

Cardinality of Set Partitions

Lukas Bulwahn

September 18, 2020

Abstract

The theory's main theorem states that the cardinality of set partitions of size k on a carrier set of size n is expressed by Stirling numbers of the second kind. In Isabelle, Stirling numbers of the second kind are defined in the AFP entry 'Discrete Summation' [1] through their well-known recurrence relation. The main theorem relates them to the alternative definition as cardinality of set partitions. The proof follows the simple and short explanation in Richard P. Stanley's 'Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 1' [2] and Wikipedia [3], and unravels the full details and implicit reasoning steps of these explanations.

Contents

1	Set Partitions	1
1.1	Useful Additions to Main Theories	2
1.2	Introduction and Elimination Rules	2
1.3	Basic Facts on Set Partitions	2
1.4	The Unique Part Containing an Element in a Set Partition	4
1.5	Cardinality of Parts in a Set Partition	7
1.6	Operations on Set Partitions	8
2	Combinatorial Basics	12
2.1	Preliminaries	12
2.1.1	Injectivity and Disjoint Families	12
2.1.2	Cardinality Theorems for Set.bind	12
2.2	Third Version of Injectivity Solver	13
3	Cardinality of Set Partitions	15

1 Set Partitions

```
theory Set-Partition
imports
  HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets
```

HOL-Library.FuncSet
begin

1.1 Useful Additions to Main Theories

lemma *set-eqI'*:
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in A \implies x \in B$
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in B \implies x \in A$
shows $A = B$
using *assms* **by** *auto*

lemma *comp-image*:
 $((\cdot) f \circ (\cdot) g) = (\cdot) (f \circ g)$
by *rule auto*

1.2 Introduction and Elimination Rules

The definition of *partition-on* is in *HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets*.

lemma *partition-onI*:
assumes $\bigwedge p. p \in P \implies p \neq \{\}$
assumes $\bigcup P = A$
assumes $\bigwedge p p'. p \in P \implies p' \in P \implies p \neq p' \implies p \cap p' = \{\}$
shows *partition-on* $A P$
using *assms* **unfolding** *partition-on-def disjoint-def* **by** *blast*

lemma *partition-onE*:
assumes *partition-on* $A P$
obtains $\bigwedge p. p \in P \implies p \neq \{\}$
 $\bigcup P = A$
 $\bigwedge p p'. p \in P \implies p' \in P \implies p \neq p' \implies p \cap p' = \{\}$
using *assms* **unfolding** *partition-on-def disjoint-def* **by** *blast*

1.3 Basic Facts on Set Partitions

lemma *partition-onD4*: *partition-on* $A P \implies p \in P \implies q \in P \implies x \in p \implies x \in q \implies p = q$
by (*auto simp: partition-on-def disjoint-def*)

lemma *partition-subset-imp-notin*:
assumes *partition-on* $A P X \in P$
assumes $X' \subset X$
shows $X' \notin P$
proof
assume $X' \in P$
from $\langle X' \in P \rangle$ *partition-on* $A P$ **have** $X' \neq \{\}$
using *partition-onD3* **by** *blast*
moreover from $\langle X' \in P \rangle \langle X \in P \rangle$ *partition-on* $A P$ $\langle X' \subset X \rangle$ **have** *disjnt* $X X'$
by (*metis disjnt-def disjointD inf.strict-order-iff partition-onD2*)

moreover note $\langle X' \subset X \rangle$
ultimately show *False*
by (*meson all-not-in-conv disjnt-iff psubsetD*)
qed

lemma *partition-on-Diff*:
assumes *P: partition-on A P* **shows** $Q \subseteq P \implies \text{partition-on } (A - \bigcup Q) (P - Q)$
using *P P[THEN partition-onD4]* **by** (*auto simp: partition-on-def disjoint-def*)

lemma *partition-on-UN*:
assumes *A: partition-on A B* **and** *B: $\bigwedge b. b \in B \implies \text{partition-on } b (P b)$*
shows *partition-on A $(\bigcup_{b \in B}. P b)$*
proof (*rule partition-onI*)
show $\bigcup (\bigcup_{b \in B}. P b) = A$
using *B[THEN partition-onD1] A[THEN partition-onD1]* **by** *blast*
next
show $p \neq \{\}$ **if** $p \in (\bigcup_{b \in B}. P b)$ **for** *p*
using *B[THEN partition-onD3]* **that** **by** *auto*
next
fix *p q* **assume** $p \in (\bigcup_{i \in B}. P i)$ $q \in (\bigcup_{i \in B}. P i)$ **and** $p \neq q$
then obtain *i j* **where** $i: p \in P i$ $i \in B$ **and** $j: q \in P j$ $j \in B$
by *auto*
show $p \cap q = \{\}$
proof *cases*
assume $i = j$ **then show** *?thesis*
using $i j \langle p \neq q \rangle$ *B[THEN partition-onD2, of i]* **by** (*simp add: disjointD*)
next
assume $i \neq j$
then have *disjnt i j*
using $i j$ *A[THEN partition-onD2]* **by** (*auto simp: pairwise-def*)
moreover have $p \subseteq i$ $q \subseteq j$
using *B[THEN partition-onD1, of i, symmetric] B[THEN partition-onD1, of j, symmetric]* $i j$ **by** *auto*
ultimately show *?thesis*
by (*auto simp: disjnt-def*)
qed
qed

lemma *partition-on-notemptyI*:
assumes *partition-on A P*
assumes $A \neq \{\}$
shows $P \neq \{\}$
using *assms* **by** (*auto elim: partition-onE*)

lemma *partition-on-disjoint*:
assumes *partition-on A P*
assumes *partition-on B Q*
assumes $A \cap B = \{\}$

shows $P \cap Q = \{\}$
using *assms* **by** (*fastforce elim: partition-onE*)

lemma *partition-on-eq-implies-eq-carrier*:
assumes *partition-on* $A Q$
assumes *partition-on* $B Q$
shows $A = B$
using *assms* **by** (*fastforce elim: partition-onE*)

lemma *partition-on-insert*:
assumes *partition-on* $A P$
assumes *disjnt* $A X X \neq \{\}$
assumes $A \cup X = A'$
shows *partition-on* A' (*insert* $X P$)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: partition-on-def disjoint-def disjnt-def*)

An alternative formulation of $\llbracket \text{partition-on } ?A \ ?P; \text{disjnt } ?A \ ?X; ?X \neq \{\}; ?A \cup ?X = ?A \rrbracket \implies \text{partition-on } ?A' \ (\text{insert } ?X \ ?P)$

lemma *partition-on-insert'*:
assumes *partition-on* $(A - X) P$
assumes $X \subseteq A X \neq \{\}$
shows *partition-on* A (*insert* $X P$)
proof –
have *disjnt* $(A - X) X$ **by** (*simp add: disjnt-iff*)
from *assms*(1) **this** *assms*(2) **have** *partition-on* $((A - X) \cup X)$ (*insert* $X P$)
by (*auto intro: partition-on-insert*)
from *this* $(X \subseteq A)$ **show** *thesis*
by (*metis Diff-partition sup-commute*)
qed

lemma *partition-on-insert-singleton*:
assumes *partition-on* $A P a \notin A$ *insert* $a A = A'$
shows *partition-on* A' (*insert* $\{a\} P$)
using *assms* **by** (*auto simp: partition-on-def disjoint-def disjnt-def*)

lemma *partition-on-remove-singleton*:
assumes *partition-on* $A P X \in P A - X = A'$
shows *partition-on* A' $(P - \{X\})$
using *assms* *partition-on-Diff* **by** (*metis Diff-cancel Diff-subset cSup-singleton insert-subset*)

1.4 The Unique Part Containing an Element in a Set Partition

lemma *partition-on-partition-on-unique*:
assumes *partition-on* $A P$
assumes $x \in A$
shows $\exists! X. x \in X \wedge X \in P$
proof –
from $(\text{partition-on } A P)$ **have** $\bigcup P = A$

```

    by (auto elim: partition-onE)
  from this ⟨x ∈ A⟩ obtain X where X: x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P by blast
  {
    fix Y
    assume x ∈ Y ∧ Y ∈ P
    from this have X = Y
      using X ⟨partition-on A P⟩ by (meson partition-onE disjoint-iff-not-equal)
  }
  from this X show ?thesis by auto
qed

```

```

lemma partition-on-the-part-mem:
  assumes partition-on A P
  assumes x ∈ A
  shows (THE X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P) ∈ P
proof -
  from ⟨x ∈ A⟩ have ∃!X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P
    using ⟨partition-on A P⟩ by (simp add: partition-on-partition-on-unique)
  from this show (THE X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P) ∈ P
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) theI)
qed

```

```

lemma partition-on-in-the-unique-part:
  assumes partition-on A P
  assumes x ∈ A
  shows x ∈ (THE X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P)
proof -
  from assms have ∃!X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P
    by (simp add: partition-on-partition-on-unique)
  from this show ?thesis
    by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) theI')
qed

```

```

lemma partition-on-the-part-eq:
  assumes partition-on A P
  assumes x ∈ X X ∈ P
  shows (THE X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P) = X
proof -
  from ⟨x ∈ X⟩ ⟨X ∈ P⟩ have x ∈ A
    using ⟨partition-on A P⟩ by (auto elim: partition-onE)
  from this have ∃!X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P
    using ⟨partition-on A P⟩ by (simp add: partition-on-partition-on-unique)
  from ⟨x ∈ X⟩ ⟨X ∈ P⟩ this show (THE X. x ∈ X ∧ X ∈ P) = X
    by (auto intro!: the1-equality)
qed

```

```

lemma the-unique-part-alternative-def:
  assumes partition-on A P

```

assumes $x \in A$
shows $(THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = \{y.\ \exists X \in P.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X\}$
proof
show $(THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) \subseteq \{y.\ \exists X \in P.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X\}$
proof
fix y
assume $y \in (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P)$
moreover from $\langle x \in A \rangle$ **have** $x \in (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P)$
using $\langle partition-on\ A\ P \rangle$ **partition-on-in-the-unique-part** **by force**
moreover from $\langle x \in A \rangle$ **have** $(THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) \in P$
using $\langle partition-on\ A\ P \rangle$ **partition-on-the-part-mem** **by force**
ultimately show $y \in \{y.\ \exists X \in P.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X\}$ **by auto**
qed
next
show $\{y.\ \exists X \in P.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X\} \subseteq (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P)$
proof
fix y
assume $y \in \{y.\ \exists X \in P.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X\}$
from this obtain X **where** $x \in X$ **and** $y \in X$ **and** $X \in P$ **by auto**
from $\langle x \in X \rangle$ $\langle X \in P \rangle$ **have** $(THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = X$
using $\langle partition-on\ A\ P \rangle$ **partition-on-the-part-eq** **by force**
from this $\langle y \in X \rangle$ **show** $y \in (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P)$ **by simp**
qed
qed

lemma *partition-on-all-in-part-eq-part*:
assumes *partition-on A P*
assumes $X' \in P$
shows $\{x \in A.\ (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = X'\} = X'$
proof
show $\{x \in A.\ (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = X'\} \subseteq X'$
using *assms(1)* **partition-on-in-the-unique-part** **by force**
next
show $X' \subseteq \{x \in A.\ (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = X'\}$
proof
fix x
assume $x \in X'$
from $\langle x \in X' \rangle$ $\langle X' \in P \rangle$ **have** $x \in A$
using $\langle partition-on\ A\ P \rangle$ **by** *(auto elim: partition-onE)*
moreover from $\langle x \in X' \rangle$ $\langle X' \in P \rangle$ **have** $(THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = X'$
using $\langle partition-on\ A\ P \rangle$ **partition-on-the-part-eq** **by fastforce**
ultimately show $x \in \{x \in A.\ (THE\ X.\ x \in X \wedge X \in P) = X'\}$ **by auto**
qed
qed

lemma *partition-on-part-characteristic*:
assumes *partition-on A P*
assumes $X \in P$ $x \in X$
shows $X = \{y.\ \exists X \in P.\ x \in X \wedge y \in X\}$

proof –
from $\langle x \in X \rangle \langle X \in P \rangle$ **have** $x \in A$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } A \ P \rangle$ partition-onE **by** *blast*
from $\langle x \in X \rangle \langle X \in P \rangle$ **have** $X = (\text{THE } X. x \in X \wedge X \in P)$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } A \ P \rangle$ **by** $(\text{simp add: partition-on-the-part-eq})$
also from $\langle x \in A \rangle$ **have** $(\text{THE } X. x \in X \wedge X \in P) = \{y. \exists X \in P. x \in X \wedge y \in X\}$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } A \ P \rangle$ $\text{the-unique-part-alternative-def}$ **by force**
finally show *?thesis* .
qed

lemma *partition-on-no-partition-outside-carrier*:
assumes $\text{partition-on } A \ P$
assumes $x \notin A$
shows $\{y. \exists X \in P. x \in X \wedge y \in X\} = \{\}$
using *assms unfolding partition-on-def* **by auto**

1.5 Cardinality of Parts in a Set Partition

lemma *partition-on-le-set-elements*:
assumes *finite A*
assumes $\text{partition-on } A \ P$
shows $\text{card } P \leq \text{card } A$
using *assms*
proof (*induct A arbitrary: P*)
case empty
from this show $\text{card } P \leq \text{card } \{\}$ **by** $(\text{simp add: partition-on-empty})$
next
case (*insert a A*)
show *?case*
proof (*cases* $\{a\} \in P$)
case True
have *prop-partition-on*: $\forall p \in P. p \neq \{\} \cup P = \text{insert } a \ A$
 $\forall p \in P. \forall p' \in P. p \neq p' \longrightarrow p \cap p' = \{\}$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ **by** $(\text{fastforce elim: partition-onE})+$
from this (2, 3) $\langle a \notin A \rangle \langle \{a\} \in P \rangle$ **have** *A-eq*: $A = \bigcup (P - \{\{a\}\})$
by auto (*metis Int-iff UnionI empty-iff insert-iff*)
from *prop-partition-on A-eq* **have** *partition-on*: $\text{partition-on } A \ (P - \{\{a\}\})$
by (*intro partition-onI*) *auto*
from *insert.hyps*(3) **this** **have** $\text{card } (P - \{\{a\}\}) \leq \text{card } A$ **by** *simp*
from this *insert*(1, 2, 4) $\langle \{a\} \in P \rangle$ **show** *?thesis*
using *finite-elements[OF finite A partition-on]* **by** *simp*
next
case False
from $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ **obtain** *p* **where** *p-def*: $p \in P \ a \in p$
by (*blast elim: partition-onE*)
from $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ *p-def* **have** *a-notmem*: $\forall p' \in P - \{p\}. a \notin p'$
by (*blast elim: partition-onE*)

```

from ⟨partition-on (insert a A) P⟩ p-def have  $p - \{a\} \notin P$ 
  unfolding partition-on-def disjoint-def
  by (metis Diff-insert-absorb Diff-subset inf.orderE mk-disjoint-insert)
let ?P' = insert (p - {a}) (P - {p})
have partition-on A ?P'
proof (rule partition-onI)
  from ⟨partition-on (insert a A) P⟩ have  $\forall p \in P. p \neq \{\}$  by (auto elim:
partition-onE)
  from this p-def ⟨{a} ∉ P⟩ show  $\bigwedge p'. p' \in \text{insert } (p - \{a\}) (P - \{p\}) \implies p' \neq \{\}$ 
    by (simp; metis (no-types) Diff-eq-empty-iff subset-singletonD)
  next
    from ⟨partition-on (insert a A) P⟩ have  $\bigcup P = \text{insert } a A$  by (auto elim:
partition-onE)
    from p-def this ⟨a ∉ A⟩ a-notmem show  $\bigcup (\text{insert } (p - \{a\}) (P - \{p\})) = A$  by auto
  next
    show  $\bigwedge pa pa'. pa \in \text{insert } (p - \{a\}) (P - \{p\}) \implies pa' \in \text{insert } (p - \{a\}) (P - \{p\}) \implies pa \neq pa' \implies pa \cap pa' = \{\}$ 
      using ⟨partition-on (insert a A) P⟩ p-def a-notmem
      unfolding partition-on-def disjoint-def
      by (metis disjoint-iff-not-equal insert-Diff insert-iff)
  qed
have finite P using ⟨finite A⟩ ⟨partition-on A ?P'⟩ finite-elements by fastforce
have card P = Suc (card (P - {p}))
  using p-def ⟨finite P⟩ card.remove by fastforce
also have ... = card ?P' using ⟨p - {a} ∉ P⟩ ⟨finite P⟩ by simp
also have ... ≤ card A using ⟨partition-on A ?P'⟩ insert.hyps(3) by simp
also have ... ≤ card (insert a A) by (simp add: card-insert-le ⟨finite A⟩)
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

```

1.6 Operations on Set Partitions

lemma partition-on-union:

```

assumes  $A \cap B = \{\}$ 
assumes partition-on A P
assumes partition-on B Q
shows partition-on (A ∪ B) (P ∪ Q)
proof (rule partition-onI)
  fix X
  assume  $X \in P \cup Q$ 
  from this ⟨partition-on A P⟩ ⟨partition-on B Q⟩ show  $X \neq \{\}$ 
    by (auto elim: partition-onE)
  next
    show  $\bigcup (P \cup Q) = A \cup B$ 
      using ⟨partition-on A P⟩ ⟨partition-on B Q⟩ by (auto elim: partition-onE)
  next

```



```

fix X Y
assume X ∈ P ∪ Q Y ∈ P ∪ Q X ≠ Y
from this assms show X ∩ Y = {}
  by (elim UnE partition-onE) auto
qed

```

```

lemma partition-on-split1:
  assumes partition-on A (P ∪ Q)
  shows partition-on (⋃ P) P
proof (rule partition-onI)
  fix p
  assume p ∈ P
  from this assms show p ≠ {}
    using Un-iff partition-onE by auto
next
  show ⋃ P = ⋃ P ..
next
  fix p p'
  assume a: p ∈ P p' ∈ P p ≠ p'
  from this assms show p ∩ p' = {}
    using partition-onE subsetCE sup-ge1 by blast
qed

```

```

lemma partition-on-split2:
  assumes partition-on A (P ∪ Q)
  shows partition-on (⋃ Q) Q
using assms partition-on-split1 sup-commute by metis

```

```

lemma partition-on-intersect-on-elements:
  assumes partition-on (A ∪ C) P
  assumes ∀ X ∈ P. ∃ x. x ∈ X ∩ C
  shows partition-on C ((λX. X ∩ C) ' P)
proof (rule partition-onI)
  fix p
  assume p ∈ (λX. X ∩ C) ' P
  from this assms show p ≠ {} by auto
next
  have ⋃ P = A ∪ C
    using assms by (auto elim: partition-onE)
  from this show ⋃ ((λX. X ∩ C) ' P) = C by auto
next
  fix p p'
  assume p ∈ (λX. X ∩ C) ' P p' ∈ (λX. X ∩ C) ' P p ≠ p'
  from this assms(1) show p ∩ p' = {}
    by (blast elim: partition-onE)
qed

```

```

lemma partition-on-insert-elements:
  assumes A ∩ B = {}

```

assumes *partition-on B P*
assumes $f \in A \rightarrow_E P$
shows *partition-on* $(A \cup B)$ $((\lambda X. X \cup \{x \in A. f x = X\}) \text{ ' } P)$ **(is** *partition-on*
- ?P)
proof *(rule partition-onI)*
fix X
assume $X \in ?P$
from *this* $\langle \text{partition-on } B P \rangle$ **show** $X \neq \{\}$
by *(auto elim: partition-onE)*
next
show $\bigcup ?P = A \cup B$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } B P \rangle$ $\langle f \in A \rightarrow_E P \rangle$ **by** *(auto elim: partition-onE)*
next
fix $X Y$
assume $X \in ?P Y \in ?P X \neq Y$
from $\langle X \in ?P \rangle$ **obtain** X' **where** $X': X = X' \cup \{x \in A. f x = X'\}$ $X' \in P$ **by**
auto
from $\langle Y \in ?P \rangle$ **obtain** Y' **where** $Y': Y = Y' \cup \{x \in A. f x = Y'\}$ $Y' \in P$
by *auto*
from $\langle X \neq Y \rangle$ $X' Y'$ **have** $X' \neq Y'$ **by** *auto*
from *this* $X' Y'$ **have** $X' \cap Y' = \{\}$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } B P \rangle$ **by** *(auto elim!: partition-onE)*
from $X' Y'$ **have** $X' \subseteq B Y' \subseteq B$
using $\langle \text{partition-on } B P \rangle$ **by** *(auto elim!: partition-onE)*
from *this* $\langle X' \cap Y' = \{\} \rangle$ $X' Y' \langle X' \neq Y' \rangle$ **show** $X \cap Y = \{\}$
using $\langle A \cap B = \{\} \rangle$ **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *partition-on-map:*
assumes *inj-on f A*
assumes *partition-on A P*
shows *partition-on* $(f \text{ ' } A)$ $((\text{'}) f \text{ ' } P)$
proof $-$
 $\{$
fix $X Y$
assume $X \in P Y \in P f \text{ ' } X \neq f \text{ ' } Y$
moreover from *assms* **have** $\forall p \in P. \forall p' \in P. p \neq p' \longrightarrow p \cap p' = \{\}$ **and**
inj-on f $(\bigcup P)$
by *(auto elim!: partition-onE)*
ultimately have $f \text{ ' } X \cap f \text{ ' } Y = \{\}$
unfolding *inj-on-def* **by** *auto (metis IntI empty-iff rev-image-eqI)+*
 $\}$
from *assms* **this** **show** *partition-on* $(f \text{ ' } A)$ $((\text{'}) f \text{ ' } P)$
by *(auto intro!: partition-onI elim!: partition-onE)*
qed

lemma *set-of-partition-on-map:*
assumes *inj-on f A*
shows $(\text{'}) ((\text{'}) f) \text{ ' } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P\} = \{P. \text{partition-on } (f \text{ ' } A) P\}$

```

proof (rule set-eqI')
  fix x
  assume  $x \in (') ((') f) ' \{P. \text{partition-on } A P\}$ 
  from this (inj-on f A) show  $x \in \{P. \text{partition-on } (f ' A) P\}$ 
  by (auto intro: partition-on-map)
next
  fix P
  assume  $P \in \{P. \text{partition-on } (f ' A) P\}$ 
  from this have partition-on (f ' A) P by auto
  from this have mem:  $\bigwedge X x. X \in P \implies x \in X \implies x \in f ' A$ 
  by (auto elim!: partition-onE)
  have  $(') (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' P = (') f ' (') (\text{the-inv-into } A f) ' P$ 
  by (simp add: image-image cong: image-cong-simp)
  moreover have  $P = (') (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' P$ 
  proof (rule set-eqI')
    fix X
    assume  $X: X \in P$ 
    moreover from X mem have in-range:  $\forall x \in X. x \in f ' A$  by auto
    moreover have  $X = (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' X$ 
    proof (rule set-eqI')
      fix x
      assume  $x \in X$ 
      show  $x \in (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' X$ 
      proof (rule image-eqI)
        from in-range  $\langle x \in X \rangle$  assms show  $x = (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) x$ 
        by (auto simp add: f-the-inv-into-f[of f])
        from  $\langle x \in X \rangle$  show  $x \in X$  by assumption
      qed
    next
    fix x
    assume  $x \in (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' X$ 
    from this obtain  $x'$  where  $x': x' \in X \wedge x = f (\text{the-inv-into } A f x')$  by auto
    from in-range  $x'$  have  $f: f (\text{the-inv-into } A f x') \in X$ 
    by (subst f-the-inv-into-f[of f]) (auto intro: (inj-on f A))
    from  $x' \langle X \in P \rangle f$  show  $x \in X$  by auto
    qed
  ultimately show  $X \in (') (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' P$  by auto
next
  fix X
  assume  $X \in (') (f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' P$ 
  moreover
  {
    fix Y
    assume  $Y \in P$ 
    from this (inj-on f A) mem have  $\forall x \in Y. f (\text{the-inv-into } A f x) = x$ 
    by (auto simp add: f-the-inv-into-f)
    from this have  $(f \circ \text{the-inv-into } A f) ' Y = Y$  by force
  }
  ultimately show  $X \in P$  by auto

```

```

qed
ultimately have P: P = (') f ' (') (the-inv-into A f) ' P by simp
have A-eq: A = the-inv-into A f ' f ' A by (simp add: assms)
from ⟨inj-on f A⟩ have inj-on (the-inv-into A f) (f ' A)
  using ⟨partition-on (f ' A) P⟩ by (simp add: inj-on-the-inv-into)
from this have (') (the-inv-into A f) ' P ∈ {P. partition-on A P}
  using ⟨partition-on (f ' A) P⟩ by (subst A-eq, auto intro!: partition-on-map)
from P this show P ∈ (') ((') f) ' {P. partition-on A P} by (rule image-eqI)
qed

```

end

2 Combinatorial Basics

```

theory Injectivity-Solver
imports
  HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets
  HOL-Library.Monad-Syntax
  HOL-Eisbach.Eisbach
begin

```

2.1 Preliminaries

These lemmas shall be added to the Disjoint Set theory.

2.1.1 Injectivity and Disjoint Families

```

lemma inj-on-impl-disjoint-family-on-singleton:
  assumes inj-on f A
  shows disjoint-family-on (λx. {f x}) A
using assms disjoint-family-on-def inj-on-contrad by fastforce

```

2.1.2 Cardinality Theorems for Set.bind

```

lemma card-bind:
  assumes finite S
  assumes ∀ X ∈ S. finite (f X)
  assumes disjoint-family-on f S
  shows card (S ≫= f) = (∑ x∈S. card (f x))
proof -
  have card (S ≫= f) = card (⋃ (f ' S))
    by (simp add: bind-UNION)
  also have card (⋃ (f ' S)) = (∑ x∈S. card (f x))
    using assms unfolding disjoint-family-on-def by (simp add: card-UN-disjoint)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

```

```

lemma card-bind-constant:

```

assumes *finite S*
assumes $\forall X \in S. \text{finite } (f X)$
assumes *disjoint-family-on f S*
assumes $\bigwedge x. x \in S \implies \text{card } (f x) = k$
shows $\text{card } (S \ggg f) = \text{card } S * k$
using *assms by (simp add: card-bind)*

lemma *card-bind-singleton:*

assumes *finite S*
assumes *inj-on f S*
shows $\text{card } (S \ggg (\lambda x. \{f x\})) = \text{card } S$
using *assms by (auto simp add: card-bind-constant inj-on-impl-disjoint-family-on-singleton)*

2.2 Third Version of Injectivity Solver

Here, we provide a third version of the injectivity solver. The original first version was provided in the AFP entry ‘Spivey’s Generalized Recurrence for Bell Numbers’. From that method, I derived a second version in the AFP entry ‘Cardinality of Equivalence Relations’. At roughly the same time, Makarius improved the injectivity solver in the development version of the first AFP entry. This third version now includes the improvements of the second version and Makarius improvements to the first, and it further extends the method to handle the new cases in the cardinality proof of this AFP entry.

As the implementation of the injectivity solver only evolves in the development branch of the AFP, the submissions of the three AFP entries that employ the injectivity solver, have to create clones of the injectivity solver for the identified and needed method adjustments. Ultimately, these three clones should only remain in the stable branches of the AFP from Isabelle2016 to Isabelle2017 to work with their corresponding release versions. In the development version, I have now consolidated the three versions here. In the next step, I will move this version of the injectivity solver in the *HOL-Library.Disjoint-Sets* and it will hopefully only evolve further there.

lemma *disjoint-family-onI:*

assumes $\bigwedge i j. i \in I \wedge j \in I \implies i \neq j \implies (A i) \cap (A j) = \{\}$
shows *disjoint-family-on A I*
using *assms unfolding disjoint-family-on-def by auto*

lemma *disjoint-bind:* $\bigwedge S T f g. (\bigwedge s t. S s \wedge T t \implies f s \cap g t = \{\}) \implies (\{s. S s\} \ggg f) \cap (\{t. T t\} \ggg g) = \{\}$
by *fastforce*

lemma *disjoint-bind':* $\bigwedge S T f g. (\bigwedge s t. s \in S \wedge t \in T \implies f s \cap g t = \{\}) \implies (S \ggg f) \cap (T \ggg g) = \{\}$
by *fastforce*

```

lemma injectivity-solver-CollectE:
  assumes  $a \in \{x. P x\} \wedge a' \in \{x. P' x\}$ 
  assumes  $(P a \wedge P' a') \implies W$ 
  shows  $W$ 
using assms by auto

lemma injectivity-solver-prep-assms-Collect:
  assumes  $x \in \{x. P x\}$ 
  shows  $P x \wedge P x$ 
using assms by simp

lemma injectivity-solver-prep-assms:  $x \in A \implies x \in A \wedge x \in A$ 
  by simp

lemma disjoint-terminal-singleton:  $\bigwedge s t X Y. s \neq t \implies (X = Y \implies s = t) \implies$ 
 $\{X\} \cap \{Y\} = \{\}$ 
by auto

lemma disjoint-terminal-Collect:
  assumes  $s \neq t$ 
  assumes  $\bigwedge x x'. S x \wedge T x' \implies x = x' \implies s = t$ 
  shows  $\{x. S x\} \cap \{x. T x\} = \{\}$ 
using assms by auto

lemma disjoint-terminal:
   $s \neq t \implies (\bigwedge x x'. x \in S \wedge x' \in T \implies x = x' \implies s = t) \implies S \cap T = \{\}$ 
by blast

lemma elim-singleton:
  assumes  $x \in \{s\} \wedge x' \in \{t\}$ 
  obtains  $x = s \wedge x' = t$ 
using assms by blast

method injectivity-solver uses rule =
  insert method-facts,
  use nothing in ⟨
     $((\text{drule } \textit{injectivity-solver-prep-assms-Collect} \mid \text{drule } \textit{injectivity-solver-prep-assms})+)?;$ 
    rule disjoint-family-onI;
     $((\text{rule } \textit{disjoint-bind} \mid \text{rule } \textit{disjoint-bind'})+)?;$ 
     $(\text{erule } \textit{elim-singleton})?;$ 
     $(\text{erule } \textit{disjoint-terminal-singleton} \mid \text{erule } \textit{disjoint-terminal-Collect} \mid \text{erule } \textit{disjoint-terminal});$ 
     $(\text{elim } \textit{injectivity-solver-CollectE})?;$ 
    rule rule;
    assumption+
  ⟩

end

```

3 Cardinality of Set Partitions

theory *Card-Partitions*

imports

HOL-Library.Stirling

Set-Partition

Injectivity-Solver

begin

lemma *set-partition-on-insert-with-fixed-card-eq*:

assumes *finite A*

assumes $a \notin A$

shows $\{P. \text{partition-on } (insert\ a\ A)\ P \wedge card\ P = Suc\ k\} = (do\ \{$

$P <- \{P. \text{partition-on } A\ P \wedge card\ P = Suc\ k\};$

$p <- P;$

$\{insert\ (insert\ a\ p)\ (P - \{p\})\}$

$\})$

$\cup\ (do\ \{$

$P <- \{P. \text{partition-on } A\ P \wedge card\ P = k\};$

$\{insert\ \{a\}\ P\}$

$\})\ (is\ ?S = ?T)$

proof

show $?S \subseteq ?T$

proof

fix P

assume $P \in \{P. \text{partition-on } (insert\ a\ A)\ P \wedge card\ P = Suc\ k\}$

from this have *partition-on (insert a A) P and card P = Suc k by auto*

show $P \in ?T$

proof cases

assume $\{a\} \in P$

have *partition-on A (P - {{a}})*

using $\langle \{a\} \in P \rangle \langle \text{partition-on } (insert\ a\ A)\ P \rangle [THEN\ \text{partition-on-Diff, of } \{\{a\}\} \langle a \notin A \rangle$

by auto

moreover from $\langle \{a\} \in P \rangle \langle card\ P = Suc\ k \rangle$ **have** $card\ (P - \{\{a\}\}) = k$

by *(subst card-Diff-singleton) (auto intro: card-ge-0-finite)*

moreover from $\langle \{a\} \in P \rangle$ **have** $P = insert\ \{a\}\ (P - \{\{a\}\})$ **by auto**

ultimately have $P \in \{P. \text{partition-on } A\ P \wedge card\ P = k\} \gg (\lambda P. \{insert\ \{a\}\ P\})$

by auto

from this show *?thesis by auto*

next

assume $\{a\} \notin P$

let $?p' = (THE\ X. a \in X \wedge X \in P)$

let $?p = (THE\ X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) - \{a\}$

let $?P' = insert\ ?p\ (P - \{?p'\})$

from *partition-on (insert a A) P* **have** $a \in (THE\ X. a \in X \wedge X \in P)$

using *partition-on-in-the-unique-part by fastforce*

from *partition-on (insert a A) P* **have** $(THE\ X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \in P$

using *partition-on-the-part-mem* **by** *fastforce*
from *this* $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ **have** $(\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) - \{a\} \notin P$
using *partition-subset-imp-notin* $\langle a \in (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \rangle$ **by** *blast*
have $(\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \neq \{a\}$
using $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \in P \rangle$ $\langle \{a\} \notin P \rangle$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ **have** $(\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \subseteq \text{insert } a \ A$
using $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \in P \rangle$ *partition-onD1* **by** *fastforce*
note *facts-on-the-part-of* = $\langle a \in (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \rangle$ $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \in P \rangle$
 $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) - \{a\} \notin P \rangle$ $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \neq \{a\} \rangle$
 $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \subseteq \text{insert } a \ A \rangle$
from $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$ **have** *finite P*
by *(meson finite.insertI finite-elements)*
from $\langle \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a \ A) \ P \rangle$ $\langle a \notin A \rangle$ **have** *partition-on* $(A - \{p\}) (P - \{p\})$
using *facts-on-the-part-of* **by** *(auto intro: partition-on-remove-singleton)*
from *this* **have** *partition-on* $A \ ?P'$
using *facts-on-the-part-of* **by** *(auto intro: partition-on-insert simp add: disjnt-iff)*
moreover **have** *card* $?P' = \text{Suc } k$
proof –
from $\langle \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k \rangle$ **have** $\text{card } (P - \{\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P\}) = k$
using $\langle \text{finite } P \rangle$ $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) \in P \rangle$ **by** *simp*
from *this* **show** *?thesis*
using $\langle \text{finite } P \rangle$ $\langle (\text{THE } X. a \in X \wedge X \in P) - \{a\} \notin P \rangle$ **by** *(simp add: card-insert-if)*
qed
moreover **have** $?p \in ?P'$ **by** *auto*
moreover **have** $P = \text{insert } (\text{insert } a \ ?p) (?P' - \{?p\})$
using *facts-on-the-part-of* **by** *(auto simp add: insert-absorb)*
ultimately **have** $P \in \{\lambda P. \text{partition-on } A \ P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k\} \gg (\lambda P. P \gg (\lambda p. \{\text{insert } (\text{insert } a \ p) (P - \{p\})\}))$
by *auto*
then **show** *?thesis* **by** *auto*
qed
qed
next
show $?T \subseteq ?S$
proof
fix P
assume $P \in ?T$ **(is** $- \in ?\text{subexpr1} \cup ?\text{subexpr2}$ **)**
from *this* **show** $P \in ?S$
proof
assume $P \in ?\text{subexpr1}$
from *this* **obtain** $p \ P'$ **where** $P = \text{insert } (\text{insert } a \ p) (P' - \{p\})$
and *partition-on* $A \ P'$ **and** $\text{card } P' = \text{Suc } k$ **and** $p \in P'$ **by** *auto*
from $\langle p \in P' \rangle$ $\langle \text{partition-on } A \ P' \rangle$ **have** *partition-on* $(A - p) (P' - \{p\})$


```

    by (simp add: partition-on-remove-singleton)
  from ⟨partition-on A P'⟩ ⟨finite A⟩ have finite P
    using ⟨P = →⟩ finite-elements by auto
  from ⟨partition-on A P'⟩ ⟨a ∉ A⟩ have insert a p ∉ P' - {p}
    using partition-onD1 by fastforce
  from ⟨P = →⟩ this ⟨card P' = Suc k⟩ ⟨finite P⟩ ⟨p ∈ P'⟩
  have card P = Suc k by auto
  moreover have partition-on (insert a A) P
    using ⟨partition-on (A - p) (P' - {p})⟩ ⟨a ∉ A⟩ ⟨p ∈ P'⟩ ⟨partition-on A
P'⟩ ⟨P = →⟩
    by (auto intro!: partition-on-insert dest: partition-onD1 simp add: disjnt-iff)
  ultimately show P ∈ ?S by auto
next
  assume P ∈ ?subexpr2
  from this obtain P' where P = insert {a} P' and partition-on A P' and
card P' = k by auto
  from ⟨partition-on A P'⟩ ⟨finite A⟩ have finite P
    using ⟨P = insert {a} P'⟩ finite-elements by auto
  from ⟨partition-on A P'⟩ ⟨a ∉ A⟩ have {a} ∉ P'
    using partition-onD1 by fastforce
  from ⟨P = insert {a} P'⟩ ⟨card P' = k⟩ this ⟨finite P⟩ have card P = Suc k
by auto
  moreover from ⟨partition-on A P'⟩ ⟨a ∉ A⟩ have partition-on (insert a A)
P
    using ⟨P = insert {a} P'⟩ by (simp add: partition-on-insert-singleton)
  ultimately show P ∈ ?S by auto
qed
qed
qed

```

lemma *injectivity-subexpr1*:

```

  assumes a ∉ A
  assumes X ∈ P ∧ X' ∈ P'
  assumes insert (insert a X) (P - {X}) = insert (insert a X') (P' - {X'})
  assumes (partition-on A P ∧ card P = Suc k) ∧ (partition-on A P' ∧ card P'
= Suc k')
  shows P = P' and X = X'
proof -
  from assms(1, 2, 4) have a ∉ X a ∉ X'
    using partition-onD1 by auto
  from assms(1, 4) have insert a X ∉ P insert a X' ∉ P'
    using partition-onD1 by auto
  from assms(1, 3, 4) have insert a X = insert a X'
    by (metis Diff-iff insertE insertI1 mem-simps(9) partition-onD1)
  from this ⟨a ∉ X'⟩ ⟨a ∉ X⟩ show X = X'
    by (meson insert-ident)
  from assms(2, 3) show P = P'
    using ⟨insert a X = insert a X'⟩ ⟨insert a X ∉ P⟩ ⟨insert a X' ∉ P'⟩
    by (metis insert-Diff insert-absorb insert-commute insert-ident)

```

qed

lemma *injectivity-subexpr2*:

assumes $a \notin A$

assumes $\text{insert } \{a\} P = \text{insert } \{a\} P'$

assumes $(\text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = k) \wedge \text{partition-on } A P' \wedge \text{card } P' = k'$

shows $P = P'$

proof –

from *assms*(1, 3) **have** $\{a\} \notin P$ **and** $\{a\} \notin P'$

using *partition-onD1* **by** *auto*

from $\langle \{a\} \notin P \rangle$ **have** $P = \text{insert } \{a\} P - \{\{a\}\}$ **by** *simp*

also from $\langle \text{insert } \{a\} P = \text{insert } \{a\} P' \rangle$ **have** $\dots = \text{insert } \{a\} P' - \{\{a\}\}$ **by** *simp*

also from $\langle \{a\} \notin P' \rangle$ **have** $\dots = P'$ **by** *simp*

finally show *?thesis* .

qed

theorem *card-partition-on*:

assumes *finite A*

shows $\text{card } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = k\} = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) k$

using *assms*

proof (*induct A arbitrary: k*)

case *empty*

have *eq*: $\{P. P = \{\} \wedge \text{card } P = 0\} = \{\{\}\}$ **by** *auto*

show *?case* **by** (*cases k*) (*auto simp add: partition-on-empty eq*)

next

case (*insert a A*)

from *this* **show** *?case*

proof (*cases k*)

case 0

from *insert*(1) **have** *empty*: $\{P. \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a A) P \wedge \text{card } P = 0\} = \{\}$

unfolding *partition-on-def* **by** (*auto simp add: card-eq-0-iff finite-UnionD*)

from 0 *insert* **show** *?thesis* **by** (*auto simp add: empty*)

next

case (*Suc k'*)

let *?subexpr1* = *do* {

$P <- \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\};$

$p <- P;$

$\{\text{insert } (\text{insert } a p) (P - \{p\})\}$

}

let *?subexpr2* = *do* {

$P <- \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = k'\};$

$\{\text{insert } \{a\} P\}$

}

let *?expr* = *?subexpr1* \cup *?subexpr2*

have $\text{card } \{P. \text{partition-on } (\text{insert } a A) P \wedge \text{card } P = k\} = \text{card } ?\text{expr}$

using $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle \langle a \notin A \rangle \langle k = \text{Suc } k' \rangle$ **by** (*simp add: set-partition-on-insert-with-fixed-card-eq*)

also have $\text{card } ?\text{expr} = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) k' + \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) (\text{Suc } k') * \text{Suc}$

k'
proof –
have $\text{finite } ?\text{subexpr1} \wedge \text{card } ?\text{subexpr1} = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) (\text{Suc } k') * \text{Suc } k'$
proof –
from $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$ **have** $\text{finite } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\}$
by $(\text{simp add: finitely-many-partition-on})$
moreover have $\forall X \in \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\}. \text{finite } (X$
 $\gg (\lambda p. \{\text{insert } (\text{insert } a p) (X - \{p\})\}))$
using $\text{finite-elements } \langle \text{finite } A \rangle \text{finite-bind}$
by $(\text{metis } (\text{no-types, lifting}) \text{finite.emptyI finite-insert mem-Collect-eq})$
moreover have $\text{disjoint-family-on } (\lambda P. P \gg (\lambda p. \{\text{insert } (\text{insert } a p) (P$
 $- \{p\}\})) \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\}$
by $(\text{injectivity-solver rule: injectivity-subexpr1 } (1)[\text{OF } \langle a \notin A \rangle])$
moreover have $\text{card } (P \gg (\lambda p. \{\text{insert } (\text{insert } a p) (P - \{p\})\})) = \text{Suc}$
 k'
if $P \in \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\}$ **for** P
proof –
from $\text{that } \langle \text{finite } A \rangle$ **have** $\text{finite } P$
using $\text{finite-elements by blast}$
moreover have $\text{inj-on } (\lambda p. \text{insert } (\text{insert } a p) (P - \{p\})) P$
using $\text{that injectivity-subexpr1 } (2)[\text{OF } \langle a \notin A \rangle]$ **by** $(\text{simp add: inj-onI})$
moreover from that **have** $\text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'$ **by** simp
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** $(\text{simp add: card-bind-singleton})$
qed
ultimately have $\text{card } ?\text{subexpr1} = \text{card } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P =$
 $\text{Suc } k'\} * \text{Suc } k'$
by $(\text{subst card-bind-constant}) \text{simp+}$
from this **have** $\text{card } ?\text{subexpr1} = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) (\text{Suc } k') * \text{Suc } k'$
using $\text{insert.hyps } (3)$ **by** simp
moreover have $\text{finite } ?\text{subexpr1}$
using $\langle \text{finite } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\} \rangle$
 $\langle \forall X \in \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = \text{Suc } k'\}. \text{finite } (X \gg (\lambda p. \{\text{insert}$
 $(\text{insert } a p) (X - \{p\})\})) \rangle$
by $(\text{auto intro: finite-bind})$
ultimately show $?thesis$ **by** blast
qed
moreover have $\text{finite } ?\text{subexpr2} \wedge \text{card } ?\text{subexpr2} = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) k'$
proof –
from $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$ **have** $\text{finite } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = k'\}$
by $(\text{simp add: finitely-many-partition-on})$
moreover have $\text{inj-on } (\text{insert } \{a\}) \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P = k'\}$
using $\text{injectivity-subexpr2 } [\text{OF } \langle a \notin A \rangle]$ **by** $(\text{simp add: inj-on-def})$
ultimately have $\text{card } ?\text{subexpr2} = \text{card } \{P. \text{partition-on } A P \wedge \text{card } P =$
 $k'\}$
by $(\text{simp add: card-bind-singleton})$
also have $\dots = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) k'$
using $\text{insert.hyps } (3)$.
finally have $\text{card } ?\text{subexpr2} = \text{Stirling } (\text{card } A) k'$.
moreover have $\text{finite } ?\text{subexpr2}$

```

    by (simp add: ⟨finite {P. partition-on A P ∧ card P = k'}⟩ finite-bind)
  ultimately show ?thesis by blast
qed
moreover have ?subexpr1 ∩ ?subexpr2 = {}
proof -
  have ∀ P ∈ ?subexpr1. {a} ∉ P
    using insert.hyps(2) by (force elim!: partition-onE)
  moreover have ∀ P ∈ ?subexpr2. {a} ∈ P by auto
  ultimately show ?subexpr1 ∩ ?subexpr2 = {} by blast
qed
ultimately show ?thesis
  by (simp add: card-Un-disjoint)
qed
also have ... = Stirling (card (insert a A)) k
  using insert(1, 2) ⟨k = Suc k'⟩ by simp
finally show ?thesis .
qed
qed

theorem card-partition-on-at-most-size:
  assumes finite A
  shows card {P. partition-on A P ∧ card P ≤ k} = (∑ j ≤ k. Stirling (card A) j)
proof -
  have card {P. partition-on A P ∧ card P ≤ k} = card (⋃ j ≤ k. {P. partition-on
A P ∧ card P = j})
  by (rule arg-cong[where f=card]) auto
  also have ... = (∑ j ≤ k. card {P. partition-on A P ∧ card P = j})
  by (subst card-UN-disjoint) (auto simp add: ⟨finite A⟩ finitely-many-partition-on)
  also have (∑ j ≤ k. card {P. partition-on A P ∧ card P = j}) = (∑ j ≤ k. Stirling
(card A) j)
  using ⟨finite A⟩ by (simp add: card-partition-on)
  finally show ?thesis .
qed

theorem partition-on-size1:
  assumes finite A
  shows {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)} = {(λ a. {a}) ‘ A}
proof
  show {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)} ⊆ {(λ a. {a}) ‘ A}
  proof
    fix P
    assume P: P ∈ {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)}
    have P = (λ a. {a}) ‘ A
    proof
      show P ⊆ (λ a. {a}) ‘ A
      proof
        fix X
        assume X ∈ P
        from P this obtain x where X = {x}

```

```

    by (auto simp add: card-Suc-eq)
  from this ⟨X ∈ P⟩ have x ∈ A
    using P unfolding partition-on-def by blast
  from this ⟨X = {x}⟩ show X ∈ (λa. {a}) ‘ A by auto
qed
next
show (λa. {a}) ‘ A ⊆ P
proof
  fix X
  assume X ∈ (λa. {a}) ‘ A
  from this obtain x where X: X = {x} x ∈ A by auto
  have ⋃ P = A
    using P unfolding partition-on-def by blast
  from this ⟨x ∈ A⟩ obtain X' where x ∈ X' and X' ∈ P
    using UnionE by blast
  from ⟨X' ∈ P⟩ have card X' = 1
    using P unfolding partition-on-def by auto
  from this ⟨x ∈ X'⟩ have X' = {x}
    using card-1-singletonE by blast
  from this X(1) ⟨X' ∈ P⟩ show X ∈ P by auto
qed
qed
from this show P ∈ {(λa. {a}) ‘ A} by auto
qed
next
show {(λa. {a}) ‘ A} ⊆ {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)}
proof
  fix P
  assume P ∈ {(λa. {a}) ‘ A}
  from this have P: P = (λa. {a}) ‘ A by auto
  from this have partition-on A P by (auto intro: partition-onI)
  from P this show P ∈ {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)} by auto
qed
qed

theorem card-partition-on-size1:
  assumes finite A
  shows card {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)} = 1
using assms partition-on-size1 by fastforce

lemma card-partition-on-size1-eq-1:
  assumes finite A
  assumes card A ≤ k
  shows card {P. partition-on A P ∧ card P ≤ k ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)} = 1
proof -
  {
    fix P
    assume partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)
    from this have P ∈ {P. partition-on A P ∧ (∀ X ∈ P. card X = 1)} by simp
  }

```

```

from this have  $P \in \{(\lambda a. \{a\}) ' A\}$ 
  using partition-on-size1  $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$  by auto
from this have  $P = (\lambda a. \{a\}) ' A$  by auto
moreover from this have  $\text{card } P = \text{card } A$ 
  by (auto intro: card-image)
}
from this have  $\{P. \text{partition-on } A \ P \wedge \text{card } P \leq k \wedge (\forall X \in P. \text{card } X = 1)\} =$ 
 $\{P. \text{partition-on } A \ P \wedge (\forall X \in P. \text{card } X = 1)\}$ 
  using  $\langle \text{card } A \leq k \rangle$  by auto
from this show ?thesis
  using  $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$  by (simp only: card-partition-on-size1)
qed

```

lemma *card-partition-on-size1-eq-0*:

```

assumes finite A
assumes  $k < \text{card } A$ 
shows  $\text{card } \{P. \text{partition-on } A \ P \wedge \text{card } P \leq k \wedge (\forall X \in P. \text{card } X = 1)\} = 0$ 
proof –
{
  fix  $P$ 
  assume partition-on A P  $\forall X \in P. \text{card } X = 1$ 
  from this have  $P \in \{P. \text{partition-on } A \ P \wedge (\forall X \in P. \text{card } X = 1)\}$  by simp
  from this have  $P \in \{(\lambda a. \{a\}) ' A\}$ 
    using partition-on-size1  $\langle \text{finite } A \rangle$  by auto
  from this have  $P = (\lambda a. \{a\}) ' A$  by auto
  from this have  $\text{card } P = \text{card } A$ 
    by (auto intro: card-image)
}
from this assms(2) have  $\{P. \text{partition-on } A \ P \wedge \text{card } P \leq k \wedge (\forall X \in P. \text{card } X = 1)\} = \{\}$ 
  using Collect-empty-eq leD by fastforce
from this show ?thesis by (simp only: card-empty)
qed

```

end

References

- [1] F. Haftmann. Discrete summation. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, Apr. 2014. http://isa-afp.org/entries/Discrete_Summation.shtml, Formal proof development.
- [2] R. P. Stanley. *Enumerative Combinatorics: Volume 1*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2012.
- [3] Wikipedia. Stirling numbers of the second kind — wikipedia, the free encyclopedia, 2015. https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Stirling_

[numbers_of_the_second_kind&oldid=693800357](#), [Online; accessed 12-December-2015].