

# Catoids, Categories, Groupoids

Georg Struth

April 18, 2024

## Abstract

This AFP entry formalises catoids, which are generalisations of single-set categories, and groupoids. More specifically, in catoids, the partial composition of arrows in a category is generalised to a multi-operation, which sends pairs of elements to sets of elements, and the definedness condition of arrow composition – two arrows can be composed if and only the target of the first matches the source of the second – is relaxed. Beyond a library of basic laws for catoids, single-set categories and groupoids, I formalise the facts that every catoid can be lifted to a modal powerset quantale, that every groupoid can be lifted to a Dedekind quantale and to power set relation algebras, a special case of a famous result of Jónsson and Tarski. Finally, I show that single-set categories are equivalent to a standard axiomatisation of categories based on a set of objects and a set of arrows, and compare catoids with related structures such as multimonoid and relational monoids (monoids in the monoidal category Rel).

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introductory Remarks</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Catoids</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Multimagmas . . . . .	3
2.2	Multisemigroups . . . . .	4
2.3	st-Multimagmas . . . . .	4
2.4	Catoids . . . . .	8
2.5	Locality . . . . .	10
2.6	From partial magmas to single-set categories. . . . .	11
2.7	Morphisms of multimagmas and lr-multimagmas . . . . .	12
2.8	Relationship with categories . . . . .	13
2.9	A Mac Lane style variant . . . . .	14
2.10	Product of catoids . . . . .	15

<b>3</b>	<b>Groupoids</b>	<b>15</b>
3.1	st-Multigroupoids . . . . .	15
3.2	Groupoids . . . . .	17
3.3	Axioms of relation algebra . . . . .	19
<b>4</b>	<b>Lifting catoids to modal powerset quantales</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>Lifting groupoids to powerset Dedekind quantales and powerset relation algebras</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>6</b>	<b>Multimonoids</b>	<b>23</b>
6.1	Unital multimagmas . . . . .	23
6.2	Multimonoids . . . . .	25
6.3	Multimonoids and catoids . . . . .	26
6.4	From multimonoids to categories . . . . .	27
6.5	Multimonoids and relational monoids . . . . .	28

## 1 Introductory Remarks

These Isabelle theories formalise results on catoids from [4, 2, 6] and groupoids from [3]. Catoids generalise single-set categories, as they can be found in Chapter XII of Mac Lane’s book [8]. One particular result, namely that catoids can be lifted to (power set) relation algebras, is due to Jónsson and Tarski [7].

A wide-ranging formalisation of category theory based on single-set categories formalised as locales can already be found in the AFP [9]. The present type-class-based alternative might lend itself to a similar programme.

The multioperation  $X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}X$  in the definition of catoids is obviously isomorphic to a ternary relation  $X \rightarrow X \rightarrow X \rightarrow 2$ . Simple mathematical components for relational monoids, which are isomorphic (as categories with suitable morphisms) to catoids, can already be found in the AFP [5]. At this stage, I do not integrate the two components. They use different formalisations of quantales with Isabelle, which remain to be consolidated.

Catoids and groupoids admit many models. Those of catoids range from shuffle monoids and generalised effect algebras to base algebras of incidence and matrix algebras [6], whereas groupoids are so ubiquitous in mathematics that some mathematicians have argued for interchanging their names with groups, see [1] for a brief history, which goes decades beyond that of category theory.

The mathematical components in this AFP entry are also stepping stones towards the formalisation of  $(\omega, p)$ -catoids, strict  $(\omega, p)$ -categories and  $(\omega, p)$ -quantales. Components for these structures will feature in a separate AFP

entry. They contribute to a larger programme on the formalisation of higher rewriting techniques with proof assistants.

I am grateful for a fellowship at the Collegium de Lyon, Institute for Advanced Study, where this formalisation work has been done.

## 2 Catoids

**theory** *Catoid*

**imports** *Main*

**begin**

### 2.1 Multimagmas

Multimagmas are sets equipped with multioperations. Multioperations are isomorphic to ternary relations.

**class** *multimagma* =  
**fixes** *mcomp* :: '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a set* (**infixl**  $\odot$  70)

**begin**

I introduce notation for the domain of definition of the multioperation.

**abbreviation**  $\Delta x y \equiv (x \odot y \neq \{\})$

I extend the multioperation to powersets

**definition** *conv* :: '*a set*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a set*  $\Rightarrow$  '*a set* (**infixl**  $\star$  70) **where**  
 $X \star Y = (\bigcup_{x \in X} \bigcup_{y \in Y} x \odot y)$

**lemma** *conv-exp*:  $X \star Y = \{z. \exists x y. z \in x \odot y \wedge x \in X \wedge y \in Y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conv-exp2*:  $(z \in X \star Y) = (\exists x y. z \in x \odot y \wedge x \in X \wedge y \in Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conv-distl*:  $X \star \bigcup \mathcal{Y} = (\bigcup Y \in \mathcal{Y}. X \star Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conv-distr*:  $\bigcup \mathcal{X} \star Y = (\bigcup X \in \mathcal{X}. X \star Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conv-distl-small*:  $X \star (Y \cup Z) = X \star Y \cup X \star Z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *conv-distr-small*:  $(X \cup Y) \star Z = X \star Z \cup Y \star Z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```
lemma conv-isol:  $X \subseteq Y \implies Z \star X \subseteq Z \star Y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma conv-isor:  $X \subseteq Y \implies X \star Z \subseteq Y \star Z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma conv-atom [simp]:  $\{x\} \star \{y\} = x \odot y$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

## 2.2 Multisemigroups

Sultisemigroups are associative multimagmas.

```
class multisemigroup = multimagma +
  assumes assoc:  $(\bigcup v \in y \odot z. x \odot v) = (\bigcup v \in x \odot y. v \odot z)$ 
```

```
begin
```

```
lemma assoc-exp:  $(\exists v. w \in x \odot v \wedge v \in y \odot z) = (\exists v. v \in x \odot y \wedge w \in v \odot z)$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
lemma assoc-var:  $\{x\} \star (y \odot z) = (x \odot y) \star \{z\}$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

Associativity extends to powersets.

```
lemma conv-assoc:  $X \star (Y \star Z) = (X \star Y) \star Z$ 
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

```
end
```

## 2.3 st-Multimagmas

I equip multimagmas with source and target maps.

```
class st-op =
  fixes src ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a(\sigma)$ 
  and tgt ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a(\tau)$ 
```

```
class st-multimagma = multimagma + st-op +
  assumes Dst:  $x \odot y \neq \{\} \implies \tau x = \sigma y$ 
  and s-absorb [simp]:  $\sigma x \odot x = \{x\}$ 
  and t-absorb [simp]:  $x \odot \tau x = \{x\}$ 
```

The following sublocale proof sets up opposition/duality.

```
sublocale st-multimagma ⊆ stopp: st-multimagma λx y. y ⊕ x tgt src
  rewrites stopp.conv X Y = Y ∗ X
   $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

**lemma (in st-multimagma) ts-compat [simp]:**  $\tau(\sigma x) = \sigma x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) ss-idem [simp]:**  $\sigma(\sigma x) = \sigma x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-fix:**  $(\tau x = x) = (\sigma x = x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-eq1:**  $\sigma x = x \implies \sigma x = \tau x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-eq2:**  $\tau x = x \implies \sigma x = \tau x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

I extend source and target operations to powersets by taking images.

**abbreviation (in st-op) Src :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where**  
 $Src \equiv image \sigma$

**abbreviation (in st-op) Tgt :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where**  
 $Tgt \equiv image \tau$

Fixpoints of source and target maps model source and target elements.  
These correspond to units.

**abbreviation (in st-op) sfix :: 'a set where**  
 $sfix \equiv \{x. \sigma x = x\}$

**abbreviation (in st-op) tfix :: 'a set where**  
 $tfix \equiv \{x. \tau x = x\}$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-mm-rfix [simp]:**  $tfix = stopp.sfix$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-fix-set:**  $\{x. \sigma x = x\} = \{x. \tau x = x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) stfix-set:**  $sfix = tfix$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) sfix-im:**  $sfix = Src \text{ UNIV}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) tfix-im:**  $tfix = Tgt \text{ UNIV}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) ST-im:**  $Src \text{ UNIV} = Tgt \text{ UNIV}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Source and target elements are "orthogonal" idempotents.

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-idem** [simp]:  $\sigma x \odot \sigma x = \{\sigma x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-ortho:**  
 $\Delta(\sigma x)(\sigma y) \implies \sigma x = \sigma y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-ortho-iff:**  $\Delta(\sigma x)(\sigma y) = (\sigma x = \sigma y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-ortho-iff:**  $\Delta(\sigma x)(\tau y) = (\sigma x = \tau y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-ortho-id:**  $(\sigma x) \odot (\sigma y) = (\text{if } (\sigma x = \sigma y) \text{ then } \{\sigma x\} \text{ else } \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-absorb-var:**  $(\sigma y \neq \sigma x) = (\sigma y \odot x = \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-absorb-var2:**  $(\sigma y = \sigma x) = (\sigma y \odot x \neq \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-absorb-var3:**  $(\sigma y = \sigma x) = \Delta(\sigma x) y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-assoc:**  $\{\sigma x\} \star (\sigma y \odot z) = (\sigma x \odot \sigma y) \star \{z\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) sfabsorb-var** [simp]:  $(\bigcup e \in \text{sfix}. e \odot x) = \{x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) tfix-absorb-var:**  $(\bigcup e \in \text{tfix}. x \odot e) = \{x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-comm:**  $\tau x \odot \sigma y = \sigma y \odot \tau x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-weak-twisted:**  $(\bigcup u \in x \odot y. \sigma u \odot x) \subseteq x \odot \sigma y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-comm:**  $\sigma x \odot \sigma y = \sigma y \odot \sigma x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) s-export** [simp]:  $\text{Src}(\sigma x \odot y) = \sigma x \odot \sigma y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma) st-prop:**  $(\tau x = \sigma y) = \Delta(\tau x)(\sigma y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *weak-local-var*:  $\tau x \odot \sigma y = \{\} \implies x \odot y = \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following facts hold by duality.

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *st-compat*:  $\sigma(\tau x) = \tau x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *tt-idem*:  $\tau(\tau x) = \tau x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *t-idem*:  $\tau x \odot \tau x = \{\tau x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *t-weak-twisted*:  $(\bigcup u \in y \odot x. x \odot \tau u) \subseteq \tau y \odot x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *t-comm*:  $\tau x \odot \tau y = \tau y \odot \tau x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *t-export*: *image*  $\tau(x \odot \tau y) = \tau x \odot \tau y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *tt-comp-prop*:  $\Delta(\tau x)(\tau y) = (\tau x = \tau y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The set of all sources (and targets) are units at powerset level.

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *conv-uns* [*simp*]:  $sfix \star X = X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *conv-unt*:  $X \star tfix = X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

I prove laws of modal powerset quantales.

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *Src-exp*:  $Src X = \{\sigma x \mid x \in X\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *ST-compat* [*simp*]:  $Src(Tgt X) = Tgt X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *TS-compat*:  $Tgt(Src X) = Src X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *Src-absorp* [*simp*]:  $Src X \star X = X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multimagma)** *Tgt-absorp*:  $X \star Tgt X = X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

lemma (in st-multimagma) Src-Sup-pres: Src ( $\bigcup \mathcal{X}$ ) = ( $\bigcup X \in \mathcal{X}. \text{Src } X$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Tgt-Sup-pres: Tgt ( $\bigcup \mathcal{X}$ ) = ( $\bigcup X \in \mathcal{X}. \text{Tgt } X$ )
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) ST-comm: Src X ⋆ Tgt Y = Tgt Y ⋆ Src X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Src-comm: Src X ⋆ Src Y = Src Y ⋆ Src X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Tgt-comm: Tgt X ⋆ Tgt Y = Tgt Y ⋆ Tgt X
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Src-subid: Src X ⊆ sfix
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Tgt-subid: Tgt X ⊆ tfix
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Src-export [simp]: Src (Src X ⋆ Y) = Src X ⋆ Src Y
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in st-multimagma) Tgt-export [simp]: Tgt (X ⋆ Tgt Y) = Tgt X ⋆ Tgt Y
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Locality implies st-locality, which is the composition pattern of categories.

```

lemma (in st-multimagma) locality:
  assumes src-local: Src (x ⊕ σ y) ⊆ Src (x ⊕ y)
  and tgt-local: Tgt (τ x ⊕ y) ⊆ Tgt (x ⊕ y)
  shows Δ x y = (τ x = σ y)
  ⟨proof⟩

```

## 2.4 Catoids

```

class catoid = st-multimagma + multisemigroup

sublocale catoid ⊆ ts-msg: catoid λx y. y ⊕ x ⊤tgt src
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in catoid) src-comp-aux: v ∈ x ⊕ y  $\implies$  σ v = σ x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in catoid) src-comp: Src (x ⊕ y) ⊆ {σ x}
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in catoid) src-comp-cond: (Δ x y)  $\implies$  Src (x ⊕ y) = {σ x}
  ⟨proof⟩

```

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-comp-aux:**  $v \in x \odot y \implies \tau v = \tau y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-comp:**  $Tgt(x \odot y) \subseteq \{\tau y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-comp-cond:**  $\Delta x y \implies Tgt(x \odot y) = \{\tau y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) src-weak-local:**  $Src(x \odot y) \subseteq Src(x \odot \sigma y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) src-local-cond:**  
 $\Delta x y \implies Src(x \odot y) = Src(x \odot \sigma y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-weak-local:**  $Tgt(x \odot y) \subseteq Tgt(\tau x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-local-cond:**  
 $\Delta x y \implies Tgt(x \odot y) = Tgt(\tau x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) src-twisted-aux:**  
 $u \in x \odot y \implies (x \odot \sigma y = \sigma u \odot x)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) src-twisted-cond:**  
 $\Delta x y \implies x \odot \sigma y = \bigcup \{\sigma u \odot x \mid u. u \in x \odot y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-twisted-aux:**  
 $u \in x \odot y \implies (\tau x \odot y = y \odot \tau u)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) tgt-twisted-cond:**  
 $\Delta x y \implies \tau x \odot y = \bigcup \{y \odot \tau u \mid u. u \in x \odot y\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) src-funct:**  
 $x \in y \odot z \implies x' \in y \odot z \implies \sigma x = \sigma x'$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) st-local-iff:**  
 $(\forall x y. \Delta x y = (\tau x = \sigma y)) = (\forall v x y z. v \in x \odot y \longrightarrow \Delta y z \longrightarrow \Delta v z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

Again one can lift to properties of modal semirings and quantales.

**lemma (in catoid) Src-weak-local:**  $\text{Src}(X \star Y) \subseteq \text{Src}(X \star \text{Src } Y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) Tgt-weak-local:**  $\text{Tgt}(X \star Y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}(\text{Tgt } X \star Y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

st-Locality implies locality.

**lemma (in catoid) st-locality-l-locality:**  
**assumes**  $\Delta x y = (\tau x = \sigma y)$   
**shows**  $\text{Src}(x \odot y) = \text{Src}(x \odot \sigma y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) st-locality-r-locality:**  
**assumes** lr-locality:  $\Delta x y = (\tau x = \sigma y)$   
**shows**  $\text{Tgt}(x \odot y) = \text{Tgt}(\tau x \odot y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in catoid) st-locality-locality:**  
 $(\text{Src}(x \odot y) = \text{Src}(x \odot \sigma y) \wedge \text{Tgt}(x \odot y) = \text{Tgt}(\tau x \odot y)) = (\Delta x y = (\tau x = \sigma y))$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 2.5 Locality

For st-multimagmas there are different notions of locality. I do not develop this in detail.

**class local-catoid = catoid +**  
**assumes** src-local:  $\text{Src}(x \odot \sigma y) \subseteq \text{Src}(x \odot y)$   
**and** tgt-local:  $\text{Tgt}(\tau x \odot y) \subseteq \text{Tgt}(x \odot y)$

**sublocale local-catoid  $\subseteq$  sts-msg: local-catoid**  $\lambda x y. y \odot x \text{tgt src}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in local-catoid) src-local-eq [simp]:**  $\text{Src}(x \odot \sigma y) = \text{Src}(x \odot y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in local-catoid) tgt-local-eq:**  $\text{Tgt}(\tau x \odot y) = \text{Tgt}(x \odot y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in local-catoid) src-twisted:**  $x \odot \sigma y = (\bigcup u \in x \odot y. \sigma u \odot x)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in local-catoid) tgt-twisted:**  $\tau x \odot y = (\bigcup u \in x \odot y. y \odot \tau u)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in local-catoid) local-var:**  $\Delta x y \implies \Delta(\tau x)(\sigma y)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in local-catoid) local-var-eq [simp]:  $\Delta (\tau x) (\sigma y) = \Delta x y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

I lift locality to powersets.

**lemma** (in local-catoid) Src-local [simp]:  $Src (X \star Src Y) = Src (X \star Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in local-catoid) Tgt-local [simp]:  $Tgt (Tgt X \star Y) = Tgt (X \star Y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** (in local-catoid) st-local:  $\Delta x y = (\tau x = \sigma y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.6 From partial magmas to single-set categories.

**class** functional-magma = multimagma +  
**assumes** functionality:  $x \in y \odot z \implies x' \in y \odot z \implies x = x'$

**begin**

Functional magmas could also be called partial magmas. The multioperation corresponds to a partial operation.

**lemma** partial-card:  $card (x \odot y) \leq 1$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** fun-in-sgl:  $(x \in y \odot z) = (\{x\} = y \odot z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

I introduce a partial operation.

**definition** pcomp :: ' $a \Rightarrow a \Rightarrow a$ ' (infixl  $\otimes$  70) where  
 $x \otimes y = (\text{THE } z. z \in x \odot y)$

**lemma** functionality-var:  $\Delta x y \implies (\exists !z. z \in x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** functionality-lem:  $(\exists !z. z \in x \odot y) \vee (x \odot y = \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** functionality-lem-var:  $\Delta x y = (\exists z. \{z\} = x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** pcomp-def-var:  $(\Delta x y \wedge x \otimes y = z) = (z \in x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** pcomp-def-var2:  $\Delta x y \implies ((x \otimes y = z) = (z \in x \odot y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** pcomp-def-var3:  $\Delta x y \implies ((x \otimes y = z) = (\{z\} = x \odot y))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

```

end

class functional-st-magma = functional-magma + st-multimagma

class functional-semigroup = functional-magma + multisemigroup

begin

lemma pcomp-assoc-defined:  $(\Delta u v \wedge \Delta (u \otimes v) w) = (\Delta u (v \otimes w) \wedge \Delta v w)$   

<proof>

lemma pcomp-assoc:  $\Delta x y \wedge \Delta (x \otimes y) z \implies (x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)$   

<proof>

end

class functional-catoid = functional-semigroup + catoid

```

Finally, here comes the definition of single-set categories as in Chapter 12 of Mac Lane's book, but with partiality of arrow composition modelled using a multioperation, or a partial operation based on it.

```

class single-set-category = functional-catoid + local-catoid

begin

lemma st-assoc:  $\tau x = \sigma y \implies \tau y = \sigma z \implies (x \otimes y) \otimes z = x \otimes (y \otimes z)$   

<proof>

end

```

## 2.7 Morphisms of multimagmas and lr-multimagmas

In the context of single-set categories, these morphisms are functors. Bounded morphisms are functional bisimulations. They are known as zig-zag morphisms or p-morphism in modal and substructural logics.

```

definition mm-morphism :: ('a::multimagma  $\Rightarrow$  'b::multimagma)  $\Rightarrow$  bool where  

mm-morphism f = ( $\forall x y. \text{image } f (x \odot y) \subseteq f x \odot f y$ )

definition bounded-mm-morphism :: ('a::multimagma  $\Rightarrow$  'b::multimagma)  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where  

bounded-mm-morphism f = (mm-morphism f  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x u v. f x \in u \odot v \longrightarrow (\exists y z. u = f y \wedge v = f z \wedge x \in y \odot z))$ ))

definition st-mm-morphism :: ('a::st-multimagma  $\Rightarrow$  'b::st-multimagma)  $\Rightarrow$  bool  

where  

st-mm-morphism f = (mm-morphism f  $\wedge$  f  $\circ$  σ = σ  $\circ$  f  $\wedge$  f  $\circ$  τ = τ  $\circ$  f)

```

```

definition bounded-st-mm-morphism :: ('a::st-multimagma  $\Rightarrow$  'b::st-multimagma)
 $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  bounded-st-mm-morphism f = (bounded-mm-morphism f  $\wedge$  st-mm-morphism f)

```

## 2.8 Relationship with categories

Next I add a standard definition of a category following Moerdijk and Mac Lane's book and, for good measure, show that categories form single set categories and vice versa.

```

locale category =
  fixes id :: 'objects  $\Rightarrow$  'arrows
  and dom :: 'arrows  $\Rightarrow$  'objects
  and cod :: 'arrows  $\Rightarrow$  'objects
  and comp :: 'arrows  $\Rightarrow$  'arrows  $\Rightarrow$  'arrows (infixl · 70)
  assumes dom-id [simp]: dom (id X) = X
  and cod-id [simp]: cod (id X) = X
  and id-dom [simp]: id (dom f) · f = f
  and id-cod [simp]: f · id (cod f) = f
  and dom-loc [simp]: cod f = dom g  $\Longrightarrow$  dom (f · g) = dom f
  and cod-loc [simp]: cod f = dom g  $\Longrightarrow$  cod (f · g) = cod g
  and assoc: cod f = dom g  $\Longrightarrow$  cod g = dom h  $\Longrightarrow$  (f · g) · h = f · (g · h)

begin

lemma cod f = dom g  $\Longrightarrow$  dom (f · g) = dom (f · id (dom g))
  {proof}

abbreviation LL f  $\equiv$  id (dom f)

abbreviation RR f  $\equiv$  id (cod f)

abbreviation Comp  $\equiv$   $\lambda f\ g.\ (\text{if } RR\ f = LL\ g \text{ then } \{f \cdot g\} \text{ else } \{\})$ 

end

typedef (overloaded) 'a::single-set-category st-objects = {x::'a::single-set-category.
   $\sigma$  x = x}
  {proof}

setup-lifting type-definition-st-objects

lemma Sfix-coerce [simp]: Abs-st-objects ( $\sigma$  (Rep-st-objects X)) = X
  {proof}

lemma Rfix-coerce [simp]: Abs-st-objects ( $\tau$  (Rep-st-objects X)) = X
  {proof}

sublocale single-set-category  $\subseteq$  sscatcat: category Rep-st-objects Abs-st-objects  $\circ$ 
   $\sigma$  Abs-st-objects  $\circ$   $\tau$  ( $\otimes$ )

```

$\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale**  $category \subseteq catlrm$ : *st-multimagma*  $Comp\ LL\ RR$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale**  $category \subseteq catsscat$ : *single-set-category*  $Comp\ LL\ RR$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 2.9 A Mac Lane style variant

Next I present an axiomatisation of single-set categories that follows Mac Lane's axioms in Chapter I of his textbook more closely, but still uses a multioperation for arrow composition.

```

class mlss-cat = functional-magma +
  fixes  $l0 : 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  fixes  $r0 : 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ 
  assumes comp0-def:  $(x \odot y \neq \{\}) = (r0 x = l0 y)$ 
  assumes r0l0 [simp]:  $r0 (l0 x) = l0 x$ 
  assumes l0r0 [simp]:  $l0 (r0 x) = r0 x$ 
  assumes l0-absorb [simp]:  $l0 x \otimes x = x$ 
  assumes r0-absorb [simp]:  $x \otimes r0 x = x$ 
  assumes assoc-defined:  $(u \odot v \neq \{\} \wedge (u \otimes v) \odot w \neq \{\}) = (u \odot (v \otimes w) \neq \{\} \wedge v \odot w \neq \{\})$ 
  assumes comp0-assoc:  $r0 x = l0 y \implies r0 y = l0 z \implies x \otimes (y \otimes z) = (x \otimes y) \otimes z$ 
  assumes locall-var:  $r0 x = l0 y \implies l0 (x \otimes y) = l0 x$ 
  assumes localr-var:  $r0 x = l0 y \implies r0 (x \otimes y) = r0 y$ 

begin

lemma ml-locall [simp]:  $l0 (x \otimes l0 y) = l0 (x \otimes y)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ml-localr [simp]:  $r0 (r0 x \otimes y) = r0 (x \otimes y)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ml-locall-im [simp]: image  $l0 (x \odot l0 y) = \text{image } l0 (x \odot y)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

lemma ml-localr-im [simp]: image  $r0 (r0 x \odot y) = \text{image } r0 (x \odot y)$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

end

sublocale single-set-category  $\subseteq sscatml$ : mlss-cat ( $\odot$ )  $\sigma\tau$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 

sublocale mlss-cat  $\subseteq mlsscat$ : single-set-category ( $\odot$ )  $l0\ r0$   

 $\langle proof \rangle$ 
```

## 2.10 Product of catoids

Finally I formalise products of categories as an exercise.

```
instantiation prod :: (catoid, catoid) catoid
begin

definition src-prod x = ( $\sigma$  (fst x),  $\sigma$  (snd x))
  for x :: 'a  $\times$  'b

definition tgt-prod x = ( $\tau$  (fst x),  $\tau$  (snd x))
  for x :: 'a  $\times$  'b

definition mcomp-prod x y = {(u,v) | u v. u  $\in$  fst x  $\odot$  fst y  $\wedge$  v  $\in$  snd x  $\odot$  snd y}
  for x y :: 'a  $\times$  'b

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation prod :: (single-set-category, single-set-category) single-set-category
begin

instance
⟨proof⟩

end

end
```

## 3 Groupoids

```
theory Groupoid
  imports Catoid
```

```
begin
```

### 3.1 st-Multigroupoids

I define multigroupoids, extending the standard definition. I equip catoids with an operation of inversion.

```
class inv-op = fixes inv :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  'a

class st-multigroupoid = catoid + inv-op +
  assumes invl:  $\sigma$  x  $\in$  x  $\odot$  inv x
  and invr:  $\tau$  x  $\in$  inv x  $\odot$  x
```

**sublocale** *st-multigroupoid*  $\subseteq$  *st-mgpd*: *st-multigroupoid*  $\lambda x\ y.\ y \odot x \text{tgt} \text{src} \text{inv}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

Every multigroupoid is local.

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *st-mgpd-local*:  
**assumes**  $\tau\ x = \sigma\ y$   
**shows**  $\Delta\ x\ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**sublocale** *st-multigroupoid*  $\subseteq$  *stmgpd*: *local-catoid* ( $\odot$ ) *src* *tgt*  
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *tgt-inv* [simp]:  $\tau\ (\text{inv}\ x) = \sigma\ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *src-inv*:  $\sigma\ (\text{inv}\ x) = \tau\ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

The following lemma is from Theorem 5.2 of Jónsson and Tarski's Boolean Algebras with Operators II article.

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *bao3*:  
**assumes**  $x \odot y = \{\sigma\ x\}$   
**shows**  $\text{inv}\ x = y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *inv-s* [simp]:  $\text{inv}\ (\sigma\ x) = \sigma\ x$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *srcfunct-inv*:  
 $\sigma\ x \in x \odot \text{inv}\ x \implies \sigma\ y \in x \odot \text{inv}\ x \implies \sigma\ x = \sigma\ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *tgtfunct-inv*:  
 $\tau\ x \in \text{inv}\ x \odot x \implies \tau\ y \in \text{inv}\ x \odot x \implies \tau\ x = \tau\ y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

As for catoids, I prove quantalic properties, lifting to powersets.

**abbreviation** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *Inv* :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set **where**  
 $\text{Inv} \equiv \text{image } \text{inv}$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *Inv-exp*:  $\text{Inv}\ X = \{\text{inv}\ x \mid x. x \in X\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *Inv-un*:  $\text{Inv}\ (X \cup Y) = \text{Inv}\ X \cup \text{Inv}\ Y$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** (in *st-multigroupoid*) *Inv-Un*:  $\text{Inv}\ (\bigcup \mathcal{X}) = (\bigcup X \in \mathcal{X}. \text{Inv}\ X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *Invl*:  $\text{Src } X \subseteq X \star \text{Inv } X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *Invr*:  $\text{Tgt } X \subseteq \text{Inv } X \star X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *Inv-strong-gelfand*:  $X \subseteq X \star \text{Inv } X \star X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

At powerset level, one can define domain and codomain operations explicitly as in relation algebras.

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *dom-def*:  $\text{Src } X = \text{sfix} \cap (X \star \text{Inv } X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *cod-def*:  $\text{Tgt } X = \text{sfix} \cap (\text{Inv } X \star X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *dom-def-var*:  $\text{Src } X = \text{sfix} \cap (X \star \text{UNIV})$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *cod-def-var*:  $\text{Tgt } X = \text{sfix} \cap (\text{UNIV} \star X)$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *dom-univ*:  $X \star \text{UNIV} = \text{Src } X \star \text{UNIV}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma (in st-multigroupoid)** *cod-univ*:  $\text{UNIV} \star X = \text{UNIV} \star \text{Tgt } X$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

## 3.2 Groupoids

Groupoids are simply functional multigroupoids. I start with a somewhat indirect axiomatisation.

**class** *groupoid-var* = *st-multigroupoid* + *functional-catoid*

**begin**

**lemma** *invl* [*simp*]:  $x \odot \text{inv } x = \{\sigma x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**lemma** *invr* [*simp*]:  $\text{inv } x \odot x = \{\tau x\}$   
 $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$

**end**

Next, I provide a more direct axiomatisation.

**class** *groupoid* = *catoid* + *inv-op* +  
**assumes** *invs* [*simp*]:  $x \odot \text{inv } x = \{\sigma x\}$

```

and invt [simp]: inv x ⊕ x = { $\tau$  x}

subclass (in groupoid) st-multigroupoid
  ⟨proof⟩

sublocale groupoid ⊆ lrgpd: groupoid  $\lambda x\ y.\ y \odot x$  tgt src inv
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) bao4 [simp]: inv (inv x) = x
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) rev1:
   $x \in y \odot z \implies y \in x \odot \text{inv } z$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) rev2:
   $x \in y \odot z \implies z \in \text{inv } y \odot x$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) rev1-eq:  $(y \in x \odot (\text{inv } z)) = (x \in y \odot z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) rev2-eq:  $(z \in (\text{inv } y) \odot x) = (x \in y \odot z)$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

The following fact show that the axiomatisation above captures indeed groupoids.

```

lemma (in groupoid) lr-mgpd-partial:
  assumes  $x \in y \odot z$ 
  and  $x' \in y \odot z$ 
  shows  $x = x'$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

subclass (in groupoid) single-set-category
  ⟨proof⟩

```

Hence st-groupoids are indeed single-set categories in which all arrows are isomorphisms.

```

lemma (in groupoid) src-canc1:
  assumes  $\tau z = \sigma x$ 
  and  $\tau z = \sigma y$ 
  and  $z \otimes x = z \otimes y$ 
  shows  $x = y$ 
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

lemma (in groupoid) tgt-canc1:
  assumes  $\tau x = \sigma z$ 
  and  $\tau y = \sigma z$ 
  and  $x \otimes z = y \otimes z$ 

```

**shows**  $x = y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following lemmas are from Theorem 5.2 of Jónsson and Tarski's BAO II article.

**lemma (in groupoid) bao1 [simp]:**  $x \otimes (\text{inv } x \otimes x) = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) bao2 [simp]:**  $(x \otimes \text{inv } x) \otimes x = x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) bao5:**  
 $\tau x = \sigma y \implies \text{inv } x \otimes x = y \otimes \text{inv } y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) bao6:**  $\text{Inv} (x \odot y) = \text{inv } y \odot \text{inv } x$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

### 3.3 Axioms of relation algebra

I formalise a special case of a famous theorem of Jónsson and Tarski, showing that groupoids lift to relation algebras at powerset level. All axioms not related to converse have already been considered previously.

**lemma (in groupoid) Inv-invol [simp]:**  $\text{Inv} (\text{Inv } X) = X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) Inv-contrav:**  $\text{Inv} (X \star Y) = \text{Inv } Y \star \text{Inv } X$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) residuation:**  $\text{Inv } X \star -(X \star Y) \subseteq -Y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) modular-law:**  $(X \star Y) \cap Z \subseteq (X \cap (Z \star \text{Inv } Y)) \star Y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in groupoid) dedekind:**  $(X \star Y) \cap Z \subseteq (X \cap (Z \star \text{Inv } Y)) \star (Y \cap (\text{Inv } X \star Z))$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

In sum, this shows that the powerset lifting of a groupoid is a relation algebra. I link this formally with relations in an interpretation statement in another component.

Jónsson and Tarski's axioms of relation algebra are slightly different. It is routine to relate them formally with those used here. It might also be interesting to use their partiality-by-closure approach to defining groupoids in a setting with explicit carrier sets in another Isabelle formalisation.

**lemma (in groupoid) Inv-compl:**  $\text{Inv} (-X) = -(\text{Inv } X)$

```

⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) Inv-inter: Inv (X ∩ Y) = Inv X ∩ Inv Y
⟨proof⟩

lemma (in groupoid) Inv-Un: Inv (∩ X) = (∩ X ∈ X. Inv X)
⟨proof⟩

end

```

## 4 Lifting catoids to modal powerset quantales

```

theory Catoid-Lifting
  imports Catoid Quantales-Converse.Modal-Quantale

```

```
begin
```

```
instantiation set :: (catoid) monoid-mult
```

```
begin
```

```
definition one-set :: 'a set where
```

```
  1 = sfix
```

```
definition times-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set where
```

```
  X * Y = X ⋆ Y
```

```
instance
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
instantiation set :: (catoid) semiring-one-zero
```

```
begin
```

```
definition zero-set :: 'a set where
```

```
  zero-set = {}
```

```
definition plus-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set ⇒ 'a set where
```

```
  X + Y = X ∪ Y
```

```
instance
```

```
⟨proof⟩
```

```
end
```

```
instantiation set :: (catoid) dioid
```

```

begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation set :: (local-catoid) domain-semiring

begin

definition domain-op-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set where
  dom X = Src X

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation set :: (local-catoid) range-semiring

begin

definition range-op-set :: 'a set ⇒ 'a set where
  cod X = Tgt X

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation set :: (local-catoid) dr-modal-semiring

begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation set :: (catoid) quantale

begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

```

```

instantiation set :: (local-catoid) domain-quantale
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation set :: (local-catoid) codomain-quantale
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

instantiation set :: (local-catoid) dc-modal-quantale
begin

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

end

end

```

## 5 Lifting groupoids to powerset Dedekind quantales and powerset relation algebras

```

theory Groupoid-Lifting
imports Groupoid Quantales-Converse.Quantale-Converse Catoid-Lifting Relation-Algebra.Relation-Algebra

begin

instantiation set :: (groupoid) dedekind-quantale
begin

definition invol-set :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
  invol = Inv

instance
  ⟨proof⟩

```

```

end

instantiation set :: (groupoid) boolean-dedekind-quantale

begin

instance(proof)

end

instantiation set :: (groupoid) relation-algebra

begin

definition composition-set :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
composition-set x y = x  $\star$  y

definition converse-set :: 'a set  $\Rightarrow$  'a set where
converse = Inv

definition unit-set :: 'a set where
unit-set = sfix

instance
(proof)

end

end

```

## 6 Multimonoids

```

theory Multimonoid
imports Catoid

```

```

begin

context multimagma
begin

```

### 6.1 Unital multimagmas

This component presents an alternative approach to catoids, as multisemigroups with many units. This is more akin to the formalisation of single-set categories in Chapter I of Mac Lane's book, but in fact this approach to axiomatising categories goes back to the middle of the twentieth century.

Units can already be defined in multimagmas.

```

definition munitl e = (( $\exists x. x \in e \odot x$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x y. y \in e \odot x \rightarrow y = x$ ))

definition munitr e = (( $\exists x. x \in x \odot e$ )  $\wedge$  ( $\forall x y. y \in x \odot e \rightarrow y = x$ ))

abbreviation munit e  $\equiv$  (munitl e  $\vee$  munitr e)

end

A multimagma is unital if every element has a left and a right unit.

class unital-multimagma-var = multimagma +
  assumes munitl-ex:  $\forall x. \exists e. \text{munitl } e \wedge \Delta e x$ 
  assumes munitr-ex:  $\forall x. \exists e. \text{munitr } e \wedge \Delta x e$ 

begin

lemma munitl-ex-var:  $\forall x. \exists e. \text{munitl } e \wedge x \in e \odot x$ 
  <proof>

lemma unitl:  $\bigcup \{e \odot x \mid e. \text{munitl } e\} = \{x\}$ 
  <proof>

lemma munitr-ex-var:  $\forall x. \exists e. \text{munitr } e \wedge x \in x \odot e$ 
  <proof>

lemma unitr:  $\bigcup \{x \odot e \mid e. \text{munitr } e\} = \{x\}$ 
  <proof>

end

Here is an alternative definition.

class unital-multimagma = multimagma +
  fixes E :: 'a set
  assumes El:  $\bigcup \{e \odot x \mid e. e \in E\} = \{x\}$ 
  and Er:  $\bigcup \{x \odot e \mid e. e \in E\} = \{x\}$ 

begin

lemma E1:  $\forall e \in E. (\forall x y. y \in e \odot x \rightarrow y = x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma E2:  $\forall e \in E. (\forall x y. y \in x \odot e \rightarrow y = x)$ 
  <proof>

lemma El11:  $\forall x. \exists e \in E. x \in e \odot x$ 
  <proof>

lemma El12:  $\forall x. \exists e \in E. e \odot x = \{x\}$ 
  <proof>

```

**lemma** *Er11*:  $\forall x. \exists e \in E. x \in x \odot e$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *Er12*:  $\forall x. \exists e \in E. x \odot e = \{x\}$   
*(proof)*

Units are "orthogonal" idempotents.

**lemma** *unit-id*:  $\forall e \in E. e \in e \odot e$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unit-id-eq*:  $\forall e \in E. e \odot e = \{e\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unit-comp*:  
  **assumes**  $e_1 \in E$   
  **and**  $e_2 \in E$   
  **and**  $\Delta e_1 e_2$   
  **shows**  $e_1 = e_2$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *unit-comp-iff*:  $e_1 \in E \implies e_2 \in E \implies (\Delta e_1 e_2 = (e_1 = e_2))$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\forall e \in E. \exists x. x \in e \odot x$   
*(proof)*

**lemma**  $\forall e \in E. \exists x. x \in x \odot e$   
*(proof)*

**sublocale** *unital-multimagma-var*  
*(proof)*

Now it is clear that the two definitions are equivalent.

The next two lemmas show that the set of units is a left and right unit of composition at powerset level.

**lemma** *conv-unl*:  $E \star X = X$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *conv-unr*:  $X \star E = X$   
*(proof)*

**end**

## 6.2 Multimonoids

A multimonoid is a unital multisemigroup.

**class** *multimonoid* = *multisemigroup* + *unital-multimagma*

**begin**

In a multimonoid, left and right units are unique for each element.

**lemma** *munits-uniquel*:  $\forall x \exists !e. e \in E \wedge e \odot x = \{x\}$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *munits-uniquer*:  $\forall x \exists !e. e \in E \wedge x \odot e = \{x\}$   
*(proof)*

In a monoid, there is of course one single unit, and my definition of many units reduces to this one.

**lemma** *units-unique*:  $(\forall x y. \Delta x y) \implies \exists !e. e \in E$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *units-rm2l*:  $e_1 \in E \implies e_2 \in E \implies \Delta e_1 x \implies \Delta e_2 x \implies e_1 = e_2$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** *units-rm2r*:  $e_1 \in E \implies e_2 \in E \implies \Delta x e_1 \implies \Delta x e_2 \implies e_1 = e_2$   
*(proof)*

One can therefore express the functional relationship between elements and their units in terms of explicit (source and target) maps – as in catoids.

**definition** *so* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
*so*  $x = (\text{THE } e. e \in E \wedge e \odot x = \{x\})$

**definition** *ta* ::  $'a \Rightarrow 'a$  **where**  
*ta*  $x = (\text{THE } e. e \in E \wedge x \odot e = \{x\})$

**abbreviation** *So* ::  $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
*So*  $X \equiv \text{image so } X$

**abbreviation** *Ta* ::  $'a \text{ set} \Rightarrow 'a \text{ set}$  **where**  
*Ta*  $X \equiv \text{image ta } X$

**end**

### 6.3 Multimonoids and catoids

It is now easy to show that every catoid is a multimonoid and vice versa.

One cannot have both sublocale statements at the same time.

The converse direction requires some preparation.

**lemma** (*in multimonoid*) *so-unit*: *so*  $x \in E$   
*(proof)*

**lemma** (*in multimonoid*) *ta-unit*: *ta*  $x \in E$

$\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in multimonoid)** so-absorbl: so  $x \odot x = \{x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in multimonoid)** ta-absorbr:  $x \odot ta x = \{x\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma (in multimonoid)** semi-locality:  $\Delta x y \implies ta x = so y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** multimonoid  $\subseteq$  monlr: catoid ( $\odot$ ) so ta  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.4 From multimonoids to categories

Single-set categories are precisely local partial monoids, that is, object-free categories as in Chapter I of Mac Lane's book.

**class** local-multimagma = multimagma +  
  **assumes** locality:  $v \in x \odot y \implies \Delta y z \implies \Delta v z$

**class** local-multisemigroup = multisemigroup + local-multimagma

In this context, a semicategory is an object-free category without identity arrows

**class** of-semicategory = local-multisemigroup + functional-semigroup

**begin**

**lemma** part-locality:  $\Delta x y \implies \Delta y z \implies \Delta (x \otimes y) z$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** part-locality-var:  $\Delta x y \implies \Delta y z \implies (x \odot y) \star \{z\} \neq \{\}$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** locality-iff:  $(\Delta x y \wedge \Delta y z) = (\Delta x y \wedge \Delta (x \otimes y) z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** locality-iff-var:  $(\Delta x y \wedge \Delta y z) = (\Delta x y \wedge (x \odot y) \star \{z\} \neq \{\})$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

**class** partial-monoid = multimonoid + functional-magma

**class** local-multimonoid = multimonoid + local-multimagma

**begin**

**lemma** *sota-locality*:  $ta\ x = so\ y \implies \Delta\ x\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *So-local*:  $So\ (x \odot so\ y) = So\ (x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *Ta-local*:  $Ta\ (ta\ x \odot y) = Ta\ (x \odot y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**sublocale** *locmm*: *local-catoid* ( $\odot$ ) *so ta*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

The following statements formalise compatibility properties.

**lemma** *local-conv*:  $v \in x \odot y \implies (\Delta\ v\ z = \Delta\ y\ z)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *local-alt*:  $e \in E \implies x \in x \odot e \implies y \in e \odot y \implies \Delta\ x\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *local-iff*:  $\Delta\ x\ y = (\exists e \in E. \Delta\ x\ e \wedge \Delta\ e\ y)$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**lemma** *local-iff2*:  $(ta\ x = so\ y) = \Delta\ x\ y$   
 $\langle proof \rangle$

**end**

Finally I formalise object-free categories. The axioms are essentially Mac Lane's, but a multioperation is used for arrow composition, to capture partiality.

**class** *of-category* = *of-semicategory* + *partial-monoid*

The next statements show that single-set categories based on catoids and object-free categories based on multimonoids are the same (we can only have one direction as a sublocale statement). It then follows from results about catoids and single-set categories that object-free categories are indeed categories. These results can be found in the catoid component. I do not present explicit proofs for object-free categories here.

**sublocale** *of-category*  $\subseteq$  *ofss-cat*: *single-set-category* - *so ta*  
 $\langle proof \rangle$

## 6.5 Multimonoids and relational monoids

Relational monoids are monoids in the category Rel. They have been used previously to construct convolution algebras in another AFP entry. Here I show that relational monoids are isomorphic to multimonoids, but I do not

integrate the AFP entry with relational monoids because it uses a historic quantale component, which is different from the quantale component in the AFP. Instead, I simply copy in the definitions leading to relational monoids and leave the consolidation of Isabelle theories to the future.

```

class rel-magma =
  fixes  $\varrho :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow \text{bool}$ 

class rel-semigroup = rel-magma +
  assumes rel-assoc:  $(\exists y. \varrho y u v \wedge \varrho x y w) = (\exists z. \varrho z v w \wedge \varrho x u z)$ 

class rel-monoid = rel-semigroup +
  fixes  $\xi :: 'a \text{ set}$ 
  assumes unitl-ex:  $\exists e \in \xi. \varrho x e x$ 
  and unitr-ex:  $\exists e \in \xi. \varrho x x e$ 
  and unitl-eq:  $e \in \xi \implies \varrho x e y \implies x = y$ 
  and unitr-eq:  $e \in \xi \implies \varrho x y e \implies x = y$ 

```

Once again, only one of the two sublocale statements compiles.

```

sublocale multimonoid  $\subseteq$  rel-monoid  $\lambda x y z. x \in y \odot z E$ 
   $\langle \text{proof} \rangle$ 
end

```

## References

- [1] R. Brown. From groups to groupoids: A brief survey. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 19:113–134, 1987.
- [2] C. Calk, U. Fahrenberg, C. Johansen, G. Struth, and K. Ziemiański.  $\ell r$ -multisemigroups, modal quantales and the origin of locality. In *RAMiCS 2021*, volume 13027 of *LNCS*, pages 90–107. Springer, 2021.
- [3] C. Calk, P. Malbos, D. Pous, and G. Struth. Higher catoids, higher quantales and their correspondences. *arXiv*, 2307.09253, 2023.
- [4] J. Cranch, S. Doherty, and G. Struth. Relational semigroups and object-free categories. *arXiv*, 2001.11895, 2020.
- [5] B. Dongol, V. B. F. Gomes, I. J. Hayes, and G. Struth. Partial semigroups and convolution algebras. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2017.
- [6] U. Fahrenberg, C. Johansen, G. Struth, and K. Ziemiański. Catoids and modal convolution algebras. *Algebra Universalis*, 84:10, 2023.
- [7] B. Jónsson and A. Tarski. Boolean algebras with operators. Part II. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 74(1):127–162, 1952.

- [8] S. Mac Lane. *Categories for the Working Mathematician*, volume 5. Springer, second edition, 1998.
- [9] E. W. Stark. Category theory with adjunctions and limits. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016.