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#### Abstract

The multiple-angle formulas for cos and sin state that for any natural number $n$, the values of $\cos n x$ and $\sin n x$ can be expressed in terms of $\cos x$ and $\sin x$. To be more precise, there are polynomials $T_{n}$ and $U_{n}$ such that $\cos n x=T_{n}(\cos x)$ and $\sin n x=U_{n}(\cos x) \sin x$. These are called the Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind, respectively.

This entry contains a definition of these two familes of polynomials in Isabelle/HOL along with some of their most important properties. In particular, it is shown that $T_{n}$ and $U_{n}$ are orthogonal families of polynomials.

Moreover, we show the well-known result that for any monic polynomial $p$ of degree $n>0$, it holds that $\sup _{x \in[-1,1]}|p(x)| \geq 2^{n-1}$, and that this inequality is sharp since equality holds with $p=2^{1-n} T_{n}$. This has important consequences in the theory of function interpolation, since it implies that the roots of $T_{n}$ (also colled the Chebyshev nodes) are exceptionally well-suited as interpolation nodes.
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## 1 Parametricity of polynomial operations

theory Polynomial_Transfer
imports "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Polynomial"
begin

```
definition rel_poly :: "('a }=>\mathrm{ 'b b bool) # 'a :: zero poly # 'b ::
zero poly }=>\mathrm{ bool" where
    "rel_poly R p q \longleftrightarrow rel_fun (=) R (coeff p) (coeff q)"
lemma left_unique_rel_poly [transfer_rule]: "left_unique R \Longrightarrow left_unique
(rel_poly R)"
    unfolding left_unique_def rel_poly_def poly_eq_iff rel_fun_def by meson
lemma right_unique_rel_poly [transfer_rule]: "right_unique R \Longrightarrow right_unique
(rel_poly R)"
    unfolding right_unique_def rel_poly_def poly_eq_iff rel_fun_def by meson
lemma bi_unique_rel_poly [transfer_rule]: "bi_unique R \Longrightarrow bi_unique
(rel_poly R)"
    unfolding bi_unique_def rel_poly_def poly_eq_iff rel_fun_def by meson
lemma rel_poly_swap: "rel_poly R x y \longleftrightarrow rel_poly (\lambday x. R x y) y x"
    by (auto simp: rel_poly_def rel_fun_def)
lemma coeff_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun (=) R) coeff coeff"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma map_poly_transfer:
    assumes "rel_fun R S f g" "f 0 = 0" "g 0 = 0"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly S) (map_poly f) (map_poly g)"
    using assms by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def coeff_map_poly)
lemma map_poly_transfer':
    assumes "rel_fun R S f g" "rel_poly R p q" "f 0 = 0" "g 0 = 0"
    shows "rel_poly S (map_poly f p) (map_poly g q)"
    using assms by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def coeff_map_poly)
lemma rel_poly_id: "p = q \Longrightarrow rel_poly (=) p q"
    by (auto simp: rel_poly_def)
lemma left_total_rel_poly [transfer_rule]:
    assumes "left_total R" "right_unique R" "R O O"
    shows "left_total (rel_poly R)"
    unfolding left_total_def
proof
```

```
    fix p :: "'a poly"
    from assms have "\forallx. \existsy. R x y"
    unfolding left_total_def by blast
    then obtain f where f: "R x (f x)" for x
        by metis
    have [simp]: "f 0 = 0"
        using assms f[of 0] by (auto dest: right_uniqueD)
    have "rel_poly R (map_poly ( }\lambdax. x) p) (map_poly f p)"
        by (rule map_poly_transfer'[of "(=)"] rel_funI)+ (auto intro: rel_poly_id
f)
    thus "\existsq. rel_poly R p q"
        by force
qed
lemma right_total_rel_poly [transfer_rule]:
    assumes "right_total R" "left_unique R" "R O O"
    shows "right_total (rel_poly R)"
    using left_total_rel_poly[of "\lambdax y. R y x"] assms
    by (metis left_totalE left_totalI left_unique_iff rel_poly_swap right_total_def
right_unique_iff)
lemma bi_total_rel_poly [transfer_rule]:
    assumes "bi_total R" "bi_unique R" "R 0 0"
    shows "bi_total (rel_poly R)"
    using left_total_rel_poly[of R] right_total_rel_poly[of R] assms
    by (simp add: bi_total_alt_def bi_unique_alt_def)
lemma zero_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]: "R O O Crel_poly R O O"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma one_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]: "R 0 O C R 1 1 # rel_poly
R 1 1"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma pCons_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    "rel_fun R (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R)) pCons pCons"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def coeff_pCons split: nat.splits)
lemma plus_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+) \Longrightarrow
        rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R)) (+) (+)"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma minus_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (-) (-) \Longrightarrow
        rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R)) (-) (-)"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma uminus_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
```

```
    "rel_fun R R uminus uminus \Longrightarrow rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R) uminus
uminus"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma smult_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (*) (*) \Longrightarrow
    rel_fun R (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R)) smult smult"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma monom_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    "R O O C rel_fun R (rel_fun (=) (rel_poly R)) monom monom"
    by (auto simp: rel_fun_def rel_poly_def)
lemma pderiv_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes "R O O" "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+)"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R) pderiv pderiv"
proof (rule rel_funI, goal_cases)
    case (1 p q)
    define f :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ 'a }=>\mathrm{ 'a" where
        "f = (\lambdan p. of_nat n * p)"
    define g :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ 'b }=>\mathrm{ 'b" where
        "g = (\lambdan p. of_nat n * p)"
    have plus: "R (x + y) (x' + y')" if "R x x'" "R y y'" for x x' y y'
        using assms(2) that by (auto simp: rel_fun_def)
    have fg: "R (fmx) (g n y)" if "m = n" "R x y" for x y m n
        unfolding that(1)
        by (induction n) (auto simp: f_def g_def ring_distribs intro!: assms(1)
plus that)
    have "rel_fun (=) R (\lambdan. f (Suc n) (coeff p (Suc n))) (\lambdan.g (Suc n)
(coeff q (Suc n)))"
        using 1 by (intro rel_funI fg) (auto simp: rel_poly_def rel_fun_def)
    thus ?case
        by (auto simp: rel_poly_def coeff_pderiv [abs_def] f_def g_def)
qed
lemma If_transfer':
    assumes "P = P'" "P\LongrightarrowR x x'" "\negP \Longrightarrow R y y'"
    shows "R (if P then x else y) (if P' then x' else y')"
    using assms by auto
lemma nth_transfer:
    assumes "list_all2 R xs ys" "i = j" "i < length xs"
    shows "R (xs ! i) (ys ! j)"
    using assms by (simp add: list_all2_nthD)
lemma Poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "R O O" "bi_unique R"
    shows "rel_fun (list_all2 R) (rel_poly R) Poly Poly"
    unfolding rel_poly_def
```

```
proof (intro rel_funI, goal_cases)
    case [transfer_rule]: (1 p q i j)
    show "R (coeff (Poly p) i) (coeff (Poly q) j)"
        unfolding coeff_Poly_eq nth_default_def
    proof (rule If_transfer')
        show "(i < length p) = (j < length q)"
            by transfer_prover
            show "R (p ! i) (q ! j)" if "i < length p"
                by (rule nth_transfer) (use 1 that in auto)
    qed (use assms in auto)
qed
lemma poly_of_list_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "R O O" "bi_unique R"
    shows "rel_fun (list_all2 R) (rel_poly R) poly_of_list poly_of_list"
    unfolding poly_of_list_def by transfer_prover
lemma degree_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "R O O" "bi_unique R"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (=) degree degree"
proof
    fix p q
    assume *: "rel_poly R p q"
    with assms have "coeff pi=0\longleftrightarrow coeff q i = 0" for i
        unfolding rel_poly_def rel_fun_def bi_unique_def by metis
    thus "degree p = degree q"
        using antisym degree_le coeff_eq_0 by metis
qed
lemma coeffs_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "R O O" "bi_unique R"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (list_all2 R) coeffs coeffs"
proof
    fix pq
    assume [transfer_rule]: "rel_poly R p q"
    have "degree p = degree q"
        by transfer_prover
    show "list_all2 R (coeffs p) (coeffs q)"
        unfolding coeffs_def by transfer_prover
qed
lemma times_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+)"
                            "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (*) (*)" "R O O" "bi_unique
R"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R)) (*)
(*)"
    unfolding times_poly_def fold_coeffs_def by transfer_prover
```

```
lemma dvd_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+)"
                            "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (*) (*)" "R O O" "bi_unique
R" "bi_total R"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (=)) (dvd) (dvd)"
    unfolding dvd_def by transfer_prover
lemma poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+)"
                            "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (*) (*)" "R O O" "bi_unique
R"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun R R) poly poly"
    unfolding poly_def horner_sum_foldr by transfer_prover
lemma pcompose_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]: "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+)"
                            "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (*) (*)" "R O O" "bi_unique
R"
    shows "rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_fun (rel_poly R) (rel_poly R)) pcompose
pcompose"
    unfolding pcompose_def fold_coeffs_def by transfer_prover
lemma order_O_right: "order x 0 = Least ( }\mp@subsup{\lambda}{_}{}. False)"
    unfolding order_def by simp
lemma order_poly_transfer [transfer_rule]:
    assumes [transfer_rule]:
            "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (+) (+)" "rel_fun R (rel_fun R R) (*) (*)"
            "rel_fun R R uminus uminus"
            "R O O" "R 1 1" "bi_unique R" "bi_total R" "R x y" "rel_poly R p q"
    shows "order x p = order y q"
    unfolding order_def by transfer_prover
end
```


## 2 Missing Library Material

```
theory Chebyshev_Polynomials_Library
    imports "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Polynomial" "HOL-Library.FuncSet"
begin
```

The following two lemmas give a full characterisation of the filter function: The list filter $P$ xs is the only list ys for which there exists a strictly increasing function $f:\{0, \ldots,|\mathrm{ys}|-1\} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots,|\mathrm{xs}|-1\}$ such that:

- $\mathrm{ys}_{i}=\mathrm{xs}_{f(i)}$
- $P\left(\mathrm{xs}_{i}\right) \longleftrightarrow \exists j<n . f(j)=i$, i.e. the range of $f$ are precisely the indices of the elements of $x s$ that satisfy $P$.

```
lemma filterE:
    fixes P :: "'a # bool" and xs :: "'a list"
    assumes "length (filter P xs) = n"
    obtains f :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ nat" where
        "strict_mono_on {..<n} f"
        "\i. i < n\Longrightarrowfi< length xs"
        "\i. i < n \Longrightarrow filter P xs ! i = xs ! f i"
        "\i. i < length xs \LongrightarrowP(xs ! i) \longleftrightarrow (\existsj.j< n ^ f j = i)"
    using assms(1)
proof (induction xs arbitrary: n thesis)
    case Nil
    thus ?case
        using that[of "\lambda_. 0"] by auto
next
    case (Cons x xs)
    define n' where "n' = (if P x then n - 1 else n)"
    obtain f :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ nat" where f:
        "strict_mono_on {..<n'} f"
        "\i. i < n'\Longrightarrowf i < length xs"
        "\i. i < n' \Longrightarrow filter P xs ! i = xs ! f i"
        "\i. i < length xs \LongrightarrowP(xs ! i) \longleftrightarrow (\existsj. j< n'^f j=i)"
    proof (rule Cons.IH[where n = n'])
        show "length (filter P xs) = n'"
            using Cons.prems(2) by (auto simp: n'_def)
    qed auto
    define f' where 'f' = (\lambdai. if P x then case i of 0 = 0 | Suc j = Suc
(f j) else Suc (f i))"
    show ?case
    proof (rule Cons.prems(1))
        show "strict_mono_on {..<n} f'"
        by (auto simp: f'_def strict_mono_on_def n'_def strict_mono_onD[OF
f(1)] split: nat.splits)
        show "f' i < length (x # xs)" if "i < n" for i
            using that f(2) by (auto simp: f'_def n'_def split: nat.splits)
        show "filter P (x # xs) ! i = (x # xs) ! f' i" if "i < n" for i
            using that f(3) by (auto simp: f'_def n'_def split: nat.splits)
        show "P ((x # xs) ! i) \longleftrightarrow (\existsj<n. f' j = i)" if "i < length (x #
xs)" for i
        proof (cases i)
            case [simp]: 0
            show ?thesis using that Cons.prems(2)
                by (auto simp: f'_def intro!: exI[of _ 0])
        next
            case [simp]: (Suc i')
            have "P ((x # xs) ! i) \longleftrightarrowP (xs ! i')"
```

```
        by simp
    also have "... \longleftrightarrow(\existsj<n'. f j = i')"
        using that by (subst f(4)) simp_all
    also have "... \longleftrightarrow {j\in{..<n'}. f j = i'} = {}"
        by blast
    also have "bij_betw ( }\lambdaj\mathrm{ . if P x then j+1 else j) {j|{..<n'}. f j
= i'} {j\in{..<n}. f' j = i}"
    proof (intro bij_betwI[of _ _ _ "\lambdaj. if P x then j-1 else j"], goal_cases)
            case 2
            have "(if P x then j - 1 else j) < n'"
                if "j < n" "f' j = i" for j
                using that by (auto simp: n'_def f'_def split: nat.splits)
            moreover have "f (if P x then j - 1 else j) = i'" if "j < n" "f'
j = i" for j
            using that by (auto simp: n'_def f'_def split: nat.splits if_splits)
            ultimately show ?case by auto
            qed (auto simp: n'_def f'_def split: nat.splits)
            hence "{j\in{..<n'}. f j = i'} }\not={{}\longleftrightarrow{j\in{..<n}. f' j=i} = {}"
            unfolding bij_betw_def by blast
            also have '... \longleftrightarrow(\existsj<n. f' j = i)"
                by auto
            finally show ?thesis.
        qed
    qed
qed
```

The following lemma shows the uniqueness of the above property. It is very useful for finding a "closed form" for filter $P$ xs in some concrete situation.
For example, if we know that exactly every other element of xs satisfies $P$, we can use it to prove that filter $P$ xs $=\operatorname{map}((*) 2)$ [0..<length xs div 2]
lemma filter_eqI:
fixes $f:: ~ " n a t ~ \Rightarrow n a t " ~ a n d ~ x s ~ y s ~:: ~ " ' a ~ l i s t " ~$
defines " $n$ 三 length ys"
assumes "strict_mono_on \{..<n\} f"
assumes "\i. i<n $\Longrightarrow f$ < length xs"
assumes " $\$ i. $i<n \Longrightarrow$ ys ! $i=x s!f i "$
assumes " $\ i . i<l e n g t h ~ x s ~ \Longrightarrow P(x s ~!~ i) ~ \longleftrightarrow(\exists j . j<n \wedge f j=i) "$
shows "filter $P$ xs = ys"
using assms(2-) unfolding $n_{-} d e f$
proof (induction xs arbitrary: ys f)
case Nil
thus ?case by auto
next
case (Cons x xs ys f)
show ?case
proof (cases "P x")
case False
have "filter P xs = ys"

```
    proof (rule Cons.IH)
    have pos: "f i > 0" if "i < length ys" for i
        using Cons.prems(4)[of "f i"] Cons.prems(2,3)[of i] that False
        by (auto intro!: Nat.grOI)
    show "strict_mono_on {..<length ys} (( }\lambda\textrm{x}.\textrm{x - 1) ○ f)"
    proof (intro strict_mono_onI)
        fix i j assume ij: "i \in {..<length ys}" "j \in {..<length ys}"
"i < j"
            thus "((\lambdax. x - 1) ○ f) i < ((\lambdax. x - 1) ○ f) j"
                using Cons.prems(1) pos[of i] pos[of j]
                by (auto simp: strict_mono_on_def diff_less_mono)
    qed
    show "((\lambdax. x - 1) ○ f) i < length xs" if "i < length ys" for i
        using Cons.prems(2)[of i] pos[of i] that by auto
    show "ys ! i = xs ! ((\lambdax. x - 1) ○ f) i" if "i < length ys" for
i
            using Cons.prems(3)[of i] pos[of i] that by auto
            show "P (xs ! i) \longleftrightarrow (\existsj<length ys. (( }\lambda\textrm{x}.\textrm{x - 1) ○ f) j = i)"
if "i < length xs" for i
            using Cons.prems(4)[of "Suc i"] that pos by (auto split: if_splits)
        qed
        thus ?thesis
            using False by simp
    next
        case True
        have "ys f= []"
            using Cons.prems(4)[of 0] True by auto
    have [simp]: "f 0 = 0"
    proof -
            obtain j where "j < length ys" "f j = 0"
                using Cons.prems(4)[of 0] True by auto
            with strict_mono_onD[OF Cons.prems(1)] have "j = 0"
                by (metis gr_implies_not_zero lessThan_iff less_antisym zero_less_Suc)
            with <f j = O> show ?thesis
                by simp
    qed
    have pos: "f j > 0" if "j > 0" "j < length ys" for j
        using strict_mono_onD[OF Cons.prems(1), of 0 j] that <ys f []> by
auto
    have f_eq_Suc_imp_pos: "j > 0" if "f j = Suc k" for j k
        by (rule Nat.grOI) (use that in auto)
    define f' where "f' = (\lambdan. f (Suc n) - 1)"
    have "filter P xs = tl ys"
    proof (rule Cons.IH)
        show "strict_mono_on {..<length (tl ys)} f'"
        proof (intro strict_mono_onI)
            fix i j assume ij: "i \in {..<length (tl ys)}" "j \in {..<length
(tl ys)}" "i < j"
```

```
            from ij have "Suc i < length ys" "Suc j < length ys"
            by auto
            thus "f' i < f' j"
            using strict_mono_onD[OF Cons.prems(1), of "Suc i" "Suc j"]
                pos[of "Suc i"] pos[of "Suc j"] <ys # []> <i < j>
            by (auto simp: strict_mono_on_def diff_less_mono f'_def)
    qed
    show "f' i < length xs" and "tl ys ! i = xs ! f' i" if "i < length
(tl ys)" for i
    proof -
        have "Suc i < length ys"
            using that by auto
            thus "f' i < length xs"
                using Cons.prems(2)[of "Suc i"] pos[of "Suc i"] that by (auto
simp: f'_def)
            show "tl ys ! i = xs ! f' i"
                using <Suc i < length ys> that Cons.prems(3) [of "Suc i"] pos[of
"Suc i"]
                by (auto simp: nth_tl nth_Cons f'_def split: nat.splits)
    qed
    show "P (xs ! i) \longleftrightarrow (\existsj<length (tl ys). f' j = i)" if "i < length
xs" for i
    proof -
        have "P(xs ! i) \longleftrightarrowP((x # xs) ! Suc i)"
            by simp
        also have "... \longleftrightarrow {j \in {..<length ys}. f j = Suc i} \not= {}"
            using that by (subst Cons.prems(4)) auto
            also have "bij_betw (\lambdax. x - 1) {j \in {..<length ys}. f j = Suc
i}
                        {j \in {..<length (tl ys)}. f' j = i}"
                by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _ Suc])
                    (auto simp: f'_def Suc_diff_Suc f_eq_Suc_imp_pos diff_less_mono
Suc_leI pos)
            hence "{j \in {..<length ys}. f j = Suc i} }={}}\longleftrightarrow {j \in {..<length
(tl ys)}. f' j = i} }\not={{}
            unfolding bij_betw_def by blast
            also have "... \longleftrightarrow (\existsj<length (tl ys). f' j = i)"
                by blast
            finally show ?thesis .
            qed
    qed
    moreover have "hd ys = x"
        using True <f 0 = 0> <ys # []> Cons.prems(3)[of 0] by (auto simp:
hd_conv_nth)
            ultimately show ?thesis
            using <ys \not= []> True by force
    qed
qed
```



Figure 1: Some of the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, $T_{1}$ to $T_{5}$.

```
lemma filter_eq_iff:
    "filter P xs = ys \longleftrightarrow
            (\existsf. strict_mono_on {..<length ys} f ^
                                    (\foralli<length ys. f i < length xs ^ ys ! i = xs ! f i) ^
                                    (\foralli<length xs. P (xs ! i) \longleftrightarrow (\existsj. j < length ys ^ f j = i)))"
    (is "?lhs = ?rhs")
proof
    show ?rhs if ?lhs
        unfolding that [symmetric] by (rule filterE[OF refl, of P xs]) blast
    show ?lhs if ?rhs
        using that filter_eqI[of ys _ xs P] by blast
qed
end
```


## 3 Chebyshev Polynomials

```
theory Chebyshev_Polynomials
imports
    "HOL-Analysis.Analysis"
    "HOL-Real_Asymp.Real_Asymp"
    "HOL-Computational_Algebra.Formal_Laurent_Series"
    "Polynomial_Interpolation.Ring_Hom_Poly"
    "Descartes_Sign_Rule.Descartes_Sign_Rule"
    Polynomial_Transfer
    Chebyshev_Polynomials_Library
begin
```


### 3.1 Definition

We choose the recursive definition of $T_{n}$ and $U_{n}$ and do some setup to define both of them at once.


Figure 2: Some of the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, $U_{1}$ to $U_{5}$.
locale gen_cheb_poly $=$
fixes c :: "'a :: comm_ring_1"
begin
fun $f::$ "nat $\Rightarrow$ 'a $\Rightarrow$ 'a" where
"f $0 x=1 "$
| "f (Suc 0) $x=c * x "$
| "f (Suc (Suc n)) $x=2 * x * f(S u c n) x-f n x "$
fun $P$ :: "nat $\Rightarrow$ ('a :: comm_ring_1) poly" where
"P $0=1 "$
| "P (Suc 0) = [:0, c:]"
| "P (Suc (Suc n)) = [:0, 2:] * P (Suc n) - P n"
lemma eval [simp]: "poly ( $P \mathrm{n}$ ) $x=f n$ x"
by (induction $n$ rule: $P$.induct) simp_all
lemma eval_0:
"f n $0=(i f$ odd $n$ then 0 else (-1) - (n div 2))"
by (induction $n$ rule: induct_nat_O12) auto
lemma eval_1 [simp]:
"f n 1 = of_nat $n$ * (c - 1) + 1"
proof (induction $n$ rule: induct_nat_012)

```
    case (ge2 n)
    show ?case
    by (auto simp: ge2.IH algebra_simps)
qed auto
lemma uminus [simp]: "f n (-x) = (-1) ^ n * f n x"
    by (induction n rule: P.induct) (simp_all add: algebra_simps)
lemma pcompose_minus: "pcompose (P n) (monom (-1) 1) = (-1) ^ n * P n"
    by (induction n rule: induct_nat_012)
        (simp_all add: pcompose_diff pcompose_uminus pcompose_smult one_pCons
                    poly_const_pow algebra_simps monom_altdef)
lemma degree_le: "degree (P n) \leq n"
proof -
    have "i > n \Longrightarrow coeff (P n) i = 0" for i
    by (induction n arbitrary: i rule: P.induct)
        (auto simp: coeff_pCons split: nat.splits)
    thus ?thesis
        using degree_le by blast
qed
lemma lead_coeff:
    "coeff (P n) n = (if n = O then 1 else c* 2 - (n - 1))"
proof (induction n rule: P.induct)
    case (3 n)
    thus ?case
            using degree_le[of n] by (auto simp: coeff_eq_O algebra_simps)
qed auto
lemma degree_eq:
    "c * 2 - (n - 1) }=0\Longrightarrow\mathrm{ \ degree (P n :: 'a poly) = n"
    using lead_coeff[of n] degree_le[of n]
    by (metis le_degree nle_le one_neq_zero)
lemmas [simp del] = f.simps(3) P.simps(3)
end
The two related constants Cheb_poly and cheb_poly denote the n-th Chebyshev polynomial of the first kind \(T_{n}\) and its interpretation as a function. We make the definition polymorphic so that it works on every commutative ring; however, many results will only hold for rings (or even only fields) of characteristic 0 .
```

```
definition cheb_poly :: "nat => 'a :: comm_ring_1 # 'a" where
```

definition cheb_poly :: "nat => 'a :: comm_ring_1 \# 'a" where
"cheb_poly = gen_cheb_poly.f 1"
"cheb_poly = gen_cheb_poly.f 1"
definition Cheb_poly :: "nat \#> 'a :: comm_ring_1 poly" where
definition Cheb_poly :: "nat \#> 'a :: comm_ring_1 poly" where
"Cheb_poly = gen_cheb_poly.P 1"

```
    "Cheb_poly = gen_cheb_poly.P 1"
```

```
interpretation cheb_poly: gen_cheb_poly 1
    rewrites "gen_cheb_poly.f 1 三 cheb_poly" and "gen_cheb_poly.P 1 = Cheb_poly"
        and "\x :: 'a. 1 * x = x"
        and "\n. of_nat n * (1 - 1 :: 'a) + 1 = 1"
    by unfold_locales (simp_all add: cheb_poly_def Cheb_poly_def)
lemmas cheb_poly_simps [code] = cheb_poly.f.simps
lemmas Cheb_poly_simps [code] = cheb_poly.P.simps
lemma Cheb_poly_of_int: "of_int_poly (Cheb_poly n) = Cheb_poly n"
    by (induction n rule: induct_nat_012) (simp_all add: hom_distribs Cheb_poly_simps)
lemma degree_Cheb_poly [simp]:
    "degree (Cheb_poly n :: 'a :: {idom, ring_char_0} poly) = n"
    by (rule cheb_poly.degree_eq) auto
lemma lead_coeff_Cheb_poly [simp]:
    "lead_coeff (Cheb_poly n :: 'a :: {idom, ring_char_0} poly) = 2 - (n-1)"
    unfolding degree_Cheb_poly by (subst cheb_poly.lead_coeff) auto
lemma Cheb_poly_nonzero [simp]: "Cheb_poly n f= 0"
    by (metis cheb_poly.eval cheb_poly.eval_1 one_neq_zero poly_0)
lemma continuous_cheb_poly [continuous_intros]:
    fixes f :: "'b :: topological_space = 'a :: {real_normed_algebra_1,
comm_ring_1}"
    shows "continuous_on A f \Longrightarrow continuous_on A (\lambdax. cheb_poly n (f x))"
    unfolding cheb_poly.eval [symmetric]
    by (induction n rule: induct_nat_012) (auto intro!: continuous_intros
simp: cheb_poly_simps)
Similarly, we introduce two constants for \(U_{n}\).
```

```
definition cheb_poly' :: "nat \(\Rightarrow\) 'a :: comm_ring_1 \(\Rightarrow\) 'a" where
```

definition cheb_poly' :: "nat $\Rightarrow$ 'a :: comm_ring_1 $\Rightarrow$ 'a" where
"cheb_poly' = gen_cheb_poly.f 2"
definition Cheb_poly' :: "nat \# 'a :: comm_ring_1 poly" where
"Cheb_poly' = gen_cheb_poly.P 2"
interpretation cheb_poly': gen_cheb_poly 2
rewrites "gen_cheb_poly.f 2 三 cheb_poly"" and "gen_cheb_poly.P 2 =
Cheb_poly'"
and "\n. of_nat n * (2 - 1 :: 'a) + 1 = of_nat (Suc n)"
by unfold_locales (simp_all add: cheb_poly'_def Cheb_poly'_def)
lemmas cheb_poly'_simps [code] = cheb_poly'.f.simps
lemmas Cheb_poly'_simps [code] = cheb_poly'.P.simps
lemma Cheb_poly'_of_int: "of_int_poly (Cheb_poly' n) = Cheb_poly' n"

```
```

    by (induction n rule: induct_nat_012) (simp_all add: hom_distribs Cheb_poly'_simps)
    lemma degree_Cheb_poly' [simp]:
"degree (Cheb_poly' n :: 'a :: {idom, ring_char_0} poly) = n"
by (rule cheb_poly'.degree_eq) auto
lemma lead_coeff_Cheb_poly' [simp]:
"lead_coeff (Cheb_poly' n :: 'a :: {idom, ring_char_O} poly) = 2 ^ n"
unfolding degree_Cheb_poly'
by (subst cheb_poly'.lead_coeff; cases n) auto
lemma Cheb_poly_nonzero' [simp]: "Cheb_poly' n = (0 :: 'a :: {comm_ring_1,
ring_char_0} poly)"
proof -
have "poly (Cheb_poly' n) 1 = (of_nat (Suc n) :: 'a)"
by simp
also have "... = 0"
using of_nat_neq_0 by blast
finally show ?thesis
by force
qed
lemma continuous_cheb_poly' [continuous_intros]:
fixes f :: "'b :: topological_space \# 'a :: {real_normed_algebra_1,
comm_ring_1}"
shows "continuous_on A f \Longrightarrow continuous_on A (\lambdax. cheb_poly' n (f x))"
by (induction n rule: induct_nat_012) (auto intro!: continuous_intros
simp: cheb_poly'_simps)

```

\subsection*{3.2 Relation to trigonometric functions}

Consider the multiple angle formulas for the cosine function:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \cos 1 x=\cos x \\
& \cos 2 x=1+2 \cos ^{2} x \\
& \cos 3 x=-3 \cos x+4 \cos ^{3} x \\
& \cos 4 x=1-8 \cos ^{2} x+8 \cos ^{4} x
\end{aligned}
\]

It seems that for any \(n \in \mathbb{N}\), we can write \(\cos (n x)\) as a sum of powers \(\cos ^{i} x\) for \(0 \leq i \leq n\), i.e. as a polynomial in \(\cos x\) of degree \(n\). It turns out that this polynomial is exactly \(T_{n}\). This can also serve as an alternative, trigonometric definition of \(T_{n}\).
Proving it is a simple induction:
```

lemma cheb_poly_cos [simp]:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "cheb_poly n ( cos x) = cos (of_nat n * x)"
proof (induction n rule: induct_nat_012)

```
```

    case (ge2 n)
    have [simp]: "cos (x*2) = 2* (cos x)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-1" "sin (x*2) = 2* si
    x * cos x"
using cos_double_cos[of x] sin_double[of x] by (simp_all add: mult_ac)
show ?case
by (simp add: ge2 cheb_poly_simps algebra_simps cos_add power2_eq_square)
qed simp_all

```

If we look at the multiple angular formulae for the sine function, we see a similar pattern:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \sin 1 x=\sin x \\
& \sin 2 x=2 \sin x \cos x \\
& \sin 3 x=\sin x\left(-1+4 \cos ^{2} x\right) \\
& \sin 4 x=\sin x\left(-4 \cos x+8 \cos ^{3} x\right)
\end{aligned}
\]

It seems that \(\sin n x / \sin x\) can be expressed as a polynomial in \(\cos x\) of degree \(n-1\). This polynomial turns out to be exactly \(U_{n-1}\).
```

lemma cheb_poly'_cos:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "cheb_poly' n (cos x) * sin x = sin (of_nat (n+1) * x)"
proof (induction n rule: induct_nat_012)
case (ge2 n)
have [simp]: "sin x * (sin x * t) = (1-\operatorname{cos}x ~ 2) * t" for x t ::
'a
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra
have "cheb_poly' (Suc (Suc n)) (cos x) * sin x =
2 * cos x * (cheb_poly' (Suc n) (cos x) * sin x) - cheb_poly'
n (cos x) * sin x"
by (simp add: algebra_simps cheb_poly'_simps)
also have "... = 2 * cos x * sin (of_nat (Suc n + 1) * x) - sin (of_nat
(n + 1) * x)"
by (simp only: ge2.IH)
also have "... - sin (of_nat (Suc (Suc n) + 1) * x) = 0"
by (simp add: algebra_simps sin_add cos_add power2_eq_square power3_eq_cube
sin_multiple_reduce cos_multiple_reduce)
finally show ?case by simp
qed (auto simp: sin_double)
lemma cheb_poly_conv_cos:
assumes "|x::real| \leq 1"
shows "cheb_poly n x = cos (n * arccos x)"
using cheb_poly_cos[of n "arccos x"] assms by simp
lemma cheb_poly'_cos':
fixes x :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"

```
```

    shows "sin x = 0 cheb_poly' n (cos x) = sin (of_nat (n+1) * x)
    / sin x"
using cheb_poly'_cos[of n x] by (auto simp: field_simps)
lemma cheb_poly'_conv_cos:
assumes "|x::real| < 1"
shows "cheb_poly' n x = sin (real (n+1) * arccos x) / sqrt (1 - x ( )"
proof -
define y where "y = arccos x"
have x: "cos y = x"
unfolding y_def using assms cos_arccos_abs by fastforce
have "x - 2\not=1"
using assms by (subst abs_square_eq_1) auto
hence y: "sin y f=0"
using assms by (simp add: sin_arccos_abs y_def)
have "cheb_poly' n (cos y) = sin ((1 + real n) * y) / sin y"
using y by (subst cheb_poly'_cos') auto
also have "sin y = sqrt (1- x
unfolding y_def using assms by (subst sin_arccos_abs) auto
finally show ?thesis
using x by (simp add: x y_def)
qed
lemma cos_multiple:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "cos (numeral n * x) = poly (Cheb_poly (numeral n)) (cos x)"
using cheb_poly_cos[of "numeral n" x] unfolding of_nat_numeral by simp
lemma sin_multiple:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "sin (numeral n * x) = sin x * poly (Cheb_poly' (pred_numeral
n)) (cos x)"
by (metis Suc_eq_plus1 cheb_poly'.eval cheb_poly'_cos mult.commute numeral_eq_Suc
of_nat_numeral)

```

Example application: quadruple-angle formulas for sin and cos:
```

lemma cos_quadruple:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "cos (4 * x) = 8* cos x ^ 4 - 8* cos x ^ 2 + 1"
by (subst cos_multiple)
(simp add: eval_nat_numeral Cheb_poly_simps algebra_simps del: cheb_poly.eval)
lemma sin_quadruple:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "sin (4 * x) = sin x * (8* cos x ^ 3 - 4 * cos x)"
by (subst sin_multiple)
(simp add: eval_nat_numeral Cheb_poly'_simps algebra_simps del: cheb_poly'.eval)

```

\subsection*{3.3 Relation to hyperbolic functions}
```

lemma cheb_poly_cosh [simp]:
fixes x :: "'a :: {banach, real_normed_field}"
shows "cheb_poly n (cosh x) = cosh (of_nat n * x)"
proof (induction n rule: induct_nat_012)
case (ge2 n)
have [simp]: "cosh (x*2) = 2* (cosh x)}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-1" "\operatorname{sinh}(x*2)=2*
sinh x * cosh x"
using cosh_double_cosh[of x] sinh_double[of x] by (simp_all add: mult_ac)
show ?case
by (simp add: ge2 cheb_poly_simps algebra_simps cosh_add power2_eq_square)
qed simp_all
lemma cheb_poly'_cosh:
fixes x :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
shows "cheb_poly' n (cosh x) * sinh x = sinh (of_nat (n+1) * x)"
proof (induction n rule: induct_nat_012)
case (ge2 n)
have [simp]: "sinh x * (sinh x * t) = ( cosh x - 2 - 1) * t" for x t
:: 'a
using sinh_square_eq[of x] by algebra
have "cheb_poly' (Suc (Suc n)) (cosh x) * sinh x =
2 * cosh x * (cheb_poly' (Suc n) (cosh x) * sinh x) - cheb_poly'
n (cosh x) * sinh x"
by (simp add: algebra_simps cheb_poly'_simps)
also have '... = 2 * cosh x * sinh (of_nat (Suc n + 1) * x) - sinh (of_nat
(n + 1) * x)"
by (simp only: ge2.IH)
also have "... - sinh (of_nat (Suc (Suc n) + 1) * x) = 0"
by (simp add: algebra_simps sinh_add cosh_add power2_eq_square power3_eq_cube
sinh_multiple_reduce cosh_multiple_reduce)
finally show ?case by simp
qed (auto simp: sinh_double)
lemma cheb_poly_conv_cosh:
assumes "(x :: real) \geq 1"
shows "cheb_poly n x = cosh (n * arcosh x)"
using cheb_poly_cosh[of n "arcosh x"] assms
by (simp del: cheb_poly_cosh)
lemma cheb_poly'_cosh':
fixes x :: "'a :: {real_normed_field, banach}"
shows "sinh x = 0 cheb_poly' n (cosh x) = sinh (of_nat (n+1) *
x) / sinh x"
using cheb_poly'_cosh[of n x] by (auto simp: field_simps)
lemma cheb_poly'_conv_cosh:
assumes "x > (1 :: real)"
shows "cheb_poly' n x = sinh (real (n+1) * arcosh x) / sqrt (x' -

```

\section*{1)"}
proof -
have " \(x^{2} \neq 1\) "
using assms by (simp add: power2_eq_1_iff)
hence "cheb_poly' n (cosh \((\operatorname{arcosh} x))=\sinh ((1+r e a l n) * \operatorname{arcosh}\)
x) \(/ \operatorname{sqrt}\left(x^{2}-1\right) "\)
using assms by (subst cheb_poly'_cosh') (auto simp: sinh_arcosh_real)
thus ?thesis
using assms by simp
qed

\subsection*{3.4 Roots}
\(T_{n}\) has \(n\) distinct real roots, namely:
\[
x_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{2 k+1}{2 n} \pi\right)
\]

These are called the Chebyshev nodes of degree n.
```

definition cheb_node : : "nat $\Rightarrow$ nat $\Rightarrow$ real" where
"cheb_node $n k=\cos (r e a l(2 * k+1) / r e a l(2 * n) * p i) "$
lemma cheb_poly_cheb_node [simp]:
assumes " $k$ < $n$ "
shows "cheb_poly n (cheb_node n $k$ ) = 0"
proof -
have "cheb_poly $n($ cheb_node $n k)=\cos ((1+2 *$ real $k) / 2 * p i) "$
using assms by (simp add: cheb_node_def)
also have " $(1+2$ * real k) / 2 * pi = pi * real (Suc (2 * k)) / 2"
by (simp add: field_simps)
also have "cos ... = 0"
by (rule cos_pi_eq_zero)
finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma strict_antimono_cheb_node: "monotone_on \{..<n\} (<) (>) (cheb_node
n)"
unfolding cheb_node_def
proof (intro monotone_onI cos_monotone_O_pi)
fix $k l$ assume $k l: ~ " k \in\{. .<n\} " ~ " l \in\{. .<n\} "$
have "real ( $2 * 1+1$ ) / real ( 2 * n) * pi $\leq 1$ *pi"
by (intro mult_right_mono; use kl in simp; fail)
thus "real $(2 * 1+1) / r e a l(2 * n) * p i \leq p i "$
by simp
qed (auto simp: field_simps)
lemma cheb_node_pos_iff:
assumes $k$ : " $k<n "$
shows "cheb_node n $k>0 \longleftrightarrow k<n d i v 2 "$

```
```

proof -
have " $(1+2$ * real k) / (2 * real n) * pi $\leq 1$ * pi"
by (intro mult_right_mono) (use $k$ in auto)
hence $" \cos ((1+2 *$ real k) $*$ pi / (2 * real n)) $>\cos (p i / 2) \longleftrightarrow$
(1 + 2 * real k) / real n * pi < 1 * pi"
by (subst cos_mono_less_eq) auto
also have $" . . \longleftrightarrow(1+2 *$ real $k) /$ real $n<1 "$
using pi_gt_zero by (subst mult_less_cancel_right) (auto simp del:
pi_gt_zero)
also have " ((1 + 2 * real k) / real $n<1) \longleftrightarrow 1+2 *$ real $k$ < real
n"
using $k$ by (auto simp: field_simps)
also have "... $\longleftrightarrow k<n$ div 2"
by linarith
finally show "cheb_node $n k>0 \longleftrightarrow k<n d i v 2 "$
by (simp add: cheb_node_def)
qed
lemma cheb_poly_roots_bij_betw:
"bij_betw (cheb_node n) \{..<n\} \{x. cheb_poly $n x=0\} "$
proof -
have inj: "inj_on (cheb_node n) \{..<n\}" (is "inj_on ?h _")
using strict_antimono_cheb_node[of n] unfolding strict_antimono_iff_antimono
by blast
have "cheb_node $n \cdot\{. .<n\}=\left\{x . c h e b \_p o l y n x=0\right\} "$
proof (rule card_seteq)
have "finite \{x. poly (Cheb_poly n) (x::real) = 0\}"
by (intro poly_roots_finite) auto
thus "finite $\{x$. cheb_poly $n(x:: r e a l)=0\} "$ by simp
next
show "cheb_node $n$ ` $\{. .<n\} \subseteq\left\{x . c h e b \_p o l y n x=0\right\} "$
by auto
next
have "\{x. cheb_poly $n x=0\}=\left\{x . p o l y\left(C h e b \_p o l y n\right)(x:: r e a l)=\right.$
0\}" by simp
also have "card ... $\leq$ degree (Cheb_poly n :: real poly)"
by (intro poly_roots_degree) auto
also have "... = n" by simp
also have " $n=$ card (cheb_node $n$ - $\{. .<n\}$ )"
using inj by (subst card_image) auto
finally show "card \{x::real. cheb_poly $n x=0\} \leq$ card (cheb_node
$n$ - \{..<n\})".
qed
with inj show ?thesis
unfolding bij_betw_def by blast
qed

```
```

lemma card_cheb_poly_roots: "card {x::real. cheb_poly n x = 0} = n"
using bij_betw_same_card[OF cheb_poly_roots_bij_betw[of n]] by simp

```

It is easy to see that all the Chebyshev nodes have order 1 as roots of \(T_{n}\).
```

lemma order_Cheb_poly_cheb_node [simp]:
assumes "k < n"
shows "order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n) = 1"
proof -
have "(\sum(x::real) | cheb_poly n x = 0. order x (Cheb_poly n)) \leq n"
using sum_order_le_degree[of "Cheb_poly n :: real poly"] by simp
also have "(\sum(x::real) | cheb_poly n x = 0. order x (Cheb_poly n))
=
(\sumk<n. order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n))"
by (rule sum.reindex_bij_betw [symmetric], rule cheb_poly_roots_bij_betw)
finally have "(\sumk<n. order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n)) \leqn".
have "(\suml\in{..<n}-{k}. 1 :: nat) \leq (\suml\in{..<n}-{k}. order (cheb_node
n l) (Cheb_poly n))"
by (intro sum_mono) (auto simp: Suc_le_eq order_gt_0_iff)
also have "... + order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n) =
(\suml\ininsert k ({..<n}-{k}). order (cheb_node n l) (Cheb_poly
n))"
by (subst sum.insert) auto
also have "insert k ({..<n}-{k}) = {..<n}"
using assms by auto
also have "(\sumk<n. order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n)) \leqn"
by fact
finally have "order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n) \leq 1"
using assms by simp
moreover have "order (cheb_node n k) (Cheb_poly n) > 0"
using assms by (auto simp: order_gt_O_iff)
ultimately show ?thesis
by linarith
qed
lemma order_Cheb_poly [simp]:
assumes "poly (Cheb_poly n) (x :: real) = 0"
shows "order x (Cheb_poly n) = 1"
proof -
have "x \in {x. poly (Cheb_poly n) x = 0}"
using assms by simp
also have "... = cheb_node n ` {..<n}"
using cheb_poly_roots_bij_betw assms by (auto simp: bij_betw_def)
finally show ?thesis
by auto
qed

```

This also means that \(T_{n}\) is square-free. We only show this for the case where we view \(T_{n}\) as a real polynomial, but this also holds in every other reasonable
ring since \(\mathbb{R}\) is a splitting field of \(T_{n}\) (as we have just shown). However, we chose not to do this here.
```

lemma rsquarefree_Cheb_poly_real: "rsquarefree (Cheb_poly n :: real poly)"
unfolding rsquarefree_def by (auto simp: order_eq_O_iff)

```

Similarly, the \(n\) distinct real roots of \(U_{n}\) are:
\[
y_{i}=\cos \left(\frac{k+1}{n+1} \pi\right)
\]
definition cheb_node' :: "nat \(\Rightarrow\) nat \(\Rightarrow\) real" where
"cheb_node' \(n k=\cos (r e a l(k+1) / r e a l(n+1) * p i) "\)
lemma cheb_poly'_cheb_node' [simp]:
assumes " \(k\) < \(n\) "
shows "cheb_poly' n (cheb_node' n k) = 0"
proof -
define \(x\) where " \(x=r e a l(k+1) / r e a l(n+1) "\)
have \(x:\) " \(x \in\{0<. .<1\}\) "
using assms by (auto simp: x_def)
have "cheb_poly' \(n(\cos (x * p i)) * \sin (x * p i)=\sin (r e a l(n+1)\)
* ( \(x\) * \(p i\) ))" using assms by (simp add: cheb_poly'_cos)
also have "real \((n+1) *(x * p i)=r e a l(k+1) * p i "\) by (simp add: x_def)
also have "sin ... = 0" by (rule sin_npi)
finally have "cheb_poly' \(n\left(c h e b_{-} n o d e ' n k\right) * \sin (x * p i)=0 "\) unfolding cheb_node'_def \(x_{-}\)def by simp
moreover have "sin ( \(x\) * pi) > 0" by (intro sin_gt_zero) (use \(x\) in auto)
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemma strict_antimono_cheb_node': "monotone_on \{..<n\} (<) (>) (cheb_node' n)"
unfolding cheb_node'_def
proof (intro monotone_onI cos_monotone_O_pi)
fix \(k\) l assume \(k l: ~ " k \in\{. .<n\} " ~ " l \in\{. .<n\} "\)
have " real \((1+1) / r e a l(n+1) * p i \leq 1 * p i "\)
by (intro mult_right_mono; use kl in simp; fail)
thus "real \((1+1) /\) real \((n+1) * p i \leq p i "\)
by simp
assume "k < l"
show "real \((k+1) / r e a l(n+1) * p i<r e a l(1+1) / r e a l(n+1)\)
* pi"
using kl <k < l> by (intro mult_strict_right_mono divide_strict_right_mono)
auto
qed (auto simp: field_simps)
lemma cheb_node'_pos_iff:
assumes \(k\) : "k < \(n\) "
shows "cheb_node' \(n k>0 \longleftrightarrow k<n d i v 2 "\)
proof -
have "real \((k+1) / r e a l(n+1) * p i \leq 1 * p i "\)
by (intro mult_right_mono) (use \(k\) in auto)
hence "cos (real \((k+1) / r e a l(n+1) * p i)>\cos (p i / 2) \longleftrightarrow\) real \((k+1) / r e a l(n+1) * p i<1 / 2 * p i "\)
using assms by (subst cos_mono_less_eq) auto
also have \(" . . \longleftrightarrow\) real \((k+1) /\) real \((n+1)<1 / 2 "\)
using pi_gt_zero by (subst mult_less_cancel_right) (auto simp del:
pi_gt_zero)
also have "real \((k+1) /\) real \((n+1)<1 / 2 \longleftrightarrow 2 *\) real \(k+2<\)
real n + 1"
using \(k\) by (auto simp: field_simps)
also have "... \(\longleftrightarrow k<n\) div 2"
by linarith
finally show "cheb_node' \(n k>0 \longleftrightarrow k<n d i v 2 "\)
by (simp add: cheb_node'_def)
qed
lemma cheb_poly'_roots_bij_betw:
"bij_betw (cheb_node' \(n\) ) \{..<n\} \{x. cheb_poly' \(n x=0\} "\) proof -
have inj: "inj_on (cheb_node' n) \{..<n\}"
using strict_antimono_cheb_node'[of n] unfolding strict_antimono_iff_antimono
by blast
have "cheb_node' \(n\) - \(\{. .<n\}=\left\{x . c h e b \_p o l y ' n x=0\right\} "\)
proof (rule card_seteq)
have "finite \{x. poly (Cheb_poly' n) (x::real) = 0\}"
by (intro poly_roots_finite) auto
thus "finite \(\{x\). cheb_poly' \(n(x:\) :real \()=0\} "\) by simp
next
show "cheb_node' \(n\) • \(\{. .<n\} \subseteq\left\{x . c h e b \_p o l y ' n x=0\right\} "\) by auto
next
have "\{x. cheb_poly' \(n x=0\}=\left\{x . p o l y\left(C h e b \_p o l y ' n\right)(x: r e a l)\right.\)
\(=0\} "\) by simp
also have "card ... \(\leq\) degree (Cheb_poly' \(n\) :: real poly)" by (intro poly_roots_degree) auto
also have "... = n" by simp
also have " \(n=\) card (cheb_node' \(n\) • \{..<n\})"
using inj by (subst card_image) auto
finally show "card \{x::real. cheb_poly' \(n \mathrm{x}=0\} \leq\) card (cheb_node'
\(n\) - \{..<n\})".
qed
```

    with inj show ?thesis
    unfolding bij_betw_def by blast
    qed
lemma card_cheb_poly'_roots: "card {x::real. cheb_poly' n x = 0} = n"
using bij_betw_same_card[OF cheb_poly'_roots_bij_betw[of n]] by simp
lemma order_Cheb_poly'_cheb_node' [simp]:
assumes "k < n"
shows "order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n) = 1"
proof -
have "(\sum(x::real) | cheb_poly' n x = 0. order x (Cheb_poly' n))}
n"
using sum_order_le_degree[of "Cheb_poly' n :: real poly"] by simp
also have "(\sum(x::real) | cheb_poly' n x = O. order x (Cheb_poly' n))
=
(\sumk<n. order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n))"
by (rule sum.reindex_bij_betw [symmetric], rule cheb_poly'_roots_bij_betw)
finally have "(\sumk<n. order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n)) \leq n".
have "(\suml\in{..<n}-{k}. 1 :: nat) \leq (\suml\in{..<n}-{k}. order (cheb_node'
n l) (Cheb_poly' n))"
by (intro sum_mono) (auto simp: Suc_le_eq order_gt_0_iff)
also have "... + order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n) =
(\suml\ininsert k ({..<n}-{k}). order (cheb_node' n l) (Cheb_poly'
n))"
by (subst sum.insert) auto
also have "insert k ({..<n}-{k}) = {..<n}"
using assms by auto
also have "(\sumk<n. order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n)) \leq n"
by fact
finally have "order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n) \leq 1"
using assms by simp
moreover have "order (cheb_node' n k) (Cheb_poly' n) > 0"
using assms by (auto simp: order_gt_O_iff)
ultimately show ?thesis
by linarith
qed
lemma order_Cheb_poly' [simp]:
assumes "poly (Cheb_poly' n) (x :: real) = 0"
shows "order x (Cheb_poly' n) = 1"
proof -
have "x \in {x. poly (Cheb_poly' n) x = 0}"
using assms by simp
also have "... = cheb_node' n ` {..<n}"
using cheb_poly'_roots_bij_betw assms by (auto simp: bij_betw_def)
finally show ?thesis

```
```

    by auto
    qed
lemma rsquarefree_Cheb_poly'_real: "rsquarefree (Cheb_poly' n :: real
poly)"
unfolding rsquarefree_def by (auto simp: order_eq_O_iff)

```

\subsection*{3.5 Generating functions}
\(T_{n}\) and \(U_{n}\) have the following rational generating functions:
\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{n}(x) t^{n}=\frac{1-t x}{1-2 t x+t^{2}} \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} U_{n}(x) t^{n}=\frac{1}{1-2 t x+t^{2}}
\]

This is a simple consequence of the linear recurrence equations they satisfy (which we used as their definitions).
Due to some limitations coming from the type class structure, we cannot currently write this down nicely as an equation, but the following form is almost as good.
```

theorem Abs_fps_Cheb_poly:
fixes $F X T$ :: "real fps fps"
defines " $X \equiv f p s_{-}$const $f p s_{-} X$ " and " $T \equiv f p s_{-} X$ "
defines " $F \equiv$ Abs_fps (fps_of_poly o Cheb_poly)"
shows $" F *\left(1-2 * T * X+T^{2}\right)=1-T * X "$
proof -
have $" F=1-F * T *(T-2 * X)-T * X "$
proof (rule fps_ext)
fix $n$ : : nat
define foo :: "real fps fps" where "foo = Abs_fps ( $\lambda$ na. fps_of_poly
(pCons 0 (smult 2 (Cheb_poly (Suc na))) - Cheb_poly na))"
have "fps_nth $F n=f p s \_n t h\left(1+T * X+T^{2} *(f o o)\right) n "$
by (cases $n$ rule: cheb_poly.P.cases)
(simp_all add: F_def T_def X_def fps_X_power_mult_nth Cheb_poly_simps
foo_def)
also have "foo $=2 * X *$ fps_shift $1 F-F "$
by (simp add: foo_def $F_{-}$def $X_{-} d e f T_{-} d e f$ fps_eq_iff numeral_fps_const
mult.assoc coeff_pCons split: nat.splits)
also have " $1+T * X+T^{2} *\left(2 * X * f p s \_s h i f t 1 F-F\right)=$
$1+T * X *\left(1+2 *\left(T * f p s \_s h i f t 1 F\right)\right)-T^{2} * F^{\prime \prime}$
by (simp add: algebra_simps power2_eq_square)
also have " $T$ * fps_shift $1 F=F-1 "$
by (rule fps_ext) (auto simp: T_def $F_{-}$def)
also have $11+T * X *(1+2 *(F-1))-T^{2} * F=1-F * T *(T$

- 2 * X) - T * $X^{\prime \prime}$
by (simp add: algebra_simps power2_eq_square)
finally show "fps_nth $F n=f p s \_n t h \ldots n "$.
qed
thus ?thesis

```
```

    by algebra
    qed
theorem Abs_fps_Cheb_poly':
fixes F X T :: "real fps fps"
defines "X \equivfps_const fps_X" and "T \equivfps_X"
defines "F \equivAbs_fps (fps_of_poly o Cheb_poly')"
shows "F*(1-2*T*X + T
proof -
have "F = 1-F *T* (T - 2 * X)"
proof (rule fps_ext)
fix n :: nat
define foo :: "real fps fps" where "foo = Abs_fps (\lambdana. fps_of_poly
(pCons O (smult 2 (Cheb_poly' (Suc na))) - Cheb_poly' na))"
have "fps_nth F n = fps_nth (1 + 2*T * X + T ' * (foo)) n"
by (cases n rule: cheb_poly.P.cases)
(simp_all add: F_def T_def X_def fps_X_power_mult_nth Cheb_poly'_simps
foo_def numeral_fps_const)
also have "foo = 2 * X * fps_shift 1 F - F"
by (simp add: foo_def F_def X_def T_def fps_eq_iff numeral_fps_const
mult.assoc coeff_pCons split: nat.splits)
also have "1 + 2 * T*X + T' * (2*X * fps_shift 1 F - F) =
1 + 2 * T * X * (1 + T * fps_shift 1 F) - T
by (simp add: algebra_simps power2_eq_square)
also have "T * fps_shift 1 F = F - 1"
by (rule fps_ext) (auto simp: T_def F_def)
also have "1 + 2 * T * X * (1 + (F - 1)) - T' * F = 1 - F * T * (T

- 2 * X)"
by (simp add: algebra_simps power2_eq_square)
finally show "fps_nth F n = fps_nth ... n" .
qed
thus ?thesis
by algebra
qed

```

\subsection*{3.6 Optimality with respect to the \(\infty\)-norm}

We now turn towards a property of \(T_{n}\) that explains why they are interesting for interpolating smooth functions. If \(f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\) is a smooth function on the unit interval, the approximation error attained when interpolating \(f\) with a polynomial \(P\) of degree \(n\) at the interpolation points \(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\) is
\[
\frac{f^{(n)}(\xi)}{n!} \prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(x-x_{i}\right) .
\]

Therefore, it makes sense to choose the interpolation points such that \(\prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-\) \(x_{i}\) ) is minimal.
We will show below results that imply that this product cannot be smaller
than \(2^{1-n}\), and it is easy to see that if we choose \(x_{i}\) to be the Chebyshev nodes then the product becomes exactly \(2^{1-n}\) and thus optimal.

Out first result is now the following: The \(\infty\)-norm of a monic polynomial of degree \(n\) on the unit interval \([-1,1]\) is at least \(2^{1-n}\). This gives us a kind of lower bound on the "oscillation" of polynomials: a monic polynomial of degree \(n\) cannot stay closer than \(2^{1-n}\) to 0 at every point of the unit interval.
```

lemma Sup_abs_poly_bound_aux:
fixes p :: "real poly"
assumes "lead_coeff p = 1"
shows "\existsx\in{-1..1}. |poly p x| \geq 1/2 ( (degree p - 1)"
proof (rule ccontr)
define n where "n = degree p"
assume "\neg(\existsx\in{-1..1}. |poly p x | \geq 1/ 2 - (degree p - 1))"
hence abs_less: "|poly p x|< 1/2 - (n - 1)" if "x f {-1..1}" for x
using that unfolding n_def by force
have "n > 0"
proof (rule Nat.grOI)
assume [simp]: "n = 0"
hence "p = 1"
using assms monic_degree_0 unfolding n_def by blast
with abs_less[of 0] show False
by simp
qed
define q where "q = p - smult (1 / 2 - (n - 1)) (Cheb_poly n)"
have "coeff q n = 0"
using assms by (auto simp: q_def n_def cheb_poly.lead_coeff)
moreover have "degree q}\leqn
by (auto simp: n_def q_def degree_diff_le)
ultimately have "degree q < n"
using <0 < n> eq_zero_or_degree_less[of q n] by force
define x where "x = ( }\lambdak.\operatorname{cos (real (2 * k) / real n * pi / 2))"
have antimono_x: "strict_antimono_on {0..n} x"
using <n > 0}\mp@subsup{)}{}{>}\mathrm{ by (auto simp: monotone_on_def x_def cos_mono_less_eq
field_simps)
have sgn_q_x: "sgn (poly q (x k)) = (-1) - Suc k" if k: "k \leq n" for
k
proof -
from k have [simp]: "cheb_poly n (x k) = (-1) ^ k"
unfolding x_def by auto
have "poly q (x k) = poly p (x k) - (-1) ^ k / 2 - (n-1)"
by (auto simp: q_def)
moreover have "|poly p (x k)|< 1 / 2 - (n-1)"
using abs_less[of "x k"] by (auto simp: x_def n_def)

```
```

    moreover have "x k \in {-1..1}"
        by (auto simp: x_def)
    ultimately have "if even k then poly q (x k) < O else poly q (x k)
    > 0"
using abs_less[of "x k"] by (auto simp: q_def sgn_if)
thus "sgn (poly q (x k)) = (-1) " Suc k"
by (simp add: minus_one_power_iff)
qed
have "\existst\in{x (Suc k)<..<x k}. poly q t = 0" if k: "k < n" for k
using poly_IVT[of "x (Suc k)" "x k" q] sgn_q_x[of k] sgn_q_x[of "Suc
k"] k
monotone_onD[OF antimono_x, of k "Suc k"]
by (force simp: sgn_if minus_one_power_iff mult_neg_pos mult_pos_neg
split: if_splits)
then obtain y where y: "y k \in {x (Suc k)<..<x k} ^ poly q (y k) =
O" if "k < n" for k
by metis
have "strict_antimono_on {0..<n} y"
unfolding monotone_on_def
proof safe
fix k l
assume kl: "k \in {0..<n}" "l \in {0..<n}" "k < l"
hence "y k > x (Suc k)" "x l > y l"
using y[of k] y[of l] by auto
moreover have "x (Suc k) \geq x l"
proof (cases "Suc k = l")
case False
hence "Suc k < l"
using kl by linarith
from monotone_onD[OF antimono_x _ _ this] show ?thesis
using kl by auto
qed auto
ultimately show "y k > y l"
by linarith
qed
hence "inj_on y {0..<n}"
using strict_antimono_iff_antimono by blast
hence "card (y `{0..<n}) = n"         by (subst card_image) auto     have "q = 0"         using abs_less[of 1] by (auto simp: q_def)     hence "finite {x. poly q x = 0}"         using poly_roots_finite by blast     moreover have "y``{0..<n}\subseteq{x. poly q x = 0}"         using y by auto     ultimately have "card (y` {0..<n}) \leq card {x. poly q x = 0}"
using card_mono by blast

```
```

    also have "... < n"
        using poly_roots_degree[of q] <q F= 0> <degree q < n> by simp
    also have "card (y` {0..<n}) = n"
        by fact
    finally show False
        by simp
    qed
lemma Sup_abs_poly_bound_unit_ivl:
fixes p :: "real poly"
shows "(SUP x\in{-1..1}. |poly p x|) \geq |lead_coeff p|/ 2 - (degree
p - 1)"
proof (cases "p = 0")
case [simp]: False
define a where "a = lead_coeff p"
have [simp]: "a f= 0"
by (auto simp: a_def)
define q where "q= smult (1/a) p"
have [simp]: "lead_coeff q = 1"
by (auto simp: q_def a_def)
have p_eq: "p = smult a q"
by (auto simp: q_def)
obtain x where x: "x\in{-1..1}" "|poly q x | \geq 1/2 - (degree q - 1)"
using Sup_abs_poly_bound_aux[of q] by auto
show ?thesis
proof (rule cSup_upper2[of "|poly p x|"])
show "bdd_above ((\lambdax. |poly p x|) ` {- 1..1})"
by (intro bounded_imp_bdd_above compact_imp_bounded compact_continuous_image)
(auto intro!: continuous_intros)
qed (use x in <auto simp: p_eq abs_mult field_simps>)
qed auto

```

Using an appropriate change of variables, we obtain the following bound in the most general form for a non-constant polynomial \(P(x)\) on some nonempty interval \([a, b]\) :
\[
\sup _{x \in[a, b]}|P(x)| \geq 2 \cdot \operatorname{lc}(p) \cdot\left(\frac{b-a}{4}\right)^{\operatorname{deg}(p)}
\]
where lc \((p)\) denotes the leading coefficient of \(p\).
```

theorem Sup_abs_poly_bound:
fixes p :: "real poly"
assumes "a < b" and "degree p > 0"
shows "(SUP x\in{a..b}. |poly p x|) \geq2 * |lead_coeff p| * ((b - a)
/ 4) " degree p"
proof -
define q where "q = pcompose p [:(a + b) / 2, (b - a) / 2:]"
define f where "f=(\lambdax. (a + b) / 2 + x * (b - a)/2)"

```
```

    define g where "g = (\lambdax. (a + b) / (a - b) + x * 2 / (b - a))"
    have p_eq: "p = pcompose q [:(a + b) / (a - b), 2 / (b - a):]"
        using assms by (auto simp: q_def field_simps simp flip: pcompose_assoc)
    have "(SUP x\in{-1..1}. |poly q x|) \geq |lead_coeff q| / 2 ~ (degree q -
    1)"
by (rule Sup_abs_poly_bound_unit_ivl)
also have "(\lambdax. |poly q x|) = abs ○ poly p ○ f"
by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff q_def poly_pcompose f_def)
also have "...` {-1..1} = abs` poly p`(f` {-1..1})"
by (simp add: image_image)
also have "f `{-1..1} = {a..b}"     proof -         have "f` {-1..1} = (+) ((a+b)/2) `(*) ((b-a)/2)` {-1..1}"
by (simp add: image_image f_def algebra_simps)
also have "(*) ((b-a)/2) `{-1..1} = {- ((b - a) / 2)...(b - a) / 2}"             using assms by (subst image_mult_atLeastAtMost) simp_all         also have "(+) ((a+b)/2)` ... = {a..b}"
by (subst image_add_atLeastAtMost) (simp_all add: field_simps)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
also have "abs `poly p` {a..b} = (\lambdax. |poly p x|) ` {a..b}"
by (simp add: image_image o_def)
also have "lead_coeff q = lead_coeff p * ((b - a) / 2) ^ degree p"
using assms unfolding q_def by (subst lead_coeff_comp) auto
also have "degree q = degree p"
using assms by (auto simp: q_def)
also have "|lead_coeff p * ((b - a) / 2) ^ degree p| / (2 ^ (degree p

- 1)) =
2 * |lead_coeff p|* ((b - a) / 4) ^ degree p"
using assms
by (simp add: power_divide abs_mult power_diff flip: power_mult_distrib)
finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

If we scale \(T_{n}\) with a factor of \(2^{1-n}\), it exactly attains the lower bound we just derived. The Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind are, in that sense, the polynomials that stay closest to 0 within the unit interval.
With some more work (that we will not do), one can see that \(T_{n}\) is in fact the only polynomial that attains this minimal deviation (see e.g. Corollary 3.4B in Mason \& Handscomb [1]). This fact, however, requires proving the Equioscillation Theorem, which is not so easy and beyond the scope of this entry.
```

lemma abs_cheb_poly_le_1:
assumes "(x :: real) \in {-1..1}"
shows "|cheb_poly n x | < 1"
proof -
have "|cheb_poly n (cos (arccos x))| \leq 1"
by (subst cheb_poly_cos) auto

```
```

    with assms show ?thesis
    by simp
    qed
theorem Sup_abs_poly_bound_sharp:
fixes n :: nat and p :: "real poly"
defines "p \equiv smult (1 / 2 ~ (n - 1)) (Cheb_poly n)"
shows "degree p = n" and "lead_coeff p = 1"
and "(SUP x\in{-1..1}. |poly p x ) = 1/ 2 - (n - 1)"
proof -
show p: "degree p = n" "lead_coeff p = 1"
by (simp_all add: p_def cheb_poly.lead_coeff)

```

```

    proof (rule antisym)
        show "(SUP x\in{- 1..1}. |poly p x|) \geq 1/2 - (n - 1)"
            using Sup_abs_poly_bound_unit_ivl[of p] p by simp
        show "(SUP x\in{- 1..1}. |poly p x|) \leq 1/2 - (n - 1)"
        proof (rule cSUP_least)
            fix x :: real assume "x \in {-1..1}"
            thus "|poly p x \ \leq 1/2 - (n - 1)"
            using abs_cheb_poly_le_1[of x n] by (auto simp: p_def field_simps)
        qed auto
    qed
    qed

```

A related fact: among all the real polynomials of degree \(n\) whose absolute value is bounded by 1 within the unit interval, \(T_{n}\) is the one that grows fastest outside the unit interval.
```

theorem cheb_poly_fastest_growth:
fixes p :: "real poly"
defines "n \equiv degree p"
assumes p_bounded: "\x. |x | \leq 1 \Longrightarrow |poly p x | \leq 1"
assumes x: "x \& {-1<..<1}"
shows "|cheb_poly n x
proof (cases "n > 0")
case False
thus ?thesis
using p_bounded[of 1] unfolding n_def
by (auto elim!: degree_eq_zeroE)
next
case True
show ?thesis
proof (rule ccontr)
assume "\neg|poly p x | \ |cheb_poly n x|"
hence gt: "|poly p x| > |cheb_poly n x|" by simp
define h where "h = smult (cheb_poly n x / poly p x) p"
have [simp]: "poly h x = cheb_poly n x" using gt by (simp add: h_def)
have "degree (Cheb_poly n - h) \leq n"

```
```

        by (rule degree_diff_le) (auto simp: n_def h_def)
    ```
    from gt have "poly (Cheb_poly \(n-h\) ) \(x=0\) "
        by (simp add: h_def)
    define a where "a = ( \(\lambda k\). cos (real k/n * pi))"
    have cheb_poly_a: "cheb_poly \(n(a k)=(-1) ~ へ k "\) if " \(k \leq n\) " for \(k\)
        using <n > 0 > and \(\langle k \leq n>\)
        by (auto simp: cheb_poly_conv_cos field_simps arccos_cos a_def)
    have a_mono: "a \(k \leq a l\) " if \(k \geq 1 " n \leq n "\) for \(k l\)
        unfolding a_def by (intro cos_monotone_0_pi_le) (insert <n > 0>
that, auto simp: field_simps)
    have a_bounds: "|a \(k \mid \leq 1 "\) for \(k\) by (simp add: a_def)
    have h_a_bounded: "|poly \(h(a k) \mid<1 "\) if \(" k \leq n "\) for \(k\)
    proof -
        have "|poly h (a k)| = |cheb_poly n x / poly p x| * |poly p (a k)|"
            by (simp add: h_def abs_mult)
        also have "... \(\leq \mid\) cheb_poly \(n x / p o l y ~ p x \mid * 1 "\) using a_bounds[of
k]
            by (intro mult_left_mono) (auto simp: p_bounded)
            also have "... < 1 * 1 " using \(g t\)
                        by (intro mult_strict_right_mono) (auto simp: field_simps)
            finally show ?thesis by simp
    qed
    have " \(\exists t \in\{\) a (Suc \(k\) ) <.. <a k\}. cheb_poly \(n t=p o l y h t "\) if " \(k<n\) "
for \(k\)
    proof -
        define 1 where "l = -1 - poly h (a (if even \(k\) then Suc \(k\) else k))"
        define \(u\) where \(" u=1\) - poly \(h\) (a (if even \(k\) then \(k\) else Suc \(k\) ))"
        have lu: " 1 < \(0 "\) "u > \(0 "\)
            using h_a_bounded[of k] h_a_bounded[of "Suc k"] <k < n> by (auto
simp: l_def u_def)
    have "continuous_on \{a (Suc k)..a k\} ( \(\lambda\) t. cheb_poly \(n t\) - poly h
t)"
            by (intro continuous_intros)
            moreover have "connected \{a (Suc k)..a k\}" by simp
            ultimately have conn: "connected ( \((\lambda t\). cheb_poly \(n t-p o l y h t)\)
    - \{a (Suck)..a k\})"
            by (rule connected_continuous_image)
            have " \(\exists t \in\{a(S u c k) . . a k\}\). cheb_poly \(n t-p o l y h t=l " u s i n g\)
<k < n>
            by (intro bexI[of _ "a (if even k then Suc k else k)"])
                (auto intro!: a_mono simp: cheb_poly_a l_def)
            moreover have \({ }^{\prime} \exists t \in \overline{\{a}(\) Suc \(k)\)..a \(\left.k\right\}\). cheb_poly \(n t-p o l y h t=\)
u" using <k < n>
            by (intro bexI[of _ "a (if even \(k\) then \(k\) else Suc k)"])
                (auto intro!: a_mono simp: cheb_poly_a u_def)
ultimately have " \(0 \in(\lambda t\). cheb_poly \(n t-p o l y h t)\) - \{a (Suck)..a k\}" using lu
by (intro connectedD_interval[0F conn, of l u 0]) auto
then obtain \(t\) where \(t: ~ " t \in\{a(S u c k) . a k\} "\) "cheb_poly \(n t=\) poly h t"
by auto
moreover have " \(t \neq a l "\) if "l \(\leq n\) " for 1
proof
assume [simp]: "t = a l"
with \(t\) and that have "poly \(h t=(-1)\) - l" by (simp add: cheb_poly_a)
hence "|poly \(h t \mid=1 "\) by simp
with h_a_bounded[OF that] show False by auto
qed
from this[of k] and this[of "Suc k"] and <k < \(n\) >
have "t \(\neq \mathrm{a} k\) " "t \(\neq \mathrm{a}\) (Suc k)" by auto
ultimately show ?thesis by (intro bexI[of _ t]) auto
qed
hence \(\forall \forall k \in\{. .<n\} . \exists t . t \in\{a(S u c k)<. .<a k\} \wedge\) cheb_poly \(n t=p o l y\) h t" by blast
then obtain \(b\) where \(b: ~ " \bigwedge k . k<n \Longrightarrow b k \in\{a(S u c k)<. .<a k\} "\) " \(\wedge k . k<n \Longrightarrow\) cheb_poly \(n(b k)=p o l y h\) (b k)"
by (subst (asm) bchoice_iff) blast
have b_mono: "b k > b l" if "k < l" "l < n" for \(k\) l
proof have "b l < a l" using b(1)[of l] that by simp also have "a \(1 \leq a(S u c k) "\) using that by (intro a_mono) auto also have "a (Suc k) < b k" using b(1)[of k] that by simp finally show ?thesis .
qed
have b_inj: "inj_on b \{..<n\}"
proof
fix \(k l\) assume \(" k \in\{. .<n\} " n \in\{\ldots<n\} "\) "b \(k=b l "\)
thus "k = l" using b_mono[of k l] b_mono[of lk]
by (cases \(k\) l rule: linorder_cases) auto
qed
have "Cheb_poly \(n \neq h\) "
proof
assume "Cheb_poly \(n=h "\)
hence "poly (Cheb_poly n) 1 = poly h 1" by (simp only: )
hence "|poly \(p x|=|\) cheb_poly \(n x|*| p o l y p 1 \mid " u s i n g ~ g t\)
by (auto simp: h_def field_simps abs_mult)
also have "... \(\leq\left|c h e b_{-} p o l y n x\right| * 1 "\) by (intro mult_left_mono p_bounded)
auto
finally show False using gt by simp
qed
```

    have "x\not\in b ` {..<n}"
    proof
        assume "x \in b ` {..<n}"
        then obtain k where "k < n" "x = b k" by blast
        hence "abs x < 1" using b(1)[of k] a_bounds[of k] a_bounds[of "Suc
    k"] by force
with x show False by (simp add: abs_if split: if_splits)
qed
with b_inj have "Suc n = card (insert x (b ` {..<n}))"
by (subst card_insert_disjoint) (auto simp: card_image)
also have "... \leq card {t. poly (Cheb_poly n - h) t = 0}"
using b(2) gt <Cheb_poly n f h> by (intro card_mono poly_roots_finite)
auto
also have "... \leq degree (Cheb_poly n - h)" using <Cheb_poly n f= h>
by (intro poly_roots_degree) auto
also have "... \leq n" by (intro degree_diff_le) (auto simp: h_def n_def)
finally show False by simp
qed
qed

```

\subsection*{3.7 Some basic equations}

We first set up a mechanism to allow us to prove facts about Chebyshev polynomials on any ring with characteristic 0 by proving them for Chebyshev polynomials over \(\mathbb{R}\).
```

definition rel_ring_int :: "'a :: ring_1 $\Rightarrow$ 'b :: ring_1 $\Rightarrow$ bool" where
"rel_ring_int $x y \longleftrightarrow\left(\exists n:: i n t . x=o f \_i n t n \wedge y=o f i n t n\right) "$
lemma rel_ring_int_0: "rel_ring_int 0 0"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def by (rule exI[of _ 0]) auto
lemma rel_ring_int_1: "rel_ring_int 1 1"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def by (rule exI[of _ 1]) auto
lemma rel_ring_int_add:
"rel_fun rel_ring_int (rel_fun rel_ring_int rel_ring_int) (+) (+)"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def by (auto intro: exI[of _ "x +
$y^{\prime \prime}$ for $x y$ ])
lemma rel_ring_int_mult:
"rel_fun rel_ring_int (rel_fun rel_ring_int rel_ring_int) (*) (*)"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def by (auto intro: exI[of _ "x *
$y^{\prime \prime}$ for $x y$ ])
lemma rel_ring_int_minus:
"rel_fun rel_ring_int (rel_fun rel_ring_int rel_ring_int) (-) (-)"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def by (auto intro: exI[of _ "x -
$y^{\prime \prime}$ for $x y$ ])

```
```

lemma rel_ring_int_uminus:
"rel_fun rel_ring_int rel_ring_int uminus uminus"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def by (auto intro: exI[of _ "-x"
for x])
lemma sgn_of_int: "sgn (of_int n :: 'a :: linordered_idom) = of_int (sgn
n)"
by (auto simp: sgn_if)
lemma rel_ring_int_sgn:
"rel_fun rel_ring_int (rel_ring_int :: 'a :: linordered_idom = 'b ::
linordered_idom \# bool) sgn sgn"
unfolding rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def using sgn_of_int by metis
lemma bi_unique_rel_ring_int:
"bi_unique (rel_ring_int :: 'a :: ring_char_0 = 'b :: ring_char_0 =
bool)"
by (auto simp: rel_ring_int_def bi_unique_def)
lemmas rel_ring_int_transfer =
rel_ring_int_0 rel_ring_int_1 rel_ring_int_add rel_ring_int_mult rel_ring_int_minus
rel_ring_int_uminus bi_unique_rel_ring_int
lemma rel_poly_rel_ring_int:
"rel_poly rel_ring_int p q \longleftrightarrow (\existsr. p = of_int_poly r ^ q = of_int_poly
r)"
proof
assume "rel_poly rel_ring_int p q"
then obtain f where f: "of_int (f i) = coeff p i" "of_int (f i) = coeff
qi" for i
unfolding rel_poly_def rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def by metis
define g where "g = (\lambdai. if coeff p i = 0 ^ coeff q i = O then O else
f i)"
have g: "of_int (g i) = coeff p i" "of_int (g i) = coeff q i" for i
by (auto simp: g_def f)
define r where "r = Abs_poly g"
have "eventually (\lambdai. g i = 0) cofinite"
unfolding cofinite_eq_sequentially
using eventually_gt_at_top[of "degree p"] eventually_gt_at_top[of
"degree q"]
by eventually_elim (auto simp: g_def coeff_eq_0)
hence r: "coeff r i = g i" for i
unfolding r_def by (simp add: Abs_poly_inverse)
show "\existsr. p = of_int_poly r ^ q = of_int_poly r"
by (intro exI[of _ r]) (auto simp: poly_eq_iff r g)
qed (auto simp: rel_poly_def rel_ring_int_def rel_fun_def)
lemma Cheb_poly_transfer:
"rel_fun (=) (rel_poly rel_ring_int) Cheb_poly Cheb_poly"

```
```

proof
fix m n :: nat assume "m = n"
thus "rel_poly rel_ring_int (Cheb_poly m) (Cheb_poly n :: 'b poly)"
unfolding rel_poly_rel_ring_int
by (intro exI[of _ "Cheb_poly m"]) (auto simp: Cheb_poly_of_int)
qed
lemma Cheb_poly'_transfer:
"rel_fun (=) (rel_poly rel_ring_int) Cheb_poly' Cheb_poly'"
proof
fix m n :: nat assume "m = n"
thus "rel_poly rel_ring_int (Cheb_poly' m) (Cheb_poly' n :: 'b poly)"
unfolding rel_poly_rel_ring_int
by (intro exI[of _ "Cheb_poly' m"]) (auto simp: Cheb_poly'_of_int)
qed
context
fixes T :: "'a :: {idom, ring_char_O} itself"
notes [transfer_rule] = rel_ring_int_transfer [where ?'a = real and
?'b = 'a]
Cheb_poly_transfer[where ?'a = real and ?'b
= 'a]
= 'a]
Cheb_poly'_transfer[where ?'a = real and ?'b
transfer_rule_of_nat transfer_rule_numeral
begin

```

The following rule allows us to prove an equality of real polynomials \(P=Q\) by proving that \(P(\cos x)=Q(\cos x)\) for all \(x \in(0, \alpha)\) for some \(\alpha>0\).
This holds because there are infinitely many such \(\cos x\), but \(P-Q\), being a polynomial, can only have finitely many roots if \(P \neq 0\).
```

lemma Cheb_poly_equalities_aux:
fixes $p$ q :: "real poly"
assumes "a > 0"
assumes " $\ x . x \in\{0<. .<a\} \Longrightarrow$ poly $p(\cos x)=p o l y q(\cos x) "$
shows "p = q"
proof -
define $a^{\prime}$ where "a' $=\max 0(\cos (\min a(p i / 3))$ )"
have "cos (min a (pi / 3)) > cos (pi / 2)"
by (rule cos_monotone_0_pi) (use assms(1) in 〈auto simp: min_def>)
moreover have "cos (min a (pi / 3)) < cos 0"
by (rule cos_monotone_0_pi) (use assms(1) in <auto simp: min_def〉)
ultimately have "a' $\geq 0$ " "a' < 1"
unfolding $a^{\prime} \_d e f$ using <a > 0>
by (auto intro!: cos_gt_zero simp: min_def)
have "infinite \{a'<..<1\}"
using <a' < 1> by simp
moreover have "poly ( $p-q$ ) $y=0$ " if $y: " y \in\left\{a^{\prime}<. .<1\right\} "$ for $y$

```
```

    proof -
    define x where "x = arccos y"
    hence "x < arccos a'"
        unfolding x_def using y <a' < 1> <a' \geq 0>
        by (subst arccos_less_mono) auto
    also have "arccos a' \leq a" using assms(1)
        by (auto simp: a'_def max_def min_def arccos_cos intro: cos_ge_zero
    split: if_splits)
finally have "x < a".
moreover have "cos x = y"
unfolding x_def using y <a' \geq 0> by (subst cos_arccos) auto
moreover have "x > 0"
unfolding x_def using arccos_lt_bounded[of y] y <a' \geq 0> by auto
ultimately show ?thesis
using assms(2) [of x] by simp
qed
hence "{a'<..<1} \subseteq {y. poly (p - q) y = 0}"
by blast
ultimately have "infinite {x. poly ( p - q) x = 0}"
using finite_subset by blast
with poly_roots_finite[of "p - q"] show "p = q"
by auto
qed
First, we show that $T_{n}(x)=n U_{n-1}(x)$ :

```
```

lemma pderiv_Cheb_poly: "pderiv (Cheb_poly n) = of_nat n * (Cheb_poly'

```
lemma pderiv_Cheb_poly: "pderiv (Cheb_poly n) = of_nat n * (Cheb_poly'
(n - 1) :: 'a poly)"
(n - 1) :: 'a poly)"
proof (transfer fixing: n, goal_cases)
proof (transfer fixing: n, goal_cases)
    case 1
    case 1
    show ?case
    show ?case
    proof (cases "n = 0")
    proof (cases "n = 0")
        case False
        case False
        hence n: "n > 0"
        hence n: "n > 0"
            by auto
            by auto
        show ?thesis
        show ?thesis
        proof (rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero], goal_cases)
        proof (rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero], goal_cases)
            case x: (1 x)
            case x: (1 x)
            from x have [simp]: "sin x f=0"
            from x have [simp]: "sin x f=0"
                using sin_gt_zero by force
                using sin_gt_zero by force
            define Q :: "real poly" where "Q = Cheb_poly n"
            define Q :: "real poly" where "Q = Cheb_poly n"
            define Q' :: "real poly" where "Q' = pderiv Q"
            define Q' :: "real poly" where "Q' = pderiv Q"
            define f :: "real # real"
            define f :: "real # real"
                where "f = ( }\lambda\textrm{x}
                where "f = ( }\lambda\textrm{x}
            define g where "g=(\lambdax. - (sin (real n * x) * real n) + sin x
            define g where "g=(\lambdax. - (sin (real n * x) * real n) + sin x
* poly Q' (cos x))"
* poly Q' (cos x))"
        have "(f has_field_derivative g x) (at x)"
        have "(f has_field_derivative g x) (at x)"
                unfolding cheb_poly_cos g_def f_def
                unfolding cheb_poly_cos g_def f_def
                by (auto intro!: derivative_eq_intros simp: Q'_def)
                by (auto intro!: derivative_eq_intros simp: Q'_def)
            moreover have "f = ( }\mp@subsup{\lambda}{-}{\prime}0)
```

            moreover have "f = ( }\mp@subsup{\lambda}{-}{\prime}0)
    ```
```

        by (auto simp: f_def Q_def)
    hence "(f has_field_derivative 0) (at x)"
        by simp
    ultimately have "g x = 0"
        using DERIV_unique by blast
    also have "g x = sin x * (poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly n)) (cos x) -
    real n * cheb_poly' (n-1) (cos x))"
using cheb_poly'_cos[of "n - 1" x] x n
by (simp add: g_def Q'_def Q_def of_nat_diff algebra_simps)
finally show "poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly n)) (cos x) = poly (of_nat
n * Cheb_poly' (n-1)) (cos x)"
using x by simp
qed
qed auto
qed

```

Next, we show that:
\[
U_{n}^{\prime}(x)=\frac{1}{x^{2}-1}\left((n+1) T_{n+1}(x)-x U_{n}(x)\right)
\]
lemma pderiv_Cheb_poly':
"pderiv (Cheb_poly' n) * [:-1, 0, 1 :: 'a:] = of_nat \((n+1)\) * Cheb_poly ( \(n+1\) ) - [:0,1:] * Cheb_poly' n"
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero], goal_cases)
case x : (1 x )
from \(x\) have \([\operatorname{simp}]: ~ " s i n ~ x \neq 0 "\)
using sin_gt_zero by force
define \(Q\) :: "real poly" where "Q = Cheb_poly' n"
define \(Q\) ' : : "real poly" where " \(Q\) ' = pderiv \(Q\) "
define \(R\) :: "real poly" where " \(R=\) Cheb_poly ( \(n+1\) )"
define \(f:\) : "real \(\Rightarrow\) real"
where " \(f=(\lambda x\). sin \((r e a l(n+1) * x) / \sin x-p o l y Q(\cos x)) "\)
define \(g\) where \(" g=(\lambda x: r e a l .((n+1) * \cos ((n+1) * x) * \sin x-\) \(\sin ((n+1) * x) * \cos x) / \sin x-2+\) \(\left.\sin x * \operatorname{poly} Q^{\prime}(\cos x)\right) "\)
have "(f has_field_derivative \(g\) x) (at x)"
unfolding g_def \(f_{-}\)def using \(x\)
by (auto intro!: derivative_eq_intros simp: Q'_def power2_eq_square)
moreover have ev: "eventually ( \(\lambda y . f y=0\) ) (nhds x)"
proof -
have "eventually ( \(\lambda y . y \in\{0<. .<p i\}\) ) (nhds \(x\) )"
by (rule eventually_nhds_in_open) (use \(x\) in auto)
thus ?thesis
proof eventually_elim
case (elim y)
hence "sin y > 0"
by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
thus ?case
using cheb_poly'_cos[of \(n\) y] by (auto simp: f_def \(Q_{-} d e f\) field_simps) qed
qed
ultimately have " ( \(\lambda_{\mathbf{Z}} .0\) ) has_field_derivative \(g \mathrm{x}\) ) (at x)" using DERIV_cong_ev[OF refl ev refl] by simp
hence " \(g x=0\) "
using \(D E R I V_{-}\)unique \(D E R I V_{-}\)const by blast
also have \(" g x=\sin x * p o l y Q^{\prime}(\cos x)+\)
\((\sin x * \cos ((n+1) * x)+r e a l n *(\sin x * \cos ((n+1) * x))-\cos\)
\(x * \sin ((n+1) * x)) / \sin x-2^{\prime \prime}\)
using cheb_poly_cos[of "n - 1"x] x
by (simp add: g_def \(Q^{\prime} \_d e f Q_{-} d e f\) of_nat_diff algebra_simps)
finally have "poly \(Q\) ' \((\cos x)=-\)
\[
(\text { real }(n+1) * \sin x * \cos ((n+1) * x)-
\]
\(\cos x * \sin ((n+1) * x)) / \sin x-3^{\prime \prime}\)
using <sin \(x \neq 0>\)
by (auto simp: field_simps eval_nat_numeral)
also have "sin \(((n+1) * x)=c h e b_{-} p o l y ' n(\cos x) * \sin x "\)
by (rule cheb_poly'_cos [symmetric])
also have \(" \cos ((n+1) * x)=c h e b \_p o l y(n+1)(\cos x) "\) by simp
also have "-(real \((n+1) * \sin x * \operatorname{cheb}\) _poly \((n+1)(\cos x)-\cos x *\)
\((\operatorname{cheb} p\) poly' \(n(\cos x) * \sin x)) / \sin x-3=\)
(cos \(x\) * cheb_poly' \(n(\cos x)\) - real \((n+1) *\) cheb_poly
\((n+1)(\cos x)) / \sin x-2 \prime\)
using \(\langle\sin x \neq 0>\)
by (simp add: field_simps power3_eq_cube power2_eq_square)
finally have "poly \(Q\) ' \((\cos x) * \sin x-2=\)
\(\cos x *\) cheb_poly' \(n(\cos x)\) - real \((n+1) *\) cheb_poly
\((n+1)(\cos x)^{\prime \prime}\)
using \(\langle\sin x \neq 0\) > by (simp add: field_simps)
thus ?case
unfolding sin_squared_eq Q'_def Q_def
by (simp add: algebra_simps power2_eq_square)
qed
Next, we have \(T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(U_{n}(x)-U_{n-2}(x)\right)\).
```

lemma Cheb_poly_rec:
assumes n: "n \geq2"
shows "2 * Cheb_poly n = Cheb_poly' n - (Cheb_poly' (n - 2) :: 'a poly)"
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero],
goal_cases)
case (1 x)
have *: "sin x * (sin x * t) = (1-\operatorname{cos}x - 2) * t" for t
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra
from 1 have "sin x > 0"
by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
hence "(poly (2 * Cheb_poly n) (cos x) - poly (Cheb_poly' n - Cheb_poly'
(n - 2)) (cos x)) = 0"

```
using \(n\)
by (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' * field_simps sin_add sin_diff cos_add power2_eq_square power3_eq_cube of_nat_diff)
thus ?case
by simp
qed
lemma cheb_poly_rec:
assumes \(n\) : " \(n \geq 2 "\)
shows "2 * cheb_poly \(n\) x = cheb_poly' \(n x-c h e b \_p o l y ' ~(n-2)(x:: ' a) "\)
using arg_cong[0F Cheb_poly_rec[OF assms], of " \(\lambda\) P. poly \(P\) x", unfolded
cheb_poly.eval cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps)
Next, we have \(U_{n}(x)=x U_{n-1}(x)+T_{n}(x)\).
lemma Cheb_poly'_rec:
assumes \(n\) : " \(n>0 "\)
shows "Cheb_poly' \(n=[: 0,1:: ' a:]\) * Cheb_poly' (n - 1) + Cheb_poly
n"
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero],
goal_cases)
case (1 x)
have *: "sin \(x *(\sin x * t)=(1-\cos x-2) * t\) " for \(t\)
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra
from 1 have "sin x > 0" by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
hence "(poly (Cheb_poly' n) (cos x) - poly ([:0, 1:] * Cheb_poly' (n
- 1) + Cheb_poly n) \((\cos x))=0 "\)
using \(n\)
by (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' * field_simps sin_add cos_add power2_eq_square power3_eq_cube of_nat_diff)
thus ?case
by simp
qed
lemma cheb_poly'_rec:
assumes \(n\) : " \(n\) > 0"
shows "cheb_poly' \(n x=x\) * cheb_poly' ( \(n-1\) ) \(x+c h e b \_p o l y n(x:: ' a) "\)
using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly'_rec[OF assms], of " \(\lambda P\). poly \(P\) x", unfolded
cheb_poly.eval cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps)
Next, \(T_{n}(x)=x T_{n-1}(x)+\left(x^{2}-1\right) U_{n-2}(x)\).
lemma Cheb_poly_rec':
assumes \(n: ~ " n \geq 2 "\)
shows "Cheb_poly \(n=[: 0,1:: ' a:]\) * Cheb_poly (n-1) + [:-1,0,1:] * Cheb_poly' ( \(n-2\) )"
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero], goal_cases)
```

    case (1 x)
    have *: "sin x * (sin x * t) = (1-\operatorname{cos}x - 2) * t" for t
        using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra
    from 1 have "sin x > 0"
        by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
    hence "poly (Cheb_poly n) (cos x) - poly ([:0, 1:] * Cheb_poly (n-1)
    - [:1, 0, - 1:] * Cheb_poly' (n-2)) (cos x) = 0"
using n
by (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' * field_simps sin_add cos_add sin_diff
cos_diff
power2_eq_square power3_eq_cube of_nat_diff)
thus ?case
by simp
qed
lemma cheb_poly_rec':
assumes n: "n \geq 2"
shows "cheb_poly n x = x * cheb_poly (n-1) x + ( }\mp@subsup{\textrm{x}}{}{2}-1) * cheb_poly'
(n-2) (x::'a)"
using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly_rec'[OF assms], of "\lambdaP. poly P x", unfolded
cheb_poly.eval cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps)

```
\(T_{n}\) and \(U_{-1}\) are a solution to a Pell-like equation on polynomials:
\[
T_{n}(x)^{2}+\left(1-x^{2}\right) U_{n-1}(x)^{2}=1
\]
```

lemma Cheb_poly_Pell:
assumes $n$ : " $n$ > 0"
shows "Cheb_poly n ~ 2 + [:1, 0, -1::'a:] * Cheb_poly' (n - 1) ~ 2
= $1^{\prime \prime}$
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero],
goal_cases)
case (1 x)
from 1 have "sin $x>0$ "
by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
hence "sin x ~ 2 * (poly (Cheb_poly n ~ 2 + [:1, 0, -1::real:] * Cheb_poly'
$(n-1)$ - 2) $(\cos x)-1)=$
$\sin x$ - $2 *(\cos (n * x)-2-1)+(1-\cos x-2) * \sin (n * x)$

- 2"
using n by (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' field_simps power2_eq_square)
also have "... = 0"
by (simp add: sin_squared_eq algebra_simps)
finally show ?case
using <sin x > 0> by simp
qed
lemma cheb_poly_Pell:
assumes n: "n > 0"

```
```

    shows "cheb_poly n x ^ 2 + (1 - x') * cheb_poly' (n-1) x ^ 2 = (1 ::
    'a)"
using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly_Pell[OF assms], of "\lambdaP. poly P x", unfolded
cheb_poly.eval cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps)

```

The following Turán-style equation also holds:
\[
T_{n+1}(x)^{2}-T_{n+2}(x) T_{n}(x)=1-x^{2}
\]
lemma Cheb_poly_Turan:
"Cheb_poly ( \(\mathrm{n}+1\) ) - 2 - Cheb_poly ( \(\mathrm{n}+2\) ) * Cheb_poly \(n=[: 1,0,-1:: \mathrm{a}:] "\)
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[0F pi_gt_zero],
goal_cases)
    case (1 x)
    have *: \(" \sin x * \sin x=1-\cos x\) - \(2 "\)
                            "sin \(x *(\sin x * t)=\left(1-\cos x{ }^{-2}\right) * t "\) for \(t x:: r e a l\)
        using sin_squared_eq[of \(x\) ] by algebra+
    from 1 have " \(\sin \mathrm{x}>0\) "
        by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
    hence "(poly ((Cheb_poly (Suc n)) \({ }^{2}\) - Cheb_poly (Suc (Suc n)) * Cheb_poly
n) \((\cos x)-(1-\cos x-2))=0^{\prime \prime}\)
        using <sin \(x>0\) >
        apply (simp add: field_simps cheb_poly'_cos')
        apply (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' field_simps sin_add cos_add power2_eq_square
*
                                    sin_multiple_reduce cos_multiple_reduce)
        done
    thus ?case
        by (simp add: power2_eq_square)
qed
lemma cheb_poly_Turan:
    "cheb_poly ( \(\mathrm{n}+1\) ) x - 2 - cheb_poly ( \(\mathrm{n}+2\) ) x * cheb_poly \(\mathrm{n} \mathrm{x}=(1-\mathrm{x}\)
- 2 :: 'a)"
    using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly_Turan[of n], of " \(\lambda P\). poly \(P\) x", unfolded
cheb_poly.eval]
    by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps)

And, the analogous one for \(U_{n}\) :
\[
U_{n+1}(x)^{2}-U_{n+2}(x) U_{n}(x)=1
\]
lemma Cheb_poly'_Turan:
"Cheb_poly' ( \(n+1\) ) - 2 - Cheb_poly' ( \(n+2\) ) * Cheb_poly' \(n=(1::\) 'a poly)"
proof (transfer fixing: n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero], goal_cases)
case (1 x)
```

    have *: "sin x * sin x = 1 - cos x - 2"
                "sin x* (sin x*t) = (1-\operatorname{cos}x - 2) * t" for t x :: real
        using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra+
    from 1 have "sin x > 0"
        by (intro sin_gt_zero) auto
    hence "sin x * ((poly ((Cheb_poly' (Suc n)) 2 - Cheb_poly' (Suc (Suc
    n)) * Cheb_poly' n) (cos x) - 1)) = 0"
using < sin x > 0>
apply (simp add: field_simps cheb_poly'_cos')
apply (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' field_simps sin_add cos_add power3_eq_cube
power2_eq_square *
sin_multiple_reduce cos_multiple_reduce)
done
thus ?case
using <sin x > 0> by simp
qed
lemma cheb_poly'_Turan:
"cheb_poly' (n+1) x - 2 - cheb_poly' (n+2) x * cheb_poly' n x = (1
:: 'a)"
using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly'_Turan[of n], of "\lambdaP. poly P x", unfolded
cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: mult_ac)

```

There is also a nice formula for the product of two Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind:
\[
T_{m}(x) T_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{m+n}(x)+T_{m-n}(x)\right)
\]
```

lemma Cheb_poly_prod:
assumes " $n \leq m$ "
shows "2 * Cheb_poly m * Cheb_poly $n=$ Cheb_poly $(m+n)+\left(C h e b \_p o l y\right.$
(m - n) :: 'a poly)"
proof (transfer fixing: m n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[OF pi_gt_zero],
goal_cases)
case (1 x)
have *: $" \sin x * \sin x=1-\cos x{ }^{-} 2 "$
"sin $x *(\sin x * t)=(1-\cos x-2) * t "$ for $t x:: r e a l$
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra+
have "poly (Cheb_poly ( $m$ + n) + Cheb_poly (m - n) - 2 * Cheb_poly m

* Cheb_poly n) $(\cos x)=0 "$
using assms
by (simp add: * cos_add cos_diff of_nat_diff power2_eq_square algebra_simps)
thus ?case
by simp
qed
lemma cheb_poly_prod':
assumes " $n \leq m$ "

```
```

    shows "2 * cheb_poly m x * cheb_poly n x = cheb_poly (m + n) x + cheb_poly
    (m - n) (x :: 'a)"
using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly_prod[OF assms], of "\lambdaP. poly P x", unfolded
cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: poly_pcompose)

```

In particular, this leads to a divide-and-conquer-style recurrence relation for \(T_{n}\) for even and odd n:
\[
\begin{aligned}
T_{2 n}(x) & =2 T_{n}(x)^{2}-1 \\
T_{2 n+1} & =2 T_{n}(x) T_{n+1}(x)-x
\end{aligned}
\]
```

lemma Cheb_poly_even:
"Cheb_poly (2 * n) = 2 * Cheb_poly n - 2 - (1 : : 'a poly)"
using Cheb_poly_prod[of n n]
by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps flip: mult_2)

```
lemma cheb_poly_even:
    "cheb_poly (2 * n) x = 2 * cheb_poly n x - 2 - (1 :: 'a)"
    using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly_even[of n], of " \(\lambda\) P. poly \(P\) x", unfolded
cheb_poly'.eval]
    by (simp add: poly_pcompose)
lemma Cheb_poly_odd:
    "Cheb_poly (2 * n + 1) = 2 * Cheb_poly n * Cheb_poly (Suc n) - [:0,1::'a:]"
    using Cheb_poly_prod[of \(n\) " \(n\) + 1"]
    by (simp add: power2_eq_square algebra_simps flip: mult_2)
lemma cheb_poly_odd:
    "cheb_poly ( 2 * n + 1) x \(=2\) * cheb_poly \(n x *\) cheb_poly (Suc n) x -
( \(x\) :: 'a)"
    using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly_odd[of n], of " \(\lambda\) P. poly \(P\) x", unfolded cheb_poly'.eval]
    by (simp add: poly_pcompose)

Remarkably, we also have the following formula for the composition of two Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind:
\[
T_{m n}(x)=T_{m}\left(T_{n}(x)\right)
\]
theorem Cheb_poly_mult:
"(Cheb_poly (m * n) :: 'a poly) = pcompose (Cheb_poly m) (Cheb_poly
n)"
proof (transfer fixing: m n, rule ccontr)
assume neq: "(Cheb_poly (m * n) :: real poly) \(\neq\) pcompose (Cheb_poly
m) (Cheb_poly n)" (is "?lhs \(\neq\) ?rhs")
have "\{-1..1\} \(\subseteq\{x\). poly (?lhs - ?rhs) \(x=0\} "\)
by (auto simp: cheb_poly_conv_cos mult_ac poly_pcompose)
moreover have " \(\neg\) finite ( \(\{-1.1\}\) :: real set)" by simp
ultimately have " \(\neg\) finite \(\{x\). poly (?lhs - ?rhs) \(x=0\} "\) using finite_subset by blast
moreover have "finite \{x. poly (?lhs - ?rhs) \(x=0\}\) " using neq
by (intro poly_roots_finite) auto
ultimately show False by contradiction
qed
corollary cheb_poly_mult: "cheb_poly m (cheb_poly n x) = cheb_poly (m *
n) ( \(x:: \quad\) 'a)"
proof -
have "cheb_poly m (cheb_poly n x) = poly (pcompose (Cheb_poly m) (Cheb_poly
n) ) \(x^{\prime \prime}\)
by (simp add: poly_pcompose)
also note Cheb_poly_mult [symmetric]
finally show ?thesis by simp
qed
For the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, the following more com-
plicated relationship holds:
\[
U_{m n-1}(x)=U_{m-1}\left(T_{n}(x)\right) \cdot U_{n-1}(x)
\]
```

theorem Cheb_poly'_mult:
assumes "m > 0" "n > 0"
shows "(Cheb_poly' (m * n - 1) :: 'a poly) =
pcompose (Cheb_poly' (m-1)) (Cheb_poly n) * Cheb_poly' (n-1)"
proof (transfer fixing: m n, rule Cheb_poly_equalities_aux[of "pi / n"],
goal_cases)
case (2 x)
have *: "sin x * sin x = 1 - cos x - 2"
"sin x * (sin x * t) = (1- cos x - 2) * t" for t x :: real
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra+
have "x < pi / n"
using 2 by auto
also have "pi / n \leq pi / 1"
using assms by (intro divide_left_mono) auto
finally have "x < pi"
by simp
hence A: "sin x > 0"
by (intro sin_gt_zero) (use 2 in auto)
from 2 have B: "sin (n * x) > 0"
by (intro sin_gt_zero) (use 2 assms in <auto simp: field_simps>)
have "poly ((Cheb_poly' (m * n - 1) :: real poly) -
pcompose (Cheb_poly' (m-1)) (Cheb_poly n) * Cheb_poly' (n-1))
(cos x) = 0"
using assms A B
by (simp add: * cos_add cos_diff of_nat_diff power2_eq_square algebra_simps
poly_pcompose cheb_poly'_cos')
thus ?case

```
```

    by simp
    qed (use assms in auto)
lemma cheb_poly'_mult:
assumes "m > 0" "n > 0"
shows "cheb_poly' (m * n - 1) (x :: 'a) =
cheb_poly' (m-1) (cheb_poly n x) * cheb_poly' (n-1) x"
using arg_cong[OF Cheb_poly'_mult[OF assms], of " \P. poly P x",
unfolded cheb_poly'.eval]
by (simp add: poly_pcompose)

```

The following two lemmas tell tell us that
\[
\begin{aligned}
U_{n}^{\prime}(1) & =2\binom{n+2}{3}=\frac{1}{3} n(n+1)(n+2) \\
U_{n}^{\prime}(-1) & =(-1)^{n+1} 2\binom{n+2}{3}=\frac{(-1)^{n+1}}{3} n(n+1)(n+2)
\end{aligned}
\]

This is good to know because our formula for \(U_{n}^{\prime}\) has a "division by zero" at \(\pm 1\), so we cannot use it to establish these values.
```

lemma poly_pderiv_Cheb_poly'_1:
"3 * poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n) :: 'a poly) 1 = of_nat ((n + 2) * (n

+ 1)         * n)"
proof (transfer fixing: n)
have "poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n)) 1 = real ((n + 2) * (n + 1) * n)
/ 3"
proof (induction n rule: induct_nat_012)
case (ge2 n)
show ?case
by (auto simp: pderiv_pCons Cheb_poly'_simps pderiv_diff pderiv_smult
ge2 field_simps)
qed (auto simp: pderiv_pCons)
thus "3 * poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n)) 1 = real ((n + 2) * (n + 1) *
n)"
by (simp add: field_simps)
qed
lemma poly_pderiv_Cheb_poly'_neg_1:
"3 * poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n) :: 'a poly) (-1) = (-1)`Suc n * of_nat
((n + 2) * (n + 1) * n)"
proof -
have "3 * poly (pderiv (pcompose (Cheb_poly' n) (monom (-1::'a) 1)))
1 =
-3 * poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n)) (- 1)"
by (subst pderiv_pcompose) (auto simp: pderiv_pCons poly_pcompose
monom_altdef)
also have "3 * poly (pderiv (pcompose (Cheb_poly' n) (monom (-1::'a)
1))) 1 =
(- 1) ^ n * (3 * poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n)) 1)"

```
```

    by (subst cheb_poly'.pcompose_minus)
    (auto simp: pderiv_mult one_pCons poly_const_pow pderiv_smult)
    also have "3 * poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n) :: 'a poly) 1 = of_nat ((n
    + 2)         * (n + 1) * n)"
by (rule poly_pderiv_Cheb_poly'_1)
finally show ?thesis
by simp
qed

```

Another alternative definition of \(T_{n}\) and \(U_{n}\) is as the solutions of the ordinary differential equations
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left(1-x^{2}\right) T_{n}^{\prime \prime}-x T_{n}^{\prime}+n^{2} T_{n} & =0 \\
\left(1-x^{2}\right) U_{n}^{\prime \prime}-3 x U_{n}^{\prime}+n(n+2) U_{n} & =0
\end{aligned}
\]
```

lemma Cheb_poly_ODE:
fixes $n$ : : nat
defines " $p \equiv$ (Cheb_poly n :: 'a poly)"
shows "[:1,0,-1:] * (pderiv ~~ 2) p - [:0,1:] * pderiv p + of_nat
n - 2 * $p$ = $0^{\prime \prime}$
proof (cases " $\mathrm{n}=0$ ")
case $n$ : False
define $f$ where " $f=[:-1,0,1::$ 'a:]"
have "[:1,0,-1:] * (pderiv ~~ 2) p - [:0, 1:] * pderiv p + of_nat n
- 2 * $p=$
-(f * (pderiv ~~ 2) p) - [:0, 1:] * pderiv p + of_nat n - 2 *
p"
by (simp add: f_def)
also have "f * (pderiv ~~ 2) p = of_nat n * (pderiv (Cheb_poly' (n -
1)) * f)"
by (simp add: p_def numeral_2_eq_2 pderiv_Cheb_poly pderiv_mult)
also have "pderiv (Cheb_poly' ( $n-1$ ) ) $* f=$
of_nat n * Cheb_poly n - [:0, 1:] * Cheb_poly' (n - 1)"
unfolding $f_{-}$def by (subst pderiv_Cheb_poly') (use n in auto)
also have "- (of_nat n * (of_nat n * Cheb_poly n - [:0, 1:] * Cheb_poly'
(n - 1))) -
[:0, 1:] * pderiv $p+(\text { of_nat } n)^{2} * p=0 "$
by (simp add: p_def pderiv_Cheb_poly power2_eq_square algebra_simps)
finally show ?thesis.
qed (auto simp: p_def numeral_2_eq_2)
lemma Cheb_poly'_ODE:
fixes $n$ :: nat
defines " $p \equiv$ (Cheb_poly' $n::$ 'a poly)"
shows "[:1,0,-1:] * (pderiv ~~ 2) p - [:0,3:] * pderiv p + of_nat
( $n *(n+2))$ * $p=0 "$
proof (cases "n = 0")
case $n$ : False
define $f$ where " $f=[:-1,0,1:: \quad \mathrm{a}:]$ "

```
```

    have "[:1,0,-1:] * (pderiv ~~ 2) p-[:0,3:] * pderiv p + of_nat (n*(n+2))
    ```
* \(p=\)
    -((pderiv ~~ 2) p*f+[:0,3:] * pderiv \(p)+\) of_nat (n*(n+2))
* \(p^{\prime \prime}\)
    by (simp add: algebra_simps f_def)
    also have "(pderiv "- 2) p *f = pderiv (pderiv p * f) - pderiv p *
pderiv \(f^{\prime \prime}\)
    by (simp add: numeral_2_eq_2 pderiv_mult)
    also have "pderiv \(p * f=\) of_nat \((n+1) *\) Cheb_poly ( \(n+1\) ) - [:0,
1:] * Cheb_poly' n"
    unfolding p_def f_def by (subst pderiv_Cheb_poly') auto
    also have "pderiv (of_nat (n + 1) * Cheb_poly (n + 1) - [:0, 1:] * Cheb_poly'
n) -
                        pderiv \(p\) * pderiv \(f+[: 0,3:]\) * pderiv \(p=\)
                    of_nat ( \(\left.n^{\wedge} 2+2 * n\right) * p^{\prime \prime}\)
        by (auto simp: p_def f_def pderiv_pCons pderiv_diff pderiv_mult
                pderiv_add pderiv_Cheb_poly power2_eq_square algebra_simps)
    also have "-... + of_nat (n * \((n+2)) * p=0 "\)
        by (simp add: power2_eq_square)
    finally show ?thesis .
qed (auto simp: numeral_2_eq_2 p_def)
end
lemma cheb_poly_prod:
    fixes x :: "'a :: field_char_O"
    assumes " \(n \leq m "\)
    shows "cheb_poly m x * cheb_poly n x = (cheb_poly (m + n) x + cheb_poly
( \(m\) - n) x) / \(2^{\prime \prime}\)
    using cheb_poly_prod'[OF assms, of x] by (simp add: field_simps)
lemma has_field_derivative_cheb_poly [derivative_intros]:
    assumes "(f has_field_derivative f') (at x within A)"
    shows " ( \(\lambda \mathrm{x}\). cheb_poly \(n(f x)\) ) has_field_derivative
                                (of_nat \(n\) * cheb_poly' (n-1) (f x) * f')) (at \(x\) within
A)"
    unfolding cheb_poly.eval [symmetric]
    by (rule derivative_eq_intros refl assms)+ (simp add: pderiv_Cheb_poly)
lemma has_field_derivative_cheb_poly' [derivative_intros]:
    "(cheb_poly' n has_field_derivative
        (if \(x=1\) then of_nat \(((n+2) *(n+1) * n) / 3\)
        else if \(x=-1\) then ( -1\()^{\text {^Suc }} n *\) of_nat \(((n+2) *(n+1) * n)\)
/ 3
        else (of_nat ( \(n+1\) ) * cheb_poly (Suc n) \(x-x\) * cheb_poly' n x) /
\(\left.\left(x^{2}-1\right)\right)\) )
        (at x within A)" (is "(_ has_field_derivative ?f') (at _ within _)")
proof -
    define a where "a = poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n)) x"
```

    have "((\lambdax. cheb_poly' n x) has_field_derivative a) (at x within A)"
        unfolding cheb_poly'.eval [symmetric]
        by (rule derivative_eq_intros refl)+ (simp add: pderiv_Cheb_poly'
    a_def)
also {
have "(x - 2 - 1) * a = poly (pderiv (Cheb_poly' n) * [:-1, 0, 1:])
x"
by (simp add: a_def power2_eq_square pderiv_minus algebra_simps)
also have '... = of_nat (n+1) * cheb_poly (Suc n) x - x * cheb_poly'
n x"
by (subst pderiv_Cheb_poly') auto
finally have *: "of_nat (n+1) * cheb_poly (Suc n) x - x * cheb_poly'
n x = (x - 2 - 1) * a" ..
have "a = ?f'"
proof (cases "x - 2 = 1")
case x: True
show ?thesis
proof (cases "n = 0")
case False
thus ?thesis using x
using poly_pderiv_Cheb_poly'_1[of n, where ?'a = 'a]
poly_pderiv_Cheb_poly'_neg_1[of n, where ?'a = 'a]
by (auto simp: power2_eq_1_iff a_def field_simps)
qed (auto simp: a_def)
next
case False
thus ?thesis
by (subst *) auto
qed
}
finally show ?thesis .
qed
lemmas has_field_derivative_cheb_poly'' [derivative_intros] =
DERIV_chain'[OF _ has_field__derivative_cheb_poly']

```

\subsection*{3.8 Signs of the coefficients}

Since \(T_{n}(-x)=(-1)^{n} T_{n}(x)\) and analogously for \(U_{n}\), the Chebyshev polynomials are even functions when \(n\) is even and odd functions when \(n\) is odd. Consequently, when \(n\) is even, the coefficients of \(X^{k}\) for any odd \(k\) are 0 and analogously when \(n\) is odd.
```

lemma coeff_Cheb_poly_eq_0:
assumes "odd ( $n+k$ )"
shows "coeff (Cheb_poly $n$ :: 'a :: \{idom,ring_char_0\} poly) $k=0 "$
proof -
note [transfer_rule] =
rel_ring_int_transfer [where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]

```
```

        Cheb_poly_transfer[where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
        transfer_rule_of_nat transfer_rule_numeral
    show ?thesis
    proof (transfer fixing: n k)
        have "coeff ((-1) ^ n * pcompose (Cheb_poly n) (monom (-1) 1)) k =
                ((-1)^(n+k) * coeff (Cheb_poly n) k :: real)"
        by (simp add: one_pCons poly_const_pow power_add)
    also have "((-1) ^ n * pcompose (Cheb_poly n) (monom (-1) 1)) = (Cheb_poly
    n :: real poly)"
by (subst cheb_poly.pcompose_minus) auto
finally show "coeff (Cheb_poly n :: real poly) k = 0"
using assms by auto
qed
qed
lemma coeff_Cheb_poly'_eq_0:
assumes "odd (n + k)"
shows "coeff (Cheb_poly' n :: 'a :: {idom,ring_char_0} poly) k = 0"
proof -
note [transfer_rule] =
rel_ring_int_transfer [where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
Cheb_poly'_transfer[where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
transfer_rule_of_nat transfer_rule_numeral
show ?thesis
proof (transfer fixing: n k)
have "coeff ((-1) ^ n * pcompose (Cheb_poly' n) (monom (-1) 1)) k
=
((-1)^(n+k) * coeff (Cheb_poly' n) k :: real)"
by (simp add: one_pCons poly_const_pow power_add)
also have "((-1) ^ n * pcompose (Cheb_poly' n) (monom (-1) 1)) = (Cheb_poly'
n :: real poly)"
by (subst cheb_poly'.pcompose_minus) auto
finally show "coeff (Cheb_poly' n :: real poly) k = 0"
using assms by auto
qed
qed

```

Next, we analyse the behaviour of the signs of the coefficients of \(T_{n}\) and \(U_{n}\) more generally and show that:
- The leading coefficient is positive.
- After that, every second coefficient is 0 .
- The remaining coefficients are non-zero and their signs alternate.

In conclusion, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sgn}\left(\left[X^{k}\right] T_{n}(X)\right)=\operatorname{sgn}\left(\left[X^{k}\right] U_{n}(X)\right)= \\
& \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } k>n \text { or }(n+k) \text { is odd } \\
(-1)^{\frac{n-k}{2}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
\]

The proof works using Descartes' rule of signs: We know that \(T_{n}\) and \(U_{n}\) have \(n\) distinct real roots and \(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\) of them are positive. By Descartes' rule of signs, this implies that the coefficient sequences of \(T_{n}\) and \(U_{n}\) must have at least \(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\) sign alternations. However, we also already know that every other coefficient of \(T_{n}\) and \(U_{n}\) starting with \(\left[X^{n-1}\right]\) is 0 , so the number of sign alternations must be exactly \(\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\).
```

lemma sgn_coeff_Cheb_poly_aux:
fixes n :: nat and P :: "real poly"
assumes "degree P = n"
assumes "\i. odd (n + i) \Longrightarrow coeff P i = 0"
assumes "card {x. x > 0 ^ poly P x = 0} = n div 2"
assumes "rsquarefree P"
assumes "coeff P n > 0"
shows "sgn (coeff P i) = (if i > n \vee odd ( n + i) then O else (-1) ~
((n - i) div 2))"
proof (cases "n > 1")
case False
hence "n = 0 V n = 1"
by linarith
thus ?thesis
proof (elim disjE)
assume [simp]: "n = 0"
show ?thesis
using assms by (cases "i = 0") (auto simp: coeff_eq_0)
next
assume [simp]: "n = 1"
consider "i = 0" | "i = 1" | "i > 1"
by linarith
thus ?thesis
by cases (use assms in <auto simp: coeff_eq_0>)
qed
next
case n: True
define xs where "xs = coeffs P"
define ys where "ys = filter ( }\lambda\textrm{x}.\textrm{x}\not=0)(map sgn xs)"
have [simp]: "P f= 0"
using assms by auto
note [simp] = <degree P = n>
have "count_roots_with ( }\lambda\textrm{x}.\textrm{x}>>0)P
(\sum (x::real) | x > 0 ^ poly P x = 0. order x P)"

```
unfolding count_roots_with_def roots_with_def ..
also have "... = ( \(\sum\left(x:\right.\) : real) \(\left.\mid x>0 \bar{\wedge} \operatorname{poly}^{\prime} P x=0.1\right) "\)
using <rsquarefree \(P\) > by (intro sum.cong) (auto simp: rsquarefree_def order_eq_0_iff)
also have "... = card \(\{x:: r e a l . ~ x>0 \wedge\) poly \(P x=0\} "\)
by simp
also have "... = n div 2 "
by fact
finally have "count_roots_with ( \(\lambda \mathrm{x}:\) :real. \(\mathrm{x}>0\) ) \(P=n \operatorname{div} 2 "\).
hence "sign_changes xs \(\geq \mathrm{n}\) div 2"
using descartes_sign_rule_aux[of P] by (simp add: xs_def)
also have "sign_changes xs = length (remdups_adj ys) - 1"
by (simp add: sign_changes_def ys_def)
finally have length_gt: "length (remdups_adj ys) > n div 2"
using \(n\) by simp
define \(d\) where \(" d=n \bmod 2 "\)
have len_ys_conv_card: "length ys = card \{í\{..n div 2\}. coeff \(P\) (2
* \(i+d\) ) \(\neq 0\}^{\prime \prime}\)
proof -
have "length ys \(=\operatorname{card}\{i . \operatorname{i}\) Suc n \(\wedge \operatorname{map} \operatorname{sgn} x s!i \neq 0\}\) " unfolding ys_def xs_def
by (subst length_filter_conv_card) (simp_all add: length_coeffs_degree)
also have "\{i. \(i<\operatorname{Suc} n \wedge \operatorname{map} \operatorname{sgn} x s!i \neq 0\}=\{i \in\{. . n\}\). coeff Pif0\}" by (intro Collect_cong conj_cong)
(auto simp: xs_def map_nth length_coeffs_degree sgn_eq_0_iff nth_coeffs_coeff)
also have "... = \{í\{..n\}. even \((i+n) \wedge \operatorname{coeff} P i \neq 0\} \cup\) \(\{i \in\{. . n\}\). odd ( \(i+n\) ) \(\wedge \operatorname{coeff} P i \neq 0\} \prime\)
by blast
also have "\{í\{..n\}. odd (i + n) \(\wedge \operatorname{coeff} P\) i \(\neq 0\}=\{ \} "\) using assms(2) by auto
finally have "length ys = card \(\{i \in\{. . n\}\). even \((i+n) \wedge \operatorname{coeff} P i\) \(\neq 0\}^{\prime \prime}\)
by simp
also have "bij_betw ( \(\lambda_{\text {i. }}\) i div 2) \(\{i \in\{. . n\}\). even ( \(i+n\) ) \(\wedge\) coeff Pifor
\(\{i \in\{. . n\) div 2\}. coeff \(P(2 * i+d) \neq 0\} \prime\) by (rule bij_betwI[of _ _ _"入i. 2 *i + d"]; cases "even n")
(auto elim!: evenE oddE simp: Suc_double_not_eq_double d_def)
hence "card \(\{i \in\{. . n\}\). even ( \(i+n\) ) \(\wedge \operatorname{coeff} P i \neq 0\}=\) card \(\{i \in\{. . n\) div 2\}. coeff \(P(2 * i+d) \neq 0\} "\) by (rule bij_betw_same_card)
finally show ?thesis
by simp
qed
```

    have "length ys \leq n div 2 + 1"
    proof -
        have "card {i\in{..n div 2}. coeff P (2*i+d) \not= 0}\leq card {..n div
    2}"
by (rule card_mono) auto
with len_ys_conv_card show ?thesis
by simp
qed
have "length (remdups_adj ys) \leq length ys"
by (rule remdups_adj_length)
hence "length (remdups_adj ys) = length ys" and len_ys: "length ys
= n div 2 + 1"
using length_gt <length ys \leq n div 2 + 1> by linarith+
hence distinct: "distinct_adj ys"
by (simp add: distinct_adj_conv_length_remdups_adj)
have coeff_nz: "coeff P (2* i + d) =0" if "i\leqn div 2" for i
proof -
have "{i\in{..n div 2}. coeff P (2 * i + d) \not= 0} = {..n div 2}"
proof (rule card_subset_eq)
show "card {i \in {..n div 2}. coeff P (2* i + d) f=0} = card {..n
div 2}"
using len_ys len_ys_conv_card by simp
qed auto
thus ?thesis using that
by blast
qed
have coeff_eq_0_iff: "coeff P i = 0 \longleftrightarrow i > n V odd (n + i)" for i
proof
assume "coeff P i = 0"
hence "odd (n + i)" if "i \leq n"
using coeff_nz[of "i div 2"] that
by (cases "even n"; cases "even i"; auto simp: d_def elim!: evenE
oddE)
thus "i > n V odd (n + i)"
by linarith
next
assume "i > n V odd (n + i)"
thus "coeff P i = 0"
using coeff_eq_O[of P i] assms(2)[of i] by auto
qed
have [simp]: "length (coeffs P) = Suc n"
by (auto simp: length_coeffs_degree)
have ys_eq: "ys = map (\lambdai. sgn (coeff P (2 * i + d))) [0..<Suc (n div
2)]"
unfolding ys_def

```
```

    proof (rule filter_eqI[where f = "\lambdai. 2 * i + d"], goal_cases)
    case 1
    thus ?case
        by (auto intro!: strict_mono_onI)
    next
    case (2 i)
    hence "i < Suc (n div 2)"
        by simp
    hence "2 * i + d < Suc n"
        by (cases "even n") (auto elim!: evenE oddE simp: d_def)
    thus ?case
        by (auto simp: xs_def d_def length_coeffs_degree)
    next
    case (3 i)
    hence "i < Suc (n div 2)"
        by simp
    hence "2 * i + d < Suc n"
        by (cases "even n") (auto elim!: evenE oddE simp: d_def)
    thus ?case
        by (auto simp del: upt_Suc simp: xs_def length_coeffs_degree nth_coeffs_coeff)
    next
    case (4 i)
    from 4 have "i\leqn"
        by (simp add: xs_def)
    hence "map sgn xs ! i f 0 \longleftrightarrow even (n + i)"
        by (simp add: xs_def nth_coeffs_coeff sgn_eq_O_iff coeff_eq_O_iff)
    also have "... \longleftrightarrow(\existsj<Suc (n div 2). 2*j + d = i)"
        unfolding d_def using <i \leqn>
        by (cases "even n"; cases "even i")
            (auto elim!: evenE oddE simp: Suc_double_not_eq_double
                        eq_commute[of "2 * x" "Suc y" for x y])
    finally show ?case
        by simp
    qed
    have *: "coeff P (2 * i + d) * coeff P (2 * Suc i + d) < 0" if "i <
    div 2" for i
proof -
have "ys ! i f ys ! Suc i"
using that distinct by (intro distinct_adj_nth) (auto simp: len_ys)
also have "ys ! i = sgn (coeff P (2 * i + d))"
using that by (auto simp: ys_eq map_nth simp del: upt_Suc)
also have "ys ! Suc i = sgn (coeff P (2 * Suc i + d))"
using that by (auto simp: ys_eq map_nth simp del: upt_Suc)
finally have "sgn (coeff P (2 * i + d)) f= sgn (coeff P (2 * Suc i

+ d))".
moreover have "2 * i + d + 2 \leqn"
using that unfolding d_def by (cases "even n") (auto elim!: evenE
oddE)

```
```

        hence "coeff P (2 * i + d) \not= 0" "coeff P (2 * Suc i + d) f= 0"
            using that by (auto simp: coeff_eq_O_iff d_def)
            ultimately show ?thesis
                by (auto simp: sgn_if mult_neg_pos mult_pos_neg split: if_splits)
    qed
    have **: "coeff P i * coeff P (i + 2) < 0" if "even (n + i)" "i + 1
    < n" for i
using *[of "i div 2"] that by (auto simp: d_def elim!: evenE oddE)
have ***: "sgn (coeff P (n - 2 * i)) = (-1) ^ i" if "2 * i \leq n" for
i
using that
proof (induction i)
case 0
thus ?case
using assms by (auto simp: sgn_if)
next
case (Suc i)
have "coeff P (n - 2 * Suc i) * coeff P (n - 2 * Suc i + 2) < 0"
by (intro **) (use Suc in auto)
hence "sgn (coeff P (n - 2 * Suc i) * coeff P (n - 2 * Suc i + 2))
= -1"
using sgn_neg by blast
also have "n - 2*Suc i + 2=n - 2*i"
using Suc.prems by simp
also have "sgn (coeff P (n - 2 * Suc i) * coeff P (n - 2 * i)) =
sgn (coeff P (n - 2 * Suc i)) * sgn (coeff P (n - 2 * i))"
by (simp add: sgn_mult)
also have "sgn (coeff P (n - 2 * i)) = (-1) ^ i"
by (rule Suc.IH) (use Suc.prems in auto)
finally show ?case
by (auto simp: sgn_if)
qed
show "sgn (coeff P i) = (if i > n V odd ( n + i) then O else (-1) -
((n - i) div 2))"
using coeff_eq_O[of P i] assms(2)[of i] ***[of "(n - i) div 2"]
by auto
qed
theorem sgn_coeff_Cheb_poly:
"sgn (coeff (Cheb_poly n) i :: 'a :: linordered_idom) =
(if i > n V odd (n + i) then O else (-1) " ((n - i) div 2))"
proof -
note [transfer_rule] =
rel_ring_int_transfer [where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
rel_ring_int_sgn [where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
Cheb_poly_transfer[where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
transfer_rule_of_nat transfer_rule_numeral

```
```

    show ?thesis
    proof (transfer fixing: n i, rule sgn_coeff_Cheb_poly_aux)
    have "bij_betw (cheb_node n) {k\in{..<n}. k < n div 2} {x\in{x. cheb_poly
    n x = 0}. x > 0}"
using cheb_poly_roots_bij_betw by (rule bij_betw_Collect) (auto
simp: cheb_node_pos_iff)
also have "{k\in{..<n}. k < n div 2} = {..<n div 2}"
by auto
finally have "bij_betw (cheb_node n) {..<n div 2} {x. x > 0 ^ cheb_poly
n x = 0}"
by (simp add: conj_commute)
from bij_betw_same_card[OF this]
show "card {x. O- < x ^ poly (Cheb_poly n :: real poly) x = 0} =
n div 2"
by simp
qed (auto simp: coeff_Cheb_poly_eq_O cheb_poly.lead_coeff rsquarefree_Cheb_poly_real)
qed
theorem sgn_coeff_Cheb_poly':
"sgn (coeff (Cheb_poly' n) i :: 'a :: linordered_idom) =
(if i > n V odd (n + i) then O else (-1) ^ ((n - i) div 2))"
proof -
note [transfer_rule] =
rel_ring_int_transfer [where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
rel_ring_int_sgn [where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
Cheb_poly'_transfer[where ?'a = real and ?'b = 'a]
transfer_rule_of_nat transfer_rule_numeral
show ?thesis
proof (transfer fixing: n i, rule sgn_coeff_Cheb_poly_aux)
have "bij_betw (cheb_node' n) {k\in{..<n}. k < n div 2} {x\in{x. cheb_poly'
n x = 0}. x > 0}"
using cheb_poly'_roots_bij_betw by (rule bij_betw_Collect) (auto
simp: cheb_node'_pos_iff)
also have "{k\in{..<n}. k < n div 2} = {..<n div 2}"
by auto
finally have "bij_betw (cheb_node' n) {..<n div 2} {x. x > 0 ^ cheb_poly'
n x = 0}"
by (simp add: conj_commute)
from bij_betw_same_card[OF this]
show "card {x. 0 < x ^ poly (Cheb_poly' n :: real poly) x = 0}
= n div 2"
by simp
qed (auto simp: coeff_Cheb_poly'_eq_0 cheb_poly'.lead_coeff rsquarefree_Cheb_poly'_real)
qed

```

\subsection*{3.9 Orthogonality and integrals}
```

lemma cis_eq_1_iff: "cis x = 1 \longleftrightarrow ( (n. x = 2 * pi * real_of_int n)"

```
proof
```

    assume "cis x = 1"
    hence "Re (cis x) = 1"
    by (subst <cis x = 1>) auto
    hence "cos x = 1"
    by simp
    thus "\existsn. x = 2 * pi * real_of_int n"
        by (subst (asm) cos_one_2pi_int) auto
    qed auto
context
fixes n :: nat and x :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ real"
defines "x \equiv (\lambdak. cos (real (Suc (2*k)) / real (2*n) * pi))"
begin
lemma cheb_poly_orthogonality_discrete_aux:
assumes "l \in {0<..<2*n}"
shows "(\sumk<n. cos (real l * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2 * n) * pi))
= 0"
proof (cases "n = 0")
case n: False
define }\omega\mathrm{ where " }\omega=\mathrm{ cis (real l / real (2 * n) * pi)"
have [simp]: "\omega = 0"
by (auto simp: \omega_def)
have not_one: " }\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{2}\not=1
proof
assume " }\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{2}=1
then obtain t where t: "real l * pi / real n = 2 * pi * real_of_int
t"
unfolding \omega_def Complex.DeMoivre cis_eq_1_iff by auto
have "real_of_int (int l) = real l"
by simp
also have "... = real_of_int (2 * t * int n)"
using n t by (simp add: field_simps)
finally have "int l = int (2 * n) * t"
by (subst (asm) of_int_eq_iff) (simp add: mult_ac)
hence "int (2 * n) dvd int l"
unfolding dvd_def ..
hence "2 * n dvd l"
by presburger
thus False
using assms n by (auto dest!: dvd_imp_le)
qed
have [simp]: "Im \omega}\not=0\mathrm{ "
proof
assume "Im \omega=0"
have "norm \omega = 1"
by (auto simp: \omega_def)

```
```

    hence "|Re \omega| = 1"
        using <Im \omega = 0> by (auto simp: norm_complex_def)
    hence " }\omega\in{1,-1}
        by (auto simp: complex_eq_iff <Im \omega = 0>)
    hence " }\omega\mathrm{ - 2 = 1"
        by auto
        thus False
        using not_one by contradiction
    qed
    have "(\sumk<n. cos (real l * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2 * n) * pi))
    = Re (\sumk<n. \omega }\mp@subsup{}{}{-}\operatorname{Suc}(2*k))
unfolding \omega_def Complex.DeMoivre by (simp add: algebra_simps \omega_def)
also have "(\sumk<n. \omega }\mp@subsup{}{}{\wedge}\operatorname{Suc}(2*k))=\omega*(\sumk<n.(\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{2})\mp@subsup{)}{}{`}k)
by (simp add: sum_distrib_left power_mult)
also have "... = (1- 的 - n) * ( }\omega/(1-\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{2}))
by (subst sum_gp_strict) (use not_one in <simp_all add: algebra_simps>)
also have " }\mp@subsup{\omega}{}{2}
using n by (simp add: \omega_def Complex.DeMoivre)
also have "... = (-1) - l"
unfolding Complex.DeMoivre [symmetric] by simp
also have "\omega/(1 - 的) = inverse (-( }\omega\mathrm{ - inverse }\omega))
using not_one by (simp add: power2_eq_square field_simps)
also have "inverse }\omega=cnj \omega
by (simp add: \omega_def cis_cnj)
also have "inverse (-( }\omega-\textrm{cnj}\omega))=\textrm{i}/(2 * Im \omega)"
by (subst complex_diff_cnj) (auto simp: field_simps)
finally show ?thesis
by simp
qed auto
For $k=0, \ldots, n-1$ let $x_{k}=\cos \left(\frac{2 k+1}{2 n} \pi\right)$ be the Chebyshev nodes of order $n$, i.e. the roots of $T_{n}$. Then the following discrete orthogonality relation holds for the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind (for any $i, j<n$ ):

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} T_{i}\left(x_{k}\right) T_{j}\left(x_{k}\right)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } i=j=0 \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text { if } i=j \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

theorem cheb_poly_orthogonality_discrete:
fixes $i$ j : nat
assumes "i < n" "j < n"
shows " ( $\sum \mathrm{k}<n$. cheb_poly $i(x k) *$ cheb_poly $\left.j(x k)\right)=$ (if $i=j$ then if $i=0$ then $n$ else $n / 2$ else 0 )"
proof (cases " $\mathrm{n}=0$ ")
case False
hence $n$ : "n > 0"
by auto

```
```

    show ?thesis
    using assms(1,2)
    proof (induction j i rule: linorder_wlog)
    case (le j i)
    have "(\sumk<n. cheb_poly i (x k) * cheb_poly j (x k)) =
                (\sumk<n. cos (real (i + j) * (real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2 *
    n)) * pi)) / 2 +
(\sumk<n. cos (real (i - j) * (real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2 *
n)) * pi)) / 2 "
unfolding cheb_poly_prod [OF le(1)] using le
by (simp add: x_def sum.distrib add_divide_distrib of_nat_diff mult_ac
flip: sum_divide_distrib)
also have "(\sumk<n. cos (real (i - j) * (real (Suc (2 * k)) / real
(2 * n)) * pi)) =
(if i = j then real n else 0)"
using cheb_poly_orthogonality_discrete_aux[of "i - j"] le by simp
also have "(\sumk<n. cos (real (i + j) * (real (Suc (2 * k)) / real
(2 * n)) * pi)) =
(if i = j ^ i = O then real n else 0)"
using cheb_poly_orthogonality_discrete_aux[of "i + j"] le by auto
also have "(if i = j ^i=0 then real n else 0) / 2 + (if i = j then
real n else 0) / 2 =
(if i = j then if i = 0 then n else n / 2 else 0)"
by auto
finally show ?case .
next
case (sym j i)
thus ?case
by (simp only: eq_commute mult.commute) auto
qed
qed auto

```

A similar relation holds for the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind:
\[
\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} U_{i}\left(x_{k}\right) U_{j}\left(x_{k}\right)\left(1-x_{k}^{2}\right)= \begin{cases}n & \text { if } i=j=n-1 \\ \frac{n}{2} & \text { if } i=j \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { if } i \neq j\end{cases}
\]
theorem cheb_poly'_orthogonality_discrete:
fixes \(i\) j :: nat
assumes "i < \(n "\) " \(j<n "\)
shows " ( \(\sum \mathrm{k}<\mathrm{n}\). cheb_poly' \(i(x \mathrm{k})\) * cheb_poly' \(j(\mathrm{xk}) *(1-\mathrm{x} k\) -
2)) \(=\)
\[
\text { (if } i=j \text { then if } i=n-1 \text { then } n \text { else } n / 2 \text { else } 0 \text { )" }
\]
using assms
proof (induction \(j\) i rule: linorder_wlog)
case (le j i)
have sin_pos: "sin \((p i *(1+r e a l k * 2) /(r e a l n * 2))>0 "\) if \(k\)
\(<n "\) for \(k\)
```

    proof -
    have "(1 + real k * 2) / (real n * 2) * pi < 1 * pi"
        by (intro mult_strict_right_mono) (use that in auto)
    thus ?thesis
        using that by (intro sin_gt_zero) (auto simp: mult_ac)
    qed
    have "(\sumk<n. cheb_poly' i (x k) * cheb_poly' j (x k) * (1 - x k -
    2)) =
(\sumk<n. sin ((i+1) * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2 * n) * pi)
*
sin ((j+1) * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2 * n) * pi))"
proof (intro sum.cong refl, goal_cases)
case (1 k)
thus ?case
unfolding x_def cos_squared_eq using sin_pos[of k]
by (auto simp: cheb_poly'_cos' x_def power2_eq_square mult_ac)
qed
also have "... = ((\sumk<n. cos (real (i - j) * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real
(2 * n) * pi)) -
(\sumk<n. cos (real (i + j + 2) * real (Suc (2 * k))
/ real (2 * n) * pi))) / 2"
using le
by (simp add: sin_times_sin sum_distrib_right sum_distrib_left algebra_simps
add_divide_distrib diff_divide_distrib sum_divide_distrib
of_nat_diff
flip: sum_diff_distrib sum.distrib)
also have "(\sumk<n. cos (real (i - j) * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2

* n) * pi)) =
(if i = j then real n else 0)"
using cheb_poly_orthogonality_discrete_aux[of "i - j"] le by simp
also have "(\sumk<n. cos (real (i + j + 2) * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real
(2 * n) * pi)) =
(if j = n - 1 then -real n else 0)"
proof (cases "j = n - 1")
case [simp]: True
from le have [simp]: "i = n - 1"
by auto
have "(\sumk<n. cos (real (i + j + 2) * real (Suc (2 * k)) / real (2
* n) * pi)) =
(\sumk<n. cos ((1 + 2 * real k) * pi))"
by (simp add: of_nat_diff)
also have "... = -real n"
by (simp add: distrib_right)
finally show ?thesis
by auto
next
case False

```
```

        thus ?thesis using le
        by (subst cheb_poly_orthogonality_discrete_aux) auto
    qed
    also have "((if i = j then real n else 0) - (if j = n - 1 then - real
    n else 0)) / 2 =
(if i = j then if i = n - 1 then real n else real n / 2 else
0)"
using le by auto
finally show ?case .
next
case (sym j i)
thus ?case
by (simp only: eq_commute mult.commute) auto
qed
end

```

We now show the continuous orthogonality relations. For the polynomials of the first kind, the relation is:
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} \frac{T_{m}(x) T_{n}(x)}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}} \mathrm{~d} x= \begin{cases}\pi & \text { if } m=n=0 \\ \frac{\pi}{2} & \text { if } m=n \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text { if } m \neq n\end{cases}
\]

The proof works by a change of variables \(x=\cos \theta\), which converts the integral to the easier form \(\int_{0}^{\pi} \cos (m t) \cos (n t) \mathrm{d} x\), which can then be solved by a computing an indefinite integral (with appropriate case distinctions on \(m\) and \(n\) ).
```

theorem cheb_poly_orthogonality:
fixes m n :: nat
defines "I \equiv if m = n then if m = O then pi else pi / 2 else 0"
shows "((\lambdax. cheb_poly m x * cheb_poly n x / sqrt (1 - x
I) {-1..1}"
proof -
let ?f = "\lambdat::real. -cos (m * t) * cos (n * t)"
let ?I = "integral {0..pi} (\lambdat. cos (real m * t) * cos (real n * t))"
have "finite {-1, 1 :: real}" "-1 \leq (1::real)" "arccos ` {-1..1} \subseteq
{0..pi}"
"continuous_on {0..pi} ?f" "continuous_on {-1..1} arccos"
"(\x. x }\in{\mp@code{{-1.1}-{-1, 1}\Longrightarrow
(arccos has_real_derivative -inverse (sqrt (1 - x - 2))) (at x
within {- 1..1}))"
by (auto intro!: arccos_lbound arccos_ubound continuous_intros derivative_eq_intros)
from has_integral_substitution_general[OF this]
have "((\lambdax. cos (m*\operatorname{arccos}x) * cos (n * arccos x) / sqrt (1 - x
has_integral ?I) {-1..1}"

```
by (simp add: divide_simps)
also have "?this \(\longleftrightarrow\) ( ( \(\lambda \mathrm{x}\). cheb_poly \(m \times *\) cheb_poly \(n \mathrm{x} / \mathrm{sqrt}\) (1\(\left.x^{2}\right)\) ) has_integral ?I) \{-1..1\}"
by (intro has_integral_cong) (auto simp: cheb_poly_conv_cos)
also consider " \(n=0 "\) " \(m=0 "|\quad n=m " ~ " m \neq 0 "| n \neq m "\) by blast
hence "?I = I"
proof cases
assume \(m n: ~ " n=m " ~ " m \neq 0 "\)
let \(? h=\) " \(\lambda x\) : :real. \((2 * m * x+\sin (2 * m * x)) /(4 * m) "\)
have "(?h has_field_derivative \(\cos (m * x) * \cos (n * x)\) ) (at x within
A)" for \(x\) :: real and \(A\)
proof -
have "(?h has_field_derivative (1 + cos \((2 *(m * x))) / 2)\) (at x within A)" using mn
by (auto intro!: derivative_eq_intros simp: field_simps)
also have " \((1+\cos (2 *(m * x))) / 2=\cos (m * x) * \cos (n *\) x)" using mn
by (subst cos_double_cos) (auto simp: power2_eq_square)
finally show ?thesis.
qed
hence " ( \(\lambda t . \cos (r e a l m * t) * \cos (r e a l n * t)\) ) has_integral (?h pi - ?h 0)) \{0..pi\}"
using mn by (intro fundamental_theorem_of_calculus)
(simp_all add: has_real_derivative_iff_has_vector_derivative)
thus ?thesis using mn by (simp add: has_integral_iff I_def)
next
assume mn: " \(n \neq m\) "
let \(? h=\| \lambda x:\) :real. ( \(m * \sin (m * x) * \cos (n * x)-n * \cos (m *\) x) \(* \sin (n * x)) /\)
(real m - 2 - real \(n\) - 2)"
\{
fix \(x\) :: real and \(A\) :: "real set"
have \(" m *(m * \cos (m * x) * \cos (n * x)-n * \sin (m * x) * \sin\)
( \(n * x\) ) ) -
\(n *(n * \cos (m * x) * \cos (n * x)-m * \sin (m * x) * \sin\)
\((n * x))=\)
\(\cos (m * x) * \cos (n * x) *(r e a l m \times 2-r e a l n-2) "\)
by (simp add: algebra_simps power2_eq_square)
moreover from \(m\) have "real \(m\) - \(2 \neq\) real \(n\) - 2" by simp
ultimately have "(?h has_field_derivative \(\cos (m * x) * \cos (n *\)
x)) (at \(x\) within A)"
by (auto intro!: derivative_eq_intros simp: divide_simps power2_eq_square mult_ac)
\}
hence " ( \(\lambda t . \cos (r e a l m * t) * \cos (r e a l n * t))\) has_integral (?h
pi - ?h 0)) \{0..pi\}"
using \(m n\) by (intro fundamental_theorem_of_calculus)
(simp_all add: has_real_derivative_iff_has_vector_derivative)
thus ?thesis using mn by (simp add: has_integral_iff I_def)
qed (simp_all add: I_def)
finally show ?thesis.
qed
For the polynomials of the second kind, the relation is:
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} U_{m}(x) U_{n}(x) \sqrt{1-x^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x= \begin{cases}\frac{\pi}{2} & \text { if } m=n \\ 0 & \text { if } m \neq n\end{cases}
\]

The proof works the same as before.
```

theorem cheb_poly'_orthogonality:
fixes m n :: nat
defines "I \equiv if m = n then pi / 2 else 0"
shows "((\lambdax. cheb_poly' m x * cheb_poly' n x * sqrt (1 - x
I) {-1..1}"
proof -
define h :: "nat \# real \# real" where
"h = ( }\lambdan\textrm{x}.\mathrm{ if }\textrm{x}=0\mathrm{ then real n else if x = pi then (-1)^Suc n *
real n else sin (n * x) / sin x)"
have h_eq: "h n x = sin (n * x) / sin x" if "x \& {0, pi}" for n x
using that by (auto simp: h_def)
have h_cont: "continuous_on {0..pi} (h n)" if "n > 0" for n
proof -
have "continuous (at x within {0..pi}) (h n)" if "x \in {0..pi}" for
x n
proof -
consider "x = 0" | "x = pi" | "x \in {0<..<pi}"
using <x \in {0..pi}> by force
thus ?thesis
proof cases
assume x: "x \in {0<..<pi}"
have "isCont ( }\lambda\textrm{x}.\operatorname{sin}(n*x) / sin x) x"
by (intro continuous_intros) (use x in <auto simp: sin_zero_pi_iff>)
also from x have "\forall}\mp@subsup{F}{F}{}x\mathrm{ in nhds x. x }\in{0<..<pi}
by (intro eventually_nhds_in_open) auto
hence "\forallF x in nhds x. sin (real n * x) / sin x = h n x"
by eventually_elim (auto simp: h_def)
hence "isCont (\lambdax. sin (n * x) / sin x) x \longleftrightarrow isCont (h n) x"
by (intro isCont_cong)
finally show ?thesis
using continuous_at_imp_continuous_at_within by auto
next
assume [simp]: "x = 0"
have "filterlim (\lambdax::real. sin (n * x) / sin x) (nhds (of_nat
n)) (at_right 0)"
by real_asymp
also have "eventually (\lambdax::real. x \in {0<..<pi}) (at_right 0)"
by (rule eventually_at_right_real) auto

```
```

            hence "eventually (\lambdax::real. sin (n * x) / sin x = h n x) (at_right
    0)"
by eventually_elim (auto simp: h_def)
hence "filterlim (\lambdax::real. sin (n * x) / sin x) (nhds (of_nat
n)) (at_right 0) \longleftrightarrow
filterlim (h n) (nhds (of_nat n)) (at_right 0)"
by (intro filterlim_cong refl)
finally have "filterlim (h n) (nhds (of_nat n)) (at 0 within {0..pi})"
by (simp add: at_within_Icc_at_right)
thus ?thesis
by (simp add: continuous_within h_def)
next
assume [simp]: "x = pi"
have "filterlim (\lambdax::real. sin (n * x) / sin x) (nhds ((-1)`Suc n * real n)) (at_left pi)"             by real_asymp             also have "eventually (\lambdax::real. x \in {0<..<pi}) (at_left pi)"                         by (rule eventually_at_left_real) auto             hence "eventually (\lambdax::real. sin (n* x) / sin x = h n x) (at_left pi)"             by eventually_elim (auto simp: h_def)             hence "filterlim (\lambdax::real. sin (n * x) / sin x) (nhds ((-1)`Suc
n * real n)) (at_left pi) \longleftrightarrow
filterlim (h n) (nhds ((-1)^Suc n * real n)) (at_left pi)"
by (intro filterlim_cong refl)
finally have "filterlim (h n) (nhds ((-1)`Suc n * real n)) (at pi within {0..pi})"                 by (simp add: at_within_Icc_at_left)             thus ?thesis                         by (simp add: continuous_within h_def)             qed         qed         thus ?thesis         unfolding continuous_on_eq_continuous_within by blast     qed     define f where "f = (\lambdat::real. -sin ((m+1) * t) * sin ((n+1) * t))"     define g where "g = ( }\lambdat.\operatorname{sin}(real (m+1) * t) * sin (real (n+1) * t))"     let ?I = "integral {0..pi} g"     have "finite {-1, 1 :: real}" "-1 \leq (1::real)" "arccos ` {-1..1}\subseteq
{0..pi}"
"continuous_on {0..pi} f" "continuous_on {-1..1} arccos"
"(\x. x \in {- 1..1} - {-1, 1} \Longrightarrow
(arccos has_real_derivative -inverse (sqrt (1 - x - 2))) (at x
within {- 1..1}))"
by (auto intro!: arccos_lbound arccos_ubound continuous_intros h_cont
derivative_eq_intros simp: f_def)

```
```

    from has_integral_substitution_general [OF this]
    have "((\overline{x}. - inverse (sqrt (1- x})) * (- sin ((m+1) * arccos x
    * sin ((n + 1) * arccos x)))
has_integral ?I) {-1..1}"
by (simp add: divide_simps f_def g_def)
have I: "((\lambdax. cheb_poly' m x * cheb_poly' n x * sqrt (1 - x' )) has_integral
?I) {-1..1}"
proof (rule has_integral_spike)
show "negligible {1, -1 :: real}"
by simp
show "cheb_poly' m x * cheb_poly' n x * sqrt (1 - x
- inverse (sqrt (1 - - x
((n + 1)* arccos x))"
if "x\in{-1..1} - {1, -1}" for x :: real
using that by (auto simp: arccos_eq_O_iff arccos_eq_pi_iff cheb_poly'_conv_cos
field_simps sin_arccos)
qed fact+
have sin_double'': "sin (x* (y*2)) = 2 * sin (x*y) * cos (x*y)"
for x y :: real
using sin_double[of "x * y"] by (simp add: mult_ac)
have cos_double'': "cos (x * (y * 2)) = (cos (x * y) )}\mp@subsup{)}{}{2}-(\operatorname{sin}(x*y)\mp@subsup{)}{}{2\prime\prime
for x y :: real
using cos_double[of "x * y"] by (simp add: mult_ac)
have sin_squared_eq': "sin x * sin x = 1- cos x - 2" for x :: real
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra
have sin_squared_eq'': "sin x * (sin x * y) = (1 - cos x ^ 2) * y" for
x y :: real
using sin_squared_eq[of x] by algebra
have "(g has_integral I) {0..pi}"
proof (cases "m = n")
case [simp]: True
define G where "G = (\lambdax::real. x/2 - sin (2*(n+1)*x)/(4*(n+1)))"
have "(g has_integral (G pi - G O)) {O..pi}"
apply (rule fundamental_theorem_of_calculus)
apply (auto simp: G_def g_def divide_simps simp flip: has_real_derivative_iff_has_vec
intro!: derivative_eq_intros)
apply (auto simp: algebra_simps cos_add sin_add cos_multiple_reduce
sin_multiple_reduce
sin_double'' cos_double'' power2_eq_square sin_squared_eq'
sin_squared_eq'')
done
also have "G O = 0"
by (simp add: G_def)
also have "G pi = pi / 2 - sin (real (2 * (n + 1)) * pi) / real (4
* (n + 1))"
unfolding G_def ..
also have "sin (real (2 * (n + 1)) * pi) = 0"

```
```

            using sin_npi by blast
    finally show ?thesis
        by (simp add: I_def)
    next
    case False
    define G where "G = (\lambdax::real. sin ((real m-real n)*x) / (2*(real
    m-real n)) - sin ((2+m+n)*x)/(2*(2+m+n)))"
have "(g has_integral (G pi - G 0)) {0..pi}"
using False
apply (intro fundamental_theorem_of_calculus)
apply (auto simp: G_def g_def divide_simps simp flip: has_real_derivative_iff_has_vec
intro!: derivative_eq_intros)
apply (auto simp: algebra_simps cos_add sin_add cos_diff sin_diff
cos_multiple_reduce sin_multiple_reduce
sin_double'' cos_double'' power2_eq_square sin_squared_eq'
sin_squared_eq'')
done
also have "G O = O"
by (simp add: G_def)
also have "G pi = sin ((real m - real n) * pi) / (2 * (real m - real
n)) -
sin (real (2 + m + n) * pi) / real (2 * (2 + m +
n))"
unfolding G_def by (simp add: G_def)
also have "real m - real n = of_int (int m - int n)"
by linarith
also have "sin (... * pi) = 0"
using sin_zero_iff_int2 by blast
also have "sin (real (2 +m + n) * pi) = 0"
using sin_npi by blast
finally show ?thesis
using False by (simp add: I_def)
qed
with I show ?thesis
using integral_unique by blast
qed

```

We additionally show the following property about the integral from -1 to 1:
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} T_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{1-n^{2}}
\]
theorem cheb_poly_integral_neg1_1:
"(cheb_poly \(n\) has_integral \(\left.\left(\left(1+(-1)^{\wedge} n\right) /\left(1-n^{2}\right)\right)\right)\{-1 . .1:: r e a l\} "\) proof -
consider \(n=0 "|n n=1 "| n>1 "\)
by linarith
thus ?thesis
```

    proof cases
    assume [simp]: "n = 0"
    have "cheb_poly 0 = ( }\mp@subsup{\lambda}{-}{}.1\mathrm{ :: real)"
        by auto
    thus ?thesis
        by (auto simp: has_integral_iff_emeasure_lborel)
    next
    assume [simp]: "n = 1"
    have "cheb_poly 1 = ( }\lambda\textrm{x}.\textrm{x :: real)"
        by (auto simp: fun_eq_iff)
    thus ?thesis
        using ident_has_integral[of "-1" "1 :: real"] by simp
    next
    assume n: "n > 1"
    define P :: "real poly" where "P = smult (1/(2*(n+1))) (Cheb_poly
    (n+1)) - smult (1/(2*(n-1))) (Cheb_poly (n-1))"
have "(cheb_poly n has_integral (poly P 1 - poly P (-1))) {-1..1::real}"
proof (rule fundamental_theorem_of_calculus)
define a b where "a = n+1" and " b = n-1"
have [simp]: "a \not=0" "b = 0"
using n by (auto simp: a_def b_def)
have "pderiv P = smult (1 / 2) (Cheb_poly' (a-1) - Cheb_poly' (b-1))"
using n unfolding P_def a_def [symmetric] b_def [symmetric]
by (auto simp: P_def of_nat_diff pderiv_Cheb_poly pderiv_diff
pderiv_smult of_nat_mult_conv_smult smult_diff_right)
also have "2 * ... = Cheb_poly' (a-1) - Cheb_poly' (b-1)"
by (auto simp: numeral_mult_conv_smult)
also have "... = 2 * Cheb_poly n"
using Cheb_poly_rec[of n, where ?'a = real] cheb_poly'.P.simps(3)[of
"n - 2"] n
by (simp add: a_def b_def numeral_2_eq_2)
finally have [simp]: "pderiv P = Cheb_poly n"
by simp
show "(poly P has_vector_derivative cheb_poly n x) (at x within
{- 1..1})" for x
unfolding cheb_poly.eval [symmetric] cheb_poly'.eval [symmetric]
has_real_derivative_iff_has_vector_derivative [symmetric]
by (rule derivative_eq_intros refl)+ auto
qed auto
also have "real n - 2 = 1"
by (metis n nat_power_eq_Suc_O_iff numeral_1_eq_Suc_0 numeral_One
numeral_less_iff of_nat_1 of_nat_eq_iff of_nat_power semiring_norm(75)
zero_neq_numeral)
hence "poly P 1 - poly P (-1) = (if even n then 2 / (1 - real n -
2) else 0)"
using n
apply (simp add: P_def of_nat_diff minus_one_power_iff divide_simps
del: of_nat_Suc)
apply (auto simp: field_simps power2_eq_square)

```
```

            done
            also have "... = (1 + (-1) ~ n) / (1 - real n - 2)"
            by auto
            finally show ?thesis .
    qed
    qed

```

And, for the polynomials of the second kind:
\[
\int_{-1}^{1} U_{n}(x) \mathrm{d} x=\frac{1+(-1)^{n}}{n+1}
\]
```

theorem cheb_poly'_integral_neg1_1:

```
    "(cheb_poly' n has_integral (1 + (-1) ~n) / (n+1)) \{-1..1::real\}"
proof -
    define \(F\) :: "real poly" where "F = smult (1 / of_nat (Suc n)) (Cheb_poly
(Suc n))"
    have [simp]: "pderiv F = Cheb_poly' n"
            by (auto simp: F_def pderiv_smult pderiv_Cheb_poly of_nat_mult_conv_smult
simp del: of_nat_Suc)
    have "(poly (Cheb_poly' n) has_integral (poly F 1 - poly F (-1)) ) \{-1..1\}"
            by (rule fundamental_theorem_of_calculus)
                (auto intro!: derivative_eq_intros simp flip: has_real_derivative_iff_has_vector_der
    also have "poly F 1 - poly \(F(-1)=(1+(-1)\) - \(n) /(n+1) "\)
            by (simp add: F_def add_divide_distrib)
    finally show ?thesis
        by (simp add: add_ac)
qed

\subsection*{3.10 Clenshaw's algorithm}

Clenshaw's algorithm allows us to efficiently evaluate a weighted sum of Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, i.e.
\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} w_{i} \cdot T_{i}(x)
\]

This is useful when evaluating interpolations.
```

locale clenshaw =
fixes g :: "nat \# 'a :: comm_ring_1"
fixes a b :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ 'a"
assumes g_rec: "\n.g (Suc (Suc n)) = a n * g (Suc n) + b n * g n"
begin
context
fixes N :: nat and c :: "nat }=>\mathrm{ 'a"
begin

```
```

function clenshaw_aux where
"n \geqN\Longrightarrow clenshaw_aux n = 0"
| "n < N \Longrightarrow clenshaw_aux n =
c (Suc n) + a n * clenshaw_aux (n+1) + b (Suc n) * clenshaw_aux (n+2)"
by force+
termination by (relation "Wellfounded.measure (\lambdan. Suc N - n)") simp_all
lemma clenshaw_aux_correct_aux:
assumes "n \leqN"
shows "g n * c n + g (Suc n) * clenshaw_aux n + b n * g n * clenshaw_aux
(Suc n) = (\sumk=n..N.ck*gk)"
using assms
proof (induction rule: inc_induct)
case (step k)
show ?case
proof (cases "Suc k < N")
case False
with step.hyps have k: "k = N - 1" by simp
from step.hyps have "{N - Suc O..N} = {N - 1, N}" by auto
with k show ?thesis using step.hyps by simp
next
case True
have "(\sumk=k..N.ck*gk)=ck*gk+(\sumk=Suck..N.ck

* g k)"
using True by (intro sum.atLeast_Suc_atMost) auto
also have "(\sumk = Suc k..N. c k * g k) = g (Suc k) * c (Suck) +
g (Suc (Suc k)) * clenshaw_aux (Suc k) + b (Suc k) *
g (Suc k) * clenshaw_aux (Suc (Suc k))"
by (subst step.IH [symmetric]) simp_all
also have "c k * g k + .. = g k * c k + g (Suc k) * clenshaw_aux k
+ b k * g k * clenshaw_aux (Suc k)"
using step.prems step.hyps True by (simp add: algebra_simps g_rec)
finally show ?thesis ..
qed
qed auto
fun clenshaw_aux' where
"clenshaw_aux' O acc1 acc2 = g 0 * c 0 + g 1 * acc1 + b O * g 0 * acc2"
| "clenshaw_aux' (Suc n) acc1 acc2 = clenshaw_aux' n (c (Suc n) + a n
* acc1 + b (Suc n) * acc2) acc1"
lemma clenshaw_aux'_correct: "clenshaw_aux' N O O = (\sumk\leqN.ck*g
k)"
proof -
have "(\sumk\leqN.ck*gk) = g 0 * c 0 + g 1 * clenshaw_aux 0 + b 0 *
g O * clenshaw_aux 1"
using clenshaw_aux_correct_aux[of 0] by (simp add: atLeastOAtMost
clenshaw_def)

```
```

    moreover have "clenshaw_aux' n (clenshaw_aux n) (clenshaw_aux (Suc
    n)) =
g 0 * c 0 + g 1 * clenshaw_aux 0 + b 0 * g 0 * clenshaw_aux
1"
if "n \leq N" for n using that by (induction n) auto
from this[of N] have "g 0 * c 0 + g 1 * clenshaw_aux O + b O * g 0

* clenshaw_aux 1 =
clenshaw_aux' N O O" by simp
ultimately show ?thesis by simp
qed
lemmas [simp del] = clenshaw_aux'.simps
end
lemma clenshaw_aux'_cong:
"(\k. k \leq n \Longrightarrowc k = c' k) \Longrightarrow clenshaw_aux' c n acc1 acc2 = clenshaw_aux'
c' n acc1 acc2"
by (induction n acc1 acc2 rule: clenshaw_aux'.induct) (auto simp: clenshaw_aux'.simps)
definition clenshaw where "clenshaw N c = clenshaw_aux' c N O O"
theorem clenshaw_correct: "clenshaw N c = (\sumk\leqN. c k * g k)"
using clenshaw_aux'_correct by (simp add: clenshaw_def)
end

```

```

interpretation cheb_poly: clenshaw "\lambdan. cheb_poly n x" "\lambda_. 2 * x" "\lambda_.
-1"
by standard (simp_all add: cheb_poly_simps)
fun cheb_eval_aux where
"cheb_eval_aux 0 cs x acc1 acc2 = hd cs + x * acc1 - acc2"
| "cheb_eval_aux (Suc n) cs x acc1 acc2 =
cheb_eval_aux n (tl cs) x (hd cs + 2 * x * acc1 - acc2) acc1"
lemma cheb_eval_aux_altdef:
"length cs = Suc n \Longrightarrow
cheb_eval_aux n cs x acc1 acc2 =
cheb_poly.clenshaw_aux' x (\lambdak. rev cs ! k) n acc1 acc2"
proof (induction n cs x acc1 acc2 rule: cheb_eval_aux.induct)
case (1 cs x acc1 acc2)
hence "hd cs = cs ! 0"
by (intro hd_conv_nth) auto
with 1 show ?case

```
```

    by (auto simp: rev_nth cheb_poly.clenshaw_aux'.simps)
    next
case (2 n cs x acc1 acc2)
hence "hd cs = cs ! 0"
by (intro hd_conv_nth) auto
with 2 show ?case
by (auto simp: rev_nth cheb_poly.clenshaw_aux'.simps nth_tl Suc_diff_le
intro!: cheb_poly.clenshaw_aux'_cong)
qed
lemmas [simp del] = cheb_eval_aux.simps
lemma cheb_eval_code [code]:
"cheb_eval [] x = 0"
"cheb_eval [c] x = c"
"cheb_eval (c1 \# c2 \# cs) x =
cheb_eval_aux (Suc (length cs)) (rev (c1 \# c2 \# cs)) x 0 0"
proof -
have "cheb_eval (c1 \# c2 \# cs) x =
(\sumk\leqSuc (length cs). (c1 \# c2 \# cs) ! k * cheb_poly k x)"
unfolding cheb_eval_def by (intro sum.cong) auto
also have "... = cheb_eval_aux (Suc (length cs)) (rev (c1 \# c2 \# cs))
x O 0"
unfolding cheb_poly.clenshaw_def cheb_poly.clenshaw_correct [symmetric]
using cheb_eval_aux_altdef[of "rev (c1 \# c2 \# cs)" "Suc (length cs)"
x 0 0]
by (simp_all add: cheb_poly.clenshaw_def )
finally show "cheb_eval (c1 \# c2 \# cs) x = ..." .
qed (simp_all add: cheb_eval_def)
end

```
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