## The Cartan Fixed Point Theorems

Lawrence C. Paulson

October 13, 2025

## Abstract

The Cartan fixed point theorems concern the group of holomorphic automorphisms on a connected open set of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Ciolli et al. [1] have formalised the one-dimensional case of these theorems in HOL Light. This entry contains their proofs, ported to Isabelle/HOL. Thus it addresses the authors remark that "it would be important to write a formal proof in a language that can be read by both humans and machines."

## Contents

begin
0.1 First Cartan Theorem
Ported from HOL Light. See Gianni Ciolli, Graziano Gentili, Marco Maggesi. A Certified Proof of the Cartan Fixed Point Theorems. J Automated Reasoning (2011) 47:319–336 DOI 10.1007/s10817-010-9198-6
lemma $deriv$ -left-inverse: assumes $f$ holomorphic-on $S$ and $g$ holomorphic-on $T$ and $open \ S$ and $open \ T$ and $f$ ' $S \subseteq T$ and $[simp]$ : $\bigwedge z. \ z \in S \Longrightarrow g \ (f \ z) = z$ and $w \in S$ shows $deriv \ f \ w * deriv \ g \ (f \ w) = 1$
proof –
have $deriv \ f \ w * deriv \ g \ (f \ w) = deriv \ g \ (f \ w) * deriv \ f \ w$
by (simp add: algebra-simps)
also have = $deriv(g \circ f) w$
using assms
by (metis analytic-on-imp-differentiable-at analytic-on-open deriv-chain im-
age-subset-iff)
also have $\dots = deriv id w$
apply (rule complex-derivative-transform-within-open [where s=S]) apply (rule assms holomorphic-on-compose-gen holomorphic-intros)+ apply simp
done also have $\dots = 1$
using higher-deriv-id [of 1] by simp
finally show ?thesis.
qed
lemma Cauchy-higher-deriv-bound: assumes holf: f holomorphic-on (ball z r)

```
and contf: continuous-on (cball z r) f
        and \theta < r and \theta < n
        and \mathit{fin}: \bigwedge w.\ w \in \mathit{ball}\ z\ r \Longrightarrow f\ w \in \mathit{ball}\ y\ B0
      shows norm ((deriv \cap n) f z) \leq (fact n) * B0 / r \cap n
proof -
  have \theta < B\theta using \langle \theta < r \rangle fin [of z]
    by (metis ball-eq-empty ex-in-conv fin not-less)
  have le-B0: \bigwedge w. cmod(w-z) \leq r \Longrightarrow cmod(fw-y) \leq B0
    apply (rule continuous-on-closure-norm-le [of ball z r \lambda w. f w-y])
    apply (auto simp: \langle 0 < r \rangle dist-norm norm-minus-commute)
    apply (rule continuous-intros contf)+
    using fin apply (simp add: dist-commute dist-norm less-eq-real-def)
    done
  have (deriv \curvearrowright n) f z = (deriv \curvearrowright n) (\lambda w. f w) z - (deriv \curvearrowright n) (\lambda w. y) z
    using \langle \theta < n \rangle by simp
  also have ... = (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) \ (\lambda w. f w - y) \ z
    by (rule higher-deriv-diff [OF holf, symmetric]) (auto simp: \langle 0 < r \rangle holomor-
phic-on-const)
  finally have (deriv \curvearrowright n) f z = (deriv \curvearrowright n) (\lambda w. f w - y) z.
  have contf': continuous-on (cball z r) (\lambda u. f u - y)
    by (rule contf continuous-intros)+
  have holf': (\lambda u. (f u - y)) holomorphic-on (ball z r)
    by (simp add: holf holomorphic-on-diff holomorphic-on-const)
  define a where a = (2 * pi)/(fact n)
  have \theta < a by (simp \ add: a - def)
  have B0/r^{\hat{}}(Suc\ n)*2*pi*r = a*((fact\ n)*B0/r^{\hat{}}n)
    using \langle \theta < r \rangle by (simp add: a-def divide-simps)
  have der-dif: (deriv \ \widehat{\ } \ n) \ (\lambda w. \ f \ w - y) \ z = (deriv \ \widehat{\ } \ n) \ f \ z
    using \langle \theta < r \rangle \langle \theta < n \rangle
    by (auto simp: higher-deriv-diff [OF holf holomorphic-on-const])
  have norm ((2 * of\text{-real } pi * i)/(fact n) * (deriv ^ n) (\lambda w. f w - y) z)
        \leq (B0/r \hat{\ } (Suc\ n)) * (2 * pi * r)
     apply (rule has-contour-integral-bound-circlepath [of (\lambda u. (f u - y)/(u - y))
z) \cap (Suc \ n)) - z])
   {\bf using} \ {\it Cauchy-has-contour-integral-higher-derivative-circle path} \ [{\it OF contf'holf'}]
    using \langle \theta < B\theta \rangle \langle \theta < r \rangle
    apply (auto simp: norm-divide norm-mult norm-power divide-simps le-B0)
    done
  then show ?thesis
    using \langle \theta < r \rangle
    by (auto simp: norm-divide norm-mult norm-power field-simps der-dif le-B0)
qed
lemma higher-deriv-comp-lemma:
    assumes s: open s and holf: f holomorphic-on s
        and z \in s
        and t: open t and holg: g holomorphic-on t
        and fst: f 's \subseteq t
        and n: i \leq n
```

```
and dfz: deriv\ f\ z=1 and zero: \bigwedge i.\ \llbracket 1< i;\ i\le n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (deriv\ \widehat{\ \ }i)\ f\ z=0 shows (deriv\ \widehat{\ \ }i)\ (g\ o\ f)\ z=(deriv\ \widehat{\ \ }i)\ g\ (f\ z)
using n holg
proof (induction i arbitrary: g)
  case 0 then show ?case by simp
next
  case (Suc\ i)
  have g \circ f holomorphic-on s using Suc.prems holf
    using fst by (simp add: holomorphic-on-compose-gen image-subset-iff)
  then have 1: deriv (g \circ f) holomorphic-on s
    by (simp add: holomorphic-deriv s)
  have dg: deriv\ g\ holomorphic-on t
    using Suc.prems by (simp\ add:\ Suc.prems(2)\ holomorphic-deriv\ t)
  then have deriv \ g \ holomorphic-on \ f 's
    using fst by (simp add: holomorphic-on-subset image-subset-iff)
  then have dqf: (deriv \ q \ o \ f) holomorphic-on s
    by (simp add: holf holomorphic-on-compose)
  then have 2: (\lambda w. (deriv \ g \ o \ f) \ w * deriv \ f \ w) \ holomorphic-on \ s
    by (blast intro: holomorphic-intros holomorphic-on-compose holf s)
 have (deriv \ \widehat{\ } i) \ (deriv \ (q \ o \ f)) \ z = (deriv \ \widehat{\ } i) \ (\lambda w. \ deriv \ q \ (f \ w) * deriv \ f \ w)
   apply (rule higher-deriv-transform-within-open [OF 1 2 [unfolded o-def] s \triangleleft z \in
s \rangle ])
   apply (rule deriv-chain)
   using holf Suc. prems fst apply (auto simp: holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at
s t
  also have ... = (\sum j=0..i. of-nat(i choose j) * (deriv ^ j) (\lambda w. deriv g (f w))
z * (deriv \curvearrowright (i - j)) (deriv f) z)
    apply (rule higher-deriv-mult [OF dgf [unfolded o-def] - s \langle z \in s \rangle])
    by (simp add: holf holomorphic-deriv s)
 also have ... = (\sum j=i..i.\ of\text{-}nat(i\ choose\ j)*(deriv\ ^{\frown}j)\ (\lambda w.\ deriv\ g\ (f\ w))\ z
* (deriv \cap Suc (i - j)) f z)
  proof -
    have *: (deriv \ \widehat{} \ j) \ (\lambda w. \ deriv \ g \ (f \ w)) \ z = 0 \ \ \textbf{if} \ j < i \ \textbf{and} \ nz: (deriv \ \widehat{} \ (i - i)) \ (i - i)
(i)) (deriv (i)) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i)
    proof -
      have 1 < Suc (i - j) Suc (i - j) \le n
        using \langle j < i \rangle \langle Suc \ i \leq n \rangle by auto
      then show ?thesis by (metis comp-def funpow.simps(2) funpow-swap1 zero
nz
    qed
    then show ?thesis
      apply (simp only: funpow-Suc-right o-def)
      apply (rule comm-monoid-add-class.sum.mono-neutral-right, auto)
      done
  ged
  also have ... = (deriv \ \widehat{} \ i) \ (deriv \ g) \ (f \ z)
    using Suc.IH [OF - dg] Suc.prems by (simp add: dfz)
```

```
finally show ?case
    by (simp only: funpow-Suc-right o-def)
qed
lemma higher-deriv-comp-iter-lemma:
    assumes s: open s and holf: f holomorphic-on s
        and fss: f ' s \subseteq s
        and z \in s and [simp]: f z = z
        and n: i \leq n
       and dfz: deriv f z = 1 and zero: \bigwedge i. [1 < i; i \le n] \Longrightarrow (deriv \widehat{\ } i) f z = 0
      shows (deriv \ \widehat{} \ i) \ (f \ \widehat{} \ m) \ z = (deriv \ \widehat{} \ i) \ f \ z
proof -
 have holfm: (f^m) \ holomorphic-on \ s \ for \ m
    apply (induction m, simp add: holomorphic-on-ident)
     apply (simp only: funpow-Suc-right holomorphic-on-compose-qen [OF holf -
fss)
    done
  show ?thesis using n
  proof (induction m)
    case \theta with dfz show ?case
      by (auto simp: zero)
  next
    case (Suc\ m)
   have (deriv \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} i) (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m \circ f) z = (deriv \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} i) (f \stackrel{\frown}{\frown} m) (f z)
       using Suc. prems holfm \langle z \in s \rangle dfz fss higher-deriv-comp-lemma holf s zero
by blast
    also have ... = (deriv \ \widehat{} \ i) f z
      by (simp add: Suc)
    finally show ?case
      by (simp only: funpow-Suc-right)
 qed
\mathbf{qed}
lemma higher-deriv-iter-top-lemma:
    assumes s: open s and holf: f holomorphic-on s
        and fss: f ' s \subseteq s
        and z \in s and [simp]: f z = z
        and dfz [simp]: deriv f z = 1
      and n: 1 < n \land i. \llbracket 1 < i; i < n \rrbracket \Longrightarrow (deriv \ \widehat{} i) \ f \ z = 0 shows (deriv \ \widehat{} n) \ (f \ \widehat{} m) \ z = m * (deriv \ \widehat{} n) \ f \ z
using n
proof (induction n arbitrary: m)
 case \theta then show ?case by simp
\mathbf{next}
  case (Suc \ n)
  have [simp]: (f^{n}) z = z for m
    by (induction \ m) auto
 have fms-sb: (f^{\sim}m) 's \subseteq s for m
```

```
apply (induction \ m)
    using fss
    apply force+
    done
  have holfm: (f^{n}) holomorphic-on s for m
    apply (induction m, simp add: holomorphic-on-ident)
     apply (simp only: funpow-Suc-right holomorphic-on-compose-gen [OF holf -
fss])
    done
  then have hold fm: deriv (f ^ m) holomorphic-on s  for m
    by (simp add: holomorphic-deriv s)
  have holdffm: (\lambda z. \ deriv \ f \ ((f \ ^{n} \ m) \ z)) \ holomorphic-on \ s \ for \ m
    apply (rule holomorphic-on-compose-gen [where g=deriv \ f and t=s, unfolded
o-def])
    using s \langle z \in s \rangle holfm holf fms-sb by (auto intro: holomorphic-intros)
  have f-cd-w: \bigwedge w. w \in s \Longrightarrow f field-differentiable at w
    using holf holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at s by blast
  have f-cd-mw: \bigwedge m \ w. \ w \in s \Longrightarrow (f^{n}) \ field-differentiable \ at \ w
    using holfm holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at s by auto
  have der-fm [simp]: deriv (f ^{\sim} m) z = 1 for m
    apply (induction m, simp add: deriv-ident)
    apply (subst funpow-Suc-right)
    apply (subst deriv-chain)
    using \langle z \in s \rangle holfm holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at s f-cd-w apply auto
    done
  note Suc(3) [simp]
  note n-Suc = Suc
  show ?case
  proof (induction m)
    case \theta with n-Suc show ?case
       by (metis\ Zero-not-Suc\ funpow-simps-right(1)\ higher-deriv-id\ lambda-zero
nat-neq-iff of-nat-0)
  next
    case (Suc\ m)
    have deriv-nffm: (deriv \curvearrowright n) (deriv f o (f \curvearrowright m)) z = (deriv \curvearrowright n) (deriv f)
    apply (rule higher-deriv-comp-lemma [OF s holfm \langle z \in s \rangle s - fms-sb order-refl])
      using \langle z \in s \rangle fss higher-deriv-comp-iter-lemma holf holf holomorphic-deriv s
       apply auto
      done
    have deriv (f \curvearrowright m \circ f) holomorphic-on s
      by (metis funpow-Suc-right holdfm)
   moreover have (\lambda w. \ deriv \ f \ ((f \frown m) \ w) * deriv \ (f \frown m) \ w) \ holomorphic-on
      by (rule holomorphic-on-mult [OF holdffm holdfm])
ultimately have (deriv \ \widehat{\ } \ n) (deriv \ (f \ \widehat{\ } \ m \circ f)) z = (deriv \ \widehat{\ } \ n) (\lambda w. \ deriv \ f \ ((f \ \widehat{\ } \ m) \ w) * deriv \ (f \ \widehat{\ } \ m) \ w) z
      apply (rule higher-deriv-transform-within-open [OF - s \ \langle z \in s \rangle])
      by (metis comp-funpow deriv-chain f-cd-mw f-cd-w fms-sb funpow-swap1 im-
```

```
age-subset-iff o-id)
    also have ... =
         (\sum i=0..n. \ of\text{-}nat(n \ choose \ i) * (deriv \ \widehat{} i) (\lambda w. \ deriv \ f ((f \ \widehat{} m) \ w)) \ z *
                      (deriv \curvearrowright (n-i)) (deriv (f \curvearrowright m)) z)
      by (rule higher-deriv-mult [OF holdffm holdfm s \langle z \in s \rangle])
   also have ... = (\sum i \in \{0,n\}. \ of\text{-nat}(n \ choose \ i) * (deriv \widehat{\ } i) \ (\lambda w. \ deriv \ f \ ((f \ choose \ i) + (f \ choose \ i))))
(m) w) z *
                      (deriv ^{(n-i)}) (deriv (f ^{(n-i)}) z)
    proof -
have *: (deriv \ \widehat{\ } i) \ (\lambda w. \ deriv \ f \ ((f \ \widehat{\ } m) \ w)) \ z = 0 \ \ \mathbf{if} \ i \le n \ 0 < i \ i \ne n and nz: (deriv \ \widehat{\ } (n-i)) \ (deriv \ (f \ \widehat{\ } m)) \ z \ne 0 \ \ \mathbf{for} \ i
      proof -
        have less: 1 < Suc (n-i) and le: Suc (n-i) \le n
          using that by auto
        have (deriv \curvearrowright (Suc (n - i))) (f \curvearrowright m) z = (deriv \curvearrowright (Suc (n - i))) f z
          apply (rule higher-deriv-comp-iter-lemma [OF s holf fss \langle z \in s \rangle \langle f | z = z \rangle
le dfz)
          by simp
        also have \dots = 0
          using n-Suc(3) less le le-imp-less-Suc by blast
        finally have (deriv \cap (Suc (n-i))) (f \cap m) z = 0.
        then show ?thesis by (simp add: funpow-swap1 nz)
      qed
      show ?thesis
        \mathbf{by} \ (\mathit{rule} \ \mathit{comm-monoid-add-class.sum.mono-neutral-right}) \ (\mathit{auto} \ \mathit{simp} \colon *)
    qed
    also have ... = of-nat (Suc m) * (deriv ^{n} n) (deriv f) z
      apply (subst Groups-Big.comm-monoid-add-class.sum.insert)
    apply (simp-all add: deriv-nffm [unfolded o-def] of-nat-Suc [of 0] del: of-nat-Suc)
      using n-Suc(2) Suc
      apply (auto simp del: funpow.simps simp: algebra-simps funpow-simps-right)
    finally have (deriv \curvearrowright n) (deriv (f \curvearrowright m \circ f)) z = of-nat (Suc m) * (deriv \curvearrowright n)
n) (deriv f) z.
    then show ?case
      apply (simp only: funpow-Suc-right)
      apply (simp add: o-def del: of-nat-Suc)
      done
  qed
qed
     Should be proved for n-dimensional vectors of complex numbers
theorem first-Cartan-dim-1:
    assumes holf: f holomorphic-on s
        and open s connected s bounded s
        and fss: f 's \subseteq s
        and z \in s and [simp]: f z = z
        and dfz [simp]: deriv f z = 1
        and w \in s
```

```
shows f w = w
proof -
  obtain c where \theta < c and c: s \subseteq ball z c
   \mathbf{using} \ \langle bounded \ s \rangle \ bounded-subset-ballD \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  obtain r where 0 < r and r: cball z r \subseteq s
    \mathbf{using} \ \langle z \in s \rangle \ open\text{-}contains\text{-}cball \ \langle open \ s \rangle \ \mathbf{by} \ blast
  then have bzr: ball z r \subseteq s using ball-subset-cball by blast
  have fms-sb: (f^{\sim}m) ' s\subseteq s for m
   \mathbf{apply}\ (induction\ m)
   using fss apply force+
   done
  have holfm: (f^{m}) \ holomorphic-on \ s \ for \ m
   apply (induction m, simp add: holomorphic-on-ident)
    apply (simp only: funpow-Suc-right holomorphic-on-compose-gen [OF holf -
fss)
   done
  have *: (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) f z = (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) id z  for n
  proof -
   consider n = 0 \mid n = 1 \mid 1 < n by arith
   then show ?thesis
   proof cases
     assume n = 0 then show ?thesis by force
     assume n = 1 then show ?thesis by force
   \mathbf{next}
     assume n1: n > 1
     then have (deriv \cap n) f z = 0
     proof (induction n rule: less-induct)
       case (less n)
       have le: real m * cmod ((deriv ^ n) f z) \le fact n * c / r ^ n if m \ne 0 for
m
       proof -
         have holfm': (f \curvearrowright m) holomorphic-on ball z r
           using holfm bzr holomorphic-on-subset by blast
         then have contfm': continuous-on (cball\ z\ r)\ (f^{n}m)
           using \langle cball\ z\ r\subseteq s\rangle holfm holomorphic-on-imp-continuous-on holomor-
phic\text{-}on\text{-}subset by blast
         have real m * cmod ((deriv ^ n) f z) = cmod (real <math>m * (deriv ^ n) f z)
           by (simp add: norm-mult)
         also have ... = cmod ((deriv \sim n) (f \sim m) z)
          apply (subst higher-deriv-iter-top-lemma [OF \langle open s \rangle holf fss \langle z \in s \rangle \langle f
z = z \rightarrow dfz
           using less apply auto
         also have ... \leq fact \ n * c \ / \ r \ \widehat{} \ n
             apply (rule Cauchy-higher-deriv-bound [OF holfm' contfm' \langle 0 < r \rangle,
where y=z
           using less.prems apply linarith
           using fms-sb c r ball-subset-cball
```

```
apply blast
           done
          finally show ?thesis.
        have cmod ((deriv \cap n) f z) = 0
          apply (rule real-archimedian-rdiv-eq-0 [where c = (fact \ n) * c \ / \ r \ \widehat{} \ n])
          apply simp
          using \langle \theta < r \rangle \langle \theta < c \rangle
          apply (simp add: divide-simps)
          apply (blast intro: le)
          done
       then show ?case by simp
     qed
     with n1 show ?thesis by simp
   qed
  qed
  have f w = id w
   by (rule holomorphic-fun-eq-on-connected
               [OF holf holomorphic-on-id \langle open s \rangle \langle connected s \rangle * \langle z \in s \rangle \langle w \in s \rangle])
  also have \dots = w by simp
  finally show ?thesis.
qed
    Second Cartan Theorem.
lemma Cartan-is-linear:
  assumes holf: f holomorphic-on s
     and open \ s and connected \ s
     and \theta \in s
     and ins: \bigwedge u \ z. [norm \ u = 1; \ z \in s] \implies u * z \in s
     and feq: \bigwedge u \ z. [norm \ u = 1; \ z \in s] \Longrightarrow f \ (u * z) = u * f \ z
   shows \exists c. \forall z \in s. fz = c * z
proof -
  have [simp]: f \theta = \theta
   using feq [of -1 \ 0] assms by simp
 have uneq: u^n * (deriv^n) f (u * z) = u * (deriv^n) f z
      if norm \ u = 1 \ z \in s \ \mathbf{for} \ n \ u \ z
  proof -
   have holfuw: (\lambda w. f (u * w)) holomorphic-on s
     apply (rule holomorphic-on-compose-gen [OF - holf, unfolded o-def])
      using that ins by (auto simp: holomorphic-on-linear)
   have hol-d-fuw: (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) \ (\lambda w. \ u * f w) \ holomorphic-on \ s \ for \ n
      by (rule holomorphic-higher-deriv holomorphic-intros holf assms)+
   have *: (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) \ (\lambda w. \ u * f w) \ z = u * (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) \ f \ z \ \text{if} \ z \in s \ \text{for} \ z
   using that
   proof (induction n arbitrary: z)
      case \theta then show ?case by simp
      case (Suc \ n)
     have deriv ((deriv \ \widehat{\ } n) \ (\lambda w. \ u * f w)) \ z = deriv \ (\lambda w. \ u * (deriv \ \widehat{\ } n) \ f w)
```

```
z
       apply (rule complex-derivative-transform-within-open [OF hol-d-fuw])
      apply (auto intro!: holomorphic-higher-deriv holomorphic-intros assms Suc)
       done
     also have ... = u * deriv ((deriv ^ n) f) z
       apply (rule deriv-cmult)
     \textbf{using } \textit{Suc open s} \textit{ holf holomorphic-higher-deriv holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at}
by blast
     finally show ?case by simp
   \mathbf{qed}
   have (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) \ (\lambda w. \ f \ (u * w)) \ z = u \ \widehat{} \ n * (deriv \ \widehat{} \ n) \ f \ (u * z)
     apply (rule higher-deriv-compose-linear [OF holf \langle open s \langle \langle open s \langle \]
     apply (simp add: that)
     apply (simp add: ins that)
     done
   moreover have (deriv \curvearrowright n) (\lambda w. f (u * w)) z = u * (deriv \curvearrowright n) f z
     apply (subst higher-deriv-transform-within-open [OF holfuw, of \lambda w.\ u*fw])
     apply (rule holomorphic-intros holf assms that)+
     apply blast
     using * \langle z \in s \rangle apply blast
     done
   ultimately show ?thesis by metis
  qed
 have dnf\theta: (deriv \cap n) f \theta = \theta if len: 2 \le n for n
 proof -
   have **: z = 0 if \bigwedge u::complex. norm u = 1 \Longrightarrow u \cap n * z = u * z for z
   proof -
     have \exists u :: complex. norm u = 1 \land u \cap n \neq u
       using complex-not-root-unity [of n-1] len
       apply (simp add: algebra-simps le-diff-conv2, clarify)
       apply (rule-tac \ x=u \ in \ exI)
       apply (subst (asm) power-diff)
       apply auto
       done
     with that show ?thesis
       by auto
   qed
   show ?thesis
     apply (rule **)
     using uneq [OF - \langle \theta \in s \rangle]
     by force
 qed
 show ?thesis
   apply (rule-tac x = deriv f \theta in exI, clarify)
   apply (rule holomorphic-fun-eq-on-connected [OF holf - <open s> <connected s>
-\langle \theta \in s \rangle]
   using dnf0 apply (auto simp: holomorphic-on-linear)
   done
qed
```

Should be proved for n-dimensional vectors of complex numbers

```
theorem second-Cartan-dim-1:
  assumes holf: f holomorphic-on ball 0 r
      and holg: g holomorphic-on ball 0 r
      and [simp]: f \theta = \theta and [simp]: g \theta = \theta
      and ballf: \bigwedge z. z \in ball \ 0 \ r \Longrightarrow f \ z \in ball \ 0 \ r
      and ballg: \bigwedge z. z \in ball \ 0 \ r \Longrightarrow g \ z \in ball \ 0 \ r
      and fg: \bigwedge z. z \in ball\ 0\ r \Longrightarrow f\ (g\ z) = z
      and gf: \bigwedge z. z \in ball \ 0 \ r \Longrightarrow g \ (f \ z) = z
      and \theta < r
    shows \exists t. \forall z \in ball \ 0 \ r. \ g \ z = exp(i * of-real \ t) * z
proof -
  have c-le-1: c < 1
    if 0 \le c \land x. 0 \le x \Longrightarrow x < r \Longrightarrow c * x < r for c
    have rst: \bigwedge r \ s \ t :: real. \ \theta = r \lor s/r < t \lor r < \theta \lor \neg s < r * t
         by (metis (no-types) mult-less-cancel-left-disj nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left
times-divide-eq-right)
    { assume \neg r < c \land c * (c * (c * (c * r))) < 1
     then have 1 \le c \Longrightarrow (\exists r. \neg 1 < r \land \neg r < c)
            using \langle 0 \leq c \rangle by (metis (full-types) less-eq-real-def mult.right-neutral
mult-left-mono not-less)
      then have \neg 1 < c \lor \neg 1 \le c
        by linarith }
    moreover
    { have \neg \theta \leq r / c \Longrightarrow \neg 1 \leq c
          using \langle \theta \rangle < r \rangle by force
      then have 1 < c \Longrightarrow \neg 1 \le c
        \mathbf{using} \ \mathit{rst} \ \mathit{<\theta} \ \mathit{<\ r>} \ \mathit{that}
          by (metis div-by-1 frac-less2 less-le-trans mult.commute not-le order-refl
pos-divide-le-eq zero-less-one) }
    ultimately show ?thesis
      by (metis (no-types) linear not-less)
  have ugeq: u * g z = g (u * z) if nou: norm u = 1 and z: z \in ball \ 0 \ r for u z
  proof -
    have [simp]: u \neq 0 using that by auto
    have hol1: (\lambda a. f(u * g a) / u) holomorphic-on ball 0 r
      apply (rule holomorphic-intros)
      apply (rule holomorphic-on-compose-gen [OF - holf, unfolded o-def])
      apply (rule holomorphic-intros holg)+
      using nou ballq
      apply (auto simp: dist-norm norm-mult holomorphic-on-const)
      done
    have cdf: f field-differentiable at \theta
      using \langle \theta \rangle < r \rangle holf holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at by auto
    have cdg: g field-differentiable at 0
      using \langle 0 < r \rangle holy holomorphic-on-imp-differentiable-at by auto
    have cd-fug: (\lambda a. f(u * g a)) field-differentiable at 0
```

```
apply (rule field-differentiable-compose [where g=f and f = \lambda a. (u * g a),
unfolded o-def])
     apply (rule derivative-intros)+
     using cdf cdq
     apply auto
     done
   have deriv \ g \ \theta = deriv \ g \ (f \ \theta)
     by simp
   then have deriv\ f\ 0*deriv\ g\ 0=1
     by (metis open-ball \langle 0 < r \rangle ballf centre-in-ball deriv-left-inverse gf holf holg
image-subsetI)
   then have equ: deriv f \circ 0 * deriv (\lambda a. \ u * g \ a) \circ 0 = u
     by (simp add: cdg deriv-cmult)
   have der1: deriv (\lambda a. f(u * g a) / u) \theta = 1
    apply (simp add: field-class.field-divide-inverse deriv-cmult-right [OF cd-fuq])
    apply (subst deriv-chain [where q=f and f=\lambda a. (u*q a), unfolded o-def])
     apply (rule derivative-intros cdf cdg | simp add: equ)+
     done
   have fugeq: \bigwedge w. w \in ball \ 0 \ r \Longrightarrow f \ (u * g \ w) \ / \ u = w
     apply (rule first-Cartan-dim-1 [OF hol1, where z=0])
     apply (simp-all add: \langle \theta < r \rangle)
     apply (auto simp: der1)
     using nou ballf ballg
     apply (simp add: dist-norm norm-mult norm-divide)
     done
   have f(u * g z) = u * z
     by (metis \langle u \neq 0 \rangle fuged nonzero-mult-div-cancel-left z times-divide-eq-right)
   also have \dots = f(g(u * z))
     by (metis (no-types, lifting) fg mem-ball-0 mult-cancel-right2 norm-mult nou
z)
   finally have f(u * g z) = f(g(u * z)).
   then have g(f(u * g z)) = g(f(g(u * z)))
     by simp
   then show ?thesis
     apply (subst (asm) gf)
     apply (simp add: dist-norm norm-mult nou)
     using ballg mem-ball-0 z apply blast
     apply (subst (asm) qf)
     apply (simp add: dist-norm norm-mult nou)
     apply (metis ballg mem-ball-0 mult.left-neutral norm-mult nou z, simp)
     done
 qed
  obtain c where c: \bigwedge z. z \in ball \ 0 \ r \Longrightarrow g \ z = c * z
   apply (rule exE [OF Cartan-is-linear [OF holg]])
   apply (simp-all\ add: \langle 0 < r \rangle\ ugeq)
   apply (auto simp: dist-norm norm-mult)
  have gr2: g(f(r/2)) = c * f(r/2)
   apply (rule c) using \langle \theta \rangle < r \rangle ballf mem-ball-\theta by force
```

```
then have norm c > 0
   using \langle \theta < r \rangle
    by simp\ (metis\ \langle f\ 0\ =\ 0\rangle\ c\ dist-commute\ fg\ mem-ball\ mult-zero-left\ per-
fect-choose-dist)
 then have [simp]: c \neq 0 by auto
 have xless: x < r * cmod c if 0 \le x x < r for x
 proof -
   have x = norm (g (f (of-real x)))
   proof -
     have r > cmod (of\text{-}real x)
      by (simp add: that)
     then have complex-of-real x \in ball \ 0 \ r
      using mem-ball-0 by blast
     then show ?thesis
       using gf \langle \theta \leq x \rangle by force
   qed
   then show ?thesis
     apply (rule ssubst)
     apply (subst\ c)
     apply (rule ballf)
     using ballf[of x] that
     apply (auto simp: norm-mult dist-0-norm)
     done
 qed
 have 11: 1 / norm c \leq 1
   apply (rule c-le-1)
   using xless apply (auto simp: divide-simps)
 have \llbracket 0 \leq x; \ x < r \rrbracket \Longrightarrow cmod \ c * x < r \ \mathbf{for} \ x
   using c [of x] ballg [of x] by (auto simp: norm-mult dist-0-norm)
   then have norm c \leq 1
   by (force intro: c-le-1)
 moreover have 1 \leq norm c
   using 11 by simp
 ultimately have norm c = 1 by (rule antisym)
 with complex-norm-eq-1-exp c show ?thesis
   by metis
qed
end
```

## Bibliography

[1] G. Ciolli, G. Gentili, and M. Maggesi. A certified proof of the Cartan fixed point theorems. *J. Autom. Reason.*, 47(3):319–336, Oct. 2011.