P7\_sym \ (Tm \ (b,(A, [Nt \ B, \ Nt \ C]), \ v \ )) \ (Nt \ Y) = (b = True \land ((Y = B \land v = A))) \ (Nt \ Y) = (b = True \land ((Y = B \land v = A)))
One) \lor (Y = C \land v = Two)) \rangle \mid
  \langle P7\_sym \ x \ (Nt \ Y) = False \rangle
  \langle P7\_sym \ x \ y = True \rangle
lemma P7 \ symD[dest]:
  fixes x:: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \rangle
  assumes \langle P7\_sym \ x \ (Nt \ Y) \rangle
 shows \langle (\exists A \ C. \ x = Tm \ [^1_{(A,[Nt \ Y,\ Nt \ C])}) \lor (\exists A \ B. \ x = Tm \ [^2_{(A,[Nt \ B,\ Nt \ Y])}) \rangle
 using assms by (induction x \in Nt \ Y :: ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \rightarrow rule: P7\_sym.induct)
auto
     (successively P8_sym) w iff Nt Y is directly followed by some ]^1_{A\to YC}
or ]^2_{A\to BY} in w:
fun P8\_sym :: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \Rightarrow bool \rangle
where
  \langle P8\_sym\ (Nt\ Y)\ (Tm\ (b,(A,[Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C]),\ v\ ))\ =(b=False\ \land\ (\ (Y=B\ \land\ v)))
= One) \lor (Y = C \land v = Two)) \rangle |
  \langle P8\_sym\ (Nt\ Y)\ x = False\rangle
  \langle P8\_sym \ x \ y = True \rangle
lemma P8 \quad symD[dest]:
  fixes x:: \langle ('n, ('n, 't) \ bracket3) \ sym \rangle
  assumes \langle P8\_sym\ (Nt\ Y)\ x\rangle
 shows (\exists A \ C. \ x = Tm \ ]^1(A,[Nt \ Y, \ Nt \ C])) \lor (\exists A \ B. \ x = Tm \ ]^2(A,[Nt \ B, \ Nt \ Y])) \lor using assms by (induction \lor Nt \ Y::('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ sym \lor x \ rule: P8\_sym.induct)
auto
```

3.7 Reg and Reg_sym

This is the regular language, where one takes the Start symbol as a parameter, and then has the searched for $R := R_A$:

```
definition Reg :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ list \ set \rangle where
  \langle Reg \ A = \{x. \ (P1 \ x) \land \}
    (successively P2 x) \land
    (successively P3 x) \land
    (successively P \not\downarrow x) \land
    (P5 \ A \ x)\}
lemma RegI[intro]:
  assumes \langle (P1 \ x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively \ P2 \ x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P3 x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P \not\downarrow x) \rangle
    and \langle (P5 \ A \ x) \rangle
  shows \langle x \in Reg \ A \rangle
  using assms unfolding Reg_def by blast
lemma RegD[dest]:
  assumes \langle x \in Reg A \rangle
  shows \langle (P1 \ x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P2 x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P3 x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P_4 x) \rangle
    and \langle (P5 \ A \ x) \rangle
  using assms unfolding Reg_def by blast+
     A version of Reg for symbols, i.e. strings that may still contain Nt's. It
has 2 more Properties P7 and P8 that vanish for pure terminal strings:
definition Reg\_sym :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow ('n, ('n,'t) \ bracket3) \ syms \ set \rangle where
  \langle Reg\_sym \ A = \{x. \ (P1\_sym \ x) \land \}
     (successively P2 \ sym \ x) \land
     (successively P3\_sym x) \land
     (successively P4\_sym x) \land
     (P5\_sym\ A\ x)\ \land
     (successively\ P7\_sym\ x)\ \land
     (successively P8\_sym x)\}
lemma Reg\_symI[intro]:
  assumes \langle P1 \mid sym \mid x \rangle
    and \langle successively P2\_sym x \rangle
    and \( successively P3_sym x \)
    and \langle successively P4\_sym x \rangle
    and \langle P5\_sym \ A \ x \rangle
    and \langle (successively\ P7\_sym\ x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P8\_sym x) \rangle
  shows \langle x \in Reg\_sym \ A \rangle
```

```
using assms unfolding Reg_sym_def by blast
lemma Reg\_symD[dest]:
  assumes \langle x \in Reg\_sym A \rangle
  shows <P1_sym x>
   and \langle successively P2\_sym x \rangle
    and \langle successively P3\_sym x \rangle
    and \langle successively P4\_sym x \rangle
    and \langle P5\_sym\ A\ x\rangle
    and \langle (successively\ P7\_sym\ x) \rangle
    and \langle (successively P8\_sym x) \rangle
  using assms unfolding Reg_sym_def by blast+
lemma Reg\_for\_tm\_if\_Reg\_sym[dest]: \langle (map\ Tm\ x) \in Reg\_sym\ A \Longrightarrow x \in Reg
\mathbf{by}(rule\ RegI)\ auto
4
      Showing Regularity
context locale P
begin
abbreviation brackets::\langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ list \ set \rangle where
  \langle brackets \equiv \{bs. \ \forall (\underline{\ },p,\underline{\ }) \in set \ bs. \ p \in P\} \rangle
     This is needed for the construction that shows P2,P3,P4 regular.
datatype 'a state = start | garbage | letter 'a
definition allStates :: \langle ('n,'t) | bracket3 | state | set \rangle where
  \langle allStates = \{ letter (br,(p,v)) \mid br \ p \ v. \ p \in P \} \cup \{ start, \ garbage \} \rangle
lemma allStatesI: \langle p \in P \Longrightarrow letter\ (br,(p,v)) \in allStates \rangle
  unfolding allStates_def by blast
lemma start_in\_allStates[simp]: \langle start \in allStates \rangle
  unfolding allStates_def by blast
lemma garbage\_in\_allStates[simp]: \langle garbage \in allStates \rangle
 unfolding allStates def by blast
lemma finite_allStates_if:
  shows \( finite(\ allStates) \)
proof -
  define S::\langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ state \ set \rangle where S = \{letter \ (br, \ (p, \ v)) \mid br \ p \ v. \ p \}
 have 1:S = (\lambda(br, p, v), letter(br, (p, v))) \cdot (\{True, False\} \times P \times \{One, Two\})
    unfolding S_def by (auto simp: image_iff intro: version.exhaust)
  have finite (\{True, False\} \times P \times \{One, Two\})
```

```
using finiteP by simp
  then have \langle finite\ ((\lambda(br, p, v).\ letter\ (br, (p, v)))\ '(\{True, False\} \times P \times \{One, v\}))
Two\}))\rangle
    by blast
  then have \langle finite S \rangle
    unfolding 1 by blast
  then have finite (S \cup \{start, garbage\})
  then show \langle finite (allStates) \rangle
    unfolding allStates_def S_def by blast
qed
end
         An automaton for \{xs.\ successively\ Q\ xs \land xs \in brackets\ P\}
locale successivelyConstruction = locale P where P = P for P :: ('n,'t) Prods
fixes Q::('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool - e.g. P2
begin
fun succNext :: \langle ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ state \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ state \rangle
  \langle succNext\ garbage \_ = garbage \rangle
  \langle succNext \ start \ (br', p', v') = (if \ p' \in P \ then \ letter \ (br', p', v') \ else \ garbage \ ) \rangle
  \langle succNext\ (letter\ (br,\ p,\ v))\ (br',\ p',\ v') = \ (if\ Q\ (br,p,v)\ (br',p',v')\ \land\ p\in P\ \land
p' \in P \text{ then letter } (br',p',v') \text{ else } garbage) \rangle
theorem succNext\_induct[case\_names\ garbage\ startp\ startnp\ letterQ\ letternQ]:
  fixes R :: ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ state \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool
  fixes a0 :: ('n,'t)  bracket3 state
    and a1 :: ('n,'t) \ bracket3
  assumes \bigwedge u. R garbage u
    and \bigwedge br' \ p' \ v'. p' \in P \Longrightarrow R \ state.start \ (br', \ p', \ v')
    and \bigwedge br' \ p' \ v'. p' \notin P \Longrightarrow R \ state.start \ (br', \ p', \ v')
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v \ br' \ p' \ v'. Q \ (br,p,v) \ (br',p',v') \ \land \ p \in P \ \land \ p' \in P \Longrightarrow R \ (letter
(br, p, v)) (br', p', v')
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v \ br' \ p' \ v'. \neg (Q \ (br, p, v) \ (br', p', v') \land p \in P \land p' \in P) \Longrightarrow R \ (letter
(br, p, v)) (br', p', v')
  shows R a\theta a1
by (metis assms prod_cases3 state.exhaust)
abbreviation aut where \langle aut \equiv (dfa.states = allStates,
                      init = start,
                      final = (allStates - \{garbage\}),
                      nxt = succNext \rangle
interpretation aut : dfa aut
```

proof(unfold locales, goal cases)

```
case 1
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case 2
 then show ?case by simp
next
 case (3 q x)
 then show ?case
   by(induction rule: succNext\_induct[of\_qx]) (auto simp: allStatesI)
next
 case 4
 then show ?case
   using finiteP by (simp add: finite_allStates_if)
qed
lemma nextl in allStates[intro,simp]: \langle q \in allStates \implies aut.nextl \ q \ ys \in all-
 using aut.nxt by(induction ys arbitrary: q) auto
lemma nextl\_garbage[simp]: \langle aut.nextl\ garbage\ xs = garbage \rangle
\mathbf{by}(induction \ xs) \ auto
lemma drop\_right: \langle xs@ys \in aut.language \implies xs \in aut.language \rangle
proof(induction ys)
 case (Cons a ys)
 then have \langle xs @ [a] \in aut.language \rangle
   using aut.language_def aut.nextl_app by fastforce
 then have \langle xs \in aut.language \rangle
   using aut.language_def by force
 then show ?case by blast
qed auto
lemma state\_after1[iff]: \langle (succNext \ q \ a \neq garbage) = (succNext \ q \ a = letter \ a) \rangle
by(induction q a rule: succNext.induct) (auto split: if_splits)
lemma state\_after\_in\_P[intro]: \langle succNext \ q \ (br, \ p, \ v) \neq garbage \Longrightarrow p \in P \rangle
\mathbf{by}(induction\ q \ \langle (br,\ p,\ v) \rangle\ rule:\ succNext\_induct)\ auto
lemma drop_left_general: \langle aut.next| start \ ys = garbage \Longrightarrow aut.next| \ q \ ys =
garbage
proof(induction ys)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
 case (Cons a ys)
 show ?case
   by(rule succNext.elims[of q a])(use Cons.prems in auto)
\mathbf{qed}
```

```
lemma drop\_left: \langle xs@ys \in aut.language \implies ys \in aut.language \rangle
  unfolding aut.language_def
  by(induction xs arbitrary: ys) (auto dest: drop_left_general)
lemma empty in aut: \langle [] \in aut.language \rangle
  unfolding aut.language_def by simp
lemma singleton in aut iff: \langle [(br, p, v)] \in aut.language \longleftrightarrow p \in P \rangle
  unfolding aut.language_def by simp
lemma duo\_in\_aut\_iff: \langle [(br, p, v), (br', p', v')] \in aut.language \longleftrightarrow Q (br, p, v)
(br',p',v') \land p \in P \land p' \in P
 unfolding aut.language_def by auto
lemma trio\_in\_aut\_iff: \langle (br, p, v) \# (br', p', v') \# zs \in aut.language \longleftrightarrow
(br, p, v) (br', p', v') \land p \in P \land p' \in P \land (br', p', v') \# zs \in aut.language \land
proof(standard, goal_cases)
 case 1
  with drop\_left have *:\langle (br', p', v') \# zs \in aut.language \rangle
   by (metis append_Cons append_Nil)
  from drop\_right\ 1 have \langle [(br,\ p,\ v),\ (br',\ p',\ v')] \in aut.language \rangle
   by simp
  with duo\_in\_aut\_iff have **: \langle Q(br,p,v)(br',p',v') \land p \in P \land p' \in P \rangle
   by blast
  from * ** show ?case by simp
next
  then show ?case unfolding aut.language_def by auto
qed
lemma aut_lang_iff_succ_Q: \langle (successively \ Q \ xs \land xs \in brackets) \longleftrightarrow (xs \in brackets) \longleftrightarrow (xs \in brackets)
aut.language)
proof(induction xs rule: induct_list012)
  case 1
  then show ?case using empty_in_aut by auto
next
  case (2 x)
 then show ?case
   using singleton in aut iff by auto
next
  case (3 x y zs)
  show ?case
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ x)
   case (fields br p v)
   then have x_eq: \langle x = (br, p, v) \rangle
     by simp
   then show ?thesis
   proof(cases y)
     case (fields br' p' v')
```

```
then have y_eq: \langle y = (br', p', v') \rangle
      by simp
     have \langle (x \# y \# zs \in aut.language) \longleftrightarrow Q (br,p,v) (br',p',v') \land p \in P \land p \in A
p' \in P \land (br', p', v') \# zs \in aut.language
       unfolding x_eq y_eq using trio_in_aut_iff by blast
      also have \langle ... \rangle \leftarrow Q \ (br,p,v) \ (br',p',v') \ \land \ p \in P \land \ p' \in P \land 
(successively Q ((br',p',v') \# zs) \land (br',p',v') \# zs \in brackets)\land
       using \beta unfolding x_eq y_eq by blast
                         \longleftrightarrow successively Q((br,p,v) \# (br',p',v') \# zs) \land (br,p,v)
     also have ⟨...
\# (br', p', v') \# zs \in brackets
      by force
     also have ⟨...
                       \longleftrightarrow successively Q(x \# y \# zs) \land x \# y \# zs \in brackets
       unfolding x_eq y_eq by blast
     finally show ?thesis by blast
   qed
 qed
qed
xs \in brackets\}
 using aut.regular_dfa aut_lang_iff_succ_Q by auto
end
4.2
       Regularity of P2, P3 and P4
context locale P
begin
lemma P2 regular:
 shows \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively \ P2 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
proof-
 interpret successivelyConstruction P P2
   by(unfold locales)
 show ?thesis using regular_successively_inter_brackets by blast
qed
lemma P3 regular:
  \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively \ P3 \ xs \land \ xs \in brackets \} \rangle
proof-
 interpret successivelyConstruction P P3
   \mathbf{by}(unfold\_locales)
 show ?thesis using regular_successively_inter_brackets by blast
qed
lemma P4_regular:
  \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively \ P \not \mid xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
proof-
 interpret successivelyConstruction P P4
```

```
by(unfold locales)
  show ?thesis using regular_successively_inter_brackets by blast
qed
4.3
         An automaton for P1
More Precisely, for the if not empty, then doesn't end in (Close_,1) part.
Then intersect with the other construction for P1' to get P1 regular.
\mathbf{datatype} \ P1\_State = last\_ok \mid last\_bad \mid garbage
     The good ending letters, are those that are not of the form (Close \_,
1).
fun good where
  \langle good \mid^1_p = False \rangle \mid
  \langle good\ (br,\ p,\ v) = True \rangle
fun nxt1 :: \langle P1\_State \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow P1\_State \rangle where
  \langle nxt1 \ garbage \_ = garbage \rangle
  \langle nxt1 | last\_ok\_(br, p, v) = (if p \notin P then garbage else (if good (br, p, v) then
last\_ok\_else\_last\_bad)) \rightarrow |
  \langle nxt1 | last\_bad (br, p, v) = (if p \notin P then garbage else (if good (br, p, v) then
last_ok_else_last_bad))>
theorem nxt1_induct[case_names garbage startp startnp letterQ]:
  fixes R :: P1\_State \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool
  fixes a0 :: P1\_State
    and a1 :: ('n,'t) \ bracket3
  assumes \bigwedge u. R garbage u
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \notin P \Longrightarrow R \ last\_ok \ (br, \ p, \ v)
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \in P \land good \ (br, \ p, \ v) \Longrightarrow R \ last\_ok \ (br, \ p, \ v)
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \in P \land \neg (good \ (br, \ p, \ v)) \Longrightarrow R \ last\_ok \ (br, \ p, \ v)
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \notin P \Longrightarrow R \ last\_bad \ (br, \ p, \ v)
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \in P \land good \ (br, \ p, \ v) \Longrightarrow R \ last\_bad \ (br, \ p, \ v)
    and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \in P \land \neg (good \ (br, \ p, \ v)) \Longrightarrow R \ last\_bad \ (br, \ p, \ v)
  shows R a\theta a1
by (metis (full_types) P1_State.exhaust assms prod_induct3)
```

```
interpretation p1_aut : dfa p1_aut
proof(unfold_locales, goal_cases)
  case 1
  then show ?case by simp
next
  case 2
  then show ?case by simp
```

 $init = last_ok,$ $final = \{last_ok\},$ $nxt = nxt1\}$

abbreviation p1 aut where $\langle p1$ aut $\equiv \{ dfa.states = \{ last ok, last bad, garbage \}, \}$

```
next
            case (3 q x)
            then show ?case
                       by(induction rule: nxt1_induct[of _ q x]) auto
next
            case 4
            then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{lemma} \ \mathit{nextl\_garbage\_iff[simp]:} \ \langle \mathit{p1\_aut.nextl} \ \mathit{last\_ok} \ \mathit{xs} = \mathit{garbage} \ \longleftrightarrow \ \mathit{xs} \notin
brackets
proof(induction xs rule: rev_induct)
          case Nil
          then show ?case by simp
next
            case (snoc \ x \ xs)
            then have \langle xs @ [x] \notin brackets \longleftrightarrow (xs \notin brackets \lor [x] \notin brackets) \rangle
                       by auto
            moreover have \langle (p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ (xs@[x]) = garbage) \longleftrightarrow
                             (p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ xs = garbage) \lor ((p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ (xs\ @\ [x]) =
garbage) \land (p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ (xs) \neq garbage)) \rangle
                       by auto
             ultimately show ?case using snoc
                       apply (cases x)
                          apply (simp)
                       by (smt\ (z3)\ P1\ State.exhaust\ P1\ State.simps(3,5)\ nxt1.simps(2,3))
qed
\mathbf{lemma}\ lang\_descr\_full:
             \langle (p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ xs = last\_ok \longleftrightarrow (xs = [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good\ (last\ xs) \land []
xs \in brackets))) \land
        (p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ xs = last\_bad \longleftrightarrow ((xs \neq [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land \neg good\ (last\ xs) \land xs \in [] \land xs \in
 brackets)))\rangle
proof(induction xs rule: rev_induct)
            case Nil
            then show ?case by auto
next
             case (snoc \ x \ xs)
            then show ?case
            \mathbf{proof}(cases \langle p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ (xs@[x]) = garbage \rangle)
                       case True
                       then show ?thesis using nextl_garbage_iff by fastforce
            next
                       case False
                       then have br: \langle xs \in brackets \rangle \langle [x] \in brackets \rangle
                                   using nextl_garbage_iff by fastforce+
                             with snoc consider \langle (p1\_aut.nextl\ last\_ok\ xs = last\_ok) \rangle \mid \langle
last_ok xs = last_bad)
                                   using nextl_garbage_iff by blast
```

```
then show ?thesis
   proof(cases)
     case 1
     then show ?thesis using br by(cases \langle good x \rangle) auto
   next
     case 2
     then show ?thesis using br by(cases \langle good x \rangle) auto
   qed
  qed
qed
lemma lang\_descr: \langle xs \in p1\_aut.language \longleftrightarrow (xs = [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good (last
xs) \land xs \in brackets))\rangle
 unfolding p1_aut.language_def using lang_descr_full by auto
lemma good\_iff[simp]:\langle (\forall a \ b. \ last \ xs \neq ]^1_{(a, b)} \rangle = good \ (last \ xs) \rangle
 by (metis good.simps(1) good.elims(3) split_pairs)
lemma in\_P1\_iff: \langle (P1 \ xs \land xs \in brackets) \longleftrightarrow (xs = [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good \ (last
xs) \land xs \in brackets)) \land successively P1' xs \land xs \in brackets)
 using good_iff by auto
corollary P1\_eq: \langle \{xs. \ P1 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} =
  \{xs.\ successively\ P1'\ xs\ \land\ xs\in brackets\}\ \cap\ \{xs.\ xs=[]\lor (xs\neq []\land good)\}
(last \ xs) \land xs \in brackets)\}
 using in_P1_iff by blast
lemma P1'_regular:
  shows \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively P1' \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
proof-
 interpret successivelyConstruction P P1'
   by(unfold_locales)
 show ?thesis using regular_successively_inter_brackets by blast
qed
corollary aux_regular: \langle regular | \{ xs. | xs = [] \lor (xs \neq [] \land good (last | xs) \land xs \in [] \}
brackets)}>
  using lang_descr p1_aut.regular_dfa p1_aut.language_def by simp
corollary regular_P1: \langle regular \{ xs. \ P1 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
  unfolding P1_eq using P1'_regular aux_regular using regular_Int by blast
end
4.4
        An automaton for P5
locale P5Construction = locale\_P where P=P for P :: ('n,'t)Prods +
fixes A :: 'n
begin
```

```
datatype P5\_State = start \mid first\_ok \mid garbage
          The good/ok ending letters, are those that are not of the form (Close
, 1).
fun ok where
    \langle ok \; (Open \; ((X, \_), \; One)) = (X = A) \rangle \mid
    \langle ok \_ = False \rangle
fun nxt2 :: \langle P5\_State \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow P5\_State \rangle where
    \langle nxt2 \ garbage \_ = garbage \rangle
    \langle nxt2 \ start \ (br, (X, r), v) = (if (X, r) \notin P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P \ then \ qarbaqe \ else \ (if \ ok \ (br, (X, r), v) \in P
v) then first\_ok else garbage)) <math>\rightarrow
    \langle nxt2 \ first\_ok \ (br, p, v) = (if \ p \notin P \ then \ garbage \ else \ first\_ok) \rangle
theorem nxt2_induct | case_names garbage startnp start_p_ok start_p_nok first_ok_np
first\_ok\_p]:
    fixes R :: P5\_State \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ bracket3 \Rightarrow bool
    fixes a\theta :: P5\_State
        and a1 :: ('n,'t) \ bracket3
    assumes \bigwedge u. R garbage u
        and \bigwedge br \ p \ v. \ p \notin P \Longrightarrow R \ start \ (br, \ p, \ v)
        and \bigwedge br \ X \ r \ v. \ (X, \ r) \in P \ \land \ ok \ (br, \ (X, \ r), \ v) \Longrightarrow R \ start \ (br, \ (X, \ r), \ v)
        and \bigwedge br \ X \ r \ v. (X, \ r) \in P \land \neg ok \ (br, \ (X, \ r), \ v) \Longrightarrow R \ start \ (br, \ (X, \ r), \ v)
        and \bigwedge br \ X \ r \ v. \ (X, \ r) \notin P \implies R \ first\_ok \ (br, \ (X, \ r), \ v)
        and \bigwedge br \ X \ r \ v. \ (X, \ r) \in P \implies R \ first\_ok \ (br, \ (X, \ r), \ v)
    shows R a\theta a1
by (metis (full_types, opaque_lifting) P5_State.exhaust assms surj_pair)
abbreviation p5\_aut where \langle p5\_aut \equiv \{dfa.states = \{start, first\_ok, garbage\},
                                             init = start,
                                            final = \{first\_ok\},\
                                            nxt = nxt2)
interpretation p5 aut : dfa p5 aut
proof(unfold_locales, goal_cases)
    case 1
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case 2
    then show ?case by simp
next
    case (3 q x)
    then show ?case by(induction rule: nxt2_induct[of _ q x]) auto
next
    case 4
    then show ?case by simp
qed
```

```
corollary nxt2\_start\_ok\_iff: \langle ok \ x \land fst(snd \ x) \in P \longleftrightarrow nxt2 \ start \ x = first\_ok \rangle
by(auto elim!: nxt2.elims ok.elims split: if_splits)
lemma empty not in lang[simp]:\langle [] \notin p5 aut.language \rangle
 unfolding p5_aut.language_def by auto
brackets)
 unfolding p5_aut.language_def using nxt2_start_ok_iff by (cases x) fastforce
\mathbf{lemma} \ singleton\_first\_ok\_iff : \langle p5\_aut.nextl \ start \ ([x]) = first\_ok \lor p5\_aut.nextl
start([x]) = garbage
by(cases x) (auto split: if_splits)
lemma first_ok_iff: \langle xs \neq [] \implies p5_aut.nextl start xs = first_ok \lor p5_aut.nextl
start \ xs = qarbaqe
proof(induction xs rule: rev induct)
 case Nil
 then show ?case by blast
next
 case (snoc \ x \ xs)
 then show ?case
 \mathbf{proof}(cases \langle xs = [] \rangle)
   {f case} True
   then show ?thesis unfolding True using singleton_first_ok_iff by auto
 next
    with snoc have \langle p5 \mid aut.nextl \ start \ xs = first \ ok \lor p5 \ aut.nextl \ start \ xs =
garbage
     by blast
   then show ?thesis
     \mathbf{by}(cases\ x)\ (auto\ split:\ if\_splits)
 qed
qed
lemma lang\_descr: \langle xs \in p5\_aut.language \longleftrightarrow (xs \neq [] \land ok (hd xs) \land xs \in
brackets)
proof(induction xs rule: rev_induct)
 case (snoc \ x \ xs)
 then have IH: \langle (xs \in p5\_aut.language) = (xs \neq [] \land ok (hd xs) \land xs \in brackets) \rangle
   by blast
 then show ?case
 \mathbf{proof}(\mathit{cases}\ \mathit{xs})
   {\bf case}\ Nil
   then show ?thesis using singleton_in_lang_iff by auto
   case (Cons y ys)
   then have xs\_eq: \langle xs = y \# ys \rangle
```

```
by blast
    then show ?thesis
    \mathbf{proof}(cases \langle xs \in p5\_aut.language \rangle)
      case True
      then have \langle (xs \neq [] \land ok \ (hd \ xs) \land xs \in brackets) \rangle
        using IH by blast
      then show ?thesis
        using p5 aut.language def snoc by(cases x) auto
    next
      case False
      then have \langle p5\_aut.nextl\ start\ xs = garbage \rangle
        unfolding p5_aut.language_def using first_ok_iff[of xs] Cons by auto
      then have \langle p5\_aut.nextl\ start\ (xs@[x]) = garbage \rangle
     then show ?thesis using IH unfolding xs_eq p5_aut.language_def by auto
    qed
  qed
qed simp
lemma in P5_iff: \langle P5 | A | xs \wedge xs \in brackets \longleftrightarrow (xs \neq [] \wedge ok (hd | xs) \wedge xs \in brackets
brackets)
  using P5.elims(3) by fastforce
corollary aux\_regular: \langle regular \{ xs. \ xs \neq [] \land ok \ (hd \ xs) \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
  using lang_descr p5_aut.regular_dfa p5_aut.language_def by simp
lemma regular_P5:\langle regular \{ xs. \ P5 \ A \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
  using in_P5_iff aux_regular by presburger
end
context locale_P
begin
\mathbf{corollary}\ regular\_Reg\_inter: \langle regular\ (\mathit{brackets}\ \cap\ Reg\ A) \rangle
proof-
  interpret P5Construction PA..
  from finiteP have regs: \langle regular \{ xs. P1 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
    \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively \ P2 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
    \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively \ P3 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
    \langle regular \{ xs. \ successively \ P \not \mid xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
    \langle regular \{ xs. \ P5 \ A \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \rangle
    using regular_P1 P2_regular P3_regular P4_regular regular_P5
    by blast+
  hence \langle regular \ (\{xs. \ P1 \ xs \land xs \in brackets\} \ \cap
    \{xs.\ successively\ P2\ xs \land xs \in brackets\} \cap
    \{xs.\ successively\ P3\ xs \land xs \in brackets\} \cap
```

```
\{xs.\ successively\ P4\ xs \land xs \in brackets\} \cap
    \{xs. \ P5 \ A \ xs \land xs \in brackets\})
    by (meson regular_Int)
  moreover have set\_eq: \langle \{xs. \ P1 \ xs \land xs \in brackets \} \cap
           \{xs.\ successively\ P2\ xs\ \land\ xs\in brackets\}\ \cap
           \{xs.\ successively\ P3\ xs \land xs \in brackets\} \cap
           \{xs.\ successively\ P4\ xs \land xs \in brackets\} \cap
           \{xs. \ P5 \ A \ xs \land xs \in brackets\}
  = brackets \cap Reg \land A \mapsto \mathbf{by} \ auto
 ultimately show ?thesis by argo
qed
     A lemma saying that all Dyck_lang words really only consist of brackets
(trivial definition wrangling):
\mathbf{lemma}\ \textit{Dyck\_lang\_subset\_brackets}: \langle \textit{Dyck\_lang}\ (\textit{P}\ \times\ \{\textit{One},\ \textit{Two}\}) \subseteq \textit{brackets} \rangle
  unfolding Dyck_lang_def using Ball_set by auto
end
      Definitions of L, \Gamma, P', L'
5
locale \ Chomsky\_Schuetzenberger\_locale = locale\_P \ where \ P = P \ for \ P :: ('n,'t) Prods
fixes S :: 'n
assumes CNF\_P: \langle CNF P \rangle
begin
lemma P\_CNFE[dest]:
 assumes \langle \pi \in P \rangle
 shows \langle \exists A \ a \ B \ C. \ \pi = (A, [Nt \ B, Nt \ C]) \lor \pi = (A, [Tm \ a]) \rangle
 using assms CNF_P unfolding CNF_def by fastforce
definition L where
  \langle L = Lang \ P \ S \rangle
definition \Gamma where
  \langle \Gamma = P \times \{One, Two\} \rangle
definition P' where
  \langle P' = transform\_prod 'P \rangle
definition L' where
  \langle L' = Lang P' S \rangle
```

```
6 Lemmas for P' \vdash A \Rightarrow^* x \longleftrightarrow x \in R_A \cap Dyck\_lang \Gamma
```

```
lemma prod1\_snds\_in\_tm [intro, simp]: \langle (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \in P \Longrightarrow snds\_in\_tm
\Gamma (wrap2 A B C)
  unfolding snds\_in\_tm\_def using \Gamma\_def by auto
lemma prod2\_snds\_in\_tm [intro, simp]: \langle (A, [Tm \ a]) \in P \implies snds\_in\_tm \Gamma
(wrap1 \ A \ a)
  unfolding snds\_in\_tm\_def using \Gamma\_def by auto
lemma bal\_tm\_wrap1[iff]: \langle bal\_tm (wrap1 A a) \rangle
unfolding bal_tm_def by (simp add: bal_iff_bal_stk)
lemma bal tm \ wrap2[iff]: \langle bal \ tm \ (wrap2 \ A \ B \ C) \rangle
unfolding bal_tm_def by (simp add: bal_iff_bal_stk)
    This essentially says, that the right sides of productions are in the Dyck
language of \Gamma, if one ignores any occurring nonterminals. This will be needed
for \rightarrow.
lemma bal_tm_transform_rhs[intro!]:
  \langle (A,\alpha) \in P \Longrightarrow bal\_tm \ (transform\_rhs \ A \ \alpha) \rangle
by auto
lemma snds_in_tm_transform_rhs[intro!]:
  \langle (A,\alpha) \in P \Longrightarrow snds\_in\_tm \ \Gamma \ (transform\_rhs \ A \ \alpha) \rangle
  using P\_CNFE by (fastforce)
    The lemma for \rightarrow
lemma P'_imp_bal:
  assumes \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ A] \Rightarrow * x \rangle
 shows \langle bal\_tm \ x \land snds\_in\_tm \ \Gamma \ x \rangle
  using assms proof(induction rule: derives_induct)
  case base
  then show ?case unfolding snds_in_tm_def by auto
next
  case (step \ u \ A \ v \ w)
 have \langle bal\_tm \ (u @ [Nt A] @ v) \rangle and \langle snds\_in\_tm \ \Gamma \ (u @ [Nt A] @ v) \rangle
   using step.IH step.prems by auto
 obtain w' where w'_def: \langle w = transform\_rhs \ A \ w' \rangle and A\_w'_in_P: \langle (A, w')
   using P'\_def step.hyps(2) by force
 have bal\_tm\_w: \langle bal\_tm w \rangle
   using bal\_tm\_transform\_rhs[OF \langle (A, w') \in P \rangle] w'_def by auto
  then have \langle bal\_tm (u @ w @ v) \rangle
     using \langle bal\_tm \ (u @ [Nt A] @ v) \rangle by (metis \ bal\_tm\_empty \ bal\_tm\_inside
bal\_tm\_prepend\_Nt)
  moreover have \langle snds \ in \ tm \ \Gamma \ (u @ w @ v) \rangle
```

```
using snds\_in\_tm\_transform\_rhs[OF \langle (A, w') \in P \rangle] \langle snds\_in\_tm \ \Gamma \ (u @ [Nt]) \rangle
A] @ v) \rightarrow w'\_def  by (simp)
 ultimately show ?case using \langle bal\_tm \ (u @ w @ v) \rangle by blast
    Another lemma for \rightarrow
lemma P'_imp_Reg:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ T] \Rightarrow \ast \ x \rangle
  shows \langle x \in Reg\_sym \ T \rangle
  using assms proof(induction rule: derives_induct)
  \mathbf{case}\ base
  show ?case by(rule Reg_symI) simp_all
next
  case (step\ u\ A\ v\ w)
  have uAv: \langle u @ [Nt A] @ v \in Reg\_sym T \rangle
   using step by blast
  have \langle (A, w) \in P' \rangle
   using step by blast
 then obtain w' where w'\_def: \langle transform\_prod(A, w') = (A, w) \rangle and \langle (A, w') \rangle
\in P
     by (smt (verit, best) transform_prod.simps P'_def P_CNFE fst_conv im-
age\_iff)
 then obtain B \ C \ a where w_eq: \langle w = wrap1 \ A \ a \lor w = wrap2 \ A \ B \ C \rangle (is \langle w \rangle
= ?w1 \lor w = ?w2)
   by fastforce
  then have w\_resym: \langle w \in Reg\_sym A \rangle
   by auto
  have P5\_uAv: \langle P5\_sym\ T\ (u\ @\ [Nt\ A]\ @\ v)\rangle
   using Req_symD[OF\ uAv] by blast
  have P1\_uAv: \langle P1\_sym \ (u @ [Nt A] @ v) \rangle
   using Reg\_symD[OF\ uAv] by blast
  have left: \langle successively P1'\_sym (u@w) \land
             successively P2\_sym (u@w) \land
             successively P3\_sym (u@w) \land
             successively P4\_sym (u@w) \land
             successively P7\_sym (u@w) \land
             successively P8 sym (u@w)
  proof(cases u rule: rev_cases)
   case Nil
   then show ?thesis using w_eq by auto
  next
   case (snoc \ ys \ y)
   then have \langle successively\ P7\_sym\ (ys\ @\ [y]\ @\ [Nt\ A]\ @\ v)\rangle
     using Reg\_symD[OF\ uAv]\ snoc\ by\ auto
   then have \langle P7\_sym\ y\ (Nt\ A)\rangle
     by (simp add: successively_append_iff)
   then obtain R X Y v' where y_eq: \langle y = (Tm (Open((R, [Nt X, Nt Y]), v'))) \rangle
```

```
and \langle v' = One \Longrightarrow A = X \rangle and \langle v' = Two \Longrightarrow A = Y \rangle
     by blast
   then have \langle P3\_sym\ y\ (hd\ w)\rangle
     using w_eq \langle P7\_sym\ y\ (Nt\ A) \rangle by force
   hence \langle P1'\_sym \ (last \ (ys@[y])) \ (hd \ w) \land
         P2\_sym (last (ys@[y])) (hd w) \land
         P3\_sym (last (ys@[y])) (hd w) \land
         P4\_sym (last (ys@[y])) (hd w) \land
         P7\_sym (last (ys@[y])) (hd w) \land
         P8\_sym (last (ys@[y])) (hd w)
     unfolding y_eq using w_eq by auto
   with Reg_symD[OF uAv] moreover have
      \langle successively\ P1\,'\_sym\ (ys\ @\ [y])\ \wedge
    successively P2\_sym (ys @ [y]) \land
    successively P3\_sym (ys @ [y]) \land
    successively P4 sym (ys @ [y]) \wedge
    successively\ P7\_sym\ (ys\ @\ [y])\ \land
    successively P8\_sym (ys @ [y])
     unfolding snoc using successively_append_iff by blast
    ultimately show
      \land successively \ P1\,'\_sym\ (u@w)\ \land
    successively P2\_sym (u@w) \land
    successively P3\_sym (u@w) \land
    successively P4\_sym (u@w) \land
    successively P7\_sym (u@w) \land
    successively P8\_sym (u@w)
    unfolding snoc using Reg_symD[OF w_resym] using successively_append_iff
by blast
  qed
 have right: \langle successively P1'\_sym (w@v) \land
              successively P2\_sym (w@v) \land
              successively P3\_sym (w@v) \land
              successively P4\_sym (w@v) \land
              successively P7\_sym (w@v) \land
              successively P8\_sym (w@v)
 proof(cases v)
   case Nil
   then show ?thesis using w_eq by auto
  next
   case (Cons \ y \ ys)
   then have \langle successively \ P8\_sym \ ([Nt \ A] @ y \# ys) \rangle
     using Reg_symD[OF uAv] Cons using successively_append_iff by blast
   then have \langle P8\_sym\ (Nt\ A)\ y\rangle
     by fastforce
   then obtain R X Y v' where y_eq: \langle y = (Tm (Close((R, [Nt X, Nt Y]), v'))) \rangle
and \langle v' = One \Longrightarrow A = X \rangle and \langle v' = Two \Longrightarrow A = Y \rangle
     \mathbf{bv} blast
   have \langle P1'\_sym \ (last \ w) \ (hd \ (y\#ys)) \ \land
        P2\_sym (last w) (hd (y\#ys)) \land
```

```
P3\_sym (last w) (hd (y\#ys)) \land
       P4\_sym (last w) (hd (y\#ys)) \land
       P7\_sym (last w) (hd (y\#ys)) \land
        P8\_sym (last w) (hd (y\#ys))
     unfolding y_eq using w_eq by auto
   with Reg_symD[OF uAv] moreover have
     \langle successively\ P1'\_sym\ (y\ \#\ ys)\ \wedge
    successively P2\_sym (y \# ys) \land
    successively P3\_sym (y \# ys) \land
    successively P4\_sym (y \# ys) \land
    successively P7\_sym (y \# ys) \land
    successively P8\_sym (y \# ys)
     unfolding Cons by (metis P1_symD successively_append_iff)
   ultimately show \langle successively\ P1'\_sym\ (w@v)\ \wedge
                  successively P2\_sym (w@v) \land
                  successively P3 sym (w@v) \land
                  successively \ P4\_sym \ (w@v) \ \land
                  successively\ P7\_sym\ (w@v)\ \land
                  successively P8\_sym (w@v)
    unfolding Cons using Req_symD[OF w_resym] successively append iff by
blast
  qed
 from left right have P1\_uwv: \langle successively P1'\_sym (u@w@v) \rangle
    using w_eq by (metis (no_types, lifting) List.list.discI hd_append2 succes-
sively_append_iff)
  from left right have ch:
   \langle successively P2\_sym (u@w@v) \land
  successively P3\_sym (u@w@v) \land
  successively P4\_sym (u@w@v) \land
  successively P7\_sym (u@w@v) \land
  successively P8\_sym (u@w@v)
    using w_eq by (metis (no_types, lifting) List.list.discI hd_append2 succes-
sively_append_iff)
 moreover have \langle P5\_sym\ T\ (u@w@v)\rangle
   using w eq P5 uAv by (cases u) auto
  moreover have \langle P1 \mid sym \mid (u@w@v) \rangle
  proof(cases v rule: rev_cases)
   case Nil
   then have \langle \nexists p. \ last \ (u@w@v) = Tm \ (Close(p, One)) \rangle
     using w_eq by auto
   with P1\_uwv show \langle P1\_sym (u @ w @ v) \rangle
     by blast
 \mathbf{next}
   case (snoc \ vs \ v')
   then have \langle \exists p. \ last \ v = Tm \ (Close(p, One)) \rangle
    using P1_symD_not_empty[OF _ P1_uAv] by (metis Nil_is_append_conv
last_appendR not_Cons_self2)
```

```
then have \langle \not \exists p. \ last \ (u@w@v) = Tm \ (Close(p, One)) \rangle
      by (simp add: snoc)
    with P1\_uwv show \langle P1\_sym (u @ w @ v) \rangle
      by blast
  qed
  ultimately show \langle (u@w@v) \in Reg\_sym\ T \rangle
    by blast
qed
     This will be needed for the direction \leftarrow.
\mathbf{lemma} \ transform\_prod\_one\_step:
  \mathbf{assumes} \ \langle \pi \in P \rangle
  \mathbf{shows} \, \, \langle P' \vdash [\mathit{Nt} \, (\mathit{fst} \, \, \pi)] \Rightarrow \mathit{snd} \, \, (\mathit{transform\_prod} \, \, \pi) \rangle
proof-
  obtain w' where w'_def: \langle transform\_prod \ \pi = (fst \ \pi, \ w') \rangle
    by (metis fst_eqD transform_prod.simps surj_pair)
  then have \langle (fst \ \pi, \ w') \in P' \rangle
    using assms by (simp add: P'_def rev_image_eqI)
  then show ?thesis
    by (simp add: w'_def derive_singleton)
qed
     The lemma for \leftarrow
lemma Reg_and_dyck_imp_P':
  assumes \langle x \in (Reg\ A \cap Dyck\_lang\ \Gamma) \rangle
  shows \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ A] \Rightarrow * map \ Tm \ x \rangle using assms
\mathbf{proof}(induction \land length \ (map \ Tm \ x)) \land arbitrary: A \ x \ rule: less\_induct)
  case less
  then have IH: \langle \bigwedge w H. \text{ [[length (map Tm w) < length (map Tm x); } w \in Reg H
\cap Dyck\_lang (\Gamma) \Longrightarrow
                    P' \vdash [Nt \ H] \Rightarrow * map \ Tm \ w
    using less by simp
  have xReg: \langle x \in Reg \ A \rangle and xDL: \langle x \in Dyck\_lang \ (\Gamma) \rangle
    using less by blast+
  have p1x: \langle P1 x \rangle
    and p2x: \langle successively P2 x \rangle
    and p3x: \langle successively P3 x \rangle
    and p4x: \langle successively P4 x \rangle
    and p5x: \langle P5 \ A \ x \rangle
    using RegD[OF xReg] by blast+
  from p5x obtain \pi t where hd_x: \langle hd \ x = [1_{\pi}\rangle \text{ and } pi\_def: \langle \pi = (A, t)\rangle
    by (metis\ List.list.sel(1)\ P5.elims(2))
  with xReg have \langle [^1_{\pi} \in set \ x \rangle
    by (metis\ List.list.sel(1)\ List.list.set\ intros(1)\ RegD(5)\ P5.elims(2))
  then have pi_in_P: \langle \pi \in P \rangle
    using xDL unfolding Dyck\_lang\_def \Gamma\_def by fastforce
  have bal\_x: \langle bal \ x \rangle
```

```
using xDL by blast
  then have \langle \exists y \ r. \ bal \ y \wedge bal \ r \wedge [^1_{\pi} \ \# \ tl \ x = [^1_{\pi} \ \# \ y \ @ ]^1_{\pi} \ \# \ r \rangle
    using hd_x bal_x bal_Open_split[of \langle [1_{\pi} \rangle \langle tl x \rangle] p5x
    \mathbf{by}(case\_tac\ x)\ auto
  then obtain y r1 where \langle [^1\pi \ \# \ tl \ x \ = \ [^1\pi \ \# \ y \ @ \ ]^1\pi \ \# \ r1 \rangle and bal\_y:
\langle bal y \rangle and bal\_r1: \langle bal r1 \rangle
    by blast
  then have split1: \langle x = [^1\pi \# y @]^1\pi \# r1 \rangle
   using hd\_x by (metis\ List.list.exhaust\_sel\ List.list.set(1) < [^1_{\pi} \in set\ x > empty\_iff)
  have \langle r1 \neq [] \rangle
  \mathbf{proof}(rule\ ccontr)
    assume \langle \neg r1 \neq [] \rangle
    then have \langle last \ x = ]^1_{\pi} \rangle
      using split1 by(auto)
    then show \langle False \rangle
      using p1x using P1D_not_empty split1 by blast
  qed
  from p1x have hd\_r1: \langle hd \ r1 = [^2\pi \rangle
   using split1 \langle r1 \neq [] \rangle by (metis\ (no\_types,\ lifting)\ List.list.discI\ List.successively.elims(1)
P1'D P1.simps successively Cons successively append iff)
  from bal\_r1 have \langle \exists z \ r2. \ bal \ z \land bal \ r2 \land [^2_{\pi} \# tl \ r1 = [^2_{\pi} \# z @ ]^2_{\pi} \# r2 \rangle
    using bal\_Open\_split[of \langle [^2\pi\rangle \langle tl \ r1\rangle] \ hd\_r1 \langle r1 \neq []\rangle
    by(clarsimp simp add: neq_Nil_conv)
  then obtain z r 2 where split 2': \langle [^2_{\pi} \# tl \ r 1 = [^2_{\pi} \# z @ ]^2_{\pi} \# r 2 \rangle and
bal\_z: \langle bal z \rangle and bal\_r2: \langle bal r2 \rangle
    by blast+
  then have split2: \langle x = [1_{\pi} \# y @ ]1_{\pi} \# [2_{\pi} \# z @ ]2_{\pi} \# r2\rangle
    by (metis \langle r1 \neq [] \rangle hd\_r1 list.exhaust\_sel split1)
  have r2\_empty: \langle r2 = [] \rangle — prove that if r2 was not empty, it would need to
start with an open bracket, else it cant be balanced. But this cant be with P2.
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ r2)
    case (Cons r2' r2's)
    with bal_r2 obtain g where r2\_begin\_op: \langle r2' = (Open g) \rangle
      using bal_start_Open[of r2' r2's] using Cons by blast
    have \langle successively\ P2\ (\ ]^2\pi\ \#\ r2'\#\ r2's)\rangle
        using p2x unfolding split2 Cons successively_append_iff by (metis ap-
pend_Cons successively_append_iff)
    then have \langle P2 \rangle^2_{\pi} (r2') \rangle
      by fastforce
    with r2_begin_op have <False>
      by (metis P2.simps(1) split_pairs)
    then show ?thesis by blast
  qed blast
  then have split3: \langle x = [^1\pi \# y @]^1\pi \# [^2\pi \# z @[ ]^2\pi ] \rangle
    using split2 by blast
  consider (BC) \langle \exists B \ C. \ \pi = (A, [Nt \ B, Nt \ C]) \rangle \mid (a) \langle \exists a. \ \pi = (A, [Tm \ a]) \rangle
    using assms pi_in_P local.pi_def by fastforce
  then show \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ A] \Rightarrow * map \ Tm \ x \rangle
  proof(cases)
```

```
case BC
    then obtain B C where pi\_eq: \langle \pi = (A, [Nt \ B, \ Nt \ C]) \rangle
      by blast
    from split3 have y_successivelys:
      \langle successively P1'y \wedge
       successively P2 y \land
       successively P3 y \land
       successively P4 y>
    using P1.simps p1x p2x p3x p4x by (metis List.list.simps(3) Nil is append conv
successively_Cons successively_append_iff)
    have y\_not\_empty: \langle y \neq [] \rangle
      using p3x pi\_eq split1 by fastforce
    have \langle \not\equiv p. last y = ]_p^1 \rangle
    proof(rule ccontr)
      assume \langle \neg (\nexists p. \ last \ y = ]^1_p) \rangle
      then obtain p where last_y: \langle last \ y = ]^1_p \rangle
        by blast
      obtain butl where butl_def: \langle y = butl @ [last y] \rangle
       by (metis append_butlast_last_id y_not_empty)
      have \langle successively\ P1'\ ([^1\pi\ \#\ y\ @\ ]^1\pi\ \#\ [^2\pi\ \#\ z\ @[\ ]^2\pi\ ])\rangle
        using p1x \ split3 by auto
     then have \langle successively\ P1'([^1\pi\ \#\ (butl@[last\ y])\ @\ ]^1\pi\ \#\ [^2\pi\ \#\ z\ @[\ ]^2\pi
])>
        using butl_def by simp
      then have \langle successively\ P1'\ (([^1_\pi\ \#\ butl)\ @\ last\ y\ \#\ [\ ]^1_\pi]\ @\ [^2_\pi\ \#\ z\ @\ [
|^2\pi|)\rangle
        by (metis (no_types, opaque_lifting) Cons_eq_appendI append_assoc ap-
pend_self_conv2)
      then have \langle P1' ]^1p ]^1\pi \rangle
         using last_y by (metis (no_types, lifting) List.successively.simps(3) ap-
pend_Cons successively_append_iff)
      then show \langle False \rangle
        by simp
    qed
    with y\_successivelys have P1y: \langle P1 y \rangle
    with p3x \ pi\_eq have \langle \exists \ g. \ hd \ y = [^1_{(B,g)} \rangle
    using y_not_empty split3 by (metis (no_types, lifting) P3D1 append_is_Nil_conv
hd_append2 successively_Cons)
   then have \langle P5 \ B \ y \rangle
      by (metis \ \langle y \neq [] \ P5.simps(2) \ hd\_Cons\_tl)
    with y\_successivelys\ P1y have \langle y \in Reg\ B \rangle
   moreover have \langle y \in Dyck\_lang (\Gamma) \rangle
     using split3 bal_y Dyck_lang_substring by (metis append_Cons append_Nil
hd \ x \ split1 \ xDL)
    ultimately have \langle y \in Reg \ B \cap Dyck\_lang \ (\Gamma) \rangle
```

```
by force
    moreover have \langle length \ (map \ Tm \ y) < length \ (map \ Tm \ x) \rangle
      using length_append length_map lessI split3 by fastforce
    ultimately have der_y: \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ B] \Rightarrow * map \ Tm \ y \rangle
      using IH[of y B] split3 by blast
    from split3 have z\_successivelys:
      \langle successively\ P1\ 'z\ \wedge
     successively P2 z \land
     successively P3 z \land
     successively P4 z>
    using P1.simps p1x p2x p3x p4x by (metis List.list.simps(3) Nil_is_append_conv
successively_Cons successively_append_iff)
    then have successively P3: \langle successively P3 (([^1_{\pi} \# y @ []^1_{\pi}]) @ [^2_{\pi} \# z]) \rangle
@[]^2\pi])
      using split3 p3x by (metis List.append.assoc append_Cons append_Nil)
    have z not empty: \langle z \neq [] \rangle
    using p3x pi\_eq split1 successively\_P3 by (metis List.list.distinct(1) List.list.sel(1)
append_Nil P3.simps(2) successively_Cons successively_append_iff)
    then have \langle P3 \mid^2_{\pi} (hd z) \rangle
    by (metis append_is_Nil_conv hd_append2 successively_Cons successively_P3
successively\_append\_iff)
    with p3x \ pi\_eq have \langle \exists \ g. \ hd \ z = [^1(C,q) \rangle
      \mathbf{using} \ split\_pairs \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
    then have \langle P5 \ C \ z \rangle
      by (metis\ List.list.exhaust\_sel\ \langle z \neq [] \rangle\ P5.simps(2))
    moreover have \langle P1 z \rangle
    proof-
      have \langle \nexists p. \ last \ z = ]^1_p \rangle
      proof(rule\ ccontr)
        assume \langle \neg (\nexists p. \ last \ z = ]^1 p) \rangle
        then obtain p where last_y: \langle last \ z = ]^1_p \rangle
        obtain butl where butl_def: \langle z = butl @ [last z] \rangle
          by (metis append_butlast_last_id z_not_empty)
        have \langle successively\ P1'\ ([^1\pi\ \#\ y\ @\ ]^1\pi\ \#\ [^2\pi\ \#\ z\ @[\ ]^2\pi\ ])\rangle
          using p1x split3 by auto
         then have \langle successively\ P1'\ ([^1\pi\ \#\ y\ @\ ]^1\pi\ \#\ [^2\pi\ \#\ butl\ @\ [last\ z]\ @[
]^2\pi
          using butl_def by (metis append_assoc)
         then have \langle successively~P1~'~(([^1\pi~~\#~y~@~]^1\pi~\#~[^2\pi~\#~butl)~@~last~z~\#~[
             \mathbf{by}\ (\mathit{metis}\ (\mathit{no\_types},\ \mathit{opaque\_lifting})\ \mathit{Cons\_eq\_appendI}\ \mathit{append\_assoc}
append_self_conv2)
        then have \langle P1' ]^1_p ]^2_{\pi} \rangle
        \mathbf{using}\ last\_y\ \mathbf{by}\ (metis\ List.append.right\_neutral\ List.successively.simps (3)
successively append iff)
        then show \langle False \rangle
          by simp
      qed
```

```
then show \langle P1 \rangle
        using z\_successivelys by blast
    qed
    ultimately have \langle z \in Req C \rangle
      using z_successivelys by blast
    moreover have \langle z \in Dyck\_lang(\Gamma) \rangle
       using xDL[simplified\ split3]\ bal\_z\ Dyck\_lang\_substring[of\ z\ [^1_\pi\ \#\ y\ @\ ]^1_\pi
\# [^2_{\pi} \# [] []^2_{\pi}]]
      by auto
    ultimately have \langle z \in Reg \ C \cap Dyck\_lang \ (\Gamma) \rangle
      by force
    moreover have \langle length \ (map \ Tm \ z) < length \ (map \ Tm \ x) \rangle
      using length_append length_map lessI split3 by fastforce
    ultimately have der_z: \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ C] \Rightarrow * map \ Tm \ z \rangle
      using IH[of z C] split3 by blast
    \mathbf{have} \ \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ A] \Rightarrow \ast [\ Tm \ [^1_{\pi} \ ] \ @ \ [(Nt \ B)] \ @ \ [Tm \ ]^1_{\pi} \ , \ Tm \ [^2_{\pi} \ ] \ @ \ [(Nt \ B)]
C) @ [ Tm ]^{2}\pi
                       ]>
      using transform_prod_one_step[OF pi_in_P] using pi_eq by auto
    also have \langle P' \vdash [Tm \ [^1_{\pi} \ ] @ [(Nt \ B)] @ [Tm \ ]^1_{\pi} \ , \ Tm \ [^2_{\pi} \ ] @ [(Nt \ C)] @ [
Tm \mid^2_{\pi} \mid \Rightarrow * [Tm \mid^1_{\pi}] @ map Tm y @ [Tm \mid^1_{\pi}, Tm \mid^2_{\pi}] @ [(Nt C)] @
[Tm]^2\pi
      using der_y using derives_append derives_prepend by blast
    also have \langle P' \vdash [Tm \ [^1_{\pi} \ ] @ map \ Tm \ y \ @ [Tm \ ]^1_{\pi} \ , \ Tm \ [^2_{\pi} \ ] \ @ \ [(Nt \ C)] \ @
[ Tm \ [^1\pi \ ] @ map \ Tm \ y @ [Tm \ ]^1\pi \ , \ Tm \ [^2\pi \ ] @ (map \ Tm \ )^2\pi \ ]
      using der_z by (meson derives_append derives_prepend)
    finally have \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ A] \Rightarrow * [Tm \ ]^1_{\pi} ] @ map \ Tm \ y @ [Tm \ ]^1_{\pi} , Tm \ [^2_{\pi} ]
@ (map \ Tm \ z) @ [Tm \ ]^2_{\pi} }
    then show ?thesis using split3 by simp
  next
    case a
    then obtain a where pi\_eq: \langle \pi = (A, [Tm \ a]) \rangle
      by blast
    have \langle y = [] \rangle
    proof(cases y)
      case (Cons y' ys')
      have \langle P_4 | [^1_{\pi} y' \rangle
        using Cons append_Cons p4x split3 by (metis List.successively.simps(3))
      then have \langle y' = Close(\pi, One) \rangle
        using pi_eq P4D by auto
      moreover obtain g where \langle y' = (Open g) \rangle
        using Cons bal_start_Open bal_y by blast
      ultimately have (False)
        by blast
      then show ?thesis by blast
    qed blast
    have \langle z = [] \rangle
```

```
proof(cases z)
      case (Cons z' zs')
      have \langle P_4 | [^2_{\pi} z' \rangle
        using p4x split3 by (simp add: Cons \langle y = [] \rangle)
      then have \langle z' = Close(\pi, One) \rangle
        using pi_eq bal_start_Open bal_z local.Cons by blast
      moreover obtain g where \langle z' = (Open g) \rangle
        using Cons bal_start_Open bal_z by blast
      ultimately have (False)
        by blast
      then show ?thesis by blast
    qed blast
   \mathbf{have} \ \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ A] \Rightarrow \ast [ \ Tm \ [^1_\pi, \qquad Tm \ ]^1_\pi \ , \ Tm \ [^2_\pi \ , \qquad Tm \ ]^2_\pi \ ] \rangle
      using transform_prod_one_step[OF pi_in_P] pi_eq by auto
    then show ?thesis using \langle y = [] \rangle \langle z = [] \rangle by (simp add: split3)
  qed
qed
```

7 Showing h(L') = L

Particularly \supseteq is formally hard. To create the witness in L' we need to use the corresponding production in P' in each step. We do this by defining the transformation on the parse tree, instead of only the word. Simple induction on the derivation wouldn't (in the induction step) get us enough information on where the corresponding production needs to be applied in the transformed version.

```
abbreviation \langle roots \ ts \equiv map \ root \ ts \rangle
```

```
\mathbf{fun} \ \mathit{wrap1\_Sym} :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ \mathit{sym} \Rightarrow \mathit{version} \Rightarrow ('n,('n,'t) \ \mathit{bracket3}) \ \mathit{tree} \ \mathit{list} \rangle
where
  wrap1\_Sym\ A\ (Tm\ a)\ v = [Sym\ (Tm\ (Open\ ((A, [Tm\ a]),v))),\ Sym\ (Tm\ (Close
((A, [Tm \ a]), v)))] \mid
  \langle wrap1\_Sym\_\_ = [] \rangle
fun wrap2\_Sym :: \langle 'n \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ sym \Rightarrow ('n,'t) \ sym \Rightarrow version \Rightarrow ('n,('n,'t)
bracket3) tree \Rightarrow ('n, ('n, 't) bracket3) tree list <math>\rangle where
  wrap2\_Sym\ A\ (Nt\ B)\ (Nt\ C)\ v\ t = [Sym\ (Tm\ (Open\ ((A, [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C]),\ v))),\ t
, Sym (Tm (Close ((A, [Nt B, Nt C]), v)))]
  \(\sigma map 2_Sym _ _ _ _ = [] \)
fun transform\_tree :: ('n,'t) tree \Rightarrow ('n,('n,'t) bracket3) tree where
  \langle transform\_tree (Sym (Nt A)) = (Sym (Nt A)) \rangle
  \langle transform\_tree \ (Sym \ (Tm \ a)) = (Sym \ (Tm \ [^{1}(SOME \ A. \ True, \ [Tm \ a]))) \rangle \mid
   \langle transform\_tree \ (Rule \ A \ [Sym \ (Tm \ a)]) = Rule \ A \ ((wrap1\_Sym A \ (Tm \ a)))
One)@(wrap1\_Sym\ A\ (Tm\ a)\ Two)) > |
  \langle transform\_tree \ (Rule \ A \ [t1, \ t2]) = Rule \ A \ ((wrap2\_Sym \ A \ (root \ t1) \ (root \ t2))
One (transform\_tree\ t1)) @ (wrap2\_Sym\ A\ (root\ t1)\ (root\ t2)\ Two\ (transform\_tree
```

```
(t2)))\rangle
  \langle transform\_tree (Rule A y) = (Rule A []) \rangle
lemma root of transform tree[intro, simp]: \langle root \ t = Nt \ X \Longrightarrow root \ (transform \ tree
t) = Nt X
 by(induction t rule: transform_tree.induct) auto
lemma transform_tree_correct:
  assumes \langle parse\_tree\ P\ t\ \wedge\ fringe\ t=w\rangle
 shows \langle parse\_tree\ P'\ (transform\_tree\ t) \land hs\ (fringe\ (transform\_tree\ t)) = w \rangle
  using assms proof(induction t arbitrary: w)
  from Sym have pt: \langle parse\_tree\ P\ (Sym\ x) \rangle and \langle fringe\ (Sym\ x) = w \rangle
    by blast+
  then show ?case
  \mathbf{proof}(cases\ x)
    case (Nt \ x1)
    then have \langle transform\_tree (Sym \ x) = (Sym \ (Nt \ x1)) \rangle
      then show ?thesis using Sym by (metis Nt Parse_Tree.fringe.simps(1)
Parse_Tree.parse_tree.simps(1) the_hom_syms_keep_var)
  \mathbf{next}
    case (Tm \ x2)
   \textbf{then obtain } a \textbf{ where } \langle \textit{transform\_tree} \; (\textit{Sym} \; x) = (\textit{Sym} \; (\textit{Tm} \; [^1(\textit{SOME A. True}, \; [\textit{Tm} \; a]))) \rangle
      by simp
    then have \langle fringe ... = [Tm \ [^1(SOME A. True, [Tm \ a])] \rangle
      by simp
    then have \langle hs ... = [Tm \ a] \rangle
      bv simp
    then have \langle ... = w \rangle using Sym using Tm \langle transform\_tree (Sym x) = Sym
(Tm \ [^{1}(SOME A. True, [Tm \ a]))
      by force
     then show ?thesis using Sym by (metis Parse_Tree.parse_tree.simps(1)
\langle fringe\ (Sym\ (Tm\ [^1(SOME\ A.\ True,\ [Tm\ a])\ )) = [Tm\ [^1(SOME\ A.\ True,\ [Tm\ a])\ ]\rangle
 \text{(hs } [Tm \ [^1(SOME A. \ True, \ [Tm \ a]) \ ] = [Tm \ a] \text{)} \ \ \langle transform\_tree \ (Sym \ x) \ = \ Sym \ \} 
(Tm \ [^{1}(SOME A. True, [Tm \ a])))
 qed
next
  case (Rule A ts)
  from Rule have pt: \langle parse\_tree\ P\ (Rule\ A\ ts) \rangle and fr: \langle fringe\ (Rule\ A\ ts) \rangle
    by blast+
  from Rule have IH: \langle \bigwedge x2a \ w'. [x2a \in set \ ts; \ parse\_tree \ P \ x2a \land fringe \ x2a =
w' \implies parse\_tree\ P'\ (transform\_tree\ x2a) \land hs\ (fringe\ (transform\_tree\ x2a)) =
    using P'\_def by blast
  from pt have \langle (A, roots \ ts) \in P \rangle
```

```
by simp
    then obtain B C a where
        def: \langle (A, roots \ ts) = (A, [Nt \ B, Nt \ C]) \land transform\_prod \ (A, roots \ ts) = (A, form)
[Tm\ [^{1}_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])},\ Nt\ B,\ Tm\ ]^{1}_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])},\ Tm\ [^{2}_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])},\ Nt\ D)]
(C, Tm ]^{2}(A, [Nt B, Nt C])]
(A, \ roots \ ts) = (A, \ [Tm \ a]) \ \land \ transform\_prod \ (A, \ roots \ ts) = (A, \ [Tm \ a]) \ ^{1}(A, \ [
        by fastforce
    then obtain t1 t2 e1 where ei\_def: \langle ts = [e1] \lor ts = [t1, t2] \rangle
       by blast
    then consider (Tm) \land roots \ ts = [Tm \ a]
                                                                                                           \wedge \ ts = [Sym \ (Tm \ a)] \rangle \ |
        (Nt\_Nt) \land roots \ ts = [Nt \ B, \ Nt \ C] \land ts = [t1, \ t2] \land
        by (smt (verit, best) def list.inject list.simps(8,9) not Cons_self2 prod.inject
root.elims\ sym.distinct(1))
    then show ?case
    proof(cases)
        case Tm
       then have ts\_eq: \langle ts = [Sym \ (Tm \ a)] \rangle and roots: \langle roots \ ts = [Tm \ a] \rangle
           by blast+
         then have \langle transform\_tree \ (Rule \ A \ ts) = Rule \ A \ [Sym \ (Tm \ [^1_{(A,\lceil Tm \ a \rceil)}),
Sym(Tm\ ]^{1}_{(A,\lceil Tm\ a\rceil)},\ Sym\ (Tm\ [^{2}_{(A,\lceil Tm\ a\rceil)}),\ Sym(Tm\ ]^{2}_{(A,\lceil Tm\ a\rceil)})^{-1}
       then have \langle hs (fringe (transform\_tree (Rule A ts))) = [Tm a] \rangle
           by simp
       also have \langle ... = w \rangle
           using fr unfolding ts_eq by auto
       finally have \langle hs (fringe (transform\_tree (Rule A ts))) = w \rangle.
       moreover have \langle parse\_tree\ (P')\ (transform\_tree\ (Rule\ A\ [Sym\ (Tm\ a)])) \rangle
           using pt roots unfolding P' def by force
       ultimately show ?thesis unfolding ts_eq P'_def by blast
    next
       \mathbf{case}\ \mathit{Nt} \_\mathit{Nt}
       then have ts\_eq: \langle ts = [t1, t2] \rangle and roots: \langle roots \ ts = [Nt \ B, \ Nt \ C] \rangle
           by blast+
        then have root\_t1\_eq\_B: \langle root\ t1 = Nt\ B \rangle and root\_t2\_eq\_C: \langle root\ t2 =
Nt \ C
           by blast+
     then have \langle transform \mid tree (Rule \ A \ ts) = Rule \ A \ ((wrap2 \mid Sym \ A \ (Nt \ B) \ (Nt \ C))
 One (transform_tree t1)) @ (wrap2_Sym A (Nt B) (Nt C) Two (transform_tree
t2))))
           by (simp add: ts_eq)
     then have \langle hs (fringe (transform tree (Rule A ts))) = hs (fringe (transform tree
(t1)) @ hs (fringe\ (transform\_tree\ t2))
           by auto
       also have \langle ... = fringe \ t1 \ @ fringe \ t2 \rangle
           using IH pt ts_eq by force
       also have \langle ... = fringe (Rule A ts) \rangle
           using ts_eq by simp
```

```
also have \langle ... = w \rangle
            using fr by blast
        ultimately have \langle hs (fringe (transform\_tree (Rule A ts))) = w \rangle
            by blast
        have \langle parse\_tree\ P\ t1 \rangle and \langle parse\_tree\ P\ t2 \rangle
            using pt ts_eq by auto
      then have \(\langle parse \) tree P'(transform \) tree t1)\(\rangle \) and \(\langle parse \) tree P'(transform \) tree
t2)
            by (simp \ add: IH \ ts\_eq)+
        have root1: <map Parse_Tree.root (wrap2_Sym A (Nt B) (Nt C) version.One
(transform\_tree\ t1)) = [Tm\ [^{1}_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])},\ Nt\ B,\ Tm\ ]^{1}_{(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])}]
            using root_t1_eq_B by auto
         moreover have root2: \( map Parse Tree.root \( (wrap2 \) Sym A \( (Nt B) \) \( (Nt C) \)
Two\ (transform\_tree\ t2)) = [Tm\ [^2(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C]),\ Nt\ C,\ Tm\ ]^2(A,\ [Nt\ B,\ Nt\ C])
] >
            using root\_t2\_eq\_C by auto
        \mathbf{ultimately\ have}\ \langle \mathit{parse\_tree}\ P'\ (\mathit{transform\_tree}\ (\mathit{Rule}\ A\ \mathit{ts})) \rangle
              using \langle parse\_tree\ P'\ (transform\_tree\ t1) \rangle \langle parse\_tree\ P'\ (transform\_tree\ t1) \rangle
t2)
                 \langle (A, map \ Parse\_Tree.root \ ts) \in P \rangle \ roots
            by (force simp: ts_eq P'_def)
        then show ?thesis
            using \langle \text{hs } (fringe \ (transform \ tree \ (Rule \ A \ ts))) = w \rangle  by auto
    qed
qed
lemma
    transfer\_parse\_tree:
    \mathbf{assumes} \ \langle w \in \mathit{Ders} \ P \ S \rangle
    shows \langle \exists w' \in Ders \ P' \ S. \ w = \text{hs } w' \rangle
proof-
    from assms obtain t where t\_def: \langle parse\_tree\ P\ t\ \wedge\ fringe\ t=w\ \wedge\ root\ t=
Nt \mid S \rangle
         using parse_tree_if_derives DersD by meson
    then have root\_tr: \langle root\ (transform\_tree\ t) = Nt\ S \rangle
        by blast
   from t def have \langle parse | tree | P'(transform | tree | t) \wedge hs (fringe(transform | tree | t))
t)) = w
        using transform_tree_correct assms by blast
     with root_tr have \langle fringe\ (transform\_tree\ t) \in Ders\ P'\ S \land w = hs\ (fringe\ fringe\ fri
(transform\_tree\ t))
        using fringe_steps_if_parse_tree by (metis DersI)
    then show ?thesis by blast
qed
         This is essentially h(L') \supseteq L:
lemma P_imp_h_L':
    assumes \langle w \in Lang \ P \ S \rangle
```

```
shows \forall \exists w' \in L'. \ w = h \ w' \rangle
proof-
  have ex: \langle \exists w' \in Ders \ P' \ S. \ (map \ Tm \ w) = \text{hs } w' \rangle
    using transfer_parse_tree by (meson Lang_Ders assms imageI subsetD)
  then obtain w' where w'_def: \langle w' \in Ders \ P' \ S \rangle \langle (map \ Tm \ w) = hs \ w' \rangle
    using ex by blast
  moreover obtain w'' where \langle w' = map \ Tm \ w'' \rangle
    using w'_def the_hom_syms_tms_inj by metis
  then have \langle w = h \ w'' \rangle
    using h_eq_h_ext2 by (metis h_eq_h_ext w'_def(2))
  moreover have \langle w'' \in L' \rangle
    using DersD\ L'\_def\ Lang\_def\ \langle w' = map\ Tm\ w''\rangle\ w'\_def(1) by fastforce
  ultimately show ?thesis
    \mathbf{by} blast
qed
     This lemma is used in the proof of the other direction (h(L') \subseteq L):
lemma hom ext inv[simp]:
  assumes \langle \pi \in P \rangle
  shows \langle \text{hs } (snd \ (transform\_prod \ \pi)) = snd \ \pi \rangle
  obtain A a B C where pi\_def: \langle \pi = (A, [Nt B, Nt C]) \vee \pi = (A, [Tm a]) \rangle
    using assms by fastforce
  then show ?thesis
    by auto
\mathbf{qed}
     This lemma is essentially the other direction (h(L') \subseteq L):
lemma L'_imp_h_P:
 assumes \langle w' \in L' \rangle
  shows \langle h \ w' \in Lang \ P \ S \rangle
proof-
  from assms\ L'\_def\ \mathbf{have}\ \langle w'\in Lang\ P'\ S\rangle
  then have \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * map \ Tm \ w' \rangle
    by (simp add: Lang_def)
  then obtain n where \langle P' \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow (n) \ map \ Tm \ w' \rangle
    by (metis rtranclp_power)
  then have \langle P \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * \text{ hs } (map \ Tm \ w') \rangle
  proof(induction rule: deriven_induct)
    case \theta
    then show ?case by auto
   case (Suc n u A v x')
  from \langle (A, x') \in P' \rangle obtain \pi where \langle \pi \in P \rangle and transf_{\pi} = def: \langle (transform_{\pi}) prod_{\pi} \rangle
\pi) = (A, x')
      using P'_{-}def by auto
    then obtain x where \pi_def: \langle \pi = (A, x) \rangle
      by auto
```

```
have \langle \text{hs } (u @ [Nt A] @ v) = \text{hs } u @ \text{hs } [Nt A] @ \text{hs } v \rangle
       by simp
    then have \langle P \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * \text{ hs } u @ \text{ hs } [Nt \ A] @ \text{ hs } v \rangle
       using Suc.IH by auto
    then have \langle P \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * \text{ hs } u @ [Nt \ A] @ \text{ hs } v \rangle
       by simp
    then have \langle P \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * \text{ hs } u @ x @ \text{ hs } v \rangle
     using \pi def \langle \pi \in P \rangle derive intros by (metis Transitive Closure rtrancl rtrancl into rtrancl)
    have \langle \text{hs } x' = \text{hs } (snd \ (transform \ prod \ \pi)) \rangle
       by (simp \ add: transf_\pi_def)
    also have \langle ... = snd \pi \rangle
       using hom\_ext\_inv \langle \pi \in P \rangle by blast
    also have \langle ... = x \rangle
       by (simp add: \pi_def)
    finally have \langle hs \ x' = x \rangle
     with \langle P \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * \text{ hs } u @ x @ \text{ hs } v \rangle \text{ have } \langle P \vdash [Nt \ S] \Rightarrow * \text{ hs } u @ \text{ hs } x'
@ hs v
       by simp
    then show ?case by auto
  then show \langle h | w' \in Lang | P | S \rangle
    by (metis Lang_def h_eq_h_ext mem_Collect_eq)
qed
```

8 The Theorem

The constructive version of the Theorem, for a grammar already in CNF:

```
lemma Chomsky_Schuetzenberger_CNF:
  \langle regular \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S) \rangle
   \wedge L = h \cdot ((brackets \cap Reg S) \cap Dyck\_lang \Gamma)
   \land hom_list (h :: ('n,'t) bracket3 list \Rightarrow 't list)\rightarrow
proof -
  have \forall A. \forall x. P' \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow * (map Tm x) \longleftrightarrow x \in Dyck\_lang \Gamma \cap Reg A)
  proof-
    have \forall A. \forall x. P' \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow * (map Tm x) \longrightarrow x \in Dyck\_lang \Gamma \cap Reg A)
      using P'_imp_Reg P'_imp_bal Dyck_langI_tm by blast
   moreover have \forall A. \forall x. x \in Dyck\_lang \Gamma \cap Reg A \longrightarrow P' \vdash [Nt A] \Rightarrow * (map
      using Reg\_and\_dyck\_imp\_P' by blast
    ultimately show ?thesis by blast
  then have \langle L' = Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma \cap (Reg \ S) \rangle
    by (auto simp add: Lang_def L'_def)
  then have \langle h ' (Dyck\_lang \Gamma \cap Reg S) = h ' L' \rangle
    by simp
  also have \langle ... = Lang P S \rangle
  proof(standard)
```

```
show \langle h : L' \subseteq Lang P S \rangle
      using L'_imp_h_P by blast
  next
   show \langle Lang \ P \ S \subseteq h \ `L' \rangle
      using P_{imp} h L' by blast
  qed
  also have \langle ... = L \rangle
   by (simp \ add: L\_def)
  finally have \langle h : (Dyck\_lang \Gamma \cap Reg S) = L \rangle
  moreover have \langle Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma \cap (brackets \cap Reg \ S) = Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma \cap Reg \ S \rangle
   using Dyck\_lang\_subset\_brackets unfolding \Gamma\_def by fastforce
  moreover have hom: <hom list h>
   by (simp add: hom_list_def)
  moreover from finiteP have \langle regular (brackets \cap Reg S) \rangle
   using regular Reg inter by fast
  ultimately have \langle regular \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L = h \ `((brackets \cap Reg \ S) \cap I) \rangle
Dyck\_lang \Gamma) \wedge hom\_list h
   by (simp add: inf_commute)
  then show ?thesis unfolding \Gamma_def by blast
qed
```

Now we want to prove the theorem without assuming that P is in CNF. Of course any grammar can be converted into CNF, but this requires an infinite type of nonterminals (because the conversion to CNF may need to invent new nonterminals). Therefore we cannot just re-enter $locale_P$. Now we make all the assumption explicit.

end

The theorem for any grammar, but only for languages not containing ε :

```
lemma Chomsky_Schuetzenberger_not_empty:
  fixes P :: \langle ('n :: infinite, 't) \ Prods \rangle and S :: 'n
  defines \langle L \equiv Lang \ P \ S - \{[]\} \rangle
  assumes finiteP: \( \text{finite P} \)
  shows \forall \exists (R::('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ list \ set) \ h \ \Gamma. \ regular \ R \land L = h \ `(R \cap Dyck\_lang)
\Gamma) \wedge hom_list h
proof -
  define h where \langle h = (the\_hom: ('n,'t) bracket3 list \Rightarrow 't list) \rangle
  obtain ps where ps\_def: \langle set \ ps = P \rangle
    using \( \text{finite } P \) \( \text{finite_list by } auto \)
  from cnf_exists obtain ps' where
     \langle \mathit{CNF}(\mathit{set}\;\mathit{ps'}) \rangle and \mathit{lang\_\mathit{ps\_eq\_lang\_\mathit{ps'}}} : \langle \mathit{Lang}\;(\mathit{set}\;\mathit{ps'})\; S = \mathit{Lang}\;(\mathit{set}\;\mathit{ps})
S - \{[]\}
    by blast
  then have \langle finite \ (set \ ps') \rangle
    by auto
  interpret\ Chomsky\_Schuetzenberger\_locale \langle (set\ ps') \rangle\ S
    apply unfold_locales
```

```
using \langle finite\ (set\ ps')\rangle\ \langle CNF\ (set\ ps')\rangle\ by auto
       have \langle regular \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land Lang \ (set \ ps') \ S = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S') \ A \cap S' \ (brackets \cap Reg
Dyck\_lang \Gamma) \wedge hom\_list h
            using Chomsky Schuetzenberger CNF L def h def by argo
       moreover have \langle Lang \ (set \ ps') \ S = L - \{ [] \} \rangle
          unfolding lang_ps_eq_lang_ps' using L_def ps_def by (simp add: assms(1))
       ultimately have \langle regular \ (brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `(brackets \cap Reg \ S) \land L - \{ [ ] \} = h \ `
S \cap Dyck\_lang \Gamma) \wedge hom\_list h
            by presburger
       then show ?thesis
            using assms(1) by auto
qed
               The Chomsky-Schützenberger theorem that we really want to prove:
theorem Chomsky Schuetzenberger:
      fixes P :: \langle ('n :: infinite, 't) \ Prods \rangle and S :: 'n
      defines \langle L \equiv Lang \ P \ S \rangle
      assumes finite: \langle finite P \rangle
      shows \exists (R::('n,'t) \ bracket3 \ list \ set) \ h \ \Gamma. \ regular \ R \land L = h \ `(R \cap Dyck\_lang)
\Gamma) \wedge hom_list h
\mathbf{proof}(cases \langle [] \in L \rangle)
      case False
      then show ?thesis
          using Chomsky Schuetzenberger not empty[OF finite, of S] unfolding L def
by auto
next
       case True
      obtain R:('n,'t) bracket3 list set and h and \Gamma where
                reg\_R: \langle (regular\ R) \rangle \ \mathbf{and} \ L\_minus\_eq: \langle L-\{[]\} = h \ (R \cap Dyck\_lang\ \Gamma) \rangle
and hom\_h: \langle hom\_list \ h \rangle
            by (metis L_def Chomsky_Schuetzenberger_not_empty finite)
       then have reg\_R\_union: \langle regular(R \cup \{[]\}) \rangle
            by (meson regular_Un regular_nullstr)
      have \langle [] = h([]) \rangle
            by (simp add: hom_h hom_list_Nil)
       moreover have \langle [] \in Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma \rangle
            by auto
       ultimately have \langle [] \in h \ ((R \cup \{[]\}) \cap Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma) \rangle
            by blast
       with True L_minus_eq have \langle L = h ' ((R \cup \{[]\}) \cap Dyck\_lang \Gamma) \rangle
            using \langle [] \in Dyck\_lang \ \Gamma \rangle \ \langle [] = h \ [] \rangle by auto
       then show ?thesis using reg_R_union hom_h by blast
qed
no_notation the hom (h)
no_notation the_hom_syms (hs)
end
```

References

- [1] N. Chomsky and M. Schützenberger. The algebraic theory of context-free languages. In P. Braffort and D. Hirschberg, editors, *Computer Programming and Formal Systems*, volume 26 of *Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics*, pages 118–161. Elsevier, 1959.
- [2] D. Kozen. Automata and computability. Undergraduate texts in computer science. Springer, 1997.